Children’s respiratory infections in Tianjin, China: associations with home environments and life styles
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Abstract

**Background:** Children spend most of their indoors time at home. This study is to identify the incidences of respiratory infections among children and their associations with home environments and life styles.

**Methods:** We conducted a cross-sectional study to identify the associations of home environments and lifestyles with respiratory infections among children aged 0-8 in the Tianjin area, China.

**Results:** The lifetime-ever incidences of croup, tuberculosis (TB), pneumonia and ear infection among children aged 0-8 in Tianjin area was 9.2%, 0.7%, 28.7% and 11.6%. The incidence of common cold infections more than twice per year was 31.3%. Home environment and life styles were strong risk factors for childhood respiratory infections. Dry air perception had the greatest associations with childhood pneumonia (PAF=14.6%) and common cold (16.8%), while Cesarean delivery had the greatest association with croup (18.7%) and ear infection (18.5%). Daycare attendance accounted for 14.4% of the incidence of frequent common colds. Infrequent sun-curing bedding is a risk factor associated with pneumonia (11.2%), ear infection (17.1%) and frequent common colds (8.6%).

**Conclusions:** Factors related to “modern” home environments and life styles are risks for childhood respiratory infections. Modifying such factors might reduce the respiratory infections among children.

1. **Introduction**

Infants and young children are more vulnerable to environmental exposure because their immune systems are not developed fully [1]. In 2017 alone, an estimated
5.4 million children under age 5 died world-wide, most from preventable causes [2]. The leading cause of under five mortality condition was respiratory infections, which accounted for 15%-16% and was second to prematurity [3]. However, there is no evidence for a robust relationship between the respiratory infections and genetic or racial factors [4, 5]. People spend most of their time indoors, especially children [6, 7]. Household activities and environmental exposure at home are suspected to be risk factors for respiratory infections, especially in low income countries [8].

Home environmental exposures include chemical exposure from building materials and decoration, dampness and mould and environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) pre-and post-natally. Many studies [9-11, 12, 13] have shown that dampness and mold in buildings are significantly associated with an increase of respiratory infections. People living in damp houses have been shown to be more likely to suffer from respiratory infections due to exposure to higher concentration of fungi and dust mites in damp environments [14] [15]. Exposure to home indoor air pollutants such as ETS [16] and chemical sources [17] have been also reported to be associated with respiratory infections among children.

Besides home environmental exposure, previous research has also shown that lifestyle factors and biological factors are important determinants of children’s health [18]. Sun-curing bedding and daily cleaning room are protective against respiratory infections [19]. Holberg et al [20] and Ball et al [21] have demonstrated that daycare attendance was the most common trigger of acquiring infections in the first 2 years of life. Occupancy level and type of daycare facility have been identified as important determinants of infection risk [22] [23]. The mode of delivery was found to affect early respiratory microbiota development, and this may play an important role in respiratory health later in life [24]. In addition, many studies in different
countries had suggested that the exclusive and prolonged breastfeeding was protective for respiratory infections [25–27].

With China’s increasing wealth and urbanization in the past 40 years, Chinese homes and life styles have changed dramatically. In urban areas, traditional Chinese residential buildings, Pingfang, have been replaced by high-rise apartments [28]. New furnishing materials have come into use [29]. Homes have been tightened in order to save energy, resulting in poor ventilation [30]. Along with this urbanization, a “modern” life style has been adopted. It is less convenient for residents in urban areas to sun-cure bedding. Fast food has become popular. Childbirth tends to be born by C-section. Breastfeeding time has been reduced, and daycare is being started earlier.

In view of these changes in home environments and life styles in modern China, the main aim of this article is to study associations of respiratory infection outcomes in children with their home environment and life styles.

2. Methods

**Questionnaire and population**

This study is part of China, Children, Homes and Health (CCHH) project [31, 32]. CCHH in Tianjin consists of two phases: Phase I, a cross-sectional study and Phase II, a case-control study. In Phase I, we analyzed data obtained from a questionnaire survey of children’s health, demographic information, home environment and life style. We randomly selected daycares and primary schools from a list provided by local Municipal Education Commission. Finally, 24 institutes in urban, 6 in suburban and 9 in rural areas were involved in the survey. Questionnaires were sent to daycare centers and primary schools, from where they were delivered to parents
who responded to the questionnaires. The details of questionnaire have been described in another article [28].

Questions on respiratory infections are as follows:

Has your child ever had croup? (possible responses: yes; no)
Has your child ever had tuberculosis? (possible response: yes; no)
Has your child ever had doctor diagnosed pneumonia? (possible response: yes; no)
Has your child ever had ear infections? (possible response: no; yes, 1-2 times; yes, 3-5 times; yes, >5 times)
In the last 12 months, how many times did your child have a common cold? (possible response: none; 1-2 times; 3-5 times; 6-10 times; >10 times)

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 22. We accepted $P$-values < 0.05 as statistically significant. We first analyzed children’s respiratory infections and their distribution by gender, age, atopy history and home location. Then, the associations of childhood respiratory infections with home environment, lifestyles and biological factors were analyzed in univariate logistic regression models. Finally, those factors with $P$-value < 0.05 were used in multivariate logistic regression models (using a forward conditional method) to identify the most important risk factors for respiratory infections among children.

Population attributable fraction (PAF) is an estimate of the fraction of population with the health outcome that can be attributed to a particular risk factor or exposure. We calculated PAF for a given exposure using the following formula [33]:

$$PAF = \frac{Pe(RR-1)}{[Pe(RR-1)+1]}$$

where $Pe$ is the population distribution of the exposure to the factor of interest and $RR$ is the relative risk.

The Research Office at Tianjin University granted ethical approval for this study.

3. Results
3.1. Demographic information and respiratory infections in children

We have received 7865 questionnaires, a response rate of 78%. Ages were not reported for 204 children, while 295 children did not fit into the age range (0 to 8 years old). Therefore, there are 7366 children in the final analysis. Among these 7366 children, 52% were boys and 48% were girls.

Table 1 shows that children’s respiratory infections, except tuberculosis (TB), had significant associations with family allergy history and home locations (P < 0.05). Urban children had more infections compared to rural children. Croup was reported more often for boys.

| Table 1 | Respiratory infections among children in Tianjin, China, n (%) |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Croup | Tuberculosis (TB) | Pneumonia | Ear infection | Common cold |
|         | Lifetime -ever incidence | P-value | Lifetime -ever incidence | P-value | Lifetime -ever incidence | P-value | Incidence per year | P-value |
| Total   | 625 | 9.2 | / | 51 | 0.7 | / | 1959 | 28.7 | / | 794 | 11.6 | / | 2044 | 31.3 | / |
| Gender  |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |        |        |        |        |
| Male    | 364 | (10.4) | 0.000 | 31 | (0.9) | 0.211 | 1043 | (29.8) | 0.064 | 411 | (11.4) | 0.000 | 1059 | (31.8) | 0.445 |
| Female  | 254 | (7.9) |        | 20 | (0.6) |        | 898 | (27.8) |        | 373 | (11.4) |        | 964 | (30.9) |        |
| Age     |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |        |        |        |        |
| 0–2     | 21 | (10.0) | 0.755 | 0 | (0.0) | 0.431 | 52 | (24.0) | 0.227 | 9 | (4.3) | 0.001 | 70 | (36.5) | 0.000 |
| 3–5     | 268 | (8.9) |        | 23 | (0.8) |        | 878 | (29.3) |        | 378 | (12.5) |        | 1112 | (38.8) |        |
| 6–8     | 336 | (9.4) |        | 28 | (0.8) |        | 1029 | (28.6) |        | 407 | (11.2) |        | 862 | (24.9) |        |
| Family Allergy History |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |        |        |        |        |
| Yes     | 156 | (26.9) | 0.000 | 12 | (1.3) | 0.016 | 421 | (45.3) | 0.000 | 176 | (19.1) | 0.000 | 392 | (43.8) | 0.000 |
| No      | 433 | (7.8) |        | 33 | (0.6) |        | 1436 | (25.8) |        | 584 | (10.4) |        | 1575 | (29.7) |        |
| Home Location |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |        |        |        |        |
| Urban   | 375 | (11.0) | 0.000 | 17 | (0.5) | 0.087 | 1202 | (5.2) | 0.000 | 545 | (16.0) | 0.000 | 1137 | (3.3) | 0.000 |
| Suburban| 107 | (7.7) |        | 12 | (0.8) |        | 333 | (23.8) |        | 130 | (9.2) |        | 406 | (30.2) |        |
| Rural   | 105 | (6.5) |        | 17 | (1.0) |        | 331 | (20.5) |        | 75 | (4.5) |        | 387 | (26.3) |        |

*p-value in Pearson Chi-square test. Bold indicates statistical significance

3.2. Home environmental factors related to respiratory infections
3.2.1. Dampness, odors and respiratory infections.

Dampness indicators and odors were defined as follows:

(1) Visible mold in child’s room;
(2) Visible damp in child’s room;
(3) Suspected moisture problem in child’s home;
(4) Floor covering in child’s room peeling or discolored;
(5) Flooding in child’s room;
(6) Condensation on window pane in winter in child’s room;
(7) Moldy odor perception;
(8) Dry air perception.

Table 2 presents adjusted odd ratios (AOR) of dampness problems for respiratory infections. We found that living in a damp room was associated with increased odds of respiratory infections, especially for croup and TB. Dry air perception was a significant risk factor for all respiratory infections among children.
### Table 2

**Associations of respiratory infections with dampness and odors**

| Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR), 95% Confidence Interval (CI) | Croup | TB | Pneumonia | Ear infection | Common cold > 2 times |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------|----|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|
| **Mold spot in child’s room**                           |        |    |           |               |                       |
| No                                                      | 1.00   |    | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                                                     | 2.24 (1.53, 3.28) | 8.55 (3.93, 18.59) | 1.00 (0.72, 1.36) | 1.40 (0.93, 2.09) | 1.35 (0.99, 1.83) |
| **Damp spot in child’s room**                           |        |    |           |               |                       |
| No                                                      | 1.00   |    | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                                                     | 2.09 (1.51, 2.88) | 3.07 (1.25, 7.50) | 1.25 (0.97, 1.60) | 1.46 (1.05, 2.04) | 1.14 (0.89, 1.47) |
| **Suspected moisture in room**                          |        |    |           |               |                       |
| No                                                      | 1.00   |    | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                                                     | 1.57 (1.25, 1.96) | 2.16 (1.01, 4.63) | 1.51 (1.30, 1.76) | 1.43 (1.16, 1.76) | 1.28 (1.09, 1.49) |
| **Floor moisture in child’s room**                      |        |    |           |               |                       |
| No                                                      | 1.00   |    | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                                                     | 1.52 (1.04, 2.21) | 4.02 (1.74, 9.29) | 1.14 (0.87, 1.50) | 1.26 (0.88, 1.81) | 1.33 (1.02, 1.73) |
| **Flooding in child’s room**                            |        |    |           |               |                       |
| No                                                      | 1.00   |    | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                                                     | 1.83 (1.01, 3.32) | 16.08 (6.24, 41.45) | 1.25 (0.78, 2.02) | 1.27 (0.69, 2.34) | 1.30 (0.80, 2.10) |
| **Condensation on the window pane in child’s room**     |        |    |           |               |                       |
| No                                                      | 1.00   |    | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                                                     | 1.56 (1.26, 1.93) | 1.00 (0.46, 2.16) | 1.40 (1.22, 1.62) | 1.06 (0.86, 1.30) | 1.60 (1.39, 1.83) |
| **Moldy odor Perception in room**                       |        |    |           |               |                       |
| No                                                      | 1.00   |    | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                                                     | 1.71 (1.35, 2.18) | 3.18 (1.60, 6.32) | 1.28 (1.08, 1.51) | 1.66 (1.33, 2.07) | 1.21 (1.02, 1.43) |
| **Dry air perception in child’s room**                  |        |    |           |               |                       |
| No                                                      | 1.00   |    | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                                                     | 1.61 (1.25, 2.07) | 2.85 (1.32, 6.13) | 1.57 (1.31, 1.87) | 1.44 (1.14, 1.82) | 1.32 (1.10, 1.58) |

Adjusted for gender, age, family allergic history and home location

### 3.2.2 Building characteristics and respiratory infections

Table 3 presents adjusted odds ratios of building characteristics for respiratory infections. Infections (especially pneumonia and ear infections) were associated with new apartment, modern floor covering, modern wall covering and use of air conditioner (AC). Meanwhile exposure to new furniture and redecoration in child’s early life due to home renovation was a risk factor for all respiratory infections. Use of biomass/coal for cooking or heating at home was a risk only for TB.
## Table 3

### Associations between building characteristics and respiratory infections among children

| Building type            | Croup (AOR, 95% CI) | TB (AOR, 95% CI) | Pneumonia (AOR, 95% CI) | Ear Infection (AOR, 95% CI) | Common cold > 2 times (AOR, 95% CI) |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Single house             | 1.00                 | 1.00             | 1.00                     | 1.00                        | 1.00                              |
| Apartment                | 1.00 (0.72, 1.39)    | 0.82 (0.29, 2.32)| 1.57 (1.27, 1.93)        | 1.77 (1.28, 2.46)           | 1.31 (1.07, 1.61)                 |
| House age                |                      |                  |                          |                             |                                   |
| > 10 years               | 1.00                 | 1.00             | 1.00                     | 1.00                        | 1.00                              |
| < 10 years               | 1.05 (0.88, 1.27)    | 2.10 (1.13, 3.92)| 1.04 (0.92, 1.17)        | 0.91 (0.77, 1.08)           | 0.88 (0.78, 1.00)                 |
| Modern flooring\(^a\) in child’s room | 1.19 (0.96, 1.48) | 1.19 (0.50, 2.88) | 1.42 (1.23, 1.63) | 1.50 (1.24, 1.83) | 1.04 (0.91, 1.20) |
| Modern wall covering\(^b\) in child’s room | 1.03 (0.81, 1.32) | 1.16 (0.53, 2.57) | 1.18 (1.01, 1.38) | 1.28 (1.01, 1.62) | 1.03 (0.88, 1.20) |
| Window type in child’s room | 1.00                 | 1.00             | 1.00                     | 1.00                        | 1.00                              |
| Wooden                   | 0.85 (0.65, 1.10)    | 0.55 (0.23, 1.35)| 1.07 (0.90, 1.28)        | 1.19 (0.92, 1.54)           | 1.04 (0.88, 1.24)                 |
| PVC                      | 1.26 (1.01, 1.57)    | 0.82 (0.41, 1.62)| 1.27 (1.11, 1.45)        | 0.98 (0.81, 1.19)           | 1.13 (1.00, 1.29)                 |
| Cooling system in summer |                      |                  |                          |                             |                                   |
| Electric fans/open window| 1.00                 | 1.00             | 1.00                     | 1.00                        | 1.00                              |
| AC units                 | 1.29 (1.04, 1.60)    | 1.47 (0.70, 3.09)| 1.15 (0.99, 1.32)        | 1.24 (1.02, 1.50)           | 1.21 (1.05, 1.40)                 |
| Home renovation in early life | 1.00                 | 1.00             | 1.00                     | 1.00                        | 1.00                              |
| Near to highway          | 1.29 (1.04, 1.60)    | 1.47 (0.70, 3.09)| 1.15 (0.99, 1.32)        | 1.24 (1.02, 1.50)           | 1.21 (1.05, 1.40)                 |
| Use of biomass/coal for cooking or heating | 1.00                 | 1.00             | 1.00                     | 1.00                        | 1.00                              |
| Adjusted for gender, age, family allergy history and home location.

\(^a\)Floor covering: modern floor covering (linoleum, PVC, carpets, wood, laminated wood) vs. traditional covering (stone or cement) (ref.)

\(^b\)Wall covering: modern wall covering (latex paint, wall paper, painting, wood, textile) vs. (lime, stone, tiles) (ref.)

### 3.2.3 Environmental tobacco smoke exposure, pet-keeping and respiratory infections

Table 4 shows adjusted odds ratio of environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) and pet-keeping for respiratory infections. Early life (i.e., during the first year of children’s life or during pregnancy) exposure to ETS increased the risks of children’s respiratory infections. Mother’s smoking was an even stronger risk, especially for
croup and TB. Similar to ETS exposure, pet-keeping in children’s early life was an even stronger risk factor than current pet keeping for infections.

Table 4
Associations between environmental tobacco smoke exposure, pet-keeping and respiratory infections among children

|                          | Croup | TB       | Pneumonia | Ear infection | Common cold > 2 times |
|--------------------------|-------|----------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|
| **Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR), 95% Confidence Interval (CI)** |       |          |           |               |                       |
| **ETS, current**         |       |          |           |               |                       |
| No                       | 1.00  | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                      | 1.29 (1.07, 1.55) | 0.79 (0.43, 1.46) | 1.18 (1.05, 1.33) | 0.91 (0.78, 1.07) | 0.97 (0.87, 1.09) |
| **Mother smoked, first year of life** |       |          |           |               |                       |
| No                       | 1.00  | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                      | 3.41 (2.00, 5.81) | 15.50 (6.63, 36.23) | 1.35 (0.85, 2.15) | 1.32 (0.70, 2.49) | 0.94 (0.57, 1.54) |
| **Father smoked, first year of life** |       |          |           |               |                       |
| No                       | 1.00  | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                      | 1.20 (1.00, 1.43) | 0.98 (0.51, 1.88) | 1.22 (1.09, 1.37) | 0.89 (0.76, 1.05) | 1.00 (0.89, 1.12) |
| **Mother smoked during pregnancy** |       |          |           |               |                       |
| No                       | 1.00  | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                      | 3.60 (1.98, 6.55) | 11.31 (4.14, 30.94) | 1.26 (0.73, 2.17) | 1.33 (0.64, 2.76) | 0.93 (0.53, 1.63) |
| **Father smoked during pregnancy** |       |          |           |               |                       |
| No                       | 1.00  | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                      | 1.24 (1.04, 1.50) | 0.95 (0.50, 1.81) | 1.29 (1.14, 1.45) | 0.98 (0.83, 1.16) | 1.04 (0.92, 1.16) |
| **Pet-keeping, current** |       |          |           |               |                       |
| No                       | 1.00  | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                      | 1.00 (0.73, 1.26) | 0.92 (0.42, 1.99) | 1.15 (0.99, 1.34) | 0.97 (0.78, 1.21) | 1.23 (1.06, 1.42) |
| **Pet-keeping, early life** |       |          |           |               |                       |
| No                       | 1.00  | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Yes                      | 1.29 (1.00, 1.68) | 2.42 (1.21, 4.84) | 1.95 (0.88, 1.24) | 0.97 (0.75, 1.26) | 1.18 (1.00, 1.39) |

Adjusted for gender, age, family allergy history and home location.

3.3 Life style factors related to respiratory infections

3.3.1 Daycare attendance and respiratory infections

Table 5 shows adjusted odds ratios of daycare attendance for the studied respiratory infections among children. Type of child care, starting age of daycare, exposure time and occupancy levels in daycare centers were analyzed. Children in daycare centers were more susceptible to pneumonia and common cold than children who were mostly at home. Children in daycare centers with more than 30 children have a higher morbidity of pneumonia, ear infection and common cold.
Table 5
Associations between daycare and respiratory infections among children

|                          | Croup          | TB             | Pneumonia      | Ear infection | Common cold > 2 times |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Type of child care       |                |                |                |               |                       |
| At home                  | 1.00           | 1.00           | 1.00           | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| At daycare               | 1.03 (0.80, 1.33) | 1.02 (0.45, 2.29) | 1.22 (1.04, 1.44) | 1.14 (0.89, 1.45) | 1.30 (1.10, 1.53)     |
| Starting age of daycare  |                |                |                |               |                       |
| > 3 years                | 1.00           | 1.00           | 1.00           | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| < 3 years                | 1.06 (0.88, 1.27) | 0.97 (0.52, 1.81) | 0.96 (0.85, 1.08) | 0.97 (0.82, 1.44) | 1.14 (1.01, 1.28)     |
| Time spent in daycare center |                |                |                |               |                       |
| < 20 hours/week          | 1.00           | 1.00           | 1.00           | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| > 20 hours/week          | 0.97 (0.75, 1.24) | 0.35 (0.16, 0.74) | 1.08 (0.92, 1.27) | 1.35 (1.06, 1.72) | 1.10 (0.93, 1.28)     |
| Size of the class at daycare center |                |                |                |               |                       |
| < 30 children            | 1.00           | 1.00           | 1.00           | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| > 30 children            | 0.87 (0.72, 1.06) | 0.77 (0.39, 1.49) | 1.34 (1.19, 1.51) | 1.49 (1.26, 1.75) | 1.34 (1.19, 1.51)     |

Adjusted for gender, age, family allergy history and home location.

3.3.2 Food habit, outdoor activity, cleaning habit and respiratory infections

Adjusted odds ratios for food habits, outdoor activity and cleaning habits for respiratory infections are presented in Table 6. Fruit consumption was a protective factor for children’s infections, especially TB, while fast food consumption was a risk factor for all infections. Spending ≥ 3 hours per day watching TV was a strong risk for common cold, whereas outdoor activities more than 2 times a week was associated with a much lower TB incidence. Children living in a frequently cleaned room with good ventilation and frequently sun-cured bedding had fewer respiratory infections.
### Table 6
Associations of respiratory infections among children with dietary habits, outdoor activity, cleaning habits, sun-curing bed sheets and window-opening behavior

|                                     | Croup | TB    | Pneumonia | Ear infection | Common cold > 2 times |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|
| **Fruit consumption**               |       |       |           |               |                       |
| Occasionally/never                  | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| ≥ once/week                         | 0.58 (0.32, 1.03) | 0.27 (0.08, 0.92) | 0.80 (0.52, 1.23) | 0.70 (0.38, 1.28) | 1.36 (0.83, 2.22) |
| **Fast food consumption**           |       |       |           |               |                       |
| Occasionally/never                  | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| ≥ once/week                         | 1.10 (0.87, 1.41) | 2.08 (0.97, 4.48) | 1.05 (0.89, 1.23) | 1.05 (0.85, 1.31) | 1.00 (0.85, 1.17) |
| **Watching TV**                     |       |       |           |               |                       |
| < 3 hours per day                   | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| ≥ 3 hours per day                   | 1.33 (0.96, 1.86) | 2.28 (0.98, 5.27) | 0.99 (0.78, 1.25) | 1.09 (0.78, 1.53) | 1.33 (1.06, 1.66) |
| **Outdoor activity**                |       |       |           |               |                       |
| ≤ 2 times per week                  | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| > 2 times per week                  | 1.24 (1.03, 1.50) | 0.38 (0.19, 0.77) | 0.98 (0.87, 1.10) | 0.97 (0.82, 1.14) | 1.02 (0.91, 1.15) |
| **Cleaning room**                   |       |       |           |               |                       |
| Everyday                            | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Less than everyday                  | 1.11 (0.92, 1.35) | 0.70 (0.32, 1.51) | 1.17 (1.03, 1.33) | 1.40 (1.19, 1.66) | 1.15 (1.02, 1.30) |
| **Opening window**                  |       |       |           |               |                       |
| Everyday                            | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Less than everyday                  | 1.04 (0.70, 1.53) | 3.49 (1.58, 7.71) | 0.97 (0.76, 1.25) | 0.94 (0.65, 1.37) | 1.09 (0.86, 1.39) |
| **Sun-curing bed sheets**           |       |       |           |               |                       |
| Often                               | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                  |
| Not often                           | 1.30 (1.07, 1.58) | 1.15 (0.56, 2.35) | 1.50 (1.33, 1.70) | 1.53 (1.28, 1.83) | 1.33 (1.18, 1.50) |

Adjusted for gender, age, family allergy history and home location.

#### 3.4 Biological Factors

Table 7 presents adjusted odds ratios of biological factors for respiratory infections among children. Caesarean delivery is significantly associated with croup, pneumonia and ear infection. Children who were not born on due week with less birth weight had a higher morbidity of croup and pneumonia.
Table 7
Associations between children’s biological factors and respiratory infections

| Way of delivery | Croup | TB | Pneumonia | Ear infection | Common cold > 2 times |
|-----------------|-------|----|-----------|---------------|---------------------|
| Natural         | 1.00  | 1.00 | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                |
| Caesarean       | 1.23 (1.02, 1.49) | 0.94 (0.50, 1.77) | 1.27 (1.13, 1.43) | 1.25 (1.06, 1.49) | 0.98 (0.87, 1.10) |
| Period of breastfeeding |       |     |           |               |                     |
| > 6 months      | 1.00  | 1.00 | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                |
| < 6 months      | 1.09 (0.90, 1.34) | 1.68 (0.83, 3.40) | 1.10 (0.97, 1.25) | 1.17 (0.99, 1.40) | 0.92 (0.80, 1.04) |
| Born on due week|       |     |           |               |                     |
| Yes             | 1.00  | 1.00 | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                |
| No              | 1.22 (1.01, 1.46) | 1.83 (0.96, 3.48) | 1.29 (1.14, 1.45) | 1.11 (0.94, 1.31) | 0.98 (0.87, 1.11) |
| Birth weight    |       |     |           |               |                     |
| > 2.5 kg        | 1.00  | 1.00 | 1.00      | 1.00          | 1.00                |
| < 2.5 kg        | 1.08 (0.72, 1.62) | 1.41 (0.43, 4.61) | 1.41 (1.10, 1.80) | 1.25 (0.89, 1.76) | 1.04 (0.80, 1.34) |

Adjusted for gender, age, family allergy history and home location.

3.5 Multivariate regression model and population attributable fraction

Multivariate analysis of associations of respiratory infections with home environment, life styles and biological factors is shown in Table 8. Condensation on window pane, moldy/dry air perception, modern decoration materials, less frequency of sun-curing bed sheets and cesarean delivery were the greatest risk factors for croup, pneumonia, ear infections and common colds. In addition, attending day-care increased the risk of common colds.
### Table 8

Associations of respiratory infections among children with home environment, life styles and biological factors in Tianjin, China

|                                | Croup | TB | Pneumonia | Ear infection | Common cold > 2 times |
|--------------------------------|-------|----|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Mold/damp spot<sup>a</sup>     |       |    |           |               |                       |
| Suspected moisture<sup>b</sup> |       |    | 1.36 (1.10, 1.69) |               |                       |
| Condensation<sup>c</sup>       | 1.43 (1.08, 1.88) |    |           | 1.56 (1.31, 1.87) |                       |
| Moldy odor perception<sup>d</sup> | 1.56 (1.08, 2.25) |    |           | 1.78 (1.27, 2.49) |                       |
| Dry air perception<sup>e</sup> |       |    | 1.39 (1.07, 1.80) |               | 1.47 (1.13, 1.90)     |
| Modern floor covering<sup>f</sup> |       |    | 1.30 (1.06, 1.60) | 1.35 (1.02, 1.79) |                       |
| Modern wall covering<sup>g</sup> |       |    |           |               | 1.47 (1.13, 1.91)     |
| Renovation, early<sup>h</sup>  |       |    |           |               | 1.29 (1.06, 1.57)     |
| ETS exposure, early<sup>i</sup> |       |    | 1.30 (1.10, 1.54) |               |                       |
| Pet keeping, early<sup>j</sup> |       |    |           |               |                       |
| Air Conditioner<sup>k</sup> |       |    |           |               |                       |
| Daycare attendance<sup>l</sup> |       |    |           |               | 1.21 (1.03, 1.44)     |
| Cleaning room<sup>m</sup>      |       |    |           |               |                       |
| Sun-curing bedding<sup>n</sup> |       |    | 1.29 (1.08, 1.54) | 1.47 (1.14, 1.90) | 1.22 (1.03, 1.44)     |
| Cesarean delivery<sup>o</sup> | 1.43 (1.07, 1.91) | 1.27 (1.06, 1.52) | 1.42 (1.09, 1.86) |                       |

Adjusted for gender, age, family allergy history and home location. Forward conditional method is applied.

<sup>a</sup>Visible mold or damp spots in child’s room: yes vs. no (ref.)

<sup>b</sup>Suspected moisture problem in child’s home: yes vs. no (ref.)

<sup>c</sup>Condensation on window pane in winter: yes vs. no (ref.)

<sup>d</sup>Perceived moldy odor in room: yes vs. no (ref.)

<sup>e</sup>Perceived dry air in room: yes vs. no (ref.)

<sup>f</sup>Floor covering: modern floor covering (linoleum, PVC, carpets, wood, laminated wood) vs. traditional covering (stone or cement) (ref.)

<sup>g</sup>Wall covering: modern wall covering (latex paint, wall paper, painting, wood, textile) vs. (lime, stone, tiles)

<sup>h</sup>Home renovation during child’s early life: yes vs. no (ref.)

<sup>i</sup>Parents smoking during child’s early life: yes vs. no (ref.)

<sup>j</sup>Pet-keeping during child’s early life: yes vs. no (ref.)

<sup>k</sup>Use of air conditioner: yes vs. no (ref.)

<sup>l</sup>Daycare attendance: child goes to daycare center vs. stays at home (ref.)

<sup>m</sup>Cleaning room: less frequently than everyday vs. everyday (ref.)

<sup>n</sup>Sun-curing bedding: less frequently than everyday vs. everyday (ref.)

<sup;o</sup>Mode of delivery: cesarean delivery vs. natural delivery (ref.)

The PAFs of home environmental, life styles and biological factors for infections among children are shown in Table 9. Dry air perception is the top contributor to
childhood pneumonia and common cold, while it is cesarean delivery for croup and ear infection. Daycare attendance, a secondary attribution factor, contributes 14.4% to frequent common cold. In addition, less frequent sun-cure bedding is a risk factor for pneumonia, ear infection and common colds, as are modern floor coverings, renovation during the child’s early life and perceived moldy odor.

Table 9
Population attributable fractions of home environment, lifestyles and biological factors for respiratory infections among children in Tianjin, China

|                                | Croup, % | TB, % | Pneumonia, % | Ear infection, % > 2 times, % |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Mold/damp spot                 |          |       |              |                               |
| Suspected moisture             |          |       | 5.1          |                               |
| Condensation                   | 8.4      |       |              | 10.3                          |
| Moldy odor perception          | 6.1      |       | 8.4          |                               |
| Dry air perception             |          |       | 14.6         | 16.8                          |
| Modern floor covering          |          |       | 10.3         | 12.3                          |
| Modern wall covering           |          |       |              |                               |
| Renovation, early              |          |       | 6.8          | 4.8                           |
| ETS exposure, early            |          |       | 11.2         |                               |
| Pet keeping, early             |          |       |              |                               |
| Air Conditioner                |          |       |              |                               |
| Daycare attendance             |          |       |              | 12.3                          |
| Cleaning room                  |          |       |              |                               |
| Sun-curing bedding             |          |       | 11.2         | 17.1                          |
| Cesarean delivery              | 18.7     |       | 12.0         | 18.5                          |

4. Discussion

In this study, a multivariate regression model was applied to identify the greatest home environmental risks for children’s infections. Perceived dry air had the strongest association with pneumonia and common colds. The reported rate of “dry air perception” is high in Tianjin, 53.1% in our study. We found that dryness was significantly associated with dampness indicators, consistent with findings from previous studies [34] [35] [36]. “Perceived dry air” has been shown to not
necessarily be due to physically dry indoor air [37] but rather to polluted air.
Building dampness promotes the growth of, mould [38], as well as increases VOC 
emissions from building material [39], which may result in “dry air perception”.
Condensation on the child’s room window panes in winter was a strong risk for 
respiratory infections among children. Condensation on windowpanes in winter was 
reported to be an indicate of insufficient ventilation in homes [40, 41]. Chinese 
homes do not have a mechanical ventilation system. Most of residential buildings in 
China still depend on “window ventilation” or infiltration to passively introduce 
outdoor air to indoors. In Tianjin winter, ~ 90% of homes closed window at night.
Ventilation is mainly through infiltration, with a median value of 0.30 h$^{-1}$ [30]. In 
such tight buildings poor ventilation rate could not efficiently dilute airborne-
transmitted pathogens and thus result in their accumulation in our occupied spaces.
A study conducted in army trainees found that rates of febrile acute respiratory 
diseases were significantly higher among trainees in modern (energy efficient 
design) barracks (adjusted relative risk 1.51) [42]. Mendell estimated that in offices 
with lower ventilation, relative risk for short-term sick leave was 1.53 (95%CI 1.22-
1.92) [43]. A study conducted in Peru indicated that after simple modifications to 
existing hospital infrastructure, the rate of natural ventilation increased 
considerably and the estimated reduction in risk of TB infection was 72% (inter-
quartile range 51–82%) [44].
In addition to the modern practice of tight buildings, more and more modern 
materials are being used for indoor furnishings and decoration [45]. China is 
currently the largest producer of wood-based panels, coating and furniture in the 
world. Massive new building furnishing materials have emerged in people’s daily 
life. In our study, children who live in urban apartment decorated with modern floor
and wall covering were reported to have more respiratory infections. Renovation during the first year of children’s life was also a risk. Considerable evidence has demonstrated that the chemical emissions of modern indoor materials (new wall coverings, new furniture, new synthetic carpets) are associated with increases in respiratory infections among children or infants [46]. Home renovation and modern decoration materials are positively associated with high concentrations of formaldehyde and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) [47]. These indoor pollutants may affect the human health by developmental delays in children, reduced activity of the immune system and direct toxicity. As a comparison, suburban or rural children who lived in Pingfang with less “modern” redecoration and materials had fewer respiratory infections. Pingfang children mostly spend more time outdoors and thus are less exposed to indoor pollution, which may be a reasonable explanation for lower incidence of respiratory infections in suburban and rural areas [48].

Among life style factors, we found associations of respiratory infections with Cesarean delivery and daycare attendance. In particular, cesarean delivery was a significant risk factor with a high PAF for childhood croup, pneumonia and ear infection. Bosch et al [24] pointed out that cesarean delivery affected early respiratory microbiota development, thereby possibly increasing the morbidity of respiratory infections later in life. In our study, the Cesarean delivery rate was 62% in Tianjin, higher than the national level of 46% [49] and the recommended value by WHO of 15% [50].

Consistent with studies in America [51] and Sweden [52] our analysis demonstrated that daycare attendance was a significant risk factor for common cold among children in Tianjin. Daycare occupancy level and weekly exposure time are
measures of contact with other children. We found that children spending more time in daycare or in higher occupancy daycare centers were susceptible to have pneumonia, ear infections and common colds. Daycare attendance was a contributor for common cold infections (See Table 9, PAF = 12.3%), second only to dry air perception. More frequent contacts with persons may be the reasonable explanations for this observation. For respiratory infection viruses such as influenza, adenovirus, rhinovirus, and coronavirus, which transmitted by small particle aerosols, airborne transmission is considered the predominant transmission pathway [53, 54], however contact (direct or indirect) can also spread these pathogens. A study on the transmission of common cold in sharing offices indicated that workers sharing offices have a significant risk of common cold than those working in single rooms (AOR 1.35) [55]. Overcrowding in a large urban jail was reported to be a significant risk factor (p = 0.03) for an outbreak of pneumococcal disease among inmates [56]. Likewise, an early case-control study in American showed that inmates infected with TB were less likely than “healthy” inmates to have a TV in their individual cells (42% vs. 87%; p = 0.003) and spent more time in the communal day room (median, 28 h/week vs. 3 h/week; p = 0.01), thus more contacts with infected inmates [57].

Currently in China, approximately 250 million households (accounting for 55% of population in China) live in cities. Rapid urbanization and modernization has led China to experience a dramatic change in both indoor environment and lifestyles in the past two decades. Modern high-rise apartments are constructed tightly and decorated with modern materials. The result is insufficient ventilation that results in increased concentrations of indoor-generated pollutants, including chemical compounds and airborne transmitted pathogens. It is almost impossible to sun-cure
bedding frequently in modern urban buildings. The Cesarean delivery rate is higher due in part to hospitals’ financial motivation [49]. It is common that children attend daycare centers around three years old since generally, both parents work. Meanwhile more health issues related to indoor environment are noticed. Parallel CCHH studies performed in other cities have found similarly high rates of respiratory infections in children, especially in urban areas [19, 31]. The incidence of lifetime-ever pneumonia among children in modern cities, like Tianjin, 28.7%, are substantially higher than those in USA and European cities [58]. Pneumonia is an infectious disease caused by bacteria or virus. The high infection rate of pneumonia in China may be partially due to insufficient vaccine coverage with Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine (PCV) and Haemophilus Influenzae Type b (Hib) vaccine. However, the incidence and severity or duration of pneumonia could be influenced by environmental factors [59]. Our analysis in the present study was hierarchical so as to identify the important factors among a variety of possible risk factors. The most prominent risk factors from multivariate analysis were related to modern home environment and life styles. The higher rates of childhood respiratory infections are linked with the combination of nutritional, environmental and behavioral influences, which affect the development of immune system and the breeding and transmission of pathogen.

5. Conclusions

Condensation on the window pane in winter, dry air perception, infrequent sun-curing of bedding and cesarean delivery are the strongest risks for all studied childhood respiratory infections except TB. “Modern” home environment and lifestyles play an important role in the incidence of respiratory infections among
children. Increasing ventilation rate, frequent sun-curing of bedding and avoiding unnecessary Cesarean delivery would be effective measures to reduce the incidence of childhood respiratory infections.
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