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Abstract: Recent knowledge in chemistry has enabled the material utilization of greenhouse gas (CO₂) for the production of organic carbonates using mild reaction conditions. Organic carbonates, especially cyclic carbonates, are applicable as green solvents, electrolytes in batteries, feedstock for fine chemicals and monomers for polycarbonate production. This review summarizes new developments in the ring opening of epoxides with subsequent CO₂-based formation of cyclic carbonates. The review highlights recent and major developments for sustainable CO₂ conversion from 2000 to the end of 2021 abstracted by Web of Science. The syntheses of epoxides, especially from bio-based raw materials, will be summarized, such as the types of raw material (vegetable oils or their esters) and the reaction conditions. The aim of this review is also to summarize and to compare the types of homogeneous non-metallic catalysts. The three reaction mechanisms for cyclic carbonate formation are presented, namely activation of the epoxide ring, CO₂ activation and dual activation. Usually most effective catalysts described in the literature consist of powerful sources of nucleophile such as onium salt, of hydrogen bond donors and of tertiary amines used to combine epoxide activation for facile epoxide ring opening and CO₂ activation for the subsequent smooth addition reaction and ring closure. The most active catalytic systems are capable of activating even internal epoxides such as epoxidized unsaturated fatty acid derivatives for the cycloaddition of CO₂ under relatively mild conditions. In case of terminal epoxides such as epichlorohydrin, the effective utilization of diluted sources of CO₂ such as flue gas is possible using the most active organocatalysts even at ambient pressure.
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1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide capture and utilization (CCU technologies) has been recognized as a possible and cost-effective way to reduce worldwide greenhouse gas emissions [1–10]. The use of CO₂ as a raw material in chemical synthesis is a research area of great scientific, economic and ecological interest [1–14]. The abundance and benignity of carbon dioxide, which is cheap, nontoxic and nonflammable, makes it a very attractive low-cost C1-synthon in organic chemistry. Moreover, the mitigation of CO₂ emission from industrial processes in order to reduce CO₂ causing the greenhouse effect encourages chemists to carry out research in this area.

CO₂ is thermodynamically stable (ΔG⁰ = −394.228 kJ/mol) [10], however, and needs catalytic activation and a corresponding reactive agent for its possible fixation into the organic molecules. Thermodynamically non-stable three-membered heterocyclic rings such as epoxides serve as ideal reactants for CO₂ fixation. The reaction of epoxides and carbon dioxide to produce cyclic carbonates is attractive because CO₂ can be incorporated in the epoxide molecule without the formation of any side products (with 100% atom-economy) (Scheme 1) [1,2].
The epoxides available for carbonation can be classified according to their bound substituents as terminal epoxides (containing at least one unsubstituted CH$_2$ group in the oxirane ring) and internal epoxides (containing substituents on both carbon atoms of the oxirane ring, Figure 1).
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**Figure 1.** Epoxides available for cycloaddition reactions.

The reaction conditions for the efficient carbonation of epoxides differ significantly, utilizing terminal (for example, glycidyl derivatives such as epichlorohydrin or glycidol and corresponding glycidyl ethers) [1–3,5–14]) or sterically, much more hindered internal epoxides (for example, epoxidized fatty acids and their derivatives [4,8–14]). From the sustainability point of view, bio-based epoxides ideally serve as suitable reactants for the production of cyclic carbonates utilizing waste CO$_2$.

In particular, the connection of direct air oxidation and carbonation of ethylene oxide serves as a simple and effective technology that is useful for the subsequent efficacious utilization of anthropogenic CO$_2$ (Scheme 1). In case of ethylene carbonate (EC) produced from ethylene, 44 g of CO$_2$ is utilized per mol of produced EC (approximately 2/3 of EC molecular weight creates CO$_2$) according to the stoichiometry of this reaction. On the other hand, CO$_2$ is emitted during the production of ethylene using conventional technologies such as steam cracking (discussed in Section 2). According to the life cycle assessment (LCA) methodology, technology based on the carboxylation of petrochemical ethylene via catalytic air oxidation emits only 0.92 t CO$_2$/t EC [2].

The catalytic carboxylation of epoxides may afford either cyclic carbonates (Scheme 2, Path a) or eventually polycarbonates (Scheme 2, Path b) [4,7,9], depending on the used catalyst and the reaction conditions.
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**Scheme 1.** CO$_2$ consumption for ethylene carbonate (EC) production applying the carbonation of oxirane produced from ethylene [2].
the utilization of metal-based catalysts is necessary for the direct formation of polycarbonates starting from CO$_2$ and epoxides via ring-opening polymerization.

\[
\text{Catalyst} + \text{H}_2\text{Nu} \rightarrow \text{G} + \text{Nu}_n
\]

\[
\text{O} \quad \text{R}_1 \quad \text{R}_2
\]
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Scheme 2. Reaction of CO$_2$ with epoxides producing cyclic carbonates and/or polycarbonates [11].

Utilizing CO$_2$ via cycloaddition to epoxides is the exothermic process that can be carried out under mild reaction conditions using a broad spectrum of catalysts [11,12].
Cyclic carbonates are generally stable liquids, which enables the long-term sequestration of CO$_2$, especially when cyclic carbonates are subsequently used for polymerization. Although the production of cyclic carbonates from CO$_2$ and epoxides has been industrialized since 1958 and uses inexpensive catalysts such as KI, the current production processes still suffer from major disadvantages, such as high reaction temperatures (180–200 °C), high pressure (5–8 MPa) and stoichiometric amounts of activating reagents [13,14].

It is known that the increasing of the reaction rate with the increase in CO$_2$ pressure is not only counterproductive due to the high energy consumption, but is even limited. The high increase in CO$_2$ pressure (and the overrunning at a concentration of 0.47 g CO$_2$/mL) is accompanied by sudden decrease in reaction rate due to the dilution effect causing the reduction in epoxide and catalyst concentrations in the reaction mixture [11].

Cyclic carbonates are used for polymer production, as electrolytes in lithium-ion batteries, polar aprotic (ethylene or propylene carbonate) or protic solvents (glycerol carbonate, etc.) and as chemical intermediates in organic synthesis [1–14] (Scheme 3).

Alluding to cyclic carbonates used as solvents, a grade deal of attention has been given to the application of glycerol carbonate as it possesses low toxicity and good biodegradability, and has a high boiling point and simple availability from lipids and CO$_2$, giving it many applications [10]. Generally, the direct fixation of CO$_2$ in cyclic carbonates and their products is regarded as a greener approach than the existing practices. As North et al. have mentioned, only two reactions of CO$_2$, the dry reforming of methane (for fuel production) and cyclic carbonate chemical production, could consume up to 25% of the anthropogenic CO$_2$ produced annually [12].

The cyclic carbonate formation based on the cycloaddition of CO$_2$ requires oxirane ring opening in the first reaction step, which is followed by insertion of CO$_2$ in the second
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**Scheme 3.** Possibilities for the chemical transformation of cyclic carbonates to linear (poly)carbonates, esters, ureas, ethyleneglycols and urethanes (carbamates) [1,2,5,13].
reaction step and ring closure to form cyclic carbonate. The general mechanisms of this reaction are illustrated in Scheme 4 [3,12].

Scheme 4. Generally accepted mechanisms of cyclic carbonate formation via the cycloaddition of epoxide on CO₂ [3,12].

According to the computations, the epoxide ring-opening has the highest energetic barrier. Due to this reason, the applicable catalysts decrease the reaction barrier, acting as Lewis bases (nucleophile, Nu) that are capable of nucleophilic attack and opening the oxirane ring and/or stabilizing intermediates. In addition, the oxirane can be activated by hydrogen bond formation interacting with a Lewis acid or a hydrogen bond donor (HBD), thereby decreasing the energetic barrier of the epoxide ring opening. In order to catalyze cyclic carbonate formation most effectively, the best catalysts often contain a combination of both Lewis base and Lewis acid components or Lewis base/HBD parts. If the catalysis influencing the ring opening is very effective, then the ring closure step can be the rate-determining step with a higher energetic barrier [15].

The action of some described effective catalysts is based even on the activation of CO₂, as is assumed. The activation of CO₂ comprises formation of carbamate or carbonate, which may also take part in the epoxide ring-opening step [3,5,10–12].

Catalytic cycle 1 in Scheme 4 (CO₂ activation mechanism) describes the nucleophilic activation of CO₂ using nucleophiles such as tertiary amines, amidines, guanidines or carbenes (Nu₁) to form an intermediate A-1 (carbamate or carboxylate), which subsequently promotes oxirane ring opening, leading to the second intermediate (I-1). A-1 attacks oxirane or oxirane activated by Lewis acid (A-2). Cyclization then occurs to produce a cyclic carbonate while the nucleophile (Nu₁) is recycled for a new catalytic cycle. The CO₂ activation mechanism requires a catalyst nucleophilic towards CO₂ but not for epoxide [4,12].

In addition, catalytic cycle 2 demonstrates a more common carbonation pathway through the activation of epoxide. The hydrogen bond donor(s) (HBDs) coordinate(s)
to the epoxide ring (formation of intermediate A-2). Subsequently, the Lewis base, for instance, onium halide salts, acting as nucleophile (Nu²) attacks the oxirane to enable the ring opening, producing an intermediate (I-2). Subsequently, upon ring opening, the CO₂ insertion occurs in the O-H bond of the intermediate (I-2), producing a new intermediate (I-3). The cyclization of the intermediate (I-3) accompanied by cleavage of the leaving group (Nu²), produces cyclic carbonate as the final product, and nucleophile is recycled for a further reaction. Alternatively, cleavage of nucleophile Nu² from I-2 before CO₂ addition causes the formation of alternative products such as corresponding ketones via a Meinwald rearrangement.

2. Sources of Epoxides

Epoxides are generally accessible either via the oxidation of the C=C double bond in alkenes (using peroxides or O₂/Ag-based reaction) or via the dehydrohalogenation of geminal halogenoethanols [5].

Terminal epoxides such as epichlorohydrin (EPIC), glycidol (GL), methyloxirane (propylene oxide, PO) and oxirane (ethylene oxide, EO), and their functional derivatives, are the most studied epoxides for the CO₂/epoxide coupling reaction.

EPIC and GL are chemicals that were recently produced from bio-based glycerol obtained as a by-product from the chemical utilization of lipids (Scheme 5). Transesterification or hydrolysis of lipids is the main pathway for the production of bio-based glycerol and fatty acid esters (for biodiesel) and soap (sodium or potassium salts of fatty acids are used as surfactants) [5] (Scheme 6).
EO and PO are still mainly derived from ethylene and propylene produced by the cracking of petrochemical feedstock with subsequent silver-catalyzed direct air oxidation [2].

The development of appropriate sustainable alkenes production is based on the utilization of bio-based syngas (CO + H₂) obtained by the gasification of waste biomass [21]. The most promising pathway for alkene production exploits methanol as a crucial intermediate simply available from syngas with its subsequent dehydrogenation/coupling catalyzed by zeolites (methanol-to-olefins technology, MTO) [21]. Apart from the above-mentioned, the direct catalytic cracking of vegetable oils (lipids) may produce propylene [21].

The other sustainable pathway for ethylene or butylene production is based on the dehydrogenation of bio-based alcohols (bioethanol and biobutanol) [22] accessible by fermentation of oligosaccharides. Brasco Co. produces bio-ethylene, for example, through the dehydration of bioethanol for polyethylene production in Brazil [23].

In addition, other types of epoxide can be produced from waste biomass, such as limonene oxide and limonene dioxide, which can be synthesized via the promising epoxidation of bio-based limonene obtained from citrus peels, oak and pine tree, under solvent-free conditions with hydrogen peroxide and a tungsten-based catalyst [24].

In addition, vegetable oil-derived triglycerides and fatty acids contain double bond(s) (Scheme 6), which can undergo epoxidation with peroxides [2]. The epoxidized fatty acid derivatives can be subsequently exploited as the starting materials for cycloaddition or even a subsequent one-pot polymerization reaction with CO₂ [25,26]. Scheme 6 shows the transesterification of natural triglycidyl oleate producing methyl oleate and bioglycerol, and the subsequent epoxidation of methyl oleate using hydrogen peroxide.

It is worth noting, however, that epoxidation is a highly exothermic reaction (as ΔH = −55 kcal/mol for each double bond); thus, H₂O₂ is slowly added or added in a stepwise manner in semi-batch operations, and requires a long reaction time. In order to avoid problems with heat and mass transfer, process intensification using a microreactor was proposed [27]. The microreactor could significantly decrease the reaction time with higher epoxide selectivity.

Scheme 6. Synthesis of glycerol and epoxidized methyl oleate from triglyceride of oleic acid (vegetable oil).
3. Homogeneous Metal-Free Catalysts

This review focuses on the recent development of homogeneous organocatalysts for the cycloaddition reaction of CO$_2$ and epoxides (insertion of CO$_2$ into the oxirane ring) with the aim of producing cyclic carbonates. Homogeneous catalytic systems (catalyst and reagent dissolved in the same phase) usually display the highest conversion and selectivity and are usually significantly cheaper compared with heterogeneous ones. Metal-free organocatalysts are usually readily available even from renewable sources, non-recalcitrant, biodegradable, affordable, and inert towards air and moisture. They may, however, be more difficult to separate and recycle from the produced cyclic carbonates. On the other hand, after the discovery of a new effective homogeneous catalyst, subsequent attempts to immobilize it on the insoluble surface have followed in order to solve problems with catalyst separation and recycling [12].

3.1. Catalytically Active Amines and Their Salts

Generally, the effective absorption of CO$_2$ into the liquid phase and its subsequent activation of chemisorbed CO$_2$ are important steps for the subsequent cycloaddition reaction of CO$_2$ with epoxides.

The alkaline absorbents described in the literature as being capable of effectively absorbing CO$_2$ are different amines [28], including amidines such as 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) [28–30], guanidines such as 1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-enum (TBD) [28,29], and azaheterocycles such as pyridines and imidazoles [31,32], which are efficient for the chemisorption and activation of CO$_2$.

The correlation between the structures of different organic amines and their catalytic activity in the cycloaddition of CO$_2$ was studied by Yu et al. [28]. Their article compares the catalytic activity of a variety of nucleophilic aliphatic amines (ethanolamine, bis-(3-aminopropyl)-amine, oleylamine), basic aminoacid arginine, nucleophilic aromatic amines (1,2-phenylenediamine, 2-aminobenzylamine) and non-nucleophilic cyclic amines such as TBD and DBU for the cycloaddition of CO$_2$ to methyloxirane (propylene oxide, PO) [28].

It is well known that the chemisorption ability of CO$_2$ (formation of carbamate) increases with the increasing basicity of amine [33,34]. Yu et al. observed no apparent relationship of the pK$_{a}$ value of conjugated acids of the tested amines with respect to their catalytic activity in the case of propylene carbonate (PC) formation (Figure 2, Table 1). The authors observed the lowest propylene carbonate conversion when applying aliphatic amines.

Low conversion was even observed in the case of amine forming intramolecular hydrogen bonds (1,2-phenylenediamine and 2-aminobenzylamine).

In contrast, aromatic amines (such as 1,4-phenylenediamine) and amidines or guanidines involving conjugated “N=C–N’’ structures (arginine, TBD) were found to be the most active ones [28].

According to the published information [35,36], tertiary amines are often higher in activity than primary or secondary ones (Table 2, Entries 5, 10–12). It has been proven that amidines containing the “N=C–N” bond in their structures are particularly favorable for the cycloaddition of CO$_2$ with epoxides (Table 1, Entries 6–8, 17 and Table 2, Entries 3 and 12) [2–4,6,32]. The above-mentioned observations are in agreement with the statement of North et al. that for the efficient activation of CO$_2$, compounds that nucleophilically attack CO$_2$ but not the epoxide ring are sought out [12].
Azzouz et al. demonstrated the effective utilization of 2-aminopyridine (2-NH$_2$-PY) as a catalyst (using 10 molar % of 2-NH$_2$-PY) for the carbonation of different terminal epoxides at 60–85 °C and 1 MPa of CO$_2$ [35]. This carbonation was performed even at pilot scale.

Interestingly, corresponding salts with protic (Bronsted) acids (base.HA) of the above-mentioned non-nucleophilic amines (DBU.HA, N-methylimidazole (MIM.HA), N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP.HA)) and, alongside these, even triethanolamine, pyridine and caffeine (Figure 3), are quite catalytically active in the cycloaddition of CO$_2$ with epoxides (Table 3, Entries 3–12, 15–24). Hydrogen halides in particular are the most active in comparison with corresponding free bases [29,30,32,37–39] (Table 3, Entries 3–6, 8–12). The most active seems to be hydroiodides of the corresponding amines (Table 3, Entries 10, 18–24, 26–27). For the above-mentioned catalytic action of amine salts, the reaction mechanism based on the activation of epoxide via the protonation with amine...
salt in the role of Bronsted acid (HA) is proposed with subsequent anion-based epoxide ring opening.

Table 1. Effect of amine structure on the cycloaddition of CO$_2$ to propylene oxide (PO) producing propylene carbonate (PC).

| Entry | Catalyst                      | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO$_2$ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | PO Conversion/Yield (%) | Selectivity (%) | Ref.  |
|-------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| 1     | Monoethanolamine              | 3.2                    | 5                     | 150              | 24                | 48.8                   | not specified  | [31]  |
| 2     | bis-(3-aminopropyl)-amine     | 3.2                    | 5                     | 150              | 24                | 55.8                   | not specified  | [28]  |
| 3     | o-phenylene-diamine           | 3.2                    | 5                     | 150              | 24                | 56.6                   | not specified  | [28]  |
| 4     | oleylamine                    | 3.2                    | 5                     | 150              | 24                | 67.1                   | not specified  | [28]  |
| 5     | 2-amino-benzylamine           | 3.2                    | 5                     | 150              | 24                | 70.2                   | not specified  | [28]  |
| 6     | Arginine                      | 3.2                    | 5                     | 150              | 24                | 79.9                   | not specified  | [28]  |
| 7     | PPD                           | 3.2                    | 5                     | 150              | 24                | 93.8                   | not specified  | [28]  |
| 8     | TBD                           | 3.2                    | 5                     | 150              | 24                | 100                    | not specified  | [28]  |
| 9     | Pyridine                      | 1.2                    | 2.5                   | 120              | 3                 | 77                     | 99              | [32]  |
| 10    | Pyridine                      | 1.2                    | 2.5                   | 120              | 3                 | 28                     | 99              | [32]  |
| 11    | Imidazole                     | 1.2                    | 1.2                   | 120              | 3                 | 63                     | 99              | [32]  |
| 12    | Imidazole                     | 1.2                    | 2.5                   | 120              | 3                 | 77                     | 99              | [32]  |
| 13    | DMAP                          | 12.5                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 76                     | 96              | [31]  |
| 14    | DMAP                          | 1.2                    | 2.5                   | 120              | 3                 | 71                     | 99              | [32]  |
| 15    | DMAP                          | 1.2                    | 1.2                   | 120              | 3                 | 30.7                   | 99              | [32]  |
| 16    | DMAP                          | 1.2                    | 1.2                   | 120              | 3                 | 31                     | 99              | [32]  |
| 17    | DBU                           | 9                      | 2.0                   | 120              | 2                 | 79.2                   | not specified  | [39]  |
| 18    | DBU                           | 1                      | 1                     | 140              | 2                 | 2                      | 3               | [29]  |
| 19    | DBU                           | 10.5                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 80                     | 90              | [31]  |
| 20    | No catalyst                   | 0                      | 1                     | 140              | 2                 | 0                      | 0               | [29]  |

Abbreviations: PPD—p-Phenylenediamine.

Table 2. The effect of organic amines on the conversion of PO [36].

| Entry | Catalyst | pKa | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO$_2$ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) | Selectivity (%) |
|-------|----------|-----|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1     | TBD      | 26  | 11.3                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 8              | 96             |
| 2     | MTBD     | 25.5| 10.2                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 15             | 99             |
| 3     | DBU      | 24.3| 10.5                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 93             | 99             |
| 4     | TEA      | 18.8| 15.5                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 41             | 95             |
| 5     | DMAP     | 18  | 12.5                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 87             | 99             |
| 6     | PY       | 12.5| 19.9                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 30             | 92             |
| 7     | DEA      | 11  | 21.5                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 34             | 92             |
| 8     | MEOA     | 9.5 | 25.7                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 64             | 88             |
| 9     | DEOA     | 8.9 | 15                     | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 74             | 94             |
| 10    | DABCO    | 8.7 | 14.1                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 80             | 98             |
| 11    | TEOA     | 7.8 | 10.6                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 81             | 93             |
| 12    | MIM      | 7.1 | 19.2                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 74             | 99             |
| 13    | IM       | 7   | 28.1                   | 2                     | 120              | 2                 | 10             | 93             |
Table 3. Comparison of the catalytic effects of amines and their salts on the carbonation of PO.

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion/Yield (%) | Selectivity (%) | Ref. |
|-------|----------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------|
| 1     | No catalyst | 0                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                | 0                   | 0                | [29] |
| 2     | DBU      | 1                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                | 2                   | 3                | [29] |
| 3     | [HDBU]OAc | 1                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                | 86                  | 90               | [29] |
| 4     | [HDBU]Cl  | 1                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                | 97                  | >99              | [29] |
| 5     | [HTBD]Cl  | 1                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                | 86                  | 93               | [29] |
| 6     | [HMIM]Cl  | 1                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                | 83                  | 90               | [29] |
| 7     | [HPY]Cl   | 1                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                | 59                  | 87               | [29] |
| 8     | CAHF.Br   | 7                      | 0.7               | 70               | 16               | 93                  | not specified    | [37] |
| 9     | CAHF.Br   | 7                      | 0.7               | 70               | 6                | >99                 | not specified    | [37] |
| 10    | [HTEA]I  | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 91                  | not specified    | [37] |
| 11    | IM + CH₃COOH | 1.2                   | 1.2               | 120              | 3                | 95                  | 98               | [39] |
| 12    | PY + CH₃COOH | 1.2                   | 1.2               | 120              | 3                | 94                  | 98               | [39] |
| 13    | No catalyst | 0                      | 2                 | 110              | 6                | trace               | not specified    | [38] |
| 14    | TEOA     | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | trace               | not specified    | [38] |
| 15    | [HTEOA]F | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 4                   | 99               | [38] |
| 16    | [HTEOA]Cl | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 5                   | 99               | [38] |
| 17    | [HTEOA]Br | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 6                   | 99               | [38] |
| 18    | [HTEOA]I | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 91                  | 99               | [38] |
| 19    | [HMEOA]I | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 65                  | 99               | [38] |
| 20    | [HDEOA]I | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 90                  | 99               | [38] |
| 21    | PANI-HI  | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 99                  | not specified    | [38] |
| 22    | [HHMTA]Cl | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 33                  | 48               | [38] |
| 23    | [Hbet]I | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 98                  | not specified    | [38] |
| 24    | [HMIM]I  | 1.88                   | 2                 | 110              | 6                | 91                  | 99               | [38] |

Abbreviations: [HMIM]Cl—I-methylimidazolium chloride; CAHF.X—caffeinium halide; [Hbet]I—Me₃NCH₂COO.HI; PANI-HI—Polyaniline hydrogen iodide; [HHMTA]Cl—urotropin hydrogen chloride.

Sun et al. reported very effective carbonation using triethanolamine hydroiodide, including the simple recyclability of this catalyst without loss of activity even after four recycling steps [38]. The published results indicate that the synergetic effect of hydroxyl groups from protonated aminoalcohol in the role of HBD, together with naked bromide or iodide, significantly influences the cycloaddition of CO₂ to the studied epoxides and makes possible the application of this reaction even at ambient conditions (Table 3, Entry 17–18).

Catalytically active ammonium halide activates not only the epoxide ring for opening through the H-bond with hydroxyl groups of triethanolammonium cation and the subsequent addition of intermediate 2-halogenoalkoxide to CO₂, but even the next ring closure caused by the facile withdrawal of halide from the produced 2-halogenocarbonate [38] (Schemes 3 and 4).

Apart from the above-mentioned, in the case of caffeine hydrobromide, potassium halides added to the reaction mixture as an additional source of nucleophiles were successfully tested. With the same reaction conditions, the enhanced efficiency of cyclic carbonate formation was observed utilizing equimolar quantities of KX and caffeine.HBr or even...
2: 1 KX: caffeine·HBr using DMSO as the reaction solvent at 70 °C and 0.7 MPa CO₂ pressure [29,37]. The yield of cyclic carbonate increased following the trend KF < KCl < KBr < KI, which was in good agreement with nucleophilicity and nucleofugacity of the corresponding halide anions (Table 4, Entries 17–25).

Table 4. Catalyst screening for the cycloaddition of EPIC.

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) | Reference |
|-------|----------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1     | PY       | 5                      | 0.1               | 25              | 24               | 3             | [40]      |
| 2     | PY       | 5                      | 0.1               | 60              | 24               | 39            | [40]      |
| 3     | PY-CH₂OH | 5                      | 0.1               | 25              | 24               | 18            | [40]      |
| 4     | PY-CH₂OH | 5                      | 0.1               | 60              | 24               | 73            | [40]      |
| 5     | Ph CH₂OH | 5                      | 0.1               | 60              | 24               | 0             | [40]      |
| 6     | CAFHBr   | 7                      | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | >99           | [37]      |
| 7     | CAFHBr   | 7                      | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | 95            | [37]      |
| 8     | CAFHCl   | 7                      | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | 81            | [37]      |
| 9     | CAF      | 7                      | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | 9             | [37]      |
| 10    | HBr      | 7                      | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | 78            | [37]      |
| 11    | HI       | 7                      | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | 42            | [37]      |
| 12    | HCl      | 7                      | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | 26            | [37]      |
| 13    | 1-MIM.HBr| 7                      | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | 99            | [37]      |
| 14    | 1,2-MMIM.Br| 7                  | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | 93            | [37]      |
| 15    | 1-MIM.HBr| 7                      | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | 77            | [37]      |
| 16    | 1,2-MMIM.HBr| 7              | 0.7               | 70              | 16               | 67            | [37]      |
| 17    | CaFHBr   | 7                      | 0.7               | 70              | 6                | 14            | [37]      |
| 18    | CaFHBr/KI (1:1)| 7              | 0.7               | 70              | 6                | 94            | [37]      |
| 19    | CaFHBr/KI (1:2)| 7              | 0.7               | 70              | 6                | >99           | [37]      |
| 20    | CaFHBr/KBr (1:1)| 7             | 0.7               | 70              | 6                | 87            | [37]      |
| 21    | CaFHBr/KBr (1:2)| 7             | 0.7               | 70              | 6                | 95            | [37]      |
| 22    | CaFHBr/KCl (1:1)| 7             | 0.7               | 70              | 6                | 70            | [37]      |
| 23    | CaFHBr/KCl (1:2)| 7             | 0.7               | 70              | 6                | 81            | [37]      |
| 24    | CaFHBr/KF (1:1)| 7             | 0.7               | 70              | 6                | 38            | [37]      |
| 25    | CaFHBr/KF (1:2)| 7             | 0.7               | 70              | 6                | 51            | [37]      |
| 26    | TEA.HI   | 10                     | 0.1               | 40              | 24               | 87            | [41]      |

In Table 5, the increase in carbonate yields using CAFH.Br/KI in the case of carbonation of terminal epoxides is documented. In the case of internal epoxide (limonene oxide), however, no carbonation was observed using caffeine hydrobromide or even its mixture with KI (Table 5, Entry 5).

Roshan et al. came to the conclusion that even the addition of a low quantity (a catalytic amount) of H₂O significantly enhances CO₂-based formation of PC over tertiary heterocyclic amines such as IM, PY and DMAP, giving over 98% selectivity of PC formation (at 120 °C, 1.2 MPa, 3 h). The observed results were evaluated by a DFT study comparing energy profiles for free amine in comparison with corresponding amine hydrogen carbonate-mediated cycloadditions of CO₂ to propylene oxide. Ammonium hydrogen carbonates produced in situ from heterocyclic amine, H₂O and CO₂ works similarly to the above-mentioned amine salts as activators of the epoxide ring. As was argued, the HCO₃⁻ anion generated in the water-CO₂-base reaction was the key active species that gave the higher
activity of the base-water systems rather than the carbamate salt (produced by a reaction of R₃N with CO₂) [32].

Table 5. Reaction of various epoxides with CO₂ [37].

| Entry | Abbreviation of Used Epoxide | Cyclic Carbonate | Conversion (%) |
|-------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
|       |                               | CAFH.Br          | CAFH.Br/KI     |
| 1     | EPIC                          | CMEC             | >99           | >99           |
| 2     | EPIB                          | BMEC             | 98            | 98            |
| 3     | PO                            | MEC              | 93            | 99            |
| 4     | SO                            | PEC              | 28            | 53            |
| 5     | LO                            | LC               | no conversion | no conversion |

Reaction conditions: 7 mol% catalyst at 70 °C and 0.7 MPa; reaction time, CAFH.Br = 16 h and CAFHBr/KI = 6 h.

It should be mentioned that low-melting salts (melting point below 100 °C) obtained by the neutralization of organic bases with organic or inorganic acids embodies are called protic ionic liquids (PILs). The melting of PILs could enhance the miscibility of catalytically active PILs with reacting epoxide and CO₂ compared with solid catalysts, as was published by Zhang et al. in the case of DMAP hydroiodide [42] or by Kumatabara et al. using triethylamine hydroiodide [41] at ambient pressure (Table 3, Entry 10 and Table 4 Entry 26).

Zhang et al. published results obtained even by means of the capture and utilization of CO₂ for the cycloaddition into SO using PIL (DMAP hydrobromide) at ambient pressure and 120 °C [42]. This PIL has superior catalytic effect compared with other hydrogenhalides of tertiary bases such as DBU, MIM, DABCO or tetramethylguanidine (Table 6). DMAP.HBr is well reusable with no drop in activity after five recycling steps. DMAP.HBr is able to carbonate even internal epoxides such as ChO, although this cycloaddition is quite sluggish.
Table 6. Synthesis of SC catalyzed by different PILs [42].

| Entry | Catalyst | Amount (mol%) | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) | SC Selectivity (%) |
|-------|----------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 1     | none     | 1             | 0.1               | 120              | 4                | n.d.          | n.d.             |
| 2     | DMAP/NaBr| 1             | 0.1               | 120              | 4                | 48            | 99               |
| 3     | [DMAP]Br | 1             | 0.1               | 120              | 4                | 96            | 99               |
| 4     | [DMAP]Br | 0.5           | 0.1               | 120              | 4                | 76            | 99               |
| 5     | [DMAP]Br | 0.7           | 0.1               | 120              | 4                | 85            | 99               |
| 6     | [DMAP]Br | 1             | 0.1               | 120              | 4                | 96            | 99               |
| 7     | [4-MeNH-Py]Br | 1 | 0.1       | 120              | 4                | 78            | 99               |
| 8     | [4-NH₂-Py]Br | 1 | 0.1       | 120              | 4                | 60            | 99               |
| 9     | [4-OH-Py]Br | 1 | 0.1       | 120              | 4                | 47            | 99               |
| 10    | [DBU]HCl | 1             | 0.1               | 120              | 4                | 80            | 99               |
| 11    | [DBU]Br  | 1             | 0.1               | 120              | 4                | 85            | 99               |
| 12    | [HMIM]Br | 1             | 0.1               | 120              | 4                | 84            | 99               |
| 13    | [HTMG]Br | 1             | 0.1               | 120              | 4                | 71            | 99               |
| 14    | [DABCO]HBr | 1 | 0.1       | 120              | 4                | 61            | 99               |
| 15    | [DMAP]Br | 1             | 0.1               | 80               | 4                | 36            | 99               |
| 16    | [DMAP]Br | 1             | 0.1               | 80               | 12               | 76            | 99               |
| 17    | [DMAP]Br | 1             | 0.1               | 120              | 14               | 92            | 99               |

Abbreviations: [HMIm]Br—3-methylimidazolium bromide; [4-MeNH-Py]—4-methylaminopyridine; [HTMG]Br—N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylguanidinium hydrogen bromide.

3.2. Two Components Catalysts Based on a Combination of Organic Base and Hydrogen Bond Donor

As was mentioned in Section 3.1, the combined action of protonated amine with nucleophilic anion positively influences the efficiency of cycloaddition. This synergic action between the Lewis base, such as the amine, and hydrogen bond donors (HBDs) was reported in the literature [2,4,6,32,36,43].

The possible synergic effects of alcohols (glycerol, glycold, 1,2-propylene glycol (PG), poly(ethylene glycol)-600 (PEG600), poly(ethylene glycol)-400 (PEG400), cellulose, chitosan and β-cyclodextrin (β-CD)) known as HBDs was explored in CO₂-based cyclic carbonates synthesis catalyzed by amines, as mentioned in Section 3.1 [36]. For this purpose, the most catalytically active DBU and DMAP were tested in relation to the co-action of the chosen HBDs (Table 7).

Out of the set of experiments, cellulose was recognized as the most effective HBD in the addition of CO₂ to propylene oxide [36].

The effective quantity of cellulose used as HBD in the case of the DBU catalysis of the chemical fixation of CO₂ into propylene carbonate is very low with respect to the optimal quantity of DBU (15 mg of cellulose + 300 mg of DBU per mL of PO). The effect of the DBU excess on the yield of PC in the DBU-cellulose reaction system was studied. Generally, the yield rises with the increasing of the DBU: cellulose ratio with the maximum conversion and selectivity reached at a mass ratio of 25–30:1 [36]. The high catalytical activity of cellulose was, in all probability, explained by Khiari et al. and Gunnarson et al. [44,45]. Cellulose reacts in co-action with a significant excess of non-nucleophilic DBU, with CO₂ producing carbonate by means of a reaction similar to that of cellulose xanthate formation during the production of viscose utilizing a sulfur analogue of CO₂, carbon disulfide. The produced carbonate should be a nucleophilic agent that attacks and opens the epoxide ring rather than the known non-nucleophilic DBU.
Table 7. Catalyst screening for the base catalyzed cycloaddition reaction of PO; effects of different HBDs [36].

| Entry | Catalyst | HBD     | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) | Selectivity (%) |
|-------|----------|---------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1     | DBU      | none    | 9.5                    | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 80             | 99             |
| 2     | DBU      | H₂O     | 9.5                    | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 51             | 89             |
| 3     | DBU      | PG      | 9.5                    | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 89             | 98             |
| 4     | DBU      | Chitosan| 9.5                    | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 88             | 99             |
| 5     | DBU      | β-CD    | 9.5                    | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 83             | 99             |
| 6     | DMAP     | none    | 11.1                   | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 76             | 96             |
| 7     | DMAP     | PG      | 11.1                   | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 86             | 97             |
| 8     | DMAP     | Chitosan| 11.1                   | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 83             | 98             |
| 9     | DMAP     | β-CD    | 11.1                   | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 80             | 98             |
| 10    | DBU      | Cellulose| 9.5                   | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 93             | 99             |
| 11    | DBU      | PEG600  | 9.5                    | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 83             | 99             |
| 12    | DBU      | PEG400  | 9.5                    | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 86             | 99             |
| 13    | DBU      | Glycerine| 9.5                   | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 87             | 98             |
| 14    | DBU a    | Cellulose| 9.5                   | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 92             | 99             |
| 15    | DBU b    | Cellulose| 9.5                   | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 89             | 99             |
| 16    | DBU c    | Cellulose| 9.5                   | 2                  | 120              | 2                 | 85             | 99             |

a 2nd reuse; b 3rd reuse; c 4th reuse.

Aoyragi et al. described the markedly increased formation of cyclic carbonates in isopropylalcohol using triphenylphosphine hydroiodide as a catalyst. 1H NMR spectra documented the formation of H-bonds between the used isopropylalcohol and the starting epoxide [46]. The high activity of the above-mentioned hydroiodide (compared with other HXs) was explained by both the high nucleophilicity and even the high leaving ability (nucleofugality) of iodide ion.

Section 3.1 mentioned the significant catalytic activity of triethanolamine [36], which could be explained by the synergy of HBDs (bound alcoholic OH groups) and the Lewis basicity of the tertiary amine.

More advanced catalysts such as 2-hydroxymethylpyridine (2-PY-CH₂OH) and 2,6-bis(hydroxymethyl)pyridine (2,6-PY-CH₂OH) were developed for the high-efficiency cycloaddition of CO₂ with epichlorohydrin (EPIC) under a slightly elevated temperature and ambient pressure (T = 25–60 °C, 0.1 MPa of CO₂; see Table 4, Entry 4) [40]. The high catalytic effect was demonstrated by ¹H NMR spectroscopy observing the formation of a stable H-bond between the PY-CH₂OH and oxygen of epichlorohydrin. The authors demonstrated that the tested compounds with either heterocyclic nitrogen (benzylalcohol PhCH₂OH) or hydroxymethyl (CH₂OH) groups (PY) catalyzed EC formation only sparingly (PY) or not at all (PhCH₂OH) (Table 4, Entries 1–5).

The catalytic activity of nitrogen-doped charcoal for CO₂ cycloaddition reactions could be explained by the co-operation of OH groups working as HBDs and tertiary amines bound in the graphitic structure of specially prepared N-doped carbons together with the ability of active carbon to adsorb CO₂ [47].

3.3. Aminoacids (AAs) as Catalysts

AAs contain amino and carboxylic groups in their structures. Amino groups can react with CO₂ to form N-COO⁻ (carbamate) products with low binding energy, which can catalyze the transfer of CO₂ to the 2-halogenoalcoholate produced by the halide-based opening of the epoxide ring. The carboxylate group (–COOH) can catalyze the oxirane ring opening as effective HBD analogously to the amino group (–NHR) and hydroxyl (–OH). Some AA salts have been successfully tested in the capture of CO₂ from flue gas. In addition, the amino groups can also be utilized in quaternization with the aim of introducing halide as a nucleophile into the engineered catalytically active molecules (Table 8).
The yield of PO is dependent on the AA structure; basic AAs such as L-histidine (L-His) and proline (Pro) containing basic additional groups (imidazolium and amino, respectively) provided higher yields than acidic aspartic or glutamic acids (Figure 4).

In addition, the combination of an amino acid with an HBD results in a higher catalytic activity under milder reaction conditions than in the absence of an HBD. The binary catalytic systems formed with the amino acid and H2O produced, for example, more active systems for the synthesis of PO than in the absence of H2O [53]. In the case of L-His, the time taken for the nearly total conversion was reduced from 48 h to 3 h by adding H2O as an HBD (L-His:H2O ratio = 1:29) under similar conditions (Table 8, Entries 1 and 2). The low H2O concentration was used to avoid the hydrolysis of the produced PC [49,53].

Roshan et al. showed that the combination of halide ions as nucleophiles (added in the form of KI, for example) with L-histidine produced highly active catalytic systems for the cycloaddition of CO2 to epoxides [51] (Table 8, Entry 4). The most effective binary catalytic systems contained KI with basic AAs such as His/KI (Table 8, Entry 4). In a related work, Yang et al. proved the high stability of AA/KI catalytic systems, namely L-Trp/KI, for the cycloaddition of CO2 to PO to form propylene carbonate. After carbonate separation conducted by means of distillation, the catalytic system was reused five times without loss of activity [54].

The catalytic activity of the different KX salts followed the order Cl < Br < I corresponding to the increasing nucleophilicity and leaving group ability [54]. This confirmed the role of these anions in the opening of the epoxide ring [54].

3.4. Onium Salts as Catalysts

Quaternary ammonium (most often tetrabutylammonium bromide, TBAB, and iodide (TBAI), phosphonium and sometimes even sulfonium salts [55]) are common catalysts for the formation of cyclic carbonates from epoxides and CO2 [5,6,12] (Figure 5).

### Table 8. PO catalyzed by AAs.

| Entry | Catalyst | Cocatalyst | Cat./Cocat. (mol%) | CO2 Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Yield (%) | Ref. |
|-------|----------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|-----|
| 1     | L-His    | -          | 0.8/-             | 8                 | 130              | 48               | 100       | [48]|
| 2     | L-His    | H2O        | 0.44/12.82        | 1.2               | 120              | 3                | 82        | [49]|
| 3     | QGly     | -          | 2.15/-            | 1.2               | 120              | 2                | 98        | [50]|
| 4     | L-His    | KI         | 0.2/0.2           | 1                 | 120              | 3                | 98        | [51]|
| 5     | L-His    | DBU        | 2/10              | 2                 | 120              | 2                | 96        | [52]|
| 6     | -        | DBU        | -/10              | 2                 | 120              | 2                | 95        | [52]|

Abbreviations: L-His—L-Histidine; QGly—glycine quaternized using MeI under 10 min of microwave irradiation.
The low-melting (below 100 °C) quaternary ammonium salts are called ionic liquids (ILs). In addition, ILs show good solvating ability including CO$_2$, variable polarity and negligible vapor pressure [56]. ILs are considered to be sustainable (“green”) solvents because of their properties such as relatively high thermal stability and negligible vapor pressure, high chemical stability and simple separability, and the modularity of their properties changing the structure of anions and cations.

The first published article that mentioned the formation of cyclic carbonates via the cycloaddition of CO$_2$ in epoxides catalyzed solely by ILs was published by Deng and Peng [57]. The authors studied different 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium (BMIM) and N-butylpyridinium (BPY) salts varying in anions (chloride and tetrafluoroborate (BF$_4^-$), hexafluorophosphate (PF$_6^-$)) and observed the highest activity in the case of BMIMBF$_4$ salt. The catalytic activity increase was in the order: BMIMPF$_6$ < BPYBF$_4$ < BMIMCl < BMIMBF$_4$. This observation is in agreement with the solubility of CO$_2$ in these ILs; the highest solubility of CO$_2$ was determined in BMIMBF$_4$ [58].

Dyson et al. and Wang et al. studied the abilities of different ILs that differed in terms of the cations (alkylated imidazolium and tetraalkylammonium) and anions (halides) used [59,60]. Interestingly, cheap Bu$_4$NCl was found to be a very active catalyst compared with the much more expensive alkylated imidazolium halides. Based on the experimental results obtained at ambient pressure and 50 °C, they hypothesized that the balance between the nucleophilicity of anions and the acidity of hydrogens bound in the imidazolium ring of used IL is most important.

Yang et al. published an even higher catalytic activity of corresponding bromides when comparing them with tetrafluoroborates (Table 9, Entries 10 and 11) [29].

An increase in catalytical activity was observed with the increasing of the lipophilic alkyl chain length in RMIMXs when comparing 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium and 1-octyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide [29].

Based on this idea, Akhdar et al. successfully tested the carbonation of internal epoxide produced via the epoxidation of methyl oleate [61–63]. The catalysts were prepared by means of the alkylation of N-alkylimidazoles with oligoethylene iodide and modified by ion-exchange to the corresponding bromide. N'-Oligoethylene-N-butylimidazolium bromide was recognized as the most active catalyst enabling the carbonation of epoxidized methyl oleate in 96% yield even at 100 °C and 2 MPa of CO$_2$.

**Table 9.** Effect of the ionic liquid structure on the cycloaddition reaction of PO.

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO$_2$ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) | Selectivity (%) | Ref. |
|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|
| 1     | [BMIm]PF$_6$ | 1.5                    | 2                     | 110              | 6                | 11.3           | 100             | [57] |
| 2     | [BPY]BF$_4$  | 1.5                    | 2                     | 110              | 6                | 25.3           | 100             | [57] |
| 3     | [BMIm]Cl     | 1                      | 2                     | 110              | 6                | 63.8           | 100             | [57] |
| 4     | [BMIm]BF$_4$ | 0.75                   | 2                     | 110              | 6                | 67.4           | 100             | [57] |
| 5     | [BMIm]BF$_4$ | 1.5                    | 2                     | 110              | 6                | 80.2           | 100             | [57] |
| 6     | [BMIm]BF$_4$ | 2                      | 2                     | 110              | 6                | 90.3           | 100             | [57] |

**Figure 5.** Structures of cations of commonly used ILs.
Table 9. Cont.

| Entry | Catalyst                          | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) | Selectivity (%) | Ref. |
|-------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| 7     | [BMIIm]BF₄                         | 2.5                    | 2                 | 110              | 6                 | 100            | 100            | [57] |
| 8     | [BMIIm]BF₄ + [BMIIm]Cl            | 1.5 + 0.2              | 2                 | 110              | 6                 | 90.5           | 100            | [57] |
| 9     | [BMIIm]PF₆ + [BMIIm]Cl            | 1.5 + 0.2              | 2                 | 110              | 6                 | 45.3           | 100            | [57] |
| 10    | [BMIIm]Br                          | 1                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                 | 54             | 70             | [29] |
| 11    | [OMIm]Br                           | 1                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                 | 85             | 91             | [29] |
| 12    | [OMIm]BF₄                         | 1                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                 | 5              | 5              | [29] |
| 13    | [OMIm]BF₄                         | 1                      | 1                 | 140              | 2                 | 5              | 5              | [29] |
| 14    | [p-ClBzMIM]Cl                      | 0.25                   | 2                 | 130              | 4                 | 86.34          | not specified  | [60] |
| 15    | [o-MeBzMIM]PF₆                    | 0.25                   | 2                 | 130              | 4                 | 31.82          | not specified  | [60] |
| 16    | [o-MeBzMIM]BF₄                    | 0.25                   | 2                 | 130              | 4                 | 33.03          | not specified  | [60] |
| 17    | [BMIIm]Br                          | 9                      | 4                 | 70               | 22                | 88             | >99            | [64] |
| 18    | [OMIm]Br                           | 9                      | 4                 | 70               | 22                | 88             | >99            | [64] |
| 19    | [BBzIm]Br                          | 9                      | 4                 | 70               | 22                | 77             | >99            | [64] |
| 20    | [OBzIm]Br                          | 9                      | 4                 | 70               | 22                | 73             | >99            | [64] |

The catalytic activity of ILs cannot be known based on a comparison of the anion effects using one type of cation. In the case of N-benzyl-N′-methylimidazolium salts, the most active one is N-(o-methyl)benzyl)-N′-methylimidazolium chloride o-MeBzMIMCl; the catalytic activity of the corresponding o-MeBzMIMBF₄⁻ and o-MeBzMIMPF₆⁻ salts is lower (Table 9, Entries 14–16) [60].

Anthofer et al. studied the relationship between the structure, affinity to CO₂ and catalytic activity of different low-melting N,N′-dialkylimidazoles in detail [64] (Figure 6).

As could be seen, the most active ILs were those that contained bulk lipophilic substituents (N-octyl-N′-pentafluorophenyl-, N-butyl-N′-pentafluorophenyl- or N-methyl-N′-octyl-imidazol). The observed catalytic activity correlates well with the measured sorption of CO₂ in the studied ILs under the reaction conditions of this study. The substitution of the 2-position also significantly reduced the activity of the tested ILs [64].

The authors detected an interaction (hydrogen bond formation) between the acidic hydrogen of the used imidazole bromides (bound in position 2) and the oxygen atom of PO using FT-IR spectroscopy [64]. The mentioned catalyst was very effective even for the carbonation of internal epoxides such as cyclohexene oxide (ChO).
As could be seen, the most active ILs were those that contained bulk lipophilic substituents (N-octyl-N'‐pentafluorophenyl‐, N‐butyl-N'‐pentafluorophenyl‐ or N‐methyl-N'‐octyl‐imidazole). The observed catalytic activity correlates well with the measured sorption of CO₂ in the studied ILs under the reaction conditions of this study. The substitution of the 2‐position also significantly reduced the activity of the tested ILs [64] (Table 10).

Table 10. Synthesis of PC from CO₂ and PO using IL catalysts [64].

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | Mole Fraction CO₂ to IL | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) | Selectivity (%) |
|-------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0     | -        | 0                      | -                       | -                 | -               | -                | -              | -              |
| 1     | [BMIm]Br | 9.1                    | -                       | 0.4               | 70              | 22               | 0              | >99            |
| 2     | [BM2Im]Br| 9.1                    | -                       | 0.4               | 70              | 22               | 85             | >99            |
| 3     | [BEMIm]Br| 9.1                    | -                       | 0.4               | 70              | 22               | 64             | >99            |
| 4     | [OMIm]Br | 9.1                    | 0.058 ± 0.002           | 0.4               | 70              | 22               | 88             | >99            |
| 5     | [OM2Im]Br| 9.1                    | 0.077 ± 0.003           | 0.4               | 70              | 22               | 80             | >99            |
| 6     | [OEMIm]Br| 9.1                    | 0.109 ± 0.001           | 0.4               | 70              | 22               | 71             | >99            |
| 7     | [BBzim]Br| 9.1                    | -                       | 0.4               | 70              | 22               | 77             | >99            |
| 8     | [OBzIm]Br| 9.1                    | 0.096 ± 0.004           | 0.4               | 70              | 22               | 73             | >99            |
| 9     | [BBzF5Im]Br | 9.1            | -                       | 0.4               | 70              | 22               | 86             | >99            |
| 10    | [OBzF5Im]Br| 9.1            | 0.102 ± 0.011          | 0.4               | 70              | 22               | 71             | >99            |

The authors detected an interaction (hydrogen bond formation) between the acidic hydrogen of the used imidazole bromides (bound in position 2) and the oxygen atom of PO using FT-IR spectroscopy [64]. The mentioned catalyst was very effective even for the carbonation of internal epoxides such as ChO (Table 11).

Table 11. Cycloaddition of different epoxides catalyzed by [OBzF5Im]Br; effect of epoxide structure [64].

| Entry | Substrate Abbreviation | Conversion (%) |
|-------|------------------------|----------------|
| 1     | PO                     | 91             |
| 2     | EPIC                   | 99             |
| 3     | GL                     | 98             |
| 4     | OO                     | 72             |
| 5     | SO                     | 96             |
| 6     | ChO                    | 78             |

Reaction conditions: catalyst: 1-(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzyl-3-octylimidazolium bromide (10 mol%), 0.4 MPa, 70 °C, 22 h.

As was published by Yang et al., in the case of butylated DABCO (BuDABCO), the corresponding bromides, chlorides and hydroxides were recognized as the most effective cycloaddition catalysts [29] (Table 12, Entries 1–4). In contrast, non-nucleophilic anions
such as NTf₂⁻, PF₆⁻ or BF₄⁻ caused the loss of the catalytic activity of the studied BuDABCO salts.

Table 12. PC synthesis catalyzed by DABCO-based Lewis basic ionic liquids [29].

| Entry | Catalyst | Amount (mol%) | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) | Selectivity (%) |
|-------|----------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1     | [BuDABCO]OH | 1              | 1                  | 140              | 2                 | 88             | 97             |
| 2     | [BuDABCO]Cl | 1              | 1                  | 140              | 2                 | 81             | 99             |
| 3     | [BuDABCO]Br | 1              | 1                  | 140              | 2                 | 79             | >99            |
| 4     | [BuDABCO]BF₂ | 1             | 1                  | 140              | 2                 | 78             | 98             |
| 5     | [BuDABCO]NTr₂ | 1           | 1                  | 140              | 2                 | 9              | 50             |
| 6     | [BuDABCO]PF₆ | 1             | 1                  | 140              | 2                 | 4              | 9              |
| 7     | [BuDABCO]BF₄ | 1             | 1                  | 140              | 2                 | 2              | 6              |

Surprisingly, when ILs containing activated CO₂ in their structures in N,N'-di(alkyl)imidazolium-2-carboxylates were tested as CO₂ cycloaddition catalysts by Kayaki et al. [65], the observed activity was quite low and a high CO₂ pressure was required to obtain satisfactory conversion (Table 13). The hydrogen in position 2 on the imidazolium ring is, in all probability, important as an HBD for epoxide ring activation and substitution with -COO⁻ causes a decrease in catalytic activity (Table 13).

Table 13. Cycloaddition of CO₂ to epoxides catalyzed by 1,3-di-tert-butylimidazolium-2-carboxylate [65].

| Entry | R        | Catalyst | Amount (mol%) | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) |
|-------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 1     | C₆H₅     |          | 5             | 4.5                | 100              | 24                | 89             |
| 2     | C₆H₅OCH₂ |          | 5             | 2.5                | 100              | 15                | 81             |
| 3     | ClCH₂    |          | 5             | 2.5                | 100              | 18                | 87             |
| 4     | n-C₆H₅   |          | 5             | 2.5                | 100              | 40                | 71             |

Interestingly, some of the attempts to boost the catalytic activity of onium salts constructing di- or tricationic ILs (Scheme 7) often fall flat (Figure 7) [66–68].
Scheme 7. Preparation of tested ILs (substituted BzMIMs) described by Yang et al. [60]. 

\[ \text{R} = \text{H} = [\text{BzMIM}]\text{Cl}; \text{R} = 4-\text{CH}_3 = [p-\text{MBzMIM}]\text{Cl}; \text{R} = 2-\text{CH}_3 = [o-\text{MeBzMIM}]\text{Cl}; \text{R} = 4-\text{NO}_2 = [p-\text{NBzMIM}]\text{Cl}; \]
\[ \text{R} = 2-\text{Cl} = [o-\text{ClBzMIM}]\text{Cl}; \text{R} = 4-\text{Cl} = [p-\text{ClBzMIM}]\text{Cl}; \text{X} = \text{PF}_6 = o-\text{MeBzMIMBF}_4^-; \text{X} = \text{BF}_4^- = o-\text{MeBzMIMPF}_6. \]

Figure 7. Structures of studied task-specific dicationic ILs (amino-pyridinium-pyrrolidinium bromide [66], Quaternized nicotine based ammonium ILs [67] and CH$_2$-bridged tertiary amines [68].

Isothiouonium salts (Scheme 8) were also chosen for the testing of catalytic activity for CO$_2$ addition, providing encouraging results (over 90% yield with selectivity over 99%) at 2 MPa pressure of CO$_2$ and 140 °C after 2 h of action using 1 molar % of catalyst. The corresponding thiourea was practically nonactive [55].

Scheme 8. Preparation of catalytically active S-alkylisothiouonium salt [55].
Apart from ammonium and sulfonium salts, the methyl-trioctylphosphonium-based ILs with organic anions were studied as cycloaddition catalysts. Their catalytic activity was remarkable even for cycloaddition reaction of less reactive styrene oxide (SO) with CO\(_2\) at ambient pressure [46].

Wilhelm et al. compared the action of different aromatic or heterocyclic alcoholates (phenolates or anions of hydroxypyridine regioisomers, Figure 8) used as anions in combination with tetrabutylphosphonium, tetrabutylammonium and N-ethyl-DBU-based cations [69] (Table 14). The authors discovered the cooperative effect of the alcoholate anion of 2-hydroxypyridine with the tetrabutylphosphonium cation in the case of a reaction of CO\(_2\) with epichlorohydrin. The catalytic activity, however, of other ILs containing Bu\(_4\)N\(^+\) or Et-DBU\(^+\) cations was slender (Table 14).

![Figure 8. Structures of hydroxypyridine anion OPYs [69].](image)

**Table 14. Cycloaddition of CO\(_2\) with EPIC catalyzed ILs [69].**

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO\(_2\) Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) |
|-------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1     | -        | -                      | -                       | -                | -                 | 0             |
| 2     | [Bu\(_4\)P] 2-OPY | 10                     | 0.1                     | 30               | 20                | 90            |
| 3     | [Bu\(_4\)P] 3-OPY | 10                     | 0.1                     | 30               | 20                | 77            |
| 4     | [Bu\(_4\)P] 4-OPY | 10                     | 0.1                     | 30               | 20                | 70            |
| 5     | [Bu\(_4\)P] PhO | 10                     | 0.1                     | 30               | 20                | 55            |
| 6     | [Bu\(_4\)P] NO\(_3\) | 10                     | 0.1                     | 30               | 20                | 10            |
| 7     | [Bu\(_4\)N] 2-OPY | 10                     | 0.1                     | 30               | 20                | 61            |
| 8     | [Et-DBU] 2-OPY | 10                     | 0.1                     | 30               | 20                | 42            |
| 9     | [Bu\(_4\)P] 2-OPY | 10                     | 0.1                     | 30               | 20                | 25            |
| 10    | [Bu\(_4\)P] 2-OPY | 10                     | 0.1                     | 80               | 4                 | 24            |
| 11    | [Bu\(_4\)P] 2-OPY | 10                     | 0.1                     | 80               | 4                 | 98            |

The authors suggested the mechanism of this reaction based on activation of the epoxide ring with the tetrabutylphosphonium cation as the Lewis acid with the simultaneous activation of CO\(_2\) and phenolate [69]. The most active [Bu\(_4\)P] 2-OPY was tested at ambient pressure for the carbonation of different terminal epoxides with satisfactory results, using 50 molar % quantity of [Bu\(_4\)P] 2-OPY to an appropriate epoxide (Table 15).
Table 15. Carbonation of different epoxides catalyzed by Bu$_4$P2-OPY at 0.1 MPa of CO$_2$ and at 30 °C [69].

| Entry | Substrate | Product | Conversion (%) |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| 1     | EPIC      | CMEC    | 90            |
| 2     | EPIB      | BMEC    | 86            |
| 3     | AGL       | AMEC    | 84            |
| 4     | BO        | EEC     | 80            |
| 6     | SO        | PEC     | 59            |

Reaction conditions: $^a$ catalyst (10 mol%), 0.1 MPa, 30 °C, 20 h; $^b$ catalyst (50 mol%).

Wang et al. prepared ammonium salts in situ by alkylation of tertiary amides ($N,N$-dimethylformamide (DMF), $N,N$-dimethylacetamide (DMAc), $N$-formylmorpholine, $N$-methylpyrrolidone, tetramethylurea and $N$-formylpiperidine) with benzyl halogenides. The prepared ammonium salts enabled the formation of cyclic carbonates even at an ambient pressure, especially those prepared from DMF using benzyl bromide [70] (Table 16).

Table 16. The effect of organic bases on cycloaddition (compared with DBU in co-action with alkyl halides) [71].

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO$_2$ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) |
|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1     | PhCH$_2$Br/DBU | 5                      | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 95            |
| 2     | PhCH$_2$Br/DBN  | 5                      | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 91            |
| 3     | PhCH$_2$Br/DMAP  | 5                      | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 83            |
| 4     | PhCH$_2$Br/DABCO | 5                      | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 54            |
| 5     | PhCH$_2$Br/Py    | 5                      | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 85            |
| 6     | PhCH$_2$Br/TEA   | 5                      | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 84            |
| 7     | PhCH$_2$Br/MIm    | 5                      | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 81            |
| 8     | PhCH$_2$Br/Im     | 5                      | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 75            |
| 9     | PhCH$_2$Cl/DBU    | 5                      | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 71            |
| 10    | p-BuPhCH$_2$Br/DBU | 5                      | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 92            |
| 11    | 4-Nitrobenzyl bromide/DBU | 5                              | 0.1                   | 65               | 22                | 83            |
Table 16. Cont.

| Entry | Catalyst                                | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) |
|-------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 12    | α-Bromodiphenyl-methane/DBU             | 5                      | 0.1                | 65              | 22                | 86             |
| 13    | CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂Br/DBU                      | 5                      | 0.1                | 65              | 22                | 68             |
| 14    | CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂I/DBU                       | 5                      | 0.1                | 65              | 22                | 27             |
| 15    | [nBu₄N]Br                               | 5                      | 0.1                | 65              | 22                | 72             |

Wang et al. attributed the high activity of the DMF + BnBr mixture in particular to the activation of the oxirane ring by benzyl cations and the contemporary nucleophilic activation of CO₂ by DMF [70] (Scheme 9).

Scheme 9. Proposed reaction pathway for the formation of cyclic carbonates catalyzed by benzylbromide/DMF [71].

Similarly, the effectiveness tertiary amines described earlier as active catalysts (see Section 3.1) was satisfactorily proven for the reaction of epoxides with CO₂ at an ambient pressure after in situ quaternization via benzylation [71] (Table 16).

For a comparison of the action with the ammonium salts formed using arylmethylbromide derivatives, Bu₄NBr was employed as the bromide source using the same reaction conditions as the model reaction (Table 16, Entry 15).

As could be seen, the yield was lower than that using benzyl bromide as the bromide anion source, which was presumably due to the electrostatic interaction between the bromide anion and the ammonium center decreasing with the bulkiness of the cation. The authors stated, based on above-mentioned results, that the nucleophilicity of the bromide anion is weaker for Bu₄NBr than for the salts (Bn-DBU⁺Br⁻).

The successful utilization of tetrabutylammonium halides, especially bromide and iodide, in CO₂ cycloaddition reactions was reported by Calo [72] (Table 17). The higher reactivity of Bu₄NBr/Bu₄NI in comparison with RMIMBr or RPYBr salts was explained by less coordination of halide with the bulkier Bu₄N⁺ cation [72]. In addition, the catalytic
activity of cheap and commercially available Bu₄NXs is high and quite comparable with much more expensive PPNXs salts (Figure 9).

Table 17. Cycloaddition of CO₂ to glycidol producing hydroxymethyl ethylene carbonate (HMEC) [74].

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temperature (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Conversion (%) |
|-------|----------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|
| 1     | [PPN]Cl  | 1                      | 0.1              | 80              | 1                | 70             |
| 2     | [Bu₄N]Cl | 1                      | 0.1              | 80              | 1                | 80             |
| 3     | [Bu₄N][I] | 1                      | 0.1              | 80              | 1                | 82             |
| 4     | [Bu₄N]Br | 1                      | 0.1              | 80              | 1                | 85             |
| 5     | [Bu₄N]Br | 1                      | 0.1              | 60              | 1                | 51             |
| 6     | [Bu₄N]Br | 1                      | 0.1              | 40              | 1                | 12             |
| 7     | [Bu₄N]Br | 1                      | 0.1              | 20              | 1                | 2              |
| 8     | [Bu₄N]Br | 3                      | 0.1              | 40              | 1                | 30             |
| 9     | [Bu₄N]Br | 5                      | 0.1              | 40              | 1                | 40             |
| 10    | [Bu₄N]Br | 5                      | 0.1              | 40              | 3                | 87             |
| 11    | [Bu₄N]Br | 5                      | 0.1              | 60              | 3                | >99            |
| 12    | [Bu₄N]Br | 5                      | 0.1              | 60              | 24               | 52             |
| 13 a  | [Bu₄N]Br | 5                      | 0.1              | 60              | 1                | 12             |
| 14 b  | [Bu₄N]Br | 5                      | 0.1              | 60              | 1                | 4              |

a Methyl glycidyl ether as substrate; b PO as substrate; [PPN]Cl—bis(-triphenylphosphine)iminium chloride.

Figure 9. Structure of bis(triphenylphosphoranylidene)ammonium halide (PPNX) [73].

3.5. Two Component Catalysts Containing HDBs and Onium Salts

The cheap and easily available quaternary ammonium halides TBAB and TBAI are often combined with different HDBs with the aim of boosting catalytic activity for the insertion of CO₂ in the oxirane ring.

It was observed that even the addition of glycidol to the Bu₄NX significantly increased the yield of PO compared with Bu₄NX used alone [74] (Table 18, Entries 4, 7–9).

Some mixtures of onium salts with HBDs produce low-melting eutectic solvents (DESs) that readily dissolve both CO₂ and epoxide, enabling cycloaddition even at ambient pressure and low temperature [75]. DES is defined as a mixture of two or more compounds...
that are typically solid at room temperature, but when combined at a particular molar ratio, changes into liquid at room temperature [76].

Table 18. Comparison of the catalytic activity of various PILs and DESs for the carbonation of SO.

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO\textsubscript{2} Pressure (MPa) | Temp. (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Yield (%) | Sel. (%) | Ref. |
|-------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------|----------|------|
| 1     | Glycidol/[Bu\textsubscript{4}N]Br | 1/1 | 1 | 50 | 24 | 15 | n.d. | [74] |
| 2     | Glycidol/[Bu\textsubscript{4}N]Br | 1/1 | 1 | 60 | 24 | 40 | n.d. | [74] |
| 3     | Glycidol/[Bu\textsubscript{4}N]Br | 1/1 | 1 | 70 | 24 | 66 | n.d. | [74] |
| 4     | Glycidol/[Bu\textsubscript{4}N]Br | 1/1 | 1 | 80 | 24 | 83 | n.d. | [74] |
| 5     | Glycidol/[Bu\textsubscript{4}N]Br | 1/1 | 1 | 80 | 24 | 30 | n.d. | [74] |
| 6     | Glycidol/[Bu\textsubscript{4}N]Br | 3/3 | 1 | 60 | 24 | 63 | n.d. | [74] |
| 7     | Glycidol/[Bu\textsubscript{4}N]Br | 5/5 | 1 | 60 | 24 | 84 | n.d. | [74] |
| 8     | Glycidol/[Bu\textsubscript{4}N]Br | 5/5 | 1 | 60 | 24 | 16 | n.d. | [74] |
| 9     | Glycidol/[Bu\textsubscript{4}N]Br | -/5 | 1 | 60 | 24 | 30 | n.d. | [74] |
| 10    | [DBUH]Br/EDA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 25 | 48 | 94 | 99 | [75] |
| 11    | [DBUH]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 25 | 48 | 97 | >99 | [75] |
| 12    | DEA | 20 | 0.1 | 25 | 48 | 0 | 0 | [75] |
| 13    | [DBUH]Br | 20 | 0.1 | 25 | 48 | 79 | >99 | [75] |
| 14    | [TMG]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 25 | 48 | 97 | >99 | [75] |
| 15    | [DMAP]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 25 | 48 | 92 | >99 | [75] |
| 16    | [Et\textsubscript{3}NH]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 25 | 48 | 92 | >99 | [75] |
| 17    | [DBUH]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 25 | 48 | 92 | >99 | [75] |
| 18    | [DBUH]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 25 | 48 | 92 | >99 | [75] |
| 19    | [DBUH]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 60 | 5 | >99 | >99 | [75] |
| 20\textsuperscript{a} | [DBUH]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 60 | 5 | 94 | >99 | [75] |
| 21    | [DBUH]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 60 | 48 | 43 | n.d. | [75] |
| 22    | [HMIM]Br | 1 | 1.5 | 120 | 2 | 77.1 | n.d. | [75,77] |
| 23    | [Et\textsubscript{3}NH]Br | 10 | 0.1 | 35 | 24 | 20 | n.d. | [41,75] |
| 24    | [DBUH]I | 10 | 0.1 | 70 | 4 | 85 | n.d. | [30,75] |
| 25    | [DMAP]Br | 1 | 0.1 | 120 | 4 | 95 | n.d. | [42,75] |
| 26    | ChCl/PEG 400 (1:2) | 2 | 1.2 | 130 | 5 | 89.4 | n.d. | [75,78] |
| 27    | ChCl/PEG 200 (1:2) | 2 | 0.8 | 150 | 5 | 99.1 | n.d. | [75,78] |
| 28    | [Bu\textsubscript{4}P]Br/2-Aminophenol (1:2) | 4.5 | 0.1 | 80 | 1 | 96 | n.d. | [75,79] |
| 29    | Chl/NHS (1:2) | 6 | 1 | 30 | 10 | 96 | n.d. | [75,80] |
| 30    | [DBUH]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 25 | 48 | 97 | n.d. | [75] |
| 31    | [DBUH]Br/DEA (2:1) | 20 | 0.1 | 60 | 5 | 99 | n.d. | [75] |

\textsuperscript{a} 15% of CO\textsubscript{2} and 85% N\textsubscript{2}. Abbreviations: TMG—N,N,N',N'-tetramethylguanidinium; DEA—diethanolamine; Ch—choline.

They not only possessed comparable physicochemical properties to traditional ILs (designability, non-volatility and high thermal stability), but also had advantages such as low cost and a simple preparation process (mixing and melting) without the need for purification.
Comparing the catalytic activity of different DESs with various protic ILs, the DES (2 DBU.HBr + 1 DEA) is much more active than PIL DBU hydroiodide (DBU.HI) alone. The observed high catalytic activity was explained by the synergistic action of DEA (as HBD) and DBU.HBr as a source of highly nucleophilic naked bromide [75] (Table 18, Entries 16–21 and 24).

Similarly, high activity was observed using DES prepared from Bu$_4$PBr with 2-aminophenol for the carbonating of terminal epoxides. The carbonation of internal epoxide CO to CC was, however, very slow [79] (Table 18, Entry 28).

Pentaerythritol as an aliphatic polyol-based HBD was recognized as effective for the carbonation of PO at elevated pressure [80]. Although completely inactive used alone or with KI, in a mixture with Bu$_4$N$^+$ bromide or iodide, it is very active, obtaining a 97% yield of PC at 70 °C after 22 h of CO$_2$ (0.4 MPa) action (Table 19).

Table 19. Comparison of catalytic activities of DESs based on pentaerythritol (PETT) and Bu$_4$NXs for the preparation of PC [80].

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO$_2$ Pressure (MPa) | Temp. (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Sel. (%) | Yield of PC (%) |
|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------|
| 1     | PETT     | 5                      | 0.4                   | 70         | 22                | >99      | 0               |
| 2     | [Bu$_4$NI] | 5                      | 0.4                   | 70         | 22                | >99      | 10              |
| 3     | PETT/[Bu$_4$NI] | 5                      | 0.4                   | 70         | 22                | >99      | 96              |
| 4     | PETT/[Bu$_4$N]Br | 5                      | 0.4                   | 70         | 22                | >99      | 97              |
| 5     | PETT/     | 5                      | 0.4                   | 70         | 22                | >99      | 79              |
| 6     | PETT/     | 5                      | 0.4                   | 70         | 22                | >99      | 86              |
| 7     | PETT/     | 5                      | 0.4                   | 70         | 22                | >99      | 87              |
| 8     | PETT/KI   | 5                      | 0.4                   | 70         | 22                | >99      | 6               |

PETT—pentaerythritol.

Choline iodide together with N-hydroxysuccinimide forms DES, enabling the high-yield carbonation of PO to SC at 30–80 °C and 1 MPa pressure of CO$_2$. Instead of choline iodide, Bu$_4$NX in a mixture with N-hydroxysuccinimide is applicable [81]. Using a 2 MPa pressure of CO$_2$, a high yield of CC from internal ChO was obtained at 70 °C after 10 h of reaction (Table 18, Entry 29).

A broad set of aliphatic and aromatic alcohols in terms of their role as potential HDBs was studied by Alves et al. [82] in the co-action of Bu$_4$NBr using pressurized CO$_2$ (2 MPa) for PO carbonation. The authors observed that the most active HDBs were low-polar polyfluorinated secondary alcohols such as tertiary alcohols HFTI or 1,3-bis-HFAB (Figure 10).

Aromatic polyols such as pyrocatechol, pyrogallol and gallic acid were less catalytically active. Aliphatic alcohols exhibited low cooperative activity in the case of the tested Bu$_4$NBr, which was practically comparable with the catalytic effect of sole Bu$_4$NBr. Interestingly, some of tested alcohols even exhibited inhibition effects.
The high catalytic activity of RMIMs/phenols-based DESs was published by Liu et al. even at an ambient pressure of CO₂ and at room temperature for SO [83]. Especially N-ethyl-N’-methylimidazolium iodide (EMIMI) was recognized as a very suitable part of DES in co-action with phenols substituted with electron-donating groups such as –NH₂, –C(CH₃)₃ and –Cl, –OH. The most effective DES contained EMIMI (2 mol) and resorcinol (1 mol). The authors explained its high catalytic activity as multifunctional HBD-based activation by acidic hydrogen bound in position 2 of EMIM salt together with hydrogen from the –OH group of resorcinol (Figure 11) and the subsequent action of iodide as a nucleophile. Interestingly, comparing the activity of (2 EMIMI + 1 resorcinol) DES with the much cheaper (2 Bu₄NI + 1 resorcinol) binary system for SO carbonation, the obtained yields of PEC were very similar [83]. SO was the single epoxide studied, however, in this article. Another catalytically very effective DESs containing mixture of choline chloride and malic acid or choline iodide and glycerol published Vagnoni et al. [84].

Additional very effective DESs were obtained as catalysts by mixing 2-hydroxymethylpyridine or 2,6-hydroxymethylpyridine with Bu₄NI [40]. These DESs were able to catalyze the carbonation of EPIC to chloromethyl-ethylenecarbonate (CMEC) even at room temperature and ambient CO₂ pressure. The carbonation of internal epoxide ChO was very slow, however, under ambient conditions even after 20 h using 8 molar % of catalyst [40].

Gallic acid (Figure 11), as a green, biobased and biodegradable HDB, was discovered by Sopena et al. as a more effective alternative of resorcinol in a binary Bu₄NI + gallic acid catalytic system dissolved in 2-butanone [85]. Even internal epoxide was carbonated with a high yield at 80 °C and 1 MPa pressure of CO₂ after 18 h [85].

Polycarboxylic acids such as citric acid were effectively applied as the HDB part of DES together with choline iodide [86]. The other tested carboxylic acids were less active HDBs compared with citric acid. Additionally, it was observed that the molar ratio of the used HBA and HDB is crucial. For DES obtained by the melting of choline iodide, citric acid at a molar ratio of 2:1 (excess of iodide source) is highly active. Changing the molar ratios significantly decreased the reaction yield (but not selectivity). ChO tested as an internal epoxide at 70 °C and 0.5 MPa of CO₂ produced only 36% CC after 6 h [86].

The attempts to substitute ILs-based iodides or bromides as key parts of DESs were described by Wang et al. [87]. Applying boric and glutaric acids, together with BMIMCl, the authors described significant catalytic activity even without the presence of bromide or...
iodide ions for the carbonation of terminal epoxides at 0.8 MPa of CO$_2$ and 70 °C. The carbonation of internal CHO was below 40% after 7 h of CO$_2$ action.

The most active HDB described until this time for the catalysis of epoxides’ carbonation is ascorbic acid in co-action with Bu$_4$NI [15] (Table 20). This mixture was effective even for the carbonation of internal epoxides, even at an elevated temperature (100 °C) and 2 MPa CO$_2$ pressure [15] (Table 21).

Encouraged by the robustness of this catalytic system, Elia et al. tested the Bu$_4$NI/ascorbic acid system for the cycloaddition of CO$_2$ in epoxidized fatty acid esters [91]. Cyclic carbonates based on fatty acid esters seemed to be potential plasticizers for polyvinyl chloride instead of harmful phthalates, for example [92].

As can be seen in Table 21, the most effective catalytic mixture found contains Bu$_4$NCl/ascorbic acid. Bu$_4$NCl is superior because overly nucleophilic Bu$_4$NI causes...
undesirable Meinwald rearrangement producing ketones instead of cyclic carbonates, probably due to the sterical hindrance in the case of epoxidized oleic acid methyl ester [91]. In the case of epoxidized polyunsaturated fatty acid esters, allylic alcohols are produced as by-products using Bu4NI [91].

### 3.6. Application of Bifunctional (or Multifunctional) Onium Salts

The functionalization of ILs involves an increase in catalytic activity owing the synergistic effect between the bound functional groups (nucleophilic iodide or bromide anions together with –NH2, –COOH or –OH groups in the role of HBDs). The reached synergism enables a decrease in the quantity of the multifunctional catalyst and simpler separation in an optimal case [93] (Table 22, Figure 12). On the other hand, multifunctional ILs are more difficult to prepare and more expensive due to this reason.

**Table 22.** Carbonation of butylene oxide (BO) using bifunctional phosphonium salts and onium salts [93].

| Entry | Catalyst | Amount (mol%) | CO2 Pressure (MPa) | Temp. (°C) | Time (h) | Yield (%) |
|-------|----------|---------------|-------------------|------------|---------|-----------|
| 1     | [HO][PMMe3]I | 2             | 1                | 90         | 2       | 21        |
| 2     | [HO][PPh3]I | 2             | 1                | 90         | 2       | 95        |
| 3     | [HO][PMMe3]I | 2             | 1                | 90         | 2       | 78        |
| 4     | [Bu4P]Cl    | 2             | 1                | 90         | 2       | 36        |
| 5     | [Bu4P]Br    | 2             | 1                | 90         | 2       | 25        |
| 6     | [Bu4P]I     | 2             | 1                | 90         | 2       | 19        |
| 7     | [Bu4N]Cl    | 2             | 1                | 90         | 2       | 51        |
| 8     | [Bu4N]Br    | 2             | 1                | 90         | 2       | 24        |
| 9     | [Bu4N]I     | 2             | 1                | 90         | 2       | 19        |

**Figure 12.** Structure of the most active bifunctional phosphonium salt tri-n-butyl-(2-hydroxyethyl) phosphonium iodide [93].

Bifunctional catalysis based on aromatic OH-functionalized onium salt was described by Tsutsumi et al. [94]. This research work demonstrates that it still possible to discover a very active and quite cost-effective bifunctional catalyst such as m-trimethylammonium phenolate, which is much more effective than more structurally complicated ones [94].
This catalyst was only tested, however, for the carbonation of terminal epoxides and still requires higher pressure of CO\(_2\) at an elevated temperature [94] (Scheme 10, Table 23).

Scheme 10. CO\(_2\) activation by ammonium betaine organocatalyst 3-trimethylammonium phenolate [94].

Table 23. Comparison of catalytic action of different substituted phenols on carbonation of hexylene oxide [94].

| Entry | Catalyst | CO\(_2\) Pressure (MPa) | Temp. (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Yield (%) |
|-------|----------|------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 1     |          | 3                      | 1          | 120               | >99       |
| 2     |          | 2                      | 1          | 120               | >99       |
| 3     |          | 1                      | 1          | 120               | 88        |
| 4     | o-dimethylaminophenol | 3 | 1 | 120 | 24 | 4 |
| 5     | p-MeOC\(_2\)H\(_2\)OH/DMAP | 3 | 1 | 120 | 24 | 61 |
| 6     | PhN\(_3\)Me\(_3\).PhO\(^{-}\) | 3 | 1 | 120 | 24 | 15 |

Meng et al. published very promising results obtained by testing a series of OH-functionalized DBU-based ILs (Figure 13) [95]. They discovered not only a recyclable organocatalyst with excellent activity for carbonation of EPIC at 30 °C and an ambient pressure of CO\(_2\), but also a compound suitable for the utilization of CO\(_2\) from a simulated flue gas (Scheme 11). Its high activity is explained by the cooperative activation of the epoxide ring by protonated DBU in the role of HDB and the activation of CO\(_2\) via carbonate formation utilizing alcoholate on a bridge-functionalized bis-DBU anion.

Figure 13. Structure of most effective OH-functionalized DBU-based IL [95].
Another described group of catalytically active bifunctional catalysts consists of 1-alkyl-2-hydroxyalkyl pyrazolium salts [96]. The most active one from the broad group of tested derivatives was 1-ethyl-2-(2-hydroxy)ethylpyrazolium bromide (Figure 14). It was demonstrated that using 1 MPa pressure of CO$_2$ at 110 °C, even internal epoxide ChO was carbonated to CC with a considerable yield of over 60% after 4 h of action [96].

Zhou et al. compared the catalytic activity of quaternized aminoacid glycine (betaine) and quaternized aminoethanol salts (choline salts, Scheme 12) [97].

The main difference in the structures of these onium salts is the presence of a more acidic carboxylic group (a stronger HBD) in the betaine structure compared with the choline hydroxyl group. In addition, the effects of different anions in the case of protonated betaine were compared, and it was observed that the most active was the corresponding iodide salt. The catalytic activity of different betaine and choline salts decreased in the range: betaine.HI > betaine.HCl > choline.HCl > betaine.HBr > betaine.BF$_4$$. The authors interpret the low betaine.HBr activity as the effect of its low solubility in the reaction mixture. The tested choline.HCl possesses an activity comparable with Bu$_4$NBr, which supports the positive effect of the hydroxyl group in the activation of the oxirane ring of PO. This positive effect could be both based on HBD action and/or the activation of CO$_2$ on account of carbonate formation. The considerable HDB effect of the carboxylic group
of protonated betaines exceeds, however, the effect of the hydroxyl group in choline. The reaction conditions for effective carbonation even of terminal epoxides are, however, harsh (8 MPa CO$_2$, 140 °C) [97]. Due to the above-mentioned reasons, the research that focused on ILs functionalized with the carboxylic group(s) provided fruitful results.

Xiao et al. suggested that the influence of suitable acidity, even with the flexibility of the bound -(CH$_2$)$_n$-COOH chain in the IL structure, is crucial for the carbonation of epoxides due to the cooperation function of the ring-opening of oxiranes [98]. When 1-(2-carboxyethyl)-3-methylimidazolium bromide was used as bifunctional IL, the PC from PO was obtained with ca. 96% yield using pressurized CO$_2$ (1.5 MPa) at 110 °C after 2 h. The IL showed high thermal stability and could be recycled with a slight loss in activity, while the selectivity of the cyclic carbonates remained at over 98%. The catalytic activity of the described functionalized IL-based carboxylic acids is still not unique enough, however, and these types of catalysts still require elevated pressure of CO$_2$ to maintain a high conversion of epoxides to cyclic carbonates. In addition, the carbonation of internal epoxide is still quite slow even at the above-mentioned high pressure and elevated temperature [98].

The construction of bridge-functionalized bisimidazolium-based ILs improves the catalytic activity of acidic ILs, as was discovered by Kuhn et al. [99]. The most active catalyst is the most branched one, bis(imidazoyl)isobutyric acid derivative, N-arylated with hydrophobic mesitylene (Figure 15). It is well recyclable and active even using 0.4 MPa CO$_2$ at 70 °C. It is ineffective, however, in the case of internal epoxides’ carbonation [99].

![Figure 15. Multifunctional bisimidazolium bromides tested for carbonation of epoxides [99].](image)

An additional direction of research related to the significant increasing of catalytic activity was discovered by Han et al. [100] and Wang et al. [101]. The Han and Wang research groups recognized the crucial role of ion pairs produced by a combination of acidic ILs with guanidinium cations. Using the same acidic ILs, neutralized by alkylated guanidines, enables an increase in activity, probably due to the distinctive activation of reacting CO$_2$. This catalytic system is active at 0.1 MPa CO$_2$ and 30 °C for the carbonation of EPIC, but fails even in the case of PO (Table 24). Additionally, the used ion pairs are simply separable from the produced cyclic carbonates by means of extraction with ethyl acetate, enabling simple recycling without a significant drop in conversion.
Table 24. Catalytic activity of multifunctional IM-based ILs on the synthesis of CPC by carbonation of EPIC [100,101].

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO₂ Pressure (MPa) | Temp. (°C) | Reaction Time (h) | Yield (%) |
|-------|----------|------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------|-----------|
| 1     | C[CMIm]₂ | 5                      | 0.1               | 50         | 6                | 48.4      |
| 2     | [−O₂MMIm⁺][Br⁻][TMGH⁺] | 5                      | 0.1               | 50         | 6                | 92.1      |
| 3     | [−O₂MMIm⁺][Br⁻][TMGH⁺] | 5                      | 0.1               | 50         | 6                | 91.4      |
| 4     | [TMGH⁺][−O₂MMIm⁺][Br⁻] | 25                     | 0.1               | 30         | 12               | 84        |

Bridged methylene(bis)imidazolium salts substituted on both N'-nitrogens by carboxymethyl groups are more active after neutralization with tetramethylguanidine [101] (Figure 16, Table 24). Even this catalytic system is active at 0.1 MPa CO₂ and 50 °C but the carbonation of internal ChO seems to be sluggish using the above-mentioned reaction conditions. Additionally, the used catalyst is simply separable from the produced cyclic carbonates and enables simple recycling without a significant drop in conversion.

Figure 16. Structures of multifunctional IM-based ILs tested for the carbonation of EPIC [100,101].

The reverse activation of dual amino-functionalized ILs neutralized with acidic aminoacids, such as glutamic or aspartic acids, is possible, as was documented by Yue et al. [102]. This ion-pair-based catalytic system produces, however, high yields at 0.5 MPa and a temperature of 105 °C after 13 h of CO₂ action. It is recyclable without loss of activity and works well in the case of terminal epoxides [102] (Figure 17).

Figure 17. Structures of dual amino-functionalized IM-based ILs [102].
A very attractive alternative approach was published by Kumar et al. [103]. Their research was focused on the utilization of CO$_2$ from model flue gas (5–15% CO$_2$ in N$_2$ stream at atmospheric pressure) at 80 °C using task specific AA-based ILs (Scheme 13). The authors verified that tetrabutylammonium salt with histidine dissolved in dialkyl carbonate enables the capture and usage of CO$_2$ for the carbonation of terminal epoxides at the above-mentioned reaction conditions, with a high yield. This research work is one of the very infrequent examples of the direct capture and subsequent utilization of CO$_2$ from (model) flue gas. The authors documented that this catalyst is recyclable with no drop in efficiency after six recycling steps. This catalytic system was proved, however, only on terminal epoxides [103].

\[
\text{Scheme 13. Carbonation of terminal epoxides using model flue gas (5–15\% CO}_2\text{ in nitrogen) [103].}
\]

A different approach was used for the preparation of highly catalytically active bifunctional ILs using allylation by Hui et al. [104] (Figure 18). They discovered tetramethylguanidine-based allylated IL with superior activity for the capture and utilization of CO$_2$ from simulated flue gas at 120 °C, ambient pressure and solventless conditions (Table 25). This catalyst is effective even for carbonation of ChO and reusable with low loss of activity after five recycling steps [104]. This type of catalyst seems to be very attractive even for the carbonation of other internal epoxides including eventually epoxidized fatty acid esters.

\[
\text{Figure 18. Structures of functionalized ILs tested as highly active CO}_2\text{ cycloaddition catalysts [104].}
\]
Table 25. Effect of different PILs and ILs on the carbonation of SO$_2$ \cite{71}.

| Entry | Catalyst                  | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | CO$_2$ Pressure (MPa) | Temp. ($^\circ$C) | Reaction Time (h) | Yield (%) | Sel. (%) |
|-------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| 1     | [DBUH]Br                  | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 76        | 99       |
| 2     | [AlDBU]Br                 | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 59        | 99       |
| 3     | [DBUH]Cl                  | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 71        | 99       |
| 4     | [MimH]Br                  | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 66        | 99       |
| 5     | [DABCOH]Br                | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 59        | 99       |
| 6     | [TMGH]Br                  | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 80        | 99       |
| 7     | [AITMG]Br                 | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 99        | 99       |
| 8     | [VBTMG]Cl                 | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 57        | 99       |
| 9     | DBU                       | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | n.d.      | n.d.     |
| 10    | Mim                       | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | n.d.      | n.d.     |
| 11    | TMG                       | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | n.d.      | n.d.     |
| 12    | TMG + [Bu$_4$N]Br         | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 32        | 99       |
| 13    | [Bu$_4$N]Br               | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 17        | 99       |
| 14    | [AITMG]Cl                 | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 79        | 99       |
| 15    | [VBTMG]Br                 | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | 62        | 99       |
| 16    | none                      | 1.5                    | 0.1                   | 100               | 8                 | n.d.      | n.d.     |

4. Conclusions

The carboxylation of epoxides is a sustainable pathway for the fixation of CO$_2$ into valuable chemicals, considering the industrial utilization of cyclic and polymeric carbonates. The effect of homogeneous organocatalysts published in recent literature is presented. We hope that this review affords insights into the recent research and development of efficient metal-free homogeneous catalysts.

Hopefully, the next development of homogeneous catalysts, including organocatalysts (e.g., organic salt, ILs and DESs), will facilitate the expanding of the spectrum of available metal-free organocatalysts applicable for the reaction of CO$_2$ with terminal epoxides even at CO$_2$ pressures of 1 bar and reaction temperatures of less than around 50 $^\circ$C. Except high catalytic activity, the simple catalyst separability should be profitable because of the necessity of high catalytic loading for the effective course of cycloaddition reaction. The bulky tetrabutylammonium or tetrabutylphosphonium cations in Bu$_4$NX or Bu$_4$PX enable the high nucleophilic activity of the appropriate naked anions of X$^-$, such as bromide or iodide, in most cases. Onium salts are widely applied as part of multicomponent catalytic systems in the research and development of epoxides’ carbonation processes. Most of all, deep eutectic solvents constitute an important group of multicomponent low-cost homogeneous organocatalysts. In particular, DESs containing choline chloride and urea exhibit high catalytic activity \cite{84,85}. Besides the above-mentioned onium halides, some ion pairs produced by the mixing of DBU with amidine-based alcohols are highly active \cite{95}. The most effective halide free IL-based homogeneous catalyst was recognized to be the Bu$_4$N salt of histidine \cite{103}. Several ion pairs based on \textit{N}’-carboxymethylated MIM bromides neutralized with tetraalkylguanidines enable CO$_2$ cycloaddition at ambient pressure \cite{100–102}. Searching for simple and cheap catalytic systems that are active at mild reaction conditions is attractive not only due to the environmental point of view (lower energy consumption) but even due to the thermodynamic reasons. As the formation the cyclic carbonate is exothermic, the lower reaction temperature affects the shifting of the reaction equilibrium in the products.

As we illustrate in this review, many simple molecules are known to act as effective mediators and/or catalysts, including Lewis and Bronsted acids such as water, ascorbic acid, cellulose, etc. Based on generally accepted mechanisms of carboxylation of epoxides, research focused on utilization of other HBDs such as bidentate nucleophiles could be profitable. The promising groups of simply available catalytically active compounds such
as polyalkyl guanidines [100,101,104], enaminones [105], N-hydroxylamines [106] and amidoximes [107] should, in our opinion, be investigated in more detail.

The utilization of tandem reactions such as the one-pot production of cyclic carbonates starting directly from biobased unsaturated fatty acids esters [8], the one-pot production of ethylene carbonate from ethylene produced by low-energy-demanding methods [2,108] or the production of HMEC from chlorinated bio-based glycerol [109] seems to be very promising for effective CO₂ fixation.

It is evident from the recently published results that both the possible utilization of CO₂ from flue gas and the carbonation of internal bio-based epoxides such as epoxided fatty acid esters are the main developing areas of research focused on CO₂ capture and utilization. However, the mild reaction conditions and lower catalytic loading are still challenging for both the carboxylation of internal epoxide substrates such as epoxided fatty acid esters as well as for the direct utilization of waste CO₂ from power plant flue gas.
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