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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the outcome of patients with end-stage renal disease requiring intensive care unit admission at a single center in Pakistan.

Study Design: Prospective observational study.

Place and Duration of Study: Nephrology Intensive Care Unit of Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation, Karachi, Pakistan, from Sep 2018 to Jan 2019.

Methodology: After approval from the research advisory board of Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation, all consecutive adult patients (≥18 years) of either gender with end-stage renal disease with glomerular filtration rate (GFR) <15 ml/min/1.73 m² and bilateral irreversible structural abnormalities of kidneys on ultrasound who required intensive care unit admission were included in the study.

Results: Among 205 patients, 117 (57.1%) were males. The mean age was 44.16 ± 14.18 years. Hypertension, 125 (61.4%), was most common co-morbidity, followed by diabetes in 73 (35.6%) cases and other rare conditions. Regarding indications for intensive care unit admission, severe metabolic acidosis 68 (33.25%) was the most common indication, followed by altered sensorium in 43 (21.1%), sepsis in 36 (17.6%) and others. Overall intensive care unit mortality rate was 31.7% (63/205). The total Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score of alive patients, on 1st and last day of admission was 88.29 and 71.58, respectively, while of expired patients was 134.68 and 170.68, respectively. Significant association of mortality with diabetes and hypertension was observed.

Conclusion: Higher mortality rates were observed for end-stage renal disease patients requiring intensive care unit admission in our set-up. Higher Sequential Organ Failure Assessment scores were observed both on admission and on the last day among patients who expired.
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End-Stage Renal Disease

The objective of this study was to determine the outcome of patients who were diagnosed as ESRD and required ICU care, in terms of mortality and to determine the factors associated with increased mortality in these patients.

METHODOLOGY

This was a prospective observational study. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the research advisory board (CRP No. 020/18) of the Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation (SIUT), Karachi, Pakistan. SIUT is a tertiary referral center for kidney diseases and transplantation. This study was conducted at the Nephrology ICU of the SIUT, from September 2018 to January 2019. The total population of ESRD patients admitted to ICU is around 900 per year (internal data of SIUT). Based on previous estimate of ICU admission leading to mortality rate of 22%, margin of error of 5% and 95% confidence level, a sample size of 205 patients was required for this study. Data available was of 205 patients as four (4) patients left against medical advice and moved to other hospitals. All consecutive adult patients (≥18 years) of either gender who were labeled as ESRD on the basis of GFR <15 ml/min/1.73m² (calculated by MDRD/Cockcroft Gault equation) and bilateral irreversible structural abnormalities i.e., bilateral small size kidneys, loss of corticome-dullary distinction (echogenic normal size kidneys in diabetic patients) or bilateral polycystic kidneys on ultrasound kidney ureter and bladder (KUB) and who required ICU admission, were included in the study. Alchroinic kidney disease (CKD) patients in ICU who were not class 5d and not requiring renal replacement treatment (RRT) were excluded from the study.

Informed consent was obtained from patient or their surrogates. Patients’ demographics, reasons for hospital admission, reasons for ICU admission, length of ICU stay, laboratory findings, and vital signs were recorded during their ICU stay. Twice daily visits were carried out by professors, with experience of over 25 years. All physical examinations at the time of admission and during the ICU stay were performed by them along with the primary researcher. Blood samples were collected on daily basis and sent to laboratory, which is well equipped, daily calibrated and supervised by experienced pathologists. Ultrasound KUB were performed by experienced and qualified radiologists of SIUT Radiology department. As co-morbidities are important in predicting mortality, all co-morbidities including hypertension, diabetes, polycystic kidney disease, renal stone disease, chronic interstitial nephritis and chronic sclerosing glomerulonephritis were recorded. Reasons for ICU admission, e.g., severe metabolic acidosis, convulsions, arrhythmias, shock, sepsis, altered sensorium, respiratory failure, pulmonary edema, uncontrolled hypertension and upper gastrointestinal (GI) bleed were recorded. Organ dysfunction was assessed using the SOFA score. The use of mechanical ventilation (MV) and inotropic support was also recorded.

Data sheets were completed by the primary researcher physician and reviewed and discussed with supervising faculty to maintain reliability and validity of data and also to reduce the possibility of bias. Statistical analysis was carried out by a statistician who was blinded to the study design. Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS-25. All continuous data were presented as mean ± SD. Mann Whitney U test was applied to compare median (IQR) values for quantitative variables. Chi-square/fisher’s exact tests were used to compare categorical variables. p-value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Among 205 patients, 117 (57.1%) were males. Mean age of all patients was 44.16 ± 14.18 years. Among these, 142 (69.3%) patients has established ESRD before admission to ICU, while 63 ((30.7%) were diagnosed for the first time as ESRD on admission to ICU. Hypertension 125 (61%) was most common co-morbid condition followed by diabetic nephropathy 73 (35.6%), renal stone disease 12 (5.9%), chronic glomerulonephritis 5 (2.4%), polycystic kidney disease 2 (1%) and autoimmune disease 1 (0.5%), as shown in table-I. As far as the reasons for ICU admission are concerned, severe metabolic acidosis 68 (33.25%) was the main reason, followed by altered sensorium 43 (21%), sepsis 36 (17.6%), convulsions 26 (12.7%), shock 32 (9.8%), pulmonary edema 8 (3.9%) and respiratory failure 3 (1.5%). In all, 34 (16.6%) patients required MV and 67 (32.7%) needed inotropic support. In our study, 63 (31.7%) expired during ICU admission and 140 (68.3%) patients survived as shown in table-I. On univariate analysis, we found significant association of mortality with mechanical ventilation (p<0.001), inotropic support (p<0.001), diabetes (p<0.05) and hypertension (p<0.05), as shown in table-II. Significant differences among survivors and non-survivors were also found for age (p<0.05), SOFA score on 1st day of admission (p<0.001) and SOFA score on last day (p<0.001), as shown in table-III.
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Table-I: Baseline characteristics of all patients (n=205).

| Parameter of Interest | Mean ± SD | Median (IQR) | n (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------|
| Age in years,         | 44.16 ± 14.8 | 45 (31-55)  | -     |
| ICU Stay in days,     | 3.8 ± 2.28  | 3 (2-5)      | -     |
| Mean SOFA Score on 1st day | 9.58 ± 3.76 | 9 (0-21)     | -     |
| Mean SOFA Score on last day | 7.87 ± 5.06 | 5 (4-21)     | -     |
| Sex, Males            | -          | -            | 117 (57.1) |

Co-Morbid Conditions
- Hypertension: 125 (61%
- Diabetic nephropathy: 73 (35.6%
- Renal stone disease: 12 (5.9%
- Chronic glomerulonephritis: 5 (2.4%
- Polycystic kidney disease: 2 (1%
- Autoimmune disease: 1 (0.5%

Reasons for ICU Admission
- Severe Metabolic Acidosis: 68 (33.2%
- Altered Sensorium: 43 (21%
- Sepsis: 36 (17.6%
- Convulsions: 26 (12.7%
- Shock: 32 (9.8%
- Pulmonary edema: 8 (3.9%
- Respiratory Failure: 3 (1.5%
- Patients on Mechanical Ventilation: 34 (16.6%
- Patients Needing Inotropic support: 67 (32.7%
- Established ESRD: 142 (69.5%
- First time diagnosed ESRD: 63 (30.7%
- Survivors: 140 (68.3%
- Non-Survivors: 65 (31.7%

Table-II: Comparison of survivors and non-survivors for qualitative variables.

| Parameter of Interest | Survivors (n=140) | Non Survivors (n=65) | p-value |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Gender                |                   |                      |         |
| Male                  | 80 (57.1)         | 37 (56.9)            | 0.976   |
| Female                | 60 (42.9)         | 28 (43.1)            |         |
| Patients on mechanical ventilation | 14 (10) | 20 (30.8) | <0.001 |
| Patients on inotropic support | 34 (24.3) | 33 (50.8) | <0.001 |
| Diabetes              | 42 (30.2)         | 31 (47.7)            | <0.05   |
| Hypertension          | 78 (55.7)         | 47 (72.3)            | <0.05   |
| Stroke                | 7 (5)             | 8 (12.3)             | 0.064   |
| Autoimmune disease    | -                 | 1 (1.5)              | 0.141   |
| Renal Stone Disease   | 8 (5.7)           | 4 (6.2)              | 0.787   |
| Polycystic kidney disease | 2 (1.4) | -          | 0.333   |
| Chronic glomerulonephritis | 3 (2.1) | 2 (3.1) | 0.687   |

 Chi-Square/Fisher’s exact tests were applied.

Table-III: Comparison of survivors and non-survivors for quantitative variables.

| Variables | Outcome | Median (IQR) | p-value |
|-----------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Age in years | Alive | 42.3 (30-53) | <0.05 |
| ICU Stay | Expired | 3.7 (2-5) | 0.161 |
| Total Leucocyte count | Expired | 16.4 (10.7-21) | 0.480 |
| Bicarbonate | Expired | 16.3 (8.5-16) | 0.552 |
| Total mean SOFA score on 1st day | Expired | 11.9 (8-16) | <0.001 |
| Total mean SOFA score on last day | Expired | 14.6 (13-17) | <0.001 |

Mann-Whitney U test was applied, IQR: interquartile range, SOFA: Sequential organ failure assessment.

DISCUSSION

This study was planned to determine the outcomes among ESRD patients who were admitted to ICU for a variety of indications. In our study, the mean age of all ESRD patients was 44.16 ± 14.18 years ranging from 31-55 years, which shows that ESRD is most common among middle age adult group. Our findings in this respect are similar to those reported by Uchino et al, (mean age=44 years), while contradict with findings of the study by Sood et al, and Apel et al, which reported mean age of ESRD patients as 74 and 62.4 years, respectively. Many other studies, mostly from Western countries, have also reported higher mean age of such patients. Even, Juneja et al, from neighboring India has also reported a higher mean age of 54.1 years. The exact reason for the lower age of patients in our cohort is not known.

In our study, 117 (57.1%) were males, which is same as reported by Bell et al, (58%) and Dara et al, (58%). Bagsha et al, (62%), Chapman et al, (65%) and Juneja et al, also reported high proportion of male ESRD patients.
Different studies have reported different outcomes in ESRD individuals. Many studies have reported ICU mortality as well as hospital mortality and 30-day mortality. We assessed only ICU mortality and related parameters in this study.

Mean ICU stay in our study was 3.8 ± 2.8 days ranging from 2-5 days which is higher than mean ICU stay reported by Juneja et al., and Ostermann et al., and lower than that reported by Chapman et al., and Manhes et al.

Current studies among ESRD patients enrolled in ICU provide useful information about mortality rates. The rates of admission of ESRD patients to ICU are higher compared with the general population. In our study, the mortality rate among ESRD patients admitted in the ICU was 31.7%, which is quite high. The reported ICU mortality is higher in our study compared to other hospital-based studies. This may be due to the fact that we had relatively advanced disease patients, which is reflected in the higher mean SOFA score of 9.58 on 1st day of admission in our study. On the other hand, mortality rates, reported in the present study, are similar to some other studies that reported the outcome of ESRD in the ICU population. These studies reported crude ICU mortality rates for ESRD ranging from 9-52.6%, bracketing our estimate of 31.7%.

Among non-survivors, 20 (30.8%) required MV while 33 (50.8%) were on inotropic support. Juneja et al., reported mortality rate of 76.7% for patients requiring MV and 73.3% for those in need of inotropic support, which is very high as compared with our findings. Goswami et al., reported increased need for organ support among non-survivors, as evidenced by the number of patients requiring invasive MV (57%) and inotropic support (67%) among expired patients.

Hypertension, diabetic nephropathy and renal stone disease were found as the most common co-morbid conditions in our study population. A study from India, reported diabetic nephropathy (56%) and hypertensive nephropathy (17%) as the two most common causes of ESRD. Despite the absence of any registry in Asia, diabetes and hypertension have been reported to be the cause of ESRD in 30-40% and 20% of cases, respectively, in another study from India. Similarly, these diseases were highly prevalent among the known causes of ESRD in a previous study from our center.

The indications for ICU admission in patients of ESRD in this study included severe metabolic acidosis, altered sensorium, sepsis, convulsions, shock, pulmonary edema and respiratory failure. Other studies have also found similar indications, although with variable frequencies and order. As an example, Juneja et al., found respiratory failure as the most common indication for ICU admission. Respiratory failure was the least common indication for ICU admission in our study. Sepsis was primarily related to dialysis catheters or infected Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (CAPD) catheters. One of the study reported sepsis as second most common cause of ICU admission.

Multiple factors affect the increased susceptibility to sepsis which include presence of soluble plasma tumour necrosis factor receptors, auto antibodies to anti-IL1α, an endogenous inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase, accumulation of asymmetric dimethylarginine, anaemia, trace element deficiencies, defects in opsonization of pathogens and aetiological factors responsible for the renal failure such as diabetes mellitus.

The prediction of ICU results is fraught with uncertainty. One of the most effective ways to deal with uncertainty is to develop probabilistic models. Such models are useful in assessing the severity of disease on an applied scale, such as the probability of death.

Various different prognostic models have been developed to predict the outcome of critically ill patients entering the ICU. These systems allow us to identify the factors that influence the outcome, which vary between patients, and can be standardized to allow comparisons between patients. SOFA score is such an easy-to-use tool that has excellent diagnostic capabilities and is used to better the judgment in reaching the diagnosis as well provide the patients and their families with a much clearer picture of the outcome of the patient. Higher median values of SOFA score were found in our study for expired patients. SOFA score also accurately predicted mortality and was found helpful by other investigators.

SOFA score was reported to be significantly higher in non-survivors in comparison to those who survived. It is observed that ESRD patients requiring ICU critical care were unwell to a much higher degree and also suffered from multiple co-morbidities that led to higher score at the time of admission. There is also the possibility of delayed referral due to a much strict criteria for ICU admission with the understanding that CKD patients should be in a much more critical condition to be accepted in the ICU. The 30-day non-survivors required more frequent MV and vasopressors, suggesting greater severity of disease.
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CONCLUSION

High mortality rate was observed for ESRD patients admitted to ICU. High SOFA score was observed among patients who expired.
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