Risk factors associated with hypertension in Bhutan: findings from the National Health Survey
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Abstract

Purpose – This study examined the risk factors associated with hypertension in Bhutan.

Design/methodology/approach – The cross-sectional data of 30,889 adults from the National Health Survey of Bhutan was used in this study. Multivariable regression accounting for the complex survey design was performed to identify the risk factors. The backward elimination approach was applied in the multivariable analysis.

Findings – The prevalence of hypertension was 17%. Increasing age, being female, being previously married, higher wealth status, past alcohol use, having diabetes, loneliness, health service use and low vegetable intake was associated with increased hypertension risk, whereas, higher education level, being employed and residing in the eastern region was associated with reduced risk. Age, gender, education, wealth status, diabetes status, loneliness and health service use were common factors in all the regions. Marital status and vegetable intake were associated with hypertension in the western and central regions, and alcohol use in the eastern and central regions.

Originality/value – Affecting around one-sixth of the population, hypertension is a significant public health problem in Bhutan. Interventions to improve health education and access, motivate healthy lifestyles, and reduce harmful alcohol use, and strategies to create health-promoting social and built environments are needed to curb the hypertension epidemic and its consequences.
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Introduction

Responsible for around 9.4m deaths annually, hypertension or high blood pressure is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1, 2]. Hypertension can lead to heart attack, heart failure, stroke, kidney failure and blindness if left untreated and uncontrolled [1]. The global prevalence of increased blood pressure among persons aged more than 18 years was estimated to be 22% in 2015 with higher rates in low- and middle-income countries than in high-income countries [3]. In the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation
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(SAARC) region, the prevalence of hypertension ranges from a low of 13.6% to as high as
47.9% [4]. Claiming around 1.5m lives annually, hypertension is the leading cause of death in
this region [5]. Blood pressure is described as high or increased when the systolic blood
pressure is equal to or above 140 mmHg and/or a diastolic blood pressure equal to or above
90 mmHg [1]. Because the signs and symptoms are usually not evident in most people, it is
referred to as the “silent killer” [1].

Bhutan is a small landlocked Himalayan country in South Asia known for its development
philosophy of Gross National Happiness. The State provides health services free of cost
through its health system that is built upon primary health care principles [6]. Like many
countries in the region, Bhutan is also currently undergoing an epidemiological and
nutritional transition, and the burden of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) are rising [6]. As
per the National Health Survey (NHS) 2012, the prevalence of hypertension was 16% [7]. Some
studies reported a prevalence of as high as 26% among individuals aged 25–74 years [8] to
around 36% among those aged 18–69 years [9]. The administrative data also show an
increasing incidence of hypertension from 310 per 10,000 population in 2009 [10] to 458 in
2015 [11]. The incidence was reported to be 278 per 10,000 in 2017 [11]. The data may not
capture all the cases in the country and thus may not reflect the actual burden. The likely
increase is corroborated by hospital-based data showing an increased use and expense of
anti-hypertensive drugs and the rise in referrals for cardiovascular and related diseases
[6, 12]. Similarly, the burden of NCDs depicts a worrying trend for which hypertension is a
major cause [6]. Intensified efforts are needed to control the epidemic and to prevent the
devastating impact of hypertension in Bhutan.

There is a paucity of epidemiological data on the factors influencing hypertension in
Bhutan. National surveys show a high prevalence of behavioral risk factors such as alcohol
and tobacco use, excess salt consumption, low consumption of fruits and vegetables and
obesity [7, 9]. Being female, with a low income, older in age, with a history of hypertension
and the number of monkhood years were identified as risk factors of hypertension [7, 8, 13].
However, these studies were conducted in a specific population precluding generalizability
and/or do not provide a comprehensive analysis of the risk factors determining
hypertension. A better understanding of the risk factors influencing hypertension can
help identify the population at risk and areas for interventions essential to inform targeted
policies aimed to control the hypertension epidemic. We examined the demographic,
socioeconomic, health and behavioral factors associated with hypertension in Bhutan using
the NHS dataset.

Methods

Study data

This study used the nationally representative dataset of the NHS carried out in 2012 that
covered all the 20 districts in Bhutan [7]. The survey adapted the methodology and
questionnaires from the global demographic and health surveys to suit the Bhutanese
context. The primary sampling units were the urban and rural areas in each district, and the
sample was selected in two stages. Villages in rural areas and blocks in the urban areas were
selected, and households were then listed, sequentially numbered and selected using a
circular systematic selection method. Sampling weights were calculated to account for
differences in sampling fractions and the level of nonresponse. The NHS also calculated the
standard error, confidence interval and design effect for selected indicators to assess the
efficiency of sample design after declaring the complex sampling design. The data were
collected from November 2012 until February 2013. The survey achieved a response rate of
87% for the eligible individuals aged 10–75 years. A detailed description of the methodology
and the findings of the NHS 2012 have been previously published [7]. After excluding 8,883
observations of <18 years, one observation >75 years and 16 without information on hypertension, the final sample for this study was 30,889.

Study variables
Responses to the question “Have you ever been told by a health professional that you have hypertension?” were used to determine hypertension status among males and females aged 18–75 years. The question on the intake of anti-hypertensive medication/therapy was only asked to those who responded positively to having hypertension to this question. For analysis purposes, those who reported having hypertension were coded one (1), and those who reported not having hypertension were coded zero (0).

The independent variables extracted were categorized as demographic, socioeconomic, geographic, health and behavioral factors. Age, gender, and marital status were included under the demographic group, while education level, work status and wealth index were placed under the socioeconomic group. The wealth index was developed using the principal component analysis [7]. The place and region of residence were grouped as geographic factors. The health factors comprised diabetes status (as told by a health professional), feeling lonely and health service use. The variable health service use was extracted from the response to whether the participants visited a health facility for any health concern during the previous 12 months. The number of days in a week a person ate fruit and vegetables, total time spent for vigorous physical activity in a week for work-related and sports/recreational/fitness activities (categorized as ≥300, 150–<300, and <150 minutes per week) and whether they walked and bicycled to places comprised the behavioral factors. This category also included alcohol use (nondrinker, ex-drinker, ≤3 days a month, 1–4 days per week and ≥5 days per week), smoking and use of smokeless tobacco (such as chewing tobacco, snuff and betel quid). The questions on ever having consumed alcohol, alcohol consumption and frequency in the past 12 months were used to define the alcohol use variable.

Statistical analysis
Cross-tabulations and chi-square significance tests were conducted to assess the distribution of hypertension and the univariate associations. Since the multivariable log-binomial regression model failed to converge, Poisson regression with robust error variance was performed to examine the association between the explanatory variables and hypertension risk. The log-binomial and robust Poisson approaches were found to produce comparable estimates and standards errors, and the prevalence ratio was also easier to interpret and communicate [14, 15]. Robust Poisson regression is a modified Poisson regression wherein variance estimates that are robust to error misspecification are obtained using a sandwich estimator [14]. The sandwich estimation helps to account for the potential overestimation of error for the relative risk in Poisson regression employed to analyze binomial data. Unadjusted and adjusted prevalence ratios along with their 95% CIs were generated and reported.

Those variables found significant at the 10% level (p-value < 0.1) in the bivariate analysis were included in the multivariable analysis that was built using backward elimination to identify the significant variables. In the final model, a p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Given the large number of variables and to assess the potential joint predictive capability of variables, the backward elimination approach was used in the analysis. All of the variables were entered again one by one in the final model to reassess their effect. To account for the unequal selection probability arising from multistage sampling, sampling weights and clustering of the NHS, complex samples analysis was applied. The STATA svy command was used to analyze the data. The individual sampling weight variable, strata variable and the primary sampling unit variable provided in the dataset were specified to declare survey design using the STATA svy command set.
Results

Of the 30,889 participants (46% males and 54% females), 5,216 reported having hypertension giving a weighted prevalence of 17%. Table 1 presents the socioeconomic, demographic, geographic, health and behavioral characteristics of the participants and the distribution of hypertension by these characteristics. The mean age of the participants was 39 years (SD: 14.9). The majority of participants were <45 years of age, married, unemployed, resided in the central region and rural areas and had no formal education. Most participants used the health service, did not have diabetes and never felt lonely. A higher proportion of the participants did not eat fruits but ate vegetables (on >5 days a week), had inadequate or <150 minutes of vigorous physical activity per week but walked or bicycled to places, did not consume alcohol and never smoked.

More than 70% of those identified as hypertensive were >35 years of age, females (62.6%), and >80% with hypertension were married. 60% of those with hypertension were unemployed, and around 54% were unemployed. Most respondents with hypertension were from more affluent households, rural areas, the central and western regions, did not have diabetes, never felt lonely and used health services. A majority of those with hypertension also did not eat fruits but ate vegetables (on 4–7 days a week), had inadequate vigorous physical activity (53.7%) but walked or bicycled to places (67.5%), never smoked (82.8%) and were nondrinkers (50.2%).

In Table 2, the bivariate unadjusted analysis showed a higher risk of having hypertension with increasing age, among females, those married and previously married, those from wealthier households, without education, not working and among residents of the western region. Those who used health service, felt lonely, had diabetes, had inadequate vigorous physical activity and did not walk/bicycle to places were former drinkers and consumed vegetables on <4 days a week also had a higher risk of hypertension.

In the multivariable analysis, most of the adjusted prevalence ratios were well below the levels of the corresponding unadjusted prevalence ratios. The association was reduced considerably for marital status. Age, gender and education appeared to explain a large portion of the association between marital status and hypertension. The associations for wealth index and vegetable intake slightly increased. The moderate correlation (r < 0.3) between wealth index and education, and vegetable intake and region of residence might have led to this small increase. Furthermore, the associations for vigorous physical activity and walk/bicycle to places were not statistically significant in the multivariable analysis.

The results showed an increased risk of having hypertension with increasing age with the greatest risk among those aged >64 years (aPR = 3.17, p < 0.001) than those who were 18–24 years old. Females (aPR = 1.33, p < 0.001) were also more likely to have hypertension. Relative to those with high school and above education, the risk of hypertension among respondents with no education, primary education and with monastic and/or nonformal education was higher by 18% (p = 0.006), 26% (p = 0.001) and 34% (p < 0.001), respectively. Furthermore, individuals who were married, previously married and those in the second and higher wealth index category had a significantly greater hypertension risk. The risk of hypertension was also higher among those who had diabetes (aPR = 1.95, p < 0.001) and used health services (aPR = 1.59, p < 0.001) compared to their counterparts. Those who were rarely (aPR = 1.13, p = 0.023), sometimes (aPR = 1.30, p < 0.001) and always (aPR = 1.54, p < 0.001) lonely had a heightened risk of having hypertension than those who never felt lonely. Consumption of vegetables on <4 days a week was also associated with higher risk, with a significant association among those who consumed vegetables for 1–3 days. Those individuals who previously drank alcohol had a higher risk of having hypertension (aPR = 1.24, p < 0.001).

The results also showed that those living in the eastern region (aPR = 0.87, p = 0.002) and those working (aPR = 0.93, p = 0.04) had reduced risk. The associations for most of the
### Variables

| Variables                        | Nonhypertensive n (%) | Hypertensive n (%) | p-value |  
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|
| **Demographic**                 |                       |                   |         |
| **Age (years)**                 |                       |                   |         |
| 18–24                           | 5853 (18.9)           | 5445 (21.2)       | 408 (7.8) |
| 25–34                           | 7911 (25.6)           | 6903 (26.9)       | 1008 (19.3) |
| 35–44                           | 6206 (20.1)           | 5015 (19.5)       | 1191 (22.8) |
| 45–54                           | 5057 (16.4)           | 3935 (15.3)       | 1122 (21.5) |
| 55–64                           | 3639 (11.8)           | 2765 (10.8)       | 874 (16.8) |
| >64                             | 2221 (7.2)            | 1609 (6.3)        | 612 (11.7) |
| Missing/not reported            | 1 (0.1)               | 1 (0.1)           | 1 (0.1) |
| **Gender**                      |                       |                   | <0.001  |
| Male                            | 14132 (45.8)          | 12181 (47.5)      | 1951 (37.4) |
| Female                          | 16757 (54.3)          | 13492 (52.6)      | 3265 (62.6) |
| **Marital status**              |                       |                   | <0.001  |
| Not married                     | 5047 (16.3)           | 4707 (18.3)       | 340 (6.5) |
| Married                         | 22843 (74.0)          | 18633 (72.6)      | 4210 (80.7) |
| Previously married              | 2966 (9.6)            | 2306 (8.9)        | 660 (12.7) |
| Missing/not reported            | 33 (0.1)              | 27 (0.1)          | 6 (0.12) |
| **Socioeconomic**               |                       |                   | <0.001  |
| **Education level**             |                       |                   |         |
| No education                    | 15965 (51.7)          | 12816 (49.9)      | 3149 (60.4) |
| Primary                         | 3732 (12.1)           | 3102 (12.1)       | 630 (12.1) |
| ≥High school                    | 7545 (24.3)           | 6752 (26.3)       | 793 (15.2) |
| Monastic/non-formal education   | 3524 (11.4)           | 2898 (11.3)       | 625 (12.0) |
| Missing/not reported            | 124 (0.4)             | 105 (0.4)         | 19 (0.4) |
| **Work status**                 |                       |                   | <0.001  |
| Not working                     | 15480 (50.1)          | 12642 (49.2)      | 2838 (54.4) |
| Working                         | 15073 (48.8)          | 12739 (49.6)      | 2334 (44.8) |
| Missing/ not reported           | 336 (1.1)             | 292 (1.1)         | 44 (0.8) |
| **Wealth index**                |                       |                   | <0.001  |
| Poorest                         | 5964 (19.3)           | 5201 (20.3)       | 763 (14.6) |
| Second                          | 6373 (20.6)           | 5326 (20.8)       | 1047 (20.1) |
| Middle                          | 6689 (21.7)           | 5524 (21.5)       | 1165 (22.3) |
| Fourth                          | 6303 (20.4)           | 5090 (19.8)       | 1213 (23.3) |
| Richest                         | 5560 (18.0)           | 4532 (17.7)       | 1028 (19.7) |
| **Geographic**                  |                       |                   |         |
| **Place of residence**          |                       |                   | 0.021   |
| Rural                           | 24074 (77.9)          | 20072 (78.2)      | 4002 (76.7) |
| Urban                           | 6815 (22.1)           | 5001 (21.8)       | 1214 (23.3) |
| **Region of residence**         |                       |                   | 0.150   |
| Western                         | 10386 (33.6)          | 8624 (33.6)       | 1762 (33.8) |
| Central                         | 11806 (38.2)          | 9766 (38.0)       | 2040 (39.1) |
| Eastern                         | 8697 (28.2)           | 7283 (28.4)       | 1414 (27.1) |
| **Health**                      |                       |                   | <0.001  |
| **Diabetes status**             |                       |                   |         |
| No                              | 30388 (98.4)          | 25456 (99.2)      | 4932 (94.6) |
| Yes                             | 497 (1.6)             | 213 (0.8)         | 284 (5.4) |
| Missing/not reported            | 4 (0.1)               | 4 (0.1)           | 0       |

Table 1. Characteristics of sample and distribution of hypertension by demographic, socioeconomic, geographic, health and behavioral risk factors (n = 30,889)
| Variables                        | Nonhypertensive n (%) | Hypertensive n (%) | p-value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| **Feel lonely**                 |                       |                    |         |
| Never                           | 19393 (62.8)          | 16514 (64.3)       | 2879 (55.2) |
| Rarely                          | 4518 (14.6)           | 3723 (14.5)        | 796 (15.2) |
| Sometimes                       | 6629 (21.5)           | 5196 (20.2)        | 1433 (27.5) |
| Always                          | 326 (1.02)            | 221 (0.9)          | 105 (2.0) |
| Missing/not reported            | 23 (0.1)              | 19 (0.1)           | 4 (0.1)  |
| **Health service use**          |                       |                    | <0.001  |
| No                              | 11105 (36.0)          | 9884 (38.5)        | 1221 (23.4) |
| Yes                             | 19751 (63.9)          | 15758 (61.4)       | 3993 (76.6) |
| Missing/not reported            | 33 (0.1)              | 31 (0.1)           | 2 (0.1)  |
| **Behavioral**                  |                       |                    |         |
| Vegetable servings per week     | 0.067                 |                    |         |
| (days)                          |                       |                    |         |
| 0                               | 930 (3.0)             | 770 (3.0)          | 160 (3.1) |
| 1–3                             | 7414 (24.0)           | 6092 (23.7)        | 1322 (25.4) |
| 4–5                             | 9963 (32.3)           | 8330 (32.5)        | 1633 (31.3) |
| 6–7                             | 11709 (37.9)          | 9739 (37.9)        | 1970 (37.8) |
| Missing/not reported            | 873 (2.8)             | 742 (2.9)          | 131 (2.5) |
| Fruit servings per week (days)  | 0.001                 |                    |         |
| 0                               | 17189 (55.7)          | 14421 (56.2)       | 2768 (33.1) |
| 1–3                             | 8014 (25.9)           | 6599 (25.7)        | 1415 (27.13) |
| 4–5                             | 2666 (8.6)            | 2190 (8.5)         | 476 (9.1) |
| 6–7                             | 2089 (6.8)            | 1698 (6.6)         | 391 (7.5) |
| Missing/not reported            | 931 (3.0)             | 765 (3.0)          | 166 (3.2) |
| Vigorous physical activity      | <0.001                |                    |         |
| ≥300 mins per week              | 13761 (44.6)          | 11617 (45.3)       | 2144 (41.1) |
| 150–<300 mins per week          | 1851 (6.0)            | 1581 (6.2)         | 270 (5.2) |
| <150 mins per week              | 15276 (49.4)          | 12474 (48.6)       | 2802 (53.7) |
| Missing/not reported            | 1 (0.1)               | 1 (0)              | 0        |
| Walk and bicycle                | 0.002                 |                    |         |
| Yes                             | 21460 (69.5)          | 17940 (70.0)       | 3520 (67.5) |
| No                              | 9419 (30.5)           | 7724 (30.1)        | 1695 (32.5) |
| Missing/not reported            | 10 (0.1)              | 9 (0.1)            | 1 (0.1)  |
| Ever smoked                     | <0.001                |                    |         |
| No                              | 26125 (84.6)          | 21805 (84.9)       | 4330 (82.8) |
| Yes                             | 4758 (15.4)           | 3862 (15.0)        | 896 (17.2) |
| Missing/not reported            | 6 (0.1)               | 6 (0.1)            | 0 (0)    |
| Smokeless tobacco               | 0.021                 |                    |         |
| No                              | 15538 (50.3)          | 12996 (50.6)       | 2542 (48.7) |
| Yes                             | 15326 (49.6)          | 12654 (49.3)       | 2672 (51.2) |
| Missing/not reported            | 25 (0.1)              | 23 (0.1)           | 2 (0.1)  |
| Alcohol use                     | <0.001                |                    |         |
| Nondrinker                      | 16202 (52.5)          | 13586 (52.9)       | 2616 (50.2) |
| Past drinker                    | 3971 (11.9)           | 2774 (10.8)        | 897 (17.2) |
| ≤3 days a month                 | 3531 (11.4)           | 2951 (11.4)        | 600 (11.5) |
| 1–4 days per week               | 2999 (9.7)            | 2577 (10.0)        | 422 (8.1) |
| ≥5 days per week                | 4452 (14.4)           | 3777 (14.7)        | 675 (12.9) |
| Missing/not reported            | 34 (0.1)              | 28 (0.1)           | 6 (0.1)  |

**Note(s):** 1 Chi-square test of significance; 2 Includes divorced, separated and widowed

Table 1.
| Variables                  | PR (95% CI)          | p-value | aPR (95% CI)   | p-value |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| **Demographic**           |                      |         |                |         |
| **Age (ref: 18–24)**      |                      |         |                |         |
| 25–34                     | 1.81 (1.60–2.05)     | <0.001  | 1.46 (1.25–1.70)| <0.001  |
| 35–44                     | 2.66 (2.25–3.14)     | <0.001  | 2.03 (1.64–2.51)| <0.001  |
| 45–54                     | 3.21 (2.79–3.69)     | <0.001  | 2.49 (2.07–3.00)| <0.001  |
| 55–64                     | 3.51 (2.98–4.13)     | <0.001  | 2.64 (2.19–3.18)| <0.001  |
| >64                       | 4.02 (3.50–4.61)     | <0.001  | 3.17 (2.59–3.88)| <0.001  |
| **Gender (ref: Male)**    |                      |         |                |         |
| Female                    | 1.42 (1.34–1.50)     | <0.001  | 1.33 (1.23–1.43)| <0.001  |
| **Marital status (ref: not married)** |  |   |       |         |
| Married                   | 2.76 (2.36–3.22)     | <0.001  | 1.53 (1.22–1.93)| <0.001  |
| Previously married        | 3.24 (2.78–3.79)     | <0.001  | 1.35 (1.09–1.67)| 0.006   |
| **Socioeconomic**         |                      |         |                |         |
| **Education level (ref: ≥High school)** |  |   |       |         |
| No education              | 1.82 (1.68–1.99)     | <0.001  | 1.18 (1.05–1.32)| 0.006   |
| Primary                   | 1.56 (1.37–1.77)     | <0.001  | 1.26 (1.10–1.45)| 0.001   |
| Monastic/non-formal education | 1.60 (1.39–1.82)    | <0.001  | 1.34 (1.19–1.52)| <0.001  |
| **Work status (ref: Not working)** |  |   |       |         |
| Working                   | 0.82 (0.78–0.87)     | <0.001  | 0.93 (0.87–0.99)| 0.045   |
| **Wealth index (ref: Poorest)** |  |   |       |         |
| Second                    | 1.33 (1.13–1.56)     | 0.001   | 1.29 (1.14–1.48)| <0.001  |
| Middle                    | 1.42 (1.18–1.71)     | <0.001  | 1.43 (1.20–1.69)| <0.001  |
| Fourth                    | 1.62 (1.35–1.93)     | <0.001  | 1.73 (1.48–2.03)| <0.001  |
| Richest                   | 1.44 (1.18–1.77)     | 0.001   | 1.65 (1.37–1.99)| <0.001  |
| **Geographic**            |                      |         |                |         |
| **Place of residence (ref: Urban)** |  |   |       |         |
| Rural                     | 1.07 (0.98–1.17)     | 0.126   |                |         |
| **Region of residence (ref: Western)** |  |   |       |         |
| Central                   | 1.02 (0.94–1.11)     | 0.657   | 1.02 (0.93–1.11)| 0.737   |
| Eastern                   | 0.91 (0.80–1.03)     | 0.113   | 0.87 (0.80–0.95)| 0.002   |
| **Health**                |                      |         |                |         |
| **Diabetes status (ref: No)** |  |   |       |         |
| Yes                       | 3.30 (2.96–3.68)     | <0.001  | 1.95 (1.73–2.19)| <0.001  |
| **Feel lonely (ref: Never)** |  |   |       |         |
| Rarely                    | 1.21 (1.10–1.32)     | <0.001  | 1.13 (1.02–1.26)| 0.023   |
| Sometimes                 | 1.47 (1.38–1.58)     | <0.001  | 1.30 (1.22–1.38)| <0.001  |
| Always                    | 1.93 (1.65–2.25)     | <0.001  | 1.54 (1.26–1.88)| <0.001  |
| **Health service use (ref: No)** |  |   |       |         |
| Yes                       | 1.78 (1.66–1.91)     | <0.001  | 1.59 (1.48–1.71)| <0.001  |
| **Behavioral**            |                      |         |                |         |
| Vegetable servings per week (ref: 6–7 days) |  |   |       |         |
| 4–5                       | 0.98 (0.93–1.04)     | 0.516   | 0.98 (0.92–1.04)| 0.523   |
| 1–3                       | 1.08 (1.01–1.16)     | 0.020   | 1.16 (1.07–1.26)| 0.001   |
| 0                         | 1.15 (0.92–1.42)     | 0.216   | 1.22 (0.97–1.54)| 0.085   |

Table 2. Risk factors associated with hypertension in the bivariate and multivariable Poisson regressions (continued)
variables were in similar directions in the analysis that was stratified by region of residence (Table 3). The common factors associated with hypertension across the regions were age, gender, education, wealth status, diabetes status, loneliness and health service use. However, marital status and vegetable consumption were significantly associated with hypertension only in the western and central regions, whereas the association for alcohol use was only significant in the eastern and central regions. Working status was associated with hypertension only in the western region.

Discussion
This study examined the factors associated with hypertension in Bhutan using a nationally representative dataset. The prevalence of self-reported hypertension among adults was 17% in 2012. The exclusion of participants who were <18 years in our study could have led to the nominal difference when compared to the prevalence in the 2012 NHS report (16%). The 2014 World Health Organization (WHO)’s STEPwise survey conducted in Bhutan, however, reported a much higher rate of 35.7%. The heterogeneity in the methodology between the surveys, especially in assessing hypertension status, could have led to this variation. Such a gap in self-reported and diagnosed hypertension was also documented in a previous study in urban Bhutan [8]. We found that older age, being female, being married and previously married, low education, higher economic status and residing in the western region were the factors associated with having hypertension. The use of health services, past alcohol use, feeling lonely and having diabetes was also associated with increased risk.

The escalating risk of hypertension with increasing age found in our study is consistent with the evidence in the literature [16–19]. The walls of the aorta and arteries become stiff with older age contributing to higher prevalence among older people [17]. Because age is a nonmodifiable factor, the focus needs to be on healthy aging policies that also include the promotion of healthy diet and weight, physical activity and improving the features of the built and social environment to enable lifestyle changes [20]. Interventions to promote healthy aging becomes more relevant given the changing population age structure with increasing

| Variables | PR (95% CI) | p-value | aPR (95% CI) | p-value |
|-----------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Fruit servings per week (ref: 6–7 days) | | | | |
| 4–5 | 0.95 (0.81–1.11) | 0.485 | | |
| 1–3 | 0.97 (0.83–1.13) | 0.663 | | |
| 0 | 0.90 (0.79–1.02) | 0.089 | | |
| Vigorous physical activity (ref: ≥300 mins) | | | | |
| 150–<300 mins per week | 0.95 (0.82–1.11) | 0.535 | | |
| <150 mins per week | 1.16 (1.06–1.27) | 0.001 | | |
| Walk and bicycle (ref: Yes) | | | | |
| No | 1.08 (1.01–1.16) | 0.027 | | |
| Ever smoked (ref: No) | | | | |
| Yes | 1.08 (0.97–1.19) | 0.163 | | |
| Smokeless tobacco (ref: No) | | | | |
| Yes | 1.08 (0.99–1.17) | 0.061 | | |
| Alcohol use (ref: Non-drinker) | | | | |
| Past drinker | 1.44 (1.31–1.57) | <0.001 | 1.24 (1.14–1.35) | <0.001 |
| ≤3 days a month | 1.02 (0.88–1.18) | 0.775 | 1.11 (0.96–1.29) | 0.176 |
| 1–4 days per week | 0.85 (0.73–0.99) | 0.046 | 0.92 (0.78–1.09) | 0.323 |
| ≥5 days per week | 0.89 (0.77–1.01) | 0.079 | 0.88 (0.78–1.00) | 0.050 |

Note(s): 1Includes divorced, separated and widowed

Table 2.
| Variables                        | Western aPR (95% CI) | Central aPR (95% CI) | Eastern aPR (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Demographic                     |                      |                      |                      |
| Age (ref: 18–24)                |                      |                      |                      |
| 25–34                           | 1.38 (1.10–1.73)     | 1.52 (1.27–1.82)     | 1.66 (1.32–2.10)     |
| 35–44                           | 1.91 (1.40–2.61)     | 2.11 (1.66–2.70)     | 2.39 (1.87–2.05)     |
| 45–54                           | 2.57 (1.99–3.31)     | 2.39 (1.84–3.09)     | 2.69 (2.05–3.53)     |
| 55–64                           | 2.62 (2.03–3.40)     | 2.37 (1.91–2.95)     | 3.25 (2.61–4.05)     |
| >64                             | 3.36 (2.45–4.62)     | 2.91 (2.27–3.72)     | 3.51 (2.73–4.51)     |
| Gender (ref: Male)              |                      |                      |                      |
| Female                          |                      |                      |                      |
| 1.21 (1.11–1.32)                | 1.45 (1.36–1.54)     | 1.42 (1.25–1.62)     |
| Marital status (ref: Not married)|                      |                      |                      |
| Married                         |                      |                      |                      |
| 1.63 (1.09–2.45)                | 1.69 (1.37–2.10)     |                       |                      |
| Previously married¹             | 1.41 (0.97–2.04)     | 1.57 (1.24–1.98)     |                       |
| Socioeconomic                   |                      |                      |                      |
| Education level (ref: ≥High school) |                      |                      |                      |
| No education                    | 1.18 (1.01–1.37)     | 1.17 (1.01–1.37)     | 1.23 (0.98–1.53)     |
| Primary                         | 1.16 (0.97–1.40)     | 1.23 (1.02–1.49)     | 1.66 (1.39–1.99)     |
| Monastic/non-formal education   | 1.11 (0.91–1.36)     | 1.44 (1.20–1.73)     | 1.59 (1.23–2.06)     |
| Work status (ref: Not working)  |                      |                      |                      |
| Working                         | 0.87 (0.78–0.96)     |                       |                      |
| Wealth index (ref: Poorest)     |                      |                      |                      |
| Second                          | 1.47 (1.14–1.90)     | 1.12 (0.92–1.36)     | 1.36 (1.13–1.63)     |
| Middle                          | 1.51 (1.18–1.93)     | 1.32 (1.06–1.65)     | 1.54 (1.27–1.86)     |
| Fourth                          | 1.97 (1.62–2.40)     | 1.46 (1.17–1.81)     | 1.75 (1.50–2.05)     |
| Richest                         | 1.80 (1.40–2.31)     | 1.60 (1.25–2.05)     | 1.88 (1.50–2.35)     |
| Health                          |                      |                      |                      |
| Diabetes status (ref: No)       |                      |                      |                      |
| Yes                             | 1.94 (1.51–2.50)     | 2.06 (1.88–2.26)     | 1.93 (1.60–2.33)     |
| Feel lonely (ref: Never)        |                      |                      |                      |
| Rarely                          | 1.22 (1.05–1.42)     | 1.01 (0.90–1.12)     | 1.27 (1.04–1.55)     |
| Sometimes                       | 1.25 (1.11–1.41)     | 1.25 (1.06–1.47)     | 1.40 (1.24–1.59)     |
| Always                          | 1.28 (0.88–1.86)     | 2.19 (1.50–3.18)     | 1.44 (1.04–2.00)     |
| Health service use (ref: No)    |                      |                      |                      |
| Yes                             | 1.51 (1.34–1.70)     | 1.50 (1.29–1.75)     | 1.79 (1.52–2.10)     |
| Behavioral                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Vegetable servings per week (ref: 6–7 days) |                      |                      |                      |
| 4–5                             | 0.87 (0.77–0.99)     | 1.18 (1.04–1.33)     |                       |
| 1–3                             | 1.22 (1.04–1.42)     | 1.27 (1.15–1.41)     |                       |
| 0                               | 1.32 (0.94–1.87)     | 1.33 (0.94–1.89)     |                       |
| Alcohol use (ref: Non-drinker)   |                      |                      |                      |
| Past drinker                    |                      |                      |                      |
| 3 days a month                  |                       |                       |                      |
| 4–5                             | 1.34 (1.18–1.51)     | 1.32 (1.16–1.51)     |                       |
| 1–4 days per week               | 1.14 (0.95–1.37)     | 0.84 (0.65–1.08)     |                       |
| ≥5 days per week                | 0.89 (0.75–1.07)     | 0.89 (0.73–1.09)     |                       |
| Note(s): ¹Includes divorced, separated and widowed | | | |
life expectancy and declining birth rates [11]. This will undoubtedly lead to greater rates of hypertension and associated chronic diseases in the older population. Females were more likely to have hypertension than men. This aligns with some studies [18, 21, 22]. Higher prevalence of overweight and obesity, lower physical activity levels [9] and consumption of energy-dense foods among females could be some possible explanations. In another similar to the finding in a study (23), those study [23], those married and previously married also had a higher risk of hypertension. Although those married and previously married may be much older, putting them at an increased risk, the literature suggests married individuals are healthier, whereas those previously married (widowed/divorced/separated) have the poorest health followed by those who are single [24]. The greater risk among married individuals in this study may also be a statistical artifact and represent other correlations.

We found that those with low education and monastic and or nonformal education had higher hypertension risk. This may reflect a lack of health awareness among these groups. Those with low education may be socioeconomically disadvantaged, which include low income, unemployment, poor job conditions, job strain, which is shown to be associated with hypertension [25]. This is partly supported by the finding of a reduced probability of hypertension among working individuals in our study as well as a study from Bangladesh [22]. Employed individuals may also be better educated. The sedentary lifestyle and consumption of a less healthy diet in monastic institutions in Bhutan might have also contributed to the higher risk in this group [13]. Moreover, the majority of nonformal education learners were females (>70%), and our data also show increased hypertension risk among females. Health education programs and the formulation of health education modules into monastic and nonformal learning programs may increase awareness of disease prevention and potentially motivate health service use and lifestyle changes.

Consistent with some studies [16, 22, 23], we found that hypertension risk was higher among those from wealthier households. Limited physical activity and greater exposure and subsequent consumption of less healthy diets attributable to higher purchasing power might explain this pattern. Results show that those who ate fewer vegetables had a heightened risk of hypertension. Fruit and vegetable consumption have been shown to prevent hypertension, although the effect seems stronger for fruit consumption [26, 27]. High alcohol intake is an important risk factor for hypertension [17, 19]. Aligning with other studies [28, 29], the results showed former drinkers had an increased risk of hypertension. Although the associations were not strong, current drinkers seemingly had reduced risk. Owing to health problems, including those related to hypertension, former drinkers might have quit drinking, and separating former from current drinkers could have led to selecting a relatively healthy current drinker sample [29] in this study. The high alcohol consumption prevalence (50% in men and 33% in women) and related mortality in Bhutan call for urgent public health interventions [9, 11].

Our finding suggests that those living in the eastern part of the country are less likely to have hypertension. Eastern Bhutan is seemingly less developed and urbanized [30], and the majority of the people live on sustenance farming that might enable people to be active physically. Additionally, junk food availability and consumption, also an outcome of urbanization, may be comparatively less in the east. Studies show that generally urban populations are at much higher risk of hypertension [4, 16, 22]. However, a low health service utilization and awareness in eastern Bhutan might have led to a reduced likelihood of being diagnosed, and the result may also reflect the impact of migration of the eastern population to the western and central regions [6]. Similar to another study [18], we found that health service use was positively associated with hypertension. Accessibility to health services can result in increased health education that, in turn, can encourage health screening. However, it is conceivable that those with health conditions may be also the ones utilizing health services.

The analysis stratified by region of residence showed that marital status, working status and vegetable intake were not significantly associated with hypertension in the eastern
region. This potentially suggests that other common factors identified may be more important in influencing hypertension in the east than these factors. The analysis showed that the association for alcohol was not statistically significant in the western region. The relatively higher prevalence of alcohol use in the central (51.3%) and eastern (56.9%) regions compared to the western (35.4%) region may explain this finding.

We found increasing intensity of loneliness to be significantly associated with increased risk of hypertension, indicating a plausible dose–response relationship. Loneliness is an important risk factor for heightened blood pressure levels [25, 31, 32]. Loneliness may influence hypertension through elevated total peripheral resistance (TPR) levels, the main cause of high blood pressure, which has been found to be persistently increased in lonely individuals [32]. Changes in cortisol regulation and endothelial function and excess apprehension for one’s safety and security with others have also been posited to be some probable pathways [31]. Interventions to enhance social skills and support, creating opportunities for social interactions, meditation and cognitive behavioral therapy may help reduce the adverse health impact of loneliness [32]. As expected and evident in some other studies [17, 22], diabetes also emerged to be associated with hypertension in our study.

Strengths and limitations
The strengths of this study include a large nationally representative sample and a high response rate. The use of the survey data analysis method to account for the complex survey design effect also lends the results credible.

Nevertheless, the study had several limitations. First, the cross-sectional design of the study precludes drawing any causal inferences. The results are prone to recall bias since demographic and health surveys are retrospective in nature. Similarly, all the measures, including the dependent variable, were obtained through interviews and thus could have been underreported. This might have potentially underestimated the prevalence and associations identified in this study. Finally, owing to the nonavailability of data in the NHS, we could not assess the influence of some factors such as body mass index and sodium intake, which are shown to be more important predictors of hypertension [4, 16–18, 21, 22, 26]. The intake of salt among the Bhutanese population was found to be almost two times that of the WHO-recommended quantity [9]. Future studies need to use better measures and also investigate those factors not examined in this study.

Conclusions
Hypertension is a significant public health problem in Bhutan, and one-sixth of the population had increased blood pressure. Finding shows age, gender, marital status, wealth status, employment, education, the region of residence, alcohol use, diabetes status, health service use, loneliness and low vegetable intake to be associated with the risk of having hypertension. The findings may help inform targeted policy investments for different sections of the population to reduce the hypertension burden.

Potential approaches to lower the hypertension burden include increasing awareness on prevention, reducing harmful alcohol use and gender-focused prevention interventions, including screening programs. Lifestyle modification programs such as increasing physical activity, healthy dietary intake and weight loss should form an integral part of the national strategy. Strategies to enhance health utilization can also identify individuals at risk and facilitate early detection. The health sector, in collaboration with other relevant sectors such as urban and transport planning agencies, needs to develop and implement plans aimed to create or change the physical and social environment that promotes health and enable lifestyle changes. Similar studies are required in the future to better understand the changing epidemiology of hypertension in Bhutan. Targeted interventions are urgently needed to reduce the growing hypertension epidemic and its health and economic consequences.
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