Predictive impact of the inflammation-based indices in uveal melanoma liver metastases treated with transarterial hepatic chemoperfusion
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Background. The aim of the study was to evaluate pretreatment inflammatory markers as prognostic factors in patients with unresectable uveal melanoma liver metastases treated with transarterial hepatic chemoperfusion.

Patients and methods. 54 patients (44% male, median age: 61 years) were retrospectively assessed. A median of 3 (range: 1–11) treatment sessions were performed with melphalan (92%) or fotemustin (8%). Inflammatory indices were calculated as follows: neutrophils/nl to lymphocytes/nl ratio (NLR), systemic immune-inflammation index ([platelets/nl × neutrophils/nl]/[lymphocytes/nl]; SII), and platelets/nl to lymphocytes/nl ratio (PLR). The cut-off for dichotomization purposes was set at the median (inflammatory indices, hepatic tumor burden) or the upper level of normal. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed for median overall survival (OS) in months, and Cox proportional hazard model for univariate (UVA) and multivariate (MVA) hazard ratio (HR, 95%CI) analyses were performed.

Results. Median OS of the study cohort was 7.7 (6.3–10.9) months. In UVA OS was prolonged for low C reactive protein (CRP) (13.5 vs. 5.2; p = 0.0005), low SII (10.8 vs. 5.6; p = 0.0005), low NLR (11.1 vs. 6.3; p = 0.0045), low aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (11.5 vs. 5.6; p = 0.015), alanine aminotransferases (ALT) (11.5 vs. 5.6; p = 0.01), and tumor burden ≤ 50% (8.2 vs. 4.8; p = 0.007). MVA confirmed low CRP (HR: 0.29, 0.11–0.7; p = 0.005), low SII (HR: 0.19, 0.11–0.7; p = 0.008), and low ALT (HR: 0.13, 0.02–0.63; p = 0.011) as independent predictors for prolonged OS. Patients with ≤ 1, 2, 3 elevated significant MVA-factors survived a median of 14.9, 7.7, and 3.9 months, respectively (p = 0.0001).

Conclusions. Pretreatment inflammatory markers (CRP, SII) and AST were independent prognostic survival markers in patients with uveal melanoma liver metastases treated with transarterial hepatic chemoperfusion. A combination of factors may help to identify patients potentially benefitting from treatment.
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Introduction

Uveal melanoma is the most common primary ocular malignancy in adults accounting for around 5% of all melanomas.\(^1,2\) Although local tumors are usually treated aggressively, about 50% of all patients will eventually develop metastases, with in 60.5% of cases involvement of the liver at the time of diagnosis of metastatic disease.\(^3\) In patients with predominant and unresectable hepatic disease, we routinely perform transarterial hepatic chemoperfusion (THC) as a palliative treatment option demonstrating prolonged progression-free survival and fewer hematological severe adverse events compared to intravenous chemotherapy.\(^4\)

Setting expectations for treatment benefit and life expectancy is crucial for informed clinical decision-making and may guide patients and their families to set expectations. To date, few pretreatment prognostic factors on treatment outcomes, including but not limited to tumor burden, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) serum values have been reported.\(^5,6\)

The role of inflammation has long been acknowledged as a hallmark during cancerogenesis and tumor progression in malignant disease.\(^7,8\) In the context of tumor-associated inflammation, the systemic inflammatory response is linked with poorer outcomes and as of significant prognostic relevance in various cancer types.\(^9,10\) This systemic inflammatory response is usually measured in the peripheral blood with numbers of differential blood cell counts (lymphocytes, neutrophils, platelets) as well as serum proteins (C-reactive protein, albumin). Here, the inflammation-based indices of differential cell counts, the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR), the platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and the systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) have been proven as significant prognostic factors in several cancer types.\(^11\)

The purpose of this study was to evaluate inflammatory markers routinely assessed before THC as pretreatment prognostic factors in patients with unresectable uveal melanoma liver metastases.

Patients and methods

Study population

This study is a retrospective single-center database analysis that has been approved by the local institutional review board with waived informed consent (IRB#: 20-9799-BO). All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The decision to perform transarterial chemoperfusion was based on multidisciplinary tumor board meetings.

Fifty-four consecutive patients first treated in the years 2014 and 2015 were included in this study. Inclusion criteria were as follows: I) At least 18-years of age, II) imaging or biopsy-proven uveal melanoma liver metastases, and III) treatment of liver metastases with THC. Patient data were obtained from the medical record system, including disease history and laboratory testing results before treatment.

Treatment

Transarterial hepatic chemoperfusion was performed by gaining access via the femoral artery by inserting a 5 Fr catheter sheath and placing a microcatheter into the hepatic arteries, either in the proper hepatic artery or consecutively in the left, right, and/or accessory hepatic arteries. Chemoperfusion of the liver was performed for 45–60 minutes. If the dose was infused into two lobes, a median of 30% for the left and 70% for the right lobe of the total dose were administered. All patients started with 40 mg of melphalan. In case of progression, either the melphalan dose was escalated (45 mg, 50 mg), or the chemotherapeutic agent was switched to fotemustin.

Data collection

Laboratory blood test values were measured within thirty days before the first THC: Alanine aminotransferases (ALT; normal: < 35 U/L), aspartate aminotransferase (AST; normal: < 35 U/L), alkaline phosphatase (AP; normal: 20–100 U/L), gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT; normal <35 U/L), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH; normal 120–247 U/L). Furthermore, absolute neutrophils (ANC; normal: 1.7–6.2/ nl), lymphocytes (ALC; normal: 1.0–3.4/ nl), and platelets (APC; normal: 180–380/ nl) counts were obtained for calculating inflammatory indices. The neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) was defined as the ratio of ANC/ALC, the platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR) as the ratio of APC/ALC, and the SII as the platelet count x ANC/ALC. For dichotomization purposes, the cut-off values were set at the upper level of normal (ULN) for laboratory values, at the median for inflammatory indices and tumor burden, or according to categorical
status. Analysis of albumin and bilirubin have not been performed due to inconsistent and low numbers of reporting. The date of death was obtained regardless of etiology.

Statistics
The Kaplan-Meier method (log-rank test) was applied for estimating the overall survival (OS) with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). Uni- (UVA) & multivariate (MVA) analyses for determining the hazard ratios (HR), including the 95% CI were calculated utilizing the Cox proportional hazards model. Factors statistically significant in UVA were included in MVA. For correlation analysis, the Spearman analysis was performed. Contingency testing was calculated using Pearson’s chi-squared test. Calculations were performed using JMP Pro 13.2.1 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc.). P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Patient baseline and treatment characteristics
The study consisted of fifty-four patients (44% male, 100% Caucasians, median age: 61 years; range: 26–81 years). Death was recorded in 42 patients, and 12 patients were lost to follow-up with a median follow-up of 15.8 months (95% CI: 2.3–24 months). The median time between primary diagnosis and occurrence of hepatic metastases was 24.4 months (range: 0–122 months). The first THC session was performed after a median of 4.6 months following diagnosis of liver metastases (range: 0.3–38.7 months) with a median of 3 (range: 1–11) THC sessions/patient. The median time between treatments was 1.6 months (range 0.9 – 6.2). In total, 198 THC sessions were performed with melphalan
Survival analysis

Following the diagnosis of primary tumor median survival of all patients was estimated to be 44.7 months (95% CI: 37.1–61.2). After the diagnosis of liver metastases, the median overall survival of 15.3 months (95% CI: 11.1–20.7) was observed. Median OS following first THC therapy was 7.7 months (95% CI: 6.3–10.9) (Figure 1). 6 months, 1- and 2 years survival rates were 67.4% (95% CI: 53.7–78.8%), 29.5% (95% CI: 18.7–43.8), and 16.5% (95% CI: 8.0–31.7) respectively.

Inflammatory prognostic factors

Median absolute cell counts were 5.13/μl for neutrophils (interquartile range [IQR]: 3.11–6.37), 1.36/μl for lymphocytes (IQR: 1.02–1.71), and 267/μl for platelets (IQR: 209–346). When dichotomized at the

| TABLE 2. Uni- and multivariate analysis of overall survival (OS) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Subgroups**   | Median OS in months (95% CI) | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
| NLR             | ≤ median (3.58) | 11.1 (7.1–20.6) | 0.39 (0.2–0.75) | 0.0045 | 0.73 (0.25–2.2) | 0.57 |
|                 | > median (3.58) | 6.3 (3.5–7.8) | 1 | |
| SII             | ≤ median (1076) | 10.8 (7.2–20.6) | 0.33 (0.17–0.65) | 0.0013 | 0.19 (0.11–0.7) | 0.008 |
|                 | > median (1076) | 5.6 (3.4–7.7) | 1 | |
| PLR             | ≤ median (203.8) | 8.2 (5.6–15.8) | 0.69 (0.37–1.27) | 0.23 | - | - |
|                 | > median (203.8) | 7.5 (4.7–11.1) | 1 | - | - |
| CRP             | normal | 13.5 (7.2–20.6) | 0.3 (0.15–0.6) | 0.0005 | 0.29 (0.11–0.7) | 0.005 |
|                 | > ULN | 5.3 (3.9–7.8) | 1 | |
| Neutrophils     | normal | 8.2 (6.4–11.5) | 0.6 (0.3–1.28) | 0.18 | - | - |
|                 | > ULN | 6.3 (3.9–11.1) | 1 | - | - |
| Thrombocytes    | normal | 8.2 (4.3–11.1) | 0.8 (0.35–2.13) | 0.62 | - | - |
|                 | > ULN | 7.5 (0.64–13.5) | 1 | - | - |
| LDH             | ≤ ULN | 12.8 (7.2–20.6) | 0.54 (0.23–1.11) | 0.1 | - | - |
|                 | > ULN | 7 (4.8–8.2) | 1 | - | - |
| AST             | ≤ ULN | 11.5 (7.2–20.6) | 0.45 (0.22–0.85) | 0.015 | 0.34 (0.07–1.44) | 0.15 |
|                 | > ULN | 5.6 (4.5–8.2) | 1 | - | - |
| ALT             | ≤ ULN | 11.5 (7.5–15.8) | 0.43 (0.2–0.8) | 0.011 | 0.13 (0.02–0.63) | - |
|                 | > ULN | 5.6 (4.2–7.8) | 1 | - | - |
| GGT             | ≤ ULN | 10.9 (7.2–15.8) | 0.94 (0.32–2.2) | 0.9 | - | - |
|                 | > ULN | 7.13 (5.3–10.2) | 1 | - | - |
| AP              | ≤ ULN | 10.94 (4.8–20.6) | 0.54 (0.22–1.26) | 0.15 | - | - |
|                 | > ULN | 6.3 (3.4–10.1) | 1 | - | - |
| Hepatic tumor burden | ≤ 50% | 8.2 (7.12–11.5) | 0.36 (0.18–0.74) | 0.007 | 0.5 (0.17–1.6) | 0.24 |
|                 | > 50% | 4.8 (1.2–7.8) | 1 | - | - |
| Prior systemic treatment | Yes | 8.2 (7.5–11.5) | 0.78 (0.42–1.45) | 0.43 | - | - |
|                 | No | 6.3 (4.5–11.1) | 1 | - | - |
| Extrahepatic metastases | No | 7.12 (4.6–10.1) | 1.1 (0.59–2.17) | 0.75 | - | - |
|                 | Yes | 10.2 (6.3–11.1) | 1 | - | - |

ALT = alanine aminotransferase; AP = alkaline phosphatase; AST = aspartate aminotransferase; CRP = C-reactive protein; GGT = gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; HR = hazard ratio; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; NLR = neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; PLR = platelet to lymphocyte ratio; SII = systemic immune-inflammation index; ULN = upper level of normal

In 186 (median: 40 mg, range: 40–50 mg) and fotemustin in 12 (median: 188 mg, range: 40–208 mg) cases. Eighteen patients (33%) received subsequent therapy after the last THC with systemic therapy in 13 Patients (8 patients received immune checkpoint inhibitors), radioembolization of liver metastases in 2 patients, and three patients received palliative external beam radiation of extrahepatic lesions for symptom control. Additional patient baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1.
median and upper level of normal, absolute cell counts were not significant regarding OS (p>0.05). Median values of inflammatory indices for the study cohort were 3.58 for NLR (IQR: 2.43–5.04), 1076 for SII (IQR: 539–1645), and 208.8 for PLR (IQR: 151.2–278). In Spearman’s analysis, the correlation between inflammatory markers ranged from very good (SII & NLR, Spearman’s $\rho = 0.92$) to good (SII & PLR, Spearman’s $\rho = 0.72$; PLR & NLR, Spearman’s $\rho = 0.66$). Decreased NLR and SII, as well as non-elevated C reactive protein (CRP), were both associated with more prolonged median OS, whereas PLR was not (Table 2).

Non-inflammatory prognostic factors

Patients with serum values of the liver enzymes ALT and AST within the normal range had prolonged overall survival in contrast to AP and GGT. Aside from laboratory markers, the tumor burden was identified as a significant factor with patients with ≤ 50% hepatic tumor burden doing significantly better. In contrast, pretreatment status (yes vs. no; p = 0.18), presence of limited extrahepatic disease not considered life-limiting compared to liver metastases (yes vs. no; p = 0.3), ECOG Status (ECOG 0 vs. >= 1; p = 0.99), and Karnofsky Index (100–90% vs. < 90%; p = 0.44) were not significant (Table 2). Of note, patients who received treatment after last chemoperfusion showed a significant longer survival (13.9 vs. 7.2 months, p = 0.01; HR: 0.41; 95% CI: 0.21–0.83; p = 0.009). There was no significant difference regarding the SII between the patients with and without further treatment in contingency testing (p = 0.11).

Results from the multivariate analysis

In multivariate analysis, the overall strongest predictor with the lowest hazard ratio for values below the median was found for ALT (HR: 0.13; p = 0.011). SII proved as the strongest independent inflammatory predictor with a hazard ratio of 0.19 (p = 0.008) followed by CRP (HR: 0.29, p = 0.005). NLR and GOT were not identified as independent predictors for overall survival in this study population.

Scoring with significant factors from the multivariate analysis

Yet, as none of the identified independent predictors for overall survival was clearly stronger than the others, an additive scoring was performed to test for an additional predictive value of significant parameters (Figure 3). Patients with an elevation of none to one elevated significant factors from multivariate analysis [CRP, systemic immuno-inflammation index (SII), alanine aminotransferases (ALT)] had a significantly longer overall survival than patients with two or three elevated factors p < 0.0001.
univariate analysis demonstrated a statistical difference between each group (Table 3).

## Discussion

Uveal melanoma patients with liver metastases have a grim prognosis with a median overall survival of 4–6 months and a 1-year survival rate of 12–15% when left untreated. Nowadays, thanks to treatment advances, the median overall survival after diagnosis of liver metastases is around 13.4 months, with a 2-year survival rate of 8%, which is similar to our study cohort. As no treatment appears to be clearly superior over the others, identification of patients potentially benefitting from the treatment approach is vital for therapy allocation to provide the best care possible for each patient.

Inflammatory cells in cancers have been thought to represent an antitumor response for many years. Nevertheless, there is a growing body of evidence that inflammation also plays a vital role in the initiation, malignization, and metastasis process of tumors driven by different immune cell subtypes. In cancer patients, increased blood neutrophils and platelet counts have been associated with tumor progression and worse clinical outcomes in several solid tumors and can, therefore, be considered pro-tumorigenic. Neutrophils achieve this by promoting tumor spread. It may be speculated that ALT and/or AST rise due to "space-occupying effects" associated with higher tumor burden, which could be confirmed in this patient set.

In contrast, lymphocytes play a crucial role in immuno-monitoring cancer by hampering tumor cell proliferation and migration by causing cytotoxic cell death. Thus, a high SII, comprising of elevated neutrophils and platelets and low counts of lymphocytes, suggests greater pro- than anti-tumorigenic activity with more unsatisfactory outcomes for patients. Not only could SII be identified as an independent prognostic factor for overall survival in this study, but it was also found to be statistically relevant in a recent meta-analysis on solid tumors.

Similar to SII, an increased NLR could also be identified as a prognostic factor for overall survival in metastatic uveal melanoma disease. Although NLR significantly predicted overall survival in the univariate analysis, it could not be confirmed as an independent. Considering the significant overlap and high correlation between SII and NLR, it is not unsurprising that only one remained significant in multivariate analysis, potentially emphasizing the additive value of incorporating platelet counts on the overall survival. Additionally, neutrophils also seem to be a relevant factor, as PLR was not significant in this analysis.

CRP, an acute-phase reactant reflecting tissue injury and inflammation, has long been suggested as a prognostic marker in several cancer types. In a systematic literature review, elevated CRP was associated with higher mortality in patients with solid tumors in 90% of studies underlining the general prognostic relevance. In patients with metastatic uveal melanoma treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors showed that patients with high CRP had a significantly shorter survival in multivariate analysis (HR: 12.12; p = 0.001). Similarly, patients in this study succumbed significantly earlier when an elevated CRP before therapy was recorded.

Aside from immune markers, elevated Liver enzymes (AST and ALT) >ULN were identified as prognostic factors in univariate analysis for overall survival with ALT proving as independent as also by others in metastatic liver disease. It may be speculated that ALT and/or AST rise due to "space-occupying effects" associated with higher tumor burden, which could be confirmed in this patient set. When stratified according to the numbers of elevated independent factors (CRP, SII, ALT), an even more distinct risk-based survival estimation may be achieved than just considering single factors.

Several study limitations should be acknowledged as enrollment of patients was retrospective and limited to one institution with a treatment protocol and patient cohort characteristics that may substantially differ from other institutions hampering comparability to different patient cohorts. Moreover, the sample size did not allow for a validation cohort to confirm the results.

### Table 3. Scoring with significant independent factors from multivariate analysis. The number of elevated CRP, SII, ALT in patients further helps to predict median overall survival

| Group          | Median OS in months (95% CI) | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | p-value |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| ≤ 1 elevated factor | 14.9 (10.1–0.0)               | 0.08 (0.3–0.2)        | -       |
| 2 elevated factors  | 6.7 (4.5–8.2)                | 0.38 (0.17–0.86)      | 0.0003  |
| 3 elevated factors  | 3.9 (1.15–6.3)               | 1                     | < 0.0001* |

* = The difference between the of 2 and 3 elevated factors groups was statistically significant in univariate analysis, p = 0.022
Conclusions

In Patients treated with uveal melanoma liver metastases treated with transarterial chemoperfusion, lower pretreatment values of CRP, SII and ALT were independent prognostic factors associated with prolonged overall survival suggesting a role of systemic inflammation in this setting. Moreover, as the benefit of low pretreatment CRP, SII & ALT act synergistically, an even more distinct outcome stratification can be achieved. As physicians aim to provide the best possible care, the results from this study may help to identify patients who may benefit most from treatment or how best to stratify patients based on clinical risk factors in future clinical trials. Nevertheless, future prospective studies are warranted to confirm the relevance of pretreatment inflammatory markers and ALT for patient selection.
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