Experimental Investigation of Cement/Soda Residue for Solidification/Stabilization of Cr-Contaminated Soils
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Adopting more efficient and sustainable remediation materials is of great importance for the development of solidification and stabilization (S/S) technology. Among them, soda residue could be considered as a desirable binder due to its strong adsorption for heavy metals. For understanding of the performance of Cr-contaminated soils treated by cement/soda residue, the strength, leaching and microstructural characteristics, and the long-term effectiveness under wetting-drying cycles were comprehensively investigated in this study. The results showed that the unconfined compressive strength (UCS) increased and the leached Cr\(^{3+}\) concentration decreased with curing time, binder content, and binder ratio. Increasing the soda residue from C6S14 to C6S24 could improve soil strength and reduce leachability of Cr\(^{3+}\), while a reverse trend was presented with increasing initial Cr\(^{3+}\) concentration. With subsequent wetting-drying cycles, the UCS further increased and then decreased; inversely, the leached Cr\(^{3+}\) decreased, followed by an increase of Cr-contaminated soils. For the specimens of C6S14 and C6S24, the maximum UCS of 6.04 MPa and 6.48 MPa was reached; correspondingly, the minimum leached Cr\(^{3+}\) concentration of 2.78 mg/L and 1.93 mg/L was reached after 3 wetting-drying cycles, respectively. Microstructure analysis results found that reaction products like calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) and ettringite (AFt) increasingly occupied the soil pore space and caused a denser soil structure after 3 wetting-drying cycles, which indicated the long-term effectiveness of contaminated soils treated by cement/soda residue.

1. Introduction

With rapid industrialization, heavy metal pollution in soils has become a serious environmental problem that not only deteriorates the geotechnical properties of soils and causes geological hazards, but also worsens ecological environment and threatens human health [1–5]. Solidification/stabilization (S/S) is one of the most desirable techniques for remediation of heavy metal-contaminated soils, which has been extensively applied worldwide due to its convenience and cost-effectiveness [6–10].

In S/S technique, cement, fly ash, and other cementitious binders are added to soil or wastes to immobilize and encapsulate heavy metal ions, which have attracted extensive attention during the past decade [11–13]. According to the researches performed by Chen et al. [14], Du et al. [15], and Zha et al. [16], the UCS of the Pb- and Zn-contaminated soils treated by cement was significantly increased with cement content and curing time. Liu et al. [4] investigated the strength and leaching properties of Pb-contaminated soils solidified/stabilized by high-calcium fly ash. The results showed that adding high-calcium fly ash to the contaminated soils could remarkably improve the soil strength and reduce the leachability of Pb\(^{2+}\). Yin et al. [6] investigated the geotechnical properties of solidification/stabilization of Pb-contaminated soil using cement/rice husk ash. The results showed that although the UCS decreased due to the incorporation of partial rice husk ash, the leachability of Pb\(^{2+}\) significantly reduced in contaminated soils treated with cement/rice husk ash. Based on the toxicity characteristics leaching procedure (TCLP) and semidynamic leaching tests, Singh and Pant [11] studied the leaching behavior of As-
contaminated alumina remediataed by mixed binders of cement, fly ash, calcium hydroxide, and various polymeric materials and concluded that low leachability of As$^{3+}$ was attributed to the formations of calcite along with precipitate formation of calcium arsenite in the stabilized soils. It is evident that cement mixed with other materials as a new binder has been widely adopted to remediate the heavy metal-contaminated soils. The usage of more efficient and sustainable remediaiton materials will be of great importance to the theoretical and practical significance for driving the development of the S/S technique.

Soda residue, i.e., white mud, is a waste material generated from the alkali industry. Large amounts of soda residue are disposed of in situ annually, not only requiring a large area of land, but also causing a series of environmental problems. The main compositions of soda residue include calcium oxide, silica, and magnesia, which was extensively used as the filling material for subgrade construction [17, 18]. The extremely fine particles of soda residue would result in a large specific surface area that exhibits a strong adsorption capacity [18–20]. Moreover, soda residue can potentially be utilized as a source for seawater decalcification due to its high alkalinity [21]. Therefore, recycling and using soda residue in geotechnical engineering is of great practical significance. Based on the experimental investigations, Yang et al. [20] found that soda residue could be used as an inorganic binder to stabilize stone chip by reducing the permeability coefficients, promoting frost resistance and decreasing chloride ion leaching. Further study by Liu et al. [13] confirmed that the hydration and pozzolanic reactions would occur in soil with the incorporation of the soda residue, which could effectively reduce the leachability of Pb$^{2+}$.

In summary, soda residue could be used as a sustainable material in civil engineering, especially in soil remediation. However, the performance of heavy metal-contaminated soil treated by cement/soda residue was rarely reported. Therefore, the strength and leaching characteristics of cement/soda residue solidified/stabilized Cr-contaminated soil were comprehensively investigated in this study. Additionally, the long-term effectiveness of cement/soda residue solidified/stabilized Cr-contaminated soil under wetting-drying conditions was considered. Simultaneously, microcosmic testing techniques of X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were used to determine the reaction mechanism.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. The tested soils were sampled from a construction site at a depth of 5–6 m in Hefei City, Anhui Province, China. Following ASTM standards [22, 23], the basic physical properties were determined and are summarized in Table 1. The main chemical components of the tested soil were analyzed by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) technique, which is listed in Table 2. The liquid limit and plasticity index of the tested soil were 49.4% and 24.8, respectively, which could be classified as low liquid limit clay (CL) according to the Unified Soil Classification System [24]. In addition, the maximum dry density of 1.66 g/cm$^3$ and the optimal water content of 21.3% were determined by performing a Proctor compaction test following [25] (Table 1).

Cement/soda residue was used as a binder to treat the Cr-contaminated soils. Ordinary Portland cement (P325) was purchased from Nanjing city, China, and soda residue was obtained from an alkali works in Weifang city, China. The main chemical components of the cement and soda residue are listed in Table 2. CaO, SiO$_2$, and Al$_2$O$_3$ were typically detected in the cement, while CaO, SiO$_2$, and MgO were mainly detected in the soda residue. The particles size distribution of soda residue presented in Figure 1 was obtained by using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000 laser particle size analyzer. It could be observed that the particle size distribution of soda residue was mainly in the range of 1–10 μm, which indicated the large specific surface area and strong adsorption of soda residue.

2.2. Specimen Preparation. The soil sample was oven-dried at 105°C for 24 h, pulverized, and then passed through a 2 mm sieve. The Cr(NO$_3$)$_3$•9H$_2$O was thoroughly dissolved in deionized water and mixed with the soil powder. The Cr-contaminated soil was prepared at the water content corresponding to its liquid limit, then it was sealed in fresh-keeping bags and cured for 7 days under standard curing conditions (temperature of 20±2°C, relative humidity of 95%). With reference to the researches carried out by Du et al. [15], Liu et al. [26], and Liu et al. [27], Cr$^{3+}$ was added to soil sample chosen for contamination at concentrations of 1000, 5000, and 10000 mg/kg (denoted Cr0.1, Cr0.5, and Cr1.0 as the mass rations of Cr$^{3+}$ to dry soil).

After completion of the curing period, the prepared Cr-contaminated soil and cement/soda residue were dried, pulverized, and passed through 2 mm and 0.5 mm sieves, respectively. Then, the cement/soda residue and contaminated soil powder were mixed and stirred evenly. Specimens were prepared with fixed mass ratios of cement/soda residue to dry soils of 20% and 30% (called A20 and A30). The binder ratio of cement to soda residue in A20 was designed for C4S16, C6S14, C8S12, and C10S10; corresponding binder ratios of C6S24, C9S21, C12S18, and C15S15 were designed in A30. Next, the contaminated soil powders were oven-dried again and mixed thoroughly with the additives at the optimal water content shown in Table 1. Finally, the prepared mixtures were put into a cylindrical mold and statically compacted to obtain specimens with a diameter of 50 mm, height of 50 mm, and dry density of 1.58 g/cm$^3$ (equaling 95% of the maximum dry density). The prepared specimens were sealed and cured in a constant temperature and humidity curing box at a controlled temperature of 22 ± 1°C and relative humidity of 95 ± 2%. Specimens prepared for the UCS and TCLP tests were cured for 7, 14, 28, 56, and 90 days, while for the wetting-drying cycle tests, they were cured for 90 days.

2.3. Test Methods

2.3.1. Unconfined Compressive Strength Test. In accordance with the Standard Test Method for Unconfined Compressive Strength of Cohesive Soil [28], the as-prepared specimen was assembled in the strain-controlled YHS-2 UCS testing.
apparatus. The UCS test was then performed at a vertical strain rate of 1% min. The stress and strain of the specimen were recorded at intervals of 5 s until the specimen failed.

2.3.2. Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure Test. The leaching characteristic of the solidified contaminated specimen was determined using the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) following USEPA, Method 1311 1994 [29]. The prepared specimen was pulverized into pieces smaller than 9.5 mm. A leachant with pH value of 2.88 ± 0.05 was prepared by diluting 5.7 mL acetic acid (HAc) in 1 L deionized water. Then, 12.5 g of crushed samples and 250 mL leachant (solid-to-liquid ratio of 1 : 20) were mixed in a polythene bottle and vibrated at 80 rpm for 18 h. The leachate was then filtered through a 0.45 μm filter membrane, and then the concentration of Cr³⁺ in the leachate was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS).

2.3.3. Cyclic Wetting-Drying Test. The wetting-drying test was conducted on the specimens of C6S14 and C6S24 with Cr0.5 according to ASTM D4843-88 [30]. The specimens were placed in an oven maintained at 45 ± 3°C for 24 h; then the specimens were removed and left to stand for 1-2 h to reach room temperature of 22 ± 3°C. Then, the specimen was placed in water tanks and immersed in water at temperature of 20 ± 3°C for 23 h. Finally, loose particles attached on the surface of the specimen were removed; the water in the tanks drained out. And then, the specimen was placed at room temperature for 1 h. The whole process was one wetting-drying cycle. In this study, the number of wetting-drying cycles was designed as 0, 3, 5, 10, and 20. After 0, 3, 5, 10, and 20 wetting-drying cycles, the UCS and TCLP tests were conducted following the respective procedures specified above.

The mass loss tests were carried out on the specimen during 20 wetting-drying cycles. After completion of each cycle, the change in mass loss of specimen was measured by electronic balance. Consequently, the cumulative mass loss rate could be determined using the following equation:

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{M_i}{M_0} \times 100\%, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots 20),$$

where $C$ (%) is the cumulative mass loss of specimen after $i$ wetting-drying cycles, $M_i$ (g) is the dry mass loss of specimen under $i$ wetting-drying cycle, and $M_0$ (g) is the initial dry mass of the specimen. When the $C$ value exceeds 30% or the specimen is broken during testing, the procedure is stopped [30].

| Table 1: Basic physical properties of the tested soil. |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| Density (g/cm³) | Natural water content (%) | Specific gravity | Liquid limit (%) | Plastic limit (%) | Maximum dry density (g/cm³) | Optimum water content (%) |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.92            | 25.5                     | 2.669           | 49.4             | 24.8             | 1.663                       | 21.2                      |

| Table 2: Major chemical components of the tested soil and binders. |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Chemical composition | CaO (%) | SiO₂ (%) | Al₂O₃ (%) | SO₃ (%) | Fe₂O₃ (%) | MgO (%) | TiO₂ (%) | Na₂O (%) | Others (%) |
|----------------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Soil                 | 0.48    | 54.63    | 21.56     | —       | 17.19     | 1.77    | 1.99    | 0.65     | 1.73      |
| Cement              | 43.80   | 27.21    | 9.90      | 3.01    | 2.88      | 1.57    | 0.47    | 0.44     | 1.85      |
| Soda residue        | 62.81   | 10.20    | 9.00      | 0.30    | 1.31      | 12.50   | 0.24    | 0.23     | 3.20      |

![Figure 1: Curve of particle size distribution of soda residue.](image-url)
2.3.4. Microstructure Analysis. After 0, 3, and 10 wetting-drying cycles, the specimens of C6S24 with Cr0.5 were freeze-dried for microstructural characteristics test. The XRD tests were carried out with Cu-Ka radiation on a Rigaku D/Max-2005 V instrument. The peaks and crystalline phases were identified by JADE 6.5 software. SEM analysis was performed on the specimens using a JSM-6490LV scanning electron microscope. The specimens were broken into small pieces with an approximate size of 5 mm × 5 mm × 5 mm, freeze-dried in a lyophilizer, and finally pretreated using vacuum metal spraying technology to obtain sufficient conductivity.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Unconfined Compressive Strength. Evolutions of the UCS with curing time of the stabilized Cr-contaminated soils are presented in Figure 2. As shown in Figure 2, the UCS of stabilized soils significantly increased followed by a lesser degree of increase with curing time. It was obvious that the UCS of stabilized soils cured for 7 days higher than 0.35 MPa, which met the United States’ strength requirement for landfill treatment [31]. While for the stabilized soil with Cr0.1 and Cr0.5, the UCS exceeded 1 MPa, which satisfied France’s criteria for the deposit of stabilized waste in hazardous waste landfills [32]. It could also be observed from Figures 2(a)–2(c) that, as the initial Cr3+ concentration increased, the UCS of stabilized soil significantly decreased, especially for soils with Cr0.1.

Evolutions of the UCS with binder ratio of the stabilized Cr-contaminated soils are presented in Figure 3. As shown in Figure 3, it was obvious that the UCS of Cr-contaminated soils significantly increased as cement/soda residue increased from A20 to A30. With increasing binder ratio of cement to soda residue, the UCS gradually increased in Cr-contaminated soils. For further analysis of the effect of soda residue on the UCS of Cr-contaminated soil, results of the UCS with C6S14 and C6S24 curing for 90 days are presented in Figure 4. Curves in Figure 4 evidently show that the UCS of the Cr-contaminated soils increased with the increase of soda residue. Particularly, for the soil with Cr0.1 and Cr0.5, when the soda residue increased from C6S14 to C6S24, the UCS increased by 38% and 36%, respectively.

The increase of UCS contaminated soil was attributed to the hydration reaction between the soil and cement/soda residue, which resulted in the formed large amount of C-S-H, calcium aluminate hydrate (C-A-H), AFt, and Ca(OH)2. These hydration products will cement and encapsulate the soil particles and occupy the pore space, thereby improving the soil strength [33–35]. Additionally, the pozzolanic reactions between the SiO2, Al2O3, and Ca2+ will occur in soil and further promote the formation of C-S-H, C-A-H, and other cementitious hydrates [36]. According to the study performed by Zha et al. [34], the pozzolanic reaction will be strengthened in the alkaline environment provided by the soda residue and previous reactions. Moreover, the improvement of soil strength may be due to the generation of insoluble Ca-Cr oxides and hydroxide nitrate complexes precipitation in alkaline environment [36, 37]. According to the researches performed by Kindness et al. [38], Macias et al. [39], and Jing et al. [40], the precipitation of Ca2Cr2O5·6H2O could be generated in highly alkaline environment. Further study by Chen et al. [41] reported that Cr3+ could react with calcium hydroxide to form Ca2Cr(OH)7·3H2O precipitates, which played a role in increasing the soil strength. In this study, the main components of CaCO3 and CaSO4 in the soda residue could also constitute the soil’s skeleton to increase the soil strength. With increasing curing time, binder content, and binder ratio, these physicochemical reactions will continuously develop in the S/S process and create more hydration gels and insoluble Cr precipitations, thus leading to the notable increase in UCS of stabilized soils in the initial curing period. However, the physicochemical reactions will gradually fade, and the various microstructures of soil will tend to be constant with curing time. In the meantime, the pozzolanic reactions will be retarded due to the limited pozzolanic components in the soda residue as shown in Table 2. Furthermore, the existence and increase of Cr3+ in soils hindered the hydration reaction of cement/soda residue, which will decrease the formation of hydration products [42, 43].

3.2. Leaching Characteristics. Evolutions of leached Cr3+ concentration with curing time of stabilized Cr-contaminated soils are presented in Figure 5. As shown in Figure 5, the leached Cr3+ concentration dramatically decreased followed by a lesser degree of decrease with further increase of curing time, which indicated the significant effect of cement/soda residue on the leachability of Cr3+. For the stabilized soils with Cr0.1, the leached Cr3+ concentrations of soil with curing time were all below 5 mg/L, which satisfied the US EPA’s evaluation criteria for the remediation of waste sites [29]. While for the stabilized soils with Cr0.5 and Cr1.0, the leached Cr3+ concentrations of soil were below the regulatory limit of 5 mg/L after curing for 28 days. It could also be observed from Figures 5(a)–5(c) that, as the initial Cr3+ concentration increased, the leached Cr3+ concentration dramatically decreased followed by a lesser degree of decrease with further increase of curing time, which indicated the significant effect of cement/soda residue on the leachability of Cr3+.

Evolutions of the leached Cr3+ concentration with binder ratio of the stabilized Cr-contaminated soils are presented in Figure 6. As shown in Figure 6, it was evident that the leached Cr3+ concentration of soils decreased with cement/soda residue increased from A20 to A30. With increasing binder ratio of cement to soda residue, the leached Cr3+ concentration gradually decreased of Cr-contaminated soils. For further analysis of effect of soda residue on the leaching characteristics of Cr-contaminated soil, results of the leached Cr3+ concentrations in C6S14 and C6S24 curing for 90 days are presented in Figure 7. As shown in Figure 7, the leached Cr3+ concentration of the contaminated soils decreased as the soda residue content increased from C6S14 to C6S24. For the soil with Cr0.1, Cr0.5, and Cr1.0, when soda residue increased from C6S14 to C6S24, the leached Cr3+ concentration decreased by 53%, 12%, and 5%, respectively, which indicated the significant immobilization effectiveness on Cr3+ with lower initial concentration.
This was because a large amount of C-S-H, C-A-H, AFt, and other hydration gels were formed because of hydration and pozzolanic reactions, which had considerable specific surface area to effectively adsorb Cr\textsuperscript{3+} [11, 44]. The pozzolanic reaction of cement/soda residue will be improved by the soda residue-induced highly alkaline environment in soils. Additionally, in the S/S process, the Cr\textsuperscript{3+} could replace Si\textsuperscript{2+}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, Al\textsuperscript{3+} in C-S-H and Al\textsuperscript{3+}, Fe\textsuperscript{3+} in C-A-H, and Al\textsuperscript{3+} in AFt, which immobilized Cr\textsuperscript{3+} in the soil [37, 43, 45]. Moreover, the reduced leachability of Cr\textsuperscript{3+} might be attributed to Cr\textsuperscript{3+} existing as the precipitation of Ca\textsubscript{2}Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{7}.6H\textsubscript{2}O and Ca\textsubscript{2}Cr(OH)\textsubscript{7}.3H\textsubscript{2}O in contaminated soil [36–41]. In this study, the incorporation of soda residue in soils could exhibit strong adsorption capacity for Cr\textsuperscript{3+} due to its extremely fine particle size and large specific surface area [18–20]. With the increase of curing time, binder content, and binder ratio, these physicochemical reactions will proceed and continuously generate more hydration products and Cr precipitations, which significantly decreased the leachability of Cr\textsuperscript{3+} in the initial curing period. However, the physicochemical reactions will gradually fade with curing time and the existence and increase of Cr\textsuperscript{3+} in soils will hinder hydration reaction of cement/soda residue as well, which result in the limited immobilization of Cr\textsuperscript{3+} in soils.
Figure 3: Evolutions of the UCS with binder ratio of the stabilized Cr-contaminated soils: (a) Cr0.1, (b) Cr0.5, and (c) Cr1.0.

Figure 4: Relationship between the UCS and soda residue content of the stabilized Cr-contaminated soils.
contaminated soils [42, 43]. In the meantime, the pozzolanic reactions will be retarded due to the limited pozzolanic components in the soda residue as shown in Table 2.

### 3.3. Wetting-Drying Cycles

3.3.1. Effect of Cyclic Wetting-Drying on the UCS. For investigation of long-term effectiveness of Cr-contaminated soils solidified/stabilized by cement/soda residue, the results of influence of wetting-drying cycles on the UCS, accompanied with trends in the cumulative mass loss rate are presented in Figures 8 and 9, respectively.

As shown in Figure 8, the UCS of stabilized Cr-contaminated soils increased and followed by a decrease with increasing wetting-drying cycles. For the specimen of C6S14 and C6S24, the maximum UCS of 6.04 MPa and 6.48 MPa could be reached after 3 wetting-drying cycles, respectively. The UCS of stabilized soils larger than 0.35 MPa after 20 wetting-drying cycles could also be observed, which satisfied the United States’ strength standards for the remediation of landfills [31]. Curves in Figure 9 show that the cumulative mass loss rate gradually increased with wetting-drying cycles. A relatively stable cumulative mass loss rate could be observed during 0–3 wetting-drying cycles, which could
support the results shown in Figure 8. These results indicated that cement/soda residue could perform a long-term effectiveness on the remediation of Cr-contaminated soils under wetting-drying cycle environment.

This was because, in the early stages of wetting-drying cycles, the hydration and pozzolanic reactions were still uncompleted and continuously generated hydration gels like C-S-H and C-A-H, leading to further increase in the UCS of contaminated soils. Similar results were reported by Zha et al. [34] in solidification/stabilization of contaminated soils using fly ash. Additionally, the more formed insoluble Ca-Cr oxides and hydroxide nitrate complexes precipitation like Ca$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$·6H$_2$O and Ca$_2$Cr(OH)$_7$·3H$_2$O could improve the contaminated soils strength as well [36–41]. However, these physicochemical reactions between the soil and cement/soda residue gradually completed with the increase of wetting-drying cycles. The integrity of the stabilized Cr-contaminated soils will be weakened, soil structure will be destroyed, and microfractures will continue to generate and expand [34]. Additionally, the reactions product of AFt could cause significant swelling in contact with water, and soluble salts such as CaCl$_2$ and NaCl in soils will dissolve out, which lead to the deterioration of the UCS of stabilized soils [36, 46].

3.3.2. Effect of Cyclic Wetting-Drying on Leaching Characteristics. Evolutions of leached Cr$^{3+}$ concentration of stabilized contaminated soils with wetting-drying cycles are presented in Figure 10.
As shown in Figure 10, the leached Cr\(^{3+}\) concentration decreased and followed by an increase with wetting-drying cycles. For the specimens of C6S14 and C6S24, the minimum leached Cr\(^{3+}\) concentration of 2.78 mg/L and 1.93 mg/L could be reached after 3 wetting-drying cycles, respectively. It could also be observed that the leached Cr\(^{3+}\) concentration of stabilized soils below 5 mg/L after 0, 3, and 5 wetting-drying cycles, which satisfied the US EPA’s evaluation criteria for the remediation of waste sites [29]. Therefore, it could be concluded that cement/soda residue had long-term effectiveness on stabilized Cr-contaminated soils under cyclic wetting and drying environment.

These results were mainly attributed to the hydration and pozzolanic reactions proceeded in the initial wetting-drying cycles. The generated hydration gels, such as C-S-H, C-A-H, and AFt, could further immobilize Cr\(^{3+}\) in stabilized soils. Additionally, the more Cr\(^{3+}\) could exist as insoluble Ca-Cr oxides and hydroxide nitrate complexes precipitation like Ca\(_2\)Cr\(_2\)O\(_7\)-6H\(_2\)O and Ca\(_2\)Cr(OH)\(_7\)-3H\(_2\)O, which reduced its leachability in soils [36–41]. However, with wetting-drying cycles increasing, these physicochemical reactions will fully finish in stabilized soils. Additionally, the reactions product of AFt could cause significant swelling in contact
with water, which led to the release of more Cr$^{3+}$ of stabilized soils [36, 46]. Moreover, the acidic leachate used in TCLP potentially disrupted the pH balance of the treated Cr-contaminated soil, increasing the leachability of Cr$^{3+}$.

3.4. Microstructure Characteristics. The mineralogical compositions of the Cr-contaminated soil treated with C6S24 after 0, 3, and 10 wetting-drying cycles determined using XRD technology are presented in Figure 11. As shown
in Figure 11(a), the primary reactions products detected in the specimen included C-S-H, C-A-H, Af, and Ca₂Cr(OH)₂·3H₂O under 0 wetting-drying cycles, which confirmed the increase of UCS and reduction of leachability of Cr³⁺ of contaminated soils treated by cement/soda residue. Additionally, it could be observed that some Cr³⁺ could exist as insoluble hydroxide nitrate complexes precipitation of Ca₂Cr(OH)₂·3H₂O in stabilized soils. The curve in Figure 11(b) shows that the peak intensity of C-S-H and Af increased after 3 wetting-drying cycles. This result confirmed that the physicochemical reactions of cement/soda residue proceed during the initial wetting-drying cycles. While for the stabilized soils after 10 wetting-drying cycles presented in Figure 11(c), the peak intensity of C-S-H and Af significantly decreased, which indicated that physicochemical reactions tended to fade and the products gradually dissolved out of soils. These results led to decrease of soil strength and increase of released Cr³⁺, which were consistent with the previous researches shown in Figures 8 and 10.

For further investigation of the micromorphology of the stabilized Cr-contaminated soils under cyclic wetting and drying, the photomicrographs were determined by SEM technology presented in Figure 12. As shown in Figure 12(a), a large amount of fibrous C-S-H and Ca(OH)₂ was presented in the cement/soda residue stabilized soils after 0 wetting-drying cycles. The hydration and pozzolanic reactions were fully developed after curing for 90 days, and its products like C-S-H, C-A-H, and Af could cement the soil particles and immobilize Cr³⁺, thereby increasing the soil strength and decreasing the leachability of Cr³⁺. For the stabilized soil after 3 wetting-drying cycles presented in Figure 12(b), some C-S-H and Af was also observed and occupied the soil pore space in stabilized soil, which resulted in a denser soil structure. While for the stabilized soils after 10 wetting-drying cycles presented in Figure 12(c), it could be observed that numerous pores, localized cracks and loosened soil structure were formed in the soil. The structures of the stabilized soil deteriorated with the increase of wetting-drying cycles, which resulted in the decrease of soil strength and increase of leachability of Cr³⁺. This finding suggests that the variations in the leaching behavior of Cr³⁺ are closely associated with changes to the pore structure during the wetting-drying cycles, which is supported by the results shown in Figures 8 and 10.

4. Conclusions

The strength and leaching characteristics, as well as the long-term effectiveness under wetting-drying cycles of solidified/stabilized Cr-contaminated soils treated by cement/soda residue, were comprehensively investigated in this study. Additionally, micromocrotesting techniques of XRD and SEM were used to determine the reaction mechanism. The main conclusions are drawn as follows:

1. The UCS increased and the leached Cr³⁺ concentration decreased with curing time, binder content, and binder ratio, which confirmed the effectiveness of cement/soda residue on the remediation of Cr-contaminated soils. Increasing the soda residue from C6S14 to C6S24 could improve the soil UCS and reduce the leachability of Cr³⁺.
2. The UCS decreased and leached Cr³⁺ concentration increased with increasing initial Cr³⁺ concentration of stabilized contaminated soils.
3. The UCS increased and followed by a decrease with wetting-drying cycles of stabilized Cr-contaminated soils. For the specimens of C6S14 and C6S24, the maximum UCS of 6.04 MPa and 6.48 MPa could be reached after 3 wetting-drying cycles, respectively. The UCS of stabilized soils was larger than 0.35 MPa after 20 wetting-drying cycles, which satisfied the United States’ strength standards for the remediation of landfills.
4. The leached Cr³⁺ concentration decreased and followed by an increase with wetting-drying cycles of stabilized Cr-contaminated soils. For the specimens of C6S14 and C6S24, the minimum leached Cr³⁺ concentrations of 2.78 mg/L and 1.93 mg/L could be reached after 3 wetting-drying cycles, respectively. The leached Cr³⁺ concentration of stabilized soils was below 5 mg/L after 0, 3, and 5 wetting-drying cycles, which satisfied the US EPA’s evaluation criteria for the remediation of waste sites.
5. Microstructure analysis results indicated that reactions products like C-S-H and Af increasingly occupied the soil pore space and caused a denser soil structure in stabilized soil after 3 wetting-drying cycles. While after 10 wetting-drying cycles, the C-S-H and AfT significantly decreased; numerous pores and localized cracks formed, which led to the deterioration of the soil structures.
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