CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 Variants are Risk Factors for Ischemic Stroke: a Case Control Study
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Abstract

Background

This study aimed to investigate the roles of CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 variants in ischemic stroke (IS) susceptibility among the Han Chinese population.

Methods

477 patients with IS and 493 healthy controls were enrolled. Seven single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 were genotyped by Agena MassARRAY. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated by logistic regression adjusted for age and gender.

Results

We found that CYP3A4 rs3735451 (OR = 0.81, p = 0.039) and rs4646440 (OR = 0.72, p = 0.021) polymorphisms decreased the risk of IS. CYP3A4 rs4646440 (OR = 0.74, p = 0.038) and CYP11A1 rs12912592 (OR = 1.58, p = 0.034) polymorphisms were correlated with IS risk in males. CYP3A4 rs3735451 (OR = 0.63, p = 0.031) and rs4646440 (OR = 0.57, p = 0.012) possibly weaken the IS susceptibility at age > 61 years. Besides, CYP3A4 rs4646437 (OR = 0.59, p = 0.029), CYP11A1 rs12912592 (OR = 1.84, p = 0.017) and rs28681535 (OR = 0.66, p = 0.038) were associated with IS risk at age ≤ 61 years. Haplotype analysis showed that CYP3A4 GT haplotype (rs4646440 and rs35564277) increased the susceptibility to IS (OR = 1.29, p = 0.033). CYP11A1 rs28681535 TT genotype was higher high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level than the GT and GG genotype (p = 0.027).

Conclusions

Our findings indicated that rs3735451, rs4646440, rs4646437 in CYP3A4 and rs28681535 in CYP11A1 might be protective factors for IS, while CYP11A1 rs12912592 polymorphism be a risk factor for IS in Chinese Han population.

Background

Stroke, a common multifactor neurological disease, is a common cause of death and severe disability in adults worldwide. The incidence of stroke is estimated to be more than 2 million people and more than one million people die from stroke-related causes every year in the Chinese population[1]. There
are huge economic and social burdens because of stroke in China, which remains particularly high in the northern and central regions[2]. Ischemic stroke (IS) is the most common type of stroke accounting for 80%-85% of all stroke cases[3]. According to epidemiologic studies, the incidence of IS in China is significantly higher than in developed countries[4]. The pathophysiological causes of IS are unclear, but the widely accepted concept is that IS is caused by the interaction between genetic and environmental factors[5]. To date, many studies have identified that gene polymorphisms modulate the pathophysiological processes of IS and confer a small to moderate risk [6-8].

Cytochrome P450s (CYPs) is a group of complexes and structurally related enzymes with diverse metabolic and biosynthetic activities. CYP epoxygenases is metabolizing arachidonic acid (AA) to biologically active epoxideicosatrienoidic acids (EETs), which exert vascular relaxation effects and have diverse protective roles in the cardiovascular system[9]. Previous studies have shown that plasma CYP metabolite levels, including EETs are associated with IS[10, 11]. CYP3A4 gene, located on chromosome 7q21.1, is a member of the CYP3A gene family, which participates in metabolizing arachidonic acid (AA) into epoxideicosatrienoic acids (EETs)[12]. CYP11A1 gene is located on chromosome 15q23-q24, and is involved in the metabolism of cholesterol and vitamin D, which associated with cardiovascular diseases[13, 14]. Consequently, studies concerning the possible association of CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 gene with IS may be particularly interesting for their potential biological significance.

However, few reports concerning the role of CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 polymorphisms on IS risk have been published yet. Therefore, we carried out a case-control study to explore whether polymorphisms in CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 contribute to the risk of IS in a Chinese Han population.

Methods

Study participants

A cohort of 477 IS patients and 495 control subjects were enrolled from Haikou People’s Hospital and the Affiliated Hospital of Yanan University in this study. All recruited subjects were unrelated ethnic Han Chinese. All the patients were identified as having newly diagnosed IS by at least two independent neurologists, according to the clinical signs and symptoms. All patients underwent brain
computed tomography (CT) scans and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as well as standardized clinical hematology, biochemistry and immunology examinations. Patients with a history of hematologic, coronary artery diseases, autoimmune diseases, systemic inflammatory diseases, blood diseases, or malignant tumors were excluded. The healthy individuals without the history of stroke, normal neurological examination results, and free from cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, and immunological diseases, who received a physical examination in the same hospital, were recruited as controls. Demographic characteristics, clinical information and medications were collected with standardized questionnaires. The following clinical data were collected: age, gender, total protein, serum uric acid, blood glucose, total bilirubin, total cholesterol, triglyceride, low-density lipoprotein and high-density lipoprotein. This study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Haikou People’s Hospital and was conducted according to the guidelines on the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Sample collection and SNP genotyping

Blood samples were obtained from the peripheral veins and were stored in EDTA-coated tubes at -80°C until further analysis. Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood samples using the GoldMag DNA Purification Kit (GoldMag Co. Ltd, Xi’an City, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The DNA concentration and purity was determined using NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Four CYP3A4 SNPs (rs3735451, rs4646440, rs35564277 and rs4646437) and three CYP11A1 SNPs (rs1484215, rs12912592 and rs28681535) were selected based on the NCBI SNP database and minor allele frequencies (MAFs) > 5% in the 1000 Genomes Project data (http://www.internationalgenome.org/). In order to uncover the functional effects of CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 polymorphisms, online software for HaploReg v4.1 (https://pubs.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php) was used. SNPs genotyping were performed using Agena MassARRAY system (Agena, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.) as previously described, and conducted by laboratory technicians blinded to the case-control status. The primers for PCR amplification and single base extension were designed based on the GenBank database using the
Assay Design 3.0 software (Supplementary Table 1). The genotyping results were calculated by Agena MassARRAY Typer 4.0 software. Approximately 5% of samples were randomly selected to repeat genotyping for quality control, and a 100% concordant was achieved.

Data analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and PLINK software. Demographic data of patients and controls were compared using student's t-test and chi-square test. Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was examined via a goodness-of-fit $\chi^2$ test to compare the observed genotype frequencies and the expected frequencies among the control subjects. The genotype and allele frequencies of the controls and IS patients were compared using the $\chi^2$ test or Fisher’s exact test. The correlation between CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 polymorphisms and IS susceptibility was estimated by odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using logistic regression analysis with adjustment for age and sex. Multiple inheritance models (genotype, dominant, recessive and log-additive) were estimated by PLINK software. Further, we calculated stratification factors using age (≤ 61 and > 61 years) and gender (male and female) to adjust for possible cofounders. Pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) between the selected SNPs was measured by Haploview software (version 4.2), and haplotype analyses were performed using the PLINK software. Finally, the association between the genotypes of CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 polymorphisms and clinical parameters was tested by covariance analysis (ANCOVA). A two-tailed $p$-value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

In total, 477 IS patients (316 males and 161 females) and 493 control subjects (325 males and 168 females) were recruited. There were no significant differences between patients and controls in terms of gender ($p = 0.898$). The mean age was 64.13 ± 10.82 years for the patients with IS and 60.05 ± 6.56 years for the control subjects. Significant differences were also found in age distribution ($p < 0.001$), suggesting that age may have an effect on the etiology of IS. The total protein, serum uric acid, blood glucose, bilirubin, triglyceride, hemoglobin, cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein levels
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in the IS patients were significantly different from those noted in the healthy control subjects. The clinical characteristics of the patients were described in Table 1.

Seven SNPs in \textit{CYP3A4} and \textit{CYP11A1} were successfully genotyped, and the average variant call rate was 99.6%. Detailed information and potential function of candidate SNPs were listed in Table 2. These intronic SNPs were associated with the regulation of promoter and/or enhancer histones, changed motifs, and selected eQTL hits, suggesting they might exert biology functions \textit{in silico}. MAF of all SNPs was higher than 5% of the study population. All SNPs were in HWE among the controls ($p > 0.05$).

The allele and genotype frequency distributions of the SNPs and their association with IS susceptibility were shown in Table 3 and Supplementary Table 2. \textit{CYP3A4} SNPs rs3735451 and rs4646440 were associated with reduced susceptibility of IS (Table 3). We found that individuals carrying rs3735451-C allele had a decreased risk of IS in allele model (OR = 0.81, 95% CI: 0.66-0.98, $p = 0.039$), genotype model (OR = 0.74, 95% CI: 0.57-0.97, $p = 0.029$), dominant model (OR = 0.73, 95% CI: 0.56-0.95, $p = 0.018$) and additive model (rs3735451 OR = 0.78, 95% CI: 0.63-0.96, $p = 0.019$), respectively. With rs4646440 GG genotype as reference, the presence of the GA genotype was associated with a significantly decreased risk of IS after adjustment for age and gender (GA vs. GG, OR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.55-0.95, $p = 0.021$; GA-AA vs. GG, OR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.55-0.94, $p = 0.017$, Table 3). Furthermore, rs4646440 polymorphism also might reduce the susceptibility to IS under additive model (OR = 0.77, 95% CI: 0.61-0.97, $p = 0.024$). Nevertheless, other polymorphisms in \textit{CYP3A4} and \textit{CYP11A1} did not relate to IS susceptibility (Supplementary Table 2).

We further analyzed whether the genotypic effects on IS risk were dependent on gender (Table 4). We found that \textit{CYP3A4} rs4646440 was associated with a decreased risk under the additive model (OR = 0.74, 95% CI: 0.56-0.98, $p = 0.038$), and showed a marginal $p$ value in allele model (OR = 0.76, 95% CI: 0.58-1.00, $p = 0.050$) among males, which indicated insufficient evidence for claiming an association. \textit{CYP11A1} rs12912592 polymorphism also showed significant risk-increasing effects in the
heterozygote model (OR = 1.58, 95% CI: 1.04-2.42, \( p = 0.034 \)), and dominant model (OR = 1.56, 95% CI: 1.02-2.37, \( p = 0.039 \)).

In the stratification of age, CYP3A4 SNPs rs3735451 and rs4646440 were associated with the susceptibility to IS at age 61 years (Table 5). For rs3735451, the C allele carriers had a decreased risk of IS (OR = 0.63, 95% CI: 0.41-0.96, \( p = 0.031 \) for CT vs. TT genotypes; OR = 0.65, 95% CI: 0.43-0.97, \( p = 0.036 \) for CT-CC vs. TT genotypes) after adjusting for age and gender. For rs4646440, we found that the A allele was significantly associated with a reduced risk of IS (GA vs. GG, OR = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.37-0.88, \( p = 0.012 \); and GA-AA vs. GG OR = 0.60, 95% CI: 0.40-0.91, \( p = 0.017 \)). Among the population under the age of 61, we found that CYP3A4 rs4646437, CYP11A1 rs12912592 and rs28681535 were associated with IS risk. CYP3A4 rs4646437 and CYP11A1 rs28681535 polymorphisms were significantly associated with decreased risk for IS (rs4646437, OR = 0.59, 95% CI: 0.37-0.95, \( p = 0.029 \); and rs28681535, OR = 0.66, 95% CI: 0.45-0.98, \( p = 0.038 \)). Additionally, the carriers of the T allele at CYP11A1 rs12912592 appeared to have a higher risk of IS (T vs G, OR = 1.64, 95% CI: 1.04-2.61, \( p = 0.043 \); GT vs GG, OR = 1.84, 95% CI: 1.11-3.05, \( p = 0.017 \) and GT-TT vs GG, OR = 1.89, 95% CI: 1.15-3.12, \( p = 0.013 \)).

We next performed haplotype analyses, and the results showed that CYP3A4 rs4646440 was in strong linkage disequilibrium (LD) with rs35564277. Additionally, three CYP11A1 SNPs (rs1484215, rs12912592, and rs28681535) were in strong LD, as shown in Figure 1. We found that CYP3A4 GT haplotype conferred an increased risk of IS after adjusted by age and gender (OR = 1.29, 95% CI: 1.02-1.62, \( p = 0.033 \), Table 6).

Furthermore, we also assessed the association of the selected SNPs and clinical variables in patients (Table 7). Significant association was observed between the genotypes of the CYP3A4 SNPs rs3735451 and rs4646440 and the levels of total protein (\( p = 0.021 \) and \( p = 0.043 \), respectively). A significant association of CYP11A1 rs12912592 polymorphism with total bilirubin was identified (\( p = 0.025 \)). Besides, the TT genotype of CYP11A1 rs28681535 was higher high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
level than GT genotype and GG genotype (p = 0.027). However, there was no difference in the remaining lipid parameters among the genotypes of the selected SNPs (p > 0.05 for all).

Discussion
The aim of this investigation was to discover whether there was an association between the CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 polymorphisms and IS risk in Chinese population. In this study, we found that C allele and CT genotype of rs3735451 and GA genotype of rs4646440 in CYP3A4 were significantly associated with a reduced risk of IS in the overall. We further demonstrated that CYP3A4 rs4646440 was associated with a decreased risk of IS, whereas CYP11A1 rs12912592 was associated with a higher risk of IS in males. In addition, our study found that CYP3A4 rs3735451 and rs4646440 possibly contributed to the susceptibility to IS at age > 61 years, and rs4646437 in CYP3A4 and rs12912592 and rs28681535 in CYP11A1 were associated with the risk of IS at age 61 years. Interestingly, we found that CYP3A4 GT haplotype in the block (rs4646440 and rs35564277) was associated with an increased risk of IS after the adjustment for age and gender. Besides, the TT genotype of CYP11A1 rs28681535 was higher high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level than GT genotype and GG genotype (p = 0.027). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate the association of these polymorphisms in CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 with IS risk in Chinese population.

CYP genes encode monooxygenases responsible for arachidonic acid metabolism, which is involved in cardiovascular diseases and stroke[15]. Numerous studies have suggested an association between genetic variants of CYP pathway genes and the risk of IS[16]. CYP3A4 gene encodes an enzyme, which involved in drug metabolism and synthesis of cholesterol, steroids and other lipids, and mediated the production of arachidonic acid metabolites [17, 18]. CYP11A gene, a member of CYP genes, encodes a cholesterol side chain cleavage enzyme (cytochrome P450 cholesterol side-chain cleavage, P450scc) that plays a major role in the control of steroidogenesis, by mediating the conversion of cholesterol to pregnenolone[19]. Dyslipidemia such as low concentration of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), high levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), total cholesterol (TC) was one of the most important risk factors of IS[20]. These lines of evidence have led
us to formulate the hypothesis that CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 could be of pathogenic importance in IS. Variations in the CYP3A4 or CYP11A1 genes may influence the gene expression, which might associate with the occurrence and progression of disease. In this study, we found that CYP3A4 (rs3735451, rs4646440 and rs4646437) and CYP11A1 (rs12912592 and rs28681535) polymorphisms were significantly associated with the risk of IS. These polymorphisms are located in the intron region, which might be associated with the regulation of promoter/enhancer histone, DNAse, proteins binding and changed motifs and/or selected eQTL hits. Several studies provided increasing evidence to support that intronic SNPs confer susceptibilities by affecting gene expression [21-23]. Therefore, we hypothesized that CYP3A4 or CYP11A1 polymorphisms may affect the expression of their genes to contribute to the risk of IS. However, further study is necessary to confirm this hypothesis.

Stroke is a sex-specific disease and the prevalence of stroke in women is lower than that in men [24, 25]. Stratified by gender, we noticed that CYP3A4 rs4646440 and CYP11A1 rs12912592 polymorphism affected IS risk in males but not in females, which indicate that this risk association presented sex difference and emphasize the importance of considering heterogeneity in genetic and stroke association studies. In addition, stroke is a late-onset disease and the rate is higher in older people [26, 27]. Our study found that CYP3A4 rs3735451 and rs4646440 possibly contributed to the susceptibility to IS at age > 61 years, and CYP3A4 rs4646437 and CYP11A1 rs12912592 and rs28681535 were associated with the risk of IS at age 61 years. These suggested the interactions between CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 polymorphisms and some environmental exposures (such as males, elder) contributed to the risk of IS.

Inevitably, our current study has some limitations to be considered. First, the inherent selecting bias and information bias could not be completely excluded for the group of patients with IS. Second, data deficiencies of some exposure factors such as obesity, smoking, and alcohol limited our ability to evaluate gene-environment interaction. Finally, explicit mechanisms of CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 polymorphism on development of IS are still bewildered and further research is needed. Despite the limitations mentioned above, the results of our present study provided scientific evidence of CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 gene with IS for the future studies.
Conclusions
To sum up, our study provided evidence that variants of CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 gene had a significant effect on the risk of IS in the Chinese Han population, which has not previously been reported. Our study may provide clues for the evaluation of individual susceptibility to IS and increase the understanding of the possible effect of CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 gene on the development of IS. However, the replication of this research in different populations and additional functional analysis is required to completely elucidate the roles by which CYP3A4 and CYP11A1 polymorphisms predispose for IS.
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Tables
Table 1. Characteristics of patients with Ischemic Stroke and controls
| Characteristics                              | Cases (n = 477) | Controls (n = 493) | p    |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------|
| Age, year (mean ± SD)                       | 64.13 ± 10.82   | 60.05 ± 6.56       | <0.001|
| Gender (M/F)                                | 316/161         | 325/168            | 0.898|
| TP (g/L, mean ± SD)                         | 65.57 ± 5.80    | 70.88 ± 5.61       | <0.001|
| Serum uric acid (mol/L, mean ± SD)          | 284.53 ± 94.37  | 330 ± 80.27        | <0.001|
| Blood glucose (mmol/L, mean ± SD)           | 6.33 ± 2.24     | 5.83 ± 1.44        | 0.001|
| TB (mol/L, mean ± SD)                       | 13.63 ± 6.51    | 17.00 ± 5.94       | <0.001|
| TG (mmol/L, mean ± SD)                      | 1.59 ± 1.05     | 4.50 ± 0.92        | <0.001|
| Hemoglobin (g/L, mean ± SD)                 | 136.87 ± 22.77  | 147.76 ± 14.31     | <0.001|
| TC (mmol/L, mean ± SD)                      | 3.89 ± 1.03     | 1.79 ± 1.16        | <0.001|
| HDL-C (mmol/L, mean ± SD)                   | 1.09 ± 0.26     | 1.09 ± 0.23        | 0.871|
| LDL-C (mmol/L, mean ± SD)                   | 1.81 ± 0.58     | 2.56 ± 0.71        | <0.001|

SD, standard deviation; TP, total protein; TB, total bilirubin; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Table 2. The information about the candidate SNPs in CYP3A4 and CYP11A1
| Gene   | SNP ID  | Chr: Position | Alleles (minor/major) | Frequency (MAF) | HaploReg                                |
|--------|---------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------|
|        |         |               |                       |                | Case | Control                              |                                          |
| CYP3A4 | rs3735451 | 7:99758352    | C/T                   | 0.26           | 0.30 | Motifs Changed, Selected eQTL hits  |
| CYP3A4 | rs4646440 | 7:99763247    | A/G                   | 0.19           | 0.23 | Promoter histone marks, Enhancer histone marks, DNase, Proteins bound, Motifs changed, Selected eQTL hits |
|        | rs35564277 | 7:99764813    | C/T                   | 0.06           | 0.07 | Motifs Changed                       |
| CYP3A4 | rs4646437 | 7:99767460    | A/G                   | 0.11           | 0.13 | Promoter histone marks, Enhancer histone marks, Motifs changed, Selected eQTL hits |
| CYP11A1| rs1484215 | 15:74347768   | T/C                   | 0.18           | 0.18 | Enhancer histone marks, Motifs changed, Selected eQTL hits |
| CYP11A1| rs12912592| 15:74363369   | T/G                   | 0.10           | 0.08 | Enhancer histone marks, Motifs changed, Selected eQTL hits |
| CYP11A1| rs28681535| 15:74367268   | T/G                   | 0.43           | 0.45 | Promoter histone marks, Enhancer histone marks, DNase, Motifs changed |

MAF: minor allele frequency; eQTL, expression quantitative trait loci.
Table 3. Relationships between *CYP3A4* and *CYP11A1* polymorphism and stroke risk

| Gene SNP ID | Model  | Genotype | Case | Control | Adjusted by age and gender | OR (95%CI)  | p     |
|-------------|--------|----------|------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|
| CYP3A4      | Allele | T        | 705  | 686     | 1.00                        | 0.81 (0.66-0.98) | 0.039 |
| rs3735451   | C      | 249      | 300  |         |                             |             |       |
|             | Genotype | TT      | 256  | 228     | 1.00                        |             |       |
|             | CT      | 193      | 230  |         | 0.74 (0.57-0.97)            | 0.029       |       |
|             | CC      | 28       | 35   |         | 0.66 (0.38-1.14)            | 0.135       |       |
|             | Dominant | TT      | 256  | 228     | 1.00                        |             |       |
|             | CT-CC   | 221      | 265  |         | 0.73 (0.56-0.95)            |             |       |
|             | Recessive | TT-CT  | 449  | 458     | 1.00                        |             |       |
|             | CC      | 28       | 35   |         | 0.76 (0.45-1.29)            |             |       |
|             | Log-additive | --- | ---  | ---    | 0.78 (0.63-0.96)            | 0.019       |       |
| CYP3A4      | Allele | G        | 768  | 754     | 1.00                        | 0.80 (0.64-1.00) | 0.046 |
| rs4646440   | A      | 186      | 228  |         |                             |             |       |
|             | Genotype | GG      | 307  | 282     | 1.00                        |             |       |
|             | GA      | 154      | 190  |         | 0.72 (0.55-0.95)            | 0.021       |       |
|             | AA      | 16       | 19   |         | 0.72 (0.36-1.45)            | 0.362       |       |
|             | Dominant | GG      | 307  | 282     | 1.00                        |             |       |
|             | GA-AA   | 170      | 209  |         | 0.72 (0.55-0.94)            |             |       |
Table 4. Relationships between *CYP3A54* and *CYP11A1* polymorphism and stroke risk according to the stratification by gender

| SNP ID   | Model       | Genotype | Male | Female | Male | Female |
|----------|-------------|----------|------|--------|------|--------|
|          |             |          | OR   |       | OR   |       |
|          |             |          | (95% CI) | p     | (95% CI) | p     |
|          |             |          |       |       |       |       |
|          |             | Allele   | G    | 509    | 492  | 1.00  | 0.050 | 1.00  | 0.563 |
|          |             |          | A    | 123    | 156  | 0.76  | (0.58-1.00) | 0.089 | 63 | 72 | 0.89 | (0.61-1.29) | 0.123 |
|          |             | Genotype | GG   | 202    | 183  | 1.00  | 0.117 | 105 | 99 | 1.00  | 0.226 |
|          |             |          | GA   | 105    | 126  | 0.74  | (0.53-1.05) | 0.089 | 49 | 64 | 0.69 | (0.43-1.11) | 0.123 |
|          |             |          | AA   | 9      | 15   | 0.53  | (0.22-1.27) | 0.156 | 7 | 4 | 1.44 | (0.40-5.15) | 0.576 |
|          | Dominant    | GG       | 202  | 183    | 1.00  | 0.052 | 105 | 99 | 1.00  | 0.188 |
|          |             | GA-AA    | 114  | 141    | 0.72  | (0.52-1.00) | 56 | 68 | 0.74 | (0.47-1.16) | 0.024 |

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

*p* values were calculated by logistic regression analysis with adjustments for age and gender.

*p* 0.05 means the data is statistically significant.
| Genotype | Allele | CYP11A1 rs12912592 |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recessive | GG-GA  | 307 | 309 | 1.00 | 0.237 | 154 | 163 | 1.00 | 0.438 |
| AA | 9 | 15 | 0.60 | (0.25-1.41) | 7 | 4 | 1.65 | (0.47-5.81) |
| Log-additive | --- | --- | --- | 0.74 | (0.56-0.98) | 0.038 | --- | --- | 0.83 | (0.56-1.24) | 0.371 |
| CYP11A1 Allele | G | 565 | 602 | 1.00 | 0.081 | 297 | 301 | 1.00 | 0.672 |
| T | 67 | 50 | 1.43 | (0.97-2.10) | 25 | 29 | 0.87 | (0.50-1.53) |
| Genotype | GG | 250 | 277 | 1.00 | 138 | 136 | 1.00 |
| GT | 65 | 48 | 1.58 | (1.04-2.42) | 0.034 | 21 | 29 | 0.64 | (0.34-1.19) | 0.161 |
| TT | 1 | 1 | 0.63 | (0.04-10.19) | 0.743 | 2 | 0 | / | / |
| Dominant | GG | 250 | 277 | 1.00 | 0.039 | 138 | 136 | 1.00 | 0.224 |
| GT-TT | 66 | 49 | 1.56 | (1.02-2.37) | 23 | 29 | 0.70 | (0.38-1.28) |
| Recessive | GG-GT | 315 | 325 | 1.00 | 0.704 | 159 | 165 | 1.00 | / |
| TT | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | (0.04-9.45) | 2 | 0 | / |
| Log-additive | --- | --- | --- | 1.51 | (1.00-2.28) | 0.051 | --- | --- | 0.78 | (0.44-1.38) | 0.393 |

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

p values were calculated by logistic regression analysis with adjustments for age and gender.
\( p \leq 0.05 \) indicates statistical significance.

Table 5. Relationships between \textit{CYP3A4} and \textit{CYP11A1} polymorphism and stroke risk according to the stratification by age

| SNP ID       | Allele/G genotype |  >61 |          |          |  \leq61 |          |          |
|--------------|-------------------|------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
|              |                   |      | OR (95% CI) | \( p \) |      | OR (95% CI) | \( p \) |
| CYP3A4 rs3735451 T | 403 287          | 1.00 | 0.063    | 302 399  | 1.00   | 0.217    |
| C            | 145 135          | 0.76 | (0.58-1.01) | 0.051    | 104 165 | 0.83     | (0.62-1.11) |
| TT           | 148 92           | 1.00 |          | 1.00 | 108 136 | 1.00 |
| CT           | 107 103          | 0.63 | (0.41-0.96) | 0.031    | 86 127  | 0.85     | (0.58-1.24) |
| CC           | 19 16            | 0.77 | (0.35-1.70) | 0.514    | 9 19    | 0.63     | (0.27-1.47) |
| CT-CC        | 126 119          | 0.65 | (0.43-0.97) | 0.036    | 95 146  | 0.82     | (0.57-1.18) |
| CYP3A4 rs4646440 G | 439 314          | 1.00 | 0.051    | 329 440  | 1.00   | 0.334    |
| A            | 109 106          | 0.74 | (0.54-1.00) | 0.84     | 77 122  | 0.61     | (0.61-1.16) |
| GG           | 176 114          | 1.00 |          | 1.00 | 131 168 | 1.00 |
| GA           | 87 86            | 0.57 | (0.37-0.88) | 0.012    | 67 104  | 0.82     | (0.56-1.21) |
| AA           | 11 10            | 0.86 | (0.33-2.25) | 0.764    | 5 9     | 0.79     | (0.26-2.44) |
| GA-AA        | 98 96            | 0.60 | (0.40-0.91) | 0.017    | 72 113  | 0.82     | (0.56-1.20) |
|                  |   |     |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|                  | G | 486 | 368 | 1.00 | 0.487 | 360 | 485 | 1.00 | 0.284 |
| rs46464         |   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 37               |   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| A                | 62 | 54  | 0.87 | (0.59-1.28) | 46 | 77 | 0.80 | (0.55-1.19) | 0.029 |
| GG               | 214 | 160 | 1.00 |     | 163 | 207 | 1.00 |     |     |
| GA               | 58 | 48  | 0.81 | (0.49-1.32) | 0.396 | 34 | 71 | 0.59 | (0.37-0.95) | 0.029 |
| AA               | 2  | 3   | 0.48 | (0.06-3.65) | 0.478 | 6 | 3 | 2.41 | (0.57-10.25) | 0.233 |
| GA-AA            | 60 | 51  | 0.79 | (0.49-1.28) | 0.335 | 40 | 74 | 0.67 | (0.43-1.04) | 0.073 |
| CYP11A1         | G | 498 | 376 | 1.00 | 0.659 | 364 | 527 | 1.00 | 0.043 |
| rs12912         |   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 592             |   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| T                | 50 | 42  | 0.90 | (0.58-1.38) | 42 | 37 | 1.64 | (1.04-2.61) |     |
| GG               | 226 | 168 | 1.00 |     | 162 | 245 | 1.00 |     |     |
| GT               | 46 | 40  | 0.93 | (0.55-1.58) | 0.798 | 40 | 37 | 1.84 | (1.11-3.05) | 0.017 |
| TT               | 2  | 1   | 0.53 | (0.04-0.01) | 0.629 | 1 | 0 |     |     |     |
| GT-TT            | 48 | 41  | 0.92 | (0.55-1.54) | 0.744 | 41 | 37 | 1.89 | (1.15-3.12) | 0.013 |
| CYP11A1         | G | 298 | 237 | 1.00 | 0.603 | 246 | 309 | 1.00 | 0.076 |
| rs28681         |   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 535             |   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| T                | 250 | 185 | 1.08 | (0.83-1.39) | 160 | 255 | 0.79 | (0.61-1.02) |     |
| GG               | 75  | 67  | 1.00 |     | 80  | 87  | 1.00 |     |     |
| GT               | 148 | 103 | 1.31 |     | 0.251 | 86  | 135 | 0.69 | 0.081 |     |     |     |     |     |
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SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

*p* values were calculated by logistic regression analysis with adjustments for age and gender.

*p* 0.05 indicates statistical significance.

Table 6. Haplotype frequencies and their associations with stroke risk

|     | Frequency | OR (95% CI) | p value | Frequency | OR (95% CI) | p value |
|-----|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| TT  | 51        | 1.10 (0.61-1.99) | 0.743   | 37        | 0.60 (0.36-1.02) | 0.061   |
| GT-TT | 199   | 1.25 (0.81-1.95) | 0.318   | 123       | 0.66 (0.45-0.98) | 0.038   |
| Gene | SNP | Haplotype | Frequency | Crude analysis | Adjusted by age and gender |
|------|-----|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------|
|      |     |           | Case      | Control | OR (95% CI) | p  | OR (95% CI) | p  |
| CYP3A4 | rs4646440| rs35564277 | AC | 0.94 | 0.93 | 1.26 (0.88-1.78) | 0.203 | 1.28 (0.89-1.83) | 0.185 |
|       | rs4646440| rs35564277 | AT | 0.86 | 0.84 | 1.20 (0.92-1.55) | 0.177 | 1.23 (0.94-1.61) | 0.123 |
|       | rs4646440| rs35564277 | GT | 0.80 | 0.77 | 1.25 (1.00-1.56) | 0.053 | 1.29 (1.02-1.62) | 0.033 |
| CYP11A1 | rs1484215| rs12912592, rs28681535 | CGT | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.93 (0.78-1.28) | 0.449 | 0.92 (0.77-1.11) | 0.397 |
|       | rs1484215| rs12912592, rs28681535 | CTG | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.80 (0.58-1.11) | 0.182 | 0.83 (0.59-1.15) | 0.265 |
|       | rs1484215| rs12912592, rs28681535 | TGG | 0.82 | 0.81 | 1.04 (0.82-1.31) | 0.756 | 1.00 (0.79-1.27) | 0.982 |
|       | rs1484215| rs12912592, rs28681535 | CGG | 0.29 | 0.29 | 1.03 (0.85-1.25) | 0.748 | 1.03 (0.84-1.25) | 0.780 |

*CYP3A4* block comprises the two closely linked SNPs rs4646440 and rs35564277. *CYP11A14* block comprises the three closely linked SNPs rs1484215, rs12912592, and rs28681535. OR: odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval. *p* values were calculated using logistic regression analysis with and without adjustment by gender and age; *p* < 0.05 indicates statistical significance.

Table 7: Comparisons of clinical characteristics among patients with different genotypes of selected SNPs
|                | TT   | TC    | CC    | p     | AA   | AG   | GG    | p     |
|----------------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|
| TP (g/L)       | 66.16| 64.65 | 66.79 | 6.09  | 66.98| 64.60| 66.02 | 5.92  | 0.043 |
| Serum uric acid (mol/L) | 290.64| 279.93| 260.83| 72.42 | 0.247| 1.03 | 0.021 | 0.583 |
| Blood glucose (mmol/L) | 6.36 | 6.22 | 6.77 | 3.19 | 0.484| 6.75 | 6.35 | 6.29 | 7.34 |
| TB (mol/L)     | 13.78| 14.95 | 13.36 | 5.23  | 13.62| 6.75  | 0.237 |
| TG (mmol/L)    | 1.55 | 1.65 | 1.53 | 1.22 | 0.596| 1.79 | 1.60 | 1.57 | 0.771 |
| Hemoglobin (g/L) | 137.07| 137.51| 130.46| 31.22 |0.395| 133.57 | 136.82| 21.38 | 0.842 |
| TC (mmol/L)    | 3.93 | 3.89 | 3.65 | 1.19 | 0.464| 3.92 | 3.76 | 3.96 | 0.98 |
| HDL-C (mmol/L) | 1.10 | 1.09 | 1.03 | 0.37 | 0.494| 1.01 | 1.07 | 1.11 | 0.203 |
| LDL-C (mmol/L) | 1.82 | 1.82 | 1.66 | 0.56 | 0.446| 1.80 | 1.76 | 1.84 | 0.375 |
| Characteristics | CYP11A1 rs129125 | 92 | CYP11A1 rs286815 | 35 |
|                | TT   | GT    | GG    | p     | TT   | GT    | GG    | p     |
| TP (g/L)       | 65.50| 65.32 | 65.64 | 6.78  | 6.72 | 6.62 | 6.46  | 5.83  | 0.946 |
| Serum uric acid (mol/L) | 245.50| 290.63| 284.76| 92.30 |0.744| 2810 | 284.04| 96.95 | 0.749 |
| Blood glucose (mmol/L) | 4.93 | 6.57 | 6.27 | 2.23 | 0.397| 6.85 | 6.26 | 6.22 | 0.644 |
| TB (mol/L)     | 9.33 | 12.02 | 14.05 | 6.84  | 13.71| 14.23 | 12.75 | 4.68  | 0.117 |
| TG (mmol/L)    | 0.76 | 1.65 | 1.57 | 0.99 | 0.342| 1.33 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 0.293 |
| Hemoglobin (g/L) | 130.67| 136.46| 136.94| 21.84 |0.885| 137.54 | 136.85| 22.92 | 0.932 |
| TC (mmol/L)    | 3.64 | 3.97 | 3.89 | 1.02 | 0.774| 3.96 | 3.95 | 3.81 | 0.97 |

24
| SNP          | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| HDL-C (mmol/L) | 1.21 | 1.07 | 1.09 | 0.57 | 1.16 | 1.08 | 1.06 | 0.02 |
| LDL-C (mmol/L) | 1.86 | 1.84 | 1.81 | 0.87 | 1.88 | 1.83 | 1.75 | 0.31 |

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; TP, total protein; TB, total bilirubin; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. *p* < 0.05 indicates statistical significance.
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Figure 1

Haplotype block map for SNPs in CYP3A4 (A) and CYP11A1 (B) gene.
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