Giant Orbital Hall Effect in Transition Metals: Origin of Large Spin and Anomalous Hall Effects
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The Hall effect, first discovered at the end of 19th century, has revealed the profound nature of electron transport in metals and semiconductors via the anomalous Hall effect (AHE) and (fractional) quantum Hall effects. It has recently been recognized that conventional semiconductors and metals exhibit a spin Hall effect (SHE), which is the phenomenon where an electric field induces a spin current (a flow of spin angular momentum \( s \)) in a transverse direction [1–5]. Recently, a theory of the intrinsic Hall effect proposed by Karplus and Luttinger [6], which occurs in multiband systems and is independent of impurity scattering, has been intensively developed [7, 8]. In particular, a quantum SHE has also been predicted and experimentally confirmed [9, 10].

The spin Hall conductivity (SHC) observed in transition metals has given rise to further issues regarding the origin of the SHE, since the SHC observed in Pt exceeds 200 \( \text{he}^{-1} \cdot \Omega^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1} \), which is approximately \( 10^4 \) times larger than that of n-type semiconductors [5], and the SHCs in Nb and Mo are negative [11]. The large SHE and the sign change of the SHC in transition metals has attracted much interest, and many theoretical studies of the SHE have so far been conducted based on realistic multiband models for Ru-oxide [8] and various 4d and 5d metals [12], including Au, W [13], and Pt [14, 15]. The calculated results for the SHC semi-quantitatively agree with the observed results. The mechanism for the SHE has been explained in such a way that spin-orbit interactions (SOI) and the phase of hopping integrals of electrons give rise to the Aharonov-Bohm (AB) effect, and therefore the conduction electrons are subject to an effective spin-dependent magnetic field.

Since the transition metals have orbital degrees of freedom in addition to the spin and charge degrees of freedom, flow of the atomic orbital angular momentum \( l_z \), that is, an orbital current, may be realized in a nonequilibrium state. In fact, several authors have predicted the emergence of a large orbital Hall effect (OHE) [8, 12, 16], which is a phenomenon where an electric field induces a flow of \( p \)- and \( d \)-orbital angular momentum in a transverse direction. In particular, the predicted orbital Hall conductivity (OHC) in transition metals and oxides [8, 12] is considerably larger than the SHC. Figure 1 shows the OHC and SHC calculated for transition metals using the Naval Research Laboratory tight-binding (NRL-TB) model [17]. In each metal, the magnitude of the OHC exceeds the SHC, even in topological insulators (e.g., \( \sim e/2\pi \) in graphene [9] and HgTe [10]). Interestingly, while the SHC consistently changes its sign with the electron number \( n \), as with several recent experiments [5, 11], the obtained OHC is almost independent of the SOI and is always positive. These prominent and universal features of orbital dynamics in metals, independent of crystal and multiband structures, have not been recognized until recently.

In spite of these remarkable features of the SHC and OHC given in previous works [8, 12], no physical origin of the “giant OHE” nor “hidden relationship” between OHE and SHE have been presented. We will show below that the key phenomenon is the orbital Hall current, which originates from the “orbital AB phase factor” that reflects the phase factor of the \( d \)-orbital wavefunction. Then, the SHC is approximately given by the product of OHC and the spin-orbit polarization due to the SOI. Even the AHE is understandable in the same concept.

In this Letter, we discuss these intrinsic Hall effects in a unified way by proposing a simple \( s-d \) hybridization model as a generic model, and explain why the OHC is positive and much larger than \( e/2\pi \) in each transition metal. We stress that the large SHE in transition metals originates from the OHE in the presence of atomic SOI, not from the Dirac point monopole as in semiconductors. The derived SHC is approximately proportional to the spin-orbit polarization, which is positive (negative) in metals with more than (less than) half-filling.
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localized version of the muffin-tin potential approximation, where the s-d mixing potential. In transition metals, the OHE and SHE are mainly caused by interorbital transitions between $d_{yz}$ and $d_{zx}$ ($l_z = \pm 1$) orbitals, and $d_{xy}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ ($l_z = \pm 2$) orbitals [12, 14]; the former (latter) contributions can be obtained by letting $L = 1$ ($L = 2$) in the present 2D model.

To elucidate the universal properties of the OHC and SHC that are independent of the detailed crystal structure, we assume that $e^{ikr} = \sum n_j J_n(\kappa r) e^{in(\varphi_k - \varphi_j)}$, where $J_n$ is the Bessel function, $\varphi_k = \tan^{-1}(k_y/k_x)$ and $\varphi_j = \tan^{-1}(x/y)$. Since the wavefunction of the d-electron is $\xi_M(r) = \int F(r) e^{i\phi_k} dr$, the s-d mixing potential is given by $V_{kM} = \int (e^{ikr})^* H_0 \xi_M(r) dr = \theta_0 e^{i\phi_k}$ in the extended Brillouin zone scheme [19–21]. (Note that $V_{kM} \to V_{kM}^*$ under the particle-hole transformation.) Here, the $k$-dependence of $V_{kM}$ plays an essential role in the OHE, and the derived OHC takes a large value irrespective of the nonconservation of $M = \pm L$.

The present model is similar to the periodic Anderson model, which has been intensively studied as an effective model for $f$-electron systems [19–21]. The close similarity between the periodic Anderson model and the d-p model has been indicated in the previous study of the AHE [22]. As is well-known, the hybridization band of Eq. (1) is given as $E_{k}^\pm = \frac{1}{2}[(\epsilon_k + E_d) \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_k - E_d)^2 + 2V_d^2}]$, the bandstructure of which is shown in Fig. 2(a). In the metallic state, the Fermi level $\mu$ is located in the upper ($E_{k}^+$) or lower ($E_{k}^-$) branch, and the relationship ($\mu - \epsilon_{k}^b$) holds. The relation $N_d(0)/N_s(0) = 2V_d^2/\mu$ is satisfied in transition metals, where $N_d(0) = m/2\pi$ is the s-electron density of states (DOS) per spin in 2D, and $N_s(0)$ is the d-electron DOS at $\mu$.

According to the linear-response theory, the intrinsic Hall conductivity is given by the summation of the Fermi surface term ($I$-term) and the Fermi sea term ($II$-term) [23]. In previous work, we have shown that the I-term is dominant in many metals [12, 14, 24]. Using the $3 \times 3$ Green function $G_k^c(\omega) = \int (\omega + \mu - H_0)^{-1}$, the I-term of the OHC at $T = 0$ is given by [8, 12]

$$O_{xy}^z = \frac{1}{\pi N} \sum_k \text{Tr} \left[ J_y^C G_k^c(i\gamma) J_y^C G_k^d(-i\gamma) \right],$$

where $J_y^C$ is the $y$-component of the charge current, which is given by $-e\partial H_0/\partial k_y$. In the present model,

$$J_y^C = -e \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & -iL & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -iL & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

where $-e$ is the charge of the electron. In addition, $J_y^Q$ in Eq. (2) is the $x$-component of the orbital current, which is given by $J_y^Q = \left\{ J_y^Q, l_z \right\}/(-2e)$ [12], where $l_z = \hat{l}_z$ is the component of the orbital current, which is given by $J_y^Q = \left( J_y^Q, l_z \right)/(-2e)$ [12].
Here, the relations \( \partial V_{kL}/\partial x = -iL(k_y/k^2)V_{kL} \) and \( \partial V_{kL}/\partial y = iL(k_x/k^2)V_{kL} \) are used \([21]\). Thus, the momentum derivative of the s-d mixing potential gives rise to an anomalous velocity that is perpendicular to \( k \). The OHC is proportional to the \( s-d \) mixing potential times \( z \) component of the magnetic field. According to the Fermi’s golden rule, the d- \( \rightarrow \) s tunneling probability at point B is given by \( \Gamma_B \sim \int d\mu \mu d\omega N\phi_{\mu}(\omega)|V_0|^2 N_s(\omega) \), where \( \mu_B = \mu - eE_yr_{sd} \) is the electrochemical potential at B under \( E_y \). Then, \( \Gamma_A = -\Gamma_B \) and \( \Gamma_B \sim eE_yr_{sd} \) since \( N_s(0) \sim |\mu - \epsilon_{kd}|^{-1} \) and \( N_d(0) \sim |\mu - E_d|^{-1} \). Because of the angular momentum conservation, the velocity of emitted (absorbed) electron at point B (A) has \( x \)-component; \( v^{\phi}_{ek} \sim (\pm L/[r_{sd}]) \). If we assume that the tunneling s-electron hybridizes to one of the neighboring sites and \( l_\pm = \pm L \) is quasi-conserved, the current of the successive tunneling electron will be \( j^{\pm L} \sim \pm \hbar \Gamma_{d \rightarrow s} \cdot a \sin \varphi_a \), where \( a \) is the electron density and \( \sin \varphi_a \equiv |v^{\phi}_{ek}|/v_F \sim O(1) \). Therefore, the estimated transverse \( d \)-orbital current density is

\[
j^O_x \sim \sum_{M=\pm L} M_j^M \sim eL^2 E_y \kappa a/mv_F. \tag{7}
\]

Since \( a \sim \pi k_F^{-1} \) and \( \kappa \sim a^{-2} \), \( O_{xy} \equiv j^O_x/E_y \) is in the order of \( +eL^2 \). Thus, Eq. (6) is reproduced (aside from a numerical factor) by this semiclassical consideration.
We note that the partial wave of the $l_z = L$ channel, $\psi_L(r) \propto J_L(kr)e^{iL\cdot \hat{r}}$, has a small overlap integral between the nearest sites, $\int \psi_L^*(r) \psi_{L'}(r + \mathbf{a}_\lambda) \, dr$, for $L' = -L$, due to the phase factor in $\psi_L(r)$. For this reason, $l_z$ is quasi-conserved when the tunneling $s$-electron hybridizes to the $d$-orbital at a neighboring site. Mathematically, the anomalous velocity is given by taking the gradient of the phase factor in $\psi_L(r)$; see eq. (4).

Next, we discuss the SHE in the presence of the atomic SOI: $\lambda \sum_l \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{s}$, ($\lambda > 0$). Since $\langle M \vert l_z \vert M' \rangle = 0$ for $\nu = x, y$ in the present 2D model, the atomic SOI for the $\sigma$-spin is given by $(H_0^z)_{k,i,j} = (\lambda \mathbf{s}/2)L(\delta_{l,2} - \delta_{l,3})\delta_{i,j}$. Also, only the z-component of SOI is significant for the SHE in real transition metals [12, 14]. Using the Green function $G_{k,\sigma}(\omega) = (\omega + \mu - H_0 - H_0^z)^{-1}$, the SHC is given by

$$\sigma^z_{xy} = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_{k,\sigma} \frac{\sigma}{2e} \text{Tr} \left[ j^c_x G_{k,\sigma}(i\gamma) j^c_y G_{k,\sigma}(-i\gamma) \right]$$

(8)

If the $\lambda$-dependence of eigenenergies is neglected, which corrects the SHC of order $O(\lambda^3)$ to, Eq. (8) becomes

$$\sigma^z_{xy} \approx 2R/L^2 \cdot \sigma^z_{xy},$$

(9)

where $R \equiv (\hat{l}_z \hat{s}_z)_{FS}$ represents the spin-orbit polarization ratio due to the SOI at the Fermi level, which is given by $R = L\lambda/(\mu - E_0)$ in the present model up to $O(\lambda)$. Thus, the SHC is positive (negative) when $\mu$ is located in the upper branch $E^+_k$ (lower branch $E^-_k$).

It is natural to expect that the relationship in Eq. (9) holds in real transition metals, where the spin-orbit polarization ratio is defined as $R = \sum_m \int_{FS} (\hat{l}_z \hat{s}_z)_{k,m} dS_{K,m}/\sum_m \int_{FS} dS_{K,m}$ in real systems, where $m$ is the band index. To verify this expectation, $R$ is shown for $5d$ metals given by the NRL-TB model in Fig. 1: The obtained $R$ is positive (negative) in metals with more than (less than) half-filling, which is consistent with Hund’s rule. The qualitative similarity between the SHC and $R$ in Fig. 1 indicates that the spin current $\bar{j}^S$ is induced by the orbital current $\bar{j}^O$ in proportion to $R$, and therefore the relationship in Eq. (9) holds approximately for various metals. (In fact, $\lambda \hat{l}_z \hat{s}_z$ provides the dominant contribution to the SHE [12].) As a result, the present analysis based on a simple $s$-$d$ hybridization model captures the overall behavior of the OHE and SHE in transition metals.

In the low resistivity regime, the intrinsic SHE is given by integrating the $k$-space Berry curvature of Bloch wavefunction (Berry curvature term) [1, 2, 12, 14, 15]. In fact, previous studies based on the tight-binding models [12, 14] and the band calculation [15] had succeeded in reproducing experimental SHC’s in several transition metals with low resistivity, both in magnitude and sign [5, 11]. The present study has shown that the large Berry curvature in transition metals, the origin of which had been unclear in previous studies, originates from the $d$-orbital angular momentum. We have revealed the existence of the real-space orbital Berry phase (=AB phase), which causes not only the giant positive OHE without using the SOI, but also the SHE and AHE if $R \neq 0$. By virtue of this scheme, hidden relationships between the OHE and SHE have been derived. Although the OHE is indirectly observed via SHE and AHE, it is interesting to detect the OHE directly: We propose that a mesoscopic “H-shape” circuit will be useful, which was originally used to measure the SHC in semimetals [26].

To summarize, we have revealed that the giant positive OHE in transition metals originates from the orbital AB phase due to the $d$-orbital angular momentum, without necessity of any special bandstructure (e.g., Dirac point monopole at $\mu$). We have shown that the OHE is the essential phenomenon, and it induces the large SHE (AHE) in paramagnetic (ferromagnetic) metals in the presence of SOI. The sign of the SHE is equal to that of the spin-orbit polarization (Hund’s rule), which is consistent with recent experimental observations [4, 5, 11]. An intuitive explanation for the intrinsic Hall effect in real-space is presented in Figs. 2 (c) and (d).
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