TUTORIAL

A Tutorial on RxODE: Simulating Differential Equation Pharmacometric Models in R

W Wang¹, KM Hallow²* and DA James¹

This tutorial presents the application of an R package, RxODE, that facilitates quick, efficient simulations of ordinary differential equation models completely within R. Its application is illustrated through simulation of design decision effects on an adaptive dosing regimen. The package provides an efficient, versatile way to specify dosing scenarios and to perform simulation with variability with minimal custom coding. Models can be directly translated to Rshiny applications to facilitate interactive, real-time evaluation/iteration on simulation scenarios.

The R software environment ⁵ has an excellent set of facilities to support modeling based on differential equation models completely within R. Its application is illustrated through simulation of design decision effects on an adaptive dosing regimen. The package provides an efficient, versatile way to specify dosing scenarios and to perform simulation with variability with minimal custom coding. Models can be directly translated to Rshiny applications to facilitate interactive, real-time evaluation/iteration on simulation scenarios.

The use of simulations for drug development has been shown to be a cost-effective approach for the exploration of multiple dosing regimens and their likely pharmacodynamics effects over diverse patient populations.¹⁻⁴ For instance, simulations provide a means to assess the effects of various loading and maintenance dosing parameters on steady-state concentrations; effects of dosing holidays on pharmacodynamics response; variation across patients in drug exposure and/or response, etc. However, there are several factors that hinder greater utilization of pharmacometric simulation in drug development. It is difficult to identify a priori all possible simulation scenarios of interest, and thus oftentimes building the set of simulations that fully addresses the questions at hand is an iterative, collaborative process between the modeler and the endusers of the simulations—usually the clinical team. A first set of simulation results are typically presented through static graphics, and typically leads to further questions and other scenarios to evaluate. However, performing simulations with most currently available simulation tools is cumbersome, tedious, and time-consuming, requiring extensive custom programming and moving between one software application to perform simulations and another application to visualize simulations. This iterative process often involves multiple meetings/discussions, with significant lag time between each, and can lead to loss of momentum and lost opportunities for making quantitatively driven decisions. Thus, there is a great need for more efficient simulation processes that facilitate interactive, real-time evaluation and iteration on simulation scenarios.

The R software environment ⁵ has an excellent set of tools for analyzing and visualizing simulation results (e.g., lattice,⁶ ggplot²⁷ packages). In addition, with the recent release of the Shiny package,⁸ Web-based interfaces to R programs can be easily generated. Thus, R provides an ideal environment in which to perform pharmacometric simulations in real time. However, traditionally, R has lacked extensive facilities to support modeling based on differential equations (DE) like the ones used in pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) applications, although the R package deSolve⁹ now provides many general-purpose DE solvers. However, using deSolve for pharmacometric simulation is still not ideal, requiring extensive custom programming to facilitate the specification of dosing regimens and sampling schedules, especially for more complex dosing regimens. Furthermore, the convenience of specifying differential equations in the R language presents run-time limitations for deSolve when simulating large models or performing a very large number of runs (users can hard-code in C or Fortran their deSolve models to increase run-time performance, but at the expense of additional low-level, error-prone programming). Recently, efforts have increased to develop tools to address these problems and facilitate efficient simulation in R, including the PKPDsim¹⁰ and Simulx¹¹ packages. In this tutorial we present a new R package, RxODE, that facilitates quick and efficient simulations of ordinary differential equation (ODE) models in R.

RxODE provides an elegant, efficient, and versatile way to specify dosing scenarios, including multiple routes of administrations within a single regimen, sampling schedules, etc. It also enables simulations with between-patient variability, and minimizes the amount of custom coding required for pharmacometric simulations. An RxODE model is automatically translated into C, compiled into machine code, and loaded into the running R program. This allows for very fast execution times, relative to deSOLVE, and the advantage in execution time increases as the number of ODEs increases. For example, RxODE is 8–10 times faster for a model with four ODEs, but 100 times faster for a model with seven ODEs (see Supplementary Material for runtime comparisons). Although similar run times may be achieved with deSolve by writing the model in a low-level programming language like C or Fortran and loading it dynamically, RxODE eliminates the need for this additional programming step and knowledge of a second programming language. It is designed with pharmacometric models in mind, but can be applied more generally to any ODE-based model. It also provides a function for directly generating R Shiny applications, useful for interactively probing the model; this Web-based application can then be further
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customized by the user and used to facilitate interactive simulations. Like R, RxODE is an open source application available in all computer platforms on which R runs.

To illustrate the application of RxODE, we will present an example using simulations to evaluate the impact of various design decisions on an adaptive dosing regimen. We will first illustrate the workflow for conducting simulations in RxODE, including specifying the model structure, dosing and sampling scheme, and incorporating variability. We will show how RxODE can be used to simulate different adaptive dosing decision rules in individuals and in populations. Lastly, we will demonstrate how RxODE simulations can be linked with R Shiny to generate a user-interface that facilitates real-time interactions and scenario evaluations with clinical teams.

CASE STUDY: EVALUATING ADAPTIVE DOSING REGIMENS

For drugs that operate in a narrow therapeutic range, it can be desirable or even necessary to use pharmacodynamic measures to adjust the dose to achieve an appropriate level of response. For example, anticoagulants such as warfarin act by reducing the ability of the blood to form clots. But too little clotting can result in excessive bleeding. Thus, pharmacodynamic measurement of blood clotting ability is used to adjust the dose within a specified range. For instance, the pharmacodynamic model of warfarin's effect on clotting involves an indirect response pharmacodynamic model. In this model, the PD effect is modeled with an indirect response where the PK is described by a two-compartment model. For illustration purposes, we have chosen a system to illustrate the workflow of conducting simulations in RxODE, including specifying the model structure, dosing and sampling scheme, and incorporating variability. We will show how RxODE can be used to simulate different adaptive dosing decision rules in individuals and in populations. Lastly, we will demonstrate how RxODE simulations can be linked with R Shiny to generate a user-interface that facilitates real-time interactions and scenario evaluations with clinical teams.

WORKFLOW FOR PERFORMING SIMULATIONS IN RxODE

First, we will demonstrate how to set up and run a simulation using RxODE. The RxODE package can be installed from Github at https://github.com/hallowkm/RxODE (see the Supplementary Material for installation instructions). RxODE is made available as open source under the GNU General Public License version 2 or later.

Figure 2 gives an overview of the workflow for performing a simulation in RxODE with the model described above. The model structure is specified through a text string of equations. Both differential and algebraic equations are permitted. Differential equations are specified by “d/dt(var_name) = “. Each equation is separated by a semicolon. All referenced, undefined quantities are assumed to be input parameters.

```r
# Define model
ode <- "
C2 = centr/V2;
C3 = peri/V3;
d/dt(depot) = -KA*depot;
d/dt(centr) = KA*depot - CL*C2 - Q*C2 + Q*C3;
d/dt(peri) = Q*C2 - Q*C3;
d/dt(eff) = Kin - Kout*(1-C2/(EC50+C2))"  
```

Model parameters are defined in a named vector. Names of parameters in the vector must be a superset of parameters in the ODE model, and the order of parameters within the vector is not important. Initial conditions (ICs) are defined through a vector as well. The number of ICs must equal exactly the number of ODEs in the model, and the order must be the same as the order in which the ODEs are listed in the model.

```r
# Define parameters and initial conditions
params <- c(KA = 0.3, CL = 7, V2 = 40, V3 = 300,
Kin = 0.2, Kout = 0.2, EC50 = 8)
init <- c(0, 0, 0, 1)
```

The creation of an eventTable() object provides an extremely efficient and flexible way to specify dosing and sampling. Table 1 shows examples for generating a variety of dosing schedules. An eventTable is generated using the RxODE function eventTable(). The generated eventTable object has functions that allow easy addition of dosing and sampling events. The add.dosing() function allows specification of the dose amount, number of doses, dosing interval, compartment to dose into, rate (if an infusion), and dosing start time. More complex dosing schedules can be simulated by applying the add.dosing() function multiple times. The add.sampling() function allows specification of the time points to be included in the simulation output.

Calling the RxODE() function, the model is translated into C code, compiled, and dynamically loaded into the running R process. A simulation can then be performed by calling the RxODE function run(), with the specified parameter vector, initial conditions vector, and event table as inputs.
# Compile model
mod1 <- RxODE(model = ode, modName = "mod1")
# Run simulation
x <- mod1$run(params, ev, inits)

All state variables as well as other variables computed in the model are returned in the output matrix, at the times specified in the eventTable. Thus, the simulation results are readily available for performing calculations and generating plots in R using any of the existing R packages (lattice, ggplot, etc).

The user can also choose to specify the absolute and/or relative tolerance, as well as the type of solver to be used:

X <- m1$run(theta, ev, inits, stiff=F, atol=1e-8, rtol=1e-6)

RxODE uses the LSODA and a Runge-Kutta integrators for stiff and non-stiff equations, respectively. The LSODA (Livermore Solver for Ordinary Differential Equations) Fortran package is an automatic method switching for stiff and non-stiff problems throughout the integration interval. For purely non-stiff systems, RxODE uses DOP853, an explicit Runge-Kutta method of order 8(5,3).

## SIMULATING WITH VARIABILITY

RxODE provides a straightforward way to perform simulations that incorporate parameter variability and uncertainty. A matrix of parameter values can be generated, where each row represents one set of parameter values. R sampling functions such as morm and mvnorm can be utilized to build this matrix, but decisions on the level(s) of uncertainty to include are left to the discretion of the modeler, since this depends on the specific questions a given simulation is designed to address. The following code generates parameters for 100 subjects with correlated interindividual variability on CL and V2.

nsub <- 100# 100 subproblems
sigma <- matrix(c(0.09,0.08,0.08,0.25),2,2) # IIV covariance matrix
mv <- mvnorm(n=nsub, rep(0,2), sigma) # Sample from covariance matrix
CL <- 7*exp(mv[,1])
V2 <- 40*exp(mv[,2])
params.all <- cbind(KA=0.3, CL=CL, V2=V2, Q=10, V3=300, Kin=0.2, Kout=0.2, EC50=8)

Once this parameter matrix is generated, each subproblem can be simulated by looping through the parameter matrix, using each row as an input for the simulation, and collecting the output of each simulation in an output matrix.

res <- NULL
# Loop through each row of parameter values and simulation
for (i in 1:nsub) {
  params <- params.all[i,]
  x <- mod1$solve(params, ev, inits = inits)
  #Store results for effect compartment
  res <- cbind(res, x[,"eff")
}

The same result can be achieved more efficiently with the following code:

res <- apply(theta.all, 1, function(theta) mod$run(theta, ev, inits), "eff")
Simulation results can be then be directly analyzed and visualized using any of the statistical and graphics tools available within R. Figure 3 shows the results of the above simulation when the drug is given QD for 2 days. The full script for this simulation is available in the Supplemental Material.

**Table 1** The `add.dosing()` function provides an efficient method to specify a variety of dosing schedules

| Single dose                          | ev$add.dosing(dose=10000, nbr.doses=1) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Multiple doses                       | ev$add.dosing(dose=10000, nbr.doses=5, dosing.interval=24) |
| Bid for 5 days, followed by qd for 5 days | ev$add.dosing(dose=10000, nbr.doses=10, dosing.interval=12) ev$add.dosing(dose=20000, nbr.doses=5, dosing.interval=24, start.time=120) |
| Infusion for 5 days, followed by oral for 5 days | ev$add.dosing(  
  dose=10000,  
  dose=10000,  
  nbr.doses=5,  
  dosing.to=2,  
  rate=5000  
) ev$add.dosing(dose=10000, nbr.doses=5, start.time=120) |
| 2wk-on, 1wk-off                       | for (i in 1:ncyc)  
  ev$add.dosing(dose=10000, nbr.doses=14, start.time=(i-1)*21*24) |

**SIMULATING ADAPTIVE DOSING IN A TYPICAL PATIENT**

To return to the problem of simulating adaptive dosing, there are many factors that must be considered in designing an adaptive dosing scheme. Utilizing RxODE...
within the R environment, simulations of the impact of various factors can be quickly performed and evaluated.

In order to simulate an adaptive dosing scenario, a decision rule must be specified. We will first simulate the following decision rule: The drug is to be dosed once daily, and trough PD effect levels will be measured 24 hours after each dose. The target range for the effect is 40–60% inhibition. If the measured PD effect is less than 40%, the dose will be doubled. If the measured PD effect is greater than 60%, the dose will be cut in half. If the PD effect is between 40 and 60%, no change will be made.

The following R code is used to perform this simulation over 25 days. In brief, parameters governing the simulation are defined, including the decision rule limits and dose adjustments, number of days, starting dose, and sampling frequency. Then treatment is simulated one day at a time, and after each day the simulated trough level at the end of that day is used to determine the dose level for the next simulated day. This is repeated for the number of days specified, and the results are stored in a matrix. Results contained in this matrix can then be plotted using any available R plotting tools.

effect.limits = c(0, 0.4, 0.6, 9) # Decision rule limits
dose.multipliers = c(0.5, 1, 2) # Decision rule effects
ndays <- 25; unit.dose <- 10000; start.dose <- 1; sampling.frequency <- 1 # Sample every day

# Simulate each day. At the end of each day, test the effect level, and adjust the dose level according to the decision rule
for (i in seq(1, ndays, by = sampling.frequency)) {
  if (i==1) { # Initialize on first day
    inits <- c(0, 0, 0, 1)
    last.multiplier <- start.dose
    this.multiplier <- 1
  } else { # Use end of previous day as initial conditions for next day, compare trough effect with
decision rule limits and determine dose multiplier accordingly
    inits <- x[1], vars]
    wh <- cut(inits,"eff", effect.limits)
    this.multiplier <- dose.multipliers[wh]
    last.multiplier <- this.multiplier
  }
  this.multiplier <- this.multiplier*last.multiplier # Adjust dose
  last.multiplier <- this.multiplier # Store new dose
  #specify dosing and sampling
  ev <- eventTable()
ev$add.dosing(dose = this.multiplier*unit.dose, dosing.interval = 24, nbr.doses = sampling.frequency)
ev$add.sampling(0:(24*sampling.frequency))
x <- mod1$run(params, ev, inits) # Run simulation
  # Compile outputs
time.total <- ev$get.EventTable()[,"time"];+((i-1)*24)
doses <- rep(last.multiplier, length(time))
x <- cbind(x, time.total, doses);
res <- rbind(res, x)
}

Figure 4 shows the results of this simulation. After 10 days, a steady state dose that is 25% of the starting dose
is reached, which maintains the trough levels within the desired range. However, during the first 5 days the level of inhibition is well beyond the desired target. Alternative decision rules can easily be evaluated. For instance, Figure 5 shows the simulation results if both trough and peak levels are controlled within the 40–60% range (i.e., biomarker measurements are taken at \( t_{\text{max}} \) of 12 hours and at trough, and dose is adjusted daily). This full script for performing this simulation can be found in the Appendix, but it requires only the addition of the following line to the script above:

```r
# If effect at 12 hours is less than 0.4, cut dose in half
if (x[13, "eff"] < effect.limits[2]) {
    this.multiplier <- dose.multipliers[1]
}
```

In this case, a stable dose is not reached. Instead, a more complex pattern emerges, suggesting that dosing 12.5% of the starting dose, but then giving a double dose every 5 days, would achieve this goal.

**SIMULATING ADAPTIVE DOSING WITH VARIABILITY**

It may also be of interest to explore the impact of PK and PD variability within the population on the resulting dose trajectory and final dose. We can simulate variability, as described above, by specifying a matrix of parameter sets, rather than a fixed set of parameters, and looping through this parameter matrix, performing the adaptive simulation as described above for each set of parameters. This is easily done by adapting the simulation of a single subject to contain an outer for-loop that cycles through the parameter matrix. Code for this simulation is available in the

**Supplementary Material.** Again, we assume correlated interindividual variability on CL and V2, and simulate 100 subjects for 25 days. The resulting plots are shown in Figure 6. Trough levels for all subjects are controlled within the specified range by the end of the time period. 62% of subjects end at 25% of the starting dose, 35% require only 12.5%, and a few subjects (2.5%) require a higher dose (50% of starting dose). It took at least 20 days to reach a final dose in all subjects (compared to 10 days for the typical subject in the previous simulation).

**USING SIMULATIONS TO ADDRESS QUESTIONS IN REAL TIME**

The simulations performed thus far are static, in that fixed parameter inputs are defined, simulations are performed, and plots are generated. Often, when the results of such simulations are reviewed, further questions arise—what happens if the range limits for our decision rule are relaxed or tightened? What happens if we change the starting dose? What happens if we sample every 2nd or 3rd day, rather than every day?

These new questions usually require the modeler to go off and perform new simulations, and the team must reconvene days or weeks later to discuss new results. This introduces significant lag time, especially since several iterations may be required to reach a final set of simulations. Although it may be possible to compile a massive document containing all the permutations of scenarios of interest \textit{a priori}, sifting through this document with team members in real time can
be a daunting task, and is not advisable, as it can lead to confusion and/or loss of attention of key stakeholders. A better alternative is to encase the simulation procedure in an interactive application in which a wide range of scenarios can be evaluated in real time, as they arise.

A major advantage of performing simulations within the R environment is the ability to take advantage of R's Shiny package for developing interactive Web applications. These Web applications can be made available to the team online, and used in meetings to explore simulation scenarios in real time. This is extremely advantageous in facilitating modeler–team interactions, because it can greatly reduce the number of iterations, separate meetings, and associated lag time.

Shiny apps are easy to write, requiring no Web development skills and very limited programming skills. RxODE models can be easily linked with rShiny. The package includes a function for generating a shinyApp template from a simple model. The user can then adapt this template for their specific model.

```r
genShinyApp.Template(appDir = "shinyExample", verbose = TRUE)
library(shiny) #Load the shiny package
runApp("shinyExample") #Run the example app
```

The function genShinyApp.Template () generates a folder that contains the R Shiny ui.R and server.R files for the template app, as well as an RDA file containing the saved example model, parameters, and initial conditions. To incorporate a different R model, the user needs can save the new model to an RDA file and load this new file from the server.ui file.

```r
# Save the model, parameters, init values, etc. in the file rx_shiny_data.rda to be loaded by the server.R
save(mod1, params, inits, stiff = TRUE, atol = 1e8, rtol = 1e6, file = "rx_shiny_data.rda")
```

To tailor the app, the only two files that need to be altered by the user are the ui.R and server.R files. The ui.R file can be edited to add widgets for obtaining user input and to control the layout of the user interface. The server.R file can be edited to control how inputs are used and how outputs are displayed. There is excellent documentation on developing Shiny App interfaces available online as well as a previous tutorial on this topic in this journal.

![Figure 7](https://example.com/image.png)

**Figure 7** RxODE provides a function for generating interactive Shiny Apps, which can then be customized. This app allows users to vary the dose, number of days, sampling frequency, and decision rules, and to view simulation results in real time.
model are available in the Supplementary Material. The interactive app can also be accessed at http://qsp.engr.uga.edu:3838/adaptiveDosing.

Thus, starting within a baseline scenario, many different scenarios and combinations of scenarios can be quickly explored. While the modeler will likely facilitate evaluation of scenarios, these apps require no technical expertise or experience with R, and thus the apps are accessible to nontechnical partners. Also, importantly, Shiny apps can be easily deployed online and do not require local installation or R. This is in contrast to other software such as Berkeley Madonna. While Berkeley Madonna can facilitate interactive model exploration, it also requires purchase of a software license, local installation of the software, and some user familiarity with the software and modeling.

CONCLUSION

RxODE is an R package that provides tools for the efficient simulation of complex dosing regimens via PK/PD models described by ODEs. It provides great flexibility and speed in performing simulations with variability and uncertainty. As part of the R environment, RxODE outputs can be combined with a multitude of R facilities to create advanced static and interactive visualization displays for effective communications with clinical team members and other consumers. Furthermore, unlike many other simulation tools, simulation and preparation of graphics can be conducted completely within a single, freely available, and open-source software. No licenses are required, and it does not require linking with any external software. Although currently focused on efficient simulations, RxODE can also be used for parameter estimation through the many existing statistical estimation algorithms in R, including nonlinear mixed effects models,13 stochastic approximation expectation-maximization (SAEM),14 and Bayesian methods using Gibbs sampling, e.g., JAGS.15 Future work includes developing functionality to aid users in linking RxODE models with these estimation algorithms in a more efficient manner.
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