FIRST DETECTION OF UV EMISSION FROM A DETACHED DUST SHELL: GALEX OBSERVATIONS OF THE CARBON AGB STAR U HYA
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ABSTRACT

We present the discovery of an extended ring of ultraviolet emission surrounding the AGB star U Hya in archival observations performed by the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX). This is the third discovery of extended UV emission from a carbon AGB star and the first from an AGB star with a detached shell. From imaging and photometric analysis of the FUV and NUV images, we determined that the ultraviolet ring has a radius of $\sim 110''$, thus indicating that the emitting material is likely associated with the detached shell seen in the infrared. We find that scattering of the central point source of NUV and FUV emission by the dust shell is negligible. Moreover, we find that scattering of the interstellar radiation field by the dust shell can contribute at most $\sim 10\%$ of the FUV flux. Morphological and photometric evidence suggests that shocks caused by the star’s motion through space and, possibly, shock-excited H$_2$ molecules are the most likely origins of the UV flux. In contrast to previous examples of extended UV emission from AGB stars, the extended UV emission from U Hya does not show a bow shock-like structure, which is consistent with a lower space velocity and lower interstellar medium density. This suggests the detached dust shell is the source of the UV emitting material and can be used to better understand the formation of detached shells.

Subject headings: stars: individual (U Hya) — stars: AGB and post-AGB — circumstellar matter — ultraviolet: stars

1. INTRODUCTION

Detached dust shells are found around some asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars (typically the carbon-rich type) and their origins are still a matter of debate. Some argue that detached shells indicate episodic mass loss events that lead to two-wind interactions (e.g., Schoier et al. 2005), others suggest detached shells are density enhancements following a temporary increase in the mass loss rate after a thermal pulse (e.g., Mattsson et al. 2007). Additionally, the role of external forces, such as the interaction of the AGB wind with the interstellar medium (ISM), cannot be discounted (e.g., Villaver et al. 2002). Theoretical studies of the formation of detached dust shells and their evolution in the ISM (Steffen & Schönberner 2000, Villaver et al. 2002, Schoier et al. 2005, Wareing et al. 2006, Mattsson et al. 2007) target information provided by long wavelength observations. However, shocks and interactions with the ISM are better studied with short wavelength observations. Indeed, the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX) has revealed a bow shock-like structure ahead of the AGB star Mira plus a long trailing tail caused by the star’s high space velocity through the ISM (Martin et al. 2007). Targeted observations by GALEX of the carbon AGB star IRC+10216 uncovered the interaction of the AGB wind with the ISM in the star’s astrosphere (Sahai & Chronopoulos 2010). The most recent discovery of extended emission from AGB stars was reported by Sahai & Mack-Crane (2014), where deep GALEX observations imaged two half-ringed structures around the carbon AGB star CIT 6 in the FUV. However, with such few detections, the frequency and physical characteristics of extended UV emission is uncertain.

In this Letter, we present our discovery and analysis of extended FUV and NUV emission from the carbon AGB star U Hya from GALEX All-Sky Imaging Survey (AIS) observations. We find that extended FUV and NUV emission is spatially coincident with the detached dust shell initially discovered in the infrared with IRAS (Waters et al. 1994) and recently studied with the AKARI satellite (Izumiura et al. 2011) and the Herschel Space Observatory (Cox et al. 2012). We discuss the most likely origin of the UV emission and the questions posed by this discovery. Our discovery of an extended ring of UV emission around U Hya marks the third dis-
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covery of extended UV emission from a carbon AGB star and the first of an AGB star with a detached shell. This particular discovery of extended emission from shallow GALEX exposures is remarkable given that previous examples required exposures that were two orders of magnitude longer, leading some authors to suggest that this type of extended emission would likely not be observ-

eable in short GALEX exposures (Sahai & Mack-Crane

2014). Rather, we suggest that the existing archive of observations is a potential trove of similar detections in a much larger sample of AGB stars.

2. OBSERVATIONS

Observations were performed by the GALEX satellite observatory (see Morrissey et al. 2005) as part of the all-
sky survey for 198 seconds in the NUV (1771-2831 Å) and FUV (1344-1786 Å) bandpasses on 26 March 2006. We retrieved the FUV and NUV images sampled at a resolution of 1.5′′ per pixel from the GALEX archive using GalexView. The point spread function of the GALEX imaging system has a core FWHM of ∼ 5′′. The AGB star itself is apparently detected in both the FUV and NUV bands with GalexView catalog fluxes (provided in Table 1). In the FUV image, a faint ring of emission can be seen at ∼ 110′′, but this ring is not immediately apparent in the NUV image (Figure 1).

3. ANALYSIS

The FUV and NUV images required additional analysis to establish the existence and characteristics of the extended UV emission. As described in the following, we identified and removed likely foreground and background field sources, characterized the distribution of the UV emission by studying the bright emission spots, and measured the surface brightness radial profiles with respect to the AGB star.

3.1. Field Source Removal

We selected field sources for removal by identifying stellar and extragalactic counterparts to the UV point-

slike sources. We used images and source catalogs from the Two-Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) to make the identifications. Pixels corresponding to the UV emission from stellar or extragalactic sources were replaced with a Poisson-distributed noise pattern drawn from a source-

free region in the vicinity of the removed source. Images with and without field sources are presented in Figure 1 where we have also smoothed the images with a 2D Gaussian kernel that has a FWHM of ∼ 14′′. The extended FUV emission appears as a distribution of bright spots on a ring-like structure.

3.2. Distribution of FUV Emission

We determined the distribution of the bright spots of FUV emission seen in Figure 1 by visually identifying the brightest spots of emission from 70′′ to 130′′. We found no compelling evidence for corresponding bright spots in the NUV image. Using the coordinates of these bright FUV spots we performed a least-squares fit to an ellipse with the semi-major/semi-minor axis, position angle, and the coordinates of the ellipse center as free parameters. A bootstrapping method is used to estimate the best-fit errors by adding normally-distributed noise (σ ∼ 5′′) to the bright spot coordinates and selecting 9 spots at random for each of the 10,000 least square fits. From the parameter statistics of the 10,000 trials we estimate that the best-fit ellipse has semi-major and semi-



minor axes of 114 ± 8′′ and 105 ± 10′′, respectively, and the semi-major axis has a position angle of 103° ± 24°. The uncertain position angle of the semi-major axis is approx-

imately consistent with the proper motion vector of U Hya (μ_α = 42.6 mas yr^-1 and μ_δ = -37.7 mas yr^-1, van Leeuwen 2007), suggesting the ellipsoidal shape may be due to the shell’s motion, while the misalignment may suggest asymmetries in the ISM. The best-fit ellipse is overlaid upon the FUV and NUV images along with the proper motion vector of U Hya in Figure 1.

The best-fit ellipse centroid is offset from the celestial coordinates of U Hya by 3.7′′ (δ[RA,Dec] = [-3.1′′, -2.0′′]), which is within the ellipse centroid error estimate of ∼ 4′′. However, the central FUV point source location is less consistent with the celestial position of U Hya than the brighter central NUV point source. The FUV central point source is offset from the celestial co-

ordinates of U Hya by 5.1′′ (δ[RA,Dec] = [+5′′, -15′′]) and 5′′ from the ellipse centroid. Given the GALEX astrometric accuracy of ∼ 0.5′′, the offset of the FUV central source is significant and considered further in §4.4.

As a final remark on the distribution of the FUV emission, we note a faint incomplete radial “spoke”-like feature at a position angle between 310° to 330° (Figure 1). The integrated background-subtracted flux of the spoke-like feature is (3.6 ± 1.4) × 10^{-5} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1} Å^{-1}, hence, it is only tentatively detected. However, the position angle of this feature is opposite the star’s proper motion suggesting the potential association with the past position of U Hya (see §4.4).

3.3. Surface Brightness Radial Profiles

We extracted surface brightness measurements from 18 nested circular annuli and one central circular aperture for both FUV and NUV images. Each annulus is centered on the celestial coordinates of U Hya and has a width of 11′′, the central circular aperture has a radius of 11″. The four farthest annuli (from 160′′ to 203′′) were used to esti-

mate the total background (sky and detector) surface brightness. We estimate the FUV and NUV background surface brightness is 4.25 × 10^{-4} counts s^{-1} pixel^{-1} and 3.10 × 10^{-3} counts s^{-1} pixel^{-1}, respectively. For each annulus we use the background-subtracted counts to esti-

mate the source Poisson error then add this in quadrature to the background error to determine the source error. We converted the total background-subtracted count rates (CPS) to fluxes using the relationships from Morrissey et al. (2005) and the GALEX online documentation.

The resulting FUV and NUV background-subtracted surface brightness radial profiles are shown in Figure 2. The radial profiles begin at the position of the stellar point source and reach a maximum between 100′′ and
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130′′, with the peak at ∼ 110′′. At a distance of 208 pc, this peak corresponds to a radius of ∼ 0.11 pc from the celestial position of U Hya. The radial profile behavior is consistent with a shell-like structure that forms a ring when projected onto the sky. Although the ring is not apparent in the NUV image, it is revealed in the surface brightness radial profile (Figure 2).

In the FUV radial profile, a single radial bin near ∼ 45′′ rises ≥ 2σ above the background. Examination of this radius in Figure 1 suggests a faint semi-circular arc in the southern half of the FUV image. There is no clear evidence for a similar feature in the NUV image nor in the NUV radial profile. However, the broad shoulders of the NUV imaging PSF extend to ∼ 50′′, making the identification of such a peak more difficult in the high background image.

We have calculated the total background-subtracted FUV and NUV fluxes in these rings by integrating the surface brightness flux from 27′′5 to 60′′5 for the inner ring and 71′′5 to 126′′5 for the outer ring and associated errors added in quadrature. Variations within the annuli, like the bright spots studied in §3.2, are not included in the error budget. These variations may be due to statistical fluctuations but could also indicate clumps of emitting material (see §4.3). The total FUV and NUV fluxes for these shells are reported in Table 1.
3.4. Location of UV emission with respect to the IR-emitting dust shell

To identify the spatial relationship of the UV emission with respect to the IR-emitting dust shell, we overlaid contours of the FUV emission onto the 70\(\mu\)m Herschel Photoconductor Array Camera and Spectrometer (PACS) image (see Groenewegen et al. 2011). The 70\(\mu\)m PACS imaging PSF is comparable to that of GALEX (∼5\(\arcsec\)). The best-fit ellipse and location of the FUV emission (Figure 3) suggest that the UV is coincident, within astrometric and resolution uncertainties, with 70\(\mu\)m dust emission (Figure 3).

4. ORIGIN OF THE EXTENDED UV EMISSION

We trace the origin of the extended UV emission by considering the most likely scenarios that could explain the UV emission. The physical processes considered are scattering of UV photons, shocks formed when the AGB wind encounters the ISM, shocks from the star’s motion through the ISM, or, excitation of molecular hydrogen. These processes are not mutually exclusive and they may all occur simultaneously to some degree.

4.1. Scattering by the Detached Dust Shell

Given the spatial relationship of the IR and UV emission (Figure 3), we consider the possibility that UV photons are scattered by the dust shell into our line of sight. Scattering of this type is seen in optical observations of numerous AGB stars with dust shells (González Delgado et al. 2001; Olofsson et al. 2010; Ramstedt et al. 2011) including U Hya (Izumiura et al. 2007). The scattering efficiency increases at shorter wavelengths and the scattered flux and distribution can be used to infer the dust shell properties (e.g., shell mass, \(M_{\text{shell}}\), and shell radius, \(R_{\text{shell}}\)), see Sujatha et al. 2009). If this proportionality holds in the extended UV emission from U Hya. The expected contributions from FUV and NUV flux densities (in photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) \(\AA^{-1}\)) due to scattering of the ISRF by dense regions of the ISM is roughly equal due to the respective bandpasses and the UV extinction curve (Sujatha et al. 2009). If this proportionality holds in the dust shell of U Hya, then assuming all the NUV flux from the dust shell arises from scattering of the ISRF, we can only account for ∼10% of the FUV flux. Hence, it is unlikely that the FUV flux is caused by the scattering processes alone. Instead, we suggest that shocks are responsible for some or all of the FUV and NUV emission from the detached dust shell of U Hya.

4.2. Shocks from the Shell, ISM, and Proper Motion

Our next clue that shocks might be responsible for the extended FUV and NUV emission can be seen in Figures 1–3, where the FUV ring is brightest in the direction of the star’s proper motion. The peculiar velocity of U Hya (\(V_\star \sim 72\) km s\(^{-1}\); Cox et al. 2012), is capable of producing post-shock temperatures of ∼1.6 \times 10^5 \(K(\mu_H/1.33)(V_\star/72\) km s\(^{-1}\)), for strong shock conditions and a typical mean molecular mass, \(\mu_H\). Such
a high-temperature shock is consistent with the increasing flux towards shorter wavelengths. Similar characteristics are seen in GALEX image of IRC+10216, where the relative motion of the star with respect to the ISM forms a strong shock with a post-shock temperature of about $T_s \sim 10^4$ K (Sahai & Chronopoulos 2010). However, unlike IRC+10216, where the extended NUV emission leads the FUV emission, in U Hya the FUV and NUV extended emission are coincident with tentative evidence that the FUV emission actually leads the NUV emission. But the larger distance to U Hya and short exposure time (more than two orders of magnitude shorter than the observation of IRC+10216) make it difficult to determine the precise spatial relationship of the UV emission. We note, however, that there is no apparent bow shock structure expected from the interaction with the ISM in the PACS images (Cox et al. 2012). This is likely due to a combination of the slower peculiar velocity of U Hya and a lower ISM density.

4.3. Molecules Surviving in Clumps?

Alternatively, collisional excitation of H$_2$ by hot electrons in a low-density shocked gas might explain the enhancement of the FUV emission, similar to the long-lived FUV tail of Mira (Martin et al. 2007). The molecular composition of the detached shell around U Hya is poorly-studied. Waters et al. (1994) argued that the dynamical age of the detached dust shell suggests that despite self-shielding, CO molecules should be dissociated by now due to the ISRF. The survival of H$_2$ against dissociation by the ISRF is less clear but the typically higher abundance of H$_2$ suggests it could survive after all the CO has been broken down. If both CO and H$_2$ molecules have survived dissociation by the ISRF, they are likely to do so in clumps like those seen in scattered light around the detached shell of R Scl and U Cam (Olofsson et al. 2010). Such clumps would be consistent with the observed morphology of the FUV emission as a series of bright spots on an elliptical ring, but the low-signal in our shallow exposures limit our ability to assert a clumpy environment.

4.4. Peculiar features of the FUV emission

In §4.2, we highlighted two peculiar features of the FUV emission, the apparent offset of the FUV central point source from the celestial position of U Hya by $\sim 5''$ and the faint spoke-like feature opposite the star’s proper motion. Since the bright NUV central point source coincides with the celestial coordinates of U Hya within GALEX astrometric uncertainty, we tentatively conclude that the offset of the central FUV source from the star is real. This offset might suggest a heretofore unknown distant (up to 1000 AU) hot companion, similar to that suggested for other GALEX detections of AGB stars (Sahai et al. 2008). However, because the offset lies in the direction of U Hya’s motion (see Figure 3), it may suggest shocks caused by the star’s motion. But the presence of a detached shell implies there is no ISM near the star, so such shocks would have to arise from an exotic scenario where the star plows into its own wind. In the opposite direction of the proper motion, the spoke-like feature seen in the FUV is reminiscent of Mira’s long trailing tail (Martin et al. 2007), albeit a smaller version. Mira’s tail covers 30,000 years of mass loss where

![Fig. 3. Dust shell of U Hya observed by Herschel PACS in 70µm band with contours of the GALEX FUV emission overlaid. The yellow contours correspond to the faint spoke-like feature discussed in §4.3. The motion vector is indicated along with the best-fit ellipse for the bright FUV points.](image)
and most of FUV flux arises from shocks caused by the star’s motion through the ISM.

This discovery of extended UV emission from U Hya, along with that of carbon stars IRC+10216 and CIT 6, leads one to speculate if this type of UV emission is a common trait of the circumstellar envelopes around carbon AGB stars, high-velocity AGB stars, or inherent to the formation of the detached shell. Other than their classification as carbon AGB stars, these three sources of extended UV emission are dissimilar. A thorough survey of GALEX UV observations of AGB stars that utilizes the techniques developed here is underway to establish the origin(s) and frequency of this type of UV emission from these cold, dusty environments.
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Fig. 4.— *Holiday Edition of Figure 3*. Visit [http://www.rudolphd.com/uhyawreath.gif](http://www.rudolphd.com/uhyawreath.gif) for animated version.