Influence of the Coriolis force on the instability of slowly pulsating B stars
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ABSTRACT

This paper explores the effect of rotation on the \(\kappa\)-mechanism instability of slowly pulsating B stars. A new nonadiabatic code, that adopts the so-called ‘traditional approximation’ to treat the Coriolis force, is used to investigate the influence exerted by rotation over the stability of stellar models covering the mass range 2.5 \(M_\odot \leq M_\star \leq 13.0 M_\odot\). The principal finding is that, for all modes considered apart from the prograde sectoral class, rotation shifts the \(\kappa\)-mechanism instability toward higher luminosities and effective temperatures; these shifts are accompanied by broadenings in the extent of instability strips. Such behaviour is traced to the shortening of mode periods under the action of the Coriolis force. Instability strips associated with prograde sectoral modes behave rather differently, being shifted to marginally lower luminosities and effective temperatures under the influence of rotation.

The implications of these results are discussed in the context of the observational scarcity of pulsation in B-type stars having significant rotation; various scenarios are explored to explain the apparent dichotomy between theory and observations. Furthermore, the possible significance of the findings to Be stars is briefly examined.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The slowly pulsating B (SPB) stars are a homogeneous class of mid-B type, main-sequence objects that exhibit multiperiodic light and line-profile variations over timescales on the order of 1–5 days. Waelkens (1991) was the first to classify these stars as a distinct group of early-type nonradial pulsators, by combining under the same aegis the photometric variables discovered by Waelkens \& Rufener (1985), and the 53 Per spectroscopic variables first observed by Smith (1977). The theoretical pulsation characteristics of these objects have since been studied extensively, resulting in a canonical picture (see, e.g., Pamyatnykh 1999, and references therein) of high-order g-mode pulsation, driven by the same iron-group \(\kappa\) mechanism responsible for the instability of the \(\beta\) Cep pulsators (Dziembowski \& Pamyatnykh 1993).

One outstanding issue in the understanding of SPB stars is the effect rotation has on their instability. Photometric surveys of open clusters by Balona (1994), Balona \& Koen (1994) and Balona \& Laney (1995, 1996) failed to find any evidence for SPB-like variability, but the authors did note that the stars observed were characterized by moderate or rapid projected equatorial rotation velocities (\(v \sin i \gtrsim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)). This result has led some to suggest that rotation suppresses the \(\kappa\)-mechanism excitation of g modes (see, e.g., Balona 2001). However, an alternative interpretation has been explored by Townsend (2003b), who examined the effect of the rotation-originated Coriolis force on the flux perturbations produced by low-frequency g modes. He demonstrated that the confinement of these modes within an equatorial waveguide (e.g., Lee \& Saio 1990; Townsend 2003a) reduces the amplitude of the resulting photometric variations, possibly to below the sensitivity of the observations.

This paper addresses the SPB-rotation question from a more-theoretical perspective, by examining the effect the Coriolis force has on the \(\kappa\)-mechanism instability of SPB stars. The high-order g modes excited in these objects are characterized by low frequencies, and their dynamics can thus be expected to be influenced appreciably by the Coriolis force (see Townsend 2005). For this reason, canonical approaches that treat the rotation as a small perturbation to the pulsation (e.g., Carroll \& Hansen 1982; Unno et al. 1989, their §19 and references therein) are not well suited to SPB stars. Building on a methodology originated by Lee
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& Saio (1987a,b), alternative treatments have emerged over the past decade (see, e.g., Lee 1998, 2001); however, these are characterized by such a high degree of mathematical and numerical complexity, that their large-scale application is — with present-day computational resources — impractical.

The approach adopted herein aims at a compromise between sophistication and practicality, by employing an approximate method to treat the pulsation–rotation interaction (Sec. 2) that is well-suited to the g modes found in SPB stars. This approach is applied to a range of B-type stellar models (Sec. 3), with the results of these stability calculations presented in Section 4. The findings of the analysis are then discussed and summarized in Section 5.

2 METHOD

2.1 Theoretical Treatment

From a theoretical standpoint, the introduction of rotation significantly complicates the analysis of stellar pulsation. The centrifugal force tends to distort the equilibrium star away from a spherical configuration, and the Coriolis force leads to mixing between the radial and angular components of the fluid momentum. Both of these processes mean that the linearized equations describing nonradial, nonadiabatic pulsation are no longer separable in all coordinates, leading to a significant increase in the computational effort necessary for their solution (see, e.g., Lee 2001).

However, for the case of the low-frequency g modes found in SPB stars, an approximate treatment of the Coriolis force can be used to restore the separability of the pulsation equations, and thereby render the problem tractable even with modest computing resources. At the heart of this approach is the so-called ‘traditional approximation’, introduced in a geophysical context by Eckart (1960), and first applied to stellar pulsation by Lee & Saio (1987a). The traditional approximation involves neglecting the polar component of the rotation angular frequency vector $\Omega$, in the Coriolis terms of the linearized momentum equation. As discussed by Lee & Saio (1997), this approximation is reasonable throughout regions where the pulsation frequency $\sigma$ (as measured in the corotating frame) and the rotation frequency $\Omega$ are both very much less than the Brunt-Väisälä frequency $\mathcal{N}$ associated with the gravitational stratification of the medium. In the case of g modes in B-type stars, this condition is fulfilled in almost all of the stably stratified, radiative envelope where the modes are propagative.

In addition to the traditional approximation, the treatment presented herein requires a number of other simplifications to permit the separation of the pulsation equations in all three spherical-polar coordinates $\{r, \theta, \phi\}$. These simplifications, which follow the analysis by Townsend (2003b), are:

(i) The assumption that the rotation\footnote{Assumed throughout to be uniform.} is slow enough for both the centrifugal force, and the departures from sphericity engendered by it, to be neglected. In this context, ‘slow’ may be interpreted as the condition $\Omega^2 \ll \Omega_c^2$, where

$$\Omega_c \equiv \sqrt{\frac{SGM_*}{27R_*^3}} \quad (1)$$

defines the critical rotation frequency within the Roche model, with $M_*$ and $R_*$ being the stellar mass and radius, and $G$ the gravitational constant.

(ii) The Cowling (1941) approximation, whereby perturbations to the gravitational potential are neglected. This approximation is reasonable for all but low-order, low-degree modes.

(iii) The nonadiabatic radial flux (NARF) approximation, whereby the divergence of the horizontal Eulerian flux perturbation is neglected in the energy equation. As demonstrated by Townsend (2003b), this approximation — first introduced by Savonije et al. (1995) — is valid under the same conditions ($\sigma, \Omega \ll \mathcal{N}$) as the traditional approximation itself.

(iv) The steady-wave approximation, whereby the imaginary part $\sigma_t$ of the pulsation frequency $\sigma$ is neglected in solving the angular parts of the pulsation equations. This approximation, which is discussed by Townsend (2000), is appropriate when the growth rate of the pulsation is slow, so that $\sigma_t$ is very much smaller than the corresponding real part $\sigma_r$ of the pulsation frequency.

This collection of approximations and assumptions closely follows those employed by Ushomirsky & Bildsten (1998), with the exception that their use of the quasi-adiabatic approximation is replaced herein by the adoption of the NARF approximation. This replacement is particularly significant, in that the quasi-adiabatic approximation can lead to incorrect results when applied to B-type stars (see, e.g., Dziembowski et al. 1993); in contrast, the NARF approximation is able to capture the essential physics of the nonadiabatic processes responsible for the $\kappa$-mechanism instability.

2.2 Pulsation Equations

Within the approximations outlined in the preceding section, the spatial and temporal dependence of perturbed variables is expressed in the corotating frame as

$$f'(r, \mu, \phi, t) = f'(r) \Theta^m_{\ell}(\mu) e^{i(\nu \phi + \sigma t)} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\delta f(r, \mu, \phi, t) = \delta f(r) \Theta^m_{\ell}(\mu) e^{i(\nu \phi + \sigma t)}, \quad (3)$$

where Eulerian and Lagrangian perturbations are represented by primes (’) and $\delta$, respectively; $t$ is the temporal coordinate; and $\mu \equiv \cos \theta$. Here, the Hough function $\Theta^m_\ell(\mu)$ is a solution to Laplace’s tidal equation (see, e.g., Bildsten et al. 1996), that depends implicitly on the ‘spin parameter’ $\nu \equiv 2\Omega/\sigma_t$, characterizing the relative strength of Coriolis and buoyancy forces (Townsend 2005). In the limit of no rotation, $\Theta^m_\ell(\mu)$ approaches the normalized Legendre function $P^m_\ell(\mu)$ (see Lee & Saio 1990) having harmonic degree $\ell$ and azimuthal order $m$.

Inspired by the dimensionless formulations introduced by Saio & Cox (1980) and Lee & Saio (1997), which themselves are built on the seminal Dziembowski (1971) treatment, the radial dependencies $f'(r)$ and $\delta f(r)$ of perturbed
The dimensionless pulsation frequency \( \nu \) is expressed in terms of a set of eigenfunctions (1989), with the exception of the introductions and all other symbols follow the nomenclature of Unno et al. (1989), with the exception of the effective harmonic degree \( \ell \) and Cowling (1941) approximation; this choice centres around neglecting perturbations to the convective source term in the linearized energy equation, as per eqn. 21.7 of Unno et al. (1989). Although Pessnell (1990) has argued that such an approach is unphysical, it is required to ensure the pulsation equations remain self-consistent at the origin. In any case, since g modes do not penetrate far into convective regions, any errors introduced by this convection-freezing choice should be minimal.

Solutions of the pulsation equations (7–10) are required to satisfy boundary conditions at the centre and at the surface. The inner conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell \omega^2 q_1 - \hat{\ell} q_2 &= 0 \quad &\text{as } x \to 0, \\
3\ell q_1 - x^3 q_6 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(15)

ensure that solutions remain finite and regular at the origin. Likewise, the outer boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
(2 - 4\nabla \omega^2 V) q_1 + 4\nabla \omega^2 V q_2 + 4 q_3 - q_6 &= 0 \quad &\text{at } x = 1
\end{align*}
\]  

(16)

follow from the assumptions that the surface pressure tends to zero, and that there is no flux incident from outside the star (see, e.g., Unno et al. 1989). Finally, the arbitrary overall scaling of solutions is constrained by the normalization condition

\[
q_1 = 1 \quad \text{at } x = 1.
\]  

(17)

2.3 Implementation

To solve the pulsation equations (7–10) and accompanying boundary conditions (15–17), a wholly-new Fortran 95 code was developed. The code, named BOOM3, follows the root-finding approach pioneered by Castor (1971) and Osaki & Hansen (1973): one of the boundary conditions is set aside, allowing solution of the equations to be achieved at arbitrary dimensionless frequency \( \omega \). The suppressed boundary condition is then used to construct a discriminant \( D(\omega) \), whose roots correspond to the eigenfrequencies of the full system of equations.

\( \text{Note that the sign of the } c_3/c_1 \omega^2 \text{ term in their equation is incorrect.} \)

\( ^2 \) The indices 3 and 4 are traditionally reserved for the perturbation to the gravitational potential and its radial derivative; due to the adoption of the Cowling (1941) approximation, they are not used in the present analysis.
In the present case, the outer mechanical boundary condition is used to form the discriminant
\[ D(\omega) = \frac{(q_1 - q_2)_{x=1}}{(q_1 + q_2)_{x=0}} \]  
(18)
The numerator is the boundary condition itself, while the denominator – which is guaranteed by the inner boundary conditions (15) never to be zero – ensures that the discriminant remains well behaved (see Townsend 2000). To calculate the solution vector \( q_i \) required to evaluate this discriminant, BOOJUM uses a standard relaxation approach (e.g., Press et al. 1992); because the pulsation equations are linear in \( q_i \), only a single iteration is required at each value of \( \omega \). The \( \ell \)-dependent scalings, adopted in the definitions (4) of the eigenfunctions, successfully prevent the loss of precision near the centre discussed by Takata & Löffler (2004). For improved accuracy, centred finite differences are used in the relaxation algorithm; however, in regions where \( c_4 > 10^4 \), BOOJUM switches to one-sided differences for the thermal equations (9–10), in accordance with the Sugimoto (1970) prescription for avoiding numerical stability (and see also Unno et al. 1989, their §24).

Solution of the characteristic equation
\[ D(\omega) = 0, \]  
(19)
defining the eigenfrequencies of the pulsations, is accomplished in BOOJUM using the robust Traub (1964) implementation of the root-finding algorithm devised by Muller (1956); this algorithm is a generalization of the complex secant approach favoured by Castor (1971). Note that the latter author used approximate roots of the characteristic equation to find starting points for the solution, via iterative relaxation, of the full pulsation equations. A somewhat simpler approach is adopted by BOOJUM, whereby equation (19) is solved to the desired fractional tolerance in \( \omega \) (in the present work, \( 10^{-10} \) for both real and imaginary parts), and no additional calculations are performed.

For calculation of the \( \lambda_m^m \) eigenvalue, required (e.g., eqn. 6) to evaluate the \( \ell \) terms appearing in the pulsation equations and boundary conditions, BOOJUM uses the matrix-mechanical approach pioneered by Lee & Saio (1987a). The implementation largely follows the procedure described by Townsend (2003b); however, the truncation dimension \( N \) is determined dynamically, by repeatedly doubling \( N \) until the fractional change in \( \lambda_m^m \) drops below some specified threshold (taken to be \( 10^{-5} \) throughout the present work). Furthermore, the algorithm due to Kahan (1966), as implemented by the LAPACK subroutine library (Anderson et al. 1999), is used for calculating the matrix eigenvalues; this algorithm performs significantly better at finding isolated eigenvalues than the previously-adopted QL approach.

As input, BOOJUM is supplied with the desired mode parameters \( (\ell, m) \), the rotation angular frequency \( \Omega \), and an indication of the region over which to search for eigenfrequencies satisfying the characteristic equation (19). The coefficients appearing in the pulsation equations and boundary conditions are evaluated using a precomputed stellar model (see Sect. 3); the model – typically composed of ca. 1,000 radial points – is interpolated onto a new grid having 10,000 points, distributed non-uniformly via an approach similar to that described by Christensen-Dalsgaard & Mullan (1994, their Appendix A3). Cubic splines are used for interpolating

![Figure 1. The theoretical HR diagram for the stellar models introduced in Section 3; these are plotted as points in the effective temperature \((T_{\text{eff}})\) versus stellar luminosity \((L_*)\) plane. The dashed lines running diagonally from top left to bottom right indicate the ZAMS and TAMS main sequence limits, while the asterisk shows the location of the 53 Per-like model analyzed in Section 4.1. Full evolutionary tracks for four selected models are also shown in the diagram, plotted as solid lines and labeled by their corresponding stellar masses, in solar units. The two highermass tracks do not reach the low-temperature boundary of the diagram, because the Warsaw-New Jersey evolutionary code is unable to follow these models beyond the ignition of core helium burning.](image_url)

3 STELLAR MODELS

The Warsaw-New Jersey stellar evolution code is used to calculate 115 tracks of stellar models, sampling the initial mass range \( M_\star = 2.5 M_\odot - 5.2 M_\odot \) at a resolution 0.05 \( M_\odot \), the range \( M_\star = 5.2 M_\odot - 9.2 M_\odot \) at a resolution 0.1 \( M_\odot \), and the range \( M_\star = 9.2 - 13.0 M_\odot \) at a resolution 0.2 \( M_\odot \); each track extends from zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) to terminal-age main sequence (TAMS). Details of the code have already been given by Dziembowski & Pamyatnykh (1993) and Dziembowski et al. (1993); the only significant difference in the present work is the adoption of more-recent OPAL tabulations for opacity (Iglesias & Rogers 1996) and equation of state (Rogers et al. 1996). In all cases, the initial hydrogen and metal mass fractions are set at the canonical values \( X = 0.7 \) and \( Z = 0.02 \), with a heavy-element mixture taken from Grevesse & Noels (1993). No account is taken of the effect of rotation on the stellar evolution; although Pamyatnykh (1999) has demonstrated that rotation-induced mixing can shift the red edge of the SPB instability strip to
lower effective temperatures, the present analysis is more concerned with the dynamical influence of rotation on SPB pulsation.

Each of the calculated evolutionary tracks is composed of approximately 42 stellar models, with a grand total of 4,876 models for the entire set. Fig. 1 plots the positions of these models in the theoretical Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram, along with the loci defining the ZAMS and TAMS boundaries. The dense model coverage of the main sequence band is to facilitate the accurate positioning of instability strips (Sec. 4.2) in the $T_{\text{eff}} - L_*$ plane.

4 STABILITY CALCULATIONS

4.1 53 Per Model

From the models introduced in the preceding section, one is selected as having parameters close to the values inferred by De Ridder et al. (1999) for 53 Per, the archetypal SPB star; these parameters are documented in Table 1, and the corresponding position in the HR diagram is shown in Fig. 1 by an asterisk. This 53 Per-like model is employed to examine the general influence the Coriolis force exerts over $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$ nonradial $g$ modes. Eigenfrequencies of these modes are calculated using BOOJUM, for all possible values $-\ell \leq m \leq \ell$ of the azimuthal order, and over a range of angular frequencies ranging from the non-rotating case up to the intermediate rate $\Omega/\Omega_c = 0.5$. This upper limit corresponds to a rotation period $\Pi = 1.26$ days, with an equatorial velocity $v_c$ that is 35% of the star’s critical velocity $v_c = 419$ km s$^{-1}$; by way of comparison, the most rapidly-rotating SPB star known to date, HD 1976, rotates at $\sim 32\%$ of its critical velocity (Mathias et al. 2001).

The results from these calculations are shown in Fig. 2, where the corotating frame period $P \equiv 2\pi/\sigma$, of each mode is plotted as a function of rotation angular frequency. Points associated with eigenfunctions $q_i$ having the same radial order $\ell^4$ are linked together into a single, continuous curve; the weight of the curves, at each value of $\Omega$, is used to indicate whether a mode is stable ($\sigma_i > 0$) or unstable ($\sigma_i < 0$) against $x$-mechanism excitation.

At $\Omega = 0$, the eigenfrequencies are degenerate in azimuthal order $m$, owing to the arbitrariness of the model’s polar axis. This degeneracy is lifted upon introducing the first-order relation

$$\Delta \sigma_i \approx m\Omega C_{\ell m},$$

(20)

or alternatively

$$\Delta P \approx -m\Pi C_{\ell m},$$

(21)

derived by Ledoux (1951); here, $\Pi \equiv 2\pi/\Omega$ is the rotation period, and $\Delta$ denotes the change in the indicated quantity. In the case of the high-order $g$ modes considered herein, the term $C_{\ell m}$ approximates to $1/\ell(\ell + 1)$, explaining why the $\ell = 1$ modes exhibit steeper gradients $\Delta P/\Delta \Omega$ around $\Omega = 0$.

Toward larger values of $\Omega$, departures from the above linear relations are increasingly apparent in Fig. 2. For all apart from the prograde sectoral (PS) modes having $\ell = -m$, these departures produce an overall trend of decreasing pulsation period with increasing rotation rate, irrespective of whether the mode is prograde ($m < 0$), retrograde ($m > 0$) or zonal ($m = 0$). Such behaviour comes from the influence of the Coriolis force, and can readily be understood by recalling that – at the most general level – the frequency of a wave may be expressed as the square root of the ratio between a generalized stiffness and a generalized inertia (see, e.g., Lighthill 1978). With the introduction of

| $T_{\text{eff}}/K$ | $\log g/cm^2s^{-2}$ | $L_*/L_{\odot}$ | $M_*/M_{\odot}$ | $R_*/R_{\odot}$ |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 15,300           | 4.04                | 600             | 4.80            | 3.48            |

Table 1. Fundamental parameters of the 53 Per-like stellar model analyzed in Section 4.1.

| $(\ell, m)$ | $|\tilde{n}|$ | $P$ (days) | $P_1$ (days) | $\tilde{\ell}/\ell$ |
|------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------------|
| (1, -1)    | 12 – 22    | 1.10 – 1.93| 1.10 – 1.93 | 1.00 – 1.00        |
| (1, 0)     | 12 – 22    | 1.10 – 1.93| 1.10 – 1.93 | 1.00 – 1.00        |
| (1, 1)     | 12 – 22    | 1.10 – 1.93| 1.10 – 1.93 | 1.00 – 1.00        |
| (2, -2)    | 12 – 29    | 0.64 – 1.46| 0.64 – 1.46 | 2.00 – 2.00        |
| (2, -1)    | 12 – 29    | 0.64 – 1.46| 0.64 – 1.46 | 2.00 – 2.00        |
| (2, 0)     | 12 – 29    | 0.64 – 1.46| 0.64 – 1.46 | 2.00 – 2.00        |
| (2, 1)     | 12 – 29    | 0.64 – 1.46| 0.64 – 1.46 | 2.00 – 2.00        |
| (2, 2)     | 12 – 29    | 0.64 – 1.46| 0.64 – 1.46 | 2.00 – 2.00        |

Table 2. Instability ranges for $g$ modes of the 53 Per-like model; for each $(\ell, m)$ pair considered, and at three differing rotation rates, the range of $|\tilde{n}|$ spanned by unstable modes is tabulated, along with the corresponding values of the corotating frame period $P$, the inertial frame period $P_1$ and the harmonic degree ratio $\tilde{\ell}/\ell$. The absolute value of $\tilde{n}$ is used, because this radial order is negative for $g$ modes.

4 As defined by Unno et al. (1989, their eqn. 17.5), within the generalization to the Cowling (1941) nomenclature introduced by Scuflaire (1974) and Osaki (1975).
rotation, the stiffness\textsuperscript{5} usually due to buoyancy is augmented by the Coriolis force; this leads to an increase in frequency, and thence a corresponding decrease in mode period.

Because the strength of the Coriolis force varies with spin parameter $\nu$, the effects described are differential: the periods of long-period, larger-$\nu$ modes are shortened by a far greater degree than those of short-period, smaller-$\nu$ modes. Accordingly, the density of the pulsation spectrum, as measured by the number of modes either per period interval or per frequency interval, increases markedly with rotation rate. As can be seen in Fig. 2, this spectral compression is especially pronounced for the $m = 1$ modes.

This latter result warrants some explanation. For non-PS modes in the inertial regime $|\nu| > 1$, the Coriolis force acts to confine the modes within an equatorial waveguide.

\textsuperscript{5} That is, the restoring force on displaced fluid elements.

Figure 2. Pulsation periods for $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$ g modes of the 53 Per-like model, plotted as a function of rotation frequency up to a maximum $\Omega = 0.5 \Omega_\odot$. Each curve corresponds to a particular radial order $\tilde{n}$; the line weight is used to indicate whether modes are stable (thin) or unstable (thick) against the $\kappa$-mechanism instability. To improve the clarity of the figure, only radial orders $|\tilde{n}| \leq 75$ are plotted.
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whose boundaries are situated at $\mu = \pm 1/|\nu|$ (see, e.g., Bildsten et al. 1996). A requirement of the trapping is that the $s + 1$ nodes of the Hough function $\Theta^m_\ell$ be fitted within these waveguide boundaries, where

$$s = \ell - |m| \pm 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

is the meridional order introduced by Townsend (2003a). In this expression, the difference between the prograde and zonal modes ($m \leq 0$; minus sign) and the retrograde modes ($m > 0$; plus sign) comes about because an extra pair of nodes appears in the latter when $|\nu| > 1$ (see Lee & Saio 1990). In the limit $|\nu| \gg 1$, the fitting requirement is embodied in the angular characteristic equation

$$\lambda^m_\ell \approx \nu^2 (2s + 1)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

for the Hough-function eigenvalue (Townsend 2003a, his eqn. 38), corresponding to an effective harmonic degree

$$\tilde{\ell} \approx \sqrt{\lambda^m_\ell} \approx \begin{cases} (2\ell - 2|m| - 1) \nu & (m \leq 0), \\ (2\ell - 2|m| + 3) \nu & (m > 0). \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Regarding the ratio $\tilde{\ell}/\ell$ as a measure of the severity of equatorial confinement, this latter expression indicates $m = 1$.

Figure 2 – continued
modes – for each value of $\ell$ – are the most affected by the Coriolis force. This result can be seen in the right-most column of Table 2 introduced below; physically, it follows directly from the fact that these modes have the largest meridional orders ($s = 1$ for $\ell = 1$, and $s = 2$ for $\ell = 2$) and are therefore the most compressed in the polar direction when squeezed into the equatorial waveguide.

The foregoing analysis does not apply to the PS modes, $(\ell, m) = (1, -1)$ and $(\ell, m) = (2, -2)$, whose periods lengthen somewhat toward larger $\Omega$. As discussed by Townsend (2003a), this class of mode is transformed by the Coriolis force into equatorial Kelvin waves. Such waves have different properties than the usual gravito-inertial waves found in a rotating, stratified system; in particular, they are characterized by geostrophic balance, whereby the Coriolis force arising from azimuthal fluid motions is countered by polar pressure gradients (see, e.g. Gill 1982). Due to this balance, the Coriolis force makes relatively little difference to the generalized stiffness of PS modes, and therefore does not produce the marked period decrease seen for the other modes.

As a prelude to the analysis presented in the following section, the focus now turns briefly toward the stability of the modes plotted in Fig. 2. Once again, there is a dichotomy between the PS modes and the others: the former are partly stabilized by rotation, and the latter partly destabilized. However, in both cases the rotation does not alter the property that a contiguous sequence of modes is unstable toward the $\kappa$ mechanism. With this result in mind, Table 2 succinctly summarizes the data shown in the figure, by indicating the range of the instability, at three differing rotation rates, for each $(\ell, m)$ pair considered. This range is expressed in terms of the radial orders of the highest- and lowest-frequency unstable modes; the corresponding corotating frame period $P$, inertial frame period$^6$ $P_i \equiv 2\pi/|\sigma - m\Omega|$, and harmonic degree ratio $\tilde{l}/l$ are also tabulated. From the table, it is evident that a grand total of 7 out of the 29 initially unstable PS modes are stabilized by the rotation. In the same way, the 94 non-PS modes that are initially unstable are augmented, upon the introduction of rotation, by the destabilization of a further 58; the largest gains, of 16 additional unstable modes, are accorded to the $(\ell, m) = (1, 1)$ group.

An important result clearly seen in Fig. 2, is that the stabilization or destabilization of modes, with varying rotation rate, does not suffice to maintain the boundaries of the instability at a constant frequency or period. For instance, the $(\ell, m) = (1, 1)$ modes mentioned above exhibit instability over the period range $\sim 1.05$–1.98 days in the non-rotating case; but this range is narrowed and shifted to $\sim 0.65$–1.05 days in the rapid-rotation limit. Thus, even though more modes are unstable in this limit, they occupy a narrower region of the pulsation spectrum; such behaviour is a direct consequence of the spectral compression discussed previously.

The processes selecting which modes are unstable are similar to those operative in a non-rotating star. As discussed by Dziembowski et al. (1993), one requirement for the $\kappa$ mechanism to work efficiently is that the relative Lagrangian pressure perturbation $\delta p/p$ be large and slowly varying with radius within the excitation zone. This condition establishes the short-period limit of the instability at $|\tilde{\nu}| \sim 12$ (c.f. Table 2); because the shape of radial eigenfunctions is insensitive to the strength of the Coriolis force (see, e.g., Ushomirsky & Bildsten 1998), this limit does not vary appreciably with rotation rate.

The corresponding long-period limit is determined not so much by the efficiency of the $\kappa$-mechanism driving, but more by the onset of significant thermal damping. This damping arises due to radiative diffusion between neighbouring fluid elements, operating primarily in a region of the envelope (log $T \sim 5.9$) situated below the excitation zone. For the diffusion to be effective in stabilizing the pulsation requires a combination of long pulsation periods and high radial orders, the latter serving to steepen the temperature gradients driving the diffusion. The interplay between these two factors leads to a long-period instability limit that is quite sensitive to the rotation rate, as Fig. 2 attests.

### 4.2 Instability Strips

The focus is now broadened, from the 53 Per-like model considered in the preceding section, to the complete set of stellar models introduced in Section 3. At three differing rotation rates, $\Omega/\Omega_\odot = 0.0$, 0.25 and 0.5, $\textsc{boojum}$ is used to search for unstable $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$ g modes; the results of these calculations are presented in Fig. 3, where the same HR diagram shown in Fig. 1 is overplotted by the instability strip associated with each $(\ell, m)$ combination at each rotation rate. These instability strips enclose all models that are unstable ($\sigma_i < 0$) toward the excitation of one or more modes of the indicated type.

As with the preceding section, there is a clear division between the PS and the non-PS modes. The effect of rotation on the former is to shift their instability strips along the main sequence, toward lower temperatures and luminosities. The converse behaviour is exhibited by the latter, for which instability strips are shifted toward higher temperatures and luminosities. These shifts affect the blue edges of the instability to a greater degree than the corresponding red edges.

For instance, the stellar models lying along the ZAMS are, in the absence of rotation, unstable toward $(\ell, m) = (1, 1)$ modes over the temperature range $4.06 \leq \log T_{\text{eff}} \leq 4.21$. Upon the introduction of rotation, at the rate $\Omega/\Omega_\odot = 0.5$, this range shifts and broadens to $4.08 \leq \log T_{\text{eff}} \leq 4.28$.

The behaviour of both classes of mode can be understood with reference to the prerequisites for excitation discussed by Dziembowski et al. (1993). In addition to the aforementioned restriction on the pressure eigenfunction (c.f. Sec. 4.1), it is necessary that the thermal timescale in the excitation zone be comparable to, or longer than, the pulsation period. This latter condition is the key to the behaviour seen in Fig. 3. The thermal timescale

$$\tau_{\text{th}}(r) = \frac{\int_r^R T c_p \, dM}{L},$$

(25)

evaluated at the radius where the opacity derivative $\kappa T^4$ is maximal, is a strong function of stellar effective temperature; it declines rapidly toward larger values of $T_{\text{eff}}$, because

---

6 For retrograde modes, negative values of $P_i$ – e.g., in the final row of Table 2 – indicate the mode is prograde in the inertial frame.
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Figure 3. Instability strips in the HR diagram, for $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$ g modes of the stellar models introduced in Fig. 1. In each panel, corresponding to a particular combination $(\ell, m)$ of mode parameters, the extent of the $\kappa$-mechanism instability is indicated using thick lines, at the three differing rotation rates $\Omega/\Omega_c = 0.0$ (solid), 0.25 (dotted) and 0.5 (dashed) considered. The uneven boundaries of the instability strips, evident in some panels, are due to the discrete spacing of the stellar models in the $T_{\text{eff}}$–$L_*$ plane.

Consequently, for the non-PS modes, whose periods become shorter under the influence of the Coriolis force, the effect of rotation is to shift the instability toward stars having higher $T_{\text{eff}}$, so that the $\tau_h$–$P$ matching condition can still be met. Conversely, for the PS modes, the marginal lengthening in periods due to rotation pushes the instability toward slightly lower $T_{\text{eff}}$.

Fig. 4 illustrates these processes, by plotting instability regions in the $T_{\text{eff}}$–$P$ plane for stellar models situated along the ZAMS and TAMS boundaries of the main sequence. These regions, the complements of the instability strips shown in Fig. 3, indicate how the range of unstable-mode periods evolves with changing effective temperature; also shown in the figure, as separate curves for the ZAMS...
and the TAMS models, is the thermal timescale within the excitation zone. For the non-PS modes, the period shortening caused by rotation is evidently responsible for the shift of the $\kappa$-mechanism instability toward higher effective temperatures, in order to maintain the loose correspondence between $\tau_{th}$ and $P$. Likewise, for the PS modes, the period lengthening causes the instability to shift to lower effective temperatures, once again to keep $\tau_{th}$ in step with $P$.

It is instructive to relate the foregoing analysis to previous studies (e.g., by Balona & Dziembowski 1999) of the effect that a varying harmonic degree $\ell$ has on the instability of SPB stars. It can be recognized that the pulsation equations (7–10) are – modulo a number of approximations – no different than those describing modes of harmonic degree $\tilde{\ell}$ in a non-rotating star. Accordingly, the influence of the Coriolis force on the period and stability of an individual mode can be followed simply by allowing $\tilde{\ell}$ to vary in some specified manner. For non-PS modes, this variation assumes the asymptotic form given in equation (24); clearly, any increase in $\nu$, corresponding to more-rapid rotation, leads to a proportional increase in $\tilde{\ell}$. But, as the instability strips presented by Balona & Dziembowski (1999, their Fig. 5) re-
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Figure 4. Instability regions in the $T_{\text{eff}}$–$P$ plane, for $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$ g modes of stellar models lying along the ZAMS and TAMS boundaries of the main sequence (c.f. Fig. 1). In each panel, corresponding to a particular combination ($\ell, m$) of mode parameters, the extent of the $\kappa$-mechanism instability is indicated using thick lines, at the three differing rotation rates $\Omega/\Omega_c = 0.0$ (solid), 0.25 (dotted) and 0.5 (dashed) considered. The points, comprising separate curves for the ZAMS and the TAMS models, indicate the thermal timescale $\tau_{th}$ within the excitation zone.

veal, the result of raising the harmonic degree is to shift the g-mode instability toward higher luminosities and effective temperatures – exactly the behaviour manifested in the blueward displacement, at higher rotation rates, of the instability strips plotted in Fig. 3. A similar line of reasoning can be applied to the PS modes.

Although these parallels to the non-rotating case are instructive, an important caveat should be made regarding their use. Reitering the fact that the spin parameter $\nu$ is itself a function of the pulsation frequency $\sigma$, it is clear that the effective harmonic degree $\tilde{\ell}$ assumes different values even among modes having the same true harmonic degree $\ell$ and azimuthal order $m$. This result, already discussed in Section 4.1 under the guise of spectral compression, is why the Coriolis force tends not only to shift the $\kappa$-mechanism instability strips for SPB stars, but also acts to broaden them.
5 DISCUSSION & SUMMARY

In the preceding sections, an approximate method for treatment of the Coriolis force (Sec. 2.1) is used to devise equations governing nonadiabatic, nonradial pulsation of rotating stars (Secs. 2.2). These equations are solved (Sec. 2.3) for a range of mid-B type stellar models (Sec. 3); the general finding (Sec. 4) is that the Coriolis force shifts the instability strip associated with $\kappa$-mechanism excitation of g modes, toward higher luminosities and effective temperatures for non-PS modes, and toward lower luminosities and effective temperatures for prograde sectoral modes.

An immediate corollary of this result is that the Coriolis force is unable to stabilize all B-type stars against g mode pulsation; rather, it can only alter which particular stars – at a given rotation rate – are unstable. Similar conclusions were reached by Ushomirsky & Bildsten (1998) in their quasi-adiabatic analysis, and by Lee (2001) in his complete treatment of the Coriolis force. However, as discussed in Section 1, observations of SPB stars reveal an apparent paucity of objects rotating at significant rates. How might this discrepancy between theory and observations be resolved?

One possibility is that the centrifugal force, neglected in the present treatment and by Lee (2001), can act to stabilize modes. Certainly, not taking this force into account will introduce a certain level of error in the results – especially at the upper limit $\Omega/\Omega_c = 0.5$ adopted herein, which comes...
very close to violating the assumption (c.f. Sec. 2.1) that \( \Omega^2 \ll \Omega_c^2 \). However, Lee & Baraffe (1995) and Lee (1998) have demonstrated that the centrifugal force has little effect on the stability of the g modes characteristic to SPB stars. Perhaps a more plausible scenario is that resonant coupling, between modes of differing harmonic degree (see, e.g. Chandrasekhar & Lebovitz 1962), may act to suppress the \( \kappa \)-mechanism instability. These couplings, which are neglected in the present analysis because of the adoption of the traditional approximation, were shown by Lee (2001) to inhibit the excitation of selected modes that would otherwise be unstable in rotating SPB stars.

Nevertheless, it is highly unlikely that resonant coupling could suppress the instability of all modes. With this in mind, it appears increasingly probable that, as the theoretical analysis indicates, g modes are excited in rotating SPB stars; but that the equatorial-confinement effects demonstrated by Townsend (2003b) render them very difficult to detect observationally. In support of this interpretation for the observational scarcity of rotating SPB stars, two of the open clusters originally thought to be devoid of SPB stars – NGC 4755 (Balona & Koen 1994) and NGC 6231 (Balona & Laney 1995) – have, upon closer scrutiny, been found to contain a number of candidate-SPB objects (see Stankov et al. 2002; Arentoft et al. 2001).

In addition to their direct applicability to SPB stars, the results presented herein are highly relevant to the understanding of the Be phenomenon. The multiperiodic spectroscopic and photometric variations exhibited by many Be stars (e.g., the Be phenomenon. The multiperiodic spectroscopic and photometric variations exhibited by many Be stars (e.g., \( \mu \mathrm{Cen}\) – Rivinius et al. 1998; \( \omega \mathrm{CMa}\) – Stefl et al. 2003) are usually interpreted as arising from nonradial g modes (see Rivinius et al. 2003, and references therein). It is not unreasonable to suppose the excitation of these modes to be due to the same \( \kappa \) mechanism operative in the SPB stars. However, a historical problem with this stance has been that many variable Be stars have early spectral types \( \mathrm{B0}-\mathrm{B3} \), falling blueward of the high-temperature limit of the SPB instability strip for low-degree pulsation (Balona & Dziembowski 1999). Furthermore, periodic variations are not seen in Be stars with types later than \( \mathrm{B5} \) (e.g., Baade 1989a,b, and references therein), even though the SPB instability strip extends all the way down to types \( \mathrm{B8} \) or \( \mathrm{B9} \).

Both of these difficulties are resolved by allowing for the influence of the Coriolis force, which – as the present analysis demonstrates – has the effect of shifting \( \kappa \)-mechanism instability toward earlier spectral types. Of course, this rationalization can only work if the modes seen in Be stars are not prograde sectoral; however, in light of the analysis presented by Rivinius et al. (2003), who found at least 16 of the 27 Be stars in their sample to pulsate in retrograde \((\ell, m) = (2, 2)\) modes, this latter restriction does not appear to be problematic.
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