The importance of antipersistence for traffic jams
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Abstract – Universal characteristics of road networks and traffic patterns can help to forecast and control traffic congestion. The antipersistence of traffic flow time series has been found for many data sets, but its relevance for congestion has been overseen. Based on empirical data from motorways in Germany, we study how antipersistence of traffic flow time-series impacts the duration of traffic congestion on a wide range of time scales. We find a large number of short-lasting traffic jams, which implies a large risk for rear-end collisions.

Introduction. – Intraurban road networks in agglomerations and megacities often operate near or above their designed specifications in terms of, e.g., maximum capacities, which leads to congestion and increases travel times [1]. Exceeding the specifications can also result in an increased wear of important parts of the network infrastructure, in particular bridges. During subsequent maintenance works, the road capacities are typically reduced, which adds to the problem. Under these circumstances, road authorities are faced with the challenge of optimal traffic assignment and control. To this end, universal characteristics of road networks and the according traffic patterns [2] can help to identify systemic bottlenecks [3]. While local traffic time series are best characterised with identifying different traffic states and state transitions [4,5], network aspects are well represented with fractal scaling laws [2,6,7]. Both aspects are grounded on empiric evidence in very diverse situations and are well understood with microscopic models. Especially the spatio-temporal behaviour of traffic patterns is explained comprehensively with the three-phase traffic theory [8,9], which distinguishes between free flow, synchronised traffic and wide moving jams. The latter two phases are summarised under the heading of congested traffic.

Empirical studies find fractal properties in local traffic time series [10–17], also based on methods like detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA) [18,19]. These findings are consistent with results from cellular automata traffic flow models [17,20]. Fractal modelling based on fractional Brownian motion (fBm) [21] was used for forecasting traffic flow [22]. fBm is a generalisation of the Wiener process (also known as random walk). Its fractal character is a self-similarity of the time series. If time is stretched with factor A, the data is stretched with factor A^H, where the parameter 0 < H < 1 is known as the Hurst exponent. For H = 1/2, fBm simplifies to the diffusion-like behaviour of the Wiener process. For H > 1/2, fBm is super-diffusive. Increments of the time series are long-term correlated which is called persistent behaviour. In this letter we are interested in the case H < 1/2. Then we have sub-diffusive behaviour and anti-correlated increments, which is called anti-persistence. This implies large fluctuations on short time-scales which reverse fast. The implications of fractal time series for traffic breakdown are not well understood up to now. This limits the implicative relevance of fractal properties for the fine-tuning of traffic models.

Here we study how the fractal nature of traffic flow time-series impacts the duration of traffic congestion. We show that the corresponding distribution is very broad. We succeed in explaining it as a consequence of antipersistence in traffic flow. For our empirical analysis, we use traffic data from inductive loops located at cross-sections i ∈ {1,...,33} on the Cologne orbital motorways A1, A3 and A4 in Germany, which are depicted in fig. 1. Motorway traffic in this area has also been studied in [23–25]. The data set comprises traffic flows q(i, t), densities ρ(i, t) and velocities v(i, t) averaged over 1-minute intervals t of the year 2015 and all cross-sections i. A traffic flow q(i, t) is defined as the number of vehicles passing the
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cross-section \( i \) on all lanes in minute \( t \), whereas \( v(i, t) \) denotes the corresponding averaged vehicular velocities.

**Statistics of traffic jams.** – Figure 2 displays velocity profiles vs. time for a specific day. At cross-sections 1 and 2, there is a fixed speed limit of 60 km/h. Sections 3–7 and 12–25 have fixed speed limits of at most 120 km/h, whereas sections 8–11 and 26–33 are equipped with variable speed limit signs. For cross-sections with numbers larger than 25, traffic jams develop before 8:00, around 12:00 and around 16:00.

For understanding the spatio-temporal patterns shown in fig. 2, we use definitions from three-phase traffic theory. Free flow and congested traffic states can be distinguished by calculating a minimum velocity of free flow \( v_{\text{free}} \leq v(i, t) \leq v_{\text{jam}} \), where \( v_{\text{free}} \) is the maximum free flow and \( v_{\text{jam}} \) is the maximum free density. Then, states with \( v(i, t) < v_{\text{free}} \) are considered congested. However, this separation becomes erroneous where speed limits change often. To identify congested traffic, we therefore consider times and cross-sections with \( v(i, t) < v_{\text{jam}} \) below a fixed threshold velocity \( v_{\text{jam}} = 50 \text{ km/h} \) as congested.

In fig. 3 we show the probability density function (PDF) of traffic congestion durations \( T \). We identify a local congestion of duration \( T \), if at a certain cross-section \( i \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
v(i, t) &\geq v_{\text{jam}}, \\
v(i, t + T + 1) &\geq v_{\text{jam}} \text{ and} \\
v(i, \tau) &< v_{\text{jam}}
\end{align*}
\]

for \( t < \tau \leq t + T \). The resulting distribution is very broad. The dashed lines provide power laws \( T^{-\gamma} \) for comparison, with \( \gamma = 3/2 \) and \( \gamma = 2 \). As shown, the results are qualitatively the same for different \( v_{\text{jam}} \) as well as for data reduced to the first three months. Summarising, we find a robust power law behaviour with exponents in the range \( \gamma = 3/2 \) up to \( \gamma = 2 \). The power law behavior starts at about \( T = 5 \text{ min} \). A cutoff around 200 minutes results from the limited duration of rush hours. Importantly, the small exponent \( \gamma \) implies that traffic congestion durations on all scales from minutes to hours are relevant. Overall, jams of duration \( T < 5 \text{ min} \) contribute about 8% to the total sum of jam hours, jams with \( 5 \text{ min} \leq T < 10 \text{ min} \) add 11%, jams with \( 10 \text{ min} < T < 100 \text{ min} \) add 44%, and jams with \( 100 \text{ min} \leq T < 200 \text{ min} \) add 19%. We concentrate on the power law regime of jam durations \( 5 \text{ min} \leq T \leq 200 \text{ min} \), as it spans almost two orders of magnitude and it describes how long-lasting and short-lasting jams relate to each other. For smaller exponent \( \gamma \), the short-lasting jams...
would be suppressed, while for larger exponent $\gamma$, the long-
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Knowing that above a certain $q_{\text{thr}}$ traffic breakdown is
likely to occur within a few minutes, we further analyse
for how long traffic flow exceeds $q_{\text{thr}}$, but does not break
down [26]. Should $q_{\text{max}}$ be reduced to the smaller flow
$q_{\text{thr}}$ (for example due to construction works), traffic jams
would occur as long as $q > q_{\text{thr}}$. In fig. 5, traffic flow
time series for Tuesday, 14 July 2015 are displayed. The
time series shows strong fluctuations for short times, and
a trend with one rush hour around 8:00 and a second rush
hour around 16:00. Let us assume a threshold flow of
$q_{\text{thr}} = 60 \text{ min}^{-1}$, corresponding to the red line. We iden-

In fig. 5 the largest duration $T_{\text{thr}}$ during which the flow exceeds a certain
threshold $q_{\text{thr}}$, i.e., $q > q_{\text{thr}}$. Due to the fluctuations in $q(t)$, we expect shortest durations $T_{\text{thr}}$ down to a minute.

In fig. 4 we present resulting breakdown probabilities for
cross-sections 11 and 33 and varied threshold flow $q_{\text{thr}}$, split into time intervals until 23 May 2015 and starting
from 27 May 2015. For all curves, a sharp jump can be observed.
The minimum flow with breakdown probability $P_{\text{jam}}(i, t) = 1$ is denoted as $q_{\text{max}}$ [9]. We find $q_{\text{max}}$ in the
range $50 \text{ min}^{-1}$ to $51 \text{ min}^{-1}$ for cross-section 11, and values
from $74 \pm 1 \text{ min}^{-1}$ up to $97 \pm 2 \text{ min}^{-1}$ for cross-section 33.
The maximum free flow $q_{\text{free}}$ varies strongly between the
cross-sections, mainly because of the different number of
lanes at each section. At cross-section 33, the maximum
free flow reduces strongly in the second time interval be-
cause of a changed lane configuration at an on-moving
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only days with at least four hours of congestion at cross-section 22 are considered. On the right, the flow is above a threshold, \( q > q_{thr} \). Different choices of \( q_{thr} \) and \( B \) lead to a transition (fBm) \([21]\). We denote the fBm random function as \( fBm \). For small threshold \( q_{thr} = 15 \text{ min}^{-1} \), the longest duration \( T_{thr} \) can be as long as the whole working day, resulting in a peak at about 600 min or ten hours. To illustrate that these statistical features of traffic flow hold for different days and cross-sections 15 and 32 are shifted for clarity. The blue dash-dotted line with exponent 1/2 corresponds to Brownian motion.

see fig. 3. This is because the traffic needs some time to break down. Nevertheless, we already mentioned the strong contribution of short-lasting jams even outside the power law regime. For small threshold \( q_{thr} = 15 \text{ min}^{-1} \), the longest duration \( T_{thr} \) can be as long as the whole working day, resulting in a peak at about 600 min or ten hours. To illustrate that these statistical features of traffic flow are not altered if the velocity breaks down, we consider 149 days with at least four hours with \( v < v_{jam} \) a day, in the second half of 2015 in cross-section 32. Results are shown on the right of fig. 6.

Explanation. – To understand the durations \( T_{thr} \) with \( q > q_{thr} \), we compare them with fractional Brownian motion (fBm) \([21]\). We denote the fBm random function as \( B_H(\tilde{t}) \) with Hurst exponent \( 0 < H < 1 \) and dimensionless time \( \tilde{t} \). The defining property of the fBm with \( B_H(0) = 0 \) is its dependency structure \([21]\) for times \( \tilde{t}, \tilde{s} \geq 0 \),

\[
2\langle B_H(\tilde{t})B_H(\tilde{s}) \rangle = \tilde{t}^{2H} + \tilde{s}^{2H} - |\tilde{t} - \tilde{s}|^{2H},
\]

(6)

where \( \langle \rangle \) is the ensemble average over realisations.

The PDF of durations \( T_{fBm} \) during which the time series exceeds a certain threshold \( B_{thr} \), i.e., \( B_H(\tilde{t}) > B_{thr} \), is known to scale with a power law as \( T_{fBm} \sim \gamma_{fBm} \) with \( \gamma_{fBm} = 2 - H \) \([27]\). The traffic flow time series in fig. 5 shows strong fluctuations on short time scales, and thus antipersistent, i.e., non-Markovian, behaviour with anti-correlated increments and Hurst exponent \( H < 1/2 \) \([21]\). Another implication of antipersistent fBm is a subdiffusive behaviour, with variance increasing sub-linear in time as

\[
\langle (B_H(\tilde{t} + \Delta \tilde{t}) - B_H(\tilde{t}))^2 \rangle = |\Delta \tilde{t}|^{2H}.
\]

(7)

This result can be derived from eq. (6). For small \( H \) it implies that changes are large on short times and stagnating for longer times. The time dependence of the variance can be used for estimating \( H \) from flow time series \( q(t) \). To deal with the trend in the signal with pronounced rush hours, we use detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA) \([18,19]\). We divide the time series \( q(t) \) of the day into sub-samples of length \( \Delta t \) and correct the linear trend in each sample. Then we calculate the standard deviation in each sub-sample, and average over all sub-samples, to obtain the average standard deviation \( \delta \). We repeat this procedure for different \( \Delta t \). In fig. 7 we show how the detrended standard deviation \( \delta \) depends on the sub-sample size \( \Delta t \). The red solid line corresponds to fig. 5. According to \([19]\), the sub-sample size should be chosen larger than 10 elements and smaller than about 1/4 of the full sample size. The Hurst exponent \( H \) can be identified as the slope of the linear fit in the log-log plot \([19]\). For the red curve we find \( H = 0.085 \). Other examples for different days and cross-sections 15 and 32 are shifted for better visibility. The dash-dotted line with exponent 1/2 corresponds to Brownian motion. With \( H < 1/2 \) we find strong subdiffusive behaviour in a range from ten minutes up to three hours. Performing DFA for single days on all cross-sections, we find Hurst exponents between 0.038 and 0.24, with mean 0.088 and standard deviation 0.028. Days with more than ten minutes of missing data are neglected. For the Kerner-Klenov-Wolf cellular automaton three-phase traffic flow model, anti-persistent behaviour of the free traffic density is reported in \([20]\). With the use of DFA, Hurst exponents down to \( H = 0.1 \) are found in synthetic data. An analysis of real world data finds Hurst exponents around \( H = 0.17 \) for free flow traffic \([10]\). Another study finds persistence in real traffic data, however the data is not detrended there \([11]\).

To understand the time evolution of \( q(t) \), let us assume we identified the non-stochastic trend \( \mu(t) \) and propose the model \( q_m \), defined as

\[
q_m(t + \Delta t) - q_m(t) = \mu(t + \Delta t) - \mu(t) + \sigma(t) \left[ B_H \left( \frac{t}{t_0} + \frac{\Delta t}{t_0} \right) - B_H \left( \frac{t}{t_0} \right) \right].
\]

(8)
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The time-dependent function $\sigma(t)$ is needed to adapt the physical dimension and to account for a slowly varying time dependence of the fluctuation strength. For the time scale $t_0$ we can use one minute. For $H$, we insert the Hurst exponent as found empirically around $H = 0.1$. For $H \neq 1/2$, the increments $B_H(t + \Delta t) - B_H(t)$ are dependent for different $t = n\Delta t$. Therefore, the numerical generation of time series is not as straightforward as for standard Brownian motion. We find a strong negative autocorrelation $\alpha(\tau) = (\langle \Delta q(t + \tau)\Delta q(t) \rangle - (\langle \Delta q(t) \rangle)^2)/\langle \Delta q(t) \rangle^2$ of one minute increments $\Delta q(t) = q(t+1) - q(t)$ for short time lags $\tau$, see the black circles in fig. 8. Results are averaged over all road sections and all days with at most ten minutes of missing data. For larger time lags $\tau$, the autocorrelation is dominated by noise. This result is consistent with antipersistent fBm, as can be found with eq. (6). The red diamonds show results for $H = 0.93$. This Hurst exponent is also in good agreement with results from DFA, see fig. 7. Notice that non-stochastic increments of the form $\mu(t+1) - \mu(t)$ are small compared to fluctuations on short time scales, what allows us to investigate the autocorrelation of $q$ without subtracting the non-stochastic part.

Based on the model $q_m$, we now investigate the durations $T_{\text{thr}}$ with $q_m > q_{\text{thr}}$. For fBm, a power law with exponent $\gamma_{\text{fBm}} = 2 - H$ was reported in [28]. In our case, we find $\gamma_{\text{fBm}} = 2 - H \approx 1.9$, which is in good agreement with the empirical findings for $\gamma_{\text{thr}}$ in fig. 6. For fBm, it was further found that the power law behaviour is even present with an additional drift-like term [27]. In this case, for negative drift there is a cut-off at large times, what is also consistent with our empirical results, see for example the red circles on the right of fig. 6. For positive drift, the PDF at long durations $T$ with $q_m > q_{\text{thr}}$ are increased. We see this effect in real data in fig. 6 for small $q_{\text{thr}}$, the yellow circles. In our model $q_m(t)$, the drift $\mu(t)$ would be a function depending on the time of the day, the day of the week and further factors. Also, fig. 5 indicates a dependence of the fluctuation strength $\sigma(t)$ on $\mu(t)$. However, the identification of this drift term goes beyond the scope of this study.

Moreover, let us compare with scaling in other socio-economic fields. Burst- and inter-burst durations $T$ in currency exchange markets have been found to scale as $T^{-3/2}$ [28]. This hints at normal diffusion and Markovian behaviour. Examples of scaling in systems which are not tuned to a phase transition are also known in the context of coherent noise [29], what holds implications for adaptive electricity markets [30].

**Conclusion**. First, our results strongly corroborate the antipersistent behaviour of traffic flow time series $q(t)$: The Hurst exponent around $H = 0.1$ from DFA, negative autocorrelations of one minute increments hinting at Hurst exponent around $H = 0.09$, and finally a power law $T^{-\gamma_{\text{thr}}}$ for durations $T_{\text{thr}}$ above thresholds $q > q_{\text{thr}}$ with exponent around $\gamma_{\text{thr}} = 2$. The latter is connected with a Hurst exponent $H = 2 - \gamma_{\text{thr}}$ close to zero, and therefore strongly in the antipersistent regime. Taking all findings together, we found a robust universal property of traffic flow, which can be observed on different road sections, at different times and with or without long times of congestion.

Second, we showed that congestion durations $T$ are distributed in the same way as durations $T_{\text{thr}}$ of the flow above threshold. With identifying critical thresholds of the flow $q_{\text{thr}}$ for our traffic data, we concluded that the durations $T_{\text{thr}}$ translate into traffic jam durations $T$.

This led us, third, to our main result that antipersistence in traffic flow is a crucial property for understanding patterns of traffic congestion. The fact that the traffic flow can be described with a fractional Brownian motion, with a subtle time dependence of fluctuations, and that it strongly influences patterns of traffic breakdown, implies a broad distribution of congestion lifetimes. Especially for antipersistent fractional Brownian motion, the role of short-lasting jams is increased. Accordingly we found that short jams of duration $T \leq 10$ min contribute 19% to the total sum of jam hours. This is relevant for navigation systems with congestion warning. Especially short-lasting traffic jams bare a large risk for rear-end collisions. Also, traffic models can benefit from our findings.
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