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Movements near the Gate of a Hyperpolarization-activated Cation Channel

BRAD S. ROTHBERG, KI SOON SHIN, and GARY YELLEN

Department of Neurobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

ABSTRACT Hyperpolarization-activated cation (HCN) channels regulate pacemaking activity in cardiac cells and neurons. Like the related depolarization-activated K+ channels (Kv channels), HCN channels use an intracellular activation gate to regulate access to an inner cavity, lined by the S6 transmembrane regions, which leads to the selectivity filter near the extracellular surface. Here we describe two types of metal interactions with substituted cysteines in the S6, which alter the voltage-controlled movements of the gate. At one position (L466), substitution of cysteine in all four subunits allows Cd2+ ions at nanomolar concentration to stabilize the open state (a “lock-open” effect). This effect depends on native histidines at a nearby position (H462); the lock-open effect can be abolished by changing the histidines to tyrosines, or enhanced by changing them to cysteines. Unlike a similar effect in Kv channels, this effect depends on a Cd2+ bridge between 462 and 466 in the same subunit. Cysteine substitution at another position (Q468) produces two effects of Cd2+: both a lock-open effect and a dramatic slowing of channel activation—a “lock-closed” effect. The two effects can be separated, because the lock-open effect depends on the histidine at position 462. The novel lock-closed effect results from stabilization of the closed state by the binding of up to four Cd2+ ions. During the opening conformational change, the S6 apparently moves from one position in which the 468C cysteines can bind four Cd2+ ions, possibly as a cluster of cysteines and cadmium ions near the central axis of the pore, to another position (or flexible range of positions) where either 466C or 468C can bind Cd2+ in association with the histidine at 462.
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INTRODUCTION

Hyperpolarization-activated cation currents modulate rhythmic activity in the heart and brain (Brown et al., 1979; Brown and DiFrancesco, 1980; Pape and McCormick, 1989; for reviews see DiFrancesco, 1993; Pape, 1996). These currents are produced by hyperpolarization-activated cation (HCN) channels (Santoro et al., 1998; Gauss et al., 1998; Ludwig et al., 1998), which are relatives of depolarization activated K+ channels (Kv channels) and cyclic nucleotide–gated (CNG) channels. HCN channels contain six putative transmembrane regions and an intracellular cyclic nucleotide binding domain that accounts for the direct modulation of native pacemaker current by intracellular cAMP (DiFrancesco and Tortora, 1991).

Despite the inverted voltage dependence of HCN gating, the HCN channel’s voltage-controlled gate and pore are similar to those of Kv channels like Shaker (Shin et al., 2001; Rothberg et al., 2002). Most notably, it has been possible to engineer a cysteine-substituted spHCN channel (T464C) in which cysteines from each of the four subunits form a single high-affinity site that binds Cd2+ irreversibly, similar to the Shaker mutant V474C (Liu et al., 1997). As with Shaker V474C, Cd2+ access to the spHCN 464C site from the cytoplasmic side can be prevented by holding the channel closed; once bound, Cd2+ can be trapped inside the closed 464C channel (Rothberg et al., 2002). These results provide strong evidence for a voltage-controlled gate at the intracellular entrance to the pore of HCN channels. However, we do not know what part of the channel forms the gate itself, or how the gate moves between the open and closed position.

This study further investigates movements in the S6 region that underlie the gating of HCN channels. We have found that replacing residues L466 or Q468 with cysteines results in a high-affinity effect of Cd2+. In the case of 466C, Cd2+ produces a strong stabilization of the open state (“lock-open”), with tight binding that involves both 466C and the native histidine at position 462. The
Q468C mutant has two opposing effects of Cd\(^{2+}\), both lock-open and lock-closed, which can be cleanly separated by mutation of the histidine at 462. We exploited both L466C and Q468C mutant spHCN channels to gain insight into the workings of the channel’s gate.

**M A T E R I A L S A N D M E T H O D S**

**Expression of Recombinant spHCN Channels**

spHCN (SPHI) channels (containing the M349I mutation to increase functional expression levels) were transiently expressed in human embryonic kidney 293 cells (HEK 293; American Type Culture Collection) as described previously (Shin et al., 2001). Cells were cotransfected with the \(\pi\)H3-CD8 plasmid (Seed and Aruffo, 1987), which expresses the \(\pi\) subunit of the human CD8 lymphocyte antigen. Cells expressing the CD8 antigen were identified by decoration with antibody-coated beads (Jurman et al., 1994).

**Construction of Tandem Dimers and Site-directed Mutagenesis**

Point mutations were introduced by PCR and confirmed by sequencing. The tandem dimer construct was generated by eliminating the stop codon of the “A” protomer and inserting the “B” protomer cDNA using an introduced KpnI site in the pcDNA4 expression vector, as described previously (Rothberg et al., 2002).

**Solutions and Electrophysiological Recordings**

All experiments were performed with excised inside-out patches (Hamill et al., 1981) from identified transfected cells 1–2 d after transfection. Experiments were done at room temperature (22–24°C). Currents were low-pass filtered at 1–2 kHz and digitized at 5–50 kHz. Solutions bathing both sides of the membrane contained (in mM) 160 KCl, 0.5 MgCl\(_2\), and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4). The solution at the extracellular face of the patch additionally contained 1 mM Cd\(^{2+}\) to prevent channel inactivation. Cd\(^{2+}\) solutions with <130 mM Cd\(^{2+}\) additionally contained 10 mM nitrilo triacetic acid (NTA) and sufficient amounts of CdCl\(_2\) and MgCl\(_2\) to bring the free [Cd\(^{2+}\)] and [Mg\(^{2+}\)] to the indicated levels. Buffer calculations were based on equilibrium constants for binding of H\(^+\), Cd\(^{2+}\), and Mg\(^{2+}\) to NTA and to chloride ion (Martell and Smith, 1998). Note that throughout this paper we report free [Cd\(^{2+}\)] based on these buffer calculations; in previous papers from this lab using [Cd\(^{2+}\)], we have reported total added [Cd\(^{2+}\)]. In the presence of 160 mM chloride ion, the calculated ratio of free [Cd\(^{2+}\)] to total [Cd\(^{2+}\)] is 0.13. The control solution contained 20 mM EGTA and no added Cd\(^{2+}\).

**Kinetic Modeling**

Kinetic parameters for Scheme I were estimated using a Q-matrix method, implemented in MATLAB, to simulate currents and to fit them by minimizing the sum of squared residuals.

**R E S U L T S**

**Cd\(^{2+}\) Locks the L466C Mutant in the Open State**

We observed in a previous study of the spHCN channel S6 region that in the presence of 2.6 \(\mu\)M free Cd\(^{2+}\) (applied to the cytoplasmic side), the mutant L466C could not be closed upon depolarization, despite gating that was similar to wild-type in the absence of Cd\(^{2+}\) (Roth-
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Double mutant, even after several minutes at 10 mV. Because a slower closing rate is explained intuitively by stabilization of the open state, we refer to this gating phenotype in the presence of Cd\(^{2+}\) as “lock-open”.

High-affinity binding sites for Cd\(^{2+}\) tend to require the close proximity of multiple cysteine or histidine sidechains. We first looked at H462 as a potential partner with 466C to form a Cd\(^{2+}\) binding site in the open state; this residue was substituted with tyrosine to generate the double mutant H462Y-L466C. The gating behavior of the double mutant in the absence of Cd\(^{2+}\) was similar to that of L466C, but the lock-open effect was essentially abolished in the H462Y-L466C double mutant (Fig. 1 B). As for the original L466C mutant, there is some reduction in the inward current, which may be caused by binding of the divalent Cd\(^{2+}\) ions near the conduction pathway.

This is consistent with a contribution of H462 in coordinating Cd\(^{2+}\) in the open state, but it remains possible that the mutation of the histidine to tyrosine simply had some nonspecific effect on lock-open by Cd\(^{2+}\). We therefore mutated H462 to cysteine, another amino acid capable of strong direct interaction with Cd\(^{2+}\) ions. In the absence of Cd\(^{2+}\), the H462C-L466C mutant opened and closed normally. In the presence of 130 nM Cd\(^{2+}\), however, channel closing was essentially eliminated (Fig. 2 A). Perhaps even more remarkably, the lock-open effect in the double cysteine mutant was nearly irreversible; in contrast to the rapid reversal (<10 s) seen for the H462-L466C combination, in the double mutant, even after several minutes at +10 mV there was only ~50\% recovery of normal gating. The H462C single mutant was unaffected by 130 nM Cd\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 2 B). The gain of function seen specifically when both positions 462 and 466 are mutated to cysteine argues that these two side chains are close to each other in the open state.

We next asked whether Cd\(^{2+}\) is coordinated by a 466C from one subunit and a 462C from a neighboring subunit (intersubunit), or by a 466C and a 462C from the same subunit (intrasubunit). To test for an intersubunit interaction, we constructed a tandem-dimer channel with H462C in one subunit and both H462Y and L466C in the adjacent subunit (Fig. 3 A). The resulting channels would contain H462C and L466C in adjacent subunits, but never in the same subunit. These channels were not locked open in the presence of 130 nM Cd\(^{2+}\), suggesting that interactions between 462C and 466C in different subunits contribute little to the Cd\(^{2+}\) lock-open effect.

To test for an intrasubunit interaction, we constructed a tandem-dimer channel with both H462C and L466C in one subunit, and H462Y in the adjacent subunit (Fig. 3 B). These channels were locked open in the presence of 130 nM Cd\(^{2+}\), suggesting that Cd\(^{2+}\) forms a bridge between 462C and 466C in the same subunit in the open state.

Cd\(^{2+}\) Modifies the Gating of the Q468C Mutant

In our previous study of the spHCN channel S6 region, we observed that Q468C is strongly inhibited in the presence of 2.6 \(\mu\)M free Cd\(^{2+}\) (Rothberg et al., 2002). However, despite this strong inhibition of ~90\% of the current, the channels displayed a very rapid and complete recovery from inhibition. This was in sharp contrast to Cd\(^{2+}\) inhibition of the nearby T464C mutant, which does not recover from Cd\(^{2+}\) inhibition except in the presence of a dithiol like dimercapto propane sulfonate.

Upon closer inspection of the 468C currents, we found that the channels were in fact opening in the presence of Cd\(^{2+}\), but at a greatly slowed rate. This is il-

![Figure 2. High-affinity Cd\(^{2+}\) binding in L466C H462C double mutant channels. (A) In the absence of Cd\(^{2+}\), L466C H462C channels opened normally in response to the hyperpolarization step (black trace). In the presence of 130 nM Cd\(^{2+}\) (gray trace), channel closing was essentially eliminated. Note the large instantaneous currents upon hyperpolarization and the persistent current at +50 mV. These effects required several minutes for partial reversal when Cd\(^{2+}\) was washed out. (B) 462C alone in the absence of Cd\(^{2+}\) was not affected by 130 nM Cd\(^{2+}\).](Image 54x539 to 426x726)
lustrated in Fig. 4. This effect was apparent at $[\text{Cd}^{2+}]$ as low as 25 nM, indicating a very high affinity. Because a slower opening rate is explained intuitively by stabilization of the closed state, we refer to this effect in the presence of Cd$^{2+}$ as “lock-closed”.

Interestingly, when we reduced the $[\text{Cd}^{2+}]$ to 130 nM or less, we found that the Q468C mutant also displayed a lock-open effect, similar to the effect seen with Cd$^{2+}$ in the L466C mutant. We sought to determine the mechanisms of these two effects in the Q468C mutant to gain further insight into the gating process.

**Cd$^{2+}$ may Form a Bridge between H462 and 468C to Stabilize the Open State**

As with L466C, we first looked at the nearby H462 as a potential partner with 468C to form a Cd$^{2+}$ binding site; this residue was substituted with tyrosine to generate the double mutant H462Y-Q468C. The activation gating of the double mutant in the absence of Cd$^{2+}$ was similar to that of Q468C; the deactivation gating was slower and clearly multiexponential (Table I).

In the presence of 100 nM Cd$^{2+}$, H462 seemed to be critical to the Q468C lock-open effect. Fig. 5 shows that the lock-open effect was greatly reduced in the H462Y-Q468C double mutant compared with the Q468C single mutant in 100 nM Cd$^{2+}$. 100 nM Cd$^{2+}$ had little effect on the tail current kinetics of the double mutant, compared with the greatly slowed tail current in the Q468C single mutant (Fig. 5 and Table I). The decreased lock-open effect in the double mutant was similar at 50 and 130 nM Cd$^{2+}$.

In contrast, the lock-closed effect was preserved even without a histidine at 462. Because the activation kinet-
ics for both the single mutant and double mutant are multiexponential, we quantified activation by taking a weighted average of the activation time constants ($\langle \tau \rangle$), determined by a two-exponential fit. Using this index, we found that $\langle \tau \rangle$ of both the double mutant and 468C single mutant are slowed to nearly the same extent at 100 nM Cd$^{2+}$ (19-fold slower $\langle \tau \rangle$ for double mutant vs. 16-fold slower $\langle \tau \rangle$ for 468C; $\langle \tau \rangle$s at 0 and 100 nM Cd$^{2+}$ were 52 ± 5.3 ms and 970 ± 210 ms, double mutant, $n = 3$ each; 56 ± 14 ms and 870 ± 350 ms, single mutant, $n = 3$ each). Slowing of double mutant activation kinetics was similar to that observed in the single mutant at 50 and 130 nM Cd$^{2+}$ as well.

We reasoned that as for 466C, the Cd$^{2+}$ might lock 468C channels open by forming a metal bridge between H462 and 468C in the open state. Unfortunately, we were unable to try the gain of function experiment with both residues mutated to cysteine, because the 462C-468C mutant showed no functional expression. Nevertheless, because of the high apparent affinity of the Cd$^{2+}$ effect and the absence of good alternative partners (see Discussion), we suspect that this lock-open effect also involves a direct interaction of both partners. We therefore asked whether the putative bridge is formed between an H462 and 468C residue in the same subunit (as in the L466C mutant), or between H462 in one subunit and 468C in the adjacent subunit.

To answer this, we again constructed two tandem-dimer channels: one with the H462 and 468C present in the same subunit (with an adjacent subunit having neither H nor C; “YQ-HC”), and the other with an H462 and 468C present in adjacent subunits (but never in the same subunit; “HQ-YC”).

The high-affinity lock-open effect occurs preferentially in the YQ-HC dimer channels, suggesting the formation of an intrasubunit bridge (Fig. 6 A). At the same free [Cd$^{2+}$] of 50 nM, there was no effect on the closure of the HQ-YC dimer (Fig. 6 B). At higher [Cd$^{2+}$] of 130 nM or 2.6 μM, both dimers exhibited slower deactivation (for YQ-HC, $\tau$ at 2.6 μM Cd$^{2+}$ = 78 ± 1.2 ms, $\tau$ control = 22 ± 2.1 ms; for HQ-YC, $\tau$ at 2.6 μM Cd$^{2+}$ = 86 ± 8.4 ms, $\tau$ control = 22 ± 1.4 ms). Although there was a stronger effect of Cd$^{2+}$ when H462 and 468C are in the same subunit, we cannot rule out an alternative intersubunit interaction that is somewhat weaker.

TABLE 1

| Mutant         | Control  | 100 nM Cd$^{2+}$ |
|----------------|----------|-----------------|
|                | $\tau_{\text{fast}}$ ms | $\tau_{\text{slow}}$ ms | $\%_{\text{fast}}$ |
| 468C           | 14 ± 0.8 | 240 ± 56       | 81 ± 3.2 | 30 ± 6.5 | 500 ± 67 | 38 ± 6.5 |
| H462Y-Q468C    | 37 ± 2.4 | 220 ± 25       | 60 ± 3.8 | 32 ± 6.0 | 210 ± 19 | 56 ± 3.7 |

*Kinetic parameters were estimated by fitting tail currents at +50 mV to a double-exponential function ($n = 3$ for each mutant). $\tau_{\text{fast}}$ and $\tau_{\text{slow}}$ are the fast and slow time constants, and $\%_{\text{fast}}$ is the fractional amplitude of the component described by the fast time constant. All parameters are expressed as mean ± SEM.
Cd²⁺ may Form a Bridge among 468C Residues to Stabilize the Closed State

The H462Y-Q468C double mutant provides a clear separation between the lock-open and lock-closed effects of Cd²⁺ on Q468C: the lock-open effect disappeared in the double mutant, but the lock-closed effect remained (Fig. 5). This allowed us to explore the nature of the lock-closed effect in isolation.

Given the high affinity of the lock-closed effect, we thought it was likely that position 468 faces the central axis of the pore in the closed state, so that two or more 468C sidechains coordinate a Cd²⁺ among themselves to stabilize this conformation and lock the channel closed. We tested this possibility using a tandem-dimer construct in which each subunit contained the H462Y mutation (to eliminate the high-affinity Cd²⁺ lock-open effect), but only half of the subunits contained the Q468C mutation. Thus, the resulting channels (called YQ-YC) only contained two 468C residues, presumably in nonadjacent subunits.

The YQ-YC channels displayed a greatly reduced lock-closed effect at 50 nM Cd²⁺ (Fig. 7), suggesting that two 468C residues in diagonal subunits are not sufficient to produce the high-affinity Cd²⁺ lock-closed phenotype; the high-affinity lock-closed effect was also absent in the tandem construct containing the same subunits in reverse order (YC-YQ channels; not depicted). The high-affinity lock-closed effect was also apparently absent in the YQ-HC channels (Fig. 6 B). Thus, it appears that 468C residues must be present in adjacent subunits, or in more than two subunits, to produce the high-affinity lock-closed phenotype.

**The Lock-closed Effect has a Steep Dependence on Cd²⁺ Concentration**

We also investigated the concentration dependence of the Cd²⁺-induced lock-closed effect (Fig. 8). The simplest picture of a single Cd²⁺ binding to a site formed by four 468 cysteines facing the central axis predicts a first-order concentration dependence for the effect. We were therefore surprised to see a much steeper concentration dependence. Over a narrow (~5-fold) range of Cd²⁺ concentration, the effect varied from a relatively subtle slowing and ~5% inhibition to a dramatic slowing and >80% inhibition. Using the nearly steady-state current at the end of a 3-s activation step as an estimator of the Cd²⁺ effect, we found a steep concentration dependence consistent with the coordinated action of ~4 Cd²⁺ ions (Fig. 8 B).

**A Semiquantitative Description of the Lock-closed Effect**

If Cd²⁺ binds with high affinity only to the closed state of the H462Y-Q468C double mutant, then it should be possible to describe the major features of the lock-closed effect using a simple kinetic model. Such a model is depicted by Scheme I. In this model, up to four Cd²⁺ ions bind to the closed state (C). The rate of opening upon hyperpolarization is slowed in the presence of Cd²⁺ because the channel cannot open until Cd²⁺ is released. Although Scheme I clearly oversimplifies the gating of HCN channels, we used this model to explore the minimal requirements for a model to describe the lock-closed effect.

![Scheme I](attachment://Scheme_I.png)

**Figure 6.** H462 and 468C need to be on the same subunit for the high-affinity Cd²⁺ lock-open effect. (A) In the YQ-YC tandem dimer, channel closing is slowed and maximal currents are inhibited in the presence of 50 nM Cd²⁺. (B) In the HQ-YC tandem dimer, channel closing is not slowed and there is little apparent inhibition. Voltage protocols for A and B are as in Fig. 2.
Fig. 8 shows that a model of this type can describe the major features of the lock-closed effect, including the reduced current and slowed opening kinetics observed with increasing [Cd$^{2+}$]. The parameters for this model were estimated using global fitting of currents obtained at 0, 25, 50, 100, and 130 nM Cd$^{2+}$. Although the properties of the individual binding sites are not well-determined by the model, the four binding sites and the overall high cooperativity of the model (with the binding of the final Cd$^{2+}$ much stronger than that of the initial Cd$^{2+}$) are required to explain the high Hill coefficient.

Aside from the requirement of multiple Cd$^{2+}$ binding steps, another essential property of the model is the relation between Cd$^{2+}$ binding and voltage-controlled gating. It is clear that voltage activation works against the Cd$^{2+}$-bound closed state. Scheme I, which captures the major features of the lock-closed effect, represents a simple two-state channel in which voltage drives the channel toward the open state in a single step. But even more complex models containing multiple activation steps can reproduce this behavior, as long as the Cd$^{2+}$-bound closed state precedes at least one voltage-dependent step in the activation pathway. Also, note that the
voltage-dependent activation does not completely relieve the inhibition of the channels by Cd\textsuperscript{2+}, particularly at higher [Cd\textsuperscript{2+}]. We suspect that this is because even with a maximal voltage stimulus, the closed-open equilibrium is not sufficiently biased toward the open state to overcome the strong binding of Cd\textsuperscript{2+}.

**DISCUSSION**

*What the Cadmium Lock-open Effects Reveal about the Open State*

We have identified several positions in the S6 region of an HCN channel where introducing a cysteine permits Cd\textsuperscript{2+} binding to inhibit the channel closing process. Three positions in a row exhibit strong effects at 130 nM free Cd\textsuperscript{2+} or below: 466, 467, and 468. The I467C mutant shows a strong effect (Rothberg et al., 2002), but because of generally poor expression we did not investigate it further.

We have the clearest picture for the lock-open effect of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} on the L466C mutant. In this case we have clear evidence that the native histidine at position 462 is involved in binding Cd\textsuperscript{2+}, together with the introduced cysteine. Eliminating the histidine by mutation to tyrosine abolishes the effect, whereas mutating the histidine to cysteine makes an improved binding site for Cd\textsuperscript{2+}, which takes minutes to release the bound ion (Figs. 1 and 2). The lock-open effect is nearly as strong when only two of the four subunits have a cysteine at 466C, but it requires the coordinated action of a cysteine at 462 in the same subunit; having the 462 and 466 cysteines in neighboring subunits does not produce the effect (Fig. 3).

Based on our previous work showing that position 464 faces the pore (with three or more cysteines at 464 binding a single Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ion; Rothberg et al., 2002), it is reasonable to expect that positions 462 and 466 both face away from the pore, and lie on the same face of an \alpha helix. Metal coordination at (i,i + 4) cysteine side chains is compatible with a helical conformation (Cline et al., 2003); in this situation, metal binding might favor the open state by inducing a conformational preference for one of two different positions of a bent helix (del Camino et al., 2000; del Camino and Yellen, 2001). Alternatively, it might interfere with the close approach of another protein segment, such as the neighboring S5.

The lock-open effect of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} on the Q468C mutant is less clear. This effect is also abolished by mutating the 462 histidine to tyrosine. In this case we were unable to assess the ability of a 462 cysteine to produce an improved binding site. Although again there is a preference for an intrasubunit arrangement of the cysteine side chain with the 462 histidine, this preference is not as clear, and the relationship between the two positions is more ambiguous. On the other hand, the 462 histidine is the only apparent partner for the high affinity effect: elimination of two S5 cysteines at positions 369 and 373 by mutation to F and G, respectively (substitution with the corresponding residues in mHCN1), did not prevent lock-open effects at 468C (or at 466C; unpublished data). (A conserved histidine at 380 and cysteine at 384 in S5 seem by sequence alignment to be located much further toward the extracellular side, but we cannot rule out their involvement.)

If the 462H is indeed an intrasubunit Cd\textsuperscript{2+} binding partner for both 466C and 468C, it is hard to imagine a fixed helix-like structure that would accommodate both pairings. Perhaps the open state is flexible enough to accommodate either pair, while preserving the openness of the gate in either configuration.

A similar Cd\textsuperscript{2+}-induced lock-open effect is seen for a specific cysteine-histidine pairing in the S6 region of Shaker Kv channels (Holmgren et al., 1998). The cysteine mutant is at Shaker position 476, which is homologous either to spHCN 462 (by sequence alignment) or 466 (by alignment of the functionally homologous Shaker 474C and spHCN 464C; Rothberg et al., 2002). The histidine partner is at Shaker position 486, and interaction between partners involves an intersubunit bridge. In contrast to the variety of lock-open mutations in spHCN, the Shaker pairing seems very stringent; attempts to move the histidine partner to other positions in the lower S6 (482–489) were unsuccessful (unpublished data).

The relative ease of producing lock-open effects in spHCN could indicate a greater flexibility in the open state of these channels than in the Shaker channels. Alternatively, it may be easier to lock these channels open due to weaker coupling between their voltage sensors and gate. In other words, even at limiting positive voltages, HCN channels have an open probability of a few percent (unpublished data), so that even a 10-fold increase in this equilibrium constant would be quite noticeable. Voltage-gated \textsuperscript{K} channels are closed much more securely (<10\textsuperscript{–9} at negative voltages; Islas and Sigworth, 1999), so a much stronger interaction is needed to produce a lock-open effect.

*In the Q468C Mutant, How Might Cd\textsuperscript{2+} Lock the Channel Closed?*

Again, based on the previous result that T464C faces the pore (Rothberg et al., 2002), we suppose that Q468 is also likely to face the central axis of the pore. If the S6 region of spHCN is primarily helical, as with its bacterial K channel relatives (Doyle et al., 1998; Jiang et al., 2002), then the i + 4 position of 468 would be placed on nearly the same face of the helix as 464, and probably lie near the central axis.

Our tandem-dimer experiments suggest that in the closed state, 468C residues from each of the four sub-
units participate in the coordination of Cd\(^{2+}\). But the dose dependence of the kinetics and steady-state current further suggests that four Cd\(^{2+}\) ions participate in locking the channel closed—which is inconsistent with a single, central Cd\(^{2+}\) binding site (as postulated for 464C). Either there are four separate high affinity binding sites for Cd\(^{2+}\), involving each of the four 468C residues (perhaps with another partner to permit high affinity binding), or the four cysteines somehow collaborate to make a site capable of binding multiple Cd\(^{2+}\) ions (a “cluster” of metal ions and ligands; Lippard and Berg, 1994). Based on our results, we prefer the cluster hypothesis. Because the lock-closed effect occurs with submicromolar affinity, it seems likely that each Cd\(^{2+}\) is bound to multiple ligands, rather than to a single isolated cysteine. The only obvious partner ligands are the other 468C residues (perhaps with another partner to permit high affinity binding), or the four cysteines somehow collaborate to make a site capable of binding multiple Cd\(^{2+}\) ions (a “cluster” of metal ions and ligands; Lippard and Berg, 1994). Based on our results, we prefer the cluster hypothesis. Because the lock-closed effect occurs with submicromolar affinity, it seems likely that each Cd\(^{2+}\) is bound to multiple ligands, rather than to a single isolated cysteine. The only obvious partner ligands are the other 468C residues (perhaps with another partner to permit high affinity binding), or the four cysteines somehow collaborate to make a site capable of binding multiple Cd\(^{2+}\) ions (a “cluster” of metal ions and ligands; Lippard and Berg, 1994). Based on our results, we prefer the cluster hypothesis. Because the lock-closed effect occurs with submicromolar affinity, it seems likely that each Cd\(^{2+}\) is bound to multiple ligands, rather than to a single isolated cysteine. The only obvious partner ligands are the other 468C residues (perhaps with another partner to permit high affinity binding), or the four cysteines somehow collaborate to make a site capable of binding multiple Cd\(^{2+}\) ions (a “cluster” of metal ions and ligands; Lippard and Berg, 1994). Based on our results, we prefer the cluster hypothesis. Because the lock-closed effect occurs with submicromolar affinity, it seems likely that each Cd\(^{2+}\) is bound to multiple ligands, rather than to a single isolated cysteine. The only obvious partner ligands are the other 468C residues (perhaps with another partner to permit high affinity binding), or the four cysteines somehow collaborate to make a site capable of binding multiple Cd\(^{2+}\) ions (a “cluster” of metal ions and ligands; Lippard and Berg, 1994). Based on our results, we prefer the cluster hypothesis. Because the lock-closed effect occurs with submicromolar affinity, it seems likely that each Cd\(^{2+}\) is bound to multiple ligands, rather than to a single isolated cysteine. 

The plausibility of the cluster hypothesis, in which the four Q468C cysteines coordinate four Cd\(^{2+}\) ions, is supported by a comparison of K\(^{+}\) channel structure with the structure of a known cysteine-cadmium cluster in metallothionein (Furey et al., 1986). Metallothionein proteins contain two metal-cysteine clusters. One of the two clusters contains four Cd\(^{2+}\) ions coordinated by multiple cysteines, some of which bridge two Cd\(^{2+}\)s, whereas others contact only one Cd\(^{2+}\). In this cluster, it is possible to identify a ring containing four cysteines alternating with four Cd\(^{2+}\) ions, with the structure shown in the lower right of Fig. 9. By comparison, placing four cysteines onto the KcsA channel backbone (Doyle et al., 1998) in the position homologous to spHCN 468 could produce an alternating cysteine-cadmium cluster with similar distances (Fig. 9, right). Such a cluster, located below the bundle crossing that closes the channel, would be ideally positioned to hold the channel in the closed position.

In any case, the Q468C mutant makes it possible for four Cd\(^{2+}\) ions to bind to the lower S6 of spHCN channels, and when they are bound, opening of the channel is prohibited. Once the channel opens, Cd\(^{2+}\) can bind to the 468C side chains in their new position, possibly in the vicinity of H462, and this different interaction holds the channel in the open state.
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