Retinal chromophore charge delocalization and confinement explain the extreme photophysics of Neorhodopsin

The understanding of how the rhodopsin sequence can be modified to exactly modulate the spectroscopic properties of its retinal chromophore, is a prerequisite for the rational design of more effective optogenetic tools. One key problem is that of establishing the rules to be satisfied for achieving highly fluorescent rhodopsins with a near infrared absorption. In the present paper we use multi-configurational quantum chemistry to construct a computer model of a recently discovered natural rhodopsin, Neorhodopsin, displaying exactly such properties. We show that the model, that successfully replicates the relevant experimental observables, unveils a geometrical and electronic structure of the chromophore featuring a highly diffuse charge distribution along its conjugated chain. The same model reveals that a charge confinement process occurring along the chromophore excited state isomerization coordinate, is the primary cause of the observed fluorescence enhancement.

Modern neuroscience requires membrane-localized signaling tools\cite{1,2} that could emit intense fluorescence upon irradiation with red light. However, until recently, the available tools, based on engineered microbial rhodopsins, could only generate weak fluorescence signals that impair their performance. At the molecular level, the optical properties of microbial rhodopsins owe to the presence of a covalently bounded all-trans retinal protonated Schiff base (rPSB) chromophore and its interaction with the surrounding protein environment. Therefore, a deep molecular comprehension of the factors dictating such properties is highly desirable. In this regard, few studies\cite{3-5} have formulated rules for tailoring the absorption and emission properties of the retinal chromophore based on the effects of homogeneous electrostatic fields acting on isolated chromophores or via chromophore chemical modifications. However, it is expected that a simple electrostatic picture could not be sufficient to explain the origin of these properties in the complex environment offered by the protein cavity since other factors like non-homogeneous electrostatic fields or chromophore-cavity steric effects could play an important role.

In 2020 the discovery of Neorhodopsin (NeoR) offered an unprecedented case study that could potentially expand our comprehension of red-shifted and highly fluorescent rhodopsins. NeoR is a rhodopsin guanylyn-cyclase (RGC) expressed in the Rhizoclosmatium globosum from Chytridiomycota, the only phylum of fungi producing motile and flagellated spores (zoospores)\cite{6,7}. It heterodimerizes with other two RGCs, called RGC1 and RGC2, that have sensitivity in the blue-green spectrum with 550 and 480 nm absorption maxima ($\lambda_{max}$), respectively. In contrast, NeoR displays the strongest bathochromic shift among all known microbial rhodopsins, yielding an extremely red-shifted ($\lambda_{max}=690$ nm) absorption band. Such a band is mirrored by an intense emission band with a maximum ($\lambda_{fmax}$) at 707 nm yielding Stokes shift of only 17 nm (350 cm$^{-1}$). The emission brightness is quantified by a fluorescence quantum yield (FQY) of 20% and by an extinction coefficient ($\epsilon$) of 129,000 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$. In addition, the excited state lifetime (ESL) of 1.1 ns points to a slow excited state deactivation. The FQY of NeoR, only ca. four times weaker than that of the green fluorescent protein\cite{8} (GFP), represents an anomaly in the rhodopsin superfamily and suggests an evolution-driven origin. More specifically,
since the emission competes with the photoisomerization of its rPSB chromophore, a presently unknown adaptation process must have decreased the efficiency of the protein function. This hypothesis is in line with the fact that wild-type (WT) rhodopsins commonly exhibit FQYs spanning the 0.0001%–0.01% range while engineering efforts yielded variants with only modest increases up to a 1.2% value.

Deciphering how natural evolution in NeoR has tuned these extreme spectroscopic properties of the rPSB chromophore could expand our ability to design optogenetic tools with augmented functionality. Therefore, the modeling of NeoR represents a new promising learning opportunity that can be also used to assess the transferability of the rules mentioned above. In particular, NeoR offers the opportunity to disclose the molecular-level mechanism controlling the branching between fluorescence emission and photoisomerization. Such branching, which is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1a for all-trans rPSB, has been shown to dominate the fluorescence modulation in a set of GFP-like protein variants. More specifically, in these systems, the FQY appears to be directly proportional to the energy barrier controlling both access to a conical intersection (Coln) located along the first singlet excited state (S0) isomerization coordinate and the decay to the ground state (S0). Here we assume that the same mechanism operates in NeoR and is then used as a "laboratory" model for proposing a mechanism capable to connect sequence variation and rPSB emission. To do so, we also assume, in line with the evidence coming from a set of Ar3 variants displaying enhanced fluorescence, that the NeoR emission is a one-photon process and that, therefore, originates directly from its dark adapted state (DA).

In order to pursue the objectives above, we construct a quantum-mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) model of NeoR based on mutational configurational quantum chemistry. Since a crystallographic structure is not available, we employ, for the model construction, a previously reported comparative model. While this may limit, in principle, the fidelity of the environment description with respect to that found in nature, our target here is to achieve an atomistic model capable to replicate all relevant spectroscopic and photochemical observables and use it to explain the high FQY of NeoR in terms of geometrical, electrostatic and steric effects.

Accordingly, here we firstly use the QM/MM model to investigate the electronic structures of the NeoR DA and fluorescent state (FS) and, secondly, we use it to investigate the NeoR photoisomerization with the target of documenting the magnitude and origin of $E^{\text{S1}}$. We show that the confinement of the delocalized positive charge on the Schiff base moiety of the rPSB backbone can explain the existence of large $E^{\text{S1}}$ values and, in turn, the high FQY of NeoR.

Results and discussion

Model construction and validation

An initial QM/MM model of NeoR was constructed using the Automatic Rhodopsin Modeling (a-ARM) technology starting from the comparative model mentioned above. a-ARM models have been shown to yield congruous (i.e., built by employing exactly the same protocol) animal and microbial rhodopsins models that correctly reproduce trends in $E^{\text{max}}$ values . The model showed that the NeoR all-trans rPSB is embedded in a cavity featuring a peculiar amino acid composition with two glutamic (E136 and E262) and one aspartic (D140) acid residues located in the vicinity of its Schiff base moiety. However, due to the lack of experimental information on the residue protonation state, the chromophore counterion assignment remains ambiguous . For this reason, a set of customized a-ARM models featuring different protonation states for the E136, E262, D140 plus E141, a residue located halfway along the rPSB conjugated chain (see Fig. 2a), were built and ranked by computing the absorption ($\lambda_{\text{max}}^{a}$) and emission ($\lambda^{a}$) maxima as well as the relaxation energy ($E^{\text{r}}$) defined by the basic mechanism of Fig. 1a. The $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{a}$ and $\lambda^{a}$ values were computed in terms of vertical excitation energies ($\Delta_{\text{S0-S1}}$) between S0 and S1 at the DA and FS equilibrium geometries, respectively. $E^{\text{r}}$ was instead computed as the energy difference between the Franck–Condon (FC) point and FS state and, therefore, quantifies the energy decrease associated with S1 relaxation. The results collected in Fig. 2b that display the $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{a}$, $\lambda^{a}$ and $E^{\text{r}}$ values for models where the "counterion tetrad" defined above have total charges of 0, −1, −2, −3. For completeness, we have also reported the scenario with a total charge −3 even if the two transitions displayed by these models are not allowed, being the oscillator strengths ($f_{\text{S0-S1}}$) close to zero.
Figure 2a shows that the model with a deprotonated E141 and neutral E136, D140, and E262 (from now on α-ARMGLU141) is the most accurate. In fact, α-ARMGLU141 yields \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{max}}' \) values only 46 and 14 nm blue-shifted with respect to the experimental value as well as the smallest difference (49 nm) between those values consistent with the tiny Stokes shift experimentally observed for NeoR. All other assessed protonation states yielded a poor comparison with the observed quantities. For instance, although the model with all residues protonated (i.e., with no counterion) produces a \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) close to the experimental one, it lacks a stable FS structure since no energy barrier could be located preventing access to the CoIn along \( \alpha \). Also, consistently with the high intensity of the observed absorption and emission bands, the α-ARMGLU141 computed oscillator strengths are found to be very high (see Supplementary Tables 1 and 2): 1.71 and 1.90, respectively. Such values were confirmed via multistate XMCQDPT2 calculations that yielded values close to 1.66 and 1.80.

In order to further assess the quality of α-ARMGLU141, we constructed the models of a set of NeoR variants whose \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) values have been experimentally measured (see Supplementary Table 3). As shown in Fig. 2c the models reproduce the observed trend indicating that α-ARMGLU141 describes, qualitatively, the effect of cavity residue replacements. Notice that the trend is reproduced with a systematic blue shift, which is typical of α-ARM models [\( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{max}}' \)]. We also used α-ARMGLU141 to simulate the WT NeoR absorption band at room temperature by computing the \( \Delta E_{S0 \rightarrow S1} \) and \( S_0 \rightarrow S_1 \) transition probability values for 200 snapshots representing the Boltzmann distribution (see Supplementary Section 3). Comparison between the simulated and observed data in Fig. 2d shows that the center of the computed band (703 nm) is only 13 nm red-shifted with respect to the experimental \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) value and the computed band half-width is close to that seen experimentally. α-ARMGLU141 only appears to miss a shoulder at 640 nm that is, likely, of vibronic origin and therefore not captured by a simulation based on the Condon approximation.

Electronic character of the DA and FS vertical transitions

The agreement between experimental and computed data allows to use α-ARMGLU141 to investigate the large bathochromic shift, negligible Stokes shift, and intense fluorescence of NeoR. The aim of Fig. 3b, c is to document the variation in electronic character upon light absorption and emission by looking at the Mayer bond order analysis (see Supplementary Table 6) and vertical electron density changes at DA and FS (δ\( \rho_{\text{da}} \) and δ\( \rho_{\text{em}} \), respectively). The results support the hypothesis that both the \( S_1 \) and \( S_0 \) electronic characters are combinations of putative covalent (COV) and charge transfer (CT) diabatic states loosely associated with the limiting resonance structures of Fig. 3a. In particular, the results reveal that, the DA structure displays an unusually large CT weight in \( S_0 \) yielding a positive charge spread...
along the carbon atoms of the chromophore (see the bubble representation from Mulliken charges) with a limited +0.29 e charge residing in the C14-C15-N-C\(\varepsilon\) moiety (from now on, the charge residing on such moiety will be called ChargePSB). The vertical transition to S1 only slightly modiﬁes such charge distribution. For instance, when taking the mid C13=C14 as a reference one can see only a small 0.03 e translocation towards the \(\beta\)-ionone ring.

In conclusion, as illustrated in Fig. 3b, c, the DA and FS transitions can be both qualitatively interpreted as transitions between adiabatic states (i.e., S0 and S1) corresponding to in-phase and out-of-phase mixing of two diabatics (or resonance formulas) close in energy. Such an interpretation appears to be related to the one proposed for explaining the observed absorption and emission trends of GFP-like proteins17.

The description above is not in line with the consensus electronic structure of the rPSB chromophore30,31 of rhodopsins. In fact, the DA S0 \(\rightarrow\) S1 transition, is usually described as a transition starting from a COV-dominated state featuring a positive charge localized on the -C15=N- moiety and not a delocalized charge spread on the -C9=C10-C11=C12-C13=C14-C15=N- chain as seen in Fig. 3b. Starting from such a state, an at least three times larger charge translocation has been computed upon S0 \(\rightarrow\) S1 excitation32,33.

Notice that the chromophore charge delocalization seen in the selected \(\alpha\)-ARM141 model is modulated by the position of the counterion. In fact, the charge distribution of the model featuring E262 as the only charged residue of the tetrad (see Fig. 2b) features, in the DA state, a blue-shifted \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) and a reduced charge delocalization. These values are accompanied by a much larger +0.68 e to +0.27 e change in ChargePSB value upon vertical excitation (see Supplementary Fig. 2) and are, therefore, more in line with the consensus rPSB charge distribution mentioned above.

**FC \(\rightarrow\) FS geometrical and electronic relaxation**

Consistently with the computed negligible (0.01 e) difference in ChargePSB value between the FC point and the FS state, the S1 electronic relaxation of \(\alpha\)-ARM141 can be interpreted as a relatively minor change in the weights of the COV and CT diabatic states. The geometrical variation accompanying such a process is documented in Fig. 3d and corresponds to a minor progression along the BLA coordinate (this is deﬁned as the difference between the average single-bond length and the average double-bond length of a conjugated chain, see Fig. 1b) of the chromophore, leading to an \(E^r = 3.5\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\).
value (see Fig. 2b). Such assignment is based on the hypothesis of a negligible contribution from the surrounding protein environment, in line with the results reported for GFPs. Interestingly, the BLA-driven nature of the S1 relaxation is a known feature among GFPs where the only geometrical difference between the DA and FS states is mainly attributed to a different BLA displacement. In NeoR the BLA change is mostly described by a contraction and elongation of C6-C7/C8-C9/C10-C11 (C13-C14) and the C7-C8/C9-C10/C11-C12 bonds respectively, while the BLA of the C14-C15-N Schiff base moiety (from now on simply BLAPSB—see Fig. 1b) is minimally involved and only changes of 0.01 Å.

**NeoR photoisomerization**

As anticipated above, here we assume that the branching (see Fig. 1a) between the canonical rPSB double bond photoisomerization of microbial rhodopsin and emission determines the fluorescence brightness. In other words, since the S1 isomerization is intrinsically a non-radiative decay process, the $E_{S1}$ barrier controlling its rate must modulate the ESL and, in turn, the FQY value. Accordingly, we have used a-ARM@311 to compute the approximate S1 minimum energy path (MEP) describing the clockwise (CW) torsional deformation along α, namely the C12-C13-C14-C15 dihedral angle describing the C13-C14 double bond torsion connecting the FS to the ca. 90° twisted conical intersection (Coln) giving access to S0. The energy maximum located along the MEP energy profile must therefore reflect the barrier height (i.e., $E_{S1}$).

Given the current uncertainty about the regiochemistry of the photoisomerization reaction in NeoR, we also computed three additional MEPs (see Supplementary Section 5) corresponding to the counterclockwise (CCW) isomerization of the C11-C12 and C7-C8 double bond and to the CW isomerization of the C9-C10 double bond. The choice of the CW/CCW pattern for adjacent double bonds conforms to the well-known aborted bicycle-pedal motion, the archetypal space-saving reaction coordinate for the rPSB chromophore isomerization. The selected direction of the twisting is consistent with the stereochemistry found in the four computed Coln’s where the constrained rotation of the reactive bond is assisted by the opposite rotation of the adjacent double bonds (see Supplementary Fig. 3).

Figure 4 displays the CASPT2 S1 energy profiles (top panels) together with the evolution of the S0 and S1 ChargePSB and the BLAPSB coordinate (bottom panels). As reported in the previous works, and also above, such changes are used as indicators of the weights of the COV and CT diabatic states in the adiabatic S0 and S1 energy profiles. As detailed in section S5, the energy trends that emerged from the CASPT2 level were also confirmed at the XMCQDPT2 level. All four S1 isomerization paths point to the presence of a barrier (see orange energy profiles). However, the S1 energy profile is qualitatively different from the one hypothesized in Fig. 1a. In fact, in all cases the energy increases monotonically from the FS state with the Coln corresponding to the highest point along the MEP. The plot is consistent with a sloped, rather than peaked, topography of the ca. 90° twisted Coln structures (see also the branching plane map in Supplementary Fig. 13 for the Coln located along the C13-C14 MEP). As shown in Supplementary Fig. 5, we estimated the $E_{S1}$ magnitude as the difference in energy between the Coln and the FS states. $E_{S1}$ is found of 21 (25), 17 (22), 16 (16), 20 (16) kcal mol⁻¹ at the CASPT2 (XMCQDPT2) level for respectively the C13-C14, C11-C12, C9-C10 and C7-C8 isomerizations. Since the lowest energy barrier is still relatively large, our model supports a barrier-controlled mechanism for the FS emission. Thus, NeoR would be an analog of the GFP-like fluorescent reporters, as, in contrast with microbial rhodopsin, its relatively high barrier would induce slow internal conversion kinetics. This conclusion is consistent with the nanosecond ESL of NeoR while, most known fluorescent rhodopsins feature an ESL that does not exceed the picosecond range.

Notice that the model predicts lower energy barriers with respect to the canonical microbial C13-C14 isomerization which appears
Therefore, our data indicate that an E141 counterion appears not only above, this is not consistent with the ESL of NeoR estimated to be 1.1 ns.

In order to check the existence of alternative and lower energy photoisomerization pathways might originate as recently observed by Sugiura et al.\(^3\) in the NeoR from *Obeldillum mucronatum* (OmNeoR) that shares 78% of sequence identity with the NeoR studied in this work. This result appears consistent to what was found in the past by Cembran et al.\(^2\) aimed at investigating the relationship between the position of an acetate counterion and the photoisomerization of a highly isolated protonated polyene chain. They found that placing the counterion above the polyene favors the isomerization of the double bonds closest to the counterion; this result can be loosely associated with the favored C11-C12, C9-C10 and C7-C8 isomerization in our a-ARME\(_{141}\) model. However, we need to stress that the obtained \(E_{S1}^f\) values possibly represent upper limits as a sloped Coln features, in its close vicinity, a slightly lower energy region with the same 90° twisted conformation (see Supplementary Fig. 13).

In order to check the existence of alternative and lower energy photoisomerization pathways, we computed the C8-C9, C10-C11, and C12-C13 single bond MEPs and found that in all cases \(E_{S1}^f\) is >20 kcal/mol\(^1\) (see Supplementary Figs. 9 and 10). This supports the high stability of the FS displayed by our a-ARME\(_{141}\) model.

The evolution of the Charge\(_{PSB}\) along the C13-C14 isomerization coordinate (see the orange curve in the corresponding panel of Fig. 4) reveals that the \(S_1\) weight of the COV diabatic state increases monotonically along the \(S_1\) MEP until it dominates the region approaching the Coln. This corresponds to confinement (or localization) of the charge in the small Schiff base moiety that, along the terminal part of the MEP (i.e., near the 90° twisted conformation), hosts a π-system orthogonal to the one residing along the rest of the rPSB conjugated chain. This is not a general behavior that depends on the isomerizing double bond. In fact, the C7-C8 MEP in Fig. 4 appears to feature, along the entire \(S_1\) profile, a steady mixed COV/CT character. These results point to a change in the origin of the critical \(E_{S1}^f\) barrier along different isomerization coordinates. More specifically, it is expected that the electrostatic effect imposed by the NeoR cavity may have different effects along different MEPs with a maximal effect on the canonical C13=C14 energy profile and a minimal effect on the C7-C8 energy profile. It is thus necessary to also evaluate steric effects.

In our ARME\(_{141}\) model the electrostatic effect is due to the protein point charges including those describing the negatively charged E141 counterion. In order to assess the impact of such an effect on the isomerization energy profiles, these have been re-evaluated after setting to zero all protein point charges while keeping the geometrical progression unchanged (see gold energy profiles). Consistently, with a dominant role played by the protein electrostatics, the slope in the \(S_1\) profile associated with the C13-C14 coordinate is strongly decreased and even inverts from positive to negative in its last part. This effect is gradually reduced along the C11-C12 and C9-C10 coordinates (compare the vertical double arrows) and disappears along the C7-C8 coordinate of Fig. 4d. The energy profiles were also re-computed after the removal of the E141 counterion charge exclusively (see gray energy profiles). When compared to the energy progression seen in absence of the protein electrostatics, the effect is reduced but maintained, indicating that the leading electrostatic contribution is due to the negative charge in the E141 position. This behavior is consistent with the lack of a stable FS (i.e., due to the absence of an \(S_1\) energy barrier controlling access to the COV) displayed by the model with no counterions (see Fig. 2b). In fact, switching off the charge of the E141 counterion roughly replicates the electrostatic embedding imposed by that model.

The models featuring a counterion configuration different from that of a-ARME\(_{141}\), display flat, and substantially barrierless, \(S_1\) isomerization energy profiles (see Supplementary Fig. 11). As stated above, this is not consistent with the ESL of NeoR estimated to be 1.1 ns. Therefore, our data indicate that an E141 counterion appears not only critical for tuning the extreme spectroscopy of NeoR (see Fig. 2b) but also for the generation of a barrier.

To disentangle the electrostatic and steric contributions to the computed \(E_{S1}^f\) value, the same energy profiles have been re-evaluated in the absence of a whole protein environment (see the energy profiles marked with empty squares). The results demonstrate that while in C11-C12 and C9-C10 MEPs the \(S_1\) profile becomes completely flat, in C7-C8 MEP the \(S_1\) energy barrier is only reduced but persists, indicating a destabilization that originates from the rPSB geometrical progression. Notice that such progression is due, in all cases, to indirect electrostatic and steric effects determining the DA, FS, and Coln geometries (i.e., determining the isomerization coordinate) and include the effect of the polarization of the rPSB π-electron density due to the counterion.

Our conclusion is that a small barrier increasing along the C13-C14 to C7-C8 series, is an intrinsic feature of the isomerization coordinate computed using a-ARME\(_{141}\). While such an increase is clearly enhanced when switching on the direct steric interactions (i.e., due to the Lennard-Jones potentials between QM and MM atoms) are considered, the \(E_{S1}^f\) value along the C13-C14 and C11-C12 paths remain flat, and inconsistent with bright emission. To enhance these barriers and restore consistency, a direct electrostatic contribution (i.e., due to the interaction between QM electron density and MM point charges) is critical. In the next section, we look at the mechanism driving such a critical electrostatic effect.

**Fluorescence enhancement mechanism**

In microbial rhodopsins the canonical \(S_1\) isomerization produces the 13-cis rPSB chromophore. In general, this is an ultrafast (sub-picosecond) reaction only allowing a negligible fluorescence emission from the DA state. We now use the results above to formulate a mechanistic theory for the fluorescence enhancement explaining how the NeoR electrostatics generates the high C13-C14 isomerization barrier of Fig. 4. Such theory takes the progressive confinement of the initially delocalized rPSB charge described above as the key event blocking the C13-C14 isomerization.

We start by employing the Charge\(_{PSB}\) and BLAP\(_{PSB}\) quantities defined above to follow the chromophore geometrical and electronic changes along the \(S_1\) isomerization coordinate. The first index displays a monotonic charge increase from 0.27 to 0.90 e (at FS and Coln respectively), consistently with a monotonic increase of the positive charge on the Schiff based chromophore moiety. The second index points to a 0.03 to 0.20 Å change consistently with the reconstitution of a π-N double bond along the path and full localization of the charge on such a bond. Such progressive charge confinement is directly proportional to the increase in the electrostatic effect along the MEP of C13-C14 of Fig. 4 (i.e., the one indicated by the double-headed vertical arrow) and, therefore, to the energy increase leading to the large computed \(E_{S1}^f\) value. We now propose that the molecular mechanism driving the energy increase is the progressive increase in distance between the negative E141 counterion charge and the centroid of the confining charge. As illustrated in Fig. 5a the progressive positive charge confinement shifts the centroid of the positive charge away from the E141 residue, unavoidably leading to destabilization. This mechanism is supported by the computed decrease in electrostatic effect (i.e., again, the destabilization indicated by the vertical arrow) along the C13=C14, C11=C12 and C7-C8 MEPs of Fig. 4. As an example, in Fig. 5a we also show that the C9=C10 isomerization could not lead to the same electrostatic effect as, in this case, the charge does not get confined far from E141 but remains delocalized along the extended C10-C11-C12-C13-C14-C15-N moiety. This causes only a limited change in the counterion-chromophore interaction consistently with the computed decrease in electrostatic stabilization. The charge confinement on the Schiff base moiety is thus critical.

The mechanism described above can be reinterpreted in terms of changes in the energy of the COV diabatic state (see \(H_{COV}\) in Fig. 5b) featuring a positive charge permanently located on the Schiff
Resulting from the mixed \([\text{CT}] \cdot c[\text{COV}]\) to the pure \([\text{COV}]\) electronic structure change along the \(S_1\) adiabatic energy profile (in orange). We hypothesize that the diabatic energy curves cross halfway along the isomerization coordinate \(a\), which therefore corresponds to the point with the highest diabatic coupling. The such diabatic coupling will then vanish at the Coln. The left and right panels display the shape of the \(S_1\) and \(S_0\) adiabatic potential energy curves along the \(\text{BLAPSB}\) coordinate (see definition in the caption of Fig. 4) at FS (left) and Coln (right) and are in line with the presented FC-FS and Coln computations. The \(\text{BLAPSB}\) and \(a\) coordinates are substantially orthogonal.

Such a delocalization-confinement mechanism (i.e., without an initially delocalized charge there is no progressive confinement) also suggests a lesser sensitivity of the \(S_0\) state to the progression along the isomerization coordinate. In fact, while \(S_0\) becomes progressively dominated by the CT diabatic state, in CT the rPSB charge remains relatively unconfined along a long segment (-C7-C8-C9-C10-C11-C12-C13) of the rPSB backbone even in the Coln region.

Above we have shown that, the conventional C13-C14 isomerization preventing microbial rhodopsin to be highly fluorescent, can be blocked or slowed down by a suitable change in the electrostatic environment of the all-trans rPSB chromophore. More specifically, the presented \(a\)-ARM<sub>E414</sub> model, indicates that NeoR is the product of an evolutionary process driven by the translocation of a negatively charged residue from the chromophore Schiff base region to a region located halfway along the chromophore conjugated chain (E141). The main local effect of this process is the generation of a DA state featuring a delocalized rPSB-positive charge. The rest of the described properties, including spectral properties such as the large bathochromic shift, small Stokes shift, and sizable \(S_1\) isomerization energy barrier along the canonical C13-C14 torsional coordinate, are a consequence of such a change. This behavior was recently documented by El-Tahawy et al. in isolated rPSB chromophores subject to homogeneous, strongly negative red-shifting electric fields and, therefore, the “two electron-two orbital model” theory that is shown in there to account for the electrostatic origin of the C13-C14 photosomerization energy barrier appears also operative in the here presented NeoR<sup>R</sup>. Notice that the described E41 counterion location is presently a theoretical result that remains to be experimentally demonstrated.

The effect associated with the repositioning of the rPSB counterion was previously proposed to explain the \(\lambda_\text{max}\) changes observed in a set of rhodopsin mimics based on the human cellular retinol-binding protein II (hCRBPII)<sup>11</sup>. Similar to our NeoR model, the members of the set displaying a large red shift were found to be associated with a counterion located far from the Schiff base moiety. This conclusion was reached through X-ray crystallographic analysis supporting the hypothesis that a red-shifted \(\lambda_\text{max}\) must be associated with an even distribution of the iminium charge along the chromophore pi-conjugated chain. Such delocalization can be associated with the rPSB base moiety (see the resonance formula in Fig. 3a). The highly delocalized electronic structure of the FS points to close \(H_{\text{COV}}\) and \(H_{\text{CT}}\) values consistent with the small \(\text{Charge}_{\text{PSB}}\) value of \(S_1\). On the other hand, the \(S_1\) \text{Charge}_{\text{PSB}} computed in the Coln region points to an adiabatic state dominated by the COV diabatic. Thus, the charge confinement effect described above is translated into an increase in the weight of the COV diabatic state along the isomerization coordinate. This justifies the steep \(S_1\) energy increase in the Coln region that would originate from the simultaneous increase in the COV weight and COV destabilization due to the offset in the electrostatic interaction with E141.

Fig. 5 | Origin of the \(E_\text{ST}\) barrier in NeoR. a Representation of the increase in the distance between the negative and positive charge centroids due to the positive charge confinement along the C13-C14 and C9-C10 photosomerization paths. Comparison between electrostatic potential (ESP) maps indicates that along the C9-C10 coordinate the extent of the confinement is less pronounced being the charge at the Coln spread on a longer rPSB chromophore moiety (i.e., C10-C11-C12-C13-C14-C15-N). b Proposed origin of the isomerization barrier in terms of COV energy \((H_{\text{COV}}\text{, dashed line})\) destabilization due to the charge confinement resulting from the mixed \([\text{CT}] \cdot c[\text{COV}]\) to the pure \([\text{COV}]\) electronic structure change along the C13-C14 iso-merization coordinate. In fact, while \(S_0\) becomes progressively dominated by the COV diabatic state along the isomerization preventing microbial rhodopsin to be highly fluorescent, can be blocked or slowed down by a suitable change in the electrostatic environment of the all-trans rPSB chromophore. More specifically, the presented \(a\)-ARM<sub>E414</sub> model, indicates that NeoR is the product of an evolutionary process driven by the translocation of a negatively charged residue from the chromophore Schiff base region to a region located halfway along the chromophore conjugated chain (E141). The main local effect of this process is the generation of a DA state featuring a delocalized rPSB-positive charge. The rest of the described properties, including spectral properties such as the large bathochromic shift, small Stokes shift, and sizable \(S_1\) isomerization energy barrier along the canonical C13-C14 torsional coordinate, are a consequence of such a change. This behavior was recently documented by El-Tahawy et al. in isolated rPSB chromophores subject to homogeneous, strongly negative red-shifting electric fields and, therefore, the “two electron-two orbital model” theory that is shown in there to account for the electrostatic origin of the C13-C14 photosomerization energy barrier appears also operative in the here presented NeoR<sup>R</sup>. Notice that the described E41 counterion location is presently a theoretical result that remains to be experimentally demonstrated.

The effect associated with the repositioning of the rPSB counterion was previously proposed to explain the \(\lambda_\text{max}\) changes observed in a set of rhodopsin mimics based on the human cellular retinol-binding protein II (hCRBP II)\(^{11}\). Similar to our NeoR model, the members of the set displaying a large red shift were found to be associated with a counterion located far from the Schiff base moiety. This conclusion was reached through X-ray crystallographic analysis supporting the hypothesis that a red-shifted \(\lambda_\text{max}\) must be associated with an even distribution of the iminium charge along the chromophore pi-conjugated chain. Such delocalization can be associated with the rPSB...
delocalized charge seen in the α-ARM calculation and interpreted as a COV—CT resonance hybrid (see Fig. 3a) or, in a different language, to a near cyanine limit situation. α-ARM leads to a possible general principle for the engineering of other highly fluorescent rhodopsins that we call “delocalization-confinement”. Such principle establishes that the electrostatic field generated by the cavity, for instance via a specific counterion localization, must yield a vastly delocalized geometrical and electronic structure of the rPSB conjugated chain in both the DA and the FS state of the protein. In this condition, an electrostatically induced high reaction barrier can be generated via a rPSB charge confinement process occurring, unavoidably, along the canonical C13–C14 isomerization path in the region entering the corresponding Cohn column. Finally, the reported results provide evidence that the spectroscopy of retinal proteins is regulated by the same principles regulating GFP-like fluorescence. More specifically, it was proposed that the GFP variants achieving maximal α-electron delocalization (called the cyanine limit) are the ones where a COV and CT configurations of the protein chromophore have exactly the same weight, thus pushing the λmax value to the extreme red and culminating in a null Stokes shift.

Methods

The employed hybrid QM/MM modeling of NeoR was performed using the α-ARM protocol and based on the comparative model structure built and validated by S. Adam et al. Further details about the α-ARM protocol are given as Supplementary Informations (Supplementary Section 1). After initially producing, automatically, default α-ARM models for WT and mutant NeoR, the equilibrium geometries of the DA and the FS were obtained via re-assignment of the counterion before carrying out ground state geometrical relaxation with energy gradients calculated at the 2 root state average CASSCF(12,12)/6-31G*/AMBER94 level of theory using the Molcas/Tinker interface (Supplementary section 2). The relevant energies were instead computed, again employing the Mocas/Tinker interface, at the single-state and, in specified cases, multistate multiconfigurational levels. These correspond to the 3-root state average CASPT2(12,12)/6-31G*/AMBER94 and 3-root state average XMCQDPT2/CASSCF(12,12)/6-31G*/AMBER94 levels, respectively. The XMCQDPT2 calculation was based on Firefly and the collection of geometries connecting the FS to the different Cohn’s and defining the MEPs discussed above, were obtained via constrained geometry optimization at the 2 root state average CASSCF(12,12)/6-31G*/AMBER94 level of theory. As shown in Supplementary Section 5, for each MEP the S1 isomerization barrier L(S1) is estimated after revaluing the S1 energy profiles at the above CASPT2 and XMCQDPT2 levels via single point energy calculations and measuring the energy difference between the highest S1 energy value (i.e., corresponds to the Cohn) and the S1 energy value of the FS.

Data availability

The cartesian coordinates of the DA and the FS of the QM/MM model of NeoR generated in this study are provided, respectively, in Supplementary Data 1 and Supplementary Data 2. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

As stated in the Method section, all the calculations carried out in this work were performed using a combination of the quantum chemical program MOLCAS and molecular mechanics program TINKER except for XMCQDPT2 calculations which were performed using the computational chemistry program Firefly.
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