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**Abstract**

Assessment of forest recovery following disturbance is enhanced by the use of biological indicators. One such indicator, the abundance of understory species, was examined in coast redwood (*Sequoia sempervirens*) forests using non-metric multiple dimensional scaling (NMDS) and indicator species analysis (ISA). Randomly distributed 10 m diameter circular plots were employed to record the abundance of all understory species across three treatments: actively managed (0 - 45 years since harvest); mature second-growth (~80 - 120 years since harvest); and unharvested old-growth stands. NMDS with perMANOVA analysis signified separation between treatments with the shade tolerant herbaceous species *Trillium ovatum*, *Viola sempervirens*, and *Oxalis oregana* positively correlated with mature second-growth and old-growth treatments. ISA supported the inclusion of *T. ovatum*, with the addition of *Prosartes hookeri*, as indicators of mature second-growth and old-growth. Both NMDS and ISA specified associations for *Ceanothus thyrsiflorus* and *Stachys bullata* with actively-managed stands. Occurrence of non-natives was low across treatments, though significantly higher on actively managed stands, with the shade intolerant invasive plants, *Cortaderia* sp. and *Myosotis latifolia*, occurring exclusively in actively-managed sites.
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1. Introduction

Biological indicators have been used to assess health and recovery following dis-
turbance in a variety of ecosystems (Andersen & Sparling, 1997; Coelho et al., 2009; Egli, 2011; Pander & Geist, 2013). Such indicators are identified based on their association with a specific forest type, and dependence on inherent habitat conditions (Mcclelland & Mcclelland, 1999; Drever et al., 2008; Egli, 2011). Re-establishment of herbaceous plants may provide an effective indicator of recovery following logging in coast redwood (Sequoia sempervirens) forests, where understory species are dependent on low levels of disturbance and closed canopy conditions (Runkle, 1982).

The canopy of coast redwood forests is rarely disturbed by natural stand-replacing events, and provides the conditions necessary for dependent herbaceous species to thrive (Van Pelt & Franklin, 2000). The coast redwood understory is dominated by shade-tolerant, moisture dependent species, adapted to a regime of low level infrequent disturbance (Barbour et al., 2001; Busing & Fujimori, 2009; Limm et al., 2009). A gap mosaic, created by occasional tree falls, provides conditions favorable to a few opportunistic generalists, but these gaps close quickly under natural conditions with sub-canopy associates and clonal regeneration from S. sempervirens restoring the canopy (Noss, 1999). A general lack of natural stand-replacing events in this forest type has resulted in a suite of associated species poorly adapted to the frequent, and often intense, disturbances created by industrial timber-harvest practices. In addition, the use of herbaceous understory species as indicators is an attractive option, as much of the plant diversity in coast redwood forests is found in the understory, and many of these species are known to be sensitive to logging (Gilliam, 2007; Loya & Jules, 2008; Russell & Michels, 2010).

While metrics based on total understory cover and richness are often reported in the literature, these measures are fairly consistent between old-growth and second-growth stands; and thus are not as effective as individual understory species metrics which vary significantly based on individual physiological tolerances (Loya & Jules, 2008; Russell & Michels, 2010; Keyes & Teraoka, 2014). Coast redwood associated understory species such as Asarum coudatum (wild ginger), Lysimachia latifolia (pacific star flower), Oxalis oregana (redwood sorrel), Polystichum munitum (western sword fern), Proscartes hookeri (hooker’s fairybells), Trillium ovatum (pacific trillium), and Viola sempervirens (redwood violet) are known to be sensitive to timber harvest, and their abundance has been correlated to forest metrics such as tree density, canopy cover, and tree size (Meier et al., 1995; Gilliam, 2007; Loya & Jules, 2008; Russell, 2009; Russell & Michels, 2010; Russell et al., 2014).

Trillium ovatum, a rhizomaceous perennial herb (Case & Case, 1997), is of particular interest as an indicator species as it is long lived and sensitive to timber management in coast redwood as well as other forest types (Kirschbaum & Anacker, 2005; Keyes & Teraoka, 2014). T. ovatum has been shown to grow preferentially in old-growth, as compared to managed, Douglas-fir forests in Oregon (Jules & Rathcke, 1999; Kahmen & Jules, 2005), and other members of
the genus have been found to have similar relationships to logging in other forest types (Jenkins & Webster, 2009). In the coast redwood forest, greater cover of *T. ovatum* was recorded in old-growth stands as compared to recovering second-growth stands on sites throughout the range, and positive correlations were found between *T. ovatum* cover and time of recovery since the last logging event (Russell, 2009; Russell & Michels, 2010; Russell et al., 2014). In addition, increased abundance of *T. ovatum* was noted in response to distance from timber harvest edges, suggesting that the species is not only sensitive to direct disturbance, but also responds to ambient conditions created by adjacent disturbances (Russell & Jones, 2001). Findings also suggest that level of disturbance is correlated to the cover of *T. ovatum*, with lower harvest intensities supporting greater cover of the species (Petersen, 2014).

Understory plant species have been used as forest recovery indicators in numerous forest communities, but have yet to be studied systematically in coast redwood forests (Duffy & Meier, 1992; McLachlan & Bazely, 2001; Scheller & Mladenhoff, 2002; Honnay et al., 2005). The objective of this study was to analyze the abundance of individual understory species in response to logging history, with the hypothesis that shade tolerant herbaceous species would be more abundant in old-growth and mature second-growth compared to actively managed stands.

### 2. Methods and Materials

#### 2.1. Study Area

The natural range of *Sequoia sempervirens* extends 900 km from the southern border of Oregon to Monterey County in central California in a narrow coastal band 12 to 32 km wide (Lorimer et al., 2009). In the Santa Cruz Mountains, where this study took place, topography is variable with elevations ranging from sea-level to 1154 m. A Mediterranean climate prevails with mild, rainy winters and cool, dry summers mitigated by marine fog. Average annual precipitation ranges from 70 cm to 147 cm at higher elevations. Soils consist primarily of sandstone and limestone formations, and vegetation varies on physiographic gradients between coast redwood, mixed evergreen, closed cone pine, oak woodland, and coastal chaparral (Sawyer et al., 1999). Within coast redwood forests, *S. sempervirens* dominates the overstory with *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Douglas-fir) as a co-dominant in some areas. Subcanopy species typically include *Notholithocarpus densiflorus* (tan-oak) and *Umbellularia californica* (California bay laurel).

Coast redwood is resistant to natural disturbances such as fire and flood, resulting in life spans exceeding 2400 years for some individuals. Coast redwood forests rarely experience natural stand replacing events, and instead follow a gap phase succession model with rare tree falls creating temporary canopy openings. Stand replacement events became prevalent in the coast redwood forest with the commencement of major timber operations in middle of the 19th century.
Much of the Santa Cruz Mountains were clear-cut by the early part of the 20th century resulting in a patchwork of recovering second-growth stands across the landscape, with a few old-growth preserves protected in parks and preserves (Barbour et al., 2001). Timber harvesting has continued into the late 20th and early 21st century, primarily as small group selections on previously cutover sites.

### 2.2. Sampling Strategy

Data was collected in coast redwood forests in the Santa Cruz Mountains of California (Figure 1) between April and July of 2016 within three management treatments: 1) Previously unharvested old-growth (OG) within Big Basin State Park (n = 58); 2) Naturally recovering mature second-growth (MS) stands located in Henry Cowell Redwood State Park between 80 - 130 years old (n = 48); 3) Recently harvested actively-managed (AM) second-growth sites within the San Vicente Redwoods property between 0 - 45 years since harvest (n = 76).

A total of 182 samples were randomly selected using a GIS platform and located in the field using a hand held GPS receiver. In order to minimize edge effects, sample points were located a minimum of 100 m from roads, landings, timber harvest boundaries, and other significant anthropogenic features. Canopy cover (measured with a spherical densitometer), slope, and aspect were recorded at each sample point. A 10 m diameter circular plot, with the center at the sample point, was used to collect data on the percent cover of all woody shrub species; the species and diameter of all live trees (dbh > 4 cm); and the percent cover of all herbaceous understory species.

Variation was detected in stand characteristics between treatments (Table 1). Understory cover, and understory species richness were significantly lower on...
Table 1. Forest structure and composition metrics across three treatments—actively managed (AM); mature second-growth (MS); and old-growth (OG)—with the three most common tree species.

|                        | AM       | MS       | OG       |
|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Canopy cover (%)       | 83.98a   | 76.35a   | 81.20a   |
| Shrub cover (%)        | 16.40a   | 16.61a   | 19.90a   |
| Understory cover (%)   | 16.65a   | 23.24b   | 20.36a   |
| Understory richness (mean/plot) | 3.31 a | 4.32 b | 4.08 b |
| Basal area (m²/plot)   | 11.27a   | 19.75b   | 21.99c   |
| Basal area *Sequoia sempervirens* | 7.75a  | 9.53b   | 17.09c   |
| Basal area *Pseudotsuga menziesii* | 0.38a  | 0.27a   | 0.26a    |
| Basal area *Notholithocarpus densiflorus* | 3.84a  | 4.32a   | 3.27a    |
| Density (stems/plot)   | 4.81a    | 3.40b    | 2.94b    |
| Density *Sequoia sempervirens* | 3.54a  | 2.41a   | 2.16a    |
| Density *Pseudotsuga menziesii* | 0.22a  | 0.12a   | 0.07a    |
| Density *Notholithocarpus densiflorus* | 0.72a  | 0.53a   | 0.93a    |

*Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences between age-classes based of results from single factor ANOVA (p < 0.05).

actively-managed compared to the mature second-growth and old-growth treatments. Total basal area, and the basal area of *S. sempervirens* was progressively higher across treatments (actively-managed, mature second-growth, and old-growth), while stand density was successively lower. All other stand characteristic measured were statistically equivalent between treatments.

2.3. Analytical Methods

Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS), using RStudio version 1.2 statistical software, was used to investigate the relationship between understory species and timber harvest history. Variation in understory species abundance between the treatments was evaluated using Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PerMANOVA), with poc-hoc Bonferroni pairwise analysis. Indicator value indices were derived from multilevel pattern indicator species analysis (ISA). One-way ANOVA (SPSS version 25) was used to compare physiographic characteristics, stand characteristics, and the abundance of species of interest between treatments. Prior to conducting ANOVA, data was tested for homogeneity using the Bartlett’s Chi-Square statistic, and post-hoc analyses was conducted using the Bonferroni test for pair-wise differences between groups.

3. Results

Ordination of species using non-metric multiple dimensional scaling (NMDS) resulted in two convergent solutions with a stress value of 0.138 (Figure 2).
Figure 2. Ordination with non-metric multiple dimensional scaling (NMDS) for three treatments; actively-managed (AM), mature second-growth (MS), and old-growth (OG) in the coast redwood forest in the Santa Cruz Mountains of California.

Analysis with perMANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc analysis indicated significant separation between the three treatments: actively-managed vs. mature second-growth ($R^2 = 0.0457; p = 0.001$); actively-managed vs. old-growth ($R^2 = 0.0549; p = 0.001$); and mature second-growth vs. old-growth ($R^2 = 0.0451; p = 0.002$). The cover of $T. ovatum$, $V. sempervirens$, and $O. oregana$ were all positively correlated along the horizontal axis NMDS1 in the direction of the mature second growth and old-growth clusters. In contrast, a negative correlation was found along the horizontal axis NMDS1 in the direction of the actively managed cluster for $Ceanothus thyrsiflorus$, and $Stachys bullata$.

Indicator species analysis (ISA) indicated that two species were associated with mature second-growth and old-growth forests ($T. ovatum$, and $Prosartes hookeri$). In contrast, $Stachys bullata$ and $Ceanothus thyrsiflorus$ were associated exclusively with actively managed stands. In addition, nine species were associated with mature second-growth, and four were associated with mature second-growth and actively managed stands (Table 2).

Variation across treatments in the percent cover of the four species suggested as potential indicators through ISA and NMDS analyses, supported their association with mature second-growth and old-growth treatments (Figure 3). All
Table 2. Indicator species analysis with 17 species returned out of 39 total (n = 182) across three treatments; actively managed (AM), mature second-growth (MS), and old-growth (OG).

| Species                  | Indicator value | p-value | AM | MS | OG |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|----|----|----|
| Stachys bullata          | 0.440           | 0.006   | x  |    |    |
| Ceanothus thyrsiflorus   | 0.390           | 0.002   | x  |    |    |
| Pteridium aquilinum      | 0.635           | 0.001   | x  | x  |    |
| Rubus ursinus            | 0.572           | 0.001   | x  | x  |    |
| Toxicodendron diversilobum | 0.531        | 0.001   | x  |    | x  |
| Aralia californica       | 0.340           | 0.022   | x  | x  |    |
| Oxalis oregana           | 0.713           | 0.001   |    | x  |    |
| Viola sempervirens       | 0.388           | 0.006   | x  |    |    |
| Smilacina racemosa       | 0.375           | 0.001   | x  |    |    |
| Asarum caudatum          | 0.334           | 0.002   | x  |    |    |
| Corylus cornuta          | 0.280           | 0.006   | x  |    |    |
| Frangula californica     | 0.253           | 0.036   | x  |    |    |
| Dryopteris argute        | 0.243           | 0.012   | x  |    |    |
| Cynoglossum grande       | 0.198           | 0.041   | x  |    |    |
| Heuchera micrantha       | 0.198           | 0.044   | x  |    |    |
| Trillium ovatum          | 0.585           | 0.001   | x  | x  |    |
| Prosartes hookerii       | 0.420           | 0.003   | x  | x  |    |

Figure 3. Average % cover (±SE) of four herbaceous understory species across four treatments in the Santa Cruz Mountains of California. Columns sharing the same lower case letters did not exhibit significant differences based on ANOVA results (p > 0.05).
four species exhibited progressively higher percent cover from actively-managed, to mature-second-growth, and old-growth stands. The abundance of *P. hookerii* was relatively low across all treatments, and the distribution patchy, resulting in a high degree of variation. The frequency of occurrence of *T. ovatum* and *V. sempervirens* was moderate, but both species exhibited strong preferences for mature second-growth and old-growth stands. *Oxalis oregana* exhibited the highest frequency of occurrence of the four indicators, as well as an increases abundance across treatments.

Four non-native plants were recorded within the treatment areas including *Cortaderia* sp. (pampas grass), *Epipactis helleborine* (broadleaf helleborine), *Hedera* sp. (ivy), and *Myosotis latifolia* (forget-me-not) (*Figure 4*). *M. latifolia* and *Cortaderia* sp, both shade intolerant plants, were encountered exclusively in actively-managed stands, while the other two plants, *Hedera* sp., and *E. helleborine* (a shade tolerant orchid), were encountered at low levels on mature second-growth and old-growth sites.

4. Discussion

Herbaceous understory species have been found to be effective metrics of forest recovery in a variety of forest types (Duffy & Meier, 1992; Honnay et al., 2005; Scheller & Mladenhoff, 2002; Ellum, 2009), and the coast redwood forest appears to be no exception. Four shade tolerant herbaceous species, *O. oregana*, *P. hookerii*, *T. ovatum*, and *V. sempervirens*, exhibited strong associations with old-growth and mature second-growth forest conditions. The use of total understory cover, and understory richness as indicators of recovery was not supported by this research, as these measures were fairly consistent between treatments. The use of individual species that had demonstrated associations with old-forests was supported, though the selected species may vary across the geographic range of the forest type (Barbour et al., 2007).

*Figure 4*. The mean percent cover of four non-native understory plants across three treatments; actively managed (AM), mature second-growth (MS), and old-growth (OG). Columns sharing the same lower case letters did not exhibit significant differences in combined non-native cover, based on results from single factor ANOVA (*p* < 0.05).
Oxalis oregana is prevalent throughout the coast redwood range, and has been recorded as an indicator of coastal forest associations across the Pacific coast of North America (Steinblums et al., 1984; Lesher & Henderson, 1992; Keyes & Teraoka, 2014). Viola sempervirens has also been recorded as an indicator in coastal forests in North America from British Colombia to California (Lesher & Henderson, 1992; Ceska & Scagel, 2011). A modest body of literature supports the use of P. hookeri as an indicator species (Kremsater et al., 2003) and the results of this study indicate that the species has a clear affinity for old forest conditions, but low abundance and patchy distribution has reduced its’ practicality as an indicator. Among the four herbaceous understory species designated as potential recovery metrics in this study, only T. ovatum was supported by both the NMDS and ISA analyses. In addition, a significant body of literature exists on the preference of T. ovatum for older forests, its sensitivity to logging, and its trajectory of recovery (Jules & Rathcke, 1999; Kahmen & Jules, 2005; Jenkins & Webster, 2009). The individual growth form, size, and visibility of this species also facilitate rapid population counts, which provides a more precise measure than cover estimates. In addition, the species exhibits unique growth forms during different life stages including: cotyledon, one-leaf vegetative, three leaf vegetative (juvenile), and reproductive stages, which could lead to more nuanced analysis of T. ovatum populations (Kawano et al., 1992; Kahmen & Jules, 2005). The presence of T. ovatum specimens in later life stages, for example, may suggest a lack of disturbance, as the species does not reach the reproductive stage for at least 18 years (Ream, 2011).

Non-native understory species are rare in most coast redwood forests, except in response to human disturbance (Russell & Michels, 2010). In this study Cortaderia sp. and M. latifolia were only recorded in actively managed stands. Both species are shade intolerant, and require persistent, or severe, disturbance in order to persist in closed canopy forests (Lambrinos, 2001; Blair et al., 2010). Similarly, ivy species such as Hedera helix and Hedera canariensis, tend only to persist in areas of significant edge influence (Chen et al., 1992). Of the four species encountered in this study, only E. helleborine, a shade tolerant orchid, was present in old-forests, and this species poses little threat as a competitor with other understory herbs (Kolanowska, 2013). The inability of most understory exotics to persist in naturally recovering coast redwood forests was supported by studies throughout the range, with virtually all non-natives dropping out in the early stages of natural recovery (Russell & Jones, 2001; Russell & Michels, 2010). The closing of the canopy in the first decades of forest recovery process appears to effectively eliminate the ability for sun loving opportunists to survive. And while non-native species may not be preferred indicators of long term recovery, they may be effective as metrics for assessing disturbance in stands that are receiving repeated management inputs, such as restorative thinning (Oliver et al., 1994; O’Hara et al., 2015).

While extraordinary efforts are underway to preserve, conserve, and restore
coast redwood forest ecosystems, simple and uniform metrics for monitoring the effectiveness of these efforts have yet to be developed. Metrics based on the cover of coast redwood associated herbaceous understory species, such as *Trillium ovatum*, could be effective in this regard. *T. ovatum* has advantages as individual counts can be accomplished readily, resulting in a density measure, which is more precise than cover estimates (Floyd, 1987). And while there is a temptation to employ more general understory metrics such as total understory cover, or understory richness, these metrics can be misleading in terms of forest recovery, as the understory community as a whole includes coast redwood associated understory species as well as generalists, early successional, and even non-native species (Chittick & Keyes, 2007).
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