DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/abd1806-4841.20142872

Prevalence of self-medication for skin diseases: a systematic review

Mariane Corrêa-Fissmer1
Anesio Henrique Martins1
Mariana Gaspar Mendonça1
Dayani Galato1

INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines self-medication as the selection and usage of prescription drugs without medical prescription, in order to treat diseases or promote symptomatic relief. This practice, along with other actions of healthcare such as hygiene practices, nutrition and leisure, are part of self-care.1

The selection of drugs in this process should be rational, thus considered when patients use medications which are suitable to their clinical needs, with doses that are adequate to their individual requirements, for an appropriate period of time and with the lowest cost to them and the community.2

However, regardless of the use of drugs occurring as self-medication or through prescription, the literature points to a high prevalence of non-rational use, with over 50% of medications being misused.3

Frequent motivators for self-medication are the reuse of previous prescriptions, sharing medications with family and friends due to household stocks, and the advice from sales personnel in retail establishments.3,9 Self-medication is also encouraged by drug advertisements and easy access to these products in pharmacies.7,8

The prevalence of self-medication is widely variable. In Brazil, the rates among adults vary from 46% to 53.3%.5 A systematic review on self-medication among children and adolescents found prevalence rates ranging from 7.0 to 67.0%, depending on the study characteristics and the pediatric population evaluated.4

We highlight some factors as self-medication stimulators, and among them we must cite the media. This is due to the fact that medications are regarded as commodities, with franchised sales for profit.8 In this context, a study that analyzed promotional advertising records in pharmacies observed 2,444 publicized products. Of these, 27% were drugs, of which 3.7% represented dermatological products.10

The dermatological health problems that can motivate self-medication are numerous. According to the census conducted by the Brazilian Society of Dermatology (SBD) in 2006, in public and private dermatological practices, 49% of patients seeking specialized care were in the age group between 20 and 49 years of age. Among the ten most prevalent dermatoses detected were acne and superficial mycoses, followed in descending order by pigmentation disorders, actinic keratosis, contact dermatitis, seborrheic
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dermatitis, warts, melanocytic nevi, dermatitis such as eczema, dyshidrosis and pityriasis alba and psoriasis.11

Patients can perceive some skin problems as irrelevant and self-limited, often engaging in self-medication before they seek medical care. In these situations the use of topical drugs is frequent, often without apprehensions about adverse events such as bacterial resistance and masking of skin diseases.12

Although seen in clinical practice, there are few published studies that describe the process of self-medication in dermatology. The purpose of this article is to systematically review and describe the prevalence of self-medication in skin diseases, as well as the main pharmacological strategies used.

METHODS

QUALIFICATION OF RESEARCHERS AND SELECTION PROCESS

Two authors individually reviewed the articles for this publication. The articles’ selection process was qualified using the kappa index to assess inter-observer agreement. In case of disagreement about the selected articles, other authors were invited to a consensus meeting.

INFORMATION SOURCES AND SEARCH STRATEGY

The research for inclusion of articles in this review was performed on April 2, 2013. Virtual Health Library (VHL) and PubMed databases were used. The keywords selected were “self medication” AND “dermatology” and “self medication” AND “skin diseases”. On VHL database keywords in Portuguese were also investigated: “self-medication” AND “dermatology” and “self-medication” AND “skin diseases”. The search was not limited to language of publication, gender and age of the study participants.

SELECTION CRITERIA

The selection process occurred in four sequential steps. First step: Articles with titles duplicated in the databases were excluded. Second step: All titles were read and those that mentioned self-medication for dermatological conditions, alternative therapies for dermatological conditions, profile of medication use in dermatologic conditions and use of non-prescribed medications and treatments in dermatologic conditions were selected.

Third step: the abstracts of the selected articles were evaluated. Articles with unavailable abstracts on databases, case reports, review articles and abstracts that did not mention the self-medication or its frequency were all excluded.

Fourth step: all selected articles were fully reviewed to assess their connection with the subject. In this step we also performed an analysis of their bibliographic references to identify new articles of potential interest.

Consensus meetings were held in case of divergence regarding the selected articles.

DEPENDENT VARIABLE

To identify the prevalence of self-medication in dermatology was the main outcome of this review article.

DATA EXTRATION PROCESS IN SELECTED ARTICLES

The following characterization data were extracted from the selected articles: authorship, sample size, study design, main objective, age group, place and year of study, country of origin and data collection environment. The prevalence of self-medication for dermatological conditions described in studies was identified.

Two researchers performed this step independently after reading each article and in case of disagreement a consensus meeting was held.

DATA SYNTHESIS PRESENTATION FORMAT

Information concerning the characterization of the identified studies and therapeutic strategies adopted as self-medication were presented in a descriptive format.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the selection process of articles included in this review. Also depicted are the reasons for exclusion and inclusion of a new article through reference searches.

Inter-observer agreement (kappa) in the first step of selection was 0.957 (p <0.001), in the second step 0.787 (p <0.001), in the third step that corresponded to abstract analysis it was 0.841 (p <0.001), with these same articles being select for the fourth step.

The prevalence of self-medication for dermatological conditions ranged from 6.0 to 45.0% depending on the study, the investigated population and the method applied. Data collection in the studies was obtained from inpatient and outpatient sources.

Methodology characteristics for all included studies are presented in table 1.12-7 Table 2 depicts data on study sample and self-medication prevalence for dermatological conditions.12-7

Table 3 presents the description of dermatological conditions studied or identified and the drugs used as self-medication in each skin disease. According to the data presented in this table, it is possible to classify the results obtained from the articles into two categories: self-medication in several dermatological conditions (general dermatology) and self-medication in specific dermatoses (Table 3).12-7
DISCUSSION

Although self-medication is an integral part of self-care as proposed by WHO, it is extremely important to evaluate its rationality, because this is a very common practice and the inappropriate use of medications can have serious consequences to the health of individuals as well as the community.1,2

These consequences can occur with medications used both topically as systemically, when managing various skin diseases.17,18 This becomes especially relevant in children and newborns, since their skin has an immature epidermal barrier, being more susceptible to toxic effects, decreased defense and dryness.19 It is noteworthy that self-medication in this population can be considered as that practiced by their parents or caregivers.20

The studies identified in this review have widely variable prevalence rates for self-medication in the treatment of various dermatological conditions from 6.0 to 44.0%, with the use of several therapeutic alternatives, especially topical corticosteroids.12-17

We noted that there was no uniformity in the presentation of the therapeutic strategies used in self-medication. WHO recommends the adoption of ATC (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical) classification for...
pharmacoepidemiology studies. This classification has five levels, from the anatomical region where the drug acts (first level) to the description of the active principle itself (fifth level). Drugs used in the skin are categorized in the first level as “D”. However, other drugs used systemically in skin diseases such as antibiotics, antihistamines and corticosteroids for oral or parenteral use, belong to other anatomical groups. In this case, studies that adopt ATC to describe a medication do not warrant that the motivation to use that drug can be inferred by the classification, which may justify the lack of its use in dermatological studies.

Exemplifying this limitation of ATC, Oliveira et al, during the determination of use profile of prescribed and non-prescribed medications from birth to two years of age, observed that the age of 3 months corresponded to the phase with the greater use of dermatologic drugs (D01 and D02: antifungals, emollients and protective products). This finding could be even more impressive in this age group and also at ages 12 and 24 months, if the authors considered, for example, the systemic use of antihistamines and corticosteroids to treat skin problems.

All studies selected for this review had a cross-sectional design. This methodology has limitations that are inherent to its design, among which we highlight the recall bias. This is particularly relevant, because in most of the identified studies the recall period used to define the practice of self-medication is not described.

Moreover, the diversity of objectives and populations investigated, either by age or by the country where the study was conducted, would explain the range of prevalence rates found.

Padoveze et al found a lower prevalence of self-medication, possibly because they considered only topical treatments. The lack of detailed information on the profile of respondents such as age-related skin problems hinders a better understanding of this finding. Divergences in individual understanding on the practice of self-medication and what the patients consider as topical medications may also explain such result. In this case, for example, the use of barrier creams for the diaper area, by reusing old prescriptions, may have been disregarded by some members of the sample when answering the questionnaire, which could explain this lower prevalence compared to other studies.

The selected studies on self-medication were conducted in different countries. Padoveze et al found a lower prevalence of self-medication, possibly because they considered only topical treatments. The lack of detailed information on the profile of respondents such as age-related skin problems hinders a better understanding of this finding. Divergences in individual understanding on the practice of self-medication and what the patients consider as topical medications may also explain such result. In this case, for example, the use of barrier creams for the diaper area, by reusing old prescriptions, may have been disregarded by some members of the sample when answering the questionnaire, which could explain this lower prevalence compared to other studies.
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The selected studies on self-medication were conducted in different countries. Padoveze et al found a lower prevalence of self-medication, possibly because they considered only topical treatments. The lack of detailed information on the profile of respondents such as age-related skin problems hinders a better understanding of this finding. Divergences in individual understanding on the practice of self-medication and what the patients consider as topical medications may also explain such result. In this case, for example, the use of barrier creams for the diaper area, by reusing old prescriptions, may have been disregarded by some members of the sample when answering the questionnaire, which could explain this lower prevalence compared to other studies.
identified a larger number of developing countries, which may be justified by the greater relevance of self-medication in these places, due to fewer financial resources for proper medical care.

A study performed in Togo, Africa, showed the highest prevalence rate of self-medication for dermatological conditions (44.0%), with many of the drugs obtained from street vendors. This fact corroborates the hypothesis of lack of financial resources, that causes patients to seek cheaper alternatives within self-medication. It is worth noting that a lower economic condition is often associated with low socio-cultural level, which makes it even more difficult to self-recognize symptoms and select a proper therapeutic alternative for self-medication in a rational manner.

This can be illustrated by the study of Kovacs and Brito that evaluated self-medication to treat scabies. The authors showed that there is a flaw in the self-recognition of signs and symptoms of scabies, as most patients believed that it was another disease other than scabies. In this case, the choice of drug to be used for self-medication can be even more misguided. Patients used sulfur soap, iodine, potassium permanganate, and also herbs, creams with antifungals, antibiotics, corticosteroids and antihistamines, which in addition to not solving the problem, have a high likelihood of causing adverse reactions such as eczematization of lesions, further aggravating the initial symptoms.

Among the therapeutic strategies used in self-medication in dermatology we highlight anti-acne drugs, combinations and corticosteroids, as well as antiseptics and anti-herpes medications. Regarding the use of systemic medications we emphasize analgesics, antihistamines, antibiotics and corticosteroids. No adverse events were reported following the use of drugs, possibly because of the self-limited characteristic and often associated with recurrence of many skin diseases and consequent use of drugs for a short term.

However, an Iraqi study that evaluated the excessive use of topical corticosteroids found as main adverse events facial acne, telangiectasias, hypertrichosis, atrophy, striae, hyperpigmentation and hypopigmentation, pyoderma, tinea incognito and infantile gluteal granuloma. It is worth mentioning that topical corticosteroids can also cause adrenal suppression, and the use of single or combined topical antibiotics may induce the selection of resistant bacteria.

Some studies, even though addressing self-medication, did not describe the products used.

**FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

In performing this review on self-medication applied to dermatology, we sought to identify papers that addressed the problem. The results demonstrate the need for further studies on this topic, with more detailed and elucidative answers that have the statistical validity to support the information found in daily clinical practice.
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