Amazon Sailfin Catfish *Pterygoplichthys pardalis* (Loricariidae) in Bangladesh: A Critical Review of Its Invasive Threat to Native and Endemic Aquatic Species
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Abstract: The Amazon sailfin catfish *Pterygoplichthys pardalis* is native to the Amazon River basin. It has since expanded its range to North America, the Caribbean, and more recently to South Asia, with some of its congeners. Our paper reviews recent data from Bangladesh, clarifies taxonomic identification, and examines the potential effects of *P. pardalis* and congeneric species on local aquatic ecosystems and elsewhere in South Asia. Range expansions there probably reflect aquarium releases and escapes from aquaculture farms, as exacerbated by dispersal due to storm flooding and in impacted waters like irrigation canals. Given their potential ecosystem-level effects, we also review potential control mechanisms and human use for these invasive loricariids.
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1. Introduction

Suckermouth armored catfishes (Loricariidae) are in the largest family of the order Siluriformes, with about 80 genera and over 700 species that naturally occur in South and Central American waters [1]. The members of the genus *Pterygoplichthys* (Siluriformes: Loricariidae), including synonym genus *Liposarcus*, typically have nine or more dorsal-fin rays and are commonly known as sailfin catfishes [1–4]. Four *Pterygoplichthys* species, *Pterygoplichthys pardalis* (Castelnau, 1855), *Pterygoplichthys multiradiatus* (Hancock, 1828), *Pterygoplichthys disjunctivus* (Weber, 1991), and *Pterygoplichthys anisitsi* (Eigenmann & Kennedy, 1903), are phylogenetically close and have very similar external morphology and biology [2,5–7]. Sailfin catfishes have been traditionally consumed and marketed in their native Amazon and Orinoco drainages. However, most importantly, the algivorous habits of sailfin catfishes caused a high demand for them in the ornamental aquarium fish trade, which stimulated cultivation of these fishes beyond their natural ranges [4,8,9].

Bangladesh has a tropical-monsoonal climate, with seasonally varying precipitation and a mild winter from December to February [10]. Bangladesh has ≈266 fish species in freshwater ecosystems, including species introduced for aquaculture for human consumption and biocontrol of malaria [11]. Although aquaculture is done in closed-pond systems, fishes have escaped to rivers and floodplains throughout the country during monsoon and flooding events [12]. Consequently, at least 24 exotic food
fish species and ≈25 exotic ornamental fish species are established in Bangladeshi freshwaters [11–16]. The effects of these introduced fishes on local ecosystems have not been properly assessed, and a lack of information precludes their management.

Our study reviews recent data of *Pterygoplichthys* spp. in Bangladesh [16], clarifies taxonomic identification, and examines the potential effects of *P. pardalis* and congeneric species on local aquatic ecosystems and those elsewhere in South Asia. To assess the invasive threat, we examine the trophic, habitat, and reproductive ecology of sailfin catfishes. Notably, globally oriented, exotic fish treatises reported loricariids under *Hypostomus* spp. because their systematics was still unknown [17,18], whereas that of Fuller et al. [4] focused on North America.

### 2. Recent Data and Update on Bangladesh

Eighteen suckermouth armored catfishes were captured from five localities in the Ganges-Brahmaputra River drainage of Bangladesh during 2007–2012 (Table 1). Subsequent captures of these fishes have been informally reported and not substantiated with voucher specimens. In addition, numerous reports of *Hypostomus plecostomus* (Linnaeus, 1758) in 2004–2013 were most likely taxonomic misidentifications of *Pterygoplichthys* spp. (e.g., [11,13–15]).

We identified all suckermouth armored catfishes caught here as Amazon sailfin catfish, *P. pardalis* (Castelnau, 1855), with the first documented occurrence in this country being in 2007 (Table 1; Figure 1). The timing for the first capture is similar to that found in India for this species and other congeneric catfishes, including *P. pardalis* and *P. disjunctivus* during 2007–2008 in the eastern Calcutta Wetlands, India (a Ramsar Site) and *P. anisitsi* during 2008–2009 in the River Ganga at Patna, Bihar State, India [19].

The Bangladeshi specimens for all years except 2009 measured 334–394 mm in standard length (mean ± standard deviation (SD) = 355 ± 120 mm). Their total weights were 588–612 g (mean ± SD = 600 ± 12 g). All were undoubtedly adults, as *P. pardalis* become sexually mature at ≈250 mm standard length (SL) [20–23] with minimal sexual dimorphism, albeit adult females are generally more robust and abundant than adult males during the spawning season [8,24,25]. As our specimens were mostly under 400 mm in total length, they were apparently in prime reproductive condition as adults [22]. These specimens were noticeably smaller than the maximum size seen for other *Pterygoplichthys* spp. in Florida (USA) and other invaded areas [26,27].

We did not find any nest (spawning) burrows in Bangladesh, but the six *P. pardalis* specimens from 2009 were juveniles, being 78–112 mm in SL (mean 92 mm) and 7.9–24.5 g in total weight. This suggests some reproductive success for this species in Bangladesh. Additionally, their occurrence in five different localities of the Ganges-Brahmaputra River drainage (north- and southwestern floodplains) (Figure 2) suggests possible dispersal. Further efforts to establish the spawning success of *P. pardalis* in this river drainage will require strategic sampling based on the genus’s unique ecology. First, loricariids appear to favor mainstem and sometimes brackish habitats for juvenile/adult rearing, whereas tributaries likely are nursery (larval) refuges, given their favorable biophysical conditions [8,20,24–28]. Hence, upstream sampling is likely to reveal younger life stages of *P. pardalis*. Second, the spawning period is usually long, with a summer peak in *P. multiradiatus*: March to September (and occasionally through February) in Taiwan [24], April or May to September in the USA [29,30], and throughout the year in Hawaii, USA [31]. Likewise, *P. disjunctivus* spawns during spring to fall (especially June–July) in Florida, USA [25–27], and *P. pardalis* is known to burrow (spawn) during March in Mexico [20]. These results suggest that water temperature, rather than day length, controls the spawning periodicity of sailfin catfishes, so sampling during proper thermal conditions may better reveal the males’ nest burrows in tributaries. *Pterygoplichthys* species show unique reproductive habits in (a) building spawning burrows along shorelines near steep, non-rocky banks found at river bends; and (b) providing male-parental care in Asia [24,31,32] and elsewhere [20,30,33,34], which makes them harder to eradicate [8,25,26]. Although loricariids are normally aggressive and territorial [9], they can become locally abundant where invasive (see below) and may school when at higher densities [8]. Higher non-winter flows may benefit *P. disjunctivus* recruitment by inundating soft-bank spawning habitats [25] and enhancing
nursery habitats, but extreme seasonal-flow fluctuations likely limit algal food supplies and the fish’s reproductive success [27]. Hence, spring-fed streams like Florida’s St. Johns River may provide good invasion habitats, despite being below their thermal optimum [24,26]. Cold-hypoxic conditions, however, may prevent reproductive success, for example, Florida’s springs [26].

The most plausible cause for the presence of *P. pardalis* in Bangladesh is similar to other Eurasian countries with *Pterygoplichthys* species: aquarium releases and escapes from aquaculture farms [9,19,24,32,35–40]. In Bangladesh, several cyprinid (minnow) species are often cultured together with sailfin catfishes [16], and there are several large cities along the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Padma-Meghna River basin that provide ample opportunities for aquarium trade that could further disperse sailfin catfishes. Probably, *P. pardalis* reached Bangladesh and India similarly as Vietnam [36], via importation with other exotic species from Singapore, peninsular Malaysia, or Taiwan during the 1980s and through subsequent accidental or intentional release(s). Aquarium releases and escapes from aquaculture farms, notably from the ornamental fish industry, have also brought *Pterygoplichthys* species to establish reproducing populations in southern North America, Puerto Rico, and Hawaii, USA [4,8,9,25,27,34,41–43], with dispersal being facilitated by hurricanes, lesser storms, and anglers [26]. Subterranean passage is also possible for sailfin catfishes in Florida, given its karst (limestone-cave) geology [26].

Table 1. Summary of Amazon sailfin catfish (*Pterygoplichthys pardalis*: Siluriformes: Loricariidae) specimens caught in the Ganges-Brahmaputra River drainage of Bangladesh (see Figure 2). The first three sets of fish (A, B, C) were caught in the northwest (Rajshahi and Rangpur Divisions) along the Jamuna (Brahmaputra) River, with morphometric/meristics data provided by Hossain et al. [16]. The last two sets of fish (D, E) were caught along the Ganges River. Deposition of voucher specimens is provided.

| Date       | Division | Fishery | Gear  | Habitat            | Number | Preservation |
|------------|----------|---------|-------|--------------------|--------|--------------|
| 16 Jun 2007| Rajshahi A | Commercial | Lift-net | Irrigation canal | 3      | Yes          |
| 28 Jun 2007| Rajshahi B | Commercial | Lift-net | Irrigation canal | 2      | Yes          |
| 3 Jul 2007 | Rangpur C  | Commercial | Lift-net | Irrigation canal | 5      | No           |
| 22 Sep 2009| Rajshahi D | Private   | Cast net | Urban fish pond   | 6      | Yes          |
| 1 Apr 2012 | Khulna E   | Private   | Cast net | Rural fish pond   | 2      | No           |

(A) Caught near the railways at Kahalu Upazila, Bogra District. Preserved and retained at the Zoology Laboratory of Kahalu Degree College in Bogra. (B) Caught in a wetland at Gabtali Upazila, Bogra District. Preserved and retained at the Shukhanpukur Saiyad Ahmed College in Bogra. (C) Caught from an irrigation canal in Gablehdah District, Rangpur Division (northwestern Bangladesh). (D) Caught at Paschim Vatapara, Nimpara, Charghat Upazila, Rajshahi District (northwestern Bangladesh). One specimen preserved and retained at the Department of Fisheries, University of Rajshahi. (E) Caught by a farmer in Agmondia village near a branch of the Ganges River; Kaliganj Upazila, Jhenaidah District, Khulna Division (southwestern Bangladesh). Taxonomic identification was based on the criteria of Weber [2,5], Ruiz-Carus and Grier [33], and Armbruster and Page [44]. The discarded fish (C, E) were identified from voucher photographs. After identification, six voucher specimens were fixed and preserved in 10% aqueous formalin and retained for future reference.
Figure 1. Photographs of a juvenile (164 mm total length) *Pterygoplichthys pardalis* (Castelnau, 1855) captured from location D (see Figure 2). (A) Dorsal view: pigmentation of the head has a geometric pattern. (B) Lateral view: skin pigmentation pattern consists of dark spots on light background; dark spots are slightly coalescent on the sides of the head. (C) Ventral view: dark spots are mostly coalescent on the lower venter.
3. Remarks on *Pterygoplichthys pardalis* Identification

*P. pardalis*, *P. multiradiatus*, *P. disjunctivus*, and *P. anisitsi* lack an elevated supraoccipital process, their posterior supraoccipital process is enclosed by three scutes, and they have two scutes between their temporal and second predorsal scutes [2,5,33,44]. *P. pardalis* and *P. multiradiatus* both have ventral pigmentation patterns of uncoalesced, dark spots on a light background, whereas *P. disjunctivus* shows a vermiculate (worm-like) pattern and *P. anisitsi* has light spots on a dark background [2,5,33,44]. *P. pardalis* differs from *P. multiradiatus* in having a geometric pigmentation pattern on top of the head, unlike the latter species’ irregularly distributed, dark spots, but the two species can hybridize with other *Pterygoplichthys* spp. in North America [20,21,26,27] and Asia [19,35]. Moreover, Weber [2] reported...
that *P. pardalis* has spots of variable size that coalesce on the lower venter, but form vermiculations on the side of the head (Figure 1). Hence, the earlier identification of *P. multiradiatus* in Bangladesh [16] is herein revised to *P. pardalis*, as has also occurred in Florida [26]. The related exotic loricariid reported from Bangladesh as *H. plecostomus* [11,13–15], is actually a *Pterygoplichthys* sp. based on our review of the data. Indeed, these two genera are closely related and often confused with each other. Their major difference is in their dorsal fin, for which *Hypostomus* spp. have seven soft rays, whereas *Pterygoplichthys* spp. have a longer fin with nine or more soft rays.

4. Invasive Biology and Potential Ecosystem Effects

*Pterygoplichthys* species are considered a severe ecologic and freshwater-fisheries threat in Eurasia [9,16,24,32,35,36,38,39] and North America [8,34,41] for various reasons that should apply to aquatic ecosystems in Bangladesh.

*Pterygoplichthys* species [9,32,38,40,45] and confamilials in America [28,34,46–51] are considered demersal herbivores (with subterminal mouths) of value for algal control in aquaria [4,8,16,19,23,35,36,39,52], and their release or escape into the wild should be discouraged. Indeed, the expanding ranges of loricariids, particularly sailfin catfishes, into North America (since 1957 in Florida, but particularly during the 1990s) [27,53,54] are threatening the smaller-bodied, native minnows and other non-game fishes of peninsular Florida, Texas, other southern states (USA), Puerto Rico, and Mexico [4,8,9,34,43,46].

*P. multiradiatus* shows rapid growth, high fecundity, and female-biased sex ratios [24] that usually cause explosive population growth upon introduction [4,8,16,34]. We should expect similar population dynamics in Bangladesh for *P. pardalis* and the iteroparous *P. disjunctivus* [25,27], the former having become the dominant fish species in the Langat River of peninsular Malaysia [22]. Such fast growth has often led to maturation at smaller body sizes [25], and the release of armored catfishes into the wild when they outgrow aquaria [8,9]. The longevity of *P. disjunctivus* may not be much beyond five years in Florida [27].

The r-selected (“weedy”) population dynamics (sensu [55,56]) of *Pterygoplichthys* and other loricariids is advantageous in temperate zones [18] but has negative effects on native fish and wildlife. Sailfin catfishes usually cause: (a) water-pollution, sanitary, and greenhouse-gas problems (via their feces and decomposition after fish kills); (b) pathogen spread to native fishes like minnows; and (c) harassment of threatened Florida manatee (*Trichecuhs manatus latirostris*) [8,20,21,26,53,54] that (like human disturbances) elevates manatee overwintering-activity levels in warm-inshore waters [9,54]. Such temporary attachments allow skin-grazing for algae and other epibionts, and perhaps thermoregulation on the warm bodies of manatees [8,20,21,26,54]. On the Malaysian Peninsula, *P. pardalis* showed similar growth and body condition during the wet and dry seasons of 2003–2004 in the river Langat, which never dropped below 1 m in depth [22]. Negative allometric growth is typical for this species and *P. multiradiatus* in Eurasia, as they become relatively thinner with age [22,24].

*Pterygoplichthys* spp. have several anti-predatory adaptations [4]. Their external bony plates and deep bodies may protect them from native predators even in mainstem habitats [24,40,41], so their abundance is not easily controlled where they invade rivers [8,26]. They are also known to regenerate appendages like pectoral fins [19], and despite these sedentary fishes’ vulnerability to piscivorous birds, defensive erection of their dorsal and pectoral spines pose a mortal (asphyxiation) danger to such predators [8,34,57]. Younger loricariids, however, are more vulnerable to predation because of their thinner armor and smaller body sizes [27]. Moreover, avian, piscine, reptilian, and mammalian predators have sometimes learned how to eat sailfin catfishes in Florida, given the latter’s vulnerable ventral side [21]. So diurnal cover-seeking and night-feeding activities by *Pterygoplichthys* juveniles likely reflects vulnerability to such predators during daylight hours in clearwater streams [23,26,27,35]. *Pterygoplichthys* spp. have interfered with Filipino, Mexican, and Floridian fisheries by impacting native fin- and shellfishes; damaging, soiling, and crowding fishing/aquaculture gear and injuring desired fishes and anglers with their spines and overpopulation; thus, raising boat-fuel costs and
eliminating fishing jobs [8,9,20,32,36,41]. Fortunately, such bycatch interference can be reduced by curtailing nocturnal-netting activities [8,26], whereas bait-fishing is ineffective for catching these catfishes [52].

The generalized-ecological habits of sailfin catfishes worsen their predation and habitat/food competition impacts on invaded, aquatic ecosystems. Large populations can significantly impact native herbivorous and more-carnivorous fishes, given alteration and reduction of aquatic-insect and other arthropod foods by direct (invertivory) and/or indirect (algivory) means that effectively shorten food chains in Asia [9,16,24,38,40] and North America [4,8,34]. Indeed, *P. multiradiatus* and its congenerics show generalized, demersal feeding that contributes to their invasion success [34,43]. They ingest algae, detritus, incidental sand, and various benthic invertebrates (including small bivalves) in Asia [16,24] and elsewhere [8,26,58–60], sometimes even feeding on floodplain detritus [34] when traveling on land. They are known to eat artificial fish feed but not native-Asian fishes in aquaria [19], and their identifiable feces are worm-like [8,53]. Because plant foods are clearly dominant over animal prey [8], sailfin catfishes are not true omnivores, but rather incidental feeders of zoobenthos trapped within plant materials. Indeed, the guts of herbivorous loricariids contain microbes and fungi that degrade cellulose [60]. The demersal feeding of sailfin catfishes may possibly further reduce native-fish abundances (e.g., minnows) by incidentally ingesting their eggs [8,24,33,34]. Such feeding by bed-plowing can also uproot native aquatic macrophytes [52,61], thus (a) reducing native-plant abundances in beds of submersed-aquatic vegetation that native-phytophilic fishes depend on; and (b) creating turbidity and cutting plant mats that could change sunlight exposure [34,62]. Because cyprinids are often the dominant fish family in South Asian wetlands [63], sailfin catfishes are threats to aquatic food webs there [8], particularly in estuarine waters where their detritivorous diets are less unique [20]. Their trophic habits are also well-suited for impacted waters, where plant foods and/or other exotic fishes predominate [8]. However, in freshwater habitats, their relatively unique feeding niches could potentially allow increases in total-fish biomass, despite biodiversity losses, as is common for other exotic-freshwater fishes [64]. Sailfin catfishes are also known to use bedrock and cover/crevice structures (of boulder and woody nature) as rearing (resting/foraging) habitats [21,26,27].

Sailfin catfishes are hardy macrohabitat generalists that escape harsh (dry/cold) weather in their shoreline-rearing burrows (given ample coelomic-fat storage) or on land (including short-distance dispersals) for up to 30 h (given air-breathing). They inhabit shoreline and shallower waters of soft or rocky beds in slower-moving streams (e.g., canals), and they generally inhabit clear but polluted (eutrophic/hypoxic) lentic and thermally heated waters (e.g., sewage outfalls) in Eurasia [9,19,24,32,35–37,39,40], the southern USA [21,25–28,34,53,57,65], and Ibero-America [8,18,41,66,67]. However, older (juvenile/adult) *P. pardalis* and other sailfin catfishes have recently occupied shallower, brackish waters of southern Florida and southeastern Mexico after storm flooding, though they apparently do not spawn there [20]. For these warmer regions, such rearing fishes prefer freshwater to mesohaline salinities (≤8–13 ppt) based on field studies and experiments, which suggests greater feeding and invasion opportunities than previously seen there and elsewhere [4,20]. Israeli *P. pardalis* and *P. disjunctivus* inhabit shallow-brackish waters with salinities near 2 vs. 0.5 ppt, respectively [35]. General water-quality preferences for loricariids (but with wider abiotic ranges) include toxic/hypoxic conditions (dissolved oxygen down to 3 ppt), warmer temperatures (21–29 °C), neutral pH (7 ± 1), and relatively low water hardness (4–20 mg/L) [4,8,20,26,39].

Moreover, the burrowing and tunneling activities of spawning (adult male) and rearing (refuging) *Pterygoplichthys* species can undermine canal banks and lake shorelines [20,36,68]. This can cause silts- and erosion-control problems in reservoirs and streams of Florida [23,26,27,30] and Hawaii [4,31,42] that impact benthic spawning and rearing fishes [8,9,24,34,35] and injure hikers [51]. Such impacts are exacerbated by deep (steep-sided and non-vegetated) shorelines in reservoirs, which facilitate
shoreline erosion by both sailfin catfishes and Florida’s alligators [8,30]. It is unknown whether sailfin catfishes reduce nuisance algae in the field to provide some ecosystem benefit [26].

5. Control, Eradication, and Human Uses.

Although local eradication of sailfin catfishes can be expensive [51] and is ineffective if these exotic fishes have had time to expand their ranges [26], several alternatives exist to remove them from natural and artificial waters [8,69].

First, encourage anglers to preferentially catch adult and egg masses during the spawning season (a) by hand or (b) with attractants and traps, gig spears, or various netting gears [8,19,20,24–26,32,35,43,52]. Such work, which should include boating, snorkeling, and/or SCUBA diving in deeper waters, is best done when the catfishes surface for air or congregate in spring-fed habitats during cold snaps or at night [21,26]. Although loricariids’ flesh and eggs may be tasty [34], they are underutilized [69] and the flesh’s high ash and heavy-metal contents limit its use as fertilizer and human food (except as a condiment in Mexico) [8,64]. Nevertheless, sailfin catfishes could provide fish meal and perhaps cattle feed, crab bait, and surimi (Japanese food), given their high protein and fatty-acid content [8]. Other potential uses for loricariids include handcrafting of the dried skin [64,65], and making of soap, biofuel, collagen products, and digestive enzymes [8].

Second, because armored catfishes and other exotic-tropical fishes are often first found in irrigation canals (‘ditches’), wetlands like rice paddies, and artificial ponds, and typically overwinter (with reduced feeding) in thermally heated waters [4,8,18,25,46,53,70–72] where pathogens can spread [39], such habitats should be isolated [9] to prevent fish/disease invasions into other freshwater habitats of Bangladesh and elsewhere by rainstorms, etc. Indeed, ditches, ponds, and streams lacking riparian vegetation often show extensive heating and evaporation [73–77] that may promote warm-adapted, desiccation-resistant exotics like sailfin catfishes, particularly in the face of climate change.

Third, winter freezing—though rarely observed in northern Bangladesh—often reduces loricariids in southern-temperate climates [8,26]. Because low water levels can exacerbate freezing effects, periodic winter drawdowns of artificial ditches and lentic habitats can help reduce exotic, asexually reproducing plants [78,79] and armored catfishes to the depth of drawdown. Indeed, \textit{P. disjunctivus} may benefit from stable-level, invaded habitats of North America, in contrast to its native, seasonally flashy habitats in South American rivers [27].

Fourth, besides net-blocking during spawning migrations [26], control mechanisms like fish barriers may not stop these adaptable, rheophilic fishes, which can withstand velocities above 1 m/s given their attachable mouths [23]. Likewise, pesticide control and bank armoring to reduce loricariid burrowing [8,34] would likely impact aquatic ecosystems unfavorably.

Fifth, the most effective way—from a large-scale perspective—to prevent further spread of \textit{P. pardalis} in Bangladeshi waters is through general public-awareness campaigns against indiscriminate release of young and adult sailfin catfishes into local waters [24].

6. Conclusions

The presence of \textit{P. pardalis} in Bangladesh needs consideration in future aquatic management and conservation plans. Hence, long-term surveys are needed to assess the current distribution and to predict the ecologic effects of this wide-ranging, invasive species on the already-threatened fish assemblages of northwestern Bangladesh [80]. Better knowledge of catfish-invasion dynamics can be gained through risk assessments and ecologically predictive and socioeconomic modeling [8,68,81,82]. We concur with Asian colleagues [9,10] that subtropical and tropical countries, such as Bangladesh, should develop national protocols and guidelines for invasive species through legislative control and quarantining of the pet trade. Management plans for the protection and rational use of biodiversity should also discourage funding and support for activities that introduce or spread
invasive species. These plans should also promote broad-scale cooperation and international exchange of regional information.
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