ASYMPTOTICALLY OPTIMAL ESTIMATOR OF
THE PARAMETER OF SEMI-LINEAR
AUTOREGRESSION

The difference equations $\xi_k = af(\xi_{k-1}) + \varepsilon_k$, where $(\varepsilon_k)$ is a square integrable difference martingale, and the differential equation $d\xi = -af(\xi)dt + d\eta$, where $\eta$ is a square integrable martingale, are considered. A family of estimators depending, besides the sample size $n$ (or the observation period, if time is continuous) on some random Lipschitz functions is constructed. Asymptotic optimality of this estimators is investigated.

1. Introduction

Discrete time

We consider the difference equation

$$\xi_k = af(\xi_{k-1}) + \varepsilon_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\xi_0$ is a prescribed random variable, $f$ is a prescribed nonrandom function, $a$ is an unknown scalar parameter and $(\varepsilon_k)$ is a square integrable difference martingale with respect to some flow $(F_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$ of $\sigma$-algebras such that the random variable $\xi_0$ is $F_0$-measurable. In the detailed form, the assumption about $(\varepsilon_k)$ means that for any $k \varepsilon_k$ is $F_k$-measurable,

$$E\varepsilon_k^2 < \infty$$

and

$$E(\varepsilon_k|F_{k-1}) = 0.$$ 

The word "semi-linear" in the title means that the right-hand sides of (1) depend linearly on $a$ but not on $\xi$. 
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We use the notation: \( \text{l.i.p.} \) – limit in probability; \( \overset{d}{\rightarrow} \) – the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions of random functions, in particular convergence in distribution of random variables.

Let for each \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), \( h_k = h_k(\omega, x) \) be an \( F_{k-1} \otimes B \)-measurable function (that is the sequence \( (h_k) \) be predictable) such that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ (|\xi_{k+1}| + |af(\xi_{k+1})|) |h_k(\xi_k)| + \mathbb{E}|h_k(\xi_k)| \right] < \infty.
\]

Then from (1) – (3) we have \( \mathbb{E} (\xi_{k+1} - af(\xi_k)) h_k(\xi_k) = 0 \), whence

\[
a = (\mathbb{E}\xi_{k+1} h_k(\xi_k)) (\mathbb{E}f(\xi_k) h_k(\xi_k))^{-1}
\]

provided \( \mathbb{E}f(\xi_k) h_k(\xi_k) \neq 0 \). This prompts the estimator

\[
\tilde{a}_n = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi_{k+1} h_k(\xi_k) \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_k) h_k(\xi_k) \right)^{-1}, \quad (4)
\]

coinciding with the LSE if \( h_k(x) = f(x) \) for all \( k \).

**Continuous time**

We consider the differential equation

\[
d\xi(t) = -af(\xi(t))dt + d\eta(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5)
\]

where \( \eta(t) \) is a local square integrable martingale w.r.t. a flow \( (F(t)) \) such that the random variable \( \xi(0) \) is \( F(0) \)-measurable.

Let \( h(t, x) \) be a predictable random function such that for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \)

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ (|\xi(t)| + |af(\xi(t))|) |h(t, \xi(t))| + \mathbb{E}|h(t, \xi(t))| \right] < \infty.
\]

Let us multiply (5) on \( h(t, \xi(t)) \) and integrate from 0 to \( T \). The same rationale as in the discrete case yields the estimator

\[
\tilde{a}_T = -\left( \int_0^T h(t, \xi(t))d\xi \right) \left( \int_0^T f(\xi(t))h(t, \xi(t))dt \right)^{-1}, \quad (6)
\]

coinciding with the LSE if \( h(t, x) = f(x) \).

Asymptotic normality of \( \sqrt{n} \left( \hat{A}_n - A \right) \), where \( \hat{A}_n \) is the LSE of a matrix parameter \( A \), was proved in [1] under the assumptions of ergodicity and stationarity of \( (\xi_n) \). Convergence in distribution of this normalized deviation was proved in [2] with the use of stochastic calculus. Ergodicity and even stationarity of \( (\xi_k) \) was not assumed in [2], so the limiting distribution could be other than normal.

The goal of the article is to match a sequence \( (h_k) \) (if time is discrete) or a function \( h(t, \cdot) \) (if time is continuous) so that to minimize the value of some random functional \( V_n \) which, as we shall see in Section 3, is asymptotical close in distribution to some numeral characteristic of the estimator (in case the latter is asymptotically normal this characteristic coincides with the variance).
2. The main results

Discrete time

Denote \( \sigma_k^2 = \text{E}[\xi_k^2|F_{k-1}] \), \( \mu_k = h_k(\xi_k) \). Let \( \text{Lip}(C) \) denote the class of functions satisfying the Lipschitz condition with some constant \( C \) and equal to zero at the origin, \( \text{Lip} = \bigcup_{C > 0} \text{Lip}(C) \), and let \( \mathbf{H}(C) \) denote the class of all predictable random functions on \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \) (discrete time) or \( \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \) (continuous time) whose realizations \( h_k(\cdot) \) (respectively \( h(t,\cdot) \)) belong, as functions of \( x \), to \( \text{Lip}(C) \), \( \mathbf{H} = \bigcup_{C > 0} \mathbf{H}(C) \). Predictability means \( P \otimes \mathcal{B} \)-measurability in \( (\omega,t,x) \) (the \( \sigma \)-algebra \( P \) is defined in [4, p. 28], [6, p. 13]).

We are seeking for \( (h_k) \in \mathbf{H} \) minimizing the functional

\[
V_n(h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sigma_{k+1}^2 \lambda_k \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_k) \mu_k \right)^2. \tag{7}
\]

**Theorem 1.** Let

\[
V_n(h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1}) = \min_{h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1} \in \mathbf{H}} V_n(h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1}). \tag{8}
\]

Then

\[
\sigma_{k+1}^2 \lambda_k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_i) \mu_i = f(\xi_k) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma_i^2 \lambda_i \mu_i^2, \quad k = 0, n-1. \tag{9}
\]

**Proof.** To obtain the necessary conditions for extremum of the functional \( V_n \) \([9] \) we will vary \([3] \) just one of functions \( h_k, k = \overline{0,n-1} \), leaving the other functions without changes. Thus regarding \( V_n(h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1}) \) as a functional depending on only one function \( V_n(h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1}) = \tilde{V}_n(h_k) \).

Let’s choose some scalar function \( g \in \mathbf{H} \) and denote \( g_\lambda(x) = \tilde{h}_k(x) + \lambda(g(x) - \tilde{h}_k(x)), v(\lambda) = \tilde{V}_n(g_\lambda) \).

Obviously, \( g_\lambda \in \mathbf{H} \) so the minimum of \( v(\lambda) \) is attained at zero and therefore

\[
v'(0) = 0. \tag{10}
\]

The expression for the left-hand side is

\[
v'(0) = \frac{2n(g(\xi_k) - \mu_k) \left( \sigma_{k+1}^2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_i) \mu_i - f(\xi_k) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma_i^2 \lambda_i \mu_i^2 \right)}{\left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_i) \mu_i \right)^3}.
\]

Hence in view of \([10] \) we obtain the \( i \) th equation of system \([9] \).

**Remark.** The Lipschitz condition was not used in the proof. It will be required in Section 3.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( f \in \text{Lip}(C) \) and there exist a constant \( q > 0 \) such that \( \sigma_k^2 \geq q \) for all \( k \). Then \( h_i(x) = f(x)/\sigma_{i+1}^2, i = \overline{0,n-1} \), is a solution to the problem \([8] \).
**Continuous time**

Let $m$ denote the quadratic characteristic of $\eta$.

We shall match $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}(\omega, t, x)$ from $H(C)$ ($C$ is independent of $t$) so that to minimize the value of the functional

$$V_T(h) = \frac{\int_0^T h(t, \xi(t))^2 dm(t)}{\left( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) h(t, \xi(t)) dt \right)^2}.$$  

(11)

**Theorem 2.** Let

$$V_T(\tilde{h}) = \min_{h \in H} V_T(h).$$  

(12)

Then for all $g \in H$

$$\int_0^T \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t)) g(t, \xi(t)) \, dm(t) \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t)) \, dt = \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) g(t, \xi(t)) \, dt \int_0^T \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t))^2 \, dm(t).$$  

(13)

**Proof.** Let’s choose some scalar function $g \in H$ and denote $g_{\lambda}(t, x) = \tilde{h}(t, x) + \lambda g(t, x)$, $v(\lambda) = V_T(g_{\lambda})$.

Obviously $g_{\lambda}(t, \cdot) \in H$ so the minimum of $v(\lambda)$ is attained in zero and therefore

$$v'(0) = 0.$$  

(14)

The expression for the left-hand side is

$$v'(0) = 2T \left( \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t)) \, dt \right)^{-3} \times$$

$$\left( \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t)) \, dt \int_0^T \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t)) g(t, \xi(t)) \, dm(t) - \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) g(t, \xi(t)) \, dt \int_0^T \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t))^2 \, dm(t) \right).$$

Hence in view of (14) we come to (13).

**Corollary 2.** Let $f \in \text{Lip}(C)$, $m$ be absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure and there exist a constant $q > 0$ such that for all $t$ $\dot{m} \geq q$. Then $h(t, x) = f(x) / \dot{m}$ is a solution to the problem (12).

3. **An illustration**

Denote $E^0 = E(\cdot | F_0)$, $Q_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_k) \mu_k$, $G_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_k^2 \mu_k^2$.

We denote $E^0 = E(\cdot | F_0)$ and introduce the conditions

**CP1.** For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and any uniformly bounded sequence $(\alpha_k)$ of R-valued Borel functions on $\mathbb{R}^r$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} (\alpha_k(\epsilon_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_k) - E^0 \alpha_k(\epsilon_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_k)) \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$
\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \left( \sigma_k^2 \alpha_k \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_k \right) - \mathbb{E} \sigma_k^2 \alpha_k \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_k ) \xrightarrow{P} 0. 
\]

**CP2.** For such \( r \) and \( (\alpha_k) \) the sequences
\[
\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \alpha_k \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_k), \quad n = r + 1, \ldots \right),
\]
\[
\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \sigma_k^2 \alpha_k \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_k), \quad n = r + 1, \ldots \right)
\]
converge in probability.

Denote \( f_0(x) = x \) and, for \( r \geq 1, \)
\[
f_r(x_0, \ldots, x_r) = a f(f_{r-1}(x_0, \ldots, x_{r-1})) + x_r.
\]
Then
\[
\xi_k = f_r(\xi_{k-r}, \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_k), \quad r < k.
\]

**Lemma 1.** Let conditions (2), (3), **CP1** and **CP2** be fulfilled. Suppose also that
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \epsilon_k^2 \mathbb{I}\{|\epsilon_k| > N\} = 0 \quad (15)
\]
and there exist an \( F_0 \)-measurable random variable \( \upsilon \) such that for all \( k \)
\[
\sigma_k^2 \leq \upsilon. \quad (16)
\]
and positive numbers \( C, C_1 \) such that
\[
|a|C < 1, \quad (17)
\]
f \( \in \text{Lip}(C), (h_k) \in \mathcal{H}(C_1). \) Then
\[
(G_n, Q_n) \xrightarrow{d} (G, Q) \quad (18)
\]

Proof. Denote \( \xi_k^r = f_r(0, \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_k), \mu_k^r = h_k(\xi_k^r), Q_n^r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} f(\xi_k^r)\mu_k^r, \) \( G_n^r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \sigma_k^2(\mu_k^r)^2. \) We claim that conditions (2), (3), (15), (16), (17)
and the relation
\[
(Q_n^r, G_n^r) \xrightarrow{d} (Q^r, G^r) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad (19)
\]
imply (18).

Let \( X_r \) denote \( (x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r. \) Then under the assumptions on \( f \) and \( h_k \) for any \( N > 0 \)
\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq N, X_r \in \mathbb{R}^r} |f_r(x, X_r) - f_r(0, X_r)| = 0,
\]
whence with probability 1 for any $k$

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq N, X_r \in \mathbb{R}^r} |f(f_r(x, X_r))h_k(f_r(x, X_r)) - f(f_r(0, X_r))h_k(f_r(0, X_r))| = 0,$$

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq N, X_r \in \mathbb{R}^r} |h_k(f_r(x, X_r))^2 - h_k(f_r(0, X_r))^2| = 0. \quad (20)$$

These relations was proved in [5].

Let us prove that from conditions (2), (3), (15), (16) and (17) it follows that almost surely

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} E^0|Q_n - Q^r_n| = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} E^0|G_n - G^r_n| = 0. \quad (21)$$

By (20) for any $N > 0$

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=r}^{n-1}} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} E|f(\xi_k) \otimes \mu_k - f(\xi_k^r)\mu_k^r|I\{|\xi_k| \leq N\} = 0. \quad (22)$$

Denote $\chi^N_k = I\{|\xi_k| > N\}, \ I^N_k = I\{|\epsilon_k| > (1 - C)N\}, \ b^N_k = E^0|\xi_k|^2\chi^N_k$. Due to (17) and because of $(h_k) \in H(C_1)$

$$E^0|f(\xi_k)\mu_k|\chi^N_k \leq CC_1b^N_k,$$

Hence and from (2), (3), (15)–(17) we get by Corollary 1 [5]

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E^0|f(\xi_k)\mu_k|\chi^N_k = 0. \quad (23)$$

Further, for $k \geq r$,

$$E^0|f(\xi_k^r)\mu_k^r| = E^0|f(f_r(0, \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{k}))||h_k(f_r(0, \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{k}))|,$$

whence

$$E|f(\xi_k^r)\mu_k^r|\chi^N_k \leq CC_1E \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i|\epsilon_{k-i}| \right)^2 \chi^N_k. \quad (24)$$

Writing the Cauchy – Bunyakovsky inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i|\epsilon_{k-i}| \right)^2 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} C^j \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i|\epsilon_{k-i}|^2,$$

we get for an arbitrary $L > 0$

$$E \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i|\epsilon_{k-i}| \right)^2 \chi^N_k \leq$$

$$(1 - C)^{-1} \left( E \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i\epsilon_{k-i}^2 I\{|\epsilon_{k-i}| > L\} + L^2P\{|\xi_k| > N\} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i \right). \quad (25)$$
In view of (2), (3) Lemma 1 [5] together with (17) and (15) implies that
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} P\{|\xi_k| > N\} = 0.
\] (26)

Obviously, for arbitrary nonnegative numbers \(u_0, \ldots, u_{r-1}, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\)
\[
\sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_i v_{k-i} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v_j,
\]
so conditions (17) and (15) imply that
\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} \sup_r \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} E \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i \epsilon_{k-i}^2 I\{|\epsilon_{k-i}| > L\} = 0,
\]
whence in view of (24) – (26)
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_r \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} E f(\xi_k^r) \mu_k^r |\chi_k^N| = 0.
\]

Combining this with (22) and (23), we arrive at the first relation of (21).

The proof of the second relation of (21) is similar.

The details can be found in [5].

From (19), and (21) we obtain that the sequence \(((Q^r, G^r), r \in N)\) converges in distribution to some limit \((Q, G)\) and relation (18) holds.

Let us check (19). Condition CP1 implies that
\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} E|Q_{r}^n - E^0 Q_{r}^n| = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} E|G_{r}^n - E^0 G_{r}^n| = 0.
\]

It remains to note that under condition CP2 for any \(r \in N\) the sequences \((E^0 G_{r}^n)\) and \((E^0 Q_{r}^n)\) converge in probability.

By construction \(V_n(h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1}) = G_n Q_n^{-2}\). The value \(Q_n = 0\) is excluded by the choice of the tuple \((h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1})\) minimizing \(V_n\).

**Corollary 3.** Let the conditions of Lemma 1 be fulfilled and \(Q \neq 0\) a.s. Then \(V_n \overset{d}{\to} V\), where \(V = GQ^{-2}\).

Having in mind the use of stochastic analysis, we introduce the processes \(\tilde{a}_n(t) = \tilde{a}_{[nt]}\) and the flows \(F_n(t) = F_{[nt]}\) with continuous time.

**Theorem 3.** Let conditions of Lemma 1 be fulfilled. Then \(\sqrt{n}(\tilde{a}_n(\cdot) - a) \overset{d}{\to} \beta(\cdot)\), where \(\beta\) is a continuous local martingale with quadratic characteristic
\[
\langle \beta \rangle(t) = tV,
\] (27)

and initial value 0.
Proof. Denote \( Y_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \epsilon_k \mu_{k-1} \). Then because of (4)

\[
\sqrt{n}(\tilde{a}_n(t) - a) = Y_n(t) Q_n^{-1}.
\]

(28)

By construction and conditions (2), (3), (17) \( Y_n \) is a locally square integrable martingale with quadratic characteristic

\[
\langle Y_n \rangle(t) = n^{-1} [nt] G_{[nt]}.
\]

It was proved in [5] that under conditions (2), (3), (15), (16), (17) and (18)

\[
\sqrt{n}(\tilde{a}_n(\cdot) - a) \overset{d}{\rightarrow} Y(\cdot) Q^{-1},
\]

where \( Y \) is a continuous local martingale w.r.t. some flow \( (F(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+) \) such that \( \langle Y \rangle(t) = t G \) and the random variable \( Q \) is \( F(0) \)-measurable (and so does \( G \), which can be seen from the expression for \( \langle Y \rangle \)). In view of Lemma 1 it remains to note that \( V_n = \langle Y_n \rangle(1) Q_n^{-2} \) and \( V = \langle Y \rangle(1) Q^{-2} \).

**Remark.** This theorem explains the form of functional (7). In the most general case (without conditions CP1 and CP2) the denominator (28) in limit is an \( F(0) \)-measurable random variable, and the numerator tends to quadratic characteristic at the point \( t = 1 \) of the continuous local martingale \( Y \). Thus, the numerator (7) is the quadratic characteristic at \( t = 1 \) of the pre-limit martingale \( Y_n \), and the denominator satisfies the law of large numbers. Minimizing pre-limit variance in \( h_k \in H(C_1) \), we lessen the value of limited variance of the normalized deviation of estimator (4).

Let further \( h_k(x) = f(x)/\sigma_{k+1}^2 \). Recall that \( h_k, k = 0, n-1 \) is a solution to the problem (8). For such \( h_k \) we have

**Corollary 4.** Let the conditions of Corollary 1 and Theorem 3 be fulfilled. Then

\[
V = \left( \lim_{r \to \infty} \text{l.i.p.} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}^0 \frac{f(\xi_k^r)^2}{\sigma_{k+1}^2} \right)^{-1}.
\]

Proof. Obviously \( V_n = Q_n^{-1} \). By Lemma 1 \( Q_n \overset{d}{\rightarrow} Q \), where \( Q = \lim_{r \to \infty} \text{l.i.p.} \mathbb{E}^0 Q_n^r \).

To complete the proof it remains to note that \( Q_n^r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}^0 \frac{f(\xi_k^r)^2}{\sigma_{k+1}^2} \).

4. An Example

Suppose that \( f \in \text{Lip}(C) \), \( h_k \in H(C_1) \) condition (17) be fulfilled. Let also \( \epsilon_n = \gamma_n b_n(\xi_{n-1}) \), where \( (\gamma_n) \) be a sequence of independent random variables with zero mean and variances \( \varsigma_n^2, |\gamma_k| \leq C_2, b_n \in H(C_3) \) and \( C + C_2C_3 < 1 \). Let also \( \mathbb{E}\xi_n^2 < \infty \)

For \( F_k \) we take the \( \sigma \)-algebra generated by \( \xi_0; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k \).

Then \( \sigma_k^2 = \varsigma_k^2 b_k(\xi_{k-1})^2 \) and \( (\epsilon_n) \) satisfies (2), (3).
Denote further
\[
\hat{f}_r(x_0, \ldots, x_r) = af(\hat{f}_{r-1}(x_0, \ldots, x_{r-1})) + x_r b_r(\hat{f}_{r-1}(x_0, \ldots, x_{r-1})),
\]
\[
\hat{\xi}_k = \hat{f}_r(0, \gamma_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \gamma_k), \quad \hat{\mu}_k = h_k(\hat{\xi}_k), \quad \hat{Q}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} f(\hat{\xi}_k) \hat{\mu}_k,
\]
\[
\hat{G}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s_k^2 + b_k^2}\frac{f(\hat{\xi}_k)}{s_k^2 + b_k^2} \hat{Q}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \frac{f(\hat{\xi}_k)}{s_k^2 + b_k^2} \hat{Q}_n.
\]
Similarly to the proof of Lemma 1 we obtain
\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} E |G_n - \hat{G}_n| = 0, \quad \lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} E |Q_n - \hat{Q}_n| = 0.
\]

Items in \(\hat{G}_n\) and \(\hat{Q}_n\) depends on \(\gamma_{k-r+1}, \ldots, \gamma_k\) then they satisfy the law of large numbers in Bernstein’s form.

If besides \(\varepsilon_n\) satisfies \(\text{CP2}\) and \(Q \neq 0\) then Theorem 3 asserts (27). If herein \(\frac{f(x)}{s_k b_k(x)^2} \in \text{Lip}\) then \(\hat{h}_k(x) = \frac{f(x)}{s_k b_k(x)^2}\) is a solution to the problem (8) and
\[
V = \lim_{r \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} E f(\hat{\xi}_k)^2 \frac{s_k b_k(x)^2}{s_k^2 + b_k^2} \hat{Q}_n \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

**Example.** Let \(b_n = b, h_n = h\) and \(\gamma_n\) be i.i.d. random variables. In view of expressions for \(\hat{Q}_n\) and \(\hat{G}_n\) we may confine ourselves with the case \(\alpha_k = \alpha\).

By the Stone – Weierstrass theorem for \(\sigma\)-compact spaces [7, p. 317] \(\alpha\) can be uniformly on compacta approximated with finite linear combinations of functions of the kind \(g_1(x_1) \cdots g_r(x_r)\). By the choice of \(F_k\) and the assumptions on \((\gamma_n)\)
\[
E g_1(\gamma_{k-r+1}) \cdots g_r(\gamma_k) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} E g_i(\gamma_i).
\]

Hence and from the above assumption on \((\gamma_k)\) condition \(\text{CP2}\) emerges.
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