Leptogluons in dilepton production at LHC
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In the composite models with colored substructure of the fermions the color singlet leptons are accompanied by a composite color octet partners, which are known as leptogluons. We consider the effect of leptogluons in the dilepton production at the LHC and show that in the reachable parameter range this effect is typically dominated by $t$-channel leptogluon exchange (indirect channel). We show that this channel alone can give a sizable contribution to the dimuon production at the LHC for TeV scale values of the invariant mass of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs.
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1. Introduction

For about a century particle physics investigates matter at distances from about $10^{-10}$ m (size of the atom) to about $10^{-15}$ m (nucleons substructure), so it is five orders of magnitude progress in exploring micro-world. A big question is what can happen next? Do presently known as elementary particles are complex at smaller distances? There are many interesting theories which explore physics at these tiny distances below $10^{-15}$ m, let us mention only theories of extra dimensions or string theories. Yet another type of models constitute so-called composite models [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Early models, which introduced a substructure of the Standard Model (SM) leptons, were discussed in Refs. [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Leptons with colored subcomponents are automatically accompanied by a color octet composites $\ell_8$ having the same lepton numbers, which are called leptogluons. They can be probed at the high-energy collider experiments [9, 10, 11], in particular, at the LHC frontier [12, 13, 14]. Collider effects of the leptogluons are of exceptional interest since they are dominated by the tree level processes, while
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the related contact interactions and contributions to the lepton magnetic moments have one- and two-loop suppression, respectively.

The strongest mass bound for the charged leptogluons is $m_8 > 1.2 - 1.3$ TeV [13]. However for the choice of parameters in Ref. [14] the $t$-channel production of leptogluons is suppressed with respect to their pair production. In this work we show that for the compositeness scale $\Lambda$, which is close to the allowed values of $m_8$, the $t$-channel exchange of leptogluons dominates over their pair production at $8$ TeV LHC, and this channel alone can give a sizable contribution to the production of dileptons with the invariant mass $m(\ell^+\ell^-) = O(1)$ TeV. (Here and below $m_8$ denotes the relevant $\ell_8^\pm$ mass).

2. Indirect and pair production of leptogluons at the LHC

Effective interaction of $\ell_8$ with leptons and gluons can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g_s}{2\Lambda} f_8^A \sigma^{\mu\nu} G_A^{\mu\nu} (a_{\ell L} P_L + a_{\ell R} P_R) \ell + \text{H.c.},$$

(1)

where $g_s$ is the strong coupling constant, $G_A^{\mu\nu}$ is the gluon field strength, $P_L(R)$ is the left (right) projector, $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$, $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$, and for the new couplings we take: $a_{\ell L} = 1$ and $a_{\ell R} = 0$ [14]. The width of the dominant decay of $\ell_8$ can be written as $\Gamma_{\ell_8 \to g\ell} = \alpha_s m_3^2/(4\Lambda^2)$, where $\alpha_s = g_s^2/(4\pi)$.

Below we consider long-lived leptogluons with $\Gamma \ll m_8$.

Fig. 1. Leading Feynman diagrams for $gg \to \ell^+\ell^-$ via $t$-channel exchange of $\ell_8^\pm$.

Fig. 2. Leading Feynman diagrams for the processes $gg \to \ell_8^+\ell_8^-$ and $q\bar{q} \to \ell_8^+\ell_8^-$.

The leading Feynman diagrams on the parton level for indirect production (IP) and pair production (PP) of $\ell_8$ in $p\bar{p}$ collisions are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 respectively, and the total cross sections are (see Appendix A)

1 Notice that the effective compositeness scale for contact (4-fermion) interactions may exceed the scale $\Lambda$ in Eq. (1) due to the loop factor, which was mentioned above.

2 Notice that factor 1/2 in Eq. (1) leads to the Feynman rule without factor 2.

Directly produced $\ell_8^\pm$ undergo $\ell_8^\pm \to \ell^\pm g$ decays with close to 100% branching ratios.
\[ \sigma_{gg\rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-} = \frac{\pi}{12} \alpha_s^2 \xi^4 m_8^2 F(r), \quad (2) \]

\[ \sigma_{q\bar{q}\rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-} = \frac{16\pi}{9} \frac{\alpha_s^2}{m_8^2} r(1+2r)\beta, \quad (3) \]

\[ \sigma_{gg\rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-} = \pi \frac{\alpha_s^2}{12m_8^2} \left[ F_1(r) + \xi^4 m_8^4 F_2(r) + \xi^2 m_8^4 F_{12}(r) \right], \quad (4) \]

where we neglected the terms of \( \mathcal{O}(\Gamma/m_8) \) which effect is below 1%, \( \xi = a_{LL}/\Lambda, \ r = m_8^2/s, \ \beta = \sqrt{1-4r} \), and other functions are defined as

\[ F(r) = \frac{1 - 6r - 24r^2}{2r} + 3r(3+4r) \ln \left( \frac{1+r}{r} \right), \quad (5) \]

\[ F_1(r) = -18r(4+17r)\beta + 54r(1+4r - 4r^2) \ln \left( \frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right), \quad (6) \]

\[ F_2(r) = \frac{4(1-4r)}{r} \left[ (1+6r)\beta + 6r^2 \ln \left( \frac{1-2r+\beta}{1-2r-\beta} \right) \right], \quad (7) \]

\[ F_{12}(r) = -3(2+r)(1+6r)\beta + \frac{18r(1+r)}{1-r} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right) + r^2 \ln \left( \frac{1-2r+\beta}{1-2r-\beta} \right) \right]. \quad (8) \]

The total cross section for \( pp \rightarrow abX \rightarrow cdX \) can be calculated as

\[ \sigma_{pp\rightarrow cdX} = \int_{y_0}^{1} \frac{dy}{y} \int \frac{dx}{x} p_a(x, \mu_F^2) p_b \left( \frac{y}{x}, \mu_F^2 \right) \sigma_{ab\rightarrow cd}(y \sqrt{s}), \quad (9) \]

where \( y_0 = \mu_{cd}^2/s \) (\( \mu_{cd} \) is the minimal invariant mass of \( cd \)), \( \sqrt{s} \) is the total energy of the proton-proton collisions, \( \mu_F \) is the factorization scale, \( p_a(x,Q^2) = x \text{pdf}_a(x,Q) \) is the parton distribution in proton for the momentum transfer \( Q \), and \( X \) represents the two jets close to the beam axis.

Numerical calculations we performed in MadGraph5 [22], using FeynRules [23, 24] to generate UFO-format [25] model files. Fig. 3 shows cross sections for IP and PP of leptoquarks at the LHC. In particular, IP of \( \ell_8 \) dominates at 8 TeV LHC for \( m_8 > 1.2 \) TeV (current bound) and \( \Lambda \sim m_8 \). For \( m_8 \approx 1 \) TeV the cross sections increase by factor of \( \mathcal{O}(10) \) with the energy increase up to 14 TeV. For \( m_8 \approx 2 \) TeV the PP (IP) cross section increases by factor of about 300 (\( \sim 30 \)) with the same energy increase.

\footnote{The dependence of PP of \( \ell_8 \) on \( \Lambda \) is due to the 4th and 5th diagrams in Fig. 2}
Fig. 3. Total cross sections for various processes that involve leptogluons versus the leptogluon mass $m_8$ for $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV (left) and 14 TeV (right). Solid (dotted-dashed) and long-dashed (short-dashed) lines represent $pp \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ and $pp \rightarrow \ell_8^+\ell_8^-$ processes for the compositeness scale $\Lambda = m_8$ ($\Lambda = 5$ TeV), respectively.

Fig. 4. Left: Simulated $\mu^+\mu^-$ invariant mass spectra. Right: Normalized difference between the number of the CMS data and simulated dimuon events in the given $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ ranges for $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and with 20.6 fb$^{-1}$. Solid (dashed) line is connected with the SM background (the SM background plus the signal of $\mu_8^\pm$).

Fig. 4 (left) shows the simulated dimuon invariant mass spectra at the LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and 20.6 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity, where light, dark and white histograms represent Drell-Yan production (dominant SM background: $Z/\gamma^*$), the effect of muonic leptogluons $\mu_8^\pm$ with $m_8 = \Lambda = 1.5$ TeV, and their combination, respectively. The difference between the number of the CMS data [26] and simulated events normalized to the simulated events in various ranges of the invariant mass $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ is shown in Fig. 4 (right). The solid line is connected with the SM background. The dashed line corresponds to the combination of the SM background and the effect of IP of $\mu_8^\pm$ with the mass $m_8 = 2$ TeV and coupling-to-scale ratio $\xi = (2.4$ TeV)$^{-1}$, which minimizes the likelihood function: $\chi^2_{\text{min}} = 2.07$. Fig. 4 shows that IP of $\mu_8$ decreases the dimuon signal for large $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$.
To conclude, the present analysis shows a possibility of sizable effects of leptogluons in dilepton production at the LHC for large invariant masses.
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Appendix A

Appendix A.1 Indirect production of $\ell_8^\pm$

Analytical results were derived with the help of FeynArts [16] and FormCalc [17]. Differential cross section for IP of leptogluons can be written as

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{gg\to \ell^+\ell^-}}{d\hat{t}} = \frac{1}{16\pi\hat{s}^2} \frac{1}{256} d_R g_8^4 \hat{t}^4 \sum (M_{11} + M_{22}),$$

(A.1)

where the two summands (one of them is missing in Ref. [18]) correspond to the two diagrams in Fig. 1, $d_R = 8$ is the dimension of octet representation of $SU(3)$, factor $1/256 = 1/(2^2 8^2)$ comes from the averaging over polarizations and colors of gluons, and normalized squared matrix elements are

$$\sum M_{11} = -\frac{4}{(\hat{t} - m_8^2)^2}, \quad \sum M_{22} = -\frac{4}{(\hat{u} - m_8^2)^2},$$

(A.2)

where $\hat{s} = (k_1 + k_2)^2$, $\hat{t} = (q_1 - k_1)^2$ and $\hat{u} = (q_2 - k_1)^2$ are the Mandelstam variables, and $\sum$ denotes the summation over initial and final spin states. Then Eq. (2) can be derived using the formula

$$\hat{\sigma}_{gg\to \ell^+\ell^-} = \int_{-\hat{s}}^{0} dt \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{gg\to \ell^+\ell^-}}{dt}.$$  

(A.3)

Appendix A.2 $\ell_8^+\ell_8^-$ pair production

Following the method of Refs. [19] [20] [21] for $gg \to \ell_8^+\ell_8^-$ we have

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{gg\to \ell_8^+\ell_8^-}}{d\hat{t}} = \frac{\pi\alpha_s^2}{16\hat{s}^2} \left[ K_1(R) \sum (M_{ss} + M_{st} + M_{su}) 
+ K_2(R) \sum (M_{tt} + M_{uu}) + K_3(R) \sum M_{tu} 
+ \xi^4 K_4(R) \sum (M^t_{tt} + M^t_{uu}) 
+ \xi^2 K_5(R) \sum (M^s_{tt} + M^s_{uu}) + \xi^2 K_6(R) \sum M^s_{tu} \right].$$

(A.4)
where the terms with $M_{tt}$ and $M_{uu}$ are absent due to zero color factors, and the normalized squared matrix elements are given as follows

\[ \sum M_{ss} = \frac{(\hat{t} - m^2)(\hat{u} - m^2)}{\hat{s}^2}, \quad (A.5) \]
\[ \sum M_{st} = \frac{(\hat{t} - m^2)(\hat{u} - m^2) + m^2(\hat{u} - \hat{t})}{2\hat{s}(\hat{t} - m^2)} = \sum M_{su}(\hat{t} \leftrightarrow \hat{u}), \quad (A.6) \]
\[ \sum M_{tt} = \frac{(\hat{t} - m^2)(\hat{u} - m^2) - 2m^2(\hat{t} + m^2)}{2(\hat{t} - m^2)^2} = \sum M_{uu}(\hat{t} \leftrightarrow \hat{u})(A.7) \]
\[ \sum M_{tu} = -\frac{m^2(\hat{u}^2 - 4m^2)}{2(\hat{t} - m^2)(\hat{u} - m^2)}, \quad (A.8) \]
\[ \sum M_{ll tt} = \frac{(\hat{t}\hat{u})^2}{4\hat{s}^2} = \sum M_{ll uu}(\hat{t} \leftrightarrow \hat{u}), \quad (A.9) \]
\[ \sum M_{ll st} = \frac{(\hat{t}\hat{u})^2}{4\hat{s}^2} = \sum M_{ll tu}(\hat{t} \leftrightarrow \hat{u}), \quad (A.10) \]
\[ \sum M_{ll tu} = \frac{-m^2}{8\hat{t}} = \sum M_{ll st}(\hat{t} \leftrightarrow \hat{u}), \quad (A.11) \]

where $m \equiv m_8$, and the nonvanishing color factors can be written as

\[ K_1(R) = d_R C_A C_F = 72, \quad K_2(R) = d_R C_F^2 = 72, \quad (A.12) \]
\[ K_3(R) = d_R C_F[C_A - 2C_F] = -72, \quad (A.13) \]
\[ K_4(R) = 64, \quad K_5(R) = -K_6(R) = 24, \quad (A.14) \]

where $C_A$ and $C_F$ are the Casimir invariants. In our case of $SU(3)$ octets we have $d_R = 8$ and $C_A = C_F = 3$. Eq. (4) can be derived using the formula

\[ \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1-\beta)}{m^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1+\beta)} = \int \frac{d\hat{s} g_{s \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-}}{d\hat{t}}. \quad (A.15) \]

The terms that include $\xi$ in Eq. (A.4) are new analytical results related to the 4th and 5th diagrams in Fig. 2 and their interference with others.

The differential cross section for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ is given in Ref. [12]. However there is a misprint in Ref. [12] concerning the interference terms in Eq. (A.6).
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