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A B S T R A C T

The Spike protein (S protein) is a critical component in the infection of the new coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). The objective of this work was to evaluate whether peptides from S protein could cause negative impact in the aquatic animals. The aquatic toxicity of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein peptides derivatives has been evaluated in tadpoles (n = 50 tadpoles/5 replicates of 10 animals) from species Physalaemus cuvieri (Leptodactylidae). After synthesis, purification, and characterization of peptides (PSDP2001, PSDP2002, PSDP2003) an aquatic contamination has been simulated with these peptides during 24 h of exposure in two concentrations (100 and 500 ng/mL). The control group (“C”) was composed of tadpoles kept in polyethylene containers containing dechlorinated water. Oxidative stress, antioxidant biomarkers and AChE activity were assessed. In both concentrations, PSDP2002 and PSDP2003 increased catalase and superoxide dismutase antioxidants enzymes activities, as well as oxidative stress (nitrite levels, hydrogen peroxide and reactive oxygen species). All three peptides also increased acetylcholinesterase activity in the highest concentration. These peptides showed molecular interactions in silico with acetylcholinesterase and antioxidant enzymes. Aquatic particle contamination of SARS-CoV-2 has cholinesterasic effect in P. cuvieri tadpoles. These findings indicate that the COVID-19 can constitute environmental impact or biological damage potential.
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1. Introduction

Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, caused by SARS-CoV-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2), an emergent beta-coronavirus threatening human health, has led to a dramatic worldwide crisis and presents unprecedented global challenges on everybody’s daily life, social aspects, political affairs, and health measures (Chakraborty and Prasenjit, 2020). Remarkably, the poor and the most vulnerable people are at critical high risk, and Sumner et al. (2020) calculates that nearly 500 million people worldwide may succumb to poverty resulting from the same (Sumner et al., 2020). By Jun. 25 (2021), over 179 million cases and over 3.8 million deaths have been reported since the start of the pandemic (WHO, 2021). Resulting from the same, in only 12 months, we have learned a lot about SARS-CoV-2. Our ability to test for and manage COVID-19 has improved, but ongoing debate remains about how SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted (Editorial Lancet, 2020).

The most recurrent forms of SARS-CoV-2 transmission are through direct contact with an infected person (Meyerowitz et al., 2021), inhaling respiratory droplets containing the virus (Harrison et al., 2020), or accessing a contaminated environment where suspended particles are present over longer distances and time than droplet transmission (Graham et al., 2021). However, by reviewing the environmental threats of the virus reported so far, it is concluded that the virus can survive on inanimate surfaces such as metal, glass, or plastic for up to 9 days if any effective disinfection procedure with ozone, ethanol, hydrogen peroxide, UV light, chlorine or its derivatives as sodium hypochlorite is not conducted in between (Kampf et al., 2020).

Although the direct contact described above concerns meaningful, a different environmental transmission source with the virus now recognized is the indirect contact through the infected people’s stool and urine (Chen et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2020). Unconventional studies support this notion by reporting positive SARS-CoV-2 viral titers in domestic sewages (Pandey et al., 2021; Elsamadony et al., 2021; Polo et al., 2020). So far, Sars-CoV-2 has been detected in several countries’ wastewaters of the American, European, and Asian continents, suggesting as mandatory the monitoring of the secondary transmission of the new coronavirus via wastewater (Liu et al., 2020). On a more compelling perspective, strong evidence suggests that surveillance of primarily settled solids in wastewater through one-step ddPCR is a solid strategy to track the spread of Covid-19 disease transmission before the clinical cases break out in a particular location (Graham et al., 2021).

Moreover, following this trend can shed light on the characteristics of infection that are difficult to capture in clinical investigations, such as the dynamics of infection and early viral elimination (Wu et al., 2020).

The increase in the generation of household waste (Sharma et al., 2020; Zandand Heir, 2020; Urban and Nakada, 2021), hospitals (Abu-Qdaais et al., 2020; Sangkhram, 2020; Yang et al., 2020), and notable civil buildings (Carvalho et al., 2020; Abu-Rayash and Dincer, 2020; Santiago et al., 2020) constitute some of the environmental grounds where no information on the ecotoxicological effects of SARS-CoV-2 proteic or genetic structural components impact on freshwater vertebrates exists. Therefore, this lack of knowledge requires urgent attention by developing studies to assess how COVID-19 impacts the aquatic populations in the close vicinity of the anthropogenic activities described above. Such studies may focus on supporting actions or strategies on the remediation or at least mitigation of impacts in favor of conserving non-target species at the edge of any Sars-CoV-2 variant.

The spike (S) protein is a critical feature of the new Sars-CoV-2 coronavirus found on the surface of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, giving it a “crown” appearance. The S protein is a granule-shaped structural protein with a length of about 1200 aa, which helps the virus bind to cell receptors and mediates viral infection and pathogenesis (Coughlan, 2020). The S protein plays a key role in the receptor recognition and cell membrane fusion process with ACE-2 (angiotensin-converting enzyme 2) (Huang et al., 2020). Therefore, it is not surprising this ligand-receptor interaction of the S protein is the primary target to produce vaccines against COVID-19, as reported in different studies (Bangaru et al., 2020; Samrat et al., 2020; Kech et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020; Qi et al., 2020; Ravi-ichandran et al., 2020).

Several in vivo platforms to dissect the cellular and molecular programs governing Sars-CoV-2 viral dissemination on vertebrates are available. However, the number of aquatic model animals that may support trials and provide reliable information is almost inexistent. Among them, the zebrafish model represents an attractive model to explore the desired effects on the context of a full vertebrate (Galindo-Villegas, 2020). Unfortunately, the zebrafish has not been vigorously infected in vivo trials so far by the causative agent of Covid-19 (Gaudin and Goetz, 2021).

Following a synthetic approach in previous research, we have developed three peptides of the full-length SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (PSPD2001, PSPD2002, and PSPD2003) after a pattern memorization phagolyssosomal proteolysis (Fernandes et al., 2020). To attempt to elucidate whether and how the Sars-Cov-2 influence the aquatic animals, in this study, we investigate the same by adding the three produced synthetic peptides to mimic the resulting Covid-19 aquatic contamination in wastewater. The tadpole Physalaemus cuvieri, is a prevalent amphibian species found in many freshwater habitats throughout Brazil and South America (Miranda et al., 2019; Herek et al., 2020; Araújo et al., 2020a, 2020b; Rutkoski et al., 2020). Its population stability and abundance in the areas that occur (Frost, 2017), good adaptability in the laboratory, and early biological response justify the species’ choice (Herek et al., 2020; Araújo et al., 2020a, 2020b; Rutkoski et al., 2021). Previous studies using this species report the effects of water pollution caused by wastewater runoff (Wrubleswski et al., 2018). Therefore, in this study, we selected P. cuvieri as our choice of a translational model vertebrate.

From different biomarkers indicative of an imbalance in oxidation-reduction (REDOX) and cholinesterase effect, we aimed to test the hypothesis that nanometric concentrations of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein fragments in water may affect the health of amphibians. We believe that studies like ours are needed not only to expand our knowledge about the impacts of COVID-19 on aquatic biodiversity; but also, to predict the environmental impacts of the recent pandemic on the populations of neotropical amphibians, which have already, over the years, shown a drastic population decline (Pechnann et al., 1991; Blaustein and Kiesecker, 2002; Ranvestel et al., 2004; Grant et al., 2020).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Synthesis, purification, and characterisation of peptides

2.1.1. Synthesis of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein peptides

The peptides were obtained manually using the solid phase peptide synthesis method (SPFS) using the Fmoc strategy (Raibaut et al., 2014; Behrendt et al., 2016). The couplings were carried out by reaction with a 20% solution of 4-methyl-piperidine in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) for 2h. In this step, a 2-fold excess of Fmoc-amino acids and coupling agents in relation to the number of reactive sites in the resin was used. Deprotection of the amino group after coupling, i.e., removal of the base labile Fmoc group was carried out by reaction with a 20% solution of 4-methyl-piperidine in dimethylformamide (DMF) (Herek et al., 2020; Araújo et al., 2020a, 2020b; Rutkoski et al., 2021). Previous studies using this species report the effects of water pollution caused by wastewater runoff (Wrubleswski et al., 2018).

After coupling all the amino acid residues from the peptide sequence, the chains were removed from the solid support by acid treatment with a solution of TFA in DMF (3:1), to yield the following peptides: Arg-Val-Tyr-Ser-Ser-Ala-Asn-Asn-Cys-COOH (PSPD2001); Cys-Val-Ala-Leu-Thr-Arg-Thr-COOH (PSPD2002) and Asn-Ala-Thr-Asn-COOH (PSPD2003), respectively.
cleavage using trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) for 2 h [similarly to Guy and Fields (1997)]. In addition to TFA, reaction suppressors were added according to the sequence of each peptide. After cleavage, the peptides were precipitated with cold ether and later extracted with 0.045% TFA solution in purified water. The solutions were lyophilized to obtain solid crude material.

2.1.3. Purification of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein peptides

The crude compounds were purified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a reverse-phase column using different purification methods according to the retention time obtained in a gradient program of 5–95% in 30 min (exploration gradient) in Analytical HPLC (Klaassen et al., 2019). Table S1 (see “online Supplementary Material”) presents a summary of the purification methods adopted in our study. The purification solvents were water containing 0.045% TFA (solvent A) and acetonitrile containing 0.036% TFA (solvent B).

After collecting, lyophilizing, and weighing the pure material fractions, its yield was calculated, obtaining 13.0% for PSPD2001, 21.4% for PSPD2002, and 18.2% for PSPD2003. The pure and solid material was subjected to chromatographic analysis to determine the purity of the final product. Only compounds with purity equal to or greater than 95% were considered for biological analysis.

2.1.4. Characterization of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein peptides

The analysis of the synthesized peptides’ identity was carried out in a mass spectrometer (Metzger et al., 1994) Thermo LCQ-Fleet, with ESI-IT-MS configuration. For this, the sample solutions were directly infused at a concentration of approximately 10 mg/L in acetonitrile/water containing 0.1% v/v formic acid. The infusion rate was adjusted to 5.0 μL/min, and the electrospray source was operated in a positive mode, applying 4.5 kV to the electrospray capillary.

2.2. Alignment of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein peptides

The similarities between the PSPD2001, PSPD2002 and PSPD2003 peptides synthesized in the present study were tested using the CLUSTAL W version 1.83 software (Higgins et al., 1996; Pais et al., 2014 http://www.ebi.ac.uk/clusterw/). The peptides were aligned with proteins deposited in the NCBI/BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool), consisting of a set of programs that look for similarities between different sequences. The investigated sequences’ alignment was carried out with the nucleic acid and/or protein database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast). Within BLAST, the search was carried out in the “Protein blast” using as a database the “Swissprot protein sequence (swissprot)”, algorithm - blastp (protein BLAST) and the search was restricted to Physalaemus cuvieri (taxid:218685). The database UniProtKB/SwissProt (http://www.uniprot.org/) was used to obtain detailed information on the protein aligning with the selected peptides revealed.

2.3. Model system and experimental design

To evaluate the synthesized peptides’ aquatic toxicity, we used tadpoles of the species Physalaemus cuvieri (Leptodactyliidae) as a model system. All tadpoles used came from an ovigerous mass (containing approximately 1500 eggs), according to Pupin et al. (2010). They were collected in a lentic environment (Urutai, GO, Brazil) surrounded by native vegetation from the Cerrado biome, under license no. 73339-1 of MA/ICMBio in Brazil.

Upon arrival at the laboratory, the eggs were kept in an aquarium (40.1 × 45.3 × 63.5 cm) containing 80 L of naturally dechlorinated water (for at least 24 h), under controlled light conditions (light-dark cycle, 12:12 h), temperature (26 ± 1 °C - similar to the natural environment) and constant aeration (maintained by air compressors), being fed once a day (ad libitum) with commercial fish food (guarantee levels: 45% crude protein, 14% ether extract, 5% crude fiber, 14% mineral matter and 87% dry matter). After the eggs hatched, the tadpoles remained in these conditions until they reached stage 27 G, according to Gosner (1960) (body biomass: 70 mg ± 4.1 mg and total length: 20.1 mm ± 0.7 mm - mean ± SEM). The healthy tadpoles (i.e., with normal swimming movements and without morphological deformities or apparent lesions) were divided into seven experimental groups (n = 50 tadpoles/each; 5 replicates composed of 10 animals/each). The control group (“C”) was composed of tadpoles kept in polyethylene containers containing 50 mL of de-chlorinated water, free of any peptide. The animals kept in water containing the peptides comprised the groups “PSPD2001”, “PSPD2002”, and “PSPD2003”. Two concentrations were tested for each peptide (100 and 500 ng/mL, defined based on reports that SARS-CoV-2 in sweet environments occurs in minimal concentrations (Shutter et al., 2020; Guerrero-Latorre et al., 2020; Tran et al., 2020). The exposure period (24 h; in the static system) was defined considering the low persistence of SARS-CoV-2 in the aquatic environment after being released with human feces (Blivins et al., 2020). We emphasize that, throughout the exposure period, different physical-chemical parameters of the quality of the exposure waters were monitored (every 6 h), keeping them equitable between treatments (temperature: 23 ± 1.4; atmospheric pressure (atm): 0.91 ± 0.001; electrical resistivity (Ωm): 0.01 ± 0.0001; electrical conductivity (μS/cm²): 96.2 ± 1.83; total dissolved solids (mg/L): 48.2 ± 0.83; salinity: 0.04 ± 0.004; oxidation-reduction potential (ORP): 130.21 ± 6.17; dissolved oxygen (mg/L): 7.72 ± 0.78 and pH: 7.2 ± 0.38).

2.4. Toxicity biomarkers

We evaluated peptide-induced toxicity from predictive biomarkers of REDOX imbalance and cholinesterasic effect after exposure, considered classic and essential parameters in ecotoxicological studies (Valavanidis et al., 2006). For this, pools of four animals/each composed the samples to be analyzed. Such animals were weighed and later macerated in 1 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), centrifuged at 13.000 rpm for 5 min (at 4 °C). The supernatant was separated into aliquots to be used in different biochemical evaluations. Entire bodies were used in the experiment due to the hard time isolating specific organs from small animals. Organ-specific biochemical assessment in tadpole requires highly accurate dissection due to their small size, making it difficult to process large sample numbers under a time constraint (Khan et al., 2015). Organ “contamination” by organic matter and/or by other particles consumed by tadpole can be biased at biochemical analysis applied to organs at dissection time (Lusher et al., 2013; Guimarães et al., 2021).

2.4.1. REDOX state

2.4.1.1. Oxidative stress biomarkers.

The effects of exposure to peptides on oxidative stress reactions were evaluated based on indirect nitric oxide (NO) determination on REDOX regulated processes via nitrite measurement (Grisham et al., 1999); thiobarbituric acid reactive species (TBARS), a predictive of lipid peroxidation (De-Leon and Borges, 2020); production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and on hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), which plays an essential role in responses to oxidative stress in different cell types (Hürri, 2017). The Griess colorimetric reaction was used to measure NO (Grisham et al., 1999). This reaction consisted of detecting nitrite resulting from NO oxidation. TBARS levels were determined based on procedures described by Pothiwong et al. (2007), with adaptations for conduction in microtubes and ELISA microplate reading. The production of hydrogen peroxide was evaluated using the Kit Elabscience (Cat.: E-BCK 102-S. Lot: 731IHRZ16ES. Exp: 2020-06-16) and ROS levels were assessed, according to Zhao et al. (2013) and Maharajan et al. (2018), with some modifications. The procedural details used in each analysis can be seen in “online Supplementary Material”.

2.4.1.2. Antioxidant response biomarkers.

The activation or suppression of antioxidant activity by peptides was assessed by determining catalase...
and superoxide dismutase (SOD) enzyme activities, which are considered critical first-line antioxidants for defense strategies against oxidative stress (Ighodaro and Akinloye, 2018a, 2018b; Jing et al., 2020). While catalase activity was assessed based on Sinha et al. (1972) and Maharajan et al. (2018). The SOD was determined according to the method described by Dieterich et al. (2000). To assess the balance between the synthesis of hydrogen peroxide by SOD and its decomposition by catalase, the SOD/CAT ratio was calculated and recorded, as Liu et al. (2017). The procedural details used in each analysis can be seen in “online Supplementary Material”.

2.4.2. Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) enzyme activity

Cholinesterasic effect was assessed by quantifying acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity, based on the method by Ellman et al. (1961), with minor detailed modifications in Estrela et al. (2021) (see “online Supplementary Material”). AChE activity is used as a cholinergic function marker since it regulates the acetylcholine (ACh) amount interacting with its receptors (Tougu, 2001).

2.4.3. Determination of the protein level

All results of the biochemical analyzes were expressed by the “g of proteins” of the samples. In this case, the protein level was determined with a commercial kit (Biotécnica Ind. Com. LTDA, Varginha, MG, Brazil. CAS number: 10.009.00) based on biuret reaction (Gornall et al., 1949; Gornall et al., 1957). In general, Cu2+ ions, in an alkaline medium, react with the peptide bonds of proteins forming the blue complex specifically with protein, and the intensity of color, measured by an ELISA reader at a wavelength of 492 nm, is proportional to the protein concentration.

2.5. Bioinformatics in silico analysis

Seeking to predict the binding mode and affinity of the bonds between the peptides synthesized in our study and the protein structures of the enzymes AChE, catalase, and SOD, we performed docking and chemoinformatic screens (Kolb et al., 2009). For this, we obtained the peptide ligand PSPD2002 and PSPD2003 in three dimensions through the web server PEP-FOLD3 (https://bioserv.rpbs.univ-paris-diderot.fr/services/PEP-FOLD3/). Protein structures and sequences of the P. cuvieri (i.e.: Leptodactylidae) taxonomic family were not found in the biological structure databases. Therefore, we use as target structures those from the Xenopodinae family, a family phylogenetically close to the group of Leptodactylidae (Jetzand Pyron, 2018). The AChE and catalase enzymes’ structures were obtained using the homology construction technique with values of similarity 65.48% and 87.14% to the PSPD2001, PSPD2002, and PSPD2003. During the peptides synthesis, we performed the addition of different reaction suppressors to avoid the return of the side chain protectors present in some amino acids with a reactive side chain. The results obtained in this step are shown in Table 1. Regarding the mass spectrometry analysis, the spectra obtained indicated the molecular mass/charge ratio (m/z) of the identified compounds, allowing us to confirm the deprecated molecules’ achievement. The spectra can be seen in Fig. S1 (see “Supplementary Material”), and Table 2 summarizes the results obtained in this step. Fig. 1 also shows the structural models of the PSPD2001, PSPD2002, and PSPD2003.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 Spike peptides

Our study’s first stage was to synthesize and characterize the SARS-CoV-2 Spike peptides arbitrary named PSPD2001, PSPD2002, and PSPD2003. During the peptides’ cleavage, we performed the addition of different reaction suppressors to avoid the return of the side chain protectors present in some amino acids with a reactive side chain. The results obtained in this step are shown in Table 1. Regarding the mass spectrometry analysis, the spectra obtained indicated the molecular mass/charge ratio (m/z) of the identified compounds, allowing us to confirm the deprecated molecules’ achievement. The spectra can be seen in Fig. S1 (see “Supplementary Material”), and Table 2 summarizes the results obtained in this step. Fig. 1 also shows the structural models of the PSPD2001, PSPD2002, and PSPD2003.

Regarding the alignment of the obtained sequences, our analyzes revealed the existence of similarities between the nucleotide sequences of the synthesized peptides and different regions conserved in SARS-Cov-2, whose comparisons were made from three datasets COVID, originated from Texas (USA), Iran, and Australia (Fig. 2). These data demonstrated that the peptides PSPD2001, PSPD2002, and PSPD2003 are, in fact, part of the protein structure of the etiological agent of COVID-19. However, the sequences obtained for P. cuvieri (taxid: 218685) showed two main agreements belonging to five of the total of nine peptides found. The identification of possible linear epitopes was performed by BLAST with the Swiss-port protein sequence database.

Table 1

| Sequences | Codes         | M.W. | Resins | Cleavage solution |
|-----------|---------------|------|--------|-------------------|
| Arg-Val-Tyr-Ser-Ser-Ala-Asn-Am-Cys-COOH | PSPD2001 | 1013, 09 | Fmoc-Cys-Wang | 94% TFA |
| Glu-Cys-Val-Aln-Leu-Thr-Thr-Arg-Thr-COOH | PSPD2002 | 1035, 18 | Fmoc-Thr-Wang | 94% TFA |
| Asn-Ala-Asn-Thr-COOH | PSPD2003 | 532, 51 | Fmoc-Asn-Wang | 2,5% H2O |

M.W.: molecular weight; SG: substitution grade- Number of active sites available for the growth of the peptide chain. DODT: 2,2’-(Ethylene dioxy) diethanol; TIS: trisopropylsilane; TFA: trifluoroacetic acid.

Table 2

| Sequences | M.W. | m/z calculated | m/z obtained |
|-----------|------|----------------|--------------|
| PSPD2001  | 1013,09 | 1035,18 | 532,51 |
| PSPD2002  | 1014,09 | 1036,18 | 533,51 |
| PSPD2003  | 1013,41 | 1035,41 | 533,04 |

M.W.: molecular weight; PSPD2001: Arg-Val-Tyr-Ser-Ser-Ala-Asn-Am-Cys-COOH; PSPD2002: Glu-Cys-Val-Aln-Leu-Thr-Thr-Arg-Thr-COOH; PSPD2003: Asn-Ala-Asn-Thr-COOH.
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Fig. 1. Structural models of peptides (A) PSPD2001, (B) PSPD2002, and (C) PSPD2003 that were synthesized in the present study.

Fig. 2. Alignment of the nucleotide sequence encoding PSPD2001, PSPD2002 and PSPD2003 with SARS-CoV-2 obtained from the (A) COVID dataset from Australia (SARS-Cov-2/human/AUS), (B) Texas, USA (SARS-Cov-2/human/TX) and (C) Iran (SARS-Cov-2/human/IRN). The blue markings refer to similar nucleotides between the synthesized peptides and those present in SARS-CoV-2. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
restricted to *P. cuvieri*. All peptides obtained in the sequencing were evaluated. However, only the main results found for five peptides are being presented in Table S2, two in the form SARS-CoV-2, in addition to the analysis made for the consensus obtained in alignment with Clustal W. (Fig. 3).

The in vivo experiments revealed that short exposure to SARS-CoV-2 Spike peptides was able to induce significant biochemical changes in *P. cuvieri* tadpoles. After 24 h of exposure, we observed that the peptides PSPD2002 and PSPD2003 (100 and 500 ng/mL) induced a significant increase in nitrite production [indirect measurement of NO (Soneja et al., 2005)] and hydrogen peroxide (Fig. 4A–B, respectively), which in association with the higher levels of ROS (Fig. 4D), suggest an increase in oxidative stress processes in the animals. The PSPD2003 peptide demonstrated an even more significant effect on NO production, exceeding a 30% increase, to the control group, in both tested concentrations (100 and 500 ng/mL); almost 60% increase in hydrogen peroxide levels in the group exposed to 500 ng/mL, and 29% ROS in the animals treated with 100 ng/mL. However, the multiple comparisons performed between the groups revealed that increasing the concentration of the peptides (100 ng/L to 500 ng/L) did not imply a significant increase or decrease in any of the previously mentioned parameters. Furthermore, we did not observe significant differences between the groups regarding MDA levels (Fig. S2-A), suggesting that the treatments did not intensify the lipid peroxidation processes in the tadpoles.

Like the previous findings, we observed that the animals exposed to PSPD2002 and PSPD2003 showed an increase, in a concentration-dependent effect, of the activity of the enzymes SOD and catalase (Fig. 5A–B), with these data being positively and significantly correlated with the increase in the levels of nitrite, peroxide hydrogen and ROS (Fig. 5C–D). We also observed that PSPD2003, once again, induced more intense effects on the antioxidant activity; there was an increase above 36% as compared to the control group for the two concentrations tested (100 and 500 ng/mL). The levels of SOD and catalase in the tadpoles exposed to PSPD2002 fragments were 28.9% higher than those reported in the control group. However, the SOD/catalase ratio was unaffected or decreased which indicates the relative balance between hydrogen peroxide synthesis by SOD and its decomposition by catalase (Fig. 5S-B).

These data are exciting since they corroborate previous studies that describe the critical role the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein in inducing oxidative stress in COVID-19 infection [see the review of Suhail et al. (2020)] while demonstrating that the peptides evaluated, even in a non-host organism, can cause metabolic disorders related to the increase in reactive species. On the other hand, the impairment of antioxidant defenses observed in several viral infections (Fraternale et al., 2006), including COVID-19 (Bairdain et al., 2020; Polonikov, 2020; Bayindir and Bayindir, 2020; Abouhashem et al., 2020), was not evident in the studied organism. These data also reinforce the hypothesis that the responses to the peptide fragments tested may be different between hosts and non-hosts of SARS-CoV-2; they also confirm the ability of peptides PSPD2002 and PSPD2003 to induce metabolic changes that alter REDOX homeostasis towards oxidative stress in tadpoles.

The proportional increase in oxidative stress biomarkers and the activity of SOD and catalase enzymes [two essential and indispensable molecules in cellular antioxidant defense strategies –Nishikawa et al. (2009), Hu and Tirelli (2012), Ighodaro and Akinloye (2018a) (2018b)] reinforces our hypothesis, showing that the increase in antioxidant defenses does not seem to have been sufficient to reduce oxidative stress. The proposition of an action mechanism explaining the increase in these enzymes’ activity is very incipient, either due to our study’s pioneering nature or the need to deepen biochemical assessments in future studies. However, it is tempting to speculate that the interactions between PSPD2002 and PSPD2003 peptides and antioxidant enzymes evaluated in tadpoles (confirmed by molecular docking) have induced functional changes in SOD and catalase, similarly to what was observed by Jing et al. (2020) by exposing hepatocytes isolated from C57BL/6 mice to different concentrations of naphthalene. The data obtained from the molecular docking reinforces our hypothesis by confirming the affinity between the PSPD2002 and PSPD2003 peptides and the referred enzymes and the existence of interactions with residues from all tested moorings (Fig. 6). In the interactions with PSPD2002, it was possible to verify several hydrogen bonds in the threonine mixture (T9), revealing the potencies of the binding affinities and the central region of interaction in the active sites of the tested targets. In contrast, PSPD2003 interactions showed > 20 hydrogen interactions in all the tested couplings, with the structures of valine (V2) and serine (S4) (central of the

![Fig. 3. (A) Peptide alignment and (B) Guide Tree Phylogram. Both for the Physalaemus cuvieri form (taxid: 218685) by the Clustal W program. The green regions highlight 50% of the conserved region and, in red, 50–85% of the conserved region. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image)

![Fig. 4. Boxplot of data obtained from predictive oxidative stress biomarkers [(A) nitrite levels, (B) hydrogen peroxide and (C) reactive oxygen species] in tadpoles of *P. cuvieri* (phase 27 G) exposed or not to peptides PSPD 2001, 2002 and 2003 of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein. The summaries of the statistical analyzes are shown in the upper left corner of the graphs. Asterisks indicate significant differences between the respective groups and the control group. (n = 50 animals/group). PSPD2001: Arg-Val-Tyr-Ser-Ala-Asn-Asn-Cys-COOH; PSPD2002: Gln-Cys-Val-Asn-Leu-Thr-Arg-Thr-COOH; PSPD2003: Asn-Asn-Ala-Thr-Asn-COOH. Asterisks indicate significant differences in comparison to the control group: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; and ****p < 0.0001.](image)
An increase in NO production (inferred by high levels of nitrite) in tadpoles exposed to PSPD2002 and PSPD2003 (Fig. 4A) suggests that the production of this free radical gas constitutes a standard response to the constituents of the SARS-CoV protein 2 Spike of the new coronavirus, both in the evaluated non-host organism and in humans infected with SARS-CoV-2. The ability of NO (both endogenous and exogenous) to inhibit the replication cycle of other viruses in the Coronaviridae family, affecting their proteins and reducing viral RNA (Chen et al., 2004; Keyaerts et al., 2004; Åkerström et al., 2005; Jung et al., 2010), has even motivated studies, whose preliminary results point to its potential therapeutic use in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 (Alvarez et al., 2020). Alternatively, we cannot neglect the hypothesis of increased NO in tadpoles due to the innate immune response modulated by the ligand.

Fig. 5. Boxplot of the activity of the enzymes (A) superoxide dismutase and (B) catalase, as well as correlations between the levels of (C) superoxide dismutase and (D) catalase and the different predictive biomarkers of oxidative stress. NO2-: nitrite; H2O2: hydrogen peroxide and ROS: reactive oxygen species. In "A" and "B", the statistical analyses’ summaries are shown in the graphs’ upper left corner. Asterisks indicate significant differences between the respective groups and the control group. (n = 50 animals/group). PSPD2001: Arg-Val-Tyr-Ser-Ala-Asn-Cys-COOH; PSPD2002: Gln-Cys-Val-Asn-Leu-Thr-Thr-Arg-Thr-COOH; PSPD2003: Asn-Asn-Ala-Thr-Asn-COOH. Asterisks indicate significant differences in comparison to the control group: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; and ****p < 0.0001.

Fig. 6. Three-dimensional surface-ligand coupling of interactions between peptides PSPD2002 and PSPD-2003 and the enzyme (A-B) superoxide dismutase (SOD) and (C-D) catalase (C-D), all in surface mode and highlighted active site. Interaction residues in “A” (SOD-PSPD2002): G12A; N51A; V7A; V146A; G10B; G12B; N51B (affinity (kcal/mol) = –8.3). In “B” (SOD-PSPD2002): N51A; V7A; V146A; N51B**; V7B; V146B* (affinity (kcal/mol) = –8.6). In “C” (Catalase-PSPD2002): K457; N240; N451; R116**; S243 (affinity (kcal/mol) = –9.3). In “D” (Catalase-PSPD2003): D458; H455; N451; Q157*; R116 (affinity (kcal/mol) = –6.8). An "asterisk" indicates two interactions in the same residue. Two "asterisks" indicate the existence of three interactions in the same residue.
peptides, with a consequent increase in the production of inflammatory cytokines. In this case, studies reporting a positive correlation between NO production and increased pro-inflammatory cytokine levels (TNF-α, IL-6, IL-17, IL-12, and interferon-γ) in patients with COVID-19 reinforce our hypothesis (Karki et al., 2020; Del Valle et al., 2020; Costela-Ruiz et al., 2020).

We also evaluated the peptides’ possible cholinesterasic effect in tadpoles exposed to the peptide fragments of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein. Interestingly, we observed that 100 ng/mL PSPD2003 induced an increase greater than 220% concerning the control group. However, at a concentration of 500 ng/mL, all the peptides evaluated exerted an effect in the cholinergic system, causing an increase in the activity of AChE (Fig. 7). While the peptides PSPD2001 and PSPD2002 induced increases of 219% and 553.8% in relation to AChE activity in the control group’s animals, respectively; the PSPD2003 peptide impressively induced an even more significant increase (697.3%). Furthermore, the multiple comparisons performed between the groups revealed that the increase of the peptides (100 ng/L to 500 ng/L) implied a significant increase in AChE activity, which characterizes a concentration-response effect. Therefore, these data confirmed the initial hypothesis that the SARS-CoV-2 induce stimulatory effect of the cholinergic system of the animals evaluated, especially in those exposed to the highest concentration (500 ng/mL) of the peptides.

Interestingly, these data differ from other studies that report suppression in AChE induced by increased cellular oxidative stress (Kayode et al., 2016; Bali et al., 2019; Ezeoyili et al., 2019; Pala, 2019; Ibrahim et al., 2020). In general, such studies argue that this can occur due to the deterioration of neurotransmission and oxidative damage. Additionally, AChE inhibition impairs oxidative phosphorylation and is followed by neuronal Ca2+ influx and activation of nNOS, associated with the neurons’ oxidative and nitrosative injury (Milatovic et al., 2006). However, the increased AChE activity observed in tadpoles exposed to the peptides may be related to the activation of the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway (CAP), which has been found beneficial in preventing inflammatory conditions such as sepsis and acute respiratory distress syndrome in animal models (see the review of Liu et al. (2020)). As discussed by Osman (2020), CAP constitutes a neural mechanism that modulates inflammation through the release of acetylcholine (ACh), that has led to increased AChE synthesis to decompose higher levels of this neurotransmitter [see details in Tracey (2007)]. This mechanism has been reported in different studies involving patients infected with the new coronavirus (Bonaz et al., 2020; Mazloom, 2020), strengthening the presumption that this mechanism may constitute another similar physiological response between SARS-CoV-2 non-host and host organisms.

Besides, it is plausible to assume not only that the peptide composition of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein participates in the CAP activation (both in humans and in the evaluated tadpoles) but also that the neuroimmune system of the tadpoles has an essential role in responding to exposure of peptides PSPD2001, PSPD2002 and PSPD2003.

Alternatively, the tadpoles’ cholinergic system’s stimulation may also be explained by the direct interactions between the tested peptides and AChE, whose affinity was demonstrated in the molecular docking analysis (Fig. 8). In this case, future studies will be useful to understand if these interactions induced a significant change in the association and catalysis mechanism or expansion of the enzyme efficiency with an increase of the substrate affinity to the active site (increasing the catalytic constant was increased and decreasing the Michaelis constant). In both situations, a significant increase in AChE activity can occur, either as part of a compensatory mechanism that will aim to compensate for the enzyme’s catalytic deficit or as a more efficient response to the increased release of acetylcholine in synaptic clefts via CAP activation. The hypothesis that increased AChE activity in these animals was associated with positive AChE gene regulation due to Spike protein peptides’ inhibitory effect needs to be tested in future studies.

Finally, it is essential to emphasize that although our study gathers clear and pioneering evidence on the negative impact of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike fragments (especially PSPD2002 and PSPD2003) on the biochemical parameters evaluated in P. cuvieri tadpoles, many questions about the consequences of the presence of these fragments in the aquatic environment remains obscure. The evaluation of the effects of prolonged exposure to the tested peptides (in higher and lower concentrations), the use of other experimental models (expanding the environmental representativeness), and the use of multiple toxicity biomarkers are some future investigative perspectives. Equally important will be to deepen the mechanisms of action of the peptides of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein when in direct contact with non-host organisms of the new coronavirus. Approaches of this nature can significantly expand our knowledge of the impact of COVID-19 on the environment and the functioning of ecosystems and support the proposal for strategies to remedy or mitigate aquatic contamination by SARS-CoV2 particles.
4. Conclusions

From a systemic approach that included the synthesis, cleavage, purification, and alignment of peptides to *P. cuvieri* tadpoles’ exposure to peptide fragments of Spike protein, we gathered evidence that confirms the toxicity of viral constituents in the evaluated animal model. We observed that the peptides PSPD2002 and PSPD2003 induced REDOX imbalance and cholinerestatic effect, which constitutes an insight (never demonstrated before) on how aquatic particle contamination of SARS-CoV-2 can affect the health of *P. cuvieri* tadpoles. In this sense, we strongly suggest conducting further studies necessary to understand the real magnitude of the biological/environmental impact of COVID-19.
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