Skin irritation and potential antioxidant, anti-collagenase, and anti-elastase activities of edible insect extracts
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This study aimed to investigate antioxidant, anti-aging, and irritation properties of Thai edible insect extracts, including Bombyx mori, Omphisa fuscidentalis, Euconocephalus sp., Patanga succincta, Acheta domesticus, and Lethocerus indicus. Insects were extracted by 2 different methods, including maceration using ethanol or hexane and digestion using DI water. Then the extracts were determined for protein content using bicinchoninic acid assay and antioxidant activities using 2,2′-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, ferric reducing antioxidant power, and ferric thiocyanate assays. Anti-aging activities were investigated by determination of collagenase and elastase inhibitory activities using spectrophotometric assay. Maceration by hexane yielded the highest extract content, whereas aqueous extract from digestion possessed the significantly highest protein content and biological activities (p < 0.05). Interestingly, aqueous extracts of A. domesticus possessed the significantly highest biological activities (p < 0.05) with Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity value of 8.8 ± 0.1 mmol Trolox/mg, DPPH inhibition of 19.5 ± 3.8%, equivalent concentration of 12.1 ± 0.7 µM FeSO4/mg, lipid peroxidation inhibition of 31.3 ± 2.4%, collagenase inhibition of 60.8 ± 2.1%, elastase inhibition of 17.0 ± 0.1%, and no irritation effect on chorioallantoic membrane and volunteers. Therefore, aqueous extract of A. domesticus would be suggested for further topical product development.

Insects, an arthropod in the class of Insecta and subphylum of Hexapoda, not only play an important role in the world ecology system, but also are a rich source of proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, minerals, and vitamins3,4. Nowadays, insects are a new source of animal-based protein that could overcome serious worldwide nutrition problems and deficiency of good quality protein for low-income people5. Approximately 1500–2000 species of insects are consumed by 3000 ethnic groups across 113 countries in Asia, Africa, Australia, and Latin America5,6. In Thailand, insects in a wide range of insect family, such as Tettigoniidae, Crambidae, Gryllidae, Bombycidae, Acrididae, Belostomatidae, etc. are consumed. Bamboo caterpillar (Omphisa fuscidentalis; Family: Crambidae) and silkworm pupae (Bombyx mori; Family: Bombycidae) are the most popular edible insects. Grasshopper (Euconocephalus sp.; Family: Tettigoniidae), Bombay locust (Patanga succincta; Family: Acrididae), house cricket (Acheta domesticus; Family: Gryllidae), and giant water bug (Lethocerus indicus; Family: Belostomatidae) are also widely consumed throughout the country. The nutritional values of Thai edible insects have already been reported6. The O. fuscidentalis could provide the highest calories (231 kcal/100 g) since it contains the highest amount of fat (20.4%), whereas P. succincta contains a small amount of fat (4.7%) but it is rich in protein content (27.6%).
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Although there have been several studies about Thai edible insects, most of them were related to their nutritional constituents. The biological activities of Thai edible insects, including antioxidant and anti-aging activities, have not been reported. This study would be the first to present antioxidant and anti-aging activities of Thai edible insect extracts, including *B. mori*, *O. fuscidentalis*, *Euconocephalus* sp., *P. succincta*, *A. domesticus*, and *L. indicus*. Additionally, the irritation properties were also firstly investigated in the present study to confirm their safety for topical application.

**Results and discussion**

**Insect extracts.** Thai edible insects (Fig. 1) were extracted and yield of each extract is shown in Fig. 2. Hexane extracts of most insects, except for *P. succincta*, provided the highest yield, followed by ethanolic extracts, and aqueous extracts, respectively. The reason might be due to a high amount of fat content of insects. Since these fat components are hydrophobic, they could be extracted well using nonpolar solvent, e.g. hexane. Semi-polar solvent like ethanol could also be used to extract hydrophobic compounds but with less extraction efficacy. Several previous studies reported that fat was abundant in biomass of insects, ranging from 4.2 to 77.2%, which was accounted for about 26.8% on average dried insects. In contrast, yields of aqueous extracts of all insects, which were extracted by digestion, were the lowest among various solvents. Owing to duration of extraction and numbers of extraction cycles.
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**Figure 1.** External appearances of Thai edible insects, including (a) rice grasshopper (*Euconocephalus* sp.), (b) bamboo caterpillar (*O. fuscidentalis*), (c) house cricket (*A. domesticus*), (d) silkworm pupae (*B. mori*), (e) Bombay locust (*P. succincta*), and (f) giant water bug (*L. indicus*).
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**Figure 2.** Yields of insect extracts, including *B. mori* (BM), *O. fuscidentalis* (OF), *Euconocephalus* sp. (EU), *P. succincta* (PS), *A. domesticus* (AD), and *L. indicus* (LI). The data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). The Greek alphabet letters (α, β, γ, and δ) indicate significant differences among hexane extracts, the capital letters (A, B, C, and D) indicate significant differences among ethanolic extracts, and the small case letters (a, b, and c) indicate significant differences among aqueous extracts. The data were analyzed using One-Way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey test (p < 0.05).
Protein content of insect extracts. The protein content of each insect extract is shown in Fig. 3. The present study demonstrated that aqueous extracts of most insects contained higher protein content than ethanolic extracts. Additionally, protein was not detected in hexane extracts of most insects. The reason was due to the less extraction efficiency of ethanol to extract protein comparing to DI water since DI water is more hydrophilic. Additionally, some protein might be denatured or precipitated during the extraction process. Similarly, hexane which is non-polar organic solvent could not extract protein well because of its lipophilicity that was incompatible with protein. Apart from protein component, the compositions of hexane extract were nonpolar compounds, e.g. flavonoids, lipids, saturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids, and polyunsaturated fatty acids. These results corresponded well to a previous study noted that high protein content (68.8 ± 0.4% w/w) of defatted locust extract investigated using the Kjeldahl method, was 82.8% of dry weight, although the estimated protein content of a sample may be varied depending on the technique applied.

The present study revealed that the protein content of the insects extracted by maceration and digestion ranged from 4.7 ± 2.6 to 87.3 ± 0.5% w/w which were related to a previous study reported that dry basis protein content of the insects ranged from 15 to 81%. Interestingly, the aqueous, ethanolic, and hexane extracts of P. succincta had the significantly highest protein content among several insects, which were 87.3 ± 0.5, 53.8 ± 2.5, and 37.2 ± 7.4% w/w, respectively (p < 0.05). The findings revealed that in good agreement with a previous study that reported the protein content of defatted locust extract, investigated using the Kjeldahl method, was 82.8% of dry weight, although the estimated protein content of a sample may be varied depending on the technique applied.

Antioxidant activities of insect extracts. Antioxidant activities of Thai edible insect extracts were determined by 4 different methods, including ABTS, DPPH, FRAP, and FTC assay since multiple reactions and mechanisms are reported which involve antioxidant process. Both ABTS and DPPH assays indicate the abilities of test compound to scavenge free radicals and are expressed as TEAC value and DPPH inhibition percentage, respectively. While FRAP assay represents antioxidant potential of test sample through the reduction of ferric iron (Fe3+) to ferrous iron (Fe2+), which is expressed as EC50 value. Additionally, FTC assay is the most studied biologically relevant free radical chain reaction that indicates a protective effect on lipid peroxidation of test compound. Therefore, these methods were used to confirm the antioxidant activities of insect extracts.

The antioxidant activity of each insect extract is shown in Fig. 4. The hydrophilicity of extracted solvent tended to affect the antioxidant activities since both aqueous and ethanolic extracts possessed dominant antioxidant activities in ABTS, DPPH, and FRAP assay, whereas hexane extracts possessed dominant inhibitory
activity against lipid peroxidation in FTC assay. The likely explanation might be due to the compatibility of test compounds with test systems. Interestingly, the aqueous extracts, which were obtained from digestion for only 3 h, tended to possess higher antioxidant activities than the ethanolic extracts. The likely explanation might be due to higher hydrophilic property of aqueous (ε = 78.4) comparing to ethanol (ε = 24.5). Additionally, higher temperature used in digestion process could be another factor leading to higher extraction efficiency of aqueous. Therefore, the aqueous extracts of most insects possessed the highest scavenging activities on ABTS⁺ and DPPH, as well as ferric reducing abilities comparing to other solvents. However, B. mori and L. indicus ethanolic extracts possessed the dramatically highest ferric reducing abilities. This could be explained by various bioactive compounds which have been previously detected in B. mori and L. indicus, e.g. alkaloids, phenolic, and flavonoid compounds, which could be extracted well by ethanol.

Among various insect extracts, A. domesticus and P. succincta aqueous extracts were predominant as radical scavenger and reductant with the significantly highest TEAC values of 8.8 ± 0.1 and 8.7 ± 0.1 μg Trolox/mg sample, DPPH scavenging activities of 19.5 ± 3.8% and 16.1 ± 1.8%, and ECᵡ values of 12.1 ± 0.7 and 12.9 ± 0.3 μM FeSO₄/ mg sample, respectively (p < 0.05). These findings were in good accordance with a previous study reported that Gryllodes sigillatus, which is one of cricket species, possessed the highest ABTS⁺ and DPPH scavenging activities among several insects. Additionally, these results corresponded well to a previous study reported that the aqueous extracts of some grasshopper species and A. domesticus possessed the potent ferric reducing abilities with ECᵡ values of 2.1 ± 0.2 and 1.8 ± 0.1 mmol Fe²⁺/100 g sample. Thus, the mechanisms of aqueous insect extracts on oxidation inhibition were various, including radical scavenging activities and ferric reducing abilities.

Apart from A. domesticus and P. succincta aqueous extracts, the significantly highest ferric reducing abilities were also detected in ethanolic extract of B. mori and L. indicus, with ECᵡ values of 16.0 ± 0.4 and 15.1 ± 1.4 μM FeSO₄/ mg sample, respectively (p < 0.05). The likely explanation might be due to several antioxidant compounds, e.g. quercetin-3,4,-O-diglucoside, phenolics, flavonoids, and riboflavin (vitamin B2), which have been detected in B. mori and L. indicus. Since B. mori was normally fed with mulberry leaves, quercetin-3,4,-O-glucoside, a derivative of quercetin with two beta-D-glucosyl residues attached at positions 3' and 4' which is rich in mulberry leaves, was also detected in B. mori. According to a potent ferric reducing ability of quercetin-3,4,-O-glucoside, B. mori ethanolic extract also exhibited strong ferric reducing ability.

On the other hand, the results of lipid peroxidation inhibitory activities showed a different trend in comparison to the other methods. The hexane extract of Euconocephalus sp. and L. indicus had the significantly highest lipid peroxidation inhibitory activities with lipid peroxidation inhibition of 62.5 ± 2.6% and 61.6 ± 2.0%, respectively (p < 0.05). The reason would be lipid peroxidation test system was more compatible with hydrophobic test compounds, which were extracted well using non-polar solvent, e.g. hexane.

The correlations between the protein content of aqueous insect extracts and their antioxidant activities from various tests, including ABTS, DPPH, FRAP, FTC assays, are shown in Fig. 5. A strong positive correlation was detected in ABTS⁺ scavenging activities with an R² of 0.8013. Additionally, these graphs showed moderate positive correlations between the protein content of aqueous insect extracts and antioxidant activities in DPPH and FRAP assay with R² of 0.7489 and 0.7961, respectively. Hence, protein from aqueous insect extracts was a major antioxidant compound that possessed radical scavenging activities and ferric reducing abilities. A previous study suggested that amino acids were found to be efficient antioxidants due to their chelating properties. The amino acids in the extracts may have contributed to the antioxidant activities through metal chelation.
Acids had an ability to convert hydroperoxides into imines and sulfur-containing amino acids and could reduce hydroperoxides into the respective inactive hydroxylic derivatives. In contrast, there was no relationship between the protein content and inhibitory activities against lipid peroxidation ($R^2 = 0.0924$). The explanation might be due to the incompatibility of protein with the lipid peroxidation inhibition test system since most of protein was hydrophilic and soluble well in polar solvent. Therefore, hexane insect extracts might contain some other bioactive compounds, which owning lipid peroxidation inhibitory activity, e.g. flavonoid, lipids, saturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids, and polyunsaturated fatty acids.

**Anti-aging activities of insect extracts.** Collagen and elastin are predominant extracellular matrix components presented in dermal layer of human skin. Collagen fibers, which are produced by fibroblasts, are responsible for tensile strength and toughness of skin. These fibers can be degraded by matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP-1), also known as collagenase, and resulting in skin aging. Additionally, elastin fibers comprising about 5% of the dermis layer provide elasticity and resilience of skin. The cleavage of elastin fibers by elastase leads to sagging and wrinkling skin. Therefore, the bioactive compounds with anti-collagenase and anti-elastase properties could delay skin aging process.

The anti-aging activity of each insect extract is shown in Fig. 6. Among various solvents, aqueous extracts of most insects possessed the highest anti-collagenase activities, followed by ethanolic extracts, and hexane extracts, respectively. Interestingly, the aqueous extract of *A. domesticus*, *B. mori*, and *P. succincta* possessed the significantly highest anti-collagenase activities with the collagenase inhibition of $60.8 \pm 2.1\%$, $54.4 \pm 3.9\%$, and $53.5 \pm 1.9\%$, respectively ($p < 0.05$). These findings supported a previous study reported that the extract of *Gryllus*...
bimaculatus De Geer, which is one of cricket species in Gryllidae family, had a protective effect against wrinkle formation via collagen degradation inhibition33.

Besides, the significantly highest elastase inhibitory activities were found in the aqueous extract of A. domesticus (17.0 ± 0.1%), P. succincta (16.9 ± 2.7%), and Euconocephalus sp. (12.2 ± 0.9%), as well as hexane extracts of B. mori (22.1 ± 2.5%) and L. indicus (17.1 ± 2.8%) (p < 0.05). These results were in good accordance with a previous study reported that A. domesticus possessed strong pancreatic elastase inhibitory activity34.

Irritation properties of insect extracts. The irritation properties of Thai edible insect extracts were investigated using HET-CAM assay which had been verified for reliability. In the present study, no irritation sign on CAM was induced by a negative control (0.9% w/v NaCl solution) and vehicle controls (DI water and 0.05% w/v DMSO).

In contrast, severe irritation signs on the CAM were detected in a positive control (1% w/v SLS) with IS score of 10.0 ± 0.5 (Table 1). All signs of irritation, including hemorrhage, coagulation, and vascular lysis were detected on the CAM exposed to 1% w/v SLS within 5 min and more pronounced after 60 min as shown in Fig. 7.

All insect extracts in the present study were safe since they induced no irritation on CAM, except for P. succincta. Ethanolic and hexane extracts of P. succincta induced moderate irritation with IS of 6.2 ± 0.5 and 7.4 ± 0.4, respectively. All irritation signs were detected on the CAM after exposed to P. succincta hexane extract, whereas only vascular lysis and hemorrhage were detected on the CAM after exposed to the P. succincta ethanolic extract as shown in Fig. 8. The results were related well to a previous study reported that P. succincta could trigger hypersensitivity reaction and P. succincta was identified as a cross-reacting allergen with crustaceans35. Therefore, the hexane and ethanolic extracts of P. succincta might be noted as a skin irritant and had a precaution for using topically on human skin.

Since HET-CAM assay was usually employed as an alternative irritation test for human tissue, including eye and/or skin irritation36. Extracts from B. mori, O. fuscidentalis, Euconocephalus sp., A. domesticus, and L. indicus were suggested as safe for using topically on human skin.

Table 1. Irritation score (IS) and irritation levels from HET-CAM assay (n = 3).

| Sample                                      | IS      | Irritation level   |
|---------------------------------------------|---------|--------------------|
| Positive control (1% w/v SLS)               | 10.0 ± 0.5 | Severe irritation |
| Negative control (0.9% w/v NaCl)            | 0.0 ± 0.0    | No irritation      |
| Vehicle control (0.05% w/v DMSO)            | 0.0 ± 0.0    | No irritation      |
| Vehicle control (DI water)                  | 0.0 ± 0.0    | No irritation      |

Figure 7. Effect of 1% w/v SLS (positive control), 0.9% w/v NaCl solution, 0.05% DMSO (vehicle control), and DI water (vehicle control) on chorioallantoic membrane before exposure (0 min), after 5 min, and 60 min exposure.
Skin irritation effects of insect extracts on human volunteers. The irritation effects of Thai edible insect extracts on human skin are shown in Fig. 9. Since the ethanolic and hexane extracts of *P. succincta* induced irritation signs in HET-CAM test, they were excluded from a clinical study in humans. Among various insects, *B. mori* and *L. indicus* extracts induced skin irritation in humans. The aqueous extracts of *B. mori* and *L. indicus* induced itching in 2 among 30 individual volunteers. Additionally, hexane extract of *L. indicus* induced mild erythema, whereas ethanolic extract of *B. mori* showed severe signs of skin irritation, including papules and itching. However, there were no signs of edema, vesicles, bullae, or weeping in any volunteer. These results related well with a previous study reported that tropomyosin, which was a dimeric coiled-coil protein for muscle regulation, might contribute significantly to *B. mori* allergy. Furthermore, *B. mori* has been reported to be one of a strong IgE cross-reactivity with crustacean. Additionally, a previous study also reported that *L. indicus* appeared to be the insect that was most likely to cause an allergic reaction. Nonetheless, there have not been identified allergen of *L. indicus* in previous studies. Thus, the extracts of *B. mori* and *L. indicus*, which caused irritation in human volunteers, should avoid for further topical applications.

In conclusion, there was a significant difference among different insect species (Wilks’ lambda = 0.000, F (45,128.3) = 350.975, p = 0.000) and extraction method (Wilks’ lambda = 0.000, F (18,56) = 1164.921, p = 0.000). Aqueous extracts of *A. domesticus* exhibited the significantly highest antioxidant, anti-collagenase, and anti-elastase activities without irritation in both HET-CAM and clinical studies. As a result, *A. domesticus* was proposed for further usage as a cosmeceutical active ingredient for anti-wrinkle skin care. However, it was advised that the chemicals responsible for these biological activities should be further identified. Although utilizing the entire body of the insect would facilitate scale-up production in future applications, further independently investigation for each part of the insect, such as the head, thorax, and abdomen of *A. domesticus* would be suggested. The current study’s findings would lead to the selection of an appealing insect family for further intensive comparative research.
Materials and methods

Insect material. Frozen *B. mori*, *O. fuscidentalis*, *Eucronocephalus* sp., *P. succincta*, *A. domestica*, and *L. indicus* were purchased from a local market in Chiang Mai, Thailand during October 2020. Each Thai edible insects were identified by Mr. Pattarawich Dawwrueng, an entomologist at Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Kasetsart University. The frozen insects were defrosted overnight at room temperature and dried using a hot air oven at 45 °C until constant weights were obtained. The dried insects were then ground into fine powders using a Moulinex® blender, model number LM2070BD (Moulinex SA, Bagnolet, France) and kept in a desiccator until further use.

Chemical reagents. Acetic acid, 2,2'-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), 2,2'-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH), 2, 4, 6 tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ), 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox), gallic acid, linoleic acid, and hydrochloric acid (HCl) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Collagenase from *Clostridium histolyticum*, N-[3-(2-furyl)acryloyl]-Leu-Gly-Pro-Ala (FALGPA), elastase from porcine pancreas, N-succinyl-Ala-Ala-Ala-p-nitroanilide (AAAVPN), disodium hydrogen phosphate (Na,HPO₄), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄), potassium persulfate (K₂S₂O₈), potassium chloride (KCl), sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃), sodium chloride (NaCl), ferric chloride (FeCl₃), ferrous sulfate (FeSO₄), ferrous chloride (FeCl₂), and ammonium thiocyanate (NH₄SCN) were purchased from Fisher Chemicals (Loughborough, UK). Bovine serum albumin was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Tricine and tris base were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Richmond, CA, USA). Sodium acetate trihydrate (CH₃COONa·3H₂O), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and ethanol were analytical grade and purchased from RCI Labscan Co., Ltd. (Bangkok, Thailand).

Preparation of insect extract. Maceration. The dried powders of insects were macerated using hexane or 95% ethanol with the weight ratio of insect powder to solvent of 1:5 at room temperature for 24 h for 3 cycles. After maceration, the solvents were removed under vacuum at 50 °C using a rotary evaporator (Eyela, Tokyo, Japan) until constant weights were attained. The insect extracts were kept at 4 °C until further use.

Digestion. The dried powders of insects were digested using DI water with the weight ratio of insect powder to solvent of 1:3 at 45 °C for 3 h. After digestion, the solvent was eliminated using a freeze dryer (FreeZone 4.5 model 7,750,031, Labconco, Kansas, MO, USA) until constant weights were attained. The insect extracts were kept at 4 °C until further use.

Determination of protein content of insect extracts. The protein content of insect extracts was investigated using bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay[39]. Briefly, BCA solution was prepared by mixing sodium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate, bicinchoninic acid, and sodium tartrate in 0.1 M sodium hydroxide solution (final pH 11.25) with 4% w/v aqueous cupric sulfate solution. Then 25 µl of each insect extract solution was mixed with 200 µl of BCA solution and incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. The intense purple color formed by the above reaction was then measured at 562 nm using a multimode detector (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). The protein content of each sample was determined by comparison with protein standard of BSA. The results were reported as percentages of protein content comparing to the weight of each extract.

Determination of antioxidant activities of insect extracts. 2,2-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) assay. The free radical scavenging activities against ABTS radical cations (ABTS⁺) of insect extracts were investigated using ABTS assay[40]. Briefly, ABTS⁺ solution was prepared by mixing 7 mM ABTS solution and 2.45 mM K₂S₂O₈ solution in a volume ratio of 2:3. The mixture was kept in a dark place for 16 h. The ABTS⁺ solution was then diluted with 20-fold excess of ethanol to obtain an absorbance of 0.7 ± 0.1 units at 750 nm. Consequently, 20 µl of 1 mg/ml of each insect extract solution was mixed with 180 µl of ABTS⁺ solution and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The mixture was then measured for an absorbance at 750 nm using a multimode detector (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). The free radical scavenging activity was reported as Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) which represents the amount of Trolox that is equivalent to 1 mg of each insect extract. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay. The free radical scavenging activities against DPPH radical (DPPH) of insect extracts were investigated using DPPH assay[41,42]. Briefly, 20 µl of 1 mg/ml of each insect extract solution was mixed with 180 µl of DPPH solution in methanol. Following incubation in a dark place for 30 min, the mixture was then measured for an absorbance at 520 nm using a multimode detector (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). The scavenging activity was reported as percentage of DPPH inhibition which was calculated using the following equation: % Inhibition = [(A − B)/A] × 100, where A is the absorbance of the mixture solution containing DPPH solution and solvent and B is the absorbance of the mixture solution containing DPPH solution and insect extracts. Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay. The ferric ion reducing abilities of insect extracts were investigated using FRAP assay[43,44]. Briefly, FRAP solution was prepared by mixing 5 mM of 10 mM TPTZ solution in 40 mM HCl and 5 ml of 20 mM FeCl₃ in 50 ml of 0.3 M acetate buffer (pH 3.6). Consequently, 20 µl of 1 mg/ml of each insect extract solution was mixed with 180 µl of FRAP solution and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The mixture was then measured for an absorbance at 595 nm using a multimode detector (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany).
Ortenberg, Germany). Ferrous sulfate (FeSO₄) was used as a standard. The ferric reducing ability was reported as equivalent concentration value (EC₅₀), which represents the amount of FeSO₄ that is equivalent to 1 mg of each insect extract. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Inhibition of lipid peroxidation by ferric thiocyanate (FTC) assay.** The lipid peroxidation inhibition activities of insect extracts were investigated by FTC assay with some modifications. Briefly, 50 µl of 1 mg/ml of each insect extract solution was mixed with 50 µl of 50% linoleic acid, 50 µl of 10% NH₄SCN solution, and 50 µl of 2 mM FeCl₂ solution. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h and then measured for an absorbance at 500 nm using a multimode detector (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). The result was reported as percentage of lipid peroxidation inhibition which was calculated using the following equation: % Inhibition = [(C − D) − (A − B)] / (C − D)] × 100, where A is an absorbance of the mixture containing insect extract, linoleic acid, NH₄SCN, and FeCl₂ solution, B is an absorbance of the mixture containing insect extract and solvent, C is an absorbance of the mixture containing linoleic acid, NH₄SCN, and FeCl₂ solution, and D is an absorbance of the mixture containing only solvent. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Determination of anti-ageing activities of insect extracts.** Anti-collagenase activity by spectrophotometric assay. Anti-collagenase activities of insect extracts were investigated by spectrophotometric assay with some modification. The collagenase enzyme activity was determined before performing the experiment and only more than 90% enzyme activity was used in the experiment. Briefly, 10 µl of 1 mg/ml of each insect extract solution was mixed with 5 unit/ml collagenase enzyme and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The solution of 2 mM FALGPA solution and tricine buffer (pH 7.5) were added, respectively. The mixture was immediately measured for an absorbance at 340 nm and continuously measured for 20 min using a multimode detector (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). The result was reported as percentage of collagenase inhibition which was calculated using the following equation: % Inhibition = [1 − (A/B)] × 100, where A is the reaction rate of the mixture containing each insect extract, collagenase enzyme, tricine buffer, and FALGPA solution, and B is the reaction rate of the mixture containing collagenase enzyme, tricine buffer, and FALGPA solution. Oleanolic acid was used as a positive control. The experiments were performed in duplicate.

**Anti-elastase activities of insect extracts were investigated by spectrophotometric assay with some modification.** The elastase enzyme activity was determined before performing the experiment and only more than 90% enzyme activity was used in the experiment. Briefly, 10 µl of 1 mg/ml of each insect extract solution was mixed with 4.5 unit/l elastase enzyme and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The solution of 1.6 mM AAAPVN and 0.2 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0) were added, respectively. The mixture was immediately measured for an absorbance at 410 nm and continuously measured for 20 min using a multimode detector (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). The result was reported as percentage of elastase inhibition which was calculated using the following equation: % Inhibition = [1 − (A/B)] × 100, where A is the reaction rate of the mixture containing each insect extract, elastase enzyme, tris HCl buffer, and AAAPVN solution and B is the reaction rate of the mixture containing elastase enzyme, tris HCl buffer, and AAAPVN solution. EGCG was used as a positive control. The experiments were performed in duplicate.

**Determination of irritation properties by Hen’s Egg Test-Chorioallantoic Membrane (HET-CAM) assay.** The irritation properties of insect extracts were investigated using HET-CAM assay with some modification. Briefly, the fertilized hen's eggs were incubated in an automatic hatching machine at 37 ± 0.5 °C and 55 ± 7% of relative humidity for 7 days. Before the investigation, the air chamber was indicated by flooding the light and then the eggshell was opened using an electric drill. Consequently, the white inner membrane, which attached the chorioallantoic membranes (CAM), was moistened with 0.9% w/v NaCl solution and carefully removed using forceps. After that, 30 µl of 1 mg/ml of each insect extract solution was added to the CAM. The irritation signs, including hemorrhage, vascular lysis, and coagulation, were continuously monitored for 5 min under a stereomicroscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The solution of SLS (1% w/v) and normal saline solution (0.9% w/v NaCl) were used as positive control and negative control, respectively. The irritation property was reported as irritation score which was calculated using the following equation: IS = [(301 − H)/300 × 5] + [(301 − L)/300 × 5], where H is the time of vascular hemorrhage observed, L is the time of vascular lysis observed, and C is the time of vascular coagulation observed. The IS scores are graded as 0.0–0.9 indicates no irritation, 1.0–4.9 indicates mild irritation, 5.0–8.9 indicates moderate irritation, and 9.0–21.0 indicates severe irritation. The irritation signs on the CAM were observed again after 60 min for long term irritation. The experiments were performed in duplicate.

**Clinical irritation test using human patch test.** Skin irritation induced by insect extracts was investigated by human patch test with some modifications. The experiment was approved by the Ethical Review Committee, Faculty of Pharmacy, Chiang Mai University regarding the Declaration of Helsinki. The approved ethical number was 004/2018, which was valid from 06/02/2018 to 05/02/2019. The clinical trial has been reviewed and approved by Thai Clinical Trials Registry (TCTR) Committee on 17/08/2021. The accessible TCTR identification number is TCTR 20210817002 and the valid URL of the registry is [https://www.thaicleinicaltrials.org/show/TCTR 20210817002](https://www.thaicleinicaltrials.org/show/TCTR 20210817002). Thirty healthy volunteers (11 men and 19 women), aged between 21 and 54 years, were included in the study. Informed consent for study participation was obtained from all volunteers. Briefly, 10 µl of each insect extract was applied on the forearm of each volunteer in the area of 1.5 × 1.5 cm² using a small spatula. The irritation signs were investigated up to 4 h after application by a qualified person. The skin
area with no application of insect extract was used as a negative control. Any volunteer exhibiting unequivocal erythema, papule, pustule, or nodule was considered as a positive result.80

Statistical analysis. Data were reported as mean ± standard deviation (S.D.) from three independently performed experiments with three replicates within each experiment. Statistical analysis for the overall significance was carried out using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) and Wilks' Lambda statistics, followed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Tukey's post-hoc test for each dependent variable using SPSS program software version 17. Statistically significant difference was denoted when p < 0.05.
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