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Abstract

Bubble collisions in cosmological phase transitions are explored, taking the non-abelian character of the gauge fields into account. Both the QCD and electroweak phase transitions are considered. Numerical solutions of the field equations in several limits are presented.
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1 Introduction

The investigations reported in this talk have been motivated by an interest in studying cosmological phase transitions quantitatively, taking the non-abelian character of the gauge fields into account. Ultimately, we hope to identify observable consequences of cosmological phase transitions.

First-order phase transitions proceed by nucleation of bubbles of the broken phase in the background of the symmetric phase. Bubble collisions are of special interest, as they may lead to observable effects such as correlations in the cosmic microwave background (CMB)\textsuperscript{11} or as seeds of galactic and extra-galactic magnetic fields\textsuperscript{12}. The quantum chromodynamic (QCD) and the electroweak (EW) phase transitions are both candidates of interest in these respects. The Lagrangian driving both the QCD and the EW phase transitions are essentially known and make it possible to approach the physics of the phase transitions from first principles. However, a difficulty to making reliable predictions is that the fundamental gauge fields in both these instances are non-abelian: the gluon field in QCD and the $W$ and $Z$ fields in the EW case. The quantitative role of non-abelian fields in cosmological phase transitions is poorly known and difficult to calculate due to the nonlinearities arising from the non-abelian character of the gauge fields.
2 A Toy Model

The results reported in this talk are preliminary and correspond to equations of motion that follow from toy model Lagrangian,

\[ L = L^{(1)} + L^{(2)} \]

\[ L^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{4} W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{i\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \]

\[ L^{(2)} = |(i\partial_\mu - \gamma \frac{g}{2} \tau \cdot W_\mu - \frac{g'}{2} B_\mu)\Phi|^2 - V(\Phi), \]

with

\[ \Phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(x) \\ f(x)e^{i\Theta(x)} \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ W^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu W^a_\nu - \partial_\nu W^a_\mu - g\epsilon_{abc} W^b_\mu W^c_\nu \]

\[ B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu. \]

The potential \[ V(\Phi) \] in Eq. (1) leads to spontaneous symmetry breaking that will drive the first-order EW phase transition of interest in this work. The detailed form of \[ V(\Phi) \] depends upon the theory, but one possible form is given by

\[ V(\Phi) \equiv V(f) = -\mu^2|\Phi|^2 + \lambda|\Phi|^4. \]

This Lagrangian consists of two coupled sectors. One of these is abelian, consisting of the scalar boson \( \Phi \) and the vector field \( B^a_\mu \), which are the familiar scalar Higgs and the vector boson of the weak hypercharge current, respectively, of the Weinberg-Salam model[3]. A first-order EW phase transition requires that the Lagrangian be considered in the framework of the minimal supersymmetric model (MSSM) extension of the Weinberg-Salam model, and one may eventually need to explicitly incorporate the stop field for consistency, as discussed in more detail in Ref. [1]. The other sector is non-abelian and consists of the vector field \( W^a_\mu \). We will interpret this field as the gluon field of \( SU(2)_{\text{color}} \) in our study of the QCD phase transition in Sect. 3 and as the three vector gauge bosons of the Weinberg-Salam model in our study of the of the EW phase transition in Sects. 4 and 5. This interpretation is possible, in the spirit of our toy model, since both fields satisfy the same field equations in the absence of coupling (\( \gamma = 0 \)) between the sectors. Fermions do not appear in the toy Lagrangian, which reflects our focus so far on issues of non-abelian dynamics that arise in their absence.

Equations of motion are obtained by minimizing the action,

\[ \delta[\int d^4x(L^{(1)} + L^{(2)})] = 0. \]

The result of doing this yields two “W-equations”:

\[ \partial^2 W^a_\nu - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu W^a_\mu - g\epsilon_{ijk}[W^k_\mu \partial_\nu W^j_\mu + 2W^j_\nu \partial_\mu W^k_\nu - W^j_\mu \partial_\nu W^k_\nu)] + g^2 \epsilon_{ijk} W^j_\mu \epsilon^{klm} W^l_\nu W^m_\nu - f(x)^2 \gamma g\psi_\nu(x) = 0, \; i = 3, \]
\[
\partial^2 W^i_\nu - \partial_\mu \partial^\nu W^i_\mu - g\epsilon_{ijk}[W^k_\nu \partial_\mu W^j_\mu + 2W^j_\mu \partial_\nu W^k_\mu - W^j_\nu \partial_\mu W^k_\mu]) + g^2 \epsilon_{ijk} W^j_\mu \epsilon^{klm} W^k_\mu W^m_\nu + \frac{\gamma}{2} f(x)^2 g^2 W^i_\nu = 0, \quad i = (1, 2),
\]

a “\(\Theta\)-equation”,
\[
\partial^\alpha f(x)^2 \psi_\alpha(x) = 0,
\]

a “\(B\)-equation”,
\[
\partial^2 B_\nu - \partial^\mu \partial_\nu B_\mu + f(x)^2 g' \psi_\nu(x) = 0,
\]

and an “\(f\)-equation”,
\[
\partial^2 f(x) - \frac{\gamma g^2}{4} f(x) [(W^1_\nu)^2 + (W^2_\nu)^2] - f(x) \psi_\alpha \psi^\alpha + f(x) \frac{\partial V}{\partial f^2} = 0.
\]

The quantity \(\psi_\alpha\) is defined as
\[
\psi_\alpha(x) \equiv \partial_\alpha \Theta + \frac{g'}{2} B_\alpha - \frac{\gamma g}{2} W^3_\alpha.
\]

### 3 Bubble Collisions in QCD

As discussed in Sect. 2, the equation of motion for the gluon field \(A^a_\mu\) in \(SU(2)_{\text{color}}\) is the same as that of \(W^a_\nu\) above in the absence of coupling between the abelian and non-abelian sectors, i.e. \(\gamma = 0\). In the gauge \(\partial^\mu A^a_\mu = 0\), this is
\[
\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^a_\nu + g\epsilon^{abc} (2A^b_\mu \partial^\mu A^c_\nu - A^b_\mu \partial_\nu A^{mc}) + g^2 \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{cdef} A^b_\mu A^{mc} A^f_\nu = 0.
\]

This equation has a well-known non-perturbative solution, namely the BPST instanton[4],
\[
A^a_\mu(x) = \frac{2 \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\nu}{g x^2 + \rho^2}.
\]

Using an instanton-like ansatz in 1+1 dimensions,\n\[
A^a_\mu(x, t) = \frac{2 \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\nu F(x, t)}{g},
\]

bubble collisions were found in Ref. [5] to evolve as solutions of the equation
\[
\partial^2 F = -\frac{2}{x} \partial_x F - 12F^2 + 8(x^2 - t^2)F^3
\]

in Minkowski space, assuming periodic boundary conditions in time with
\[
F(x, 0) = \left[\frac{1}{(x - 3)^2 + \rho^2} + \frac{1}{(x + 3)^2 + \rho^2}\right], \quad \partial_t F(x, 0) = 0.
\]

The numerical solution to Eq. (15) is given in Fig. 1. Note the development of a gluonic wall at the \(x=0\) collision region. The wall grows rapidly beginning at time \(t = 1.1\), and due to the singularities in the solution the accuracy of the calculations for \(t > 1.0\) is limited. A possible connection to CMB correlations is discussed in Ref. [1].
The abelian Higgs model has been of interest as a prototype for the generation of magnetic fields in the early universe in collisions of bubbles during a first-order EW phase transition\cite{2, 3, 4}. The Lagrangian of the abelian Higgs model describes a complex scalar field coupled to the electromagnetic (em) field $A^\mu_{em}$. It is defined by the Lagrangian Eq. (1) in the abelian sector identifying $A^\mu_{em}$ with the field $B_\mu$ and the electric charge $e$ with the coupling parameter $g'$ as $e = g'/2$. Equations of motion for the Higgs and magnetic field may be read off the results in Eqs. (8, 9, 10) for $\gamma = 0$, i.e.,

$$\partial^\alpha f(x)^2 \psi_\alpha(x) = 0 , \tag{17}$$

$$\partial^2 B_\nu - \partial^\mu \partial_\nu B_\mu + 2ef(x)^2 \psi_\nu(x) = 0 , \tag{18}$$

and

$$\partial^2 f(x) - f(x) \psi_\alpha \psi^\alpha + f(x) \frac{\partial V}{\partial f^2} = 0 . \tag{19}$$

The quantity $\psi_\alpha$ is now

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = \partial_\alpha \Theta + eA^{em}_\alpha . \tag{20}$$

In this case, the phase transition is driven by the dynamics of the Higgs field along the lines studied by Coleman\cite{7}. Coleman studied the case of a real scalar field ($\Theta = 0$), in which case the equation of motion for the scalar field becomes

$$\partial^2 f(x) + f(x) \frac{\partial V}{\partial f^2} = 0 . \tag{21}$$

The potential $V(f)$ given in Eq. (4) describes the dependence of the energy of vacuum on the scalar field. It has two minima, corresponding to “true” and “false” vacua. In Coleman’s model, a symmetry breaking term is added to $V(f)$ to give the true vacuum a slightly lower energy.

One imagines that the system (here, the universe) begins in the false vacuum, and then, as time evolves, a transition is made to the true vacuum. The phase transition proceeds as
bubbles of the true vacuum nucleate in the false vacuum. Nucleated bubbles are tunneling (instanton) solutions of the $f$-equation in Euclidean space. Once nucleated, bubbles grow and collide as Minkowski space solutions of the $f$-equation.

In their analysis of the abelian Higgs model, Kibble and Vilenkin suggested one way in which magnetic fields might be generated as bubbles collide. They considered the regime of gentle collisions, where $f(x)$ remains constant, or nearly constant, in the region of overlap of the colliding bubbles. They were able to gain insight into the generation of magnetic fields in this case by making an expansion about point $f(x) = f_0$, which we shall refer to as the Kibble-Vilenkin point. For the case of gentle collisions, we can assume the following expansion,

$$f(x) = f_0 + a \delta f(x)$$
$$\psi_\alpha(x) = a \psi^{(1)} + a^2 \psi^{(2)} + \ldots ,$$

where $a$ is the magnitude of $f_0 - f(x)$ and is small by assumption. Substituting the expansion into the equations of motion and requiring that the equations be satisfied at each order in the expansion parameter $a$, the relevant $\Theta$ and $B$ equations give, to leading order in $a$, the following results

$$(\partial^2 + 2e^2 f_0^2)\psi^{(1)} = 0$$
$$\partial^\alpha \psi^{(1)} = 0 ,$$

where now

$$\psi^{(1)}_\alpha = \partial_\alpha \Theta + e A^{cm(1)}_\alpha .$$

These are essentially the equations of Kibble and Vilenkin, who demonstrated from them that magnetic fields encircle the overlap region of the colliding bubbles when the phase of the Higgs fields is initially different within each bubble. Their analysis has been elaborated upon by Copeland, Saffin, and Törnkvist, who presented solutions in a convenient, closed form.

For violent collisions, where $f(x)$ changes substantially in the collision, the character of the problem requires numerical integration of the full coupled PDE. We close this section by showing numerical solutions of Eq. (21) using an algorithm for solving the PDE in 2 + 1 dimensions. The initial condition is shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 2 and the solution of the equations of motion just after the collision begins is shown in the right-hand panel. The solutions have been followed sufficiently far in time to convince us that the algorithm is stable, and it is easily generalized to include multiple coupled fields.

## 5 Bubble Collisions in the EW Phase Transition

One of our main interests is to understand the generation of the em field during the EW phase transition, specifically when non-abelian gauge fields play a role. In this case, $\gamma = 1$,
and the $W$, $B$, and $\phi$ (Higgs) fields are fully coupled. The physical $Z$ and $A_{em}$ fields are determined in terms of these fields as

$$A_{em}^\mu = \frac{1}{(g^2 + g'^2)^{1/2}}(g'W_\mu^3 + gB_\mu)$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

$$Z_\mu = \frac{1}{(g^2 + g'^2)^{1/2}}(gW_\mu^3 - gB_\mu).$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

We consider here only the case of gentle collisions, extending the analysis given by Kibble and Vilenkin for the abelian Higgs model. In the future we will examine the case of more violent collisions solving the equations of motion numerically.

As in the abelian Higgs model, the MSSM equations simplify upon expansion about the Kibble-Vilenkin point,

$$f(x) = f_0 + a\delta f(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The fact that $\psi$ and $W^d$ (for $d = (1,2)$) enter quadratically in the $f$-equation places two important constraints on these quantities: (1) $\psi$ and $W^d$ (for $d = (1,2)$) must have an expansion in odd powers of $a^{1/2}$, if we require the square of these quantities be analytic in $a$; and, (2) expanding this equation to leading order in $a^{1/2}$, we find that the terms $\psi^{(0)}$, $w^{(0)}_1$, and $w^{(0)}_2$ must vanish. This is most easily seen in the Euclidean metric, from the fact that the square of each enters with the same sign. However, the same must be true in the Minkowski metric as well by analytic continuation. In view of these considerations, $\psi_\alpha$ and $W^d_\alpha$ for $d = (1,2)$ have the following expansion

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = a^{1/2}\psi^{(1)}_\alpha + a^{3/2}\psi^{(3)}_\alpha + \ldots$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

$$W^d_\alpha = a^{1/2}w^{(1)d}_\alpha + a^{3/2}w^{(3)d}_\alpha + \ldots.$$ \hspace{1cm} (30)

It is natural that an expansion in the same parameter $a^{1/2}$ remains appropriate for $d = 3$. However, there is no requirement that the leading term vanish, so we take

$$W^3_\alpha = w^{(0)3}_\alpha + a^{1/2}w^{(1)3}_\alpha + a^{3/2}w^{(3)3}_\alpha + \ldots.$$ \hspace{1cm} (31)

The $B$-, $\Theta$-, and $W$-equations then give, to first order in $a^{1/2}$,

$$[\partial^2 + \frac{f_0^2}{2}(g^2 + g'^2)]\psi^{(1)}_\alpha = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (32)

$$\partial^\alpha\psi^{(1)}_\alpha = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (33)
where now
\[ \psi^{(1)}_\alpha(x) = \partial_\alpha \Theta - \frac{(g^2 + g'^2)^{1/2}}{2} Z^{(1)}_\alpha. \] (34)

Comparing these equations to those in the abelian Higgs model, Eqs. (23, 24, 25), we see that the \( Z \) field here plays the same role as the em field did in that case. Specifically, in this case, the phase difference of the Higgs field now determines the gauge field \( Z_\mu \) of Eq. (27) within the bubble overlap region. Thus, for gentle collisions, the mathematical problem in leading order is no more complicated than it was in the abelian case.

We may obtain \( A^{em} \) from Maxwell’s equation, formed by taking the linear combination of the \( W^{(3)} \) and \( B \)-equations, Eqs. (3) and (9), suggested by Eq (26). We find that the first non-vanishing contribution to the em current occurs at order \( a^{3/2} \) and depends cubically upon the non-abelian fields \( \omega^{(1)}_\mu \) calculated at order \( a^{1/2} \).

Equations for \( \omega^{(1)}_\mu \) may be obtained by expanding the \( B \)- and \( W \)-equations through order \( a^{1/2} \). We find for \( d = 3 \),
\[ \partial^2 u^{(0)3}_\nu - \partial_\nu \partial \cdot u^{(0)3} = 0 \] (35)
and
\[ \partial^2 u^{(1)3}_\nu - \partial_\nu \partial \cdot u^{(1)3} = \frac{f_0 g^2}{2} \psi^{(1)}_\nu, \] (36)
where we have expressed the equations in terms of \( u_\nu \) defined as
\[ u_\nu(x) = \frac{g}{2} \nu_\nu(x). \] (37)

Note that \( u^{(1)3}_\nu(x) \) follows from Eq. (36) once the driving term \( \psi^{(1)}(x) \) has been independently determined from Eq. (34) and the solution of Eqs. (32, 33). For \( d = 1 \) or 2 (corresponding to \( d' = 2 \) or 1, respectively), we obtain the pair of equations
\[ \partial^2 u^{(1)1d}_\nu - \partial_\nu \partial \cdot u^{(1)1d} - 2[\partial^\mu (u^{(0)3}_\nu u^{(1)d}_\mu - u^{(1)d}_\nu u^{(0)3}_\mu)] \] (38)
\[ + (u^{(1)d'}_\mu \partial^\mu u^{(0)3}_\nu - u^{(0)3}_\mu \partial^\mu u^{(1)d'}_\nu) - (u^{(1)d}_\mu \partial_\nu u^{(0)3}_\mu - u^{(0)3}_\mu \partial_\nu u^{(1)d}_\mu)] \]
\[ - 4[(u^{(0)3}_\nu)^2 u^{(1)d}_\nu - u^{(0)3}_\nu \cdot u^{(1)d} u^{(0)3}_\nu] + \frac{f_0^2 g^2}{2} u^{(1)d}_\nu = 0. \]

Note that Eq. (38) is a linear equation for the non-abelian fields \( u^{(1)d} \). The non-abelian field \( u^{(0)3} \) enters nonlinearly, but it is determined from a separate, uncoupled equation, Eq. (36). Thus, for sufficiently gentle collisions, all relevant equations are linear. This means, among other things, that one can avoid introducing a nonperturbative instanton/sphaleron ansatz as in Eq. (14), which would lead to equations that do not transform properly under a lorentz transformation.
6 Summary and Conclusions

Methods suitable for exploring the role of non-abelian dynamics in QCD and EW phase transitions have been explored. The QCD phase transition is essentially non-abelian, and our numerical investigations have been carried out using a BPST instanton-form solution to simplify the treatment of the non-linear dynamics. In the case of the EW phase transition, we found that for gentle bubble collisions the non-abelian fields may be obtained by solving linear equations, so that a nonperturbative sphaleron ansatz is not needed to account for the nonperturbative dynamics. Investigations are continuing for the case of gentle collisions along the lines outlined here. Our preliminary numerical work for the Coleman model suggests that pursuing numerical solutions to the PDE is a promising approach for determining the consequences of more violent collisions.
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