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Mesenchymal stem cells pretreated with proinflammatory cytokines accelerate skin wound healing by promoting macrophages migration and M2 polarization
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1. Introduction

Wound healing is a complex process and overlapping continuous process that depends on the presence of various types of cells, growth factors, cytokines and extracellular matrix elements. Studies have shown that MSCs can significantly accelerate the healing of acute wounds or diabetes ulcers, radiation ulcers and other chronic wounds in animals or humans [1,2]. In addition, the supernatant of MSCs has been showed to accelerate wound healing.**
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streptomycin (Gibco) was used to cover the tissue pieces, then FBS (Gibco), 10 ng/ml bFGF (PeproTech), and 100 mg/ml penicillin/streptomycin (100 µg/ml) (Gibco), and 20 ng/ml M-CSF (PeproTech), then cultured in a humid 37 °C, 5% CO2 Incubator for 7 days. Expression of the macrophage marker, F4/80 (PE/Cyannine7-labeled) antibodies (BioLegend), was verified by flow cytometry. Only macrophages with a purity greater than 95% were used for subsequent experiments.

2.3. Preparation of conditioned medium

MSCs were cultured in 10 cm diameter dishes, and they were stimulated with IT (20 ng/ml PeproTech) for 24h when they reached 90% confluence and then were washed three times with PBS, and 3 min each time to remove the effect of IT, then DMEM was added and continue to incubate cells for 12h, ultimately, the supernatant was collected after removing cell debris by centrifugation at 350g for 5 min. And then it was condensed by a 3 kDa ultrafiltration membrane at 3234 × g for 45 min, which condensed it to a tenth of its original volume and then it was stored at −80 °C.

2.4. Scratch wound assay

The scratch wound assay was conducted as previously described [16]. Briefly, when the macrophages formed a 100% confluent monolayer, a scratch was made in each culture using a disposable pipette tip (200 µl). Then, the cells were treated with DMEM, S-MSCs or S-IT MSCs. The wound area was photographed using a Motic AE 2000 inverted microscope (Motic Corporation, China) immediately and 12 h, 24 h and 48 h later. The wound area was then measured using ImageJ software.

2.5. Transwell migration assay

For the Transwell assay, 3 × 10^4 cells/well were suspended in medium and seeded into the upper chambers of Transwell 24-well plates (Corning, USA) with 8 µm pore filters. Then, medium with or without S-MSCs and S-IT MSCs was added to the lower chamber. After 12 h, the migrated cells on the lower surface were stained with 0.5% crystal violet for 10 min. The extent of migration was observed under an optical microscope (Motic Corporation, China).

2.6. Flow cytometry (FCM)

After culturing with the supernatant from each group of stem cells for 24 h, the macrophages were collected and the density was adjusted to 1 × 10^6/ml, after which the following monoclonal fluorescent antibodies were added: CD206-APC (Rat IgG, Monoclonal, BioLegend Cat. No. 141708), CD86-PE (Rat IgG, Monoclonal, BioLegend Cat. No. 105008), Arg-1-PE (Syrian Hamster IgG, Monoclonal, BioLegend Cat. No. 141708), CD105-ECD (Mouse IgG, Monoclonal, BioLegend Cat. No. 141708), CD29-ECD, CD90-ECD, CD34-ECD, CD45-ECD, HLA-DR-ECD, and CD73-ECD (Mouse IgG, Monoclonal, BioLegend Cat. No. 105008), Arg-1-PE (Syrian Hamster IgG, Monoclonal, BioLegend Cat. No. 138408) and iNOS-FITC (Rat IgG, Monoclonal, BioLegend Cat. No. 696802). For identification of the MSCs, a suspension of P3 generation UC-MSCs was collected, after the following monoclonal fluorescent antibodies were added: CD31-EC, CD34-EC, CD45-EC, HLA-DR-EC, CD29-EC, CD90-EC, CD73-EC and CD105-EC (Mouse IgG, Monoclonal, BioLegend Cat. No. 303106, 343502, 304002, 307606, 921304, 328110, 344004, 323206). The expression of the various antigens was detected by flow cytometry.

2.7. Phagocytosis of macrophages

Macrophages were inoculated in 96-well plates at a density of 1 × 10^5 cells/well, and cells were then treated according to the above experimental grouping after adhered. After 24 h of stimulation, the supernatant of each group was removed, and 100 µl/well of dextran MW 4000 solution (1 mg/ml) labeled with FITC
was added. Cells continue to be incubated in the cell incubator for 30 min. Then, cells were washed three times with 200 µl/well PBS. Finally, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and were observed using an inverted fluorescence microscope.

2.8. Wound healing model and treatment

Six-to-eight-week-old C57BL/6J female mice were purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory (Shanghai, China) and housed in the Medical Laboratory Animal Center of Jiangnan University. The Animal Ethics Committee of Jiangnan University authorized all the experimental procedures in this study. Animal Ethics Approval No: JN. No20210430c0641130[113]. A mouse skin excision wound healing model was established according to the previously described method [17]. Briefly, 7 days after adaptive feeding, fourteen C57BL/6J female mice weighing 20–25 g were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium 40 mg/kg intraperitoneal injection, and a combination of lidocaine for local anesthetic analgesia was used, then two circular holes of 6 mm in diameter were formed in the back of the mice. The mice were randomly divided into three groups and treated on alternate days with DMEM, S-MSCs, and S-IT MSCs (20 µl per treatment) by injecting evenly into four points of the wound bed. Then cover the wound with 3M film (1624W, 3M) followed by a layer of medical tape to protect the wound from dryness and infection. Take photographs of the wounds every other day after the injury. ImageJ software was used to count the areas of the wound.

2.9. Hematoxylin-eosin staining (H&E) and immunofluorescence (IF) analysis

On the third and tenth day after the first supernatant treatment, the mice were euthanized via cervical dislocation, and samples were harvested for tissue H&E staining and IF. Briefly, the tissues were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 48 h and then covered with paraffin before sectioning and histological analysis. Blocks were cut into 4 µm thick sections and stained with H&E (YESEN). For IF assay, Briefly, Samples from the wound bed on day 3 were first dewaxed and rehydrated and then repaired antigen via boiling in a 100 °C citrate buffer water bath for 25 min. The tissue sections were blocked with immunohistochemical blocking solution (Beyotime, China) for 90 min. The primary antibodies used in this experiment were incubated at 4 °C overnight, as follows: F4/80 (Rat IgG, monoclonal, Abcam Cat. No.: ab6640), CD68 (Rabbit IgG, Polyclonal, SAB Cat. No.: 32223-2) and CD163 (Mouse IgG, monoclonal, GeneTex Cat. No.: ED2). Then incubated with the following secondary antibodies for 90 min at room temperature: Alexa 594-conjugated goat anti-Rat IgG (ab150160, Abcam), Alexa 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (ab150077, Abcam) and Alexa 594-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (ab150116, Abcam). The nuclei were stained with DAPI (YESEN, China). Images were acquired using a laser-scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss LSM880, Germany).

2.10. Analysis of cytokine production by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

The concentrations of IL-6 and CCL2 in S-MSCs and S-IT MSCs were measured by ELISA according to the manufacturer’s directions (70-EK106/2, 85-39-7399-65, Multisciences). The absorbance (450 nm) for each supernatant was analyzed by a microplate reader (Cytation5, Bio Tek) and was interpolated with a standard curve.

2.11. Statistical analysis

All results are expressed as the mean ± SD. The experiments were independently repeated three times. Comparisons were performed by one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison post hoc test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 software. P values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. S-IT MSCs efficiently promote macrophage migration in vitro

It is a prerequisite for macrophages to exert their anti-inflammatory and accelerating wound repair function that migrate to the wound in a timely and targeted manner in the early stage of wound healing. Therefore, we conducted scratch wound assays and Transwell migration assays to detect the migration of macrophages after treatment with DMEM, S-MSCs, and S-IT MSCs. The results showed that the migration of macrophages was higher in the S-IT MSCs-treated group than in the DMEM- or S-MSCs-treated groups (Fig. 1a–d), indicating enhanced migration of macrophages by S-IT MSCs in vitro. The MSCs used in this study were assayed by flow cytometry using a series of surface markers for characterize MSCs populations (Fig. S1a). Bone marrow differentiation-derived macrophages were characterized using F4/80 (Fig. S1b).

3.2. S-IT MSCs efficiently promote polarization toward the M2 phenotype in vitro

Macrophages profoundly influence the course of inflammation, proliferation and remodeling during wound healing through their phagocytosis and production of corresponding cytokines and mediators. M1-type macrophages are present in large numbers during the initial inflammatory response to trauma repair and produce large amounts of pro-inflammatory factors, whereas M2-type macrophages predominated during the resolution phase, secreting mainly anti-inflammatory and growth factors and having higher phagocytic activity to remove necrotic and damaged cells from the trauma surface [18]. Therefore, the M2 phenotype and phagocytosis of macrophages is crucial for wound repair.

Our FCM results revealed that the number of CD206-positive macrophages was significantly higher in the S-IT MSCs-treated group than in the S-MSCs- or DMEM-treated group, whereas the trend was reversed for the number of CD86-positive macrophages (Fig. 2a and b). It can be concluded from the above results that S-IT MSCs could promote polarization toward the M2 phenotype via their paracrine factors, which is superior to S-MSCs and DMEM.

3.3. S-IT MSCs enhanced the phagocytic ability of M2 macrophages in vitro

As is known that M2 macrophages predominate during the resolution phase, secreting mainly anti-inflammatory and growth factors, and they have high phagocytic activity, allowing them to remove necrotic and damaged cells from the trauma surface [3]. Next, we detected whether the supernatant from IT MSCs has a trend was reversed for the number of CD86-positive macrophages removed necrotic and damaged cells from the trauma surface [3]. Our results showed that the S-MSCs and S-IT MSCs treatments all enhanced the phagocytosis of FITC-labeled dextran by macrophages compared to the DMEM treatment. Moreover, S-IT MSCs treatment is more pronounced (Fig. 3a and b). Thus, these findings indicated that the supernatant from IT MSCs enhanced...
Fig. 1. S-IT MSCs promoted macrophages migration in vitro. (a, b) Scratch assay of macrophages following treatment with DMEM, S-MSCs and S-IT MSCs for 2 days. Photographs were taken at 0, 12, 24 and 48 h after scratching. We calculated the migration rate using the following formula: \( \frac{1 - \text{(current denuded zone area/initial denuded zone area})}{C^2} \times 100 \). (c, d) We determined the migration ability 12 h after treatment in the above groups using a Transwell cell migration assay. Cells migrating through the polycarbonate membrane were counted by detecting the average cell number in three randomly chosen fields using a light microscope. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001.

Fig. 2. S-IT MSCs efficiently promote polarization toward the M2 phenotype in vitro. (a) The percentage of CD206-positive, CD86-positive, Arg-1-positive and iNOS-positive cells in macrophages after coculture with DMEM, S-MSCs or S-IT MSCs by flow cytometry. (b) The corresponding bar chart. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001.
phagocytosis of M2 macrophages and this effect was superior to that of the S-MSCs and DMEM supernatants.

3.4. S-IT MSCs efficiently accelerated wound closure

It has been shown that MSCs can accelerate wound repair via their paracrine factors. To explore whether this effect of S-MSCs on wound healing can be strengthened by pretreated with IT, we examined the effects of DMEM, S-MSCs and S-IT MSCs on skin regeneration using a whole skin excisional wound mice model. Compared to the DMEM group, the wound-healing rate was accelerated in all groups of stem cell supernatant-treated wounds at days 3 and 7. And the reduction in the wound areas of the S-IT MSC-treated group was more pronounced starting at day 7 (Fig. 4a and b).

Timely coverage of the wound by granulation tissue is more conducive to the crawling of surrounding epithelial cells to the wound bed, which is the key to accelerated wound repair. Our H&E staining results showed that the granulation tissue formed in the wound bed treated with S-IT MSCs was thicker on the third day compared with DMEM and S-MSCs (Fig. 3c and d). These results indicate that S-IT MSCs not only accelerates wound closure but also promotes the formation of granulation tissue in the early stage of wound healing.

3.5. S-IT MSCs promote macrophage migration to the wound bed and increased the percentage of M2 phenotype in the local wounds of mice

To reconfirm whether the soluble factors in S-IT MSCs have a more significant effect on macrophage migration in vivo, we next subjected the wounded tissue on the third day to staining with an F4/80 antibody. The F4/80 (red) positivity rate in the S-IT MSCs group was significantly higher than that in the DMEM and S-MSCs groups (Fig. 5a and b), which reconfirmed that the chemotaxis ability of S-IT MSCs to macrophages was better than that of DMEM and S-MSCs.

To investigate the effects of DMEM, S-MSCs or S-IT MSCs on the phenotype of infiltrating macrophages on day 3 post treatment, wound tissues were immunostained for CD86 (green) and CD163 (red) antibodies, which are surface-specific marker proteins of M1 and M2 macrophages, respectively. As shown in Fig. 6, a large number of CD163-and CD86-positive macrophages were observed in the wound tissue of the mice. The number of CD163-positive macrophages in the wound tissue of S-IT MSCs-treated was significantly higher than that of DMEM- or S-MSCs-treated mice, whereas the number of CD86-positive cells in the wound tissue of S-IT MSCs-treated was significantly lower than that of DMEM- or S-MSCs-treated mice (Fig. 6a and b).

These findings demonstrated that S-IT MSCs can promote macrophages migration and increased the proportion of M2 macrophages more significantly in mouse trauma tissue, which contributing to the regulation of the inflammatory response and enhancing the healing of wounds.

3.6. IT MSCs optimized the quality of the regenerated skin by promoting macrophages polarization toward M2

At day 10, the wound was completely covered by newly formed skin, the thickness of newly formed skin was evaluated by H&E staining. The results showed that the epithelial structure and thickness of the S-IT MSCs treated group was more similar to
Fig. 4. S-IT MSCs accelerate skin wound healing in mice. (a) Gross view of wounds treated with DMEM, S-MSCs or S-IT MSCs at days 0, 3, and 7 post administration. (b) The rate of wound closure in wounds receiving different treatments at the indicated times. (c) Histological images (H&E staining) of wound sections treated with DMEM, S-MSCs or S-IT MSCs at Day 3 post administration. (d) Corresponding bar graphs of granulation tissue thickness. Scale bar 100 μm *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001, ***P < 0.0001.

Fig. 5. S-IT MSCs promoted macrophage migration to the local wounds of mice. (a) Tissue sections of the wound bed at 3 days after treatment were immunostained with specific antibodies against F4/80 (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar 20 μm. (b) Frequency of F4/80-positive macrophages in the wound bed tissue. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001, ***P < 0.0001.
normal skin compared to the S-MSCs and DMEM treated groups (Fig. 7a and b). This indicated that S-IT MSCs not only promoted wound healing, but also improved the quality of wound healing by promoting macrophage polarization toward M2 and shortening the inflammatory phase.

3.7. S-IT MSCs improved the function of macrophages and accelerated wound closure via high expression of CCL2 and IL-6

CCL2 has been shown to be a major chemotactic factor for macrophages and has recently been shown to promote the
polarization of macrophages toward the M2 phenotype [19–21]. Similarly, high levels of IL-6 have been shown to promote the polarization of macrophages toward the M2 phenotype by activating STAT3 and STAT6, thus exerting anti-inflammatory and pro-repair effects [22–24].

In this study, we found that the expression of CCL2 and IL-6 was enhanced significantly after mesenchymal stem cells were pretreated with IT, and their secretion levels of CCL2 and IL-6 were thousands or even tens of thousands of times higher than those of stem cells not stimulated with IT (Fig. 3c and d). Therefore, these results indicate that the optimization of macrophage function by S-IT MSCs may be achieved through their high expression of CCL2 and IL-6.

4. Discussion

The skin is the body’s first line of defense against environmental exposure and it provides basic functions. Therefore, skin tissue integrity must be restored quickly to prevent infection and reduce fluid loss after injury [25]. A growing number of studies show that MSCs can accelerate wound healing [5,26]. There is, however, growing evidence that less than 1% of stem cells survive for more than a week after transplantation [27,28]. Some studies have shown that MSC-derived prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), IL-6 and miR-223 accelerate wound healing by promoting macrophage polarization toward the M2 phenotype [18,29,30]. Consequently, we explored whether the effect of S-IT MSCs was superior to that of S-MSCs on recruiting macrophages into the wound bed and polarizing macrophages to the M2 phenotype, ultimately accelerating the wound healing process. In the present study, we confirmed that S-MSCs can promote wound healing, which is consistent with previous reports. Furthermore, we found that S-IT MSCs could more significantly enhance wound healing than S-MSCs in mice (Fig. 4a–d).

Numerous studies have reported that CCL2 is a major chemotactic factor for macrophages and it has recently been shown to promote the polarization of macrophages toward the M2 phenotype [19–21]. In addition, M2 activation has been shown to involve various transcription factors. STAT3 and STAT6 are key proteins in M2 activation [31,32]. The knockdown of STAT3 and STAT6 in mouse and human macrophages has been reported to prevent the switch to the M2 phenotype [32,33]. Moreover, high levels of IL-6 have been shown to promote the polarization of macrophages toward the M2 phenotype by activating STAT3 and STAT6, thus exerting anti-inflammatory and pro-repair effects [22–24]. It has also been found that CCL2 and IL-6 have synergistic effects in promoting macrophages polarization toward M2 to promote wound repair [34].

Indeed, our in vitro and in vivo studies found that the migration of macrophages can effectively occur via chemotaxis in the S-IT MSC-treated group. Furthermore, the promotion of phagocytosis and the M2 phenotype of macrophages was also enhanced by S-IT MSCs. Meanwhile, the secretion of CCL2 and IL-6 by MSCs was amplified after pretreatment with IT, which indicated that the promotion of macrophage migration and the M2 phenotype may be mediated by S-IT MSCs via high levels of CCL2 and IL-6.

In summary, we found that the effects of S-IT MSCs on the migration, phagocytosis and M2 phenotype of macrophages were superior to those of S-MSCs. These findings suggest that S-IT MSCs can be used for skin regeneration treatments and are a key factor that stimulates migration, phagocytosis and the M2 phenotype of macrophages during wound healing.
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