Observations of Reduced Turbulence and Wave Activity in the Arctic Middle Atmosphere Following the January 2015 Sudden Stratospheric Warming
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Abstract
Measurements of turbulence and waves were made as part of the Mesosphere-Lower Thermosphere Turbulence Experiment (MTeX) on the night of 25–26 January 2015 at Poker Flat Research Range, Chatanika, Alaska (65°N, 147°W). Rocket-borne ionization gauge measurements revealed turbulence in the 70- to 88-km altitude region with energy dissipation rates between 0.1 and 24 mW/kg with an average value of 2.6 mW/kg. The eddy diffusion coefficient varied between 0.3 and 134 m²/s with an average value of 10 m²/s. Turbulence was detected around mesospheric inversion layers (MILs) in both the topside and bottomside of the MILs. These low levels of turbulence were measured after a minor sudden stratospheric warming when the circulation continued to be disturbed by planetary waves and winds remained weak in the stratosphere and mesosphere. Ground-based lidar measurements characterized the ensemble of inertia-gravity waves and monochromatic gravity waves. The ensemble of inertia-gravity waves had a specific potential energy of 0.8 J/kg over the 40- to 50-km altitude region, one of the lowest values recorded at Chatanika. The turbulence measurements coincided with the overturning of a 2.5-hr monochromatic gravity wave in a depth of 3 km at 85 km. The energy dissipation rates were estimated to be 3 mW/kg for the ensemble of waves and 18 mW/kg for the monochromatic wave. The MTeX observations reveal low levels of turbulence associated with low levels of gravity wave activity. In the light of other Arctic observations and model studies, these observations suggest that there may be reduced turbulence during disturbed winters.

Plain Language Summary
Turbulence remains an outstanding challenge in understanding coupling, energetics, and dynamics of the atmosphere. However, turbulence is recognized as a critical component in our models of terrestrial and space weather. Obtaining routine and accurate measurements of turbulence continues to be a major challenge. We present new rocket-borne measurements of turbulence in January 2015 at Poker Flat Research Range, Alaska. These rocket-borne measurements were coordinated with a suite of ground-based instruments. The rocket-borne instruments captured the small-scale structure of the turbulence. The ground-based measurements documented the meteorological and space weather conditions. We find low levels of turbulence coinciding with a disturbed atmosphere where wave activity is reduced. These finding suggest that there may be systematically low levels of turbulence in the Arctic middle atmosphere, as the Arctic middle atmosphere is routinely disturbed in winter.

1. Introduction
Observations of downward transport of nitrogen oxides (i.e., NOₓ = NO + NO₂) from the thermosphere into the stratosphere during the Arctic winter have highlighted how meteorological processes control the impacts of energetic particle precipitation events in the atmosphere (López-Puertas et al., 2006; Mironova et al., 2015; Randall et al., 2006). While this transport is observed in all winters, it is enhanced (with NOₓ concentrations up to 50 times higher than usual) in winters where sudden stratospheric warming (SSW) events disrupt the polar stratospheric vortex (Randall et al., 2009). The transport of NOₓ...
These studies have reported values of the energy dissipation rate, \( \varepsilon \), that are 1–100 mW/kg in the upper mesosphere (~60–95 km) and increase to 100–1,000 mW/kg in the lower thermosphere (~90–105 km). The corresponding values of \( K \) are 1–100 and 100–1,000 m\(^2\)/s, respectively. Reconciling these observed values of turbulent dissipation and mixing with the values used in model studies remains an area of active research (e.g., Fritts et al., 2018; Meraner & Schmidt, 2016; Smith, 2012). The situation is further complicated by the fact that eddy diffusion in models represents transport due to all subgrid scale processes that include wave transport as well as turbulence (e.g., Grygalashvily et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2017; Smith, 2012; Walterscheid, 2001).

Despite these challenges, measurements of turbulence in the Arctic have shown systematic behavior. Rocket-based measurements of neutral density fluctuations and ionization gauges report seasonally averaged turbulent energy dissipation rates that are an order of magnitude lower in winter (10–20 mW/kg) than summer (150 mW/kg; Lübken, 1997; Lübken et al., 2002). Measurements during the spring transition show increases in turbulent energy dissipation within a period of weeks consistent with rapid changes in the seasonal winds and expected gravity wave activity (Müllemann et al., 2002). However, these turbulent studies did not include measurements of the gravity wave activity. In this paper we present new realtime observations of turbulence and waves in the Arctic middle atmosphere. These observations were made as part of the Mesosphere-Lower Thermosphere Turbulence Experiment (MTeX) that was designed to investigate systematic relationships between turbulence in the MLT, instabilities in the MLT, and gravity waves propagating through the stratosphere and mesosphere. MTeX consisted of rocket-borne in situ ionization gauge measurements of turbulence and plasma conditions with ground-based measurements of the meteorological conditions and plasma conditions. Ground-based Rayleigh and resonance lidars characterized gravity wave activity and instabilities. The MTeX turbulence measurements were made following the detection of a MIL by the ground-based Rayleigh lidar. In section 2 we describe the instruments, techniques, and methods used to detect and characterize turbulence and waves. In section 3 we present the MTeX observations. In section 4 we analyze the relationships between the waves and turbulence and compare the MTeX measurements to other Arctic measurements. In section 5 we present our summary and conclusions.
2. Data and Methodology

2.1. MTeX Vehicles and Trajectories

MTeX was conducted at Poker Flat Research Range (PFRR), Chatanika, Alaska (65°N, 147°W) on the night of 25–26 January 2015 after the January 2015 SSW when the atmosphere remained disturbed (Manney et al., 2015). The MTeX investigation consisted of in situ density and plasma measurements made by two rocket payloads that were launched 33 min apart just after local midnight at 0013 LST and 0046 LST on 26 January 2015 (0913 and 0946 UT, UT = LST + 9 hr). The MTeX launch vehicles were Terrier-Malemute rockets (National Aeronautics and Space Administration code 46) and identified as 46.009 and 46.010 respectively. Photographs of the MTeX payloads and launches have been previously published (Collins et al., 2015). The payloads were designed to yield measurements over altitudes from 70 to 120 km on both the upleg and downleg of their trajectories. The payloads were reoriented near apogee so that the front of the payloads were oriented in the ram direction on the downleg as well as the upleg. We present the MTeX payload trajectories in Figure 1. In this study we present results from the upleg and downleg of 46.009 and downleg of 46.010.

2.2. CONE Ionization Gauge

The Combined Sensor for Neutrals and Electrons (CONE) ionization gauge (ion gauge) has been used to make density measurements in the middle atmosphere since the 1990s (Giebel et al., 1993; Rapp et al., 2001; Szewczyk et al., 2013). The CONE instrument consists of a sensor and an electronics package. The CONE sensor is an ion gauge surrounded by a shielding grid and a fixed-bias electrostatic probe that was mounted on the front of the payload. The primary measurement is the electrometer current. For the MTeX investigation the CONE electronics package was redesigned and constructed using contemporary programmable logic devices. The upgrade resulted in measurements with higher sensitivity, reduced noise, and a higher sampling rate than previous measurements. The CONE sampling rate was 5,208 samples per second (sps). The CONE current profile was measured in five ranges, where the electronic gain of the instrument increased by successive orders of magnitude as the atmospheric density decreased, to maintain a constant dynamic range in the signal. We plot the CONE electrometer current recorded by payload 46.009 during the upleg in Figure 2. The gain switching yields characteristic discontinuities in the measured current profile. At the highest altitudes (and lowest densities) the CONE profile is constant due to a small constant current. The retrieval of the density profile from the CONE current profile is conducted in four steps (Triplement, 2016). In the first step we calculate a continuous CONE profile by binning the 192 μs samples at 50 ms corresponding to the timing of the trajectory recording. We correct the CONE current profile for the change in gain and remove the background current by assuming continuity across the discontinuities and fitting the logarithm of the signal to a third-order polynomial. This process is iterated to estimate the change in gain at each range and subtract the constant current scaled by the gain from the signal at all ranges. In the second step we correct the continuous CONE profile for aerodynamic ram effects using the density profile derived from the Rayleigh lidar signal. In the third step we fit a third-order polynomial to the logarithm of the density profile. The density is then reconstituted by taking the exponential of the sum of the polynomial and the residual of the polynomial (smoothed at 2 km). The statistical relative uncertainty in the resultant density is 0.2%. In the final step we determine the absolute density by normalizing the Rayleigh lidar density profile to the local National Weather Service radiosonde measurement profile and then normalizing the CONE density profile to the Rayleigh lidar density profile. The CONE measurements yielded density and temperature measurements every 50 ms (corresponding to ~50 m). We plot the density profile derived from the CONE measurements on the upleg of payload 46.009 in Figure 3. We also plot the corresponding density profile measured by the Rayleigh lidar and from the Mass Spectrometer Incoherent Scatter (MSIS) model (Hedin, 1991; Papitashvili, 2016). The lidar profile represents the average of a 2-hr measurement around the CONE measurement. The uncertainties in the Rayleigh lidar...
Figure 2. Combined Sensor for Neutrals and Electrons ion-gauge electrometer current (red solid) and electrometer range (blue dashed) plotted as a function of time on the upleg of Mesosphere-Lower Thermosphere Turbulence Experiment (MTeX) payload 46.009. The altitudes of 70, 120, and 156 km (apogee) are marked for reference.

The CONE instrument also yields measurements of density fluctuations every 192 μs (corresponding to ~20 cm). The turbulent energy dissipation rate is determined from the spectrum of the fluctuations over 1-s intervals following established methods based on spectral fitting of a Heisenberg model spectrum to identify the frequency at the transition between the inertial subrange and the viscous subrange of the spectrum (Lübken, 1992, 1997; Lübken et al., 1993; Szewczyk, 2015). This transition frequency, \( f_0 \), corresponds to the inner wave number and the inner scale, \( l_0 \), of the turbulence and is directly related to the energy dissipation rate, \( \varepsilon \). The spectral fits are subject to three consistency checks: first that the transition frequency lies between the maximum and minimum significant frequencies in each spectrum, second that the inner and outer wave numbers of the spectral fit are mutually consistent, and third that the spectral fit encompasses over 50% of the energy of the fluctuations (Triplett, 2016). The spectral fit also yields an uncertainty estimate in the inner wave number and hence the inner scale and energy dissipation rate. We plot an example spectrum from the upleg of payload 46.009 in Figure 4. We see that the fluctuation signal extends to 30 Hz, and at higher frequencies it is dominated by noise. The signal-to-noise ratio of the spectrum over the fitting range is \( 10^3 \). We summarize the characteristics of the measurement, background atmosphere, and turbulence associated with this spectrum in in Table 1. We determine the energy dissipation rate from the inner scale, and then we determine the outer scale, \( L_B \), from the energy dissipation rate (Lübken, 1997). We calculate the root-mean-square (RMS) fluctuations over the 3 to 30 Hz range to avoid contamination by spin modulation at 2 Hz and to include only those frequencies that are signal dominated. We calculate the heating rate from the energy dissipation rate using the specific heat capacity of air. We calculate the eddy diffusion coefficient, \( K \), from the turbulent dissipation rate, \( \varepsilon \), using the relationship,

\[
K = 0.81 \times \frac{\varepsilon}{N^2}
\]

where \( N \) is the buoyancy frequency (Weinstock, 1978). This relationship has been widely used to determine \( K \) from \( \varepsilon \) and vice versa (e.g., Bishop et al., 2004; Collins et al., 2011; Lübken, 1997).

2.3. Langmuir Probe

Each MTeX payload included four plasma density probes. These were a fixed-bias direct current (DC) multi-surface Langmuir probe (mDCP), a sweeping impedance probe (SIP), a sweeping Langmuir probe (SLP), and a multi-needle Langmuir probe (mNLP; Blake, 2014; Blix et al., 1990; Steigies & Barjatya, 2012; Strelnikov et al., 2017). The SIP yielded absolute measurements of the plasma density. The mDCP yielded relative measurements of the plasma density that were then normalized to the SIP. During the MTeX flights the SLP and mNLP were saturated by the auroral ionization and did not yield plasma density profiles. The mDCP measurements and the MSIS profiles are discussed further below. The density measured by CONE differs from the density measured by the lidar by 6% at 90 km, which is less than the uncertainty in the lidar measurement. The density measured by CONE is larger than the MSIS model density by 20% at 90 km, which is more than the 10% uncertainty in the MSIS density. We calculate a temperature profile over the 70 to 120 km altitude range from the CONE density profile. We adapt the standard retrieval methods to allow for the fact that the composition of the atmosphere, and thus, the mean molecular mass of air decreases with altitude in the thermosphere due to increases in the concentration of atomic oxygen (O). We use MSIS to both estimate the mean molecular mass and provide an initial temperature at 120 km for the retrieval (Triplett, 2016). The statistical uncertainty in the temperature is less than 0.5 K. We calculate the square of buoyancy frequency, \( N^2 \), from the temperature profile using established relationships (e.g., Dutton, 1988). The statistical error in \( N^2 \) is less than 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-2}.

Figure 3. Atmospheric density as a function of altitude derived from MTeX CONE ion-gauge (solid red), Rayleigh lidar (long dashed green) and MSIS (short dashed gray). The profiles are plotted with one-sigma error bars. See text for details. MTeX = Mesosphere-Lower Thermosphere Turbulence Experiment; CONE = Combined Sensor for Neutrals and Electrons; MSIS = Mass Spectrometer Incoherent Scatter.
Figure 4. Spectrum of density fluctuations derived from Combined Sensor for Neutrals and Electrons ion gauge measurements. The spectrum is plotted as solid red. The spectrum is calculated over one 1-s interval corresponding to the altitude 82.7–84.1 km. The Heisenberg model fit to the spectrum is plotted dashed black line. The background noise level is plotted as a horizontal dashed line.

were sampled at 5 sps and yielded measurements at approximately 20-cm resolution. However, there are harmonics in the data from 2.5-Hz spin modulation, and interference from the SLP (9 Hz) and SIP (20 Hz). We filter the mDCP profile to remove these effects. We report the electron density profiles and gradients that we derive from the mDCP and SIP measurements. The instrument noise within the Langmuir probe results in an error of \(2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^{-3}\) in the electron density.

### 2.4. Rayleigh and Resonance LIDARs

Rayleigh lidar measurements have been made at Chatanika on an ongoing basis since 1997 and yielded measurements of temperature and density profiles in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere (40–80 km; e.g., Collins et al., 2011; Irving et al., 2014; Triplett et al., 2017). The Rayleigh lidar was operated over a 13-hr period from 1827 LST until 0715 LST (0327–1615 UT). The lidar transmitter included a Nd:YAG laser operating at 532 nm and 20 pulses per second (pps) with an average power of 7 W. The Rayleigh lidar system was extended in two ways for the MTeX investigation. First, the original receiver telescope of diameter 0.6 m was replaced with a telescope of diameter 1.04 m. Second, the receiver was extended from a single-channel to a two-channel system (Triplett, 2016). The two-channel receiver system had a high-altitude channel that received 94% of the total lidar signal and a low-altitude channel that received 6% of the total lidar signal. The high-altitude channel signals were a factor of three greater than the single-channel system (Collins et al., 2011). The increase in signal in the high-altitude channel reduces the uncertainty in the lidar signals and extends the measurements of density and temperature to higher altitudes than in previous studies. The decrease in signal in the low-altitude channel reduces the effects of pulse pile-up and extends the measurements of density and temperature to lower altitudes than in previous studies. The high-altitude lidar signal is used above 61 km and the low-altitude lidar signal below 61 km. We used the rocket-borne CONE measurements of temperature as the initial temperature at the upper altitude for the high-altitude signal and thus remove this source of uncertainty from the lidar measurements. We then used the temperature determined from the high-altitude lidar signal as the initial temperature for the low-altitude lidar temperature retrieval. The temperature profiles are also used to determine the potential temperature by integrating upward from 61 km assuming the temperature and potential temperature are equivalent at that altitude (Franke & Collins, 2003). The resolution of the Rayleigh lidar measurements was 50 s and 48 m. The Rayleigh lidar measurements yield nightly average temperature and density profiles over the 35–100 km altitude range, temperature, and density profiles at 15-min intervals and 120-min integration (termed 2 hr) over the 35–92.5 km altitude range, and density profiles at 5-min intervals and 30-min integration (termed 30 min) over the 35–77 km altitude range. The statistical errors increase from 0.1 to 8 K and from 0.3 to 9 K for the nightly average and 2-hr temperature profiles, respectively. The statistical relative errors increase from 0.06% to 2.7%, from 0.2% to 3.7%, and from 0.3% to 2.8% for the nightly average, 2 hr, and 30-min density profiles, respectively.

We determined the gravity wave activity in the stratosphere and mesosphere from the Rayleigh lidar temperature and density profiles. We used established techniques to determine the density fluctuations in altitude and time and hence calculate the RMS density fluctuations and the specific potential energy of the gravity waves (Thurairajah, Collins, Harvey, Lieberman, & Mizutani, 2010; Thurairajah, Collins, Harvey, Lieberman, Gerding, et al., 2010; Triplett et al., 2017). The RMS density fluctuations are determined from the 30-min density profiles over a given altitude range. The fluctuations are high-pass filtered in time remove components with periods longer than 4 hr and so represent gravity waves with periods

---

**Table 1**

MTeX 46.009 Upleg Turbulence Measurement

| Turbulence                      |        |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Outer scale                    | 646 m  |
| Inner scale                    | 43.3 m |
| Energy dissipation rate         | 11.1 mW/kg |
| Heating Rate                   | 0.96 K/day |
| Eddy diffusion coefficient     | 41 m²/s |
| Background atmosphere          |        |
| Altitude range                 | 82.7–84.1 km |
| Temperature                    | 224 K  |
| Density                        | 9.26 \(\times 10^{-6}\) kg/m³ |
| Kinematic viscosity            | 1.6 m³/s |
| Buoyancy period                | 440 s  |
| RMS relative density fluctuation| 0.08%  |
| RMS displacement fluctuation   | 38 m   |
| Data sampling                  |        |
| Sampling frequency             | 5,208 sps |
| Frequency resolution           | 1 Hz   |
| Rocket speed                   | 1,234 m/s |

*Note. MTeX = Mesosphere-Lower Thermosphere Turbulence Experiment; RMS = root-mean-square.*
between 1 and 4 hr (with a geometric mean period of 2 hr). The fluctuations are low-pass filtered at 2 km and so represent gravity waves with vertical wavelengths between 2 km and a maximum determined by the altitude range. The RMS displacement and specific potential energy are then determined using the buoyancy frequency determined from the average temperature profile. We detected monochromatic waves in the density profiles by determining the best temporal harmonic fits to the density fluctuations at each altitude and then determining the vertical phase progressions to the harmonic fits. We fitted harmonics to the 2-hr density profiles to find waves with periods greater than 2 hr and conducted fits to the 30-min data to find waves with periods between 1 and 4 hr. We determined the vertical wavelength from the observed frequency and vertical phase progression and then used the gravity wave polarization and dispersion relationships to estimate the horizontal wavelength, horizontal phase speed, group velocity, RMS horizontal velocity, vertical displacement, and specific potential energy (Hines, 1960).

Sodium resonance lidar measurements have been made at Chatanika on an ongoing basis since 1995 and yielded measurements of the sodium layer profile in the upper mesosphere and lower thermosphere (e.g., Collins & Smith, 2004; Gelinas et al., 2005). The sodium resonance lidar was operated over an 11-hr period from 2008 LST until 0716 LST (0508–1616 UT). This lidar system is similar to one that was operated during the Turbopause investigation (Collins et al., 2011; Lehmacher et al., 2011). The excimer-pumped dye laser used in the Turbopause investigation was replaced with a Nd:YAG pumped dye laser (Martus, 2013). The Nd:YAG pumped dye laser operated at 589 nm and 10 pps with an average power of 0.25 W. We used the same standard inversion methods as used in previous studies to determine the sodium concentration profiles between 70 and 120 km. We then combined the sodium concentration profiles with the density profiles measured by the Rayleigh lidar to determine the sodium mixing ratio profiles. The resolution of the resonance lidar measurements was 100 s and 75 m. The sodium resonance lidar measurements yielded sodium concentration profiles at 15-min intervals and 60-min integration. The statistical relative errors in the sodium concentrations are less than 6% in the 80- to 100-km altitude range.

2.5. PFISR, All-Sky Imager, and Magnetometer

The Poker Flat Incoherent Scatter Radar (PFISR) has been operating at PFRR since 2007 (Heinselman & Nicolls, 2008). PFISR is a multibeam phased array radar. During the MTeX investigation the radar operated with four beams to yield measurements of the electron density and the electric field. The measurements of the electron density profile from three beams were interpolated to yield a measurement of the electron density profile along the upleg of the MTeX flight path. The radar employed an alternating pulse code to yield electron density measurements between 90 and 300 km with 56-m and 300-s resolution. A multispectral All-Sky Imager (ASI) is operated at PFRR and provides high-resolution all-sky images of the aurora at 630, 558, and 428 nm (e.g., Lyons et al., 2015). The ASI operated from evening twilight until morning twilight (1712–0851 UT).
2.6. MERRA-2, SABER, and MSIS

The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications version 2 (MERRA-2) reanalysis data set describes the meteorological conditions in the troposphere, stratosphere, and mesosphere from 1980 to the present (Bosilovich et al., 2015; Gass, 2016; Molod et al., 2015). MERRA-2 assimilates temperature and ozone measurements from the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) above 5 hPa beginning in August 2004. This results in more realistic synoptic meteorology in the upper stratosphere and lower mesosphere than in other reanalysis data sets (Fujiwara et al., 2017; Gelaro et al., 2017). We use the MERRA-2 data to characterize the daily winds over Chatanika. We report MERRA-2 median wind profiles over the 2005–2018 period that assimilates MLS. We also use the MERRA-2 data to characterize the mesoscale imbalance in the flow (Plougonven &
Zhang, 2014). We calculate the residual in the nonlinear balance equation to characterize the imbalance in the flow following previous studies of gravity wave activity at Chatanika (Triplett et al., 2017).

The Sounding of the Atmosphere using Broadband Emission Radiometry (SABER) is one of four instruments aboard the Thermosphere-Ionosphere-Mesosphere Energetics and Dynamics satellite that was launched on 7 December 2001. SABER uses the technique of limb-infrared radiometry and is capable of continuously sounding the atmosphere both day and night. The SABER pressure data yield measurements of geopotential suitable for quantitative studies of the large-scale variability in the middle atmosphere (Byrd, 2016; Remsberg et al., 2008). We use the Level 2A version 2.0 SABER geopotential and temperature measurements and established procedures to determine planetary wave amplitudes, and gradient winds to characterize the planetary wave activity (Irving et al., 2014; Thurairajah, Collins, Harvey, Lieberman, & Mizutani, 2010; Thurairajah, Collins, Harvey, Lieberman, Gerding, et al., 2010).

The MSIS model is an analytical model for calculating neutral temperature and density profiles from the ground to the thermosphere (Hedin, 1991; Papitashvili, 2016). The density and temperature profiles are representative of the climatological average. Above 73 km the model is based on satellite, rocket, space shuttle, and incoherent scatter radar measurements. Between 20 and 73 km the model is primarily based on the monthly mean climatology of the Middle Atmosphere Program (Labitzke et al., 1985). Below 20 km the model uses averages from the National Meteorological Center. We take the MSIS density profile as having a 10% uncertainty in the upper mesosphere and lower thermosphere (Moro et al., 2016).

3. Observations

3.1. Arctic Meteorology

A minor SSW occurred in early January 2015 (Manney et al., 2015). Planetary wave activity had contributed to the development of strong anticyclones in the upper stratosphere in mid-December and early January and resulted in the subsequent splitting of the stratospheric vortex in the lower stratosphere on 1 January and throughout the stratosphere by 5 January. Although this SSW was classified as minor (during which the 10-hPa winds remained westerly at 60°N), the warming resulted in significant impacts on transport and chemical processing inside the polar vortex. The vortex reformed by 9 January and remained offset from the pole. On 26 January the polar vortex was located over Eurasia and Chatanika lay outside the edge of the vortex. The disturbance of the middle atmosphere is evident in the MERRA-2 winds over Chatanika plotted in Figure 5. We see that the winds through the middle and upper stratosphere weaken significantly in early January at at 10 and 1 hPa (~31 and ~48 km respectively) coinciding with the SSW. The MTeX investigation was conducted during a second weakening of the winds in late January. The wind profile at Chatanika on 5 January UT shows winds that are similar to the median winds for January, while the wind profile on 26 January UT shows winds that are considerably weaker than the median winds and are less than 15 m/s between 100 and 1 hPa (~16 and ~48 km respectively).

The SABER measurements also confirm this picture of a disturbed middle atmosphere. There was a reversal of the gradient winds at 65°N in early January associated with the SSW. We plot the planetary wave activity and winds derived from the SABER measurements for 26 January UT in Figure 6. The upper panel shows the geopotential height perturbation as a function of longitude and altitude. The data reveal a relatively weak planetary wave-1 that was propagating westward with altitude. The regions where the phase of the wave changed abruptly in altitude with coincident temperature inversions indicate planetary wave breaking...
These phase changes are also evident in the lower panel, where we plot the geopotential height perturbation as a function of altitude and latitude. Again, we see that the positive phase of the planetary wave reversed abruptly near 50 km, rather than propagating upward, indicating wave breaking in the upper stratosphere. The gradient winds derived from the SABER on this day show zonally averaged wind speeds that are low throughout the stratosphere and mesosphere over Chatanika consistent with MERRA-2 winds.

We characterize the balance in the circulation using the reanalysis data. The residual of the nonlinear balance equation has low values in the middle atmosphere that remain lower than the threshold for gravity wave generation (Limpasuvan et al., 2011; Triplett et al., 2017). Thus, we conclude that in January 2015, the middle atmosphere was disturbed, with weak winds at the end of the month, planetary wave breaking, and low levels of imbalance in the circulation.

### 3.2. Geomagnetic Activity

The aurora was moderately active on the night of 25–26 January 2015. The planetary $K$ index was less than five, being three throughout most of the night and reaching four from 0000 to 0300 LST (0900–1200 UT; Matzka & Stolle, 2017; Vancanneyt & de Bont, 2017). By 1800 LST (0300 UT), the ASI recorded an active auroral arc near the northern horizon. A substorm breakup had been initiated to the east followed by a westward traveling surge that covered the sky by 0830 UT. Bright auroral arcs overhead were present until 0100 LST (1000 UT). These arcs had magenta lower borders indicating that the auroral precipitation was ionizing the atmosphere down to 90 km (e.g., Lummerzheim & Lilensten, 1994). The auroral display then weakened, but there was diffuse aurora overhead into the morning. The magnetometer recorded negative excursions in the horizontal magnetic field starting at 2300 LST (0800 UT). The magnetic field excursion remained negative until 0140 LST (1040 UT) with a maximum excursion of ~400 nT at 0105 LST (1005 UT) and several fluctuations of ~100 nT. At the time of the MTeX launches there was bright aurora overhead with auroral arcs passing from north to south and negative excursions in the horizontal magnetic field of ~400 nT. The MTeX launches occurred after breakup in the recovery phase of the auroral substorm. We plot the electron density profiles and relative electron density gradients in Figure 7. The density profile measured by the Langmuir Probes are in good agreement with the profile measured by PFISR. The electron densities are over $10^{11}$ m$^{-3}$ above 100 km consistent with the auroral precipitation. The strongest gradients in the electron densities...
Table 2

| MTeX Rayleigh Lidar Measurement of Buoyancy Period and Gravity Wave Activity |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Altitude range                  | 40–50 km | 37.5–52.5 km | 62–67 km |
| Buoyancy period (s)             | 309 s    | 323 s    | 313 s    |
| RMS relative density            | 0.26%    | 0.37%    | 0.75%    |
| RMS vertical displacement       | 66 m     | 94 m     | 180 m    |
| Specific potential energy       | 0.8 J/kg | 1.7 J/kg | 6.4 J/kg |

Note: MTeX = Mesosphere-Lower Thermosphere Turbulence Experiment; RMS = root-mean-square.

The temperature profiles do not show a well-defined stratosphere. We plot the temperature profiles measured by the Rayleigh lidar in Figure 8. In the left panel we plot the temperature as a function of altitude and time based on 2-hr temperature profiles. In the center panel we plot the nightly average temperature profile (1827–0714 LST, 0327–1614 UT) and the 2-hr temperature profile around the launch of the MTeX payloads (2315–0115 LST, 0830–1030 UT). We also plot the average temperature profile for January based on 35 nighttime observations made between 1998 and 2014 (Triplett et al., 2017). On the night of 25–26 January 2015 the stratosphere is colder than the average (by about one sample standard deviation) and the mesosphere is warmer than the average (by about two sample standard deviations). In the right panel we plot the nightly average and 2-hr temperature profiles over the 75- to 95-km altitude range. The nightly average maximum temperature is 238 K at 67 km, and there is well-defined temperature gradient of −4 K/km above 85 km that persisted through the night. The 2-hr temperature profile shows a MIL present at 85 km. This MIL has an amplitude of 13 K with an overlying super-adiabatic temperature gradient of −18 K/km. This inversion layer is present over a 4-hr period from 2130 to 0130 LST (0630–0830 UT) between 83 and 86 km with an average peak altitude of 84 km, depth of 1.4 km, amplitude of 9 K, and average topside temperature gradient of −12 K/km.

We plot the three temperature profiles derived from the CONE measurements in Figure 9. All three CONE profiles have similar features with a MIL near 80 km and a negative temperature gradient above the MIL extending upward from an altitude between 83 and 85 km. The amplitude of this MIL is between 9 and 14 K and 4 K/km above 85 km. Another MIL is present near 74 km in both the upleg and downleg of 46.009. This MIL has an amplitude between 11 and 16 K and a topside temperature gradient of −6 K/km. The negative temperature gradient above 85 km is consistent with SABER multiyear measurements of the Arctic winter mesopause at 100 km (Xu et al., 2007).

In summary the Rayleigh lidar and CONE temperature measurements show a disturbed stratosphere and mesosphere where there are MILs present. There are multiple altitude regions with negative temperature gradients in the upper mesosphere, and several of these regions are convectively unstable.

Table 3

| MTeX Rayleigh Lidar Measurement of Quasi-Monochromatic Gravity Waves |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Observed period                 | 9.8 hr  | 2.5 hr  | 2.5 hr  |
| Altitude range                  | 44–51 km| 63–74 km| 44–50 km|
| Vertical wavelength             | 8 ± 4 km| 12 ± 7 km| 6 ± 4 km|
| Relative density                | 0.73%   | 1.3%    | 0.36%   |
| Amplitude                       | 6 m/s   | 11.9 m/s| 1.8 m/s|
| Specific potential energy       | 6.3 J/kg| 20.1 J/kg| 1.5 J/kg|

Note: RMS = root-mean-square.

3.3. Temperatures at Chatanika

The temperature profile over Chatanika on the night of 25–26 January 2015 reflects the disturbance of the atmosphere due to the stratospheric planetary wave activity. The temperature profiles do not show a well-defined stratosphere. We plot the temperature profiles measured by the Rayleigh lidar in Figure 8. In the left panel we plot the temperature as a function of altitude and time based on 2-hr temperature profiles. In the center panel we plot the nightly average temperature profile (1827–0714 LST, 0327–1614 UT) and the 2-hr temperature profile around the launch of the MTeX payloads (2315–0115 LST, 0830–1030 UT). We also plot the average temperature profile for January based on 35 nighttime observations made between 1998 and 2014 (Triplett et al., 2017). On the night of 25–26 January 2015 the stratosphere is colder than the average (by about one sample standard deviation) and the mesosphere is warmer than the average (by about two sample standard deviations). In the right panel we plot the nightly average and 2-hr temperature profiles over the 75- to 95-km altitude range. The nightly average maximum temperature is 238 K at 67 km, and there is well-defined temperature gradient of −4 K/km above 85 km that persisted through the night. The 2-hr temperature profile shows a MIL present at 85 km. This MIL has an amplitude of 13 K with an overlying super-adiabatic temperature gradient of −18 K/km. This inversion layer is present over a 4-hr period from 2130 to 0130 LST (0630–0830 UT) between 83 and 86 km with an average peak altitude of 84 km, depth of 1.4 km, amplitude of 9 K, and average topside temperature gradient of −12 K/km.

We plot the three temperature profiles derived from the CONE measurements in Figure 9. All three CONE profiles have similar features with a MIL near 80 km and a negative temperature gradient above the MIL extending upward from an altitude between 83 and 85 km. The amplitude of this MIL is between 9 and 14 K and 4 K/km above 85 km. Another MIL is present near 74 km in both the upleg and downleg of 46.009. This MIL has an amplitude between 11 and 16 K and a topside temperature gradient of −6 K/km. The negative temperature gradient above 85 km is consistent with SABER multiyear measurements of the Arctic winter mesopause at 100 km (Xu et al., 2007).

In summary the Rayleigh lidar and CONE temperature measurements show a disturbed stratosphere and mesosphere where there are MILs present. There are multiple altitude regions with negative temperature gradients in the upper mesosphere, and several of these regions are convectively unstable.

3.4. Gravity Waves at Chatanika

We first determine the wave activity over the 40- to 50-km altitude range consistent with previous studies of gravity wave activity at Chatanika that includes waves with vertical wavelengths between 2 and 10 km (Table 2). We find a specific potential energy of 0.8 J/kg. This level of gravity wave activity is among the lowest values measured by Rayleigh lidar at Chatanika (Triplett et al., 2017). In 35 nighttime measurements in January over 13 winters at Chatanika the specific potential energies of gravity waves vary between 0.4 and 12 J/kg with an average value of 2.6 J/kg and only three values less than 0.8 J/kg. Low levels of gravity wave activity have been shown to be consistent with weak winds and low levels of imbalance in the circulation (Triplett et al., 2017). To understand the vertical propagation of gravity waves, we determine the gravity wave activity over two distinct altitude ranges. We consider the gravity wave activity
over a lower range of 37.5 to 52.5 km and an upper range of 62 to 77 km that includes waves with vertical wavelengths between 2 and 15 km. We summarize the wave characteristics in Table 2. In the lower range we find a specific potential energy of 1.7 J/kg. In the upper range we find a specific potential energy of 6.4 J/kg. The specific potential energy increases by a factor of 3.8 corresponding to a growth length of 18 km. The density scale height over this altitude range is 7 km indicating that the specific potential energy of freely propagating gravity waves would increase by a factor of 33. We conclude that these gravity waves are losing energy as they propagate upward.

We find two monochromatic waves in the Rayleigh lidar density profiles. We find a 9.8-hr wave present in both the stratosphere (44 to 51 km) and mesosphere (63 to 73 km). This wave exhibits a downward phase progression consistent with a vertical wavelength of 8 km (±4 km) in the stratosphere and 12 km (±7 km) in the mesosphere. The amplitude of the wave is 0.73% in the stratosphere and 1.3% in the mesosphere. We also find a 2.5-hr wave present in the stratosphere (41 to 50 km) and mesosphere (64 to 77 km). This wave...
2.5-hr wave is only present during the first half of the night until 0000 LST (0900 UT) in the mesosphere. The vertical wavelength of the 2.5-hr wave is 11 km (±5 km) in the stratosphere and 6 km (±4 km) in the mesosphere. The amplitude of the wave is 0.36% in the stratosphere and 1.2% in the mesosphere. We summarize the characteristics of these waves in Table 3. The derived quantities are determined from the measured quantities using gravity wave dispersion and polarization relationships (Hines, 1960). The growth lengths of the 9.8- and 2.5-hr waves are 18 and 10 km, respectively, indicating that the waves are losing energy as they propagate upward. The horizontal phase speeds are greater than the RMS horizontal velocities indicating that the individual waves are linearly stable at these altitudes (Fritts & Rastogi, 1985). However, the waves grow with altitude and by 76 km the combined RMS horizontal velocity of both waves is greater than the horizontal phase speed of the 2.5-hr wave. Thus, the shorter-period 2.5-hr wave may be rendered unstable by the superposition of the longer-period wave and the shorter-period wave as has been documented in other observational studies (Collins & Smith, 2004; Fritts et al., 1997; Williams et al., 2006).

### 3.5. Turbulence at Chatanika

The CONE measurements yielded 140 estimates of turbulence between 70 and 88 km. The RMS relative density fluctuations vary between 0.02% and 0.39% with an average value of 0.1%. Over the 70- to 88-km altitude region the turbulent inner scales vary between 12 and 121 m with an average value of 56 m. The energy dissipation rates vary between 0.1 and 24 mW/kg with an average value of 2.6 mW/kg. We plot the estimates of the energy dissipation rate and the turbulent inner scale with the temperature profiles measured by the CONE instrument in Figure 10. The corresponding heating rates vary between $9 \times 10^{-3}$ K/day and 2 K/day with an average of 0.2 K/day. The corresponding eddy diffusion rates vary between 0.3 m$^2$/s and 134 m$^2$/s with an average of 10 m$^2$/s. The individual turbulent estimates plotted in Figure 10 are not mutually independent as they represent estimates over overlapping 1 s (~1 km) intervals.
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**Figure 11.** Turbulent energy dissipation rate plotted as a function of buoyancy frequency squared derived from MTeX CONE ion gauge measurements. The turbulent energy dissipation rates are separated based on either the presence of a MIL or the temperature gradient; on the bottomside of a MIL (blue circle), on the topside of a MIL (black square), and where the temperature gradient is negative above the MILs (red square with +). The error bars represent one-sigma statistical uncertainties in the measurements. MTeX = Mesosphere-Lower Thermosphere Turbulence Experiment; CONE = Combined Sensor for Neutrals and Electrons; MIL = mesospheric inversion layer.

| Measurement leg | Range     | Mean (median)     | Range     | Mean (median)     |
|-----------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Altitude (km)   | 70.7–76.2 | 72.2 (71.2)      | 79.7–87.2 | 83.5 (83.2)      |
| Inner scale (m) | 16–53    | 26 (21)          | 42–121    | 64 (60)          |
| Energy dissipation rate (mW/kg) | 0.13–0.85 | 0.35 (0.28)     | 0.91–24   | 6.0 (3.4)        |
| Points          | 18        |                  | 40        |                  |
| 46.009 downleg  | Altitude (km) | 71.2–75.4 | 73.1 (72.6) | 81.3–85.9 | 83.1 (82.9) |
| Inner scale (m) | 12–45    | 26 (22)         | 51 (113)  | 80 (79)          |
| Energy dissipation rate (mW/kg) | 0.10–7.2 | 1.6 (0.30)     | 0.16–3.2  | 1.2 (0.89)       |
| Points          | 26        |                  | 36        |                  |
| 46.010 downleg  | Altitude (km) | N/A         | N/A       | 79.4–83.9 | 80.6 (80.0) |
| Inner scale (m) | N/A      | N/A             | 40–93     | 63 (62)         |
| Energy dissipation rate (mW/kg) | N/A     | N/A             | 0.16–15   | 1.9 (0.69)       |
| Points          | N/A      |                  | 20        |                  |
| All three legs  | Altitude (km) | 70.7–76.3 | 72.2 (71.7) | 79.4–87.2 | 82.7 (82.8) |
| Inner scale (m) | 12–53    | 26 (21)         | 40–121    | 70 (72)         |
| Energy dissipation rate (mW/kg) | 0.10–7.2 | 1.1 (0.29)     | 0.16–24   | 3.3 (1.0)        |
| Points          | 44        |                  | 96        |                  |

**Table 4**

*MTeX CONE Measurement of Turbulence*

*Note:* MTeX = Mesosphere-Lower Thermosphere Turbulence Experiment; N/A = not applicable; CONE = Combined Sensor of Neutrals and Electrons.
However, the turbulence occurs in clusters that extend over 1 km in altitude. There are eight clusters in the both the upleg and downleg of 46.009, and there are three clusters in the downleg of 46.010. The absence of turbulence at the lower altitudes in the downleg of 46.010 (lower panel) coincides with the absence of a MIL. Of the 19 turbulence clusters, four coincide with a MIL bottomside, nine coincide with a MIL topside, and six coincide with the negative temperature gradient above the MILs at altitudes above 84 km. To investigate the relationship between turbulence and stability, we consider the relationship between the energy dissipation rate and the square of the buoyancy frequency. In each cluster we identify the maximum estimate of the energy dissipation rate and the corresponding buoyancy frequency. We also determine the location of the turbulence relative to the MILs (i.e., topside or bottomside) and the temperature profile above the MILs (i.e., temperature gradient). We plot these maximum energy dissipation rates as a function of buoyancy frequency squared in Figure 11. While the largest values of energy dissipation rates coincide with the negative temperature gradient at the highest altitudes (0.8–24 mW/kg), the values of the turbulent dissipation rate are similar on both the topside (0.3–11 mW/kg) and bottomside (0.4–7 mW/kg) of the MILs, with no significant difference in the average values. The corresponding values of the eddy diffusion coefficient increase as the buoyancy frequency decreases, and the values are larger in the topside of the MILs (1–134 m²/s) and the negative temperature gradient (4–54 m²/s) than in the bottomside of the MILs (0.4–11 m²/s).

In general, the MTeX measurements show turbulence occurring in two distinct altitude regions with lower values of energy dissipation at lower altitudes (70–77 km) and higher values at higher altitudes (79–88 km). We summarize the turbulence characteristics in Table 4. We find that the inner scale increases with altitude from 26 to 70 m and the corresponding outer scales increase from 129 m to 360 m. The turbulent dissipation rate also increases, by a factor of 3, from 1 to 3 mW/kg over 10 km. These dissipation rates correspond to heating rates of 0.1 and 0.3 K/day.

4. Analysis of Turbulence and Waves

4.1. Waves as a Source of Turbulence

To understand these low levels of turbulence, we consider the gravity waves we identified in section 3.4 as sources of the turbulence. We plot the sodium concentration as a function of altitude and time in the upper panel of Figure 12. The sodium has downward phase progressions typical of wave propagation through the sodium layer and overturning between 80 and 90 km between 2200 LST and 0230 LST (0700–1130 UT). Model studies of such overturning events indicate that these are signatures of waves that are approaching instability (Xu et al., 2006). We also plot the potential temperature and sodium mixing ratio in the lower panel of Figure 12. The potential temperature contours spread apart with a near vertical contour at 2230 LST at the same time as the overturning in the sodium concentration. During this overturning event the sodium mixing ratio also spreads upward. The spreading of the potential temperature and sodium extends over a depth of 3 km in altitude and persists for 2 hr in time. The upward spreading of the 600-K potential temperature contour is consistent with numerical studies of wave breaking where a layer of cooling due to wave advection overlaps a layer of heating due to turbulent diffusion (Liu et al., 2000). High-resolution lidar observations of sodium and temperature have shown that such overturning events are associated with spreading of energy to higher frequencies in the temporal temperature spectrum and generation of smaller-scale motions (Franke & Collins, 2003; Williams et al., 2002).

We use the depth of the wave breaking to estimate the energy that can be deposited by the gravity waves and available for the generation of turbulence. We assume that as the wave dissipates, all the energy that
Figure 13. Turbulent energy dissipation rate, heating rate, and eddy diffusion coefficients as a function of altitude from MTeX and other Arctic rocket-borne measurements. (top) Energy dissipation rate and heating rate. (bottom) Eddy diffusion coefficient. MTeX = Mesosphere-Lower Thermosphere Turbulence Experiment.

4.2. Strength of Turbulence

We compare the MTeX measurements of turbulence to other Arctic measurements. We consider three studies based on Arctic rocket-borne ion gauges (Lübken, 1997 [L97]; Lehmacher et al., 2011 [Letal11], and Szewczyk et al., 2013 [Setal13]). We plot the energy dissipation rates and eddy diffusion coefficients from MTeX and these three investigations in Figure 13. It is important to note that for MTeX, Letal11, and Setal13, the ion gauges made measurements above the maximum altitudes plotted (i.e., 88 km MTeX, 90 km Letal11, 93 km Setal13) but did not detect turbulence. We conclude that there was no detectible turbulence present above these altitudes. The Letal11 and Setal13 profiles were measured on single nights at Chatanika on 17–18 February 2009 and at Andennes on 18–19 December 2010, respectively. The L97-W profile represents the average of 12 wintertime measurements over two winters at Andaya Science Center, Andennes, Norway (69°N, 16°E). The L97-S profile represents the average of seven summertime measurements over three summers at Andennes. The MTeX values of turbulent activity increase with altitude, but the peak values are similar to the peak values from Letal11 and much lower than the peak values from Setal13. The MTeX average values are lower than the L97-W values. The turbulent energy dissipation rates in winter (L97-W) are 10 times less than in summer (L97-S) and have been interpreted to indicate low levels of turbulent dissipation and heating in the wintertime Arctic middle atmosphere. The transition from wintertime to summertime turbulence values has been observed and attributed to seasonal transitions in the breaking of gravity waves associated with changes in the wind regimes (Müllemann et al., 2002).

Both the low values of turbulent activity reported by MTeX and Letal11 are similar to the low values of wintertime turbulence reported by L97. The Letal11 turbulence measurements at Chatanika were accompanied by Rayleigh lidar measurements of the temperature profile and gravity wave activity. The meteorological conditions at Chatanika in both January 2015 and February 2009 are similar. In 2009 there was a major SSW in late January and the middle atmosphere remained disturbed until March (Manney et al., 2009). The Rayleigh lidar temperature profile at Chatanika on the night of 17–18 February 2009 shows a stratosphere that is colder than usual and a mesosphere that is warmer than usual similar to MTeX (Collins et al., 2011). We plot the MERRA-2 wind profiles in Figure 14. The wind profiles on the night of 17–18 February 2009 (18 February UT) show horizontal wind speeds at Chatanika of less than 15 m/s between 100 and 2 hPa (~16 km and ~43 km respectively) similar to MTeX. On both nights the winds are significantly lower than the median winds for January and February at Chatanika. On 17–18 February 2009, like on 25–26 January 2015, the gravity wave
activity in the 40- to 50-km region was low with a specific potential energy of 0.9 J/kg. MTeX and Letal11 report a consistent scenario of low turbulent activity associated with reduced gravity wave activity during a period when the circulation of the stratosphere and mesosphere is disturbed and the winds are weak. Observational studies at Chatanika have shown that weak winds (<15 m/s) in the lower stratosphere block the upward propagation of gravity waves and reduce the gravity wave activity in the lower mesosphere (Thurairajah, Collins, Harvey, Lieberman, & Mizutani, 2010; Thurairajah, Collins, Harvey, Lieberman, Gerding, et al., 2010; Triplett et al., 2017).

In contrast to MTeX and Letal11 the values of turbulence reported by Setal13 are significantly higher. Setal13 report significant wave activity in the upper mesosphere but do not report the stratospheric wave activity. The MERRA-2 wind profiles on the night of 18–19 December 2010 show horizontal wind speeds at Andennes that increase steadily between 100 and 2 hPa (~16 km and ~43 km respectively) to 48 m/s. There was no SSW during the winter of 2010–2011, and the circulation was undisturbed with an unusually strong polar vortex and unprecedented ozone loss in March 2011 (Manney et al., 2011). The higher turbulent activity reported by Setal13 was recorded during a period when the circulation of the stratosphere and mesosphere was undisturbed, winds were strong, and the gravity wave activity was high.

5. Summary and Conclusions

We have presented a study of turbulence in the upper mesosphere-lower thermosphere following a minor SSW in January 2015 where the circulation remained disturbed due to continued planetary wave activity. The study, called MTeX, combined in situ rocket-borne measurements of turbulence with ground-based lidar measurements of gravity wave activity, satellite measurements of planetary wave activity, and reanalysis data of the meteorological conditions. The circulation was characterized by weak winds and the absence of a distinct stratosphere. We find low levels of turbulence coinciding with reduced levels of gravity wave activity. The average turbulent energy dissipation rate was 2.6 mW/kg, and the average eddy diffusion coefficient was 10 m²/s. This average energy dissipation rate corresponds to a heating rate of 0.2 K/day. The turbulence was detected in an altitude region where there were MILs and overturning gravity waves. The turbulence is found on both the bottomside and topside of the MILs as well as regions of negative temperature gradients. The wave overturning event is characterized by upward altitude spreading in both potential temperature and sodium mixing ratio. Investigation of the propagation of the energy in both the ensemble gravity wave field and monochromatic gravity waves confirms that the low levels of turbulence coincide with the low levels of gravity wave activity. The MTeX measurements reveal the occurrence of low levels of turbulence and wave activity in a disturbed winter middle atmosphere where weak winds block the upward propagation of gravity waves. Higher planetary wave activity in the northern hemisphere than the southern hemisphere results in much greater disturbance of the circulation of the Arctic middle atmosphere. We suggest that there may be lower levels of wave-driven turbulence in the wintertime northern hemisphere than in the southern hemisphere. This scenario has been suggested in an earlier model study where the weaker and more variable polar jet in the northern hemisphere results in gravity wave breaking over a greater depth and lower altitude and significant decrease in turbulent diffusion in the MLT than in the southern hemisphere (Becker, 2004).

The MTeX investigation was based on high-resolution density measurements and derived temperature measurements made with ion gauges and lidars. The wave observations are limited to inertia gravity waves with periods of more than 1 hr and vertical wavelengths greater than 2 km. The wave scales and turbulent scales measured during MTeX are separated by an order of magnitude. A sodium resonance wind-temperature lidar is currently being deployed at PFRR and will provide measurements of higher frequency gravity waves. A meteor radar system is currently being deployed at PFRR and will be able to provide wind measurements and characterization of waves and tides in support of future investigations.
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Erratum

In the originally published Figure 6, the lower panel appeared twice. Figure 6 has been replaced and the current version shows the correct upper and lower panels. This version may be considered the authoritative version of record.