Evaluation of CD68 in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma and their Relation with Clinicopathological Parameters – An Immunohistochemical Study
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Abstract

Macrophages are important cells for the innate immunity. Circulating monocytes are attracted to tissues by chemotactic factors and become macrophages under the influence of their microenvironment. Several studies have shown that macrophages are important to the prognosis of patients with different types of cancer. The aim of this study was to evaluate the expression of CD68 in OSCC patients and to investigate the possible relationship of macrophages using CD68 in various histopathological grades, stages and other clinical parameters of OSCC. Preoperative diagnosed specimens (n=30) were processed for immunohistochemistry to detect CD68 positive cells. Expression of macrophages was semiquantitatively analyzed. Immunohistochemical study show expression of CD68 were observed in all studying samples of oral squamous cell carcinoma. However, statistically non-significant correlation was found between the mean expression level of these infiltrates macrophages with clinicopathological findings of OSCC expect with gender.
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Introduction

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) accounts for about 90% of all oral neoplasms (1). Despite advanced therapeutic approaches, the 5 years survival rate of patients with OSCC remains <50%, mainly due to the presence of regional lymph node metastasis (2).

Like other cancer types, OSCC have a complex tumor microenvironment (TME) with the presence of several stromal cells that might be associated to tumor aggressiveness and the resistance and failure to anti-neoplastic treatment (4, 5).

Among immune cells, tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) are the most abundant
and important stromal cells in the TME (6). TAMs are considered important players in tumor progression and are related to proliferation and survival of tumor cells, angiogenesis, invasion of surrounding tissues and metastasis (7).

Tumor microenvironment is a complex system where tumor cells reprogram stromal cells for their own benefit. From the ten hallmarks of cancer described by Hanahan and Weinberg (2011), these reprogramed cells contribute to at least seven hallmarks: sustaining proliferative signaling, evading growth suppressors, avoiding immune destruction, deregulating cellular energetics, resisting cell death, inducing angiogenesis and activating invasion and metastasis. For instance, during oral squamous cell carcinoma progression, the reprogramming of stromal cells already starts in potentially malignant disorders, where altered non-neoplastic cells induce angiogenesis and modifications in immune cells in the adjacent connective tissue. When these altered non-neoplastic cells become malignant cells and invade adjacent connective tissue shortly after basal membrane rupture, there is switch to an immunosuppressive TME, which allows tumor development.

Macrophages, which derive from circulating monocytes, play an important role in innate immunity and adaptive immunity [9-10]. Importantly, CD68 has been widely used as a pan macrophage marker in over 80% of studies. Several reports found that the presence of CD68 + TAMs was associated with decreased 5-year survival rates in several cancer including thyroid, lung, hepatocellular and esophageal cancers [11,12]. But some studies showed that no significant associations were found between the percentage of CD68 + TAM and survival time for OSCC patients.

It had been reported that the presence of CD68 + TAMs in tumor nest promoted tumor progression and was related to poor prognosis in hepatocellular cancer patients [13]. Infiltration of CD68 + macrophages in breast tumor stroma were positively associated to tumor size and were an independent prognostic factor (14).

Here, we focused on the expression of of CD68 + TAMs in OSCC and analyzed the correlations of expression of CD68 + TAM with the clinicopathological parameters.

Materials and Methods

Patients and tissue sample

In this retrospective study, OSCC tissue specimens from 30 patients were obtained from the archive department of oral diagnosis, Collage of Dentistry, Baghdad University. The study protocol was approved by the ethical committee. The specimens used in this study were obtained from tissue samples collected for routine histopathological diagnosis, where the diagnosis of OSCC was based on histologic examination of hematoxyline and eosin-stained tissue sections. Specimens were obtained from total surgical excision of the lesions. The clinical data (age, gender, tumor location and size) were obtained from medical records.

Immunohistochemical staining

The formaline–fixed,paraffine–embedded tissue samples were sliced into 4mm thickness with a rotation microtome. Immunohistochemical staining was performed using peroxidased–labeled streptavidin-biotin technique. Briefly, tissue sections were dewaxed in xylene and rehydrate in graded alcohol and heated in metal slide holder containing citrate buffer (pH6.0) at 95C for 20 minutes to retrieve antigenicity. Then
sections treated with H2O2 for 10 minutes to quench endogenous peroxidase activity. Then treated with protein block to block nonspecific binding. After washing in 10 mm PBS, sections were incubated with following primary antibodies: monoclonal mouse anti human CD68 (clone KP1 + C68/684; ab199000; abcam, Cambridge, UK) at 0.5/500, at 37 degree for 1 hour and overnight incubation at in humidified chamber. After washing, sections were treated with mouse and rabbit specific HRP/DAB detection system (ab236466, abcam, Cambridge, UK). Finally, the sections were stained with Mayers hematoxylene and covered. Samples of lymph node were used as positive control for marker. Negative control were obtained by omitting the primary antibodies.

Statistical analysis

The data analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25. The data presented as mean, standard deviation and ranges. Categorical data presented by frequencies and percentages. Independent t-test and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) (two tailed) was used to compare the continuous variables accordingly. A level of P – value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results and Discussion

Patient Characteristics

The clinico-pathological characteristics of all of the patients are summarized in Table 1.

Study patients’ age was ranging from 22 to 85 years with a mean of 55.6 years and a standard deviation of ± 14.7 years. We noticed that the highest proportion of study patients was aged > 50 years (63.3%). (Regarding gender, proportion of males was higher than females (63.3% versus 36.7%) with a male to female ratio of 1.7:1

In this study, the lesions were staged IV in 60% of case. Regarding grading, 56.7% of lesions were well differentiated.

Concerning site of lesion, the most common site of lesion detected was the tongue (36.6%).

Immunohistochemical Analysis

To evaluate the CD68 antibodies expression we considered positive all cells that exhibited brownish color, regardless of the intensity in the cytoplasmic or nuclear region. Therefore, the CD86 expression was examined by two independent researchers using light microscope Olympus CH30 (Olympus Japan Co, Tokyo, Japan). The percentage of immunopositive cells for marker was recorded semi-quantitatively, in the inflammatory infiltrate of the invasion front, in consecutive fields to the full extent. Thus, analysis of the percentage of macrophages was classified as follows: 0 when less than 10%; 1 between 11%-50%; and 2 and when greater than 50%.

To determine the type of infiltrated immune cells in OSCC, we performed an immunohistochemical analysis using antibodies against lineage-specific marker CD68 for all macrophages. In the current study, the immunohistochemical analysis demonstrated that CD68 + cells were observed in all grades of tumor specimens (Figure 1). The CD68 + macrophages were distributed in the tumor stroma. There was a non-significant increased in number of macrophage in poorly differentiated cases compared with well and moderate differentiated cases (mean of total CD68 score 43.3, 36.0 and 37.0 respectively). However, statistically non significant correlation was observed between the number of infiltrating CD68 + cells and the histological grade of the tumor as seen in table (2).
Table 1: Clinicopathological characteristic of patient with OSCC

| Variable                  | No. (n=30) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------|------------|----------------|
| **Age**                   |            |                |
| > 50                      | 19         | 63.3           |
| < 50                      | 11         | 36.6           |
| **Gender**                |            |                |
| Male                      | 19         | 63.3           |
| Female                    | 11         | 36.6           |
| **Staging**               |            |                |
| I                         | 2          | 6.7            |
| II                        | 4          | 13.3           |
| III                       | 6          | 20.0           |
| IV                        | 18         | 60.0           |
| **Grading (Differentiation)** |        |            |
| Well                      | 17         | 56.7           |
| Moderate                  | 10         | 33.3           |
| Poor                      | 3          | 10.0           |
| **Site of lesion**        |            |                |
| Tongue                    | 11         | 36.6           |
| Cheek                     | 5          | 16.6           |
| Jaw                       | 5          | 16.6           |
| Floor of mouth            | 4          | 13.3           |
| Oral cavity               | 3          | 10.0           |
| Lip                       | 2          | 6.7            |

Table 2: Comparison in mean of total CD68 score percentage according to clinic-pathological characteristics

| Variable            | Total CD68 score (%) | P - Value |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| **Age (Years)**     |                      |           |
| ≤ 50                | 39.5 ± 6.9           | 0.098     |
| > 50                | 35.7 ± 5.4           |           |
| **Gender**          |                      |           |
| Male                | 35.2 ± 5.6           | 0.023     |
| Female              | 40.4 ± 5.9           |           |
| **Stage**           |                      |           |
| I                   | 33.8 ± 12.9          | 0.667     |
| II                  | 34.9 ± 3.7           |           |
| III                 | 36.5 ± 6.9           |           |
| IV                  | 38.1 ± 5.9           |           |
| **Grade**           |                      |           |
| Well                | 36.0 ± 5.3           | 0.168     |
| Moderate            | 37.0 ± 7.0           |           |
| Poor                | 43.3 ± 6.1           |           |
Fig. 1 Immunohistochemical staining of OSCC with anti-CD68 antibodies in different pathological grades (A) grade I, (B) grade II and (C) grade III (original magnification 100x). The marker shows a cytoplasmic staining. The shape of staining cells include predominately round cells, but some show spindle shape. Beside macrophage staining, in most cases pale staining of epithelial cells detectable include tumor cells. Magnified figures (original magnification 400x) are shown in the bottom right hand corner of all figures.

The present study found that, there is correlation between number of macrophage and gender. The number of macrophage were significantly more increased in females than males. Although it was not significant, there is a positive correlation between the number of cell and the stage of tumor, where the higher number of positive cell were in stage IV.

The tumor-associated macrophage is a predominant cellular component for the tumor microenvironment in various tumors. Although previous studies have demonstrated...
that TAMs are detected in OSCC [15, 16],
whether these TAMs have the M1 or M2 phenotype is poorly understood. In the present study, we evaluated surgically dissected specimens from 30 OSCCs using an immunohistochemical analysis with the anti-CD68 antibody, which is a marker for macrophages [17, 18]. The results demonstrate that many macrophages are detected in OSCC.

In this study, TAMs were observed in all cases analyzed, distributed in the tumor parenchyma, findings also observed by El-Rouby (16) and Liu et al., (22), suggesting the recruitment of these cells towards the tumor region and the consequent ability to modify the neoplastic process. In our study, numerous macrophages were observed along the invasion front, which indicates that such cells play an important role in the recognition and destruction of the tumor. Statically non-significant correlation was found with clinical parameters except gender, where its expressed increased in number of macrophage in female more than males. This result disagree with, Li C. and colleagues in 2002(19) who identified that tumoral accumulation of macrophages is associated with stage of invasion. Sica and colleagues in 2006 (20) reported predominance of the macrophage population in the peritumoral infiltrate in OSCC. On other hand, present finding was in the same line with Kazumasa and colleagues in 2011 (21), who found non significant statistical difference between infiltrated macrophages and the pathological grade and stage of OSCC. In many immunohistochemical studies, it was observed that the number of TAMs CD68 positive was associated with OSCC specimen prognosis. Lu et al., (23) found that a significantly higher number of CD68 positive macrophages was observed in larger tumors, recurrent, with lymph node metastasis and advanced clinical stages. He et al., (24) found that CD68 expression were significantly associated with the presence of lymph node metastasis. The reasons for these results were difficult to explain, because macrophage cells include M1, M2 and undifferentiated monocytes/macrophages, it is likely that these mixed cell populations are functionally heterogeneous regarding the development and progression of OSCC. Accumulation of macrophages M1,M2 in response to tumor cell- derived signals, either because of tumor selection and evolution or as part of anti-tumor responses of the host, is diverted to pro-tumorigenic responses by stimulate tumor growth and invasion through enhanced cell proliferation mediated through the production of TNFa, IL-6, and other cytokines (21).

In conclusion the results of this study indicate that macrophages are important cells in the development and progression of the SCC, since they were present in all cases analyzed and the number of macrophage was increased in poor differentiated cases but statistically non-significant correlation was found between the mean expression level of these infiltrates with clinicopathological findings of OSCC except with the gender where it expressed high infiltration in female than male. however further studies with larger samples needed to identify their exact correlation with clinicopathological features of tumor.
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