Antioxidant Compounds from The Stem Bark of Syzygium samarangense L
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ABSTRACT. Ogan ethnic community in South Sumatera has been used a decoction of the stem bark of Syzygium samarangense L (locally named “jambu air” in Indonesia) for hypertension medicine. Hypertension is a degenerative disease caused by free radical activity in the body. This study aims to scientifically prove the use of a decoction of the stem bark of S. samarangense so that its use by the Ogan ethnic community can be justified. The research began with fractionation of the stem bark by gradient solvents, and each fraction was tested for antioxidant activity. Isolation of antioxidant compounds from active fractions was carried out by chromatographic techniques. Antioxidant tests on fractions and pure compounds were carried out by the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) method. Based on spectroscopic data analysis and by comparing with literature, the pure compounds were identified as quercetin and gallic acid. Both of these compounds have strong antioxidant activity with IC₅₀ quercetin 11.16 and gallic acid 11.43 µg/mL. This research proves that the use of the stem bark of S. samarangense for a degenerative disease by the Ogan ethnic community contains the truth.
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INTRODUCTION

An ethnomedicine survey conducted on the Ogan ethnic community in South Sumatra found that the stem bark of S. samarangense was used to treat hypertension. The use of the stem bark of S. samarangense as an antihypertension is related to the presence of antioxidant compounds found in these plants. The role of antioxidant compounds in reducing blood pressure for hypertensive patients is through inhibiting the work of enzymes that trigger an increase in blood pressure (Figueiredo et al., 2017; Loperena & Harrison, 2017). In addition, the role of antioxidant compounds such as flavonoids as hypertension drugs is explained by their mechanism of binding of metal from hydroxyl groups at positions 3, 5, 7 and 3'; 4' which can reduce systemic vascular resistance and the average blood pressure of diastoles and systole at various conditions of hypertension (Kizhakekuttu & Widiarsari, 2010; Widiarsari, 2018).

Five species selected from Syzygium (S. aqueum, S. cumini, S. jambos, S. malaccense, and S. samarangense) from the leaves and stems were the best phenolic and flavonoid sources (Saxena, Saxena, Nema, Singh, & Gupta, 2013; Chikezie, Ibegbulem, & Mbogwu, 2015; Shyamapada and Manisha, 2015; Nadeem, Abbasi, Younas, Ahmad, & Khan 2017; Sheela and Cheenickal (2017). The ethanol extract of S. samarangense leaves was reported to contain myricetin 3-oxylosil- (1 → 2) rhamnoside, quercetin 3-oxylosil- (1 → 2) rhamnoside, gallic acid, and ethyl acetate extract containing 5, 7-dihydroxy 6,8-dimethyl flavanone. Furthermore, two hydrolyzed tannins were isolated from leaf extracts and showed potential anticancer activity in vitro, and four antioxidant compounds, namely dihydrochalcone, mirigalon G, mirigalon B, and phloretin 4-o-methyl (Yang et al., 2018; Jayasinghe, Ratnayake, Medawals, & Fujimoto 2007). In Taiwan, S. samarangense flowers were used for therapeutic drugs for fever and diarrhea containing desmetoximateukinol and 5-o-methyl-4 '-desmetoksmiateukinol (Khandakar & Boyce, 2016).

The chemical compounds report of the stem bark of S. samarangense is still limited. Gayen, Hossain, Saiuzzaman, & Faroque (2016) reported the chemical content of ethanol extract from the stem bark of S. samarangense through phytochemical tests, namely tannins, flavonoids, saponins, and alkaloids. Naturally, chemical compounds found in every part of the plant such as bark, leaves, flowers, roots, fruits, and grains.
will show almost the same biological activity. In this paper, the stages of isolation of antioxidant compounds from the stem bark of S. samarangense are described. Obtaining these antioxidant compounds will prove the truth of the use of medicinal plants by an ethnic community and can also be developed as a source of potential antioxidant compounds in the future.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials and Instrumentation**

Fresh Stem bark of S. samarangense were collected in October 2018 from Tebing Gerinting, Ogan Ilir, South Sumatra, Indonesia, and identified at the Botany Laboratory, University of Sriwijaya. Materials for isolation: silica gel 60 (Merck, 70-230 mesh) was used for column chromatography, thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed with silica gel 60G F254 using Merck (Art.5554), and organic solvents such as n-hexane, ethyl acetate (EtOAc), and methanol (MeOH) with technical grade. Reagent for antioxidant activity from Sigma-Aldrich: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), DMSO, and ascorbic acid. Instrumentation in the research were: ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra on Agilent DD2 (¹H-500 MHz; ¹³C-125 MHz in CD₂OD).

**Fractionation of stem bark of S. samarangense**

The fresh stem bark of S. samarangense (1.5 Kg) was cleaned and cut into small pieces. The sample is dried at room temperature until constant weight. Dry samples are milled to powder and macerated with gradient solvents such as n-hexane, ethyl acetate, and methanol. All filtrates were concentrated using a rotary evaporator to obtain concentrated extracts of the n-hexane, ethyl acetate, and methanol fractions (Muharni, Elfita, & Amanda, 2011). Each fraction was tested for antioxidant activity by the DPPH method. The active fraction was continued to separation and purification until obtaining the pure compounds.

**Antioxidant activity test with DPPH method**

Antioxidant activity testing was carried out as reported before (Muharni et al., 2019; Budiono, Elfita, Muharni, Yohandini, & Widajanti, 2019). The DPPH solution 0.05 mM (1.98 mg DPPH) was prepared in 100 mL methanol. The samples (extracts) were evaluated by dilution in methanol to obtain series concentrations of 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.2 µg/mL and the pure compounds in series concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.5, and 6.25 µg/mL. The series concentrations of the sample (0.2 mL) were added 3.8 mL of DPPH solution. The mixture of solutions was homogeneous and allowed to react for 30 minutes at room temperature in dark conditions. Absorbance was measured by a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at λmax 517 nm. The positive control of ascorbic acid was used in the same treatment as the sample. The antioxidant activity of the samples were determined as the percentage of DPPH absorption inhibition and IC₅₀ value. The % inhibition according to the following equation:

\[
\% \text{Inhibition} = \frac{\text{control absorbance} - \text{sample absorbance}}{\text{control absorbance}} \times 100
\]

**Isolation and purification of antioxidant compounds from active fraction**

The active fraction was analyzed using thin layer chromatography (TLC) with various eluents. The concentrated MeOH fraction (20 g) was separated by vacuum column chromatography (VLC) (20 cm x 8 cm) with silica gel (230-400 mesh) as a stationary phase. The pre-absorbed sample was put into a column and eluted by gradient solvents: n-hexane-EtOAc (10:0→0:10) and EtOAc-MeOH (10:0→0:10). Eluates were analyzed with TLC to obtain four fractions (F1=3.45 g; F2=5.67 g; F3=4.38 g; and F4=4.69 g). Fractions F2 and F3 have potential stains and continued to separation and purification.

The F2 fraction (5 g) was chromatographed over silica gel column (70-230 mesh) and eluted by n-hexane-EtOAc (5:5→0:10) and EtOAc-MeOH (10:0→5:5), which yielded four subfractions (F2.1→F2.4). Fraction F2.2 (0.78 g) was subjected over a silica gel column with eluent of n-hexane-EtOAc (3:7) to give four fractions (F2.2.1→F2.2.4). Fraction F2.2.3 (63 mg) was subjected to purification to yield compound 1 (21 mg). The F3 fraction (4 g) was chromatographed over silica gel column (70-230 mesh) and eluted by n-hexane-EtOAc (5:5→0:10) and EtOAc-MeOH (10:0→5:5) to obtain three subfractions (F3.1→F3.3). Fraction F3.2 (0.98 g) was subjected over a silica gel column with eluent of n-hexane-EtOAc (2:8) to obtain three subfractions (F3.2.1→F3.2.3). Fraction F3.2.1 (89 mg) was subjected to purification to yield compound 2 (67 mg). The structure of the compounds were identified by the spectroscopic method.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The Fresh stem bark of S. samarangense (1.5 kg) after being dried, a constant weight of 978 g, was obtained. Dry powder samples (500 g) have been extracted successively with n-hexane, ethyl acetate, and methanol for 1x24 hours with three replications to obtain concentrated fractions: 13.6 g; 32.2 g and 20 g respectively for the n-hexane, ethyl acetate, and methanol fractions. Each of the fractions was tested for antioxidants as shown in Table 1.

Based on the IC₅₀ value, the methanol fraction had the highest antioxidant activity among the three fractions i.e., 31.83 ppm. The high antioxidant activity of the methanol fraction is due to the presence of polar compounds such as phenolic compounds contained in...
that fraction. Flavonoids are sub-group of phenolic compounds have a variety of biological activities including antioxidant activity (Chandra & Arora, 2017). The ability to antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds are influenced by chemical structure, number, and position of hydroxyl groups in the ring (Elfita, Supriyatna, Bahti, & Dachriyanus, 2011). However, the antioxidant activity of ascorbic acid is higher because, in the methanol fraction, there is still a mixture of the compounds. The n-hexane fraction is not active (IC50 value > 1000 µg/mL). Elfita et al. (2019) state that the gradient fractionation causes non-polar and semi-polar compounds to be extracted into the n-hexane and ethyl acetate fractions. Secondary metabolites in the n-hexane fraction are usually compounds from the steroids, triterpenoids, and long-chain compounds from the alcoholic, alkane, and fatty acid groups. These compounds have no antioxidant activity. Hydroxyl groups in non-polar compounds are not able to reduce DPPH radicals. The antioxidant activity of an extract or fraction can be classified into three groups, namely strong (IC50 < 200 µg/mL), active (IC50 200-1000 µg/mL), and inactive (IC50 > 1000 µg/mL) (Fadhillah, Elfita, Muharni, Yohandini, & Widjajanti, 2019).

Isolation of pure compounds from the fraction of methanol obtained compounds 1 and 2. Molecular structure was determined by spectroscopic methods (NMR) and compared with literature data. The 1H-NMR spectrum of compound 1 is shown in Figure 1. In the H-NMR spectrum of compound 1 (Figure 1 and Table 2), five proton signals are present in chemical shifts above 6.00 ppm, each of the signals has the integrity of one proton. The signals indicate that all protons of compound 1 are aromatic protons. The characteristic flavonoid is seen in the spectrum, namely the presence of five protons bound to rings A and B. The proton signal is present at δH 6.18 (1H, d, J = 2.5 Hz); 6.39 (1H, d, J = 2.5 Hz); 6.88 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz); 7.64 (1H, dd, J = 8.5; 2.5 Hz); and 7.74 ppm (1H, d, J = 2.5 Hz).

Based on the multiplicity pattern, the proton aromatic has three types of signals as doublet ortho (do), doublet meta (dm), and ortho - meta coupling doublet doublet (dodm). The signals indicate that two aromatic protons are bound on ring A (δH 6.18 and 6.39 ppm) and three protons on ring B (δH 6.88; 7.64; and 7.74 ppm). Thus, ring B is classified as dioxygenated. The oxygenation position 2,4 or 3,4 can be identified from the chemical shifts of the three protons and their multiplicity. The signal of the proton has an accumulated chemical shift and the multiplicity of do, dm, and dodm exchange between the two groups of protons. The multiplicity of the signals indicates that the compound as a flavonol group (Elfita et al., 2020).

Table 1. The IC50 values of antioxidant activity of S. samarangense

| Test samples          | IC50 (µg/mL) |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| n-Hexane fraction     | > 1000       |
| Ethyl acetate fraction| 89.67        |
| Methanol fraction     | 31.83        |
| Compound 1            | 11.16        |
| Compound 2            | 11.43        |
| Ascorbic acid         | 10.22        |

Figure 1. The 1H-NMR spectrum of compound 1 (1H-500 MHz, in CD3OD)
The $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum (Figure 2) showed the presence of 15 carbon signals for the flavonol. This compound 1 as flavonol indicated the presence of carbonyl carbon signals at $\delta_C$ 177.4 ppm. The spectrum of compound 1 revealed seven oxaryl (= C-O) carbon atoms at $\delta_C$ 137-166 ppm. The signals indicated the presence of five hydroxyl groups attached on flavonoids, two hydroxyl groups in the A and C and one hydroxyl groups in the B rings. The characteristics of the -OH chelate signal on C-5 and carbon carbonyl chelates on C-4 do not appear in this spectrum because in CD$_3$OD. Spectrum data from the literature (Table 2) in DMSO solvents so that hydroxyl protons appear on the spectrum (Zhang, Wang, Yang, Zhou, & Zhang, 2014).

A literature search showed that the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, compound 1 has five hydroxyl substitution pattern of one of the aromatic rings of the flavonoid moiety. The HMQC and HMBC spectrum indicated that position C-6 and C-8 at ring A had to be the unsubstituted one, as evident from the correlations between H-6 ($\delta_H$ 6.18) with 162.6 (C-5); 165.7 (C-7); 94.5 (C-8); 104.5 (C-10) and the correlations between H-8 ($\delta_H$ 6.39) with 165.7 (C-7); 99.3 (C-6); 104.5 (C-10). The Carbon at C-3' dan C-4' in ring B had to be the substituted one with hydroxyl group. The next hydroxyl group is attached to C-3 of the ring C of the flavonoid moiety.

The identification of carbon and proton signals base on analysis HMQC, HMBC, COSY, and compared with literature (Zhang et al., 2014), listed in Table 2. Based on spectrum analysis and compared with literature (Zhang et al., 2014), compound 1 was identified as quercetin. The molecular formula C$_{15}$H$_{10}$O$_7$, with DBE = 11. The HMBC correlation and C-assignment of compound 1 showed in Figure 4.

In the $^1$H-NMR spectrum of compound 2 (Figure 5) showed the presence of a single proton signal in the aromatic region at $\delta_H$ 7.03 ppm with singlet multiplicity. The signal indicates that compound 2 has aromatic protons, which are all equivalent. In the $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum showed the presence of five signals, namely four aromatic carbon and one acid carbonyl signals. The intensity of the signal at $\delta_C$ 110.4 ppm (CH) is high, which indicates the presence of two equivalent carbon methane. Besides, the signals of carbon quaternary with a higher intensity than other carbon quaternary appear at $\delta_C$ 146.5 ppm. This signal indicates that the carbon is equivalent. The $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum in Figure 5 shows that compound 2 has two equivalent carbon groups, namely carbon methane and carbon quaternary. The total carbon of compound 2 is seven carbons, i.e., six aromatic carbon and one carbonyl carbon. The chemical shift values shown in Table 3 indicate the presence of three carbon oxaryl (= C-O), namely at $\delta_C$ 137.8; 144.9; and 144.9 ppm. Furthermore, the spectrum of compound 2 was compared with the spectrum in the literature (Table 3). The compound 2 was identified as gallic acid.

Table 2. The NMR data of compound 1 (1H-500 MHz, 13C-125 Mhz, in CD$_3$OD) and 1’ (quercetin, 1H-500 MHz, 13C-125 Mhz, in DMSO, ppm)

| No. | C   | $\delta_C$ (ppm) | $\delta_H$ (ppm), $\Sigma_H$, multiplicity, $J$ (Hz) | HMBC  | COSY  | $\delta_C$ (ppm) | $\delta_H$ (ppm), $\Sigma_H$, multiplicity, $J$ (Hz) |
|-----|-----|------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 2   | 148.1         |                  |                                                   |       |       | 147.3            |                                                   |
| 3   | 137.4         |                  |                                                   | 136.1 |       |                  |                                                   |
| 4   | 177.4         |                  |                                                   | 176.3 |       |                  |                                                   |
| 5   | 162.6         |                  |                                                   | 161.1 | 12.47 |       |                                                   |
| 6   | 99.3          | 6.18 (1H, d, $J$=2.5) | 162.6 (C-5); 165.7 (C-7); 94.5 (C-8); 104.5 (C-10) | 98.65 | 6.19 |       | 1 (1H, d, $J$=2.1) |
| 7   | 165.7         |                  |                                                   | 164.3 | 10.93 |       |                                                   |
| 8   | 94.5          | 6.39 (1H, d, $J$=2.5) | 165.7 (C-7); 99.3 (C-6); 104.5 (C-10)            | 93.8  | 6.42  |       | 1 (1H, d, $J$=2.1) |
| 9   | 158.3         |                  |                                                   | 156.6 |       |                  |                                                   |
| 10  | 104.5         |                  |                                                   | 103.4 |       |                  |                                                   |
| 1’  | 124.2         |                  |                                                   | 122.4 |       |                  |                                                   |
| 2’  | 116.1         | 7.74 (1H, d, $J$=2.5) | 146.3 (C-3’); 148.9 (C-4’); 121.8                 | 115.5 | 7.66  |       | 1 (1H, d, $J$=2.1) |
| 3’  | 146.3         |                  |                                                   | 145.5 | 9.35  |       |                                                   |
| 4’  | 148.9         |                  |                                                   | 148.1 | 9.69  |       |                                                   |
| 5’  | 116.3         | 6.88 (1H, d, $J$=8.5) | 146.3 (C-3’); 148.9 (C-4’); 124.2                 | 116.0 | 6.88  |       | 1 (1H, d, $J$=8.4) |
| 6’  | 121.8         | 7.64 (1H, dd, $J$=8.5; 2.5) | 116.1 (C-2’); 148.9 (C-4’) | 6.88  | 120.5 | 7.53  | 1 (1H, dd, $J$=8.4; 2.1) |

Note: * Zhang et al., 2014
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Figure 2. The $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum of compound 1 ($^{13}$C-125 MHz, in CD$_3$OD)

Figure 3. The spectra of compound 1, HMQC (A) and HMBC (B) ($^1$H-500 MHz, $^{13}$C-125 MHz, in CD$_3$OD)

Figure 4. The HMBC correlation and C-assignment of quercetin
Figure 5. The $^1$H and $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum of compound 2 ($^1$H-500 MHz, $^{13}$C-125 Mhz, in CD$_3$OD).

Table 3. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data of compound 2 ($^1$H-500 MHz, $^{13}$C-125 Mhz, in CD$_3$OD) and 2′ (gallic acid, $^1$H-500 MHz, $^{13}$C-125 Mhz, in CDCl$_3$).

| No. | $\delta_C$ (ppm) | $\delta_H$ (ppm), $\Sigma H$, multiplicity, $J$ (Hz) | $\delta_C$ (ppm) | $\delta_H$ (ppm), $\Sigma H$, multiplicity, $J$ (Hz) |
|-----|------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 122.1            |                                                 | 2                | 120.5                                           |
| 2   | 110.4            | 7.03 (1H, s)                                    | 2                | 108.8                                           |
| 3   | 146.5            |                                                 | 4                | 145.5                                           |
| 4   | 139.0            |                                                 | 5                | 138.1                                           |
| 5   | 146.5            |                                                 | 6                | 145.5                                           |
| 6   | 110.4            | 7.03 (1H, s)                                    | 2                | 108.8                                           |
| 7   | 170.6            |                                                 | 2                | 167.6                                           |

Note: * Abri & Maleki, 2016

Figure 6. The $\delta$ and C-assignment of gallic acid.

Based on spectrum analysis of compound 2 and compared with literature (Abri & Maleki, 2016), compound 2 was identified as gallic acid. The molecular formula C$_7$H$_6$O$_5$, with DBE = 5. The $\delta$-assignment of compound 2 showed in Figure 6.

The antioxidant activity data (Table 1) shows that compounds 1 and 2 have the equivalent activity of ascorbic acid. The antioxidant activity of a compound can be classified as strong (IC$_{50}$ < 10 µg/mL) active (IC$_{50}$ < 100 µg/mL), and inactive (IC$_{50}$ > 100 µg/mL). It was also reported that antioxidant compounds with IC$_{50}$ values ≤ 11.4 µg/mL were classified as strong (Minami et al., 1994; Deachathai et al., 2006).
Compound 1 is strong antioxidant by the DPPH method (IC$_{50}$ 11.16 µg/mL). The strong antioxidant activity due to the compound 1 has a catechol unit on ring B, the conjugation on the C ring (between 2,3 double bonds with a 4-oxo function), the presence of hydroxyl groups at positions C-3' and C-5' providing hydrogen bonding to the oxo group (Figure 7). The presence of the groups causes the radicals produced can be stabilized by distribution and delocalization electron (Procházková, Boušová, & Wilhelmová, 2011; Brunetti et al., 2013). The compounds that have catechol or 1,2-dihydroxy phenyl units are more easily subjected to proton abstraction by free radicals to form more stable free radicals. This stability is caused by the resulting free radicals, which can form intramolecular hydrogen bonds with the neighboring hydroxyl group and form a diketone (Sawai & Sakata, 1998). The main structural features of quercetin and gallic acid required for efficient radical scavenging could be summarized as Figure 7.

Compound 2 is strong antioxidant by the DPPH method (IC$_{50}$ 11.43 µg/mL). The strong antioxidant activity due to the gallic acid has three hydroxyl groups that form two catechol units. Proton abstraction of gallic acid by free radicals is much easier, and new free radicals are formed more stable because of the presence of two catechol units in one ring (Sawai & Sakata, 1998). The description of the stabilization of gallic acid free radicals by the formation of intramolecular hydrogen bonds, a diketone, distribution, and delocalization of electrons, is shown in Figure 6.
CONCLUSIONS
In this study, two compounds were obtained from the methanol fraction of the stem bark of *S. samarangense*, namely quercetin and gallic acid. Both of these compounds have strong antioxidant activity, which is equivalent to a positive control (ascorbic acid). Thus the use of the stem bark of *S. samarangense* by the Ogan ethnic community in South Sumatra to treat hypertension is scientific.
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