Dose Rate Measurements in Pulsed Radiation Fields by Means of an Organic Scintillator
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Abstract—A deficiency in the implementation of current radiation protection is the determination of the ambient dose equivalent $H'_{10}(10)$ and the directional dose equivalent $H'(0.07)$ in pulsed radiation fields. Conventional dosimeter systems are not suitable for measurements in photon fields comprising short radiation pulses, which consequently leads to high detector loads in short time periods. Nevertheless, due to the implementation of advanced medical accelerators for cancer therapy, new medical diagnostic devices as well as various laser machining systems, there is an urgent need for suitable dosimeter systems for real time dosimetry. In this paper, a detector concept based on an organic scintillator and a full digital data analysis with the aim of developing a portable, battery powered measurement system is presented.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Many dosimetric measurement systems are not suitable for the application in pulsed radiation fields regarding radiation protection scenarios. Especially in applications where a low mean dose rate in the range of 1 µSv/h is applied. A main challenge in such fields is to process high detector loads within short time periods, while an appropriate dead time behavior and the suppression of pile up effects must be ensured. A promising approach for an active dosimetric system fulfilling these requirements is the combination of a fast tissue equivalent scintillation detector coupled to a full digital signal processing unit. Such a system could allow real time dosimetry by measuring the deposited energy in the detector, while a discrimination between pulsed and non-pulsed events is realized by comparing the individual time stamps of the measured events. Additionally, pile up events can be identified by analyzing the pulse shape of the individual events. Furthermore, due to the completely non-paralyzed dead time behavior of the detection system, it is possible to correct signal losses for the respective measurement. The principle of this method, which is in detail described in [1], was implemented in an appropriate detection system based on an organic scintillator and a digital data acquisition board. The developed prototype was tested in a continuous as well as pulsed photon fields.

In a measurement campaign at the institute of nuclear and particle physics (IKTP) of Technische Universität Dresden, Germany, with a $^{137}$Cs source, quantitative dose rate measurements were performed. To determine the dose values an appropriate analyzing algorithm for dose rate measurements was developed. At the γELBE bremsstrahlung-facility [2] a pulsed photon beam was available, where measurements under various pulse frequencies (up to 10 kHz) and a macro pulse duration of 40 µs were performed. The detection system was placed next to a polymethylmethacrylat (PMMA) phantom, which was irradiated with the bremsstrahlung-beam. Additionally, dose rate measurements at a clinical TrueBeam therapeutic system by Varian [3] were performed, where the detector was placed outside the treatment room. For both measurements in pulsed radiation fields, it was possible to reconstruct the characteristic structure of the pulsed beam, which comprises the identification of the pulse length and repetition rate.

Figure 1. The detection system is a portable, battery powered device with two organic scintillation elements ($H'_{10}(10)$ element, and $H'(0.07)$ element) each coupled on an individual photomultiplier tube with a subsequent free running 14 bit analog-to-digital converter with a sampling rate of 125 MHz for data.
as the organic scintillation material is approximately tissue equivalent, the weighting factor of different energy deposition (Figure 3b: signal 1 and signal 3 (dashed line)) is the same as for equal energy deposition (Figure 3b: signal 1 and signal 2). Because of the mentioned FPGA real-time processing the detection system shows a non-parasifiable dead time behavior due to the subsequent integration gates (Figure 3a).

The system dead time is thereby defined by the length of the integration window (long gate for determining the pulse charge). If multiple events occur in the same integration window the resulting multi-event-signal (Pile-up signal) comprises the deposited energies of each detected single event (Figure 3b). As the organic scintillation material is approximately tissue equivalent, the weighting factor of different energy deposition (Figure 3b: signal 1 and signal 3 (dashed line)) is the same as for equal energy deposition (Figure 3b: signal 1 and signal 2).

C. Experimental Setups
In the following, the experimental setups of the three performed experiments are described. In section a) the measurement in a continuous photon field is characterized, while section b) and c) comprise two experiments in different pulsed photon fields.

a) Radioactive nuclide (continuous): At the IKTP an experiment was performed in a continuous radiation field of a $^{137}$Cs source (Figure 4). Within this experiment, it was intended to proof the implemented algorithm by measuring the dose and dose rate under defined conditions. The measured values at different distances to the source were therefore compared to the data from an ionization chamber UNIDOS webline [4] by Physikalisch-Technische Werkstätten (PTW) Freiburg.

b) Research accelerator (pulsed): In a further experiment at the γELBE bremsstrahlung-facility the detector was exposed to a scattered photon field, in which the time structure of the initial beam was received. The micro-frequency of 13 MHz is not regarded in this study and assumed to be quasi continuous at the studied time scales. The accelerator was operated to produce a

A. Data processing
As shown in the sketch of Figure 2, for each recorded event signal the FPGA algorithm determines three distinct parameters: (1) The first one is the timestamp, which represents the pulse onset with respect to the number of elapsed clock cycles. (2) The second parameter is the pulse charge, which is defined by the integral over the whole pulse (long gate). (3) The third is the pulse shape parameter (PSD), which is given by the ratio of the partly integrated pulse (front part of the signal / short gate) to the integral of the whole pulse. This parameter is therefore a measure for the shape of the pulse and thus allows to distinguish between different “event scenarios” in the scintillation detector (e.g. single event, pile-up event, particle discrimination).

B. Data analysis
As introduced in section 1, a main challenge for a dose compliance measurement in pulsed radiation fields is to handle the high detector load within short time periods (Hz - kHz) and at the same time short pulse durations (down to ns - fs). In the detected data the dead time behavior of the detection system as well as the probability of pile-up events must be considered.

Figure 2. The voltage pulses coming from the two photomultiplier tubes are digitized by an analogue-to-digital converter (125 MHz, 14 Bit) and processed by a field programmable gate array (FPGA). In the sketch the three individual parameter analysis are shown: (1) the timestamp, which determines the arrival time of the detected event, (2) the pulse charge, which is the integral over the signal and proportional to the deposited energy and (3) the pulse shape parameter (PSD), which represents the shape of the signal.
The developed detection system was irradiated with a collimated $^{137}$Cs source to determine quantitative dose/dose rate values.

At the Helios Klinikum Aue, Germany, a measurement next to the control room was performed, while at the same time an experiment was carried out inside the treatment room. A TrueBeam therapeutic system by Varian produces a pulsed photon beam, where the frequency depends on the requested dose / dose rate in the treatment and can therefore vary. Here, the detector was placed outside the treatment room in the adjacent corridor behind a door to the treatment room (Figure 5). Inside the treatment room a PMMA target was irradiated with a 30 cm x 30 cm field and a 15 MV photon beam. The dose rate in the treatment room was set to 5 Gy/min for the performed experiment.

c) Medical accelerator (pulsed): At the Helios Klinikum Aue, Germany, a TrueBeam therapeutic system by Varian produces a pulsed photon beam, where the frequency depends on the requested dose / dose rate in the treatment and can therefore vary. Here, the detector was placed outside the treatment room in the adjacent corridor behind a door to the treatment room (Figure 5). Inside the treatment room a PMMA target was irradiated with a 30 cm x 30 cm field and a 15 MV photon beam. The dose rate in the treatment room was set to 5 Gy/min for the performed experiment.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Radioactive Source: $^{137}$Cs

Figure 6 shows the pulse charge spectra for the different detection elements (red: $H^*(10)$ element, gray: $H'(0.07)$ element) measured in the radiation field of a $^{137}$Cs source. As the scintillation material is a low Z-scintillator ($Z_{eff} \approx 4.5$ [5]) the characteristic $^{137}$Cs peak at 662 keV is not visible in the respective spectra. To calibrate the detectors, the Compton edges (c.f. Figure 6) and dosimetric values from the data of the UNIDOS wecline ionization chamber were used. For this, a reference measurement at a fixed position was performed. This, together with the pulse charge spectra (Compton edge), allowed an energy calibration and therefore a comparison of dose and dose rate values between the detection system and the ionization chamber. The measured relative dose values at the different positions are given in Figure 7. Here, the values were normalized to the respective first value at a distance of $d = 50$ cm. Consequently, at this position the
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Figure 4. The developed detection system was irradiated with a collimated $^{137}$Cs source to determine quantitative dose/dose rate values.
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Figure 5. At the Helios Klinikum, Aue, Germany, a measurement next to the control room was performed, while at the same time an experiment was carried out inside the treatment room.
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Figure 6. The pulse-charge histograms for the two individual detector elements (gray: $H^*(10)$ element, red: $H'(0.07)$ element) measured during the irradiation with a $^{137}$Cs source. The Compton edges are clearly visible.
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Figure 7. The relative dose decreases with greater distances. The black values belong to the reference measurement with an ionization chamber (UNIDOS wecline), the red to the $H^*(10)$ element and the blue to the $H'(0.07)$ element of the detection system. All measured values are normalized to the respective first value recorded at a distance of 50 cm.
relative dose for the different detectors is equal to 1. For the
different detector elements the exposed dose decreases, as
expected, with greater distances and the single values match
with the reference measurement (ionization chamber). This
proofs that the developed detection system is suitable to
evaluate dose as well as dose rate quantities in continuous
radiation photon fields.

B. Research Accelerator: ELBE
To reconstruct the timing structure of the primary beam the
difference between two subsequent measured events was
calculated. The resulting time-difference histogram is shown in
Figure 8. The red curve represents the measurement of a pulsed
beam with a frequency of 5 kHz and the blue one with a
frequency of 10 kHz. The black curve belongs to the cw
measurement. In these histograms the time structure of the
initial beam can be clearly identified, where the periodic peak
structure gives the respective beam period (0.2 ms and 0.1 ms)
(consequently, the above mentioned frequencies) and the peak
widths encode the beam pulse duration. In contrast to the pulsed
beam, the time differences for events, which are measured
during a continuous beam, follow a falling monotonous
distribution.

C. Medical Accelerator: TrueBeam
Similar to the above described experiment, the time-difference
histogram (Figure 9) was measured outside the treatment room
during the operation of a clinical accelerator. The determined
frequency of the accelerator was reconstructed from the
measured data with around 166 Hz for the specific beam setting.

IV. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK
Based on the performed experiments, it could be shown that the
timing structure of various pulsed radiation photon fields can
be reconstructed out of the recorded data. This allows, for
example, the discrimination between detected events, which are
correlated to the pulsed beam, and possible continuous
background radiation in future experiments. Furthermore, this
enables a correction of the dose and dose rate values for
applications under different conditions (mixed photon fields).
The first quantitative measurements in a continuous photon
field proof that the system is in principle suitable for the
evaluation of dose and dose rate values. In future experiments,
this will be additionally tested in pulsed photon fields.

The detections system will also be tested in low energy photon
fields (~ keV) to ensure an appropriate calculation of the
radiation protection quantities for low doses and dose rates.
For this, an accurate energy calibration is needed, which will be
obtained from a further experiment based on a coincidence
scattering technique. The detailed method is described in
reference [6] and [7].
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