Role of Calcaneoplasty and Correlation of Haglund’s Bump and Spur in Insertional Achilles Tendinopathy and Degenerative Achilles Tendon Rupture
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Patients with degenerative Achilles tendon rupture and those with a chronic nonresponding Achilles tendinopathy form a major surgical population in foot and ankle surgery. Simple debridement and repair of the tendon will not be sufficient in these patients because the Haglund bump and retrocalcaneal spur, which is seen in both groups, is an important contributing factor. Calcaneoplasty and spur excision are required in addition to get good results. In this study, the clinical significance of Haglund bump and the role of calcaneoplasty and spur excision in treating these related pathologies are discussed.

Materials and methods: This is a retrospective study of two groups of patients. The first group comprised 20 patients with chronic Achilles tendinopathy, who underwent tendon-achilles (TA) detachment debridement and reattachment after calcaneoplasty. The second group comprised 19 patients with degenerative TA rupture, who underwent tendon repair with calcaneoplasty. Postoperative outcomes at 6 months follow-up were compared between these groups, and a multinomial logistic regression was done to analyze the preoperative variables to predict the strong factor that causes tear.

Results: Mean pre- and postoperative American Orthopedic Foot & Ankle Society (AOFAS) score of the tendinopathy group was 54.25 [standard deviation (SD) = 13.1] and 95.15 (SD = 6.13), whereas it was 45.68 (SD = 13.33) and 93.11 (SD = 4.90) in the tendon rupture group. In both the groups, there was a marked increase in postoperative outcome, but there was no significant difference in between the two groups (p-value = 0.259). Multinomial logistic regression proved Haglund bump, measured using Vega angle, to be the only significant predictor of tendon rupture.

Conclusion: Haglund bump and spur are the significant lesions of the posterior heel pathologies. Surgical treatment of these pathologies should always include calcaneoplasty and spur excision when there is an abnormally prominent Haglund bump as predicted by Vega angle.
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INTRODUCTION

Posterior heel pain is the most common symptom related to Achilles tendon pathology, which can be due to Achilles tendinopathy, retrocalcaneal bursitis, Haglund’s deformity (the prominent posterosuperior calcaneal process), and degenerative rupture. Disorders of Achilles tendon insertion account for 20 to 25% of heel pathology.¹ The various predisposing factors are age, inflammatory disorders, steroid use, diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension (HT), overweight, hypercholesterolemia, use of quinolone antibiotic,² protease inhibitor intake (lopinavir/ritonavir),³ genetic susceptibility,⁴,⁵ increased repetitive loading,⁶ foot wear related,⁷ and malalignment in lower limbs.⁸ Posterosuperior calcaneal prominence (Haglund’s bump) has been associated with pain, tendon attrition, and swelling. They are thought to be an adaptive change to tendon microtears or inflammation. Their role in insertional tendinopathy is not fully understood.⁹ Similarly, two main theories for Achilles tendon rupture are degenerative and mechanical. According to degenerative theory, chronic degeneration of Achilles tendon leads to rupture without excessive stress. Degeneration can be related to age, chronic overloading with microtrauma, drug treatment, and systemic disease, such as inflammatory diseases, autoimmune diseases, genetically inherited collagen disorders, neurogenic diseases, and high serum lipid levels, which are prone to have increased incidence of spontaneous Achilles tendon ruptures. Also, local and systemic corticosteroids are considered as risk factors for TA rupture. Injecting steroids was found to induce necrosis in 45 minutes at the site of injection. These tendons also
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showed a delayed healing response. In 1928, Haglund was the first to describe the role of posterosuperior part of the calcaneus in a painful heel. Various authors have measured the prominence of Haglund’s bump and spur; however, its correlation in Achilles tendinopathy and degenerative rupture needs to be studied. Further, role of calcaneoplasty and functional outcome in these conditions is our secondary objective of our study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This is a retrospective study and data were retrieved from the institutional database, hospital records, and from picture archiving communication system. All the patients with retrocalcaneal pain were reviewed from our database and for the study, patients were divided into two groups. In group I (Table 1), there were 20 patients, who underwent surgery for chronic Achilles tendinopathy and in group II (Table 2), 19 patients underwent surgery for degenerative Achilles tendon rupture (January 2014 to September 2016). We excluded patients with traumatic Achilles tendon rupture with no evidence of degeneration and open injuries of Achilles tendon. Informed consent was taken from all patients to participate in the study. They were followed up with a minimum of 6 months after the procedure. Patients’ details like age, sex, prior history of steroid injection, medical comorbidities like DM and HT were documented. All patients were evaluated with preoperative and follow-up AOFAS ankle hind foot scale. They were examined clinically for assessing swelling (Fig. 1A), retrocalcaneal tenderness suggestive of bursitis, range of ankle movements, Thompson's test and tip-toe standing. Preoperative radiographs in patients were looked for Haglund’s bump deformity using parallel pitch lines (PPL) (Fig. 2B), Fowler Philip angle (FPA), and angle of Vega to quantify the radiological measurement of the bump. Presence of retrocalcaneal TA spur and insertional calcification (Figs 1 and 2) were also measured. After getting a written informed consent, all the patients were given spinal anesthesia and positioned semiprone on an operating table with posterior surface of leg facing up. Group I patients underwent TA detachment, calcaneoplasty (Haglund’s bump excision), retrocalcaneal bursectomy and spur excision, and reattachment of TA using suture anchor. The wound then was closed using nonabsorbable sutures and a below-knee cast was applied with ankle in neutral position. Postoperatively, the patient was initially put in a below-knee cast with a window opened for inspection of the wound. Patient was mobilized nonweight bearing for a period of 6 weeks in the cast. Later, they were progressed to partial and full weight bearing over the next 4 weeks, and then weight bearing in a modified foot wear with a high heel counter. In group II degenerative TA rupture patients, with similar approach, the torn tendon was identified and the degenerated tendon was debrided and the calcific Achilles spur was excised from retrocalcaneal region; the calcaneoplasty was completed. The tendon close to the insertion site of the calcaneum was reattached to the calcaneum using suture anchors. Wound closed and below-knee cast applied in neutral

**Table 1: Chronic TA tendinopathy: patients’ data, functional outcome, and radiological measurements**

| Age | Sex | Side | Comorbidities | H/O steroid injection | Surgical technique | AOFAS preoperative | AOFAS postoperative | FPA | Vega angle | PPL (+) | Tendon calcification | Spur size (mm) |
|-----|-----|------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------|------------|--------|---------------------|----------------|
| 36  | F   | R    | Nil           | Nil                   | SA                 | 41                 | 96                  | 59   | 90         | No     | Yes                 | 15             |
| 58  | M   | R > L| Nil           | 1 year back          | SA                 | 55                 | 94                  | 74   | 92         | No     | Yes                 | 14             |
| 48  | F   | R    | Nil           | SA                    | 61                 | 94                  | 60                 | 71   | No         | Yes    | Yes                 | 11             |
| 40  | F   | R    | Nil           | SA                    | 68                 | 96                  | 56                 | 70   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 25             |
| 55  | M   | R    | Nil           | SA+ V Y               | 61                 | 96                  | 66                 | 86   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 13             |
| 53  | F   | R > L| Nil           | SA                    | 30                 | 96                  | 62                 | 82   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 15             |
| 56  | F   | R > L| Nil           | SA                    | 43                 | 98                  | 65                 | 85   | No         | Yes    | Yes                 | 11             |
| 56  | M   | L    | Nil           | SA + V Y              | 66                 | 100                 | 51                 | 74   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 9              |
| 42  | F   | L > R| Nil           | SA                    | 55                 | 100                 | 53                 | 73   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 9              |
| 53  | M   | R    | nil           | SA                    | 38                 | 98                  | 67                 | 87   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 12             |
| 52  | F   | R > L| HT            | nil                   | 62                 | 71                  | 55                 | 76   | No         | Yes    | Yes                 | 15             |
| 50  | F   | L > R| DM            | nil                   | 50                 | 94                  | 64                 | 92   | No         | Yes    | Yes                 | 13             |
| 45  | M   | L    | nil           | SA                    | 29                 | 94                  | 65                 | 90   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 20             |
| 59  | F   | R    | nil           | SA                    | 75                 | 100                 | 69                 | 80   | No         | Yes    | Yes                 | 15             |
| 43  | M   | L > R| Nil           | SA                    | 66                 | 94                  | 58                 | 72   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 8              |
| 60  | F   | L    | nil           | SA                    | 71                 | 96                  | 57                 | 90   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 5              |
| 58  | M   | R > L| Nil           | SA                    | 51                 | 94                  | 43                 | 64   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 5              |
| 53  | M   | R > L| DM + HT       | Thrice/year           | 50                 | 98                  | 61                 | 90   | Yes        | Yes    | Yes                 | 12             |
| 53  | F   | R > L| Nil           | SA                    | 63                 | 94                  | 62                 | 82   | No         | Yes    | Yes                 | 18             |

R: Right; L: Left; SA: Suture anchor; Spur: Retrocalcaneal spur
position. However, in few cases, where after debridement, the tendon approximation was unsatisfactory/unyielding and, if the gap is less than 2 cm, a V-Y plasty of the Achilles tendon at the musculotendinous junction was done and then TA was mobilized and reattached with suture anchors. If the retraction gap was more than 2 cm, tendon was augmented with flexor hallucis longus (FHL) augmentation transfer. Postoperatively, the patient was initially put on a below-knee plaster cast with a window for wound inspection and was mobilized nonweight bearing for a period of 6 weeks and then partial weight bearing for another 6 weeks. At the end of 12 weeks, patient was allowed to full weight bear with a modified foot wear (pneumatic walking boot). Patients were

Figs 1A to F: Chronic TA tendinopathy: (A) preoperative clinical photo of dorsal prominence; (B) preoperative X-ray showing Haglund’s bump and retrocalcaneal spur; (C–E) movements and clinical photos at final follow-up; and (F) follow-up X-ray showing suture anchor

Table 2: Degenerative TA rupture: patients’ data, functional outcome, and radiological measurements

| Age | Sex | Comorbidities | H/O steroid | Surgical technique | AOFAS preoperative score | AOFAS postoperative score | Vega angle | PPL (mm) | Spur size (mm) |
|-----|-----|---------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------|---------|----------------|
| 34  | M   | OOCHRONoSIS   | Nil         | VY + SA           | 28                       | 90                       | 54         | 89      | Positive 15   |
| 53  | M   | Nil          | 2 months   | VY + SA           | 30                       | 100                      | 55         | 88      | Positive 11   |
| 53  | M   | IHD          | Nil         | SA                | 55                       | 84                       | 68         | 87      | Positive 32   |
| 59  | M   | Nil         | Nil         | VY + SA           | 52                       | 87                       | 74         | 99      | Positive 16   |
| 51  | F   | Nil         | Nil         | SA                | 54                       | 90                       | 75         | 90      | Positive 20   |
| 49  | M   | Nil         | 1 month    | VY + SA           | 65                       | 90                       | 77         | 78      | Positive 16   |
| 47  | F   | Nil         | VY + SA + FHL | 56               | 97                       | 57                       | 95         | Positive 18   |
| 65  | M   | DM + HT     | Nil         | VY + SA           | 48                       | 100                      | 54         | 94      | Positive 14   |
| 46  | M   | DM          | Nil         | SA                | 34                       | 90                       | 63         | 87      | Negative 10   |
| 70  | M   | DM          | Nil         | SA                | 50                       | 97                       | 70         | 91      | Positive 8    |
| 64  | M   | DM + HT     | Nil         | Ethibond          | 28                       | 100                      | 59         | 90      | Positive 5    |
| 47  | M   | Nil         | Nil         | SA                | 65                       | 90                       | 70         | 91      | Positive 8    |
| 58  | M   | DM + HT     | Nil         | VY + SA           | 56                       | 94                       | 60         | 90      | Positive 10   |
| 42  | F   | Nil         | Nil         | SA                | 36                       | 94                       | 63         | 83      | Negative 15   |
| 67  | F   | DM + HT     | Nil         | Vicryl            | 28                       | 97                       | 77         | 94      | Positive 14   |
| 57  | M   | HT          | Nil         | VY + SA           | 34                       | 94                       | 64         | 92      | Positive 30   |
| 40  | M   | Nil         | Nil         | SA                | 48                       | 96                       | 65         | 86      | Negative 7    |
| 67  | F   | DM          | 1 month    | SA + FHL          | 65                       | 85                       | 77         | 90      | Positive 10   |
| 57  | M   | DM          | Nil         | SA                | 36                       | 94                       | 79         | 92      | Negative 12   |

R: Right; L: Left; SA: Suture anchor; Spur: Retrocalcaneal spur
evaluated every 6 weeks for first 3 months and then after 6 months. After 6 months of follow-up, they were given a questionnaire based on AOFAS hind foot score to evaluate the pain, function, and alignment of the foot. Total of 100 points were generated based on the answers and clinical examination. Statistical analysis was done using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 20 and Student’s t-test was used to compare the outcome scores between the groups; multinomial logistic regression was used to analyze the variables to predict the strong factor that causes tear.

RESULTS

Group I (n = 20) (Table 1): Most of the patients in group I were 50 to 60 years old, average age was 51.35 years (60–36 years) with a slight female predominance, with 8 male and 12 female patients. A total of 14 patients had right-side pathology and 6 on left side. Only 4 patients had medical comorbidities and 2 patients had history of prior steroid injection in group I. Preoperative analysis showed presence of retrocalcaneal tenderness along with pain and swelling of the calcaneum along the sides of tendon attachment suggestive of Haglund’s bump and retrocalcaneal bursitis. On analyzing the postoperative functional outcome with AOFAS ankle hind foot scale, most of them had excellent-to-good outcome with mean score of 95.15 (SD = 6.13) There was a statistically significant increase in the outcome when compared with the mean preoperative score, which was 54.25 (SD = 13.1). All patients in the group returned to activities of daily living by end of 3 months. No postoperative complication was noted in the average follow-up of 25 months. About 95% of our patients were satisfied with the postoperative status and the functional outcome.

Group II (n = 19) (Table 2): The average age was 54 years (70–34 years) with 14 males and 5 female patients and 11 patients had right-side complaints and 8 on left side. A total of 11 patients had medical comorbidities and 3 patients had history of prior steroid injection in group II. Of 19 patients, 17 had a distal Achilles tendon rupture, which was reattached with suture anchors and the results were consistent with the literature with good functional outcome and good patient satisfaction. A total of 8 of the 17 patients had to undergo V-Y plasty of the Achilles tendon in order to attach to calcaneum and 3 of them underwent an augmentation with FHL tendon transfer. Two of the 19 patients had tear more proximally and end-to-end repair could be done using traditional technique. Mean postoperative score in this group was 93.11 (SD = 4.90). This was a significant improvement from the average preoperative score of 45.68 (SD = 13.33).

Though the mean postoperative score in the first group was 2 points higher than the second, this difference was not found to be statistically significant (p = 0.259) as analyzed by Student’s t-test. Tendinopathy and degenerative tendon rupture are the continuum of the same degenerative pathology. Using our data, we did a multinomial logistic regression analysis to find out the factors, which can predict the occurrence of tendon rupture from the tendinopathy stage. We took into account three groups of factors. One group of factors includes various patient-specific factors like age, sex, associated comorbid illness,
and history of (H/O) steroid injection. Second group of factors is the measurement of Haglund’s bump—PPA and Vega angle. Third group is the measurement of calcification—length of bony spur. Multinomial logistic regression results showed that of all the factors, the one which significantly predicted the occurrence of tendon rupture is the measurement of Haglund’s bump by Vega angle. Average Vega angle in group I (tendinopathy group) was 81.2 (SD = 8.53). Average Vega in group II (tear group) was 90.84 (SD = 3.99). The difference in mean was very significant with a p-value of 0.00. The odds ratio for Vega score is 1.278. It denotes the relative risk ratio for a patient with tendinopathy developing tendon rupture when 1 unit increase in Vega angle occurs when all the other variables are held constant. If a subject were to increase his/her Vega score by 1 unit, the relative risk for developing a tear would be expected to increase by a factor of 1.278 provided the other variables are held constant. More generally, we can say that if two subjects have every other factor as the same, the subject with the higher Vega angle is more likely to develop tear.

**DISCUSSION**

Currently, for chronic Achilles tendinopathy, a trial of conservative treatment is given for a period of 3 to 6 months and patients are operated only if they have persistent symptoms.11 Conservative treatment involves rest, ice packs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, physiotherapy, and in sole modification of footwear. All patients in group I had undergone a conservative primary treatment for a period of 6 months and those who had continuous symptoms were selected for surgery. The patients were operated with a central tendon-splitting approach and, after detaching the tendon, retrocalcaneal bursae, TA spur, Haglund’s prominence (calcaneoplasty) was excised and the tendon was debrided and reattached with suture anchor and fiber wire into the calcaneum. Wound was closed with nonabsorbable sutures and a below-knee cast in neutral position applied. Choice of surgical technique is a controversial topic in literature. Now, the preferred method among many authors is to debride with detachment of the tendon and reattaching with suture anchors.12 In a study by Anderson et al,13 which compared the central tendon-splitting approach with the lateral approach, they found no difference in relation to pain, outcome score, and complications;14 however, the central tendon-splitting group could return to function much more quickly.13,15,16 There is no consensus in the literature regarding the best approach. In a study by Johnson et al,17 they found that a central tendon-splitting approach yielded good relief of pain with improved function, shoe wear, and ability to work without painful postoperative scars. All patients in group I underwent central tendon-splitting approach. Calder and Saxby15 suggested that if more than 50% of the tendon was detached, it should be reattached. Earlier studies were done by Wagner et al18 and Maffuli et al.19 Both studies suggested the disinsertion of the Achilles tendon for complete debridement of the calcific deposits, bony prominences, and reattachment with suture anchor as a recommended surgical procedure for calcific Achilles tendinopathy. Using similar technique, the first large study with long follow-up was done by Greenhagen et al.20 They followed up 30 patients for an average period of 28 ± 16 months. They had excellent outcome with 97% satisfaction rate and a significant improvement in the functional outcome. None of the patients had wound-related complication or infections.

The functional outcome for the patients in our study was inconsistent with the literature with a statistically significant difference in the preoperative and postoperative AOFAS ankle hindfoot score. Also, the patient satisfaction rate of 95% was similar to the current literature. Thus, to get optimum results, debridement and excision of bony prominences (calcaneoplasty) yield good results.

In a most recent study by McAlister and Hyer,21 where they followed up 100 heels, they found 9% wound-related complications and 4% revision surgery. In our study with 20 patients, none of them had wound-related complications and none underwent revision surgeries.

Group II patients with Achilles tendon rupture were treated surgically and no conservative trial was advised. There are various surgical treatments in the literature describing the techniques of Achilles tendon repair. The distal end of the Achilles tendon rupture is still a challenging case to surgeons because end-to-end suturing is not possible in them. There was always a debate in literature regarding whether to choose operative or nonoperative treatments for Achilles tendon rupture. Recent studies have shown that the trend is toward surgical intervention. In an analysis of 12,570 patients in the health database by Wang et al,22 61% underwent surgery. Rate of rerupture was comparable in both groups and the rate of infection was 2.3% in the operated group. Surgical treatments for Achilles tendon rupture in group II patients were consistent with the literature with excellent functional outcome and patient satisfaction.

In 1993, Hanna et al23 described the technique of reattachment with suture anchor in a 59-year-old male who sustained a rupture of distal Achilles tendon. They had good results with no complication at a 16-month interval period. From then on, studies have come up with this technique by authors like Maniscalco et al,24 Lui,25 and Liu et al26 all showing good outcomes with minimal complications. Importance is not only given to reattachment...
of the tendon, but also need for debridement and excision of bony prominence (calcaneoplasty and retrocalcaneal spur excision) is stressed for optimum results. In this study, all group II patients had good surgical outcome and none reported with wound-related complications or rerupture in the follow-up period. Although steroid injection is widely known to cause tendon rupture, none of the studies were done in human patients to truly quote its incidence in rupture of the tendons. Animal studies have enough evidence to suggest that intratendinous steroid injection would result in tendon degeneration and predispose to rupture. Upon collecting the retrospective data, 3 of the 19 patients underwent previous local steroid administration prior to the rupture. Incidence of local steroid injection prior to rupture was 15% in our study. All of them had undergone steroid injection within a period of 2 months prior to rupture. There was no difference in the functional outcome and no complications in the wound healing in these patients.

Raikin, in an analysis of 404 patients with Achilles tendon rupture, found that 3% had previous steroid injection and 4% had DM. In our study, we had a higher number of patients with DM who sustained rupture. A total of 7 out of 19 patients (35%) had DM. Although certain studies have given concern about the wound healing and outcome of the patients with DM, we had comparable results for patients with comorbidities and those without comorbidities (Table 3), and none had wound-related complications.

On radiological assessment of the Haglund’s deformity in the cases operated for Achilles tendinopathy and degenerative Achilles tendon rupture using FPA, PPLs, and angle of Vega, we found that 60% met the criteria to be called positive for having a significant Haglund’s bump deformity for angle of Vega, 30% positive for PPLs, and 5% for FPA in the tendinopathy group. In the degenerative Achilles tendon rupture group, 75% met the criteria of significant Haglund’s bump using PPL and angle of Vega and 55% were positive for FPA. Existing literature shows very high false negative values for these measurements. Using FPA, 100% false negative was reported by Heneghan et al, more than 30% false negative was reported by the author who described the PPLs, and only Vega et al found a less false negative value of 10% in their limited study with 10 foot. Although clinically all of our patients had evidence of Haglund’s bump, radiological measurement gave varied results. Our finding was correlating with the literature considering the high number of false negatives in the technique of measurement and its clinical reliability. In this study, we did a comparative analysis of these radiological measurements and attempted to predict the significance of Haglund’s bump between the two groups. Our results denote the relative risk for developing a tear rather at a later date with tendinopathy when patient had high Vega angle. If a subject were to have increased Vega angle by 1 unit, the relative risk for developing a tear rather than tendinopathy would be expected to increase by a factor of 1.278 provided the other variables are held constant. More generally, we can say that if two subjects have every other factor as the same, the subject with the higher Vega angle is more likely to develop tear than tendinopathy.

On radiological measurement of the calcific spur of the TA in two groups (Table 4), we found that all patients in group II (rupture group) and group I (tendinopathy group) had radiological evidence of calcific TA, showing their degeneration. We also measured the length of the TA spur and found that in group I (tendinopathy), the average length was 12.5 mm. Women had average length of 13 mm and men had 11 mm. About 75% of the total patients had a significant TA spur (more than 10 mm). Although the mean postoperative score of patients with less than 10 mm length of the spur was higher when compared with the patients with more than 10 mm spur, the difference was not statistically significant. In the degenerative Achilles tendon rupture group of 19 patients, 75% had a significant spur measuring more than 10 mm and the average length of the spur was 14 mm. Women in the group had an average spur length of 13 mm and males had an average of 11 mm. The mean postoperative AOFAS score of patients with short spur was higher than the patients with a spur of more than 10 mm length. The difference, however, was not statistically significant. Thus, adequate posterior debridement and excision of bony prominences are necessary to obtain good postoperative results as seen in both the groups. Since Haglund’s bump measured by Vega angle is the only significant factor predicting a tear from a tendinopathy, calcaneoplasty during surgery should always be performed. The limitation of our study was the retrospective data collection. The number of the

| Table 4: Assessment of TA spur in group I (tendinopathy) and group II (tear) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Spur                                | No. of patients | Mean AOFAS |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Group I (tendinopathy)  More than 10 mm | 15              | 94.6       |
| Group I (tendinopathy)  Less than 10 mm  | 5               | 96.8       |
| Group II (tear)  More than 10 mm            | 15              | 92         |
| Group II (tear)  Less than 10 mm          | 4                | 95.4       |

| Table 3: Correlation of functional outcome in patients with comorbidities and no comorbidities |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Mean AOFAS score                                | No comorbidity | Comorbidity |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Mean                                | 95.42         | 92.13       |
| SD                                   | 3.57           | 7.53        |
| p-value                             | 0.074          |             |
Role of the Calcaneoplasty and Correlation of Haglund’s Bump and Spur

patients and total follow-up period are also of concern to draw a general conclusion of the results. We recommend the future studies to be prospective with more number of cases and, i.e., to be followed for a longer period. Studies comparing with other techniques of surgery to establish a gold standard procedure are needed, as it is lacking in the present literature. True incidence of significant Haglund’s deformity in Achilles tendinopathy should be measured by a newer, reliable technique and, thus, facilitate the study of the necessity of routine excision of postero-superior prominence of calcaneum during surgery.

CONCLUSION

Surgical treatment of chronic Achilles tendinopathy with detachment of the tendon for adequate debridement, calcaneoplasty, spur excision, and reattachment of the tendon with suture anchors is a safe and effective procedure with good functional outcome and satisfaction among the patients.

Surgical management of degenerative Achilles tendon rupture provides good results with early mobilization and no reruptures. In the tear of distal part of Achilles tendon, reattachment with suture anchor is a safe and effective option for the surgeons. Thus, the role of calcaneoplasty is justified as in both the cases for optimum results.

Patients with less amount of calcific spur had slightly better functional outcome in both the groups although it was not proved statistically.

Haglund’s with higher Vega angle and positive PPLs are liable to develop degenerative tendon rupture.
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