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Detection of Integrase Gene in E. coli Isolated from Pigs at Different Stages of Production System
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Integrons are one of the genetic elements involved in the acquisition of antibiotic resistance. The aim of the present research is to investigate the presence of integrons in commensal Escherichia coli (E. coli) strains, isolated from pigs at different stages of production system and from the environment in an Argentinian farm. Five sows postpartum and five randomly chosen piglets from each litter were sampled by rectal swabs. They were sampled again at day 21 and at day 70. Environmental samples from the farm were also obtained. E. coli containing any integron class or combination of both integrons was detected by polymerase chain reaction in 100% of sows and in piglets at different stages of production: farrowing pen stage 68.1%; weaning 60%, and growing/finishing 85.8%, showing an increase along the production system. From environmental samples 78.4% of E. coli containing any integron class was detected. We conclude that animals and farm environment can act as reservoirs for potential spread of resistant bacteria by means of mobile genetic elements as integrons, which has a major impact on production of food animals and that can reach man through the food chain, constituting a problem for public health.

1. Introduction

During the last years, the use of antimicrobial agents has markedly increased, both in human and veterinary medicine [1]. The main problem with the indiscriminately used antimicrobial agents to treat infections is the development of resistant strains of pathogenic bacteria. This issue is of major concern to human and animal health, mainly because it leads to a greater risk of therapeutic failure of standard infection management [2–4]. Several antimicrobials are used worldwide as growth promoters, and as metaphylactic, prophylactic, and therapeutic agents. There is growing evidence that antimicrobials used in animal feed exert a strong selective pressure that stimulates the development of antibiotic resistance in enteric bacteria [1, 5, 6]. The presence of antimicrobial agents allows the selection of resistance genes in nonpathogenic bacteria, which, in time, can be horizontally transmitted to different species of pathogenic or zoonotic microorganisms [7–9]. Horizontal gene transfer seems to be the main cause of the rapid proliferation of
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Management of Farm and Animals. Samples were taken from a commercial farm in Buenos Aires province, Argentina, between March and June 2012. The farm is intensively organized in total confinement, with 400 females in production. The main facilities are gestation, farrowing, weaning, and growing/finishing (fattening), which are geographically separated from each other within the same farm. Gestation facilities consist of rectangular sheds with two rows of cages to house each sow individually, in order to have a strict control of the sow from the time of insemination to its transfer to the farrowing area (approximately 110 days). Farrowing installation comprises a set of rooms with pit. Each room consists of a set of iron farrowing crates where sows are housed four days before birth and remains with the litter until weaning. When the litter reaches 70 days and a weight of 35 kg is transferred to fattening or termination area, this facility consists on a large rectangular enclosure capable of holding 0.7 m²/animal. Each enclosure is divided into rooms and each room consists in a variable number of pens depending on the size of the group. The partitions between pens are made of concrete. The usual group size varies between 10 and 30 pigs. Pigs and employees move from one building to another by means of corridors that are isolated from external traffic.

For the present study five sows of the same genetic line were used. Selection was based on the following productive criteria: 2.4 births per sow per year, >22 pigs weaned per sow per year, and >27 pigs born alive per sow per year.

Antibiotics use is restricted as claimed by producer at detailed interviews. Subtherapeutic feed-based antibiotics were not used, or only subtherapeutic concentrations of tetracyclines were used for short period during disease outbreaks. On the other hand, injectable antibiotics (fosfomycin, tiamulin, and amoxicillin) were not used except for brief periods to control atypical disease outbreaks (less than 15 days per year).

2.2. Sample Collection. Five sows were randomly selected and fecal material was collected via rectal swab at 3 h postpartum. Swabs were also obtained from five randomly chosen piglets from each test sow on the same day. The piglets selected from each litter were sampled again at day 21 and day 70. These ages corresponded to phases in which the pigs were housed in the farrowing crate (F), weaning (G), and growing/finishing units (G/F), respectively. The swabs were transported into Stuart medium. Water samples (30 mL) were collected from the farrowing pen, weaning and growing/finishing pit, treatment chamber, and waste treatment lagoon. The water sampling was performed in parallel with the samples of pigs, attending to routine cleaning and emptying of the pit of the farm, and according to the guidelines established in the Manual for Basic Analysis of the Drinking Water Quality of the World Health Organization (2004). All samples were transported refrigerated and processed immediately at laboratory. In Table 1, the total number of animals tested and samples obtained are detailed.

2.3. Isolation of E. coli Strains. Faecal samples and 10 mL of water were grown in 20 and 100 mL of LB broth, respectively, for 24 h at 37°C, with shaking. Then 10 μL was plated on MacConkey agar and incubated for 18 h at 37°C. From each plate, suspected E. coli isolates (5–7 per plate) were randomly selected and were cultured in 0.8 mL of LB for 24 h at 37°C, with shaking for DNA extraction. In Table 2, the number of isolates obtained from the animals (a) and the environment (b) are shown.

The DNA template was isolated by incubating 10 μL of bacterial culture in 500 μL of sterile bidistilled H₂O at 100°C for 10 min.

2.4. Identification of E. coli Strains by PCR. E. coli gene universal stress protein (uspA) was used to confirm E. coli [22]. Virulence genes stxl, stx2, stx2e, eae, Ilt, sta, and sib characteristic of verotoxigenic E. coli (VT), enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC), and enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), respectively,
Table 1: Details of the total number of animals tested and samples obtained.

|                  | Number of animals | Number of animals containing E. coli integronegative | Number of animals containing E. coli integronegative class 1 positive | Number of animals containing E. coli integronegative class 2 positive | Number of animals containing E. coli integronegative classes 1 and 2 positive |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sows             | 5 (15*)           | 5                                                   | 5                                                                   | 5                                                                   | 5                                                                           |
| Piglets F        | 25                | 18                                                  | 8                                                                   | 15                                                                  | 7                                                                           |
| Piglets W        | 25                | 15                                                  | 13                                                                  | 3                                                                   | 0                                                                           |
| Piglets G/F      | 25                | 24                                                  | 22                                                                  | 18                                                                  | 16                                                                          |

*Each sow was sampled 3 times in each sampling occasion of different stage of pig production. Piglets F (Farrowing), W (Weaning) y G/F (Growing/Finishing).

Table 2: Details of the number of isolates obtained from the animals and the environment.

(a) Commmecal E. coli isolates

|                  | E. coli isolates | E. coli isolates integronegative | E. coli isolates integronegative class 1 positive | E. coli isolates integronegative class 2 positive | E. coli isolates integronegative classes 1 and 2 positive |
|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Sows             | 80               | 27                               | 38                                               | 15                                               |                                                           |
| Piglets F        | 116              | 20                               | 52                                               | 7                                                |                                                           |
| Piglets W        | 85               | 44                               | 7                                                | 0                                                |                                                           |
| Piglets G/F      | 113              | 57                               | 34                                               | 6                                                |                                                           |

(b) Number of samples

|      | Commemecal E. coli isolates | E. coli isolates integronegative | E. coli isolates integronegative class 1 positive | E. coli isolates integronegative class 2 positive | E. coli isolates integronegative classes 1 and 2 positive |
|------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Pits | 3                           | 18                               | 11                                               | 3                                                | 1                                                         |
| Chamber | 3                        | 16                               | 3                                                | 6                                                | 2                                                         |
| Lagoon| 3                           | 17                               | 6                                                | 6                                                | 2                                                         |

Piglets F (Farrowing), W (Weaning) y G/F (Growing/Finishing).

were detected by PCR. Only negative strains for these genes have been considered as commensal and have been included in this study [23].

2.5. Integrons Detection by PCR. Genes encoding integrase 1 (Int1) and integrase 2 (Int2) were detected to identify the presence of integrons class 1 or class 2, respectively, according to Orman et al. [24] with modifications. Briefly the thermal cycling conditions modified were preincubation (95°C for 10 min), followed by 30 cycles of denaturation (94°C for 1 min), annealing temperature 57°C and 55°C for 1 min (Int1 and Int 2, resp.), and extension (72°C for 10 min).

2.6. Statistical Analysis. The variable presence or absence of integrons was analyzed using the PROC GENMOD procedure of SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The odds ratio (OR) was estimated by contrasts (CLI: confidence limits interval).

3. Results

3.1. Presence of Integrons in E. coli Recovered from Sows. E. coli containing any integrone class or combination of both integrons was detected by PCR in 5 sows tested (100%, Table 1). From 80 E. coli isolates, 33.8% were integrons class 1 positive (ECi1+), 47.5% were integrons class 2 positive (ECi2+), while 18.8% were positive for both integrons (ECi1+2+) (Figure 1).

3.2. Presence of Integrons in E. coli Recovered from Piglets at Different Stages of Production. During the growing/finishing stage, the highest percentage of piglets containing ECI+ and ECI2+ (91.7% and 75%, resp.) was found (Table 2). We observed a significant increase in the amount of piglets harboring ECI+ as they moved to different stages of production (P < 0.05), while there was a significant decrease of piglets harboring ECI2+ during weaning stage (P < 0.004) (Figure 2).

In piglets, 314 E. coli isolates were obtained. E. coli isolated from piglets showed the following percentages of any
integron class during the different stages of production: farrowing pen stage 68.1%, weaning 60%, and growing/finishing 85.8% showing an increase along the production system (Table 2). Furthermore, *E. coli* ECi1+ significantly increased from the first stages of production, being predominant at growing/finishing (*P* < 0.0001). On the contrary, ECi2+ was more frequent during the farrowing pen (44.8%) decreasing significantly during weaning (8.2%, *P* < 0.0001). Therefore, we observed that during weaning or growing/finishing there are about 5 times more chances of finding strains of ECi1+ (ORW = 5.03 CI95% = 2.65–9.55 and ORG/F = 4.89 CI95% = 2.66–8.96) and less chance of finding Eci2+, than at farrowing pen stage (ORW = 0.10 CI95%: 0.05–0.26 and ORG/F = 0.53 CI95%: 0.31–0.91). Strains harboring ECi1+2+ were detected at farrowing pen (6%) and at growing/finishing (5.3%) (Figure 3). Notably, all sows had at least one piglet in which ECi+ was isolated.

### 3.3. Detection of Integrons in the Environment of the Farm

Since commensals *E. coli* isolates obtained from sows and piglets contained integrons, the presence of this genetic element in water samples from different places of the pig farm was investigated. Fifty-one isolates were obtained from different environmental samples. Seventy-eight percent of *E. coli* containing any integron class was detected. We observed that in environmental samples there was a higher percentage of ECi1+ compared to ECi2+ (39.2% and 29.4%, resp.), while a low percentage was positive for both integrons (9.8%). In the production pits, the presence of ECi1+ (61.1%) was more frequent compared to the ECi2+ (16.7%) or the combination of both integrons (5.6%). The highest percentage of ECi2+ and ECi1+2+ was recorded in water samples from treatment chamber (37.5% and 12.5%, resp.) and lagoon (35.3% and 11.8%, resp.) (Figure 4).

### 4. Discussion

Antibiotics are commonly used to control livestock diseases, for prophylaxis and for growth promotion. These practices can select antibiotic-resistant organisms in the gastrointestinal tract of animals, especially when used in subtherapeutic levels [25]. The antibiotic resistance among bacteria can be transmitted by a variety of mobile genetic elements such as plasmids, transposons, and integrons [8, 9]. We have demonstrated that commensal *E. coli* isolated from sows from a farm in Argentina harbored the genes for integrases 1 and/or 2 suggesting that there are strains capable of recruiting antibiotic resistance genes [16]. The emergence of antibiotic-resistant commensal bacteria has been studied extensively in food animals worldwide. The high prevalence of commensal *E. coli* antibiotic-resistant strains in pigs is alarming [26–28], due to the potential to transfer resistance determinants to other bacteria, including human pathogens. Mathew et al. [29] demonstrated that identical integrons were found.
in two different species of bacteria, *E. coli* and *Salmonella*, from one pig farm, suggesting that horizontal transfer has occurred between these two organisms. Additionally, several authors have shown that a high percentage of *E. coli* strains from food animals such as cattle, pigs, and poultry contain integrons [19, 30–32]. The fact that integrons are present in conjugative plasmids and transposons, which facilitates conjugation, explained its wide distribution [33].

We also demonstrated the presence of integrons in commercial *E. coli* strains in piglets younger than 12 h of age suggesting the importance of the transmission of resistant strains in the litter at birth. Moreover, we noted that the percentage of strains of *E. coli* positive for some type of integrons increased during the different stages of production system. Marchant and Moreno [34] observed a high percentage of *E. coli* isolates containing integron positive within the first 8 weeks of life, which decreases to 21 weeks. Andraud et al. [35] observed that the transmission of resistant bacterial strains between individuals is a key enabling persistence in pig farms. Furthermore, most of studies do not consider the age of the animals. Our data indicate that the age is an important factor influencing the presence of some class of integron in pigs. It must be kept in mind that at weaning, the liquid or/and semiliquid diet becomes dry food. So, the piglets are exposed to antibiotics used in the food, in some countries, as growth promotion [36–38]. Among the different classes of integrons, the most frequently described and related to antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria is integron class 1, which to date has over 100 different cassette resistance arrangements informed [16, 39–41]. We observed that the integron class 1 predominated in the later stages of pig production, while class 2 was more frequent in sows and farrowing crate stage, possibly reflecting the mother's bacterial load which changes over the weeks. Literak et al. [42] observed that sows contained higher percentage of *E. coli* integron class 2 positive compared to class 1 in farm where antibiotics are commonly used. Marchant and Moreno [34] demonstrated that unweaned piglets had a higher percentage of *E. coli* integron class 2 versus class 1. This is reversed in growers piglets (about 56 days), consistent with our results, piglets of 70 days. Machado et al. [43] found a higher percentage of integron class 1 compared with class 2 in *E. coli* isolates from healthy adult pigs. Contrary to our results, Campbell et al. [44] found significant differences between groups of swine production. The group of older animals had a lower percentage of bacteria positive for integron class 1 compared to the group of younger animals. Other authors have also shown a widespread of integron class 1 in Enterobacteriaceae family members from livestock, pets, and exotic animals [45]. Therefore, the development, persistence, and spread of resistant bacteria are of increasing concern, because they could compromise infection control by reducing treatment options for infected animals. This may lead to an overall increase in disease transmission, morbidity, mortality, and sometimes economic losses to the animal production industry [46, 47]. As we mentioned, integrons are among genetic elements that have contributed to the development of multidrug resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. We have observed a high percentage of multidrug antibiotic resistance strains from pigs. In antibiotic susceptibility tests, the highest percentage of resistance was observed for tetracycline, tiamulin and enrofloxacin. Resistance to more than two antibiotics increases during the latter stages of production (data not shown).

The persistence of resistant strains among individuals in a farm may involve dissemination within the environment. Well and pond systems are typically used to manage the manure produced on a farm. This causes great concern that antibiotic-resistant bacteria could easily migrate into soil, groundwater, and surface water through seepage from manure lagoons and from the application of manure [48, 49]. We have shown that, in the farrowing pen, weaning and growing/finishing piglets pits, treatment chamber, and waste treatment lagoon, there is a high percentage of EC1+, being the percentage of Ec1+ more than ECI2+ and ECI1+2+. Byrne-Bailey et al. [50] demonstrated a higher prevalence of integron class 1 positive bacteria in soils treated with pig slurry versus integron class 2, which remains high after 10 months. However, we observed differences in the integron class depending on the place where the sample was taken. In pits production rooms for pigs, integron class 1 predominates, while integron class 2 predominates in treatment chamber. In water samples from the treatment lagoon, where the effluent water and all residual contaminants are disposed, the percentage of integron class 1 and class 2 positive strains were the same. Other authors have found a prevalence of integrons class 1 and 2 in slaughterhouse wastewater treatment plants of 30.7% and 4.5%, respectively [51]. These variations in the presence or frequency of integrons class, both in the environment and in animals, may be due to the different policies of use and/or abuse of antibiotics used at different production farms. Literak et al. [42] investigated two swine production farms with different policies on the use of antibiotics. They found a higher prevalence of integrons in isolates of *E. coli* from pigs from a farm where the use of several antibiotics was common, compared to a farm that manages antibiotics only exceptionally. This suggests the important effect of selection pressure exerted by antibiotics. We must clarify that the diversity of isolates has not been investigated, so it is possible that some studied isolates belong to the same clone.

Therefore, we conclude that animals and farm environment can act as reservoirs for potential spread of resistant bacteria by means of mobile genetic elements as integrons, which are involved in the antibiotic resistance and which has a major impact on production of food animals and that can reach man through the food chain, constituting a problem for public health.
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