INTRODUCTION

Choledochal cysts are rare anomalies of the biliary system and are classified into 5 types based on their location and shape. They are associated with an increased risk of malignancy, especially cholangiocarcinoma and gall bladder cancer, which are more common in type I and IV cysts. Roux-en-Y hepaticojunostomy is the standard treatment in type I and IV cysts due to the increased risk of malignancy.

A lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS) is a saddle-shaped stent with flanged ends developed for endoscopic intervention. It was originally designed for the drainage of pancreatic fluid collection (PFC) and has shown high clinical (77%–96%) and technical (91%–100%) success rates. The unique shape of LAMS with wide flanges, a large lumen, and short length as well as its lumen-apposing property is expected to enable effective drainage and reduce the risk of migration and peritoneal leakage. Because of this characteristic and the satisfactory success rates in the treatment of PFC, off-label usage for other indications has been proposed and has gained popularity. However, as clinical experiences with LAMS have accumulated, complications such as bleeding and buried LAMS syndrome have emerged.

Herein, we report a case of a remnant choledochal cyst which was successfully treated with LAMS after initial treatment failure with a plastic stent.

CASE REPORT

A 25-year-old woman presented to the emergency room with right upper quadrant (RUQ) abdominal pain and a palpable mass. The pain had been initially intermittent for several months and was aggravated one week prior. Associated symptoms included nausea and vomiting.
Her blood pressure was 118/75 mmHg, heart rate was 88 beats/min, respiratory rate was 16 breaths/min, and body temperature was 37°C. On physical examination, RUQ tenderness and a large palpable RUQ mass were noted. Laboratory studies revealed the following: white blood cell count 10,100/µL, hemoglobin 12.3 g/dL, platelet count 202×10³/µL, total bilirubin 0.8 g/dL, alkaline phosphatase 112 IU/L, aspartate aminotransferase 52 IU/L, alanine aminotransferase 173 IU/L, gamma glutamyltransferase 167 IU/L, C-reactive protein 0.80 mg/dL, carbohydrate antigen 19–9 13.5 U/mL, and carcinoembryonic antigen 0.9 ng/mL.

Abdominal computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography showed huge cystic dilatation of the common bile duct and multifocal intrahepatic bile duct dilatation, which was suggestive of choledochal cyst, Todani classification type IVa (Fig. 1). There was neither a definite obstructive lesion nor enhancing mural nodule. Anomalous pancreaticobiliary ductal union (APBDU) was not definite. The patient received excision of the choledochal cyst with Roux-en-Y hepaticojejunostomy. On microscopy, no dysplastic epithelium was seen in the excised cyst.

At 7 months post-surgery, abdominal CT revealed a large remnant cyst. As the patient refused reoperation and complained of intermittent RUQ pain and discomfort, endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS)-guided cystoduodenostomy with a 7 Fr × 7 cm double-pigtail plastic stent was performed (Fig. 2).

However, she was admitted 10 days after the procedure with fever, RUQ pain, nausea, and C-reactive protein elevation of 23.38 mg/dL. Her blood pressure was 94/61 mmHg, heart rate was 101 beats/min, respiratory rate was 18 breaths/min, and body temperature was 38.2°C. Abdominal CT showed an increase in the size of the cyst with wall thickening and air-fluid level suggestive of cyst infection. Endoscopic sphincterotomy and endoscopic retrograde pancreatic drainage with a 7 Fr×10 cm single-pigtail plastic stent was done to enhance the natural drainage of the remnant cyst and reduce the reflux of pancreatic juice into the remnant cyst (Fig. 3). There was neither microorganisms nor white blood cells in the EUS-guided cyst aspiration. However, since the remnant cyst still persisted one month later, the patient was readmitted for cystoduodenostomy stent removal and an endoscopic retrograde biliary drainage trial. Bile duct cannulation failed due to anatomical variation by the large remnant choledochal cyst. As the plastic stent was insufficient for effective drainage and seemed to cause duodenocystic reflux, cystoduodenostomy stent revision was performed with LAMS (SPAXUS; Taewoong Medical, Gimpo, Korea; 10 mm×20 mm) which had a larger inner lumen (Fig. 4).

Six months after the procedure, abdominal CT showed a marked decrease in the size of the remnant cyst and LAMS was subsequently removed. Abdominal CT taken 1 year after LAMS removal showed resolution of the remnant cyst (Fig. 5). The patient is currently on routine checkup without any symptoms.

**Fig. 1.** (A) Abdominal computed tomography and (B) magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography taken at initial presentation. Huge cystic dilatation of the common bile duct with bilateral intrahepatic duct dilatation and abrupt luminal diameter change in the peripheral duct was observed, suggestive of choledochal cyst, type IVa.
Fig. 2. (A) Abdominal computed tomography showed the remnant choledochal cyst after choledochal cyst excision. (B, C) Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided cystoduodenostomy at the second portion of the duodenum with a plastic stent was performed.

Fig. 3. Abdominal computed tomography showed (A) an increase in the size of the remnant choledochal cyst and (B) wall thickening with air-fluid level suggestive of cyst infection. (C) Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography showed the distorted pancreatic duct compressed by the remnant choledochal cyst. Endoscopic retrograde pancreatic drainage was done. Bile duct cannulation failed.

Fig. 4. (A, B) Cystoduodenostomy stent revision was done with a lumen-apposing metal stent. The previously inserted pancreatic stent was also noted.
Complete surgical resection of a choledochal cyst may be difficult depending on the cyst extent and size and recurrent inflammation, which results in the adhesion of adjacent structures. A remnant cyst after incomplete resection is associated with an increased risk of malignancy and patients with a remnant cyst should receive regular surveillance. A remnant cyst may also cause complications such as abdominal pain, cholangitis, cholangiolithiasis, and pancreatitis. Xia et al. reported 41 cases of remnant intrapancreatic choledochal cyst patients who developed complications and underwent reoperation. Case reports of complicated remnant choledochal cysts showed that internal drainage with stents was effective in the treatment of cyst infections and obstructive symptoms due to the compression of the remnant cyst. EUS-guided drainage of the remnant cyst may be an alternative treatment option if additional surgery for the remnant cyst is not feasible and complications occur. In this case, since the patient refused additional surgery, EUS-guided cystoduodenostomy was done for decompression as the cyst was growing and complications such as abdominal pain and infection occurred.

Two recent reviews of the current uses and outcomes of LAMS showed satisfactory treatment rates for PFC and biliary drainage. Treatment of PFC with LAMS showed clinical and technical success rates of 77%–96% and 91%–100%, respectively, and adverse event rates of 5%–15%. EUS-guided biliary drainage with LAMS revealed high technical and clinical success rates of 86%–98% and 88%–100%, respectively, and adverse event rates of 7%–36%. Although results are quite promising, large randomized trials comparing LAMS with plastic stents or traditional self-expanding metal stents are rare and safety issues regarding bleeding, buried LAMS syndrome, stent migration, and perforation are non-negligible. In one randomized trial comparing the efficacy of LAMS and plastic stents for walled-off pancreatic necrosis, no significant difference was observed between groups and higher stent-related adverse events was observed in the LAMS group.

A standard technique for EUS-guided biliary drainage has not been well established. Plastic stents have been widely used in EUS-guided biliary drainage but have had relatively poor patency and high rates of complications such as peritonitis due to bile leakage. Large cysts with a diameter >15 cm may be associated with a higher risk of cyst infection after EUS-guided drainage; therefore, multiple-pigtail or larger diameter metal stents are recommended for large cysts to avoid infection. In this case, a previously placed plastic stent seemed to be ineffective in terms of drainage of the large cyst, and caused cyst infection by presumed duodenocystic reflux with capillarity. For effective drainage of the remnant choledochal cyst, a plastic stent was replaced with LAMS and successful drainage was achieved without major complications.
Bleeding is considered to be a major complication of LAMS. A possible mechanism of bleeding in patients with PFC who were treated with LAMS is that although PFC resolves and decreases in size, the LAMS remains in place, causing distal flange to irritate and impinge on the adjacent vasculature leading to pseudoaneurysm and major bleeding. In this case, however, LAMS was placed in the remnant choledochal cyst, which is an isolated space that does not contain complex vasculature. It is reasonable to assume the bleeding risk to be low due to this anatomical characteristic. Considering the advantage and limitations of LAMS, this case showed the usefulness of LAMS in the treatment of a remnant choledochal cyst without dysplasia and APBDU, achieving effective drainage without any major adverse events. LAMS may be superior to plastic stents in terms of the effective resolution of remnant choledochal cysts and prevention of ascending infection.
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