Knowledge, training and willingness to perform bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation among university students in Chongqing, China: a cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate knowledge of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) among Chinese college students and their attitude towards participating in CPR training and willingness to perform bystander CPR.

Design A cross-sectional study.

Participants A total of 1128 college students were selected through a multistage stratified random sampling method from 12 universities in Chongqing, China.

Primary and secondary outcomes Primary outcomes included CPR knowledge and willingness to participate in training and perform bystander CPR; secondary outcomes included CPR training experience and obstacles to training and performing CPR.

Results The average score on CPR knowledge was 2.078 (±1.342). Only 45.5% of the respondents were willing to participate in CPR training. Women, respondents who were postgraduate or above, with liberal arts as major and with high CPR knowledge level were more willing to participate in CPR training. A total of 47.2% of the respondents were willing to provide simple assistance, such as checking the consciousness and breathing of the patient and dialling 120 (medical emergency call). Only 34.1% indicated their willingness to perform bystander CPR on strangers. Perceived behavioural control, behavioural attitudes and subjective norms are positive predictors of willingness to provide bystander CPR.

Conclusions CPR knowledge and training rate were low among Chongqing college students. Willingness to participate in training and perform bystander CPR was also low. Improving legislation, strengthening training programmes, incorporating CPR training into the school curriculum and reshaping the social and public culture of offering timely help to those in need are recommended strategies to improve bystander CPR performance.

INTRODUCTION

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a major public health problem worldwide.¹ OHCA kills approximately 540 000 people a year in China.² Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is an important contributing factor for improved OHCA survival.³ ⁴ Research showed that 30-day survival rates were 2.6 times higher for patients in whom bystander CPR was performed than those with no CPR performed.³ However, the rate of bystander CPR in several large-sized and medium-sized cities in China is only 4.5%,⁵ much lower than in European countries and the USA.⁶ ⁷ The key component of the theory of planned behaviour (TPB) is the concept of behavioural intention,⁸ which is influenced by the attitude about the likelihood that the behaviour will have the expected outcome and the subjective evaluation of the risks and benefits of that outcome. The TPB states that behavioural achievement depends on both motivation (intention) and ability (behavioural control). It distinguishes between three types of beliefs: behavioural, normative and control. The theory has been widely used in the analysis of health behaviour and behavioural intentions.⁹–¹² According to the TPB, intention to perform bystander CPR is the most direct predictor of bystander CPR behaviour. Therefore, in addition to factors such as personal characteristics¹³ and personal experiences,¹⁴ incorporating the constructs
of the TPB would better facilitate understanding of the contributing factors for performing bystander CPR.

Having adequate CPR knowledge and skills was a key factor in performing CPR. Training more people is an effective way to improve bystander CPR. However, the rate of CPR training in China is less than 1%. In 2019, the Chinese government released the ‘Healthy China Initiative (2019–2030)’ policy, which clearly stated that more than 3% of the population should be certified in first aid training by 2030. Baldi et al. showed that students needed less time learning basic life support (BLS) and had better results compared with adults. Popularising bystander CPR knowledge and skills among students is an important way to improve access to bystander CPR. University students are one of the important groups that can potentially perform bystander CPR and spread CPR knowledge and skills. Except for Tianjin and Wuhan, data on CPR training and willingness to perform CPR are still lacking in many other areas of China, especially in Western China.

In this study, we intended to investigate CPR knowledge, current status of CPR training and willingness to perform bystander CPR among university students in Chongqing, Western China. We also attempted to explore the factors that influence CPR training and willingness to perform bystander CPR based on the constructs of the TPB framework to identify the determinants of behavioural intentions of CPR and develop effective interventions.

**METHODS**

**Study setting and data collection**

Our study was conducted among university students in Chongqing, China from October 2019 to December 2019. Chongqing is one of the four municipalities directly under the jurisdiction of the Chinese Central Government. It has a population of 31.24 million, including 1.04 million university students, making it the city with the largest number of college students in Western China. The city is home to a total of 27 universities, with 13 disciplines and 1150 majors.

We used a multistage random sampling method. First, 12 universities were randomly selected from 27 universities. Second, one male and one female student hostels were randomly selected from every university. Third, 13 dormitories were randomly selected from each student hostel, and all students in the dormitories were asked to complete a questionnaire. All students were briefed on the purpose of the study and filled in the electronic questionnaires by scanning the QR (quick response) code. All students who participated in the survey gave their informed consent before completing the questionnaire. Each completed questionnaire was assigned a unique anonymous number. Incomplete and contradictory answers were deemed invalid. Based on the results of similar surveys in other studies (the proportion of university students who were willing to perform CPR is approximately 30% with a margin of error of 3%), the required sample size was estimated to be 1106, assuming 10% non-responses and 10% unqualified answers.

**Measures**

Our study used a five-part structured questionnaire.

- **Part 1:** demographic information, including gender, education and major.
- **Part 2:** CPR knowledge, including six questions and each question has only one correct answer. One mark was given for the right answer and the maximum total score was six marks.
- **Part 3:** CPR training, including training experience, willingness and obstacles.
- **Part 4:** constructs of the TPB. A total of six questions in three dimensions consisting of subjective norms, behavioural attitudes and perceived behavioural control were included. A 5-point Likert scale was used in each question (from 1=strongly disagree/strongly unconfident/very few to 5=strongly agree/strongly confident/great majority). The Cronbach’s alpha of the scale was 0.820.
- **Part 5:** willingness and obstacles to performing CPR.

**Data analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed as mean and SD, and categorical variables were expressed as count and composition ratio. Liberal arts included philosophy, history, law, literature, etc. Sciences included engineering, agriculture, medicine, etc. The total score on CPR knowledge was calculated and divided into high level (score median >2) and low level (score median ≤2). The $\chi^2$ test was used to compare the differences in categorical outcomes between trained and untrained respondents. Logistic regression was used to explore the influencing factors of willingness to participate in CPR training.

The influencing factors of willingness to perform CPR were explored using hierarchical logistic regression. Predicting variables were entered into the model in a specified order to study the extent to which the newly included variables explained the outcome variables. Predicting variables were grouped and entered into the model in the following order:

- In the first block, demographic variables were entered.
- In the second block, knowledge level, training experience and the TPB variables were entered.

The OR and 95% CI of OR were presented. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS V.9.4. $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 1171 questionnaires were completed. After excluding 43 questionnaires with incomplete or contradictory answers, 1128 questionnaires were included for final analyses.
CPR knowledge

The proportion of correct answers on CPR knowledge is shown in Table 2. The average score on CPR knowledge was 2.078 (±1.342), and the median score was 2. Only 1% answered all the questions correctly. Only 14.0%, 21.5% and 28.9% of the respondents knew ‘the frequency and depth of chest compressions during CPR’, ‘the way of judging unconscious’ and ‘how to determine respiratory arrest’, respectively. The average knowledge score of those who received CPR training was 2.430 (±1.408), which was significantly higher than of those who did not receive CPR training (mean=1.900, p<0.001).

Training experience and willingness

Only 33.6% of the respondents received CPR training (Table 1). No significant difference was observed in CPR training rates according to gender, education and different majors. Among the trained respondents, 46.9% had a total training duration of less than 2 hours and 28.6% had a total duration of more than 2 hours but less than 4 hours. A total of 44.9% of the respondents had only received lecture-based training and 55.1% had received lecture-based and hands-on training. Schools (76.8%) were the primary places where respondents participated in CPR training.

Only 45.5% of the respondents were willing to participate in CPR training. In the logistic regression model, women, respondents who were postgraduate or above, with liberal arts as major and high CPR knowledge level were more willing to participate in CPR training (Table 3).

Respondents wished to participate in training through radio (62.8%), internet (62.5%), lectures (61.8%) and medical personnel (59.0%), and the most desired location for CPR training was schools (80.9%), followed by hospitals (61.5%).

The biggest obstacle to participating in CPR training was the difficulty of access to learning (61.1%), and the proportion was even higher (65.2%) for untrained respondents. More untrained respondents considered lack of time (39.8%) and awareness towards the importance of CPR (27.8%) as barriers to participating in CPR training than trained participants. However, more trained respondents (50.9%) considered lack of opportunities to use the learnt skills as a barrier (Table 4).

Results for variables in the TPB

The results for the TPB variables are presented in Table 5. The average scores for behavioural attitude, subjective norms and perceived behavioural control were 3.242 (±1.288), 3.140 (±1.000) and 2.848 (±1.019), respectively. Only 23.1% of the respondents had confidence in CPR. Only 32.2% of the respondents thought that most people believed that bystander CPR was valuable and could give them a sense of social value.

Willingness to perform bystander CPR

Only 47.2% of the respondents were willing to provide some simple assistance, such as checking consciousness and breathing and dialling 120 (medical emergency call). However, only 34.1% would like to perform bystander CPR on strangers. In model 1 of the logistic regression, women, respondents with liberal arts as major, untrained respondents and those with high level of knowledge were more willing to check consciousness and breathing and dial 120. Model 2 showed no significant difference in willingness to perform bystander CPR between different genders and majors. Model 3 showed that perceived behavioural control, behavioural attitude and subjective norms were significant positive predictors of willingness to provide bystander CPR (Table 6).
Lack of confidence (66.6%), fear of causing secondary damage to patients (56.4%) and fear of legal liability (37.4%) were the main obstacles to performing bystander CPR among the respondents. Compared with trained respondents, untrained respondents were more likely to lack confidence (69.6%) and fear causing secondary damage (59.8%) (table 7).

**DISCUSSION**

The results of our study showed that respondents lacked CPR knowledge, with an average CPR knowledge score of 2.078 (out of a total score of 6). Lack of formal and repeated training was probably the main reason for the low CPR knowledge. The results showed that only 33.6% of the respondents had taken part in CPR training, much lower than Norway (89%).23 Only 45.5% of the college students were willing to attend CPR training, far lower than New Zealand (63%),24 Belgium (77.0%) 25 and Wuhan, a city in Central China (88.3%). 26 These findings may be related to respondents’ lack of CPR knowledge and awareness of the importance of training and performing bystander CPR. Our study showed that approximately a quarter of the respondents reported being unaware of the importance of bystander CPR, regardless of whether they had attended training or not. It is necessary to emphasise the importance of bystander CPR in saving patients’ lives through successful examples and comparative data, rather than just teaching skills.27

The low CPR knowledge and training rate and unawareness of the importance of bystander CPR may be related to perceived barriers to training. A total of 61.1% of the respondents reported difficulties in accessing training, and 42.6% worried about the disconnect between theory and practice during training and the unsatisfactory results. In China, public CPR training has always relied mainly on social groups such as the Red Cross. Due to manpower...

| Variables               | Willing to participate, n (%) | OR (95% CI)       | P value |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Gender                  |                               |                   |         |
| Male                    | 157 (37.9)                    | 1.0               |         |
| Female                  | 356 (49.9)                    | 1.493 (1.149 to 1.941) | 0.003  |
| Education               |                               |                   |         |
| Lower-grade undergraduate| 261 (51.3)                    | 1.0               |         |
| Upper-grade undergraduate| 212 (37.5)                    | 0.537 (0.418 to 0.689) | 0.000  |
| Postgraduate or above   | 40 (74.1)                     | 2.508 (1.319 to 4.768) | 0.005  |
| Major                   |                               |                   |         |
| Sciences                | 222 (38.1)                    | 1.0               |         |
| Liberal arts            | 291 (53.4)                    | 1.755 (1.365 to 2.257) | 0.000  |
| CPR knowledge           |                               |                   |         |
| Low level               | 324 (43.6)                    | 1.0               |         |
| High level              | 189 (49.2)                    | 1.296 (1.003 to 1.673) | 0.047  |

**Table 3** Logistic regression analysis: influence of demographic variables and CPR knowledge on willingness to participate in CPR training

| Obstacle                                                                 | Total, n (%) | Untrained, n (%) | Trained, n (%) | P value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| Have difficulty of access to learning                                   | 689 (61.1)   | 488 (65.2)       | 201 (53.0)     | 0.000   |
| Lack of opportunities to use                                            | 483 (42.8)   | 290 (38.7)       | 193 (50.9)     | 0.000   |
| Worry about the disconnect between theory and practice during training  | 481 (42.6)   | 310 (41.4)       | 171 (45.1)     | 0.232   |
| Easy to forget after learning                                           | 417 (37.0)   | 267 (35.7)       | 150 (39.6)     | 0.197   |
| Have no time                                                            | 403 (35.7)   | 298 (39.8)       | 105 (27.7)     | 0.000   |
| Lack of awareness towards the importance of bystander CPR               | 292 (25.9)   | 208 (27.8)       | 84 (22.2)      | 0.042   |
| Not interested                                                          | 138 (12.2)   | 98 (13.1)        | 40 (10.6)      | 0.221   |

**Table 4** Distribution of obstacles to participating in CPR training by training experience

CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation.
and funding constraints, lecture-based training was the most common method. In 2018, the Chongqing Municipal Government officially launched the Public Health Emergency Skills Improvement Initiative, which identified CPR training as a priority. However, due to the short time period that the project has been running, its social impact remains insufficient. Our subgroup analysis revealed that senior undergraduate students were more reluctant to participate in CPR training, which may be related to the pressures they face in terms of graduation, seeking employment and further education. These pressures can make it difficult for them to focus on other subjects, especially when there are many obstacles to that subject.

Less than half of the respondents were willing to provide simple assistance, such as checking consciousness and breathing and dialling 120. Only 34.1% of the respondents were willing to perform CPR on strangers, which was lower than Belgium (68.0%), and Germany (72.3%), but was similar to Tianjin (44.4%). This finding may be related to the social environment and culture of China. First, unlike other countries where emergency medical services can be provided by firemen or emergency lifesaving technicians in China prehospital emergency care has always been provided by doctors and nurses with medical qualifications. The idea that patients should be examined and treated by medical personnel is so deeply rooted that a significant proportion of the respondents may not be aware of the importance of bystander first aid and their corresponding social responsibility. The results with regard to the behavioural attitudes in the TPB showed that less than half of the respondents believed that saving others through bystander CPR could give them a sense of social value. Only 45.3% of the respondents believed that they would regret and be upset if their failure to save others in time had led to their disability or death. Second, the mouth-to-mouth practice could influence the willingness to perform bystander CPR on a stranger. Mouth-to-mouth may be considered unhygienic and risks spread of disease. Our results showed that 15.8% of the respondents were afraid of being infected with a disease as a result of performing CPR. In a traditional Chinese culture, kissing others in public is improper and performing mouth-to-mouth on a stranger can be embarrassing. Finally, in 2017, the provision of the ‘Good Man Law’, which was included in the General Principles of the Civil Law of China, is not yet sufficiently influential in the society. There is also a lack of systematic provisions to exempt strangers from the liability of administering first aid, thereby making it less operable. A total of 37.4% of the respondents reported concerns about legal disputes that arose from providing help, lower than the general Chinese public (53.2%), but higher than the USA (4.1%) and Scotland (8.0%). Although helping others has always been a traditional Chinese virtue, such a tradition has been weakened. The reasons include the rapid urbanisation shifting relationships between acquaintances to relationships between strangers, and the absence of relevant laws over a long time had led to many high profile media cases of ‘saving a person but being blackmailed’. Despite the recent progress, there is still a long way to go for China to develop proper social climate and public habits for providing timely rescues to those in need, such as performing CPR on strangers. The results of the survey also showed that less than 40% of the respondents believed that their family and friends would support them in performing bystander CPR on a stranger. Despite scoring low, subjective norms had a positive effect on willingness to perform CPR.

Our analyses also found that women and liberal arts students, in addition to being more willing to participate in CPR training, were also more willing to provide simple

Table 5 Variables in the theory of planned behaviour

| Variables          | Questions                                                                 | \( \bar{x} (\pm s) \) | Score ≥4, n (%) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Subjective norms   | Do you think your family members/friends would approve of you performing CPR for strangers? | 3.114 (1.189)          | 434 (38.5)      |
|                    | How many people do you think would perform CPR for strangers?             | 3.019 (1.078)          | 363 (32.2)      |
|                    | I agree that first responders should try their best to help when someone is in an emergency. | 3.286 (1.347)          | 550 (48.8)      |
| Behavioural attitude | I would regret it and be upset if someone was disabled or died because I did not help in time. | 3.216 (1.326)          | 511 (45.3)      |
|                    | CPR is valuable and treating others with CPR could give me a sense of social value. | 3.269 (1.397)          | 558 (49.5)      |
| Perceived behavioural control | Do you feel confident in performing CPR? | 2.848 (1.019)          | 260 (23.1)      |

CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation.
Table 6  Logistic regression analysis: influence of demographic variables, knowledge, training experience and TPB variables on the willingness to perform bystander CPR

| Variables                        | Willingness to provide simple assistance such as checking consciousness and breathing and dialling 120 | Willingness to perform bystander CPR |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                                  | Willing to provide, n (%) | OR (95% CI) | P value | OR (95% CI) | P value | OR (95% CI) | P value |
| Model 1                          | Model 2                          | Model 3                          |
| **Gender**                       |                                   |                                   |                                   |
| Male                             | 172 (41.6)                        | 1.0                               | 0.022                             | 141 (34.1)                        | 1.0                               | 1.0                               | 0.441                             |
| Female                           | 360 (50.4)                        | 1.350 (1.043 to 1.747)            | 1.0                               | 244 (34.2)                        | 0.983 (0.754 to 1.282)            | 0.899                             | 0.880 (0.635 to 1.219)            | 0.441                             |
| **Education**                    |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |
| Lower-grade undergraduate        | 269 (52.9)                        | 1.0                               | 0.000                             | 190 (37.3)                        | 1.0                               | 1.0                               | 0.628                             |
| Upper-grade undergraduate        | 228 (40.4)                        | 0.586 (0.458 to 0.750)            | 0.000                             | 170 (30.1)                        | 0.716 (0.555 to 0.924)            | 0.010                             | 1.080 (0.790 to 1.477)            | 0.628                             |
| Postgraduate or above            | 35 (64.8)                         | 1.645 (0.908 to 2.298)            | 0.101                             | 25 (46.3)                         | 1.400 (0.793 to 2.470)            | 0.246                             | 1.385 (0.691 to 2.779)            | 0.359                             |
| **Major**                        |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |
| Sciences                         | 281 (51.6)                        | 1.0                               | 0.014                             | 195 (35.8)                        | 1.0                               | 1.0                               | 0.692                             |
| Liberal arts                     | 251 (43.1)                        | 1.368 (1.067 to 1.755)            | 0.014                             | 190 (32.6)                        | 1.159 (0.896 to 1.498)            | 0.261                             | 1.065 (0.780 to 1.453)            | 0.692                             |
| **CPR training experience**      |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |
| No                               | 373 (49.8)                        | 1.0                               | 0.002                             | 250 (33.4)                        | 1.0                               | 1.0                               | 0.105                             |
| Yes                              | 159 (42.0)                        | 0.668 (0.514 to 0.866)            | 0.002                             | 135 (35.6)                        | 1.310 (0.945 to 1.814)            | 1.310 (0.945 to 1.814)            | 0.105                             |
| **CPR knowledge**                |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |
| Low level                        | 332 (44.6)                        | 1.0                               | 0.003                             | 254 (34.1)                        | 1.0                               | 1.0                               | 0.227                             |
| High level                       | 200 (52.1)                        | 1.474 (1.139 to 1.907)            | 0.003                             | 131 (34.1)                        | 0.820 (0.594 to 1.131)            | 0.820 (0.594 to 1.131)            | 0.227                             |
| Perceived behavioural control (confidence ) | 3.094 (±1.045)               | 0.000                             | 1.929 (1.622 to 2.294)            | 0.000                             | 1.929 (1.622 to 2.294)            | 0.000                             | 1.929 (1.622 to 2.294)            | 0.000                             |
| Behavioural attitude             | 4.032 (±1.007)                    | 0.000                             | 1.804 (1.454 to 2.238)            | 0.000                             | 1.804 (1.454 to 2.238)            | 0.000                             | 1.804 (1.454 to 2.238)            | 0.000                             |
| Subjective norms                 | 3.821 (±0.767)                    | 0.000                             | 2.506 (1.898 to 3.308)            | 0.000                             | 2.506 (1.898 to 3.308)            | 0.000                             | 2.506 (1.898 to 3.308)            | 0.000                             |

CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; TPB, theory of planned behaviour.
Having attended only lecture,
that it lasted less than 4 hours, and nearly half reported
to the short duration and poor quality of training. Of
their self-
and skills, future training should also focus on improving
fore, in addition to improving participants’ knowledge
causes secondary injury to the injured person). There-
barrier to performing bystander CPR and 56.4% feared
citing a lack of confidence in their first aid ability as a
lacking confidence in performing bystander CPR (66.6%
control scored the lowest, with respondents generally
low on all three dimensions. Perceived behavioural
perform bystander CPR. However, respondents scored
unwilling to provide
simple help than non-participants; such findings were
unlike other relevant studies, but similar to the results
of the study by Huang et al. Such findings may be related
to the short duration and poor quality of training. Of
those who had attended CPR training, 75.5% reported
that it lasted less than 4 hours, and nearly half reported
having attended only lecture-based training with no
hands-on experience. There is also a need to further
explore whether poor CPR knowledge after training
had led to increased concerns about the possible nega-
tive consequences of providing help and reduced will-
ingness to help. The disappointing CPR training results
may also be due to lack of emphasis on increasing willing-
ness to perform bystander CPR. Respondents
who attended training were more unwilling to provide

| Obstacles                                      | Total, n (%) | Untrained, n (%) | Trained, n (%) | P value |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|
| Lack confidence                                | 751 (66.6)   | 521 (69.6)      | 230 (60.7)    | 0.003   |
| Fear of causing secondary damage to patients   | 636 (56.4)   | 448 (59.8)      | 188 (49.6)    | 0.001   |
| Fear of legal liability                        | 422 (37.4)   | 292 (39.0)      | 130 (34.3)    | 0.125   |
| Fear of infectious diseases                    | 178 (15.8)   | 116 (15.5)      | 62 (16.4)     | 0.705   |
| Fear of public opinion                         | 148 (13.1)   | 102 (13.6)      | 46 (12.1)     | 0.487   |
| Worry about the dangers to oneself during the treatment | 147 (13.0)   | 97 (13.0)       | 50 (13.2)     | 0.909   |
| Fear of scenes of injury or illness            | 142 (12.6)   | 91 (12.1)       | 51 (13.5)     | 0.532   |

CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

assistance with consciousness and breath checks and dial-
ling 120, which may be related to the fact that women
and arts students are more emotional and empathetic. Although science students include some medical students,
evidence shows that CPR knowledge among medical
students is still low. A significant proportion of medical
students did not attend BLS training during their study
period. A recent European Resuscitation Council guid-
ance note strongly promotes strengthening CPR training
for medical undergraduates. Training experience and
high level of knowledge were not influencing factors in
the willingness to perform bystander CPR. Respondents
who attended training were more unwilling to provide

In summary, we suggest that the Chinese government
and social organisations work together to develop a
strategic plan to improve bystander CPR performance,
ocusing on the following areas. First is to improve rele-
vant legislation and close legal loopholes to protect the
legitimate rights and interests of rescuers by introducing
more detailed and operational legal provisions on the
exemption from liability for public first aid. Second is to
create a social atmosphere and culture of encouraging
the public to offer help to those in need and reinforcing
the positive image of performing CPR through various
ways of mass media and internet publicity and educa-
cation campaign. Third is to encourage participation and
standardising training materials by developing stan-
dardised online and offline training courses tailored to
the population’s characteristics, emphasising training
to overcome panic and boost confidence, and incorpo-
rating CPR training and retraining into the school curric-
ulum in a planned manner. Finally, reform emergency
medical rescue dispatch agencies to enhance its func-
tions in instructing bystanders on performing CPR by
video or phone through an app to boost the confidence
of rescuers and reduce panic during implementation.
All these suggestions are in line with the 2020 Interna-
tional Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation
and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment
Recommendations.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. First, this research
was carried out in Chongqing and targeted college
students. Therefore, the results cannot fully repre-
sent the situation in China and other settings. Second,
at present, no existing validated planning behaviour
theory scale is available in the field of CPR and the scale
we have developed may still have inadequacies. Third,
our research was conducted through a questionnaire
survey and the behavioural intentions were measured in
a hypothetical scenario. Thus, the positive behavioural
intentions do not necessarily mean actual occurrence of
the behaviours in the real world. Future research
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should focus on the actual CPR performance and its determinants.

CONCLUSIONS
Knowledge level, training rates and willingness to participate in CPR training were low among college students of Chongqing, China. Behavioural attitudes, subjective norms and perceived behavioural control were positively related to willingness to perform bystander CPR, which was also low among college students. Further improvement strategies such as sound legislation, enhanced training, inclusion of CPR in the school curriculum and reshaping the social and public culture of offering timely help to those in need are recommended.
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