Validity and reliability of the Setswana translation of the Short Form-8 health-related quality of life health survey in adults

Background: The absence of culturally relevant measures in indigenous languages could pose a challenge to epidemiological studies on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in developing nations.

Aim: To explore the feasibility and determine the validity and reliability of the Setswana translation of the HRQoL Short Form-8 (SF-8) among Setswana-speaking adults.

Setting: Potchefstroom in the North West province.

Methods: Sixty healthy men (n = 26) and women (n = 34), aged 45.5 ± 9.3 years, completed a Setswana translation of the SF-8 questionnaire and the original English version twice, with a 4-week interval between completions.

Results: The Setswana SF-8 presented good concurrent validity with the Spearman’s correlation coefficients (ρ) of 0.72 for role physical to 0.91 for social functioning. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficients for the first and second measurements were 0.87 and 0.87, respectively, for the Setswana-translated SF-8 and 0.86 and 0.89 for the original English SF-8. The reliability coefficients were moderate for the mental health (ρ = 0.60), social functioning (ρ = 0.56) and role emotional (ρ = 0.50) domains, as well as the mental component summary (ρ = 0.50) and physical component summary (ρ = 0.45), but fair for the role physical (ρ = 0.43), body pain (ρ = 0.43), general health (ρ = 0.42), physical functioning (ρ = 0.41) and vitality (ρ = 0.38) domains on the translated Setswana version of the SF-8.

Conclusion: The Setswana SF-8 version was feasible, acceptable and had acceptable concurrent validity and fair to moderate evidence of test–retest reliability for assessing HRQoL among adult Setswana-speaking community dwellers.

Introduction

Over the past 20 years, the interest in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) has increased because of the concept’s potential to facilitate meaningful improvements in health services and policy research (Ruževićus & Akranavičiūtė 2007). The increase in interest has contributed to HRQoL being internationally accepted as a valid indicator of health status. This global acceptance means that it has the potential to be a valuable mechanism to compare and aggregate results of efficacy and effectiveness studies across countries and groups. To facilitate such international comparison and aggregation, the concept needs to be meaningfully operationalised across different language and cultural groups. The current study is an example of one such meaningful cross-cultural operationalisation (Ruževićus & Akranavičiūtė 2007).

HRQoL evaluations are used as health indicators to monitor the health status of populations and for programme appraisal (Al Sayah et al. 2013). The personal attributes of understanding the meaning of life could help people look for a higher existential level of their life (Ruževićius & Akranavičiūtė 2007). This can be achieved by understanding the individual’s satisfaction with life dimensions compared to an ideal life, which depends on one’s value system (Ruževićius & Akranavičiūtė 2007). HRQoL could also be dependent on external factors that could influence the living conditions of individuals (Ruževićius & Akranavičiūtė 2007). These external factors, which could influence the HRQoL, could include accommodation, employment status, income, welfare, moral attitudes, family life, social support, stress and crisis, education and environment, among others (Ruževićius & Akranavičiūtė 2007).

The concept of HRQoL is in general use and has cut across different healthcare fields, with the major aim to clinically improve the current health status of people and communities at large
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The SF-8 is seen as a standard instrument that is easy to
administer within a very short period of time, as reported by
this classic source (Ware et al. 2001). It is a general survey
instrument that can be used to clinically assess health status
regardless of age, illness and treatment (Roberts et al. 2008).
The SF-8 health survey can also be administered by mode
of interview, most especially among people with low
educational qualifications (Ware et al. 2001). Turner-Bowker
et al. (2013) found that the SF-8 could be used to access
HRQoL in a group of people suffering from migraines and
other chronic ailments. Compared with the Short Form-36
(SF-36), which was extensively used, the SF-8 survey achieved
adjusted multiple correlations of 0.88 and 0.83 in the
prediction of the mental component summary-36 (MCS-36)
and physical component summary-36 (PCS-36), respectively
(Lefante et al. 2005). The reason for using the SF-8 was
established after the slow response from the participants
because of time constraints in responding to the 36 questions
in the SF-36 health survey instrument (Lefante et al. 2005).
Considering the different sociocultural and physical
environments from populations in other parts of the world
compared to persons from sub-Saharan Africa, mere
translation of a questionnaire may not be adequate to
maintain content validity (Oyeyemi et al. 2011). Meanwhile,
it is important to produce translated versions that do not lack
experimental and theoretical similarity (Oyeyemi et al. 2011).

In cultural terms, adapting a questionnaire, rather than
developing a new questionnaire, could be economical and
could facilitate future comparisons among populations. The
SF-8 has been translated into many languages with good
reliability including English adaptation (Lefante et al. 2005;
Turner-Bowker et al. 2013). Culturally, it is important that
this health survey be translated into more languages in order
to reach full understanding (most especially where English is
not a local or official language) and be able to compare the
results across different language and cultural groups and
from one country to another.

The Setswana language is one of the local and official
languages, spoken by 3 million Setswana people, one of the
larger black minorities who span South Africa, of which
the majority reside in the North-West province and Botswana (South African History Online 2015). However, the
translated Setswana version of the SF-8 and aspects of
validity and reliability have not been demonstrated in this
population. The purpose of this study was to translate and
cross-culturally adapt the original South African SF-8 and to
evaluate aspects of validity and reliability of the Setswana-
translated and culturally adapted version of the SF-8.

Research design and methodology

The aspect of validity and test–retest reliability of the
Setswana-translated and English versions of the SF-8 was
assessed by repeated administration of the questionnaire
with a 4-week interval.

Participants

A convenience sample of 60 participants was recruited
among community dwellers in Ikageng, a low-resource
area in Potchefstroom, North West province of South Africa
(SA). Healthy Setswana-speaking men and women, aged
35–65 years, were included in the study. Persons were
considered apparently healthy when they were not taking
any chronic medication or suffering from any known diseases
or lung conditions. All the participants were requested to
complete an informed consent form before participation in
the study.

Measuring instruments

Demographic information

A demographic tool was used to elicit information regarding
the participants’ date of birth, age, sex, marital status,
employment status, level of education, type of house in
which they lived and household income and was completed
by the participants themselves.

Questionnaires

SF-8 health-related quality of life survey

The SF-8 questionnaire, referred to as the SF-8, was selected
based on its simplicity for the administrator and participants,
easy translations, cultural adaptation and the establishment
of psychometric properties (Roberts et al. 2008). The SF-8
consists of eight questions that measure the following broad
domains: general health (GH), physical functioning (PF),
role physical (RP), bodily pain (BP), vitality (VT), social
functioning (SF), role emotional (RE) and mental health
(MH). It has two component measurements, namely physical
component summary (PCS) and mental component summary
(MCS). The scoring in SF-8 was based on this two-component
summary and was calculated by weighting each SF-8 item
using a norm-based procedure in the instrument guidelines.
The SF-8 was a five- and six-item Likert scale questionnaire,
of which one response per question could be selected (Ware
et al. 2001). The original South African English SF-8 was
obtained from Quality Metric Incorporated (an Optimum
Insight Company; USA) with licence number QM021030.

Translation and cultural adaptation

The SF-8 was translated and adapted according to forward
and backward translation guidelines (Guermazi et al. 2012).
Two separate translations into Setswana were made by two native Setswana persons with more than 5 years of experience in the process, both of whom were fluent in both English and Setswana and experienced in translation. The SF-8 was then translated back into English by two students, one Psychology student, and the other from the Setswana department of North-West University. Both translators were fluent in Setswana and English and also experienced in translation. The translators were familiar with the purpose of the translation as well as the concept and constructs of the SF-8. The translation and back-translations were compared and inconsistencies resolved by consensus. To determine the ideal and item sameness, a correction group was consulted for the appraisal of the back-translated questionnaire, which was conducted by one of the study team members. The purpose was to make sure that the meanings and the concepts of the questionnaire items remained the same (Roberts et al. 2008). The study team member who appraised the translation was also fluent in both the Setswana and English languages. The participants were requested to complete the questionnaire twice exactly 4 weeks apart. A day interval was allowed during the data collection between the translated Setswana version and the English version. The Cronbach’s alpha correlation coefficient was set at above 0.70 for reliability.

**Pretesting of the Setswana Short Form-8 translated questionnaire**

Pretesting of the Setswana-translated SF-8 questionnaire was done to check accuracy of translation and was piloted on a sample of Setswana-speaking people. Twenty participants who were not part of the main survey were selected based on convenience sampling from the same area from which the participants were to be recruited. Furthermore, answers to the translated questionnaire were provided by these participants in order to verify if the questions were acceptable or not (Guermazi et al. 2012). A group review was held by the study team, who were also part of the data collectors used for pretesting to check for errors or inconsistencies (Roberts et al. 2008) and who were fluent in both Setswana and English. Finally, the current Setswana version of SF-8 was produced based on the forward and backward translation, with a final review conducted by the study team (Roberts et al. 2008). Based on the fact that the questions were responded to without any difficulty it was assumed that the participants understood the questions (Roberts et al. 2008).

**Psychometric properties of the scale**

The psychometric testing of the Setswana-translated version of SF-8 was based on data collected from a sample of 60 participants.

**Face validity**

The satisfactoriness of the items (as well as their acceptability) was studied, while the time needed to complete the questionnaire was noted (Guermazi et al. 2012).

**Procedure for the administration of questionnaires**

Before data collection, permission to administer the questionnaires was granted by the Department of Health, North West province. Information flyers inviting community members to participate in this research study were distributed in the community via churches and recreation facilities where community members meet on a regular basis. Upon arrival at 09:00, the participants were allowed to gather inside the community hall. Letters of informed consent were distributed and the purpose of the study explained. Participants interested in partaking in the study returned the following day and signed the informed consent letter. The purpose of the Setswana-translated SF-8 HRQoL survey was explained to the participants in their mother tongue by the team of researchers, who were well grounded in communicating in the Setswana language. Demographic information was collected within 5–10 min by each participant. The SF-8 was then completed by each participant in private within 5 min. The participants were asked to place the completed surveys in a holder near the door upon leaving the room. Participants who needed clarification on some of the questions were assisted by the researcher supervising the process. The participants were requested to return the following day in order to complete the English version of the SF-8. After completion of the English version of the SF-8, participants were requested to return in 4 weeks, at which time the surveys were repeated by all participants again 1 day apart for the Setswana and English. A token of appreciation was given to each participant who returned to complete the questionnaire 4 weeks later.

**Data analyses**

Data analyses were carried out using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 22.0 (IBM Corporation NY) statistical software package. All statistical tests were two-tailed and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Descriptive statistics, such as mean, standard deviation and frequencies reported as percentages, were used to examine the demographic information of the participants. Internal consistency was assessed using the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient. A coefficient alpha of 0.70 or greater was generally considered to be acceptable (Guermazi et al. 2012). Test–retest reliability was estimated by calculating the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Furthermore, the concurrent validity was also assessed using the non-parametric Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Spearman’s rank coefficient values were interpreted as follows: excellent relationship \( \rho \geq 0.91 \); good relationship \( \rho = 0.90–0.71 \); moderate relationship \( \rho = 0.70–0.51 \); fair relationship \( \rho = 0.50–0.31 \) and little or no relationship \( \rho \leq 0.30 \) (Guermazi et al. 2012).

**Ethical considerations**

Approval for the study was received from the Health Research Ethics Committee – Humans, Faculty of Health Sciences of North-West University, Potchefstroom Campus (NWU-00002-14-A1).
Results

The basic demographic characteristics of the participants in this study are presented in Table 1. A sample of 60 (men, n = 26, and women, n = 34) participated in the study. The mean age of the participants in the study was 45.4 ± 9.4 years with an age range of 35–65 years. More than half of the participants were female (58.3%). The mean years of working were 14.2 ± 11.1 years. The majority of participants were not married (38.3%) and not working (56.7%). The majority of the respondents (60%) completed high school, while 56.7% were unskilled. The largest percentage of the participants (63%) earned less than 1000 rand every month, while 35% earned between 1000 and 5000 rand, and 1.7% more than 5000 rand per month, per household. More than half (58.3%) of the participants lived in a brick house, while 1.7% lived in a flat or apartment and the remaining 40% in an informal type of house (shack).

Translation

The forward translation of the SF-8 was carried out by two people, with its synthesis leading to a unique version.

TABLE 1: Demographic characteristics of the participants.

| Demographic variables | Mean (SD) | Frequency (%) |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------|
| Age                   | 45.4 (9.39) |               |
| Gender                |          |               |
| Men                   | 26 (43.3)  | 26 (43.3)     |
| Women                 | 34 (56.7)  | 34 (56.7)     |
| Race                  |          |               |
| Black                 | 57 (95.0)  | 26 (43.3)     |
| Mixed race            | 3 (5.0)    | 14 (23.3)     |
| Marital status        |          |               |
| Never married         | 23 (38.3)  | 14 (23.3)     |
| Currently married     | 16 (26.7)  | 14 (23.3)     |
| Living with partner   | 14 (23.3)  | 14 (23.3)     |
| Widowed               | 2 (3.3)    | 2 (3.3)       |
| Separated             | 4 (6.7)    | 4 (6.7)       |
| Divorced              | 1 (1.7)    | 1 (1.7)       |
| Education level       |          |               |
| None                  | 3 (5.0)    | 3 (5.0)       |
| Primary school        | 20 (33.3)  | 20 (33.3)     |
| High school           | 36 (60.0)  | 36 (60.0)     |
| College/university    | 1 (1.7)    | 1 (1.7)       |
| Current employment    |          |               |
| Full-time             | 14 (23.3)  | 14 (23.3)     |
| Shift                 | 4 (6.7)    | 4 (6.7)       |
| Part time (>10 hours/week) | 1 (1.7) | 1 (1.7) |
| Casual work           | 7 (11.7)   | 7 (11.7)      |
| Not working           | 34 (56.7)  | 34 (56.7)     |
| Occupation level      |          |               |
| Professional          | 4 (6.7)    | 4 (6.7)       |
| Skilled               | 22 (36.7)  | 22 (36.7)     |
| Unskilled             | 34 (56.7)  | 34 (56.7)     |
| Net income per month  |          |               |
| < R1000               | 38 (63.3)  | 20 (33.3)     |
| R1000–R5000           | 21 (35.0)  | 1 (1.7)       |
| > R5000               | 1 (1.7)    | 1 (1.7)       |
| Type of residence     |          |               |
| Brick detached housing| 35 (58.3)  | 20 (33.3)     |
| Brick cluster living  | 1 (1.7)    | 1 (1.7)       |
| Informal (shack)      | 24 (40.0)  | 14 (23.3)     |
| Years of work         | 14.2 (11.1)|               |

SD, standard deviation.

Furthermore, the two backward translations of this version of the SF-8 were compared to the original scale (Guermazi et al. 2012).

Pretesting

Twenty participants in total, with the mean age of 47 years (min. 35 and max. 64 years) participated at this stage, after which minor corrections were made to the instrument and a final translated version of the SF-8 was acquired.

Metric properties of the scale

Sixty participants (26 men and 34 women) answered the questionnaire twice (4-week recall). Table 2 shows the test–retest reliability of both translated Setswana and original English version of the SF-8 items.

Acceptability

The face validity indicated the acceptability of the SF-8 by participants based on time to completion and understanding of the questions. It took participants an average of 3 min and 30 s to complete each questionnaire (minimum of 2 min and maximum of 5 min). The entire SF-8 was accepted by the participants based on the fact that all questions were completed with limited explanation required and no complaints received from them after the completion of the SF-8. The South African Setswana version of SF-8 was composed of the same number of items and dimensions as the SF-8 South African original English version.

Test–retest reliability

The results of the test–retest reliability for the translated Setswana and original English version of SF-8 are presented in Table 2. The reliability coefficients were moderate for the MH (ρ = 0.60), SF (ρ = 0.56) and RE (ρ = 0.50) domains, as well as the MCS (ρ = 0.50) and PCS (ρ = 0.45) components, but fair for the RP (ρ = 0.43), BP (ρ = 0.43), GH (ρ = 0.42), PF (ρ = 0.41) and VT (ρ = 0.38) domains on the translated Setswana version of SF-8. Except for the domains of GH (ρ = 0.51) and VT (ρ = 0.52) on the original English version of SF-8, all other domains and components demonstrated similar reliability values to the translated SF-8 Setswana version.

TABLE 2: Test–retest reliability of Short Form-8 items in Setswana and South African English.

| Variables               | ρ       | p     | ρ       | p     |
|-------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| Q1 – General health     | 0.42*   | 0.001 | 0.51*   | 0.000 |
| Q2 – Physical functioning| 0.41*   | 0.001 | 0.42*   | 0.001 |
| Q3 – Role physical      | 0.43*   | 0.001 | 0.50*   | 0.000 |
| Q4 – Bodily pain        | 0.43*   | 0.001 | 0.44*   | 0.000 |
| Q5 – Vitality           | 0.38*   | 0.003 | 0.52*   | 0.000 |
| Q6 – Social functioning | 0.56*   | 0.000 | 0.53*   | 0.000 |
| Q7 – Role emotional     | 0.50*   | 0.000 | 0.51*   | 0.000 |
| Q8 – Mental health      | 0.60*   | 0.000 | 0.61*   | 0.000 |
| PCS                     | 0.45*   | 0.000 | 0.63*   | 0.000 |
| MCS                     | 0.50*   | 0.000 | 0.61*   | 0.000 |

*, correlation is significant at p ≤ 0.01 levels (two-tailed).

PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary; SA, South Africa.
Internal consistency

The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was also calculated to assess the aspect of the reliability of both the Setswana and original English version of SF-8 to determine the consistency between the two versions. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficients applied for the SF-8 Setswana version were 0.87 and 0.87 for measurements taken at the first and second time points. For the original SA English SF-8 version the Cronbach’s alpha coefficients were 0.86 and 0.89 for the first and second measures, respectively.

Concurrent validity

Spearman’s correlation coefficient ranged from a moderate ($\rho = 0.72$) to excellent ($\rho = 0.91$) relationship. These results indicate that the concurrent validity of the Setswana SF-8 was good. Total SF from the Setswana SF-8 version was significantly and highly correlated with the total SF from the original SA English SF-8 ($\rho = 0.91, p < 0.001$). Role emotional of the Setswana SF-8 also revealed a very high positive and significant relationship when compared with the original English SF-8 ($\rho = 0.90, p < 0.001$). Furthermore, encouraging significant correlations were found for RP ($\rho = 0.72, p < 0.001$), BP ($\rho = 0.76, p < 0.001$), PF ($\rho = 0.82, p < 0.001$), MH ($\rho = 0.83, p < 0.001$), VT ($\rho = 0.82, p < 0.001$) and the two-component summary of PCS ($\rho = 0.83, p < 0.001$) and MCS ($\rho = 0.87, p < 0.001$) when compared with the original English version of the SF-8. There were no important gender differences in the correlation coefficients of the entire item between the Setswana SF-8 and the original English version (Table 3).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the aspect of validity and reliability of the Setswana translation of the SF-8 HRQoL survey and, alongside, to check the reliability of the SF-8 SA Standard English version in adult Setswana-speaking community dwellers living in Potchefstroom, South Africa. This study mainly described the successive steps in translating and adapting the SF-8 into Setswana psychometric properties for use among the Setswana population of SA. Furthermore, the reliability of the English version also proved to be good as a standardised measure instrument. This study showed that all the consented participants were able to read and write, which made self-administration possible.
the present study also corroborated the findings of Guermazi et al. (2012), who studied the translation in Arabic and validated the SF-36 (the SF-8 is a shorter version of the SF-36) quality of life index, in 130 participants (50 healthy subjects, 80 subjects with chronic disease [40 patients with bipolar disorders, and 40 patients with chronic renal failure]) in a Tunisian Arabic population aged between 16 and 80 years. The results showed that the translated and adapted scale had good and acceptable reliability and validity. Undeniably contrasting of findings from the present study with previous studies should be made with mindfulness, because the majority of previous studies were conducted in a different socio-economic background and settings than the present study.

### Strength and limitations

One of the main strengths of this study was that this was the first time the South African English version of the SF-8 was translated into South African Setswana for use in SA. Because this study represented the first of its kind, more studies need to be conducted to establish the validity and reliability of the Setswana SF-8 version. The main limitation of this study was the use of a small sample size. The small sample size could reduce the statistical power and limit generalisation of the findings. In addition, the non-evaluation of construct validity could be considered another limitation of the study. The acceptability of the SF-8 in this population was not sufficiently studied and is considered a limitation that should be addressed in future research. Issues related to large sample size and the other aspect of validity should be considered in future studies.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, we translated the SF-8 index into Setswana and adapted it to suit the Setswana people. This study found that the Setswana SF-8 version was feasible, acceptable and had acceptable concurrent validity (Table 4) and fair to moderate evidence of test–retest reliability for assessing the HRQoL in an adult Setswana population. Social functioning demonstrated an excellent concurrent validity but only moderate test–retest reliability. The translated Setswana version of the SF-8 for the Setswana people was found to be a valid and reliable instrument for determining HRQoL in community-dwelling persons. More studies are needed to confirm such an hypothesis with a large population sample.
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**TABLE 4: Concurrent validity of Setswana Short Form-8.**

| Setswana SF-8 vs. original SF-8 | Total (n = 60) | p | Women (n = 34) | p | Men (n = 26) | p |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---|----------------|---|-------------|---|
| G1 – General health             | 0.83*        | 0.001 | 0.90*          | 0.001 | 0.73*        | 0.001 |
| G2 – Physical functioning       | 0.82*        | 0.001 | 0.91*          | 0.001 | 0.70*        | 0.001 |
| G3 – Role physical              | 0.76*        | 0.001 | 0.76*          | 0.001 | 0.70*        | 0.001 |
| G4 – Bodily pain                | 0.76*        | 0.001 | 0.82*          | 0.001 | 0.70*        | 0.001 |
| G5 – Vitality                   | 0.82*        | 0.001 | 0.85*          | 0.001 | 0.83*        | 0.001 |
| G6 – Social functioning         | 0.91*        | 0.001 | 0.91*          | 0.001 | 0.94*        | 0.001 |
| G7 – Role emotional             | 0.90*        | 0.001 | 0.98*          | 0.001 | 0.80*        | 0.001 |
| G8 – Mental health              | 0.83*        | 0.001 | 0.90*          | 0.001 | 0.80*        | 0.001 |
| PCS                             | 0.83*        | 0.001 | 0.84*          | 0.001 | 0.81*        | 0.001 |
| MCS                             | 0.87*        | 0.001 | 0.90*          | 0.001 | 0.84*        | 0.001 |

PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary; SF-8, Short Form-8; * p < 0.01 level (two-tailed).
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