Manipulation of the rhizosphere microbial community through application of a new bio-organic fertilizer improves watermelon quality and health
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Abstract  
Bio-organic fertilizers (BOFs) combine functional microbes with a suitable substrate and have been shown to effectively suppress soil-borne diseases and promote plant growth. Here, we developed a novel bio-organic fertilizer (BOF) by fermentation of a cow plus chicken manure (M) compost using Fen-liquor Daqu (FLD) as a fermentation starter and compared the compositions of bacterial and fungal communities in the rhizosphere soil of watermelon plants after treatment with different fertilizers. Further, we aimed to explore the mechanisms underlying plant-promoting and disease (Fusarium wilt)-suppressing activities of each rhizosphere microbial community. The microbial communities of soil amended with cow plus chicken manure compost (S+M), soil amended with the BOF (S+BOF), and untreated control soil (S) without plants were analyzed through sequence analysis using the Illumina MiSeq platform. The results showed that a new microbial community was formed in the manure compost after fermentation by the Daqu. Application of the BOF to the soil induced remarkable changes in the rhizosphere microbial communities, with increased bacterial diversity and decreased fungal diversity. Most importantly, S+BOF showed the lowest abundance of Fusarium. Moreover, watermelon quality was higher (P < 0.05) in the S+BOF than in the S+M treatment. Thus, application of the BOF favorably altered the composition of the rhizosphere microbial community, suppressing Fusarium wilt disease and promoting plant quality.

Introduction

In sustainable agriculture, which has been gaining increasing public as well as research interest, the soil is regarded a living system that is significantly affected by the microbial communities present [1]. It is recognized that interactions among soil microbes can establish homeostasis of soil microbial communities, promote plant growth, and suppress soil-borne pathogens [2]. In
the rhizosphere, where the soil adheres to plant roots and is impacted by the roots and their exudates, complex biological and ecological processes, particularly plant–microbe interactions, occur [3–6]. This region hosts beneficial microbes as well as soil-borne pathogens, which are in competition [7]. The rhizosphere community contains multiple species that exert beneficial effects on plant growth and health, such as nitrogen-fixing bacteria, mycorrhizal fungi, plant growth-promoting rhizomicrobes (PGPR), biocontrol microbes, and protozoa, while soil-borne pathogens that colonize the rhizosphere cause plant diseases by breaking the protective microbial shield and overcoming the plant’s innate defense mechanisms [8]. The complexity and diversity of microbes in the rhizosphere are essential for maintaining homeostasis in the soil ecosystem [9, 10].

Bio-organic fertilizers (BOFs), which combine functional microbes with a suitable substrate, are more effective than microbes added directly to the soil, and are widely accepted as a promising biological method for suppressing soil-borne pathogens and promoting plant growth [11–13]. Most previous studies have focused on individual specific beneficial microbes, but ignored the global effect of microbial communities [14].

Daqu is a mixed fermentation starter for the most representative light-fragrant Chinese liquor, Fen-liquor. As with other starters used for the brewing of Chinese liquors, the microbial composition of Daqu is extremely complex as the raw material and fermentation environment are not sterile [15]. Most studies use individual beneficial microbes as the fermentation starter, but the use of Daqu to generate fermented fertilizer has not been reported.

The continuous cropping of watermelon is known to lead to notable poor quality, high disease incidence (especially, of *Fusarium* wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. niveum*), and low yield. In this study, we explored the effects of a newly developed BOF, generated by fermenting cow plus chicken manure compost with Daqu, on the interactions between watermelon and rhizosphere microbial communities, as compared to non-fermented cow and chicken manure compost. To this end, we used the MiSeq platform, which allows high-throughput analysis of and deep insight into rhizosphere microbial community composition and diversity [16, 17]. The objectives were to compare the composition of the rhizosphere bacterial and fungal communities after treatment with the different fertilizers and to explore the mechanisms underlying plant-promoting and disease (*Fusarium* wilt)-suppressing activities of each rhizosphere microbial community.

**Materials and methods**

**BOF preparation**

Fermented cow plus chicken manure compost (1:1, w/w), containing 45% organic matter, 3.1% N, 3.8% P₂O₅, and 1.7% K₂O (Tianli Fertilizer Ltd., Tianli, Yongjishi, China), was used as organic fertilizer (M) (see below). Fenjiu Daqu (provided by Xinhuacun Fenjiu Group Co. Ltd. and prepared from barley and peas in five steps: formulation of ingredients, grinding and mixing, shaping, incubation, and maturation) was inoculated into the fermented cow plus chicken manure compost (1:100, w/w) following the solid fermentation method, and the mixture was fermented for six days at <45°C until it contained 10⁹ cfu g⁻¹ dry weight of substrate [18, 19]. Replicate samples of 100 g of fertilizer and mixed starter were collected and sieved through a 2-mm sieve, and stored at −70°C for DNA extraction. Each experiment and treatment was replicated three times.

**Experimental design, treatments, and sampling**

Three treatments were designed for sequence analysis, including soil amended with cow plus chicken manure compost (S+M), soil amended with the BOF (S+BOF), and untreated control...
soil without plants (S). In addition, microbial communities of the cow plus chicken manure compost (M), Fen-liquor Daqu (FLD), BOF and control soil were analyzed to evaluate the inherent microbial communities. A pot experiment was designed to explore the effects of application of the new BOF on continuous cropping of watermelon. Watermelon seeds (cultivar Mini Love) were surface-sterilized in 5% H₂O₂ for 30 min to eliminate effects of surface microbes, washed in sterile water three times, and placed in plates covered with sterile wet filter paper for germination at 30°C. Germinated seeds were transferred into a nursery pot (1 seed/pot) containing 100 g of substrate (pure Pindstrup substrate) [20–22]. When they had three true leaves, seedlings with similar growth and height were selected for the pot experiment and transplanted into pots (50 cm high, 40 cm in diameter; 1 seedling/pot) containing approximately 13 kg of fresh soil each. The plants were grown in a greenhouse under ambient conditions (temperature 22–30°C; relative humidity: 92–95%; light intensity: 68–74 klx). The pot soil was collected from a field that had been planted with watermelon the year before (S) and contained 16.59 g kg⁻¹ total C, 1.98 g kg⁻¹ total N, 21.26 mg kg⁻¹ available N, 16.43 mg kg⁻¹ available P, and 232 mg kg⁻¹ available K, and the pH was 7.08. Two soil treatments were applied: (1) amendment with BOF (80 g kg⁻¹, S+BOF), (2) amendment with organic fertilizer (80 g kg⁻¹, S+M). Untreated soil without plants (S) was used as the control. The fertilizers were added to and thoroughly mixed with the soil before planting. For each soil treatment we prepared 20 pots, each planted with a single plant. Rhizosphere soil was collected from all 20 plants and pooled together prior to DNA extraction (18). All experiments were reproduced, and represent plants grown from March to May, April to June, and September to November, 2015. In total, per treatment, there were 3 pooled rhizosphere samples used for DNA extractions and 60 plants used for disease index and plant measurements.

**Analysis of disease incidence, disease index, average fruit weight, and soluble solids**

Fusarium wilt of the watermelon plants was recorded as of 50 days after transplantation, according to typical wilt symptoms. The 20 plants of each replicate were used to calculate the disease index and the percentage of diseased plants, according to the method of Faheem *et al.* using the following equation [23]:

\[
\text{Disease index} = \frac{\sum (A \times B) \times 100}{\sum B \times 4},
\]

where A is the disease class (0, 1, 2, 3, 4) and B is the number of plants in the particular disease class. In general, “soluble solids” refers to the carbohydrate content, including sugars and vitamins, of the plant. The higher the content of soluble solids, the better the watermelon quality [24]. Thus, after the growing season, all fruits were collected and their weights were recorded and soluble solids were determined by the Brix spindle method to assess the watermelon quality.

**DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and Illumina sequencing**

Genomic DNA was isolated from each soil sample using an E.Z.N.A. Soil DNA Isolation kit (Omega Bio-tek, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions [25]. Amplicon libraries were created from the genomic DNA using tagged bacterial and fungal universal primers. Primers 341F (CCTACGGGNGGCWGGCAG) and 805R (GACTACHVGGGTATCTAAATCC) were used for the V3–V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene, while primers ITS5F (GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAGG) and ITS1R (GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC) targeted the ITS1 region of the fungal internal transcribed spacer gene. The reverse PCR primers contained an Illumina adapter and a unique barcode for
each sample. The PCR reaction mixtures and thermal profiles were as previously described [18, 26], with some modification. Briefly, 16S rRNA and ITS gene amplifications for each sample were performed in a 50 μl mixture containing 25 μl Master Mix (2×) (New England Biolabs, UK), 200 nM of each primer, 20 ng of template DNA, and nuclease-free water up to 50 μl. The PCR conditions were 94˚C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 45 s at 94˚C, 30 s at 55˚C for 16S or 45 s at 58.5˚C for ITS, and 1 min at 72˚C, with a final extension of 5 min at 72˚C. A pooled sample for sequencing was created by combining equimolar ratios of the amplicons from each sample, followed by gel purification. Samples were quantitated using a Qubit 2.0. The pooled sample was sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform (quality score was Q30 and trim requirement was set at less than 150 bp), at Personalbio Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

**Sequence data analysis**

Raw bacterial and fungal sequences were assigned to each sample based on their unique barcodes. The sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% sequence similarity using UCLUST [27], after quality control and the removal of chimeras and short, ambiguous, and low-quality sequences using MOTHUR [28]. The OTUs were classified using the Greengenes and UNITE databases (for bacteria and fungi, respectively) [29].

For bacterial and fungal community analysis, the number of sequences of all samples was taken as the lowest number of sequences, based on the smallest number of samples. The “flattening” process (43,806 for 16S rRNA and 25,615 for ITS sequences) was random, and the sequence numbers of all OTUs were resampled to draw rarefaction curves. Rarefaction curves were generated to compare relative levels of OTU richness across all soil samples at an OTU cut-off of 0.03. Alpha diversity indexes, including estimates of richness (Chao1) and the Shannon diversity index [30], were determined by using MOTHUR. To analyze differences in the bacterial and fungal community structures among the samples, partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLSDA) was used [31]. Correlations among the soil treatments (S+BOF and S+M) and microbial phyla were determined by redundancy analysis (RDA) carried out with the “vegan” package of R.

**Statistical analyses**

Differences in parameters among treatments were determined using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at the end of each bioassay, followed by Duncan’s multiple range tests, in IBM SPSS Statistics 17.0. A P-value < 0.05 was regarded significant.

**Accession number**

The bacterial 16S rRNA and fungal ITS sequence data have been deposited in the NCBI sequence read archive (SRA) database under accession numbers SRP107064 (bacterial sequences) and 107117 (fungal sequences).

**Results**

**Microbial diversity**

The rarefaction curves and the OTU numbers for the bacterial and fungal communities indicated that the data were sufficient for evaluating differences among the treatments, and the fertilizers M, FLD, and BOF had significantly lower diversity (P<0.05) than the soil treatments S, S+M, and S+BOF (S1 Fig and S1 Table). Fermentation decreased the microbial diversity in the fertilizer, as indicated by the fact that BOF showed lower bacterial as well as fungal diversity.
than M. However, when the BOF was applied to the soil (S+BOF), bacterial diversity was increased and fungal diversity was decreased as compared to the S+M treatment (S1 Table).

The estimated richness (Chao1) and Shannon diversity indexes also significantly differed among the treatments (ANOVA, \( P < 0.05 \)). As shown in Table 1, for both bacteria and fungi, the indexes were significantly lower (\( P < 0.05 \)) for M, FLD, and BOF than for S, S+M, and S+BOF. For bacteria, both indexes were higher for BOF than for FLD (\( P = 0.0000; P = 0.2409 \)), but lower than for M (\( P = 0.0000; P = 0.0142 \)). The indexes were significantly higher for S+BOF than for S+M (\( P = 0.0031; P = 0.0038 \)) and S (\( P = 0.0118; P = 0.0223 \)). For fungi, both indexes were lower for BOF than for FLD (\( P = 0.5128; P = 0.0959 \)) and M (\( P = 0.0047; P = 0.0000 \)). However, although the indexes for S+BOF were higher than those for S (\( P = 0.7092 ; P = 0.3482 \)) and lower than those for S+M (\( P = 0.8492 ; P = 0.4970 \)), the differences were insignificant.

**Microbial community composition**

The dominant phyla associated with the bacterial and fungal communities varied among the fertilizer and soil treatments. The 10 most dominant bacterial phyla identified across all samples were Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Planctomycetes, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Gemmatimonadetes, Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi, Verrucomicrobia, and Nitrospirae (Fig 1A). Among these phyla, in fertilizers BOF and FLD, the relative abundance of Firmicutes was greater, while that of Proteobacteria was greater in M. The microbial community was changed by addition of fertilizer to the soil (Fig 1A and S2 Table). As for the soil treatments, Proteobacteria were more abundant than other phyla and while the abundance of Firmicutes decreased significantly. In addition, the relative abundances of Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, and Planctomycetes were also increased.

With regard to fungi, Chytridiomycota, Zygomycota, Ascomycota, Ciliophora, Basidiomycota, Cerozoa, Glomeromycota, and Rozellomycota were the dominant phyla across all samples (Fig 1B). In the fertilizer, Ascomycota were the most abundant. However, the relative abundance of Ascomycota was lower in soil treatments, but that of Chytridiomycota was higher in S+BOF than in the S (\( P = 0.0000 \)) and S+M (\( P = 0.0000 \)) (S3 Table).

At the bacterial genus level, comparison of the relative abundances of the 15 most identified genera showed significant differences among fertilizer and soil treatments. Among the fertilizer treatments, FLD had the highest relative abundances of *Thermoactinomyces*, *Bacillus*, *Saccharopolyspora*, and *Leuconostoc* (\( P < 0.05 \); Fig 2A). The BOF bacterial community was enriched in *Bacillus*, *Pediococcus*, *Klebsiella*, and *Clostridium*, and depleted in *Enterococcus*, *Caulobacter*, *Burkholderia*, *Lactococcus*, *Actinomadura*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Glycomyces* as compared to that in M. However, the BOF-amended soil (S+BOF) had higher abundances of *Bacillus*, *Lysobacter*,
Rhodoplanes, Solirubrobacter, Devosia, Pseudomonas, and Arenimona and lower abundances of Gemmata and Phormidium than the other soils ($P < 0.05$; Fig 2B).

As for fungi, among the fertilizers, FLD showed the highest relative abundances for the genera Thermoascus, Aspergillus, Candida, and Rhizopus, while fermentation enriched the mixed manure compost for the genera Aspergillus and Pichia and depleted it in Thermoascus, Candida, Beauveria, Thermomyces, Archaeorhizomyces, Microascus, Phaeoacremonium, Phialosimplex, Simplicillium, Malassezia, and Capnobotryella (Fig 2C). S+BOF was enriched in Rhizophlyctis, Basidiobolus, Chitotipus, Arthrobotrys, Pyrenochaetopsis, and Aspergillus and depleted in Mortierella, Acremonium, Remersonia, Bullera, Preussia, Chaetomium, Myrothecium, Fusarium, Pseudogymnoascus, Zopfiella,
and Candida as compared to the other soil treatments (Fig 2D). Most importantly, the abundance of Fusarium was significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) in the soils treated with the BOF (S+BOF).

**Microbial community structure**

PLSDA indicated that the microbial community structure was distinct among the different treatments. For bacteria, among the fertilizers, the BOF treatment was separated obviously from the M and FLD treatments along the second component (PC2) (Fig 3A). M was separated from FLD along the first component (PC1). Among the soil treatments, S+BOF was separated obviously from S+M and S along the first component (PC1), while S+M was separated from S along the second component (PC2) (Fig 3B). For the fungal communities (Fig 3C and 3D), similar results were observed.

Relationships among the soil treatments (S+BOF and S+M), watermelon properties, and microbial phyla were analyzed by RDA. For the bacteria, the first two components of RDA explained 40.51% and 29.19% of the total variation (Fig 4A). For the fungi, the first two components of RDA explained 47% and 34% of the total variation (Fig 4B). The first component (RDA1) separated S+BOF from S+M for both bacteria and fungi. Moreover, the relative abundances of the phyla Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Chytridiomycota were positively correlated with average fruit weight and fruit soluble solids, and were negatively correlated with the Fusarium wilt disease incidence and disease index. For Ascomycota, opposite results were observed.

**Watermelon quality and disease**

Average fruit weight and fruit soluble solids significantly differed among the treatments. Average fruit weight and soluble solids in the S+BOF treatment were 2.52 kg and 12.1%, respectively, which were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than those in the S+M treatment (Table 2). Moreover, the Fusarium wilt disease incidence and disease index in the S+BOF treatment were significantly lower ($P = 0.0213; P = 0.0046$) than those in the S+M treatment. Thus, the S+BOF treatment was the most effective in reducing the incidence of Fusarium wilt and improving watermelon quality.

In addition, at the phylum level, the higher abundance of Firmicutes upon S+BOF treatment indicated that the abundance of Firmicutes might be negatively correlated with Fusarium wilt incidence and positively with plant growth in watermelon (Fig 1A and S4 Table). High Actinobacterial abundance was also positively correlated with disease suppression, in accordance with a study that showed that Actinobacteria suppress disease owing to their ability to produce an arsenal of compounds, especially antibiotics that promote plant growth and suppress a wide range of soil-dwelling plant pathogens [23]. Ascomycota were more abundant in the BOF than in other fertilizers, but less abundant in S+BOF than in other soil treatments (S).

At the genus level, soil amended with BOF showed the highest abundances of Bacillus, Lysobacter, and Pseudomonas and the lowest abundance of Fusarium, while soil amended with the manure compost showed the highest abundance of Fusarium. In addition, Bacillus, Lysobacter, Pseudomonas, Rhizophlyctis, Basidiobolus and Clitopilus showed a positive relationship with watermelon yield/quality and a negative relationship with disease incidence/index (S5 Table). These results suggested that a new balance appears in the soil after interaction with the microbial community, and this new balance correlated with plant disease resistance.

**Discussion**

In our previous study, we found that continuous cropping of watermelon induces remarkably poor growth, high disease incidence, and low yield [22]. While biological control using
Fig 2. Relative abundances of the 15 most dominant bacterial (a, b) and fungal (c, d) genera.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192967.g002
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Fig 3. PLSDA of the bacterial (a, b) and fungal (c, d) communities under the different treatments.

Fig 4. RDA of bacterial (a) and fungal (b) phyla for individual samples of soils treated with the newly developed BOF (S+BOF; blue) and cow plus chicken manure compost (S+M; red).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192967.g003

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192967.g004
microbes is an effective and environmentally friendly strategy for controlling soil-borne fungal pathogens and promoting growth [32, 33], most studies have focused on individual microbial species, ignoring overall effects of microbial communities [14]. In the current study, we applied Daqu-fermented BOF to soil with the aim to alter the microbial community to promote watermelon growth and control *Fusarium* wilt under continuous cropping.

BOFs, which are mixtures of organic fertilizer with beneficial microbes, have been shown to provide an effective tactic for suppressing soil-borne fungal diseases in various crops [34]. In our study, the newly developed BOF was more effective than the organic fertilizer alone (S+M) in reducing the *Fusarium* wilt disease incidence and improving fruit weight and soluble solid content of watermelon. In accordance with these results, previous studies have reported that amendment of suitable substrates and biocontrol agents significantly suppresses soil-borne diseases [16, 22] and have shown that BOF has great plant growth-promoting potential [21, 35]. For example, a novel bioorganic fertilizer significantly (*P* < 0.05) changed the microbial community, reduced disease incidence, and increased fruit yield in tomato [34]. A bioorganic fertilizer consisting of organic fertilizer and *Paenibacillus polymyxa* SQR-21 significantly suppressed *Fusarium* wilt and promoted plant growth in watermelon [36]. A bio-organic fertilizer containing *Trichoderma harzianum* SQR-T037 not only changed the microbial community, but also reduced *Fusarium* wilt in cucumber [37]. A bio-organic fertilizer containing *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* LH23 or *Bacillus subtilis* LH36 bio-organic fertilizer suppressed bacterial wilt and increased yield in potato [38].

In addition, soil microbial diversity is a key factor influencing soil health and quality. Agricultural treatments can affect soil microbial diversity and even plant health [39, 40]. For each environment, there likely is an optimum soil microbial community that promotes plant growth and protection from disease [41]. This was confirmed in this study (Table 1). The BOF contained bacterial and fungal communities clearly distinct from those of the manure compost, as indicated by the estimated richness (Chao1) and Shannon diversity indexes. This result suggested that a new microbial community had formed in the BOF after fermentation by the Daqu. Moreover, the BOF induced a remarkable change in the rhizosphere microbial communities upon application to the soil. After the growing season, the bacterial and fungal communities clearly differed between the S+BOF and S+M treatments. Our results indicated that bacterial diversity is positively correlated with plant growth and disease suppression, while fungal diversity seems to be negatively correlated with these parameters (S6 Table and Tables 1 and 2). These findings were consistent with those of previous studies that indicated that high bacterial and low fungal diversity are associated with soil-borne disease suppression [12, 16]. This phenomenon may be due to competition for substrates between bacterial and fungal groups or the excretion of antagonistic compounds by bacteria [42]. In summary, BOF application significantly shifted the composition of the watermelon rhizosphere microbial communities by increasing the bacterial diversity and decreasing the fungal diversity.

The results of PLSDA revealed differences in microbial community between the various organic fertilizers, which resulted in differences in microbial community between treatments and control as well as among treatments. This result further indicated that different fertilizers

| Treatment | Disease incidence (%) | Disease index | Average fruit weight (kg) | Soluble solids (%) |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| S+M       | 20 ± 8.67a            | 43.03 ± 8.69a | 2.30 ± 0.03b              | 10.9 ± 0.3b        |
| S+BOF     | 6.67 ± 2.89b          | 25 ± 0b       | 2.52 ± 0.03a              | 12.1 ± 0.4a        |

Data are the mean ± standard error (n = 3) and within each column, different letters indicate significant differences (ANOVA; *P* < 0.05; Duncan’s test).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192967.t002
differentially modulate the soil microbial community structure [43, 44]. To understand the relationship between microbial community structure, treatment, and environment, RDA was used. The results indicated that amending the soil with the BOF improved the microbial community structure, plant disease, and watermelon yield and quality, as compared to the other treatments. This finding was similar to those of other studies; for example, *Paenibacillus polymyxa* SQR21 BOF was found to control *Fusarium* wilt in watermelon by suppressing the population of *F. oxysporum* and by changing the fungal community structure in the rhizosphere soil [18], bio-fertilizer application altered the composition of rhizosphere microbial community and suppressed *Fusarium* wilt in banana [45], and consecutive applications of BOF effectively suppressed *Fusarium* wilt in watermelon by regulating rhizosphere bacterial diversity [22]. Additionally, beneficial bacteria, such as *Bacillus*, *Lysobacter*, and *Pseudomonas*, were not only much more abundant in S+BOF (Fig 1B), they also showed a positive relationship with watermelon quality and a negative relationship with disease (S5 Table). Similar results were found for *Rhizophlyctis*, *Basidiobolus*, and *Clitopilus* (Fig 1D and S5 Table). These results indicated that improving the plant rhizosphere microbiota has a certain practical significance for controlling soil-borne diseases and promoting plant growth.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that the Fen-liquor Daqu, as a mixed fermentation starter, changes the microbial community composition and abundance of manure compost via re-fermentation. The amendment of soils with this new BOF can effectively promote plant growth and suppress *Fusarium* wilt disease in watermelon. This may be owing to the control of the rhizosphere microbial community composition through enrichment of bacterial diversity and depletion of fungal diversity, reduction of *Fusarium* abundance, and stimulation of potentially beneficial taxa such as *Bacillus*, *Lysobacter*, and *Pseudomonas*. Similar results have been reported for watermelon [22], cucumber [46], banana [45], and wheat [47]. Thus, this strategy that changes the microbial community composition of the plant rhizosphere has good potential in controlling soil-borne diseases and improving plant growth.

**Supporting information**

S1 Fig. Bacterial and fungal diversities under different treatments. Rarefaction curves for bacteria (a) and fungi (b) at 97% similarity. Fertilizer treatments included cow and chicken manure compost (M), Fen-liquor Daqu (FLD), and the new BOF. Soil treatments included untreated soil (S), soil amended with cow and chicken manure compost (S+M), and soil amended with the new BOF (S+BOF).

(TIF)

S1 Table. The OTUs number of different treatments of bacteria and fungi.

(DOC)

S2 Table. Bacterial phylum relative abundance of different fertilizer and soil treatment.

(DOC)

S3 Table. Fungal phylum relative abundance of different fertilizer and soil treatment.

(DOC)

S4 Table. The correlation between different microbial phylum and watermelon quality and disease.

(DOC)

S5 Table. The correlation between different microbial genus and watermelon quality and disease.

(DOC)
S6 Table. The correlation between microbial diversity and watermelon quality and disease. (DOC)

Acknowledgments
We thank Xinghuacun Fenjiu Group Co. Ltd. for providing Fen-liquor Daqu.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Jia Zhao.
Data curation: Jia Zhao, Zhe Chen, Changbiao Wang.
Formal analysis: Jia Zhao, Jiang Liu, Jing Huang, Yuguo Wang.
Investigation: Jia Zhao, Jiang Liu, Jing Huang, Yuanjun Nie, Yuguo Wang.
Methodology: Jia Zhao, Hong Liang, Yuanjun Nie, Yuguo Wang.
Project administration: Jia Zhao, Zhe Chen, Yuguo Wang.
Resources: Jiang Liu, Yuguo Wang.
Software: Jia Zhao, Hong Liang, Jing Huang, Yuguo Wang.
Writing – original draft: Jia Zhao, Jing Huang, Yuguo Wang.
Writing – review & editing: Jia Zhao, Hong Liang, Yuguo Wang.

References
1. Janvier C, Villeneuve F, Alabouvette C, Edel-Hermann V, Mateau T, Steinberg C. Soil health through soil disease suppression: Which strategy from descriptors to indicators? Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2007; 39(1):1–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.07.001
2. Liu X, Zhang S, Jiang Q, Bai Y, Shen G, Li S, et al. Using community analysis to explore bacterial indicators for disease suppression of tobacco bacterial wilt. Scientific reports. 2016; 6:36773. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36773 PMID: 27857159
3. Fang S, Liu D, Ye T, Deng S, Shang X. Tree Species Composition Influences Enzyme Activities and Microbial Biomass in the Rhizosphere: A Rhizobox Approach. PloS one. 2013; 8(4):e61461. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061461 PMID: 23637838
4. Bais HP, Weir TL, Perry LG, Gilroy S, Vivanco JM. The role of root exudates in rhizosphere interactions with plants and other organisms. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2006; 57(1):233–66.
5. Philippot L, Raaijmakers JM, Lemanceau P, Putten WHVD. Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2013; 11(11):789–99. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3109 PMID: 24056930
6. Kent AD, Triplet EW. Microbial communities and their interactions in soil and rhizosphere ecosystems [Review]. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2002; 56(1):211.
7. Kinkel LL, Bakker MG, Schlatter DC. A coevolutionary framework for managing disease-suppressive soils. Annual review of phytopathology. 2011; 49:47–67. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-072910-095232 PMID: 21639781.
8. Mendes R, Garbeva P, Raaijmakers JM. The rhizosphere microbiome: significance of plant beneficial, plant pathogenic, and human pathogenic microorganisms. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2013; 37(5):634–63. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12028 PMID: 23790204
9. Garbeva P, Veen JAV, Elsas JDV. MICROBIAL DIVERSITY IN SOIL: Selection of Microbial Populations by Plant and Soil Type and Implications for Disease Suppressiveness. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2004; 42(2):243–70.
10. Raaijmakers JM, Paulitz TC, Steinberg C, Alabouvette C, Moënne-Loccoz Y. The rhizosphere: a playground and battlefield for soilborne pathogens and beneficial microorganisms. Plant & Soil. 2009; 321(1–2):341–61.
Bio-organic fertilizer improves watermelon quality and health

11. Cao Y, Zhang Z, Ling N, Yuan Y, Zheng X, Shen B, et al. Bacillus subtilis SQR 9 can control Fusarium wilt in cucumber by colonizing plant roots. Biology & Fertility of Soils. 2011; 47(5):495–506.

12. Jia L, Wei R, Jiang H, Yang XM, Xu YC, Shen QR. Application of bio-organic fertilizer significantly affected fungal diversity of soils. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 2010; 74(6):2039.

13. El-Hassan SA, Gowen SR. Formulation and Delivery of the Bacterial Antagonist Bacillus subtilis for Management of Lentil Vascular Wilt Caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lentis. J Phytopathol. 2006; 154(3):148–55.

14. Almario J, Moinne-Loccoz Y, Muller D. Monitoring of the relation between 2,4-diacyethylphloroglucinol-producing Pseudomonas and Thielaviopsis basicola populations by real-time PCR in tobacco black root-rot suppressive and conducive soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2013; 57:144–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.09.003

15. Zhang H, Zhang X, Zhao J, Du X, Ma B. Analysis of the microbial communities of three kinds of FenDaq by PLFAs. Journal of the Institute of Brewing. 2016; 122(1):34–41. https://doi.org/10.1002/jib.292

16. Shen Z, Ruan Y, Chao X, Zhang J, Li R, Shen Q. Rhizosphere microbial community manipulated by 2 years of consecutive biofertilizer application associated with banana Fusarium wilt disease suppression. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2015; 51(5):553–62. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-015-1002-7

17. Binladen J, Gilbert MT, Bollback JP, Panitz F, Bendixen C, Nielsen R, et al. The Use of Coded PCR Primers Enables High-Throughput Sequencing of Multiple Homolog Amplification Products by 454 Parallel Sequencing. PloS one. 2007; 2(2):e197. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.000197 PMID: 1729583

18. Zhao S, Liu D, Ling N, Chen F, Fang W, Shen Q. Bio-organic fertilizer application significantly reduces the Fusarium oxysporum population and alters the composition of fungal communities of watermelon Fusarium wilt rhizosphere soil. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2014; 50(5):765–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-014-0898-7

19. Zhang S, Raza W, Yang X, Hu J, Huang Q, Xu Y, et al. Control of Fusarium wilt disease with cucumber plants with the application of a bioorganic fertilizer. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2008; 44(8):1073–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-008-0296-0

20. Zhao Q, Dong C, Yang X, Mei X, Ran W, Shen Q, et al. Biocontrol of Fusarium wilt disease for Cucumis melo melon using bio-organic fertilizer. Applied Soil Ecology. 2011; 47(1):67–75.

21. Nan Z, Xie H, Zhang J, Raza W, Yang XM, Yun-Ze R, et al. Suppression of Fusarium Wilt of Banana with Application of Bio-Organic Fertilizers. Pedosphere. 2014; 24(5):613–24.

22. Ling N, Deng K, Song Y, Wu Y, Zhao J, Raza W, et al. Variation of rhizosphere bacterial community in watermelon continuous mono-cropping soil by long-term application of a novel bioorganic fertilizer. Microbiol Res. 2014; 169(7–8):570–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.10.004 PMID: 24263158.

23. Faheem M, Raza W, Zhong W, Nan Z, Shen Q, Xu Y. Evaluation of the biocontrol potential of Streptomyces goshikiensis YCXU against Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. niveum. Biological Control. 2015; 81:101–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.11.012

24. Mohd Ali M, Hashim N, Bejo SK, Shamсудin R. Rapid and nondestructive techniques for internal and external quality evaluation of watermelons: A review. Scientia Horticulturae. 2017; 225:689–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.08.012

25. Qiu M, Zhang R, Xue C, Zhang S, Li S, Zhang N, et al. Application of bio-organic fertilizer can control Fusarium wilt of cucumber plants by regulating microbial community of rhizosphere soil. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2012; 48(7):807–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-012-0675-4

26. Edwards J, Johnson C, Santos-Medellin C, Lurie E, Podishekty NK, Bhatnagar S, et al. Structure, variation, and assembly of the root-associated microbiomes of rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015; 112(8):E911–20. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1414592112 PMID: 25605935.

27. Li X, Zhang Yn, Ding C, Jia Z, He Z, Zhang T, et al. Declined soil suppressiveness to Fusarium oxysporum by rhizosphere microflora of cotton in soil sickness. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2015; 51(8):935–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-015-1038-8

28. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, et al. Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009; 75(23):7537–41. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01541-09 PMID: 19801464.

29. Xu W, Wang Z, Wu F. The effect of D123 wheat as a companion crop on soil enzyme activities, microbial biomass and microbial communities in the rhizosphere of watermelon. Front Microbiol. 2015; 6:899. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00895 PMID: 26388851.

30. Xiong W, Li Z, Liu H, Xue C, Zhang R, Wu H, et al. The Effect of Long-Term Continuous Cropping of Black Pepper on Soil Bacterial Communities as Determined by 454 Pyrosequencing. PloS one. 2015; 10(8):e0136946. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136946 PMID: 26317364.
31. Karp NA, Griffin JL, Lilley KS. Application of partial least squares discriminant analysis to two-dimen-
sional difference gel studies in expression proteomics. Proteomics. 2005; 5(1):81–90. https://doi.org/
10.1002/pmic.200400881 PMID: 15744836.

32. Bargabus RL, Zidack NK, Sherwood JE, Jacobsen BJ. Oxidative burst elicited by Bacillus mycoides iso-
late Bac J, a biological control agent, occurs independently of hypersensitive cell death in sugar beet.
Mol Plant-Microbe Interact. 2003; 16(12):1145–53. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2003.16.12.1145
PMID: 14651348

33. Tjamos SE, Flemetakis E, Paplomatas EJ, Katinaakis P. Induction of resistance to Verticillium dahliae
in Arabidopsis thaliana by the biocontrol agent K-165 and pathogenesis-related proteins gene expression.
Molecular plant-microbe interactions: MPMI. 2005; 18(6):555–61. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-18-
0555 PMID: 15986925

34. Zhang G, Liu L, Sun C, Liu S, Chai R, Huang W, et al. Bioorganic Fertilizer Enhances Soil Suppressiv-
Capacity against Bacterial Wilt of Tomato. PloS one. 2015; 10(4):e0121304. https://doi.org/10.1371/
journal.pone.0121304 PMID: 25830639

35. Gopalakrishnan S, Srinivas V, Vidya MS, Rathore A. Plant growth-promoting activities of Streptomyces
pp. in sorghum and rice. Springerplus. 2013; 2(1):574.

36. Ling N, Zhang W, Tan S, Huang Q, Shen Q. Effect of the nursery application of bioorganic fertilizer on
spatial distribution of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. niveum and its antagonistic bacterium in the rhizo-
sphere of watermelon. Applied Soil Ecology. 2012; 59(4):13–9.

37. Chen L, Huang X, Zhang F, Zhao D, Yang X, Shen Q. Application of Trichoderma harzianum SQR
T037 bio-organic fertiliser significantly controls Fusarium wilt and affects the microbial communities of
continuously cropped soil of cucumber. Journal of the Science of Food & Agriculture. 2012; 92
(12):2465–70.

38. Ding C, Shen Q, Zhang R, Chen W. Evaluation of rhizosphere bacteria and derived bio-organic fertiliz-
ers as potential biocontrol agents against bacterial wilt (Ralstonia solanacearum) of potato. Plant & Soil.
2013; 366(1–2):453–66.

39. Garbeva P, Postma J, van Veen JA, van Elsas JD. Effect of above-ground plant species on soil micro-
bial community structure and its impact on suppression of Rhizoctonia solani AG3. Environ Microbiol.
2006; 8(2):233–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00886.x PMID: 16423012

40. Bever JD, Platt TG, Morton ER. Microbial Population and Community Dynamics on Plant Roots and
Their Feedbacks on Plant Communities. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2012; 66(1):265–83.

41. Sturz AV, Christie BR. Beneficial microbial allelopathies in the root zone: the management of soil quality
and plant disease with rhizobacteria. Soil & Tillage Research. 2003; 72(2):107–23.

42. Shen Z, Zhong S, Wang Y, Wang B, Mei X, Li R, et al. Induced soil microbial suppression of banana
fusarium wilt disease using compost and biofertilizers to improve yield and quality. European Journal of
Soil Biology. 2013; 57(4):1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2013.03.006

43. Alguacil M, Torrecillas E, Caravaca F, Fernández D, Azcón R, Roldán A. The application of an organic
amendment modifies the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities colonizing native seedlings grown
in a heavy-metal-polluted soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2011; 43(7):1498–508.

44. Poulsen PHB, Al-Soud WA, Bergmark L, Magid J, Hansen LH, Sørensen SJ. Effects of fertilization with
urban and agricultural organic wastes in a field trial–Prokaryotic diversity investigated by pyrosequen-
cing. Soil Biology & Biochemistry. 2013; 57(3):784–93.

45. Fu L, Penton CR, Ruan Y, Shen Z, Xue C, Li R, et al. Inducing the rhizosphere microbiome by biofertil-
izer application to suppress banana Fusarium wilt disease. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2017;
104:39–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.10.008

46. Yang W, Yan H, Zhang J, Meng Y, Wang X, Ji L, et al. Response of rhizosphere microbial diversity and
soil physico-chemical properties in a rotation of cucumber with Volvariella volvacea. Biocontrol Sci
Technol. 2017; 27(3):311–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/09653157.2016.1252313

47. Tautges NE, Sullivan TS, Reardon CL, Burke IC. Soil microbial diversity and activity linked to crop yield
and quality in a dryland organic wheat production system. Applied Soil Ecology. 2016; 108:258–68.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.09.003

Bio-organic fertilizer improves watermelon quality and health

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192967 February 16, 2018 14 / 14