Convergent community structure of algal–bacterial consortia and its effects on advanced wastewater treatment and biomass production
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Microalgal-bacterial consortium is an effective way to meet increasingly stringent standards in wastewater treatment. However, the mechanism of wastewater removal effect has not been properly explained in community structure by phycosphere. And little is known about that the concept of macroecology was introduced into phycosphere to explain the phenomenon. In the study, the algal–bacterial consortia with different ratios of algae and sludge were cultured in same aerobic wastewater within 48 h in photobioreactors (PSBRs). Community structure at start and end was texted by metagenomic analysis. Bray–Curtis similarities analysis based on microbial community showed that there was obvious convergent succession in all consortia, which is well known as “convergence” in macroecology. The result showed that Bray–Curtis similarities at End (overall above 0.88) were higher than these at Start (almost less than 0.66). In terms of community structure, the consortium with 5:1 ratio at Start are the more similar with the consortia at End by which the maximum removal of total dissolved nitrogen (TDN, 73.69%), total dissolved phosphorus (TDP, 94.40%) and NH3-N (93.26%) in wastewater treatment process and biomass production (98.2%) higher than other consortia, according with climax community in macroecology with the highest resource utilization than other communities. Therefore, the macroecology can be introduced into phycosphere to explain the consortium for advanced wastewater treatment and optimization community structure. And the study revealed a novel insight into treatment effect and community structure of algal–bacterial consortia for advanced wastewater treatment, a new idea for to shortening the culture time of consortium and optimize predicting their ecological community structure and predicting ecological community.

Most suspended solids and organic pollutants can be removed by primary and secondary treatment of wastewater. Then the removal effect of nitrogen and phosphorus needs additional tertiary treatment to realize nitrogen and phosphorus removal, which increases the cost of wastewater treatment. Wastewater treatment needs additional energy and steps to realize nitrogen and phosphorus removal, which increases the cost of wastewater treatment1. The cost of aeration in the activated sludge process accounts for 45–75% of the cost of wastewater treatment2. In this process, the emissions of carbon dioxide and nitrogen oxide also caused environmental pollution3–5. In addition, excess sludge is also a “pollution transfer”2.

Microalgae has overcome the limitations of traditional wastewater treatment methods6,7. In recent years, the research on the absorption and transformation of nitrogen and phosphorus by the symbiotic system of algae and fine bacteria has aroused widespread concern8. The algal–bacterial consortium system has been favored by scholars due to its unique characteristics, such as reduced power consumption and biomass refinability9. Its principle is to use the relationship between algal bacteria and community structure to promote the effect of removing organic pollutants by heterotrophic bacteria and N, P by algae from wastewater10. Aeration provides excess oxygen to COD-degrading bacteria. Obviously, oxygen provided by algae photosynthesis is not useful for bacteria to remove COD. Therefore, bacteria have a dominant position in the community in the group with high COD removal efficiency. For example, the high algae/sludge ratios constitute algal-dominated algal–bacterial consortia which remove the nitrogen and phosphorus by algae absorption11. However, when the concentration...
of activated sludge is higher than that of algae in consortia, it shows better COD removal ability. Due to poor photosynthesis, denitrification depends on nitrifying bacteria and denitrifying bacteria. In addition, hydraulic retention time (HRT) were reduced by highly mixed liquid suspended solids. There is a suitable example that the high rate alga pond (HRAP) the sufficient mixing with mechanical mixing by paddlewheels reduced prominently HRT than stabilization ponds. For example, Solimeno et al. argued that HRAP system with the benefit of wastewater treatment effect from alga-bacteria co-culture makes them more attention over stabilization ponds. And they found average removal efficiency of ammonium goes up to 92% in HRAP model. Besides, improving biomass production of algal–bacterial consortia was widely reported, such as operational strategies optimizing (rector optimizing, HRT and additional CO2), consortia recirculation and pre-disinfection. However, the competitive relation between algae and bacteria was reflected by the nutrient competition. Therefore, suitable Light intensity and resource concentration are worth considering.

Although some studies involve the influence of algal and bacteria community structure on wastewater treatment, there is no systematic theories to explain these results, and many of them are contradictory. For example, both consortium at the algae–bacteria ratio of 1:310 and 5:110 have not similar N removal effects (89.0% and 91.0% respectively). This is largely due to the lack of an agreed methodology for measuring structural changes in the algal bacteria consortium.

Phycosphere refers to micro-environment, which the algal–bacterial consortia and the surrounding micro-bio, ecological factors and nutrients. The environment, which has resources, light, dissolved oxygen, and stable biological relationships suitable for the development, conforms to the relevant characteristics of the climax community in macroecology. Phycosphere is an ecosystem formed by microorganisms. Thus, the theory well-established in macroecologically theory can be tried to be introduced into phycosphere. In fact, macroecological theory has been widely applied to the study of microbial community structure and community relations. For example, the phylogenetic composition and community structure of planktonic bacteria communities in eutrophic floc lake and clear water lakes were analyzed by 16S RNA sequencing. In addition, community structure of activated sludge also verified the mechanism of wastewater treatment. However, there are few studies on the relationship between the phycosphere from the ecological point of view.

Community succession is a non-static process, which refers to the process that the community structure developed into a specific community structure with the passage of time, which is related to environmental factors, resource and community relations. In macroecology, it is generally believed that under certain resources and environmental conditions, different biological communities will succeed to a specific climax community, which can maximize the use of resources and get the best biomass production. Whether there is such a succession result in algae microecology is worth studying, which is of great significance to predict the microbial community structure and shorten the incubation time. The objective method to evaluate the similarity of community is to use the statistical index reflecting the difference in community composition. For this reason, dozens of similarity and dissimilarity coefficients (indices) have been designed to compare diversity differences among biota. Bray–Curtis Distance is used to measure the difference of species composition in different areas in ecology, which can calculate the quantitative characteristics of different species composition in biological samples. It has been widely used in the similarity analysis of bacterial community, algae bloom and aquatic community. It is considered to be the best criterion for reflecting the diversity of different algae communities. The advantage is that using the abundance of different species as the input data to get the results of the difference in the structure of different groups of algae. The results are in line with the expectations. Besides, the Bray–Curtis index has been applied into the field of microecology research in recent years, used as an index, to analyze the similarity of microbial community and the distribution of functional genes. Therefore, it is a good choice to apply Bray–Curtis index to the phycosphere.

In the research, five different communities constructed by different biomass ratios of algae and bacteria were cultured in the secondary effluent in the same water quality index within 48 h in PSBR. The community structure was sequenced by metagenomics. The purpose of this study was to explore whether the idea of climax community in macroecology and convergence of community succession could be applied to the phycosphere by Bray–Curtis similarity.

Materials and methods

Cultures and media. In this study, the culture was mixed with natural algae community and activated sludge in different proportions. The active algae culture community was isolated from Yingxue Lake of Shandong Jianzhu University and cultured in BG11 medium to logarithmic growth period, when the activity of algae was better than other period. Activated sludge was collected from Reclaimed Water Station of Shandong Jianzhu University, and cultured in artificial wastewater.

The mixing ratio of algae and activate sludge (dry weight) are as follows: 10:1, 5:1, 1:1, 1:5 and 1:10 (as donations from R1 to R5). In the same wastewater environment, different consortia were cultivated under the same process conditions, such as light intensity, aeration rate, temperature and carbon dioxide consumption.

In this study, the artificial wastewater and secondary effluent from the wastewater treatment plant of Shandong Jianzhu University were taken as research objects. The water quality compositions are (mg L⁻¹): Chemical oxygen demand (COD) 110–150, Total phosphorus (TDP) 3–5, Total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) 20–40, Ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) 10–20. And the pH was 7.01–7.05. The artificial wastewater is follows (g L⁻¹): NaAH 0.51, MgSO4 0.09, CaCl2 0.014, Na₂HPO₄ 0.15, Yeast 0.01 and 1 mL trace elements including (g/L): ZnSO₄·7H₂O 0.12, H₃BO₃ 0.12, CuSO₄·5H₂O 0.12, MnCl₂·4H₂O 0.12, KI 0.18, Na₂MoO₄ 0.06, EDTA 1, FeSO₄·7H₂O 1.54, CoCl₂·6H₂O 1.5.

Experimental setup. The biomass concentration (dry weight) of algae and bacteria community was 500 mg L⁻¹. The dry weight process was: The samples were filtered out of liquid and dried at 105 °C to constant weight.
The working volume of the reactor is 3 L, which is made of transparent organic glass. The reactor has a height of 300 mm, the inner diameter of 120 mm, and the wall thickness of 5 mm. The bottom of the reactor is provided with an air inlet which is connected with the aeration head. The top of the reactor is respectively provided with an air outlet and a spare feed inlet. The photobioreactor is shown in Fig. 1.

The experiments were conducted with mature algal–bacterial consortia in 5 uniform photobioreactors. The initial biomass concentration was 500 mg L\(^{-1}\); The temperature was 24 degrees; The 95:5 airflow-CO\(_2\) (v/v) gas mixture into the bottom of the PSBRs with a speed of 0.6 L min\(^{-1}\) for each column to agitate the algal broth as well as supply carbon from air. The rubber-pipes were connected with glass connector to convey to the aeration head. The purity of CO\(_2\) was 99%. The average illuminance was 4000 Lux. The period of batch operation was set at 48 h.

**Analytical methods.** In each cycle, samples were collected at intervals of 8 h, and then centrifuged at 4000 r/min for 10 min. The supernatants of samples filtered through 0.45 mm filter for further analysis, and were used for detecting Chemical oxygen demand (COD) determined by Dichromate method (HJ 828-2017), Total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) determined by Ammonium molybdate spectrophotometric method (GB 11893-89), Total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) determined by Alkaline potassium persulfate digestion UV spectrophotometric method (HJ 636-2012) and Ammonia nitrogen (NH\(_3\)-N) determined by Nessler’s reagent spectrophotometry (HJ 535-2009). The standard of methods were determined according to the national standards (Chinese state standard monitoring method)\(^27\).

The pH was determined to use a PHS-3B pH meter. The biomass concentration was estimated by dry weight (DW) measurement\(^26\). Each sample was set in triplicate to eliminate unexpected errors.

**Microbial structure analysis.** Microbial samples were collected at the start-up stage (Start, the 0 h) and the end of operation stage (End, the 48th h), and then stored at − 80 °C until the genomic DNA was extracted. According to manufacturer’s agreement, microbial DNA was extracted by using the Tiangen DP329 DNA Kit (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China). The concentrations and purity of DNA samples were detected by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The extracted DNA were amplified by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) (98 °C pre-degeneration for 1 min, followed by 30 cycles at 98 °C for 10 s, 50°C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s and a final extension 72 °C for 5 min). For metagenomics analysis, Illumina Trueq DNA PCR-Free Library Preparation Kit was used to construct the Library\(^28\). After Qubit quantification and Library test, Novaseq 6000 was used for online sequencing.

**Similarity analysis of community structure.** The Bray–Curtis similarity was shown via Eq. (1).

\[
D_{\text{Bray–Curtis}} = 1 - 2 \sum \frac{\min(S_{A,i}, S_{B,i})}{S_{A,i} + S_{B,i}}
\]

where \(S_{A,i}\), \(S_{B,i}\) are counts of \(i\) species in community A and community B, respectively. The range from 0 to 1. The closer the value is to 1, the more similar the two communities become. On the contrary, the closer to 0, the greater the difference between the two communities. Analysis of similarities (ANOSIM), based on Bray–Curtis coefficient, may visualize the coefficients and show its significance. The R value was shown via Eq. (2).

\[
R = \frac{r_b - r_w}{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n(n-1)}},
\]

where \(r_b\), \(r_w\) are counts of mean rank of between and within group dissimilarities, respectively. The R in the range of – 1 to 1. When \(R > 0\), it is significant in group. When \(R < 0\), the group is meaningless.

---

**Figure 1.** PSBR with Consortia with algae/sludge 10:1, 5:1, 1:1, 1:5 and 1:10 (donated as R1 to R5).
The Bray–Curtis coefficient and ANOSIM are conducted by PAST 4.0 (http://folk.uio.no/ohammer).

Results
Wastewater remediation. Figure 2 showed the nutrient removal of R1 to R5 in PSBR. The TDN removal efficiency in the PSBRs with R1 to R5 were reached to 66.87%, 73.69%, 69.99%, 61.95% and 60.93% respectively after 48 h (Table 1). The PSBR with R2 exhibited the highest and fastest TDN removal, compared with other consortia. In the first 8 h of the reaction, the removal rate of TDN was not significant. Since then, the removal rate of TDN has been faster than before, especially for R2. Similarly, the NH3-N removal efficiency in the PSBRs with R1 to R5 were reached to 87.78%, 93.26%, 86.30%, 86.45% and 87.08% respectively after 48 h (Table 1). The PSBR with R2 exhibited the highest and fastest NH3-N removal, compared with other consortia. The TDP removal in these complexes was also investigated. The fastest and highest TDP removal was found in these consortia.
inoculated with R2 (94.40%), followed by R3, R4, R1 and R5, respectively 89.58%, 87.69%, 86.64%, and 80.63% respectively. Between the 8th and the 16th h, the concentration of TDP decreased significantly with R1 to R5. The mineralization abilities of COD were investigated. The corresponding COD removal efficiencies with R1 to R5 were 35.75%, 38.68%, 44.34%, 55.19% and 43.26% respectively (Table 1). The fastest and highest COD removal efficiency was found in these complexes inoculated with R5. It was obvious that the COD removal efficiencies with R1 and R5, whose difference in the amount of sludge between them. It’s worth noting that the nutrient removal not only is related to the algae concentration, but also high concentration activated sludge affect the removal efficiency at initial stage (N and P removal efficiency R5 > R3 > R4). Within 48 h, the pH value of all the consortia increased. The maximum pH was R3 and minimum was R5. The range of pH was 8.5 to 10.5. After 32 h, the pH value was relatively stable.

**Biomass accumulation.** Figure 3 shows the increase in the biomass concentration. The biomass concentration of algal–bacterial consortia increased significantly after the absorption of nutrients. The biomass productivity of R1 to R5 was 72.2%, 98.2%, 89.80%, 69.00% and 62.40% respectively (Table 1). Obviously, the highest growth efficiency of biomass was R2. The biomass productivity of the complex with high algae concentration were higher than that of the complex with high activated sludge. However, the biomass productivity of R2 (98.2%) was higher than that of R1 (72.2%), and the algae concentration than R2 is higher. On the contrary, the biomass productivity of the consortium of R4 (69.00%) was higher than R5 (64.20%) in high algae concentration. The 24th h was an important node. After that, the efficiency of increasing biomass concentration has been improved significantly. The time point for nitrogen removal efficiency improvement was also 24 h. However, TDP removal effect was bigger and faster before the 18th h.

**Convergence of community structure.** Microbial community structure at Start. As shown in Fig. 4 and Table S1, the composition of native algae community is mainly *Chlorella* sp. and *Scenedesmus* sp. by the microscope of R1 to R5. At the same time, some cyanobacteria, such as, *Anabaena* sp. and *Oscillatoria* sp. have also been observed with all consortia.

At Start, the microbial communities were striking separation in R1 to R5, with algae accounting for 92.46%, 86.28%, 59.60%, 32.84% and 27.30% respectively (Table 2); Bacteria community account for 7.541%, 13.72%, 40.40%, 67.12% and 72.70% (Table 2), respectively, which is not similar to each other by Bray–Curtis similarity. As shown in Table 3, the ratio of algae community related to photosynthesis and bacteria community about heterotroph was 12.26:1, 6.29:1, 1.48:1, 1:2.04 and 1:2.66 respectively at Start. The taxonomic classifications of bacterial reads at the phyla level were depicted in Fig. 4. *Proteobacteria* with these consortia were related to nutrient removal. However, *Proteobacteria* remained the dominant species with consortia, microbial function shown in Table 3.

Microbial community structure at End. At End, the community related to nutrient removal declined in bacteria community with all consortia. For example, the bacteria community related to denitrification process (Table S1), such as *Pseudomonas*, changed to weak community, down to 0.22%, 1.92%, 0.49%, 0.40% and 0.67%, respectively (Table S1). However, the ratio of algae community related to photosynthesis and bacteria community about heterotroph was 10.10:1, 6.58:1, 6.32:1, 6.06:1 and 5.05:1 respectively (Table 2).

**Convergence of community succession.** All consortia with R1 to R5 at End was similarity to each other by Bray–Curtis similarity, which the succession of community from R1 to R5 has convergence. The Bray–Curtis simi-
Arality in R2 was smallest than other consortia, followed by R1, R3, R4 and R5 (0.88, 0.89, 0.58, 0.25 and 0.18 respectively). The highest nitrogen, phosphorus removal efficiencies and biomass productivity were observed with 5:1 algae and sludge consortium (73.7%, 94.3% and 98.2% respectively) within 48 h, which was higher and faster than those with other ratios. The proportion of autotrophic microorganism community and heterotrophic microorganism, 86.815% and 13.185% at End respectively (Table S1), got tiny changed with the process of wastewater treatment which was named SDJZ-CC1.

![Figure 4](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/) Algal–bacterial Consortia multistage species composition at the Start and End. Consortia with algae/sludge 10:1, 5:1, 1:1, 1:5 and 1:10 (donated as R1 to R5). Start (the operation start-up, the 0 h) and End (the operation end, the 48th h). Autotroph, heterotroph, other of algae, other of bacteria (donated as I to IV). Chlorophyta, Chlorophyta, Cyanophyta, Chloroflexi, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Bacteroidetes and Nitrospirae in Phylum (donated as A to F). Chlorophyceae, Chlorophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Unclassified_p-Chloroflexi, proteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, proteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria, Unclassified_p-Bacteroidetes, Unclassified_p-Bacteroidetes, Nitrospirales in Class (donated as a to o). Chlorococcales, Nostocales, Unclassified_p-Chloroflexi, Pseudomonadales, Burkholderiales, Unclassified_c-Betaproteobacteria, Pseudomonadales, Burkholderiales, Unclassified_c-Gammaproteobacteria, Rhodobacterales, Myxococcales, Unclassified_c-Alphaproteobacteria, Thaumarchaeota, Unclassified_p-Bacteroidetes, Nitrospirales in Order (donated as 1 to 15). Chlorella, Scenedesmus, Anabaena, Spirulina, Unclassified_p-Chloroflexi, Acinetobacter, Unclassified_o-Pseudomonadales, Burkholderiales, Unclassified_c-Betaproteobacteria, Pseudomonas, Comamonas, Unclassified_c-Gammaproteobacteria, Unclassified_o-Rhodobacterales, Unclassified_o-Myxococcales, Unclassified_c-Alphaproteobacteria, Thaumarchaeota, Unclassified_p-Proteobacteria, Unclassified_p-Bacteroidetes, Nitrospira donated as (1) to (19).

| Community | Abundance | Autotrophic microorganism (%) | Heterotrophic microorganism (%) | Ratio |
|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| Start     |           |                              |                                 |       |
| R1        | 92.459    | 7.541                        | 12.26:1                         |       |
| R2        | 86.282    | 13.718                       | 6.29:1                          |       |
| R3        | 59.598    | 40.402                       | 1.48:1                          |       |
| R4        | 32.844    | 67.156                       | 1.20:1                          |       |
| R5        | 27.301    | 72.699                       | 1:2.66                          |       |
| End       |           |                              |                                 |       |
| R1        | 90.992    | 9.008                        | 10.10:1                         |       |
| R2        | 86.815    | 13.185                       | 6.58:1                          |       |
| R3        | 86.347    | 13.653                       | 6.32:1                          |       |
| R4        | 85.832    | 14.168                       | 6.06:1                          |       |
| R5        | 83.474    | 16.526                       | 5.05:1                          |       |

Table 2. The abundance of autotrophic micro-organism and heterotrophic microorganism. Consortia with algae/sludge 10:1, 5:1, 1:1, 1:5 and 1:10 (donated as R1 to R5). Start (the operation start-up, the 0 h) and End (the operation end, the 48th h).
Discussion

Introduction of macroecology theory into phycosphere. The theories of phycosphere space–time evolution was only guided by the judgement of microbiologist Beijerinck that “environmental conditions determine microbes”34. In fact, phycosphere is a complete ecosystem35. Inorganic components including matter and energy, while producers, consumers and decomposers are composed of microbes36. Therefore, the macroecology should be introduced into revealing the mechanism of algae-bacterial consortium in phycosphere. At first, the community succession refers to the adjustment of the community structure and relationship between algae and bacteria under the influence of ecological factors such as nutrition, DO, pH, temperature and light37. The result of community succession is the Climax Community in which the most complex community structure and the most diverse community relationships exist under the specific nutrient conditions in wastewater19. The efficient use of nutrients is maximized in climax community in phycosphere. There is an optimum treatment effect to remove C, N and P for the algal–bacterial consortium in climax community. Therefore, the component with the best treatment effect in wastewater existed the climax community in secondary effluent. R2 gradually succeeded to the climax community in secondary effluent treatment process.

Producers (autotrophs) are composed of microalgae or autotrophs38. Producers, such as algae, cyanobacteria or photosynthetic bacteria, can absorb the light energy and inorganic carbon in wastewater and convert it into organic matter to fix into the algal- bacterial consortia by photosynthesis39. Inorganic nitrogen is brought into organic nitrogen by nitrifying bacteria, such as Nitrospira. Producers convert inorganic carbon or inorganic nitrogen into organic forms in the phycosphere. Organizers participate in material circulation and signal transmission of the consortium. For example, the microalgae fix the inorganic carbon into organic carbon that is oxidized by bacteria to get energy. The CO2 produced by bacterial oxidation is carbon source for photosynthesis of algae. The Decomposer can convert organic matter into inorganic matter. For example, Acinetobacter, the aerobic bacteria which can remove COD, oxidizes the organic carbon source into CO2 to the growth of algae.

The community convergence is a product of community succession in the phycosphere. It is universally accepted that resources determine the direction of community convergence and there is only one climax community where resource and environmental conditions are established. Dominant populations dominate resources of the ecosystem. The number of competitors is limited and co-living may promote each other's growth. Community succession needs to be proven to be utilized by Community similarity analysis which needs statistical tools. Bray–Curtis similarity is often used by ecologists to quantify differences between samples based on abundance or counting data. In essence, it refers to a quantitative value, the size of which reflects the difference in community species composition between different samples within each group30. Bray–Curtis similarity clustered similar DNA sequences into a smaller number of taxa to improve the efficiency of analysis and analytical accuracy34.

Driving force of community succession. Nutrients (resource) drive the direction of succession of communities39,40. The level of nutrients determines the end point of the development of the ecological community19. And the ecological factors determine the development process of ecological community39. In this study, great utilization of nitrogen and phosphorus and aerobic environment caused by the photosynthesis and aeration led to autotrophic succession39. Therefore, convergence of succession occurs commonly in all consortia in the study (Table 4, Fig. 3). The efficiency of resource utilization by the community is consistent with the

| Genus                     | Function                          | References |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Chlorella                | Absorbing inorganic carbon, nutrient removal | 32         |
| Scenedesmus              | Absorbing inorganic carbon, nutrient removal | 33         |
| Anabaena                 | Absorbing inorganic carbon, nutrient removal | 37         |
| Oscillatoria             | Absorbing inorganic carbon, nutrient removal | 30         |
| Acinetobacter            | COD removal                        | 31         |
| Unclassified Pseudomonadales | Heterotrophic nitrification, phosphorus removal | 34         |
| Unclassified Proteobacteria | COD removal                        | 32         |
| Unclassified Bacteroidetes | Anaerobic reaction                | 32         |
| Burkholderiales          | Ammoxidation                       | 39         |
| Unclassified Betaproteobacteria | Ammoxidation                       | 32         |
| Pseudomonas              | Heterotrophic nitrification, denitrification | 34         |
| Comamonas               | Ammoxidation                       | 34         |
| Nitrospira               | Nitrination                        | 34         |
| Unclassified_p_Chloroflexi | Photosynthesis, nutrient removal    | 32         |
| Unclassified_c_Gammaproteobacteria | COD removal                        | 33         |
| Rhodobacterales          | Denitrification                    | 35         |
| Unclassified_o_Myxococcales | Nitrogen removal                  | 32         |
| Unclassified_c_Alphaproteobacteria | Anaerobic oxidation              | 32         |
| Thauera                  | Heterotrophic nitrification, Phosphorus removal | 37         |

Table 3. The function and abundance of the bacteria in genus in reactors at different stages.
teria inhibited the symbiosis between algae and bacteria. It is generally believed that the symbiotic relationship affected the NH3-N removal. With pH value increasing, the free ammonia can be formed and volatilized. In addition, the removal of TDP was independent of the bacteria community since phosphorus accumulating trophic microorganisms with the largest number in the climax community are called the dominant species. In a similar wastewater environment (about NH3-N 20 and TDP 4 mg L\(^{-1}\) in the initial), the results obtained with previous literature, Liang et al. achieved higher removal efficiencies of NH3-N (86.0%) and TDP (93.0%).

Removal of NH3-N is preferred over TDN due to nitrification by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, converting NH3-N to nitrate nitrogen which is good for absorption. The growth of microalgae community increased the ability to absorb nutrition. The microalgae community was the dominant species which gives priority to nutrition (Fig. 4). Therefore, competitive relations which occur between microcystis and algimolytic bacteria may arise between microalgae communities and nitrifying bacteria, such as Pseudomonadalia, Nitrosospira (Fig. 4). The ratio of Chlorella and Scenedesmus increased due to the better absorptive capacity of Chlorella than Scenedesmus to produce bio-oil (Fig. 4). The similarity lies in the growth of Anabaena and Oscillatoria, belonging to cyanobacteria, decreased due to the competition among the microalgae community. In connection with previous literature, Liang et al. achieved higher removal efficiencies of NH3-N (86.0%) and TDP (93.0%).

Table 4. Bray–Curtis Similarity index of R1 to R5 at Stage A and Stage B. R = 0.452, p = 0.0083. Consortia with algae/sludge 10:1, 5:1, 1:1, 1:5 and 1:10 (donated as R1 to R5). Start (the operation start-up, the 0 h) and End (the operation end, the 48th h).

|       | Start |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|       | R1    | R2    | R3    | R4    | R5    | R1    | R2    | R3    | R4    | R5    |
| R1    | 1     | 0.92  | 0.60  | 0.26  | 0.19  | 0.91  | 0.88  | 0.91  | 0.90  | 0.88  |
| R2    | 0.92  | 1     | 0.67  | 0.33  | 0.27  | 0.88  | 0.89  | 0.91  | 0.92  | 0.92  |
| R3    | 0.60  | 0.67  | 1     | 0.66  | 0.59  | 0.55  | 0.58  | 0.61  | 0.63  | 0.66  |
| R4    | 0.26  | 0.33  | 0.66  | 1     | 0.93  | 0.21  | 0.25  | 0.27  | 0.29  | 0.32  |
| R5    | 0.19  | 0.27  | 0.59  | 0.93  | 1     | 0.15  | 0.18  | 0.21  | 0.22  | 0.25  |
|       | R1    | R2    | R3    | R4    | R5    | R1    | R2    | R3    | R4    | R5    |
| R1    | 0.91  | 0.88  | 0.55  | 0.21  | 0.15  | 1     | 0.93  | 0.94  | 0.90  | 0.89  |
| R2    | 0.89  | 0.89  | 0.58  | 0.25  | 0.18  | 0.93  | 1     | 0.94  | 0.94  | 0.91  |
| R3    | 0.91  | 0.91  | 0.61  | 0.27  | 0.21  | 0.94  | 0.94  | 1     | 0.95  | 0.95  |
| R4    | 0.90  | 0.92  | 0.63  | 0.29  | 0.22  | 0.90  | 0.94  | 0.95  | 1     | 0.95  |
| R5    | 0.88  | 0.92  | 0.66  | 0.32  | 0.25  | 0.89  | 0.91  | 0.95  | 0.95  | 1     |

Effects of community structure on wastewater treatment process. The autotrophs or heterotrophic microorganisms with the largest number in the climax community are called the dominant species. The dominant species play an important role in the removal of nutrients. In autotrophic microorganism dominant species, the removal of TDP was independent of the bacteria community since phosphorus accumulating bacteria can become dominant species in the alternate of aerobic and anaerobic process. However, R2 developed to the Climax Community faster when using environmental resources. Because R2 at Start had better ability of assimilation of nitrogen and phosphorus and coordinated symbiosis between biological communities. This result can be used to select appropriate algae and bacterial consortia to optimize wastewater removal efficiency and improve the biomass production capacity. The SDJZ-CC1 exists in an aerobic environment and its dominant species are autotrophs, such as microalgal community. This community structure leads to high nitrogen and phosphorus assimilation efficiency and biomass growth capacity.
from the present study indicated the higher removal efficiencies of NH$_3$-N (> 85.0% and the study 93.26%) and TDP (> 90.0% and the study 94.4%).

As shown in Table 3, the dominant Species, in heterotrophic microorganism species, is Proteobacteria, unclassified and other bacteria belonging to Proteobacteria which has the ability to remove organic matter, nitrogen and phosphorus. However, the ability of Proteobacteria to remove nitrogen and phosphorus was not significant because the dominant Species of nitrogen and phosphorus was Chlorella. Therefore, the ability of Proteobacteria, such as α-Proteobacteria, β-Proteobacteria, and γ-Proteobacteria, to remove organic matter was significant. The CO$_2$ and low molecular weight organic matter produced by Proteobacteria oxidation are provided to microalgae community. Therefore, COD is mainly oxidized by the bacterial community rather than microalgae community. And the growth of the community structure of the bacterial community about nitration, denitrification and release of phosphorus was decreased.

It is generally acknowledged that bacterial communities prefer acidic environments while microalgae communities thrive in alkaline environments. The increase of pH directly led to the change of community structure straightly (Fig. 3). Generally, the pH of the water environment where consortia of algae and bacteria live increases with the decrease of CO$_2$ concentration. The reason why the ratio 5:1 of the consortium was the highest is that symbiosis enhances the ability of the consortium to absorb CO$_2$57. Similarly, Su et al.58 conducted a similar study on the highest nitrogen and phosphorus removal efficiencies observed with 5:1 for ratio of algae and sludge consortium, 91% and 93.5% respectively.

**Effects on biomass production.** The growth of biomass is related to carbon source and nutrition recovery. Biomass productivity and quality determine that the cost can be offset in the commercial application of wastewater treatment based on microalgae wastewater treatment. And production of biomass is the most direct expression of consortium's resource utilization. The biomass productivity of R2 was the largest among all consortia (Fig. 2). The removal of nutrients is also the most efficient (Fig. 3). Microalgae biomass was gradually accumulated, due to nitrogen supply shifted from N-rich to N-deficient condition was the absorption of algae with aeration oxygenation. Therefore, the removal of nitrogen and the generation of algae biomass can be carried out simultaneously. However, the removal of TDP precedes the growth of biomass, because there may be a buffer period for the assimilation of TDP by algae. In connection with previous literature, the results of this study show that the biomass production was related to the concentration of algae, with a high ratio of algae/sludge at consortium. The balance of victory was in favor of microalgae community, due to the dominance of their dominant community. In the microalgae community, Chlorella is considered to be a kind of quality algae to produce oils.

**Novel insight in consortium for wastewater treatment and community structure.** This study first explored that theory of ecology was introduced into phycosphere. Convergence of community succession in algal–bacterial consortia was demonstrated by Bray–Curtis similarity. The result of nutrients removal in consortia was consistent with the resource utilization in macroecology. In community structure, the algae, the role of producers, showed a high potential for biomass production by utilizing various inorganic carbon substrates on photosynthesis in aerobic autotrophic environment. Besides, bacteria, the role of consumers or decomposers, oxidized organic matter to promote the inorganic carbon substrates. Above all suggest that macroecology perspectives can explain community structure in phycosphere. Therefore, convergence of community succession in algal–bacterial consortia were explained based on macroecology. This is of great significance for exploring the shortening of community culture time and predicting ecological community.

**Conclusions**

In this study, the ‘convergence’ of community structure in the algal–bacterial consortia with different ratios of algae/sludge was analyzed confirmed by metagenomic sequencing and Bray–Curtis similarity, and ‘convergence’ which is well known as in macroecology was the succession results of community succession in macroecology the algal–bacterial consortia. It means that stable and similarity climax communities have eventually established in wastewater, no matter what the ratio of algae/sludge was in the initial. The consortium with 5:1 ratio of algae/sludge, which community structure was most similar to that at end, namely the climax community. The consortium, and achieved the highest nitrogen and phosphorus removal efficiency and biomass production. For this phenomenon ecology, it's the best ecological explanation that the highest resource utilization (nutrient contaminant uptake) climax community can be accessed. Therefore, the novel insight based on authenticated the theory well-established in macroecology introduced into the phycosphere can be employed to optimize their community structure to enhance shorten culture time of consortium for advanced wastewater treatment.
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