Effect of TiO₂ Nanoparticles on Tensile Strength of Dental Acrylic Resins
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Abstract

Background and aims. Adding further fillers to dental resins may enhance their physical characteristics. The aim of this study was to evaluate the tensile strength of heat-curing acrylic resin reinforced by TiO₂ nanoparticles added into the resin matrix.

Materials and methods. Commercially available TiO₂ nanoparticles were obtained and characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to determine their crystalline structure, particle size and morphology. TiO₂-acrylic resin nanocomposite was prepared by mixing 0.5, 1 and 2 (wt%) of surface modified TiO₂ nanoparticles in an amalgamator providing three groups of samples. Before curing, the obtained paste was packed into steel molds. After curing, the specimens were removed from the molds. The tensile strength test samples were prepared according to ISO 1567.

Results. Two crystalline phases were found in TiO₂ nanoparticles including: (i) anatase as the major one, and (ii) rutile. The average particle size calculated according to the Scherrer equation was 20.4 nm, showing a normal size distribution. According to SEM images, the nanocomposite with 1wt% TiO₂ nanoparticles had a better distribution compared to other groups. In addition, the group by 1wt% TiO₂ exhibited higher tensile strength with a significant difference compared to other groups. ANOVA showed significant differences between the contents of TiO₂ particles in acrylic resin (F = 22.19; P < 0.001).

Conclusion. A considerable increase in tensile strength was observed with titania NPs reinforcement agents in 1wt% by weight. Further increase of TiO₂ nanoparticles decreased the tensile strength.
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Introduction

Heat-curing acrylic resins are frequently used in temporary prosthetic-base materials, pro-
ics. However, low mechanical properties against impact, bending, and fatigue are important issues to be addressed in order to improve acrylic polymers properties for removable acrylic appliances and dentures. Various methods have been used for improving mechanical properties such as chemical correction of polymeric structure by additives like polyethylene glycol dimetacrylate. The other useful method is to reinforce acrylic base composite by materials like fibers and particles.

Manufacturers traditionally reinforce polymers with micrometer-size fillers to gain higher strength and stiffness, to improve solvent or fire resistance, or simply to reduce cost. However, these microfillers also impart several drawbacks such as brittleness and opacity. Nano-composites with at least one dimension less than 100 nm provide a new way to overcome the limitations of traditional composites. One can distinguish three categories of nano-composites, depending on the geometry of nanofillers including one dimensional (1D) nano-tube fillers such as carbon nano-tubes, two dimensional (2D) plate-like nanofillers such as clay, and nano-powders such as nano-alumina or nano-silica. These reinforce-ment agents have been added to the polymerizing matrix in order to improve the fatigue properties and fracture toughness of the PMMA. These include fibers made of Kevlar, polyethylene, carbon, hydroxyapatite, bone mineral, high-strength PMMA fibers and titanium as well as particles of glass, alumina and acrylonitrile-butadine-styrene.

Among many nanocomposite constituents, TiO2 nanoparticles are increasingly used owing to their non-toxic, chemically inert, and low cost, high re-reflective index, antibacterial characteristic under a variety of spectrum, corrosion resistant and high hardness. Literature has also showed that nanoscale TiO2 reinforcement agents bring new optical, electrical, physicochemical properties attained at very low TiO2 content, which makes polymer-TiO2 nanocomposites a promising new class of materials. One can foresee that they will be commercially beneficial for widespread fields. Nevertheless, the study of TiO2-based nanocomposites is still in its infancy and much research remains to be carried out to explore improved synthesis techniques yielding the different nanocomposite structures and to fully understand the actual structure/properties relationships.

This study aims to investigate the effect of addition of TiO2 nanoparticles on the acrylic resin properties including mainly morphology, structure and tensile strength and modulus of toughness and elastic modulus.

**Materials and Methods**

The heat-curing acrylic resins used in this study were PMMA (supplied by Ivoclar Vivadent). TiO2 nanoparticles with an average diameter less than 25 nm were purchased from the Anataz, TiO2, Nanosav, Tehran, Iran.

Surface treatment of TiO2 nanoparticles was carried out as follows: TiO2 nanoparticles were mixed with the solid acrylic resin polymer in an amalgamator to obtain three different composites with 0.5, 1 and 2wt% TiO2. To obtain a good distribution of TiO2 nanoparticles in the acrylic resin, the mixing procedure were continued for up to 20 min. Then, the mixed solid powder was manually blended with the resin monomer and then the mixture was then thoroughly blended. Before curing, the resulting paste was packed into steel molds using a vibrator to remove possible air bubbles. After curing, the specimens were removed from the molds.

The sample dimensions were selected according to standard ISO 1567 for comparing the tensile strength of samples with the control level. Sample size was determined according to a pilot study carried out on 4 samples. Using power-spread with $α = 0.05$ and power = 80, the results obtained from the pilot study indicate that 9 samples are required in each group. Four study groups, 9 samples were prepared for each test and totally, 36 samples to determine the mean number of specimens required for tensile strength tests.

The TiO2 nanoparticles were characterized using XRD (Philips X’pert, Cu Ka radiation) for crystalline structure and particle size. Also, scanning electron microscopy (SEM, VEGA/TESCAN) was used to study morphology of samples.

Each specimen was tested for tensile strength according to ISO 1567. Specimen plates were formed into a rectangle ($60 \times 12 \times 4$ mm) with a metal mold ($n = 4$). Rectangular specimens were then divided into 4 groups of 9 samples as follows: (1) acrylic resin as a control; (2) acrylic resin containing 0.5wt% TiO2; (3) acrylic resin containing 1wt% TiO2; and (4) acrylic resin containing 2wt% TiO2. Surfaces of all the specimens were polished using silicon carbide papers (mesh numbers of 500–2000). The tensile strength test was conducted with a universal testing machine (Zwick Z100, Germany) at a crosshead speed of 5mm/min under a load cell capacity of 10 kN to obtain stress-strain curves. Figure 1 shows the tested sample in test machine. Elastic modulus was determined according to the slope of the linear section of stress-strain curves. Modulus of toughness was calculated by measuring the entire...
area under the stress-strain curve from origin to rupture.

After testing, all results were analyzed by statistical methods. Mean, average, and mod in each group calculated and normal distribution curve was evaluated. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to evaluate normal distribution. Statistical analysis of the obtained results for each test group was conducted using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by multiple comparison test (Scheffe’s test). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

**Results**

Figure 2a and 2b show SEM image and XRD spectrum obtained from the titanium oxide nanoparticles, respectively. Two crystalline polymorphs of titanium oxide with tetragonal crystal structures are present: (i) anatase and (ii) rutile. Anatase is found to be the major phase in the TiO₂ sample, although a certain amount of rutile was also observed (Figure 2b). From X-ray diffractogram shown in Figure 2b, the grain size of the TiO₂ nanoparticles may be obtained by the Scherrer formula as:

\[ D = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \]

where D, λ, β, and θ are grain size, wave length, peak width, and the angle, respectively. This equation shows reciprocal relation of broadening of peaks (β) in full width in middle height as the grain size (D). Metal oxide particles range from this equation in various peaks, but for the highest peak the grain size is obtained 20.4 nm in diameter.

The morphology of nanocomposite samples in cross section is shown in Figure 3. As seen in the SEM images, TiO₂ at 1wt% has a better distribution compared to two other groups. Comparison between Figure 3c and 3d revealed that an increase in TiO₂ nanoparticle content from 1wt% to 2wt% caused tiny cracks inside the acrylic matrix. The cracks were observed in the as-prepared nanocomposite samples without application of any stress as seen in previous report.

The mean and standard deviation of tensile strength measured for all groups along with ANOVA statistics are shown in Figure 4a and Table 1. According to the results of ANOVA followed by Scheffe’s test summarized in Table 2, there is a sig-

---

**Table 1. Results measured for tensile strength of specimens with ANOVA statistics.**

| Sample                          | Numbers | Mean square ± standard deviation | Min  | Max  | One way ANOVA |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|------|------|---------------|
| acrylic resin                  | 9       | 59.22 ± 4.02                    | 52.00| 64.00| 22.916        |
| acrylic resin with TiO₂=0.5%   | 9       | 61.77 ± 6.81                    | 53.00| 72.00| 0.001         |
| acrylic resin with TiO₂=1%     | 9       | 79.16 ± 5.68                    | 72.00| 87.00|               |
| acrylic resin with TiO₂=2%     | 9       | 65.88 ± 5.34                    | 59.00| 73.00|               |

**Table 2. Multiple comparison test (Scheffe’s test) results to compare the tensile strength in various groups.**

| Group (I)                     | Group (J)     | The mean difference (I−J) | P value | 95% confidence level. | The lower limit | The upper limit |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| acrylic resin                 | TiO₂ 0.5wt%   | -2.56                     | 0.81    | -10.29                | 5.18            |
|                              | TiO₂ 1wt%     | -19.94                   | 0.00    | -27.68                | -12.21          |
|                              | TiO₂ 2wt%     | -6.67                     | 0.11    | -14.40                | 1.06            |
| acrylic resin with TiO₂=0.5% | acrylic resin | 2.56                      | 0.81    | -5.18                 | 10.29           |
|                              | TiO₂ 1wt%     | -17.38                   | 0.00    | -25.12                | -9.66           |
|                              | TiO₂ 2wt%     | -4.11                     | 0.49    | -11.84                | 3.62            |
| acrylic resin with TiO₂=1wt% | acrylic resin | 19.94                     | 0.00    | 12.21                 | 27.68           |
|                              | TiO₂ 0.5wt%   | 17.38                     | 0.00    | 9.66                  | 25.12           |
|                              | TiO₂ 2wt%     | 13.27                     | 0.00    | 5.55                  | 21.01           |
| acrylic resin with TiO₂=2wt% | acrylic resin | 6.67                      | 0.11    | -1.06                 | 14.40           |
|                              | TiO₂ 0.5wt%   | 4.11                      | 0.49    | -3.62                 | 11.84           |
|                              | TiO₂ 1wt%     | -13.27                   | 0.00    | -21.01                | -5.55           |
significant difference between the group of 1wt% TiO$_2$ and other studied groups.

In Figure 4b, typical stress-strain curve obtained for various tested samples of different groups are shown. The mean tensile strength was 59.22 ± 4.02 Mpa (range, 52-64 Mpa) in acrylic resin without additive, 61.77 ± 6.81 Mpa (range, 53-72 Mpa) in the nanocomposite with 0.5wt% TiO$_2$, 79.16 ± 5.68 Mpa (range, 72-87 Mpa) in the nanocomposite with 1wt% TiO$_2$, and 65.88 ± 5.34 Mpa (range, 59-73 Mpa) in the nanocomposite with 2wt% TiO$_2$. According to the results, reinforced acrylic resin with TiO$_2$ nanoparticles exhibited an increased tensile strength up to 35wt% in maximum magnitude. ANOVA test showed significant differences between different contents of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles ($F = 22.19$; $P < 0.001$). Multiple comparison test (Scheffe’s test) results for comparing tensile strength in various groups are listed in Table 2. These results show that acrylic resin having 1wt% TiO$_2$ has the maximum tensile strength significantly compared to the two other groups. Also, the other groups did not show significant differences according the Scheffe’s test. Figures 4c and 4d show the elastic modulus and modulus of toughness achieved for the control and nanoparticles-reinforced acrylic resin in mean value, respectively. These results were extracted from the corresponding stress-strain curves.

**Discussion**

Important physical properties of acrylic resins are shown to be influenced by the addition of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles as the reinforcement agents. In recent years, metal oxide nanoparticles have been largely investigated for their activity as antimicrobial additives. In particular, TiO$_2$ is now considered a low-cost, clean photocatalyst with chemical stability and non-toxicity, and has been used for a wide variety of environmental applications, including water treatment and air purification.$^{26,27}$ Acosta-Torre et al$^{28}$ reported that the introduction of nano-sized metal oxide materials for preparing acrylic resins allows the production of polymer with both color and surface modifications. According to the latter study, physical properties of nanopigmented and standard PMMA showed a lower porosity for TiO$_2$ containing PMMA. This finding suggests that the metal oxide
n nanoparticles are suitable additives for the improvement of PMMA formulations, since high porosities have been considered a critical drawback for PMMA in prosthodontics applications. The authors of the latter study suggested that nanotechnology-assisted design allows a product with well-controlled morphology. The study of mechanical properties also showed that PMMA-containing nanoparticles behave as is specified by the International Standards for Denture Prosthetics. This study was conducted to test the effects of TiO\textsubscript{2} on tensile strength of final acrylic product.

Based on our obtained tensile strength values, incorporation of nano-sized TiO\textsubscript{2} at various contents of 0.5, 1 and 2wt\% affected the tensile strength of the polymerized material, where the tensile strength increased with increasing the concentration of TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles up to 1wt\% and then decreased by an additional amount of TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles introduced into the nanocomposite resin. These results are consistent with previous studies. The additional TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles act as impurities and the tensile strength decreases as a result of the extra additive.

Improper dispersion of TiO\textsubscript{2} in acrylic PMMA matrix unfavorably affects the reaction of monomers, leading to increased levels of unreacted monomer, which acts as plasticizer. The content of nanoparticle additives is of critical importance. When TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles exceeded a particular percentage, i.e. 1wt\% in this study, the opposite trend of decreased tensile strength was observed.

The increase in TiO\textsubscript{2} content causes these particles to agglomerate. The agglomerated compounds can act as stress concentrating centers in the matrix and adversely affect the mechanical properties of the polymerized material. Preventing agglomeration has been a main challenge in nanocomposite production. Scanning electron microscopy was carried out for all samples in order to study this effect (Figure 3). The agglomeration of TiO\textsubscript{2} probably gives rise to some micro-pores and micro-crack as structural defects. These defects were not present in low percentages of TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles. Micro-cracks and micro-pores are caused by stress concentration sites and loss of mechanical properties. Formation of the cracks could be due to higher stress levels in the
acrylic resin induced by more TiO$_2$ particles reinforced in the bulk polymer. The cracks are not a result of external stress but due to TiO$_2$/polymer interface energy.\textsuperscript{25}

The modulus of toughness measured for the nanocomposite acrylic resin increased by about 1.4 times over the control group by the addition of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (Figure 4d). Elastic modulus of reinforced resin with 1wt\% TiO$_2$ nanoparticles was at least 70wt\% higher than that of the control acrylic resin sample (Figure 4c). The increase in the elastic modulus was the highest for 1wt\% TiO$_2$ while it was the lowest when a high value was added to the polymerizing matrix, due to the effect of defects discussed earlier. The increased porosity in 2wt\% TiO$_2$ nanocomposite impairs its tensile strength (elastic modulus and strength). There is a trend towards a decrease in the modulus of toughness and elastic modulus with TiO$_2$ nanoparticles content at higher concentrations. This finding explains the dysfunctional effect of TiO$_2$ in higher amounts and also the importance of content control of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles.

**Conclusion**

A significant increase in tensile strength values were observed in TiO$_2$ nanoparticles-acrylic resin containing 1wt\% TiO$_2$. Further increase in TiO$_2$ nanoparticles content impose an inverse effect by decreasing the tensile strength due to the agglomeration of particles acting as defects and stress concentrated points.
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