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ABSTRACT

The UV bump is a broad absorption feature centered at 2175 Å that is seen in the attenuation/extinction curve of some galaxies, but its origin is not well known. Here, we use a sample of 86 star-forming galaxies at \( z = 1.7 \) – 2.7 with deep rest-frame UV spectroscopy from the MUSE HUDF Survey to study the connection between the strength of the observed UV 2175 Å bump and the Spitzer/MIPS 24 μm photometry, which at the redshift range of our sample probes mid-IR polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emission at \( \sim 6 – 8 \) μm. The sample has robust spectroscopic redshifts and consists of typical main-sequence galaxies with a wide range in stellar mass (\( \log(M_*/M_\odot) \sim 8.5 – 10.7 \)) and star formation rates (SFRs; \( \text{SFR} \sim 1 – 100 M_\odot\text{yr}^{-1} \)). Galaxies with MIPS detections have strong UV bumps, except for those with mass-weighted ages younger than \( \sim 150 \) Myr. We find that the UV bump amplitude does not change with SFR at fixed stellar mass but increases with mass at fixed SFR. The UV bump amplitude and the PAH strength (defined as mid-IR emission normalized by SFR) are highly correlated and both also correlate strongly with stellar mass. We interpret these correlations as the result of the mass-metallicity relationship, such that at low metallicities PAH emission is weak due to a lower abundance of PAH molecules. The weak or complete absence of the 2175 Å bump feature on top of the underlying smooth attenuation curve at low mass/metallcities is then expected if the PAH carriers are the main source of the additional UV absorption.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Star formation rate (SFR) is one of the fundamental properties of galaxies (Madau & Dickinson 2014). At high redshifts, SFR is commonly measured using the UV continuum, which is dominated by the emission from young and massive stars. To interpret UV photometry, it is necessary to correct the observed light for dust attenuation, which requires knowledge of the dust attenuation curve as a function of wavelength (Salam & Narayanan 2020). Often, the uncertainties in the UV-inferred SFR estimates are dominated by the uncertainties in the shape of the UV attenuation curve (e.g., Kennicutt & Evans 2012; Reddy et al. 2012; Shivaei et al. 2018, 2020a,b; Calzetti et al. 2021).

One of the most intriguing features in the UV dust attenuation curve is a broad absorption feature at 2175 Å, commonly known as the “UV bump”. The dust extinction curves\(^1\) of various sight lines of

\(^1\) An extinction curve is measured along the line of sight and is influenced by the properties of dust grains, while an attenuation curve also includes the effect of dust-star geometry as it refers to the average impact of dust absorption and scattering on a collection of stars.
of galaxies below this mass is poor, which results in uncertain fits even in the stacks (Section 2.2).

We remove two sources that are strongly blended with neighbouring sources in the HST photometry. From the remaining sample, two galaxies are identified as X-ray AGN in the Chandra 7 MS catalog (Luo et al. 2017). Based on the IRAC photometry, none of the galaxies are classified as IR AGN according to the Donley et al. (2012) criteria. Furthermore, we visually inspect the SED fits to the UV-mm photometry of all objects (Section 2.1) and removed one object that the SED models could not provide a reasonable fit within its photometric uncertainties. In total, this leaves 86 galaxies without detected AGN activity and 2 X-ray AGN. We exclude the 2 AGN from the main part of the analysis.

2.1 SED fitting

We determine stellar masses, SFRs, and ages for all galaxies using the high-z extension of MAGPHYS (da Cunha et al. 2008, 2015). We use the UV–8µm photometry from the 3D-HST survey (Skelton et al. 2014) and combine this with our new measurements of the 24µm photometry (see Section 2.3). To constrain the FIR side of the SED, we include debiased Herschel/PACS 100–160µm photometry (Elbaz et al. 2011) and (upper limits on) the 1.2mm and 3mm dust continuum emission from the ALMA Spectroscopic Survey of the HUDF (ASPECS), where available, as described in Boogaard et al. (2019, 2020). The sample has on average 23 detected (3σ) UV-mm bands for each galaxy. We also fit the galaxies with a customized version of MAGPHYS (Battisti et al. 2020) in which the UV slope of the attenuation curve and the UV bump are free parameters. The fits provide consistent SFRs with those from the fiducial high-z MAGPHYS models for our sample.

In MAGPHYS, star formation history (SFH) is described by an underlying continuous model, characterized by an age and a star formation timescale parameter and random bursts superimposed to this continuous model (da Cunha et al. 2008, 2015). We use two SFH parameters from the fits: time since the last burst of star formation ended, and the fraction of mass that is formed in bursts over the last 10 Myr. The values of SFH parameters should be taken with caution as they are derived from SED modeling of multi-band photometry alone – however, in the cases of outliers that are discussed later in Section 4 and Figure 7, the posterior probability functions of the SFH parameters show prominent and narrow peaks, indicating well constrained values. In this analysis, we adopt mass-weighted ages from the MAGPHYS fits (da Cunha et al. 2015), defined as:

$$\text{age}_{mass} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \Psi(t - t') t' dt'}{\int_0^\infty \Psi(t - t') dt'},$$

where $t$ is the model age (time since the onset of star formation) and $\Psi$ is the SFH. The mass-weighted ages represent the overall age of the stellar population and depend on both the model age and the shape of the SFH. As reported in da Cunha et al. (2015), the age of the stars dominating the rest-frame $R$-band light (i.e., $R$-band light-weighted ages) are typically lower than the mass-weighted ages by a factor of 0.8.

2 A detailed list of the filters used can be found in Boogaard et al. (2019), Appendix B.
2.2 MUSE spectra stacking

While the individual spectra are analyzed to measure the bump strength, we also stack the spectra to gain higher SNR in the continuum. Another motivation for stacking comes from incorporating the Spitzer/MIPS data, which are undetected in most individual galaxies and require averaging over larger samples (Section 2.3). Figure 1 demonstrates an example stacked spectrum. Individual spectra are de-redshifted to rest-frame and normalized by the continuum flux at 2175 Å using the modeled continuum fitted to the spectrum at $\lambda < 1950$ and $\lambda > 2400$ (i.e., excluding the bump region). The new “composite” spectrum (grey dots in Figure 1) has uneven wavelength spacing as each object has a different redshift. Therefore, we re-bin the composite spectrum into a uniform and coarser wavelength grid of rest-frame $\Delta \lambda = 5$ Å by taking the average flux and wavelength of the points in each wavelength bin as the flux and the effective wavelength of the bin, respectively (black spectrum in Figure 1). The flux error is defined as the standard deviation of the fluxes in each wavelength bin divided by the square root of the number of galaxies in the bin (shaded region in Figure 1). The UV bump fitting procedure is explained in Section 3.

2.3 MIPS stacking and photometry

Due to the confusion and sensitivity limits of the MIPS data, stacking is required to detect the emission for the majority of the sample (only ~ 20% of the sample is individually detected at 24 μm). The stacking and aperture photometry on the 24 μm images, similar to the procedures in Reddy et al. (2010) and Shivaei et al. (2017), are described below.

We construct 40x40 pixel (48×48′′) Spitzer/MIPS subimages (Dickinson & FIDEL Team 2007) centered at our targets’ optical coordinates. When necessary, the images are shifted by sub-pixel values to accurately center the targets. We use a list of prior sources with signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) > 3 in Spitzer/IRAC channels 1 and 2 to model the emission of the companion sources (all of the prior objects, except for the target) by performing scaled point-spread function (PSF) photometry on the subimages. By subtracting the model from the original image, we make a clean subimage that only includes our target. Using the clean subimages, we perform 3σ-trimmed (clipped) mean stacking. Trimmed mean is used to ensure the mean is not biased towards outliers. To measure fluxes and their associated errors, we perform aperture photometry on the stacked images. We use an aperture with radius of 4 pixels (4.8″) and apply an aperture correction of 1.68 (derived from the growth curve of the image PSF) to account for the amount of flux lost outside of the aperture. The flux errors are estimated as the standard deviation of the fluxes measured in 100 random positions that are away from the source by more than 1 FWHM of the image PSF.

3 UV BUMP PARAMETERIZATION AND FITTING

When comparing the results of various studies on the bump strength, it is crucial to take note of the parameterization of the feature, as the “bump amplitude” is often defined in different ways. Here, we define the UV attenuation bump profile, $A_{\lambda,bump}$, as the difference between total attenuation, $A_{\lambda,tot}$, and the smooth attenuated continuum without the bump, $A_{\lambda,cont}$:

$$A_{\lambda,bump} = A_{\lambda,tot} - A_{\lambda,cont} = -2.5 \log \left( \frac{f_{att,tot}}{f_{att,cont}} \right),$$

where $f_{att,tot}$ is the observed attenuated flux and $f_{att,cont}$ is the modeled attenuated flux, which is attenuated by the smooth attenuation curve alone without a UV bump. The bump amplitude is the excess bump attenuation at 2175 Å, $E_{bump} = A_{\lambda=2175\,\text{bump}}$. If we assume the same reddening, $E(B-V)$, for the bump attenuation and the smooth continuum attenuation, $A_{\lambda,bump}$ can be rewritten as a function of the total-to-selective attenuation ratio, $k_\lambda$, so that:

$$A_{\lambda,bump} = (\kappa_{\lambda,tot} - \kappa_{\lambda,cont}) E(B-V) = \kappa_{\lambda,bump} E(B-V),$$

where $\kappa_{\lambda,tot} = \kappa_{\lambda,bumpcnt}$, where $\kappa_{\lambda,bump}$ is a Drude function, $D(\lambda, A_0, \gamma)$, with the parameterization of Fitzpatrick & Massa (2007):

$$\kappa_{\lambda,bump} = c_3 D(\lambda, A_0, \gamma) = c_3 \frac{(1/\lambda)^2}{((1/\lambda)^2 - (1/A_0)^2)^2 + (1/\lambda)^2 \gamma^2}.$$  

The parameter $A_0$ is the central wavelength at 2175 Å and $\gamma$ is the broadening parameter, corresponding to FWHM, $\gamma A_0^2$. In this work, we measure $A_{\lambda,bump}$. The amplitude of the bump measured from the observed spectrum in this work is $E_{bump} = A_{\lambda,2175\,\text{bump}} = E(B-V) S_{\text{bump}}$. The area underneath the bump, $S_{\text{bump}}$, is $E(B-V) 2175\,\AA^2$. The definition of $E_{bump}$ in this work is the excess attenuation at 2175 Å which is an observed quantity, and hence not affected by the uncertainties associated with the slope of the assumed attenuation curve. Other studies may adopt different parameterizations, for example normalizing the bump profile by $E(B-V)$ (Shivaei et al. 2020a; Kashino et al. 2021), or by $A_{\lambda,2175\,\text{cont}}$ (Salim & Narayanan 2020). Similar to the latter, our definition is more physically motivated than the first two, as the bump attenuation is parameterized relative to the attenuation by grains with the same size (therefore the same wavelength). The attenuation curve parameterization may also be different than that in Equation 3. For

---

3 We changed the symbol of this parameter from $A_{\lambda,bump}$ in Fitzpatrick & Massa (2007) to avoid confusion with $A_{\lambda,bump}$, which is the bump attenuation profile in this work.
4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main objective of this work is to assess whether the strength of the PAH emission is correlated with the observed UV bump strength. To include the full sample in the analysis, we start with the stacked MIPS 24 μm data. Later in this section, we will show the results for individual measurements where 24 μm emission is detected ($N = 20$ star-forming galaxies and 2 AGN).

Figure 2 shows the SFR-$M_*$ distribution of the sample for galaxies with and without 24 μm detection (SNR $> 3$). The 20 MIPS-detected galaxies show a strong UV bump in their stacked MUSE spectrum with $E_{\text{bump}} = 0.15 \pm 0.02$, while the bump is significantly weaker in the stacked spectrum of the MIPS undetected galaxies ($E_{\text{bump}} = 0.04 \pm 0.01$). In the following subsections, we discuss the possible underlying causes of the observed correlation between the strength of the bump and the 24 μm emission (rest-frame 8 μm at the redshift of the sample).

4.1 8 μm-UV bump relation and stellar mass

To address the underlying cause of the correlation between 24 μm-bright galaxies and the UV bump strength and take advantage of the full sample, we stack both the MIPS images and MUSE spectra in bins of stellar mass. The bins are shown in Figure 3(a), and the MIPS stacked images and stacked UV spectra are displayed in Figures 3(b) and 4, respectively. The observed 24 μm luminosity increases with SFR and (mass), as expected from the correlation between SFR and PAH emission to first order approximation (Calzetti et al. 2007). Figure 3(c) shows that the bump strength increases with increasing mass as well, such that the highest mass bin ($M_* \sim 10^{10.2-10.7} M_\odot$) has an observed bump amplitude of $E_{\text{bump}} = 0.23 \pm 0.03$ magnitudes.

To assess whether the $E_{\text{bump}}$ correlation with mass is driven by the increased SFR of galaxies with higher stellar masses, we investigate the variation of the bump first in two bins of stellar mass with similar SFR distributions, the orange box in Figure 5, and then in two bins of SFR with similar mass distributions, the blue box in Figure 5. The two plots in the right column of Figure 5 show that at a fixed SFR, $E_{\text{bump}}$ strongly increases with increasing mass, while at fixed mass, $E_{\text{bump}}$ is constant, within the uncertainties, with increasing SFR. In other words, $E_{\text{bump}}$ does not correlate with SFR at log($M_*/M_\odot$) $\sim 10$. Hence, we conclude that the increase in bump strength is correlated mainly with the stellar mass, and not the SFR.

We adopt two definitions to estimate “PAH strength”. We convert stacked 24 μm fluxes to $\nu L_\nu$ at 24 μm assuming the average redshift in each bin. In the first approach, we divide the $\nu L_\nu$ by the average SFR of galaxies in each bin to remove the effect of SFR (radiation field) from the 24 μm MIPS luminosity, thus leaving, to first order, only the contribution from the total abundance of PAH carriers. The result is shown in the upper panel of Figure 6. As

---

4 SFRs are derived from SED fitting using the full photometric dataset, i.e., the 24 μm and far-IR data are included in the fitting, where available. To ensure that the SFRs are not dependent on the 24 μm fluxes, we repeat the SED fitting excluding the 24 μm data and derived consistent SFRs. This result is expected as the sample is selected based on UV line emission detection and hence, does not include highly-obscured galaxies (Figure 2). Typical uncertainties on SFR estimates from MAGPHYS are 0.05 dex.
Figure 3. (a) SFR versus stellar mass of the sample. Galaxies in four bins of mass are shown with different colors and the mass boundaries are shown by vertical lines. (b) stacks of MIPS images in the four mass bins. Outline colors correspond to the bins shown in panel (a). The extracted flux and SNR are shown for each stack. (c) Stacked UV spectra in the four mass bins. Colors correspond to the bins in panel (a). Shaded regions shown 1σ uncertainty of the best-fit Drude profile. The best-fit bump parameters (Section 3) are listed in the legend with $E_{\text{bump}}$ and $S_{\text{bump}}$ being the amplitude and the area of the bump. Both the strength of the 24 μm emission and the amplitude of the dust bump increase strongly with increasing stellar mass.

Figure 4. The average (stacked) MUSE spectra of the sample in four bins of stellar mass with a resolution of Δλ = 1 Å. The spectra are normalised to the mean flux between 2900 and 3000 Å. A subset of the strongest UV emission and absorption lines are indicated in grey and the grey boxes define the metallicity sensitive UV indices based on the models of Vidal-García et al. (2017), where the hashed wavelength ranges define the underlying pseudo-continua.

$L_{\nu}(24 \mu m)$ is normalized to SFR and $E_{\text{bump}}$ does not strongly change with SFR (Figure 5), the trend in the top panel of Figure 6 is likely not driven by SFR, but it is more closely related to the stellar mass of galaxies.

In the second approach, we divide the $\nu L_{\nu}(24 \mu m)$ by observed (i.e., attenuated) UV luminosity at 1600 Å ($\nu L_{\nu}(0.16\mu m)$) derived from the best-fit SED models and averaged in each bin. This quantity shows the ratio of “PAH emission” to the attenuated UV continuum emission, which is tightly related to our measurements of $E_{\text{bump}}$, defined as the ratio of the bump peak flux to the attenuated (i.e., observed) continuum at 2175 Å (in a logarithmic space; Equation 2). In the first PAH strength definition, the 24 μm luminosity is normalized by total SFR, which takes into account the amount of SFR attenuated by dust. Both definitions of the PAH strength show significant correlations with $E_{\text{bump}}$ in the stacks in Figure 6, with the second definition (\(\nu L_{\nu}(24\mu m)/\nu L_{\nu}(0.16\mu m)\)) having a tighter correlation in the individual galaxies (Pearson’s correlation coefficient of 0.67), as anticipated.

4.2 8 μm-UV bump relation and metallicity

As discussed in the previous subsection, the bump amplitude and the 8 μm emission are closely related to the stellar mass of galaxies in this sample. However, neither the strength of the bump nor the abundance of the PAH carriers are expected to be fundamentally related to the amount of stars in galaxies. Instead, we interpret the
Figure 5. Variation of bump amplitude ($E_{\text{bump}}$) with stellar mass at a fixed SFR (orange subsample, top-right) and with SFR at a fixed mass (blue subsample, bottom-right). The subsamples selections are shown with boxes in the left panel. The orange (blue) subsample is divided in two mass (SFR) bins, shown with a dashed orange (blue) line, such that the SFR (mass) distributions are comparable. $E_{\text{bump}}$, measured from the stacked spectrum in each subsample, increases with mass at a fixed SFR but does not change with increases SFR at a fixed mass.

Figure 6. PAH emission strength, defined as the 24 μm luminosity normalized by SFR, versus UV bump amplitude for the four mass bins of Figure 3 (large symbols, where triangle indicates the 1σ upper limit on $vL_{24\mu m}$/SFR). Colors indicate the mass range of the stacks and individual galaxies. The numbers next to the stacks show metallicities estimated from UV absorption lines in the stacked UV spectra, in units of solar metallicity ($Z_{\odot}$). The bump strength and PAH emission strength are highly correlated with each other and with mass/metallicity.

4.3 8 μm-UV bump relation and age/SFH

Another important parameter that is shown to affect the intensity of PAHs both at low and high redshifts is the age of the galaxy (Galliano et al. 2008; Shivaei et al. 2017). PAH molecules are thought to form in the outflows of moderate-mass carbon-rich AGB stars (Tielens 2008), which begin enriching the ISM after their death at an age of a few 100 Myr. Figure 7 shows individual measurements of the bump and 24 μm luminosity ($vL_{24\mu m}$) for the 20 galaxies that are detected at 24 μm, color-coded with two age parameters from the MAGPHYS SED fits: mass-weighted ages and the time since the last burst of star formation ended (Section 2.1). As a complementary SFH parameter, we also label the galaxies that have formed more than 20% of their mass in bursts during the last 10 Myr, based on...
Figure 7. 24 μm luminosity and bump measurements for the objects individually detected in 24 μm at 3 σ. Panels show 24 μm luminosity versus mass (top row), bump strength versus 24 μm luminosity (middle row) and versus 24 μm luminosity normalized to the observed UV continuum luminosity (bottom row), color-coded with the mass-weighted age (left column) and time since the last starburst characterized by the MAGPHYS SED fitting code (right column). Black crosses in the right panels show galaxies with more than 20% of their mass formed in bursts over the last 10 Myr. The two AGN are shown with stars. Galaxies with young ages or recent bursts have weak (or no) bump while their 24 μm luminosities are relatively high.

MAGPHYS fits. At a given age, the 24 μm luminosity correlates with mass (panel a) and with $E_{\text{bump}}$ (panel c). A similar correlation is seen in panels (b) and (d) for galaxies which did not experience a recent burst (i.e., their bursts are at $> 1$ Gyr ago). However, as soon as a recent burst happens the 24 μm luminosity increases and the bump strength decreases significantly. We also note that, as expected, galaxies identified with bursts in the past 10 Myr or those with mass-weighted ages $< 150$ Myr occupy the upper tier of the main-sequence relation (black circles in Figure 2).

Furthermore, panels e and f in Figure 7 clearly show that the only outliers from the PAH strength (24 μm luminosity normalized to SFR) versus $E_{\text{bump}}$ relation are those that have very young ages and have undergone recent vigorous bursts of star formation (i.e., bursts in the past 10 Myr that have formed $> 20\%$ of the mass of the galaxy). These young starbursts can also be seen in Figure 6 as outliers that do not follow the general trend with mass.

The interesting trend is that the youngest three galaxies with mass-weighted ages $< 150$ Myr have very weak bumps even though their 24 μm luminosity is high. The MAGPHYS posterior probability distribution functions of the mass-weighted ages of two of these three young galaxies are highly constrained. These two also show strong signs of a recent starburst within the last $\sim 1 – 100$ Myr (crosses in the right column of Figure 7). The third young galaxy has another solution for its mass-weighted age in the MAGPHYS fits (with a lower probability) of 230 Myr and its fit does not support a recent burst – therefore, its estimated age is less robust. The young galaxies also

5 The MAGPHYS posterior distributions of time since last burst and fraction of stars formed in bursts show well defined peaks for the starburst galaxies in Figure 7, which are the focus of this discussion.

6 The ages in this work are mass-weighted ages, inferred from the best-fit SED models (Section 2.1), which represent the overall age of the stellar population more robustly compared to light-weighted ages. However, the “young” galaxies can still contain an underlying population of older stars with a few Gyr ages.
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have low masses (< $10^{10} M_\odot$, and by extrapolation, low metallicities). Given the young ages, the recent bursts, and the low masses, we speculate that the strong mid-IR emission observed for these galaxies is originated from enhanced continuum emission of warm dust grains heated by the intense emission of young stellar populations (panel b), and not from the excited PAH grains (weak PAH emission and hot continua are seen in local galaxies with low metallicities and/or high specific SFRs; Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2015). The weak UV bump in these galaxies (panel d) is then either due to the lack of the bump carriers (supported by the young age) or the alteration/destruction of the bump carriers by strong feedback from the recent starbursts.

4.4 $8\mu$m-UV bump relation and geometry

Theoretical studies show that the bump strength is also affected by the distribution of dust with respect to stars. Radiative transfer models predict that the UV bump (often defined as $A_{\text{bump}}/A_V$) is suppressed in galaxies with higher $A_V$ or more clumpy ISM (e.g., Witt & Gordon 2000; Seon & Draine 2016), and cosmological simulations of Narayanan et al. (2018) show that the bump, in a similar definition ($\tau/\tau_{3000}$), is weaker when the fraction of unobscured young stars increases. Additionally, while some observational studies of local galaxies find relations between the $A_{\text{bump}}$ and the axial ratios of galaxies, or effective dust column density (Wild et al. 2011; Battisti et al. 2017), others have not found such correlations (Battisti et al. 2020).

In this work, we expect that as the viewing angles of galaxies are random, the spectral stacks negate the effect of axial ratio. For reasons explained in Section 3, we do not use $A_V$ or $A_{\text{UV}}$ (UV continuum attenuation) in our analysis, as they are highly dependent on the assumption of the underlying attenuation curve, which has been shown by many studies that it varies with metallicity and mass (e.g., Reddy et al. 2018; Fudamoto et al. 2019; Shivaei et al. 2020a,b). Instead, we rely solely on observed quantities to define $E_{\text{bump}}$ (and PAH strength, Section 4.2). Our results show an increase in $E_{\text{bump}}$ with mass and with $24 \mu$m luminosity or $24 \mu$m luminosity normalized to observed UV continuum. Estimating $A_V$ or $A_{\text{UV}}$ based on varying attenuation curves is beyond the scope of this paper, and without robust $A_V$ or $A_{\text{1600}}$ measurements, it is not possible to directly compare these results with the aforementioned theoretical work. A higher fraction of unobscured young stars or a more clumpy ISM in the low mass galaxies may contribute to the lack of a strong bump in low-mass galaxies. However, we do not find a significant correlation between $E_{\text{bump}}$ and the MAGPHYS $\mu$ parameter, the fraction of $\tau_7$ contributed by dust in the ambient (diffuse) ISM. Resolved multi-wavelength observations tracing different components of the ISM and stars can shed light on this possibility.

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We use rest-frame UV spectra of 86 star-forming main-sequence galaxies at $z = 1.7 - 2.7$ from the MUSE HUDF Survey to study the strength of the UV 2175Å attenuation bump. We find that the bump is prevalent in galaxies with masses $\geq 10^{9.5} M_\odot$ with bump amplitudes of $\approx 0.1 - 0.5$ mag. These observations indicate that not accounting for a bump in the spectra of relatively massive galaxies would overestimate their UV slope measurements (redder $\beta$), and including a bump in the spectral models of low mass galaxies can underestimate the UV slopes in high redshift studies. Future deep JWST NIRCam and NIRSpec observations of the rest-frame UV emission of galaxies at $z > 5$ will reveal the ubiquity of the UV bump feature in the spectra of reionization-era galaxies.

We incorporate the Spitzer MIPS 24 $\mu$m photometry to investigate the relation between the strength of the UV 2175Å bump and the mid-IR emission of PAHs. Our results show that there is a strong correlation between the PAH emission and the bump amplitude. Both parameters also strongly correlate with mass, which is likely reflecting the effect of metallicity on the PAH abundances as the carriers of the UV bump. The stellar metallicity estimates from UV absorption features, albeit with large uncertainties, confirm this hypothesis. The weakness of the UV bump at low mass/metallicity can then be explained by the lack of PAH grains, if PAHs are the main source of the UV extinction bump feature.

We also find that galaxies with young mass-weighted ages and/or those that have experienced a recent rigorous starburst within the last $\sim 10 - 100$ Myr show reduced bump strengths and elevated $24 \mu$m luminosities compared to the rest of the sample at a given stellar mass. These results are consistent with a picture in which PAHs are either destroyed or insufficiently produced in young galaxies with low metalicities and/or those that have undergone a recent burst.

Future studies with metallicity constraints from optical emission lines, and mid-IR observations with high SNR detections of low-mass main-sequence galaxies from JWST/MIRI will be crucial to shed more light on the role of metallicity and PAHs on the strength of the UV bump.
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