Venous anomalies as potentially lethal risk factors during ordinary catheterization
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Abstract

Venous malformations are rare but possible findings too, constituting a further risk factor for central venous catheter procedures. Herein we describe a case of death because of an innominate vein perforation by a catheter that incidentally was tucked into a sacciform malformation. Even if the technology advancement is constantly offering us new investigation tools, up to now diagnostic options are limited in the detection of those malformations that could potentially lead to dramatic complications as the described one. The present work raises the awareness about rare venous anomalies and their potential clinical implications. A proper literature review and diagnostic implementation proposal are reported.

Introduction

Nowadays, central venous access (CVA) is used on a daily basis worldwide.1 The Seldinger technique for the access has demonstrated to be safe and easy.2 Nevertheless, rare but possible mechanical severe complications have been reported.3 Venous malformations are rare but possible findings too, constituting a further risk factor for CVA-induced complications.4 We herein present an unlucky case of death because of an innominate vein perforation by a catheter that incidentally were tucked into a sacciform malformation. Related review of the literature is reported.

Case Report

A 77-year old female was scheduled for revision of an excessively shunting brachiocephalic dialysis-access. Her anamnesis reported atrial fibrillation with warfarin prophylaxis, dilatative and hypertensive right cardiomyopathy, Sjögren syndrome, chronic renal failure leading to a dialytic treatment since 7 years before.

A neck venous Doppler ultrasound (DUS) investigation was performed in order to evaluate the feasibility of CVA placement. The scanning revealed a right internal jugular vein (IJV) thrombosis and a physiological left venous district.

While waiting for the scheduled arteriovenous-fistula revision, after the administration of 500 IU of human prothrombin complex because of a 2.01 international normalized ratio value, an eco-guided Quinton™ catheter (11 Fr; Covidien AG, Dublin, Ireland) placement was performed on the left IJV by means of a standard Seldinger technique. The echo-guide was kept all along the vein poking and guidewire positioning, in order to verify the procedure correctness. The catheterization was performed by an expert anesthesiologist.

After the guide wire placement the catheter was introduced, finding a moderate resistance after just a few centimeters. The catheter was then retracted and easily positioned at the second try. The final correct catheter position was confirmed.

Some minutes later the patient began to wag and to pale, suddenly turning pulseless but with a normal cardiac activity, as testified by the constant electrocardiogram monitoring. Despite immediate cardio-pulmonary resuscitation (CPR), asystole occurred. Atropine and adrenaline were administered, together with red blood cells.

Initially the patient responded, while an emergent X-ray demonstrated a massive hemothorax. The computed tomography revealed a left subclavian vein leakage on the posterior surface.

An emergent angiography was performed, detecting a bleeding from the left innominate vein. A VACS II Balloon Catheter (Osypka AG, Rheinfelden-Herten, Germany) was positioned in correspondence of the leaking point, so stopping the active bleeding.

Despite the procedure and the massive CPR (atropine 4 vials, adrenaline 6 vials, saline solution and colloids 6000 cc, red blood cells 8 IU to counteract a hemoglobin lowest level of 4.3 g/dL) the patient was declared dead 2 h after the CVA placement, without even the time to undergo an emothorax drainage.

The autopsy revealed an innominate vein venous malformation that was located 3.8 cm distally to the CVA insertion point, at 1.2 cm from the IJV-innominate vein confluence. The venous anomaly was a circular aneurismal like dilation, presenting a 0.9 cm diameter and a 0.7 cm depth. At its caudal margin a 0.3 cm long linear full-thickness perforation was detected (Figure 1).

The forensic scientist report analyzed the adverse event that occurred (the innominate vein rupture), pointing out both the unpredictability and inevitability of the fact. No further legal actions were undertaken.

Discussion and Conclusions

As testified by their worldwide use, CVA options have significantly improved the treatment chance and quality of a significant number of patients.3,4 Nevertheless, since it is very beginning, CVA use has been linked to rare but potentially severe complications.5 According to the literature, mechanical adverse events include arterial puncture (5%), catheter malposition (4%), catheter unsuccessful placement (4%), subcutaneous hematoma (1%), cardiac arrest (less than 1%), pneumothorax (1%), hemothorax (1%).3,6

Aspirating blood from the catheter must be considered as not the only needed sign for correct placement: the catheter tip could be outside the vein, draining a blood collection caused by the vessel injury. For this reason infusion rates and diminished outflow from the catheter are adjunctive signs to be taken in immediate consideration.

Venous malformations represent an intriguing topic, often underestimated in its epidemiology. According to the literature this condition can be present as structural/morphological and hemodynamic/functional anomalies with an incidence of 1.2.7,8

As initially reported by Gadallah in 1995, awareness of venous malformations is a key element prior to whatever catheterization.5 As pointed out by this forensic scientist report, up to now the diagnostic options are unluckily limited in the detection of such increased risk elements, while no specific correlations have been reported among specific anamnesis and the described venous anomaly.
Nevertheless the technology advancement is constantly offering us new investigation tools. In this contest we can postulate that an emerging role of intra-venous ultrasound (IVUS) could happen in the near future. This investigation technique is already available in the highly specialized vascular centers, where it demonstrated to be as effective as a traditional DUS in the detection of venous wall anomalies.9

The herein described case suggests the need of changing the inevitability and unpredictability of this kind of lethal adverse event in a so common procedure. Surely not removing a not performing catheterization before further diagnostic assessments is the first advice to avoid immediate bleeding from an alternatively patent perforation.10

The awareness of the described possible vascular malformations opens a discussion regarding the emergent diagnostic protocols, eventually giving direct access to the angiographic suite once a bleeding is detected.

Another aspect to be taken into consideration from now on is the opportunity to access the jugular vein while the contralateral is obstructed. Further investigations are suggested to evaluate the choice of an adequately soft and flexible tip and an immediate routine X-ray control could be integrated by an accurate sonographic assessment, with or without contrast media, and/or an IVUS assessment to avoid a catastrophic scenario.
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