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Abstract

Background: Improving Maternal and Child Health (MCH) is a prioritized global agenda in achieving the United Nations Millennium Development Goal 5. In this challenge, involving males has been an important agenda, and a program with such intent was conducted in Alfonso Lista, Ifugao, of the Philippines. The objectives of this study were: (1) to evaluate the effectiveness in knowledge, attitude, and practice before and after a MCH session; (2) to evaluate the session’s effectiveness in relation to socio-demographic characteristics; and (3) to examine if males who have learned about MCH topics can teach another group of males.

Methods: A male community representative who received a lecture from the health office staff was assigned to teach a group of community males [Group 1, N = 140] in 5 sessions, using educational materials. 10 male volunteers from Group 1 then taught a different group of males [Group 2, N = 105] in their own barangays (villages). To evaluate its effectiveness, a self-administered questionnaire survey pertaining knowledge, attitude and practice regarding MCH was conducted at three different time points: before the session (Time 1, T1), after the session (Time 2, T2), and 3 months following the session (Time 3, T3). A repeated measures analysis of variance was conducted to test for changes over time and its interaction effect between specific socio-demographic variables.

Results: In Group 1, there was a significant positive increase in knowledge score over time at T1-T2 and T1-T3 (p < 0.001). For attitude, the score increased only at T1-T2 (p = 0.027). The effectiveness in knowledge and attitude did not vary by socio-demographic characteristics. As for practice, majority of the participants reported that they had talked about MCH topics in their community and assisted a pregnant woman in some ways. A comparison between Group 1 and Group 2 revealed that Group 2 had similar effectiveness as Group 1 in knowledge improvement immediately after the session (p < 0.001), but no such improvement in the attitude score.

Conclusion: Although the change in attitude needs further assessment, this strategy of continuous learning and teaching of MCH topics within community males is shown to improve knowledge and has a potential to uplift the MCH status, including the reduction of maternal deaths, in Alfonso Lista, Ifugao, Philippines.

Background

Improvement of Maternal and Child Health (MCH) is a global agenda in achieving the United Nations Millennium Development Goal 5, which aims for a 75 per cent reduction of maternal deaths between 1990 and 2015. However, progress in reducing Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR, deaths per 100,000 live-births) worldwide has been modest, with a decline of only 5.4% as of 2005. More than 530,000 mothers, 99% of them in developing countries, die each year and Asian countries account for nearly half of these deaths [1]. The leading cause of mortality is hemorrhage followed by anemia and sepsis/infection [2], which can be prevented and reduced by appropriate care. Some of the factors behind this global issue are reported to be poverty, socio-cultural factors, quality of care, and access to health services [3,4]. The MMR of the Philippines has gradually declined from 209 in 1990 to 162 in 2006 [5]. The FOURmula ONE for Health (2005-2010) covers four pillars including finance, regulation, service delivery, and governance to
improve service efficiency, effectiveness, and equity. In this framework, the Safe Motherhood Policy promotes facility-based deliveries with a skilled birth attendant. However, the Philippines is still lagging behind in achieving the MDG 5, which requires a reduction of MMR to 52 by the year 2015.

Many health promoters see their role as protecting women from the impact of men’s behavior and have worked directly with women to empower them [6]. Therefore, MCH issues have been seen as women’s concerns, and health education programs have been focused on and directed toward women for many years [7-11]. However, male responsibilities and participation to promote gender equality was called upon at the International Conference on Population and Development held in Cairo (1994). Action Plan 4.27 stated that “special efforts should be made to emphasize men’s shared responsibility and promote their active involvement...in maternal and child health” and Action Plan 8.22 recommended the "development of programs and education to engage men’s support for maternal health and safe motherhood” [12]. These ideas were then refined at the Fourth World Conference on Women in Beijing (1995).

Yet, there have been few published evaluations of intervention that involves males in MCH [6]. Previous studies of male participation in women’s reproductive health issues have focused in areas such as family planning [13-15] and sexually transmitted diseases including HIV/AIDS [16,17]. One of the few studies regarding MCH topics shows that not more than half of the male respondents could name one danger sign during pregnancy and delivery in India [18]. Also, males who received information had greater knowledge of the importance of antenatal care services and their partners made more visits to antenatal care clinics [6]. Furthermore, pregnant women who received education with their husbands were more likely to make three or more birth preparations and attend post-partum visits in Nepal [19]. There are also some studies on breastfeeding, where a male partner’s knowledge is associated with positive changes in attitude and support for women’s decisions to breastfeed [20-22].

A large number of the Knowledge Attitude Practice (KAP) studies relating to male participation in MCH are cross-sectional studies and do not look at its change over time. However, considering the fact that health education should be a continuous process in providing essential health knowledge, assuring the formation of sound attitudes relative to it, and securing desirable health behavior [23], an evaluation from such a perspective is necessary. Therefore, the first objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness in knowledge, attitude, and practice by comparing the outcome measures before and after an MCH session.

A study on health education materials, discusses the importance of considering the difference in age and educational background of its target, as information must be presented according to individual’s knowledge, interests and ways of organizing knowledge [24]. Therefore, an intervention effect could vary by socio-demographic characteristics, and effectiveness must also be assessed and analyzed by these factors as well. Thus, the second objective was to evaluate the session’s effectiveness in improving knowledge and attitude in relation to socio-demographic characteristics.

Health education can influence the community at the grass-roots level, either through formal or informal means. Studies recommend the involvement of religious leaders [25], peer-leaders [26], or supervisors [27] for greater impact through their political commitment and leadership to the target group. However, no studies have examined how health information may be handed over from one influential group to another by evaluating both sides, which is the key to accurate health information dissemination. This leads to the final objective of this study, which was to examine if community representatives who have learned about MCH topics can teach another group of males.

**Methods**

**Program Overview**

In 1995, the Empowerment and Reaffirmation of PATernal abilities (ERPAT) program was nationally developed and implemented by the Department of Social Welfare and Development. The purpose was to train male representatives to be an advocate in teaching other community males about family violence, drug-free home, family spirituality, etc. Early in the year 2009, the Ifugao Provincial Government in partnership with the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) MCH Project and other development partners, localized this as a new strategy called the “Active Males Movement against violence and for AYOD (AMMA).” The AYOD Community Health Team, formerly called the Women’s Health Team, is a voluntary community organization that conducts health promotion activities and had expanded its membership to males in 2007. Ayod is an Ifugao term for hammock, which is sometimes used for carrying sick people and pregnant women to the health facility over difficult terrain. This localized name was given to unify all the Ifugaoos, including the males, to help one another as a hammock cannot be carried alone. This AMMA strategy, which had incorporated MCH topics to the former ERPAT programs, is referred to as a “Movement” because it involves males learning and teaching what they had learned to other males. The goals of this innovative strategy are to: (1) empower males by developing a positive concept of self; (2) develop knowledge,
attitude, and behaviors on reproductive health; and (3) establish support networks among males in the community by enhancing their active participation in promoting a healthy lifestyle. This research is a feasibility study in line with the goals of this AMMA strategy.

Study Site
This study was conducted in Ifugao Province, which is located in north central Luzon of the Philippines. According to the Field Health Service Information System (Ifugao Report), the MMR declined successfully from 194 in 1990 to 67 in 2008. However, among the 619 live births in 2008, 53.5% were still home-based deliveries and one maternal death occurred in 2009 at Alfonso Lista (population of 25,342 with 20 barangays), one of the 11 municipalities of Ifugao. For over years, the JICA and other partners have been supporting the upgrading of facilities, human resource development, and monitoring systems.

Recruitment and Study Design
The participants were recruited through the AYOD Community Health Team members and the inclusion criteria were males over the age of 18 (Figure 1).

In February 2009, one male community representative received training from the health office staff. Then in June, he was assigned to teach five groups of males on MCH topics, shown in Table 1, at the nearby barangays (Group 1). Each group session, which took approximately five hours, included a PowerPoint presentation and participatory learning activities in which participants shared their knowledge and experiences. The educational materials were written in lay English, but both English and the local dialect of Ilocano were used during the PowerPoint presentation, which was prepared by a public health nurse. The lecturer read the topics in English, but the explanation was mostly in Ilocano, for the better understanding of the participants. Technical assistance was given by a public health nurse, as required. In September, ten male volunteers from Group 1, in pairs of two, taught another different group of males in their own barangays (Group 2). They used the same educational materials and had the same timeframe as with the prior groups. Two health-related research assistants validated that all the basic content had been covered and discussed by the lecturers, using a check-list. During the study, socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge, attitude, and practice of these two groups of males were collected through a self-administered questionnaire survey. To evaluate the effectiveness, pre-test (Time 1, T1: before the session), post-test (Time 2, T2: immediately after the session), and follow-up test (Time 3, T3: three months following the session) were conducted. Surveys were given at all times for Group 1, whereas for Group 2, they were only given at T1 and T2.

In Group 1, out of 171 males who participated in the program, a total of 168 agreed to participate in this study by answering the pre-test. Then, a total of 149 participants also answered the post-test and 140 males were followed up 3 months after the session. The loss in tracking was mainly due to busy schedule in farm work, living in a far away hard-to-reach catchment, migration, and death after the intervention. In Group 2, out of 121 program participants, 120 males answered the pre-test and 105 of these males completed the post-test. The loss in number was due to family matters and farm work, thereby making them leave before completing the session and answering the questionnaire. No monetary incentives were given to the participants, including the transportation fee, but a lunch and a snack with beverages were provided.

Outcome Measures
A structured questionnaire developed by the researcher, with questions on knowledge, attitude and behavior regarding MCH, was administered. Socio-demographic data such as age, occupation, education, and average income were also collected. The questionnaire was pilot tested, with ten Ifugao males, to determine whether the items were adequate, clear, and culturally appropriate. Minor revisions were applied by rephrasing some of the questions.

Knowledge was measured by 17 questions with 5 items each (Cronbach α = 0.87), asking how well the participants knew about MCH topics. The questions were based on the educational materials used during the session, with a range of 0-85: higher score indicating better knowledge. The questions included, ‘What are the early signs of pregnancy?’, ‘What is Birth Plan?’, and ‘What are good post-partum care practices?’ The answers were in multiple-choice, and the participants circled the answer(s) of their choice. The total score was calculated for analysis by giving 1 point for each correct answer and no points for ‘Don’t know’ answers.

Attitude was evaluated through 10 items (Cronbach α = 0.86), measuring how strongly they felt towards helping women as individuals, being a male in general, and being a community member. To measure the intensity of their attitudes, a scale from ‘strongly disagree’ to ‘strongly agree’ was used. The range was 1-5, with the most desirable attitude calculated as 5, and its mean was calculated. The items included, ‘I should help women deliver at a health facility’, ‘In general, males have a big role in supporting women through pregnancy, delivery, and afterbirth’, and ‘I can be a model/example to other Ifugao males in helping safe pregnancy, delivery, and afterbirth.’
### Table 1 Topics on Maternal and Child Health

| Pre-natal                                      | Common discomforts during pregnancy |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Early signs of pregnancy                      | Ways to ease discomforts           |
| Importance of pre-natal care                  | Serious danger signs during pregnancy |
| Check-up schedules                            | Things to bring for facility delivery |
| (number of times and when)                    | Birth Planning                     |
| Available services at a health facility        | Arrangements by the family for facility-delivery |
| Tetanus toxoid immunization                   |                                    |
|                                                 |                                    |
| Delivery                                      |                                    |
| Advantages of health facility-based delivery  |                                    |
|                                                 |                                    |
| Post-partum                                   |                                    |
| Serious complications after birth             |                                    |
| Importance of post-partum care                |                                    |
| Good post-partum care practices               |                                    |
|                                                 |                                    |
| Three delays model                            |                                    |
| First, second, and third delay                |                                    |
Practice was measured by asking for their experiences such as ‘Discussing about MCH topics’ and ‘Assisting women in times of pregnancy’, within the three months between T1 and T3. The answer choices were ‘yes’ or ‘no’ with further open-ended questions.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data analysis was performed using the software package SPSS ver. 18.0 and SAS ver. 9.1. The baseline socio-demographic differences between the two groups were compared using the Student’s t-test or chi-square test. A repeated measures analysis of variance was conducted to test for changes over time and its interaction effect between specific socio-demographic variables. The difference between the group sessions in Group 2, with different lecturers, was considered as a random effect in a mixed effect model. P-values of < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**Ethical Considerations**

All procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo (No. 2576). The participants were informed about the study objectives and procedures, and signed the “Informed Consent Form” prior to enrolment.

**Results**

A total of 140 (Group 1) and 105 (Group 2) males fully participated in the study, representing 81.9% and 87.5% completion rate, respectively (Table 2). The drop-outs (n = 28) in Group 1 were younger (p = 0.021) and had lower knowledge scores (p < 0.001) compared to the participants. In Group 2, the knowledge scores of the drop-outs (n = 15) were lower (p < 0.001) than the participants.

**Group 1: Change in Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice**

Table 3 shows the changes in knowledge and attitude score within Group 1. There was a significant increase in overall knowledge at T1-T2 and T1-T3 (F = 54.97, p < 0.001). After analyzing this result per questions, 14 out of 17 showed this effect. However, the scores on ‘Why should a mother go for pre-natal care?’ increased significantly, while the scores on ‘What are the things mothers need to bring from home for delivery at a health facility?’ and ‘What is “Birth Plan”?’, decreased significantly at T2-T3. For overall attitude, the score increased significantly only at T1-T2 (F = 3.63, p = 0.027), and decreased slightly at T3 with no significant difference between T1-T3. During the three months after the session, many of the participants practiced what they had learned. Out of the 140 participants, 85% talked about MCH topics to other people in the community. Also, 79% said that they assisted pregnant women, such as their wives, sisters, daughters, daughter-in-laws, neighbors, and friends. And, some of the ways that they have mentioned were: (a) referring to the midwife or doctor; (b) offering transportation/money; (c) advising to go to the hospital; (d) going to their house to take care of the children and livestock; and (e) including them in prayers.

**Discussion**

This study evaluated the effectiveness of teaching males about MCH topics in a community and if they can teach another group of males in the process of information dissemination.

The drop-outs in both of the groups with low baseline knowledge score, provide many implications for future program management. Giving them more clearer and direct messages as to why this program is important may have been necessary. For example, instead of an introduction with explanation of MDGs and the high maternal mortality rate of the Philippines, one may share stories of maternal death within the particular community; how and why mothers are losing their lives...
Table 2 Participants’ Characteristics

| Characteristic                  | Group 1 (N = 140) | Group 2 (N = 105) | p    |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| Age                             |                   |                   |      |
| Range                           | 18-71             | 18-74             |      |
| Mean (SD)                       | 42.5 (± 11.3)     | 38.0 (± 12.2)     | *    |
| 18-19                           | 2                 | 5                 | 4.8% |
| 20-29                           | 18                | 22                | 21.0%|
| 30-39                           | 34                | 38                | 36.2%|
| 40-49                           | 51                | 20                | 19.0%|
| 50-59                           | 25                | 14                | 13.3%|
| 60-69                           | 8                 | 3                 | 2.9% |
| 70-79                           | 2                 | 2                 | 1.9% |
| Age                             |                   |                   |      |
| Education                       |                   |                   |      |
| Below High School Graduate      | 69                | 79                | 75.2%|
| High School Graduate and Above  | 71                | 26                | 24.8%|
| Marital Status                  |                   |                   |      |
| Married                         | 131               | 88                | 83.8%|
| Others                          | 9                 | 17                | 16.2%|
| Occupation                      |                   |                   |      |
| None                            | 5                 | 2                 | 1.9% |
| Farmer                          | 113               | 94                | 89.5%|
| Government Worker               | 14                | 6                 | 5.7% |
| Others                          | 8                 | 3                 | 2.9% |
| Number of Family Members        |                   |                   |      |
| Range                           | 1-15              | 1-12              |      |
| Mean (SD)                       | 5.5 (± 2.4)       | 5.4 (± 2.6)       | ns   |
| < 5                             | 79                | 61                | 58.1%|
| ≥ 6                             | 61                | 44                | 41.9%|
| Number of Children              |                   |                   |      |
| Range                           | 0-13              | 0-10              |      |
| Mean (SD)                       | 3.7 (± 2.5)       | 3.2 (± 2.7)       | ns   |
| None                            | 12                | 19                | 18.1%|
| 1-3                             | 101               | 42                | 40.0%|
| ≥ 4                             | 27                | 44                | 41.9%|
| Planning to Have Children       |                   |                   |      |
| Yes                             | 65                | 53                | 50.5%|
| No                              | 72                | 52                | 49.5%|
| Monthly Income, Peso (5000 pesos = about 100 USD) |                  |                   |      |
| < 5,000                         | 93                | 81                | 77.1%|
| 5,000≤                          | 47                | 24                | 22.8%|
| Head of the Household           |                   |                   |      |
| Myself                          | 119               | 83                | 79.0%|
| Other than Myself               | 21                | 22                | 21.0%|
| Decision-maker for using money on health |               |                   |      |
| Myself                          | 102               | 75                | 71.4%|
| Other than Myself               | 36                | 28                | 26.7%|
| Heard About Maternal Death in January 2009 |               |                   |      |
| Yes                             | 51                | 47                | 44.8%|
| No                              | 89                | 58                | 55.2%|

* t-test or Χ² test.
* p < 0.05; **: p < 0.001; ns: not significant.
while giving birth. Also, findings in a systematic survey on women’s views and experiences confirm that they prefer small-group learning environment in which they can talk to each other as well as the educator and can relate information to their individual circumstances [28]. In addition to having the lecturers lead the session without making the participants feel the content to be too difficult, this may be one of the ways to orient the program for lower drop-out rates.

The overall knowledge increased immediately after the session and was maintained even after three months. However, the scores on questions asking ‘What are the things mothers need to bring from home for delivery at a health facility?’ and ‘What is Birth Plan?’ decreased

Table 3 Group 1: Changes in Knowledge and Attitude Scores

| Time               | T1 (Pre-test) | T2 (Post-test) | T3 (3-months follow up) | F     | Tukey          |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------|----------------|
| KNOWLEDGE          |               |                |                         |       |                |
| (0-85, the highest is 85) | 36.3 (± 15.3)  | 51.7 (± 13.4)  | 51.2 (± 13.1)           | 54.97*| T1-T2, T1-T3 |
| ATTITUDE           |               |                |                         |       |                |
| (1-5, the highest is 5) | 3.99 (± 0.6)  | 4.17 (± 0.5)   | 4.05 (± 0.6)            | 3.63* | T1-T2         |

repeated measures analysis of variance.
*p < 0.05.

Table 4 Group 1: Changes in Knowledge and Attitude Score by Socio-demographic Variables

| Time               | T1 (Pre-test) | T2 (Post-test) | T3 (3-months follow up) | F     | Tukey          |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------|----------------|
| KNOWLEDGE          |               |                |                         |       |                |
| Occupation         |               |                |                         |       |                |
| None               | 40.0 (± 8.5)  | 49.2 (± 10.13) | 52.0 (± 10.58)          | 13.63 *| 8.64 *         |
| Farmer             | 34.5 (± 15.4) | 49.9 (± 12.97) | 50.2 (± 13.53)          |       |                |
| Government Worker  | 43.8 (± 15.8) | 60.1 (± 13.3)  | 55.5 (± 11.10)          |       |                |
| Other              | 45.6 (± 9.24) | 63.6 (± 11.88) | 58.1 (± 9.88)           |       |                |
| Education          |               |                |                         |       |                |
| < High School Graduate | 31.6 (± 17.79) | 46.5 (± 13.01) | 48.6 (± 13.96)          | 60.12 *| 38.99 *        |
| High School Graduate | 40.8 (± 10.85) | 56.7 (± 11.93) | 53.8 (± 11.83)          |       |                |
| Monthly Income, Peso USD |       |                |                         |       |                |
| < 5,000            | 35.4 (± 16.19)| 49.8 (± 12.88) | 49.4 (± 12.80)          | 53.34 *| 9.84 *         |
| 5,000≤             | 37.9 (± 13.49)| 55.4 (± 13.85) | 54.8 (± 13.18)          |       |                |
| Household Head     |               |                |                         |       |                |
| Other than myself  | 36.8 (± 15.99)| 52.7 (± 13.68) | 52.0 (± 13.11)          | 25.44 *| 7.43 *         |
| Myself             | 33.1 (± 10.61)| 45.8 (± 10.30) | 47.0 (± 12.79)          |       |                |
| ATTITUDE           |               |                |                         |       |                |
| Education          |               |                |                         |       |                |
| < High School Graduate | 3.87 (± 0.55) | 4.05 (± 0.47)  | 3.93 (± 0.60)           | 3.79 *| 20.78 *        |
| High School Graduate≤ | 4.11 (± 0.63) | 4.28 (± 0.42)  | 4.17 (± 0.51)           |       |                |

repeated measures analysis of variance.
*p < 0.05.
significantly at T2-T3, indicating that these topics might have required more emphasis. An effort in endorsing the importance of preparing for facility-based deliveries as males, and clarifying the difference between “Family Planning” and “Birth Planning”, is perhaps necessary.

There was a significant increase in overall attitude score at T1-T2, followed by a slight decrease at T3 with no significant difference between T1-T3. Since the difference between T1-T3 was not significant, it is most likely that the session was not effective in changing participants’ attitude over time. In the long Ifugao culture, females have been seen as the most responsible for child bearing. This may result in an unintentional negative perception on males’ mind in terms of helping pregnant women, after three months following the session. This difficulty in changing male’s attitude can be inferred by

**Figure 2**: Comparison of Change in Knowledge and Attitude between Group 1 and Group 2. Paired t-test (Group 1); Mixed effect model, “session” as random effect (Group 2)

**Figure 3**: Group 2: Change in Knowledge and Attitude by Sessions. Adjusted for age, education, and marital status
the description of an attitude as a belief that is shaped by forces that act upon it because of the position or status within social structure [29]. Various ways to motivate a community to develop a positive attitude must be further assessed, since it is an important aspect of health education in preserving and promoting health [30]. However, there remains a possibility that attitude change requires a longer duration, making it inconclusive in this short time.

In spite of the short three-month period after the session, many participants have acted as advocates in the community. They tried to share their learning with the people in their community and some even discussed it at the barangay assembly to raise community awareness regarding MCH issues. According to the study capturing fathers’ conceptions of parental education topics, it is expected that it extend beyond the mother’s role as the traditional infant caregiver to include the father’s role as the other primary partner in providing infant care [31]. Therefore, this program of addressing MCH issues to males provides a good prospect for fathers wanting to help mothers improve their health status.

Findings from this study revealed that this strategy had similar effectiveness for any socio-demographic group of males. However, as for knowledge, main effects showed that public workers had higher scores than farmers, and males with higher educational background and higher monthly income tended to have better scores. These results coincide with findings from other studies, in which males with higher socio-economic status tend to know more about reproductive health matters [32]. However, the fact that it was equally effective regardless of their initial score at T1, is a satisfactory result for a first trial. In addition, being the head of a household was another factor that contributed to having a higher knowledge score. This might be explained by the responsibility that they have always possessed and the leading role that they have played in their family. For attitude, those with higher educational background had better attitude than those less educated. Taking in consideration of the fact that those with higher educational background also had higher scores in knowledge, there might be a relationship between knowledge and attitude, although the direction is unknown.

In a comparison between Groups 1 and 2, there was an increase in overall knowledge score at T1-T2, demonstrating that knowledge learned by one group of males can be taught to another group of males through this strategy. However, attitude score in Group 2 seemed to show a different trend compared to Group 1, with no significant positive change. Although it is difficult to conclude what factor contributed the most to this difference, one factor may be the teaching method since there were several lecturers that had been assigned for Group 2. Some of these lecturers had stated, “I felt nervous, did not expect myself to be so” and “I felt so nervous because this was my first time as a speaker.” There is a need for training to enhance their teaching and facilitating skills, so they would feel more confident talking in front of a group and be successful in conveying the messages to the participants. Other factors such as the interaction between the participants and the lecturers and the tone of the lecturers could have also affected attitude change.

This study has several limitations. First, the recruitment of the participants was not through random sampling and leaves a possibility that they do not represent the community, making the findings difficult to generalize. Second, outcome measurements should be further assessed since they are not standardized nor validated. Since the pre-existing attitude scores were already generally positive, it may have reflected a selection bias that resulted in a ceiling effect. A more sensitive and reliable measurement for attitude should be developed for future studies. For practice, the measure did not take into consideration the difference in individuals in terms of actually having the opportunity to help women. A more concrete analysis can be done by following up the actual number of pre-natal and post-partum checkups and health facility-based deliveries that were supported by males. In the long term, MMR is also an important outcome measure for a better and complete understanding of the impact of this strategy. Lastly, for a more detailed analysis on the effect of this strategy, a comparison with sessions taught by health professionals, with female participation, or setting a control group must be considered. Also, factors such as the male participants’ wives or household members should also be taken into account in future studies, as they could influence the male’s knowledge, attitude, and practice.

Conclusion
The WHO Making Pregnancy Safer initiative implies that availability of quality services alone will not produce the desired health outcomes when there is no possibility to be healthy, to make healthy decisions, and to be able to act on those decisions [33]. These community males that have acquired MCH knowledge and positive attitude towards helping women, can thus contribute to their community in many dimensions for the good of mothers’ and children’s health. Furthermore, it is noted that women, families, and communities must work more closely together with health care providers in achieving the reduction of mortality [33]. Along with each individual’s participation, a strong political commitment and leadership, especially of the barangay officials is essential in making this bond between the health professionals and the community stronger. However, with this
continuous learning and teaching strategy among the community males, there is a high potential for more improvement in the MCH status of Alfonso Lista, Ifugao, Philippines.
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