HEATING OF THE INTRAcluster GAS IN THE TRIANGULUM AUSTRALIS CLUSTER
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ABSTRACT

ASCA and ROSAT X-ray data are used to obtain two-dimensional maps of the gas temperature, pressure, and specific entropy in the Triangulum Australis cluster of galaxies. We find that this hot ($T_e = 10.3 \pm 0.8$ keV) system probably has a temperature peak ($T_e > 12$ keV) at the cluster core, which approximately corresponds to the adiabatic relation. An underdense gas sector, found in the ROSAT cluster image eastward of the core, has a higher temperature than average at that radius. At this higher temperature, the gas pressure in this sector is equal to that of the rest of the cluster at the same radius, but the specific entropy of this gas is significantly higher (although the temperature difference itself is only marginally significant). We speculate that the existence of this region of underdense high-entropy gas, as well as the adiabatic central temperature peak, indicate recent or ongoing heating of the intergalactic medium in this cluster. The most probable source of such heating is a subcluster merger, for which the hydrodynamic simulations predict a qualitatively similar temperature structure. We point out that entropy maps can provide a physically meaningful way of diagnosing merging clusters and comparing the predictions of merger simulations to the data.

Subject headings: galaxies: clusters: individual (Triangulum Australis) — intergalactic medium — X-rays: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

 Spatially resolved measurements of the gas temperature in clusters of galaxies can provide valuable information on the dynamical history of these systems, pointing to those clusters with recent or ongoing merger activity (see, for example, hydrodynamic merger simulations: Schindler & Müller 1993; Roettiger, Burns, & Loken 1993; Evrard, Metzler, & Navarro 1996). Such measurements for hot clusters have become possible with the advent of ASCA with its combination of angular and spectral resolution and the wide energy band of 0.5–10 keV (Tanaka, Inoue, & Holt 1994). Two-dimensional gas temperature maps of several clusters obtained with ASCA using independent techniques were presented, e.g., in Arnaud et al. (1994), Markevitch et al. (1994), Markevitch (1996), Churazov et al. (1996), Honda et al. (1996), Markevitch & Vikhlinin (1997), and Henriksen & Markevitch (1996). The latter authors detected a prominent asymmetric temperature pattern in the cluster A754, confirming with improved accuracy a similar ROSAT finding of Henry & Briel (1995). The temperature nonuniformity in A754, together with its complex X-ray image, indicated that it is undergoing a major merger. A temperature structure of this kind was also found in A3558, as well as in Coma. On the other hand, no significant asymmetric features were detected in A2256, A2319, or AWM 7, other well-resolved clusters, except for the lower temperatures at the positions of the infalling subunits in the former two systems. A significant radial temperature decline at large radii was found with ASCA in several clusters; for some of them, most notably A2256, the ROSAT PSPC provided an independent confirmation (refs. above).

 Here we report on the detection of a possible merger signature in another cluster, Triangulum Australis. It is a relatively nearby ($z = 0.051$) bright, hot system, which was overlooked in the optical band due to its low Galactic latitude. It was first discovered as an X-ray source (McHardy et al. 1981). From an EXOSAT observation, Edge & Stewart (1991) obtained an average temperature of $8.0^{+1.4}_{-1.3}$ keV and an absorption column of $2.5^{+1.8}_{-1.0} \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, somewhat higher than the Galactic value of $1.25 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Heiles & Cleary 1979). The cluster has since been observed with ROSAT, which produced a high-quality image and an accurate measurement of the absorption column, and with ASCA, which provided a gas temperature map. These results are presented below. At the cluster’s redshift, $1 \ h^{-1}$ Mpc = 25’.4, using $H_0 = 100 \ h$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

2. ROSAT RESULTS

 The cluster was observed with the ROSAT PSPC for 7 ks in 1992 September. We have used the method and code of Snowden et al. (1994) to obtain the flat-fielded images of the source in the energy bands R2–R7 (0.20–0.42, 0.42–0.52, 0.52–0.70, 0.70–0.91, 0.91–1.32, and 1.32–2.01 keV, respectively), free of noncosmic background. The cosmic component of the background was then calculated individually in each energy interval using the outer parts of these images, with the assumed accuracy of 5% (Markevitch & Vikhlinin 1997). Fluxes from these images (excluding the poorly calibrated band R3) were fitted to estimate the cluster temperature and the absorption column. We did not include estimates of the PSPC calibration uncertainty in our analysis, since our primary interest in the ROSAT data is to obtain the image and the absorption column value, well determined by PSPC. Our best-fit $N_H$ value is $1.42^{+0.21}_{-0.25} \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, and $T_e = 7$ (4–17) keV, the large temperature error being due to the high $N_H$. The absorption column is consistent with the Galactic value and is marginally allowed by EXOSAT. We also attempted to constrain the spectrum in the inner $r = 1.5$ circle (half the core radius) of the cluster, to see if there is any trace of a cooling flow to which PSPC is highly sensitive. We approximately corrected for the energy dependence of the PSPC
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point-spread function (PSF; Hasinger et al. 1992), which for this small radius makes a noticeable difference in the spectral fit. Fixing $N_H$ at its value for the whole cluster and using only 0.5–2 keV data, we obtain for this region $T_e = 8.2 \pm 0.6$ keV. Freeing $N_H$ and including lower energies, the temperature is $T_e = 5.0 \pm 0.4$ keV and $N_H = 1.7 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$. Inclusion of a cooling flow component does not improve the fit significantly; the best-fit inflow rate is $7 (0.33) \text{h}^{-1} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ with a similar best-fit absorption. The cluster image does not have a brightness peak characteristic of a strong cooling flow, and our ASCA spectra (below) do not require a cooling flow component either. We conclude that there is no indication of a significant cooling flow in the center.

The cluster image in the 0.5–2 keV band is presented by contours in Figure 1. Its X-ray brightness peak has coordinates $\alpha = 16^h 38^m 20^s$, $\delta = -64^\circ 21' 22''$ (J2000). Assuming $T_e = 10$ keV, an iron abundance of 0.26 (average ASCA values, see below), and the absorption column derived above, the 0.5–2 keV cluster luminosity within $r = 1 \text{h}^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ is $2.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{44} \text{ergs s}^{-1}$. Fitting the brightness profile in the range of radii 0′–25′ by a $\beta$-model of the form $I(r) \propto (1 + r^2/a_\beta^2)^{-3\beta/2}$ (Jones & Forman 1984) and ignoring the asymmetry of the image, we obtained $a_\beta = 3.5 \pm 0.2 (0.143 \text{h}^{-1} \text{Mpc})$ and $\beta = 0.63 \pm 0.02$. We will use the derived $N_H$ value and the $\text{ROSAT}$ image in our ASCA analysis below. Note the apparent underdense sector east of the cluster center, which will be discussed below. At the off-center distances of 3′–10′; the surface brightness in this sector is about half of that at the same radius on the opposite side of the cluster.

3. ASCA ANALYSIS

ASCA observed Triangulum Australis in 1995 March in two pointings with 11.3 and 6.7 ks GIS useful time, which were offset by 7′ from one another. The SIS has low sensitivity at the high energies relevant for this hot cluster, and was operated in 1 CCD mode that covers only 11′ × 11′ area, so we limited most of our analysis to the GIS data. Using the energy band 1–11 keV, fixing the absorption column at the $\text{ROSAT}$ best-fit value and fitting both pointings simultaneously, we obtained an average cluster temperature of $10.3 \pm 0.8$ keV and an iron abundance of $0.26 \pm 0.10$ (relative to Allen 1973). The $\text{EXOSAT}$ temperature value ($8.0^{+1.3}_{-0.9}$ keV) is somewhat lower; however, assuming their higher best-fit value of $N_H$, our temperature becomes 8.9 keV, consistent with $\text{EXOSAT}$.

For the reconstruction of the two-dimensional map of the cluster gas temperature, the method described in detail in Markevitch (1996 and references therein) has been used. It consists of simultaneous fitting of the temperature in all image regions, using a 0.5–2 keV $\text{ROSAT}$ PSPC image as a brightness template, and modeling the ASCA mirror scattering. We restricted our spatially resolved analysis to the 2.5–11 keV energy band due to the poor PSF calibration at lower energies. (A temperature value for the whole cluster obtained from this energy band is $10.8^{+1.3}_{-1.1}$ keV.) The estimated systematic uncertainties of the background normalization (20%, 1σ), the ASCA model’s wings (15%) and core (5%), and the ASCA effective area (5%) were taken into account, as were the uncertainty due to the relative displacement of the ASCA and $\text{ROSAT}$ images and the statistical error of the $\text{ROSAT}$ image. The nearest bright X-ray source to Triangulum Australis is 3′ away, so the possibility of stray light contamination is excluded (Ishisaki 1996).

The image was divided into three concentric annuli with $r = 0′$–3′, $r = 3′$–12′, and $r = 12′$–23′ centered on the brightness peak. The second and third annuli were divided into five and four sectors, respectively, with one of the sectors coinciding with the low-brightness region. The spectra from each region, each pointing, and each detector were binned in 7–10 energy intervals for adequate Gaussian statistics. A uniform temperature over each image region was assumed. We obtained $\chi^2_{\text{min}} = 149$ for 224 degrees of freedom for the simultaneous fit. The low reduced $\chi^2$ indicates that our conservative compound errors are, if anything, slightly overestimated. The confidence intervals were then estimated by Monte Carlo simulations, including systematic errors. Figures 1 and 2a show the resulting temperature map. Two sectors to the north and west of the outer ring which were poorly covered by ASCA pointings are not shown, but they were accounted for in the fit for completeness. There are two features in the map which will be discussed below—a significant temperature peak in the cluster core, and a higher temperature in the cluster low-brightness sector 2 compared to other regions at this radius. (The contributions to the total $\chi^2_{\text{min}}$ due to these regions are 24 and 14 for 28 data bins, respectively.) The latter temperature difference by itself is statistically insignificant; however, due to the coincidence with the depression of the gas density, the region’s specific entropy (Fig. 2c) is significantly different from the average at this radius. The entropy values in sectors 2–6 could not be drawn from the same Gaussian distribution at 95% confidence, due to the single large deviation in sector 2.

We note that the ASCA data alone do not require as large a central temperature peak. As a consistency check, we performed a fit with the emission measure of the central region (relative to other regions) being an additional free parameter. Normally, the 0.5–2 keV relative brightness in different regions is predetermined by the $\text{ROSAT}$ image in our technique. (Note that the $\text{ROSAT}$–ASCA relative normaliz-
required by ROSAT (above), nor does it make any improvement to either the GIS or the SIS fit. (The short SIS exposure covering the central region does not provide useful constraints for the main hot component.)

An optical image of Triangulum Australis shows that there is a cD galaxy 0/3 from the X-ray brightness peak. If it has an active nucleus, it may add a nonthermal component to the central flux. However, a 4.85 GHz radio image (Condon, Griffith, & Wright 1993) shows no source at the galaxy's position with an upper limit of about 30 mJy (2 orders of magnitude below the luminosity of the cD galaxy NGC 1275 in the Perseus cluster). Therefore, a significant nonthermal flux from this galaxy is unlikely, unless it is a radio-quiet active galactic nucleus (AGN), unusual in a cluster center. The best-fit normalization of an additional X-ray component with a typical power-law AGN spectrum is zero, although anything more exotic (for example, a heavily self-absorbed AGN with $L_X(2-10 \text{ keV}) \sim 2 \times 10^{38} \text{ h}^{-2} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$) cannot be excluded, due to ASCA's limited energy band.

We also tried to fit the relative brightness in sector 2 as another free parameter, which practically did not change the temperature and the normalization in this region compared to the value fixed by ROSAT. Given all the above, we will assume that our temperature measurement using the ROSAT image is correct, although it appears to be model dependent. Our major conclusions will hold even without the central temperature peak.

4. DISCUSSION

We will now discuss the implications of our temperature measurement. Interestingly, from the ROSAT image alone, which is rather circular except for the low-brightness sector, one might expect that this sector has a higher temperature, if the gas is in pressure equilibrium and the gravitational potential of the cluster is reasonably smooth and symmetric. Pressure variations not supported by gravity would disperse in roughly their sound crossing time ($\sim 3 \times 10^8 \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ yr}$ for the low-brightness sector). Since this sector presumably contains lower-density gas, it must have a higher temperature to maintain a pressure similar to the adjoining sectors. As our temperature map indicates, the gas in this region is indeed likely to be hotter. It is useful to compare the gas pressure and specific entropy in different regions, which is done in Figures 2b and 2c. Here, the entropy per particle is defined as $\Delta s = s - s_0 = \frac{k}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{(T/T_0)(\rho/\rho_0)^{2/3}}{1} \right]$, the subscript zero denoting central values. The emission measure–weighted average density in each sector was crudely estimated as the value from the symmetric $\beta$-model distribution multiplied by the ratio of the square root of the actual X-ray brightness to that in the model. Figure 2b indicates that the gas pressure is nearly constant at a given radius. Thus, the gas could be nearly in hydrostatic equilibrium with a symmetric gravitational potential. Note, however, that the gas in sector 2 is less dense than the average in the middle annulus and would be expected to rise buoyantly on a timescale of $\sim 10^7 \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ yr}$. Thus, the surface brightness distribution in Figure 1 is not consistent with a stable equilibrium distribution, and is likely to have resulted from a transient hydrodynamic process. We note that the image can perhaps be explained as well by a very irregular underlying mass configuration, but that would certainly mean that the cluster is merging.

A given gravitational potential may support different gas
configurations, which are hydrostatic but at the same time differ by their histories and their entropy distributions. The specific entropy $\Delta s$, shown in Figure 2c for our data, is a useful diagnostic of shock heating or of any other nonadiabatic process. It may provide information on how the particular, observed gas distribution has emerged. For comparison, Figure 2c also shows the entropy for an isothermal $\beta$-model (arbitrarily choosing a temperature of 8 keV, the average temperature of the three cooler “reference” sectors of the second annulus$^3$). This is the kind of distribution cluster gas is expected to approach if left to itself, particularly if thermal conduction is effective. Two gas regions significantly deviate from this isothermal equilibrium model: the cluster core (if we accept that our central temperature is correct) and sector 2. Another notable detail is that the gas in the core has nearly the same specific entropy as the average in the surrounding annulus. One explanation of this adiabatic temperature peak is that the core gas has recently mixed turbulence with the surrounding gas. The higher temperature in the core would then result from the adiabatic compression required to bring the pressure in the core into hydrostatic equilibrium in a given gravitational potential. The second annulus and the outer sectors have similar temperatures and different entropies, which suggests that any such mixing was confined to the central region. This is not unreasonable, since the core has only about 10\% of the gas within the outer boundary of our second annulus.

However, the entropy in sector 2 (the low-brightness sector) is higher than the average for that annulus or that of the cluster core. This could not be due to mixing; it suggests that the gas in this region underwent a recent heating event. For example, this might have been due to the passage of a shock from a subcluster merger (e.g., Schindler & Müller 1993; Pearce, Thomas, & Couchman 1994), or this gas may have been expelled from the core where the heating occurred. Presumably, this gas then expanded adiabatically into pressure equilibrium with the surrounding gas. Note that because the pressure has already equilibrated but the entropy in this region is still high, the event should have happened about 10$^9$ yr ago. The same event may have heated the central gas sufficiently to produce a radially decreasing entropy gradient, after which convective mixing would act to eliminate this gradient, producing a distribution with similar specific entropy in the core and the surrounding gas. One can estimate the amount of energy that should have been injected into the gas residing in the core and sector 2, assuming that the cluster was initially isothermal at 8 keV, as $Q \approx T \Delta S \sim (3-6) \times 10^{51} h^{-5/2}$ ergs (approximately equally distributed between the core and sector 2). To estimate the heating that might occur in a merger, we consider the kinetic energy acquired by the gas in two subclusters each with a total mass of $6 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ infalling from a distance of 5 to 1 Mpc; this energy is about 10 times greater than required. Therefore, a merger of subclusters is a reasonable source of such heating. Hydrodynamic simulations predict that a head-on merger of subclusters should produce a hot core and an asymmetric temperature structure not unlike the observed one.

Other sources of heating might include supernova-driven galactic winds (e.g., Evrard et al. 1996). However, the present-day supernova rate expected for the stellar population in the core of this cluster is much too small to produce enough heating on the timescale limited by the possible lifetime of the observed temperature structure.

5. SUMMARY

Using $\textit{ASCA}$ and $\textit{ROSAT}$ data, we have reconstructed two-dimensional distributions of the gas temperature, pressure, and entropy in the Triangulum Australis cluster. We detect a significant temperature peak in the cluster core (although its existence is somewhat model dependent), and a likely temperature increase in the sector coincident with the underdense region of the gas found in the cluster X-ray image. The underdense region is in pressure equilibrium with the gas at the same radius but has a higher specific entropy. We interpret the obtained entropy distribution as evidence of the ongoing or recent heating of the intracluster medium, perhaps by shocks from a subcluster merger. A temperature map with higher angular resolution to look into the structure of the cluster core, as well as covering the outermost cluster regions, would be useful for understanding the processes responsible for heating the gas in this object, and perhaps in clusters in general. The entropy distribution is a useful diagnostic of shock heating or of any other nonadiabatic process. Therefore, it would be very helpful if simulations of cluster hydrodynamics were analyzed to produce the gas pressure and entropy maps, to enable a quantitative and physically meaningful comparison to the data.
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