Benthic Foraminifera of the Agulhas Bank coastal shelf in the vicinity of Plettenberg Bay, South Africa: a reconnaissance survey
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Abstract
The study area is located on the inner Agulhas Bank around Plettenberg Bay, southern Cape Province, South Africa (Fig. 1). The Agulhas Bank is a transitional environment between the cold-temperate Benguela Current regime of the south-eastern South Atlantic and the warm-temperate Agulhas Current regime of the south-western Indian Ocean. Three distinct faunal assemblages (A, B and C) were identified in the study area. These are aligned in three consecutive, coast-parallel belts, assemblage A forming the inshore belt, assemblage C the offshore belt and assemblage B the in-between belt. Assemblage A is composed of *Textularia*-group individuals and *Pararotalia*, *Cibicides lobatulus* and *Planorbulina mediterranensis*. It occupies the nearshore belt up to 50 m water depth in sediments composed of very fine, fine and medium sands, with some coarse and very coarse sands. Assemblage B is composed of *Bolivina cf. pseudopunctata*, *Cassidulina laevigata*, *Ammonia beccarii*, *Bolivina tortuosa* and *Bulimina elongata*. It occupies water depths from 50–70 m, but may locally extend down to 90 m and, within the bay itself, upward to 20 m in fine and very fine sands containing some medium sand and mud. Assemblage C is dominated by *Cassidulina laevigata*, *Bolivina cf. pseudopunctata*, *Bulimina elongata* and *Ammonia beccarii*. In contrast to assemblage B which is dominated by *B. cf. pseudopunctata*, assemblage C is dominated by *C. laevigata*. Assemblage C is mainly confined to water depths of 70–100 m in sediments dominated by very fine sand (0.063–0.125 mm) containing some coarser sediment and mud. The distribution of the forams with respect to water depth, sediment composition and other environmental parameters suggests that it is mainly controlled by a combination of environmental parameters. No tangible relationship was found between the open shelf foraminifer communities and those of estuaries and lagoons along the South African coast.

Introduction

The earliest study of benthic Foraminifera (forams) from the South African shelf was carried out by Brady (1881), who analysed two samples collected in the course of the famous ‘Challenger Expedition’ (1872–1876). This was followed by the foram study of Heron-Allen and Earland (1915) on the Kerimba Archipelago off the coast of Mozambique. After a lengthy pause, foram studies eventually recommenced from the early 1970s onward. Only 10 years ago Toefy (2010) remarked that foram research in South Africa has largely been conducted in geological contexts (Martin 1974, 1981; McMillan 1974, 1987, 1993; Cooper and McMillan 1987; Wright et al. 1990; Franceschini et al. 2005) and that with few exceptions (Toefy et al. 2003, 2005), studies on extant taxa were largely missing. This disparity has not changed very much since then, the few studies that were conducted mainly focussing on shallow water, salt marsh and mangrove environments (Schmidt-Sinns 2008; Strachan et al. 2015, 2016, 2017; Fürstenberg et al. 2017).

The present study is located on the inner Agulhas Bank, in and around the Plettenberg Bay region, southern Cape Province, South Africa (Fig. 1). The Agulhas Bank occupies a transitional position between the cold-temperate upwelling regime (Benguela Current) of the south-eastern South Atlantic and the warm-temperate Agulhas Current regime of the south-western Indian Ocean (e.g. Dingle and Rogers 1972; Cawthra et al. 2020). The south coast is known for a diverse marine fauna that is exceptionally rich in endemic species (e.g. Branch and Branch 1981; Griffiths et al. 2010; Smit et al. 2017). Of relevance to the present study could be the...
investigation of Strachan et al. (2016) who, amongst others, analysed the foram community in the Knysna Lagoon, which is located only 30 km to the west of Plettenberg Bay.

In the context of the situation outlined above, the focus of this reconnaissance survey is on the documentation of the dominant foram species in a spatially confined region along the south coast of South Africa. It is based on a hitherto unpublished dataset (Hempel 1985) and does specifically not aim at documenting every single species, irrespective of how infrequent it may be. Accordingly, the aims of the present study are (a) to document the dominant benthic Foraminifera of the study area, (b) to analyse the data for the existence of spatially defined faunal assemblages, (c) to investigate potential relationships between faunal communities and environmental factors and d) to compare the open coast community with the one in the nearby Knysna Lagoon.

**Materials and methods**

The study is based on altogether 35 sediment samples (Fig. 1) selected from a larger sample suite (Flemming, unpublished) collected in March 1982 in the course of the Agulhas Bank Studies programme of the former National Research Institute for Oceanology (CSIR), Stellenbosch, South Africa (cf. Schumann et al. 1982). The area over which the samples were
distributed covers ~280 km² which, on average, amounts to 8 samples per km². Sampling made use of a Shipek™ grab sampler that was deployed in water depths from 12–97.5 m (Table 1) in sediments ranging from muddy very fine sand to coarse sand. The rotating steel bucket of the grab scoops up an almost undisturbed sample from the seabed. The typical surface area of a sample in the bucket is ~300 cm² and the volume is 2–2.5 dm³ (or litres). The centre section is up to 10 cm deep, pinching out towards the two bucket edges aligned perpendicular to the direction of rotation.

For the purpose of analysing the foram content, 5 up to 2-cm deep subsamples were taken by a spoon from different parts of the sediment surface in each case and mixed into a single sample stored in a vial containing a 70% alcohol solution. Subsequently, a rose-bengal solution was added to stain the tissue of any living individuals. Mixing five random samples taken at a sampling site reduces the probable sampling error by ~55% (Krumbein 1934). It also pays tribute to the fact that benthic forams inherently have a patchy distribution in the sediment (Buzas 1968; cf. also Buzas et al. 2015, for a comprehensive overview).

In the laboratory, the samples were washed through a set of two sieves having a 2-mm mesh at the coarse end and a 0.063-mm mesh at the fine end (sand range). The residue was dried and, because it was predominantly composed of quartz grains, the forams were separated by flotation using tetrachloroethylene (C₂Cl₄). The flotation procedure was carried out twice and the resulting foram concentrates assessed with respect to the frequency of the two most abundant foram species and different sediment types. These were carried out by application of the software program TableCurve 2D™ of Systat Software Inc., San Jose, California.

### Results

**Taxonomic aspects**

In the following, only those taxa are described which contributed more than 10% to the total fauna. In addition, two taxa are included that depart from the otherwise temperate faunal assemblage, even though their contribution lies below 10%. The overall most abundant foram species are listed in Table 2 (in order of descending abundance). Together with some additional taxa, these are illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3. Missing from the list are Textularia pseudogramen and Textularia

| Sample number | Water depth (m) | Geographic coordinates | Sample number | Water depth (m) | Geographic coordinates |
|---------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 148           | 47             | 34° 06.9’S 23° 17.7’E  | 224           | 45             | 34° 05.9’S 23° 29.5’S |
| 150           | 64             | 07.4’ 17.8’            | 236           | 72             | 06.1’ 32.0’            |
| 151           | 69             | 08.5’ 17.6’            | 240           | 68             | 03.9’ 31.7’            |
| 152           | 82             | 09.3’ 17.6’            | 245           | 28             | 33°59.3’ 34.3’         |
| 154           | 93             | 11.2’ 17.7’            | 248           | 52             | 34°00.5’ 34.3’         |
| 155           | 94             | 2.1’ 17.7’             | 249           | 64             | 01.0’ 34.2’            |
| 159           | 91             | 08.9’ 27.3’            | 250           | 71             | 01.8’ 34.0’            |
| 163           | 42             | 08.0’ 27.2’            | 251           | 75             | 02.9’ 34.2’            |
| 165           | 37             | 06.9’ 27.2’            | 253           | 76             | 05.1’ 34.2’            |
| 168           | 51             | 05.4’ 27.4’            | 254           | 80             | 06.2’ 34.3’            |
| 170           | 52             | 04.0’ 27.3’            | 255           | 86             | 07.4’ 34.2’            |
| 171           | 50             | 03.0’ 27.2’            | 256           | 91             | 08.5’ 34.2’            |
| 174           | 38             | 01.6’ 27.4’            | 266           | 37.5           | 03.4’ 24.9’            |
| 176           | 17             | 00.7’ 27.2’            | 267           | 30             | 02.3’ 24.3’            |
| 180           | 17.5           | 02.4’ 24.2’            | 292           | 86             | 09.5’ 24.0’            |
| 185           | 12             | 05.6’ 24.0’            | 297           | 34             | 06.7’ 22.2’            |
| 198           | 97.5           | 09.4’ 27.2’            | 306           | 65             | 08.2’ 20.0’            |
| 212           | 54             | 03.2’ 28.4’            |               |                |                         |
sagittula due to their overall low abundance, the Textularia group being represented in the list by Textularia conica, which is the overall fourth-most abundant foram in the study area. All three taxa are, however, illustrated (cf. Fig. 2) because together they form the dominant component in faunal assemblage A (see further down). Also not listed is Elphidium advenum (Cushman) because of its very low abundance. It is nevertheless illustrated (cf. Fig. 3) because it forms a subordinate component in faunal assemblages B and C (see further down). Descriptions generally follow the terminology recommended by Hottinger (2006). The individual species addressed below follow the sequence listed in Table 2:

Table 2 List of species (in decreasing number order) which overall exceeded 10% in all analysed samples combined, together with the year of first description, total number of tests and the number of dead and living tests, in each case

| Foraminifer species                                                | Total tests | Dead tests | Living tests |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Bolivina cf. pseudopunctata Höglund (1947)                          | 2789        | 1862       | 927          |
| Cassidulina laevigata d’Orbigny (1826)                             | 2080        | 1885       | 195          |
| Bulimina elongata d’Orbigny var. subulata (1846)                    | 1055        | 719        | 336          |
| Textularia conica (d’Orbigny) (1839) [incl. rare Textularia species]| 838         | 752        | 86           |
| Cibicides lobatus (Walker & Jacob) (1798)                           | 690         | 656        | 34           |
| Bolivina tortuosa Brady var. atlantica (Cushman) (1937)             | 599         | 583        | 16           |
| Cassidulina obtusa Williamson (1858)                               | 595         | 591        | 4            |
| Ammonia beccarii (Linné) (1758)                                    | 593         | 555        | 238          |
| Pararotalia sp. (numerous species described from 1827–2001)        | 516         | 504        | 12           |
| Planorbulina mediterranensis d’Orbigny (1826)                      | 341         | 320        | 21           |
| Discorbis australiensis (Heron-Allen & Earland) (1932)             | 17          | 17         | 0            |
| Chrysalidina dimorpha (Brady) (1881)                               | 5           | 5          | 0            |
| Total tests                                                        | 10318       | 8449       | 1869         |

Bolivina cf. pseudopunctata Höglund (Fig. 3, no. 9; Höglund 1947)

With a total number of 2789 tests (1862 dead, 927 living), this species is the most abundant in the study area, at some stations contributing over 40%. It is well illustrated in Höglund (1947), who placed them in a water depth range of preferentially 26–118 m in the Gulmarfjord, Skagerrak, Sweden. In the study area, it is commonly associated with Bolivina tortuosa and is most abundant in the depth range of 50–70 m, but is also found in shallower water where the sediment contains appreciable amounts of mud.

Cassidulina laevigata d’Orbigny (Fig. 3, no. 14; d’Orbigny 1826)

This species achieved a total abundance of 2080 tests (1885 dead, 195 living). It is well illustrated in Feyling-Hanssen (1964). In the study area, it is most abundant in samples from water depths exceeding 70 m, where it may contribute up to 47% of the foram fauna. As opposed to the very similar species Cassidulina carinata (Silvestri), which occurs abundantly along the west coast of South Africa (Martin 1981), the Cassidulina species recorded in the study area does not have a pronounced ‘carina’. On account of this, it was identified as C. laevigata.

Bulimina elongata d’Orbigny var. subulata Cushman and Parker (Fig. 3, no. 12; d’Orbigny 1846)

This species is represented by 1055 tests (719 dead, 336 living). It is well illustrated in Barker (1960), where it stems from a water depth of 630 fathoms (~1152 m) to the west of Ireland. In the study area, it is found in almost all samples across the depth range from 12–97 m and can contribute up to 12% of the counted tests. A very similar species, Bulimina gibba, which is well represented along the west coast of South Africa (Martin 1981), was not found in the Plettenberg Bay samples.

Textularia conica (d’Orbigny) (Fig. 2, no. 5; d’Orbigny 1839)

Also pictured are Textularia pseudogramen (Chapman & Parr) (Fig. 2, no.6) and Textularia sagittula Defrance (Fig. 2, no. 7). Good illustrations of the three species can be found in Norvang (1966), Lutze (1974) and Murray (1971), respectively. The total abundance of the three Textularia species combined amounts to 838 tests (752 dead, 86 living). During the identification procedure, it turned out that it was not always possible to clearly distinguish between the three species, as a result of which the counts were eventually pooled. They occur in the greatest abundance at water depths up to 50 m, where on average, they contribute 20% to the fauna. T. conica was nevertheless the most frequent species, estimated to contribute on average up to 17%. The group reaches its highest abundance (>90%) in coarse-grained near-shore sands (mean diameter >0.25 mm).
Cibicides lobatulus (Walker & Jacob) (Fig. 2, no. 2; Walker and Jacob 1798)

Good illustrations can be found in Murray (1971). The total abundance of this grain-attached species amounts to 690 tests (656 dead, 34 living). It is found in almost all samples, but achieves its greatest abundance (14%) in nearshore sediments with mean diameters coarser than 0.25 mm.

Bolivina tortuosa Brady var. atlantica (Cushman) (not pictured; Cushman 1937)

The total abundance amounts to 599 tests (583 dead, 16 living). Good illustrations of this species can be found in Cushman (1937), the examples having been collected in shallow water (~20 m) off the Cape Verde Islands. In departure from these cases, the individuals from the study area have a more elongated test, a larger number of chambers and a more lobulate outline. It is commonly associated with B. cf. pseudopunctata and is most abundant (up to 15%) in samples from 30–70 m water depth.

Cassidulina obtusa Williamson (Fig. 3, no. 13; Williamson 1858)

Good illustrations can be found in Sejrup and Guilbault (1980) who rated it as an indicator species for temperate climatic conditions. The total abundance in the study area amounted to 595 tests (591 dead, 4 living). It was found in 22 of the analysed 35 samples, and reached maximum contributions of 15%. Phleger and Parker (1951) found this species in the Gulf of Mexico with greatest abundances at water depths from 50–200 m. In the study area, it was found at water depths of 30–90 m.
Ammonia beccarii (Linné)/Rotalia beccarii Linné (Fig. 3, no. 10; Linné 1758)

This species is described and illustrated in Cushman (1931). It is a globally distributed shallow-water species (Murray 1971). The total abundance in the study area amounted to 593 tests (555 dead, 238 living). The test shapes of this species, which occurred in almost all samples from the study area, are rather variable. It reaches abundances of 20% of the total fauna but without showing any particular trend in its distribution.

Pararotalia sp. (Fig. 2, no. 4; numerous species described from 1827-2001)

The total abundance of this species in the study area amounted to 516 tests (504 dead, 12 living). It is quite common in nearshore sediments (18%) where, together with the Textularia group, it may contribute up to 50% to the total fauna. It can be distinguished from P. cf. nipponica, which Martin (1981) has described from the South African west coast shelf, by the presence of spines and an overall rounder test shape.

Planorbulina mediterranensis d’Orbigny (Fig. 2, no. 1; d’Orbigny 1826)

This species is described and illustrated in Murray (1971) who recorded living examples from shallow waters (10–60 m) along the coast of England, where they are attached to algae and rocks. Because of this, grab samplers mostly recover dead tests in surrounding sediments. In the study area, its abundance amounted to 341 tests (320 dead, 21 living), being mostly restricted to water depths <30 m.
Discorbis australiensis (Heron-Allen & Earland) (Fig. 2, no. 3; Heron-Allen and Earland 1932)

The species is described and illustrated in Barker (1960). The total abundance in the study area amounted to only 17 dead tests, being mentioned here because it is a typical warm-water species common in the Indian and Pacific Oceans. Of particular interest in the present case is that 10 of the 17 recorded examples were found to be stuck together with their umbilical sides which, according to Grell (1968), could be a temporary position in the reproductive cycle. The species was identified in sample stations 148 (47 m), 159 (91 m), 165 (37 m), 168 (51 m), 185 (12 m), 224 (45 m) and 254 (80 m) (cf. Table 1).

Chrysalidina dimorpha (Brady) (Fig. 3, no. 8; Brady 1881)

This species was first described by Brady (1881). According to Cushman (1911), it is a shallow warm-water species. It is very rare in the study area, its abundance amounting to only 5 dead tests. Despite its rare occurrence, it is mentioned here because it represents a warm-water species common in the Indian and Pacific Oceans. It was identified in the samples from stations 152 (82 m), 163 (42 m), 165 (37 m) and 236 (72 m) (cf. Table 1).

Ecological aspects

The analysis of foram abundances in the study area allowed the distinction between three distinct faunal assemblages (A, B and C). Foram assemblages are defined by the dominance of at least two, but frequently more, specific species (e.g. Lutze 1980). The identified assemblages are aligned in three consecutive, coast-parallel belts, assemblage A forming the inshore belt, assemblage C the offshore belt and assemblage B the in-between belt (Fig. 4).

Assemblage A is dominated by tests of the Textularia-group (with Textularia conica as the major representative), Pararotalia sp., Cibicides lobatulus and Planorbulina mediterranensis. This assemblage occupies the nearshore zone in water depths from <12–50 m. The sediments are composed of very fine, fine and medium sands, locally containing appreciable amounts of coarse and very coarse sands.

Next in line is assemblage B, which is composed of Bolivina cf. pseudopunctata, Cassidulina laevigata, Ammonia beccarii, Bolivina tortuosa, Bulimina elongata, (Cassidulina obtusa) and (Elphidium advenum). The species in brackets occur in low abundance. Assemblage B predominantly occupies water depths ranging from 50–70 m, but locally extends down to 90 m (e.g. south of the Robberg spit-bar; cf. Fig. 1) and within the bay itself upward to 20 m. The sediments are mainly composed of fine and very fine sands containing appreciable amounts of medium sand and mud. Although assemblage B has much in common with assemblage C, it distinguishes itself from the latter by a distinctly higher abundance of B. cf. pseudopunctata (~40%).

Assemblage C, finally, is dominated by Cassidulina laevigata, Bolivina cf. pseudopunctata, Bulimina elongata, Ammonia beccarii, (Elphidium advenum) and (Bolivina tortuosa). The species in brackets occur in low abundance. In contrast to assemblage B, assemblage C is dominated by C. laevigata which reaches abundances up to 47%, whereas B. cf. pseudopunctata (which dominates assemblage B) barely reaches 15%. Assemblage C is mainly confined to water depths of 70–100 m in sediments dominated by very fine sand (0.063–0.125 mm) containing appreciable amounts of both coarser (fine–medium) sand and mud.

Environmental aspects

Water depth

The belt-like, coast-parallel arrangement of the individual foram assemblages (Fig. 4) could, at first sight, be interpreted as indicating that water depth could be a major factor controlling their distribution (e.g. Martin 1981). However, a closer look reveals that there are considerable depth overlaps between them. Thus, assemblage A reaches down to 75 m in the north-eastern part of the study area, while assemblage B encroaches on assemblage A within the bay up to a depth of 20 m. Similarly, assemblage C extends down to 70 m in the western part of the study area, while assemblage B extends down to >90 m just south of the Robberg spit-bar. These depth overlaps argue against a strictly depth-controlled distribution (cf. Funnell 1967; van der Zwaan 1999) and, instead, suggest that water depth is merely a proxy for a variety of ecofactors (e.g. turbulence, grain size, sediment sorting, organic matter) which, in dependence of the local environmental conditions, determine the distribution of individual foram assemblages.

Sediment composition

As in the case of water depth, a comparison of the distribution pattern of the three faunal assemblages with those of individual grain-size fractions (Flemming, unpublished) can be interpreted to argue in favour of control by grain size, or rather sediment composition. Such a relationship has, for example, been observed by Lutze and Coulbourn (1984). As narrated in the ecological section, each assemblage is clearly associated with particular grain-size ranges, although considerable overlaps are also evident here. To test this, the abundance (frequency-%) of six common foraminiferal species in the study area was plotted against water depth (Fig. 5a). Again, at first sight, the pattern appears to favour water depth as the main control factor, the frequencies of B. cf. pseudopunctata, C. laevigata, A. beccarii and B. elongata dominating the diagram in water depths greater ~45 m. Together, they reach frequencies of almost 75%, whereas Pararotalia sp. and the Textularia-group forams dominate the depth range above 45 m. However, the fact that both the mud and
very fine sand contents of the sediment are also restricted to water depths >45 m (Figs 5b, c) challenges the water depth hypothesis because the deeper-water foram group could just as well be associated with these sediment types. Incidentally, Fig. 5a also reveals that the depth distributions of B. cf. pseudopunctata and C. laevigata show an inverse pattern. The former dominates the depth range from 50–70 m, which is characterized by greater mud (up to 35%) and lower very fine sand (up to 40%) contents. The latter, by contrast, dominates the depth range >70 m, which is characterized by lower mud (on average 10%) and greater very fine sand (up to 60%) contents. The water depth/sediment type characteristics, therefore, lead to an impasse in the decision which of the two potential control factors should be favoured. It would instead argue in favour of control by a combination of various environmental factors (e.g. van der Zwaan et al. 1999).

To test this hypothesis, the relation of the two most dominant species, B. cf. pseudopunctata and C. laevigata, with particular grain-size fractions was investigated (Fig. 6). The scatter plots of frequency vs grain-size fractions for B. cf. pseudopunctata, (Fig. 6a, b, c) show that the correlations for this species are all positive, albeit with greatly varying coefficients. Thus, the coefficient of determination ($r^2$) is 0.425 for mud (Fig. 6a), 0.036 for very fine sand (Fig. 6b) and 0.25 for fine+medium sand (Fig. 6c). This means that the regressions explain 42.5% of the variation in the case of mud, 25% of the variation in the case of fine+medium sand and only 3.6% of the variation in the case of very fine sand. This suggests that B. cf. pseudopunctata prefers muddy fine-medium sands, while tolerating some very fine sand. The relation between the faunal frequency and sediment type suggests that sediment type has, in this case, a slightly smaller influence on the distribution than do other water depth-related ecofactors.

In the case of C. laevigata, the scatter plots show positive correlations with mud and very fine sand (Fig. 6d, e), but a negative correlation with fine+medium sand (Fig. 6f). In contrast to B. cf. pseudopunctata, the coefficients of determination for C. laevigata explain 59% of the variation for very fine sand (Fig. 6e) but only 11.8% for mud (Fig. 6d), while 51% of the variation is negative for fine+medium sand (Fig. 6f). This species thus clearly prefers a very fine sand substrate containing appreciable amounts of fine+medium sand and small amounts of mud. In this case, substrate has the edge over water depth. Taken together, the result of this frequency vs substrate analysis therefore supports the hypothesis that a combination of water depth-related ecofactors, in particular substrate type, most likely controls the distribution of the three foram assemblages.

**Water temperature**

The regional sampling campaign was carried out in late summer (March) of 1982. During sampling, the substrate temperature was measured as soon as the bucket was detached from the grab on deck. The range of temperatures for the Plettenberg Bay samples is illustrated in Fig. 7b. It reveals...
an almost homogenous temperature distribution across all water depths down to 100 m, ranging between 10 and 14°C. If this temperature structure was representative for longer time periods, then it would not speak in favour for water temperature being an additional control factor for the distribution of the forams in the study area. This notion is supported by the extremely rare occurrence of warm-water species in the study area. A temperature influence on the vertical distribution of forams has, for example, been postulated by Drobne and Cimerman (1984), but such a strict temperature control has subsequently been questioned by Van der Zwaan et al. (1999).

However, in comparison to Plettenberg Bay, the seabed temperatures in Mossel Bay, which is located about 100 km farther west, show a vertical structure compatible with the existence of a thermocline at 45–50 m (Fig. 7a). Below 50 m, the temperatures are comparable to those in the Plettenberg Bay area; above 50 m, they progressively increase with decreasing water depth to reach ~21°C at the surface close to the coast. Warm surface waters originating from the Agulhas Current thus characterized the Mossel Bay but not the Plettenberg Bay region at the time of sampling. Whether it plays an additional role in controlling the distribution of the forams assemblages thus depends on how temporally persistent the observed vertical thermal structure in Plettenberg Bay is.

**Discussion**

The forams of the Plettenberg Bay shelf area are mainly composed of species that are typical representatives of temperate climatic conditions (Boltovskoy and Wright 1976). Only two species, *Discorbis australiensis* (Heron-Allen & Earland) and *Chrysalidina dimorpha* (Brady), represent warm-water faunas with affinity to the Indian Ocean (Barker 1960; Cushman 1911). The two warm-water species document that filaments (or eddies) of the warm Agulhas Current, which hugs the shelf edge of the Agulhas Bank far to the south, occasionally find their way to the innermost south coast shelf (Lutjeharms 2006), thereby carrying the two species into the study area. The fact that their abundance is extremely low suggests that, in the study area, this appears to happen only very episodically. However, it can be expected that their abundance increases towards the east and south i.e. towards the warm waters of the Agulhas Current, and possibly also in the shallow parts of the Mossel Bay region. It is presently unknown whether warmer nearshore waters are a characteristic feature of the latter region in the long-term. This question could possibly be answered by an analysis of the extant forams of that region because, in the event, it should reveal a greater proportion of warm-water species.
As in the case of other marine faunas, the identification of two warm-water species also emphasizes that the Agulhas Bank is a transitional area between the warm waters of the Indian Ocean and the temperate (upwelling) waters of the Benguela Current of the SE Atlantic. The evidence for a spatially differential influx of warm Agulhas Current waters at the time of the study raises the question whether the temperature structure characterized by a well-defined thermocline at a water depth of about 50 m, as recorded by Schumann and Beekman (1984), actually reflects the long-term situation on the entire Agulhas Bank, or whether it is less consistent for the nearshore region (Largier and Swart 1987). It would thus be an instructive exercise to analyse the foram content of samples collected in the Mossel Bay area and nearer to the shelf break opposite the path of the Agulhas Current, in expectation that the variety and abundance of warm-water species should be more prominent in these areas.

When comparing the foram community in the study area with that of the nearby Knysna Lagoon (Strachan et al. 2016), the following differences and similarities emerged: of the 15 species recorded on a vegetated intertidal flat in Knysna Lagoon, only two species occur in both environments. One is *Cibicides lobatulus*, which occurs in very low numbers in the lagoon but is the 5th most common species on the inner...
coastal shelf <50 m water depth, the other is *Elphidium* sp., which occurs in low abundance in both environments, but is restricted to water depths >50 m in the present study area. The comparison thus results in a surprisingly clear verdict, namely, that the foram communities of the two environments have very little in common. This also applies to the west coast, where the findings of Franceschini et al. (2005) in Langebaan Lagoon lead to the same conclusion.

**Conclusions and recommendations**

From the results of this study, the following conclusions can be drawn and recommendations be suggested:

The vast majority of forams in the study area belong to the temperate oceanic climate regime that is characteristic of the south-eastern South Atlantic; although rare, the two warm-water species linked to the subtropical Indian Ocean climate regime nevertheless confirm that the Agulhas Bank is a transitional environment between the temperate SE Atlantic and warm SW Indian oceans; the forams in the study area can be grouped into three faunal assemblages that are aligned in consecutive coast-parallel belts; the distribution of the forams in the study area in terms of water depth and sediment composition suggests that it is mainly controlled by ecofactors associated with these two parameters; evidently, no tangible relationship exists between nearshore foram communities and those of estuaries and lagoons along the South African coast; spatially selected nearshore surveys of foram faunas along the South African south coast should be carried out to establish the occurrence and spatial distribution of warm-water species.
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