Combinatorial Realization of the Hopf Algebra of Sashes
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Abstract. A general lattice theoretic construction of Reading constructs Hopf subalgebras of the Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra (MR) of permutations. The products and coproducts of these Hopf subalgebras are defined extrinsically in terms of the embedding in MR. The goal of this paper is to find an intrinsic combinatorial description of a particular one of these Hopf subalgebras. This Hopf algebra has a natural basis given by permutations that we call Pell permutations. The Pell permutations are in bijection with combinatorial objects that we call sashes, that is, tilings of a 1 by n rectangle with three types of tiles: black 1 by 1 squares, white 1 by 1 squares, and white 1 by 2 rectangles. The bijection induces a Hopf algebra structure on sashes. We describe the product and coproduct in terms of sashes, and the natural partial order on sashes. We also describe the dual coproduct and dual product of the dual Hopf algebra of sashes.

Résumé. Une construction générale dans la théorie des treillis due à Reading construit des sous-algèbres de Hopf de l’algèbre de Hopf de permutations de Malvenuto et Reutenauer (MR). Les produits et coproduits de ces sous-algèbres de Hopf sont définis extrinsèquement en termes du plongement dans MR. Le but de cette communication est de trouver une description combinatoire intrinsèque d’une de ces sous-algèbres de Hopf en particulier. Cette algèbre Hopf a une base naturelle donnée par des permutations que nous appelons permutations Pell. Les permutations Pell sont en bijection avec des objets combinatoires que nous appelons écharpes, c’est-à-dire des pavages d’un rectangle 1-par-n avec trois espèces de tuiles: des carrés noirs 1-par-1, des carrés blancs 1-par-1, et des rectangles blancs 1-par-2. La bijection induit une structure d’algèbre de Hopf sur les écharpes. On décrit le produit et le coproduit en termes d’écharpes, et l’ordre partial naturel sur les écharpes. On décrit également le coproduit dual et le produit dual de l’algèbre de Hopf dual des écharpes.
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1 Introduction

The focus of this research is on combinatorial Hopf algebras: Hopf algebras such that the basis elements of the underlying vector space are indexed by a family of combinatorial objects. For each $n \geq 0$, let $O_n$ be a finite set of “combinatorial objects”. We define a graded vector space over a field $\mathbb{K}$, such that for each grade $n$ the basis vectors of the vector space are indexed by the elements of $O_n$. That is, the graded
vector space is: \( \mathbb{K}[O_x] = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}[O_n] \). For simplicity, we refer to a basis element of this vector space by the combinatorial object indexing it. There is a more sophisticated approach for defining combinatorial Hopf algebras. For more information see [1].

Let \( S_n \) be the group of permutations of the set of the first \( n \) integers \([n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\). Also define \([n,n'] = \{n,n+1, \ldots, n'\}\) for \( n' \geq n \). For \( x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \in S_n \), an inversion of \( x \) is a pair \((x_i , x_j)\) where \( i < j \) and \( x_i > x_j \), and the inversion set of \( x \) is the set of all such inversions. The weak order is the partial order on \( S_n \) with \( x \leq x' \) if and only if the inversion set of \( x \) is contained in the inversion set of \( x' \). The weak order is a lattice. The inverse \( x^{-1} \) of a permutation \( x \in S_n \) is the permutation \( x^{-1} = y = y_1 \cdots y_n \in S_n \) such that \( y_i = j \) when \( x_j = i \).

Let \( T \) be a set consisting of integers \( t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n \). Given a permutation \( x \in S_n \), the notation \((x)_T\) stands for the permutation of \( T \) whose one-line notation has \( t_j \) in the \( j^{th} \) position when \( x_i = j \). On the other hand, given a permutation \( x \) of \( T \), the standardization, \( st(x) \), is the unique permutation \( y \in S_n \) such that \((y)_T = x \).

Example 1.1. Let \( x = 31254, T_1 = \{2,3,6,8,9\} \), and \( T_2 = \{2,3,5\} \). Then, \((x)_{T_1} = 62398\) and thus \( st(62398) = 31254 \). Also, \( x|_{T_2} = 325 \).

The Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra \( MR \) is a graded Hopf Algebra \((\mathbb{K}[S_x], \bullet, \Delta)\). Let \( \mathbb{K}[S_x] = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}[S_n] \) be a graded vector space. Let \( x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_p \in S_p \) and \( y = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_q \in S_q \). Define \( y' = y'_1 \cdots y'_q \) to be \((y)_{[p+1,p+q]}\) so that \( y'_i = y_i + p \). A shifted shuffle of \( x \) and \( y' \) is a permutation \( z \in S_n \) where \( n = p + q, z_{[p]} = x \) and \( z_{[p+1,n]} = y' \). From [3], the product of \( x \) and \( y \) in \( MR \) is the sum of all the shifted shuffles of \( x \) and \( y \). Equivalently,

\[
\begin{align*}
  x \cdot y &= \sum [x \cdot y', y' \cdot x] \\
  &= \sum [x \cdot y', y' \cdot x]
\end{align*}
\]

where \( x \cdot y' \) is the concatenation of the permutations \( x \) and \( y' \), and \( \sum [x \cdot y', y' \cdot x] \) denotes the sum of all the elements in the weak order interval \([x \cdot y', y' \cdot x] \). The poset induced on \( S_n \) by the weak order is a lattice (also denoted by \( S_n \)). The coproduct in \( MR \) is:

\[
\Delta(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} st(x_1 \cdots x_i) \otimes st(x_{i+1} \cdots x_p)
\]

where \( st(x_1 \cdots x_0) \) and \( st(x_{p+1} \cdots x_p) \) are both interpreted as the empty permutation \( \emptyset \).

Define the map \( \text{Inv} : S_n \rightarrow S_n \) by \( \text{Inv}(x) = x^{-1} \) and extend the map linearly to a map \( \text{Inv} : \mathbb{K}S_x \rightarrow \mathbb{K}S_x \). \( MR \) is known to be self-dual [4] and specifically \( \text{Inv} \) is an isomorphism from \((\mathbb{K}[S_x], \bullet, \Delta)\) to the graded dual Hopf algebra \((\mathbb{K}[S_x], \Delta^*, \mathbb{m}^*)\). Let \( x \in S_p, y \in S_q, \) and \( z \in S_n \), where \( p + q = n \). Given a subset \( T \) of \( \rho \) elements of \([n] \), \( T^c \) denotes the complement of \( T \) in \([n] \). The dual product is given by:

\[
\Delta^*(x \otimes y) = \text{Inv}(x^{-1} \bullet y^{-1}) = \sum_{T \subseteq [n], |T| = \rho} (x)_T \cdot (y)_{T^c}.
\]

and the dual coproduct is:

\[
\mathbb{m}^*(z) = (\text{Inv} \otimes \text{Inv})(\Delta(z^{-1})) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} z_{[i]} \otimes st(z_{[i+1,n]})
\]
Hopf Algebra of Sashes

For some \( k \geq 2 \), let \( V \subseteq [2, k - 1] \) such that \( |V| = j \) and let \( V^C \) be the complement of \( V \) in \([2, k - 1] \). A permutation \( x \in S_n \) avoids the pattern \( V(k1)V^C \) if for every subsequence \( x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \ldots x_{i_k} \) of \( x \) with \( i_{j+2} = i_{j+1} + 1 \), the standardization \( st(x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \ldots x_{i_k}) \) is not of the form \( v(k1)v' \) for any permutation \( v \) of the set \( V \) and any permutation \( v' \) of \( V^C \). In the notation of Babson and Steingrimsson avoiding \( V(k1)V^C \) means avoiding all patterns of the form \( v_1 \cdots v_j - k_1 - v'_1 - \cdots - v'_{k-j-2} \), where \( v_1 \cdots v_j \) is a permutation of \( V \) and \( v'_1 \cdots v'_{k-j-2} \) is a permutation of \( V^C \).

Let \( U \) be a set of patterns of the form \( V(k1)V^C \), where \( |V| \) and \( k \) can vary. Define \( Av_n \) to be the set of permutations in \( S_n \) that avoid all of the patterns in \( U \). We define a graded Hopf algebra \((\mathbb{K}[Av_n], \bullet, \Delta, \theta Av_n)\) as a graded Hopf subalgebra of MR. Let \( \mathbb{K}[Av_n] \) be a vector space, over a field \( \mathbb{K} \), with basis vectors indexed by the elements of \( Av_n \), and let \( \mathbb{K}[Av_n] \) be the graded vector space \( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}[Av_n] \). The product and coproduct on \( \mathbb{K}[Av_n] \) are described below.

We define a map \( \pi_\downarrow : S_n \rightarrow Av_n \) recursively. If \( x \in Av_n \) then define \( \pi_\downarrow(x) = x \). If \( x \in S_n \), but \( x \notin Av_n \), then \( x \) contains an instance of a pattern \( V(k1)V^C \) in \( U \). That is, there exists some subsequence \( x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \ldots x_{i_k} \) of \( x \), where \( i_{j+2} = i_{j+1} + 1 \) and \( j = |V| \), such that \( st(x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \ldots x_{i_k}) = v(k1)v' \) for some permutations \( v \) and \( v' \) of \( V \) and \( V^C \). Exchange \( x_{i_{j+1}} \) and \( x_{i_{j+2}} \) in \( x \) to create a new permutation \( x' \), calculate \( \pi_\downarrow(x') \) recursively and set \( \pi_\downarrow(x) = \pi_\downarrow(x') \). The recursion must terminate because an inversion of \( x \) is destroyed at every step, and because the identity permutation is in \( Av_n \). The map \( \pi_\downarrow \) is well-defined as explained in [5] Remark 9.5. We emphasize that the definition of \( \pi_\downarrow \) is dependent on \( U \).

The map \( \pi_\downarrow \) defines an equivalence relation with permutations \( x, x' \in S_n \) equivalent if and only if \( \pi_\downarrow(x) = \pi_\downarrow(x') \). The set \( Av_n \) is a set of representatives of these equivalence classes. This equivalence relation is a lattice congruence on the weak order. Therefore the poset induced on \( Av_n \) by the weak order is a lattice (also denoted by \( Av_n \)) and the map \( \pi_\downarrow \) is a lattice homomorphism from the weak order to \( Av_n \). The congruence classes defined by \( \pi_\downarrow \) are intervals, and \( \pi_\downarrow \) maps an element to the minimal element of its congruence class. Let \( \pi_\uparrow \) be the map that takes an element to the maximal element of its congruence class.

The following proposition is a special case of [5] Proposition 2.2. The congruence on \( S_n \) defined by \( \pi_\downarrow \) is denoted by \( \theta \). For \( x \in S_n \), the congruence class of \( x \mod \theta \) is denoted by \([x]_\theta\).

**Proposition 1.2.** Given \( S_n \) a finite lattice, \( \theta \) a congruence on \( S_n \), and \( x \in S_n \), the map \( y \rightarrow [y]_\theta \) restricts to a one-to-one correspondence between elements of \( S_n \) covered by \( \pi_\downarrow(x) \) and elements of \( Av_n \) covered by \([x]_\theta\).

Both \( \pi_\downarrow \) and \( \pi_\uparrow \) are order preserving and \( \pi_\uparrow \circ \pi_\downarrow = \pi_\uparrow \) and \( \pi_\downarrow \circ \pi_\uparrow = \pi_\downarrow \). A \( \pi_\downarrow \)-move is the result of switching two adjacent entries of a permutation in the manner described above. That is, it changes \( \cdots k_1 \cdots \) to \( \cdots 1k \cdots \) for some pattern in \( U \). A \( \pi_\uparrow \)-move is the result of switching two adjacent entries of a permutation in a way such that a \( \pi_\uparrow \)-move undoes a \( \pi_\downarrow \)-move. That is, it changes \( \cdots 1k \cdots \) to \( \cdots k1 \cdots \).

We define a map \( r : \mathbb{K}[S_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[Av_n] \) that identifies the representative of a congruence class. Given
\[ r(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in \text{Av}_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

Similarly, we define a map \( c : \mathbb{K}[\text{Av}_x] \to \mathbb{K}[\text{S}_x] \) that takes an avoider to the sum of its congruence class:

\[ c(x) = \sum y \text{ such that } \pi_1(y) = x \]

We now describe the product and coproduct in \((\mathbb{K}[\text{Av}_x], \bullet_{\text{Av}}, \Delta_{\text{Av}})\). Let \( x \in \text{Av}_p \), and let \( y \in \text{Av}_q \). Then:

\[ m_{\text{Av}}(x \otimes y) = x \bullet_{\text{Av}} y = r(x \bullet y). \quad (5) \]

Just as the product in MR is \( \sum [x \cdot y', y' \cdot x] \), we can view this product as:

\[ x \bullet_{\text{Av}} y = \sum [x \cdot y', \pi_1(y' \cdot x)], \quad (6) \]

where \([x \cdot y', \pi_1(y' \cdot x)]\) is an interval on the lattice \(\text{Av}_n\).

The coproduct is:

\[ \Delta_{\text{Av}}(z) = (r \otimes r)(\Delta(c(z))). \quad (7) \]

We now describe the Hopf algebra \((\mathbb{K}[\text{Av}_x], \Delta^*_x, \bullet^*_x)\) that is dual to \((\mathbb{K}[\text{Av}_x], \bullet_{\text{Av}}, \Delta_{\text{Av}})\). We extend the map \( \pi_1 \) linearly, so \( \pi_1 \) is a map from \( \mathbb{K}[\text{S}_x] \) to \( \mathbb{K}[\text{Av}_x] \). The map that is dual to the map \( c \) is \( c^*: \mathbb{K}[\text{S}_x] \to \mathbb{K}[\text{Av}_x] \), where \( c^*(x) = \pi_1(x) \) for \( x \in \mathbb{K}[\text{S}_x] \). The map that is dual to the map \( r \) is \( r^*: \mathbb{K}[\text{Av}_x] \to \mathbb{K}[\text{S}_x] \), where \( r^*(x) = x \) for \( x \in \mathbb{K}[\text{Av}_x] \).

Let \( z \in \text{Av}_n \), where \( n = p + q \). The dual coproduct is given by dualizing Equation (5), so that:

\[ m^*_{\text{Av}}(z) = m^*(z). \quad (8) \]

The dual product \( \Delta^*_x \) is given by dualizing Equation (7):

\[ \Delta^*_x(x \otimes y) = \pi_1 \Delta^*(x \otimes y). \quad (9) \]

Combining Equation (9) with Equation (3), we have:

\[ \Delta^*_x(x \otimes y) = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} \pi_1((x)_T \cdot (y)_T \cdot c) \quad (10) \]

Equation (10) leads to the following order theoretic description of the coproduct \( \Delta_{\text{Av}} \), which was worked out jointly with Nathan Reading.

Given \( z \in \text{Av}_n \), a subset \( T \subseteq [n] \) is good with respect to \( z \) if there exists a permutation \( z' = z'_1 \cdots z'_n \) with \( \pi_1(z') = z \) such that \( T = \{ z'_1, \ldots, z'_|T| \} \). Suppose \( T \) is good with respect to \( z \), let \( p = |T| \) and let \( q = n - p \). Let \( z_{\text{min}} \) be minimal, in the weak order on \( \text{S}_n \), among permutations equivalent to \( z \) and whose first \( p \) entries are the elements of \( T \). Let \( z_{\text{max}} \) be maximal, in the weak order, among such permutations. Define \( I_T \) to be the sum over the elements in the interval \([\text{st}(z_{\text{min}}|T), \pi_1 \text{st}(z_{\text{max}}|T)]\) in \( \text{Av}_p \) and define \( J_T \) to be the sum over the elements in the interval \([\text{st}(z_{\text{min}}|T^c), \pi_1 \text{st}(z_{\text{max}}|T^c)]\) in \( \text{Av}_q \).
Theorem 1.3. Let $z \in Av_n$. Then
\[
\Delta_{Av}(z) = \sum_{T \text{ is good}} I_T \otimes J_T
\]
where $I_T = \sum [st(z_{\min}|T), \pi_1 st(z_{\max}|T)]$, $J_T = \sum [st(z_{\min}|T^c), \pi_1 st(z_{\max}|T^c)]$.

To prove Theorem 1.3 we first need several lemmas.

Lemma 1.4. The elements in the interval $[z_{\min}, z_{\max}]$ are equivalent to $z$ and their first $p$ entries are the elements of $T$.

Lemma 1.5. Suppose $T \subseteq [n]$ with $|T| = p$. Let $q = n - p$. Suppose also that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3$ in $Av_p$, and that $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq y_3$ in $Av_q$.

If $\pi_1((x_1)_T \cdot (y_1)_T^c) = \pi_1((x_3)_T \cdot (y_3)_T^c) = z$, then $\pi_1((x_2)_T \cdot (y_2)_T^c) = z$.

Lemma 1.6. Suppose $x_1, x_2 \in S_p$ and $y_1, y_2 \in S_q$. Suppose $T \subseteq [n]$, where $n = p + q$, and with $|T| = p$.

The following identities hold:
\[
(x_1)_T \cdot (y_1)_T^c \lor (x_2)_T \cdot (y_2)_T^c = (x_1 \lor x_2)_T \cdot (y_1 \lor y_2)_T^c
\]
\[
(x_1)_T \cdot (y_1)_T^c \land (x_2)_T \cdot (y_2)_T^c = (x_1 \land x_2)_T \cdot (y_1 \land y_2)_T^c
\]

The proof of Theorem 1.3 begins by defining terms($z, T$) to be the set \{\(x \otimes y : \pi_1((x)_T \cdot (y)_T^c) = z\}\}, and showing that terms($z, T$) is nonempty if and only if $T$ is good with respect to $z$. Next, we show that for each good subset $T$, the set terms($z, T$) is of the form $I_T \otimes J_T$. This proof also establishes the following more detailed statement.

Proposition 1.7. For some $T \subseteq [n]$, $x \otimes y \in \text{terms}(z, T)$ if and only if $x \otimes y$ is a term of $I_T \otimes J_T$ in $\Delta_{Av}(z)$.

Proof: Since $x \otimes y \in \text{terms}(z, T)$ means that $\pi_1((x)_T \cdot (y)_T^c) = z$, we see from Equation (10) and Theorem 1.3 that for a fixed set $T$, $x \otimes y$ is a term of the summand indexed by $T$ in $\Delta_{Av}(z)$ if and only if $z$ is the summand indexed by $T$ in $\Delta_{Av}(x \otimes y)$.

2. Pell Permutations and Sashes

Given a permutation $x = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n \in S_n$, for each $i \in [n - 1]$, there is a nonzero integer $j$ such that $x_i = x_{i+1} + j$. If $j > 0$, then there is an descent of size $j$ in the $i$th position of $x$. A Pell permutation is a permutation of $[n]$ with no descents of size larger than 2, and such that for each descent $x_i = x_{i+1} + 2$, the element $x_{i+1} + 1$ is to the right of $x_{i+1}$. We write $P_n$ for the set of Pell permutations in $S_n$.

Let us consider how many Pell permutations of length $n$ there are. Given $x \in P_{n-1}$, we can place $n$ at the end of $x$ or before $n - 1$. We can also place $n$ before $n - 2$, but only if $n - 1$ is the last entry of $x$. Therefore $|P_n| = 2|P_{n-1}| + |P_{n-2}|$. This recursion, with the initial conditions $|P_0| = 0$ and $|P_1| = 1$, defines the Pell numbers as defined by \[6\] Sequence A000129.

Lemma 2.1. $P_n = Av_n$ for $U = \{2(31), (41)23\}$.

Proof: Suppose $x \in P_n$. Since $x$ does not have any descents larger than 2, it avoids (41)23. For each descent $x_i = x_{i+1} + 2$ in $x$, the element $x_{i+1} + 1$ is to the right of $x_{i+1}$. Thus $x$ also avoids 2(31). Now suppose $x \in Av_n$. Suppose $x$ has a descent $x_i = x_{i+1} + j$. Because $x$ avoids 2(31), the entries
Fig. 1: The elements of $\Sigma_3$ (left) and $\Sigma_4$ (right).

$x_{i+1} + 1, \ldots, x_{i+1} + j - 1$ are to the right of the $x_{i+1}$. Thus, since $x$ avoids $(41)23$ we see that $j \leq 2$ and conclude that $x \in P_n$. □

The poset induced on $P_n$ by the weak order is a lattice (also denoted by $P_n$). As a consequence of Lemma 2.1 there is a Hopf algebra $(\mathbb{K}[Av_{\mathcal{X}}]. \bullet Av_{\mathcal{X}}, \Delta_{Av})$ of Pell permutations. For the rest of this paper we fix $U = \{2(31), (41)23\}$.

There is a combinatorial object in bijection with Pell permutations that will allow us to have a more natural understanding of the Hopf algebra of Pell permutations.

A sash of length $n$ is a tiling of a $1 \times n$ rectangle by black $1 \times 1$ squares, white $1 \times 1$ squares, and/or white $1 \times 2$ rectangles. The set of sashes of length $n$ is called $\Sigma_n$. There are no sashes of length -1 so $\Sigma_{-1} = \emptyset$, and there is one sash of length 0, a 1 by 0 rectangle denoted $\mathbb{I}$, so $|\Sigma_0| = 1$. There are two sashes of length 1: \[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{black square} \\
\text{white square}
\end{array} \] and \[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{black rectangle} \\
\text{white square}
\end{array} \]. The five sashes of length 2 and the twelve sashes of length 3 are shown in Figure 1. The poset structure of these sashes will be explained later in this section.

A sash of length $n$ starts with either a black square, a white square, or a rectangle. Thus $|\Sigma_n| = 2|\Sigma_{n-1}| + |\Sigma_{n-2}|$. Since $|\Sigma_{-1}| = 0$ and $|\Sigma_0| = 1$, there is a bijection between Pell permutations of length $n$ and sashes of length $n - 1$. We now describe a bijection that we use to induce a Hopf Algebra structure on sashes.

**Definition 2.2.** We define a map $\sigma$ from $S_n$ to $\Sigma_{n-1}$. Let $x \in S_n$. We build a sash $\sigma(x)$ from left to right as we consider the entries in $x$ from 1 to $n - 1$. For each value $i \in [n-1]$, if $i + 1$ is to the right of $i$, place a black square on the sash, and if $i + 1$ is to the left of $i$, place a white square on the sash. There is one exception: If $i + 1$ is to the right of $i$, and $i + 2$ is to the left of $i$ (and of $i + 1$), then place a rectangle in the $i^{th}$ and $(i + 1)^{st}$ positions of the sash. We also define $\sigma(1) = \mathbb{I}$ and $\sigma(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

From the definition of the map $\sigma$ we see that $\sigma$ sometimes involves replacing an adjacent black square and white square by a rectangle. Later, we will sometimes break a rectangle into a black square and a white square.

**Example 2.3.** Here is the procedure for computing $\sigma(421365)$. 

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{black square} \\
\text{white square} \\
\text{black rectangle} \\
\text{white square}
\end{array} \]
Let $T$ be a set of $n$ integers and let $x$ be a permutation of $T$. We define $\sigma(x) = \sigma(st(x))$.

**Example 2.4.** $\sigma(742598) = \sigma(st(742598)) = \sigma(421365) = [\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}]$.

**Definition 2.5.** We define a map $\eta : \Sigma_n \rightarrow P_n$. To calculate $\eta(A)$ for a sash $A \in \Sigma_{n-1}$, we place the numbers 1 through $n$ one at a time. Place the number 1 to begin and let $i$ run from 1 to $n-1$. If $A$ has either a black square or the left half of a rectangle in the $i^{th}$ position, place $i+1$ at the right end of the permutation. If $A$ has either a white square or the right half of a rectangle in the $i^{th}$ position, place $i+1$ immediately to the left of $i$ or $i-1$ respectively. We also define $\eta(\emptyset) = 1$ and $\eta(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

It is immediate that this construction yields a Pell permutation because the output has no descents of size larger than 2, and for each descent of size 2, the value in between the values of the descent is to the right of the descent.

**Example 2.6.** Here are the steps to calculate $\eta(A)$ for $A = [\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}]$.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow 1 \\
\rightarrow 21 \\
\rightarrow 213 \\
\rightarrow 4213 \\
\rightarrow 42135 \\
\rightarrow 421365
\end{array}
\]

**Theorem 2.7.** The restriction of $\sigma$ to the Pell permutations is a bijection $\sigma : P_n \rightarrow \Sigma_{n-1}$ whose inverse is given by $\eta : \Sigma_{n-1} \rightarrow P_n$.

Theorem 2.7 is proven by showing that $\sigma(\eta(A)) = A$ and using the fact $|P_n| = |\Sigma_{n-1}|$.

**Proposition 2.8.** $x, y \in S_n$ are equivalent if and only if $\sigma(x) = \sigma(y)$.

We prove the forward direction of Proposition 2.8 by considering the case where $y$ is obtained from $x$ by a single $\pi_{-1}$-move. The reverse direction is shown by contradiction.

The partial order on $\Sigma_{n-1}$ is such that the map $\sigma : P_n \rightarrow \Sigma_{n-1}$ is an order isomorphism from the lattice of Pell permutations to $\Sigma_{n-1}$. We refer to this lattice as $\Sigma_{n-1}$.

From Proposition 1.2 the cover relations in $\Sigma_{n-1}$ are exactly the relations $\sigma(y) \prec \sigma(x)$ where $x \in P_n$ and $y$ is covered by $x$ in $S_n$.

**Proposition 2.9.** The cover relations on sashes are

1. $A \Box B \prec A \Box B$ for any sash $A$ and for a sash $B$ whose leftmost tile is not a white square
2. $A \Box B \prec A \Box B$ for any sash $A$ and any sash $B$
3. $A \Box B \prec A \Box B$ for any sash $A$ and any sash $B$

**Example 2.10.** See Figure 1 for the poset on $\Sigma_3$ and $\Sigma_4$. 
3 The Hopf Algebra (and Dual Hopf Algebra) of Sashes

The bijection $\sigma$ allows us to carry the Hopf algebra structure on Pell permutations to a Hopf algebra structure $(\mathbb{K}[\Sigma_x], \bullet_S, \Delta_S)$ on sashes and a dual Hopf algebra $(\mathbb{K}[\Sigma_x], \Delta^*_S, m^*_S)$ on sashes, where $\mathbb{K}[\Sigma_x]$ is a vector space, over a field $\mathbb{K}$, whose basis elements are indexed by sashes. In order to do this, we extend $\sigma$ and $\eta$ to linear maps. For each grade $n$ of the vector space, the basis elements are represented by the sashes of length $n$. Recall that the sash of length -1 is represented by $H$, and the sash of length 0 is represented by $\|$.

Let $A$, $B$, and $C$ be sashes. Using $\sigma$, we define a product, coproduct, dual product, and dual coproduct of sashes:

$$m_S(A, B) = A \bullet_S B = \sigma(\eta(A) \bullet_{Av} \eta(B))$$
$$\Delta_S(C) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\Delta_{Av}(\eta(C)))$$
$$\Delta^*_S(A \otimes B) = \sigma(\Delta^*_{Av}(\eta(A) \otimes \eta(B)))$$
$$m^*_S(C) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma)(m^*_{Av}(\eta(C)))$$

These operation definitions are somewhat unsatisfying because they require computing the operation in MR. That is, calculating a product or coproduct in this way requires mapping sashes to permutations, performing the operations in MR, throwing out the non-avoiders in the result, and then mapping the remaining permutations back to sashes. In the rest of this chapter we show how to compute these operations directly in terms of sashes.

3.1 Product

**Proposition 3.1.** The empty sash $\emptyset$ is the identity for the product $\bullet_S$. For sashes $A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \neq \emptyset$, the product $A \bullet_S B$ equals:

$$\sum [\begin{array}{c} A \hline B, A' \hline B \\
\end{array}] \quad \text{if } A = A'$$
$$\sum [\begin{array}{c} A \hline B, A \hline B \\
\end{array}] \quad \text{if } A \neq A'$$

where $\sum [D, E]$ is the sum of all the sashes in the interval $[D, E]$ on the lattice of sashes.

The case where $A = \|$ is an instance of $A \neq A'$, and similarly for $B = \|$. In informal terms, the product of two sashes is the sum of the sashes created by joining the two sashes with a black square and a white square, and if by so doing an adjacent black square to the left of a white square is created, then the product has additional terms with rectangles in the places of the adjacent black square and white square.

The proof is obtained by applying the map $\sigma$ to the product of Pell permutations which is the sum over the interval $[x \cdot y', \pi_2(y' \cdot x)]$ in the lattice of Pell permutations, where $x \in P_p$, $y \in P_q$, and $y' = (y)_{[p+1, n]}$. 
Example 3.2. Let $A = \square$ and let $B = \square$. Notice that $A = A'\square$, where $A' = \square$, and $B = \square B'$, where $B' = \square$.

\[
A \cdot_S B = A\square B + A\square B' + A'\square B + A'\square B
\]

3.2 Dual Coproduct

From Equation (8) and Equation (4), it follows that:

\[
m^*_S(C) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sigma(\eta(C)|_{[i]}) \otimes \sigma(\eta(C)|_{[i+1, n]})
\]

(15)

Proposition 3.3. The dual coproduct on a sash $C \in \Sigma_n$ is given by:

\[
m^*_S(C) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} C_i \otimes C^{n-i-1}
\]

Where $C_i \in \Sigma_i$ is a sash identical to the first $i$ positions of $C$ (unless $C$ has $\square$ in position $i$, in which case $C_i$ ends with $\square$), and $C^{n-i-1} \in \Sigma_{n-i-1}$ is a sash identical to the last $n-i-1$ positions of $C$ (unless $C$ has $\square$ in position $i+2$, in which case $C^{n-i-1}$ begins with $\square$), and we define $C_0 = C^0 = \square$ and $C^{-1} = C^{-1} = \emptyset$.

The proof is given by showing that $C$ is a term of $A \cdot_S B$ if and only if $A \otimes B$ is a term of $m^*_S(C)$.

3.3 Dual Product

From Equation (9), it follows that:

\[
\Delta^*_S(A \otimes B) = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} \frac{\sigma\left((\eta(A))_T \cdot (\eta(B))_{T^C}\right)}{|T|-p}
\]

(16)

We now prepare to describe the dual product $\Delta^*_S$ directly on sashes.

Definition 3.4. Given a set $T \subseteq [n]$ such that $|T| = p$ and $n = p + q$, and given sashes $D \in \Sigma_{p-1}$ and $E \in \Sigma_{q-1}$, define a sash $\gamma_T(D \otimes E)$ by the following steps. First, write $D$ above $E$. Then, label $D$ with $T$, by placing the elements of $T$ in increasing order between each position of $D$, including the beginning and end. Label $E$ similarly using the elements of $T^C$.

Example 3.5. Let $T = \{1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13\}$, $D = \square\square\square$, and $E = \square\square\square$.
Next, draw arrows from $i$ to $i + 1$ for all $i \in [n - 1]$. Lastly, follow the path of the arrows placing elements in a new sash based on the following criteria:

Place a rectangle in the $i^{th}$ and $(i + 1)^{st}$ positions of the new sash if either of the following conditions are met:

1. if the $i^{th}$ arrow is from $D$ to $E$, the $(i + 1)^{st}$ arrow is from $E$ to $D$, and there is a □ or ◯ in $D$ in between $i$ and $i + 2$

2. if the $i^{th}$ arrow is from $E$ to $E$, the $(i + 1)^{st}$ arrow is from $E$ to $D$, and there is a □ or ◯ in $E$ in between $i$ and $i + 1$

If the above criteria are not met, then the following rules apply:

1. if the $i^{th}$ arrow is from $D$ to $D$ (or from $E$ to $E$), place whatever is in between $i$ and $i + 1$ in $D$ (or $E$) in the $i^{th}$ position.

2. if the $i^{th}$ arrow is from $D$ to $E$, place a black square in the $i^{th}$ position.

3. if the $i^{th}$ arrow is from $E$ to $D$, place a white square in the $i^{th}$ position.

Note that it may be necessary to replace the left half of a rectangle by a black square or to replace the right half of a rectangle by a white square (as in the first step of the example below).

**Example 3.6.** Let $T$, $D$, and $E$ be as in Example 3.5. Then we compute $\gamma_T(D \otimes E)$ to obtain:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\gamma_{\{1,2,4,7,8,9,12,13\}}(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{\textcolor{black}{\rule{2mm}{2mm}}}
\text{\textcolor{white}{\rule{2mm}{2mm}}}
\text{\textcolor{white}{\rule{2mm}{2mm}}}
\text{\textcolor{white}{\rule{2mm}{2mm}}}
\end{array}
\end{array}) = \begin{array}{cccccccc}
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{\textcolor{black}{\rule{2mm}{2mm}}}
\text{\textcolor{white}{\rule{2mm}{2mm}}}
\text{\textcolor{white}{\rule{2mm}{2mm}}}
\text{\textcolor{white}{\rule{2mm}{2mm}}}
\end{array}
\end{array}.
\end{array}
\]

**Theorem 3.7.** The dual product of sashes $D \in \Sigma_{p-1}$ and $E \in \Sigma_{q-1}$, for $p + q = n$, is given by:

\[
\Delta^*_n(D \otimes E) = \sum_{T \subseteq [n], [T] = p} \gamma_T(D \otimes E)
\]

3.4 **Coproduct**

We now describe the coproduct in the Hopf algebra of sashes and we begin with some definitions.

**Definition 3.8.** For $C \in \Sigma_{n-1}$, a dotting of $C$ is $C$ with a dot in any subset of the $n - 1$ positions of $C$. An allowable dotting of $C$ is a dotting of $C$ that meets all of the following conditions

1. has at least one dot

2. the first dot can be in any position, and dotted positions alternate between a black square (or the left half of a rectangle) and a white square (or the right half of a rectangle)
Fig. 2: The allowable dottings of a sash

3. has no instances of ● or ●

Figure 2 shows the allowable dottings of the sash .

Consider an allowable dotting $d = c_1 \bullet_1 c_2 \bullet_2 \cdots c_j \bullet_j c_{j+1}$ of a sash $C$, where each $c_i$ is a sub sash of $C$ without any dots, and $\bullet_i$ is a single dotted position. If any $\bullet_i$ is on the right half of a rectangle, then the left half of the rectangle in the last position of $c_i$ is replaced by a black square. If $\bullet_i$ and $\bullet_{i+1}$ are in adjacent positions, then $c_i||$. (If any $\bullet_i$ is on the left half of a rectangle, then $\bullet_{i+1}$ is on the right half of the same rectangle, so $c_{i+1} = \|$.)

We use $C$ and $d$ to define two objects $A$ and $B$ that are similar to sashes, but have an additional type of square $\square$, which we call a mystery square. If $\bullet_1$ is on a black square or the left half of a rectangle, then let $A$ be the concatenation of the odd $c_i$ with a mystery square in between each $c_i$ (where $i$ is odd), and let $B$ be the concatenation of the even $c_i$ with a mystery square in between each $c_i$ (where $i$ is even). For example, if $\bullet_1$ is on a black square and $j$ is even, then $A = c_1 \square c_3 \square \cdots \square c_{j+1}$ and $B = c_2 \square c_4 \square \cdots \square c_j$. If $\bullet_1$ is on a white square or the right half of a rectangle, then let $A$ be the concatenation of the even $c_i$ with a mystery square in between each $c_i$, and let $B$ be the concatenation of the odd $c_i$ with a mystery square in between each $c_i$.

We use the objects $A$ and $B$ to define four sashes $A$, $\overline{A}$, $B$, and $\overline{B}$.

To compute $A$, consider each mystery square in $A$ from left to right. If the mystery square follows $c_i$ and the $i^{th}$ and $(i+1)^{st}$ dots of $d$ are on the same rectangle, $\bullet \bullet$, then replace the mystery square after $c_i$ with a white square. Otherwise replace the mystery square with a black square.

To compute $\overline{A}$, consider each mystery square in $A$ from left to right. If the mystery square follows $c_i$ and the $i^{th}$ and $(i+1)^{st}$ dots of $d$ are on the same rectangle, $\bullet \bullet$, then we check to see whether or not the mystery square is followed by a white square. If the mystery square is followed by a white square (i.e. if $c_{i+2}$ starts with a white square), then replace the mystery square and the white square with a rectangle. Otherwise replace the mystery square with a black square. If the mystery square follows $c_i$ and the $i^{th}$ and $(i+1)^{st}$ dots of $d$ are not on an adjacent black square and white square, then we check to see whether or not the mystery square is preceded by a black square. If either $c_i$ ends in a black square or $c_i = \|$ and the previous mystery square has been changed to a black square, then replace the mystery square after $c_i$ and the black square before it with a rectangle. Otherwise replace the mystery square after $c_i$ with a white square.

To compute $B$, replace all mystery squares of $B$ with black squares.

To compute $\overline{B}$, replace all mystery squares of $B$ with white squares, unless the mystery square is preceded by a black square, in which case replace both the black square and the mystery square with a rectangle.

Example 3.9. If $d = \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} \bullet & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array}$, then $c_1 = \square$, $c_2 = c_3 = \square$, $c_4 = c_5 = c_6 = \|$, $c_7 = \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} \bullet & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array}$, $c_8 = \square$, $c_9 = c_{10} = c_{11} = \|$, and $\bullet_1$ is on a black square. Thus,
A = c_1 \square c_3 \square c_5 \square c_7 \square c_9 \square c_{11} and B = c_2 \square c_4 \square c_6 \square c_8 \square c_{10}. Using the rules above to compute A, B, and the four sashes A, \overline{A}, B, and \overline{B}, we have:

A = \square \square \square \square \square \overline{A} = \square \square \square \square \square \overline{B} = \square \square \square \square \square \overline{B} = \square \square \square \square \square

Given an allowable dotting \(d\) of a sash \(C\) we define \(I_d = \sum_i [A, \overline{A}]\) and \(J_d = \sum_j [B, \overline{B}]\) for \(A, \overline{A}, B, \overline{B}\) computed as above. Thus the notation \(I_d \otimes J_d\) denotes \(\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(C) = \emptyset \otimes C + C \otimes \emptyset + \sum_{\text{allowable dottings } d \text{ of } C} I_d \otimes J_d\).

**Theorem 3.10.** Given \(C \in \Sigma_{n-1}\):

\[
\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(C) = \emptyset \otimes C + C \otimes \emptyset + \sum_{\text{allowable dottings } d \text{ of } C} I_d \otimes J_d
\]
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