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Abstract. The notion of random self-decomposability is generalized here. Its relation to self-decomposability, Harris infinite divisibility and its connection with a stationary first order generalized autoregressive model are presented. The notion is then extended to $\mathbb{Z}_+$-valued distributions.
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1 Introduction

The role of self-decomposable (SD) distributions in first order autoregressive (AR(1)) models of the form

$$X_n = cX_{n-1} + \epsilon_n, \quad (1.1)$$

described by random variables (r.v.s) $\{X_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, innovations (i.i.d. r.v.s) $\{\epsilon_n\}$ and $c \in (0, 1)$ such that for each $n$, $\epsilon_n$ is independent of $X_{n-1}$, has been discussed by many authors, see e.g. Bouzar and Satheesh (2008) and the references therein. Recently Kozubowski and Podgórski (2010) has introduced the notion of random self-decomposability of distributions on the reals motivated by stationary solutions to the AR(1) model.
\(X_n = \begin{cases} 
\epsilon_n, & \text{with probability } p, \\
 cX_{n-1} + \epsilon_n, & \text{with probability } (1 - p), 
\end{cases} \tag{1.2}\)

described by r.v.s \(\{X_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\), innovations \(\{\epsilon_n\}\) and \(c \in [0,1]\) such that for each \(n\), \(\epsilon_n\) is independent of \(X_{n-1}\).

**Definition 1.1** A characteristic function (CF), of a probability distribution, \(\psi(t)\) is randomly self-decomposable (RSD) if for each \(p,c \in [0,1]\) there exists a CF \(\psi_{c,p}(t)\) such that

\[\psi(t) = \psi_{c,p}(t)\{p + (1 - p)\psi(ct)\}. \tag{1.3}\]

Kozubowski and Podgórski (2010) then discusses the relation of RSD laws to SD laws and geometrically infinitely divisible (GID) laws. In proposition 2.3 they prove, in an elegant manner, that the class of RSD laws equals the intersection of the classes of GID laws and SD laws. They also discuss a variety of examples. We need the following in our discussion.

**Definition 1.2** Harris\((a,k)\) distribution on \(\{1,1+k,1+2k,\ldots\}\) is described by its probability generating function (PGF)

\[P(s) = \frac{s}{a - (a - 1)s^k}, k > 0 \text{ integer and } a > 1. \tag{1.4}\]

**Definition 1.3** A CF \(\psi(t)\) is Harris-ID (HID) if for each \(p \in (0,1)\) there exists a CF \(\psi_p(t)\) such that

\[\psi(t) = \frac{\psi_p(t)}{a - (a - 1)\psi_p^k(t)}\{1/k, p = \frac{1}{a}\}. \tag{1.5}\]

**Theorem 1.1** (Satheesh et al. (2008)) A CF \(\psi(t)\) is HID iff

\[\psi(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - \log h(t))^{1/k}} \tag{1.6}\]

where \(k > 0 \text{ integer and } h(t)\) is some CF that is ID.

When \(k = 1\) Harris distribution becomes the geometric\((p)\) distribution on \(\{1,2,\ldots\}\) with \(p = \frac{1}{a}\). For more on this distribution see Sandhya et al. (2008). Certain aspects of HID laws and generalized AR(1) models have been discussed in Satheesh et al. (2008). In section 2, the notion of RSD is generalized, its relation to SD laws and HID laws are presented and its connection to a
stationary generalized AR(1) model is given. The notion is then extended to \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \)-valued distributions in section 3. We closely follow the development in Kozubowski and Podgórski (2010).

2 Generalizing RSD distributions

Remark 2.1 In the paragraph after their Proposition 3.1 Kozubowski and Podgórski (2010) state that AR(1) processes described by (1.2) cannot be constructed with either (general) gamma or Gaussian distributions for \( X_n \) as neither of them are GID although both are SD. However, it should be noted that gamma(\( \alpha, \lambda \)) distributions (equation (2.10)) are GID if \( \alpha \leq 1 \), see e.g. Yannaros (1988) or Sandhya (1991).

Definition 2.1 A CF \( \psi(t) \) is Harris-RSD (HRSD) if for each \( c \in (0,1] \) and each \( p \in [0,1) \) there exists a distribution with CF \( \psi_{c,p}(t) \) such that

\[
\psi(t) = \psi_{c,p}(t) \{ p + (1-p)\psi_k(ct)\}^{1/k}.
\]

Remark 2.2 With the above nomenclature the RSD defined by Kozubowski and Podgórski (2010) is geometric RSD (GRSD) because it bridges the notions of SD and GID where as our definition bridges the notions of SD and HID.

When \( p = 0 \) equation (2.1) reduces to

\[
\psi(t) = \psi(ct)\psi_c(t)
\]

where \( \psi_c(t) = \psi_{c,0}(t) \), that is \( \psi(t) \) is SD. On the other hand when \( c = 1 \) equation (2.1) becomes

\[
\psi(t) = \psi_p(t) \{ p + (1-p)\psi_k(t)\}^{1/k}.
\]

where \( \psi_p(t) = \psi_{1,p}(t) \). Solving for \( \psi(t) \) we get

\[
\psi(t) = \frac{\psi_p(t)}{\{a - (a-1)\psi_p(t)\}^{1/k}}; a = \frac{1}{p}.
\]

That is \( \psi(t) \) is HID.

Denoting the classes of HRSD, SD and HID distributions by \( C_{HRSD}, C_{SD} \) and \( C_{HID} \) the above discussion shows that \( C_{HRSD} \subset C_{SD} \cap C_{HID} \). In the next Proposition we show that we have equality here.
Proposition 2.1 We have $C_{HRSD} = C_{SD} \cap C_{HID}$. Further, whenever the CF $\psi(t) \in C_{HRSD}$, the CF $\psi_{c,p}(t)$ in (2.1) can be written as

$$\psi_{c,p}(t) = \psi_c(t) \cdot \psi_p(t)$$

where $\psi_c(t)$ and $\psi_p(t)$ are given by

$$\psi_c(t) = \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(ct)}$$

(2.6)

and

$$\psi_p(t) = \frac{\psi(t)}{(p + (1-p)\psi^k(t))^{1/k}}$$

(2.7)

Proof. If the CF $\psi(t)$ is SD then for each $c \in (0, 1]$ the function $\psi_c(t)$ in (2.6) is a genuine CF and similarly if $\psi(t)$ is HID then for each $p \in [0, 1)$ the function $\psi_p(t)$ in (2.7) also is a genuine CF. Consequently (2.5) is a well defined CF and hence (2.1) holds, proving the assertion.

Now let us consider a generalization of the AR(1) sequence (1.2). Here $\{X_n\}$ is composed of $k$ independent AR(1) sequences $\{Y_{n,i}\}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and where for each $n$, $\{Y_{n,i}\}$ are independent. That is, for each $n$, $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} Y_{n,i}$ and $\epsilon_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_{n,i}$ where $\{Y_{n,i}\}$ is an i.i.d sequence and similarly $\{\epsilon_{n,i}\}$ is also an i.i.d sequence, $k$ being a fixed positive integer. Further, it is also assumed that for each $n$, $\epsilon_{n,i}$ is independent of $Y_{n-1,i}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Situations where such a model can be useful have been discussed in Satheesh et al. (2008).

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} Y_{n,i} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_{n,i}, & \text{with probability } p, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_{n-1,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_{n,i}, & \text{with probability } (1-p). \end{cases}$$

(2.8)

In terms of CFs and assuming stationarity we get

$$\psi_Y(t) = \psi_c(t) \{p + (1-p)\psi^k_Y(ct)\}^{1/k}. \quad (2.9)$$

The following Proposition is now clear.

Proposition 2.2a If $\{Y_{n,i}\}$ describes the AR(1) model (2.8) that is stationary for each $c \in (0, 1]$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ then the distribution of $\{Y_{n,i}\}$ is HRSD.

Now proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in Bouzar and Satheesh (2008) we have the following converse to Proposition 2.2a.

Proposition 2.2b If $\psi_Y(t)$ is a CF that is HRSD with $\psi_{c,p}(t) = \psi_c(t)$ for each $c \in (0, 1]$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ then there exists a stationary AR(1) model described by (2.8) with $\psi_Y(t)$ the CF of $\{Y_{n,i}\}$ and $\psi_c(t)$ that of the innovations $\{\epsilon_{n,i}\}$. 
Example 2.1 Gamma($\frac{1}{k}, \lambda$) distributions has CF
\[ \psi(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - i\lambda t)^{1/k}}, k > 0 \text{ integer, } \lambda > 0 \] (2.10)
is HID. Further since it is also SD, this distribution is HRSD.

Example 2.2 Let the CF $\psi(t)$ be Harris-sum-stable for every $c \in (0, 1)$. Then
\[ \psi(t) = \psi(ct) \frac{1}{\{a - (a - 1)\psi^k(ct)\}^{1/k}}. \] (2.11)
The second factor on the RHS is also a genuine CF being a Harris-sum of $\psi(t)$ where the support of this Harris distribution is $\mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus $\psi(t)$ is SD. Hence if we take $h(t)$ as a stable CF in Theorem 1.1 then $\psi(t)$ in (1.6) is Harris-sum-stable for every $c \in (0, 1)$ and we have a general procedure to construct CFs that are HRSD. With $k = 1$ above, we have the corresponding geometric-sum-stable laws and a procedure to construct the examples in Kozubowski and Podgórski (2010).

3 Discrete analogue of HRSD distributions

Steutel and van Harn (1979) had developed discrete SD (DSD) distributions. We now introduce RSD and HRSD for $\mathbb{Z}_+$-valued distributions. Some aspects of discrete HID (DHID) laws and generalized AR(1) models on $\mathbb{Z}_+$ have been discussed in Satheesh et al. (2010b).

Definition 3.1 A PGF $P(s)$ is DHID if for each $p \in (0, 1)$ there exists a PGF $P_p(s)$ such that
\[ P(s) = \frac{P_p(s)}{\{a - (a - 1)P_p^k(s)\}^{1/k}}, p = \frac{1}{a}. \] (3.1)

Theorem 3.1 (Satheesh et al. (2010a)) A PGF $P(s)$ is DHID iff
\[ P(s) = \frac{1}{(1 - \log R(s))^{1/k}}, \] (3.2)
where $k > 0$ integer and $R(s)$ is a PGF that is DID.

Definition 3.2 A PGF $P(s)$ is discrete HRSD (DHRSD) if for each $c \in (0, 1]$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ there exists a PGF $P_{c,p}(s)$ such that
\[ P(s) = P_{c,p}(s)\{p + (1 - p)P^k(1 - c + cs)\}^{1/k}. \] (3.3)
Denoting the classes of DHRSD, DSD and DHID distributions by \( C_{DHRSD} \), \( C_{DSD} \) and \( C_{DHID} \) we can proceed as in Section 2 to arrive at

**Proposition 3.1** We have \( C_{DHRSD} = C_{DSD} \cap C_{DHID} \). Further, whenever \( P(s) \in C_{DHRSD} \), the PGF \( P_{c,p}(s) \) in (3.3) can be written as

\[
P_{c,p}(s) = P_c(s)P_p(1 - c + cs)
\]

where \( P_p(s) \) and \( P_c(s) \) are given by

\[
P_c(s) = \frac{P(s)}{P(1 - c + cs)} \quad (3.5)
\]

\[
P_p(s) = \frac{P(s)}{\{p + (1 - p)P^k(s)\}^{1/k}} \quad (3.6)
\]

Again, considering the \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \)-valued analogue of the generalized AR(1) scheme (2.8) with \( \odot \), the binomial thinning operator in Steutel and van Harn (1979) we have the INAR(1) model

\[
\sum_{i=1}^k Y_{n,i} = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_{n,i}, \text{ with probability } p, \\
\sum_{i=1}^k c \odot Y_{n-1,i} + \sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_{n,i}, \text{ with probability } (1 - p).
\end{cases} \quad (3.7)
\]

Assuming stationarity we have the following Propositions as in Section 2.

**Proposition 3.2a** If \( \{Y_{n,i}\} \) describes the stationary INAR(1) model (3.7) for each \( c \in (0, 1] \) and \( p \in [0, 1) \) then the distribution of \( \{Y_{n,i}\} \) is DHRSD.

Conversely,

**Proposition 3.3b** If \( P_Y(s) \) is a PGF that is DHRSD with \( P_{c,p}(s) = P_c(s) \) for each \( c \in (0, 1] \) and \( p \in [0, 1) \) then there exists a stationary INAR(1) model described by (3.7) with \( P_Y(s) \) the PGF of \( \{Y_{n,i}\} \) and \( P_c(s) \) that of \( \{\epsilon_{n,i}\} \).

**Example 3.1** Negative binomial\( (\frac{1}{k}, \lambda) \) distributions with PGF

\[
P(s) = \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda(1 - s))^{1/k}}, k > 0 \text{ integer, } \lambda > 0 \quad (3.8)
\]

is DHID. Further since it is also DSD, this distribution is HRSD.

**Example 3.2** We may also proceed in a general framework as done in Example 2.2 to construct PGFs that are HRSD.

Satheesh and Sandhya (2010) has proposed a further generalization of HRSD distributions based on the notion of \( \mathcal{NID} \) distributions of Gnedenko and Korolev (1996).
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