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Abstract

Engineers have recently been inspired by swimming methodologies of microorganisms in creating micro-/nanorobots for bio-medical applications. Future medicine may be revolutionized by the application of these small machines in diagnosing, monitoring, and treating diseases. Studies over the past decade have often concentrated on propulsion generation. However, there are many other challenges to address before the practical use of robots at the micro-/nanoscale. The control and reorientation ability of such robots remain as some of these challenges. This paper reviews the strategies of swimming microorganisms for reorientation, including tumbling, reverse and flick, direction control of helical-path swimmers, by speed modulation, using complex flagella, and the help of mastigonemes. Then, inspired by direction change in microorganisms, methods for orientation control for microrobots and possible directions for future studies are discussed. Further, the effects of solid boundaries on the swimming trajectories of microorganisms and microrobots are examined. In addition to propulsion systems for artificial microswimmers, swimming microorganisms are promising sources of control methodologies at the micro-/nanoscale.
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1 Introduction

Swimming microorganisms are ubiquitous in aquatic environments and larger bodies. Bacteria in our guts and mouths [1], protozoa in streams [2], archaea in marshlands [3], and algae in the ocean [4] are examples of these microscopic creatures. These organisms have inspired engineers in developing micro-/nanorobots for swimming in fluids. Bio-inspired artificial microswimmers, mimicking their natural counterparts, have the potential to revolutionize medical procedures. For this purpose, they not only need to propel themselves but also need to maneuver in applicable environments, such as in human body fluids.

The small size of microorganisms is captured by the Reynolds (Re) number in fluid mechanics, which indicates the importance of inertial forces versus viscous forces. For a swimming organism, the Re number depends on the organism’s size and velocity, and on the viscosity of the fluid in which it swims. Large and fast organisms, such as fish, have intermediate and high Re numbers. They swim using inertia-based methods such as body and caudal fin movement or median and paired fin propulsion [5, 6]. However, swimming microorganisms have a very low Re number because of their small size and low speed [7].

Thus, different physics governs microscale versus macroscale swimming. In a low Re number environment, inertia is negligible when compared with drag, motion is time-reversible, and fin flapping generates no propulsion, as described by Purcell’s scallop theorem [8]. Consequently, microorganisms use other methodologies to break the time reversibility in creeping flow to generate thrust [9–11]. Many microorganisms have hair-like appendages, called flagella and cilia, protruding from their bodies as organelles for swimming. In eukaryotes, a wave is formed and travels along the organism’s flagella, and its interaction with the fluid generates propulsion, as in the case of the sea-urchin, human and insect spermatozoa [12–15]. Prokaryotes such as E. coli have helical flagella driven by a molecular motor embedded in the cell [16]. Other organisms use rows of cilia to paddle against the fluid. Asymmetric cilia motion during power and recovery strokes breaks the time reversibility in low Re number flow [17]. Some organisms combine and exploit
two locomotion strategies simultaneously [18], or invoke more complex propulsion methodologies [19]. There are many reports on the motion of swimming microorganisms. Taylor pioneered the field by answering the question of how a body propels itself when inertia loses its efficiency compared to viscous forces [20].

Advances in microscopy and technical instruments, such as the invention of electron microscopy in 1931, augmented observations and investigations of microorganisms [21, 22]. Progresses in the study of hydrodynamics at low Re numbers over the years are described in many reviews, including those by Happel and Brenner [23], Lighthill [24, 25], Brennen and Winet [17], Blum and Hines [26], Childress [27], and Kim and Karrila [28]. A review by Lauga and Powers covered recent advances in the hydrodynamics of swimming microorganisms [29]. These studies mostly discuss locomotion and the related physics in low Re number flow. This review aims to investigate the methods that swimming microorganisms use to change and control their direction, a subject on which less attention has been focused.

Several microrobots have been designed and developed with inspiration from microorganisms. The swimming methodologies of these artificial swimmers typically provide one degree-of-freedom (DOF): that is, they can swim along a straight line, forward and backward. So far, direction control generally has been performed by altering the external field that drives the microrobot. Thus, the physics of reorientation in microorganisms is of interest to engineers seeking inspiration for the control of artificial microswimmers.

On the other hand, there has been a growing interest in using bacteria and microorganisms for cargo transport and delivery, for instance, medicine in biomedical in vivo and in vitro applications [30–35]. This review, covering the reorientation strategies of several kinds of microorganisms, can shed some light on how to control these organisms for applications in cargo transport.

Because of the vast number of swimming microorganisms, our goal is not to provide a comprehensive review for biologists, but to provide engineers with microswimmer control methods and physics that may have applications in the micro-/nanorobotic field. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the swimming methodologies of organisms in low Re number flow and briefly discusses the related hydrodynamics. Section 3 describes the methods used by microorganisms for direction change. Section 4 examines microrobot propulsion systems and proposes methods for direction control in swimming. Section 5 discusses the effect of neighboring solid boundaries on microswimmers. Finally, section 6 summarizes the paper and outlines future possible research directions.

## 2 Propulsion of microorganisms

### 2.1 Hydrodynamics of swimming at low Reynolds number

To distinguish between swimming mechanisms at low and high Re numbers, we briefly explain the hydrodynamics in low Re number flows. Assuming an organism is moving in a fluid, any movement of the body will cause a change in the surrounding fluid. The Navier-Stokes equations describe the fluid dynamics of the swimming microorganism by solving for the flow field velocity, \( u \). These equations are derived from the conservation of the momentum, mass, and energy for an element of the fluid with an arbitrary finite volume, called the control volume. For an incompressible Newtonian fluid with a constant density, \( \rho \), and constant kinematic viscosity, \( \nu \) the Navier-Stokes equations are given by:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = \nu \nabla^2 u + g
\]

\( \nabla \cdot u = 0 \) (1)

where \( p \) and \( t \) denote pressure and time, respectively, and \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration.

If we follow the normalization used by Childress [27], a dimensionless number will appear in Equation (1), which is the Reynolds number \([36]\). The Reynolds number, \( Re = \frac{u D}{\nu} \), indicates the ratio between inertial and viscous forces, where \( D \) is the characteristic linear dimension. Therefore, a very slow velocity, a small scale flow, or a very viscous fluid results in a very low Re number. In any of these cases, where the \( Re \ll 1 \), the inertia becomes less critical when compared to the viscous forces. For the limiting case, when \( Re = 0 \), the Navier–Stokes equations simplify to the Stokes equation [37]:

\[
\nabla p = \mu \nabla^2 u
\]

\( \nabla \cdot u = 0 \) (2)

where \( \mu \) is the fluid dynamic viscosity.

The Navier–Stokes equations contain time-dependent parameters, while the Stokes equation has no dependence on time. Consider an object in the fluid with a reciprocating motion and a forward stroke that is faster than the backward stroke. At high Re numbers, the quicker forward stroke generates a change in momentum and propels the object. However, in low Re number flow, because there is no time-dependent parameter in the Stokes equation, the speed of the symmetrical strokes does not matter. For propulsion, the integral of the force over time is essential. At low Re numbers, this integral is equal for both strokes [5]. Thus, as much as the object moves in one direction during the forward stroke, it runs in the opposite direction during the backward stroke, and the net propulsion is zero.
Drag in low Re number flows depends on the organism’s velocity, shape, and orientation. Velocity changes during power and recovery strokes do not contribute to microorganism propulsion. However, microorganisms use both changes in the shape and orientation of their propulsive organelles to thrust their bodies. As a consequence, the reciprocating motion of microorganisms’ propulsive organelles is not symmetrical during the power and recovery strokes.

Different theories have been developed for the hydrodynamic analysis of microorganisms. For example, resistive force theory (RFT) provides a simple understanding of how the drag forces are linearly related to velocity in low Re number flows and relates the normal and tangential drag forces to local velocities [13]. The coefficients of the relationship are the normal and tangential drag coefficients, which depend on the fluid viscosity [13, 17, 38]. Both normal and tangential drag forces contribute to the microorganism propulsion, and the difference between them is the basis of drag-based swimming. As shown in Figure 2a, the difference between normal and tangential drag forces equals the net thrust for the microorganism. Slender body theory (SBT) is an alternative theory for more accurately predicting drag forces, mainly when a large cell body exists attached to the flagella. SBT takes into account not only the effect of local velocities but also the hydrodynamic interactions of distant regions [39].

### 2.2 Prokaryotic flagellar propulsion

Propulsion using helical flagella is one of the most widely methods employed by prokaryotes to propel their bodies. Prokaryotes such as *E. coli* [16], *Rhizobium lupini* [40], and *Salmonella* [41, 42] have helical-shaped flagella. The flagella, while in rotation, can be considered as relatively rigid helices, moving with respect to the body [43–45]. A proximal hook connects the flagellum to a molecular motor embedded in the microorganism body, which provides the required flexibility for the filament [45–49]. *E. coli*, a rod-shaped prokaryotic microorganism with a body length of 2.5 μm and a diameter of 0.8 μm, shown in Figure 1a, has a Re number of $10^5$ when it swims at maximum speed in water [16].

Figure 2a shows a schematic diagram of a microorganism with a helical flagellum. The flagellum rotates at a fixed velocity, and a helical wave propagates along the tail, towards its end. The wave has a constant radius, pitch, and pitch angle. All elements along the flagellum move and push against the water as the helical wave travels. The flagellum’s interaction with the surrounding water applies forces to the fluid in...
directions normal and tangential to the flagellum. The sum of these forces has a component parallel to the helix axis, pushing water to the end of the flagellum. The reaction is in the opposite direction to the flagellum, which drives the flagellum and the attached body. The resulting force has another component in the direction perpendicular to the helix central axis, which generates a torque. This torque is canceled out by the body’s rotation because the whole system must be torque-free [50]. The rotation of the cell body reduces the apparent rotation of the flagellum, i.e., its rotational velocity with respect to the water, as well as the propulsion efficiency [25].

A molecular motor, driven by proton or sodium gradients, rotates the flagellum at a typical frequency of a few hundred Hz: for example, 300 Hz in *E. coli* [47, 51]. The flagellar motor can rotate in either direction, counterclockwise or clockwise. The flagellar motor has a rotor-stator structure, similar to electric motors. The ring-shaped components form the stator and rotor of flagellar motors [46, 47, 52]. A flow of protons, due to an electrochemical gradient across the cell wall, drives the motor.

### 2.3 Eukaryotic flagellar propulsion

Eukaryotes use a different shape in flagellar propulsion, although it is again based on drag anisotropy in a viscous environment. A wave, which was considered sinusoidal by Gray [12], but was later shown to be non-sinusoidal [53], travels along the flagellum inducing a vertical motion at any element.
The movement has components tangential and normal to the element. Motion in each direction results in a force in the same direction applied to the element. However, because the drag coefficients are not equal for the element in the tangential and normal directions, the sum of the corresponding drag forces is not in the motion direction (i.e., vertical) [29]. Indeed, the result has a horizontal component that propels the flagellum and the attached cell (Figure 2b).

Eukaryotic flagella, in contrast to prokaryotic flagella, are active organelles. Nine doublet microtubules, as shown in Figure 2c, are arranged around the perimeter of a circle with active organelles. Nine doublet microtubules, as shown in Figure 2b, are connected to the next and the attached cell (Figure 2b). Each microtubule is connected to the adjacent microtubule. These dynein motors convert the chemical energy to the mechanical movement and allow the microtubules to slide and bend, generating a whip-like motion along the flagellum. For example, human sperm, shown in Figure 1b, is a eukaryotic cell with a 5 μm by 3 μm head and a flagellum 50 μm long. The typical diameter of an eukaryotic flagellum is ~0.25 μm, which is constant during flagella movements.

When a planar wave propagates along the flagellum, the motion is different for various elements of that flagellum. Thus, the difference between the normal and tangential drag components varies along the flagellum, producing an inhomogeneous thrust [25]. For a helical wave, however, all elements of the flagellum push against the water at a constant angle. The equal inclination of all elements to the direction of wave propagation generates a homogeneous thrust for a helical flagellum. This thrust homogeneity reduces the energy expenditure for a helical wave when compared to a planar wave along a single flagellum, making the former more efficient [24].

2.4 Ciliary propulsion

The ciliates are another group of microorganisms which employ hair-like appendages, called cilia, for swimming. Like eukaryotic flagella, cilia are active organelles with considerably identical 9+2 structure, however, generally shorter in length and more abundant in quantity. The ciliates use a two-stroke motion of cilia to break the time-reversibility at low Re number and propel their bodies. The cilia are straight behaving like a rigid rod during the power stroke and beating on the water. They then bend during the recovery stroke and move tangentially, closer to the body surface, having a minimal effect on the water. The normal drag coefficient is higher than the tangential one, and the cilia move faster during the power stroke [17]; consequently, net propulsion is generated for the microorganism opposite to the cilia beating direction in the power stroke. Figure 2d shows a typical pattern of cilia motion during power and recovery strokes. A similar mode is utilized by the flagellate Chlamydomonas [54]; however, ciliates can swim faster than flagellates, at a typical speed of 1-2 mm s⁻¹ [55]. A ciliate Paramecium, depicted in Figure 1c, has a length of 100-350 μm and 3000-6000 cilia.

Cilia have the same axonemal structure as eukaryotic flagella; however, they are shorter, with typical lengths of 5–10 μm. The active internal construction of the cilia generates the required bending in the recovery stroke. In contrast to the low numbers of flagella that flagellates have, several arrays of cilia cover the ciliate microorganism’s body. Thus, ciliates swim faster than flagellates simply because they have more propulsive organelles. Also, ciliates owe their higher speed to a phenomenon that occurs when the cilia beat in arrays. Each cilium hits with a phase lag relative to the previous cilium, causing a traveling wave at the tips of the beating cilia. This wave, known as a “metachronal wave,” is believed to aid ciliates’ propulsion [56, 57] and to be the result of hydrodynamic interactions between adjacent cilia [58–60].

3 Reorientation strategies in microorganisms

Microorganisms respond to many environmental parameters, such as changes in light, chemical substances, energy levels, and moisture. These changes attract or repel organisms with different tendencies, and a change in a given environmental condition may attract one organism while repelling another. Indeed, the way that microorganisms respond to environmental states varies between organism categories or even within a group. For example, flagellates with diverse propulsion mechanisms respond dissimilarly to stimulation. Chlamydomonas orients away from high-intensity light [61–63] and E. coli moves towards chemical attractants [64–68], showing phototactic and chemotactic responses, respectively.

“Taxis” is a directional movement along a gradient, towards an attractant stimulus or away from a repellent stimulus [69, 70], the former is known as positive taxis while the latter is known as negative taxis. For example, the ciliate Fabrea odors towards high-intensity light [61–63] and E. coli moves towards chemical attractants [64–68], showing phototactic and chemotactic responses, respectively.

“Taxis” is a directional movement along a gradient, towards an attractant stimulus or away from a repellent stimulus [69, 70], the former is known as positive taxis while the latter is known as negative taxis. For example, the ciliate Fabrea salina shows positive phototaxis [71], while Chlamydomonas exhibits both positive and negative phototaxis in the presence of light with a lower and higher intensity, respectively [63, 72]. In contrast to chemotaxis [73, 74], a microorganism response can also be due to an intracellular stimulus, for example, in energy taxis [75, 76]. Other tactic responses such as galvanotaxis, magnetotaxis, thermotaxis, aerotaxis, rheotaxis, and thigmotaxis can also be employed for navigation and control of bacteria [77]. For example, in galvanotaxis or magnetotaxis, applying an electric or a magnetic field, respectively, can steer the movement of bacteria either towards or away from the stimulus. The thermotactic response of organisms is defined by regulating their action depending on a heat source; for instance, E. Coli moves towards hot areas in the absence of chemicals [78].
Magnetotactic bacteria (MTB) are flagellated cells that use their specific magnetic organelles, called magnetosomes, to align themselves along magnetic field lines [79]. These organisms also exhibit an aerotactic response preferring microaerobic or anaerobic environments as they typically migrate towards a low oxygen concentration [80]. Rheotaxis, a directed motility due to a flow shear, has been reported in bacteria and can be exploited for navigation of biohybrid microswimmers [81, 82].

However, the response of microorganisms to stimuli is not always a directional movement; instead, it is an exertion to stay in a desirable state or to leave an undesirable one. Such a move is called “kinesis,” which can be seen as a sustained speed increase in a cell of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* [83] or a cell population of *Azospirillum brasilense* [84]. They increase or decrease the speed of their random movements without any orientation.

With either random or directional movement, microorganisms need to orient to find food and energy sources. They also need to change orientation to escape from threats. Next, we review the orientation and navigation of microorganisms.

### 3.1 Tumbling

Flagellates with peritrichous flagella have several (4–8) thin filaments arranged randomly on the cell body. A random and asymmetric distribution of flagella might increase cell motility and chemotactic performance [85]. *Escherichia*, *Salmonella*, and *Bacillus* are among the bacterial genera with peritrichous flagella [86–88]. Each flagellum has its own molecular motor [42, 47], enabling the flagella to rotate independently. The motors spin in a reversible manner; thus, flagella can rotate in a counterclockwise (CCW) or clockwise (CW) sense. The standard rotation sense of flagella is determined when they are viewed from the distal end, towards the cell body [47].

The standard shape of a flagellum is a left-handed helix [89]. Microorganisms with peritrichous flagella gain their motility from CCW rotation of left-handed helical flagella. When flagella start to spin in a CCW sense, the hydrodynamic interactions form a bundle of flagella, usually around the longitudinal axis of the body [90–94]. Indeed, the importance of body rotation on developing a flagella bundle has been recognized [95]. The helical bundle of flagella propels the microorganism forward in a relatively linear trajectory. This forward motion is known as a run or smooth swimming. The *E. coli* speed during the run stage, depending on the stimulation state, is ~30 μm s⁻¹ [64].

The run stage is interrupted by a “tumbling” effect when one or more flagella start to rotate in a CW sense. These flagella leave the bundle; thus, the force balance of the flagella is no longer in the forward direction, resulting in an in-place rotation of the body, as depicted in Figure 3a. The flagella undergo polymorphic transitions, forming right-handed helical flagella. Tumbling occurs due to the CW rotation and polymorphic transformations of flagella and leads to a change in swimming direction [89, 96–98]. By running and tumbling, a microorganism exhibits a randomized motion or “random walk” during which it cannot precisely control its orientation. However, these seemingly haphazard movements, which can be explained by Brownian motion [99], will result in biasing the organism in the direction of increasing an attractant or decreasing a repellent. To move in the desired direction, bacteria regulate the number of tumbles so that the number decreases when moving up an attractant gradient and increases when shifting down. Recently, it has been shown that bacteria tune their direction not only by changing the number of tumbles but also by adjusting the rate of angle change between two runs [100–102]. Vladimirov et al. proposed that the rotational angle during a tumble can be regulated by the number of motors that rotate clockwise [100]. They showed that when an organism needs to change direction rapidly, more motors are driven in the CW direction.

In a tumble, the flagella’s polymorphic transition accompanies the rotation reversal, forming helical shapes with different geometrical characteristics to the normal ones [88, 103]. Figure 3b shows an *E. coli* flagella leaving the bundle and undergoing polymorphic transformations, which leads to a reorientation for the cell body. Then, the cell swims in a new direction when the flagella again form a bundle. Kitao et al. proposed that the polymorphic transitions are due to energy frustration between flagella [104]. Transitional flagella are right-handed helices of shorter wavelengths – for example, in the case of *Salmonella*, 1.1 μm – while normal ones are left-handed helices of wavelength 2.3 μm [88]. Structural changes in flagella, from normal to curly, along with flagella rotation reversal, are believed to cause bundle dispersal and tumbling. Macnab and Ornston proposed several reasons that may be responsible for a microorganism’s erratic motion in a tumble, such as propagation of the polymorphic transition along the flagella rather than an instantaneous change, a large pitch angle during the polymorphic transition, and various wave propagation rates in the flagella [89]. Thus, having multiple flagella assists peritrichous cells in changing direction more effectively, rather than increasing the cell velocity, because a bundle of several flagella contributes only slightly to a faster cell motion [105].

### 3.2 Reverse and flick

Monotrichous flagellates have a single flagellum at one or both poles of the body, in parallel with the central axis. Possessing a single polar flagellum, *Vibrio alginoleticus* outruns *E. coli* with its multiple flagella in chemotactic responses because it swims faster towards and along a chemical attractant gradient [106]. Counterclockwise rotation of the left-handed helical flagellum results in a forward motion of *V. alginoleticus*, while motor reversal generates an opposite backward movement. However,
the flagellum of \(V.\) alginolyticus exhibits neither a polymorphic transition nor a handedness change during CW rotation. The microorganism can reach a maximum swimming speed of 150 \(\mu m\) s\(^{-1}\) when the flagellar motor rotates at 1700 revolutions per second (rps) \([107, 108]\).

Forward-backward motion was previously reported for the single flagellum \(Pseudomonas\) citronellolis by Taylor and Koshland \([97]\). They believed that motor reversal resulted in the backtrack of the cell body and changed the cell direction. This understanding seemed appropriate, especially when the organisms swam near a surface; the wall drag could cause a difference between forward and backward trajectories, resulting in a direction change \([109–111]\). However, more recent studies have shown that the chemotactic response is possible not only near a surface, but also 500 \(\mu m\) away, because the organism’s smooth swimming is, in fact, reoriented frequently \([106, 112]\). Figure 4a shows sequential video images of \(V.\) alginolyticus moving in the fluid and redirecting using its single flagellum. The motion and reorientation of monotrichous \(V.\) alginolyticus is described as a three-step motion: run–reverse–flick, as schematically shown in Fig. 4b \([106, 113]\). Run or forward motion is followed by a 180-
degree backtrack towards a reverse motion, and then a forward motion concurrent with a flick, i.e., a turn with an angle of less than 180°. The flick stage shifts the organism to a new direction in which the cell begins another forward motion phase. Substituting tumbling in peritrichous prokaryotes, which, for example, takes ~0.23 s in *E. coli* [114], a flick in

Fig. 4  

**a** Sequential images, taken at a frequency of 420 Hz, show how *V. alginolyticus* reorients by a three-step motion, including forward, backward, and flick of the flagellum. The images show the flagellum (magenta) before, during, and after a flick, and the cell body (white) as well. The position of the cell can be distinguished using the orange reference line [115]. **b** Run, reverse, and flick of a monotrichous flagellate. CCW rotation of the single flagellum generates a forward motion for the organism. Then, the flagellar motor rotates in a reverse direction, which leads to a backward movement. This backward motion is followed by a simultaneous forward motion and the flagellum flick and reorients the microorganism. The flagellum CCW rotation propels the cell in a new direction.
monotrichous counterparts occurs more rapidly: for example, in less than 0.1 s for \( V. \) alginolyticus \[106\]. The flick causes the cell to change direction at an angle with a normal random distribution and a mean value of 90° \[106\]. This reorientation mechanism is very effective for marine microorganisms living in the transient environment of the oceans and leads to a fast chemotactic response.

Recently, a report by Son et al. suggested that the flick was due to a buckling instability of the hook at the flagellum base \[115\]. The hydrodynamic force and torque that buckle the hook depend on the swimming velocity. With increasing velocity, the buckling force exceeds some threshold, and the hook exhibits instability. The standard swimming velocity of \( V. \) alginolyticus is around the threshold velocity, imposing instability in the flagellum hook \[115\]. They showed when the microorganism swimming velocity is reduced from the standard value of 47 \( \mu \)m s\(^{-1}\) to 25 \( \mu \)m s\(^{-1}\), the flicking probability drops by around 60%. During forward motion, a flagellum hook is under a torsional load and is stiff enough to prevent instability. Motor reversal winds off the hook, so it becomes prone to instability. Thus, the microorganism uses its flagellum not only as a propeller but also as a rudder to steer, albeit randomly \[106\].

### 3.3 Helical-path swimming

Run and tumble, and reverse and flick are only observed as navigation tactics in small bacteria. However, sperms \[116-119\] and larger bacteria such as \( T. \) hiovulum \( \) majus \[120\], eukaryotic flagellates \[121, 122\], and ciliates \[123, 124\] swim along smooth trajectories of helical shape. Swimming in these cells and organisms is described by translational and rotational motions of the body. With constant velocities, once the rotational velocity vector is parallel or perpendicular to the translational velocity, the swimming trajectory is a line or a circle. Otherwise, the trajectory is a helical path with a straight central axis parallel to the body.

Crenshaw assumed the organism’s soma to be a rigid body and described its swimming along a helical path \[128\]. In the coordinate system \( ijk \), which is shown in Fig. 5b, fixed to the right-hand helical trajectory, with \( k \) as the helix axis, the position vector of the path’s endpoint, which coincides with an arbitrary point in the organism body (e.g., the center of mass), is given by:

\[
H(t) = r \cos \alpha i + r \sin \alpha j + \left( \frac{h \omega t}{2\pi} \right) k
\]

where \( r \) and \( h \) are the radius and pitch of the helix, and \( \alpha \) is the rotational frequency of the position vector. The organism’s motion can also be explained in a tangent–normal–binormal coordinate system, TNB, where the organism’s linear velocity \( v \) is given by \( v = V^T \) (see Equation (3)). Crenshaw defined a Darboux vector, \( \mathbf{d} \) which is perpendicular to the unit vector \( \mathbf{N} \) as \[127\]:

\[
\mathbf{d} = \tau \mathbf{T} + \kappa \mathbf{B}
\]

where \( \tau \) and \( \kappa \) are the torsion and curvature of the helical trajectory, respectively. Crenshaw demonstrated that the vector \( \mathbf{d} \) is parallel to the helix central axis, which lies in the \( k \)-direction. Because the vector \( \mathbf{d} \) changes direction with respect to the \( T \)-axis as a function of the ratio \( \kappa/\tau \) (see Equation (4)), the helical trajectory axis also changes direction with changes in this ratio (see \[128\] for more detail). We can conclude that because the torsion and curvature of the organism’s trajectory are functions of its translational and rotational velocities, the organism orients its swimming direction by changing these velocities. However, Crenshaw demonstrated that translational velocity changes do not affect the organism’s orientation (except under specific conditions where it has a transient effect), and spatial reorientation occurs when there is a change in rotational velocity with respect to the organism’s body \[128\]. For example, an increase in the rotational speed of the organism can result in a net direction change. However, if variation in the rotational velocity occurs with an increase in the linear velocity, then reorientation will be faster \[129\].

Changes in the direction of a microorganism’s rotational velocity are recognizable by variations in its helical trajectory parameters, such as radius, pitch, and pitch angle \[128\]. For example, in Paramecium, a transformation of the organism’s helical path to a more linear trajectory, due to the rise in the beating rate of cilia leads to the orientation of the Paramecium \[130\]. This transformation indicates that the microorganism has changed its rotational velocity. Fig. 5c shows how Paramecium changes the parameters, including pitch angle and diameter of the helix, of its helical swimming path when responding to chemical stimulation.

Microorganisms orient the axis of their helical swimming trajectory to the direction of a stimulus \[129\], as can be seen, for example, in Chlamydomonas cells \[131, 132\]. This orientation is performed by changing the components of the rotational velocity of the organism and aligning it with the stimulus direction \[117\]. Crenshaw demonstrated that if the rotational velocity components are functions of the stimulation intensity – for example, chemical concentrations – then the organism can align its direction with the desired stimulation direction \[129\].

Another study showed when the torsion and curvature of a swimmer’s helical path depend on the stimulation signal, it can orient to exhibit a chemotactic response, referred to as
helical klinotaxis [133]. Recently, Su et al. claimed that human and horse sperms swim along a helical band, instead of a helical path, having a sinusoidal motion in the plane of the band [119]. However, this combined motion, oscillation along a “chiral ribbon,” does not change their overall helical motion or the way they orient.

### 3.4 Orientation by speed modulation

In contrast to a direction change in the rotational velocity of a motor in tumbling, or of the body in helical swimming, some microorganisms use a rate modulation for reorientation. *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* has a single flagellum protruding laterally in the middle of its body that rotates in only one direction, usually CW [134]. Clockwise rotation of the flagellum, which has a right-handed helical shape with a small amplitude and long wavelength, propels the organism forward along a straight line. The regular swimming is interrupted by stops where the organism reduces the rotational speed of the flagellum and changes the swimming direction. Packer et al. showed that the flagellar motor of *R. sphaeroides* varies its speed from a mean value of 6.9 Hz during a run to less than 3 Hz in the stop phase, and vice versa [135]. This deceleration and acceleration can be regulated at different rates. When the motor reduces its speed during a stop, the flagellum exhibits a polymorphic transition to a large-amplitude, small-wavelength curly helix [136]. The slow rotation of this curly flagellum does not propel the cell, but it contributes to the

---

**Fig. 5** a The motion of the ciliated cell is described using its rotational and linear velocity. If the velocities are not parallel or perpendicular, the trajectory of the organism has a helical shape. The helical trajectory axis shows the direction of motion. The ciliated cell changes rotational velocity, for example, with a rise in the beating rate of cilia, which yields to swimming along a new helical path of an oriented direction to the previous helical trajectory. b The helical trajectory of a microorganism. The coordinate system $ijk$ is used to describe the helical path, which $k$ is the helix axis. $T$ and $N$ show the tangential and normal unit vectors of the tangent-normal-binormal coordinate system ($\mathbf{B}$, which is perpendicular to both $T$ and $N$, has not been shown). c Images of a *Paramecium* helical swimming paths of different shape which responds to a chemical stimulation (solution of 20 mM KCl) [130]. *Paramecium* swims backward along the helical path 1 to a stop at position 2. Then, its motion transforms to a circular one at 3, and gradually to helical paths which are close to a linear motion at 7 [130].
reorientation [137]. Exploiting this orientation method, shown in Fig. 6a, *R. sphaeroides* can exhibit a chemotactic [138, 139] or a chemokinetic [140] response under certain conditions.

*R. lupini*, shown in Fig. 6b, has four flagella rotating only in CW sense, contrary to the bidirectional rotation of *E. coli* flagella [40]. Once all flagella rotate in a CW direction, the bundle of flagella creates a forward motion for the *R. lupini*. After this run stage, one flagellum slows down, and the bundle spreads out when the cell reorients (Fig. 6b). *R. lupini* swims in a new direction by reforming a bundle of flagella.

Reorientation by speed modulation is also seen in *Sinorhizobium meliloti* [141, 142]. *S. meliloti* has peritrichous right-handed “complex” flagella that differ from the “plain” flagella of *E. coli*. Complex flagella have a coarse surface consisting of grooves and helical ridges compared to the smooth surface of plain filaments [143]. The flagellar motors of *S. meliloti* are unidirectional and rotate in the CW direction. When motors speed up in the CW direction, the flagella form a bundle and propel the cell at a velocity of up to 60 μm s⁻¹ [144]. In a chemotactic response, the rigid structure of the complex flagella does not allow a polymorphic transition, and the flagella continue to rotate in a CW direction. However, they start to turn at different rates, with slower rates for some flagella [145], leading to dispersal of the flagella bundle and changes in the swimming direction of the cell. The cell turns occur continuously between runs, without an evident stop, in contrast to that seen in *R. sphaeroides*, by speed modulation and asynchronous rotation of flagellar motors [138].

---

**Fig. 6** a The microorganism changes direction by speed modulation. Forward swimming of the cell due to CW rotation of its single flagellum is interrupted by rotational speed reduction and polymorphic transition of the flagellum. This leads to a reorientation of the organism and swimming in a new direction. b Successive images, taken at 60 Hz, of *R. lupini* having four flagella rotating in CW direction, which moves the cell forward (frame 1). One flagellum slows down rotation at frames 2 to 5 when it starts to leave apart the bundle (shown by a white arrow in frame 5). The flagellum ends in a stop in frame 9 (shown by white arrow), causing a reorientation for the cell, which is complete in frame 11. The bundle is reformed in frame 12 to 15 where the cell swims in a new direction [40].
3.5 Complex flagella

*R. lupini* changes its swimming direction by speed modulation of its peritrichous flagella when the flagella clockwise rotation is followed by an asynchronous deceleration and bundle dispersion, as described for *S. meliloti* above [40]. The speed modulation is accompanied by perturbations at the flagella tip that propagate along the bundle. This phenomenon is thought to break the hydrodynamic unity of the bundled flagella and to contribute to the reorientation of the organism (Fig. 7) [146, 147]. The complex flagellar filaments of *R. lupini*, similar to flagella of *S. meliloti* [148], are helically perturbed, with a coarse surface and outer ridges [149–152]. This specific outer structure of the flagella, which is an adaptation to a highly viscous environment, causes perturbations, and may deviate the laminar flow (intrinsic at low Re number) to a surprisingly turbulent flow [147]. Trachtenberg et al. modeled the flow over the filaments of *R. lupini*, which have a specific cross section (Fig. 7), and found theoretically that the flow will become turbulent at the leading edges of the complex flagella [147]. This transition emerges at Re numbers of 0.015 or higher which real flagella may experience, albeit at utmost conditions. Although this is a local effect at the flagella surface, the turbulence might be sufficient to break the hydrodynamic integration of the flagella and disperse the bundle. When the flagella bundle is disunited, the cell changes swimming direction during a short tumble. Then, the flagella start to rotate again with concerted speeds and form a bundle, propelling the organism in the new direction.

3.6 Effects of mastigonemes

Eukaryotic flagellates, such as spermatozoa, are usually pushed when planar waves travel along the flagellum’s length. However, the anterior flagellum of the *Ochromonas malhamensis* pulls the cell, and its undulating waves propel the cell in the same direction [153–158]. This thrust reversal is related to the effect of lateral hair-like projections, called mastigonemes, along the flagellum. The mastigonemes have a typical length of 1 μm and a diameter of 20 nm, and are seen on at least two sides of the flagellum [50]. Jahn et al. explained that these passive mastigonemes function when they are relatively stiff or oriented in a desired direction [153]. Their hydrodynamic analysis showed that the thrust reversal was seen beyond a critical number of mastigonemes; however, with the critical number of mastigonemes, the cell becomes non-motile. Holwill and Sleigh explained that the greater tangential drag coefficient of flagella bearing mastigonemes, compared with the normal coefficient, leads to codirectional wave propagation and cell propulsion [154]. They found that for a flagellum with two rows of mastigonemes, the ratio between the tangential and normal drag coefficients was 1.8, while the ratio was 1.2 in the case of flagellum with nine rows of mastigonemes.

4 Micro-/nanorobot control

Engineers have benefitted from progress in understanding the physics of microorganisms, and have been inspired by the locomotion methodologies of these tiny creatures to propose several micro- and nanorobots exploiting flagellar and ciliary propulsion methods. Although the field has advanced predominantly in only the past decade, there are some reviews of the area [159–165]. Reviewing the literature shows that mimicking biological patterns has been a dominant strategy to develop functional swimming microrobots [164].

Peyer et al. reviewed artificial bacterial flagella (ABF) microrobots that mimic prokaryotic flagellar propulsion [160]. Helical propulsion using rigid or flexible filaments has been used as a mechanism for artificial microswimmers [166–175]. The microswimmer, consisting of a helical
filament and a body, is rotated under an external field, typically magnetic. The external field can spin a magnetic head or a magnetic flagellum, and hydrodynamic interaction between the helix and the fluid converts the rotational motion to a linear one degree-of-freedom (DOF) motion of the microrobot. Using three-dimensional direct laser writing, Tottori et al. fabricated a helical structure that could achieve a maximum speed of $320 \, \mu\text{m s}^{-1}$ [169]. This group later reported similar structures composed of a degradable superparamagnetic polymer with comparable motion characteristics [176]. They have proved the potential of functionalized ABF microrobots for various biomedical applications, for instance, gene therapy [177]. Another rigid helical magnetic robot has been fabricated by 3D molding of iron structures in the range of few micrometers [178]. Recently, instead of hard and stiff materials, some microrobots are made of soft and flexible helices or biohybrid structures [179, 180]. For example, helical biohybrid microrobots have been reported by magnetizing microalgae organism maintaining its motility for targeted therapy [179]. Furthermore, soft microrobots depict swimming capabilities such as 3D-printed hydrogel-based microrobots under applying an external magnetic field [180] or a photothermal actuation [181].

Eukaryotic propulsion systems have also been used for human-made swimmers at microscale [182, 183]. Fig. 8 shows a flexible flagellum that has been stimulated to generate a wave traveling along the flagellum and hence, propels the head. The flagellum is composed of magnetic micro-spheres connected with flexible DNA linkers [182]. Guo et al. actuated a magnetic head attached to a flexible flagellum by an alternating magnetic field [184, 185]. The robot had a millimeter-scale and could move in the water. Even though this idea should be tested at a microscale to demonstrate the propulsion ability in low Re number flow, it has one-DOF motion. Another approach is to stimulate piezoelectric segments of the flagellum with a phase difference to form a planar wave [186, 187]. Ahmed et al. suggested propelling a nanoswimmer by acoustically triggering its flexible tail and creating a traveling wave through it [188]. Recently, Khalil et al. have reported a magnetic microrobot that exploits the planar wave of two soft flagella for swimming forward and backward [189].

Ciliary propulsion shows potential for artificial microswimming [190–194]. Ghanbari et al. proposed a magnetic actuation of artificial cilia using a pre-designed field and demonstrated a beating pattern of cilia, mimicking their natural counterparts [191]. Although this cilia-based microrobot did not benefit from forming a metachronal wave because the cilia beat with identical frequency and without a phase difference, it showed appropriate swimming efficiency [190]. The synchronous beating of two rows of cilia, distributed symmetrically on the body sides, generated a one-DOF motion in the microrobot.

The most conceivable application of swimming microrobots is in medicine, where microrobots may be able to operate in difficult-to-access regions inside the human body [161, 195, 196]. Nelson et al. listed potential areas for microrobot use, such as blood vessels, the spine, and the urethra [197]. These fluid pathlines usually have complex three-dimensional geometries. For practical use, the microrobots
should be able to follow complex trajectories of bodily fluid paths. Thus, they would require an orientation capability along with propulsion. Microrobot orientation control systems proposed in the literature have typically been realized by manipulation of an external field that drives the robot [198, 199]. Here, we propose bioinspired methodologies that may be used for microswimmer reorientation.

4.1 Tumbling

Inspired by bacterial flagella, a tumbling effect can be mimicked in artificial helical microswimmers. The closest mimicking method would be to use several helical flagella, each driven by a motor. Theoretically, synchronous rotation of the motors would propel the microrobot on a linear path. This one-DOF swimming could be interrupted by tumbles when one or more motors start to rotate in another direction, causing the microrobot to tumble and change its rotation. Unlike bacteria, the tumbles may not follow a random pattern and can be learned by a control system to generate the desired reorientation. However, this motor-driven system may be difficult to miniaturize.

Most of the helical propellers that have been realized are rigid [166, 167, 169]. The idea is to interrupt the corkscrew motion of rigid helices by tumbling due to a perturbation. A helix can be devised with some flexible appendages, as shown in Fig. 9a. Provided that the filaments are sufficiently flexible, they follow the rigid helix rotation and contribute to propulsion. Once the external field that generates rotational torque is turned off, the flexible flagella will fly apart, causing a force imbalance. This imbalance can lead to the microrobot tumbling and an orientation change.

It is also worthwhile to consider the tumbling of a microrobot with flexible flagella. One or several flexible filaments can achieve a helical shape under torsion that exceeds a bifurcation threshold, transforming flat filaments. As long as the torque is present, the helical filaments push the microrobot forward. By reversing the torque, the microswimmer tumbles and, like real prokaryotic organisms, changes orientation. Polymorphic transitions of flagella, from normal to coiled and curly shapes, have been recently reported for a magnetic nanorobotic swimmer to generate different swimming characteristics [200]. Though tumbling has not occurred for this artificial swimmer because of its variations from real bacteria structure and a low actuation frequency, these polymorphic transformations of flagella can establish a navigation strategy for micro-/nanoswimmers to adopt themselves with a dynamically changing environment.

Multi-actuation strategies would likely provoke coupled effects between the cell body of a microrobot and its flagellum or between its flagella. For instance, introducing a thermal or optical stimulation to a flagellar microswimmer with a cell body composed of physically responsive hydrogels [201–203], when its flagella are magnetically triggered, can cause a tumbling. Heating these soft materials establishes morphological transformations of the hydrogel-fabricated body leading to a reorientation for the swimmer.

4.2 Reverse and flick

The mechanism used by organisms to generate a flick in the flagella could also be copied in artificial microswimmers. Consider a microrobot design composed of a body, a helical flagellum, and a flexible hook that connects the body and the flagellum (Fig. 9b). The helical flagellum acts as a propeller and a rudder to change the microrobot’s direction. When the flagellum is rotated by a torque that applies tension in the flexible hook, it follows the flagellum’s rotation. Thus, the microrobot moves in a linear path. However, the linear motion of the microrobot can be interrupted by reversing the direction of the helix rotation, causing the hook to undergo compression. Buckling instability of the hook under compression can result in a flick of the flagellum and an orientation change of the microrobot. Buckling instability has been reported previously for elastic filaments spinning in a viscous fluid [204, 205], and has also been shown to be responsible for extensive bending of cilia in an artificial microswimmer [191]. The flexibility of the hook can be designed to provide the desired functionality in the run and orientation phases. In another design, two rigid helices can be connected with a flexible hook to exploit the flick on the attached helix for orientation of the other helix, as shown in Fig. 9c.

The flexibility of the hook and the capacity for a flick will vary with the mean value of the orientation angle between two runs of the helical propulsion system. However, the propeller can achieve reorientation using successive run-and-reverse, as is seen in some bacteria. For example, Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis and Shewanella putrefaciens, each with a single polar flagellum, use a run-and-reverse strategy [206, 207]. Reversal of the flagellum motor reverses the swimming direction by 180°; however deviations from this pure reversal enable the microorganism to swim in new directions. This strategy could also be adopted by artificial microswimmers where iterating the run-and-reverse pattern can provide variations in the swimming direction. Devising a microrobotic system with an appropriate control or a self-regulated learning strategy will result in a reliable steering methodology.

4.3 Swimming on a helical path

Microrobots have been propelled using non-biomimetic methods that can be achieved through the application of magnetic fields. The gradients of a non-uniform magnetic field apply forces on a magnetized body [208–210]. Such gradient direct pulling has been realized using electromagnetic actuation systems, which have no analog in nature. However, we
may adopt a biomimetic methodology for direction control in such magnetic microrobots. Kim et al. showed that a rolling motion along with direct pulling increases the speed of a microrobot [211]. Tung et al. proposed the non-contact transportation of micro-objects using a rolling motion of a magnetic microrobot [212]. In addition to the advantages of rolling motion, we consider its potential for direction control in magnetic microrobots.

Gradient pulling of a magnetic microrobot can occur along with the application of a rotating magnetic field, as depicted in Fig. 9d. The motion of the object can now be described using its linear and angular velocities. When the linear and angular velocities are

---

Fig. 9  a Run and tumbling suggested for a microrobot that consists of a rigid helix and several flexible filaments. The microrobot is propelled forward by rotation of the helical flagellum. However, when the rotational torque is removed, the flexible filaments fly apart, and the microrobot tumbles and changes its orientation. b The helical propeller is connected to the body with a flexible hook to provide the ability to flick the propeller. c Two rigid helices connected through a flexible hook. d Application of magnetic force ($F_m$) and magnetic torque ($T_m$) on a magnetic microrobot steers the microrobot in a helical trajectory when the force and torque are not parallel or perpendicular. The direction of the helical path, hence the microrobot, can be controlled by the frequency of magnetic torque.
velocities are not parallel or perpendicular, the microrobot swims along a helical path. The net microrobot swimming direction is along the central axis of the helical path. Furthermore, with increased swimming speed, helical-path swimming opens up possibilities for direction control of a gradient-pulled microrobot. As described for microorganisms in section 3.3, the direction of a microrobot can be controlled by changes in its rotational velocity.

Abbott et al. showed that the magnetic gradient of a set of electromagnetic coils decays with a fourth order of the length over which it is projected, while a magnetic field decays with the third order [213]. Thus, there are more restrictions on the magnitude of electromagnetic gradients than magnetic fields. These restrictions make reorientation and control more challenging. Using helical-path swimming may contribute to the controllability of microrobots because the direction is controlled by the rotational velocity of the magnetic field.

4.4 Speed modulation

Direction change in a microrobot with several helical flagella may be realized using speed modulation. An individual rotary motor drives each flagellum. When the motors are rotated with identical frequencies, the microrobot swims along a linear path. However, changes in the rotational frequency of each flagellum will result in the reorientation of the microrobot. Redirection can be achieved by magnitude differentiation between flagella frequencies, or by a change in the clockwise/counterclockwise rotation of the flagella. At least three flagella with individual rotary motors are required for a 6-DOF motion in a microrobot.

Using the wireless application of a rotational magnetic field, current helical propellers are driven at different motion modes depending on the field frequency [172, 214]. The magnetic field, at certain revolutions, synchronizes the rotation of all flagella of the microrobot with its velocity. For this type of actuation, one can use different design parameters of flagella to generate speed differentiation. However, this differentiation will be fixed and will not provide the required maneuverability. Although fabrication of a rotary motor, similar to molecular motors of bacterial flagella, is currently challenging, rapid advances in micro/nanofabrication may yield such a system in the not-too-distant future. Then, speed modulation would likely be the easiest method to create a stand-alone maneuverable microrobot.

4.5 Artificial flagella with complex geometry

Helical flagella that have been realized to date have smooth and simple structures. However, helical propellers with complex structures may show different swimming behaviors. A turbulent flow can be generated at the surface of helices with grooves and ridges, as seen in some microorganisms. This local turbulence affects the hydrodynamic balance and leads to changes in the orientation of a microrobot. Turbulence, at the surface, depends on the geometry and rotational frequency of the flagella. A threshold frequency can be specified for a given structure of flagella, beyond which the flow becomes turbulent. As long as the frequency is below this threshold value, the microrobot moves forward along a linear path. However, increasing the frequency over the threshold will result in a direction change of the microswimmer.

Complex structures of flagella can be achieved using three-dimensional laser lithography [215], which has been recently used to fabricate helical microswimmers (Fig. 10a,b) [169] and bio-scaffolds [211, 216]. This technique enables the fabrication of 3D structures with desired geometry at a high resolution. Two laser beams form a single ellipsoidal spot, a tool for scanning the pre-defined 3D structure within a photoresist (Fig. 10c). Parts of the photoresist exposed by the laser beam are polymerized, and the 3D structure can be produced by removing the unexposed photoresist in a developer.

4.6 Flagella with mastigonemes

For a helical flagellum bearing mastigonemes, the tangential drag force outweighs the normal drag force. Thus, the microrobot moves in the direction of helical wave propagation. This is unlike the case with smooth helical propellers, which cause motion in the reverse direction. Tottori and Nelson showed that a helical propeller bearing orthogonal appendages (with respect to the helix) swims in the reverse direction than would be expected for a helical propeller without mastigonemes [217]. They demonstrated that the swimming direction and velocity are determined by the length and spacing ratios of the mastigonemes. Fig. 10d shows the helical structures bearing mastigonemes with various length-to-spacing ratios fabricated using 3D laser lithography. The 180° change in swimming direction occurs when the protruding appendages on the helix surface are passive, rigid, and orthogonal. Although the mastigonemes of *Ochromonas* have also been reported as relatively rigid filaments, they can also bend, up to an extent, during hydrodynamic interaction with the fluid at their intersection with the main flagellum. The question is how the flexibility of mastigonemes affect their performance in changing the swimming direction? Researchers have modeled the hydrodynamics of the flagellate with mastigonemes and concluded that increasing the flexibility will decrease the efficiency of reverse swimming [156, 158]. Brennen specified a range for the rigidity of actual mastigonemes [156].

The real mastigonemes are passive [156], however, artificial filaments with an active control that provide the required flexibility would enable a helical microrobot to use the ciliary effect of mastigonemes to tune its orientation. How much mastigonemes shift the ratio between tangential and normal...
Fig. 10  

(a) An array of helical structures, and 
(b) A vertical helical microswimmer, fabricated using 3D laser lithography [169]. 

(c) The 3-step process of 3D laser lithography, which includes photoresist coating, laser exposure, and development. 

(d) Helical structures bearing perpendicular mastigonemes, with various length-to-spacing ratios, fabricated using 3D laser lithography and coated by magnetic material. The scale bar is 10 μm [217].
5 Direction change due to the wall effect

The interaction between microorganism cells and their propulsion systems with solid surface results in different swimming behaviors of cells. Sperms are captured by a hydrodynamic trap at surfaces [218–222]. They tend to swim in circular or curvilinear trajectories instead of along helical paths. The helical swimming path of the sperm changes to a circular path near a surface due to the combination of hydrodynamic repulsion of the wall and sperm velocity [223]. This non-random effect enables sperms to fulfill their role in fertilization [222].

Prokaryotic microorganisms, such as *E. coli*, also tend to accumulate near surfaces, where they switch from a straight run and tumble to a circular motion [110, 224, 225]. The swimming trajectories of *E. coli* near a solid surface are shown in Fig. 11. The microorganism swims in circular paths when there is no external stimulation (such as a fluid flow) [226]. Lauga et al. modeled the motion of *E. coli* near solid boundaries [227]. They showed that the tendency of bacteria to swim into the solid boundary is due to force- and torque-free swimming. The wall effect has also been reported for monotrichous flagellates [109, 110] and ciliated microorganisms [228, 229].

The wall effect also depends on pusher or puller type, another categorization of swimmers [230]. A pusher is a swimmer that exerts fluid to the back away from the body, while a puller generates a flow towards the body [231]. While moving parallel to the wall, a pusher type swimmer is attracted to it, whereas a puller type microorganism is repelled. Different hydrodynamic interactions of the puller and pusher type with the wall also cause the swimmer to reorient in opposite directions [232].

The wall effect is also present for artificial microswimmers when they change direction. Zhang et al. reported that an artificial bacterial propeller tends to drift towards a wall while swimming in its neighborhood [168]. However, the body and flagellum of an artificial microswimmer are not hinged together and do not counter-rotate to produce a circular trajectory, as seen in real bacteria [233]. The wall effect might be undesirable and should be compensated for within the microrobotic control in some applications. However, it may also provide the possibility of direction control for artificial microswimmers. For example, these hydrodynamic interactions of a microrobot with a wall can keep it close to a wall, so that the microrobot follows the wall trajectory.

6 Summary and Outlook

Propulsion methodologies of microorganisms have been studied in several reviews; however, to our knowledge, no previous review has investigated the strategies of microorganisms for direction control. Although not exhaustive, section 3
provides the major methodologies that microorganisms use for reorientation. Tumbling of peritrichous *E. coli*, due to the reversal of rotation in its flagella; the backtrack motion of the monotrichous *V. alginolyticus*, when it is followed by a flagellum flick; control of rotational velocity by sperms that swim along a helical path; speed modulation of the flagellum in *R. sphaeroides*, using turbulent flow at the flagella surface in *R. lupini*; and the effect of mastigonemes in *Ochromonas malhamensis* illustrate a variety of strategies for reorientation in microorganisms. These strategies along with the main physical mechanism behind them are outlined in Table 1. The trajectory of microorganisms can also be altered by the impact of a solid boundary, where they mostly swim along a circular path.

For engineers, microorganisms have been a source of inspiration for propulsion at the micro- and nanoscale. These autonomous micro-/nanorobots have attracted much research attention because of their application in biomedical areas [195, 197, 234]. Drug delivery at the cell level, *in vivo* surgery, and cell delivery to the internal tissues of the human body would be promising applications of these micro-/nanodevices. To perform these risky operations, the micro-/nanorobots need to reorient and control their trajectories to follow the complex geometries of their environments. Thus, looking at the strategies of their natural counterparts may inspire control mechanisms for these artificial swimmers. This knowledge can also help to control the microorganisms for cargo (drug/cell) transport and delivery in biomedical applications.

We have surveyed the reorientation mechanisms of microorganisms and proposed ideas to mimic them in artificial micro-/nanoswimmers. Reversal of the flagella of a robot with several flagella rotating individually or perturbations of

| Structure                  | Size          | Material                                                                 | Fabrication method                                                                 | Young’s Modulus | Reference |
|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Helical                    | Length: 2 μm | SiO2                                                                     | Glancing angle deposition                                                        | ~70 GPa         | Ghosh and Fischer 2009 [166] |
|                           | Dia: 200 nm  |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
| Helical                    | Length: 30-100 μm | InGaAs/GaAs bilayers                                                              | Microfabrication techniques such as photolithography, resistive ion etching (RIE), evaporation, etc. | ~80 GPa         | Bell et al. 2007 [241]          |
|                           | Dia: 2.8 μm  |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
| Helical                    | Length: 4-65 μm | SU-8, IP-L                                                                | 3D direct laser writing (DLW)                                                     | SU-8: 2-4 GPa   | Tottori et al. 2012 [169]        |
|                           | Dia: 1-10 μm |                                                                          |                                                                                  | IP-L: 1-2 GPa   |           |
| Helical                    | Length: 15.7 μm | Gel resist composed of inorganic-(Si-O-Si)-organic groups, commercially known as ORMOCOMP | 3D direct laser writing (DLW)                                                     | ~1 GPa          | Qiu et al. 2014 [171]           |
|                           | Dia: 5.3 μm  |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
| Helical                    | Length: 14-28 μm | Superparamagnetic hydrogel composed of poly (ethylene glycol) diacrylate (PEG-DA), and pentaerythritol triacrylate (PE-TA) | 3D direct laser writing (DLW)                                                     | ~100 kPa        | Peters et al. 2016 [176]         |
|                           | Dia: 1.5-3 μm |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
| Planar flexible tail       | Length: 8.8 μm | Polypyrrole (PPy)                                                        | Multistep electrodeposition                                                        | 0.1 GPa         | Ahmed et al. 2016 [188]          |
|                           | Thickness: 0.6 μm |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
| Helical tail, Spiral tail, Planar flexible tail | Length: 750 μm | N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAAm)/poly (ethylene glycol) diacrylate (PEGDA) | Sequential photolithography patterning                                            | 11.4 kPa        | Huang et al. 2016 [202]          |
|                           | Thickness: 50*30 μm |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
| Helical                    | Length: 20 μm | Polypyrrole (PPy)                                                        | Template-assisted electrodeposition                                               | 0.1 GPa         | Zeeshan et al. 2014 [242]        |
|                           | Dia: 0.65 μm |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
| Helical                    | Length: 600 nm-3 μm | Palladium (Pd)                                                            | Template-assisted electrodeposition                                               | ~120 GPa        | Li et al. 2014 [243]             |
|                           | Dia: 100-400 nm |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
| Planar flexible body       | Length: 1000 μm | Liquid-crystal elastomer                                                  | UV curing of the manually-pulled fiber                                           | ~1000 kPa       | Palagi et al. 2016 [244]          |
| Helical (ribbon)           | Length: 200 μm | Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAm) microgel with embedded gold nanorods | Molding                                                                           | ~90-465 kPa     | Mourran et al. 2017 [181]         |
|                           | Width: 5 μm  |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
| Helical                    | Length: 1 μm | Superparamagnetic hydrogel composed of PEGDA-pentaerythritol triacrylate (PETA), Fe3O4 MNPs, and 5-fluourouracil (5-FU) | 3D direct laser writing (DLW)                                                     | ~1000 kPa       | Park et al. 2019 [245]           |
|                           | Length: 120 μm |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
| Helical                    | Length: 20 μm | Composite hydrogel containing gelatin methacryloyl, photoinitiator, and iron oxide nanoparticles | 3D direct laser writing (DLW)                                                     | ~100 kPa        | Ceylan et al. 2019 [180]         |
|                           | Dia: 6 μm    |                                                                          |                                                                                  |                 |           |
flexible appendages in helical motion can cause tumbling for a swimmer. Another strategy is to devise a rigid helical flagellum with a flexible hook to provide a tool for flicking the flagellum, changing the robot’s direction. Another approach is to use magnetic micro-/nanorobots that are driven by simultaneous torque and force. They travel along a helical path, the direction of which can be controlled by the rotational velocity of the applied field. We can also use speed differentiation between several flagella that propel a micro-/nanorobot to reorient its swimming trajectory. Complex structures of flagella can be shaped using 3D micro-/nanofabrication technologies [169, 178]. Flagella with complex shapes may establish turbulence at the flagella surface, and such turbulence can cause the swimmer to change direction. The reverse direction has already been shown for a helix with mastigonemes [217]; use and control of active mastigonemes could provide the required tool for micro-/nanoswimmer maneuverability.

In summary, the field of micro-/nanorobotics is a multidisciplinary research area. Among several areas, fabrication is of great importance. Fabrication of micro- or nanomotors with varying rotational speeds that could be driven wirelessly would be promising for the realization of autonomous micro-/nanoswimmers with high maneuverability. Table 2 summarizes the material properties and fabrication method for a number of developed flagella-based microrobots. The data reveals a growing interest towards the soft micro-/nanorobotics [235–237]. Reliable fabrication of sufficiently flexible materials such as hydrogels [176, 202, 238, 239] may also provide the required tools for the reorientation and control of swimming micro-/nanorobots.
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