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ABSTRACT

We present a broad–band (∼0.3–70 keV) spectral and temporal analysis of NuSTAR observations of the luminous infrared galaxy NGC 6240, combined with archival Chandra, XMM–Newton and BeppoSAX data. NGC 6240 is a galaxy in a relatively early merger state with two distinct nuclei separated by ∼175. Previous Chandra observations have resolved the two nuclei, showing that they are both active and obscured by Compton–thick material. Although they cannot be resolved by NuSTAR, thanks to the unprecedented quality of the NuSTAR data at energies >10 keV, we clearly detect, for the first time, both the primary and the reflection continuum components. The NuSTAR hard X–ray spectrum is dominated by the primary continuum piercing through an absorbing column density which is mildly optically thick to Compton scattering (τ ≃ 1.2, N_H ~ 1.5×10^{24} cm^{-2}). We detect moderate hard X–ray (> 10 keV) flux variability up to 20% on short (15 – 20 ksec) timescales. The amplitude of the variability is maximum at ~30 keV and is likely to originate from the primary continuum of the southern nucleus. Nevertheless, the mean hard X–ray flux on longer timescales (years) is relatively constant. Moreover, the two nuclei remain Compton–thick, although we find evidence of variability of the material along the line of sight with column densities N_H ≤ 2×10^{23} cm^{-2} over long (~3–15 years) timescales. The observed X–ray emission in the NuSTAR energy range is fully consistent with the sum of the best–fit models of the spatially resolved Chandra spectra of the two nuclei.
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1. Introduction

Galaxy mergers represent a key phase in most scenarios of galaxy formation. According to models and simulations (e.g.
Springel et al. 2005, Di Matteo et al. 2005, Hopkins & Elvis 2010), during the mergers of massive gas–rich galaxies, cold gas is destabilized and can rapidly form stars and will ultimately feed the active galactic nucleus (AGN). The relative importance of starbursts and AGN and the associated time scales are not known. Disentangling the contribution of these components (e.g., finding signatures of positive/negative feedback) in merging systems is crucial for comparing the observations with model predictions. In this respect NGC 6240 plays a key role as one of the nearest (\(z = 0.02448\), \(D_L = 111.2\) Mpc, \(D_A = 105.9\) Mpc) luminous infrared galaxies observed, in a relatively early merger state (Tacconi et al. 1999, Tezelaar et al. 2000, Bush et al. 2008, Engel et al. 2010, Medling et al. 2011). The infrared luminosity (\(L_{\text{IR}} \sim 10^{11.94} L_\odot \sim 3.4 \times 10^{35}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), Wright et al. 1984; Sanders et al. 2003) is at the boundary between LIRGs (luminous infrared galaxies: \(L_{\text{IR}} = (10^{11} - 10^{12}) L_\odot\)) and ULIRGs (ultra–luminous infrared galaxies: \(L_{\text{IR}} \geq 10^{12} L_\odot\)) and implies a high star–formation rate (SFR= \(61 \pm 30 M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) from Yun & Carilli 2002, through fitting of the far–infrared spectral energy distribution). Owing to the SFR which is high for its mass (e.g. Santini et al. 2009), NGC 6240 is classified as a starburst galaxy. The optical emission–line spectrum (Fosbury & Wall 1979, Zasov & Karachentsev 1979, Fried & Schulz 1983, Morris & Ward 1988, Keel 1990, Heckman et al. 1987, Veilleux et al. 1995, Schmitt et al. 1996, Rafanelli et al. 1997) is dominated by LINER–like line ratios over the central ~10 kpc. This spectrum is preferentially associated to shocks produced by cloud–cloud collisions in the merging system, rather than to a central photoionizing AGN continuum (see e.g. Fosbury & Wall 1979, Fried & Schulz 1983). Nevertheless, a few high–excitation features in IR spectra and in optical HST narrow–band images indicate possible weak AGN signatures in the southern region of the galaxy (Rafanelli et al. 1997).

The optical emission–line spectrum (Fosbury & Wall 1979, Zasov & Karachentsev 1979, Fried & Schulz 1983, Morris & Ward 1988, Keel 1990, Heckman et al. 1987, Veilleux et al. 1995, Schmitt et al. 1996, Rafanelli et al. 1997) is dominated by LINER–like line ratios over the central ~10 kpc. This spectrum is preferentially associated to shocks produced by cloud–cloud collisions in the merging system, rather than to a central photoionizing AGN continuum (see e.g. Fosbury & Wall 1979, Fried & Schulz 1983). Nevertheless, a few high–excitation features in IR spectra and in optical HST narrow–band images indicate possible weak AGN signatures in the southern region of the galaxy (Rafanelli et al. 1997).

The definite presence of an obscured AGN in NGC 6240 has been known since the first ASCA hard X–ray observations showed that a reflection spectrum provides the best fit below 10 keV (Iwasawa & Comastri 1998). The primary emission, obscured by a column density of about \(N_H \sim 2 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\) emerges only at higher energies and was originally detected by the PDS instrument onboard BeppoSAX (Vignati et al. 1999). The nuclear intrinsic bolometric luminosity is in the quasar regime (\(L_{\text{bol}} \sim 10^{36}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), Vignati et al. 1999, Ikebe et al. 2000, Lira et al. 2002, Boller et al. 2003).

The presence of both a transmitted primary absorbed continuum and a cold reflection component is still under debate. The results obtained by the broad–band spectral analysis up to energies of 100–200 keV (Vignati et al. 1999; Ikebe et al. 2000) are controversial. Vignati et al. (1999) clearly detect the transmitted primary absorbed continuum, but the presence of the cold reflection component is not significant. On the other hand, Ikebe et al. (2000), using RXTE data, obtained an equally good fit with either a reflection–dominated spectrum or with a reflected plus transmitted model. Therefore, the presence of a primary absorbed continuum is not certain from their spectral analysis. However, Ikebe et al. (2000) concluded that both components are needed because in the pure reflection–dominated model the intrinsic power law photon index is unusually flat (\(\Gamma = 1.26 \pm 0.13\)).

Historically, NGC 6240 has shown moderate X–ray variability on month/year timescales at energies larger than ~15 keV (22±7%, 54±18% and 4±3% in the 14–100, 14–24 and 35–100 keV Swift BAT energy bands, respectively; Soldi et al. 2013), while at softer energies it has not exhibited significant variability (Komossa et al. 1998, Netzer et al. 2005).

NGC 6240 is the result of merging of two smaller galaxies, which formed a larger galaxy with two distinct nuclei, with an angular separation of ~1.5" (~0.7 kpc). The two nuclei are observed in near–infrared (e.g. Fried & Schultz 1983), in the radio (e.g. Condon et al. 1982) and in optical (e.g. Rafanelli et al. 1997) images.

At X–ray wavelengths, Chandra, with its unsurpassed X–ray angular resolution (FWHM ~0.5"), identified that the two nuclei are both active and both highly obscured X–ray spectra typical of Compton–thick AGN (Komossa et al. 2003). The southern nucleus is also brighter in X–rays than the northern nucleus. The observed 0.1–10 keV luminosities of the two nuclei are 1.9 \(\times 10^{42}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) and 0.7 \(\times 10^{42}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) (Komossa et al. 2003). The southern nucleus is also brighter based on 3–5 \(\mu m\) luminosity (see e.g. Mori et al. 2014, Risaliti et al. 2006).

The black hole mass of the southern nucleus, obtained via high resolution stellar kinematics, is (0.84 – 2.2) \(\times 10^6 M_\odot\) (Medling et al. 2011). The mass of the northern nucleus, if it follows the \(M_{BH} \sim \sigma\) relation (Tremaine et al. 2002), is (1.4 ± 0.4) \(\times 10^7 M_\odot\) (Engel et al. 2010). Due to the large scatter in the relation, this value is subject to systematic uncertainties much larger than the measurement errors.

The two nuclei are surrounded by extended X–ray emission modelled as a multi–temperature plasma with temperature and column density increasing toward the central regions with metal abundances that are higher than solar (\(\Delta z = 2–2.5\)–Netzer et al. 2005; \(\Delta z = 10\)–Boller et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2014). The energetics and the iron mass in the hot phase suggest that the fast shocks are due to a starburst–driven wind expanding into the ambient dense gas. Nevertheless, Wang et al. (2014) were not able to rule out additional energy injection in the circumnuclear region such as heating from an AGN outflow. High–resolution mapping of the CO(1–0) transition (Ferruglio et al. 2013a, Wang et al. 2014) showed that the fast shocks are due to a starburst–driven wind expanding into the ambient dense gas. Nevertheless, Wang et al. (2014) were not able to rule out additional energy injection in the circumnuclear region such as heating from an AGN outflow. High–resolution mapping of the CO(1–0) transition (Ferruglio et al. 2013b) revealed the presence of a molecular outflow with velocity ~200–500 km s\(^{-1}\), originating from the southern nucleus, likely driven by both supernova winds and radiation from the AGN. Alternatively, the CO(1–0) molecular gas condenses within the outflowing gas, because the velocity difference between ionized and molecular outflows would lead to severe shredding (Veilleux et al. 2013). The coldest and outermost plasma forms a soft X–ray halo extending up to ~1.5 from the two nuclei with sub–solar metal abundances (\(\xi = 0.1–0.5\)–Nardini et al. 2013).

In this paper, we discuss the broad–band (–0.3–70 keV) spectral and temporal properties of NuSTAR observations of NGC 6240 combined with archival XMM–Newton, Chandra and BeppoSAX data. In § 2, we describe the NuSTAR and archival observations. In § 3 we discuss the variability properties. Section 4 presents the broad–band spectral analysis, and in § 5 we compare the individual Chandra spectra of the two nuclei with the NuSTAR spectrum of the galaxy as a whole. The results and conclusions are presented in § 6 and § 7, respectively.

Throughout this paper, we adopt a ΛCDM cosmology with \(\Omega_m = 0.27, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.73\), and \(H_0 = 67.3\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\) (Planck Collaboration 2014).
and cleaned event files were produced using the calibration files in the \textit{ria} with the \textit{NGC 6240} performed in March 2014. The observation log is simultaneously with lower energy X–ray data (see below).

Background count–rates are a low fraction (\(\sim 3\%\)) of the source. As shown in Table 1, the on the peak of the emission in the 3–78.4 keV data (see Fig. 2). We analyzed the \textit{NuSTAR} observation of \textit{NGC 6240} performed in March 2014. The observation log is given in Table 1.

The raw events files were processed using the \textit{NuSTAR} Data Analysis Software package v. 1.4.1 (NuSTARDAS\footnote{\texttt{http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/nustar/analysis/nustar_swguide.pdf}}). Calibrated and cleaned event files were produced using the calibration files in the \textit{NuSTAR} CALDB (20150225) and standard filtering criteria with the \textit{nupipeline} task. We used \textit{nuproducts} task included in the NuSTARDAS package to extract the \textit{NuSTAR} source and background spectra using the appropriate response and ancillary files. We extracted spectra and light curves in each focal plane module, FPMA and FPMB, using circular apertures of radius 75″, corresponding to \(\sim 80\%\) of the encircled energy, centered on the peak of the emission in the 3–78.4 keV data (see Fig. 1). Background spectra were extracted using source–free regions on the same detector as the source. As shown in Table 1, the background count–rates are a low fraction (< 8%) of the source count–rates.

The spectra were binned according to two criteria: (i) following the energy resolution multiplied by a factor \(-0.4\) at all energies, when possible; (ii) requiring a signal–to–noise ratio > 4.5. Spectral fitting was performed in the \textit{NuSTAR} band alone and simultaneously with lower energy X–ray data (see below).

2.2. \textit{Chandra}

\textit{Chandra}, with its unsurpassed X–ray angular resolution (FWHM \(\sim 0''5\)), is an excellent complement to \textit{NuSTAR} for analyzing the two nuclei and nearby regions and for studying possible contamination from sources that cannot be resolved by \textit{NuSTAR}.

We analyzed four archival \textit{Chandra} observations collected in 2001 and 2011 (see Table 2) using the \textit{Chandra Interactive Analysis Observations} (CIAO) software (v4.5; Fruscione et al. 2006) and the standard data reduction procedures. The \textit{specrect} task was used to extract the spectra. The background spectra were extracted from source free regions at distances greater than about 1.5′ from the nuclei of the galaxy in order to avoid contamination from the X–ray diffuse emission, which is still seen on scales of 1′ (see Nardini et al. 2013). The spectra were binned to have at least 25 total counts per bin. The nuclei showed negligible pile–up (less than a few %). For the final spectral analysis, we used only the two \textit{ACIS–S} observations (2001 and 2011) due to calibration uncertainties above 5 keV of \textit{HETG} 0th order \textit{ACIS–S} spectra.

To avoid a loss of angular sensitivity, we registered the astrometry of the \textit{ACIS–S} 2001 data to the \textit{ACIS–S} 2011 data, using the X–ray positions of bright common point–like sources. We found that the \textit{ACIS–S} 2001 right ascension astrometric correction is –0".1, while the declination astrometric correction is negligible (i.e. 0".01).

From the analysis of the \textit{Chandra} images (see upper panel of Fig. 2), using an aperture photometry technique (see e.g. Puccetti et al. 2009), we identified 9 serendipitous point sources with \(S/N \geq 2\) in the 0.3–8 keV band within/very near the area corresponding to the \textit{NuSTAR} extraction region (i.e. a circle with a radius of 75″). We co–added the source spectra, background spectra and ancillary files of the nine sources with addascaspec \textit{FTOOLS} v.6.13, leaving the original exposure time of a single source in the final co–added spectrum. The total 0.3–8 keV flux of these 9 sources (green spectrum in the lower panel of Fig. 2) is definitively negligible in comparison to the flux in the \textit{NuSTAR} extraction region (yellow spectrum in the lower panel of Fig. 2); it is fitted by a black body of \(T = 0.8\) keV and there is no evidence of any iron line emission. Therefore, the co–added spectrum of the nine brightest “contaminants” is consistent with a typical spectrum of ultra–luminous X-ray sources (ULXs), which turns over very quickly at about 10 keV as discovered by \textit{NuSTAR} (see e.g. Walton et al. 2015). For these reasons, we conclude that any contamination by close point–like sources in the \textit{NuSTAR} spectrum is negligible.

The second step was to extract the \textit{Chandra} spectra of the whole galaxy (i.e. combination of the two nuclei, extended emission and serendipitous sources) using a circular region of radius 14′:5 to maximize the \(S/N\) of the spectra. In this way, we exclude most of the very soft X–ray emission in the halo of \textit{NGC 6240} \(\leq 2\) keV, see Nardini et al. 2013). In any case, this component is not detectable in the energy band covered by \textit{NuSTAR}, which has a low energy limit at 3 keV. This is clearly seen in the comparison of the cyan and yellow spectra in the lower panel of Fig. 2.

Finally, we verified that the whole galaxy did not show significant variations during the four \textit{Chandra} observations (see Fig. 2). We used the \(\chi^2\) statistics with a threshold probability of 2% to test the hypothesis of constant light curves. The 0.3–8 keV light curve of the whole galaxy is consistent with being constant between the two \textit{ACIS–S} and the two \textit{ACIS–S} \textit{HETG} observations. The 6.4–8 keV count rates are only \(-0.3\%\) and \(~10\%\) of those in the full energy band, for the \textit{ACIS–S} and the zero–order \textit{ACIS–S} \textit{HETG}, respectively. For this reason small amplitude hard X–ray variability is difficult to detect in the full energy range; therefore we also verified that the 6.4–8 keV count rates are statistically constant. The \textit{ACIS–S} and \textit{ACIS–S} \textit{HETG} spectra are fully consistent each other (see Fig. 4) confirming that the variability of the whole galaxy is not significant.

Fig. 1: 3–78.4 keV \textit{NuSTAR} image for the FPMA module. The image was smoothed with a Gaussian filter with \(\sigma = 1.5\) pixels (~ 3′6). The green circle is centered on the peak of the emission and has a radius of 75″.
Therefore in order to improve the statistics, for the final spectral analysis we used the co-added spectra of the two ACIS–S (2001 and 2011) observations, and we also combined the corresponding background spectra, response and ancillary files. We used addascaspec FTOOLS v.6.13, which combines spectra and normalized backgrounds according to the method explained in the ASCA ABC guide.

In addition to the spectrum of the whole galaxy, we also used the ACIS–S spectra of each of the two individual nuclei (see Komossa et al. 2003). The spectra were extracted using circular regions centered on R.A. = 16:52:58.896, Dec. = +02:24:03.36 and R.A. = 16:52:58.922, Dec. = +02:24:05.03 and with a radius of 0″9 for the southern nucleus (the brightest one) and 0″8 for the northern nucleus, respectively (blue and red circles and spectra in the middle and bottom panel of Fig. 2). The 0.3–8 keV light curves of the southern and northern nucleus are consistent with being constant between the two ACIS–S and the two ACIS–S HETG observations (see Fig. 3).

The 0.3–8 keV light curves of the northern nucleus is consistent with being constant between the two ACIS–S and the two ACIS–S HETG observations (see Fig. 3). We also searched for variability in the two sub-bands: 0.3–6.4 keV and 6.4–8 keV. We found variability only in the 6.4–8 keV light curve of the northern nucleus in the ACIS–S 2011 observation (χ^2 = 1.84 with probability of false positive ≤ 0.6%, see bottom panels of Fig. 3). Nevertheless the count rate variations are small and the median values of the count rates of the two ACIS–S observations are fully consistent (i.e. (0.011±0.001) cts/s). Therefore we used also for the nuclei the co-added spectra of the two ACIS–S (2001 and 2011) observations. We stress that the 0.3–8 keV light curve of the northern nucleus of ACIS–S 2011 shows a systematic residual respect to a fit with a constant in the time interval 100–120 ksec in Fig. 2 which could be due to the 6.4–8 keV variability.

2.3. XMM–Newton

In the spectral analysis we also considered six XMM–Newton observations with a non-zero exposure time after background cleaning, obtained between September 2000 and August 2003 (see Table 2). The high spectral resolution of XMM–Newton at ~6 keV and the good counting statistics of the archival observations allow us to constrain the spectral shape and the intensity of the iron line complex. We reduced the data using the Science Analysis Software (SAS ver. 14.0.0), following the standard method described in the data analysis threads and the ABC Guide to XMM–Newton Data Analysis. Epochs of high background events were excluded; only events corresponding to pattern 0–12 for MOSI/MOS2 and pattern 0–4 for PN were used. From the analysis of the Chandra spectra, we found that the AGN and hot gas in the galaxy are extended less than 15″, thus the spectra were extracted using a circular region of radius 40″, which corresponds to ~ 90% of the encircled energy, similar to the NuSTAR data, which includes all the galaxy emission at energy ≥ 2 keV. Extraction of the background spectra and binning were done similar to the NuSTAR analysis.

The whole NGC 6240 galaxy, as already pointed out by Netzer et al. (2005), does not exhibit significant spectral variability during the XMM–Newton observations (see also Fig. 5). Therefore, to improve the statistics, we considered the combination of all MOS1 and MOS2 spectra, and of the individual PN spectra. In the spectral analysis we used the XMM–Newton data between 2–10 keV only, to avoid a larger soft X–ray contribution in comparison with the Chandra spectra.

2.4. BeppoSAX PDS data

NGC 6240 was observed by BeppoSAX (Boella et al. 1997) on 1998 August 14 (Vignati et al. 1999) with the LECS (Low Energy Concentrator Spectrometer; 0.45–4 keV), the two MECS (Medium Energy Concentrator Spectrometers; 1.65–10.5 keV) and the PDS (Phoswich Detector System; 15–200 keV, Frontera et al. 1997) (see Table 2). Data products were retrieved from the BeppoSAX archive at the ASI Science Data Center.

The data were calibrated and cleaned using SAXDAS software. The MECS/LECS event files were screened adopting standard pipeline selection parameters, and the spectra were extracted from 4″ radii apertures, corresponding to ~ 90% of the encircled energy. The two MECS units were combined after renormalizing to the MECS1. The PDS is a collimator instrument with a field of view of ~ 1″3 (FWHM). The PDS data were calibrated and cleaned following the standard “fixed Rise Time threshold” method for background rejection. Following the method used for the NuSTAR data, we estimate that for the MECS data, the contamination by the nearby bright sources is less than 10%. We do not use the LECS data to avoid a larger soft X–ray contribution in comparison with data from other instruments used in this work.

3. Light curve variability

With a few exceptions (e.g. the Compton–thick to Compton–thin changes in NGC 1365, Risaliti et al. 2005, 2007), variability in Compton–thick AGN is extremely rare at energies < 10 keV. Constraining intrinsic variability in such obscured sources requires statistically good sampling of the 30–50 keV energy range, where the primary continuum can arise. The analysis of the light curve in different energy ranges returns raw information on the origin of the variability (i.e., variations in Eddington ratio, column density, photon index of the primary continuum, reflection component). When data with good statistics are available, the following time/count rate resolved spectral analysis allow us to constrain better the variable spectral parameters, and place constraints on the geometry of the reflecting/absorbing medium (see e.g. NGC 4945 Puccetti et al. 2014; NGC 1068

Table 1: NuSTAR NGC 6240 observation log

| Observation ID | RA_PNT | DEC_PNT | Exposure | Start Date | rate | background |
|---------------|--------|---------|----------|------------|------|------------|
| 60002040002    | 255.2928 | 2.4308 | 30.8     | 2014-03-30T13:41:07 | 0.159200002 | 7.6% |

*Observation identification number; *Right Ascension of the pointing; *declination of the pointing; *total net exposure time; *start date and time of the observation; *mean value of the net count rate in the circular source extraction region with 75″ radius in the energy range 3–78.4 keV; *background percentage in the circular source extraction region with 75″ radius and in the energy range 3–78.4 keV.
Fig. 3: Chandra background–subtracted light curves in bins of 5500 s. From top to bottom panel: 0.3–8 keV count rates of the whole NGC 6240 galaxy, 0.3–8 keV count rates of the southern nucleus, 0.3–8 keV count rates of the northern nucleus and 6.4–8 keV count rates of the northern nucleus. The dot–dashed lines correspond to the values of the count rate weighted means. Left panels show the light curves of the two ACIS–S Chandra observations (see Table 2): 1590 (magenta open dots) and 12713 (black solid dots). Right panels show the light curves of the zero–order ACIS–S HETG Chandra data: 6909 (blue solid squares) and 6908 (red open squares). Note that the x–axis does not represent the real time.

Table 2: NGC 6240 archival data

| Observatory     | Observation ID | Exposure (ksec) | Start Date          | ref |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----|
| BeppoSAX        | 5047600100     | 120             | 1998-08-14          | 1   |
| Chandra ACIS–S  | 1590           | 36.7            | 2001-07-29T05:44:22 | 2,3,4|
| Chandra ACIS–S HETG | 6909        | 141.2           | 2006-05-11T01:41:48 | 3,4,5|
| Chandra ACIS–S | 6908           | 157.0           | 2006-05-16T11:22:54 | 3,4,5|
| Chandra ACIS–S  | 12713          | 145.4           | 2011-05-31T04:15:57 | 3,4  |
| XMM–Newton      | 0101640101     | 30.1            | 2000-09-22T01:38:46 | 5,6,7|
| XMM–Newton      | 0101640601     | 19.0            | 2002-04-12T21:37:24 | 7    |
| XMM–Newton      | 0147420201     | 31.6            | 2003-04-14T18:06:14 | 7    |
| XMM–Newton      | 0147420301     | 28.1            | 2003-04-18T21:01:56 | 7    |
| XMM–Newton      | 0147420401     | 14.1            | 2003-08-13T10:29:32 | 7    |
| XMM–Newton      | 0147420501     | 31.2            | 2003-08-21T10:25:09 | 7    |
| XMM–Newton      | 0147420601     | 2.9             | 2003-08-29T11:28:15 | 7    |

a Observation identification number; b total net exposure time; c start date and time of the observation interval; d reference: 1: Vignati et al. (1999), 2: Komossa et al. (2003), 3: Nardini et al. (2013), 4: Wang et al. (2014), 5: Shu et al. (2011), 6: Boller et al. (2003), 7: Netzer et al. (2005); e Good Time Interval after background cleaning.

Marinucci et al. submitted). Unfortunately for NGC 6240 the statistics do not allow this detailed spectral analysis.

The NuSTAR NGC 6240 observation lasted ~ 60 ksec, but the Earth occults the target for approximately half the orbit, yielding a net exposure time of 30.8 ksec. We analyzed the NuSTAR light curves in bins of 5800 s (~ 1 satellite orbit). We used the χ² and the Kolmogorov Smirnov tests to verify the constancy of the light curves. A light curve is considered definitely variable if the probability of false positive is ≤ 2% for at least one of the two tests.

The 3–60 keV light curve is slightly variable and shows a systematic trend of the residuals with respect to a fit with a constant (see Fig. 4). To investigate possible spectral variations, we analyzed the 3–10 keV and the 10–60 keV light curves separately. We found that the 3–10 keV light curve is fully consistent with being constant, but the 10–60 keV light curve is not, showing variability up to ~20%. The 3–10 keV light curve is not correlated with the 10–60 keV at a confidence level larger than 99.9% using the Spearman rank correlation coefficient. In the three energy bands, the background level is of the order of 7% of the total count rate. Moreover we verified that the source and background light curves are not correlated at a confidence level of 5%.

To better analyze the hard X–ray variability, we analyzed NuSTAR light curves in three narrower energy ranges: 10–25, 25–35 and 35–60 keV. We found that the amplitude of the variations is largest in the 25–35 keV energy band, changing by ~40% on timescales of ~15–20 ksec. We also searched for hard X–ray variability on similarly short timescales using the archival BeppoSAX 15–200 keV PDS data. The PDS light curve is not constant and the largest amplitude variability is ~50% on timescales of ~20 ksec (see Fig. 5), fully consistent with the NuSTAR findings.

The 20–70 keV PDS mean flux, evaluated assuming a power–law model with photon index fixed to 1.7, is a factor of ~0.9 the 20–70 keV NuSTAR mean flux. This factor contains...
Fig. 2: Upper panel: 0.3–8 keV image mosaic of the 2001 and 2011 Chandra observations (see Table 2). The image was smoothed with a Gaussian filter with $\sigma = 1.5$ pixels (~0.75). The big yellow circle (75″ radius) marks the NuSTAR source extraction region; the cyan circle (14″ radius) marks the Chandra source extraction region; the small green circles (2″ radius) mark the 9 serendipitous point sources with $S/N \geq 2$ in the 0.3–8 keV band within/very near the area corresponding to the NuSTAR extraction region. Middle panel: 6–6.5 keV image mosaic of the two Chandra observations: zoomed into the center of the galaxy: the southern nucleus and northern nucleus are marked by blue and red circles, respectively. Lower panel: Chandra spectra, unfolded with the instrument response, of the observation 12713 (see Table 2). The yellow, cyan, blue and red spectra are extracted in the regions marked with the same color in the upper and middle panels. The green spectrum represents the “contamination” spectrum by the nearby bright sources detected in the NuSTAR source extraction region. This “contamination” is negligible in the NuSTAR extraction region, whose spectrum is shown in yellow.

Fig. 4: Chandra spectra of the whole NGC 6240 galaxy, unfolded with the instrument response, extracted in the cyan region in the upper panel of Fig. 2. The colors represent the four observations (see Table 2). Since no significant spectral variability was present, we co–added the ACIS–S spectra.

Fig. 5: XMM–Newton spectra of the whole NGC 6240 galaxy, unfolded with the instrument response. The colors represent the EPIC–PN and co–added EPIC–MOS1 and EPIC–MOS2 spectra for all the observations (see Table 2).

possible source variability and also variability due to the inter–calibration uncertainty between the two instruments.

As shown in §2.2, we also searched for variability in the Chandra data in the hardest accessible energy range (6.4–8 keV) of the northern and the southern nuclei separately. The count rate of the 2011 ACIS–S observation of the northern nucleus is found to be variable by a factor of ~ 1.3 on timescales of ~ 15 ksec, while the southern nucleus is constant. In the two 2006 ACIS–S HETG observations we did not find statistically strong evidence of variability, neither in the southern nor in the northern nucleus. This could be due to the poorer statistics of the zero–order ACIS–S HETG data, in comparison to ACIS–S data (i.e. for the northern nucleus we had 50% fewer counts in the ACIS–S HETG).
In the 6.4–8 keV energy range, the composed light curve of the northern and southern nuclei does not present the variability shown by the northern nucleus alone. This is because the northern nucleus is a factor ∼0.4 fainter, and the amplitude of its variations is small. This result is consistent with the absence of variability in the 6.4–10 keV energy range in the XMM–Newton observations.

4. Broad–band spectral analysis

4.1. The model

The extended X–ray emission at energies <5 keV in NGC 6240 is due to an absorbed multi–temperature plasma. Both the temperature and the column density increase toward the central nuclei (Boller et al. 2003, Netzer et al. 2005, Wang et al. 2014). The cold–temperature plasma, which is the outermost, extends up to ∼1.5″ from the nuclei, has sub–solar metal abundances (Z/Z⊙ = 0.1–0.5, see Nardini et al. 2013) and dominates at energies ≤1.5 keV. A medium–temperature plasma, which is less extended and is closer to the central nuclei. The hot–temperature plasma, which is dominant in the ∼2–5 keV energy range, indicates the presence of fast shocks (Feruglio et al. 2013a, Wang et al. 2014, ACIS–S and HETG ACIS–S data) and is responsible for the Fe xxv line emission. In fact, while a scattered nuclear power–law would provide an equally good fit, the Fe xxv line emission is extended and spatially resolved by Chandra (Wang et al. 2014). Moreover a dominant contribution to the Fe xxv from photoionisation is ruled out through the comparison of the expected X–ray line ratios with the observed ones (Netzer et al. 2005). This is opposite to some non–LIRG AGN (e.g. NGC 1068, Bauer et al. 2015 and references therein; Circinus, Arévalo et al. 2014 and references therein), where photoionisation is dominant.

The X–ray spectrum of NGC 6240 shows line ratios between Mg xx (1.47 keV), Si xiii (1.865 keV), Si xiv (2.006 keV), S xv (2.461 keV) and S xvi (2.622 keV), due to the hot thermal component, which can be hardly reproduced within the context of equilibrium models with solar metal abundance. Therefore, to reproduce these line ratios, the hot thermal component was modelled by the XSPEC xspec/mekal models with super–solar metal abundance (Z/Z⊙ = 2–2.5—Netzer et al. 2005; 4.1—Boller et al. 2003). A more empirical approach was followed by Wang et al. (2014), who proposed a model with a mekal component, with solar abundances, plus several Gaussians to fit the residual lines. Alternatively, the observed strong emission lines are expected in non–equilibrium models, in which the ion distribution is broader than in thermal equilibrium models with the same physical conditions; in this context, Feruglio et al. (2013a) modelled the hot thermal component by a shock model with almost solar abundances. Based on these arguments, we attempted to model the hot thermal component with two different approaches: a shock model (i.e. XSPEC pshock model, hereinafter model A), and the usual mekal component (model B in the following). As shown below, the solar chemistry can be used for model A, whereas model B requires super–solar metal abundances.

In the energy range 5–8 keV the emission is mainly due to the two obscured AGN in the centre of NGC 6240 (Komossa et al. 2003). Both nuclei are best fitted with a hard, reflection–dominated spectrum and associated iron line complex (i.e. FeKα at 6.4 keV, FeKβ at 7.06 keV rest–frame energies and the Compton shoulder).

In the NuSTAR band, the two nuclei cannot be spatially resolved, and their summed contribution in the hard X–ray band is modeled as follows: a) a primary continuum, transmitted through a high column density absorber, modeled by pcalc (Yaqoob 1997); b) a reflection component, due to optically thick gas with infinite column density illuminated by a power continuum and modeled with pexrav (Magdziarz & Zdziarski 1995).
later on, we will investigate more physically realistic reflection models. The photon indices of the primary and the reflected components are linked to the same value in the spectral fits. We also added three Gaussian lines to model the Fe$\alpha$, Fe$\beta$, and Fe$\gamma$ components at 7.47 keV. The Fe$\alpha$ and Fe$\gamma$ components are significant at 99.99% confidence level. The ratio between the normalization of the Fe$\gamma$ and Fe$\alpha$ is fixed to the theoretical value (1.8:8; e.g. Palmeri et al. 2003). All the spectral components were absorbed by the Galactic column density along the line of sight (i.e. $N_G=4.87\times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$; Kalberla et al. 2005) and redshifted using $z=0.02448$ (Downes et al. 1993). We used Anders & Grevesse (1989) cosmic abundances. For the broad–band analysis, we simultaneously fit the ACIS–S (0.3–8 keV), PN (2–10 keV), MOS (MOS1+MOS2) (2–10 keV), FPMA (3–7.84 keV) and FPMB (3–7.84 keV) spectra of the whole galaxy. We introduced normalization factors to take into account the uncertain flux inter–calibration between different instruments. We fixed the normalization factor of FPMA to unity, leaving the other normalizations free to vary. As discussed above, we modeled the hot thermal components in two different ways. Therefore we used two different models, with the following XSPEC format:

Model A:

$$\text{CONSTANT} \times \text{WABS}(\text{gsm}) \times \text{PLCABS} \times \text{PEXRAV} \times \text{GHAUS}$$

$$\text{GHAUS}$$

where the subscripts cold, med, hot indicate the cold, medium and hot–temperature components, respectively.

4.2. Spectral analysis results

For both models A and B, the cold thermal component requires a sub–solar abundance ($\alpha=0.2–0.5$) of the elements (O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ar) and a lower sub–solar iron abundance ($\alpha_{Fe}=0.1–0.2$ at 99.97% confidence level according to F–test). This is consistent with Nardini et al. (2013). The absorbing column density for the cold thermal component ($N_{\text{H}}=(0.97^{+0.18}_{-0.09}\times10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, for model A) is slightly higher than, but consistent with, the Galactic value (i.e. $N_G=0.95\times10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$). The column densities of the gas obscuring the medium ($kT\alpha<0.9$ keV) and the hot thermal components are similar, thus are linked together in the final fits ($N_{\text{H}}=(0.97^{+0.18}_{-0.09}\times10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, for model A). This is higher than the column density of the cold thermal component. Model B requires super–solar metal abundances for the medium and hot–temperature components ($\alpha=2$).

The best–fit parameters are reported in Table 3. Both models are fully consistent with the data ($\chi^2=2.706$ for model A and B).

| Parameter | Model A | Model B | Units |
|-----------|---------|---------|-------|
| N$_{\text{H}}$\text{cm}$^{-2}$ | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | $10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ |
| kT\alpha | $7.3^{+3.3}_{-1.4}$ | $7.3^{+3.3}_{-1.4}$ | keV |
| $\chi^2$/d.o.f. | 4.1$^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$ | 4.1$^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$ | 10$^7$ s cm$^{-3}$ |
| PPCM/FPMB | 1.03$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ | 1.03$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ |
| PPCM/PN$^b$ | 0.88$^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ | 0.88$^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ |
| PPCM/MOS$^b$ | 0.94$^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ | 0.94$^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ |
| PPCM/ACIS–S$^b$ | 1.00$^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ | 1.00$^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ |
| Luminosity$^b$ | 0.8806$^{+0.0046}_{-0.0050}$ | 0.994$^{+0.050}_{-0.055}$ |

Best–fit values with uncertainties at the 90% confidence level for one parameter of interest ($\Delta\chi^2=2.706$) for model A and B.

$^a$ Fixed Galactic Column Density $N_G$; $b$ column density $N_{\text{H}}$ absorbing the direct power–law; $c$ direct power–law photon index; $\chi^2$/d.o.f. normalization at 1 keV of the direct power–law; $d$ normalization at 1 keV of the reflection component; $e$ test–frame line energy; $f$ line equivalent width; $g$ column density; $h$ plasma temperature; $i$ metal abundances; $j$ normalization of the thermal components; $k$ upper limit on ionization timescales. The subscripts cold, med, hot in $h$, $i$, $l$, $m$ and $n$ indicate the cold, medium and hot–temperature components, respectively (see Table 3).

The best–fit parameters of the absorbed power–law, describing the nuclear X–ray continuum emission of the two nuclei, are: $\Gamma = 1.75 \pm 0.08$ and $N_{\text{H}} = (1.58^{+0.08}_{-0.07}\times10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$. The nuclear consistent within the uncertainties. In fact, if the shock temperature is fixed at 6 keV the best–fit solution is still statistically acceptable. On the basis of the above described physical arguments, we chose model A as the reference model. The broad–band best–fit spectra residuals and model A are shown in Fig. 8.
absorption–corrected 2–10 keV luminosity is \((7.0^{+2.6}_{-1.9}) \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\); the reflection component in the 10–40 keV energy range is of the order of 20% of the observed primary emission.

We underline, as pointed out by Murphy & Yaqoob (2009), that combining \texttt{pexrav} and \texttt{plcabs} may produce a bias toward solutions dominated by the direct continuum. Moreover, a disc/slab geometry with an infinite column density for the material responsible for the Compton–scattered continuum is assumed in the \texttt{pexrav} model. The spectral features associated with scattering (e.g. $\text{Fe}K_\alpha$ and $\text{Fe}K_\beta$ emission lines and Compton shoulder) in a more realistic, finite column density medium cannot be reproduced by infinite slab models. The \texttt{mytorus} model \citep{2009MNRAS.394..940M, 2011MNRAS.418.1558Y} returns a more physically self–consistent description of the direct continuum and the reflection continuum and lines \citep{2009MNRAS.394..940M, 2011MNRAS.418.1558Y, 2012MNRAS.423..752Y} and it should not be affected by bias toward transmitted dominated solutions. Nevertheless, the presence in NGC 6240 of two nuclei, unresolved by \textit{NuStar}, makes this source not the best case for using models like \texttt{mytorus}. Therefore, for the whole galaxy we used \texttt{mytorus} (described in the following section) for a first–order comparison with the spectral results obtained with model A.

Replacing \texttt{plcabs+pexrav} with \texttt{mytorus} in model A, the best–fitting parameters of the absorbed power–law, describing the nuclear X–ray continuum emission of the two nuclei, are consistent with those obtained using model A (i.e. $\Gamma = 1.70 \pm 0.09$ and $N_H = (1.39^{+0.09}_{-0.08}) \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$). Moreover, the source is still not reflection–dominated; we find that the 10–40 keV reflection component is less than 20% of the observed direct component. Finally, the nuclear absorption corrected 2–10 keV luminosity is \(\sim 8 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\), fully consistent with model A.

5. Resolving the two nuclei

The NGC 6240 hard X–ray emission is due to two AGN in the centre of the galaxy, resolved by \textit{Chandra} \citep{2003ApJ...589..802K} but not by \textit{NuSTAR} and \textit{XMM–Newton}. This is the first attempt to disentangle the primary emission of each nucleus by combining the spatially resolved \textit{Chandra} spectra with \textit{NuSTAR} high–energy observations.

5.1. \textit{Chandra} spectral analysis of the two individual nuclei

For this aim, we independently fitted the \textit{Chandra} spectra of the two nuclei (see § 2.2) in the 0.3–8 keV energy range. The direct and the reflection components (continuum and iron line emission) were modeled using the \texttt{mytorus} model \citep{2009MNRAS.394..940M, 2011MNRAS.418.1558Y}. Assuming that the direct continuum emission is a power–law, three tables are needed to generate spectral fits: \texttt{mytorusZ}, \texttt{mytorusS}, and \texttt{mytorusL}. \texttt{mytorusZ} is a multiplicative table that contains the pre–calculated transmission factors that distort the direct continuum at all energies owing to photo–electric absorption and Klein–Nishina scattering (see § 5.2 of the \texttt{mytorus} manual). \texttt{mytorusS} and \texttt{mytorusL} represent the Compton–scattered/reflected continuum toward the line of sight and the fluorescence emission lines typically produced in highly obscured AGN (i.e. $\text{Fe}K_\alpha$, $\text{Fe}K_\beta$ and Compton shoulder), respectively. We used the \texttt{mytorus} model in the original geometry (i.e. the so–called “coupled” mode, which represents a uniform torus with a half–opening angle of 60°, corresponding to a covering factor of 0.5). The normalization of the line component (\texttt{mytorusL}) is linked to that of the scattered/reflected continuum (\texttt{mytorusS}). The \texttt{mytorusL} line tables are made with a range of energy offsets for best–fitting the peak energies of the emission lines. We found that an offset of +30 eV is optimal for the northern nucleus. This energy offset is consistent with the results obtained fitting the spectra of the northern and the southern nucleus in the 5.5–8 keV energy range with a oversimplified model (i.e. empirical power–law continuum model plus redshifted gaussian lines). The $\text{Fe}K_\alpha$ energy best–fit values are $(6.39 \pm 0.01)$ keV and $(6.42 \pm 0.01)$ keV, for the southern and northern nucleus, respectively. These values are consistent with those obtained by \textit{Chandra} \citep{2014A&A...561A.153W, 2014A&A...561A.153W, 2012A&A...539A..26Y}.

6 http://www.mytorus.com/
do not find a statistically good description of the spectra (of the normalization of the medium–temperature component. We southern nucleus (and some residuals are left at low energies. The best fit for the higher values of the temperature of the medium–temperature components in which the best–fit (§ 4.2) in the spectral modeling.

The \( \chi^2 / \text{dof} \) format for the adopted model for each nucleus is:

\[
\chi^2 \text{dof} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \left( \frac{I_{\text{obs}} - I_{\text{calc}}}{\sigma_{\text{obs}}} \right)^2
\]

where the \( \theta_{\text{obs}} \) is the inclination angle between the torus polar axis and the observer’s line of sight and the photon index of the primary continuum was fixed to the best–fit value of the whole galaxy spectrum (see § 4.2 and Table 3).

We performed a fit initially fixing the parameters describing the temperatures, absorption column densities and metal abundances of the three thermal components, at the best–fit values obtained for the whole galaxy spectrum (see Table 3), leaving the normalizations free to vary. Note that the normalization of the cold–temperature component for the northern nucleus is consistent with zero, while for the southern nucleus it is only ~4% of the normalization of the medium–temperature component. We do not find a statistically good description of the spectra (\( \chi^2 / \text{dof} \approx 2 \)), and some residuals are left at low energies. The best fit for the southern nucleus (\( \chi^2 / \text{dof} \approx 1.2 \)) is obtained with slightly higher values of the temperature of the medium–temperature plasma component and the associated absorbing column density \( (kT = 1.3 \pm 0.2) \text{ keV, } N_{\text{HI}} = (1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), as well as a higher value of the absorbing column density of the shock component \( (N_{\text{HII}} = (10 \pm 3) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}) \). This is also the case for the northern nucleus, in which the best–fit (\( \chi^2 / \text{dof} \approx 1.3 \)) is obtained by allowing the absorbing column density of the shock component to be fixed \( (N_{\text{HII}} = (1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) than that obtained in § 4.2. These results are consistent with the fact that the extended X–ray emission of NGC 6240, over a large scale, suffers from blending of thermal plasma with different temperatures, inhomogeneous absorption and metal abundance. Therefore, spatially resolved analysis in small regions can give results slightly different from the results obtained using data from larger regions (e.g. Wang et al. 2014).

The intrinsic 2–10 keV flux due to the shock component is a factor of ~6.5 larger in the southern nucleus than in the northern, consistent with the scenario that the shock originates from the southern nucleus (see Feruglio et al. 2013b, Wang et al. 2014). We also found that about 60%, 10% and 30% of the total Fe xxy line flux is emitted in the southern nucleus, northern nucleus and extended region, respectively. These percentages are consistent with those found by Wang et al. (2014) through spectral and imaging analysis.

The \textit{Chandra} best–fit parameters of the primary continuum for each nucleus are reported in Table 4. For both nuclei, the inclination angle of the torus and the absorbing column density are not correlated. The inclination angle of the two tori and the absorbing column densities are different at the >99% confidence level. The equatorial \( N_{\text{HII}} \) is higher in the northern nucleus, while the inclination angle is larger in the southern one (see Fig. 9).

| Parameter | \( f^* \) | \( f^* \) | Units |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| \( N_{\text{HII}} \) | \( 1.47 \pm 0.21 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) | \( 1.55 \pm 0.27 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) |  |
| \( L_{\text{HI}} \) | \( 1.5 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) | \( 2.7 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) |  |
| \( \theta_{\text{obs}} \) | \( 1.8 \pm 0.2 \) | \( 1.5 \pm 0.2 \) |  |
| \( \chi^2 / \text{dof} \) | \( 135.2 / 112 \) | \( 90.75 / 68 \) |  |
| \( \chi^2 \) | \( 1.2 \pm 0.7 \) |  |  |
| \( \theta_{\text{obs}} \) | \( 0.39 \pm 0.24 \) |  |  |

Fig. 9: \textit{Chandra} 68%, 90% and 99% confidence contours for the southern and northern nucleus: equatorial column density \( N_{\text{HII}} \) (in units of \( 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \)) versus \( \theta_{\text{obs}} \) (i.e. inclination angle between the torus polar axis and the observer’s line of sight). The confidence contours are evaluated leaving \( N_{\text{HII}}, \theta_{\text{obs}} \) and the normalizations of the direct continuum free to vary. The actual line–of–sight column densities are: \( N_{\text{HII}} = (1.47 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( N_{\text{HII}} = (1.55 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) for the southern and northern nucleus, respectively. For both nuclei, this is consistent with an optical depth to Thomson scattering of \( \tau_T = 1.2 \). The equivalent widths of the FeK\(_\alpha\) line (Table 4) are fully consistent with previous results (Wang et al. 2014). The intrinsic primary 2–10 keV luminosity of the southern nucleus \( (5.2 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \) is a factor of ~2.6 larger than that of the northern.

\[ \tau_T = \frac{x \sigma_T N_{\text{HII}}}{\chi^2 / \text{dof}} \]
In any case, these slopes are fully consistent with that obtained in the 3–70 keV energy range, and 1.09 ± 0.09 equatorial index is relatively well constrained (see § 5). The blue lines mark the primary continuum (blue solid line), and the reflection continuum and lines (dotted line) of the southern nucleus; the magenta lines are the primary continuum (magenta solid line), the reflection continuum and lines (magenta dotted line) of the northern nucleus. In the lower panel the NuSTAR data/Chandra best–fit model ratios are shown.

### 5.2. Chandra model of the two nuclei versus the NuSTAR spectrum

The sum of the best–fit models of the Chandra spectra of the two nuclei described above is extrapolated to high energies and over–plotted on the NuSTAR data of the whole galaxy in Fig. 10. A statistically acceptable description of the NuSTAR spectrum is obtained without adjusting the fit: $\chi^2/\nu = 1.16/2.7$% for the 3–70 keV energy range, and 1.09/15% ignoring the 7.5–9 keV energy range, where the discrepancies are the largest as judged by the residuals in the lower panel of Fig. 10.

Leaving the normalization of the direct continuum and the equatorial $N_H$ for the southern or the northern nucleus free to vary, the goodness of the fit improves at 99.8% confidence level. While the best–fit values of the normalization of the direct continuum remain consistent with those previously measured, the best–fit values of the column density are systematically lower ($N_H = (1.38\pm0.06)\times10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $N_H = (1.33^{+0.16}_{-0.13})\times10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ for the southern and northern nucleus, respectively). This indicates possible column density variations $\lesssim 2\times10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ on timescales of ~3 years. Fits were also performed leaving the spectral slope free to vary. While for the southern nucleus the best–fit photon index is relatively well constrained ($\Gamma = 1.70\pm0.08$), only a lower limit could be obtained for the northern one ($\Gamma > 1.67$). In any case, these slopes are fully consistent with that obtained fitting the total spectrum ($\Gamma = 1.75$).

### 6. Discussion

The two nuclei in NGC 6240 are separated by ~1.5 (0.7 kpc, Fried & Schultz 1983) and are therefore not spatially resolved by NuSTAR or XMM–Newton. As a first step, we performed joint NuSTAR+XMM–Newton+Chandra broad–band spectral analysis (0.3–70 keV) of the data of the whole NGC 6240 galaxy (i.e. combination of the two nuclei, extended emission and serendipitous sources), to evaluate the mean properties of the whole system and for comparison with previous high energy observations.

The broad–band 0.3–70 keV spectrum is characterized by three main spectral components (model A in §2.1 and Table 3). The first is the primary continuum emission piercing through a Compton–thick obscuring medium which dominates the spectrum at energies $>10$ keV, where most of the X–ray luminosity is observed. The second component is the reflected/scattered emission from cold gas which also generates strong FeK$_\alpha$ and FeK$_\beta$ emission lines at 6–7 keV. The third is a multi–temperature thermal plasma due to the nuclear starburst and extended superwind. Both the transmitted and the reflected components are required by the best fit at a high level of significance (> 5σ) according to an F–test. The intensity of the reflection component in the 10–40 keV energy range is of the order of, or slightly lower, than ~20% of the observed primary emission. Therefore, most of the high energy flux is transmitted, rather than Compton–scattered, and the whole system is not reflection–dominated. This is consistent with the relatively low value of the FeK$_\alpha$ line equivalent width (~0.3 keV; see also Shu et al. 2011, Brightman & Nandra 2011) and the observed variability at energies $>10$ keV.

The nuclear emission is characterized by a primary power law continuum with $\Gamma = 1.75\pm0.08$ and $N_H = (1.58^{+0.10}_{-0.09})\times10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$, and intrinsic luminosity of $(7.0^{+2.6}_{-1.6})\times10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and of $(21.8^{+8.2}_{-7.3})\times10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 2–10 keV and 3–78 keV energy ranges, respectively. Our findings significantly improve upon previous BeppoSAX (Vignati et al. 1999) and RXTE (Ikebe et al. 2000) results, both for disentangling the primary and reflection components, and for better constraining the spectral parameters.

The BeppoSAX best–fit absorbing column density ($N_H = (2.18^{+0.39}_{-0.33})\times10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$) is higher than the NuSTAR best fit value at $> 3\sigma$. To better investigate this discrepancy we re–analyzed the BeppoSAX data using our best–fit model A (see §2.1 and Table 3) and leaving only the column density obscuring the primary continuum free to vary. We introduced a normalization factor between our best–fit model A and the BeppoSAX data, to take into account the flux inter–calibration and the contribution from the serendipitous sources in the MECS extraction region (radius 4′), see § 2.4. The best–fit cross–normalization factor is $1.09\pm0.05$. We also added a normalization factor between MECS and PDS data following the ABC BeppoSAX data analysis guide. The best–fit ($\chi^2/\nu = 0.84/79\%$) value of the absorbing column density is $N_H = (1.82^{+0.12}_{-0.15})\times10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is lower, although consistent within the errors, than the Vignati et al. (1999) best fit value. The BeppoSAX best–fit $N_H$ remains systematically higher than that of NuSTAR by about ~1(2)–$10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, and the bolometric luminosity is ~ 9% larger than that measured from NuSTAR. This luminosity difference includes the inter–calibration uncertainty between the NuSTAR and the BeppoSAX data, and is consistent with little intrinsic variability in NGC 6240. This would also suggest that the BeppoSAX data are not contaminated by bright serendipitous sources.
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6.1. Extended emission

The *NuSTAR* data have made possible a precise measurement of the nuclear continuum of NGC 6240 over a broad energy range and in turn allowed better constraints on the softer components associated with the host galaxy. More specifically, the medium–temperature plasma (\texttt{mekal-med}, \(kT_{\text{med}}\) in Table 3) has an observed luminosity of \(2 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) and \(\sim 0.9 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) in the 0.5–2 and 2–10 keV energy ranges, respectively. Star–forming galaxies are luminous sources of X–ray emission, which originates from X–ray binaries, young supernovae remnants, hot (0.2–1 keV) interstellar gas associated to star–forming regions and O stars (Fabbiano 1989, 2006 for reviews). Their X–ray luminosity correlates with the SFR (see, e.g. Ranalli et al. 2003; Lehmer et al. 2010 and reference therein), thus using the NGC 6240 SFR (61 \(M_{\odot}\) yr\(^{-1}\) Yun & Carilli 2002) and the relations by Ranalli et al. (2003) and Lehmer et al. (2010), we estimate a 0.5–2 keV luminosity of \(2.7 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) and a 2–10 keV luminosity of \(0.7^{+0.9}_{-0.4} \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\). Despite the uncertainties that affect these relations, these results suggest that the medium–temperature component can be fully due to star formation.

The hot temperature plasma (\(kT_{\text{hot}}\) in Table 3) is fitted with both a non–equilibrium model (model A in Table 3) and an equilibrium model (model B in Table 3). Using model A, the ionization timescale parameter is \((4.4^{+1.2}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{11} \text{ s cm}^{-3}\). If the plasma were close to being in collisional ionization equilibrium then we would expect a value \(\geq 10^{12} \text{ s cm}^{-3}\) (Masai 1994), suggesting that the plasma is in a marginally non–equilibrium state. The non–equilibrium model is statistically preferred over the equilibrium one (\(\chi^2 = +13\) for the same number of d.o.f). Furthermore, it does not require super–solar metal abundances, required for model B (\(\chi^2 = -2\)). The non–equilibrium model strongly favours the presence of a nuclear shock (Feruglio et al. 2013a, Wang et al. 2014).

For the shock component, we evaluated a best–fit value of the column density value of \(N_{\text{H}} = 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) and an intrinsic 0.5–8 keV luminosity of \(\sim 3 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\). This value is about a factor of 0.5 smaller than the luminosity obtained from the *Chandra* spectrum only, but, as noticed by Wang et al. (2014), their absorption–corrected luminosity could be overestimated by a factor of two, given their unlike large column density of the gas obscuring the hot plasma (i.e. \(N_{\text{H}} = (5.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}\)).

6.2. AGN contribution

The total AGN bolometric luminosity, calculated assuming the bolometric corrections for type 2 AGN of Lusso et al. (2011) \((\sim 15)\) for the observed X–ray luminosity, with an uncertainty of a factor of \(\sim 3\) and the absorption–corrected nuclear 2–10 keV X–ray luminosity, is \((1.1^{+0.5}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\). This value is a factor of \(\sim 2\) lower than that evaluated from the spectral energy distribution (Lira et al. 2002, \(L_{\text{bol}} \sim 2 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\), assuming the cosmology adopted in this paper). We note that Lira et al. (2002), extrapolating the best–fit of Vignati et al. (1999), assumed an AGN unabsorbed 2–10 keV continuum flux of \(1 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), which is \(\sim\) twice ours; this explains the discrepancy in the bolometric luminosity. We also note that a lower value of the total AGN bolometric luminosity reduces the discrepancy between the luminosity of the 140–K component and the absorbed AGN continuum found by the spectral energy distribution (Lira et al. 2002). Therefore, NGC 6240 is one of the most luminous among the local bona fide Compton–Thick AGN, similar to Mrk 34 (Gandhi et al. 2014).

Assuming that the 8–1000 \(\mu\)m luminosity of \(3.4 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) (Wright et al. 1984; Sanders et al. 2003) is a good proxy for the total luminosity, the two AGN contribute \(\sim (32^{+5}_{-4})\%\) of the total energy output. This is consistent with the values obtained using multiple methods such as SED+Infrared spectroscopy fitting, PAH features, high/low excitation MIR lines, MIR dust continuum (e.g., 20–24%–Armus et al. 2006; 25–50%–Lutz et al. 2003; up to 60%–Egami et al. 2006; 25.8%–Veilleux et al. 2009; 45–60%–Mori et al. 2014). The AGN fraction is relatively high for the observed infrared luminosity but is not implausible. Alonso–Herrero et al. (2012) showed that in local LIRGs the AGN bolometric contribution to the IR luminosity of the system is \(\sim 25\%\) in about 8% of the sample. Moreover, in the local Universe the AGN contribution increases with the IR luminosity of the system (Nardini et al. 2010; Inanishi et al. 2010a,b; Alonso Herrero et al. 2012), and NGC 6240 is a high–luminosity LIRG.

6.3. The accretion rate of the two nuclei

The spatially unresolved *NuSTAR* spectrum is consistent with the sum of the best–fit models obtained from the spectral analysis of the *Chandra* data of the two nuclei. This suggests that the emission at energies \(>10\) keV of NGC 6240 is due only to the two nuclei, and is not strongly variable on long timescales (\(\sim 3\) years). Moreover, there are possible column density variations of \(\lesssim 2 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). The two nuclei are both highly absorbed with \(\tau_{\gamma} \sim 1.2\) (i.e. \(N_{\text{H}} = 1.5 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}\)) and at energies \(>10\) keV they are dominated by the primary continuum emission. The actual line–of–sight column density is a few \(10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) larger in the northern nucleus; this would be consistent with the results from the 3–5 \(\mu\)m spectroscopy (Risaliti et al. 2006). The similarity of the column densities of the Compton–thick material obscuring the two nuclei could suggest that there is a common obscurer along the line–of–sight, possibly originating in gas and dust thrown up by the ongoing galaxy merger. The current data do not allow us to determine where this material lies. The calorimeter onboard the *Astro–H* mission (Takahashi et al. 2014) will allow to measure the profile of the \(\text{FeK}_\alpha\) with a resolution of the order of \(\sim 350\) km s\(^{-1}\) and thus it will be possible to discriminate whether it is originated in a compact circumnuclear torus or in larger scale material in the host galaxy (Gandhi et al. 2015).

The intrinsic primary 2–10 keV luminosities of the southern (\(5.2 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) and northern nucleus (\(2 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\)) are fairly consistent, within a factor of \(\sim 2\), with those expected from the MIR–X–ray luminosity correlation (Gandhi et al. 2009), using the nuclear 12 \(\mu\)m fluxes (Asmus et al. 2014).

The bolometric luminosities are \(8 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) and \(2.6 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) for the southern and northern nucleus, respectively, using bolometric corrections for type 2 AGN from Lusso et al. (2011). The southern nucleus is brighter than the northern as expected according to the 3–5 \(\mu\)m luminosity (see e.g. Risaliti et al. 2006, Mori et al. 2014). The bolometric luminosity of the southern nucleus is lower, but consistent, with the value from the spectral energy distribution in the NIR/MIR bands (\(L_{\text{bol}} = (1.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\), assuming the cosmology adopted in this paper). The slight, not significant, discrepancy could be due to a residual starburst contribution in the MIR flux.

The inferred accretion rate, \(\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} = L_{\text{bol}} / L_{\text{Edd}}\), is \(\sim 0.005^{+0.003}_{-0.002}\) for the southern nucleus, assuming a black hole mass of \((0.84–2.2) \times 10^{8} M_{\odot}\) (Medling et al. 2011), and \(\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} = 0.014^{+0.006}_{-0.004}\) for the northern nucleus assuming a black hole mass of \((1.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{8} M_{\odot}\) (Engel et al. 2010). The northern nucleus shows a higher \(\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}\), but the uncertainties on its black hole mass are also a factor of a few. On the contrary, the black hole mass of the southern nu-
nucleus is more precise, being determined by high resolution stellar kinematics. The estimated accretion rates are on the low tail of the distribution of Eddington ratios in nearby Seyfert 2 galaxies (e.g. Vasudevan et al. 2010). In this respect, it is similar to the obscured AGN selected in the GOODS survey (Simmons et al. 2011, 2012). The low value of the accretion rate and the high black hole mass for both nuclei suggests that they could have already assembled most of their mass through accretion processes and are likely to become inactive. The low level of accretion in a luminous, highly obscured early merger system is somewhat unexpected. The relatively low accretion rate may be associated with the strong molecular outflow (Feruglio et al. 2013b) which has depleted the nuclear regions of gas. We also note that in spite of the large uncertainties in the black hole mass and Eddington ratio, the photon index of the primary continuum is consistent with the low accretion rates of the two nuclei according to the relation found for a few AGN type 1 samples (Shemmer et al. 2008, Risaliti et al. 2009, Brightman et al. 2013).

6.4. Hard X–ray variability

A detailed analysis of the light curves in several independent energy bands clearly reveals hard X–ray variability on timescales of $\sim 15–20$ ksec by up to $\sim 20\%$. The variability is peaked at $\sim 30$ keV ($\sim 40\%$). These results are consistent with the variability detected earlier in the Swift BAT data on substantially longer timescales (i.e. months/years). In fact, Soldi et al. (2013) found maximum ($54\pm 18\%$) and minimum variability ($4\pm 3\%$) in the 14–24 and 35–100 keV energy bands, respectively. Moreover, by re–analyzing the BeppoSAX PDS data ($\sim 15–200$ keV), we found variability up to $\sim 50\%$ on timescales fully consistent with those of the NuSTAR hard X–ray light curve. The PDS has a field of view (FOV) of 1.3$^\prime$ and therefore the data could suffer from contamination. Nevertheless, for NGC 6240, no known bright sources are present in the PDS FOV, and the probability of a serendipitous source in the PDS FOV with a flux equal or larger than that of NGC 6240 is $\leq 10^{-5}$ (see Vignati et al. 1999).

Assuming that the NuSTAR, BeppoSAX PDS and Swift BAT hard X–ray variability have the same origin, the observed variability can be explained by the following two hypotheses:

a) the variability is due to flux variations of the transmitted component of the northern nucleus up to $\sim 20–30\%$ for NuSTAR, and by $\sim 50–70\%$ for the BeppoSAX PDS and Swift BAT observed variability. Indeed, the lack of variability of the FeK$\alpha$ line suggests that the reflection component is constant. Moreover the spectral analysis suggests that the nuclei are transmission dominated at energies $>10$ keV.

b) On the contrary, if the variability is due to the northern nucleus, the amplitude of the variations should be up to $\sim 50–60\%$ for NuSTAR, and up to of $\sim 2.6$ for the BeppoSAX PDS and Swift BAT observed variability. The NuSTAR variability would be consistent with the tentative $\sim 30\%$ variability in the 6.4–8 keV Chandra light curve of the northern nucleus. Nevertheless the NuSTAR, BeppoSAX PDS and Swift BAT hard X–ray variability would imply that the northern nucleus should be as bright, or brighter than the southern nucleus in some periods. This is difficult to reconcile with the evidence that over the last $\sim 15$ years, all data suggest the southern nucleus has been brighter than the northern as indicated by Chandra data (Komossa et al. 2003; Shu et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2014) and 3–5 $\mu$m luminosity (see e.g. Mori et al. 2014, Risaliti et al. 2006). Therefore the most plausible hypothesis is that the hard X–ray variability is mainly due to variations of the transmitted component of the southern nucleus.

The 6.4–8 keV tentative variability of the northern nucleus suggests changes of the direct continuum or/of the column density; we are not able to disentangle them with the current data. In any case, the different 6.4–8 keV variability could be an indication that the matter reflecting/Compton–scattering the nuclear emission is distributed differently in the nuclei, as the results obtained in the present analysis seem to suggest (see Fig.9).

On timescales of the order of years, the mean hard X–ray flux state is approximately constant, suggesting that the accretion rates of the two nuclei do not experience strong variability on year–long timescales.

The variability observed in NGC 6240 is similar to that observed in NGC 4945. Indeed also in NGC 4945 the hard X–ray variability is ascribed to changes of the primary continuum, confirmed through a detailed count rate resolved spectral analysis (Puccetti et al. 2014). In NGC 4945, the fast and large hard X–ray variability, the constancy of the FeK$\alpha$ line and the huge Compton depth along the line of sight ($\tau_c \sim 2.9$) suggest a low global covering factor ($\sim 0.15$) for the circumnuclear gas. In comparison with NGC 4945, in NGC 6240 the FeK$\alpha$ line is constant, but the hard X–ray variability is smaller and slower, likely due to the higher black hole masses and lower accretion rates, and the Compton depth along the line of sight is a factor $\sim 2.5$ lower. These findings indicate that the global covering factor for NGC 6240 should be larger than in NGC 4945.

7. Conclusion

We present NuSTAR observations of NGC 6240, one of the nearest luminous infrared galaxies in a relatively early merger state, with two distinct nuclei separated by a distance of $\sim 1.5'$.

The main results of this work can be summarized as follows:

- We clearly detect at a high significance ($> 5\sigma$) both the transmitted primary absorbed continuum and the cold reflection continuum. The primary continuum is obscured by a $N_H$ of $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$, and dominates at energies $>10$ keV.
- The total AGN bolometric luminosity is $(1.1^{+0.3}_{-0.2}) \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which is $(32^{+15}_{-9})\%$ of the infrared luminosity. This indicates that the galaxy emission is not dominated by the two AGN, but likely by a starburst and related processes.
- The spatially unresolved NuSTAR hard X–ray spectrum of NGC 6240 is consistent with the sum of the Chandra best–fit models of the two nuclei extrapolated to the NuSTAR hard X–ray energies. This suggests that the hard emission of NGC 6240 is only due to the two nuclei, and that it has not varied by much on a decade–long timescale. Both nuclei are highly absorbed with $\tau_T \sim 1.2$ (i.e. $N_H \sim 1.5 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$) as expected in the early stage of a major merger, and have bolometric luminosities $\sim 8 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (southern nucleus) and $\sim 2.6 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (northern nucleus). The two nuclei show low accretion rate ($A_{\text{edd}} = L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{edd}} < 0.003$) for the southern nucleus, and $A_{\text{edd}} \sim 0.014$ for the northern nucleus).
- We found variability in the NuSTAR light curve at energies $>10$ keV of $\sim 20\%$ on timescales of $15–20$ ksec. We found similar variability in the BeppoSAX PDS light curve. Various arguments lead us to conclude that the hard X–ray variability is due to variations of the primary continuum of the southern nucleus.
- Finally, by comparing NuSTAR and BeppoSAX and Chandra archival data, we found that the two nuclei remain consistently Compton–thick. Although we find evidence of variability of the material along the line of sight with column densities $N_H \leq 2 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ on timescales of $\sim 3–15$ years.
Variability below 10 keV is not observed in Compton–thick AGN due to absorption and reflection. Above 10 keV only a few sources have been sampled with sufficient statistics, and NuSTAR is finding variability to be more common (NGC 4945, Puccetti et al. 2014; NGC 1068, Marinucci et al. submitted; NGC 6240, this work). Therefore to investigate variability in this type of source, good sampling of the 30–50 keV energy range is mandatory. Further NuSTAR monitoring of NGC 6240 would allow a detailed time/count rate resolved spectral analysis and help put constraints on the geometry/location of the reflecting/absorbing medium.
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