Hadronic and partonic sources of direct photons in relativistic heavy-ion collisions
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The direct photon spectra and flow ($v_2$, $v_3$) in heavy-ion collisions at SPS, RHIC and LHC energies are investigated within a relativistic transport approach incorporating both hadronic and partonic phases – the Parton-Hadron-String Dynamics (PHSD). In the present work, four extensions are introduced compared to our previous calculations: (i) going beyond the soft-photon approximation (SPA) in the calculation of the bremsstrahlung processes $\text{meson} + \text{meson} \rightarrow \text{meson} + \text{meson} + \gamma$, (ii) quantifying the suppression due to the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal (LPM) coherence effect, (iii) adding the additional channels $V + N \rightarrow N + \gamma$ and $\Delta \rightarrow N + \gamma$ and (iv) providing predictions for Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. The first issue extends the applicability of the bremsstrahlung calculations to higher photon energies in order to understand the relevant sources in the region $p_T = 0.5-1.5$ GeV, while the LPM correction turns out to be important for $p_T < 0.4$ GeV in the partonic phase. The results suggest that a large elliptic flow $v_2$ of the direct photons signals a significant contribution of photons produced in interactions of secondary mesons and baryons in the late (hadronic) stage of the heavy-ion collision. In order to further differentiate the origin of the direct photon azimuthal asymmetry (late hadron interactions vs electromagnetic fields in the initial stage), we provide predictions for the triangular flow $v_3(p_T)$ of direct photons. Additionally, we illustrate the magnitude of the photon production in the partonic and hadronic phases as functions of time and local energy density. Finally, the ‘cocktail’ method for an estimation of the background photon elliptic flow, which is widely used in the experimental works, is supported by the calculations within the PHSD transport approach.

PACS numbers: 25.75.-q, 13.60.Le, 14.40.Lb, 14.65.Dw

I. INTRODUCTION

Direct photons are a powerful probe of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) as created in ultra-relativistic nuclear collisions. The photons interact only electromagnetically and thus escape to the detector almost undistorted through the dense and strongly-interacting medium. Thus the photon transverse-momentum spectra and their azimuthal asymmetry carry information on the properties of the matter under extreme conditions, existing in the first few fm/c of the collisional evolution.

On the other hand, the measured photons provide a time-integrated picture of the heavy-ion collision dynamics and are emitted from every moving charge – partons or hadrons. Therefore, a multitude of photon sources has to be differentiated in order to access the signal of interest. The dominant contributions to the inclusive photon production are the decays of mesons, dominantly pions, $\pi$- and $\omega$-mesons. Experimental collaborations subtract the “decay photons” from the inclusive photon spectrum using a cocktail calculation [1,2] and obtain the “direct” photons.

In particular, the direct photons at transverse momenta ($p_T < 3$ GeV) are expected to be dominated by “thermal” sources, i.e. the radiation from the strongly interacting Quark-Gluon-Plasma (sQGP) [3] and the secondary meson+meson and meson+baryon interactions in the hadronic phase [4,5]. These partonic and hadronic channels have been studied within PHSD in detail in Refs. [6,7] at Relativistic-Heavy-Ion-Collider (RHIC) energies. It was found that the partonic channels constitute up to half of the observed direct photon spectrum for very central collisions. Other theoretical calculations also find a significant contribution of the photons produced in the QGP to the direct photon spectrum [8,13].

The low-$p_T$ direct photons probe not only the temperature [1,2,13] of the produced QCD-matter, but also its (transport) properties, for instance, the shear viscosity. Using the direct photon elliptic flow $v_2$ (a measure of the azimuthal asymmetry in the photon distribution) as a viscosimeter was first suggested by Dusling et al. in Ref. [14]; this idea was later supported by the calculations in Refs. [10,11,13,15]. It was also suggested that the photon spectra and $v_2$ are sensitive to the collective directed flow of the system [16,17], to the equation of state [16,18], to the possibly production of a Glasma [19,21], to the rate of chemical equilibration in the QGP [22,23] and to the asymmetry induced by the strong magnetic field (flash) in the very early stage of the collision [24,25].

However, the recent observation by the PHENIX Collaboration [1] that the elliptic flow $v_2(p_T)$ of direct...
photons’ produced in minimal bias Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV is comparable to that of the produced pions was a surprise and in contrast to the theoretical expectations and predictions. Indeed, the photons produced by partonic interactions in the quark-gluon plasma phase have not been expected to show considerable flow because they are dominated by the emission in the initial phase before the elliptic flow fully develops.

In Refs. [6, 7] we have applied the PHSD approach to photon production in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and studied the transverse momentum spectrum and the elliptic flow $v_2$ of photons from hadronic and partonic production channels. The microscopic description of the full collision evolution is calculated in the covariant off-shell transport approach PHSD. The degrees-of-freedom in the partonic and hadronic phases are strongly interacting dynamical quasi-particles and off-shell hadrons, respectively.

It was found in Refs. [6, 7] that the PHSD calculations reproduce the transverse momentum spectrum of direct photons as measured by the PHENIX Collaboration in Refs. [26, 27]. The centrality dependence of the thermal photon yield in PHSD was predicted to be $N_{\text{part}}^{\gamma}$ with the exponent $\alpha = 1.5$, which is in good agreement with the most recent measurement of $\alpha = 1.48 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.04$ by the PHENIX Collaboration [28]. Also, the PHSD described the data on the elliptic flow of inclusive and direct photons at the top RHIC energy. The strong $v_2$ of direct photons — which is comparable to the hadronic $v_2$ in PHSD was attributed to hadronic channels, i.e. to meson binary reactions which are not subtracted in the data. As sources for photon production, we have incorporated the interactions of off-shell quarks and gluons in the strongly interacting quark-gluon plasma (sQGP) ($q + \bar{q} \to g + \gamma$ and $q(\bar{q}) + g \to q(\bar{q}) + \gamma$), the decays of hadrons ($\pi \to \gamma + \gamma$, $\eta \to \gamma + \gamma$, $\omega \to \pi + \gamma$, $\eta' \to \rho + \gamma$, $\phi \to \eta + \gamma$, $\pi_1 \to \pi + \gamma$) as well as their interactions $\pi + \pi \to \rho + \gamma$, $\rho + \pi \to \pi + \gamma$, meson-meson bremsstrahlung $m + m \to m + m + \gamma$, meson-baryon bremsstrahlung $m + B \to m + B + \gamma$. In the present work we additionally incorporate the two-to-two vector meson-nucleon interactions ($V + p \to \gamma + p/n$ and $V + n \to \gamma + p/n$) and the decay of the $\Delta$-resonance $\Delta \to N\gamma$.

The photon production via bremsstrahlung in meson-meson and meson-baryon elastic collisions was found to be a very important source to interpret the data on the direct photon spectra and elliptic flow simultaneously [6, 7]. In the previous works [6, 7], we have been calculating the photon bremsstrahlung from all elastic meson-meson and meson-baryon scatterings $m_1 + m_2$, which occur during the heavy-ion collisions (including $m_4 = \pi, \eta, K, K^0, K^+, K^{*0}, K^{*+}, K^{*0}, \eta', \omega, \rho, \phi, a_1$). For the calculation of the bremsstrahlung cross sections we have been applying the soft-photon approximation (SPA). Therefore the resulting yield of the bremsstrahlung photons depended on model assumptions such as i) the cross section for the meson-meson elastic scattering (we assumed 10 mb for all meson species), ii) incoherence of the individual scatterings and iii) the soft-photon approximation (i.e. low photon energy and low $\sqrt{s}$ of the collision). The adequacy of the SPA assumption has been studied before in Ref. [24] and a theoretical uncertainty of up to a factor of 2 was found.

In view of the importance of questions that can be answered by direct photon measurements, we have improved our implementation of the photon production in the PHSD transport approach, in particular of the bremsstrahlung photon production in the meson-meson collisions. In the scope of the present work, we depart from the assumptions above by i) using a covariant one-boson-exchange (OBE) chiral model for the $\pi$ interactions, ii) investigating the suppression due to the coherence of the photon emission with long wavelength (LPM effect) and iii) deriving and implementing the “exact” OBE cross sections for photon bremsstrahlung thus departing from the SPA.

The results of our calculations and are compared to the data from the SPS and RHIC Collaborations to check if the earlier conclusions and interpretations hold. Additionally, we provide calculations for the photon production in Pb + Pb collisions at the energy of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV (spectra, elliptic $v_2$ and triangular $v_3$ flow of direct photons). The future comparison of these calculations to the data of the ALICE Collaboration will be of great interest, because the preliminary data [2, 30] indicate a significant direct photon signal at low $p_T$ with a large elliptic flow.

II. PHOTON PRODUCTION IN HEAVY-ION COLLISIONS WITHIN THE PHSD APPROACH

The PHSD model [31, 32] is an off-shell transport approach that consistently describes the full evolution of a relativistic heavy-ion collision from the initial hard scatterings and string formation through the dynamical confinement phase transition to the quark-gluon plasma as well as hadronization and the subsequent interactions in the hadronic phase. The two-particle correlations resulting from the finite width of the parton spectral functions are taken into account dynamically in the PHSD by means of the generalized off-shell transport equations [33] that go beyond the mean field or Boltzmann approximation [34]. The transport theoretical description of quarks and gluons in the PHSD is based on the Dynamical Quasi-Particle Model [35] (DQPM) for partons that is constructed to reproduce lattice QCD (lQCD) results for the entropy density, energy density and pressure as functions of temperature for the quark-gluon plasma in thermodynamic equilibrium. In the hadronic sector, PHSD is equivalent to the Hadron-String Dynamics (HSD) approach [36, 38]. For details about the DQPM model and the off-shell transport approach we refer the reader to the review Ref. [34].

We stress that a non-vanishing width in the partonic spectral functions is the main difference between the
DQPM and conventional quasiparticle models \[39\]. Its influence on the collision dynamics is essentially seen in the correlation functions. For instance, in the stationary limit, the correlation involving the off-diagonal elements of the energy-momentum tensor \( T^{kl} \) defines the shear viscosity \( \eta \) of the medium \[40\]. Here a sizeable width is mandatory to obtain a small ratio of the shear viscosity to entropy density \( \eta/s \), which results in a roughly hydrodynamical evolution of the partonic system in PHSD \[41\]. The finite width leads to two-particle correlations, which are taken into account dynamically by means of the generalized off-shell transport equations \[33\], going beyond the mean field approximation \[34\] \[42\]. It has been shown in \[43\] that the final width (the imaginary part of the self energy) is demanded by the causality constraint on the propagator in the theory of the strongly interacting particles as soon as the interaction leads to a sizable dressing mass squared (real part of the self energy).

In the past the PHSD approach has provided a consistent description of the bulk properties of heavy-ion collisions – rapidity spectra, transverse mass distributions, azimuthal asymmetries of various particle species – from low Super-Proton-Synchrotron (SPS) up to the LHC energies \[51\] \[52\] \[53\]. In the hadronic sector, PHSD is equivalent to the Hadron-String Dynamics (HSD) approach \[36\] \[37\] \[45\], in which the photon production at lower SPS energies has been investigated before in Ref. \[38\] with an emphasis on the role of meson-meson interactions. The PHSD approach was also successfully used for the analysis of penetrating probes, such as charm \[46\] and dilepton production from hadronic and partonic sources at SPS, RHIC and LHC energies \[47\] \[48\].

Indeed the calculations within the PHSD have reproduced the measured differential spectra of dileptons from heavy-ion collisions at SPS and RHIC energies (see Refs. \[47\] \[48\]). Also, it has been checked in Ref. \[49\] that the dilepton production from the QGP constituents – as incorporated in the PHSD \[47\] \[50\] – agrees with the dilepton rate emitted by the thermalized QCD medium as calculated in the IQCD approach. Indeed, the deconfined state of matter – created in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC \[51\] \[54\] – was clearly seen in the dilepton yield above invariant masses of 1.2 GeV \[47\] \[48\].

As sources of photon production - on top of the general dynamical evolution - we consider hadronic \[55\] as well as partonic \[3\] \[50\] interactions. Let us first describe all the contributions, which consist of the photon production in the quark and gluon collisions, from the hadronic decays and the interactions of intermediate mesons produced throughout the evolution of the nucleus-nucleus collision.

1) Photons are radiated by quarks in the interaction with other quarks and gluons. In this sense, we differentiate two classes of processes: first the two-to-two reactions

\[
q + \bar{q} \rightarrow g + \gamma,
q/\bar{q} + g \rightarrow q/\bar{q} + \gamma.
\]

The implementation of the photon production by the quark and gluon interactions in the PHSD is based on the off-shell cross sections for the interaction of the massive dynamical quasi-particles as described in \[6\] \[50\]. In addition, photon production in the bremsstrahlung reactions \( q + q/g \rightarrow q + q/g + \gamma \) is possible \[57\].

2) All colliding hadronic charges (meson, baryons) can also radiate photons by the bremsstrahlung processes:

\[
m + m \rightarrow m + m + \gamma \quad (1)
m + B \rightarrow m + B + \gamma. \quad (2)
\]

The processes (1) have been studied within the PHSD in Refs. \[6\] \[58\], while the processes (2) were added in Ref. \[7\]. The implementation of photon bremsstrahlung from hadronic reactions in transport approaches has been based until now on the 'soft photon' approximation (SPA). The soft-photon approximation \[58\] relies on the assumption that the radiation from internal lines is negligible and the strong interaction vertex is on-shell and is valid only at low energy (and \( p_T \)) of the produced photon. Since the relatively high transverse momenta of the direct photons (\( p_T = 0.5 - 1.5 \) GeV) are most important for a potential understanding of the "direct photon puzzle", we extend here the accuracy of our calculations for the photons produced via the bremsstrahlung mechanism beyond the applicability of the SPA. The details of the new model are given below in section IIIA.

3) Additionally, the photons can be produced in binary meson + meson and meson + baryon collisions. We consider here the direct photon production in the following 2 \( \rightarrow \) 2 scattering processes: the meson+meson collisions

\[
\pi + \pi \rightarrow \rho + \gamma, 
\pi + \rho \rightarrow \pi + \gamma, \quad (3)
\]

and the meson+baryon collisions

\[
V + N \rightarrow \gamma + N, \quad (4)
\]

where \( V = \rho, \phi, \omega, \) and \( N = n, p \), accounting for all possible charge combinations. The implementation of the reactions (3) is the same as described in \[6\] \[55\]. On the other hand, the meson+baryon processes (4) are incorporated here for the first time in a transport approach. We describe the relevant cross sections in section IIIA.

4) Photon production in the decays of mesons (\( \pi^0, \eta, \eta', \omega, \phi, a_1 \)) and the \( \Delta \)-resonance, where the parent hadrons are produced in baryon-baryon (BB), meson-baryon (mB) or meson-meson (mm) collisions in the course of the heavy-ion collision. We consider the contributions from the photon decays of the following mesons:

\[
\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma, 
\eta \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma, 
\eta' \rightarrow \rho + \gamma, 
\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 + \gamma, 
\phi \rightarrow \eta + \gamma, 
a_1 \rightarrow \pi + \gamma, 
\Delta \rightarrow \gamma + N. \quad (5)
\]
The decay branching ratios is calculated according to the corresponding branching ratios taken from the latest compilation by the Particle Data Group \[59\], updating slightly the values applied in earlier HSD investigations at SPS energies \[38\]. The broad resonances – including the \(a_1\), \(\rho\), \(\omega\) mesons – in the initial or final state are treated in PHSD in line with their (in-medium) spectral functions as implemented and described in detail in Ref. \[38\].

The photon production from the mesonic decays represents a ‘background’ for the search of the direct photon spectra. One usually uses the ‘cocktail’ method to estimate the photon decay spectra and their contribution to the elliptic flow \(v_2\), which relies among others on the \(m_T\)-scaling assumption for the particle spectra. We have assumed throughout that the direct photon spectra do not include the contributions from the \(\pi\), \(\eta\), \(\eta'\) and \(\omega\) decays, because they were subtracted experimentally.

### III. THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENTS

The extensions compared to our previous publications on photon production in heavy-ion collisions within the PHSD model are described in the following subsection: an improved implementation of the Bremsstrahlung channel \(m + m \rightarrow m + m + \gamma\) beyond the SPA is described in subsection [III A] an estimation of the suppression due to the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal (LPM) effect will be presented in subsection [III B] and additional baryonic processes will be described in subsection [III C].

#### A. Bremsstrahlung \(m + m \rightarrow m + m + \gamma\) beyond the soft-photon approximation

In the present work, we improve the description of the photon bremsstrahlung in meson+meson scattering by going beyond the soft-photon approximation \[60\]. Since pions are the dominant meson species in the heavy-ion collisions, we concentrate here on the description of the bremsstrahlung photon production in pion+ion collisions. Indeed, we recall that the pion+ion interactions are most numerous and provide the dominant source of photons from the meson+meson bremsstrahlung mechanism. This was shown in the previous investigation \[6\] explicitly by studying the channel decomposition of the bremsstrahlung photons. Therefore, we will be able to considerably reduce the theoretical uncertainty in modeling the total photon production in the hadron bremsstrahlung by improving our modeling of the \(\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma\) process. We will suggest a generalization to the other meson species and meson+baryon interactions in the end of this section.

In order to calculate the differential cross sections for the photon production in the processes of the type \(\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma\), we use the one-boson exchange (OBE) model as originally applied in Ref. \[29\] to the dilepton bremsstrahlung in pion+pion collisions, later on in Ref. \[61\] to the low-energy photon bremsstrahlung in pion+pion and kaon+kaon collisions.

In order to achieve a reliable level of accuracy we model the interactions of pions with hadrons using a covariant microscopic effective theory with the interaction Lagrangian,

\[
L_{int} = g_\sigma \sigma \partial_\mu \pi^\mu \partial_\nu \pi^\nu + g_\rho \rho \partial_\mu \pi^\mu \partial_\nu \rho^\nu + g_\omega \partial_\mu \omega^\mu \partial_\nu \omega^\nu,
\]

as suggested in Refs. \[28, 57\]. Within this model the interaction of pions is described by the exchange of scalar, vector and tensor resonances: \(\sigma\), \(\rho\) and \(f_2(1270)\), respectively. Additionally, the form factors are incorporated in the vertices in the \(t\) and \(u\)-channels to account for the composite structure of the mesons and thus to effectively suppress the high momentum transfers:

\[
h_\alpha(k^2) = \frac{m_\alpha^2 - m_\sigma^2}{m_\alpha^2 - k^2},
\]

where \(m_\alpha = m_\sigma\) or \(m_\rho\) or \(m_f\) is the mass of the exchanged meson and \(k^2\) is the momentum transfer squared.

The cross section for \(\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi\) scattering is given by

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{el}(s)}{dt} = \frac{|M_{el}|^2}{16\pi s (s - 4m_\pi^2)},
\]

where the matrix element \(|M|^2\) is calculated by coherently summing up the Born diagrams of the \(\sigma\), \(\rho\) and \(f_2\)-meson exchange in \(t\), \(s\) and \(u\) channels (the \(u\)-channel diagrams are needed only in case of identical pions),

\[
|M_{el}|^2 = |M^s(\sigma) + M^f(\sigma) + M^{\sigma}(\sigma) + M^{\rho}(\sigma) + M^{\omega}(\sigma) + M^f(\sigma) + M^{\rho}(\sigma) + M^{\omega}(\sigma) + M^{\sigma}(\sigma)|^2.
\]

Let us define the four-momenta of the incoming pions as \(p_a = (E_a, \vec{p}_a)\) and \(p_b = (E_b, \vec{p}_b)\), the momenta of the outgoing pions as \(p_1 = (E_1, \vec{p}_1)\) and \(p_2 = (E_2, \vec{p}_2)\) and the four-momenta of the exchanged resonance \(\sigma\), \(\rho\) or \(f_2\) as \(k\).

The propagators of the massive and broad scalar and vector particles are used to describe the exchange of the \(\sigma\) and \(\rho\) mesons (see e.g. Ref. \[29\]). The resonance \(f_2\) is a spin-2 particle, for which the full momentum-dependent propagator has been derived in \[62\]. The polarization sum is

\[
P_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu \alpha} g_{\nu \beta} + g_{\mu \beta} g_{\nu \alpha} - g_{\mu \nu} g_{\alpha \beta}) - \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu \alpha} k_\nu k_\beta + g_{\mu \beta} k_\nu k_\alpha + g_{\nu \alpha} k_\mu k_\beta + g_{\nu \beta} k_\mu k_\alpha)
\]

\[
+ \frac{2}{3}(g_{\mu \nu} k_\alpha k_\beta - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta} k_\mu k_\nu).
\]
Following the example of the dilepton production study in Ref. [29], we use the same propagator for the $f_2$ resonance while additionally accounting for its finite width by adding an imaginary part to the self-energy in accordance with the lifetime.

As the result, the following expressions are obtained for the matrix elements of the elastic $\pi+\pi$ scattering diagrams (we give here explicitly the $t$- and $s$-channel results, the $u$-channels can be easily obtained by the crossing relations):

\[
\begin{align*}
M^t(\sigma) &= -g^2_{\pi\rho}h^2_{\sigma}(t)(2m^2_{\pi^+} - t)^2 - m^2_{\sigma} + im\Gamma_{\sigma}, \\
M^s(\sigma) &= -g^2_{\pi\rho}(s - 2m^2_{\rho})^2, \\
M^t(\rho) &= -g^2_{\rho\rho}(s - u)^2, \\
M^s(\rho) &= -g^2_{\rho\rho}(u - t)^2, \\
M^t(f) &= g^2_{\rho\rho}h^2_{f}(t), \\
M^s(f) &= g^2_{\rho\rho}h^2_{f}(t) - m^2_{f} + im\Gamma_{f}, \\
&\times \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{3} (2m^2_{\pi^+} - t)^2 - (s - 2m^2_{\rho})^2 - (2m^2_{\pi^+} - u)^2 \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where the Mandelstam variables are defined as $s = (p_a + p_b)^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2$, $t = (p_a - p_1)^2 = (p_b - p_2)^2$, $u = (p_a - p_2)^2 = (p_b - p_1)^2$.

We point out that the formulae (11) are compact, because the masses of all pions were assumed to be equal to $m_\pi$ and the energy-momentum conservation $p_a + p_b = p_1 + p_2$ was assumed. These conditions are not satisfied for the off-shell $\pi+\pi \rightarrow \pi+\pi$ subprocess, which we will encounter in the subsequent calculation of the bremsstrahlung photon production $\pi+\pi \rightarrow \pi+\pi+\gamma$. For the actual calculation we will use the off-shell generalizations $M(p_a, p_b, p_1, p_2)$ of the formulae (11), which we derive from the Feynman diagrams, but the off-shell formulae are too lengthy to be presented here.

A reduced version of the model with the exchange of only two resonances – the scalar $\sigma$ and the vector $\rho$ meson – was used by the authors of Ref. [61] to calculate the rate of the photon production from the $\pi+\pi \rightarrow \pi+\pi+\gamma$ process at low transverse momenta of the photons ($p_T < 0.4$ GeV). This approximation is suitable at low $p_T$ because the photon rate in this kinematical region is dominated by pion collisions of low center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$, for which the contribution of the $f_2$-exchange is small. However, relatively high transverse momenta of photons $p_T = 1 - 2$ GeV are of interest for our goal of clarifying the “puzzling” high elliptic flow of direct photons. Thus we need a robust model for the interaction of mesons also at $\sqrt{s} > 1$ GeV. Therefore, we use the OBE model with three mesons as interaction carriers (including the tensor particle $f_2(1270)$) in our present calculations.

Phenomenological coupling constants, masses and widths of the three interaction-carriers that enter the Lagrangian (9) have to be fixed to the integrated energy-dependent cross section of the pion-pion elastic scattering $\sigma_{el}(s)$, which is known experimentally. We present in Fig. 1 the integrated cross section of the $\pi+\pi$ elastic scattering in the two versions of the OBE model described above: taking into account 2 resonances $\sigma, \rho$ (dashed blue line) and taking into account 3 resonances $\sigma, \rho$ and $f_2$ (solid red line). Fitting the parameters of both variants of the OBE model (with two- or three-resonance exchange), the data from [63, 64] can be described. The best-fit parameters for the model used here are: $g_\sigma m_{\sigma} = 2.0$, $m_{\sigma} = 0.525$ GeV, $\Gamma_{\sigma} = 0.100$ GeV, $g_\rho = 6.15$, $m_{\rho} = 0.775$ GeV, $\Gamma_{\rho} = 0.15$ GeV, $g_f m_f = 8.0$, $m_f = 1.274$ GeV, $\Gamma_f = 0.18$ GeV. The values of the masses and widths suggest the identification of the $\rho$-resonance to the $\rho$-meson and of the particle $f_2$ to the $f_2(1270)$ in the particle data book [59].

One sees in Fig. 1 that the tensor particle $f_2$ is important for the description of the pion interaction at higher collision energies $\sqrt{s} > 1$ GeV. Neglecting the contribution of the $f_2$ leads to an underestimation of the $\pi+\pi$ production at high energies.
FIG. 2: (Color on-line) Angular differential cross section for pion+pion elastic scattering within two effective models: the exchange of two mesonic resonances, scalar $\sigma$ and vector $\rho$ (blue dashed line), and the exchange of three resonances $\sigma$, $\rho$ and the tensor particle $f_2(1270)$ (red solid line with symbols and gray dashed line). The red solid line with star symbols shows the model with the full momentum dependence of the $f_2$ propagator, while the grey dashed line is obtained neglecting the momentum dependence of the $f_2$ propagator. The green dashed line shows the constant and isotropic $\sigma_{el} = 10$ mb for comparison.

elastic scattering cross section by an order of magnitude around $\sqrt{s} = 1.2 - 1.3$ GeV. Later data on the $\pi + \pi$ interaction at $\sqrt{s} > 1$ GeV – extracted in Ref. [65] from the measurement of the $K + p \rightarrow \Lambda + \pi + \pi$ reaction – also point to the importance of the tensor interaction in the resonance region of the $f_2(1270)$.

With the parameters fixed to the integrated pion elastic scattering cross section as described above, we can calculate the differential cross section $d\sigma_{el}(s)/dt$, which is a necessary ingredient for the calculation of the bremsstrahlung photon production within the soft-photon approximation [57] for the low photon energy and low $\sqrt{s}$ (see below). The results of our calculations for the differential cross section of the process $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi$ as a function of the scattering angle $\Theta$ in the $\pi + \pi$ center-of-mass system is presented in Fig. 2 within two effective OBE models: including the exchange of two mesonic resonances, scalar $\sigma$ and vector $\rho$ (blue dashed line), and including the exchange of three resonances $\sigma$, $\rho$ and the tensor particle $f_2$ (red solid line with symbols and gray dashed lines). The red solid line with star symbols shows the latter model with the full momentum dependence of the $f_2$ propagator, while the grey dashed line is obtained, if the momentum dependence of the $f_2$ propagator is neglected. The green dashed line shows the constant and isotropic $\sigma_{el} = 10$ mb for comparison.

One can see the pronounced influence of the tensor resonance exchange at higher $\sqrt{s}$ of the collision. One observes that for low $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$ GeV the $\sigma$ peak dominates the cross section and the angular dependence is governed fully by the combination of scalar and vector terms. On the other hand, the influence of the $f_2$-resonance is clearly seen at $\sqrt{s} = 1$ GeV and $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ GeV: the model with two resonances (dashed blue line) differs from the results of the other OBE variants. The correct momentum dependence of the $f_2$ propagator is important, as one sees from the difference of the star red and grey dashed lines.

Figs. 1 and 2 demonstrate that the inclusion of the tensor resonance in the model leads not only to an increase of the cross section for $\sqrt{s} > 1$ GeV (in agreement with the data) but also to a considerable "flattening" of the $\cos \Theta$ distribution. The cross section at higher $\sqrt{s}$ in...
the extended model is more isotropic.

Using the OBE model (described above) for the covariant interactions of pions, we can calculate the emission of photons by the colliding pions by gauge coupling to the external hadron lines. The Feynman diagrams for the photon production in the process \( \pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma \) are shown in Fig. 3. For identical pions, e.g. \( \pi^+ + \pi^+ \), the \( u \)-channel diagrams have to be added, which are obtained from the \( t \)-channel diagrams by exchanging the outgoing pions. The applicability of this method is not limited by the low energy of the photon but is restricted only by the applicability of the effective model to the description of the pion (elastic) interaction.

Let us again denote the four-momenta of the incoming pions by \( p_a \) and \( p_b \), the momenta of the outgoing pions by \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \), while the photon momentum will be denoted by \( q = (q_0, q) \). The cross section for photon production in the process

\[
\pi(p_a) + \pi(p_b) \rightarrow \pi(p_1) + \pi(p_2) + \gamma(q) \tag{12}
\]

is given by

\[
d\sigma^\gamma = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{s(s-4m^2)}} |M(\gamma)|^2 dR_3, \tag{13}
\]

where \( dR_3 \) is the three-particle phase space, which depends on the momenta of the outgoing pions and of the photon,

\[
dR_3 = \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^32E_1} \frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^32E_2} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^32q_0} \delta^4(p_a + p_b - p_1 - p_2 - q). \tag{14}
\]

The cross section will be integrated over the final pion momenta to obtain \( d\sigma/d^3q \). The \( \delta \)-function allows to perform four integrations analytically and the remaining two are done numerically.

The matrix element \( M \) in (13) is a coherent sum of the diagrams presented in Fig. 3 – i.e. of the photon attached to each pion line \( \pi_a, \pi_b, \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) – and of contact terms, which account for the emission from the vertices and the internal lines:

\[
|M(\gamma)|^2 = M^\mu(\gamma)M^\mu(\gamma) = |M^\mu_a + M^\mu_b + M^\mu_1 + M^\mu_2|^2. \tag{15}
\]

The complex matrix elements for the photon emission from each of the pion lines \( M^\mu \) are calculated as sums of the three meson exchanges (\( \sigma, \rho, f_2 \)). For instance:

\[
M^\mu_1 = eJ^\mu_1[\sigma A_0(p_a, p_b, p_1 + q, p_2) + \sigma A_1(p_a, p_b, p_1 + q, p_2) + \sigma A_2(p_a, p_b, p_1 + q, p_2)], \tag{16}
\]

with

\[
J^\mu_{a,b} = -Q_{a,b} \frac{(2p_{a,b} - q)^\mu}{2p_{a,b} \cdot q},
\]

\[
J^\mu_{1,2} = Q_{1,2} \frac{(2p_{1,2} - q)^\mu}{2p_{1,2} \cdot q}. \tag{17}
\]

where \( Q_i \) are the charges of the pions in terms of the electron charge \( e \). The matrix elements for the pion elastic subprocess \( M_{el}(p_a, p_b, p_1 + q, p_2) \) are the off-shell generalizations of the formulae (11).

The contact term \( M^\mu_c \) is taken from Ref. [61], eq. (14), where it was derived by demanding the gauge invariance of the resulting cross section. Indeed, the gauge invariance of the result often has to be restored in calculations within effective models. In the present work, we

---

**FIG. 3:** (Color on-line) Feynman diagrams for photon production in the reaction \( \pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma \) in the one-boson exchange (OBE) model. The time goes from left to right. For identical pions, e.g. \( \pi^+ + \pi^+ \), the \( u \)-channel diagrams have to be added.
have used the contact terms in order to cancel the gauge-dependent parts in the matrix element as in Ref. [61]. Alternatively, one can take into account additional diagrams with the emission of photons from the internal lines (see Refs [29]) but this method does not always eliminate the need for contact terms (see Ref. [60]). We have verified that $q_0\mathcal{M}^\mu(\gamma) = 0$. Comparing our results to calculations with a different gauge-fixing method will allow to quantify the uncertainty of the effective model applied (work in progress).

Within the soft-photon approximation (SPA) [58] one assumes that the strong interaction vertex is on-shell. The soft photon approximation is based on the first-order expansion in the Low theorem [60] and is valid at low photon energy and low $\sqrt{s_{\text{mm}}}$ of the meson+meson collision, as has been studied in detail for the production of dileptons in Ref. [29]. In this case the strong interaction part and the electromagnetic part can be separated, i.e. the soft-photon cross section for the reaction $m_1 + m_2 \rightarrow m_1 + m_2 + \gamma$ can be written as

$$q_0 \frac{d\sigma^{\gamma}(s)}{ds} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{EM}}}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{\lambda(s,m_a^2,m_b^2)/s} |\epsilon \cdot J(q,t)|^2 \frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}(s)}{dt} dt,$$  

(18)

where $\alpha_{\text{EM}}$ is the fine structure constant, $t$ is the momentum transfer squared in the $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi$ subprocess, and $\epsilon$ is the photon polarization. $J^\mu$ is the electro-magnetic current

$$J^\mu = -Q_a \frac{p_a^\mu}{(p_a \cdot q)} - Q_b \frac{p_b^\mu}{(p_b \cdot q)} + Q_1 \frac{p_1^\mu}{(p_1 \cdot q)} + Q_2 \frac{p_2^\mu}{(p_2 \cdot q)}.$$  

(19)

The polarization sum

$$|\epsilon \cdot J|^2 = \frac{1}{q_0^2} \left\{ -Q_a^2 + Q_b^2 + Q_1^2 + Q_2^2 \right\}$$  

$$-2(Q_aQ_b + Q_1Q_2) \frac{s - 2m_1^2}{\sqrt{s - s_{\text{mm}}}} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s - 4m_1^2}}{\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{s - 4m_1^2}} \right)$$  

$$+2(Q_1Q_2 + Q_3Q_4) \frac{2m_2^2 - t}{\sqrt{t - 4m_2^2}} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{t + 4m_2^2} + \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t + 4m_2^2} - \sqrt{t}} \right)$$  

$$+2(Q_1Q_2 + Q_3Q_4) \frac{s - 2m_1^2 + t}{\sqrt{(s + t)(s + t - 4m_2^2)}}$$  

$$\times \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s + t} - \sqrt{4m_2^2}}{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{s + t} + \sqrt{4m_2^2}} \right) \right\},$$  

(20)

In [18], $d\sigma_{\text{el}}(s)/dt$ is the on-shell differential elastic $\pi + \pi$ cross section, which is a function of the invariant energy $s$ and the pion scattering angle via $t$.

The expression [18] is considerably simpler in comparison to the “exact” OBE formula [13] because of the factorization of the diagrams from Fig. 8 into the electromagnetic part and the elastic $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi$ sub-process, for the cross section of which the $q$-dependence is omitted. This corresponds to neglecting the off-shellness of the pion, which emits the photon, e.g. for the pion $a$:

$$p_a - q \approx p_a.$$  

(21)

Consequently, the sub-process invariant energy $s_2$ is also approximated by the total invariant energy of the process $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma$:

$$s_2 \equiv (p_a + p_b - q)^2 \approx (p_a + p_b)^2 = s,$$  

(22)

and the limits of integration over $t$ are also taken as for the on-shell case, i.e. from $-\lambda(s,m_a^2,m_b^2)/s$ to 0, while the actual integration over the full 3-particle phase space in the exact treatment involves different limits for $t$.

In Fig. 4 we show the resulting cross sections for the photon production in the process $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma$ within the following models: the “exact” OBE taking into account scalar, vector and tensor interactions via the exchange of $\sigma$, $\rho$ and $f_2(1270)$-mesons gives the red line with star symbols, the soft photon approximation

\[\pi^+ + \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \gamma \quad q_0 = 0.005 \text{ GeV}\]
In the current section we calculate the thermal rates according to formula (23). However, within the PHSD transport approach for the heavy-ion collisions in section [IV] the effects of the quantum statistics will be taken into account.

In Fig. 5 the rates are presented for a temperature $T = 200$ MeV and pion chemical potential $\mu_\pi = 0$ as calculated in the OBE model with three resonance exchange within the soft-photon approximation (red dashed line). The black solid line from Ref. [67] is shown for comparison.

The expression (23) can be generalized to account for quantum effects such as Bose enhancement or Pauli blocking (depending on the particle type) by integrating additionally over the momenta of the final particles and changing the Boltzmann distributions to the Fermi or Bose distribution functions $f_i(T)$:

$$q_0 \frac{dN}{dx^4d^4q} = g \int ds \int \frac{d^3p_a}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p_b}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3} f_a(T) f_b(T) (1 - f_1(T))(1 - f_2(T)) \times \sigma_{\gamma q} (s - (p_a + p_b)^2).$$

In the current section we calculate the thermal rates according to formula (23). However, within the PHSD transport approach for the heavy-ion collisions in section [IV] the effects of the quantum statistics will be taken into account.

In Fig. 5 the rates are presented for a temperature $T = 200$ MeV and pion chemical potential $\mu_\pi = 0$ for the OBE model with three resonance exchanges adopting the soft-photon approximation (red dashed line). The black solid line from Ref. [67] is shown for comparison within the same assumptions (SPA, three resonances) but with a slightly different parameter set of the Lagrangian. It can be significantly improved and the region of its applicability can be extended by slightly modifying the formula (18) – i.e. by evaluating the on-shell elastic cross section at the invariant energy $s_2$ of the sub-process. The latter is kinematically fixed to

$$s_2 = s - q_0 \sqrt{s} \neq s,$$
Thus the modified SPA formula is

$$g_0 \frac{d\sigma}{d^3q} = \frac{\alpha_{EM}}{4\pi} \int_0^{t_{min}} |e \cdot J(q,t)|^2 \frac{d\sigma_{el}(s)}{dt} dt. \quad (28)$$

In the following, we will denote the approximation [28] as “improved SPA” and will show below that it provides a good description of the exact photon production rates.

But first we describe a simple model for the photon production in meson+meson collisions by the bremsstrahlung mechanism, i.e. the approximation of a constant meson + meson elastic cross section. We have seen above that the elastic-scattering cross section of pions is approaching about $\sigma = 10$ mb at high $\sqrt{s}$ and becomes increasingly isotropic. As a very simple estimate for the interaction of two mesons one can use a constant isotropic elastic-scattering cross section of, e.g., $\sigma = 10$ mb at all $\sqrt{s}$. In case of the isotropic cross section $\sigma_{el}$, it can be taken out of the integral [18]. The integration of the electromagnetic current over the photon angle as “improved SPA” and will show below that it provides a good description of the exact photon production rates.

The rate of bremsstrahlung photons at low transverse momenta $p_T < 0.4$ GeV has been calculated before in Ref. [61] within the one-boson exchange model with the exchange of two resonances for the same system. This previous result is shown for comparison by the cyan dashed line and is confirmed by our present calculations. The agreement is expected, since our calculations differ only in the inclusion of the $f_2$-meson exchange, which is important for large $\sqrt{s}$ and does not play an important role for the production of low transverse momentum photons, which is dominated by low $\sqrt{s}$ of the $\pi + \pi$ collisions.

On the other hand, the SPA (model 2) deviates from the exact OBE result (model 1) even at low $g_0$, because the former directly follows the $\sqrt{s}$ structure of the elastic $\pi\pi$ cross section. Since the formula [18] does not account for the off-shellness of the emitting pion, it overweights the high-$\sqrt{s}$ part of the elastic cross section, in line with the findings of Refs. [29, 57]. We note that the OBE model presented here is constrained by the pion scattering data only up to $\sqrt{s_{\pi\pi}} = 1.4$ GeV and generally cannot be extended to large $\sqrt{s}$. Thus the SPA scenario “model 2” is not reliable for large $g_0$ (approximately for $g_0 > 0.8$ GeV). This is not the case for the improved SPA (model 3).

One can see in Fig. 6 that the improved SPA [28] gives a very good approximation to the exact result at higher photon energies of up to $g_0 \approx 2$ GeV. This is because the $\sqrt{s_2}$ of the sup-process does not reach such high values as $\sqrt{s}$, and the OBE model for the elastic cross section is sufficiently realistic in this region of $\sqrt{s_2}$.

In comparison, the constant cross-section approximation (based on formula [30]) overestimates the exact rates for $g_0 > 1$ GeV and underestimates for $g_0 < 0.4$ GeV. This model approximately corresponds to the procedure used previously in our transport calculations for the estimation of the photon bremsstrahlung in meson+meson collisions in Refs. [6, 7, 38]. In the present work, we will use the exact OBE cross section $d\sigma/d^3q$. Therefore, we
FIG. 6: (Color on-line) Invariant rate of bremsstrahlung photons produced from an equilibrated pion gas at $T = 150$ MeV and $\mu_\pi = 40$ MeV versus the photon energy $q_0$. The inset shows the same quantity for the range of photon energies $q_0 = 0.1 - 0.4$ GeV. The calculations have been performed within the following models: (1) OBE model beyond the soft-photon approximation (red solid line with star symbols); (2) OBE model within the soft photon approximation (black short-dashed line); (3) OBE model within the improved soft photon approximation (blue dotted line); (4) the soft photon approximation with the constant isotropic elastic cross section of $\sigma_{el} = 10$ using the formula $[32]$ (green dashed line). The cyan solid line from Ref. [61] is shown for comparison.

will now find a lower yield of bremsstrahlung photons for $q_0 > 1$ GeV in the transport simulations of heavy-ion collisions, see section LV below.

Another reasons for the good agreement between the “improved” SPA rates with the exact ones is that the dominant contribution to the rates comes from the low collision energies $\sqrt{s}$, while the deviation between the exact cross section of the process $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma$ from that calculated within the improved SPA is most pronounced at high $\sqrt{s}$ and high $q_0$. Such high collision energies $\sqrt{s}$ are suppressed in a thermal medium exponentially by the Boltzmann factor describing the occupation of the pion energies at fixed temperature. It is not clear, whether this high accuracy of the “improved SPA” holds also out-of-equilibrium. We show in Fig. 7 explicitly the distributions in the number of meson+meson, meson+baryon and parton+parton collisions versus their invariant energy $\sqrt{s}$ as calculated within the PHSD for the case of a $Au + Au$ collision with the energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV at impact parameter $b = 7$ fm, which is the centrality qualitatively similar to minimal bias. The distribution of meson+meson, meson+baryon and parton+parton collision invariant energies $dN/d\sqrt{s}$ in an actual heavy-ion reaction shows a clear dominance of the low-$\sqrt{s}$ comover collisions. Therefore we expect that the deviations of the cross section $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma$ in the improved SPA from the exact ones at high $\sqrt{s}$ will not influence much the yield of the photons that result from the integration over all pion collisions:

$$\frac{d^3\sigma(AA)}{dq^3} = \int ds \frac{dN_{coll}}{ds} q_0 \frac{d^3\sigma^\gamma(\pi\pi)}{dq^3}. \quad (32)$$

In summarizing the results of the current section, we have improved the implementation of the photon bremsstrahlung in the process $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma$ within the PHSD transport approach, using now the exact OBE cross sections beyond the soft-photon approximation. The bremsstrahlung photon production in collisions of other meson types is treated only approximately, i.e. in analogy to the $\pi + \pi$ collisions by means of mass-scaled cross sections.

We note, that another important source of the photons is the bremsstrahlung in meson+baryon collisions (cf. Ref. [2]). As we have shown above, the SPA gives a good approximation to the exact rates, if we use the correct invariant energy in the hadronic sub-process $s_2 = s - q_0\sqrt{s}$ and a realistic model for the differential cross section of the subprocess, i.e. for the elastic scattering of mesons on baryons. The cross sections for the meson+baryon elastic scatterings (implemented within the PHSD transport approach) have been previously adjusted to the data differentially in energy and angular distribution. Thus we evaluate the photon production in the processes $m + B \rightarrow m + B + \gamma$ in the PHSD by using realistic elastic scattering cross sections taken at the correct invariant energy $\sqrt{s_2}$ in the scope of the improved SPA.

B. The LPM effect

The radiation of photons by charged particles is modified in the medium compared to the vacuum. One of such medium effects is caused by the absence of well-defined incoming and outgoing asymptotic states due to the multiple scattering of particles in a strongly interacting environment. If the subsequent scatterings occur within the time necessary for photon radiation $\tau = \sim 1/q_0$, then the amplitudes for the emission of photons before and after
The charged particle scattering have to be summed coherently. The effect of this destructive interference on the photon spectrum by electrons transversing a dense medium was first studied by Landau and Pomeranchuk in Ref. [68] and Migdal in Ref. [69]. Accordingly, the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal (LPM) effect modifies the spectrum of photons produced in the medium in comparison to the incoherent sum of emissions in quasi-free scatterings, leading especially to a suppression of the low energy photons because the formation time of the photon $\tau_\gamma$ is proportional to the inverse photon energy $1/q_0$. In particular, the LPM effect regularizes the $1/q_0$ divergency of the quasi-free bremsstrahlung spectra. The LPM suppression and the induced thermal mass of the medium quanta (the dielectric effect) together ensure that the photon spectrum is finite in the limit $q_0 \to 0$.

The importance of the LPM effect for the case of dilepton and photon production from QCD systems was shown in Refs. [70,72]. The magnitude of the LPM suppression is governed by the average time between the collisions $\tau$, which in turn is given by the inverse scattering length $a$ or by the inverse average spectral width of the particles $\Gamma$:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{\Gamma}.$$  

(33)

The LPM suppression is more pronounced in case of small $\tau$, i.e. for high reaction rates. Thus we expect it to be important for the emission of photons from the strongly-interacting quark-gluon plasma (sQGP) as created in the early phase of the heavy-ion collision. Indeed, it was shown in Ref. [72] in the scope of the DQPM that the average collision time of partons is as short as $\tau \approx 2 - 3$ fm/c for temperatures in the range $T = 1 - 2 T_c$, where $T_c \approx 158$ MeV is the deconfinement transition temperature. In comparison, the average time between pion collisions in a thermalized pion gas at temperatures $T < T_c$ is above 10 fm/c, see Ref. [70].

The photon production in the sQGP proceeds by the interactions of dressed quarks and gluons through quark annihilation and gluon Compton scattering processes $q + \bar{q} \to g + \gamma$, $q(\bar{q}) + g \to q(\bar{q}) + \gamma$ as well as through the quark bremsstrahlung and off-shell parton decays. In the strongly interacting QGP (and in PHSD), the gluon and quark propagators differ significantly from the non-interacting propagators such that bare production amplitudes can no longer be used [34,35]. The off-shell quarks and gluons have finite masses and widths, which parametrize a resummed interaction of the QGP constituents. The quark off-shellness leads to higher twist corrections ($\sim m_{q/g}^2/s, m_{q/g}^2/t, m_{q/g}^2/u$) to photon and dilepton production cross sections [50,72]. These corrections are small in hard hadron scattering at high center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} > 10$ GeV, but become substantial for photon production in the sQGP, where the characteristic $\sqrt{s}$ of parton collisions is of the order of a GeV (cf. r.h.s. of Fig. 7). Using the cross sections for photon radiation by dressed quarks and gluons in the processes...
the coherent photon emission rate was found to be

\[
dR(q^2) = N \frac{2\alpha_{EM}}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \frac{v^2}{a^2 + q_0^2(1 - v \cos \Theta)} \right),
\]

where the brackets < , > stand for an average over the velocities after the scattering \((v, \cos \Theta)\), while \(N\) is the number of scatterings and \(\alpha_{EM} \approx 1/137\). A realistic parametrization of the data was used for the pion elastic scattering cross section (cf. section [11a]), but the scattering was assumed isotropic. The incoherent rate is obtained from (33) in the limit \(a = 0\).

An analytical form of the coherence factor was obtained in Ref. [72] in the model of hard scattering centers, using a quantum mechanical approach to coherently sum the photon amplitudes from all the scatterings. In the thermal medium the spacial distribution of the scattering centers is assumed random. Consequently, the function \[ ] naturally arises in this model for the distribution of times between collisions by a direct calculation of the two-particle correlation function. The quenching factor in the dipole limit \((\bar{q} = 0)\) was found as

\[
(G(q_0 \tau))^2 = \left( \frac{(q_0 \tau)^2}{1 + (q_0 \tau)^2} \right)^2.
\]

Although formula (36) was obtained in a simple model, it is useful because it correctly captures the dependence of the LPM suppression on the average strength of the interaction given solely by the mean-free-time between collisions \(\tau\) in the assumption of isotropic collisions.

The perturbative interaction of quarks and gluons is dominated by small scattering angles due to the massless particle exchange in the \(t\)-channel diagrams. In this case the coherence factor for the quark system in the limit of small scattering angles was obtained in Ref. [71]. However, up to now the LPM effect in case of a strongly interacting QGP with dressed broad quasi-particles has not been evaluated. The elastic scattering of dressed quarks in the PHSD is not dominated by the \(t \to 0\) pole as in the perturbative case since the gluon mass (of order 1 GeV) acts as a regulator in the amplitude. Accordingly, the angular distribution for quark-quark scattering is closer to an isotropic distribution for low or moderate \(\sqrt{s}\) in accordance with the model assumptions of Ref. [72] such that the expression (36) should apply as an estimate of the LPM suppression for the photon emission within the PHSD.

In Fig. 9 we show the photon emission rate in a QGP at the temperature \(T = 190\) MeV as calculated in the PHSD as an incoherent sum of the photon emission in quark and gluon scatterings (red solid line). The blue dashed line gives the same rate with the quenching factor (36) applied using \(\tau(T) = 1/\Gamma(T) \approx 3.3\) fm/c from

\[
dW = ae^{-\xi a},
\]
that the photon emission rate from a thermal medium is the sQGP we refer the reader to Ref. [78]. We recall sQGP from the DQPM [73] which is roughly in line with the relaxation time approximation for the rate of the upper limit on the LPM suppression we employ the relaxation time approximation for the radiation from the strongly-interacting quark-gluon plasma consisting of massive broad quasi-particle quarks and gluons (red solid line) scaled by $8\pi/(3T^2)$ in order to match the electric conductivity for $q_0 \to 0$ (cf. Eq. (37)). The blue dashed line and the magenta dotted line show the coherent rates with the two assumptions for the average time between the collisions $\tau$ from the DQPM model and from the AdS/CFT correspondence.

FIG. 9: (Color on-line) Incoherent invariant photon production rate from the strongly-interacting quark-gluon plasma consisting of massive broad quasi-particle quarks and gluons (red solid line) scaled by $8\pi/(3T^2)$ in order to match the electric conductivity for $q_0 \to 0$ (cf. Eq. (37)). The blue dashed line and the magenta dotted line show the coherent rates with the two assumptions for the average time between the collisions $\tau$ from the DQPM model and from the AdS/CFT correspondence.

the DQPM (for $T=190$ MeV). We observe that the suppression in comparison to the incoherent rate is visible only for photon energies $q_0 < 0.4$ GeV. For an estimate of the upper limit on the LPM suppression we employ the relaxation time approximation for the ratio of the shear viscosity over entropy density $\eta/s$ which gives $\eta/s \approx 0.14$ at $T=190$ MeV in DQPM [23]. The lowest bound as conjectured within the AdS/CFT correspondence is $\eta/s = 1/(4\pi) \approx 0.08$. In the relaxation time approximation this corresponds to a lower value of $\tau \approx 1.9$ fm/c. The coherent photon rate in this case is given by the magenta dotted line and shows a peak in the photon rate for $q_0 \approx 0.2$ GeV.

In order to further clarify the strength of the LPM suppression of the photon emission in the sQGP, we use the knowledge of the electric conductivity $\sigma_0(T)$ of the sQGP from the DQPM [23] which is roughly in line with more recent results from lattice QCD. For details of the latter analysis on the electric and magnetic response of the sQGP we refer the reader to Ref. [78]. We recall that the photon emission rate from a thermal medium is controlled by $\sigma_0$ [79] via the relation,

$$\frac{\sigma_0}{T} = \frac{8\pi^3}{3T^2} \lim_{q_0 \to 0} \left( q_0 \frac{dR}{dq_0} \right),$$

(37)

where $T$ is the temperature of the system, $q_0$ is the photon energy and $\vec{q}$ is the photon momentum. Using the number for $\sigma_0/T$ from the PHSD at the temperature of $T = 190$ MeV from Ref. [73], we obtain a limiting value for the scaled photon emission rate of 0.04 for $q_0 \to 0$ according to formula (37) (green short dashed line in Fig. 9). The blue dashed line in Fig. 9 – the estimate of the rate based on formula (36) and the DQPM average spectral width of the quarks/anti-quarks – indeed approaches the limiting value of 0.04 as given by the kinetic calculations of the electric conductivity in Refs. [73, 78].

Taking into account some uncertainty in the determination of $\tau$ and the expression (36), we conclude from Fig. 9 and analogous calculations at different temperatures that the LPM effect influences the photon production from the QGP at photon energies below $q_0 \approx 0.4$ GeV, but is negligible for higher photon energies. We note in passing that the suppression of the photon spectrum in the hadronic phase is much smaller due to the lower interaction rate, i.e. longer interaction time $\tau$.

C. Additional meson-nucleon processes

In the present work we incorporate into the PHSD approach additionally the $2 \to 2$ processes $V + N \to N + \gamma$, where $V$ stands for a vector meson while $N$ denotes a proton or neutron. These processes are the baryonic counterparts to the mesonic $2 \to 2$ reactions $\pi + \rho/\pi \to \gamma + \pi/\rho$. We here consider the interaction of nucleons with the mesons $V = \rho, \omega, \phi$, taking into account the various possible charge combinations, e.g. $p^0 + p \to \gamma + p$, $\rho^- + p \to \gamma + n$, $p^+ + n \to \gamma + p$, etc. Additionally, we take into account the photon production in the decay of the $\Delta$-resonance, $\Delta \to N + \gamma$.

In order to evaluate the probabilities for photon production in the collisions of vector mesons with nucleons, we use the inverse processes $\gamma + N \to \rho + N$, $\gamma + N \to N + \gamma + N$, $\omega + N \to N + \gamma$, $\phi + N \to N + \gamma$, etc. Additionally, we take into account the photon production in the decay of the $\Delta$-resonance, $\Delta \to N + \gamma$.

We recall that the detailed balance formula reads:

$$\sigma(NV \to \gamma N) = \frac{g_\gamma}{g_V} \frac{P_N^2}{P_N^2} \sigma(\gamma N \to NV),$$

(38)

where $g_\gamma = 2$ and $g_V = 3$ are the spin degeneracy factors of the photon and the vector meson $V$, $P_N^2$ is the center-of-mass momentum in the $N + \gamma$ system, and $P_N^2$ is the center-of-mass momentum in the $N + V$ system.

The cross sections for the exclusive photo-production of $\rho$, $\phi$ and $\omega$ vector mesons on the nucleon have
been measured by the Aachen-Berlin-Bonn-Hamburg-Heidelberg-Munich (ABBHHM) Collaboration and published in Ref. \[80\]. In the same work also parametrizations for these cross section have been given that are based on the vector-meson-dominance model with a non-relativistic Breit-Wigner (BW) spectral function for the $\rho$-meson. Later, the fits have been updated in Ref. \[81\] using relativistic BW spectral functions for $\rho$, $\omega$ and $\phi$ mesons.

The total cross sections – fitted in Ref. \[81\] – are given by

$$\sigma(\gamma N \rightarrow VN) = \frac{1}{p_{N,\gamma}^8} \int d\mu |M_V|^2 p_{N,V}^2 A_V(\mu),$$

(39)

where the mass of the vector meson is distributed by the spectral function $A_V(\mu)$:

$$A_V(\mu) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\mu^2 \Gamma(\mu)}{(\mu^2 - M_i^2)^2 + \mu^2 \Gamma^2(\mu)},$$

(40)

with $M_i$ denoting the pole mass of the meson. The matrix elements for $\gamma + N \rightarrow V + N$ are parametrized as

$$|M_\rho|^2 = 0.16 \text{ mb GeV}^2,$$

$$|M_\omega|^2 = \frac{0.08 \ p_{N,V}^2}{2(\sqrt{s} - 1.73 \text{ GeV})^2 + p_{N,V}^2} \text{ mb GeV}^2,$$

$$|M_\phi|^2 = 0.004 \text{ mb GeV}^2.$$

(41)

The cross sections \[39\] with the parameters \[41\] are consistent with the dynamics of vector mesons in the PHSD, where also relativistic BW spectral functions for vector mesons are used and propagated off-shell.

For the angular distribution of the $\rho$-meson production in the process $\gamma + N \rightarrow N + \rho$, we follow the suggestion of Ref. \[81\],

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} \sim \exp(Bt),$$

(42)

with the photon-energy dependent parameter $B$ (fitted to the data): $B = 5.7$ for $q_0 \leq 1.8$ GeV, $B = 5.43$ for $1.8 < q_0 \leq 2.5$ GeV, $B = 6.92$ for $2.5 < q_0 \leq 3.5$ GeV, $B = 8.1$ for $3.5 < q_0 \leq 4.5$ GeV, $B = 7.9$ for $q_0 > 4.5$ GeV.

The data in Ref. \[81\] have shown that the cross section is dominated by the $t \approx 0$ region in line with the physics assumptions of the vector dominance model (VDM) where the process $\gamma + N \rightarrow V + N$ is described by the incident photon coupling to the vector meson of helicity $\pm 1$, which consequently is scattered elastically by the nucleon (cf. Refs. \[82\] \[83\]).

Let us now briefly describe the modeling of the photon production in the decays of the $\Delta$-resonance. The $\Delta \rightarrow N\gamma$ width depends on the resonance mass $M_\Delta$, which is distributed according to the $\Delta$ spectral function. Starting from the pioneering work of Jones and Scadron \[84\], series of models \[86\] \[88\] provided the mass-dependent electromagnetic decay width of the $\Delta$ in relation to the total width of the baryon. We employ the model of Ref. \[87\] in the present calculations where the spectral function of the $\Delta$ resonance is assumed to be of relativistic Breit-Wigner form. We adopt the "Monitz" parametrization \[89\] for the shape of the $\Delta$ spectral function, i.e. the dependence of the width on the mass $\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(M_\Delta)$.

IV. COMPARISON TO DATA FROM HEAVY-ION COLLISIONS

Before presenting the results on photon spectra from heavy-ion collisions we stress again that the PHSD approach so far has provided a consistent description of the bulk properties of heavy-ion collisions – rapidity spectra, transverse mass distributions, azimuthal asymmetries of various particle species – from low SPS up to LHC energies \[31\] \[32\] \[44\]. Furthermore, dilepton production from hadronic and partonic sources has been calculated at SPS, RHIC and LHC energies \[17\] \[19\] and successfully compared to the available dilepton data \[90\]. Accordingly, the global dynamics of the bulk matter, its collective flow as well as the electromagnetic emissivity in heavy-ion collisions appear to be well under control. Here we extend our previous photon studies in Refs. \[4\] \[7\] at the top RHIC energy also to the top SPS and LHC energy incorporating the improved cross sections as described above.

A. Direct photon spectra from SPS to LHC

We start with the system Pb+Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 17.3$ GeV, i.e. at the top SPS energy. Fig. \[10\] shows the comparison of the PHSD calculations to the data of the WA98 Collaboration from \[91\] for 10% centrality in the pseudorapidity interval $2.35 < \eta < 2.95$. In addition to the sources, which have been incorporated in the original HSD study \[38\], the meson+baryon bremsstrahlung, $VN \rightarrow N\gamma$, $\Delta \rightarrow N\gamma$ decay and the QGP channels are added. Compared to the earlier results of Ref. \[38\], the description of the data is further improved and the conclusions remain unchanged: the bremsstrahlung contributions are essential for describing the data at low $p_T$. This interpretation is shared by the authors of Refs. \[61\] \[67\] \[92\], who also stressed the importance of the meson+meson bremsstrahlung in view of the WA98 data using hydrodynamical or fireball models. Note that the photon contribution from the QGP is practically negligible for low $p_T$ and reaches at most 25% at $p_T > 0.5$ GeV.

We now step on to the top RHIC energy of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and update our results on the differential photon spectra for the system Au+Au. The results for the direct photon spectrum as a sum of partonic as well as hadronic sources for the photons produced in 0-40% central Au+Au collisions is presented in Fig. \[11\] as a function of the transverse momentum $p_T$ at mid-rapidity $|y| < 0.5$. 
This observable has been calculated within the PHSD earlier \[6, 7\], but has to be revisited in the present work since we have incorporated additional photon production channels such as the binary baryon+meson collisions \( V + N \rightarrow N + \gamma \), \( \Delta \rightarrow N\gamma \) and improved the calculation of the \( m + m \rightarrow m + m + \gamma \) bremsstrahlung channel based on the OBE model results which go beyond the soft-photon approximation. Indeed, a direct comparison of Fig. 11 to our previous results for the direct photon spectra at RHIC (cf. Fig. 1 in Ref. 6 and Fig. 3 of Ref. 8) shows that the data seem to favor the OBE model employed here in comparison to the SPA with the simple constant isotropic cross section employed earlier. In particular the agreement with the data is improved in the high-\( p_T \) range.

We recall that in our calculations the direct photon spectrum has the following contributions: photon bremsstrahlung in meson+meson \( m + m \rightarrow m + m + \gamma \) (blue dash-dotted line) and meson+baryon collisions \( m + B \rightarrow m + B + \gamma \) (magenta dashed line); photon production in the QGP in the processes \( q + q \rightarrow g + \gamma \), and \( q(\bar{q}) + g \rightarrow q(\bar{q}) + \gamma \) (green line with yellow circles); the reactions \( \pi + \rho \rightarrow \pi + \gamma \) and \( \pi + \pi \rightarrow \rho + \gamma \), (cyan line with open symbols); the meson+baryon reactions \( V + N \rightarrow N + \gamma \) (orange line with filled symbols); decays of \( \phi \) and \( a_1 \) mesons and of \( \Delta \)-baryons (black short-dashed line); the photon production in the initial hard collisions ("pQCD") is given by the hard photon yield in \( p+p \) collisions scaled with the number of collisions \( N_{\text{coll}} \) (violet dotted line). The measured transverse momentum spectrum \( dN/dp_T \) (given by the filled circles) is reproduced well by the sum of all partonic and hadronic sources at all centralities (red solid line).

As we have previously stressed in Ref. 6, the centrality dependence of the photon spectra carries additional information, which can be used to disentangle the hadronic and partonic sources of the photon production. The recent measurements by the PHENIX Collaboration [28] confirm the predictions within the PHSD from Ref. 7. The centrality dependence of the integrated thermal photon yield in PHSD was found to scale as \( N_{\text{part}}^\alpha \) with the exponent \( \alpha \approx 1.5 \), which is in a good agreement with the most recent measurement of \( \alpha = 1.48 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.04 \) by the PHENIX Collaboration [28]. We compare our calculations and the data in Fig. 12. In the present work we have added the cross sections of the processes \( V + N \rightarrow \gamma + N \) by exploiting detailed balance from the cross sections [39] and the photons from the \( \Delta \)-Dalitz decays. The contributions of these channels to the direct photon yield in the \( A + A \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) at four different centralities are explicitly shown in Fig. 12 where the recent measurements...
by the PHENIX Collaboration [28] are compared to the PHSD spectra, too. It can be seen in Fig. 12 that the inclusion of the photon production from the $V + N$ reactions does not enhance the total direct photon yield much at all four centralities. We find that partonic channels and bremsstrahlung photon production “overshine” the $2 \to 2$ reactions, both meson+meson and (vector) meson+baryon channels.

The modification of vector meson spectral functions in the nuclear medium is an interesting phenomenon, which has been found essential for the understanding of dilepton production at SPS and RHIC energies [47, 48]. Accordingly, there should be also an effect of the in-medium modification of vector-meson spectral functions on the photon production in the $V + N$ reactions. However, since the $V + N$ channel does not dominate the spectrum at any photon energy, we conclude that this effect cannot be resolved in the total direct photon spectra at the present level of the data accuracy at RHIC; this has been explicitly shown earlier in Ref. [38] for the top SPS energy.

Next, let us investigate the photon production across the phase transition in the heavy-ion collision to check whether the observed yield of direct photons is produced dominantly in some particular region of the energy-density or in some particular phase of matter. Fig. 13 shows the yield of photons produced at mid-rapidity in 0-20 % most central $Au + Au$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV as functions of the approximate local “temperature” (i.e. the fourth-root of the energy density) from the PHSD. The top panel of Fig. 13 presents the calculations for photons with the transverse momentum $p_T = 0.5$ GeV, while the bottom panel corresponds to photons with a transverse momentum $p_T = 1.5$ GeV. We observe that the early, hot state does not dominate the photon production in the QGP contrary to expecta-
tions of the static thermal fireball model, where photon production is roughly proportional to a power of the temperature. The integration over the dynamical evolution of the heavy-ion collision leads to roughly the same contribution of the different energy density regions since the rate decreases but the space-time volume increases. The radiation from hadrons and their interactions continues to interact elastically, which is accompanied by the photon bremsstrahlung in case of charged hadrons.

We now increase the invariant energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ by roughly another factor of 14. In Fig. 14 we show the direct photon yield from PHSD in Pb+Pb collisions at the invariant energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV for 0-40% centrality. When comparing the theoretical predictions to the preliminary data of the ALICE Collaboration from Ref. [2], we find a rather good overall agreement with the data within about a factor of 2 in the range of transverse momenta $p_T$ from 1 to 4 GeV. On the other hand, the calculations tend to underestimate the preliminary data in the low-$p_T$ region [93]. However, the significance of the comparison is not robust until the final data will be available.

In conclusion, we have found that from SPS to LHC energies the radiation from the sQGP constitutes less than half of the observed number of direct photons for central reactions. The radiation from hadrons and their interactions – which are not measured separately so far – give a considerable contribution especially at low transverse momentum. The dominant hadronic sources are the meson decays, the meson-meson bremsstrahlung and the meson-baryon bremsstrahlung. While the former (e.g. the decays of $\omega$, $\eta'$, $\phi$ and $a_1$ mesons) can be subtracted from the spectra once the mesonic yields are determined independently by experiment, the reactions $\pi+\rho \to \pi+\gamma$, $\pi+\pi \to \rho+\gamma$, $V+N \to N+\gamma$, $\Delta \to N+\gamma$ as well as the meson-meson and meson-baryon bremsstrahlung can be separated from the partonic sources only with the assistance of theoretical models.
B. Elliptic flow of direct photons

The azimuthal momentum distribution of the emitted particles is commonly expressed in the form of a Fourier series as

$$E \frac{d^3N}{d^3p} = \frac{d^2N}{2p_T dp_T dy} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n(p_T) \cos[n(\psi - \Psi_n)] \right),$$

where \(v_n\) is the magnitude of the \(n\)th order harmonic term relative to the angle of the initial-state spatial plane of symmetry \(\Psi_n\) and \(p = (E, \vec{p})\) is the four-momentum of the particle under consideration. We here focus on the coefficients \(v_2\) and \(v_3\) which implies that we have to perform event by event calculations in order to catch the initial fluctuations in the shape of the interaction zone and the event plane \(\Psi_{EP}\). We calculate the triangular flow \(v_3\) with respect to \(\Psi_3\) as \(v_3(\Psi_3) = \langle \cos(3[\psi - \Psi_3]) \rangle / \text{Res}(\Psi_3)\). The event plane angle \(\Psi_3\) and its resolution \(\text{Res}(\Psi_3)\) are evaluated as described in Ref. [91] via the two-sub-events method [95, 96].

We recall that the second flow coefficient \(v_2\) carries information on the interaction strength in the system – and thus on the state of matter and its properties – at the space-time point, from which the measured particles are emitted. The elliptic flow \(v_2\) reflects the azimuthal asymmetry in the momentum distribution of the produced particles (\(p_x\) vs \(p_y\)), which is a consequence of the geometrical azimuthal asymmetry of the initial reaction region. If the produced system is a weakly-interacting gas, then the initial spatial asymmetry is not effectively transferred into the final distribution of the momenta. On the contrary, if the produced matter has the properties of a liquid, then the initial geometrical configuration is reflected in the final particle momentum distribution.

More than a decade ago, the WA98 Collaboration has measured the elliptic flow \(v_2\) of photons produced in \(Pb + Pb\) collisions at the beam energy of \(E_{beam} = 158\) AGeV [97], and it was found that the \(v_2(\gamma^{inc})\) of the low-transverse-momentum inclusive photons was equal to the \(v_2(\pi^0)\) of pions within the experimental uncertainties. This observation lead to the conclusion that either (Scenario a.) the contribution of the direct photons to the inclusive ones is negligible in comparison to the decay photons, i.e. dominantly the \(\pi^0\) decay products, or (Scenario 2.) the elliptic flow of the direct photons is comparable in magnitude to the \(v_2(\gamma^{inc}), v_2(\gamma^{decay})\) and \(v_2(\pi)\).

However, in view of the direct photon spectrum from WA98, which we described in Section IV there is a significant finite yield of direct photons at low transverse momentum. Thus the scenario 1 can be ruled out. Furthermore, the observed direct photons of low \(p_T\) must have a significant elliptic anisotropy \(v_2\) of the same order of magnitude as the hadronic flow since they dominantly stem from hadronic sources. Thus the interpretation [38, 61] of the low-\(p_T\) direct photon yield measured by WA98 – as dominantly produced by the bremsstrahlung process in the mesonic collisions \(\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma\) is in accord also with the data on the photon elliptic flow \(v_2(\gamma^{inc})\).

Let us note that the same conclusions apply also to the most recent studies of the photon elliptic flow at RHIC and LHC. The PHENIX and ALICE Collaborations have measured the inclusive photon \(v_2\) and found that at low transverse momenta it is comparable to the \(v_2(p_T)\) of decay photons as calculated in cocktail simulations based on the known mesonic \(v_2(p_T)\). Therefore (a) either the yield of the direct photons to the inclusive ones is not
statistically significant in comparison to the decay photons or (b) the elliptic flow of the direct photons must be as large as \( v_2(\gamma^{\text{decay}}) \) and \( v_2(\gamma^{\text{incl}}) \).

In Refs. [6, 7] we have shown the elliptic flow of the inclusive and direct photons produced in minimal bias Au+Au collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \) GeV from the PHSD in comparison to the data of the PHENIX Collaboration. We found that the data on the inclusive photon \( v_2 \) could approximately be described. Furthermore, the pion decay photons dominate the inclusive photon spectrum. Since the elliptic flow of pions is under control in PHSD in comparison to the data of the PHENIX and STAR Collaborations (cf. Refs. [1, 6, 44, 98, 99]), the spectrum of decay photons is also predicted reliably by the model. However, the good agreement with the inclusive photon spectrum is especially meaningful due to the good description of the direct photon spectrum which was presented above in section [17].

After subtracting the contribution of the decay photons from the inclusive photons, the decay photon \( v_2 \) is accessed experimentally. In the PHSD, we calculate the direct photon \( v_2(\gamma^{\text{dir}}) \) by building the weighted sum of the channels, which are not subtracted by the data-driven methods, as follows: the photons from the quark-gluon plasma, from the initial hard parton collisions (pQCD photons), from the decays of short-living resonances (\( a_1 \)-meson, \( \phi \)-meson, \( \Delta \)-baryon), from the binary meson+meson and meson+baryon channels (\( \pi + \rho \to \pi + \gamma, \pi + \pi \to \rho + \gamma, V + p/n \to n/p + \gamma \)), and from the bremsstrahlung in the elastic meson+meson and meson+baryon collisions (\( m + m \to m + m + \gamma, m + B \to m + B + \gamma \)). We calculate the direct photon \( v_2 \) by summing up the elliptic flow of the individual channels contributing to the direct photons, using their contributions to the spectrum as the relative \( p_T \)-dependent weights, \( w_i(p_T) \), i.e.

\[
v_2(\gamma^{\text{dir}}) = \sum_i v_2(\gamma^i)w_i(p_T) = \frac{\sum_i v_2(\gamma^i)N_i(p_T)}{\sum_i N_i(p_T)}. \tag{43}
\]

The results for the elliptic flow \( v_2(p_T) \) of direct photons produced in \( Au + Au \) collisions at the top RHIC energy are shown in Fig. 15. In comparison to the previous results within the PHSD approach [6, 7], the elliptic flow in the intermediate region of the transverse momenta \( 1.0 < p_T < 2.0 \) GeV is reduced by about 50% due to the modifications in our treatment of the bremsstrahlung channels beyond the soft-photon approximation in the present work. According to our calculations of the direct photon spectra (as presented above), almost half of the direct photons measured by PHENIX (in central collisions) stems from the collisions of quarks and gluons in the deconfined medium created in the initial phase of the collision. The photons produced in the QGP carry a very small \( v_2 \) and lead to an overall direct photon \( v_2 \) about a factor of 2 below the pion \( v_2(\pi) \) even though the other channels in the sum [18] have large elliptic flow coefficients \( v_2 \) of the order of \( v_2(\pi) \) (cf. Fig. 7 of Ref. [6]). The analysis in Ref. [44] does not support such a scenario.

Indeed, the parton collisions – producing photons in the QGP – take place throughout the evolution of the collision but the collision rate falls rapidly with time and thus the production of photons from the QGP is dominated by the early times. As a consequence, the elliptic flow 'picked up' by the photons from the parent parton
collisions saturates after about 5 fm/c and reaches a relatively low value of about 0.02, only. We note that a delayed production of charges from the strong gluon fields (‘glasma’ \cite{104,103}) might shift the QGP photon production to somewhat later times when the elliptic flow is built up more. However, we cannot quantitatively answer whether the additional evolution in the pre-plasma state could generate considerable additional \(v_2\).

The centrality dependence of the direct photon elliptic flow has been calculated within the PHSD in Ref. \cite{27} and confirmed (within the error bars) by the PHENIX Collaboration measurements in Refs. \cite{27,28}. Thus the observed centrality dependence of the elliptic flow is in agreement with the interpretation of the direct photons having a hadronic origin (in particular from the bremsstrahlung in meson+meson and meson+baryon collisions), which is stronger in more peripheral collisions.

In the following we present predictions for the elliptic flow of inclusive and direct photons produced in \(Pb+Pb\) collisions at the energy of \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76\) TeV as will be measured at the LHC. First, we show in Fig. \ref{fig:16} the elliptic flow \(v_2\) versus transverse momentum \(p_T\) for the charged particles produced in 20-30\% central \(Pb+Pb\) collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76\) TeV from the PHSD (solid red line); the blue band reflects the statistical uncertainty of the calculations. The PHSD results are compared to the data of the ALICE Collaboration which suggests that the bulk dynamics is reasonably under control in the PHSD at these energies and the elliptic flow of the final particles is described up to \(p_T \approx 2\) GeV/c in this centrality range.

Finally we present our predictions for the elliptic flow of inclusive and direct photons produced in \(Pb+Pb\) collisions at the energy of \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76\) TeV at the LHC within the acceptance of the ALICE detector. Fig. \ref{fig:17} (left hand side) presents predictions for the elliptic flow \(v_2\) versus transverse momentum \(p_T\) for the inclusive photons produced in 0-40\% central \(Pb+Pb\) collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76\) TeV (solid red line) with the blue error band reflecting the finite statistics and the theoretical uncertainty in the modeling of the cross sections for the individual channels. The elliptic flow \(v_2(p_T)\) of direct photons produced in 0-40\% central \(Pb+Pb\) collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76\) TeV as predicted by the PHSD (solid red line) is shown in Fig. \ref{fig:18} the blue error band is dominated by the uncertainty in the modeling of the cross sections for the individual channels. The lines presented in Fig. \ref{fig:17} will have to be compared to the future experimental data in order to shed further light on the direct photon sources and production mechanisms.

### C. Triangular flow of direct photons

We have seen in the previous sections that the measured spectra of direct photons could be reproduced by the PHSD calculations within a factor of 2 (which is comparable with the current accuracy of the measurements). Also, the inclusive photon \(v_2\) was described and the elliptic flow of direct photons was qualitatively in line with the data and attributed essentially to hadronic sources.

On the other hand, there exists an alternative interpretation of the strong elliptic flow of direct photons, in which the azimuthal asymmetry of the photons is due to the initial strong magnetic field essentially produced by spectator charges (protons). Indeed, the magnetic field strength in the very early reaction stage reaches up to \(eB_\parallel \approx 5m^2_\pi\) in semi-peripheral \(Au+Au\) collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200\) GeV (see the calculations within the PHSD in Ref. \cite{104}; comparable estimates have been obtained also in Refs. \cite{24,105}). These strong magnetic fields might influence the photon production via the polarization of the medium, e.g. by influencing the motion of charged quarks in the QGP, or by directly inducing a real photon radiation via the virtual photon (\(\bar{B}\)-field) coupling to a quark loop and (multiple) gluons; the photons are then produced azimuthally asymmetrically.

The photon production under the influence of magnetic fields has been calculated in Refs. \cite{24,25,107}. The observed spectra and elliptic flow of direct photons could be explained using suitable assumptions on the conductivity, bulk viscosity or degree of chemical equilibrium in the early produced matter. The common feature of these calculations was that the triangular flow coefficient \(v_3\) of the direct photons was expected to be very small. Indeed, the magnetic field may lead to an azimuthal asymmetry \(v_2\) but not to a triangular mode.

Consequently, it is of interest to measure experimen-
In the present work we have calculated the transverse momentum spectra, the elliptic flow $v_2$ and triangular flow $v_3$ of direct photons produced in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and in $Pb + Pb$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV using the microscopic PHSD transport approach. For thermal photon production we have considered the interactions of quarks and gluons in the strongly interacting quark-gluon plasma (sQGP) ($q + \bar{q} \rightarrow g + \gamma$ and $q(\bar{q}) + g \rightarrow q(\bar{q}) + \gamma$), the photon production in the hadronic decays ($\pi \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$, $\omega \rightarrow \pi + \gamma$, $\eta' \rightarrow \rho + \gamma$, $\phi \rightarrow \eta + \gamma$, $a_1 \rightarrow \pi + \gamma$, $\Delta \rightarrow N + \gamma$) as well as the interactions ($\pi + \pi \rightarrow \rho + \gamma$, $V + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \gamma$, the $2 \rightarrow 2$ interaction of mesons and baryons $\rho + n/p \rightarrow \gamma + n/p$, and last but not least the bremsstrahlung radiation in meson+meson and meson+baryon scattering $m + m/B \rightarrow m + m/B + \gamma$ throughout the evolution of the collision. The pQCD photons produced in the initial hard binary scatterings are added to the thermal photons in order to obtain the final direct photon spectrum.

In extension of our previous works on photon production in heavy-ion collisions at the top RHIC energy we (i) went beyond the soft-photon approximation (SPA) in the calculation of the bremsstrahlung processes $meson + meson \rightarrow meson + meson + \gamma$, $meson + baryon \rightarrow meson + baryon + \gamma$, (ii) quantified the suppression at low $p_T$ due the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal (LPM) effect in connection with the electric conductivity, and (iii) incorporated the $V + N \rightarrow \gamma + N$ and $\Delta \rightarrow N + \gamma$ channels into the PHSD. Furthermore (iv), we have presented calculations for Pb+Pb collisions at SPS and LHC energies.

The result from Ref. [61] for the reaction $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma$, obtained within the one-boson exchange model beyond the soft-photon approximation – available up to

V. SUMMARY

The preliminary data do not seem to point towards an interpretation of the direct photons being dominantly produced in the early stage under the influence of the magnetic field (b), because the $v_3$ of these photons is expected to be close to zero. Of course, the photon production in the magnetic fields occurs on top of other channels, which may carry finite $v_3$. But the weighted sum of all the channels including the magnetic-field-induced photons will give a smaller $v_3 \neq 0$ than the sum without this channel. The scenario (c) has been studied by other groups within a hydrodynamic modeling of the collision in Refs. [13, 110]. The triangular flow $v_3(p_T)$ of direct photons from Refs. [13, 110] is about a factor of 2 smaller than that obtained in the present work. It will be possible to differentiate between the scenarios in the future, when data of higher accuracy will become available.

We show in Fig. [20] our predictions for the triangular flow $v_3(p_T)$ of the direct photons produced in 0-40% most central $Pb + Pb$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV as well as measured at the LHC. We expect the triangular flow of direct photons to reach at maximum $v_3^{max} = 0.04 \pm 0.015$, thus being comparable to the charged hadron $v_3$. A confirmation of this expectation experimentally can further affirm the notion of large hadronic contributions to the direct photons and in particular the photon production via the bremsstrahlung in meson and baryon collisions.

In Fig. [19] we present our results for the triangular flow $v_3$ versus transverse momentum $p_T$ for the direct photons produced in min. bias Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV as predicted by the PHSD (solid red line); the blue band reflects the uncertainty in the modeling of the cross sections for the individual channels.

In extension of our previous works on photon production in heavy-ion collisions at the top RHIC energy we (i) went beyond the soft-photon approximation (SPA) in the calculation of the bremsstrahlung processes $meson + meson \rightarrow meson + meson + \gamma$, $meson + baryon \rightarrow meson + baryon + \gamma$, (ii) quantified the suppression at low $p_T$ due the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal (LPM) effect in connection with the electric conductivity, and (iii) incorporated the $V + N \rightarrow \gamma + N$ and $\Delta \rightarrow N + \gamma$ channels into the PHSD. Furthermore (iv), we have presented calculations for Pb+Pb collisions at SPS and LHC energies.

The result from Ref. [61] for the reaction $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi + \gamma$, obtained within the one-boson exchange model beyond the soft-photon approximation – available up to
the photon energy of 0.4 GeV – is confirmed by our present calculations that extend to 2 GeV. Furthermore, the improved SPA \cite{25} gives a very good approximation to the exact result even at high $\sqrt{s}$ as long as the model for the elastic cross section is sufficiently realistic. In comparison, the constant-cross-section approximation based on the formula \cite{20} (used before) overestimates the exact rates for $q_0 > 1$ GeV and underestimates for $q_0 < 0.4$ GeV. The OBE differential cross sections have been implemented in the PHSD transport approach.

When employing the PHSD model for relativistic heavy-ion collisions we have found that the calculations reproduce the transverse momentum spectra of direct photons as measured by the PHENIX Collaboration in Refs. \cite{26, 27} for Au+Au collisions at the top RHIC energy. The calculations reveal the channel decomposition of the observed direct photon spectrum and show that the photons produced in the QGP constitute at most about 50% of the direct photons in central collisions with the rest being distributed among the other channels: mesonic interactions, decays of massive hadronic resonances and the initial hard scatterings. Our calculations demonstrate that the photon production in the QGP is dominated by the early phase (similar to hydrodynamic models) and is localized in the center of the fireball, where the collective flow is still rather low, i.e. on the 2-3% level, only. Thus, the strong $v_2$ of direct photons - which is comparable to the hadronic $v_2$ - in PHSD is attributed to hadronic channels, i.e. to meson and baryon binary reactions. On the other hand, the strong $v_2$ of the ‘parent’ hadrons, in turn, stems from the interactions in the QGP via collisions and the partonic mean-field potentials. Accordingly, the presence of the QGP shows up ‘indirectly’ in the direct photon elliptic flow.

We, furthermore, have demonstrated that the elliptic flow of charged particles from PHSD is in a reasonable agreement with the ALICE data. Predictions for the inclusive photon $v_2$ and direct photon $v_2$ have been provided as well as associated results for the triangular direct photon flow $v_3(p_T)$ which is as large as the charged hadron $v_3$ at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 0.2$ TeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. The large triangular flow of direct photons seen by PHENIX at the top RHIC energy is in line with the PHSD calculations and in conflict with a scenario that attributes the large direct photon $v_2$ to the influence of the early strong magnetic field from the spectator charges.

The centrality dependence of the direct photon yield and flow – as measured by the PHENIX Collaboration – has the potential to further clarify the direct photon production mechanisms. We find a good agreement between the PHENIX measurements and the PHSD calculations. In particular, the integrated thermal photon yield in PHSD was predicted to scale as $N_{part}^{\alpha}$ with the exponent $\alpha \approx 1.5$, which is in a good agreement with the most recent measurement of $\alpha = 1.48 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.04$ by the PHENIX Collaboration \cite{28}. This observation supports the conclusion that the low transverse momentum direct photons have a strong contribution from the binary hadronic photon production sources, such as the $meson + meson$ and $meson + baryon$ bremsstrahlung. It will be important to investigate experimentally the scaling of the direct photon yield and flow with centrality also in Pb+Pb collisions at the LHC energies.
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