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POI Neural-Rec Model via Graph Embedding Representation

Kang Yang, Jinghua Zhu*, and Xu Guo

Abstract: With the booming of the Internet of Things (IoT) and the speedy advancement of Location-Based Social Networks (LBSNs), Point-Of-Interest (POI) recommendation has become a vital strategy for supporting people’s ability to mine their POIs. However, classical recommendation models, such as collaborative filtering, are not effective for structuring POI recommendations due to the sparseness of user check-ins. Furthermore, LBSN recommendations are distinct from other recommendation scenarios. With respect to user data, a user’s check-in record sequence requires rich social and geographic information. In this paper, we propose two different neural-network models, structural deep network Graph embedding Neural-network Recommendation system (SG-NeuRec) and Deepwalk on Graph Neural-network Recommendation system (DG-NeuRec) to improve POI recommendation. Combined with embedding representation from social and geographical graph information (called SG-NeuRec and DG-NeuRec). Our model naturally combines the embedding representations of social and geographical graph information with user-POI interaction representation and captures the potential user-POI interactions under the framework of the neural network. Finally, we compare the performances of these two models and analyze the reasons for their differences. Results from comprehensive experiments on two real LBSNs datasets indicate the effective performance of our model.
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1 Introduction

With the booming of the Internet of Things (IoT), more and more embedded mobile devices are appearing in people’s everyday lives[1]. As a result, a large volume of user check-in data are generated by social networks, such as Gowalla, Yelp, and Brightkite. Many researchers have discussed popular topics associated with Location-Based Social Networks (LBSNs) and big data applications, such as privacy protection[2–7], recommendation[8–10], and crowd-sourcing[2–4,11,12]. Point-Of-Interest (POI) recommendation systems encourage users to participate in more promotional merchant activities, thereby bringing substantial economic profits to third-party merchants and service providers. POI recommendations have received considerable attention in industrial and academic circles in recent years. However, in the field of LBSNs, classical recommendation methods, such as collaborative filtering, are not well suited to such complex scenarios[13,14]. Traditional recommendation methods often face the following problems: First, the social relationships of users, the constraint of geographical location, and the preference cycles of users cannot be considered by traditional recommendation methods. Second, there is always some sparsity in the check-in data of users, and a lack of data can significantly affect the accuracy of traditional model predictions. Third, unlike the traditional commodity recommendation scenario, LBSN recommendations involve the interactive social relationships and geographical locations of users,
and the integration of these kinds of data is arduous for classical models. At present, one of the most fashionable tendencies in recommendation system exploration is the application of Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) in traditional models. Much research has shown that despite the sparsity of behavioral data, DNNs have an exceptional ability for representing implicit user-item interactions\cite{15,16}.

Thus, we propose two neural-network POI recommendation models combined with the embedding of social and geographical graph information. Our model integrates user social relationship information, user-POI check-in interaction information, and POI geographical information to make a DNN recommendation. SG-NeuRec and DG-NeuRec differ from the methods that are initially used to obtain the correlation between user’s social relations and geographical location. When capturing user’s social relationship information and POI geographical information, the SG-NeuRec model represents these information types in the LBSNs as unweighted graphs, and uses an unsupervised feed-forward neural-network learning technology to obtain the user- and POI-graph embedding representations. Specifically, DG-NeuRec is based on a social-geographic two-layer heterogeneous graph. DG-NeuRec proposes the use of POI as a pseudo-sequence that integrates the user’s social information and POI’s geographic information, which are obtained by a random walk. Then the sequence is input into a Skip-gram model to learn the embedding representation of the POI. The embedding method for the user is similar to that of the POI in DG-NeuRec. The second parts of the SG-NeuRec and DG-NeuRec models are the same, in which potential low-dimensional user-POI representations are combined with graph embedding representations to learn the potential user-POI interaction representation under the DNN model of a multi-layer perceptron. In the meantime, considering that users’ check-in behaviors have an apparent periodic inclination, we apply a shallow network to obtain the relevance representation between the time patterns of user check-ins and POIs under the same model. Finally, we regard the check-in sequence information of users as a positive sample of a binary classification and utilize a cross-entropy function as the model’s loss computational formula. The main contributions of this paper are as follows.

1) We propose a two-DNN recommendation model that fuses user’s social relationship information and POI geographical relationship information. SG-NeuRec fuses user and POI graph embedding representations, separately, and mines the potential interactions of users and POIs in a multi-layer perception model. Also, the DG-NeuRec combines the graph embedding representations of users and POIs in the same implicit space and models their potential interactions using Skip-gram.

2) We consider the time seriality of the user check-in sequence in our model and integrate the time information of the user check-in sequence. Then, we develop a superficial network structure to extract the relevance between the time patterns of the users’ check-ins and POIs.

3) We evaluate the model’s performance on two real datasets in subsequent experiments. Compared with other POI recommendation models, our proposed models achieve the state-of-the-art experimental results in terms of recommendation accuracy and ranking quality.

2 Related Work

With the ongoing and rapid development of the IoT and LBSNs, POI recommendation has become increasingly important\cite{17}. Traditional recommendation models rely on user ratings or other explicit feedback to statically model user preferences. Many researchers, such as Zhang and Chow\cite{18}, have broadly utilized geographical, social, and temporal information to boost POI recommendation performance. Lian et al.\cite{19} integrated the dimensional gathering of geographical information into a weighted matrix factorization model to deal with the matrix sparsity problem. Yuan et al.\cite{20} proposed a method for fusing time-cycle information into a user-based Collaborative Filtering (CF) model for making time-aware POI recommendations.

Applying DNN models to extract features or mine interactions between users and items is becoming more and more popular in the field of recommendation system research. For instance, He et al.\cite{21} regarded user-item implicit interaction as a binary classification task and proposed a DNN recommendation method. This method integrates the implicit feedback behavior of users and uses DNNs to model the potential interactions of users and items. Yang et al.\cite{22} proposed a POI recommendation method based on DNNs, which fuses context information and CF methods. This method greatly exploits the implicit relationship between users and POIs.

Based on the geographic and time information fusion
method, Yuan et al.\textsuperscript{[23]} proposed a bounded-probabilistic-polynomial-based global site tag method that embeds the user’s time information and POI’s spatial information. This method also effectively alleviates the cold-start problem. In view of the different contributions of each kind of user’s preference information, Baral and Li\textsuperscript{[24]} proposed two fusion models based on ranking and matrix factorization to effectively combine various side information and proposed a new method for its utilization. The first work on graph embedding representation from the viewpoint of edges was proposed in 2018 by Wang et al.\textsuperscript{[25]}, who developed a novel network embedding approach, named DeepDirect, that preserves network topology. With the development of graph embedding methods, Christoforidis et al.\textsuperscript{[26]} proposed the LBSN graph-embedding method RLINE based on a large-scale information-network-embedding method that effectively embeds side information, such as the user’s social information, geographical distance information, and preference cycle information of an LBSN into the embedding space. In LBSN research, Cai et al.\textsuperscript{[27]} used crowdsourced data to explore the influence maximization problem, and proposed a novel influence propagation model that effectively merges users’ social and geographical relationships.

3 Our Model

3.1 Problem definition

A POI consists of two main parts: a geographical coordinate \(l\) and a description number \(p\). Each POI is identified by its geographic coordinates of latitude and longitude. When a user accesses a POI, an interaction is formed, which consists of a triple \((u, p, t)\), i.e., user \(u\), accessed POI \(p\), and a time stamp \(t\). The user set is represented as \(U\), the POI set is represented as \(P\), the user’s social graph is represented as \(G_U\), and the POI geographical graph is represented as \(G_P\). Our POI recommendation task involves a situation in which a user is on the time of stamp \(t\), and we must determine which POI \((p)\) user \((u)\) may be interested in, according to the users’ historical check-in behavior sequence.

**Definition 1** (Pseudo sequence) This sequence is obtained by sampling the real world dataset. Although it does not exist in the real-world datasets, its distribution roughly conforms to the distribution of real-world datasets. Thus, this sequence can complement a sparse dataset.

**Definition 2** (Block) For a user \(u_i\), there is a historical POI check-in sequence \(S_i\). Users who check into a location within a region have a high probability of attending locations that are proximate to it, so the sequence \(S_i\) has significant regional proximity. There is a check-in sequence \(S_P\), the distance between each POI in the sequence does not exceed the threshold \(D_8\) and the check-in time for each POI in the sequence does not exceed the threshold \(D_t\), \(C_i\) is the total set of POI meeting the above criteria, \(C_i = S_P \cup S_P \cup \cdots \cup S_P\). \(S_P \cap S_P = \emptyset\), where \(S_P\) is called a block.

**Definition 3** (SG-NeuRec and DG-NeuRec) In this paper, we propose two models—Structural deep network Graph embedding Neural-network Recommendation system (SG-NeuRec) and Deepwalk on Graph Neural-network Recommendation system (DG-NeuRec). In SG-NeuRec, we use the Structural Deep Network Embedding (SDNE) model to obtain the embedding of a POI in an LBSN graph. A structural deep network is used to capture a highly nonlinear network structure and preserve the first-order and second-order proximities of the graph topology. DG-NeuRec represents an exploration and improvement of the SG-NeuRec model. The DG-NeuRec model considers the user’s social relationship to be related to the geographic proximity of the POI, and puts both of them into the embedding representation learning process of the POI. In the SG-NeuRec and DG-NeuRec models, there are differences in the learning processes for POI embedding.

The main symbols in this paper are shown in Table 1.

**3.2 SDNE Graph (SG) embedding representation**

In this study, we used an unweighted graph to represent the social relation and geographic information in the dataset. This unweighted graph is described as an

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| \(D_8\) | Distance limit threshold |
| \(N\) | Vertex set of adjacency matrix of unweighted graph |
| \(i\) | Vertex of adjacency matrix of unweighted graph |
| \(V_i\) | Vertex sequence made up of the joining edges’ weight |
| \(E_{\text{UU}}\) | Set of all POIs |
| \(E_{\text{PP}}\) | Edge set of POIs |
| \(E_{\text{PU}}\) | Edge set of all users’ POIs |
| \(S_i\) | Check-in sequence for user \(i\) |
| \(G\) | A heterogeneous map of LBSN that contains user social relationships and POI geographical relationships |
| \(\alpha\) | Times of random walk |
| \(\alpha_s\) | Hyperparameter of the regular term in the loss function |
| \(\theta\) | Threshold of the distance of the POI point which is selected by random walk |
| \(\beta\) | Maximum length of pseudo sequence generation |
adjacency matrix. In the adjacency matrix of a user’s social graph, when any two users have social relations, their corresponding edge weight is 1, otherwise, it is 0. In the adjacency matrix of the POI geographic graph, if the distance between any two points is no longer than 5, the corresponding edge weight between them is 1, otherwise, it is 0.

This task adopts an unsupervised automatic encoder to learn the embedding representation of the vertex in a graph network. This inspiration comes from the SDNE model[28], as shown in Fig. 1.

The adjacency matrix of an unweighted graph has $N$ vertexes, and for each vertex $i$, $V_i = (v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \ldots, v_{iN})$ indicates a vertex sequence consisting of the weight of the adjoining edges. $V_i$ is entered into the encoder as the input sequence. The formulation of the encoder’s hidden layers is as follows:

$$h_i^{(1)} = (W_i V_i + b_1)$$

$$h_i = \sigma(W_2 h_i^{(1)} + b_2)$$

and the formulation of decoder’s hidden layers is as follows:

$$\hat{h}_i^{(1)} = \sigma(W^*_i h_i + \hat{b}_1)$$

$$\hat{V}_i = \sigma(W^*_2 \hat{h}_i^{(1)} + \hat{b}_2)$$

where $W_s, \hat{b}_s, W^*_s$, and $b_s$ are the parameters of the encoder-decoder model. $\sigma$ is a nonlinear activation function. $h_i$ is the output layer of the encoder, which is the embedding of vertex $i$. Through the decoding process, we can reconstruct the vertex sequence $\hat{V}_i = (\hat{v}_{i1}, \hat{v}_{i2}, \ldots, \hat{v}_{iN})$.

To minimize the difference between the reconstructed vertex sequence and the original input, which is a binary classification task, we use a logarithmic loss function. By minimizing the loss function, we can obtain the low-dimensional embedding of each vertex. So, our loss function is defined as follows:

$$L_{GE} = - \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} [v_{ij} \log \hat{v}_{ij} + (1 - v_{ij}) \log(1 - \hat{v}_{ij})] + \alpha \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} v_{ij} \|h_i - h_j\|^2$$

where $\alpha$ is the hyperparameter. Then we obtain graph embeddings of POI $p_i$ and user $u_i$ in a low-dimensional implicit space via the graph embedding representation model. The graph embeddings of POI $p_i$ and user $u_i$ are denoted as $g^p_i$ and $g^u_i$, respectively.

### 3.3 Deepwalk on Graph (DG) neural network to improve POI recommendation

The DG is used to generate a user POI check-in pseudo-sequence on the heterogeneous graph $G = (U, P, E_{UU}, E_{UP}, E_{PP})$. To do so, first, DG inserts a POI $p_i$ from the check-in set $P$ into the pseudo sequence $V_{S_i}$. Then, it extracts the user $u_i$ in the check-in set $U_{E_{PU}(p_i)}$ according to the normal distribution $N()$. It randomly walks $\alpha$ times on the graph $G_U = (U, E_{UU})$ and uses $N()$ to extract the POI $p_j$ in the check-in set $P_{p_j \in E_{PU}(u_i)}$ of the user $u_i$ obtained by a random walk. The geographic distance between $p_i$ and $p_j$ does not exceed the threshold $\theta$, and then $p_j$ is inserted into $V_{S_i}$. DG repeats the above steps $\beta$ times. Thus, we obtain a pseudo sequence $V_{S_i}$ of length $\beta$ for the POI point $p_i$, the procedure for which is shown in Algorithm 1.

Then, because we generate a pseudo sequence $V_{S_i}$ for each POI $p_i$, we regard the point in $V_{S_i}$ as the high correlation probability point of the $p_i$ point and the POI

![Fig. 1 SDNE graph embedding representation.](image-url)

**Algorithm 1** Heterogeneous graph random walk

**Input:** Heterogeneous graph $G$, geographic distance threshold $\theta$, times of random walks $\alpha$, pseudo sequence length $\beta$

**Output:** Pseudo-sequence set for all users $V_S$

1: Initialization set $V_S$
2: for all $p_i \in P$ do
3: Initialization set $V_{S_i}$
4: for $j = 0; j < \beta; j++$ do
5: Insert $p_i$ into the pseudo sequence $V_{S_i}$
6: Extract user $u_i$ in the set $U_{E_{PU}(p_i)}$ according to the normal distribution $N()$
7: Randomly walk $\alpha$ times on the $G_U = (U, E_{UU})$ to get the user $u_j$
8: Use the $N()$ to extract the POI point $p_j$ in the check-in set $P_{p_j \in E_{PU}(u_i)}$ of the user $u_j$, the geographic distance between $p_i$ and $p_j$ does not exceed the threshold $\theta$
9: Insert $p_j$ into $V_{S_i}$
10: end for
11: Insert $V_{S_i}$ into $V_S$
12: end for
13: return $V_S$
in the sequence can be repeated. The relevance of a POI is proportional to the number of its occurrences in the VS\textsubscript{i}. By entering the pseudo-sequence VS\textsubscript{i} into the Skip-gram model\cite{29}, we can obtain the maximization objective function as follows:

\[
\argmax p = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \sum_{j \neq i} \log p(x_j|x_i) \tag{6}
\]

where $\beta$ is the maximum length of the pseudo sequence VS\textsubscript{i}, $p(x_j|x_i)$ is defined in the following Softmax function:

\[
p(x_j|x_i) = \frac{\exp(w_i^T v_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{E} \exp(w_i^T v_k)} \tag{7}
\]

where $w_i$ and $v_j$ are the embedding vectors of the POI, which represent the target and previous POIs, respectively. However, when $\beta$ is too large, it makes the gradient calculation hard to calculate. According to the Skip-gram negative sampling method proposed by Mikolov\cite{30}, Eq. (7) can be modified as follows:

\[
p(x_j|x_i) = \sigma(w_i^T v_j) \prod_{k=1}^{E} \sigma(-w_i^T v_k) \tag{8}
\]

where $\sigma()$ is the sigmoid function, i.e., $\sigma() = 1/(1+e^{-x})$, $E$ is the negative sample for each positive sample, and $C$ is a check-in set. The more times $x_i$ appears in the check-in total $C$, the lower the probability is sampled, so we obtain the following final objective function:

\[
\argmax p = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \sum_{j \neq i} \log p(x_j|x_i) = \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \sum_{j \neq i} \log \sigma(w_i^T v_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{E} \log \sigma(-w_i^T v_k) \right) \tag{9}
\]

In this way, we can obtain high-quality POI embedding representations that integrate user’s social information and POI geographic location information via the DG. The significance of this model is that the social and geographic location information we obtained in the embedding representation is in a unified embedding space, so that the subsequent neural network can effectively measure and adjust the embeddings. Finally, for each user $u_i$, we can use the embedding matrix combined with the check-in record to generate a POI historical check-in embedding sequence $V_u = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$, where $v_i$ represents the $d$-dimension embedding vector of $x_i$. Figure 2 shows the Deepwalk on graph neural network.

3.4 User-POI interaction representation

We apply a stacking multi-layer structure in the model to capture the interaction representation between the user and the POI. Figure 3 shows the model used in this study. Next, we provide details of the structure of the proposed model.

**Input layer:** The input layer receives one-hot
encoded user-POI item pairs \((u_i, p_j)\). We use the one-hot vector multiplied by the weight matrix to quickly find the embedding of the user or POI.

**Embedding layer:** The embedding layer can map the one-hot encoded user and POI vectors to the low-dimensional embedding representation vector. Through iterative adjustment of the model, we obtain two implicit vector embedding matrices \(E_u \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}\) and \(E_p \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times D}\) separately, where \(N\) denotes the number of users, \(M\) denotes the number of POIs and \(D\) denotes dimension of the users’ and POIs’ embeddings. We use \(u_i\) and \(p_j\) to represent the one-hot encoding vectors of the users and POIs, respectively, and \(E_u^T u_i\) and \(E_p^T p_j\) represent the user’s \(u_i\) and POI’s \(p_j\) embedding vectors, respectively.

**Merge layers:** In the merge layers, we add \(E_u^T u_i\) to the social embedding \(g^u_i\), and add \(E_p^T p_j\) to the geographical embedding \(g^p_j\). The purpose of doing this is to generate a user vector and a POI vector separately. Then we concatenate these two vectors, which serve as the input vector \(z\) of the subsequent layer.

The formulation of merge layer is as follows:

\[
z = [E_u^T u_i + g^u_i; E_p^T p_j + g^p_j]
\]

(10)

**Hidden layer:** The hidden layer uses a stacking multi-layer model to learn the implicit interaction embeddings of the users and POIs. The output of the stacking multi-layer model of the \(K\)-th layer is expressed as follows:

\[
h^K(z) = a(W^K h^{K-1}(z) + b^K)
\]

(11)

where \(W^K\) and \(b^K\) are the weight matrix and bias vector of the stacking multi-layer model’s \(K\)-th layer, respectively, and \(a\) is a nonlinear activation function. Here we apply ReLU, \(ReLU(x) = \max(0, x)\), as the activation function.

We take the last output of the stacking multi-layer model as the interaction embedding vector \(h_{u,p}\) between the user and the POI. The formulation of \(h_{u,p}\) is as follows:

\[
h_{u,p} = h^K(h^{K-1}(\cdots h^1(z))) = h^K(h^{K-1}(\cdots h^1(E_u^T u_i + g^u_i; E_p^T p_j + g^p_j)))
\]

(12)

3.5 Time pattern-POI relevance representation

POI check-in records often have significant time interval features. Therefore, the use of a POI recommendation model based on time modeling is often conducive to improving the prediction accuracy of the model. In this study, we took 1 h as the time-sampling interval, namely, seven days a week, 24 h a day, for a total of 168 sample points. In this way, we divided a week into 168 periods as the time pattern of users’ check-ins. Similar to the user-POI model, we used the same method to obtain an embedding representation of time. The time pattern of a user’s check-in \(t_\tau\) and POI \(p_j\) are embedded, respectively, and we obtain the embedding vector of time pattern \(F^T t_\tau\) and the embedding vector of POI \(F^T p_j\), where \(F_t\) and \(F_p\) are the implicit vector matrices of the POIs and time patterns, respectively. We applied a DNN model of the relevance between the time pattern and POI, and took the Hadamard product of the two embedding matrices to obtain the relationship vector \(h_{t,p}\) between the time POI and pattern. The Hadamard product was chosen to obtain an equally long relationship vector \(h_{t,p}\) with embeddings \((t_\tau\) and \(p_j\)), the formulation for which is as follows:

\[
h_{t,p} = F^T t_\tau \odot F^T p_j
\]

(13)

where \(\odot\) denotes the Hadamard product of the vectors, i.e., the element-wise product.

3.6 Model training

Now, we have the interaction vector \(h_{u,p}\) between the POIs and users, and the relationship vector \(h_{t,p}\) between the POIs and time patterns. We then concatenate these two embeddings in the merge layer and put it into the hidden layer to obtain the implicit vector \(h_{u,p,t}\) among the users, POIs, and time patterns via a hidden layer, the formulation for which is as follows:

\[
h_{u,p,t} = ReLU(W [h_{u,p}; h_{t,p}] + b)
\]

(14)

where \(W\) and \(b\) are the weight matrix and bias vector of the hidden layer, respectively. The final output layer generates the probability of the interaction prediction value of user \(u_i\) and POI \(p_j\) on time stamp \(t_\tau\), the formulation for which is as follows:

\[
\tilde{y}_{i,j,\tau} = \sigma(H^T h_{u,p,t})
\]

(15)

where \(H\) is the correlation weight of the prediction layer and \(\sigma\) is the sigmoid function, which is defined as \(\sigma(x) = 1/(1 + e^{-x})\).

The model in this paper is a binary classification model, and the activation function of the output layer is a sigmoid function. Therefore, negative sampling is used to prevent the model from fitting. In this paper’s sample set, \(Y\) is the set of POIs that is accessed by users in the dataset, and \(Y^-\) is the set of POIs not accessed by users in the dataset. This model’s target likelihood function is as follows:

\[
P(Y, Y^-|\Theta) = \prod_{(i,j,\tau) \in Y} \tilde{y}_{i,j,\tau} \prod_{(i,j,\tau) \in Y^-} (1 - \tilde{y}_{i,j,\tau})
\]

(16)
where \( \Theta \) indicates the model parameters. Then we take the negative logarithm of the likelihood function. The final objective function is defined as follows:

\[
L_{\text{predict}} = - \sum_{(i,j) \in Y} \log \hat{y}_{i,j,\tau} - \sum_{(i,j) \in Y^c} \log(1 - \hat{y}_{i,j,\tau})
\]

(17)

We add the graph embedding representations which learning by our model to the loss function as a regular term. Users’ embedding matrix and POIs’ embedding matrix are denoted as \( L_U \) and \( L_P \), respectively. In this way, we uniformly optimize the model, and any gradient adjustment will affect all parts of the embedding layer. Finally, we can obtain the objective function as follows:

\[
L = L_{\text{predict}} + \lambda_U \cdot L_U + \lambda_P \cdot L_P
\]

(18)

where \( \lambda_U \) and \( \lambda_P \) are hyperparameters, which are used to manually adjust the weight of the regular term.

4 Experiment and Analysis

4.1 Experimental settings

We evaluated the proposed model on Gowalla (http://snap.stanford.edu/data/loc-Gowalla.html) and Yelp (https://www.kaggle.com/yelp-dataset/yelp-dataset), two real-world datasets. Each of the check-in data in these datasets contains a user ID, a POI ID, a timestamp, and the latitude and longitude of the POI. Table 2 lists the statistics for these datasets, density is used to measure the sparseness of a dataset.

We used the Hit Ratio (HR) and Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR) as measurement criteria for the model, and choose top-K recommended results as a comparison. HR embodies the most basic performance of the model, and POI recommendations often occur in the top one or top five scenarios, so the MRR can effectively measure whether the model could be the first to recommend suitable POIs for users. As the test set, we used the last check-in record in the user check-in sequence, and the rest of the sequence served as the training set. For each user, we generated 100 negative samples to make sure that the model was not skewed. We obtained the HR and MRR values for each test user and calculated the average scores. We also tested the baseline model on the same dataset and analyzed the performances of both to identify the advantages of our model.

For the embedding of the geographic location of the POI, if the geographical distance of two POI points did not exceed 1 km, we set the value in the adjacent weight matrix to 1, otherwise we set it to 0. For the hyperparameter \( \alpha_s \) of the regular term in the loss function, we set the default value to 0.1. We used the Adam optimization method as the gradient optimizer for the model and set the learning rate to 0.0001 and batch size to 256. We used a normal distribution to randomly initialize all the learn-able parameters of the model. \( \alpha_s \) value was 4 and \( \beta \) value was 64, and we set the dropout ratio to 0.2. In the embedding of the timing feature, we set the embedding dimension to 16. For the embedding of the user and POI interactive feature, we set the embedding dimension to 32 and the depth of the hidden layer to 3, using a tower structure. Regarding the size of the hidden layer in the stacking multi-layer model, it is reduced by half with each layer, that is, its size was 64, 32, 16, respectively. In Section 4.3, we analyze the effect of the size and number of hidden layers on the performance of the proposed model through comparative experiments. For the objective function of the model, we also compared and analyzed the settings of the hyperparameters \( \lambda_U \) and \( \lambda_P \) in the experimental analysis to select the optimal parameters of the model.

The baseline algorithms used in our comparative experiments include traditional recommendation methods based on collaborative filtering, neural network recommendation methods, and recommendation methods based on combined geographic and social factors.

- **BPR-MF**\(^{[31]}\): It is a recommendation model based on Bayesian personalized ranking, which optimizes the matrix factorization model by using the pair-wise loss.
- **NeuMF**\(^{[21]}\): It is a recommendation model based on neural networks, which utilizes a multi-layer perceptron to model the latent interaction between a user and an item.
- **GE**\(^{[32]}\): It is a POI recommendation model based on graph embedding, which jointly embeds bipartite graphs of POIs, time, regions, and behaviors in the latent space.
- **SoRec**\(^{[33]}\): It is a social recommendation algorithm that models users and items by decomposing a social relationship matrix and a rating matrix, and sharing a users’ latent feature matrix.
- **PACE**\(^{[22]}\): It is a POI recommendation model based on neural networks that integrates context factors and
a collaborative filtering method into a semi-supervised learning framework.

4.2 Performance comparison

Experiment results on the Gowalla and Yelp datasets are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively, where HR@K and MRR@K mean that we extract the first K samples of the model prediction as the basis for the calculation of HR and MRR. We can see that our proposed model achieved the best experimental results. Our model also showed sufficient robustness while improving the prediction accuracy. Otherwise, the GE model performed better than SoRec and BPR-MF due to geographical considerations. The performance of NeuMF was superior to those of BPR-MF, GE, and SoRec, which indicates that the DNN approach has significant advantages in modeling the potential interaction between POI and users. Lastly, compared with SG-NeuRec, the recommendation accuracy and ranking performance of our improved model DG-NeuRec were more effective to a certain extent.

4.3 Model analysis

4.3.1 Hide layer settings

By changing the number of hidden layers and the dimensional capacity of each hidden layer, we explored the impact of different hidden-layer designs on the recommendation performance. In the experiment, we changed the size of the embedding dimensions of users and POIs, and then changed the dimensional capacity of the hidden layers accordingly. For example, if the embedding dimensions of the users and POIs were 32, and the number of hidden layers was 3, the dimensions of the each hidden layer were 64, 32, and 16. As shown in Tables 5 and 6, when the embedding dimensions of the users and POIs were 32 and the number of hidden layers was 3, the MRR@20 of the recommendation model achieved maximum value. In Tables 5 and 6, D is the dimension of the embeddings and L is the number of hidden layers.

4.3.2 SG-NeuRec versus DG-NeuRec

The main difference between the SG-NeuRec and DG-NeuRec methods is the learning methods used for the users and POI embeddings. SG-NeuRec utilizes an encoder-decoder framework to extract the relationships of the sequence elements. This method takes into account the user’s friend relationships and the geographical proximity of the POI points. However, the SG-NeuRec method does not actively expand sparse datasets, so the robustness of the model is negatively affected when the sparsity of the data is particularly severe. For this reason, we proposed the DG-NeuRec method to improve our SG-NeuRec POI recommendation model. DG-NeuRec uses Deepwalk to obtain the pseudo-check-in sequence of the user. For POI embeddings, DG-NeuRec uses Deepwalk to obtain the pseudo-check-in sequence of the user, and then uses Skip-gram to obtain the POI embeddings. The methods used to obtain the user embeddings are similar.

From Figs. 4 and 5, we can see that when using SG-NeuRec, the POI embeddings in the T-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (T-SNE) view have a zonal distribution. Figure 4 suggests that the POI

| Table 3 | Experiment results on the Gowalla dataset. |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| Method  | HR@5 | HR@10 | HR@20 | MRR@20 |
| BPR-MF  | 0.3018 | 0.3364 | 0.4039 | 0.0986 |
| SoRec   | 0.3760 | 0.4217 | 0.4836 | 0.1103 |
| GE      | 0.4221 | 0.4782 | 0.5103 | 0.1409 |
| NeuMF   | 0.5139 | 0.5787 | 0.6394 | 0.1749 |
| PACE    | 0.5208 | 0.5914 | 0.6430 | 0.2030 |
| SG-NeuRec | 0.5521 | 0.6203 | 0.7630 | 0.2541 |
| DG-NeuRec | 0.5583 | 0.6271 | 0.7636 | 0.2533 |

| Table 4 | Experiment results on the Yelp dataset. |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| Method  | HR@5 | HR@10 | HR@20 | MRR@20 |
| BPR-MF  | 0.2580 | 0.3116 | 0.3904 | 0.1030 |
| SoRec   | 0.3350 | 0.4382 | 0.4701 | 0.1309 |
| GE      | 0.3696 | 0.4501 | 0.5113 | 0.1607 |
| NeuMF   | 0.4119 | 0.5118 | 0.6128 | 0.1617 |
| PACE    | 0.4299 | 0.5210 | 0.6209 | 0.1810 |
| SG-NeuRec | 0.5023 | 0.5890 | 0.7156 | 0.2159 |
| DG-NeuRec | 0.5047 | 0.5651 | 0.7203 | 0.2009 |

| Table 5 | SG-NeuRec: MRR@20 results of different hidden layers on Gowalla dataset. |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| D       | L = 0 | L = 1 | L = 2 | L = 3 | L = 4 |
| 8       | 0.211 | 0.2219 | – | – | – |
| 16      | 0.2127 | 0.2368 | 0.2513 | – | – |
| 32      | 0.2183 | 0.2424 | 0.2522 | **0.2541** | – |
| 64      | 0.229 | 0.2437 | 0.2528 | 0.2532 | 0.2528 |

| Table 6 | SG-NeuRec: MRR@20 results of different hidden layers on Yelp dataset. |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| D       | L = 0 | L = 1 | L = 2 | L = 3 | L = 4 |
| 8       | 0.1823 | 0.2076 | – | – | – |
| 16      | 0.1964 | 0.2083 | 0.212 | – | – |
| 32      | 0.1973 | 0.2089 | 0.2136 | **0.2159** | – |
| 64      | 0.1987 | 0.2102 | 0.2117 | 0.2142 | 0.2137 |
embeddings learned through SG-NeuRec have weak relevance. Specifically, the POI points are only adjacent to a few POI points in the embedding space, and the distribution of different POI points is very discrete. In the contrast, POI embeddings obtained by DG-NeuRec exhibit a cluster distribution in the T-SNE view. This distribution is more conducive for the inference of subsequent neural networks regarding the relevance of different POIs, so these the neural networks can make predictions by referring to users’ POI check-in records.

5 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we proposed a neural-network POI recommendation model called SG-NeuRec and then improved the SG-NeuRec method, which we called DG-NeuRec. Our model integrates user’s social relationship information with the geographic information of POIs. Using the framework of a DNN model, a multi-layer perceptron is used to learn the potential representation of the interaction between a user and a POI. In subsequent improvements, we enabled our model to learn embedding representations directly on the LBSN graph. We also designed a shallow network to explore the relationship between users and POIs. We combined the embeddings of user-POI interactions with time pattern embeddings under the DNN framework to improve the model’s performance with respect to POI recommendations. Finally, we conducted experiments on two real-world datasets and demonstrated the validity of the proposed model.

In future work, we will use the knowledge graph to further explore the potential relationship between users and POIs.
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