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Abstract

Several theories for the origin of life have gained widespread acceptance, led by primordial soup, chemical evolution, metabolism first, and the RNA world. However, while new and existing theories often address a key step, there is less focus on a comprehensive abiogenic continuum leading to the last universal common ancestor. Herein, I present the “minimotif synthesis” hypothesis unifying select origin of life theories with new and revised steps. The hypothesis is based on first principles, on the concept of selection over long time scales, and on a stepwise progression toward complexity. The major steps are the thermodynamically-driven origination of extant molecular specificity emerging from primordial soup leading to the rise of peptide catalysts, and a cyclic feed-forward catalytic diversification of compound and peptides in the primordial soup. This is followed by degenerate, semi-partially conservative peptide replication to pass on catalytic knowledge to progeny protocells. At some point during this progression, the emergence of RNA and selection could drive the separation of catalytic and genetic functions, allowing peptides and proteins to permeate the catalytic space, and RNA to encode higher fidelity information transfer. Translation may have emerged from RNA template driven organization and successive ligation of activated amino acids as a predecessor to translation.

Introduction

Abiogenesis and the chemical evolution theory of life are generally accepted by scientists [1]. A central tenet of life is the coordination of proteins in cells to perform essential processes such as cell division and metabolism. Cellular processes are built from evolutionarily tuned sets of long-lived complexes (binding events) and shorter-lived complexes that produce chemical reactions (reaction events). These chemistries have exquisite specificity that may have evolved from the most ancestral life forms. At the most fundamental level, all origin-of-life hypotheses rely on exquisitely specific molecular recognition. If the specificity for molecular recognition were not manifested in primordial events relating to chemical evolution, I contend that it is highly unlikely that life could have arisen from the vast sea of weaker affinity competing, non-specific molecular interactions.

Until life can be recreated de novo, all origin of life theories can be considered inconclusive. However, all provide insight into pathways that may have led to the first replicating cells. Herein, I present several new ideas that expand upon origin of life theories and present alternative hypotheses as a basis for further exploration. These ideas are largely based on my laboratory's work on minimotifs, short contiguous peptide sequences with a known molecular functions. These ideas are discussed in the context of, and are consistent with many of the other well-established origin-of-life theories.

Modern complex protein folds and efficient enzymes probably did not just appear from the primordial soup. While some protein–protein interactions bury surfaces of >1000 Å², these interactions likely arose through a stepwise process of mutation and selection over time. These favorable interactions may have provided a competitive advantage to protocells, self-organizing membrane bound structures with some properties of life. I ask: How did molecular recognition originate, become inherited, and evolve?

Herein, I explain the new Minimotif Synthesis hypothesis expanding upon existing theory and explaining how the primordial soup may have led to a ribonucleic acid (RNA) world [2-4]. The hypothesis includes new ideas about the evolution of molecular recognition and the abiogenic evolution of heredity peptides as predecessors of the RNA world (Figure 1). The minimotif synthesis is based on first principles, on the concept of selection over long time scales, and on a stepwise progression toward complexity. Additionally, it connects several gaps between other models. It can be considered an expansion, a differing perspective, and a consolidation of other models [3,5-9].

Results

Evolution of the primordial soup

Prebiotic Earth had several potential sources of extant biological molecules. The primordial soup experiments by Urey and Miller showed that, under reducing and neutral environmental conditions with carbon dioxide (CO₂) and nitrogen (N₂) likely existing on primitive Earth, many of the chemical constituents of life are formed leading to the abiogenesis hypothesis [10-13]. Other suspected sources of carbon molecules include carbonaceous chondrite meteorites and surface-based synthesis in hydrothermal vents [14-16]. I propose several modifications of the chemical evolution theory as detailed below [8]:

Thermodynamic- and selection-based origins of molecular interaction specificity: How did the extant sophisticated molecular recognition in biological systems originate?

I propose that the first life forms must have captured existing specific molecular interactions from the primordial soup. The
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Chemical evolution is proposed to have transformed the primordial soup [19-21]. I propose that natural selection of strong affinity intermolecular interactions was a driving principle in chemical evolution. Molecules with strong enthalpy-driven affinity for binding partners would restrict molecular motion, thus decreasing entropy of each molecule, and thereby increasing stabilization. Evolution of primordial soup over long timescales could yield molecular partners stabilized in such a manner, which could have persisted and become more concentrated than those molecules lacking strong stabilizing interactions. Thus, thermodynamic forces over a long timescale may have drove the selection of molecules with strong affinities for other molecules. This selection may also have involved selection of chiral forms from racemic mixtures of optical isomers, potentially seeded stochastically [22,23]. Chiral seeding may have extraterrestrial origins, since some meteorites have an enantiomer surplus of L-amino acids. Note that this hypothesis is based upon the well-accepted first principles and selection.

Selection for strong affinity peptides: As part of the evolution of primordial soup, reasonable evidence supports the abiotic polymerization of amino acids into short peptides [9,24-30]. For example, short peptides may have formed by the salt-induced peptide polymerization of amino acids into short peptides [31]. Several mechanisms could have generated other bio-oligomers such as RNA [6,26,27,32]. Abiotic synthesis of biopolymers is challenging. However, the caveats of biopolymer formation in origin of life theory are extensively addressed in the literature and for the purposes of minimotifs synthesis I assume the presence of abiotic biopolymers.

I focus on peptides for several reasons: (1) the evidence that the soup may have contained amino acids and peptides; (2) the strong affinities shown by extant peptides and proteins for many different types of small molecules; (3) the plethora of different types of catalytic activities in proteins; (4) the lack of strong secondary structures in peptides; and (5) the higher chemical stability of peptide bonds over RNA phosphodiester bonds, which are prone to hydrolysis. Although I focus on peptides, the evolution of RNA or other polymers may have followed a similar abiotic path and the concurrent prebiotic co-evolution of RNAs and proteins cannot be ruled out.

Many of the stable intermolecular interactions in primordial soup (Figure 1, Part I) were probably captured as binding interactions of amino acid R-groups in short peptides with other molecules present in the primordial soup (Figure 1, Part II). Likewise, I expect that physicochemical and thermodynamic forces also drove the affinity of peptides for other molecules. Unlike the simple chemical interaction examples given in Figure 1, Part I, a short peptide of just 2-3 amino acids can encode strong affinity and high-specificity molecular interactions with small compounds. There are 100,000s of such interactions in the Minimotif Miner database and many of these peptides are modular and function outside of the context of the full-length protein e.g. [33-38]. In my lab, short, contiguous peptide elements in proteins with a known function, such as binding a small molecule or other protein, are called minimotifs [39,40]. Also known as short linear motifs (SLiMs), these minimotifs are extensively involved in molecular recognition [40]. In support of my hypothesis, minimotifs are also targets of evolution with subsets under negative and positive selection [41]. Minimotifs are also reproducibly discovered by simulated evolution [42].

If we assume that a particular primordial soup contained 10 natural amino acids [43] and, conservatively, only short peptides of less than 5 amino acids each, then there are ~110,000 possible peptide sequence combinations. This pool may have been more expansive as abiotic synthesis of longer peptides is feasible and reanalysis of Urey and Miller’s original samples identified 23 amino acids [44]. This or a similar heterogeneous pool of peptides would provide a source for selection for specific binding to other molecules (small chemicals, mineral surfaces). For example, many short peptides can bind other molecules outside of the context of the complete folded protein [33-35,37].

Furthermore, although demonstrated for a longer peptide, screening a random peptide library identified 20 peptides that bind streptavidin with dissociation constants (K₈) as low as 5 nM [45,46]. Peptides that could tightly bind to a target molecule, and/or form more stable secondary structures would have generally been more constrained, and thus more thermodynamically stable. Over long timescales, these peptides would survive longer than those with weak affinities for targets or no stable secondary structure. Thus, peptides with strong affinity ligand interactions would be favored at the expense of those that don’t form stable complexes. This proposed modification of the chemical evolution model provides an abiotic selection mechanism for specific sets of peptides that bind to other compounds such as sugars, amino acids, metabolites, nucleic acids, etc. The same selection/stabilization principle would also apply to peptides that bind to each other as well. Again, this portion of the model is based on first

Figure 1. Minimotif synthesis in origin of life models. A diagram of how the general parts of the minimotif synthesis hypothesis explain the gap between the primordial soup and RNA world hypotheses.
Catalytic functionalization of peptides: The emergence of catalysis is a premise of the metabolism-first model [47]. Once peptides evolved to recognize molecules, some could possess weak catalytic activities that break or form covalent bonds, thus becoming primitive catalysis (Figure 1, part III). In fact, many short peptides are known to possess catalytic activities [see reviews [48–50]]. For example, the Pro-x-x-Phe tetrapeptide (Pro=proline, Phe=phenylalanine, x=any amino acid) possesses catalytic aldol condensation activity for acetone with p-nitrobenzaldehyde [51]. Even shorter peptides, such as Pro-Pro, have aldol condensation activity [52] and many other chemistries are catalyzed by short peptides [48-50].

Reaction products would be chemically distinct from the substrates, thus would likely have a less optimal interaction with the peptide catalyst. Since these catalysts were peptides and lack the intricate binding pocket of many enzymes, they may have acted on classes of compounds, rather than on specific molecules [53,54]. These primitive catalysts may represent an early predecessor step of more complex and efficient enzymes [55]. There is also evidence supporting the hypothesis that short RNAs may also have emerged as weak catalysts [56].

Boundless propagating abiobi diversification of catalysts and molecules: As part of chemical evolution, I propose catalyst evolution through chemical synthesis. Catalytic peptides could have drastically altered the chemical composition of the primordial soup, concentrating certain chemicals, deleting others, and increasing the overall molecular complexity. The evolution of primitive catalysts could provide a mechanism for generating new molecules including new types of amino acids, peptides, and possibly nucleic acids. The genesis of new peptides could give rise to new types of catalysts, akin to a concept called autocatalytic sets [7]. A feed-forward cyclic process could ensue wherein peptides catalyze the formation of newer catalysts that spawn new molecules and new peptide catalysts, gradually increasing the molecular and catalytic complexity of the primordial soup (Figure 1, part IV). This scenario could set up an entropy-driven propagating abiobi diversification and expansion of more complex catalysts and more types of molecules, eventually leading to primitive metabolic pathways. Many of the molecules in the glycolytic pathway may have arisen abiobiyi [57]. This expansion may be boundless, initially abiobi and still ongoing in living organisms, with new expansions of enzymes into the new catalytic spaces.

At this point in the proposed model, the primordial soup would contain sets of enzymes with strong affinity for small molecules and other sets of catalytic peptides. Two peptides, one with a binding activity and another with a catalytic activity, could fuse by peptide condensation reactions. Small peptides, such as Ser-His (serine-histidine) and Gly-Gly (Gly = glycine), can catalyze peptide bond formation [58,59]. This process could further drive the catalysts’ generation cycle, ultimately producing primitive protein folds and enzymes that possessed both binding and catalytic activities (Figure 1, part III). Lupas et al. suggests this type of model, pointing out that it is supported by the observation that different extant proteins contains similar sequences and structural repeats [60].

At some point in the above chemical and catalyst evolution, possibly at the earliest stages, peptides, perhaps working cooperatively catalyzed the synthesis of nucleic acids and other polymers such RNA. Peptides cooperating in catalysis has been observed and would provide a much broader depth of catalytic capability [55]. Like peptides, RNA served both a dual binding and catalytic function. However, I favor the emergence of RNA at later stages, given the apparent lack or breadth of catalytic diversity in extant RNAs where extant RNA catalysis is focused primarily on nucleic acids substrates and that abiobi synthetic of nucleic acids is difficult. Peptides and proteins have a much broader repertoire of catalytic reaction types.

This expanded view of chemical evolution need not be compartmentalized; however, for concentration of compounds and activities, encapsulation into self-organizing protocols is feasible. I suggest that some or many of the aforementioned steps could also have occurred within a bounded protocol type system as well, which is addressed later.

A central concept applied so far in origin of life research is based on the premise that if synthesis of a compound under prebiotic conditions occurred, then it is feasible to have played a role in prebiotic evolution. Considering that the time scale of the above events may be more than a billion years, any system that propagates molecular and catalytic diversity, as I have proposed, could explain abiobi synthetic of many of the molecules of life. I offer that a catalytic propagation model would be favored.

The genesis of heredity through degenerate semiconservative miminmotifosome replication

As noted by Orgel, the puzzle is how we get from a soup of prebiotic organic molecules to the RNA world [61]? Others have questioned this as well, suggesting that genetic systems simpler than RNA may have served as a predecessor [8,24,62-65]. In contrast to the sophisticated high-fidelity nucleic acid-based inheritance observed in extant organisms and proposed in the RNA world, I hypothesize a lower fidelity predecessor where a simpler, less-exact stepwise process gave rise to the first hereditary information system.

In early protocols, or life forms it was important to pass on the catalytic information needed for metabolism. At the onset of life, it would seem beneficial to have catalytic and hereditary functions embodied in the same molecule such that specific molecular recognition and catalytic capability could be inherited together. Since ribozymes are catalytic, RNA could have served a dual function - as both a catalyst for reactions and as the hereditary material [66]. This is an attractive feature of the RNA world model. In support of this model, Cech noted: “it is unclear how a self-replicating system based on completely unrelated chemistry could have been supplanted by RNA” [65]. I consider the inverse: How could a catalytic system based on RNA be almost completely supplanted by proteins? Is it not possible that peptides were the original catalysts and, like RNA, had a second hereditary function that was supplanted by RNA? Many have suggested a predecessor of the RNA world, so herein, I focus on evidence consistent with the miminmotif synthesis hypothesis. However, it is entirely possible that peptides as catalysts, and RNA as hereditary material, were born of abiobi chemical evolution and then converged into a translational system later in protocols and biogenesis of the first life forms.

As long as a template could be used to self-replicate peptide catalysts, nothing more is required for the genesis of peptide-based inheritance of molecular recognition and catalysis. Proteins clearly have a much wider breadth and efficiency as catalysts than RNAs. There is evidence that peptides could have had such templates, in that many extant protein structures and protein-protein interactions show secondary structure interactions with degeneracy. Those peptides that formed peptide-peptide interactions may have served as the
initial pre-genomic genetic material. This hypothesis is akin to the Graded Autocatalysis Replication Domain (GARD) model previously proposed for chemical inheritance, but has the advantage of a potential replication mechanism [67].

I designate these peptide replicons as “minimotifosomes.” A minimotifosome is composed of two complementary peptides that bind each other and could, when separated, give rise to two peptides - each capable of serving as a template for the other in chemical synthesis (akin to base-pairing in nucleic acids). The amino acids aligned on the template through side chain interactions could be covalently joined forming peptide bonds with the aid of a catalyst, similar to the mechanism of semiconservative DNA replication (Figure 2A). In fact, self-replicating peptides and actions of peptides as templates have already been experimentally demonstrated for an α-helix [68-71]. Alternatively, as previously hypothesized, peptides could have replicated through a autocatalytic cyclic network involving two our more peptides [72]. Furthermore, several small peptides, such as Ser-His and Gly-Gly, have been shown to catalyze peptide bond formation [58,59]. These peptides could have served as a primitive form of the non-ribosomal peptide synthesis prevalent in modern life [see Norine database [73]].

Inger et al. previously suggested the GARD model for inheritance of chemical information in support of Oparin’s metabolism first hypothesis [47,67,74]. However, one limitation noted was that such a system is incapable of evolution [75]. My model of minimotifosome replication provides an intuitive solution to this problem. These minimotifosomes could have had dual functionality, serving both as primitive catalysts and as the hereditary material, thus providing a simpler, primitive means for inheritance of catalytic capabilities.

The semi-conservative replication of minimotifosomes need not be perfect to provide a mechanism to pass on chemical catalysis information and to allow for mutation, selection, and thus, evolution. This process could be called “degenerate semi-conservative replication”, because minimotifosome replication may lack high fidelity. The known self-replicating peptides are α-helical, thus would be able to preserve intermolecular minimotif-minimotif contact residues such as the leucine (Leu) residues conserved in a leucine zipper (Figure 2A), but would have generally lower fidelity for the non-contact amino acids in the two helices [71]. There are other secondary structure interactions, such as β strand-β strand interactions [76,77], that could also produce low-fidelity replication. The lack of fidelity for non-contact amino acids could have been the first genetic source of mutation and genetic diversity, and acted upon by selection.

Some random coil mimimotifs might have offered the highest genetic fidelity. For example, it is easy to envision how an Arg-Glu-Arg-Arg-Glu/Glu-Arg-Glu-Glu-Arg mimimotifosome bound by salt bridges would encode molecular specificity; this implementation of complementary peptides serving as genetic material was suggested as a possibility by Orgel [61]. A subset of such random coils could have been primitive genetic information with mutation occurring by degenerate recognition. Inheritance does not likely need to be nearly as perfect as that current encoded by nucleic acids. However, the peptides do need to maintain enough fidelity to pass on the relevant information. In the case of nucleic acids, there is a critical error threshold at about 50% sequence identity to stably pass on hereditary information [19,64]. Notably, this would be different for peptides, likely requiring that only the key catalytic and binding residues be inefficiently passed on, also allowing for variation and evolution.

Protocells

Encapsulation of chemicals, mimimotifosomes, and mimimotifs into fatty acid bound vesicles may have started at any earlier stage in the aforementioned progression. I do not propose any change to the potential role of protocells in the origins of life; rather, I point out how the mimimotif synthesis hypothesis is consistent with protocell formation. Protocells form when self-organized lipid- or fatty-acid membranes encapsulate bio-oligomers and small molecules which, in the metabolism first model, are thought to be the predecessors to cells [47,74,78,79]. Protocells containing sets of peptides, mimimotifosomes, and chemicals may have been the first prebiotic entities capable of metabolism, growth, and reproduction.

Lipids and fatty acids are capable of self-organizing into vesicles, and prebiotic synthesis of fatty acids is suspected [80,81]. Spontaneously formed bilayer vesicles could capture random collections of peptides, molecules, and mimimotifosomes [78,82,83]. Semi-permeable membranes would allow small molecules to enter and
exit the protocells through diffusion [81,84]. Yet the larger peptides, and minimotifosomes would be sequestered inside the protocells, thus encapsulating the genetic and catalytic material. Protocells are capable of division, spontaneously driven by the incorporation of fatty acids or lipids into existing bilayers [79,85,86]. Thus, catalytic peptides involved in fatty acid synthesis could have driven protocells division. Protocells with a selective advantage, such as those with better-performing catalysts, would dominate an ecosystem as previously shown in an experimental model system [58,87]. Thus, those protocells with favorable catalytic competencies encoded in minimotifosomes would outcompete other protocols for available resources.

**Transitional chemical catalysis information from minimotifosomes to RNA**

While the minimotif synthesis hypothesis suggests minimotifosomes as the first carriers of hereditary information, there are severe limitations of minimotifosomes that would have led the use of nucleic acids as hereditary material: (1) the minimotifosome's length was probably constrained to short peptides because tertiary folds would interfere with replication; (2) if some minimotifs were proteolytic, this could minimotifosomes compromising the genetic material; (3) peptide duplex recognition would have been degenerate; and (4) only those minimotif duplexes that could serve as a template for self-replication could have served as primitive minimotifosomes.

Proteins are more efficient and have a much wider catalytic diversity when compared to peptides To fully take advantage of the catalytic protein space with longer and more complex proteins, and more efficiently preserve chemical catalysis information, eventually, the catalysis function would need to be separated from hereditary function. If the functions were separated this would allow protein folds to dominate, as peptide lacking tertiary structure would no longer be a restriction for replication. As proteins have more catalytic prowess, this would be a selective advantage. From the perspective of separating the hereditary information to another molecule, nucleic acids are obviously superior at encoding genetic information without degeneracy, thus

Figure 3. Degenerate semi-conservative minimotifosome replication model and its role in prebiotic evolution. A. Minimotifosome replication. The colored figures are amino acids. The figures with a red outline are newly synthesized peptides and are connected by red lines representing new peptide bonds. A structure of a leucine zipper is an example of an extant minimotif representing what a minimotifosome may have looked like. B. Lipids and/or fatty acids form protocells encapsulating peptides, minimotifosomes, and small molecules. Those protocells with sets of minimotifs capable of template-driven reproduction provide a basis for selection and evolution. Those protocells capable of fatty acid synthesis catalyze growth of the protocell leading to spontaneous division. Division of heterogeneous protocells leads to selection and, ultimately, to the birth of the ribosome and the RNA world.
chemical information could be passed on more efficiently. This likely drove the need for a different molecule as the genetic material (e.g. RNA).

The use RNA as the genetic material to encode peptides presents a major bottleneck in translating the genetic information of RNA into the catalytic information of proteins. A chicken and egg paradox noted for the RNA world hypothesis is that a RNA replicase function is needed prior to RNA becoming the genetic material [64]. Another similar paradox is that an RNA that replicates is proposed to have template driven replication within a double stranded segment of RNA. A helicase or high temperature would be need to stably melt theduplex allowing accessibility to the template for replication. Thus, helicase and the equivalent of single strand binding proteins would be needed to stabilize the template for primitive transcription and translation. However, these functions could not be encoded by proteins until after the origins of translation.

I propose a highly speculative stepwise mechanism that is largely adapted from the coding coenzyme handles hypothesis for evolution of the genetic code [88]. As discussed previously, early protocells may have contained both mimomotifosomes and RNA. The RNA was likely in the form of more stable short hairpins and short double stranded duplexes, with some of each being ribozymes. The short RNAs having base pairing were likely more thermodynamically stable, due to constraints on molecular motion as discussed for short peptides above.

Short RNAs, possibly hairpins were likely selected as early genetic material, as longer RNAs likely had strong secondary structures that prevented their use as templates. Some of these short single stranded RNAs first served as templates to organize the predecessors of charged transfer RNAs (tRNAs) as previously suggested [88]. Upon ligation to form peptide bonds, the first RNA template-driven synthesis of peptides ensued. Some of these peptides were likely identical to the catalytic strand of mimomotifosomes. Although mimomotifosomes may have still served as the primary genetic material, the template driven synthesis and coenzyme handles hypothesis provides a route to early encoding of peptides by RNA. Protocells having this capability may have been able to more efficiently replicate the mimomotifosome genetic material, provide a selective advantage to those possessing these activities.

However a mechanism to replicate the RNA would be need to give protocols a permanent advantage. Although there is no current evidence, once a mimomotifosome or set of mimomotifosomes working together acquired RNA replicase activity, this set the stage for inheritance of RNA encoding the peptides that previously were encoded by mimomotifosomes. Through selection this would give a distinct advantage to those protocols. This would then a act through a feed forward mechanism, with the RNA template synthesis of peptides leading to the ribosome and the replication activity leading to more efficient RNA metabolism with polymerases, helicases, nucleic acid biosynthetic enzymes and other enzymes.

Although the order of the proposed steps can be questioned and the necessity for additional steps is likely relevant, the general notion of the interplay of mimomotifosome coevolution with RNA is attractive. Template-driven peptide synthesis may have led to the adoption of RNA as the hereditary material, and to evolution of a primitive ribosome. Ensuing events leading to the evolution of the ribosome and tRNAs have been previously addressed [88,89].

My mimotif synthesis hypothesis unifies some existing theory with new origin of life hypotheses that will need to be challenged, further developed, and experimentally tested.
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