The effects of new neutral $Z'$ boson in $B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^-$, when $\phi$ is longitudinal or transverse polarized, are studied. In addition, the implications of $Z'$ boson on the unpolarized and polarized $CP$ violation asymmetries, with reference to lepton, are also presented. It is observed that the branching ratio with polarized $\phi$ are quite sensitive to the $Z'$ contributions which are coming through the modification of Wilson coefficients $C_{\text{eff}}^9$ and $C_{\text{10}}$. Moreover, the off-diagonal elements of the chiral $Z'$ couplings contain new weak phase $\phi_{sb}$ that provide a new source of $CP$ violation. Keeping in view that in the FCNC transitions the $CP$ violation asymmetries are highly suppressed in the SM, we have studied the unpolarized and polarized $CP$ violation asymmetries in $B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays. Our results indicate that these $CP$ violation asymmetries are remarkably significant and can attribute the any new physics coming through the $Z'$ boson. It is hoped that the accurate measurements of these asymmetries will not only help us to establish NP but will also give a chance to determine the precise values of the coupling parameters of the $Z'$ boson.

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of high energy experiments is to resolve the unanswered questions in the Standard Model (SM) through searches of new physics (NP) using complimentary approaches. The first approach is at the energy frontiers where the key representatives are the ATLAS and CMS experiments at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN. The main purpose of these two detectors is to smash the particles at sufficiently large energy and then study the different particles produced after this collision. The second approach is at the rare/precision frontier where LHCb experiment at the LHC and the Belle II at the super KEKB are the names of two important experiments in regard to the flavor physics.

In the precision approach the observable signature of new particles or processes can be obtained through the measurements of flavor physics reactions at lower energies and collect the evidence of any deviation from these predictions. A natural place is to investigate the flavor-changing-neutral-current (FCNC) processes in $B$-meson decays where one of the heavy quark makes them an ideal laboratory to test the non-perturbative aspects of the QCD and also make them a fertile hunting ground for testing the SM and probing the possible NP effects.

Undoubtedly, the predictions of the SM are in good agreement with the collider data until now, however, still there exists some mysteries that are unanswer the in this model. Just to name the few, they include neutrino oscillations, baryon asymmetry, dark matter, unification, the strong $CP$ violation and the hierarchy problems. To answer these issues there exists a plethora of the NP models such as the extra dimension models, various supersymmetric models, etc. In grand unification theories such as $SU(5)$ or string-inspired $E6$ models $[1,5]$, one of the most pertinent is the $Z'$ scenarios that include the family non-universal $Z'$ $[6,7]$ and leptophobic $Z'$ models $[8,9]$.

It is well known that the gauge group $SU(5)$ can be extended to the next important group $SO(10)$ which has one extra rank and hence lead to an idea of an extra heavy neutral $Z$ boson $[10]$. Even though $Z'$ gauge couplings are family universal $[11-15]$, however, due to different constructions of the different families in string models it is possible
to have family non-universal $Z'$ couplings. For example in some of them, three generation of leptons and also the first and second generation of quarks have different coupling to $Z'$ boson when compared to the third families of quarks $u, d, c, s, b, t, \tau$. The details about this model can be seen for instance in \cite{3,15,22}.

Searching of an extra $Z'$ boson is an important mission of the Tevatron \cite{24} and the LHC \cite{25} experiments. Performing constraints on the new $Z'$ couplings through low-energy precise processes is, on the other hand, very crucial and complementary for these direct searches $Z' \to e^+e^-$ at the Tevatron \cite{28}. It is interesting to note that such a family non-universal $Z'$ model could bring new CP-violating phases beyond the SM and have a large effect on many FCNC processes \cite{27,28}, such as the $B_s \to B_s$ mixing \cite{29,32}, as well as some rare \cite{31} and hadronic $B$–meson decays \cite{25,30}.

In the present study we will analyze the $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$ decay in the family non-universal $Z'$ scenario. At quark level this decay is governed by the FCNC transition $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$, which arises at loop level in the SM because of the Glashow-Ilipoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism where the new heavily predicted particles of different models can manifest themselves. In particular, by analyzing the different physical observables like the decay rate, the forward-backward asymmetry and different lepton polarization asymmetries and comparing them with the SM predictions one can test the SM as well as find the traces of the physics beyond it. A detailed analysis of the above mentioned physical observables in family non-universal $Z'$ model for $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$ is discussed in length in ref. \cite{37}. However, the study of the polarized and unpolarized CP violation asymmetries as well as the polarized branching ratio is still missing in the literature.

With the motivation that the behavior of the other observables in the presence of the $Z'$ boson may play a crucial role in redefining our knowledge about the family non-universal $Z'$ model, we have studied both polarized and unpolarized CP violation asymmetries and the polarized branching ratio for $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$ in the SM and $Z'$ model. In the context of CP violation asymmetry, it is important to emphasize that the FCNC transitions are proportional to three CKM matrix elements, namely, $V_{ub}V^*_{us}$, $V_{cb}V^*_{cs}$, and $V_{ub}V^*_{us}$; however, due to the unitarity condition, and neglecting $V_{ub}V^*_{us}$ in comparison of $V_{ub}V^*_{us}$ and $V_{cb}V^*_{cs}$, the CP violation asymmetry is highly suppressed in the SM. Therefore, the measurement of CP violation asymmetries in FCNC decays play a pivotal role to find the signatures of the $Z'$ model.

This paper is schemed as follows: In section II we briefly describe the theoretical formulation necessary for the transition $b \to s$, including, effective Hamiltonian, matrix elements in terms of form factors and then define the amplitude by using these matrix elements. In section III we give the explicit expression of polarized branching ratio, polarized and unpolarized CP violation asymmetries for $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$. Section IV presents the phenomenological analysis and discussion on the numerical results. The summary of the results and concluding remarks will also be given in the same section.

II. THE $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$ TRANSITION IN THE SM AND FAMILY NON-UNIVERSAL $Z'$ MODEL

A. The SM effective Hamiltonian

The effective Hamiltonian for the decay channel $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$ with $\ell = \mu, \tau$, proceed through the quark level transition $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ in the SM, can be written as

$$H_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V^*_{tb} V_{ts} \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $G_F$ is a Fermi coupling constant and $V_{ij}$ are the matrix elements of Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. In above equation (1) $O_i(\mu)$ ($i = 1, \ldots, 10$) are the four-quark operators and $C_i(\mu)$ are the corresponding Wilson coefficients at the energy scale $\mu$ and the explicit expressions of these Wilson Coefficients at next-to-leading order (NLO) and next-to-next-leading logarithm (NNLL) are given in \cite{38,48}. By considering the fact that $\frac{V_{ub}V^*_{us}}{V_{cb}V^*_{cs}} < 0.02$, we have neglected the terms proportional to $V_{ub}V^*_{us}$. The operators responsible for $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$ are $O_7, O_9$ and $O_{10}$ and their form is given by

$$O_7 = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} m_b \langle \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} P_R b \rangle F^{\mu\nu},$$
$$O_9 = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \langle \bar{s} \gamma_\mu P_L b \rangle \langle \bar{\ell} \gamma_\nu \ell \rangle,$$
$$O_{10} = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \langle \bar{s} \gamma_\mu P_L b \rangle \langle \bar{\ell} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \ell \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
with \( P_{L,R} = (1 \pm \gamma_5)/2 \). Neglecting the strange quark mass, the effective Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H} \) gives the following matrix element

\[
\mathcal{M}(B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^-) = \frac{\alpha_{em} G_F}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \left[ \langle \phi(k,\varepsilon)|3\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma^5)b|B_s(p)\rangle \left\{ C_9^{\text{eff}}(\bar{T}_5\gamma^\mu\gamma^5) + C_{10}(\bar{T}_5\gamma^\mu\gamma^5) \right\} 
- 2C_7^{\text{eff}} m_b \langle \phi(k,\varepsilon)|3i\sigma_{\mu\nu}\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{s}(1 + \gamma^5)b|B_s(p)\rangle(\bar{T}_5\gamma^\mu) \right],
\]

where \( \alpha_{em} \) is the electromagnetic coupling constant calculated at the Z boson mass scale. Also, \( q = p_1 + p_2 \) is the momentum transfer to the final lepton pair, with \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are the momentum of \( \ell^- \) and \( \ell^+ \), respectively and \( s \) is the square of the momentum transfer.

The Wilson coefficient \( C_9^{SM}(\mu) \) with commonly used notation \( C_9^{\text{eff}}(\mu) \) corresponds to the semileptonic operator \( O_9 \). It can be decomposed into three parts

\[
C_9^{SM} = C_9^{\text{eff}}(\mu) = C_9(\mu) + Y_{SD}(z,s') + Y_{LD}(z,s'),
\]

where the parameters \( z \) and \( s' \) are defined as \( z = m_c/m_b \), \( s' = q^2/m_b^2 \). The function \( Y_{SD}(z,s') \) corresponding to short distance describes the perturbative part which include the indirect contributions from the matrix element of four-quark operators \( \sum_{i=1}^6 (l+1-s)O_i(b) \) and this lies sufficiently far away from the \( c\bar{c} \) resonance regions. The manifest expressions for \( Y_{SD}(z,s') \) can be written as \( [49, 50] \)

\[
Y_{SD}(z,s') = h(z,s')(3C_1(\mu) + C_2(\mu) + 3C_3(\mu) + C_4(\mu) + 3C_5(\mu) + C_6(\mu))
- \frac{1}{2} h(1,s')(4C_3(\mu) + 4C_4(\mu) + 3C_5(\mu) + C_6(\mu))
- \frac{1}{2} h(0,s')(3C_3(\mu) + 3C_4(\mu) + 2 C_3(\mu) + C_4(\mu) + 3C_5(\mu) + C_6(\mu)),
\]

with

\[
h(z,s') = \frac{8}{9} \ln \frac{m_c}{m_b} + \frac{8}{27} + \frac{4}{9} x - \frac{2}{9} (2 + x) |1 - x|^{1/2} \left\{ \ln \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1 - x} + 1}{\sqrt{1 - x} - 1} \right] - i\pi \right\} \quad \text{for } x \equiv 4z^2/s' < 1,
\]

\[
h(0,s') = \frac{8}{27} - \frac{8}{9} \ln \frac{m_b}{\mu} + \frac{4}{9} \ln s' + \frac{4}{9} i\pi.
\]

The long-distance contributions \( Y_{LD}(z,s') \) from four-quark operators near the \( c\bar{c} \) resonance cannot be calculated from first principles of QCD and are usually parameterized in the form of a phenomenological Breit-Wigner formula making use of the vacuum saturation approximation and quark-hadron duality. In the present study we ignore this part because this lies far away from the region of interest.

The Wilson coefficient \( C_7^{\text{eff}} \) is given by \( [51, 53] \)

\[
C_7^{SM} = C_7^{\text{eff}}(\mu) = C_7(\mu) + C_{b \rightarrow s\gamma}(\mu),
\]

with

\[
C_{b \rightarrow s\gamma}(\mu) = i\alpha_s \left[ \frac{2}{9} \eta^{14/23}(G_1(x_i) - 0.1687) - 0.03 C_2(\mu) \right],
\]

\[
G_1(x_i) = \frac{x_i (x_i^2 - 5x_i - 2)}{8(x_i - 1)^3} + \frac{3x_i^2 \ln^2 x_i}{4(x_i - 1)^4},
\]

where \( \eta = \alpha_s(m_W)/\alpha_s(\mu) \), \( x_i = m_{W}^2/m_{b}^2 \). \( C_{b \rightarrow s\gamma} \) is the absorptive part for the \( b \rightarrow s\bar{c}c \rightarrow s\gamma \) rescattering and we have dropped out the tiny contributions proportional to CKM sector \( V_{ub} V_{us}^* \).

### B. The effective Hamiltonian in Z' model

In the Z' model, the presence of off-diagonal couplings make the FCNC transitions occur at tree level. Ignoring the \( Z-Z' \) mixing and the interaction of right handed quark with \( Z' \) the new gauge boson contribution only modifies the
Wilson coefficients $C_9$ and $C_{10}$ \[54\]. With these assumptions, the extra part that is added to the Hamiltonian given in Eq. \[1\] can be written as follows \[55-57\]

$$
\mathcal{H}_{zeff}^{Z'} = -\frac{2GF}{\sqrt{2}}\gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) b B_{sb} \left[ S_{t\ell}^L \bar{\gamma}^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \ell - S_{t\ell}^R \bar{\gamma}^\mu (1 + \gamma^5) \ell \right] + h.c.,
$$

where $B_{sb} = |B_{sb}| e^{-i\phi_{sb}}$ is the off diagonal left handed coupling of $Z'$ boson with quarks and $\phi_{sb}$ corresponds to a new weak phase. The left and right handed couplings of the $Z'$ boson with leptons are represented by $S_{t\ell}^L$ and $S_{t\ell}^R$, respectively. Therefore, one can also write the above equation in the following way

$$
\mathcal{H}_{zeff}^{Z'} = -\frac{4GF}{\sqrt{2}}V_{tb}V_{ts}^{*} \left[ \Lambda_{sb} C_{9}^{Z'} \mathcal{O}_9 + \Lambda_{sb} C_{10}^{Z'} \mathcal{O}_{10} \right] + h.c.,
$$

with

$$
\Lambda_{sb} = \frac{4\pi e^{-i\phi_{sb}}}{\alpha_s V_{tb} V_{ts}^{*}},
$$

$$
C_{9}^{Z'} = |B_{sb}| S_{LL}; \quad C_{10}^{Z'} = |B_{sb}| D_{LL},
$$

$$
S_{LL} = S_{t\ell}^{L} + S_{t\ell}^{R}; \quad D_{LL} = S_{t\ell}^{L} - S_{t\ell}^{R}.
$$

In short to include the $Z'$ effects in the problem under consideration one has to make the following replacements to the $Z$ boson Wilson coefficients $C_9$ and $C_{10}$, while, $C_7$ remains unchanged

$$
C_9' = C_9^{eff} + \Lambda_{sb} C_{9}^{Z'},
$$

$$
C_{10}' = C_{10} + \Lambda_{sb} C_{10}^{Z'}.
$$

C. **Matrix elements and form factors**

The $B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay can be obtained by sandwiching the effective Hamiltonian between initial state $B_s$ and final state $\phi$ meson. This can be parameterized in terms of the form factors as follows:

$$
\langle \phi(k, \varepsilon) | \bar{s} \gamma_\mu b | B_s(p) \rangle = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \varepsilon^*_{\nu} p^\rho k^\sigma \frac{2V(q^2)}{M_{B_s} + M_\phi},
$$

$$
\langle \phi(k, \varepsilon) | \bar{s} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b | B_s(p) \rangle = i \varepsilon_{\mu} (M_{B_s} + M_\phi) A_1(q^2) - i(p + k)_{\mu} (\varepsilon^* \cdot q) \frac{A_2(q^2)}{M_{B_s} + M_\phi} - 2M_{B_s} \frac{a_{13}^*}{q^2} \left[ A_3(q^2) - A_0(q^2) \right],
$$

$$
\langle \phi(k, \varepsilon) | \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} p^\nu b | B_s(p) \rangle = i \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \varepsilon^*_{\nu} p^\rho k^\sigma 2T_1(q^2),
$$

$$
\langle \phi(k, \varepsilon) | \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q^\nu b | B_s(p) \rangle = T_2(q^2) \left[ \varepsilon^*_{\mu} (M_{B_s}^2 - M_{\phi}^2) - (p + k)_{\mu} (\varepsilon^* \cdot q) \right] + T_3(q^2) (\varepsilon^* \cdot q) \left[ \frac{q^2}{M_{B_s}^2 - M_{\phi}^2} - \frac{q^2}{M_{B_s}^2} (p + k)_{\mu} \right],
$$

where $\varepsilon^*$ is the polarization of the final state vector meson ($\phi$).

The form factors $A_1$ and $T_1$ are functions of the square of momentum transfer $q$ and these are not independent of each other. By contracting the above equations with $q_{\mu}$ and making use of the equation of motion, one can write

$$
A_3(q^2) = \frac{M_{B_s} + M_\phi}{2M_\phi} A_1(q^2) - \frac{M_{B_s} - M_\phi}{2M_\phi} A_2(q^2),
$$

$$
A_3(0) = A_0(0), \quad T_1(0) = T_2(0).
$$

The form factors for $B_s \rightarrow \phi$ transition are the non-perturbative quantities and are the major candidate to prone the uncertainties. In literature there exist different approaches (both perturbative and non-perturbative) like Lattice QCD, QCD sum rules, Light Cone sum rules, etc., to calculate them. Here, we will consider the form factors calculated
by using the Light Cone Sum Rules approach by Ball and Braun \[59\]. The form factors \(V, A_0\) and \(T_1\) are parameterized by

\[
F(q^2) = \frac{r_1}{1 - q^2/m_R^2} + \frac{r_2}{1 - q^2/m_{J_{1t}}^2},
\]

(21)

while the form factors \(A_2\) and \(\tilde{T}_3\) are parameterized as follows,

\[
F(q^2) = \frac{r_1}{1 - q^2/m^2} + \frac{r_2}{(1 - q^2/m^2)^2}.
\]

(22)

The fit formula for \(A_1\) and \(T_2\) is

\[
F(q^2) = \frac{r_2}{1 - q^2/m_{J_{1t}}^2}.
\]

(23)

The form factor \(T_3\) can be obtained through the relation

\[
T_3(q^2) = \frac{M_{B_s}^2 - M_\phi^2}{q^2} [\tilde{T}_3(q^2 - T_2(q^2))],
\]

where the values of different parameters are summarized in Table I.

**TABLE I:** Fit parameters for \(B_s \to \phi\) transition form factors. \(F(0)\) denotes the value of form factors at \(q^2 = 0\) (c.f. (Eq. (21)).

| \(F(q^2)\) | \(F(0)\) | \(r_1\) | \(m_R^2\) | \(r_2\) | \(m_{J_{1t}}^2\) |
|-------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|------------|
| \(A_1(q^2)\) | 0.311 | – | – | 0.308 | 36.54 |
| \(A_2(q^2)\) | 0.234 | –0.054 | – | 0.288 | 48.94 |
| \(A_0(q^2)\) | 0.474 | 3.310 | 5.32 | –2.835 | 31.57 |
| \(V(q^2)\) | 0.474 | 1.484 | 5.32 | –1.049 | 39.52 |
| \(T_1(q^2)\) | 0.349 | 1.303 | 5.32 | –0.954 | 38.28 |
| \(T_2(q^2)\) | 0.349 | – | – | 0.349 | 37.21 |
| \(\tilde{T}_3(q^2)\) | 0.349 | 0.027 | – | 0.321 | 45.56 |

Hence by using the above given matrix elements which are parameterized in terms of the form factors the decay amplitude for \(B_s \to \phi \ell^+ \ell^-\) can be written as

\[
\mathcal{M} = \frac{\alpha G_F}{4\sqrt{2}} V_{td} V_{ts}^* \left[ \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) l \times \left( -2 J_1 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \epsilon^{*\nu} k^\lambda q^\sigma - i J_2 \epsilon^*_{\mu} + i J_3 \epsilon^* \cdot q(p + k)_\mu + i J_4 \epsilon^* \cdot q q_\mu \right) 
+ \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5) l \times \left( -2 J_5 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \epsilon^{*\nu} k^\lambda q^\sigma - i J_6 \epsilon^*_{\mu} + i J_7 \epsilon^* \cdot q(p + k)_\mu + i J_8 \epsilon^* \cdot q q_\mu \right) \right].
\]

(24)

Keeping the final state leptons’ mass, we can see that the first line of the above equation will survive only for \(\bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \ell\) due to the fact that \(q_\mu (\bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \ell) = 2 m_l (\ell \gamma^5 \ell)\) and will vanish for \(\bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu \ell\) because of \(q_\mu (\bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu \ell) = 0\).

The auxiliary functions \(J_1, \cdots, J_8\) contain both long and short distance physics which is encapsulated in the form
factors and in the Wilson coefficients, respectively. These functions can be written in the following form:

\[ J_1 = 2C_{LL}D_1 + 4m_bC_{eff}^{\ell}T_1(s), \]
\[ J_2 = 2C_{LL}D_3 + \frac{4m_b}{s}C_{eff}^{\ell}D_4, \]
\[ J_3 = 2C_{LL}D_6 + 4m_bC_{eff}^{\ell}D_5, \]
\[ J_4 = \frac{2M_2}{s}D_7 - \frac{4m_b}{s}C_{eff}^{\ell}T_3(s), \]
\[ J_5 = J_1(C_{LL} \rightarrow C_{LR}), \]
\[ J_6 = J_2(C_{LL} \rightarrow C_{LR}), \]
\[ J_7 = J_3(C_{LL} \rightarrow C_{LR}), \]
\[ J_8 = J_4(C_{LL} \rightarrow C_{LR}), \]

(25)

where \( C_{LL}, C_{LR} \) and \( D \)'s are defined as follows

\[ C_{LL} = C'_9 - C'_{10}, \quad C_{LR} = C'_9 + C'_{10}, \]
\[ D_1 = \frac{V(s)}{(M_{B_s} + M_\phi)}, \]
\[ D_3 = (M_{B_s} + M_\phi)A_1(s), \]
\[ D_4 = (M_{B_s}^2 - M_\phi^2)T_2(s), \]
\[ D_5 = \left[ T_2(s) + \frac{s}{(M_{B_s}^2 - M_\phi^2)}T_3(s) \right], \]
\[ D_6 = \frac{A_2(s)}{(M_{B_s} + M_\phi)}, \]
\[ D_7 = (A_3 - A_0). \]

(26)

Now with all the ingredients in hand the next step is to summarize the formulas of different physical observables.

III. FORMULAS OF PHYSICAL OBSERVABLES

A. Differential Decay Rate

In order to calculate the polarized branching ration as well as the unpolarized and polarized \( CP \) violation asymmetries, we first have to find the expression for the differential decay width of \( B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^- \) decay. The formula for the double differential decay rate can be written as

\[ \frac{d^2\Gamma(B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^-)}{d\cos\theta ds} = \frac{1}{2M_{B_s}^2} \frac{2\beta\sqrt{\lambda}}{(8\pi)^3} |M|^2, \]

(27)

where \( \beta \equiv \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s}} \) and \( \lambda = \lambda(M_{B_s}, M_\phi, s) \equiv M_{B_s}^4 + M_\phi^4 + s^2 - 2M_{B_s}^2M_\phi^2 - 2sM_{B_s}^2 - 2sM_\phi^2. \) Also \( s \) is just square of the momentum transfer \( q \) and \( \theta \) is the angle between lepton and final state meson in the rest frame of \( B_s. \) By using the expression of the decay amplitude given in Eq. (24) and integrating on the \( \cos\theta, \) one can get the expression of the dilepton invariant mass spectrum as

\[ \frac{d\Gamma(B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^-)}{ds} = \frac{G_F^2\alpha^2\sqrt{\lambda}M_{B_s}}{214\pi^5} |V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|^2 M_1, \]

(28)
with
\[ M_1 = 4(2m^2 + s) \left\{ \frac{8\lambda}{3} Re[J_1]^2 + \frac{12M^2 s + \lambda}{3M^2 s} Re[J_2]^2 - \frac{(M^2_{B_s} - M^2_{\phi} - s)}{3M^2 s} \times \lambda Re(J_2J^*_3) + \frac{\lambda^2}{3M^2 s} Re[J_3]^2 \right\} \]
\[ + \frac{32\lambda}{3} Re[J_6]^2 + \frac{4\lambda(2m^2 + s)}{3M^2 s} + 16(s - 4m^2) \times Re[J_8]^2 - \frac{4\lambda}{3M^2 s} ((2m^2 + s)(M^2_{B_s} - M^2_{\phi})) \]
\[ + s(s - 4m^2) Re(J_6J^*_7) + 6m^2 s(2M^2_{B_s} + 2M^2_{\phi} - s) + \lambda(2m^2 + s) Re[J^*_3]^2 + \frac{8m^2 \lambda}{M^2_{\phi}} (M^2_{B_s} - M^2_{\phi}) Re(J_3J^*_4) \]
\[ - s \frac{8m^2 \lambda}{M^2_{\phi}} Re[J_4]^2. \]  

Here we take the liberty to correct the expression of the decay rate given in [30].

**B. Branching ratio of \( B_s \to \phi \ell^+ \ell^- \) with polarized \( \phi \)**

The total decay rate for \( B_s \to \phi \ell^+ \ell^- \) can be written in terms of the longitudinal \( (\Gamma_L) \) and normal components \( (\Gamma_T) \), when the final state vector meson is polarized. The explicit expressions of the differential decay rate in terms of these components can be written as [61]
\[
\frac{d\Gamma(s)}{ds} = \frac{d\Gamma_L(s)}{ds} + \frac{d\Gamma_T(s)}{ds}.
\]  

where
\[
\frac{d\Gamma_T(s)}{ds} = \frac{d\Gamma_+(s)}{ds} + \frac{d\Gamma_-(s)}{ds},
\]
and
\[
\frac{d\Gamma_L(s)}{ds} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|^2 \alpha^2 \beta\sqrt{\lambda}}{2^{11/2} \pi^5} \times \frac{1}{3} A_L,
\]
\[
\frac{d\Gamma_\pm(s)}{ds} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|^2 \alpha^2 \beta\sqrt{\lambda}}{2^{11/2} \pi^5} \times \frac{4}{3} A_\pm.
\]

The different functions appearing in Eqs. [31] and [32] can be written as
\[
A_L = \frac{1}{sM^2_{\phi}} \left[ 24 |K_7(s)|^2 m^2_1 M^2_{\phi} \lambda + (2m^2 + s) |(M^2_{B_s} - M^2_{\phi} - s)K_2(s) + \lambda K_3(s)|^2 \right] + (s - 4m^2) |(M^2_{B_s} - M^2_{\phi} - s)K_5(s) + \lambda K_6(s)|^2,
\]
\[
A_\pm = (s - 4m^2) |K_5(s) \mp \sqrt{\lambda} K_4(s)|^2 + (s + 2m^2) |K_2(s) \pm \sqrt{\lambda} K_1(s)|^2,
\]

with
\[
K_1(s) = 4C_{17}^{eff} \frac{m_b}{s} \bar{T}_1(s) + 2C_{17}^D D_1,
\]
\[
K_2(s) = 2 \frac{m_b}{s} C_{17}^{eff} D + C_{17}^D D_2,
\]
\[
K_3(s) = 4C_{17}^{eff} D_5 + C_{17}^D D_6,
\]
\[
K_4(s) = 2C_{17}^D D_1,
\]
\[
K_5(s) = 2C_{17}^D A_0(s) (M_{B_s} + M_\phi),
\]
\[
K_6(s) = 2C_{17}^D \frac{D_3}{(M_{B_s} + M_\phi)^2},
\]
\[
K_7(s) = 4C_{17}^D D_6.
\]

In the above equations the functions \( D_1, \ldots, D_6 \) are given in Eq. [20].
C. Polarized and Unpolarized CP violation Asymmetries

The non-equality of the decay rates of a particle and its antiparticle defines the CP violation asymmetry. The CP violation asymmetry arises both for the cases when the final state leptons are unpolarized or polarized. In case of the unpolarized leptons, the normalized CP violation asymmetry arises both for the cases when the final state leptons are unpolarized or polarized. In case of differential decay rates of particle and antiparticle decay modes as follows [61, 62]

\[ A_{CP}(S^\pm = e_1^\pm) = \frac{d\Gamma(S^\pm)}{ds} - \frac{d\bar{\Gamma}(S^\mp)}{ds}, \]  

(42)

where

\[ \frac{d\Gamma(S^-)}{ds} = \frac{d\Gamma(B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^- (S^-))}{ds}, \]

\[ \frac{d\bar{\Gamma}(S^+)}{ds} = \frac{d\bar{\Gamma}(B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^- (S^+)\ell^-)}{ds}. \]

The differential decay rate of \( B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^- \) is given in Eq. (28), analogously the CP conjugated differential decay width can be written as

\[ \frac{d\bar{\Gamma}(S^+)}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d\bar{\Gamma}}{ds} \right) \left[ 1 + (P_L e_L^\mp + P_N e_N^\mp + P_T e_T^\mp) \cdot S^\pm \right]. \]

It is noted here, that \( \frac{d\Gamma}{ds} \) belongs to the transition \( \bar{B}_s \rightarrow \bar{\phi} \ell^+ \ell^- \) which can be obtained by replacing \( \Lambda_{sb} \) to \( \Lambda_{sb}^* \) in Eq. (12). Furthermore, by using the fact that \( S^+ = -S^- \) for the longitudinal (L), normal (N) and \( S^+ = S^- \) for the transverse (T) polarizations, we get

\[ A_{CP}(S^\pm = e_1^\pm) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d\Gamma}{ds} \right) \left[ 1 + (P_L e_L^\mp + P_N e_N^\mp + P_T e_T^\mp) \cdot S^\pm \right]. \]

(43)

where \( i \) denotes the L, N or T polarizations of the final state leptons. By using Eq. (26) in the above equation, the expression of CP violation asymmetry becomes

\[ A_{CP}(S^\pm = e_1^\pm) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{M_1 - \bar{M}_1}{M_1 + \bar{M}_1} \pm \frac{M_1^i - \bar{M}_1^i}{M_1^i + \bar{M}_1^i} \right], \]

(44)

Hence, by using these definitions the normalized CP violation asymmetry can be written as follows

\[ A_{CP}(S^\pm = e_1^\pm) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ A_{CP}(s) \pm A_{CP}^i(s) \right], \]

(45)

where the plus sign in the second term of the above expression corresponds to L and N polarizations, and the negative sign is for the T polarization.

The first term in \( A_{CP}(s) \) in Eq. (45) is the unpolarized CP violation asymmetry, while the second term \( A_{CP}^i(s) \) is called the polarized CP violation asymmetry which provide the modifications to the first term. After doing some tedious calculation we have found the following results for \( A_{CP}(s) \) and \( A_{CP}^i(s) \)

\[ A_{CP}(s) = \frac{-2\bar{m}(\Lambda_{sb}) Q(s)}{M_1 + 2\bar{m}(\Lambda_{sb}) Q(s)}, \]

(46)

\[ A_{CP}^i(s) = \frac{-2\bar{m}(\Lambda_{sb}) Q^i(s)}{M_1 + 2\bar{m}(\Lambda_{sb}) Q^i(s)}, \]

(47)
with $i = L, N$ or $T$. The explicit expressions of $Q(s)$ and the $Q^i(s)$ are given below

$$Q(s) = \mathcal{H}_1 \text{Im}(C_7C_9) + \mathcal{H}_2 \text{Im}(C_7^*C_9^*),$$

$$Q^L(s) = \mathcal{H}_3 \text{Im}(C_{10}C_7^*) + \text{Im}(C_{10}^*C_7),$$

$$Q^T(s) = \mathcal{H}_4 \text{Im}(C_7C_9^*) + \mathcal{H}_5 \{\text{Im}(C_{10}C_7^*)\},$$

$$Q^T(s) = \frac{\beta}{2} \mathcal{H}_6 \{\text{Re}(C_{10}C_9^*) + \text{Re}(C_{10}^*C_9)\},$$

The functions $\mathcal{H}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_6$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{64}{3M_{\phi}^2 s} M_{B_s} \left[ (D_3D_5 + D_6D_4) \lambda (M_{\phi}^2 - M_{B_s}^2 + s) \right.$$

$$+ (D_3D_4 + D_5D_6) \lambda + (3D_3D_4 + 2D_1F_1(s)\lambda) \left. \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{32(2m_t + s)^2}{3M_{\phi}^2 s} \left[ 2D_3D_6 \lambda (M_{\phi}^2 - M_{B_s}^2 + s) \right.$$

$$+ 8D_4^2 M_{\phi}^2 s \lambda + D_2^2 (12M_{\phi}^2 s + \lambda) + D_6^2 \lambda \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = \frac{32\beta}{3M_{\phi}^2 s} \left[ 2\lambda (M_{B_s}^2 - M_{\phi}^2 - s)D_3D_6 \right.$$

$$- D_2^2 (12M_{\phi}^2 s + \lambda) - \lambda (8M_{\phi}^2 s D_1^2 + \lambda D_6^2) \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}_4 = \frac{128\pi}{\sqrt{s}} m_t M_{B_s} \sqrt{\lambda} \left[ F_1(s) D_3 + D_1D_4 \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}_5 = \frac{8\pi m_t \sqrt{\lambda}}{M_{\phi}^2 s} \left( D_6(M_{\phi}^2 - M_{B_s}^2) + D_3 - 2\sqrt{s}D_7 \right)$$

$$\times \left( D_3(M_{\phi}^2 - M_{B_s}^2 + s) + D_6\lambda \right),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_6 = 128m_t\pi \sqrt{\lambda s} D_1D_3.$$

The functions $\mathcal{H}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_6$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{64}{3M_{\phi}^2 s} M_{B_s} \left[ (D_3D_5 + D_6D_4) \lambda (M_{\phi}^2 - M_{B_s}^2 + s) \right.$$

$$+ (D_3D_4 + D_5D_6) \lambda + (3D_3D_4 + 2D_1F_1(s)\lambda) \left. \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{32(2m_t + s)^2}{3M_{\phi}^2 s} \left[ 2D_3D_6 \lambda (M_{\phi}^2 - M_{B_s}^2 + s) \right.$$

$$+ 8D_4^2 M_{\phi}^2 s \lambda + D_2^2 (12M_{\phi}^2 s + \lambda) + D_6^2 \lambda \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = \frac{32\beta}{3M_{\phi}^2 s} \left[ 2\lambda (M_{B_s}^2 - M_{\phi}^2 - s)D_3D_6 \right.$$

$$- D_2^2 (12M_{\phi}^2 s + \lambda) - \lambda (8M_{\phi}^2 s D_1^2 + \lambda D_6^2) \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}_4 = \frac{128\pi}{\sqrt{s}} m_t M_{B_s} \sqrt{\lambda} \left[ F_1(s) D_3 + D_1D_4 \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}_5 = \frac{8\pi m_t \sqrt{\lambda}}{M_{\phi}^2 s} \left( D_6(M_{\phi}^2 - M_{B_s}^2) + D_3 - 2\sqrt{s}D_7 \right)$$

$$\times \left( D_3(M_{\phi}^2 - M_{B_s}^2 + s) + D_6\lambda \right),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_6 = 128m_t\pi \sqrt{\lambda s} D_1D_3.$$

Here we would like to mention that the functions $\mathcal{H}_4, \mathcal{H}_5$ and $\mathcal{H}_6$ are proportional to the mass of final state lepton, therefore, their contribution is small when we have $\mu$’s as final state leptons compared to the one when we have $\tau$’s.

IV. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

In this section we will give the phenomenological analysis of the polarized branching ratio, when final state $\phi$ meson is longitudinal (transverse) polarized ($\mathcal{BR}_L(\mathcal{BR}_T)$), as well as of the unpolarized and polarized $CP$ violation asymmetries for $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$ decay. In order to see the impact of new $Z'$ boson on the these physical observables, first we have summarized the numerical values of various input parameters such as masses of particles, life times, CKM matrix elements etc., in Table II, while the values of Wilson coefficients in the SM are displayed in Table III. The most important input parameters are the form factors which are the non perturbative quantities and for them we rely on the Light Cone Sum Rule (LCSR) approach. The numerical values of the LCSR form factors along with the different fitting parameters are summarized in Table I.

**TABLE II: Default values of input parameters used in the calculations.**

| Parameter                  | Value                  |
|----------------------------|------------------------|
| $M_{B_s}$                  | 5.366 GeV              |
| $m_b$                      | 4.28 GeV               |
| $m_s$                      | 0.13 GeV               |
| $m_\mu$                    | 0.105 GeV              |
| $m_\tau$                   | 1.77 GeV               |
| $f_{B_s}$                  | 0.25 GeV               |
| $|V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|$           | $45 \times 10^{-3}$    |
| $\alpha^{-1}$              | 137                    |
| $G_F$                      | $1.17 \times 10^{-5}$  |
| $\tau_B$                   | $1.54 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| $M_{\phi}$                 | 1.020 GeV              |
Now the next step is to collect the values of the Z' couplings and in this regard, there are some severe constraints from different inclusive and exclusive B decays [63]. These numerical values of coupling parameters of Z' model are recollected in Table IV, where S1 and S2 correspond to two different fitting values for $B_s \to B_l$ mixing data by the UFit collaboration [64].

Motivated from the latest results on the CP-violating phase $\phi_S$ and the like-sign dimuon charge asymmetry $A^s_{SB}$ of the semileptonic decays given in [65–68], a detailed study has been performed by Li et al. [69]. The main emphasis of the study is to check if a simultaneous explanation for all mixing observables, especially of like-sign dimuon asymmetry $A^s_{SB}$, could be made in Z’ model. It has been found that it is not possible to accommodate all the data simultaneously and the new constraints on the CP-violating phase $\phi_S$ and $|B_{sb}|$ are obtained from $\Delta M_{sb}$, $\phi_S$, $\Delta\Gamma_{S}$ data. In addition the constraints on $S^L_{ll}$ and $S^S_{ll}$ are obtained from the analysis of $B \to X_s \mu^+\mu^-$ [70], $B \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ [71, 72] and $B \to \mu^+\mu^-$ [73, 74]. These models and these are summarized in the Table IV.

### Table IV: The numerical values of the Z’ parameters [63, 64, 69, 74].

| $|B_{sb}| \times 10^{-3}$ | $\phi_{sb}$ (in Degree) | $S_{LL} \times 10^{-2}$ | $D_{LL} \times 10^{-2}$ |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| S1                       | $1.00 \pm 0.22$        | $-72 \pm 7$          | $-2.8 \pm 3.9$       | $-6.7 \pm 2.6$       |
| S2                       | $2.20 \pm 0.15$        | $-82 \pm 4$          | $-1.2 \pm 1.4$       | $-2.5 \pm 0.9$       |
| S3                       | $4.0 \pm 1.5$          | $150 \pm 10$ or ($-150 \pm 10$) | 0.8                  | $-2.6$               |

Just to mention again, $B_{sb} = |B_{sb}|e^{-i\phi_{sb}}$ is the off diagonal left handed coupling of Z’ boson with quarks and $\phi_{sb}$ corresponds to a new weak phase, whereas $S_{LL}$ and $D_{LL}$ represent the combination of left and right handed couplings of Z’ with the leptons [c.f. Eq. (13)]. In order to fully scan the three scenarios, let us make a remark that with $D_{LL} \neq 0$ depict the situation when the new physics comes only from the modification in the Wilson coefficient $C_{10}$, while, the opposite case, $S_{LL} \neq 0$, indicates that the new physics is due to the change in the Wilson coefficient $C_9$ [see Eq. (13)]. In Figs. 1-4 we have displayed the results of the branching ratio when the final state meson ($\phi$) is polarized. Figs. 5 and 6 represent the cases where the $BR_L$ and $BR_T$ are plotted as a function of $s$ by taking the values of different Z’ parameters given in Table IV. In Figs. 5-12, the average normalized polarized branching ratios, after integration on $s$, as a function of $S_{LL}$ and $D_{LL}$ are depicted. In the same way, the averaged CP violation asymmetries as a function of $S_{LL}$ and $D_{LL}$ is shown in Figs. 5-12. The different color combinations along with the corresponding values of Z’ parameters are summarized in the Table IV. Likewise, in scenario S3 the values of Z’ parameters are summarized in Table IV and their color codes in different figures are given in Eq. (50).

### Table III: The Wilson coefficients $C_i^\prime$ at the scale $\mu \sim m_b$ in the SM [48].

| $C_i$ | $C_2$ | $C_3$ | $C_4$ | $C_5$ | $C_6$ | $C_7$ | $C_8$ | $C_{10}$ |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| 1.107 | -0.248 | -0.011 | -0.026 | -0.007 | -0.031 | -0.313 | 4.344 | -4.669 |

### Longitudinal polarized branching ratio $BR_L$

- In Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) we have plotted the branching ratio when final state $\phi$ is longitudinally polarized, named as longitudinal polarized branching ratio ($BR_L$), as a function of $s$ for $\mu$ and $\tau$ as final state leptons’ in $B_s \to \phi \ell^+\ell^-$ decay. By looking at Eq. (33) it can be seen that $BR_L$ it is directly proportional to the contributions coming from $Z'$ in $C_{10}$ encoded in $K_5$, $K_6$ and $K_7$. Apart from this it also contains the terms that involve $C_9$ which comes in $K_2$ and $K_7$, where the later are $m_t$ suppressed. In Fig. 1, we can see a significant enhancement in the $BR_L$ for the maximum values of $Z'$ parameters and the results are quite distinct from the SM both for $\mu$ and $\tau$ cases.

- To see the explicit dependence on the $Z'$ parameters we have integrated $BR_L$ on $s$ and have drawn it against the $D_{LL}$ and $S_{LL}$ in Figs. 2(a)-2(d). These graphs depict that for $\phi_{sb} = -79^\circ$, $B_{sb} = 1.31 \times 10^{-3}$, $D_{LL} = -9.3 \times 10^{-2}$ and $S_{LL} = -6.7 \times 10^{-2}$ in scenario S1 (blue band), the increment in the $BR_L$ is around 3 times in case of $\mu$ and $\tau$. 

\[ |B_{sb}| = 3 \times 10^{-3} : \begin{cases} \phi_{sb} = 160^\circ, \text{Red Dot} \\ \phi_{sb} = 140^\circ, \text{Blue Dot} \\ \phi_{sb} = -140^\circ, \text{Green Dot} \\ \phi_{sb} = -160^\circ, \text{Gray Dot} \end{cases} \quad |B_{sb}| = 5 \times 10^{-3} : \begin{cases} \phi_{sb} = 160^\circ, \text{Orange Dot} \\ \phi_{sb} = 140^\circ, \text{Yellow Dot} \\ \phi_{sb} = -140^\circ, \text{Pink Dot} \\ \phi_{sb} = -160^\circ, \text{Purple Dot} \end{cases} \tag{50} \]
TABLE V: Color bands for the Figs. 1 – 12 ($\mathcal{B}_{L,T}$), $\langle A_{CP} \rangle$ and $\langle A_{CP}^1 \rangle$ vs $S_{LL}$ and $D_{LL}$ for scenarios $S_1$ and $S_2$.

| Color Region | $\phi_{sb}$ | $|B_{sb}| \times 10^{-3}$ | $\langle B_{L,T} \rangle$, $\langle A_{CP} \rangle$ and $\langle A_{CP}^1 \rangle$ vs $S_{LL}$ $D_{LL} \times 10^{-2}$ | $\langle B_{L,T} \rangle$, $\langle A_{CP} \rangle$ and $\langle A_{CP}^1 \rangle$ vs $D_{LL}$ $S_{LL} \times 10^{-2}$ |
|--------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Blue         | $-79^\circ$ | +1.31                  | -9.3                                                             | -6.7                                                             |
|              | $-65^\circ$ |                        |                                                                  |                                                                  |
| Red          | $-86^\circ$ | +2.35                  | -2.34                                                            | -2.6                                                             |
|              | $-78^\circ$ |                        |                                                                  |                                                                  |
| Yellow       | $-79^\circ$ | +0.87                  | -9.3                                                             | -6.7                                                             |
|              | $-65^\circ$ |                        |                                                                  |                                                                  |
| Black        | $-86^\circ$ | +2.05                  | -2.34                                                            | -2.6                                                             |
|              | $-78^\circ$ |                        |                                                                  |                                                                  |
| Green        | $-79^\circ$ | +1.31                  | -4.1                                                             | +1.1                                                             |
|              | $-65^\circ$ |                        |                                                                  |                                                                  |
| Brown        | $-86^\circ$ | +2.35                  | -1.16                                                            | +0.2                                                             |
|              | $-78^\circ$ |                        |                                                                  |                                                                  |
| Pink         | $-79^\circ$ | +0.87                  | -4.1                                                             | +1.1                                                             |
|              | $-65^\circ$ |                        |                                                                  |                                                                  |
| Purple       | $-86^\circ$ | +2.05                  | -1.16                                                            | +0.2                                                             |
|              | $-78^\circ$ |                        |                                                                  |                                                                  |

FIG. 1: Longitudinal polarized branching ratio $\mathcal{B}_{RL}$ as a function of the square of momentum $s$ for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ for scenarios $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_3$. The Green and Red colors correspond to the $S_1$ and $S_2$, respectively. The blue and orange colors show the $S_3$. The band in each case depicts the variations of $\phi_{sb}$ in respective scenarios. The black color corresponds to the SM results where the band is due to uncertainties in different input parameters.

2.5 times in case of $\tau$ leptons. By decreasing the values of $D_{LL}$ and $S_{LL}$ the values of integrated $\mathcal{B}_{RL}$ decreases and Fig. 2 display this trend. Compared to the scenario $S_1$ the change in $\mathcal{B}_{RL}$ is small in $S_2$.

Keeping in view that in scenario $S_3$ the values of $S_{LL}$ and $D_{LL}$ are fixed, we plotted two vertical (magenta) bars which corresponds to the variation in the $\phi_{sb}$ and $B_{sb}$. It can be seen from Figs. 2(a) and 2(c) that in certain range of parameters of $S_3$ the $Z'$ boson effects are noticeable in $B_s \rightarrow \phi \tau^+ \tau^-$ decay. Similar bars can be plotted in Figs. 2(b) and 2(d) but that do not add any new information, therefore, we will show $S_3$ contribution only when different asymmetries are plotted against $S_{LL}$.

Transverse polarized branching ratio $\mathcal{B}_{RT}$

- It can be noticed from Eq. [34] that transverse polarized branching ratio ($\mathcal{B}_{RT}$) depends on the functions $\mathcal{K}_1(s), \mathcal{K}_2(s), \mathcal{K}_4(s)$ and $\mathcal{K}_5(s)$ given in Eqs. [35], [36], [38] and [39], respectively. Here the first two functions ($\mathcal{K}_1(s), \mathcal{K}_2(s)$) depend on Wilson coefficients $C_7^{ef}, C_9$ and the latter two on $C_{10}$. Therefore, we are expecting quite visible hints of NP coming from the the extra neutral boson $Z'$ and Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), where $\mathcal{B}_{RT}$ is plotted as a function of $s$, display this fact. Here, one can clearly distinguish between the values of $\mathcal{B}_{RT}$
calculated in SM and $Z'$ scenarios, $S1$, $S2$ and $S3$.

- To see how $BR_T$ evolve with the parameters of $Z'$ model, we have plotted the integrated $BR_T$ as a function of $S_{LL}$ and $D_{LL}$ in Figs. 4(a)-4(d). Just like $BR_L$, $BR_T$ becomes almost 3 times that of its SM value when $\phi_{ab} = -79^\circ, B_{sb} = 1.31 \times 10^{-5}, D_{LL} = -9.3 \times 10^{-2}$ and $S_{LL} = -6.7 \times 10^{-2}$ in scenario $S1$ (blue band) both for $\mu$ and $\tau$ leptons. However, these values decrease when the magnitude of $S_{LL}$ decreases and it is clear from Fig. 4(a) and 4(c). The situation is similar when we plotted the $BR_T$ as a function of $D_{LL}$ by fixing the parameters $B_{sb}, \phi_{ab}, S_{LL}$ in the range given in Table IV, where one can see that it is also a decreasing function of $D_{LL}$. However, even for the small values of the $Z'$ parameters, the value of the observable is quite distinct from the SM result especially in scenario $S1$. Just like the Longitudinal polarized branching ratio, the effects of $Z'$ boson corresponding to scenario $S3$ (magenta bar in Fig. 4(c)) in the transverse polarized branching ratio are quite promising in $B_s \to \phi \tau^+ \tau^-$ decay.

Unpolarized $CP$ violation asymmetry:

- In Figs. 5 and 6 the unpolarized $CP$ violation asymmetries for the $B_s \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ are presented as a function of $D_{LL}$ and $S_{LL}$. It is well known that in SM the $CP$ violation asymmetry is almost zero, whereas, by looking at the Eq. 40 one can see that $A_{CP}$ is proportional to the parameters of the $Z'$ model which comes through the imaginary part of the Wilson coefficients as well as of new weak phase $\phi_{ab}$ which is encoded in $A_{ab}$. Hence, a significant non-zero value gives us the clear indications of NP arising due to the extra neutral $Z'$ boson. Therefore, we are expecting a dependence on the new phase $\phi_{ab}$ and it is clear from Figs. 5 and 6 where band in each color depicts it. In Fig. 5 by changing the values of $S_{LL}, \phi_{ab}$ and $B_{sb}$ the $A_{CP}$ is plotted vs $D_{LL}$, where we can see that the value is not appreciably changed when we have muon as final state leptons.
However, in case of the tau leptons (c.f. Fig. 5(b)), the value of $A_{CP}$ is around $-0.11$ in scenarios S1(S2) for the $D_{LL} = -4.1 \times 10^{-2}(-1.6 \times 10^{-2})$ and $S_{LL} = -6.7 \times 10^{-2}(-2.6 \times 10^{-2})$ shown by blue (red) bands.

- Fig. 6 presents the behavior of $A_{CP}$ with $S_{LL}$ by varying the values of $D_{LL}, \phi_{sb}$ and $B_{sb}$ in the range given in Table IV. Again it can be seen that in case of muon the value is small compared to the case when $\tau$’s are final state leptons. In both cases $A_{CP}$ is an increasing function of the $S_{LL}$. In $B_s \rightarrow \phi \tau^+ \tau^-$ the value of unpolarized $CP$ asymmetry is around $-0.12$ for certain values of $Z'$ parameters in both scenarios S1 and S2.

The values of unpolarized $CP$ violation asymmetry in scenario S3 for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_s \rightarrow \phi \tau^+ \tau^-$ are shown by different color dots in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b), respectively. It can be noticed that the value of unpolarized $CP$ violation asymmetry is maximum in this scenario when $\phi_{sb} = 160^\circ, |B_{sb}| = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ and it is depicted by the orange dot in these figures. When the new weak phase ($\phi_{sb}$) has the negative value, the value of the unpolarized $CP$ violation asymmetry is just opposite to the case when $\phi_{sb}$ is positive. This is shown by the lower four dots in the Figs. 6(a) and 6(b).

**Longitudinal polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry:**

- The longitudinal polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry $A_{CP}^L$ is drawn in Figs. 7 and 8. From Eq. (43) it can be noticed that $Q^L$ is proportional to the imaginary part of the combination of Wilson coefficients $C_9$ and $C_{10}$ both in the SM as well as in the $Z'$ model. This makes the $A_{CP}^L$ sensitive to the change in the values of these Wilson coefficients in the $Z'$ model. In Fig. 7(a) and 7(b), we have plotted the $A_{CP}^L$ vs $D_{LL}$ by fixing the values of $S_{LL}$ and other $Z'$ parameters in the range given in Table IV. We can see that the value of $A_{CP}^L$ increases from 0.015 to 0.055 when muons are the final state leptons and from 0.018 to 0.068 in case of tau’s as final state leptons which can be visualized from the green/orange band that corresponds to scenario S1(S2). The situation when the longitudinal polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry is plotted with $S_{LL}$ by taking other parameters in the range given in Table IV and it is displayed in Fig. 8. Here we can see that it is an increasing function of $S_{LL}$ where in S1 the value increase from 0.040(0.042) to 0.052(0.064) when we have $\mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ final state leptons and it is clearly visible from the blue band. In comparison, for S2 these values increase from 0.028 to 0.036 both in case of mu and $\tau$ leptons. It can also be seen in Fig. 8, the value of longitudinal polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry in scenario S3 lies in the ball park of first two scenarios except the limit when $\phi_{sb} = 160^\circ, |B_{sb}| = 5 \times 10^{-5}$. For this value, one can see that the value of longitudinal polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry in $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ is around 0.061 which is significantly different from its value in S1 and S2. Hence, by measuring $A_{CP}^L$ one can not only segregate the NP coming through the $Z'$ boson but can also distinguish the three scenarios, named here as, S1, S2 and S3.

**Normal polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry:**

- In contrast to the $A_{CP}$ and $A_{CP}^L$, the normal polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry is an order of magnitude smaller in case of muon compared to the tauon as final state leptons. Let us try to understand it from the expressions presented in Eq. (48). The $A_{CP}^N$ comes from the function $Q^N$ which contains $H_4, H_5$ and $H_6$. In Eq. (49) it is
**FIG. 4:** The transverse lepton polarization asymmetry for the $B_s \rightarrow \phi l^+ l^−$ ($l = \mu, \tau$) decays as functions of $Z'$ parameters. The legends are same as in Fig. 2.

In summary, we have analyzed the effects of NP coming through the neutral $Z'$ boson on the polarized branching ratio, unpolarized and polarized $CP$ violation asymmetries in $B_s \rightarrow \phi \ell^+ \ell^−$ decays. We observed that the polarized branching ratio shows a clear signal of the $Z'$ model especially for the extreme values of the parameters corresponding to the transverse lepton polarization asymmetry in Belle II and LHCb.
FIG. 5: Unpolarized CP violation asymmetry $A_{CP}$ as function of $D_{LL}$ for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ for scenarios $S1$ and $S2$. The Blue, Yellow, Green and Dark Pink colors correspond to the $S1$ where as the other colors are for the $S2$. The band in each case depicts the variations of $\phi_{sb}$ in respective scenario.

FIG. 6: Unpolarized CP violation asymmetry $A_{CP}$ as function of $S_{LL}$ for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ for scenarios $S1$, $S2$ and $S3$. The color and band description is same as in Fig. 5. The different color dots correspond to the different values of $Z'$ parameters in scenario $S3$.

FIG. 7: Longitudinal polarized CP violation asymmetry $A_{LCP}$ as function of $D_{LL}$ for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ for scenarios $S1$ and $S2$. The color and band description is same as in Fig. 5.
FIG. 8: Longitudinal polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry $A_{CP}^L$ as function of $S_{LL}$ for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ for scenarios $S_1$ and $S_2$. The color and band description is same as in Fig. 5. The different color dots correspond to the different values of $Z'$ parameters in scenario $S_3$.

FIG. 9: Normal polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry $A_{CP}^N$ as function of $D_{LL}$ for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ for scenarios $S_1$ and $S_2$. The color and band description is same as in Fig. 5.

FIG. 10: Normal polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry $A_{CP}^N$ as function of $S_{LL}$ for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ for scenarios $S_1$ and $S_2$. The color and band description is same as in Fig. 5. The different color dots correspond to the different values of $Z'$ parameters in scenario $S_3$. 
FIG. 11: Transversally polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry $A_{NP}^{CP}$ as function of $D_{LL}$ for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ for scenarios $S1$ and $S2$. The color and band description is same as in Fig. 5.

FIG. 12: Transversally polarized $CP$ violation asymmetry $A_{NP}^{CP}$ as function of $S_{LL}$ for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^- (\tau^+ \tau^-)$ for scenarios $S1$ and $S2$. The color and band description is same as in Fig. 5. The different color dots correspond to the different values of $Z'$ parameters in scenario $S3$.

to this model and the values of $BR_L$ and $BR_T$ are almost 3 times the SM values both for $\mu$ and $\tau$ as final state leptons. It is well known that in the SM the $CP$ violation asymmetry is negligible, where as in the present study we have seen that the unpolarized $CP$ violation asymmetry is considerable in both $B_s \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_s \rightarrow \phi \tau^+ \tau^-$ channels and hence it is giving a clear message of NP arising from the neutral $Z'$ boson. In addition, all the polarized $CP$ violation asymmetries are significantly large in $B_s \rightarrow \phi \tau^+ \tau^-$ decay and they show strong dependence on the parameters of the $Z'$ model. Keeping in view that detection of leptons' polarization effects is really a daunting task at the experiments like the ATLAS, CMS and at LHCb, but if we can just keep this issue aside, these $CP$ violation asymmetries which suffer less from hadronic uncertainties provide us a useful probe to establish the NP coming through $Z'$ model.
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