Kaempferol-immobilized titanium dioxide promotes formation of new bone: effects of loading methods on bone marrow stromal cell differentiation in vivo and in vitro
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Background: Surface modification of titanium dioxide (TiO2) implants promotes bone formation and shortens the osseointegration period. Kaempferol is a flavonoid that has the capacity to promote osteogenic differentiation in bone marrow stromal cells. The aim of this study was to promote bone formation around kaempferol immobilized on TiO2 implants.

Methods: There were four experimental groups. Alkali-treated TiO2 samples (implants and discs) were used as a control and immersed in Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) (Al-Ti). For the coprecipitation sample (Al-cK), the control samples were immersed in DPBS containing 50 µg kaempferol/100% ethanol. For the adsorption sample (Al-aK), 50 µg kaempferol/100% ethanol was dropped onto control samples. The surface topography of the TiO2 implants was observed by scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, and a release assay was performed. For in vitro experiments, rat bone marrow stromal cells (rBMSCs) were cultured on each of the TiO2 samples to analyze cell proliferation, alkaline phosphatase activity, calcium deposition, and osteogenic differentiation. For in vivo experiments, TiO2 implants placed on rat femur bones were analyzed for bone–implant contact.

Results: Kaempferol was detected on the surface of Al-cK and Al-aK. The results of the in vitro study showed that rBMSCs cultured on Al-cK and Al-aK promoted alkaline phosphatase activity, calcium deposition, and osteogenic differentiation. The in vivo histological analysis revealed that Al-cK and Al-aK stimulated new bone formation around implants.

Conclusion: TiO2 implant-immobilized kaempferol may be an effective tool for bone regeneration around dental implants.
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Introduction
Titanium dioxide (TiO2) has been commonly utilized for endosseous implant materials because of its good mechanical properties, chemical resistance, and biocompatibility.1 In the dental field, its typical use is in dental implants for missing teeth, because such dental implants provide stronger mastication performance than do plate dentures. The principal requirement for this treatment is to bond TiO2 implants to living bones without the formation of fibrous tissues;2 this process is termed osseointegration. The treatment term for dental implants is longer than that of other treatments, because several months are needed to obtain proper osseointegration. Thus, a shortened dental implant treatment term would be convenient for patients. Early or even immediate...
Bone-like mineral coatings are commonly applied to titanium implants in orthopedic and dental applications to enhance bone–TiO₂ contact.³⁰ These bone-like coatings have been shown to control the release of BMs.³¹ There are many methods for loading BMs with biomimetically formed minerals, such as physical adsorption, covalent binding, and biomimetic coprecipitation, each of which results in different loading efficiencies and release kinetics.³² BMs in bone-like minerals are released slowly because of the slow degradation characteristic of mineral coatings in physiological conditions.³³

In this study, we hypothesize that TiO₂ implants loaded with kaempferol promote bone formation. Two methods of loading kaempferol on TiO₂ were used. The first was the adsorption method, which simply loaded kaempferol on to the TiO₂. The second was coprecipitation, which precipitated the bone-like mineral coating by immersing an alkali-treated TiO₂ substrate in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) containing CaCl₂.³³ The different release profiles of these methods influenced bone formation on the surface of TiO₂ in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and methods

Animals

Six-week-old female Sprague–Dawley rats (n=15, weighing 200–230 g) were purchased from Japan SLC (Hamamatsu, Japan). All the animal experiments were conducted in compliance with the protocols of the Regulations on Animal Experiments in Nagoya University which was approved by the Nagoya University Animal Experiment Committee (permit number #28487, approval November 11, 2016). Animals were housed in a temperature-controlled room with a 12/12 h alternating light–dark cycle and were allowed free access to water and food throughout the day.

Cultivation of rat bone marrow stromal cells (rBMSCs)

rBMSCs were harvested from rat femurs and cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium with fetal bovine serum, glutamine, and penicillin–streptomycin (Kohjin Bio Co., Saitama, Japan) under standard cell culture conditions (a sterile, 37°C, humidified, 5% CO₂ environment). rBMSCs at the fifth passage were used for all cell culture experiments. The growth medium was changed every 3 days until the cells reached 80%–100% confluence.

Preparation of kaempferol-loaded TiO₂ implant screws and discs

Commercially pure TiO₂ discs (grade IV; Ofa, Tokyo, Japan) with diameters of 10 mm and thicknesses of 1 mm were used.
The screw-type implants (Ofa) used in this study were of the same design (4 mm long and 2 mm in diameter) as those described in previous reports.34

These TiO$_2$ substrates were washed by ultrasonic cleaning in pure acetone, ethanol, and distilled water for 15 min each, and were then dried at 40°C. The TiO$_2$ substrates were then soaked in 5 mL of 1 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) aqueous solution at 140°C for 6 h, washed gently with Milli-Q® water (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), and dried at 40°C. These treated TiO$_2$ substrates are denoted “alkali-treated Ti”.

The two loading procedures of kaempferol, adsorption and coprecipitation, were used according to previously reported methods.32 The vehicle sample (control) TiO$_2$ screws and discs were not treated. Alkali-treated TiO$_2$ samples were used as controls and immersed in 1 mL of DPBS for 24 h at 37°C (Al-Ti). To prepare the coprecipitation sample (Al-cK), control samples were immersed in 1 mL of DPBS containing 50 µg kaempferol/100% ethanol for 24 h at 37°C. To prepare the adsorption sample (Al-aK), 100 µL of 100% ethanol containing 50 µg kaempferol was dropped on to control samples and dried in air. All solutions were sterilized by filtration using a membrane with a pore size of 0.22 µm before use. The preparation methods are summarized in Figure 1.

**Scanning electron microscopy**

The morphological surface characteristics of the TiO$_2$ samples were observed with a thermal field scanning electron microscope (JSM-7610F; JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Electron-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis was also carried out after the treatment to evaluate the TiO$_2$ surface chemical composition. Backscatter images were taken for analysis of elemental compositional differences across the surfaces.

**Kaempferol release assay**

Drug release from the drug-loaded TiO$_2$ discs was investigated by immersing them in 30 mL of Hanks’ solution. The amount of drug released was measured using ultraviolet–visible (UV-vis) spectroscopy (V-650 spectrometer; JASCO, Tokyo, Japan). Release readings were taken at 0, 2, 6, 12, 24, 72, and 168 h. Released drug concentration was calculated based on the calibration curve obtained for the drug; the corresponding absorbance was measured at 366 nm. Finally, the drug release profiles were plotted with release percentage versus time for each experimental set with regard to burst and delayed releases. The release percentage (weight percentage) was calculated from the amount of drug released into the Hanks’ solution divided by the total amount of drug.

![Figure 1 Sketch map of the loading of bioactive molecules on alkali-treated TiO$_2$.](image)

**Abbreviations:** TiO$_2$, titanium dioxide; EtOH, ethanol; DPBS, Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline; Al-aK, alkali-treated adsorption with kaempferol; Al-cK, alkali-treated coprecipitation with kaempferol.
(weight) released after 168 h as determined by UV-vis spectrophotometry and multiplied by 100.\textsuperscript{15}

**Measurement of cell proliferation**

rBMSCs were plated at a density of 5x10\textsuperscript{4} cells/mL on the four samples of TiO\textsubscript{2} discs. rBMSCs in each well were counted using the WST-8 kit (Cell counting Kit-8; Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan). The counting technique employed a tetrazolium salt that produces a highly water-soluble formazan dye. After 1 h incubation with the reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions, the relative cell number was determined by measuring the absorbance of light at a wavelength of 450 nm on days 1, 3, and 7 (Table 1).

**Assay for ALPase activity**
rBMSCs were plated at a density of 5x10\textsuperscript{4} cells/mL on the four samples of TiO\textsubscript{2} discs. For quantitative analysis of ALPase activity, p-nitrophenol production was measured at 37°C for 6 min in Mill-Q water using a SIMGAFAST p-Nitrophenyl phosphate Tablet set (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) as a substrate. The relative amount of p-nitrophenol was estimated from the light absorbance at 405 nm on days 1, 3, and 7.

**Analysis of gene expression in rBMSCs**
The rBMSCs were seeded at a density of 1.0x10\textsuperscript{6} cells on the four experimental groups of TiO\textsubscript{2} discs and were cultured for 1, 3, and 7 days. Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg total RNA in a 20 µL reaction containing 10× reaction buffer, 5 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP) mixture, 1 U/µL RNase inhibitor, 0.25 U/µL reverse transcriptase (M-MLV reverse transcriptase; Invitrogen), and 0.125 µM random primers (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed to quantify absolute mRNA expression using an ABI PRISM 7900HT system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with Absolute QPCR SYBR Green Mixes (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences are listed in Table 1. The thermocycling parameters were optimized at 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 15 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, and 61°C for 1 min. Cycle threshold (Ct) values were determined and used to calculate relative gene amounts. PCR products were quantified and the amplification of the target genes listed in Table 1 was compared with that of the reference gene glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), with calibrator normalization and amplification efficiency correction.

**Analysis of calcium deposition in vitro**
Calcified nodules on the cells were demonstrated using an assay based on Alizarin Red S staining. rBMSCs were plated at a density of 5x10\textsuperscript{4} cells/mL on the four samples of TiO\textsubscript{2} discs and cultured for 1, 7, and 14 days. Quantification of staining was carried out as described by Gregory et al.\textsuperscript{16} In brief, 800 µL of 10% (v/v) acetic acid was added to each well, and the plate was incubated at room temperature for 30 min with shaking. The monolayer was then scraped from the plate and transferred with 10% (v/v) acetic acid. The slurry was heated to exactly 85°C for 10 min. The slurry was then centrifuged at 20,000 g for 15 min and 500 µL of the supernatant was removed. Then, 200 µL of 10% (v/v) ammonium hydroxide was added to neutralize the acid. Aliquots (150 µL) of the supernatant were read in triplicate at

| Gene       | Sequence                          | Accession number of reference |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Runx2      | Forward 5'-CACAAGTGCGGTG          | NM_053470.2                   |
|            | Reverse 5'-CACTGACTCGGTTG         |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-CACAGTCCGGTTG          |                               |
| Osteopontin| Forward 5'-ACAGTTGCCGGT           | XM_002728077                  |
|            | Reverse 5'-GACCAGAGTTGG           |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-GACAGAGTTGG            |                               |
| ALP        | Forward 5'-TCCCTAGGGCCACC         | NM_013059                     |
|            | Reverse 5'-GCCGAGTTCGACC          |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-GGGCAGTGCCGG           |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-CTGAGCTCCGGAGA         |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-CTGAGTCCGGAGA          |                               |
| Osteocalcin| Forward 5'-ATTGTGACGAGCTA         | M25490                        |
|            | Reverse 5'-GCCGAGTTCGACC          |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-GCCGAGTTCGACC          |                               |
| Collagen   | Forward 5'-TGACGCATGGCCCA         | NM_053304.1                   |
| type I     | Reverse 5'-CAGGTTGCCGTC           |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-CAGGTTGCCGTC           |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-CAGGTTGCCGTC           |                               |
| Osteonectin| Forward 5'-TCAGACGGAAGC           | Y13714                        |
|            | Reverse 5'-TGCGAGAAGC             |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-TGGCGAGAAGC            |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-TGCGAGAAGC             |                               |
| GAPDH      | Forward 5'-CAGGAAATGATGACC        | AF106860                      |
|            | Reverse 5'-CAGGAAATGATGACC        |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-CAGGAAATGATGACC        |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-CTGGGTTGTAATCT         |                               |
|            | Reverse 5'-CTGGGTTGTAATCT         |                               |

**Abbreviations:** RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; Runx2, runt-related transcription factor 2; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
405 nm in a 96-well format using opaque-walled, transparent-bottomed plates.

**Surgical procedure of implantation**

The TiO$_2$ screws were inserted into the femurs as previously described. The rats were anesthetized with a combination of inhaled diethyl ether vapor (3 mL/chamber) and an intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital (20 mg/kg). A 10 mm incision was made on the skin over the distal femur, and the bone was exposed. A unicortical implant floor was created 7 mm from the distal end of the bone using a dental round bar (1.5 mm diameter) at a rotation speed of 1,500 rpm or less. The control, Al-Ti, Al-cK, and Al-aK implants were inserted into the cortical bone. The soft tissues were then returned to their normal positions and sutured with 3-0 Vicryl® SH-1 (Ethicon, Tokyo, Japan).

**Histological processing and bone–implant contact (BIC) rate (%) measurements**

Two to four weeks after implantation, the rats (n=5 each) were killed while under deep anesthesia, and the femoral screws were excised. The samples were embedded in Technovit 7100® (Okenshoji Co., Tokyo, Japan). Each block was cut along the long axis of the screw into 50-μm-thick sections and polished samples were stained with 1% toluidine blue for 15 min and embedded in Malinol 750 cps (MUTO PURE CHEMICALS CO., LTD, Tokyo, Japan). Microscopic images of the sections were displayed on a monitor, and the BIC was measured by inputting the image data into a computer and analyzing them with image-analysis software (VMS-50 VideoPro®; Inotech Corporation, Hiroshima, Japan). The BIC rate was calculated using the following equation: Bone contact rate (%) = Direct implant–bone contact/Peri-implant length.

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on data sets when appropriate using a significance of p-values <0.05. Separate one-way analyses of variances were used to analyze the release assay, cell attachment, cell proliferation, ALPase activity, calcium deposition, and BIC rate measurements. The Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc comparison test was used for pairwise comparisons.

**Results**

**Effects of loading methods on surface characteristics**

Non-treated TiO$_2$ screws showed the typical topography of machined, polished TiO$_2$ (Figure 2A). A non-uniform, rugged layer was apparent on the surface of the Al-Ti (Figure 2B). Small and large micropores were apparent on the surface of Al-cK and Al-aK (Figure 2C and D). Microsphere morphology was the same in Al-cK and Al-aK. EDS analysis showed that the components on the surfaces of Al-Ti, Al-aK, and Al-cK were Ti, calcium, phosphate, and carbon (Figure 3A–D). The amount of Ti on the surface of Al-aK was significantly lower than that on the control, Al-Ti, and Al-cK. The amount of Ti on the surface of Al-cK was also significantly lower than that on the control and Al-Ti (Figure 3E). The amount of carbon on the surface of Al-aK was significantly higher than that on Al-cK. The amount of carbon on the surface of Al-Ti was infinitesimal (Figure 3F).

The difference in kaempferol immobilization method did not affect surface topology. EDS analysis revealed kaempferol immobilized on the TiO$_2$ surface, because kaempferol contains carbon atoms and DPBS does not. EDS analysis also showed that kaempferol and calcium phosphate covered the surfaces of Al-aK and Al-cK. Furthermore, the amount of kaempferol on Al-aK was significantly larger than that on Al-cK.

**In vitro drug release of kaempferol**

Drug-release profiles of kaempferol-loaded TiO$_2$ discs over 168 h are presented in Figure 4. The release efficiency (percentage of drug released) at various time intervals is shown. The drug-release kinetics proceeded through two phases. In the first phase (6 h), about 14% of kaempferol on Al-cK was released rapidly. About 5% of kaempferol on Al-aK was released gradually. In the second phase (12–168 h), about 88% of kaempferol on Al-aK was burst released; this released quantity was significantly higher than the quantities released in the other experimental groups. The second phase of release for Al-cK was a gradual release of about 37%.

**Effects of kaempferol on cell attachment and proliferation**

The analysis of cell proliferation in the four experimental groups showed no significant differences at 1 day and 3 days of cultivation (Figure 5). After 7 days of cultivation, the cell number on Al-aK was significantly higher than that on Al-Ti and Al-cK, but not significantly higher than that on
the control. There were no significant differences among the control, Al-Ti, and Al-cK.

**Effects of kaempferol on ALPase activity**

ALPase activity of rBMSCs cultured in the four experimental groups showed no significant differences at 1 and 3 days of cultivation. After 7 days of cultivation, ALPase activities of rBMSCs cultured on Al-aK and Al-cK were significantly higher than those on the control and Al-Ti (Figure 6). However, there were no significant differences between ALPase activities of rBMSCs cultured on Al-aK and Al-cK.

**Effects of kaempferol on calcium deposition**

After 7 days of cultivation, the calcium deposition of rBMSCs cultured on Al-aK was significantly higher than that on Al-Ti and the control, but significantly lower than the calcium deposition on Al-aK. After 14 days of cultivation, the calcium deposition of rBMSCs cultured on Al-aK and Al-cK was significantly higher than that on the control and Al-Ti. There were no significant differences between the control and Al-Ti at any time point (Figure 7).

**Effects of kaempferol on gene expression in rBMSCs**

Results obtained from real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) showed that Runx2 (runt-related transcription factor 2) and ALP (alkaline phosphatase) were up-regulated in rBMSCs grown on Al-Ti, Al-aK, and Al-cK after 1 day of cultivation (Figure 8A). After 3 days of cultivation, the mRNA expressions of osteocalcin (OCN), osteonectin (ON), osteopontin (OPN), and ALP in rBMSCs

![Figure 2 SEM micrograph of the implant surface.](image)
grown on Al-aK and Al-cK were approximately two-fold higher than those on the control and Al-Ti (Figure 8B). After 7 days of cultivation, the mRNA expressions of Runx2, OCN, ON, OPN, and ALP in rBMSCs grown on Al-aK and Al-cK were approximately two- to four-fold higher than those on the control and Al-Ti (Figure 8C); in addition, mRNA expressions of Runx2, ON, and OPN in rBMSCs grown on Al-aK were higher than those on Al-cK.

**Measurement of BIC rate**

Histological detection showed that all implants remained in the tibias at the initial operating position, and there were no signs of loosening or dislocation; this demonstrated that the implants were able to connect to the bones well under all circumstances (Figure 9). No adverse effects (such as osteonecrosis or inflammatory responses) were observed in the clinical inspection and histological figures at 4 weeks after implantation. Quantitative analysis revealed that the BICs (%) of Al-aK and Al-cK were significantly higher than those of the control and Al-Ti at 2 and 4 weeks after implantation. The BIC (%) of Al-Ti was significantly higher than that of the control at 4 weeks after implantation.

**Discussion**

The surfaces of TiO2 implants have been modified in various ways to improve rigid and early fixation. Kaempferol is a
flavonoid that accelerates osteogenic differentiation in bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs). The present study was the first trial to examine the effect of kaempferol application to the surface of TiO$_2$ implants.

The difference in kaempferol immobilization method did not affect surface topology. In a previous report, coprecipitation of minerals and osteogenic growth peptide (OGP) on TiO$_2$ resulted in mineral crystallites larger than those formed by the adsorption method. It has been suggested that the differences depend on the drug immobilized on TiO$_2$. Kaempferol affects the osteogenic properties of BMSCs, but does not affect mineralization itself. EDS analysis revealed kaempferol immobilized on the TiO$_2$ surface, because kaempferol contains carbon atoms and DPBS does not. EDS analysis also showed that kaempferol and calcium phosphate covered the surfaces of Al-aK and Al-cK. Furthermore, the amount of kaempferol on Al-aK was significantly larger than that on Al-cK. However, these measurements have no bearing on the amount of kaempferol contained in the
Kaempferol-immobilized TiO$_2$ promotes new bone formation

Figure 8 Gene expression of rBMSCs cultured on the representative experimental groups for (A) 1, (B) 3, and (C) 7 days.

Notes: Data are expressed as means (n=3) with error bars representing standard deviations; '*' p<0.05 compared to control; 'a' p<0.05 compared to Al-Ti; 'b' p<0.05 compared to Al-cK.

Abbreviations: rBMSC, rat bone marrow stromal cell; Al-Ti, alkali-treated TiO$_2$; Al-aK, alkali-treated adsorption with kaempferol; Al-cK, alkali-treated coprecipitation with kaempferol; TiO$_2$, titanium dioxide; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; Runx2, runt-related transcription factor-2; OCN, osteocalcin; ON, osteonectin; OPN, osteopontin; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; Coll, type I collagen.

The results of the present study suggest that kaempferol released from Al-cK during the first 6 h was contained in the top layer and kaempferol released after 6 h was contained in the bottom layer. However, drug release from Al-cK was gradual in our study, which is inconsistent with the results of a previous study. This discrepancy is due to the differences in water solubility of OGP and kaempferol. OGP is soluble in water, but kaempferol is hydrophobic.

We hypothesized that different release and existence profiles of kaempferol would influence the osteogenic differentiation in BMSCs, because kaempferol stimulates osteogenic differentiation in a dose-dependent way, and the system of drug delivery affects the cell activity. In a
Figure 9 Histological analysis around the TiO₂ implants in vivo. Bone morphogenesis around TiO₂ implants as observed under 100× magnification at 2 weeks after implantation (A–H) and 4 weeks after implantation (I–P). (A, B, I, J) Control; (C, D, K, L) Al-Ti implants; (E, F, M, N) Al-aK implants; and (G, H, O, P) Al-cK implants. Bars indicate 500 µm (A, C, E, G, I, K, M, O) and 100 µm (B, D, F, H, J, L, N, P). (Q) Average histomorphometric values of BIC.

Notes: Data are expressed as means (n=3) with error bars representing standard deviations; *p<0.05 compared to control; **p<0.05 compared to Al-Ti.

Abbreviations: TiO₂, titanium dioxide; Al-Ti, alkali-treated TiO₂; Al-aK, alkali-treated adsorption with kaempferol; Al-cK, alkali-treated coprecipitation with kaempferol; BIC, bone–implant contact.

previous study, an OGP-coprecipitation method promoted osteogenic differentiation using gene expression analysis in BMSCs compared with an OGP-adsorption method. In this study, kaempferol affected the ALPase activity, but the loading method did not. This was consistent with previous reports. In addition, the cell proliferation was affected by the addition and loading method of kaempferol. Kaempferol also inhibited cell proliferation of cancer cells. The measurement of cell proliferation assay in this study also contradicted previous studies. These results indicated that the differences depended on the loading method of kaempferol. The effect on proliferation in this previous study may be different from that of the Al-aK and Al-cK methods in this study. The calcium deposition and gene expression of rBMSCs were also affected by the loading method of kaempferol, and corresponded with the drug-release assay results. These results suggested that differentiation of rBMSCs was caused not by kaempferol on the surface of TiO₂, but by released kaempferol. The stimulation rate of Runx2 expression was slower than that of a previous study. This result indicated that the differences depended on the cell differentiation stage. OCN, ON, and OPN are extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins of bone tissue, and their expression plays an important role in mineralization by binding to collagen fiber and calcium. These BMSC-derived ECM proteins affected the calcium deposition instead of ColI. The expression patterns in rBMSCs from all experimental groups corresponded to the calcium deposition results. The gene expression of ALP in rBMSCs cultured on Al-aK and Al-cK was also stimulated. A previous study has also shown that kaempferol has a stimulatory effect on ALP activity through the ERK pathway. Furthermore, Coll expression in MC3T3-E1 cells has also been shown to be stimulated by kaempferol. Kaempferol released from a titanium surface produced the same effect in rBMSCs as kaempferol added into the medium. This result indicated that the differences observed may depend on the
characteristics of the cell type. Taken together, the in vitro results indicated that Al-aK provides the best cell responses in terms of cell proliferation, ALP activity, calcium deposition, and osteogenic differentiation.

In the in vivo study, kaempferol promoted new bone formation surrounding the TiO$_2$ implants. This suggested that the kaempferol immobilized on TiO$_2$ was released in vivo and promoted osteogenic activity. These results are consistent with those of a previous report. The kaempferol delivered by TiO$_2$ implants had bone formation effects similar to kaempferol delivered orally. However, the in vivo results were not consistent with the in vitro results, as there was no significant difference between the two loading methods in this study. This result indicated that the release pattern of kaempferol was different in vivo and in vitro. Long-term investigations into the in vivo movements of labeled kaempferol are warranted. As far as we know, there are no published methods for the long-term, stable labeling of kaempferol in vivo. Further studies are required to determine the in vivo mobility of kaempferol. Osteoclasts were not observed around the TiO$_2$ implant. Kaempferol inhibits the receptor activator of NF-$\kappa$B ligand-mediated osteoclastogenesis via the down-regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase, c-Fos, and nuclear factor of activated T cells 1. This result suggested that kaempferol released from a TiO$_2$ surface has an inhibitory role in bone loss by preventing osteoclast formation.

Finally, our in vitro results indicated that adsorption of kaempferol leads to the best cell responses in terms of cell attachment, proliferation, and osteogenic differentiation. As adsorbed kaempferol is simple and easy to prepare, safe, and cost effective, this method could be implemented for clinical trials.

Conclusion
Our results showed that adsorbed kaempferol on the surface of TiO$_2$ implants leads to good cell responses in terms of cell proliferation, ALPase activity, and osteogenic differentiation. In addition, adsorbed kaempferol on TiO$_2$ implants promotes bone morphogenesis during the early stages of osseointegration. Our results indicate that immobilizing kaempferol by adsorption and coprecipitation methods is an effective strategy for promoting bone regeneration around TiO$_2$ implants.
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