Thyrotroph embryonic factor polymorphism predicts faster progression of Parkinson’s disease in a longitudinal study
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The thyrotroph embryonic factor gene is a circadian clock-controlled gene. The rs738499 polymorphism of this gene has been suggested to be associated with depression and sleep disturbance in Parkinson’s disease in previous cross-sectional studies. We aimed to investigate whether this single nucleotide polymorphism is associated with the progression rates of various motor and non-motor symptoms in patients with Parkinson’s disease. We recruited 186 patients with Parkinson’s disease for a longitudinal study. Motor and non-motor symptoms were assessed at baseline and follow-up, and 170 Parkinson’s disease patients completed the clinical evaluation twice with an average follow-up period of 3.3 ± 1.1 years. A stepwise linear regression model was used to validate factors associated with Parkinson’s disease symptoms’ annual progression rates. Faster annual worsening rates of sleep quality and Hoehn-Yahr stage were found in carriers with the homozygous dominant (TT). After adjustment for related clinical factors, the rs738499 polymorphism showed a contribution of 3.1% to the annual decline rate on the Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale score and a contribution of 5.5% to the annual increase rate of the Hoehn-Yahr stage. Additionally, anxiety and axial symptoms predicted the progression of sleep disturbances and motor staging. The TT genotype of rs738499 might be a potential predictor of rapid deterioration in sleep quality and Hoehn-Yahr stage in patients with Parkinson’s disease and may advance the understanding of the genetic contributions to Parkinson’s disease.

Keywords
Parkinson’s disease; Sleep; Thyroto ph embryonic factor; Circadian genes; Longitudinal study

1. Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is characterized by progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra and Lewy bodies’ formation in the midbrain. These pathological changes result in motor symptoms. Braak’s staging theory [1] and the presence of multiple non-motor symptoms reflect the complex and multifaceted nature of PD [2]. Non-motor symptoms are often resistant to L-DOPA treatment and will inevitably become a significant factor affecting patients’ function and quality of life in advanced PD stages. Therefore, further exploration of other pathophysiological mechanisms involved in these symptoms holds promise for other effective therapeutic strategies. During the last decade, increasing attention has been paid to the link between circadian rhythms and PD. It has been recognized that the circadian rhythm is disrupted in patients with PD [3]. First, circadian function biomarkers, such as body temperature, blood pressure, and hormone secretion, are dysregulated in PD [4, 5]. Second, patients in advanced stages lose normal motor activity, and actigraphy studies in patients with PD reported flattened diurnal motor activity patterns [6]. Third, patients receiving repeated L-DOPA administration often report a lower response to L-DOPA in the evening [7]. Fourth, common non-motor symptoms of PD, such as sleep-wake disturbances, depression, and visual symptoms, are modulated by circadian rhythms [8, 9].

A large sample cohort study of community-dwelling older men without PD reported that reduced circadian rhythmicity at baseline is associated with an increased risk of developing PD during an 11-year follow-up, suggesting that circadian disruption rhythms might be prodromal to PD [10]. Evidence indicates that the electrical activity of neurons within the master pacemaker of the circadian system, the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN), is disrupted at the onset of motor symptoms [11]. Therefore, circadian dysfunction may be a key cause of non-motor symptoms, especially for pre-motor symptoms. Sleep disturbances are common non-motor symptoms reported by 64% of patients with PD [12], including insomnia, rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder, restless legs syndrome, sleep-disordered breathing, and excessive daytime sleepiness. Patients often demonstrate sleep alterations during the prodromal phase of PD [13]. It has been hypothesized that circadian and sleep changes may trigger neurodegeneration in the earliest phases of the disease [14]. Therefore, it is important to understand and improve sleep disturbances and circadian rhythm in patients with PD.
Even though there are reciprocal interactions between the dopaminergic system and circadian rhythms, the underlying mechanisms of circadian dysfunction in PD remain elusive. Circadian rhythms are regulated by circadian gene networks consisting of a series of clock genes (such as CLOCK, BMAL1, BMAL2, PER1, PER2, PER3, CRY1, and CRY2) and clock-controlled genes (such as TEF, DEC1, DEC2, and TIMELESS) [15]. Several studies have explored desynchronization at the molecular level. A study examining the expression profile of several principal circadian genes in peripheral leukocytes in whole blood for a 12-hour nighttime period found that the mRNA expression of BMAL1 and BMAL2 was significantly reduced in patients with PD in the evening. Furthermore, the level of BMAL2 correlated with motor severity and sleep quality in the morning [16, 17].

The thyrotroph embryonic factor (TEF) gene is one of the downstream genes in the circadian gene network and expresses a broad range of cells and tissues. It encodes a thyrotroph embryonic factor, together with albumin D box-binding protein (DBP), human hepatic leukemia factor (HLF) are three members of the proline and acidic amino-acid-rich basic leucine zipper (PAR bZip) transcription factor family. Different members of the subfamily can readily form heterodimers and share DNA-binding and transcriptional regulatory properties. The thyrotroph embryonic factor can bind to proline and acidic amino-acid-rich response elements (PARRE) in promoters and elevate the transcription levels of light-induced circadian genes NR1D1, NR1D2, CRY1a, CRY2b, and PER2 [19]. The TEF rs738499 polymorphism has been suggested to be associated with depression and sleep disturbance in PD in previous cross-sectional studies [20, 21]. The present study was conducted longitudinally in a cohort of patients with PD to investigate whether TEF is associated with worsening PD patients’ clinical symptoms with an average follow-up period of 3.3 years.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study subjects

For this longitudinal study, the patients should satisfy the following criteria: (1) younger than 80 years, (2) Hoehn-Yahr stage (H-Y stage) ≤ 3.0, and (3) voluntary signing of informed consent. Exclusion criteria included other neurodegenerative disorders, psychiatric disorders, and severe physical illnesses. A total of 186 patients were included during the enrollment period between January 2008 and December 2011. The Ethics Committee approved the study of Nanjing Medical University.
2.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Version 18.0, IBM). The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of SNPs was calculated with the SHEsis program [30]. Baseline information between different genotypes (TT vs. TG + GG) was compared using the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous data and a chi-square test for categorical data. Changes between assessments at baseline and follow-up were compared using the Wilcoxon test. Each PD symptom’s progression was defined by the annual change in the scale score and described by median (min–max).

Meanwhile, the annual progression rates of clinical symptoms were compared between genotypes using the Mann-Whitney U test. The correlations between genotypes, the baseline clinical variables, and the annual progress ratio of each scale were analyzed by Spearman’s rank correlation and variables with $P < 0.2$ were included in the stepwise linear regression model to explore the risk factors affecting the annual progression rate of the PDSS score and H-Y stage adjusted by sex and gender. The criterion for statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

3. Results

The distribution of genotypes was fitted with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the PD sample. The mean age of patients with PD was 58.7 ± 11.3 years, 58.8% were men. The mean duration at baseline was 4.5 ± 3.5 years and the mean follow-up period was 3.3 ± 1.1 years. The demographics and clinical characteristics at baseline showed no significant difference between genotypes (TT vs. TG + GG). All clinical symptoms worsened significantly during the follow-up period (Table 1). A faster annual decline rate of the PDSS score ($P = 0.019$) and progression rate of the H-Y stage ($P = 0.016$) was found in carriers of the TT genotypes of TEF rs738499 (Table 2).

Spearman’s rank correlation showed that the annual decline rate of the PDSS score was associated with the PDSS score at baseline, the annual progression rates of HAMD and NMSQ during follow-up, and the TT genotype of TEF rs738499. The H-Y stage’s progression rate was associated with the H-Y stage, tremor score, rigidity score at baseline, and the annual progression rates of HAMD, HAMA, NMSQ, ADL, MMSE, tremor, rigidity, akinesia, axial symptoms, and the TT genotype of TEF rs738499. Table 3 displays all related factors with $P < 0.2$.

Stepwise linear regression showed that the progression rate of anxiety, the initial severity of sleep disturbance and axial symptoms, and the TT genotype of TEF rs738499 were independent risk factors affecting the decline rate of PDSS score. These four predictors together could explain the 40.5% variation in the decline rate of PDSS. TEF rs738499 alone accounted for 3.1% of the variance ($P = 0.030$) (Table 4). Meanwhile, stepwise linear regression revealed that the progression rate of axial symptoms, the TT genotype of TEF rs738499, and the initial severity of anxiety were independent risk factors affecting the H-Y progression rate stage. These three predictors together could explain the 49.1% variation in the progression rate of the H-Y stage. TEF rs738499 alone accounted for 8.3% variance ($P = 0.021$) (Table 5).

4. Discussion

The present research indicates that the TT genotype in TEF rs738499 correlated with a faster deterioration rate of sleep quality and H-Y stage of PD patients. Moreover, patients with more severe sleep disturbance and axial symptoms at baseline and faster worsening rate of anxiety during the follow-up period presented a faster deterioration rate of sleep quality, and patients with more severe anxiety at baseline and faster worsening rate of the axial symptoms during the follow-up period experienced a quicker progression rate of the H-Y stage.

The sleep-wake cycle is under the dual control of homeostatic and circadian processes. Homeostatic processes are responsible for the sleep rebound following sleep deprivation, and the circadian processes determine the timing of the sleep period via the circadian clock in the SCN. However, little is known about the circadian system’s molecular mechanism in patients’ sleep problems with PD. Several neurotransmitters with circadian rhythmicity, such as dopamine, melatonin, 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT), glutamate, and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), have been reported to be implicated in the regulation of sleep-wake behavior [31–33]. David et al. [34] found that reduced circulating melatonin levels were associated with reduced slow-wave sleep and reduced rapid eye movement (REM) sleep.

Furthermore, a lower melatonin onset concentration was associated with increased sleep latency in patients with PD. TEF is involved in maintaining neurotransmitter homeostasis (such as 5-HT and dopamine) [35]. We speculate that the association of TEF with the rapid deterioration of sleep quality and H-Y stage might be related to its above-described function, but further studies are needed to provide further evidence.

Our results show that anxiety and axial symptoms were closely related to worse sleep quality and motor staging. The etiology of sleep disturbances in PD is multifactorial and previous studies have shown that anxiety symptoms are a risk factor for sleep quality and motor complications [36, 37]. Axial nocturnal hypokinesia in PD manifests fewer turning-over episodes, turns with smaller degrees, less velocity, and acceleration. Patients with severe nocturnal hypokinesia usually need to take a higher total and bedtime levodopa equivalent dose, and drinking more water at night could result in frequent nocturia that compels the patients to get out of bed, resulting in lower sleep quality [38, 39]. The onset of falls is a warning symptom of H-Y stage aggravation. Spanish researchers [40] employed an objective technology for rigidity assessment. They reported that axial rigidity was related to the risk of falls in patients with PD. Axial
### Table 1. Baseline characteristics were compared by genotype and the comparison between baseline and follow-up data of all subjects.

| Characteristic                        | TT (n = 102) | TG + GG (n = 68) | Overall PD (n = 170) | P-value | P-value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
|                                       | Baseline     | Baseline         | Baseline             | Follow-up |         |
| Gender, % male                        | 59.8         | 57.4             | 0.750                | 58.8       | -       |
| Age at onset, years                   | 59.3 ± 10.5  | 57.7 ± 12.3      | 0.211                | 58.7 ± 11.3 | -       |
| Age at interview, years               | 69.2 ± 9.9   | 68.2 ± 11.7      | 0.375                | 68.8 ± 10.6 | -       |
| Duration, years                       | 4.4 ± 3.2    | 4.8 ± 4.1        | 0.709                | 4.5 ± 3.5  | -       |
| Years of follow-up                    | 3.3 ± 1.1    | 3.3 ± 1.0        | 0.827                | 3.3 ± 1.1  | -       |
| Years of education                    | 10.4 ± 3.9   | 10.9 ± 3.9       | 0.634                | 10.6 ± 3.9 | -       |
| LEDD, mg                              | 405.4 ± 176.2| 391.1 ± 218.9    | 0.410                | 399.4 ± 194.5 | 530.7 ± 230.8 | 0.000 |
| H-Y stage                             | 2.0 (1.0-4.0) | 2.0 (1.0-3.0)    | 0.144                | 2.0 (1.0-4.0) | 2.5 (1.0-4.0) | 0.000 |
| UPDRS-III                             | 20.7 ± 11.0  | 22.9 ± 12.0      | 0.262                | 21.6 ± 11.5 | 21.6 ± 11.6 | 0.000 |
| Tremor                                | 3.9 ± 3.6    | 4.5 ± 3.2        | 0.128                | 4.2 ± 3.4  | 5.0 ± 4.1  | 0.001 |
| Rigidity                              | 3.5 ± 3.4    | 3.8 ± 3.1        | 0.381                | 4.2 ± 3.4  | 6.2 ± 4.1  | 0.000 |
| Bradykinesia                          | 8.0 ± 5.1    | 9.0 ± 5.9        | 0.282                | 4.2 ± 3.4  | 11.4 ± 6.5 | 0.000 |
| Axial symptoms                        | 4.1 ± 2.8    | 4.0 ± 2.7        | 0.778                | 4.2 ± 3.4  | 5.8 ± 3.7  | 0.000 |
| ADL                                   | 11.0 ± 5.5   | 12.1 ± 6.2       | 0.326                | 11.5 ± 5.8 | 14.0 ± 6.6 | 0.000 |
| MMSE                                  | 28.4 ± 2.0   | 28.5 ± 2.1       | 0.583                | 28.4 ± 2.0 | 26.7 ± 3.7 | 0.000 |
| HAMD                                  | 12.1 ± 9.9   | 11.7 ± 8.0       | 0.794                | 11.9 ± 9.2 | 14.2 ± 9.5 | 0.001 |
| HAMA                                  | 9.3 ± 7.9    | 9.9 ± 6.5        | 0.237                | 9.6 ± 7.4  | 11.1 ± 7.5 | 0.020 |
| PDSS                                  | 121.3 ± 20.0 | 117.6 ± 20.2     | 0.154                | 119.8 ± 20.1 | 114.3 ± 24.2 | 0.001 |
| NMSQ                                  | 10.3 ± 5.3   | 9.5 ± 4.8        | 0.449                | 10.0 ± 5.1 | 12.6 ± 5.5 | 0.000 |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD. The H-Y stage is expressed by the median (min-max).

ADL, Activities of Daily Living; APR, annual progression rate; HAMA, 14-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety; HAMD, 24-item Hamilton rating scale for depression; H-Y stage, Hoehn-Yahr stage; LEDD, levodopa equivalent daily doses; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; n, number of samples; NMSQ, Parkinson’s Disease Non-Motor Symptom Questionnaire; PD, Parkinson’s disease; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale; UPDRS-III, Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale Part-III.

### Table 2. Comparison of the annual progression rates of clinical symptoms between the TEF rs738499 genotypes.

| Annual Progression Rates | Overall PD (n = 170) | TT (n = 102) | TG + GG (n = 68) | Z | P-value |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|---|---------|
| LEDD, mg                 | 26.4 (-151.6 ~ 332.1)| 41.4 (-151.6 ~ 332.2) | 0.0 (-89.7 ~ 183.8) | -1.940 | 0.053 |
| H-Y stage                | 0.1 (-0.9 ~ 1.3)     | 0.2 (-0.1 ~ 1.3)       | 0.1 (-0.9 ~ 1.0)  | -2.404 | 0.016 |
| UPDRS-III                | 3.0 (-8.6 ~ 19.2)    | 3.0 (-3.5 ~ 18.8)     | 3.0 (-8.6 ~ 19.2) | -0.588 | 0.556 |
| Tremor                   | 0.0 (-4.7 ~ 5.2)     | 0.3 (-4.7 ~ 4.1)      | 0.0 (-3.2 ~ 5.2)  | -1.399 | 0.162 |
| Rigidity                 | 0.7 (-2.6 ~ 4.9)     | 0.7 (-2.6 ~ 4.9)      | 0.8 (-2.5 ~ 4.9)  | -0.263 | 0.793 |
| Bradykinesia             | 0.8 (-4.8 ~ 8.5)     | 0.8 (-3.6 ~ 6.8)      | 0.8 (-4.8 ~ 8.5)  | -0.773 | 0.439 |
| Axial symptoms           | 0.4 (-2.8 ~ 6.5)     | 0.4 (-1.4 ~ 5.4)      | 0.3 (-2.8 ~ 6.5)  | -0.489 | 0.625 |
| ADL                      | 1.1 (-3.9 ~ 8.0)     | 1.1 (-2.7 ~ 6.2)      | 1.1 (-3.9 ~ 8.10) | -0.982 | 0.326 |
| MMSE                     | 0.3 (-2.1 ~ 5.6)     | 0.4 (-2.1 ~ 5.6)      | 0.1 (-0.8 ~ 3.0)  | -1.305 | 0.192 |
| HAMD                     | 0.5 (-14.4 ~ 28.9)   | 0.3 (-14.4 ~ 28.9)    | 1.4 (-7.0 ~ 9.2)  | -0.438 | 0.661 |
| HAMA                     | 0.6 (-7.0 ~ 12.1)    | 0.6 (-3.6 ~ 9.4)      | 0.3 (-7.0 ~ 12.1) | -0.570 | 0.569 |
| PDSS                     | 1.6 (-36.1 ~ 57.1)   | 2.7 (-36.1 ~ 57.1)    | 0.4 (-32.0 ~ 15.7) | -2.346 | 0.019 |
| NMSQ                     | 0.9 (-60.10.8)       | 1.0 (-60.10.8)        | 0.6 (-40.5 ~ 3.3) | -0.388 | 0.698 |

Values are expressed as the median (min-max).

ADL, Activities of Daily Living; APR, annual progression rate; HAMA, 14-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety; HAMD, 24-item Hamilton rating scale for depression; H-Y stage, Hoehn-Yahr stage; LEDD, levodopa equivalent daily doses; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; n, number of samples; NMSQ, Parkinson’s Disease Non-Motor Symptom Questionnaire; PD, Parkinson’s disease; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale; UPDRS-III Unified, Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale Part-III; Z, Mann-Whitney U-test statistic.
Table 3. Factors associated with the annual decline rate of PDSS or with the annual progression rate of H-Y stage by Spearman's rank correlation ($P < 0.2$).

| Variables                      | Annual Decline Rate of PDSS |                             | Annual Progression Rate of H-Y stage |                             |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
|                                | $r$            | $P$-value     | $R$         | $P$-value     |
| Years of follow-up             | 0.056          | 0.512         | -0.107     | 0.120*        |
| APR of LEDD                    | -0.045         | 0.666         | 0.129      | 0.195*        |
| Baseline H-Y stage             | -0.047         | 0.583         | -0.567     | 0.000*        |
| Baseline tremor                | -0.030         | 0.738         | -0.249     | 0.002*        |
| APR of tremor                  | 0.007          | 0.939         | 0.184      | 0.017*        |
| Baseline rigidity              | 0.067          | 0.452         | -0.293     | 0.000*        |
| APR of baseline rigidity       | 0.024          | 0.776         | 0.258      | 0.001*        |
| Baseline akinesia              | 0.016          | 0.860         | -0.114     | 0.158*        |
| APR of baseline akinesia       | 0.016          | 0.860         | 0.248      | 0.001*        |
| Baseline axial symptoms        | 0.156          | 0.078*        | -0.053     | 0.511         |
| APR of axial symptoms          | -0.094         | 0.298         | 0.386      | 0.000*        |
| ADL                            | 0.144          | 0.136*        | -0.066     | 0.457         |
| APR of ADL                     | 0.097          | 0.328         | 0.264      | 0.005*        |
| MMSE                           | -0.103         | 0.227         | 0.115      | 0.140*        |
| APR of MMSE                    | 0.070          | 0.433         | 0.275      | 0.001*        |
| HAMD                           | -0.004         | 0.966         | -0.060     | 0.467         |
| APR of HAMD                    | 0.153          | 0.093*        | 0.208      | 0.015*        |
| HAMA                           | -0.131         | 0.144*        | -0.145     | 0.079*        |
| APR of HAMA                    | 0.322          | 0.000*        | 0.197      | 0.029*        |
| PDSS                           | 0.363          | 0.000*        | 0.047      | 0.551         |
| APR of NMSQ                    | 0.245          | 0.004*        | 0.199      | 0.013*        |
| TEF rs738499                   | -0.202         | 0.017*        | -0.159     | 0.040*        |

ADL, Activities of Daily Living; APR, annual progression rate; HAMA, 14-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety; LEDD, levodopa equivalent daily doses; HAMD, 24-item Hamilton rating scale for depression; H-Y stage, Hoehn-Yahr stage; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; NMSQ, Parkinson’s Disease Non-Motor Symptom Questionnaire; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale; $r$, Spearman rank correlation coefficient; *$P < 0.2$.

Table 4. The independent risk factors affecting the annual decline rate of the PDSS score resulting from the stepwise linear regression model.

| Predictor                  | $r$  | Adjusted $r^2$ | $r^2$ Change | Beta | $t$  | $P$-value | VIF |
|----------------------------|------|----------------|--------------|------|------|-----------|-----|
| Annual progression rate of HAMA | 0.445 | 0.189 | 0.198 | 0.361 | 4.366 | 0.000 | 1.069 |
| Baseline PDSS              | 0.554 | 0.292 | 0.110 | 0.323 | 3.845 | 0.000 | 1.107 |
| Baseline axial symptoms    | 0.632 | 0.380 | 0.092 | 0.301 | 3.762 | 0.000 | 1.003 |
| TEF rs738499               | 0.656 | 0.405 | 0.031 | -0.18 | -2.210 | 0.030 | 1.038 |

Beta standardized regression coefficient stands for each variable’s contribution to the dependent variable; HAMA, 14-item Hamilton rating scale for Anxiety; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale; $r$, multiple correlation coefficient; $r^2$, determination coefficient; $r^2$ change stands for each variable’s contribution to the model; $t$, $t$-test statistic for Beta; VIF, variance inflation factor, estimate whether the multicollinearity model exists or not.

Table 5. The independent risk factors affecting the annual progression rate of the H-Y stage resulting from the stepwise linear regression model.

| Predictor                  | $r$  | Adjusted $r^2$ | $r^2$ Change | Beta | $t$  | $P$-value | VIF |
|----------------------------|------|----------------|--------------|------|------|-----------|-----|
| Annual progression rate of axial symptoms | 0.619 | 0.371 | 0.383 | 0.651 | 6.557 | 0.000 | 1.008 |
| TEF rs738499               | 0.682 | 0.444 | 0.083 | 0.33 | 3.279 | 0.002 | 1.035 |
| Baseline HAMA              | 0.722 | 0.491 | 0.055 | -0.239 | -2.379 | 0.021 | 1.035 |

Beta the standardized regression coefficient stands for each variable’s contribution to the dependent variable; HAMA, 14-item Hamilton rating scale for Anxiety; H-Y stage, Hoehn-Yahr stage; $r$, multiple correlation coefficient; $r^2$, determination coefficient; $r^2$ change stands for each variable’s contribution to the model; $t$, $t$-test statistic for Beta; VIF, variance inflation factor, estimate whether the multicollinearity model exists or not.
symptoms contribute to the postural instability and gait difficulty (PIGD) subtype of PD. The PROPRK study [41] reported that PIGD-dominant patients had more severe motor and non-motor symptoms, a more rapid disease progression, and a poorer prognosis PD compared to the tremor-dominant (TD) patients. Another circadian rhythm-related study [42] showed that agomelatine, acting as a melatonin receptor (MT1 and MT2) agonist and as a serotonin receptor (5-HT2b and 5-HT2c) antagonist, improves not only depressive symptoms in PD but also improves sleep problems and motor symptoms. This confirmed the intimate internal relations of these three clinical phenotypes. Therefore, much closer relationships between sleep and mood disorders and motor symptoms may have a specific impact on the genotypic association.

The longitudinal follow-up is the main strength of this study, and a comprehensive follow-up evaluation of multiple non-motor symptoms of PD was carried out. There are several limitations to this study. First, the sample size was small, and no control group to perform gene-disease association analysis was included. We genotyped the TEF rs738499 polymorphism in 480 PD patients and 500 controls in a previous study. We found that the T allele and TT genotype were significantly higher in patients with PD, but this result was not shown in that study [20]. Second, the participants were not assessed during the same time of the day or the same season for baseline, and follow-up visits as both factors will influence motor and non-motor scores. Therefore, case-control studies with a larger sample size and more strict control of various factors affecting circadian rhythm are needed to explore the role of circadian rhythm in different clinical stages of PD.

5. Conclusions

This longitudinal study aimed to determine the association between a circadian gene’s polymorphism and PD symptoms progression. It also revealed that TEF might be considered a predictive marker of the progression of sleep disturbances and motor staging in PD. This advances our understanding of the genetic associations in PD and suggests circadian dysfunction may be involved in Parkinson’s disease’s pathophysiology.
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