Tracking of Zinc Ferrite Nanoparticle Effects on Pea (Pisum sativum L.) Plant Growth, Pigments, Mineral Content and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Colonization
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Abstract: Important gaps in knowledge remain regarding the potential of nanoparticles (NPs) for plants, particularly the existence of helpful microorganisms, for instance, arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi present in the soil. Hence, more profound studies are required to distinguish the impact of NPs on plant growth inoculated with AM fungi and their role in NP uptake to develop smart nanotechnology implementations in crop improvement. Zinc ferrite (ZnFe$_2$O$_4$) NPs are prepared via the citrate technique and defined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) as well as transmission electron microscopy for several physical properties. The analysis of the XRD pattern confirmed the creation of a nanocrystalline structure with a crystallite size equal to 25.4 nm. The effects of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NP on AM fungi, growth and pigment content as well as nutrient uptake of pea (Pisum sativum) plants were assessed. ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NP application caused a slight decrease in root colonization. However, its application showed an augmentation of 74.36% and 91.89% in AM pea plant shoots and roots’ fresh weights, respectively, compared to the control. Moreover, the synthesized ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NP uptake by plant roots and their contents were enhanced by AM fungi. These findings suggest the safe use of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs in nano-agricultural applications for plant development with AM fungi.
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1. Introduction

Agriculture is the economic backbone of many countries, and in developing countries it is considered the main livelihood of the rural population [1] as it is the chief food source and expected to feed the ever-rising population worldwide [2]. Nevertheless, poor soil fertility is a chief restriction on crop productivity. Nowadays, nanotechnology is expected to be the base of several biotechnological innovations in the 21st century and is regarded as the upcoming industrial revolution [3] as its application can be observed in innumerable fields (pharmacy, medicine, materials science, environmental protection and agriculture, etc.). Nanotechnology applications in the food and agriculture sector attract attention where nanoagrochemicals, for instance, nanofertilizers, nanoparticles (NPs)-based growth stimulators and nanocarriers, are potentially more effective and pose a lower risk of environmental contamination than their conventional analogues [4,5]. NPs are known as a stimulating agent for plant growth modulating the physiological, biochemical and physicochemical pathways, such as photosynthesis and nutrient uptake. Additionally, NPs accumulation in plants is of great significance not only for their prospective effects on plant development and growth but also for the health of...
the human body [6]. Whereas, nanofertilizers or nanoencapsulated nutrients have the properties to effectively release nutrients on demand, which regulate plant growth and increase target activity [7–9]. Conversely, some reports documented neutral or negative responses to plants exposed to NPs [10].

Microorganisms in soil play key roles in nutrient cycling and contribute to increasing plant growth and developing the plant's health; they are also responsible for a vast number of soil functions [11,12]. As a representative, arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi, possibly the most important symbioses on earth, can form a mutualistic symbiosis with the roots of over 90% of land plants. They play a key role in plant growth promotion directly by providing nutrition and/or indirectly by protecting against biotic and abiotic stresses [13–16]. AM fungi as an example of soil microorganisms that can be affected by, and exposed to, NPs that are either intentionally liberated into the environment (NP containing amendments besides nanoagrochemicals) or reach into the soil as nanomaterial pollutants [17]. AM fungi can mediate the effects of the heavy metals on their hosts, allowing some plants to grow in soils with excess toxic metals such as Zn [18]. Additionally, AM fungi help alleviate metal stress in Phragmites australis and Iris pseudacorus by transforming cationic copper into metallic NPs, which implies that AM fungi may impact metal NPs effects on plants [19].

Of particular note, information about the prospective influence of NPs on the functioning of AM symbiotic associations is quite limited. Primary studies showed that metal NPs application may exert both positive and adverse effects [20] on AM fungi due to their accumulation. Additionally, metal oxides NPs such as iron oxide (FeO) NPs and silver (Ag) NPs differently influenced AM fungi, and the consequential effects of AM fungi on plant growth were primarily described by [21]. Similarly, AM root colonization was decreased by Fe3O4 NPs or Ag NPs [21,22]. The pattern that drives this variability in the responses of plant associations with AM fungi to NPs varies according to the NPs’ properties, concentration, AM fungal species and characteristics of the soil in which the AM fungi are living and interacting with plant roots [17].

Ferrite NPs are interesting materials due to their rich physical, structural, electrical and magnetic properties [23]. They have an enormous impact on the applications of magnetic materials as they are wear- and oxidation-resistant [24,25]. Iron-based magnetic spinel ferrite NPs with the general formula AFe2O4 (A = Ni, Co, Zn, Cu, Mg, Mn, etc.) are intensely used in many sectors and purposes, for instance, for medical implementations and the remediation of soil and water [26]. These magnetic NPs are selected for some specific applications because they can be easily traced in the organism and can be directed externally by magnets [27–29]. Among the magnetic NPs, zinc ferrite (ZnFe2O4) has involved much consideration due to its moderate magnetic saturation, low coercivity, chemical and thermal stability, mechanical hardness and high electromagnetic performance [30,31]. Similarly, [23,32] studied the effect of CuFe2O4 NPs and CoFe2O4 NPs on cucumber and tomato plants, respectively, and stated their nutritive special effects on plants. The particle size of the nanofertilizer is less than the pore size of the leaves and roots, thereby enhancing the strength of the nanofertilizers’ penetration into the plant when applied evenly on the plant surface and thus increasing the quality of the nutrient usage while reducing the cost of the input [9,33]. Otherwise, [12] documented that iron oxide magnetic NPs exert inhibitory effects on the biomass of maize.

The green pea (Pisum sativus L.) is one of the broadly used legumes in healthy diets [34] because of its high protein content, essential amino acids such as lysine and leucine, minerals such as K, P, Ca, Cu, Fe and Zn and vitamins. To our knowledge, no study has yet been conducted to explore the consequence of ZnFe2O4 NPs on AM fungal colonization and their dual role (AM fungi and ZnFe2O4 NPs) in green pea growth performance as well as chlorophyll content analyses. Furthermore, Zn and Fe concentrations and translocation in the plant were studied in both AM and non-AM treated plants. As the impact of NPs is based on their concentration, size and distribution, we firstly investigated the structural and magnetic properties of ZnFe2O4 NPs.
2. Results
2.1. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was used to recognize the crystal structure of NPs as presented in Figure 1a. The lattice constant was computed utilizing Equation (1) [35]. The crystallite size \( t \) has been computed employing the Debye–Scherrer expression [36] as Equation (2):

\[
a = \frac{d_{(hkl)}}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}}
\]

\[
t = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}
\]

where \( \theta \) and \( \lambda \) are the diffraction angle as well as the wavelength for the target used, respectively. Moreover, \( \beta \) is the full width at half-maximum of the diffraction peaks. The crystallite size was estimated for the three high intense diffraction peaks (220), (311) and (440). It was discovered that the crystallite size \( t \) was 25.4 nm, which proves the nanocrystalline nature of zinc ferrite.

![Figure 1](image-url). Characterization of ZnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs powder; (a) X-ray diffraction (XRD) and (b) magnetic hysteresis (M-H) loop.

2.2. Magnetic Hysteresis (M-H) Measurements

The magnetic hysteresis loop of ZnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs powder at room temperature was shown in Figure 1b. The attained coercivity \( (H_c) \) and saturation magnetization \( (M_s) \) were 96.56 G and 60.21 emu/g, respectively.

2.3. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM)

The TEM micrograph of ZnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs powder was displayed in Figure 2. The particle size was around 20–30 nm. It can be noticed that NPs were well scattered beside some agglomeration in a few crystallites.
2.4. Effects of ZnFe₂O₄ NPs on Plant Growth Traits

Uptake and translocation of ZnFe₂O₄ NPs in the pea plant body may alter a number of morphological and physiological parameters. The effects of AM fungal inoculation and ZnFe₂O₄ NPs applications on pea growth traits, for instance, fresh and dry weight, are depicted in Figure 3. As compared to control (non-inoculated with AM fungi or ZnFe₂O₄ NP-treated) plants, AM or ZnFe₂O₄ NPs single application revealed a substantial increase \((p \leq 0.05)\) in all pea growth criteria except leaves number, where the increase was not significant (Figure 4a). It is worth mentioning that the magnitude of such an increase was more pronounced (Table 1) with their combination (ZnFe₂O₄ NPs + AM inoculation). It is interesting to point out that pea plants dually applied with AM and ZnFe₂O₄ NPs showed an enhancement of 74.36% and 91.89% in shoot and root fresh weight and 89.51% and 130.18% in shoot and root dry weight, respectively, versus the control. Another worth mentioning was that the root/shoot ratio of AM or ZnFe₂O₄ NPs pea plants singly or dually treated was higher than control (Figure 4b).

Table 1. Significance levels (F-values) of treatments and treatment interactions of some measured variables based on a two-way ANOVA analysis.

| Variables         | AM    | ZnFe₂O₄ NPs | AM+ ZnFe₂O₄ NPs |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|
| Shoot FW          | 40.47 * | 14.39 *   | 0.167 ns        |
| Root FW           | 81.49 * | 10.56 *    | 6.39 *          |
| Shoot DW          | 39.85 * | 36.46 *    | 11.81 ns        |
| Root DW           | 65.56 * | 43.86 *    | 1.06 ns         |
| R/S ratio         | 26.02 * | 2.89 ns    | 32.12 *         |
| Chlorophyll a     | 4.269 ns | 2.038 ns   | 0.559 ns        |
| Chlorophyll b     | 50.05 * | 8.788 *    | 15.658 *        |
| Carotenoids       | 22.16 * | 4.93 *     | 9.48 *          |
| Total Chlorophyll | 13.3 *  | 5.886 *    | 0.079 ns        |
| Total pigments    | 7.63 *  | 5.82 *     | 2.16 ns         |
| Shoot Zn conc     | 7.956 * | 138.8 *    | 0.213 ns        |
| Root Zn conc      | 6.74 *  | 54.56 *    | 0.207 ns        |
| Total Zn conc     | 7.49 *  | 101.15 *   | 0.211 ns        |

Significance levels: *, significant; ns, non-significant effect.
Figure 3. ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal effects on shoot fresh weight (a), shoot dry weight (b), root fresh weight (c) and root dry weight (d) of pea plants. Control: represents non-treated pea plants; AM: represents pea plants inoculated with AM fungi; Zn/Fe NPs: pea plants treated with ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs, and AM + Zn/Fe NPs: represents pea plants dually treated with AM fungi and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs. Data are the mean of three replicates ± standard error (n = 3). Different letters above bars indicate a significant difference between treatments using ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test (p < 0.05). FW, fresh weight; DW, dry weight.

Figure 4. ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and AM fungal effects on: (a) leaves number and (b) root/shoot ratio of pea plants. Control: represents non-treated pea plants; AM: represents pea plants inoculated with AM fungi; Zn/Fe NPs: pea plants treated with ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and AM + Zn/Fe NPs: represents pea plants dually treated with AM fungi and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs. Data are the mean of three replicates ± standard error (n = 3). Different letters above bars indicate a significant difference between treatments using ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test (p < 0.05).
2.5. AM Fungal Colonization Rate

Mycorrhizal colonization levels (frequency (F%), intensity (M%) of mycorrhizal colonization besides arbuscular development (A%)) in pea root tissues to some extent were affected with ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NP application; its application slightly reduced the rate of root colonization but the results were not statistically significant. Despite that, AM fungi in ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NP-treated plants still function with their strength as is evident in the enhancement that occurred in pea growth traits (Figures 3–5). Furthermore, the root colonization of pea plants singly treated with AM was above 70% (Figure 6).

Figure 5. Photographs of pea plants showing the differences between root and shoot of control (non-treated pea plants); AM (pea plants inoculated with AM fungi); Zn/Fe NPs (pea plants treated with ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs); AM+ Zn/Fe NPs (pea plants dually treated with AM fungi and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs) pea plants.

Figure 6. ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NP effect on mycorrhizal colonization level of pea plant roots. F%: represents frequency of mycorrhizal colonization; M%: represents intensity of mycorrhizal colonization; A%: represents arbuscular frequency of pea plant roots. AM: represents pea plants inoculated with AM fungi and AM + Zn/Fe NPs: represents pea plants dually treated with AM fungi and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs.
2.6. Photosynthetic Pigments Content

The effect of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and AM fungal application on pigment content as a measure of photosynthetic efficiency of pea plants was investigated in Table 2. Results revealed an increase in total chlorophyll content, and its fractions in pea leaves with AM fungi and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs applications and the magnitude of such proliferation reached its highest level with their dual application as shown in two-way ANOVA analysis (Table 1). Whereas, chlorophyll a content in pea leaves dually treated with AM fungi and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs was 1.729 ± 0.091 mg/g FW, followed by those singly treated with ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs (1.549 ± 0.082 mg/g FW) or AM-inoculated (1.497 ± 0.079 mg/g FW), compared to the control (1.441 ± 0.076 mg/g FW). It was revealed that pea plants dually applied with AM and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs showed an improvement of 65.37%, 36.34% and 30.54% in chlorophyll b, total chlorophylls and total pigments, respectively, versus the control (Table 2).

| Treatment         | Chlorophyll a (mg g$^{-1}$ FW) | Chlorophyll b (mg g$^{-1}$ FW) | Carotenoids (mg g$^{-1}$ FW) | Total Chlorophyll (mg g$^{-1}$ FW) | Total Pigments (mg g$^{-1}$ FW) |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Control           | 1.441 ± 0.076 b               | 0.812 ± 0.043 bc              | 0.297 ± 0.016 b               | 2.252 ± 0.12 b                   | 2.549 ± 0.135 b                 |
| AM                | 1.497 ± 0.079 ab              | 0.976 ± 0.052 b              | 0.270 ± 0.014 b               | 2.473 ± 0.13 b                   | 2.743 ± 0.145 b                 |
| ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs | 1.549 ± 0.082 ab              | 0.759 ± 0.044 c              | 0.382 ± 0.02 a                | 2.309 ± 0.12 b                   | 2.691 ± 0.142 b                 |
| AM + ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs | 1.729 ± 0.091 a | 1.342 ± 0.071 a | 0.256 ± 0.014 b | 3.071 ± 0.16 a | 3.327 ± 0.176 a |

Control: represents non-treated pea plants; AM: represents pea plants inoculated with AM fungi; Zn/Fe NPs: pea plants treated with ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and AM + Zn/Fe NPs: represents pea plants dually treated with AM fungi and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs. Data are the mean of three replicates ± standard error (n = 3). Values in each column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at p ≤ 0.05 (Duncan’s multiple range test). FW represents fresh weight.

2.7. Fe and Zn Content and Their Translocation in Plant Tissues

To better recognize the concentration of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs in pea shoot and root and their migration from root to shoot, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis was conducted. Overall, ICP-MS analysis revealed an increase in Zn and Fe content in shoot and root of peas subjected to ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs, confirming the translocation of these nanomaterials from root to shoot. Moreover, the results showed that the Zn and Fe concentrations and migration in the pea shoot and root were influenced by ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs, AM fungal application and the interactions between them (Figure 7 and Table 1). As expected, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs had the most profound effects on plant Zn and Fe concentrations as compared to AM inoculation. Whereas, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs addition increased both pea shoot and root Zn and Fe concentrations.

AM inoculation alone did not exert significant effects on root Zn and Fe but showed marked interactive effects with ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs on the shoot and root Zn and Fe concentrations (Figure 7). Another interesting aspect of our results was that pea plants dually treated with ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and AM fungi harbor the highest Fe (180.53 ± 0.98 and 140.72 ± 0.779 mg/kg DW) and Zn content (0.050 ± 0.003 and 0.083 ± 0.006 mg/g DW) in their root and shoot, respectively. AM fungi can increase the bioavailability of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and sequester the released Zn in soil, and then increase Zn uptake by plants and their transport from soil to roots and from roots to shoot. Additionally, the shoot/root Zn and Fe ratio, which indicates their internal translocation, increased with AM inoculation and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs (Figure 8).
Figure 7. ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and AM fungal effects on Fe content (mg/kg DW) (a–c) and Zn content (mg/g DW) (d–f) in shoot, root and their total contents in pea plants respectively. Control (non-treated pea plants); AM (pea plants inoculated with AM fungi); Zn/Fe NPs (pea plants treated with ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs) and AM+ Zn/Fe NPs (pea plants dually treated with AM fungi and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs) pea plants. Data are the mean of three replicates ± standard error (n = 3). Different letters above bars indicate a significant difference between treatments using ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test (p < 0.05). DW, dry weight.

Figure 8. ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and AM fungal effects on the translocation factor of Fe (a) and Zn (b) of the control (non-treated pea plants); AM (pea plants inoculated with AM fungi); Zn/Fe NPs (pea plants treated with ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs) and AM+ Zn/Fe NPs (pea plants dually treated with AM fungi and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs) pea plants. Data are the mean of three replicates ± standard error (n = 3). Different letters above bars indicate a significant difference between treatments using ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test (p < 0.05).

3. Discussion

The ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs are formed in a single-phase cubic spinel structure with the main reflection planes (111), (210), (220), (311), (222), (400), (511) and (440). It is found that the lattice parameter equals 0.845 nm ± 0.001, which was consistent with that reported
The coercivity value was small, denoting the excellent soft magnetic properties for the present sample. The agglomeration in the TEM micrograph can be due to magnetic dipole interaction between ferric ions. Moreover, the obtained particle size was in good agreement with that computed by the Scherrer formula from XRD results.

Plants such as peas suffer from nutrient deficiency stress when the availability of soil nutrients and/or the quantity of nutrients absorbed is below that required to support metabolic processes. This can be due to an inherently low soil nutrient status or low soil nutrient mobility. Consequently, a significant proportion of people are micronutrient deficient (especially Fe and Zn). Zn concentration in soil solution depends greatly on soil pH and declines to very low levels at high soil pH. Alkaline soils such as the soil used in our study may be zinc-rich, and plants may not take it up under these conditions as Zn becomes closely linked to the CaCO₃ present in the soil. It is reported that rice, wheat and soybean plants exposed to higher CO₂ levels would accumulate less Zn. As a result, it is desirable to use new techniques to boost plant growth that harbour higher levels of micronutrients such as Fe and Zn. One of these new technologies is the application of NPs where used ZnO NPs to determine their effect on maize compared to ZnSO₄ application and reported that ZnO NPs improved yield and Zn content compared to ZnSO₄. Additionally, AM fungi can increase the accumulation of many nutrients, including Zn.

Balanced nutrient management and soil enrichment are very important for improving crop productivity. AM fungi are an indispensable constituent of the soil ecosystem that are active in the transformation and/or degradation of a wide variety of pollutants to sustain soil productivity and ecological functions. Nevertheless, the roles of AM fungi in NPs–plant interactions have not been well examined. Therefore, we speculate that their participation could change our knowledge regarding the biological effect of NPs on plant systems.

Our results of AM fungal inoculation and ZnFe₂O₄ NP applications on pea growth traits are consistent with who reported an increase in spinach growth when treated with TiO₂ NPs. Additionally, ref. conveyed that a low ZnFe₂O₄ NP concentration encourages Chlorella pyrenoidosa growth rate. Interestingly, ref. showed that ZnO NPs significantly improved the seedling growth of the wheat plant. These proliferations might be due to the adsorption of these NPs on the cell surface and their beneficial effects on plants.

Moreover, the positive impact of ZnFe₂O₄ NPs on growth parameters (Figure 3a,c) can be attributed to the fact that ZnFe₂O₄ NPs may supply essential micronutrient Zn for plant growth, but turn out to be poisonous if they release excess Zn beyond the plant’s necessities. Opposing our results, ref. stated a reduction of ~20 and 80% in Arabidopsis growth with 200 and 300 mg/L ZnO NPs. Additionally, higher doses of ZnO NPs inhibited plant growth of sweet sorghum, while a low ZnO NPs dose was non-phytotoxic. According to our results, the substantial proliferation in most of the growth parameters as a result of AM fungal inoculation might be due to the superb abilities of these fungi in improving both physiological as well as morphological mechanisms and the uptake of immobile nutrients such as P, Zn and Cu through extraradical mycorrhizal mycelia that aid the acquisition of nutrients at distances plant roots cannot reach. These findings were earlier reported by in pepper, cowpea and trigonella, respectively.

Contrary to our results, ref. found that ZnO NPs applied at a concentration of 800 mg/kg decreased shoot and root dry weights of AM maize plants. Our results of the root/shoot ratios (R/S) of AM or ZnFe₂O₄ NP-treated pea plants were in line with who stated that AM fungi caused an increase in R/S ratios as compared to the control. Collectively, our findings point out the beneficial effects of AM and ZnFe₂O₄ NP applications on each other.

It was found that AM colonization and extraradical hyphal growth were suppressed when plants were grown with micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn) especially at a high level. Accordingly, ref. found that excess Zn additions strongly reduced AM colonization in tomato plant roots. Moreover, ref. revealed that the high concentrations...
of trace metals can severely repress AM spore germination and root colonization in clover plant roots. It is reported [64] that both internal AM root colonization and extraradical hyphae developed in soil were more sensitive to high levels of micronutrients in the maize plant.

Even though few investigations examined the influence of metal-NPs on AM fungal colonization, the results are contradictory [10,21]. Using a sand culture microcosm experiment, ref. [21] found that the AM colonization rate of clover roots augmented with the addition of 0.032–3.2 mg/kg FeO NPs or 0.01–1 mg/kg Ag NPs. Conversely, ref. [10] reported a decrease in maize root colonization rates at higher ZnO NP concentrations (800, 1600 and 3200 mg/kg). Our present experiment shows different results. Consistent with our results, [10] found that at 400 mg/kg, the ZnO NP colonization rate of AM maize plant roots did not change significantly, although it markedly lessened at higher doses. Hence, there was a negative relationship between ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and root colonization. These findings denote that NPs could influence the distribution and AM fungal community composition. To our knowledge, this is the first report of AM fungal response to ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs in soil habitats.

ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and AM fungal application caused amplification in pigment content of pea plants which may be ascribed to increased stomatal conductance, transpiration rate and carbon assimilation or the increase in P and Mg$^{2+}$ uptakes using extraradical hyphae of mycorrhiza which are essential constituents necessary for the photosynthesis [66]. The increase in chlorophyll content as a result of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs application is consistent with the findings of [47,67] in spinach and wheat with TiO$_2$ NPs applications. A similar augmentation of maize pigments with iron oxide NPs has been reported by [51,68]. Additionally, ref. [28] reported a gradual increase in chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids content in barley with NiFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs. Contradictory to our results, ref. [54] reported a reduction of more than 50% in Arabidopsis chlorophyll contents treated with 300 mg/L ZnONPs. The stimulatory effect of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs on photosynthesis might be due to Zn and Fe being essential micronutrients for plant metabolism and their involvement in chlorophyll biosynthesis [29]. The maximum increase in the pigment content of pea leaves dually treated with AM fungi and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs was in harmony with the results of [10] in maize plants treated with 400 mg/kg of ZnONPs and inoculated with AM fungi. Whereas, AM pea plants sequester more Zn in their mycorrhizal structure and improve Mg uptake, leading to augmentation in chlorophyll concentrations, consequently increasing photosynthate production as well as plant progress [69].

Fe and Zn are indispensable micronutrients for plant growth contributory to physiological processes such as photosynthesis, the production of phytohormones and chlorophyll formation, and their deficiency cause some substantial nutrient imbalances and ultimately lessens the amount as well as the quality of the crop product [39]. Although the scientific investigation of NP uptake and accumulation in plants is still in the early stages, recent publications have categorized advances in the area of NP toxicology along with uptake via plants.

Our findings of increased Zn and Fe concentrations in pea shoots and roots are comparable to the findings of [28] who stated that Fe and Ni elements steadily increased by increasing NiFe$_2$O$_4$ NP concentrations in Hordeum vulgare. A similar pattern was observed for Cu, Co and Fe uptake in cucumber and tomato plants treated with CuFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and CoFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs [23,32]. Moreover, ref. [70] showed the release of Fe ions in an aqueous solution from the surface of magnetic Fe$_2$O$_3$ NPs. ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs might be similar to ZnO NPs in that they continuously release Zn to the soil solution to replenish those scavenged by roots, as [71] stated. Additionally, some NPs in the plant tissues might be degraded or changed at the end [28,72,73]. In concert with those findings, the present study pointed out the possible degradation or liberation of Zn and Fe elements in the plant tissues. Nevertheless, the mechanism of a probable degradation could not be recognized up till now.
Our result of enhanced Zn uptake by AM fungi is divergent from [10,53] in the shoot and root of maize and sweet sorghum plants at all ZnO NP doses with AM inoculation. Additionally, the increase in the shoot/root Zn and Fe ratio with AM inoculation and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs is consistent with [74] who stated that NPs were taken up by the plant roots and translocated to the aerial organs including the leaves of a pumpkin (Cucurbita maxima); this could be due to their smaller particle size. Additionally, our results showed further augmentation due to the joined interaction between ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs with AM application. This result conflicted with the findings of [10] in maize plants.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Synthesis of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs

ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs were synthesized via the citrate method. Analytical grade Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$.9H$_2$O and citric acid were used as starting reagents. The reagents were solved in de-ionized water at a ratio of 1:1 of nitrates to citric acid. Then, a solution of ammonium was gradually dropped into the solution to change the pH to $\approx$7.0. The mixed solution was stirred constantly at 120 °C until a viscous liquid was reached. The sol was heated to 350 °C, then ignited and burnt spontaneously. Finally, the resultant ashes were thoroughly milled in a mortar to produce excellent NPs. Figure 9 shows a flow chart for the synthesis of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs.

4.2. Nanoparticle Characterization

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) was examined at room temperature on an X-ray diffractometer, using CuK$_\alpha$ radiation (type PHILIPS X’pert Diffractometer) and the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs were observed through a transmission electron microscope (TEM) model, Jeol (JEM-1230, Tokyo, Japan). The magnetization of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs was measured using a Vibrating Sample Magnetometer with a maximum magnetic field of 20 kOe at room temperature.

4.3. Preparation of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs Suspension

Suspension of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs was prepared at a concentration of 5 µM in distilled, deionized water. The suspensions were sonicated for 4 h in a bath sonicator (Branson’s
Model B200 ultrasonic) to ensure distribution of the NPs and to avoid aggregation and agglomeration.

4.4. Soil, Seeds, Pot Culture and Growth Condition

The soil used for plant growth was collected from the top layer of the field (0–15 cm depth) at Sharkia Governate. The soil was disinfected (2% formaldehyde) to destroy indigenous AM fungi, after passing through a 2 mm sieve. A soil sample was air-dried; particle size distribution was carried out according to the international pipette method of [75]. The pH value was determined by a pH meter according to [76]. CaCO$_3$ was carried out according to [77]. Mineral content was determined using the methods of [78], and estimated using inductively coupled plasma spectrometry (Ultima 2 JY Plasma). Murphy and Riley [79] described the method which determined phosphorus. Available metals in the soil were extracted according to the method of [80] using a mixture solution of diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid 97% (DTPA) and ammonium bicarbonate (pH 7.6). Soil texture is clay containing sand, silt and clay with percentages of 13.9%, 27.4% and 58.7%, respectively. Soil characteristics were pH: 8.24, total and available P: (0.69% and 0.21%), available micronutrients (Fe: 0.239 ppm, Zn: 0.1425 ppm, Mn: 0.201 ppm), cations (K$^+$: 0.37%, Mg$^{2+}$: 6.34%, Ca$^{2+}$: 8.47%), organic matter: 1.24% and CaCO$_3$: 4.98%.

Pea (Pisum sativum L.) seeds, obtained from Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt, were surface disinfected by drenching in 3% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) solution for 10 min, washed several times and soaked in distilled water for 2 h, and then sowed in a 25 cm diam. plastic pot (10 seeds/pot) containing 2.5 kg of sterilized soil in a greenhouse under controlled conditions (10 h light/14 h dark cycle) (day/night) at 20°C and irrigated regularly with water, then seedlings were thinned to 4 seedlings/pot after germination.

4.5. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) Fungal Inoculation, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs Treatment and Sample Collection

AM fungal inoculum was Funneliformis mosseae, Funneliformis constrictum, Gigaspora margarita and Rhizophagus irregularis that had been previously isolated from the rhizosphere of different plant species [16] and identified by the manual for identification of AM fungi [81,82]. The mixture of AM fungal spores (in equal proportions) was propagated with Sudan grass (Sorghum sudanenses Pers.) roots as an appropriate trap plant, using a sterilized 1:1:1 sand: vermiculite: perlite mixture as a substrate. After 5 months of growth (87% colonization index), the substrate was allowed to dry, the roots were cut, and the inoculums (consisting of at least 950 spores/100 g, infected root pieces, hyphae and substrate) were maintained until use. AM fungal inoculation was applied by placing 20 g of inoculum for inoculated plants. Control (non-AM) plants received 20 g sterilized soil besides filtered washings of an equal amount of AM soil inoculum to provide the same associated microorganisms without AM spores.

After 12 days from sowing, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs were added to the soil at a concentration of 5 µM. Leaching of Zn and Fe ions from the parent ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs into the soil was 4.245 and 7.7% after 48 h, respectively. The control treatments were applied with tap water. Hence, there are four treatments as follows: control plants (non-treated with AM fungi or ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs), AM-inoculated (AM), ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NP-treated (5 µM) and a combination of AM and 5 µM ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs. Each treatment was replicated three times. Wariness should be taken in watering the plants to avoid the inoculum washing out of the soil during the first few days after inoculation and also to avoid leaching of NPs outside the pots. After 40 days from the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NP application, the plants were harvested and cleaned, then shoots and roots were saved for further experiments. Sub-samples of fresh fibrous roots were taken to evaluate root colonization.
4.6. Measurements

4.6.1. Growth Traits

Fresh weights (FW) of pea shoots and roots were recorded, and their dry weights (DW) were obtained by drying them in an oven at 70 °C for 3 days. Additionally, the leaf number was recorded as the mean value of all plant leaves divided by the number of total plants for each treatment.

4.6.2. AM Fungal Colonization Percentage

Fresh fine roots of AM-inoculated pea plants were cut into 1-cm segments and soaked in 20% KOH solution for 3 days at room temperature. The KOH was rinsed off and the root segments were acidified in 1% HCl overnight and subsequently stained with trypan blue for 24 h [83]. Pea roots were then destained in a 1% HCl/glycerol mixture. Root segments were placed on slides, and the colonization components were determined microscopically according to the method of [84].

4.6.3. Photosynthetic Pigment Contents

A known pea leaf fresh weight (200 mg) was cut into small pieces and homogenized in 10 mL of 85% acetone. The homogenate was centrifuged at 4000 × g for 15 min. The absorbance (A) of the collected supernatant (663, 644, and 452.5 nm) was measured [85] via a UV-visible spectrophotometer, RIGOL (Model Ultra-3660). The following equations were applied to calculate the pigment content of samples in terms of mg g\(^{-1}\) FW:

\[
\text{Chlorophyll a} = (10.3 \text{ A663} - 0.918 \text{ A644}) \times \frac{V}{(1000 \times W)},
\]

\[
\text{Chlorophyll b} = (19.7 \text{ A644} - 3.870 \text{ A663}) \times \frac{V}{(1000 \times W)},
\]

\[
\text{Carotenoids} = (4.2 \text{ A452.5}) - (0.0264 \text{ Chl. a} + 0.426 \text{ Chl. b}) \times \frac{V}{(1000 \times W)}.
\]

where \(V\) is the volume of 85% (v/v) acetone (mL), and \(W\) is the fresh weight (FW) of sample (g).

4.6.4. Fe and Zn Contents and Translocation Factor (TF)

After mineralizing 0.1 g DW of the shoots and roots, the pea samples were placed in concentrated HNO\(_3\)-HCl (1:3) for 12 h at ambient temperature, then for 2 h at 180 °C; Fe and Zn were then extracted [86]. Fe and Zn plant uptake was assessed using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP–MS) (Ultima 2 JY Plasma) at the Central Lab of Agricultural Research, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt. The Fe and Zn concentrations in the samples were obtained according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Concentration of sample} = \frac{\text{ICP-MS reading} \times \text{total volume (mL)}}{\text{Weight of sample (g)}}
\]

The transition of Fe and Zn from root to shoot was assessed in terms of translocation factor (TF) [87] and defined according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Translocation Factor (TF)} = \frac{\text{Concentration in plant shoot}}{\text{Concentration in plant root}}
\]

4.7. Statistical Analysis

All experiments were accomplished with randomized sets including at least triplicate sampling. All data were subjected to the two-way analysis of variance using the SPSS version 15.0 statistical program for windows to determine the effects of AM, ZnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NP and AM × ZnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NP interaction on different measured parameters. The values were presented as means ± SE (standard error) by Duncan’s multiple range test as post hoc multiple comparisons. The significance of difference between mean values was expressed at a 95% confidence level when the treatments’ mean was compared with control [88]. In the
graphical presentations, significant changes concerning the control levels were indicated by different letters.

5. Conclusions

The current study focuses on the impact of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs on AM fungal colonization and pea plant growth and the role of AM fungal hyphae in their uptake. Firstly, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs were synthesized, and then their translocation into pea plant bodies was assessed by ICP–MS analysis. The outcomes of the current study show that ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs positively affected pea plants as compared to the control, with more enhancements owing to AM fungal inoculation. Additionally, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs are taken up by the roots and migrate to the leaves, which led to stimulations in mineral uptake and some plant growth criteria (i.e., pigment content, shoots and roots' fresh and dry weights) without phytotoxic effects. Overall, these findings suggest the safe use of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs coupled with AM fungi in nanoagricultural applications.
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