Cleft and Galois extensions associated to a weak Hopf quasigroup
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Abstract In this paper we introduce the notions of cleft and Galois (with normal basis) extension associated to a weak Hopf quasigroup. We show that, under suitable conditions, both notions are equivalent. As a particular instance we recover the classical results for (weak) Hopf algebras. Moreover, taking into account that weak Hopf quasigroups generalize the notion of Hopf quasigroup, we obtain the definitions of cleft and Galois (with normal basis) extension associated to a Hopf quasigroup and we get the equivalence between these extensions in this setting.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of Galois extension associated to a Hopf algebra $H$ was introduced in 1981 by Kreimer and Takeuchi in the following way: let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra with coaction $\rho_A(a) = a^{(0)} \otimes a^{(1)}$, then the extension $A^{coH} \hookrightarrow A$, where $A^{coH} = \{a \in A : \rho_A(a) = a \otimes 1_H\}$ is the subalgebra of coinvariant elements, is $H$-Galois if the canonical morphism $\gamma_A : A \otimes A^{coH} \to A \otimes H$, defined by $\gamma_A(a \otimes b) = ab^{(0)} \otimes b^{(1)}$, is an isomorphism. This definition has its origin in the approach to Galois theory of groups acting on commutative rings developed by Chase, Harrison and Rosenberg and in the extension of this theory to coactions of a Hopf algebra $H$ acting on a commutative $k$-algebra $A$ over a commutative ring $k$, developed in 1969 by Chase and Sweedler [13]. An interesting class of $H$-Galois extensions has been provided by those for which there exists a convolution invertible right $H$-comodule morphism $h : H \to A$ called the cleaving morphism. These extensions were called cleft and it is well known that, using the notion of normal basis introduced by Kreimer and Takeuchi in [18], Doi and Takeuchi proved in [14] that $A^{coH} \hookrightarrow A$ is a cleft extension if and only if it is $H$-Galois with normal basis, i.e., the extension $A^{coH} \hookrightarrow A$ is $H$-Galois and $A$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of $A^{coH}$ with $H$ as left $A^{coH}$-modules and right $H$-comodules.

The result obtained by Doi and Takeuchi was generalized in [15] to $H$-Galois extensions for Hopf algebras living in a symmetric monoidal closed category $C$ and in [11] Brzeziński proved that if $A$ is an algebra, $C$ is a coalgebra and $(A, C, \psi)$ is an entwining structure such that $A$ is an entwined module, the existence of a convolution invertible $C$-comodule morphism $h : C \to A$ is equivalent to that $A$ is a Galois extension by the coalgebra $C$ (see [10] for the definition) and $A$ is isomorphic, as left $A^{coH}$-modules and right $C$-comodules, to the tensor product of the coinvariant subalgebra $A^{coC}$ with $C$.

A more general result was proved in [2], in a monoidal setting, for weak Galois extensions associated to the weak entwining structures introduced by Caenepeel and De Groot in [12]. In [2] the notion of weak cleft extension was defined, and Theorem 2.11 of [2] stated that for a weak entwining structure $(A, C, \psi)$ such that $A$ is an entwined module, if the functor $A \otimes -$ preserves coequalizers, $A$ is a weak...
$C$-cleft extension of the coinvariants subalgebra if and only if it is a weak $C$-Galois extension and the normal basis property, defined in [2], holds. Since Galois extensions associated to weak Hopf algebras (see [9]) are examples of weak Galois extensions, the characterization of weak cleft extensions in terms of weak Galois extensions satisfying the normal basis condition can be applied to them. Moreover, this kind of result can be obtained for cleft extensions associated to lax entwining structures [3], and for cleft extensions associated to co-extended weak entwining structures [4].

The results cited in the previous paragraphs were proved in an associative setting because all the extensions are linked to Hopf algebras, to weak Hopf algebras, or to algebraic structures related with them, i.e. entwining structures and weak entwining structures. The main motivation of this paper is to show that it is possible to obtain similar results working in a non-associative context, that is, when we study extensions related with non-associative algebra structures like Hopf quasigroups or, more generally, like weak Hopf quasigroups. Hopf quasigroups are a generalization of Hopf algebras in the context of non-associative algebra, where the lack of the associativity is compensated by some axioms involving the antipode. The notion of Hopf quasigroup was introduced by Klim and Majid in [17], in order to understand the structure and relevant properties of the algebraic 7-sphere, and is a particular instance of unital coassociative $H$-bialgebra in the sense of Pérez Izquierdo [20]. It includes as example the enveloping algebra of a Malcev algebra (see [17] and [19]) when the base ring has characteristic not equal to 2 or 3. In this sense Hopf quasigroups extend the notion of Hopf algebra in a parallel way that Malcev algebras extend the one of Lie algebra. On the other hand, it also contains as an example the notion of quasigroup algebra of an I.P. loop. Therefore, Hopf quasigroups unify I.P. loops and Malcev algebras in the same way that Hopf algebras unify groups and Lie algebras. On the other hand, weak Hopf quasigroups are a new Hopf algebra generalization (see [7]) that encompass weak Hopf algebras and Hopf quasigroups. As was proved in [4], the main family of non-trivial examples of these algebraic structures can be obtained working with bigroupoids, i.e., bicategories where every 1-cell is an equivalence and every 2-cell is an isomorphism.

The first result linking Hopf Galois extensions with normal basis and cleft extensions in the Hopf quasigroup setting can be found in [6]. More specifically, in [5] we introduce the notion of cleft extension (cleft right $H$-comodule algebra) for a Hopf quasigroup $H$ in a strict monoidal category $C$ with tensor product $\otimes$ and unit object $K$. The notion of Galois extension with normal basis for $H$ was introduced in [6], and we proved that, when the object of coinvariants is the unit object of the category, cleft extensions and Galois extension with normal basis and with the inverse of the canonical morphism almost lineal, are the same. Therefore, in [6], we extend the result proved by Doi and Takeuchi in [14] to the Hopf quasigroup setting, characterizing Galois extensions with normal basis in terms of cleft extensions when the object of coinvariants is $K$. The aim of this new paper is to show that all these results, that is, the one obtained for Hopf algebras in [14], the one obtained for weak Hopf algebras in [2], and the one proved for Hopf quasigroups in [6], are particular instances of a more general result that we can prove for weak Hopf quasigroups.

An outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 1 we set the general framework and review the basic properties of weak Hopf quasigroups, in a strict symmetric monoidal category with equalizers and coequalizers, focusing in the following fact: if $H$ is a weak Hopf quasigroup and $\Pi_H^L$ is the target morphism (this morphism is defined as in the weak Hopf algebra setting), the image of $\Pi_H^L$, denoted by $H_L$, is a monoid, that is the restriction of the product of $H$ to $H_L$ is associative. In Section 2, we introduce the notions of right $H$-comodule magma, weak $H$-Galois extension, and weak $H$-Galois extension with normal basis, proving some technical results that we need in the following sections. Section 3 is devoted to the study of weak $H$-cleft extensions for weak Hopf quasigroups. In particular we show that these kind of extensions contain as examples the notion of weak $H$-cleft extension associated to a weak Hopf algebra [1], as well as the notion of cleft right $H$-comodule algebra introduced in [5] for Hopf quasigroups. In the last section, we can find the main result of this paper, which assures that for any right $H$-comodule magma $(A, p_A)$ such that $A \otimes -$ preserves coequalizers, under suitable conditions (see Theorem 5.1), the following assertions are equivalent:
• $A^{coH} \to A$ is a weak $H$-Galois extension with normal basis and the morphism $\gamma_A^{-1}$ is almost linear.
• $A^{coH} \to A$ is a weak $H$-cleft extension.

In the associative setting the conditions assumed in Theorem 5.1 hold trivially and then it generalizes the one proved by Doi and Takeuchi for Hopf algebras in [14]. Also, for a weak Hopf algebra $H$, we obtain an equivalence that is a particular instance of the one obtained in [2] for Galois extensions associated to weak entwining structures. Finally, as a corollary of Theorem 5.1 we have a result for Hopf quasigroups, which shows the close connection between the notion of cleft right $H$-comodule algebra and the one of $H$-Galois extension with normal basis introduced in this paper, improving the equivalence obtained in [6] because we remove the condition $A^{coH} = K$.

2. Weak Hopf Quasigroups

Throughout this paper $C$ denotes a strict symmetric monoidal category with tensor product $\otimes$, unit object $K$ and natural isomorphism of symmetry $c$. For each object $M$ in $C$, we denote the identity morphism by $id_M : M \to M$ and, for simplicity of notation, given objects $M$, $N$ and $P$ in $C$ and a morphism $f : M \to N$, we write $P \otimes f$ for $id_P \otimes f$ and $f \otimes P$ for $f \otimes id_P$. We want to point out that there is no loss of generality in assuming that $C$ is strict because by Theorem 3.5 of [16] (which implies the Mac Lane’s coherence theorem) every monoidal category is monoidally equivalent to a strict one. This lets us to treat monoidal categories as if they were strict and, as a consequence, the results proved in this paper hold for every non-strict symmetric monoidal category.

From now on we also assume that $C$ admits equalizers and coequalizers. Then every idempotent morphism splits, i.e., for every morphism $\nabla_Y : Y \to Y$ such that $\nabla_Y = \nabla_Y \circ \nabla_Y$, there exist an object $Z$ and morphisms $i_Y : Z \to Y$ and $p_Y : Y \to Z$ such that $\nabla_Y = i_Y \circ p_Y$ and $p_Y \circ i_Y = id_Y$.

**Definition 2.1.** By a unital magma in $C$ we understand a triple $A = (A, \eta_A, \mu_A)$ where $A$ is an object in $C$ and $\eta_A : K \to A$ (unit), $\mu_A : A \otimes A \to A$ (product) are morphisms in $C$ such that $\mu_A \circ (A \otimes \eta_A) = i_A = \mu_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes A)$. If $\mu_A$ is associative, that is, $\mu_A \circ (A \otimes \mu_A) = \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A)$, the unital magma will be called a monoid in $C$. Given two unital magmas (monoids) $A = (A, \eta_A, \mu_A)$ and $B = (B, \eta_B, \mu_B)$, $f : A \to B$ is a morphism of unital magmas (monoids) if $\mu_B \circ (f \otimes f) = f \circ \mu_A$ and $f \circ \eta_A = \eta_B$.

By duality, a counital comagma in $C$ is a triple $D = (D, \varepsilon_D, \delta_D)$ where $D$ is an object in $C$ and $\varepsilon_D : D \to K$ (counit), $\delta_D : D \to D \otimes D$ (coproduct) are morphisms in $C$ such that $(\varepsilon_D \otimes D) \circ \delta_D = i_D = (D \otimes \varepsilon_D) \circ \delta_D$. If $\delta_D$ is coassociative, that is, $(\delta_D \otimes D) \circ \delta_D = (D \otimes \delta_D) \circ \delta_D$, the counital comagma will be called a comonoid. If $D = (D, \varepsilon_D, \delta_D)$ and $E = (E, \varepsilon_E, \delta_E)$ are counital comagmas (comonoids), $f : D \to E$ is a morphism of counital comagmas (comonoids) if $(f \otimes f) \circ \delta_D = \delta_E \circ f$ and $\varepsilon_E \circ f = \varepsilon_D$.

If $A$, $B$ are unital magmas (monoids) in $C$, the object $A \otimes B$ is a unital magma (monoid) in $C$ where $\eta_{AB} = \eta_A \otimes \eta_B$ and $\mu_{AB} = (\mu_A \otimes \mu_B) \circ (A \otimes c_{B,A} \otimes B)$. In a dual way, if $D$, $E$ are counital comagmas (comonoids) in $C$, $D \otimes E$ is a counital comagma (comonoid) in $C$ where $\varepsilon_{D \otimes E} = \varepsilon_D \otimes \varepsilon_E$ and $\delta_{D \otimes E} = (D \otimes c_{D,E} \otimes E) \circ (\delta_D \otimes \delta_E)$.

Finally, if $D$ is a comagma and $A$ a magma, given two morphisms $f, g : D \to A$ we will denote by $f \ast g$ its convolution product in $C$, that is

$$f \ast g = \mu_A \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \delta_D.$$

The notion of weak Hopf quasigroup in a braided monoidal category was introduced in [7]. Now we recall this definition in our symmetric setting.

**Definition 2.2.** A weak Hopf quasigroup $H$ in $C$ is a unital magma $(H, \eta_H, \mu_H)$ and a comonoid $(H, \varepsilon_H, \delta_H)$ such that the following axioms hold:

(a1) $\delta_H \circ \mu_H = (\mu_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H}$.

(a2) $\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) = \varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H \circ (H \otimes \mu_H) =$

$= (((\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes (\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \otimes H)$

$= ((\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes (\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H} \otimes \delta_H) \otimes H).$
(a3) \((\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H \circ \eta_H = (H \otimes \mu_H \otimes H) \circ ((\delta_H \circ \eta_H) \otimes (\delta_H \circ \eta_H)) = (H \otimes \mu_H \circ \varepsilon_H \otimes H) \circ ((\delta_H \circ \eta_H) \otimes (\delta_H \circ \eta_H))\).

(a4) There exists \(\lambda_H : H \rightarrow H\) in \(C\) (called the antipode of \(H\)) such that, if we denote the morphisms \(id_H \ast \lambda_H\) by \(\Pi_H^0\) (target morphism) and \(\lambda_H \ast id_H\) by \(\Pi_H^1\) (source morphism),

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(a4-1)} & \quad \Pi_H^0 = ((\varepsilon_H \otimes \mu_H) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((\delta_H \circ \eta_H) \otimes H) \\
\text{(a4-2)} & \quad \Pi_H^1 = (H \otimes (\varepsilon_H \otimes H) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\delta_H \circ \eta_H)).
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(a4-3)} & \quad \lambda_H \ast \Pi_H^0 = \Pi_H^1 \ast \lambda_H = \lambda_H. \\
\text{(a4-4)} & \quad \mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \mu_H \circ (\Pi_H^0 \otimes H). \\
\text{(a4-5)} & \quad \mu_H \circ (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \mu_H \circ (\Pi_H^1 \otimes H). \\
\text{(a4-6)} & \quad \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) = \mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi_H^1).
\end{align*}
\]

Note that, if in the previous definition the triple \((H, \eta_H, \mu_H)\) is a monoid, we obtain the notion of weak Hopf algebra in a symmetric monoidal category. Then, if \(C\) is the category of vector spaces over a field \(\mathbb{F}\), we have the monoidal version of the original definition of weak Hopf algebra introduced by Böhm, Nill and Szlachányi in [9]. On the other hand, under these conditions, if \(\varepsilon_H\) and \(\delta_H\) are morphisms of unital magmas (equivalently, \(\eta_H, \mu_H\) are morphisms of counital comagmas), \(\Pi_H^0 = \Pi_H^1 = \eta_H \otimes \varepsilon_H\). As a consequence, conditions (a2), (a3), (a4-1)-(a4-3) trivialize, and we get the notion of Hopf quasigroup defined by Klim and Majid in [17] in the category of vector spaces over a field \(\mathbb{F}\).

**Example 2.3.** It is possible to obtain non-trivial examples of weak Hopf quasigroups by working with bicategories in the sense of Bénabou [8]. We give a brief summary of this construction. The interested reader can see the complete details in [8]. A bicategory \(\mathcal{B}\) consists of:

- A set \(\mathcal{B}_0\), whose elements \(x\) are called 0-cells.
- For each \(x, y \in \mathcal{B}_0\), a category \(\mathcal{B}(x, y)\) whose objects \(f : x \rightarrow y\) are called 1-cells and whose morphisms \(\alpha : f \rightarrow g\) are called 2-cells. The composition of 2-cells is called the vertical composition of 2-cells and if \(f\) is a 1-cell in \(\mathcal{B}(x, y)\), \(x\) is called the source of \(f\), represented by \(s(f)\), and \(y\) is called the target of \(f\), denoted by \(t(f)\).
- For each \(x \in \mathcal{B}_0\), an object \(1_x \in \mathcal{B}(x, x)\), called the identity of \(x\); and for each \(x, y, z \in \mathcal{B}_0\), a functor \(\mathcal{B}(y, z) \times \mathcal{B}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(x, z)\)
  which in objects is called the 1-cell composition \((x, f) \mapsto g \circ f\), and on arrows is called horizontal composition of 2-cells:

\[
\begin{align*}
f, f' & \in \mathcal{B}(x, y), \quad g, g' \in \mathcal{B}(y, z), \quad \alpha : f \Rightarrow f', \quad \beta : g \Rightarrow g' \\
(\beta, \alpha) & \mapsto \beta \bullet \alpha : g \circ f \Rightarrow g' \circ f'
\end{align*}
\]

- For each \(f \in \mathcal{B}(x, y), g \in \mathcal{B}(y, z)\) and \(h \in \mathcal{B}(z, w)\), an associative isomorphism \(\xi_{h,g,f} : (h \circ g) \circ f \Rightarrow h \circ (g \circ f)\); and for each 1-cell \(f\), unit isomorphisms \(l_f : 1_{t(f)} \circ f \Rightarrow f, r_f : f \circ 1_{s(f)} \Rightarrow f\), satisfying the following coherence axioms:
  - The morphism \(\xi_{h,g,f}\) is natural in \(h, f\) and \(g\) and \(l_f, r_f\) are natural in \(f\).
  - Pentagon axiom: \(\xi_{k,h,g,f} \circ \xi_{k,h,g,f} = (id_k \bullet \xi_{h,g,f}) \circ \xi_{k,h,g,f} \circ (\xi_{k,h,g} \bullet id_f)\).
  - Triangle axiom: \(r_g \bullet id_f = (id_g \bullet l_f) \circ \xi_{g,1_{t(f)}}\).

A bicategory is normal if the unit isomorphisms are identities. Every bicategory is biequivalent to a normal one. A 1-cell \(f\) is called an equivalence if there exists a 1-cell \(g : t(f) \rightarrow s(f)\) and two isomorphisms \(g \circ f \Rightarrow 1_{s(f)}, f \circ g \Rightarrow 1_{t(f)}\). In this case we will say that \(g \in \text{Inv}(f)\) and, equivalently, \(f \in \text{Inv}(g)\).

A bigroupoid is a bicategory where every 1-cell is an equivalence and every 2-cell is an isomorphism. We will say that a bigroupoid \(\mathcal{B}\) is finite if \(\mathcal{B}_0\) is finite and \(\mathcal{B}(x, y)\) is small for all \(x, y\). Note that if \(\mathcal{B}\) is a bigroupoid where \(\mathcal{B}(x, y)\) is small for all \(x, y\), and we pick up a finite number of 0-cells, considering the full sub-bicategory generated by these 0-cells, we have an example of finite bigroupoid.
Let $B$ be a finite normal bigroupoid and denote by $B_1$ the set of 1-cells. Let $F$ be a field and $FB$ the direct product

$$FB = \bigoplus_{f \in B_1} Ff.$$  

The vector space $FB$ is a unital nonassociative algebra where the product of two 1-cells is equal to their 1-cell composition if the latter is defined and 0 otherwise, i.e., $g.f = g \circ f$ if $s(g) = t(f)$ and $g.f = 0$ if $s(g) \neq t(f)$. The unit element is

$$1_{FB} = \sum_{x \in B_0} 1_x.$$

Let $H = FB/I(B)$ be the quotient algebra where $I(B)$ is the ideal of $FB$ generated by

$$h - g \circ (f \circ h), \quad p - (p \circ f) \circ g,$$

with $f \in B_1$, $g \in Inv(f)$, and $h, p \in B_1$ such that $t(h) = s(f)$, $t(f) = s(p)$. In what follows, for any 1-cell $f$ we denote its class in $H$ by $[f]$. If we assume that $I(B)$ is a proper ideal and for $[f]$ we define $[f]^{-1}$ by the class of $g \in Inv(f)$, we obtain that $[f]^{-1}$ is well-defined. Therefore the vector space $H$ with the product $\mu_H([g] \otimes [f]) = [g.f]$ and the unit $\eta_H(1_f) = [1_{FB}] = \sum_{x \in B_0} [1_x]$ is a unital magma. Also, it is easy to show that $H$ is a comonoid with coproduct $\delta_H([f]) = [f] \otimes [f]$ and counit $\epsilon_H([f]) = 1_f$. Moreover, the antipode is defined by $\lambda_H : H \rightarrow H$, $\lambda_H([f]) = [f]^{-1}$ and $H = (H, \eta_H, \mu_H, \epsilon_H, \delta_H, \lambda_H)$ is a weak Hopf quasigroup. Note that, in this example, if $B_0 = \{x\}$ we obtain that $H$ is a Hopf quasigroup. Moreover, if $|B_0| > 1$ and the product defined in $H$ is associative we have an example of weak Hopf algebra.

In the end of this section we recall some properties of weak Hopf quasigroups we will need in what sequel. The interested reader can see the proofs in [7].

First note that, by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 of [7], the following equalities

$$\Pi^L_H \ast id_H = id_H \ast \Pi^R_H = id_H,$$

$$\Pi^L_H \circ \eta_H = \Pi^R_H \circ \eta_H,$$

$$\epsilon_H \circ \Pi^R_H = \epsilon_H \circ \Pi^L_H,$$

hold, the antipode of a weak Hopf quasigroup $H$ is unique and $\lambda_H \circ \eta_H = \eta_H$, $\epsilon_H \circ \lambda_H = \epsilon_H$. Moreover, if we define the morphisms $\Pi^L_H$ and $\Pi^R_H$ by

$$\Pi^L_H = (H \otimes (\epsilon_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ ((\delta_H \circ \eta_H) \otimes H)$$

and

$$\Pi^R_H = ((\epsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\delta_H \circ \eta_H)),$$

in Proposition 3.4 of [7], we proved that $\Pi^L_H$, $\Pi^R_H$, $\Pi^L_H$ and $\Pi^R_H$ are idempotent.

On the other hand, Propositions 3.5, 3.7 and 3.9 of [7] assert that

$$\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi^L_H) = ((\epsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \epsilon_{H,H}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H),$$

$$\mu_H \circ (\Pi^R_H \otimes H) = (H \otimes (\epsilon_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H),$$

$$\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi^R_H) = (H \otimes (\epsilon_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H),$$

$$\mu_H \circ (\Pi^R_H \otimes H) = ((\epsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H),$$

$$(H \otimes \Pi^L_H) \circ \delta_H = (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ ((\delta_H \circ \eta_H) \otimes H),$$

$$(\Pi^R_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H = (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\delta_H \circ \eta_H)), $$

$$(\Pi^L_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H = (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H),$$

$$(H \otimes \Pi^L_H) \circ \delta_H = (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes (\delta_H \circ \eta_H)),$$
\[\Pi^L_H \circ \mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi^R_H) = \Pi^L_H \circ \mu_H, \quad (12)\]
\[\Pi^R_H \circ \mu_H \circ (\Pi^L_H \otimes H) = \Pi^R_H \circ \mu_H, \quad (13)\]
\[\delta_H \circ \Pi^L_H = \delta_H \circ \Pi^L_H, \quad (14)\]
\[\delta_H \circ \Pi^R_H = \delta_H \circ \Pi^R_H. \quad (15)\]

hold.

Also, it is possible to show the following identities involving the idempotent morphisms \(\Pi^L_H, \Pi^R_H, \Pi^L_H, \Pi^R_H\) and the antipode \(\lambda_H\) (see Propositions 3.11 and 3.12 of [7]):

\[\Pi^L_H \circ \Pi^L_H = \Pi^L_H, \quad (16)\]
\[\Pi^L_H \circ \Pi^R_H = \Pi^R_H, \quad (17)\]
\[\Pi^R_H \circ \Pi^L_H = \Pi^R_H, \quad (18)\]
\[\Pi^L_H \circ \Pi^R_H = \Pi^R_H, \quad (19)\]
\[\Pi^L_H \circ \lambda_H = \Pi^L_H, \quad (20)\]
\[\Pi^R_H \circ \lambda_H = \Pi^R_H, \quad (21)\]
\[\Pi^L_H = \lambda_H \circ \Pi^L_H, \quad (22)\]
\[\Pi^R_H = \lambda_H \circ \Pi^R_H. \quad (23)\]

Moreover, by Proposition 3.16 of [7], the equalities

\[\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes ((\Pi^L_H \otimes H) \otimes \delta_H)) = \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes (\Pi^R_H \otimes H)) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H), \quad (24)\]
\[\mu_H \circ (\Pi^L_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \mu_H \circ (H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\Pi^R_H \otimes H))) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H), \quad (25)\]
\[\mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\Pi^R_H \otimes H))) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H) = \mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes H) \quad (26)\]
\[= \mu_H \circ (\Pi^R_H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes H))) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H), \quad (27)\]

hold and we have that

\[(\mu_H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi^L_H))) \circ \delta_H \otimes H = (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H). \quad (28)\]
\[(\mu_H \circ (\Pi^L_H \otimes H)) \circ \mu_H \circ \delta_H \otimes H = (H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H \circ (\Pi^L_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H). \quad (29)\]

Therefore (see Theorem 3.19 of [7]), for any weak Hopf quasigroup \(H\) the antipode of \(H\) is antimultiplicative and anticomultiplicative, i.e.,

\[\lambda_H \circ \mu_H = \mu_H \circ c_{H,H} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H), \quad (30)\]
\[\delta_H \circ \lambda_H = (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H. \quad (31)\]

Finally, if \(H_L = Im(\Pi^L_H)\) and \(p_L : H \rightarrow H_L\) and \(i_L : H_L \rightarrow H\) are the morphisms such that \(\Pi^L_H = i_L \circ p_L\) and \(p_L \circ i_L = id_{H_L}\),

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_L & \xrightarrow{i_L} & H \\
\downarrow \delta_H & & \downarrow \delta_H \circ (\Pi^L_H) \\
H \otimes H & \xrightarrow{(H \otimes \Pi^L_H) \circ \delta_H} & H \otimes H
\end{array}
\]

is an equalizer diagram and

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H \otimes H & \xrightarrow{\mu_H} & H \\
\downarrow \mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi^L_H) & & \downarrow p_L \\
H & \xrightarrow{p_L} & H_L
\end{array}
\]

is a coequalizer diagram. As a consequence, \((H_L, \eta_{H_L} = p_L \circ \eta_H, \mu_{H_L} = p_L \circ \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes i_L))\) is a unital magma in \(C\) and \((H_L, \varepsilon_{H_L} = \varepsilon_H \circ i_L, \delta_H = (p_L \otimes p_L) \circ \delta_H \circ i_L)\) is a comonoid in \(C\) (see Proposition 3.13 of [7]).
If $H$ is the weak Hopf quasigroup defined in Example 2.2, note that $H_L = \langle [1_x], x \in \mathcal{B}_0 \rangle$. Then, in this case we have that the induced product $\mu_{H_L}$ is associative because $[1_x],([1_y],[1_z])$ and $([1_x],[1_y]),[1_z]$ are equal to $[1_x]$ if $x = y = z$ and 0 otherwise. Surprisingly, the associativity of the product $\mu_{H_L}$ is a general property:

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup. The following identities hold:

\[ \mu_H \circ ((\mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H)) \otimes H) = \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes \mu_H), \]  
\[ \mu_H \circ (H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H))) = \mu_H \circ ((\mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L)) \otimes H), \]  
\[ \mu_H \circ (H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L))) = \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ i_L). \]

As a consequence, the unital magma $H_L$ is a monoid in $\mathcal{C}$.

**Proof.** First we will prove that

\[ \delta_H \circ \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H) = (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (i_L \otimes \delta_H), \]  
\[ \delta_H \circ \mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L) = (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes i_L). \]

Indeed:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_H \circ \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H) &= (\mu_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H} \circ (i_L \otimes H) \\
&= (\mu_H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\Pi_H \otimes i_L))) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H} \circ (i_L \otimes H) \\
&= (\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ (\Pi_H \otimes H)) \circ ((H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes i_L))) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H} \circ (i_L \otimes H) \\
&= (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (H \otimes i_L) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H})). \\
\end{align*}
\]

The first equality follows by (a1) of Definition 2.2. The second one follows by Remark 3.15 of [7] and the third one by [7]. Finally, the fourth one is a consequence of the coassociativity of $\delta_H$ and (a1) of Definition 2.2.

On the other hand, by (a1) of Definition 2.2, [1], and the coassociativity of $\delta_H$, we obtain (36) because

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_H \circ \mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L) &= (\mu_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ \delta_{H \otimes H} \circ ((H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes i_L)) \\
&= (\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L)) \circ (H \otimes i_L) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (i_L \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes i_L) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H})). \\
\end{align*}
\]

Then, (32) holds because

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H)) \otimes H &= \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi_H)) \otimes H \circ (\mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H)) \otimes H \\
&= (\varepsilon_H \circ H) \circ \mu_H \circ (\delta_{H \otimes H} \circ (\mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H)) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (i_L \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H)) \\
&= (\varepsilon_H \circ H) \circ (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L)) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \circ (i_L \otimes H)) \circ (i_L \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \circ (i_L \otimes H)) \\
&= \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes \mu_H). \\
\end{align*}
\]

The first equality follows by (24), the second one by (1) and the third and sixth ones by (35). The fourth one is a consequence of (a2) of Definition 2.2 In the fifth one we used (a1) of Definition 2.2 and the last one relies on the properties of the counit.

The proof for (33) is the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H)) &= \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi_H)) \otimes H \circ (H \otimes (\delta_H \circ \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H))) \\
&= (\varepsilon_H \circ H) \circ \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi_H) \circ (H \otimes \mu_H \circ \delta_H) \circ (i_L \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes i_L) \circ \delta_H) \\
&= (\varepsilon_H \circ H) \circ \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (i_L \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes i_L) \circ \delta_H) \\
&= (\varepsilon_H \circ H) \circ \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \circ (i_L \otimes H)) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \circ (i_L \otimes H)) \circ (i_L \otimes H)). \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[= (\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ ((\delta_H \circ \mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L)) \otimes \delta_H)\]
\[= (\varepsilon_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H \circ \mu_H \circ ((\mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L)) \otimes H)\]
\[= \mu_H \circ ((\mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L)) \otimes H).\]

The first equality is a consequence of (24), the second one follows by (36) and in the third one we used (4). The fourth equality relies on the naturalness of \(c\) and (a2) of Definition 2.2. The fifth one follows from (36), in the sixth equality we applied (a1) of Definition 2.2, and the last one follows by the properties of the counit.

Similarly, we will prove (34). Indeed:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_H & \circ (H \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L))) \\
= & \mu_H \circ ((\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi^L_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\delta_H \circ \mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L))) \\
= & \mu_H \circ ((\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi^L_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes ((\mu_H \circ H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (\delta_H \circ i_L))) \\
= & (\varepsilon_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \circ ((\mu_H \circ H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \circ i_L)) \\
= & \mu_H \circ ((\mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L)) \otimes (\delta_H \circ \mu_H \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \circ i_L)) \\
= & \mu_H \circ (H \otimes (\Pi^L_H \otimes i_L)) \\
= & \mu_H \circ (H \otimes i_L).
\end{align*}
\]

The first equality follows by (24), the second one by (36) and the third one by (4). The fourth one is a consequence of the naturalness of \(c\) and (a2) of Definition 2.2. The sixth one follows by (36) and the last one relies on the properties of \(\Pi^L_H\).

Finally, by Proposition 3.9 of [14], (33) and the equality

\[
\Pi^L_H \circ \mu_H \circ (\Pi^L_H \otimes \Pi^L_H) = \mu_H \circ (\Pi^L_H \otimes \Pi^L_H),
\]

it is easy to show that \(\mu_{H_L} \circ (H_L \otimes \mu_{H_L}) = \mu_{H_L} \circ (\mu_{H_L} \otimes H_L)\) and therefore the unital magma \(H_L\) is a monoid in \(C\). Note that (37) holds because, by (4), (14) and the naturalness of \(c\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_H \circ (\Pi^L_H \otimes \Pi^L_H) & = ((\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ ((\delta_H \circ \Pi^L_H) \otimes H) \\
& = ((\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ ((\Pi^L_H \otimes \delta_H) \otimes H) = \Pi^L_H \circ \mu_H \circ (\Pi^L_H \otimes \Pi^L_H).
\end{align*}
\]

\[\square\]

3. Galois extensions associated to weak Hopf quasigroups

In this section we introduce the notion of Galois extension (with normal basis) associated to a weak Hopf quasigroup that generalizes the one defined for Hopf algebras in [13] and for weak Hopf algebras in [2]. Moreover, if we consider that \(\varepsilon_H\) and \(\delta_H\) are morphisms of unital magmas, \(H\) is a Hopf quasigroup and we get a definition of Galois (with normal basis) extension associated to a Hopf quasigroup.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \(H\) be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let \((A, \rho_A)\) be a unital magma (monoid), which is also a right \(H\)-comodule (i.e., \((A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ \rho_A = id_A\), \((\rho_A \circ H) \circ \rho_A = (A \otimes \delta_H) \circ \rho_A\), such that

\[
\mu_{A \otimes H} \circ (\rho_A \otimes \rho_A) = \rho_A \circ \mu_A.
\]

We will say that \(A\) is a right \(H\)-comodule magma (monoid) if any of the following equivalent conditions hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
(b1) & \quad (\rho_A \otimes H) \circ \rho_A \circ \eta_A = (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ c_{H,H}) \otimes H) \circ ((\rho_A \circ \eta_A) \otimes (\delta_H \circ \eta_H)). \\
(b2) & \quad (\rho_A \otimes H) \circ \rho_A \circ \eta_A = (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ H) \circ ((\rho_A \circ \eta_A) \otimes (\delta_H \circ \eta_H))). \\
(b3) & \quad (A \otimes \Pi^L_H) \circ \rho_A = (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)). \\
(b4) & \quad (A \otimes \Pi^L_H) \circ \rho_A = ((\mu_A \circ c_{A,A}) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)). \\
(b5) & \quad (A \otimes \Pi^L_H) \circ \rho_A \circ \eta_A = \rho_A \circ \eta_A. \\
(b6) & \quad (A \otimes \Pi^L_H) \circ \rho_A \circ \eta_A = \rho_A \circ \eta_A.
\end{align*}
\]
This definition is similar to the notion of right $H$-comodule monoid in the weak Hopf algebra setting and the proof for the equivalence of (b1)-(b6) is the same.

Note that, if $H$ is a Hopf quasigroup and $(A, \rho_A)$ is a unital magma, which is also a right $H$-comodule, we will say that $A$ is a right $H$-comodule magma if it satisfies (38) and $\eta_H \otimes \eta_A = \rho_A \circ \eta_A$. In this case (b1)-(b6) trivialize.

**Example 3.2.** Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup. Then $(H, \delta_H)$ is a right $H$-comodule magma.

**Definition 3.3.** Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let $(A, \rho_A)$ be a right $H$-comodule magma. We denote by $A^{coH}$ the equalizer of the morphisms $\rho_A$ and $(A \otimes \Pi^H_H) \circ \rho_A$ (equivalently, $\rho_A$ and $(A \otimes \Pi^H_H) \circ \rho_A$) and by $i_A$ the injection of $A^{coH}$ in $A$.

The triple $(A^{coH}, \eta_{A^{coH}}, \mu_{A^{coH}})$ is a unital magma (the submagma of coinvariants of $A$), where $\eta_{A^{coH}} : K \rightarrow A^{coH}$ and $\mu_{A^{coH}} : A^{coH} \otimes A^{coH} \rightarrow A^{coH}$ are the factorizations of the morphisms $\eta_A$ and $\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes i_A)$ through $i_A$, respectively. Indeed, by (b6) of Definition 3.1 we have that $(A \otimes \Pi^H_H) \circ \rho_A \circ \eta_A = \rho_A \circ \eta_A$. As a consequence, there exists a unique morphism $\eta_{A^{coH}} : K \rightarrow A^{coH}$ such that

$$\eta_A = i_A \circ \eta_{A^{coH}}.$$ (39)

On the other hand, using (38), (b6) of Definition 3.1 and (40) we obtain

$$\rho_A \circ \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes i_A) = \mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (\rho_A \circ i_A \otimes \rho_A)$$

(40)

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let $(A, \rho_A)$ be a right $H$-comodule magma. The following equalities hold:

$$\rho_A \circ \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A) = (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (i_A \otimes \rho_A),$$ (41)

$$\rho_A \circ \mu_A \circ (A \otimes i_A) = (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A),$$ (42)

$$\rho_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes (H \otimes \Pi^H_H)) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (\rho_A \otimes \rho_A) = (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (\rho_A \otimes A)$$ (43)

**Proof.** The first equality follows because $A$ is a right $H$-comodule magma, the properties of the equalizer $i_A$, (7) and the naturality of $c$. Indeed,

$$\rho_A \circ \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A) = \mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (((A \otimes \Pi^H_H) \circ \rho_A) \circ \rho_A)$$

(40)

In a similar way, but using (38), we get (42):

$$\rho_A \circ \mu_A \circ (A \otimes i_A) = \mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (\rho_A \circ (A \otimes \Pi^H_H) \circ \rho_A \circ i_A)$$

Finally,

$$(\mu_A \otimes (H \otimes \Pi^H_H)) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (\rho_A \otimes \rho_A)$$

(43)
where the first equality follows by \([14]\), the second one follows by the comodule condition of \(A\) and the naturality of \(c\), the third one is a consequence of \([38]\) and the last one relies on the counit properties. Therefore, \([43]\) holds and the proof is complete. \(\Box\)

**Remark 3.5.** It is not difficult to see that the coinvariant submagma \(H^{coH}\) of the right \(H\)-comodule magma \((H,\delta_H)\) is \(H_L\). Moreover in this case the equations \([41]\) and \([42]\) are \([35]\) and \([36]\) respectively.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \(H\) be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let \((A,\rho_A)\) be a right \(H\)-comodule magma. The morphism \(\nabla_A : A \otimes H \to A \otimes H\), defined as

\[
\nabla_A = \mu_{A \otimes H} \circ (A \otimes H \circ (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)),
\]

is idempotent and it is a right \(H\)-comodule morphism for \(\rho_{A \otimes H} = A \otimes \delta_H\). Moreover, if \((A,\rho_A)\) is a right \(H\)-comodule magma, it satisfies that

\[
\nabla_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) = (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \nabla_A).
\] (44)

As a consequence, there exist an object \(A \square H\) and morphisms \(i_{A \otimes H}\) and \(p_{A \otimes H}\) such that \(\nabla_A = i_{A \otimes H} \circ \rho_{A \otimes H}\) and \(id_{A \square H} = p_{A \otimes H} \circ i_{A \otimes H}\).

**Proof.** Note that, by \((b3)\) of Definition \([3.1]\) we obtain that

\[
\nabla_A = (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ c_{H,H})) \circ ((A \otimes \Pi^R_H) \circ \rho_A) \otimes H).
\] (45)

Then \(\nabla_A\) is an idempotent morphism. Indeed:

\[
\nabla_A \circ \nabla_A
= (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H)) \circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H} \circ (\Pi^R_H \otimes \Pi^R_H) \circ \delta_H))) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,H} \circ (\rho_A \otimes H))
= (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes \Pi^R_H)) \circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H}) \circ (A \otimes \Pi^R_H) \circ ((\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\delta_H \circ \eta_H) \otimes H)) \circ (\rho_A \otimes H)
= (A \otimes (\Pi^R_H \circ H) \otimes \delta_H))
= (A \otimes (\Pi^R_H \circ H) \circ (\rho_A \otimes H) \circ ((\Pi^R_H \circ H) \circ (\delta_H \circ \eta_H)))
= (A \otimes (\Pi^R_H \circ H) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \circ H)) \circ (H \otimes (c_{H,H}) \circ (A \otimes \Pi^R_H) \circ ((\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\delta_H \circ \eta_H) \circ H)) \circ (\rho_A \otimes H)
= (\nabla_A).
\]

In the preceding computations, the first equality follows by \([15]\), the naturality of \(c\) and because \(A\) is a right \(H\)-comodule; the second one by \([11]\) and by the naturality of \(c\). In the third one we use \([23]\), the naturality of \(c\) and the definition of \(\Pi^R_H\); the fourth one relies on \((a2)\) of Definition \([2.2]\), the fifth one on the naturality of \(c\); the sixth one on the coassociativity of the coproduct and on \([9]\). The seventh equality is a consequence of \((a4-7)\) and \((a4-3)\) of Definition \([2.2]\), the eighth one follows by \([9]\) and finally, the last one follows by the naturality of \(c\), the definition of \(\Pi^R_H\) and \([15]\).

Now, using \((a1)\) of Definition \([2.2]\), the condition of right \(H\)-comodule for \(A\) and \((b6)\) of Definition \([3.1]\) and the naturality of \(c\) and \([28]\), we get that \(\nabla_A\) is a right \(H\)-comodule morphism, i.e.

\[
(A \otimes \delta_H) \circ \nabla_A = (\nabla_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H).
\] (46)

Indeed,

\[
(A \otimes \delta_H) \circ \nabla_A
= (\mu_A \otimes (\mu_H \circ \mu_H \circ H)) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes \delta_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi^R_H) \circ \delta_H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))
= (\mu_A \otimes (\mu_H \circ \mu_H \circ H)) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes \delta_H \circ (H \otimes \Pi^R_H) \circ \delta_H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))
= (\nabla_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H).
\]
Finally,
\[ \nabla_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) = (\mu_A \otimes (\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H))) \circ ((A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (\rho_A \circ \mu_A)) \circ (\delta_H \circ (\eta_A \otimes H) \otimes H) \]
where the first and fifth equalities follow by (35) and (45), the second one by (a2) of Definition 2.2 and the third one by (11). In the fourth equality we used that \( A \) is a right \( H \)-comodule, and the last one follows by the counit properties.

Therefore, (44) holds and the proof is complete.

Note that, by the lack of associativity, for \( M = A \otimes H \), \( \varphi_M = \mu_A \otimes H \) is not a left \( A \)-module structure (i.e. \( \varphi_M \circ (\eta_A \otimes M) = id_M \)). Moreover, if \( A = H \), by (10), we have
\[ \nabla_H = (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \Pi_H^R \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H). \] (47)

**Lemma 3.7.** Let \( H \) be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let \((A, \rho_A)\) be a right \( H \)-comodule magma. The following equalities hold:
\[ p_{A \otimes H} \circ (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) = p_{A \otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H), \] (48)
\[ (A \otimes (\delta_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) = ((A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))) \otimes \delta_H, \] (49)
\[ \nabla_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) = (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A). \] (50)

**Proof.** The equality (48) holds because, composing with \( i_{A \otimes H} \), we have
\[ \nabla_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \mu_A \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \]
\[ = (A \otimes (\mu_H \otimes (H \otimes \Pi_H^R))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \mu_A \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \]
\[ = (A \otimes (\mu_H \otimes (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \mu_A \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \]
\[ = (A \otimes (\mu_H \otimes (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \]
\[ = \nabla_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes H), \]
where the first equality follows by the naturality of \( c \), the second one follows by (b6) of Definition 3.1 and the third one follows by (33) and by the naturality of \( c \). In the fourth equality we used the naturality of \( c \) and (b6) of Definition 3.1. The fifth equality is a consequence of (33) and the sixth and seventh ones rely on the properties of the unit of \( A \).

On the other hand, the proof for (49) is the following:
\[ (A \otimes (\delta_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \]
\[ = (A \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \circ \mu_H))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \]
\[ = (A \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes ((H \otimes \Pi_H^R))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))) \]
\[ = (A \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes (H \otimes \Pi_H^R))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))) \]
\[ = (A \otimes (\mu_H \otimes (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \]
\[ = (A \otimes (\mu_H \otimes (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)))) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \]
\[ = (((A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))) \otimes \delta_H). \]
In these equalities the first one is consequence of (a1) of Definition \([2.2]\), the second one holds because \(A\) is a right \(H\)-comodule and by (b6) of Definition \([3.1]\). In the third one we applied again that \(A\) is a right \(H\)-comodule, the fourth one follows by \([28]\) and the last one relies on the naturalness of \(c\).

Finally, \((50)\) is a direct consequence of the equalities \((44)\) and

\[ \nabla_A \circ \rho_A = \rho_A. \]  

(51)

Note that \((51)\) holds because, by \((58)\) and the unit properties, we have

\[ \nabla_A \circ \rho_A = \mu_{A \otimes H} \circ (\rho_A \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) = \rho_A \circ \mu_A \circ (A \otimes \eta_A) = \rho_A. \]

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 3.8.** Let \(H\) be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let \((A, \rho_A)\) be a right \(H\)-comodule magma such that

\[ \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A))) = \mu_A \circ ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes i_A)) \otimes A). \]

(52)

Then \((A^{coH}, \eta_{A^{coH}}, \mu_{A^{coH}})\) is a monoid. Moreover the morphism

\[ \nabla_A = p_{A \otimes H} \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) : A \otimes A \to A^{coH} \]

factorizes through the coequalizer diagram

\[ A \otimes A^{coH} \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mu_A \circ (A \otimes i_A) \otimes A} A \otimes (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A))) \xrightarrow{n_A} A \otimes A^{coH} \]

and, if we denote by \(\gamma_A\) this factorization, the following equalities:

\[ (\gamma_A \otimes H) \circ p^{1}_{A \otimes A^{coH}} = (p_{A \otimes H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A)))) \circ \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A))) \otimes A \]

\[ (\gamma_A \otimes H) \circ p^{2}_{A \otimes A^{coH}} = (p_{A \otimes H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A)))) \otimes \gamma_A. \]

(53)

(54)

hold, where \(p^{1}_{A \otimes A^{coH}}\) and \(p^{2}_{A \otimes A^{coH}}\) are the factorizations, through the coequalizer \(n_A\), of the morphisms

\[ (n_A \otimes H) \circ (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A)))) \otimes A) \]

and \((n_A \otimes H) \circ (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A))) \otimes A)\), respectively.

**Proof.** Trivially, if \((52)\) holds, the triple \((A^{coH}, \eta_{A^{coH}}, \mu_{A^{coH}})\) is a monoid. On the other hand, consider the coequalizer diagram

\[ A \otimes A^{coH} \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mu_A \circ (A \otimes i_A) \otimes A} A \otimes (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A))) \xrightarrow{n_A} A \otimes A^{coH} \]

By \((11)\) and \((52)\) we have

\[ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A))) = (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A)))) \otimes A) \]

and, therefore, there exists a unique morphism such that

\[ \gamma_A \circ n_A = \nabla_A. \]

(55)

Also, by \((11), (12)\), the naturalness of \(c\), and the definition of \(n_A\), we have

\[ (n_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (\rho_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A)))) \circ A = (n_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (\rho_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A)))) \circ A \]

and

\[ (n_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \circ ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A)))) \otimes A) = (n_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A))). \]

Then, there exists unique morphisms \(p^{1}_{A \otimes A^{coH}} : A \otimes A^{coH} A \to A \otimes A^{coH} A \otimes H\) such that

\[ p^{1}_{A \otimes A^{coH}} \circ n_A = (n_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (\rho_A \otimes A), \]

(56)

\[ p^{2}_{A \otimes A^{coH}} \circ n_A = (n_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A), \]

(57)

respectively.

For \(p^{1}_{A \otimes A^{coH}} A\) the equality \((53)\) holds because by composing with the coequalizer \(n_A\),
Proof.
If the functor $n\otimes H$ holds and therefore $c$ holds, then the morphism $\rho = (\rho \otimes \delta_H) \circ i_{A \otimes H} \circ \gamma_A \circ n_A$ holds.

Let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule and so is $\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes i_A)))$. We will denote by $\varphi_{A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes H}$ the unique morphism such that

$\varphi_{A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes H} \circ (A \otimes n_A) = n_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A)$. (59)

Proof. If the functor $A \otimes -$ preserves coequalizers, we have that

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes H & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A \\
A \otimes A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes i_A)) & \xrightarrow{A \otimes A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes i_A))} & A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A \\
\end{array}
\]

is a coequalizer diagram, and then the result follows easily by (58) and by the properties of $n_A$.

Lemma 3.9. Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let $(A, \rho_A)$ be a right $H$-comodule magma such that the functor $A \otimes -$ preserves coequalizers. Assume that

$\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes i_A))) = \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes i_A))$. (58)

Then the morphism $n_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A)$ factorizes though the coequalizer $A \otimes n_A$. We will denote by $\varphi_{A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes H}$ this factorization, i.e., the unique morphism such that

$\varphi_{A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes H} \circ (A \otimes n_A) = n_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A)$. (59)

Definition 3.10. Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let $(A, \rho_A)$ be a right $H$-comodule magma satisfying (52). We say that $A \otimes H \rightarrow A$ is a weak $H$-Galois extension if the morphism $\gamma_A$ is an isomorphism.

Let $\rho^2_{A \otimes A \otimes H}$ be the morphism introduced in Proposition 3.8. The pair $(A \otimes A \otimes H, \rho^2_{A \otimes A \otimes H})$ is a right $H$-comodule and so is $(A \otimes H, \rho_{A \otimes H})$ with

$\rho_{A \otimes H} = (p_{A \otimes H} \circ H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) \circ i_{A \otimes H}$. (55)

Then, $\gamma_A$ is a morphism of right $H$-comodules, because composing with $n_A$ and using (55), (46) and (54), the equality

$\rho_{A \otimes H} \circ \gamma_A \circ n_A = (\gamma_A \otimes H) \circ \rho_{A \otimes A \otimes H} \circ n_A$ holds and therefore

$\rho_{A \otimes H} \circ \gamma_A = (\gamma_A \otimes H) \circ \rho_{A \otimes A \otimes H}$. (60)
On the other hand, if $\varphi_{A\square H} = p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes i_{A\otimes H})$, by (60) and (64), we obtain that $\gamma_A$ is almost lineal, i.e.,

\[ \varphi_{A\square H} \circ (A \otimes (\gamma_A \circ n_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes A))) = \gamma_A \circ n_A. \] (61)

If $A^{coH} \to A$ is a weak $H$-Galois extension such that the functor $A \otimes -$ preserves coequalizers, and the equality (53) holds, we will say that $\gamma_A^{-1}$ is almost lineal if it satisfies that

\[ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A\otimes H} = \varphi_{A\otimes A^{coH}A} \circ (A \otimes (\gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H))). \] (62)

**Definition 3.11.** Let $A^{coH} \to A$ be a weak $H$-Galois extension. We will say that $A^{coH} \to A$ is a weak $H$-Galois with normal basis if there exists an idempotent morphism of left $A^{coH}$-modules ($\varphi_{A^{coH}\otimes H} = \mu_{A^{coH} \otimes H}$) and right $H$-comodules ($\rho_{A^{coH}\otimes H} = A^{coH} \otimes \delta_H$),

\[ \Omega_A : A^{coH} \otimes H \to A^{coH} \otimes H, \]

and an isomorphism of left $A^{coH}$-modules and right $H$-comodules

\[ b_A : A \to A^{coH} \times H, \]

where $A^{coH} \times H$ is the image of $\Omega_A$ and $\varphi_{A^{coH} \times H} = r_A \circ (\mu_{A^{coH} \otimes H}) \circ (A^{coH} \otimes s_A)$, being $s_A : A^{coH} \times H \to A^{coH} \otimes H$ and $r_A : A^{coH} \otimes H \to A^{coH} \times H$ the morphisms such that $s_A \circ r_A = \Omega_A$ and $r_A \circ s_A = id_{A^{coH} \times H}$.

Note that by Proposition 3.8, $A^{coH}$ is a monoid and then $\varphi_{A^{coH}\otimes H}$ is a left $A^{coH}$-module structure for $A^{coH} \otimes H$.

**Remark 3.12.** In the weak Hopf algebra setting, Definition 3.10 is a generalization of the notion of weak $H$-Galois extension (with normal basis) given in [2].

Recall that if $H$ is a weak Hopf algebra and $A$ a right $H$-comodule monoid, the equality (62) is always true. Indeed, by the definitions of $\varphi_{A\otimes A^{coH}A}$ and $\gamma_A$ and taking into account that $A$ is a monoid and (60),

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_A \circ \varphi_{A\otimes A^{coH}A} \circ (A \otimes n_A) &= \gamma_A \circ n_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \\
&= p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \\
&= p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (\nabla_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A))) \\
&= p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (i_{A\otimes H} \circ \gamma_A \circ n_A)),
\end{align*}
\]

and then $\gamma_A \circ \varphi_{A\otimes A^{coH}A} = p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (i_{A\otimes H} \circ \gamma_A))$. Therefore

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{A \otimes A^{coH}A} \circ (A \otimes (\gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H))) &= \gamma_A^{-1} \circ \gamma_A \circ \varphi_{A \otimes A^{coH}A} \circ (A \otimes (\gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H))) \\
&= \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (i_{A\otimes H} \circ \gamma_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H))) \\
&= \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A\otimes H} \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (\nabla_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes H))) \\
&= \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A\otimes H},
\end{align*}
\]

and $\gamma_A^{-1}$ is almost lineal.

On the other hand, if $H$ is a Hopf quasigroup, $\nabla_A = id_{A\otimes H}$ and then $\gamma_A$ is the factorization through the coequalizer of the morphism $(\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)$. Then, for this algebraic structure, Definition 3.10 is the notion of $H$-Galois extension for Hopf quasigroups (see [6]). Also, $\varphi_{A\square H} = \mu_A \otimes H$, and, as a consequence, the condition of almost lineal for $\gamma_A$ is

\[ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (\gamma_A \circ n_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes A))) = \gamma_A \circ n_A. \] (63)

Now condition almost lineal for $\gamma_A^{-1}$ says that the equality

\[ \gamma_A^{-1} = \varphi_{A\otimes A^{coH}A} \circ (A \otimes (\gamma_A^{-1} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H))) \] (64)

holds.
Example 3.13. Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup. Then $H_L \hookrightarrow H$ is a weak $H$-Galois extension with normal basis. Also, $\gamma_H^{-1}$ is almost linear.

First of all, note that by Proposition 2.4 equalities (62) and (68) hold for the right $H$-comodule magma $(H, \delta_H)$. Moreover, let $\gamma_H^{-1} = n_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ i_{H \otimes H} : H \square H \rightarrow H \otimes H_L H$. Then

$$
\gamma_H \circ \gamma_H^{-1} = \mu_{H^2 \otimes H} \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (H \otimes \mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ i_{H \otimes H} \\
= \mu_{H^2 \otimes H} \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \Pi^R_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ i_{H \otimes H} \\
= \mu_{H^2 \otimes H} \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (i_L \otimes p_L) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \\
= \mu_H \circ (H \otimes (\Pi^R_H \otimes \text{id}_H)) \\
= \mu_H,
$$

where the first equality follows by the definition of $\gamma_H$; the second one by applying (60) to the right $H$-comodule magma $H$. The third equality is a consequence of the coassociativity of $\delta_H$ and (a4-6) of Definition 2.2, the third one we use (67); finally, the last one is a direct consequence of the factorization of $\nabla_H$. On the other hand,

$$
\gamma_H^{-1} \circ \gamma_H \circ n_H = \mu_{H^2 \otimes H} \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ \nabla_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \\
= \mu_{H^2 \otimes H} \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \Pi^R_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \\
= \mu_{H^2 \otimes H} \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (i_L \otimes p_L) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \\
= \mu_H \circ (H \otimes (\Pi^R_H \otimes \text{id}_H)) \\
= \mu_H,
$$

and $\Omega_H$ is a morphism of left $H_L$-modules. On the other hand, let $s_H : H_L \times H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ and $r_H : H \times H \rightarrow H_L \times H$ be the morphisms such that $s_H \circ r_H = \Omega_H$ and $r_H \circ s_H = id_{H_L \times H}$ and define $b_H = r_H \circ (p_L \otimes H) \otimes \delta_H$. It is not difficult to see that $b_H$ is a right $H$-comodule isomorphism with inverse $b_H^{-1} = \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H) \circ s_H$. Moreover,

$$
\varphi_{H \otimes n_H} \circ (H \otimes b_H) = \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H) \circ s_H \\
\varphi_{H \otimes n_H} \circ (H \otimes b_H) = \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H) \circ s_H \\
\varphi_{H \otimes n_H} \circ (H \otimes b_H) = \mu_H \circ (i_L \otimes H) \circ s_H,
$$

and $H_L \hookrightarrow H$ is a weak $H$-Galois extension with normal basis.

Finally, in this case, if $H \otimes -$ preserves coequalizers, the morphism $\gamma_H^{-1}$ is almost lineal. Indeed: Let $\varphi_{H \otimes n_H} : H \otimes k \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H \otimes H$ be the factorization though the coequalizer $H \otimes n_H$ of the morphism $n_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H)$, i.e., the morphism such that

$$
\varphi_{H \otimes n_H} \circ (H \otimes n_H) = n_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H).
$$
Then, by (a4-3) of Definition 2.2 and (55),
\[
\varphi_{H \otimes H, H} \circ (H \otimes \gamma^{-1}_H \circ P_{H \otimes H} \circ (\eta_H \otimes H)) \\
= \varphi_{H \otimes H, H} \circ (H \otimes \eta_H) \circ (H \otimes ((\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (\Pi^H_H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_H)) \\
= \eta_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \\
= \eta_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H \otimes H) \circ (\mu_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \Pi^H_H \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \\
= \gamma^{-1}_H \circ P_{H \otimes H},
\]
and \( \gamma^{-1}_H \) is almost linear.

To finish this section we show two technical lemmas that will be useful in order to get the main result of this paper which gives a characterization of weak \( H \)-Galois extensions with normal basis.

**Lemma 3.14.** Let \( A \) be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let \( A^\otimes H \hookrightarrow A \) be a weak \( H \)-Galois extension. Then the following equalities hold:
\[
\rho_{A^\otimes A \otimes H}^1 \circ \gamma_A^{-1} = ((\gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,H} \circ (A \otimes \mu_H \otimes H) \circ (\rho_A \otimes ((\lambda_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H)) \circ i_{A \otimes H}),
\]
\[
((\gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) = \rho_{A^\otimes A \otimes H}^1 \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H},
\]
\[
\text{Proof.} \quad \text{The first equality follows easily from (55) composing with} \gamma_A^{-1} \otimes H \text{ on the left and with} \gamma_A^{-1} \text{ on the right. On the other hand, if we compose in (56) with} \gamma_A^{-1} \otimes H \text{ on the left and with} \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H} \text{ on the right we obtain (67).} \quad \Box
\]

**Lemma 3.15.** Let \( A \) be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let \( A^\otimes H \hookrightarrow A \) be a weak \( H \)-Galois extension with normal basis. Then there is a unique morphism \( m_A : A \otimes A \otimes H \rightarrow A \) such that
\[
m_A \circ n_A = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes ((i_A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ s_A \circ b_A)).
\]
Moreover, the equalities
\[
m_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H} \circ \rho_A = (i_A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ s_A \circ b_A
\]
and
\[
\rho_A \circ m_A = (m_A \otimes H) \circ \rho_{A^\otimes A \otimes H}^1
\]
hold.
\[
\text{Proof.} \quad \text{The proof for (58) is similar to the given in Lemma 1.9 of [2] but using (52) instead of the associativity. On the other hand,}
\]
\[
m_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H} \circ \rho_A \\
= m_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ \gamma_A \circ n_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes A) \\
= m_A \circ n_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes A) \\
= (i_A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ s_A \circ b_A,
\]
and we have (59). As far as (70), composing with the coequalizer \( n_A \) and using (59), (12), the naturality of \( c \), (58) and (56),
\[
\rho_A \circ m_A \circ n_A \\
= ((\rho_A \circ m_A \circ (A \otimes i_A)) \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ (A \otimes (s_A \circ b_A)) \\
= (((\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (\rho_A \otimes i_A)) \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ (A \otimes (s_A \circ b_A)) \\
= ((m_A \circ n_A) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (\rho_A \otimes A) \\
= (m_A \otimes H) \circ \rho_{A^\otimes A \otimes H}^1 \circ n_A,
\]
and the equality (71) holds.
\[
\Box
\]

Note that in the previous proof, by the lack of associativity, we cannot say that \( m_A \) is a left \( A \)-module morphism. Nevertheless, if the functor \( A \otimes - \) preserves coequalizers, by (58) the equality
\[
\mu_A \circ (A \otimes m_A) = m_A \circ \varphi_{A^\otimes A \otimes H} A
\]
holds.
4. Cleft extensions associated to a weak Hopf quasigroup

In this section we introduce the notion of weak H-cleft extension associated to a weak Hopf quasigroup $H$. As a particular instance we recover the theory of cleft extensions associated to a weak Hopf algebra $H$ and to a Hopf quasigroup $H$.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let $(A, \rho_A)$ be a right $H$-comodule magma. We will say that $A^{coH} \to A$ is a weak $H$-cleft extension if there exists a right $H$-comodule morphism $h : H \to A$ (called the cleaving morphism) and a morphism $h^{-1} : H \to A$ such that

\begin{itemize}
  \item[(c1)] $h^{-1} \ast h = (A \otimes (H \circ \mu_A)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ h^{-1}))$.
  \item[(c2)] $\mu_A \circ (\mu_H \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ h^{-1}))) \circ \delta_H = (A \otimes \tilde{\Pi}_H) \circ \rho_A \circ h^{-1}$.
  \item[(c3)] $\mu_A \circ (\mu_H \circ (A \otimes h^{-1} \circ h) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H)) = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h))$.
  \item[(c4)] $\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A) \circ (A \otimes h \circ h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h \ast h^{-1}))$.
\end{itemize}

**Example 4.2.** Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup. Then $H_L \to H$ is a weak $H$-cleft extension with cleaving map $h = id_H$ and $h^{-1} = \lambda_H$.

Note that if $H$ is a weak Hopf algebra and $(A, \rho_A)$ is a right $H$-comodule monoid, conditions (c3) and (c4) trivialize. Then, in this case, we get the definition of weak $H$-cleft extension given in [2].

On the other hand, as a particular case, if $H$ is a Hopf quasigroup we obtain the following definition of weak $H$-cleft extension:

**Definition 4.3.** Let $H$ be a Hopf quasigroup and let $(A, \rho_A)$ be a right $H$-comodule magma. We will say that $A^{coH} \to A$ is a weak $H$-cleft extension if there exists a right $H$-comodule morphism $h : H \to A$ and a morphism $h^{-1} : H \to A$ such that

\begin{itemize}
  \item[(d1)] $h^{-1} \ast h = \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_A$.
  \item[(d2)] $(A \otimes H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ h^{-1})) \circ \delta_H = h^{-1} \otimes \eta_H$.
  \item[(d3)] $\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A) \circ (A \otimes h^{-1} \circ h) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) = A \otimes \varepsilon_H$.
  \item[(d4)] $\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A) \circ (A \otimes (h \ast h^{-1})) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h \ast h^{-1}))$.
\end{itemize}

**Remark 4.4.** Let $H$ be a Hopf quasigroup and let $(A, \rho_A)$ be a right $H$-comodule magma. Let $h : H \to A$ be a comodule morphism and let $h^{-1} : H \to A$ be a morphism. Note that, in general, the convolution product $h \ast h^{-1}$ is not $\varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_A$. If true, condition (d4) turns into

$$\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A) \circ (A \otimes h \circ h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) = A \otimes \varepsilon_H.$$  \hfill (72)

On the other hand, if we assume [22], we have that $h \ast h^{-1} = \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_A$ and then

$$\rho_A \circ h^{-1} = (h^{-1} \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H.$$  \hfill (73)

holds. Indeed:

$$\begin{align*}
(h^{-1} \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H \\
= (\rho_A \circ (h \ast h^{-1})) \ast ((h^{-1} \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \\
= (\mu_A \circ ((\rho_A \circ h^{-1}) \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)) \circ \delta_H) \otimes ((h^{-1} \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \circ \delta_H \\
= (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (\mu_A \otimes (\mu_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ \delta_H) \circ A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (\mu_H \otimes \lambda_H) \circ (A \otimes H \otimes A) \\
\circ ((\rho_A \circ h^{-1}) \otimes (h \otimes H) \circ \delta_H) \circ h^{-1} \circ (h \otimes H) \circ \delta_H \\
= (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (\mu_A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes A) \circ ((\rho_A \circ h^{-1}) \otimes (h \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_H) \circ (h \otimes H) \circ \delta_H \\
= \rho_A \circ h^{-1}.
\end{align*}$$

In the last equalities, the first one follows by $h \ast h^{-1} = \varepsilon_H \otimes \eta_A$ and the second one by [25]. In the third one we used that $h$ is a comodule morphism, the coassociativity of $\delta_H$ and the naturality of $c$. The fourth one is a consequence of the quasigroup structure of $H$ and, finally, the last one follows by the naturality of $c$ and [22].

If [25] holds, we obtain (d2) because, using the coassociativity of $\delta_H$ and the naturality of $c$:

$$\begin{align*}
(A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ h^{-1})) \circ \delta_H \\
= (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (h^{-1} \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H) \circ \delta_H
\end{align*}$$
Proof. (i) The morphisms \( h \ast h^{-1} \) and \( q_A = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes h^{-1}) \circ \rho_A \) factorize through the equalizer \( i_A \).

(ii) \( \mu_A \circ ((h^{-1} \ast h) \otimes A) = (A \otimes (\varepsilon_H \otimes \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \rho_A) \).

(iii) \( (h^{-1} \ast h) \ast h^{-1} = h^{-1} \ast (h \ast h^{-1}) \).

(iv) \( h \ast (h^{-1} \ast h) = h = (h \ast h^{-1}) \ast h \).

(v) \( \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h)) \circ \rho_A = \text{id}_A \).

(vi) If \( A^{coH} \rightarrow A \) satisfies (52), the equality \( \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes q_A \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) = \mu_A \) holds.

Assertion (ii) is a direct consequence of (c1) of Definition 4.1, (b4) of Definition 3.1, (4) and the naturalness of \( c \).

Then we have that
\[
\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\varepsilon_H \otimes \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \rho_A) \circ \rho_A = (A \otimes (\varepsilon_H \otimes \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \rho_A).
\]

As far as (iii), we get \((h^{-1} \ast h) \ast h^{-1} = h^{-1} \ast (h \ast h^{-1})\) by (c4) of Definition 4.1 and by the coassociativity of \( \delta_H \). The equality \((h^{-1} \ast h) \ast h^{-1} = (h^{-1} \ast h) \ast h^{-1}\) follows by (ii) and (c2) of Definition 4.1. In a similar way, \( h \ast (h^{-1} \ast h) \ast h = (h \ast h^{-1}) \ast h \) is a consequence of the coassociativity of \( \delta_H \) and (c3) of Definition 4.1. The equality \((h^{-1} \ast h) \ast h = h\) follows using that \( h \) is a comodule morphism, (c1) of Definition 4.1 and (38).

It is easy to prove (v) taking into account (c1) of Definition 4.1 and (52). Finally, by (52), the condition of right \( H \)-comodule for \( A \), (c3) of Definition 4.1 and (v), we have
\[
\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes q_A \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (i_A \otimes \rho_A) \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (i_A \otimes \rho_A) \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes h^{-1} \ast h) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) = \mu_A,
\]
and the proof is complete.

\[\Box\]

Remark 4.6. Note that, in the previous result, we did not use (c4) of Definition 4.1.
Proposition 4.7. Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let $(A, \rho_A)$ be a right $H$-comodule magma satisfying (2). Assume that there exist $h : H \to A$ and $h^{-1} : H \to A$ such that $h$ is a right $H$-comodule morphism and conditions (c1), (c3) and (c4) of Definition 3.1 hold. Then condition (c2) is equivalent to (73).

Proof. First we will prove (c2)$\Rightarrow$ (73): Let $f$, $g$ and $l$ be the morphisms $f = (h^{-1} \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H$, $g = \rho_A \circ h$ and $l = \rho_A \circ h^{-1}$. We will show that $f = l$. First of all, note that
\[
\begin{align*}
f \ast g &= (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ \delta_H \\
&= (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes ((\varepsilon_H \otimes \mu_H) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (\delta_H \otimes H)) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \\
&\quad \circ (\delta_H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \\
&= (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes \mu_H) \circ \lambda_H \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (\delta_H \otimes ((A \otimes \Pi_H^1) \circ \rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \\
&= (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \\
&= (A \otimes (\Pi_H^1 \circ (\lambda_H \otimes \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \\
&= (A \otimes (\lambda_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \\
&= (\lambda_H \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \\
&= \rho_A \circ (h^{-1} \ast h) \\
&= l \ast g,
\end{align*}
\]
where the first equality follows because $h$ is a comodule morphism as well as by the coassociativity of $\delta_H$ and the naturality of $c$; the second one follows by (c1) of Definition 4.1, the coassociativity of $\delta_H$ and the naturality of $c$; in the third one we use (1), and the fourth one is a consequence of (b6) of Definition 3.1 and the naturality of $c$. The fifth equality relies on (a4-4) of Definition 2.2, the sixth one on (5) and the naturality of $c$ and the seventh one follows because $A$ is a right $H$-comodule and the naturality of $c$. Finally, the eighth equality is a consequence of (c1) of Definition 4.1 and the last one follows by (33).

On the other hand, the following identity holds
\[
(h^{-1} \ast h) \circ \mu_H = ((\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h)) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H).
\]
(74)

Indeed: using (c1) of Definition 4.1, the naturality of $c$ and (a2) of Definition 2.2
\[
\begin{align*}
(h^{-1} \ast h) \circ \mu_H &= (A \otimes (\varepsilon_H \otimes \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (\mu_H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \\
&= (A \otimes (\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H \otimes (\lambda_H \otimes \mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \\
&= (A \otimes (\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \\
&= ((\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H) \circ (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)) \\
&= ((\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H) \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h)) \circ (H \otimes \delta_H).
\end{align*}
\]
Then, $(f \ast g) \ast f = f$ because
\[
\begin{align*}
(f \ast g) \ast f &= (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ (\mu_H \otimes \lambda_H))) \circ (H \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes (H \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h))) \circ H \otimes h^{-1} \\
&\quad \circ (H \otimes ((\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H)) \circ \delta_H \\
&= (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ A)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes (\lambda_H \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h))) \circ H \otimes h^{-1} \\
&\quad \circ (H \otimes ((\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H)) \circ \delta_H \\
&= (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ A)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes (\lambda_H \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h))) \circ H \otimes h^{-1} \\
&\quad \circ (H \otimes ((\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H)) \circ \delta_H \\
&= (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ A)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes (\lambda_H \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h))) \circ H \otimes h^{-1} \\
&\quad \circ (H \otimes ((\delta_H \otimes H) \circ \delta_H)) \circ \delta_H \\
&= (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (H \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h))) \circ (\lambda_H \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h)) \circ \delta_H \\
&= (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ A)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes (\lambda_H \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h))) \circ \delta_H \\
&= c_{H,A} \circ (\lambda_H \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h)) \circ \delta_H \\
&= f,
\end{align*}
\]
where the first equality is a consequence of the coassociativity of $\delta_H$, the naturality of $c$ and the condition of comodule morphism for $h$. The second one follows by (a4-6) of Definition 2.2, the third one follows
by (8) and the fourth one relies on (74). In the fifth one we used the coassociativity of $\delta_H$ and the naturalness of $c$. The sixth one can be obtained using (iii) of Proposition 4.5 and the naturalness of $c$, the seventh one follows by (a4-3) of Definition 2.2 and the last one follows by the naturalness of $c$.

As a consequence, $f = l$. Indeed:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f = (f * g) * f \\
& = (l * g) * f \\
& = (\mu_A \otimes H) \odot (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \odot (\mu_A \otimes (\mu_H \otimes \lambda_H) \odot (H \otimes \delta_H)) \odot A \\
& \quad \odot (A \otimes c_{H,A} \otimes H \otimes A) \odot ((\rho_A \otimes h^{-1}) \odot ((h \otimes H) \otimes \delta_H) \otimes h^{-1}) \odot (\delta_H \otimes H) \otimes \delta_H \\
& = (\mu_A \otimes H) \odot (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \odot (\mu_A \otimes H) \odot (\rho_A \otimes ((A \otimes \Pi_H^L) \otimes \rho_A)) \odot (\delta_H) \odot (h^{-1} \otimes h) \odot \delta_H \\
& = (\mu_A \otimes H) \odot (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \odot ((\mu_A \otimes H) \odot (A \otimes c_{H,A}) \odot (\rho_A \otimes A)) \odot (\delta_H) \odot (h^{-1} \otimes h) \odot \delta_H \\
& = ((\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A)) \odot (A \otimes h \otimes h^{-1}) \odot (A \otimes \delta_H)) \otimes H \odot (A \otimes c_{H,H}) \odot ((\rho_A \circ h^{-1}) \otimes H) \odot \delta_H \\
& = (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h \otimes h^{-1})) \odot H) \odot (A \otimes c_{H,H}) \odot ((\rho_A \circ h^{-1}) \otimes H) \odot \delta_H \\
& = (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes H)) \odot (A \otimes c_{H,H}) \odot ((\rho_A \circ h^{-1}) \otimes H) \odot \delta_H \\
& = l,
\end{align*}
$$

where the first and the second equalities follow by the identities previously proved, and the third one is a consequence of the coassociativity of $\delta_H$, the naturalness of $c$ and the condition of comodule morphism for $h$. In the fourth equality we used that $h$ is a morphism of comodules and (a4-6) of Definition 2.2 while the fifth and the ninth ones follow by (43). The sixth one relies on the coassociativity of $\delta_H$ and the naturalness of $c$, the seventh one on (c4) of Definition 4.1 and the eighth one follows by naturalness of $c$. In the tenth one we applied (i) of Proposition 4.5 and the eleventh one relies on (83). Finally, the last one follows by (iii) of Proposition 4.5.

Conversely, (73) $\Rightarrow$ (c2). Indeed:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (A \otimes \mu_H) \odot (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \odot (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ h^{-1})) \odot \delta_H \\
& = (A \otimes \mu_H) \odot (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \odot (H \otimes (h^{-1} \otimes \lambda_H) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H)) \odot \delta_H \\
& = (h^{-1} \otimes \Pi_H^L) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H \\
& = (h^{-1} \otimes (\Pi_H^R \circ \lambda_H)) \circ c_{H,H} \circ \delta_H \\
& = (A \otimes \Pi_H^R) \circ \rho_A \circ h^{-1},
\end{align*}
$$

where the first and the fourth equalities follow by (73), the second one by the coassociativity of $\delta_H$ and the naturalness of $c$ and the third one by (22). $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** Let $H$ be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let $(A, \rho_A)$ be a right $H$-comodule magma satisfying (22). Assume that there exist $h : H \to A$ and $h^{-1} : H \to A$ such that $h$ is a right $H$-comodule morphism and conditions (c1), (c2) and (c3) of Definition 4.1 hold. Then condition (c4) is equivalent to

$$
\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes q_A).
$$

**Proof.** We get (c4) of Definition 4.1 by composing with $A \otimes h$ in (75) and using that $h$ is a morphism of $H$-comodules.

As far as the "if" part,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \\
& = \mu_A \circ ((\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes q_A) \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)) \\
& = \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A) \circ ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes q_A) \otimes (h \otimes h^{-1}) \circ \delta_H)) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \\
& = \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A) \circ (A \otimes q_A \circ (h \otimes h^{-1})) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \\
& = \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A) \circ (A \otimes q_A \circ (h \otimes h^{-1})) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \\
& = \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A) \circ (A \otimes h \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (q_A \otimes \delta_H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \\
& = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \circ A) \circ (A \otimes (h \otimes h^{-1}) \circ \delta_H))) \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes A \circ \delta_H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \\
& = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \circ (h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)) \\
& = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A) \circ (h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)).
\end{align*}
$$
As a consequence, \( \omega = \mu_A \circ (A \otimes q_A) \).

In the preceding computations, the first equality follows by (vi) of Proposition 4.5, the second one by the comodule condition for \( A \), and the third and fifth ones by (c4) of Definition 4.1, in the fourth one we use (52) and \( q_A = i_A \circ p_A \). The sixth equality follows because \( A \) is a right \( H \)-comodule and coassociativity of \( \delta_H \); the seventh one relies on (c3) of Definition 4.1, finally, in the last one we use (v) of Proposition 4.5.

\[ \square \]

5. The main theorem

Now we get the main result of this paper which gives a characterization of Galois extensions with normal basis in terms of cleft extensions.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( H \) be a weak Hopf quasigroup and let \( (A, \rho_A) \) be a right \( H \)-comodule magma satisfying (52), (58) and such that the functor \( A \otimes - \) preserves coequalizers. The following assertions are equivalent.

(i) \( A^{coH} \hookrightarrow A \) is a weak \( H \)-Galois extension with normal basis and the morphism \( \gamma_A^{-1} \) is almost lineal.

(ii) \( A^{coH} \hookrightarrow A \) is a weak \( H \)-cleft extension.

**Proof.** (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) Let \( A^{coH} \hookrightarrow A \) be a weak \( H \)-Galois extension with normal basis. Using that \( \Omega_A \) is a morphism of left \( A^{coH} \)-modules and right \( H \)-comodules it is not difficult to see that so are the morphisms \( \omega_A = b_A^{-1} \circ r_A : A^{coH} \otimes H \to A \) and \( \omega_A = s_A \circ b_A : A \to A^{coH} \otimes H \). Now define \( h = \omega_A \circ (\eta_{A^{coH}} \otimes H) \).

Taking into account that \( \omega_A \) is a morphism of \( H \)-comodules, so is \( h \).

Let \( h^{-1} \) be the morphism defined as
\[
h^{-1} = m_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H),
\]
where \( m_A \) is the morphism obtained in Lemma 3.15. By Proposition 3.8 (68), and taking into account that \( \omega_A \) is a morphism of \( H \)-comodules we obtain that
\[
(m_A \otimes H) \circ \rho_A^{2}_{A^{coH},A} \circ n_A = (A \otimes ((i_A \otimes H) \circ \omega_A))
\]
and then, by (52) and using that \( \omega_A \) is a morphism of \( A^{coH} \)-comodules, we get that
\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_A \circ (m_A \otimes (\omega_A \circ (\eta_{A^{coH}} \otimes H))) & = (A \otimes ((i_A \otimes H) \circ \omega_A)) \\
& = (A \otimes (\omega_A \circ \omega_A')) \\
& = \mu_A.
\end{align*}
\]

As a consequence,
\[
\underline{\mu}_A = (A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ i_{A \otimes H} \circ \gamma_A
\]

where \( \underline{\mu}_A \) denotes the factorization of the morphism \( \mu_A \) through the coequalizer \( n_A \), i.e., \( \underline{\mu}_A \circ n_A = \mu_A \).

Note that
\[
\underline{\mu}_A = (A \otimes \varepsilon_A) \circ i_{A \otimes H} \circ \gamma_A
\]
also holds.

Now we show conditions (c1)-(c4) of Definition 4.1. Using (67), (68) and the equality (77), we get (c1). Indeed,
\[
\begin{align*}
h^{-1} \circ h & = \mu_A \circ (m_A \otimes h) \circ \rho_A^{2}_{A^{coH},A} \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H) \\
& = \underline{\mu}_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H) \\
& = (A \otimes \varepsilon_A) \circ i_{A \otimes H} \circ \gamma_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H) \\
& = (A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ i_{A \otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H) \\
& = (A \otimes (\varepsilon_H \circ \mu_H)) \circ (\varepsilon_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\mu_A \circ \eta_A)).
\end{align*}
\]
The proof for (c2) is the following: In one hand we have

\[(A \otimes (\mu_H)) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ h^{-1})) \circ \delta_H\]

\[= (A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes ((m_A \otimes \gamma_H^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H}) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H)) \otimes H)\]

\[\circ (\rho_A \circ \delta_H) \circ \nabla_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes H)) \circ \delta_H\]

\[= (m_A \circ \gamma_H^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H}) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,H}) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes c_{H,H} \circ (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes (\lambda_H \otimes H)) \otimes H)) \circ (H \otimes \rho_A \circ (\delta_H \circ (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))\]

\[= ((m_A \circ \gamma_H^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H}) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \rho_A \circ (\delta_H \circ (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))\]

\[= (m_A \circ \gamma_H^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H}) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \rho_A \circ (\delta_H \circ (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))\]

\[= (m_A \circ \gamma_H^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H}) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \rho_A \circ (\delta_H \circ (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))\]

\[= (m_A \circ \gamma_H^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H}) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \rho_A \circ (\delta_H \circ (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))\]

\[= (m_A \circ \gamma_H^{-1} \circ p_{A \otimes H}) \circ (A \otimes c_{H,H} \otimes H) \circ (c_{H,A} \otimes (\mu_H \circ (H \otimes \lambda_H)) \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes \rho_A \circ (\delta_H \circ (\rho_A \circ \eta_A))\]

The first equality follows by (10), the second one follows by (6) and the naturality of \(c\), the third one follows by the naturality of \(c\) and the unit properties and the fourth one is a consequence of (a1) of Definition (2.2) and (3.1). The fifth and the thirteenth equalities rely on the comodule condition for \(A\) and on the naturality of \(c\). In the sixth one we used (a4-5) of Definition (2.2) and the seventh one follows by (12). The eighth and the eleventh ones are a consequence of (1) and the ninth one was obtained using the naturality of \(c\) and the coassociativity of \(\delta_H\). The tenth one follows by the naturality of \(c\) and the twelfth one relies on (12). Finally, the last one follows by (a1) of Definition (2.2).
Moreover, by (71), the condition of almost lineal for $A$, we use the unit properties and the fourth one follows by (a1) of Definition 2.2, the comodule condition of $A$, which is a consequence of (13), (18) and (19). The eighth one relies on (22), and the ninth one follows by (22) and the naturalness of $c$. The fifth one is a consequence of (a4-5); the sixth one by (22) and the naturalness of $c$. In the seventh one we applied (30) and the equality

$$\Pi^R_H \circ \mu_H \circ (\Pi^R_H \otimes H) = \Pi^R_H \circ \mu_H,$$

(78)

which is a consequence of (13), (13) and (19). The eighth one relies on (22), and the ninth one follows by the comodule condition for $A$ and the naturalness of $c$. Finally, the last one follows by (a1) of Definition 2.2.

Therefore, (c2) holds, because

$$(A \otimes \mu_H) \circ (c_{HA} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ h^{-1})) \circ \delta_H$$

$$= ((m_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_A \otimes H) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes c_{HA} \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (\delta_H \circ H) \circ (c_{HA} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)))$$

$$= (A \otimes \Pi_H^R) \circ (A \otimes h^{-1}).$$

To see (c3),

$$\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H)$$

$$= \mu_A \circ ((m_A \circ \varphi_{A \otimes A = A} \circ (A \otimes (\gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_A \otimes H) \circ (\eta_A \otimes H)))) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H)$$

$$= \mu_A \circ ((m_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_A \otimes H) \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H)$$

$$= \mu_A \circ (m_A \otimes h) \circ (p_A \otimes A = A) \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_A \otimes H$$

$$= \Pi_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_A \otimes H$$

$$= (A \otimes h^{-1}) \circ \nabla_A$$

$$= (A \otimes (\varepsilon_H \otimes \mu_H)) \circ (c_{HA} \otimes H) \circ (H \otimes (\rho_A \circ \eta_A)))$$

$$= \mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \otimes h)),$$

where the first equality follows by (71); the second one because $\gamma_A^{-1}$ is almost lineal (see (62)); in the third one we use (67); in the fourth one (70). The fifth one is a consequence of the equality (77); the sixth one relies on the definition of $\nabla_A$; and the last equality follows by (c1).

Finally, by (71), the condition of almost lineal for $\gamma_A^{-1}$ and (53), we have

$$\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)$$

$$= m_A \circ \varphi_A \otimes A \circ (A \otimes (\gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_A \otimes H) \circ (\eta_A \otimes H)) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)$$

$$= m_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ p_A \otimes H \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)$$

$$= m_A \circ n_A.$$
Therefore, by Proposition 4.8 (c4) holds.

Now we will prove (ii) ⇒ (i). Let $A^{\circ \circ H} \hookrightarrow A$ be a weak $H$-cleft extension with cleaving morphism $h$. Then the morphism

$$\gamma^{-1}_A = n_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes ((h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ \delta_H)) \circ i_{A \otimes H}$$

is the inverse of $\gamma_A$. Indeed, first note that by (c1) of Definition 4.1 we have

$$\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h)) = (A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ \nabla_A,$$

and, as a consequence, using that $\nabla_A$ is a right $H$-comodule morphism, we obtain

$$((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h))) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) = \nabla_A.$$  \hfill (79)

Then, $\gamma_A \circ \gamma^{-1}_A = id_{A \otimes H}$ because

$$i_{A \otimes H} \circ \gamma_A \circ \gamma^{-1}_A = \nabla_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)) \circ ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes h^{-1})) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) \circ i_{A \otimes H}$$

$$= \nabla_A \circ ((\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes ((h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ \delta_H))) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) \circ i_{A \otimes H}$$

$$= \nabla_A \circ ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h))) \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) \circ i_{A \otimes H}$$

$$= \nabla_A \circ i_{A \otimes H}$$

$$= i_{A \otimes H},$$

where the first equality follows by (55), the second one taking into account that $h$ is a morphism of $H$-comodules and the coassociativity of $\delta_H$, the third one relies on (c3) of Definition 4.1 and the fourth one follows by (50). Finally the last equalities follow by the properties of $\nabla_A$.

The equality $\gamma^{-1}_A \circ \gamma_A = id_{A \otimes A^{\circ \circ H}}$ holds because

$$\gamma^{-1}_A \circ \gamma_A = n_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes (((h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ \delta_H))) \circ \nabla_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)$$

$$= n_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes (((h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ \delta_H))) \circ (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)$$

$$= n_A \circ ((\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)) \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)$$

$$= n_A \circ ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes q_A)) \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \rho_A)$$

$$= n_A \circ (\delta_H \circ A \otimes \rho_A))$$

$$= n_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ \delta_H) \circ \rho_A))$$

$$= n_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ \rho_A))$$

$$= n_A \circ \gamma_A \circ n_A = n_A,$$

where the first equality follows by (55); the second one by (50); in the third and the sixth ones we use that $A$ is a right $H$-comodule; the fourth one relies on Proposition 4.8. The fifth equality follows because $q_A = i_A \circ p_A$; the seventh one uses (c3) of Definition 4.1; finally, the last one follows by (v) of Proposition 4.5.

Now we show that $\gamma^{-1}_A$ is almost linear. Indeed, firstly note that

$$\varphi_{A \otimes A^{\circ \circ H}} \circ (A \otimes (\gamma^{-1}_A \circ p_{A \otimes H} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H)))$$

$$= n_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes ((h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ \delta_H))) \circ (A \otimes (\nabla_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes H)))$$

$$= n_A \circ ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes h^{-1}) \circ \delta_H) \circ \nabla_A \circ (\eta_A \otimes H))) \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H)$$

$$= n_A \circ ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes ((h^{-1} \ast h) \circ h^{-1})) \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H)$$

$$= n_A \circ (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \circ h) \otimes h)) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H),$$

where the first equality follows by the definition of $\gamma^{-1}_A$ and (69), the second one because $\nabla_A$ is a right $H$-comodule morphism, the third one relies on (80) and the last one follows by (iii) of Proposition 4.5.

Secondly, by similar arguments, and using (i) of Proposition 4.5 and (92), we obtain

$$\gamma^{-1}_A \circ p_{A \otimes H} = n_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes ((h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ \delta_H)) \circ \nabla_A$$

$$= n_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h)) \otimes h \circ (A \otimes \delta_H)$$

$$= n_A \circ ((\mu_A \circ (A \otimes (h^{-1} \ast h)) \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H).$$
Therefore, $\gamma_A^{-1}$ is almost lineal.

To finish the proof we must show that the extension has a normal basis. Let $\omega_A$ and $\omega'_A$ be the morphisms $\omega_A = \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes h)$ and $\omega'_A = (p_A \otimes h) \circ \rho_A$. By (c3) of Definition 4.1, the comodule condition for $\rho_A$ and (v) of Proposition 14.3, $\omega_A \circ \omega'_A = id_A$ and then the morphism $\Omega_A = \omega'_A \circ \omega_A : A^{coH} \otimes H \to A^{coH} \otimes H$ is idempotent. Let $s_A : A^{coH} \times H \to A^{coH} \otimes H$ and $r_A : A^{coH} \otimes H \to A^{coH} \times H$ be the morphisms such that $s_A \circ r_A = \Omega_A$ and $r_A \circ s_A = id_{A^{coH} \times H}$. Taking into account that $h$ is a comodule morphism and (11), it is not difficult to see that $\Omega_A$ is a morphism of right $H$-comodules. Also,

$$
(i_A \otimes H) \circ \Omega_A = (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (i_A \otimes ((h \ast h^{-1}) \otimes H) \circ \delta_H),
$$

(81)

because

$$
(i_A \otimes H) \circ \Omega_A = ((q_A \circ \mu_A) \otimes H) \circ (i_A \otimes ((h \otimes H) \circ \delta_H)) = ((\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes (\rho_A \circ h))) \otimes H) \circ (i_A \otimes \delta_H) = ((\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes ((h \otimes h^{-1}) \circ \delta_H))) \otimes H) \circ (i_A \otimes \delta_H) = (\mu_A \otimes H) \circ (i_A \otimes ((h \ast h^{-1}) \otimes H) \circ \delta_H),
$$

where the first equality follows by the definition of $\Omega_A$, the second one follows by (11), in the third one we use the condition of comodule morphism for $h$, and the last one relies on (c4) of Definition 4.1.

To prove that $\Omega_A$ is a morphism of left $A^{coH}$-modules, first note that, by (11), (75) and (40), we have

$$
q_A \circ \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A) = (\mu_A \circ (A \otimes h^{-1}) \circ \rho_A \circ \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A) = (\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)) = (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes q_A) = (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes (i_A \circ p_A))) = (i_A \circ p_A) = \mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A^{coH} \otimes p_A),
$$

and then

$$
p_A \circ \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A) = \mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A^{coH} \otimes p_A).
$$

(82)

Therefore the equality

$$
\Omega_A = (\mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A^{coH} \otimes p_A)) \otimes (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)) = (\mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A^{coH} \otimes p_A)) \otimes (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)).
$$

(83)

holds because, by (11) and (82), $\Omega_A = (p_A \circ \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A)) \otimes (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)) = (\mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A^{coH} \otimes p_A)) \otimes (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)).$

Then, $\Omega_A$ is a morphism of left $A^{coH}$-modules. Indeed, by (83)

$$
(\mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A^{coH} \otimes \Omega_A) = (\mu_{A^{coH}} \otimes H) \circ (A^{coH} \otimes (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h))) = (((\mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A \otimes p_A)) \otimes H) \circ (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)) = (\mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A \otimes p_A)) \otimes (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)) = \mu_{A^{coH}} \otimes (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)).
$$

Finally, let $b_A = r_A \circ \omega'_A$. Using that $\Omega_A$ is a right $H$-comodule morphism, we obtain that $b_A$ is a right $H$-comodule morphism. Also, it is easy to show that $b_A$ is an isomorphism with inverse $b_A^{-1} = \omega_A \circ s_A$. Finally, the morphism $b_A$ is a morphism of left $A^{coH}$-modules because its inverse is a morphism of left $A^{coH}$-modules. Indeed, using that $\Omega_A$ is a morphism of left $A^{coH}$-modules, (10) and (52), we have

$$
b_A^{-1} \circ \varphi_{A^{coH} \times H} = \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes h) \circ \Omega_A \circ (\mu_{A^{coH}} \otimes H) \circ (A^{coH} \otimes s_A) = \mu_A \circ ((i_A \circ \mu_{A^{coH}}) \otimes h) \circ (A^{coH} \otimes (\Omega_A \circ s_A)) = ((\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (i_A \otimes i_A \otimes h)) \circ (A^{coH} \otimes s_A) = \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes (\mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes h))) \circ (A^{coH} \otimes s_A) = \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes b_A^{-1}).
$$

□
Remark 5.2. In the associative setting conditions \( \text{[52], 48} \) hold and, for example, the previous result generalizes the one proved by Doi and Takeuchi for Hopf algebras in \([14]\). Also, for a weak Hopf algebra \( H \), by Remark \([31] \) we obtain that the assertions

(i) \( A^{coH} \rightarrow A \) is a weak \( H \)-Galois extension with normal basis,
(ii) \( A^{coH} \rightarrow A \) is a weak \( H \)-cleft extension,

are equivalent for a right \( H \)-comodule monoid \( A \). This equivalence is a particular instance of the one obtained in \([2] \) for Galois extensions associated to weak entwinings structures.

As a Corollary of Theorem \([54] \) for Hopf quasigroups we have a result which shows the close connection between the notion of cleft right \( H \)-comodule algebra (\( H \)-cleft extension for Hopf quasigroups), introduced in \([2] \), and the one of \( H \)-Galois extension with normal basis introduced in this paper. Also, when \( A^{coH} = K \) we have the equivalence proved in \([3] \) because, in this case, \( i_A = \eta_A \).

**Corollary 5.3.** Let \( H \) be a Hopf quasigroup and let \( (A, \rho_A) \) be a right \( H \)-comodule magma satisfying \( \text{[52], 48} \) and such that the functor \( A \otimes - \) preserves coequalizers. The following assertions are equivalent.

(i) \( A^{coH} \rightarrow A \) is an \( H \)-Galois extension with normal basis, the morphism \( \gamma_A^{-1} \) is almost linear, \( \Omega_A = \text{id}_{A^{coH} \otimes H} \) and \( b_A \circ \eta_A = \eta_{A^{coH}} \otimes \eta_H \).

(ii) \( A^{coH} \rightarrow A \) is an \( H \)-cleft extension.

**Proof.** First, note that in this setting \( \rho_A \circ \eta_A = \eta_A \otimes \eta_H \) and then \( \nabla_A = \text{id}_{A \otimes H} \). Also, the submonoid of coinvariants \( A^{coH} \) is defined by the equalizer of \( \rho_A \) and \( A \otimes \eta_H \). Therefore,

\[
\rho_A \circ i_A = i_A \otimes \eta_H. \quad (84)
\]

The proof for (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) is the following. Let \( A^{coH} \rightarrow A \) be a weak \( H \)-Galois extension with normal basis. Assume that \( \Omega_A = \text{id}_{A^{coH} \otimes H} \). Then \( r_A = \text{id}_{A^{coH} \otimes H} = s_A \) and by Theorem \([5.1] \) \( A^{coH} \rightarrow A \) is a weak \( H \)-cleft extension with cleaving morphism \( h = b_A^{-1} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H) \), and whose convolution inverse is \( h^{-1} = m_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H) \). Moreover,

\[
h \ast h^{-1} = m_A \circ \varphi_{A \otimes A^{coH}} \circ (A \otimes (\gamma_A^{-1} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H))) \circ \rho_A \circ h
\]

\[
= m_A \circ \gamma_A^{-1} \circ \rho_A \circ b_A^{-1} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H)
\]

\[
= (i_A \otimes \varepsilon_H) \circ b_A \circ b_A^{-1} \circ (\eta_A \otimes H)
\]

\[
= \eta_A \otimes \varepsilon_H,
\]

where the first equality follows because \( h \) is a morphism of \( H \)-comodules and by \([71] \); the second one uses that \( \gamma_A^{-1} \) is almost linear, and the third one relies on \([69] \).

Also, \( h \circ \eta_H = \eta_A \) because \( b_A \circ \eta_A = \eta_{A^{coH}} \otimes \eta_H \) holds. Therefore, by Remark \([5.2] \) \( A^{coH} \rightarrow A \) is an \( H \)-cleft extension.

On the other hand, let \( A^{coH} \rightarrow A \) be an \( H \)-cleft extension with cleaving morphism \( h \). Then,

\[
h^{-1} \ast h = h \ast h^{-1} = \eta_A \otimes \varepsilon_H
\]

because

\[
\mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) = A \otimes \varepsilon_H = \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes h \otimes h^{-1}) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H).
\]

Put \( \Omega_A = \text{id}_{A^{coH} \otimes H} \). Obviously it is an idempotent morphism of left \( A^{coH} \)-modules and right \( H \)-comodules. Consider the morphisms \( b_A = (p_A \otimes H) \circ \rho_A \) and \( b_A^{-1} = \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes h) \). Using that \( A \) is a right \( H \)-comodule, we obtain

\[
b_A^{-1} \circ b_A = \mu_A \circ (\mu_A \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes h^{-1} \otimes h) \circ (A \otimes \delta_H) \circ \rho_A = \text{id}_A.
\]

On the other hand, applying that \( h \) is a comodule morphism, \([41] \) and \([52] \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_A \circ (p_A \otimes \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes A)) \otimes (A \otimes h) & \circ (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)) \\
& = \left( (p_A \circ \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes h)) \otimes (A^{coH} \otimes \delta_H) \right) \circ (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h)) \\
& = (\mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A^{coH} \otimes (\rho_A \circ h))) \otimes H \circ (A^{coH} \otimes \delta_H).
\end{align*}
\]


Therefore, \( b_A \circ b_A^{-1} = id_{A^{coH} \otimes H} \) because

\[
\mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A^{coH} \otimes (p_A \circ h)) = A^{coH} \otimes \varepsilon_H.
\] (85)

Indeed, composing with \( i_A \) we obtain

\[
i_A \circ \mu_{A^{coH}} \circ (A^{coH} \otimes (p_A \circ h)) = \mu_A \circ (i_A \otimes (h \ast h^{-1})) = i_A \otimes \varepsilon_H
\]

and then (85) is proved.

Trivially, \( b_A \) is a morphism of right \( H \)-comodules, and by (52), \( b_A^{-1} \) is a morphism of left \( A^{coH} \)-modules. Then, \( b_A \) is a morphism of left \( A^{coH} \)-modules. \( \square \)
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