Trapping of Alpha Particles and Radon using Epoxy/Poly Vinyl Acetate Blend Foam
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Abstract

Intelligent foam has been achieved by blending epoxy and poly vinyl acetate (PVA) with different ratios, foaming with nitrogen gas was further performed. It was found 25 Kilo gray irradiation electron beam obtained from accelerator was enough for compatibility and modified mechanical behavior. Water uptake to 50% was maintained over night and release was completed after 6 days at 20°C. Thermal behavior testing revealed heat resistance up to 200°C. Structure characterization was determined by FTIR spectroscopy while mechanical investigation was applied using hardness tester. The Foam blend was used to trap alpha particles emitted by 239Pu and 241Am. Alpha counts were continuously recorded while water uptake was carried out until cut off. Medium decontamination from radon was tracked via water uptake foam using 773 ppm 222Rn source until count cut. Durability of foam was measured by ten times repeatable water uptakes alpha particles and radon cut off showed no significant difference. Radon associated lead and bismuth were determined in the foam using SSNTD CR39 and a streamer detector and assured by using EDX which proved sorption of radon by specified foam.
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Introduction

The exhalation and release of radon gas into the environment are the products of the radioactive decay chain of primordial uranium or thorium, specifically the isotopes 238U, 235U and 232Th. The radon isotopes formed from these decay chains are 222Rn (‘radon’), 224Rn (‘actinon’) and 228Rn (‘thoron’), while those of the radium isotopes are 222Ra, 224Ra and 226Ra, respectively, in these chains [1]. Radon is a chemically inert noble gas with a half-life of about 3.8 days, while its decay products or progeny of various isotopes of bismuth (Bi), polonium (Po) and lead (Pb) generally forms solids at normal environmental conditions [2]. The half-lives of radon progeny vary from microseconds to minutes to years. The heavy-metal daughter-products are highly toxic and are readily adsorbed onto atmospheric particles, posing a significant health hazard, and inhalation of 222Rn, and its α-emitting progeny 219Po and 218Po, is believed [3] to provide the majority of the radiation dose received by the respiratory system. Despite the fact that high doses of ionizing radiation are detrimental, substantial data from experimental organisms ranging from protozoa to humans show that biological functions are stimulated by low dose of radiation [4,5]. “Radiation hormesis” is the name given to the putative stimulatory effects of low level ionizing radiation [4,6]. In humans, radioactivity damages the sensitive inner lining of the lung, increasing the risk of lung-cancer. This is due to the trapping of radon and its decay products in the lungs. The association between enhanced levels of environmental radon and lungs increases the risk of lung-cancer [7-9]. Hence radon is recognised as a multiplicative factor in the incidence of lung-cancer among smokers. It is estimated that the annual mortality from exposure to radon in buildings represents 9% of all deaths from lung-cancer, and 2% of all cancer deaths in Europe [8]. Since the total annual mortality from this type of cancer in the UK is between 30,000 and 35,000 [10], it is suggested that between 1800 and 2100 deaths annually are caused by exposure to radon and its progeny. Radon may be one of the oldest natural remedies of mankind dating back into ancient cultures and prehistoric times long before radon and radiation were known. Unlike many paramedical “treatments” including homeopathy or acupuncture, the beneficial effects of radon balneology appear now to be well established with several randomized double-blind studies which cannot simply be explained by psychosomatic or placebo effects. Responding to the threat to health raised by the presence of radon in domestic properties, the former U.K. National Radiological Protection Board (NRPB), established an action level of 200 Bq m⁻³ for domestic properties and designated a number of radon Affected Areas, geographical entities where more than 1% of the housing stock is predicted to have radon concentrations in excess of the Action Level [11]. Where elevated levels of domestic radon are discovered, households are strongly encouraged to take steps to remediate this situation. In existing U.K. homes, the methods generally adopted to reduce indoor radon levels rely on two key processes, dilution and/or pressure change, achieved principally by the installation of a pressure-modifying sump, often in conjunction with an extraction fan [12]. The preferred approach for new-built houses is by installation of a radon-proof membrane across the entire footprint of the house, with cavity trays protecting the walls. In higher-risk areas, this is supplemented with natural under-floor ventilation, in the case of suspended flooring, or by construction of a passive sump below the level of the ground-floor, in the case of ground-bearing concrete flooring. In either case, if the completed house is found to have an elevated radon level, these measures can be reinforced with a mechanical fan fitted to the sump to provide active sub-slab depressurization [13]. This work aims to study the decontamination of houses and difficult aerated situations due to dangerous problematic radon and alpha particles. Prepare a foam blend
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of epoxy with polyvinyl acetate that can be used in decontamination from dangerous radon and alpha particles. The prepared foam was proved to possess hydrophilic and sufficient mechanical and thermal properties advantageous for its application. FTIR investigation proved compatibilization of the blend. Application of the synthesized blend foam for absorbing alpha particles and radon was successfully performed.

Experimental Approach

Materials

Blends were prepared using commercial grade phenyl epoxy and polyvinyl acetate. Nitrogen gas was used in foaming the blend supplied from Optco, Egypt. The PVA paste was solved into magnetically stirred distilled water for 3 hours at 80°C getting an emulsion with the concentration of 60%.

Method of preparation

Epoxy/PVA was mixed to realize the optimum ratio which has good structure and best hydrophilic character. 20%, 30%, 50% and 70% by weight of PVA were mixed with epoxy and a solidifying agent. The mixture was heated to reach the maximum compatibility then temperature was reduced to reach a very viscous state. Foaming of the blend was carried out for more than 5 hours till obtaining highly porous solid blend. Irradiation with different doses; 10, 25, 50, 75 and 100 K Gy by electron beam irradiation was applied to realize moderate temperature was reduced to reach a very viscous state. Foaming of the blend was carried out for more than 5 hours till obtaining highly porous solid blend. Irradiation with different doses; 10, 25, 50, 75 and 100 K Gy by electron beam irradiation was applied to realize moderate porosity, best hydrophilicity and compatibility.

Water uptake

Water uptake/swelling behavior of resin was determined in water as a function of irradiation dose (K Gy) and composition. Swollen polymers were wiped off with tissue paper to remove surface water then weighed immediately the percent swelling/ percent water uptake was calculated as:

Water uptake% = \frac{\text{weight of swollen blend resin-weight of dry blend resin}}{\text{weight of dry blend resin}} \times 100

Alpha measurements using streamer detector

The effective area of detector is limited by the anode area; 120 x 64 mm².

Alpha particles from 239Pu and 241Am source were passed from a rectangular slit of 5 mm in depth and 20 x 4 mm² cross section area and 25 mm. Normal air was used as the energy absorber to control the final alpha energies incident on the detector.

CR-39 detectors

The CR-39 detector was irradiated with alpha particles of 4 MeV under normal incidence through a collimator. Two alpha sources were employed a planar 241Am source main alpha energy of 5.49 MeV and 239Pu main alpha energy of 5.1 MeV. After irradiation, the detectors were etched in 6.25 N aqueous solution of NaOH maintained at 70°C in a water bath for 6 hours. The detectors were then taken out from the etchant, rinsed with distilled water and dried in air. The etched tracks were observed using an optical microscope fitted with an objective lens of 100 times magnification. At this magnification one counting field covers an area of 0.70 images on a monitor, after the polymeric material is presented to face the alpha mm². The microscope image was viewed with a high-quality digital camera (TV camera), which is connected to a PC- to displays particles.

The radon detector chamber: The detector consists of cylindrical cups (air tide chamber) one as radon gas room with 6 cm height and 9.5 cm diameter. Created radon of 226Ra, 733 ppm activity, was allowed to pass through an open window to the other cup of chamber of 9.5 cm in radius and 16 cm in height, to find the detector CR39. This cup is sealed with silicon. The CR-39 on the top of the detector chamber is fixed to reduce any error that might be caused by any movement.

Tracks of radon alpha-particle produced in CR-39 detector: The measurements were carried out by repeated insertion the water uptaking foam between T1 and T2. The etched track recorded on CR39 unexposed detector represents background. To assure the trapping of radon by the foam 10 different fields were scanned at same conditions.

EDX instrument

Oxford-tests attached to Scan Electron Microscope (SEM), Joel-5400, Japan.

Calibration data: Gain factor: 49.996, Live time: 80 Seconds.

Sample data: Total spectrum count: 875722, Live time: 70 Seconds.

-System resolution: 173 eV.
- Accelerating voltage: 20.00 KV.

Scientific equipments

Hardness tester: Manual analogue instrument with pin produced by Baxio, UK.

FTIR characterization: The functional groups of the prepared polymer resin were studied using Mattson 1000, Pye-Unicam, England.

Thermalgravimetric analysis: Shimadzu TGA -50, Japan was used to characterize the polymer foam thermally.

Results and Discussion

Scientific approach

Depending on the fact that; lung’s tissue is very sensitive and reactive for radon gas and/ or alpha particles. The authors design a polymer foam which somewhat resembles the lungs tissues. The foam’s bottom was relatively hard in texture while it tended to decrease their hardness till it reached to soft at the top surface. Larger holes and bigger vacuums at the top surface were observed while it decreased regularly till it reached to the least size at the bottom. Top surface is somewhat mucoid to facilitate each of alpha particles and radon gases to be cohered. Ageing test was investigated by exposing the polymer matrix to cosmic rays out door for two years. Ageing resulted in partially disappearance of holes and appearance of small cracks of the polymer matrix after one years while it enlarged by time until two years passage as it seen in figure 1 (A,B,C,D). Direct exposure to radon gas for 30 days conducted reduction of its weight and dimensions to about 10-15%. These results may put a valuable spot of light on the treatment of the lung’s cancer.

FTIR characterization

Evidence of blending and network formation was provided by the characterization of porous foam syntheses. Spectrum broad peak at 3400 cm⁻¹ proved presence of carboxylic group of the acetate showing maximum intensity which assured finally blending of PVA with Epoxy. Three successive bands at 670, 1300 and 1650 cm⁻¹ reveals the presence of epoxy was obviously appeared. At 2200 cm⁻¹ an obvious peak has been shown which is due to double bond between two carbon atoms
which as a result of vinyl group presence. These results proved that complete compatibilization of the polymer used within the blend and no reaction have been occurred between the polymers used except for finally mixing (Figure 2).

**TGA characterization**

The determination of this loss in weight is the principle of different variant of thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The temperature at which the loss in weight occurs is considered to be the thermal resistance of polymer [14,15]. An improvement in thermal characteristics was detected as blending takes place. The blend showed high thermal resistance up to 200°C (the loss not exceed 7%) this very small weight loss was due to blending of polyvinyl acetate to epoxy. Degradation of the blend in the range of temperature 200°C to 330°C was about 20%. While the decay was reached to 35% from the starting weight due raise the temperature from 330°C to 400°C. Slowing down of blend degradation was seen in the third division of the thermogram upon raising temperature to 580°C. No step peak was observed proving reasonable compatibility in the entire thermal course (Figure 3).

**Mechanical properties**

**Foam hardness:** Hardness of the dry foam showed maximum reading of 50 shore-D then decreased upon soaking in water till 18 shore-D for foam surface after 7 days’ water soaking. While the hardness of the bottom have a higher value reached to 36 shore-D after 10 days’ water soaking as it seen in (table 1). These results proved...
that a firm structure is fixed and stable upon soaking for long time. Thus, the blend foam can be admitted durable for a wide range of tough applications including trapping of alpha particles and radon in different circumstances.

**Water uptake studies**

Water uptake of porous blend resin was studied as a function of composition, gamma irradiation dose. 50% PVA composition of the blend showed maximum water uptake as shown in (Figure 4). Meanwhile 25 K Gy was the optimal dose for water uptake appeared obviously at (Figure 5). Foaming of blend remarkably improved water uptake. This may be accounted from increase in pore number, pore size and / or increase the dimensions of the foam formed leading to an increase in surface area allowable for capturing water molecules into net matrix of the resin.

Temperature is a very important factor influencing water uptake as raising up temperature may affect on active sites and mobility of water molecules leading to reduction the time needed for reaching maximum water uptake. (Figure6) shows that evenly composed blend irradiated with 25 K Gy demonstrated maximum uptake at 20°C, meanwhile (Figure 7) exhibits the least soaking time 12 hours for achieving maximum water uptake.

**Trapping of alpha particles**

The energy of the alpha emitted is mildly dependent on the half-life for the emission process; with many orders of magnitude differences in half-life being associated with energy changes of less than 50%. The energy of alpha particles emitted varies, with higher energy alpha particles being emitted from larger nuclei, but most alpha particles have energies of between 3 and 7 MeV, corresponding to extremely long to
extremely short half-lives of alpha-emitting nuclides, respectively. This energy is a substantial amount of energy for a single particle, but their high mass means alpha particles have a lower speed (with a typical kinetic energy of 5 MeV, the speed is 15,000 km/s which is 5% of the speed of light) than any other common type of radiation (β particles, γ rays, neutrons, etc). Because of their charge and large mass, alpha particles are easily absorbed by materials, and they can travel only a few centimeters in air. They can be absorbed by tissue paper or the outer layers of human skin (about 40 micrometers, equivalent to a few cells deep). Because of the short range of absorption, alphas are not generally dangerous to life unless the source is ingested or inhaled, but then they become extremely dangerous. Because of this high mass and strong absorption, if alpha emitting radionuclides do enter the body (if the radioactive material has been inhaled or ingested), alpha radiation is the most destructive form of ionizing radiation. It is the most strongly ionizing, and with large enough doses can cause any or all of the symptoms of radiation poisoning. It is estimated that chromosome damage from alpha particles is about 100 times greater than that caused by an equivalent amount of other radiation. The alpha emitter polonium-210 is suspected of playing a role in lung cancer and bladder cancer related to tobacco smoking [16]. Not only do alphas themselves cause damage, but approximately equal ionization is caused by the recoiling nucleus after alpha emission, and this energy which may in turn be especially damaging to genetic material, since the positive cations of many soluble transuranic elements which emit alphas, are chemically attracted to the net negative charge of DNA, causing the recoiling atomic nucleus to be in close proximity to the DNA. The synthesized polymer foam was proved to be strongly efficient for decontaminating alpha particles from two sources as it illustrated in. 241Am 5.49 MeV (Figure 8) and 239Pu 5.1 MeV(Figure 9) sources were used while the results using the foam showed completely getting rid of the alpha particles completely. Water swollen polymer play an important role in getting ride of alpha particles, at 30% by weight of water swell the foam is capable to completely trap alpha particles as it seen in (Figure 10).

Trapping of radon gas

The predecessors to radon in the chain are solids and will not migrate far from their place in the soil; radon is a gas and can migrate through a few feet of earth. Cohen [17] reported that on the average, about six atoms of radon emerge from every square inch of soil every second. Radon in outside air is diluted rapidly, but if it enters through a basement floor and is trapped in a tight house, it can reach high concentrations. From Lutgens [18] there is an estimate that the top 6 feet of soil from an average acre of land contains about 50 lbs of uranium. This corresponds to about 2 to 3 parts per million. United States Environmental Protection Agency’s (U.S. EPA) recommended “action level” of 4 picoCuries per liter of air (pCi/L) The U.S. EPA
and the U.S. Surgeon General recommend that people not be exposed to more than 4 pCi/L of radiation from radon on a long-term basis. The most effective and cost efficient method for radon reduction is “Active Soil Depressurization.” This method collects radon from beneath building before it enters the structure, and vents the radon safely to the outside. The particular steps required to do this depend upon building’s foundation. For homes built on crawl spaces, a high density polyethylene sheet is laid on the soil. The seams and edges are sealed, and a perforated pipe or drain mat is placed beneath the plastic. This pipe is connected to solid PVC piping and a fan, which creates a vacuum beneath the plastic. Radon is thus effectively collected and exhausted to a safe, outside location. Indoor radon concentrations reflect the balance between the rate of radon entry into a structure from all sources and the rate of radon loss by natural radioactive decay and by dilution by ventilating air. The rate of radon entry into a building is the sum of contributions from soil-gas (generally accepted, particularly for premises with high radon entry rates, as the principal source), from building materials [19-23] and less significantly in the UK, from well/ borehole water supplies [24] and the combustion of natural gas for cooking/heating [25]. Radon loss is dominated by ventilation rate,
and characterization was completed at NCRRT labs.
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Thermal gravimetric analysis confirmed the usage of the blend for a
assist in reducing the negative impacts by completely cut off the beam.
compatible mechanical, hydrophilic and chemical behaviors strongly
natural and synthetic irradiations. Specified polymer foam with
for human health and environment. Radon comprises about 54% of
about 25% of them which proved the reactivity of the polymer towards
the synthesized foam while their range is greatly less than lead. These
the radon daughter. Low percent (25%) of radon can escape from
of the foam are detrimental factors for getting ride off the harmful
sites, temperature of the reaction while physico-chemical characteristics
Solvation of metals produced by radon decay may ionize the metals,
onto the foam active sites may be due to physical or chemical sorption.
and bismuth from water as shown in (Figure 12). Sorption of metals
in getting ride off radon at a content of 30%. This appearance may be
due to solution of radon and alpha particles which facilitate sorption of
radon’s daughter to the foam active sites.

Bismuth and lead decontamination

The synthesized polymer foam suggests selective in removing lead
and bismuth from water as shown in (Figure 12). Sorption of metals
onto the foam active sites may be due to physical or chemical sorption.
Solvent of metals produced by radon decay may ionize the metals,
thus facilitate trapping by foam’s active sites distributed all over the
adsorbent. Affinity of the foam, contact time between metals and active
sites, temperature of the reaction while physico-chemical characteristics
of the foam are detrimental factors for getting ride off the harmful
metals which severely polluted the environment. It also showed more
than 140 ppm of lead on the surface polymer while bottom abstracted
about 25% of them which proved the reactivity of the polymer towards
the radon daughter. Low percent (25%) of radon can escape from
the surface to be sorbed on the foam bottom while more is logically
in-between. Bismuth and polonium have the same behavior towards
the synthesized foam while their range is greatly less than lead. These
results proved that; a composition of that hydrophilic foam physically
and chemically is highly fitted to radon trapping purpose.

Conclusion

Radon and alpha particles are very dangerous sources of irradiation
for human health and environment. Radon comprises about 54% of
natural and synthetic irradiations. Specified polymer foam with
compatible mechanical, hydrophilic and chemical behaviors strongly
assist in reducing the negative impacts by completely cut off the beam.
Thermal gravimetric analysis confirmed the usage of the blend for a
wide range of temperature reduced to 200°C. Further endeavors must
do be done to improve practical preliminary prototypes before mass
production application to be carried out.
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