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ABSTRACT

Objectives To explore the prognostic value of subclinical cardiovascular (CV) imaging measures and serum cardiac biomarkers in systemic sclerosis (SSc) for the development of CV outcomes of primary heart involvement (pHI).

Methods Patients with SSc with no clinical SSc-pHI and no history of heart disease underwent cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging, and measurement of serum high-sensitivity-troponin I (hs-TnI) and N-terminal-pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP). Follow-up clinical and CV outcome data were recorded. CV outcomes were defined as myocarditis, arrhythmia and/or echocardiographic functional impairment including systolic dysfunction and/or diastolic dysfunction.

Results Seventy-four patients with a median (IQR) age of 57 (49, 63) years, 32% diffuse cutaneous SSc, 39% interstitial lung disease, 30% Scl70 + were followed up for median (IQR) 22 (15, 54) months. Ten patients developed CV outcomes, comprising one patient with myocarditis and systolic dysfunction and nine arrhythmias: three non-sustained ventricular tachycardia and six supraventricular arrhythmias. The probability of CV outcomes was considerably higher in those with NT-proBNP >125 pg/mL versus normal NT-proBNP ($X^2=4.47$, p=0.035). Trend for poorer time-to-event was noted in those with higher extracellular volume (ECV; indicating diffuse fibrosis) and hs-TnI levels versus those with normal values ($X^2=2.659$, p=0.103; $X^2=2.530$, p=0.112, respectively). In a predictive model, NT-proBNP >125 pg/mL associated with CV outcomes (OR=5.335, p=0.040), with a trend observed for ECV >29% (OR=4.347, p=0.073).

Conclusion These data indicate standard serum cardiac biomarkers (notably NT-proBNP) and CMR indices of myocardial fibrosis associate with adverse CV outcomes in SSc. This forms the basis to develop a prognostic model in larger, longitudinal studies.

INTRODUCTION

Systemic sclerosis (SSc, scleroderma) is a rare immune-mediated inflammatory disease (IMID) characterised by autoimmunity, vasculopathy and fibrosis of the skin and internal organs. It can be fatal especially when the lung, heart and/or kidneys are affected.1,2 Clinically overt SSc-primary heart involvement (SSc-pHI) (ie, not secondary to ischaemic heart disease (IHD), or pulmonary artery hypertension, PAH) typically manifests as myocarditis, conduction abnormalities and arrhythmia and/or heart failure. SSc-pHI is described in up to 20%–30% of patients with SSc3,4 and is associated with a mortality rate of up to 70%.5,6 Historical autopsy studies and sensitive multiparametric imaging methods such as cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging suggest subclinical heart involvement in a majority of patients with SSc.7,8 Late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) CMR in SSc detects focal myocardial fibrosis that is distinct from scar caused by epicardial coronary artery disease.7,9 CMR with native T1 and extracellular volume (ECV)
measurement indicates diffuse myocardial fibrosis and has been reported in up to 70% of patients with SSc. Finally, myocardial perfusion impairment due to coronary microvascular dysfunction has also been observed in patients with SSc. Serum cardiac biomarkers troponin I (TnI) and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) have similarly emerged as sensitive tools in the detection of heart involvement. Elevated cardiac biomarkers have been observed in patients with SSc and associated with cardiovascular (CV) events while more recent data also demonstrate their association with subclinical SSc-pHI.

The prognostic implication of subclinical SSc-pHI however has not been established. Only a subgroup of patients with subclinical SSc-pHI develop clinically overt SSc-pHI. Identifying patients at risk of clinically meaningful SSc-pHI and the indices associated with progression to clinical presentation is an unmet need. We recently reported on one of the largest studies to describe subclinical CMR features of SSc-pHI, demonstrating reduced microvascular perfusion and presence of myocardial focal and diffuse fibrosis in 83 patients with SSc. This subclinical phenotype associated with high-sensitivity (hs)-TnI and NT-proBNP and also SSc disease severity and complicated peripheral vasculopathy. We now report on the clinical and functional outcomes of this cohort. The principal aim was to explore the prognostic value of CMR and serum cardiac biomarkers for the development of CV outcomes of SSc-pHI.

METHODS

Study design

We report on patients recruited as part of two observational studies from 2013 to 2019 at the Department of Rheumatology, The Leeds Teaching Hospital NHS Trust (‘Connective Tissue Disease and Vasculitis Cohort’ and ‘Electrophysiology and Cardiac Imaging in Scleroderma’ (ELCASA) study). The studies were conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent.

Patients

All patients fulfilled the 2013 American College of Rheumatology/EULAR criteria for SSc and were classified as limited cutaneous SSc or diffuse cutaneous SSc (dcSSc), respectively, according to the LeRoy classification. Exclusion criteria included any prior diagnosis of SSc-pHI or other cardiomyopathies, arrhythmia/rhythm disturbances, valve disease, abnormal systolic or diastolic (>grade 1) function, a diagnosis of PAH, IHD, diabetes or more than two traditional CV risk factors defined as current smoker, hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia/hypertriglyceridaemia and family history of premature CV disease (CVD). Patients with any other inflammatory musculoskeletal conditions were also excluded. All participants were followed up for a minimum of 12 months.

Schedule of assessments

Clinical data

Comprehensive demographic and clinical data were collected including SSc subtype, duration, serology, organ involvement, any prior diagnosis of heart disease, current and previous immunosuppression and nailfold capillaroscopy findings.

Testing and assessments

All patients had annual Doppler echocardiography as per standard practice with additional testing, including ECG, 24-hour Holter ECG and stress ECG if clinically indicated. The ‘ELCASA’ cohort also had an implantable loop recorder (ILR) (Medtronic Reveal XT) inserted and 24-hour Holter monitor yearly. These patients were followed for 3 years and had the ILR interrogated every 3 months up until April 2017, after which they continued with testing for the wider group as described above.

Serum cardiac biomarker testing

The whole cohort had baseline serum samples collected for hs-TnI and NT-proBNP testing, measured using the same technique. Hs-TnI was measured on a Siemens Advia XPT system (Advia Chemistry XPT and Advia Centaur XPT Immunoassay, respectively) and NT-proBNP on Cobas 6000 (immunochemistry module Cobas e601) and the kits supplied by Roche Diagnostics. According to the manufacturer recommendations, a concentration above 37 ng/mL for hs-TnI and above 125 ng/mL for NT-proBNP constituted abnormal.

CMR imaging

CMR was performed at baseline, on a 3 Tesla Philips Achieva MR system, as previously described. The same CMR protocol was used for the whole cohort and comprised left ventricle (LV) function and volume, LGE, native and post-contrast T1 mapping for ECV estimation and adenosine stress and rest myocardial perfusion with myocardial blood flow (MBF) and myocardial perfusion reserve (MPR) assessment. Cvi42 software (V.4.1.3, Circle Cardiovascular Imaging, Calgary, Canada) was used for CMR image analysis. LGE was reported according to the 16 segment American Heart Association (AHA) model and the five-SD method was used for LGE mass quantification. Native and post-contrast myocardial and blood pool T1 were measured in order to calculate ECV. Perfusion was assessed visually by comparing the rest and stress perfusion images using the 16 segment AHA model. Quantitative analysis of the perfusion data were also performed to generate estimates of MBF at stress and rest. MPR values were calculated by dividing the stress by the rest MBF. According to the departmental reference ranges, an ECV >29% and T1 native >1240 ms were classified as abnormal.

Study endpoint

Any CV outcomes that occurred up to the end of study period were recorded. The primary endpoint of clinically relevant SSc-pHI was defined as any episode of...
myocarditis, rhythm disturbances including supraventricular, ventricular and atroventricular block and/or clinically relevant (echocardiographic) functional impairment including systolic dysfunction (defined as a left ventricular ejection fraction <50%) and/or a diastolic dysfunction >grade 1 (defined as an E/A ratio >0.8; deceleration time <200 ms).

Statistical analysis
Student t-test and Mann-Whitney U for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables were used to assess for significant between group differences. Kaplan-Meier survival curves and log-rank test were performed to plot cumulative time-to-event outcomes between groups. Univariate logistic regression was used to identify association between CV outcomes and CMR and cardiac serum biomarkers. The penalised maximum likelihood estimation method was employed to evaluate the predictive value of CMR measures and cardiac biomarkers for the development of CV outcomes. Principal components analysis was applied over all CMR variables to predict missing values in the model. With this pilot study, a two-tailed p value of 0.05 was used to indicate strength of finding as opposed to assigning statistical significance. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics 22) and R (V.3.5.2).

RESULTS
Baseline characteristics
Seventy-four patients with SSc had available baseline and follow-up outcome data and were included in the study. Eighteen of these had an ILR implanted. Participants had a median (IQR) age of 57 (49, 63) years, 24 (32%) had dcSSc and 29 (39%) had a history of interstitial lung disease (ILD). Twenty-seven (36%) were anti-centromere antibody (ACA) positive and 22 (30%) were anti-topoisomerase antibody (Scl70) positive (table 1). Forty-two (57%) patients were receiving a disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug at the time of recruitment. Twenty-one (28%) patients had CV risk factors, 16 had one CV risk factor and 5 had two CV risk factors. None of the patients had any rhythm disturbances, evidence of IHD on ECG or evidence of functional impairment on CMR.

Cardiovascular outcomes
Patients were followed up for a median (IQR) of 22 (15, 54) months. Eleven CV outcomes in 10 patients were recorded, comprising one diagnosis of myocarditis (new clinical presentation; associated with LV systolic dysfunction, with subsequent CMR confirmation) and patients with arrhythmias (table 2). The arrhythmias included three non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (NSVT) and six supraventricular arrhythmias; of which one patient had atrial tachycardia, three atrial fibrillation of which one also had atrial flutter, one patient with atrial flutter and another one with supraventricular tachycardia. For seven out of nine patients with SSc the arrhythmias were picked up by the ILR, only one patient being symptomatic (with palpitations) at the time of the arrhythmia. Two additional patients with SSc developed atrial tachycardia and NSVT detected on 24-hour Holter ECG and stress ECG, investigations that were carried out following an episode of syncope and dizziness with palpitations, respectively.

None of the 74 patients had diastolic dysfunction >grade 1. The median (IQR) time to CV outcome was 14 (8, 27) months. The patients with SSc with CV outcomes had a median (IQR) baseline age and disease

| Table 1 Disease characteristics of patients with SSc |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| **SSc phenotype** | **Patients with SSc, n=74** |
| Age, median (IQR) | 57 (49, 63) |
| Female | 63 (85) |
| Disease subtype | |
| lcSSc | 50 (68) |
| dcSSc | 24 (32) |
| Disease duration (years), median (IQR) | 8 (2, 16) |
| History of | |
| Digital ulceration | 16 (22) |
| Calcification | 17 (23) |
| Myositis | 3 (4) |
| GORD | 66 (90) |
| Interstitial lung disease | 29 (39) |
| Current use of DMARD | 42 (57) |
| Clinical profile | |
| Total modified Rodnan skin score, median (IQR) | 2 (1, 6) |
| Digital ulceration | 10 (14) |
| Tendon friction rubs | 2 (3) |
| Calcification | 14 (19) |
| Antibody profile | |
| ANA | 69 (93) |
| ACA | 27 (36) |
| Scl70 | 22 (30) |
| CV risk factors | |
| Any CV risk factors | 21 (28) |
| FHx premature CVD | 8 (11) |
| Smoking | 6 (8) |
| Dyslipidaemia | 3 (4) |
| HBP | 8 (11) |
| One CV risk factor | 16 (21) |
| Two CV risk factors | 5 (7) |

N % presented unless stated otherwise. ACA, anti-centromere antibody; ANA, antinuclear antibodies; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; dcSSc, diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis; DMARD, disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FHx, family history; GORD, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease; HBP, high blood pressure; lcSSc, limited cutaneous systemic sclerosis; Scl70, anti-topoisomerase antibody; SSc, systemic sclerosis.
Duration of 58 (52, 64) and 2 (1, 22) years, respectively, nine were female, five had dcSSc, four had a diagnosis of ILD, three were Scl70 and five were ACA positive. All patients were in sinus rhythm, and none had presentations of IHD.

**CV outcomes and CMR indices**

Of the 72 patients with available LGE data, 14 had focal LGE fibrosis in a non-coronary distribution. Only one of these sustained a CV outcome. The other eight patients with CV outcomes showed no LGE (available LGE data in 9 out of 10 that sustained a CV outcome) (table 3). Seventy-one of 74 patients had available ECV data of which 9 had a CV outcome. An ECV >29% was recorded in 8/9 patients with SSC with CV outcomes versus 40/62 patients with no CV outcomes (table 3). In other words, 48/71 patients with SSC had an ECV above the normal threshold (>29%) of which 8 sustained a CV outcome compared with only 1/23 patients with SSC with normal ECV (of available ECV data in 9/10 that sustained a CV outcome). Numerically higher LV mass was noted in those with CV outcomes (mean (SD) 48 (10) vs 43 (10), p=0.102) (table 3) although within normal range. There was no difference in MPR between those with and without CV outcomes (median (IQR) 1.86 (1.5, 2.4) vs 1.96 (1.6, 2.5), respectively, p=0.696). The mean LV volume and function were within normal range, with no suggestion of impairment in those with a CV outcome.

**CV outcomes and serum cardiac biomarkers**

Patients with CV outcomes had modestly higher hs-TnI (median (IQR) 5 (3, 127) vs 3 (3, 7), respectively, p=0.116) and higher NT-proBNP (median (IQR) 134 (88, 298) vs 83 (48, 124), respectively, p=0.114) compared with those with no CV outcomes. A NT-proBNP above the normal reference range (>125 pg/mL) was recorded in 6/10 patients with CV outcomes versus 15/64 patients with no CV outcomes (p=0.026) (table 3). That is, CV outcomes were recorded in 6/10 with NT-proBNP above the normal range (>125 pg/mL) and in 4/53 patients with normal NT-proBNP levels. An abnormal hs-TnI was noted in 2/9 patients with CV outcomes versus 4/61 patients with no CV outcomes (table 3). Therefore, of the 70 patients with available hs-TnI data, CV outcomes occurred in 2/6 patients with abnormal hs-TnI (>37 ng/L) and in 7/64 with normal hs-TnI levels.

**Probability of CV outcomes in those with and without abnormal CMR and cardiac serum biomarkers**

The probability of CV outcomes was higher in those with NT-proBNP above the normal threshold compared with those with normal NT-proBNP levels ($X^2=4.47$, p=0.035) (figure 1). A trend in those with higher ECV compared with those with normal ECV values ($X^2=2.659$, p=0.103) (figure 1) and in those with hs-TnI above the normal threshold ($≥$37) compared with those with normal hs-TnI levels was also observed ($X^2=2.530$, p=0.112). The probability of CV outcomes did not differ between patients with LGE compared with those with no LGE ($X^2=2.530$, p=0.112) (figure 1).

**CMR and cardiac biomarkers as predictors of CV outcomes**

Univariate logistic analysis showed an association of NT-proBNP above the normal threshold (>125 pg/mL) with the presence of CV outcomes (OR=4.90, p=0.025). Hs-TnI also associated with the presence of CV outcomes (OR=1.009, p=0.040). There was no association between

---

**Table 2** Baseline characteristics of patients with CV outcomes

| Patient 1 | Patient 2 | Patient 3 | Patient 4 | Patient 5 | Patient 6 | Patient 7 | Patient 8 | Patient 9 | Patient 10 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| CV outcome | SVT | SVT | AF | AF | AF and flutter | Atrial flutter | NSVT | NSVT | NSVT |
| Baseline ECG | — | SR | SR | SR | SR | SR | SR | SR, VE | SR |
| Age (years) | 74 | 62 | 57 | 73 | 49 | 55 | 52 | 59 | 50 | 60 |
| Gender | F | F | F | F | F | F | F | F | F |
| SSc subset | lcSSc | lcSSc | dcSSc | lcSSc | lcSSc | dcSSc | dcSSc | dcSSc | dcSSc |
| ILD | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| MRSS | 6 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 0 | 9 |
| History of DU | ACA | ACA | — | ACA | ACA | ACA | Sci70 | Anti Ro | Sci70 | Sci70 |
| NT-proBNP (pg/mL) | 161 | 288 | 105 | 127 | 32 | 141 | 329 | 105 | 38 | 470 |
| Hs-TnI (ng/L) | 3 | 5 | 3 | — | 6 | 31 | 313 | 5 | 3 | 225 |

ACA, anti-centromere antibody; AF, atrial fibrillation; ANA, antinuclear antibodies; CV, cardiovascular; dcSSc, diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis; DU, digital ulcers; hs-TnI, high-sensitivity troponin I; lcSSc, limited cutaneous systemic sclerosis; ILD, interstitial lung disease; MRSS, modified Rodnan skin score; NSVT, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; Sci70, anti-topoisomerase antibody; SR, sinus rhythm; SSC, systemic sclerosis; SVT, supraventricular tachycardia; VE, ventricular ectopics.
LGE or LGE% and CV outcomes (OR=0.48, p=0.508; OR=1.003, p=0.990, respectively) at univariate analysis. A predictive model was built using penalised likelihood estimation that included CMR variables and cardiac biomarkers. NT-proBNP above the normal threshold was the only variable associated with the development of CV outcomes (OR=5.335, p=0.040) although an ECV above 29% almost reached similar strength (OR=4.347, p=0.073) (table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

This study evaluates the association of subclinical (CMR and blood) indicators of SSc-pHi (not wider CVD) for future development of CV events. Just under 15% (10/74) of this cohort developed CV outcomes including myocarditis, systolic dysfunction and arrhythmias. NT-proBNP indicating subclinical contractile impairment associated with future CV outcomes, with a similar trend of an imaging marker indicative of diffuse fibrosis (measured as higher ECV on CMR).

A significant proportion of unselected patients with SSc have subclinical cardiac involvement when sensitive methods are used. We and others have demonstrated the presence of focal and diffuse fibrosis as well as microvascular impairment on CMR. The prognostic implications of such subclinical CMR abnormalities are however not established. Several cross-sectional studies demonstrate an association between LGE burden and ventricular arrhythmia as assessed by 24hour Holter ECG, while other studies do not confirm these findings. Preliminary results from our group demonstrated association of CMR-ECV with arrhythmias on implantable loop recording as well as higher hs-TnI and NT-proBNP levels in patients with SSc with confirmed electrophysiological abnormalities.

### Table 3 CMR parameters and cardiac biomarkers in patients with SSc with CV outcomes versus patients with SSc with no CV outcomes

| CMR variable | Patients with SSc with CV outcomes | Patients with SSc with no CV outcomes | P value |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| **Myocardial inflammation and fibrosis** | | | |
| ECV% | 31 (2) | 30 (3) | 0.61 |
| ECV >29, n% | 08 September | 40/62 | Fisher’s exact test 0.254 |
| T1 native (ms) | 1216 (88) | 1237 (72) | 0.434 |
| T1 native >1240 ms, n% | 5/9 | 33/64 | Fisher’s exact test 1 |
| LGE presence | 1/9 | 13/63 | Fisher’s exact test 0.679 |
| Fibrosis mass | 0.42 (1.27) | 0.45 (1.2) | 0.931 |
| LGE% (from LV mass) | 0.60 (1.8) | 0.59 (1.5) | 0.822 |
| **Myocardial perfusion** | | | |
| MPR, median (IQR) | 1.86 (1.5, 2.4) | 1.96 (1.6, 2.5) | 0.696 |
| Stress MBF, median (IQR) | 1.98 (1.8, 2.6) | 1.93 (1.4, 2.7) | 0.635 |
| Rest MBF, median (IQR) | 1.21 (0.8, 1.4) | 0.99 (0.7, 1.2) | 0.339 |
| **LV dimension and function** | | | |
| LVEDV/BSA (mL/m²) | 76 (15) | 80 (14) | 0.408 |
| LVESV/BSA (mL/m²) | 30 (8) | 30 (9) | 0.926 |
| LVSV/BSA (mL/m²) | 50 (7) | 47 (7) | 0.191 |
| LV mass/BSA (g/m²) | 48 (10) | 43 (10) | 0.102 |
| LVEF % | 63 (5) | 62 (5) | 0.496 |
| **Cardiac biomarkers** | | | |
| hs-TnI, median (IQR) | 5 (3,128) | 3 (3,7) | 0.116 |
| hs-TnI >37 ng/L, n% | 2/9 | 4/61 | Fisher’s exact test 0.168 |
| NT-proBNP, median (IQR) | 134 (88, 298) | 83 (48, 124) | 0.114 |
| NT-proBNP >125 pg/mL, n% | 6/10 | 15/64 | Fisher’s exact test 0.026* |

Mean (SD) unless stated otherwise.
*p<0.05.

BSA, body surface area; CMR, cardiovascular magnetic resonance; CV, cardiovascular; ECV, extracellular-volume fraction; EDV, end-diastolic volume; EF, ejection fraction; ESV, end-systolic volume; hs-TnI, high-sensitivity troponin I; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricular; MBF, myocardial blood flow; MPR, myocardial perfusion reserve; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; SSc, systemic sclerosis; SV, stroke volume.
The current study sought to build on this further to explore the value of CMR and cardiac biomarkers in the development of a future prognostic model for predicting SSc-pHI CV outcomes. Several studies have investigated the prognostic value of CMR for predicting CV outcomes in a longitudinal cohort.34 36 The Scleroderma Arrhythmia Clinical Utility Study included 150 patients with SSc and reported predictive value of increased T2 ratio, a marker of myocardial oedema and LGE% for the development of ventricular rhythm disturbances and sudden cardiac death in SSc. Another study in 40 consecutive patients with SSc that had T1 mapping and diffusion-weighted imaging CMR showed association of T1 native with the occurrence of CV events37 while Bordonaro et al, looking retrospectively at a symptomatic SSc cohort reported LGE, T1 native and ECV as independent predictors of CV events.38 These studies included patients with both primary and secondary CVD, capturing real-life cohorts. Our study is focusing on primary SSc cardiac pathology; in order to understand pathophysiology of SSc-pHI and to inform on risk stratification. We thus excluded patients with any prior diagnosis of heart disease, that comprised cardiomyopathies, heart failure, valve disease, PAH, IHD, diabetes and more than two CV risk factors at baseline and defined CV outcomes that were attributable to SSc-pHI. Fourteen per cent of the patients developed CV outcomes consistent with SSc-pHI including myocarditis, supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias. NT-proBNP associated with the development of CV outcomes, indicating that measures of subclinical myocardial contractile impairment in a cohort with otherwise no clinical suggestion of cardiac involvement, precedes the development of CV outcomes in SSc. Given the ease and low cost of blood biomarkers, this finding will provide an accessible means of stratifying for SSc-pHI. Hs-TnI did not clearly associate with CV outcomes. This is perhaps expected as hs-TnI is an indicator of myocardial injury, associated with acute CV events. The time of serum hs-TnI sampling in this asymptomatic cohort is thus particularly relevant as may be the relatively small sample size, limiting ability of prediction. A longitudinal study with more frequent sampling for hs-TnI (and NT-proBNP) would clarify this further. Several other studies have also suggested an association of higher NT-proBNP and hs-Tn levels with the development of CV events in patients with SSc.15 39 No studies however explored the value of

Figure 1  Time-to-event plots for cardiovascular outcomes. (A) Time-to-event plots in patients with normal and abnormal NT-proBNP. (B) Time-to-event plots in patients with normal and abnormal hs-TnI. (C) Time-to-event plots in patients with normal and abnormal ECV. (D) Time-to-event plots in patients with and without LGE. ECV, extracellular volume; hs-TnI, high-sensitivity troponin I; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.
cardiac biomarkers in association with CMR indices for the development of SSc-pHI in a longitudinal cohort.

ECV, suggestive of diffuse myocardial fibrosis showed a trend for association with CV outcomes. Eight of nine subjects with a CV outcome had an ECV above the normal threshold (>29%)—although raised ECV was common also in patients without CV events. Time-to-event plots showed that patients with higher ECV had more CV outcomes. Increasing data from ischaemic and non-ischaemic cardiomyopathies demonstrate the prognostic relevance of T1 mapping indices (native T1 and ECV) in predicting CV events and we have recently documented the presence of higher ECV in patients with SSc and significant arrhythmias.15 40 41 Fibrosis, in particular diffuse fibrosis might thus represent the substrate for the development of CV outcomes and a sensitive marker in the early detection of future SSc-pHI.

Only 1 of the 14 patients with focal fibrosis on LGE had a CV outcome (ie, of 10 subjects with a CV outcome, only 1 subject showed focal fibrosis LGE). The relatively small sample size and event ratio as well as the relatively low extent of fibrosis in our study likely accounts for the lack of an association between CV outcome and LGE, which is inconsistent with other studies. However, the extent and location of LGE need to be taken into consideration as these are important determinants for the development of arrhythmias and CV events in both ischaemic and non-ischaemic cardiomyopathies.42 43 These data imply scar mass may be more modest in SSc-pHI and thus of limited utility.

Both lower and higher LV mass have been reported in different IMID44–46; in our study, patients with confirmed SSc-pHI CV outcomes had greater LV mass, although this did not reach significance. Increased LV mass and LV hypertrophy are recognised as predictors of sudden cardiac death and arrhythmia in different cardiac pathologies.47 48 Further research is needed to clarify the significance of increased LV mass in SSc.

This study also investigated the association between myocardial perfusion and the development of CV outcomes, with no association demonstrated. The significance of myocardial microvascular impairment in SSc needs to be further addressed in longer follow-up studies.

This study has some limitations. While this is a good-sized longitudinal follow-up study in the context of the existing literature, larger cohorts and longer follow-up with more events will be needed to conclusively determine the prognostic value of abnormal CMR indices. An inception cohort and/or more patients with early disease would be more representative to avoid selection bias and potentially miss CV outcomes occurring early in the disease. T2-weighted imaging, a common sequence used for the detection of myocardial inflammation was not included in the CMR protocol, however, T1 mapping, proven to have superior diagnostic accuracy in detecting myocardial oedema and fibrosis was instead used.49 50 We combined two cohorts that had different monitoring methods. The majority of patients with arrhythmia were from the smaller cohort with ILR monitoring and were asymptomatic. CV outcomes may have been missed in the non-ILR group, potentially underestimating the event rates of the study. Most of the observed endpoints were arrhythmias occurring in the atria. Despite the known link between myocardial disease and atrial arrhythmia, this observation may partly explain the lack of correlation between focal fibrosis as measured with LGE and the clinical endpoints in this study. Blood biomarkers and ECV appear more predictive of atrial arrhythmias as they are more general markers of cardiac disease.

In conclusion, this study provides initial evidence for a prognostic risk model of clinical SSc-pHI and identifies in particular NT-proBNP and potentially diffuse myocardial fibrosis by CMR as associating with future CV events. Larger, longitudinal studies are required to confirm the findings and inform risk stratification for future development of CV outcomes of SSc-pHI.
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