Intraspecific interactions shift from competitive to facilitative across a low to high disturbance gradient in a salt marsh
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Abstract Disturbances are ubiquitous among salt marshes, and disturbances such as litter removal may form stressful environmental conditions. The stress-gradient hypothesis (SGH) predicts that the relative importance of facilitation and competition between species will vary inversely to each other across abiotic stress gradients. However, how intraspecific interactions vary across disturbance levels, and whether they follow the SGH has not been investigated. To test the SGH with intraspecific interactions within Suaeda salsa individuals, we assessed individual survival in established fully mapped salt marsh plots with two treatments, disturbed, litter removal and control, undisturbed plots. Recently developed spatial statistics were applied to distinguish between random processes, intraspecific facilitation, scramble competition, and contest competition underlying the spatial patterns at different spatial scales, growth stages, and disturbance levels. We found evidence that intraspecific interactions among S. salsa individuals across disturbance levels tended to support the SGH, but this support depended on time and space. Intraspecific interactions were more likely to show positive density dependence in disturbed plots, particularly at the seedling period and when individuals were separated by <9 cm. However, positive density dependence was not detected in undisturbed plots. The protective effects of litter on seedlings, which were lost in undisturbed sites, may have been at least partly simulated at high seedling densities. Mortality in disturbed sites was not random, and facilitation had a more important influence than competition on population dynamics in high disturbance sites. However, the influence of competition on population dynamics, specifically scramble competition was also clearly important.
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Introduction

Disturbances such as high tides, strong wave, strong wind, flooding, winter ice (high-latitude salt marsh), and human activities are ubiquitous in salt marshes (Ewanchuk and Bertness 2003; Tessier et al. 2002). One consequence of disturbance is litter removal. Litter (including in situ standing dead plant stems and scattered litter on the ground) appear to aid
regeneration and establishment of seedlings in salt marshes by reducing water loss, salinity through shading, and keeping seeds and sheltering seedlings from cold winds in the winter and early spring. Thus, natural or anthropogenic removal of litter may influence species interactions and vegetation distribution. For example, litter are removed by high tides in winter in Yellow River Delta (personal observation) which turn the dense litter into thin ones even bare land. Litter removed by natural forces are deposited as mats. It has been suggested that these disturbances can facilitate species colonization, drive vegetation succession and species invasion, and improve species diversity through invasion of vacant patches by pioneer species (Minchinton 2002; Pennings and Richards 1998). However, the impact of in situ litter on species interactions is rarely evaluated. Holdredge and Bertness (2011) found litter to be crucial for the invasion of Phragmites, and prescribed litter removal to inhibit spread of Phragmites toward native species Juncus. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, the influence of litter on intraspecific interactions in salt marshes has not been studied.

The stress-gradient hypothesis (SGH) states that the frequency or importance of facilitative and competitive interactions between species will vary inversely to each other across abiotic or biotic stress gradients (Bertness and Callaway 1994). Evidence supporting this hypothesis in most of ecosystems with harsh environments is growing (He et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2016). However, most tests of the SGH investigate interspecific interactions, with a few investigating the genetic consequence of the SGH (Castellanos et al. 2014; Castro et al. 2013; Zhang and Shao 2013). Intraspecific interactions may play a more important role than interspecific interactions in shaping populations and communities in harsh environments (Garcia-Cervigon et al. 2013; Martorell and Freckleton 2014). Testing the SGH at the single species level is a way to understand the influence of intraspecific facilitation and competition on population dynamics across stress gradients. Negative density dependence (e.g., self-thinning) is thought to be an important process influencing population and community dynamics, because intraspecific competition is traditionally thought to be stronger than interspecific interactions due to the greater niche overlap between conspecific individuals (Stoll and Prati 2001). However, stressful conditions may trigger a switch in overall intraspecific interactions from negative to positive interactions as proposed by the SGH (Chu et al. 2008; Fajardo and McIntire 2011; Sans et al. 2002). For example, Goldenheim et al. (2008) revealed that intraspecific interactions among individuals of Suaeda linearis showed positive density dependence in conditions with higher temperatures and greater evaporation stress, but exhibited negative density dependence in benign conditions, because the amelioration effect of neighbors outweighed the competition effect for resources under stressful conditions.

Species interactions across stress gradients depend on time and space (de la Cruz et al. 2008). Specifically, species interactions can vary between life-history stages. Studies have revealed species interactions to shift from facilitation to competition as seedlings grew into adult individuals (Callaway 1995; Callaway and Walker 1997; Goldenheim et al. 2008; Miritti 2006). The mechanism stems the vulnerable seedlings being sheltered by neighboring seedlings, alleviating stressful conditions. When seedlings grow up into adults, the ameliorative effects reduce and eventually competition for resource overrides facilitation by neighbors.

Spatial factors also influence species interactions because biotic processes such as scramble competition, contest competition, and facilitation may operate in different spatial scales (Das et al. 2008; Raventos et al. 2010). Scramble competition and contest competition are two contrary mechanisms of population density dependence. Scramble competition occurs when a limited resource is partitioned evenly among all individuals, causing dense clumps of individuals to die due to insufficient resources. Contest competition, on the other hand, occurs if the limited resource is partitioned unequally among individuals, resulting in death of some individuals due to resource insufficiencies, but survival of the competitors that acquire more resources (Raventos et al. 2010).

Spatial point pattern analysis is an effective tool to explore and separate spatial or biological processes underlying spatial patterns such as random process, facilitation, and scramble and contest competition at multiple spatial scales with observational data spanning long time periods. For example, scramble competition will lead to spatial segregation of surviving and dead individuals, while contest competition will cause spatial aggregation of surviving and dead individuals (Raventos et al. 2010).
In this study, we investigated how the direction of intraspecific interactions among individuals of the species *Suaeda salsa*, common species and an annual herb in salt marsh of Yellow River Delta (China), change across different disturbance levels (litter removal and undisturbed control plots) at different spatial scales (from 0 to 15 cm) and life stages (the seedling stage and the rapid growth stage). We predict that (1) according to the SGH, intraspecific interactions among *S. salsa* individuals will exhibit facilitation more frequently within litter removal plots compared to undisturbed control plots, (2) intraspecific interactions will occur at small spatial scales because of the small size of *S. salsa* individuals, and (3) scramble competition will be the major underlying processes driving *S. salsa* population dynamics under benign conditions, based on the results from previous studies (Raventos et al. 2010).

**Methods**

**Study site**

The study was conducted in the Yellow River Delta National Reserve, which is located in Shandong Province, northeast China (37°40′N–38°10′N, 118°41′E–119°16′E). The climate of this region is warm temperate, with an average annual temperature of 12.1 °C. Average annual precipitation is 551.6 mm, falling mainly in the summer, and average annual evaporation is 1962 mm. Tidal fluctuations in this region are irregularly semidiurnal. The dominant species within this salt marsh of this area were *S. salsa*, *Phragmites australis*, and *Tamarix chinensis*.

**Experiment design, mapping, and individual monitoring**

In order to evaluate the influences of disturbance (i.e., litter removal) on intraspecific interactions of dominant species *S. salsa* across growth stages, we established 18 0.5 × 0.5 m plots in May 2013. We assigned a disturbance treatment to nine plots, where *S. salsa* litter was removed throughout each plot. The remaining nine plots were unaltered control treatments (Table 1). At the beginning of the study period, every individual within each plot was censured by recording species name and coordinates within the plot. Specifically, we fixed and marked one corner of the plot as the origin of coordinate axes, and we also fixed and marked the x-axis and y-axis. After that, we tagged each individual with a plastic ring and there was a unique number on the ring. Finally, we recorded the coordinates of each individual according to the scales of the rulers. Plots were re-censored in June and September 2013. As there were few individuals of species other than *S. salsa*, we collected data only on *S. salsa*.

To assess the effect of litter removal on soil salinity, we measured electronic conductivity (EC) of soil in each plot at May, late June, and September with in situ electronic conductivity meter. The changes of EC from May, late June to September in the same plot were calculated as follows: “EC at September−EC at late June” and “EC at late June−EC at May.” Then, we compared the change of soil salinity between litter removal plots and control plots with one-way ANOVA.

**Spatial pattern analysis**

We performed scale-dependent point pattern analysis to analyze qualitatively marked point patterns of *S. salsa* in replicated plots, i.e., each plant at a given growth stage can have the marks “dead” or “alive,” and subscript 1 indicates dead individuals while subscript 2 indicates alive individual in the following formulas of test statistics. Three-test statistics, a univariate \(g_{11}(r)\) function, a bivariate \(g_{12}(r)\) function, and a bivariate difference \(g_{1,1}+2−g_{2,1}+2(r)\) function were employed to describe spatial patterns (Jacquemyn et al. 2010; Wiegand and Moloney 2004). \(\hat{g}_{12}(r)\) is an estimator of the bivariate pair-correlation function \(g_{12}(r)\), which is calculated based on the O-ring statistic \(O_{12}(r)\) (Wiegand and Moloney 2004). Calculations of \(\hat{O}_{12}^w(r)\) [an estimator of \(O_{12}(r)\)] and \(\hat{g}_{12}(r)\) are as follows:

**Table 1** Treatments

| Plot | Treatments | Repeat | Disturbance |
|------|------------|--------|-------------|
| L    | Control    | 9      | No          |
| RL   | Litter removal | 9      | Yes         |
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\[ \hat{O}_{12}(r) = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \text{Points}_{2} \left[ R_{1,i}^w(r) \right] \]
\[ \hat{g}_{12}(r) = \frac{\hat{O}_{12}(r)}{\hat{\lambda}_2}, \]

where \( r \) is the radius of the sampling ring \( R_{1,i}^w(r) \); \( w \) is the width of the ring; and the ring is centered around the \( i \)th individual within pattern 1 (dead plants); \( \text{Points}_{2}[R_{1,i}^w(r)] \) refers to the number of individuals making up pattern 2 (alive plants) of sampling ring \( R_{1,i}^w(r) \), and \( \text{Area}[R_{1,i}^w(r)] \) denotes the area of this sampling ring; \( n_1 \) is the number of individuals within pattern 1; \( \hat{\lambda}_2 \) is the intensity of individuals within pattern 2 and \( \hat{g}_{12} \) is an estimator of \( \lambda_2 \). The univariate \( g_{11}(r) \) function is used to reveal aggregation patterns of dead plants. The bivariate pair-correlation function \( g_{12}(r) \) quantifies correlations such as attraction or segregation between dead and surviving individuals, and the \( g_{1,1+2–2,1+2}(r) \) function reveals density-dependent mortality patterns.

Random labeling analysis was performed to structure the null model, and detect departures of observed spatial patterns from the null model, which point to non-random impacts of intraspecific interactions on plant mortality. The random labeling null model was constructed by randomly assigning a location and status (alive or dead) to each observed individual (including surviving and dead individuals), then calculating statistics, \( g_{11}(r) \), \( g_{12}(r) \), \( g_{1,1+2–2,1+2}(r) \), using the simulated data. The null model was simulated 999 times and the simulation results plus the observed patterns formed the simulation envelopes (Baddeley et al. 2014).

The ecological interpretations of departures of the univariate and bivariate pair-correlation functions from the null model are as follows: (1) The \( g_{11}(r) \) function reveals clustering of dead individuals, and \( g_{11}(r) \) values exceeding the simulation envelopes indicate aggregation of dead individuals; (2) the \( g_{12}(r) \) function reveals attraction or segregation of dead and surviving plants, with \( g_{12}(r) \) values lower than the simulation envelopes suggesting separation of dead and surviving individuals, and therefore, scramble competition. Otherwise, \( g_{12}(r) \) values exceeding the simulation envelopes point toward contest competition; (3) the \( g_{1,1+2–2,1+2}(r) \) function detects density-dependent mortality. Values for \( g_{1,1+2–2,1+2}(r) \) that are lower than the simulation envelopes point toward positive density dependence of deaths of individuals. That is, there are positive interactions between surviving individuals. Otherwise, \( g_{1,1+2–2,1+2}(r) \) values above the simulation envelopes indicate that deaths of individuals are caused by negative density dependence; (4) values for \( g_{11}(r) \), \( g_{12}(r) \), \( g_{1,1+2–2,1+2}(r) \) falling within the simulation envelopes are suggestive of random patterns in plant deaths with no obvious effect of species competition or facilitation.

A goodness-of-fit test was conducted to test the significance of intraspecific interactions without taking account of spatial scales. This test was a complement to analyses based on simulation envelopes. All spatial analyses were conducted with the Programita software (Wiegand and Moloney 2004).

**Results**

From Fig. 1, we found that the differences of change of soil salinity in the same plot between litter removal plots and control plots during the experiment related to seasons. The difference from May to late June was non-significant. However, the difference from late June to September was significant. The soil salinity increased in the same plot for litter removal plots from late June to September, while the soil salinity decreased in the same plot for control plots from late June to September.

![Fig. 1](image-url)  
*Fig. 1* The change of soil salinity measured as the electronic conductivity in the same plot during the experimental periods (May to late June, late June to September) for control plots and litter removal plots. The error bar is ±SE, \( n = 9 \). Asterisk indicates \( P \) value <0.05, and “ns” means non-significance.
From early May to late June, which was the seedling period of *S. salsa*, the spatial structure function \( g_{11}(r) \) of control plot L6-5 revealed that at spatial distances 1–2 cm, the death of individuals were aggregated, while mortality of individuals at other spatial distances were random (Fig. 2a). The \( g_{12}(r) \) function of control plot L6-5 at distances 0–2 cm was lower than the simulation envelopes, suggesting scramble competition among conspecific individuals (Fig. 2b). The \( g_{1,1+2}g_{2,1+2}(r) \) function of control plot L6-5 detected species facilitation at spatial distances of 0–1 cm (Fig. 2c). The \( g_{11}(r) \) of litter removal plot RL6-5 showed that mortalities were randomly distributed with spatial distances of 0–16 cm (Fig. 2d). The \( g_{12}(r) \) values of litter removal plot RL6-5 were lower than the simulation envelopes at spatial distances of 1–6 and 7–8 cm, which suggested scramble competition among individuals (Fig. 2e). The \( g_{1,1+2}g_{2,1+2}(r) \) function of litter removal plot RL6-5 was lower than the simulation envelopes at spatial distances of 2–3 and 4–5 cm, which suggested intraspecific facilitation at these spatial scales (Fig. 2f).

2. From late June to September, which was the rapid growth period of *S. salsa*, \( g_{11}(r) \), \( g_{12}(r) \), \( g_{1,1+2}g_{2,1+2}(r) \) values of control plots L9-6 fell within the simulation envelope at all spatial scales (0–15 cm), suggesting the spatial patterns in mortalities were random (Fig. 2g–i). The \( g_{11}(r) \) values of litter removal plot RL9-6 exhibited random spatial distribution (Fig. 2j), while \( g_{12}(r) \) of litter removal plot RL9-6 revealed scramble competition at the spatial scales of 0, 2, 4, 12, and 14 (Fig. 2k). The \( g_{1,1+2}g_{2,1+2}(r) \) values of litter removal plot RL9-6 revealed positive density dependence at the spatial scale of 8 cm (Fig. 2l).

### Discussion

We used recently developed “individual-based” spatial statistics to analyze spatial patterns in mortalities among conspecific individuals across disturbance levels and growth stages. This technique is often used in analyses of species interactions among long-lived plants, such as trees or shrubs, in fully mapped plots (Pillay and Ward 2012; Queenborough et al. 2007; Raventos et al. 2010; Yu et al. 2009). In our study, this technique was applied to study interactions among individuals of an annual herb species, taking advantage of reductions in required disturbances of the plant community during the experiment. This technique also improved detection of spatiotemporal changes of species interactions achieved with “individual-based” spatial statistics.

Comparing intraspecific interactions of *S. salsa* between litter removal and control plots, we found that intraspecific interactions within litter removal plots were more likely to show positive density dependence...
during the seedling period. In control plots, on the other hand, positive density dependence was not detected.

Although we found evidence of an intraspecific facilitative effect following litter removal early in the growing season, the mechanism of positive density dependence remains uncertain and does not appear to be related to a reduction in soil salinity. Litter removal did not increase soil salinity levels early in the growing season (from May to June). We nonetheless suggest that the presence of litter and/or conspecific neighbors protected seedlings from damaging or desiccating strong winds, which were much colder and stronger in the spring than later in the growing season (personal observations). We hypothesize that when litter was experimentally removed, conspecific neighbors played a more important role in protecting seedlings from damaging spring winds. If our hypothesis is correct, then our results are consistent with the SGH, which predicts that facilitation should be relatively more important than competition when abiotic stress increases (Lortie and Callaway 2006).
The positive density dependence of *S. salsa* interactions varied temporally, with positive density dependence more likely to occur during the seedling establishment period. This result is consistent with previous studies (Callaway and Walker 1997; Jensen et al. 2012; Lortie and Turkington 2008). Thus, we confirm that population dynamics are highly influenced by positive density dependences, especially for the recruitment and establishment stages when individuals encounter disturbance. We also have shown that litter play a key role in intraspecific interactions, and therefore, population dynamics in the salt marsh.

Ecologists have argued for the importance of incorporation of spatial scales into the study of ecological processes which underlie spatial patterns because different biological processes may operate at distinguishing spatial scales (Borcard and Legendre 2002; Chase and Leibold 2002; Wiegand et al. 2007). Our results support this argument. Spatial distance among individuals was a very important factor influencing facilitation among *S. salsa* individuals. For example, intraspecific facilitation occurred among individuals, which were separated by less than 9 cm (Fig. 2) and scramble competition among individuals seemed to occur when individuals were separated by 6 cm (Fig. 2).

Although intraspecific facilitation was more important than intraspecific competition at high disturbance levels (i.e., litter removal plots), intraspecific competition still played an essential role in population dynamics. We found that regardless of disturbance levels (litter removal or control plots), intraspecific interactions among *S. salsa* individuals during the seedling period exhibited scramble competition, but there was no sign of scramble competition or contest competition during the rapid growth period. This finding suggests that segregation of surviving and dead individuals observed in this study was caused by scramble competition for limited resources. With the limited resources within the study plots being equally partitioned among competitors, dense clusters of *S. salsa* individuals could not acquire sufficient resources to survive, leading to aggregation mortalities. Although plant mortality was random at many spatial scales, observations of density-dependent mortalities among *S. salsa* within high-stress salt marsh plots,
suggest that overall, plant mortality was not random; i.e., death was not equally probable for all individuals within a community (Getzin et al. 2006).

In summary, intraspecific interactions among closely neighboring $S. salsa$ individuals exhibited positive density dependence in disturbance treatment plots, where litter were removed during the seedling period, but not in control plots. This result seemed to support the SGH. The random mortality hypothesis, on the other hand was rejected due to non-random mortalities among individuals. Evidence of non-random mortalities was seen in positive density dependence of mortalities at high disturbance levels and detection of scramble competition. Plant mortality appeared to have depended on the quantity of available limited resources, partitioning of the resources among individuals, and the density of individuals.
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