Effect of bladder volume on radiation doses to organs at risk and tumor in cervical cancer during image-guided adaptive brachytherapy and treatment outcome analysis
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Abstract. There is no consensus in clinical practice on the optimal bladder volume during brachytherapy. The present study aimed to assess the effect of bladder volume on radiation dose to organs at risk and tumor in cervical cancer during image-guided adaptive brachytherapy and clinical outcome. The retrospective study included patients treated at University of Hong Kong-Shenzhen Hospital between January 2015 and July 2019. Patients with International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (2009) stage IB1-IVB (retroperitoneal lymph nodes metastasis only) cervical cancer treated by external beam radiotherapy with concurrent cisplatin followed by brachytherapy were assessed. A total of 421 brachytherapy insertions were analyzed. Every 83 and 90 cm$^3$ (cc) increase in bladder volume led to an incremental raise of 1 Gy in bladder wall minimum dose received by the most irradiated 1 and 2 cc volumes (D1 and D2cc) of the bladder wall, respectively. An increase in bladder volume was associated with increased D1 and D2cc of bladder wall (both P<0.001, respectively) and rectal wall (P=0.150 and P=0.084, respectively), and decreased D1cc (P=0.003) and D2cc (P=0.001) of sigmoid wall, the maximum doses to the most minimally exposed 90 (D90) and 95% (D95) of the high risk-clinical target volume (HR-CTV; D90, P=0.010; D95, P=0.006). Patients with cumulative HR-CTV D90≥89.6 Gy had shorter median overall survival (OS) than those with cumulative HR-CTV D90>89.6 Gy (42.1 months vs. not reached, P=0.001). Patients with grade 2 acute urinary toxicity had significantly higher cumulative bladder wall D2cc than those with acute urinary toxicity<grade 2 (86.7±3.7 vs. 78.5±7.9 Gy; P=0.001). As the bladder volume increased, the dose to the bladder and rectal wall increase and dose to the sigmoid colon wall and HR-CTV decrease based on intracavitary brachytherapy. The higher dose of HR-CTV predicted better OS and the higher dose received by the bladder wall was associated with more grade 2 acute urinary toxicity.

Introduction

Cervical cancer is a major health burden worldwide; there were an estimated 13,170 new cases of cervical cancer and 4,250 deaths in 2019 (1). The standard treatment for localized inoperable cervical cancer is external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) with concurrent cisplatin followed by brachytherapy (2). Dose optimization using intracavitary applicators has been shown to significantly decrease doses to organs at risk (OARs) and morbidity (3). OARs, such as bladder, rectum and sigmoid colon, are dose-limiting as radiation above certain levels can cause further complications. Brachytherapy induces enteritis and cystitis due to irradiation to pelvic OARs. Therefore, it is crucial to optimize the dose to OARs to minimize the risk of complications (4-6). The volume and position of OARs in the pelvis affect the position of the tumor and the dose to both OARs and tumor. Bladder volume is one of the most important variables during brachytherapy insertions because of the notable changes in bladder contents. The effect of bladder volume on doses to OARs and...
tumor is unclear. There is no consensus in clinical practice on the optimal bladder volume during brachytherapy (7-9). Certain studies have suggested that smaller bladder volume is better to achieve a lower dose to OARs (5) while others have shown that full bladder is non-inferior with regard to bladder dose (7,9). Another study suggested that bladder volume exhibits different dosimetric effects on different OARs (10). Various bladder filling protocols have been recommended with limited evidence, including empty bladder with indwelling Foley’s catheter (11), a known limited filling status (50 cc) (12) and full bladder (7). The International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU) 89 report had no recommendations on bladder volume status (full, empty or other) during pelvic intracavitary brachytherapy (13). Ongoing clinical study Embrace II (14) by European Brachytherapy Group-European Society for Radiotherapy and Oncology Gynecology (GEC-ESTRO GYN) working group has not specified bladder volume control during brachytherapy. To the best of our knowledge, few studies have assessed the effect of bladder volume on OAR dose and tumor during image-guided adaptive brachytherapy for cervical cancer or the treatment results.

The present study aimed to determine the optimal bladder volume to guide clinical practice by investigating the effect of bladder volume on cervical cancer OARs and primary tumor during image-guided adaptive brachytherapy and its impact on treatment outcome.

Materials and methods

Patients. The present study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the University of Hong Kong-Shenzhen Hospital (Shenzhen 518053. All 109 patients with cervical cancer who received radical radiotherapy at Oncology Medical Center of the University of Hong Kong-Shenzhen Hospital between January 2015 and July 2019 were included in the retrospective study and relevant information was obtained from medical records. The inclusion criteria were as follows: i) age ≥18 years, ii) ECOG performance status ≤2, iii) pathology-confirmed, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO; 2009) (15) stage IB1-IVB (retroperitoneal lymph nodes metastasis only) cervical cancer and iv) patients were treated with EBRT with concurrent cisplatin followed by image-guided adaptive brachytherapy. Patients were excluded if they had a history of pelvic surgery or irradiation or recurrent cervical cancer.

Brachytherapy applicator insertion procedure. Intracavitary brachytherapy was performed 2-3 weeks after initiation of EBRT. Brachytherapy applicators were inserted under general anesthesia. A Foley’s catheter was inserted and 150 cc normal saline was injected into bladder after general anesthesia. The intrauterine tandem was inserted under ultrasound guidance. The tandem length with stopper was selected based on the length of the uterine cavity. Ring or ovoid shaped applicators were selected based on disease extent and vaginal distensibility. Following the completion of applicator insertion, the Foley’s catheter was kept open with connection to a urine bag until the end of each brachytherapy session. The Foley’s catheter was removed after each brachytherapy session. Simulation, delineation of bladder volume/wall and treatment were performed in the empty bladder state.

Imaging and contouring. All patients underwent CT simulation with 1 mm axial image slices in treatment position from the 10th thoracic vertebra to the upper third of the femur. The CT images were transferred to Varian eclipse treatment planning system, (version 15.0; Varian Medical Systems). HR-CTV and OARs (bladder, rectum and sigmoid colon) were delineated on the CT image (Fig. 1) with reference to available information (including gynecological examination, magnetic resonance imaging at the time of diagnosis and before brachytherapy) by a radiation oncologist according to the GEC-ESTRO GYN working group recommendations (2) and were confirmed by a radiation oncologist consultant. OARs were defined by Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Consensus Panel Atlas (16). Rectum contouring started inferiorly from the lowest level of the ischial tuberosities (right or left) and ended superiorly before the rectum lost its round shape in the axial plane and connected anteriorly with the sigmoid. The volume of OARs and HR-CTV were obtained from CT simulation scan by the Varian eclipse treatment planning system (version 15.0). Bladder, rectum and sigmoid colon volume comprised the entire volume, including the wall and contents of bladder, rectum and sigmoid colon.

Treatment planning. EBRT was delivered on a 6 MV linear accelerator; prescription dose was 45 Gy in 25 fractions to whole pelvis with a simultaneous integrated boost of 55.0-57.5 Gy to pelvic or retroperitoneal metastatic lymph nodes (Fig. 2). Concurrent cisplatin at 40 mg/m² was given weekly during EBRT. All 109 patients received the same dose of external beam therapy but different doses of brachytherapy in the treatment planning section. The image-guided brachytherapy plan was constructed using the Varian eclipse treatment planning system (version 15.0). The prescription dose for each high-dose-rate (HDR) brachytherapy was 6-7 Gy to HR-CTV, four times in total. Equivalent dose (EQD2) (17) was calculated for HDR treatments using the linear quadratic model normalized to 2 Gy/fraction as follows: EQD2=n x d x \( \frac{\alpha/\beta}{2+\alpha/\beta} \), where n represents radiotherapy session number, d represents the dose and \( \alpha/\beta \) ratio (18) represents radiotherapy sensitivity of tumor and normal tissue. The full course treatment plan, including external radiotherapy and brachytherapy evaluation criteria of OARs for all patients, were as follows: Rectum, D2cc<75 Gy (EQD2); sigmoid colon, D2cc<75 Gy (EQD2) and bladder D2cc<90 Gy (EQD2). Dose prescription of HR-CTV D90 was as follows: Stage IB-IILA, >84 Gy (EQD2) and ≥stage IIB, >90 Gy (EQD2). Dose constraint of OARs had a higher priority than HR-CTV. Dose of external irradiation and brachytherapy were converted to EQD2, then HR-CTV D90 and D2cc of rectum, sigmoid and bladder during external radiotherapy and brachytherapy were calculated using deformable registration by medical imaging software version 6.9.5, MIM Software Inc. Doses to HR-CTV and the D2cc of bladder, rectal and sigmoid wall were obtained from dose-volume histograms (DVHs) automatically generated by the Varian eclipse treatment planning system (version 15.0). Toxicity during concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT)
was graded according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events 4.03 criteria (19).

Statistical analysis. Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 19.0 for Windows (IBM, Corp.), GraphPad prism 8 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) and R Programming Language version 3.6.1 (R Studio, Inc.). Clinicopathological and treatment-associated characteristics were assessed using descriptive statistics. Paired t-test (mean ± SD) was used to compare means between two groups. Association between bladder volume and dose to OARs and HR-CTV were analyzed using linear regression model. Cumulative HR-CTV D90 represented the total dose received by HR-CTV during the whole course of RT and was defined as the integrated dose to 90% of HR-CTV including both EBRT and brachytherapy. Local failure was defined as local tumor residual at the end of treatment or local recurrence during follow up.

Local control was defined as no local residual at the end of treatment and no local recurrence during follow up. Overall survival (OS) was the time from the start of EBRT to the date of death from any cause or the last confirmed date of survival. Local control and OS of all patients were based on both brachytherapy and external beam therapy. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to select cutoff values of cumulative HR-CTV D90 predicting local failure. Survival was evaluated using Kaplan-Meier curves with the log-rank test and Cox proportional hazard model. Bilateral P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Patient characteristics. A total of consecutive 109 patients with 421 intracavitary brachytherapy insertions were included. The median age was 53 years (range, 33-77 years). The FIGO (2009) stage distribution was 7 (6.4), 51 (46.8), 43 (39.4%) and 8 (7.3%) for stage I-III and IV , respectively. A total of 101 patients (92.7%) had squamous cell carcinoma. A total of 99 patients (90.8%) completed the scheduled four brachytherapy insertions. Patient and clinicopathological characteristics are presented in Table I. A total of two patients refused to continue brachytherapy due to uterine perforation and vaginal injury during the last brachytherapy; eight patients changed to stereotactic body radiation therapy or external radiation boost due to large residual volume following external radiotherapy with expected poor dose coverage by intracavity brachytherapy.

Bladder volume during brachytherapy. The Foley’s catheter was kept open with connection to a urine bag during brachytherapy. The median volume of bladder, rectum, sigmoid colon and HR-CTV during the whole course of RT were 60.1 (range, 13.5-318.1), 28.3 (8.6-104.0), 49.6 (6.0-274.6) and 22.6 (5.6-108.1 cc), respectively. Linear regression model, including volumes of rectum, sigmoid colon and HR-CTV, corpus angle, age and body mass index (BMI), showed that sigmoid[odds ratio (OR),

Table I. Patient and clinicopathological characteristics.

| Characteristic                  | Number (%) | n=109 |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Median age (range), years       | 53.00 (33.00-77.00) |
| Median BMI (range)              | 22.67 (15.87-29.80) |
| ECOG score                      | 97.00 (89.00) |
| 0-1                             | 12.00 (11.00) |
| Histology                       | 101.00 (92.70) |
| Squamous cell carcinoma         | 4.00 (3.70) |
| Adenocarcinoma                  | 4.00 (3.70) |
| FIGO stage                      | 5.00 (4.60) |
| IB1                             | 2.00 (1.80) |
| IIA1                            | 4.00 (3.70) |
| IIA2                            | 9.00 (8.30) |
| IIB                             | 38.00 (34.90) |
| IIIA                            | 4.00 (3.70) |
| IIIB                            | 39.00 (35.80) |
| IVA                             | 3.00 (2.80) |
| IVB                             | 5.00 (4.60) |
| Brachytherapy insertion         | 1.00 (0.90) |
| 1                               | 3.00 (2.80) |
| 3                               | 6.00 (5.50) |
| 4                               | 99.00 (90.80) |
| Applicator type                 | 95.00 (22.60) |
| Ring                            | 326.00 (77.40) |
| Ovoid                           | 95.00 (22.60) |

BMI, body mass index; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

Table II. Linear regression model of factors associated with bladder volume during brachytherapy.

| Factor               | OR  | 95% CI limit | P-value |
|----------------------|-----|--------------|--------|
| Rectum volume        | 0.222 | -0.018 | 0.462 | 0.070 |
| Sigmoid volume       | 0.190 | 0.102 | 0.278 | <0.001 |
| HR-CTV volume        | 0.168 | -0.053 | 0.389 | 0.136 |
| Corpus angle         | 0.135 | -0.058 | 0.327 | 0.170 |
| Age                  | 0.105 | -0.185 | 0.395 | 0.477 |
| BMI                  | 0.087 | -0.850 | 1.025 | 0.855 |

OR, odds ratio; CI confidence interval; HR-CTV, high risk-clinical target volume; BMI, body mass index.
0.190; 95% CI, 0.102‑0.278; P<0.001] and rectum volume (OR, 0.222; 95% CI, ‑0.018‑0.462; P=0.070) were associated with bladder volume during brachytherapy. HR‑CTV volume, corpus angle, age and BMI did not affect bladder volume during brachytherapy (Table II). With the increase of brachytherapy insertion time, paired t‑test (Table III)

### Table III. Change in bladder volume between brachytherapy insertions (paired t test).

| Pair | N   | Bladder volume (mean ± SD), cc | Change in bladder volume (mean ± SD), cc | t   | P‑value |
|------|-----|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----|---------|
| 1    | 108 | 67.5±36.9                      | 1.9±34.6                                 | 0.582 | 0.562   |
| 2    | 105 | 65.8±29.8                      | 0.9±30.3                                 | 0.302 | 0.763   |
| 3    | 99  | 73.7±37.7                      | 8.6±34.7                                 | 2.472 | 0.015   |

SD, standard deviation; cc, cubic centimeter.

### Table IV. Cox regression analysis of factors associated with OS.

| Factor                                      | HR    | Lower | Upper  | P‑value |
|---------------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---------|
| Age (<60/≥60 years)                         | 2.277 | 0.730 | 7.108  | 0.156   |
| ECOG (0‑1/2)                                | 0.541 | 0.173 | 1.692  | 0.291   |
| FIGO stage (I‑II/III‑IV)                    | 0.897 | 0.353 | 2.276  | 0.818   |
| Hemoglobin (<110/≥110 g/l)                  | 2.271 | 0.933 | 5.532  | 0.071   |
| Concurrent chemotherapy cycles (0‑4/5‑6)    | 3.252 | 1.209 | 8.748  | 0.020   |
| Cumulative HR‑CTV D90                       | 0.940 | 0.892 | 0.990  | 0.019   |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; HR‑CTV, high risk‑clinical target volume.

Figure 1. Diagram of a typical patient with cervical cancer showing organs at risk and targets. HR‑CTV, high risk‑clinical target volume. IR‑CTV, intermediate risk‑clinical target volume.
showed that bladder volume at the fourth insertion increased significantly compared with the first insertion (mean ± SD; 73.7±37.7 vs. 65.1±24.8 cc; P=0.015).

**Effect of bladder volume on dose to OARs and tumor (HR-CTV).** Linear regression analysis showed that bladder volume was associated significantly and linearly with D1 (R, 0.315; P<0.001) and D2 cc (R, 0.346; P<0.001) of the bladder wall (data not shown). There was a 1 Gy increase in D1 and D2cc with every 83 or 90 cc increase in bladder volume, respectively. Linear regression models were repeatedly constructed to evaluate the effect of bladder volume on dose to OARs and tumor (HR-CTV) by adjusting confounding factors, including rectum, sigmoid and HR-CTV volume, corpus angle, applicator length and BMI. With increasing bladder volume, D1cc (OR, 0.012; 95% CI, 0.009-0.016; P<0.001) and D2cc (OR, 0.012; 95% CI, 0.009-0.015; P<0.001) of bladder wall increased; D1 (OR, 0.002; 95% CI, -0.001-0.005; P=0.150) and D2cc (OR, 0.002; 95% CI, 0.000-0.004; P=0.084) of the rectal wall also increased. However, the D1 (OR, -0.005; 95% CI, -0.008-0.002; P=0.003) and D2cc (OR, -0.004; 95% CI, -0.007-0.002; P=0.001) of sigmoid wall and HR-CTV D90 (OR, -0.005; 95% CI, -0.009-0.001; P=0.010) and D95 (OR, -0.005; 95% CI, -0.009-0.001; P=0.006) decreased with increased bladder volume. These data were based on intracavitary brachytherapy. From these linear regression models, HR-CTV volume affected D1 and D2cc of rectal (P=0.058 and 0.035, respectively) and sigmoid wall (P=0.015 and 0.002, respectively) and HR-CTV D90 and D95 (both P<0.001); applicator length affected the D1 and D2cc of rectal (both P=0.012) and sigmoid wall (both P<0.001); and BMI affected D1 and D2cc (both P=0.001) of bladder wall; corpus angle affected D1 and D2cc of rectal (both P<0.001) and sigmoid wall (P=0.056 and 0.015, respectively) and HR-CTV D90 and D95 (P<0.001).

**Effect of HR-CTV cumulative dose on local failure and OS.** The median follow-up time was 28 months (range, 3-59 months); the median OS for the entire patient population was 54.0 months (95% CI, 36.3-71.7 months). Among the 99 patients who completed all four brachytherapy insertions, 14 experienced local failure. Patients with local failure had significantly lower cumulative HR-CTV D90 than those without local failure (mean ± SD; 89.5±9.3 vs. 97.0±12.4 Gy; P=0.032). The cut-off value of cumulative HR-CTV D90 to predict local control on ROC analysis was 89.6 Gy with area under curve=0.702, sensitivity=70.6% and specificity=64.3% (P=0.016). Patients with cumulative HR-CTV D90≥89.6 Gy had shorter OS than those with cumulative HR-CTV D90<89.6 Gy (median OS, 42.1 months vs. not reached; P=0.001). HR-CTV, high risk-clinical target volume; OS, overall survival.
adjusting age, ECOG, FIGO stage, hemoglobin before treatment and concurrent chemotherapy cycles (Table IV).

**Effect of bladder volume on treatment-associated toxicity.** Toxicity during CCRT was graded according to CTCAE 4.03 criteria (19). Cumulative bladder wall D2cc is defined as the integrated dose to the highest irradiated 2 cc area of bladder wall, including both EBRT and brachytherapy phase. Patients with grade 2 acute urinary toxicity had significantly higher cumulative bladder wall D2cc than those with acute urinary toxicity <grade 2 (mean ± SD; 86.7±3.7 vs. 78.5±7.9 Gy; P=0.001; data not shown). Only one patient experienced grade 3 late intestinal toxicity.

**Discussion**

The present study analyzed the effect of bladder volume on OARs and HR-CTV radiation dose and associated treatment results during brachytherapy for cervical cancer and aimed to provide recommendations for bladder volume control during brachytherapy to decrease the dose to normal tissue while optimizing the dose to the primary tumor. The present study found as bladder volume increased, the dose to the bladder and rectal walls increased and the dose to sigmoid wall and HR-CTV decreased. A higher priority was set for OARs to avoid serious complications and results were based on intra-cavitary, rather than interstitial, brachytherapy (20). Higher doses of HR-CTV predicted better OS throughout the course of radiotherapy and higher dose to the bladder wall was associated with more grade 2 acute urotoxicity. From a clinical perspective, it is therefore better to minimize bladder volume during brachytherapy for cervical cancer.

Based on previous studies (8,12,21), there is no consistent recommendation for bladder volume control during brachytherapy. Sharma et al (21) showed that D2cc of the bladder increased with bladder volume; bladder volume ≤70 cc proved better for achieving lower radiation dose of bladder. Siavashpour et al (8) showed that bladder D0.1 and D2cc increase significantly with bladder volume and concluded that bladder volume <70 cc might be a better choice than bladder volume >70 cc. Mahantshety et al (12) suggested that bladder filling protocol with 50 or 100 cc was well tolerated and achieved a reasonably reproducible bladder volume during cervical brachytherapy. The present study showed that bladder volume was significantly and linearly associated with D1 and D2cc of the bladder wall and there was a 1 Gy increase in D1 or D2cc with every 83 or 90 cc increase in bladder volume, respectively. This means that the smaller the bladder volume, the lower the bladder wall dose.

Sharma et al (21) showed that the dose to rectum increases with bladder volume but decreases after bladder volume reaches 110 cc; sigmoid DVH parameters followed a similar trend as that of the rectum. Siavashpour et al (8) showed that the rectum dose decreases with bladder volume >140 cc and sigmoid dose decreases with bladder volumes <75 cc; however, for bladder volume >75 cc, the sigmoid dose increased. The D2cc of bladder and rectum were higher for longer applicator lengths than shorter ones (8). Mahantshety et al (12) found that rectal and sigmoid doses are not significantly affected by bladder volume. Harmon et al (7) showed that sigmoid D2 and D1cc are significantly decreased in full bladder plans; the rectum shows no significant difference in D2 and D0.1cc between different bladder volumes. The present data showed that D1 and D2cc of rectal wall increased with bladder volume. However, D1 and D2cc of sigmoid decreased with increased bladder volume. The different results between these studies may be due to different methods of bladder volume control and grouping. The present study showed HR-CTV volume affected the D1 and D2cc of rectal and sigmoid wall and HR-CTV D90 and D95; and applicator length affected the D1 and D2cc of rectal and sigmoid wall. Applicator length may affect doses of OARs through the position change of bladder and rectum. BMI affected the D1 and D2cc of bladder wall; corpus angle affected D1 and D2cc of rectal and sigmoid wall and HR-CTV D90 and D95. Siavashpour et al (10) demonstrated that empty bladder status may decrease the dose to rectum and sigmoid with tandems >4 cm, which was similar to results of the present study. Several studies have demonstrated significant increase in small bowel dose with decreased bladder volume (7,9,12). Nevertheless, the small bowel dose received may not be a true reflection from the dose estimated by the radiotherapy plan because of the changes in the position of the small intestine during treatment (9,22,23).

The present study demonstrated that bladder volume at the fourth insertion was significantly increased compared with the first insertion. However, the Foley’s catheter was kept open during CT simulation for all patients. The present study showed that sigmoid and rectum volume were associated with bladder volume during brachytherapy. HR-CTV volume, corpus angle, age and BMI did not affect bladder volume during brachytherapy. Statistical analysis showed that BMI affected D1 and D2cc of bladder wall but not bladder volume. This may be because obesity increases the difficulty and affects the accuracy of application insertion and subcutaneous fat may shorten the distance between the bladder and tumor, resulting in an increased radiation dose to the bladder wall without affecting the bladder volume. In addition, although the catheter was kept open, bladder volumes varied greatly. Potential causes include poor drainage of the catheter, decreased bladder contraction caused by radiotherapy and general anesthesia affecting bladder emptying. Lee et al (24) showed that interfractional dosimetric variation for both target and OARs resulted in a change of treatment plan in 6% of cases. Therefore, controlling bladder volume is an important clinical issue.

The present study showed that cumulative HR-CTV D90 in patients with local failure was significantly lower than that in patients without local failure. Local control and OS were lower when cumulative HR-CTV D90≤89.6 Gy. Patients with grade 2 acute urinary toxicity exhibited significantly higher cumulative bladder wall D2cc than those with acute urinary toxicity <grade 2, which is similar to other studies (4,6). The present study analyzed both treatment outcomes and effect of bladder volume, filling gaps in other studies. However, the study was limited by the retrospective nature of the design. The catheter remained open during brachytherapy without bladder volume control procedures; bladder volume control will be the focus of future research. Interstitial brachytherapy has
notable dosimetric advantages for patients with cervical cancer with asymmetrical growth or large mass which makes it difficult to achieve ideal dose distribution via intracavitary brachytherapy (20). Magnetic resonance image-guided brachytherapy or interstitial brachytherapy provide more accurate tumor and OAR delineation and dose assessment (20,25,26), which is also a future research direction.

In conclusion, restricting bladder volume during brachytherapy may decrease doses to the bladder and rectal wall. Higher dose to the bladder wall was associated with more grade 2 acute urinary toxicity. Moreover, smaller bladder volume may increase the dose to HR-CTV; patients with cumulative HR-CTV D90>89.6 Gy have better local control and OS.
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