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Abstract

Objective
To describe the identification of regulator of G-protein signaling 8 (RGS8) as an autoantibody target in patients with cerebellar syndrome associated with lymphoma.

Methods
Sera of 4 patients with a very similar unclassified reactivity against cerebellar Purkinje cells were used in antigen identification experiments. Immunoprecipitations with cerebellar lysates followed by mass spectrometry identified the autoantigen, which was verified by recombinant immunofluorescence assay, immunoblot, and ELISA with the recombinant protein.

Results
The sera and CSF of 4 patients stained the Purkinje cells and molecular layer of the cerebellum. RGS8 was identified as the target antigen in all 4 sera. In a neutralization experiment, recombinant human RGS8 was able to neutralize the autoantibodies’ tissue reaction. Patient sera and CSF showed a specific reactivity against recombinant RGS8 in ELISA and immunoblot, whereas no such reactivity was detectable in the controls. Clinical data were available for 2 of the 4 patients, remarkably both presented with cerebellar syndrome accompanied by B-cell lymphoma of the stomach (patient 1, 53 years) or Hodgkin lymphoma (patient 2, 74 years).

Conclusion
Our results indicate that autoantibodies against the intracellular Purkinje cell protein RGS8 represent new markers for paraneoplastic cerebellar syndrome associated with lymphoma.

Classification of Evidence
This study provided Class IV evidence that autoantibodies against the intracellular Purkinje cell protein RGS8 are associated with paraneoplastic cerebellar syndrome in lymphoma.
Glossary

DNER = delta and notch-like epidermal growth factor-related receptor; HEK = human embryonic kidney; IFA = immunofluorescence assay; IVIG = intravenous immunoglobulin; LSM = laser scanning microscope; MALDI-TOF = matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry; PBS = phosphate-buffered saline; PMF = peptide mass fingerprinting; PNS = Paraneoplastic neurologic syndrome; POD = peroxidase; RC-IFA = recombinant immunofluorescence assay; RGS8 = regulator of G-protein signaling 8; SDS-PAGE = sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; TMB = tetramethyl benzidine.

Paraneoplastic neurologic syndromes (PNSs) present a heterogeneous group of immune-mediated neurologic disorders that are associated with a tumor outside the brain.1,2 PNS can affect different neurologic structures including the cerebellum, brainstem, or the limbic system, causing a variety of neuronal symptoms. Serologic analyses of PNS patient sera often reveal autoantibodies against intracellular or cell surface expressed neuronal proteins. Anti-Hu, anti-Yo, anti-Ri, anti-CV2, anti-Ma2, anti-amphiphysin, and anti-SOX1 autoantibodies belong to the classical PNS-associated autoantibodies that bind to intracellular target antigens expressed in the cerebellum. Antibodies to intracellular antigens were shown to be not pathogenic.3 However, they serve as useful markers for PNS diagnosis in clinical practice. Their detection can support early recognition of cancer because neurologic symptoms frequently precede tumor discovery for month or years. The tumors most commonly found in patients with PNS are small-cell lung cancer, breast cancer, ovarian cancer, lymphoma, thymoma, or seminoma. In some cases, it was shown that the neuronal antigens are also expressed by the underlying tumor.4 The current hypothesis is that an immune response against tumor cell antigens could lead to a redirected autoimmune reactivity against the nervous system expressing the same proteins. It is believed that the immune response is mediated by cytotoxic T cells leading to the loss of neurons. This might be the reason why patients with PNS usually respond poorly to autoantibody removal therapies.

Lymphomas are very rarely found in patients with PNS and usually do not associate with any known autoantibody.5 Few exceptions so far are represented by patients with Hodgkin lymphoma and cerebellar syndromes or limbic encephalitis, which may harbor anti-Tr (delta and notch-like epidermal growth factor-related receptor [DNER]), anti-mGluR5, or anti-mGluR1 autoantibodies.6-9 In this study, we describe a novel cytoplasmic neuronal target antigen in 2 patients with cerebellar syndrome associated with Hodgkin lymphoma or B-cell lymphoma of the stomach.

Methods

Our primary research question was the identification of the target antigen of patient sera with similar autoantibody reactivities in indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA) on cerebellar cryosections.

Patients

The patients were diagnosed and treated at the departments of neurology of the contributing hospitals. The Clinical Immunological Laboratory Stocker, Lübeck (Germany), received the serum samples for the purpose of autoantibody testing. Subsequently, they were anonymized and provided to the authors.

Control panels included sera of 152 healthy donors and CSF of 20 patients with neurologic symptoms but without IFA reactivity on cerebellar sections. Additional control panels consisted of 350 samples from patients with tumor, encompassing 66 sera from patients with lung cancer, 10 sera from patients with cervical cancer, 83 sera from patients with breast cancer, 8 sera from patients with ovarian cancer, 26 sera from patients with prostate cancer, 24 from patients with Hodgkin lymphoma, and 133 from patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

Written informed consent to the publication of all clinical information was obtained from all patients of whom clinical data are reported in this study. An approval from the relevant ethical committee was received (Charité Berlin, EA1/222/16).

Indirect Immunofluorescence Assay

IFA was performed using slides with a biochip mosaic of brain tissue cryosections (cerebellum of the rat and monkey and sagittal sections of the murine whole brain) in addition to recombinant human embryonic kidney (HEK)293 cells separately expressing 30 different neuronal antigens. Each biochip array was incubated with serum or CSF diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 30 minutes, washed with PBS-Tween, and immersed in PBS-Tween for 5 minutes. Subsequently, either fluorescein isothiocyanate–labeled goat anti-human IgG (EUROIMMUN Medizinische Labordiagnostika AG, Luebeck, Germany) or Alexa488-labeled goat anti-human IgG (1:500, Jackson Research, Suffolk, United Kingdom) was applied for 30 minutes. After another washing step with PBS-Tween, and embedding the slides in PBS-buffered 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane-containing glycerol, they were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Based on the fluorescence intensity of the transfected cells in direct comparison with nontransfected cells and control samples, reactivities were classified as positive or negative. End point titers refer to the last dilution showing visible fluorescence.
Cell nuclei were visualized by DNA staining with TO-PRO-3 iodide (1:2,000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich, Germany) or 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (0.067 μg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, Heidelberg, Germany). In competitive inhibition experiments, sera diluted 1:32 in PBS-Tween were preincubated for 1 hour with recombinant regulator of G-protein signaling 8 (RGS8) expressing HEK293 cell lysate or as control empty vector transfected HEK293 cell lysate diluted 1:10 in PBS-Tween, before they were incubated in an IFA on cerebellar tissue sections. Results were evaluated by 2 independent observers using laser scanning microscopes (LSM700 or LSM880, Zeiss, Jena, Germany). To generate pictures of whole-brain sections, different partial scans were combined. All incubation steps were performed at room temperature.

**Immunoprecipitation**

The shock-frozen rat cerebellum was homogenized in solubilization buffer (100 mmol/L tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mmol/L sodium chloride, 2.5 mmol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 0.5% (wt/vol) sodium deoxycholate, 1% (wt/vol) Triton X-100 including protease inhibitors (Complete mini, Roche Diagnostics, Penzberg, Germany) with a Miccra D-8 (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) and a hand homogenizer (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) at 4°C. After the lysate was centrifuged at 21,000 g at 4°C for 15 minutes, the supernatant was incubated with patient or control sera (diluted 1:16.7) at 4°C overnight, followed by an incubation with Protein G Dynabeads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich, Germany) at 4°C for 3 hours. Beads were washed 3 times with PBS and eluted with NuPage lithium dodecyl sulfate sample buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) containing 25 mmol/L dithiothreitol at 70°C for 10 minutes. Before sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE; NuPAGE, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany), carboxymethylation with 59 mM iodoacetamide (Bio-Rad, Hamburg, Germany) was performed. Separated proteins were visualized with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (G-250) (Merck) gel staining and identified by mass spectrometric analysis.

**Mass Spectrometry**

This method was described in detail in Scharf et al10 2018. Briefly, protein bands were excised from Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 stained gels, destained, and tryptic digested. Peptides were extracted, spotted onto an MTP AnchorChip 384 BC target, and analyzed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF)/TOF mass spectrometry with an AutoFlex III smartbeam TOF/TOF200. MS spectra for peptide mass fingerprinting (PMF) were recorded in a mass range from 600 Da to 4,000 Da, processed with flexAnalysis 3.0, 3.3, or 3.4, and peak lists were analyzed with BioTools 3.2 using the Mascot search engine Mascot Server 2.3 (Matrix Science, London, United Kingdom) for protein identification with a significance threshold of p < 0.05. For further confirmation of the PMF hits, 2 to 5 peptides of each identified protein were selected for MS/MS measurements.

**Construction of Recombinant Vectors Encoding RGS8 or RGS8-His**

The coding DNA for human RGS8 (UNIPROT acc. #P57771) was obtained by PCR on commercially available cDNA (IRATp970H06133D, Source BioScience, Nottingham, UK) and primers ATACGTCTCACATGGCGGCCTTTACTGTAGCCACCGC (sense RGS8) and ATACGTCTCCTCTCGAGACTGAGCCTCCTCTGGCTTGGAAC (asense RGS8) or ATACGTCTCCTCCTCGAGACTGAGCCTCCTCTGGCTTGGAAC (asense RGS8) or ATACGTCTCCTCCTCGAGCTAATGCGCTCTTGCGTTTGGAAC (asense RGS8). The amplification products were digested with Esp3I and DpnI and ligated with pTriEx-1 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) or pET24d-N as described above.11

**Recombinant Expression of RGS8 in HEK293**

RGS8 (dHis) was expressed in human HEK293 cells after ExGen500-mediated transfection (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To prepare substrates for IFA, HEK293 cells were seeded on sterile cover glasses, transfected, and allowed to express RGS8 for 48 hours. Cover glasses were washed with PBS, fixed with acetone for 10 minutes at room temperature, air dried, cut into millimeter-sized biochips, and used as substrates in IFA as described. Alternatively, cells were transfected in standard T-flasks and harvested after 5 days. The cell sediment was extracted with solubilization buffer (12.5 Mio cells/mL). The extracts were stored in aliquots at −80°C until further use.

**Recombinant Expression of RGS8-His in Escherichia coli and Purification**

RGS8-His was expressed in E.coli RosettaBlue (DE3)pLacI (Merck, Germany) as described previously.11 For protein purification, bacterial sediments were resuspended in 50 mM TRIS-HCl pH 8.0, 0.3 M NaCl, 1 mM phenylmethysulfonyl fluoride, 25 mM dithiothreitol, and homogenized with PanDaPLUS 2000 laboratory high-pressure homogenizer (GEA, Germany). Lysed bacteria were centrifuged at 21,200g for 30 minutes at 4°C. Pellets were solubilized in 10 mM TRIS-HCl pH 8.0, 0.3 M NaCl, 8 M urea, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, and 20 M imidazole for 30 minutes, followed by centrifugation at 21,200g for 30 minutes at 4°C. RGS8-His was purified from the supernatant by immobilized metal chelate affinity chromatography using Nickel Rapid Run resin (ABT, Spain) and ion-exchange chromatography (SP Sepharose Fast Flow, GE Healthcare, US), eluted in 50 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.4, 8 M urea, 1,000 mM NaCl. Protein analysis was performed by SDS-PAGE using the NuPAGE system according to the manufacturer’s manual (Invitrogen) and by mass spectrometry.

**Immunoblot and Line Blot**

RGS8-His in 50 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.4, 8 M urea, 1,000 mM NaCl was incubated with NuPage lithium dodecyl sulfate sample buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) containing 25 mmol/L dithiothreitol at 70°C for 10 minutes, followed by SDS-PAGE (NuPAGE, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany). Separated proteins were...
Electrotransferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane by tank blotting with transfer buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The membranes were blocked with Universal Blot Buffer plus (EUROIMMUN, Germany) for 15 minutes and incubated with the patient or control sera (dilution 1:200) in Universal Blot Buffer plus for 3 hours. Alternatively, purified RGS8-His was coated as a line onto nitrocellulose membrane, and strips were incubated with sera (1:100 dilution) in Sample buffer (EUROIMMUN, Germany) for 30 minutes. Serum incubation was followed by 3 washing steps with Wash buffer (EUROIMMUN, Germany), a second incubation for 30 minutes with alkaline phosphatase–labeled anti-human-IgG (1:10, EUROIMMUN, Germany), 3 washing steps, and staining with nitro blue tetrazolium/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate substrate (EUROIMMUN, Germany) for 10 minutes. After having dried, line strips were evaluated by the use of EUROLineScan software (EUROIMMUN, Germany). For the detection of anti-SOX1 reactivity, a SOX1 line blot (EUROIMMUN, Germany) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**ELISA**

Ninety-six-well plates (Nunc, Germany) were coated with 100 μL of the recombinant protein (5 μg/mL) in PBS for 2 hours at 25°C, washed 3 times with wash buffer (0.05% [wt/vol] Tween 20 in PBS), and then blocked with blocking buffer (0.1% [wt/vol] casein in PBS) for 1 hour. Successful antigen immobilization was confirmed by incubation with a murine monoclonal anti-His tag antibody (1:2,000, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). Serum and CSF samples were diluted 1:100 or 1:10 in sample buffer (1% [wt/vol] casein, 0.05% [wt/vol] Tween 20 in PBS) and incubated for 30 minutes, followed by 3 washing steps. By an incubation with anti-mouse IgG peroxidase (POD) conjugate (1:5,000, Jackson Research, United Kingdom) diluted 1× Taubert buffer (EUROIMMUN, Germany) or anti-human IgG POD (undiluted, EUROIMMUN, Germany) for 30 minutes, followed by 3 washing steps and incubation with tetrathymethyl benzidine (TMB) substrate (EUROIMMUN, Germany) for 15 minutes, bound antibodies were detected. For the determination of IgG subclasses, anti-human IgG1-POD, IgG2-POD, IgG3-POD, or IgG4-POD (9054-05, 9070-05, 9210-05, 9200-05 BIOZOL Diagnostica, Eching, Germany) diluted 1:1,000 in 1× Taubert buffer (EUROIMMUN, Germany) were used as secondary antibodies. All incubation steps were performed at room temperature. The optical density at 450 nm was read using an automated spectrophotometer (Tecan, Germany).

**Data Availability**

Anonymized data will be made available to qualified external researchers on the basis of scientific merit.
Results

Characterization of the Patients

Clinical data were available for 2 of the patients.

Patient 1

A 53-year-old man reported a sudden onset of word retrieval difficulties and a dysfunction of fine motor skills of the right hand. Within 4 weeks, advancing unsteadiness in walking and increased slurring of speech were observed. Neurologic examination suggested a cerebellar syndrome with clear dysarthria, hypermetric saccades, ataxic gait and stand, minimal ataxia of the upper limbs, and significant ataxia of the lower limbs on both sides.

Brain MRI was normal and specifically showed no cerebellar or brainstem atrophy. Neurophysiologic examinations revealed no indication of additional polyneuropathy or nerve compression syndromes, except for bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome. Four weeks after disease onset, CSF analysis showed an intact blood-CSF barrier function, but chronic inflammatory changes characterized by lymphocytic pleocytosis (8/μL; normal <5/μL), slightly elevated protein level (52.3 mg/dL; normal <45 mg/dL), and CSF-specific oligoclonal bands. The analysis of serum and CSF for autoantibodies against known neuronal antigens was inconspicuous. However, in both serum and CSF, antibodies reacting with an unknown Purkinje cell antigen were recognized.

Searching for a tumor with CT scans of the thorax and abdomen, esophagogastroscopy, abdominal ultrasound, and colonoscopy did not reveal an underlying tumor. However, the patient had a severe gastric ulcer without sufficient immunohistochemical signs of malignancy at that time point. A laparoscopic gastric antrum resection 4 weeks later identified a highly malignant B-cell lymphoma of the gastric antrum.

Following tumor detection and assumption of paraneoplastic cerebellitis, IV immunoglobulin therapy (IVIG; 30 g/d for 5 days) was applied, combined with 2 × 50 mg prednisolone/day. A mild improvement of dysarthria and intentional tremor and a clear improvement of the gait ataxia were observed 4 days after starting the IVIG therapy. Afterward, medical conditions worsened again. After 10 weeks, the patient was treated again with a total of 120 g IVIG for 5 days. The tumor was treated with rituximab, cyclophosphamid, hydroxydaunomycin, oncovin, prednison (R-CHOP) chemotherapy (every 2 weeks) in parallel.

In a clinical follow-up, 4 years after onset of cerebellar syndromes, the patient reported clear improvement of general and neurologic conditions, although limb and gait ataxia persisted. The B-cell lymphoma has been successfully treated without relapse. Anti-Purkinje cell antibodies were no longer detectable in IFA with cerebellar tissues.

Patient 2

A 74-year-old woman presented with a rapidly progressive cerebellar syndrome. She reported increasing difficulties with gait, speech, posture, and upper limb coordination for about 8 weeks. Formally, she was still able to walk but a rolling walker was required. Neurologic examination showed a severe cerebellar syndrome with predominant dysarthria and ataxia of all limbs as well as substantial postural instability not only when attempting to walk but also when standing still and even while seated.

Brain MRI scans revealed rather light signs of a leukoaraiosis not affecting the brainstem as the only pathologic finding. Four months after diagnosis, a brain MRI scan indicated ongoing cerebellar atrophy (figure e-1, links.lww.com/NXI/A460). CSF showed a blood-brain barrier dysfunction with an elevated IgG index (Q IgG/Qalbumin = 11.25/10.7 = 1.05), 24.2% intrathecal synthesis and positive oligoclonal bands, but no signs of acute inflammation. There was no proof of any infectious disease. Further

Figure 2 Immunoprecipitation and Antigen Identification

Lysates of the rat cerebellum were incubated with patient or control serum. Immunocomplexes were enriched with protein-G–coated magnetic beads, eluted by SDS, and subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis followed by staining with colloidal Coomassie (left) or immunoblot with patient or control serum (1:200) (right). Arrows indicate the position of the immunoprecipitated antigen at about 25 kDa, which was identified as RGS8 by MALDI-TOF MS.
physical examination revealed a prominent lymphadenopathy in the right axilla that was biopsied and delivered the diagnosis of Hodgkin disease (Ann Arbor IIA as after complete staging).

Initially, IV immunoglobulin therapy was applied (IVIG; 30 g over 5 days). In addition, the patient underwent 2 cycles of ABVD polychemotherapy, each in combination with 16 mg dexamethasone/day followed by field radiation (up to 20 Gy).

Figure 3 Neutralization of Antibody Reaction on the Cerebellum With Recombinant RGS8

Patient sera (1:32, green) were preincubated with 1:10 diluted extracts of HEK293 cells transfected with RGS8 or with empty vector as control. The extract containing RGS8 abolished the immune reaction. Nuclei were counterstained by incubation with TO-PRO-3 iodide (blue) (scale bar 100 μm).

Figure 4 Anti-RGS8 Autoantibody Detection in Patient Sera and CSF by Different Immunoassays

(A) Immunoblots with lysates of RGS8-transfected HEK293 cells incubated with 1:200 diluted patient or control sera and anti-human IgG-AP or anti-His antibody and anti-mouse IgG-AP. (B) Results of RGS8 line blot assay with patient or control sera (CS) (1:100). (C) ELISA with purified recombinant RGS8-His with patient or control sera (1:100) and patient or control CSF (1:10) and anti-human IgG POD. Positive and total numbers of sera and CSF are given below the diagrams. (D) IFA with patient or control sera (1:100) and RGS8- or Mock-transfected HEK293 cells incubated with anti-human IgG-Alexa488. Nuclei were counterstained by incubation with TO-PRO-3 iodide (blue) (scale bar 50 μm).
and finally reached complete remission of the tumor. The applied therapy resulted in some improvement of the cerebellar signs in the very beginning. However, 10 months after diagnosis, a noticeable progression of ataxic symptoms was observed. The patient could walk only a few fall-prone steps but was otherwise a wheelchair user. An immunoadsorption over 5 days could not achieve any major benefit. In the follow-up period, she has not recovered from the cerebellar syndrome despite tumor remission.

**Characterization of the Patients’ Autoantibodies**

Sera and CSF of all 4 patients showed a similar staining of the Purkinje cells and the molecular layer on cryosections of cerebellum rat and monkey in indirect IFA (figure 1A and table e-1, links.lww.com/NXI/A462). On sagittal sections of the murine whole brain, a predominant reactivity of patients’ autoantibodies against the cerebellum, excluding other parts of the brain, was observed (figure 1B). In further monospecific analyses with HEK293 cells overexpressing 30 recombinant neural autoantigens (Hu, Yo, Ri, CV2, PNMA2, ITPR1, Homer 3, CARP VIII, ARHGAP26, ZIC4, DNER/Tr, GAD65, GAD67, amphiphysin, recoverin, GABA receptor, glycine receptor, DPPX, IgLON5, glutamate receptors [types NMDA, AMPA, mGlur1, mGlur5, and GLURD2], LGI1, CASPR2, AQP4 [M1 and M23], MOG, ATP1A3, and NCDN), the presence of a known neuronal autoantibody, which might have caused the observed cerebellar pattern, was excluded. In a line blot assay, weak anti-SOX1 reactivity was detected in patient serum 2 but not patient serum 1. However, SOX1 was not considered as the mayor target antigen of patient serum 2, as anti-SOX1 autoantibodies do not react with cerebellar Purkinje cells.12

**Identification of RGS8 as the Neuronal Target Antigen**

Immunoprecipitates obtained with the patient sera and homogenized rat cerebellum presented a 25-kDa protein in Coomassie-stained gels, which was absent when normal control sera were incubated with the homogenates (figure 2). With the help of MALDI-TOF, the 25-kDa protein fraction was identified as RGS8 from *Rattus norvegicus* (UNIPROT acc. #P49804).

By preincubation with HEK293 lysate containing RGS8, the reaction of the patient sera with rat cerebellum could be abolished (figure 3), which can be considered a proof of correct antigen identification. Patients’ autoantibodies were still reactive when they were preincubated with a similar extracted lysate of mock-transfected HEK293 cells. In contrast to this, the reactivity of anti-Yo, anti-DNER, or anti-NCDN positive sera was not affected by preincubation with HEK293 RGS8 extract (figure e-2, links.lww.com/NXI/A461). Furthermore, all patient sera showed a positive reaction in immunoblot or line blot assays with purified recombinant RGS8-His, which was not detected with healthy control sera (n = 50 for immunoblot, n = 152 for line blot) (figure 4, A and B). In addition, patient sera and CSF were analyzed by ELISA using purified RGS8-His (figure 4C). All analyzed patient sera and CSF were positive, whereas none of the control samples (sera n = 50, CSF n = 20) showed a reaction. In recombinant IFA (RC-IFA), positive reactions of patient sera were difficult to evaluate, as most of the RGS8-transfected HEK293 cells showed only weak signals, indicating that this test system might not be suitable (figure 4D). Subclass analysis using the RGS8 ELISA revealed that all 4 patient sera contained IgG1 autoantibodies. In addition, in patient 4, IgG3 and IgG4 autoantibodies were detected.

Besides, 152 healthy control sera, 157 sera of patients with lymphoma (Hodgkin lymphoma n = 24 and non-Hodgkin lymphoma n = 133), and 193 sera of patients with other tumors (lung cancer n = 66, cervical cancer n = 10, breast cancer n = 83, ovarian cancer n = 8, and prostate cancer n = 26) were analyzed by RGS8 line blot. None of these sera produced a similar immunofluorescence pattern as the patient sera on rat brain tissue, and all were negative on RGS8 line blots. Hence, we consider that IgG antibodies against RGS8 are not a general tumor marker, but might represent a marker for a subgroup of patients with cerebellar syndrome associated with lymphoma.

**Discussion**

Here, we report on 4 patients with high titers of IgG1 antibodies against RGS8 in serum and CSF. The 2 patients with clinical data available suffered both from a cerebellar syndrome associated with lymphoma. RGS8 antibodies were detected with multiple techniques, including immunoblot, line blot, ELISA, and RC-IFA using recombinant RGS8 protein. We would suggest the line blot as preferred method to confirm anti-RGS8 antibody reactivity in patient samples with a characteristic pattern in IFA with cerebellar tissue sections.

RGS8 is an intracellular peripheral membrane protein, which is predominantly expressed in the brain, especially in the cell body and dendrites of cerebellar Purkinje cells.13,14 It belongs to a family consisting of over 30 members of signaling proteins, which regulate heterotrimeric G-proteins by stimulating the GTPase function of G-protein alpha subunits, converting them into their inactive guanosine diphosphate-bound state.15-17 RGS proteins are involved in the regulation of a variety of CNS actions, including synaptic plasticity, memory, and vision.18 For RGS8, functions in the regulation of neuronal excitability and neurite outgrowth have been proposed.16,19 In B lymphocytes, RGS proteins play a role in cell trafficking by regulation of G-protein–coupled chemokine receptors signaling.20

The analysis of 157 sera of patients with lymphoma with the RGS8 line blot revealed no further anti–RGS8-positive patient sera. However, the frequency of PNS with lymphoma is very low, representing less than 1% of patients with tumor.3 In addition, none of the patients with lymphoma whose sera were examined showed the typical symptoms of a cerebellar syndrome.

Known autoantibodies associated with Hodgkin lymphoma target the cell surface antigens DNER (Tr), mGlur5 and...
transcripts are markedly upregulated in 8 lymphoma subtypes,22 and RGS1 was shown to be overexpressed in most adult T-cell leukemia patients.22 RGS8 shares 52% sequence identity to RGS5 and RGS1, raising the possibility that common epitopes exist. Future studies are required to unravel RG8 protein expression in lymphoma or other cancer types and to identify the epitopes recognized by anti-RGS8 autoantibodies. Furthermore, the prevalence of anti-RGS8 antibodies in patients with cerebellar syndrome and other neurologic disorders needs to be determined to further prove the specificity of this marker.
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