A Comparative Study of Systolic and Diastolic Mechanical Synchrony in Canine, Primate, and Healthy and Failing Human Hearts
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Aim: Mechanical dyssynchrony (MD) is associated with heart failure (HF) and may be prognostically important in cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT). Yet, little is known about its patterns in healthy or diseased hearts. We here investigate and compare systolic and diastolic MD in both right (RV) and left ventricles (LV) of canine, primate and healthy and failing human hearts.

Methods and Results: RV and LV mechanical function were examined by pulse-wave Doppler in 15 beagle dogs, 59 rhesus monkeys, 100 healthy human subjects and 39 heart failure (HF) patients. This measured RV and LV pre-ejection periods (RVPEP and LVPEP) and diastolic opening times (Q-TVE and Q-MVE). The occurrence of right (RVMDs) and left ventricular systolic mechanical delay (LVMDs) was assessed by comparing RVPEP and LVPEP values. That of right (RVMDd) and left ventricular diastolic mechanical delay (LVMDd) was assessed from the corresponding diastolic opening times (Q-TVE and Q-MVE). These situations were quantified by values of interventricular systolic (IVMDs) and diastolic mechanical delays (IVMDd), represented as positive if the relevant RV mechanical events preceded those in the LV. Healthy hearts in all species examined showed greater LV than RV delay times and therefore positive IVMDs and LVMDd. In contrast a greater proportion of the HF patients showed both markedly increased IVMDs and negative IVMDd, with diastolic mechanical asynchrony negatively correlated with LVEF.

Conclusion: The present IVMDs and IVMDd findings have potential clinical implications particularly for personalized setting of parameter values in CRT in individual patients to achieve effective treatment of HF.
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INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF), a condition associated with high mortality and morbidity, constitutes a major and growing worldwide public health problem (1–4), resulting in a requirement for the development of effective management. To this end, cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) implantation has proved useful as a therapeutic strategy for managing HF (5, 6). However, 30% of such treated patients fail to show beneficial outcomes (7) or even show further deterioration in cardiac mechanics and function with CRT implantation (8–10). CRT likely addresses the association of HF with mechanical dysynchrony (MD) or disparities in wall contraction timing (8). This may therefore reflect the importance of variations in the contributory factors for HF in individual patients. These include delayed electrical conduction or electromechanical coupling, or altered regional myocardial properties following ischemic damage or myocardial infarction (8). Previous experimental reports had positively correlated durations of canine ventricular depolarization and repolarization intervals with wall thickness and cardiac size. The latter potentially alter in HF (11) causing both proarrhythmic effects (12, 13) and mechanical dysynchrony. However, contributions of electromechanical coupling are less well understood.

Nevertheless, left ventricular mechanical delays (LVMDs), assessed using ultrasound methods (14) are useful prognosticators in both ischemic (15) and nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy (16), acute myocardial infarction (17) and coronary artery disease (18). They may also be of prognostic importance following CRT implantation (7).

However, to this end, little is known about patterns of either normal or abnormal ventricular mechanical synchronization. This applies to both the right or left ventricle, whether to systolic or diastolic function, or to disparities between them, between different species of large mammals, or between healthy and diseased human hearts. Previous studies using M-mode, pulse-wave Doppler and tissue Doppler imaging have been confined to human studies of systolic as opposed to diastolic, and left ventricular asynchrony in HF patients (19–23). In particular, few studies to date have explored their diastolic ventricular asynchrony.

The present study therefore extends these previous studies in the following respects for the first time. We examine diastolic in addition to systolic ventricular synchrony. We also provide simultaneous readouts from the right in addition to the left ventricle. Such measures are surveyed and compared in exemplars of large mammalian canine and nonhuman primate species, of potential value in future experimental studies, in addition to human hearts. Finally the analyses are extended to HF patients. We thus characterize and compare left and right ventricular, systolic and diastolic mechanical sequences in canine, primate, and normal and failing human hearts for the first time.

We accordingly applied clinically accepted diagnostic electrocardiographic and echocardiographic methods to obtain and compare: (a) As systolic indicators: right and left ventricular pre-ejection periods (RVPEP and LVPEP) and (b) As diastolic indicators: mitral and tricuspid diastolic opening times (Q-MVE and Q-TVE). Corresponding values from these measurements were also compared between the right and left ventricles, between normal hearts in the species examined and in failing human hearts. This additionally provided incidences of the situations where there were relative right or left ventricular delays as well as the resulting interventricular, systolic or diastolic delays.

We have demonstrated for the first time that (a) normal animal and human hearts show greater left than right delay times and therefore positive systolic (IVMDs) and diastolic IVMD delays (IVMDd). (b) In contrast, a greater proportion of individual HF patients show reversals in both these IVMDs and IVMDd trends. Our demonstration of such left/right mechanical differences and variations in patterns of both systolic and diastolic function between individual hearts thus have potential implications for clinical therapeutic techniques based on correcting mechanical activation times such as cardiac resynchronization therapy. Thus, the findings suggest that systolic and diastolic ventricular mechanical sequence assessment by echocardiography should be performed before and after CRT, in individual patients as a guide for the optimization of pacing indices. Furthermore, effectiveness of the therapeutic response may be optimized with the aid of determinations of ventricular mechanical sequence in individual patients and monitored by echocardiographic examinations.

METHODS

Study Populations

The experimental studies were performed on fifty-nine rhesus monkeys (age 15.5 ± 3.1 years) and fifteen beagle dogs (age 2 years). The animals were anesthetized (14 mg/kg ketamine for monkeys; 1–2% isoflurane for dogs) for echocardiography. All procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Peking University (2011-0010) and complied with the principles of laboratory animal care of the National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council of the People’s Republic of China. The clinical studies were performed on 100 healthy subjects (range: 19–56 years; mean age: 29.7 ± 7.18 years, 50 males, 50 females) and 39 patients with systolic heart failure (age range 31–80 years; mean age: 57.1 ± 17.2 years, 30 males). All human

Abbreviations: BSA, body surface area; BW, body weight; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FAC, fractional area change; HF, heart failure; HR, heart rate; IVMD, inter-ventricular mechanical delay; IVMDd, diastolic interventricular mechanical delay; IVMDs, systolic interventricular mechanical delay; LV, left ventricle; LVEDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVFV, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESV, left ventricular end-systolic volume; LVMDd, left ventricular mechanical delay in diastole; LVMDs, left ventricular mechanical delay in systole; LVPEP, left ventricular pre-ejection period; Q-MVE, the time interval from the onset of the QRS complex to the onset of early diastolic E wave of the mitral valve; Q-TVE, the time interval from the onset of QRS complex to the onset of early diastolic E wave of tricuspid valve; RV, right ventricle; RVMd, right ventricular mechanical delay in diastole; RVMs, right ventricular mechanical delay in systole; RVPEP, right ventricular pre-ejection period; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
subjects provided clinical histories and underwent physical, electrocardiogram and transthoracic echocardiographic examination. This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee and the informed consents were obtained from all subjects.

The inclusion criteria for normal subjects included: (1) normal electrocardiogram; (2) normal values in all echocardiographic measurements; left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) ≥ 55%, Peak velocity of mitral valve measured by Pulse wave Doppler / Peak velocity of mitral annulus measured by tissue Doppler in early diastolic of left ventricle (E/e') < 15 for the septum and E/e' < 13 for the lateral wall; (3) absence of any history of cardiovascular disease including hypertension, coronary, myocardial, diabetic and thyroid disease; (4) close to ideal echocardiographic image quality. The inclusion criteria for the HF patients were: (1) clinical diagnosis of heart failure with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Functional Classification grades II~IV; (2) LVEF derived using Bi-plane Simpson's rule ≤45%; (3) electrocardiographic sinus rhythm with QRS complex < 120 ms in duration with no evidence of conduction abnormality; (4) no echocardiographic evidence for valvular disease; (5) no history of thoracotomy operations; (6) close to ideal echocardiographic image quality.

### Echocardiographic Measurements

Transthoracic echocardiographic examinations were performed in all subjects (GE Vivid 7 for animal studies, Vivid E9, GE Vingmed, Horten, Norway; ALOKA Prosound F75, Tokyo, Japan, for human studies with a 3–6 MHz phased array transducer). Standard two-dimensional echocardiography with Doppler examination was performed according to American Society of Echocardiography guidelines (24). All acquired images were stored for three consecutive cardiac cycles. The spectrum of Pulse wave Doppler for the left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) was obtained from the apical five-chamber views, and that for the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) was obtained from the pulmonary long-axis views using pulsed-wave Doppler echocardiography. Left ventricular pre-ejection period (LVPEP) was measured as the interval from the beginning of the

---

**FIGURE 1** | Four mechanical delay patterns. LVMDs, left ventricular mechanical delay in systole; RVMDs, right ventricular mechanical delay in systole; LVMDd, left ventricular mechanical delay in diastole; RVMDd, right ventricular mechanical delay in diastole; LVIMDx, systolic interventricular mechanical delay; RVIMDx, diastolic interventricular mechanical delay; LVPEP, left ventricular pre-ejection period; RVPEP, right ventricular pre-ejection period; Q-MV, the time interval from the onset of QRS complex to the onset of the early diastolic E wave of the mitral valve; Q-TV, the time interval from the onset of QRS complex to the onset of the early diastolic E wave of the tricuspid valve.
FIGURE 2 | Mechanical sequences in the LV and RV in a normal healthy subject. In diastole (A,B), RV filling occurred 55 ms prior to LV filling giving a LVMDd pattern. For systolic ejection (C,D), LV ejection preceded RV ejection by 35 ms in RVMDs pattern. Abbreviations as in legend to Figure 1.

FIGURE 3 | Mechanical sequences between LV and RV in a patient with HF. In diastole (A,B), LV filling occurred 138 ms prior to RV filling giving a RVMDd pattern. This was in marked contrast to most healthy subjects. For systolic ejection (C,D), RV ejection preceded LV ejection by 12 ms in a LVMDs pattern. Abbreviations as in legend to Figure 1.
QRS complex to the onset of aortic valve opening. The right ventricular pre-ejection period (RVPEP) was measured as the interval from the beginning of the QRS complex to the onset of pulmonary valve opening. Transmitral and tricuspid inflow Doppler wave patterns were respectively recorded in apical five-chamber views. The diastolic opening time of the left and right ventricles was measured as the interval between the onset of the QRS complex and the beginning of the E wave for the mitral valve (Q-MVe) and for the tricuspid valve (Q-TVe) respectively. Four mechanical delay patterns are shown in Figure 1. The occurrence of a left ventricular mechanical delay in systole (LVMDs) was identified when RVPEP < LVPEP. Conversely, the existence of a right ventricular mechanical delay in systole (RVMDs) was identified when RVPEP > LVPEP (Figure 2). Similarly, the existence of a left ventricular mechanical delay in diastole (IVMDd) was identified when Q-MVe > Q-TVe, and the existence of a right ventricular mechanical delay in diastole (RVMDd) was identified when Q-MVe < Q-TVe (Figure 3). The interventricular mechanical delay (IVMD) was defined as the interval between the LV and RV mechanical delays. IVMD was defined as positive if RV activation preceded the LV activation and negative if LV activation preceded RV activation.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD and compared between two groups by t test for independent samples. When not normally distributed, continuous data was expressed as median (± the interquartile range, IQR) and compared between two groups by the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages and compared between two groups by χ² testing. Linear regression was performed to compare values of IVMDs, RVMDd, and LVEF in the HF patients. Binary logistic regression analyses were performed to determine the influencing factors on the ventricular mechanical sequence. All tests were two-tailed and p-values were assessed with a significance level of 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 21.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Baseline Characteristics**

Table 1 lists overall baseline characteristics for all the study subjects. All animals were males with normal echocardiographic
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**Table 2 | Species comparison of ventricular mechanical delays in healthy hearts.**

| Canines (n = 15) | Primates (n = 59) | Humans (n = 100) | p Value |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| LVPEP (ms)       | 58.3 ± 18.8      | 43.6 ± 8.8       | 46.8 ± 12.4 | <0.001 |
| RVPEP (ms)       | 47.7 ± 13.6      | 42.0 ± 8.8       | 40.3 ± 11.1 | 0.041  |
| Q-MVe (ms)       | 297.9 ± 31.1     | 282.7 ± 33.8     | 396.8 ± 33.0 | <0.001 |
| Q-TVe (ms)       | 258.0 ± 35.3     | 253.8 ± 35.3     | 379.1 ± 33.1 | <0.001 |
| IVMDs (ms)       | 7.4 ± 12.8       | 1.6 ± 6.5        | 6.5 ± 1.3  | <0.001 |
| IVMDd (ms)       | 38.6 ± 26.7      | 28.9 ± 13.6      | 17.7 ± 0.1 | <0.001 |

Data are represented as mean ± SD.

LVPEP, left ventricular pre-ejection period; RVPEP, right ventricular pre-ejection period; Q-MVe, time interval from the onset of QRS complex to the onset of early diastolic E wave of mitral valve; Q-TVe, time interval from the onset of QRS complex to the onset of early diastolic E wave of tricuspid valve; IVMDs, systolic interventricular mechanical delay; IVMDd, diastolic interventricular mechanical delay.
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**Table 3 | Ventricular mechanical delays compared in normal and failing human hearts.**

|                  | Normal (n = 100) | HF (n = 39) | p Value |
|------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|
| LVPEP (ms)       | 46.8 ± 12.4      | 92.3 ± 29.9 | <0.001  |
| RVPEP (ms)       | 40.3 ± 11.1      | 75.8 ± 25.4 | <0.001  |
| Q-MVe (ms)       | 396.8 ± 33.0     | 421.2 ± 54.6| <0.001  |
| Q-TVe (ms)       | 379.1 ± 33.1     | 430.3 ± 54  | 0.001   |
| IVMDs (ms)       | 6.5 ± 1.3        | 16.5 ± 20.6 | <0.001  |
| IVMDd (ms)       | 17.7 ± 0.1       | −9.1 ± 54.1 | <0.001  |

HF, heart failure.

Other abbreviations as in legend to Table 2.
TABLE 4 | Comparative analysis of the ventricular mechanical sequence in healthy hearts.

|                      | Canines (n = 15) | Primates (n = 59) | Humans (n = 100) | P value |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Systolic mechanical sequence [% (95% CI)]** |                   |                   |                 |         |
| RVMDs                | 23.1 (0–46)      | 42.4 (30–55)      | 25.0 (17–33)    | 0.003   |
| LVMDs                | 76.9 (54–100)    | 55.9 (43–69)      | 74.0 (65–83)    | 0.365   |
| LV-RV synchrony      | -                | 1.7 (0–5)         | 1.0 (0–3)       | 0.638   |
| **Diastolic mechanical sequence [% (95% CI)]** |                   |                   |                 |         |
| RVMDd                | 6.7 (0–19)       | 1.7 (0–5)         | 19.0 (11–27)    | 0.103   |
| LVMDd                | 93.3 (81–100)    | 98.3 (95–100)     | 81.0 (73–89)    | 0.368   |
| LV-RV synchrony      | -                | -                 | -               |         |

CI, confidence intervals; LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle; LVMDs, left ventricular mechanical delay in systole; LVMDd, left ventricular mechanical delay in diastole; RVMDs, right ventricular mechanical delay in systole; RVMDd, right ventricular mechanical delay in diastole.

TABLE 5 | Analysis of the ventricular mechanical sequence—normal humans vs HF patients.

|                | Normal (n = 100) | HF (n = 39) | P value |
|----------------|------------------|-------------|---------|
| **Systolic mechanical sequence [% (95% CI)]** |                   |             |         |
| RVMDs          | 25.0 (17–33)     | 15.4 (4–27) | 0.168   |
| LVMDs          | 74.0 (65–83)     | 84.6 (73–96)| 0.365   |
| LV-RV synchrony| 1.0 (0–3)        | -           |         |
| **Diastolic mechanical sequence [% (95% CI)]** |                   |             |         |
| RVMDd          | 19.0 (11–27)     | 59.0 (44–74)| <0.001  |
| LVMDd          | 81.0 (73–89)     | 41.0 (26–56)| <0.001  |
| LV-RV synchrony| -                | -           |         |

HF, heart failure. Other abbreviations as in legend to Table 4.

Ventricular Mechanical Delays

Tables 2, 3 summarize the Doppler echocardiographic measurements of the mechanical delays in left and right ventricular contraction and relaxation. The healthy canine, monkey and human hearts showed differing ventricular mechanical delays (Table 2). Nevertheless, the ventricular diastolic delay time (Q-MV, Q-TV) was greater than the systolic delay time (LV_PEP, RV_PEP), giving greater diastolic than systolic interventricular mechanical delays (IVMD). The human studies went on to demonstrate that HF patients showed greater RV and LV mechanical delays than normal subjects in systole and diastole. Furthermore, patients with HF showed significantly reduced or even negative IVMDd, suggesting a proportionally greater increase in RVMDd compared to normal subjects (Table 3).

Ventricular Mechanical Sequences

To compare differences in mechanical sequence patterns between LV and RV, echocardiographic parameters related to systole or diastole were assessed in each individual experimental or human subject. The percentage occurrences of LVMDs, RVMDs, LVMDd and RVMDd were then determined (Tables 4, 5). The percentage occurrences of LVMDs in normal canine, primate and human hearts was 76.9% (95% CI, 0.54–1.0), 55.9% (95% CI,
Correlations Between IVMDs and IVMDd and LVEF in HF Patients

Linear regression was performed to compare IVMDs/IVMDd and LVEF in the patients with HF (Figure 5). This demonstrated no significant correlation between IVMDs and LVEF ($r = 0.06$, $p = 0.712$), but a positive correlation between IVMDd and LVEF ($r = 0.54$, $p < 0.001$). The greater the negative value of IVMDd (RVMDd pattern), the lower the LVEF. Furthermore, HF showed differing occurrences in their patterns of particular systolic and diastolic characteristics. A greater proportion of HF patients showed associations between LVEF and RVMDs, than between LVEF and LVMDs ($43.2 \pm 1.21$ vs. $34.1 \pm 1.32\%$, $p = 0.006$). In contrast, HF patients showed less marked associations between LVEF and RVMDd than between LVEF and LVMDd ($31.0 \pm 1.27$ vs. $42.0 \pm 1.15\%$, $p < 0.001$).

DISCUSSION

We performed comparative echocardiographic analyses of ventricular mechanical activation sequences bearing on the synchrony of left and right ventricular systole and diastole in experimental animals, normal human subjects and HF patients. We report a predominance of left ventricular mechanical delay in systole (LVMDs) (76.9, 55.9, and 74.0% respectively) and highly consistent diastolic filling patterns (LVMDd) (93.3, 98.3, and 81.0% respectively) on the basis of paired comparisons of LV and RV parameters in each subject, in normal canine, primate and human hearts. Previous reports (21, 22) had not detected deviations from synchronous or slightly earlier LV relative to RV mechanical activation on the basis of their unpaired comparisons of mean LV and RV ejection time through entire experimental groups. The later LV repolarization times observed here may reflect the thicker LV walls resulting in prolonged LV repolarization times. Previous animal studies have positively correlated such repolarization times with wall thickness (25, 26).
Our present observation of significantly reduced LVMDd to 41.1% in HF patients, could then reflect changes in LV wall anatomy and electrical conduction. This would be consistent with our findings that the negative value of IVMDd was positively associated with the reduction in LVEF (Figure 5). IVMDd may thus have potential prognostic significance in HF.

The present echocardiographic ventricular mechanical sequence findings have potential implications for therapeutic cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT), with applicability to assessment and optimization of CRT. First, current bi-ventricular pacing in CRT typically sets the LV pacing time to be simultaneous with or slightly later than that of the RV. However, we here report differing ventricular mechanical sequences between individuals which could then confound the effectiveness of CRT. Furthermore, HF patients showed altered RV and LV mechanical sequences with potential implications for CRT optimization. Such differences in RV and LV systolic and diastolic sequences may require transthoracic echocardiographic determination for optimization and monitoring of CRT.

Secondly, prolonged QRS duration is currently used to measure ventricular mechanical dyssynchrony as an indication for CRT in HF. However, electrical dyssynchrony may not exactly parallel mechanical dyssynchrony. Thus, Yu et al. (27) reported that LV systolic and diastolic mechanical asynchrony are common in HF patients with normal QRS durations. Significant systolic asynchrony was thus observed in >40% of patients with narrow QRS complexes. Conversely, ~36% of HF patients with wide QRS complexes (>120 ms) did not have obvious intraventricular asynchrony. Furthermore, there was no correlation between the degree of LV asynchrony and QRS duration. Gabe B et al. reported a similar result (28). Furthermore, baseline QRS duration was not a good predictor for a better response to CRT in HF patients. Neither baseline nor shortening of QRS duration were good predictors for hemodynamic, clinical or echocardiographic improvement. However, the baseline severity of ventricular dyssynchrony assessed by echocardiography proved of predictive value (29, 30).

Thirdly, assessment of interventricular dyssynchrony may be critical for optimizing interventricular delays (V-V delays) in CRT. Their optimal timing improves ventricular filling capacity and stroke volume, reducing mitral regurgitation and reversing LV remodeling with subsequent reductions in short-term morbidity and mortality. Admittedly, there is evidence for intraventricular but not interventricular synchrony as an independent prognostic indicator in CRT patients. However, previous work had assessed IVMDs as a predictor without considering the interventricular mechanical sequence with V-V delays, setting LV timing simultaneously with or slightly earlier than RV. This contrasts with the LVMDs patterns we report here.

**Limitations**

The pressure difference between left and right ventricles may be one of the factors affecting the mechanical asynchrony between ventricles, but obtaining intraventricular pressure may need invasive examination. For healthy volunteers and some patients with hemodynamic instability, it is difficult to obtain such invasive intraventricular pressure measurement data.

In addition, left ventricular systolic pressure and end diastolic pressure could also affect left and right ventricular synchrony. Finally, the consequent effects of parameters such as blood pressure and E/e′ may merit further research.

**CONCLUSION**

By using Pulse-wave Doppler echocardiographic approaches, we have explored and characterized the cardiac mechanical sequence in LV and RV and their relationships in three large species. Significant variations in LV and RV systolic and diastolic mechanical sequences are demonstrated between healthy subjects and HF patients. Systolic and diastolic ventricular mechanical sequence assessment by echocardiography should be done before and after CRT, as a guide for the optimization of pacing indices. To gain a highly effective therapeutic response, ventricular mechanical sequence should be considered for individual patients and recorded in routine echocardiographic examination.
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