Bilateral skull fracture with massive epidural hematoma secondary to pin-type head fixation in a pediatric patient: Case report and review of the literature
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Abstract
INTRODUCTION: A head fixation device containing pins is common equipment used in neurosurgical procedures. Previous reports analysed some of the complications associated with the application of this device for adults and children, even the indications for the use in pediatric are not well defined.
CASE PRESENTATION: An 11-year-old girl diagnosed with non-communicating hydrocephalus, caused by posterior fossa tumor. During the surgery, complications were found in the form of acute epidural hematoma due to head fixation pins. So, the operation was stopped. Emergent CT scan was carried out and showed a bilateral skull fracture and a massive right-sided epidural hematoma. An emergency craniotomy for clot removal was performed and five days later, a second surgery was carried out uneventfully for the residual tumor. The patient fully recovered after the second surgery.
DISCUSSION: Complications due to the use of a pin head fixation are easier to occur in pediatric patients, because the bones are thinner and need more careful strategy when pinning. With prompt identification of any complications and earlier treatment, the good outcome will be achieved. We compared our case report with published literature in order to suggest the way to prevent this complication.
CONCLUSION: Skull fractures and associated epidural hematomas in pediatric patients need to be considered as possible complications of the pin-type head fixation application. The head fixation devices in pediatric need to be used with great caution and knowing the risk factors, safe technique for application and management of complications will prevent worse outcome.
© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

For an ideal and safe positioning of the patient during surgery, the pin-type fixation is widely used to keep the patient's head and neck in a stable position and it is also mandatory for optimal accuracy [1–5]. Complications directly related to the application are rare but potentially life-threatening. There are some reported cases of complication in both adult and children. The safe use in children has not been clearly defined and the device is therefore generally not recommended under the age of 5 years. However, the safety and guidelines for application in older children also are not entirely known [1,4]. The case of an unusual complication in an 11 years old girl who developed a bilateral depressed skull fracture and an epidural hematoma due to the usage of the Mayfield fixation device is reported. Additionally, we review the published risk factors, management, outcome and propose recommendations for the application in pediatric patient to avoid unnecessary complications. The work has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [6]. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient’s family for publication of this case report and accompanying images.

2. Presentation of case

2.1. History and initial examination

An 11 years old girl, with a gradual decrease of consciousness for three days before admission presented to our emergency
department. A year before because of visual impairment she was diagnosed with non-communicating hydrocephalus due to the cerebellar tumor in another remote hospital. At that time, the patient’s family refused to undergo surgery and never took a further follow up. On the physical examination, GCS was 14 out of 15 with total blindness on both eyes, no cerebellar signs, no motor, and sensory deficit. The CT scan revealed a posterior fossa lesion which caused non-communicating hydrocephalus (Fig. 1).

2.2. Operative technique

The girl underwent an emergency craniotomy for tumor removal. She was placed in 3-point pin fixation using the Mayfield device in a prone position. After stabilizing the head and neck, the chief resident performed the procedure to penetrate the skull using pins no more than 40 pounds. The operation began with the insertion of extra-ventricular drainage (EVD) on the right occipital and followed by a suboccipital craniotomy. Prior to the opening of the dura, the ventricular tapping was opened with approximately 10cc of the CSF was drained and this maneuver was controlled, drained out again when needed. Using the midline telovelar approach, the tumor was reached and a piecemeal resection was started, after a partial removal of the tumor, the exposed cerebellum suddenly began to swell usually through the bone flap despite intermittent CSF drainage (Fig. 2), we realized that there was some complication and the operation was eventually discontinued after hemostasis of the tumoral area. A right side anisocoria was noted and an emergency CT scan was performed while the patient was still under anesthesia, The head CT scan showed a massive subgaleal hematoma and a bilateral depressed fracture at the pin-site with a large right-sided epidural hematoma of over 40 mm thickness causing a 10 mm midline shift to the left (Fig. 3). An emergency craniotomy for the evacuation of the hematoma was conducted. During the surgery, it was noted that the bone was abnormally thin for the patient’s age. A laceration of the dura under the depressed fracture was also demonstrated.

2.3. Post-operative course

Post-operatively, the patient gained full consciousness without neurological deficit. Five days later a second surgery for the remaining tumor was done uneventfully. A subsequent pathology report confirmed the diagnosis of medulloblastoma (Table 1).

3. Discussion

The pin-type is a widely used standard head fixation device and various modifications have been developed as it is designed to anchor the outer table of the cranial vault [12,13,18–20]. The commonly used types of pin in our area are the Mayfield and the Sugita devices. The Mayfield system provides a 3-pin head fixation and permits the adjustment of the force with a torque screw up to about 80 pounds [2]. The Sugita system is a semi-circular fixation type with a 4-pin skull fixation and each screw must be screwed separately [2]. Previous reports show that indications for the safe use of the pins in children are not well defined [8,21]. From the literature review, the age in which the pin fixations for cranial surgery can be considered completely safe is not yet made clear [12]. Berry et al. in their study, surveying practices among pediatric neurosurgeons notes that there are no clear-cut guidelines for the use of head fixation in children despite the rare but significant complications that may be involved [1].

Complications related to the use of pin head fixation are quite rare ranging from 0.65% to 1.1% [1,2,12]. PubMed, Google Scholar, and Science Direct searches were implemented using some keyword which is: “Mayfield device”, “head fixation”, “skull fracture”, and “epidural hematoma” to find related journals and articles. Adult data were separated from the pediatric data and used for general analysis but not considered in our particular analysis. The most frequent complications in children are skull fracture and epidural hematoma [2]. The variability in the thickness of the developing cranium is likely the most important factor causing a higher number of complications in children. In a survey among 605 pediatric neurosurgeon about pin complications, 164 respondents have reportedly experienced some complications and the two most common complications are depressed skull fracture (59 of 89) (66%) and epidural hematoma (43 of 89) (49%). In our literature review, only
Table 1
Summary of the literature review of skull fracture with or without epidural hematoma cases secondary to pin-type head fixation in children.

| Author (year) | Age, years, Sex | Diagnosis | HC | Surgical procedure | Release CSF | Position | Type of Device | Complications | On set of complication | Treatment of complication | Outcome | Risk Factors |
|---------------|----------------|-----------|----|-------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Pang et al. [7] | 7 F | Juvenile Cerebellar Astrocytoma | + | SCo - TR | – | Sitting Position | Three pin head fixation | Depressed skull fracture with scalp laceration and CSF leak | During surgery | Air suction via intracardiac catheter and closing of the scalp and dural laceration | Favorable | Chronic hidrocepalus Thin calvarial bone |
| Baerts et al. [8] | 10 F | Frontal Lobe Glioma | – | RFIC - TR | – | Supine | Mayfield | Impressed skull fracture + Supratentorial epidural hematoma | Delayed, ten days after surgery | Small craniotomy and clot evacuation | Favorable | Chronic high intracranial pressure |
| Lee et al. [4] | 8 F | Medulloblastoma | + | SCo | VP shunt | Prone | Mayfield | Depressed skull fracture + Dural laceration with small cerebral contusion | Immediate prior to surgical procedure | Elevation of the bone fragment & exploration of duramater | Favorable | Hidrocepalus Thin calvarial bone |
| – | 4 M | Hypothalamic glioma | | FC - TR | – | Supine | Sugita | Depressed skull fracture + Dural laceration | Immediate prior to surgical procedure | Elevation of the bone fragment & exploration of duramater | Favorable | no data |
| – | 3 F | Brainstem glioma | | SCo - TR | – | Prone | Mayfield | Depressed skull fracture + Dural laceration | Immediate prior to surgical procedure | Elevation of the bone fragment & exploration of duramater | Favorable | no data |
| – | 8 M | Brainstem glioma | + | SCo - TR | VP shunt | Prone | Sugita | Depressed skull fracture + Dural laceration with cortical laceration | Delayed postoperative | No surgical treatment | Favorable | no data |
| – | 5 M | Hypothalamic glioma | | FC – TR | – | Supine | Sugita | Depressed skull fracture + Dural laceration with cortical laceration | Immediate prior to surgical procedure | Elevation of the bone fragment & exploration of duramater | Favorable | no data |
| – | 13 M | Supratentorial cystic lesion | – | RPC, TP and removed the lesion | – | Supine | Mayfield | Supratentorial epidural hematoma | During the surgery | EC and CR | Severe right hemiparesis and aphasia | Abnormal thinness of the skull due to chronic high intracranial pressure |
| – | 15 M | Medulloblastoma | + | SCo + TR | – | Prone | Mayfield | Depressed skull fracture + Supratentorial epidural hematoma | Delayed, seven hours after surgery | EC and CR | Favorable | Chronic hidrocepalus |
| – | 15 M | Posterior fossa tumor (choroid plexus papilloma) | + | SCo + TR | EVD | Prone | Mayfield | Impressed skull fracture + Supratentorial epidural hematoma | Delayed, six hours after surgery | EC and CR | Favorable | Chronic hidrocepalus Thin calvarial bone |
Table 1 (Continued)

| Author (year) | Age, years, Sex | Diagnosis | HC | Surgical procedure | Release CSF | Position | Type of Device | Complications | On set of complication | Treatment of complication | Outcome | Risk Factors |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------|----|-------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Vitali et al. [12] | 2,7 M | Ependymoma | + | SCo TR | Prone | Mayfield | Temporal skull fracture + Supratentorial epidural hematoma | Immediately after surgery | Emergency exploratory then craniotomy and CR | Favorable | no data |
| | 2,10 F | Ependymoma | + | SCo TR | Prone | Mayfield | Temporal skull fracture + Small epidural hematoma | During the registration for frameless stereotaxy, prior to surgery | CT | Favorable | no data |
| | 4,3 | Medulloblastoma | + | SCo TR | Prone | Mayfield | Temporal skull fracture + Small epidural hematoma | Immediately after surgery | CT | Favorable | no data |
| | 4,9 | Pineoblastoma | + | SCo TR | Prone | Mayfield | Temporal skull fracture + Massive epidural hematoma | During surgery | EC and CR | Favorable | no data |
| | 6,6 | Medulloblastoma | + | SCo TR | EVD | Prone | Temporal skull fracture + Large epidural hematoma | | EC and CR | Favorable | no data |
| Martínez-Lage et al. [13] | 4 F | Pilocytic cerebellar astrocytoma | + | SCo TR | EVD | Prone | Mayfield | Depressed skull fracture + Pneumocephalus | Immediately after surgery | CT | Favorable | Chronic hydrocephalus |
| | 7 M | Pilocytic cerebellar astrocytoma | SCo TR | – | Prone | Mayfield | Depressed skull fracture + Supratentorial epidural hematoma | Immediately after surgery | EC and CR | Favorable | no data |
| Chen et al. [15] | 6 F | Posterior fossa tumor | + | SCo TR | EVD | Prone | Mayfield | Temporal depressed skull fracture + Epidural hematoma | Immediately after surgery | EC and CR | Favorable | no data |
| Khrisnan et al. [16] | 12 F | Posterior fossa tumor | + | SCo TR | EVD | Prone | Three pin head fixation | | | | Chronic hydrocephalus |
| Moutaokil et al. [17] | 17 M | Medulloblastoma | + | SCo TR | VP shunt | Prone | Mayfield | Parietal depressed skull fracture + Epidural hematoma | After surgery | CT | Favorable | Chronic hydrocephalus |
| Present case | 11 F | Medulloblastoma | + | SCo TR | EVD | Prone | Mayfield | Temporal depressed skull fracture + Epidural hematoma | During surgery | EC and CR | Favorable | Chronic hydrocephalus Thin calvarial bone |

SCo = Suboccipital Craniotomy; TR = Tumor Removal; EVD = External Ventricular Drain; VP = Ventriculoperitoneal; RPC = Right Parietal Craniotomy; TP = Transcortical Puncture; FC = Frontal Craniotomy; RFtC = Right Fronto-temporal Craniotomy; EC = Emergency Craniotomy; CR = Clot Removal; CT = Conservative Treatment.
21 cases including the present case were published in the English literature from 1982 until 2018. There were 8 cases of skull fractures only and 13 cases of skull fractures with epidural hematomas. Seventeenth of 21 patients required a separate surgical procedure, with 5 cases (29%) needing exploration and elevation of depressed fracture: Eleven cases (65%) needed a craniotomy and clot removal. In our case, the epidural hematoma was detected intra-operatively whereas some other reports discovered the complication prior to the surgical procedure or even after surgery.

Some authors suggest that the use of the pin-type head fixation should be avoided in children especially in unnecessary cases [12,14,19]. The review showed that most complications were related to the squamous temporal bone which is the thinnest [15]. The other areas to avoid is the frontal sinus and coronal suture [10]. Some authors recommend the avoidance in children under 5 years [12,14,16,20], and another author reports a firm contraindication for children under 2 years of age [1,4]. It is, however, important to know that 2 or 5-year-old cut-offs is not based on scientific evidence and this age recommendation may not be a failsafe strategy [12]. Patients with an intracranial pathology which results in a long-standing increased intracranial pressure and chronic hydrocephalus may contribute to thinning of the skull, making it a greater risk of skull fracture [5,16]. Long-term usage and high doses of anti-epilepsy drugs (AED) can cause brittle bones [18]. Most of the pediatric patients with intracranial pathology usually take AED to prevent seizures, but this is not clearly described in the report articles. More than 75% of the cases happened in a prone position with a posterior fossa tumor and hydrocephalus. Some physiological studies may explain this massive bleeding in the prone position as an increase in cerebral venous pressure [22,23].

In the prevention of skull fracture, most authors recommend using a pediatric-sized pin and applying the appropriate pressure in accordance with the age and skull thickness [1,4,12,13]. For a thinner skull, a lesser force is generally recommended [5,24]. Five pounds are used in children between 6 and 12 months, 10 pounds for those between 12 months to 2 years, 20 pounds for 2 to 5 years, and 30 pounds of force for those between 5 to 12 years [1,24]. In our case, we already used an appropriate force no more than 40 pounds but did not predict the risk factor of the high chronic intracranial pressure which can cause thinning of the cranium and an increased volume of the diple vein. In our case, the only occasion where a bilateral depressed skull fracture occurred, showed the possibility of an error when applying the pins on both sides. In our teaching hospital, it has indeed become a commonplace that those responsible for carrying out this pre-operative procedure are chief residents. In pediatric cases, we recommend that in future pin application should be carried out by experienced pediatric neurosurgeon whenever possible. Naik et al stated that drainage of CSF from the cistern led to the loss of the tamponed effect which aggravates bleeding from the puncture site [25].

Di Rocco has commented on the need to design a safer device that would comply with requirements of the delicate head of the pediatric population [26]. Many modifications of head fixation have been reported. Aoki and Sakai describe using of medical bottle rubber cup over the skull pins as a barrier to the pins penetrating too deeply [1,13,20,27]. Others recommend a simple technique using an adhesive drape, such as U-drape over a horseshoe headrest so as to stabilize the children’s head and provide a rigid immobilization [12,15,28]. Muzumdar et al describe a method of using plaster of Paris as an interface between the frame and the patient’s head in a pediatric stereotactic procedure [3]. Though considered as satisfactory, these modification systems do not eliminate slippage as the clamp is not directly attached to the cranial. Gupta utilizes the modification of the Mayfield system combined with a padded horseshoe headrest because the system enables the pins to be tightened as much as the force is reduced and it would still maintain a rigid position [3,13]. Lee et al suggest using all six pin sites on the Sugita head fixation system (rather than only four) to decrease the individual pin pressure, immobilize the head, and thus lessen the risk of a depressed fracture [1,4].

The emergency craniotomy and hematoma evacuation were mandatory for life-saving management in cases of the head fixation related complications. In our literature review, there were 13 of 21 cases which reportedly had epidural hematoma complications. There were 4 cases including our cases detected during surgery, 4 cases immediate after surgery and 3 cases detected delayed after surgery. When there is a significant epidural hematoma, an emergency craniotomy should be done prior to proceeding to the initially planned procedure [12]. In present cases, the surgery was abandoned midway in order to get the imaging done and then we proceed to an emergency craniotomy for clot removal.

Considering the outcome in such complications, there was no mortality in all reported cases. In our literature review of 21 cases, there were sequelae in only 3 patients while other 18 cases showed a favorable outcome. Although our patient sustained a massive epidural hematoma with anisocoria which resulted to suspension of the operation, the eventual result after the hematoma evacuation was gratifying.
3.1. Proposed suggestions to avoid complications

3.1.1. Preoperative
1 Avoiding the usage of pin-type head fixations if not really necessary
2 Examine carefully the pre-operative CT scan to determine the cranial suture and the thickness of the bone.
3 An alternative rigid fixation using the combination of a pin headrest and a padded head holder especially for infants and young children

3.1.2. Technique of applications
1 Using child dedicated pins
2 Avoiding the weak zones of the skull as much as possible
3 Installation of pins must be done by a senior or pediatric neurosurgeon
4 A slow and less CSF drainage to prevent the loss of brain tamponade effect
5 Disengaging the pins when patients are in a horizontal position to avoid the risk of air embolism

3.1.3. Management of complications
1 Always be aware of possible complications: when inserting the pins, during operation, immediately after surgery and during post-operative in the ward
2 In a case of suspected complications, the procedure should be stopped immediately and a CT scan diagnostic performed.
3 Emergency craniotomy and clot removal must be done for life-saving.

4. Conclusion
Skull fractures and associated epidural hematomas in children need to be considered as possible complications of the pin-type head fixation application with some of them severe and life-threatening. The head fixation devices in the pediatric population need to be used with great caution and the only way to avoid complications is to avoiding using pins on these patients. In cases of necessary use of the pins for rigid immobilization, every neurosurgeon should be aware of the risk factors, type of device, safe technique for application and management of complications when it occurs. Anyways, prompt identification and proper management of this rare complication usually result in good outcome as in our case.

Sources of funding
This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Ethical approval
This is a case report; therefore it did not require ethical approval from ethics committee. However, we have got permission from her parents to publish her data.

Consent
The study was conducted with the human subject’s understanding and consent.

Author contribution
Muhammad Arifin Parenrengi: Writing the paper, design and data collection, data analysis and interpretation.

Fakhkul Adhiatmadja: Design and data collection, data analysis and interpretation.
Muhammad Reza Arifianto: Design and data collection, data analysis and interpretation.
Teddy Apiawan: Data analysis and interpretation.
Asra Alauzi: Data analysis and interpretation.
Franco Servadei: Data analysis and interpretation.

Registration of research studies
Not available.

Guarantor
Asra Alauzi.

Declaration of Competing Interest
The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgement
The Authors would like to acknowledge Dr. Soetomo Academic Medical Center Hospital and Surabaya Neuroscience Institute for its support in this study.

References
[1] C. Berry, D.L. Sandberg, D.J. Hoh, M.D. Krieger, J.C. McComb, Use of cranial fixation pins in pediatric neurosurgery, Neurosurgery 62 (2008) 913–919, http://dx.doi.org/10.1227/01.NEU.0000318177.95288.Gb.
[2] P.-A. Beuriat, T. Jacquessons, E. Jouanneau, M. Berhouma, Headholders’— complications in neurosurgery: a review of the literature and recommendations for its use, Neurochirurgie 62 (2016) 288–294, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neucir.2016.09.005.
[3] N. Gupta, A modification of the Mayfield horseshoe headrest allowing pin fixation and cranial immobilization in infants and young children, Neurosurgery 58 (2006), http://dx.doi.org/10.1227/01.NEU.0000193929.11266.87, discussion E181.
[4] M. Lee, A.R. Rezai, J. Chou, Depressed skull fractures in children secondary to skull clamp fixation devices, Pediatr. Neurosurg. 21 (1994) 174–177, http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000120830, discussion 178.
[5] M.J. Lee, E.L. Lin, The use of the three-pronged Mayfield head clamp resulting in an intracranial epidural hematoma in an adult patient, Eur. Spine J. 19 (Suppl. 2) (2010) S187–S189, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00586-010-1325-y.
[6] R.A. Agha, M.R. Borrelli, R. Farwana, K. Koshy, A.J. Fowler, D.P. Orgill, H. Zhu, A. Ailsawadi, A. Noureldin, A. Rao, A. Enam, A. Thoma, M. Bashashati, B. Vasudevan, A. Beamish, B. Challacombe, R.L. De Wilde, D. Machado-Aranda, D. Laskin, D. Muzumdar, A. D’cruz, T. Manning, D. Healy, D. Pagano, P. Goel, P. Ranganathan, P.S. Pai, S. Raja, M.H. Ather, H. Kadiojilu, I. Nixon, M. Mukherjee, J. Gómez Rivar, K. Raveendran, L. Derbysheir, M. Valmasoni, M. Chalko, N. Raison, O. Muensterer, P. Bradley, C. Roberto, R. Affif, D. Rosin, R. Klappenbach, R. Wynn, S. Giordano, S. Basu, S. Surani, P. Suman, M. Thorat, V. Kasi, The SCARE 2018 statement: Updating consensus Surgical Case Report (SCARE) guidelines, Int. J. Surg. 60 (2018) 132–136, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2018.10.028.
[7] D. Pang, Air embolism associated with wounds from a pin-type head-holder, Case report, J. Neurosurg. 57 (1982) 710–713, http://dx.doi.org/10.3171/jns.1982.57.7.0710.
[8] W.D.M. Baerts, J.J. De Lange, L.H.D.J. Booij, G. Broere, Complications of the Mayfield skull clamp, Anesthesiology 61 (1984) 460–461, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000542-198410000-00017.
[9] M. Medina, A. Melcarne, C. Musso, F. Ettorre, Acute brain swelling during removal of supratentorial cystic lesion caused by contralateral extradural hematoma: case report, Surg. Neurol. 47 (1997) 428–431 (accessed January 8, 2019) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9131023.
[10] C.T. Tang, C.T. Hsieh, Y.H. Chiang, Y.H. Su, Epidural hematoma and depressed skull fracture resulted from pin headrest—a rare complication: case report, Ces. a Slov. Neurol. a Neurochir. 70 (2007) 584–586.
[11] H.J. Yan, Epidural hematoma following use of a three-point skull clamp, J. Clin. Neurosci. 14 (2007) 691–693, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2006.02.012.
[12] A.M. VITALI, P. STEINBOK, Depressed skull fracture and epidural hematoma from head fixation with pins for craniotomy in children, Childs Nerv. Syst. 24 (2008) 917–923, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00381-008-0621-6, discussion 525.
[13] J.F. Martinez-Lage, M.J. Almagro, C. Serrano, L. Mena, Depressed skull fracture by a three-pin head holder: a case illustration, Childs Nerv. Syst. 27 (2011) 163–165, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00381-010-1213-z.
[14] J. Poli, C. Zoia, D. Lattanzi, S. Balbi, Epidural haematoma by Mayfield head-holder®. Case report and review of literature. J. Pediatr. Sci. 5 (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.17334/JPS.53670.

[15] T.F. Chen, S.H. Yang, J.C. Tsai, Depressed skull fracture and epidural hematoma resulted from pin-type head holder for craniotomy in children, J. Med. Sci. 34 (2014) 238–240, http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/1011–4564.143654.

[16] P. Krishnan, S.K. Kumar, R. Kartikueyan, S.M. Patel, Pin-site epidural hematoma: a catastrophic complication of cranial fixation in a child, J. Neurosci. Rural Pract. 7 (2016) 286–289, http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0976-3147.178661.

[17] M. Moutaoukil, M. Bensghir, S. Eddik, A. Jaaferi, R. Ahtili, M. Meziane, C. Haimeur, Embarrure suite à l'utilisation de la tête de Mayfield chez l'adulte: à propos d’un cas et revue de la littérature, Pan Afr. Med. J. 24 (2016) 1–6, http://dx.doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2016.24.129.8367.

[18] C.C. Matouk, M.J. Ellis, S.K. Kalia, H.J. Ginsberg, Skull fracture secondary to application of a Mayfield skull clamp in an adult patient: case report and review of the literature, Clin. Neurol. Neurosurg. 114 (2012) 776–778, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2011.12.036.

[19] Y.D. Won, C.H. Kim, J.H. Cheong, J.M. Kim, Skull perforation and depressed fracture following skull fixation for stereotactic surgery, Korean J. Neurotrauma 8 (2012) 48–50 www.neurotrauma.or.kr/49.

[20] H.-J. Yan, Epidural hematoma following use of a three-point skull clamp, J. Clin. Neurosci. 14 (2007) 691–693, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2006.02.012.

[21] N. Aoki, T. Sakai, Modified application of three-point skull clamp for infants, Neurosurgery 25 (1989) 660–662, http://dx.doi.org/10.1227/00006123-198910000-00028.

[22] E.-A. Jang, S. Lee, J.-I. Choi, S.Y. Cho, Changes in the hemodynamic parameters between the prone and supine positions measured by an arterial pulse contour cardiac output monitoring system, Anesth. Pain Med. 10 (2015) 291–294, http://dx.doi.org/10.17085/apm.2015.10.4.291.

[23] L. Rozet, M.S. Vavilala, Risks and benefits of patient positioning during neurosurgical care, Anesthesiol. Clin. 25 (2007) 631–653, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anclin.2007.05.005.

[24] C.T. Tang, C.T. Hsieh, Y.H. Chiang, Y.H. Su, Epidural hematoma and depressed skull fracture resulted from pin headrest—a rare complication: case report, Ces. a Slov. Neurol. a Neurochir. 70 (2007) 584–586.

[25] V. Naïk, N. Goyal, D. Agrawal, Pin site bilateral epidural hematoma—a rare complication of using Mayfield clamp in neurosurgery, Neurology (India) 59 (2011) 649–651, http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0002-3866.84368.

[26] C. Di Rocco, Use of cranial fixation pins in pediatric neurosurgery (Comments to ref. [1]), Neurosurgery 62 (2008) 919, http://dx.doi.org/10.1227/01.neu.0000318177.95288.ch.

[27] D. Kundziołka, P.D. Adelson, Technique of stereotactic biopsy in a 5-month-old child, Childs Nerv. Syst. 12 (1996) 615–618 (Accessed 8 January 2019) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8934022.

[28] D. Agrawal, P. Steinbok, Simple technique of head fixation for image-guided neurosurgery in infants, Childs Nerv. Syst. 22 (2006) 1473–1474, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00381-006-0244-y.

Open Access
This article is published Open Access at sciencedirect.com. It is distributed under the IJSCR Supplemental terms and conditions, which permits unrestricted non commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited.