Novel chitinolytic bacteria from the shrimp shell processing waste
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Abstract. Masri M, Sukmawaty E, Aditia L. 2021. Novel chitinolytic bacteria from the shrimp shell processing waste. Biodiversitas 22: 2672-2681. Chitinase is an enzyme that hydrolyzes chitin (β-1,4 N-seryl D-glucosamine polymer). Several types of microorganisms produce chitinase that contributes to basic science and application. The role of chitinase enzyme is widely utilized in various fields, such as health as an anti-tumor agent and agriculture as biological control agents in plant disease caused by fungus. The purpose of this study was to isolate novel chitinolytic bacteria from shrimp shell waste in Makassar, Indonesia, using the scatter plate method on chitin agar selective media incubated at 37°C for 3 days. The clear zone formation around the colony was marked as an indicator of chitinase activity performed by the certain isolate. Selection was carried out by recycling all isolates on chitin agar media, which were incubated at 37°C for 3 days and measured the chitinolytic index. Two of ten isolates produced the highest chitinolytic index, namely, KLA-2 (45) and KLA-4 (15) isolates. The molecular identification results showed that the KLA-2 and KLA-4 isolates were Lysinibacillus fusiformis strain WH22 and Brevibacillus reuszeri strain CMB-15, respectively. Therefore, novel chitinolytic bacteria that can produce chitinase enzyme from shrimp shell waste are L. fusiformis and B. reuszeri.
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INTRODUCTION

Chitin is a polysaccharide composed of β-1,4-N-acetylglucosamine (Halder et al. 2013; Junianto et al. 2013). Chitin has a crystallized, stable, rigid, and water-insoluble structure in the animal exoskeletons due to N-acetylglucosamine polymer chain that contains hydrogen bonds between molecules to form microfibrils (Elich-Ali-Komi and Hamblin 2016; Dilyauddin et al. 2020).

The abundance of chitin in nature is ranked in second place after cellulose and is widely distributed in the biosphere, such as the crustaceans skin (crab, shrimp, and lobster), jellyfish, insect exoskeleton structural component, fungus cell-wall (22-40%), algae, nematodes, animals, plants, and microorganisms that contribute to the chitin availability in soil (Yurnaliza et al. 2012; Asif et al. 2020).

The source of chitin can also be obtained from shrimp processing waste which consists of head and shell (Benhabiles et al. 2012; Ghorbel-Bellaaj et al. 2012). Both wastes contain high chitin levels, which are thought as a habitat for chitinase-producing bacteria (Benhabiles et al. 2012; Das et al. 2016; Ghorbel-Bellaaj et al. 2012).

Chitin can be degraded in two ways; the first is degradation by chitinolytic mechanisms which hydrolyze β-1,4-glycoside bonds and the second is chitin deacetylation, which can be hydrolyzed by chitosanase (Yurnaliza et al. 2012).

Exochitinase, endochitinase, chitosanase, and chitin deacetylase are chitinase enzymes (Yan and Fong 2015). Chitinase enzyme is produced by various organisms, including bacteria, yeasts, fungi, insects, plants, vertebrates, etc. (Das et al. 2016). Chitinase enzyme is included in the hydrolase enzyme group that can degrade chitin directly into small molecular weight material produced by microorganisms (Yan and Fong 2015), both intracellularly (Li et al. 2016) and extracellularly (Kim et al. 2017).

Chitinase enzyme has excellent potential for various field applications (Das et al. 2016). The chitin hydrolysis products, namely chitooligosaccharide compounds (chitohexose and chitoheptose) are beneficial in health as anti-tumor agents (Soeka and Sulistiani 2012). Chitooligosaccharide compounds can also increase immunity and is very helpful for AIDS, cancer, heart, and blood disease treatments applied in food, medicine, and cosmetic products (Haliza 2016). In agriculture, chitinase produced by microorganisms acts as a biocontrol for plant diseases caused by fungi (Parnell et al. 2016; Berini et al. 2018). Chitinase causes fungus cell wall lysis that commonly contains chitin (Veliz et al. 2017; Asif et al. 2020).

Chitinase is also a very promising material in biotechnology as safe and environmentally friendly biopesticide that can degrade chitin, as a structural component of insect exoskeletons, nematodes, and fungal cell walls (Berini et al. 2018; Gupta et al. 2019; Le and Yang 2019; R. Singh et al. 2020). Chitinase also plays an environmental role as a bioconversion agent of chitin waste into single-cell proteins (Suryadi et al. 2016). Brevibacillus reuszeri and Lysinibacillus fusiformis are two groups of chitinolytic bacteria. Gurkok (2016) collected thirteen isolates of chitinolytic bacteria in the marine and terrestrial
environments from Western Anatolia Region in Turkey (Gürkök and Görmez 2016). Meanwhile, this study collected samples from shrimp processing factory waste which had different physical characteristics.

Prospective chitinase enzyme role in people's lives encourages scientists to explore chitinolytic microorganisms, including this study which was proposed for isolating the novel chitinolytic bacteria from shrimp processing factory waste that can be used as a source of chitinolytic bacteria with many benefits in various fields. Moreover, this study also expects to reduce the waste used, which is likely to have a negative impact on the environment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Isolation of chitinolytic bacteria**

The samples, namely skin, head, and tail, were obtained from the shrimp processing factory waste in Makassar Industrial Area (KIMA), Indonesia. Samples were weighed 100 g and moved to an Erlenmeyer flask containing 400 mL lactose broth (LB) as a fermentation medium. The fermentation medium was kept closed and stored at 4°C (Jeyanthi Rebecca et al. 2013). This fermented liquid was used as chitinase enzyme-producing bacteria isolation process from shrimp processing waste.

**Colloidal chitin preparation**

Chitin from shrimp shells obtained from PT. Biotech Surindo, Cirebon, Indonesia was weighed 20 g and added with 400 mL concentrated HCl. This mixture was then stirred for 2 hours and incubated in the refrigerator for 24 hours. The solution was filtered with glass wool, and the filtrate was added with 200 mL cold-distilled water and neutralized with 10 N NaOH (± 250 mL) at pH of 7. The solution was centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was taken and added with distilled water. This mixture was then stirred to dissolve the remaining salt and re-centrifuged at a speed of 8,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. The colloidal chitin pellet produced was stored in the refrigerator (Widhyastuti 2007).

**Isolation and selection of chitinolytic bacteria**

Isolation and selection medium used a chitin agar (1% colloidal chitin, 0.1% peptone, 0.1% yeast extract, 0.1% KH₂PO₄, 0.05% MgSO₄.7H₂O, and 2% agar) in 100 mL distilled water. The solution was then homogenized with a magnetic stirrer and heated until being dissolved at a pH of 7. The solution was sterilized for 15 minutes at 121°C. Isolation was carried out using the spread-plate method. In the sample (fermented shrimp processing liquid waste), dilution was serially carried out up to 10⁻⁶. All dilutions were spread on chitin agar media and incubated at 37°C for 3 x 24 hours (Jeyanthi Rebecca et al. 2013; Saima et al. 2013).

The qualitative test results of chitinolytic isolate were characterized by a halo area (clear zone) around the colony. The isolates obtained were grown simultaneously by being recycled on chitin media by using a straight loop. Selection was carried out by measuring the chitinolytic index of each isolate (Kaur et al. 2012; Veliz et al. 2017). The chitinolytic index is the ratio of clear zone diameter and bacterial colony diameter as presented in the following formula:

\[
\text{CHITINOLYTIC INDEX} = \frac{\text{HALO ZONE DIAMETER}}{\text{BACTERIAL COLONY DIAMETER}}
\]

(Kaur et al. 2012; Soeka and Sulistiani 2012)

All chitinolytic isolates with the highest chitinolytic index value were purified and re-cultured by inoculating the isolates onto the chitin agar and nutrient agar (NA) slant as stock for further treatment and stored in the refrigerator at 4°C (Soeka and Sulistiani 2012).

**Colony macroscopic and microscopic observations of bacterial cells**

The observation of bacterial isolates was carried out according to Bergey et al. (1984) using microscope (Olympus CX43). All isolates of chitinase enzyme-producing bacteria were observed based on the colony macroscopic characteristics (size, shape, color, elevation, edges, and surface) and cell microscopic characteristics (cell shape, Gram properties, and endospore availability).

**Molecular identification of chitinolytic bacteria isolates**

Molecular identification was only carried out on two bacterial isolates with the highest chitinolytic index. These bacterial isolates were determined using a 16S-rRNA sequence. The molecular identification and analysis of 16S-rRNA gene were carried out through (Saima et al. 2013).

**DNA extraction**

DNA extraction in prokaryotic organisms is carried out through sample preparation, cell lysis, DNA binding, washing, and elution. The DNA extraction steps were based on Geneaid Presto™ Mini gDNA Bacteria Kit.

**Sample preparation**

One ise of bacterial samples were inserted into a sterile 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube containing 200 µL Gram (+) buffer added with lysozyme. This solution was homogenized by pipetting and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. The tube was vortexed after incubation and added with 20 µL Proteinas K and 200 µL Gram (-) buffer, then vortexed and re-incubated at 60°C for 10 minutes. Every 3 minutes, the tube was changed its position in the incubator.

**Cell lysis**

The 200 µL GB Buffer (Geneaid) was added to the solution, then vortexed and re-incubated at 50°C for 10 minutes. The tube that contained this solution was changed its position every 3 minutes.

**DNA binding**

The 200 µL 96% ethanol was added to the solution, and the solution was vortexed for 10 seconds. The mixture solution was transferred into a GD column (spin column) in 2 mL collection tube and centrifuged at 13,100 rpm for 2 minutes. Collection tube under the spin column was then removed and replaced with new collection tube.
**Washing**

The 400 µL W1 buffer (Geneaid) was added to the mixture solution and the mixture solution was centrifuged at 13,100 rpm for 30 seconds, then the supernatant in the collection tube was discarded. The 600 µL wash buffer was added to the mixture solution and the mixture solution was re-centrifuged, then the supernatant in the collection tube was discarded and re-centrifuged for 3 minutes. The collection tube was discarded and replaced with sterile microcentrifuge tube at the bottom of the spin column.

**Elution**

The 100 µL Elution buffer (Geneaid) was added into the mixture solution and stood for 3 minutes, then the mixture solution was centrifuged at the same speed for 1 minute. The DNA containing supernatant was stored in the microcentrifuge at 4°C as PCR template.

**PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) amplification**

PCR is an enzymatic synthesis process to multiply a specific nucleotide sequence in vitro (in the tube). The process included three stages; denaturation, annealing, and extension. This procedure was performed on isolated DNA samples. PCR mix was inserted into PCR tube. 2x KAPA2G Fast Ready Mix contained Taq DNA Polymerase, PCR buffer, MgCl₂, dNTP, and ddH₂O. The total volume of PCR Mix was 55 µL for each sample, which was then entered into the PCR machine. Amplification was performed using a PCR (Geneaid DN1100). For PCR amplification, the initial stage of pre-denaturation was at 95°C for 3 minutes, then denaturation was at 95°C for 10 seconds, annealing was at 55°C for 10 seconds, extension was at 72°C for 15 seconds in 35 cycles followed by a final extension at 72°C for 1 minute, which was then held at 4°C.

**Electrophoresis**

2% agarose was prepared by dissolving 2 g agarose in 100 mL 10X Tris borate EDTA and boiling until the agarose dissolved. 1 µL ethidium bromide (0.2 µg/mL) was added and placed in a gel mold fitted with a comb. After the agarose became solid at about 30 minutes, the agarose was moved into an electrophoresis tank containing a 0.5% TBE solution. The amplified DNA sample at 5 µL has then entered the tank to identify the PCR amplification product size. A 100 bp marker was inserted in the first well and followed by the amplified DNA sample. The electrodes were connected to the power supply and the electrodes were turned on for 40 minutes at 100 volts. The electrophoresis device was turned off then the gel from the device was taken. The gel was transferred to the UV-transilluminator (Geneaid DN1100) and the results were observed in a computer.

**Sequencing**

PCR samples contained 63F forward primer (5’-CAGGCCCTAACACATGCAAGTC-3’) and 1387R reverse primer (5’-GGGCGGTGTGTGTAACAGGC-3’). These samples were sent to PT. Genetics Science, Indonesia and to 1st BASE INT sequencing, Malaysia for base-pair mapping the base pairs. After that, these nucleotides were notified online in BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) program in NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) database. Sequences were aligned using the Clustal W program. Furthermore, phylogenetic tree construction was carried out using the neighbor-joining method with the MEGA 6 program.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Samples containing skin, head, and tail were taken from the shrimp shell processing factory waste in Makassar Industrial Area (KIMA), Indonesia. In this factory, skin, head, and tail of shrimp were thrown away. Chitinase in crustaceans has a function in the degradation of old cuticle (Taira et al. 2002).

**Chitinolytic bacteria**

The isolation and selection results of chitinolytic bacteria from shrimp processing waste obtained ten chitinolytic bacterial isolates as shown in Table 2.

This study found ten potential chitinolytic bacteria. Based on the data presented (Table 2), there was no correlation between Halo Zone Diameter, Bacterial Colony, and Chitinolytic Index. Furthermore, the highest chitinolytic index was found in KLA-2 (45) and KLA-4 (15). The KLA-2 and KLA-4 isolates were selected as potential chitinolytic bacteria. The chitinase activity of the two isolates can be seen in Figure 1.

### Table 1. Composition of PCR mix

| Reaction                        | µL |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Nuclease Free Water            | 20 |
| 2x KAPA2G Fast Ready Mix       | 25 |
| 63F (Forward primer)           | 2.5|
| 1387R (Reverse primer)         | 2.5|
| DNA template                   | 5  |
| Total PCR mix                  | 55 |

### Table 2. Halo zone diameter, bacterial colony, and chitinolytic index from several chitinolytic bacteria

| Isolate Code | Halo zone diameter (mm) | Bacterial colony diameter (mm) | Chitinolytic index |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| KLA-1        | 12                      | 8                             | 1.5               |
| KLA-2        | 45                      | 10                            | 4.5               |
| KLA-3        | 15                      | 8                             | 1.9               |
| KLA-4        | 75                      | 5                             | 15                |
| KLA-5        | 13                      | 5                             | 2.6               |
| KLA-7        | 7                       | 5                             | 1.4               |
| KLA-8        | 15                      | 13                            | 1.2               |
| KLA-9        | 11                      | 5                             | 2.2               |
| KLA-10       | 26                      | 7                             | 3.7               |
| KLA-14       | 9                       | 7                             | 1.3               |
The halo zone in Figure 1 represents the transparent color in the media due to the chitinase enzyme released into the media as a colorless metabolite, resulting in a clear zone formation around the bacterial colony (Noreen et al. 2018). Chitinase is an extracellular enzyme produced by chitinolytic bacteria which plays an essential role in hydrolyzing chitin (Asif et al. 2020). Extracellular enzymes are produced in cells and released into the growth medium (Z. Zhao et al. 2020).

From ten isolates, each colony with a clear zone diameter was measured its colony diameter using a ruler on the third day of incubation. The measurement results obtained can be seen in Table 2. The clear zone size depends on the various bacteria’s abilities to produce chitinase. The difference in chitin degradation rate can be indicated by the clear area’s appearance around the colony on agar containing chitin (Yavari-Bafghi et al. 2019). These differences may be due to small differences in the encoded genes, as only the chiI8D gene on Cellvibrio japonicus bacteria had fundamental roles in chitin degradation substrate (Monge et al. 2018).

This study used chitin agar as a selective medium to isolate and select chitinolytic bacteria, which contains colloidal chitin. Colloidal chitin is chitin dissolved in concentrated hydrochloric acid. Chitin agar is also a selective medium to obtain actinomycetes from water and soil (Hsu and Lockwood 1975), while colloidal chitin is chitin polymer degradation that contains chitin oligomer (Tran et al. 2019). Substrates that can be used for screening in chitin media to induce chitinolytic enzyme groups are exochitinase and endochitinase (Drewnowska et al. 2020). Screening method using chitin media was chosen due to quite practical, as capable of screening large amounts of chitinolytic isolates quickly, which can be presented either qualitatively or semi-quantitatively (Shamshina 2019).

**Colony macroscopic and microscopic observations of bacterial cells**

Macroscopic observations were directly carried out, while cell microscopic observations were carried out with Gram staining as a differential stain and endospore staining as a particular stain.

**Table 3. Macroscopic observation of chitinolytic bacterial isolate**

| Isolate code | Size   | Shape       | Color    | Elevation | Edge   | Surface           |
|--------------|--------|-------------|----------|-----------|--------|-------------------|
| KLA-1        | Moderate | Irregular   | Milky white | Flat     | Rhizoid | Smooth-shiny     |
| KLA-2        | Moderate | Irregular   | Milky white | Flat     | Rhizoid | Smooth-shiny     |
| KLA-3        | Moderate | Irregular   | Milky white | Flat     | Entire  | Rough             |
| KLA-4        | Moderate | Circular    | Milky white | Flat     | Entire  | Smooth-shiny     |
| KLA-5        | Moderate | Circular    | Milky white | Flat     | Entire  | Smooth-shiny     |
| KLA-6        | Moderate | Irregular   | Milky white | Flat     | Entire  | Smooth-shiny     |
| KLA-7        | Moderate | Irregular   | Milky white | Flat     | Entire  | Smooth-shiny     |
| KLA-8        | Large   | Filamentous | Milky white | Flat     | Rhizoid | Rough             |
| KLA-9        | Moderate | Circular    | Milky white | Flat     | Entire  | Smooth-shiny     |
| KLA-10       | Moderate | Circular    | Milky white | Flat     | Entire  | Smooth-shiny     |
| KLA-11       | Moderate | Filamentous | Milky white | Flat     | Rhizoid | Rough             |
Colonies that grew on agar plates were observed based on the size, color, transparency, edges, surface (elevation), and shape to obtain the bacterial colony macroscopic characteristics (Logan and Vos 2015).

The results obtained from the bacterial macroscopic observations of ten chitinolytic isolates can be seen in Table 3, while the results obtained from the microscopic observations of bacterial cells can be seen in Table 4. Colony macroscopic observations were performed in KLA-2 and KLA-4 isolates as potential isolates that have the highest chitinolytic index compared to other isolates. Microscopic observations of cells in KLA-2 and KLA-4 isolates had the same cell microscopic characteristics, namely, bacillus cell shape (rod), Gram-positive, and available endospore (Table 4, Figure 2).

According to Sizar et al. Hans Christian Gram created Gram staining method in 1884. Gram-positive bacteria had purplish-blue color after staining with crystal violet-iodine, although being added with bleaching solution of acetone alcohol. Meanwhile, Gram-negative color had red color as the complex dissolved after being added with acetone alcohol and Safranin stain. Color difference indicates that the structural difference in bacterial cell walls (Budin et al. 2012).

Molecular identification of chitinase enzyme-producing bacteria

From the electrophoresis results of two samples, there were bands that were separated and parallel to the markers of around 1,300 bp (Figure 3). This indicates that the amplified gene fragment was ± 1,300 bp in size, which means that the amplification process of two chitinolytic bacterial isolates was successful. The targets achieved were sufficient to identify these bacterial species.

The BLAST analysis results of two chitinolytic isolates in Figure 4 showed that the KLA-2 isolate species was Lysinibacillus fusiformis strain WH22 with a maximum score of 2124, query coverage of 94%, and the identity of 97%. Meanwhile, KLA-4 isolate species was the Brevibacillus reuszeri strain CMB-15 with a maximum score of 1958, query coverage of 89%, and identity of 95% (Figure 5).
Figure 4. BLAST analysis results for KLA-2 isolate

Figure 5. BLAST analysis results for KLA-4 isolate

Figure 6. The phylogenetic trees made from both isolates and relative species based on the results of 16S-rRNA analysis
After KLA-2 and KLA-4 isolates were identified, phylogenetic tree analysis was carried out to precisely construct the relationship between organisms and estimate the differences between one ancestor to the offspring (Amit Roy 2014). 16S-rRNA gene is widely used for phylogenetic tree construction because the nucleotide base sequence among rRNA molecules can be compared precisely (Amit Roy 2014; Woese 1987) and the diversity can be easily identified. Also, 16S-rRNA gene in bacteria has high similarity level presented in all prokaryotes (Tremblay et al. 2015; Woese 1987).

Phylogenetic tree analysis was performed in two stages using the Clustal W program combined with the MEGA 6 (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) program to identify the kinship level among species (Horiike 2016). The first stage used the Clustal W program to align the second sequence of chitinolytic bacterial isolates with GenBank sequences, which had similar sequence to KLA-2 and KLA-4 isolates. The MEGA 6 program then created its phylogenetic tree using the “Neighbor Joining” approach with 1000x bootstrap and p-distance method (Horiike 2016; Tamura et al. 2013).

Based on the phylogenetic analysis results in 16S-rRNA in Figure 6, the KLA-2 isolate was closely related to L. fusiformis strain WH22 with a genetic distance of 0.04 and a bootstrap value of 100. The greater the bootstrap value provided, the higher the bootstrap value produced. The reconstructed tree topology could also increase the trustworthiness level (Chang et al. 2019; Reddy et al. 2017). The KLA-4 isolate was closely related to B. reuszeri strain CMB-15 with a genetic distance of 0.07 and a bootstrap value of 100, compared to other types of bacteria in the GenBank.

**Lysinibacillus fusiformis**

*Lysinibacillus fusiformis* was initially known as *Bacillus fusiformis* before 2007, and then being transferred to *Lysinibacillus* genus due to different nucleotide sequence from *Bacillus* gene based on the molecular analysis of 16S-rRNA gene sequence alignments. The meaning of "lysine" in *Lysinibacillus* genus indicates lysine, alanine, glutamic acid, and aspartic acid in peptidoglycan layer cell wall (Ahmed et al. 2007).

R. K. Singh et al. (2013) successfully isolated chitinolytic bacteria from the rhizosphere of peanut plants in India; after being identified morphologically, biochemically, and molecularly. The chitinolytic bacteria based on 16S-rDNA gene was identified as *L. fusiformis* B-CM18. The chitinase enzyme purification with gel filtration and ion exchange with *Sephadex G-100* obtained a molecular weight of 20 kDa, a purity of 7.1, a specific chitinase activity of 0.22 U/mg, and an optimum temperature of 35°C. After finding the purified chitinase enzyme, an in-vitro antifungal activity test of chitinase crude extract against various plant pathogenic fungi. The highest inhibition zones were recorded against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Ciceri* (4.6 mm), *F. solani* (4.1 mm), *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (3.8 mm), and *Macrophomina phaseolina* (3.4 mm).

This study proves that *L. fusiformis* bacteria can produce chitinase enzyme to degrade chitin and assimilate chitin as carbon and nitrogen sources (Asif et al. 2020). Apart from being chitinolytic bacteria, the *L. fusiformis* bacteria can also produce protease enzymes (Verma et al. 2016), indole acetic acid, salysil acid, siderophores, which can dissolve phosphate, and can fixate nitrogen (Singh and Kumar 2013). These bacteria can also detoxify factory wastewater (He et al. 2011), transform isourogenol into vanilline (L. Zhao et al. 2016), degrade quorum-sensing molecules of N-acyl-homoserine lactone (Yang et al. 2015), and filter chlorobenzene gas (Z. X. Li et al. 2014) and become a biocontrol agent (Wang et al. 2013).

Rishad et al. (2017) found that *Lysinibacillus fusiformis* MCB-15 was one of the chitinolytic bacteria from the extraordinary conditions of mangrove environment. Meanwhile, the chitinolytic bacteria source in this study obtained from shrimp processing factory waste. The bacteria characteristics are greatly influenced by the environment (Cates 2012; More et al. 2014; Persat et al. 2015).

**Brevibacillus reuszeri**

*Brevibacillus reuszeri* was initially known as *Bacillus reuszeri* before 1996, before being transferred to *Brevibacillus* genus due to different nucleotide sequence from *Bacillus* genus based on 16S-rRNA gene sequence molecular analysis alignments (Shida et al. 1996). *B. reuszeri* is a motile Gram-positive bacterium with flagella and ellipsoidal spores. These bacteria have the bacil shape at about 0.5-0.9 µm to 2.0-5.0 µm. The colony formed is flat, smooth, circular, and even. These bacteria are obligate aerobic, which can utilize oxygen to metabolize various sugars and other carbohydrates (Johnson and Dunlap 2019).

Prasanna et al. (2013) succeeded to isolate new strains of bacteria belonging to *Brevibacillus* genus from mangrove swamp-lands in India, which showed entomopathogenic and chitinolytic activities, namely, *B. laterosporus*.

Several studies have reported the ability of *B. reuszeri* bacteria, including capable of producing extracellular metalloprotease/thermolysin inhibitors (Kobayashi et al. 2004) to produce L-methionine-N-carbamoylase as potential biocatalyst for L-amino acid production (Nandanwar et al. 2013), phosphate dilution, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) (Prasad et al. 2019; Prasad et al. 2019; Yildirim et al. 2011), and antimicrobial bioactive compound production (Gholizadeh et al. 2013; Yang and Yousef 2018).

This study provides new evidence of *B. reuszeri* as chitinolytic bacteria. Although Gurkok (2016) has found *B. reuszeri* as chitinolytic bacteria in marine and terrestrial environments, the *B. reuszeri* identified in this study was obtained from the shrimp processing factory waste with different physical and characterization conditions based on the environmental difference. The nature of bacteria mainly depends on the environmental conditions (Cates 2012; More et al. 2014; Persat et al. 2015).

Ghorbel (2012) successfully isolated chitin from heads, thoraxes, and appendices of shrimp (Metapeneaus
monoceros). Meanwhile, Benhabiles (2012) successfully isolated chitin from shrimp (Parapeneaus longirostris) shell waste in restaurants. In this study, chitin was also successfully isolated from the shrimp processing factory waste, containing head, tail, and skin. This factory used many kinds of shrimp waste as chitinolytic bacteria sources, which is beneficial to prevent the side effect of waste toward the environment, for sustainable environment.
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