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INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of antibiotics in the treatment of human infectious diseases, bacterial resistance has become an ever-increasing problem that threatens the clinical usefulness of these drugs. The prevalence of antibiotic resistance is mainly due to the horizontal transfer of antibiotic-resistance genes, conveyed by mobile genetic elements such as plasmids and transposons. Integrons are a class of site-specific recombination elements which insert and excise mobile antibiotic resistance gene cassettes, and which are located on plasmids and/or transposons. All class 1 integrons consist of two conserved sequences (CS) flanking a variable central region encompassing antibiotic resistance gene cassettes [1]. The highly conserved 5′CS includes an intI gene encoding an integrase, an adjacent recombination site attI and a promoter region, while the 3′CS is more variable. While several classes of integrons have been identified according to the type of integrase, the most prevalent class 1 integrons are characterized by an intI1 gene encoding an integrase of 337 amino acids.

Gene cassettes can exist in two forms: either as free covalently closed supercoiled circular molecules that are unable to replicate, or as linear molecules integrated at the attI site into integrons [2]. Gene cassettes consist of a single coding sequence carrying at its 3′ end an attC recombination site. The attC sites, also called 59-base elements, are essentially formed from two imperfect inverted repeats with a 7 bp core site GTTRRRY in the right end consensus region which is essential for in vivo recombination [3–6].

The integrase is a member of the tyrosine recombinase family, which catalyzes cassette integration and excision by a site-specific recombination, occurring naturally between the attI of the integron and the attC of a gene cassette, or between two attC sites. Insertion can also take place, albeit rarely, at non-specific or secondary DNA sites which display sequence analogies with the core site [4,7]. The attI site is 70 bp long and contains four IntI1 binding sites at −30, −30, −7 and 0 including the 7 base core region GTTRRRY[2,4,8–11]. The cross-over point occurs between the G base of a core site and the first T base of a second core site [7–12].

Until recently, the reaction catalyzed by the IntI1 integrase encoded by class 1 integrons has essentially been studied in vivo. In bacteria, IntI1 can catalyze recombination between either two attC, one attI1 and one attC, or two attI sites [13]. Recent in vivo and structural data have provided important information on the mechanism by which recombination occurs in class 1 integrons. It has been clearly demonstrated that the in vivo recombination process involves the hairpin-folded bottom strand of attC [14]. The now available crystal structure of IntI from Vibrio cholerae bound to the bottom strand of attC site showed that DNA target site recognition and high-order synaptic assembly are not dependent on canonical DNA but on the position of two flipped-out bases that interact in cis and in trans with the integrase. These extrahelical bases originate from the folding of the bottom strand of attC due to its imperfect dyad symmetry [15]. All these new data confirm previous reports of the in vitro interaction between integrase and its DNA substrates [9,16,17]. Taken together these...
results support a new paradigm for how sequence-degenerate single-stranded genetic material is recognized and exchanged between bacteria.

Despite these functional and structural breakthroughs, several points remain obscure. Is the single-stranded intermediate attC generated during bacterial DNA replication or by IntI1 itself? Is IntI1 sufficient as sole bacterial protein for performing all the recombination steps or does it require other factors? These questions might be answered by carrying out an in vitro assay using recombinant pure enzyme. To date, however, all attempts to set up such experimental systems have been unsuccessful and no in vitro assay has been available, making it difficult to perform further biochemical analysis of the recombination mechanism.

Thus, we sought to produce and purify an active recombinant integrase from a class 1 integron previously isolated from a clinical strain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [18] see figure 1. Then we set up an in vitro recombination assay to characterize its biochemical properties. Using this new assay, we show that IntI1 possesses an in vitro recombination activity on both attI1 and attC but with different efficiencies, consistent with its differential affinity for each DNA element. This new in vitro assay of IntI1 recombination activity allows further functional analysis of the protein.

RESULTS

Expression, in vivo activity and purification of the recombinant IntI1 enzyme

The IntI1(his)6 recombinant protein was expressed from pET101D-Topo vector containing the complete gene encoding the *P. aeruginosa* IntI1 class 1 integron cloned as described in materials and methods. In the resulting pET101D-IntI1 vector, the IntI1 open reading frame was expressed from T7 promoter and fused to a poly(his)6 C-terminal tag. The activity of the fused enzyme was first checked by in vivo excision and recombination assays. In the presence of plasmid pSf2032 carrying an integrase-defective class 2 integron (whose attI2 sequences were shown to be recognized by IntI1), the recombinant integrase was shown to be active for excision activity (40% of cassettes lost). Moreover, in the presence of pSf2032 and pACYC184 containing the specific attI1 recombination sequence, recombination was observed at a rate of $4.4 \times 10^7$. No excision or recombination events were detected in the absence of pET101D-IntI1 vectors. These results were consistent with previously described recombination rates [19] and demonstrated that the integrase IntI1 fused to the (his)6 tag was functional in vivo for all the activities expected of bacterial recombinase in cells. Importantly, the (his)6 tag did not interfere significantly with the catalysis, easily allowing us to purify an active enzyme and further characterize its in vitro properties.

To obtain a sufficient quantity for enzyme purification, overexpression of the IntI1 protein was performed in the BL21 *E. coli* bacterial strain at 25°C for 4 hours after 1 mM IPTG induction. At higher temperature, most of the protein remained in the insoluble fraction, reflecting the high insolubility of the protein previously observed [9,10]. Extraction in the presence of 500 mM NaCl and 0.25% Triton X-100 allowed us to obtain a highly soluble enzyme. The soluble fraction was used for nickel-affinity chromatography purification. As shown in figure 2A, a protein displaying a good level of purity was obtained in the 250–350 mM imidazole fractions. The major protein band of 40 kDa apparent molecular weight reacted with anti-His monoclonal antibodies, thereby confirming its nature (figure 2B).

**In vitro** binding of the recombinant IntI1 enzyme to attI and attC sites

To investigate the ability of IntI1 to interact with the target sites attI1 and attC, standard gel mobility shift assays were performed using two radiolabeled fragments containing either the double-stranded attI1 or the attC site (respectively attI1ds and attCds). As shown in figure 3A, the mobility of the DNA fragment carrying the attI1ds site was lowered in the presence of IntI1.

The proportion of the bound substrate was dependent on the IntI1 concentration. The IntI1-DNA complexes observed were consistent with those previously described using other recombinant enzymes such as MBP-IntI1 and FLAG-IntI1 [9,10]. Even if the same IntI1-DNA complexes were detected, the intensity of the
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**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of recombinant plasmid pC23 part structure encoding the class 1 integron in *P. aeruginosa* Pa695 (adapted from Dubois et al., 2002, accession number AF355189). The horizontal arrows indicate the translation orientation of the genes. The conserved core and inverse core sites are underlined and the cassette boundaries are represented by vertical arrows. The black arrowheads indicate the different attI1 and attC sites.

![Diagram](https://example.com/diagram.png)
corresponding bands was different from that previously described. We assumed that this difference of affinity could be due to the different enzyme constructions used as well as differences in the DNA binding assay conditions (concentration of substrate and enzyme). In all cases the most intense band was assumed to be constituted by the target DNA and a single molecule of IntI1 and the other complexes could involve the interaction of several molecules of IntI1.

In contrast to this, the attCds fragment led to discrete complexes only at high enzyme concentrations (figure 3B). Again, the main species appeared to be the complex between one molecule of IntI1 and the DNA fragment. This suggests that the binding of IntI1 to the attCds element was weaker than to attIds, as previously reported [9,10,16]. These DNA binding data also suggest, as previously proposed, that IntI1 could bind to DNA forms different from the standard double helix. This hypothesis has been confirmed by several studies describing the specific interaction of the enzyme with dsDNA derived from the attC fragment [10,15,16]. To determine whether our recombinant IntI1 share the same property, we performed gel retardation assays using single-stranded (s) attI1 and attC. As shown in figure 4A, IntI1 specifically retarded the bottom strand of attC (attCds) but not the corresponding top strand (attCts). In contrast, only a low retardation rate was observed with the single-stranded attI1 bottom fragments (figure 4B).

Further determination of the IntI1 affinity for the recombinant substrates used in this study was performed by quantitative filter binding assay. The data in figure 5 confirm the affinity of the recombinant enzyme for double-stranded attI1 and bottom single-stranded attCds fragments. They also indicate that at high protein concentrations (above 500 nM, corresponding to 10 pmoles per assay), some unspecific binding to double-stranded attC and single-stranded attI1 fragments was observed (unspecific binding was also observed under these concentration conditions when an unspecific random 100 bp ODN was used, data not shown). This may indicate that at high concentration the enzyme is able to aggregate on DNA, leading to unspecific complexes.

Taken together, these results indicate that IntI1 can bind to attI1 in a double-stranded form and to attC in its single-stranded form, with a bottom strand specificity as previously observed using other recombinant integrases [16]. In addition, all these data indicate that our recombinant IntI1(his)6 shares DNA binding properties similar to those previously described with other purified proteins. From these results we decided to study the biochemical properties of our enzyme further by setting up in vitro recombination assays.

**In vitro intermolecular strand transfer between attI1 and attC sites catalyzed by the recombinant IntI1 enzyme**

It has previously been reported that IntI1 can catalyze in vivo recombination between two attI1, two attC, or one attI1 and one attC, although with varying efficiencies. In the case of class 1 integrase recombinase, few data on the reaction mechanism are available due to the difficulty of obtaining a pure IntI1 that is active enough for an in vitro recombination assay to be performed. The lack of an easy-to-use in vitro system reproducing the recombination reaction further limits characterization of the catalytic mechanism and also the search for potential inhibitors. For these reasons we focused our attention on setting up an efficient in vitro IntI1 activity test.

The recombinant IntI1 was incubated with the radiolabeled donor substrate (either attIds or attCds) and the acceptor vector mimicking a circularized cassette (pGEM-T-attI1 or pGEM-T-attC).

Products were detected only after proteinase K treatment of the reaction fractions, consistent with the fact that tyrosine recombinases are known to bind very tightly with DNA which is released from complexes after proteinase K digestion. After treatment a band migrating to the position expected for the recombinant product (3200 bp) was observed with both substrates, as shown in figure 6A. In addition to the expected product, other wider bands were also observed and were assumed to be intermediary recombination structures previously detected in recombination reactions catalyzed by other recombinases [20,21].

Quantification of the reactions products showed that reaction efficiencies varied from one to another experiments, the best
Figure 4. In vitro DNA binding of IntI1 with free single-stranded attC (A) and attI (B) recombination sites. Free 5' 32P radiolabeled ssDNA fragments containing recombination sites (0.1 pmoles) were incubated with purified IntI1 (5–10 pmoles) at 4°C for 20 min before electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel run at 50 V, for 2 hours at 4°C. Arrows indicate the protein-DNA complexes and F corresponds to free recombination sites. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001315.g004

Figure 5. Comparison of IntI1 affinity for double-stranded (A) and single-stranded (B) recombination sites. Filter binding assays were performed as described in materials and methods section using either double-stranded attI (attI ds) and attC (attC ds) either top (top) or bottom (bot) strand of attI and attC. Percentages of substrate retained on filters are shown. Values are the mean±standard deviation (error bars) of three independent experiments. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001315.g005
Recombination being obtained between two attI1 elements compared to recombination involving only one attC fragment (see figure 6B). This result displays some differences with the in vivo published data, where recombination involving two attI1 was less efficient than the reaction involving attC, but is consistent with the in vitro DNA binding property of recombinant IntI1. Higher concentrations of protein (above 500 nM, corresponding to 10 pmoles of IntI1 per assay) led to the inhibition of recombination activity. This is also consistent with the results of the filter binding assay shown in figure 5, since under those concentrations IntI1 bound DNA unspecifically, probably leading to inactive complexes on the recombination sites. Recombination was observed between both double-stranded attC despite the low affinity of the enzyme for the oligonucleotide. However, in this case, a higher amount of enzyme was required (10 pmoles) compatible with the DNA binding results shown in figure 4B and 5A.

To better ascertain the specificity of the in vitro recombination reaction catalyzed by IntI1, two mutated enzymes containing amino acid substitutions R146K and R280E were assayed. Those two invariant residues were demonstrated to be involved in the in vivo recombination activity. Since the two mutants were previously shown to be inactive for in vivo recombination activity [19], we analyzed their in vitro properties. DNA binding experiments (data not reported here) showed that only the R146K mutant presented an in vitro DNA binding property in the presence of attI1 but not in the presence of the attC element. The second R280E mutant showed no affinity at all for attI1 or attC as previously described [22]. The in vitro activities of both mutants were then assayed for both attI1 x attI1 and attI1 x attC recombinations. As shown in figure 7, no activity was detected in either mutant. These results demonstrate firstly that the two amino acids R146 and R280 are required for recombination catalysis, and that the reaction observed with wild type IntI1 was due to the intrinsic catalytic capability of the recombinant integrase.

In vitro attC recombination involves the bottom single strand of the recombination fragment

As reported above (figure 6) recombination activity was detected even in the presence of double-stranded attC. Since it has been proposed that IntI1 recombination could involve the bottom single strand of the attC site, we further analyzed the in vitro activity of IntI1 using single-stranded ODNs. Figure 8 shows that the only recombination product detected with the single-stranded substrate was in the presence of the bottom strand of attC. Therefore, the reaction involving the single-stranded bottom strand of attC was the most effective under our conditions. No recombination products were detected in the presence of single-stranded attI, strongly suggesting that these events do not share the same mechanism as attC recombination. These results are in agreement with previous reports [14] and confirm that attC recombination requires the bottom attC strand.

Biochemical parameters of the in vitro recombination reaction

To optimize the reaction conditions, we tested the requirement of the enzyme for cations (Mg



Figure 6. In vitro recombination catalyzed by IntI1 at attI1 and attC sites. Reactions were performed for 90 min in the presence of purified IntI1 (5 or 10 pmoles), 0.1 to 0.2 pmoles of either pGEM-T-attI1 or pGEM-T-attC (pattI1 and pattC in the figure) and 0.1 pmoles free 5’ 32P radiolabeled recombination sites under standard conditions described in materials and methods. Products were loaded on 1% agarose gel and autoradiographed (A); F: free recombination sites, RP: recombination products. The recombination products were quantified and the percentage of recombination versus the amount of IntI in pmoles was plotted (B). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001315.g006
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activity was detected under our conditions even in the absence of cations in the reaction medium. The NaCl concentration was found to affect dramatically the recombination activity of IntI1 (figure 9B). A 125 mM concentration was required for optimal activity and the recombination reaction was inhibited at higher salt concentrations. We also investigated whether the addition of small amounts of detergent could improve the activity. Three molecules were tested: Np40, Tween 20 and Triton X-100. Figure 9C shows that all of them inhibited the recombination reaction at different levels, as recently reported for the yeast FLP recombinase belonging to the same tyrosine recombinase family [23].

**DISCUSSION**

Gene cassette mobility in integrons in bacteria is a principal factor in the spread of antibiotic resistance, thereby reducing the efficiency of long-term antibiotic therapy. IntI1 has previously been put forward as the enzyme responsible for this mobility. The availability of a pure *in vitro* active integrase is the prerequisite for detailed examination of the recombination reactions catalyzed by this enzyme. The purpose of our work was to set up an *in vitro* assay allowing the detection of junction molecules from the recombination activity catalyzed by IntI1 on *att* fragments and thus the further characterization of its biochemical properties.

IntI1 was expressed and purified as a protein fused to (his)$_6$ tag in C-terminal. The methodology presented here, in particular the use of a high salt concentration, allowed us to overcome the main obstacle to integrase purification, i.e. its very high insolubility [9,16]. *In vitro* DNA binding analysis using free double-stranded *attI1* and *attC* fragments indicated that IntI1 shared the same property as previously described purified enzymes such as native integrase, MBP-IntI1, Flag-IntI1 [9,10]. In particular, IntI1 bound *in vitro* to double-stranded *attI1* with a higher efficiency than to double-stranded *attC* as previously reported [9,10,16]. In contrast, IntI1 showed a better affinity for the bottom strand of *attC* than its opposite top strand or single strands derived from *attI1*. These results confirmed the previously reported data indicating that the enzyme recognizes a preferred ssDNA structure in the *attC* recombination site. Some unspecific binding was also observed at high protein concentration, suggesting the formation of aggregates on DNA. To better determine the relationship between DNA affinity of IntI1 and its recombination activity, we used the *in vitro* assays developed in this study.

Intermolecular recombination reactions using free *attI1* and *attC* target sites showed purified IntI1 could catalyze at least a strand transfer *in vitro*. This result demonstrates that IntI1 is necessary and sufficient for basal recombination between *attI1* or *attC* sites in class I integron. Indeed, IntI1 has been previously put forward as the enzyme responsible for the movement of gene cassettes in and out of integrons, but no data suggested conclusively that it was the sole protein involved in cellular recombination events. Other proteins belonging to the same family need cellular factors to perform their activity efficiently. For example, excision of lambda prophage from the bacterial chromosome requires the host-encoded integration factor (IFH) and the lambda-encoded excisionase in addition to integrate and both integration and excision are stimulated by the host-encoded factor for inversion stimulation (FIS) protein [24]. Our data provide the first evidence that IntI1 possesses all the catalytic activities needed to perform the first strand transfer step between recombination sites.

However, differences were observed in recombination efficiency depending on the target DNA sites. In general, *in vitro* recombination between two *attI1* was preferred over recombination between *attI1* and *attC* sites, itself more efficient than between two *attC*. This result is in agreement with the *in vitro* DNA binding property of the recombinant IntI1 and can be explained by a lower *in vitro* affinity of IntI1 with the *attC* element compared to *attI1*. This suggests a preference for the specific structure found in *attI1*. Our results differ somewhat from those reported in the literature.
Indeed, in vivo recombination events between two attI1 and attC sites are more efficient than those involving two attC, which themselves are more efficient than attI x attI recombination [13]. Differences observed between in vivo and in vitro suggest that the integration mechanism in the cell might be regulated, favoring the recombination between attI1 and attC. Under our conditions we did not observe in vitro integration at secondary sites when using the receptor plasmid lacking att sites in the recombination assay (data not shown), thus confirming the low frequency of those events.

Biochemical analysis of the recombination reaction catalyzed by IntI1 showed that the enzyme could perform its activity in the absence of bivalent cations added to the reaction mixture at a basal level but preferring a 7.5 mM Mg²⁺ concentration (see figure 7). Although there is disagreement regarding the effect of ions on recombination, there is a consensus that divalent ions are required for the intermolecular DNA exchange reaction [25–27]. Our observation raises the question whether cations are coordinated in the integrase structure and play a role in the reaction. In addition, we showed that IntI1 activity was highly sensitive to detergents and, at a lower level, to salt. All the tested detergents (Np40, Tween 20 and Triton X-100) inhibited the recombination reaction, as recently reported for other tyrosine recombinase [23]. This indicates the possible involvement of oligomeric forms of the enzyme in the reaction, as previously demonstrated for enzymes belonging to the same family [28]. Such active oligomers could be dissociated by detergent and high salt concentration inhibiting the recombination reaction. This assumption is reinforced by the DNA protein complexes observed between IntI1 and the free purified recombination sites by us and others [9,16]. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out a possible dissociation between IntI1 and recombination sites since salt can also weaken such interactions.

The in vitro recombination data indicate that attI1 and attC sites do not interact equally with IntI1, thereby confirming that there are different mechanisms for recombination depending on the sites involved. The difference in affinity of the enzyme for attI1 and attC and the preference of IntI1 for single-stranded attC previously reported [16] and also observed by us strongly support the involvement of a single-strand DNA intermediary in the reaction, as recently proposed [14]. To better ascertain this requirement, we performed assays with single-stranded substrates. Our results indicate that in vitro attC recombination requires the bottom strand of the site in contrast to attI recombination. Our data unambiguously demonstrate that attC and attI recombination mechanisms do not share the same process.

Nevertheless, in all cases only a maximum of about 15–18% of the donor substrate was integrated into the acceptor DNA, indicating that the in vitro recombination remains to have a low level of efficiency. Whether this efficiency reflects that of in vivo recombination remains to be established. This low level of recombinative integration could be due to the fact that two independent molecules are involved in this in vitro reaction. Furthermore, the DNA fragments used in our assay do not share the exact structure of the total integron where intermolecular recombination takes place. In addition, the differential affinity of IntI1 for attI1 and attC free fragments previously observed could explain the variation in recombination efficiency.

How can our in vitro recombination data be reconciled with the specificity of IntI1 for single-stranded attC? The recombination activity observed in presence of the bottom strand of attC confirms
that this single-stranded structure is an important intermediary in the reaction, as previously reported [14,15]. Moreover, an in vitro recombination activity between double-stranded attL and attC and between two double-stranded attC could also be detected, implying that the enzyme was able to generate and/or recognize the single-stranded structure in the attC site, even in the absence of other bacterial factors usually involved in this kind of mechanism such as helicase. Recently it has been shown that integrone integrase binds to bulged hairpin DNA found at the attC site [17]. These cruciform structures could be generated in vivo by a cellular mechanism such as DNA replication and transcription and then stabilized by IntI1 for recombination. The capacity of the enzyme to generate the single strand by itself should allow the recombination to be effected independently of the replication processes. However, in standard helicase assays performed with our pure fractions of IntI1, no activity was shown (data not shown). This suggests that generation of the single-stranded attC by the enzyme acts via a different way, as suggested by the structural data [15], probably by strong interaction between IntI1 and the extrahelical bases in the recombination site, leading to opening of the strands.

By dissecting the reaction, the recombination assays described will allow further characterization of this activity. In particular, the recombination test could serve as a basis for the selection and the study of inhibitory molecules as well as for the analysis of reaction selectivity using chimeric proteins. These could be useful tools in gene therapy. The results obtained with the mutated enzyme also indicate that the in vitro assays described here make it possible to study the relationship between the structure and function of IntI1 through analysis of the biochemical properties of the mutation carrying integrases in amino acids, which is potentially involved in recombination. In addition to such fundamental studies the in vitro assay described here will allow the search and the selection of specific inhibitors of IntI1 that could be useful for the in vivo limitation of the antibiotic resistance spread.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA, bacterial strains and culture media

The DNA sequence encoding the entire class 1 integron was previously cloned from P. aeruginosa Pa695 [18] into pC23 vector (see figure 1). The natural conjugative plasmid pSf2032 which contains a class 2 integron carrying trimethoprim (cassette 1), streptomycin (cassette 2) and streptomycin-spectinomycin (cassette 3, silent gene) resistance genes was obtained from the Stighella flexneri Sf2032 clinical strain. The pACYC184-atlI1 vector, carrying a chloramphenicol resistance determinant and an attC site, was obtained by ligating the PCR amplification product, on Hind III (5'-GATCCCAAGCAGACGGGCGTAT-3') and attIR-HindIII (5'-AAGCTTGCAATTGTCATCTGTTGCCGCG-3') as primers, cut with BanHI and HindIII and, on the other, pACYC184 with the same enzymes.

The E. coli DH5α strain was used for propagation of plasmids and E. coli BL21 strain was used for expression of IntI1(his)6 recombinant enzyme. E. coli TOP10 was the recipient strain in in vivo recombination assays. All bacterial strains were cultured at 37°C for propagation of the plasmid or 25°C for expression, on LB medium supplemented with antibiotic.

DNA manipulation

All DNA vectors and PCR products were purified using the DNA purification systems from PROMEGA (Wizard plus SV miniprep and Wizard SV Gel kits). PCR amplifications were done under standard conditions using Taq polymerase (PROMEGA).

Sequencing was performed by polymerase chain reaction-based sequencing (ABI Prism big dye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit, Applied Biosystems).

Cloning, expression and purification of IntI1

Wild type IntI1 gene was amplified by PCR from pC23 vector using IntI1-3-Topo primer containing the Topo1 site (5’-CACCATGAAACGCACCATTGCGCG-3’) and IntI1-3-stop primer (5’-CTCATGAAGGGGCGCGGCG-3’). The amplification product was then cloned into pET101D-Topo vector according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (INVITROGEN). The resulting pET101D-IntI1 expression plasmid was checked by sequencing and introduced into the BL21 bacterial strain for expression of recombinant IntI1 fused to (his)6 tag at the 3’-end. The genes encoding the mutated R146K and R290E enzyme were amplified as for wild type enzyme but from pMalC2 plasmid kindly provided by Drs. P. Roy and N. Messier [20]. Both mutants were further cloned into pET101D vector as done for wild type enzyme.

Bacteria containing the pET101-IntI1 vector were cultured in LB medium supplemented with ampicillin (50 μg/ml) for 12 hours at 37°C with shaking. Cultures were then diluted at 1/100 in fresh LB-ampicillin (50 μg/ml) and cultured for 4 more hours to reach an OD600 nm of 0.4. Expression of IntI1 was induced by addition of IPTG to 1 mM for 4 hours at 25°C, since at 37°C a major proportion of the enzyme remains insoluble. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 15 minutes and pellets were resuspended in 5 ml of lysis buffer I (50 mM NaH2PO4 pH 7.5) containing a cocktail of proteases inhibitors (Complete Mini EDTA free, ROCHE) and 50 μg/ml of lysozyme. After 20 min incubation at room temperature, the suspension was centrifuged for 15 minutes at 4000 rpm. Pellets were resuspended in 5 ml of lysis buffer II (50 mM NaH2PO4 pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.025% Triton X-100). The suspension was incubated for 15 min at 4°C, and then sonicated. The cell lysate was centrifuged at 18000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C and the supernatant was used for SDS-PAGE analysis and purification. With the procedure described above, the majority of IntI1 could be recovered in a soluble and thus suitable form for purification.

A 600 μl aliquot of the soluble fraction obtained as described above was loaded on a Ni-NTA Spin Column according to the supplier’s instructions (QIAGEN). The column was washed twice with the elution buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4 pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.025% Triton X-100) containing 20 mM imidazole. Several elution steps were then performed from 20 mM to 400 mM imidazole. The fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE after staining with blue Coomassie and western blot using anti-(His)6/Ct antibodies (INVITROGEN). The fractions containing IntI1 were dialyzed against 50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4 pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.025% Triton X-100 solution and then stored at −20°C after addition of 10% glycerol. Protein concentrations were determined by the standard Bradford method. Stock concentrations varied from 2.5 to 5 μM.

In vivo recombination assay

An in vivo excision assay was performed using DH5α cells containing the pSF2032 and pET101D-IntI1 plasmids. The strain was cultured in 5 ml LB medium supplemented with ampicillin (100 μg/ml) and trimethoprim (20 μg/ml) for 4 hours at 37°C under shaking. Then, 1 mM IPTG was added, and after 3 and 24 hours of culture, 10 μl aliquots were plated on LB solid medium containing ampicillin (100 μg/ml) and trimethoprim (20 mg/ml). After 18 hours of incubation at 37°C, 10 clones were recovered and analyzed by PCR using primers int2inw (5’-AACCTTTTTTG-TGGCATATCGGTG-3’), dirAIR (5’-GTTAGAGGGAACT-CTTGGG-3’), satinw (5’-TTAGGGCTAATCCGTGGCTC-3’),
containing donor substrate (120 bp) was obtained by annealing

\[ \text{TGAGCATCATTGC-3} \]

and

\[ \text{GTTTGATGTTATGGAGCAGCAACGATGTTACGCAGC-5} \]

radiolabeled oligonucleotide AttI (5'-CGAGCGCTGGTGTGCGAT-3').

The receptor plasmids pGEM-T-easy were selected on LB medium supplemented with trimethoprim (20 μg/ml), streptomycin (25 μg/ml), and chloramphenicol (30 μg/ml), or with trimethoprim and streptomycin only. Donor cells were enumerated to number the transfer frequency and recombination rate was calculated as the ratio between the number of transconjugants containing the recombinant plasmid and the total number of transconjugants.

**In vitro strand transfer assays**

The attI containing donor substrate (100 bp) was generated by annealing the 5'-CGAGCGCTGGTGTGCGAT-3' and the complementary one AttI'. The attC containing donor substrate (120 bp) was obtained by annealing the 5'-CGAGCGCTGGTGTGCGAT-3' and the complementary one AttC.

To construct the receptor plasmids pGEM-T-attI (called pattI) and pGEM-T-attC (called pattC), both attI and attC were generated by PCR using attI1-LBamH1 and attI1-RHindIII as primers and pC23 as template (see figure 1). PCR led to the amplification of the attC site of the second cassette [attC(2)] in figure 1. The receptor plasmids pGEM-T-attI (called pattI) and pGEM-T-attC (called pattC) were obtained by cloning the corresponding fragments into pGEM-T easy vector (PROMEGA).

To determine its DNA binding activity, purified IntI1[his]_{6} (1 to 10 pmoles) was incubated either with the 5'-radiolabeled double-stranded or single-stranded attI fragment or with the 5'-radiolabeled double-stranded or single-stranded attC fragment for 20 min at 4°C in a total volume of 20 μl. The IntI1-DNA complexes were then loaded on vertical 1% agarose gel and run at 50 V for 4 hours at 4°C. The gel was then dried and autoradiographed. Quantification was performed by filter binding assays: Nitrocellulose filters (0.45 μm, Whatman) were treated with a solution of KOH 0.4 M and washed twice with water and 2 ml of pre-washing buffer (HEPES 20 mM; pH 7.5; MnCl₂ 10 mM; NaCl 10 mM; calf thymus DNA 100 μg/ml). IntI1 was incubated under *in vitro* assay conditions for 20 min at 4°C with the different radiolabeled substrates. After addition of 1 ml washing buffer (HEPES 20 mM; pH 7.5; MnCl₂ 10 mM; NaCl 30 mM) to the reaction mix, the solution was filtered. Filters were washed twice with 4 ml of washing buffer. The radioactivity retained on filters was quantitated with a scintillation counter (Wallac 1409).

The recombination reaction was performed by incubation of the purified IntI1[his]_{6} (1 to 10 pmoles) with both donor and receptor substrates (0.1 to 0.2 pmoles) for 20 min at 4°C in a total volume of 5 μl to promote IntI1-DNA complexes. Then, the incubation proceeded at 37°C for 90 min in the presence of 7.5 mM Mg²⁺, 50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.5 and 1 mM DTT in a total volume of 20 μl. Reaction fractions were treated by protease K (50 μg/ml) for one hour at 55°C and were then submitted to phenol/chloroform/isooamylalcohol (25/25/1, v/v/v) extraction. The aqueous fraction was loaded on vertical 1% agarose gel and run at 200 V. The gel was dried and autoradiographed.
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