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Abstract

Background: The Greater Accra Region (GAR) of Ghana records 2000 stillbirths annually and 40% of them occur intrapartum. An understanding of the contributing factors will facilitate the development of preventive strategies to reduce the huge numbers of intrapartum stillbirths. This study identified determinants of intrapartum stillbirths in GAR.

Method: A retrospective 1:2 unmatched case-control study was conducted in six public hospitals in the Greater Accra Region of Ghana. A multivariable ordinary logistic regression model with robust standard error was used to quantify the effect of exposures on intrapartum stillbirth. The area under the receiver operating characteristics curve and the Brier scores were used to assess the predictive performance of the regression models.

Results: The following maternal factors increased the odds of intrapartum stillbirths: pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH) [adjusted Odds Ratio; aOR=3.72, 95% CI: 1.71-8.10, p<0.001]; antepartum haemorrhage (APH) [aOR=3.28, 95% CI: 1.33-8.10, p<0.05] and premature rupture of membranes (PROM) [aOR=3.36, 95% CI: 1.20-9.40, p<0.05].

Conclusions: Improved management of PIH, APH, PROM, and preterm delivery will reduce intrapartum stillbirth. Hospitals should improve on the quality of monitoring women during labor. Auditing of intrapartum stillbirths should be mandatory for all hospitals and Ghana Health Service (GHS) should include fetal autopsy in stillbirth auditing to identify other causes of fetal deaths. Interventions to reduce intrapartum stillbirth must combine maternal, fetal, and service delivery factors to make them effective.

Introduction

Most pregnancies progress normally from conception to delivery. However, others do not go through smoothly because of antepartum or intrapartum maternal, fetal, and health sector factors that place the mother, the fetus, or both at a higher risk for developing complications than pregnancies without those factors [1]. Such pregnancies lead to the death of the babies, mothers, or both by the end of pregnancy and delivery [2, 3]. Stillbirth is defined as the birth of a baby at or after 28 weeks gestation who does not show any signs of life [4]. However, intrapartum stillbirth is defined as fetal deaths that occur during labour where the baby does not show any signs of skin disintegration and is clinically described as fresh stillbirths [5, 6]. Recent global estimates have revealed that intrapartum stillbirths as a single birth outcome exceed the contribution of malaria to child deaths [7]. Stillbirths lead to an enormous burden on the health system, especially in LMICs, and cause a lot of grief and depression for women and their families [8]. Of the 650,000 deliveries that were recorded annually in public health facilities in Ghana, 1.7% ended as stillbirths with 40% occurring intrapartum [9]. Stillbirth contributes to over 4.2 million depression cases among women. In collaboration with Development Partners, Ghana has invested enormous resources into maternal and child healthcare with the hope of improving newborn, child, and maternal survival. Unfortunately, the challenges of unacceptable numbers of newborn and maternal
deaths still persist in Ghana with Greater Accra Region (GAR) contributing significantly towards this persistence. GAR contributes about 1.7% of total stillbirths in Ghana annually. Out of the 100,000 deliveries recorded annually in GAR in public health facilities, 1.7% ended up as stillbirths with 40% occurring intrapartum [10].

The previous global health agenda did not feature stillbirth possibly because its impact had not been scientifically analyzed or made known [11]. Stillbirth has not been widely studied, especially in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) and it has not been considered as part of major global maternal and child health strategies, although it is a reflection of the quality of a country’s healthcare system for pregnant women [9, 12]. The Millennium Development Goal 4 focused on reducing the under-five child mortality rate by two-thirds between 1990 and 2015; however, there were no specific targets set for the reduction of stillbirths although studies showed that intrapartum stillbirths contributed significantly to newborn deaths [6]. Following this lack of attention, the global Every Newborn Action Plan (ENAP), launched in 2014 by WHO provided a road map of strategic actions for ending preventable newborn mortality including stillbirths. The inclusion of stillbirths in a global agenda shows that there is currently some commitment and determination at the highest level of health development and care to make stillbirth visible and a priority in every country’s health agenda. The ENAP has a clear direction with specific global and national milestones to be followed to prevent newborn deaths and stillbirths by 2020. The Ghana National Newborn Health Strategy and Action Plan 2014 – 2018, developed as a national road map to reduce neonatal deaths in Ghana but did not set targets for stillbirths: the only recommendation made for reduction of stillbirth was the conduction of perinatal death audits. However, improving survival at birth goes beyond perinatal death auditing since several maternal; fetal and health service factors come into play to determine birth outcomes and all of them may not be captured in the pregnant woman’s records during antenatal and at the time of admission during labour. The absence of stillbirth as a priority area in the strategic document may be due to the lack of understanding of the causes of stillbirths since there is a paucity of research focused on stillbirths and lack of data on contributing factors to stillbirths in Ghana. The limited focus on stillbirths in the Ghana Newborn Strategy and Action Plan makes this study relevant. The main problem which formed the basis of this study was thus the persistence of a huge number of intrapartum stillbirths in GAR and poor understanding of the factors that contribute to these numbers despite the numerous interventions to improve birth outcomes, access to skilled birth attendants, the abundance of health facilities and the relative urban nature of the GAR. A clear understanding of the factors that contribute to these intrapartum stillbirths will facilitate the development of interventions to inform policy, program, and practice to reduce preventable intrapartum stillbirths. This study assessed how maternal, fetal, and service delivery factors contribute to intrapartum stillbirths.

Methods

Study design
The study employed a retrospective 1:2 unmatched case-control study design where two controls were matched to one case. A census of all intrapartum stillbirths recorded between 1st January and 31st December 2016 in the six selected health facilities was carried out. A total number of 362 intrapartum stillbirths were reported in the six hospitals’ documentation between the study periods. However, out of the 362 cases, records were available for only 125 cases. This resulted in the selection of 250 controls using systematic random sampling. These 250 controls were randomly sampled from a total number of 35,250 live births recorded within the study period. The number of controls selected per hospital was based on the number of cases selected from that hospital. The background characteristics of the cases and controls were obtained from the hospital records. Some of the reasons for the inability to trace the folders include the relocation of the records department at the hospital and folders were given to clients to take home. All the 125 cases were therefore studied. Although the census of all cases was conducted, an ex-post power analysis was conducted to determine whether the study design has been powered enough to detect a significant effect of the predictors of interest. The estimated power was 82% based on 125 cases and 250 controls assuming a type I error of 5% and the approximate odds ratio of 2%. This study followed the standard guidelines for reporting observational studies using the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology’ (STROBE).

Study Area

The study was conducted in the Greater Accra Region of Ghana within six public hospitals that provide antenatal, delivery, and post-natal services for pregnant women, both as primary and referred clients. The six hospitals were: Greater Accra Regional Hospital, Ridge; Tema General Hospital; La General Hospital; Ga South Municipal Hospital; Ledzekuku Krowu Municipal Assembly (LEKMA) Hospital and Achimota Hospital. These hospitals were purposively selected because the six hospitals provide a similar range of out-patient and in-patient services; they have the expertise to conduct delivery and carry out interventions including cesarean sections in women with complications during labour. The hospitals have the highest number of Doctors, Specialists Obstetricians / Gynaecologists, Midwives, Nurses, Pharmacists, Anesthesiologists, and professional Health Service Administrators working within GHS in GAR. They also have well-established functional governance/management structures and are major contributors to the huge numbers of stillbirths in GAR.

Study Population

The study population was all women with singleton pregnancies at 28 weeks or more gestation, who delivered in the six selected public health facilities in 2016. The delivery and post-natal case-folders of these women formed the basis for the selection of cases and controls.

Inclusion Criteria
The cases and controls were selected based on a set of criteria developed before data collection began. The criteria are: women with singleton pregnancies of 28 or more weeks gestation; women who delivered in any of the 6 selected public health facilities in 2016; women with live births (controls) delivered at 28 or more weeks gestation in 2016; women who delivered intrapartum stillborn babies (babies with no signs of maceration or skin disintegration (cases) at 28 or more weeks gestation in 2016.

**Exclusion Criteria**

The following criteria were set to exclude potential participants. Women who qualified to be part of the study based on our inclusion criteria but folders could not be traced at the time of the study.

**Statistical Methods**

In determining the relationship between intrapartum stillbirth and each of the categorical covariates, bivariate analyses were conducted using Fisher’s exact test and Pearson Chi-square test of independence. Fisher’s exact test was used when more than 5% of the expected frequencies in a two by two contingency table was less than five. In comparing quantitative continuous and discrete covariates between mothers who experienced stillbirth (cases) and those who did not (controls), the Welch t-test and the Wilcoxon Rank Sum test were used where appropriate. In the case of the non-normally distributed covariate, the Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare the median of the covariate under investigation between the cases and the control groups. The Welch t-test was used to compare the mean of quantitative covariates between the cases and controls for normally distributed covariates. Twenty-one multiple imputations by chained equations (MICE) were used to impute missing values. The number of imputations was arrived at by comparing Markov Chain Monte Carlo error on the coefficients. The rule of thumb is that the number of multiple imputations should be increased until the Markov Chain error is 10% or less with a p-value less than 0.01. The ability of fetal, maternal, and service delivery characteristics to predict stillbirths were evaluated individually using Area under the Receiver Operating Characteristics Curve (AUROC) and the Brier score (BS). Since the sample size was small relative to the number of cases (intrapartum stillbirth), only predictors with higher AUROC and smaller BS were used in the multivariable analysis. The AUROC and BS measure the discrimination and calibration performance of each predictor variable. The F-test measures the overall significance of the model. Logistic regression models with robust standard error were fitted to the imputed data sets to investigate the odds of fetal, maternal, and service delivery risk factors that increase the likelihood of a pregnant woman having an intrapartum stillbirth. The odds ratio estimates from the logistic regression model, their respective standard errors, and predictive performance indices were combined using Rubin’s rule [13]. The predicted probability of a woman having stillbirth was explored among the various categories of the predictors using box-whisker and scatter plots. Some variables were dropped in the multivariable analysis because of high covariate imbalance (low variability) between cases and control. These variables included admission during pregnancy, area of residence, history of previous stillbirth, history of neonatal death, evidence of abnormality, fever, syphilis, and malaria status at the time of delivery. For instance, almost all the patients’ folders reviewed showed about 98.0% of mothers (both cases and control) lived in the urban
area indicating little variation in the area of residence and hence the decision to drop those variables from the multivariable model to increase the probability of model convergence.

Results

Maternal And Fetal Characteristics

The number of deliveries recorded in all the six selected hospitals in the Greater Accra Region between 1st January 2016 and 31st December 2016 was 36,168. Out of this 35,250 (97.5%) were live births whilst 918 (2.5%) were stillborn. Also, 362 (39.4%) out of the stillbirths occurred intrapartum. Based on available data, a total of 125 cases (intrapartum stillbirths) and 250 controls (live births) were selected for the study. The mean ages of the women were 28.8 years for the cases and 28.9 years for the controls.

Association between maternal factors and intrapartum stillbirth

Table 1 shows the bivariate analysis of maternal factors and intrapartum stillbirth. The Pearson Chi-square test of independence showed that pregnancy-induced hypertension; premature rupture of membranes and antepartum hemorrhage were associated with intrapartum stillbirth. Among mothers who delivered intrapartum stillbirth, 27.0% had experienced pregnancy-induced hypertension compared to 8.1% in the control ($\chi^2=21.9$, p<0.001). Among the cases, 8.0% had experienced premature rupture of membranes compared to 4.0% in the controls ($\chi^2=77.4$, p<0.001). With regards to antepartum hemorrhage, 24.8% of the cases had previously experienced intrapartum stillbirths compared to 2.5% among the controls ($\chi^2=79.0$, p<0.001).
### Table 1
Bivariate analysis of maternal factors associated with intrapartum stillbirth in the Greater Accra Region in 2016.

| Maternal Characteristics          | Control No. of mothers (n=250) | Cases No. of mothers (n=125) | Pearson Chi square, p-value notation |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Age in years (mean±SD)            | 244 28.88 ± 5.54                | 117 28.85 ± 6.05             | 0.05, § 0.957                       |
| Age categories: <35 years          | 244 205 (84.02)                 | 117 92 (78.63)               | 1.57, 0.842                        |
| >=35 years                        | 39 (15.98)                      | 25 (21.37)                   |                                     |
| Educational level: None            | 177 13 (7.34)                   | 60 8 (13.33)                 | 4.66, 0.198                        |
| Primary                           | 53 (29.94)                      | 11 (18.33)                   |                                     |
| Secondary                         | 90 (50.85)                      | 35 (58.33)                   |                                     |
| Tertiary                          | 21 (11.86)                      | 6 (10.00)                    |                                     |
| Marital status: Married           | 237 206 (86.92)                 | 114 95 (83.33)               | 0.81, 0.273                        |
| Single                            | 31 (13.08)                      | 19 (16.67)                   |                                     |
| Area of residence: Urban          | 244 242 (99.18)                 | 117 113 (96.58)              | 0.089, § 0.071                     |
| Rural                             | 2 (0.82)                        | 4 (3.42)                     |                                     |
| Employment: Unemployed            | 220 14 (6.36)                   | 101 11 (10.89)               | 1.98, 0.160                        |
| Formal employment                 | 26 (11.82)                      | 12 (11.88)                   |                                     |
| Informal employment               | 180 (81.82)                     | 78 (77.23)                   |                                     |
| Parity: median (LQ, UQ)           | 236 1.00 (1.00, 2.50)           | 120 2.00 (1.00,3.00)         | -1.04, ¥ 0.299                     |
| Parity categorize: No child       | 236 55 (23.31)                  | 120 29 (24.17)               | 0.31, 0.856                        |
| 1 – 4                             | 168 (71.19)                     | 86 (71.67)                   |                                     |
| 4+                                | 13 (5.51)                       | 5 (WHO)                      |                                     |

P-values notation:*p<0.05,**p<0.01,***p<0.001 values were based on Pearson chi-square and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, Mann-Whitney test, and t-test. PIH: Pregnancy Induced Hypertension, PRM: Premature Rapture of Membrane SD: Standard Deviation. UQ: Upper quartile, LQ: Lower quartile, (%) represent column percentage, § estimated p-value from the Welch t-test, § p-value estimate from Fisher’s exact test, ¥ p-value estimated from Mann-Whitney test, n: Total number of cases and controls that were used in the estimation of the test statistic.
|                              | Control          | Cases            | P-values notation |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| **Weight at registration**   | 6                | 25               | 1.31, 0.231¥      |
| (mean ± SD)                  | 75.01 ± 18.57    | 64.37 ± 14.62    |                   |
| **Hemoglobin at 1st registration** | 6                | 29               | -0.22, 0.829¥     |
| (mean ± SD)                  | 10.57 ± 1.36     | 10.71 ± 1.61     |                   |
| Hemoglobin: median (LQ, UQ)  | 2                | 15               | 1.95, 0.052¥      |
|                              | 6.88 (6.65,7.10) | 6.05 (4.95,6.60) |                   |
| **Age between last child and current Pregnancy: median** | 154              | 78               | -0.699, 0.485¥    |
| (LQ, UQ)                     | 2.00 (0.00,4.00) | 2.00 (0.00,5.00) |                   |
| History previous stillbirth: Yes | 245              | 115              | 2.09              |
| No                           | 242 (98.78)      | 111 (96.52)      |                   |
| History of Neonatal deaths: Yes | 239              | 111              | 0.47 §            |
| Yes                          | 5 (2.09)         | 4 (3.60)         |                   |
| No                           | 234 (97.91)      | 107 (96.40)      |                   |
| ANC attendant: Yes           | 231              | 109              | 1.84              |
| No                           | 6 (2.60)         | 6 (5.50)         |                   |
| Number of ANC Visits (mean ± SD) | 7                | 30               | 1.01 §            |
|                              | 5.57 ± 2.51      | 4.55 ± 2.09      |                   |
| Number of ANC Visits: 0 - 3 visits | 7                | 38               | 1.00 §            |
| > 3 visits                   | 5 (71.43)        | 25 (65.79)       |                   |
| IPT doses (mean ± SD)        | 15               | 34               | -0.11 §           |
|                              | 2.20 ± 1.08      | 2.24 ± 0.82      |                   |
| Fever: Yes                   | 246              | 67               | 0.41 §            |
| No                           | 240 (97.56)      | 64 (95.52)       |                   |
| Malaria: Yes                 | 248              | 63               | 0.10 §            |
| No                           | 245 (98.79)      | 60 (95.24)       |                   |
| Diabetes: Yes                | 248              | 98               | 1.00 §            |
| No                           |                  |                  |                   |

**P-values notation:** *p<0.05,**p<0.01,***p<0.001 values were based on Pearson chi-square and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, Mann-Whitney test, and t-test. PIH: Pregnancy Induced Hypertension, PRM: Premature Rapture of Membrane SD: Standard Deviation. UQ: Upper quartile, LQ: Lower quartile, (%) represent column percentage, 2 estimated p-value from the Welch t-test, § p-value estimate from Fisher's exact test, ¥ p-value estimated from Mann-Whitney test, n: Total number of cases and controls that were used in the estimation of the test statistic.
## Association Between Fetal Factors And Intrapartum Stillbirth

Table 2 shows the relationship between fetal factors and intrapartum stillbirth. This study investigated seven fetal characteristics and how they could influence the risk of intrapartum stillbirth in the Greater Accra Region. The Welch t-test showed a statistically significant difference in the mean gestational age of fetuses between mothers that had previously experienced intrapartum stillbirth and those that have not had such experience. The mean gestational age of the fetus in weeks for mothers that have not experienced stillbirth was approximately 38.8 weeks compared to those that have experienced stillbirth, which was 35.6 weeks (t=5.2, p<0.001). The sensitivity analysis based on the Chi-square test of

### Table 2

| Fetal Factor                        | Control | Cases | P-value  |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|----------|
|                                     |         |       |          |
| No                                  | 247 (99.60) | 98 (39.00) |          |
| Hypertension: Yes                   | 248     | 100   | 4 (4.00) | 0.10 §  |
| No                                  | 246 (99.19) | 96 (96.00) |          |
| PIH: Yes                            | 248     | 100   | 27 (27.00) | 21.87*** |
| No                                  | 228 (91.94) | 73 (73.00) |          |
| Eclampsia: Yes                      | 247     | 98    | 2 (2.04) | 0.625 §  |
| No                                  | 244 (98.79) | 96 (97.96) |          |
| Admission in pregnancy: Yes         | 247     | 67    | 2 (2.99) | 1.00 §   |
| No                                  | 239 (96.76) | 65 (97.01) |          |
| PIM: Yes                            | 242     | 125   | 81 (64.80) | 77.41*** |
| No                                  | 232 (95.87) | 125 |          |
| Antepartum Hemorrhage: Yes          | 236     | 125   | 31 (24.80) | 79.02*** |
| No                                  | 230 (97.46) | 79 (63.20) |          |
| Labor duration in hours:            | 129     | 59    | 7.30 (3.35, 15.00) | 0.30 ¥ |
| median (LQ, UQ)                     | 8.40 (5.00, 13.56) |          |          |

**P-values notation:** *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 values were based on Pearson chi-square and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, Mann-Whitney test, and t-test. PIH: Pregnancy Induced Hypertension, PRM: Premature Rupture of Membrane SD: Standard Deviation. UQ: Upper quartile, LQ: Lower quartile, (%) represent column percentage, ¥ estimated p-value from the Welch t-test, § p-value estimate from Fisher's exact test, ¥ p-value estimated from Mann-Whitney test, n: Total number of cases and controls that were used in the estimation of the test statistic.
independence when gestational age in weeks was re-categorized into preterm and term births also showed a significant relationship with intrapartum stillbirth ($\chi^2=20.2$, p<0.001). Birth weight was statistically different between the cases and controls. The mean birth weight of stillbirths (cases) was generally lower compared to the birth weight of babies born alive (controls) (2.7kg versus 3.1kg, t=4.0, p<0.001). There was an association between birth weight and intrapartum stillbirth ($\chi^2=21.3$, p<0.001). Among mothers who had an intrapartum stillbirth, 37.6% of them delivered babies with low birth weight while 15.4% of the control delivered babies with low birth weight. Evidence of abnormality, characteristics of the liquor and placenta had a statistically significant relationship with intrapartum stillbirth (p<0.05).

Table 2: Fetal factors associated with intrapartum stillbirth in six public hospitals in 2016

Table 3 shows the relationship between service delivery factors and intrapartum stillbirth. This study investigated three service delivery factors that can influence intrapartum stillbirth. These factors were the mode of delivery, category of a health professional who conducted the delivery, and the time of delivery. The Pearson Chi-square test analysis showed that there is a relationship between the mode of delivery, health professional who conducted the delivery, and intrapartum stillbirth (p<0.05). Among the cases, 51.2% of babies were delivered via cesarean section compared to 34.1% delivered by cesarean section among the controls ($\chi^2=10.1$, p<0.001). Approximately 55.2% of cases were delivered by medical doctors compared to 39.1% of deliveries among the controls ($\chi^2=8.0$, p=0.005). The time of delivery was not found to be statistically significant. Mode of delivery and the health professional who conducted the delivery could be associated with intrapartum stillbirth but caution must be taken in the interpretation of these results since this relationship was only observed during the bivariate analysis.
| Fetal characteristics                                      | Control                  | Cases                    | Pearson Chi square |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Number of mothers                                         | n= 250                   | n= 125                   |                    |
| Sex of child: Male                                         | 244 (55.33)              | 110 (57.27)              | 0.12               |
| Female                                                     | 109 (44.67)              | 47 (42.73)               |                    |
| Female                                                     |                          |                          |                    |
| Gestational age (mean ± SD)                                | 203 38.80 ± 2.69         | 103 36.54 ± 3.95         | 5.23***            |
| Gestational Age categorized: Preterm (Below 37 week)       | 203 52 (25.62)           | 103 53 (51.46)           | 20.24***           |
| Gestational Age categorized: Term (37 weeks and above)     | 203 151 (74.38)          | 103 50 (48.54)           |                    |
| Birth Weight (mean ± SD)                                   | 240 3.08 ± 0.65          | 109 2.70 ± 0.92          | 3.96***            |
| Birth Weight (Collins et al.): Low (<2.5 kg)               | 240 37 (15.42)           | 109 41 (37.61)           | 21.28***           |
| Birth Weight (Collins et al.): Normal (2.5 kg)             | 203 (84.58)              | 68 (62.39)               |                    |
| Position of the baby: Otherwise                            | 241 12 (4.98)            | 115 21 (18.26)           | 16.33***           |
| Cephalic                                                   | 229 (95.02)              | 94 (81.74)               |                    |
| Evidence of Abnormality: Yes                               | 245 3 (1.22)             | 120 14 (11.67)           | 19.78***           |
| No                                                         | 242 (98.78)              | 106 (88.33)              |                    |
| Characteristics of the placenta: Described                 | 247 176 (71.26)          | 105 50 (47.62)           | 17.91***           |
| Not Described                                              | 71 (28.74)               | 55 (52.38)               |                    |
| Characteristics of Liquor: Clear                           | 224 206 (91.96)          | 32 16 (50.00)            | 42.81***           |
| Meconium Stained                                           | 18 (8.04)                | 16 (50.00)               |                    |
Table 3
Bivariate analysis of service delivery factors associated with intrapartum stillbirth in six public hospitals in 2016

| Service delivery factors | Control | Cases | Pearson Chi square, p-value |
|--------------------------|---------|-------|-----------------------------|
|                          | Number of mothers | n (%) | Number of mothers | n (%) |                        |
|                          | (n= 250) |       | (n= 125) |       |                        |
| **Mode of Delivery**: CS | 247     | 84 (34.01) | 121 | 62 (51.24) | 10.08*** |
| SVD                      | 163 (65.99) |       | 59 (48.76) |       |                        |
| **Person conducted the Delivery**: Medical Officer | 220     | 86 (39.09) | 116 | 64 (55.17) | 7.95*** |
| Midwife                  | 134 (60.91) |       | 52 (44.83) |       |                        |
| **Time of delivery**: Day shift | 230     | 152 (66.09) | 117 | 74 (63.25) | 0.28 |
| Night shift              | 78 (33.91) |       | 43 (36.75) |       |                        |

CS: Caesarian Section, SVD: spontaneous vaginal delivery; P-values notation; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 values were based on Pearson chi-square and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, Mann-Whitney test and t-test. SD: Standard Deviation. IQR: interquartile range, (%) represent column percentage, § estimated p-value from the Welch t-test, §§ p-value estimate from Fisher's exact test, ¥ p-value estimated from Mann-Whitney test, N: Total number of cases and controls that were used in the estimation of the test statistic.

Analysis Of The Predictors Of Intrapartum Stillbirth

The results from the AUROC and Brier score showed that only six out of the 14 maternal factors investigated met the criteria for inclusion into the multivariable model; they had relatively higher AUROC and smaller Brier Score as shown in Table 4. Concerning fetal factors, after the rigorous variable screening to determine fetal factors to be included in the multivariable analysis using AUROC and Brier Score, gestational age was the only fetal factor that met the criteria of inclusion into the multivariable logistic regression model (AUROC=67.0%, Brier score=0.1979) (Table 4). Regarding health service delivery factors, the discriminating and calibration indices of these service delivery factors (mode of delivery, a health professional who conducted the delivery) did not meet the criteria for inclusion into the multivariable logistic regression model. They were therefore excluded in the multivariable model to avoid a spurious relationship with intrapartum stillbirth and to improve the parsimony of the model.
Table 4
Screening variables to be included in the multivariate analysis using the Area under receiver operating characteristics curve and the Brier score

| VARIABLES                  | AUROC [95% CI]     | BRIER SCORE |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| **Fetal Factors:** Sex of child | 0.50 [0.45, 0.56]  | 0.2220      |
| Gestational age of child weeks | 0.67*** [0.61, 0.74] | 0.1979      |
| Birthweight of child in kg  | 0.64 [0.57, 0.70]  | 0.2080      |
| Intra uterine position     | 0.57 [0.53, 0.60]  | 0.2087      |
| **Maternal factors:** ANC  | 0.54 [0.50-0.58]   | 0.2199      |
| Age of mother              | 0.51 [0.44, 0.57]  | 0.2222      |
| Marital status             | 0.53 [0.49-0.58]   | 0.1887      |
| Mothers educational status | 0.67 [0.61, 0.73]  | 0.2211      |
| Parity of mother           | 0.53 [0.47, 0.60]  | 0.2216      |
| Antepartum Haemorrhage     | 0.64§ [0.60, 0.69] | 0.1940      |
| Haemoglobin at registration| 0.81§ [0.76, 0.85] | 0.2132      |
| Premature rupture of membrane | 0.64§ [0.60, 0.68] | 0.1942      |
| Pregnancy induced hypertension | 0.71§ [0.64-0.77] | 0.1886      |
| Weight at Registration in kg | 0.71§ [0.66, 0.76] | 0.2076      |
| IPT doses                  | 0.81§ [0.76, 0.85] | 0.2143      |
| **Service delivery factors:** |                      |             |
| Mode of Delivery           | 0.61 [0.56, 0.66]  | 0.2177      |
| Time shift delivery        | 0.51 [0.46, 0.57]  | 0.2233      |
| Delivered by:              | 0.58[0.52, 0.64]   | 0.2166      |

AUROC: Area under receiver operating curve. CI: confidence interval. § indicates variables included in the multivariable analysis, IPT: Intermittent Preventive Treatment

Quantifying the effect of fetal and maternal factors on intrapartum stillbirth

The results from the multivariable logistic regression can be found in Table 5. Controlling for gestational age of the fetus and other maternal characteristics in the logistic regression model, among mothers who experienced pregnancy-induced hypertension, the odds of experiencing intrapartum stillbirth was approximately 4 times the odds of experiencing intrapartum stillbirth among women without a history of
pregnancy-induced hypertension (aOR=3.7, 95% CI:1.71-8.1, p<0.01; Table 5). Among women who experienced antepartum hemorrhage, the odds of intrapartum stillbirth was approximately 3 times the odds of intrapartum stillbirth among women without antepartum hemorrhage (aOR=3.28, 95% CI:1.33-8.1, p<0.05; Table 5). Among women who had premature rupture of membranes, the odds of experiencing intrapartum stillbirth was approximately 3.4 times the odds of experiencing intrapartum stillbirth in women who did not experience premature rupture of membranes (aOR=3.36, 95% CI: 1.2-9.4, p<0.05; Table 5). Controlling for key maternal characteristics, the results showed that a unit increase in gestational age in weeks is associated with approximately 17% reduction in intrapartum stillbirths (aOR=0.83, 95% CI: 0.73-0.96, p<0.01).

### Table 5

Quantifying the effect of fetal and maternal factors on intrapartum stillbirth

| Factor                              | Model 1                          | Model 2                          |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                     | aOR [95% CI]                     | aOR [95% CI]                     |
| Gestational age of the child        | 0.86** [0.79-0.94]               | 0.83** [0.73-0.96]               |
| Antepartum hemorrhage: No           | ref                              | ref                              |
| Yes                                | 2.85** [1.43-5.71]               | 3.28* [1.33-8.10]                |
| Premature -rupture membrane: No     | ref                              | ref                              |
| Yes                                | 3.28** [1.59-6.75]               | 3.36* [1.20-9.40]                |
| Pregnancy induced hypertension: No  | ref                              | ref                              |
| Yes                                | 3.59*** [1.80-7.17]              | 3.72** [1.71-8.10]               |
| Hemoglobin at first registration    | #                                | 1.13 [0.72-1.79]                 |
| Weight at first Registration        | #                                | 1.00 [0.97-1.02]                 |
| IPT doses                           | 1.35 [0.66-2.75]                 |                                  |
| **Model performance index:**        | **AUROC [95% CI]**               | **AUROC [95% CI]**               |
|                                    | 0.73*** [0.66, 0.80]             | 0.85*** [0.81-0.90]              |
| Brier score                         | 0.1566                           | 0.1323                           |

ref: reference category. OR: Odds Ratio. P-value notation: ***: p<0.001, **: p<0.01. *p<0.05. CS: caesarian section. SVD: spontaneous vagina delivery; #: Not included in model 1. IPTp: Intermittent Preventive Treatment for pregnant women, AUROC: Area under the Receiver Operating Characteristics Curve.
Combinations Of Key Risk Factors In Predicting Intrapartum Stillbirth

To identify the combination of predictors (fetal, maternal, and service delivery factors) that could discriminate between mothers who are likely to have a stillbirth and those who will not, calibration estimates from the Brier Score and the AUROC were used. AUROC is the probability that if you were to take a random pair of women, one who has experienced stillbirth and one without such experience, the woman who has had stillbirth will have a higher predicted risk than the other. The AUROC thus gives the probability that the model correctly ranks such pair of women. From the nine models compared (Figure 1 and see Additional file 1), the model with fetal, maternal, and service delivery factors had the highest AUROC and the smallest Brier Score indicating that it is the best model to probably predict stillbirth (AUROC=95.0%, Brier score=0.0907).

Discussions

This study set out to examine the maternal, fetal, and health service factors that contribute to intrapartum stillbirths in singleton pregnancies. This study investigated 14 different maternal factors that could increase the delivery of intrapartum stillbirth including maternal age, education, place of residence, and occupation.

Maternal socioeconomic and demographic characteristics

The study did not identify any significant association between maternal sociodemographic characteristics such as age, level of education, place of residence, occupation, and intrapartum stillbirth. These results are contrary to findings from other studies that have investigated the effect of maternal socio-demographic characteristics on stillbirth and reported that low maternal education, age above 35 years, residing in rural areas; maternal illiteracy, and unemployment were significant risk factors for delivery of intrapartum stillbirth [14, 15]. Advanced maternal age (>35years) is associated with fetal anoxia resulting from poor placental blood flow and this may explain the association between older age and intrapartum fetal death [16]. Advanced maternal age has also been associated with the possibility of chronic diseases in the mother such as hypertension which affects uteroplacental blood flow [17]. The lack of sufficient statistical evidence of an association between sociodemographic characteristics and intrapartum stillbirths in this study may be due to the geographical location of GAR. The Greater Accra Region is the Capital of Ghana and the effect of development and urbanization has influenced the living conditions of the subjects thus removing the influence of sociodemographic characteristics on intrapartum stillbirths. For instance, GAR is a metropolitan and has numerous health facilities making access to care during pregnancy relatively easier. The impact of the geographic location could be seen in this study as approximately 95% of cases and 98% of controls attended ANC, and all of them delivered in a hospital.

Antepartum hemorrhage
The anterior position of the placenta during pregnancy negatively affects pregnancy outcomes more than when the placenta is placed in the posterior position. In this study 24.80% of the cases had APH compared to 2.54% in the controls; however, the records in the women's folders did not state whether they were due to placenta praevia or abruptio placentae. APH was found to be a statistically significant factor associated with intrapartum stillbirth implying that women who experienced APH were at a higher risk of having intrapartum fetal death compared to women who did not have APH. This finding is consistent with findings from other researches which have reported APH as a significant risk factor for intrapartum stillbirth [14]. Placentation in the anterior position can go on to cover the cervix and this can lead to bleeding and poor perinatal outcomes such as intrauterine fetal growth restriction, preterm delivery, and premature rupture of membranes (Ahn et al., 2018). It is one of the complications in pregnancy that is an important cause of perinatal mortality and maternal morbidity [18]. The two major causes of APH are placenta praevia and abruptio placentae and together they contribute about 50% of all cases of APH. In the other 50% of cases, the cause is difficult to identify even after investigations [18]. This is consistent with findings from other researches that 51.6% of pregnant women with placenta praevia develop APH [19]. However, findings from other researchers found that about 9.8% of women with intrapartum fetal deaths had placental abruption which was lower than what others have reported [20].

**Pregnancy-induced hypertension, pre-eclampsia, and eclampsia**

Pregnancy-induced hypertension and eclampsia are associated with poor pregnancy outcomes for both the mother and the newborn. In the newborn, they contribute significantly to stillbirth as a significant proportion of perinatal deaths. For instance, in a study on women diagnosed with pre-eclampsia, the researchers reported as much as 84.5% of the perinatal deaths were stillbirths [21]. Pregnancy-induced hypertension was found in 13.5% of the total study population and this was higher than 7.5% reported in a prospective cohort study on pregnant women in two antenatal clinics in Accra [22]. In this current study, among the cases, 27.0% experienced pregnancy-induced hypertension compared to 8.1% in the control group and this was statistically significant as a predictor of intrapartum stillbirth. This finding of significance is consistent with what was reported in other studies in Accra [23]. A study in Zimbabwe found that women who developed PIH during pregnancy were 4.3 times more likely to have stillbirth as a pregnancy outcome compared to women who did not develop PIH [24].

**Gestational age**

Preterm is defined according to the ICD -10 version 26, as a baby born at or after 28 completed weeks but before 37 completed weeks (196 completed days but less than 259 completed days) of gestation [25]. Increased gestational age was found to be associated with lower odds of intrapartum stillbirth. These findings are consistent with reports from Dassah, Odoi (23) whose work was also done in Accra, that preterm delivery, hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, breech delivery and vacuum extraction were significant risk factors for stillbirths delivery (aRR, 5.15; 95% CI, 4.18-6.35). The findings are also consistent with reports from India, which globally has the highest absolute number of stillbirths that preterm delivery is one of the significant risk factors for stillbirth [26].
Strengths Of The Study

This study is one of the few studies that have examined both clinical and non-clinical determinants of intrapartum stillbirths in GAR. The unique feature of this study is that it examined maternal, fetal, and service delivery factors that contributed to intrapartum stillbirths in the GAR. Another strength is that the study used a census of available records on intrapartum stillbirths to identify the possible contributing factors of intrapartum stillbirths in GAR.

Limitations Of The Study

The major limitation of the study was the challenge of retrieving stored data for both the cases and controls. This is a limitation of retrospective studies and an example of poor data management practices that occur in health facilities especially in developing countries. To address this shortcoming, the study employed multiple imputations by chained equations (MICE) to input missing data before the multivariable analysis was conducted; thus increasing the validity of the findings. Another limitation is that autopsy for stillbirths is not a routine practice in Ghana therefore, this study did not have access to fetal predictors that can only be obtained through autopsy reports. The study utilized records on the physical findings of the baby at birth to determine whether a baby had congenital abnormalities or not in the absence of autopsy reports. The same assessment was used for live babies, thus there was no discrimination between determining abnormalities in stillbirths and live births. Midwives and doctors can examine babies at birth and determine and document whether babies are born with congenital abnormalities or not. Therefore, the reports on abnormalities reported in both the cases and controls can be said to be professionally plausible. A fetal autopsy is not regularly performed in developing countries. Agbata et al. (2017) reported that although fetal autopsy examination remains the best tool for understanding and classifying the causes of stillbirth it has not been used extensively in developing countries. Since the study examined several contributing factors including congenital abnormality and used robust statistics to identify significant factors that contribute to intrapartum fetal deaths, the absence of autopsy reports would not invalidate the findings of the study.

Conclusions

The study identified maternal, fetal, and health service factors that contribute to intrapartum stillbirths using a more rigorous statistical model. Pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH), antepartum hemorrhage (APH), premature rupture of membranes (PROM) were key maternal factors identified as contributors to intrapartum stillbirths in singletons. The gestational age of the fetus at birth was the key fetal factor that contributed significantly to intrapartum stillbirths. Health service delivery factors such as mode of delivery and health professional who conducted the delivery were not found to be statistically significant during the multivariate analysis. The best model to predict intrapartum stillbirth was the model which combined maternal, fetal, and service delivery factors compared to models which had individual contributory factors or models with combinations of two contributory factors. The GHS should review
ANC education provided to pregnant women and emphasize the identification and prompt response to complications in pregnancy. All doctors, midwives, and nurses who care for pregnant women should be trained in the proper management of pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH); antepartum hemorrhage (APH), and premature rupture of membranes (PROM) to improve quality of care and birth outcome. The GHS should develop SOPs on proper management of preterm labour and delivery, train health care providers to use the standards, and regularly monitor adherence to improve quality of care and birth outcomes. As a matter of urgency, the Ministry of Health should review the curriculum of health training institutions to give priority attention to the management of PIH, APH, PROM, and prematurity to ensure that staff enter the service with the requisite knowledge to improve the quality of care of pregnant women.
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**Figures**

**Figure 1**

Assessing discrimination ability of nine different models on intrapartum stillbirth: Notations: ROC: Receiver Operating Characteristics Curve; prF1: Only Fetal factors; prM1: Only Maternal factors; prS1: Only Service factors; prF1M1: Fetal + Maternal factors; prM1S1: Maternal + Service factors; prF1M1S1: Fetal + Maternal + Service factors; prGAP: Gestational age + antepartum Hemorrhage + pregnancy induced hypertension + pre-rapture membrane; prGAP1: Gestational age + antepartum Hemorrhage + pregnancy induced hypertension + pre-rapture membrane + Hemoglobin at registration +Weight at registration + Intermittent Preventive Treatment for pregnant doses.
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