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Abstract

Background: The combination of imaging technologies and luciferase-based bioluminescent bacterial reporter strains provide a sensitive and simple non-invasive detection method (photonic bioimaging) for the study of diverse biological processes, as well as efficacy of therapeutic interventions, in live animal models of disease. The engineering of bioluminescent bacteria required for photonic bioimaging is frequently hampered by lack of promoters suitable for strong, yet stable luciferase gene expression.

Results: We devised a novel method for identification of constitutive native promoters in Gram-negative bacteria. The method is based on a Tn5/7 transposon that exploits the unique features of Tn5 (random transposition) and Tn7 (site-specific transposition). The transposons are designed such that Tn5 transposition will allow insertion of a promoter-less bacterial luxCDABE operon downstream of a bacterial gene promoter. Cloning of DNA fragments from luminescent isolates results in a plasmid that replicates in pir+ hosts. Sequencing of the lux-chromosomal DNA junctions on the plasmid reveals transposon insertion sites within genes or operons. The plasmid is also a mini-Tn7-lux delivery vector that can be used to introduce the promoter-lux operon fusion into other derivatives of the bacterium of interest in an isogenic fashion. Alternatively, promoter-containing sequences can be PCR-amplified from plasmid or chromosomal DNA and cloned into a series of accompanying mini-Tn7-lux vectors. The mini-Tn5/7-lux and mini-Tn7-lux vectors are equipped with diverse selection markers and thus applicable in numerous Gram-negative bacteria. Various mini-Tn5/7-lux vectors were successfully tested for transposition and promoter identification by imaging in Acinetobacter baumannii, Escherichia coli, and Burkholderia pseudomallei. Strong promoters were captured for lux expression in E. coli and A. baumannii. Some mini-Tn7-lux vectors are also equipped with attB sites for swapping of the lux operon with other reporter genes using Gateway technology.

Conclusions: Although mini-Tn5-lux and mini-Tn7-lux elements have previously been developed and used for bacterial promoter identification and chromosomal insertion of promoter-lux gene fusions, respectively, the newly developed mini-Tn5/7-lux and accompanying accessory plasmids streamline and accelerate the promoter discovery and bioluminescent strain engineering processes. Availability of vectors with diverse selection markers greatly extend the host-range of promoter probe and lux gene fusion vectors.
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Background

The combination of recent advances in imaging technologies and development of luciferase-based bioluminescent reporter strains provide a sensitive and simple non-invasive detection method (biophotonic imaging) for the study of diverse biological processes, as well as efficacy of therapeutic interventions, in live animal models of human and animal disease [1-6]. *In vivo* bioluminescence can be employed to determine initial locations of infections and spatial migration of bioluminescently labeled pathogens over a period of several days to weeks. This technology has been applied to study chronic soft-tissue *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm infections [7-10], *P. aeruginosa* and *Proteus mirabilis* urinary tract infections [11], as well as catheter-associated endovascular infections [12], and others [13-16]. Biophotonic imaging also allows assessments of the *in vivo* efficacy of antibiotic therapy in real time in living animals [9,11,13,17-20].

Some caveats of biophotonic imaging are: 1) luciferase-catalyzed reactions require energy (in the form of ATP and FMNH₂), oxygen and a specific fatty acid substrate [21] and therefore allow the detection of only live, metabolically active cells. Because of the oxygen requirement of luciferases, bacterial cells expressing luciferase in strictly anaerobic environments such as the gut were in some instances found to be non-luminescent [1,2,16]. However, such instances are rare and bioluminescence can be detected in harvested organs exposed to oxygen [16]. Furthermore, other authors reported luciferase expression in anaerobic bacteria, e.g. *Bifidobacterium breve* grown *in vitro* and *in vivo* [22], and luciferase-tagged bacteria in anaerobic environments such as tumors [23]; 2) to ensure stable maintenance during the course of infections in animals, the bioluminescent reporter must be integrated into the chromosome of the respective bacteria. Replicating plasmids carrying the *lux* operon have been evaluated for bioimaging studies, but their use is limited because they only allow short-term (<48 h) infections to be accurately monitored *in vivo* in animals due to plasmid loss or dilution in the absence of antibiotic selection [24]. Chromosomal integration of plasmids via homologous recombination has been employed for construction of bioluminescent strains but the resulting strains are potentially unstable in the absence of antibiotic selection [25]. Initially, stable chromosomal integration was achieved by random transposition of a mini-*Tn5*-luxCDABE element [7,11] or another suitable transposon carrying the *lux* operon [13], followed by antibiotic resistance selection and screening for cells exhibiting strong expression of luciferase activity from a chromosomal promoter. Consequences of employing random transposition are: 1) need for investment of considerable efforts to determine transposon insertion sites and fitness of the mutant bacteria; 2) integrated transposons cannot easily be recovered or transferred between different mutant backgrounds for meaningful comparative analyses because most bacteria lack efficient chromosomal gene transfer procedures, except for those for which transducing phages are available or that are naturally transformable [13]; and 3) lack of a universal promoter for *lux* gene expression across either Gram-negative or Gram-positive bacteria necessitates development of new bioluminescent strains for each bacterial species to be studied with this technology.

In some bacteria the first two issues have been largely addressed and can be circumvented by use of site-specific insertion elements [25-30]. However, construction of bioluminescent reporter strains is still one of the limiting factors of biophotonic imaging. The major unmet need is lack of suitable promoters for luciferase expression in different bacteria. In Gram-positive bacteria development of synthetic promoters for luciferase gene expression have been successful in some cases [22,27]. However, previous attempts by our laboratory to engineer synthetic promoters based on, for example, the *Escherichia coli lac* operon-*trp* operon hybrid promoter *Ptac* [31] for use in non-enteric bacteria were largely unsuccessful mostly because of the instability of many of the synthetic promoters. We have successfully used the P1 integron promoter [32,33] for driving luciferase gene expression in *Burkholderia* species [29] indicating that this promoter may be useful for high-level constitutive gene expression in other non-enteric bacteria.

The purpose of this study was to create a simple to use, yet highly versatile series of plasmids for use in Gram-negative bacteria that facilitate promoter discovery and capture, as well as the creation of stable, bioluminescent strains of bacteria. To do this, we combined several features of transposons *Tn5* [34] and *Tn7* [35].

*Tn5* transposes randomly in bacteria. Minimal requirements for transposition are a transposable that can be provided *in trans*, mosaic ends (MEs) and an antibiotic resistance selection marker [36]. A mini-*Tn5* transposon contains the 19 bp MEs flanking the selection marker and is located on a delivery plasmid that contains the transposase gene *tnpA* outside of the mini-*Tn5* element [36]. Cargo cloned on the mini-*Tn5* can be randomly transposed into bacterial chromosomes. In contrast to *Tn5*, *Tn7* transposes site-specifically in Gram-negative bacteria, notably to chromosomal *attTn7* sites in the presence of the site-specific transposition pathway composed of TnsABCD [35]. Most Gram-negative bacteria contain only a single *att*Tn7 site associated with the essential *glmS* gene (encoding glucosamine-6-phosphate synthase) [37-41]. However, some contain multiple *glmS* genes and thus multiple *att*Tn7 sites [37,42,43]. In one instance, *Proteus mirabilis*, one *glmS* and one
non-\textit{glmS}-associated \textit{att}Tn7 site was documented [44]. Minimal requirements for Tn7 transposition are a transposase that can be provided \textit{in trans}, Tn7 left and right ends (Tn7L and Tn7R) and an antibiotic resistance selection marker [35,37,45]. Cargo cloned on the mini-Tn7 element can be site- and orientation-specifically transposed into bacterial chromosomes in the presence of a plasmid that transiently expresses the Tn7 transposase subunits TnsABCD [37].

In this study, we constructed and tested mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} elements with diverse selection markers that allow promoter identification by random Tn5-mediated transposition into the chromosomes of diverse target bacteria and screening for cells exhibiting strong expression of luciferase activity from a chromosomal promoter. The promoters can then be captured by self-ligation of chromosomal DNA fragments which creates a plasmid carrying a mini-Tn7 element that serves as a template for promoter identification by DNA sequencing, or by PCR amplification of promoter-containing fragments. In some instances, e.g. in the presence of short chromosomal DNA inserts or when recombination-deficient recipient strains are available, the mini-Tn7-\textit{lux} elements can be transposed into other bacteria without further modification. Alternatively, promoter-containing DNA fragments can be subcloned into a series of accompanying mini-Tn7-\textit{lux} delivery vectors with diverse selection markers.

**Results**

**Overview of the mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} promoter identification, capture, and mini-Tn7-\textit{lux} tagging procedures**

The overall procedure involves promoter identification and capture (steps 1–3) (Figure 1) and, a unique aspect of the new procedure, methods for bacterial \textit{lux} tagging by site-specific chromosomal insertion of promoter-\textit{lux} fusions using mini-Tn7-\textit{lux} elements (Figure 2). Promoter identification and capture comprises three steps. Step 1 involves Tn5 transposition into the chromosome of the bacterial host of interest. This is achieved by conjugal transfer of the mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} delivery plasmid, followed by selection of Km\textsuperscript{r} or other antibiotic resistance markers and screening for light-emitting exconjugants. Because the mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} delivery plasmid is non-replicative in the host and Tn5 transposase is only transiently transcribed from the plasmid backbone, the resulting bioluminescent bacteria have the mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} element stably integrated such that the \textit{lux} operon is transcribed from the promoter(s) of the target gene containing the mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} insertion. This gene can either be a single transcriptional unit or part of an operon. To identify the mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} insertion site, genomic DNA is digested with an enzyme that does not cleave within the transposed element (step 2). Since mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} contains an \textit{ori}\textsubscript{R6K} and an antibiotic resistance selection marker, religation of the DNA fragment containing the transposon results in a plasmid which can be recovered by transformation of a \textit{pir}\textsuperscript{+} \textit{E. coli} host and selecting antibiotic resistant transformants (step 3). Sequencing of plasmid DNA with a \textit{luxC}-specific primer (P2385) will reveal the transposon insertion site. Tn5 transposition is not affected by the target bacterium’s recombination status because the mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} plasmid does not carry any chromosomal DNA.

The captured promoter transcribing the \textit{lux} gene operon can be used to derive bioluminescent bacteria by tagging with mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} elements in two ways. The choice of method is in part affected by the target bacterium’s recombination status and the size of promoter-containing DNA fragment. First, the mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} delivery plasmid used for promoter identification and capture is designed such that the plasmid recovered in step 3 of the promoter identification and capture procedure illustrated in Figure 1 is a functional mini-Tn7 delivery plasmid which in some instances (e.g. when the plasmid contains short regions of promoter-containing chromosomal DNA or when RecA-deficient target strains are available) may be used to directly transpose site-specifically into the \textit{glmS} gene-associated Tn7 attachment site (\textit{att}Tn7) in the chromosome of the bacterium under study to obtain luminescent derivatives. For site-specific transposition, mini-Tn7 elements require the Tn7 transposase complex, which is encoded by a helper plasmid containing the \textit{tnsABCD} genes specifying the site-specific Tn7 transposition pathway. Second, the plasmid recovered in step 3 of the promoter identification and capture procedure illustrated in Figure 1 may be used as a source for promoter-containing DNA fragments that can be PCR amplified, cloned into other mini-Tn7-\textit{lux} elements, and be employed for obtaining bioluminescent bacteria after site-specific mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} transposition as described above. This procedure is advised when the plasmid obtained in the promoter recovery step contains larger (several kb) regions of promoter-containing chromosomal DNA or when RecA-deficient target strains are not available. In these instances, chromosomal integration via homologous recombination is favored over site-specific mini-Tn7 integration. Examples for both mini-Tn7-\textit{lux} tagging scenarios are presented below. Direct tagging with a mini-Tn7-\textit{lux} element containing the captured promoter transcribing the \textit{lux} operon is illustrated in a recA \textit{E. coli} strain. A wild-type \textit{Acinetobacter baumannii} strain is presented as an example for a bacterium tagged with a mini-Tn7-\textit{lux} element where \textit{lux} operon transcription is driven by a promoter which was identified during using mini-Tn5/7-\textit{lux} mediated identification and capture, and then subcloned in a mini-Tn7 element harboring a promoter-less \textit{lux} operon.
Figure 1 Promoter identification and capture using mini-Tn5/7-lux elements. Step 1 involves random Tn5 transposition into the chromosome of the bacterial host of interest (target bacterium) after conjugal transfer of the mini-Tn5/7-lux delivery plasmid. This plasmid also contains the Tn5 transposase encoding tnpA gene. TnpA acts on the Tn5 mosaic ends (ME), which results in random mini-Tn5/7-lux transposition into the bacterial chromosome, including insertion in a gene where the *Photorhabdus luminescens* luxCDABE operon is transcribed from the gene’s promoter (P). The mini-Tn7 element, i.e. sequences flanked by the Tn7 left (Tn7L) and right (Tn7R) ends, located on the mini-Tn5/7-lux delivery plasmid does not transpose in this step because the delivery plasmid does not encode Tn7 transposase. Mini-Tn5/7-lux chromosomal insertion is stable because the chromosomally-integrated elements do neither encode Tn5 nor Tn7 transposase. In step 2, chromosomal DNA of in this example kanamycin resistance (Km\textsuperscript{r}) and light-emitting transformants is isolated and digested with a restriction enzyme (R) that does not cleave within the transposed element. In step 3, chromosomal DNA fragments are religated and a plasmid containing the R6K origin of replication (ori\textsubscript{R6K}) and origin of conjugal transfer (oriT) is recovered by transformation of a pir\textsuperscript{+} *E. coli* host and selecting Km\textsuperscript{r} transformants. Sequencing of plasmid DNA with a luxC-specific primer (P2385) will reveal the transposon insertion site and putative promoter sequences. The Km\textsuperscript{r} selection marker contained on the chromosomally integrated mini-Tn7-lux elements is flanked by Flp recombinase target (FRT) sites for optional marker excision with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Flp recombinase.
It should be reiterated at this point that, as noted above, most Gram-negative bacteria contain only one chromosomal glmS-associated attTn7 site [37-41] with the exception of Proteus mirabilis [44]. In contrast, the majority of Burkholderia species examined to date contain multiple glmS genes and thus multiple attTn7 sites, ranging from two sites in B. thailandensis [37] and B. mallei [42] to three sites in B. pseudomallei [43]. Although insertions in these bacteria can occur at all sites, most insertions are usually at one, preferred attTn7 site. In B. mallei, analysis of 24 randomly selected insertions showed that 96% of the insertions were at the glmS1-associated attTn7 site. By contrast, only 8% of the insertions were at the glmS2-associated attTn7 site. Only 4% of the transformants had insertions at both glmS1 and glmS2 [42]. In B. pseudomallei, >65% of observed insertions occur at the glmS2-associated attTn7 site, but there is no obvious preference for either the glmS1- or glmS3-associated attTn7 sites. While double insertions in two separate attTn7 sites are fairly common (10 to 20% with some strains), triple insertions are rarely observed [43]. Presence of multiple attTn7 sites is not an impediment because sites of insertions can be readily differentiated by multiplex PCR. An example for insertion site analysis in B. pseudomallei is illustrated in Additional file 1: Figure S3.

**Tn5/7-lux-based promoter capture in E. coli DH5α**

To assess the feasibility of the mini-Tn5/7-lux procedure, we constructed the first member of the mini-Tn5/7-lux family, pTn5/7-LuxK3 (Figure 3). Transposition of pTn5/7-LuxK3 into DH5α after conjugal transfer and selection of Km' exconjugants produced approximately 1% brightly luminescent clones amongst the colonies that were examined for luminescence. A bright isolate (KVT9) was chosen for further study. Genomic DNA was isolated, digested with EcoRI and self-ligated fragments were used to transform E. coli pir' strain CC118(ppir') [46]. Km' transformants were screened for light emission and a plasmid containing the captured promoter region, pTn7DH5LUXK3, was isolated. The mini-Tn5/7-lux insertion site was determined to be located in the rbsC gene, the third gene of the rbsDACBK operon required for the transport and initial steps of ribose metabolism [47] (Figure 4A). The mini-Tn7-P_{rbs-lux} element contained on pTn7DH5LUXK3 was transposed into the DH5α chromosome and mini-Tn7 transposition was confirmed by PCR. Since DH5α is a recA mutant strain all exconjugants examined contained the mini-Tn7-P_{rbs-lux} element inserted at the attTn7 site instead of recombination-mediated insertion at the rbsDACBK locus. Imaging showed that the resulting strain (KVT11)
emitted similar levels of light when compared to the original mini-Tn5/7-lux transposition clone KVT9 (Figure 4B). To ascertain that the rbsDACBK operon promoter (P_{rbs}) located in the 167 bp kup-rbsD intergenic region was responsible for lux operon transcription in strain KVT9 and KVT11, the predicted rbs promoter region was PCR-amplified on a 182-bp fragment and directionally cloned upstream of the lux operon contained on pTn5/7LuxK4 resulting in pTn7P_{rbs}LuxK4. Transposition of the resulting mini-Tn7-POCH-lux element into DH5α resulted in strain KVT12 which was more bioluminescent than KVT9 and KVT11 presumably because the rbs promoter was placed closer to the lux operon on the mini-Tn7-POCH-lux element inserted in KVT12 (Figure 4B).

**Construction of next generation lux vectors**

After successful testing in *E. coli*, we sought to expand the versatility of the mini-Tn5/7-lux system for use in a broad-range of bacteria and by inclusion of other desirable properties such as incorporation of attB1 and attB2 sites flanking the lux operon to facilitate its exchange for other reporter genes such as *gfp* via Gateway technology [48]. This resulted in a family of versatile plasmids with diverse selection markers (gentamicin, kanamycin, tetracycline and trimethoprim) most of which can be excised in vivo using Flp recombinase, a lux operon with or without exchangeable promoters and the Tn5 transposase *tnpA* gene with flanking MEs either transcribed from its own promoter or the constitutive S12 gene promoter from *B. thailandensis* (Table 1). A graphical representation of the genealogy of the various plasmids is presented in Additional file 1: Figure S1 and detailed maps of two representative plasmids, pTn7oLuxK4 and pTn5/7LuxK6, are shown in Figure 5.

**Tn5/7-lux based promoter capture in A. baumannii**

To demonstrate promoter identification and recovery in a bacterium other than *E. coli*, pTn5/7LuxK5 was conjugated into *A. baumannii* strain ATCC19606. From the pool of recovered Km^r^ exconjugants, about 1% exhibited strong light emission. Four luminescent strains (IFD1-4) were retained for further studies. To identify mini-Tn5/7-lux insertion sites in these strains, chromosomal DNA was isolated and digested with Acc65I, EcoRI and NotI. These enzymes were empirically chosen because they cleave chromosomal DNA with various frequencies in different bacteria based on G + C content and their capacity for heat inactivation. For instance, in *A. baumannii* the chromosomal DNA cleavage frequency decreased from Acc65I to EcoRI to NotI. Plasmids harboring the mini-Tn5/7-lux elements were obtained after self-ligation and transformation of *E. coli* pir-116^r^ strain MaH1 (Table 2). The transposon-chromosomal junction sequences were determined by sequencing and aligning the sequences thus obtained to the chromosomal sequence (EMBL accession no. CP000521) of *A. baumannii* strain ATCC17978. These analyses revealed single mini-Tn5/7-lux insertions in isolate IFD1 (gene A1S_2680), IFD2 (gene A1S_2773) and IFD4 (gene A1S_2621) and two insertions in strain IFD3 (genes A1S_0947 and A1S_2736). In an attempt to insert the respective mini-Tn7-lux elements located on the respective delivery plasmids into the *A. baumannii* chromosomal Tn7 attachment site they were conjugally transferred from *E. coli* RH03 into strain ATCC19606 together with the helper plasmid pTNS3 [43]. Although luminescent exconjugants were observed, PCR screening of 80 colonies revealed no mini-Tn7-lux insertion at the attTn7 site but rather only strains in which the mini-Tn7-lux elements had integrated at the respective chromosomal loci via RecA-mediated homologous recombination. To minimize homologous recombination events, we identified potential promoter regions upstream of the previously identified mini-Tn5/7-lux insertion sites. These analyses revealed the presence of multiple potential promoters in the A1S_0944–A1S_0945 intergenic region that is located upstream of the mini-Tn5/7-lux insertion site in A1S_047 encoding a putative vanillate O-demethylase oxygenase subunit. The region containing the putative gene 0945 promoter region (P_{H1A})

![Figure 3 Map of mini-Tn5/7-lux delivery plasmid pTn5/7LuxK3.](image-url)
was PCR-amplified and directionally cloned into pTn5/7-luxK5 to create pTn7_P_{H1A}-luxK5. Km$^r$ E. coli MaH1 transformants containing the desired promoter insertion were identified by screening for increased luminescence as the pTn5/7-luxK5 vector with a promoter-less lux operon confers negligible luminescence. The mini-Tn5/7-lux element was then transposed into the ATCC19606 chromosome. The resulting strain IFD5 containing the mini-Tn7-P_{H1A}-lux transposon integrated at the chromosomal attTn7 site emitted slightly less light than the original strain IFD3, which contained two separate mini-Tn5/7-lux insertions (Figure 6).

Luminescence from mini-Tn7-lux elements in bacteria with multiple attTn7 sites is insertion site dependent

Noting that bacteria which contain multiple mini-Tn7-lux insertions due to the presence of multiple Tn7 insertion (attTn7) sites exhibit differential luminescence we decided to examine light emission from bacteria which naturally contain more than one mini-Tn7 insertion site.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 4** Mini-Tn5/7-lux aided promoter identification and capture in E. coli. A) The promoter-less mini-Tn5/7-lux element (indicated by the red arrowhead and expanded inset above it) from pTn5/7-LuxK3 was transposed into the DH5α chromosome. Chromosomal DNA from a Km$^r$ and luminescent exconjugant (KVT9; panel B) was isolated, digested with EcoRI, religated and transformed into CC118(λpir$^+$). The lux operon-chromosomal DNA junction on the plasmid was sequenced with the luxC-specific primer P2385. The mini-Tn5/7-lux transposon was inserted in rbsC, the third gene of the rbsDACBK operon required for the transport and initial metabolic steps of ribose. The kup gene located upstream of the rbsDACBK operon encodes a potassium transporter. B) The recovered plasmid was used to transpose the mini-Tn7-P_{rbs}-lux element residing on it to the attTn7 site on the DH5α chromosome resulting in strain KVT11. In a parallel effort, The rbs operon promoter (P_{rbs}) located in the 167 bp kup-rbsD intergenic region was PCR-amplified and cloned on a 173 bp StuI-DraIII fragment into pTn5/7/LuxK4 where it replaced the tnpA gene and flanking MEs to drive transcription of the lux operon. The resulting mini-Tn7-P_{rbs}-lux element was transposed into the attTn7 site on the DH5α chromosome resulting in strain KVT12. Ten μl samples of an overnight culture of the indicated strains were spotted on an LB plate, grown overnight at 37°C and light emission was measured using a Xenogen IVIS imager.
and in which luminescence is thus either insertion site-dependent or due to multiple insertions.

During isolation of *B. pseudomallei* strains containing mini-Tn7-*lux* elements we noticed that the resulting strains emitted various amount of light and this seemed to be promoter and insertion site dependent. In this study we therefore compared various promoters, including *P. aeruginosa P_44974* [7], *B. pseudomallei P_ompA* [28] and *P_ompC* [25] cloned upstream of the *lux* operon residing on pTn7LuxK4 and inserted at diverse attTn7 sites in the genome of *B. pseudomallei* strain Bp82.27, an aminoglycoside susceptible Δ(*amrAB-oprA*) derivative of the select agent excluded strain Bp82 [52]. Kanamycin resistant transformants were patched onto Km-containing LB plates and light production was compared. In this system, light production from a *lux* operon transcribed by *P_ompA* was consistently strongest followed by *P_44974* and *P_ompC* (Figure 7A and B). Mini-Tn7-*lux* insertion into the *glmS1*-associated *attTn7* site consistently conferred the greatest luminescence while strains carrying single mini-Tn7-*P_ompA*-lux insertions into the *glmS2*-associated or *glmS3*-associated *attTn7* sites produced consistently less luminescence (Figure 7C). A strain carrying simultaneous insertions of mini-Tn7-*P_ompA*-lux in all three *B. pseudomallei* *attTn7* sites was not observed.

### Table 1 Plasmids

| Plasmid               | GenBank accession no. | Pertinent features<sup>ab</sup> | Source       |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| pTn7LuxG0             | KF532964              | Km<sup>a</sup>, luxCDEBA operon transcribed from *P_44974* contained on DraI site fragment. | This Study   |
| pTn7LuxG3             | KF532965              | Km<sup>a</sup>, DraI fragment containing *P_ompA* replaced with DraI fragment containing *P_ompA*<sup>4</sup> | This Study   |
| pTn7LuxK3<sup>d</sup> | KC332283              | Km<sup>a</sup>, pTn7LuxK3 with DraI fragment containing *P_ompA* replaced with DraI fragment containing *B. pseudomallei P_ompA* | This Study   |
| pTn7LuxK4             | KC332281              | Km<sup>a</sup>, pTn7LuxK3 with DraI fragment containing *P_ompA* replaced with DraI fragment containing *B. pseudomallei P_ompA* | This Study   |
| pTn7LuxK4<sup>d</sup> | KC332282              | Km<sup>a</sup>, pTn7LuxK3 with DraI fragment containing *P_ompA* replaced with DraI fragment containing *B. pseudomallei P_ompA* | This Study   |
| pTn7LuxK4<sup>d</sup> | KC332286              | Km<sup>a</sup>, pTn7LuxK3 with DraI fragment containing *P_ompA* replaced with DraI fragment containing *B. pseudomallei P_ompA* | This Study   |
| pTn7LuxK4<sup>d</sup> | KC332287              | Km<sup>a</sup>, pTn7LuxK3 with DraI fragment containing *P_ompA* replaced with DraI fragment containing *B. pseudomallei P_ompA* | This Study   |
| pTn7LuxK4<sup>d</sup> | KC332288              | Km<sup>a</sup>, pTn7LuxK3 with DraI fragment containing *P_ompA* replaced with DraI fragment containing *B. pseudomallei P_ompA* | This Study   |
| pTn7LuxK4<sup>d</sup> | KC332289              | Km<sup>a</sup>, pTn7LuxK3 with DraI fragment containing *P_ompA* replaced with DraI fragment containing *B. pseudomallei P_ompA* | This Study   |

<sup>a</sup>Abbreviations: Ap, ampicillin; FRT, Flp recombinase target; Km, kanamycin; r, resistance/resistant; Tc, tetracycline; Tp, trimethoprim.<br>See supplemental methods for details of plasmid constructions.<br>Promoters used are: *P_44974*, *P. aeruginosa gene PA4974* promoter; *P_ompA*, *B. pseudomallei ompA* promoter; *P_ompC*, *B. pseudomallei tolC* promoter; *P_ompC*, *B. thailandensis* ribosomal S12 gene promoter.<br>Plasmids are missing a FRT site flanking the *nptII* gene.
The mini-Tn5/7-lux vectors were employed to successfully identify, capture and clone promoters capable of producing significant amounts of light in E. coli and A. baumannii under laboratory conditions. While in this study efforts were focused on vector construction and evaluation in vitro, future efforts must include studies aimed at promoter activity evaluation in suitable in vivo model systems, especially with pathogens such as A. baumannii and others. In E. coli, the ribose operon promoter was the strongest promoter we identified in this study. This was somewhat surprising because expression from this promoter is normally repressed by the ribose operon repressor RbsR and induced in the presence of the inducer D-ribose [47].

In this promoter-capture proof-of-concept study, we only examined P_rbc-lux gene expression in LB-grown cells which must represent at least partially inducing conditions but the utility of this promoter for in vivo imaging of E. coli infections remains uncertain absent of expression studies in bacteria grown in vivo, e.g. animal infection or cell culture experiments, or in vitro studies employing various conditions encountered by bacteria during infections (e.g. cell-density, defined nutrient sources, etc.). The same is true for the A. baumannii P_H1A promoter identified and characterized using in vitro laboratory conditions, i.e. LB-grown bacteria. In addition to E. coli and A. baumannii, mini-Tn5/7-lux vectors were also used to identify strong promoters capable of driving lux operon expression in LB-grown cells of B. pseudomallei. Luminescent isolates could be readily identified suggesting that the system will be useful for promoter identification in diverse bacteria. Two promoters that were identified in B. pseudomallei were the put (proline utilization) and paa (phenylacetic acid degradation) operon promoters but since promoters for construction of bioluminescent B. pseudomallei suitable for in vitro and in vivo bioimaging studies, e.g. P_ompA [28] and P_polC [25], are already available, the put and paa operon promoters were not further pursued.

Promoter identification in E. coli, A. baumannii and B. pseudomallei using the mini-Tn5/7-lux system identified a frequent scenario encountered with bacteria which is insertion in promoter-distal genes in operons. In practice, this makes direct use of mini-Tn7-lux elements with captured promoter regions for isolation of bioluminescent bacteria problematic in recA strain backgrounds as the sometimes large regions of homology carried by the transposable element promote recombination into the chromosome instead of site-specific integration via Tn7 transposition. While this undoubtedly diminishes the novelty of the mini-Tn5/7-lux system, i.e. the combination of the mini-Tn5-lux and mini-Tn7-lux systems which existed separately before, the newly developed method has several
advantages over the separate systems: 1) the newly constructed pTn7-Lux vectors exhibit expanded repertoire and utility with respect to cloning of promoter-containing DNA fragments when compared to previously constructed mini-Tn7-Lux vectors; and 2) in some instances, e.g. where short promoter-containing chromosomal DNA regions are present or recombination-deficient strains are either available or can be readily constructed, the combination of the Tn5 and Tn7 transposon allows quick isolation and site-specific insertion of the promoter-Lux fusion constructs in naturally occurring Tn7 attachment site(s) in strains transiently expressing the Tn7 TnsABCD transposase complex.

In the course of the present study we also noted that in the few instances where bacteria contain more than one chromosomal attTn7 site one must be aware of copy number and position effects on reporter gene expression. For instance, incorporation of the same mini-Tn7-P_ompA-Lux reporter into one or more of the three attTn7 sites in the B. pseudomallei genome resulted in differential levels of light emission. In general, insertions into the glmS1-associated attTn7 site emitted more light than mini-Tn7-associated attTn7 sites. Although we have no experimental evidence that would explain these observations, insertion site effects may at least be partially responsible for differential Lux transcription from constructs integrated at different Tn7 integration sites. The three attTn7 sites found in B. pseudomallei are located in the intergenic regions of glmS1, glmS2 and glmS3 and the respective downstream genes which in all cases are divergently transcribed from glmS (Additional file 1: Figure S3) [43]. The mini-Tn7-Lux elements insert at these sites such that the lux gene is in the same orientation as these downstream genes which may lead to partial read-through lux transcription from the downstream gene promoters. This may be exacerbated by the fact that insertions at glmS1 occur in the predicted transcriptional

Table 2 Bacterial strains

| Strain   | Genotype or relevant features | Source               |
|----------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| E. coli  |                              |                      |
| DH5α     | F' g80 lacZΔM15 Δ(lacZYA-argF)U169 deoR recA1 endA1 hsdR17(rK- mK+) phoA glnV44 | [49] |
| MaH1     | F' g80 lacZΔM15 Δ(lacZYA-argF)U169 deoR recA1 endA1 hsdR17(rK- mK+) phoA glnV44 attTn7::pir116+ thi-1 thr-1 leuB26 tonA21 lacY1 supE44 recA integrated RP4-2Tc::Mu (pir+Δasd:FRT ΔaphK::FRT) | [50] |
| RHO3     | attTn7::pir116+ thi-1 thr-1 leuB26 tonA21 lacY1 supE44 recA integrated RP4-2 Tc::Mu (pir+)Δasd:FRT ΔaphK::FRT | [51] |
| RHO5     | attTn7::pir116+ thi-1 thr-1 leuB26 tonA21 lacY1 supE44 recA integrated RP4-2 Tc::Mu (pir+)Δasd:FRT ΔaphK::FRT | [50] |
| KVT9     | DHSα rtsC::mini-Tn5/LuxK3     | This Study           |
| KVT11    | DHSα attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_OmpA::lux | This Study           |
| KVT12    | DHSα attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_OmpA::lux | This Study           |
| B. pseudomallei |                              |                      |
| Bp82     | 1026b A purM                 | Laboratory collection|
| Bp82.27  | Bp82 Δ(arrRAB-apnA)          |                      |
| Bp82.68  | attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_ompA::lux | glmS1                |
| Bp82.69  | attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_ompA::lux | glmS1                |
| Bp82.70  | attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_ompA::lux | glmS2 + glmS3        |
| Bp82.77  | attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_ompA::lux | glmS1 + glmS2        |
| Bp82.78  | attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_ompA::lux | glmS1                |
| Bp82.79  | attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_ompA::lux | glmS2 + glmS3        |
| Bp82.80  | attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_ompA::lux | glmS2                |
| Bp82.81  | attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_ompA::lux | glmS3                |
| A. baumannii |                              |                      |
| ATCC19606| Prototroph                   | American Type Culture Collection |
| IFD1     | ATCC19606 A1S_2680::mini-Tn5/LuxK5 | This Study           |
| IFD2     | ATCC19606 A1S_2733::mini-Tn5/LuxK5 | This Study           |
| IFD3     | ATCC19606 A1S_0947::mini-Tn5/LuxK5 and A1S_2736::Tn5/LuxK5 | This Study           |
| IFD4     | ATCC19606 A1S_2621::mini-Tn5/LuxK5 | This Study           |
| IFD5     | ATCC19606 attTn7::mini-Tn7-P_ompA-LuxK5 | This Study           |
A terminator that seems to be shared by glmS1 and the divergently transcribed downstream gene. In contrast, insertions at the glmS2- and glmS3-associated attTn7 sites do not disrupt the transcriptional terminators of the respective divergently transcribed genes. To minimize transcriptional read-through effects from promoters of adjacent genes, transcriptional terminators could be included inside the Tn7 left and right ends, but this was not pursued in the present studies. As expected, isolates with double insertions produced more light than those with single insertions and levels were comparable with isolates that contained single insertions at the glmS1-associated attTn7 site. Isolates with mini-Tn7 insertions at all three attTn7 sites resulting from a single transposition experiment are generally rare and were not observed in this study.

Conclusions
We created a suite of vectors that comprise a versatile system for promoter identification, capturing, cloning and construction of bioluminescent Gram-negative bacterial strains that contain the reporter genes stably integrated in the bacterial chromosome. The mini-Tn5/7-lux vectors incorporate the random transposition property of Tn5 catalyzed by transient expression of Tn5 transposase TnpA in a wide range of bacteria and combines it with...
the site-specific transposition property of Tn7 catalyzed by transient expression of the Tn7 TnsABCD transposase complex in Gram-negative bacteria. The system was created with versatility and customization in mind. For example, the vectors are equipped with diverse selection markers to expand their host range to bacteria, which may exhibit intrinsic resistance to some antibiotics commonly used for selection of recombinants. Antibiotic resistance markers are flanked by 48-bp FRT sites which allow exchange of the resident antibiotic marker with other FRT cassettes using unique XbaI restriction sites in each FRT. All vectors possess unique Stul and DraI restriction sites that allow for the deletion of tnpA and its flanking mosaic ends for orientation-controlled insertion of promoter sequences for lux operon transcription. In this study we exclusively tested Tn5/Lux and Tn7/Lux vectors for purposes of promoter identification, capturing and cloning for construction of bioluminescent clones. However, their uses extend well beyond these applications. For instance, attB1 and attB2 sites bordering luxCDABE facilitate exchange of the resident lux operon for other reporter genes such as gfp via Gateway BP clonase recombination. Vectors on which gfp-transcription is driven from the same promoter(s) identified and used for lux gene expression can then be employed for construction of fluorescent instead of luminescent strains. Availability of isogenic bioluminescent and fluorescent strains of the same species has several applications. For instance, they can be employed in bioluminescence, fluorescence, and optical density based real-time assays can to determine the bacteriostatic or bacteriocidal effects of antibiotics [53]. Furthermore, such strains can be used to differentiate effects of antimicrobials on metabolism. Luciferase activity is dependent on availability of metabolites such as ATP, FMNH2 and a specific fatty acid substrate [21] and its activity thus adversely affected by inhibitors of metabolism whereas GFP activity is not prone to such inhibition. Lastly, strain labeling with luciferase or GFP reporters – or dual labeling with both – broadens the repertoire for imaging of various biological processes [5,54].

These capabilities allow for tailoring the plasmids to investigators’ needs. The tools developed in this study should prove to be useful as their customizability allows for an extremely wide array of uses in diverse Gram-negative bacteria.

Methods
Bacterial strains, media and growth conditions
Table 2 lists the bacterial strains used in this study. Bacteria were routinely grown in liquid or agar solidified Lennox Luria Bertani (LB) (MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA). E. coli conjugation strains RH03 and RH05 were grown in LB medium supplemented with diaminopimelic acid
(DAP; LL-, DD-, and meso-isomers) which was used at 400 μg/ml for agar plates and 200 μg/ml for liquid cultures. Lennox (5 g/L NaCl) LB cultures of *B. pseudomallei* Bp82 were supplemented with 80 μg/ml adenine. Media were supplemented with antibiotics at the following final concentrations. For *E. coli*, gentamicin (Gm), 10 μg/mL and 15 μg/mL for broth cultures and agar plates, respectively; kanamycin (Km), 35 μg/mL; tetracycline (Tc), 10 μg/mL; trimethoprim (Tp), 100 μg/mL. For *B. pseudomallei*, Km, 35 μg/ml for Bp82.27 and 500 μg/ml for Bp82. For *A. baumannii*, Km was used at a concentration of 35 μg/ml.

**DNA manipulation**

Chromosomal DNA was isolated using the Puregene Core Kit A (Genta Systems, Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and plasmid DNA was purified from bacterial cultures using the GeneJET Plasmid MiniPrep Kit (Fermentas, Glen Burnie, MD). Restriction enzymes were purchased from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA) and used according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Ligation reactions were conducted using T4 DNA ligase from Invitrogen (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and the supplied T4 DNA ligase buffer. DNA sequencing was conducted using an ABI 3130xL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) at the Colorado State University Proteomics and Metabolomics Facility.

**Plasmid construction**

Plasmid construction details are provided in Additional file 1: Methods and Table S1. The main plasmids constructed in this study are listed in Table 1.

**Transformation and conjugation procedures**

Plasmid transformation of *E. coli* was done either by using standard electroporation or chemical transformation procedures [55]. Bacterial conjugations were conducted as bi-parental matings with *E. coli* mobilizer strains RHO3 or RHO5 using previously described methods [51,56]. A modified mating procedure was used for conjugations with *A. baumannii*. Cultures of donor and recipient were grown overnight. Thirty μl of the donor culture was sub-cultured into 3 ml of LB broth and the culture was grown at 37°C with shaking to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6-0.7. Meanwhile, 3 ml of pre-warmed 20 mM NaNO₃ was added to the overnight recipient culture which was then incubated at 42°C without shaking for at least three hours. Donor and recipient cultures were then harvested by centrifugation, washed twice with fresh LB, concentrated 5-fold, and 60 μl of donor and 10 μl of recipient culture were combined on a filter disk. The remainder of the procedure follows previously described protocols.

**Construction and identification of mini-Tn7-lux containing *B. pseudomallei* strains**

The mini-Tn7-lux delivery vectors pTn7oLuxK3, pTn7bLuxK3, pTn7xLuxK3 containing a luxCDABE operon transcribed from *P_ompA*, *P_nac* and *P_P479*, respectively, and a Km² selection marker were transformed into the *E. coli* mobilizer strain RHO3. The mini-Tn7-lux elements were delivered into the Bp82.27 recipient strain using multi-parental conjugation with RHO3 containing the Tn7 transposable helper plasmid pTNS3 [43]. Km² transformants were selected and mini-Tn7-lux insertion sites determined by PCR using primer sets P479 & P1509, P479 & P1510, and P479 & P1511 to check for insertion at *glmS1*, *glmS2*, or *glmS*3, respectively [43]. Table 3 lists oligonucleotides used in this study. Alternatively, a newly developed multiplex PCR was used to detect mini-Tn7 inserts in *B. pseudomallei* and using the primer set P479 & 1510 & 2595 & 2596 (see Additional file 1: Methods for details and Additional file 1: Figure S3 for a representative example of multiplex PCR results).

**Luminescence imaging**

Relative luminescence was imaged using a Bio-Rad Universal Hoo II ChemiDoc XRS using high sensitivity chemiluminescence settings and a 10–30 s exposure time. Quantification of light production was performed using an IVIS Spectrum (Xenogen, Alameda, CA). An open

| Table 3 Oligonucleotides |
|--------------------------|
| Oligo name | Description | Sequence (5' → 3)² |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 478 | Tn7R | CACACGATAACTGGAATTTC |
| 479 | Tn7L | ATTAGCTTACGACGCTACACCC |
| 536 | oriT-UP | TCCGCGTGATACACCGTCTTC |
| 537 | oriT-DN | CAGGCTCGGAGAGCAGGATT |
| 572 | PmrApu2 | GCTATACGTGTTTGCTGATCAAGATGC |
| 1354 | AB_glmSF | GCCGTCGTTGATGATGTTT |
| 1509 | BgPGLMS1 | GAGGAGGCTGGTCGATCAAC |
| 1510 | BgPGLMS2 | ACAGCAGCAGCAAGCAGGGAAC |
| 1511 | BgPGLMS3 | CGGACAGCGTGCCGCGATGC |
| 2372 | attTn7-1 | GATGCTGGGCGGGAAGGTC |
| 2373 | attTn7-2 | GATGACGGTTTGTACATGGAG |
| 2385 | LUXpro-UP | ATTCGACTAACATCATACATT |
| 2550 | Stu-PbsEco-for | TAAAGGGCGTGCAAGCGCCTTTT |
| 2551 | Dra-PbsEco-rev | TAAACGCCGCGTTCTCATACGCGAACG |
| 2584 | H1-A Stu F | ATTAGCGGCTTCCCATGCAAT |
| 2586 | H1A Drall R3 | ATTCACGCCGTTTCAAGCTGAG |
| 2595 | BgPGLMS1-New4 | ACCCGGGATCCGCGCATTTT |
| 2596 | BgPGLMS3-New | ATCCGCGTGGTTCGCGG |

²Italized letters indicate restriction sites: DraI (2551 and 2586) and StuI (2550 and 2584).
emission filter with no excitation was utilized to measure the signal.

Tn5/7-lux promoter capture procedure
To recover random mini-Tn5/7-lux chromosomal insertions, the Tn5/7-lux vector containing the appropriate antibiotic resistance marker was first transformed into the E. coli donor strain RHO3. A bi-parental mating was then performed with the donor and desired recipient strain and antibiotic resistant exconjugants were selected. Exconjugants were either patched onto an LB agar plate with the respective antibiotic used for selection of exconjugants or inoculated into 96-well microtiter plates containing LB medium with 10% glycerol and antibiotic supplement. After overnight growth at 37°C, patches or wells were observed over a period of four days to identify bright and stable luminescent clones. Chromosomal DNA was isolated from selected mini-Tn5/7-lux containing colonies and 1 µg digested in separate reactions using restriction enzymes Acc65I, EcoRI or NotI. (Note: these enzymes were empirically chosen to work with most bacteria we study but others can be used as well.) The digestions were terminated by heat-inactivation at 68°C and cleaned either by using the GenElute Gel Extraction Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) or by heat-inactivating the restriction enzyme and drop dialyzing the DNA on a Millipore®V series (VMWP) filter with a 0.05 µm pore size over distilled and deionized water for 20 min. Digested DNA (1 µg) was ligated overnight using T4 DNA Ligase and ligations were drop dialyzed for 20–25 min. The entire sample was removed from the filter and immediately used for transformation of the pir-116+ E. coli strain MaH1. (Note: other pir+ strains are equally suited for transformation. We routinely employ this strain because it increases the copy number of plasmid with the R6K origin of replication and thus yields more plasmid DNA [53].) Transformants were grown overnight and plasmid DNA was isolated. The mini-Tn5/7-lux insertion site was then determined by sequencing using primer P2385.

The resulting plasmid now constitutes a mini-Tn7 delivery plasmid (Figure 1) which was used for two purposes.

First, the plasmid was sometimes used to isolate chromosomal mini-Tn7-lux insertions in the host of interest. This was achieved by electroporation into the pir-116+ E. coli mobilizer strain RHO5 (electroporation was chosen over transformation due to the unknown, but presumably quite large, size of the recovered mini-Tn5/7-lux containing plasmid). The mini-Tn7-lux plasmid was then introduced into the target bacterium chromosome by co-conjugation with the Tn7 site-specific transposition pathway expressing pTNS3. Exconjugants were selected on LB plates with appropriate antibiotics and screened for light production. The presence of mini-Tn7-lux insertions was verified by PCR employing species specific primer pairs, e.g. P2372 & P2373 for E. coli, P478 & P1354 for A. baumannii, and P479 & P1509, P479 & P1510, and P479 & P1511 for B. pseudomallei. To distinguish Tn7 insertions at attTn7 sites from homologous recombination events a PCR using primer pairs P536 & P537 was also performed to confirm the absence of the plasmid-borne oriT in the recipient chromosome. All confirmatory PCRs were done using DNA templates obtained via from boiling preparations. Briefly, individual colonies were transferred to 30 µl of sterile distilled and deionized water and the cell suspension was boiled for 10 min. The resulting lysates were then centrifuged for 30 s at 12,000 × g at room temperature in a microcentrifuge and the supernatants transferred to a clean microcentrifuge tube. Six µl of supernatant were used a template in 50 µl PCR mixes containing the respective primers and Taq DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs).

Second, the mini-Tn7-lux plasmid was used as source for promoter-containing DNA fragments. Putative promoter regions were first predicted based on the genomic context of the insertion and using the Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project Neural Network Promoter Prediction and prokaryotic settings (http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/promoter.html). Putative promoters were mapped onto the genome and the most likely promoter region was chosen based on number of possible promoters in the area and how close they were to the Tn5 insertion. In general, oligonucleotides were designed to PCR amplify the promoter region and add DraIII and Stul restriction sites to either end to control the direction of the promoter upon cloning into the desired Tn5/7-lux vector (in each case the cloned promoter region replaced the Tn5 transposase gene tnpA and flanking MEs). Transformants were chosen based on degree of luminescence and the presence of the correct plasmids was confirmed by a DraIII + Stul restriction digest and/or PCR amplification of the promoter region from the plasmid, followed by DNA sequencing. Mini-Tn7/7-lux insertions were then obtained and confirmed as described above.
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