A Study on Hanuman Langur (*Semnopithecus entellus*) for Distribution and Demography South-Eastern Rajasthan

Prashant K. Mishra¹, Anchal Sharma¹*, Firdous Khan¹ and I. B. Maurya²

¹Department of Wildlife Management, CH & F, Jhalawar, India
²College of Horticulture & Forestry, Jhalrapatan, Jhalawar (Raj.), India

*Corresponding author

**Abstract**

Hanuman Langurs are widely distributed nonhuman primates in India. There are no reports on their status and their distribution in Jhalawar district, Rajasthan, India. Hence, the study was conducted to know their present status and distribution in the region. During the study it was observed that there is a considerable population of the Hanuman Langur with 36 troops constitute 386 langurs of which 82 adult males, 203 adult females, 82 juveniles, and 19 infants. It is observed that the Hanuman langur were recorded at 8 different sites with the mean population of 48.25 (F= 2.65, P= 0.78, P>0.05, Non Significant ), Anova result reveals that there was no statistically significant difference in social compositions present among different study sites. Troop size of Hanuman langur was ranges between 03 individuals to 20 with a mean troop size of 10.17 individuals ( t – Test: α =0.05, P=0.132, P< α, significant) t-Test result reveals that, there was statistically significant difference in Individuals in Troop and population size. Among the population, Adult female was found to be highest 52.5%, followed by 21.2% was same for Adult male and Juveniles and 4.9% was Infants. In total population size of Hunuman langur age-sex ratio, the estimated adult male and adult female was 82: 203. Again, the Adult Female: Juveniles ratio was 203:82, that of Adult Male: Juveniles ratio was 1:1 and Juveniles: Infants ratio was 82:19.
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**Introduction**

India is rich in the heritage of non-human primates having 17 species and about 30 subspecies. These 17 species are accorded different conservation status (Molur *et al.*, 2003), among them Hanuman Langurs (*Semnopithecus entellus*) with several subspecies are considered as ‘least concern’ species in IUCN red list categories, CITES Appendix I and schedule II in Wildlife Protection Act, 1972, since they occupy large area geographically (Fooden, 1980; Prater, 1993) and exploit diverse habitats from dense forests to human-dominated landscape. Such species are usually considered “not at serious risk” (Wolfheime1983; Choudhury, 1988).

Hanuman Langurs belong to the family Cercopithecidae, subfamily Colobinae are stout and highly adaptable species occurring in wide range of habitats (*Roonwal and...*)
Bonnet Macaques and Hanuman Langurs are widely distributed in the state of Karnataka, probably due to the adaptation to living in a wide variety of habitats from plains to 2100 m as in Western Ghats (Simonds, 1965; Kurup, 1981).

The status and demography of common species have been surveyed in India and there is evidence of negative impacts on their population that might be of concern for wildlife management. In 1961, the census of Hanuman Langur in Dharwar was carried out by Sugiyama (1964). He later found a decline in the number of troops present in the same study sites (Sugiyama and Parthasarathy 1978). Decrease in the population of Hanuman Langurs and rhesus macaque in Shimla (Ross et al., 1993) and West Bengal was due to conversion of forestlands into agricultural fields (Das-Choudhuri and Roy 1989), changes in troop size and decline in population size in bonnet macaques around Mysore (Singh and Rao, 2004) and a sharp decline in primate populations in certain regions of the Western Ghats was due to hunting (Kumara Singh, 2004). Hanuman Langurs largely prefer temples and tourist spots, where they receive handouts from people (Singh and Rao, 2004).

The main objective of the present study was to assess the present status and distribution of Hanuman Langur in Jhalawar, India. As there are no reports of any kind of study on these primates from this area, During the study extensive survey was conducted at almost all the villages, many temples, tourist spots, forests, agricultural fields and horticultural land of Jhalawar district and gathered information.

Materials and Methods

The study on present status and distribution of Hanuman Langur was carried out in the entire district of Jhalawar from July to March 2020.
using a two wheeler, between 6.00 a.m. and 11 a.m. and in the afternoon between 3.00p.m and 6.00 p.m. driving the vehicle at 20 km/hr. The troops were categorized into an adult male, adult female, juveniles, and infants. This was recorded by the direct visual method and through informal interaction with people.

Extensive field surveys were performed at 08 different selected study sites in and around Jhalawar in different seasons that were rainy season, winter season and summer season. Each of the 08 selected sites were surveyed individually. Road count methods were used to collect the data about the sites.

Statistical data was analyzed using Excel software. For qualitative data chi-square test and for quantitative data applied ANOVA and t-test was used for statistical significance.

**Results and Discussion**

**Distribution of Hanuman Langur**

The study of Hanuman Langurs has not been done so far in Jhalawar region of Rajasthan. Observations on their distribution were carried out at different study sites. Some researchers (Kumar et al., 2010, Kurup, 1981 & 1984, Srinivasulu and Nagulu, 2001, Choudhury, 2007) mentioned the presence of Hanuman Langurs from other parts of India, but it was limited to their distribution over a small area. Hence, we felt the necessity of this work.

During survey, total 36 troops of Hanuman langur was observed with a mean population size of 128.67 individuals at different selected study sites in different season. The troop locations were plotted in the map of the study area and prepared the distribution map (Figure-1). Study revealed that, various langur troops were distributed in different habitats such as Town area, open forest, Dense forest, Open and moderately dense forest, plantation/cultivation areas in the study area. Among all the troops recorded in the study area, maximum number of troops were recorded from study site-II (Open and moderately dense forest) with 75 number of individuals, while maximum number of individual i.e., 76 were recorded at study site - VIII (Open and moderately dense forest) and minimum number of individuals i.e., 22 were recorded from study site-IV (Figure-2, Table 1) Ghosh (2009) also counted 501 individuals in 66 groups in Chakrashila Wildlife Sanctuary and its adjacent areas.

According to obtained data of Distribution and social composition of Hanuman langur at different study sites, it is observed that the Hanuman langur were recorded at 8 different sites with the mean population of 48.25 (F= 2.65, P= 0.78, P>0.05, Non Significant),
Anova result reveals that there was no statistically significant difference in social compositions present among different study sites. It is evident that the population of Hanuman langur is not distributed uniformly in Jhalawar. During the study period it was found that the highest number of Langur were recorded from Rata devi temple, while minimum was observed at Khandhiya Lake. A number of Factors are responsible for this fact. It includes the availability of food, tree besides other environmental factors (Figure 3, Table 2) similar study was done by Patil and Modse 2018.

**Troop size and composition in different season**

Troop size of Hanuman langur was ranges between 03 individuals to 20 with a mean troop size of 10.17 individuals (t - Test: α =0.05, P=0.132, P< α, significant t-Test result reveals that, there was statistically significant difference in Individuals in Troop and population size (Table 3, Figure 4). Among the population, mean highest troop size was recorded in winter season (12.27; N= 186), followed by summer season (9.58; N= 115) and monsoon season (8.67; N= 85). Altogether, 15 (48.18%) troops were observed in winter season and 12 (29.70%) in summer season and 9 (22.02%) in monsoon season

Mukherjee and Saha (1974) also observed similar types of large troops of 15 individuals only in Rimona forest range of Kokrajhar, where forest villages were exists nearby, but not such large troops had observed by the authors.

| Study site | Name of site    | Habitat                        | No of individuals |
|------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| I          | Sun Temple     | Town area                      | 46                |
| II         | Herbal Garden  | Open and moderately dense forest| 75                |
| III        | Anand Dham     | Open forest                    | 48                |
| IV         | Khandhiya Lake | Open forest                    | 22                |
| V          | Aamjhar Temple | Dense forest                   | 58                |
| VI         | Gagron Fort    | Open forest                    | 34                |
| VII        | Barbela Lake   | Open forest                    | 27                |
| VIII       | Rata Devi Temple| Open and moderately dense forest| 76                |

**Total number of individuals** 386

**Table.2 Distribution and social composition of Hanuman langur at different study sites**

| Sites | Adult Males | Adult Females | Juvenile | Infants | Total |
|-------|-------------|---------------|----------|---------|-------|
| I     | 9           | 24            | 12       | 1       | 46    |
| II    | 16          | 39            | 18       | 2       | 75    |
| III   | 11          | 22            | 11       | 4       | 48    |
| IV    | 5           | 12            | 2        | 3       | 22    |
| V     | 12          | 32            | 10       | 4       | 58    |
| VI    | 7           | 19            | 7        | 1       | 34    |
| VII   | 7           | 15            | 5        | 1       | 28    |
| VIII  | 15          | 40            | 17       | 3       | 75    |
| Total | 82          | 203           | 82       | 19      | 386   |
| Mean  | 10.25       | 25.375        | 10.25    | 2.375   | 48.25 |
| SD    | 3.96        | 10.58         | 5.55     | 1.30    |       |

ANOVA test: F= 2.65, P= 0.78,
P value and significance P= 0.78, P> 0.05, Non Significant

Table.1 Data sheet of number of individuals at different study sites
**Table 3** Showing data sheet of troop size & troop composition in different season

| Season      | Individuals in Troop (Mean) | Troop no | Population | % of troops |
|-------------|----------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Summer      | 9.58                       | 12       | 115        | 29.70       |
| Monsoon     | 8.67                       | 9        | 85         | 22.02       |
| Winter      | 12.27                      | 15       | 186        | 48.18       |
| Grand Total | 30.52                      | 36       | 386        | 100         |

Mean Population: 10.17, 128.66

\[ t - \text{Test: } \alpha=0.05, P=0.132, P<\alpha, \text{significant} \]

**Table 4** Showing distribution and composition of Hanuman langur in different season

| Population    | Summer | Monsoon | Winter | Total |
|---------------|--------|---------|--------|-------|
| Adult Male    | 25     | 17      | 40     | 82    |
| Adult Female  | 66     | 45      | 92     | 203   |
| Juvenile      | 23     | 20      | 39     | 82    |
| Infant        | 1      | 3       | 15     | 19    |
| Total         | 115    | 85      | 186    | 386   |

Mean: 28.75, 21.25, 46.5, 96.5

SD: 27.11, 17.48, 32.46

Chi-Square test (X^2): 9.14, Df = 6, Critical value = 12.59, P < 12.59, Significant

**Table 5** Showing percentage of age sex composition of Hanuman langur

| Study sites | Adult males | Adult Female | Juveniles | Infants | Total |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|-------|
|             | 82          | 203          | 82        | 19      | 386   |
|             | 21.2%       | 52.5%        | 21.2%     | 4.9%    |       |

**Table 6** Showing data sheet of age category and sex ratio

| No. of groups | Adult Male: Adult Female | Adult Female: Juveniles | Adult Male: Juveniles | Juveniles: Infants |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 37            | 82: 203                  | 203:82                  | 1:1                   | 82:19             |
**Fig.1** Map of Jhalawar showing Study cites
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**Fig.2** No. of individuals at different study sites
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**Fig.3** Distribution of individuals at different study sites

![Distribution chart showing different age groups at different study sites](image3)
**Fig. 4** Distribution of individuals in different season

**Fig. 5** Percentage of Age sex composition

**Fig. 6** Different groups of langur having different social composition
According to obtained data of Distribution and social composition of Hanuman langur in different season, it is observed that the Hanuman langur with the mean population of 96.5 (P<12.59, Significant), Chi- square result reveals that there was statistically significant difference in distribution and social composition of Hanuman langur in different seasons (Table 4). It is evident that the population of Hanuman langur is not distributed uniformly in different season in Jhalawar. During the study period it was found that the highest number of adult male (40), adult female (92), juvenile (39) and infants (15) were recorded in winter season, followed by summer season and least in monsoon season But in other distribution localities viz. Royal Manas Park etc., the troop sizes were comparatively smaller than present study area (Lehendup et al., 2018; Srivastava et al., 2001b), because such habitats were dense and supported Golden Langur in Kakoijana Reserve forest, Assam.

**Age sex composition**

Among the population, Adult female was found to be highest 52.5%, followed by 21.2% was same for Adult male and Juveniles and 4.9% was Infants (Table 5; Figure 5). Among all the population of adult males observed at different study sites, highest number of males were recorded at site-III ie., 22.9%, while highest number of adult females 55.8% were observed at study site-6, highest number of juveniles were observed at study site-I with 26.0% and the highest number of infants were recorded at study site IV with 26.0% of infants

**Age sex ratio**

In total population size of Hunuman langur age- sex ratio, the estimated adult male and adult female was 82: 203. Again, the Adult Female: Juveniles ratio was 203:82, that of Adult Male: Juveniles ratio was 1:1 and Juveniles: Infants ratio was 82:19 (Fig. 6 and Table 6).
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