APROTININ AND GROWTH OF WALKER 256 CARCINOSARCOMA IN THE RAT
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Summary.—Growth of the Walker 256 carcinosarcoma implanted within various sites in Sprague-Dawley rats was investigated in animals receiving twice daily i.p. injections of the antiprotease aprotinin.

Although administration of aprotinin partially attenuated the growth and lethality of i.p. tumour, no effect of aprotinin was found on intramuscular tumour development. Furthermore, we were unable to demonstrate unequivocal growth inhibition by aprotinin of lung tumour colonies from i.v. injection of tumour cells. Histological examination of intramuscular and pulmonary tumours revealed little evidence of host cellular immune response in either saline- or aprotinin-treated rats.

Although the presence of proteases within malignant invasive tumours is well documented (Strauch, 1972; Wallach, 1975) the role of these enzymes in the phenotypic expression of malignant tumour cells has not been fully resolved (Wallach, 1975).

There is evidence that proteases exert a mitogenic effect on cultured cells (Burger, 1970; Sefton and Rubin, 1970) and the activity of proteases at the cell surface has been implicated as one of the many factors regulating cell growth (Hynes, 1976; Talmadge, Noonan and Burger, 1974). The enhanced growth of virally transformed cells relative to their non-transformed homologues in vitro has been causally related to elevated protease activity of the transformed cells (Schnebli, 1974; Roblin, Chou and Black, 1975) and it has been suggested that tumour cell proteases not only potentiate the unrestrained growth of malignant tumour cells in vivo (Sylvén, 1967; Bosmann and Hall, 1974) but also play an important role in tumour cell invasiveness (Strauch, 1972; Easý and Easý, 1976).

A number of protease inhibitors, including synthetic reagents as well as naturally occurring substances such as aprotinin, exert growth inhibition of malignant transformed cells in vitro (Latner, Longstaff and Pradhan, 1973; Roblin et al., 1975). Aprotinin (Trasylol®) is a polyvalent inhibitor of the proteolytic enzymes trypsin, chymotrypsin, plasmin and the plasma kallikreins (Werle, 1970). It has been claimed that aprotinin administered by various routes not only inhibits the growth and invasiveness of solid tumours in mice and hamsters, but also promotes lymphocyte infiltration and necrosis within the tumour tissue (Latner, Longstaff and Turner, 1974). We have investigated whether aprotinin could exert an anti-tumour effect against a transplantable rat carcinosarcoma injected by different routes, and have examined the histopathology of tumours in aprotinin- and saline-treated rats and
compared the degree of necrosis and host lymphoid cell infiltration in each case.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Aprotinin was supplied as a solution (1.5 mg/ml, in 0.9% w/v aqueous NaCl) as Trasylol®, by Bayer Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Haywards Heath, Sussex, England. Due to its short half-life in serum (Werle, 1970) aprotinin was administered systemically twice daily by the i.p. route in preference to the i.v. route, since thrombophlebitic reactions may occur with repeated venepuncture (Bayer Pharmaceuticals).

Studies on tumour development were performed using male Sprague–Dawley rats, 250–300 g, bred in the Animal Department, University Medical Buildings, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, and receiving a commercial diet and tap water ad libitum. Walker 256 carcinosarcoma cells were obtained from 8-day intramuscular tumours routinely transplanted in Sprague–Dawley rats. Tissue was gently disrupted through 80-mesh stainless steel gauze using Eagle’s minimal essential medium (Wellcome Laboratories Ltd) and cell viability was estimated by trypan blue dye exclusion. Rats received 5 × 10⁶ viable tumour cells i.m. (in 0.5 ml) or 10⁶ either i.p. (0.5 ml) or i.v. (0.2 ml via the dorsal vein of the hind paw). During injection, cell suspensions were kept on ice and aspirated every 5–10 min to prevent cell aggregation.

**Monitoring of tumour development.**—I.p. growth of tumour was assessed by scoring the number of surviving rats in each group at a fixed time each day after injection of tumour cells. After i.m. injection, 3 diameters (X, Y, Z) of the muscle were measured on Days 4, 6 and 8 to an accuracy of 0.1 mm using precision calipers (Ultratek Ltd., Japan). An estimation of muscle volume was obtained using the formula XYZ/6.

At 7 days after i.v. injection of tumour cells, lungs were removed and inflated via the trachea, using 10% v/v neutral buffered formalin. Sections of paraffin-embedded tissue were cut 5-μm thick at 4 levels, 200 μm apart, for each lung, mounted on 2 × 2 glass slides, and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. The tumour colonies within each lung section were counted on projected images of each specimen, and the presence of tumour cells within these colonies confirmed by light microscopy.

**Histological examination of i.m. tumour tissue.**—The extent of tumour cell necrosis and the incidence of host cells were assessed by inspection of coded stained (H and E) sections of tumour tissue obtained at 8 days. The degree of necrosis and of host leucocyte infiltration were scored on an arbitrary + to ++++ basis (++++) being equivalent to 90% necrosis.

**RESULTS**

The effect of twice daily i.p. injections of 2 ml aprotinin on survival of rats at various times after i.p. challenge with tumour cells is shown in Fig. 1. There were fewer deaths in the aprotinin-treated group from Days 6 to 11 after tumour injection. However, by Day 12 only one rat survived in both saline- and aprotinin-treated groups.

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Fig. 1.**—Survival of Sprague–Dawley rats at different times after i.p. injection of Walker carcinosarcoma cells; open columns, saline-treated; closed columns, aprotinin-treated.

The results of monitoring tumour growth in rats receiving cells i.m. are shown in Table I. Animals received 2 ml aprotinin twice daily from the time of tumour challenge (Day 0) or from Day 3. Controls received an equivalent volume of saline twice daily from Day 0. There was no significant difference in the size
of tumours between saline- and aprotinin-treated groups at either 4, 6 or 8 days after injection of the carcinosarcoma cells. Furthermore, when compared histologically, the degrees of tumour cell necrosis and infiltration of leucocytes were comparable in the three treatment groups (Table II). There was no difference in the character of the host cell infiltrate between groups, the prominence of host inflammatory cells (polymorphs, lymphocytes and macrophages) within the tumours being comparable in saline and aprotinin treatments.

The incidence of pulmonary tumour colonies in saline- and aprotinin-treated groups of rats is shown in Fig. 2. Although little variation was found in the number of colonies from section to section in any one lung and between lungs in the same rat, there was some variability in the number of colonies per lung section between animals. In this study, 3 groups of 12 rats were used and they received either aprotinin or saline as described above. A decrease in the incidence of colonies was obtained when aprotinin was first given at the time of
tumour cell injection. However, when aprotinin was first injected 3 days after tumour cells, 3 out of 12 rats had many more colonies than the saline controls.

DISCUSSION

The role of proteases in the growth of malignant tumours is multifaceted. First, proteolytic activity at the cell surface may stimulate cell growth (Hynes, 1976; Talmadge et al., 1974). Within some tumour tissues there is elevated activity of certain proteases, in particular the lysosomal catheptic enzymes (Sylvén, 1967; Bosmam and Hall, 1974) and collagenase (Dresden, Heilman and Schmidt, 1972; Strauch, 1972) and it has been postulated that elevated proteolytic activity may be causally related not only to loss of control of cell growth (Burger, 1973) but also decreased mutual adhesiveness, metastasis and invasiveness of malignant tumour cells (Easty and Easty, 1976).

Second, there is a general association between neoplasia and fibrinolysis, the fibrinolytic activity being responsible for dissolution of fibrinogen and fibrin within tumour tissue (Reich, 1973). Malignant tumour cells initiate fibrinolysis by releasing serine protease(s) ("plasminogen activator(s)"), which convert plasminogen to the fibrinolytic protease plasmin (Davidson et al., 1969; Bjorlin, Pandolfi and Astedt, 1972; Reich, 1974). In addition to its fibrinolysis, plasmin is also involved in the conversion of serum kininogens to vasoactive kinins (Back, 1966; Burrowes, Movat and Soltay, 1972) which may function in tumour growth through a pharmacological influence on the tumour vascular supply (Cater and Taylor, 1966; Back, 1966).

Third, it has been suggested that proteases may impair the immune response of the tumour-bearing host by destruction of histocompatibility antigens on the tumour cell surface (Wallach, 1975) or by removal of specific receptors from antigen-reactive T lymphocytes (Lattner, Longstaff and Turner, 1974).

In view of the role of proteases in malignant tumour growth outlined above it might be anticipated that administration of antiproteases to tumour-bearing
hosts would be a rational approach to chemotherapy. However, previous investigations on the effects of antiproteases on tumour growth in vivo have provided conflicting results.

In the present study, i.p. injection of aprotinin attenuated tumour growth as measured by lethality after i.p. injection of tumour cells, for up to 12 days after cell challenge. However, we have been unable to demonstrate impairment of growth of tumour cells inoculated by the i.m. route after i.p. injection of aprotinin. Furthermore, we have not been able unequivocally to demonstrate attenuation of development of pulmonary tumours following i.v. injection of Walker 256 carcinosarcoma cells.

Several studies have failed to demonstrate tumour growth retardation in vivo with protease inhibitors (Peterson, 1968; Boeryd, 1965, 1966; Hagmar, 1970). In fact, enhanced tumour metastasis and growth have been observed following administration of the protease inhibitors tranexamic acid and e-aminocaproic acid (Peterson, 1968; Gillette, Findley and Conway, 1963). However, in the latter studies the investigators considered it more likely that the observed tumour growth enhancement was attributable to an immunosuppressive effect of these reagents rather than their antiprotease function.

As a result of its binding to ubiquitous sialyl moieties, aprotinin may be sequestered by many types of tissue cells (Kieman and Stoddart, 1973; Pugh-Humphreys and Thomson, in preparation) and therefore its potential effectiveness as an antitumour agent may well be limited by both its concentration and activity within the vicinity of the tumour, after its systemic administration. Continued infusion of aprotinin, which would ultimately lead to saturation of binding sites, might circumvent this limitation.

The increased incidence of experimental pulmonary metastases observed by Cliffton and Agostino (1964) in aprotinin-treated rats injected i.v. with Walker 256 carcinosarcoma cells may be explained by the known ability of aprotinin to inhibit fibrinolysis (Cliffton and Agostino, 1964; Amris, 1966), since fibrin deposition promotes lodgement of Walker 256 cells within the pulmonary capillary network (Chew, Josephson and Wallace, 1976). In terms of the number of lung tumour colonies produced in aprotinin- and saline-treated rats, we observed two effects of aprotinin on tumour growth, depending upon the relative timing of the injection of aprotinin and tumour cells. Injection of tumour cells, followed by immediate and then twice-daily injection of aprotinin, resulted in a significant decrease in the incidence of lung colony development, and these results contrast with those presented by Cliffton and Agostino (1964). In the light of the potentiating effects of proteases on tumour cell growth (Hynes, 1976; Talmadge, Noonan and Burger, 1974), our results can be rationalized in terms of an inhibition of tumour-cell protease, culminating in impaired tumour cell growth. Only when aprotinin was first administered 3 days after i.v. inoculation of tumour cells did we observe an increase in the numbers of lung tumour colonies.

From our observations on the histopathology of both the solid intramuscular tumours and the lung tumour colonies, we have been unable to demonstrate a decrease in tumour invasiveness or an effect on the intensity and character of the host lymphoid cell infiltrate, in aprotinin-treated animals. In addition, we found no evidence that aprotinin administration enhanced tumour necrosis. These findings are in apparent conflict with those of Latner, Longstaff and Turner (1974), who reported that the invasiveness and viability of a transplantable murine adenocarcinoma and a hamster fibrosarcoma were impaired by aprotinin, and who also found an increased host lymphoid infiltrate in tumours in aprotinin-treated tumour-bearing hosts. In a more recent paper, Latner and Turner (1976) have provided
evidence that the reported anti-tumour effect of aprotinin is mediated via the host immune response to the tumour. However, with the highly malignant, rapidly growing Walker 256 carcinosarcoma in Sprague-Dawley rats, we have been unable to show histologically that there is a marked host reaction against the tumour. It would therefore seem that under the conditions of our experiments, where there is little evidence of tumour immunogenicity, aprotinin is not an effective anti-tumour agent.
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