Molecular docking of genistein on estrogen receptors, promoter region of BCLX, caspase-3, Ki-67, cyclin D1, and telomere activity
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Abstract

Objectives: This study aims to investigate the modulation of estrogen receptors by estrogen and the role of genistein in the transcriptional process that regulates genes involved in the proliferation, apoptosis, and telomere activity.

Methods: The research was conducted in silico, wherein docking, the most important method, was carried out using Hex 8.0 software and HADDOCK web server. Interaction analysis was subsequently done to observe the interactions between genistein and several related proteins and BCLX, Casp3, Ki-67, CyclinD1, hTERT, and POT1 genes using Discovery Studio, LigPlus, and NUCPLOT.

Results: The interaction between ERα with genistein was not found to form a single bond. Thus, the interaction that may occur will not be effective because it is not stable. Conversely, when interacting with ERβ, two hydrogen bonds and four hydrophobic bonds, MPP dihydrochloride interacted with ERα via two hydrogen bonds and three hydrophobic bonds. The ERβ/eNOS
complex will be comparatively easier to induced by the transcriptional activation of BCLX, Casp3, Ki-67, CyclinD1, hTERT and POT1 genes.

**Conclusions:** Administration of genistein can increase the genomic activities of the estrogen-eNOS receptor complexes related to apoptosis, proliferation, and telomere activity.
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**Introduction**

Steroid hormone nuclear receptors and their hormonal ligands are the key mediator groups in the endocrine signalling pathway, which play an important role in the regulation of differentiation, growth, and metabolic homeostasis. Estrogen regulates differentiation and maintains reproductive tissues, muscles, and other tissues by activating their receptors. Ligands of estrogen receptors (ER) induce ER bonding at specific DNA response elements to regulate target gene expression. Receptors that can bind to DNA can positively or negatively regulate the transcription of target genes in specific cells or tissues. The ER agonist bonding in breast cancer cells can recruit a transcriptional co activator. In contrast, when it is antagonistic, the ER will actively recruit the corepressors, thereby causing transcriptional suppression of the target genes. Structural studies indicate that estrogen and the antagonist estrogen compounds can induce different conformational changes in estrogen receptor-alpha (ERα), which may further determine the recruitment of co activators or corepressors, thus leading to diverse biological effects. Estrogen also acts as a mitogen to increase cell proliferation in both normal and cancerous breast cells, where ERα is a prognostic marker and a therapeutic target for breast cancer. One of the anti-estrogen compounds is methyl-piperidino-pyrazole (MPP) dihydrochloride (C29H31N3O3·2HCl), which is selective and has a high antagonist affinity to ERα compared with estrogen receptor-beta (ERβ) (200×).

The most potential estrogen produced by human body is 17β-estradiol. There are two estrogen metabolites, estrone and estriol. Although both have high affinity ligands, they have lower agonist properties against ER. Cellular signalling of estrogen is mediated through two subtypes of ER, namely ERα and ERβ, both of which belong to the nuclear receptor transcription factor family. The most conserved domain of ER is the DNA-binding domain (DBD) involved in the introduction and binding of DNA, while the ligand binding occurs in the ligand-binding domain (LBD) at the COOH terminal region. Transcription activation is facilitated by two different activation (AF) functions, i.e. AF-1 which is continuously active and located at the NH2 terminal of the receptor, and AF-2 located at the COOH terminal of LBD. ERα and ERβ have a high degree of homology based on sequence alignment, except at the terminal NH2 domain. They also have similar affinity levels towards estrogen and bind to the same DNA response elements. Estrogen signalling begins when estrogen binds to ER where the transcriptional response depends upon the composition of the corrugating protein and the characteristics of the target gene promoter. The ER complex binds to the estrogen-responsive element (ERE) of the target gene, which subsequently recruits various cofactors, inducing the activation or repression of the target genes.

Nitric oxide (NO) is produced by eNOS, which is a free radical involved in various cellular processes. Several studies have reported that activated eNOS can translocate to the nucleus upon binding to ERβ. The eNOS/ERβ complex determines chromatin remodelling, thus inducing the transcriptional activation of some prognostic genes, including hTERT, MSH2, CyclinD1, and ps2. These genes are quite sensitive to estrogen stimulus or intracellular NO levels.

Epidemiological reports show that the consumption of food items that contain a lot of phytoestrogens is thought to be associated with a reduced risk of cancer due to hormonal induction. Studies have also reported the antiproliferative effect of genistein a phytoestrogen compound found most abundantly in soybean. Genistein has a higher affinity for ERβ compared with ERα (9×).

Anti-estrogen designed to inhibit ERα signalling are widely and effectively being used clinically for the treatment of breast cancer. However, these drugs have undesired side effects on non-target tissues, and long-term treatments render the cancer resistant to the anti-estrogen therapy. The idea of using ERβ agonist offers new possibilities for pharmacological intervention in cancer therapy, and because of its specificity towards ERβ, genistein is expected to have no undesirable side-effects upon substitution with estrogen. This study aimed to investigate the modulation of estrogen receptors by anti-estrogen and roles of genistein against the transcription process regulation of genes involved in the proliferation, apoptosis, and telomere activities.

**Materials and Methods**

**Search for nucleotide and protein sequences**

The structures of the components of genistein (CID: 5280961), 17β-estradiol (CID: 5757), and MPP dihydrochloride (CID: 45073474) were obtained from PubChem Open Chemistry Database. The sequences of the proteins ERα (GI: 11907837), ERβ (GI: 2970564), eNOS (GI: 266648), and nucleotides BCLX gene promoter sequence (GI: 488120), Casp3 (GI: 27979118), CyclinD1 (GI: 483600), Ki-67 (GI: 1944550), hTERT (GI: 4239869), and POT-1 (GI: 649107630) were obtained from sequence database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), United States National Library of Medicine (NLM), and
3D structure modelling of DNA, proteins, and bioactive components

The 3D structure modelling of ERα, ERβ, and eNOS was performed to predict the 3D conformations using SWISS-MODELLER web server by homology modelling.14,15 The 3D model of the respective protein was then validated using Ramachandran Plot analysis. The 3D structures of BCLX, Casp3, CyclinD1, Ki-67, hTERT, and POT-1 gene promoters were predicted by 3D DART web server.16 The conversion of *.sdf files into *.pdb files from genistein,17 β-estradiol and MPP dihydrochloride was performed using OpenBabel software.17

Docking computation

Simulation of docking between genistein compounds, 17β-estradiol and MPP dihydrochloride on ERα and ERβ, as well as the docking between the ERβ + genistein/17β-estradiol + eNOS complexes in the target gene promoters were performed using HEX 8.0 software.18 The docking protocol consists of three stages of visualization, namely rigid-body energy minimization, semi-flexible repair, and finishing refinement in explicit solvent. After the execution of each stage, the docking confirmation was scored and sorted based on the scoring function to facilitate the best conformation selection to be used at a later stage.

Results

Genistein exhibits a selective affinity for ERβ

In this study, it was reported that genistein has a higher affinity for ERβ compared to ERα. The docking analysis showed that for the genistein-ERα interaction takes lesser energy (−216.18 kJ/mol) as compared to the genistein-ERβ interaction (−213.62 kJ/mol). However, the difference in energy is not significant enough. In addition, the docking analysis shows that the interaction between ERα with genistein does not involve formation of a chemical bond, because of which, the resultant unstable interaction may not be effective. Conversely, upon interacting with ERβ, two hydrogen bonds and four hydrophobic bonds between

Table 1: Possible interactions between 17β-estradiol and genistein with ERα or ERβ.

| Molecule | Point interaction | Category | Donor atom | Acceptor atom | Binding energy |
|----------|------------------|----------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| ERα – 17β-estradiol | ARG261:H – 17β-estradiol:C | Hydrophobic bond | H | C | −218.31 kJ/mol |
|  | PHE310:H – 17β-estradiol:C | Hydrophobic bond | H | C | |
|  | LEU311:H – 17β-estradiol:C | Hydrophobic bond | H | C | |
| ERα – genistein | — | — | — | — | |
| ERβ – 17β-estradiol | MET296:O – 17β-estradiol:O | Hydrophobic bond | O | O | −216.18 kJ/mol |
|  | THR299:H – 17β-estradiol:C | Hydrophobic bond | H | C | −207.90 kJ/mol |
|  | LYS300:O – 17β-estradiol:C | Hydrophobic bond | O | C | |
|  | ASP303:H – 17β-estradiol:C | Hydrophobic bond | H | C | |
|  | VAL485:O – 17β-estradiol:O | Hydrophobic bond | O | O | |
| ERβ – genistein | LYS304:HZ3 – genistein:O | Hydrogen bond | HZ3 | O | −213.62 kJ/mol |
|  | VAL485:O – genistein:O | Hydrogen bond | H | O | |
|  | MET296:O – genistein:O | Hydrogen bond | O | O | |
|  | THR299:O – genistein:O | Hydrophobic bond | O | O | |
|  | VAL485:O – genistein:O | Hydrophobic bond | O | O | |
|  | LEU490:O – genistein:O | Hydrophobic bond | O | O | |
Genistein and amino acid residues Lys304, Val485, Met296, Thr299, Val485, and Leu490 of ERβ are formed, leading to a stronger interaction (Table 1 and Figure 1).

**Genistein has a higher affinity level in ERβ compared to 17β-estradiol**

Table 1 and Figure 1 show the results of docking analysis. It can be clearly seen that the energy required by genistein for binding to ERβ is lower (−213.62 kJ/mol) than that required by 17β-estradiol (−207.90 kJ/mol). Docking analysis also showed that the interaction between genistein and ERβ was mediated by two hydrogen bonds and four hydrophobic bonds; whereas the interaction between 17β-estradiol and ERβ was mediated by five hydrophobic bonds only.

**MPP dihydrochloride can inhibit the 17β-estradiol binding with ERα**

The analysis was conducted to see the counter mechanism of MPP dihydrochloride against ERα. It was seen that MPP

![Figure 1: Analysis of docking and bond energy between estrogen receptor, 17β-estradiol, and genistein. Genistein more easily interacts with both estrogen receptors than the 17β-estradiol interactions with estrogen beta receptors.](image)

| Table 2: Possible interactions between MPP dihydrochloride and ERα. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Molecule | Point interaction | Category | Donor atom | Acceptor atom | Binding energy |
| ERα + 17β estradiol | MPP dihydrochloride:N – ASP333:OD2 | Hydrogen bond | N | OD2 | −341.22 kJ/mol |
| ERα, MPP dihydrochloride | MPP dihydrochloride:H – LEU159:O | Hydrogen bond | H | O | −216.66 kJ/mol |
| ERα, MPP dihydrochloride + 17β estradiol | ASP329:H - MPP dihydrochloride:C | Hydrophobic bond | H | C | −205.45 kJ/mol |
| ERα, MPP dihydrochloride + genistein | GLN351:O - MPP dihydrochloride:C | Hydrophobic bond | O | C | −205.45 kJ/mol |
Figure 2: Analysis of docking and bond energy between estrogen alpha receptor, 17β-estradiol, genistein, and MPP-dihydrochloride.

Figure 3: Analysis of docking and bond energy between estrogen beta receptor, genistein, eNOS, with Cyclin D1 or Bcl-X.
dihydrochloride interacted with ERα via two hydrogen bonds and three hydrophobic bonds via ERα residues Asp333, Leu159, Arg326, Asp329, and Gln351. Interaction sites of MPP dihydrochloride and 17β-estradiol in ERα were differently located, but when MPP dihydrochloride binds to ERα, it brings about a change in 17β-estradiol interaction site in ERα (Figure 2 and Table 2). Interaction analysis also shows that the interaction site changes may cause an increase in the energy required to bind, but the bonds which mediate the interaction are not formed at all. It is suspected that when MPP dihydrochloride binds to ERα, the ERα conformation changes so as to inhibit the 17β-estradiol and ERα binding. Unlike with genistein, MPP dihydrochloride did not cause changes in the interaction site of genistein on ERα, but may cause the energy required for interaction become larger (−205.45 kJ/mol).

**Genistein is more effective in inducing transcription of BCLX, Casp3, Ki-67, CyclinD1, hTERT, and POT1 genes**

Out of the 6 genes analysed in this study, BCLX and Casp3 genes are involved in cell apoptosis, the Ki-67 and CyclinD1 genes are involved in cell proliferation, and hTERT and POT1 genes participate in the telomerase activity. The analysis showed that upon binding to genistein, the ERβ/eNOS complex would be induced easily by the transcriptional activation of the above-mentioned genes. This is seen from the lower binding energy and higher number of the bonds formed (Figures 3 and 4, Table 3). In ERβ, the DNA binding domain extends from residues 149–214, the steroid binding domain is between residues 215–530, while the Zinc finger is positioned at residues 149–169 and 185–209.

**Discussion**

Genistein is a phytoestrogen compound found mostly in soybean plant. In this study it was proven that the energy of the interaction between genistein and ERβ was slightly lower than that with ERα. This indicates that genistein can interact with both receptors, but it is relatively easier in case of ERβ. In addition, this type of bond with ERβ shows a more stable bonding compared to ERα. This finding is consistent with the previous reports stating that genistein can bind to both of the estrogen receptor isoforms. In this study the interaction between genistein and Lys304, Val485, Met296, Thr299, Val 485, and Leu49 of ERβ has been elucidated. This investigation also proved the genistein-17β-estradiol interaction against ERβ. The energy of the interaction and the type of bonds formed indicate that genistein has a higher affinity for ERβ than 17β-estradiol. The hydrogen bonding is stronger as compared to the hydrophobic

---

**Figure 4:** Analysis of docking and bond energy between estrogen beta receptor, genistein, eNOS, with POT1 or hTERT.
### Table 3: Interactions of ERβ/eNOS/genistein-17β estradiol complexes on target gene promoters.

| Molecule | Number of interaction | Point interaction | Binding energy |
|----------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| ERβ, 17-β estradiol, eNOS + BCLX | 27 (4 hydrogen bonds, 23 van der waals interaction) | G(-29A) → Gln1167, Ser1171, Glu1168; G(-25A) → Ser 619; A(-23A) → Pro621, Ser617, Ser624; G(-22A) → Ser617, Ile616, Ser625; C(10B) → Glu1168; C(9B) → Arg1172, Asp620, Thr1118, Thr1121, Asn1122; C(8B) → Ser619, Gln1176, Ser619, Arg655, Ala656; C(7B) → Cys618, Ser619, Arg655, Ile1173, Ser1177; C(6B) → Gln1176. | -543.07 kJ/mol |
| ERβ, genistein, eNOS + BCLX | 36 (4 hydrogen bonds, 32 van der waals interaction) | G(-29A) → Tyr488, Tyr411, Arg655, Ile1173, Ser1177; G(-28A) → Ser619, Ile1173, Gln1176, Arg655, Ala656, Ile1173; G(-27A) → Arg1172, Gln1176, Thr1118, Arg1172; G(-26A) → Arg1172, G(-25A) → Glu1168; T(-24A) → Glu1168; A(-20A) → Leu698; A(-19A) → Arg693, Gly967; C(11B) → Tyr411, Leu413, Val414; C(8B) → Gln1176; C(7B) → Arg1172; A(6B) → Glu1168, Ser1171, Phe906, Asp966; T(5B) → Gln1167; T(3B) → Arg1079. | -681.94 kJ/mol |
| ERβ, 17-β estradiol, eNOS + Casp3 | 23 (4 hydrogen bond, 19 van der waals interaction) | T (-121A) → Ser1171; G(-115A) → Ser617, ys618, Pro621, Ser624; C(-144A) → Ser617; A (-81B) → Glu1168, Arg1172; C(-104A) → Glu1168; C(-91B) → Arg1093; G(-92B) → Arg1093, Glu1125, Thr1126, Arg1129; C(-93B) → Glu1089, Asp1093; C(-94B) → Lys1085. | -522.93 kJ/mol |
| ERβ, genistein, eNOS + Casp3 | 16 (one hydrogen bond, 15 van der waals interaction) | G(-115A) → Ala1098; C(-114A) → Thr1094, Glu1095; G(-107A) → Val414; A(-106A) → Ser619; G(-105A) → Glu1168, Arg1172; C(-104A) → Glu1168; C(-91B) → Arg1093; G(-92B) → Arg1093, Glu1125, Thr1126, Arg1129; C(-93B) → Glu1089, Asp1093; C(-94B) → Lys1085. | -636.42 kJ/mol |
| ERβ, 17-β estradiol, eNOS + Ki-67 | 21 (4 hydrogen bond, 17 van der waals interaction) | G(-41A) → Gln1176, Ser1177; G(-40A) → Ser619, Glu655, Ile1173; G(-39A) → Ser619, Asp620, Thr1121; C(-38A) → Asp620, Arg1172, Ile1122; T(-37A) → Arg1172; C(-25B) → Ile616, Ser617, Ser624, Ser625; G(-26B) → Cys618, Ser624; C(-32B) → Glu1168. | -546.92 kJ/mol |
| ERβ, genistein, eNOS + Ki-67 | 29 (5 hydrogen bond, 24 van der waals interaction) | C(-44A) → Arg1049, Arg1079; C(-42A) → Glu1167; G(-41A) → Arg1172; G(-22B) → Arg963, Glu967, Leu968; C(-28B) → Glu1168; C(-29B) → Arg1168, Glu968; Arg1172, Thr1118; A(-31B) → Arg1172, Glu1168, Arg655, Ala656, Ile1173; C(-32B) → Ser619, Ile1173, Arg655, Ile1173, Ser1177. | -638.37 kJ/mol |
| ERβ, 17-β estradiol, eNOS + CycinD1 | 26 (3 hydrogen bond, 23 van der waals interaction) | C(-75A) → Ser1171; T(-74A) → Arg1172, Ti-69A) → Ser617, Cys618, Pro621, Ser617, Ser624; C(-68A) → Ser617, Ile616, Ala61; A(-36B) → Glu1168; A(-37B) → Arg1172, Asp620, Thr1118, Thr1121; A(-38B) → Ser619, Asp620, Arg1172, Gln1176, Arg655, Ala656; C(-39B) → Ile1173, Glu1176, Arg655, Ile1173, Glu1176, Ser1177. | -542.26 kJ/mol |
| ERβ, genistein, eNOS + CycinD1 | 26 (2 hydrogen bond, 24 van der waals interaction) | T(-74A) → Leu971; T(-73A) → Leu968, Gly969, Pro970, T(-72A) → Leu968; G(-71A) → Arg963; T(-66A) → Lys1085; T(-65A) → Lys1085; T(-62A) → Leu413; T(-61A) → Val414; T(-40B) → Thr775, Arg1079; A(-41B) → Arg1079; G(-42B) → Arg1165, Thr1118, Glu1167, Glu1168; A(-43B) → Glu1168, Ser1171; T(-51B) → Thr415, Thr417; G(-52B) → Thr415. | -616.27 kJ/mol |
| ERβ, 17-β estradiol, eNOS + hTERT | 20 (5 hydrogen bond, 15 van der waals interaction) | G(-163A) → Arg764, Ser765; C(-162A) → Ser765, Arg782; C(-161A) → His969, C(-160A) → Glu1033; C(-159A) → Thr948, Glu1033; G(-158A) → Ser947; C(-150B) → Ser947, Pro950, Gly787, Gly951; A(-151B) → Pro946, Pro950, Glu792, Tyr793, Pro946; G(-152B) → Ser488, Glm974. | -611.79 kJ/mol |
| ERβ, genistein eNOS + hTERT | 22 (4 hydrogen bond, 18 van der waals interaction) | G(-170A) → Leu971; G(-169A) → Gly967, Leu968, Gly969; A(-168A) → Arg963, Leu968; C(-167A) → Leu968; C(-160A) → Gln1176, Tyr411, Gln1176; C(-159A) → Tyr411, Leu477; G(-158A) → Tyr411, Glu474; T(-157A) → His467; C(-156A) → Arg466; G(-144B) → Gln1176, Ser1171; G(-152B) → Pro412, Leu413. | -722.43 kJ/mol |
| ERβ, 17-β estradiol, eNOS + POTI | 43 (5 hydrogen bond, 38 van der waals interaction) | C(-21A) → Trp345, Asp349; T(-20A) → Trp345, Asp349, Ile348, Phe387; C(-19A) → Asp349, Arg388, Gly389; C(-18A) → Asp349, Ala589, Glu592, Met593; C(-17A) → Ser638, Glu16; A(-16A) → Asp637, Ser638; T(-15A) → Glu652, Asp637; G(-10A) → Ala515; T(-9A) → Ala515; A(-20B) → Asn986; C(-16B) → Lys353; A(-15B) → Lys353, Glu652, Gly352, Lys353, Asp363; C(-13B) → Glu652, His353, Glu664; T(-12B) → Thr644. | -494.65 kJ/mol |

(continued on next page)
bonding. The stronger the bonds formed between the two molecules, the higher the stability of the interactions. Hence, it is suspected that genistein has a stronger affinity towards ERβ if the affinity levels between 17β-estradiol and ERβ are to be compared. The study supports the previous findings that genistein-ERβ interactions involve the −OH groups of His475, Glu305, and Arg306.22

Methyl-piperidino-pyrazole (MPP) is a very selective ERα antagonist. Its affinity for ERα is 200 times as compared to ERβ.23 Our study revealed that MPP dihydrochloride could inhibit the interaction between 17β-estradiol and ERα. The inhibitory mechanism is supposedly through the conformational changes in ERα, thus rendering 17β-estradiol non-functional for effective binding to ERα. MPP dihydrochloride also blocks the interaction between genistein and ERα by increasing the energy required for the interaction to occur.

Both ERα and ERβ can be activated by estrogen, wherein both their ligand-binding domains allow for the selection of binding ligands. DNA-binding domains of ERα and ERβ have high conservation rates and both share between 46% and 73% of chromatin binding sites.24 Although several clinical studies linked the ERβ expression to better clinical outcomes,25-26 several other reports show an association between ERβ expression and the Ki-67 proliferation marker.27-28 From our results of, it is speculated that ERβ can indeed induce the expression of Ki-67 as seen from the interaction between ERβ/eNOS/17β-estradiol-genistein with the Ki-67 gene promoter. In addition, it is suspected that genistein is more effective in inducing the occurrence of such interactions as compared to 17β-estradiol. This was seen from the lower energy required for interaction and the greater interaction of hydrogen and van der Waals formed. Another proliferation marker analysed in this study is the CyclinD1 gene. This study reported that the ERβ/eNOS/17β-estradiol-genistein complex may also induce transcriptional activation of the CyclinD1 gene. The results from this study are not consistent with a previous study which reports that ERα is an activator of the CyclinD1 gene, whereas ERβ is its repressor.29

Different co activator/co repressor proteins determine the transcription complex to be recruited, thus causing differential gene transcriptions depending on the type of co regulator ER-complex dimer.30 We also evaluated the effect of formation of estrogen ligand complexes, and impact of eNOS on the promoter region of apoptotic and telomere activity genes. We revealed here that genistein ligand increases the ease and stability of interactions than the ligand estradiol indicating that genistein administration can increase the genomic activity of estrogen-eNOS receptor complexes related to apoptosis and telomere activity.

It was concluded that administration of genistein can increase the genomic activity of oestrogen-eNOS receptor complexes related to apoptosis, proliferation, and telomere activity.
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