Polyphenol Composition and (Bio)Activity of Berberis Species and Wild Strawberry from the Argentinean Patagonia
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Abstract: The Argentinean Patagonia berries Berberis microphylla, Berberis darwinii, and Fragaria chiloensis ssp. chiloensis f. patagonica were investigated for their polyphenol content and composition by means of liquid chromatography coupled to diode array detection and electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. The in vitro antioxidant activity and inhibition of metabolic syndrome-associated enzymes (α-glucosidase, α-amylase, and lipase) of the fruit extracts was assessed. The most complex polyphenol profile was found in the Berberis samples, with 10 anthocyanins, 27 hydroxycinnamic acids, 3 proanthocyanidins, 2 flavan-3-ol, and 22 flavonols. Fragaria presented four anthocyanins, nine ellagitannins, two proanthocyanidin dimers, one flavan-3-ol, and five flavonols. The Berberis samples showed the best antioxidant capacity, while Fragaria displayed better activity against α-glucosidase and lipase. The phenolic content and composition of the Argentinean Patagonia berries was similar to that reported for Chilean samples but with some chemical differences between Eastern (Argentina) and Western (Chile) Patagonia. The data obtained supports the consumption of these berries as sources of beneficial polyphenols.
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1. Introduction

Edible wild plants play a relevant role in rural and indigenous communities around the world and have been used as food and medicine since ancient times [1]. Among them, wild berries are recognized worldwide as healthy foods, contributing to the prevention of several diseases [2]. Their beneficial health properties are linked to their antioxidant properties and their ability to protect from the oxidative effects of free radicals [3]. The use of wild berries in the Argentinean and Chilean Patagonia has been recently reviewed [4]. Among them, the “calafates or michays” Berberis microphylla G. Forst (Berberidaceae), Berberis darwinii Hook. (Berberidaceae), and the wild strawberry Fragaria chiloensis ssp. chiloensis f. patagonica (L.) Mill. (Rosaceae) are relevant for their widespread use (Figure 1) [5].
Most of the Patagonian territory is located from the Eastern Andean slopes to the Atlantic Ocean in Argentina. However, little is known on the chemical profiles of Argentinean Patagonia berries, in spite of them being integrated into the culture and traditional cuisine of Southern Argentina [4]. The fruits of B. microphylla sampled in Chile show a high content of polyphenols and a high antioxidant capacity [6]. At present, the Patagonian native berries are still underutilized fruit species and their development into new crops has been pointed out as relevant for the diversification of agricultural production of Argentina [7]. The fruits of calafate and native strawberry can be consumed fresh or processed into preserves, jams, and ice-creams, as well as to prepare alcoholic and non-alcoholic beverages. Berberis is a relevant genus for several cultures because of its medicinal and food value [8]. It includes almost 500 species distributed worldwide [8,9]. In the last decades, the studies on chemical composition of its species have been focused on berberine and other alkaloids, mostly reported in roots and bark [9,10]. There is an increasing interest in Berberis fruits, as they are a rich source of phenolic compounds [11–13]. The main phenolic compounds in fruits of Chilean Berberis species are anthocyanins, mainly delphinidin, cyanidin, petunidin, peonidin, and malvidin glycosides [14]. Berberis fruits showed higher antioxidant capacity than other Chilean wild berries [15]. The strong antioxidant capacity has been associated with their high anthocyanin content [14]. The fruits from Fragaria chiloensis ssp. chiloensis f. patagonica are consumed in Argentinean Patagonia and have been used in human and veterinary medicine [16]. The antioxidant activity of Chilean F. chiloensis samples has been related to the anthocyanin and ellagitannin content of the fruits [17].

The (bio)activity and chemical profiles of Patagonian wild berries have been carried out mainly for Chilean collections [18–22]. Differences in the composition and bioactivity of Patagonian berries occurring in both sides of the Andes Mountains have been described [18,23], and additional differences should be expected. The aim of the present work was to describe the polyphenol content and composition, antioxidant, and inhibitory activity towards metabolic syndrome-associated enzymes of different populations from Argentinean Patagonia berries (“calafates” and wild strawberry), and to compare the results with those reported for the Chilean samples.

Figure 1. Fruits from Argentinean Patagonia berries: (a) Berberis darwinii, (b) Berberis microphylla, and (c) Fragaria chiloensis.

2. Results and Discussion

Five samples from Berberis microphylla, two from B. darwinii, and two from Fragaria chiloensis were studied. Figure 1 shows the wild fruits from the selected species. Amberlite XAD-7® is a non-ionic macroreticular resin that adsorbs and releases ionic species through hydrophobic and polar interactions. The clean-up steps allow for the removal of sugars, salts, and small organic acids, and thus enriches the extract in polyphenols. The moisture and yield of extraction are shown in Table 1. The extraction yields obtained are similar to those reported by Ruiz et al. [21]. These authors reported that the extraction of polyphenolic compounds was optimal when 93% methanol (MeOH) in acidified water was used as the solvent. Interestingly, the sonication time and washing steps showed no significant influence in the extraction yield.
Table 1. Antioxidant capacity and inhibition of metabolic syndrome-associated enzymes by the phenolic enriched extracts (PEE) of wild berries from Argentinean Patagonia. DPPH = 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical, FRAP = ferric-reducing antioxidant power, CUPRAC = cupric-reducing antioxidant power, ORAC = oxygen radical absorbance capacity, TEAC = Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity.

| Samples                        | Yield of Extraction (% w/w) | Moisture (% w/w) | DPPH (SC50, µg/mL) | FRAP (µmol TE/g PEE) | TEAC (µmol TE/g PEE) | CUPRAC (µmol TE/g PEE) | ORAC (µmol TE/g PEE) | α-glucosidase (IC50, µg/mL) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Berberis microphylla           |                              |                  |                    |                      |                      |                        |                        |                         |
| Brazo Rincón                   | 9.2                          | 72.1             | 16.0 ± 0.4 a       | 1915.0 ± 61.0 a      | Inactive             | 2876.3 ± 78.7 a        | 843.8 ± 43.0 a         | 0.5 ± 0.0 a              |
| Aeropuerto                     | 8.4                          | 54.5             | 10.4 ± 0.3 b       | 2656.6 ± 43.7 b      | 1938.8 ± 58.8 a      | 3355.0 ± 103.7 b       | 3020.0 ± 99.3 b         | 0.4 ± 0.0 d              |
| Villa La Angostura             | 9.9                          | 58.4             | 10.4 ± 0.4 b       | 2579.6 ± 45.5 b      | 1565.8 ± 33.3 b      | 3470.7 ± 19.0 b        | 2800.8 ± 90.7 b         | 0.3 ± 0.0 b              |
| Cuyán Manzano                  | 7.3                          | 53.0             | 10.9 ± 0.4 b       | 2578.0 ± 36.8 b      | 1760.2 ± 44.3 c      | 3318.0 ± 73.2 b        | 3299.8 ± 69.7 c         | 0.1 ± 0.0 c              |
| Llanquín                       | 8.7                          | 57.5             | 15.3 ± 0.4 a       | 2009.7 ± 46.3 a      | 1748.3 ± 46.2 c      | 3015.4 ± 96.4 a        | 2928.0 ± 94.1 b         | 0.4 ± 0.0 d              |
| Berberis darwinii              |                              |                  |                    |                      |                      |                        |                        |                         |
| Brazo Rincón                   | 11.4                         | 74.6             | 17.3 ± 0.2         | 2770.6 ± 76.8        | 2012.5 ± 39.8        | 4588.9 ± 157.4         | 3032.3 ± 60.3           | 0.5 ± 0.0                |
| Villa La Angostura             | 12.3                         | 72.8             | 8.8 ± 0.2          | 3014.6 ± 63.0        | 2280.0 ± 44.8        | 4937.6 ± 89.4          | 2160.3 ± 164.4          | 0.8 ± 0.0                |
| Fragaria chiloensis            |                              |                  |                    |                      |                      |                        |                        |                         |
| Arroyo Llodcondo               | 6.1                          | 83.1             | 10.1 ± 0.3         | 1064.8 ± 15.4        | 1484.8 ± 29.1        | 2292.8 ± 27.3          | 772.5 ± 0.9             | 0.3 ± 0.0                |
| Frey                           | 5.3                          | 82.0             | 8.4 ± 0.1          | 1722.4 ± 36.8        | 1234.8 ± 40.1        | 2744.4 ± 59.7          | 811.0 ± 2.6             | 1.2 ± 0.2                |
| Reference compounds            |                              |                  |                    |                      |                      |                        |                        |                         |
| Quercetin                      | -                            | -                | 7.8 ± 0.3          | 1077.2 ± 16.4        | 8157.9 ± 22.1        | 27,526.1 ± 97.5        | 22,561.6 ± 808.8        | -                       |
| Acarbose                       | -                            | -                | -                  | -                    | -                    | -                      | -                      | 137.7 ± 1.3              |
| Orlistat                       | -                            | -                | -                  | -                    | -                    | -                      | -                      | -                       |

- : not determined. Different letters ("a–e") in the same column show significant differences among each determination according to Tukey’s test (p < 0.05).
2.1. Characterization of Individual Components by High Performance Liquid Chromatography Coupled to Diode Array Detector and Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS)

Patagonian berries are an important source of phytochemicals with potential health-promoting properties [4], in particular the genus Berberis. The phytochemistry and pharmacological properties of several Berberis species was reviewed by Srivastava et al. [9] and Mokhber-Dezfuli et al. [10]. In the same way, the chemical composition and health benefits of Fragaria chiloensis have been recently reviewed [4]. However, little is known on the chemical profiles of Berberis and Fragaria species growing in the Argentinean Patagonia. The phenolics occurring in the different samples were identified by the UV spectra, retention time, and mass spectrometry by HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS analysis. The results are presented below.

2.1.1. Anthocyanins

The anthocyanin obtained by solid phase extraction (SPE) fractionation was analyzed at 500–520 nm and the MS fragment ion at m/z 302.9 amu in agreement with delphinidin. Compounds 1a and 2a showed a common fragment ion (MS²) at m/z 302.9 amu in agreement with delphinidin. Compounds 3a and 4a showed a MS² fragment ion at m/z 286.9 amu, and compounds 5a and 7a a common MS² fragment ion at m/z 316.9 amu, in agreement with cyanidin and petunidin, respectively. Compounds 8a and 9a showed the presence of a MS² fragment ion at m/z 300.9 amu, and compounds 11a and 12a presented a common MS² fragment ion at m/z 331.03 amu, characteristic of peonidin and malvidin, respectively. All the listed anthocyanins were detected in B. microphylla and B. darwinii (Table 2).

Ruiz et al. [14] described the anthocyanin profile of Chilean B. microphylla, reporting 18 anthocyanins, including delphinidin, cyanidin, petunidin, peonidin, and malvidin derivatives. The sugar moieties were glucose, rutinose, and dihexose. Ramirez et al. [15] described the occurrence of delphinidin, petunidin, peonidin, and malvidin anthocyanins in B. microphylla samples collected in the Región de Ñuble (central southern Chile), but not the presence of cyanidin. Ruiz et al. [24] reported the isolation and characterization of 3,7-β-O-diglucosides of delphinidin, petunidin, and malvidin, and suggested the occurrence of the same derivatives of cyanidin and peonidin. The dihexosides, however, were not detected in our Argentinean samples, and are so far a difference between the eastern and western Patagonia population of the species. The F. chiloensis phenolic enriched extracts (PEEs) showed the presence of cyanidin hexoside (3a) and the additional signal of compound 6a with a [M – H]⁻ molecular ion at m/z 433.3 amu, with MS² fragment ion at m/z 271.2 amu and λ max at 502 nm, characteristic of pelargonidin [25]. The compound was identified as pelargonidin hexoside. Two other anthocyanins, compounds 10a and 13a, were detected in this species. These compounds showed the neutral loss of 248 amu, leading to a MS² fragment ion at m/z 286.9 and 271.1 amu, respectively, and were tentatively identified as malonyl hexosides of cyanidin and pelargonidin, respectively. In F. chiloensis ssp. chiloensis f. patagonica, Simirgiotis et al. [26] described the same four main anthocyanins, namely: cyanidin-3-O-glucoside, pelargonidin-3-O-glucoside, cyanidin-malonyl-glucoside, and pelargonidin-malonyl-glucoside. Overall, the profile of anthocyanins in Argentinean samples is similar to that found in the Chilean (western Patagonia) collections.
The retention time was used for matching when commercial standards were available. Table 3 shows the retention time and spectral data of HCAs found in the samples. A total of 27 HCAs with \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) at 320 nm were detected in the Argentinean Patagonia samples. Most of them were found in both Berberis species. HCAs were not detected in our samples of *F. chiloensis* ssp. *chiloensis* f. patagonica. In the native Chilean white strawberry (*F. chiloensis* ssp. *chiloensis* f. chiloensis), Cheel et al. [28] isolated three cinnamoyl hexosides. The presence of caffeoyl, coumaroyl, and feruloyl hexosides have been reported in commercial strawberries [29]. More samples of *F. chiloensis* from the Argentinean Patagonia are needed to confirm this interesting difference.

Compounds 2 and 5 showed a common [M − H]− molecular ion at \( m/z \) 370.9 amu, with MS² fragment ions at \( m/z \) 209 and 191 amu, indicating the presence of a hexaric acid core (glucaric, mannaric, or galactaric acids) [30]. The neutral loss of 162 amu agreed with a caffeoyl moiety. Thus, the compounds 2 and 5 were assigned as caffeoyl hexaric acid isomers 1 and 2, respectively. The compounds 14, 19, 24, 29, 33, 36, 44, and 49 showed a common [M − H]− molecular ion at \( m/z \) 533.0 amu. Two consecutive losses of 162 amu indicated the presence of two caffeoyl moieties, leading to a MS² fragment ions at \( m/z \) 209 and 191 amu. The compounds were identified as dicafeoyl hexaric acid isomers. Compounds 3 and 8 showed a common [M − H]− molecular ion at \( m/z \) 352.9 amu, leading to a MS² fragment ion at 190.6. Following the hierarchical scheme proposed by Clifford et al. [27] the compounds were identified as 5- and 3-cafeoylquinic acids, respectively. The identity of both compounds was confirmed with commercial standards. In addition, compounds 10, 13, 16, and 23 showed a similar fragmentation pattern and were tentatively identified as caffeoylquinic acid isomers. Compounds 26, 37, 51, and 59 showed a common [M − H]− molecular ion at \( m/z \) 514.9 amu, followed by two consecutive losses of 162 amu, leading to the MS² fragment ion at \( m/z \) 190.68 amu. The compounds were tentatively identified as dicafeoylquinic acid isomers [27]. Compounds 11 and 22 presented a [M − H]− molecular ion at \( m/z \) 337.2 amu, leading to a MS² fragment ion at 190.6 amu. The compounds were identified as 3-p-coumaroylquinic acid (11) and 5-p-coumaroylquinic acid (22), respectively [27]. Compounds 18, 21, 28, 35, and 38 presented a common [M − H]− molecular ion at \( m/z \) 367.3 amu. The neutral loss
of a feruloyl moiety to the MS$^2$ fragment ion at 191 amu allowed the tentative identification of both compounds as feruloylquinic acid isomers.

Table 2. Characterization and distribution of anthocyanins in Argentinean Patagonia *Berberis microphylla*, *B. darwinii*, and *F. chiloensis* berries by HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS$^2$.

| Peak | Rt (min) | [M + H]$^+$ | MS$^2$ | $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm) | Tentative Identification | Detected in |
|------|---------|--------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 1a   | 6.7     | 465.3        | 302.9 (100) | 523             | Delphinidin-3-O-glucoside * | x x |
| 2a   | 8.2     | 611.4        | 302.9 (100) | 525             | Delphinidin rutinoside        | x |
| 3a   | 9.7     | 449.1        | 286.9 (100) | 516             | Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside *   | x x x |
| 4a   | 10.9    | 595.4        | 286.9 (100) | 521             | Cyanidin-3-O-rutinoside *   | x x |
| 5a   | 11.3    | 479.3        | 316.8 (100) | 525             | Petunidin hexoside          | x x |
| 6a   | 11.6    | 433.3        | 271.1 (100) | 502             | Pelargonidin hexoside       | x x |
| 7a   | 12.1    | 625.5        | 316.9 (100) | 527             | Petunidin rutinoside        | x x |
| 8a   | 13.1    | 463.3        | 300.9 (100) | 518             | Peonidin hexoside          | x x |
| 9a   | 13.8    | 609.6        | 300.9 (100) | 526             | Peonidin rutinoside        | x x |
| 10a  | 13.9    | 535.1        | 286.9 (100) | 517             | Cyanidin manlonyl hexoside | x x |
| 11a  | 14.1    | 493.3        | 331.0 (100) | 527             | Malvidin-3-O-glucoside *   | x x |
| 12a  | 14.6    | 639.4        | 331.0 (100) | 530             | Malvidin rutinoside        | x x |
| 13a  | 15.5    | 519.1        | 271.1 (100) | 505             | Pelargonidin-malonyl hexoside | x |

* Identity confirmed by co-injection with authentic standard. MS$^2$: tandem mass spectrometry.

Ruiz et al. [21] described the presence of 20 different HCAs in ripe fruits of *Berberis microphylla* collected in the Chilean Patagonia. The identity of the compounds was determined by HPLC-MS, including: four caffeoylglucaric acid isomers, seven caffeoylquinic acid isomers, two dicaffeoylglucaric acid isomers, one coumaroylquinic acid, two feruloylquinic acid isomers, three dicaffeoylquinic isomers, and one feruloylcaffeoylquinic acid.

### 2.1.3. Ellagitannins

Ellagitannins were only detected in the non-anthocyanin fraction of *F. chiloensis* ssp. *chiloensis* f. *patagonica* (Table 3). The ellagic acid derivatives were identified by the common [M – H]$^-$ molecular ion at m/z 300.5 amu. Compound 27 showed the neutral loss of 162 amu, in agreement with ellagic acid hexoside. Compound 39 showed a [M – H]$^-$ molecular ion at m/z 435.0 amu followed by the neutral loss of 132 amu, in agreement with ellagic acid pentoside. Compound 46 showed a neutral loss of 146 amu in agreement with ellagic acid rhamnoside. Compounds 15, 17, 25, and 32 presented a common [M – H]$^-$ molecular ion at m/z 935.3 amu. The neutral loss of 302 amu pointed out to a hexahydroxydiphenoyl (HHDP) group and the loss of 170 amu to a gallate unit. The compounds showed a fragmentation pattern that indicated the presence of two HHDP units and a gallate unit linked to the hexose core. The compounds were tentatively identified as casuarictin/potentillin isomers [19]. Compound 1 showed the characteristic fragmentation pattern of an ellagitannin with two HHDP units. The [M – H]$^-$ molecular ion at m/z 783.3 amu, and MS$^2$ fragment ions at m/z 480.7 and 300.6 allowed the tentative identification of this compound as a pedunculagin isomer [31]. Compound 6 showed a [M – H]$^-$ molecular ion at m/z 633.9 amu and MS$^2$ fragment ions at m/z 462.7 [M – H – galloyl]$^-$ and 300.8 amu [M – H – galloyl hexose]$^-$ . The compound was identified as HHDP-galloyl-hexoside [31]. In Chilean *F. chiloensis* ssp. *chiloensis* f. *patagonica*, Simirgiotis et al. [26] and Thomas-Valdés et al. [32] reported the presence of 10 ellagitannins, ellagic acid pentoside, ellagic acid rhamnoside, and ellagic acid. Ellagitannins are the main components of strawberries and have been associated with their health-promoting properties [33].
Ellagitannins were only detected in the non-anthocyanin fraction of F. chiloensis, however, no information regarding the presence of proanthocyanidins in F. chiloensis was found in the literature.

In Chilean F. chiloensis, Simirgiotis et al. [26] reported the presence of 10 ellagitannins, ellagic acid pentoside, ellagic acid hexoside, and ellagic acid. Ellagitannins are the main components of strawberries and have been associated with their health-promoting properties [33].

Ellagitannins were investigated in Berberis microphylla, however, no information regarding the presence of proanthocyanidins in Berberis microphylla was found in the literature. In Chilean Berberis microphylla, Thomas-Valdés et al. [32] reported the presence of 10 ellagitannins, ellagic acid pentoside, ellagic acid rhamnoside, and ellagic acid. Ellagitannins are the main components of strawberries and have been associated with their health-promoting properties [33].

Ellagitannins were investigated in Berberis darwinii, however, no information regarding the presence of proanthocyanidins in Berberis darwinii was found in the literature. In Chilean Berberis darwinii, Thomas-Valdés et al. [32] reported the presence of 10 ellagitannins, ellagic acid pentoside, ellagic acid rhamnoside, and ellagic acid. Ellagitannins are the main components of strawberries and have been associated with their health-promoting properties [33].

Ellagitannins were investigated in Berberis microphylla, however, no information regarding the presence of proanthocyanidins in Berberis microphylla was found in the literature. In Chilean Berberis microphylla, Thomas-Valdés et al. [32] reported the presence of 10 ellagitannins, ellagic acid pentoside, ellagic acid rhamnoside, and ellagic acid. Ellagitannins are the main components of strawberries and have been associated with their health-promoting properties [33].
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Ellagitannins were investigated in Berberis microphylla, however, no information regarding the presence of proanthocyanidins in Berberis microphylla was found in the literature. In Chilean Berberis microphylla, Thomas-Valdés et al. [32] reported the presence of 10 ellagitannins, ellagic acid pentoside, ellagic acid rhamnoside, and ellagic acid. Ellagitannins are the main components of strawberries and have been associated with their health-promoting properties [33].
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2.1.4. Flavan-3-ols and Proanthocyanidins

Flavan-3-ols and proanthocyanidins were detected in the non-anthocyanin extract of the three Argentinean Patagonia berries species investigated (Table 3). Compound 9 showed a [M − H]$^-$ molecular ion at $m/z$ 289.3 amu and $\text{UV}_{\text{max}}$ at 280 nm, in agreement with (epi)-catechin. Compounds 4, 7, 12, 20, and 40 showed a common [M − H]$^-$ molecular ion at $m/z$ 577.3 amu and a MS$^2$ fragment ion at $m/z$ 289.1 amu. This fragmentation pattern agreed with a B-type procyanidin dimer [34]. Simirgiotis et al. [26] described the presence of two procyanidin tetramers in Chilean *F. chiloensis* ssp. *chiloensis f. patagonica*. However, no information regarding the presence of proanthocyanidins in Chilean *Berberis* species was found in the literature.

2.1.5. Flavonols

The flavonol composition of the three studied species is depicted in Table 3. The presence of myricetin derivatives was confirmed by the MS$^2$ fragment ion at $m/z$ 316.5 amu and $\text{UV}_{\text{max}}$ at 365 nm. Compounds 30 and 31 were assigned as myricetin hexosides by the neutral loss of 162 amu, while compound 34 was identified as myricetin rutinoside by the neutral loss of 308 amu. In addition, the presence of dimethylmyricetin hexose (siringetin hexose, 61) was suggested by the MS$^2$ fragment ion at $m/z$ 344.6 amu [30].

![Figure 5. HPLC-DAD chromatogram from *Fragaria chiloensis* at (a) 280 nm; (b) 320 nm; and (c) 360 nm. The numbers correspond to Table 3.](image)

Compounds 41, 42, 43, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, 53, 55, and 56 showed a MS$^2$ fragment ion at $m/z$ 300.6 amu in agreement with quercetin. The neutral loss of 132 amu for compounds 41, 42, and 55 indicated the presence of a pentoside. Compounds 43 and 47 showed a neutral loss of 162 amu in agreement with hexoside, and compound 45 showed a neutral loss of 176 amu, characteristic of a glucuronide. The neutral loss of 146 amu for compound 56 indicated a rhamnose (deoxyhexose), and the neutral loss of 308 amu for compound 48 supported a rutinoside. Compounds 50, 52, and 53 presented a common [M − H]$^-$ molecular ion at $m/z$ 505.3 amu, followed by the neutral loss of 204 amu, suggesting the
presence of an acetylhexoside, as previously reported in *Berberis* species from the Chilean Patagonia [14]. The compounds were tentatively assigned as quercetin acetylhexosides.

Six kaempferol derivatives were assigned based on the MS² ion at m/z 285 amu. The hexosides (54 and 64), rutinoside (57), rhamnoside (66), and acetylhexosides (60 and 68) were identified by the neutral losses of 162, 308, 146, and 204 amu, respectively. The MS² ion of compounds 58, 62, 63, 65, and 67 at m/z 314.7 amu agreed with an isorhamnetin core. The identity of the compounds was established by the neutral losses of hexoside (62), rutinoside (63), dihexoside rhamnoside (58), and acetylhexosides (65 and 67), respectively. In Chilean *Berberis microphylla*, Ruiz et al. [14] described the presence of three myricetin, seven quercetin, and five isorhamnetin derivatives. Most of the compounds were hexosides, rutinosides, acetyl hexosides, or rutinoside-hexoside derivatives. A difference of the Argentinean Patagonia *Berberis* samples described in this article with the western Patagonia collections of the same species is the occurrence of the kaempferol derivatives in the eastern Andes populations of Argentina. In Chilean *F. chiloensis* ssp. *chiloensis* f. *patagonica*, quercetin glucuronide, quercetin pentoside, kaempferol glucuronide, and two kaempferol coumaroyl-hexosides were described by Simirgiotis et al. [26].

**Table 3.** Characterization and distribution of phenolic compounds in wild Argentinean Patagonia *Berberis microphylla*, *B. darwinii*, and *F. chiloensis* berries by HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS².

| Peak | Rt (min) | [M − H]− | MS² | λmax (nm) | Tentative Identification | Detected in |
|------|----------|-----------|-----|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|
|      |          |           |     |           |                         | **B. microphylla** | **B. darwinii** | **F. chiloensis** |
| 1    | 2.8      | 783.3     | 480.8 (41), 300.7 (100) | 280 | Di-HHDP-glucose (Pedunculagin) | x |
| 2    | 3.0      | 371.0     | 208.6 (100), 190.7 (100), 190.6 (100), 178.9 (26), 134.9 (7), 558.7 (18), 450.7 (43), 424.8 (100), 289.1 (16) | 326 | Caffeoylhexaric acid isomer 1 | x x |
| 3    | 3.6      | 353.3     | 178.9 (26), 300.7 (100), 450.7 (6), 134.9 (7), 558.7 (18), 450.6 (41), 424.7 (100), 288.7 (36), 289.1 (16) | 328 | 5-Caffeoylquinic acid * | x x |
| 4    | 3.7      | 577.3     | 208.6 (100), 190.7 (26), 178.9 (26), 134.9 (7), 288.7 (36), 190.6 (100), 178.8 (5), 128.7 (3), 244.7 (100), 204.7 (41), 124.7 (7), 190.6 (100) | 274 | Procyanidin B-type dimer 1 | x |
| 5    | 3.8      | 370.9     | 208.6 (100), 190.7 (26), 178.9 (26), 134.9 (7), 244.7 (100), 204.7 (41), 124.7 (7), 190.6 (100) | 327 | Caffeoylhexaric acid isomer 2 | x x |
| 6    | 4.0      | 633.9     | 208.6 (100), 190.7 (26), 178.9 (26), 134.9 (7), 244.7 (100), 204.7 (41), 124.7 (7), 190.6 (100) | 270 | HHDP-galloyl-hexose 1 | x |
| 7    | 4.2      | 577.4     | 208.6 (100), 190.7 (26), 178.9 (26), 134.9 (7), 244.7 (100), 204.7 (41), 124.7 (7), 190.6 (100) | 280 | Procyanidin B-type dimer 2 | x |
| 8    | 4.5      | 353.2     | 178.8 (5), 128.7 (3), 244.7 (100), 204.7 (41), 124.7 (7), 190.6 (100), 162.6 (100), 118.8 (5) | 329 | 3-Caffeoylquinic acid * | x x |
| 9    | 5.1      | 289.3     | 204.7 (41), 124.7 (7), 190.6 (100) | 282 | (epi)-catechin | x x |
| 10   | 5.3      | 353.3     | 204.7 (41), 124.7 (7), 190.6 (100) | 320 | Caffeoylquinic acid isomer 1 | x x |
| 11   | 5.7      | 337.3     | 162.6 (100), 118.8 (5) | 330 | 3-p-Coumaroylquinic acid | x |

*Di-HHDP-glucose (Pedunculagin) x x |
*Caffeoylhexaric acid isomer 1 x x |
*Caffeoylhexaric acid isomer 2 x x |
*HHDP-galloyl-hexose 1 x |
*Procyanidin B-type dimer 2 x |
*3-Caffeoylquinic acid * x x |
*(epi)-catechin x x |
*Caffeoylquinic acid isomer 1 x x |
*3-p-Coumaroylquinic acid x |
| Peak | Rt (min) | [M – H]^- | MS^2 | \(\lambda_{max}\) (nm) | Tentative Identification | Detected in |
|------|----------|-----------|------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|
|      |          |           |      |               |                         | \(B.\ microphylla\) | \(B.\ darwinii\) | \(E.\ chiloensis\) |
| 12   | 6.1      | 577.2     | 558.8 (14), 450.7 (35), 424.9 (100), 407.4 (58), 289.2 (11) | 281              | Procyanidin B-type dimer 3 | x           | x           |            |
| 13   | 6.5–6.9  | 352.9     | 190.6 (100), 370.6 (100), 208.7 (12) | 282              | Caffeoylquinic acid isomer 2 | x           | x           |            |
| 14   | 7.6      | 533.1     | 632.9 (100), 300.8 (25) | 284              | Dicaffeoylhexaric acid isomer 1 | x           |            |
| 15   | 7.7      | 935.0     | 270              |                | Casuarictin/Potentillin isomer 1 | x           |            |
| 16   | 8.6      | 353.3     | 190.7 (100), 632.7 (100), 424.7 (100), 406.9 (62), 288.7 (21), 192.6 (22), 134.9 (32) | 285              | Caffeoylquinic acid isomer 3 | x           | x           |            |
| 17   | 9.0      | 934.9     | 450.9 (7), 300.8 (25) | 287              | Casuarictin/Potentillin isomer 2 | x           |            |
| 18   | 9.3      | 367.3     | 179.7 (100), 370.7 (100), 208.7 (18), 558.7 (100), 450.7 (35) | 288              | Feruloylquinic acid isomer 1 | x           | x           | x           |
| 19   | 9.4      | 533.5     | 330              |                | Dicaffeoylhexaric acid isomer 2 | x           | x           | x           |
| 20   | 10.5     | 577.0     | 424.7 (100), 406.9 (62), 288.7 (21), 192.6 (22), 134.9 (32) | 289              | Procyanidin B-type dimer 4 | x           |            |
| 21   | 11.2     | 367.2     | 178.7 (100), 134.9 (32) | 290              | Feruloylquinic acid isomer 2 | x           |            |
| 22   | 12.0     | 337.4     | 190.7 (100) | 291              | 5-p-Coumaroylquinic acid | x           |            |
| 23   | 12.2     | 353.1     | 190.7 (100) | 292              | Caffeoylquinic acid isomer 4 | x           |            |
| 24   | 13.9     | 533.1     | 370.6 (100), 208.7 (18), 632.9 (45), 300.6 (100) | 293              | Dicaffeoylhexaric acid isomer 3 | x           | x           | x           |
| 25   | 16.7     | 935.3     | 353.0 (100), 190.6 (41) | 294              | Casuarictin/Potentillin isomer 3 | x           |            |
| 26   | 16.9     | 515.1     | 300.5 (100), 178.6 (100), 134.8 (31) | 295              | Dicaffeoylquinic acid isomer 1 | x           |            |
| 27   | 17.2     | 463.0     | 316.7 (100) | 296              | Ellagic acid hexoside | x           |            |
| 28   | 18.1     | 367.6     | 180.6 (100), 134.8 (31) | 297              | Feruloylquinic acid isomer 3 | x           | x           | x           |
| 29   | 18.6     | 533.4     | 370.6 (100), 208.6 (18), 208.7 (19) | 298              | Dicaffeoylhexaric acid isomer 4 | x           |            |
| 30   | 18.8     | 479.3     | 316.7 (100) | 299              | Myricetin hexoside isomer 1 | x           |            |
| 31   | 20.6     | 479.5     | 316.7 (100) | 300              | Myricetin hexoside isomer 2 | x           |            |
| 32   | 20.6     | 934.5     | 300.7 (42), 370.6 (100), 208.7 (17), 192.6 (22), 134.9 (32) | 301              | Casuarictin/Potentillin isomer 4 | x           |            |
| 33   | 20.7     | 533.1     | 370.6 (100), 208.7 (17) | 302              | Dicaffeoylhexaric acid isomer 5 | x           | x           | x           |
| 34   | 21.2     | 625.3     | 316.5 (100), 192.6 (22), 134.9 (32) | 303              | Myricetin rutinoside | x           |            |
| 35   | 23.3     | 367.2     | 178.7 (100), 134.9 (32) | 304              | Feruloylquinic acid isomer 4 | x           |            |
| Peak | Rt (min) | \([M − H]^−\) | \(M^2\) | \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) (nm) | Tentative Identification | Detected in |
|------|---------|----------------|---------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
|      |         |                |         |                        |                          | B. microphylla | B. darwinii | E. chiloensis |
| 36   | 23.7    | 533.1          | 370.6 (100), 208.7 (42) | 332 | Dicaffeoylhexaric acid isomer 6 | x | x |
| 37   | 24.8    | 515.1          | 353.0 (100), 190.6 (42) | 330 | Dicaffeoylquinic acid isomer 2 | x |
| 38   | 25.0    | 367.1          | 178.6 (100), 134.7 (31) | 326 | Feruloylquinic acid isomer 5 | x | x |
| 39   | 25.1    | 435.0          | 301.3 (100), 424.7 (100), 406.9 (62), 288.7 (21) | 350 | Ellagic acid pentoside | x |
| 40   | 25.2    | 577.0          |                | 280 | Procyanidin B-type dimer 3 | x |
| 41   | 25.4    | 435.0          | 301.0 (100) | 367 | Quercetin pentoside isomer 1 | x |
| 42   | 26.0    | 435.1          | 301.3 (100) | 361 | Quercetin pentoside isomer 2 | x |
| 43   | 26.8    | 463.4          | 301.2 (100) | 351 | Quercetin hexoside isomer 1 | x | x |
| 44   | 27.1    | 533.0          | 370.5 (100) | 340 | Dicaffeoylhexaric acid isomer 7 | x |
| 45   | 27.4    | 477.3          | 300.6 (100) | 350 | Quercetin glucuronide | x | x |
| 46   | 28.0    | 447.1          | 300.6 (100) | 367 | Ellagic acid rhamnoside | x |
| 47   | 28.5    | 463.0          | 300.6 (100) | 354 | Quercetin hexoside isomer 2 | x | x | x |
| 48   | 29.0    | 609.3          | 300.6 (100) | 352 | Quercetin rutinoside | x | x |
| 49   | 30.0    | 533.3          | 370.6 (100), 208.6 (43) | 330 | Dicaffeoylhexaric acid isomer 8 | x |
| 50   | 30.4    | 505.6          | 300.6 (100) | 354 | Quercetin acetylhexoside isomer 1 | x |
| 51   | 30.6    | 515.4          | 352.7 (100), 190.7 (22) | 327 | Dicaffeoylquinic acid isomer 3 | x | x |
| 52   | 31.1    | 505.3          | 300.6 (100) | 353 | Quercetin acetylhexoside isomer 2 | x | x |
| 53   | 31.7    | 505.3          | 300.6 (100) | 352 | Quercetin acetylhexoside isomer 3 | x | x |
| 54   | 32.2    | 447.4          | 284.8 (100) | 340 | Kampferol hexoside | x |
| 55   | 32.2    | 433.4          | 300.6 (100) | 350 | Quercetin pentoside isomer 3 | x | x |
| 56   | 33.8    | 447.3          | 300.6 (100) | 356 | Quercetin rhamnoside | x | x |
| 57   | 35.4    | 593.4          | 284.6 (100) | 340 | Kaempferol rutinoside | x | x |
| 58   | 35.7    | 785.5          | 314.6 (100) | 354 | Isorhamnetin rutinoside hexoside | x | x |
| 59   | 36.1    | 515.3          | 352.7 (100) | 326 | Dicaffeoylquinic acid isomer 4 | x | x |
| 60   | 36.3    | 489.4          | 284.6 (100) | 340 | Kaempferol acetylhexoside | x |
| 61   | 36.5    | 507.1          | 344.6 (100) | 320 | Siringetin hexoside | x |
| 62   | 36.6    | 477.3          | 315.7 (100) | 345 | Isorhamnetin hexoside | x |
| 63   | 38.3    | 623.4          | 315.7 (100) | 355 | Isorhamnetin rutinoside | x | x |
| 64   | 39.5    | 447.5          | 284.6 (100) | 340 | Kaempferol hexoside | x |
| 65   | 40.5    | 519.3          | 315.6 (100) | 355 | Isorhamnetin acetylhexoside isomer 1 | x |
| 66   | 41.0    | 431.4          | 284.7 (100) | 340 | Kaempferol rhamnoside | x | x |
| 67   | 42.3    | 519.6          | 315.6 (100) | 340 | Isorhamnetin acetylhexoside isomer 2 | x |
| 68   | 44.2    | 489.7          | 284.8 (100) | 340 | Kaempferol acetylhexoside | x |

* Identity confirmed by co-injection with authentic standard.
2.2. Quantification of Main Phenolics

Main group of phenolic compounds occurring in *Berberis* and *Fragaria chiloensis* are shown in Figure 6. The content of individual anthocyanins of the Argentinian Patagonia berries is depicted in Table 4 for *Fragaria chiloensis* sp. *chiloensis* f. *patagonica*, and in Table 5 for *Berberis microphylla* and *B. darwinii*. The main anthocyanin in *F. chiloensis* was cyanidin-3-glucoside (3a) and ranged from 0.7–7.1 mg/100 g fresh weight (fw), followed by pelargonidin hexoside (6a), with contents ranging from 1.7–5.8 mg/100 g fw. In Chilean samples, the main anthocyanins were pelargonidin derivatives, followed by cyanidin derivatives, and the same trend was observed in the commercial *Fragaria x ananassa* cv. Chandler [17].

![Chemical structures](image)

Figure 6. Main group of phenolic compounds occurring in *Berberis* and *Fragaria chiloensis*.

In the Argentine Patagonia *Berberis microphylla*, the main anthocyanin was delphinidin-3-glucoside (1a), with contents ranging from 78.6–621.7 mg/100 g fw, followed by petunidin hexoside (5a), ranging from 35.7–363.6 mg/100 g fw. From all the five collection places, the sample from Brazo Rincon showed the lowest content of anthocyanins. This might be explained by the ripening stage of the fruits collected in this location. The total anthocyanin content of other berries has shown to
increase during the ripening period, visualized as the fruit skin color becomes darker [35]. In the Chilean samples studied by Ruiz et al. [20], the main anthocyanins of *B. microphylla* were delphinidin-3-glucoside and petunidin-3-glucoside, with contents of 410.6 and 225.6 mg/100 g fw, respectively. In *B. darwinii* the main anthocyanins were also delphinidin-3-glucoside and petunidin hexoside, with contents ranging from 115.3–163.3 and 61.9–83.7 mg/100 g fw, respectively. No information regarding *B. darwinii* fruits from Chile could be found in the literature. Other species, such as *Berberis ilicifolia* and *Berberis empetrifolia*, collected in the Chilean Patagonia, showed the same pattern, with delphinidin-3-glucoside and petunidin-3-glucoside being the main components. The content of delphinidin-3-glucoside and petunidin-3-glucoside was 132.5 mg/100 g fw and 117.3 mg/100 g fw in *B. ilicifolia*, and 234.8 mg/100 g fw and 150.9 mg/100 g fw in *B. empetrifolia*, respectively [20].

### Table 4. Anthocyanins and flavonols content of wild *Fragaria chiloensis* from the Argentinean Patagonia.

Data are expressed as mg/100 g fw.

| Compounds | *Fragaria chiloensis* |
|-----------|-----------------------|
|           | Arroyo Lodcondo | Frey |
| **Anthocyanins** | | |
| Cyanidin-3-glucoside (3a) | 7.1 ± 0.2 | 0.7 ± 0.1 |
| Pelargonidin hexoside (6a) | 5.8 ± 0.1 | 1.7 ± 0.0 |
| Cyanidin-malonyl hexoside (10a) | 0.8 ± 0.3 | BQL |
| **Flavonols** | | |
| Quercetin pentoside 2 (42) | 6.2 ± 0.2 | 7.1 ± 0.1 |
| Quercetin glucuronide (45) | 2.2 ± 0.3 | 0.9 ± 0.0 |
| Quercetin pentoside 3 (55) | 2.8 ± 0.0 | 2.4 ± 0.0 |

BQL: below quantification limit (for flavonols: 0.12 µg; for anthocyanins: 0.33 µg). Anthocyanins are expressed as equivalents of malvidin-3-O-glucoside and flavonols are expressed as equivalents of quercetin-3-O-glucoside/100 g fw.

In *Fragaria chiloensis*, the main flavonol was quercetin pentoside 2 (42) with contents ranging from 6.2–7.1 mg/100 g fw, followed by quercetin pentoside 3 (55), ranging from 2.4–2.8 mg/100 g fw. In Chilean *Fragaria* samples, the content of quercetin and kaempferol after acid hydrolysis were reported as 0.6 and 1.1 mg/100 g fw, respectively [26].

In *B. microphylla*, the main flavonols were quercetin rutinoside (rutin) (48) and isorhamnetin rutinoside (63), with contents ranging from 14.1–53.5 and 4.2–55.7 mg/100 g fw, respectively. In *B. darwinii*, the main flavonol was quercetin acetylhexoside 1 (52) (25.2–59.8 mg/100 g fw), followed by quercetin hexoside (43) (29.8–56.6 mg/100 g fw). In the Argentinean samples, Arena et al. [7] reported contents of rutin in the range of 0.5–1.0 mg/100 g fw and quercetin 1.9–2.6 mg/100 g fw. The concentration of these flavonols did not show variation in response to the light intensity or fertilization level of the plants [7].

Hydroxycinnamic acids (HCAs) were not detected in the Argentinean Patagonia *Fragaria* samples. However, in Chilean *Fragaria* species, Parra-Palma et al. [36] described the presence of 4-coumaric, ferulic, and cinnamic acids, with concentrations in the mg/kg range. The main HCA in *B. microphylla* was caffeoylquinic acid 3 (13), with contents ranging from 31.6–163.7 mg/100 g fw, followed by dicaffeoyl glucaric acid 2 (14), ranging from 17.7–56.3 mg/100 g fw. In Chilean *B. microphylla*, the main HCA was 5-caffeoylquinic acid, with contents ranging from 1.4–98.4 mg/100 g fw [21]. In addition, the same authors reported that caffeoylglucaric acids were about 50% of the total HCA content. In *B. darwinii*, the main HCA was also caffeoylquinic acid 3 (13), with contents ranging from 100.0–328.3 mg/100 g fw, followed by caffeoylglucaric acid 2 (5), with contents between 59.2 and 217.6 mg/100 g fw (Table 5). In Argentinean collections, Arena et al. [7] reported the content of chlorogenic acid, ferulic acid, and gallic acid in *Berberis microphylla* fruits under different light and fertilization conditions. The chlorogenic and ferulic acid contents were in the range of 113.9–130.3 mg/100 g fw, and 4.3–4.9 mg/100 g fw, respectively.

Our results with the Argentinean Patagonia samples showed variation in the phenolic content of *B. microphylla*. The content of delphinidin hexoside (1a), dicaffeoyl glucaric acid 2 (14), dicaffeoyl
glucaric acid 6 (36), dicafeoylquinic acid 7 (51), and quercetin rutinoside (48) significantly varied among the five collection places (Table 5, \( p < 0.05 \)). This variation could be related to environmental and/or genetic factors of the plant populations [37]. In Argentinean Berberis fruits, Arena et al. showed that under field conditions, the light intensity and fertilization of plants increased the photosynthetic rate, soluble solids, sugars, and anthocyanins [7,38].

### 2.3. Antioxidant Activity

The study of the potential antioxidant effects of natural products demands the use of several antioxidant assays. This can be considered as a first approach to an in vivo situation, since different reactive species and mechanisms are involved in oxidative stress.

In the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH) assay of the Argentinean Patagonia samples, the highest scavenging capacity was found in one of the PEEs from *F. chiloensis* collected in Frey, followed by the *B. darwinii* sample from Villa La Angostura (Table 1). In the ferric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), trolox equivalent antioxidant activity (TEAC), and cupric-reducing antioxidant power (CUPRAC) assays, the best antioxidant activity was found in both extracts of *B. darwinii*. However, in the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay, the best antioxidant activities were found in *B. microphylla* fruit extracts (Table 1). Ramirez et al. [15] described the antioxidant capacity of six berries, including *B. microphylla* samples collected in central southern Chile. Their results showed the best radical scavenging capacity in the DPPH assay, and the best reduction power in the FRAP assay for *B. microphylla*. In the study of Ruiz et al. [20] with Chilean Patagonian berries, the best antioxidant capacity in the TEAC assay was found in *B. microphylla* samples. Ruiz et al. [14] compared the antioxidant capacity of *B. microphylla* with the popular maqui berry (*Aristotelia chilensis*) and showed that the maqui berry had the highest antioxidant capacity by means of TEAC assay. The highest ORAC values among 120 Chilean fruit species analyzed were found for *B. microphylla*, *A. chilensis*, and *Ugni molinae* [6]. Thomas-Valdés et al. [32] described the antioxidant activity of Chilean *Fragaria chiloensis* fruits by means of DPPH, FRAP, TEAC, and superoxide anion scavenging. Similar values were observed in the DPPH assay, while in the FRAP and TEAC assays, the Chilean samples presented higher values than the Argentinean collections. Arena et al. [7] showed that the exposure of *Berberis microphylla* plants to high light intensity was related to higher antioxidant capacities of the fruits, measured by DPPH and FRAP assay. The use of fertilization also increased the antioxidant power of *B. microphylla* fruits by 5% [7].

The Pearson’s correlation coefficient showed that the individual anthocyanins quantified presented strong correlations (\( p < 0.05 \)) with the antioxidant activity (Table 6), except for compounds 8a and 11a. For the HCAs, the content of caffeoyl hexaric acid isomer 2 (5), caffeoylquinic acid isomer 3 (16), and feruloylquinic acid isomer 1 (18) also demonstrated strong correlations with all the antioxidant assays carried out (Table 6). Regarding flavonols, the content of quercetin hexoside 1 (43), quercetin rutinoside (48), and quercetin rhamnoside (56) showed strong correlations with all the antioxidant assays (\( p < 0.01 \)), while the content of isorhamnetin acetylhexoside isomer 2 (67) presented significant correlations with all the assays, except in the TEAC method (Table 6).
Table 5. Anthocyanins, hydroxycinnamic acids and flavonols content of wild Berberis fruits from the Argentine Patagonia (mg/100 g fw).

| Compounds                      | Berberis microphylla | Berberis darwinii |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|                                | Brazo Rincón | Aeropuerto | Villa La Angostura | Cuyin | Manzano | Llanquin | Brazo Rincón | Villa La Angostura |
| **Anthocyanins**               |              |            |                     |       |         |          |              |                    |
| Delphinidin-3-glucoside (1a)   | 78.6 ± 0.9 a  | 621.7 ± 9.1 b | 459.8 ± 5.8 c       | 397.1 ± 0.9 d | 301.7 ± 1.6 e | 115.3 ± 2.7 | 163.3 ± 4.4 |
| Delphinidin rutinoside (2a)    | 1.8 ± 0.3 a   | 66.4 ± 3.5 b  | 35.5 ± 1.7 c        | 29.1 ± 1.0 d  | 27.1 ± 0.3 d  | 11.0 ± 0.1  | 10.9 ± 0.7  |
| Cyanidin-3-glucoside (3a)      | 1.2 ± 0.0 a   | 51.3 ± 3.4 b  | 40.0 ± 1.7 c        | 52.3 ± 1.1 b  | 47.8 ± 2.6 b  | 30.4 ± 0.0  | 68.2 ± 2.0  |
| Cyanidin-3-rutinoside (4a)     | BQL          | BQL         | BQL                 | BQL      | BQL       | BQL        | BQL         |
| Petunidin hexoside (5a)        | 35.7 ± 1.0 d  | 363.6 ± 6.0 b | 271.2 ± 1.4 c       | 229.0 ± 0.8 d | 215.7 ± 9.7 e | 61.9 ± 0.1  | 83.7 ± 2.8  |
| Petunidin rutinoside (7a)      | 1.0 ± 0.0 a   | 78.5 ± 3.9 b  | 37.2 ± 0.1 c        | 30.3 ± 0.6 d  | 33.5 ± 0.0 c d| 7.3 ± 0.1   | 8.7 ± 0.9   |
| Peonidin hexoside (8a)         | BQL          | BQL         | 6.4 ± 0.8 b         | 15.3 ± 0.2 c  | 16.5 ± 0.8 c  | 1.2 ± 0.0   | 5.3 ± 0.7   |
| Peonidin rutinoside (9a)       | BQL          | BQL         | BQL                 | 1.4 ± 0.1 | BQL       | BQL        | BQL         |
| Malvidin-3-glucoside (11a)     | 11.5 ± 0.4 a  | 247.0 ± 3.6 b | 172.4 ± 0.2 c       | 163.4 ± 0.3 d | 181.7 ± 4.8 e | 59.3 ± 0.8  | 66.8 ± 1.7  |
| Malvidin rutinoside (12a)      | BQL          | 54.5 ± 4.8 b  | 21.9 ± 1.1 b        | 17.4 ± 0.4 b  | 35.6 ± 1.2 c  | 4.3 ± 0.8   | 3.8 ± 0.7   |
| **Hydroxycinnamic acids**      |              |            |                     |       |         |          |              |                    |
| Caffeoylglicaric acid 1 (2)    | 20.8 ± 0.6 a  | 46.2 ± 1.6 b  | 48.4 ± 0.3 c        | 21.5 ± 0.4 a  | 15.1 ± 0.3 d  | 22.4 ± 0.4  | 96.3 ± 2.0  |
| Caffeoylglicaric acid 2 (5)    | 9.2 ± 0.2 a   | 23.3 ± 0.3 b  | 27.4 ± 0.3 c        | 46.3 ± 1.4 d  | 22.3 ± 0.3 b  | 59.2 ± 0.2  | 217.6 ± 1.4 |
| Caffeoylglicaric acid 3 (13)   | 163.7 ± 0.7 a | 74.1 ± 0.6 b  | 163.4 ± 1.1 a       | 35.2 ± 0.2 c  | 31.6 ± 1.1 d  | 100.0 ± 0.3 | 328.3 ± 2.2 |
| Dicotefal glucaric acid 2 (14) | 28.2 ± 0.3 a  | 20.0 ± 0.3 b  | 56.3 ± 0.5 c        | 25.2 ± 0.2 d  | 17.6 ± 0.1 e  | 7.1 ± 0.7   | 67.4 ± 0.1  |
| Caffeoylglicaric acid 3 (16)   | 3.2 ± 0.1 a   | 4.9 ± 0.3 b   | 6.2 ± 0.4 c         | 6.8 ± 0.2 e  | 4.2 ± 0.2 d  | 7.6 ± 0.1   | 28.2 ± 0.2  |
| Feruloylglicaric acid (18)     | 6.3 ± 0.0 a   | 17.3 ± 0.9 b  | 23.3 ± 0.8 c        | 12.6 ± 0.2 d  | 6.8 ± 0.3   | 21.6 ± 0.5  |                     |
| Dicotefal glucaric acid 3 (24) | 2.1 ± 0.3 a   | 1.8 ± 0.2 a   | 2.8 ± 1.2 a         | 5.4 ± 0.0 b  | 7.1 ± 0.0 c  | 27.2 ± 1.2  | 93.2 ± 0.1  |
| Dicotefal glucaric acid 6 (36) | 2.8 ± 0.2 a   | 3.3 ± 0.1 b   | 6.4 ± 0.3 c         | 5.2 ± 0.0 d  | 9.5 ± 0.0 e  | 24.1 ± 1.5  | 123.6 ± 3.4 |
| Dicotefal glucaric acid 7 (51) | 16.5 ± 0.3 a  | 11.6 ± 0.3 b  | 20.3 ± 0.2 c        | 9.6 ± 0.1 d  | 4.5 ± 0.8 e  | 10.4 ± 0.8  | 21.1 ± 0.5  |
| **Flavonols**                  |              |            |                     |       |         |          |              |                    |
| Quercetin hexoside (43)        | 10.5 ± 0.0 a  | 41.5 ± 0.5 b  | 24.6 ± 1.3 c        | 31.2 ± 1.0 d  | 26.2 ± 0.9 c  | 29.8 ± 0.1  | 56.6 ± 2.5  |
| Quercetin glucuronide (45)     | ND           | ND          | 2.8 ± 0.1           | ND      | ND       | 3.0 ± 0.3  | 13.6 ± 0.4  |
| Quercetin rutinoside (48)      | 14.1 ± 0.0 a  | 53.5 ± 2.7 b  | 34.6 ± 0.5 c        | 40.0 ± 0.9 d  | 18.5 ± 1.2 e  | 25.0 ± 0.7  | 57.3 ± 5.8  |
| Quercetin acetylenolide 1 (52) | 12.2 ± 0.4 a  | BQL         | 20.7 ± 0.1 b        | 7.1 ± 0.1 c  | 5.4 ± 0.2 d  | 25.1 ± 0.5  | 59.8 ± 1.7  |
| Quercetin rhamnoside (56)      | 18.8 ± 0.6 a  | 0.2 ± 0.3 b   | ND                  | 4.2 ± 0.2 c  | 1.5 ± 0.3 d  | 28.0 ± 0.3  | 26.6 ± 1.1  |
| Isorhamnetin rutinoside (63)   | 4.2 ± 0.1 a   | 55.7 ± 2.6 b  | 9.6 ± 0.9 a         | 26.6 ± 3.1 c  | 22.1 ± 2.2 c  | 21.7 ± 2.3  |                     |
| Isorhamnetin acetylenolide 1 (65)| 3.9 ± 0.0 a  | 8.6 ± 0.3 b   | 6.8 ± 0.0 c         | 6.7 ± 0.2 c  | 9.9 ± 0.2 d  | ND         | ND         |
| Isorhamnetin acetylenolide 2 (67)| 2.1 ± 0.2 a  | 6.8 ± 0.6 b   | 6.5 ± 0.0 b         | 6.5 ± 0.4 b  | 3.2 ± 0.1 c  | ND         | 5.3 ± 0.4   |

ND: not detected; BQL: below quantification limit (for flavonols: 0.12 µg; for anthocyanins: 0.33 µg; for hydroxycinnamic acids: 0.07 µg). Different letters (a-c) in the same row show significant differences among each determination, according to Tukey’s test (p < 0.05). Anthocyanins are expressed as equivalents of malvidin-3-O-glucoside, hydroxycinnamic acids are expressed as equivalents of chlorogenic acid, and flavonols are expressed as equivalents of quercetin-3-O-glucoside/100 g fw.
2.4. Inhibition of Metabolic Syndrome-Associated Enzymes

The inhibition of α-amylase and α-glucosidase is a therapeutic strategy for the control of post-prandial hyperglycemia. Polyphenols present in food and beverages can easily reach mM concentrations in the gut, even when diluted with other foods and digestive fluids. They can interact with these digestive enzymes, changing the glycemic responses by inhibiting digestion of carbohydrates [39]. All the Argentinean Patagonia samples investigated in this work are α-glucosidase inhibitors (Table 1). The positive control acarbose showed an IC$_{50}$ value of 137.73 µg/mL, while the IC$_{50}$ values of the samples ranged from 0.14–1.19 µg PEE/mL. The IC$_{50}$ values obtained against α-glucosidase showed a significant Pearson’s correlation with the content of cyanidin-3-glucoside (3a), caffeoylglucaric acid isomer 2 (5), caffeoylquinic acid isomer 3 (16), feruloylquinic acid isomer 1 (18), andisorhamnetin acetylhexoside isomer 2 (67) ($p < 0.01$) (Table 6).

Under our experimental conditions, none of the samples inhibited α-amylase, while the positive control acarbose showed an IC$_{50}$ value of 28.5 µg/mL. In vivo studies have demonstrated the preventive role of berries against metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. For example, the supplementation of the human diet with two cups (150 g) of lingonberries (Vaccinium vitis-idea) or blackcurrants (Ribes nigrum) reduced the postprandial glucose and insulin levels in the first 30 min after the intake [40]. Reyes-Farias et al. [41] showed that Berberis microphylla extracts improved glucose uptake in 3T3-L1 mouse adipocytes pre-treated with lipopolysaccharides (LPS). This was explained by the authors as an insulin-sensitization feature of the $B$. microphylla extract and was associated to the high content of anthocyanins of this fruit.

Pancreatic lipase splits triglycerides into absorbable glycerol and fatty acids. Its inhibition by drugs such as Orlistat has been employed to treat obesity. Several studies have shown that the polyphenols present in beverages and fruits have inhibitory effects in lipase that could be relevant to regulate fat digestion, and thus the energy intake and obesity [33]. Under our experimental conditions, only the PEEs from $F$. chiloensis inhibited this enzyme, with IC$_{50}$ values of 38.3 ± 1.6 and 41.4 ± 0.7 µg PEE/mL for the Frey and Arroyo Llodcondo samples, respectively. The PEEs of the Chilean strawberries $F$. chiloensis ssp. chiloensis f. chiloensis and $F$. chiloensis ssp. chiloensis f. patagonica inhibited pancreatic lipase by 70% and 41% at 50 µg/mL, respectively [26,34]. The inhibitory capacity of both fruits’ PEEs withstands in some extent a simulated gastrointestinal digestion model [32,42]. The acetone fruit extract from the commercial strawberry $F$. x ananassa inhibited pancreatic lipase, α-amylase, and α-glucosidase with IC$_{50}$ values of 73.04, 18.18, and 156.36 mg fresh fruit/mL, respectively [43]. McDougall et al. [33] showed that lipase activity was effectively inhibited by the ellagitannins present in cloudberry, raspberry, and strawberry extracts, with a partial contribution of proanthocyanidins. Considering our results, we can also hypothesize that the presence of ellagitannins in $F$. chiloensis may be responsible for this inhibitory activity. However, the quantification of these compounds was not possible in our samples because of the lack of authentic standards. Future studies are needed to determine the Pearson’s coefficient of ellagitannins with this inhibitory activity.
Table 6. Pearson’s correlation coefficient for the content of polyphenols, antioxidant activity, and α-glucosidase inhibition.

| Compound | DPPH | FRAP  | TEAC  | CUPRAC | ORAC | α-glucosidase |
|----------|------|-------|-------|--------|------|---------------|
| Anthocyanins |      |       |       |        |      |               |
| 1a       | −0.866 ** | 0.884 ** | 0.851 ** | 0.832 ** | 0.796 ** | −0.518 *      |
| 2a       | −0.736 ** | 0.773 ** | 0.798 ** | 0.702 ** | 0.708 ** | −0.311        |
| 3a       | −0.630 *  | 0.675 ** | 0.991 ** | 0.664 ** | 0.992 ** | −0.653 **     |
| 5a       | −0.848 ** | 0.869 ** | 0.873 ** | 0.830 ** | 0.816 ** | −0.512        |
| 7a       | −0.674 ** | 0.709 ** | 0.784 ** | 0.633 *  | 0.682 ** | −0.240        |
| 8a       | 0.675 *   | −0.568  | 0.446  | −0.717 **| 0.607 *  | 0.104         |
| 10a      | −0.678 ** | 0.706 ** | 0.962 ** | 0.687 ** | 0.900 ** | −0.456        |
| 11a      | 0.078     | 0.002  | 0.774 **| −0.127  | −0.150  | 0.765 **       |
| Hydroxycinnamic acids |      |       |       |        |      |               |
| 2        | −0.755 ** | 0.734 ** | 0.331  | 0.759 ** | 0.259  | −0.212        |
| 5        | −0.642 ** | 0.666 ** | 0.665 **| 0.617 *  | 0.786 **| −0.957 **     |
| 13       | 0.074    | −0.133 | −0.664 **| −0.030  | −0.682 **| 0.436         |
| 14       | −0.380   | 0.311  | −0.091 | 0.489   | −0.052  | −0.285        |
| 16       | −0.799 **| 0.825 **| 0.649  | 0.842 **| 0.755 **| −0.952 **     |
| 18       | −0.795 **| 0.837 **| 0.790 **| 0.765 **| 0.870 **| −0.918 **     |
| 24       | 0.287    | −0.236 | 0.385  | −0.167  | 0.454   | −0.242        |
| 36       | 0.176    | −0.178 | 0.471  | 0.012   | 0.482   | −0.150        |
| 51       | −0.265   | 0.216  | −0.439 | 0.298   | −0.428  | 0.016         |
| Flavonols |      |       |       |        |      |               |
| 43       | −0.712 **| 0.768 **| 0.887 **| 0.659 **| 0.839 **| −0.476        |
| 48       | −0.901 **| 0.938 **| 0.689 **| 0.816 **| 0.665 **| −0.564 *      |
| 52       | −0.441   | 0.383  | −0.186 | 0.498   | −0.200  | −0.045        |
| 56       | 0.614 *  | −0.620 *| −0.987 **| −0.677 *| −0.944 **| 0.498         |
| 64       | −0.496   | 0.571 *| 0.668 **| 0.408   | 0.586 *  | −0.167        |
| 66       | −0.191   | 0.235  | 0.842 **| 0.284   | 0.766 **| −0.138        |
| 69       | −0.975 **| 0.992 **| 0.738  | 0.948 **| 0.759 **| −0.779 **     |

* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01, according to Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Chemicals

From Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA): Amberlite XAD7®, α-amylase from porcine pancreas (A3176; EC 3.2.1.1), α-glucosidase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae (G5003; EC 3.2.1.20), DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical), 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid, catechin, CuCl\(_2\), lipase from porcine pancreas type II (L-3126; EC 3.1.1.3), 4-nitrophenyl-α-D-glucopyranoside, p-nitrophenyl palmitate, quercetin, sodium acetate, starch, TPTZ (2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)1,3,5-triazine), and triton X-100. From Merck (Darmstadt, Germany): ABTS (2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid), trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid), FeCl\(_3\) × 6H\(_2\)O, neocuproin, potassium sodium tartrate, and HPLC-grade methanol. Ammonium acetate was from JT Baker (Xalostoc, Mexico). The following standards were from PhytoLab (Vestenbergsgreuth, Germany): malvidin-3-glucoside chloride (89728, 99.3% purity), quercetin-3-glucoside (89230, 99.1% purity), and chlorogenic acid (89175, 98.9% purity). Orlistat was from Laboratorio Chile (Santiago, Chile).

3.2. Sample Collection

Ripe fruits from the selected species were collected during the summer season (December–February) of 2016–2017 in the Argentinean Patagonia, at the Nahuel Huapi National Park and surroundings. Fruits from Berberis microphylla were from: (1) Brazo Rincón (40°72′07″ S; 71°79′76″ W, voucher specimen: 700–708MC), (2) Aeropuerto (41°14′51″ S; 71°17′67″ W, voucher specimen 620–636MC), (3) Villa La Angostura (40°49′50″ S; 71°64′31″ W, voucher specimen 720–727MC),...
(4) Cuyín Manzano (40°76′57″ S; 71°17′37″ W, voucher specimen 680–688MC), and (5) Llanquín (40°76′52″ S; 71°17′37″ W, voucher specimen 660–671MC). Berberis darwinii fruits were collected at: (1) Brazo Rincón (40°72′17″ S; 71°80′20″ W, voucher specimen 710–718MC) and (2) Villa La Angostura (40°50′51″ S; 71°64′93″ W, voucher specimen 730–735MC). The fruits from Fragaria chiloensis ssp. chiloensis f. patagonica were collected at (1) Arroyo Llodcondo (41°14′41″ S; 71°31′38″ W, voucher specimen 600MC) and (2) Frey (41°17′80″ S; 71°44′17″ W, voucher specimen 640MC). The plant material was identified by Dr. Ana Ladio and Dr. Melina Chamorro. Voucher herbarium specimens were deposited at the Herbario del Grupo de Etnobiología del INIBIOMA, Laboratorio Ecotono, Bariloche, Argentina.

Fruits were transported to the laboratory and frozen at −80 °C. Samples were then freeze-dried (Biobase Bk FD 10, Biobase Biodustry, Shandong, China), and the water content was determined by weight difference (Table 1). The weight of the freeze-dried fruits varied from 11–56 g. The fruits were powdered in a Waring blender (Thomas TH-501V, Thomas Elektrogeräte, Shanghai, China) to a final particle size of 0.35 mm (45 mesh) and extracted four times using MeOH:formic acid (99:1 v/v) in a 1:5 w/v ratio (total volume of extraction ranged from 55–250 mL). Extraction of phenolic compounds was enhanced using a sonic bath at 35 kHz (Elma Transsonic 700, Elma GmbH & Co. KG, Singen, Germany) for 15 min each time. The extracts were dried under reduced pressure at 35 °C in a rotary evaporator (Laborota 4001, Heildolph, Schwabach, Germany). Then, the methanol extract was dissolved in 1 L of water, sonicated to increase the solubility, and phenolics were retained in an Amberlite XAD7 column. The column was washed with 2 L of water and then compounds were desorbed with 2 L of MeOH:formic acid (99:1, v/v). The polyphenol-enriched extract (PEE) obtained was evaporated under reduced pressure in the rotary evaporator and then freeze-dried. The yield of extraction was calculated as the percent of PEE obtained from 100 g of fresh fruit.

3.3. Anthocyanin and Non-Anthocyanin Polyphenol Fractionation

The fractionation of anthocyanins and non-anthocyanin polyphenols was carried out using a solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridge Bond Elut Plexa PCX 6mL (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) [44]. Briefly, the cartridge was preconditioned with 5 mL of MeOH and 5 mL of ultrapure water. Samples were dissolved in MeOH:H₂O:formic acid (50:48.5:1.5) at a concentration of 1 mg/mL, sonicated for 6 min at 30 °C, and filtered. Then, 3 mL of this solution was reduced to 1.5 mL in a rotary evaporator and then completely dried. The non-anthocyanin polyphenols were recovered with 6 mL of 96% ethanol (EtOH). Anthocyanins were desorbed with 2% HCl in MeOH:H₂O (8:2, v/v). Anthocyanins and non-anthocyanin polyphenols were dried under reduced pressure at 30 °C and freeze dried for the subsequent HPLC analyses.

3.4. HPLC-DAD-ESI-MSn Analysis

HPLC analyses were performed in an Agilent Series 1100 HPLC system equipped with a G1311 quaternary pump, a G1315B diode array detector, a G1322A degasser, G1313A autosampler, and a liquid chromatography mass selective detector (LC/MSD) Trap VL G-2445 electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MSn) detector. The control of the system and data analysis was achieved using ChemStation software (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). The separation was carried out using a Zorbax Eclipse XDB C18 column (3.5μm, 150 × 2.1 mm) (Agilent, Germany). The solvent systems were A (H₂O-formic acid-acetonitrile (ACN), 88.5:8.5:3, v/v/v); B (H₂O-formic acid-ACN; 41.5:8.5:50, v/v/v); and C (H₂O-formic acid-MeOH, 1.5:8.5:90, v/v/v). A flow rate of 0.19 mL/min was used and temperature was set at 40 °C, with an equilibration time of 8 min in the initial conditions before the next injection. The peaks were numbered according to the retention time and followed by the letter “a” for anthocyanins, and no letter for other compounds.
Anthocyanins were analyzed using the following gradient only with solvents A and B: $t = 0$ min, 94% A, 6% B; $t = 10$ min, 70% A, 30% B; $t = 34$ min, 0% A, 100% B; $t = 36$ min, 0% A, 100% B; $t = 42$ min, 94% A, 6% B. For the ESI-MS$^n$ analysis of anthocyanins in the positive mode, nitrogen was used as the nebulizer gas at 50 psi, 325 °C, and at a flow rate of 8 L/min. Electrospary needle, −2500 V; skimmer 1, 19.2 V; skimmer 2, 5.7 V; capillary exit offset 1, 33.0 V; capillary exit offset 2, 52.1 V. The scan mode was performed at a speed of 13,000 m/z/s, in the range of 50–1200 m/z.

The non-anthocyanin polyphenol analysis was carried out using the following gradient: $t = 0$ min, 98% A, 2% B and 0% C; $t = 8$ min, 96% A, 4% B and 0% C; $t = 37$ min, 70% A, 17% B and 13% C; $t = 51$ min, 50% A, 30% B and 20% C; $t = 51.5$ min, 30% A, 40% B and 30% C; $t = 56$ min, 0% A, 50% B and 50% C; $t = 57$ min, 0% A, 50% B and 50% C; $t = 64$ min, 98% A 2% B and 0% C. For the ESI-MS$^n$ analysis in the negative mode, nitrogen was used as the nebulizer gas at 40 psi, 350 °C, and at a flow rate of 8 L/min. Electrospary needle, 3500 V; skimmer 1, 20.3 V; skimmer 2, 6.0 V; capillary exit offset 1, 68.2 V; capillary exit offset 2, 88.5 V. The scan mode was performed at a speed of 13,000 m/z/s, in the range of 50–1000 m/z.

Quantification was carried out using external calibration curves. The analytical parameters were calculated in agreement to the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) guidelines [45]. Five-point calibration curves were prepared in triplicate using the commercial standards: malvidin-3-glucoside (8–420 mg/mL; $r^2$: 0.9989) for anthocyanins, quercetin-3-glucoside (10–100 mg/L; $r^2$: 0.9996) for flavonols, and chlorogenic acid (1–100 mg/L; $r^2$: 0.9996) for hydroxycinnamic acids. Integrated area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for peaks observed at 520 nm, 360 nm, and 320 nm for anthocyanins, flavonols, and HCAs, respectively. Results were expressed as mg/100 g fresh fruit.

3.5. Antioxidant Capacity Assays

The antioxidant capacity of the samples was evaluated by means of the following assays: discoloration of the DPPH and ABTS$^{•+}$ radical (TEAC), ferric- and cupric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP and CUPRAC), and the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC). Quercetin was used as the reference compound in all the antioxidant assays.

The DPPH assay was carried out according to Bondet et al. [46], with slight modifications. Briefly, a stock solution of DPPH radical (20 mg/L) was prepared in MeOH and stored in the dark. Samples were prepared in MeOH at final concentrations ranging from 0–100 µg PEE/mL. The discoloration of the radical after 5 min of incubation was measured at 517 nm in a microplate reader (BioTek ELX800, Winooski, VT, USA). The results were expressed as the concentration of extract that scavenged the free radical by 50% (SC$^{50}$, µg PEE/mL). The FRAP and CUPRAC assays were carried out as previously described [19]. Briefly, the FRAP solution was prepared by mixing 300 mM acetate buffer (pH 3.6) with 10 mM TPTZ prepared in 40 mM HCl and 20 mM FeCl$_3$ in a 10:1:1 proportion. The sample was prepared in MeOH at final concentrations ranging from 0–100 µg PEE/mL. The reduction of the ferric ion complex was read after 30 min at 593 nm in a spectrophotometer Genesys 10UV (Thermo Spectronic, Waltham, MA, USA). The CUPRAC assay was carried by mixing 1 M ammonium acetate (pH 7.0) with 0.01 M CuCl$_2$ and 7.5 mM neocuproin solution in a 1:1:1 proportion. Then, the sample (0–100 µg PEE/mL) was added and the reduction of the cupric ion was measured at 450 nm after 30 min incubation in the dark. In the FRAP and CUPRAC assays, the results were expressed as µmol Trolox equivalents (TE)/g of PEE. The scavenging of the ABTS$^{•+}$ radical (TEAC) was carried out according to Nenadis et al. [47]. Briefly, the ABTS$^{•+}$ radical was prepared by mixing 88 µL of 140 mM sodium persulfate with 5 mL of 7.5 mM ABTS solution. The mixture was incubated overnight at room temperature. The following day, the ABTS$^{•+}$ radical solution was diluted with MeOH to final absorbance of 0.700 ± 0.005 at 734 nm. Samples were prepared in MeOH at concentrations ranging from 50–300 µg PEE/mL. Thirty µL of each dilution was mixed with 2.870 mL of the ABTS$^{•+}$ radical solution and incubated for 6 min. Final absorbance was measured and results were expressed as µM TE/g of PEE. The ORAC assay was carried out according to Ou et al. [48]. Briefly, a 110 mM fluorescein working solution was prepared in 75 mM sodium phosphate buffer. A 152.6 mM 2,2’-azobis
(2-methylpropionamidine) dihydrochloride (AAPH) solution was prepared in the same buffer and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C right before mixing with the samples. Samples (5–25 µg PEE/mL) and Trolox (0–50 µM) were prepared in the same buffer. The assay mixture consisted of 150 µL fluorescein + 25 µL sample or standard + 25 µL AAPH. Fluorescence was read at \( \lambda_{ex} \ 485/\lambda_{em} \ 528 \) nm every min for 90 min in a Synergy HT multidetection microplate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). Results were expressed as µmol TE/g PEE. All samples were assayed in triplicate and results were presented as mean values ± SD.

3.6. Inhibition of Metabolic Syndrome-Associated Enzymes

The capacity of the samples to in vitro inhibit carbohydrate and lipid metabolism was evaluated by means of the following assays: inhibition of α-glucosidase, α-amylose, and pancreatic lipase.

The α-glucosidase inhibition assay was carried out as described by Jiménez-Aspee et al. [23]. The reaction mixture contained sodium phosphate buffer (200 mM, pH 6.6), sample (0.1–100 µg PEE/mL), and α-glucosidase (0.25 U/L). After 15 min of pre-incubation at 37 °C, the reaction was started by adding p-nitrophenyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (5 mM). The mixture was further incubated for 15 min at 37 °C. The reaction was stopped by adding 0.2 M sodium carbonate. Absorbance was read at 415 nm in a microplate reader (ELx800, Biotek, Winooski, VT, USA). All samples were assayed in triplicate and the results were expressed as IC\(_{50}\) values (µg PEE/mL). Acarbose was used as the positive control [23].

The α-amylase inhibition assay was carried out as described by Jiménez-Aspee et al. [23]. Briefly, the samples (0.1–100 µg/mL) were incubated with 1% starch for 5 min at 37 °C. Then, the α-amylase solution (8 U/mL) was added and incubated for a further 20 min. After the incubation, 400 µL of the color reagent (96 mM 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid, 5.31 mM sodium potassium tartrate in 2 M NaOH) were added, and the mixture was boiled for 15 min. Absorbance was measured in a microplate reader at 550 nm (Biotek ELx800). Acarbose was used as the positive control [23]. All samples were assayed in quadruplicate and the results were expressed as IC\(_{50}\) values (µg PEE/mL).

The lipase inhibition assay was carried out as described by McDougall et al. [33]. Briefly, the enzyme was re-suspended in ultrapure water (20 mg/mL) and centrifuged at 8000× g at 4 °C for 10 min to recover the supernatant for the assay. The substrate was prepared with p-nitrophenyl palmitate (0.08% w/v), 5 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0), and 1% Triton X-100. The assay mixture was 100 mM Tris buffer (pH 8.2), extracts, lipase, and substrate solution. The mixture was incubated for 2 h at 37 °C and absorbance was read at 400 nm in a microplate reader (Biotek ELx800). All samples were assayed in sextuplicate at 50 µg/mL as the maximum concentration. Orlistat® was used as the reference compound [23]. Results were expressed as IC\(_{50}\) values (µg PEE/mL).

3.7. Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 14.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Significant differences among the Berberis microphylla samples were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (\( p < 0.05 \)). This analysis is not possible to carry out with less than three samples, which was the case for the other studied species. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated to determine the relationship between antioxidant activity and the content of main compounds.

4. Conclusions

The phenolic profiles, antioxidant activity, and inhibitory effect towards the enzymes α-glucosidase, α-amylose, and pancreatic lipase of three Argentinean Patagonia berries were investigated. The most complex polyphenol profile among the studied species was found in the Berberis samples, with 10 anthocyanins, 27 HCAs, 3 proanthocyanidins, 2 flavan-3-ol, and 22 flavonols. Fragaria presented a simpler profile, including four anthocyanins, nine ellagitannins, two proanthocyanidin dimers, one flavan-3-ol, and five flavonols. The composition of the Argentinean Patagonia samples showed as main compounds the same constituents of those in the western Patagonia collections, but differed in
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