Investigation of Global Methylation in Peripheral Blood from Breast Cancer Patients
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Abstract

Breast cancer is the most frequent malignancy with high mortality among woman around the world. Global DNA methylation has been investigated by multiple studies and suggested as a screening biomarker for cancer. DNA methylation for two repetitive elements, LINE1 and Alu, was investigated in whole blood DNA from 229 breast cancer patients and 151 controls by using MassARRAY Epityper assay. Results showed that the mean methylation level of investigated CpG sites of LINE1 in peripheral blood from breast cancer patients was lower than that in controls (P=8.78E-06), especially for one specific CpG site (LINE1_CpG_1 with P=3.64E-10). ROC curve analysis of LINE1_CpG_1 methylation and LINE1 mean methylation was used to estimate the potential clinical utility of LINE1 methylation, area under the curve (AUC) was 0.73 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.68-0.79) and 0.68 (95% CI: 0.62-0.74), respectively. In addition, the highest increased risk was observed in the lowest quartile of LINE1_Cpg_1 methylation and LINE1 mean methylation (odds ratio (OR)=38.47 and 5.94; 95% CI: 8.77-168.64 and 2.94-11.98; P for trend=1.42E-07 and 1.33E-05 respectively). For Alu, significant hypomethylation of Alu_CpG_13 and Alu_Cpg_14 in peripheral blood of breast cancer cases compared to controls was observed (P=0.002 and P=0.006). For the combined analysis, the AUC of the 10 most important CpG sites of LINE1 and Alu is 0.77 (95% CI: 0.72-0.83). Our study indicates that hypomethylation of specific CpG sites in LINE1 and Alu elements in peripheral blood DNA could be potential biomarkers for breast cancer risk. Further multicenter prospective studies are needed to verify these results.
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Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is one of the most common cancers and the leading cause of cancer death among women in the worldwide. Although screening mammography is critical for the declined mortality of breast cancer, the limitations of mammography are well recognized, especially for young women with a high mammographic density of breasts [1,2]. Therefore, other approaches are urgently needed for breast cancer early detection.

DNA methylation is a type of epigenetic alterations which plays an important role in cancer development [3]. Promoter hypermethylation of tumor suppressor genes and global hypomethylation leading to malignancy have been studied extensively in different cancer types [4]. Global DNA hypomethylation is a hallmark of most cancers [5-9], including breast cancer [10-14]. This DNA hypomethylation has been proposed to activate oncogenes [15,16], induce genomic instability [17] and promote chromosome instability [7,18-21].

Genome-wide DNA hypomethylation originates from the decrease of 5-methyldeoxycytosine (5-mdC) in dinucleotide CpG sites throughout the genome. As most 5-mdC sites are rich in repetitive sequences that account for approximately half of the human genome and those repetitive DNA sequences are highly methylated in normal cells [7,22,23]. There are several different categories of repetitive sequences dispersed throughout the genome, such as long interspersed nuclear elements, short interspersed nuclear elements and satellite repeats. LINE1, a long interspersed nuclear element, is scattered throughout about 17% of the entire genome [24,25]. Alu is a short interspersed repetitive sequence that contributes almost 11% of the human genome [26]. Some studies found that the loss of DNA methylation for elements LINE1, Alu and Sat2 has been observed in cancer cells [22,27].

The DNA methylation of repetitive elements has been associated with global DNA methylation used as a biomarker for global methylation status by some investigators [28]. Furthermore, global hypomethylation in peripheral blood DNA has been considered as a risk factor for many tumors, such as colorectal, bladder and head and neck cancer [29-32].

In this study, we examined the methylation status of LINE1 and Alu in whole blood DNA of breast cancer cases and controls by using MassARRAY Epityper assay in order to find a potential blood-based biomarker for BC detection.
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Materials and Methods

Study population

Our study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital in Heidelberg. All samples of BC cases and healthy controls were Caucasian and obtained from the same region in southwest Germany. All enrolled patients and controls have given informed consent. Blood samples of sporadic BC patients are from the Genome study in our research group and were collected at the time-point of diagnosis before any treatment at University Hospital of Heidelberg. Clinical parameters of BC patients were confirmed according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging manual [33]. Detailed characteristics of BC cases are shown in Supplementary Table S1. Additionally, control blood samples come from our biomarker study, which were obtained from blood donors by the university hospital of Heidelberg. All the controls were healthy when donating blood and no one had a family history of BC. All blood samples were collected during the period from 2011 to 2014. A total of 229 sporadic BC cancer patients and 151 healthy controls were randomly selected for this study (Table 1).

DNA isolation and bisulfite conversion

DNA from whole blood samples (200 µl) was extracted by using QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. DNA quality and quantity were measured by the NanoDrop ND-1000 UV/Vis-Spectrophotometer 3.3 (peqlab, Erlangen, Germany). Aliquots of DNA (500 ng) were bisulfite-treated with the EZ-96 DNA methylation Gold kit (Zymo Research Corporation, Orange, USA) according to the description of the manufacturer.

Primer design and PCR amplification

The online tool, "epidesigner" (http://www.epidesigner.com/start3.html), was used for the primer design. The PCR primers for LINE1 and Alu and their amplicon sequences were shown in Supplementary Tables S2. PCR reaction was performed in a total volume of 6 µl. PCR reaction components included 10 ng/µl bisulfite-treated DNA, 10 × CoralLoad Buffer(Qiagen), 10 mM dNTPs, 1 µM of each (forward and reverse) primer (Sigma), and 5 U HotStar Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen, Valencia CA). The touch-down PCR profile was 95°C for 5 minutes, denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, primer annealing from 59°C to 53°C for 30 seconds, a final extension at 72°C for 1 minute, then 72°C for 5 minutes, 4°C for infinite. 1% agarose gel was used for electrophoresis and visualized under ultraviolet light.

Methylation analysis

The Sequenom MassARRAY EpiTyper assay was used for methylation analysis as described previously [34]. The PCR products were dealt with succeeding procedures according to the protocol of Sequenom MassARRAY EpiTyper Assay and cleaned by Resin. Then were dealt with succeeding procedures according as the protocol of to inspect PCR products and visualized under ultraviolet light. 5 minutes, 4°C for infinite. 1% agarose gel was used for electrophoresis and visualized under ultraviolet light. 53°C for 30 seconds, a final extension at 72°C for 1 minute, then 72°C for 5 minutes, 4°C for infinite. 1% agarose gel was used for electrophoresis and visualized under ultraviolet light.

The Sequenom MassARRAY EpiTyper assay was used for methylation analysis as described previously [34]. The PCR products were dealt with succeeding procedures according to the protocol of Sequenom MassARRAY EpiTyper Assay and cleaned by Resin. Then 8-15 nl of cleavage reaction was transferred to a 384 SpectroCHIP by using the Nanodispenser (SEQUENOM). The chip was analyzed with the MassARRAY (SEQUENOM). The mass spectra were collected from the manufacturer’s recommendations. DNA quality and quantity were measured by the NanoDrop ND-1000 UV/Vis-Spectrophotometer 3.3 (peqlab, Erlangen, Germany). Aliquots of DNA (500 ng) were bisulfite-treated with the EZ-96 DNA methylation Gold kit (Zymo Research Corporation, Orange, USA) according to the description of the manufacturer.

| Gene | Sample Types | Group | Number | Age (y) Mean ± SD |
|------|--------------|-------|--------|------------------|
| LINE1 | Peripheral blood DNA | Sporadic BC cases | 229 | 48.31 ± 8.09 |
| Alu | Peripheral blood DNA | Sporadic BC cases | 229 | 48.31 ± 8.09 |

Table 1: Sample information.
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Results

Hypomethylation of repetitive elements in BC patients

LINE1: DNA methylation levels in two repetitive elements, LINE1 and Alu, were compared in 229 BC patients and 151 healthy controls. 11 CpG sites were measured in the amplicon of LINE1 (Table 2). We observed significant hypomethylation of seven CpG sites, LINE1_CpG_1, LINE1_CpG_3, LINE1_CpG_5, LINE1_CpG_9, LINE1_CpG_12, LINE1_CpG_14, in peripheral blood of BC patients. Their median methylation levels, interquartile ranges (IQRs) and the P values were shown in Table 2. The mean methylation level of all investigated CpG sites of LINE1 was also significantly lower in peripheral blood of BC cases than that in healthy controls (median of mean methylation level in BC cases=0.85 (IQR=0.84-0.86) compared to controls 0.86 (IQR=0.85-0.88), with adjusted P=8.78E-06).

In Figure 1, the methylation levels of the seven significant CpG sites of LINE1 were plotted for the BC cases and controls. Although all of these CpG sites revealed significant results, the methylation levels of these CpG sites showed quite similar. The biggest difference was shown in the median methylation levels between BC cases and controls for

| CpG site | BC Cases median (IQR), n=227 | Controls median (IQR), n=151 | P value* | Adjusted P value* |
|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------|
| LINE1_CpG_1 | 0.76 (0.74-0.79) | 0.80 (0.76-0.82) | 4.04E-11 | 3.64E-10 |
| LINE1_CpG_2 | 0.92 (0.91-0.94) | 0.93 (0.91-0.94) | 0.143 | 0.057 |
| LINE1_CpG_3,5 | 0.88 (0.86-0.89) | 0.88 (0.87-0.90) | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| LINE1_CpG_9 | 0.87 (0.86-0.88) | 0.88 (0.87-0.90) | 4.71E-04 | 0.001 |
| LINE1_CpG_12 | 0.71 (0.69-0.74) | 0.74 (0.71-0.77) | 1.21E-07 | 4.09E-07 |
| LINE1_CpG_14 | 0.90 (0.89-0.91) | 0.91 (0.90-0.92) | 3.94E-09 | 8.19E-09 |
| LINE1_CpG_15 | 0.94 (0.78-0.98) | 0.90 (0.80-0.98) | 0.416 | 0.927 |
| LINE1_CpG_16,17 | 0.88 (0.90-0.91) | 0.88 (0.90-0.91) | 0.056 | 0.199 |
| Mean | 0.85 (0.84-0.86) | 0.86 (0.85-0.88) | 2.14E-06 | 8.78E-06 |

Abbreviations: IQR: Interquartile Range
*P value for the difference between controls and patients was analyzed by Mann-Whitney U test and adjusted by Bonferroni-Holm method α=0.0056; P value was calculated by logistic regression and adjusted by age. Significant P values are in bold (P<0.05).

Table 2: Comparison of DNA methylation in LINE1 amplicon between breast cancer patients and controls in peripheral blood.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS statistics 24.0 and R 3.4.0. The nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis H test were used for all univariable comparisons. Multivariable logistic regression models were calculated to evaluate the association between LINE1 and Alu methylation and breast cancer risk. For this, the methylation level of one or more CpG sites was entered either as a raw beta value, or categorized into four quartile groups based on the distribution among controls. In all cases age was included as a covariable. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to display sensitivity and specificity and the corresponding area under the curve (AUC) was calculated using the R package “pROC”. To account for overfitting, additional corrected estimates of the AUC were calculated using the “0.632+” bootstrap technique (R package “Daim”). Variable selection models were calculated using the “step AIC” function from the R package “Mass”. The significance level for all analyses was set as P<0.05.
LINE1_CpG_1 (median methylation level in BC cases = 0.76 (IQR=0.74-0.79) compared to controls 0.80 (IQR=0.76-0.82), with adjusted P=3.64E-10) and LINE1_CpG_12 (median methylation level in BC cases=0.71 (IQR=0.69-0.74) compared to controls 0.74 (IQR=0.71-0.77), with adjusted P=4.09E-07). The lowest P value was observed for LINE1_CpG_1 with adjusted P = 3.64E-10 (Table 2). As especially LINE1_CpG_1 showed a strong association with BC, we also calculated the diagnostic AUC for this single CpG site through a ROC analysis.

ROC curve analysis of LINE1_CpG_1 methylation and LINE1 mean methylation (Figure 2) was used to estimate the potential clinical utility of LINE1 methylation, AUC was 0.73 (95% CI: 0.68-0.79) and 0.68 (95% CI: 0.62-0.74) respectively.

Moreover, in the quartile analysis we observed that patients in the lowest methylation quartile of LINE1_CpG_1 have the highest OR value of 38.47 (95% CI: 8.77-168.64) compared to the highest quartile. P for trend was 1.42E-07 for LINE1_CpG_1 methylation in Table 3.

Similarly, as shown in Table 4, an increased risk was found in the lower quartiles compared to the highest quartile of LINE1 mean methylation (OR=5.94, 4.44 and 3.90; 95% CI=2.94-11.98, 2.13-9.28 and 1.84-8.24 respectively; P for trend=1.33E-05).

Alu: For Alu, we investigated 11 CpG sites in the amplicon and found a significant difference in methylation of Alu_CpG_13 and Alu_CpG_14 in peripheral blood between cases and controls (Table 5) with adjusted P=0.002 and 0.006 respectively (median of methylation level for Alu_CpG_13=0.63 in cases compared to controls 0.65, Alu_CpG_14=0.63 in cases compared to controls 0.66). All other investigated CpG sites did not show significant differences between BC cases and controls. The box plot and ROC curve of Alu_CpG_13 and Alu_CpG_14 were shown in Supplementary Figures 1 and 2 respectively with AUC=0.67 (95% CI: 0.61-0.74) and 0.67 (95% CI: 0.61-0.73).

In addition, as shown in Table 6, we found an increased risk in the first two lower quartiles compared with the highest quartile of the
methylations in Alu_CpG_13 (OR=2.50 and 2.10; 95% CI: 1.25-5.02 and 1.05-4.19 respectively; P for trend=0.002). Contrarily, as shown in Supplementary Tables S3 and S4, no increased risk was found between the lower quartiles and the highest quartile of Alu_CpG_14 methylation with P for trend=0.08.

**Combination**

Finally, we did a multiple logistic regression analysis including all CpG sites from both repetitive elements plus age (Supplementary Table S5). For this calculation, Alu_CpG_7 and Alu_CpG_15,16,17 were excluded because of the large number of missing values. Both of these CpGs were not noticeable in the univariate analysis. Again, LINE1_CpG_1 was dominant, but different other CpGs contributed additional information. Furthermore, the effect of Alu_CpG_13 was no longer significant. Performing a ROC analysis on this model, an AUC of 0.78 (95% CI: 0.72-0.83) was observed (Supplementary Figure 3). The "0.632+" corrected AUC was 0.74.

If only the two most promising univariate candidates LINE1_CpG_1 and Alu_CpG_13 plus age were included (Supplementary Table S6), Alu_CpG_13 still lost significance and an AUC of 0.73 (95% CI: 0.68-0.79, corrected AUC=0.73) was observed (Supplementary Figure 4).

We also calculated a model with the 10 most important CpG sites plus age (Table 7). The AUC was 0.77 (95% CI=0.72-0.83, Cross-Validation AUC=0.75) (Figure 3).

**Correlation of LINE1 and Alu methylation with clinical characteristics of BC patients**

We evaluated the association of the methylation levels of these two repetitive elements with clinical features of breast cancer patients. Overall, there were no significant associations between the methylation levels of most CpG sites in LINE1 and Alu and the different clinical characteristics. Further studies are needed to discover the association between blood DNA methylation and clinicopathological parameters (Supplementary Tables S7 and S8).

**Comparison of the results from this study with the results of Infinium Human Methylation450 BeadChip array and with literature**

As the methylation level of repetitive elements is thought to reflect the average methylation level of genomic DNA, we compared the mean methylation of LINE1 and Alu in Sequenom MassARRAY EpiTyper data with the mean of all the CpG sites in 450 K methylation array. In the epigenome-wide 450 K methylation data, we observed the mean methylation level was lower in peripheral blood of patients compared to controls but the P value was not statistically significant (0.523 ± 0.28 and 0.524 ± 0.24 for cases and controls, respectively, P=0.09 (Table 8). In our here presented study, the mean methylation level of LINE1 was significantly lower in peripheral blood DNA of BC cases than that in controls (median mean methylation level in BC cases=0.85 (IQR=0.84-0.86) compared to controls 0.86 (IQR=0.85-0.88), with P=2.1E-06). However, the mean methylation of Alu did not show a significant difference between BC patients and healthy controls (Table 8).

**Discussion**

In this study, we found statistically significant LINE1 hypomethylation in the peripheral blood DNA of sporadic breast cancer patients compared with healthy controls, especially for LINE1_CpG_1. We identified LINE1_CpG_1 methylation to be strongly associated with breast cancer. For Alu, we observed that one single CpG sites was significantly hypomethylated in the peripheral blood DNA from breast cancer cases compared to controls. Furthermore, our results showed that the decreased methylation level of LINE1_CpG_1 was associated with an increased breast cancer risk. Similar to the discovery studied by DeRoo et al, quartiles of LINE1 methylation levels were associated with the risk of breast cancer, with an increased risk observed in the lowest quartile compared with those in the highest quartile [35].

However, other studies found that there were no significant differences in the methylation levels of LINE1 and Alu in peripheral blood DNA between breast cancer cases and healthy controls, measured by different detection methods including pyrosequencing, methylLight and COBRA assays [36-41]. But some studies assessed LINE1 methylation by different detection methods including pyrosequencing, methylLight and COBRA assays [36-41]. However, other studies found that there were no significant differences in the methylation levels of LINE1 and Alu in peripheral blood DNA between breast cancer cases and healthy controls, measured by different detection methods including pyrosequencing, methylLight and COBRA assays [36-41].

In this study, we found statistically significant LINE1 hypomethylation in the peripheral blood DNA of sporadic breast cancer patients compared with healthy controls, especially for LINE1_CpG_1. We identified LINE1_CpG_1 methylation to be strongly associated with breast cancer. For Alu, we observed that one single CpG sites was significantly hypomethylated in the peripheral blood DNA from breast cancer cases compared to controls. Furthermore, our results showed that the decreased methylation level of LINE1_CpG_1 was associated with an increased breast cancer risk. Similar to the discovery studied by DeRoo et al, quartiles of LINE1 methylation levels were associated with the risk of breast cancer, with an increased risk observed in the lowest quartile compared with those in the highest quartile [35].

**Comparison of the results from this study with the results of Infinium Human Methylation450 BeadChip array and with literature**

As the methylation level of repetitive elements is thought to reflect the average methylation level of genomic DNA, we compared the mean methylation of LINE1 and Alu in Sequenom MassARRAY EpiTyper data with the mean of all the CpG sites in 450 K methylation array. In the epigenome-wide 450 K methylation data, we observed the mean methylation level was lower in peripheral blood of patients compared to controls but the P value was not statistically significant (0.523 ± 0.28 and 0.524 ± 0.24 for cases and controls, respectively, P=0.09 (Table 8). In our here presented study, the mean methylation level of LINE1 was significantly lower in peripheral blood DNA of BC cases than that in controls (median mean methylation level in BC cases=0.85 (IQR=0.84-0.86) compared to controls 0.86 (IQR=0.85-0.88), with P=2.1E-06). However, the mean methylation of Alu did not show a significant difference between BC patients and healthy controls (Table 8).

**Discussion**

In this study, we found statistically significant LINE1 hypomethylation in the peripheral blood DNA of sporadic breast cancer patients compared with healthy controls, especially for LINE1_CpG_1. We identified LINE1_CpG_1 methylation to be strongly associated with breast cancer. For Alu, we observed that one single CpG sites was significantly hypomethylated in the peripheral blood DNA from breast cancer cases compared to controls. Furthermore, our results showed that the decreased methylation level of LINE1_CpG_1 was associated with an increased breast cancer risk. Similar to the discovery studied by DeRoo et al, quartiles of LINE1 methylation levels were associated with the risk of breast cancer, with an increased risk observed in the lowest quartile compared with those in the highest quartile [35].

However, other studies found that there were no significant differences in the methylation levels of LINE1 and Alu in peripheral blood DNA between breast cancer cases and healthy controls, measured by different detection methods including pyrosequencing, methylLight and COBRA assays [36-41]. But some studies assessed LINE1 methylation by different detection methods including pyrosequencing, methylLight and COBRA assays [36-41]. However, other studies found that there were no significant differences in the methylation levels of LINE1 and Alu in peripheral blood DNA between breast cancer cases and healthy controls, measured by different detection methods including pyrosequencing, methylLight and COBRA assays [36-41].
samples [43]. Generally, peripheral blood samples from epidemiological studies always comprise mixed cell populations, and it is not feasible or practical for fractionation of cell populations in an epidemiological study setting [37].

Conclusion and Limitations

Our study had some potential limitations. Many factors such as lifestyle, environmental exposures [44, 45] and even genetic susceptibility can affect methylation signatures. In one study, LINE1 methylation in leukocyte DNA was lower in persons who smoked blood tobacco than non-smokers and was inversely associated with arsenic toenail concentration [43]. These factors were not investigated in our study and should be taken into account in future studies. In addition, we did not investigate the whole Cpg sites of LINE1 and Alu and was inversely associated with arsenic toenail concentration [43]. Generally, peripheral blood samples from epidemiological studies always comprise mixed cell populations, and it is not feasible or practical for fractionation of cell populations in an epidemiological study setting [37].

In summary, this study indicates that hypomethylation of specific Cpg sites in Alu and especially in LINE1 elements in peripheral blood DNA may be the potential biomarkers for breast cancer risk.

Acknowledgement

We thank Sabine Serick and Yanxiang Jiang for their technical support. This study was supported by the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and University Women’s Clinic, Heidelberg. Xue Cao was financed by the China Scholarship Council (CSC).

References

1. Elmore JG, Barton MB, Moceri VM, Polk S, Arena PJ, et al. (1998) Ten-year risk of false positive screening mammograms and clinical breast examinations. N Engl J Med 338: 1089-1096.
2. Alagariathnam TT, Wong J (1985) Limitations of mammography in Chinese females. Clin Radiol 36: 175-177.
3. Jones PA, Baylin SB (2002) The fundamental role of epigenetic events in cancer. Nat Rev Genet 3: 415-428.
4. Multirangura A (2007) Quantitative PCR analysis for methylation level of genome: Clinical implications in cancer. Asian Biomedicine 1: 121-125.
5. Wilson AS, Power BE, Molloy PL (2007) DNA hypomethylation and human diseases. Biochim Biophys Acta 1775: 138-162.
6. Ehrlich M (2002) DNA methylation in cancer: Too much, but also too little. Oncogene 21: 5400-5413.
7. Alagaratnam TT, Wong J (1985) Limitations of mammography in Chinese females. Clin Radiol 36: 175-177.
8. Feinberg AP, Tycko B (2004) The history of cancer epigenetics. Nat Rev Cancer 4: 1225-1231.
9. Feinberg AP, Ohlsson R, Henikoff S (2006) The epigenetic progenitor origin of human cancer. Nat Rev Genet 7: 21-33.
10. Ehrlich M (2002) DNA methylation in cancer: Too much, but also too little. Oncogene 21: 5400-5413.
11. Feinberg AP, Tycko B (2004) The history of cancer epigenetics. Nat Rev Cancer 4: 1225-1231.
12. Scoates J, Pinto AE, Cunha CV, André S, Barão I, et al. (1999) Global DNA hypomethylation in breast carcinoma: Correlation with prognostic factors and tumor progression. Cancer 85: 112-118.
13. Bernardino J, Roux C, Almeida A, Vogt N, Gibaud A, et al. (1997) DNA hypomethylation in breast cancer: An independent parameter of tumor progression? Cancer Genet Cytogenet 97: 83-89.
14. Gama-Sosa MA, Stagli V, Trewyn RW, Oxenhandler R, Kuo KC, et al. (1983) The 5-methylcytosine content of DNA from human tumors. Nucleic Acids Res 11: 6883-6894.
15. Jackson K, Yuc MC, Arakawa K, Fiala E, Youn B, et al. (2004) DNA hypomethylation is prevalent even in low-grade breast cancers. Cancer Biol Ther 3: 1225-1231.
16. Esteller M, Fraig MF, Guo M, Garcia-Foncillas J, Hedenfalk I, et al. (2001) DNA methylation patterns in hereditary human cancers mimic sporadic tumorigenesis. Hum Mol Genet 10: 3001-3007.
15. Feinberg AP, Vogelstein B (1983) Hypomethylation distinguishes genes of some human cancers from their normal counterparts. Nature 301: 89-92.

16. Holliday R, Pugh JE (1975) DNA modification mechanisms and gene activity during development. Science 187: 226-32.

17. Gaudet F, Hodgson JG, Eden A, Jackson-Grusby L, Dausman J, et al. (2003) Induction of tumors in mice by genomic hypomethylation. Science 300: 489-492.

18. Robertson KD (2001) DNA methylation, methyltransferases, and cancer. Oncogene 20: 3139-3155.

19. Clark SJ, Melki J (2002) DNA methylation and gene silencing in cancer: Which is the guilty party? Oncogene 21: 5380-5387.

20. Karpf AR, Matsui S (2005) Genetic disruption of cytosine DNA methyltransferase enzymes induces chromosomal instability in human cancer cells. Cancer Res 65: 8635-8639.

21. Frühwald MC, Plass C (2002) Global and gene-specific methylation patterns in cancer: Aspects of tumor biology and clinical potential. Mol Genet Metab 75: 1-16.

22. Hoffmann MJ, Schulz WA (2005) Causes and consequences of DNA hypomethylation in human cancer. Biochem Cell Biol 83: 296-321.

23. Prak ET, Kazazian HH Jr. (2000) Mobile elements and the human genome. Nat Rev Genet 1: 134-144.

24. Kazazian HH Jr., Moran JV (1998) The impact of L1 retrotransposons on the human genome. Nature 409: 860-921.

25. Yoder JA, Walsh CP, Bestor TH (1997) Cytosine methylation and the ecology of intragenomic parasites. Trends Genet 13: 335-340.

26. Weisenberger DJ, Campan M, Long TI, Kim M, Woods C, et al. (2005) Analysis of repetitive element DNA methylation by MethyLight. Nucleic Acids Res 33: 6823-6836.

27. Lim U, Flood A, Choi SW, Albanes D, Cross AJ, et al. (2008) Genomic methylation of leukocyte DNA in relation to colorectal adenoma among asymptomatic women. Gastroenterology 134: 47-55.

28. Moore LE, Pfeiffer RM, Poscablo C, Real FX, Kogevasin M, et al. (2008) Genomic DNA hypomethylation as a biomarker for bladder cancer susceptibility in the Spanish Bladder Cancer Study: a case-control study. Lancet Oncol 9: 359-366.

29. Hsiung DT, Marsit CJ, Houseman EA, Eddy K, Furniss CS, et al. (2007) Global DNA methylation level in whole blood as a biomarker in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 16: 108-114.

30. Pufulete M, Al-Ghnnaniem R, Leather AJ, Appleby P, Gout S, et al. (2003) Folate status, genomic DNA hypomethylation, and risk of colorectal adenoma and cancer: A case control study. Gastroenterology 124: 1240-1248.

31. Edge SB, Compton CC (2010) The American Joint Committee on Cancer: The 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual and the future of TNM. Ann Surg Oncol 17: 1471-1474.

32. Tang Q, Holland-Letz T, Slyno R, Cuk K, Morante F, et al. (2016) DNA methylation array analysis identifies breast cancer associated RPTOR, MGRN1 and RAPSN hypomethylation in peripheral blood DNA. Oncotarget 7: 64191-64202.

33. Derou LA, Bolick SC, Xu Z, Umbach DM, Shore D, et al. (2014) Global DNA methylation and one-carbon metabolism gene polymorphisms and the risk of breast cancer in the Sister Study. Carcinogenesis 35: 333-338.

34. Brennan K, García-Closas M, Orr N, Fletcher O, Jones M, et al. (2012) Intragenic ATM methylation in peripheral blood DNA as a biomarker of breast cancer risk. Cancer Res 72: 2304-2313.

35. Xu X, Gammon MD, Hernandez-Vargas H, Herzig C, Wetumur JG, et al. (2012) DNA methylation in peripheral blood measured by LUMA is associated with breast cancer in a population-based study. Faseb J 26: 2657-2666.

36. Choi JY, James SR, Link PA, McCann SE, Hong CC, et al. (2009) Association between global DNA hypomethylation in leukocytes and risk of breast cancer. Carcinogenesis 30: 1899-1897.

37. Kitkumthorn N, Tuangsiatanakul T, Rattanatanyong P, Tiwawech D, Mutirangura A (2012) LINE-1 methylation in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells of cancer patients. Clin Chim Acta 413: 869-874.

38. Cho YH, Yazici H, Wu HC, Terry MB, Gonzalez K, et al. (2010) Aberrant promoter hypermethylation and genomic hypomethylation in tumor, adjacent normal tissues and blood from breast cancer patients. Anticancer Res 30: 2489-2496.

39. Wu HC, Delgado-Cruzata L, Flom JD, Perrin M, Liao Y, et al. (2012) Replicative element DNA methylation levels in white blood cell DNA from sisters discordant for breast cancer from the New York site of the Breast Cancer Family Registry. Carcinogenesis 33: 1946-1952.

40. Tang Q, Cheng J, Cao X, Surowy H, Burwinkel B (2016) Blood-based DNA methylation as biomarker for breast cancer: A systematic review. Clin Epigenetics 8: 115.

41. Tajuddin SM, Amaral AF, Fernández AF, Rodríguez-Rodero S, Rodríguez RM, et al. (2013) Genetic and non-genetic predictors of LINE-1 methylation in leukocyte DNA. Environ Health Perspect 121: 650-655.

42. Christensen BC, Houseman EA, Marsit CJ, Zheng S, Wrensch MR, et al. (2009) Aging and environmental exposures alter tissue-specific DNA methylation dependent upon CpG island context. PLoS Genet 5: e1000602.

43. Teschendorff AE, Menon U, Gentry-Maharaj A, Ramus SJ, Weisenberger DJ, et al. (2010) Age-dependent DNA methylation of genes that are suppressed in stem cells is a hallmark of cancer. Genome Res 20: 440-446.