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SUMMARY

MOV10L1 and its paralog MOV10 are evolutionally conserved RNA helicases involved in distinct RNA regulatory pathways. The testis-specific MOV10L1 is essential for spermatogenesis and PIWI-interacting RNAs biogenesis, whereas MOV10 is ubiquitous and multifunctional. Although both proteins have been implied to correlate with RNA G-quadruplex (RG4) in vivo, their capabilities in binding and resolving RG4 and their respective biological significance remain unclear. Herein, we comprehensively characterize and compare the activities of these two helicases on various nucleic acid substrates in vitro, with a focus on RG4 structure. We find that both MOV10L1 and MOV10 are able to resolve RG4, with MOV10L1 being more efficient in that. In contrast to MOV10, MOV10L1 prefers to bind to a junction between single-stranded RNA and RG4, which is mediated by both its N and C termini. Furthermore, we show that RG4 unwinding by MOV10L1 facilitates the cleavage of this specific RNA structure by an endonuclease.

INTRODUCTION

RNA helicases are ubiquitous motor enzymes that participate in nearly all aspects of RNA metabolism (Bourgeois et al., 2016; Jankowsky, 2011). Despite their importance, only a few eukaryotic RNA helicases have been functionally and kinetically characterized in vitro (Pyle, 2008). The mammalian paralogs Moloney leukemia virus 10 (MOV10) and MOV10-like 1 (MOV10L1) are RNA helicases belonging to superfamily 1 (SF1), and they exhibit 5’ to 3’ RNA helicase activity in unwinding RNA duplex in vitro (Gregersen et al., 2014; Vourekas et al., 2015). MOV10 is expressed in multiple tissues, and its diverse functions, such as retroelement inhibition and mRNA modulation, have been widely reported (Choi et al., 2018; Goodier et al., 2012; Gregersen et al., 2014). On the other hand, MOV10L1 is a testis-specific RNA helicase with a critical function restricted to male reproduction (Frost et al., 2010; Zheng and Wang, 2012; Zheng et al., 2010). The MOV10L1 helicase has been demonstrated to associate with PIWI proteins and control PIWI-interacting RNA (piRNA) biogenesis for retrotransposon silencing and protect the genome integrity of post-meiotic germ cells (Zheng and Wang, 2012; Zheng et al., 2010). Its point mutations K778A in the ATP-binding motif and DE888AA in the ATP hydrolysis motif cause loss of function of MOV10L1 in piRNA biogenesis and male fertility (Fu et al., 2016; Vourekas et al., 2015). However, the molecular mechanisms and functions of MOV10L1 in piRNA biogenesis are still obscure, although studies on mammalian piRNAs have provided a framework as to how piRNAs are generated (Fu and Wang, 2014; Hirakata and Siomi, 2016; Ku and Lin, 2014; Weick and Miska, 2014). Primary precursor transcripts require at least two critical steps to generate piRNAs. A first step with endonucleolytic cleavages on the primary piRNA precursor generates piRNA precursor intermediate fragments (PPIFs), which are loaded onto PIWI proteins that stabilize their 5’ ends (Vourekas et al., 2012, 2015). This is followed by a second step with 3’ to 5’ exonucleolytic trimming by PNLDC1 (Ding et al., 2017; Nishida et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2017). Although MOV10L1 was proposed to mediate the initial step in piRNA processing when it binds the primary precursor transcripts to facilitate their endonucleolytic cleavage (Vourekas et al., 2015), a deepened mechanistic understanding of its molecular function as a helicase is crucial in piRNA biogenesis.

An intriguing feature shared by MOV10 and MOV10L1 from cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (CLIP)-seq analyses is that its preferable binding sequences harbor clusters of guanine (G) residues (Kenny et al., 2014; Vourekas et al., 2015). Their difference lies in the fact that MOV10L1-bound piRNA precursor transcripts that originate from genomic piRNA clusters are more enriched in G residues compared with those from other areas like MOV10-bound mRNAs (Vourekas et al., 2015). It has been long known that G-rich sequences in DNA and RNA have a propensity to fold into stable secondary structures termed
G-quadruplexes (G4s), which are based on the stacking of several G-quartets with each layer consisting of four guanine bases held together by Hoogsteen-type hydrogen bonding (Bochman et al., 2012; Millevoi et al., 2012). Increasing evidence indicates that intramolecular RNA G-quadruplex (RG4) motifs are biologically relevant structures, and their occurrence can play vital roles in many key cellular functions, including telomere homeostasis, gene expression, and pre-mRNA processing (Agarwala et al., 2015; Bugaut and Balasubramanian, 2012; Cammas and Millevoi, 2017; Fay et al., 2017; Millevoi et al., 2012; Rhodes and Lipps, 2015; Simone et al., 2015). Even though several helicases and nucleases have been shown to remove DNA G-quadruplex (DG4) structure and regulate cellular processes (Baran et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2018; Harrington et al., 1997; Mendoza et al., 2016; Sauer and Paeschke, 2017; Sun et al., 1998, 1999), only a few RNA helicases, so far, have been reported to be capable of unwinding RG4 structures in vitro (Booy et al., 2012; Chakraborty and Grosse, 2011; Creacy et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2019; McRae et al., 2017; Ribeiro de Almeida et al., 2018). One of the reasons is that RG4 is a thermodynamically stable structure compared with other forms of RNA, thereby in requirement of specialized RNA helicase to resolve it (Hardin et al., 2000). The abundance of RG4s located within piRNA precursor along with an intimate coupling of piRNA precursor processing with RG4 raises the possibility that RG4 may exist as a structural mediator for the endonucleolytic cleavage of piRNA precursors, and MOV10L1 may take responsibility for resolving RG4 to facilitate the cleavage. However, whether and how the MOV10L1 helicase resolves the bona fide RG4 structures is unknown. In addition, in the unified model of PIWI-guided phased piRNA biogenesis, a question also remains how such a phasing process gets smoothly through a primary piRNA precursor bearing multiple RG4 obstacles (Gainetdinov et al., 2018; Vourekas and Mourelatos, 2018).

In this study, we employed robust biochemical assays to test the capability of MOV10L1 and MOV10 in binding and unwinding of RG4 structure in vitro. We found that even though both of them can unwind RG4, there are a few striking differences between them. MOV10L1 could take advantage of its unique features in RG4 binding and unwinding to mediate piRNA biogenesis. Last, a proof-of-concept assay with MOV10L1 and an endonuclease supports a model that they might cooperatively unwind and cleave RG4 structures in the initial step of piRNA biogenesis.

RESULTS

MOV10L1 Requires ATP and 5’ ssRNA Tail to Resolve RG4 In Vitro

Helicase transduces the energy derived from NTP hydrolysis to unwind the duplex form of RNA and DNA (Singleton et al., 2007). First, we aimed to identify the optimal NTP as well as Mg²⁺ concentration for the unwinding activity of purified full-length MOV10L1 protein in vitro (Figure S1). Previous studies showed that the MOV10L1 helicase can unwind 5’-tailed short duplex RNA with a directionality of 5’ to 3’ (Vourekas et al., 2013). Thus, we designed a duplex RNA bearing an 18-nt 5’ overhang (referred to as 5’-tailed duplex substrate) to measure the helicase activity of MOV10L1 (Table S1 and Figure S2A). Comparison of the fraction of unwound substrate revealed most efficient unwinding in the presence of ATP and slightly lower but comparable unwinding efficiency in the presence of CTP or UTP, whereas GTP and all dNTPs supported unwinding activity of MOV10L1 on this substrate. The unwinding fraction did not show a significant change in the range of 0.2 mM–2.5 mM Mg²⁺ (Figure S2C). In light of these results, we chose to use 2 mM ATP with 2 mM Mg²⁺ as the power source in the rest of our unwinding experiments.

As MOV10L1 was speculated to be involved in the unwinding of RG4 structure in piRNA precursor (Vourekas et al., 2015), we then examined that in vitro. To do so, we adopted a previously published approach with modifications to study the MOV10L1-mediated conversion of an intramolecular RG4 into a double-stranded (ds) RNA (Booy et al., 2015). Briefly, a 5’-tailed (16 nt) RG4 (referred to as 5’-tailed RG4 substrate) was formed by using a cy3-labeled RNA oligonucleotide (Figure 1A and Table S1, Transparent Methods). The circular dichroism (CD) spectrum of the sample showed a positive peak at 265 nm and a negative peak at 236 nm, which is the characteristic CD signature of an RNA quadruplex structure (Figure 1B) (Tang and Shafer, 2006), indicating that this substrate was properly folded into RG4 structure. MOV10L1 was pre-incubated with this substrate in the presence of Mg²⁺ and the unfolding was initiated by adding ATP. An unlabeled 25-nt single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) trap with 16 inconsecutive nucleotides complementary to the RG4 sequence was introduced along with ATP to prevent the unfolded RNA substrate from refolding (Figure 1A). As the unfolded RG4 substrate partially hybridized with the ssRNA trap, the resulting partial duplex is expected to show reduced mobility on a non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel by which the MOV10L1-mediated unwinding of RG4 can be monitored. Control experiments verified that this RG4
substrate could not be spontaneously unwound or converted to dsRNA in the presence of even 10 folds of the ssRNA trap in our experimental condition (Figure 1C). Unwinding assays indicated that MOV10L1 was indeed capable of resolving RG4 structure. The ability of MOV10L1 to unwind RG4 was dependent upon protein concentration (Figure 1C).

To find out whether the observed RG4 unwinding was caused by MOV10L1’s helicase activity instead of other mechanisms, we sought to determine whether this activity depends on certain parameters that are compatible with a helicase mechanism. First, we tested the requirement of ATP hydrolysis as an energy source for RG4 unwinding. We performed a similar experiment in which the substrate was incubated with the MOV10L1 helicase in the presence of either ATP or its non-hydrolyzable analog, adenylyl-imidodiphosphate (AMP-PNP) or ATPγS. We found that RG4 was unwound and converted to dsRNA in the presence of ATP, AMP-PNP, or ATPγS were shown as well.

(E) Representative gels of MOV10L1-mediated unwinding reactions with RG4-only substrate (no tails) with increasing time (0, 10, 30, 60, 120, 180 min) at 37°C in the presence of 10-fold ssRNA trap. M denotes marker prepared by replacing 100 KCl with 100 mM LiCl in the RG4 formation buffer. NE denotes no enzyme. All experiments were repeated three times.

substrate could not be spontaneously unwound or converted to dsRNA in the presence of even 10 folds of the ssRNA trap in our experimental condition (Figure 1C). Unwinding assays indicated that MOV10L1 was indeed capable of resolving RG4 structure. The ability of MOV10L1 to unwind RG4 was dependent upon protein concentration (Figure 1C).
neither of these mutants exhibited RG4 unwinding activity in the presence of ATP (Figure 1D). These results confirm that ATP hydrolysis is required for MOV10L1 unwinding RG4.

Besides ATP, unwinding of the RNA duplex structure by MOV10L1 requires the substrate bearing a 5’ ssRNA tail (Vourekas et al., 2015). We then examined the necessity of the tail for MOV10L1 in RG4 unwinding. To do so, we designed a substrate containing RG4 sequence only (referred to as RG4-only substrate). A similar RG4-unwinding experiment was conducted as previously described with this substrate. In stark contrast with the 5’-tailed RG4 substrate, we found that the RG4-only substrate could not be unwound by MOV10L1, indicating the necessity of the 5’ ssRNA tail in MOV10L1-mediated RG4 unwinding (Figure 1E). Overall, we conclude that the MOV10L1 helicase can unwind RG4 structure in the presence of ATP and an ssRNA tail.

**MOV10L1 Unwinds RG4 More Efficiently Than MOV10**

Next, we sought to characterize RG4-unwinding kinetics by MOV10L1 and compared its unwinding efficiency with those of other RNA substrates or its paralog MOV10. We first conducted the RG4-unwinding assay in the presence of MOV10L1 as a function of time. As expected, the fraction of unwound RG4 substrate was increased over time (Figure 2A). For comparison, we also performed a series of unwinding experiments with 5’-tailed RNA duplex as well as forked RNA duplex in the presence of MOV10L1 (Figures S3A and S3B). Intriguingly, the fraction of unwound products with RG4 substrate was relatively higher than that with 5’-tailed duplex substrate, and comparable to that with forked RNA duplex substrate, although the reaction rates were slow for all three substrates (Figures 2C and 2E).

### Figure 2. Unwinding Kinetics of MOV10L1 and MOV10 on Various RNA Substrates

(A and B) Representative gels of 5’-tailed RG4 unwinding by the MOV10L1 helicase (A) and the MOV10 helicase (B) with increasing time (0, 10, 30, 60, 120, 180 min) at 37°C. (C and D) Quantitative analyses of MOV10L1 (C) and MOV10 (D) unwinding of 5’-tailed RG4, 5’-tailed RNA duplex, and forked duplex. Data shown are the means ± SD of three independent experiments. Values were compared with RG4 unwinding using Student’s t test. *p < 0.05. (E) The values for the amplitudes (A) and rate constants (k) are tabulated.
As MOV10 shares sequence similarity, to some extent, with MOV10L1, we next interrogated whether MOV10 might also be able to resolve RG4 in vitro. To test that, we performed the RG4 unwinding assay with purified MOV10 protein (Figure S1A). We found that the MOV10 protein can also unwind RG4 and the fraction of unwound substrate was increased over time (Figure 2B, Lanes 2–7). Control experiments with AMP-PNP showed no unwound products, confirming that ATP was required for MOV10's RG4 unwinding (Figure 2B, Lane 8). In comparison, both the maximum RG4-unwinding amplitudes and rates of MOV10 were significantly lower than those with MOV10L1 (Figures 2D and 2E). These observations can be explained as MOV10's unwinding activity is relatively weak or MOV10L1 preferentially unwinds RG4. To testify these two hypotheses, we compared MOV10’s unwinding activities on the 5'-tailed RNA duplex and the forked RNA duplex substrates with MOV10L1 (Figures S3C and S3D). The unwinding amplitudes of both proteins were comparable on these two substrates and were almost twice that of MOV10 with the RG4 substrate, although the reaction rates of MOV10 were always slower than those of MOV10L1 (Figures 2B–2D). Given that RG4 structure is more stable than the duplex structures (Hardin et al., 2000), the fact that MOV10L1 unwinds RG4 comparably with other duplex substrates and more efficiently than MOV10 suggests that MOV10L1, compared with MOV10, prefers to unwind RG4 structure.

MOV10L1 Preferentially Binds to an ssRNA-RG4 Junction

To gain further insight into the mechanisms of MOV10L1 in RG4 unwinding, we then investigated how 5'-ssRNA regulates MOV10L1 unwinding. As is the case for some helicases, they prefer to associate with ss nucleic acids first and unwind higher-order structures after translocating to the fork junction (Johnson et al., 2007). Alternatively, helicases may utilize their ability to recognize their substrates in a structure-specific manner, such as fork junction and D loop structures, before unwinding (Anand and Khan, 2004; Popuri et al., 2008). Thus the inability of MOV10L1 to unwind RG4 only substrate could be possibly attributed to the lack of either an ssRNA tail as a loading region or a specific junction formed by ssRNA and RG4. To testify these two hypotheses, we examined the binding affinity of MOV10L1 to various types of RNA substrates using an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). We first incubated the 5'-tailed RG4 substrate with purified MOV10L1 in the presence of AMP-PNP. As expected, a band shift was observed upon gel electrophoresis as a result of MOV10L1/RG4 complex formation (Figure 3A). In contrast, the complex was not observed with the RG4-only substrate, suggesting that the inability of MOV10L1 to unwind this substrate is at least partially due to the weak binding of MOV10L1 to the template (Figure 3A). Surprisingly, MOV10L1 also exhibited no binding affinity to an 18-nt ssRNA substrate either (Figure 3A). These results indicate that association of MOV10L1 with RNA substrates necessitates the existence of both the ssRNA and RG4 and strongly suggest that MOV10L1 might specifically bind at a junction between ssRNA and RG4 structure. Next, we repeated the same EMSA assays with the MOV10 protein and found that it exhibited similar binding behavior on RG4-only and 5'-tailed RG4 substrates with MOV10L1 (Figure 3B). However, surprisingly, in stark contrast to MOV10L1, MOV10/ssRNA complex was also detected (Figure 3B). These results proved that the binding preference of MOV10L1 on a fork might be quite unique and is not conserved in its family.

To further verify MOV10L1’s preferential binding to a junction, we performed similar experiments with various RNA duplex substrates. As expected, MOV10L1/RNA complexes were observed with either the 5'-tailed or the forked RNA duplex substrate (Figure S4). However, no binding complex was detected with a blunt duplex RNA substrate (no ssRNA tail) (Figure S4). Clearly, an ssRNA tail is a necessity for MOV10L1 binding to RNA substrate.

Taken together, we conclude that MOV10L1 has a structure-specific binding manner, and the presence of an unpaired 5’ ssRNA region promotes its binding to the structured RNA substrate.

Neither MOV10L1 or MOV10 Can Unwind DG4

Many helicases have been found to possess dual unwinding activities, acting on both DNA and RNA substrates. Thus far, there are seven helicases exhibiting RG4-unwinding activity and two of them have been identified to unwind DG4 structure as well (Booy et al., 2012; Chakraboryt and Grosse, 2011; Gao et al., 2019). We then asked whether MOV10L1 can also work on DG4 substrate. To examine that, a cy3-labeled DG4 substrate bearing a 5’ ssDNA tail (referred to as 5'-tailed DG4 substrate) was used. This substrate was pre-incubated with MOV10L1, and unfolding assay was initiated by adding ATP and an unlabeled 25-nt ssDNA trap with 16 nt complementary to the DG4 sequence. Control experiments confirmed that this DG4 substrate could not be spontaneously resolved in the presence of the ssDNA trap (Figure 4A,
However, we also did not observe obvious DG4-unwinding products in the presence of MOV10L1 and ATP (Figure 4A). One of the explanations for that could be MOV10L1 preferentially tracks along the backbone of ssRNA over ssDNA. To verify that, we designed a family of 5'-tailed dsDNA and RNA-DNA hybrid substrates (Figures 4B and 4C). The unwinding reactions were carried out as described previously. We first examined the unwinding activity of MOV10L1 on DNA-RNA hybrid substrate in which tailed strand is RNA (referred to as D/RNA). MOV10L1 exhibited nearly no unwinding activity in the absence of ATP but efficient unwinding activity on this template when ATP was present, and the fraction of unwound substrates was similar to the one with the dsRNA substrate (Figures 4B and 4D). We then examined the unwinding activities of MOV10L1 on RNA-DNA hybrid (referred to as R/DNA) substrates in which tailed strands are DNA. We found that, compared with substrates containing RNA tails, MOV10L1 displayed very weak unwinding activities on both substrates (Figures 4C and 4D). We also examined MOV10's unwinding activities with all these substrates, and similar results were recorded (Figures S5 and 4D). In summary, MOV10L1/MOV10 is able to displace short DNA and RNA strands, provided that the loading or tracking strand is ssRNA. Neither of them can resolve DG4, possibly due to their preference of ssRNA over ssDNA.

Both the N and C Termini of MOV10L1 Are Required for RG4 Unwinding

It is widely known that besides the helicase core domain, the helicase function often needs to be activated through extra domains (Fiorini et al., 2013; Rudolph and Klostermeier, 2015). The N- and C-terminal
domains of helicases often regulate their substrate specificity and cellular functions. To address whether the ancillary domains of MOV10L1 also regulate its RG4 unwinding, we purified the helicase core domain of MOV10L1 helicase (referred to as MOV10L1-HD) and examined its unwinding activities on the 5’-tailed RG4 substrate (Figure 5A and Table S2). Probing for the FLAG tag by western blot confirmed that all protein mutants were expressed at roughly equivalent levels (Figure S1B). Using a helicase-coupled ATPase assay, we confirmed that in contrast with K778A, the wild-type and all truncated MOV10L1 variants exhibited pronounced RG4-stimulated ATPase activity, confirming that the truncated MOV10L1 mutants were folded into active conformations (Figure 5B). We carried out similar RG4-unwinding experiments to that described above except with the MOV10L1-HD protein. We found that MOV10L1-HD exhibited no unwinding activity on this substrate at all (Figure 5C). This result indicates that either the N- or the C-terminal domain is necessary for MOV10L1 to resolve RG4. To test which domain is indispensable in RG4 unwinding, we further purified MOV10L1 missing either the N or C terminus (referred to as MOV10L1-DN and MOV10L1-DC, Figure 4. Unwinding Activities of MOV10L1 and MOV10 on Various Substrates

(A) A representative gel of MOV10L1-mediated DG4-unwinding reactions at 0, 10, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min. Marker (denoted as M) was prepared as described in the Transparent Methods section. (B and C) MOV10L1 unwound different duplex substrates (D/RNA, dsRNA, R/DNA, and dsDNA, 10 nM each) with or without ATP at 37°C for 180 min. dsNAs and ssNAs denote double-stranded and single-stranded nucleic strands, respectively. (D) The quantitative analyses of gels in (B) and (C). Data shown are the means ± SD of three independent experiments. Values were compared using Student’s t test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
respectively, Figure 5A and Table S2) and examined their unwinding activities on RG4 structure. Remarkably, neither of them was able to resolve RG4 structure in our experimental condition, suggesting that both termini are indispensable for MOV10L1 unwinding RG4 (Figure 5C). To further confirm the above conclusions, we also examined the unwinding activities of these mutants on the 5′-tailed RNA duplex substrate. Consistently, we barely observed unwinding activities of these three mutants with this substrate (Figure S6).

The ancillary domains of helicase are often found to regulate helicase unwinding activities by mediating its association with substrates; we thus examined the binding affinities of these mutants to the 5′-tailed RG4 substrate using an EMSA approach similar to the one described above except with MOV10L1 truncated mutants. We found that none of these three mutants could effectively form complex with the substrate (Figure 5D). Taken together, we conclude that both the N- and C-terminal domains of MOV10L1 helicase are indispensable for its unwinding activity, and these two domains participate in regulating MOV10L1’s association with RNA substrates.

RG4 Unwinding by MOV10L1 Facilitates Its Endonucleolytic Cleavage

Previous studies suggest that the MOV10L1 helicase might participate in piRNA biogenesis in a manner that it resolves RNA secondary structures in piRNA precursor to facilitate its endonucleolytic cleavage in vivo (Vourekas et al., 2015). To dissect MOV10L1’s functions in piRNA biogenesis, we examined if the MOV10L1 helicase could facilitate the digestion of an RG4 structure and how its RG4-unwinding activity would be affected by the cleavage. As the exact endonuclease accounting for the 5′ end formation of
piRNA intermediates is still under debate (Gainetdinov et al., 2018; Nishida et al., 2018), we chose to use RNase enzyme T1, which specifically cuts after guanines at the ssRNA region. We designed a 5'-tailed RG4 substrate with no guanine contained in the tail region (referred to as RG4-2, Table S1). This substrate was found to be resistant to RNase T1 cleavage once the RG4 structure was folded (Figure 6A, Lane 2). When both MOV10L1 and RNase T1 were present, the RG4 template was effectively digested over time in the absence of the ssRNA trap, suggesting that MOV10L1 indeed facilitates RNase T1 digestion of RG4 (Figure 6A, Lanes 4-8). This might occur in a manner that the MOV10L1 helicase resolves RG4 structure to expose guanine residues, allowing them for the cleavage. Moreover, compared with MOV10L1-mediated unwinding of this RG4-2 template in the presence of an ssRNA trap, both the amplitude and the unwinding rate of the unwinding or cleavage reactions were enhanced with the presence of RNase T1 (Figures 6B and S7). It is likely that RG4 unwinding by MOV10L1 is in competition with RG4 folding and that if immediately cleaved (in this case by RNase T1), unwinding is more effective.

**DISCUSSION**

High-throughput reverse transcription sequencing methods have identified over 12,000 RG4s in the transcriptome (Guo and Bartel, 2016; Kwok et al., 2016). Moreover, intermolecular RG4 structures are also found to exist in the tRNA fragment as a critical component of cellular stress response (Lyons et al., 2017). During the past decade, increasing evidence indicates that intramolecular G4 motifs are biologically relevant structures, and their occurrence can have negative or positive roles within the cell (Rhodes and Lipps, 2015). However, a recent study showed that endogenous RNA regions that have potential to form RG4s
are globally unfolded in vivo in eukaryotic cells, indicating the existence of robust and effective machineries, such as helicases (Guo and Bartel, 2016). Thus far, only seven RNA helicases (DBP2, DED1, MSS166, DHX36, DDX21, DDX1, and DHX9) have been reported to unwind RG4 (Booy et al., 2012; Chakraborty and Grosse, 2011; Creacy et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2019; Lee and Pelletier, 2016; McRae et al., 2017; Ribeiro de Almeida et al., 2018; Thandapani et al., 2015). Therefore, expansion of our current knowledge of RG4-unwinding helicases as well as their molecular mechanisms and functions in all types of RNAs is in high demand. Herein, we identified both MOV10L1 and MOV10 as RG4-unwinding helicases.

A previous in vivo study obtained from Mov10l1 mutant mice shows that loss of MOV10L1 helicase activities abolishes piRNA biogenesis accompanied by a remarkable accumulation of primary piRNA precursors and a failed production of MILI-bound PPIFs and enrichment of RG4 elements in piRNA precursors (Vourekas et al., 2015; Zheng and Wang, 2012). An initial “translocation and stalling” hypothesis model of MOV10L1 in piRNA biogenesis, derived predominantly from bioinformatics analyses, hypothesizes that MOV10L1 translocates the primary piRNA precursor transcript in a 5’ to 3’ direction feeding the precursor to the endonuclease and that it facilitates cleavage of the transcript into PPIFs at the time the helicase stalls to resolve RNA secondary structures (Vourekas et al., 2015). This model would be more specific if details about MOV10L1-RG4 interaction could be incorporated, which is essential for a thorough understanding of how PPIFs are generated, because RG4 may be an obstacle against the occurrence of one cutting on PPIFs or the movement of MOV10L1 forward. The current voids in understanding the piRNA pathway stem from poorly defined molecular events at the biochemical level. In this study, we comprehensively characterized the activity of the MOV10L1 helicase and confirmed that MOV10L1-mediated RG4 unwinding can be operated in a 5’ to 3’ directional manner when either ssRNA trap or endonuclease was present. The RNA structure-specific binding and unwinding of MOV10L1 necessitates both the N and C termini. Only after RG4 is resolved by MOV10L1, endonuclease begins to cleave the RG4 transcripts. Based on our biochemical data presented herein, we update the initial model of MOV10L1-mediated piRNA biogenesis (Figure 6C): MOV10L1 prefers to directly bind a primary piRNA precursor transcript at the junction between the ssRNA and RG4; the association of the endonuclease may result in promoting the resolution of RG4 and presumably a cutting thereon, generating PPIFs that are bound by PIWI proteins; once the local RG4 structure is completely resolved, MOV10L1 has to leave for targeting a next RG4 locus because of its low affinity to ssRNA. We deem that the resolution of RG4 is intimately coupled with the endonucleolytic cleavage for two reasons: first, RG4 resolution is required for the endonucleolytic cleavage, and second, the endonucleolytic cleavage may prevent spontaneous reformation of the RG4 after it is resolved by MOV10L1. Here, an instance obtained from integrative bioinformatics analyses was illustrated to show the in vivo tight correlation between MOV10L1 footprints and MILI-bound PPIFs and RG4 sites, and importantly, the necessity of RG4 resolution to form all PPIFs (Figure S8). A recent study proposes a unified piRNA biogenesis model in which PIWI guides the stepwise, phased fragmentation of a full primary piRNA precursor (Gain- etdinov et al., 2018), prompting us to reason that MOV10L1 may be important for clearing up structural obstacles in the piRNA precursor for PIWI to complete the phasing process.

Although the genetic information regarding the piRNA pathway is ample, how primary piRNA precursor transcripts are distinguished from many other RNA transcripts to enter the piRNA biogenesis pathway is obscure (Le Thomas et al., 2014). Previous studies propose the mechanisms by which piRNA precursors are processed in mammalian testis (Vourekas et al., 2012, 2015), yet it remains obscure how piRNA transcripts are selected for the downstream processing. It is well recognized that RNA sequence and structural features and RNA-binding proteins play a combined role in determining RNA fates. In addition, emerging evidence now supports the notion that the functions of helicases are much broader than catalyzing strand separation (McGlynn, 2013; Sun and Wang, 2016), and that they often display structure-specific binding affinity and this specificity is postulated to confer their exact mechanisms and functions (Anand and Khan, 2004; Popuri et al., 2008). Our present data showed that MOV10L1 is a helicase with a stronger RG4-unwinding capability and a more specific RG4 binding affinity compared with MOV10 (Figures 2 and 3). In detail, MOV10L1 binds more strongly, if not specifically, to 5’-tailed RG4 structures; MOV10L1 binds poorly or even not at all to ssRNA, at least shown from the standard EMSA assay (Figure 3). Previous studies showed that MOV10L1 can associate with ssRNA when they are cross-linked (Vourekas et al., 2015). The discrepancy between the EMSA and the cross-linking result could be explained by the capacity of the latter to detect more transient or less stable interactions. Hence, an alternative explanation is that MOV10L1 quickly dissociates or translocates off the ssRNA and this is not captured by EMSA, unless encountering structures like RG4s. Thus, these results create a scenario that the pronounced RG4s (perhaps along with other
secondary structures) in piRNA precursors, relatively to other transcripts, could be competitively and stably captured by MOV10L1 whereby to dictate which transcripts enter intermitochondrial cement (IMC)/nuage before undergoing the subsequent piRNA processing. Interestingly, MOV10L1’s fly ortholog Armi has already been proved to be an essential factor in identifying a piRNA precursor by tethering to the transcript (Pandey et al., 2017). Tudor domain protein TDRD5 functions in selective processing of the piRNA cluster transcripts after being recruited to IMC (Ding et al., 2018). It is conceivable that the initial recruitment of piRNA precursors that distinguishes between transcripts marked as piRNA and non-piRNA may require other elements or proteins.

Effective nucleic acid binding and unwinding of helicase often ask for the functional interplay between the helicase core and ancillary domains as well as auxiliary partners (Fiorini et al., 2013; Rudolph and Klostermeier, 2015). This notion is also valid for the MOV10L1 helicase. The requirements of both the N- and C termini of MOV10L1 in RNA binding and unwinding is in stark contrast to one of its closest homologs UPF1 in which both the N- and C-terminal domains inhibit HD domain in unwinding (Fiorini et al., 2013). Its activation requires the additional partners UPF2 and UPF3 (Chakrabarti et al., 2011; Lykke-Andersen et al., 2000; Lykke-Andersen and Jensen, 2015). This auto-inhibitory mechanism of human UPF1 reflects its intricate enzymatic control and regulation of its helicase activity. MOV10L1 seems not to use flanking domains but instead employs auxiliary partners in regulation of its helicase activity. As evident here, it alone can hardly resolve RG4 even if both termini are present (Figure 5). We report evidence suggesting RG4 cleavage by an endonuclease is facilitated by the presence of MOV10L1 (Figure 6). This feature ensures the loading of required proteins before proceeding. Similarly, this stimulation was also observed with a recent reported RG4-unwinding helicase DDX21 (McRae et al., 2017). It is likely that RNA helicase slips during unwinding as previously reported for other helicases (Lee et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2011), and timely cleavage of unwound RG4 by endonuclease prevents them from backward movement, thus ensuring continuous RG4 unwinding. Based on the overall limited sequence homology between MOV10L1 and MOV10, our study also offers clues to how paralogs of the mammalian helicase may evolve their biochemical discrepancy in correspondence to their distinct biological implication.

Limitation of the Study
Although we provided evidence that in our experimental conditions the unwinding activities per microgram for each protein can be considered being comparable, a further more detailed characterization would be required to demonstrate that the recombinant proteins are folded correctly, uniformly, and that they show the same activity per microgram of protein mass.

METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.06.016.
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MOV10L1 Binds RNA G-Quadruplex in a Structure-Specific Manner and Resolves It More Efficiently Than MOV10

Xia Zhang, Lina Yu, Shasha Ye, Jie Xie, Xingxu Huang, Ke Zheng, and Bo Sun
Table S1. Sequences of RNA/DNA substrates used in this work. Related to Figures 1-6.

| For figures | Names | Sequences (From 5’ to 3’) |
|-------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Figure 1, 2, 3, 5 | 5’- tailed RG4 | 5’- UUUUUUACCGGUGGUGGGAGGGUGGUA /cy3/ |
| Figure 1, 2, 5, S4 | RG4 trap | 5’- GGGCCCCUCCCGCUUUGCCCGUCGU |
| Figure 2, 3 | RG4 only | 5’- GGGAGGGUGG/acy3/ |
| Figure 3 | 18 nt ssRNA | 5’- /cy3/ACCGCUUCGUCGUCGUG |
| Figure 4 | 5’- tailed DG4 | 5’- TTTTTAACCGGTCGGGAGGCTTCGGG/acy3/ |
| Figure 4 | DG4 trap | 5’- GGGCCCTCCCGCTTGCCGTCGT |
| Figure 4 | D/RNA | 5’/-cy3/ACCGCTGGCTGCTCG/ |
| | | 5’- ACGAGGGAGAGACGGAGACGACGACGACGAG |
| Figure 4 | R/DNA | 5’/-cy3/ACCGCUUGCGUGUCGUG |
| | | 5’- ACGAGGGAGAGACGGAGACGACGACGAG |
| Figure 4 | dsDNA | 5’/-cy3/ACCGCTGGCTGCTCG |
| | | 5’- ACGAGGGAGAGACGGAGACGACGACGAG |
| Figure 4 | DNA trap | 5’- ACCGCTGGCCTGCTCG |
| Figure 4, S2, S3, S5, S6 | 5’- tailed duplex | 5’/-cy3/ACCGCUUCGUCGUCGUG |
| | | 5’- ACGAGGGAGAGACGGAGACGACGACGAG |
| Figure 4, S2, S3, S5, S6 | RNA trap | 5’- ACCGCUUCGUCGUCGUG |
| Figure S5 | Forked duplex | 5’/-cy3/ACCGCUUGCCGUCGUGAGAG |
| | | 5’- ACGAGGGAGAGACGGAGACGACGACGAG |
| Figure S5 | Blunt duplex | 5’/-cy3/ACCGCUUCGUCGUGAG |
| | | 5’- CGGAGCGCAGGACGAG |
| Figure 6, S7 | 5’- tailed RG4-2 | 5’- UUUUUUACUAUCACUUAGGAGGGUGGUAGGUA /cy3/ |
| Figure S7 | ssRNA trap-2 | 5’- ACCCUCCCAAGUGAUAGUG |

RNA and DNA sequences are colored in red and black respectively. The guanines that form G-quadruplex are highlighted in bold. The complementary sequences in RG4 (DG4) substrate and traps are marked with points below.
Table S2. The primers used to construct plasmids. Related to Figure 5.

| Mutants | Primers | Sequences (From 5' to 3') |
|---------|---------|---------------------------|
| MOV10L1 - HD  | forward  | 5'-GACGACGATGACAAG\textbf{GGATCC}ATGATCCTTTTTGGACCTCCGGGA-3' |
|          | reverse  | 5'-GCTTACTCAGCTAAG\textbf{CTCGAG}TCAAGGGTTTCCAGAATGATCAGC-3' |
| MOV10L1 - ΔN | forward  | 5'-GACGACGATGACAAG\textbf{GGATCC}ATGATCCTTTTTGGACCTCCGGGA-3' |
|          | reverse  | 5'-GCTTACTCAGCTAAG\textbf{CTCGAG}TCACTTTTGGAGAGCCTG-3' |
| MOV10L1 - ΔC | forward  | 5'-GACGACGATGACAAG\textbf{GGATCC}ATGCTGCGCCTTGCCTGCCAA-3' |
|          | reverse  | 5'-GCTTACTCAGCTAAG\textbf{CTCGAG}TCAGAGCTCATTTCTCCACTCTG-3' |
| MOV10   | forward  | 5'-GACGACGATGACAAG\textbf{GGATCC}ATGCTGCGCCTTGCCTGCCAA-3' |
|          | reverse  | 5'-GCTTACTCAGCTAAG\textbf{CTCGAG}TCAGAGCTCATTTCTCCACTCTG-3' |

The highlighted sequences in forward and reverse primers are the BamH I site and the Xho I site, respectively.
Figure S1. Purification of MOV10L1 and MOV10 proteins. Related to Figures 1-6.

(A) Coomassie stain of FLAG purified wild type MOV10L1, MOV10L1 mutants and MOV10 proteins. 50 μl of purified proteins-bound beads were heated at 95°C for 10 min, and then resolved on 8% SDS-PAGE gel and then stained by Coomassie R250. The red arrows indicated the positions of the purified proteins. Bands on the coomassie gel with a molecular weight of approximately 55 kDa correspond to the heavy immunoglobulin chain (IgG) from the FLAG antibody and the antibody accumulation. NE denotes no enzyme.

(B) Western blot analyses of FLAG purified wild type MOV10L1 and truncated mutants. The molecular weights of proteins are 137 kDa (MOV10L1), 128 kDa (MOV10L1 - ΔC), 46.5 kDa (MOV10L1 - ΔN) and 43 kDa (MOV10L1 - HD). The MOV10L1 proteins and a 120 kDa FLAG-protein of known concentration were resolved by 8% SDS-PAGE gel. The gel was transferred to a PVDF membrane. Membrane was blocked in TBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T) and 5% skimmed milk powder. Anti-FLAG (sigma) antibody was diluted as 1: 5000 and incubated with the membrane for 1 h at room temperature in TBS-T with 5% milk. Membrane was washed 3 times in TBS-T and then incubated with the secondary antibody (1:10000 diluted) conjugated to horse radish peroxidise (HRP) for 0.5 h at room temperature in TBS-T with 5% milk. Membrane was washed 3 times in TBS-T and then proteins were visualized on Amersham Imager 600 (GE Healthcare) by enhanced chemiluminescence (Bio-Rad). The concentrations of the MOV10L1 proteins were determined by comparing with the FLAG-protein of known concentration on the gel.
Figure S2. The optimal condition for MOV10L1 unwinding 5’-tailed RNA duplex. 
Related to Figure 1.

(A) Schematic demonstrating the principle of the RNA-duplex unwinding assay. RNA duplex substrate was generated by annealing a cy3-labeled oligo with its complementary strand. An excess amount of an unlabeled ssRNA with the same sequence as the labeled strand was added in the reaction to prevent reannealing. The in vitro unwinding reactions were carried out by pre-incubating MOV10L1 with the substrate in the presence of Mg^{2+}, followed by initiating the reactions with various NTPs and a displaced strand oligonucleotide trap.

(B-C) A representative image of MOV10L1-mediated 5’-tailed dsRNA unwinding reactions for 180 min at 37°C with different nucleoside triphosphates or different concentrations of Mg^{2+}. This experiment was repeated three times.

(D-E) The quantitative analyses of gels in panels B and C.
Figure S3. MOV10L1 unwinds 5'-tailed and forked RNA substrates. Related to Figure 2.

Representative gels of MOV10L1- and MOV10-mediated unwinding of 5'-tailed duplex (A and C) and forked duplex (B and D) with increasing time (0, 10, 30, 60, 120, 180 min) at 37°C in the presence of 10-fold of the ssRNA trap. The quantification analyses were shown in Figure 2C and 2D.
Figure S4. MOV10L1 binds to RNA duplex substrates bearing an ssRNA tail. Related to Figure 3.

Representative gels of increasing amounts of MOV10L1 proteins (0, 2, 10, 20 ng) binding to forked duplex (A), 5’ tailed duplex (B) and blunt duplex (C). The experimental procedures were described in the Transparent Methods section and this experiment was repeated three times. MOV10L1/RNA complexes were detected in the presence of the forked duplex and the 5’-tailed duplex substrate but not in the presence of the blunt duplex substrate. These results confirmed that the MOV10L1 helicase preferentially binds to a junction formed by ssRNA and structured RNA.
Figure S5. MOV10 is unable to unwind DG4. Related to Figure 4.

(A) A representative gel of MOV10-mediated unwinding of DG4 with increasing time (0, 10, 30, 60, 120, 180 min) at 37°C in the presence of 10-fold of the ssRNA trap. Marker (denoted as M) was prepared as described in the Materials and Methods section. NE denotes no enzyme.

(B, C) MOV10 unwound different duplex substrates (D/RNA, dsRNA, R/DNA and dsDNA, 10 nM each) with or without ATP at 37°C for 180 min. dsNAs and ssNAs denote double-stranded nucleic acids and single-stranded nucleic strands respectively. The quantitative analyses of these gels were shown in Figure 4D.
Figure S6. Both the N- and C- termini of MOV10L1 are required for dsRNA unwinding. Related to Figure 5.

Representative gels of MOV10L1 mutants, MOV10L1 - HD (A), MOV10L1 - ΔN (B) and MOV10L1 - ΔC (C), unwinding 5'-tailed RNA duplex with increasing time (0, 10, 30, 60, 120 and 180 min) at 37°C. This experiment was repeated three times. The experimental procedure of this RNA duplex-unwinding assay was described in the Transparent Methods section. All the mutants exhibited little to none unwinding activities on this substrate and these results further confirmed that both the N- and C- termini of MOV10L1 are required for its efficient RNA unwinding.
Figure S7. MOV10L1 unwinds RG4-2 in the presence of the ssRNA trap. Related to Figure 6.

A representative gel of MOV10L1 unwinding 5'-tailed RG4-2 in the presence of the ssRNA trap-2 with increasing time (0, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 30 min) at 37°C. The experimental procedures were described in the Transparent Methods section and this experiment was repeated three times. In the presence of the ssRNA trap-2, RG4 unwinding occurred and the unwinding products increased over time.
Figure S8. Bioinformatics illustration of the primary piRNA processing mediated by MOV10L1-RG4 interaction. Related to Figure 6.

(A) UCSC genome browser snapshot of pacSC RNA-seq reads, MOV10L1 CLIP tags, MILI CLIP tags (small: piRNAs; large: PPIFs) mapping within the pachytene piRNA cluster (chr17_cl204a: 27,462,261-27,487,877). One typical area occupied by peaks from the RNA-seq, MOV10L1 CLIP, and MILI CLIP (large) libraries which show visibly lower PPIFs counts in Mov10l1<sup>−/+</sup> is marked with a red box. All deep-sequencing data above mapping to the mouse genome (mm9) are requested from two previous reports (Vourekas et al., 2015; Vourekas et al., 2012), and shown here as normalized counts.

(B) A magnified view of representative sequences located within the typical area indicated in panel A shows that the locations of primary piRNA precursor, RG4, MOV10L1 footprints and MILI-bound PPIFs as well as its corresponding piRNA are interdependent. Based on our biochemical results,
MOV10L1 prefers to directly bind in vicinity to the approximate midpoint (blue arrowhead) of its CLIP tags (blue lines aligned to the primary piRNA precursor), adjacently downstream of which is the area of RG4 structure (a red lined sequence conforming to RG4 prediction algorithms). In this case, two cleavages (one scissor ahead of and the other right on RG4) might occur before and after MOV10L1 starts to resolve RG4, respectively. The fragments cut out from the primary piRNA precursor are bound by MILI, yielding 5’-end-stabilized PPIFs (green lines) which are further trimmed at their 3’-end to form the mature piRNA (brown lined sequence).
Transparent Methods

Nucleic acid substrates

HPLC-purified RNA and DNA oligonucleotides with or without labels used to make the substrates or traps were purchased from TaKaRa (Dalian, China) and Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China), respectively (Table S1). Each oligo was diluted to 20 μM in RNase free water and kept at -80°C for further use. Duplex DNA or RNA substrates were generated by heating a mixture of a cy3-labeled oligo (2 μM, final concentration) with a 1.2-fold of its unlabeled complementary strand in the annealing buffer (60 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 6 mM KCl, 0.2 mM MgCl₂) at 95°C for 5 min followed by slow cooling to room temperature. RNA or DNA G4 substrates were prepared by heating an ssDNA or ssRNA oligo in the G4 formation buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA) at 95°C for 5 min followed by slow cooling to room temperature.

Circular dichroism (CD) spectropolarimetry

After forming the RG4, a 1,200 μl sample of this substrate at the final concentration of 1.5 μM in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 and 20 mM KAc was used for the CD experiments which were performed on Bio-Logic MOS450/AF-CD optical system (BioLogic Science Instruments, France) using 2 mm path length quartz cells. Spectrum was recorded from 220 nm to 320 nm.

Expression and purification of MOV10L1 and MOV10 proteins

Full-length MOV10L1 was purified as described previously (Fu et al., 2016; Vourekas et al., 2015). The MOV10L1 protein was transiently expressed in HEK293T cells with TurboFect transfection reagent (Thermo). Cells were split 24 h post-transfection and then harvested next day. Cells were then lysed with CelLytic™M Cell Lysis Reagent (sigma) and the lysates were centrifuged at 16,000 g for 30 min. The supernatants were filtered through a 0.45 μm filter to remove any remaining cell
debris and particulates. The cleared cell lysates were then mixed with 1 ml of anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads suspension (Sigma), prewashed with K150 buffer (50 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 150 mM KoAc, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Igepal [NP-40] (Sigma) with EDTA free protease inhibitors cocktail (Roche)), and incubated for 2 h at 4°C on rotation. The protein bound beads were washed three times with K150 buffer, twice with K150 containing 250 mM NaCl, and three more times with K150 buffer. The beads were resuspended in 1 ml of 50% glycerol in K150 buffer and then stored at -20°C for helicase unwinding assays. (Figure S1A). For MOV10L1 binding assays, the protein was eluted using 500 μl of K150 buffer containing 0.2 mg/ml 3X FLAG peptide and 10% glycerol at 4°C for 2 h on rotation. The eluted proteins were kept at -80°C for further use. The MOV10 protein and MOV10L1 mutants (K778A, DE888AA, MOV10L1-ΔC, MOV10L1-ΔN, and MOV10L1-HD) were expressed and purified using the same protocol. Protein concentrations were determined by western blot analyses (Figure S1B). 10 μl of MOV10L1/MOV10 -bound bead suspension or eluted solution carried about 20 ng of protein. All primers used to construct the plasmids were listed in Table S2.

Helicase unwinding assays

A previously developed RG4-unwinding assay was adopted to detect MOV10L1’s G4 unwinding activity with modifications (Booy et al., 2015; Booy et al., 2012). Briefly, MOV10L1-bound bead suspension was washed three times in reaction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 20 mM KoAc, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.01% Igepal [NP-40], 1 mM DTT) before unwinding assays. 10 μl of the suspension (20 ng of MOV10L1) was used for each assay unless indicated otherwise. The bead suspension was mixed with RG4 substrate (10 nM, final concentration) in the reaction buffer with 2U/μl RNase inhibitor. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 10 min with shaking in a thermomixer (1000 rpm). Then, 2 mM ATP and 100 nM RNA or DNA traps were added to the mixture (20 μl, final volume) to initiate the unwinding reaction. The reactions were incubated at 37°C for indicated times with shaking and
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stopped by the addition of 5X stop buffer (125 mM EDTA, 50% glycerol). Lastly, protease K (TIAN GEN) was added to a final concentration of 2 μg/μl for another 10 min at 37°C to degrade the protein. Reaction products were resolved on a 12% native TBE PAGE gel at 120 V for 40 min. To illustrate the expected mobility of the unfolded RG4 annealed with trap, 100 mM LiCl was substituted for 100 mM KCl in the G4 formation buffer with equal amount of RG4 trap (Booy et al., 2012). For the DG4 substrate, a heat denatured DG4 substrate was annealed to a 250-fold of DG4 trap in the G4 formation buffer to illustrate the unfolded DG4 on the gel (Giri et al., 2011; Wu and Spies, 2016).

We used a previously described RNA duplex-unwinding assay to detect the duplex-unwinding activity of MOV10L1 and MOV10 with some modifications (Jankowsky and Putnam, 2010; Vourekas et al., 2015). This assay was similar to the RG4-unwinding assay except that the unwinding products were indicated by ssRNA instead of dsRNA on the TBE PAGE gel. All the experiments were carried out at least three times and representative gels and plots were shown.

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)**

To form RNA/protein complexes, we incubated the indicated concentrations of purified proteins with 2.5 nM of a cy3-labeled RNA substrate in binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM KoAc, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM AMP-PNP or ATP, 2% glycerol, 2 U of RiboLock RNase Inhibitor, 1 mM DTT) at 37°C for 30 min. After the incubation, 5X stop buffer was added to each mixture, and samples were resolved on 8% native TBE PAGE gel at 90 V for 1 h at room temperature. All the experiments were carried out at least three times and representative gels and plots were shown.

**ATPase assay**

ATPase activities of all tested proteins were measured using an ATPase activity assay kit (MAK113, Sigma). Briefly, recombinant MOV10L1 proteins bound beads were prewashed three times by the
ATPase assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 20 mM KoAc, 0.2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT), followed by incubation with 0.2 mM ATP in the ATPase buffer and 5 nM 5’-tailed RG4. Reactions were allowed to proceed at 37°C for 60 min or 120 min. The reactions were stopped by addition of 200 μl reagent MAK113A in each reaction and incubated 5 min at room temperature. The absorbance at 620 nm was then measured using the SpectraMax i3x (Molecular devices) for all samples. All the experiments were carried out at three times.

RNase T1 digestion

The experimental procedures were same as the RG4 unwinding assay except that the ssRNA trap was replaced by RNase T1 (50 U/μl, final concentration) (Thermo). The samples were thoroughly mixed and incubated at 37°C for 0, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 30 min. The reactions were stopped by the addition of 5X stop buffer, followed by being resolved on 5% native TBE PAGE gel. This experiment was carried out three times and representative gels and plots were shown.

Gel imaging and quantification

Unwinding and binding products were detected by Phosphor-Imaging, scanned on a Typhoon FLA 9500 Imager (GE Healthcare) and analyzed with Image Quant TL software (Nonlinear Dynamics). Enzymatic unwinding was calculated after subtraction of the fraction of non-enzymatically dissociated substrate observed in control reactions. The fraction of unwound RNA against time was fit to the pseudo-first order equation, \( F=A*(1-e^{-kt}) \), where \( A \) is the maximum fraction of unwound/cleaved RNA that can be generated enzymatically from the substrates; \( k \) is the rate constant of RNA unwinding/cleavage; \( t \) is reaction time. For comparison, the unwinding rates in Figure 4D were calculated from the formula (unwound substrate/total substrate) x nM substrate/μM enzyme/time.
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