Data-driven discovery of cardiolipin-selective small molecules by computational active learning†
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Subtle variations in the lipid composition of mitochondrial membranes can have a profound impact on mitochondrial function. The inner mitochondrial membrane contains the phospholipid cardiolipin, which has been demonstrated to act as a biomarker for a number of diverse pathologies. Small molecule dyes capable of selectively partitioning into cardiolipin membranes enable visualization and quantification of the cardiolipin content. Here we present a data-driven approach that combines a deep learning-enabled active learning workflow with coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations and alchemical free energy calculations to discover small organic compounds able to selectively permeate cardiolipin-containing membranes. By employing transferable coarse-grained models we efficiently navigate the all-atom design space corresponding to small organic molecules with molecular weight less than = 500 Da. After direct simulation of only 0.42% of our coarse-grained search space we identify molecules with considerably increased levels of cardiolipin selectivity compared to a widely used cardiolipin probe 10-N-nonyl acridine orange. Our accumulated simulation data enables us to derive interpretable design rules linking coarse-grained structure to cardiolipin selectivity. The findings are corroborated by fluorescence anisotropy measurements of two compounds conforming to our defined design rules. Our findings highlight the potential of coarse-grained representations and multiscale modelling for materials discovery and design.

1 Introduction

Mitochondria are double-membrane-bound organelles found in most eukaryotic cells (Fig. 1a). Their principal function is to generate the cell’s supply of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), the main source of chemical energy used to drive biochemical reactions.1 Through their key role in bioenergetics, mitochondria play an essential part in controlling cell proliferation, and they are involved in cell signaling and the activation of apoptosis.2–4 The inner mitochondrial (IMM) membrane partitions the intermembrane space from the matrix and contains the membrane-bound ATP synthase proteins responsible for ATP generation. The composition of this membrane includes approximately 20% cardiolipin (CL) (Fig. 1b), a phospholipid comprising four acyl chains that is exclusively found in energy-generating membranes.5,6 Abnormalities in CL composition of inner mitochondrial membranes are linked to pathologies including Barth syndrome, Tangier disease, heart failure, and neurodegeneration.5,6

The CL content of a membrane can be experimentally assayed using molecular stains to visualize and quantify the presence of CL. A primary challenge in the molecular design of cardiolipin-selective small molecules7 is the high degree of structural similarity between CL and other common phospholipids. The most chemically similar phospholipid is phosphatidylglycerol (PG) (Fig. 1c), a precursor of which CL is essentially a dimer.8–10 Apart from its role in the CL synthesis pathway, PG is prevalent in bacterial membranes and a minor constituent of eukaryotic membranes.11 There are two principal differences in the CL and PG headgroups: CL possesses two chemically distinct phosphatidyl moieties whereas PG has only one, and PG possesses two hydroxyl groups while CL retains only one. The dianionic CL structure thereby stands out as the main difference to the monoanionic PG. A number of selective probes have been described for the purpose of detecting CL,12–17 including the fluorescent dye 10-N-nonyl acridine orange (NAO) (Fig. 1d),12,13 but the degree to which these probes are optimally selective for CL relative to PG is not clear.13,18–23

Engineering selectivity into a molecular probe requires manipulation of the structure and physicochemical properties...
Because transferable coarse-grained models rely on a maximized thermodynamic preference of the molecule for CL models, and Bayesian optimization. As our objective function, we use a combination of high-throughput virtual screening approaches based on coarse-grained molecular dynamics and alchemical free energy calculations to measure the thermodynamic preference \( \Delta G \) of a particular candidate molecule for a CL membrane relative to a PG membrane. We discover 242 compounds with up to 184% superior predicted thermodynamic selectivity for CL membranes relative to NAO.

The discovery of high performing compounds after sampling only 0.42% of the CG molecular design space exploits the power of the active learning protocol to efficiently guide sampling towards the most promising regions of chemical space to explore. Our molecular discovery platform also identifies human-interpretable design principles that furnish both novel molecular designs and promote new understanding of the subtle physicochemical molecular properties that lead to high CL selectivity. Specifically, post hoc analysis of the simulation data accumulated over the course of our active learning process enables us to identify chemical motifs that determine CL selectivity by building a sparsity-enforced structure-property regression model. We validate our computational model by performing experimental fluorescence anisotropy measurements of CL selectivity for two molecules selected according to our learned design rules and observe good concordance with our computational predictions. Our computational discovery platform is generically transferable to other molecular engineering applications by modular substitution of the selectivity assay for other addressable properties of interest.

## 2 Computational methods

### 2.1 Overview

We develop and deploy a computational screening active learning cycle for the data-driven discovery of small molecule probes highly selective to CL membranes (Fig. 2). In a nutshell, we (i) conduct coarse-grained molecular dynamics (CGMD) simulations and alchemical free energy calculations to measure the thermodynamic preference \( \Delta G \) of a particular candidate molecule for a CL membrane relative to a PG membrane, (ii) build supervised regression models over a learned low-dimensional latent space to predict the performance of new compounds that have not yet been simulated, and (iii) apply Bayesian optimization to the trained regression models to identify the next most promising compounds to submit for computational screening. After running the active learning cycle for several rounds, we analyze the learned models in order to extract the physicochemical design rules underpinning the observed performance of the simulated candidates. We provide below the methodological details of each component of this computational workflow. A more comprehensive description of the theoretical bases and numerical implementations of these approaches is provided in the ESI.
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the study of membrane organization and dynamics, a good balance between chemical accuracy and computational efficiency. The Martini CG force field has been widely used in the study of membrane organization and dynamics,\textsuperscript{34–38} drug-membrane permeability,\textsuperscript{34–38} and membrane–protein interactions.\textsuperscript{39–43} More recently it has been shown that even coarser models, going as low as five bead types, represent the underlying physical properties comparably well while facilitating more thorough coverage of chemical compound space by reducing the combinatorial complexity.\textsuperscript{25} We created a 5 + 1 bead-type CG model through extending the five bead-type 5 + 0 reduced Martini model\textsuperscript{23} by one charged bead-type to fully represent the candidate small molecule probes. The additional charged bead-type represents single positive or negative charges (Q0±). We offset this increase in complexity by removing the two non-polar bead types representing only hydrogen bond donor or acceptor properties (T3d, T3a), as the reduced Martini model already contains a nonpolar hydrogen bond donor- and acceptor bead-type (T3) that represents both interaction types simultaneously. The complete 5 + 1 model comprises the set of five neutral bead types ordered by descending polarity and one charged bead type \{T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, Q0±\} as illustrated in Fig. S1 in the ESI.\textsuperscript{†} This reduced model allowed us to explore the chemical compound space more efficiently compared to the 14 + 4 interaction type scheme applied in Martini.\textsuperscript{27} The combinatorial candidate space of compounds less than 500 Da was defined by constructing all plausible molecular graphs containing five or fewer 5 + 1 beads, resulting in 124 327 candidate compounds.

2.2 Coarse-grained molecular design space

The molecular design space for our computational screening is formed from organic molecules with molecular weights less than or equal to 500 Da. We impose this upper weight threshold in order to promote high mobility and diffusion into and through membranes.\textsuperscript{7} No atom types are excluded from our design space. The number of molecules satisfying these criteria is \(\sim 10^{30}\), motivating the use of approximations and simplifications to efficiently screen this subset of chemical space. To this end, we employ the coarse-grained (CG) Martini 2 molecular model, that both greatly reduces the cost of our molecular simulations and significantly reduces the size of chemical compound space by grouping molecules into a smaller number of CG representations.\textsuperscript{26–28} The Martini model was parameterized against thermodynamic data to generate 14 neutral and four charged CG bead types (14 + 4 bead-type model) representing most physicochemical interactions relevant in biomolecular settings. This building-block approach allows the rapid generation of new representations without requiring individual reparameterization and provides a good balance between chemical accuracy and computational efficiency. The Martini CG force field has been widely used in the study of membrane organization and dynamics,\textsuperscript{26–31} drug-membrane permeability,\textsuperscript{34–38} and membrane–protein interactions.\textsuperscript{39–43}
2.3 Coarse-grained molecular dynamics (CGMD) simulations

We constructed CL and PG membranes comprising 98 and 118 lipid molecules, respectively, using the CHARMM-GUI Martini maker. The membranes were solvated in 3287 water particles for CL and 1754 water particles for PG. A sufficient number of sodium ions (Na\(^+\)) to maintain charge neutrality were added: two Na\(^+\) ions per CL headgroup since the double negative charged CL model was chosen, and one Na\(^+\) ion per PG headgroup. The CL and PG phospholipid membranes were represented using the Martini 2 force field, water using the refined parameters for polarizable water, and ions using the polarizable ion model. Coarse grained molecular dynamics (CGMD) simulations were conducted using GROMACS 2018.6 (ref. 48) implementing the standard Martini run parameters introduced for GPU acceleration. Full details of the force field and run parameters are reported in the ESI.†

2.4 Alchemical free energy calculations

We evaluate CG candidate molecules based on their thermodynamic affinity for a CL membrane environment relative to a PG membrane. It is our goal to maximize the affinity of the probe for CL and simultaneously minimize its affinity for PG in order to maximize CL selectivity. It is computationally intractable to consider all possible competing phospholipid environments in our molecular screen, so we adopt PG as the negative design target as the most chemically similar phospholipid to CL and therefore the most challenging target for negative design. We quantify selectivity by computing the relative partitioning free energy \(\Delta G\) for each candidate molecule between the transfer free energy from the water phase to the interface region of a PG membrane (\(\Delta G_{W\rightarrow i}^{CL}\)) and the same transfer for a CL membrane (\(\Delta G_{W\rightarrow i}^{PG}\)).

\[
\Delta G = \Delta G_{W\rightarrow i}^{CL} - \Delta G_{W\rightarrow i}^{PG}.\tag{1}
\]

We focus on the water–membrane interface since it is the water-facing lipid headgroups that are the site of the primary chemical difference between CL and PG, and therefore the region of interest for engineering target selectivity.

All free energies are calculated using CGMD alchemical free energy calculations, using the MBAR method with tools provided by the pymbar package. Full details of the calculation procedure are reported in the ESI.† Calculation of \(\Delta G\) for a single candidate molecule requires approximately ~24 GPU h for an uncharged molecule and ~48 GPU h for a charged molecule on a single NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPU card. Exhaustive simulation of all 124 327 candidate molecules would therefore require ~4.8 M GPU h conservatively assuming an average simulation time per molecule of ~36 GPU h. To reduce the overall computational cost, we adopted a three-step hierarchy for the calculations that enables early exit for unviable candidate molecules. Only candidates that meet our minimum requirements of easily partitioning into and aligning with the interface region of the PG membrane are subjected to the most computationally expensive calculations in the CL membrane.

2.4.1. Assessment of interfacial preference in PG. In the first step, we perform alchemical transformations to compute the free-energy difference \(\Delta G^{PG}\) of transferring the candidate molecule from a vacuum reference state to the interface of the PG membrane, (Fig. 2a and ESI Fig. S2(1)†). The cumulative probability of the positions of the compound along the membrane normal, \(z\), is evaluated over the trajectory to determine whether a candidate molecule inserted into the membrane will preferentially position itself at the interface (ESI, Fig. S7(1)†). If it spontaneously moves astray toward the hydrophobic membrane midplane or water phase, we declare the candidate “non-interfacial.” For computational efficiency, we assume that this determination can be made by considering only PG membranes so we do not repeat this calculation in CL. We eliminate non-interfacial candidates from further consideration since they do not preferentially reside near the phospholipid headgroups where CL and PG are most chemically differentiated.

2.4.2. Calculation of PG transfer free energies. For candidate structures deemed interfacial, the second step consists of alchemical free-energy calculations in bulk liquids: water (\(\Delta G^{W}\)) and octane (\(\Delta G^{O}\)). The two environments are used to evaluate the transfer free energies from vacuum into the water phase and the membrane midplane, respectively (Fig. 2a and ESI Fig. S2 and S7(2)†). In line with Menichetti et al., Fig. S6 in the ESI† shows that octane acts as an excellent proxy for the membrane midplane environment, which additionally offers significant computational savings. The free-energy differences \(\Delta G^{PG}\), \(\Delta G^{W}\), and \(\Delta G^{O}\) allow us to calculate the transfer free energies \(\Delta G^{PG}_{W\rightarrow i} = \Delta G^{PG} - \Delta G^{W}\) from the water phase to the interface and \(\Delta G^{PG}_{O\rightarrow i} = \Delta G^{PG} - \Delta G^{O}\) from the midplane to the interface. Negative values for both \(\Delta G^{PG}_{W\rightarrow i}\) and \(\Delta G^{PG}_{O\rightarrow i}\) confirm a spontaneous insertion of the candidate at the PG interface.

2.4.3. Calculation of CL transfer free energies. If the previous bulk simulations confirm the interfacial nature of the compound, we perform a final set of alchemical transformations. We compute the transfer free energy of the compound from vacuum to the interface of the CL membrane \(\Delta G^{CL}\) (ESI, Fig. S2 and S7(3)†). We then use this value to compute the CL transfer free energies \(\Delta G^{CL}_{W\rightarrow i} = \Delta G^{CL} - \Delta G^{W}\) from the water phase to the interface and \(\Delta G^{CL}_{O\rightarrow i} = \Delta G^{CL} - \Delta G^{O}\) from the midplane to the interface. We now have all the ingredients to quantify the compound’s thermodynamic preference for the CL membrane relative to its PG counterpart, \(\Delta G = \Delta G^{CL}_{W\rightarrow i} - \Delta G^{PG}_{W\rightarrow i}\). Larger negative values of the transfer free-energy difference \(\Delta G\) are indicative of stronger thermodynamic selectivity for CL relative to PG.

2.5 Chemical space embedding

Each of the 124 327 CG molecular candidates in the design space is represented as a molecular graph composed of up to five beads selected from the possible six bead types and different charge states of the \(5 + 1\) model \{T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, Q0\}. The nodes of the graph represent the identity of each bead in the molecule and the edges capture the connectivity of the beads within the CG topology. Performing molecular design
and optimization directly over the discrete molecular design space has been demonstrated using kernel-based methods.54–57 Here, we choose to project candidate molecules into a learned, smooth, low-dimensional, and continuous embedding. This approach renders our design space amenable to the construction of low-dimensional and robust surrogate models and the use of off-the-shelf Bayesian optimization algorithms.58,59 We learn an appropriate latent space embedding in a data-driven fashion by training a regularized autoencoder (RAE),60 a deterministic adaptation of the variational autoencoder (VAE) architecture,61 over the corpus of 124 327 CG molecular graphs (Fig. 2b). The encoder-decoder architecture is composed of a message passing neural network encoder and a permutation-invariant graph decoder.62–64 The network accepts graph-structured molecular representations where the nodes are featured with one-hot representations of the bead type and the charge state. The edge between two nodes relies on the corresponding Lennard-Jones 6–12 interaction parameter. It is the objective of the RAE encoder to learn a smooth and continuous latent representation containing the salient information about the input graphs from which the decoder can accurately reconstruct (i.e., auto-encode) the same graph. We achieve good reconstruction performance employing a 16-dimensional bottleneck layer between the encoder and decoder that defines our latent space dimensionality and representation, and within which we define measures of proximity between candidates and construct our surrogate models and perform global optimization via active learning. Although RAES are generative models that when trained on open data sets are in principle capable of producing never-before-seen reconstructions, our model operates within a fully enumerated and fixed molecular design space such that we do not require this generative functionality. Rather, upon completing training, the decoder is discarded and the encoder alone is purposed for dimensionality reduction mapping our 124 327 candidate design space into a continuous embedding for downstream application within our active learning workflow. RAE models were constructed and trained using PyTorch.65 The RAE was trained only once over all 124 327 candidate molecules prior to commencing active learning. Training required ~36 GPU h on a single NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPU card. Full details of the network architecture and training are provided in the ESI.†

2.6 Active learning

The primary goal of our active learning cycle is to efficiently discover CG compounds within the 124 327-member candidate space with high selectivity for CL membranes (i.e., large negative $\Delta \Delta G$). The high computational cost to measure the selectivity of each candidate precludes an exhaustive traversal of these candidates and we must employ a more computationally efficient search strategy. We achieve this by iterating between targeted CGMD simulations of promising candidates designed using a surrogate model relating molecular structure to CL selectivity $\Delta \Delta G$ and the training and interrogation of these surrogate models constructed over the 16-dimensional RAE latent space. To ensure broad initial coverage of the molecular design space, we seed the first round of active learning by conducting CGMD simulations of 100 compounds residing closest to the centroids of a 100-cluster $k$-means partitioning of the latent space.70 We then trained a Gaussian process regression (GPR) surrogate model with a Gaussian kernel to learn a mapping from the 16-dimensional latent space coordinates to the calculated $\Delta \Delta G$. The trained model was then applied to predict the $\Delta \Delta G$ values for all remaining 124, 327 – 100 = 124, 227 compounds in the design space along with the predicted model uncertainties. The predictions of the surrogate GPR model were then interfaced with a Bayesian Optimization (BO) framework using the Expected Improvement (EI) acquisition function and kriging believer batched sampling* to identify a 60-molecule batch of candidates with the most promising (i.e., lowest) values of $\Delta \Delta G$ (Fig. 2c). Importantly, the EI acquisition function accounts for both the value of the GPR predictions and its estimated uncertainties, enabling the BO-directed active learning search to direct inquiry towards both regions of design space with favorable predictions (exploitation) and under-explored regions with high uncertainties (exploration). This batch of 60 compounds is then subjected to CGMD free-energy calculations to evaluate their associated $\Delta \Delta G$ values and the cycle repeats. Each round of active learning involves updating the training dataset based on all accumulated simulation data, refitting the GPR to predict out-of-training sample $\Delta \Delta G$ selectivity, and BO selection of the most promising compounds to simulate next (Fig. 2a–c). Convergence of the active learning cycle is monitored by tracking the distribution of $\Delta \Delta G$ values measured in each round, and the process is terminated when multiple consecutive rounds fail to identify new best performing candidates. As detailed below, we compute $\Delta \Delta G$ values for a total of $N = 439$ interfacial molecular candidates.

2.7 Inference of design rules

After completing the active learning search, we analyzed the collected library of $\Delta \Delta G$ values to extract human-interpretable design rules linking the presence or absence of particular CG structural motifs to the calculated values of the transfer free energy (Fig. 2d). We constructed these interpretable models by decomposing each CG topology as a distribution of relative subgraph frequencies and then performed sparse LASSO regression to rank the most impactful subgraph motifs. We begin by enumerating $k = 1608$ topologically unique subgraphs with 1–5 CG beads that are contained within the $N = 439$ interfacial compounds. Each CG topology is then featureized as a length-$k$ vector of subgraph frequencies, reweighted to account for the over counting of smaller subgraphs necessarily contained within larger subgraphs, and normalized to unit length.71 Assembling these featureizations into a normalized frequency matrix $F$ ∈ IR$^{N \times 439}$. $(k=1608)$ we adopt a simple and interpretable linear model for predicting transfer free energy based on these relative subgraph frequencies,

$$\Delta \Delta G_{\text{predicted}}(s) = \Delta \Delta G_{\text{mean}} + \sum_{k=1}^{1608} \theta_i F_{n,k}.$$
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where the regression coefficients $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 6}$ assign weights to the different subgraph frequencies. The coefficients $\theta$ are learned by minimizing the LASSO regression loss between the predicted and the calculated free energies, $\Delta \Delta G_{\text{predicted}}, \Delta \Delta G_{\text{ref}}$, respectively. The resulting expression yields

$$L(\Delta \Delta G_{\text{predicted}}, \Delta \Delta G_{\text{ref}}; \theta, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta \Delta G_{\text{predicted}} - \Delta \Delta G_{\text{ref}})^2 + \alpha\|\theta\|_1,$$

(3)

where $\alpha$ is the $L_1$ regularization weight promoting model sparsity. As $\alpha \to \infty$, the $L_1$ regularization penalty in eqn (3) dominates corresponding to the null model where $\theta = 0$, but as $\alpha \to 0$ nonzero elements progressively accumulate within $\theta$ corresponding to the participation of more subgraph motifs into the regression model. This $L_1$ regularization serves to prevent overfitting by identifying and retaining only a small number of the most generalizable features represented in our training dataset. The optimal value for $\alpha$ is selected using cross-validation (ESI, Fig. S19†) and the learned nonzero weights in $\theta$ can be interpreted as the most critical subgraph motifs for transfer free-energy prediction. Further, the linear nature of eqn (2) admits a simple interpretation to the sign of the learned transfer free-energy prediction. Further, the linear nature of eqn (2) can be interpreted as the most critical subgraph motifs for poor cardiolipin selectivity. Analyzing a rank-ordering of the $q$ largest negative/positive weights provides a means for automatically recognizing and retaining only a small number of $q$ most impactful for $\Delta \Delta G$ inference. Lastly, our trained model allows us to extrapolatively predict CL selectivity of unseen and arbitrarily large CG topologies via a decomposition into learned contributions of their constituent 1–5 bead subgraphs.

2.8 Functional group analysis

The CG model integrates out atomic-level information to only keep essential physicochemical properties. The ability to backmap from coarse-grained to all-atom resolution would offer chemical insight as to compounds of interest. As such, we aim to identify the diversity of all-atom structures given CG graphs of interest. This problem is more easily addressed by working from high- to low-resolution: we consider a large set of molecules and coarse-grain them all. In this work we relied on the Generated DataBase (GDB). We only considered molecules mapping to a single CG bead (1481 molecules of a size up to six heavy atoms). On top of their original neutral form, we used the Calculator Plugin of Marvin by ChemAxon to estimate their protonation state at pH 7. In addition, we account for aromatic groups by further considering around 22 000 small five- or six-member cyclic compounds. The CG representations of cyclic molecules were used to evaluate how heteroatoms or substituents present in cyclic hydrocarbons affected the choice of bead types in the coarse-graining process. To automatically identify functional groups, bead types were linked to chemical information using an algorithm described by Ertl and implemented in RDKit. We extended the Ertl algorithm to recognize and name the most common functional groups found in bioactive molecules as well as additional chemical structures repeatedly encountered in our GDB-derived dataset. More detail of this analysis is provided in the ESI.† Probabilities of functional groups mapping to a CG bead type were inferred through observation frequencies. The probabilities between CG bead type and functional group offer a practical link to translate CG design rules into chemical structures.

3 Experimental methods

3.1 Fluorescence anisotropy

1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol (DOPG), and cardiolipin (CL) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, ALA, US). 1,6-Diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (DPH) was purchased from Fluka Analytical (Charlotte, North Carolina, US). The small molecule candidate compounds were purchased from Molecule (Budapest, HUN). Liposome solutions with a total lipid concentration of 300 μM, 0.6 μM of DPH, and 3 μM of the indicated substance, were prepared as follows: the lipids (dissolved in chloroform) were mixed in the described ratio with DPH (dissolved in chloroform) and, if needed, the small molecule candidate compound (dissolved in methanol). The solvents were removed under a gentle nitrogen stream and the lipids were desiccated overnight under vacuum. The next day, the lipids were dissolved in 10 mM 4(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES)-buffer (pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl) via vortexing 2× for 1 min, followed by 5 freeze–thaw circles. 250 μL of each sample was measured at 25 °C. Each lipid composition was measured at least three times using fresh lipid preparations. Anisotropy was measured using a Fluoro-Max-4 fluorescence spectrometer (Horiba (Bensheim, Germany)). Single point anisotropy was measured with 5 nm bandwidth, an excitation wavelength of 350 nm and an emission wavelength of 452 nm. Each sample was measured five times and the results were averaged. Samples in the absence and presence of the small molecule candidates were measured leading to the anisotropy difference,

$$\Delta A = A_k - A_0,$$

where $A_k$ is the measured fluorescence anisotropy of a lipid membrane in presence of the small molecule, while $A_0$ is the anisotropy measured for an empty membrane. Experimental errors are given as standard error of the mean calculated from the three repetitions with fresh liposomes for the individual measurements, and calculated based on error propagation for the difference in anisotropy.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Active learning identifies highly cardiolipin-selective compounds

We perform active-learning directed CG alchemical free energy calculations within an RAE-learned chemical space embedding to discover compounds with high thermodynamic selectivity for
CL membranes. We conduct seven rounds of active learning during which we consider 520 molecules (100 seeded and 60 per round) within the search space of 124 327 possible CG candidates. The majority (439 of 520) of these molecules were observed to be interfacial and for which we performed the complete alchemical free-energy calculations to determine $\Delta G$. The remaining 81 compounds failed to partition to the membrane interface, were deemed non-interfacial, and the free energy calculations terminated early as a resource-saving strategy.

The intent of the active learning search was to efficiently navigate chemical space to identify profitable regions of our learned latent space embedding densely populated with high-performing candidates by balancing exploration—compounds predicted with high uncertainty, and exploitation—compounds predicted with high performance. The performance of the active learning search is presented in Fig. 3a, which illustrates the distribution of $\Delta G$ values within each round, and Fig. 3b, which illustrates the corresponding location within the latent space of the selected candidates. The distribution of $\Delta G$ values tends towards more negative values over the course of the search, indicating that the active learning strategy is successfully discovering high-performing candidate molecules. Particular rounds tend to perform more exploration, such as Rounds 6 and 7, reflected by more variance in the sampled $\Delta G$ distribution, broader latent space sampling, and a higher proportion of selected non-interfacial compounds. Other rounds, such as Rounds 4 and 5, tend to be more exploitative, reflected by more localized latent space sampling and $\Delta G$ distributions with more weight in the negative tail. While these trends in sampling help provide some intuition for the progress of the active learning process, our use of the expected improvement acquisition function within our Bayesian optimization selection procedure means that each round naturally balances exploration and exploitation to select the next most effective compounds to simulate in order to maximize the chances of discovering high-performing candidates within the design space.

We assessed convergence of the active learning by monitoring the performance of the best observed candidates after each round. Throughout the first four rounds we observe an approximately linear decrease in the overall best observed $\Delta G$, with the following three rounds yielding no overall improvement but nonetheless identifying relatively high performing candidates (Fig. 4a). The stagnant improvement over the last three rounds motivates us to terminate sampling after Round 7. Over the course of the seven rounds of active learning we observed a 22.2% improvement in the best calculated $\Delta G$ after simulating a total of only 520 molecules, corresponding to a mere 0.42% of the 124 327 possible candidates. We present in Fig. 4b the 12 molecules with the best (i.e., most negative) $\Delta G$ identified over the course of our search. Importantly, the best performing candidate possesses a $\Delta G = -3.27$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ corresponding to a 184% improvement over the NAO fluorescent dye molecule which possess a thermodynamic selectivity of only $\Delta G = -1.15$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ calculated under our CG model. A full accounting of the measured $\Delta G$ values for all 520 candidates is provided in the Data availability statement.

We can approximately quantify the savings afforded by our active learning process in discovering our best performing molecule by comparing against a baseline of naïve random sampling. A simple statistical analysis reveals that performing random selection of candidates within our 124 327 molecule candidate space and still considering 60 molecules per round, we would expect to happen upon our best-performing candidate with a 25% chance of success after $\sim$518 rounds and with a 50% chance of success after $\sim$1036 rounds. Although chemical intuition and prior experience could be used to guide the search, the bias and preconceptions that this introduces risks...
missing non-intuitive but high-performing candidates, and this baseline random search comparison nonetheless effectively highlights the value of our data-driven approach for guided molecular discovery.

4.2 Data-driven discovery of chemical design rules

Having completed seven rounds of active learning and calculating \( \Delta \Delta G \) values for \( N = 439 \) compounds, we proceed to use graph representational learning to discover design rules correlating the presence/absence of chemical motifs to CL selectivity. In the interest of simplicity and interpretability, we adopt a simple linear model given in eqn (2) for predicting the calculated transfer free energy of a molecule via a featurization based on its decomposition into topologically unique structures with 1–5 CG beads. This model is trained using the LASSO regression algorithm to promote sparsity where select elements from the learned model weights are set precisely to zero \( \theta_k = 0 \), and the nonzero weights \( \| \theta_k \| > 0 \) correspond to a small number of the most generalizable features retained by the model. Based on the linear structure of eqn (2) the sign of learned nonzero weights \( \theta_k \) indicates whether the corresponding subgraph motifs contribute to more favorable \( \Delta \Delta G \) values leading to better CL selectivity if \( \theta_k < 0 \), or unfavorable \( \Delta \Delta G \) values if \( \theta_k > 0 \). Furthermore, as the subgraph representations \( F_{n,k} \) in eqn (2) are normalized and therefore unitless, the coefficient weights \( \theta_k \) carry the same units as \( \Delta \Delta G \) of kcal mol\(^{-1}\). The magnitude of these coefficient weights \( \theta_k \) can therefore be interpreted as the extent in kcal mol\(^{-1}\) that the representation of specific subgraph motifs improve, if \( \theta_k < 0 \), or degrade, if \( \theta_k > 0 \), upon the average CL selectivity \( \Delta \Delta G_{\text{mean}} \). Analyzing the prevailing structural features and characteristics contained in the largest magnitude model weights serves as a data-driven approach for unveiling critical determinants of CL selectivity.

In Fig. 5 we present a rank ordering of the largest magnitude nonzero model weights \( \theta_k \) partitioned by the sign of the weight, \( \text{sgn}(\theta_k) \). These largest magnitude weights can be interpreted as corresponding to CG motifs with the highest predictive capacity and therefore the most influential for determining CL selectivity. We note that while this analysis pertains to the CG space our active learning search is performed in, the following Sec. 4.3
provides further analysis linking CG beads and structures to atomistic functional groups. This current analysis reveals the importance of subgraph motifs containing positive net charges (Q0+), apolar (T5) bead types, and weakly polar T3 bead with both hydrogen bond donor- and acceptor properties in promoting favorable CL selectivity (i.e., negative values of ΔG). Contrariwise, negative charges (Q0−) and highly polar beads (T1, T2) tend to impair CL selectivity. These observations can be intuitively rationalized, given the two phosphate groups of CL that are predominantly negatively charged in the physiological pH range,46,82 and the close proximity of the hydrophobic lipid tails to the interface region caused by the unique shape of the CL headgroup. The presence of apolar regions in the candidate structures therefore facilitates easy insertion into the membrane. Electrostatic interactions have been described as primary interaction modes of small molecules with the CL headgroup and the important role of hydrophobic interactions has also been recognized.12–14,83,84 The small CL headgroup containing a single hydroxy group also suggests that hydrogen bonding may represent an important interaction type for targeting CL, and this correlates well with the frequent appearance of the T3 beads in the identified chemical motifs. Taken together, this analysis allows us to extract the following design rules for highly CL-selective small molecules from the active learning results:

1. At least one, ideally two sites that will carry a positive charge at physiological pH (pH ≈ 7.3).
2. Hydrophobic areas in the molecule that induce alignment with or insertion into the lipid bilayer.
3. Functional groups able to form hydrogen bonds with the CL headgroup.

4.3 All-atom backmapping

We adopted the 5 + 1 CG model in order to efficiently screen chemical space at the cost of integrating atomic representations into coarse-grained beads. The top performing candidates identified within our active learning search are therefore represented as bead graphs as opposed to chemical structures. In order to approximately recover these lost degrees of freedom, we perform a backmapping analysis to identify functional groups with physical and chemical properties consistent with each CG bead. Due to the information removed by the coarse-graining procedure, there are multiple all-atom structures consistent with each CG representation, i.e., the mapping is many-to-one. The most prevalent bead to all-atom functional group mappings resulting from our analysis are shown in Fig. 6 and 7. The mapping probabilities for cycle-containing groups are presented in Fig. S25 in the ESI.

While a rigorous strategy for the backmapping of any CG molecule to all possible atomistic counterparts is beyond the scope of the present work, we illustrate in Fig. 8 the diversity of all-atom structures represented by a single coarse-grained molecule by presenting the range of GDB-derived small molecules whose coarse-grained mapping corresponds to a T3–T5 dimer as one of the chemical motifs correlated with increased CL selectivity (see Sec. 2.7). We identify a total of 2157 GDB-derived small molecules consistent with a T3–T5 dimer and render the chemical structures of seven of these in an attempt to convey the chemical diversity contained within this single coarse-grained dimer. We intentionally adopted a coarse-grained model for our screening procedure in order to reduce the size of chemical space and accelerate our simulations. This allowed us to efficiently identify CG representations of molecules predicted to have high CL selectivity, but results in enormous redundancy in the number of all-atom structures consistent with a single CG representation. Naturally the CG model is not able to rank compounds that map to the same CG representation. As such, CG modeling acts as a funnel to efficiently filter out uninteresting compounds. The results yield a small set of top performing CG structures, which can be further backmapped to an atomistic resolution. The set of
consistent all-atom representations can be filtered to further design other desirable characteristics, such as functional groups that endow fluorescence or other optical responses desirable for imaging agents. They also naturally lend themselves to validation and applications, through all-atom simulations or experiments. In the next section we pursue the latter strategy to validate our computational predictions using fluorescence-anisotropy experiments. In future work, we would also like to pursue all-atom calculations of the top-performing candidates identified in our CG calculations but currently face challenges associated with the absence of validated all-atom force field parameters and exceedingly high computational complexity of all-atom free-energy calculations in membrane systems.\(^5\)

### 4.4 Validating simulations against experimental measurements

We test the validity of our CG predictions of thermodynamic selectivity and of the learned design rules by comparing calculated \(\Delta \Delta G\) transfer free energies against experimental measurements of CL selectivity for two molecules: quinaldine red \(\text{(4-[(E)-2-(1-ethylquinolin-1-ium-2-yl)ethenyl]-N,N-dimethyl}-\text{lanilin)}\) and benzothiazolium \((3\text{-butyl}-2\text{-methyl-1,3-benzothiazol-3-ium)}\) (Fig. 9). We selected these molecules according to our learned design rules, they were therefore predicted to preferentially partition into CL membranes. These molecules both possess at least one ionizable group that will likely be positively charged at pH \(= 7\), hydrophobic character, and aromatic ring systems and partial charges that may participate in hydrogen bond formation. We experimentally measured the interaction of these two molecules with model membranes consisting of 100% 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphocholine (PC), 90% PC/10% 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphoglycerol (PG), and 90% PC/10% CL. We hypothesized that permeation of the molecules into the lipid membranes would affect lipid packing and order. This can be monitored using an experimental fluorescence anisotropy assay to report the differential fluorescence anisotropy \(\Delta A = A_b - A_C\) of a lipid membrane in presence of the candidate molecule \(A_b\), and in its absence \(A_C\). Our hypothesis is that the two selected molecules should lead to a moderate increase in anisotropy \(\Delta A\) if they incorporate into a membrane, and to a larger increase if they not only incorporate, but specifically interact with a lipid species in the membrane. The measurements of the pure PC membrane were added to differentiate between simple incorporation and specific interactions, since the latter were not to be expected with this particular phospholipid.

---

**Fig. 8** Backmapping of a coarse-grained T3–T5 dimer. The ensemble of 55 639 GDB-derived small molecules consistent with any coarse-grained dimer are projected as grey points into the 2D plane spanned by the two order parameters of molecular weight and octane to water transfer free energy \(\Delta G_{O \rightarrow W}\). We highlight in red the 2157 GDB-derived small molecules whose coarse-grained mapping corresponds to a T3–T5 dimer. The chemical structures of seven molecules are visualized. A large number of diverse chemical structures map to a particular CG representation.

**Fig. 9** Comparison of experimental measurements and computational predictions of the selectivity of two candidate molecules quinaldine red and benzothiazolium selected according to our learned design rules within three model membranes consisting of 100% PC, 90% PC/10% PG, and 90% PC/10% CL. (a) Experimental measurements of the differential fluorescence anisotropy \(\Delta A\). The negative control confirms negligible differences between the different membranes in the measured value of \(\Delta A\). Measurements of \(\Delta A\) for NOA were not reported due to technical issues. (b) Computational predictions of the transfer free energies \(\Delta G_{W \rightarrow I}\), from water to the membrane interface and from octane (representative of the membrane midplane) to the interface \(\Delta G_{O \rightarrow I}\), calculated using the 5 + 1 CG model and alchemical transformations. Calculated uncertainties of the computational results are smaller than the symbol size. (c) Computational predictions of the relative partitioning free energy \(\Delta \Delta G = \Delta G_{W \rightarrow I} - \Delta G_{O \rightarrow I}\).
We present the results of these measurements in Fig. 9a. A negative control experiment confirms no change in $\Delta A$ in the absence of any molecular candidate. The addition of benzothiazolium led to slight increases in $\Delta A$ (i.e., decrease in membrane fluidity) for all three model membranes, but this increase was similar in all cases indicating no preferential interaction that would result in a differential response. Quinaldine red led to more significant increases in $\Delta A$ for all three membranes and a rank ordering in the magnitude of the response of PC/CL > PC/PG > PC, indicating a preferential partitioning into the CL-containing model membrane. These results indicate that our design rules successfully identified quinaldine red as a CL-selective molecule, whereas benzothiazolium was a false positive. Our learned design rules are a heuristic tool by which to identify candidate molecules, so we next sought to subject these two molecules to CG free energy calculations to determine if the $\Delta G$ and $\Delta\Delta G$ values recapitulated the experimental trends.

We constructed CG representations of quinaldine red and benzothiazolium under the $5 + 1$ model and subjected these to alchemical free energy calculations. Our experimental measurements of $\Delta A$ for NAO were clouded by interferences between the fluorescence properties of the compound and the dye used for the anisotropy measurements (DPH). We therefore do not report the results in this work. We nevertheless also performed these calculations for NAO as a baseline comparison. As illustrated in Fig. 9b, all three compounds showed favorable partitioning from bulk water and octane into the interface regions of both the PG and the CL membranes (i.e., $\Delta G_W \rightarrow_i, \Delta G_O \rightarrow_i < 0$). These results are consistent with the experimental observations of elevated $\Delta A$ for all three model membranes that is indicative of spontaneous thermodynamic partitioning of the molecules into the membranes. As demonstrated in Fig. 9c, the calculated relative partitioning free energies $\Delta\Delta G$ follow the same trends as the experimental $\Delta A$ trends. In the case of benzothiazolium, the $\Delta\Delta G = -0.82$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ is close to thermal energy $k_B T = 0.6$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ at room temperature, showing moderate CL selectivity. This is in reasonable agreement with the lack of difference in anisotropy change observed in the experiments. In contrast, the $\Delta\Delta G = -1.67$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ of quinaldine red is more than twice as large as that for benzothiazolium and nearly three times larger than the thermal energy scale. This is consistent with the differential response in the experimental $\Delta A$ measurements showing significantly elevated selectivity of quinaldine red for the CL membrane environment. Finally, we observe that the $\Delta\Delta G = -1.15$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ calculated for NAO is superior to that for benzothiazolium but inferior to that for quinaldine red, suggesting that the latter may offer superior CL selectivity.

5 Conclusions

The phospholipid cardiolipin (CL) is found exclusively within energy transducing membranes and constitutes approximately 20% of the composition of the inner mitochondrial membrane in eukaryotes.$^{1,2}$ It plays a key metabolic role while having demonstrated capability to also behave as a biomarker for detecting a number of diverse pathologies. A standing challenge in developing reliable CL-based diagnostics lies in the lack of molecules that display selectivity in binding to CL compared to other phospholipid membranes. With the number of drug-like molecules being on the order of $\sim 10^{60}$, a major challenge is the efficient exploration and filtration of this enormous molecular design space. Using transferable CG models to systematically reduce the size of chemical compound space we mapped this all-atom space into a more manageable 124 327-member CG design space. Nevertheless, a naïve Edisionian trial-and-error or random sampling within this design space remains prohibitively expensive. This motivated us to employ techniques in Bayesian optimization and deep representational learning to perform a directed data-driven search over the CG space of small organic molecules in an effort to minimize the data complexity, develop surrogate models to exploit the subtle structural differences in the CL and PG lipids to wield control over CL selectivity and efficiently discover the best performing candidates within an iterative active learning loop. After seven rounds of active learning and simulation of only 0.42% of the molecular design space, we identified candidate molecules with 184% better selectivity than the fluorescent dye NAO that is commonly used as a selective CL stain.

Analyzing our accumulated CG simulation data with interpretable linear models enabled automatic discovery of chemical motifs predictive of good CL selectivity. We were able to derive design rules correlating the presence/omission of functional groups to CL selectivity by probabilistically mapping each CG bead to possible all-atom functional groups and relating these to the automatically identified CG motifs. CL selectivity is linked to positively charged groups, hydrophobicity, and the ability to form hydrogen bonds. In contrast, negatively charged groups and overall polarity led to the molecule partitioning into the bulk water, and dominant apolarity led to a tendency of the candidate molecules to insert into the membrane midplane regions.

We tested our computational models against experimental measurements of CL selectivity for two candidate molecules selected using our learned design rules. The trends in our calculated transfer free energies and relative partitioning free energy differences are in good accord with experimental measurements providing support and validation of our computational approach.

In future work, we plan to conduct experimental testing of other candidate molecules identified by our learned design rules and CG screen and conduct all-atom free energy calculations with a second higher-resolution virtual screen. This work adopted thermodynamic selectivity as the sole optimization objective for virtual screening without regard to the optical activity of the candidate molecules. Since the ultimate goal is to discover high-selectivity CL dyes, in subsequent work we plan to employ computational filtrations and/or multi-objective optimization strategies to discover molecules that are both highly selective for CL and possess either inherent fluorescent activity or can support the addition of fluorescent tags without compromising their thermodynamic behavior. Finally, we note that the coarse-grained chemical space embeddings and active
learning search are generically transferable to other molecular design applications by replacing the thermodynamic selectivity prediction for a computational or experimental assay of the property of interest.

Data availability

We present a full accounting of intermediate alchemical transfer free energies used to calculate $\Delta \Delta G$ CL selectivity; predicted $\Delta \Delta G$ from the terminal GPR model; neural network weights and training codes; GPR training codes; embeddings of the RAE; LASSO regression pipeline; demonstration of the active learning workflow; Python pipeline for generating Gromacs input files; Gromacs run parameter files; Python implementation of the Ertl algorithm with our extension; and previously unpublished datasets of small molecules containing five- or six-membered rings with CG representations.\(^{44}\)
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