Journey of a patient with scleroderma from renal failure up to kidney transplantation
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Abstract

The increased awareness of systemic sclerosis (SS) and its pathogenetic background made the management of this disease more amenable than previously thought. However, scleroderma renal crisis (SRC) is a rarely seen as an associated disorder that may involve 2%-15% of SS patients. Patients presented with earlier, rapidly progressing, diffuse cutaneous SS disease, mostly in the first 3-5 years after non-Raynaud clinical manifestations, are more vulnerable to develop SRC. SRC comprises a collection of acute, mostly symptomatic rise in blood pressure, elevation in serum creatinine concentrations, oliguria and thrombotic microangiopathy in almost 50% of cases. The advent of the antihypertensive angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors in 1980 was associated with significant improvement in SRC prognosis. In a scleroderma patient maintained on regular dialysis; every effort should be exerted to declare any possible evidence of renal recovery. A given period of almost two years has been suggested prior to proceeding in a kidney transplant (KTx). Of note, SS patients on dialysis have the highest opportunity of renal recovery and withdrawal from dialysis as compared to other causes of end-stage renal disease (ESRD). KTx that is the best well-known therapeutic option for ESRD patients can also be offered to SS patients. Compared to other primary renal diseases, SS-related ESRD was considered for a long period of...
poor patient and allograft survivals. Pulmonary involvement in an SS patient is considered a strong post-transplant independent risk factor of death. Recurrence of SRC after transplantation has been observed in some patients. However, an excellent post-transplant patient and graft outcome have been recently reported. Consequently, the absence of extrarenal manifestations in an SS-induced ESRD patient can be accepted as a robust indicator for a successful KTx.
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**Core Tip:** The current progress in the management of systemic sclerosis has its impact on improving patient’s survival and quality of life. Patients developed sclerodermareal crisis have greatly managed after commencing angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. Moreover, scleroderma patient with kidney failure has a marvelous therapeautic option receiving a kidney transplant with a greatly improved extrarenal manifestations. However, patients with end stage kidney failure, maintained on regular dialysis, should have enough period permitting renal recovery before attempting the transplant procedures. This duration may be actually extended up to two years. Patients with scleroderma may show the highest rate of renal recovery among dialysis patients.

**INTRODUCTION**

Scleroderma or systemic sclerosis (SS) is an autoimmune disorder that comprises vasculopathy, inflammatory changes, deposited collagen and fibrotic alterations involving the skin and vital organs. The involved organs are usually related to the current types of autoantibody found in SS patients. However, two types have been considered with SS cutaneous involvement: Limited cutaneous SS (lcSS) with thickened skin involving the elbow and knee joints, and the diffuse type (dcSS) with widespread skin affection. SS is primarily seen in females, with a prevalence rate of 7-10 per million population (PMP) and an incidence of 0.6-122 case(s) PMP/year, with geographic variability[1-3]. Systemic SS involvement can be observed as pulmonary fibrosis, pulmonary arterial hypertension (HT), gastrointestinal (GI) malfunctions, malignancies, and scleroderma renal crisis (SRC), rarely seen but quite devastating complication. Vasculopathic kidney lesions are commonly observed in SS patients and usually associated with isolated proteinuria and/or HT[4,5]. These manifestations, however, are not reliable in SRC prediction[6]. The clinical features of SRC include: (1) Oliguria/anuria; (2) Elevated SCr concentrations; and (3) A newly presented, usually symptomatizing HT [blood pressure (BP) > 140/90 mmHg or a > 30 mmHg elevation above its baseline].

Microangiopathic hemolytic anemia (MAHA) can be seen in almost half of cases that can be manifested by a proteinuria/hematuria syndrome with red blood cells fragmentations[7,8]. SRC is more commonly observed with the diffuse type of SS as compared with the limited one, particularly with the rapidly progressive dcSS in the first 3-5 years of disease onset. Predictors of SRC may include the following: (1) Anti-RNA polymerase III autoantibodies; (2) Tendon friction rub, and synovitis[9]; and (3) Steroid therapy (> 7.5 mg/d) may induce a dose-related impact on the SRC evolution risk[7,10].

Furthermore, and despite controversial, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi) therapy before the sudden rise in BP and SCr level elevations may be accompanied with a higher risk of dialysis (DX) or mortality rates (MR)[7,10,11].
SCLERODERMA PATIENT WITH RENAL CRISES

Definition
The characteristic features of SRC may include: (1) New onset; (2) Moderate/severe HT; (3) Acute rise in SCr [12,13]; and/or (4) Almost half of cases may show MAHA [7, 14].

On contrary to this definition, cases with an acute rise in SCr with normal BP are named the normotensive renal crises (10% of cases) [14]. With absence of a definite etiology, kidney biopsy may be warranted to settle the diagnosis and clarify the prognostic implications [12,15] (Figure 1).

Epidemiology
Incidence: Age- and sex-adjusted incidence of renal replacement therapy (RRT) for scleroderma-induced end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in the period from 2002 to 2013 approached only 0.18 PMP with insignificant decline in SS incidence by time. Scleroderma is estimated to be a rare disease with annual incidence approaching 10-20 pmp and a prevalence of 30-500 pmp [16] (Figure 2).

Prevalence
On the other hand, a significant rise in SS prevalence from 0.80 pmp in 2002 to 0.89 pmp in 2013. A higher prevalence of scleroderma in North America and Australia as compared to Europe or Asia has been observed [17,18]. In view of the improving patients’ outcome and increased awareness of the nature of the disease, an increased prevalence of SS has been reported [2] this is despite the lower incidence of SRC that has been given by a more recent report [19]. A significant decline in RRT-dependent SS patients in Australia and New Zealand in the period between 2002 to 2013, from 0.51 pmp to 0.18 pmp [20]. However, Hruskova et al. [16], observed an insignificant nominal decline in incidence of RRT-dependent SS patients [16]. The observed fluctuation in incidence has been expected considering the rarity of this disease. Between 2002 and 2013, the range of adjusted annual incidence and prevalence rates of RRT for SS-induced ESRD were 0.11-0.26 and 0.73-0.95 pmp, respectively [16] (Figure 2).

Pathophysiology: The vasculopathy-induced decline in kidney perfusion as well as the activated endothelial cell are considered the main contributors in SRC development, but the exact triggering factor of SRC evolution still uncertain. The major criteria of SRC pathology include injured endothelial cells with thick intima and a characteristic fibrotic ‘onion-skin’ fashion of the interlobular/arcuate renal arteries [14, 21] (Figure 1). In addition, a prominently observed juxtaglomerular apparatus may invite the assumption that plasma renin could be involved in SRC pathogenesis [21]. However, renin estimation is not usually observed high and not necessarily related to the SRC aggressiveness. Other novel agents, however, are currently studied to elucidate their role in SRC evolution [8,19,22]. e.g., endothelin (ET)-1 may be included in SRC evolution, a higher plasma ET-1 level and a unique express of ET-A/ET-B in kidney tissues have been observed [22,23]. Furthermore, almost half of the SRC patients may express MAHA that indicate a proposed role of endothelial cell derangement in SRC evolution [24]. The lack of inflammatory infiltrates in kidney biopsy and the observed arteriolar intimal thickening, fibrinoid necrosis, and intimal cell proliferation, are all in favor of the postulation that an ischemic vascular damage may override the immune system triggering effects [21,22]. Nonetheless, autoimmunity cannot be excluded from activating the endothelial tissues. On the other hand, the robust relationship between SRC and anti-RNA polymerase (RNAP) III antibodies may shed the light on the possible role of autoimmunity in SRC evolution [25]. However, more research work-up still warranted to elucidate the role of autoantibodies in SRC development.

Predictive factors
More than 80% of SRC patients may exhibit the diffuse type of cutaneous involvement, particularly that is characterized by a rapidly progressive behavior. Previous data have recognized the predominant indicators of SRC evolution as follows: (1) Newly diagnosed anemia; (2) Cardiac involvement (e.g., pericarditis and congestive heart failure); (3) Rapidly developed skin thickening; (4) Systemic inflammations: Arthralgia, synovitis, and tendon friction rub [25,26]; and (5) Dpenicillamine therapy in SS, large joint contracture (approximately 13% of SRC patients) [12,27].
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Figure 1 Pathology of scleroderma renal crisis. A: Normotensive patient with systemic sclerosis (SS) and acute renal failure. End-stage renal disease: Crescentic glomerulonephritis showing fibrous crescents. A mixed mononuclear cell infiltrate and considerable tubular loss[21,70] (Open access); B: Masson’s trichrome staining of a digital artery from a patient with SS[21,70] (Open access); C: Hematoxylin and eosin staining of a renal artery from a patient with SS. Note the striking fibrotic internal hyperplasia and the adventitial fibrosis in the digital artery and the onion skin–like intimal thickening composed of smooth muscle cells and increased connective tissue matrix in the renal artery. The intimal hyperplasia results in critical luminal narrowing and even occlusion[21,70] (Open access). Citation: Soukup T, Toms J, Oreska S, Honsova E, Safranek R. Renal Involvement in Systemic Sclerosis, 9 July 2019. Copyright© The Authors 2019. Published by Open access peer-reviewed chapter. Matucci-Cerinic M, Kahaleh B, Wigley FM. Review: evidence that systemic sclerosis is a vascular disease. Arthritis Rheum 2013; 65: 1953-1962. Copyright© The Authors 2013. Published by Wiley Online Library.

Figure 2 Range of adjusted annual incidence and prevalence rates of renal replacement therapy for end-stage renal disease due to scleroderma.

Differential diagnosis
Recognition of acute renal failure (ARF) as a sequela of SS is not always clear. About 10%-20% of SS patients could be presented with normal BP[14], moreover, SRC could be their firstly observed manifestation of SS[8]. Differential diagnosis (DD) may include: (1) Lupus Nephritis[21]; (2) Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura[28]; (3) Crescentic rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis (RPGN); and (4) Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-related glomerulonephritis (GN).

Other DD may include membranous and membranoproliferative GN, other vasculitis e.g., mixed cryoglobulinemia, and Goodpasture syndrome, drug-induced nephropathies [D-penicillamine or cyclosporin (CyA)], oxalate nephropathy, renal artery stenosis, and pre-renal causes (e.g., sepsis and dehydration)[21]. All are uncommon presentations of ARF in SS that can be currently confused with SRC. DD of these disorders is currently crucial[12] (Figure 3).

Autoantibodies
Almost 90%-95% of SS patients may experience circulating antinuclear antibodies that could be detected via one of the following: Immunofluorescence, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, immunodiffusion, in addition to immunoblotting. A variety of antinuclear antibody specifically related to SS including antibodies to topoisomerase (anti-TOPO I), kinetochore proteins, RNA polymerase enzyme (anti-RNAP III), ribonuclear proteins and nucleolar antigens. Clinically, these autoantibodies specified to SS disease could be currently linked to distinct clinical criteria. So, the identification of a particular antibody could be crucial in anticipating certain organ affection that would be reflected on its timely control[29].
Figure 3 Differential diagnosis of acute renal failure in scleroderma: Associated vasculitis—rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis, anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis with rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis. SS: Systemic sclerosis; ACE: Angiotensin converting enzyme; dCSS: Diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis; lCSS: Limited cutaneous systemic sclerosis; SRC: Scleroderma renal crisis; AB: Antibodies, Ig: Immunoglobulin; DX: Dialysis; RTx: Renal transplant.

Kidney biopsy

Kidney biopsy is not usually mandated for a patient presented with classic clinical criteria that include a newly presented and symptomatizing HT, elevated serum creatinine levels and a normal urine sediment. However, with a normotensive patient and raised creatinine levels with/without active urine sediment, a kidney biopsy may provide a suitable diagnostic and therapeutic guide particularly if ANCA-positive RPGN was a possibility and to exclude other comorbidities[21]. Moreover, a kidney biopsy has a prognostic implication for dialysis dependent SRC patients regarding enrolment in a kidney transplant (KTx) list. The current recommendation is to postpone KTx up to 18-24 mo after commencing DX if signs of kidney function recovery were not observed along 12 mo. A potential kidney donor should be screened for a timely provided transplant and better quality of life[12].

Prognosis

The 5-years patient’s outcome in SRC has not improved after the advent of ACEi therapy[7,8,14], more plans to improve SRC outcomes are currently warranted. Pilot reports with ET receptors antagonists (ERAs) therapy have reported a reasonable safety and potential efficacy to proceed to randomized controlled trials to recognize the feasibility of ERA in limiting DX requirements and improve patient’s survival[12].

How to modify the risk of SRC?

To mitigate the risk of SRC evolution, the following measures have been suggested.

BP monitoring, Scr concentrations and periodic urinalysis for patients with the following criteria: (1) Tendon friction rub[26]; (2) Large joints contracture[27]; (3) Arthralgia/synovitis[9,10,25]; (4) Steroid therapy[10,25]; (5) Early, diffuse skin involvement[30]; (6) Serum anti-RNA polymerase III AB[25,31,32]; and (7) Rapidly progressing cutaneous thickening; (modified Rodnan score more than 208).

The least dose of steroids for the minimal period allowed to manage the inflammatory manifestations[27,33] should be utilized.

Manage essential HT via non-ACEi regimen with calcium channel blockers (CCB) included as much as possible[33].

Start CCB for peripheral vasculopathy[33].

How to treat SRC?

It is noteworthy to mention that SRC therapeutic algorithm is currently stable for a long time. The current algorithm is simple (Figure 4), as same agents have been
Figure 4 Algorithm for scleroderma renal crises therapy. ACE: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; CCB: Calcium channel blockers; ARB: Angiotensin receptor blockers.

administered to mild as well as sever cases with better experts’ agreements from 66% to 81%. Since the advent of ACEi, no fundamental changes have been introduced into SRC therapeutic strategies. Tight and rapid blood pressure management can be achieved via the addition of other antihypertensive agents. In this concept, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) have been replaced by the CCBs as a second therapeutic line. Forty percent of experts would prefer keeping ACEi-despite the associated increased risk of fetal anomalies in pregnant women-if there is a history of SRC to avoid an increased risk of SRC recurrence in case of withdrawal of these agents.

To summarize
Renal vasculopathy per se cannot be considered a risk factor for SRC evolution. Owing to the growing awareness of SRC prophylactic measures, prevalence rates have been declined. Prophylactic measures against SRC development might include tight BP control in patients with early dcSS and rapid progress of skin manifestations, particularly with associated anti-RNAP III antibodies. Furthermore, the finding of active inflammation as evidenced by the presence of tendon friction rub and/or arthritis should pay patient’s and his physician’s attention to an increasing risk of SRC development. Given the robust association between steroid use and the evolution of SRC, this type of therapy should be limited to its lowest dosage with the possible accepted shortest period of therapy. However, the 5-years patients’ survival of 50%-70% has been reported by many studies, this high percentage should be improved. Current management primarily depends on an early diagnosis, tight control of BP via ACEi and other agents and/or DX therapy whenever required.

For earlier SRC detection, risky patients should be asked to provide three BP readings at home at least every week, with a higher allowed level of BP > 140-150/90 mmHg. Repeat measuring after one hour, if still high, patient should contact his physician and SCr concentration should be provided with a reasonable dose of ACEi should be instituted, and patient hospitalization may be considered. However, using these agents prior to the onset of SRC may be associated with a higher risk of mortality in dcSS patients within the first 4-5 years; ACEi therapy at this period is not currently advised. Regarding SRC in lcSS patients, safety of these agents still uncertain in view of rarity of cases and data sparsity. A retrospective study of Italian SS patients (410 with SS < 5 years), postulated that dihydropyridine CCB agents may be associated with a lower risk of SRC evolution ($P < 0.001$).

SCLERODERMA PATIENT ON DIALYSIS
Many studies in the literature have reported poor outcome for SS patients with ESRD on dialysis. For example, the French “REIN” registry, 98 SS patients dialyzed between 2001 and 2013, 81% developed ESRD secondary to SRC, while patients’ survival was reported to be 75%, 55% and 32% within 1, 3- and 5-years respectively.
Role of ACEi and the prediction of the need to dialysis

ACEi have greatly improved SS patients’ outcome[37]. One report studied 145 ACEi-treated SRC patients has showed the following: (1) 61% showed good outcome: 38%; No need for DX and 23% commenced temporary dialysis; and (2) 38% showed poor outcome: 19% was survived on DX and 19% died within 1st 6 mo[38].

A non-invasive prognostic technique is to estimate the N-terminal pro-h-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) to predict the need of DX in SRC patients. It has been shown that SRC patients requiring permanent, transient, or no DX have exhibited NT-proBNP levels of 3373 pg/mL, 1729 pg/mL, and 119 pg/mL, resp[39]. However, the role of NT-proBNP renal clearance has not been settled and well-controlled prospective studies are currently warranted to evaluate these findings. Permanent DX is usually associated with poor survival as compared to the temporary one. The prospective study (75 SRC patients) of the “International scleroderma renal crisis survey”, has observed that 36% of them have died in the 1st year, whilst another 25% continued DX one year after disease onset[7]. Regarding age and disease duration, patients’ survival showed inverse correlation with both patient’s age and disease longevity with a survival decline from 70%-82% after one year to 50%-59% after 5 years[7,12].

Peritoneal dialysis vs hemodialysis

Whilst Hruskova et al[16] reported that SS patients were less vulnerable for peritoneal dialysis (PD) than hemodialysis (HD) therapy as compared to matched controls, registries coming from Australian and New Zealand reported more common use of PD in SS patients as compared to patients with other etiologies of ESRD. This finding may be explained by the more frequency of PD therapy in Europe[40]. Optimal option, however, still uncertain[16,41].

The need for RRT and outcome

The unfavorable outcome for SS patients on RRT therapy has been observed in several reports[40,42], moreover, RRT for this cohort of patients was an independent predictor of mortality[40]. Recent reports agreed with these findings particularly among diabetics[16]. Of note, cardiovascular events have been observed to be less common in SS patients as compared to diabetics that is may be limited by the high number of unknown cause of death in Hruskova et al[16]’s study.

Renal recovery

Data from two large studies (more than 100 SRC cases on DX) showed that kidney function has recovered in 40%-50% of cases within 8 mo in the first study, and within 11 mo in the other one. On the other hand, the Australian/New Zealand DX and Tx registries have observed that only 10% of cases have recovered a reasonable kidney function to be withdrawn from DX, and recovery was observed within the 1st 12-18 mo after commencing DX. A given explanation to the diminished recovery rates was that the cases with earlier kidney recovery (< 3 mo of DX institution) have been excluded[12] (Figure 5).

Renal recovery in this study[40] agreed with Hruskova et al[16] (7.6%). The latter study has reported a higher recovery rate in SS-induced ESRD patients as compared to other etiologies of ESRD (Figure 5). Of note, autoimmune disease may show a higher rate of recovery as compared to other primary renal diseases[43]. The robust possibility of kidney function recovery may support the recommended advice of postponing transplantation in these patients. This recommendation may explain the prolonged period on DX as compared to other cohorts[9]. So, patients with clear evidence of renal recovery should delay their transplant up to 18-24 mo, however, this decision may be individualized from one patient to another. On the other hand, patients with lack of any evidence of kidney function recovery after twelve months should have their opportunity to be enrolled on a transplant list[12].

Disease activity and preparation for transplantation

RRT, either HDX or PD as well as KTx are all potentially offered to SRC-induced ESRD patients. The latter option i.e., KTx is known to be the best therapeutic one for this cohort of ESRD patients with the best offered outcome[44]. A thorough evaluation of the KTx recipients (KTRs) regarding stabilization of BP, various co-morbidities, and the possibility of renal recovery. The latter may necessitate basal data that can be obtained from a kidney biopsy. In addition, assessment of SRC disease activity may warrant an estimation of renin and ET-1 levels[12].
The introduction of ACEi in SS therapy has greatly alleviated the SCR-related poor kidney and overall outcome, with an expected reversal of this serious syndrome. Nevertheless, almost half of these patients still requiring long-term RRT including KTx. As compared to other primary kidney diseases, old reports have observed poorer patient and allograft survival. However, Bertrand et al. presented an observational study including 34 patients with SS who received KTx and uniquely reported the evolution of post-transplant extrarenal involvement.

**Time to transplant**

The proper time of renal transplantation for patients with SS requiring RRT still uncertain. SS patients are mostly experienced SRC, with about 1%-5% of them showing ANCA-associated vasculitis or MAHA. Depending on the observation that 25% of patients with SRC/ESRD may recover kidney function within almost one year of DX, four articles have been published showing their experience in postponing dialysis until the point of time at which the recovery of kidney function is not certain and KTx is currently indicated.

The relative consideration of scleroderma as a highly probable disease of renal recovery, even with prolonged dialysis, leads to the recommendation by some experts that dialysis should be continued for at least two years before an attempt to offer a kidney to an SS patient. On the other hand, Canadian guidelines admitted two conditions for offering a KTx: (1) Six months-at least-free of cytotoxic medications should be elapsed prior to any attempt of KTx; and (2) Limitation of the extrarenal manifestations.

Renal recovery, however, has been reported to be as greater as 38% in previous studies.

**First SS transplant**

Richardson were firstly performed a KTx to an SS patient. They were generally considering KTx a safe procedure as long as the kidney was the primary organ involved with relative stability of other lesions.

**Immunosuppression**

The role of immunosuppressive agents in KTx is crucial in improving the systemic manifestations in SS patients. However, there is no consensus in this particular setting. Ruiz et al. (1991) have postulated that CyA should be excluded from the immunosuppression regimen to avoid its vascular toxic effects, as endothelial derangement has been implicated in the pathogenesis of the SS disease. However, in Bertrand et al. study, CNI have been included in a large proportion of KTRs (91.7%) with no noticeable serious drawbacks. So, a general CNI safety can be considered. In the same direction, was the glucocorticoids use in KTx, where 88.9% in this study have received high-dose steroids as an induction therapy and maintained on low-dose steroids (63.3% of patients). Steroids is classically considered a risk factor for SCR, despite the debate about their role in precipitation of SRC in KTx patients. However, steroids can be considered by many transplant clinicians a reasonable agent in the immunosuppressive protocol.
Nevertheless, owing to the relatively small number of the studied patients, an ideal protocol for immunosuppression cannot be established yet. A reasonable and commonly used regimen is the induction with antilymphocyte serum or anti-interleukin-2 receptor, and maintaining the recipient on tacrolimus, MMF and steroids. In the vast majority of patients, steroids were rapidly withdrawn. A rejection rate of 13.8% in the first year and an 8.3% SRD recurrence rate have been reported. A suggested regimen composed of mTOR inhibitors or belatacept instead of CNI has been suggested to limit CNI-induced vascular toxicity, but with no sufficient evidence[36].

**Extrarenal manifestations**

Gibney et al[44] have reported the development of skin lesions in four SS KTRs, with noticeable improvement according to the “Rodnan score”. Considering the intensity of disease activity prior to and after KTx, this study lacks the clinico-biological data base owing to its retrospective nature[44].

However, Bertrand et al[36], study provides-for the 1st time-broad data base about the extrarenal manifestations during and post KTx. Despite the observed general stability of this disorder, the provided data shed the light on the importance of the cardiac and GI involvement that may getting worse after KTx (Figure 6). Accordingly, close monitoring of extrarenal manifestations would be crucial prior to and after KTx, up to the extent that stabilized extrarenal manifestations is a robust indication to proceed to KTx. This concept might be intensified by the multicenter nature of Bertrand et al[36], study. In addition, pulmonary involvement in an SS patient was considered as a post-transplant independent risk factor of death in this study. However, Pulmonary involvement in SS patients could be classified into two main categories: (1) Primary pulmonary affection (i.e., lung parenchymal disease and pulmonary HT, PH); and (2) Secondary pulmonary involvement (i.e., airway disease owing to broncho-aspiration that usually results from gastro-esophageal reflux disease, drug-induced lung toxicity and infectious causes)[35-57].

In non-transplant cohort, the associated parenchymal pulmonary disease, PH, and kidney involvement is complicated by a higher MR[58]. An associated interstitial lung disease or PH is responsible for 60% of the total MR in this cohort[57]. However, Bertrand et al [36] observed that in the transplant cohort, pulmonary affection appears to exert a similar impact on MR. Accordingly, a particular caution prior to KTx must be directed to explore and evaluate the presence of parenchymal pulmonary disease or PH that may preclude a KTx[36].

**Allograft survival and patients’ outcome in various studies**

Whilst the patient survival was 100%, 90.3% and 82.5 %, the death-censored allograft survival was 97.2%, 97.2% and 92.8%, in one, three and five years, respectively (Figure 7) in Bertrand et al[36]’s study. On the other hand, the non-death-censored graft survival approached, 97.2%, 97.2% and 92.8% after 1, 3 and 5 years, resp, that was higher than that given by Gibney et al[44], 68.0% and 60.3% after 1 and 3 years resp, (UNOS registry: 1985-2002) (Table 1 and Figure 8). In Gibney et al[44], early graft loss was commonly observed during the first 90 d after transplantation and mostly related to the death with a functioning graft[44]. The following explanations have been given for the early graft loss: (1) Acute rejection; (2) Thrombotic events; and (3) SS patient, is vulnerable to early death.

In Bertrand et al[36] study, no early deaths with a functioning graft have been observed, and the primary non-functioning graft due to possible recurrent SRC has been reported in only one patient[36]. In Pham et al[59], on the other hand, the non-death-censored graft outcome at 1, 3, 5 and 10 years, resp, were 78.7%, 68.6%, 56.7% and 26.7% (UNOS: 1987-2004) that was far lower than that given by Bertrand et al[36]. Moreover, Bertrand et al[36], reported graft survival in SS patients that was far less than that observed in other primary renal disorders (79.5% and 71.8% after 1 and 3 years)[47] (Table 1 and Figure 8). Of note European and United States reports have reported poorer graft outcome as compared to that observed with other primary renal diseases[47].

In Bertrand et al[36], study, the death-censored graft outcome was excellent and comparable to that was reported by the global French cohort of KTx from 1993 to 2010, 91.2% after 1 year, and 79.7% after 5 years resp, (Agence de Biomédecine, annual report)[36]. They depended on the given data base that were more recent (1987-2012) as compared to that in prior literature, that may partially explain their better results. In fact, more potent immunosuppression regimen is more beneficial not only for rejection, but also for SS management, in addition to the better KTRs selection, taken together may improve graft outcome[36]. Bertrand et al[36], study was limited by the number of the included KTRs. Nevertheless, crucial information particularly that
Table 1 Non-death censored graft survival after one, three, five, and ten years in various studies[16,36,44,47,59]

| Item                                      | Bertrand et al[36], 2017 | Hruskova et al[16], 2019 | Pham et al[59], 2005 | Gibney et al[44], 2004 | Bleyer et al[47], 2001 |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Non-death-censored graft survival, after 1 yr | 97.2%                    | 78.7%                    | 68.0%                | 79.5%                  |
| Graft survival after 3 yr                  | 87.8%                    | 68.6%                    | 60.3%                | 71.8%                  |
| Graft survival after 5 yr                  | 76.6%                    | 72.4%                    | 56.7%                |
| Graft survival after 10 yr                 |                          |                          |                      |                        |

Figure 6 Stable or improved extrarenal manifestation after kidney transplantation.

Figure 7 Patients and death-censored graft survival.

Figure 8 Graft survival after one, three, five and ten years in various studies.

related to extrarenal manifestation in SS patients and its development after KTx were lacking.

_Scleroderma patients, diabetes mellitus patients, and other groups_

A given comparison by Hruskova et al[16] (2018), for SRC patients’ outcomes[16], as
compared to diabetics and patients with other primary renal disease has showed the following: (1) Less percentage of KTx for patients with SRC, 13.7% as compared to patients with diabetes mellitus, 18.7%, and those with other primary renal disease, 27.1% (both $P < 0.001$) (Figure 9); (2) Patients and allograft survival were comparable to that in other cohorts, the 5-years patient and graft survival after they receive their 1st KTx, were (88.2% and 72.4%) for SS patients and (84.3% and 76.5%) for matched control diabetics and (89.3% and 81.5%), for other primary renal diseases, respectively, matched on sex and age at KTx (Figure 10); and (3) The 5-years survival probability from day 91 of RRT in SS patients was 38.9%, as compared to the 5-years post-transplant patients’ survival and allograft survival that approaches 88.2% and 72.4%, respectively[16] (Figure 11).

**Post-transplant SRC recurrence**

Whilst earlier case studies reported high rate of post-transplant SRC recurrence (20%–50%), more recent registries documented much less rates (1.9%–2.1%)[40,44,59]. Analysis of 260 KTx(s) in the period of 1987-2004 in SRC KTRs registered in the UNOS reported that only 1.9% of KTRs developed SRC recurrence-related graft failure between 70 and 805 days after recurrence[44]. Risk factors for SRC prediction of recurrence in the renal allograft still uncertain, many selection biases may be altering[40,44,59]. Considering the 5 well-studied cases with recurrent SRC in the literature, disease activity was associated with the following: (1) Cutaneous tightness (4 cases); (2) Anemia (2 cases); and (3) Pleuro-pericarditis and pericardial effusion (2 cases)[59].

In post-transplant SRC recurrence, less than two weeks have been elapsed from the timing of SRC development until an ESRD established. Nevertheless, an aggressive evolution of ESRD is not always associated with in SRC recurrence. However, concluding an impact of the immunosuppressive agents on SRC recurrence rates is quite difficult in view of the concerned data sparsity. In addition, the observed lower rate of recurrent SRC in the period from 1985 to 2002 (2.1%) may invite the postulation that a moderate steroid dosage (15-20 mg/d) cannot be considered an independent risk factor for SRC recurrence[12,44].

**Recurrence of SRC and the reported bias**

In Bertrand *et al*[36], study, 3 patients with suspected recurrent SRC (8.3%), one recurrent case was complicated by graft loss. All the recurrent cases were on CNI, steroids and ACEi. In follow up biopsies, no subclinical vascular alteration has been observed. Of note, only 6 cases with recurrent SRC have been reported in the literature. An estimated proportion of 1.9 % has been reported in the literature with recurrent SRC-induced allograft loss (UNOS database)[36].

Whilst UNOS may under-estimate the actual rate of SRC recurrence, published series may over-estimate SRC recurrence, considering the publication bias of recording serious cases with worst outcome. In addition, two potential diagnoses must be differentiated from the recurrent SCR: (1) Acute/chronic AMR; and (2) CNI toxicity[54]. Consequently, it is difficult to conclude a definite diagnosis particularly with the retrospective nature of the current reports. The SRC prediction in the non-transplant cohort is quite certain[60-63]. Recognition of RNA polymerase III could be a helpful screening technique in the setting of high-risk patients of recurrence[34,36,64].

**Post-transplantation care**

The finding that mTOR inhibitors may impede collagen produced from the dermal fibroblasts in vitro, may suggest a potential therapeutic role of mTORi in the cutaneous fibrotic disease[65]. In this context, Sirolimus (SRL) has been evaluated against methotrexate in early diffuse SS skin disease, an improved modified “Rodnan score” as well as the intensity of disease activity were comparable[66] but edema, HT and hypercreatininaemia were more observed SRL-treated patients.

In the same setting CyA safety has been examined in an open-label study against placebo and declared an improved skin score; UCLA skin score declined by 35% in six out of ten CyA-treated patients but still stationery in control group. Of note, transient decline in kidney function in many patients (21%) can be reversed via dose reduction[67]. So, an mTORi-based regimen may be suggested against CNI-based regimen for SRC KTRs candidates, however, evidence base still lacking[57]. ACEi has its crucial role as a renoprotective agent among KTRs[68]. Post-transplant SRC recurrence has been observed in KTRs who have been switched from the ACEi “captopril” to the ARB “losartan”[69], however, no sufficient evidence supporting the role of ARBs in therapy/prevention of SRC. A non-dihydropyrimide CCB agent can be administered to SS KTRs, so that CNI dosage can be reduced[12,68].
CONCLUSION

SS is a multisystem disorder that can be clinically encountered in several stages. In contrary to the reported poor survival of SS patients maintained on RRT in comparison to other groups, these patients may show the highest likelihood of renal recovery permitting their withdrawal from dialysis. Recent data in the literature are in favor of better outcome of SS patients receiving a KTx as compared to the previous results. Furthermore, these results were comparable to KTRs in other groups of patients. A particular insight, however, should be focused on the extrarenal manifestations of this disease, especially those related to the pulmonary involvement, an independent risk factor of death in this cohort. Furthermore, the post-transplant cardiac and GI involvement should be closely monitored as they may getting worse. In view of the comparable patients and allograft survival rates that have been observed in transplanted SS patients with other groups, further work-up should be tailored to identify which type of an SS patient may benefit more from an offered transplant.
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