The Pendulum Model for Genome Compositional Dynamics: from the Four Nucleotides to the Twenty Amino Acids
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Abstract

The genetic code serves as one of the natural links for life’s two conceptual frameworks—the informational and operational tracks—bridging the nucleotide sequence of DNA and RNA to the amino acid sequence of protein and thus its structure and function. On the informational track, DNA and its four building blocks have four basic variables: order, length, GC and purine contents; the latter two exhibit unique characteristics in prokaryotic genomes where protein-coding sequences dominate. Bridging the two tracks, tRNAs and their aminocyl tRNA synthases that interpret each codon—nucleotide triplet, together with ribosomes, form a complex machinery that translates genetic information encoded on the messenger RNAs into proteins. On the operational track, proteins are selected in a context of cellular and organismal functions constantly. The principle of such a functional selection is to minimize the damage caused by sequence alteration in a seemingly random fashion at the nucleotide level and its function-altering consequence at the protein level; the principle also suggests that there must be complex yet sophisticated mechanisms to protect molecular interactions and cellular processes for cells and organisms from the damage in addition to both immediate or short-term eliminations and long-term selections. The two-century study of selection at species and population levels has been leading a way to understand rules of inheritance and evolution at molecular levels along the informational track, while ribogenomics, epigenomics and other operationally-defined omics (such as the metabolite-centric metabolomics) have been ushering biologists into the new millennium along the operational track.
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Prologue

The first bacterial genome my research team sequenced in collaboration with colleagues at the Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, is a thermophile named Thermoaerobacter tengcongensis [1], which was isolated from one of the fresh water hot springs in Tengchong County, Yunnan, China. Two interesting results in this study stand out among others. One is that the T. tengcongensis genome has 86.7% of its genes encoded on the leading strand and the other is that a strong correlation between the GC content of tDNA and rDNA genes and the optimal growth temperature is found among the sequenced thermo-
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A Four nucleotides

B Four basic variables

1. Order: ATGCAA vs. AACTGA
2. Size: ATGCCAA vs. ATGCCAAA
3. G+C content
4. G+A (Purine or R) content

Figure 1 The four nucleotides and their variables in DNA sequence

A. Life’s informational track has only four “cards”—four nucleotides A, T, G, and C—to “play” but a highly variable “deck” size. For instance, human has a deck of 3 billion “cards”. Although modified nucleotides do exist in genomes, their functional roles are often operational, such as 5-methylcytocine (5-mC) and 5-hydroxylcytocine (5-hmC).

B. A “deck of cards” for all life forms has a limited number of basic variables.

informational (such as regulatory elements and duplication of genes) and operational definitions (such as chemical modifications of nucleotides and non-genic chromosomal elements) [18,19]. Therefore, our investigations have to begin with prokaryotic genomes and their basic variables (Table 1).

First, it is obvious that to change a sequence in a prokaryotic genome, various DNA polymerases, which are responsible for both replication and repair, hold the keys, although DNA repair mechanisms are not limited to DNA polymerization. By classifying the alpha subunit of the DNA polymerase polII complex that catalyzes the replication of eubacterial genomes, we learnt that the enzyme dimeric combination and associated mutator genes dictate the GC content of the prokaryotic genomes [2,3,7,8]. There is also another molecular mechanism found to alter GC content in a transcript-centric fashion and in a significant way [20,21], which was first described by us for the rice transcripts [16] and validated subsequently by others in mammalian genomes [22,23]. Since it is primarily related to the transcription-coupled DNA repair mechanism that is universal to all unicellular and multicellular organisms [24], we went on further to demonstrate its existence in eubacteria [4,6], as well as most of unicellular [14] and multicellular eukaryotes, if not all (Zhang and Yu, unpublished data). In addition, we also demonstrated that alterations in GC content were associated with both mutation and selection [18,25], not limited to substitution but also indel [25–28], and involved in not only sequence variation but also horizontal gene transfer [5,8].

Second, the alterations in purine content are always weaker (within a range of 20%), compared to those in GC content (within a range of 60%; also see the Model). The less pronounced difference in purine content explains why it was not noticed by Erwin Chargaff who discovered the base pairing rules of isolated DNA in several organisms [29–31]. However, our theoretical work and algorithms have both validated their importance [9–13]. We and others have pointed out that purine content is associated with strand-biased purine asymmetry (SPA), strand-biased gene distribution (SGD) and transcript-centric nucleotide composition biases [4–10,20–23,28].

Third, as far as the dominant mutations (such as substitutions and indels) are concerned, the chances that mutations at the nucleotide level is linked to the function of proteins at amino acid level are rather high in prokaryotes and in the coding sequences of eukaryotes. The relationship between DNA and protein sequences is primarily governed

Table 1 Mechanisms associated with compositional dynamics of eubacterial genomes

| Mechanism                             | In-Track/Op-Track | GC-content/R-content | Mutation/selection | Selected Ref. |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Global repair and replication<sup>a</sup> | +++/+             | +++/+                | +++/+              | [2,3,7,8]    |
| Transcription-coupled DNA repair and transcription<sup>a</sup> | +/+               | +/+                  | +/+               | [16,20–23]   |
| Strand-biased nucleotide composition<sup>b</sup> | +/+               | +/+                  | +/+               | [4–10]       |
| Strand-biased gene distribution<sup>b</sup> | +/+               | +/+                  | +/+               | [4,6,8]      |
| Horizontal gene transfer/transposition<sup>b</sup> | +/+               | +/+                  | +/+               | [8]           |
| Genome size expansion<sup>b</sup> | +/+               | +/+                  | +/+               | [4,8]        |
| Environmental biotic and abiotic factors | +/+               | +/+                  | +/+               | [7]          |

Note: Some relevant molecular mechanisms and their impacts on the informational (In-Track) or (/) operational (Op-Track) tracks, GC- or R (purine)-contents, and mutation or selection are scored qualitatively (weakly positive, +; moderately positive, ++; and strongly positive, +++). A limited number of examples are provided as references (parentheses). Mechanisms related to composition alteration and those related to gene alteration are indicated by <sup>a</sup> and <sup>b</sup>, respectively.
by the organization of the genetic code [32–34], the canonical in most cases. As demonstrated in Figure 2, the three dnaE groups of eubacteria chose different strategies to allow alterations in GC content: high GC (the dnaE2 group that

Figure 2  Dinucleotide and codon contents of prokaryotic genomes
We used 300 genomes, 100 each, from the three dnaE-based groups including the dnaE1 (dnaE1–dnaE1) group (A), the dnaE2 (dnaE1–dnaE1 and dnaE2) group (B) and the dnaE3 (dnaE3–polC) group (C). Di-nucleotide contents (left panels) are sorted based on GC content increase (left to right; scale bars). Codons (right panels) are also sorted based on GC content changes (left to right; scale bars). The six-fold codons are separated into their corresponding two and four codon sets. Note that frequencies of dinucleotides are essentially equivalent to those of codons and that GC-rich and GC-poor codons are over-utilized in the dnaE2 and dnaE3 group bacteria.
uses a dnaE1 homodimeric alpha subunit and dnaE2 as a major mutator gene), low GC (the dnaE3-polC group that uses a dnaE3 and polC heterodimeric alpha subunit), and varying in between high and low (the dnaE1 group that uses a dnaE1 homodimeric alpha subunit) [7,8]. Not only are these choices exhausted, but also each has advantages over others. For instance, the dnaE1 group bacteria are able to alter their GC content in a broader range as opposed to the other two groups, such that they can enjoy the broader and more diverse codon usage while both the dnaE2 and dnaE3 groups are rather limited to higher or lower GC content, respectively. However, the low GC dnaE3 group bacteria have a more chemically-diverse codon set (the codons in the purine-sensitive quarter) [32–34] to exploit and the high GC dnaE2 group bacteria tend to have larger genomes [8]—just to mention a couple of the advantages—as we certainly have much more examples in mind.

We thus present a mechanistic model, detailed below, to explain the relationship among GC and purine contents, codon usage, and sequence variation of genes and genomes, as well as that between mutation and selection (Figures 3 and 4).

The Pendulum Model

The mechanics: the genetic code is organized in such a way that the damage of extreme nucleotide variations is effectively minimized yet the potential change in amino acid sequences is also maintained for further natural selection at the population level

Sequence alterations at nucleotide level are assumed random only when replication and global repair mechanisms...
are concerned for non-protein-coding sequences, while they are mostly selected when transcripts (as a major effect) and other functional sequence elements (such as minimal introns as minor effect in eukaryotes) [25–27] are considered.

The larger amplitude: GC content variation represents the dominant change in nucleotide composition dynamics

The GC contents of eubacteria genomes vary from 20% to 80% and such a variation is also contributed by both global and transcript-centric mutation mechanisms, representing a major mutation force. The GC-rich codon encoded amino acids are relatively small and less diverse in terms of their physicochemical properties as opposed to the GC-poor codons that are mostly large and more diverse (Figure 4).

The smaller amplitude: purine content is often strongly selected when GC content goes extremity

The purine contents (R-contents) of some prokaryotic genomes, especially their genes, are extremely biased, although the genomic variation range of purine contents is three-fold narrower than that of GC contents (Figure 3). The selection on purine content is essentially due to the fact that purines at the second codon position control the physicochemical properties of the majority of the 20 amino acids [9,10,32–34].

The clock face: the genetic code links mutation to selection

Despite the fact that there are possibilities that other sequence elements and structures are also selected evolutionarily, the selection for protein-coding sequences is dominant across all superkingdoms. The GC content is also dominant over purine content and they are inversely related: purine content decreases when GC content increases [4]. Furthermore, selection should be more obvious but not necessarily maximized when GC content goes to the extremes. Therefore, the effect of selection may not be figured out easily since it is exceedingly context-dependent. However, mutation is often obvious as long as it is partitioned into global and transcript-centric portions, both of which are at the same order of magnitude, although the latter is weaker [20,21].

Epilogue

On the one hand, composition dynamics as DNA sequence mutation is thought to be random, neutral, and free to evolve (drifting). On the other hand, however, a greater majority of the changes in coding sequence can lead to amino acid changes that have potentials to alter the structure of a protein and thus to affect its function. Natural selection then comes into play. Whenever there is a mutation, selection will come sooner or later, directly or indirectly. By working on selective mutations and populations or even case-control studies, we will never be able to fully understand the relationship between mutation and selection; a bigger, larger and broader picture is of essence. One example is gene clustering between the vertebrate and the arthropod lineages [18,19,35–37]. As one of the major mechanisms in the operational track, gene clustering contributes largely to negative selection. Another example is antisense regulation [38,39], where transcript-centric mutation and selection from the 3' end is inevitable. One additional example is genome duplication and its associated massive gene loss and fast accumulation of mutations in rice and mammals [40,41]. A similar phenomenon has been reported recently in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae [42].
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