An assessment of the availability of cavities for secondary cavity-nesting birds in certified and conventionally-logged Neotropical rainforests
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The high level of forest intervention and the decrease in biodiversity as a result of logging are incentives to implement forest certification schemes. Despite the advances in the results of the impact of forest certification on biodiversity, there are few studies on species with specific habits, such as cavity-nesting birds. The objective of this study is to compare the impact of forest certification and conventional logging on the richness, availability (density) and dominance of potentially suitable cavity trees for secondary cavity-nesting birds in the subtropical forests of northwestern Argentina. Seven sites were selected: three control sites which were not logged for at least 40 years, one site under Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification, and three sites with conventional logging. The results suggest that logged forests under FSC-certification may guarantee a diversity, availability (density) and dominance of potentially suitable cavity trees for secondary cavity-nesting birds, as well as certain characteristics (such as DBH > 40 cm), similar to unlogged forests for this group of birds. Therefore, we suggest that the forests of northwestern Argentina should be managed by a scheme under forest certification so that the high levels of cavity tree species are maintained.
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Introduction

Forest degradation and decrease of the associated biodiversity, as a result of unsustainable and unplanned logging (hereinafter referred to as conventional logging) for the world market, were motivations for starting new forest management plans, based on certification standards, in the early nineties, and specially, for tropical and subtropical forests (Rametsteiner & Simula 2003, Cashore et al. 2004, FAO 2006, Auld et al. 2008). Currently, around 3.2% of the world’s forests (more than 100 million ha) were certified and America, in particular, has around 12% of its forests certified (Cubbage et al. 2010).

Despite some difficulties, forest certifica-
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management under certification with unlogged forest sites and conventional logging on cavity availability for secondary cavity-nesting birds, in a subtropical forest of northwestern Argentina. Richness, availability (density), dominance and characteristics (such as DBH, cavity entrance area) of cavity tree species in unlogged, certified and conventionally logged forests are also analysed. We expect that certified sites have less availability of cavities than unlogged sites but higher than sites with conventional logging. In addition, we expect that sites under certification have a diversity of cavity trees similar to that of unlogged sites, since tree species are conserved due to the reduction of environmental impacts (reduced impact logging).

**Methodology**

**Study area**

This study was conducted in the subtropical piedmont forests of northwestern Argentina and they are part of the seasonal dry forests of South America (Prado 2000). The climate in this area is highly seasonal, with a rainfall between 800 and 1000 mm, concentrated in the austral summer (November to February). These forests are located at altitudes ranging between 400 and 900 m a.s.l. (Arias & Bianchi 1996). The dominant tree species are Calycophyllum multiflorum, Phyllostylon rhamnoides, Anadenanthera colubrina, Myroxylon peruifera and Myracrodruon urundeuva (Brown et al. 2001, Brown & Malizia 2004).

Fieldwork and data analyses

Field sampling was conducted from 2014 to 2016 at seven sites located in Salta and Jujuy provinces: three sites without logging activity for at least 45 years (control sites), one site under FSC certification and three sites with conventional logging (Fig. 1). Nowadays, FSC regulations for sustainable forest management include protection of the ecosystem (e.g., bodies of water, riparian zones), environmental impact assessment and protection of endangered species and their habitats. In our study site, FSC certification implied very rigorous actions, such as the retention of some tree species with highly-valued timber to guarantee the regeneration of these tree species within the forest, the use of reduced impact logging, and the protection of threatened tree species (data provided by site owners).

At each site, an area of 100 ha was marked for sampling. Within these areas, 20 plots of 0.25 ha were defined (see Tab. S1 in Supplementary material). In each plot, cavities with an entrance of >5 cm diameter and up to 16.8 m height were inspected using a mini-camera system attached to an extendable pole (Richardson et al. 1999). Cavity trees were identified to species level, but standing dead trees were grouped into the single category, called “snags”. Cavity tree richness was determined as the mean number of tree species with at least one cavity which can be potentially used, per plot. It was estimated taking into account our knowledge of the potential use of cavities suitable for secondary cavity-nesting birds. We considered a cavity to be potentially suitable if it had a hollow chamber surrounded by sound wood, accessed by a cavity entrance with a floor to support an incubation chamber, and a roof to provide overhead protection (classification based on prior knowledge of the cavities used by the species of birds, Politi et al. 2009, 2010). Similarly, we also calculated the cavities and the total cavity trees per plot (Density ha⁻¹). The density (availability) of each cavity tree species was calculated per site and then classified into: < 1 cavity tree ha⁻¹, 1-3 cavity trees ha⁻¹ and > 3 cavity trees ha⁻¹ (Schaaf et al. 2019).

In addition, the following variables were measured for cavity trees registered in each plot: cavity type (cavities resulting from decay-formed or excavated by woodpeckers), DBH (diameter at breast height), cavity and tree height; cavity location (i.e., main trunk, primary branch, secondary branch, and tertiary branch), cavity entrance area (by measuring the horizontal and vertical diameters of the entrance, and estimated using the formula of an ellipse); and cavity internal depth (Politi et al. 2009, 2010, Ruggera et al. 2016, Schaaf et al. 2019). The differences among measured variables were compared between the sites with Kruskal-Wallis tests (H), and using the InfoStat software (Di Rienzo et al. 2008).

Finally, we evaluated the dominance of cavity tree species in each site, according to their proportional presence (w) and proportional abundance (Ap) per plot of cavity tree species: w is the proportion of sites where a given tree species was present and Ap is the proportion of individuals recorded for each species per site. From this analysis, cavity tree species were categorized in four groups: Dominant, Sub-dominant, Common, and rare species (see details in Pinzón & Spence 2010).

**Results**

In control sites, a total of 23 cavity tree species were registered in the three sites, whereas 20 cavity tree species were registered in the FSC certified site, and 17 cavity tree species in sites with conventional logging (Site₁ = 15, Site₂ = 15, and Site₃ = 14 cavity tree species – Fig. S1 in Supplementary material). Cavity density (H = 52.21, P < 0.001), and cavity tree density (H = 46.71, P < 0.001) were significantly higher in control and certified sites than in conventionally logged sites. Decay-formed cavity density (H = 47.78, P < 0.001) was significantly higher in control sites than in certified and conventionally logged sites. There were not significant differences in density of excavated cavities between sites (H = 2.32, P = 0.567) (Fig. 2, Tab. S1). The DBH, and internal cavity depth did not show significant differences between control and FSC certified sites, but they were significantly higher than in conventionally logged sites (H = 30.32, P < 0.001 – Fig. 3). Cavity height did...
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do not show significant differences between sites ($H=9.97, P=0.125$) (Fig. 3, Tab. S1).

Cavity tree species richness per plot was significantly higher in control and certified sites than in conventionally logged sites ($H = 53.43, P<0.0001$ – Fig. 4a). The cavity tree species with the highest density in control and FCS certified sites were *C. multiflorum* (1-3 and >3 tree ha$^{-1}$) and *P. rhamnoides* (1-3 and >3 tree ha$^{-1}$). In conventionally logged sites, we recorded different densities of cavity tree species (Fig. 4b). In all sites, there was a relatively large proportion of rare cavity tree species, whereas few of these species were Dominant, sub-Dominant and Common. It should be noted that snags are Common species in one control site (Fig. 4b, Fig. S1).

Discussion

The results of our study suggest that management under certification might guarantee richness, availability (density) and certain characteristics (such as DBH) of tree cavities similar to the control forests for secondary cavity-nesting birds. This may show that in these subtropical forests FSC-certified sites can maintain tree levels and characteristics suitable for secondary cavity-nesting birds. This fact is important, since many bird species of this group depend on large trees (Schaff et al. 2019), which are reduced or absent in sites without forest certification. The low density of cavity tree species, as well as the changes in their characteristics, at sites under conventional logging, might be due to the selective logging of tree species without management plans which include sustainability criteria (Politi et al. 2010). Other studies in Neotropical rainforests showed that conventional logging can significantly reduce the availability of cavity trees (Cockle et al. 2008, Cornelius et al. 2008, Politi et al. 2009, 2010).

The results of dominance of cavity tree species were similar in control and certified sites, with the exception of *A. colubrina*, which is present as a dominant cavity tree species at certified sites. This particular tree species is a pioneer in sites under forest exploitation in piedmont forests (Politi et al. 2010, SGAJ 2012) and this may be the reason why it is dominant in sites under this type of certification, as well as in sites of conventionally logged forests. The absence of certain cavity tree species (such as *A. cearensis* and *M. periferum*), as well as the decrease in their abundance in conventionally logged sites, may be due to the way in which logging was carried out (Politi et al. 2010, SGAJ 2012). Structural characteristics and dominance of tree species varied significantly and such differences between the forest logging types may reflect factors associated with tree species (Pinzón & Spence 2010), such as the DBH or cavity entrance area. Therefore, management strategies for subtropical forest should aim at maintaining a heterogeneous mix of tree species which provide habitat
requirements for cavity-nesting birds (Politi et al. 2010, Schaaf et al. 2019).

In addition, a similar richness of cavity tree species was recorded at certified and control sites, including high-value timber species such as C. multiflorum, C. balansae, M. urundeuva, A. cearensis (currently classified as Endangered species by the IUCN), M. periferum, and Schinopsis lorentzii. These species were absent (e.g., A. cearensis, M. periferum and S. lorentzii) or with a lower density (C. multiflorum, C. balansae, M. urundeuva) in conventionally logged sites and this indicates that conventional management of the piedmont forests does not guarantee the presence of all cavity tree species. These tree species are extremely important for secondary cavity-nesting birds (Ruggera et al. 2016), and A. cearensis, M. periferum, and S. lorentzii are particularly used by large secondary cavity-nesting birds (Schaaf et al. 2019). These large birds, such as toucans, owls, vultures, and other insectivorous birds play an important role in seed dispersal and pest control. Therefore, they play an important role in the persistence of the ecosystem services in the forest (Ruggera et al. 2016).

Conclusion

Despite the fact that more sites with forest certification are needed to fully understand richness, availability (density) and density of cavity trees, this study defines some key characteristics to assure the conservation of avian cavity-nesters. The cavity-nesting bird species inhabiting piedmont forests rely not only on certain tree species in particular but also on their characteristics (Politi et al. 2009, Ruggera et al. 2016, Schaaf et al. 2019). Sites with certified logging keep these specific characteristics (possibly due to reduced impact logging), such as tree size suitable for birds (e.g., DBH ~ 40 cm). We can affirm that the certification standards implemented in piedmont forests may guarantee the richness and characteristics of key tree species for secondary cavity-nesting birds. This type of management preserves the tree species most used by secondary cavity-nesting birds: C. multiflorum, C. balansae, A. cearensis, M. urundeuva, and snags (Ruggera et al. 2016, Schaaf et al. 2019). These kinds of studies could be used to evaluate the impact of forest certification on specific animal groups, such as those evaluated in the present study (Gullison 2003, Rametsteiner & Simula 2003), since the simple implementation of certification standards ensures biodiversity conservation. We suggest continuing with forests under certification (such as FSC) in order to conserve the high levels of biodiversity (Campos-Cerqueira et al. 2019), taking into account that tropical and subtropical forests around the world have high biodiversity and provide vital ecosystem services, which are being degraded by unregulated logging activities (Jennings et al. 2001, Van Kuijk et al. 2005, Arbainsyah et al. 2014).
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