Impaired PRC2 activity promotes transcriptional instability and favors breast tumorigenesis
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Alterations of chromatin modifiers are frequent in cancer, but their functional consequences often remain unclear. Focusing on the Polycomb protein EZH2 that deposits the H3K27me3 (trimethylation of Lys27 of histone H3) mark, we showed that its high expression in solid tumors is a consequence, not a cause, of tumorigenesis. In mouse and human models, EZH2 is dispensable for prostate cancer development and restrains breast tumorigenesis. High EZH2 expression in tumors results from a tight coupling to proliferation to ensure H3K27me3 homeostasis. However, this process malfunctions in breast cancer. Low EZH2 expression relative to proliferation and mutations in Polycomb genes actually indicate poor prognosis and occur in metastases. We show that while altered EZH2 activity consistently modulates a subset of its target genes, it promotes a wider transcriptional instability. Importantly, transcriptional changes that are consequences of EZH2 loss are predominantly irreversible. Our study provides an unexpected understanding of EZH2’s contribution to solid tumors with important therapeutic implications.
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Lys27 of histone H3 (H3K27me3), a mark linked to transcriptional silencing. Several types of alteration of PRC2 have been reported in tumors. Heterozygous gain-of-function mutations in EZH2 are found in follicular lymphoma and diffuse large cell B-cell lymphoma (Morin et al. 2010), in which the mutant enzyme is proposed to cooperate with its wild-type counterpart to increase the levels of H3K27me3 (Neerinner et al. 2010). Conversely, loss-of-function mutations in PRC2 genes occur in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors [MPNSTs], myelodysplasia, and T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) (Nikoloski et al. 2010, Ntziachristos et al. 2012, De Raedt et al. 2014).

More relevant to the present work, previous studies reported high levels of EZH2 in carcinomas such as prostate and breast cancer (Varambally et al. 2002; Kleer et al. 2003). In these tumor types, high levels of EZH2 are associated with advanced stages of cancer and poor prognosis. Subsequent studies extended these observations to many other tumor types (for review, see Chase and Cross 2011). Overexpression of EZH2 in cancer was proposed to result from gene amplification (Bracken et al. 2003), down-regulation of microRNA 101 (miRNA-101) (Varambally et al. 2008), and stimulation of its expression by the pRB–E2F (Bracken et al. 2003) and MEK–ERK pathways. In addition, the MYC oncogene can also stimulate EZH2 expression (Koh et al. 2011) and has been suggested to interact with the Polycomb machinery at multiple levels in cancer (for review, see Benetatos et al. 2014). Overexpressed EZH2 was proposed to participate in aberrant silencing of tumor suppressor genes such as DAB2IP (Min et al. 2010), ADRB2, and SLIT2.

Paradoxically, recent studies have reported that the levels of H3K27me3 are decreased in several solid tumor types, including breast and prostate (Wei et al. 2008; Holm et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2012; Healey et al. 2014; Bae et al. 2015). Even more surprising, the levels of the enzyme and the mark were found to be anti-correlated between the different breast cancer subtypes [Holm et al. 2012], and, while high expression of EZH2 correlates with poor prognosis, high levels of H3K27me3 correlate with good prognosis [Holm et al. 2012; Bae et al. 2015]. This has led several groups to propose that EZH2 might play PRC2-independent roles in carcinomas [Lee et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2012]. However, no clear picture has emerged from these studies on the involvement of EZH2 in solid tumors. Thus, whether elevated expression of EZH2 in carcinomas actively contributes to tumor progression or is simply a consequence of malignant evolution remains an open question.

Here, we set out to investigate the role of EZH2 in carcinomas using genetic tools in mouse and human model systems. We discovered that Ezh2 is largely dispensable for development of solid tumors and that the absence of the enzyme can actually enhance tumorigenesis. Consistently, when corrected for proliferation, the prognostic value of EZH2 expression is inverted; low EZH2 expression relative to proliferation is associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer. In addition, we found that mutations in PRC2 genes are linked to poor prognosis and are found in breast cancer metastases. Importantly, we showed that impaired PRC2 activity promotes transcriptional instability with irreversible consequences on the gene expression program. Altogether, our study sheds a new light on the interplay between the Polycomb machinery and cancer and calls for caution concerning disruption of PRC2 as a therapeutic strategy.

**Results**

Ezh2 is dispensable in genetically engineered mouse models of prostate and breast cancers

Given the prior links made between Ezh2 overexpression and the more aggressive forms of prostate cancer (Varambally et al. 2002, 2008), we used genetically engineered mouse models of prostate cancer to investigate the role of the enzyme in carcinogenesis. Both amplification of the c-MYC oncogene and loss of the PTEN tumor suppressor are common features of human prostate cancer, and corresponding alterations in the mouse prostate result in adenocarcinomas.

We first examined 9- to 12-mo-old Hi-Myc mice, driving c-Myc expression in the prostate, which developed invasive prostate adenocarcinomas with 100% penetrance (n = 6). These mice exhibited high levels of Ezh2 and proliferation marker PCNA relative to normal prostates, as shown by both Western blot and immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Fig. 1A, B). Unlike Ezh2, the expression of Ezh1 did not significantly change, while Eed and Suz12, two core PRC2 components, were modestly up-regulated (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1A). This Hi-Myc mouse line was then crossed to an Ezh2 conditional knockout mouse [Su et al. 2003], and genetic deletion of Ezh2 was induced in prostate epithelium with a Probasin-driven Cre recombinase [PB4-Cre] (Wu et al. 2001). Of note, presumably due to the postnatal expression of the Cre, prostate-specific deletion of Ezh2 in PB4-Cre;Ezh2fl/fl males had no noticeable consequences on normal prostate tissue (data not shown). Ezh2 was efficiently depleted in Hi-Myc; PB4-Cre;Ezh2fl/fl, as assessed by IHC (Fig. 1B) and Western blot (Supplemental Fig. S1B). Importantly, although H3K27me3 was heavily reduced in tumors lacking Ezh2 in 9- to 12-mo-old mice (Fig. 1B), invasive adenocarcinomas still formed with full penetrance (n = 6). The invasiveness is evidenced by the disruption of the fibromuscular layer stained by smooth muscle actin (SMA) (Fig. 1B). In addition, Ezh2 knockout tumors, like Ezh2 wild-type tumors, retained high levels of PCNA (Fig. 1B), androgen receptor (AR) (Supplemental Fig. 1C), and the epithelial marker E-cadherin (Supplemental Fig. 1C) and were negative for the expression of the tumor suppressor Nkx3.1 (Supplemental Fig. 1C), as previously shown for Hi-Myc tumors [Ellwood-Yen et al. 2003]. To determine whether tumors progressively adapt to lack of Ezh2 or whether Ezh2 is overall dispensable in this model, we knocked down Ezh2 through shRNA interference in a cell line derived from advanced Hi-Myc tumors [Myc-CaP] (Watson et al. 2005). Despite strong down-regulation of H3K27me3, proliferation was unimpaired. Prior studies
suggest that Ezh2 can control cell proliferation in part through silencing of the \textit{Ink4a/Arf} and \textit{p21} tumor suppressor loci (Bracken et al. 2007; Seward et al. 2013). However, the levels of \textit{p16/p19} transcripts, already detectable in sh-scramble Myc-CaP cells, were not affected upon Ezh2 knockdown in this model [Fig. 1C,D; data not shown]. The \textit{p21} transcript was nevertheless significantly up-regulated [Fig. 1D]. H3K27me3 was present at low, close to background, levels at the \textit{Ink4a/Arf} locus in comparison with \textit{foxf1a} [an established PRC2 target] and \textit{p21} loci [Fig. 1D, right panel]. Thus, in the context of c-Myc-induced prostate cancer, cell proliferation and malignant evolution appear unaffected by the absence of Ezh2.

We analyzed a second model of prostate cancer, generated by deletion of the \textit{Pten} tumor suppressor. Conditional deletion of this gene in mouse prostates leads to prostate adenocarcinomas with varying degrees of severity (Wang et al. 2003; Ma et al. 2005), presumably due to differences in the genetic background and/or mutant allele used. In our mixed strain, PB4-Cre-induced deletion of \textit{Pten} led to intraepithelial neoplasia at 6–9 mo of age showing no sign of invasion \( (n=7) \) [Supplemental Fig. S1D]. Relative to normal prostates, Ezh2 expression was nonetheless up-regulated in these tumors [Supplemental Fig. S1D, left panel]. However, similar to the Hi-Myc model, deletion of Ezh2 did not prevent tumor development \( (n=7) \) [Supplemental Fig. S1D, right panel].

Since high EZH2 expression has also been reported in breast cancer (Kleer et al. 2003), we next turned to a mouse model eliciting mammary glands of wild-type, \textit{MMTV-Cre;N1IC;Ezh2}\textsuperscript{wt/wt}, and \textit{MMTV-Cre;N1IC;Ezh2}\textsuperscript{fl/fl} mice showing the presence of tumors in the presence or absence of Ezh2.
et al. 2013). We induced ectopic Notch activation by targeting an inducible Rosa26\(^{lox}\)N1ICD\(^{lox}\) allele [Murtaugh et al. 2003] to the mammary epithelium with MMTV-Cre mice, as previously described [Bolos et al. 2013]. Rosa26-N1ICD;MMTV-Cre compound female mice developed hormone-dependent mammary tumors. They were subjected to one or three rounds of pregnancy and analyzed for the presence of tumors. In this model, penetrance of tumor development was incomplete even after three rounds of pregnancy. Mammary-specific deletion of Ez2h2 did not impair tumor development but in fact resulted in an increased penetrance of tumor formation [Fig. 1E].

Altogether, our findings based on three different mouse models indicate that solid tumors can develop in the absence of Ez2h2.

**H3K27me3 homeostasis is compromised in breast cancer**

Since Ez2h2 is dispensable for mouse prostate and mammary cancer development, we wondered why the enzyme is nonetheless highly up-regulated in tumors. High EZH2 expression has been repeatedly found to be associated with proliferating tissues [e.g., Margueron et al. 2008], and its expression was shown to be under the influence of key cell proliferation pathways [Bracken et al. 2003]. In addition, EZH2 expression in several solid tumor types was shown to be correlated with proliferation [Bachmann et al. 2006]. Thus, elevated expression of EZH2 in cancer may simply result from abnormally high cell proliferation rates in tumors rather than deregulated expression.

To obtain further insight into the expression of EZH2 in cancer, we analyzed transcriptome data from a publicly available study on 131 primary prostate tumors and 19 metastases [Taylor et al. 2010]. As expected, hierarchical clustering of the transcriptome data revealed that the EZH2 transcript is part of a cluster of genes highly expressed in metastatic prostate cancer (Fig. 2A). Importantly, cell cycle and proliferation genes (e.g., Ki67 and PCNA) are overly represented in this cluster. It is noteworthy that several transcripts [e.g., Ki67] [Supplemental Fig. S2A] display stronger differential expression between primary and metastatic cancer than EZH2. This further suggests that association of high EZH2 with cancer aggressiveness might reflect the increased cell proliferation occurring in advanced stages of prostate cancer [e.g., see Tomlins et al. 2007]. A similar analysis on a breast cancer cohort comprising 146 samples from the four main molecular subtypes [Maire et al. 2013] confirmed that EZH2 expression correlates with proliferation markers [Supplemental Fig. S2B]. In addition, analysis of copy number data from the same cohort revealed that amplification of EZH2 is a rare event, since no instances were found in this data set. Gains occur in proportions similar to losses [Supplemental Fig. S2C; data not shown], arguing against a major role for copy number gains or amplifications in driving high EZH2 levels. Thus, high EZH2 expression seems to be predominantly linked to proliferation.

To assess why EZH2 expression is associated with cell proliferation, we turned to a cell-based system allowing modulation of proliferation rate through increased serum concentration and addition of growth factors. Modulation of Myc-CaP proliferation in vitro revealed that, while Ez2h2 expression shows a near-perfect correlation to the rate of cell division, H3K27me3 remains constant [Fig. 2B, left]. This result is consistent with a previous study monitoring EZH2 and H3K27me3 upon serum stimulation of quiescent cells [Hansen et al. 2008]. It further suggests that proliferation-induced Ez2h2 levels may serve to oppose cell division-mediated dilution of H3K27me3. To test this hypothesis, we altered Ez2h2 expression using shRNA-mediated knockdown. Ez2h2 expression was reduced as expected, but, more importantly, the rate of increase of Ez2h2 with proliferation was also diminished [Supplemental Fig. S2D]. This resulted in a gradual drop of H3K27me3 [Fig. 2B, right], suggesting that the increase of Ez2h2 was no longer sufficient to counteract cell division-mediated dilution of the mark. Thus, while Ez2h2 is not an obligate modulator of cell proliferation, the tight coupling of Ez2h2 expression levels to the rate of cell division is required to ensure homeostatic maintenance of H3K27me3.

This result prompted us to hypothesize that the anti-correlated levels of EZH2 and H3K27me3 observed in several solid tumor types might stem from a failure to properly counteract cell division-mediated dilution of the histone mark. We thus sought to assess the impact of modulating proliferation on the levels of EZH2 and H3K27me3 in the context of human breast cancers. We analyzed EZH2 and H3K27me3 levels by IHC in two previously characterized patient-derived xenografts (PDXs) of estrogen-positive breast cancer [Cottu et al. 2014]. The engrafted mice were treated with various combinations of endocrine therapies and the mTOR inhibitor everolimus, the impact of which on tumor proliferation was evaluated by Ki67 staining [Cottu et al. 2014]. As previously reported [Supplemental Table S1; Cottu et al. 2014], some drug combinations led to a near complete inhibition of cell proliferation [e.g., everolimus + fulvestrant], while other treatments only reduced proliferation [e.g., everolimus alone or everolimus + tamoxifen] or failed to impair proliferation [e.g., ovariectomy]. Quantification of EZH2 signal revealed that it was highly correlated to Ki67 [Fig. 2C, left; Supplemental Table S1], confirming that, in the context of tumors, EZH2 expression is under the control of proliferation cues. Importantly, though, H3K27me3 signal was significantly anti-correlated to both Ki67 [Fig. 2C, right] and EZH2 [Supplemental Fig. S2E].

Although the drugs used are likely to impact processes other than proliferation, which might lead to confounding effects on H3K27me3 homeostasis, these data suggest that, in spite of higher EZH2 levels, the PRC2 complex might not be able to match the abnormally high proliferation of breast cancer cells, leading to down-regulation of H3K27me3.

**Genetic loss of EZH2 is linked to poor prognosis in breast cancer**

Our results question the contribution of proliferation to EZH2’s prognostic value. Indeed, such an association
Figure 2. Coupling of EZH2 expression to proliferation is required for H3K27me3 homeostasis but perturbed in breast cancers. [A, left panel] Heat map of hierarchical clustering of the most significantly varying transcripts in primary and metastatic (Met.) prostate cancers (PCa). Data are from Taylor et al. (2010). Samples are arranged horizontally, and transcripts are arranged vertically. The cluster containing the EZH2 transcript is shown in greater detail at the right. [Right panel] Gene ontology [DAVID, http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov] of the EZH2 cluster showing the 20 most significantly enriched categories, their fold enrichment, and corresponding -values. (B) Western blot probed with antibodies recognizing Ezh2, H3K27me3, Lamin B1, or histone H3 in sh-scramble [left panel] and sh-Ezh2 [right panel] Myc-CaP cells. In order to modulate proliferation in vitro, cells were cultured in the presence of 0.5%, 2%, or 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) or 10% FCS medium plus a cocktail of growth factors [bovine pituitary extract, insulin, and epidermal growth factor, indicated as +GFs in the last lane]. Corresponding dot plots show signal quantification of Ezh2 [blue] and H3K27me3 [red] abundance (arbitrary units) as a function of the growth rate [number of divisions per cell per hour], as assessed by proliferation assays carried out in parallel for each culture condition. (C) EZH2 and H3K27me3 IHC staining quantifications across two patient-derived xenografts (PDXs) treated with various combinations of drugs. Correlation plots of EZH2 versus Ki67 and H3K27me3 versus Ki67 signal intensities are shown. Intensity values were normalized to the control [untreated] condition [green triangles]. The everolimus + fulvestrant-treated PDXs show strongly reduced proliferation [red triangles]. Each dot corresponds to the mean of six measurements [two stainings on three biological replicates]. The corresponding coefficient of determination [$R^2$] and -value of the linear regression are shown. Representative IHC staining for EZH2 and H3K27me3 in untreated and everolimus + fulvestrant treated PDXs are shown. Nuclei are counterstained in blue/purple.

was found in many gene expression-based signatures associated with clinical outcome [Venet et al. 2011].

To address this issue, we used transcriptome/CNV data from the Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium [METABRIC] [Curtis et al. 2012], which collected information on transcript levels and copy number as well as long-term clinical follow-ups from 2000 breast cancers [Curtis et al. 2012]. We first investigated the prognostic value of the EZH2 transcript in comparison with that of the ORC6 transcript [a proliferation-associated transcript used as a control] and a proliferation metagene consisting of the median expression of 54 proliferation-associated transcripts used as a molecular readout for proliferation [Nagalla et al. 2013]. As expected, all three variables displayed a significant association with outcome as assessed by Receiver Operating
Characteristic [ROC] analysis [Supplemental Fig. S3A] or Kaplan-Meier analysis [Fig. 3A, top panels], although the prognostic value of EZH2 expression was the least powerful. We then sought to evaluate the prognostic value of the EZH2 and ORC6 transcripts independently of proliferation. For this purpose, we calculated residual (“adjusted”) values of EZH2 and ORC6 to the proliferation metagene (shown for EZH2 in Fig. 3A, left panels). Strikingly, adjusted EZH2 was now negatively associated with outcome [Fig. 3A, bottom middle panel, Supplemental Fig. S3B], suggesting that low EZH2 expression relative to proliferation is linked to a poor prognosis. By comparison, the adjusted ORC6 transcript no longer bore any association with outcome [Fig. 3A, bottom right panel, Supplemental Fig. S3C], confirming that its prognostic value is mainly proliferation-dependent. Thus, the association of EZH2 expression with prognosis comprises both a positive component linked to proliferation and a negative component independent of proliferation.

Copy number variations seemed to largely account for variations of EZH2 levels independently of proliferation [Fig. 3A]. We therefore stratified tumors according to the copy number status of the gene. Hemizygous loss of EZH2 was indeed linked to a significantly worse prognosis in comparison with normal EZH2 copy number [Fig. 3B]. Conversely, gain of EZH2 was associated with better prognosis than normal EZH2 copy number. Association of EZH2 CNV with outcome was independent of estrogen status [Supplemental Fig. S3D,E], although more pronounced in estrogen-positive tumors. In order to determine the size of the region surrounding EZH2 showing a correlation between genetic loss and outcome, we analyzed the prognostic association of all annotated genes on chromosome 7. Loss of the long arm of chromosome 7 was significantly linked to poor prognosis, with the end of the arm (encompassing the EZH2 locus) having the strongest association [Fig. 3C].

We next assessed alterations of EZH2 or other genes encoding core PRC2 components by targeted sequencing in breast cancer metastases previously analyzed by Affymetrix CytoScan arrays [Le Tourneau et al. 2014]. Interestingly, in addition to metastases having missense mutations in SUZ12 [two samples] and EZH2 [one sample], one metastasis harbored a critical splice site mutation in EZH2 in the −1 position relative to exon 11 [Fig. 3D, Supplemental Table S2]. This mutation is predicted to abolish splicing at this intron/exon junction and to result in a truncated protein lacking the catalytic SET domain. Since it is found in a metastasis having a hemizygous loss of EZH2, this mutation could drive a complete PRC2 loss of function.

Finally, we investigated the presence of homozygous loss or mutation of PRC2 core component genes in The Cancer Genome Atlas [TCGA] breast cancer cohort. Strikingly, the group of tumors harboring such mutations [3% of all tumors] [Fig. 3E] displayed a significantly worse prognosis than the non-PRC2 mutant tumors [Fig. 3F].

In summary, our data show that the association of EZH2 expression to prognosis results from its correlation to proliferation. Strikingly, low levels of EZH2 relative to proliferation, resulting from genetic loss of the gene and mutations in PRC2 genes, are in fact associated with poor prognosis.

Genetic disruption of EZH2 in a breast cancer cell line promotes tumorigenesis

Since our analyses indicated that impaired PRC2 function is associated with poor prognosis, we used CRISPR/CAS9-based genome engineering tools to delete EZH2 in a cellular model of human breast cancer. We chose the MDA-MB-231 cell line, a widely studied near-triploid cell line derived from a metastatic triple-negative [estrogen-, progesterone-, and HER2-negative] breast cancer. We sequentially targeted all three alleles of EZH2 and confirmed that the resulting cell line no longer expressed EZH2 when compared with the parental clone carrying only one mutant allele, leading to a near-complete erasure of H3K27me3 [Fig. 4A]. In contrast to a previous report using RNAi [Gonzalez et al. 2009], loss of EZH2 did not have an impact on cell proliferation [Fig. 4B]. However, we observed an increased three-dimensional (3D) cell migration through type I collagen, indicative of metastatic potential [n = 52 for control cells, and n = 45 for EZH2-null cells] [Fig. 4C]. Importantly, we confirmed these results on proliferation and 3D cell migration using an inhibitor targeting both EZH1 and EZH2 [Supplemental Fig. S4; Konze et al. 2013], indicating that genetic deletion of EZH2 recapitulates pharmacological inhibition of the enzyme and that EZH1 does not compensate for loss of EZH2 in this model. Finally, we analyzed the consequences of EZH2 deletion on orthotopic tumor growth in mammary fat pads of immune-deficient host mice. Strikingly, tumors originating from EZH2-null xenografts were significantly bigger than the control tumors [n = 12 for control xenografts, and n = 13 for EZH2-null xenografts] [Fig. 4D]. These results suggest that PRC2-mediated gene silencing might have a protective function in breast tumorigenesis.

Impaired PRC2 function selectively affects H3K27me3-low genes

Our analysis suggests that partial impairment of PRC2 might be sufficient to promote tumorigenesis. We therefore analyzed how incomplete disruption of PRC2 affects transcription of Polycomb target genes. For this purpose, we used a c-Myc transformed, Ezh2 conditional mouse embryonic fibroblast (iMEF) clonal cell line. This model allows OHT-dependent deletion of Ezh2 and results in a drastic reduction of H3K27me3 and subsequent up-regulation of a small cohort of H3K27me3-positive genes, which we refer to as direct responsive targets [Fig. 5A]. To assess the impact of a milder down-regulation of H3K27me3, we analyzed gene expression at an early time point after OHT treatment such that the mark was only partially depleted [day 5 after OHT treatment] [Fig. 5B]. Only a subset [9%] of responsive genes was up-regulated at this time point [Fig. 5C; Supplemental Fig. S5A]. Strikingly, early responsive genes were characterized by
Figure 3. Genetic loss of EZH2 is linked to poor prognosis in breast cancer. [A, top left panel] Correlation plot of EZH2 transcript and a proliferation metagene. Residual [adjusted] values of EZH2 transcripts to the proliferation metagene are shown in the bottom left panel. EZH2 copy number variations are color-coded, with normal copy number in gray, hemizygous loss in blue, and gain in red. The same procedure was applied to adjust ORC6 transcript values [data not shown]. Kaplan-Meier plots of breast cancer-specific survival for patients with primary tumors with high [above median] or low [below median] EZH2 and ORC6 transcript levels are shown in the middle and right top panels. Kaplan-Meier plots of breast cancer-specific survival for patients with primary tumors with versus low proliferation-adjusted levels of EZH2 and ORC6 transcripts are shown in the middle and right bottom panels. The hazard ratio [HR] between the highest and lowest survival groups and P-values are displayed on Kaplan-Meier plots. (B) Kaplan-Meier plot of breast cancer-specific survival for patients with primary tumors with normal EZH2 or hemizygous loss or gain of EZH2. [C] Univariate analysis showing the association between genetic loss and death from breast cancer on all genes of chromosome 7. False discovery rate [FDR]-corrected P-values [log10 scale] are plotted for all chromosome 7 genes, and significant values are highlighted in red (threshold of 0.15). A dashed green line indicates the position of the EZH2 locus. The analyses shown in A–C were performed on data from 2000 primary breast cancers of the METABRIC cohort. [D, top] Oncoprint generated on the cBioPortal Oncoprinter showing genomic alterations and mutations in genes encoding PRC2 core components in 58 breast cancer [BCa] metastases. Only altered cases are shown. [Bottom] Schematic representation of the EZH2 locus showing the position of a splice site mutation in position −1 of exon 11. [E] Oncoprint [cBioPortal] showing loss-of-function [LOF] mutations of core PRC2 genes in The Cancer Genome Atlas [TCGA] breast cancer data set. [F] Kaplan-Meier plot of overall survival associated with the corresponding tumors compared with the remaining [PRC2 wild-type] tumors.
a low level of H3K27me3 specifically in the promoter region as compared with late responsive genes (Fig. 5D). This result suggests that accumulation of the mark in the promoter region controls the robustness of transcriptional repression.

To confirm that partial loss of H3K27me3 indeed releases the silencing of a subset of PRC2 target genes, we performed a complementary experiment in which we rescued the loss of Ezh2 by Ezh1, an enzyme that was previously reported to have a reduced enzymatic activity relative to Ezh2 [Margueron et al. 2008]. In this experiment, Ezh1 or Ezh2 was stably expressed in cells before OHT-induced deletion of endogenous Ezh2. As expected, the global level of H3K27me3 was significantly lower in the Ezh1 rescue condition than in the control Ezh2 rescue condition (Fig. 5E, cf. lanes 5 and 6), and the genomic distribution of H3K27me3 was uniformly weaker in the Ezh1 rescue condition (Supplemental Fig. S5B). Responsive genes that could not be rescued by Ezh1 (26%) [Fig. 5F] had an initial lower enrichment of the mark in their promoter region compared with genes for which expression was rescued [Fig. 5G], thus corroborating our time-course analysis (Fig. 5D). Altogether, these results indicate that H3K27me3 accumulation in the promoter region is linked to robustness toward depletion of the mark, a mild decrease of H3K27me3 selectively impairs silencing of genes that have a low level of the mark in their promoter.

**Impaired PRC2 function leads to transcriptional instability**

Alterations of PRC2 have been observed in cancers of different origin, indicating a fundamental, tissue-independent role in tumor suppression. However, disruption of PRC2 only results in the detectable up-regulation of a minority of tissue-specific genomic targets [Fig. 5A; Ezhkova et al. 2009; Woodhouse et al. 2013]. We reasoned that, in addition, low-frequency (e.g., stochastic) responses might occur at the level of nonresponsive targets, leading to increased transcriptional instability. We thus asked how responsive and nonresponsive targets would be expressed in a subset of Ezh2 wild-type cells expressing a low level of the enzyme (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, while some nonresponsive genes were insensitive to Ezh2 status [Fig. 6B, bottom genes], a number of genes became activated in Ezh2-low cells in a sparse fashion [Fig. 6B, middle genes]. Deletion of Ezh2 resulted in a full derepression of responsive genes, while the frequency of expression was increased for nonresponsive genes. Remarkably, while responsive targets were expressed in a concerted—i.e.,
deterministic—fashion (e.g., cells expressed either all or none of the responsive targets), the expression of non-responsive targets seemed probabilistic, with each cell expressing a different combination of genes. Since Polycomb target genes represent on the order of 3000–4000 genes, the observed effects of PRC2 disruption are expected to translate into widespread transcriptional instability. Thus, gene expression analysis at the single-cell level reveals that changes occurring at the level of Polycomb target genes are much more profound than previously appreciated.

We next asked whether disruption of PRC2 would translate into a long-lasting impact on gene expression. We therefore inquired whether reintroduction of Ezh2...
in Ezh2 knockout iMEFs could revert loss of gene silencing. We compared Ezh2 wild-type, Ezh2 knockout, and Ezh2 rescue before and after deletion of the endogenous gene (hereafter called predeletion and post-deletion rescues). In both pre- and post-deletion rescue conditions, the global levels of Ezh2 and associated H3K27me3 were similar to that of wild-type cells (Fig. 6C). Strikingly, although the predeletion rescue prevented most transcriptional changes resulting from the absence of endogenous Ezh2, the post-deletion rescue failed to revert the transcriptional status of the majority of transcripts and clustered with the Ezh2 knockout condition [Fig. 6D]. This indicates that transient disruption of PRC2 results in a permanent epigenetic switch in gene expression.

Thus, PRC2 safeguards genome-wide silencing through fine-tuned H3K27me3. Perturbation of this equilibrium results in both predictable, deterministic responses and stochastic loss of gene silencing with irreversible consequences on gene expression programs.

Figure 6. Impaired PRC2 function leads to transcriptional instability. (A) Nascent RNA FISH analysis of two responsive Ezh2 targets in Ezh2 wild-type and Ezh2 mutant iMEFs. The Cpa6 gene is autosomal, while the Tspan7 gene is localized on the X chromosome and thus only presents in one copy in this male cell line. The top panel shows representative examples of RNA FISH signals, and the bottom graph shows relative proportions of nuclei with no signal, one pinpoint [monoallelic], and two pinpoints [biallelic] over a minimum of 50 nuclei. (B, top) Experimental scheme for the single-cell analysis of PRC2 target genes. (Bottom) Single-cell analysis of the Ezh2 transcript and selected responsive [res.] and nonresponsive genes. Forty-nine Ezh2 wild-type and 37 Ezh2 mutant cells were analyzed by RT-qPCR on a Biomark-HD system. Ezh2 mRNA level in individual cells is plotted at the top; red diamonds represent Ezh2 wild-type cells [DiI-positive], and green diamonds indicate Ezh2Δ/Δ cells [DiO-positive]. A heat map representing the mean-centered, log2 transformed expression of selected target genes is displayed at the bottom. (C) Western blot of Ezh2, H3K27me3, and Lamin B1 as a loading control in different conditions as indicated at the top of each lane. (D) Heat map showing hierarchical clustering of transcripts in Ezh2 wild-type, Ezh2Δ/Δ, and pre- and post-deletion rescue conditions.
Discussion

The current paradigm concerning the role of EZH2 in solid tumors postulates that abnormally high levels of this enzyme contribute to malignant transformation. Our study challenges this hypothesis. We present evidence indicating that high expression of EZH2 is a consequence rather than a cause of cancer and that, in breast cancer, disruption of the PRC2 machinery is likely to promote tumor development.

Using two mouse models of prostate cancer and one model of mammary tumorigenesis, we found that EZH2, although highly up-regulated in cancerous tissue, is dispensable for tumor progression. The strong correlation of EZH2 levels with proliferation markers in transcriptome analyses and in our tumorgraft series suggest that high EZH2 expression in cancers is predominantly a consequence of increased cell proliferation rate. Through fine-tuning of Ezh2 expression in vitro, we demonstrate that the tight coupling of Ezh2 expression to proliferation is required to oppose cell division-mediated dilution of H3K27me3, as previously hypothesized (Hansen et al. 2008). This is evidenced by the failure to maintain H3K27me3 with rising proliferation rates when the increase of EZH2 is not sufficient. These data imply that relative levels compared with the proliferation rate rather than absolute levels of EZH2 are a key factor in determining H3K27me3 levels. It also suggests that anti-correlated levels of the enzyme and the mark can be caused by a relative reduction of PRC2 activity compared with proliferation. Our analysis of EZH2 and H3K27me3 levels in our PDX series indeed suggests that this is likely to be the case, since proliferation, although positively influencing EZH2 levels, negatively modulates H3K27me3 abundance. Thus, PRC2 activity might not be sufficient to maintain the mark in rapidly dividing breast cancer cells. We propose that these observations reconcile contradictory data reporting inverse variations of EZH2 and H3K27me3 in several tumor types (Wei et al. 2008; Holm et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2012; Healey et al. 2014; Bae et al. 2015).

In addition, we found that while high EZH2 expression is overall correlated to a poor prognosis in breast cancer, this association can be subdivided into two opposite components. The first component, originating from the coupling of EZH2 expression to proliferation, associates high EZH2 with an adverse outcome. However, we found that copy number-driven, proliferation-independent expression of EZH2 displays an inverse association with tumor outcome, with low expression of EZH2 being linked to a poor prognosis. This finding emphasizes the need to carefully account for the effect of proliferation when assessing the prognostic value of a given marker in cancers. In addition, this result suggests that decreased levels of EZH2 relative to proliferation might accelerate tumor development. In support of a protective role for PRC2 in breast cancer, we found that mutations in PRC2 core components are associated with a poor prognosis and documented several mutations in EZH2 and PRC2 core component SUZ12 in breast cancer metastases. One mutation in EZH2 is predicted to profoundly affect its function. Finally, inactivation of EZH2 in a prototypical human breast cancer cell line promotes in vitro invasion and in vivo tumor growth. Together, our findings indicate that EZH2 is likely to constrain breast tumorigenesis.

Although several studies have assessed the role of EZH2 in prostate and breast tumorigenesis, our study is, to our knowledge, the first to use genetic tools in both mouse and humans models. Of note, a recent study investigating the role of Ezh2 in a Bcrl deficiency-based model of mammary tumorigenesis found that deletion of Ezh2 shortens the latency of tumor formation (Bae et al. 2015), further reinforcing the view that the enzyme might inhibit breast tumorigenesis.

In addition to leukemia and MPNST, a tumor-suppressive role for PRC2 has been suggested in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer (Mallen-St Clair et al. 2012) and in renal cancer (Vanharanta et al. 2013). Moreover, in pediatric glioblastomas, point mutations resulting in a change from lysine to methionine at position 27 of histone H3 (H3K27M) have been shown to inhibit PRC2 activity (Lewis et al. 2013), suggesting that disruption of the Polycomb machinery might be a recurring theme in cancers. However, how PRC2 impairment is linked to tumor progression is currently unclear. Our transcriptomic analysis revealed that alterations of PRC2 activity result in both a deterministic activation of a subset of PRC2 target genes and a broader stochastic activation of gene expression. While the former is expected to control the immediate biological response to Ezh2 inhibition, the latter, by increasing the plasticity of gene expression programs, might lead to long-term responses. Such a distinction between early and late response to Ezh2 inhibition was recently reported in a model of glioblastoma in which prolonged knockdown of Ezh2 results in the emergence of “escaper” tumors characterized by an aggressive phenotype (de Vries et al. 2015). It is tempting to speculate that the transcriptional instability of Polycomb targets as a consequence of Ezh2 knockdown might have fueled the emergence of escaper tumors. Given the high mutation rates reported for other chromatin regulators in cancer, it will be interesting to determine whether they also result in increased transcriptomic instability.

Several EZH2 inhibitors are entering clinical trials. It is expected that tumor types in which EZH2 gain-of-function mutations occur (e.g., DLBCL and FL) (Campbell et al. 2015) as well as tumors harboring mutations in SWI/SNF components (Wilson et al. 2010; Knutson et al. 2013; Bitler et al. 2015) might benefit from these molecules. However, the long-term impact of such inhibition should be carefully examined in light of transcriptional instability and irreversible changes resulting from PRC2 disruption.

Finally, our analysis prompts a careful examination of the contribution to tumorigenesis of genes whose expression is linked to proliferation. We propose that applying a similar analysis to other proliferation-associated genes, including key players of epigenetic modifications, could help clarify their contribution to cancer.
Materials and methods

Plasmids

The MSCVhygro-Flag-Ezh2 retroviral vector was obtained from Addgene [24926]. MSCVhygro-Flag-Ezh1 was generated by subcloning. Following retroviral infection, cells were selected with 400 µg/mL Hygromycin B [Life Technologies]; pLKO.1-shEzh2 was purchased from Dharamco [clone ID TRCN0000039042, antisense sequence TTTCTTTTCAGTTCTTCTGCCG]. Oligonucleotides corresponding to a scramble shRNA (antisense sequence CGAGGGCGACTTAACCTTAGG) were cloned into plKO.1 vector. Following lentiviral infection, cells were selected with 2 µg/µL puromycin [Life Technologies].

Cell lines

iMEF cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 mM nonessential amino acids, 1 mM L-glutamine. Ezh2flox/flox, ROSA26-CreERT2 or Ezh2flox/flox, ROSA26-CreERT2 MEF cells were isolated from 13.5-d-old embryos and subsequently infected with the following retroviral constructs: pMXs-hc-MYC [Addgene, 17220] to generate c-Myc iMEFs, pBabe-hygro p53 DD [Addgene, 9058] to generate p53-DN iMEFs, and Nd1-Mig1 [kindly provided by Philip N. Tsichlis] to generate Nd1-1 iMEFs. A clone was obtained by limiting dilution of a pool of c-Myc Ezh2flox/flox, ROSA26-CreERT2 iMEFs. For conditional deletion of Ezh2, cells were treated with 4-hydroxytamoxifen [Sigma] at a final concentration ranging from 1 nM to 1 µM. For Ezh1 and Ezh2 rescue experiments, cells were infected with MSCVhygro-Flag-Ezh1 or MSCVhygro-Flag-Ezh2 ecotropic retroviruses.

The Muc-CaP mouse prostate cancer cell line was generously provided by Charles L. Sawyer, and cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 mM nonessential amino acids, and 1 mM L-glutamine. To obtain optimal growth conditions, the growth medium was supplemented with a growth factor cocktail composed of 25 µg/mL bovine pituitary extract (Sigma), recombinant human epidermal growth factor (Sigma), 5 µg/mL bovine insulin (Sigma), and 6 ng/mL phalloidin to label F-actin and DAPI. Images were taken with a confocal LSM 510 (Zeiss) microscope with a 5x dry objective, collecting stacks of images along the Z-axis with 10-µm intervals between optical sections.

Imaging and quantification of the area of invasion

Samples were fixed at T0 and T2 and costained with fluorescent phalloidin to label F-actin and DAPI. Images were taken with a confocal LSM 510 (Zeiss) microscope with a 5x dry objective, collecting stacks of images along the Z-axis with 10-µm intervals between optical sections.

Antibodies

Antibodies against EzH1, EzH2, Eed, Suz12, and H3K27me2/3 were purchased from Cell Signaling, Flag M2 was purchased from Sigma [F1804]; Nkx3.1 antibody was a generous gift from Dr C. Abate-Shen; SMA antibody was purchased from Dako; PCNA, AR, and Sirt1 antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, and tubulin antibody was purchased from Sigma.
**Nuclear extracts**

For nuclear extract preparation, cells were incubated with buffer A (10 mM Hepes at pH 7.9, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 M sucrose, 0.1% NP40, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF) for 10 min on ice, centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min, resuspended in buffer B (25 mM Hepes at pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 700 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, 20% glycerol), sonicated, and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min.

**Western blot quantification**

Image acquisition of Western blots was performed on a LAS 4000 imager (Leica), and signal intensity was measured using ImageJ software.

**Tissue extracts**

Protein extracts from tissues were prepared as previously described [Margueron et al. 2008].

**RT-qPCR**

Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy minikit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthetized using the High-Capacity cDNA RT kit (Applied Biosystems, 4368814), and qPCR was performed with technical triplicate using SYBR Green reagent (Roche) on ViiA7 equipment [Applied Biosystems]. At least three independent biological experiments were performed for each assay, and negative control RTs were always included. Primers sequences are in Supplemental Table S3.

**IHC**

IHC on mouse tissue was performed as previously described [Margueron et al. 2008].

IHC analysis on PDXs was performed on tissue microarrays obtained from treated xenografts as described in Cottu et al. (2014). Samples were dewaxed, and antigen retrieval was performed for 20 min in pH 6 citrate buffer. Developing was performed with the “Bond refine detection” kit (Leica biosystems); samples were incubated with diaminobenzidine for 7 min followed by counterstaining with hematoxylin for 4 min.

**IHC quantification**

IHC images were first processed with the ImageJ Colour Deconvolution plug-in [http://www.mecourse.com/landing/software/cdeconv/cdeconv.html] in order to separate HE and DAB signals. For each tissue microarray [TMA], signal intensity of DAB signal over HE signal was then calculated. Alternatively, when the level of background was too high (i.e., for EZH2, showing areas of nonspecific staining), staining intensity was scored on a scale of 0 to 3 in a blind fashion. Importantly, both software-assisted and visual scoring yielded highly correlated results.

**ChIP**

ChIPs were performed as described previously [Margueron et al. 2008]. Cell confluence and amount of starting material were kept constant by plating a defined number of cells the day before cross-linking. Quantification was done as described for the RT-qPCR. Primers sequences are in Supplemental Table S3.

**ChIP-seq analysis**

Chip-seq data for H3K27me3 were generated in duplicates by the next-generation sequencing [NGS] platform at the Institut Curie [T. Rio Frio]. From ~50 million SOLiD 5500 75-bp reads per sample, 50% were uniquely mapped (minimum mapQ = 10) onto the mouse reference genome [mm9] using Bowtie 2 (version 2.1.0) [Langmead and Salzberg 2012], allowing one mismatch in the seed (22 bp) and reporting one location in case of multiple mapping hits. PCR duplicates were then removed using Picard-Tools (version 1.65; http://picard.sourceforge.net).

**Transcriptome data analysis**

Microarray data were generated in duplicates by the microarray core facility of the Institut Curie [D. Gentien] using Affymetrix Mouse Gene 1.1 ST arrays (targeting 21041 genes). Raw data were normalized with the Robust Multiarray Average [RMA] method available in the Bioconductor R package oligo and the “pd.mogene.1.1.st.v1” annotation package. For rescue experiments, given the observed batch bias between the first and second replicates, the data were then batch-corrected using a linear model [Llimma R package]. Differential gene expression analysis was done using the RankProduct R package, and significantly under-expressed or overexpressed genes were identified with a minimum adjusted P-value of 15% and a minimum value of fold change equal to 2. Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed using Cluster 3.0, and heat maps were generated with TreeView.

**Gene expression, copy number, and survival analysis of breast primary tumors**

This study makes use of data generated by METABRIC (first described in Curtis et al. [2012]. Funding for the project was provided by Cancer Research UK and the British Columbia Cancer Agency Branch. Upon access request, single-nucleotide
polymorphism [SNP] 6.0 copy number and Illumina HT-12 expression data for nearly 2000 primary breast tumors were available through the European Genome-Phenome Archive [http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega] under accession number EGAS00000000083.

For survival analyses, disease-specific survival was used as the end point. Follow-up time was defined as time from diagnosis until death from breast cancer or time of last follow-up if the patient was not known to have died. Kaplan-Meier and ROC analyses were performed using Prism 6. Statistical significance was evaluated with the log-rank test. The hazard ratio of the highest to the lowest survival rate was calculated using the log-rank method. For survival analysis on all genes located on chromosome 7, the univariate Cox model was applied to each gene by comparing the outcome linked to tumors with genetic loss with that of the remaining samples. A FDR was used to correct for multiple testing.

**Targeted sequencing of PRC2 genes in breast cancer metastases**

The coding sequences of the EED, EZH2, and SUZ12 genes were analyzed using a targeted NGS approach. Experiments were performed on the NGS platform of the Cochin Hospital, Paris [Assistance Publique, Hopitaux de Paris, France]. Briefly, the custom primer panel targeting the three genes [coding exons and IVS boundaries] was designed using AmpliSeq Designer (Life Technologies). For NGS library preparation, the Ion AmpliSeq 2.0 library kit was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Amplified libraries were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). Prior to library pooling and sequencing sample preparation, amplified libraries were quantified using the Qubit fluorometer system (Agilent Technologies). Emulsion PCR and enrichment were performed on the Ion OneTouch and Ion One- Touch ES instruments with the Ion PGM template OT2 400 and Ion PGM sequencing 400 kits (Life Technologies). The template-positive ion sphere particles were loaded on Ion 318 chips and sequenced with an Ion PGM system (Life Technologies). Sequence alignment and extraction of SNPs and short insertions/deletions were performed using the Variant Caller plug-in on Ion Torrent suite version 4.4 and Ion Reporter version 4.4 (Life Technologies). DNA sequences were visualized using the Integrated Genomics Viewer [version 2.3.3] from the Broad Institute.

**RNA FISH**

RNA FISH was performed as described elsewhere [Chaumeil et al. 2008]. The following BAC probes (CHORI) were used: 5323-DEVELOPMENT. RNA FISH was performed as described elsewhere [Chaumeil et al. 2008]. The following BAC probes (CHORI) were used: RP23-333D4 (Cpa6) and RP23-40H14 (Tsapan).

**Single-cell RT-qPCR analysis**

To discriminate OHT and vehicle-treated Ezh2-conditional iMEFs, Dil [vehicle-treated] or DilO (OHT-treated) was added in growth medium for 4 h. Cells were then trypsinized and counted on a Vi cells counter (Beckman-Coulter). The average diameter of iMEFs was 13 μm. After mixing OHT- and vehicle-treated cells in equal proportions, 250,000 cells per milliliter were mixed at a 3:2 ratio in C1 cell suspension reagent [Fluidigm] before being loaded on a primed C1 Single-Cell Auto Prep Integrated Fluidic Circuit (Fluidigm). Cells were then visualized under an inverted fluorescent microscope [Leica] to assess viability and assignment of red [OHT-treated] and green [vehicle-treated] cells. Lysis, RT, and pre-amplifications were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol using Ambion Single Cell-to-CT kit (LifeTechnologies). Preamplified cDNA was analyzed by high-throughput qPCR on a Biomark-HD system [Fluidigm]. The complete list of primers is in Supplemental Table S4. A qPCR primer pair designed on the region of the set domain that is deleted upon OHT treatment served as an independent genotype assignment and was found to closely match the color assignment. Only single cells with matching genotype/color assignments were considered for analysis.

**Data access**

All ChIP-seq data sets have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus repository [GSE59427].
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