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Abstract
Background: The emerging of antimicrobial resistance has become a problem as it is threatening public health worldwide.

Objectives: To extract crude extracts from three different medicinal plants, test activity against Mycobacterium smegmatis, Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli and screen for phytochemicals of those that showed activity against the targeted bacteria.

Methods: Kirkia acuminata Oliv., Dichrostachys cinerea (L.) Wight & Arn. and Mimusops zeyheri Sond. plants were collected at Thengwe area, Mafukani village, Limpopo Province, South Africa. The plant materials collected were extracted using four solvents. Antimicrobial screening was accomplished using the agar well diffusion method and the crude extracts that showed activity against the targeted organisms were screened for phytochemicals using different tests.

Results: With all solvents used for extraction, methanol had a greater yield of 14.1% from Dichrostachys cinerea crude extracts. Kirkia acuminata and Dichrostachys cinerea were medicinal plants that inhibited Mycobacterium smegmatis and Staphylococcus aureus at the lowest concentration of 2.5 mg/ml and 1.25 mg/ml.

Conclusions: The results from this study show that the selected medicinal plants are active against Mycobacterium smegmatis and Staphylococcus aureus and their pharmacological properties can be further analyzed for the development of new drugs.
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Introduction
The development of antimicrobial drug resistance (AMR) by microbial pathogens has become a serious problem as it threatens the public health globally. AMR defined as a complex process that normally involves the interaction between human, ecological and pathogen-related factors. Antimicrobials have been used worldwide in animals and human medicine to improve the health and wellbeing. The use of antibiotics is growing worldwide in both industrial and developing countries where they end up being detected in surface waters, deposits and biota. The increase of AMR has brought to the 21st century public health problems that is threatening the effectiveness of prevention and treatment of infections emerging caused by organisms (bacteria, parasites and viruses) that is no longer vulnerable to the medicines commonly used to treat those organisms. Rapid spread of resistant bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Escherichia coli and Clostridium difficile to antibiotics has caused a serious problem worldwide due to high death rate. The resistance of Staphylococcus aureus to antibiotics was first detected in 1948, after the penicillin was introduced to treat deadly bacterial infections in 1943. Mycobacterium tuberculosis have become the highest global burden due to the increasing reported cases of multidrug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) making tuberculosis difficult to treat. TB is a topmost disease-causing death among persons living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, which is about 40% of this population. The resistance of Mycobacterium tuberculosis to both isoniazid and rifampicin occurred between 3.6% and 18% of new and previously treated TB cases, distinct. The use of wrong treatment, comprising in pre-
scription errors and low patient obedience or poor-quality drugs were associated with the development of resistant TB. In 2017, global incident cases of TB were estimated to be 10 million and an estimate of 1.3 million TB deaths occurred. The loss of lives due to AMR is estimated to be at about 50 000 lives in a year in the US and EU with 700 000 worldwide. The emergence of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) infection has become problematic to public health sectors causing infections including meningitis, pneumonia, toxic shock syndrome, endocarditis, urinary tract, bone and joint infections. In Europe, more than 170 000 patients were affected with bloodstream MRSA infection with 5 400 deaths in 2007. The Centre for Disease Control and Prevention also reported that the bloodstream MRSA infections occurred in more than 80 000 patients with 285 deaths in the United States. It was also reported that the incidence of MRSA infection in most of African countries is increasing, though the rate is still 50%. Recent studies have identified that several genes are leading to the intrinsic resistance to a wide range of classes of antibiotics, including β-lactams, fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides.

It was also reported that the resistant bacteria can be transferred to humans through food chain and wastewater from different operations such as hospital and pharmaceutical industries. Cox et al. also reported that the misuse and mismanagement of antibiotics, low vaccination rates, poor sanitation, poor infection prevention and control practices are contributing to the increasing burden of drug-resistant infections in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). According to Jindal et al., World Health Organization (WHO) published The Global Antibiotic Resistance Strategy 2001 on how to fight against AMR, where different strategies were recommended such as educating patients, prescribers, dispensers from different levels and encouraging collaboration between industry, government bodies and academic for the development of new drugs and vaccines. It is recognized that teamwork is required across all resources settings worldwide to handle the issue of AMR.

The World Health Organization endorses the development of researches based on medicinal plants to identify new compounds that will help to treat diseases due to high resistance of microorganisms to antibiotics worldwide, especially in developing countries where Mycobacterium tuberculosis is prevalent. Medicinal plants have been the most important constituents of both traditional and conventional methods from centuries. According to recent reports, medicinal plants have a good potential to fight against diseases caused by microorganisms due to their secondary metabolites. Secondary metabolites are complex molecules that consist of many functional structures such as, flavonoids, polyphenols, coumarins and terpenoids. The secondary metabolites of medicinal plants showed numerous pharmacological properties such as antioxidant, antibacterial, antiviral and antifungal. This study aimed at extracting crude extracts from plant materials, to test for activity against the Mycobacterium smegmatis ATCC 607, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6571 and Escherichia coli ATCC 10536 strains and to screen for phytochemicals for those showing activity against the targeted organisms.

Materials and Methods
Study area, selection and collection of medicinal plants
Three medicinal plants were collected at Mafukani village, Thulamela local municipality, Limpopo province, South Africa as shown in Figure 1. The geographical coordinates of the area are 22.6715°S, 30.5553°E. The plant samples were collected in their natural habitats in autumn (May 2018). Plant selection was done with the aid of a traditional herbalist, who has been treating TB infection with medicinal plants. The plants which were selected for this study were Kirkia acuminata Oliv., Dichrostachys cinerea (L.) Wight & Arn. and Mimusops zeyheri Sond. (Table 1). Part of the plant materials were sent to the University of Johannesburg herbarium for verification.
Table 1: Selected medicinal plants used in this study

| Botanical Family | Species name            | Voucher No | Vernacular name (V) = Tshivenda; | Life Form | Used plant parts | Aliment/s treated                                 | References |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Kirkiaaceae      | Kirkia acuminata Oliv.  | KM0324     | Mubvumela (V); Tree Barks Leaves |           |                  | Cough, constipation, cholera, diarrhea, dysentery and wounds. | 17,18,19   |
| Fabaceae         | Dichrostachys cinerea (L.) Wight & Arn. | KM0322 | Muredzhe (V) Tree Seedpods |           |                  | Tuberculosis, headaches, toothache, festering sores and wounds. | 20,19,21   |
| Sapotaceae       | Minusops zeyheri Sond. | KM0395     | Mutaladzi (V); Tree Leaves       |           |                  | Abdominal complaints. Diabetes mellitus, Candidiasis | 22,23,24   |

Figure 1: A map showing the study site where the plants were collected in Mafukani village, Limpopo Province of South Africa.
Plant material preparation
The different collected plant species parts were washed with distilled water to make sure that the dust and debris were removed and dried at room temperature for three weeks by spreading the parts of the plants on a flat surface. The samples were grounded into fine powder using an electric blender. All the samples were stored in zip lock bags in a dark place, until the extraction stage.

Extraction of plant materials
The plant materials collected were extracted using four solvents (dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, acetone and methanol). Exhaustive extraction method was used to extract bark and ground leaves powder, with the increasing polarity from non-polar (dichloromethane) to polar (methanol). This was to guarantee that a wide range, polarity of compounds was extracted. The different plant samples were weighed, and each was extracted with a different volume of solvents depending on the amount of plant materials available for each plant species (Table 2). The ratio implemented was 1:10. The plant materials were soaked in the solvents, covered with constant shaking every 30 minutes for 6 hours and they were allowed to stand for 48 hours at room temperature as reported by Eze et al. 27. Mixtures were filtered using Whatman’s No 1 filter papers and the solvents were removed under vacuum on a rotary evaporator at 40°C. The crude extracts were dried under the laminar floor for 3 to 6 days depending on the plant materials and weighed.

Table 2: The mass of dried plants weighed (g) and the solvents (ml) used for extraction

| Plant species      | Plant Part used | Powder weighed (g) | Solvents (ml) |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Kirkia acuminata   | Leaves          | 100                | 1000          |
| Kirkia acuminata   | Barks           | 200                | 2000          |
| Dichrostachys cinerea | Seedpods      | 200                | 2000          |
| Mimusops zeyheri   | Leaves          | 200                | 2000          |

Solvents: Dichloromethane (DCM), ethyl acetate (ETAC), Acetone (ACE) and Methanol (MET)

Dried crude extracts were weighed in grams (g) and the total percentages extracted was calculated according to the method by Abarca-Vargas et al. 28 (Table 3).

Equation (1):
\[
\%\text{Yield} = \frac{A}{B} \times 100
\]

Where A = the total weight of dried extracts obtained after drying and B = the total weight of ground plant material taken for each extraction process.

Microsoft Excel was used to calculate the average of four different solvents used and the standard deviations.

Antimicrobial Screening
Preparation of microorganism
The microorganisms used were Mycobacterium smegmatis ATCC 607, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6571 and Escherichia coli ATCC 10536. The Middlebrook 7H9 broth was prepared supplemented with 0.2% glycerol and 10% oleic acid-albumin-dextrose-catalase (OADC) to culture Mycobacterium smegmatis strain, the bacteria was incubated for 24 hours at 370 in a shaker incubator. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6571 and Escherichia coli ATCC 10536 were provided as cultures grown on
blood agar plates. All these organisms were sub-cultured on Mueller Hinton agar and incubated for 24 hours at 35 ± 0.1°C in preparation for the agar well diffusion method\textsuperscript{30,31}.

**Preparation of plant extracts**

Dried crude extracts were weighed, and each plant extract was dissolved in solvents (dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, acetone and methanol) used for extraction and 20% dimethyl sulfoxide as some of the plant extracts were not dissolving in 20% DMSO only. The stock solution of 100 mg/ml of plant extracts was obtained and the extracts were diluted into different concentrations ranging from 100 mg/ml to 0.3125 mg/ml\textsuperscript{27,32}.

Preparation of media for agar well diffusion method

A 200 ml of Mueller Hinton agar was prepared, autoclaved, poured in petri dishes and allowed to solidify at a room temperature. Wells of 5 mm diameter and 2.5 mm depth were bored in a solidified media using sterile cork borer\textsuperscript{31}.

**Preparation of test microorganism**

The sub-cultured microorganisms were adjusted for their turbidity using 0.5 McFarland standard (~1.5 X 10\textsuperscript{8} microorganisms/ml)\textsuperscript{32}.

Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of plant extracts

Test bacteria were inoculated on solidifying Mueller Hinton agar plates by streaking with a sterile cotton swab to obtain a confluent growth. A volume of 50 µl crude extracts (dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, acetone and methanol) were dispensed into the wells and pure dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, acetone methanol or 20% DMSO were also dispersed as negative controls. A volume of 50 µl of the 1.0 mg/ml rifampicin concentration equal to the volume of crude extracts was dispensed in the wells, which served as positive controls\textsuperscript{31}. Treated petri dishes were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The zones of inhibition were measured using a ruler from the edge of the disks to the edge of the zone of inhibition\textsuperscript{25}.

**Phytochemical Screening**

The crude extracts showing activity against M. smegmatis and S. aureus were selected and screened for phytochemical constituents using different screening methods (Phenols, Alkaloids Dragendorff’s test, Flavonoids, Terpenoids Salkowski’s test, Tannins Ferric Chloride test, Quinones, Saponins and Glycosides). Briefly, to test for Phenols a few drops of 3% ferric chloride solution were added into 1 ml of the plant extract. Formation of deep blue color was observed which confirms the presence of phenols\textsuperscript{33}.

For the Alkaloids Dragendorff’s test, 0.5 ml of the plant extract, 2 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid was added together with 1 ml of Dragendorff’s reagent. Orange colored precipitate observed indicated the presence of alkaloids\textsuperscript{34}. To test for Flavonoids, 1 ml of the plant extract was added with 1 ml of sulfuric acid. An orange color was observed, indicating the presence of flavonoids\textsuperscript{33}.

The Terpenoids Salkowski’s test was conducted as follows, 1 ml of the plant extract was treated with 2 ml of chloroform and 2 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid was added carefully along the sides of the test tube. A reddish brown colored layer was formed at an interface, indicating the presence of terpenoids\textsuperscript{34}.

The Tannins Ferric Chloride test was conducted in a test tube containing 5 ml of boiling distilled water, 0.1 ml of plant extract was added and boiled for an hour. After an hour, few drops of ferric chloride were added and allowed to stand for proper color development. A blue-black coloration observed, indicated the presence of tannins\textsuperscript{35}. To test for Quinones 1 ml of the plant extract was treated with 5 ml of hydrochloric acid and yellow color precipitate was observed, indicating the presence of known quinones\textsuperscript{33}.

The Saponins Foam test was conducted by mixing 0.5 mg of the plant extract with 2 ml of distilled water and shaking the test tube thereafter. A layer of foam formed and persisted for 10 minutes, indicated the presence of saponins\textsuperscript{36}. The Glycosides concentrated sulfuric acid (H2SO4) test was conducted with 5 ml of plant extract, 2 ml glacial acetic acid, one drop of 5% Iron III chloride (FeCl3) and sulfuric acid were added. A brown ring was observed.

**Results**

**Plant Extraction**

Plant materials were extracted using different solvents from non-polar to polar and different quantities of extracts were obtained (Figure 2).
Plant parts used: B: barks, L: leaf, SP: seedpods
The average of four different solvents used in the extraction were calculated as shown in Table 3.

### Table 3: The table showing the average of solvents in grams

| Solvents            | Average (g)     |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Dichloromethane     | 2.92 ± 1.867    |
| Ethyl acetate       | 0.89 ± 0.681    |
| Acetone             | 7.57 ± 3.018    |
| Methanol            | 19.12 ± 8.839   |

± Values of standard deviations

**Antimicrobial Screening**

The antimicrobial screening was carried out using the agar well diffusion method. Different diameters of the zones of inhibition were observed and measured in millimeters (Tables 4 and 5). The crude extracts were also screened against *E. coli* and no zones of inhibition were observed.
### Table 4: Antimicrobial screening results of *Mycobacterium smegmatis*

| Plant crude extracts | Extraction solvent: DCM: Dichloromethane, ETAC: Ethyl acetate, ACE: Acetone and MET: Methanol. | Zone of inhibition (in mm) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
|                      | 100 mg/ml | 10 mg/ml | 5 mg/ml | 2.5 mg/ml | 1.25 mg/ml | 0.625 mg/ml | 0.3125 mg/ml | Control | Rifampicin |
| *K. acuminata* (B)   |            |          |         |           |            |             |              |          |            |
| DCM                  | 7          | 9        | 7       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 30         |
| ETAC                 | 10         | 9        | 8       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 29         |
| ACE                  | 11         | 10       | 9       | 8         | -          | -            | -            |          | 28         |
| MET                  | 7          | 8        | 7       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 30         |
| *K. acuminata* (L)   |            |          |         |           |            |             |              |          |            |
| DCM                  | -          | -        | -       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 29         |
| ETAC                 | -          | -        | -       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 30         |
| ACE                  | 10         | 9        | -       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 27         |
| MET                  | 13         | -        | -       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 28         |
| *D. cinerea* (SP)    |            |          |         |           |            |             |              |          |            |
| DCM                  | 7          | -        | -       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 26         |
| ETAC                 | 7          | 7        | -       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 29         |
| ACE                  | 16         | 10       | 8       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 27         |
| MET                  | 14         | 10       | 9       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 28         |
| *M. zeyheri* (L)     |            |          |         |           |            |             |              |          |            |
| DCM                  | -          | -        | -       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 27         |
| ETAC                 | 8          | 7        | -       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 30         |
| ACE                  | 12         | 11       | 10      | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 28         |
| MET                  | 11         | 10       | 8       | -         | -          | -            | -            |          | 29         |

**Extraction solvent:** DCM: Dichloromethane, ETAC: Ethyl acetate, ACE: Acetone and MET: Methanol.

**Plant parts used:** B: barks, L: leaf, SP: seedpods

### Table 5: Antimicrobial screening results of *Staphylococcus aureus*

| Plant crude extracts | Extraction solvent: DCM: Dichloromethane, ETAC: Ethyl acetate, ACE: Acetone and MET: Methanol. | Zone of inhibition (in mm) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
|                      | 10 mg/ml | 5 mg/ml | 2.5 mg/ml | 1.25 mg/ml | 0.625 mg/ml | 0.3125 mg/ml | Control | Rifampicin |
| *K. acuminata* (B)   |            |          |           |           |             |              |          |            |
| DCM                  | -         | -        | -         | -         | -           | -             |          | 40         |
| ETAC                 | -         | -        | -         | -         | -           | -             |          | 41         |
| ACE                  | 11        | 13       | 8         | 7         | -            | -             |          | 40         |
| MET                  | 12        | 10       | 9         | -         | -            | -             |          | 40         |
| *K. acuminata* (L)   |            |          |           |           |             |              |          |            |
| DCM                  | -         | -        | -         | -         | -           | -             |          | 34         |
| ETAC                 | -         | -        | -         | -         | -           | -             |          | 41         |
| ACE                  | 16        | 10       | 7         | -         | -            | -             |          | 40         |
| MET                  | 9         | 8        | 7         | -         | -            | -             |          | 40         |
| *D. cinerea* (SP)    |            |          |           |           |             |              |          |            |
| DCM                  | -         | -        | -         | -         | -           | -             |          | 32         |
| ETAC                 | -         | -        | -         | -         | -           | -             |          | 44         |
| ACE                  | 17        | 12       | 9         | 7         | -            | -             |          | 37         |
| MET                  | 16        | 14       | 9         | 8         | -            | -             |          | 40         |
| *M. zeyheri* (L)     |            |          |           |           |             |              |          |            |
| DCM                  | -         | -        | -         | -         | -           | -             |          | 40         |
| ETAC                 | -         | -        | -         | -         | -           | -             |          | 37         |
| ACE                  | 11        | 9        | -         | -         | -            | -             |          | 36         |
| MET                  | 12        | 9        | 7         | -         | -            | -             |          | 43         |

**Extraction solvent:** DCM: Dichloromethane, ETAC: Ethyl acetate, ACE: Acetone and MET: Methanol.

**Plant parts used:** B: barks, L: leaf, SP: seedpods
The phytochemical screening of selected active crude extracts was revealed in different chemical compounds shown in Table 6.

### Table 6: Qualitative phytochemical analysis for ethyl acetate, acetone and methanol crude extracts from different medicinal plants.

| Plant species | Parts Used | Solvents | Phenols | Alkaloids | Flavonoids | Terpenoids | Tannins | Quinones | Saponins | Glycosides |
|---------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|
| *K. acuminata* | Barks      | Ethyl acetate | + | ++ | +++ | + | - | + | +++ | + |
|               |            | Acetone   | + | + | ++ | +++ | + | ++ | - | + |
| *K. acuminata* | Leaves     | Acetone   | +++ | + | ++ | +++ | +++ | + | +++ | + |
|               |            | Methanol  | ++ | - | + | +++ | - | ++ | + | + |
| *D. cinerea*  | Seedpods   | Acetone   | - | + | - | ++ | +++ | + | + | + |
| *M. zeyheri*  | Leaves     | Methanol  | - | +++ | - | ++ | - | +++ | +++ | + |
|               |            | Acetone   | - | + | ++ | +++ | + | + | + | + |
|               |            | Methanol  | ++ | + | +++ | +++ | +++ | + | + | + |

Key: - Absent, + Low concentration, ++ Moderate Concentration, +++ High Concentration.

### Discussion

Antibiotics have been used since the 20th century to treat bacterial infections and some organisms have developed resistance to antibiotics. The microorganisms developed resistance through natural selection (Darwinian process) where microorganisms which are resistant to antimicrobial continue to flourish in its presence and the multi-drug resistance infections have emerged globally which is becoming a problem of public health. This necessitates urgent attention to screen for new antimicrobial compounds from medicinal plants that will be effective against the microorganisms causing infections. Medicinal plants have been used to cure different diseases from ages and can be used as a solution for this burden. Extraction is the essential initial step in the analysis of medicinal plants, as it is important to extract ideal chemical compounds from the medicinal plant materials for further separation and characterization of compounds. In four solvents used in this study methanol was the best solvent to produce greater yields with 19.42 g, followed by acetone (7.57 g) and dichloromethane (2.92 g), while the low yield was obtained with ethyl acetate (0.89 g) as shown in Table 3. The greatest yield of methanol was found in crude extracts of *D. cinerea* medicinal plant with 14.1% as shown in Figure 2. Masoko et al. also found that methanol was the best extraction compared to other solvents. According to previous studies methanol is one of polar solvent that have been extensively used for extraction and was found to be more effective in extracting greater amounts of phenolic compounds from various plants. In another study, Boeing et al. also found that methanol was the most effective solvent for recovery of antioxidant compounds; while acetone extracted lowest amount of antioxidant compounds because of the lower effectiveness of solvation and methanol molecules are a proton donor whereas acetone molecules are proton acceptors.

The results of the antimicrobial screening of 16 crude extracts tested against *Mycobacterium smegmatis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* appear promising while with *E. coli* there was no inhibition observed (which means the compounds were not effective against the organism). Acetone
crude extracts of *K. acuminata* barks were able to inhibit *M. smegmatis* with a lowest concentration of 2.5 mg/ml and with 1.25 mg/ml in *S. aureus*. Kirkia acuminata has been used as a source of traditional medicine in Central and Southern Africa to treat most common human diseases such as cough, cholera, abdominal pain, fever, diarrhea and toothache. It was reported that phytochemicals such as tannins, flavones, quercetin, gallic acid, phenols, acetic acid, propionic acid and isocoumarin were identified in *K. acuminata* and Kirkia wilmsii leaves, roots, seeds and twigs that can be responsible for a wide use of Kirkia species in traditional medicines. This also correlates with the results of phytochemical screening found in this study as they indicate that *K. acuminata* barks contain phenols, tannins and flavonoids that may be responsible for inhibiting both *M. smegmatis* and *S. aureus* in lower concentrations. It was reported that several medicinal plants which are rich in tannins have shown to contain antimicrobial activity against several microorganisms.

The acetone and methanol crude extracts of *D. cinerea* seedpods were also able to inhibit *S. aureus* in the lowest concentration of 1.25 mg/ml. The plant have been used across Africa and Asia to treat gonorrhea coughs, syphilis, headache, dysentery and sore eyes. Dichrostachys cinerea acetone extracts were found to have a higher concentration of tannins that can be responsible for activity against *S. aureus*. Based on this study results, it was observed that crude extracts of medicinal plants showed to be more effective against *M. smegmatis* and *S. aureus* with higher concentrations than in low concentrations, this is in concomitant with the work of Banso and Adeyemo, who reported that the increase in antimicrobial effectiveness was observed with the increase in concentration of tannins isolated from *D. cinerea*.

In another study, Abreu et al. reported on the resistance of *E. coli* to *D. cinerea* which was also observed in one of the medicinal plants selected in this study. According to Sheel et al., plants contain different bioactive compounds that are found in the leaves, flowers, barks, seeds, fruits and roots that play an important role to cure different diseases. To the best of our knowledge, so far, no study has been done on *D. cinerea* seedpods to evaluate its antimicrobial properties as it was first part of the plant to be used in the in vitro researches and has showed activity against bacteria.

**Conclusion**

This present study shows the importance of medicinal plants and the effectiveness against some organisms, as it exhibited activity for both *M. smegmatis* and *S. aureus*. The *K. acuminata* barks and *D. cinerea* displayed good results in *M. smegmatis* and *S. aureus* and due to emergence of antibiotic resistance worldwide, these plants can be used for the development of new drugs. The plants are promising and can be used in future for therapeutic purposes.
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