Echoes in Unidirectionally Rotating Molecules
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We report the experimental observation of molecular unidirectional rotation (UDR) echoes, and analyze their origin and behavior both classically and quantum mechanically. The molecules are excited by two time-delayed polarization-twisted ultrashort laser pulses and the echoes are measured by exploding the molecules and reconstructing their spatial orientation from the detected recoil ions momenta. Unlike alignment echoes which are induced by linearly polarized pulses, here the axial symmetry is broken by the twisted polarization, giving rise to molecular unidirectional rotation. We find that the rotation sense of the echo is governed by the twisting sense of the second pulse even when its intensity is much weaker than the intensity of the first pulse. In our theoretical study, we rely on classical phase space analysis and on three-dimensional quantum simulations of the laser-driven molecular dynamics. Both approaches nicely reproduce the experimental results. Echoes in general, and the unique UDR echoes in particular, provide new tools for studies of relaxation processes in dense molecular gases.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1950, E. L. Hahn discovered [1, 2] that if an ensemble of spins is irradiated by two properly timed and shaped magnetic field pulses, a spontaneous magnetization of the sample appears at twice the time delay between the two pulses. This response was termed “spin echo”. This original discovery is a fundamental element in modern Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Following the spin echoes, echoes have been observed in various non-linear physical systems, including photon echoes [3, 4], cyclotron echoes [5], plasma-wave echoes [6], cold atom echoes in optical traps [7–9], and echoes in particle accelerators [10–14]. The concept of echo was extended to single quantum particles and the phenomenon was observed in atoms coupled to a single-mode cavity [15] and in a single vibrationally excited molecule [16].

In the last five years, a new class of molecular alignment and orientation echoes has been discovered and extensively investigated [17–23]. Briefly, a non-resonant optical pulse polarizes the molecules and interacts with the induced dipole, resulting in a torque proportional to \( \mathbf{d}_{\text{ind}} \times \mathbf{E} \), where \( \mathbf{d}_{\text{ind}} \) is the dipole moment induced by the electric field \( \mathbf{E} \) of the pulse. When a pulse is short compared to the typical molecular rotational period, it impulsively kicks the molecules such that shortly after the pulse, the molecules are aligned along a direction defined by the field polarization (for reviews of molecular alignment see Refs. [24–28]). With time, molecules with different angular velocities step out of phase and the alignment disappears. However, in a manner similar to the original spin echoes, it was shown (see e.g. [17, 19]) that if the molecules are kicked again by a second, delayed laser pulse, a spontaneous alignment response emerges at twice the delay between the pulses. Echoes of this type have been used in studies of molecular relaxation in condensed gases [29–32].

Molecules kicked by linearly polarized pulses are equally likely to rotate clockwise or counterclockwise, hence after averaging all molecules there is no preferred sense of molecular rotation and the ensemble-averaged angular momentum remains zero. Besides linearly polarized pulses, polarization shaped pulses have also been used to drive molecular rotation. These include pulses in which the polarization of the electric laser field rotates in a plane during the pulse, termed polarization-twisted pulses. Examples of such pulses include a pair of delayed cross-polarized laser pulses [33–35], a chiral train of ultrashort pulses [36, 37], polarization shaped pulses [38–42], and the optical centrifuge for molecules [43–47]. While these pulses are all different, in all cases the field polarization twists in a plane perpendicular to the propagation direction, and the time scale of the polarization twisting is comparable to that of the molecular rotation. This allows the molecules to follow the twisting polarization, resulting in molecular unidirectional rotation (UDR), and a non-zero ensemble-averaged angular momentum perpendicular to the plane of polarization twisting. It is not surprising that the dynamics induced by polarization-twisted pulses is different from the alignment dynamics induced by linearly polarized pulses.

In this paper, we report the experimental observation
of molecular UDR echo of linear molecules excited by polarization-twisted pulses, and provide a detailed theoretical analysis of this effect. In our experiments, the UDR echoes are induced by a pair of co-rotating or counter-rotating delayed polarization-twisted pulses. Each pulse induces molecular UDR, i.e. molecules come to alignment and while being aligned rotate together for a short period of time. At twice the delay between the pulses, molecular UDR spontaneously reoccur. This recurrence is what we call UDR echo.

One of the techniques for creating polarization-twisted pulse is by partially temporally overlapping two delayed orthogonally polarized femtosecond laser pulses. The polarization of the resulting laser field rotates continuously from the direction of polarization of the first pulse to that of the second one, thus performing a quarter rotation in a plane $YZ$ of the first pulse to that of the second one, thus performing a quarter rotation in a plane $YZ$. The polarization of the resulting laser field rotates with a preferred sense. Perhaps the most surprising observation is that the second polarization-twisted pulse controls the sense of the rotation of the echo. This is unexpected, since the second pulse is less intense and does not change the sign of the ensemble-averaged angular momentum defined by the first polarization-twisted pulse.

The present work introduces several new elements beyond previous works on molecular alignment/orientation echoes. First, in the case of excitation by polarization-twisted pulses, the system lacks axial symmetry and its full characterization involves two degrees of freedom—polar and azimuthal angles. The COLTRIMS detection scheme provides the full angular information and allows to observe the echo response in both degrees of freedom. Second, the echo observed here is of a new, previously unreported, type. During the echo, the molecules not only come to alignment, but the alignment direction rotates with a preferred sense. Perhaps the most surprising observation is that the second polarization-twisted pulse controls the sense of the rotation of the echo. This is unexpected, since the second pulse is less intense and does not change the sign of the ensemble-averaged angular momentum defined by the first polarization-twisted pulse.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we consider a simplified classical model in which the molecular rotation is restricted to a plane, and we discuss the UDR echo from several points of view. Sections IIIA and IIIB summarize our experimental and numerical methods, respectively. In Sec. IV we present the experimental results and compare them with the results of three-dimensional classical and quantum simulations. Finally, the discussion in Sec. V summarizes the paper.

II. CLASSICAL PERSPECTIVE

A. Introduction to molecular UDR echo

Consider a simplified classical model, in which the molecules are rigid, and do not interact with each other. The laser pulses propagate along the $X$ axis, the molecules are restricted to rotate in the $YZ$ plane, and the interaction potential due to the laser electric field (averaged over the optical cycle) is given by $V(\psi,t) = -(\Delta\alpha/4)E^2(t)\cos^2(\psi)$ [50–52]. Here $\psi$ is the angle between the molecular axis and the field polarization direction, $\Delta\alpha$ is the molecular polarizability anisotropy, and $E(t)$ is the slowly varying envelope of the laser pulse. We define an additional angle $\phi$, the angle between the molecular and $Y$ axes. The equation of motion for $\phi$ is given by

$$\frac{d^2\phi(t)}{dt^2} = -\frac{1}{I} \frac{dV}{d\psi} = -\frac{\Delta\alpha E^2(t)}{4I} \sin[2\phi(t) - 2\chi(t)],$$

where $I$ is the moment of inertia of the molecule, $\chi = \phi - \psi$ is the angle between the field polarization direction and the $Y$ axis.

To simulate the behavior of a molecular ensemble, we use the Monte Carlo approach and consider a collection of $N$ molecules. Equation (1) is solved numerically for each molecule. Initially, the angle $\phi$ is uniformly distributed in the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$, while the distribution of angular velocity $\omega \equiv d\phi/dt$, is given by the Boltzmann distribution $p(\omega) \propto \exp[-I\omega^2/(2k_BT)]$, where $T$ is temperature and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. In the present work, both in theoretical analysis and in the experiment, we use $N_2$O molecules and set the initial rotational temperature to $T = 75$ K. The molecular parameters were computed with the help of the density functional theory (method: CAM-B3LYPultrafine/aug-ccpVTZ), $I = 39.786$ amu $\cdot$ Å$^2$ and $\Delta\alpha = 2.794$ Å$^3$ [53].

A polarization-twisted pulse is modeled as a pair of

![FIG. 1. Illustration of the electric field of polarization-twisted laser pulse. Here, the polarization rotates from the direction defined by $e_1$ towards the direction of $e_2$ in a clockwise sense. Both of the vectors $e_1$ and $e_2$ are at angle $\pi/4$ with respect to the $Y$ axis.](image)
overlapping orthogonally polarized laser pulses with a delay \( \tau_p \) between them

\[
\mathbf{E}(t) = E_0 [f(t)\mathbf{e}_1 + f(t-\tau_p)\mathbf{e}_2],
\]

(2)

where \( E_0 \) is the electric field peak amplitude, \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{e}_2 \) are the unit vectors defining the polarizations of the two pulses and are directed along \( \pi/4 \) (second quadrant) and \(-\pi/4\) (first quadrant) with respect to the \( Y \) axis, respectively (see Fig. 1). The envelope of each constituent linearly polarized pulse is given by \( f(t) = \exp[-2\ln(2)(t/\sigma)^2] \), where \( \sigma \) is the full width at half maximum of the laser pulse intensity profile.

Figure 2 shows the time-dependent angular distribution of a molecular ensemble excited by a pair of time-delayed polarization-twisted laser pulses. The first pulse \( P_1 \) is applied at \( t = 0 \), and the second, less intense, pulse \( P_2 \) is applied at \( t = 3 \) ps. For better visibility, we subtract the averaged, between \( t = 1.5 \) ps and \( t = 2.5 \) ps, angular distribution. In the case of Fig. 2(a), both pulses twist in the same clockwise sense (\( \mathbf{e}_1 \to \mathbf{e}_2 \), see Fig. 1). During the interaction with both pulses, the molecules primarily align along the \( Y \) axis (\( \phi = 0 \)), while the shape of the distribution suggests that the molecules follow the polarization twisting and rotate clockwise. The contributions to the ensemble-averaged angular momentum of the two pulses are accumulated in this case, because \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) twist in the same sense. The echo response emerges at twice the delay between \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) (at \( t \approx 6 \) ps), which is a general feature of the echo phenomenon (see the references in Sec. 1). During the echo, molecules transiently align at \( \phi = 0, \pi \) and while remaining aligned, rotate towards \( \phi = \pm \pi/2 \). Then, due to the dispersion of molecular angular velocities, the alignment disappears, and with it the echo response. After an additional delay of 3 ps (at \( t \approx 9 \) ps), a 2nd order echo [13, 17–19, 42] can be observed (not shown here), and a trace of a 3rd order echo can be identified at \( t \approx 12 \) ps (not shown here).

Figure 2(b) shows the echo response for a case when \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) twist in opposite senses: \( P_1 \) twists clockwise (\( \mathbf{e}_1 \to \mathbf{e}_2 \), see Fig. 1) inducing a negative ensemble-averaged angular momentum, while \( P_2 \) twists counterclockwise (\( \mathbf{e}_1 \to -\mathbf{e}_2 \), see Fig. 1) inducing a positive ensemble-averaged angular momentum. In contrast to the case of co-rotating pulses [Fig. 2(a)], the contributions of the two pulses to the ensemble-averaged angular momentum are of opposite signs. After the second pulse, the angular momentum remains negative, because the second pulse is not intense enough to cancel the angular momentum introduced by the first pulse. Interestingly, the echo emerging at \( t \approx 6 \) ps rotates counterclockwise, which is against the ensemble-averaged rotation sense of the ensemble (clockwise sense, corresponding to the average negative angular velocity/momentum, see Appendix A). In the following subsections, we discuss the echo formation mechanism as well as the rotation sense of the molecules forming the echo.

### B. Echo formation: phase space analysis

Following earlier works [11, 17–19], we discuss the echo formation mechanism from the geometrical point of view by considering the phase space dynamics. Figure 3 shows the phase space distribution, \( P(\phi, \omega) \) at different times for the case when both polarization-twisted pulses \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) twist in the same sense [as depicted in Fig. 2(a)]. Figure 3(a) shows that shortly after the first pulse \( P_1 \), the molecules come to alignment along the \( Y \) axis [higher probability (red) around \( \phi = 0, \pi \)]. In this sense, a short polarization-twisted pulse resembles a pulse with a fixed linear polarization along the \( Y \) axis. With time, the phase space distribution develops multiple parallel filaments [see Fig. 3(b)]. As the number of the filaments grow, they become thinner due to the conservation of the phase space volume, and they form a “quasi-quantized” phase space pattern [17–19]. Such filamentation of phase space is a known phenomenon in stellar systems [54] and in accelerator physics [55]. In previous works [17–19], it was shown that the phase space filamentation induced by a single linearly polarized pulse is symmetric with respect to the phase space origin \( (\phi, \omega) = (0, 0) \). As a result, the ensemble-averaged angular velocity/momentum vanishes. Here, in contrast, the polarization-twisted pulse initiates molecular UDR, breaking this symmetry. The total probabilities of finding molecules with positive/negative angular velocity (above/below the horizontal line \( \omega = 0 \)) are unequal.

---

**FIG. 2.** Time-dependent angular distributions for the two cases, (a) both polarization-twisted pulses \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) twist in the same clockwise sense (\( \mathbf{e}_1 \to \mathbf{e}_2 \), see Fig. 1), and (b) \( P_1 \) twists clockwise, while \( P_2 \) twists counterclockwise (\( \mathbf{e}_1 \to -\mathbf{e}_2 \)). Pulses’ parameters: \( \sigma = 38 \) fs, \( \tau_p = 48 \) fs [see Eq. (2)]. Peak intensities of \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are \( 5.0 \times 10^{13} \) W/cm\(^2\) and \( 1.9 \times 10^{13} \) W/cm\(^2\), respectively.
In other words, the ensemble-averaged angular velocity (momentum) is different from zero.

After the interaction with the second polarization-twisted pulse $P_2$ (applied at $t = 3$ ps), every filament shown in Fig. 3(b) folds in a manner similar to Fig. 3(a), including the aforementioned asymmetry with respect to the origin. Then, after an additional delay of 3 ps, at $t \approx 6$ ps, the folded parts of the filaments pile-up at $\phi = 0, \pi$ [see Fig. 3(c)], representing spontaneous molecular alignment along the $Y$ axis. This recurrence (echo) of the molecular alignment happens due to the “quasi-quantization” of the phase space distribution, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The aligned molecules rotate unidirectionally, such that the probability increases near $\phi = \pm \pi/2$ [see Fig. 3(d)].

**C. Echo formation: angular velocity distribution**

In this subsection, we take a closer look at the distribution of the angular velocity, $p(\omega)$ at different times. $p(\omega)$ is the marginal distribution obtained by integrating the phase space distribution with respect to the angle $\phi$, $p(\omega) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P(\phi, \omega) d\phi$. Figure 4(a) shows the distributions, $p(\omega)$ before the application of polarization-twisted pulses, after a single pulse $P_1$, and after both pulses $P_1$ and $P_2$ are applied. Here, $P_1$ and $P_2$ twist in the same clockwise sense ($e_1 \rightarrow e_2$, see Fig. 1), similar to Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 3. Obviously, the fractions of molecules rotating clockwise and counterclockwise are equal before the pulses. After $P_1$ (twisting clockwise), there are more molecules rotating clockwise than counterclockwise ($\int_0^\infty p(\omega) d\omega < \int_{-\infty}^0 p(\omega) d\omega$, see Appendix A). Furthermore, since $P_2$ twists in the same clockwise sense, this imbalance is enhanced after the application of the second pulse. It is evident from Fig. 4(a) that $p(\omega)$ is a slightly asymmetric function of $\omega$. Accordingly, the average angular velocity, $\bar{\omega} = \int \omega p(\omega) d\omega$ vanishes before the pulses, and is negative after a single pulse $P_1$ and after the application of both pulses (see Appendix A). In the case of linearly polarized pulses, the distribution $p(\omega)$ always remains symmetric, and $\bar{\omega} = 0$. To identify the subgroups of molecules contributing to the echo formation, we use the information entropy [56, 57] defined by

$$h(\omega) = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\phi, \omega) \log [g(\phi, \omega)] d\phi,$$

where $g(\phi, \omega) d\phi = P(\phi, \omega) d\phi / p(\omega)$, ($\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\phi, \omega) d\phi = 1$) is the probability of finding a molecule in a small angular interval $d\phi$ around the angle $\phi$ at angular velocity $\omega$. The information entropy $h(\omega)$ quantifies the difference between a given distribution and a uniform distribution.

Figure 4(b) shows the information entropy for the three distributions presented in Fig. 4(a). Note that the angular velocity remains constant during the stages of free evolution (in the absence of external fields). The initial information entropy is constant (and maximum), as expected for the initially uniform angular distribution. After $P_1$, the entropy $h(\omega)$ is lower for negative angular velocities. This means that $P_1$ (twisting clockwise $e_1 \rightarrow e_2$, see Fig. 1) not only induces molecular UDR, but also increases the angular order of molecules with negative angular velocity. The second polarization-twisted pulse $P_2$ twists in the same clockwise sense $e_1 \rightarrow e_2$. The associated information entropy $h(\omega)$ is also shown in Fig. 4(b). This indicates that the second pulse enhances the molecular alignment, consistent with the experimental observations.

In summary, the results presented in this subsection demonstrate the potential of polarization-twisted pulses to control the angular dynamics of molecules, leading to a higher probability of finding molecules aligned along the $Y$ axis. This technique can be applied in various systems to manipulate molecular orientation and alignment.

---

**FIG. 3.** Phase space dynamics. Here, the polarization-twisted pulses $P_1$ and $P_2$ twist in the same clockwise sense ($e_1 \rightarrow e_2$, see Fig. 1) and are applied at $t = 0$ and $t = 3$ ps, respectively. The parameters are the same as for the case shown in Fig. 2(a). (a) Shortly after the first pulse. The phase space distribution shows transient alignment along the $Y$ axis (higher probability near $\phi = 0, \pi$). (b) Filamented phase space just before the second pulse. (c) The moment of alignment echo, higher probability near $\phi = 0, \pi$, just like after the first pulse. (d) The aligned molecules rotate unidirectionally resulting in antialignment phase of the echo (higher probability near $\phi = \pm \pi/2$).
and modulates the distribution of angular velocities [see Fig. 4(a), blue curve], which is reflected in the information entropy. Figure 4(b) shows that after $P_2$, the information entropy develops a series of minima located at $\omega \approx \pm 0.838, \pm 1.885, \pm 2.932$ rad/ps corresponding to subgroups of ordered molecules (their angular distribution differs from the uniform distribution) moving with the characteristic angular velocities.

The contribution to the alignment echo comes mainly from these groups because uniformly distributed molecules do not contribute to the alignment. The difference of angular velocities of the adjacent ordered groups is approximately 1.047 rad/ps, leading to the resynchronization of these groups after $(\pi\text{ rad})/(1.047 \text{ rad/ps}) \approx 3$ ps following $P_2$, in agreement with Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.

As an additional example, we consider the case when the second pulse $P_2$ twists in the opposite sense (counterclockwise $e_1 \rightarrow -e_2$, see Fig. 1). Figure 4(c) shows the resulting angular velocity distribution, while Fig. 4(d) shows the corresponding information entropy. In this case, $P_2$ competes with $P_1$ and tends to rotate the molecules in the opposite direction. Here, $P_1$ has higher intensity, such that on average (including all molecules), the molecules continue rotating clockwise (after both pulses, $\omega < 0$, see Appendix A). The positions of the minima of information entropy in this case are $\omega \approx \pm 0.314, \pm 1.361, \pm 2.408$ rad/ps [see Fig. 4(d)] and are shifted as compared to the minima in the case when both pulses twist in the same sense $|\omega \approx \pm 0.838, \pm 1.885, \pm 2.932$ rad/ps, see Fig. 4(b)]. Besides the shift, the difference of angular velocities between the adjacent minima is the same, 1.047 rad/ps, leading to the echo response after $(\pi\text{ rad})/(1.047 \text{ rad/ps}) \approx 3$ ps, like in the first case [see Fig. 2(b)].

D. Effects of the two pulses on the Molecular UDR echo

Figure 2 shows that the UDR echo follows the twisting sense of the second polarization-twisted pulse $P_2$ irrespective of whether it twists in the same or opposite sense relative to the first polarization-twisted pulse $P_1$. This is counter intuitive since $P_2$ is less intense, and on average, the molecules rotate in the sense determined by $P_1$ (see Appendix A).

Figure 5 shows the angular distributions of molecules with negative and positive angular velocities separately for the two cases shown in Fig. 2. It is evident from Figs. 5(a) and 5(c) that molecules with negative angular velocities come to alignment and collectively rotate clockwise during the echo at $t \approx 6$ ps. Likewise, Figs. 5(b) and 5(d) show that molecules with positive angular velocities come to alignment and rotate counterclockwise during the echo. In addition, Fig. 5 suggests that the second polarization-twisted pulse $P_2$ enhances the alignment and accelerates the molecules whose sense of rotation matches the sense of polarization twisting of $P_2$.

Having a relatively high alignment factor, these molecules determine the behavior during the echo.

The described molecular UDR echoes are in sharp contrast to the molecular alignment echoes induced by a sequence of linearly polarized pulses [17–19]. In case of excitation by linearly polarized pulses, the distribution of angular velocities always remains symmetric, such that during the echo, the aligned molecules do not rotate with a preferred sense.

III. EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL METHODS

A. Experimental Setup

The measurements were performed in the ultrahigh vacuum chamber of a COLTRIMS apparatus [48, 49]. A sequence of laser pulses is focused by a concave silver mirror with a focal length of $f = 75$ mm on a supersonic gas jet of $N_2O$ molecules. The laser pulses are produced by a multipass amplifier Ti:sapphire laser system (800 nm, 10 kHz, 25 fs). In our experiments, we induce molecular UDR using polarization-twisted laser pulses. The pulses are generated following the polarization shaping technique introduced in Ref. [40]. Briefly, a linearly polarized pulse passes through a multiple order wave plate (MOWP) splitting it into two orthogonally polarized time-delayed (but overlapping) pulses [see the setup in Fig. 6(a)]. The electric field of the resulting laser pulse can be described by [40]

$$
E = E_s (t - \tau) \cos(\omega t - \Delta \varphi) e_s, \\
+ E_f (t) \cos(\omega t) e_f
$$

(4)
where $\omega_1$ is the central angular frequency, $\tau_p$ is the time shift between the crossed pulses and can be adjusted by MOWP, $e_s$ and $e_f$ are the unit vectors along the slow ($-\pi/4$ with respect to the $Y$ axis) and fast ($\pi/4$ with respect to the $Y$ axis) axes, respectively. Here, the total relative phase $\Delta \phi$ is defined by $\Delta \phi = \omega_1 \tau_p + \varphi_p$, where $\varphi_p$ is the phase difference caused and controlled by Berek compensator (BC). As depicted in Fig. 6(b), a total of three femtosecond laser pulses are employed in the experiments: polarization-twisted pulses $P_1$, $P_2$, and the circularly polarized probe pulse. The pulse $P_2$ follows $P_1$ with a 3 ps delay and induces the molecular UDR echo after an additional delay of 3 ps. The electric field of both $P_1$ and $P_2$ is approximately $38 \text{ fs}$ [see Eq. (4)]. To explore the time-dependent evolution of molecular dynamics, the probe delay (measured from $P_1$) is scanned from 0 to 49 ps. Since the molecular rotational period is much longer than the time scale of the Coulomb explosion process, the orientation of the molecular axis can be recovered from the reconstructed 3D-momentum vectors of the ions. Based on the experimentally measured translation temperature of the molecules [19], the upper limit of the rotational temperature of N$_2$O molecules in our experiments is estimated to be $\approx 75 \text{ K}$.

### B. Numerical methods

In what follows, we simulate the echo dynamics under the experimental conditions. For this, we model the laser-driven molecular rotation in three dimensions. Both classical and quantum mechanical formalisms are used, and the results are compared to the experiments.

**Classical simulation.** An efficient strategy for simulating the rotational dynamics of an ensemble of rigid bodies relies on the Monte Carlo approach. A sample consisting of $N$ molecules is propagated in time, and the appropriate observables are obtained by evaluating the averages over the sample. Generally, the motion of each member of the sample is obtained by solving the Euler’s equations [58]. The absolute orientation in space is parameterized by quaternions [59, 60], which are generalized complex numbers having four components. This approach was used in our previous works [61, 62], where the full description of the method is provided. Here, we apply the scheme to the linear molecules and model the electric field of the laser pulses by Eq. (2).

**Quantum simulation.** The Hamiltonian describing the rotation of a linear molecule and its interaction with an external field is given by [50–52]

$$\hat{H}(t) = B\hat{J}(\hat{J} + 1) + V(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $B = \hbar^2/(2I)$ is the rotational constant and the interaction with the electric field of a non-resonant laser pulse is given by $V(t) = -(\Delta\alpha/4)\sin^2 \theta [E_Y(t)\cos(\phi) + E_Z(t)\sin(\phi)]^2$. Here $E_Y$ and $E_Z$ are the envelopes of the field components along the $Y$ and $Z$ axes, respectively, $\theta$ and $\phi$ are the polar and azimuthal angles of the molecular axis with respect to the laboratory-fixed $X$ and $Y$ axes. The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is expressed in the basis of eigenfunctions $|J,M\rangle$ of the angular momentum operator $\hat{J}$, where $J$ and $M$ are the total angular momentum and its projection on the $X$ axis. The time-dependent Schrödinger equation $i\hbar\partial_t |\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t)|\Psi(t)\rangle$ is solved by numerical exponentiation (see Expokit...
In addition, the angular distribution \( P_{J,M}(\theta,\phi,t) = |\sum_{J,M} C_{J,M}(t) Y_{J,M}(\theta,\phi)|^2 \) is calculated for each initial state \( |\Psi(t = 0)\rangle = |J_0, M_0\rangle \), where \( C_{J,M}(t) = \langle J,M|\Psi(t)\rangle \) is the projection coefficient and \( Y_{J,M}(\theta,\phi) \) is the spherical harmonic function. The final probability distribution is obtained by weighted averaging with the Boltzmann weight.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL RESULTS

In our experiments, we use linear \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) molecules, due to their relatively long revival period \( T_{\text{rev}} = 39.9 \) ps [64] and the absence of \( 1/4 \) revival. This provides an extended observation window, of \( T_{\text{rev}}/2 \approx 20 \) ps, for inducing and observing the molecular UDR echo phenomenon. As described in the Experimental Setup (Sec. III A), molecules are excited by a pair of time-delayed polarization-twisted laser pulses which are followed by a strong circularly polarized probe pulse, inducing Coulomb explosion. Here, the double ionization channel \( (\text{N}_2\text{O} + n\hbar\omega \rightarrow \text{N}^+ + \text{NO}^+ + 2e^-) \) is selected to reproduce the direction of the molecular axis based on the relative momentum between the \( \text{N}^+ \) and \( \text{NO}^+ \) fragments originating from the same parent molecule. Using the described pump-probe method, the evolution of the rotational wave packet of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) is reconstructed.

Figure 7 shows the time-resolved distribution of azimuthal angle \( \phi \) in the \( YZ \) plane (measured with respect to the \( Y \) axis). The distribution is normalized at each time step and a background signal is subtracted. The background signal is obtained by averaging the angular distribution over the range of delays between \( \tau = 1.5 \) ps and \( \tau = 2.5 \) ps. As shown in Fig. 6(b), the polarization of \( P_1 \) twists clockwise \( (\mathbf{e}_f \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_s) \), when observed along the negative \( X \) axis) from \( \pi/4 \) to \( -\pi/4 \) with respect to the \( Y \) axis, inducing molecular UDR in the plane of polarization twisting \( (YZ \) plane). Accordingly, the angular distribution plotted in Fig. 7(a) exhibits the typical features of molecular UDR, namely the probability density focuses near \( \pi/4 \) \((-3\pi/4) \) and then moves towards \( -\pi/4 \) \((3\pi/4) \). Due to the dispersion of molecular angular velocities, the angular distribution becomes isotropic once again around \( \tau \approx 1.5 \) ps. A second, weaker polarization-twisted pulse \( P_2 \), twisting in the same sense as \( P_1 \), interacts with \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) at \( \tau = 3 \) ps, producing an immediate response similar to that following \( P_1 \). At twice the delay \( (\tau = 6 \) ps), the molecular UDR echo appears. The echo rotates clockwise, which can be clearly seen in the polar plots for the consecutive probe delays \( \tau = 6.05, 6.35, 6.65 \) ps shown in Figs. 7(b)-7(d).

Numerically calculated angular distributions (both classical and quantum) are in good agreement with the experimental results and display similar molecular UDR echo. The clockwise sense of molecular UDR echo is consistent with the twisting direction of the two pulses \( P_{1,2} \) and is in agreement with the qualitative picture presented in Section II.

We continue with the analysis of long-term behavior and compare it with the results of quantum numerical simulation. As shown in Fig. 8, the half and full revivals induced by the polarization-twisted pulses \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are marked as \( n T_{\text{rev}}^{(1)} \) and \( n T_{\text{rev}}^{(2)} \) \((n = 1/2 \) or \( 1) \), respectively. In addition, the echo caused by half and full revivals are marked as “\(+1/2 \) Echo” and “\(+1 \) Echo”, and are

![Figure 7](image1.png)

**FIG. 7.** (a) Experimentally measured time-dependent angular distribution as a function of time for the case when both polarization-twisted pulses \( P_{1,2} \) twist in a clockwise sense from \( \pi/4 \) to \( -\pi/4 \). (b-d) Polar plots of the experimentally measured (green lines), simulated classically (blue lines), and quantum mechanically (red lines) molecular angular distribution during the UDR echo at (b) \( \tau = 6.05 \) ps, (c) \( \tau = 6.35 \) ps, and (d) \( \tau = 6.65 \) ps.
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**FIG. 8.** (a,b) Experimentally measured and (c,d) calculated (quantum mechanically) time-dependent angular distributions for the case shown in Fig. 7.
FIG. 9. Experimentally measured time-dependent angular distributions of the polar angle $\theta$ for the case shown in Fig. 7.

visible at $\tau \approx 26$ ps and $\tau \approx 46$ ps, respectively. Both the experimental and simulated results show that the molecules coming to alignment during the revival and UDR echo rotate clockwise, which is in the same sense as the twisting of both polarization-twisted pulses $P_1$ and $P_2$ and it is similar to the behavior observed during the first echo (at $\tau \approx 6$ ps).

Figure 9 reveals a more comprehensive picture of the rotational dynamics. In addition to the distribution of the azimuthal angle $\phi$ confined to the plane of polarization twisting, we consider the time-dependent angular distribution of the polar angle $\theta$. During the interaction with the polarization-twisted pulses $P_1, P_2$, the N$_2$O molecules are pulled towards the YZ plane so that the distribution of polar angle $\theta$ centers around $\theta = \pi/2$. The same behavior of the polar angle $\theta$ is reproduced during the molecular UDR echoes, at $\tau \approx 6, 26, 46$ ps. To summarize, both degrees of freedom, $\theta$ and $\phi$, demonstrate the echo phenomenon.

In the first experiment (Fig. 7), the twisting sense of the two polarization-twisted pulses $P_1$ and $P_2$ was the same, leading to a molecular UDR echo which rotates in the same sense. In the second experiment, the sense of polarization twisting of $P_2$ was reversed and it was set to twist counterclockwise from $\phi = \pi/4$ to $3\pi/4$ [see Fig. 6(c)]. The angular distributions at $\tau \approx 6$ ps (around the echo time) show a molecular UDR echo rotating in the counterclockwise sense, from $\pi/4 (3\pi/4)$ to $3\pi/4 (\pi/4)$, as shown in Fig. 10. In other words, the rotation sense of the UDR echo follows the polarization twisting of the second polarization-twisted pulse $P_2$. This observation is completely consistent with qualitative analysis presented in Sec. II.

Finally, we would like to point out a slight difference between the results of the classical 2D simulation presented in Fig. 2(b) and the results of the experiment and the 3D simulations presented in Fig. 10. Notice that in Fig. 2(b) at the beginning of the echo event (at $t \approx 5.5$ ps), the molecules come to alignment at $\phi = 0, \pi$, while in Fig. 10 the alignment starts at $\phi = \pm \pi/2$ (just like after the second polarization-twisted pulse). This fine difference between the 2D model and fully three dimensional classical/quantum simulations is discussed in detail in Appendix B.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We report the observation of molecular UDR echoes. In contrast to previous studies on molecular alignment echoes utilizing linearly polarized pulses, here the polarization-twisted pulses deliver a non-zero average angular momentum to the molecular ensemble. This added angular momentum causes a unique UDR echo dynamics, where the molecules align during the echo, and while being aligned also rotate in unison in a preferred sense. We observe experimentally and confirm it theoretically, that the sense of the molecular UDR echo can be controlled by choosing the sense of polarization twisting of the second pump pulse $P_2$.

For the first measurement of the effect presented here, we utilized the powerful COLTRIMS technique, which provides full spatio-temporal information about the molecular dynamics. In principle, the molecular UDR echoes can also be studied by robust all-optical techniques. For example, a purely optical technique was recently demonstrated [65], allowing to detect not only the optical birefringence developing during alignment, but also the sense of molecular UDR echo induced by the polarization-twisted pulses.

Since their discovery, molecular rotation echoes were
successfully used in studies of molecular relaxation in condensed gases [29–32]. UDR echoes may open new avenues in studying the kinetics of dense gases of highly excited UDR molecules, which were shown to exhibit unique collisional dynamics and non-trivial relaxation paths to thermal equilibrium [66, 67].
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Appendix A: Comparing groups of clockwise and counterclockwise rotating molecules

![Image of graphs comparing population difference and average angular velocity](image-url)

**FIG. 11.** (a) Time-dependent population difference between molecules with negative and positive angular velocities, \( \Delta P = \int_{-\infty}^{0} p(\omega) d\omega - \int_{0}^{\infty} p(\omega) d\omega \) and (b) average angular velocity, \( \langle \omega \rangle = \int \omega p(\omega) d\omega \) for the two cases shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 11 shows the population difference and the average angular velocity of clockwise and counterclockwise rotating molecules calculated using the 2D model [Eq. (1)]. Before the pulses, the distribution of angular velocities is symmetric. As a result, the populations of molecules with negative and positive angular velocities are equal, and the average angular velocity is zero. The polarization direction of the first pulse twists clockwise, leading to a population difference between molecules with negative and positive angular velocities \( \Delta P = 0.052\% \), as well as non-zero average angular velocity, \( \langle \omega \rangle = -0.0253 \) rad/ps. When the second pulse also twists clockwise, the population difference \( \Delta P = 0.112\% \) and the absolute value of average angular velocity \( \langle \omega \rangle = -0.0290 \) rad/ps remain the same sign, as after the first pulse (absolute values are decreased). Therefore, in both cases, the molecules rotate on average (including all molecules) clockwise after the application of the two pulses.

Appendix B: Analysis of the slight difference between the results of 2D and 3D simulations

In this appendix, we consider more closely the difference between the results of the classical 2D simulation presented in Fig. 2(b) and the results of the experiment and 3D simulations presented in Fig. 10. The molecular alignment during the UDR echo obtained using the 2D model is rotated relative to the alignment during the application of the second polarization-twisted pulse. Similar “rotated echoes” were observed in [20], where two linearly polarized pump pulses were used to induce alignment echoes. The pulses were polarized at different angles and the alignment echo appeared rotated by twice the relative angle between the pulses. To check this and further analyze the difference between the results of 2D and 3D simulations, we substitute one of the polarization-twisted pulses by a linearly polarized pulse.

Figure 12 shows the angular distributions obtained using the 2D model [Eq. (1)], fully three dimensional classical, and quantum simulations. Results for two cases are presented: (i) On the left side–the first pulse is linearly polarized along the \( Y \) axis, while the second pulse is polarization-twisted, and (ii) On the right side–the first pulse is polarization-twisted, while the second pulse is linearly polarized at an angle to the \( Y \) axis. The pulses’ parameters are the same as in the experiments (see Fig. 7). In both cases, molecular UDR echo appears at twice the delay between the pulses, and it is qualitatively similar. In addition, regardless of whether the polarization-twisted pulse is applied first or second, the molecular UDR echo rotates in the same sense as the polarization twisting.

The results obtained using the 2D model once again differ from the results of 3D simulations. Figs. 12(a) and 12(d) show that the location of the initial alignment during the UDR echo is rotated by an additional angle when compared to the results of fully 3D classical and quantum simulations. To summarize, although the 2D model predicts the existence of rotated echo, this prediction is not verified in the more accurate 3D
FIG. 12. On the left: time-dependent angular distributions calculated using (a) the 2D model [Eq. (1)], (b) fully three-dimensional classical, and (c) quantum simulations for the case when a linearly polarized pulse is applied first ($P_1$), and it is followed by a polarization-twisted pulse ($P_2$). The pulse parameters are the same as the case shown in Fig. 7. Linearly polarized pulse $P_1$ is polarized along the $Y$ axis and $P_2$ twists clockwise from $\pi/12$ to $\pi/12$. On the right: Time-dependent angular distributions calculated using (d) the 2D model [Eq. (1)], (e) fully three-dimensional classical, and (f) quantum simulations for the case when a polarization-twisted pulse is applied first ($P_1$), and it is followed by a linearly polarized pulse ($P_2$). $P_1$ twists clockwise from $\pi/4$ to $-\pi/4$, while $P_2$ is polarized along $\pi/3$ with respect to the $Y$ axis.

simulations/experiment. Therefore, we conclude that when at least one of the pulses used to induce the UDR echo is polarization-twisted, the echo is not “rotated”.
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