Does Preoperative Balance Training Reduce Pain and Improve Joint Function in Patients After Total Knee Arthroplasty? A Randomized Controlled Trial
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Abstract

Background Part of patients undergoing TKA did not achieve the expected physiological function results. The purpose of this study was to investigate whether preoperative balance training can reduce pain and improve joint function after TKA.

Methods 100 patients with knee osteoarthritis (OA) prepared for TKA, according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, were randomly divided into balance training group (50 patients) and control group (50 patients). The main evaluation outcomes of the study included early functional milestones, ROM, WOMAC score, keen society score (KSS), KOOS score, and complications at 1 month (baseline) and 1 day before surgery, 6 weeks (main endpoint) and 52 weeks (1 year) after surgery.

Results 4 patients lost to follow-up at 1 month before surgery, 96 received planned surgery and 86 underwent a complete postoperative evaluation. After TKA, many indicators of the two groups, including WOMAC score, KSS, KOOS score, were significantly improved compared with before surgery. At 52 weeks after TKA, no statistically significant difference between the balance training group and control group in attain early functional milestones, ROM, WOMAC score, KSS, KOOS score, and complications; however, at 6 weeks after surgery, balance training group had better early benefits in stopping all narcotics ($P = 0.045$), relieving pain ($P = 0.037$), improving function ($P = 0.017$) and quality of life (QOL) ($P = 0.028$).

Conclusions Although there were no difference between preoperative balance training group and control group at the 52 weeks after surgery, however, preoperative balance training can relieve pain and improve function in the early stage (6 weeks), but adequately statistically powered trials are needed to confirm the accuracy of the study results

Trial Registration ChiCTR2000032789.

Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has been shown to change knee mechanics, relieve pain, increase knee ROM, improve knee function and physical balance for patients with knee disease.$^{[1-3]}$ As the population ages and the effectiveness of surgery, the number of patients undergoing TKA due to knee OA continues to increase.$^{[4]}$ TKA has greatly improved the adverse symptoms of the knee, and most patients are satisfied with the efficacy of TKA,$^{[5]}$ however, about 20% of patients still suffer from chronic knee pain after surgery, and 15% of them have not found the exact pathogeny through radiographic images.$^{[6,7]}$ Some patients still have limb imbalance,$^{[8,9]}$ difficulties in walking and climbing stairs for a long period of months after surgery,$^{[10,11]}$ as well as some patients have persistent sensorimotor dysfunction,$^{[12]}$ which indicates that part of patients do not achieve the expected physiological function results after TKA.

Incomplete proprioceptive recovery of the knee, poor mobility and unstable dynamic balance are factors that increase the risk of falls in the elderly, and are also common factors that lead to difficulty in completing daily activities.$^{[13,14]}$ It has been reported that 25% of patients will fall within 2 years after
total joint arthroplasty, resulting in physical and psychological trauma and a high cost to the patient. Therefore, balance is particularly important for the basic daily activities and safety of patients with TKA.

Balance training not only refers to proprioception or neuromuscular training, but also sensorimotor training. Postoperative balance training can relieving pain, increasing the knee ROM, restoring muscle strength, improving joint function, and early postoperative results. Domínguez and Moutzouri also supported balance training as part of postoperative rehabilitation programs, which provide good solutions for improving the adverse symptoms after TKA. However, conclusive evidence on the benefits of preoperative balance training is currently uncertain, so its effectiveness has not been determined. Gstoettner held that preoperative proprioception training can improve standing balance, however, Bitterli deemed that sensory motor training does not have any useful benefits for patients. Previously published studies have not drawn consistent evidence. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of TKA and focus on exploring whether preoperative balance training can reduce pain and improve joint function after TKA.

Materials And Methods

The randomized controlled study (RCT) has been approved by the local ethics committee and registered with the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (identifier: ChiCTR2000032789). It mainly evaluates the indicators of 1 month (baseline) and 1 day before surgery, 6 weeks (main endpoint) and 52 weeks after surgery. Flow diagram of patients participating in this study is shown in Figure 1.

We have counted patients who have undergone clinical and imaging evaluation, osteoarthritis as severe, radiological degenerative change to grade Ⅲ or Ⅳ and have surgical plans. As a result of the significant disruption that caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, they cannot go to the hospital for surgical treatment in time. The inclusion criteria were as follows: patients with severe unilateral knee OA; patients who were preparing for primary TKA; patients with no discomfort on the contralateral knee, hip, and ankle; choose prostheses of the same manufacturer and the same material; with the informed consent of patients and their families, patients can comply with the requirements of the study, including preoperative and postoperative evaluations and questionnaire surveys, and sign informed consent. Those who meet the following conditions were excluded: severe heart, kidney and lung insufficiency, tumor; patients with rheumatism or vestibular dysfunction; neuromuscular system diseases, such as stroke, Parkinson's disease, peripheral nerve diseases, etc.; previous joint infections or severe medical illnesses have restricted walking; patient with revision of knee prosthesis. Based on inclusion and exclusion criteria, we included a total of 100 patients between January 2019 and October 2019.

The nurse prepared two opaque cartons with similar shapes and sizes, labeled “balance training group” and “control group” respectively, and patients were divided into training group and control group according to the selected carton card. Qualified participants' baseline assessment and general details were completed by specific researchers. The researcher informed sports medicine doctors about the
allocation of eligible participants to the intervention group. Sports medicine doctors will guide the intervention group through writing and telephone to carry out balance training for 1 month. The researchers evaluate various indicators of patients who have completed balance training. The detailed patient data were not clear to anyone except the specific researcher, including the one doctor responsible for the operation. The follow-up data at 6 weeks and 52 weeks after surgery were also completed by specific researchers. This study meets the ethical standards proposed by Harris and Atkinson.\textsuperscript{[23]}

All operations were performed by one experienced professional surgeon who have completed more than 100 TKA in our orthopedics department, and assisted by a professional anesthesiologist. All patients underwent surgery under general anesthesia. Supervise and guide the postoperative rehabilitation exercise of all surgical patients, the balance training group and the control group adopt the same kind of exercise method with the same procedure.

The control group took oral pain medication for a period of 1 month, while the training group took symptomatic treatment with the same kind and dose of analgesic drug, they also conducted balance training in the outpatient clinic. All patients in the balance training group received written and telephone guidance of the training, and performed balance training at home for a month or so, 45 minutes each time, every two days. One month later, they were admitted to the hospital for surgical treatment according to the epidemic situation.

Balance training were based on the Brotzman principle\textsuperscript{[24]} and the standard rehabilitation program of clinical experience, and were trained according to the actual situation of patients and families. Kicking the ball exercises: the patient takes a sitting position, both feet are on both sides of the basketball, and the basketball is rolled with the feet around and around. Imaginary pedaling exercise: the patient takes the supine position and simulate stepping on an imaginary bicycle, stops when the lower limbs are sore. Balance board practice: the patient takes the flexion position, and exercises the balance board with both legs first and then one leg, opens the eyes first and then closes the eyes. Squat practice: squat on both legs first and then one leg (knee flexion around 40°), with throw the ball by hand to distract. Step flexibility exercise: balance training adopts forward step, backward step, side-by-side step and cross step.

This study mainly collected measurement results before balance training (1 month before surgery), after balance training (1 day before surgery), 6 weeks and 1 year after surgery. Data collection were performed in outpatient and inpatient wards equipped with special clinical examination rooms. Neither the sports medicine doctor who supervised the balance training nor the orthopedic surgeon who performed the surgery known the detailed basic data of the patients. All participants were told not to share the specific distribution of the study.

Early functional milestones, ROM were the primary measure outcomes. The main indicator for evaluating attain early functional milestones was the postoperative time of discontinued all walking aids; other functional milestones include discontinued walker/crutches, discontinued all narcotics, climb stairs, perform activities of daily living (ADL) independently, walk 0.5 mile. ROM refers to the arc of motion or
rotation angle when the knee joint is in motion. The joint mobility is divided into active and passive joint mobility. The former is generated by the active contraction of the muscles, and the latter is generated by the external force. Here we mainly measured the active joint mobility of the knee joint. Early functional milestones was mainly obtained through telephone follow-up, and ROM requires the patient to go to the hospital to be measured by a doctor.

WOMAC score, KSS, KOOS score were the secondary measure outcome. Three scores were obtained through preoperative and postoperative questionnaires and telephone follow-up. KSS mainly includes pain, stability, range of activities, function and other items. WOMAC score scale evaluates the severity and treatment effect of arthritis through three major aspects of pain, stiffness, and joint function based on patient-related symptoms and signs. The scale is mostly used for the evaluation of OA, which can effectively reflect the status of patients before and after treatment, and has a high reliability for the evaluation of OA. KOOS score is a questionnaire for the evaluation of the treatment effect of knee injury and osteoarthropathy based on patient self-assessment management. This self-assessment method can reduce the observer error in the assessment. The scoring system is suitable for the evaluation of short-term and long-term treatment effects after knee injury. Because of its reliability and effectiveness, the scoring tool is known as the most applicable health evaluation system in clinical practice and research related to the knee joint. For WOMAC score, we stipulate that the higher the score, the more serious the illness, and the lower the score, the lighter the illness; KSS score is normalized to a range of 0 to 100 with 85-100 points being excellent, 70-84 being good, 60-69 being medium and less than 60 being bad; conversely, a higher KOOS Score indicates better knee indicators.

**Statistical method**

The analysis and production of data and charts were processed using IBM SPSS Statistics 16.0 statistical software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Chicago, IL, USA). The measurement data that obey or approximately obey the normal distribution adopt the method of mean ± standard deviation (mean ± SD). The comparison of measurement data between the two groups used independent sample T-test. The categorical variable data of each group used Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. The test level value of \( \alpha \) was taken as 0.05 on both sides, \( P < 0.05 \) means the difference was statistically significant.

**Results**

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 100 patients were included in this study. In the balance training group, there were 11 males and 39 females, aged 61-79 years, with an average age of \((68.0 ± 5.86)\) years. In the control group, there were 15 males and 35 females, aged 58-83 years, with an average age of \((71.13 ± 7.65)\) years. There was no statistically significant difference in gender, age, weight, height, BMI, ASA score, surgical side, duration of illness, intraoperative blood loss, operative time, length of hospital stay, follow-up time and complications among the two groups of patients \( (P > 0.05) \).
The detailed preoperative data of the patients in the training group and the control group were shown in Table 1.

During the follow-up, we excluded patients who were not followed up before the operation (2 in the training group and 2 in the control group). 96 surgical patients were re-incorporated and measured the index. 2 patients were lost to follow-up within 6 weeks, and 3 within 3 months. 3 patients in the training group withdrew from the experimental plan due to CAOD, appendicitis, and ARF during the follow-up period. Control group withdrew 2 patients due to CAOD and cerebral hemorrhage. The number of patients with 3 months as the primary endpoint was 86.

From Table 2, it can be concluded that the time to discontinued all narcotics ($P = 0.045$) and perform ADLs independently ($P = 0.037$) of the balance training group were earlier than those of the control group. No significant difference in postoperative ROM between the two groups after 6 weeks and 52 weeks. (Table 3)

About 1 month after balance training, no significant improvement in pain, stiffness and function compared with before balance training (A vs. B), however, after 6 weeks of surgery, pain ($P = 0.048$), stiffness ($P = 0.034$) and function ($P = 0.026$) improved significantly compared to before balance training (A vs. C). Compared with after balance training, the pain ($P = 0.039$) and stiffness ($P = 0.040$) and function ($P = 0.031$) improved in the 6 weeks after the operation. In the control group, the pain ($P = 0.044$), stiffness ($P = 0.041$), and function ($P = 0.032$) of the 6 weeks after surgery were improved compared to before surgery (B vs. C). No statistically significant difference between 6 weeks and 52 weeks after operation. (Table 4)

In terms of KSS, no significant difference before and after balance training; 6 weeks after operation, the difference were obvious compared to before training, and no significant difference compared to after balance training. Similar to balance training, a significant improvement in KSS function after surgery compared to before surgery. (Table 4)

The aspects of KOOS score did not improve significantly after balance training. After surgery, the differences between training group and control group were statistically significant compared to those before surgery. (Table 4)

The comparison between the two groups at the end of 52 weeks after operation can be concluded that there is no significant difference between the two groups in terms of early functional milestones, ROM, WOMAC score, KSS, KOOS score, complications, etc. At 6 weeks after surgery, there were a significant difference in pain ($P = 0.048$), function ($P = 0.017$), and QOL ($P = 0.028$). (Table 5)

We compared the complication events of the two groups within 52 weeks and found no significant difference between the balance training group and the control group. (Table 6)

**Discussion**
The traditional view is that functional exercise during the perioperative period is to accelerate the recovery of patients.\(^1\)\(^2\) A study reported that about 20% of patients did not improve pain after TKA, and even had a tendency to aggravate after surgery, so that they did not fully restore body function. With this in mind, it will be necessary to implement a rehabilitation plan for patients who plan to undergo surgery.\(^{25-27}\) The study we conducted this time was to verify the effectiveness of rehabilitation therapy based on preoperative balance training as part of the treatment.

Jogi deemed that the addition of balance training to a typical rehabilitation program has a greater improvement in balance and functional activity than simple typical exercises.\(^{28}\) Liao deemed that additional balance training can significantly promote the functional recovery and activity of patients with knee OA after TKA.\(^{29}\) Liao also considered that postoperative rehabilitation training improves the balance, mobility and functional outcomes of patients with OA.\(^{30}\) Various evidence indicates that postoperative balance training has a positive effect on rehabilitation after TKA.

We were more interested in whether preoperative balance training has a positive significance for rehabilitation after TKA. Bitterli had conducted research on sensorimotor training after surgery, and concluded that sensory-motor training did not produce any useful effect on patients after surgery.\(^{20}\) Villadsen concluded that under the supervision of 3 months after surgery, the hip or knee joint for 8 weeks of neuromuscular exercise did not bring additional benefits, however, the training group had significant short-term benefits in terms of ADL and pain.\(^{31}\) Gstoettner also believed that preoperative proprioception training for TKA patients can improve standing balance, however, there was no difference in clinical effect between the two groups of patients.\(^{21}\) We assume that preoperative balance training has some positive effects on the recovery of patients after TKA at 6 weeks, which helps shorten the recovery time and optimize the postoperative state of the patients.

The surgeon will inevitably remove the tissue structures that maintain the proprioception of the knee during the total knee replacement surgery. These tissue structures included the cruciate ligament, articular cartilage and menisci. We purposefully chose posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) remained prosthesis in this study because the use of this prosthesis can effectively avoided the removal of PCL and reduced the loss of proprioceptors. In addition, because many other proprioceptors are located outside the capsule of the tissue around the joint,\(^{32-34}\) we kept this part of the tissue as much as possible during the operation to ensure the smooth progress of the study.

The balance training group stopped using analgesic drugs earlier, indicating that the preoperative balance training can effectively relieve the postoperative pain, the earlier ADL in the balance training group may be related to the earlier relief of pain.

In order to exclude the differences between the groups, we analyzed the detailed scores of the balanced group and the control group at four time points. We took 6 weeks and 52 weeks after surgery as the endpoint, the purpose is to compare the recovery of the two groups after surgery.
It can be seen from Table 4 that there were no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of WOMAC score, KSS, KOOS score before and after balance training (A vs. B). We assumed that the effect of balance training and the progress of knee OA cancel each other out. Compared with those before balance training, the two groups showed significant improvements in aspects of pain, function, symptoms, QOL and stiffness at 6 weeks after TKA (A vs. C), which proved that TKA has clear benefits in the treatment of knee OA. Compared with the end of balance training (B vs. C), the patient continued to improved in pain, and QOL at 6 weeks after surgery, indicating that total knee arthroplasty further strengthened the patient's postoperative rehabilitation. No statistically significant difference between the 6 weeks and the 52 weeks after operation (C vs. D). It shows that the patients' indexes have recovered and improved after 6 weeks.

Because table 4 did not perform well in other differences between the balance training group and control group, we added table 5 to make a partial comparison of the two groups. It can be drawn from Table 5 that no statistically significant difference in outcome parameters such as KSS, KOOS, and WOMAC score between the balance training group and the control group at 52 weeks after surgery. It's shows that in the mid-term with the end of 52 weeks, no difference between the two groups, however, at 6 weeks after surgery, the balance training group showed the advantage of early rehabilitation in pain, ADL function, and QOL. These outcomes were similar to the experimental conclusion of Villadsen.\[30\]

Two groups of patients were operated by two experienced professional surgeons, and all patients underwent postoperative rehabilitation treatment under the same conditions. Postoperative complications were similar in both groups, indicating that balance training will not reduce the probability of postoperative complications.

This is a randomized controlled study. All stages of the study follow strict standards, including inclusion and exclusion criteria, blinding experimental participants, and excluding inappropriate persons during follow-up, with the aim of improving the rigour of the study. In the study, we observed that during postoperative rehabilitation, patients who have received balance training will always involuntarily perform balance training, which may be closely related to the habitual behaviors developed by patients. Since we cannot exclude correlations, this may affect the accuracy of the study, however, we try to avoid this effect as much as possible. Whether the patients participating in the study can follow the doctor's instructions for effective and rigorous training at home is an important factor in determining the reliability of the study. The uncontrollability of participants' balanced training makes this study potentially risky.

**Conclusions**

It can be seen from this study that performing TKA on patients with OA can improve many symptoms of the patient and make the patient adapt to life better. For the preoperative balance training, with the main endpoint of 1 year after surgery, the one-month balance training before surgery did not show obvious advantages, however, preoperative balance training was not completely ineffective for patients, from the
outcome of 6 weeks after surgery, it can be found that balance training has an early effect on pain relief, improving function and QOL.
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Tables

Table 1 The detailed preoperative data of the patients in the balance training group and the control group.

|                          | Banlance Training Group (n=42) | Control Group (n=44) | P Value |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Gender (male/female)     | 11/31                          | 14/30                | N.S.†   |
| Age (years)              | 66.0 ± 5.86                    | 67.13 ± 6.65         | N.S.*   |
| <61                      | 8                              | 10                   |         |
| 61-70                    | 20                             | 22                   |         |
| >71                      | 14                             | 12                   |         |
| Weight (kg)              | 62.14 ± 7.0                    | 61.0 ± 6.14          | N.S.*   |
| Height (m)               | 1.58 ± 0.08                    | 1.56 ± 0.06          | N.S.*   |
| BMI (kg/m2)              | 24.89 ± 2.86                   | 25.07 ± 1.41         | N.S.*   |
| ASA class                | 2.5 ± 0.50                     | 2.5 ± 0.50           | N.S.†   |
| Surgical side (left/right)| 20/22                          | 21/23                |         |
| Comorbidity (%)          |                                |                      |         |
| Hypertension             | 35.71                          | 42.86                |         |
| CVA                      | 7.14                           | 14.28                |         |
| Diabetes                 | 21.48                          | 28.57                |         |
| CAOD                     | 7.14                           | 28.57                |         |
| Intraoperative blood loss (ml) | 133.36 ± 35.36                | 121.68 ± 34.13      | N.S.*   |
| Operative time (min)     | 104.93 ± 29.77                 | 103.21 ± 42.93      | N.S.*   |
| LOS (day)                | 10.83 ± 0.58                   | 11.24 ± 0.94         | N.S.*   |
| Follow-up time (week)    | 54.50 ± 2.88                   | 53.78 ± 5.10         | N.S.*   |
Abbreviations: N.S., no significance; BMI, body mass index; ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; CVA, cerebral vascular accident; LOS, length of hospital stay; CAOD, coronary artery obstructive disease.*P value means that student’s t test were used; †P value indicates that the Chi squared test were used.

Table 2  Comparison of two groups of Days to Attain Early Functional Milestones.

| Milestone                      | TG        | CG        | P value |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Discontinued walker/crutches   | 14.6 ± 2.4| 15.0 ± 1.8| N.S.*   |
| Discontinued all walking aids  | 25.2 ± 5.6| 28 ± 6.0  | N.S.*   |
| Discontinued all narcotics     | 16.1 ± 4.1| 25 ± 5.4  | 0.045*  |
| Climb stairs                   | 14.8 ± 4.7| 16.2 ± 5.1| N.S.*   |
| Perform ADLs independently     | 21.2 ± 5.8| 30.5 ± 6.4| 0.037*  |
| Walk 0.5 mile                  | 29.3 ± 6.4| 28.7 ± 5.8| N.S.*   |

Abbreviations: N.S., no significance

*P value means that student’s t test were used.

Table 3  Comparison of ROM at 6 and 52 weeks after surgery between the two groups.

|                     | 6 weeks after TKA | 52 weeks after TKA | Differences between CG and TG at 6 weeks (P) | Differences between CG and TG at 52 weeks (P) |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| ROM                 | 90.6±8.6          | 92.8±10.3           | 113.2±9.7                                    | 109.8±11.5                                    |

*P value means that student’s t test were used.

Table 4  Data of the balance training group and the control group at different time-point.
| Outcomes | Before training (A) | One day before surgery (B) | 6 weeks after surgery (C) | 52 weeks after surgery (D) | Statistical differences of P values* |
|----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|          |                     |                             |                          |                           | A vs. B | A vs. C | B vs. C | C vs. D |
| WOMAC Score |                    |                             |                          |                           |         |         |         |        |
| TG       |                     |                             |                          |                           |         |         |         |        |
| Pain     | 30.8 ± 6.1          | 29.1 ± 5.2                  | 7.1 ± 4.3                | 6.6 ± 2.1                 | 0.367   | 0.048   | 0.039   | 0.653 |
| Stiffness| 7.2 ± 2.3           | 6.9 ± 2.7                   | 1.6 ± 1.0                | 1.5 ± 1.4                 | 0.621   | 0.034   | 0.040   | 0.751 |
| Function | 74.2 ± 11.6         | 72.4 ± 13.4                 | 28.4 ± 7.4               | 26.2 ± 6.3                | 0.275   | 0.026   | 0.031   | 0.218 |
| CG       |                     |                             |                          |                           |         |         |         |        |
| Pain     | 31.2 ± 5.4          | 30.9 ± 6.2                  | 14.6 ± 4.7               | 6.9 ± 1.9                 | 0.745   | 0.037   | 0.044   | 0.489 |
| Stiffness| 7.6 ± 1.8           | 6.8 ± 2.1                   | 1.9 ± 1.4                | 1.6 ± 1.2                 | 0.558   | 0.038   | 0.041   | 0.526 |
| Function | 73.8 ± 13.3         | 72.1 ± 12.5                 | 35.7 ± 13.5              | 28.4 ± 12.3               | 0.446   | 0.047   | 0.032   | 0.634 |
| Keen Society Score |        |                             |                          |                           |         |         |         |        |
| TG       |                     |                             |                          |                           |         |         |         |        |
| Function | 26.9 ± 11.4         | 29.3 ± 12.4                 | 66.9 ± 19.8              | 69.6 ± 17.3               | 0.542   | 0.026   | 0.036   | 0.442 |
| Total    | 58.3 ± 17.4         | 57.6 ± 16.8                 | 86.3 ± 26.4              | 90.9 ± 28.2               | 0.627   | 0.039   | 0.044   | 0.651 |
| CG       |                     |                             |                          |                           |         |         |         |        |
| Function | 27.7 ± 12.1         | 31.9 ± 13.4                 | 52.2 ± 17.6              | 66.5 ± 18.4               | 0.391   | 0.049   | 0.041   | 0.749 |
| Total    | 57.9 ± 17.9         | 58.6 ± 18.7                 | 85.4 ± 23.6              | 91.6 ± 25.8               | 0.612   | 0.037   | 0.034   | 0.538 |
| KOOS Score |                    |                             |                          |                           |         |         |         |        |
| TG       |                     |                             |                          |                           |         |         |         |        |
| ADL function | 28.4 ± 6.5          | 27.9 ± 7.5                  | 56.4 ± 10.4              | 59.3 ± 12.5               | 0.578   | 0.035   | 0.046   | 0.520 |
| Pain     | 16.5 ± 5.7          | 14.8 ± 8.3                  | 29.2 ± 9.5               | 31.5 ± 8.7                | 0.253   | 0.048   | 0.037   | 0.631 |
| symptoms | 13.7 ± 6.3          | 12.5 ± 6.2                  | 22.3 ± 7.9               | 23.6 ± 8.4                | 0.324   | 0.031   | 0.042   | 0.714 |
| Sport and recreation | 10.6 ± 4.8          | 9.7 ± 5.7                   | 14.6 ± 5.8               | 15.5 ± 6.6                | 0.189   | 0.028   | 0.031   | 0.129 |
| Quality of life | 8.2 ± 2.9 | 7.9 ± 4.3 | 15.1 ± 6.2 | 15.9 ± 5.4 | 0.537 | 0.03 | 0.045 | 0.257 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|------|-------|------|
| CG ADL function | 31.8 ± 7.4 | 29.5 ± 8.9 | 43.5 ± 12.1 | 56.2 ± 13.1 | 0.257 | 0.049 | 0.036 | 0.361 |
| Pain | 17.4 ± 8.4 | 18.6 ± 10.8 | 21.1 ± 8.6 | 30.7 ± 7.4 | 0.367 | 0.038 | 0.037 | 0.885 |
| symptoms | 14.2 ± 7.6 | 13.2 ± 5.6 | 21.9 ± 7.9 | 22.9 ± 7.9 | 0.292 | 0.046 | 0.029 | 0.741 |
| Sport and recreation | 9.5 ± 3.6 | 8.3 ± 3.9 | 13.4 ± 6.4 | 15.1 ± 5.3 | 0.873 | 0.044 | 0.034 | 0.328 |
| Quality of life | 8.6 ± 3.1 | 7.6 ± 5.2 | 11.3 ± 5.8 | 15.8 ± 6.7 | 0.425 | 0.038 | 0.045 | 0.632 |

*P value means that student’s t test were used.

Table 5  Comparison of three scores between the balance training group and the control group at 6 and 52 weeks after surgery.
| Outcomes          | 6 weeks after TKA* |           | 52 weeks after TKA* |           | Differences between CG and TG at 6 weeks (P)* | Differences between CG and TG at 52 weeks (P)* |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                   | TG                 | CG         | TG                  | CG         |                                             |                                             |
| WOMAC Score       |                    |            |                     |            |                                             |                                             |
| Pain              | 7.1±4.3            | 14.6±4.7   | 6.6±2.1             | 6.9±1.9    | 0.048                                       | 0.624                                       |
| Stiffness         | 1.6±1.0            | 1.9±1.4    | 1.5±1.4             | 1.6±1.2    | 0.751                                       | 0.582                                       |
| Function          | 28.4±7.4           | 35.7±13.5  | 26.2±6.3            | 28.4±12.3  | 0.039                                       | 0.512                                       |
| Keen Society Score|                    |            |                     |            |                                             |                                             |
| Function          | 66.9±19.8          | 52.2±17.6  | 69.6±17.3           | 66.5±18.4  | 0.017                                       | 0.825                                       |
| Total             | 86.3±26.4          | 85.4±23.6  | 90.9±28.2           | 91.6±25.8  | 0.287                                       | 0.341                                       |
| KOOS Score        |                    |            |                     |            |                                             |                                             |
| ADL function      | 56.4±10.4          | 43.5±12.1  | 59.3±12.5           | 56.2±13.1  | 0.027                                       | 0.821                                       |
| Pain              | 29.2±9.5           | 21.1±8.6   | 31.5±8.7            | 30.7±7.4   | 0.046                                       | 0.635                                       |
| symptoms          | 22.3±7.9           | 21.9±7.9   | 23.6±8.4            | 22.9±7.9   | 0.249                                       | 0.247                                       |
| Sport and recreation | 14.6±5.8          | 13.4±6.4   | 15.5±6.6            | 15.1±5.3   | 0.482                                       | 0.318                                       |
| Quality of life   | 15.1±6.2           | 11.3±5.8   | 15.9±5.4            | 15.8±6.7   | 0.028                                       | 0.465                                       |

*P value means that student’s t test were used.

Table 6  Comparison of postoperative complications with 52 weeks as the main endpoint of the two groups.
| Complications (n)          | TG | CG | Differences between CG and TG at 52 weeks after TKA ($P^*$) |
|---------------------------|----|----|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cardiac complications     | 0  | 1  | > 0.05                                                     |
| Confusion                 | 0  | 0  |                                                            |
| Stroke                    | 0  | 0  |                                                            |
| Pneumonia                 | 1  | 0  | > 0.05                                                     |
| Pulmonary embolism        | 0  | 0  |                                                            |
| Superficial infection     | 1  | 0  | > 0.05                                                     |
| Deep infection            | 0  | 0  |                                                            |
| Skin edge necrosis        | 0  | 1  | > 0.05                                                     |
| Deep vein thrombosis      | 1  | 0  | > 0.05                                                     |
| Nerve palsy               | 0  | 0  |                                                            |
| Death                     | 0  | 0  |                                                            |

*P value means that student’s t test were used.

**Figures**
Figure 1

Flow diagram of patients participating in this study.