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We describe non-equilibrium phase transitions in arrays of dynamical systems with cubic nonlinearity driven by multiplicative Gaussian white noise. Depending on the sign of the spatial coupling we observe transitions to ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic ordered states. We discuss the phase diagram, the order of the transitions, and the critical behaviour. For global coupling we show analytically that the critical exponent of the magnetization exhibits a transition from the value 1/2 to a non-universal behaviour depending on the ratio of noise strength to the magnitude of the spatial coupling.
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Introduction. In the last decade studying arrays of stochastically driven nonlinear dynamical systems the notion of noise induced non-equilibrium phase transition has been established [1,2,3]; for a recent monograph see [11]. In close analogy to equilibrium phase transition one has order parameters and finds continuous or discontinuous transitions associated with ergodicity breaking. The behaviour near the transition point is characterized by power laws and a critical slowing down.

In this paper we consider arrays of spatially harmonically coupled Stratonovich models [12] which undergo transitions into ordered states comparable to ferromagnetic (FM) or antiferromagnetic (AFM) phases depending on the sign of the coupling constant. The AFM situation is described first in this paper for that class of models. We determine the phase diagram and characterize the critical behaviour at these transitions. For the globally coupled system we derive an analytical result for the critical exponent of the order parameter, i.e. the magnetization. This critical exponent exhibits a hitherto not described transition from a value 1/2 to a non-universal behaviour when increasing the ratio of noise strength and magnitude of the spatial coupling.

The dynamics of the individual constituents $x_i$ at the lattice sites $i = 1, \ldots, L$ is governed by a system of stochastic ordinary differential equations in the Stratonovich sense

$$ \dot{x}_i = ax_i - x_i^3 + x_i \xi_i - \frac{D}{N} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} (x_i - x_j), $$

where $\mathcal{N}(i)$ denotes the set of sites interacting with site $i$. $N = \#\mathcal{N}(i)$ is equal to $L - 1$ in the case of global coupling and to $2d$ in the case of nearest neighbour (n.n.) coupling on a simple cubic lattice in $d$ dimensions. $D$ is the strength of the spatial interactions. $\xi_i(t)$ is a zero mean spatially uncorrelated Gaussian white noise with autocorrelation function $\langle \xi_i(t) \xi_j(t') \rangle = \sigma^2 \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t')$, where $\sigma^2$ is the noise strength.

The stationary probability density $P_s(x_i)$ fulfills the (reduced) stationary Fokker-Planck equation [2]

$$ 0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ -ax_i + x_i^3 + \frac{D}{N} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} (x_i - \langle x_j | x_i \rangle) \right. $$

$$ + \left. \frac{\sigma^2}{2} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right] P_s(x_i), $$

where $\langle x_j | x_i \rangle = \int dx_j x_j P_s(x_j | x_i)$ is the steady state conditional average of $x_j$, $j \in \mathcal{N}(i)$, given $x_i$ at site $i$. We denote its spatial average by

$$ m_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \langle x_j | x_i \rangle. $$

Global coupling. In the case of global coupling, fluctuations of $m_i$ disappear in the limit $L \to \infty$. We thus may consider $m_i$ as a parameter and obtain except for a constant factor a stationary solution of (2)

$$ p_s(x_i, m_i) = |x_i|^{2(a-D)/\sigma^2-1} e^{-(x_i^2 + 2Dm_i/x_i)/\sigma^2}. $$

If this expression is normalizable, the stationary probability density $P_s(x_i, m_i)$ reads

$$ P_s(x_i, m_i) = \begin{cases} 1/N(m_i) \ p_s(x_i, m_i) & \text{for } x_i \in \text{supp}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} $$

where $N(m_i) = \int_{\text{supp}} dx \ p_s(x, m_i)$. $P_s$ lives on a support on which [3] is normalizable, i.e. $N$ is finite.

For both $D$ and $m_1$ nonzero the support of $P_s$ is such that $Dm_i/x_i \geq 0$ ensuring normalizability of [4]. For $m_i = 0$ normalizability requires that the exponent of the algebraic factor in [4] is larger than $-1$, i.e. $D < a$. For $D > a$ the solution [4] is not normalizable and we have $P_s(x_i) = \delta(x_i)$. The determination of $m_i$ is described below in detail.

Varying the control parameters of the system $a$ and $D$, or the strength of the noise $\sigma^2$, one obtains the phase diagram shown in Fig. [1].

We first consider $D > 0$ which favours a FM order. In the spatially homogeneous case $m_i \equiv m$ and for $m > 0$ or $m < 0$ the support of $P_s$ is $[0, \infty)$ and $(-\infty, 0]$, respectively.

All constituents have the same (statistical or temporal) average

\[ \langle x \rangle = \int_{\text{supp}} dx x P_s(x, m) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt x(t) = F(m), \]

which equals the spatial average \( m \) (ergodicity). This leads to the self-consistency condition

\[ m = \langle x \rangle = F(m) \]

determining \( m \). One easily finds

\[ F(0) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \pm \sigma \sqrt{\frac{a-1}{\sigma^2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \sqrt{1 + \frac{a}{\sigma^2}} & \text{for } a > D, \\ 0 & \text{for } a < D. \]

For \( a < a_c^{(1)} = -\sigma^2/2 \) Eq. [4] has a trivial stable solution \( m = 0 \) which looses its stability at \( a = a_c^{(1)} \) which is determined by the condition \( F'(0) = 0 \). It bifurcates into a pair of stable solutions \( m = m_+ > 0 \) and \( m = m_- < 0 \) corresponding to a continuous transition from a paramagnetic to a FM situation. Choosing \( m = m_+ \) for instance, the stationary probability distribution of the corresponding ergodic component is \( P_s(x, m_+) \), cf. Eq. [5]. In the FM region, for \( a_c^{(1)} < a < a_c^{(2)} = D \) the magnetization \( m = \langle x \rangle \) increases monotonously with \( \sigma^2 \), whereas for \( a > a_c^{(2)} \) there is a nonmonotonous behaviour, cf. Fig. [3].

As a function of \( D \), the magnetization \( m \) increases continuously from zero when increasing \( D \) from zero for \( a_c^{(1)} < a < 0 \), whereas the transition is discontinuous for \( a > 0 \) as shown in Fig. [3] cf. also [6].

FIG. 1. Phase diagram in the \((D, a)\) plane for global coupling. Continuous transitions toward FM or AFM states occur crossing the fat solid lines. The double solid line indicates discontinuous transitions. The critical values \( a_c^{(n)} \), \( n = 1, \ldots, 4 \), the disordered phase, the metastable AFM* phase, and the inserts are explained in the text.

Within the FM region, a metastable antiferromagnetic solution (AFM*) exists besides the stable FM solution for \( a > a_c^{(4)} \), see Fig. [1]. The critical value \( a_c^{(4)} = 3/2D + \sigma^2/2 \) is obtained for weak noise from an extremal approximation for \( m = \langle x \rangle \) in the spirit of [3].

For \( D < 0 \) the situation is different. For \( a < a_c^{(2)} \) we have \( P_s(x) = \delta(x) \). In the range \( a_c^{(2)} < a < a_c^{(3)} = D + \sigma^2/2 \) one finds \( m = 0 \) and the stationary probability density \( P_s(x) \) lives on \((\infty, \infty)\), we call this the disordered phase. For \( a > a_c^{(3)} \) the stationary solution \( \langle x \rangle \) is normalizable only for \( m \neq 0 \), for \( m > 0 \) or \( m < 0 \) the support is \((\infty, 0)\) and \([0, \infty)\), respectively. We define two subsystems labelled by \( \pm \) and \( - \) for which the averages \( \langle x_\pm \rangle \) have + or − sign, respectively. For global coupling AFM order implies \( m_+ \equiv 0 \) in the limit \( L \to \infty \). Therefore, the mean values \( \langle x_\pm \rangle = \langle x_\pm \rangle \) are given by

\[ \langle x_\pm \rangle = \langle x_\pm \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x P_s(x, \mp 0) = \pm F(\pm 0), \]

where \( P_s \) is taken from [6].
Nearest neighbour coupling. For n.n. coupling on a cubic lattice a mean field approximation is obtained in a similar way replacing the spatial average over the 2d nearest neighbours as \( m_i = 1/(2d) \sum_{j \in N(i)} \langle x_j | x_i \rangle \approx \langle x_i \rangle \). The FM case, \( D > 0 \), is formally the same as for global coupling but Eq. \( (3) \) holds only approximately. In the AFM case, \( D < 0 \), one should take into account that now the two subsystems + and − correspond to different Néel sublattices A and B, respectively, and all the nearest neighbours of a given lattice site belong to the complementary sublattice. Self-consistency requires

\[
m_\pm = - \langle x_\pm \rangle = - \int _0^{\pm \infty} dx x P_(x, m_\pm) = - m_\mp. \tag{10}\]

For \( D < 0 \) system \( (3) \) is invariant under the transformation \( x_i \to -x_i \) for \( i \in A \), \( x_j \to x_j \) for \( j \in B \), \( D \to -D \), \( a \to a - 2D \). This implies that properties of the AFM phase for spatial coupling \( D = -D' < 0 \) can be inferred from properties of the FM phase for spatial coupling strength \( D' \), cf. \( (4) \). For instance, \( a_c^{(3)} = -\sigma^2/2 \) transforms into \( a_c^{(3)} = 2D - \sigma^2/2 \). The phase diagram for n.n. coupling is shown in Fig. \( 3 \).

![Phase diagram](image)

**FIG. 3.** Phase diagram in the \( (D, a) \) plane for n.n. coupling. For \( D > 0 \) the situation is the same as for global coupling except that the metastable AFM**\(^*\) phase is absent here. For the case \( D < 0 \) see text.

Critical behaviour. Varying the control parameters \( a \) and \( \sigma^2 \) one observes continuous transitions from zero to nonzero values of \( m \) with a characteristic power law behaviour near the critical values of the control parameters. To analyze the critical behaviour it is useful to write the self-consistency equations \( (3) \) and \( (10) \) in compact form as

\[
m = - \frac{2|D|}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{\partial \ln I(m)}{\partial m} \right)^{-1}, \tag{11}\]

where

\[
I(m) = \int _0^\infty dx x^{2(a-D)/\sigma^2} e^{-\left(x^2+2|D|m/x\right)/\sigma^2}. \tag{12}\]

In the limits \( \sigma \to 0 \) or \( D \to \infty \) this integral can be evaluated by the Laplace method, cf. e.g. \( [7] \). Inserting the results in \( (11) \) for small \( m \), one obtains the power laws \( m \sim (a + \sigma^2/2 + |D| - D)^{1/2} \) for \( \sigma \to 0 \) and \( m \sim (a + \sigma^2/2)^{1/2} \) for \( D \to \infty \) with the critical exponent \( \beta = 1/2 \), cf. also \( [3], [4] \).

For finite values of \( \sigma \) and \( D \) the scaling behaviour of \( I(m) \) can be evaluated for small \( m \) with the result \( [18] \)

\[
I(m) \sim m^{2(\varepsilon-D)/\sigma^2} (1 + C_1 m^{-2(\varepsilon-D)/\sigma^2} + C_2 m^2), \tag{13}\]

where \( \varepsilon = a - a_c \). The critical value \( a_c = -\sigma^2/2 \) for the FM case and \( 2D - \sigma^2/2 \) for the AFM case. Inserting \( (13) \) in Eq. \( (11) \) we obtain for small \( m \) and in lowest order of \( \varepsilon \) the power law

\[
m \sim \varepsilon^\beta = \sup\{1/2, \sigma^2/(2|D|)\}, \tag{14}\]

logarithmic corrections are easily computed. Obviously, the critical exponents are the same varying \( a \) or \( \sigma^2 \), i.e. \( \beta_\sigma = \beta_\varepsilon = \beta \) using notations from \( [8] \). For models where the cubic nonlinearity in \( (1) \) is replaced by \( x^p \), \( p > 0 \), in \( (14) \) the value \( 1/2 \) is replaced by \( 1/p \).

**FIG. 5.** Critical behaviour. The Figure shows the order parameter \( m \) vs. control parameter \( a \) for different values of \( D \) (\( \sigma^2 = 0.1 \), i.e. \( a_c = -0.05 \)) and the critical exponent \( \beta = \beta_\sigma \) vs. \( \sigma^2/(2D) \). (a) and (b) refer to global coupling, (c) and (d) to n.n. coupling in \( d = 3 \), respectively. In (a) and (c) the solid line is the numerical solution of the self-consistency equation \( (1) \). In (b) and (d) the solid line is the analytical result \( (1) \); the + symbols represent the numerical solution of Eq. \( (1) \). Circles and squares result from simulations of Eq. \( (1) \); the triangle in (d) is the numerical result of \( [6] \). Error bars are partially smaller than the symbol size.
**Conclusion.** For the globally coupled model we found analytically a transition of the critical exponent $\beta$ from a value 1/2, which reflects the order of the nonlinearity and is independent of the strength of noise $\sigma^2$ and spatial coupling $D$, to a non-universal behaviour, depending on $\sigma^2$ and $D$ independent of the order of the nonlinearity. This differs from the value $\beta = 1$ proposed for the continuous version of the model in 8.

If the noise is not too strong, the 'mean field' results describe the critical behaviour for n.n. coupling observed in our simulations very well, cf. Fig. 8. Also the numerical result $\beta \approx 1$ obtained by Genovese and Muñoz 8 near $a = -1, \sigma^2 = 2$ for $D = 1$ (their 'weak noise phase') is in accord with our analytical result (14). For stronger noise, simulations for n.n. coupling may differ considerably from the mean field prediction.

For models with a nonlinearity $x^{p+1}$, the value $1/p$ of $\beta$ is in general different from the value 1/2 characteristic for models with only additive noise. In this case, one has to expect interesting crossover phenomena for models with additive and multiplicative noise when changing the relative strength of the noises.
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