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ABSTRACT: Low quality feeds is the main challenge ailing livestock production among the small-scale farmers in the tropics. Cheaper sources of alternative high quality fodder supplements are needed to improve livestock productivity. The objective of this study was to determine biomass yield and quality of fodder from selected lablab varieties. Eight lablab varieties namely, DL1002, Ngwara Nyeupe, Echo-Cream, Black-Rongai, Eldo-Kt-Cream, Eldo-Kt-Black1, Brown Rongai and Eldo-Kt-Black2 were established in three sites of Nandi south sub county, Kenya. Randomized complete block design was used at farm level with four replications per site. Data on biomass yield, chemical composition and in vitro-dry matter digestibility of the eight lablab forages was collected. Biomass yield differed significantly among the lablab varieties ranging from 5.6-12.6 t DM/ha across the three sites. Highest biomass yield was recorded for Brown Rongai (12.6 t DM/ha) and lowest with DL1002 (5.6 t DM/ha). Crude protein (CP) content varied significantly between varieties with sites ranging from 19.6-23.9 g/100g. Highest CP was recorded with Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black Rongai (23.9 g/100g and 23.7 g/100g) across the three sites. For all the varieties, Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) ranged from 44.4-48.6 g/100g, acid detergent fibre (ADF) 31.6-35.7 g/100g and acid detergent lignin (ADL) 9.0-11.9 g/100g across the three sites. Highest NDF was recorded with DL1002 (48.6 g/100g), ADF with Eldore-Kitale-Black2 (35.9 g/100g) and acid detergent lignin with DL1002 (11.7 g/100g). In vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) varied significantly between varieties and sites ranging from 67.6-75.7 g/100g between the varieties across the three sites. Eldo-Kt-cream and Black Rongai had the highest IVDMD (75.7 and 74.4 g/100g) across the three sites. Eldore-Kitale-Cream and Black Rongai varieties had better dry matter yield, crude protein and low fibre fractions compared to the other varieties signifying their potential to be recommended as supplement to low quality fodder by small-scale farmers.
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INTRODUCTION

In Kenya, livestock contributes over 12% to the Growth Domestic Product (GDP) and accounts for 47% of Agricultural GDP (Kabubo-Mariara, 2008). The adequate provision of livestock feed is key to food security especially in the developing countries as animals are capable of converting low quality feedstuffs into high quality foods such as meat, milk and eggs (Amare et al., 2020). Sixty to seventy percent of livestock production costs has been attributed to feeds (Amare et al., 2020). Increase in livestock production by small-scale farmers will majorly rely on proper utilization of locally available feed resources to meet nutrient requirements (Bell et al., 2018). In Kenya, almost one-third of the small-scale farmers experience insufficient livestock feeds as the main challenge especially in dry seasons (Lukuyu et al., 2011). Most farmers feed their livestock on low quality feeds such as natural grass, maize stover, wheat straw, bean haulms and banana pseudo stems that are deficient in protein content (Abera and Berhanu, 2017; Redae and Tekle, 2020; Yiberkew et al., 2020). Production and use of fodder legumes is one of the cheaper ways of increasing both the quantity and quality of livestock feeds (Sharma et al., 2018).

Dolichos bean (Lablab purpureus L. Sweet), a vegetable crop of Asia and Africa origin (Bhardwaj and Hamama., 2019), was reported by Keerthi et al. (2015) as a good protein supplement for low quality animal feeds. This legume belongs to the family Fabaceae, sub family Faboideae, tribe Phaseoleae and sub-
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Kumar et al. (2018) observed that the use of less costly and easily available indigenous feed resources such as legume forages as opposed to commercial feeds had great ability to enhance livestock productivity. This study therefore aimed at evaluating the biomass yield and quality of varieties of Lablab purpureus as a supplement to low quality fodder.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the study site
The study was conducted in Nandi south Sub-County in the Rift Valley region of Kenya. The altitude ranges from 1,400 m along the border with Nyando district to 2,400 m ASL in the highlands. The common soil texture type is loam and clay. Temperatures range from 15 to 26 °C and rainfall between 1200-2000 mm p.a. It has two rainy seasons; the long rains between March and June and the short rains between October and early December and the dry season occurs from late December to March (Onyango et al., 2016). Three sites with different climatic conditions and soil fertility were selected within Nandi South: Koibem site with temperature of 18 °C, high fertile soils with nitrogen content of 0.38% and carbon of 3.91% with annual rainfall distribution of 2,000 mm p.a., Kiptaruswo site with temperature of 20 °C medium soil fertility with nitrogen content of 0.26%, carbon content of 1.87% with annual rainfall distribution of 1,700 mm p.a. Kapkarer site with temperature of 22 °C, low soil fertility with nitrogen content of 0.16% and carbon 1.44 % with annual rainfall distribution of 1,600 mm p.a., respectively (Omondi et al., 2011; Landon, 2014).

Experimental treatment and design
Treatments consisted of eight varieties of lablab (L. purpureus) namely: DL1002, Ngwara Nyeupe, Echo-Cream, Black Rongai, Eldo-Kt-Cream, Eldo-Kt-Black1, Brown Rongai and Eldo-Kt-Black2. Seeds were acquired from Kenya Agricultural Livestock and Research Organization (KALRO) Kitale and were tested for viability at KALRO Kibos prior to planting. Each Lablab variety was planted in 5x4 m plots in each of the four farms per site. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with each farm representing a block comprising of eight plots. Di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) fertilizer was applied at the rate of 30 Kg DAP/ha mixed with the soil before seeds were sown. Lablab seeds were sown at the onset of rains at a rate of 30kg seeds/ha with a spacing of 45 cm between rows and 30 cm between plants and two seeds per hill. Weeding was done twice at an interval of 21 days of emergence. Data taken included biomass yield, crude protein content, neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) and in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD).

Determination of biomass yield
At 50% flowering, a fresh sample was harvested for each variety by randomly cutting the plants 5 cm above the ground from each plot to make approximately 1 kg for each variety per plot. The harvested materials were accurately weighed, sealed in polythene bags for oven drying at 60 °C. The rest of the plants within each plot were harvested by cutting at 5 cm above the ground. They were placed into gunny bags and weighed using a 100 kg dial scale graduated to the nearest 1 kg to obtain the fresh biomass yield per plot. After drying the fresh samples in an oven of 60 °C to a constant weight, the new weight was recorded and the samples were ground using a Wiley mill standard model No. 3 with sieve of 0.5 mm. The dry matter content was then determined by drying in an oven at 105 °C for 5hrs. Subsequently, the biomass yield (dry matter) per ha was estimated through extrapolation from the plot size by multiplying the wet weight obtained per plot by the percentage dry matter to get dry matter yield per unit area.

Determination of nutrient composition
Dried milled samples were analyzed for crude protein content following the procedure of AOAC (1995). Neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) were determined using the method of Van Soest et al. (1991). The two-stage in vitro dry matter digestibility was determined following the procedure of Tilley and Terry (1963).

Data analysis
The data on biomass yield per unit area, crude protein, fibre fractions and in vitro-dry matter digestibility for different L purpureus varieties were subjected to Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for variation between varieties (both within and between sites). The significant means were separated using Tukey’s statistical test at a significant level of 5%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Biomass yield of different Lablab purpureus varieties
Dry matter yield of the lablab varieties differed significantly (P<0.05) both within and between sites except in Kapkarer site (Table 1). Within Kiptaruswo, highest dry matter yield was recorded with variety Brown Rongai and lowest with Echo-Cream. In Koibem high dry matter yield was observed with variety Brown Rongai while Eldoret-Ktale-Cream recorded lowest dry matter yield. Between sites, Echo-Cream variety recorded the highest dry matter yield in Koibem compared with Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo. Eldo-Kt-Cream recorded the highest dry matter yield in Kapkarer compared to Kiptaruswo and Koibem. The rest of the varieties had no significant differences in dry matter yields between the sites.
Low dry matter yield was observed for early flowering varieties (40-45 days after emergence) as these varieties did not exhibit bushy growth characteristics, had fewer branches thus minimal foliage. The dry matter yields of lablab varieties within this study were within 4.5-9.6 t DM/ha reported earlier by Amole et al. (2013); Bowen et al. (2018) and Tulu et al. (2018). However the yields were higher than 4 t DM/ha reported by Hassan et al. (2014). The differences in dry matter yield in this study could be attributed to genetic variations among the lablab varieties (Amole et al., 2013; Tulu et al., 2018) or difference in rainfall distribution and soil fertility within the three sites (Omondi, et al., 2011; Kebede et al., 2016).

The between site variations could be attributed to environmental variability, variations in soil moisture content, the initial plant populations per plot and soil types as these factors were reported by Hassan et al. (2014) and Kebede et al. (2016) to cause dry matter yield fluctuations in lablab. Different soil characteristics between the three sites was reported by Omondi et al. (2011) as sandy loam soils in Kapkarer and clay soils in Kiptaruswo and Koibem, this might have also caused variation in dry matter yields of lablab between sites. In an earlier study, Landon (2014) reported that Koibem site had high, Kiptaruswo medium and Kapkarer low fertile soils. As such, the high biomass yields per unit area in Koibem could be attributed to high fertile soils that supported robust growth of lablab. This could also mean that each of the lablab varieties has different environmental adaptations in which they can derive well compared to others (Tulu et al., 2018). However, the dry matter yields obtained in this study were within the range 5-14 t DM/ha that was reported by Hassan et al. (2014) as the satisfactory dry matter yield for good forage legume.

### Table 1 - Dry matter yields of Lablab Purpureus varieties in the study area

| Lablab varieties | Sites         | Kapkarer | Kiptaruswo | Kolbem | Mean | SE  |
|-------------------|---------------|----------|------------|--------|------|-----|
| Ton DM/ha         |               |          |            |        |      |     |
| Black-Rongai      | 8.2           | 6.8abx   | 8.9bcx     | 8.0b   | 1.5  |
| Brown Rongai      | 11.9          | 10.5ax   | 15.4ax     | 12.6a  | 2.9  |
| DL1002            | 6.1           | 6.0abx   | 4.8cx      | 5.6b   | 0.7  |
| Echo-Cream        | 5.0           | 3.9by    | 12.1abx    | 7.0b   | 1.3  |
| Eldo-Kt-Black1    | 7.6           | 5.6bx    | 6.4bcx     | 6.5b   | 0.9  |
| Eldo-Kt Black2    | 7.2           | 7.7abx   | 5.6cx      | 6.8b   | 1.2  |
| Eldo-Kt-Cream     | 9.5           | 5.2by    | 4.4cy      | 6.3b   | 1.2  |
| Ngwara Nyeupe     | 6.0           | 5.5bx    | 8.7bcx     | 6.8b   | 1.7  |
| Mean              | 7.7a          | 6.4a     | 8.3a       | 7.5    |      |
| SE                | 1.87          | 1.0      | 1.3        | 0.97   |      |
| LSD               | 5.5           | 2.95     | 3.82       | 2.73   |      |
| P Value           | 0.263ns       | 0.007**  | <.001**    | <.001**|

Eld: Eldoret; Kt: Kitale; DL: Dry land variety; **Values with different superscripts within column are significantly different; ns: Values with different superscripts within row are significantly different (*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ns: Non-significant.

### Crude protein of different varieties of Lablab purpureus

The crude protein content of different lablab varieties within and between sites is shown in Table 2. Crude protein of lablab varieties varied significantly (P<0.05) both within and between the sites. The mean crude protein was significantly higher with lablab that were grown in Koibem compared to those that were grown in Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo. Kiptaruswo, highest CP was recorded with DL1002 while lowest with Brown Rongai. In Koibem site, high CP content was recorded with variety Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black Rongai while lowest with Echo-Cream. There was significant interaction of CP content between lablab varieties and sites. The CP content of similar varieties was higher in Koibem compared with same in Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo. Across the three sites, Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black-Rongai had the highest mean crude protein content while Brown Rongai and Echo-Cream variety had the lowest.

In general, crude protein content of the eight lablab varieties in this study ranged from 18.0 to 26.5 g/100g. This was in agreement with results from other studies (18-23 g/100g) when the whole lablab plant was harvested at 50% flowering (Heuze et al., 2014; Tulu et al., 2018; Bhardwaj and Hamama, 2019). Lower CP content of the whole lablab plant has also been reported in various studies ranging from 15-17 g/100g (Mbuthia et al., 2003; Njari et al., 2003 and Mapiye et al., 2007). Crude protein is one of the indices that is usually used to assess the feed quality (Liu et al., 2019). The variability of CP content in lablab varieties in this study enables us to choose the suitable variety that can be used as a supplement for low quality forages (Geleti et al., 2013). The variations in crude protein content among the varieties of lablab and across the sites in this study and with those by others was attributed to difference in genotypes and soil fertility (Geleti et al., 2013; Kebede et al., 2016; Tulu et al., 2018; Washaya et al., 2018). Additionally, the low crude protein content in Brown Rongai variety could be explained by observation by Washaya et al. (2018) that biomass yield of legume forages were negatively correlated with their quality. According to Kazemi et al. (2012), legume forages with CP above 19% were considered of high quality while those with <8% CP were regarded as of low quality hence cannot be used as a supplement for low quality fodder. Tulu et al. (2018) reported that most herbaceous legumes >15% CP could support...
growth and lactation of dairy animals. This indicates that the CP values of all lablab varieties in this study met the threshold of being used as a supplement to low quality feeds for lactating animals (Geleti et al., 2013).

Table 2 - Crude protein content (g/100g) of Lablab Purpureus varieties

| Lablab varieties | Sites      | Kapkarer | Kiptaruswo | Kolbem | Mean | SE  |
|------------------|------------|----------|------------|--------|------|-----|
| **Crude Protein content** |            |          |            |        |      |     |
| Black-Rongai     | 21.2       | 24.1<sup>abc</sup> | 25.6<sup>abc</sup> | 23.7<sup>a</sup> | 1.0  |
| Brown Rongai     | 19.3       | 18.0<sup>b</sup> | 21.4<sup>abc</sup> | 19.6<sup>b</sup> | 1.1  |
| DL1002           | 18.7       | 26.3<sup>ab</sup> | 23.1<sup>abc</sup> | 22.7<sup>ab</sup> | 0.9  |
| Echo-Cream       | 19.6       | 20.5<sup>b</sup> | 20.0<sup>a</sup> | 20.0<sup>b</sup> | 1.3  |
| Eldo-Kt-Black1   | 18.6       | 22.6<sup>b</sup> | 24.7<sup>b</sup> | 22.0<sup>b</sup> | 0.9  |
| Eldo-Kt-Black2   | 22.0       | 20.9<sup>b</sup> | 25.8<sup>b</sup> | 22.9<sup>b</sup> | 1.1  |
| Eldo-Kt-Cream    | 21.7       | 23.6<sup>b</sup> | 26.5<sup>ab</sup> | 23.9<sup>a</sup> | 1.2  |
| Ngwara Nyeupe    | 20.6       | 20.2<sup>b</sup> | 22.5<sup>b</sup> | 21.1<sup>b</sup> | 1.0  |
| **Mean**         | **20.2**   | **22.0**  | **23.7**   | **22.0** |      |     |
| **SE**           | **1.02**   | **0.92**  | **1.04**   | **0.79** |      |     |
| **LSD**          | **3.01**   | **2.7**   | **3.06**   | **2.21** |      |     |
| **P Value**      | **0.159**  | <.001**   | 0.002**    | <.001** |      |     |

| Eldo: Eldoret; Kt: Kitale; DL: Dry land variety; <sup>abc</sup>Values with different superscripts within column are significantly different; <sup>ab</sup>Values with different superscripts within row are significantly different (*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ns: Non-significant.

Fibre fraction of eight selected Lablab purpureus varieties

The fibre fractions of the eight Lablab purpureus varieties are shown in Table 3. There were significant differences (P<0.05) between fibre fractions of the lablab varieties both within and between the sites. Higher neutral detergent fibre (NDF) was recorded for lablab varieties harvested in Kapkarer site (mean=51.4 g/100g) and lowest in those established in Koibem (mean=42.9 g/100g). In Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo, all the varieties had similar NDF content unlike in Koibem site. In Koibem, DL1002 variety had the highest NDF while lowest recorded with varieties; Echo Cream and Black-Rongai respectively. Between the sites, NDF content of all the lablab varieties varied significantly except DL1002 which had similar NDF content within the three sites. Across the three sites, DL1002 had the highest NDF while Black-Rongai variety had the lowest. Eldo-Kt-Black2 recorded the highest acid detergent fibre (ADF) in Kapkarer site while Eldo-Kt-Black1 had the lowest. In Kiptaruswo, highest ADF was recorded with Eldo-Kt-Cream and lowest with Black Rongai. Within Koibem site, high ADF was recorded with Black Rongai variety and lowest with Eldo-Kt-Cream. The ADF content of the varieties varied significantly between sites except DL1002 and Ngwara Nyeupe. Across the three sites, highest ADF was recorded with Eldo-Kt-Black2 with Eldoret-Kitale-Cream having the lowest. Within Kapkarer site, Eldo-Kt-Cream had the highest acid detergent lignin (ADL) and Eldo-Kt-Black1 the lowest. In Kiptaruswo, no significant variation was observed in ADL content among the varieties of lablab. Within Koibem, Eldo-Kt-Black1 had the highest ADL and Echo Cream the lowest. Between the sites, Eldo-Kt-Black1 recorded the highest ADL in Koibem and Kiptaruswo than in Kapkarer. Eldo-Kt-Cream had the highest ADL in Kapkarer than in Koibem. In general, high ADL was observed for Eldo-Kt-Cream with Echo Cream having the lowest across the three sites.

The NDF content of the eight lablab varieties in this study ranged from 44.4 g/100g and 48.6 g/100g across the three sites. Similarly, NDF content of the whole lablab plant 45-48 g/100g has been reported by others (Heuzé et al., 2014; Bhardwaj and Hamama, 2019). However, lower NDF content of 40.09 and 39.0 g/100g for the whole lablab plant was reported by Ahmad et al. (2000) and Mbutia et al. (2003). The whole fibre fraction of fodder is confined in the NDF or cell walls and it gives the best approximation of the entire fiber content of a feed. The neutral detergent fibre is negatively associated with feed intake with its increase in forage reduces feed consumption (Garcia et al., 2003). The study by Geleti et al. (2013) and Yiberkew et al. (2020) indicated that, forage plants with NDF content less than 45% were regarded as of high quality while those between 45-65% were medium quality and above 65% as low quality forage. Profile of NDF content from the eight lablab varieties in this study were of high quality in Koibem sites while Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo were of medium quality. Therefore, all the lablab varieties in this study had acceptable NDF content for ruminant animals.

The variation in NDF content between varieties of lablab in this study could also be due to genetic variations among the lablab varieties that were used (Amole et al., 2013). The between site variations in NDF content could be due to variation in soil fertility between the sites. Studies by Turk (2010); Kebede et al. (2016) and Yiberkew et al. (2020) indicated that, fertile soils increases the forage dry matter yield and crude protein content which results in reduction of NDF and ADF content by accumulation of more foliage than structural features. This observation is consistent with the results of NDF content of lablab varieties in this study/ Koibem site, with higher fertile soils, had lablab with lower NDF content compared to Kapkarer site.
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Table 3 - Fibre fractions (%) of various *Lablab purpureus* varieties grown in the study area

| Varieties of lablab | Sites       | % Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) | % Acid detergent fibre (ADF) | % Acid detergent lignin |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
|                     | Kap         | Kip                             | Kol                         | Mean        | SE       | Kap     | Kip     | Kol     | Mean    | SE       | Kap     | Kip     | Kol     | Mean    | SE       |
| Black Rongai        | 49.5        | 43.2                            | 40.4<sup>v</sup>           | 44.4<sup>b</sup>         | 0.97     | 33.0<sup>bxy</sup>| 28.7<sup>v</sup> | 45.4<sup>ax</sup> | 35.7<sup>ab</sup> | 1.8   | 9.8<sup>abx</sup> | 9.1     | 9.8<sup>abx</sup> | 9.6<sup>ab</sup> | 1.2   |
| Brown Rongai        | 53.2        | 49.4                            | 41.1<sup>v</sup>           | 47.9<sup>ab</sup>        | 0.94     | 34.4<sup>ax</sup> | 36.2<sup>bxy</sup> | 26.3<sup>bcy</sup> | 33.0<sup>ab</sup> | 1.2   | 9.3<sup>abx</sup> | 9.7     | 11.2<sup>abx</sup> | 10.1<sup>ab</sup> | 0.8   |
| DL1002              | 52.2        | 46.7                            | 47.0<sup>ax</sup>          | 48.6<sup>a</sup>         | 2.13     | 33.8<sup>bcx</sup> | 30.1<sup>ax</sup> | 34.4<sup>bcx</sup> | 32.8<sup>ab</sup> | 1.9   | 12.7<sup>ax</sup> | 11.6    | 10.8<sup>abx</sup> | 11.7<sup>a</sup> | 1.2   |
| Echo Cream          | 49.5        | 45.1                            | 40.4<sup>v</sup>           | 45.0<sup>ab</sup>        | 1.23     | 32.4<sup>bxy</sup> | 29.4<sup>bxy</sup> | 41.8<sup>ax</sup> | 34.5<sup>ab</sup> | 1.1   | 9.6<sup>abx</sup> | 9.5     | 8.0<sup>abx</sup> | 9.0<sup>b</sup>  | 0.7   |
| Eldo-Kt-Black1      | 50.0        | 43.8                            | 45.9<sup>abcxy</sup>       | 46.6<sup>ab</sup>        | 1.66     | 28.5<sup>xy</sup> | 34.6<sup>bxy</sup> | 33.4<sup>bxy</sup> | 32.2<sup>b</sup>  | 1.3   | 7.3<sup>by</sup>  | 10.0    | 12.1<sup>ax</sup> | 9.8<sup>ab</sup> | 0.8   |
| Eldo-Kt-Black2      | 55.5        | 46.1                            | 43.3<sup>bxy</sup>         | 48.3<sup>ab</sup>        | 1.99     | 42.1<sup>bxy</sup> | 35.1<sup>bxy</sup> | 30.4<sup>bcz</sup> | 35.9<sup>a</sup>  | 0.8   | 11.3<sup>bxy</sup> | 12.2    | 11.1<sup>bxy</sup> | 11.5<sup>a</sup> | 0.4   |
| Eldo-Kt-Cream       | 53.0        | 46.1                            | 41.4<sup>cz</sup>          | 46.8<sup>ab</sup>        | 0.55     | 33.4<sup>bxcz</sup> | 37.0<sup>ax</sup> | 24.4<sup>cy</sup> | 31.6<sup>b</sup>  | 0.9   | 13.3<sup>ax</sup> | 12.2    | 10.1<sup>bzy</sup> | 11.9<sup>a</sup> | 0.9   |
| Ngwarra Nyeupe      | 48.5        | 44.6                            | 43.6<sup>bxy</sup>         | 45.5<sup>ab</sup>        | 0.93     | 33.5<sup>bxcz</sup> | 31.2<sup>bax</sup> | 33.7<sup>bxy</sup> | 32.8<sup>b</sup>  | 1.0   | 11.1<sup>bxy</sup> | 10.6    | 10.1<sup>bxy</sup> | 10.6<sup>ab</sup> | 0.6   |
| Mean                | 51.4<sup>a</sup> | 45.6<sup>b</sup>                | 42.9<sup>c</sup>            | 46.6                             | 33.9<sup>a</sup> | 32.8<sup>a</sup> | 34<sup>a</sup> | 33.6                             | 10.6<sup>a</sup> | 10.6<sup>a</sup> | 10.4<sup>a</sup> | 10.5   |
| SE                  | 1.62        | 1.85                            | 0.77                         | 1.48                        | 1.17     | 1.92         | 1.49        | 1.51                        | 0.88     | 1.05      | 0.74      | 0.88   |
| LSD                 | 4.76        | 5.44                            | 2.26                         | 4.19                         | 3.44     | 5.64         | 4.4          | 4.26                        | 2.58     | 3.08      | 2.19      | 2.47   |
| P Value             | 0.072<sup>ns</sup> | 0.393<sup>ns</sup>              | <.001<sup>**</sup>          | <.001<sup>**</sup>          | <.001*   | 0.026*       | <.001*        | <.001*                      | 0.002** | 0.251<sup>ns</sup> | 0.033* | 0.001* |

Kap: Kapkare; Kip: Kiptaruwo; Kol: Koibem; Eldo: Eldoret; Kt: Kitale; DL: Dry land Variety; **Values with different superscripts within column are significantly different; **Values with different superscripts within row are significantly different (*P<0.05; **P<0.001); ns: Non-significant
The range in ADF content for all the varieties of lablab in this study was 31.6 g/100g to 35.7 g/100g. These values were in agreement with 33-35 g/100g ADF reported earlier in the whole lablab forage by several authors (Heuzé et al., in 2014; Washaya et al., 2018; Bhardwaj and Hamama, 2019). Lower ADF content of 25-28 g/100g in lablab fodder was also reported by Ahmad et al. (2000) and Mbuthia et al. (2003). Acid detergent fibre is an indication of the degree of cellulose and lignin in forage. It is negatively associated with general digestibility; high ADF feed is less digestible (Garcia et al. 2003). The difference in ADF content among the varieties of lablab could be due to genetic variation among the varieties. According to Kazemi et al. (2012), legume forage with less than 31% ADF content was regarded as of high quality, while that greater than 55% NDF was regarded as poor quality. Conversely, Geleti et al. (2013) and Yiberkew et al. (2020) noted that, forage plant with less than 40% ADF was regarded as of high quality while those with greater than 40% as of poor quality. The ADF values of all the lablab varieties in this study therefore were of high quality.

The lignin content of the lablab varieties in this study ranged from 9.0 to 11.9 g/100g. These were within the range of 6.3 to 13.7 g/100g reported in the whole lablab plant by several authors (Ahmad et al., 2000; Heuzé et al., 2014; Bhardwaj and Hamama, 2019). Lignin is a polymer fraction of the plant cell walls that offers rigidity and mechanical support to plants and is not digestible by animal enzymes. It increases with plant maturity and was reported to be greater when the same plant species are established under warm weather conditions (Garcia et al., 2003). The figures of ADL content for the eight lablab varieties were close and some beyond the maximum level of 10% that is required to limit forage use by ruminant animals in this study (Geleti et al., 2013; Yiberkew et al., 2020). The difference in lignin content among the varieties of lablab in this study might be due to genetic differences between the varieties and different climatic conditions within the three sites (Amole et al., 2013; Kebede et al., 2016).

In vitro dry matter digestibility of different lablab varieties

In vitro-dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) of the different L. purpureus varieties is shown in Table 4. The IVDMD differed significantly (P<0.05) among the varieties both within and between the sites apart from in Kapkarer. Of the three sites, highest mean dry matter digestibility was recorded for varieties that were established at Koibem site compared to those established in Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo. At Kiptaruswo site high dry matter digestibility was recorded for Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black-Rongai variety with the lowest for Eldo-Kt-Black2. In Koibem, high dry matter digestibility was recorded with Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black Rongai variety, while lowest was DL1002. Between the sites, all the varieties, except Eldo-Kt-Black1, varied significantly in IVDMD. Across the three sites, highest dry matter digestibility was recorded for Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black Rongai while lowest for DL1002, Brown Rongai and Ngwar Nyeupe.

| Lablab varieties | Sites          | Kapkarer | Kiptaruswo | Koibem | Mean  | SE   |
|------------------|---------------|----------|------------|--------|-------|------|
| **In vitro-dry matter digestibility** |              |          |            |        |       |      |
| Black Rongai     | 68.6          | 75.3     | 79.4       | 74.4   | 0.64  |      |
| Brown Rongai     | 69.4          | 60.5     | 73.7       | 67.8   | 0.97  |      |
| DL1002           | 69.2          | 72.1     | 64.1       | 67.6   | 1.67  |      |
| Echo Cream       | 68.6          | 71.7     | 76.5       | 72.3   | 1.09  |      |
| Eldo-Kt-Black1   | 68.3          | 71.2     | 74.8       | 71.4   | 2.13  |      |
| Eldo-Kt-Black2   | 72.5          | 59.6     | 75.8       | 69.3   | 2.15  |      |
| Eldo-Kt-Cream    | 70.3          | 76.3     | 80.4       | 75.7   | 1.54  |      |
| Ngwar Nyeupe     | 69.6          | 70.6     | 65.4       | 68.5   | 1.36  |      |
| **Mean**         | 69.6          | 69.7     | 73.4       | 70.9   |       |      |
| **SE**           | 1.58          | 2.22     | 1.2        | 1.62   |       |      |
| **LSD**          | 4.66          | 6.52     | 3.53       | 4.54   |       |      |
| **P Value**      | 0.663**       | <.001**  | <.001**    | 0.002**|       |      |

The in vitro-dry matter digestibility of various lablab that were tested in this study ranged from 67.6 to 75.7 g/100g across the three sites. Mapiye et al. (2007) reported the in vitro-dry matter digestibility of the whole lablab plant to range from 55 to 76 g/100g in agreement with those obtained in this study. However Ahmad et al. (2000) and Tulu et al. (2018) reported a lower dry matter digestibility of lablab foliage as 39.7-50.9 g/100g. The variation in IVDMD in this study with other authors could be due to difference in varieties of lablab that were used and their fibre content (Meale et al., 2012; Tulu et al., 2018). The fibre fractions in legume forages has been the major challenge in their adequate utilization in animal nutrition (Washaya et al., 2018). The high fibre fractions in a forage leads to low dry matter intake and digestibility as opposed to lower fibre fractions (Washaya et al., 2018). Varieties with high dry matter digestibility in this study such as Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black Rongai were associated with high crude protein that increased microbial activities for organic Nitrogen fixing forage use by ruminant animals in this study (Amole et al., 2013; Kebede et al., 2016).

Table 4 - In vitro-dry matter digestibility (%) of Lablab varieties grown in different sites
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matter breakdown low NDF, ADF and ADL content. Varieties with low dry matter digestibility such as DL1002, Ngwara Nyeupe and Brown Rongai were associated with high NDF, ADF and ADL and late maturity. The difference in dry matter digestibility could also be attributed to genetic differences among the lablab varieties and the climatic conditions of the location where plants within cool environment are associated with high dry matter digestibility as opposed to warm environment (Baloyi et al., 2013).

CONCLUSION

From the study, it can be concluded that biomass yield and nutrient content varied with lablab variety and production site. According present findings, variety Brown-Rongai was suitable for all sites and can be recommended as a supplement to low quality animal fodder.
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