Many-body localization and thermalization in the full probability distribution function of observables
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Abstract. We investigate the relation between thermalization following a quantum quench and many-body localization in quasi-particle space in terms of the long-time full distribution function of physical observables. In particular, expanding on our recent work (Canovi et al 2011 Phys. Rev. B 83 094431), we focus on the long-time behavior of an integrable XXZ chain subject to an integrability-breaking perturbation. After a characterization of the breaking of integrability and the associated localization/delocalization transition using the level spacing statistics and the properties of the eigenstates, we study the effect of integrability breaking on the asymptotic state after a quantum quench of the anisotropy parameter, looking at the behavior of the full probability distribution of the transverse and longitudinal magnetization of a subsystem. We compare the resulting distributions with those obtained in equilibrium at an effective temperature set by the initial energy. We find that, while the long-time
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distribution functions appear to always agree qualitatively with the equilibrium ones, quantitative agreement is obtained only when integrability is fully broken and the relevant eigenstates are diffusive in quasi-particle space.
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1. Introduction

The physics of thermalization in isolated quantum systems has a long and debated history. Recent ground-breaking experiments on the non-equilibrium dynamics of low-dimensional condensates [1–3] triggered a great deal of attention on this topic, which, up to then, was mostly addressed as an academic question, in connection with the notion of quantum chaos [4–9]. The demonstration of the lack of thermalization in two colliding bosonic clouds confined in a cigar-shaped potential [3], and the attribution of this observation to quantum integrability, generated a great deal of theoretical activity devoted to the study of the connections between integrability, ergodicity and thermalization in strongly correlated quantum systems [10, 11]. The main focus of efforts has been on the characterization of thermalization resulting from the simplest possible non-equilibrium protocol: an abrupt change in time of one Hamiltonian control parameter, that is, a quantum quench.

At long times after the quench, the lack of thermalization in an integrable system can be seen as a consequence of the sensitivity to the specifics of the initial state, which are encoded in the values of the constants of motion along the whole time evolution. Following the prescriptions of Jaynes [12], this qualitative understanding was made rigorous by the proposal for describing the steady state after a quench by means of a generalized Gibbs ensemble, which keeps track of the initial value of all the non-trivial constants of motion [13]. The conditions for applicability and the drawbacks of this approach have been extensively tested (see [10] and references therein). If the system is in turn far enough from the integrable limit, thermalization is expected in general to occur. This expectation is based on the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis, stating that expectation values of few-body observables in a given eigenstate are equal to thermal averages with the corresponding mean energy [4, 5], and it has been tested by
means of several numerical techniques (see [10, 14] and references therein). The issue is still under debate [15–17].

A natural scenario to describe the effects of integrability and its breaking on thermalization is that of many-body localization. Building on a series of seminal papers in disordered electron systems [18–20], the interplay between integrability breaking and many-body localization has recently been studied in the context of thermalization [21–24]. In analogy to a construction originally conceived for disordered electron systems, the quasi-particle space can be thought of as a multidimensional lattice where each point is identified by the occupation of the various quasi-particle modes. As long as states are localized in quasi-particle space, the system behaves as integrable: any initial condition spreads into a few sites maintaining strong memory of the initial state. Thermalization will not occur. At the same time, qualitative behavior of local and non-local operators in the quasi-particle is naturally going to be different: locality in quasi-particle space implies sensitivity to the localization/delocalization of states, while non-local operators display always an effective asymptotic thermal behavior. Once a strong enough integrability-breaking perturbation hybridizing the various states is applied, the consequent delocalization in quasi-particle space will lead to thermalization. An initial state is allowed to diffuse into all states in a micro-canonical energy shell generating a cascade of all possible lower energy excitations.

While this scenario appears physically sound, it does not give information on what is the degree of sensitivity of the various physical quantities of a many-body quantum system to integrability breaking and thermalization. This question is particularly important in view of the fact that recent studies on the dynamics of quantum field theories lead to the proposal of a dynamics of thermalization comprising two stages [25]: first the system decays to a so-called pre-thermalized state, where the expectation value of certain macroscopic observables is to a good approximation ‘thermal’, while the distribution function of the elementary degrees of freedom is not [25–27]. At a second stage, when the energy is efficiently redistributed by scattering processes, real thermalization eventually occurs. Pre-thermalization has been shown to occur theoretically for quantum quenches in a variety of systems [26–32]. Moreover, the study of pre-thermalization in weakly perturbed integrable systems has shed light on the nature of the pre-thermalized state, which is nothing but a close relative of the non-thermal steady state attained asymptotically by its integrable counterpart [29]. Signatures of pre-thermalization have been observed in split one-dimensional condensates [33], which have been shown to be characterized by an intermediate, pre-thermalized stationary state. The latter has been investigated by studying the full probability distribution of the interference contrast [33, 34], which turns out to have a closely thermal behavior, even though the distribution of quasi-particles is non-thermal.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a detailed characterization of the effect of integrability breaking on the asymptotic state after a quantum quench, not only by studying the average expectation values of certain selected observables, but also focusing on their full probability distribution function (FPDF), following the suggestions that were recently put forward in [34]. We stress that the latter quantity is experimentally accessible by studying shot-to-shot variations of a physically measurable observable, as has been performed for the FPDF of matter-wave interference in a coherently split Bose gas [33]. In the first part, we introduce our model and discuss the long-time limit of two kinds of observables, thus summarizing the results contained in [22]; in the second part, we take a considerable step forward, by extending the discussion to quantities which are closer to actual experiments [33] and clarifying more
precisely to what extent thermalization takes place. We will show that, in the presence of many-body localization, the entire probability distribution function describes a canonical distribution of the degrees of freedom.

In particular, we consider a quantum XXZ spin-1/2 chain undergoing a sudden quench of the anisotropy, in the presence of an integrability breaking term in the form of a random transverse field. As the strength of the integrability-breaking term is cranked up, the many-body level statistics has a well-defined transition from Poisson (integrable) to Wigner–Dyson (WD; non-integrable), closely associated with the localized/diffusive character of eigenstates in quasi-particle space [22]. Focusing on the asymptotic state attained after a quench from the antiferromagnetic to the critical phase, we compute the FPDF of both transverse and longitudinal magnetization densities in a given spatial interval by means of exact diagonalization techniques. We compare the results with the FPDF of the same observables obtained in a canonical ensemble, with an effective temperature fixed by the initial energy. We show that, while for both weak and strong integrability breaking the FPDFs attained after a quench agree qualitatively with those obtained in the corresponding canonical ensemble, full quantitative agreement for the whole distribution is only obtained whenever the level statistics in the bulk of the spectrum is of WD type, corresponding to diffusive eigenstates in quasi-particle space.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we define the models and the details of the quantum quench protocol. In the following two sections, we first discuss the spectrum of the Hamiltonian, which provides insight into the localization/delocalization transition (section 3), and then briefly discuss how the long-time asymptotics of different correlation functions is affected by the localization/delocalization in many-body space (section 4), thus summarizing the results of [22]. In section 5, we take a step further: we define the FPDF of the transverse and longitudinal spin and discuss their behavior in the asymptotic long-time state attained after a quench (diagonal ensemble). Finally, in section 6 we present our conclusions.

2. The model

Throughout this paper we will consider a quantum quench described by a time-dependent Hamiltonian:

$$ \mathcal{H}(t) = \mathcal{H}_0[g(t)] + \mathcal{H}_{ib}, $$

where

$$ g(t) = \begin{cases} g_0 & \text{for } t < 0, \\ g & \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{cases} $$

The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(t)$ is composed of an integrable part $\mathcal{H}_0[g(t)]$ and an integrability-breaking term given by $\mathcal{H}_{ib}$. Concerning the integrable part, we will consider the anisotropic spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain of length $L$ (also called the XXZ model) with open boundary conditions:

$$ \mathcal{H}_0(J_z) = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left[ J_x \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + J_y \sigma_i^y \sigma_{i+1}^y + J_z \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z \right], $$

where $\sigma_i^\alpha$ ($\alpha = x, y, z$) are the spin-1/2 Pauli matrices on site $i$, $J$ is the planar $xy$-coupling, while $J_z$ is the nearest-neighbor anisotropy parameter in the $z$ direction, which coincides with the parameter $g$ that will be quenched at time $t = 0$. In what follows, we take $\hbar = k_B = 1$, we adopt $J = 1$ as the energy scale and work in the zero total magnetization sector along...
the $z$-axis. This model is integrable by the Bethe ansatz [35]. The zero-temperature phase diagram is characterized by three regions: a gapped ferromagnetic phase ($J_z < -1$), a gapped antiferromagnetic phase ($J_z > 1$) and a gapless critical phase for $-1 \leq J_z \leq 1$. In this gapless region, the critical exponents depend on $J_z$ and the system is characterized by a quasi-long-range order in the $xy$ plane [36]. In the following, we break the integrability of the model by applying a random magnetic field:

$$H_{ib} = \Delta \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i \sigma^z_i,$$

where the quantities $h_i$ are randomly chosen in the interval $[-1, 1]$.

We point out that the model described in equations (3) and (4) is equivalent to a system of hard-core bosons, as one can show by applying the Jordan–Wigner transformation. Therefore, it is also interesting from an experimental perspective, since cold-atom gases in one dimension and at low densities behave like impenetrable bosons [37].

After investigating the transition from integrability to non-integrability, we will address the long-time behavior of the system following a sudden quench of $J_z$. In this context, knowledge of a substantial part of the spectrum is required, making it necessary to resort to a standard exact diagonalization technique. We will diagonalize Hamiltonian systems with up to 14 sites and only consider the zero magnetization sector, thus working in Hilbert spaces with up to 3432 states.

The zero-temperature phase diagram of the XXZ model in the presence of disorder is well established [38]. Much less is known at infinite temperature: the phase diagram has been conjectured to be composed of two phases, a non-ergodic many-body localized phase (in real space) at $\Delta > \Delta^{\text{crit}}$ and an ergodic one at $\Delta < \Delta^{\text{crit}}$, where $\Delta^{\text{crit}} \sim 6–8$ at $J_z = 1$ [21, 39]. Our results indicate the presence of a second non-ergodic localized phase (in quasi-particle space) for $\Delta \equiv \Delta^{\star}$ close to zero that crosses over to the ergodic phase upon increasing $\Delta$. The fate of this crossover in the thermodynamic limit and the eventual value of the critical $\Delta^{\star}$ are yet to be determined.

As the strength of $\Delta$ is varied, the system deviates from integrability, as discussed in more detail in section 3, where the spectrum and the properties of the eigenstates are quantitatively investigated. Indeed, as the level statistics changes from Poissonian (typical of integrable systems) to WD (characterized by the level repulsion of non-integrable systems), the eigenstates are also modified. More specifically, when the system is integrable they are localized in quasi-particle space, while they become diffusive as the system becomes non-integrable. This transition affects the dynamics of the system. As summarized in section 4, there is a connection between the onset of thermalization and the many-body localization transition of the eigenstates (for further details, see [22]). Later we will go one step forward and investigate how the localization/delocalization transition emerges in the FPDF of operators [25–27].

3. Spectral characterization of the integrability-breaking crossover

In this section, we follow the approach of [22] and consider the properties of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian in equation (1) for a fixed value of the anisotropy $J_z = 0.5$, and

---

7 While for the parameters used in this paper the low-lying eigenstates are localized in the thermodynamic limit, in the following we consider system sizes smaller than the localization length.
show that the integrability breaking is associated with a localization/delocalization transition in quasi-particle space.

3.1. Statistics of the energy level spacings

In finite-size systems, it is commonly believed that the statistical distribution of the energy spacings of the quantum energy levels directly reflects the integrability properties of the model [40]. In particular, an integrable quantum system is typically signaled by the presence of a Poissonian statistics in the distribution of its level spacings \( \{ s_n \} \), \( s_n \equiv E_{n+1} - E_n \) being the spacing between two adjacent levels normalized to the average level spacing,

\[
P_P(s) = e^{-s}.
\] (5)

Physically, this means that the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian within a given symmetry sector are allowed to cluster. In contrast, for non-integrable systems, level crossing is inhibited. The level statistics has a WD distribution, which embeds the level repulsion in a power law:

\[
\lim_{s \to 0} P_{WD}(s) \sim s^\gamma.
\]

More precisely, when anti-unitary symmetry is preserved, as in the present case, the statistics is described by a Gaussian orthogonal ensemble [41]:

\[
P_{WD}(s) = \frac{\pi s^2}{2} e^{-\pi s^2 / 4}.
\] (6)

By tuning the parameter \( \Delta \), the XXZ chain undergoes a transition from Poissonian to WD statistics. In a finite-size system, this transition takes the form of a smooth crossover which can be studied within a specific energy shell, by means of the following level spacing indicator (LSI) [8]:

\[
\eta_w(E) \equiv \frac{\int_{s_0}^{\infty} [P_{[E,E+W]}(s) - P_P(s)] \, ds}{\int_{s_0}^{\infty} [P_{WD}(s) - P_P(s)] \, ds},
\] (7)

where \( P_{[E,E+W]}(s) \) is the level statistics computed in the window \([E, E + W]\), while \( s_0 \) is the first intersection point of \( P_P(s) \) and \( P_{WD}(s) \).

In figure 1, we show the level spacing distribution function \( P(s) \) for the levels with an excitation energy less than a given cutoff, i.e. \( E < E_c \) (see the caption of figure 1). We see that for small \( \Delta \) the distribution is closer to an exponential, while for \( \Delta = 1 \) it almost coincides with a WD distribution, and it is shifted toward larger values of the spacings. In the inset of figure 1, we show \( \eta_w \) for different intensities of the integrability-breaking perturbation. As the strength of the integrability-breaking term increases, the LSI approaches values close to unity for \( \Delta \sim 1 \). At large values of \( \Delta \gg J_z \), the system tends to another integrable limit [42, 43], indeed we can see that \( \eta_w \) decreases again for \( \Delta \gtrsim 1 \). We note that only the states in the middle of the band display level repulsion (i.e. values of \( \eta_w \) closer to unity), while states in extreme regions of the spectrum do not. This comes as a consequence of the two-body interaction of the system, as opposed to the behavior that is typically observed in full random matrices [44].

3.2. Inverse participation ratio versus the number of available states

Along with the Poisson-to-WD transition of the level spacing statistics, the eigenvectors also undergo a transition in their statistical properties. Indeed, when the level statistics is Poissonian and \( \Delta \) is small, the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian are close to those of the integrable XXZ chain. In other words, they are localized in quasi-particle space. In contrast, when the statistics is WD,
Figure 1. The main panel: level spacing statistics for three values of $\Delta$, computed for levels with excitation energy $E$ with respect to the ground state up to the cutoff energy $E_c = 20$ in our units. The black dotted and dashed-dotted lines show the Poisson (equation (5)) and the WD (equation (6)) distributions, respectively. Inset: LSI as defined in equation (7), evaluated in a microcanonical shell of width $W = 2$. Following standard techniques adopted in quantum chaos [41], we performed an unfolding of the energy spectrum for each instance. For both plots data are for $L = 14$ and averages are performed over 5000 disorder instances.

the eigenstates are delocalized in quasi-particle space. This picture can be made quantitative by using the inverse participation ratio (IPR) [45–50]. Given a pure state $|\psi\rangle$ and an arbitrary basis $\{|n\rangle\}$ with $N$ elements, the IPR is defined as [41]

$$
\xi (|\psi\rangle) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\langle n|\psi\rangle|^4 \right)^{-1}.
$$

(8)

If a state is a superposition of $n_{sd}$ basis states, the corresponding contribution to $\xi$ is of the order of $n_{sd}$. Interesting results emerge if one considers two different bases: (i) the ‘site basis’ or ‘computational basis’ of the eigenvectors of $\sigma^z_i$ with the constraint of zero total magnetization and (ii) the ‘integrable basis’ of the eigenstates of the integrable model under investigation (the XXZ chain with $J_z = 0.5$ and $\Delta = 0$). Given an eigenstate of $\mathcal{H}(J_z)$, we found that the IPR in the integrable basis is of the order of unity if $\Delta \ll J_z$, and grows with increasing $\Delta$. Eventually, for large values of $\Delta$, the eigenstates of $\mathcal{H}(J_z)$ become diffusive superpositions, with random phases and amplitudes, of the eigenstates of the integrable model. In contrast, in the site basis, we found an opposite behavior, since the states of this basis approach the eigenstates of the system at $\Delta \gg J_z$ [22].

8 With this definition we fix the typo in [22], where there was a wrong factor $1/N$ in the definition. We remark that the results shown in [22] are not affected by that mistake.
We can draw an intuitive picture of the localization/delocalization transition in quasi-particle space by comparing the IPR in the integrable basis, in a given microcanonical shell, with the number of available eigenstates \( N \) in the same shell. This is shown in figure 2. The microcanonical shell has a width \( W = 2\Delta \sim V \), where \( V \) is the typical matrix element of the integrability-breaking perturbation. In quasi-integrable situations (\( \Delta \ll 1 \), left panel), the IPR is much lower than the available microcanonical states, thus meaning that the degree of delocalization of the system is very low. In contrast, in a chaotic situation (\( \Delta \sim 1 \), right panel), the perturbation is able to hybridize nearly all the states in the microcanonical energy shell. We point out that our approach has also recently been adopted to identify the emergence of chaos in a very similar spin chain model [50, 51].

4. Long-time dynamics and observables

4.1. Energy scales involved in the quench

Let us now consider a sudden quench of the parameter \( g \equiv J_z \), from \( J_z^0 \) at time \( t \leq 0 \) to \( J_z \) at time \( t > 0 \), as in equation (2). We assume that the initial state \( |\psi_0\rangle \) of the system is the ground state of \( \mathcal{H}(J_z^0) \). Since after the quench the Hamiltonian is time independent, the energy is conserved and is given by \( E_0 = \langle \psi_0 | \mathcal{H}(J_z) | \psi_0 \rangle \). For large values of \( J_z^0 \), the ground state of the Hamiltonian is the classical antiferromagnetic Néel state and the energy density \( E_0/L \) converges to a constant value, below the middle of the spectral band of the final Hamiltonian. This means that the eigenstates of \( \mathcal{H}(J_z) \) involved in the time evolution of the system are only those lying in the first half of the band. This is shown in figure 3, where the energy \( E_0 \) after the quench from an initial antiferromagnetic state (vertical lines) is compared with the number of available states in a given microcanonical energy shell.
Figure 3. The number of states in each microcanonical shell as compared to the initial energy of the system. The energies in the x-axis have been rescaled on the number $L$ of sites, while the number of states $N(E)$ is rescaled with the total dimension of the Hilbert space in the zero magnetization sector $d(L)$. Vertical lines denote the energy $E_0(L)$ after the quench from an antiferromagnetic ground state, obtained with $J_{z0} = 100$ to $J_z = 0.5$ (the values of $L$ in these vertical lines increase from left to right). Different colors refer to different system sizes. We used $\Delta = 1$ and $W = 2\Delta$. Averages have been performed over $\sim 10^3$ random instances of the magnetic field.

Following Rossini et al [52, 53], we then proceed to define an effective temperature associated with the quench as the solution of the equality:

$$E_0 \equiv \langle \mathcal{H}(J_z) \rangle_{T_{\text{eff}}} = \text{Tr}[\rho(T_{\text{eff}})\mathcal{H}(J_z)],$$

where $\rho(T_{\text{eff}})$ is the equilibrium density matrix at temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$:

$$\rho(T_{\text{eff}}) = \frac{e^{-\mathcal{H}(J_z)/T_{\text{eff}}}}{\text{Tr}[e^{-\mathcal{H}(J_z)/T_{\text{eff}}}]}.$$

Equation (9) can be solved numerically for each realization of disorder and then averaged. As shown in [22], the effective temperature increases with the quench strength $|J_z - J_{z0}|$, and tends to saturate for large values of $J_z$, since the ground state approaches the antiferromagnetic Néel state. As an example, for a system of $L = 12$ sites and a quench from $J_{z0} = 10$ to $J_z = 0.5$ (which are the typical parameters we consider hereafter) the effective temperature at $\Delta = 1.0$ is $T_{\text{eff}} = 3.4 \pm 0.4$ (units of $J/k_B$), after averaging over 200 disorder instances.

4.2. Asymptotics of observables

The connection between the localization/delocalization transition and the onset of thermalization deeply affects the dynamics following the quantum quench. A possible way to test this interplay is to compare the long-time behavior of the system with the thermal behavior.
Figure 4. Comparison between the diagonal (black dots) and canonical (red squares) expectation values of the two-spin correlation function $n^x(k)$ (left panel) and $n^z(k)$ (right panel) as a function of the momentum $k$. Data are for $L = 12$ and disorder intensity $\Delta = 0.4$. Here and in the remaining figures we will always perform a quench from $J_{z0} = 10$ to $J_z = 0.5$.

at the effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$. This can be done at different levels. The first possibility is to take a traditional point of view and study the correlation functions of a given observable, as we illustrate now. A second more general (to be discussed in the following) approach consists in investigating directly the FPDF of selected observables.

Let us start by considering the two-spin correlation functions constructed as expectation values of the following operators:

$$n^\alpha_k \equiv \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j,l=1}^L e^{2\pi i (j-l)k/L} \sigma^\alpha_j \sigma^\alpha_l \quad (\alpha = x, z).$$  \hfill (11)

The average value predicted by the canonical ensemble at temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ is given by

$$n^\alpha_{T_{\text{eff}}}(k) \equiv \langle n^\alpha_k \rangle_{T_{\text{eff}}} = \text{Tr}[\rho(T_{\text{eff}}) n^\alpha_k]].$$  \hfill (12)

The asymptotic value after the quench is found from the diagonal ensemble \[14, 54, 55\]

$$n^\alpha_Q(k) \equiv \lim_{t\to\infty} \langle \psi(t)|n^\alpha_k|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_i |c_i|^2 \langle \phi_i|n^\alpha_k|\phi_i\rangle,$$  \hfill (13)

where $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iH(J_z)t}|\psi_0\rangle$ is the state of the system at time $t$, while $c_i = \langle \psi_0|\phi_i\rangle$ is the $i$th component of the initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ in the basis of the eigenstates $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}$ of the final Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(J_z)$. In figure 4, we compare the expectation values in the diagonal ensemble (black data) of these operators with those predicted by the canonical ensemble at the temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ (red data). We have chosen $\Delta = 0.4$, so that the system is still close to integrability and one can appreciate the discrepancies with the thermal behavior only for the correlator of $\sigma^z_k$ (right panel). On the other hand, for $\sigma^x_k$ (left panel) quantitative agreement with the thermal ensemble is observed. Note that the different behavior of the two observables is most visible at $k = \pi$, where the system is less sensitive to boundary effects. As discussed in \[22\], the different behaviors of these correlators at long times could be related to the different nature of the operators involved in the low-energy limit \[52, 53\]. Indeed, in the low-energy limit \[35\], where the XXZ chain...
critical phase maps onto a Luttinger liquid and quasi-particles are approximately free bosons, $\sigma_k^z$ turns out to be a local operator, coupling a finite number of quasi-particle states, while $\sigma_k^x$ couples all the states and is thus non-local.

To be more quantitative, we define the absolute ‘residual’ of the operator $n_k^\alpha$ between the diagonal and the canonical ensemble:

$$\delta n_k^\alpha = |n_k^\alpha Q(k) - n_k^\alpha T_{\text{eff}}(k)|.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(14)}

In figure 5 we show such a quantity at $k = \pi$, as a function of $\Delta$. The residual for the non-local operator, $\delta n_k^\xi$, does not depend substantially on the strength of $\Delta$, nor on the size of the system. In contrast, the residual of the local operator, $\delta n_k^z$, decreases significantly as the system departs from integrability and shows a minimum for $\bar{\Delta} = 1$. For larger values of $\Delta$ the residual $\delta n_k^z$ starts to grow. This is consistent with the fact that for large values of $\Delta$ the system approaches another integrable limit. Moreover, the quantity $\delta n_k^z$ and in particular the position of the minimum $\bar{\Delta}$ are size dependent. Given the numerical limitations of exact diagonalization, we cannot guess what is the limit of $\bar{\Delta}(L)$ when $L \to \infty$. The value $\bar{\Delta}$ of the perturbation strength at which the system is closer to a thermal behavior, at a given size, corresponds to the situation in which delocalization in Fock space is most pronounced.

5. Full probability distribution functions

The study of FPDFs of observables, instead of only their average value, has attracted much interest, both theoretically [34, 56–58] and, very recently, experimentally [33]. Here we want to study the probability distribution function of the transverse and of the longitudinal spin of a subsystem $S$ of size $R \leq L$. 
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The \( x \) \( y \) transverse-spin magnitude of a subsystem \( S \) made of \( R \) spins is given by

\[
(\hat{S}_R^x)^2 = |\hat{S}_R^x + i\hat{S}_R^y|^2 = \left| \sum_{j \in S} (\hat{S}_j^x + i\hat{S}_j^y) \right|^2 = \left( \sum_{j \in S} \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- \right) + \left( \sum_{j < l \in S} (\sigma_j^+ \sigma_l^- + \sigma_l^+ \sigma_j^-) \right),
\]

(15)

where \( \sigma_j^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_j^\alpha \) is a spin operator on site \( i \) and direction \( \alpha \), while spins inside \( S \) are chosen contiguously in the central portion of the total system and are identified by the indexes \( j = \frac{L}{2} - \frac{R}{2} + 1, \ldots, \frac{L}{2} + \frac{R}{2} \). This is exactly the same quantity considered in [34], where the full distribution of quantum noise in Ramsey interference experiments is studied in detail.

The \( z \) component of the spin for a subsystem of size \( R \) is given by

\[
\sigma_R^z \equiv \sum_{j \in S} \sigma_j^z.
\]

(16)

Since the eigenvectors of \( \sigma_R^z \) coincide with the states of the computational basis, the computational cost of evaluating the probability distribution of this quantity is less than that for \((\hat{S}_R^\perp)^2\).

5.1. Asymptotics of the full probability distribution functions

Similarly to what we have done in section 4.2 for the correlation functions, we now consider the behavior of the FPDFs in the diagonal [14, 54] and in the canonical ensemble at the effective temperature \( T_{\text{eff}} \), and compare the results.

The FPDF can be evaluated in the canonical ensemble at the effective temperature \( T_{\text{eff}} \) according to

\[
P_{\text{can}}^\alpha(s_R) = \sum_n \left( \text{Tr} \left[ \rho(T_{\text{eff}}) P_{R,n}^\alpha \right] \right) \delta(s_R - s_{R,n}) = \sum_n p_{\text{can}}^\alpha(s_{R,n}) \delta(s_R - s_{R,n}),
\]

(17)

where \( p_{\text{can}}^\alpha(s_{R,n}) \equiv \text{Tr}[\rho(T_{\text{eff}}) P_{R,n}^\alpha] \) denotes the thermal expectation value of the projector \( P_{R,n}^\alpha \) on a given eigenstate of \( \sigma_R^\alpha \) \( (\alpha = \perp, z) \) corresponding to the eigenvalue \( s_{R,n} \) (see the correspondence to equation (12)). On the other hand, analogous to what we did in equation (13), we define the FPDF in the diagonal ensemble as

\[
P_{\text{diagonal}}^\alpha(s_R) = \sum_n \left( \sum_i |c_i|^2 \left\langle \phi_i | P_{R,n}^\alpha | \phi_i \right\rangle \right) \delta(s_R - s_{R,n}) = \sum_n p_{\text{diagonal}}^\alpha(s_{R,n}) \delta(s_R - s_{R,n}).
\]

(18)

The comparison between the two ensembles can be made quantitative by computing the absolute difference (see equation (14))

\[
\delta p^\alpha(s_{R,n}) = |p_{\text{can}}^\alpha(s_{R,n}) - p_{\text{diagonal}}^\alpha(s_{R,n})|.
\]

(19)
The latter quantity depends on $R$ and on the values of $s_R$ specific to each $R$. We may be interested in comparing the FPDFs at different distances: in this case a useful quantity is the integrated difference, which is given by

$$
\Delta p^\alpha(R) = \sum'_{s_R,n} \delta p^\alpha(s_R,n)/\nu(R),
$$

(20)

where $\sum'_{s_R,n}$ denotes the sum over the eigenvalues of $\sigma^\alpha_k$ compatible with the zero total magnetization condition, and $\nu(R)$ is their number. In the following, we will average all these quantities over disorder, and identify their uncertainty with the standard deviation. We are going to show our results for a quench from $J_z = 10$ to $J_z = 0.5$ in a system with $L = 12$ spins.

In figure 6–8, we show the probability distribution of the transverse spin $p^\perp(s_R,n)$ at $\Delta = 0.1, 0.5$ and 1.0, respectively. In all the cases, the distribution functions have qualitatively the same pattern in both the diagonal and the canonical ensemble. But when disorder is small, e.g. for $\Delta = 0.1$ in figure 6, there is a visible discrepancy. Increasing the intensity of the disorder, quantitative agreement is established, until for $\Delta = 1$, that is, where the system is furthest from integrability, the two distributions perfectly overlap (figure 8). The discrepancy between the two distributions is quantified by means of the integrated difference $\Delta p^\perp$ defined in equation (20), which also allows us to compare the distributions for different values of $R$. The results are shown in figure 9. The common feature of all values of $R$ is that, when the disorder intensity is
Figure 7. The same as figure 6 but for $\Delta = 0.5$.

Figure 8. The same as figure 6 but for $\Delta = 1.0$.  
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small, i.e. $\Delta \ll J_z$, the discrepancy $\Delta p^\perp$ is larger and more sensitive to the size of $R$ than that for bigger $\Delta$ values. However, it is not clear from our results what the dependence on $R$ should look like in the thermodynamic limit. Unfortunately, with our simulations we can only work with very small sizes of $L$, such that the smallest $R$ is only one order of magnitude less than $L$.

In figure 10 we show the FPDF of the longitudinal spin $\sigma_R^z$ in the diagonal and the canonical ensemble for a system of $L = 12$ sites and $R = 6$. The constraint $\sum_j \sigma_j^z = 0$ allows only some eigenvalues of $\sigma_R^z$, so when the size of the subsystem increases from $R = L/2$ to $L$ progressively less values of $s_R$ are permitted. For this reason we choose $R = 6$, which is the case with the maximum number of eigenvalues allowed by the symmetries. We observe that in all cases there is a maximum at $s_R = 0$, which is the most sensitive point of the variation of $\Delta$. For odd $R$ (data not shown) there are instead two symmetrical eigenvalues, $s_R = \pm 1$, where the function has two maxima and which are most suitable for checking the dependence against $\Delta$. From figure 10 we see that at small values of $\Delta$ there is a difference at $s_R = 0$ between the two ensembles, while the FPDFs almost coincide for $\Delta \sim 1$. The scenario is similar to that of $(S_R^2)^2$.

In figure 11 we show the integrated difference $\Delta p^z$. We see a slight dependence on whether $R$ is even or odd. Namely, the discrepancy between the ensembles at small values of $\Delta$ is more pronounced for even values of $R$ than for odd $R$. This effect is more visible for small values of $\Delta$. For even values of $R$ the difference $\Delta p^z$ has its smallest value at $\Delta = 1$, while for odd values of $R$ the minimum is at $\Delta \sim 0.5$. Looking at the error bars, we see that both $p^z$ and $\Delta p^z$ are generally characterized by larger fluctuations with respect to the transverse spin, perhaps because the spectrum of $\sigma_R^z$ has higher degeneracy with respect to $(S_R^2)^2$. However, the error bars, both for the transverse and the longitudinal spin, are proportional to the disorder.
Figure 10. The probability distribution function of $\sigma_{R}^{z}$ in the diagonal (black circles) and the canonical (red squares) ensemble. The data for $L = 12$ sites, $R = 6$ and several values of the disorder intensity.

Figure 11. The integrated difference $\Delta p^{z}(R)$ for a system of $L = 12$ sites.
intensity. As we have already pointed out for the transverse spin, we cannot fully characterize the dependence of $\Delta p^z$ on $R$, because we have too small systems. Intuitively, what we can expect is that the constraint on the total magnetization $\sum_j \sigma_j^z = 0$ should relate the situations where the subsystem has $R$ and $L - R$ sites, respectively. On a qualitative level, this is also suggested by the panels of figure 11.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have analyzed the connection between thermalization and many-body localization. We studied the long-time behavior of an XXZ spin-1/2 chain following a quantum quench, when integrability is broken by a random magnetic field. In particular, we addressed the issue of pre-thermalization, not only looking at the behavior of average values of observables, but also looking at their FPDF. We found qualitative agreement between the FPDF in the asymptotic state and that predicted by a thermal distribution in the whole range of disorder intensity we considered. Nevertheless, the situation where the two FPDFs are quantitatively indistinguishable occurs only when the system is furthest from integrability, that is, when the eigenstates are diffusive superpositions in quasi-particle space.
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