According to the literature the prevalence of congenital uterine anomalies varies between 3.4 and 5.0% and Müllerian anomalies are found more often in subfertile patients compared to fertile controls (Raga et al., 1997; Grimbizis et al., 2001). Congenital malformations of the Müllerian system are probably caused by multifactorial polygenic and familial factors. The prevalence of a unicornuate uterus is rather low (0.3% of the whole population, 0.6% of the infertile population, 0.2% of the fertile population) (Raga et al., 1997). However, of all Müllerian defects unicornuate uterus is found in 3 to 13% of women (Acién, 1997; Raga et al., 1997; Grimbizis et al., 2001). Unicornuate uterus is caused by a failure of one Müllerian duct to develop (unicornuate uterus without rudimentary horn) or to migrate to its proper location. During the third month of foetal life the developing ovaries descend from a position near the kidneys to their final position in the pelvis, this descent is guided by the gubernaculum (Van Voorhis et al., 2000). The gubernaculum is attached to the uterus forming the utero-ovarian and round ligament.

Ectopic or undescended ovaries are characterized by the attachment of the upper pole to an area above the level of the common iliac vessels. Although the term ectopic ovary is commonly used, undescended ovary is probably a more accurate reflection of the underlying pathophysiology. Ovarian maldescent rarely occurs in patients with a normal uterus. On the other hand, the incidence is reported to be 20% when the uterus is absent (Rokitansky-Küstner-Hauser syndrome) and more than 40% in cases of unicornuate uterus. (Dabirashrafi et al., 1994; Ombelet et al., 2003b). Despite the well-known association of ectopic ovaries and unicornuate uterus, ectopic ovaries are reported only sporadically, suggesting the possibility that many cases go unrecognized (Verkauf and Bernhisel, 1996; Ombelet et al., 2003b, 2003c).

We present a case of a unicornuate uterus associated with an ectopic ovary and absent kidney on the contralateral side.

Case description

A 31-year-old woman was referred to our infertility clinic with a history of primary infertility for more than two years. During the routine infertility exploration a hysterosalpingography (HSG) showed a left sided unicornuate uterus with a patent tube (Fig. 1). On vaginal ultrasound only one ovary could be detected at the normal position, with a normal size and a normal number of antral follicles. On the right hand side no ovary could be visualized.

A 3D ultrasound was performed confirming the presence of a unicornuate uterus and a normal left-sided ovary with normal blood supply (Fig. 1).

Due to our previous experience with ectopic ovaries associated with a unicornuate uterus (Ombelet et al., 2003b; Ombelet et al., 2003c) an MRI after mild ovarian clomiphene citrate (CC)-stimulation was carried out. MRI was performed using an extended phased array body coil on a 1.5 Tesla (Siemens, Germany) scanner. Axial, coronal and sagittal T1 (TurboFlash) and T2 weighted (HASTE) images were acquired, without intravenous contrast. The images through the level of the pelvis demonstrated a left sided unicornuate uterus with a single vagina and a single cervix. The left ovary was located anteriorly in the fossa adjacent to the uterus and contained several tiny cysts. Furthermore, small cysts were found posterior from the hepatic flexure of the colon, interpreted as follicles in the right ovary (Fig. 2a). The right kidney was absent (Fig. 2b). Numerous subcentimetric tiny cysts vertically oriented posterior of the ascending part of the colon, like a string of beads could be demonstrated (Fig. 3a, Fig. 3b), interpreted as small isovolumetric
A variety of terms have been used to describe an undescended or ectopic ovary. In 1991 Lachman and Berman proposed a new terminology for classification of ectopic ovaries. They suggested that ectopic or undescended ovaries can be divided in three categories: (1) postsurgical implant, (2) postinflammatory implant and (3) true embryologic.

The embryologic mechanism underlying undescended ovaries is uncertain but could be explained by a lack of caudal descent of the gonads into the true pelvis (Parmley, 1993, Van Voorhis et al., 2000) or by a retarded differential growth of that portion of the urogenital ridge giving rise to both the gonad and the fallopian tube (Rock et al., 1986). Unilateral and bilateral ectopic ovaries are described and are most frequently associated with abnormalities of the Müllerian ducts such as unicornuate uterus. The association of unicornuate uterus and urinary tract anomalies including ectopic kidney, renal agenesis, double renal pelvis and horseshoe kidneys is also well known (Fedele et al., 1996; Acién and Acién, 2010).

In previous studies we reported the value of MRI as a diagnostic tool when performed after mild ovarian stimulation with clomiphene citrate (Ombelet et al., 2003b, 2003c). The multifollicular growth in the ectopic ovary greatly enhanced MRI diagnosis.

Although the incidence of a unicornuate uterus is low (0.3% of the whole population) (Raga et al.,...
1997), a correct diagnosis is mandatory not only to be aware of the existence of an ectopic ovary but also considering the reproductive performance when uterine malformations are involved. In 2003 we reported the first intrauterine pregnancy following timed coitus, resulting from transperitoneal sperm and/or oocyte migration as the oocyte originated from an ectopic ovary on the contralateral side of the fallopian tube which was attached to a unicornuate uterus (Ombelet et al., 2003a). The presence of a unicornuate uterus is linked to an increase in obstetrical complications such as early miscarriages, ectopic pregnancy, abnormal foetal presentation, intrauterine growth retardation and premature labour (Raga et al., 1997; Heinonen, 1997; Heinonen et al., 1982; Andrews and Jones, 1982; Donderwinkel et al., 1992; Moutos et al., 1992). Considering assisted reproduction, significantly lower implantation rates are described after IVF-ET in unicornuate uterus cases (Heinonen et al., 2000).

Continuous refinement of the MRI techniques with further improvement of the spatial resolution and increased availability of MRI will progressively increase its application in the detection of undescended ovaries and other Müllerian fusion defects. Since both intra- and extraperitoneal locations of undescended ovary may occur, the authors suggest that a combination of 3D-ultrasound and MRI can replace laparoscopic evaluation in this specific patient population (Doyle, 1992).

To conclude, MRI after CC ovarian stimulation should be performed when the diagnosis of unicornuate uterus is made in order to detect unexpected intraperitoneal or extraperitoneal ectopic ovaries more frequently.
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