Variable Admittance Control for Climbing Stairs in Human-Powered Exoskeleton Systems
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Abstract
Online gait control in human-powered exoskeleton systems is still rich research field and represents a step towards fully autonomous, safe and intelligent navigation. Admittance Controller performs well on flat terrain walking in human-powered exoskeleton systems for acceleration and slowdown. We are the first who proposed Variable Admittance Controller (VAC) for smooth stair climbing control in Human-Powered Exoskeleton Systems. Trajectory correction technique transforms the interaction forces exerted on the exoskeleton from the pilot to appropriate intended joint flexion angles through dynamic viscoelastic models. We demonstrate the proposed control strategy on one degree-of-freedom (1-DOF) platform first, and then extend to the Human power Augmentation Lower Exoskeleton (HUALEX). The experimental results show that the proposed gait transition control strategy can minimize the interaction dynamics with less interaction force between the pilot and the exoskeleton compared to the traditional admittance controller. Compared to Ordinary Admittance Controller, the proposed VAC significantly improve the normalized Mean Squared Error (nMSE) of trajectory tracking from 2.751° to 1.105°.
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Introduction
A lot of researches on Human-Powered Exoskeleton Systems has been focused on flat terrain walking while stair ascent and vice versa is frequent process on daily life activities. Human-exoskeleton systems designed for constraining human movements to allow people to operate more easily or more efficiently in a variety of situations, required consideration of economical issues. Here we mean these systems must have simple and available control methods so can have further developments. If we take BLEEX control methodology Sensitivity Amplification Controller (SAC), efficient control way to shadow human motion but so expensive and resource consumer (hardware and software) [1].

During the navigation of coupled human-exoskeleton systems stairs ascending or descending is frequent process especially when applied for indoors mission. Several studies were performed to investigate normal human stair ascent and descent [2], investigations of biomechanics and motor coordination in human lower extremity during ascent and descent walking at different inclinations [3-5]. Other investigations such as staircase climbing of patients with knee and hip [5,6].

The physical Human-Robot Interaction pHRi as a result for human mind intention is a cooperative activities between separately human and exoskeleton [7] or close physical interaction [8] are big challenge, but in coupled human-robot system is more challenge because additional issues must considered because coupled human-robot system or exoskeleton robots act directly on the human body. The pressure-sensitive devices are widely used for gait analysis [9], a few researches are conducted to use such technique for measure gait variability [10] and for gait control [11,12]. Around thigh force-sensitive device is used for wearer intention estimation it can autonomously achieve smooth transition between different gates. Around thigh wearable force sensor device is designed to act as a sensory feedback tool to monitor the human exoskeleton interaction as well as to use it for gait transition control. The use of this interaction force as a feedback signals for our control system is efficient way for good results. The use of force-sensitive device in the end-effector of the intended joints can Figure out human intentions in clear and accurate way. For autonomous and smooth transition between different gaits we apply calibrated and efficient signal collector sensors. The wearable force sensor devices comparing to fixed (environed treadmills) are the best solution for on-line applications. The extracted feedback signals can monitor the human exoskeleton interaction as well as control the gait transitions.

An admittance controller also called position based impedance controller, uses the end-effector interaction force feedback to estimate the appropriate joint position. The desired joint position and position feedback are used to estimate the appropriate actuators inputs [13]. Since Neville Hogan first introduced impedance controllers [14], they have become well established specially in robotics and coupled human-exoskeleton system. The application of an impedance control strategy to coupled human-exoskeleton system will allow variable deviation from a given joint trajectory to intended maneuvers according to pilot. The Lokomat is a bilateral robotic orthosis that is used in conjunction with a body weight support system to control patient leg movements in the sagittal plane. The Lokomat hip and knee joints are actuated by linear drives, which are integrated in an exoskeletal structure. Admittance control extensively utilized in rehabilitation robotics but also was applied to upper limb power augmentation exoskeletons in...
many researches [15-19], for robot human quadrocopter [20] and for wheel chair control [21].

We propose variable admittance controller for smooth stairs ascent to handle trajectory changes. The implemented control strategy minimizes interaction forces during stairs climbing (i.e. the exoskeleton and the pilot are in contact in variety of places). Our proposed strategy minimizes the interaction forces by translate them to desired motion and keep interaction force within accepted specified thresholds. In this work, we show how to learn admittance controller parameters online using observations stairs ascent trails. To prove the performance efficiency of proposed we show how to learn admittance controller stiffness parameters on-line using observations stairs ascent trails. To prove the performance efficiency of proposed control strategy a simulation on 1-DOF exoskeleton platform is demonstrated for normal admittance control and modified one. The result comparison shows great minimization on interaction force and in computational effort. We choose admittance controller in this work because our exoskeleton system HUALEX designed with sensitive force sensors which can provide robust feedback signal for control scheme.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 4 shows the description and integration of the human-exoskeleton system, and the ordinary admittance control performance on gait transition. We validate the efficiency of variable admittance control in Section 5 by simulation on 1-DOF platform. Section 6 shows the performance efficiency of proposed strategy on interaction force and tracking error minimization. Finally, conclusions and some perspective on future uses and further development of this technique drawn in section 7.

Human-Exoskeleton System Design

A. Dynamic models

Totally 10-DOFs revolute joints are adopted for the HUALEX design. Among them, two-linkage revolute mechanism regarded as a 2-DOFs multilink pendulum is actuated parallel to the human thigh and shank when the exoskeleton is coupled to the pilots. The remaining DOFs are un-actuated and passively driven with in human joints movement. The appearance diagram of HUALEX is shown in Figure 1. As a wearable exoskeleton, the motion range for each DOF of HUALEX is designed according to human kinematics with some slight differences due to flexible connections between the exoskeleton and wearer. In the sagittal plane, the designed ranges of motion at the hip, knee and ankle joints are -45° to +45°, 0° to -135° and -30° to +30°, respectively. The exoskeleton links are made with ideal design (minimum weight and inertia). The Link lengths are adjustable respect to various pilots.

A lot of researches are conducted as a series and continuous modifications for HUALEX control and performance developments. Fuzzy-based impedance regulation for control of the coupled human-exoskeleton systems [22]. The learning approach of the relationship between physical human-exoskeleton interaction and dynamic factors [23]. A modification for BLEEX SAC successfully achieved and applied for HUALEX control [24], Radial Basis Function Neural Network (RBFNN) designed to compensate for the dynamic uncertainty error and minimize the physical human-robot interaction force [25]. Force sensing technology is also an important feature in human-exoskeleton systems for monitor the interaction between pilot and exoskeleton and controls these systems. Because this sensor is additional control device for the human-robot system, it is important for the sensor to be small, lightweight, and non-invasive. Climbing stairs requires active knee extension i.e. additional torque must be applied [3]. The degree of knee extension depends on the height of stairs which is stochastic value. A higher stair will require you to bend your knees more deeply and the greater the amount of flat terrain walking knee flexion. The stairs for this work are designed with step height, and fixed tread length. The proper interaction force sensors of HUALEX make successfully investigation of admittance controller, therefore perfect angle correction. The practical measurements of joint angles, encoders on HUALEX and inclinometers on human limbs beside interaction forces between them are used to investigate the joint flexions for different stair height. Two-dimensional Interaction Force Sensors (TIFS) are developed to measure quasi-interaction force resulting from human on the exoskeleton. The information from this sensor aimed to obtain the desired change in the input walking trajectory when human intend to change gait type. It is especially useful when controlling the desired admittance between the human and HUALEX for transit from flat terrain walking to stair ascent. Since our proposed control strategy for gait transition is a model-based control strategy, the dynamic model of HUALEX project must be given.

\[ M(\theta)\ddot{\theta} + C(\theta, \dot{\theta})\dot{\theta} + G(\theta) = r_{\text{Exo}} + r_{\text{B}} \]  

in which \( \theta \) is the vector of each joint angle, \( r_{\text{Exo}} \) and \( r_{\text{B}} \) represent the input torques from HUALEX and human wearer, respectively. \( M(\theta) \) is the inertia matrix and a function of \( \theta \), \( C(\theta, \dot{\theta}) \) is the Coriolis matrix and a function of \( \theta \) and \( \dot{\theta} \) , and \( G(\theta) \) is a vector of gravitational torques. During human-exoskeleton system navigation \( r_{\text{B}} \) is changing according to human intentions.

B. Admittance Control

The admittance of the human leg shank can be given as:

\[ Y_S(S) = \frac{1}{Z_S(S)} \]  

Which characterized by inertia moment \( I_s \), damping \( B_s \) and stiffness \( K_s \). The desired set of admittance parameters is required to be achieved online so that the dynamics of human-exoskeleton system interaction behaviour controlled and transferred to appropriate joint flexion correction. The interaction force on shank is measured as described in details for HUALEX with specified wearer [22]. Through inverse dynamics the end-effector measured interaction force \( f \),
transferred to joint space interaction torque \( \tau_i \). The admittance of the coupled human-exoskeleton can be described as follows:

\[
\Delta \theta(s) = \frac{\tau(s)}{s^2 + Bs + K} \tag{3}
\]

where \( J, B \) and \( K \) are systems inertia moment, damping and stiffness respectively. Admittance function determines the joint angular deviation through inverse kinematics. Hence the purpose of the admittance controller is to keep \( \Delta \theta \) the difference between \( \theta_h \) and \( \theta_{Exo} \), as small as possible during system navigation. The resulting new reference \( \Delta \theta \) is then fed into PD controller. Experimental simulations are conducted to obtain admittance parameters. Torque or force at knee joint through trajectory modification. Therefore, for human exoskeleton system in general to the joint motors. The optimal reference for this purpose is human motion, how great creator made the perfect sensors and delay free transmissions to transmit mind intended motions to the exoskeleton via admittance controller drives HUALEX to shadow human intended motion in the sagittal plane. For calculation simplification in power performance augmentation human-robot systems performance analysis will take in consideration the human biomechanics in the sagittal plane. Especially for stair ascent gait trajectories and joint variables can be obtained easily on the sagittal plane. The main goal of coupled human-exoskeleton systems control is the absolute harmony and smooth flow of human intentions acquired through different sensors and sensors system in general to the joint motors. The optimal reference for this process is human motion, how great creator made the perfect sensors and delay free transmissions to transmit mind intended motions to specified joint. Referred to Figure 3, the inertias of the wearer leg and the exoskeleton are coupled by a damper \( B \) and spring \( K \) representing the coupled human and exoskeleton shank brace. The dynamic model of 1-DOF coupled human-exoskeleton system (shank with knee joint) can be represented as in Eqn. (4):

\[
J\ddot{\theta}(t) + B\dot{\theta}(t) + mgl\sin(\theta(t)) + C_f\text{sign}(\dot{\theta}(t)) = \tau(t) + J^T f_i(t) \tag{4}
\]

where \( J, B, m, l \) represent inertial moment, viscous friction coefficient, shank mass and length of the one DOF exoskeleton, respectively, \( \dot{\theta}, \theta, \ddot{\theta} \) represent the angle, angular velocity, and angular acceleration of the knee joint, \( C_f \) represents Coulomb friction coefficient around knee joint, \( f_i(t) \) is the interaction force, \( f_i(t) \) is the jacobian transpose of the platform and \( f(t) \) is the interaction force between human wearer and exoskeleton. We use stiffness \( K_i \) mainly as a linearization of the gravitational torque acting on the shank, such that \( \theta = KCf \). Consider the model of the coupled human-exoskeleton admittance control model in Figures 3 and 4 we can rewrite Eqn. (4) as follows:

\[
J\ddot{\theta}(t) + B\dot{\theta}(t) + K_i\dot{\theta}(t) + C_f\text{sign}(\dot{\theta}(t)) = \tau(t) + J^T f_i(t) \tag{5}
\]

The estimation of the dynamic model parameters in Eqn. (4) was defined for HUALEX walking speed control by T. H. Toan et al. [22]. In this paper we define it for gait transitions control by ordinary admittance control method (OAC) we keep \( C_f \) as 1.724 as estimated in [22]. To show the efficiency of our proposed variable admittance controller we demonstrate ordinary admittance controller first to evaluate ordinary performance. On the system navigation process the interaction force on shank contact is proportional to the wearer intended motion as follows:

\[
\Delta \theta(t) = J^T f_i(t) \tag{6}
\]
Therefore, if desired admittance parameters of the controller are invariant; the dynamic relationship between the exoskeleton and the human wearer through the admittance according to interaction force modeled as shown in Eqn. (6). According to the experimental simulation, the resulted tracking control of OAC also indicates performance illness in the gait transition situations. For defining \( J, BandK \) in Eqn.(6) define the vectors:

\[
\Phi(t)=\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\theta}(t)
\dot{\theta}(t)
\end{bmatrix}
\Psi=\begin{bmatrix}
J
B(t)
K
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(7)

Assume relaxed wearer muscles operation i.e. \( f_f = 0 \), with the definitions in Eqn. (12) we can present Eqn. (4) in matrix form:

\[
\tau(t) = \Phi^T(t) \Psi
\]

(8)

Where, \( \Phi^T \) is called the regression vector. The objective is to estimate the unknown parameter vector \( \Psi \) from observations of \( \tau(t) \) and the regression vector \( \Phi(t) \). The best estimate of \( \Psi \) can be obtained by minimizing the \( L(t) \) least-square criterion which defined as

\[
L(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \tau(t) - \Phi^T(t) \Psi \right] \left[ \tau(t) - \Phi^T(t) \Psi \right]^T
\]

(9)

The least-square method is the basic technique for parameters estimation [27]. The minimum of Eqn. (9) is our goal for parameter vector estimation:

\[
\frac{\partial L(t)}{\partial \Psi} = 0, \quad \Psi \rightarrow \hat{\Psi}
\]

(10)

We can say \( \hat{\Psi}(t) \) is optimal if and only if following condition satisfied:

\[
\hat{\Psi}(t) \Phi T(t) \Phi(t) = \Phi^T(t) \tau(t)
\]

(11)

The condition in Eqn.(13) is called the normal equation. The online best estimation of parameter vector \( \Psi \) based on recursive least-square (RLS) estimation can be achieved when assumed that the matrix \( \Phi(t) \) has full rank [27]. Then the least-square estimate of \( \hat{\Psi}(t) \) satisfies the recursive equations:

\[
\hat{\Psi}(t) = \hat{\Psi}(t-1) + K(t) \left[ \tau(t) - \Phi^T(t) \hat{\Psi}(t-1) \right]
\]

\[
K(t) = P(t-1) \Phi^T(t) \left[ \Phi(t) P(t-1) \Phi^T(t) + \Phi^T(t) \Phi(t) \right]^{-1}
\]

\[
P(t) = 1 - K(t) \Phi^T(t) P(t-1)
\]

(12)

From the experimental trials for flat terrain walking, stair ascent 170 mm height and 200 mm height the mean values for 10 trails are drawn in Table 1. The performance of OAC demonstrated separately in different gait is pretty good on tracking the human wearer intentions. But the tracking error is a little bit unacceptable especially during transition time. In other words, OAC faces the problem of rapid transition time. In other words, OAC faces the problem of rapid transition time. Our aim is to regulate admittance parameters resulted on the measured interaction force must have special treatments for smooth transition. Our aim is to regulate admittance parameters handled the uncertainties in dynamics model when human intend to change gait which lead to the performance illness as shown in the Figure 5. The interaction force and OAC trajectory error for 2 cases of stairs height are shown.

| Parameter       | Flat terrain walking | Stair ascent (170 mm) | Stair ascent (200 mm) |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Inertia J (Kgm^2) | 0.133                | 0.122               | 0.123                |
| Viscous friction coefficient \( \beta \) (Nm/rad) | 6.282                | 7.371               | 7.446                |
| Stiffness parameter \( K \) (Nm/rad)          | 33.921               | 31.120              | 29.414               |

Table 1: The estimated parameters of lower shank and knee joint.
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B. Estimation of viscoelastic model parameters

The dynamic process of input trajectory correction needs dynamic viscoelastic admittance parameters while keeping inertia constant:

\[ K_{\text{vis}} = \delta K_v \]
\[ B_{\text{vis}} = \eta B_v \]

(14)

Where \( K_v \) and \( B_v \) represent the initial stiffness and viscous friction coefficient of OAC, depends on the current gait type as estimated in section 5. The main goal of proposed VAC scheme is to achieve smooth trajectory tracking during and after gait transitions in other words is to minimize the tracking error when human intend to change gait type. We investigate a relation between VAC parameters and interaction force for accurate and perfect human trajectory tracking even when sudden change in current trajectory happens (gait transition). The dynamic stiffness and viscous are obtained on-line for smooth gait transitions. The linearity of the relation Eqn. (15) is experimentally proved for acceptable range of interaction force, this range is variable depends on human-exoskeleton system function.

\[ J_{h}(\dot{\theta}_i(t) - \dot{\theta}_m(t)) + B_{h}(\ddot{\theta}_i(t) - \ddot{\theta}_m(t)) + K_{v}(\theta_i(t) - \theta_m(t)) = f_i(t) \]

(15)

Experimentally we estimate the dynamic visco-elastic model parameters from collected data applying recursive least square estimator (RLSE). The considered model for the estimation of dynamic visco-elastic parameters is:

\[ B_{h}B_{\text{vis}} = \eta B_v \]

(16)

The initial value of unknown parameters vector \([\delta K_v, \eta B_v] \) are

\[ [\delta K_v = [1.1]^T, \text{While } [K_v, B_v] \text{ are variable through navigation process depend on the current gait. The collected samples are for 2 different gait cycle contains the transition. The inertia coefficient assumed constant. The changing rate of the interaction force between human wearer and exoskeleton are indicating the future changing of viscoelastic model parameters. The relations for efficient parameter estimation are investigated in this paper. The experimental results limits the values of \( \delta \) and \( \eta \) in the application on VAC for gait transition control, consider the variation of the stair height as follows:

\[ 0.0259 \leq \delta \leq 2.3775 \]
\[ 0.0248 \leq \delta \leq 2.3272 \]
\[ 0.1560 \leq \eta \leq 2.5197 \]
\[ 0.1549 \leq \eta \leq 2.5595 \]

(17)

where \( \delta \) and \( \eta \) are scaling coefficients of visco-elastic model for transition to 170 mm stairs, \( \delta \) and \( \eta \) for transition to 200 mm. The method for adjusting admittance viscoelastic model parameters of the coupled human-exoskeleton system according to different gait types is promising towards fully autonomous human-exoskeleton system navigation. The on-line modification of input trajectory achieved successfully based VAC with dynamic viscoelastic parameters.

Vac Performance Analysis

The main advantage of VAC is the simple structure and implementation process, in other words has an availability for real applications and developing researches (low cost). VAC synchronizes the gait transition for human-exoskeleton system based on human intentions and trajectory construction, the performance is promising for further developments and applications. As shown in the simulation results VAC performs well and with more developing will be promising in Autonomous wearable Exoskeleton field. We take as inputs for control algorithm the pilot and exoskeleton joint angular positions, accelerations and interaction force between them. The stiffness and viscous friction parameters adjusted corresponding to the current gait type in order to keep the tracking error and interaction force as minimum as possible as in Eqn. (15). The proposed VAC scheme takes care of the transitions and allowing time for identification and adaptation to optimize performance. The performance of proposed control strategy for gait transitions is shown in Figure 8. The transition values of viscoelastic model parameters during transition for the different stairs height is shown in Table 2. The performance of proposed VAC algorithm is to determines the input needed to produce the desired plant performance (minimum tracking error). The comparison between OAC and VAC performance for gait transitions interaction force is shown in Figure 9, we compares the interaction force minimization and tracking error in 200 mm stairs case. The error correction appears big in 200 mm height stairs, as a result for the interaction force when human wearer intends to transit from flat terrain walking to stairs ascent.

The small disadvantages appear during proposed scheme simulations is the overshoots of interaction force, even though it was within the acceptable thresholds must have some treatments in future work. The transition process was achieved with high performance accuracy as depicted in Figure 8, with minimum tracking error and minimum interaction force.

| Parameter   | 170 mm Stairs height | 200 mm Stairs height |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| \( K_v \)   | 10.238               | 10.535               |
| \( B_v \)   | 16.353               | 14.847               |

Table 2: The transition values of visco-elastic model parameters.
Conclusions and Future Works

Our control method synchronizes the gait transition for human-exoskeleton system based on human intentions and trajectory construction, the performance is promising for further developments and applications. The proposed VAC scheme for online gait transition control system with limited gait modes (flat terrain walking and stairs ascent) will find more attentions in future developments forwards fully autonomous HUALEX robotic system. As shown in results our control method synchronizes the gait transition for human-exoskeleton system and adapt to difference stairs height and tread length this will overcome the proposed technique limitations, then will go forward for practical implementation in our lab Exoskeleton. The interaction force convex (overshoots or undershoots) will find some attention in our future work to be minimized.
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