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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of the C$_5$H$^+$ cation toward TMC-1 with the QUIJOTE$^1$ line survey. Four lines from J=7-6 up to J=10-9 have been identified in perfect harmonic frequency relation that can be fit with $B=2411.94397 \pm 0.00055$ MHz and $D=138 \pm 3$ Hz. The standard deviation of the fit is 4.4 kHz. After discarding potential candidates, C$_5$H$^+$ among them, we conclude that the carrier is C$_3$H$^+$, for which accurate ab initio calculations provide $B=2410.3$ MHz. We also report for the first time in a cold starless core the detection of the C$_3$H$^+$ cation. The column densities we derive for C$_5$H$^+$ and C$_3$H$^+$ are $(8.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $(2.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$, respectively. Hence, the C$_5$H$^+$/C$_3$H$^+$ abundance ratio is $3.7 \pm 0.5$. The fact that C$_3$H$^+$ is more abundant than C$_5$H$^+$ is well explained by dedicated chemical models and is due to the slow reactivity of C$_5$H$^+$ with H$_2$, while C$_3$H$^+$ reacts with H$_2$.
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1. Introduction

The QUIJOTE$^1$ line survey of TMC-1 (Cernicharo et al. 2021a) performed with the Yebes 40m radio telescope has permitted detection of nearly 27 new molecular species in the last months, most of them hydrocarbons and cycles, indene among them (see, e.g., Agúndez et al. 2021; Cernicharo et al. 2021b,c and references therein). QUIJOTE has now reached a level of sensitivity that permits performing rotational spectroscopy of unknown species in space. Several molecules have been detected in this source that lack previous rotational spectroscopic laboratory information. Based on spectral patterns found in the data that can be assigned to linear or asymmetric species, and with the help of a high level of theory quantum chemical calculations, we have discovered molecules such as HC$_5$NH$^+$ (Marcelino et al. 2020), HC$_5$O$^+$ (Cernicharo et al. 2020a), HC$_5$S$^+$ (Cernicharo et al. 2021a), CH$_3$CO$^+$ (Cernicharo et al. 2021e), HCCS$^+$ (Cabezas et al. 2021a), and HC$_5$NH$^+$ (Cabezas et al. 2022).

TMC-1 and IRC+10216 are the sources in which all known C$_n$H$^+$ anions in space have been detected (McCarthy et al. 2006; Cernicharo et al. 2007; Brüken et al. 2007; Kawaguchi et al. 2007; Remijan et al. 2007). Nitrile anions CN$^+$, C$_3$N$^+$ and C$_5$N$^+$ were first detected in the circumstellar envelope of IRC+10216 (Agúndez et al. 2010; Thaddeus et al. 2008). Only with the sensitivity of QUIJOTE has it been possible to detect the anions C$_1$N$^+$ and C$_3$N$^+$ in TMC-1 (Cernicharo et al. 2020b). The detection of new cations and anions is an astounding source of information with which chemical models can be improved and insights into the chemical paths can be gained that lead to their formation.

In this Letter we report the discovery of four strong lines in TMC-1, with a perfect harmonic relation, that we assign to the C$_3$H$^+$ cation. The only alternative plausible candidate, C$_5$H$^+$, has been ruled out on the basis of accurate ab initio calculations and the lack of emission of these lines in IRC$+$10216. In addition, we report for the first time the detection of the C$_3$H$^+$ cation in a starless core. C$_3$H$^+$ was previously detected only toward PDRs (Pety et al. 2012; McGuire et al. 2014; Quadrado et al. 2015; Guzmán et al. 2015), Sgr B2 (McGuire et al. 2013), diffuse clouds (Gerr et al. 2019), and the $z=0.89$ source PKS B30-211 (Tercero et al. 2020). A previous claim of detection of a line of C$_3$H$^+$ in absorption toward TMC-1 (McGuire et al. 2013) is ruled out by our sensitive QUIJOTE observations, which clearly show this line to be in emission. We use dedicated chemical models to compare the expected abundances with the observed ones and obtain excellent agreement between models and observations.

2. Observations

New receivers, built within the Nanocosmos project$^2$ and installed at the Yebes 40m radiotelescope, were used for the observations of TMC-1 ($\alpha_{2000} = 4^h41^m41.9^s$ and $\delta_{2000} = -20\degree$).
The receiver consists of two cold high electron mobility transistor amplifiers covering the 31.0-50.3 GHz band with horizontal and vertical polarizations. Receiver temperatures in the runs achieved during 2020 vary from 22 K at 32 GHz to 42 K at 50 GHz. Some power adaptation in the down-conversion chains reduced the receiver temperatures during 2021 to 16 K at 32 GHz and 25 K at 50 GHz. The backends are 2 × 8 × 2.5 GHz fast-Fourier transform spectrometers with a spectral resolution of 38.15 kHz providing the whole coverage of the Q band in both polarizations. All observations were performed in frequency-switching mode with frequency throws of 8 and 10 MHz. The main beam efficiency varies from 0.6 at 32 GHz to 0.43 at 50 GHz. The intensity scale used in this work, antenna temperature ($T_A$), was calibrated using two absorbers at different temperatures and the atmospheric transmission model ATM (Cernicharo 1985; Pardo et al. 2001). Calibration uncertainties were adopted to be 10%. All data were analyzed using the GILDAS package. Details of the QUIJOTE line survey are provided by Cernicharo et al. (2021a). The data presented here correspond to 238 hours of observing time on the source.

3. Results

Line identification in this work was done using the catalogs MADEX (Cernicharo 2012), CDMS (Müller et al. 2005), and JPL (Pickett et al. 1998). By December 2021, the MADEX code contained 17,272 spectral entries corresponding to the ground and vibrationally excited states, together with the corresponding isotopologs, of 1727 molecules.

With the current level of sensitivity of QUIJOTE, we have detected 1591 features above the 1 mK level (5 σ or below 42 GHz). Of these lines, 188 remain unidentified. We note, however, that the number of unknown spectral features above the 3σ level is much larger. Future improved QUIJOTE data will permit us to confirm them. Only four of these unidentified lines have intensities above 3 mK. The frequencies of these four lines are, in addition, in perfect harmonic relation with $J_1=7$, 8, 9, 10. They are shown in Fig. 1 and their line parameters are given in Table 1. They do not show any hyperfine structure, and no other nearby lines are present with similar intensities. This discards a symmetric rotor, or a linear radical, as possible carriers. This is therefore a linear molecule with a $\Sigma$ ground electronic state, or with a slightly asymmetric rotor with electronic state $^1A$. By fitting the observed frequencies to the standard Hamiltonian for a linear molecule ($\nu(J \rightarrow J-1) = 2B_0(J-\frac{3}{2})$), we derived $B_0=2411.94397\pm0.00055$ MHz and $D_0=138.3$ Hz. The standard deviation of the fit is 4.4 kHz. The possibility that these four strong lines appear by chance in harmonic relation with this precision is negligible. This means that we have detected a new molecular species in TMC-1.

The rotational constants $B$ and $D$ of the new species are very close to those of C$_2$H$_4$, for which $B=2395.131\pm0.001$ MHz and $D=127.41\pm0.03$ Hz (Cernicharo et al. 1986a, 1987; Gottlieb et al. 1986; McCarthy et al. 1999). The lack of line or hyperfine structure permits us to exclude radical molecules. Nevertheless, and in order to explore what type of candidates could fit the observed $B$ and $D$, we note that C$_2$H$_4$ has a rotational constant of 2424.36 MHz, but its ground electronic state is $^1\Sigma$ (McCarthy et al. 2003). For C$_2$O, the rotational constant is 2351.26 MHz, but its electronic ground state is $^\Sigma$ (Oshima et al. 1995). Finally, the HC$_3$O species is also a radical, with a rotational constant of 2279.91 MHz (Kohguchi et al. 1994). The rotational constant of species containing a sulphur atom such as HC$_3$S$^+$ is too large, $B=2735.46$ MHz (Cernicharo et al. 2021d). The same holds for NNCS, which is a bent radical with (B+C)/2=2829.36 MHz (Nakajima et al. 2003). Concerning asymmetric species, HNCSS has been calculated to have an electronic ground state $^1A$ (Gronowski & Kolos 2015), and it is an isomer 147 kJ/mol above of HCCN, a molecule recently detected in TMC-1 with QUIJOTE (Cernicharo et al. 2021b). However, although it is slightly asymmetric ($A=617.9$ GHz), the value calculated by Gronowski & Kolos (2015) for (B+C)/2 is 2563 MHz, which represents a deviation of 6.3% with respect to the rotational constant of 2279.91 MHz (Kohguchi et al. 1994). The rotational constant of species containing a sulphur atom such as HC$_3$S$^+$ is too large, $B=2735.46$ MHz (Cernicharo et al. 2021d).
stant of our species. The possibility that the lines belong to an isotopolog $^{13}$C, $^{33}$S, or D of an abundant species harboring line intensities above 300 mK (corresponding to a $^{13}$C substitution) or 100 mK (for a $^{34}$S or D substitution) is discarded from the analysis of all abundant species that were previously identified in the QUIJOTE data. It therefore appears that the carrier of these lines must be either C$_5$H$^+$ and C$_5$H$^-$ as all the other plausible candidates with O or N are open shell species. It might be argued that the carrier could be one of the anions of these radicals. However, none of the neutral radical species quoted previously has been detected in TMC-1. Moreover, molecules with a single sulphur atom do not fit the derived rotational constant.

Quantum chemical calculations by Botschwina [1991] provided a rotational constant for C$_5$H$^+$ of B$_r$=2405±5 MHz, and a proton affinity for C$_5$ of 860±5 kJ/mol. A value of B$_e$ for this species of ~2420 MHz was obtained by Aoki [2014]. More recent calculations by Bennedjai et al. [2019] provided a value for B$_e$ of 2391.7 MHz. To obtain accurate spectroscopic parameters, we performed ab initio calculations for C$_5$H$^+$ and also for the C$_5$H species, for which the rotational parameters were experimentally determined. In this manner, we can scale the calculated values for the C$_5$H$^+$ species using experimental/theoretical ratios derived for the related species C$_5$H. This procedure has been found to provide rotational constants with an accuracy better than 0.1% (e.g., Cabezas et al. [2016]). The geometry optimization calculations for all the species were made using the coupled cluster method with single, double, and perturbative triple excitations with an explicitly correlated approximation (CCSD(T)-F12 [Knizia et al. 2009]) and all electrons (valence and core) correlated together with the Dunning correlation consistent basis sets with polarization core-valence correlation triple-ζ for explicitly correlated calculations (cc-pVTZ; Hill et al. 2010). These calculations were carried out using the Molpro 2020.2 program [Werner et al. 2021]. The values for the centrifugal distortion constants were obtained using harmonic vibrational frequency calculations, with the second-order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory method (MP2; Møller & Plesset 1934) and the correlation consistent with polarized valence triple-ζ basis set (cc-pVTZ; Woon & Dunning 1993). These calculations were carried out using the Gaussian09 program [Frisch et al. 2016]. The derived results are presented in Table 2.

The comparison of the calculated and experimental rotational constant for C$_5$H$^+$ species reveals the good accuracy of the ab initio calculations we employed. The difference between the calculated B$_e$ value and the experimental value is about 0.2% for C$_5$H. The scaled value for C$_5$H$^+$ is 2410.3 MHz, which matches the observed rotational constant very well, the difference is 0.07%. The scaled value for the distortion constant C$_5$H$^+$ also reproduces the D value derived from the TMC-1 lines remarkably well. Hence, our ab initio calculations provide conclusive arguments for the spectroscopic identification of C$_5$H$^+$ using our sensitive QUIJOTE survey of TMC-1. Using the B$_e$ value calculated by Bennedjai et al. [2019] for C$_5$H, and correcting B$_e$ of C$_5$H$^+$ by their ratio B$_e$(C$_5$H$^+$)/B$_e$(C$_5$H), we found B$_e$(C$_5$H$^+$) ~ 2408.3 MHz, which also agrees well with our experimental rotational constant.

The other species that might fit the observed rotational constant is C$_5$H$^-$. However, there is a significant controversy about the nature of the ground state of this species. Two-color photodetachment studies by Tulej et al. [2011] suggested that the ground state of C$_5$H$^-$ is a linear state of C$_5$H$^-$ with a linear structure and a rotational constant of 2476.3±6 MHz. In addition, the reported experimental value for the C$_5$H$^-$ (1Σ$^-$) species differs by more than 100 MHz from the predicted values obtained by Bennedjai et al. [2019] and our scaled value (Table 2). Moreover, if that assignment were correct, C$_5$H$^-$ could not be the carrier of the observed lines as significant hyperfine structure is expected due to the spin 1/2 of H and the low spin-spin interaction constant derived, $λ$=7200±4500 MHz. Consequently, the transitions with $J_u = N_u + 1$ and $J_d = N_d - 1$ will be close to those corresponding to $J_u = N_u$, and the $J_d = N_d + 1$ lines should be stronger than those with $J_d = N_d$. No lines with such a pattern are observed in our survey. Hence, we discard this putative state of C$_5$H$^-$ as the carrier of our lines. There is also an additional caveat for this triplet linear C$_5$H$^-$. All linear anions detected so far in space have B(anion)<B(neutral). However, for the molecule observed by Tulej et al. [2011], the situation is the opposite, B(anion)>B(neutral). Additional controversy arises from the recent calculations of Bennedjai et al. [2019]. They concluded that the ground electronic state of C$_5$H$^-$ contains a C$_3$ ring with very different rotational constants than those ob-

---

**Table 1.** Observed lines of C$_5$H$^+$ in TMC-1.

| Transition | $ν_{obs}^a$ | $ν_{obs} - ν_{cal}^b$ | $|T_A^c| dv$ | $Δν^d$ | $T_e^e$ |
|-----------|------------|-------------------|----------|--------|---------|
| 7 - 6     | 33767.026±0.005 | -0.2 | 2.87±0.18 | 0.74±0.06 | 3.65±0.16 |
| 8 - 7     | 38590.818±0.005 | -2.8 | 2.60±0.12 | 0.67±0.04 | 3.66±0.17 |
| 9 - 8     | 43414.594±0.005 | 5.1 | 3.39±0.17 | 0.69±0.04 | 4.60±0.21 |
| 10 - 9    | 48238.325±0.005 | -2.2 | 2.28±0.24 | 0.54±0.07 | 3.98±0.41 |

---

Notes.

(a) Observed frequency assuming a $ν_{13}$ of 5.83 km s$^{-1}$. (b) Observed minus calculated frequencies in kHz. (c) Integrated line intensity in mK km s$^{-1}$. (d) Line width at half-intensity derived by fitting a Gaussian function to the observed line profile (in km s$^{-1}$). (e) Antenna temperature in millikelvin.

---

![Fig. 2.](image-url) Observed J=2-1 line of C$_5$H$^+$ toward TMC-1. The abscissa corresponds to the rest frequency assuming a local standard of rest velocity of 5.83 km s$^{-1}$. The ordinate is the antenna temperature corrected for atmospheric and telescope losses in mK. The red line shows the synthetic spectrum derived for T$_{mb}$=6 K and N(C$_5$H$^+$)=2.4×10$^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$.
Table 2. Theoretical spectroscopic parameters for the different molecular candidates for the observed lines in TMC-1 (all in MHz).

| Parameter | TMC-1 | C_{3}H^{+} (Σ) | C_{3}H (Σ) | C_{4}H (Σ) |
|-----------|-------|----------------|------------|------------|
| B_{p}     | 2411.94 (55) | 2404.2 102 127 | 2366.4 97.9 121 | 2398.5 101 126 |
| D x 10^{-6} | 138 | 127 | 121 | 126 |

**Notes.** (a) Parameters derived using the TMC-1 frequencies from Table 1. (b) This work: see text. (c) This work: calculated by the ratio Exp/Calc. of the corresponding parameter for C_{3}H species. (d) Cernicharo et al. (1986a,b, 1987), Gottlieb et al. (1986), McCarthy et al. (1999), and (e) (B + C)/2.

versed. In addition, they also provided strong arguments against the viability of the triplet linear form as it is very dependent on the electron correlation energy, which denotes instability for this state. Hence, the ring ground electronic state of C_{3}H^{+}, although potentially expected in TMC-1, is not the carrier of our lines. We note, however, that they also computed a second slightly asymmetric isomer for this anion. It is 0.7 eV above the ring structure, with rotational constants A_{0} ~ 793 GHz, and (B + C)/2 ~ 2395.2 MHz. This bent 'A' isomer could also fit the observed rotational constant. Our calculations predict a scaled value for (B + C)/2 ~ 2395.5 MHz (see Table 2).

All the anions that were detected in TMC-1 were also observed in the envelope of the carbon-rich star IRC+10216. We have explored the recent survey of this source performed with the Yebes 40m radio telescope (Pardo et al. 2021) and found no emission at the frequencies of the four lines of TMC-1. We also searched in the available data from the IRAM 30m telescope at 3mm and 2mm for lines in these ranges without success. Although this is not a definitive argument, it is an important drawback for bent-C_{3}H^{+} (A) being the carrier of the lines. Finally, although C_{3}H^{+} has been found only in PDRs and diffuse media so far (Pety et al. 2012; McGuire et al. 2013, 2014; Cuadrado et al. 2015; Gózmann et al. 2015; Gerin et al. 2019; Tercero et al. 2020), its presence in TMC-1 could be a solid argument to assign C_{3}H^{+} as carrier of the U-lines. Using the QUIJOTE data, a very nicely detected line (S/N ~ 10) appears just at the predicted frequency of the J = 2-1 transition of C_{3}H^{+}. The line is shown in Fig. 2. This represents the first detection of this cation in a cold starless core and provides key information about the chemistry of C_{3}H^{+} in cold and dense environments. Moreover, the observation of the J = 2-1 line of C_{3}H^{+} in emission rules out a previous tentative identification of this species in TMC-1 based on a noisy absorption feature at the frequency of this same transition (McGuire et al. 2013).

Adopting C_{3}H^{+} as carrier of the U-lines, a model line profile fitting procedure provides a rotational temperature of 8±1K and a column density of (8.8±0.5)×10^{10} cm^{-2}. We assumed a dipole moment value of 2.88 D (Boitschina 1991). The computed synthetic line spectra are shown in Fig. 1 and fit the observed line intensities and profiles very well. We adopted a line width of 0.6 km s^{-1} and a uniform brightness source of diameter 80" (Posse et al. 2001). For C_{3}H^{+} we have only one line, and we adopted a rotational temperature of 6K, which provides a column density for this species of (2.4±0.2)×10^{10} cm^{-2}. When we assume a column density for H_{2} of 10^{25} cm^{-2} (Cernicharo & Güélin 1987), the abundances of C_{3}H^{+} and C_{3}H^{+} are (8.8±0.5)×10^{-12} and (2.4±0.2)×10^{-12}, respectively. The abundance ratio of the two species is 3.5±0.5.

4. Discussion

To investigate the chemistry behind the formation of C_{3}H^{+} and C_{3}H^{+}, we built a pseudo-time-dependent gas-phase chemical model of a cold dark cloud using a chemical network largely based on the RATE12 network from the UMIST database (McElroy et al. 2013). The model is similar to the models presented by Agúndez et al. (2015), Marcelino et al. (2020), and Cabezas et al. (2022). The results from the chemical model are shown in Fig. 3 where one should focus on the so-called early time (10^{5}-10^{6} yr), at which calculated and observed abundances in TMC-1 show the best overall agreement (e.g., Agúndez & Wakelam 2013). The pure carbon clusters with an odd number of carbon atoms C_{3}, C_{5}, and C_{7} are calculated to be abundant. In particular, C_{3} is predicted to be very abundant, with a peak abundance as high as ~ 10^{-3} relative to H_{2}. The carbon clusters C_{5} and C_{7} have calculated peak abundances in the range 10^{-6}-10^{-8} relative to H_{2}. For these neutral carbon clusters, the chemical model indicates that the larger the size, the lower the abundance. In the case of the ions C_{3}H^{+}, C_{5}H^{+}, and C_{7}H^{+}, which can be seen as the protonated forms of C_{3}, C_{5}, and C_{7}, respectively, their calculated early-time abundances do not show the trend of decreasing abundance with increasing size. In fact, the smallest member, C_{3}H^{+}, has the lowest calculated abundance, while the medium-sized ion C_{5}H^{+} shows the highest abundance. This is in agreement with the observations of TMC-1, which indicate that C_{3}H^{+} is more abundant than C_{5}H^{+}. The underlying reason of this behavior is the different reactivity of C_{3}H^{+} and C_{5}H^{+} with H_{2}.

In a simplified chemical scheme, protonated molecules are mostly formed by proton transfer to the corresponding neutral counterpart, while they are mainly destroyed through dissociative recombination with electrons (e.g., Agúndez et al. 2015). However, in the case of the protonated forms of the carbon clusters C_{3}, C_{5}, and C_{7}, the behavior is somewhat different. Moreover, the chemical processes of formation and destruction are very different for C_{3}H^{+} and for the larger analogs C_{5}H^{+} and C_{7}H^{+}. The underlying reasons are that the abundance of C_{3} is far higher than that of C_{5} and C_{7}, and the reactivity of C_{3}H^{+} with H_{2} is far stronger than that of C_{5}H^{+} and C_{7}H^{+}. According to the chemical model, C_{3}H^{+} is mainly formed through proton transfer from HCO^{+} and H_{2}O to C_{3}, following the usual pathway of many other protonated molecules. However, the main destruction process of C_{3}H^{+} does not involve the dissociative recombination with electrons, but the reaction with H_{2}. This reaction occurs fast through radiative association, leading to the linear and cyclic isomers of the ion C_{3}H_{2}^{+}, which are key intermediates in the synthesis of C_{3}H_{2} isomers (see, e.g., Loison et al. 2017). In the cases of C_{5}H^{+} and C_{7}H^{+}, the main formation pathways do not involve proton transfer to C_{3} and C_{5}, but other ion-neutral reactions such as C^{+} + H_{2}, C^{+} + C_{5}H_{2}, and C^{+} + C_{7}H_{2} in the case of C_{5}H^{+}, and C_{3}^{+} + H_{2}, C_{3}^{+} + C_{5}H_{2}, and C_{3}^{+} + C_{7}H_{2} for C_{7}H^{+}. In the case of C_{3}H^{+}, the high abundance of C_{3} makes the route involving proton transfer very efficient, while the lower abundances of C_{5} and C_{7} make the proton transfer pathways less efficient than other ion-neutral routes. The destruction of C_{5}H^{+} and C_{7}H^{+} is different from that of C_{3}H^{+} because the former shows a much lower reactivity with H_{2} than the latter. As a consequence, C_{3}H^{+}. 
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and C3H+ are mostly destroyed through dissociative recombination with electrons and reaction with neutral atoms, as occurs for many other protonated molecules. The different chemistry of C2H+, compared to that of C3H+ and C2H+ directly translates into the calculated abundances and neutral-to-protonated abundance ratios. While the calculated C3/CH2+ and C3/C2H+ ratios are in the range 1-10\(^7\) found for other protonated molecules (e.g., Agúndez et al. 2015, Cernicharo et al. 2020a, Cabezas et al. 2022), the calculated C4/CH3+ is much higher (see the top panel in Fig. 3). Moreover, the calculated abundance of C2H+ remains below that of C3H+. It is worth noting, however, that in spite of the reactivity of C2H+ with H2, its calculated abundance does not drop to negligible levels because the abundance of its main precursor, the carbon cluster C3, is very high.

Last, if we trust the chemical model, then it is predicted that C3H+ should be present in TMC-1 as well, with an abundance a few times below that of C4H+. The current sensitivity of the QUIJOTE line survey, however, does not allow us to identify C3H+, but the improvement in the sensitivity planned for the coming years could allow us to detect this species and confirm the above chemical scenario.

5. Conclusions

We reported the discovery of the cation C3H+ in TMC-1. We also reported the first detection of the cation C3H+ in a cold starless core. It was previously only observed in the direction of PDRs and diffuse interstellar clouds. The assignment of the four observed, harmonically related lines, to C3H+ was based on accurate ab initio calculations, which permit us to rule out C3H+ as the possible carrier of these lines. Our chemical model reproduces the observations satisfactorily and explains the lower abundance of C3H+ with respect to C2H+ as due to the much higher reactivity of the former with respect to the latter. C2H+ is predicted to have an abundance a few times below that of C3H+.

It might therefore be detectable with future QUIJOTE data with a higher signal-to-noise ratio.
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