Enhanced production of L-sorbose from D-sorbitol by improving the mRNA abundance of sorbitol dehydrogenase in *Gluconobacter oxydans* WSH-003
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Production of L-sorbose from D-sorbitol by *Gluconobacter oxydans* is the first step to produce L-ascorbic acid on industrial scale. The *sldhAB* gene, which encodes the sorbitol dehydrogenase (SLDH), was overexpressed in an industrial strain *G. oxydans* WSH-003 with a strong promoter, *PtufB*. To enhance the mRNA abundance, a series of artificial poly(A/T) tails were added to the 3′-terminal of *sldhAB* gene. Besides, their role in *sldhAB* overexpression and their subsequent effects on L-sorbose production were investigated.

**Results:** The mRNA abundance of the *sldhAB* gene could be enhanced in *G. oxydans* by suitable poly(A/T) tails. By self-overexpressing the *sldhAB* gene in *G. oxydans* WSH-003 with an optimal poly(A/T) tail under the constitutive promoter *P<sub>lux</sub>*, the titer and the productivity of L-sorbose were enhanced by 36.3% and 25.0%, respectively, in a 1-L fermenter. Immobilization of *G. oxydans*-sldhAB<sub>6</sub> cells further improved the L-sorbose titer by 33.7% after 20 days of semi-continuous fed-batch fermentation.

**Conclusions:** The artificial poly(A/T) tails could significantly enhance the mRNA abundance of the *sldhAB*. Immobilized *G. oxydans*-sldhAB<sub>6</sub> cells could further enlarge the positive effect caused by enhanced mRNA abundance of the *sldhAB*.

**Keywords:** L-ascorbic acid, D-sorbitol dehydrogenase, Poly(A/T) tails, Immobilization, Fed-batch fermentation

**Background**

L-sorbose is an important carbohydrate that is predominantly used as a starting material in the biosynthesis of L-ascorbic acid [1,2]. The most prominent industrial method of producing L-sorbose is the biotransformation of D-sorbitol to L-sorbose in *Gluconobacter* species or *Acetobacter* species [3,4]. During the process, two hydrogen atoms were removed from one molecular of D-sorbitol to form one molecular of L-sorbose. *Gluconobacter oxydans* is widely used in biotransformation due to its ability to incompletely oxidize D-sorbitol, glycerol, and glucose to L-sorbose [5], dihydroxypropanone [6], and gluconic acid [7], respectively. These oxidation reactions are performed by membrane-bound dehydrogenases located on the outer surface of the cytoplasmic membrane, whereas the oxidation products accumulate in the culture medium.

*G. oxydans* WSH-003 and its relative derivative strains are commonly used for the industrial production of L-sorbose, which is the first step in the production of L-ascorbic acid via the two-step fermentation process [8]. The most significant feature of *G. oxydans* WSH-003 is its ability to produce a high titer of L-sorbose. D-sorbitol is oxidized in the periplasm in a chemo-, regio-, and stereoselective manner to L-sorbose by membrane-bound dehydrogenases [9]. The gene clusters encoding D-sorbitol dehydrogenase (*sldhAB*, 2,670 bp) have been identified from the draft genome sequence of *G. oxydans* WSH-003 [8]. For industrial production, it is preferable to engineer *G. oxydans* cells to further enhance their catalytic properties during the biotransformation processes of D-sorbitol.
[6,10,11]. For example, by overexpressing a membrane-bound glycerol dehydrogenase gene in G. oxydans DSM 2343, the titer of dihydroxyacetone from glycerol was enhanced by 75% [10]. This indicated that an engineered G. oxydans strain that overexpresses the sldhAB gene could enhance the L-sorbose production.

To overexpress an enzyme, the stability of the corresponding mRNA of the enzyme plays an important role in the process. Polyadenylation is the process to add a poly(A) tail on the 3′-terminal of a RNA [12]. The poly(A) tail consists of multiple adenosine monophosphates and is a stretch of RNA that has only adenine bases. In eukaryotes, the poly(A) tail could be shortened over time. Once the poly(A) tail is short enough, the mRNA could be enzymatically degraded [13]. In some of eukaryotes, the mRNA with short poly(A) tails could be reactivated by re-polyadenylation in the cytosol [12]. In E. coli mRNA with poly(A) tail could be formed by the activity of poly(A) polymerase [14]. Different from the eukaryotes, some of the previous researches showed that the existence of poly(A) tails may decrease the stability of mRNA [15,16]. However, the current view of mechanism related to the formation and the regulation of poly(A) tails on the mRNA stability or abundance remains to be smatter [17-19].

In this work, the sldhAB gene, which encodes the sorbitol dehydrogenase in G. oxydans, was overexpressed with a strong promoter, P_{naa} [6]. Inspired by the mechanisms from most of the eukaryotes, it is proposed that the mRNA stability could be enhanced by the introduction of extra poly(A) or other similar tails at the 3′-end, such as AAATTT, AAATTTAAA, AAATTTAAATTT, AAATTTAAAAAA and AAAAAAAAAA. The mRNA abundance of the sldhAB gene with suitable poly(A/T) tails in G. oxydans could be significantly enhanced. As a result, both the L-sorbose titer and the stability of the immobilized cells were improved. These results showed that adding some suitable short poly(A/T) tails could significantly improve the mRNA abundance of a specific enzyme, thus facilitate the bioprocesses associated with the enzyme.

Results and discussion
qRT-PCR analysis of the sldhAB gene
In order to identify the role of the poly(A/T) tails in sldhAB expression, qRT-PCR analysis was performed with different engineered G. oxydans strains grown on D-sorbitol to the beginning of the stationary phase. Expression of the sldhAB gene with different tails did not alter the growth phenotype of G. oxydans (data not shown). The sldhAB expression data obtained were normalized to the expression level in the control strain (Figure 1). G. oxydans-sldhAB6, G. oxydans-sldhAB7, and G. oxydans-sldhAB8 achieved the highest expression levels, which were 6.2-fold and 1.7-fold higher than those of the control and G. oxydans-sldhAB without any poly(A/T) tails, respectively. This result showed that the mRNA stability and transcription of the sldhAB gene in G. oxydans could be markedly enhanced by suitable poly(A/T) tails.

The mRNA level in G. oxydans is a dynamic process, which was dominated by both the transcription and mRNA degradation processes. The poly(A) and poly(A/T) tails was located in the downstream of the 3′-UTR and should have minor impact on the expression of mRNA. Therefore, the final mRNA level in vivo is actually dominated by the mRNA degradation process. From this point, the improved mRNA level of sldhAB should be caused by the decreased RNA degradation process. Thus, this process could be directly reflected from the mRNA level (Figure 1). Compared to eukaryotes, prokaryotes have mRNA of lower stability. Degradation of mRNA in prokaryotes is generally attributed to the combined action of endonucleases and 3′-exonucleases [20,21]. Posttranscriptional mRNA processing includes 5′-capping, splicing, and poly-adenylation at the 3′ end, which contribute to mRNA stability and establish the translational efficiency of mRNA in eukaryotes [22-24]. However, posttranscriptional mRNA processing is not completed independently in prokaryotes. Therefore, an artificial poly(A/T) tail was proposed to slow down the mRNA degradation process in bacteria. As expected, the high sldhAB expression levels were achieved when the poly(A/T) tails were linked.

Effects of poly(A/T) tails on enzyme activity
As shown in Figure 2, the specific enzyme activity of SLDH in G. oxydans strains was also enhanced when
different poly(A/T) tails were linked to the sldhAB gene. The highest specific enzyme activity of SLDH was 2.5 U/mg DCW in G. oxydans sldhAB6. This result showed that SLDH activity was increased by 5.3% with the tufB promoter on the expression vector. Moreover, the existence of poly(A/T) tails further enhanced the activity of SLDH. For example, the SLDH activity in G. oxydans-sldhAB6 was improved by 17.1% compared to G. oxydans-sldhAB. Besides, some of other novel constitutive strong promoter may improve the abundance of mRNA level of sldhAB, thus further improved the enzyme activity of SLDH [25].

As expected, the high SLDH activity levels were achieved when the poly(A/T) tails were linked to the sldhAB gene. The enzyme is highly abundant in G. oxydans-sldhAB6, so that the proper poly(A/T) tail of the encoding gene led to an increase of the sldhAB transcription level when the sldhAB gene was overexpressed. The result indicates that the transcription and expression of the sldhAB gene in G. oxydans were markedly enhanced by poly(A/T) tails. The results showed that existence of short poly(A/T) tails on mRNA could significantly enhance the following translational process by enhancing the mRNA stability.

Effects of poly(A/T) tails on L-sorbose production in shake flasks

Biotransformations of D-sorbitol to L-sorbose by engineered G. oxydans strains with the plasmids pBBR-tufB-sldh carrying different poly(A/T) tails were investigated (Figure 3). Overall, the L-sorbose titer from the engineered G. oxydans strains were higher than that of the control strain. The L-sorbose titer of G. oxydans-sldhAB6, G. oxydans-sldhAB7, and G. oxydans-sldhAB8 were significantly higher than those of the other engineered strains. A 15.9% increase in L-sorbose titer was obtained for G. oxydans-sldhAB6, G. oxydans-sldhAB7, and G. oxydans-sldhAB8 compared to the control strain. For the three optimal strains, a 9.1% increase in L-sorbose titer was observed when compared to G. oxydans-sldhAB. The results showed that enhanced expression of the sldhAB gene in G. oxydans due to the introduction of poly(A/T) tails improved the production of L-sorbose from D-sorbitol.

Effects of poly(A/T) tails on L-sorbose production in a 1-L fermenter

In order to investigate the effects of poly(A/T) tails on the biotransformation of D-sorbitol and select the best strain from among G. oxydans-sldhAB6, G. oxydans-sldhAB7, and G. oxydans-sldhAB8 for L-sorbose production, batch fermentations of L-sorbose by the optimal strains were conducted in a 1-L fermenter (Figure 4). The L-sorbose titers of the three engineered strains were about 135.0 g/L after 24 h. At 18 h, a maximal L-sorbose titer was achieved by G. oxydans-sldhAB6, which was 1.4-fold greater than the original strains. Thus, the culture duration that produces the highest L-sorbose titer in G. oxydans-sldhAB6 was shortened by 25.0% compared to the control strain.

Biotransformation of D-sorbitol to L-sorbose by immobilized G. oxydans-sldhAB6

According to previous researches, immobilized G. oxydans cells are ideal for L-sorbose production [26,27]. A similar method that immobilized G. oxydans-sldhAB6 cells was adopted in a 1-L fermenter according to our previous work [26]. Overexpression of sldhAB gene could enhance...
the L-sorbose production (Figure 5A). Overexpression of sldhAB with suitable poly(A/T) tail showed much better results. The L-sorbose titer for G. oxydans-sldhAB reached 135.0 g/L after 24 h, which was 1.4-fold of the G. oxydans WSH-003. Moreover, the fermentation time of the immobilized G. oxydans-sldhAB6 strain was shortened by 33.3% compared to G. oxydans WSH-003 and G. oxydans-sldhAB, showed that the immobilized G. oxydans-sldhAB6 cells were ideal for L-sorbose production. Overexpression of specific gene with strong constitutive promoter may delay the cell growth [27,28]. However, the positive effect of the overexpression was enlarged by immobilization of cells that had already with enhanced D-sorbitol dehydrogenase activity.

Furthermore, to avoid potential delays or inhibition caused by the increased concentration of L-sorbose, an intermittent feeding approach was applied in fed-batch culture. An initial D-sorbitol concentration of 150 g/L was applied. According to the results of Figure 5A, 50 g (G. oxydans WSH-003) or 70 g (G. oxydans-sldhAB6) of D-sorbitol in a total volume of 0.25 L were fed into the 1-L bioreactor every 24 h. Equal volume of the culture broth was removed before the feeding (Figure 5B,C). The long-term performance of immobilized cells in a 1-L fermenter over a period of 20 days was highly stable. Consequently, the maximal L-sorbose titers were verified for G. oxydans-sldhAB6 and G. oxydans WSH-003 at 21 days (Figure 5B,C). Both the D-sorbitol consumption and L-sorbose titer decreased sharply after the 20th day. Compared to G. oxydans WSH-003, the L-sorbose accumulation for G. oxydans-sldhAB6 was improved by 33.7%. The result further demonstrated that the positive effect of the overexpression could be enlarged by immobilization process.
In order to decrease the cost and enhance the production efficiency, oxygen-carriers have been successfully used to enhance the productivity of L-sorbose in batch and fed-batch fermentation [29]. Besides, previous research indicated it was possible to decrease the cost of L-sorbose production by using immobilized \textit{G. oxydans} cells [26,30,31]. However, oxygen-carriers may make downstream processing difficult and the immobilized fermentation requires a longer fermentation period. In this work, enhanced expression of the \textit{sldhAB} gene in \textit{G. oxydans} due to the introduction of poly(A/T) tails improved the production of L-sorbose from D-sorbitol. Besides, the leakage of cells from the beads during repeated usage of immobilized beads should also be monitored to facilitate the downstream process. Compared with the previous strategies, \textit{G. oxydans}-sldhAB6 resulted in a higher productivity without the need for oxygen-carriers. Besides, our method requires a shorter fermentation time than previous research [26,30].

**Conclusions**

In summary, poly(A/T) tails were linked to the gene \textit{sldhAB} to enhance mRNA stability and translational efficiency. The results suggested that the mRNA stability and transcription of the \textit{sldhAB} gene in \textit{G. oxydans} were markedly enhanced by poly(A/T) tails. The L-sorbose titer was 33.7% higher in the immobilized \textit{G. oxydans}-sldhAB6 cells. In addition, fed-batch fermentation with immobilized \textit{G. oxydans}-sldhAB6 cells was examined. The long-term performance of immobilized cells in a 1-L fermenter over a period of 20 days showed that the long-term culture process could further amplify the advantage gained by the presence of the poly(A/T) tails. The L-sorbose titer was improved by 33.7% by the immobilization of \textit{G. oxydans}-sldhAB6 cells. The cost of L-sorbose production by \textit{G. oxydans} can be decreased by using this biotransformation process. Therefore, the high activity and stability of immobilized cells make them ideal for large-scale industrial applications.

**Methods**

**Strains, plasmids, and growth conditions**

\textit{G. oxydans} WSH-003 (CICIM-CU B7004) was obtained from Jiangsu Jiangshan Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd (Jiangsu, China) and stored at −80°C [8]. \textit{G. oxydans} strains were cultivated in D-sorbitol medium (D-sorbitol 150 g/L, yeast extract 6 g/L, CaCO$_3$ 2 g/L), at 30°C, 200 rpm [26]. \textit{Escherichia coli} JM109 and \textit{E. coli} pRK2013 were cultivated at 37°C, 200 rpm on Luria-Bertani medium (yeast extract 5 g/L, tryptone 10 g/L, NaCl 10 g/L) with appropriate antibiotics (50 mg/L of kanamycin and/or 100 mg/L ampicillin). All culture media were sterilized at 121°C for 15 min.

**Overexpression of genes in \textit{G. oxydans} WSH-003**

Primers are designed with Primer Premier 5 (PREMIER Biosoft International, Palo Alto, CA) based on the bio-informatic analysis of the \textit{G. oxydans} WSH-003 genome sequences [8]. The promoter \textit{tufB} [6] and genes \textit{sldhAB} and \textit{sldhAB} with poly(A/T) tails were PCR-amplified with primer pairs \textit{tufB-F}/\textit{tufB-R} and \textit{sldhAB-F}/\textit{sldhAB-R}, respectively (Table 1). The PCR-amplified \textit{tufB}, \textit{sldhAB} fragments and \textit{sldhAB} fragments with poly(A/T) tails were digested and inserted into the \textit{KpnI/Xhol} and \textit{Xhol/EcoRI} site of the broad host spectrum vector pBRR1MCS-2, respectively, resulting in pBRR-\textit{tufB}, pBRR-\textit{tufB}sldhAB and pBRR-\textit{sldhAB1}, pBRR-\textit{sldhAB2}, pBRR-\textit{sldhAB3}, pBRR-\textit{sldhAB4}, pBRR-\textit{sldhAB5}, pBRR-\textit{sldhAB6}, pBRR-\textit{sldhAB7}, and pBRR-\textit{sldhAB8}. The bases of the poly(A/T) tails of pBRR-\textit{sldhAB1} to pBRR-\textit{sldhAB8} are AAA, AAAAA, AAAAAAA, AA ATTT, AAATTTAAA, AAATTTTTATTTT, AAATTTAAA and AAAAAAAAAATT, respectively. Longer poly(A) and poly(A/T) tails, such as AAAAAAAAAAAA, AAATTTTTAAATTTTAAA, AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA, AAAATTTAAATTTATTTTTT, were also attempted. However, due to the difficulties in chemical synthesis of oligonucleotides or PCR amplification caused by the long iterative A/T bases, none of \textit{sldhAB} gene with poly(A) or poly(A/T) tails longer than 12 or 15 bases could be obtained after repeated attempts. All of the vectors were transformed into \textit{G. oxydans} WSH-003 by triparental mating with the helper strain \textit{E. coli} pRK2013 [32]. The transformants were named as \textit{G. oxydans}-sldhAB and \textit{G. oxydans}-sldhAB8, respectively.

**Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)**

Cells at the beginning of the stationary phase (at 24 h) were harvested at room temperature and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells were then stored at −80°C. **Table 1 Primers used in this study**

| Primer | Sequence (5′-3′) |
|--------|-----------------|
| \textit{tufB-F} | GGGGTACCGTACGATGTAAGAAATCCACTGCC |
| \textit{tufB-R} | CCGCTCGAGCTGTTTCTCAGAAAAACCCCGGCTCA |
| \textit{sldhAB-F} | TTTAACCAGCTCGAGGTATTTGGAATATTTCA |
| \textit{sldhAB-R} | CCGGAATTCATCGTGGTTGACGGCATCAG |
| \textit{sldhAB-R1} | CCGGAATTCCTTTGACGCTGAGGCTCACAG |
| \textit{sldhAB-R2} | CCGGAATTCATTTTTGTGGTGGTGGGTCGCA |
| \textit{sldhAB-R3} | CCGGAATTCATTTTTTTGTCGATGGGTCGCA |
| \textit{sldhAB-R4} | CCGGAATTCATTTTTGTCGATGGGTCGCA |
| \textit{sldhAB-R5} | CCGGAATTCATTTTTTGTGTCGATGGGTCGCA |
| \textit{sldhAB-R6} | CCGGAATTCATTTTTTTGTCGATGGGTCGCA |
| \textit{sldhAB-R7} | CCGGAATTCATTTTTTTGTCGATGGGTCGCA |
| \textit{sldhAB-R8} | CCGGAATTCATTTTTTTGTCGATGGGTCGCA |

*Bold: restrict enzyme sites.
until RNA extraction. Total RNA was extracted with RNAiso™ Plus from Takara (Dalian, China). The quantity of total RNA was verified using an Eppendorf Biophotometer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The cDNA was synthesized from the total RNA using a PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (Perfect Real Time) (Takara) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Expression levels of different sldhAB genes encoding for D-sorbitol dehydrogenase (SLDH) were measured. The 16S rRNA gene was used as the internal standard. Primer pair sets for the genes are listed in Table 2. qRT-PCR analysis was performed in 96-well plates on a LightCycler 480 II instrument (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) using double-stranded-DNA-specific fluorochrome SYBR Green I. Amplification was carried out in a 20-μL (final volume) mixture containing 100 ng of cDNA sample, 0.2 μM forward primer, 0.2 μM reverse primer, and 10 μL of SYBR Premix ExTaq (Takara). A negative control with no cDNA added was systematically included. The amplification procedure involved incubation at 95°C for 40 s for the initial denaturation, followed by 40 cycles consisting of denaturation at 95°C for 5 s, annealing/extension at 55°C for 30 s, and cooling at 50°C for 30 s. The threshold cycle (CT) values were determined with LightCycler software (version 3.3) [33].

### Table 2 Oligonucleotides sequence used in qRT-PCR in this study

| Gene     | Primers       | Sequence (5’-3’)                  | Products length (bp) |
|----------|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 16S rRNA | 16S rRNA-F    | GCAGTTGACAGTGTCAGAGT              | 1478                 |
|          | 16S rRNA-R    | GCCTCACCGTCACTAGCG                |                      |
| sldhAB   | sldh-F        | GATCAAGTTCGCGAGTG                 | 2670                 |
|          | sldh-R        | GTTCAGTTGCAATCAGG                 |                      |

### Measurement of enzyme activity

Cells were harvested at the late-log phase by centrifugation at 9,000 g for 10 min. The cells (0.5 g wet weight) were suspended in 1 mL of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and passed through a French pressure cell press at 20,000 psi. After centrifugation to remove intact cells, the supernatant (cell-free extract) was centrifuged at 20,000 g for 1 h. The membrane fraction was resuspended into the above buffer (1 mL) [34].

The basal reaction mixture for assaying SLDH activity consisted of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0), 0.25 mM DCIP, and 0.325 mM phenazine methosulfate (PMS), which was prepared just before the assay. The cuvette had a 1-cm light path and contained 0.8 mL of the basal reaction mixture, 0.2 mL of 0.4 M D-sorbitol, and the enzyme solution plus water, with a total volume of 1.01 mL. The reference cuvette contained all components except the substrate. The reaction was started at 25°C with D-sorbitol, and the enzyme activity was measured as the initial reduction rate of DCIP at 600 nm. One unit of enzyme activity is defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the reduction of 1 mmol of DCIP per minute [35].

### Measurement of L-sorbose and D-sorbitol

Production of D-sorbitol and L-sorbose was evaluated by HPLC (Agilent 1100 series, Santa Clara, CA), with an Aminex HPX-87H column (300 mm × 7.8 mm; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) at 35°C with a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min and 5 mM H2SO4 as the eluent [36].

### Immobilization of G. oxydans

An 18-h culture was harvested during the exponential growth phase and mixed with sodium alginate solution. To prepare the calcium alginate beads, 35 mL of G. oxydans sldhAB6 seed culture were added to sodium alginate solution prepared by dissolving 2.5 g of sodium alginate powder to make a 2.5% (w/v) solution in 100 mL of deionized water. The mixture of sodium alginate and seed culture was passed through a peristaltic pump into a 0.15 M CaCl2 solution. The beads were uniformly packed and stored in CaCl2 solution at 4°C for 3 h and then washed with deionized water to remove residual CaCl2 before fermentation [26].

### Statistical analysis

Student’s t-test was employed to investigate statistical differences, and samples with P <0.05 were considered significant.
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