Additive effects of green LED light and cerium oxide nanoparticles on the planarian’s regeneration
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Nanotechnology makes it possible to design advanced materials being able to effectively modulate radiation effects on a cell, depending on the radiation intensity, wavelength, and type. Today, one of the most promising UV and X-ray protective biomaterials is nanocrystalline cerium oxide (CeO₂), which has a unique redox activity due to its surface reducibility. Meanwhile, the modulating properties of CeO₂ nanoparticles when the cells are exposed to visible light remain completely unexplored. Here, we analyzed the impact of CeO₂ nanoparticles on the process of planarian regeneration after exposure to low-intensity green LED light. It was found that a one-time exposure (10 or 25 min) of regenerating planarians with low-intensity green light reduced head blastema growth rate by up to 20%. At the same time, the preliminary treatment of planaria with CeO₂ nanoparticles in nanomolar concentrations (10⁻¹¹ M) ensures the restoration of the neoblasts activity and a significant acceleration of blastema regeneration. Thus, we have firstly demonstrated that the planarian regeneration process can be promoted by cerium oxide nanoparticles even under adverse action of low-intensity green light radiation.
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1. Introduction

The unique antioxidant activity of cerium oxide nanoparticles is based on their ability to participate easily in various redox processes involving reactive oxygen species (ROS) under physiological conditions [1, 2]. According to numerous quantum mechanical calculations, the energy of oxygen vacancies formation on the cerium oxide nanoparticle surface decreases with decreasing particle size [3]. Consequently, ultra-small nanoparticles with a high degree of monodispersity are considered to be the most biologically active.

The development of new experimental test models for screening the toxicity and biological activity of new substances and compounds, including nanomaterials, is still an urgent task. Freshwater flatworms – planaria, which have a unique ability to regenerate due to neoblast stem cells, can serve as a good model for such testing [4]. Planarian neoblasts are the only totipotent stem cells in animals that differentiate into all types of cells, including reproductive cells, in an adult organism. The number of neoblasts in the body of planarians is 20–30% of the total number of cells [5]. These cells allow for unlimited regeneration of planarians both in the event of damage and during the ongoing process of self-renewal of tissues and organs [6]. Moreover, regeneration is even possible from very small fragments where at least one stem cell has been preserved [7]. The specific features of planarians made them a classical experimental model for research of stem cell proliferation and differentiation in vivo, regeneration of differentiated tissue, cell cycle, and aging [8].

We have previously shown that cerium oxide and cerium fluoride nanoparticles are able to accelerate blastema growth due to redox activated expression of genes associated with wound healing [9]. A significant increase in the number of neoblasts in animals treated with CeO₂ or CeF₃ nanoparticles was observed 3 days after decapitation of the tested planaria. It is worth noting that cerium fluoride nanoparticles showed a higher regenerating ability and efficiency, which is probably associated with the presence of only trivalent cerium (Ce³⁺). It was also shown earlier that cerium oxide nanoparticles provide anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and wound-healing effects in living tissue [10], which makes it possible to develop new cerium-based regenerative preparations [11]. Additionally, cerium oxide nanoparticles exhibit very special antioxidant properties, acting as a scavenger for reactive oxygen species (ROS). It was previously shown that CeO₂ nanoparticles act as inorganic nanozyme which has a mimetic activity...
of various endogenous enzymes, such as catalase, glutathione peroxidase, SOD, phosphatase, and a number of other enzymes [12, 13]. Due to its antioxidant properties, nano-CeO$_2$ is an efficient UV protector capable of protecting mammalian cells from UVA [14, 15] and UVB [16, 17] radiation. The UV-protective role of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles is not only associated with a decrease in the production of intracellular ROS, pro-inflammatory cytokines, β-galactosidase activity and suppression of the phosphorylation of N-terminal c-Jun kinases (JNK), but also with the activation of the DNA repair system induced by UV rays. In this case, electromagnetic radiation is capable of damaging biological objects through various mechanisms, including direct damage to DNA or indirect, through the formation of ROS.

Even low doses of UV radiation can cause DNA damage with subsequent activation of the repair system. DNA damage causes activation of signaling protein kinases ATM and ATR [18]. Previously, it was shown that CeO$_2$ nanoparticles are capable of accelerating the repair of damaged DNA fragments, increasing the survival of cells after high-dose irradiation [19]. The antioxidant system of a cell is also activated through increased levels of antioxidant enzymes, e.g. SOD, catalase, glutathione peroxidase, etc. The possibility for activating different cell defense mechanisms depends on the moment of the onset of an unfavorable factor and its duration. The protection against primary (direct) damage is possible only immediately at the moment of irradiation. Protection against secondary damage is determined by the lifetime of the ROS formed during irradiation. Thus, CeO$_2$ nanoparticles are able to reduce radiation-induced cell damage after exposure to high doses of X-ray irradiation [20–22], reducing the level of intracellular ROS and the number of apoptotic cells, as well as the number of cytogenetic lesions. It has also been shown that the radioprotective efficiency of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles varies greatly depending on the radiation energy. For example, Briggs et al. [21] found a significant decrease in the efficiency of radioprotection of cells exposed to CeO$_2$ nanoparticles and irradiated with 10 MV or 150 kVp X-rays. When exposed to low energy irradiation, CeO$_2$ nanoparticles function as a radiosensitizer, which is associated with an increase in the production of Auger electrons with high linear energy transfer. This study highlights an interesting phenomenon which should be considered when CeO$_2$ nanoparticles are used as radiation shielding drugs in radiation therapy. Thus, it can be concluded that the protective efficiency of CeO$_2$ under irradiation conditions is determined not only by the conditions of its synthesis or stabilizer used, but can also vary greatly depending on the type of radiation and its energy.

Here, we investigated the effect of citrate-stabilized ultra-small CeO$_2$ nanoparticles on the rate of regeneration of planarians upon the irradiation with green light ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 520$ nm), and analyzed the factors that determine the possibility of increasing the efficiency of such protection.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Preparation of cerium oxide nanoparticles and their characterization

To prepare cerium oxide nanoparticles sol, 0.24 g of citric acid was dissolved in 25 ml of 0.05 M aqueous solution of cerium nitrate (III), rapidly poured into 100 ml of 3 M ammonia solution with stirring and allowed to stand for 2 h. According to the transmission electron microscopy data the obtained sol consisted of slightly aggregated isotropic CeO$_2$ nanoparticles of 2.0–2.5 nm size. The pH of cerium oxide sol was in the range of 7.2–7.4. The size and shape of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles were determined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), on a Leo912 AB Omega electron microscope (accelerating voltage 100 kV). The hydrodynamic size and zeta-potential of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles were measured using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS Analyzer.

2.2. Green irradiation of planarians

The LED matrix (LED) with a wavelength of $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 520$ nm was used as a light source. The spectral characteristics of the LEDs were analyzed using a set of spectral equipment based on the MDR-41 monochromator (OKB-SPEKTR, Russia) (see Fig. 1A). The luminous flux density was measured using a CMR 3 pyranometer (Kipp@Zonen, the Netherlands). The LED power was set at 1.46 W/m$^2$ varying the distance from the detector to the source.

Before the experiments, citrate-stabilized ceria sol was mixed with a pond water to obtain a colloid solution containing $10^{-11}$ M CeO$_2$. Planarians (30 animals in each group) were decapitated with a scalpel and placed in a Petri dish (diameter of 6 cm) filled with ceria-containing colloid solution (2 mm deep). The petri dish was placed on a flat glass plate, the light source was fixed under the glass plate. The emission spectrum of the light source is shown in Fig. 1A (insert). The whole scheme of experiment showed in Fig. 1B. After 10 min, the green LED light source was switched on, and the irradiation was conducted for 10 or 25 min (the radiation doses of 70.1 and 175.2 ml/cm$^2$, respectively). After irradiation, the planarians were left in CeO$_2$ colloid solution for 72, 96 or 120 h. After such incubation, morphometric analysis was performed.
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2.3. The morphometry of regenerating planarians

The growth dynamics of the planarian blastema (a new regenerating head tissue) was evaluated by intravital morphometry [23]. For this purpose, planarians were photographed on the 3rd, 4th and 5th regeneration days using a MRc camera (Carl Zeiss, Germany) mounted on the binocular microscope MBS-10 eyepiece. In the obtained photos, we determined the total area of the animal’s body (S) and the area of the blastema (s) using the Plana 4.4 software. The regeneration index \( R = s/S \) served as a quantitative growth criterion. Each of the measured \( R \) values is the result of averaging the measurements of 30+ animals. The regeneration index changes in the experiment \( (R_E) \) compared to the control \( (R_C) \) was determined by the formula:

\[
\Delta R = \frac{(R_E - R_C)}{R_C} \times 100\%
\]

where \( \Delta R \) is the difference (%) between the \( R_E \) and \( R_C \) values, \( \delta_{E,C} \) are the standard errors of measurements for the control and experimental groups. The standard error (\( \Delta R \)) did not exceed 6.5%. The control animals were not treated with light and/or nanoceria.

2.4. Statistical processing

The data obtained were treated statistically by the Sigma-Plot 9.11 software (Systat Software Inc., Germany) using the one-way ANOVA method.

2.5. Ethical standards

All the procedures involving animals were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the institution at which the studies were conducted (ITEB RAS).

3. Results and discussion

The synthesis of CeO\(_2\) nanoparticles was carried out according to the previously reported protocol [24]. Transmission electron microscopy data confirm the ultra-small size of cerium oxide nanoparticles and their high degree of crystallinity (Fig. 2A). The hydrodynamic radius of the nanoparticles upon dilution in bidistilled water was 4–7 nm (Fig. 2B). The obtained cerium(IV) oxide nanoparticles possessed catalase-like properties, as we showed earlier by the polarographic method [25], which confirms their antioxidant activity.

As Fig. 3 shows, cerium oxide nanoparticles at a concentration of \( 10^{-11} \) M stimulated the growth rate of the planarian head blastema. Thus, after 72 h of regeneration, the size of head blastema was on average 38.7% larger than in the control group. In the subsequent observation periods of head growth after decapitation (96 and 120 h), the stimulation effect of ceria on regeneration remained, while the average values of the blastema area increment somewhat decreased, 27.2% and 20.8%, respectively, which agrees well with our recently reported data [9].
Oppositely, irradiation of regenerating planarians with green LED light slowed down the head blastema growth in comparison with the control group which is in accordance with our previous results [26]. The effect of the retard in regeneration depended on the radiation dose. Thus, after 96 h of regeneration, the size of the blastema for planarians irradiated for 10 min was less than in the control group by 16.6%, while for planarians irradiated for 25 min, the size of blastema was less by 25.3% against the controls. In the subsequent observation periods, the inhibitory effect of exposure to the green LED light remained, while the difference from the control group became lower. In irradiated planarians, after 96 h of regeneration, the growth slowed down by 13.3% and 17.2% (for 10 and 25 min exposure, respectively). After 120 h of regeneration, the growth rate decreased by 10.8% and 12.8%, respectively.

Illumination with green light inhibited the regeneration of planaria, meanwhile the presence of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles stimulated planaria regeneration. When the animals were exposed to green light for 10 min, the subsequent 72 h incubation with CeO$_2$ nanoparticles resulted in the higher regeneration rate (17%) than in the control. The similar difference in $\Delta R$ values (19.4%) was observed between planarians irradiated with green light for 25 min with subsequent incubation for 72 h in the presence of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles and the controls.

Further, after 96 and 120 h of regeneration, a decrease in the difference between the regeneration indices for irradiated and untreated planarians was observed, but the stimulating effect of ceria nanoparticles was still significant. Particularly, the animals irradiated with green light for 10 min with subsequent regeneration for 96 and 120 h in the presence of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles showed the $\Delta R$ values of 9.7% and 8.4%, respectively. Similarly, after 25 min of green light irradiation and subsequent regeneration of planarians for 96 and 120 h in the presence of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles, $\Delta R$ values amounted to 14.5% and 12.8%, respectively.
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**FIG. 4.** The effect of cerium(IV) oxide nanoparticles (10^{-11} M) and irradiation with green LED light (525 nm, duration of irradiation – 10 and 25 min) on planarian blastema regeneration. The effect is shown as a percentage of untreated control after 72, 96 and 120 h of regeneration. *p < 0.001; **p < 0.01

Our experiments have unambiguously shown that ceria nanoparticles, even in very low concentrations (10^{-11} M), effectively neutralize the negative effect of green light irradiation on the regeneration processes in planarians. In our opinion, this effect cannot be associated with the photoprotective property of ceria nanoparticles [27] due to low concentration of CeO_2 in the aqueous medium. Moreover, being a wide band-gap semiconductor (band gap of 3.2 eV [28]), CeO_2 is unable to absorb green light. Thus, the effect is connected with the molecular mechanisms of ceria interaction with biological systems.

Upon damage to the planarian body, stem cells (neoblasts) begin to migrate actively into the area of injury where a burst of ROS levels occurred. According to our recent results, CeO_2 nanoparticles greatly promote the mitotic activity of the neoblasts in decapitated planarians [9] probably due to the modulation of ROS level in the apical layer of the blastema.
The main mechanism of the reaction of biological systems to visible light irradiation is considered to be due to the absorption of photons by certain photoacceptor molecules (photoreceptors or chromophores) [29–31]. Planarians have photo- and chemoreceptors not only in the area of their eye-spots (ocelli), but also throughout the body being able to respond to external light stimuli even upon decapitation. Opsins are G-protein-coupled photoreceptors responsible for ocular light detection in all animals. Opsins are typically located within either rhabdomeric or ciliary photoreceptor cells, activating r-opsin or c-opsin signal transduction cascades, respectively [32]. C-opsins initiate a pathway that closes cyclic-nucleotide-gated (CNG) ion channels [33], whereas r-opsins are associated with the opening of transient receptor potential cation (TRPC) channels [34]. In planarians, the homologs of CNG and TRPC channels are transient receptor potential cation (TRPA1) channels, which are evolutionarily conserved detectors of reactive oxygen species [35]. Therefore, we can assume that through ROS level regulation by cerium oxide nanoparticles, it is possible to indirectly influence biochemical processes governed by photosensitve opsins.

Cerium oxide nanoparticles, as shown earlier, are able to influence the signaling pathways of the cell through the regulation of the redox status of the cell [36]. CeO$_2$ nanoparticles can interact with many biomolecules triggering a cascade of cellular activities [37]. CeO$_2$ nanoparticles-targeted signaling pathways can induce a variety of cellular responses dependent or independent from free radical scavenging. Thus, it can be concluded that the mechanisms of the biological activity of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles are mostly associated with their effect on intracellular signaling pathways. However, specific pathways of signal transduction upon exposure to green light in the presence of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles require additional research.

4. Conclusions

Ultra-small citrate-stabilized cerium oxide nanoparticles do not cause toxic effects on planarians and are able to stimulate the mitotic activity of their stem cells during blastema growth. Exposure to green light for 15 and 25 min significantly inhibits the process of planarian regeneration, which is most likely associated with down-regulated expression of genes associated with wound healing. At the same time, cerium oxide nanoparticles, even in nanomolar concentrations, are able to neutralize the negative effects of green light on the regeneration processes in planarians, increasing the rate of regeneration and increasing the mitotic index.
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