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Abstract

Purpose: Offshore Ocean Sailing is a highly demanding activity in which subjects are exposed to psychophysical stressors for a long time. To better define the physiological adaptations, we investigated the stress response of subjects exposed to 3-days long ocean navigation with disruption of circadian rhythms.

Methods: 6 male subjects were involved in the study and provided urine and saliva samples before setting sail, during a single day of inshore sailing, during 3-days long ocean navigation, and at the arrival, to measure oxidative stress, cortisol, nitric oxide metabolites (NOx) and metabolic response. Motion Sickness questionnaires were also administered.

Results: The crew suffered a mean weight loss of 1.58 Kg. After the long navigation, a significant increase in ROS production and decreased antioxidant capacity and uric acid levels were observed. Lipid peroxidation, NOx, ketones, creatinine, and neopterin levels were also increased. A significant increase in cortisol levels was recorded, but no correlation was found with motion sickness questionnaires that were instead correlated with the rise of NOx.

Conclusions: physical and psychological stress response derived from offshore sailing resulted in increased oxidative stress, nitric oxide metabolites, and cortisol levels, unbalanced redox status, transient renal function impairment, and ketosis. A direct correlation between motion sickness symptoms evaluated through questionnaires and NOx levels was also found.

Introduction

Sailing is a worldwide popular activity that includes various types of boats and disciplines. Offshore Ocean Sailing (OOS) is considered one of the most extreme endurance sports, exposing the crew to long-lasting, physically and psychologically demanding efforts (Allen and De Jong 2006). OOS usually implies prolonged periods - ranging from days to months - spent at sea, in an extremely harsh environment, in isolation and self-sufficiency, far from safe harbors and with limited access to external aid or rescue (Sjøgaard, Inglés, and Narici 2015). The boat represents an extremely uncomfortable, cold, wet, unstable, and enclosed environment without any privacy or comfort. In particular, racing boats are performance-oriented and with little comfort onboard. Energy expenditure during offshore sailing is high (Myers et al. 2008), and an adequate nutrient intake is challenging to maintain onboard, especially during harsh weather conditions (Fearnley et al. 2012). Negative energy balance often results in weight loss, decreased body fat percentage, and reduced muscle strength, proportionally to the length of the race (Bigard et al. 1998; Lafère et al. 2020). Proper sleep management is also essential to maintain adequate performance (Léger et al. 2008). Sailors adopt polyphasic sleep techniques and incur severe sleep restrictions during competition, thus resulting in cognitive performance and alertness decrease (Hurdiel et al. 2014).

The study of adaptations to extreme environments is gaining popularity. Nonetheless, the literature exploring short- and medium-term adaptations to OOS is still insufficient. Seafarers are also exposed to
high and prolonged stress levels. Loneliness, circadian rhythms disruption, and fatigue often result in alterations in their physical (Oldenburg et al. 2013) and psychological domains (Carotenuto et al. 2012). Due to the constant instability, the maritime environment significantly impacts cognitive and neuromuscular activity (Pisula, Lewis, and Bridger 2012).

Moreover, motion sickness often affects people exposed to transportation and visual instability through a sensory mismatch mechanism between perceived and expected stimuli. A large percentage of people experience seasickness, with higher work-related risks and detrimental effects on the performance of sailors and seafarers (Golding 2016; Zhang et al. 2016). Consistently, high-stress levels can induce a modification in normal circadian fluctuations of cortisol, a glucocorticoid whose peak level in normal conditions is recorded after awakening (Stalder et al. 2016). During OOS and other highly stressing activities, a flattening of this curve has been recorded, with sustained high cortisol levels throughout the effort (Gunnarsson et al. 2004).

During inshore and offshore sailing, physical effort is inconstant, characterized by high intensity and anaerobic bursts, with increases in oxygen consumption and heart rate (Allen and De Jong 2006; Galvani et al. 2015; Neville et al. 2009; Bernardi et al. 2007). Such activity often leads to heat loss and dehydration (Bigard A, Guillemot P 1998; V. Neville, Gant, and Folland 2010), and produces muscle damage and oxidative stress (OxS) (Barrios et al. 2011). OxS levels have been investigated in other endurance sports, such as triathlon (Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2020), ultra-endurance races (Vezzoli et al. 2016), and swimming (Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2015), revealing an overproduction of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) and a depletion of total antioxidant capacity (TAC). The redox status – namely, the equilibrium between ROS and TAC – deeply affects intracellular function. Maintaining ROS homeostasis is crucial for normal cellular responses, while overproduction is deleterious and can damage cell structures (i.e., proteins, membrane, DNA), leading to progressive organism's disfunction (J. Zhang et al. 2016; Liguori et al. 2012). Along with ROS production, increased levels of nitrogen metabolites and in particular Nitric Oxide (NO), a crucial messenger in many tissues such as endothelium and gastrointestinal tissues, can be found under stressful condition (Elliott and Wallace 1998). Nonetheless, a formal assessment in sailing sports and specifically during OOS is still lacking.

This study aimed to investigate oxidative stress variations in sailors involved in OOS. To have a more accurate definition of stress, we also evaluated cortisol levels, biochemical profile, and renal function markers creatinine and neopterin. Motion Sickness has been investigated through neurophysiological symptoms questionnaires.

**Methods**

**Experimental Design**

This observational study was carried on in November 2020 during an OOS training that included a theoretical part ashore, a full training day of inshore sailing, and three days of non-stop OOS roughly between the latitudes of Gibraltar and Lisbon. The crew sailed in a Class 40 (ITA 84) racing yacht and,
during the navigation, was divided into two groups alternating rest and duty shifts every 3 hours. Figure 1 depicts the study protocol and samplings. Urine and saliva samples and anthropometric measurements were obtained ashore during the theoretical part (PRE) and after the navigation (POST). Further, two urine samples were obtained during the single day of inshore navigation (Training) and three times a day during OOS (Sailing).

**Subjects**

This study involved six male sailors: the skipper (SF) - a professional sailor with experience in ocean solo races - and five recreational sailors with good expertise in seamanship. The subjects were all healthy, and their characteristics are reported in Table 1.

**Navigation**

The offshore navigation lasted three days, during which the crew sailed into the ocean for a total of 420 miles, with a top speed of 14.89 kn.

During the first day and night, the atmospheric conditions were challenging. Swell of 3/3.5 meters Significant Wave Height (SWH) from NW and wind from 5 to 15kn from S-SE resulted in a boat’s inconvenient motion. During the day, the wind increased between 25 and 40kn in gusts as sailors encountered two significant squalls and had to flee downwind. During the night, sailors were forced to maintain a 70° True Wind Angle (TWA) sailing upwind to cope with waves, and the wind speed increased up to 45kn. After the first day, the crew was subjectively stressed. These harsh conditions induced major seasickness and vomiting in one crew member, with a total inability to work on deck. This subject started to recover only at the end of the navigation, during which he never ate and vomited many times without being able to drink and rehydrate. Two other people vomited but were not impaired at work. Liquid reintegration started the day after. On the second day, the conditions changed, with waves height reduced to 1-2m SWH. The boat headed downwind, hoisting a code zero sail, maintaining the boat flat at an average speed of 9-10kn with 15-25kn of wind speed. The navigation remained stable until the end of the navigation in Lisbon on the third day.

**Ethical considerations**

The study was conducted following the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Milan, Italy (Aut. n° 37/17). All the volunteers signed written informed consent.

**Motion Sickness Questionnaire**

To study motion sickness, previously validated Global Sickness Rating Scale (GSRS) (Golding et al. 2003) and Motion Sickness Questionnaire (MSQ) (Golding 2016) were used.

**Saliva and Urine collection**
Approximately 1 mL of saliva was obtained before and after the training and collected in Salivette devices (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) at 8 AM. The subjects were trained on the correct use as previously reported (Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2019; Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2020).

Urine samples were collected by voluntary voiding in a sterile container before and after the training and every day during the training and navigation at 9 AM, 3 PM, and 9 PM according to the 3 h shifts. All samples were stored at 4°C in a portable cooler on board and during the transport back to the laboratory. The specimens were then stored in multiple aliquots at -20°C until assayed and thawed only once before analysis.

**ROS and TAC**

An X-band Electron Paramagnetic Resonance spectroscopy (9.3GHz) (E-Scan, Bruker Co., MA, USA) was used to detect ROS production and TAC values. Saliva samples were stabilized at 37°C using a Temperature and Gas Controller “Bio III” unit (Noxigen Science Transfer & Diagnostics GmbH, Germany), interfaced with the E-Scan. ROS production and TAC assessment methods were previously described (Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2019; 2012). Samples were analyzed in triplicate.

**Cortisol**

The concentration of free cortisol in the saliva was quantitatively determined through ELISA method according to the manufacturer’s protocol (COR(Cortisol) ELISA Kit; FineTest, Wuhan Fine Biotech Co.) as previously described (Dorn et al. 2007).

**8-isoprostane**

Lipid peroxidation was assessed in urine by competitive immunoassay measuring 8-isoprostane concentration (8-iso-PGF2a) (Cayman Chemical, USA). The method was previously described (Bosco et al. 2018).

**NO metabolites**

NOx (NO₂+NO₃) levels were assessed in urine by a method based on the Griess reaction (Green et al. 1982), using a commercial kit (Cayman, BertinPharma, Montigny le Bretonneux, France). Methods were previously described (Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2019) (Green et al. 1982).

Every assessment was carried out in duplicate and read by a microplate reader spectrophotometer (Infinite M200, Tecan Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland).

**Creatinine, Neopterin, and Uric Acid**

Urinary creatinine, neopterin, and uric acid concentrations were measured by isocratic high-pressure liquid chromatography. The calibration curves were linear over the range of 0.125-1 mmol/L, 0.625-20 mmol/L, and 1.25-10 mmol/L for neopterin, uric acid, and creatinine levels, respectively. Inter-assay and intra-
assay coefficients of variation were <5%. Methods were previously described (Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2019; Glantzounis et al. 2005).

**Urine standard analysis**

The Urine Test Strips (Combi screen 11sys PLUS, GIMA, Gessate, Milan, Italy) were used to semi-quantitative determinations of bilirubin, urobilinogen, ketones, proteins, blood, pH, leukocytes, and specific gravity/density in urine. The tests were performed in duplicate.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the GraphPad Prism package (GraphPad Prism 9.0.1, GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed using: non-parametric tests, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test for independent samples (ROS and TAC in saliva), due to the small sample size for compared pre vs. post and ANOVA repeated measures, with multiple comparison tests to further check the among-groups significance. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Change Δ% estimation \[\frac{(\text{post value-pre value})}{\text{pre-value}} \times 100\] is also reported in the text. Non-parametric Spearman correlation (r) with 95% confidence intervals was used to detect possible relationships between selected parameters.

**Results**

A significant difference (p < 0.05) was observed in Weight (Kg) between Pre and Post (Table 1). All crew members suffered a loss of weight (Mean weight loss: 1.58kg).

| Age | Height (cm) | Weight (Kg) PRE | Weight (Kg) POST | BMI (kg.m⁻²) PRE | BMI (kg.m⁻²) POST |
|-----|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1   | 25          | 65.2            | 63               | 22.6            | 21.8            |
| 2   | 41          | 86.2            | 84.5             | 26.6            | 26.0            |
| 3   | 31          | 93.3            | 90.2             | 27.2            | 26.4            |
| 4   | 51          | 125.2           | 123.1            | 35.8            | 35.2            |
| 5   | 36          | 83.6            | 83.5             | 24.6            | 24.6            |
| 6   | 26          | 84.2            | 83.1             | 26.9            | 26.5            |
| Mean ± SD | 35.16 ± 9.70 | 180.50 ± 6.28 | 89.62 ± 19.76   | 87.90 ± 19.59* | 27.28 ± 4.52   | 26.75 ± 4.50 |

Table 1
Anthropometric parameters of the six sailors. Parameters collected from the sailors at Pre and Post. BMI: Body Mass Index. *p < 0.05.
An unbalance of oxidative stress was found. ROS production rate in saliva significantly (p < 0.01) increased at Post OOS (0.27 ± 0.07 vs 0.54 ± 0.16 µmol.min⁻¹, Fig. 2A) with a significant decrease (p < 0.05) in antioxidant capacity (TAC 2.50 ± 0.19 vs 2.21 ± 0.16 mM, Fig. 2B). In addition, uric acid measured in urines significantly decreased (range p < 0.05 – 0.001) during sailing (8.61 ± 3.74 vs. 4.28 ± 1.66 vs. 3.18 ± 1.36 mM, Fig. 2C). A significant increase (range p < 0.05 – 0.001) in lipid peroxidation during OOS (8-isoprostane 228.40 ± 63.1 vs 378.68 ± 103.69 pg.mg⁻¹ creatinine) and at Post OOS (8-isoprostane 427.70 ± 134.98 pg.mg⁻¹ creatinine, Fig. 2D) was measured; besides NO metabolites significantly (p < 0.01) increased at Post (NOx 331.8 ± 102.2 vs 623.0 ± 68.24 µM) (Fig. 2E).

The time course in Figs. 2F and 2G showed a significant increase (p < 0.05 – 0.01) of creatinine (1.57 ± 0.31 vs. 1.77 ± 0.52 vs. 3.38 ± 1.01 g.L⁻¹) and neopterin/creatinine (72.33 ± 12.62 vs. 105.603 ± 22.14 vs. 23.33 ± 35.25 µmol.mol⁻¹ creatinine) levels respectively at Training and Post OOS.

Finally, a significant increase (p < 0.05) in cortisol levels was measured Post OOS in saliva (2.47 ± 0.36 vs. 2.85 ± 0.22 ng/mL⁻¹, Fig. 2H).

No significant differences were recorded in GSRS for different items and MSQ during the three days of offshore navigation (see Tables 2 and 3).

Table 2
Global Sickness Rating Scale (GSRS), number of subjects and (total value) are reported for each day. Total scores ± SD are reported.

| Sailors (n = 6) | Global Sickness Rating Scale (GSRS) |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
|                | Day 1  | Day 2  | Day 3  |
| 1: No symptoms | n2 (2) | n2 (2) | n5 (5) |
| 2: Initial symptoms of motion sickness but no nausea | - | n1 (2) | - |
| 3: Mild Nausea | n1 (3) | - | n1 (3) |
| 4: Moderate Nausea | - | n1 (4) | - |
| 5: Severe nausea and/or retching | - | n1 (5) | - |
| 6: Vomiting | n3 (18) | n1 (6) | - |
| Total score | 23 ± 3.8 | 19 ± 2.1 | 8 ± 0.8 |
### Table 3

**Motion Sickness Questionnaire (MSQ). Mean (± SD) values of the investigated variables.**

| Sailors (n = 6) | Motion Sickness Questionnaire (MSQ) |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|
|                | Day 1    | Day 2    | Day 3    |
| General discomfort | 1.0 ± 1.2 | 1.33 ± 1.03 | 0.83 ± 0.72 |
| Fatigue         | 1.16 ± 0.98 | 1.16 ± 0.98 | 1.33 ± 0.81 |
| Headache        | 0.83 ± 1.16 | 0.66 ± 1.21 | 0.33 ± 0.51 |
| Eye Strain      | 0.16 ± 0.40 | 0.16 ± 0.40 | 0.33 ± 0.51 |
| Difficulty focusing | 0.16 ± 0.40 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.16 ± 0.40 |
| Increased salivation | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.16 ± 0.40 |
| Fulness of head | 0.80 ± 1.16 | 0.83 ± 0.51 | 0.33 ± 0.51 |
| Blurred vision  | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 |
| Dizziness as illusory sense of motion (eyes open) | 0.33 ± 0.51 | 0.33 ± 0.51 | 0.0 ± 0.0 |
| Dizziness as illusory sense of motion (eyes closed) | 0.33 ± 0.81 | 0.66 ± 1.21 | 0.16 ± 0.40 |
| Vertigo         | 0.50 ± 0.83 | 1.33 ± 1.21 | 0.16 ± 0.40 |
| Stomach awareness | 1.50 ± 1.22 | 1.33 ± 1.21 | 0.83 ± 0.75 |
| Burping         | 1.50 ± 1.22 | 0.66 ± 0.81 | 0.16 ± 0.40 |

Urine standard parameters are reported in Table 4. A significant increase in urinary ketones levels was detected during the navigation. pH and bilirubin values also increased but did not reach statistical significance.
Table 4

Urine standard analysis. Mean (± SD) values of the investigated variables in the urine test strip in the sailors. Changes in urine standard urinalysis referred to PRE are shown. Statistically significant difference at p < 0.05 (* symbol).

| Sailors n = 6 | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | PRE | Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 | POST |
| Bilirubin (µmol L⁻¹) | 5.65 ± 8.80 | 6.16 ± 9.55 | 11.66 ± 9.07 | 17.00 ± 1.09 | 11.16 ± 8.75 |
| Urobilinogen (µmol L⁻¹) | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.2 ± 0.1 |
| Ketones (mmol L⁻¹) | 1.0 ± 2.23 | 1.0 ± 2.0 | 16.16 ± 18.49* | 12.16 ± 14.2* | 9.0 ± 5.47* |
| Protein (mg dL⁻¹) | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 |
| Blood (Ery µL⁻¹) | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 |
| pH | 5.16 ± 0.40 | 6.50 ± 1.25 | 6.12 ± 1.43 | 6.25 ± 1.04 | 5.87 ± 1.18 |
| Leucocytes (Leuko µL⁻¹) | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 |
| Specific gravity/ Density | 1.01 ± 0.00 | 1.02 ± 0.00 | 1.03 ± 0.00* | 1.03 ± 0.00* | 1.03 ± 0.00* |

Finally, a positive relationship was found during the 1st day of navigation between NO metabolites and Global Sickness Rating Scale (GSRS) scores (r = 0.94, p < 0.05) (Fig. 3A); between GSRS score and specific items of Motion Sickness Questionnaire (MSQ), in details: during the 1st day with General discomfort r = 0.83 (p = 0.04) (Fig. 3B), during 2nd day with General discomfort r = 0.87 (p = 0.02) (Fig. 3C), dizziness as illusory sense of motion (eyes open) r = 0.85 (p = 0.03) (Fig. 3D), and stomach awareness r = 0.98 (p = 0.0007) (Fig. 3E); during the 3rd day no correlation was found.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate oxidative stress on urine and saliva sampled from non-professional sailors during OOS and possible correlation with motion sickness. This setting is particularly challenging, with rapid changes in terms of environmental conditions and circadian rhythms.

According to the results, subjects exposed to OOS suffer a significant multifactorial increase in oxidative stress and cortisol. A small number of studies considered modifications in cortisol levels in sailors and seafarers. Oldenburg et al. found that cortisol awakening levels were highly dependent on subjective stress perception and work type. Mental work was also associated with higher cortisol levels than physical work (Oldenburg and Jensen 2019). This is confirmed by cortisol levels found in maritime pilots,
increasing their tasks’ difficulty (Main et al. 2017). Some studies’ results reflect that seafarers’ cortisol levels are higher in port stays than at sea, probably because of the break of a working routine found during days at sea (Liberzon et al. 2009; Oldenburg and Jensen 2019). Liberzon et al. found that cortisol response at awakening in the crew increased with navigation time and was not correlated with sleep duration or patterns (Liberzon et al. 2009). Other confined environments in which the crew suffers a sudden and prolonged change in circadian rhythms and psychosocial stress are, for example, military ships, submarines, or spaceships. In these environments, a flattening of the standard cortisol fluctuation profile has also been recorded under stressful conditions (Whitson et al. 1995; Hernández et al. 2018). Similar results were obtained by Gunnarsson et al. on ocean sailors studied for a more extended period during an offshore regatta. They also reported an initial increase in cortisol levels at the beginning of the navigation, with a flattening of the fluctuation when sailors reached the regularization of the shift regimen (Gunnarsson et al. 2004). Our study found a significant increase in cortisol levels in sailors after three-day-long offshore navigation compared to their basal level (+15%, see Fig. 2H). These results may be related to the significant stressors that maritime personnel and offshore ocean sailors have to endure, particularly fatigue and poor sleep quality. From a physiological point of view, sleep disturbances induce a decrease in physical and cognitive performance in sailors (Hurdiel et al. 2014) and a disruption of normal cortisol secretion, causing the activation of pro-inflammatory pathways (Redwine et al. 2000; Abedelmalek et al. 2013). Physical exercise can also induce a modification in cortisol secretion (Hayes et al. 2015). During offshore sailing, a basal level of muscle activation is needed to cope with instability and to aid thermoregulation, but short bursts of anaerobic exercise are required in all the maneuvers (Galvani et al. 2015; Neville et al. 2009; Bernardi et al. 2007; Allen and De Jong 2006). It is, therefore, reasonable to think that these aspects also contribute to cortisol levels alterations.

During day one, three subjects suffered from motion sickness and had vomiting episodes. Although cortisol is known to correlate with acute nausea and vomiting (Lukina et al. 2020; Beyazit et al. 2018), we found no significant correlation between this hormone and the seasickness scale questionnaires administered (Golding 2016; Golding et al. 2003). Nonetheless, we have been able to measure nitric oxide metabolites (NOx) levels throughout the navigation. Nitric oxide is involved in many gastrointestinal mucosal mechanisms (Elliott and Wallace 1998), and previous studies found a correlation between salivary and serum NO levels with vomiting syndromes and Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) (Lukina et al. 2020; Beyazit et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2019; Zicari et al. 2001). Nausea caused by motion sickness is also characterized by gastric dysrhythmias (Koch 2014). In accordance with these studies, we found a significant linear relationship between NOx levels and GSRS during the first day of navigation, during which the subjects suffered the most intense motion sickness (Fig. 3).

During inshore regattas, short bursts of high-intensity activity are described (Neville et al. 2009; Bernardi et al. 2007; Philippe et al. 2020). However, even if data regarding physical effort during offshore sailing seem comparable with inshore activity (Galvani et al. 2015), the evidence is scarce, difficult to obtain, and limited to measuring the effects of energy expenditure and physical effort activity after the race. Weight loss, fat percentage decrease, lower limb strength, and muscle mass reduction are often reported (Allen and De Jong 2006; Myers et al. 2008; Fearnley et al. 2012; Lafère et al. 2020; Ghiani et al. 2018). ROS
production is enhanced by exercise (Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2020; Vezzoli et al. 2016; Mrakic-Sposta, Vezzoli, et al. 2020; Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2015; Fisher-Wellman and Bloomer 2009). In particular, anaerobic exercise can induce prolonged oxidative stress up to 24 h after the effort (Shi et al. 2007; Bloomer et al. 2005), which is then balanced by an enhanced antioxidant response (Bloomer and Goldfarb 2004; Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2020; Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2015). In America’s Cup sailors, oxidative stress markers after the race were higher than their baseline levels, especially in crew members involved in high-intensity physical work (Barrios et al. 2011). Our study is the first to analyze oxidative stress markers during OOS. Our results show a significant increase in ROS production after the navigation. The imbalance between the ROS production rate (about +100%) and the antioxidant scavenging (~12%, see Fig. 2A, B, C) reflected the increase in the oxidative stress-related damage to lipids (+87%; see Fig. 2D). Oxidative stress is highly involved with inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in developing chronic cardiovascular diseases (El Assar et al. 2013; Siti et al. 2015). Even though more evidence should be produced on ocean sailors, the effects of oxidative stress exposition on seafarers can be a potential cause of their higher cardiovascular risk and mortality rate for coronary heart disease (Oldenburg 2014; Von Katzler et al. 2019; Eriksson et al. 2020).

Neopterin and creatinine concentration can increase during systemic oxidative stress, as shown in some studies (Mrakic-Sposta et al. 2015; 2019; Murr et al. 2002; Mrakic-Sposta, Vezzoli, et al. 2020). Even if a decrease in kidney function can be a hint of organ damage during endurance sports, it is often the result of many physiological responses to stress and physical demands (Mrakic-Sposta, Gussoni, et al. 2020; Vezzoli et al. 2016; Hodgson et al. 2017). In our study, an increase of evaluated biomarkers concentrations was observed during and post-offshore sailing and was associated with ROS production. In any case, this study did not assess the chronic or long-term effects of offshore sailing. Mainly referred to kidney activity, the subjects manifested a temporary “impairment of renal function” as a likely physiological or adaptive response to dehydration. This could also be linked to significant weight loss (see Table 1) and vomiting, which changes ketones concentration, pH, and specific density (see Table 4). Ketones increase could also hint at how athletes’ metabolism copes with high energy demand and stress. Their production is stimulated by low insulin, high glucagon, and epinephrine concentrations, suggesting a shift to metabolic efficiency and fuel sparing of the organism exposed to endurance exercise and fasting (Cox et al. 2016; Sansone et al. 2018). They are also second messengers for many pathways, such as food intake stimuli (Paoli et al. 2015). The ketogenic regimen is also related to the increase of lipid metabolism (Rubini et al. 2015) which in the case of OOS is often associated with the decrease of body fat percentage and weight loss (Fearnley et al. 2012; Lafère et al. 2020; Ghiani et al. 2018).

Considering that sailors are exposed to harsh environmental conditions and that motion sickness and working rates can influence nutrition habits during a race (Fearnley et al. 2012), it is of utmost importance to maintain an adequate water intake during navigation to prevent renal damage and to keep proper caloric intake to sustain physical performance.

Limitations And Conclusions
As for other studies (Galvani et al. 2015; Gunnarsson et al. 2004; Fearnley et al. 2012; Lafère et al. 2020) that focus on OOS, we found many difficulties in producing reliable data and scientific evidence. The researcher himself, which was part of the crew, had to take part in the strenuous activity schedule, the space for medical devices and samples on board is limited, invasive procedures are complicated to perform because of continuous motion, electronic devices cannot be charged because electrical power is limited and needed for navigation. The complexity of this environment often results in a lack of reliable literature (Allen and De Jong 2006). Therefore, we chose to obtain urine and saliva samples because of the limited logistic disadvantages of these samples.

A limitation of this study is the lack of data on the quality and duration of sleep. This might have influenced the cortisol level, but Liberzon et al. found no connection between sleep and cortisol levels (Liberzon et al. 2009), and other OOS experiments show data similar to ours (Gunnarsson et al. 2004).

We tried to find a correlation between cortisol levels and motion sickness considering previous experiences in the literature (Otto et al. 2006). Due to the low number of investigated subjects and saliva samples, and because we didn't measure cortisol levels immediately after vomiting episodes, we found no significant correlation between motion sickness questionnaire results and cortisol levels. Another cause could be that we investigated only male subjects, and as previously reported by Meissner et al., the cortisol level changes in saliva in male patients could not be significant. Cortisol response in motion sickness, as they suggest, should be corrected for the hour of the day, gender, and basal cortisol levels (Meissner et al. 2009). Moreover, high variability was observed in oxidative stress markers, cortisol levels, and motion sickness scales between the same sailors on various days.

Another limitation is that we have not been able to obtain information on sailors’ cardiovascular and metabolic activity during the navigation, even though they have been described in other similar and comparable studies (Galvani et al. 2015; Neville et al. 2009). In the future, we hope we will be able to implement our methods and obtain this data in a similar environment.

However, the present offshore sailing study offers valuable information on the redox state, renal function, and motion sickness response during this high demanding activity. OOS has been shown to induce an increase in oxidative stress biomarkers and NO metabolites. A correlation was found also between the increase in NO metabolites level and motion sickness intensity evaluated through questionnaires and symptoms. In this experiment, a transient reduction in renal function was found. Moreover, salivary cortisol increased in response to physical activity and stress induced by navigation. Future studies are required to investigate the biochemical processes and the clinical correlations consequent to maritime exposure.
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Figures
Figure 2

Biomarkers kinetic. Time course of: A) Radical Oxygen Species (ROS) production rate (μmol·min⁻¹) and B) Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC – mM) in saliva assessed by EPR; C) Uric Acid (mM); D) 8-isoprostane (8-iso-PGF2α, pg.mg⁻¹ creatinine); E) Nitric Oxide metabolites (NOx, μM), F) Creatinine (g.L⁻¹), G) Neopterin (μmol.mol⁻¹ creatinine), concentrations detected in urine. In H) cortisol levels (ng/mL) measured in saliva. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, ***p<0.001 significantly different.
Figure 3

Relationship panel plot of: A) NOx and GSRS at 1th day; B) GSRS and general discomfort of MSQ at 1st day; at the 2nd day, the panels show the correlation between GSRS and specific items of MSQ C) general discomfort and GSRS D) dizziness as illusory sense of motion (eyes open) and GSRS E) stomach awareness and GSRS. A significant linear relationship (p<0.05-0.001) between parameters was estimated.