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1. INTRODUCTION

The 2-dimensional Gross-Neveu model has often been used to examine theoretical issues of QCD. This stems from the fact that the model not only shares the property of asymptotic freedom and spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry with QCD, but also can be solved analytically in 1/N expansion. In this article we present a summary of an yet another study of this category. The problem addressed is how the phase diagram of the continuum theory at finite temperature and density emerges from that of the lattice theory formulated with the Wilson fermion action. In particular we explore how this limit is achieved in the presence of the parity-broken phase due to the Wilson action, and the two interaction terms are assigned an independent coupling constants $g_\sigma^2$ and $g_\pi^2$.

2. LATTICE GROSS-NEVEU MODEL

Our lattice model is given by the Lagrangian,

\[ L = \frac{1}{2a} \bar{\psi}_n \gamma_1 (\psi_{n+1} - \psi_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{2a} \bar{\psi}_n \gamma_2 (e^{-\mu a} \psi_{n+2} - e^{\mu a} \psi_{n-2}) + \delta m \bar{\psi}_n \psi_n - \frac{1}{2N} \left[ g_\sigma^2 (\bar{\psi}_n \psi_n)^2 + g_\pi^2 (\bar{\psi}_n i \gamma_5 \psi_n)^2 \right] + \frac{a}{2} \bar{\psi}_n \nabla^2_{\mu} \psi_n \]  

(1)

where $\psi$ is an N-component fermion field, and the chemical potential $\mu$ is introduced in the standard manner. In order to absorb the effect of the Wilson term $\bar{\psi}_n \nabla^2_{\mu} \psi_n$ which breaks chiral symmetry, a mass counter term $\delta m$ is introduced, and the two interaction terms are assigned an independent coupling constants $g_\sigma^2$ and $g_\pi^2$.

In the large $N$ limit, one can analytically calculate the effective potential $V$ as a function of $\sigma \equiv -g_\sigma^2 \bar{\psi}_n \psi_n / N + \delta m$ and $\pi \equiv -g_\pi^2 \bar{\psi}_n i \gamma_5 \psi_n / N$. Making an expansion of the effective potential in terms of $a$, one finds that the continuum limit with chiral symmetry requires the following tuning relations of the coupling parameters:

\[ \frac{1}{g_\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{g_\pi^2} + 4C + O(a), \]  

(2)

\[ \delta ma = -2C g_\sigma^2 + O(a^2), \]  

(3)

where $C = 2\sqrt{3}/27 + 1/(12\pi)$. Therefore we have to study the lattice phase structure in the 3-dimensional space spanned by $(g_\sigma^2, g_\pi^2, \delta ma)$.

3. LATTICE PHASE STRUCTURES AND THE CONTINUUM LIMIT

We analyze the phase structure in terms of the effective potential $V(\sigma, \pi)$ and the saddle-point equations $\partial V/\partial \sigma = 0, \partial V/\partial \pi = 0$ calculated to leading order in $1/N$. The latter equations exhibit both parity-broken solution $\pi \neq 0$ and symmetric solution $\pi = 0$. One may expect that the phase boundary between the two solutions is determined by taking the limit $\pi \to 0$ from the parity-broken phase, in which case the transition
would be of second order. The structure of the phase boundary is actually much more complex, some part of which turns out to be of first order after evaluation of the effective potential to locate the true minimum, as described below[2].

3.1. \(T = 0\) and \(\mu = 0\)

The phase structure for \(T = 0\) and \(\mu = 0\) is schematically shown in Fig. 1(a). There are two regions separated by the boundary surfaces OABC and ODEC which join along the line OC. In the upper region, parity is spontaneously broken, while the system is parity-symmetric in the lower region. The parity-breaking phase transition along these surfaces is of second order except on the gray part OFC on which it is of first order. This gray part shrinks toward the continuum limit (point O), hence has no effect on the continuum physics.

The parity-symmetric phase in the lower region is divided into two phases by the hatched surface OCG which is vertical to the \((g^2, \delta ma)\) plane. The value of \(\sigma\) jumps from \(\sigma < 0\) to \(\sigma > 0\) across this surface, hence the transition is of first order. Hereafter, we call it as \(\sigma\)-boundary.

The continuum limit is taken along the curve specified by (2)-(3). If we take the leading term (i.e., ignore \(\mathcal{O}(a)\) and \(\mathcal{O}(a^2)\) terms), we obtain the dashed curve in Fig. 1(a) which runs just below the surface OABC. The \(\mathcal{O}(a) \times \mathcal{O}(a^2)\) ambiguity, however, allows us to shift the dashed curve to the right so that it runs below the surface ODEC. In either case, physical quantities such as the value of \(\sigma\) converge to the continuum value. In particular, one can explicitly check that the first-order transition along the gray part does not cause problems in taking the continuum limit[2].

3.2. \(T \neq 0\) and \(\mu = 0\)

When we consider the system for a finite temporal lattice size, \(N_T\), corresponding to a finite temperature \(T = 1/(N_T a)\), the parity-breaking phase boundary shifts in the positive \(g^2\) direction. At the same time the \(\sigma\)-boundary recedes away from the point O so that a gap opens, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b).

When we approach the continuum limit along the curve with arrow, the form of the effective potential as a function of \(\sigma\) changes from a double well to a single well at the edge, HI, of the \(\sigma\)-boundary. Hence the value of \(\sigma\) drops to a small value as the line moves past the edge, signaling a restoration of chiral symmetry at finite temperature.

We remind that the phase transition along the edge HI is of second order since it represents the termination point of a first-order transition along the \(\sigma\)-boundary. Thus the finite-temperature chiral phase transition is of second order in the continuum limit.

3.3. \(T = 0\) and \(\mu \neq 0\)

In Fig. 1(c) we show the phase structure at \(\mu \neq 0\) with \(T = 0\). The effect of finite chemical potential is to create a new phase in the parity-symmetric region in between those of \(\sigma < 0\) and
Figure 2. $\sigma/\Lambda$ as a function of $\mu/\Lambda$ at $T/\Lambda = 0.2$ for various temporal size $N_T$ together with the continuum result.

Figure 3. Same as Fig. 4 for $T/\Lambda = 0.5$.

$\sigma > 0$. The new phase is characterized by a small value $\sigma \approx 0$, therefore chiral symmetry is effectively restored in it. The two phase boundaries JKQP and LMQP are both of first order. Hence, when the curve with arrow defining the continuum limit penetrates the boundary surface, as illustrated in Fig. 1(c), chiral symmetry becomes restored through a first-order phase transition.

3.4. $T \neq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$

The phase diagram and order of chiral phase transition for general values of temperature and chemical potential are determined by a combination of the finite-temperature and finite-density effects discussed above. At relatively low temperatures, the situation as a function of $\mu$ is similar to that at $T = 0$. Hence a first-order chiral transition occurs. On the other hand, at high temperatures, the behavior of phase structure is the same type as that at $\mu = 0$, leading to a second-order phase transition.

Let us define a $\Lambda$-parameter by

$$\Lambda = c \cdot e^{-\pi/g^2/\alpha}$$

$(c = 0.5716 \cdots)$ with which $\sigma/\Lambda = 1$ at $T = \mu = 0$ in the continuum limit. In Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, we plot $\sigma/\Lambda$ as a function of $\mu/\Lambda$ for a set of values of $N_T$ at $T/\Lambda = 0.2$ and 0.5. We observe a clear first-order transition for the low-temperature case (Fig. 2), which changes to a second-order transition at high temperatures (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the curves for finite $N_T$ converge to the continuum result (thick solid line) at $N_T \to \infty$. These results show how the lattice phase structure leads to the phase diagram of the continuum theory with a tricritical point.[3]

4. SUMMARY

The phase structure of QCD in the $(T, \mu)$ plane is still largely unknown, and various interesting possibilities have recently been discussed[8]. Lattice study of these possibilities with the Wilson quark action has to disentangle effects of parity-broken phase from physical ones. Our results should be helpful in the effort in this direction.
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