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A B S T R A C T

The recent realization of twisted, two-dimensional, bilayers exhibiting strongly correlated states has created a platform in which the relation between the properties of the electronic bands and the nature of the correlated states can be studied in unprecedented ways. The reason is that these systems allow extraordinary control of the electronic bands’ properties, for example by varying the relative twist angle between the layers forming the system. In particular, in twisted bilayers the low energy bands can be tuned to be very flat and with a nontrivial quantum metric. This allows the quantitative and experimental exploration of the relation between the metric of Bloch quantum states and the properties of correlated states. In this work we first review the general connection between quantum metric and the properties of correlated states that break a continuous symmetry. We then discuss the specific case when the correlated state is a superfluid and show how the quantum metric is related to its superfluid stiffness. To exemplify such relation we show results for the case of superconductivity in magic angle twisted bilayer graphene. We conclude by discussing possible research directions to further elucidate the connection between quantum metric and correlated states’ properties.

1. Introduction

One of the most exciting developments of the past few years in condensed matter physics has been the ability of experimentalists to realize two-dimensional (2D) “twisted bilayers” [1] and observe the establishment in these systems of strongly correlated electronic states [2–16]. These systems are formed by two 2D crystals stacked with a relative twist angle $\theta$. Twisted bilayer graphene (TBLG), formed by two graphene layers, so far, has been the most studied twisted bilayer system. The feat that experimentalists have been able to accomplish is to control $\theta$ with high precision and tune it to particular, “magic”, values $\{\theta_M\}$ for which the bands of the system are almost completely flat [17–19]. It is for this magic values of $\theta$ that the system exhibits a very rich phase diagram with strongly correlated phases, including a superconducting phase for which the ratio between the critical temperature, $T_c$, and the Fermi temperature, $T_F$, ranges between 0.04 and 0.1, depending on the doping [4]. The value of $T_c/T_F \approx 0.1$ is much larger than the one for conventional BCS superconductors, and implies that to understand the origin of superconductivity in MATBLG weak coupling theory is not sufficient. Such value is also larger than in most unconventional superconductors [4], in particular high $T_c$ cuprates.

One very interesting aspect of magic angle twisted bilayer graphene (MATBLG) is the non-trivial geometry of its quantum states. As a consequence MATBLG is a new, highly tunable, platform in which the connection between strong correlations and quantum states’ geometry can be explored in detail both theoretically and experimentally. This allows to significantly advance our understanding of the relation between the metric of quantum states, the conditions necessary for the establishment and stability of strongly correlated states, and the properties of these states.

For the past fifteen years the geometry of quantum states has been at the center of some of the most interesting discoveries in condensed matter physics. The geometry of a manifold of quantum states is encoded by the “quantum geometric tensor”, $Q_{\mu \nu}$ [20–23]. $Q_{\mu \nu}$ has both a real and an imaginary part. The imaginary part of $Q_{\mu \nu}$ corresponds to the Berry curvature [24]. In the past few years many interesting developments in condensed matter physics have arisen by a careful treatment of the Berry curvature. Exemplary are the discovery of topological insulators (TIs) and superconductors [25–29], Weyl and Dirac semimetals (SMs) [30–33], and, more recently, higher order topological materials [34–42]. At the same time, it is interesting to notice how much less attention the real part of $Q_{\mu \nu}$, $\text{Re}[Q_{\mu \nu}]$, the “quantum metric”, has received compared to its imaginary part. This is in great part due to the difficulty to measure physical quantities related to $\text{Re}[Q_{\mu \nu}]$. However, the connection between quantum metric and the properties of collective ground states breaking a continuous symmetry, and the availability of a system like MATBLG, have opened a new avenue to understand how $\text{Re}[Q_{\mu \nu}]$ can affect the macroscopic properties of quantum systems.
In this work we briefly review the recent progress in the understanding of the relation between quantum metric and the properties of correlated states of 2D systems. In Section 2 we present the formalism describing in general terms the relation between quantum metric and correlated states, in Section 3 we discuss the case when the correlated state is a superconductor, in Section 4 we review some of the recent results for MATBLG, and finally in Section 5 we summarize the current status of our understanding of the topic and possible developments in the near future.

2. Quantum metric and properties of many-body systems

Quantum mechanical states are represented by rays in a complex Hilbert space. For a given quantum system, therefore, the space of physical states is not the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \), but the projective Hilbert space \( \mathbb{P}_\mathcal{H} \). The projective Hilbert space \( \mathbb{P}_\mathcal{H} \) is the space formed by rays in the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \), where each ray is the set of vectors in \( \mathcal{H} \) of unit norm that differ only by multiplication by phase factors. For a Hilbert space of dimension \( n \), \( \mathbb{P}_\mathcal{H} \) is the complex projective space \( \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \) formed by the lines through the origin of a complex Euclidean space. The inner product of quantum states are represented by elements of \( \mathbb{P}_\mathcal{H} \). Given \( \psi \) and \( \chi \) that breaks a discrete ground state has a term of the form

\[
\beta_{\text{eff}} G_{\text{eff}} A_{\text{eff}},
\]

where \( \psi \) is the complex order parameter describing the ground state, \( \psi_0 \) being the amplitude and \( \phi \) the phase parametrizing \( U(1) \), and \( \beta = 1/(k_B T) \). From this we can see that the current density \( \mathbf{j} \) couples to \( A_{\text{eff}} \), and that \( \rho_j \) must be related to the strength of the current-current response \( \rho_{\text{CC}} \) of the system to the probing field \( B_{\text{eff}} \). This is completely analogous to the case of a superconductor, discussed in the next section, in which the connection between the metric of the quantum states and \( \rho_{\text{CS}} \) is shown explicitly. This connection was first shown explicitly for simple cases in superconductors [80–82] and for flat ferromagnetic states in systems with flat bands [83].

Among all the types of condensed matter systems in which the ground states spontaneously break a \( U(1) \) symmetry two are particularly important and common: ferromagnets (FMs) and superconductors (SCs). For both classes of systems \( \text{Re}(Q_{\omega}) \) can play an essential role in deter-
mining the properties of the collective ground state. For magnetic systems $\text{Re} \{ Q_{\mu \nu} \}$ enters the expression of the spin-stiffness, $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$, for superconductors it contributes to the superfluid stiffness, $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$, or, equivalently, the superfluid weight $D^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}, \rho^{(s, \text{open})}_{\mu \nu}$ and $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$ can be measured and are not affected by small amounts of disorder and so their relationship to $\text{Re} \{ Q_{\mu \nu} \}$ can be verified experimentally.

For 2D systems for which the ground state spontaneously breaks a U (1) symmetry, $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$ governs the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless [84,85] (BKT) transition, in particular it fixes the value of the temperature, $T_{\text{KT}}$, at which the transition takes place. For an isotropic system $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu} = \rho^{(s)}\delta_{\mu \nu}$ and $\rho^{(s)}$ fixes $T_{\text{KT}}$ via the relation [85]:

$$k_B T_{\text{KT}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \rho^{(s)} \left( T_{\text{KT}} \right).$$  

(8)

As we discuss in the following two sections, Eq. (8) can be used to estimate the value of $\rho^{(s)}$ in 2D systems.

For a multi-orbital system $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$ has a contribution due to the curvature of the bands, the so-called “conventional” contribution, $\rho^{(s, \text{con})}_{\mu \nu}$, and a contribution due to $\text{Re} \{ Q_{\mu \nu} \}$, the so-called “geometric” contribution, $\rho^{(s, \text{geo})}_{\mu \nu}$. $\text{Re} \{ Q_{\mu \nu} \}$ can be different from zero only for multiband systems. It is therefore clear that the geometric contribution to $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$ can be dominant in multi-orbital systems with flat bands. This is precisely the situation in MATBLG: the effective moiré lattice of MATBG has a multiband spectrum with the lowest energy bands, the ones that participate in the formation of collective ground states such as superconducting and ferromagnetic states [86-88], extremely flat. The advent of systems like MATBLG has then greatly increased our ability to study and understand the relation between the metric of quantum states and the macroscopic properties of collective ground states.

3. Quantum metric and superfluid stiffness

To exemplify in concrete terms the connection between the quantum metric and the stiffness of a ground state breaking a U(1) symmetry we consider the case of a superconductor. For the linear current response to an external vector potential, in momentum and frequency space we have

$$j_{\omega}(k_{\omega}, \omega) = K_{\mu \nu}(k_{\omega}, \omega) A_{\mu}(k_{\omega}, \omega)$$

(9)

where $j_{\omega}(k_{\omega}, \omega), A_{\mu}(k_{\omega}, \omega)$, and $K_{\mu \nu}(k_{\omega}, \omega)$ are the Fourier amplitude with wave vector $k$ and frequency $\omega$ of the $\mu$ component of the current density, the $\mu$ component of the vector potential $A_{\mu}$, and of the $\mu \nu$ component of the current-current response function, respectively. The superfluid weight, $D^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$, is the tensor that relates, within the linear approximation, $j_{\omega}$ to the $k_{\omega}$ component of a static ($\omega = 0$) transverse vector potential, $k A = 0$, in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$. Denoting by $k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel}$, the components of $k$ parallel and perpendicular to $A_{\perp}$, respectively, we have [89,90]:

$$D^{(s)}_{\mu \nu} = - \lim_{k_{\perp} \rightarrow 0} K_{\mu \nu}(k_{\parallel} = 0, \omega = 0).$$

(10)

By combining Eqs. (9), (10) we obtain London’s equation

$$\lim_{k_{\perp} \rightarrow 0} j_{\omega}(k_{\omega} = 0, \omega = 0) = - D^{(s)}_{\mu \nu} \lim_{k_{\perp} \rightarrow 0} A_{\mu}(k_{\parallel} = 0, \omega = 0)$$

(11)

that captures the key features, such as the Meissner effect, of the superconducting state. $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$ is directly proportional to $D^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$:

$$\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu} = \frac{\hbar^2}{m} D^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}.$$  

(12)

Notice that Eq. (11) was obtained requiring $\omega = 0, k_{\parallel} = 0$, and then taking the limit $k_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$. As a consequence Eq. (11) cannot be used to relate a time-dependent current to a time-dependent vector potential. This can only be done by allowing $\omega \neq 0$ when calculating $K_{\mu \nu}(k_{\omega}, \omega)$.

The value of $K_{\mu \nu}(k_{\omega}, \omega)$ in the limit ($k_{\omega} = 0, \omega = 0$) is proportional to the Drude weight [89,90] (see Section 2).

For an isolated parabolic band, at zero temperature, $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$ is $\hbar^2 (n/m') \delta_{\mu \nu}$, where $n$ is the electron density, and $m'$ is the effective mass of the band. This conventional result would lead us to the conclusion that for systems like MATBLG, for which $m' \rightarrow \infty$, $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$ should be very small so that the hallmark signatures of superconductivity such as the Meissner effect (for 3D systems) should be extremely weak. This is in contrast with the experimental observations and shows that the conventional expression for $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$ obtained for a single parabolic band is not general enough.

For the case of a multi-band system we need to derive the expression of $\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}$ from the general expression of $K_{\mu \nu}(k_{\omega}, \omega)$. Using the Kubo formula we have:

$$K_{\mu \nu}(k_{\omega}, \omega) = (T_{\mu \nu} + \rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}(k_{\omega}, \omega))$$

(13)

where $T_{\mu \nu}$ is the diamagnetic current operator

$$T_{\mu \nu} = \sum_{\omega} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^2} \rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu} \delta_{\mu \nu} \delta \omega \delta \mathbf{H}(k, \sigma) \psi \psi^\dagger \psi \psi^\dagger.$$  

(14)

and

$$\rho^{(s)}_{\mu \nu}(k_{\omega}, \omega) = - i \sum_{\omega} \int dt e^{i \omega t} \left[ \mathbf{P}_{\mu \nu}(k_{\omega}, \omega), \mathbf{P}_{\mu \nu}(-k_{\omega}, 0) \right]$$

(15)

is the time Fourier transform of the correlator of the paramagnetic current operator

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mu \nu}(k_{\omega}, \omega) = \sum_{\omega'} \int \frac{dk'}{(2\pi)^2} \mathbf{A}_{\mu\nu}(k_{\omega}' + k/2, \sigma) \mathbf{A}_{\mu\nu}(k_{\omega}' + k/2, \sigma + \Delta).$$

(16)

The angle brackets denote expectation values over the ground state, and $[\cdot]$ the commutator. In Eq. (14), (16) $\mathbf{C}_{\mu \nu}$ ($\mathbf{C}_{\mu \nu}$) is the creation (annihilation) operator for an electron with momentum $k$ and spin $\sigma$, and $\Delta$ is the dimensionality of the system. $H$ is the matrix Hamiltonian describing the system expressed in the basis used for the creation anneihilation operators (spin-momentum basis).

A superconductor can be described in general by a Bogolyubov de Gennes Hamiltonian $H_{\text{BdG}}$ of the form:

$$H_{\text{BdG}} = \left( \psi^\dagger_{\uparrow} \psi_{\downarrow} H_{\text{BdG}} \psi_{\downarrow} \psi^\dagger_{\uparrow} \right), \quad H_{\text{BdG}} = \begin{pmatrix} H_T & \Delta^\dagger \\ \Delta & -H_H \end{pmatrix}$$

(17)

where $\psi^\dagger_{\uparrow} \psi_{\downarrow} \psi_{\uparrow} \psi^\dagger_{\downarrow}$ are the creation (annihilation) spinor operators for the states, described in the normal phase by the matrix Hamiltonians $H_T$, $H_H$, respectively, that pair to form the condensate characterized by the pairing matrix $\Delta$. Using the expression of $H_{\text{BdG}}$ given in Eq. (17) for $\langle T_{\mu \nu} \rangle$, in the Matsubara formalism, we obtain:

$$\langle T_{\mu \nu} \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\omega} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^2} \text{Tr} [\delta \delta \mathbf{H}_{\text{BdG}} G(\omega_n, k)]$$

(18)

where $\omega_n = \pi k_{B} T (2n + 1)$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the fermionic Matsubara frequencies and

$$G(\omega_n, k) = [\omega_n - H_{\text{BdG}}]^{-1} = \sum_{j} \frac{\langle \psi_{\downarrow}(k) \psi_{\uparrow}(k) \rangle}{\omega_n - E_j}$$

(19)

is the retarded Green’s function. In Eq. (19) $E_j$ and $\langle \psi_{\downarrow}(k) \psi_{\uparrow}(k) \rangle$ are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors, respectively, of $H_{\text{BdG}}$. By performing the integration over $k$ by parts, and considering that, from the definition of $G$, $\partial \delta G = -G^2 \partial \delta H_{\text{BdG}}$, we can rewrite Eq. (18) in the form:
\[
\langle \tau_{\mu} \rangle = -\frac{1}{\beta} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} \text{Tr}[\partial_{H_BdG} G^2(i\omega_n, k) \partial_{H_BdG}].
\] (20)

Similarly for the contribution arising from the paramagnetic currents we obtain:

\[
\langle \mathcal{P}_\mu(k, \Omega_{\mu}) \rangle = -\frac{1}{\beta} \int \frac{dk'}{(2\pi)^d} \text{Tr}[G(i\omega_n, k') \partial_{H_BdG} G^2(i\omega_n, k)]
\] (21)
\[
\partial_{H_BdG}(k' + k/2) = G(i\omega_n + \Omega_{\mu}, k + k') \partial_{H_BdG}(k' + k/2) \tau_i.
\]

where \(\Omega_{\mu} = 2\pi m \mu k \) (\(m \in \mathbb{Z}\)) are the bosonic Matsubara frequencies, and \(\tau_i\) are the z-Pauli matrixes. Combining Eqs. (13), (19), (20), and (21), after summing over the fermionic Matsubara frequencies, in the limit \(\Omega_{\mu} = 0, k \to 0\), we obtain [82]:

\[
\rho_\mu^{(1)} = \sum_{m} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} n_I(E_m) - n_I(E_i) \sim \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \left[ \psi_i | \partial_{H_BdG} | \psi_j \right] \left[ | \psi_j \rangle \langle \psi_i | \partial_{H_BdG} | \psi_j \right] \right]
\] (22)

where \(n_I(E_i)\) is the Fermi-Dirac function.

Eq. (22) can be used to show the connection between \(\rho_\mu^{(1)}\) and the quantum metric of the Bloch states. The origin of such connection can be understood by considering that in general, for a generic Hamiltonian \(H\), the expectation values \(\psi_i | \partial_{H} | \psi_j\) of the velocity operator \(\partial_{H}\) have an anomalous contribution proportional to \(\psi_i | \partial_{\partial_{H}} | \psi_j\), and that therefore the terms \(\psi_i | \partial_{H_BdG} | \psi_j\langle \psi_j | \partial_{H_BdG} | \psi_i\rangle\) in Eq. (22) give rise to terms of the form \(\partial_{H_BdG} | \psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_i | \partial_{H_BdG} | \psi_j\rangle\) that, as shown above, Eq. (2), (4), enter the expression of the quantum metric. We call the part of \(\rho_\mu^{(1)}\) arising from these terms the “geometric part” \(\rho_\mu^{(geo)}\) of \(\rho_\mu^{(1)}\).

We can explicitly separate the contribution to \(\rho_\mu^{(1)}\) arising from the metric of the quantum states from the conventional one, arising from terms proportional to the derivatives of the eigenvalues with respect to \(k\). Let \(\{ \langle \psi^T | \rangle \{ \{ (\mu_1) \} \{ (m_1) \} \} \{ (m_2) \} \} \rangle\) be the eigenvalues and eigenstates, respectively, of \(H_\mu\). The Hilbert space for \(H_BdG\) is given by the direct sum of the Hilbert spaces \(H_{\mu} H_{\mu} T\) of \(\tau_i\) and \(\tau_\mu\). Any eigenstate \(| \psi\rangle\) of \(H_BdG\) can be written as \(\langle \psi^T | \rangle \{ (m_1) \} \langle (m_2) | \rangle| \psi\rangle\) in \(H_{\mu} H_{\mu} T\), and \(| \psi\rangle\) is independent of \(\tau_\mu\). Assuming \(A\) to be independent of \(k\), following [82] we can rewrite Eq. (22) to identify the contribution to \(\rho_\mu^{(1)}\) arising from the quantum metric of the \(\{m_1\}, \{m_2\}\) states, i.e., the quantum metric of the bands in the normal phase. To do this we start by rewriting the expectation values \(\psi_i | \partial_{H_BdG} | \psi_j\) in terms of the \(\{m_1\}, \{m_2\}\) states

\[
\langle \psi_i | \partial_{H_BdG} | \psi_j\rangle = \sum_{m_1} \sum_{m_2} \text{Tr} \left[ \varepsilon_{i,m_1} \varepsilon_{j,m_2} - \varepsilon_{i,m_1} \varepsilon_{j,m_2} \right]
\] (23)

where \(\varepsilon_{i,m_1} = (\psi_i | \hat{m}_1 | \psi_i)\)

\[
\rho^{(1)}_{\mu} = \sum_{m_1} \sum_{m_2} \text{Tr} \left[ \varepsilon_{i,m_1} \varepsilon_{j,m_2} \right]
\] (24)

and \(X = (T, B)\). To simplify the notation in Eqs. (23)-(25) we do not show explicitly the dependence of the quantities on the momentum \(k\). Using Eqs. (23)-(25) we can rewrite Eq. (22) in the form

\[
\rho^{(1)}_{\mu} = -4 \sum_{m_1 T, m_2 T} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} \text{Re} \left[ \left[ n_I(E_m) - n_I(E_i) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \left[ \psi_i | \partial_{H_BdG} | \psi_j \right] \left[ | \psi_j \rangle \langle \psi_i | \partial_{H_BdG} | \psi_j \right] \right] \right]
\] (26)

The current expectation values \(J^{X}_{\mu m_{x,y}}\) can be written as

\[
J^{X}_{\mu m_{x,y}} = \delta_{m_{x,y}} A_{m_{x,y}} + (\varepsilon^X_{m_{x}} - \varepsilon^X_{m_{y}}) \left( m_{x}| \partial_{H_BdG} | m_{y} \right).
\] (27)

Eq. (27) shows that \(J^{X}_{\mu m_{x,y}}\) has a “conventional” contribution proportional to \(\delta_{m_{x,y}}\), and a contribution, the second term in Eq. (27), related to the geometry of the quantum states. Combining Eqs. (26) and (27) we can then identify three contributions to \(\rho^{(1)}_{\mu} = \rho^{(1)}_{\mu} + \rho^{(2)}_{\mu} + \rho^{(3)}_{\mu}\):
and the superconducting order parameter, in addition to being k-independent, only has intraband terms. In this case we have [82]:
\[ \rho_{\mu}^{\text{(i)}} = \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \frac{\partial n_{\mu}(E)}{\partial E} + \frac{1}{E} \right] \Delta^2 \delta_{\mu,\nu} \delta \epsilon_i \delta \epsilon_j + \frac{2\Delta^2}{\pi} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{-2n_{\mu}(E)}{E} \rho_{\mu}^{(s)}(k). \]  
(32)

where \( k \) is the momentum. The last term in Eq (32) is the geometric part of \( \rho_{\mu}^{(i)} \) that, in this simple case, is related in a very direct way to the quantum metric \( g_{\mu}^{(i)} \) of the isolated band.

Using the expression above, and the inequality (6) for the case of an isolated band we can provide a bound for the geometric part of \( \rho_{\mu}^{(i)} \) [80,82]:
\[ \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} \geq 2\Delta^2 \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1 - 2n_{\mu}(E)}{E} |B_{\mu
u}|^2. \]  
(33)

This result shows that for bands with large Berry curvature the geometric contribution of \( \rho_{\mu}^{(i)} \) is large. It is important to point out that Eq. (33) only provides a lower bound given that it is possible to have situations in which \( g_{\mu
u} \neq 0 \) even if the Berry curvature is zero [77].

In 2D, for the case in which the isolated band, is flat, i.e. having a bandwidth much smaller than the \( \Gamma_{\mu
u} \) gaps, and non degenerate, \( \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} \) is only given by the geometric part and can be written in the form [80]:
\[ \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} = 2\Delta \sqrt{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^2} \rho_{\mu
u}(k). \]  
(34)

where \( \varepsilon \) is the filling fraction of the flat band. In this case that have \( (1/2\pi) \int dK B_{\mu
u} = \varepsilon_{\mu
u} C \), where \( \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \) is the 2 \times 2 Levi-Civita tensor and \( C \) is the Chern number of the isolated band. Using inequality (5) we obtain
\[ \det(\int dK g_{\mu\nu}) \geq \det(\int dK |B_{\mu\nu}|^2) = C^2 \]  
and then, for an isotropic system [80]:
\[ \rho_{\mu}^{(i)} \geq \Delta \sqrt{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)} |C|. \]  
(35)

In general, when the 2D flat band have degenerate points it might not be possible to find a lower bound for \( \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} = \rho_{\mu}^{(s,geo)} \), however, this can be done for the case relevant to MATBLG in which the two low-energy 2D flat bands have degeneracy points and \( C_{2D}T \) symmetry, \( C_{2D} \) being the twofold rotation around the z-axis perpendicular to the 2D plane to which the quantum states are confined, and \( T \) the time-reversal symmetry operator [94]. Given the presence of degeneracy points it is necessary to consider the non-Abelian generalization of the expression of \( Q_{\mu\nu} \). It can be shown that the \( C_{2D}T \) symmetry constrains the non-Abelian Berry curvature to the form [95,96] \( B_{\mu\nu} = -b_{\nu\mu}(k)\varepsilon_2 \) with \( (1/2\pi) \int dK b_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_2 \), where \( \varepsilon_2 \) is the Wilson loop winding number [95], or “Euler’s class” [96], of the two bands. In this case, assuming the pairing \( \Delta \) is non vanishing only for the low-energy, twofold degenerate, band, and using again inequality (6), we have that \( \rho_{\mu}^{(i)} \) has the lower bound [94]
\[ \rho_{\mu}^{(i)} \geq \frac{\Delta}{\pi} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)} |\varepsilon_2|. \]  
(36)

For the specific case of TABLG \( \varepsilon_2 = 1 \) so that, taking into account the spin and valley degeneracy, we obtain [94]
\[ \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} \geq \frac{\Delta}{\pi} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)}. \]  
(37)

Inequalities (35), (36) show how the topological invariants of the bands can be used to obtain lower bounds for \( \rho_{\mu}^{(i)} \) in flat-band systems.

For a superconductor \( \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} \) determines the phase-stiffness of the superconducting state and therefore its stability against fluctuations. \( \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} \) also determines the superfluid density, a quantity that can be measured directly.
\[ \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} = (1/d)Tr\rho_{\mu}^{(s)} \]  
is easy to measure for 3D superconductors, given that it is related to the London penetration depth \( \lambda_L \) via the equation
\[ \lambda_L = \frac{1}{e} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{\mu}^{(s)}}}. \]  
(38)

where \( \mu_L \) is the magnetic permeability.

For 2D superconductors \( \rho_{\mu} \) cannot be obtained indirectly by measuring \( \lambda_L \) and recently techniques have been proposed to obtain it via a direct measurement [97]. However, for 2D superconductors, and in general 2D ground states that break a U(1) symmetry, \( \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} \) can also be obtained experimentally via Eq. (8) relating \( T_K \) to \( \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} \). In particular, for 2D superconductors, \( T_K \) can be obtained as the temperature at which the voltage \( V \) across the superconductor scales as \( T^{1/2} \). Being the current. This was the approach used in Ref. [7] to estimate \( T_K \) in MATBLG. Using Eqs. (8) and (22) we can relate \( T_K \) to \( \rho_{\mu} \). This requires to properly take into account the temperature dependence of \( \rho_{\mu}^{(s)} \); in addition to the temperature dependence due to the presence of the Fermi occupation factors, we must include the temperature dependence of the order parameter \( \Delta \). For many of the second-order phase transitions of interest, in first approximation, we can assume the “BCS scaling” \( \Delta(T) = 1.76k_B(1 - T/T_c)^{1/2} \). In general \( \Delta(T) \) can be obtained by solving the non-linear gap equation. For the concrete example of superconducting MATBLG discussed in Section 4 we have found that the BCS scaling of \( \Delta(T) \) agrees well with the one obtained solving the non-linear gap equation.

4. Quantum metric effects for correlated states in twisted bilayer graphene

The behavior of TBLG is particularly interesting for twist angles \( \theta \sim 1.0^\circ \). For such small twist angles the moiré primitive cell is very large and the most effective way to obtain the electronic structure is to use an effective low-energy continuum model [19]. The details of the model can be found in Ref. [19], here we briefly outline the model’s essential elements and assumptions. In graphene the conduction and valence bands cross at the corners \( K \) of the hexagonal Brillouin zone (BZ), \( |K| = 4\pi/3a_0 \) with \( a_0 \) the graphene’s carbon-carbon distance. Around the \( K \) points electrons in graphene behave as massless Dirac fermions [98] and the Hamiltonian for each layer, top (t) and bottom (b), forming TBLG is
\[ H_{t/b} = v_F |K_t/b\rangle \sigma - \mu a_0, \]  
(39)

where \( v_F = 10^6 \text{m/s} \) is graphene’s Fermi velocity, \( |K_t/b\rangle = (k_x,k_y)_{t/b} \) is the 2D momentum, measured from the \( K_{t/b} \) point, for an electron in the top/ bottom layer, \( \sigma = (\sigma_x,\sigma_y) \) is the 2D vector formed by the \( x,y \) Pauli matrices in sublattice space [98], \( \mu \) is the chemical potential, and \( a_0 \) is the 2 \times 2 identity matrix. Conservation of crystal momentum requires \( k_{t/b} = k_{t/b} + (K_t - K_b) + (G_{t/b} - G_{t/b}) \). Here \( |G_{t/b}\rangle \) are the reciprocal lattice wave vectors in the top/bottom layer. Due to the twist the set of \( G_{t/b} \) is different from the set of \( G_{t/b} \). In the model of Ref. [19] only the tunneling processes for which \( \{k_t - k_b\} = |K_t - K_b| = 2k_{\theta} \) are taken into account. There are three vectors \( Q_{t/b} = (K_t - K_b) + (G_{t/b} - G_{t/b}) \) (\( i = -1,0,1 \) for which \( Q_{t/b} = 2k_{\theta} \)) to which correspond the interlayer tunneling matrices [19]
\[ T_0 = w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; T_{i=1} = w \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\theta/3} & 1 \\ e^{i2\theta/3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]  
(40)

where \( w \approx 100 \text{meV} \) is the interlayer tunneling strength. Up to an overall scale factor the bands only depend on the ratio \( w/v_F Q \) [19]. In the remainder we set \( w = 118 \text{meV} \). The precise value of \( w \) depends on the
E. Rossi

In addition, due to corrugation effects the tunneling strength, $w_0$, for regions with AA stacking can be different from the one, $w_1$, for regions with AB stacking. We assume the ratio $w_0/w_1$ to be uniform and equal to 1. Changes in the ratio $w_0/w_1$ affect the low energy bands and therefore the superfluid stiffness. All the tunneling processes for which $k_{\text{left}} - k_{\text{right}} = Q$ are taken into account by keeping all the recursive tunneling processes on a honeycomb structure constructed in momentum space with nearest neighbor sites connected by the vectors $Q$. The primitive cell of this structure is the moiré lattice’s mini-BZ. We adopt the convention in which the corners, $k_{\text{e}}$, of the mini-BZ coincide with the points for which $k_{\text{e}} b = 0$. The number of sites of the honeycomb structure in momentum space used to obtain the band structure is increased until the bands converge. We find that for $w = 118$ meV and $\theta \approx 1.00^\circ$ convergence is reached when the number of sites is $\approx 200$.

In Ref. [19] and other works $\theta_{\text{AB}}$ is defined as the twist angle for which the Fermi velocity at the $k_{\text{e}}$ points of the mini-BZ vanishes, whereas in other works it is defined as the value of $\theta$ for which the band structure of the conduction, or valence, band is minimum. In the reminder we will adopt this second definition. Fig. 1 (a) shows the 2D valence band at the magic angle $\theta = 1.05^\circ$. We see that the bandwidth is just $\approx 2$ meV. Small deviations of $\theta$ away from $\theta_{\text{AB}}$ have large effects on the bandwidth of the lowest energy bands. This can be seen from Fig. 1 (b), showing the 2D valence band for $\theta = 1.05^\circ$: a change of just $0.05^\circ$ in $\theta$ results in a factor of 3 change in the bandwidth of the lowest energy bands. The change in the bandwidth, in turn, strongly affects the stability, and properties of the correlated ground states.

The superconducting paring matrix $\Delta$ is obtained via the mean-field approximation after adding an effective local (s-wave) attractive interaction whose strength is set so that at the magic angle, $\theta = 1.05^\circ$, $T_c = 1.63$K when $\mu = -0.3$ meV [99], in agreement with experiment [4]. $\Delta$ describes an s-wave superconductor whose only significant Fourier components are the one with wave vector $q$ equal to the zero and the ones with $q = Q_{\text{e}}$ [100,99].

The large size of the moiré primitive cell in TBLG when $\theta$ is of the order of $1^\circ$ implies that effectively TBLG is a system with a large number of orbital degrees of freedom. This results in a very non-trivial quantum geometric tensors. In particular, for $\theta$ close to the magic angle, we have several regions of the BZ where the Berry curvature is very large. Considering that the positive semidefinite nature of $Q_{\text{e}}$ implies $\det Q_{\text{e}} \geq |Q_{\text{e}}|^2$, see Section 2, we expect in these regions the geometric contribution to $\rho_{00}^{(s)}$ to be large. Fig. 2 (a) shows the profile in the BZ of the integrand to obtain $\rho_{00}^{(s)}$ for $\theta = 1.05^\circ$. From this figure we see that at the magic angle there are large regions in the mini BZ that provide strong contributions to $\rho_{00}^{(s,\text{geo})}$. Fig. 2 (b) shows the conventional, geometric, and total, longitudinal superfluid stiffness for different, small, values of $\theta$ and fixed $\mu$. We see that that $\rho_{00}^{(s,\text{geo})}$ is larger than $\rho_{00}^{(s,\text{conv})}$ only close to the magic angle, but that it is significant for all the values of $\theta$ smaller than $1.1^\circ$.

The results of Fig. 2 (b) show that systems like TBLG are an ideal playground in which to test the connection between quantum geometry and macroscopic properties of correlated ground states. This can be seen, for instance, by considering the scaling of $\rho_{00}$ with the chemical potential $\mu$ at the magic angle, and away from it. The conventional contribution to $\rho_{00}$, in general, increases with doping, and therefore with $\mu$. As a consequence, in systems in which the superfluid stiffness is mostly due to the conventional term, the total $\rho_{00}$ increases with $\mu$. This is the case also for TBLG away from the magic angle as shown in Fig. 3 (a) for which the conventional contribution to $\rho_{00}$ is larger than the geometric contribution. The geometric contribution to $\rho_{00}^{(s,\text{geo})}$, in general, can increase or decrease with doping. From Fig. 3 (a) we see that, for $\theta = 1.05^\circ$, $\rho_{00}^{(s,\text{geo})}$ decreases with $\mu$. This is also the case at the magic angle where, however, $\rho_{00}^{(s,\text{geo})}$ dominates over $\rho_{00}^{(s,\text{conv})}$. As a consequence at the magic angle we have the unusual situation that the total $\rho_{00}$ decreases with $\mu$ as shown in Fig. 3 (b).

We expect that the scalings of $\rho_{00}$ with respect to $\mu$ will be reflected in the scaling of $T_c$. Using Eq. (8), knowing the temperature scaling of $\rho_{00}^{(s)}$, $T_{cT}$ can be calculated. Fig. 4 (a) shows the results for the ratio $T_{cT}/T_c$ away from the magic angle, $\theta = 1.00^\circ$. As expected we see that $T_{cT}/T_c$ increases as the hole density increases. At the magic angle we have that $T_{cT}/T_c$ decreases with doping, as shown in Fig. 4 (b), a consequence of the fact that at the magic angle the geometric contribution of $\rho_{00}^{(s,\text{geo})}$ dominates.

In a 2D superconductor the unbinding of the vortices due to thermal fluctuations causes a finite resistance and therefore a finite longitudinal voltage, $V_{xx}$, that depends on the strength of the electrical current $I$ driven through the system. For $T = T_{cT}$ we have that $V_{xx} \propto I^3$. By measuring the $V_{xx}(I)$ relation at different temperatures it is then possible to estimate $T_{cT}$ as the temperature for which $V_{xx} \propto I^3$. For TBLG this was done in Ref. [7]. Fig. 4 (c) shows the scaling of $T_{cT}/T_c$ obtained using the two data points presented in the “Extended Data Table 1” of Ref. [7] for

![Fig. 1. Valence band of TBLG for $\theta = 1.05^\circ$ (a), and $\theta = 1.00^\circ$ (b). The high symmetry points in the moiré Brillouin zone (BZ) are also shown. Adapted from [99].](image1)

![Fig. 2. (a) Integral of $\rho_{00}^{(s,\text{geo})}$ for TBLG at the magic angle, $\mu = -0.30$ meV. (b) Conventional (Conv) and geometric (Geom) contributions to the total longitudinal superfluid stiffness, $\rho_{00}^{(s)} = (1/2)\text{Tr} \rho_{00}^{(s)}$, for TBLG as a function of twist angle. $\mu = -0.3$ meV. Adapted from [99].](image2)

![Fig. 3. Conventional (Conv) and geometric (Geom) contributions to $\rho_{00}$ as a function of doping, $\mu$ (hole doping), for TBLG with $\theta = 1.00^\circ$ (a), and $\theta = 1.05^\circ$ (magic angle), (b). Adapted from [99].](image3)
MATBLG in the hole doped regime. The figure shows that in the MATBLG samples used in Ref. [7], in the hole-doped regime, \( T_{KT}/T_c \) decreases with doping in qualitative agreement with the results of Fig. 4 (b), suggesting that also experimentally, in the hole-doped regime, the geometric contribution of \( \rho_{\mu
u}(\mu) \) dominates over the conventional one. Fig. 4 (d) shows the dependence in TBLG of \( T_{KT} \) on the twist angle for fixed chemical potential, \( \mu = -0.3 \) meV. These results show that, because of the geometric contribution to \( \rho_s \), at the magic angle \( T_{KT} \) is largest, along with \( T_c \). This suggests that in multiorbital systems, like TBLG, the geometric contribution to \( \rho_s \) can compensate the suppression of \( \rho_{\mu
u}^{(conv)} \) associated with the flattening of the bands and lead to robust superfluid states.

The discussion above focused on the case when the correlated ground state breaking a U(1) symmetry is the superconducting state. A very similar discussion can be carried out for other ground states that break a U(1) symmetry. In particular similar results can be obtained for the ferromagnetic state [101–103]. Recently it has been suggested that an "orbital-magnetic" state, characterized by a non-zero sublattice polarization, might be one of the correlated states most likely realized in TBLG [9,91–93]. Also for this state, an analysis similar to the one presented above for the superconducting state can be done.

More recently, we have considered the possibility that in double TBLG an exciton condensate state might be realized [104]. This is a long sought correlated state in which electron and holes (e-h) pair to form a neutral superfluid [105–113]. We considered a double layer formed by two MATBLG, one electron-doped and one hole-doped, separated by a thin dielectric. As for the case of superconductivity the flatness of the bands, while favoring the formation of e-h pairs, can lead to a very small superfluid density. We found that, for the exciton condensate, the quantum metric plays an even more critical role than for the superconducting case in stabilizing the collective state and in guaranteeing a nonzero value of the superfluid stiffness [104].

5. Outlook

The experimental realization of magic angle twisted bilayer graphene systems has opened a completely new avenue to explore the connection between the metric of quantum states and the properties of strongly correlated states that break continuous symmetries. It has shown experimentally that the flatness of the low energy bands does not necessarily imply a low superconducting density \( \rho_{\mu
u}^{(conv)} \) and demonstrated the importance of the interband contributions, associated with a non-trivial quantum metric of the bands, to \( \rho_{\mu
u}^{(tot)} \).

The experimental results on MATBLG, combined with the theoretical treatment of \( \rho_{\mu
u}^{(tot)} \) that includes the geometric contribution [80–82,114,99,94,115,116,103], show that the quantum metric plays an important role in determining the properties of the correlated states of multi-orbital systems. Multilayers formed by 2D crystals stacked with relative small twist angles have very large moiré primitive cells and therefore many orbitals and low energy bands with very small bandwidths. For these systems, therefore, the quantum metric plays in important role in determining the stability and properties of correlated ground states. We expect that the study of the connection between quantum metric and properties of correlated states will be extended to several new twisted 2D multilayers, both based on graphene [6,117], and on other 2D crystals such as monolayers of transition metal dichalcogenides [118–125,16,126–130].

A new interesting research direction would be the study of the interplay between quantum metric, disorder, and stiffness of the correlated states, in particular in twisted bilayers [131]. We could expect that for states like superconductivity disorder might suppress the conventional part of \( \rho_{\mu
u}^{(cont)} \) more than the geometric part. It will be interesting to verify theoretically and experimentally the extent of the validity of such expectation.

Correlated states that break a continuous symmetry can differ topologically. For these states it will be interesting to investigate how the connection between quantum metric and stiffness might vary between the different topological phases, and, more in particular, if there are
features of such connection that can be used to identify the topological phases. For instance, topologically different superconducting phases can be realized in superconducting quantum anomalous Hall (QAH) states (132). Considering the recent observation of signatures of QAH states in MATBLG, superconducting topological states might be realized in MATBLG proximitized to a superconductor.

In some cases, correlated states breaking different continuous symmetries can compete or coexist. It will be interesting to study the relation between quantum metric and properties such as $\rho_{\mu\nu}$ of competing or coexisting collective states in systems like TBGL.

As discussed in Section 3, in 2D systems, experimental evidence of the connection between quantum metric and $\rho_{\mu\nu}$ can be obtained indirectly by obtaining the scaling of $T_K$ with respect to other tunable quantities such as doping. It will be interesting to have more direct experimental evidence of the effects of the metric of the quantum states on the properties of correlated states. One approach would be to measure the dispersion of the Goldstone mode associated with the spontaneous breaking of the continuous symmetry given that $\rho_{\mu\nu}$ enters the dispersion of such modes.

In general, the quantitative understanding of the relation between quantum metric and the stability and properties of collective ground states will allow to better design strongly interacting systems with the desired functionalities. By designing multiorbital systems with flat bands that maximize the quantum metric we can achieve both large bandwidths that maximize the quantum metric we can achieve both large dispersion of such modes.

As discussed in Section 3, in 2D systems, experimental evidence of the connection between quantum metric and $\rho_{\mu\nu}$ can be obtained indirectly by obtaining the scaling of $T_K$ with respect to other tunable quantities such as doping. It will be interesting to have more direct experimental evidence of the effects of the metric of the quantum states on the properties of correlated states. One approach would be to measure the dispersion of the Goldstone mode associated with the spontaneous breaking of the continuous symmetry given that $\rho_{\mu\nu}$ enters the dispersion of such modes.
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