Identification of Polo-like kinase 1 interaction inhibitors using a novel cell-based assay
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Polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1) plays several roles in cell division and it is a recognized cancer drug target. Plk1 levels are elevated in cancer and several types of cancer cells are hypersensitive to Plk1 inhibition. Small molecule inhibitors of the kinase domain (KD) of Plk1 have been developed. Their selectivity is limited, which likely contributes to their toxicity. Polo-like kinases are characterized by a Polo-Box Domain (PBD), which mediates interactions with phosphorylation substrates or regulators. Inhibition of the PBD could allow better selectivity or result in different effects than inhibition of the KD. In vitro screens have been used to identify PBD inhibitors with mixed results. We developed the first cell-based assay to screen for PBD inhibitors, using Bioluminescence Resonance Energy Transfer (BRET). We screened through 112 983 compounds and characterized hits in secondary biochemical and biological assays. Subsequent Structure-Activity Relationship (SAR) analysis on our most promising hit revealed that it requires an alkylating function for its activity. In addition, we show that the previously reported PBD inhibitors thymoquinone and Poloxin are also alkylating agents. Our cell-based assay is a promising tool for the identification of new PBD inhibitors with more drug-like profiles using larger and more diverse chemical libraries.
Inhibition of the PBD is an alternative and attractive strategy to interfere with Plk1 function. A functional PBD in Plk1 is essential for cell division. It allows Plk1 to bind several of its substrates and to be recruited to different locations where its activity is required, including centrosomes, kinetochores and the central spindle in cytokinesis. The PBD is unique to Plk members (unlike the KD) and it differs more between Plks in terms of sequence and structure than the KD does. Moreover, Plks have been shown to differ in their preferred phosphopeptide binding motifs. Thus, the PBD may be more amenable to the development of Plk1-specific inhibitors than the KD. Besides, some functions of Plk1 may be more reliant on the KD than the PBD, while other functions may depend more on the PBD than the KD. Therefore, inhibition of the PBD may result in different functional consequences than inhibition of the KD and this difference could be advantageous in cancer treatments. Finally, inhibitors of the PBD could act additively or synergistically with KD inhibitors, possibly resulting in an increased efficacy for either compound at tolerable doses.

For these reasons, efforts have already been deployed to develop PBD inhibitors of Plk1. The first proof of principle of this strategy came with the identification of Poloxin and its natural analog thymoquinone (TQ) as PBD inhibitors in an in vitro screen using a Fluorescence Polarization assay monitoring the interaction between recombinant Plk1 and a fluorophore-coupled phosphopeptide. These compounds inhibited the PBD at low micromolar concentrations in vitro. However, high micromolar concentrations were required to disrupt mitosis and induce apoptosis. PBD crystals soaked with TQ revealed an X-ray structure with TQ non-covalently bound to the phosphopeptide binding site of the PBD. Another screen, using an in vitro assay monitoring the binding of the PBD tagged with a fluorescent protein to an immobilized phosphopeptide, allowed the identification of purpurogallin (PPG) as a PBD inhibitor. This compound too was active at low micromolar concentrations in vitro but impacted mitosis at high micromolar concentrations. The most potent chemical inhibitors of the PBD are phosphopeptide or peptide-like molecules. Burke, Lee and colleagues were the first to explore the potential of phosphopeptides to increase the potency and specificity of these compounds. However, cell permeability of these molecules will remain problematic unless an engineered approach succeeds in efficiently delivering them inside cells.

We reasoned that a cell-based assay would be advantageous for the identification of PBD inhibitors. It would allow the direct detection of cell-permeable compounds active in an intracellular environment as primary hits in a chemical screen. We have thus developed the first cell-based assay for the identification of PBD interaction inhibitors. Using this assay, we have conducted a HTS screen and identified compounds that we characterized in secondary assays and chemical analyses. We found that our most potent hit acts by alkylation on nucleophilic amino acid side chains, suggesting little potential for drug development. In addition, we show that TQ and Poloxin are also alkylators.

**Results**

A novel cell-based assay to search for PBD interaction inhibitors of Plk1. To develop a cell-based assay for the identification of Plk1 interaction inhibitors, we used Bioluminescence Resonance Energy Transfer (BRET) assay monitoring the binding of Plk1 and Map tagged with Luc and GFP were tested (Supplementary Fig. S1). The Plk1-Luc/GFP-Map combination was selected because it yielded the highest BRET dynamic window. We then generated clonal stable cell lines co-expressing these two fusion proteins. These cell lines behaved very similarly to each other in BRET, depending on their concentration in the original stocks solutions. BRET readings were taken 2 hours after treatment. Results obtained show a tight, close to normal distribution centered around zero inhibition relative to DMSO-treated controls (Fig. 1D). Compounds inducing at least 30% BRET inhibition were selected. From these, auto-fluorescent compounds and those that induced more than 90% reduction in RLU/GFP were removed, leaving 800 primary hits, that we then re-tested using the same assay. In parallel, we tested these compounds in a control BRET assay for an unrelated pair of interacting proteins (BRAF-CRAF) to exclude false-positive compounds that could reduce the BRET signal by interfering with light or by modifying the activity of GFP or Luc. We obtained 121 confirmed Plk1-specific BRET hits.

**Chemical screen for PBD interaction inhibitors.** We used our cell-based assay to screen a library of 112,983 compounds available in-house at IRIC’s High-Throughput Screening (HTS) platform (see Materials & Methods). Compounds were tested on cells in 384-well plates at concentrations between 10 nM and 25 μM, depending on their concentration in the original stocks solutions. BRET readings were taken 2 hours after treatment. Results obtained show a tight, close to normal distribution centered around zero inhibition relative to DMSO-treated controls (Fig. 1D). Compounds inducing at least 30% BRET inhibition were selected. From these, auto-fluorescent compounds and those that induced more than 90% reduction in RLU/GFP were removed, leaving 800 primary hits, that we then re-tested using the same assay. In parallel, we tested these compounds in a control BRET assay for an unrelated pair of interacting proteins (BRAF-CRAF) to exclude false-positive compounds that could reduce the BRET signal by interfering with light or by modifying the activity of GFP or Luc. We obtained 121 confirmed Plk1-specific BRET hits.

**Hit compounds interfere with mitosis.** Plk1 is essential for mitotic progression. Therefore, if compounds completely block Plk1 functions, they should block cells in mitosis. We tested the effect of our 121 BRET hits on mitotic progression of HeLa cells by immunofluorescence against phospho-Histone H3 (pSer10), a well known mitotic epitope. The percentage of cells positive for this marker (mitotic index) was scored. Only three hits, Cpd
Cpd 46 and Cpd 69 (Cpd: compound) markedly increased the mitotic index compared with DMSO-treated cells, suggesting that they inhibit Plk1 function enough to block or slow down mitosis at the concentration used (Fig. 2A). Cpd 46 and Cpd 69 are similar flavonoids, while Cpd 16 is distinct, with pyridine and benzyl groups.

Figure 1. A BRET-based assay to screen for chemical inhibitors of Plk1 protein interaction. (A) Co-crystal structure of zebrafish Plk1 (kinase domain and Polo-Box Domain in light blue and grey, respectively) in complex with a fragment of Drosophila Map205 (purple) (PDB entry 4J7B). The assay developed in this study exploited the analogous interaction between human Plk1 and a Drosophila Map205 fragment. Residues of the PBD phospho-binding pocket (zoomed in) within a 4-angstroms distance of Map205 phospho-mimetic residue E314 are shown as sticks (W405 corresponds to W414 in human Plk1, N514 to N523, F526 to F535, H529 to H538 and K531 to K540). (B) Principle of the assay. Human Plk1 is C-terminally fused to RLucII and Map is N-terminally fused to GFP10. When the RLucII and GFP10 moieties are brought closer than 10 nm, oxidation of the coelenterazine 400a substrate by RLucII induces an energy transfer from RLucII to GFP10, causing GFP10 to emit fluorescence. (C) The Plk1-Map interaction used in the assay strongly depends on pincer (H538 and K540) residues in the PBD of Plk1 required for its interactions with phosphorylated proteins. HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with a constant amount of Plk1-Luc plasmid and an increasing amount of Map-GFP plasmid. The BRET signal measured 48 hours later is plotted as a function of ratio GFP fluorescence/luciferase activity. Error bars: standard deviation of triplicate values from the same experiment. (D) Distribution of the screened compounds according to their effect on the Plk1-Map BRET signal. Left: distribution of the number of compounds according to the percentage of inhibition. Right: Percentage of inhibition values for each compound tested. A minimum threshold of 30% inhibition was applied as a first selection criterion.
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Some primary hit compounds increase the mitotic index and induce abnormal mitotic phenotypes. (A) The 121 primary BRET hits from the library were tested in a mitotic index assay (concentrations ranging from 10\(\mu\)M to 25\(\mu\)M). The known Plk1 inhibitors BI2536 and Poloxin were included at the indicated concentrations, for comparison. HeLa cells were treated for 7 hours before the immunofluorescence with phospho-Histone H3 antibody. Upper right: example of the image generated by the Operetta. Error bars: standard deviation of triplicate values from the same experiment. (B–C) Mitotic index for selected compounds purchased separately and re-tested at different concentrations in HeLa cells (B) and in HEK293T cells (C). (D) Plk1 inhibitors induce mitotic defects. HeLa cells were treated as above with the indicated compounds and examined by immunofluorescence to reveal \(\alpha\)-Tubulin (red), the centrosome marker pericentrin (green) and DNA (DAPI, blue). The percentage of cells with more than 2 pericentrin foci and the percentage of cells showing a disorganized spindle are shown. Two representative images are shown for each treatment. For DMSO, the top image shows a normal disorganized spindle in prometaphase and the bottom image shows a normal metaphase. All experiments were done in triplicate and error bars correspond to standard deviation.

separated by a sulfone and ester-containing linker (Supplementary Fig. S2). Under the conditions used, these 3 compounds at concentrations ranging between 10\(\mu\)M and 25\(\mu\)M induced a mitotic index around 20%, similar to Poloxin at 25\(\mu\)M.
Biochemical validation of the ability of compounds to disrupt Plk1 interactions. Compounds that decrease the BRET signal are predicted to disrupt the Plk1-Map interaction. However, they could act without disrupting the interaction, for example by changing the conformation of one of the BRET partners and thus the efficiency of the energy transfer. To test whether compounds really disrupt the Plk1-Map interaction, we used a co-precipitation assay based on the Luminescence-based mammalian interactome mapping (LUMIERE) assay\(^49\), testing the 121 hits of our primary screen (+Cpd 122). HEK293T cells stably expressing Map-Luc/GFP-Plk1 were treated for 2 hours with compounds (between 10 μM and 25 μM) in 96-well plates. After cell lysis, GFP-Plk1 was immunoprecipitated and co-purified Map-Luc was measured by luminescence following addition of the luciferase substrate, coelenterazine. Our 121 BRET hits disrupt the Plk1-Map interaction in various degrees in this assay (Fig. 3A and Supplementary Table S1). We focused our attention on the compounds that also induced an increase in mitotic index, in addition to scoring as BRET inhibitors. Cpd 16 disrupts the interaction with similar or better efficiency than TQ or PPG (Fig. 3A-B). Surprisingly, Cpds 69 and 122 have no effect on the Plk1-Map interaction in this assay. The KD inhibitor BI2536 strongly inhibited the Plk1-Map interaction (Fig. 3A-B). We previously observed the disruption of the Plk1-Map205 interaction by BI2536. It can be explained by a steric clash between KD-bound BI2536 and the PBD, which in turn destabilizes the interaction with Map205\(^50\). Thus, compounds that interfere with the Plk1-Map interaction can act allosterically on Plk1. Compounds that disrupt the interaction both in BRET and in co-IP but have no effect on the mitotic index could possibly still inhibit the PBD although not efficiently enough to cause problems in mitosis. They could also inhibit the Plk1-Map interaction by binding to Map instead of Plk1 or by a non-specific effect in the cell. Considering all variables, Cpd 16 clearly appeared as our most promising hit as it was the only compound clearly active in BRET, mitotic index and co-IP assays (Supplementary Table S2). We therefore sought to investigate its mode of action through the use of *in vitro* assays.

To test if compounds interfere with the ability of Plk1 to interact with its substrates by its canonical site on the PBD, we used a fluorescence polarization (FP) assay developed by Reindl et al.\(^51\), by which they identified TQ and Poloxin along with some other molecules such as TQ, PPG, and KD inhibitor BI2536 as controls, and then stained against α-Tubulin, pericentrin (a centrosome marker) and DNA (Fig. 2D). In all cases, there was a marked increase in the frequency of disorganized spindles compared with DMSO-treated control cells. This is expected as Plk1 plays multiple roles in spindle assembly and maintenance. In addition, cells treated with Cpd 16, Cpd 122, TQ and Poloxin showed multiple foci of pericentrin. Cpd 69, an analog of compound 122, induced a similar phenotype at lower concentrations (data not shown). This phenotype was not seen after treatment with BI2536. These observations are consistent with previous results suggesting that PBD inhibition with Poloxin, more than KD inhibition, preferentially disrupts the ability of Plk1 to promote centrosome cohesion\(^52\). The same study suggested that this effect was due to interference with the role of Plk1 in promoting the activity of the centrosome protein Kizuna in preserving the cohesion of the pericentriolar material\(^53\). We did not explore further whether this mechanism was specifically disrupted.
little or no activity in the FP assay, as predicted since they are inactive in co-IP. Interestingly, several additional compounds were active in FP, but because none of them significantly perturbed mitosis, we did not prioritize them for further analysis.

**Cpd 16 is unstable and generates an active vinyl sulfone.** With the goal to increase the potency of Cpd 16, and to obtain hints regarding its mechanism of action, we initiated a Structure-Activity Relationship (SAR) analysis (Fig. 4E). We obtained commercially available analogs of Cpd 16 and we also prepared some derivatives using well established synthetic methods (Supplementary Fig. S4). The SAR with the FP assay revealed that the sulfone and the ester functionalities are crucial to maintain good activity. The substitution patterns on both aromatic rings do not have a strong effect on the potency. However, activity was abolished by replacement of the sulfone by a sulfoxide (Cpd 148) or a thioether (Cpd 147), or by the replacement of the ester by an amide functionality (Cpd 142) (Fig. 4C, F and Supplementary Table S3).

Based on these observations, we speculated that the sulfone undergoes a $\beta$-elimination of the benzoate subunit to generate a vinyl sulfone which is responsible for the biological activity of this class of compounds. Using LCMS, we evaluated the stability of Cpd 16 in the buffer used in the FP assay. After a few minutes of incubation in that buffer, LC-MS revealed that fragmentation occurred and the vinyl sulfone (Cpd 161) together with the benzoic acid are the major components of the solution (Fig. 5A). The sulfone $\beta$-elimination is a base-catalysed process and the buffer used in the assay is basic enough to allow the reaction to occur. Under neutral or acidic conditions, the compound was very stable and no fragmentation was observed. The vinyl sulfone (Cpd 161) was easily prepared from the alcohol (Cpd 158) (Supplementary Fig. S4) and showed the same activity as Cpd 16 in the FP assay. As expected, saturation of the vinyl group completely abrogated activity in FP (Cpd 158 and Cpd 169; Fig. 5B).

**Figure 3. Some primary hit compounds interfere with the Plk1-Map interaction in a co-immunoprecipitation assay.** (A) The 121 BRET hits (+ Cpd 122) disrupt the Plk1/Map interaction to various degrees in this assay, some disrupting the interaction more efficiently than TQ (blue zone), including Cpd 16. For each compound, values (relative luminescence unit) were calculated relative to the maximal value in each column of compounds (see Table S1 for raw data and analysis). (B) Focus on selected hit compounds and controls. Note that like TQ and Cpd 16, BI2536 also disrupts the interaction in this assay. All values are averages of duplicates from the same experiment.
The vinyl sulfone is a protein alkylator and is required for PBD inhibition. Vinyl sulfones are reactive species that can alkylate substrates as a result of a nucleophilic attack on the distal carbon of the vinyl group. To evaluate the potential of Cpd 161 (vinyl sulfone) to react with proteins, we tested its reaction with nucleophilic amino acid side chains groups. After incubation for only a few minutes at room temperature, Cpd 161 reacted to completion with N-terminally protected lysine or cysteine. It also reacted with histidine, but the reaction was slower (Fig. 6). These results indicate that Cpd 161 is a potent alkylator of the nucleophilic lysine, cysteine and histidine side chains. To test whether Cpd 161 could react covalently with the PBD, we incubated them together...

Figure 4. Some of the compounds identified interfere with the interaction between the PBD and binding peptides in a Fluorescence Polarization (FP) assay. (A) The FP signal increases when a FITC-labeled PBD-binding phosphopeptide (10 nM) is incubated with increasing concentrations of GST-PBD$_{367-603}$. (B) Competitive displacement of the FITC-phosphopeptide (10 nM) from the GST-PBD$_{367-603}$ (used at EC$_{50}$ = 38 nM) by the corresponding unlabeled phosphopeptide added at increasing concentrations. Experiment was done in duplicate. Error bars: range between values. (C) Inhibition curves of compounds assayed by FP. GST-PBD$_{367-603}$ (38 nM) was pre-incubated for 1 hour with indicated compounds before addition of the FITC-phosphopeptide. TQ and Cpd 16 show similar IC$_{50}$ values. Experiment was done in triplicate. Error bars: standard deviation. (D) Targeted screen of selected compounds in the FP assay. GST-PBD$_{367-603}$ (38 nM) was pre-incubated for 1 hour with different compounds at 50 μM before addition of the FITC-phosphopeptide. Values are means of triplicates from the same experiment (±standard deviation). (E) Summary of SAR strategy targeting substitutions on the cycles and the linker between them. (F) Chemical structures of published PBD inhibitors used in this study, Cpd 16 and 4 selected analogs of Cpd 16 tested in FP in panel C.
and examined the molecular mass of the protein by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 7). When revealed by Coomassie Blue staining of the gel or by Western blot with a Plk1 antibody directed against the PBD, the PBD appeared higher in the gel, a few kDa above the protein treated with DMSO alone (Fig. 7A). As the mass of Cpd 161 is 251 Da, this shift suggests that several units of Cpd 161 can react with one unit of PBD. Consistent with this idea, the extent of the shift depended on the concentration of Cpd 161 used (Fig. 7B) and also on the incubation time (Fig. 7C).

Interestingly, recognition of the protein by an antibody directed against the poly-histidine tag, which is present at the N-terminal of the recombinant PBD, was reduced or even lost after reaction between the PBD and Cpd 161, probably because alkylation of histidine residues in the tag prevents recognition by the antibody (Fig. 7A-B). These results reinforce the conclusion that Cpd 161 inhibits PBD interactions by alkylation.

Thymoquinone and Poloxin are also protein alkylators. Thymoquinone and Poloxin were the first PBD inhibitors identified and arguably the most potent small molecule PBD inhibitors in cells. It was proposed that these molecules inhibit the binding of Plk1 interaction substrates by competing with the same site on the PBD. Because TQ behaved very similarly to Cpd 161 in all our cell-based and biochemical assays so far, we decided to test it if it could also act as an alkylator. Interestingly, TQ contains a double bond whose electrophilic potential is predicted to be enhanced by the presence of a neighboring carbonyl. Strikingly, TQ reacted to completion with amino protected cysteine in only a few minutes at room temperature (Fig. 8A). We could detect the reaction product with as little as 50 μM TQ that reacted at neutral pH (PBS) with acetyl cysteine; we could not test lower concentrations because of the detection limit of the liquid chromatography (data not shown). TQ also

Figure 5. Cpd 16 spontaneously fragments to generate a vinyl sulfone whose vinyl group is required for PBD inhibition. (A) Cpd 16 fragments into vinyl sulfone and benzoic acid products in an aqueous solution at approximate pH 8.0. Upper left: Diagram showing the reagent and products. Bottom: Diode array detector chromatogram (DAD) showing all the components detected after approximately 10 minutes in FP buffer. Upper right: Vinyl sulfone was detected on the mass spectrometer (m + 1 = 252) by LC-MS. (B) Inhibition dose-response curves obtained by FP with the chemical structures of the compounds tested. GST-PBD367-603 at 38 nM (EC50) was incubated for 1 hour with the compound before addition of the FITC-phosphopeptide (10 nM). Experiment was done in triplicate. Error bars: standard deviation.
reacted with protected lysine, although the reaction was slower (Fig. 8B). No reaction was observed with histidine (data not shown). For Poloxin, in the presence of protected cysteine (Fig. 9A) only the hydrolysed Poloxime (Thymoquinone-oxime) form was detected. Poloxin also reacted to completion with amino-protected cysteine (A) and lysine (B) after approximately 10 minutes at room temperature. The reaction also occurred with amino-protected histidine (C) but was slower. TIC peaks corresponding to reaction products analyzed by MS are indicated by red boxes.

Although TQ and Poloxin are capable of alkylating amino acid side chains, they did not cause marked mobility shifts in a gel like Cpd 161 (Fig. 7A-B). We turned to Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionisation – Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF) as a more sensitive method to test if these compounds could react covalently with the PBD.
Analysis of the DMSO-treated PBD revealed a signal with a maximum intensity around 36 883 m/z, corresponding to the protein bearing a single positive charge. After incubation of the protein with TQ or Poloxin, the protein signals reproducibly shifted to higher m/z values, with a maximum at approximately 37 000–38 000 depending on the experiment (Fig. 10A), suggesting a covalent reaction with approximately one or two units of each compound on average under the conditions used. A weaker signal was observed around 18 439 m/z, corresponding to the protein bearing a double positive charge. This signal was also shifted up by treatment with TQ or Poloxin. These results confirm that TQ and Poloxin react covalently with the PBD, as suggested by the observed reactivity with nucleophilic amino acid side chains. We analysed the protein by MALDI-TOF after reaction with Cpd 161, but the protein signal reproducibly disappeared for unknown reasons. It may be that the incorporation of multiple units of Cpd 161 in the protein changes dramatically its ionization and/or desorption properties in the sample. We also tried mass spectrometry using electrospray on the whole PBD, but the protein fragmented in the analysis (data not shown).

To map alkylation sites on the PBD, we turned to LC-MS/MS analysis of alkylated proteins after digestion with trypsin (Fig. 10B). We detected alkylation by TQ, Poloxin and Cpd 161, with profiles consistent with the chemical reactivity observed above. While addition of TQ was found on cysteine and lysine residues, addition of Poloxin was detected on multiple lysine residues, and Cpd 161 alkylated cysteines, lysines and histidines. For all 3 compounds, alkylated residues were detected outside the PBD substrate binding pocket (discussed below). We conclude that Cpd 161, TQ and Poloxin are non-specific protein alkylating agents.

**Discussion**

Our goal was to identify new inhibitors of Plk1 interactions that would be more specific to Plk1 and active in vivo. To this end, we designed the first cell-based screening system that monitors the capacity of Plk1 to interact with a target via its PBD. We used this assay to conduct a HTS chemical screen. After characterization in secondary assays, we found that our only potent, on-target hit with a disruptive effect on mitosis (Cpd 161) is an alkylator and that its inhibition of the PBD depends on its alkylation function. Moreover, we showed that TQ and Poloxin, compounds that provided the first proof of concept for PBD inhibition by non-peptide small molecules are also...
alkylators of nucleophilic amino acid side chains. The potential of Poloxin to act as an alkylator on the PBD was proposed based on SAR in a recent study, but protein alkylation by Poloxin was not demonstrated52.

As Cpd 16 rapidly fragments to generate a vinyl sulfone that is capable of alkylating lysine, cysteine and histidine side chains by reacting with their strongly nucleophilic nitrogen and sulfur atoms, it is probably capable of alkylating any protein that contains such residues. It is therefore impossible to conclude that the observed effect of Cpd 16 on mitosis is due to its effect on Plk1. It could be due to interference with any important mitotic regulators, microtubules or even chromosomes, or a combination of several off-targets. In this regard, it is worth noting that treatment of cells with Cpd 16 did not result in a significant decrease in localization of Plk1-GFP or endogenous Plk1 from mitotic centrosomes, kinetochores or the cytokinetic midbody, as predicted for a PBD inhibitor that would be effective in cells (data not shown). Similar conclusions can be reached for TQ and Poloxin: they are also non-specific alkylators and the effects they have on mitosis and cell viability could easily be due to off-target effects34,35. A decrease in Plk1 localization to centrosomes was reported in the presence of TQ or Poloxin, but we failed to reproduce this result (data not shown). Although we added up to 100 μM of each compound to the medium, it is impossible to know the concentrations reached inside the cell. An intracellular concentration of a compound that is sufficient to kill the cell due to unspecific alkylations may be insufficient to disrupt Plk1 localization.

Our FP results show that Cpd 16 inhibits PBD binding activity. Although Cpd 16 is in principle capable of alkylation on any exposed lysine, histidine and cysteine side chains, such modifications are not predicted to result in PBD inhibition unless they disrupt its binding site. Such inhibition could occur by alkylation directly obtruding the binding site or by alkylation at a distant site that would induce a conformational change allosterically disturbing the binding site. We used molecular docking calculations to probe for the putative interaction site(s) and mode of binding of Cpd 16 on the PBD structure53. Calculations were made in a non-biased manner, using the whole PBD as a possible binding space. Results are shown in Supplementary Fig. S6 where the two most probable binding modes are shown. Interestingly, both predictions showed Cpd 16 bound precisely within the canonical binding site of the PBD. There, His538 and Lys540, the “pincer” residues that are essential for contact with a phospho-serine or phospho-threonine are exposed and could possibly react with the vinyl group in Cpd 16. Our chemical results would be also consistent with the reaction of Lys540 with TQ or Poloxin: alkylation at His538 or Lys540 would cause steric hindrance and block protein interactions with the PBD in its binding pocket. Alkylation at these residues was not detected in our LC-MS/MS analysis (Fig. 10B). However, if His538 or Lys540 were alkylated, the trypsin may not be able to cleave after Lys540, and the resulting tryptic peptide would be very long (38 residues) and thus difficult to detect. Interestingly, we saw that non-alkylated peptides covering His538 and Lys540 were not detected in PBD treated with Poloxin and Cpd 16, possibly because the protein was heavily alkylated at this region, while non-alkylated peptides covering this region were detected in PBD that reacted with TQ and DMSO alone.
Interestingly, alkylation of two residues was found very near the phosphopeptide binding site in the PBD and could contribute to blocking interactions: His489 for Cpd 161 and Lys492 for Cpd 161 and Poloxin 53. Lys492 was previously shown to be mono-ubiquitylated in a mechanism that allows the release of Plk1 from its docking partners at kinetochores in metaphase54. It is therefore possible that alkylation at this site by Cpd 161 or Poloxin contributes to impede on the ability of the PBD to engage in protein interactions. It has been proposed, based on theoretical calculations, that TQ and Poloxin could potentially alkylate Cys544 after binding the PBD at its canonical site55. However, we did not observe alkylation at this site and Poloxin did not react with acetyl cysteine. Potent inhibitors that act by site-specific alkylation of nucleophilic amino acid side chains have been identified 56–58. These tend to involve a basal non-covalent interaction at the site of alkylation that can be observed even for analogs that are incapable of alkylation. For example, an irreversible, covalent inhibitor of CDK2 was developed by the addition of a vinyl sulfone function to a pre-existing non-covalent inhibitor57. As analogs of Cpd 161 that lack the vinyl group are inactive in PBD inhibition up to at least 300μM, it is rather unlikely that Cpd 161 could be a good starting scaffold to develop any useful PBD inhibitor.

Figure 9. Poloxin alkylates nucleophilic amino acid side chains. Benzoylated lysine (B) and histidine (C) derivatives were detected by LC-MS. For the 3 amino-protected amino acids, the scheme of the reaction, Total Ion Chromatogram (TIC), and mass spectrum (m + 1) are presented. Poloxin did not react with protected cysteine (A); only the hydrolysed Poloxime form was detected. Poloxin reacted to completion with amino-protected lysine (B) and histidine (C) after only approximately 10 minutes at room temperature, where a cleaved Poloxime form was also observed in all cases. TIC peaks corresponding to reaction products analyzed by MS are indicated by red boxes. Note that benzoylated histidine derivative was not stable and was no longer detected after a few hours, when only the Poloxime form was detected (data not shown).
Non-specific alkylators such as Cpd 161, TQ and Poloxin are reminiscent of Pan-Assay Interference Compounds (PAINS) which are infamous to medicinal chemists because they stumble on them so often as non-specific hits in screening assays. It is estimated that approximately 7% of compounds in IRIC's chemical library may be considered as PAINS, which is low compared to other chemical libraries (unpublished observations). Of such PAINS, non-specific alkylators constitute only a small fraction. When identified, problematic compounds in a library should be flagged.

We hope that the assay we have developed will be used to screen additional libraries and that this will lead to the identification of more promising lead compounds with PBD inhibitor activities. Our assay is the first to function in cells for this purpose. This is an advantage because it allows the identification of membrane permeable and biologically active compounds right away, unlike in vitro assays using the PBD in solution. Our BRET-based assay was enabled by the fact that the Plk1-Map interaction occurs in interphase and not only in mitosis like most Plk1-substrate interactions. The high affinity of the Plk1-Map interaction favors a high stringency in screens, and thus inhibitors identified are likely to be potent. However, the Plk1-Map affinity could be too high to pick up lower-affinity compounds that could be improved later through SAR. Nevertheless, we know that in the cell, Plk1 and Map205 are dynamic, their interaction being disrupted by phosphorylation of both proteins, which likely contributes to the capacity of the assay to identify inhibitors. In potential future use, the assay could benefit from variations in Plk1-Map affinity by mutations in the Map peptide utilized. Like in vitro assays, our cell-based

---

Figure 10. Covalent reaction of TQ and Poloxin with the PBD is detected by mass spectrometry. (A) His-PBD<sub>326-603</sub> (4 μg) was treated with 2.5 mM TQ, 250 μM Poloxin (2.5 mM precipitated), or DMSO (negative control) for approximately 2 hours. Samples were submitted to MALDI-TOF analysis. The full spectra showing the 3 forms of the protein (+1 peak around 36.9 kDa, +2 peak around 18.5 kDa, and the +3 peak around 12 kDa), are shown. The +1 form was zoomed in to better evaluate the peak displacement of the PBD caused by alkylation by TQ and Poloxin. (B) Alkylation sites detected by LC-MS/MS on tryptic digests of alkylated PBD. Residues observed to be alkylated by TQ, Poloxin and Cpd 161 are indicated by colored circles. In each case, the grey line indicates the sequence covered by the peptides observed in the analysis, with percentages of PBD sequence on the right.
assay also has the potential to produce false positives hits that can affect the interaction indirectly. The higher complexity of a cell-based system actually increases this risk. For this reason, the secondary assays using mitotic index, co-IP and FP that we have put in place are essential to determine which compounds act on target. In future screens, a special effort should be made to use more diverse libraries enriched with compounds of higher molecular weight and bearing more complex three-dimensional topologies. This is a crucial concern for the identification of protein/protein interaction inhibitors because most protein interactions occur via a larger surface than enzymatic active sites targeted in traditional screens.

Materials and Methods

Plasmids. cDNAs coding for the RLucII and GFP10 tags were introduced in the pIREShyg3 plasmid, where cDNAs for the human full length Plk1 and a fragment of Drosophila Map205 (Microtubule-associated protein 205) from amino acid residues 254 to 416 (Map205254-416 = Map) were then introduced in C or N-term to obtain 8 constructs. The 8 pairs of plasmids were transiently transfected and tested in BRET 48 hours later to select the better combination. A pIRESpuro3 plasmid, where we introduced GFP10 and Map, was also created to generate the clonal stable cell line used in the screen. The Plk1-PBDHK (H538A and K540M) mutant was generated using QuikChange Lightening Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

Cell culture, transfections and cell lines. HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS (Invitrogen) and with penicillin/streptomycin (Wisent). Transfections were done using FuGENE (Promega). For the screen, a HEK293T clonal stable cell line expressing Plk1-Luc and GFP-Map was generated. The pIREShyg3-Plk1-Luc plasmid was first transfected in HEK293T cells and selection with hygromycin (30 μg/ml) was applied before isolating clones. Transient transfection of the pIRESpuro3-GFP10-Map plasmid was done in a few clones expressing Plk1-Luc to test BRET. One of these clones was selected to be stably transfected with the pIRESpuro3-GFP10-Map plasmid. After selection with puromycin (0.3 μg/ml) and clone isolation, expression levels of GFP and the Luc fusion proteins and the BRET ratio were measured to select the stable cell line to use for the chemical screen. For titration curves, 15,000 HEK293T cells were seeded in 96-well white plate (CulturePlate; PerkinElmer Inc.) coated with poly-L-ornithine and transfected the day after. The amount of transfected RLucII plasmids was kept constant (40 ng), while the amount of GFP10 plasmid was increased (between 0 and 400 ng). The empty pIREshyg3 was used to complete to 440 ng of DNA transfected per well where needed. FuGENE was used in a ratio 3:2 (3 μL FuGENE: 2 μL DNA). Transfections for titration curves were done in triplicate.

BRET. For BRET on transiently transfected cells, 48 hours after the transfection, medium was removed, cells were washed twice with PBS, and then Tyrode solution (140 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 12 mM NaHCO3, 5.6 mM D-glucose, 0.49 mM MgCl2, 0.37 mM NaH2PO4, 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, where 1 mM CaCl2 was freshly added) was added to the cells. Total fluorescence was first measured using a FlexStation II microplate reader (Molecular Devices), where the GFP10 was excited at 400 nm and read at 510 nm. Then, cells were treated for 5 minutes with 2.5 μM coelenterazine 400a (Gold Technology) before reading BRET2 using the Mithras LB940 microplate reader (Berthold Technologies). BRET2 signal corresponds to the light emitted by the GFP10 acceptor constructs (515 nm ± 20 nm) divided by the light emitted by the RLucII donor constructs (400 nm ± 70 nm). Net BRET2 was calculated by subtracting the background BRET ratio of the RLucII plasmid alone from the BRET ratio obtained by the two BRET partners. The Net BRET2 was plotted according to the GFP10/RLucII ratio, which was obtained by dividing the total fluorescence by the RLucII emission.

For the high throughput chemical screen, cells were amplified and frozen as multiple 1 ml aliquots containing 25 millions of stable HEK293T Plk1-Luc/GFP-Map cells to ensure that cells at the same passage number are used during the screen. HEK293T Plk1-Luc/GFP-Map cells were seeded at 12.5 millions per double CellStack (Corning). Five days later, cells were counted and re-suspended in Tyrode solution. 50,000 cells per well (45 μL/well) were seeded in 384-well white plates (Greiner Bio One). Library compounds were added (0.25 μL to the cells (between 10–25 μM final concentration) using the 384 pin tool head of a Biomek liquid handler (V&P Scientific), and plates were incubated for 2 hours at 37 °C in a CytoMat automated incubator. Total GFP10 fluorescence was read using an EnVision plate reader (Perkin Elmer Inc.) with an excitation filter for 400 nm ± 20 nm and an emission filter for 510 ± 20 nm. Coelenterazine 400a solution containing 1% Pluronic (to avoid precipitation) was added (2.5 μM final) to the cell and plates were incubated for 10 minutes before the BRET2 measurements using a SpectramaxL luminometer (Molecular Devices) with an excitation filter for 400 nm ± 10 nm and an emission filter for 510 ± 10 nm. The Z-factor was calculated using the equation: \(1 - \frac{3(\sigma_p + \sigma_d)}{|\mu_p - \mu_d|}\), where \(\sigma\) correspond to the standard deviation and \(\mu\) to the mean of positive (p) or negative (n) controls. BRET inhibition was calculated with the formula: \(100 - \left(\frac{BRET_{\text{amp}}}{BRET_{\text{DMSO}}}\right) \times 100\). For the confirmation screen, the same procedure as for the primary screen was followed, except that HEK293T cells were also seeded at 6.25 millions of cells per single CellStack on day 1 for transient transfections. These cells were transfected on day 3 with Luc-Map (5 μg) and Plk1-GFP (25 μg) pIREShyg3 plasmids using polyethylenimine (PEI). To identify false-positives compounds, Luc-CRAF (4 μg) and GFP-BRAF (20 μg) plasmids were also transfected with PEI following the published procedure.

Note that BRET1 (Mithras LB940 microplate reader equipped with acceptor (530 ± 20 nm) and donor (480 ± 20 nm) filters) was used at the beginning of the study due to the lack of the BRET2 filters. BRET2 probes (RLucII and GFP10) can be read with either BRET1 or BRET2 filter sets and the main difference is that the BRET values are higher when using the BRET1 filters.
**Immunofluorescence and microscopy.** For the mitotic index assay, 4000 HeLa cells or 5000 HEK293T cells per well were seeded in a 96-well plate. The day after, cells were incubated for 7 hours with different compounds, and then fixed by adding formaldehyde (4% final concentration) directly to each well. Plates were incubated 20 minutes at 37 °C, and then wells were rinsed three times with TBS-Tween 0.1%. A 1 hour block with PHEM buffer (60 mM Pipes, 25 mM HEPES, 10 mM EGTA, 4 mM MgSO4, pH 6.9) containing 2% BSA and 0.1% triton was performed, before addition of the primary antibody to the wells. The anti-phospho-Histone H3 (Ser10) antibody (EMD Millipore) was diluted 1:300 in PHEM buffer containing 2% BSA and added to the wells for 2 hours at room temperature in the dark. Wells were then washed three times with TBS-Tween 0.1%, before addition of the secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 488 anti-rabbit (Invitrogen) diluted 1:200 in PHEM buffer containing 2% BSA. Plates were incubated for 1h 30 at room temperature in the dark, and then wells were washed 3 times with TBS-Tween 0.1%. A solution of Mowiol containing DAPI was finally added to the wells. Plates were kept at 4 °C until imaging using the 20x objective on an Operetta system (Perkin Elmer inc.). The mitotic index, corresponding to the percentage of pH3 positive cells relative to the total number of DAPI-stained cells detected was calculated by the Operetta using the Harmony 3.5 software. Experiments were done in triplicates.

For the mitotic defect phenotypes, 25 200 HeLa cells were plated on poly-L-lysine coated round cover slips in 24-well plates. The day after, cells were treated for 7 hours, and then washed with PHEM buffer at 37 °C before fixation in PHEM buffer containing 4% formaldehyde for 20 minutes at 37 °C. Cells were washed three times with TBS-tween 0.1% and plate was kept overnight at 4 °C. Next steps were followed as previously described except that coverslips were incubated in a dark wet chamber with antibodies. Anti-pericentrin (diluted 1:1000; Abcam), anti-α-tubulin DM1A (diluted 1:500; Sigma-Aldrich), Alexa Fluor 488 anti-rabbit and Texas-Red anti-mouse (diluted 1:200; Invitrogen) were used. Coverslips were mounted on slide using Vectashield media containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories).

**Microscopy.** Images shown in Fig. 2D were acquired on an AxioImager microscope (Carl Zeiss) with a 100x oil objective (NA 1.4 DICIII) and an AxioCam HRM camera (Carl Zeiss) using AxioVision software (Carl Zeiss).

**LUMIER assay.** Half a million stably transfected Map205-RLucII/GFP10-Plk1 cells in Tyrode solution were seeded per well in a 96-well plate and allowed to settle for 30 min at 37 °C. Compounds were added to the wells (final concentration between 10–25 μM), mixed, and plates were incubated at 37 °C for 2 hours. Plates were then centrifuged at 4 °C at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes to pellet cells. Cells were then lysed with the IP buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EGTA, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, PMSE, aprotinin and leupeptin) and incubated on ice for 20 minutes. Plates were centrifuged again at 4 °C at 3400 rpm for 10 minutes, and the soluble fraction was transferred into a new 96-well plate. Note that 10 μl of this fraction was transferred in white 96-well plates from which the luminescence was read as a measure of the input amount of cell lysate for the co-IP. GFP-Plk1 was immunoprecipitated by adding 0.35 μl of anti-GFP antibody (Invitrogen). Plates were sealed and incubated on a rotator at 4 °C for 30 minutes. Then, 4 μl of pre-washed protein A magnetic beads (Invitrogen) were added to each well. Plates were sealed and incubated at 4 °C for 1h30. The extracts + beads were then transferred in a 96-well PCR plates and beads were washed 3 times with the IP buffer using a magnetic 96-well rack. The fourth wash was done in Tyrode solution, then beads were re-suspended with it and transferred in a white 96-well plate. Coelenterazine h (Biotium) was added to the beads at a final concentration of 2.5 μM. Plates were shaken and enhanced luminescence was read using an EnVision plate reader. Tyrode was also added to the plates containing the input fraction, then Coelenterazine h was added to the wells, and enhanced luminescence was read as described above. Data were analyzed as described in Table S1.

**Protein purification.** Human Plk1 full length protein was purchased from Abcam and human GST-PBD367-603 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. His–PBD326-603 was expressed in E.coli BL21 using the plasmid pET28a–10His–FA–Plk1–PBD326-603 graciously donated by Dr. Thorsten Berg (Universität Leipzig, Germany). Cells were lysed in a buffer composed of 50 mM NaH2PO4 pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, PMSE, aprotinin and leupeptin. Cells were sonicated, then triton X-100 (0.5% final concentration) was added and tubes were incubated on a rotator for 20 minutes at 4°C. PBD was purified by affinity chromatography using the Talon cobalt resin (Clontech Laboratories inc) according to the manufacturer’s procedures. Beads were washed 3 times 5 minutes with a buffer identical to the lysis buffer described above but with 600 mM NaCl and with 0.5% triton. Two supplementary washes were done using the previous buffer but with 400 mM NaCl and without triton. His–PBD was eluted with a buffer containing 50 mM NaH2PO4 pH 8, 400 mM NaCl and 1 M imidazole and adjusted to pH 8.0. His–PBD used in the FP assays was dialyzed as described[8]. His–PBD used in western blot, Coomassie Blue gel staining and mass spectrometric analyses was dialyzed three times, to decrease the presence of imidazole, against a buffer containing 50 mM NaH2PO4 pH 8, 400 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol and 0.01% NP-40. Note that this buffer was optimized due to the reactivity of Cpd 161 with nucleophiles (Tris, DTT, and imidazole) and was validated to have no influence on the His–PBD/phosphopeptide binding experiments assayed by fluorescence polarization (data not shown).

**Fluorescence polarization assay.** The FP buffer was composed of 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 20% glycerol and 0.1% NP-40. The peptide (final concentration 10 μM) was always added to the wells of non-binding, black, 384-well plates, 1 hour after the addition of the protein with the buffer (+/- treatment, 10% DMSO). Fluorescence polarization was then measured using a Synergy™ NEO microplate reader (excitation filter: 485 nm, emission filter: 530 nm; BioTek Instruments). EC50 values were determined based on the binding curves, and correspond to the concentration of protein that produces 50% of increase in FP between the baseline polarization of the free peptide (absence of protein) and the completely bound state (maximal polarization). EC50 were used to perform the competitive assays with the non-labeled peptides and with the
inhibitors. FP Inhibition was calculated with the formula: 100 − [(mP_sample − mP_free_peptide)/(mP_DMSO − mP_free_peptide)] × 100]. The fluorescent-labeled peptides used in this assay are FITC-GPMQS[T]PLNG (phosphopeptide), and FITC-GIAVPDERFIEADMFRHEL (Map peptide), and corresponding non-labeled peptides are identical but without FITC in N-terminal. All peptides were >95% pure and synthesized by Bio Basic inc. Inhibition curves were fitted with GraphPad (Prism software).

Western Blotting and Coomassie Blue gel staining. His-PBD326-603 was incubated with different compounds for the indicated period of time at room temperature in a buffer composed of 10 mM NaH2PO4 pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol and 0.01% NP-40. Laemmli 2x buffer was added to stop the reaction and samples were boiled for 5 minutes, then subjected to SDS-PAGE on 12% acrylamide gels and then blotted on nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked with TBS-Tween 0.1% containing 5% of dry milk powder, then incubated with anti-Plk1 (F-8; Santa Cruz Biotechnologies Inc.) or with a 6x-His epitope tag antibody (Invitrogen; gift from Gregory Emery). HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories inc.) was used and TBS-Tween 0.1% was used for the washes before and after the secondary antibody. For the Coomassie Blue gel staining, gels were first fixed for 5 minutes in a fixing solution containing 40% methanol and 10% glacial acetic acid, stained in a solution containing 50% methanol, 10% glacial acetic acid and 0.1% Coomassie Blue, and destained with a solution of 12% ethanol and 7% glacial acetic acid. Gels were imaged using a ChemiDoc MP system (BioRad).

Inhibitors. The compound library used for the chemical screen was provided by the IRIC HTS facility and was assembled from various commercial sources and in house syntheses (http://www.iric.ca/en/research/core-facilities/high-throughput-screening/?section=technologies). Thymoquinone was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Poloxin and BI2536 from MedChem Express, and PPG from ChromaDex. See Supplementary Table S2 for all vendor information concerning other compounds. All chemical structures were drawn using ChemBioDraw software.

Chemistry. General methods. Unless otherwise noted, all common reagents and solvents were used as obtained from commercial suppliers without further purification. All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were conducted under a positive pressure of nitrogen. HNMR were recorded on a 400 MHz Bruker instrument, in CDCl3 as solvent, with chemical shifts (δ) referenced to internal standard CDCl3 (7.26 ppm). LCMS were recorded on an Agilent Technologies Model 6120 quadrupole.

Syntheses.

2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)thio)ethanol

In a 20 ml sealed tube were added 2-chloro-6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine (1 g, 5.11 mmol), 2-mercaptoethanol (1.800 ml, 25.6 mmol) and K2CO3 (0.989 g, 7.16 mmol) in DMF (10 ml). The mixture was heated to 80 °C for two hours. The reaction mixture was cooled down and diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with a solution of NaHCO3 5%, brine and dried over Na2SO4. After evaporation of the solvents, the residue was purified on ISCO using a RediSep Gold 24 g column (Hex/ EtOAc). 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)thio)ethanol (1.20 g, 5.06 mmol, 99% yield) was obtained as an oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.32 (s, 1 H), 7.09(s, 1 H), 4.54 (brs, 1 H), 3.98 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.37 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.59 (s, 3 H). MS (APCI+) Calculated for [C9H10F3NOS+ H]+: 238.1, found: 238.1.

2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)thio)ethyl 3-chlorobenzoate

In a 20 ml round-bottomed flask were added 2-chloro-6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine (1 g, 5.11 mmol), 2-mercaptoethanol (1.800 ml, 25.6 mmol) and K2CO3 (0.989 g, 7.16 mmol) in DMF (10 ml). The mixture was heated to 80 °C for two hours. The reaction mixture was cooled down and diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with a solution of NaHCO3 5%, brine and dried over Na2SO4. After evaporation of the solvents, the residue was purified on ISCO using a RediSep Gold 24 g column (Hex/EtOAc). 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)thio)ethyl 3-chlorobenzoate (155 mg, 0.412 mmol, 95% yield) was
obtained as an oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.94 (m, 1 H), 7.88 (m, 1 H), 7.54 (m, 1 H), 7.37 (m, 1 H), 7.23 (s, 1 H), 7.02 (s, 1 H), 4.60 (t, $J$ = 6.3 Hz, 2 H), 3.63 (t, $J$ = 6.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.55 (s, 3 H). MS (APCI+) Calculated for [C$_{16}$H$_{13}$ClF$_3$NO$_2$S + H]$^+$: 376.1, found: 376.1.

2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)sulfonyl)ethyl 3-chlorobenzoate and 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)sulfinyl)ethyl 3-chlorobenzoate

In a 10 ml round-bottomed flask were added mCPBA (40 mg, 0.178 mmol), 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)thio)ethyl 3-chlorobenzoate (50 mg, 0.133 mmol), in DCM (2 ml). After 2 hours, the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with a solution of NaHCO$_3$ saturated, brine and dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified on ISCO using a RediSep 4 g column (Hex/EtOAc). The crude product was dissolved and loaded onto a pre-column. Both products were obtained as white solids. 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)sulfonyl)ethyl 3-chlorobenzoate (6 mg, 0.015 mmol, 11% yield) 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.01 (s, 1 H), 7.60 (m, 1 H), 7.53 (m, 1 H), 7.46 (m, 1 H), 7.44 (s, 1 H), 7.30 (s, 1 H), 4.79 (t, $J$ = 5.9 Hz, 2 H), 3.99 (t, $J$ = 5.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.59 (s, 3 H). MS (APCI+) Calculated for [C$_{16}$H$_{13}$ClF$_3$NO$_4$S + H]$^+$: 408.1, found: 408.1; 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)sulfinyl)ethyl 3-chlorobenzoate (16 mg, 0.041 mmol, 31% yield) 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.09 (s, 1 H), 7.71 (m, 1 H), 7.65 (m, 1 H), 7.53 (m, 1 H), 7.34 (m, 2 H), 4.89 (m, 1 H), 4.74 (m, 1 H), 3.59 (M, 2 H), 2.59 (s, 3 H). MS (APCI+) Calculated for [C$_{16}$H$_{13}$ClF$_3$NO$_3$S + H]$^+$: 392.1, found: 392.1.

2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)sulfonyl)ethanol

In a 10 ml round-bottomed flask was added 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)thio)ethanol (350 mg, 1.475 mmol) in DCM (6 mL), mCPBA (827 mg, 3.69 mmol) was added in portions. After 1 hour, the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM, washed 2X with a solution of saturated sodium bicarbonate, with brine and dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$. After evaporation of the solvent, 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)sulfonyl)ethanol (386 mg, 1.434 mmol, 97% yield) was obtained as an oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.17 (s, 1 H), 7.66 (s, 1 H), 4.15 (t, $J$ = 5.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.67 (t, $J$ = 5.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.40 (brs, 1 H), 2.77 (s, 3 H). MS (APCI+) Calculated for [C$_9$H$_{10}$F$_3$NO$_3$S + H]$^+$: 270.1, found: 270.1.

2-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)-6-(vinylsulfonyl)pyridine and 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)sulfonyl)ethyl methanesulfonate

In a 10 ml round-bottomed flask were added 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)sulfonyl)ethanol (380 mg, 1.411 mmol) and Mesyl-Cl (132 μl, 1.694 mmol) in DCM (4 mL). At 0°C was added triethylamine (246 μl, 1.764 mmol). After 1 hour, the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM, washed with a solution of HCl 1 N, then a solution of NaHCO$_3$ 5%, and with brine. The products were separated on ISCO using a RediSep 4 g column (Hex/EtOAc) and were obtained as solids. 2-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)-6-(vinylsulfonyl)pyridine (83 mg, 0.330 mmol, 23% yield) 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.14 (s, 1 H), 7.60 (s, 1 H), 6.97 (dd, $J$ = 9.8 and 16.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.65 (d, $J$ = 16.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.28 (d, $J$ = 9.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.75 (s, 3 H). MS (APCI+) Calculated for [C$_9$H$_{10}$F$_3$NO$_2$S + H]$^+$: 252.1, found: 252.1. 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)sulfonyl)ethyl methanesulfonate (290 mg, 0.835 mmol, 59% yield) 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.12 (s, 1 H), 7.65 (s, 1 H), 4.68 (t, $J$ = 5.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.90 (t, $J$ = 5.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.99 (s, 3 H), 2.77 (s, 3 H). MS (APCI+) Calculated for [C$_{10}$H$_{12}$F$_3$NO$_5$S$_2$ + H]$^+$: 348.0, found: 348.0.
Poloxin at room temperature in a buffer composed of 10 mM NaH₂PO₄ pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% (v/v) M TCEP in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Samples were then added 50 μl of FP buffer (previously described). After approximately 10 minutes, 2-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)-6-(vinylsulfonyl)pyridine and m-Chlorobenzoic acid were observed by LC/MS.

**Stability of Cpd 161 under slightly basic conditions.** Cpd 161, which is 2-((6-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)sulfonyl)ethyl 3-chlorobenzoate (400 μM final concentration), was added to 500 μl of FP buffer (previously described). After approximately 10 minutes, 2-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)-6-(vinylsulfonyl)pyridine and m-Chlorobenzoic acid were observed by LC/MS.

**Amino acids reactions with TQ, Poloxin and the vinyl sulfone derivative.** To a stirred solution of electrophile (0.02 mmol) and amino acid (1-1.5 eq) in DMF (0.3 ml) were added a few drops of a diluted aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate. The reaction was monitored using an Agilent 6120 Quadrupole LC/MS system (Agilent Technologies). In all cases, the molecular ion of the product was observed.

**Mass spectrometry. MALDI-TOF-MS analysis.** The sinapinic acid matrix solution, used in all experiments, was prepared at saturation in a solvent mixture (water with 0.1% TFA: acetonitrile (ACN), 1:1 v/v). Acids and organic solvents used were HPLC grade or better. His-PBD326-603 (4 μg) was incubated with DMSO, 2.5 mM TQ or 250 μM Poloxin at room temperature in a buffer composed of 10 mM NaH₂PO₄ pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol and 0.01% NP-40 for approximately 1–3 hours before the washing procedure, where each protein sample was concentrated and desalted by using C4 Zip-Tips (Millipore). Protein was then eluted using a solution of ACN: water with 0.1% TFA (8:2 v/v). For the sample-matrix crystallization procedure, the aliquot of protein was then mixed with the saturated matrix in a 1:1 ratio (v/v) and 1 μl of this mixture was directly spotted onto the MALDI target plate. MALDI-TOF-MS: MALDI spectra were acquired on an UltrafleXtreme time-of-flight spectrometer operated in the positive ion, linear mode equipped with a 2 KHertz repetition rate Smartbeam II laser (Bruker Daltonics). For each spectrum, 1000 shots were accumulated under optimized delayed extraction conditions with a source accelerating voltage of +20 kV, in a mass range of 10–50 kDa. BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to calibrate the instrument.

**LC-MS/MS analysis.** His-PBD 326-603 (20 μg) was incubated with DMSO, 2.5 mM TQ, 2.5 mM Cpd 161 or 250 μM Poloxin at room temperature for 3 hours in the same buffer as used in the MALDI-TOF-MS analyses. Proteins were precipitated using acetone and resuspended in 100 μl. Sample reduction was performed by adding 50 μl of 5 M TCEP in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Samples were then added 50 μl of chloroacetamide 50 mM with ammonium bicarbonate 50 mM to protect exposed cysteine residues. One microgram of trypsin was added and the digestion was performed for 8 h at 37°C. Samples were loaded on a C₁₈ analytical column (15 cm × 150 μm i.d.) with a 116–min gradient from 0–30% acetonitrile (0.2% FA) and a 600 nl/min flow rate on a Ultimate 3000 LC system (Dionex) connected to a Q-Exactive Plus hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Each full MS spectrum acquired with a 70,000 resolution was followed by 12 MS/MS spectra, where the 12 most abundant multiply charged ions were selected for MS/MS sequencing. Peptides were identified using Peaks 8.0 (Bioinformatics Solution Inc.) and peptide sequences were blasted against the Human Uniprot database. Tolerance was set at 10 ppm for precursor and 0.01 Da for fragment ions during data processing. For the post-translational modification of proteins, occurrence of carbamidomethylation (C), oxidation (M), deamidation (NQ) was considered as well as addition of TQ (C, K), Poloxin (K, C, H), and vinyl sulfone (C, H, K) when needed.

**Modeling - molecular docking.** Prior to molecular docking, the Plk1 PBD coordinates, obtained from the crystal structure of the Plk1 PBD in complex with a phosphopeptide (PDB entry 1UMW53, chain A), have been prepared using the Structure Preparation workflow implemented in the MOE package (Molecular Operating Environment (MOE), 2013.08; Chemical Computing Group Inc., 1010 Sherbooke St. West, Suite #910, Montreal, QC, Canada, H3A 2R7, 2016.) in order to model missing atomic data and add hydrogen atoms to be reflective of protonation state at physiological pH. The prepared PBD was then subjected to the AutodockTools64 interface to generate PDBQT docking input files and to specify the search space as a cubic volume enclosing the entire PBD structure. Three-dimensional coordinates of Cpd 161 were generated using Chemaxon Standardizer, JChem 5.1.2 (chemaxon.com). Molecular docking of Cpd 161 on Plk1 PBD was carried out using Autodock-Vina65. Docking poses were visually analysed using PyMOL (PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.4 Schrödinger, LLC).
Data analysis and structure. Raw data were analyzed using the Prism 5.00 (GraphPad) software. The structure of the zebrafish Plk1 KD and PBD in complex with a fragment of Drosophila Map205 (PDB 4J7B) was represented using PyMOL (PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.4 Schrödinger, LLC).
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