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Abstract: High glucose levels in diabetic patients are implicated in delay wound healing that could lead to more serious clinical complications. The aim of the present work was to examine the formulation of ceftriaxone (CTX) and melittin (MEL) as nanoconjugate (nanocomplex)-loaded hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) (1.5% w/v)-based hydrogel for healing of acute wounds in diabetic rats. The CTX–MEL nanoconjugate, formulated by ion-pairing at different molar ratio, was characterized for size and zeta potential and investigated by transmission electron microscopy. CTX–MEL nanoconjugate was prepared, and its preclinical efficacy evaluated in an in vivo model of acute wound. In particular, the potential ability of the innovative CTX–MEL formulation to modulate wound closure, oxidative status, inflammatory markers, and hydroxyproline was evaluated by ELISA, while the histopathological examination was obtained by using hematoxylin and eosin or Masson’s trichrome staining techniques. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) of the excised tissue to measure collagen, type I, alpha 1 (Col1A1) expression and immunohistochemical assessment of vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) and transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF–β1) were also carried out to shed some light on the mechanism of wound healing. Our results show that the CTX–MEL nanocomplex has enhanced ability to regenerate epithelium, also giving better keratinization, epidermal proliferation, and granulation tissue formation, compared to MEL, CTX, or positive control. The nanocomplex also significantly ameliorated the antioxidant status by decreasing malondialdehyde (MDA) and increasing superoxide dismutase (SOD) levels. The treatment of wounded skin with the CTX–MEL nanocomplex also showed a significant reduction in interleukin-6 (IL–6) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF–α) pro-inflammatory cytokines combined with a substantial increase in hydroxyproline, VEGF-A, and TGF–β1 protein expression compared to individual components or negative control group. Additionally, the CTX–MEL nanocomplex showed a significant increase in mRNA expression levels of Col1A1 as compared to individual compounds. In conclusion, the ion-pairing nanocomplex of CTX–MEL represents a promising carrier that can be topically applied to improve wound healing.
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1. Introduction

The link between the delay in acute wound healing and the high levels of glucose is well established. In particular, high glucose levels in diabetic patients delay wound healing possibly leading to more serious consequences [1]. It is well-known that the deregulation of any of the different steps of the wound healing process may lead to the development of various intractable ulcers as well as to the exaggerated formation of scar [2]. A study carried out by Endara et al. showed that diabetic patients undergoing surgery for chronic diabetes wounds have a higher tendency to heal if the glucose level is controlled at the time of surgery [3]. The delay in wound healing in diabetic patients has been attributed to the impairment of the immune system function, particularly white blood cells, that leads to increased vulnerability to contamination of the wound and delays its closure [4]. Additionally, uncontrolled glucose levels lead to poor circulation, hindering delivery of defense factors and nutrients to the wound area that result in delay or, in the worst scenario, absence of wound healing. The wound healing process is also affected by neuropathy due to diabetes that makes the patients not aware of the injury, especially in the feet area, which slows healing and increases the risk of wound bacterial contamination. It is, then, clear the need to identify novel and effective pharmacological strategies able to enhance wound healing and restore the mechanical integrity of injured tissue, also avoiding the excessive repair linked to fibrotic skin [5].

Wound healing is a complex and dynamic process that can be divided into different predictable sequential phases represented by blood clotting (or hemostasis phase), inflammation, tissue growth characterized by cell proliferation, and tissue remodeling in which both maturation and cell differentiation take place [6].

Nowadays, with the aim to effectively promote the wound healing process and, at the same time, protect from external environment/insults (e.g., bacterial infection), different studies have considered non-invasive treatment strategies employing natural compounds. In this regard, the antibacterial properties of scorpion, wasp, spider, scolopendra, and bee crude venom as well as their main biologically active compounds have been investigated and reported [7]. Melittin (MEL) is a small linear water-soluble cationic peptide (26 amino acids) with no disulfide bridge representing the main component (40–60% of the dry weight) and the major pain inducing substance of honeybee (Apis mellifera, European honey bee) venom [8]. This peptide has shown a therapeutic potential against pain, oxidative stress and apoptosis, inflammation, as well as multi-resistant bacterial infection [9–11]. Based on its numerous biological and pharmacological activities, MEL has been formulated into topical preparations potentially able to enhance wound healing. As recently described by Kurek-Görecka et al., bee venom and, in particular, MEL possess great potential in improving the healing of wounds [12], even though limited formulation approaches have been available in the literature for MEL.

Different β-lactam antibiotics, such as cephalosporin, have been used in conjugation with peptides for the investigation of their potential synergistic activity [13,14]. Ceftriaxone (CTX) is a third-generation cephalosporin and a FDA-approved β-lactam antibiotic that has been used for the treatment of different infections including meningitis, gonorrhea, and pneumonia [15]. Different in vivo studies have shown the preclinical efficacy of this drug in central nervous system disorders. In fact, CTX has proven to be effective in the reduction in ethanol consumption in P rats through the up-regulation of the glutamate transporter 1 (GLT1) [16], while its combination with clavulanic acid has shown to induce antiallodynia and anti-inflammatory effects in a rat inflammatory pain model [17]. In a different in vivo study, an acute antiallodynic effect in a neuropathic pain model only 30 min after CFX administration was demonstrated, and this analgesic effect was related to a reduction in tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) serum concentration [18].
The specific aim of the present work was the formulation of CTX and MEL as nanocjugate to evaluate their possible synergism as well as the preclinical efficacy in an in vivo model of acute wound. It is well-known that the progress of nanotechnological research for the development of topical formulation has enormous potential in the enhancement of wound healing process [19–21]. Once the CTX–MEL ion-pairing complex was prepared and characterized, it was tested for its ability to speed up the wound healing process of the excision. We, then, performed a histopathological examination and measured the oxidative status, the inflammatory markers production, and the hydroxyproline content. Lastly, quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) of the excised tissue (collagen, type I, alpha 1 (Col1A1)) and immunohistochemical assessment (vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) and transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1)) were carried out. The evidence of a synergistic effect of CTX and MEL on the wound healing process in diabetic rats is presented.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Reagents

Materials and reagents used to perform the experiments related to the present work, all of analytical grade, were supplied by Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Pittsburgh, PA, USA) or, as in the case of CTX, MEL, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC), and streptozotocin, by Sigma-Aldrich Corporate (St. Louis, MO, USA), if not otherwise specified.

2.2. Preparation and Characterization of CTX–MEL Ion-Pairing Complexes

For the preparation of the ion-pairing nanocomplexes, CTX and MEL were formulated with a 1:2 ratio. This ratio between the two components was selected based on our preliminary laboratory investigation as well as on a recently published work [22]. CTX and MEL were dissolved separately in deionized water. Equal volumes of CTX (1 mM) and MEL (0.5 mM) were mixed and vortexed for 60 sec. Particle size and zeta potential were determined by using a Malvern Zetasizer (Malvern Instruments Ltd. Malvern, UK). The prepared CTX–MEL nanocomplexes were investigated through transmission electron microscopy (TEM) by using the JEOL-JEM-1011 microscope (JEOL-Tokyo, Japan).

2.3. Formulation of CTX–MEL-Loaded Hydrogels

HPMC was dispersed in 50 mL of distilled water under stirring at a final concentration of 1.5% w/v. CTX–MEL ion-pairing complexes were added to the HPMC solution under stirring at room temperature (RT). The hydrogels were left to swell for 24 h at RT before further experiments. MEL-loaded and CTX-loaded hydrogels were prepared separately using the same procedure described for CTX–MEL ion-pairing complexes.

2.4. Animals

Thirty male Wistar rats (210–240 g) were obtained from the animal facility, King Abdulaziz University (KAU). Animals were kept on a 12-hour light–dark cycle and a temperature of 22 ± 2 °C [23]. The Committee of Research Ethics, Faculty of Pharmacy, KAU approved all animal handling procedures (14/04/2020; Reference # PH-213-41).

Diabetes was induced in rats as previously described by Ahmed et al. [24]. Briefly, streptozotocin (freshly prepared in citrate buffer 0.1 M, pH 4.5) was injected to rats (50 mg/kg, intraperitoneally (IP)) 2 weeks prior to the study. Fasting blood glucose level was assessed by using Accu-Chek Go (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Rats with moderate diabetes, with fasting blood glucose level in the range of 200–300 mg/100 mL, were selected for the study.

2.5. Excision Wounding and Animal Treatment

Rats were anesthetized by an IP injection of ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). After shaving the dorsal surface, the exposed skin was sterilized by using a povidone-iodine solution and a full-thickness excision circle measuring 1 cm in diameter.
was made on rats’ dorsal surface. The wounds were washed after the excision using sterile saline solution and dried using sterile pads. In order to reduce animals’ pain, a lidocaine hydrochloride (2%) solution containing 1:80,000 epinephrine (4.4 mg/kg) was injected subcutaneously close to wound area [23].

Wounded diabetic rats were organized in 5 different groups (6 rats in each group): Group 1 (negative control) consisted of rats that received topical plain vehicle consisting of HPMC-based hydrogel (1.5% w/v) on the wound area; Group 2 (positive control) consisted of rats that received 0.5 g of Mebo™ ointment (Gulf Pharmaceutical Industries Julphar, Ras Al Khaimah, United Arab Emirates) on the wound area. The ointment contained β-sitosterol, baicalin, and berberine as active ingredients in a base of beeswax and sesame oil; Group 3 consisted of rats that received HPMC (1.5% w/v)-based hydrogel preparation of CTX sodium (0.1% w/v) on the wound area; Group 4 consisted of rats that received HPMC (1.5% w/v)-based hydrogel preparation of MEL (0.5% w/v) on the wound area; Group 5 consisted of rats that received HPMC (1.5% w/v)-based hydrogel CTX–MEL complexes (0.6% w/v). All treatments were applied topically daily for a total of 14 days. Wounds of rats were covered with sterile gauze dressings and changed once a day. Wounds were measured and photographed at day 0, 3, 7, and 14. At the end of the last day (day 14), all animals were sacrificed by decapitation, and the skin in the wound area was dissected out. One part of the of the skin obtained from each animal was kept in neutral formalin (10%), while the other part was kept at -80 °C for further analyses.

2.6. Wound Measurement

Wound closure percentage (%) was calculated through the following formula, taking into consideration the changes in wound diameter [25]:

\[
\text{Wound closure (\%)} = \frac{\text{Wound diameter at Day 0} - \text{Wound diameter at Day 14}}{\text{Wound diameter at Day 0}} \times 100
\]

2.7. Preparation of Tissue Homogenates

All the obtained tissues were carefully rinsed by using ice-cooled saline, gently blotted between filter papers, and weighed. A part of the homogenates (10%) was prepared in ice cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4) at 4 °C. Homogenates were used for subsequent biochemical analyses.

2.8. Histological Examination

Wound tissues were kept in neutral formalin (10%) for a total of 24 h, followed by dehydration in serial concentrations of ethanol, passage in xylene clearing agent, and insertion in paraffin [23]. Once in paraffin blocks, tissues were sectioned with thickness measuring 5 µm. After dewaxing, tissues were rehydrated. Some sections were stained by using hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), whereas the rest were stained with Masson’s trichrome (MT) [23,26]. Histological examinations were performed by a pathologist without prior knowledge of treatment groups. Based on the degree/abundance of inflammatory cell infiltration, fibroblast proliferation, collagen deposition, granulation tissue, angiogenesis, and re-epithelization, scores ranging from − to +++ were assigned.

2.9. Biochemical Analyses

Malondialdehyde (MDA) (catalog# MD2529) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) (catalog# SD2521) levels were determined by using commercially available kits (Bidiagnostic, Cairo, Egypt) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Both interleukin-6 (IL-6) (catalog# E-EL-R0015) and TNF-α (catalog# E-EL-R2856) proteins were quantified by using rat ELISA kits supplied by Ellabscience (Houston, TX, USA), while hydroxyproline content was determined through a colorimetric kit (catalog# ab222941) supplied by Abcam (Cambridge, UK) following the manufacturer’s instructions.
2.10. qRT-PCR in Excised (Healing/Healed) Tissue

Skin tissues from wound area were homogenized by using an ultrasonic probe. RNA was extracted utilizing NucleoSpin® nucleic acid extraction kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH and Co. KG, Duerin, Germany). The purity of RNAs (A260/A280 ratio) and their concentrations were measured spectrophotometrically (Dual-Wavelength Beckman, Spectrophotometer, USA). Reverse transcription was obtained by using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). PCR amplification reactions were performed using a Taq PCR Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) coupled to the primers indicated in Table 1.

| Gene      | Forward | Reverse          | Gene Bank   |
|-----------|---------|------------------|-------------|
| Col1A1    | ATCAGCCCAAAACCCCAAGGAGA | CGCAGGAAGGTCAGCTGGATAG | NM_053304.1 |
| GAPDH     | CCATTCTTCCACCTTTGATGCT | TGTGCGTGAACCATAATTTGATG | NM_017008.4 |

Col1A1 = collagen, type I, alpha 1; GAPDH = glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

After the qRT-PCR run was completed, the data were expressed as cycle threshold (CT). The relative RNA expression level for Col1A1 gene was calculated using the 2^−∆∆CT method [27,28] by the comparison of the CT value of Col1A1 gene to the CT value of the selected internal control (GAPDH). Negative controls (primers in absence of samples) were included in each assay.

2.11. Immunohistochemical Assessment of VEGF-A and TGF-β1 Expression

Each tissue section was dried, deparaffinized, rehydrated, and boiled in citrate buffer at pH 6.0 for 10 min. Cell and Tissue Staining Rabbit Kit containing blocking solution, secondary antibody, and 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was used for this set of experiments. The sections were, then, incubated in 5% bovine serum albumin for 120 min followed by incubation overnight at 4 °C with anti-VEGF-A (#ab46154, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) or anti-TGF-β1 (#ab229856, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) antibodies, both at 1 µg/mL. After a washing step, slides were incubated with the biotinylated secondary antibody for 60 min at RT. Slides were, then, washed by using PBS containing tween 20 (0.5%). During the next step, DAB was added and the development of color was observed with the Nikon SMZ 1000 light microscope equipped with a Nikon DS-Fi1 digital camera (Tokyo, Japan). Lastly, a drop of the mounting solution was applied to the slides, which were left to dry and, then, photographed. ImageJ analysis software (ImageJ, 1.46a, NIH, USA) was used to perform image analysis [29].

2.12. Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean ± SD. Multiple comparisons were made using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. All analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software® [30], version 8.0 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). Only two-tailed p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant [22,31].

3. Results

3.1. Preparation and Characterization of CTX–MEL Nanocomplex

On the base of preliminary experiments, the CTX–MEL nanocomplex was prepared at 0.5:1 molar ratio. The results revealed that the CTX–MEL nanocomplex showed particle size of 98.7 ± 21.4 nm (Figure 1A) with a zeta potential value of 27.6 ± 3.2 mV (Figure 1B). The prepared CTX–MEL nanocomplex was, then, investigated by using TEM (Figure 1C).
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The prepared CTX–MEL nanocomplex was investigated by using TEM (Figure 1C).

Figure 1. Particle size (A), zeta potential (B), and TEM image (C) of the CTX–MEL complex.

TEM results showed spherical bodies with a size range comparable to the size obtained by using the nanosizer. Previous reports indicate that particle sizes < 100 nm achieve maximum cellular uptake [32,33]. Accordingly, CTX–MEL characterization showed promising formula in relation to particle size and zeta potential.

3.2. Assessment of Wound Healing

As shown in Figure 2, the daily local application of CTX–MEL preparation showed synergistic wound closure % as compared to CTX or MEL used individually.
3.2. Assessment of Wound Healing

As shown in Figure 2, the daily local application of CTX–MEL preparation showed synergistic wound closure as compared to CTX or MEL used individually.

Figure 2. (A) Wound closure in diabetic rats belonging to the 5 experimental groups at day 0, 3, 7, 10, and 14. (B) Wound closure % at day 14. Negative control refers to rats that received topical plain vehicle consisting of HPMC-based hydrogel (1.5% w/v) on the wound area, while positive control refers to animals that received 0.5 g of MeboTM ointment on the wound area. Data are expressed as mean (n = 6) ± SD. *** Significantly different vs. negative control, \( p < 0.001 \); ### significantly different vs. positive control, \( p < 0.001 \); ϕϕϕ significantly different vs. CTX, \( p < 0.001 \); θθθ significantly different vs. CTX, \( p < 0.001 \).
At day 14, the wounds of diabetic rats receiving CTX–MEL preparation showed almost complete healing, while wound closure % in animals part of CTX or MEL groups showed values amounting to ∼67% and ∼75%, respectively. It is worthy of note that CTX–MEL preparation exhibited enhanced wound healing activity even when compared to the positive control treatment (Figure 2).

3.3. Histopathological Investigation

The results observed when measuring the ability CTX–MEL preparation to synergistically enhance wound closure % were further substantiated by histological evaluations. Staining with H&E or MT of wound tissues collected on day 14 indicated that the animals belonging to the negative control group exhibited signs of delayed healing as well as of poor re-epithelization and epidermal remodeling (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** Histopathological effects of CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL nanocomplex on wound healing on day 14. Negative control refers to rats that received topical plain vehicle consisting of HPMC-based hydrogel (1.5% w/v) on the wound area, while positive control refers to animals that received 0.5 g of Mebo™ ointment on the wound area. H&E = hematoxylin and eosin (scale bar = 50 µm); MT = Masson’s trichrome (scale bar = 50 µm).

This was evidenced by the presence of wound gaps filled by the inflamed granulation tissue and a layer of necrotic tissue covering wounds. The surface crust was infiltrated by inflammatory cells, mainly neutrophils. As expected, the positive control group showed improved healing; in fact, inflammation was inhibited and epidermal remodeling was
observed. Tissues collected from animals treated with CTX or MEL revealed a relatively moderate rate of healing as re-epithelization extended deeply into the center of the wound. The newly formed epithelium was vacuolated with early keratinization. The highest rate of healing was observed on the animals belonging to the group receiving the topical application of CTX–MEL, where the wound surface was almost completely covered by regenerated epithelium with keratinization, and only a few inflammatory cells were observed. Wounds were filled with mature organized tissue with its characteristic perpendicular arrangement of the newly formed blood capillaries over the formed fibrous tissue (Figure 3). The histological features were scored and reported in Table 2.

Table 2. Histological evaluation of wound healing on day 14 in animals part of negative and positive control groups and in animals receiving the topical application of CFX, MEL, or CFX-MEL nanocomplex.

|               | IC | FP  | CD   | GT     | Ang     | RE   |
|---------------|----|-----|------|--------|---------|------|
| Negative Control | ++ | +/− | +    | +      | +/−     | −    |
| Positive Control | +  | ++  | ++   | +      | ++      | ++   |
| CTX           | +  | +   | +    | −      | +       | ++   |
| MEL           | +/-| ++  | ++   | ++     | ++      | ++   |
| CTX-MEL       | +  | ++  | +++  | ++     | +++     | +++  |

Negative control refers to rats that received topical plain vehicle consisting of HPMC-based hydrogel (1.5% w/v) on the wound area, while positive control refers to animals that received 0.5 g of Mebo\textsuperscript{TM} ointment on the wound area. IC = inflammatory cell infiltration; FP = fibroblast proliferation; CD = collagen deposition; GT = granulation tissue; Ang = angiogenesis; RE = re-epithelization.

The highest infiltration of inflammatory cells and vascular alteration were recorded in the animals part of the negative control group, whereas amelioration of inflammatory process and angiogenesis were observed in the other groups. As clearly indicated by the histopathological lesion scores, improvements in epidermal remodeling in animals belonging to the positive control group as well as of CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL groups were observed when compared to the negative control group. Treatment with the CTX–MEL nanocomplex gave the highest score for collagen deposition, angiogenesis, and re-epithelization compared with all the other groups, even in comparison with the positive control group.

3.4. Effect of CTX–MEL on Oxidative Status

The data showed in Figure 4A indicate that wound tissues from animals part of CTX, MEL, and CTX–MEL groups showed a significantly lower content of MDA, amounting to ~68, ~65, and ~53%, respectively, as compared to the negative control group.

Of note, the effect coming from the topical treatment with the optimized formula (CTX–MEL), e.g., the ability to decrease MDA levels, was paralleled by an enhancement of SOD levels (Figure 4B). The ability of CTX–MEL to increase the levels of this member of the antioxidant system was not observed neither in the case of the positive control nor in the case of CTX or MEL used individually.

3.5. Effect of CTX–MEL on Inflammation Markers

The data reported in Figure 5A show that the topical treatment of wounded skin tissues with CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL significantly inhibited IL-6 content by ~19, ~35, and ~52%, respectively, as compared to the tissues obtained from animals belonging to the negative control group.
Figure 4. Effect of CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL nanocomplex on (A) MDA and (B) SOD levels in wounded skin of diabetic rats. Negative control refers to rats that received topical plain vehicle consisting of HPMC-based hydrogel (1.5% w/v) on the wound area, while positive control refers to animals that received 0.5 g of Mebo\textsuperscript{TM} ointment on the wound area. Data are presented as mean (n = 6) ± SD. ** Significantly different vs. negative control, p < 0.01; *** significantly different vs. negative control, p < 0.001; ϕ significantly different vs. CTX, p < 0.001; θ significantly different vs. CTX, p < 0.05; ϕ significantly different vs. CTX, p < 0.05.
3.5. Effect of CTX–MEL on Inflammation Markers

The data reported in Figure 5A show that the topical treatment of wounded skin tissues with CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL significantly inhibited IL-6 content by ∼19, ∼35, and ∼52%, respectively, as compared to the tissues obtained from animals belonging to the negative control group.

Figure 5. Effect of CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL nanocomplex on (A) IL-6 and (B) TNF-α content in wounded skin of diabetic rats. Negative control refers to rats that received topical plain vehicle consisting of HPMC-based hydrogel (1.5% w/v) on the wound area, while positive control refers to animals that received 0.5 g of Mebo™ ointment on the wound area. Data are expressed as mean ± SD, (n = 6). ** Significantly different vs. negative control, p < 0.01; *** significantly different vs. negative control, p < 0.001; ## significantly different vs. positive control, p < 0.01; ### significantly different vs. positive control, p < 0.001; ϕϕ significantly different vs. CTX, p < 0.01; ϕϕϕ significantly different vs. CTX, p < 0.001; ѲѲ significantly different vs. CTX, p < 0.01; ѲѲѲ significantly different vs. CTX, p < 0.001.

We, then, investigated if the optimized formula (CTX–MEL) was also able to decrease the levels of TNF-α, an additional pro-inflammatory cytokine often implicated in the chronic inflammation observed in diabetes [34]. As shown in Figure 5B, CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL treatments significantly inhibited TNF-α content as compared to the negative control, with the maximal inhibitory effect observed for treatment with the CTX–MEL nanocomplex (∼61%).
3.6. Effect of CTX–MEL on Fibrosis Markers

The daily topical application of MEL (∼1.9-fold increase, \( p < 0.001 \)) or CTX–MEL (∼2.8-fold increase, \( p < 0.001 \)), but not CTX (∼1.2-fold increase, not significant), was able to significantly up-regulate the mRNA levels of Col1A1 compared to the tissues obtained from animals belonging to the negative control group (Figure 6A).

Figure 6. Effect of CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL nanocomplex on mRNA expression of (A) Col1A1 and (B) hydroxyproline content at day 14. The abundance of Col1A1 mRNA is expressed relative to the abundance of GAPDH mRNA. Negative control refers to rats that received topical plain vehicle consisting of HPMC-based hydrogel (1.5% \( w/v \)) on the wound area, while positive control refers to animals that received 0.5 g of Mebo\textsuperscript{TM} ointment on the wound area. Data are expressed as mean (\( n = 6 \)) ± SD. *** Significantly different vs. negative control, \( p < 0.001 \); ### significantly different vs. positive control, \( p < 0.001 \); φφφ significantly different vs. CTX, \( p < 0.001 \); φφφφ significantly different vs. CTX, \( p < 0.001 \); 000 significantly different vs. CTX, \( p < 0.001 \).
It is worthy of note that the daily topical application of CTX–MEL led to an up-regulation of Col1A1, significantly increased when compared to the positive control, CTX, or MEL used individually ($p < 0.001$ vs. all of them). The ability of CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL to enhance the production of collagen was confirmed by assessing skin hydroxyproline content in the different experimental groups. In fact, all treatments significantly enhanced hydroxyproline content as compared to the tissues obtained from animals belonging to the negative control group. Once again, the strongest inductive effect was observed in the CTX–MEL group ($p < 0.001$ vs. positive control and MEL; $p < 0.01$ vs. CTX) (Figure 6A).

3.7. Immunohistochemical Assessment of VEGF-A and TGF-β1 Expression

The data presented in Figure 7 indicate that skin tissues obtained from animals part of the negative control group showed relatively lower expression of VEGF-A (Figure 7A).

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Immunohistochemical assessment of VEGF-A expression in skin tissues obtained from diabetic rats belonging to the different experimental groups. (A) Negative control, (B) positive control, (C) CTX, (D) melittin, (E) CTX–MEL, (F) quantitative representation of VEGF-A expression. Negative control refers to rats that received topical plain vehicle consisting of HPMC-based hydrogel (1.5% w/v) on the wound area, while positive control refers to animals that received 0.5 g of MeboTM ointment on the wound area. Data are expressed as mean ± SD, ($n = 6$). ** Significantly different vs. negative control, $p < 0.01$; *** significantly different vs. negative control, $p < 0.001$; ## significantly different vs. positive control, $p < 0.01$; ### significantly different vs. positive control, $p < 0.001$; ⋆ significantly different vs. CTX, $p < 0.05$; ⋆⋆⋆⋆ significantly different vs. CTX, $p < 0.001$; ⋆⋆⋆⭐ significantly different vs. CTX, $p < 0.001$.**
By contrast, the topical application of CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL resulted in a significant increase in VEGF-A by 48, 85, or 153%, respectively, as compared to the values measured for the tissues of animals belonging to the negative control group. As previously observed when measuring pro-inflammatory and fibrosis markers, the strongest effect was observed in the case of CTX–MEL treatment ($p < 0.001$ vs. positive control; $p < 0.001$ vs. CTX and MEL).

An analogous trend was observed when measuring TGF-β1 protein expression. The daily topical application of CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL resulted in a significant increase in TGF-β1 by 65, 126, or 184%, respectively, as compared to negative control group, with the maximal effect observed for CTX–MEL treatment ($p < 0.001$ vs. all other experimental conditions) (Figure 8).

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** Immunohistochemical assessment of TGF-β1 expression in skin tissues obtained from diabetic rats belonging to the different experimental groups. (A) Negative control, (B) positive control, (C) CTX, (D) melittin, (E) CTX–MEL, (F) quantitative representation of TGF-β1 expression. Negative control refers to rats that received topical plain vehicle consisting of HPMC-based hydrogel (1.5% w/v) on the wound area, while positive control refers to animals that received 0.5 g of Mebo™ ointment on the wound area. Data are expressed as mean ± SD, ($n = 6$). *** Significantly different vs. negative control, $p < 0.001$; ### significantly different vs. positive control, $p < 0.001$; ΔΔΔΔ significantly different vs. CTX, $p < 0.001$; 0000 significantly different vs. CTX, $p < 0.001$. 

By contrast, the maximal effect observed for CTX–MEL treatment (Figure 8).
4. Discussion

Wound healing in uncontrolled diabetes represents a slow process that, if not well managed, could lead to clinically relevant complications [35]. In the present study, the wound healing properties of a novel CTX–MEL nanocomplex formulation were investigated in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats to assess whether these two compounds can synergistically interact given an enhancement of the wound healing process [23,24]. The MEL–CTX nanocomplex showed a particle size of less than 100 nm (98.7 ± 21.4 nm) with a zeta potential value of 27.6 ± 3.2 mV (Figure 1), a value very close to the optimum zeta potential in terms of particle stability (∼30 mV). Furthermore, as already showed in previous research reports, the above particle size value makes it possible to achieve the maximum cellular uptake [32,33]. It has also been demonstrated that positively charged nanobodies enhance cellular translocation, also improving the stability of the optimized formulation compared to the free drugs individually considered [36]. In this context, it is important to recall that one of the essential measures for colloidal dispersion stability corresponds to the zeta potential, affecting numerous characteristics of the formulation such as efficiency and performance, thus retaining a more stable product.

After its preparation and characterization, the CTX–MEL nanocomplex formulation, containing CTX and MEL in a 1:2 ratio, was used in an in vivo model of acute wound (diabetic rats) in order to test its preclinical efficacy. This new formulation, most of the time, exhibited wound healing properties even better than the commercial ointment Mebo™, representing our positive control. As clearly shown in Figure 2, the daily topical application of the CTX–MEL nanocomplex for 14 days on the created wound (1 cm diameter), boosted the wound healing process leading to a total recovery, whereas an incomplete recovery was noted in Mebo™, CTX, and MEL treatments. In this respect, it is worth mentioning that wound closure after 14 days for all the four treatments considered in this study was found to be statistically significant in comparison to untreated diabetic rats, even though the CTX–MEL nanocomplex application was also significantly better than all the other treatments (Figure 2), thus suggesting an enhancement of the pharmacological activities of CTX and MEL when they are combined in this new nanocomplex formulation. The wound healing capabilities of the CTX–MEL nanocomplex were further evaluated histologically, showing the ability of this formulation to decrease the infiltration of inflammatory cells and enhance tissues’ healing parameters, which are expected to speed up the recovery process (Figure 3 and Table 2). These results are very relevant when considering the insufficient inflammatory response observed during the early stage of the wound and the consequent high number of infiltrating cells [37].

MDA represent a well-known index of oxidative stress [38,39], which exerts a pivotal role in the pathophysiology of wound healing [40] and in the development of diabetes mellitus and its complications [41]. Tissue injury has been reported to put the wounded tissues under oxidative stress, and different studies have reported that tissues under injury/inflammation present high MDA levels and decreased antioxidant defense, e.g., decreased SOD levels/activity [42–44]. Starting from this evidence, we studied the tissue levels of both MDA and SOD in untreated diabetic rats as well as in diabetic rats subjected to Mebo™, CTX, MEL, or CTX–MEL treatments. An effective wound healing agent is expected to reduce the MDA and enhance, or at least restore, SOD tissue levels, favoring the wound healing process. All the four treatments were found to significantly reduce the MDA tissue levels in comparison to untreated diabetic rats (Figure 4A), with the CTX–MEL nanocomplex giving the highest response. Of note, the CTX–MEL nanocomplex was the only treatment able to significantly enhance SOD tissue levels (Figure 4B). The ability of MEL to reduce oxidative stress has been previously reported in rodent models [11,45], while CTX has also been reported to possess antioxidant activity in addition to its well-known anti-inflammatory activity when used alone [17,46]. Despite their activity when used individually, our results show, once again, that CTX and MEL, formulated as a nanocomplex, synergistically interact potentiating their activity and, finally, promoting wound healing in diabetic rats.
Inflammatory markers such as IL-6 and TNF-α are reported to be over-expressed in injured tissues [47] as well as in diabetes [48], and an effective wound healing agent is expected to suppress them. In the present study, both IL-6 and TNF-α were quantified on day 14 in the skin tissues of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. The levels of IL-6 and TNF-α were significantly reduced by all the four treatments in comparison to untreated diabetic rats (Figure 5). As observed in the case of wound closure and SOD levels, the CTX–MEL nanocomplex showed the maximal activity, giving effects significantly better than all the remaining treatments.

The final stage of wound healing is represented by the remodeling characterized by a balance between degradation and synthesis that, in the best scenario, should lead to the closure of the wound with minimal scarring. In this context, an important role is played by collagen and hydroxyproline [49–51]. Results from this study indicated increased gene expression levels of Col1A1 in all treatment groups in comparison to untreated group, with exception of CTX (Figure 6A). In line with this findings, all the treatments were able to significantly enhance hydroxyproline tissue levels in comparison to tissues obtained from animals belonging to the negative control group (Figure 6B). The enhancement observed for Col1A1 and hydroxyproline could be relevant for diabetic subjects, since the decrease in collagen deposition during wound repair has been identified as a key factor contributing to the development of chronic diabetic wounds [52]. Both sets of results demonstrated that the CTX–MEL nanocomplex is the most effective treatment in increasing the tissue levels of wound healing fibrosis markers, being more effective than the individual treatments (CTX or MEL), including the positive control treatment represented by MeboTM. In this study, we further explored the effects of the different treatments on VEGF-A and TGF-β1 tissue factors, which are known to play an important role in the tissue recovery linked to the wound healing process [53–56]. TGF-β1 is a multifunctional cytokine [57–59], which plays an essential role in all stages of wound healing [54,60]. We found that all the four treatments significantly increased tissue levels of VEGF-A and TGF-β1 compared to untreated control diabetic rats (Figures 7 and 8), but the CTX–MEL nanocomplex treatment was the one giving the highest effects, always significantly enhanced compared to all the remaining treatments, representing an additional proof of the synergistic activity of CTX and MEL when formulated into nanocomplexes.

5. Conclusions

The present study shows the therapeutic potential of the novel CTX–MEL ion-pairing nanocomplex embedded in hydrogels for the treatment of diabetes and the related delay in acute wound healing. The nanocomplex, characterized by the optimal combination of particle size and zeta potential, showed enhanced wound healing properties compared to all the other experimental conditions considered, including the one employing the commercially available ointment MeboTM, following 14 days of daily topical application. The synergistic activity of the CTX–MEL nanocomplex in improving the wound healing process, both morphologically and at molecular level, can be attributed to its ability to counteract oxidative stress (decreased MDA and increased SOD), inflammation (decreased IL-6 and TNF-α levels), as well as to its collagen-enhancing activities (increased Col1A1 gene expression and hydroxyproline levels). The CTX–MEL nanocomplex was also found to significantly increase tissue levels of VEGF-A and TGF-β1 in diabetic rats, two factors playing an important role in the tissue recovery linked to the wound healing process. Overall, these findings highlight the therapeutic potential of the new CTX–MEL formulation that, we believe, represents a novel pharmacological tool to be used in vivo and able to enhance the wound healing process in diabetes.
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