Clinical utility of left atrial strain in predicting atrial fibrillation recurrence after catheter ablation: An up-to-date review
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Abstract

Rhythm control is the core part of the integrated management of atrial fibrillation (AF), especially in the early stages. Despite advances in catheter ablation (CA), the recurrence rate of AF after CA remains high. As a result, stratification and early management of AF recurrence after CA are critical. Currently, predictors of recurrence of AF after CA are mostly based on dysfunction caused by structural remodeling, apart from traditional risk factors. Atrial strain is a recently developed important parameter for detecting the deformability of atrial myocardium during the cardiac cycle prior to atrial remodeling. Although there is only preliminary evidence, atrial strain is still a promising parameter in predicting the recurrence of AF after CA. We summarize the contents related as follows: (1) CA for rhythm control in AF; (2) Evaluation methods of atrial strain; (3) Atrial strain in the remodeling and reverse remodeling of AF; and (4) Clinical applications of atrial strain in predicting the recurrence of AF after CA. Although there is accumulating evidence on the role of decreased atrial strain in the early prediction of AF recurrence, atrial strain is limited in clinical practice for lacking exact cut-off values and difficulty in distinguishing specific function phases of the atrium. More research is needed in the future to add strength to the early prediction value of atrial strain in AF recurrences.
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Core Tip: Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common arrhythmia, and rhythm control, especially catheter ablation (CA) is the core part of the integrated management of AF. Despite protocol and devices advances in CA, the recurrence rate of AF after CA is still high. Atrial strain, the parameter of atrial deformation during the cardiac cycle, is closely related to atrial remodeling and atrial function. Furthermore, accumulating evidence showed the role of decreased atrial strain in the early prediction of AF recurrence. Further studies are needed to add strength to the early prediction value of atrial strain in AF recurrences.
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INTRODUCTION

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common chronic arrhythmia, with an estimated incidence of 2%–4% in adults worldwide[1]. According to the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017[2], there are 37.6 million cases globally, resulting in approximately 287200 deaths in 2017. With the population aging and prolonged survival of chronic disease, the burden of AF is still increasing rapidly. Besides, AF is associated with a higher incidence of ischemic stroke and cardiac mortality.

Rhythm control is the core part of the integrated management of AF[3], especially in the early stage. Catheter ablation (CA) targeting for the pulmonary vein–left atrium (PV-LA) conjunction area, known as PV isolation (PVI), is the most common way of restoring sinus rhythm. However, despite the enormous advances in the protocol and devices in PVI, the recurrence rate of AF after CA is still high[4].

Atrial remodeling is considered to be one potential mechanism for AF recurrence. Left atrial strain is related to structural remodeling in paroxysmal AF (PAF) and persistent AF (PeAF)[5]. Speckle tracking echocardiography (STE), commonly used in early left ventricular (LV) dysfunction assessment, has also been used in left atrial (LA) strain evaluation[6]. This article highlights the accumulating evidence on AF recurrence after CA and the role of LA strain assessment in the prediction of atrial remodeling and AF recurrence.

CA FOR RHYTHM CONTROL IN AF AND AF RECURRENCE AFTER CA

CA for rhythm control

Whether rhythm control reduces cardiovascular risk in patients with AF has been debated for decades. Previous trials of rate control vs rhythm control in AF, such as the Atrial Fibrillation Rhythm Management Follow-up Study (AFFIRM) study[7], have not demonstrated the superiority of rhythm control in patients with AF. The recent EAST-AFNET 4 study[8] showed that compared to rate control, early rhythm control therapy (including antiarrhythmic drugs and/or CA) can significantly reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular outcomes (MACE) in patients with early-stage AF (diagnosis ≤ 1 year).

Since then, the treatment of AF has entered the era of rhythm control[9]. On the basis of adequate anticoagulation and management of cardiovascular risk factors and comorbid diseases, patients who are suitable for rhythm control should be converted and maintained in sinus rhythm to improve the prognosis of patients.

Antiarrhythmic drugs to maintain sinus rhythm often require long-term use, which increases the risk of side effects and limits the indications. CA targeting for PV-LA conjunction area, known as PVI, is the cornerstone way of restoring sinus rhythm. Compared to drug therapy, CA can lighten the AF burden, and improve quality of life[10,11].

Moreover, there have been enormous advances and optimizations in the protocol and devices in PVI, including cryoballoon ablation and additional atrial ablations etc.[3,9]. These advances have substantially improved the safety and efficacy of PVI. However, the high recurrence rate of AF after CA is still a concern in clinical practice[4]. As a result, stratification and early management of AF recurrence after CA are critical.
**Predicators for AF recurrence after CA**

Technological advances have improved the success rate of CA for both PAF and PeAF. Still, recurrence of AF is a concern for both the patients and the cardiologists in clinical practice. Due to inconsistency in the definitions of procedural success and post-procedural recurrences, the estimation of CA success rate is challenging[3,12]. The commonly accepted AF recurrence as the occurrence of any symptomatic or asymptomatic atrial tachyarrhythmia lasting > 30 s 3 mo after the procedure[13]. Accordingly, the long-term success rate of CA for AF is between 50% and 80%[12,14].

The recurrence of AF after CA is the result of a complex interaction of many factors. However, aging, female gender, hypertension, PeAF, impairment of atrial function, etc.[15] are assumed to be related to AF recurrence after CA[16]. Apart from clinical risk factors, predictors of the recurrence of AF after CA are mostly based on the dysfunction caused by structural remodeling. Increasing attention has been attracted to the predictive role of atrial functional assessment and remodeling in the recurrence of AF after CA. Parameters for assessing left atrial (LA) remodeling and LA function[17,18], including biomarkers, electrocardiogram (ECG), and imaging parameters, are summarized in Table 1.

Patients with AF, especially those undergoing CA, are recommended to check transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) during routine follow-up. Apart from cardiac function, TTE can provide LA parameters that can represent the extent of LA remodeling and function. LA diameter, volume and ejection fraction are parameters that can be used in LA remodeling assessment and AF recurrence prediction[18]. In the meta-analysis by D’Ascenzo et al.[19] that included 19 studies and 4357 AF patients, valve defect and LA diameter > 50 mm predicted AF recurrence after CA.

Owing to the low sensitivity, LA volume measurement to evaluate atrial function lacks accuracy and is limited in the prediction of recurrence of AF after CA, especially in the early stage. Parameters that can potently predict the recurrence of early AF are still needed. STE, commonly used in early LV dysfunction assessment, is also an important assessment method[20], in the quantification of LA myocardial deformation and remodeling[21].

Recently, LA strain has been proven to be superior to LA size as a predictor for AF recurrence after CA[22,23]. An increased LA strain, representing the decline in the deformability of LA, is related to a higher AF recurrence rate. Moreover, Silvia et al.[24] indicate LA strain is reliable in predicting the success of the CA procedure in AF, especially for the second CA.

---

**EVALUATION METHODS OF ATRIAL STRAIN**

Myocardial strain is a change in the distance between two points of the myocardium occurring in the cardiac cycle, expressed as a percentage, representing the fractional change in length of a myocardial segment. Strain is initially used to analyze ventricular function, and the resulting atrial strain provides a highly reproducible measurement of atrial myocardial deformation. Thus, subsequent research focuses on the LA strain.

**Normal range and measurement of atrial strain**

The left atrium is a functional complex chamber, that plays an integral role in maintaining physiological hemodynamic and electrical stability of the heart. Apart from acting as a booster pump during late ventricular diastole to augment LV filling, it also serves as a reservoir to adapt the inflow volume from pulmonary veins during ventricular systole and isovolumic relaxation as well as serves as a passive conduit during early ventricular diastole[25]. In healthy people, the contribution of the LA reservoir, conduit, and booster pump to left ventricular filling is roughly 50%, 30% and 20% respectively[26]. The strains in the heart are longitudinal, circumferential and radial, but because of the fiber orientation and thinness of the atrial wall, only longitudinal strains are generally measured in the left atrium.

The first positive peak in the sinus rhythm strain curve corresponds to the phase before P wave in the ECG and represents LA reservoir strain (LASr) (Figure 1), reflecting the reserve function of the left atrium. The second negative peak (LASct) represents LA strain during contraction. The first negative peak and plateau reflect the LA strain when the atrium works as a conduit (LAScd). In a nutshell, LASr, LASct and LAScd respectively represent the reservoir, contractile and conduit functions of the left atrium. The specific measurements are as follows: (1) LASr: Strain change over reservoir phase in the cardiac cycle, expressed as the strain value at mitral valve opening minus the strain value at ventricular end diastole (positive value); (2) LAScd: Strain change over conduit phase in the cardiac cycle, expressed as the strain value at the beginning of atrial contraction minus the strain value at mitral valve opening (negative value); and (3) LASct: Only in patients with sinus rhythm is strain during the contraction phase measured as the difference between the strain value at ventricular end diastole and the onset of atrial contraction (negative value)[27].

Positive values are typically assigned to lengthening, thickening or clockwise rotation, whereas negative values are assigned to shortening, thinning or counterclockwise rotation. The baseline could be the electrocardiographic P wave or QRS complex, but patients with AF need measurements from the QRS complex.
Table 1 Predictors of atrial fibrillation recurrence after catheter ablation

| Methods                    | Parameters                                      |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| **Biomarkers**             | C-reactive protein                              |
|                            | Fibrinogen                                      |
|                            | B-type natriuretic peptide                      |
|                            | Oxidative stress                                |
|                            | Homocysteine and endothelin-1                   |
|                            | Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system             |
| **ECG parameters**         | P-wave duration                                 |
|                            | Intra-atrial conduction time                     |
|                            | Dispersion of atrial fibrillation cycle lengths  |
| **Imaging parameters**     | Left atrial diameter                            |
|                            | Left atrial volume                              |
|                            | Mitral inflow patterns                           |
|                            | E/e’ index                                      |
|                            | Left atrial electromechanical conduction time    |
|                            | LA appendage ejection fraction                  |
|                            | Left atrial expansion index                      |
|                            | Strain/strain rate                              |
| **Echocardiographic parameters** |                                                   |
|                            | Left atrial diameter                            |
|                            | Left atrial volume                              |
|                            | Mitral inflow patterns                           |
|                            | E/e’ index                                      |
|                            | Left atrial electromechanical conduction time    |
|                            | LA appendage ejection fraction                  |
|                            | Left atrial expansion index                      |
|                            | Strain/strain rate                              |
|                            | Pericardial fat                                  |
|                            | Left atrial fibrosis                             |
|                            | Ablation-related scarring                        |
|                            | Pulmonary vein anatomy                           |

ECG: Electrocardiogram; LA: Left atrium; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; CT: Computed tomography; E: Early trans-mitral flow velocity; e’: Early diastolic mitral annular velocity.

Besides, the peak atrial longitudinal strain (PALS), which corresponds to the peak of the positive strain during left ventricular systole, is a crucial indicator of atrial compliance[27]. Furthermore, global atrial longitudinal strain (GALS) is a term that describes the average change in muscle length for visible segments[27,28]. With preliminary evidence of clinical applications of STE in atrial cardiomyopathy and valvular heart disease, the consensus on STE confirmed the ability of STE for assessing atrial function[29]. When feasible, atrial strain and three-dimensional (3D) atrial size and function assessment are used as part of the standard examination[30].

There has not been an accurate normal range for atrial strain parameters since the lack of standardization of measurement software and data processing software. Some preliminary research has led to the normal range of STE as a reference for evaluating atrial function. Pathan et al[31] performed a meta-analysis on 40 studies involving 2038 healthy subjects and tested the normal range of strain in the three functional states of the LA. The normal reference ranges were: LASr 39.4% (95% CI: 38.0%-40.8%), LAScd 23.0% (95% CI: 20.7%-25.2%), and LASct 17.4% (95% CI: 16.0%-19.0%). In 2019, Haji et al[32] described eight practical steps in measuring LA strain with TTE and strain software, and stressed the comprehensive clinical applications of LA strain in heart failure and AF.

Sun et al[33] noted that atrial strain significantly correlated with a few two-dimensional (2D) Doppler LV diastolic and LA function parameters. Peak strain and strain rate during LA contraction had a modest correlation with LA volumes and LV diastolic function.

**Assessment methods of LA strain**

There are distinct advantages and disadvantages to assessing LA strain after CA procedure in AF patients using 2D-STE and 3D-STE, cardiac computed tomography (CT) and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) etc.
Figure 1 Atrial strain image by 2D-speckle tracking echocardiography in a healthy volunteer. As shown on the left, the left atrium is divided into six segments distinguished by color and corresponding to the strain curve in the same color from the apical four-chamber view. Each segment of LA diastole and contraction successively and regularly over time with a similar trend are shown on the right. The positive peaks in the sinus rhythm strain curve reflect the reserve function of the left atrium, marked as LASr (white label). While the first and second negative peaks stand for the conduit phase (marked as LAScd in the white label) and contractile phase (marked as LASct in the white label) respectively. LASr: Left atrial reservoir strain; LASct: Left atrial contractile strain; LAScd: Left atrial conduit strain.

The use of cardiac CT is limited in the assessment of LA strain due to radiation exposure. For better visualization of the LA border, CT angiography has also been used, which can result in renal function impairment by using the iodine contrast agent. As a result of short data acquisition duration (PR interval 120-200 ms), it is difficult to distinguish LA volume changes over the functional phases\[34\]. In a small study of Szilveszter et al\[35\] cardiac CT slightly but consistently underestimated both LV and LA absolute global strain values. Cardiac MRI is rarely used in clinical practice in LA strain assessment due to its high cost and lengthy examination time, making it unsuitable for heart disease patients, such as heart failure. Feature-tracking cardiac MRI is a novel and practical approach to assessing LA deformation that uses standard cine images and does not require additional tagging sequences\[36\]. Cardiac MRI can directly detect pathological features such as myocardial scars and fibrosis by late gadolinium enhancement imaging, thus accurately displaying the endocardial boundary, and providing details beyond structure and function\[37\]. Kuppahlly et al\[5\] have confirmed a negative correlation between myocardial fibrosis level and LA strain by cardiac MRI in AF patients. Moreover, PeAF patients have more myocardial fibrosis than PAF patients, implying a link between atrial remodeling, LA mechanical dysfunction, and AF prognosis.

Echocardiography is still the most commonly used examination to assess cardiac function because of its simplicity and convenience\[21\]. Tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) has traditionally been used in clinical practice. TDI evaluates the LA function using volume measurement, susceptible to angle dependence, noise interference, artifacts, and other factors\[22\].

STE is a new angle-independent quantitative technology that evaluates myocardial function by analyzing points on 2D gray-scale ultrasound images. In 2015, American/European Society of Echocardiography guidelines recommended the use of 2D-STE to analyze LA volume\[38\]. Among the techniques for evaluating atrial strain 2D-STE and 3D-STE have better predictive ability in AF recurrence after CA\[39\]. Because of the 3D nature of the technology, 3D-STE provides novel deformation parameters that have the potential for a more accurate assessment of overall and regional myocardial function\[40\] (Figure 2). However, the reconstruction of 3D images depends on a stable cardiac cycle, which is difficult to be achieved in AF.

Hwang et al\[41\] reported that applying artificial intelligence algorithms to the STE radial strain of the left atrium can assess outcome status after AF ablation more accurately and sensitively. They developed a deep convolutional neural networks (CNN) model based on curved M-mode STE images, which may be a novel approach to evaluate the LA dysfunction. CNN may accurately classify the curved M-mode images of global strain in patients and provide detailed spatiotemporal information about the deformation sufficiently.

Although STE may not be possible to track accurately the LA deformation, because of the thinner atrial wall, being interrupted by the LA appendage and the four pulmonary vein openings, and shortening and extending uniformly\[42\], it is still a convenient and practical method in assessing LA strain compared to other assessment methods.
CLINICAL UTILITY OF LA STRAIN IN AF PATIENTS AFTER CA

LA Strain in atrium remodeling and reverse remodeling

Atrial remodeling, including electrical and structural remodeling[43], is a common pathophysiological feature of AF, interacting with one another and ultimately causing dysfunction. Electrical remodeling includes changes in the properties of ion channels that affect atrial myocardium activation and conduction, resulting in longer atrial conduction times. Structural remodeling refers to microscopic structural changes such as myocardial hypertrophy, fibrosis, and muscle fiber arrangement disorder, leading to decreased atrial compliance and contractility. An electrophysiological study with detailed biatrial electroanatomic mapping has demonstrated that right atrial remodeling could accurately correlate with LA remodeling[44]. The imbalance between collagen synthesis and degradation and a fibrotic atrial substrate has consequences for LA electromechanical function, eventually leading to the occurrence of AF[45,46]. In contrast, atrial electrophysiological remodeling, cellular structure remodeling, myocardial lysis, interstitial fiber deposition, and extra-atrial matrix changes occur shortly after the onset of AF[47,48]. These changes cause a slow and gradual remodeling of the atrium and promote the recurrence and continuous occurrence of arrhythmia.

Extensive fibrosis is the cause of atrial arrhythmia, atrial stiffness increases, and atrial activities decrease, which is only seen in a small percentage of PeAF patients[49]. Atrial fibrosis occurs before changes in the macroscopic structure of the atrium[50,51], which is used to predict the outcome of CA. It takes a long time for the atrium to regain normal contractile function after cardioversion[52].

LA presents reservoir, conduit and contractile functions in sequence during the cardiac cycle. The compliance or stiffness of the atrial wall determines the deformability of the atrial muscle[53]. Kuppahally et al[5] proposed that LASr is a surrogate for fibrosis in patients with PeAF. In AF, increased atrial stiffness, weakened elasticity, decreased atrial compliance, and contractility result in lower strain and dysfunction of atrial reservoir function when compared to sinus rhythm[54]. Patients with AF have less strain, which reduces their atrial myocardial deformability. It is assumed that the deformability of the myocardium is further compromised during the development of AF.

Due to changes in atrial structure, the contractile function is almost lost in AF, and reservoir and conduit functions are both reduced. As a result, the strain curves in AF differ from those in sinus rhythm (Figure 3).

Reverse remodeling improves the response to medical or nonmedical intervention, whereas LA remodeling represents a state of maladaptive deterioration[55]. The reverse modeling increase, or anti-remodeling, is confirmed after restoring sinus rhythm through medical treatment and cardioversion[56]. The reduced baseline LA deformability assessed by STE has been shown to help identify patients at high risk of AF recurrence after CA in both PAF and PeAF[57]. While the CA is successful, the left atrium may undergo reverse remodeling, improving its function. Although the exact pathophysiology of LA reverse remodeling is unknown, it is critical in determining the prognosis of the left atrium, which may help to reduce the risk of AF recurrence. LV function can be improved by sinus rhythm recovery after CA, and LA strain and strain rate improve as LV systolic pressure strain improves. The LA strain
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Figure 3 Atrial strain image by 2D-speckle tracking echocardiography in a patient with atrial fibrillation. Similarly, as shown on the left, left atrium is divided into six segments distinguished by color and corresponds to the strain curve in the same color from the apical four-chamber view. Each segment of left atrial diastole and contraction successively over time is shown on the right. The strain curves in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) are more disorganized than those in healthy volunteers (Figure 1). The different stages of atrial function cannot be clearly distinguished over the cardiac cycle in AF patients.

LA strain in AF recurrence after CA

The clinical use of the LA strain has grown rapidly in recent years. The application of LA strain in AF patients not only includes assessing LA fibrosis and dysfunction, calculating thrombosis risk, etc., but also in predicting the presence/recurrence of AF. Table 2 shows the specifics of clinical application in atrial strain after CA.

Decreased LA strain is generally accepted to be related to AF recurrence after cardioversion or CA. LA strain is superior in early detection of LA functional impairment than other structural change parameters by TTE. Patients with AF recurrence had significantly lower LA strain when compared to those who maintained sinus rhythm after CA[61-63]. Moreover, in patients with AF and atrial flutter, atrial function impairment, reduction in LA strain, and atrial compliance impairment all come before structural reconstruction and LA size changes[23].

PALS and GALS are two indexes used to represent LA strain changes over the cardiac cycle. Several studies have shown that GALS in the basal, midseptal, or midlateral walls of patients with AF recurrence after CA decreased significantly[39,57,64]. The baseline GALS is related to the rhythm maintenance after CA, and GALS < 23.2% shows a higher rate of AF recurrence[39]. The global and regional LA strains were both reduced in patients with AF recurrence[24].

PALS is frequently an independent predictor of LA fibrosis[6] and arrhythmia recurrence[63,65,66]. PALS is significantly reduced in patients with recurrence of AF[63]. Nielsen et al[67] conducted research on a meta-analysis of 12 studies involving 1025 subjects, revealing that lower PALS is associated with an increased risk of AF recurrence following CA. They determined that 12.8% was the cut-off value for PALS to predict AF recurrence, with a weighted mean sensitivity of 80% (range 74%-86%) and specificity of 87% (range 71%-98%). Also, in another recent study, it has been confirmed that LA strain and strain rate are more independent than other parameters in predicting the possibility of AF recurrence after CA[68,69]. Similarly, Bajraktari et al[70] did the meta-analysis of 85 studies including 16126 patients and verified that LA diameter > 50 mm, volume > 150 mL, and strain < 19%, have a negative effect on maintaining sinus rhythm after CA.

Consistent with GALS and PALS changes, LA strain in the different phases, LASr, LAScd and LASct are all significantly reduced in PAF patients after CA[6].

When it comes to a specific phase, LASr is decreased in patients with AF recurrence after CA. Physiologically, LASr reduction is related to the increase in LA pressure, compromised LA compliance.
Patients with recurrence presented with significantly impaired GALS compared with patients without recurrence. In both PAF and PeAF, decreased baseline LA deformation capabilities assessed by 2D-STE can help to identify patients at high risk of AF recurrence after catheter ablation.

Patients with LA total strain < 23.2% showed a higher incidence of AF recurrence. Baseline LA total strain was associated with rhythm outcome after catheter ablation.

Patients with recurrence had significantly a lower LA global strain than those without recurrence. LA strain determined by 3D-STE is a novel and better predictor of AF recurrence after CA than that determined by 2D-STE or other known predictors.

Patients with PeAF, parameters reflecting LA compliance LASr and LASct are independent and strong predictors of CA outcome.

In patients with PAF, parameters reflecting LA compliance LASr and LASct are independent and strong predictors of CA outcome.

LA strain in both 2 chambers and 4 chambers, and GALS were significantly lower in patients with AF recurrence. GALS should be included in routine evaluations to determine long-term AF recurrence preoperatively.

In patients with long-standing PeAF, the inability to restore SR and lower LASr after AAD/ECV treatment independently and incrementally predicts the recurrence after CA.

The strain rates in the lateral wall base segment, interval middle segment, and middle segment of the lateral wall and GALS were significantly decreased in the patients with AF recurrence.

The peak systolic strain and the peak strain rate were lower in patients with atrial fibrillation than in the controls. It had increased significantly at 3 mo and 12 mo after surgery.

Patients with recurrence had higher LASct 1-d than than that in non-recurrence subjects LASct 1-d post-procedure predicts arrhythmia recurrence at long-term follow-up.

CMR and LASct were all associated with LA increased pressure in AF patients after CA.

Table 2 Left atrial strain in the prediction of atrial fibrillation recurrence after catheter ablation

| Ref.          | Year (of data acquisition) | Population | Sample size (n) | Technology | Conclusion |
|---------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| Wen et al[77] (2021) | 2009-2011 | America     | 144            | 2D-STE     | Patients with recurrence had higher LASct 1-d than that in non-recurrence subjects LASct 1-d post-procedure predicts arrhythmia recurrence at long-term follow-up. |
| Uziębło-Zyczkowska et al [71] (2021) | 2019-2020 | Poland      | 172            | 2D-STE     | LA Sr and LASct were all associated with LA increased pressure in AF patients after CA. |
| Pilchewska-Paszkiet et al[71] (2021) | July 2011 to January 2014 | Poland     | 208            | 2D-STE     | In patients with PAF, parameters reflecting LA compliance LASr and LASct are independent and strong predictors of CA outcome. |
| Koca et al[76] (2020) | follow-up 1 yr | Turkey     | 190            | 2D-STE     | LA strain in both 2 chambers and 4 chambers, and GALS were significantly lower in patients with AF recurrence. GALS should be included in routine evaluations to determine long-term AF recurrence preoperatively. |
| Hanaki et al[74] (2020) | January 2013 to December 2016 | Japan      | 100            | 2D-STE     | In patients with long-standing PeAF, the inability to restore SR and lower LASr after AAD/ECV treatment independently and incrementally predicts the recurrence after CA. |
| Csécs et al[65] (2020) | Follow-up 3 mo | America    | 55             | CMR        | Peak longitudinal atrial strain was significant predictor of arrhythmia recurrence and arrhythmia recurrence. |
| Yan et al[64] (2019) | October 2016 to December 2017 | China      | 32             | 2D-STE     | The strain rates in the lateral wall base segment, interval middle segment, and middle segment of the lateral wall and GALS were significantly decreased in the patients with AF recurrence. |
| Chen et al[6] (2019) | May 2015 to June 2016 | China      | 40             | 2D-STE     | The strain rates in the lateral wall base segment, interval middle segment, and middle segment of the lateral wall and GALS were significantly decreased in the patients with AF recurrence. |
| Bai et al[78] (2018) | 2013-2014 | China      | 87             | 2D-STE     | Peak right atrial longitudinal strain, peak LA longitudinal strain, and combined both are important factors associated with AF recurrence following CA in patients with chronic lung diseases. |
| Parwani et al[62] (2017) | January 2010 to January 2013 | Germany    | 102            | 2D-STE     | Patients with recurrence of atrial arrhythmias after both the first and the second CA procedure had significantly lowered LA strain than those without recurrence. |
| Mochizuki et al[39] (2017) | February 2013 to December 2014 | Japan      | 42             | 3D-STE     | In both the PAF and PeAF populations, patients with recurrence presented with significantly impaired GALS compared with patients without recurrence. LA strain determined by 3D-STE is a novel and better predictor of AF recurrence after CA than that determined by 2D-STE or other known predictors. |
| Ma et al[57] (2017) | March 2013 to March 2015 | China      | 115            | 2D-STE     | Patients with recurrence presented with significantly impaired GALS compared with patients without recurrence. In both PAF and PeAF, decreased baseline LA deformation capabilities assessed by 2D-STE can help to identify patients at high risk of AF recurrence after catheter ablation. |
| Habibi et al[66] (2016) | January 2011 to September 2013 | America    | 121            | CMR        | LA reservoir function was independently associated with recurrent AF/AT after PVI. Peak LA strain improved prediction of recurrent AT/AF compared to the baseline clinical model. |
| Gucuk Ipek et al [69] (2016) | 2010-2013 | America     | 119            | CMR        | Baseline reservoir, conduit, and contractile function of the LA were significantly impaired in patients with incident LA flutter. |
| Yasuda et al[63] (2015) | July 2010 to March 2012 | Japan      | 100            | 2D-STE     | Patients with AF recurrence had significantly a lower LA global strain and lower LA lateral total strain than those who maintained sinus rhythm. LA global strain could predict AF recurrence after CA. |
| Montserrat et al[24] (2015) | Follow-up 6 mo | Spain    | 83             | 2D-STE     | LA Str and LASct were significantly lower in the second RFCA patients. LASr independent predictor of arrhythmia suppression after first RFCA and after a second RFCA. |
| Motoki et al[75] (2014) | June 2008 to May 2010 | Australia | 319            | 2D-STE     | Patients with LA total strain < 23.2% showed a higher incidence of AF recurrence. Baseline LA total strain was associated with rhythm outcome after catheter ablation. |
| La Meir et al[59] (2013) | 2007-2011 | Netherlands | 33             | 2D-STE     | The peak systolic strain and the peak strain rate were lower in patients with atrial fibrillation than in the controls. It had increased significantly at 3 mo and 12 mo after surgery. |
The assessment of global LA strain with 2D-STE identifies patients with a high risk for AF recurrence after ablation procedures. 63% of the patients exhibited LA reverse remodeling after CA for AF, with a concomitant improvement in LA strain. LA strain at baseline was an independent predictor of LA reverse remodeling. Global and regional systolic and diastolic strains and SR were reduced in patients with recurrent AF. Regional LA lateral wall LS is a preprocedural determinant of AFR in patients undergoing CA, independent of LA enlargement.

Patients with higher atrial strain and SR after catheter ablation appear to have a greater likelihood of maintenance of sinus rhythm.

CONCLUSION

Rhythm control is the core part of the integrated management of AF. Despite protocol and devices advances in CA, the recurrence rate of AF after CA is still high. Atrial strain, the parameter of atrial deformation during the cardiac cycle, is closely related to atrial remodeling and atrial function. Furthermore, accumulating evidence shows the role of decreased atrial strain in the early prediction of AF recurrence. Further studies are needed to add strength to the early prediction value of atrial strain in AF recurrences.
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