Risk assessment for clinical attachment loss of periodontal tissue in Korean adults
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PURPOSE. The purpose of this study was to assess the prevalence and extent of clinical attachment loss of periodontal tissue and to find out variables related to clinical attachment loss (CAL) in Korean adults older than 40 years of age. MATERIALS AND METHODS. Data were collected from 2,519 subjects who were part of a cohort study conducted in Ansan city by Korea University Medical School for Korean Genome project. Age, sex, smoking, drinking, fasting glucose, blood pressure, obesity and total cholesterol levels were examined. The oral examination included probing pocket depth, gingival recession and CAL of Ramford's teeth. The severity of periodontitis was classified based on the mean value of CAL. The relationship between each risk factor and the severity of CAL was independently estimated using the chi-square test, the test or one-way ANOVA. Multiple regression analysis was used to determine the significance of each factor in the periodontal disease. RESULTS. The prevalences of clinical attachment between 1 and 3 mm, between 3 and < 5 mm, and ≥ 5 mm were 80.27%, 16.75% and < 1%, respectively. Although the univariate analysis showed age, gender, smoking, fasting glucose, blood pressure and total cholesterol levels were significantly related to the severity of CAL, multiple regression analysis indicated that age (P < .0001), gender (P < .0001) and smoking (P < .05) were only significantly related. CONCLUSION. Older age, male gender and smoking were significant risk factor for the increase of CAL, and these may be useful indicators of periodontitis high-risk groups. [J Adv Prosthodont 2011;3:25-32]
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INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of periodontal disease is particularly high in the adult population.1-2 Periodontal disease is the main cause of tooth extraction in adults aged ≥ 40 years.3 In Korea, this disease entity occurs in 7 out of 10 adults and is known to be a chronic disease with a relatively high prevalence.4 It has recently been reported that periodontal disease affects not only oral physiological and aesthetic aspects but also systemic diseases such as cardiovascular disease, premature birth, bacterial respiratory disease and diabetes mellitus.5-7

As periodontal disease progresses, clinical attachment loss (CAL) occurs through the destruction of the periodontal ligament and its adjacent alveolar bone, subsequently leading to gingival recession and pathologic periodontal probing depth.8 Therefore, the degree of CAL reflects the severity of CAL and can be used as an indicator to estimate the severity of periodontal disease. CAL, which measures the distance between the cement-enamel junction and the lowest point using a periodontal probe, is a criterion for the assessment of the severity of periodontal disease in the diagnosis.12,13

Although periodontal disease occurs primarily due to bacteria within the gingival crevice or the periodontal pocket, it may be affected indirectly by many other risk factors occurring changes in the vascular system, severity of inflammatory reactions and systemic immunological responses.8,9 Recent interest has been focused on such risk factors that affect the initiation and progression of periodontal disease. Numerous risk factors have been reported in the literature: (1) fixed risk factors such as age, sex and inheritance and (2) variable risk factors such as hyperlipidemia, hypertension, smoking, diabetes mellitus, obesity, alcohol consumption, stress, socioeconomic status, educational level, exercise and eating habits. It has been reported that the risk factors differ among countries.
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investigated.\textsuperscript{10,11}

Recently there is an increase of the elderly population in Korea and periodontal disease has persistently increased. So, the analysis of the risk factors affecting CAL is necessary to understand comprehensively on oral hygiene practices in Korea and to establish a long-term strategy for oral hygiene practice. There are only few studies on risk factors for periodontal disease in Korean subjects. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine direct risk factors for CAL in the Korean population. This study was carried out as a part of the Korean Genome Project of Korea University Medical School and included a cohort aged 40 years residing in the Ansan District of Kyounggido Province.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

1. **Materials**

The Human Genome Institute of Korea University Medical School has performed a cohort study of 5,020 adults aged 40-69 years as a part of the Korean Genome Project by sample collection using telephone numbers since 2001 every 2 years. This study included 2,519 participants who underwent oral examination between July 2006 (the second year of period 3) and December 2007 (the first year of period 4). To avoid confounding factors, the following participants were excluded from the study: (1) those who underwent a chest surgery, (2) those with malignant diseases including oral cavity cancer, (3) those who underwent a cancer surgery, (4) edentulous participants and (5) those who had a loss of $\geq 3$ Ramford's teeth.

2. **Methods**

1) **Oral examination**

All measurements were made by a single dental hygienist with a dental mirror, a dental explorer and a 0.4-mm periodontal probe (Hu-friedy's WHO-style probe, Hu-Friedy Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Probing pocket depth and measuring gingival recession were conducted at the lingual and mesial-distal sides of Ramford's teeth ($\#16$, $\#21$, $\#24$, $\#36$, $\#41$ and $\#44$), and CAL was calculated from these values. The mean value of clinical attachment loss was obtained and divided into 4 groups: a clinical attachment of $<1$ mm (normal group), a clinical attachment of 1 - 3 mm (mild group), a clinical attachment of 3 - 5 mm (moderate group) and a clinical attachment of $\geq 5$ mm (severe group).

2) **Questionnaire survey**

Information on age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, present illness, past medical history and family history was collected from all participants. According to smoking and alcohol consumption, the participants were categorized as never smokers or drinkers, past smokers or drinkers, and current smokers or drinkers.

3) **Measurement of blood glucose and total cholesterol**

Blood glucose was measured with a fasting period of 9 to 14 hours, and 1 and 2 hours after the glucose tolerance test with an oral load of glucose (75 g). Blood total cholesterol was measured with a fasting period of 8 to 14 hours.

4) **Blood pressure measurement**

After blood pressure was measured on both forearms in a sitting position, it was measured again twice on the forearm that had a higher value. After each participant was allowed to take a 5-minute rest in a supine position, blood pressure was also measured twice at intervals of 30 seconds in a sitting position.

5) **Analysis of obesity using waist circumference**

Waist circumference was measured 3 times at the largest dimension with a rope type measurement, and the mean value of 3 times measurement was calculated.

6) **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the 8 aforementioned risk factors as an independent variable and CAL as a dependent variable. All continuous data are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD, and all categorical data are expressed as a frequency or a percentage. The relationships between CAL and demographic/clinical parameters or risk factors for cardiovascular disease were analyzed using the chi-square test. In univariate analysis, comparisons between demographical/clinical parameters and the risk factors for cardiovascular disease were made using the t test or one-way ANOVA. In multiple regression analysis, the comparisons were made using the Scheffe's method. Independent risk factors for periodontal disease were analyzed by multivariate analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS v.9.13. A $P$ value of $< .05$ was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

1. **Demographic and clinical characteristics**

Of all participants, 77.5% were aged 40 - 59 years, and 22.5% were aged 60 - 69 years. The numbers of male and female was similar. Approximately 20% of the participants were current smokers, 53% were current drinkers, 20% were obese, and 25% to 27% were in the risk group based on blood glucose, blood pressure and blood cholesterol (Table 1).

2. **Degree of CAL**

The mean values of probing pocket depth and CAL were 2.02 and 2.42 mm, respectively. Of all participants, 80% belonged to the mild group, 16.75% the moderate group, and $< 1\%$ to the severe group (Table 2).
3. Correlation between the degree of CAL and risk factors

There were significant correlations between the degree of CAL and the risk factors in the mild and moderated groups. CAL was increased along the age, and the degree of CAL increased more in male group than in female group (P < .0001). CAL was increased in the sequence of never smokers, past smokers and current smokers (P < .0001). There was no significant correlation between alcohol consumption and CAL. Patients, who had higher blood glucose and blood pressure, showed more increased CAL level (P < .005). There was no significant correlation between CAL and obesity, regardless of age. CAL was decreased as blood total cholesterol became higher (P < .0001) (Table 3).

4. Analysis of risk factors for CAL

The risk factors for CAL were determined using the aforementioned statistical analyses (P < .0003 for ANOVA model, P < .0001 for two-sample t test). There were significant differences in CAL between the 40 - 49 and 50 - 59/60 - 69 age groups. There was a significant difference between both sexes. For smoking, there were significant differences in CAL between never smokers and past/current smokers. For alcohol consumption, there was a significant difference in CAL between never drinkers and current drinkers. For fasting blood glucose, there were significant differences between participants with a blood glucose level of <109 mg/dl and those with ≥ 126 mg/dl. For blood pressure, there were significant differences between participants with a blood pressure of ≤ 120/80 mm Hg or those with 140/90 mm Hg. In the case of obesity, there was no significant different in CAL. For blood cholesterol, the participants with a blood cholesterol level of <129 was significantly different with both those with 130 - 219 and those with >220 (Fig. 1).

A. Age (ANOVA model: P = .0003). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between the patients aged 40 - 49 and those aged 50 - 59 years or those aged 60 - 69 years (Scheffe's Method) B. Gender. The difference in CAL was statistically significant between both sexes (2-sample t test, P < .0001). C. Smoking (ANOVA model, P < .0001). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between never and past smokers and between never and current smokers (Scheffe's Method). D. Drinking. ANOVA model, P < .0001). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between never/past and current smokers (Scheffe's method). E. Glucose. (ANOVA model, P < .0001). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between those with a blood glucose level of ≥ 126 mg/dl and those with ≤ 109 mg/dl or those with 110 - 125 mg/dl (Scheffe's Method). F. Blood pressure. (ANOVA model, P < .0003). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between the participants with a blood pressure of <120/80 mm Hg and those with 120/80 - 139/89 or those with ≥ 140/90 mm Hg (Scheffe's Method). G. Obesity. The difference in CAL was not statistically significant between the 3 groups (Scheffe's Method) H. Total-cholesterol. (ANOVA model, P < .0003). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between the participants with a blood total cholesterol level of <130 mg/dl and those with 130 - 219 mg/dl or those with ≥ 220 mg/dl (Scheffe's Method).

Table 1. Characteristics and clinical status of participants

| Variables | Group  | Number (%) |
|-----------|--------|------------|
| Age (years) | 40 - 49 | 945 (37.51) |
|           | 50 - 59 | 1007 (39.98) |
|           | ≥ 60    | 567 (22.51) |
| Gender    | Male    | 1480 (58.75) |
|           | Female  | 1039 (41.25) |
| Smoking   | Never Smokers | 1363 (54.13) |
|           | Past Smokers | 669 (26.57) |
|           | Current Smokers | 486 (19.30) |
| Alcohol   | Never Drinkers | 1022 (40.59) |
|           | Past Drinkers | 158 (6.27) |
|           | Current Drinkers | 1338 (53.14) |
| Fasting Glucose (mg/dl) | 80 - 109 | 2139 (84.91) |
|           | 110 - 125 | 86 (3.41) |
|           | ≥ 126    | 2707 (28.07) |
| Blood Pressure (mm Hg) | < 120/80 | 1330 (52.80) |
|           | 120/80   | 482 (19.13) |
|           | ≥ 140/90 | 707 (28.07) |
| Obesity (cm) | < M80, < F70 | 729 (28.94) |
|           | M80 - 90, F70 - 80 | 1276 (50.66) |
|           | > M90, > F80 | 514 (20.40) |
| Total Cholesterol (mg/dl) | ≤ 129 | 50 (1.98) |
|           | 130 - 219 | 1837 (72.93) |
|           | ≥ 220    | 632 (25.09) |

Table 2. Periodontal status of participants

| Variables | Normal | Mild | Moderate | Severe |
|-----------|--------|------|----------|--------|
| Total     | 1480   | 27 (1.82) | 1124 (75.95) | 312 (21.08) |
|           | 1039   | 26 (2.50) | 898 (86.43) | 110 (10.59) |
| Male      | 2519   | 53 (2.10) | 2022 (80.27) | 422 (16.75) |
| Female    |        |        |          | 17 (1.15) |
|           |        |        |          | 5 (0.48) |
|           |        |        |          | 22 (0.87) |
5. Multiple regression analysis of the correlations between mean CAL and risk factors

In univariate analysis, age, smoking and sex were significantly correlated with the mean value of CAL, but blood pressure, blood total cholesterol, obesity and drinking were not. Among significant factors, age showed a regression coefficient of 0.008, and CAL was increased by 0.008 per year after 40 years of age. As for sex, CAL tended to be 0.272 greater in male group than in female group when other factors were similar. In the case of smoking, Current smokers had a significant difference in CAL with both past smokers and never smokers, and CAL was increased by 0.083 in current smokers. It is well known that blood glucose is significantly correlated with obesity, thus statistical analyses were performed after blood glucose was excluded to avoid a problem of multicollinearity, in this study (Table 4).

| Table 3. Correlations between the degrees of CAL and risk factors |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Variables** | **Clinical Attachment Level** | **Normal**<br>**(< 1 mm)** | **Mild**<br>**(1 ≤ x < 3 mm)** | **Moderate**<br>**(3 ≤ x < 5 mm)** | **Severe**<br>**(≥ 5 mm)** | **Total** | **P value** |
|---------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Age     | 40 - 49       | 12 (1.27)       | 800 (84.66)     | 128 (13.54)     | 5 (0.53)        | 945 (37.51) | <.0001  |
|         | 50 - 59       | 11 (1.09)       | 808 (80.24)     | 176 (17.48)     | 12 (1.19)       | 1007 (39.98) |        |
|         | > 60          | 30 (5.29)       | 414 (73.02)     | 18 (20.81)      | 5 (0.88)        | 567 (22.51)  |        |
| Gender  | Male          | 27 (1.82)       | 1124 (75.95)    | 312 (21.08)     | 17 (1.15)       | 1480 (58.75) | <.0001  |
|         | Female        | 26 (2.50)       | 898 (86.43)     | 110 (10.59)     | 5 (0.48)        | 1039 (41.25) |        |
| Smoking | Never         | 33 (2.42)       | 1138 (83.49)    | 185 (13.57)     | 7 (0.51)        | 1363 (54.13) | <.0001  |
|         | Past          | 12 (1.79)       | 517 (77.28)     | 133 (19.88)     | 7 (1.05)        | 669 (26.57)  |        |
|         | Current       | 8 (1.65)        | 366 (75.31)     | 104 (21.40)     | 8 (1.65)        | 486 (19.30)  |        |
| Alcohol | Never         | 20 (1.96)       | 841 (82.29)     | 155 (15.17)     | 6 (0.59)        | 1022 (40.59) |        |
|         | Past          | 7 (4.43)        | 120 (75.95)     | 30 (18.99)      | 1 (0.63)        | 158 (6.27)   |        |
|         | Current       | 26 (1.94)       | 1060 (79.22)    | 237 (17.71)     | 15 (1.12)       | 1338 (53.14) |        |
| Fast Glucose (mg/dl) |             |                |                |                |                |         | 0.0052  |
|         | ≤ 109         | 40 (1.87)       | 1743 (81.49)    | 337 (15.67)     | 19 (0.89)       | 2139 (84.91) |        |
|         | 110 - 125     | 2 (3.33)        | 69 (80.23)      | 15 (17.44)      | 0 (0.00)        | 86 (3.41)   |        |
|         | > 126         | 11 (3.74)       | 210 (71.43)     | 70 (23.81)      | 3 (1.02)        | 294 (11.67)  |        |
| Blood Pressure (mm Hg) |           |                |                |                |                |         | 0.0017  |
|         | < 120/80      | 25 (1.88)       | 1107 (83.23)    | 188 (14.14)     | 10 (0.75)       | 1330 (52.80) |        |
|         | 120 - 140/80 - 90 |      | 7 (1.45)       | 382 (79.25)     | 87 (18.05)      | 6 (1.24)      | 482 (19.13) |        |
|         | > 140/90      | 21 (2.97)       | 533 (75.39)     | 147 (20.79)     | 6 (0.85)        | 707 (28.07)  |        |
| Obesity (cm) | < M80, < F70 | 16 (2.19)       | 600 (82.30)     | 105 (14.40)     | 8 (1.10)        | 729 (28.94)  | 0.384   |
|         | M80 - 90, F70 - 80 | 23 (1.80) | 1016 (79.62) | 226 (17.71)     | 11 (0.86)       | 1276 (50.66) |        |
|         | > M90, > F80  | 14 (2.72)       | 406 (78.29)     | 91 (17.70)      | 3 (0.58)        | 514 (20.40)  |        |
| T-chol (mg/dl) | ≤ 129        | 4 (8.00)        | 30 (60.00)      | 16 (32.00)      | 0 (0.00)        | 50 (1.98)   | 0.0011  |
|         | 130 - 219     | 40 (2.18)       | 1467 (79.86)    | 314 (17.09)     | 16 (0.87)       | 1837 (72.93) |        |
|         | ≥ 220         | 9 (1.42)        | 525 (83.07)     | 92 (14.56)      | 6 (0.95)        | 632 (25.09)  |        |

Data are presented as a number (%). CAL, clinical attachment level; normal group, mean CAL < 1 mm; mild group, mean CAL 1 - 3 mm; moderate group, mean CAL 3 - 5 mm; severe group, mean CAL > 5 mm.

**Table 4. Multiple regression analysis of the associations between risk factors and CAL**

| Multiple regression model | Regression coefficient | SE of regression coefficient | P value |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Intercept                 | 2.093                  | 0.142                       | <.0001  |
| Age (years)               | 0.008                  | 0.002                       | <.0001  |
| T-cholesterol (mg/dl)     | -0.001                 | <.0001                      | .1312   |
| Blood pressure (mmHg)     | 0.03                   | 0.018                       | .1013   |
| Obesity (cm)              | 0.035                  | 0.022                       | .1155   |
| Smoking                   | 0.083                  | 0.041                       | .0442*  |
| Drinking                  | 0.017                  | 0.033                       | .6073   |
| Gender                    | -0.272                 | 0.035                       | <.0001  |

Female was regarded as 1, and male gender as 0; smoking was regarded as smoking (1) or non-smoking (0); drinking was regarded as drinking (1) or non-drinking (0). *P < .05
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Fig. 1. Correlations between degrees of CAL (mm) and risk factors. A: Age (ANOVA model, \( P = .0003 \)). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between the patients aged 40 - 49 and those aged 50 - 59 years or those aged 60 - 69 years (Scheffé's method). B: Gender. The difference in CAL was statistically significant between both sexes (2-sample t test, \( P < .0001 \)). C: Smoking (ANOVA model, \( P < .0001 \)). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between never and past smokers and between never and current smokers (Scheffé's method). D: Drinking. ANOVA model, \( P < .0001 \)). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between never/past and current drinkers (Scheffé's method). E: Glucose. (ANOVA model, \( P < .0001 \)). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between the participants with a blood glucose level of \( \geq 126 \) mg/dl and those with \( \leq 109 \) mg/dl or those with 110 - 125 mg/dl (Scheffé's method). F: Blood pressure. (ANOVA model, \( P < .0003 \)). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between the participants with a blood pressure of \(< 120/80 \) mm Hg and those with 120/80 - 139/89 or those with \( \geq 140/90 \) mm Hg (Scheffé's method). G: Obesity. The difference in CAL was not statistically significant between the 3 groups (Scheffé's method). H: Total-cholesterol. (ANOVA model, \( P < .0003 \)). The difference in CAL was statistically significant between the participants with a blood total cholesterol level of \(< 130 \) mg/dl and those with 130 - 219 mg/dl or those with \( \geq 220 \) mg/dl (Scheffé's method).
DISCUSSION

The aims of this study were to evaluate the severity of CAL and to determine risk factors for CAL in Korean adults aged ≥ 40 years. In this study, 80.27% of the participants belonged to the mild group, 16.75% to the moderate group, and < 1% to the severe group. Among the factors examined, CAL was significantly correlated with age (P < .0001), sex (P < .0001) and smoking (P < .05). Aging, male, past smoking and current smoking were significantly high risk factor for CAL. In univariate analysis, blood glucose, blood pressure and blood total cholesterol were significantly correlated with CAL.

CAL in this study was lower than in previous studies conducted in the United States and European countries. An epidemiological study of periodontal disease in Pomerania, Germany reported that 89.7% of the total study subjects had CAL ≥ 3 mm.11 Morris et al.14 found in a study with subjects aged 20 to 65 years that 43% had CAL ≥ 4 mm and 8% had CAL ≥ 6 mm. Dye et al.15 found in a study conducted in the United States that CAL ≥ 4 mm occurred in 10.4% of the study subjects in the 14 - 20 age group, 6.7% in the 20 - 34 age group, and 13.2% in the 50 - 64 age group, suggesting an increasing tendency with age. They also stated that CAL ≥ 3 mm occurred in 32.7% of the study subjects, and CAL ≥ 5 mm in 9.2%. An epidemiological study conducted with French adults aged 35 - 64 years reported that CAL ≥ 5 mm occurred in 46.68%.16 These results are remarkably different from ours. The differences may be explained as follows. First, in our study, we performed anthropometric measurements, routine blood tests and oral examination on subjects who regularly visit our hospital for health screening and are much concerned about their health. For this reason, they had performed appropriate dental care, and this seemed to have lowered the incidence of CAL. Second, in our study, gingival recession and periodontal probing depth were measured by a single oral hygienist with only 3-year experience. For this reason, there may be errors in the measurement of periodontal probing depth. It has been shown that more inaccurate and lower periodontal probing depth is measured by inexperienced examiners compared to experts.17 Third, considering that periodontal destruction is not evenly distributed throughout the entire teeth and is site specific,18 our results do not represent the CAL of the entire teeth, because only Ramford’s teeth were evaluated in our study. It has been demonstrated that CAL is lesser in values measured in a small number of teeth than in those measured in the entire teeth.19,20

In our study, fixed risk factors such as sex and age affected CAL the most significantly, followed by smoking among variable risk factors. A plausible explanation for this may be that numerous secondary factors related to age and sex affect CAL.21 It has been documented that poorer oral hygiene with increasing age is related to an increase of exposure time to causative diseases and an increase in aging-related factors.22 In our study, there were significant differences in CAL between the 40 - 49 and 50 - 59 age groups and between the 40 - 49 and 60 - 69 age groups but no significant difference between the 50 - 59 and 60 - 69 age groups, suggesting that CAL occurs remarkably in the 40 - 49 age group. Genco23 has shown that since CAL is caused by accumulation of destruction of periodontal tissue rather than by its increased destruction, and age itself does not act as a risk factor. In our study, males showed higher CAL than females, which is similar to the results of previous studies.16,24 Albandar10 reported that the prevalence of periodontal probing depth ≥ 3 mm was 1.3 times higher in males and that ≥ 5 mm was 1.7 times higher in males. These results may be explained by the facts that males have poorer oral hygiene practices than females25 and access to dental care is different between both sexes.26 The correlation between smoking and periodontal disease has been studied since the middle of the last century.27 Numerous epidemiologic studies have reported that smoking is a major risk factor for periodontal disease.28 However, there have been a few studies on Korean. Our cohort study in the Ansan District of Kyounggido Province found that smoking is closely related to CAL. It seems likely that smoking increases the population of periodontal pathogens within the bacterial membrane29 or that it provokes periodontitis by affecting host immune responses.27

Preshaw et al.30 have demonstrated that refractory diabetes mellitus can cause CAL and the resolution of periodontal disease may improve clinical symptoms of diabetes mellitus, suggesting that there is a cross-susceptibility between periodontal disease and diabetes mellitus. It has been shown that diabetes mellitus increases the susceptibility to inflammatory tissue destruction and leads to CAL by reducing neutrophil functions or by accelerating the synthesis of advanced glycosylation end products.31 In our study, there was an inverse correlation between CAL and blood total cholesterol unlike other risk factors. There was a significant difference in CAL between subjects with blood total cholesterol ≤ 129 mg/dl (2%) and those with ≥ 130 mg/dl (98%). CAL tended to decrease as blood total cholesterol increased. Considering that participants with blood cholesterol ≤ 129 mg/dl and the upper 2% of the participants with blood total cholesterol ≥ 130 mg/dl had systemic disease or nutritional disorders associated with poor oral hygiene, it was estimated that there was a U-type correlation between blood total cholesterol and CAL. Further studies with lipoproteins, including LDL, HDL and neutral fat, and other risk factors, such as dietary life, are needed to confirm these results.

Perlstein and Bissada32 have indicated that obesity acts as a risk factor for periodontal disease. Thereafter, many studies in Japan and the United States have reported that periodontal disease is significantly related to obesity.33,34 Although the mech-
anism of the relationship has not yet been elucidated, it has been proposed that various cytokines secreted from mast cells, including tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and their metabolites produce inflammatory reactions of periodontal tissue and thus increase susceptibility to tissue destruction, and that plasminogen activator-1 secreted from mast cells reduces blood supply to periodontal tissue, subsequently facilitating tissue destruction. However, in our study, there was no significant correlation between CAL and obesity in terms of body mass index (BMI). Their results are difficult to compare with ours. A recent study with adults in Jordan has reported that there is a significant correlation between CAL and waist circumference with cutoff values of 88 cm for females and 102 cm for males. This result is inconsistent with ours probably because we used different cutoff values.

CONCLUSION

It has been suggested that periodontitis is related to atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Age, sex, smoking, blood pressure and blood glucose, which were identified as risk factors for periodontal disease in our study, may also act as risk factors for cardiovascular disease. If the aforementioned risk factors coexist with periodontitis, then the risk for cardiovascular disease will further increase. Therefore, the prevention of periodontitis as well as control of smoking, blood pressure and blood glucose will help prevent both periodontal disease and cardiovascular disease.
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