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INTRODUCTION

Biofilm formation is considered to be an adaptive strategy of the human pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and the switch from the motile to a sessile mode of growth represents an important step in the virulence of this pathogen (Costerton et al., 1999).

Biofilms are microbial communities assembled in a self-produced matrix of exopolysaccharides, proteins and DNA (Ma et al., 2006), generating conditions that confer resistance and protection against antimicrobial agents and the immune system. The biofilm lifestyle cycle of P. aeruginosa PA01 develops through coordinated stages. Adhesion to a surface is the first step in the colonization of P. aeruginosa and is followed by cell-to-cell aggregation. Attachment is an
irreversible condition characterized by formation of microcolonies that develop in structured and three-dimensional clusters. During these two stages, the bacterial cells display three types of motility: swimming movement in liquid or low-viscosity conditions, swarming on semisolid surface and twitching on a solid surface. Swarming motility is based on flagella and type IV pili as well as on biosurfactants, swimming is flagella-dependent, and twitching relies on extension and retraction of type IV pili (O’Toole and Kolter, 1998; Kohler et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2014). The final stage of biofilm development is bacterial dispersion, in which the bacteria re-enter the planktonic state, spreading and colonizing other surfaces (Flemming et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2014).

As summarized in Figure 1, intertwined regulatory pathways and numerous regulators control transcriptionally and post-transcriptionally biofilm development. Most of these regulators are coordinated by the alternative sigma factor AlgT/U (σ22) (Potvin et al., 2008), a mediator of stress response and a functional homolog of Escherichia coli σE (Yu et al., 1995). AlgD regulates alginate production driving the expression of algD operon, and activating two transcriptional regulators, AlgR and AmrZ, both required for alginate production in multiple mucoid strains (Mohr et al., 1991, 1992; Yu et al., 1995). AmrZ, besides the interaction with algD, also directly affects the P. aeruginosa exopolysaccharides profile. In fact, as shown in Figure 1, AmrZ triggers the expression of the exopolysaccharide Pel interacting with a member of the pel operon (pelB) and represses the expression of the exopolysaccharide Psl binding to the pslA promoter. In addition, AmrZ affects the intracellular levels of the signaling molecule bis (3′-5′)-cyclic diguanosine monophosphate (c-di-GMP) (Jones et al., 2014; Petrova et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016). Pel and Psl exopolysaccharides are the major contributors to P. aeruginosa biofilm structure and development. Psl supports the cell-to-cell interactions during the initial attachment and adhesion phase, forming a fiber web to constitute a scaffold for the biofilm shaping, and Pel provides structural stability to the global configuration (Ma et al., 2006, 2007; Yang et al., 2011; Jennings et al., 2015).

Bio synthesis of Pel, Psl, and LPS uses common sugar precursors supplied by the AlgU-induced AlgC enzyme, which coordinates the levels of exopolysaccharides in the cell, catalyzing the conversion of Man-6-P and glucose-6-P (Glc-6-P) to Man-1-P and Glc-1-P, respectively (Coyne et al., 1994; Ma et al., 2012). AlgC is positively regulated by AlgR at the transcriptional level, and negatively regulated by the small RNA (sRNA) ErS in the post-transcriptional level (Zielinski et al., 1991; Ferrara et al., 2015). ErsA is a novel sRNA recently characterized in P. aeruginosa whose expression responds to several infection cues such as limited iron availability, temperature shifts from environmental to body temperature and reduced oxygen conditions. The incoherent feed-forward loop settled by ErsA and AlgU to fine-regulate AlgC was supposed to be an additional regulatory route in the complex process of biofilm shaping, in particular balancing the sugar precursors production in the exopolysaccharides biosynthesis (Ferrara et al., 2015).

In a recent study (Zhang et al., 2017), ErsA has been described to bind and regulate at the post-transcriptional level oprD mRNA, coding for a porin which highly contributes to carbapenems sensitivity. The overexpression of ErsA negatively affects translation of oprD mRNA and consequently the OprD protein level, reducing susceptibility to meropenem treatment. These findings contribute to enforce the role of ErsA in P. aeruginosa pathogenesis by regulating different virulence traits.

sRNAs can regulate multiple targets, allowing the cells to have a fast response to stress conditions and adapt in a short time frame to environmental changes (Beisel and Storz, 2010).

ErsA provides a relevant regulatory contribution balancing metabolism and virulence routes by regulating the checkpoint enzyme AlgC and it was conceivable to hypothesize novel ErsA targets in the large landscape of regulatory routes connected to exopolysaccharides production and biofilm formation.

In this study, we scrutinized for the first time the regulatory pattern of ErsA in P. aeruginosa biofilm formation revealing a positive contribution of the sRNA to biofilm maturation and shaping. An RNA-seq approach allowed us to identify several genes involved in this process, whose expression was deregulated in an ErsA deletion mutant. Most of these genes belong to AmrZ regulon, which was shown to be a novel direct target for ErsA (Figure 1).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Media

Bacteria and plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. E. coli strains were grown at 37°C in Lysogeny Broth (LB). P. aeruginosa strains were grown at 37°C in LB or in Brain Heart Infusion Broth (BHI) or Artificial Sputum Medium (ASM) in flasks at 200 r.p.m. When required, for E. coli strains the media were supplemented with 10 µg/ml gentamycin, 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 25 µg/ml kanamycin, and for P. aeruginosa strains with 50 µg/ml gentamycin and 300 µg/ml carbenicillin. For monitoring biofilm development in flow-chambers conditions, PAO1 wild-type and PAO1 ΔersA (Ferrara et al., 2015) were chromosomally tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP) and grown in modified FAB medium (Heydorn et al., 2000) supplemented with 0.3 mM glucose.

ErsA overexpression was obtained from pGM-ersA plasmid (Ferrara et al., 2015) using arabinose 0.2% when required.

Plasmid Construction and Mutant Generation

Oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S2. Translational fusions pBBS-1 amrZ::sfGFP, amrZ::Δ1-152::sfGFP, and amrZ::Δ152::sfGFP under the PcIC promoter were generated by PCR with oligos 1/2 (Supplementary Table S2), digested with NsiI-NheI and cloned into the sfGFP
Falcone et al. ErsA Role in Biofilm Development

FIGURE 1 | Schematic representation of different levels of AlgU-dependent regulatory routes in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. AlgU drives the expression of the alginate biosynthetic operon by activating the expression of algD promoter and it modulates exopolysaccharides (Pel and Psl) production by inducing the expression of transcriptional regulators, as AmrZ and AlgR, and the small RNA ErsA, which regulates algC at the post-transcriptional level. Green arrows represent positive regulation, red arrows negative regulation.

reporter vectors pXG10-SF resulting in the plasmid pXG10-amrZ::sfGFP. Likewise for amrZ::sfGFP, 161 bp including 56 nt of UTR-region and 35 codons of the ORF of amrZ were amplified by PCR with oligos 1/2 (Supplementary Table S2) from pUCIDT amrZCIS plasmid, carrying the synthetic and modified sequence of amrZ, digested with NsiI-HaeI and cloned into pXG10-SF to generate the translational fusion pXG10-amrZ1::sfGFP. The translational fusion pXG10-amrZ1IS2::sfGFP was generated amplifying a fragment of 119 bp including 56 nt of UTR-region and 21 codons of the amrZ ORF with oligos 1/3 (Supplementary Table S2) from pUCIDT amrZCIS plasmid. All the fragments from the PltetO–1 promoter to the end of the GFP reporter gene, including the different versions of amrZ, were amplified from pXG10-amrZ::sfGFP, amrZCIS1::sfGFP, amrZ1IS2::sfGFP and amrZCIS1ΔIS2::sfGFP, using oligos 9/10, digested with ClaI-XbaI and cloned into the low-copy number shuttle vector pBBR1-MCS5 generating the pBBR1-amrZ::sfGFP, amrZCIS1::sfGFP, amrZ1IS2::sfGFP and amrZCIS1ΔIS2::sfGFP, respectively. All the plasmids were then transformed into P. aeruginosa strains as reported previously (Ferrara et al., 2015).
Mini-Tn7-gfp Strain Construction
A PrrB1-gfp transposon cassette was inserted into the chromosome of PAO1 wild-type and ΔersA by conjugation using pBK-miniTn7-ΔGm as a delivery plasmid carrying the cassette inserted into NotI site as reported previously (Lambertsen et al., 2004).

Biofilm Adhesion in 96-Wells Peg-Lid Microtitter
A quantity of 200 μl of overnight bacterial cultures grown in BHI or ASM and diluted to OD_{600} = 0.01, with the addition of carbenicillin 300 μg/ml and arabinose 0.2% when required, was aliquoted into 96-well peg-lid microtitter plates (Nunclon Delta Surface Cat. No.167008, Nunc TSP Cat. No.445497, Thermo Scientific) as reported previously (Harrison et al., 2010). The plates were incubated at 37°C in aerobic conditions with 100 r.p.m. stirring. After 20 h of incubation, growth was monitored by measuring the OD_{600}, and the ability of the P. aeruginosa strains to adhere to the polystyrene peg-lid was tested by crystal violet staining. Briefly, the peg-lid was washed twice with saline solution and then stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 20 min (O’Toole, 2011). Excess of stain was rinsed off by placing the peg-lid in saline solution before to solubilize the dye in absolute ethanol. The optical density of each well was measured at 590 nm. Biofilm formation was expressed in adhesion units as the result of the OD_{590}/OD_{600} ratio and statistical analysis were performed using T-Test.

Biofilm Development in Flow-Cells System
Biofilms were grown at 30°C in flow chambers composed of three individual channels as described previously (Möller et al., 1998). PAO1 wild-type and ΔersA overnight cultures diluted to OD_{600} = 0.01 were inoculated into each flow channel with a small syringe. After 1 h without flow, each channel was supplied with a flow of 3 ml/h of FAB medium with glucose 0.3 mM, using a Watson Marlow 205S peristaltic pump. The mean flow velocity in the flow cells was 0.2 mm/s.

Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy and Image Processing
The microscopic analyses were performed using a Zeiss LSM510 confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) equipped with an Ar/Kr laser and filter sets for GFP detection (excitation, 488 nm; emission, 517 nm). Images were obtained using a 40×/1.3 Plan-Neofluar oil objective.

Simulated shadow projection images and cross sections were generated using the IMARIS software package (Bitplane AG, Zürich, Switzerland).

The experiment was performed in triplicate for each strain acquiring seven random images for each channel every day for 3 days. Thus, 21 images for each time point were employed for the statistical analyses using COMSTAT 2.1 software¹ (Heydorn et al., 2000; Vorregaard, 2008).

¹www.comstat.dk

Co-twitching and Co-swarming Motility Assays
Swarming assays were performed using Nutrient Broth (Nutrient Broth n°2 Oxoid) medium plates supplemented with 0.5% glucose and 0.5% Bacto-agar (Difco). Overnight cultures normalized at the same OD_{600} of PAO1 wild-type and ΔersA were spotted on the same plate suitably spaced each other and placed at both 28°C and 37°C for 24 h.

Twitching was performed on LB plates supplemented with 1% Bacto-agar (Difco). The inoculation was performed with a sterile toothpick dipped in the overnight cultures and followed at 37°C for 24 h. Statistical analysis was performed on three independent replicates with GraphPad Prism software.

RNA Sequencing and Data Analysis
For RNA-Seq, cultures of wild-type PAO1 and ΔersA strains were grown to early stationary phase (OD_{600} = 2.7) in BHI medium. For each strain, total RNA was extracted from at least two independent biological replicates using Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) followed by RNA clean and concentrator kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, United States) accordingly to vendors’ protocols. RNA quality was checked using RNA Nano kit on an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 machine. Samples with an RNA integrity number (RIN) greater than 9 were used in downstream analysis. Strand-specific sequencing libraries were prepared using 50 ng of mRNA-enriched samples as input for TruSeq stranded mRNA library preparation kit (Illumina) following vendor’s recommendations. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq 500 to a depth of 15–20 million reads per sample. After quality filtering, raw reads were aligned using BWA aligner against P. aeruginosa PAO1 genome (NC_002516.2). Read count for gene relative abundance was obtained using HTSeq-count tool from HTSeq package (Anders et al., 2015), while differential expression analysis and statistical analysis were performed as previously described (Peano et al., 2014). RNA-seq data have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database at EMBL-EBI² under accession number E-MTAB-6247.

RNA Isolation and Synthesis
Total RNA was extracted as reported previously (Ferrara et al., 2012). RNA for RNA/RNA interaction assays was prepared by T7 RNA polymerase transcription of gel-purified DNA fragments. DNA fragments for ErsA RNA and amrZ mRNAs (amrZ, amrZCis1, amrZΔIS2, amrZCis1ΔIS2) preparations were amplified from P. aeruginosa PAO1 genomic DNA with oligo pairs 4/5 or 4/6 and 7/8, respectively. The transcription reactions were performed using the Riboprobe® System-T7 (Promega) with 300 ng of DNA template. DNA probe was 5′-end-labeled with [γ-32P] ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase (Promega) according to manufacturer’s instruction. Synthesized RNA was precipitated and resuspended in diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water. Purified RNA was checked by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and quantified using a Qubit Fluorometer.

²www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress
In Vitro and in Vivo Assays of sRNA/mRNA Interactions

To assess the ErsA/amrZ mRNA interactions in vitro, the binding reactions were set up as described previously (Ferrara et al., 2015). After the electrophoresis, the membrane was UV-crosslinked and hybridized with a [32P]-labeled oligo and the radioactive bands were acquired using a Typhoon™ 8600 variable mode imager scanner (GE Healthcare BioSciences) and visualized with ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics).

Non-radioactive EMSA were performed using Mini-Protean® Electrophoresis System (Bio-Rad) at 4°C and 150 V for 45 min. The gel was stained in SYBR™ Gold Nucleic Acid Gel Stain diluted in 0.5 × TBE. Images were acquired by Gel Doc™ XR+ (Bio-Rad) imaging system.

Fluorescence measurements of P. aeruginosa strains carrying the reporters pBRR1-amrZ:gfp were carried out as previously reported (Ferrara et al., 2015). Abs595 and fluorescence polarization FP485/535 were measured in a Tecan Infinity PRO 200 reader, using Magellan as data analysis software (Tecan). GFP activities were expressed in Arbitrary Units (AU) as ratio FP485/535/Abs595. Statistical analysis performed on three individual clones per strain using T-test.

RESULTS

ErsA Is Required for Biofilm Adhesion and Development

We investigated the effects of deleting the ersA gene on biofilm formation using a semi-quantitative microtiter “peg-lid” assay in Brain Heart Infusion medium (BHI). As shown in Figure 2A, the ErsA deletion resulted in decreased biofilm formation in BHI compared to PAO1 wild-type strain, and the complemented strain carrying the plasmid pGM-ersA produces more biofilm than the ersA deletion mutant strain carrying the pGM931 empty vector (Figure 2B).

To examine the role of ErsA in P. aeruginosa biofilm architecture development, we cultivated the PAO1 wild-type and the ΔersA GFP-tagged strain, in flow-chambers continuously supplied with modified FAB medium supplemented with glucose. Biofilm development stages were followed and visualized daily for 3 days by Confocal Laser Microscopy (CLSM). In agreement with biofilm formation in the microtiter “peg-lid” assays in BHI medium, the PAO1 ΔersA strain developed less biofilm biomass than the wild-type, which showed the mushroom-like structures typical of 3-days old P. aeruginosa biofilms in flow-cells system (Figure 2C). The statistically significant differences in biomass and spatial structure between PAO1 wild-type and ΔersA biofilms were determined by the COMSTAT 2.1 software (Heydorn et al., 2000; Vorreggaard, 2008) as represented in Figure 2C. We further noticed the positive influence of ErsA on adhesion and biofilm formation when overexpressed in PAO1 wild-type and ΔersA strains, grown in ASM (Supplementary Figure S1), which is defined to reflect the chemical environment of CF lungs (Sriramulu et al., 2005; Haley et al., 2012).

ErsA Negatively Regulates Swarming and Twitching Motility

Motility is crucial in cell-to-cell adherence and attachment in early biofilm stages and it has been suggested an inverse regulation of motility and biofilm during biofilm development (Caiazza et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2014). Several transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulators are involved in these pathways and some of them coordinate both sessile and motile lifestyles (O’Toole and Kolter, 1998; Ramsey and Whiteley, 2004; Shrout et al., 2006; Gloag et al., 2013). To further investigate the involvement of ErsA on these biofilm-related phenotypes, we performed co-swarming, swimming and co-twitching experiments comparing PAO1 wild-type with ΔersA strain. Our results reveal a negative influence of ErsA on both swarming and twitching motility (Figure 3) and the temperature conditions do not affect ErsA regulation on swarming motility (Figure 3A). No differences between PAO1 wild-type and ΔersA mutant strain were observed for swimming motility (Supplementary Figure S2).

ErsA Deletion Affects the Transcriptional Levels of 168 Genes in P. aeruginosa PAO1

Small RNAs are usually involved in post-transcriptional regulation, and the role of ErsA in biofilm development and motility shown in this study, suggested interference with the translation of transcriptional regulators as AmrZ. Thus, to expand the panel of ErsA targets in P. aeruginosa PAO1, and to have a better view of the effect of ErsA activity on the genome-wide gene expression, we performed an RNA-seq experiment comparing PAO1 wild-type to ErsA deletion mutant strains, grown to late exponential phase (OD600 of 2.7) in BHI medium. We observed 168 genes (Supplementary Table S3 and the most representative genes listed in Table 1) differentially expressed in the ersA deletion mutant when compared to the wild-type strain. Among the 29 genes upregulated in the ersA deletion mutant we identified genes involved in denitrification and nitrate metabolism (narI, narF, nirN) as well as type VI and III secretion systems effectors (tssA1, tsi4, tsc6).

The majority of genes were downregulated in absence of ErsA (139 genes); the strongest negative effect was observed for narK1 involved in nitrate transport. The other hits with a change of Log2(FC) ≤ −1.5, comprise well described genes involved in biofilm formation and motility (algD, esrC, ppyR, pelCDEFG, rocA), energy and carbon metabolism (prpD, prpC, coxA, coxB), heat-shock proteins (htpG, hslU, hslV, ibpA, dnaK, dnaJ) and phzS involved in pyocyanin production.

ErsA Binds in Vitro and Positively Regulates in Vivo amrZ mRNA at the Post-transcriptional Level

In order to investigate the possibility that ErsA regulates biofilm modulating the expression of AmrZ at the post-transcriptional level through direct binding to the amrZ mRNA, we used a plasmid based GFP-reporter system and an electromobility-shift
FIGURE 2 | Biofilm formation of PAO1 wild-type, ΔersA, wild-type/pGM931, ΔersA/pGM931 and ΔersA/pGM-ersA strains. (A) PAO1 ersA mutant strain produces less biofilm in BHI medium when compared to the wild-type strain. (B) The phenotype is rescued when the ersA mutation is complemented by the pGM-ersA plasmid (four replicates for each strain, 24 h at 37°C. Adhesion units are expressed as the ratio of biofilm formation optical density OD_{590} normalized for the bacterial growth OD_{600}). T-Test, *** p-value < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.1. (C) Spatial distribution of 3 days-old flow-chamber-grown biofilms of PAO1 wild-type and ΔersA GFP-tagged strains. The larger central plots are simulated fluorescence projections, in which long shadows indicate large, high micro-colonies. The scale bars shown are also valid for the right and lower frames. Surface to volume ratio, thickness distribution and biomass of PAO1 wild-type and ΔersA values are means of data from 21 image stacks (seven image stacks from three channels). The statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software (*** p-value < 0.001, **** p < 0.001).

assay for the in vivo and in vitro validation, respectively. Before this, however, we used the full-length ErsA RNA sequence and the amrZ mRNA (including the 5′ untranslated region, 5′-UTR), as inputs in the web tool IntaRNA (Wright et al., 2014) to predict ErsA-amrZ mRNA interactions. The tool identified two putative interaction sites for ErsA on the amrZ mRNA. The interaction site 1 (IS1) involves part of the ErsA U-rich unstructured region, from nt 41 to 52 and is predicted to bind to amrZ mRNA in
FIGURE 3 | PAO1 and PAO1 ΔersA motility. ErsA deletion results in more swarming motility compared to the PAO1 wild-type on 0.5% Nutrient Broth agar plates supplemented with 0.5% glucose at 37°C and 28°C (A), and twitching motility at the plastic-1.0% LB agar interface stained with 0.1% crystal violet (B). Statistical analysis was performed on three independent replicates with GraphPad Prism software (*p-value < 0.05, **p < 0.01). The best representative pictures are displayed.

the region spanning +5 to +14 from the translational starting site AUG (Figure 4A). The ErsA interaction site 2 (IS2) on amrZ mRNA is predicted at positions +65 to +89 and covers a longer region on ErsA unstructured structure, from 26 to 56 nt (Figure 4A).

To test the ErsA post-transcriptional regulation on amrZ mRNA, we generated a translational fusion between the 5′-UTR along with the first 35 codons of amrZ mRNA and the superfolder variant gene of the green fluorescent protein (sfGFP) under the control of the heterologous constitutive promoter P_LtetO−1. This GFP reporter fusion was transformed into P. aeruginosa PAO1 wild-type and ΔersA strains, respectively. As shown in Figure 4B, the ErsA deletion caused a reduction in GFP activity of the amrZ::sfGFP translational fusion compared to the wild-type and it was possible to increase the amrZ::sfGFP translational levels in ΔersA mutant strain by inducing with arabinose the expression of ersA from the pGM-ersA plasmid (Supplementary Figure S3), suggesting a direct effect of ErsA on amrZ translation efficiency.

Interactions of ErsA with the GFP ORF were previously controlled using a plasmid carrying exclusively the gfp gene (Ferrara et al., 2015). These results strongly suggested a positive regulation by ErsA on translation of the amrZ gene. This regulation does not depend on Hfq (data not shown). Furthermore, to document the predicted ErsA–amrZ mRNA interaction also in vitro, ErsA RNA and amrZ mRNA were synthesized, mixed and analyzed by electrophoresis on native polyacrylamide gels. As shown in Figure 4C, ErsA specifically formed a complex with the amrZ mRNA.

To further document the specific ErsA-amrZ mRNA interactions, we generated three amrZ mRNA fragments, (i) amrZCIS1, in which the interaction site 1 has been substituted with its complementary sequence, (ii) amrZΔIS2 characterized
by the deletion of the interaction site 2 and (iii) amrZ CIS1 ΔIS2 containing both the modifications present in amrZ CIS1 and in amrZ ΔIS2. The in vitro analysis showed that ErsA forms a complex with both amrZ CIS1 and amrZ ΔIS2 (Figures 5A,B), and it does not bind to amrZ CIS1 ΔIS2 mRNA (Figure 5C). This suggested that both interaction sequences are involved in ErsA-amrZ binding (Supplementary Figure S4). In vitro results were corroborated by in vivo experiments, measuring the translational levels of amrZ CIS1::sfGFp, amrZ ΔIS2::sfGFp and amrZ CIS1 ΔIS2::sfGFp in PAO1 wild-type and ΔersA strains. The absence of the interaction sites for ErsA causes a reduction of translational fusions activity in both genetic backgrounds (Figure 5D), associated also to a transcriptional instability (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

ErsA is a 132 nt long sRNA expressed in *P. aeruginosa* in concert with other stress-induced genes. We have previously reported that ErsA regulates exopolysaccharide production, negatively affecting at the post-transcriptional level algC mRNA translation in an incoherent feed-forward loop driven by the alternative sigma factor σ22 (Ferrara et al., 2015). Several sRNAs can regulate a broad spectrum of mRNA targets, usually governing similar or correlated cellular processes (Storz et al., 2011). In this work, we expanded the target spectrum of ErsA, validating its direct or correlated cellular processes (Storz et al., 2011). In this work, we expanded the target spectrum of ErsA, validating its direct interactions with the transcriptional regulator AmrZ, which is involved in biofilm and motility, in particular by promoting multicellular colony formation and repressing swarming and twitching motility. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains exhibiting increased swarming phenotype generally develop flat and uniform biofilm in flow cell experiments (Shrout et al., 2006). Likewise, twitching motility is suggested to be required for monolayer creation during the initial stages of biofilm development (Shrout et al., 2006; Guttenplan and Kearns, 2013). In addition, in Gram-negative bacteria, biofilm formation and cellular motility are inversely regulated (O'Toole and Kolter, 1998; Wang et al., 2014). According to these observations, inactivation of *ersA* gene

**TABLE 1** Selection of the most representative genes differentially expressed in PAO1 ErsA deletion mutant with Log2 (FC) ≤ −1 or Log2 (FC) ≥ 1.

| Locus tag | Name and description | Log2(FC) | Fold change |
|-----------|----------------------|----------|-------------|
| PA0105    | coxB                 | −1.70889 | −3.269092   |
| PA0106    | coxA                 | −1.82114 | −3.5336031  |
| PA0792    | prpD, propionate catabolism | −3.035 | −8.1964546 |
| PA0795    | prpC, citrate synthase 2 | −2.68584 | −6.4345978 |
| PA1107†   | roeA, RoeA           | −1.57126 | −2.9716413 |
| PA1596    | htpG, heat shock protein HtpG | −1.89763 | −3.2436766 |
| PA2663†   | pppR, PsI and pyoverdine operon regulator, PpyR | −2.18138 | −4.5358722 |
| PA3058†   | pelG, PelG           | −1.4459  | −2.7243272 |
| PA3059†   | pelF, PelF           | −2.06261 | −4.1774136 |
| PA3060†   | pelE, PelE           | −1.89954 | −3.7309422 |
| PA3061†   | pelD, PelD           | −1.54687 | −2.9218255 |
| PA3062†   | pelC, PelC           | −1.75174 | −3.3676448 |
| PA3126    | ibpA, heat-shock protein Ibpa | −2.64174 | −2.640839 |
| PA3540†   | algD, GDP-mannose 6-dehydrogenase AlgD | −1.5095 | −2.8471135 |
| PA3877    | narK1, nitrite extrusion protein 1 | −3.06065 | −8.3434844 |
| PA3879    | narL, two-component response regulator NarL | −1.67047 | −3.1831828 |
| PA4217    | phzS, flavin-containing monoxygenase | −1.60294 | −3.037617 |
| PA4596    | esrC, EsrC           | −2.53876 | −5.8108934 |
| PA4760    | dnuJ, DnuJ protein   | −1.23304 | −2.3506178 |
| PA4761    | dnuK, DnuK protein   | −1.72393 | −3.3033504 |
| PA5053    | hslV, heat shock protein HslV | −1.52351 | −2.87491619 |
| PA5054    | hslU, heat shock protein HslU | −2.14174 | −4.4129396 |

†Log2 (FC) = log2 of fold change calculated as ratio between gene expression of PAO1 wild-type vs. PAO1 ΔersA. †Genes involved in biofilm formation and motility regulation.
results in increased twitching and swarming motility leading to a less structured biofilm matrix resulting in development of homogeneous monolayers with high surface to volume ratios compared to the wild-type strain.

These phenotypes were supported by genome-wide expression analysis, showing that inactivation of ErsA affects expression of several genes involved in biofilm development and motility regulation, such as pelCDEFG, algD, ppyR, and roeA. All these genes are known to be directly or indirectly regulated by the transcriptional regulator AmrZ (Jones et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016).

Small RNAs can positively or negatively affect translation of transcriptional regulators. For example, three sRNAs, DsrA, MicF, and GcvB, inhibit translation of the lrp gene, coding for a transcriptional regulator involved in amino acid transport and utilization (Ottesman et al., 1998; Majdalani et al., 2002; Massé et al., 2005; Prévost et al., 2007). The results of this work strongly suggest that ErsA positively affects amrZ translation through direct binding to amrZ mRNA at two different segments located on the mRNA, IS1 and IS2, with the former positioned close to the translational starting site. ErsA binds to these two regions with the same segment as involved in the algC interaction (Ferrara et al., 2015). Likewise ErsA, other sRNAs are known to regulate target expression via multiple interactions. SgrS, a regulator of the manXYZ operon binds two different sites, both involved in RNaseE-dependent degradation of the mRNA (Rice et al., 2012); the aforementioned GcvB sRNA, interacts with two independent regions on the lrp mRNA (Lee and Gottesman, 2016); and RyhB is suggested to repress expression of msrB, a methionine oxidase gene, interacting with two sites on the same mRNA (Bos et al., 2013).

It is possible that concomitant binding of two ErsA RNAs to the amrZ mRNA, is required to remodel amrZ mRNA secondary structure in order to release the AUG from the interaction with the anti-AUG sequence present in amrZ mRNA in its unbound form (Supplementary Figure S4). These interactions would expose the translational starting site and improve the efficiency of translation of amrZ transcript, thus explaining the positive contribution of ErsA at the post-transcriptional level.
Even though we identified biofilm genes being part of the AmrZ regulon and therefore differentially expressed in the absence of ErsA, the transcriptomics data does not reflect in all cases the known regulation exerted by AmrZ. For example, the roeA and ppyR genes, suggested to be positively regulated by ErsA, are known to be repressed by AmrZ (Sternberg et al., 2008; Merritt et al., 2010; Jones et al., 2014). We cannot exclude that ErsA may also stabilize directly these transcripts, for instance protecting them from degradation, or that these effects depend on the activity of other regulators affecting roeA and ppyR expression. Therefore, ErsA seems to overlap with the AmrZ regulon in guiding the switch from a motile life-style into the biofilm mode, extending our previous findings of its involvement in extracellular matrix production (Ferrara et al., 2015). ErsA, thus stimulates indirectly exopolysaccharide production through its control of AmrZ translation; acting on AlgC, it may redirect the sugar precursor fluxes providing more building blocks for extracellular polysaccharides biosynthesis (Figure 1). ErsA, in this sense, may be part of a mixed-regulatory circuit, like that involved in high osmolarity response in E. coli (Guillier et al., 2006).

This mixed-regulatory circuit could be used to take advantage of ErsA in order to have a more rapid and enhanced response compared to transcriptional regulators, in particular in stress conditions (Shimoni et al., 2007) or for niche-competition in case of mixed-species biofilms. Indeed, ErsA has recently been described to be overexpressed in P. aeruginosa biofilm grown with Staphylococcus aureus. However, the role of ErsA in neutralizing S. aureus agents has to be investigated (Miller et al., 2017).

Thus, ErsA may be employed as a “fast switcher” in the regulation of biofilm development at multiple stages and regulatory levels, fine-tuning the main routes controlled by the alternative sigma factor σ22 in the transition between acute and chronic infection of P. aeruginosa.
AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

GB, SF, and SM conceived and designed the study. MF, SF, GB, and SM conceived the experiments. MF, SF, ER and ER designed and performed the experiments. MF, GB, SF, SM, ER, and HJ analyzed the data. GB, SM, and HJ contributed reagents, materials and analysis tools. MF, GB, and SM wrote the paper.

FUNDING

This work has been supported by the European Commission (NABATIVI-223670 and EU-FP7-HEALTH-2007-B) and Italian Cystic Fibrosis Research Foundation (FFC#13/2015) with the contribution of Gruppo di Sostegno FFC di Sassari Castelsardo and Delegazione FFC di Boschi Sant’Anna Minerbe and (FFC#14/2016) with the contribution of Delegazione FFC di Reggio Calabria and Gruppo di Sostegno FFC di Vigevano.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Sara Carloni and Janus Anders Juul Haagensen for the helpful contribution in phenotypic experiments design.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00238/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq-a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31, 166–169. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638

Beisel, C. L., and Storz, G. (2010). Base pairing small RNAs and their roles in global regulatory networks. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 34, 866–882. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2010.00241.x

Bos, J., Duverger, Y., Thouvenot, B., Chiaruttini, C., Branlant, C., Springer, M., et al. (2013). The sRNA RyhB regulates the synthesis of the Escherichia coli methionine sulfoxide reductase MsrB but not MsrA. PLOS ONE 8:e63647. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0063647

Caiazza, N. C., Merritt, J. H., Brothers, K. M., and O’Toole, G. A. (2007). Inverse regulation of biofilm formation and swarming motility by Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14. J. Bacteriol. 189, 3600–3612. doi: 10.1128/JB.00685-06

Costerton, J. W., Stewart, P. S., and Greenberg, E. P. (1999). Bacterial biofilms: a common cause of persistent infections. Science 284, 1318–1322. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5418.1318

Coyne, M. J., Russell, K. S., Coyle, C. L., and Goldberg, J. B. (1994). The Pseudomonas aeruginosa algC gene encodes phosphoglucomutase, required for the synthesis of a complete lipopolysaccharide core. Science 264, 1318–1322. doi: 10.1126/science.264.5187.1318

Coyne, M. J., Russell, K. S., Coyle, C. L., and Goldberg, J. B. (1994). The Pseudomonas aeruginosa algC gene encodes phosphoglucomutase, required for the synthesis of a complete lipopolysaccharide core. J. Bacteriol. 176, 3500–3507. doi: 10.1128/JB.176.12.3500-3507.1994

Ferrara, S., Brugnoli, M., De Bonis, A., Righetti, F., Delvillani, F., Debo, G., et al. (2012). Comparative profiling of Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains reveals differential expression of novel unique and conserved small RNAs. PLOS ONE 7:e36553. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036553

Ferrara, S., Carloni, S., Fulco, R., Falcone, M., Macchi, R., and Bertoni, G. (2015). Post-transcriptional regulation of the virulence-associated enzyme AlgC by the σ22-dependent small RNA ErnA of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Environ. Microbiol. 17, 199–214. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12590

Flemming, H. C., Neu, T. R., and Wozniak, D. J. (2007). The EPS matrix: the "house of biofilm cells". J. Bacteriol. 189, 7945–7947. doi: 10.1128/JB.00858-07

Glaog, E. S., Turnbull, L., Huang, A., Vallotton, P., Wang, H., Nolan, L. M., et al. (2013). Self-organization of bacterial biofilms is facilitated by extracellular DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 11541–11546. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1218988110

Guillier, M., Gottesman, S., and Storz, G. (2006). Modulating the outer membrane with small RNAs. Genes Dev. 20, 2383–2348. doi: 10.1101/gad.1457506

Guttenplan, S. B., and Kearns, D. B. (2013). Regulation of flagellar motility during biofilm formation. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 37, 849–871. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12018

Haley, C. L., Colmer-Hamood, J. A., and Hamood, A. N. (2012). Characterization of biofilm-like structures formed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a synthetic mucus medium. BMC Microbiol. 12:181. doi: 10.1186/1471-2802-18-121

Harrison, J. I., Stremick, C. A., Turner, R. J., Allan, N. D., Olson, M. E., and Ceri, H. (2010). Microtiter susceptibility testing of microbes growing on peg lids: a miniaturized biofilm model for high-throughput screening. Nat. Protoc. 5, 1236–1254. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2010.71

Heydorn, A., Nielsen, A. T., Hentzer, M., Sternberg, C., Givskov, M., Erbsoll, B. K., et al. (2000). Quantification of biofilm structures by the novel computer program COMSTAT. Microbiology 146, 2395–2407. doi: 10.1099/00221287-146-10-2395

Jennings, L. K., Storek, K. M., Ledvina, H. E., Coulon, C., Marmont, L. S., Sadowskaya, I., et al. (2015). Pel is a cationic exopolysaccharide that cross-links extracellular DNA in the Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm matrix. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112, 11333–11338. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1503058112

Jones, C. J., Newsom, D., Kelly, B., Irie, Y., Jennings, L. K., Xu, B., et al. (2014). ChiP-Seq and RNA-Seq reveal an AmrZ-mediated mechanism for cyclic di-GMP synthesis and biofilm development by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. PLOS Pathog. 10:e1003984. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003984

Kohler, T., Curty, L. K., Barja, F., Van Delden, C., and Pechere, J. C. (2000). Swarming of Pseudomonas aeruginosa is dependent on cell-to-cell signaling and requires flagella and pili. J. Bacteriol. 182, 5990–5996. doi: 10.1128/JB.182.21.5990-5996.2000

Juul Haagensen for the helpful contribution in phenotypic experiments design.
Miller, C. L., Van Laar, T. A., Chen, T., Karna, S. L. R., Chen, P., You, T., et al. (2017). Global transcriptome responses including small RNAs during mixed-species interactions with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Microbiologyn* 6:e00427. doi: 10.1002/mib.3427

Mohan, C. D., Hillel, N. S., and Deretic, V. (1991). AlgR, a response regulator controlling mucoidy in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, binds to the FUS sites of the algD promoter located unusually far upstream from the mRNA start site. *J. Bacteriol.* 173, 5136–5143. doi: 10.1128/JB.173.16.5136-5143.1991

Mohan, C. D., Leveau, J. H., Krieg, D. P., Hillel, N. S., and Deretic, V. (1992). AlgR-binding sites within the algD promoter make up a set of inverted repeats separated by a large intervening segment of DNA. *J. Bacteriol.* 174, 6624–6633. doi: 10.1128/JB.174.20.6624-6633.1992

Möller, S., Sternberg, C., Andersen, J. B., Christensen, B. B., Ramos, J. L., Møller, S., Sternberg, C., Attila, C., Ueda, A., and Wood, T. K. (2008). PA2663 (PpyR) increases biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01 through the psl operon and stimulates virulence and quorum-sensing phenotypes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 1–32. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00253-007-1308-y

Storz, G., Vogel, J., and Wassarman, K. M. (2011). Regulation by small RNAs in bacteria: expanding frontiers. *Mol. Cell* 43, 880–891. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2011.08.022

Vorregaard, M. (2008). *Comstat2 - A Modern 3D Image Analysis Environment for Biofilms*. Ph.D. thesis, Kongens Lyngby, Technical University of Denmark.

Wang, S., Yu, S., Zhang, Z., Wei, Q., Yan, L., Ai, G., et al. (2014). Coordination of swarming motility, bio surfactant synthesis, and biofilm matrix exopolysaccharide production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 6724–6732. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01237-14

Wright, P. R., Georg, J., Mann, M., Sorescu, D. A., Richter, A. S., Lott, S., et al. (2014). Cop RNA and IntRNA: predicting small RNA targets, networks and interaction domains. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, W119–W123. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku335

Xu, B., Soukup, R. J., Jones, C. J., Fishel, R., and Wozniak, D. J. (2016). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AmrZ binds to four sites in the algD promoter, inducing DNA-AmrZ complex formation and transcriptional activation. *J. Bacteriol.* 198, 2673–2681. doi: 10.1128/JB.00259-16

Yang, L., Hu, Y., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., Ulstrup, J., and Molin, S. (2011). Distinct roles of extracellular polymeric substances in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm development. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 1705–1717. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02503.x

Yu, H., Schurr, M. J., and Deretic, V. (1995). Functional equivalence of *Escherichia coli* oE and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AlgU: E. coli rpoE restores mucoidy and reduces sensitivity to reactive oxygen intermediates in algU mutants of *P. aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* 177, 3259–3268.

Zhang, Y.-F., Han, K., Chandler, C. E., Tjaden, B., Ernst, R. K., and Lory, S. (2017). Probing the sRNA regulatory landscape of *P. aeruginosa*: post-transcriptional control of determinants of pathogenicity and antibiotic susceptibility. *Mol. Microbiol.* 6, 919–937. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13857

Zielinski, N. A., Chakrabarty, A. M., and Berry, A. (1991). Characterization and regulation of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* algC gene encoding phosphonamomutase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 266, 9754–9763.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer CN and handling Editor declared their shared affiliation.

Copyright © 2018 Falcone, Ferrara, Rossi, Johannsen, Molin and Berton. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.