Bat Influenza A(HL18NL11) Virus in Fruit Bats, Brazil
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Screening of 533 bats for influenza A viruses showed subtype HL18NL11 in intestines of 2 great fruit-eating bats (Artibeus lituratus). High concentrations suggested fecal shedding. Genomic characterizations revealed conservation of viral genes across different host species, countries, and sampling years, suggesting a conserved cellular receptor and wide-ranging occurrence of bat influenza A viruses.

Influenza A viruses are major causes of human disease and are predominantly maintained in avian reservoirs (1). The segmented influenza A genome facilitates reassortment events in birds or intermediate hosts, such as swine and horses, leading to emergence of new variants potentially capable of causing zoonotic infections (2). Bats are major sources of zoonotic pathogens (3). In pioneering studies from 2012 and 2013, the first bat influenza A viruses, termed H17N10 and H18N11, were discovered in 2 bat species, Sturnira lilium (little yellow-shouldered bat) and Artibeus planirostris (flat-faced fruit-eating bat) (4,5).

Bat-associated influenza A viruses are phylogenetically highly divergent from avian-associated influenza A viruses in their hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) genes, suggesting these viruses represent ancient influenza A strains (2). Consistent with their genetic divergence, bat-associated influenza A surface proteins lack typical hemagglutination and neuraminidase activities (6), leading to the terminology HA-like (HL) and neuraminidase-like (NL) for bat-associated influenza surface proteins.

So far, only 4 individual bat specimens yielded influenza A genomic sequences during the pivotal investigations (4,5). HL18NL11 has only been found in 1 A. planirostris bat captured in Peru in 2010 (5), challenging definite host assessments. To investigate bat influenza A virus epidemiology, we investigated bats in southern Brazil during 2010–2014.

The Study

For this study, we sampled 533 individual bats representing 26 species and 3 families across 28 sampling sites (Table 1). Bats were captured using mist nets, euthanized, and necropsied and were identified on the basis of morphological criteria by trained field biologists as described previously (7). Only intestine samples were available for virological analyses. The Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais (21748–1), Instituto Ambiental do Paraná (235/10), and the ethics committee of the Instituto de Biomedical Science from the University of São Paulo (56–18–03/2014) authorized sampling.

We tested intestine specimens from all bats using 2 highly sensitive, broadly reactive nested reverse transcription PCRs targeting different regions of the influenza A polymerase basic (PB) 1 gene (5,8). Positive results on both tests came from only 2 samples, from Artibeus lituratus great fruit-eating bats captured on March 7 and March 12, 2012, at 2 locations separated by 12 km in an Atlantic rainforest patch. No other sample was positive, yielding a 10.0% (2/20) overall detection rate in this site and 16.7% (2/12) detection in A. lituratus bats from this site (Table 1; Figure 1, panel A). Neither bat testing positive for influenza A virus showed signs of disease.
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A. lituratus bats were the most abundantly sampled species (Table 1).

The low overall influenza virus detection rate in this study (0.4%, 95% CI 0.0%–1.5%) was not significantly different by Fisher exact test from the previous 2 studies (1/110 bats for HL18NL11 [0.9%, 95% CI 0.0%–5.5%; p = 0.43]; 3/316 bats for HL17NL10 [1.0%, 95% CI 0.0%–2.9%; p = 0.37]). Apparently low rates of acute influenza A virus infection in bats are not consistent with high seroprevalence of 72% in different bat species according to a preliminary investigation (5) and may hint at seasonal variation in bat influenza virus infections, comparable to other batborne RNA viruses (9).

Sanger sequencing of the screening PCR amplicons suggested close genetic relatedness of the strains circulating in Brazil with the HL18NL11 strain circulating in Peru. Virus concentrations in the positive intestine specimens as determined by strain-specific quantitative real-time reverse transcription RT-PCR (Appendix Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/25/2/18-1246-App.pdf) were high (1.5 \times 10^9 and 4.9 \times 10^9 RNA copies/g of tissue). High HL18NL11 concentrations in intestinal specimens are consistent with qualitative data from the pioneering study on HL18NL11 (5) and may suggest intestinal tropism and potential fecal shedding into the environment.

We determined the full coding sequence of all 8 segments of the viral genomes using primers aiming at amplifying overlapping regions of bat influenza A virus genomes (GenBank accession nos. MH682200–15) (Appendix Table 1). The 2 HL18NL11 variants in Brazil differed by 15 nt from each other across the combined 8 genomic segments. Four of those substitutions were nonsynonymous, causing amino acid exchanges in the PB2 (V203I), PB1 (R334K), nucleoprotein (G484S), and NA (V191I) genes (Table 2; Figure 1, panel B). This finding suggests recent common ancestry of the HL18NL11 variants identified in the 2 positive bats and was consistent with their detection in the same site 5 days apart. Comparison of the full coding sequence of the novel HL18NL11 variants revealed high sequence identity between the Peru and the Brazil strains, 93.5%–96.9% nucleotide identity across all 8 genomic segments (Table 2). The genomic relatedness of Peru and Brazil HL18NL11 strains was surprising given a time span of 2 years, a geographic distance exceeding 2,000 km, and 2 different bat species that tested positive in our study and the previous study (5).

All critical amino acid residues associated with influenza A virus replication and entry (4,5) were conserved between the Brazil and the Peru HL18NL11 strains, including the HA monobasic cleavage site motif

### Table 1. Bat species screened for influenza A virus, Brazil, 2010–2014*

| Species                  | Family         | No. samples | No. (%) PCR positive | Sampling site                      | Sampling years |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Artibeus fimbriatus      | Phyllostomidae | 3           | 0                    | Iguazu, Central Paraná state       | 2012           |
| Artibeus lituratus       | Phyllostomidae | 129         | 2 (1.6)              | Iguazu, Central Paraná state, São Paulo cities | 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 |
| Artibeus obscurus        | Phyllostomidae | 1           | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2013           |
| Artibeus planirostris    | Phyllostomidae | 4           | 0                    | Iguazu, Central Paraná state, São Paulo cities | 2010, 2012, 2014 |
| Carollia perspicillata   | Phyllostomidae | 44          | 0                    | Iguazu, Central Paraná state       | 2010–2012      |
| Cynomops planirostris    | Molossidae     | 6           | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014           |
| Desmodus rotundus        | Phyllostomidae | 15          | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014           |
| Eptesicus furinalis      | Vespertilionidae | 8          | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2013–2015      |
| Eumops auripendulus      | Molossidae     | 1           | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014           |
| Eumops glaucinus         | Molossidae     | 8           | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014–2015      |
| Glossophaga soricina     | Phyllostomidae | 27          | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2013–2015      |
| Lasius cinereus          | Vespertilionidae | 1          | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2013           |
| Lasius ega               | Vespertilionidae | 1          | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014           |
| Molossus molossus        | Molossidae     | 115         | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2013–2015      |
| Molossus rufus           | Molossidae     | 63          | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2013–2015      |
| Myotis nigricans         | Vespertilionidae | 13         | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2013–2015      |
| Myotis riparius          | Vespertilionidae | 1          | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2013           |
| Nyctinomops laticaudatus | Molossidae     | 3           | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014–2015      |
| Nyctinomops macrotis     | Molossidae     | 1           | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014           |
| Phyllostomus discolor    | Phyllostomidae | 2           | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014           |
| Platyrhinus lineatus     | Phyllostomidae | 4           | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014           |
| Promops nasutus          | Molossidae     | 1           | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014           |
| Sturnira lilium          | Phyllostomidae | 28          | 0                    | Iguazu, Central Paraná state      | 2010–2012      |
| Tadarida brasiliensis    | Molossidae     | 9           | 0                    | São Paulo cities                  | 2014           |
| Vampyressa pusila        | Phyllostomidae | 1           | 0                    | Central Paraná state              | 2012           |

Total 533 2 (0.4)

*Sampling sites were Parque Nacional do Iguazu, Atlantic rainforest in western Paraná (Iguazu); 26 cities across São Paulo state (São Paulo cities); and forest fragment in Paraná state (Central Paraná state). Bold indicates the site and year in which bats were captured that tested positive for influenza A virus.
PIKETR/GLF (5). Thermodynamic modeling revealed that the amino acid exchanges observed between the Brazil and Peru HL18NL11 strains did not alter the threedimensional structure of the HL and NL proteins, and neither mapped to the putative receptor binding site of the HL protein (Figure 1, panel C), nor to the putative active site of the NL protein (Figure 1, panel D) (6). This result suggests preservation of the biologic activity of these glycoproteins in different bat species and supported a broadly conserved cellular receptor of bat influenza A viruses that differs from sialic acid receptors used by avian-associated influenza A viruses (10). Significantly fewer amino acid exchanges were observed between the HL proteins of Brazil and Peru bat influenza virus than between the respective NL proteins \( (p = 0.007 \text{ by Fisher exact test} \) (Table 2). The apparently

**Table 2.** Comparison of influenza A(HL18NL11) strain found in bats in Brazil with prototype strains from Peru

| Amino acid exchange site | Gene | Nucleotide sequence identity | A/great fruit-eating bat/Brazil/2301/2012 (HL18NL11a) | A/great fruit-eating bat/Brazil/2344/2012 (HL18NL11b) |
|-------------------------|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                         | PB2  | 93.6%                         | V76I, R471K, T473N, V478I, I559V, R574K, S631N | V76I, V203I, R471K, T473N, V478I, I559V, R574K, S631N |
|                         | PB1  | 93.7%                         | V54I, T56V                                  | V54I, T56V                                      |
|                         | PA   | 94.4%                         | T70A, R116K, D158N, V231I, T254S, I552V, R711G | T70A, R116K, D158N, V231I, T254S, I552V, R711G |
|                         | HL   | 96.0%                         | N167T, F251L                                | N167T, F251L                                    |
|                         | NP   | 96.8%–96.9%                   | N20T, K350R, L357M, I380L, I387V              | N20T, K350R, L357M, I380L, I387V, G484S          |
|                         | NL   | 93.5%                         | I11V, I15, V82I, V200I, L254I, A264T, V284I, D332E, V378I, G382E | I11V, I15, V82I, V191I, V200I, L254I, A264T, V284I, D332E, V378I, G382E |
|                         | M    | 95.4%                         | None                                        | None                                            |
|                         | NS1  | 94.4%                         | R57K                                        | R57K                                            |

*Bold indicates amino acid exchanges occurring in only 1 of the 2 Brazil strains compared to the Peru prototype strain. HA, hemagglutinin; HL, HA-like; M, matrix; NA, neuraminidase; NL, neuraminidase-like; NS, nonstructural protein; NP, nucleoprotein; PA, polymerase acidic; PB, polymerase basic.*
low rate of nonsynonymous substitutions in the HL-encoding genes of bat influenza A virus variants was reminiscent of strong purifying selection acting on the hemagglutinin genes in avian-specific influenza A virus strains \((11)\). This finding may suggest comparable evolutionary dynamics between chiropteran and avian reservoirs. Definite assessments will require considerably larger datasets of bat influenza A virus strains. 

*Artibeus lituratus* bats and *A. planirostris* bats, in which HL18NL11 was originally detected in Peru, represent closely related, yet genetically and morphologically clearly distinct bat species \((12)\). The distribution of these bat species overlaps (Figure 1, panel A), potentially facilitating virus exchange across the populations. Phylogenetic analyses confirmed the close genetic relationship between Peru and Brazil HL18NL11 variants across all 8 segments (Figure 2; Appendix Table 2), suggesting lack of reassortment events according to the available data. Our data thus suggest host associations of HL18NL11 beyond the species level, comparable to genus-level host associations of other batborne RNA viruses such as coronaviruses \((13)\).

**Conclusions**

The zoonotic potential of HL18NL11 is unclear, yet human-derived cell lines were susceptible to infection by chimeric vesicular stomatitis virus pseudotyped with HL18 \((14)\). The abundance of *A. lituratus* bats within Latin America (Figure 1, panel A) may thus facilitate spillover infections into other vertebrates across an underrecognized geographic and host range. Finally, *Artibeus* spp. bats have been used previously for infection studies including viruses with evolutionary origins in bats, such as Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus \((15)\). The relatively large body size of *A. lituratus* bats (≈65 g) and ease of keeping these bats under laboratory conditions may thus facilitate experimental infection studies for HL18NL11 to elucidate the exact sites of HL18NL11 replication, receptor usage, and mode of transmission.
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EID Podcast: Bat Flight and Zoonotic Viruses

Bats are sources of high viral diversity and high-profile zoonotic viruses worldwide. Although apparently not pathogenic in their reservoir hosts, some viruses from bats severely affect other mammals, including humans. Examples include severe acute respiratory syndrome coronaviruses, Ebola and Marburg viruses, and Nipah and Hendra viruses. Factors underlying high viral diversity in bats are the subject of speculation. The hypothesis is that flight, a factor common to all bats but to no other mammals, provides an intensive selective force for coexistence with viral parasites through a daily cycle that elevates metabolism and body temperature analogous to the febrile response in other mammals.
RNA was extracted from 30 mg of tissue using the RNeasy Kit (QIAGEN, www.qiagen.com), followed by random hexamer-driven cDNA generation using the Superscript III reverse transcription kit (Thermo Scientific, www.thermofisher.com). Reactions were set up in a final volume of 20 µL with 10 µL of total RNA, 0.6 µM of primers, 1x First-Strand Buffer, 0.5 mM (each) dNTP, 3.3 mM DTT, 1 µg BSA, 40 U of Rnase OUT and 200 U SuperScript III. Hemi-Nested PCRs were performed in 25 µL reactions with 1 µL of cDNA (for first rounds) or PCR template (for second rounds), 1 µM of each primer, 2.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM (each) dNTP and 1 U Platinum Taq Polymerase (Thermo Scientific). Thermocycling included a touchdown protocol with 94°C/3 min, 94°C/15 s, 68°C/30 s (−1°C per cycle) and 72°C/1 min during the first 10 cycles, followed by 45 cycles of 94°C/15 s, 58°C/30 s, 72°C/90 s, and 72°C for 7 min. One-step real-time RT-PCR–based quantification was done using primers targeting the PB1 gene and performed in 25 µL reaction volumes with 5 µL of RNA, 2.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM (each) dNTP, 0.4 µM of each primer, 0.3 µM of probe, and 1x PCR buffer with OneStep SSIII/Taq Enzyme Mix (Thermo Scientific). Amplification involved 55°C for 20 min (RT), followed by 94°C/3 min and 45 cycles of 94°C/15 s and 58°C/30 s with fluorescence read at the 58°C step, cooling at 40°C for 30 s on a LightCycler 480 thermocycler (Roche, www.roche.com). Quantification relied on photometrically quantified cRNA transcribed using the Megascript kit (Asuragen, www.asuragen.com) from a pCR4 vector containing the PCR target region (Thermo Scientific).

**Appendix Table 1.** Primers used for genomic amplification and sequencing

| Gene | Primer name and position | Sequence 5’-3’ |
|------|--------------------------|----------------|
| PB2  | BatFluPB2F1              | AGCAGAAGCAGGTCARAGATTG |
|      | BatFluPB2F2–632          | TGTTGACATACATGCKAAAGG |
|      | BatFluPB2F3–1333         | AGRCAATTTCAAARGACTC |
|      | BatFluPB2F4–1542         | AAATGAAAGGAGAAYTWCT |
|      | BatFluPB2F5–1336         | CATTTCAAAAGACTCTGGAG |
|      | BatFluPB2F6–677          | GGTTCTGCACTTTGAGGAG |
|      | BatFluPB2F7–727          | CACCTAAACCAGGACAG |
| Gene  | Primer name and position | Sequence 5’-3’ |
|-------|-------------------------|----------------|
| BatFluPB2R9–1678 | TCCCAGTTY1T1TAGTATCCAGTG |
| BatFluPB2R11–1483 | ATCTCATGCCTAGGTAATATC |
| BatFluPB2R10–1762 | CCYTTGGKATTTAAGGATCTG |
| BatFluPB2R12–2256 | GCTGTCGCTATCATAGT |
| BatFluPB2R13–1426 | CCGTATAGCTCCAAATCTTC |
| BatFluPB2R14–1474 | GACTTTCTTTATCCCCAGAT |
| BatFluPB2R1–2314 | AGTAGAAACAAGGTCAATTCATAGT |
| BRbatFluPB2F10–1000 | GCTTTGGAGGCTATAACTTTAAG |
| BRbatFluPB2F11–627 | GATGCACAATTAGCGATCACC |
| BRbatFluPB2F12–1242 | GCTTTGGAGGCTATAACTTTAAG |
| PB1   | BatFluPB1F1 | AGCAGAAGCAGGCAAACTATT |
| BatFluPB1F2–1242 | AARGAYGCAGAGAGAGGWAAA |
| BatFluPB1F3–711 | CTACTTTCTTTATCCCCAGAT |
| BatFluPB1F4–1593 | ATGGGATAGGAGGCAAGAG |
| BatFluPB1F5–1923 | CATGATMAACAATGATCTAG |
| BatFluPB1F6–1251 | ATGAGYATAGGMACAACAG |
| BatFluPB1F7–1426 | AGGRATGATGATGGGVATGTTC |
| BatFluPB1F8–1474 | GACTTATTCTTATCCCAACCAG |
| BatFluPB1F10–1574 | GAAACAATGAGTCTGCTGA |
| BatFluPB1F11–1594 | ATGAGTATAGGCACAACAG |
| PA    | BatFluPAF1 | AGCAGAAGCAGGCAAACTATT |
| BatFluPAF2–680 | CCAATAGTCCAGGCAACATTTC |
| BatFluPAF3–1144 | GGAATCTAGATGATATTCCTCCA |
| BatFluPAF4–1266 | CCAATAGTCCAGGCAACATTTC |
| BatFluPAF5–1916 | TTCAACAAGCATATGCT |
| BatFluPAF6–1943 | GCAAGGTTAGTCAATATGCT |
| BatFluPAF7–1176 | GGTTTCCCATAAGCCATGCAGG |
| BatFluPAF8–1738 | CATCTTCTATTTCATTCCC |
| BatFluPAF9–1305 | GCATGTATCCCCGTCCAAGTC |
| BatFluPAR7–1176 | GAAACAATGAGTCTGCTGA |
| BatFluPAR8–1305 | GCATGTATCCCCGTCCAAGTC |
| BatFluPAR9–1457 | GGTTTCCCATAAGCCATGCAGG |
| BatFluPAR10–1438 | CATCTTCTATTTCATTCCC |
| BatFluPAR11–2089 | CATCTTCTATTTCATTCCC |
| BatFluPAR12–273 | GCATGTATCCCCGTCCAAGTC |
| BatFluPAR13–300 | CATCTTCTATTTCATTCCC |
| BatFluPAR1–2198 | AGTAGAAACAAGGTCAATTC |
| NP    | BatFluNPFF1 | AGCAGAAGCAGGGAATATATTAYTC |
| BatFluNPFF2–24 | GCAATGATGATAGATATTCCTCCA |
| BatFluNPFF3–739 | GCATGTATCCCCGTCCAAGTC |
| BatFluNPFF4–511 | GCAATGATGATAGATATTCCTCCA |
| BatFluNPFF5–1349 | GCATGTATCCCCGTCCAAGTC |
| BatFluNPFF6–1386 | GCATGTATCCCCGTCCAAGTC |
| BatFluNPFF7–1344 | GCATGTATCCCCGTCCAAGTC |
| BatFluNPFF8–1303 | GCATGTATCCCCGTCCAAGTC |
| Gene            | Primer name and position | Sequence 5’-3’ |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| BatFluR1NP-1541NEP-878 | AGTGAAGAAACAATGGAAGTAT | |
| BatFluNPR5-1189  | GTCTTATGGCCCAATATTG     | |
| BatFluNPR6-1482  | CAAATAAGGACCCCTGGTCACTC | |
| BatFluNPR7-251   | GGTTATTTGTCTCCCTTGTGTC | |
| NL              | BatFluNaF1              | AGCAGAGAGGAGAGTTTMTMA |
| BatFluNaF2-892   | CAAATCTYGGAAATGTACGCAA | |
| BatFluNaF3-900   | TGGAGATGACGACCACAGRCC  | |
| BatFluNaHL18F-623| TGTGAGATCTTCTATGGAG   | |
| BatFluNaHL17F-629| AACAGACATTCCTGCGAGAAGC | |
| BatFluNaF8-1232  | TTATGAACTCAGTGATTG    | |
| BatFluNaR8-310   | ATGCTGACAGATTTCCTC    | |
| BRbatFluNaR8-231 | TGGTGACGTAGAAGCT      | |
| BRbatFluNaR9-362 | GCAGCATTTGTTCATCA     | |
| BRbatFluNaR10-993| ATTTGGACGTAGATACCC    | |
| BatFluNaR12-1396| CAAGGATTTTTCTTATACCC  | |
| BatFluNaR13-1396| CAAGGATTTTTCTTATACCC  | |
| NewBatFluNaF1    | AGCAGAAGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGT | |
| newBatFluNaF5-497| CAGGAGAAATGAGGAGGAC   | |
| newBatFluNaF6-932| CAGGATCTTCCATTACAC    | |
| newBatFluNaF7-949| CTTTGAAGGACCAGCACGTT  | |
| newBatFluNaF4-511| CAGGGTTGAGGAGGCTCT    | |
| newBatFluNaR5-573| CAGTGAAACCCCATGAT     | |
| newBatFluNaR6-1101| TCTCTTTCTTGGATCCG     | |
| newBatFluNaR7-1044| ATCCATGAAACTTGGATCC   | |
| inselnBatFluNaF1-177 | AGCTGTCACAACGAGACATTCTG | |
| inselnBatFluNaF2-820 | GGAAACATYGTGCTGAGAG  | |
| inselnBatFluNaF3-916 | ACAAAATCTYGGAAATGTACGCA  | |
| inselnBatFluNaR1-1129 | CAAATCCTTCTGGACCTG    | |
| inselnBatFluNaR2-655 | CWTTATATTATCTTCAWAGAT | |
| inselnBatFluNaR3-596 | GCAGTGAACCTGGAGGAGGAGG | |
| BBRbatFluNaF9-541 | TTGAGGCTTGTTGGCTGA    | |
| BRbatFluNaF10-598 | TGGTCGTTGAGGAGAGGAGA  | |
| BRbatFluNaF13-1020| AAGACAACACAGAGGAGGAGA | |
| BRbatFluNaR8-231 | TGGTGACGTAGAAGCT      | |
| BRbatFluNaR9-362 | GCAGCATTTGTTCATCA     | |
| BRbatFluNaR10-993| ATTTGGACGTAGATACCC    | |
| BatFluNaR12-1396| CAAGGATTTTTCTTATACCC  | |
| BatFluNaR13-1395| CAAGGATTTTTCTTATACCC  | |
| M2/M1            | BatFluM2M1F1            | AGCARAAGACGGAGATTACATYCAAA |
| New BatFluM2M1F1 | AGCARAAGACGGACGATTACATYCAAA | |
| BatFluM2M1F2-575 | CACTGCAHARGGCTGGGAGAACA | |
| BatFluM2M1F3-621 | GCTGAAAGCGATGAAATTTGCG | |
| BRbatFluM2F4-547 | CAGATGAAACCCAGGATGGAACAC | |
| BRbatFluM2F5-631 | TGGAAATCTTTGCTGACAG  | |
| BRbatFluM2F7-741 | ACCAGAARRAGTGGAGAAT  | |
| BatFluM2R3-687   | CACCCCAAACCTTCCAGTGG | |
| BatFluM2R4-752   | CTGCATCCTTGTACCATCC   | |
| BatFluM2R5-690   | GGCAGCTGGAAGTGTTGG    | |
| NEP/NS1          | BatFluNEPF1             | AGCAGAAGACGGAGTTACATYCAAA |
| New BatFluNEP1a  | AGCAGAAGACGGAGTATCATAA | |
| BatFluNEPF2-16   | TCTAAGACATAATGGGAAYC   | |
| BatFluNEPF2-40   | CACAGAAGACGGAGATTACATYCAAA | |
| BatFluNEPF3-514  | AACCCTCTTCTTGTTCAGTAC | |
| BatFluNEPF4-529  | GTTACAGGACTACTGGAGAG  | |
| BatFluNEPR2-583  | GAATTGGAATGGAGATACAC  | |
| BatFluNEPR3-241  | CATAGAAGCAGCATCATTCC   | |
| BatFluNEPR4-327  | GATCAGAAGCAGCATCATTCC | |
| PB1 quantitative  | Probe FluBRr-nrP        | FAM-TYAGATGGGACCGTCTACGTGACGGCC-BHQ1 |
| real-time RT-PCR | FluBR-rnF1              | TGCAGAAAGACTGGAAYACTAAGCTT |
|                 | FluBR-rnR               | TGACATGSCCCCATCATTCC |

1HL, hemagglutinin-like; M2/M1, matrix protein 2 and matrix protein 1; NL, neuraminidase-like; NP = nucleocapsid, NEP/NS1, nuclearexport protein and non-structural protein 1; PA, PB1, PB2, polymerase genes.

1Numbers in primer names indicate the first nucleotide targeted in the Peruvian HL18NL11 prototype strain. For the degenerated bases, R = G/A, Y = C/T, S = G/C, W = A/T, M = A/C, K = G/T, H = A/C/T, I = inosine. FAM, 6-carboxyfluorescein; BHQ1, Black Hole Quencher1.
### Appendix Table 2. Representative viruses used in phylogenetic analysis of Brazilian bat influenza A (HL18NL11) virus

| NA | NA    | Collection date | PB2 gene | PB1 gene | PA gene | HA gene | NP gene | NA gene | M gene | NS gene |
|----|-------|-----------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| H1 | N1    | 1978            | CY020300 | CY020299 | CY020298| CY020293| CY020296| CY020295| CY020294| CY020297|
| H1 | N6    | 1977 Aug 2      | CY004465 | CY004464 | CY004463| CY004458| CY004461| CY004460| CY004459| CY004462|
| H2 | N1    | 1990 Apr 18     | CY005420 | CY005419 | CY005418| CY005413| CY005416| CY005415| CY005414| CY005417|
| H3 | N8    | 1963            | CY032300 | CY032299 | CY032298| CY032293| CY032296| CY032295| CY032294| CY032297|
| H3 | N5    | 1999 Oct 7      | CY060258 | CY060259 | CY060260| CY060261| CY060262| CY060263| CY060264| CY060265|
| H4 | N4    | 1979            | CY045270 | CY045269 | CY045268| CY045263| CY045266| CY045265| CY045264| CY045267|
| H5 | N2    | 1984 Feb 9      | CY005764 | CY005763 | CY005762| CY014640| CY005760| CY014641| CY005759| CY005761|
| H6 | N1    | 1979 Jan 1      | CY005671 | CY005670 | CY005669| CY014623| CY005667| CY014624| CY005666| CY005668|
| H6 | N2    | 2004 Dec 5      | CY045478 | CY045477 | CY045476| CY045471| CY045474| CY045473| CY045472| CY045475|
| H7 | N1    | 1934            | CY077417 | CY077418 | CY077419| CY077420| CY077421| CY077422| CY077423| CY077424|
| H7 | N7    | 1977            | CY036902 | CY036901 | CY036900| CY036895| CY036898| CY036897| CY036896| CY036899|
| H8 | N4    | 1968            | CY05831  | CY014662 | CY005830| CY014659| CY005829| CY014660| CY005828| CY014661|
|    |       | 1988 May        |          |          |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| H9 | N6    | 17              | CY004574 | CY004573 | CY004572| CY005934| CY004570| CY004569| CY004568| CY004571|
| H10| N8    | 1965            | CY005800 | CY005799 | CY014645| CY014644| CY005797| CY005796| CY005795| CY005798|
| H11| N1    | 1986 Nov 6      | CY017772 | CY017771 | CY017770| CY017765| CY017766| CY017767| CY017766| CY017769|
| H12| N1    | 1983 Aug 6      | CY005350 | CY005349 | CY005348| CY006006| CY005346| CY005345| CY005344| CY005347|
| H13| N2    | 1986 Jun 1      | CY003901 | CY003900 | CY003899| CY005914| CY003897| CY003896| CY003895| CY003898|
| H14| N5    | 1982            | CY130101 | CY130100 | CY130099| CY130094| CY130097| CY130096| CY130095| CY130098|
| H15| N9    | 1983            | CY005724 | CY005723 | CY005722| CY006033| CY005720| CY005719| CY005718| CY005721|
|    |       | 1988 May        |          |          |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| H16| N3    | 16              | CY004567 | CY004566 | CY004565| CY005933| CY004563| CY014569| CY004562| CY004564|
| H17| N10   | May 2009        | CY103873 | CY103874 | CY103875| CY103876| CY103877| CY103878| CY103877| CY103880|
| H17| N10   | May 2009        | CY103881 | CY103882 | CY103883| CY103884| CY103885| CY103886| CY103887| CY103888|
| H17| N10   | Sep 2010        | CY103889 | CY103890 | CY103891| CY103892| CY103893| CY103894| CY103895| CY103896|
| H18| N11   | 2010            | CY125942 | CY125943 | CY125944| CY125945| CY125946| CY125947| CY125948| CY125949|
| H18| N11   | 2012 Mar 7      | MH682200 | MH682201 | MH682202| MH682203| MH682204| MH682205| MH682206| MH682207|
| H18| N11   | 2012 Mar 12     | MH682208 | MH682209 | MH682210| MH682211| MH682212| MH682213| MH682214| MH682215|

*The influenza B strain used as an outgroup was B/Lee/1940 (accession numbers DQ792894–901).