Hydrophobic Matching Controls the Tilt and Stability of the Dimeric Platelet-derived Growth Factor Receptor (PDGFR) β Transmembrane Segment∗§
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Background: Dimerization regulates activation of PDGF receptor in signal transduction.

Results: The transmembrane segment of PDGFR forms a left-handed helical dimer, which becomes more tilted and less stable in model membranes with decreasing lipid acyl chain lengths.

Conclusion: The membrane thickness controls the ability of the transmembrane segments to dimerize.

Significance: Receptor dimerization and activation in vivo may require relocation to thick lipid rafts.

The platelet-derived growth factor receptor β is a member of the cell surface receptor tyrosine kinase family and dimerizes upon activation. We determined the structure of the transmembrane segment in dodecylphosphocholine micelles by liquid-state NMR and found that it forms a stable left-handed helical dimer. Solid-state NMR and oriented circular dichroism were used to measure the tilt angle of the helical segments in macroscopically aligned model membranes with different acyl chain lengths. Both methods showed that decreasing bilayer thickness (DEPC-POPC-DMPC) led to an increase in the helix tilt angle from 10° to 30° with respect to the bilayer normal. At the same time, reconstitution of the comparatively long hydrophobic segment became less effective, eventually resulting in complete protein aggregation in the short-chain lipid DLPC. Unrestrained molecular dynamics simulations of the dimer were carried out in explicit lipid bilayers (DEPC, POPC, DMPC, sphingomyelin), confirming the observed dependence of the helix tilt angle on bilayer thickness. Notably, molecular dynamics revealed that the left-handed dimer gets tilted en bloc, whereas conformational transitions to alternative (e.g. right-handed dimeric) states were not supported. The experimental data along with the simulation results demonstrate a pronounced interplay between the platelet-directed growth factor receptor β transmembrane segment and the bilayer thickness. The effect of hydrophobic mismatch might play a key role in the redistribution and activation of the receptor within different lipid microdomains of the plasma membrane in vivo.

Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs)3 represent a large class of cell surface receptors that are activated by peptidic ligands: growth factors, hormones, or cytokines. They are crucial players in development, tissue repair, and maintenance of the normal cellular homeostasis. For example, the platelet-derived growth factors (PDGFs) and their receptors are involved in organ development, formation of the central nervous system, and angiogenesis (1). Pathologic tissue remodeling, various cancers and leukemias, atherosclerosis, induced restenosis, asthma, and pulmonary fibrosis have been shown to be associated with the aberrant activation of RTKs (2). Activation of PDGFs, in particular, is implicated in cancerogenesis in lungs, renal cells, prostate, glia, etc. (3).

RTKs have a modular structure, consisting of a conserved intracellular kinase domain, a single transmembrane (TM) helix, and an extracellular ligand-binding domain that is characteristic of each subgroup. The PDGFRβ belongs to the class III subfamily of RTKs, which currently comprises five members: PDGFRα, PDGFRβ, stem cell growth factor receptor Kit, and c-Kit.

The abbreviations used are: RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase; BS5, bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate; DPC, dodecylphosphocholine; DEPC, 1,2-dieicosenoyl-sn-3-glycero-phosphocholine; DMPC, 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-3-glycero-phosphocholine; Eph, ephrin; expLH, experimentally determined left-handed; MD, molecular dynamics; modelRH, model of a right-handed dimer; OCD, oriented circular dichroism; PDGFR, PDGF receptor; POPC, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-3-glycero-phosphocholine; SM, sphingomyelin; TM, transmembrane.

*a High-field NOESY spectra were recorded at the Frankfurt Facility for Biomolecular NMR, which is funded by the European Union Project “EU-NMR-European Network of Research Infrastructures for Providing Access and Technological Advancement in Bio-NMR” FP-2005-RII3 Contract 026145. The modeling part of the study was supported by Russian Ministry of Science and Education Grant MK-8439.2010.4 and Austrian Science Fund FWF START Grant Y 514-B11.

‡This article contains supplemental Materials and Methods, additional references, Tables S1–S5, and Figs. S1–S5.

The atomic coordinates and structure factors (code 2L6W) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ (http://www.rcsb.org/). The chemical shifts have been deposited with the BioMagResBank (www.bmrb.wisconsin.edu) under accession number 17966.
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Left-handed coiled-coil dimers in two different ways: either right-handed parallel or left-handed coiled-coil dimerize in two different ways: either right-handed parallel or left-handed antiparallel. ErbB2, EphA1, EphA2, ErbB3, and the heterodimer ErbB1/ErbB2 dimerize in the absence of ligand, suggesting that activation of RTKs depends on a specific orientation of the TM helices in the dimerization process. Inserting disulfide bridges at various positions of the TM domain (8), replacing the native TM against the TM of the constitutively active oncogenic form of the NEU receptor (9, 10), or using a simplified TM motif consisting of a stretch of valines with one or two glutamates (11), have all demonstrated that activation of the RTKs depends on a specific orientation of the TM domains with respect to each other. This indicated that ligand binding and kinase domain are actively coupled via the TM helix and that the RTK-TM dimer interface contains critical structural information to position the catalytic domains for correct phosphorylation.

Recent structural studies on the isolated TM helices of ErbB2, Epha1, Epha2, ErbB3, and the heterodimer ErbB1/ErbB2 showed that the TM helices, at least in these systems, dimerize in two different ways: either right-handed parallel or left-handed coiled-coil α-helical dimers (12–17). Ambiguity remains about what governs the arrangement in either of the two conformations or whether both conformations are relevant for activation and may in fact represent different activation states for a given RTK dimer. Based on the observation that RTK activation often occurs in special plasma membrane compartments, called microdomains (18), Arseniev and co-workers suggested that the lipid environment may control the dimerization mode (15).

Here, we find that also PDGFRβ-TM has a propensity to dimerize by itself, which adds a further example to the above mentioned group of RTKs. We have combined a high resolution NMR structure analysis in dodecylphosphocholine (DPC) micelles with a study on the orientation of the TM dimer in lipid bilayers with different thickness by oriented circular dichroism and solid-state NMR spectroscopy. Because DPC micelles are considered a nonideal membrane mimicking environment, we also used molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to assess the conformational behavior of the dimer in the different lipid bilayer systems. The theoretical calculations agree with the experimental tilt angle estimations, showing that the transition from long-chain to short-chain lipids is associated with an increase in the tilt angle of the entire dimer, which is at the same time accompanied by a less efficient reconstitution and reduced stability. These findings indicate that bilayer mismatch plays a key role in the ability of RTK-TM segments to dimerize.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cloning, Expression, and Purification of PDGFRβ-TM—Amino acids 526–563 of human PDGFRβ were cloned into a pMMHb vector (gift of Peter Kim, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD), adding an N-terminal Trp-ALE sequence, a His6 tag, and adjacent Asn and Gly residues for cleavage with hydroxylamine. The protein was expressed in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) pLYsS cells (Novagen). Expression and purification were carried out as described in Ref. 19. Reconstitution of PDGFRβ-TM into detergent micelles and lipid vesicles also followed the previously established protocol.

Chemical Cross-linking with Bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate (BS3)—The cross-linking reagent BS3 (Thermo Scientific) was added in a twentyfold excess to PDGFRβ-TM. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 2 h at room temperature before the products were analyzed by HPLC. Cross-linked and non-cross-linked peptide samples were separated by HPLC using an analytical (250 × 4.6 mm) reversed phase C18 polymer column (Grace). The identities of the collected fractions were determined by MALDI-TOF MS.

Liquid-state NMR Spectroscopy—Triple-resonance experiments for assignment and 13C NOESY experiments were recorded on 1 mM uniformly 15N-labeled or 15N13C-labeled PDGFRβ-TM peptide in 200 mM deuterated DPC micelles (Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer equipped with a TBI triple-resonance probe head). Intermonomer NOEs were acquired from a three-dimensional 13C-filtered 13C-edited NOESY measured on a 1:1 mixture of uniformly 15N13C-labeled and unlabeled peptide dissolved in D2O (Bruker Avance 900 spectrometer). ARIA1.2-CNS was used for NOE calibration and structure calculation (20). Details are found in the supplemental Materials and Methods.

Solid-state NMR Spectroscopy—15N NMR spectra of oriented samples (for sample and experiment details, see supplemental Materials and Methods) were measured on a Bruker Avance III 500 MHz spectrometer at 20 °C (DEPC, POPC, and DLPc) or 30 °C (DMPC). The quality of the membrane alignment was checked by 31P NMR. For details, see the supplemental Materials and Methods.

Oriented CD (OCD)—OCD was carried out as described previously (19), using the same kind of oriented samples as for solid-state NMR.

MD Simulation in Lipid Bilayers—The initial conformation for the left-handed dimer (“expLH”) was taken from the NMR set. A three-dimensional model of a right-handed dimer (“mod-
elRH") was predicted de novo using the original PREDDIMERH approach (21). Both structures were subjected to series of MD simulations in full atom DMPC, POPC, DEPC, and sphingomyelin (SM) bilayers surrounded by explicit water molecules. For each conformation in each bilayer five independent equilibra-
tions followed by five unconstrained 50-ns long MD runs were
performed, which gave total statistics over 1.5 μs. The relative
stability of the dimer conformations was estimated according
to the average time autocorrelation functions calculated for
residual contact maps of the dimer in the TM region. For MD
protocols and analysis, see supplemental Materials and Meth-
ods, supplemental Tables S3 and S4, and supplemental Fig. S5.

RESULTS

PDGFβ-TM Predominantly Forms Dimers in Detergent
Micelles and Lipid Vesicles—A propensity for oligomerization
was evident from SDS-PAGE analysis where PDGFβ-TM
migrated as monomers and oligomers. To assess the oligomer-
ization state of recombinantly expressed PDGFβ-TM, we
cross-linked PDGFβ-TM with BS3. The reaction
products were analyzed by RP-HPLC and characterized by
MALDI-TOF MS (supplemental Fig. S1). PDGFβ-TM has
four reactive groups that can bind BS3: the ε-amine groups of
lysines 531, 558, and 559, and the N terminus. All four are
located in the unstructured N- or C-terminal regions, which are
accessible to the water-soluble cross-linker, even in the pres-
ence of a protecting micellar or lipid bilayer environment.
Consequently, up to four potential binding events are possible
for each molecule in a micellar environment. In contrast, no more
than two are possible in unilamellar lipid vesicles, where either
the N- or C-terminal region is protected in the interior.

PDGFβ-TM was reconstituted in DPC micelles and in lipid
vesicles (DMPC (di-C14:0), POPC (C16:0/C18:1), or DEPC (di-
C20:1 (cis))). Incubation with the bifunctional cross-linker
yielded only monomers and dimers, as summarized in Table 1.
Also, some hydrolysis of the sulfonyl groups occurred as a com-
peting reaction, leading to a corresponding increase in mass by
one unit of BS3. On average, one or two cross-linker molecules
per peptide can be detected, which amounts to <50% of the
theoretically possible binding events. This observation may
suggest that the reaction was not very efficient, as also observed
by others (22). However, it may also stem from the fact that not
all potential ε-amine groups of lysines lie within the cross-link-
ing radius in the dimer. In the experimental dimer structure
(see below), Lys-531 points away from the dimer interface. In
a potential trimer or tetramer, however, one might expect both
side chains to experience more conformational freedom. We
therefore interpret the absence of higher oligomer masses as a
sign that the predominant form of PDGFβ-TM is dimeric. As
a control, the reaction was also studied in 80% TFE/buffer,
where a trimer could also be detected.

Interestingly, analysis of the control fractions without cross-
linking reagent showed that noncovalently assembled dimers,
trimers, and tetramers (even pentamers and hexamers) were
detected by MALDI-TOF (Table 1). However, it is not possible
to determine a priori whether these oligomers already existed
in the lipid/detergent environment, whether they formed in the
HPLC solvent or built up under MALDI conditions.

| TABLE 1 | Cross-linking by BS3 of PDGFβ-TM reconstituted in various membrane-like environments |
|---------|----------------------------------|
| Environment | +BS3 | −BS3 (control) |
| DMPC Monomer | Monomer + L | Monomer, dimer |
| DMPC Dimer | Monomer, dimer | Dimer |
| DEPC Monomer | Monomer + L | Monomer |
| DEPC Dimer | Monomer + L | Dimer |
| DPC Monomer | Monomer + L | Monomer |
| DPC Dimer | Monomer + L | Dimer |
| 80% TFE Monomer | Monomer + L | Monomer |
| 80% TFE Dimer | Monomer + L | Dimer |
| 80% TFE Trimer | Monomer + L | Trimer |

| a | L, hydrolysis of the terminal sulfonyl substituents occurred as a competing
reaction, so one or more cross-linkers are attached to these peptides.
| b | One cross-linking event with the dimer.
| c | Mass not exactly determined due to low signal/noise ratio; hence questionable.
| d | Two cross-linking events within the dimer.
| e | Three cross-linking events within the dimer or trimer.

Three-dimensional Structure Characterization by Liquid-
state NMR Spectroscopy—The NMR structure of the recombi-
nantly expressed PDGFβ-TM dimer (amino acids 526–563)
was determined in DPC micelles (supplemental Fig. S2A). Full
chemical shift assignment was obtained from 13C-15N triple-
resonance experiments and 13C NOESY spectra at two different
temperatures. The structure calculation of the PDGFβ-TM
dimer was performed in two steps. First, the structure of the
monomer was solved from 13C- and 15N-edited NOE-derived
distance restraints and ϕ-ψ-dihedral angles from TALOS analy-
sis. The peptide forms a continuous slightly curved α-helix,
extending from Phe-530 to Thr-556 (Fig. 1A). The N- and
C-terminal regions are disordered due to a lack of 13C-detected
NOEs. 15N relaxation spectroscopy corroborated that the C
terminus from Lys-527 onwards is highly flexible (supplemen-
tal Fig. S2C). In the second step we had to break the symmetry
of the homodimer by differential isotope labeling, to be able
to unambiguously assign intermonomer NOEs that are required
for calculating the dimer structure. 46 intermonomer NOEs
were assigned in a 13C-filtered 15N-edited NOESY experiment
on a sample consisting of equal amounts of uniformly 15N13C-
labeled and unlabeled PDGFβ-TM peptides. Despite the high
resolution achieved at the field strength of 21.1 Tesla (900
MHz), the chemical shift degeneracy in the proton and carbon
dimension for equal types of amino acids rendered the assign-
ment of the intermonomer NOEs nontrivial. On the basis of the
13C resonances it was apparent that the dimer interface is lined
by alanines, valines, isoleucines, and leucines and by the methyl
group of a methionine (supplemental Fig. S2B). Because Met-
554, the only methionine in the sequence, is located on the same
face of the helix as Ala-537 and Ala-540, this provided an
anchoring point to assign the inter-NOEs and calculate the
dimer structure (Fig. 1, B and C, and supplemental Table S1).
The helix interface consists of the "a" and "d" positions of a
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FIGURE 1. A and B, superposition of the 10 best NMR structures of the PDGFRβ-TM dimer (amino acids 526–563) A, backbone representation, with disordered N and C termini, illustrating the dimer crossing angle of ~20°. The side chains of Phe-530 and Trp-556 are shown in blue for visual orientation. B, details of the interface after a rotation of 30° around the z axis. The contributing residues are depicted in red and green, and the disordered termini are removed for clarity. C, ribbon representation of the helical regions after rotation of the dimer by 90° around the z axis.

canonical heptad repeat: Ala-537, Ala-540, Leu-544, Ile-547, Ile-551, and Met-554. Val-533 on a further “d” position and Val-543 on position “g” at the edge of the interface also make hydrophobic contact across the interface (supplemental Fig. S2C). The two monomers are in intimate contact along the C-terminal half of the TM helix, whereas the two alanines in the N-terminal region experience a less tight packing at the interface. In this left-handed coiled-coil structure the dimer crossing angle χ between the two helices is about 20°.

We examined which parts are stably inserted in the micelle by proton–deuterium exchange experiments, which showed the backbone from Ile-538 to Met-554 to be protected from solvent exchange (supplemental Fig. S2D). Based on the observation of NOE contacts from amide protons to bulk water, the solvent-protected part of the helix is extended by one turn on each side (N-terminally until Ile-535, and C-terminally until Trp-556, excluding only the Hε1 ring proton of Trp-556). This 22- amino acid stretch fits to the predicted length of the TM helix of 23 residues and places the aromatic ring of Trp-556 at the micelle-water interface.

For several RTK-TM dimers it has been noted that the sequence contains two alternative dimerization motifs, namely a left-handed leucine zipper like motif and a right-handed GX3G motif (12). In the latter glycines are often replaced by other small residues, such as alanine, serine, and threonine. Thus, the stretch of Ser-536 (Ala, Ile, Leu) Ala-540 in PDGFRβ-TM might be regarded as an equivalent motif. However, serine and alanine do not pack as well as glycine, to favor the knobs-into-holes assembly observed for the GX3G motif, which may thus destabilize the corresponding right-handed dimer conformation. In the present left-handed conformation of PDGFRβ-TM, Ser-536 on position “f” of the heptad repeat is at the edge of the interface, whereas Thr-545 on position “e” of the helical wheel putatively forms an internal hydrogen bond with Ser-548. That this position faces the micellar environment supports the fact that a mutation threonine to leucine had no effect on the receptor activation (23).

Solid-state 13N NMR Analysis in Lipid Bilayers—To determine the alignment of the PDGFRβ-TM helix in a proper lipid environment, one-dimensional solid-state 15N NMR spectra were acquired in macroscopically oriented membrane samples, as they can reveal the molecular orientation and mobility (24, 25). By using lipids with different acyl chain lengths for reconstitution, we studied the influence of the membrane thickness on the helix orientation and on the stability of the peptide in the bilayer. To this aim, PDGFRβ-TM was reconstituted at a peptide-lipid ratio of 1:200 in the short-chain lipid DLPC (di-C12:0), in the slightly longer DMPC, in the intermediate POPC, and in the long-chain DEPC. The corresponding bilayers have hydrophobic widths of about 20.9, 25.4, 27.1, and 31.5 Å, respectively (26, 27), and all were liquid-crystalline at the experimental temperatures. The 15N NMR spectra (Fig. 2, A–D) exhibit contributions of well oriented transmembrane peptides (~200 ppm), besides some nonoriented peptides that give rise to a broad powder spectrum with a characteristic maximum at ~80 ppm. The lack of orientation can be attributed to both transmembrane helices in a poorly oriented bilayer environment and to a fraction of peptides that were not properly reconstituted in their helical state and have thus aggregated.

To determine the helix tilt angle τ of the well oriented transmembrane peptides and to extract the fraction of aggregated peptides, the spectral lineshapes were deconvoluted into three contributions: (i) “oriented” peptides, (ii) properly reconstituted but “nonoriented” peptides, and (iii) nonreconstituted “aggregated” peptides. To ensure an unbiased analysis, the ratio of fraction (i) to (ii) was calculated independently for the phospholipids from the corresponding 31P NMR spectra (Fig. 2, I–L, supplemental Materials and Methods, and Table S2). Our results show that the helix tilt angle of PDGFRβ-TM increases from τ ~ 10° in DEPC to ~20° in POPC, and to ~30° in DMPC bilayers (Fig. 2, E–H, and Table 2). At the same time, the aggregated fraction of peptides increases in the order of DEPC < POPC < DMPC, from about 5% to 25% to 50%, respectively. In DLPC bilayers, finally, the 13N NMR spectra showed essentially only a powder lineshape, meaning that the comparatively long hydrophobic segment was not at all amenable to reconstitution in the thin lipid bilayer and had simply aggregated.

Oriented CD—The most informative feature to discriminate between a parallel or perpendicular orientation of the helix with respect to the bilayer normal is a positive (tilt angle 0°) or negative (tilt angle 90°) band at 208 nm (28, 29). The OCD lineshape of PDGFRβ-TM showed a pronounced variation in bilay-
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![Graph showing OCD spectra of PDGFRβ-TM reconstituted in macroscopically aligned liquid-crystalline bilayers at a peptide/lipid ratio of 1:50. The dotted line marks the intensity at 208 nm that is used to determine the alignment of the peptides.](image)

Vogel (28) and Clayton and Sawyer (29) proposed a formula to estimate the helix tilt angle from the intensity of the band at 208 nm. On this basis, we calculated a helix tilt angle of \( \tau \approx 30° \) in DMPC, \( -20° \) in POPC, and \( -10° \) in DEPC. These values correlate very well with those obtained from the independent solid-state NMR analysis.

Control measurements by conventional CD in unilamellar vesicle suspensions confirmed that PDGFRβ-TM is \( \alpha \)-helical in all three lipids (supplemental Fig. S3A). Secondary structure estimation with the CONTIN-LL (30–32) algorithm showed that the overall helicity of the protein under these conditions is \( \sim 65\% \). The corresponding sample in DLPC, however, was extensively aggregated at a peptide/lipid ratio of 1:50, which caused light scattering and prevented a reliable reproduction of the CD and OCD spectra (supplemental Fig. S3). To assess the general influence of peptide concentration, a series with different peptide/lipid ratios of 1:200, 1:100, and 1:50 was measured in DMPC, revealing no changes in the CD or OCD spectra.

Molecular Modeling—The liquid-state NMR structure of the left-handed coiled-coil PDGFRβ-TM dimer was obtained in DPC micelles, which may be considered as a nonideal membrane mimicking environment. We therefore performed a series of all-atom MD simulations of the experimentally determined left-handed dimer, denoted as explH dimer. For comparison, we also generated an alternative right-handed conformation, denoted as modelRH dimer, with a crossing angle of \( \chi = 43° \) (and an interhelical Ser-536–Ser-536 H-contact), using the PREDDIMERH approach (21). Both models were incorporated in DMPC, POPC, and in DEPC bilayers. For each conformation in each bilayer we ran five independent 50-ns long MD simulations (supplemental Fig. S4). Altogether, for these three membranes we collected statistics over 1.5 \( \mu s \). The resulting average helix tilt angles are summarized as histograms in Fig. 4A and listed in Table 3. For the explH dimer they follow the same trend as the experimental results, decreasing steadily with increasing bilayer thickness. The absolute values were slightly larger in MD than in solid-state NMR and OCD, a situation also observed in other simulations (33). However, the dependence of the helix tilt angle on bilayer thickness was much less pro-

![Graph showing 15N NMR spectra and tilt angles for different lipids.](image)

**TABLE 2**

| Peptide | Thickness | \( \tau \) | Aggregated | S | r.m.s.d.* |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---|----------|
| DLPC    | 20.9 Å    | ND*       | 0.70        | 0.98 | 0.00031 |
| DMPC    | 25.4 Å    | 31°       | 0.54        | 0.94 | 0.00030 |
| POPC    | 27.1 Å    | 17°       | 0.26        | 1.00 | 0.00024 |
| DEPC    | 31.5 Å    | 10°       | 0.04        | 0.98 | 0.00020 |

* Root mean square deviation.
* Due to the low fraction of oriented peptide, a meaningful result for the tilt angle was not obtained.

ers with different thickness (Fig. 3). The intensity at 208 nm changed steadily from slightly negative in DMPC, via zero in POPC, to a positive band in DEPC. These lineshapes indicate that the peptide is inserted as a transmembrane helix in all three bilayers, but the actual tilt angle varies with the lipid employed.
nounced for the modelRH dimer, where almost no difference between POPC and DEPC was found, in contrast to our experiments. Remarkably, the monomeric peptide showed almost no response in its tilt angle to changing the membrane environment (Table 3). Also, we note that no conformational conversion between the expLH and modelRH conformations was observed during the time of the simulation.

Although the expLH conformation was slightly more stable in DEPC than in DMPC, yet more flexible in POPC, the stability of the modelRH dimer decreased with increasing bilayer thickness (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, the ensemble heterogeneity of the dimer in the expLH conformation also seemed to be affected by changing the lipid environment, as the distribution of the helix-helix crossing angle became narrower with increasing of bilayer thickness (Fig. 4B). In DEPC lipid bilayers the situations starts to resemble the relaxed state that was experimentally observed in soft DPC micelles.

The helix-helix crossing angle $\chi$ in the dimer can be related to the tilt angle $\tau$, which describes each single helix with respect to the membrane normal. When the observed tilt is larger than half the crossing angle, this means that the entire dimer tilts en bloc and thereby contributes to the value of the helix tilt. We observed this situation in all MD simulations of the expLH dimer, suggesting that the PDGFR$\beta$-TM dimer is tilted in the membrane as a whole (Fig. 5). Because the crossing angle in the modelRH dimer was per se considerably larger, a tilt of the entire modelRH dimer would only need to be assumed in the DMPC bilayer.

In every bilayer simulation the expLH dimer turned out to be more tilted than the modelRH. A possible explanation could be that the left-handed conformation is more compact in all cases and this dimer is able to tilt as whole entity, whereas each helix in the right-handed conformation can move relatively independently with respect to the bilayer normal.

DISCUSSION

The plasma membrane can by no means be regarded as homogeneous. It is not only heterogeneous on the composi-
tional level (lipids, integral proteins, glycoproteins, etc.) but also within the lipid bilayer itself. Multiple dynamic, laterally segregated microdomains are present (also denoted as lipid rafts, or SM-cholesterol-rich domains, etc.) (34). Biologically, these microdomains appear to serve as a key organizing principle to confine cellular processes to certain membrane areas. RTK activation, in particular, has been reported to be associated with lipid microdomains (for a review, see Ref. 18). Lipid rafts are characterized by a specific lipid composition (e.g. SM, certain glycolipids and cholesterol) (35), leading in general to thicker, more ordered membranes. They contain a distinct subset of proteins compared with the rest of the plasma membrane.

There is no consensus view on the criteria that select proteins to these compartments. Apart from specific protein-protein or protein-lipid interactions (36–38) which are likely to retain proteins in raft-like domains, the general physicochemical properties of the microdomains appear to play an essential role. Especially bilayer fluidity and membrane thickness may provide the energetic constraints to select for certain proteins with optimally adapted transmembrane segments. One such property proposed in this context is the match between the hydrophobic thickness of the lipid bilayer and the length of the TM domains similar to the thickness-dependent TM sorting between ER and Golgi membranes on the one hand and the plasma membrane on the other (39). For the lateral sorting within the plasma membrane, a similar mechanism may drive TMs of a matched (generally longer) length into a thicker raft, whereas shorter helices would possess a higher preference toward the nonraft bilayer regions.

The sequences of RTK-TM domains are highly conserved across species in length and amino acid composition. In Fig. 6 we have compared the TM sequences of the most studied RTKs in human (Homo sapiens), mouse (Mus musculus), chicken (Gallus gallus), zebrafish (Danio rerio), and claw frog (Xenopus laevis). From the sequence point of view, PDGFRβ has one of

| MD simulation | DMPC | POPC | DEPC |
|---------------|------|------|------|
| ExplH (left-handed) |  |  |  |
| Dpp, Å | 32.1 ± 1.4 | 38.4 ± 1.4 | 42.5 ± 2.3 |
| Helix-helix crossing angle | 31.4 ± 5.3 | 28.0 ± 4.7 | 26.9 ± 3.4 |
| χ, degrees | 38.2 ± 6.1 | 27.2 ± 5.6 | 21.6 ± 5.9 |
| Tilt angle, degrees | 23.9 ± 7.3 | 25.5 ± 10.7 | 20.1 ± 6.9 |
| ModelRH (right-handed) |  |  |  |
| Dpp, Å | 32.7 ± 1.4 | 38.4 ± 1.6 | 41.4 ± 2.4 |
| Helix-helix crossing angle | -40.0 ± 5.3 | -39.7 ± 6.2 | -37.6 ± 8.3 |
| χ, degrees | 31.7 ± 8.4 | 22.5 ± 3.6 | 20.9 ± 4.8 |
| Tilt angle, degrees |  |  |  |
| Monomer |  |  |  |
| Tilt angle, degrees |  |  |  |
the longest TM helices (26 residues), therefore it should be geometrically apt to reside in thicker membrane sections to avoid any energetically unfavorable bilayer mismatch. Notably, left-handed dimerization with a small helix crossing angle further increases (by about 2 Å) the hydrophobic length compared with a right-handed dimer as it was found, e.g. in the EGFR family for the ErbB2-TM and EphA1-TM homodimers or the ErbB1-TM/ErbB2-TM heterodimer.

As a consequence, insertion into unfavorably thin membranes should lead to an adjustment of the tilt of the entire TM part. In accordance with theory and previous observations (24, 25, 40) PDGFRβ-TM indeed adjusts its tilt according to bilayer thickness from 30° in DMPC, to 20° in POPC, to 10° in DEPC. The latter value matches well with the helix crossing angle \( \chi \) of \(-20°\) of the PDGFRβ-TM dimer structure determined by liquid-state NMR in DPC micelles (Fig. 1A). We thus consider this structure to be representative of the structure in the thick DEPC bilayers. Instead of adjusting the tilt of the dimer the higher tilt angles in the thinner POPC and DMPC lipids could also be explained by a change in conformation to a right-handed dimer with a helix crossing angle of \( >40°\). Such a structural conversion would correspond on a molecular level to the rotation-coupled activation hypothesis (8) and was suggested by Arseniev and co-workers (15). The changes in tilt angle could finally also be attributed to a disassembly into unconstrained monomers that might adapt more easily to the environment.

Unrestrained MD simulations were performed to differentiate between the different scenarios. The calculations showed that within the time scale of the simulation in all three bilayers (50 ns) both the left-handed (expLH) and right-handed dimer (modelRH) were stable, and their tilt showed a marked dependence on the membrane thickness. However, the tilt dependence followed the observed experimental tendency only for the expLH conformation (Table 3). Taken together, experiments and MD simulations on PDGFRβ argue against a conformational change from left- to right-handed conformation. This was suggested for ErbB2, EphA1, and EphA2 because their TM domains contain additional G\( \times \)G motifs that are not involved in the dimer interface (15). However, our sequence alignment shows that neither the alternative glycine zipper motif in EphA2 nor the C-terminal G\( \times \)G in ErbB2 is conserved across the species, as illustrated in Fig. 6.

In the present study it is remarkable to see that the membrane-bound dimer can adapt to hydrophobic mismatch in the simulations by increasing its tilt angle en bloc. On the experimental timescale, much longer than simulated by MD, we observed, however, that concomitant to the increase in tilt angle, the aggregated powder part dramatically increases going from DEPC to POPC and DMPC, arguing for a destabilization of the system in shorter lipids. This suggests that these lipids represent increasingly unfavorable environments for the PDGFRβ-TM dimer, a situation that was most extreme in DLPC. Also others have noticed that protein aggregation can occur in unfavorable cases of extreme mismatch as this reduces the area of the membrane that needs to be deformed (41–43).

The native PDGFRβ has been shown to be located preferentially in caveolae (44). In caveolaic rafts the bilayer is considerably thicker than in typical POPC-like plasma-membranes and is enriched in cholesterol and sphingomyelin. Thus, we have performed further MD simulations in typical sphingomyelin (C16:0/C18:0) bilayers (Figs. 4C and Fig. 5 and supplemental Table S5). As one can see from Fig. 4C, the expLH conformation displays a slightly more stable behavior in SM than in the other studied membrane systems, whereas modelRH is most unstable in this bilayer. Thus, SM makes the membrane most sensitive to a particular conformation of the dimer within the whole series of lipids. Assuming that the tilt angle found in thick DEPC bilayers resembles most closely the situation in SM-rich microdomains, a raft-like environment would considerably stabilize the dimer conformation. Any thinner membranes would, on the other hand, disfavor the dimer conformation by hydrophobic mismatch and thereby prevent premature activation of the receptor. Interestingly, it has been shown that EGF receptor activation is stimulated by cholesterol depletion of plasma membranes (45–47). It is therefore tempting to speculate that the match of the shorter hydrophobic right-handed dimer with the thinner plasma membrane sections could be the underlying molecular reason for this behavior.

The structure found here has implications not only for homodimerization, but also for the interaction of PDGFRβ with other membrane TM proteins. For example, PDGFRβ is activated by the viral oncoprotein E5 via specific interactions: hydrogen-bonding between Gln-17 of E5 and Thr-545 of the receptor, and electrostatic interactions between Asp-33 of E5 and Lys-531 of the receptor (23). Both amino acids are located in the NMR structure on the outer face of the dimer (Fig. 1B). We thus predict the PDGFRβ-TM structure to be compatible with a side-by-side arrangement of the PDGFRβ-dimer and the E5-dimer counterpart. Moreover, binding of E5 might simply act as a mismatch compensator, allowing PDGFRβ to move into membrane regions of otherwise inappropriate thickness.

In summary, we have demonstrated that the thickness of the membrane environment has a significant impact on the TM-helix arrangement of PDGFRβ, in full agreement with the hydrophobic matching hypothesis. Our results suggest that the TM domain contributes considerably to the stability of the dimer of the full-length receptor. It thus appears conceivable that the inactive and activated states of a receptor are characterized by different helix-helix packing stabilities, which may in turn be the trigger for their lateral redistribution between different membrane microdomains.
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