Smc5/6-mediated regulation of replication progression contributes to chromosome assembly during mitosis in human cells
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ABSTRACT The structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) proteins constitute the core of critical complexes involved in structural organization of chromosomes. In yeast, the Smc5/6 complex is known to mediate repair of DNA breaks and replication of repetitive genomic regions, including ribosomal DNA loci and telomeres. In mammalian cells, which have diverse genome structure and scale from yeast, the Smc5/6 complex has also been implicated in DNA damage response, but its further function in unchallenged conditions remains elusive. In this study, we addressed the behavior and function of Smc5/6 during the cell cycle. Chromatin fractionation, immunofluorescence, and live-cell imaging analyses indicated that Smc5/6 associates with chromatin during interphase but largely dissociates from chromosomes when they condense in mitosis. Depletion of Smc5 and Smc6 resulted in aberrant mitotic chromosome phenotypes that were accompanied by the abnormal distribution of topoisomerase IIα (topo IIα) and condensins and by chromosome segregation errors. Importantly, interphase chromatin structure indicated by the premature chromosome condensation assay suggested that Smc5/6 is required for the on-time progression of DNA replication and subsequent binding of topo IIα on replicated chromatids. These results indicate an essential role of the Smc5/6 complex in processing DNA replication, which becomes indispensable for proper sister chromatid assembly in mitosis.

INTRODUCTION The structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) proteins constitute the core of several highly conserved protein complexes with critical roles in chromosome architecture and organization during the cell cycle and are essential elements for the preservation of genomic stability (Hirano, 2002). In eukaryotes, the Smc1/3 heterodimer belongs to the cohesin complex, which ensures the pairing of sister chromatids until they segregate during anaphase (Losada et al., 1998; Toth et al., 1999; Uhlmann et al., 1999, 2000; Sumara et al., 2000), whereas condensin complexes containing the Smc2/4 heterodimer participate in the regulation of mitotic chromosome condensation together with the activity of topoisomerase IIα (topo IIα; Earnshaw et al., 1985; Gasser et al., 1986; Uemura et al., 1987; Hirano and Mitchison 1994; Hirano et al., 1997). A third complex consisting of Smc5/6 heterodimers and several non-SMC elements (Nse1–6) is less well characterized. Some of these Nse proteins, such as Nse1, which contains a RING finger domain typical of ubiquitin ligases, and Mms21/Nse2, which has SUMO ligase activity, provide relevant enzymatic activity (Fujioka et al., 2002; McDonald et al., 2003; Morikawa et al., 2004; Pebernard et al., 2004; Zhao and Bobel, 2005).
In budding yeast, all components of the Smc5/6 complex are essential for cell viability (Verkade et al., 1999; Harvey et al., 2004), and epistasis analysis with the Rad51 protein indicates the Smc5/6 complex participates in several aspects of double-strand break repair by the homologous recombination pathway (Lehmann et al., 1995; McDonald et al., 2003; De Piccoli et al., 2006). These functions have been corroborated in other species (Potts et al., 2006; Chiolo et al., 2011; Stephan et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2012). However, relatively little is known about the involvement of the complex in general chromatin organization in the absence of DNA damage. A recent study highlighted the important contribution of the Smc5/6 complex, specifically of the Mms21 SUMO ligase activity, to the resolution of a variety of DNA-mediated linkages arising not only in the context of DNA repair but also during DNA replication (Bermúdez-López et al., 2010). Gross chromosome missegregation can occur if those abnormally linked structures are not efficiently removed prior to anaphase. Regions of DNA containing repetitive sequences, such as ribosomal DNA loci and telomeres, have consistently been shown to become highly unstable in yeast Smc5/6 mutants as a consequence of incomplete replication and the persistence of DNA linkages that ultimately result in chromosome breakage (Torres-Rosell et al., 2005, 2007; Bermúdez-López et al., 2010; Chavez et al., 2010). Interestingly, chromatin immunoprecipitation-sequencing (ChIP-Seq) analysis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae revealed that the frequency of chromosomal association sites of the Smc5/6 complex increases in response to increased superhelical tension caused by chromosome lengthening, chromosome circularization, or inactivation of topo IIα (Baumann et al., 2007; Rouzeau et al., 2012). Moreover, immunostaining of topo IIα by live-cell imaging of HeLa cells stably expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-tagged Smc5 was consistent with these immunofluorescence observations (Figures 1B and S1, A, B, and D). In addition, whole-cell lysates were prepared from RPE-1 cells arrested at G2 by treatment with an ATP-competitive inhibitor of Cdk1, RO-3306, and were subjected to chromatin fractionation and immunoblotting, revealing a perturbed Smc5 and Smc6 association with chromatin during interphase and largely dissociate during mitosis, as shown by immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 1C) and western blotting (Figure S2A). Immunofluorescence microscopy also verified the reduction of Smc5 and Smc6 staining in cells treated with their specific siRNAs (Figure S2A).

Abnormal chromosome morphology in Smc5- and Smc6-depleted cells

To gain insight into the function of the human Smc5/6 complex beyond the context of DNA repair, we suppressed the expression of Smc5 or Smc6 in RPE-1 cells by transfecting small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) specific to Smc5 and Smc6 (Figure 2). More than 80% of Smc5 or Smc6 protein was depleted after two rounds of transfection (72 h in total). Depletion of Smc5 caused a decrease in the Smc6 protein levels and vice versa, consistent with the idea that these proteins are stable as a protein complex (Figure 2A). Immunofluorescence microscopy also verified the reduction of Smc5 or Smc6 staining in cells treated with their specific siRNAs (Figure S2A).

Next we examined whether depletion of Smc5 and Smc6 affects the structure of mitotic chromosomes. Smc5 and Smc6 siRNA-treated RPE-1 cells exhibited a lower proliferation rate than control cells (Figure S2B). We then depleted Smc5 and Smc6 in cells arrested in mitosis, and the morphology of chromosomes was examined by spreading and Giemsa staining (Figures 2, B–E, and S3, A–C). In control cells, chromosomes showed characteristic rod-shaped structure and sister chromatids remained tightly connected at centromeres. In Smc5- and Smc6-depleted cells, however, a high proportion of metaphase cells exhibited an abnormal chromosomal conformation characterized by a “curly” appearance. A subpopulation of these cells contained unevenly condensed chromosomes that revealed low levels of condensation at centromeres with higher levels at distal arms. In addition, ~25% of chromosomes exhibiting the curly phenotype also showed a cohesion defect (Figures 2, B and E, and S3, A and C). Furthermore, Smc5- and Smc6-depleted cells frequently generated lagging chromosomes and/or anaphase bridges. The majority of the latter were positive for Plk1-interacting checkpoint helicase (PICH) and Bloom syndrome helicase (BLM) (Figure 3, A–E), indicating that they mainly represent centromeric unwound catenane structures (Baumann et al., 2007; Rouzeau et al., 2012). Moreover, immunostaining of centromeric antigens by autoimmune syndrome characterized by calcinosis, Raynaud’s phenomenon, esophageal dysfunction, sclerodactyly, and telangiectasia (CREST) antisera indicated that postmitotic micronuclei were formed that contained single or multiple chromosome fragments (Figure 3B), suggesting chromosome loss/breakage occurred during cell division. Thus the function of Smc5 and Smc6 during interphase appeared to be essential for proper chromosome assembly and segregation in subsequent mitosis.
FIGURE 1: Chromatin association of the Smc5/6 complex during the cell cycle. (A) RPE-1 cells with or without preextraction with 0.2% PBS/Triton X-100 were fixed in paraformaldehyde and stained with Smc5 antibody. DNA was stained with DAPI. Merged images contain Smc5 (green) and DAPI staining (blue). Scale bar: 10 μm. (B) Cell cycle behavior of Smc5 analyzed by live-cell imaging. Time-lapse images of HeLa cells stably expressing EGFP-Smc5 were acquired at 3-min intervals. Fluorescence and differential interference contrast images are shown for each time point. NEBD indicates nuclear envelope breakdown. Scale bar: 10 μm. (C) RPE-1 cells arrested at G2 by treatment with RO-3306 and cells enriched in mitosis by STLC treatment after release from G2 arrest were subjected to chromatin fractionation and immunoblotting. Relative intensity of Smc5 and Smc6 bands indicated in total and cytoplasmic fractions and in chromatin fractions were normalized by tubulin and histone H2B levels, respectively. Expression of cyclin B1 and phosphorylation of H3 (H3S10ph) were used as markers for mitosis.
FIGURE 2: RNAi-mediated depletion of Smc5 and Smc6 in RPE-1 cells. (A) Logarithmically proliferating RPE-1 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNA, and WCE were analyzed by immunoblotting 72 h after the transfection. Tubulin staining was shown as a loading control. Note that Smc6 becomes unstable in Smc5-depleted cells, and vice versa. (B) RPE-1 cells transfected with siRNAs against Smc5 or Smc6 were synchronized by serum starvation for 144 h, allowed to recover in serum-containing medium, and then treated with STLC to induce arrest in mitosis. Cells were harvested, and Giemsa-stained chromosome spreads were prepared. Scale bar: 10 μm. (C) Incidence of mitotic cells with curly chromosomes in cells transfected with indicated siRNAs. (D and E) Additional defects observed within the curly chromosomes in cells depleted of Smc5 or Smc6. Proportion of cells with hypercondensation of distal arms (D) and those with cohesion defect (E) are shown in the histogram. For (C–E), 300 cells per indicated condition were examined. Bar graph shows mean ± SD from three independent experiments; p value < 0.05; *, p = 0.01–0.05; **, p = 0.001–0.01; two-tailed Student’s t test.
Thus we examined the binding pattern of topo IIα and Smc2 (a common component of both condensin complexes) in chromosome spreads by immunofluorescence microscopy (Figures 4, 5, and S3, F and G). Staining in the control cells showed a normal beaded/coil-like pattern along the axis of each chromatid, with an intense signal of topo IIα concentrated at the centromeres, as characterized. However, both Smc5- and Smc6-depleted cells showed an abnormal distribution of topo IIα along the chromosome axis that resembled the curly appearance of chromatids observed in Giemsa staining (Figures 4, A–C).

**Defective axial localization of topo IIα and condensin in the absence of the Smc5/6 complex**

The abnormal chromosome morphology observed in Smc5- and Smc6-depleted cells prompted us to consider the possibility that this curly chromosome conformation reflects a deficiency in higher-order chromatin structure. Topo IIα and the two condensin complexes present in humans (condensin I and condensin II) are the main constituents of the so-called dynamic “chromosome scaffold” and colocalize at the axes of metaphase chromatids (Earnshaw et al., 1985; Gasser et al., 1986; Hirano et al., 1997; Maeshima and Laemmli, 2003). Thus we examined the binding pattern of topo IIα and Smc2 (a common component of both condensin complexes) in chromosome spreads by immunofluorescence microscopy (Figures 4, 5, and S3, F and G). Staining in the control cells showed a normal beaded/coil-like pattern along the axis of each chromatid, with an intense signal of topo IIα concentrated at the centromeres, as characterized. However, both Smc5- and Smc6-depleted cells showed an abnormal distribution of topo IIα along the chromosome axis that resembled the curly appearance of chromatids observed in Giemsa staining (Figures 4, A–C,
Next we analyzed the chromosomal localization of Smc2 and found that depletion of Smc5 and Smc6 led to an irregular distribution of Smc2 along the chromatid lengths with ill-defined axial structure (Figures 5, A–E, and S3F). A series of three-dimensional reconstitution images further depicted the aberrant

and S3, D and E). Strikingly, the centromeric enrichment of topo IIα was apparently reduced in these curly chromosomes. Instead, topo IIα enrichment was seen on distal arms, increasingly toward the ends and typically in unevenly condensed chromosomes (Figure 4, B and C).
distribution of condensin in Smc5/6-depleted cells (Figure 5E). In some cases, the telomeric staining of Smc2 appeared to be connected between the sister chromatids, generating “closed” chromosomes (Figures 5, B and C, and S3F). Enigmatically, some chromatids with the intense distal staining showed a double axis–like staining for Smc2, particularly in chromosomes...
Smc5/6 links replication to condensation

Maeshima and Laemmli (2003) was frequently disrupted in Smc5- and Smc6-depleted cells (Figure 5D). Together these results strongly suggest that, as is the case for other Smc-containing complexes, the Smc5/6 complex is crucial for mitotic chromosome assembly, and the cellular localization of the complex argues a specific role for this complex during interphase.

Topo IIα mislocalization upon Smc6 depletion during mitosis

To better understand the role of Smc5/6 in the genome-wide profile of topo IIα localization, we performed ChIP-Seq analysis of asynchronous and mitotic arrested HeLa cells transfected with control or Smc6 siRNA (Figure 6). In the asynchronous sample, topo IIα localized to binding sites along the entire length of the chromosomes, with an apparent accumulation at regions of low gene density. Topo IIα binding profiles changed dramatically once cells entered mitosis. Most topo IIα concentrated at the centromeric and pericentromeric regions and substantially decreased its binding along the arms and telomeric regions, in agreement with immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 4, A and B). Strikingly, while interphase localization pattern of topo IIα did not present important changes, apart from a mild decrease in the overall chromosomal enrichment in Smc6-depleted cells, topo IIα did show a markedly different mitotic chromatin-binding profile from that seen in control cells. Topo IIα enrichment at the centromeric/pericentromeric region was reduced in Smc6-depleted cells, and the general redistribution of topo IIα did not appear to take place, as most of the binding along the arms resembled the pattern observed in asynchronous cultured cells. Thus the Smc5/6 complex is required for topo IIα chromosomal distribution during mitosis, which seems essential for proper axial conformation and general chromosome architecture.

Perturbed replication upon Smc5/6 depletion affects binding of topo IIα to chromatin during interphase

The findings that mitotic chromosome structure became defective without normal levels of Smc5/6 and that the bulk of this complex is unloaded from mitotic chromosomes led us to hypothesize that Smc5/6 function in interphase may determine chromosome organization later in mitosis. It has been proposed that a major determinant of the mitotic lethality of Smc5 and Smc6 mutants in fission yeast is defective cohesin removal from chromosome arms, which could reflect abnormal chromatin-binding dynamics of cohesin during interphase (Outwin et al., 2009). However, we did}

with the hypocondensed centromere phenotype (Figure 5, B and C).

Furthermore, when the structure of the chromosomal axis was examined by double staining for topo IIα and Smc2, the characteristic barber pole–like alternate distribution of these proteins organization later in mitosis.
not detect any persistent binding of cohesin on mitotic chromosomes after depletion of Smc5/6 in human cells (Figure S4), indicating the abnormal mitotic phenotype in fission yeast seems mechanistically different from the chromosomal structural defect we observed in human cells.

We did observe, however, that cell cycle progression and mitotic entry were delayed in Smc5/6 siRNA–treated cells and that the emergence of cells positive for 5-ethyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) incorporation, which indicates nascent DNA during replication, was also reduced (Figure S5A). We then directly analyzed cell cycle progression by flow cytometry (Figure 7A). Cells were treated with siRNA during serum starvation (Figure S5B), and synchronous cell populations were analyzed for their DNA content every 3 h. Cells depleted of Smc5 or Smc6 showed significant delay in the progression of DNA synthesis by 2.5-fold when compared with the controls.

What causes the delay in DNA replication in Smc5/6-deficient cells? In yeast, the Smc5/6 complex has been implicated in the stabilization of stalled replication forks and the restart of collapsed replication forks (Ampatzidou et al., 2006; Irmisch et al., 2009), raising the possibility that this complex may have a similar if not identical role in human cells. Moreover, preventing DNA replication perturbs
the formation of topo IIα-containing axes and alters the chromatin-binding properties of topo IIα (Cuvier and Hirano, 2003). Given that Smc5/6 is required for the relocalization of topo IIα (Figure 6), a plausible following question was whether the role of Smc5/6 in regulating replication fork processing is functionally related to behavior of topo IIα during interphase and/or later in mitosis.

We first examined the extent of replication-related DNA damage in Smc5/6-depleted cells by looking at γH2AX and BLM foci, which are formed at stalled and/or collapsed replication fork sites (Davalos and Campisi, 2003; Li et al., 2004; Shimura et al., 2008; Figure 8, A–C). A time-course analysis during the S and G2 phases indicated no obvious enrichment of γH2AX and BLM foci in the control cells at any time point analyzed. By contrast, Smc5/6-depleted cells showed marked and progressive increase in foci formation, which is indicative of stalled or collapsed replication forks.

To gain insight about the stage of DNA synthesis at which this delay is taking place and to characterize the structural details of the deficient replication progression in the absence of Smc5/6, we subsequently subjected cells released from serum starvation to PCC assay. By treating cells with calyculin A, an inhibitor of type 1 and 2A protein phosphatases that induces PCC, we were able to differentiate the cells from early to late S phase (Figure 7B). In controls, at 12 h after release from serum starvation, almost all cells exhibited characteristic G1-like chromosomes; at 26 h, the majority of control cells started to accumulate nuclei exhibiting DNA replication, particularly at middle and late S phase, or they contained fully replicated G2-like chromosomes; by 30 h, the G2-like and late S-phase nuclei represented the majority of the population. In contrast, Smc6 siRNA-treated cells showed almost no accumulation of G2-like nuclei, even at 26 h; instead, the nuclei at this time point exhibited a variety of DNA replication stages, from early to late S phase, and had only started to generate G2-like chromosomes at 30 h. At 34 h after the release, a significant proportion of Smc5/6-depleted cells were still undergoing DNA replication (Figure 7B).

These data indicated that, in the absence of the Smc5/6 complex, DNA replication progresses at a much slower rate, with a concomitant generation of replication-related DNA damage. Importantly, when Smc5/6-depleted cells were treated with RO-3306 at 30 h after release from serum starvation to arrest cells in G2 for an additional 12 h, most of the γH2AX/BLM foci disappeared, and the incidence of the curly chromosome phenotype and the abnormal axial staining of topo IIα were markedly reduced after release from RO-3306 treatment (Figure 8, C–E). It is reasonable to predict that the abnormal chromosome structure could be rescued by providing the cells more time to deal with the perturbed replication fork progression that occurred during S phase.

Finally, we analyzed the pattern of topo IIα chromatin localization with respect to ongoing replication by immunostaining topo IIα in cells pulse-labeled with EdU for 0.5 h prior to PCC induction (Figure 9, A–C). Both control and Smc6-depleted cells showed a largely similar pattern of topo IIα and EdU staining in PCC-induced nuclei at early and middle S phase, with a characteristic progressive accumulation of topo IIα at particular chromatin domains that were, with rare exceptions, exclusive of EdU-positive nascent replication domains. In late S and G2 nuclei, however, several differences were observed between control and Smc5/6-depleted cells. First, in the absence of Smc5/6, there was a marked accumulation of late S-phase nuclei having a distinctive pattern of EdU and topo IIα staining, and less-defined individual sister chromatids masses were formed, coinciding with a more dispersed pattern of topo IIα. Additionally, EdU-positive chromatin was found as linear staining in between these chromosome structures, a pattern also barely seen in control S-phase nuclei (Figure 9, A and C).

Second, G2 chromosome structures in Smc5/6-depleted cells showed a high frequency of persistent ongoing replication, while control G2 nuclei never showed EdU incorporation. This persistent replication was often found at centromeres and telomeres, suggesting that DNA replication was particularly delayed at these sites in the absence of Smc5/6 (Figure 9, B and D). Strikingly, these regions of persistent active replication always excluded topo IIα binding in late S phase, generating an irregular topo IIα staining pattern along G2 chromosomes. Overall these results indicate that depletion of the Smc5/6 complex markedly retarded S-phase progression, extending the time of DNA replication at particular regions. This delay critically affected the pattern of topo IIα accumulation on PCC chromosomes, and this abnormal binding of topo IIα during replication processes may underlie the abnormal distribution of topo IIα later in mitosis as observed with immunostaining and ChiP-Seq analyses.

**DISCUSSION**

**Regulation of Smc5/6 in time and space**

Our study indicates that, in human cells, Smc5/6 associates with chromatin during interphase, but it then largely dissociates from the nucleus in mitosis, consistent with previous analysis (Figure 1; Taylor et al., 2001). In fractionation and immunoblotting analysis, however, we could detect a small amount of Smc5/6 in chromatin-enriched fraction in mitosis (Figure 1C). Consistent with this, when EGFP-Smc5 protein was localized using GFP antibodies, we were able to detect faint signals on mitotic chromosomes (Figure S1D), in agreement with recent observations in mice meiotic cells (Gomez et al., 2013). Thus it would be formally possible to predict that this small fraction of Smc5/6 on chromosomes could account for a minor nuclear function of the complex in mitosis. But in light of our findings, it seems unlikely that this presumed role of Smc5/6 has a more profound effect in mitotic chromosome assembly than its major function, which is associated with replication processing during interphase, when the most abundant association of the complex with chromatin occurs. The observation that the abnormal chromosome structure was rescued by providing extra time before mitosis also supports this view (Figure 8).

Depletion of Smc5 and Smc6 gave rise to a unique mitotic chromosome structure characterized principally by a curly deformation of sister chromatids and hypercondensation of distal arms with concomitant hypocondensation of centromeres (Figure 2). These mitotic phenotypes presumably relate to the function of Smc5/6 during DNA replication, which makes an important contribution to higher-order chromatin organization upon entry into mitosis. Without the function of Smc5/6, chromosomal segregation become defective and often creates PICH- and BLM-positive anaphase bridges that indicate existence of unresolved, intertwining of sister DNAs. As these aberrant phenotypes have also been associated with the loss of topo IIα and condensin function (Gerlich et al., 2006; Spence et al., 2007), delocalization of topo IIα and condensin may be causally related to such segregation defects (Figure 3, B–F).

The behavior of Smc5/6 during the cell cycle in human cells differs from that in yeast or frog (Linderoos et al., 2006; Tsuyama et al., 2006), in that the complex already associates with chromatin in early G1 phase. This suggests that the Smc5/6 complex is differentially regulated in human cells and has probably acquired additional function before DNA replication. Notably, the localization pattern of Smc5/6 is similar to that of cohesin complex. In light of cohesin’s function before S phase (Wendt et al., 2008), Smc5/6 might determine the structure of chromatin to control gene
FIGURE 8: Replication-related DNA damage. RPE-1 cells transfected with an siRNA against Smc5 or Smc6 or a control mock were analyzed at the specified time points after release from serum starvation. (A) Representative immunofluorescence of γH2AX (red) and BLM (green) foci formation in control cells (top panels) and in cells depleted of Smc6 (bottom panels). DAPI staining is shown in blue. A positive control for γH2AX and BLM staining is provided by low dose of aphidicolin treatment (aph 0.4 μM; middle panels). Scale bar: 10 μm. (B) Frequency of γH2AX-positive cells at indicated time points after the release from serum starvation. (C) Frequency of γH2AX-positive cells at the 33-h time point, with or without additional RO-3306 treatment for 12 h, and further classified according to the number of foci per cell as indicated (n > 31 per condition). Pink bars denote means of foci number per cell. (D) Giemsa-stained spread chromosomes from cells depleted of Smc6 or mock (control) were evaluated for their morphology and are summarized in the histogram. Three hundred cells were examined for each experiment. (E) Frequency of curly axial staining of topo IIα in Smc5/6-depleted cells in the presence or absence of RO-3306 for an additional 12 h. Bar graph shows mean ± SD from three independent experiments; p value < 0.05, *, p = 0.01–0.05; **, p = 0.001–0.01; two-tailed Student’s t test.
FIGURE 9: Progression of DNA replication and loading of topo IIα onto sister chromatids in S phase. (A) PCC analysis with EdU pulse-labeling was carried out as described in Figure 8, E and F. Immunofluorescence microscopy images of DNA (DAPI), topo IIα (red in merged images), and EdU (green) are shown. Note that late S phase can be characterized based on two properties: elongated replicating regions and well-defined chromatin masses. Right panels show merged EdU and topo IIα axial localization (red). Right panels show merged images. Scale bar: 10 μm. (B) Frequently observed G2-like chromosomes in Smc6 siRNA–treated cells. Note that EdU-positive extended regions of ongoing replication (green) exclude topo IIα axial localization (red). Right panels show merged images. Scale bar: 1 μm. (C) The elongated replicating regions are more often seen in late S phase of Smc5/6-depleted cells. PCC-induced late S-phase cells are assessed for the completion of DNA replication by counting the incidence of elongated replicating regions and defined chromatin masses. (D) Frequency of PCC-induced nuclei at G2 showing persistent EdU staining. One hundred metaphases were analyzed for each sample in (C) and (D). Bar graph shows mean ± SD from three independent experiments; p value < 0.05; *, p = 0.010.05; two-tailed Student’s t test.
expression. Determining the prospective function of Smc5/6 in G1 phase and how cohesin and Smc5/6 might coordinate to shape chromatin awaits further investigations.

**Chromosomal recruitment of topo IIα depends on Smc5/6**

Our data suggest that the function of Smc5/6 is essential to form an intact axial structure of mitotic chromosomes. Instead of being enriched at centromeres, topo IIα tended to accumulate at the distal arms in Smc5- and Smc6-depleted cells (Figure 4). Moreover, ChIP-Seq analysis revealed that the chromosomal binding profile of topo IIα is not drastically changed by Smc6 depletion in interphase, albeit a lesser amount of chromatin-bound protein was detected under these conditions. By contrast, the redistribution of the protein from interphase binding sites to the centromeric region in mitosis is blocked in Smc6-depleted cells (Figure 6). We could reason that this defective relocation of topo IIα caused characteristic uneven condensation between the chromosomal arms and centromeres in the absence of Smc5/6.

How might Smc5/6 regulate topo IIα recruitment to chromosomes? Previous study in Xenopus egg extracts indicated that DNA replication allows topo IIα to be tightly associated with chromosomes, such that it becomes detectable at the chromosomal axial structure (Cuvier and Hirano, 2003). In agreement with this, our PCC assay indicated that topo IIα association with chromatin increases as replication progresses, and topo IIα’s accumulation at chromosome axes became unambiguously detectable after replication and sister chromatid pairs were formed (Figure 9). Moreover, visualization of the nascent DNA by EdU pulse-labeling revealed that regions of active replication always excluded topo IIα accumulation (Figure 9). These observations are consistent with the idea that the topo IIα-mediated subchromosomal axis construction is intimately coupled to the process of DNA replication. This would explain why defective replication processing in the absence of Smc5/6 disturbs the correct timing of topo IIα deposition, which results in lesser amounts of topo IIα bound to chromatin (Figure 6).

Supporting the hypothesis that topo IIα-mediated axial structure plays a crucial role in organizing chromosomes, a wide range of aberrant chromosome structures, including curly axes, fused telomeres, and double-axes, were induced by Smc5/6 depletion (Figure 5). These structures were found to be associated with abnormal distribution of condensin, which would reflect disorganized chromosomal axes caused by improper deposition of topo IIα. However, it was recently found that condensin II promotes structural reorganization of duplicated chromosomes immediately after replication (Ono et al., 2013). Thus a direct interplay between condensin and Smc5/6 during DNA replication to coordinate chromosome assembly may also exist.

**Smc5/6 links DNA replication to chromosome segregation**

The fact that Smc5/6-depleted cells exhibited increased levels of replication-related DNA damage indicates that replication fork stalling and/or collapse occur frequently in these cells and that these conditions directly relate to the slower processing of DNA replication (Figures 7 and 9), a notion consistent with previous observations in yeast (Torres-Rosell et al., 2007; Bermúdez-López et al., 2010). Significantly, the frequency of the damage, as well as the curly chromosomal phenotype and the abnormal axial staining of topo IIα, were markedly reduced when cells were subjected to G2 arrest and thereby allowed extra time to complete DNA replication before mitosis (Figure 8, C–E). It is therefore conceivable that the aberrant chromosome morphology in Smc5/6-depleted cells is directly related to a temporal disconnection between DNA replication and chromosome assembly. Smc5/6 function could be also involved in the DNA damage checkpoint control, as has been proposed in yeasts (Verkade et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2013), in which case Smc5/6 inactivation should deteriorate the temporal disconnection.

Our work suggests a model in which the Smc5/6 complex regulates replication fork progression and the replication-dependent reorganization of topo IIα distribution along chromosomes before cells enter mitosis. An important implication of these observations is that replication progression can directly affect chromosome assembly in mitosis. Relocalization of topo IIα from interphase to mitotic binding sites would be a major event for the structural transition of chromosomes during the cell cycle, and an obvious follow-up study is to explore the mechanistic basis for this. We can speculate that the existence of DNA linkages that arose from stalled/collapsed replication forks impede the dynamic interaction and positioning of topo IIα on chromatin.

Delayed or incomplete replication within repetitive sequences, such as the ribosomal DNA loci or telomeric regions, is a common feature of Smc5 and Smc6 deficiency in other systems and results in chromosome missegregation (Torres-Rosell et al., 2005; Chavez et al., 2010). Similarly, we found that Smc5- and Smc6-depleted human cells contained persistent active replication at centromeres and telomeres, which resulted in intertwining and linkages between sister chromatids in mitotic chromosomes. Both centromeres and telomeres are known as late-replicating regions in human cells, and the timing of DNA replication and the subsequent chromatin assembly at these domains may therefore be challenged in the context of replication stress. It is tempting to speculate that the function of Smc5/6 becomes particularly crucial in maintaining the stability of late-replicating regions in human genome.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Antibodies**

Antibody to Smc2 was generously provided by Jan-Michael Peters (Research Institute of Molecular Pathology, Vienna, Austria). A mouse monoclonal antibody was raised against the peptide sequence MATPSKKTSPQPSKRALPDPSEVPC of Smc5 (Monoclonal Antibody Research Institute, Sapporo, Japan) and then purified using a protein G affinity column (Mab Trap kit; Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). Antibodies against other proteins were as follows: SMC6L1 (M01, C71one 2E6; Abnoba, Taipei, Taiwan), Smc6 (sc-365742, clone A-3; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), SMC5 (18038; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), BLM (sc-7790, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), PICH (Clone 142-26-3; Millipore, Billerica, MA), DNA topoisomerase IIα (D081-1, clone 8D2; MBL, Aichi, Japan), DNA topoisomerase IIα (74715; Abcam), cyclin B1 (K0128-3, clone V152; MBL), cyclin B (610219; BD PharMingen, Lexington, KY), α-tubulin (T6074, clone B-5-1-2; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), GTF (290; Abcam), and phospho-histone H3 (Ser-10) (9701; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), cyclin B1 (K0128-3, clone V152; MBL), phospho–histone H3 (Ser-10) (9706, clone 6G3; Cell Signaling Technology), histone H2B (ab1790; Abcam), and γ-H2AX (A300-0811A; Bethyl, Montgomery, TX).

**Chromatin fractionation**

For isolation of chromatin, cells were resuspended (4 × 10^7 cells/ml) in buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.34 M sucrose, 10% glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], 5 μg/ml aprotinin, 5 μg/ml leupeptin, 0.5 μg/ml pepstatin A, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Triton X-100 (0.1%) was added, and the cells were incubated for 5 min on ice. Nuclei were pelleted by...
low-speed centrifugation (5 min, 1590 x g, 4°C). The supernatant containing the cytoplasmic fraction was further clarified by high-speed centrifugation (15 min, 3977 x g, 4°C) to remove cell debris and insoluble aggregates. Nuclei were washed once and then suspended in buffer A containing Triton X-100 (0.1%) and analyzed by immunoblotting.

**Cell synchronization, inhibitor treatment, and cell lines**

HeLa and RPE-1 cells were cultured in DMEM (Wako, Tokyo, Japan) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FBS; Equitech-Bio, Tokyo, Japan), 0.2 mM l-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Meiji Seika, Tokyo, Japan) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ environment. HeLa cells were synchronized by double thymidine arrest (24 h in the presence of 2 mM thymidine [T1895–1G; Sigma-Aldrich], 8 h release, 12 h in the presence of 2 mM thymidine) and were collected every 3 h after the second release for cell cycle progression analysis.

RPE-1 cells were synchronized at G₀/G₁ by serum starvation for 96 h and then released into the cell cycle with fresh medium containing 10% fetal calf serum for further analysis. For G₂/M-phase arrest, asynchronous RPE-1 cells were treated with 9.0 μM RO-3306 (Enzo Life Sciences, Plymouth, PA) for 12 or 24 h. For enrichment of cells in prometaphase, 50 ng/ml nocodazole was added 7 h after release from the second thymidine block for 1 or 2 h; RPE-1 cells were treated with 15 mM STLC (TCl, Tokyo, Japan) for 2 h after release from RO-3306 arrest or 31 h after release from serum starvation for 2 or 3 h, and then recovered by mitotic shake-off. The HeLa cell line stably expressing EGFP-tagged Smc5 protein was generated by fusing EGFP in frame with the C terminus of Smc5 in a bacterial artificial chromosome vector (clone RP11; BACPAC Resources, Oakland, CA). The plasmid DNA was purified and transfected into HeLa cells using the FuGENE 6 reagent (Promega, Madison, WI). Stably expressing cell clones were selected in a complete medium containing G418, and expression of the tagged transgene was verified by fluorescence microscopy and immunoblotting. For visualization of regions with newly synthesized DNA, cells were cultured in medium supplemented with 10 μM EdU kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for 0.5 h before fixation.

**PCC assay**

PCC was induced by adding calyculin A (Wako) into culture media at a final concentration of 160 nM. After 1 h, cells were hypotonically swollen in a 40:60 mix (vol/vol) of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and distilled water for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were fixed with freshly made Carnoy’s solution, dropped on glass slides, and dried. Slides were stained with 5% Giemsa stain, washed with water, air-dried, and mounted with Entellan embedding agent.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

Cells grown on coverslips with or without preextraction with 0.2% PBS/Tween 100 were fixed with ice-chilled 100% methanol for 20 min at −20°C or with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.137 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Fixed cells/chromosomes were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS and incubated with 3% bovine serum albumin in PBS for at least 1 h. Cells were incubated with the primary antibodies overnight at room temperature; this was followed by incubation with secondary antibodies for 35 min together with 0.1 μg/ml 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). The secondary antibodies used in this study were goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) and goat anti-mouse IgG coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 or 568 and goat anti-human IgG coupled to Alexa Fluor 568 (Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA). Cells were washed twice and mounted in ProLong Gold anti-fade mounting reagent (Invitrogen). Images were acquired on a Zeiss Imager Z.1 microscope equipped with epifluorescence and a CoolSNAP HQ CCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ). Three-dimensional projections of the immunofluorescence images were carried out using the 3D Volume viewer tool in ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

**Live-cell imaging analysis**

Cells were placed in CO₂-independent medium without phenol red (Life Technologies-BRL, Grand Island, NY) on chambered coverslips (Lab-Tek; Nunc, Rochester, NY), and the chamber lids were sealed with silicone grease. Images were captured every 3 min, with 100-ms exposure times, through a 100×/1.40 NA Plan-Apochromat oil-objective lens mounted on an inverted microscope (IX-71; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a CoolSNAP HQ CCD camera. A series of projected images of three Z-sections with 5.0-μm intervals were analyzed. For data analysis, images were processed using ImageJ software.

**Immunoprecipitation**

Asynchronized HeLa cells expressing EGFP-tagged Smc5 were lysed in immunoprecipitation buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% NP-40, protease inhibitors [Complete Mini EDTA-free; Roche, Indianapolis, IN], 1 mM DTT), supplemented with 100 nM okadaic acid, 2 mM Na₃VO₄, 10 mM NaF, and 0.25 U/l benzonase nuclease (Novagen, EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA), for 20 min on ice. Cell extracts, after the insoluble fraction was removed by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C, were used for immunoprecipitation. Ten microtubers of protein A (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) beads coupled to antibodies was incubated with cell extracts for ~2 h at 4°C and then washed...
three times with immunoprecipitation buffer and three times with 0.05% TBS-Tween 20.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis
Cells were harvested by trypsinization, fixed in 70% ethanol, stained with propidium iodide solution at a final concentration of 50 μg/ml, and subjected to fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis on FACS calibur using Cell Quest (Beckton Dickinson, Mansfield, MA) software.

ChiP
Cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min, quenched with 125 mM glycine, and prepared for ChiP as previously described (Wendt et al., 2008). ChiP was performed as previously described using SMC6L1 (M01, Cl7one 2E6; Abnova) and DNA topoisomerase Ilx (D081-1, clone BD2; MBL; Wendt et al., 2008). In brief, cross-linked cell lysates solubilized by sonication were incubated with Affi-prep protein A Support (Bio-Rad) and cross-linked with the antibodies for 14 h at 4°C. After this, beads were washed several times and eluted with elution buffer (50 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA, and 1% SDS) for 20 min at 65°C. The eluates were incubated at 65°C overnight to reverse cross-links and were then treated with RNase A and then with proteinase K. The samples were further purified by phenol–chloroform extraction and an extra purification step using a PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

ChiP-Seq analyses
DNA from whole-cell extracts (WCE) and ChiP fractions was further sheared by sonication (Branson sonifier 250D), end-repaired, ligated to sequencing adaptors, and amplified according to the SOLiD Library Preparation kit Protocol (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). DNA purified from gel and amplified between 100 and 150 base pairs was sequenced on the Applied Biosystems SOLiD platforms (SOLiD 3 and 5500) to generate single-end 50-base pair reads. Sequencing data for both ChiP fractions and WCE were aligned to the human genome (UCSC hg19) using Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009), allowing three mismatches in the first 28 bases per read (+3 option). Software DROMPA was used for visualization and statistical analysis of ChiP-Seq data sets (Nakato et al., 2013). All duplicate reads and those without unique alignment were removed from further analysis. ChiP-Seq and DNA sequencing data from this study have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under accession number SRX381015. We further analyzed only uniquely aligned reads. Each aligned read was extended to a predicted fragment length of 150 base pairs. Reads were summed in 100-kb windows along the chromosome, and the fold enrichment (ChiP/WCE) for each window was calculated.

Raw data availability
Original unprocessed versions of all figures have been uploaded to the server of IMCB, the University of Tokyo, and are available on request.
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