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Rapid Method for the Determination of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural and Levulinic Acid Using a Double-Wavelength UV Spectroscopy
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This study reports on a rapid method for the determination of levulinic acid (LA) and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) in acid hydrolyze system of glucose based on UV spectroscopy. It was found that HMF and LA have a maximum absorption at the wavelengths of 284 nm and 266 nm, respectively, in a water medium, and the absorptions of HMF and LA at 284 nm and 266 nm follow Beer’s law very well. However, it was found that a major spectral interference species will arise in the quantification of HMF and LA; nonetheless, this interference can be eliminated through the absorption treatment of charcoal. Therefore, both HMF and LA can be quantified with a double-wavelength technique. The repeatability of the method had a relative standard deviation of less than 4.47% for HMF and 2.25% for LA; the limit of quantification (LOQ) was 0.017 mmol/L for HMF and 4.68 mmol/L for LA, and the recovery ranged from 88% to 116% for HMF and from 94% to 105% for LA. The present method is simple, rapid, and accurate. It is suitable to use in the research of the preparation of HMF and LA in biorefinery area.

1. Introduction

Levulinic acid (LA) can be used as a new platform chemical for the production of a wide range of value-added products through salification, esterification, hydrogenation, condensation, oxidation and halogenation reaction [1, 2]. So, it will have important strategem significance for the preparation of LA from biomass resources, especially from non-foodstuff resources [3]. The preparation of LA often requires the use of stalk or the solid abandon matter which contains cellulose as the origination material and was first hydrolyzed to glucose or fructose at high temperature, and the formed sugar was dehydrated to LA via a platform chemical of HMF [4, 5]. Therefore, understanding the production law of HMF and LA in the acid hydrolyzed system of glucose or fructose will have an important significance, and the concentration measurement of HMF and LA in the acid hydrolyzed system will be the emphasis premise.

The traditional quantitative analysis for HMF included thiobarbi acid method [6] and thiosemicarbazone method [7]. However, thiobarbi acid method should remove the deposit that was generated during the Winkler reaction; thiosemicarbazone method in the sample should be distilled, and both methods need chromogenic reaction before analysis [8]. In recent years, ion chromatography (IC), high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [9–12], and gas chromatography (GC) [13–16] also have been used for the analysis of HMF and LA; however, these instruments are expensive and the relative maintenance costs are high.

In this work, we have developed a UV spectroscopic method for the simultaneous determination of HMF and LA.
The present method is simple, rapid, and accurate and has the potential for online process monitoring.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Chemicals. All chemicals used in the experiments were from commercial sources. Five HMF solutions (the concentration range is from 0 to 0.1 mmol/L) and LA solutions (the concentration range is from 0 to 65 mmol/L), analytical grade, were used as the standard for calibration. A 5 wt% of \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) solution was used to hydrolyze glucose.

2.2. Samples. Seven stream samples were collected from the \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) solution hydrolyze system of glucose in the laboratory using a reaction kettle. The process conditions of acid hydrolyze system experiments were as follows: 3 g of glucose was used, 50 mL of \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) solution (5 wt%) was poured into the reaction kettle, the reactor was placed in the electricity bath and heated to 180°C, and time was recorded from the set-value temperature. The reaction was stopped after 2 h from the start of the reaction and cooled to room temperature.

2.3. Apparatus. A UV-Vis spectrophotometer (S-3100, Shinco, Korea) equipped with a 1 cm path length flow cell was used for the experiments.

2.4. Procedures. Calibration was conducted by preparing a set of standard solutions, that is, 0.019, 0.037, 0.056, 0.075, and 0.093 mmol/L of HMF and 20.25, 29.80, 39.00, 47.86, and 64.66 mmol/L of LA. The absorption spectrum for each solution was measured at wavelength of 284 nm and 266 nm, respectively. For a typical UV analysis of glucose hydrolysate, 5 mL of filtrate for glucose hydrolysat and 0.5 g of activated charcoal were added to a 10 mL of colorimetric tube. The solution was boiled for 1 min; then, the reaction solution was filtered by filter paper, and the filtrate was measured at the wavelength of 284 nm and 266 nm after filtration.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Spectral Characteristics of HMF and LA Complex. UV light can be absorbed by HMF and LA. Therefore, HMF and LA can be determined by spectroscopy as long as there is no spectral interference. As shown in Figure 1, HMF and LA have strong absorption in the UV range below 330 nm, and their characteristic absorption is at wavelength of 284 nm and 266 nm, respectively. Thus, the concentration of HMF and LA can be measured.

As shown in Figure 2, the absorptions of HMF and LA at 266 nm and 284 nm follow Beer’s law very well. The molar absorptivity at the wavelength of 266 nm and 284 nm is 12.38, 22.7 mmol\(^{-1}\)·L·cm\(^{-1}\) for HMF and 0.023, 0.014 mmol\(^{-1}\)·L·cm\(^{-1}\) for LA, respectively. And the standard calibration curve was obtained; that is,

\[
A_{\text{HMF,266}} = -0.0055 \pm 0.021 + 12.38 \pm 0.37 \times C, \quad (1)
\]

\[
A_{\text{HMF,284}} = 0.006 \pm 0.029 + 22.7 \pm 0.5 \times C, \quad (2)
\]

\[
A_{\text{LA,266}} = 0.0096 \pm 0.0077 + 0.023 \pm 0.0002 \times C, \quad (3)
\]

\[
A_{\text{LA,284}} = 0.0075 \pm 0.0058 + 0.014 \pm 0.0001 \times C, \quad (4)
\]

where \( A_{\text{HMF,266}}, A_{\text{HMF,284}}, A_{\text{LA,266}}, A_{\text{LA,284}}, \) and C represent, respectively, the UV signal response for HMF and LA at 266 nm and 284 nm and the HMF and LA concentration (in mmol/L) of the standard samples for HMF and LA. It can be seen from (1) to (4) that there is a good linear relationship at the linear range of 0–0.093 mmol/L for HMF and 0–64.66 mmol/L for LA.

Being calculated by (5) [17, 18], the limit of quantitation (LOQ) in the present method is 0.017 mmol/L for HMF (at 266 nm) and 4.68 mmol/L for LA.

\[
\text{LOQ} = a + 10 \times |\Delta a|, \quad (5)
\]

where \( a, \Delta a, \) and s represent the intercept, uncertainty of the intercept, and the slope in (1) to (4), respectively.
3.2. Spectral Interference. For HMF and LA determination in hot acid hydrolysis solution of glucose or fructose, the major spectral interference species are produced by byproducts which are generated by subsidiary reactions during the hot acid hydrolysis. As shown in Figure 3, the standard samples of HMF and LA only have absorption in the UV range below 350 nm; however, the hot acid hydrolysis solution has an obvious absorption in the UV range at wavelengths between 350 nm and 450 nm. Therefore, the byproducts of the hot acid hydrolysis solution interfere in the determination of HMF and LA by UV spectrophotometry. Fortunately, the charcoal can be used to eliminate the interference. Therefore, the interference of byproducts in HMF and LA determination using the spectral characteristics at wavelengths of 266 nm and 284 nm can be neglected.

3.3. The Elimination of Spectral Interferences

3.3.1. The Effect Determine of the Charcoal Absorption. The spectral difference of a sample before and after it was treated by charcoal was shown in Figure 4; it can be seen that the absorption in the UV range at wavelengths between 350 nm and 450 nm had been eliminated after the adsorption treatment by charcoal. Therefore, the interference of byproducts can be eliminated, and the absorbance of HMF and LA can be determined.

3.3.2. The Dosage of Charcoal Required for the Absorption. The dosage of charcoal can affect the adsorption ratio of byproducts. So, it will influence the spectra of the sample after adsorption treatment by charcoal. As shown in Figure 5, the absorbance of an acid hydrolysis sample at wavelengths between 200 nm and 600 nm gradually dropped along with the enhancement of charcoal dosage.

The absorption intensity at wavelengths of 400 nm as a function of charcoal dosage, which indicated that complete interference was eliminated when the dosage of charcoal achieved 0.1 g/mL sample (Figure 6). If a further reduction in charcoal dosage is desired, increasing the boiling time can be an option since the adsorption ratio should increase in a long time. However, to avoid further hydrolysis of LA and HMF and to find the proper charcoal dosage at higher temperature, a curve similar to that in Figure 6 should be established. Overall, the present procedure is simpler and faster. It involves a single reaction step and requires less chemicals and analytical equipment.

3.3.3. The Calibration Coefficient Determine of HMF and LA. During the charcoal absorption treatment of the hot acid hydrolysis solution, not only the byproducts were absorbed, but also HMF and LA would be absorbed at a certain extent. Figure 7 shows the spectrograms of HMF and LA.
standard solutions before and after they were treated by charcoal. So, the calibration coefficients of HMF and LA can be determined, which can be derived as

\[ K_{\text{HMF}} = \frac{A_{b,284}}{A_{a,284}}, \]

\[ K_{\text{LA}} = \frac{A_{b,266}}{A_{a,266}}, \]

where \( K_{\text{HMF}}, K_{\text{LA}} \) are the calibration coefficients of HMF and LA at wavelengths of 284 nm and 266 nm, respectively. \( A_{b,284} \) and \( A_{a,284} \) are the absorbance of HMF at 284 nm before and after the standard solution was treated by charcoal. \( A_{b,266} \) and \( A_{a,266} \) are the absorbance of LA at wavelengths of 266 nm before and after it was treated by charcoal. The calibration coefficients \( K_{\text{HMF}} \) and \( K_{\text{LA}} \) are 69.3 and 1.62, respectively, which was obtained from the calibration graph shown in Figure 7.

### 3.4. A Dual-Wavelength Method to Determine the Content of HMF and LA

In this paper, we developed a dual-wavelength spectrophotometric method to determine the contents of HMF and LA at the same time. As Figure 1 had shown previously, HMF and LA in the hot acid hydrolysis solution had the characteristic absorption at wavelengths of 284 nm and 266 nm, respectively. Meanwhile, the absorbance at the scope of 250 nm and 350 nm was the contribution of HMF and LA. Based on Beer’s law, the concentration of HMF and LA in the sample can be calculated according to the following equation:

\[ A_{284} = \varepsilon_{\text{LA}}^{284}C_{\text{LA}} + \varepsilon_{\text{HMF}}^{284}C_{\text{HMF}}, \]

\[ A_{266} = \varepsilon_{\text{LA}}^{266}C_{\text{LA}} + \varepsilon_{\text{HMF}}^{266}C_{\text{HMF}}, \]

where \( A_{284} \) and \( A_{266} \) are the absorbance after the sample was treated by charcoal at wavelengths of 266 nm and 284 nm, respectively. \( C_{\text{LA}} \) and \( C_{\text{HMF}} \) are the diluted concentrations of LA and HMF in the sample after the sample was treated by charcoal, mmol/L. And \( \varepsilon_{\text{LA}}^{266}, \varepsilon_{\text{HMF}}^{266}, \varepsilon_{\text{LA}}^{284}, \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{HMF}}^{284} \) are
Using a dual-wavelength (at 266 nm and 284 nm) spectroscopic technique. The present method is simple, rapid, and accurate and has the potential for online process monitoring.
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