Data Article

Characterisation data for open-air processed common water reed (*Phragmites australis*) ash and papyrus (*Cyperus papyrus*) ash

Martin Aluga\textsuperscript{a,b,}\textsuperscript{*}, Chewie Kambole\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a}Civil Engineering and Construction Department, Copperbelt University, Kitwe, Zambia
\textsuperscript{b}School of Industrial Engineering, Universidad de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain

**A R T I C L E   I N F O**

**Article history:**
Received 25 May 2022
Revised 18 June 2022
Accepted 21 June 2022
Available online 26 June 2022

**Dataset link:** Characterisation Data (PSD, FTIR, XRF and SEM) for Lignocellulosic Biopozzolans (Original data)

**Keywords:**
Cement
Common water reed ash (CWRA)
Cyperus papyrus ash (CPA)
Scanning electron microscope (SEM)
Sustainable development

**A B S T R A C T**

Currently, there are a lot of discussions on the production of sustainable cement for construction purposes, unlike the conventional ordinary Portland cement (OPC), as its production, transportation, and application contribute to the generation of greenhouse gases, hence, climate change. Consequently, limestone, the primary material used to produce OPC, is non-renewable. Therefore, there is a need to use sustainable materials to make cementitious materials to achieve sustainable construction. This has led to a lot of research focusing on the valorisation of agricultural wastes and less economical, no-food lignocellulosic plants in producing sustainable and environmentally friendly cementitious materials commonly known as Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs). The agrowastes ashes include rice husk ash (RHA), sugarcane bagasse ash (SCBA), and corn cob ash (CCA), among others. In contrast, the lignocellulosic plants’ ashes include common water reed ash (CWRA) and cyperus papyrus ash (CPA). There has been the belief that these poz- zolanic materials are homogenous. However, these ashes are highly heterogeneous when they undergo microscopic analysis. Therefore, the current data paper provides Laser Diffraction Spectroscopy (LD) for Particle Size Distribution (PSD), Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), X-Ray Fluoro-
rescence (XRF), and Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) data for unprocessed CWRA and CPA in the form of tables, micrographs, and figures for microscopic analysis. This data helps characterise and evaluate CWRA and CPA’s potential as pozzolanic materials, especially as road construction materials, and will be beneficial for other scientists to better understand unprocessed CWRA and CPA mineral information development biologically inspired materials for biologically inspired materials sustainable development across many disciplines.
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Specifications Table

| Subject                  | Engineering |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| Specific subject area   | Materials Characterisation |
| Type of data            | Tables, Figures, and Images |
| How data were acquired  | Particle size distribution, spectroscopic, and microscopic data used to classify lignocellulosic bio-pozzolans for engineering applications are explored. |
| Data format             | Raw, Analysed |
| Parameters for data collection | Particle size distribution (PSD) data were obtained using laser diffraction (LS) mastersizer 2000. FT-IR spectra were obtained using BRUKER TENSOR 27 in 4500–500 cm\(^{-1}\). The chemical compositions of CWRA and CPA were characterised by the X-ray fluorescent (XRF) BRUKER model S8 TIGER XRF spectrometer. The Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) data, the Hitachi FlexSEM 1000, was used after gold plating. |
| Data source location    | Common Water Reed (Phragmites australis) were obtained on the banks of River Kafue in Zambia, while Cyperus papyrus (CP) was obtained from the banks of the River Nile in Uganda. Further details of the locations are provided in Table 3. |
| Description of data collection | The CWR and CP were sun-dried and burned on a hard surface to avoid contamination by foreign materials. After cooling, the CWRA and CPA were sampled in airtight polythene bags for microscopic analysis using Laser Diffraction Spectroscopy (LD) for Particle Size Distribution (PSD), FT-IR, XRF spectroscopy, and SEM. |
| Data accessibility      | The data is available in the article (https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/n3vzfpkt9p/1). |

Value of the Data

- Microscopic analysis requires expensive equipment and is time-consuming. These data fully show the ultra-structures of the unprocessed CWRA and CPA as green pozzolanic materials based on Laser Diffraction, FT-IR, Raman spectra, and SEM micrographs be useful for researchers who do not have access to these types of equipment.
- The data presented here are valuable to researchers investigating the partial replacement of cement in all types of concrete with CWRA and CPA.
- Other researchers may use these data to better understand CWRA and CPA mineral information to develop biologically inspired materials and extract green nanoparticles/nanomaterials.
- The data are relevant for government agencies seeking a classification system to characterise ashes from CWRA and CPA as pozzolanic materials.
1. Data Description

The data obtained show particle size distribution (PSD) by Laser Diffraction (LS) method, FT-IR spectra, chemical composition XRF data, and SEM micrographs of CWRA and CPA specimen ashes. The collected data includes three (3) tables, three (3) figures, and raw data to be found on the following link: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/n3vzfpkt9p/1. The three tables and figures are described within where they appear in the article. The supplementary data No. 1 include the raw data for particle size distribution as obtained from the Mastersizer 2000. The supplementary data No. 2 contains the raw data from the Fourier transform infrared analyses using the BRUKER Tensor 47. The supplementary data No. 3 contains the raw SEM micrographs as obtained from the Hitachi FlexSEM 1000.

1.1. Particle Size Distribution

Particle size distribution is a valuable characteristic of materials, especially pozzolanic materials. It defines the reactivity of the material. Coarse materials and fine materials react differently. The most common approach for expressing laser diffraction results is to report the D10, D50, and D90 values based on a volume distribution. Table 1 details the D10, D50, and D90 of CWRA and CPA under different LS conditions, including obscuration. The raw data is provided as Supplementary material No. 1-particle size distribution.

1.2. FT-IR Spectra

FTIR spectral identified the critical chemical compound existing in the unprocessed lignocellulosic bio-pozzolan (CWRA and CPA), as revealed in Fig. 1(https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/n3vzfpkt9p/1) [1,2]. The FT-IR raw data is provided as Supplementary material No. 2-FTIR Raw Data.

| No. | Sample Name                        | d (0.1) | d (0.5) | d (0.9) |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1.  | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_60%       | 4.803   | 26.759  | 165.841 |
| 2.  | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_60%       | 6.131   | 36.793  | 214.794 |
| 3.  | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_60%       | 6.382   | 51.440  | 92.721  |
| 4.  | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_60% - Average | 5.815   | 40.707  | 134.518 |
| 5.  | Averaged Result_2measurements     | 5.369   | 31.449  | 188.865 |
| 6.  | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_50%       | 4.618   | 25.575  | 165.264 |
| 7.  | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_50%       | 4.997   | 28.424  | 164.278 |
| 8.  | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_50%       | 10.731  | 68.923  | 325.313 |
| 9.  | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_50% - Average | 5.983   | 39.417  | 246.063 |
| 10. | Averaged Result_CWRA_unp_1_4_5    | 4.800   | 26.900  | 165.114 |
| 11. | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_40%       | 4.602   | 25.115  | 151.737 |
| 12. | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_40%       | 5.030   | 28.261  | 155.859 |
| 13. | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_40%       | 4.860   | 27.572  | 157.230 |
| 14. | CWRA-Unprocessed_1.6bar_40% - Average | 4.822   | 26.949  | 155.030 |
| 15. | CPA-Unprocessed_1.6BAR_40%        | 5.333   | 32.124  | 166.574 |
| 16. | CPA-Unprocessed_1.6BAR_40%        | 6.607   | 41.848  | 226.162 |
| 17. | CPA-Unprocessed_1.6BAR_40%        | 6.585   | 40.597  | 195.952 |
| 18. | CPA-Unprocessed_1.6BAR_40% - Average | 6.093   | 38.015  | 196.081 |
| 19. | CPA-Unprocessed_1.6BAR_50%        | 5.754   | 35.013  | 175.473 |
| 20. | CPA-Unprocessed_1.6BAR_50%        | 6.697   | 40.614  | 189.629 |
| 21. | CPA-Unprocessed_1.6BAR_50%        | 6.656   | 41.108  | 194.824 |
| 22. | CPA-Unprocessed_1.6BAR_50% - Average | 6.330   | 38.854  | 186.661 |
Table 2
The oxides composition of CWRA and CPA.

| Oxide   | CPA Concentration | Oxide   | CWRA Concentration |
|---------|-------------------|---------|--------------------|
| SiO₂    | 33.70%            | SiO₂    | 63.40%             |
| K₂O     | 28.20%            | K₂O     | 7.60%              |
| Cl      | 4.10%             | CaO     | 5.90%              |
| CaO     | 2.70%             | P₂O₅    | 2.60%              |
| P₂O₅    | 2.10%             | MgO     | 2.20%              |
| Na₂O    | 1.40%             | Cl      | 0.60%              |
| MgO     | 1.20%             | Al₂O₃   | 0.60%              |
| Al₂O₃   | 0.50%             | Fe₂O₃   | 0.40%              |
| MnO     | 0.30%             | SO₃     | 0.30%              |
| Fe₂O₃   | 0.30%             | MnO     | 0.10%              |
| SO₃     | 0.30%             | Na₂O    | 0.05%              |
| Br      | 0.06%             | TiO₂    | 0.04%              |
| BaO     | 0.04%             | SrO     | 0.04%              |
| TiO₂    | 0.03%             | BaO     | 0.04%              |
| ZnO     | 0.02%             | ZnO     | 0.01%              |
| SrO     | 0.02%             | ZrO₂    | 0.01%              |
| Rb₂O    | 0.01%             | LOI     | 16.50%             |
| LOI     | 24.30%            |         |                    |

1.3. X-Ray Fluorescence

The chemical compositions of CWRA and CPA were characterised by the X-ray fluorescent (XRF) BRUKER model S8 TIGER XRF spectrometer. Table 2 shows the results of the experiment which are uploaded on https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/n3vzfpkt9p/1.
1.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy

**Fig. 2.** SEM micrographs for CWRA and CPA specimens at different magnifications.

Fig. 2 shows the sampled SEM micrographs for CWRA and CPA specimens. The ImageJ software can analyse the micrographs to determine the diameters, areas and length \[3,4\]. The raw SEM micrographs are provided as Supplementary material No. 3-SEM Raw Data uploaded at [https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/n3vzfpkt9p/1](https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/n3vzfpkt9p/1).
Table 3
Sample collection locations in Uganda and Zambia.

| Name             | Location/Country      | Coordinates         |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Common Water Reeds | Copperbelt, Zambia    | 12°47'24.36"S 28°15'26.48"E 1176m |
| Cyperus Papyrus   | Adjumani, Uganda      | 3°26'3.61"N 31°39'28.30"E 621m |

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

2.1. Synthesis of CWRA and CPA

The common water reeds were obtained on river Kafue in Zambia, while the cyperus papyrus were obtained from Adjumani on River Nile in Uganda. The details of the locations of collecting these samples are provided in Table 3. The Fig. 3 shows the process chart for obtaining the open-air processed Common Water Reed (Phragmites australis) Ash and Cyperus Papyrus (Cyperus Papyrus) Ash. The general process involves cutting the samples from their natural habitats, drying them on hard surface and burning them in open-air under uncontrolled conditions. Therefore, representative samples are collected and packaged in airtight polythene bags for advanced materials characterisation.

2.2. Characterisation of the Unprocessed CWRA and CPA

2.2.1. Laser Diffraction Spectroscopy

Particle size distribution (PSD) data were obtained using laser diffraction (LS) Malvern Mastersizer 2000 as adopted by different authors [5–9]. The data were obtained at different obscuration between 60% to 40% under constant 1.6 bars.

2.2.2. Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) spectra were recorded using BRUKER TENSOR 27 in the range of 4500–500 cm\(^{-1}\) as reported by different researchers [10–12]. Several runs were made to get the most uniform spectra.

2.2.3. X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectroscopy

The chemical compositions of CWRA and CPA were characterised by a high performance X-Ray Fluorescent (XRF) spectrometer (Model: S8 TIGER, Bruker, Germany), equipped with an Rh anode X-ray tube (4 kW, 60 kV and 170 mA). A detailed description of this spectrometer is reported by some researchers [13,14].

2.2.4. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Investigation

The Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) data, the Hitachi FlexSEM 1000, was used after gold plating as used by different studies [15,16].
a) Collection and sun-drying CWR.  
b) Open-air burning of CWR at Copperbelt University

c) Collection and sun-drying CP.  
d) Open-air burning of cyperus papyrus

e) CWRA and CPA samples respectively

Fig. 3. CWRA and CPA specimen preparation (all the photos were taken by author).
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