Review on Non-thermal Technologies for the Preservation of Fruit Juices
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Abstract: Liquid food industries use different preservation methods to extend the shelf life of products by reducing both enzymatic and microorganism activities. Emerging non-thermal pasteurization techniques are applied in order to avoid thermal processes maintaining the microbial safety and quality of liquid foods. Non-thermal preservation technologies used in the liquid food industry include high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric field (PEF), ultraviolet light (UV-C) and ultra-sonication (US). HPP is a method to target specific organisms by applying a pressure range of 100-1,000 MPa. PEF uses short pulses of a strong electric field applied to a flowing liquid food which affects the whole cell membrane of the organism. UV-C treatment at 253.7 nm has been proven to be appropriate for maintaining liquid quality and minimal deterioration of nutrients. US is applied to liquid foods in a lower frequency range of 20-100 kHz and a higher sound intensity of 10-1,000 W/cm² to the breakdown of cells. According to scientific literature, those methods have been applied to fruit juices like orange, apple, pear, coconut water, etc. Most of the non-thermal methods achieved the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) requirement of a 5-log reduction of microorganisms without deteriorating the sensory and nutritional attributes of fruit juices. Some of these methods have already been commercialized and others are still in a pilot scale. This study gives a comprehensive overview of published literature regarding the potential for using non-thermal pasteurization methods to extend the shelf life of different fruit juices with minimal deterioration of nutritional and sensory quality.
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1. Introduction

There is growing consumer demand for fresh, unpasteurized, nutritionally sound and microbiologically safe liquid beverages with extended shelf life. But beverages with high water content are a good transportation system for spoilage microorganisms and a good source for enzymatic activities [1]. Liquid food industries use different preservation methods to extend the shelf life and to assure that products will be microbiologically safe by inactivating enzymatic and microorganism activities. A good preservation technology must maintain the quality of the product while providing convenient shelf life as well as ensuring the preservation of original flavor and nutritional properties of products. The oldest and best developed preservation method is thermal pasteurization or sterilization technique [2, 3]. In thermal operation, low temperature long time (LTLT) and high temperature short time (HTST) treatments are the most commonly used techniques that have the tendency to induce permanent changes to the nutritional and sensory characteristics of the food. Therefore, today in liquid food industry there is a need to find out a suitable pasteurization method that considerably reduces damage to nutrients and sensory quality of the product [4]. Emerging non-thermal pasteurization methods are applied as substitutions to conventional thermal processes in order to maintain the quality of the product. However, these non-thermal methods should also minimize the microbial content to a safe level so that products can be safe and stable before introducing them to consumers [5].

Advantages of non-thermal processes are low operational temperature, low energy utilization, the
enhanced sustainability of the process and the maintenance of flavors and nutrients, while inactivating the spoilage microorganisms and enzymes [6]. These non-thermal preservation methods used in liquid food industry, include high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric field (PEF), ultraviolet light (UV-C) and ultrasound treatment. These emerging techniques are in a developing stage being tested in laboratory scale [7]. Many studies are conducted to scale up and nowadays some techniques are applied to pilot scale processing.

This paper focuses on different non-thermal pasteurization methods and their suitability on the fruit juice industry as alternatives to the thermal pasteurization techniques.

2. High Pressure Processing (HPP)

HPP or pascalization refers as a non-thermal pasteurization technique applying pressure on foods in a range of 100-1,000 MPa [3, 8]. These treatments are able to inactivate microorganisms and endogenous enzymes, while retaining nutrients, flavors and colors [9]. Initially HPP has been used to extend the shelf-life of milk for 4 d by applying 600 MPa pressure at room temperature [10]. This method is nowadays being used in the liquid food industry to target specific pathogenic organisms such as *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 as post-process action in fruit juices/vegetable juices [7]. Ramaswamy *et al.* [11] had done an experiment applying high pressure (150-400 MPa; 0-80 min at 25 °C) on apple juice, proving that *E. coli* is highly sensitive to the HPP. Many studies have proved that pressure above 200 MPa inactivates several vegetative cells and viruses, but bacterial spores have high tolerance to the pressure even above 1,000 MPa. Also with respect to the type of the microorganism HPP parameters and treatment will differ.

Fig. 1 shows the sketch diagram of HPP equipment. It mainly consists of pressurization cylinder, sample vessel, cooling system, pressure pump and liquid inlets and outlets. In HPP first the liquid/semi-liquid product is filled into the sterilized pressure vessel, then

Fig. 1  Representation image of high-pressure processing (HPP) equipment [12].
pressure is applied and the product is held under pressure at desired time. After that the vessel is de-pressurized and processed food is removed. The holding time of pressure is depending on the pressure medium and the food material. Chawla et al. [2] said that in a general HHP process, pressure of 680 MPa results in 15% compression of the liquid processed.

The major drawbacks of HPP are high installation cost for the unit and the process becomes semi-continuous for the liquids. Also the microorganism spores and some bacteria species are not inactivated by HPP treatments. The effectiveness of HPP can be increased by combining with antimicrobial constituents or mild heat treatments [6, 13].

3. Ultraviolet-C Radiation (UV-C)

A UV radiation takes account of small range in electromagnetic spectrum from 100 nm to 400 nm. There are three ranges: UV-A (320-400 nm), UV-B (280-320 nm) and UV-C (200-280 nm) [14, 15]. Among these three ranges, UV-C has germicidal effect on microbial cells and no significance changes arise or toxic by-products are produced when applied as a non-thermal preservation or disinfectant method [16]. The good consumer image and low operation cost of the UV-C process have proven to be suitable for ensuring minimum quality of the juice [17]. According to literature UV-C treatment is recommended for juices (by United States Food and Drug Administration (USFDA)) as a non-thermal pasteurization method without producing toxic compounds and off-flavors and odors [15]. Also no off flavor is formed after the treatment and the energy consumption in UV-C treatment is very low compared to thermal pasteurization methods [15]. According to USFDA and United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), UV-C light wavelength of 253.7 nm has proven to be safe for liquid food processing and it is approved to be used as an alternative method to reduce microorganism load of the food. The disadvantage of this method is the deterioration of ascorbic acid content. About 12%-18% of ascorbic acid is deteriorated using this method. The effectiveness of these treatments is limited by the light wavelength, type of food, types of microorganism and processing parameters. Torkamani and Niakousari [5] proved that bacteria cells were more sensitive to UV-C treatment than fungi by conducting an experiment on orange juice. Structure of cell wall, pigmentation and the presence of the restoration system of microorganisms were reasons for the variation of the sensitivity of UV-C light. Tran and Farid [16] experimented and observed 12% of vitamin C and 70% of enzymatic activities were degraded by 73.8 mJ/cm² of UV dose applied on orange juice and no significant changes occurred on pH and color after the treatment.

Fig. 2 represents a pilot scale UV-C system. According to Keyser et al. [15], the pilot scale UV system generally consists of a stainless steel inlet and outlet chambers, a stainless steel corrugated spiral tube.

---
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**Fig. 2** Representation image of pilot scale UV system [15].
and the UV germicidal lamp which is connected inside the spiral tube. The quartz sleeve is used to protect the UV-C lamp. Therein, the liquid product flows through the corrugated spiral tube in between the tube and the quartz sleeve. Velocity and turbulence created inside the inlet chamber drive the liquid through the spiral tube to contact with UV-C radiation.

4. Pulsed Electric Field (PEF)

PEF is one of the most advanced technologies in liquid pasteurization. The cells and spores of microorganisms in a liquid are destroyed by applying short pulses of a strong electric field which is formed in between two electrodes [18]. Fig. 3 shows the representative electromagnetic field of PEF. It creates a high voltage to liquid which placed between the electrodes. The targeted microorganisms in this operation are yeast and mold, due to their ability to grow in acidic conditions (low pH values) [19]. Since yeast and molds are the major spoilage microorganisms in fruit juices many studies were conducted for PEF. PEF affects the whole cell membrane of the organism by electroporation which causes outflow of cytoplasmic materials from cells [1]. The two species of molds Byssoclamys fulva and Neosartorya fischeri are reported as major spoilage organisms of fruit juices in literature [8]. These organisms produce heat resistant spores called Coniospores. Those spores resist in thermal pasteurization and HPP. According to the findings of Raso et al. [8] mold Coniospores can be inactivated by a small amount of PEF intensity. The PEF equipment is still in developing stage and new designs and comprehensive studies needed to scale up the PEF system. The effectiveness of the process depends on the electrical conductivity of the liquid food. Effectiveness of PEF can be increased with combining with hurdle technology or with mild heat treatments [20].

5. High Power Ultra-Sonication (US)

US is one of the non-thermal pasteurization techniques used for liquid food processing, especially in juices, purees and smoothies. The researches prove that US improves the flavors, stabilizes and preserves the quality of juices/purees [22]. This method is applied...
to liquids as the individual or combined technique to inactivate or disrupt the activity of microorganisms and enzymes. The power applied in low frequency (10-1,000 W/cm²) is the technique that involves power US [23]. High power ultrasounds are applied to liquid foods to induce the formation of cavitation bubbles, which cause the breakdown and destruction of microorganism cells [24].

Fig. 4 shows the representative image of ultrasonic processor. It consists of ultrasonic generator, ultrasonic converter with horn and counter tool. Ultrasonic generator converts power to electrical energy and then it is converted into mechanical oscillation. In the US process, two phases are identified, compression and rarefaction. In compression stage, wave micro-bubbles are formed of different nucleation locations in the fluid. In rarefaction stage, the bubbles are growing quickly and flop onto a different compression phase, by releasing a shock wave [20]. This causes to collapse the micro bubbles brutally in the compression phase of circulated ultrasonic waves resulting in localized high temperature inside the cell up to 5,000 K and pressures up to 50,000 kPa. Because of the shearing effects on cell walls, cell membranes will be disrupted and damage DNA sequence of microorganisms [2].

Protein denaturation, fat oxidation and valuable enzyme inactivation are the major disadvantages of this method.

O’donnel et al. [23] reviewed that US used with mild heat treatment was effective against *E. coli* and *L. monocytogenes* in apple cider. Also, ultrasound combined with pressure or heat and pressure combination was effective against the activity of spoilage enzymes.

6. Quality Changes of Different Fruit Juices after Applying HPP, PEF, UV-C Radiation and US

Table 1 represents the review comparison of quality changes of different fruit juices after applying HPP, PEF, UV-C radiation and US. According to scientific literature (Table 1), HPP, PEF, UV-C radiation and US were applied to fruit juices like orange, apple, pear, tender coconut water (TCW) etc. As an example, HPP (550 MPa), PEF (35 kV/cm), UV-C (230 J/L) and US (500 kHz) were applied in orange juice to achieve FDA requirement of a 5-log reduction of microorganism. After processing, 2% and 12% of vitamin C was lost by HPP and UV-C. Significant changes of vitamin C and cloud value were found by US. About 8% of vitamin A and 1% of citric acid was

![Fig. 4  Representative image of ultrasonic processor [25].](image-url)
| Method | Fruit juice | Target microorganism | Treatment parameters | Log reduction | Quality change | Source |
|--------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------|
| HPP    | Orange juice | *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 | 500 MPa, 25 °C, 5 min | > 5 | 2% loss of vitamin C | Rupasinghe and Yu [26] |
|        |             |                      | 400 MPa, 40 °C, 1 min |               | No Brix, pH and color change | Aneja et al. [1] |
|        | Apple juice | *E. coli*, *Listeria* and *Salmonella* | 400-600 MPa, 15 min | 5 | Shelf life increased to 14-21 d | Deliza et al. [10] |
|        |             |                      |                      |               | No Brix, pH and color change | Pivarnik and Worobo [7] |
| PEF    | Orange juice | *E. coli* | 35 kV/cm, 4 μS, 40 °C | 5 | 8% loss of vitamin A | Rupasinghe and Yu [26] |
|        |             |                      |                      |               | 1% loss of citric acid | Vega-Mercado et al. [20] |
|        |             |                      |                      |               | Brix, pH, vitamin C and viscosity of orange juice unaffected | Aneja et al. [1] |
|        | Cranberry juice | *Byssochlamys fulva* conidiospores | 36.53 kV/cm, 3.3 μS, 22 °C | 6 | No pH change | Raso et al. [8] |
| UV-C   | Orange juice | *E. coli* | > 230 J/L | 2-3 | Maximum aroma and color of the treated fruits being maintained | Abdul Karim Shah et al. [27] |
|        |             |                      |                      |               | 11% loss of vitamin C | Kaoutchma [17] |
|        |              |                      |                      |               | | Rupasinghe and Yu [26] |
| TCW    | *E. coli* W1485 *Listeria monocytogenes* | 254 nm, \(R_e\) level 397.7 | 5 | Slight change in pH (5.1-5.4) | Gautam et al. [28] |
| US     | Orange juice | Total aerobic plate count | 500 kHz, 240 W, 15 min, 60 °C | 3-4 | No significant changes in Brix and titratable acidity | Zoran et al. [22] |
|        |              |                      |                      |               | Significant changes in juice pH, color, non-enzymatic browning, cloud value and ascorbic acid | Aneja et al. [1] |
|        | Cactus pear juice | *E. coli* | 90% amplitude for 5 min | Non-detectable level | pH, titratable acidity and soluble solids unaffected after storage of 2 d | Cruz-Cansino et al. [24] |

HPP: high-pressure processing; PEF: pulsed electric field; UV-C: ultraviolet-C radiation; US: ultra-sonication; TCW: tender coconut water.
lost by PEF. No significant changes in aroma, color, Brix, pH and titratable acidity were found by non-thermal processing compared to the traditional thermal preservation methods. After applying 400-600 MPa of HPP on apple juice *E. coli*, *Listeria* and *Salmonella* were inactivated and no significant changes were observed in pH, Brix and color during the storage period of 14-21 d. UV-C was applied on TCW and slight changes of pH occurred and aroma and flavor were unaffected. Cactus pear juice was preserved using US at 90% amplitude for 5 min and led to inactivate *E. coli* into undetectable levels without effect on pH, total acids and soluble solids.

7. Applications of Non-thermal Preservation Methods for Liquid Food Industry

In some countries, HPP liquid food is produced and commercialized, including fruit & vegetable juices (citric juices, organic juices, low citric juices and vegetable juices), guacamole and Mexican sauces [10]. The shelf life of the HPP product is increased up to 14-28 d by applying high hydrostatic pressure with a range 100-700 MPa [3].

Since the other methods are still in pilot scale, additional pilot studies need to make those methods become actual substitutes for thermal processing.

8. Conclusions

Today the consumer perception is high for fresh, minimally processed liquid foods with high nutrient content. The best preservation technology must maintain the quality of the product while providing convenient shelf life as well as ensuring the flavor and nutritional property.

Emerging non-thermal pasteurization methods are applied as substitutions to conventional thermal processes in order to maintain the safety of food products and also maintain their qualities.

HPP, PEF, US and UV-C light treatments are better alternative methods for the thermal pasteurization methods.

High installment cost, limited on type of food & type of micro flora, deterioration of some nutrients (vitamin C, protein) & some valuable enzymes are the major drawbacks of these methods.
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