PURPOSE Major progress has occurred in multiple myeloma (MM) treatment in recent years, but this is not seen in low- and middle-income countries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS We retrospectively assessed the efficacy and safety of cyclophosphamide, thalidomide, and dexamethasone (cyclophosphamide 400 mg/m² for 5 days, thalidomide 100 mg once daily, if tolerated, and dexamethasone 40 mg once weekly; in 28-day cycles) in patients with newly diagnosed MM treated at our institution between April 2008 and December 2012. Survival outcomes were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method.

RESULTS Fifty-nine patients were found to meet the selection criteria. Median age was 56 years (27-78). Fifty-nine percent (n = 35) were male. International Staging System three was found in 24%. The median number of treatment cycles was 11 (range 4-12). After a median of 81-month follow-up (range 5-138 months), the overall response rate was 69.5%. The complete response and very good partial response were 5% and 32%, respectively. Median progression-free survival (PFS) was 35 months (95% CI, 18 to 41). The 3-year PFS was 47.4% (95% CI, 34.5 to 59.6) and 5-year PFS was 24.9% (95% CI, 14.4 to 36.9). The median of overall survival (OS) was 81 months (95% CI, 33 to not reached). The 3-year OS was 63.4% (95% CI, 49.2 to 74.6), and 5-year OS was 57.5% (95% CI, 43.2 to 69.4). The most common adverse event was neutropenia (grade 3 and 4, 30.5%). Out of 23 patients eligible for stem-cell transplantation, 10 (43.5%) proceeded with autologous transplantation. Treatment-related deaths occurred in four patients (6.7%).

CONCLUSION Cyclophosphamide, thalidomide, and dexamethasone achieves good response rates with tolerable toxicity, especially in patients age 65 years or younger representing a feasible approach for patients with MM in low-income health care settings.

INTRODUCTION Multiple myeloma (MM) is a hematologic malignancy accounting for roughly 10% of all blood cancers. New cases of MM in United States are more than 30,000 per year. In Peru, the incidence is estimated at 1.82 × 100,000 for both sexes. Major changes have happened on the MM treatment from the first case described in 1844, since steroids, chemotherapy, transplant, proteasome inhibitors, and immunomodulators to newer treatments such as monoclonal antibodies. In low- and middle-income countries, limited resources in health care make it difficult to have availability of novel drugs. The cost of the myeloma drugs is expensive. Regimens such as bortezomib, thalidomide, and dexamethasone (VTD) or bortezomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone (VRD) can cost $100,000 US dollar (USD) or more per year. In this scenario, a traditional treatment such as cyclophosphamide, thalidomide, and dexamethasone (CTd) is a more affordable alternative for newly diagnosed patients.

The aim of this study was to determine the clinical efficacy, safety, and survival outcomes of transplant-eligible and -ineligible patients with MM treated with CTd as first line of treatment in a country with limited resources.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and Staging
We evaluated patients with newly diagnosed MM treated at the National Cancer Institute (Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplásicas) in Lima, Perú, between April 2008 and December 2012. The diagnosis of MM was based on the WHO 2008...
criteria. Staging was based on International Staging System. The inclusion criteria were as follows: Diagnosis of MM at Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplásicas and have received at least four cycles of CTd; for protocol, the evaluation of the treatment response was after 4, 8, and 12 cycles. Exclusion criteria were MM treated previously, patients with another cancer, patients with HIV infection, diagnosis of plasma cell leukemia, and incomplete medical records. This study obtained the written informed consent from all patients and also had the approval of the Institutional Review Board.

During the study period, 181 patients were evaluated, of which 93 patients received CTd, 19 thalidomide dexamethasone, six vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone (VAD), four cyclophosphamide dexamethasone, five other chemotherapy agents, and seven only radiotherapy; 22 did not meet criteria because of previous treatment; and 25 did not receive any treatment because of loss to follow-up. In the CTd cohort, 24 received only three or less cycles (25%), and 10 (11%) received four or more cycles, but without assessment of the treatment response or had other exclusion criteria; finally, 59 (64%) patients fulfilled the selection criteria.

**Statistical Analysis**

A descriptive analysis of the information was made through frequencies, percentages, and summary measures. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from the date of diagnosis to the date of last control or death. Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time from the date of treatment initiation to the date of last control, progression recurrence, or death. OS and PFS were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences were tested with the log-rank test. In the analysis of the information, Stata 15 (StataCorp, TX) was used.

**RESULTS**

**Patient Characteristics**

The clinical and pathologic characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. The median age was 56 years (27-78). The majority were male (59%). In addition, there was a predominance of early stages.

**Toxicity and Response Treatment**

The most common adverse event was neutropenia (44.1%). Neutropenia grades 3 and 4 account for 18.6% and 11.9%, respectively. Also, severe infection was 8.4% and deep vein thrombosis was 6.8%. The toxicities are detailed in Table 2.

Regarding the total response rate, it was 69.5%, with a low strict complete response of 1.7%; the other types of responses are detailed in Table 3.

**PFS and OS**

The median of follow-up was 41 months (range 5-138 months). The median PFS was 35 months (95% CI, 18 to 41) as shown in Figure 1. The 3-year PFS was 47.4% (95% CI, 34.5 to 59.6), and 5-year PFS was 24.9% (95% CI, 14.4 to 36.9).

The median of OS was 81 months (95% CI, 33 to not reached). The 3-year OS was 63.4% (95% CI, 49.2 to 74.6), and 5-year OS was 57.5% (95% CI, 43.2 to 69.4) as shown in Figure 2.
Treatment-Related Mortality

Treatment-related deaths occurred in four patients (6.7%) who were age 62, 64, 74, and 76 years. The median age was 69 years (range 62-76 years), 50% patients were male, 50% achieved at least PR, the median of cycles was 9 (range 6-12), 50% had grade 3 neutropenia, 50% had grade 3 thrombocytopenia, 50% had grade 2 neurotoxicity, three patients died because of pneumonia, and one because of ischemic cerebrovascular disease.

Transplantation of Hematopoietic Progenitors

The stem-cell transplant program started in our institution in 2012, that is, 4 years after our first patient has received CTd. As an institutional policy, only patients age 65 years and younger were transplanted. Since the late 2011, 33 patients received at least four cycles of CTd, but only 23 patients were eligible for Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation (ASCT) according to the age inclusion criteria. Only 10 (43.5%) patients proceeded with ASCT as a consolidation after induction. The main reason why patients did not proceed onto ASCT was progressive disease (six patients, 46%) followed by limiting comorbidities (three patients, 23%) and failure to collect cells (two patients, 15%). Forty percent (4 patients) of the 10 transplanted patients are on maintenance with thalidomide. The median age was 55 years (range 47-60 years), and 88% were male. The median of treatment cycles was 12 (range, 10-12).

DISCUSSION

The findings from this study suggest that CTd may be a feasible treatment in a middle-income country such as Peru. The CTd regimen achieves durable responses with tolerable toxicity. The treatment of MM has evolved in our country; until 2006, the most used treatment was VAD. Thalidomide was available in our institution at the end of 2007 as a salvage treatment. Considering the good outcomes for thalidomide reported in international studies, it was used as part of our front-line treatment since 2008. Studies have shown that thalidomide plus dexamethasone is superior to VAD in terms of response rate and survival. However, these outcomes were modest compared with those reported in a triplet regimen that included cyclophosphamide, such as CTD, and ThaCyDex either in front-line or salvage treatment. The above treatments can be considered not standards in high-income countries where VRD is the first-line treatment in most clinical scenarios. The high cost of this novel regimen, more than $200,000 USD per year, makes it unaffordable in Peru. Our regimen CTd is an outpatient treatment, but more importantly, a feasible therapy. In Table 4, we can see that the cost of treatment for 1 year of $1,860 USD is almost a sixth cheaper than VTD in Peru, but 60 times than in the United States. Compared to VRD, our treatment is 50 times cheaper in Peru, but 90 times than in the United States. As shown, the administration and the cost of CTd is appropriate for public institutions in Peru.

A good regimen also has to be safe and effective. The overall response rate obtained in our study was 69%, which is similar to the 63.8% reported by Morgan’s study in patients noneligible for ASCT, however this outcome was

| TABLE 1. Clinical and Pathologic Characteristics | N = 59, No. | % |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|---|
| Age, median/range, years                      | 56/27-76  |   |
| Age interval, years                           |           |   |
| ≤ 60                                         | 37        | 62.7|
| > 60                                         | 22        | 37.3|
| Sex                                           |           |   |
| Male                                          | 35        | 59.3|
| Female                                        | 24        | 40.7|
| ISS                                           |           |   |
| 1                                             | 33        | 55.9|
| 2                                             | 12        | 20.3|
| 3                                             | 14        | 23.7|
| Type of immunoglobulin                        |           |   |
| G                                             | 33        | 55.9|
| A                                             | 16        | 27.1|
| D                                             | 1         | 1.7 |
| E                                             | 0         | 0   |
| No heavy component                            | 9         | 15.3|
| Type of light chain                           |           |   |
| Kappa                                         | 37        | 62.7|
| Lambda                                        | 19        | 32.2|
| Bath                                          | 1         | 1.7 |
| No                                           | 2         | 3.4 |
| Performance status (ECOG)                     |           |   |
| 1                                             | 40        | 67.8|
| ≥ 2                                           | 19        | 32.2|
| Plasmacytoma                                  |           |   |
| Medullar                                      | 14        | 23.7|
| Extramedullar                                 | 1         | 1.7 |
| No                                           | 44        | 74.6|
| Ionized calcium                               |           |   |
| Normal                                        | 48        | 81.4|
| > Normal                                      | 11        | 18.6|
| Creatinine                                    |           |   |
| Normal                                        | 55        | 93.2|
| > Normal                                      | 4         | 6.8 |
| Cycle of CT                                   |           |   |
| 4-6                                          | 11        | 18.7|
| 7-9                                          | 11        | 18.7|
| 10-12                                        | 37        | 62.8|

Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ISS, International Staging System.
worse compared to patients eligible for transplant who had an overall response rate of 82.5%. The treatment regimen in each study was every 21 days and 28 days, respectively. In addition, the dose of cyclophosphamide in both studies was 500 mg weekly. The study presented by Hungria et al reports even higher overall responses (89.7%). Regarding complete response rates, our study reported 6.8%, which is different from Hungria’s study and Morgan’s study, which reported 20.7% and 13%, respectively. In Hungria’s study, cyclophosphamide was given at 50 mg daily with dexamethasone at high doses (40 mg days 1-4 and 15-18), which is different from the low-dose dexamethasone used in our study (40 mg per week). In our study, cyclophosphamide was given at 400 mg/m² for 5 consecutive days with a total dose higher to the above studies. This different approach tried to improve the intensity of the chemotherapy in the first week instead of weekly as in Morgan’s study or in a metronomic way as in Hungria’s study. This dose was used uniformly regardless of the eligibility for transplant. The response rates are lower than most recent treatments such as lenalidomide-dexamethasone (RD), bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, and dexamethasone,27 VTD,28 and VRD,29 which have shown high total response rates that range from 70% to 100%. Thalidomide was given 100 mg daily during the first week, and then was increased to 200 mg; all patients received the full dose of thalidomide since the second week.

The hematologic toxicity in our study was 30% for grades 3 and 4, which is higher than in Morgan’s study13 for transplant candidates where it was only 4.5% for the same grades; we believe that it could be because of the different way of administering cyclophosphamide in our case: 400 mg/m² × 5 days making a total of 2,000 mg/m², whereas in Morgan’s study, 500 mg/weekly making a total of 2,000 mg total dose, meaning an increase of 50% on average. Regarding infections, our work reports 30.5% of infections and Morgan’s study reports 20% of grades 3 and 4, which can also be related to the difference in dose. If we focus on peripheral neuropathy, the percentages of grades 3 and 4 are similar in both studies with 3.4% in ours and 3.8% in Morgan’s study.

In terms of survival, it is similar with other CTd treatment studies. The PFS in our study was 34 months, which is higher than that in Morgan’s study with 27 months,13 but similar to those patients who received ASCT in the same study. We believe that a probable cause of this initial difference is that in our work, a more intensive induction regimen was used, but this little advantage is lost when high dose of melphalan is administered as conditioning in transplantation. In our study, the median OS was 81 months with a long-term follow-up (5-138 months). In Morgan’s study the median OS was not reached with a shorter follow-up (0-73 months); however, they showed that OS was comparable in both treatment groups, CTd and cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone, where the latter had a median OS of 63 months13 Modern treatments such as RD,26 VTD,28 and VRD29 have OS at 2 years of 87%, 95%, and 97%, respectively, much higher than the nearly 70% in our study (Fig 1). In terms of PFS, RD, VTD, and VRD reported 50%, 50%, and 70%, respectively. By contrast, in our study, the PFS at 2 years was 60%, but with a rapid decrease at 5 years to 25% (Fig 2).

The TRM of our study was 6.7%, discretely below that of Morgan’s study with 8.5%; in addition, among the causes of death, relatively high was the percentage of infections and infections were the most common reason for death.

### Table 2. Treatment-Related Toxicity

| Toxicity             | Grade 1, No. (%) | Grade 2, No. (%) | Grade 3, No. (%) | Grade 4, No. (%) | All Grades (%) |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Neutropenia          | 33 (55.9)        | 7 (11.9)         | 1 (1.7)          | 11 (18.6)        | 7 (11.9)       | 44.1          |
| Thrombocytopenia     | 50 (84.7)        | 1 (1.7)          | 5 (8.5)          | 2 (3.4)          | 1 (1.7)        | 15.3          |
| Sensory neuropathy   | 40 (67.8)        | 6 (10.2)         | 11 (18.6)        | 2 (3.4)          | 0             | 32.2          |
| Deep venous thromboses | 55 (93.2)  | 4 (6.8)          |                 |                 |               | 6.8           |
| Infections           | 41 (69.5)        | 13 (22.1)        | 5 (8.4)          |                 |               | 30.5          |

### Table 3. Treatment Response

| Response                      | No. | %  |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|
| Stringent complete response   | 1   | 1.7|
| Complete response             | 3   | 5.1|
| Very good partial response    | 19  | 32.2|
| Partial response              | 18  | 30.5|
| Stable disease                | 16  | 27.1|
| Progression disease           | 2   | 3.4|

**FIG 1.** OS of 59 patients with multiple myeloma. OS, overall survival.
of TRM, infection was observed as the main cause in both studies. We could observe that the deaths of the patients occurred particularly in advanced age (older than 65 years), in females, and in those who received at least six courses of CTd, probably because of low marrow reserve and advanced age. This group of patients may benefit from a 50% reduction in the cyclophosphamide dose.

Patients eligible for ASCT who received transplant in our study were 43.5%, which is different from Morgan’s study, which had 67.4% patients eligible. Factors such as progressive disease because of a delay in the preparation process for transplant and lost to follow-up were the main causes of not having a transplant. In our study, the median age was 55 years, similar to that of Morgan’s study with 58 years. The failure to collect was 15% in our study, but for the small number of patients, this may be a nonsignificant result, which is different from Morgan’s study with 1.96%.

The main strength of this study is that CTd represents a low-cost regimen, which can be affordable in any low-income country compared with more modern cancer drugs.\(^3^0\) In addition, CTd regimen let us avoid the use of outpatient chemotherapy rooms. This oral regimen can be used in patients who are both transplant-eligible and transplant-ineligible. The use of this regimen is not only intended for patients from low-income countries since it is shown that it can be used in combination with novel agents such as carfilzomib, as demonstrated by the CYKLINE study.\(^3^1\) We will have to wait for more studies to determine its real value in this era of new agents.

**AFFILIATIONS**

\(^{1}\)Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Neoplásicas, Lima, Peru

\(^{2}\)Instituto Oncológico Miraflores, Lima, Peru
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