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To investigate factors associated with cognitive functioning in healthy adolescents, a school-based cross-sectional study was conducted on 1370 adolescents aged 11–16 years that were randomly selected from all governorates of Kuwait. Raven’s Standard Progressive Matrices (SPM), a non-verbal test of intelligence, was used to measure cognitive functioning of the study participants. Data on predictors of cognitive functioning were collected from parents and adolescents. Weight and height of the participants were measured in a standardized manner and blood samples were tested in an accredited laboratory under strict measures of quality control. In multivariable linear regression analysis, factors that showed significant association with the SPM score were gender (p = 0.002), season of birth (p = 0.009), place of residence (p < 0.001), father’s (p < 0.001) and mother’s (p = 0.025) educational level, type of housing (p < 0.001), passive smoking at home (p = 0.031), sleeping hours during weekends (p = 0.017), students’ educational level (p < 0.001) and the frequency of consumption of sugary drinks (p < 0.001). The link between cognitive functioning and season of birth seems to be robust in various geographical locations including the Middle East. The association between sugary drinks and cognitive functioning highlights the importance of diet independently of obesity and support efforts to reduce consumption of sugary drinks among children.

Cognitive function comprises various mental processes such as attention, memory, and language that are required to manage daily life activities in all age groups. Among children and adolescents, cognitive functions are essential for good school performance and educational achievements. More importantly, cognitive function during childhood and adolescence is strongly linked to various health outcomes in adulthood. As an example, in adulthood, children with poor cognitive functions have an increased risk of hypertension¹, coronary heart diseases and stroke², psychological disorders³, and all-cause mortality⁴-⁶. These associations seem to be strong, incremental across the whole spectrum of cognitive function and independent of socioeconomic conditions⁷.

Much of the recent public health research has focused on factors associated with the decline of cognitive function among the elderly. This is understandable because populations are aging in most countries, and the decline of cognitive function has become a major public health issue. Additionally, there has been substantial research on factors associated with the development of cognitive functions during early life, but cognitive abilities among healthy school-aged children have hardly been addressed in the literature. This holds true in spite of the fact that some areas of the human brain as well as higher cognitive functions continue to develop during adolescents⁸.

Predictors of cognitive function have also been thoroughly investigated among children and adolescents with various disease conditions such as children with specific syndromes⁹,¹⁰, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder¹¹, sickle cell anemia¹², beta-thalassemia¹³, HIV¹⁴,¹⁵, epilepsy¹⁶, type 1 diabetes¹⁷,¹⁸ and many other disease conditions. Among healthy adolescents, studies have focused on the role of poverty and undernutrition¹⁹, iron deficiency and parasitic infections²⁰, parental education²¹, or overall socioeconomic status²². These studies were...
mainly in low-income countries and thus their findings cannot be extrapolated to high-income settings such as the oil-rich Arab countries in the Middle East. In these countries, there has been a dramatic change in socioeconomic status in the last few decades, which has eliminated poverty and undernutrition and led to significant improvements in education. Therefore, factors like poverty and undernutrition are unlikely to be a major predictor of cognitive function among children in this setting. In these settings, new factors may have emerged such as overweight and obesity in addition to sedentary lifestyle, which have been linked to poor cognitive function. We have previously reported that vitamin D levels is not associated with cognitive function. In this study, we aim to investigate factors associated with cognitive functioning as measured by non-verbal test of intelligence, including obesity, physical activity, and nutritional deficiencies such as low levels of vitamin B12, iron and ferritin.

Methods
Kuwait is a small country with a population of 4.2 million and is divided into six provinces (governorates). A school-based cross-sectional study was conducted on students in middle schools typically aged 11–16 years. The details of the project have been published previously. In brief, we recruited students from 12 public middle schools that were randomly selected using stratified multistage cluster random sampling with a probability proportional to size from all governorates of Kuwait. In each governorate, schools with larger number of students were given a higher probability of being selected compared to school with small number of students. The sample allocation in each governorate was based on the relative size of that province as judged by the total number of students in the governorate. The study was funded by Kuwait University and approved by The Ethics Committee at the Ministry of Health in Kuwait (No: 2015/248) as well as The Ethics Committee at the Health Sciences Centre, Kuwait University (No: DR/EC/2358). The study was conducted in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. An informed consent was taken from the parents of each study participant.

Collecting data on predictors of cognitive functioning. An informed consent was sent to the parents along with a self-administered questionnaire on parental level of education and income, type of housing, number of siblings of the index child, passive smoking in the household and the number of times per week the index child had a meal prepared outside the home during the last three months. After obtaining written informed consent from the parents and verbal assent from the school children, trained dedicated personnel carried out face-to-face interviews with the students using a structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was carefully developed after an extensive review of the literature and was pilot tested on 20 students who were not included in the study. We included questions on physical activity utilizing a questionnaire that was developed based on the Youth Physical Activity Questionnaire in UK and The Arab teens lifestyle study. This questionnaire was validated among high school students and showed a strong correlation with data collected using accelerometers (Spearman correlation 0.92; p < 0.001 for total steps count) (not published). Anthropometric measurements, including standing height and body weight of the study subjects, were assessed using a digital weight and height scale in a standardized manner.

Blood sample of five ml of venous blood was drawn from each participant by a trained nurse. On the same day, the samples were centrifuged, and the serum was transferred to Eppendorf tubes and stored at −80 °C for analysis. In these blood samples vitamin D was measured using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Complete blood count (CBC), Parathyroid Hormone (PTH), vitamin B12, Iron, ferritin, transferrin and transferrin saturation were all measured in an accredited Teaching Hospital Clinical Biochemistry laboratory, where these tests are routinely conducted under strict quality control. Lead in whole blood (50 µL) was measured using ESA’s LeadCare II® Blood Lead Testing System (RNA Medical Bionostics, Inc. Devens, MA, USA) according the manufacturer’s instructions. Because this method was deemed to be not accurate, lead was evaluated again in all blood samples using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS).

Assessment of cognitive functioning. Comprehensive assessment of cognitive function requires using several tests that evaluate verbal cognition, non-verbal cognition, executive functioning and processing speed. However, conducting such comprehensive assessment in large epidemiological studies in school settings would be logistically difficult. Furthermore, many cognitive tests are heavily influenced by language and culture. We used Raven’s Standard Progressive Matrices (SPM) to assess the cognitive functioning of the study participants. The test is culturally fair and non-verbal measure of intelligence (mainly fluid intelligence) and measures abstract reasoning, problem solving, perceptual awareness and reasoning by analogy. It measures participant’s eductive (to make meaning out of chaos), as opposed to reproductive (to understand and recall previously learned information) ability. In SPM, participants are asked to identify the missing piece of an array of patterns by determining which rule or rules govern the patterns displayed in the rows and columns. SPM has been previously validated in our setting. In fact, SPM is used routinely to measure cognitive function in schools as part of the psychological and social services provide by The Ministry of Education in Kuwait. Specialists from The Department of Psychological and Social Services at The Ministry of Education administered SPM in the schools. Raw scores were converted to standard scores as per the instruction manual. We will use the term cognitive function or cognitive functioning to refer to results of the Raven’s SPM in our study.

Sample size estimation and power calculation. The required sample size was estimated using the Stata command `powerreg` to provide more than 90% power to detect a change of 0.03 in the coefficient of determination \(R^2\) at 5% level of significance assuming that \(R^2\) in the full model is 0.20 taking into account the number of total predictors included in the study (29 predictors in total and 64 dummy variables). While the calculation showed that 1131 study subjects would be sufficient, an addition of 20% of the calculated sample size was added to compensate for missing data.
Statistical methods. Data were double entered into specifically designed database using Epidata Entry. Data analysis was conducted using Stata version 12 (StataCorp. College Station, TX, USA). BMI was calculated as weight (Kg) divided by height squared (m^2), which was later categorized into normal, overweight and obese according to WHO growth charts. The main outcome in the analysis was SPM score, which was approximately normally distributed; hence we used linear regression models to investigate the predictors of SPM score. Guided by the conceptual frameworks in the literature, variables were divided into groups. The first group included socio-demographic factors such as sex, nationality, parental education, total number of siblings, father's income, mother's employment, type of housing, having private room for the index child in the house, child rank and whether the child lives with both parents in addition to season of birth. The second group included educational level of the participant, hours of sleep during weekdays and weekends, whether the child takes a nap during the day at weekdays and weekends, whether the child is currently taking vitamins or supplements, and frequency of sugary drinks consumption. The third group included total time of physical activity and BMI categories as per WHO growth charts; while the fourth group included vitamin D, parathyroid hormone (PTH), hemoglobin (Hb), calcium, iron, ferritin, transferrin and transferrin saturation in addition to lead. Continuous variables were fitted either as continuous or categorized using acceptable cutoff points or using tertiles. In univariable analysis, variables that showed significant association with SPM score at a conservative a level < 0.2 were included in multivariate analysis. Then variables in each group were added sequentially to the model and those with p < 0.05 were retained in the model. Interaction terms were fitted in the model when there is a prior knowledge that support presence of the interaction. The assumptions for this analysis were checked including the linear relationship assumption using scatter plots and partial regression plots. Homoscedasticity assumption was checked by Breusch-Pagan test and by plotting the studentized residuals against the unstandardized predicted values. Multicollinearity was checked by the inspection of correlation between independent variables and variance inflation factor. Normality of the residuals was checked by Q-Q plot. Finally, we used stepwise backward and forward selection approaches to identify factors that were independently associated with the cognitive function as a confirmatory method.

Results
Of the 1583 parents approached, 161 refused to participate (either child or parents refused). Another 6 adolescents had samples that were not sufficient to conduct blood analysis. Of the remaining 1416 adolescents, 1370 completed the SPM and their socio-demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. Table 2 shows the association between various factors presumed to be associated with SPM scores in univariable analysis. Most socio-economic factors showed associations with SPM scores in univariable analysis. However, except for PTH and calcium, most of the laboratory measurements such as hemoglobin, iron, ferritin, transferrin, transferring saturation and vitamin D level were all not associated with SPM scores. In multivariable analysis, factors that showed significant associations with SPM scores were gender (p = 0.002), season of birth (p = 0.009), place of residence (province) (p < 0.001), father’s (p < 0.001) and mother’s (p = 0.025) educational level, type of housing (p < 0.001), passive smoking at home (p = 0.031), sleeping hours during weekends (p = 0.017), students’ educational level (grade) (p < 0.001) and the frequency of consumption of sugary drinks (p < 0.001) (Table 3). Both backward and forward stepwise regression selected the same variables and these variables were also the same as the manually developed model above. However, PTH as a continuous variable was significant in the stepwise selection (β = −0.27; p = 0.04) but not in the manually developed model (β = −0.23; p = 0.065). It is worth noting that PTH (when fitted as a categorical variable using quartiles or using clinically acceptable cutoff points) was not significantly associated with SPM neither in the stepwise selection nor in the manually developed model.

Discussion
Poor cognitive functioning in children and adolescents is extremely important not only because it affects school performance and academic achievements but also predicts various disease conditions in adulthood. With the ever-increasing world-wide prevalence of childhood obesity, the link between obesity and cognitive functioning has generated great interest. Kuwait Nutritional Surveillance System reported that 22% and 32% of adolescents are either overweight or obese, respectively. We found a significant association between obesity and cognitive functioning, neither in univariable nor in multivariable analysis. This is different from that reported in other studies, which showed a significant association between cognitive function and obesity. Other studies have suggested that obese adolescents have slower cognitive processing speed while maintaining equivalent performance on executive functions compared to their healthy weight peers. On the other hand, several studies reported no association between obesity and cognitive functioning among children or adolescents. The mixed findings of these studies could be explained by using different tests of cognitive function. Liang et al. reviewed studies on the association between obesity and cognitive function and concluded that the association is evident only with certain aspects of executive functions that are not precisely measured by SPM. This could also explain the lack of association in our study. Although some studies suggested that obese adolescents have lower grey and white matter volume in the brain, particularly in areas responsible for learning and cognition, suggesting a direct link between obesity and cognitive function, it is possible that an indirect association also exists, whereby obesity may affect cognitive functioning through poor sleep quality, decreased school attendance and social isolation. Furthermore, it is difficult to untangle the exact impact of obesity on cognitive functioning. That is, whether it is obesity proper (fat deposition and physiological effects on the brain), obesity-related behaviors...
(behavior that promote weight gain such as poor diet and lack of physical activity), or both, that have an effect on cognitive function. In our study, no association was found between the total time adolescents engaged in physical activity and their cognitive function. Although most studies have suggested that increased physical activity may improve cognitive function44, a recent literature review showed that physical activity interventions can lead only to small improvements in problem-solving skills23.

We found a strong inverse association between consumption of sugary drinks and SPM scores in both uni-variable and multivariable analyses. Consumption of sugary drinks is an indicator of poor diet and previous studies have shown that a diet high in fats and sugar is associated with decreased intelligence and school performance45,46. We did not collect extensive data on diet, but consumption of meals prepared outside the home was a significant predictor of cognitive function in univariable analysis, which highlights the importance of diet in our setting. We also found that excessive sleep (≥ 11 hours per night) during weekends (not weekdays) is associated with low SPM scores. Previous studies have reported either modest or no association between duration of sleep and cognitive functioning25,66 attributing this to subjective measures of sleep such as self-report methods or the notion that brain immaturity in children may prevent them from experiencing low cognitive performance due to sleep deprivation. A recent review67 of studies that objectively measured duration of sleep also reported a modest association, which existed only with Full/Verbal Intelligence Quotient (IQ) but not with Fluid IQ, memory or executive functions, processing speed or attention. We divided the duration of sleep into tertiles and found that sleeping 11 or more hours per night during weekends is associated with a decrease in SPM scores. Also, having a nap during the daytime on weekends was associated with decreased SPM scores in univariable analysis. Sleeping more than 11 hours per night or having a nap during the day on weekends may reflect poor quality of sleep during

| Characteristics | n (%)  |
|----------------|--------|
| Age in years, Mean (SD) years | 12.40 (0.93) |
| Gender |        |
| Male | 674 (49.2) |
| Nationality |        |
| Kuwaiti | 1,047 (76.42) |
| Non-Kuwait | 323 (23.58) |
| Father’s Education* |        |
| No formal education | 15 (1.12) |
| Primary/Intermediate | 215 (16.08) |
| Secondary (high school) | 330 (24.68) |
| Diploma | 251 (18.77) |
| University & above | 526 (39.34) |
| Mother’s Educationb |        |
| No formal education | 31 (2.30) |
| Primary/Intermediate | 145 (10.74) |
| Secondary (high school) | 294 (21.78) |
| Diploma | 293 (21.70) |
| University & above | 587 (43.48) |
| Father’s Incomec (Kuwaiti Dinars) |        |
| Less than 500 | 89 (6.72) |
| 500 to 1000 | 291 (21.98) |
| 1001 to 1500 | 414 (31.27) |
| 1501 to 2000 | 213 (16.09) |
| More than 2000 | 164 (12.39) |
| Do not wish to tell | 153 (11.56) |
| Mother’s Employment Statusd |        |
| Housewife | 466 (34.72) |
| Paid employment | 664 (49.48) |
| Others | 212 (15.80) |
| Housinge |        |
| Rented flat | 499 (36.94) |
| Rented house | 159 (11.77) |
| Owned flat | 55 (4.07) |
| Owned house | 638 (47.22) |

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of 1370 adolescents in public middle schools in Kuwait. *Missing for 33 participants; bMissing for 20 participants; cMissing for 46 participants; dMissing for 28 participants; eMissing for 19 participants.
| Variables          | β     | (95% CI)           | p-value* |
|--------------------|-------|--------------------|----------|
| **Gender**         |       |                    |          |
| Male               |       | [Reference]        | 0.120    |
| Female             | 1.97  | [−0.51, 4.45]      |          |
| **Nationality**    |       |                    |          |
| Kuwaiti            |       | [Reference]        | 0.090    |
| Non-Kuwaiti        | 2.52  | [−0.46, 5.45]      |          |
| **Season of birth**|       |                    |          |
| Summer (May, June, July) | [Reference] | 0.045               |          |
| Fall (August, September, October) | 3.40  | [−6.92, 0.13]      |          |
| Winter (November, December, January) | −4.70 | [−8.18, −1.23]     |          |
| Spring (February, March, April) | −3.94 | [−7.52, −0.36]     |          |
| **Province**       |       |                    |          |
| Capital            |       | [Reference]        | <0.001   |
| Hawally            | −0.41 | [−5.00, 4.19]      |          |
| Farawanya          | −11.80| [−16.48, −7.12]    |          |
| Jahra              | −14.96| [−19.62, −10.30]   |          |
| Mubarak al-Kabeer  | −1.38 | [−6.54, 3.78]      |          |
| Ahmadi             | 0.18  | [−4.11, 4.48]      |          |
| **Father’s education** |       |                    |          |
| Intermediate school or below | [Reference] | <0.001               |          |
| Secondary (high-school) | 1.90  | [−1.92, 5.73]      |          |
| Diploma            | 7.05  | [2.99, 11.12]      |          |
| University and above | 13.31 | [9.79, 16.83]      |          |
| **Mother’s education** |       |                    |          |
| Intermediate school or below | [Reference] | <0.001               |          |
| Secondary (high-school) | 4.37  | [0.11, 8.62]      |          |
| Diploma            | 8.23  | [3.98, 12.49]      |          |
| University and above | 14.09 | [10.26, 17.93]      |          |
| **Number of siblings** |       |                    |          |
| ≤2                 |       | [Reference]        | <0.001   |
| 3–4                | −3.99 | [−7.30, −0.69]     |          |
| ≥5                 | −8.86 | [−12.15, −5.57]    |          |
| **Father income**  |       |                    |          |
| Less than 500 KD   |       | [Reference]        | <0.001   |
| 500–1000 KD        | −2.66 | [−8.17, 2.84]      |          |
| 1001–1500 KD       | −8.35 | [−13.66, −3.05]    |          |
| 1501–2000 KD       | −2.50 | [−8.24, 3.23]      |          |
| More than 2000 KD  | 0.27  | [−5.71, 6.25]      |          |
| Do not wish to tell | −4.16 | [−10.22, 1.89]     |          |
| **Mother employment** |       |                    | 0.010    |
| Housewife          |       | [Reference]        |          |
| Paid employment    | 4.25  | [1.50, 7.01]       |          |
| Other              | 2.56  | [−1.21, 6.34]      |          |
| **Type of housing** |       |                    | <0.001   |
| Rented flat        |       | [Reference]        |          |
| Rented house       | −15.48| [−19.54, −11.41]   |          |
| Owned flat         | −4.44 | [−10.80, 1.90]     |          |
| Owned house        | −7.70 | [−10.37, −5.03]    |          |
| **Having private room at home** |       |                    |          |
| Yes                |       | [Reference]        | 0.027    |
| No                 | 2.83  | [0.32, 5.34]       |          |
| **Child birth order (Rank)** |       |                    | 0.007    |
| First              |       | [Reference]        |          |
| Second             | −1.71 | [−5.37, 1.97]      |          |
| Third              | −1.21 | [−5.06, 2.63]      |          |
| Fourth             | −3.29 | [−7.29, 0.71]      |          |
| Continued          |       |                    |          |
| Variables                                                                 | β     | (95% CI)       | p-value<sup>a</sup> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|---------------------|
| Fifth or above                                                           | −6.76 | [−10.51, −3.02] |                     |
| Passive smoking at home (Cigarettes)                                     |       |                |                     |
| No                                                                       | [Reference] |                | <0.001              |
| Yes                                                                      | −4.98 | [−7.59, −2.37]  |                     |
| Passive smoking at home (Shesha)                                         |       |                | 0.081               |
| No                                                                       | [Reference] |                |                     |
| Yes                                                                      | −4.69 | [−9.97, 0.58]   |                     |
| Frequency of consumption of breakfast prepared outside home              |       |                | 0.014               |
| Never or almost never                                                    | [Reference] |                |                     |
| 1–2 times per week                                                       | −2.19 | [−4.89, 0.52]   |                     |
| 3–4 times per week                                                       | −7.22 | [−11.95, −2.48] |                     |
| 5 or more times per week                                                 | −4.46 | [−9.91, 0.99]   |                     |
| Frequency of consumption of lunch prepared outside home                  |       |                | 0.002               |
| Never or almost never                                                    | [Reference] |                |                     |
| 1–2 times per week                                                       | 4.15  | [1.23, 7.08]    |                     |
| 3–4 times per week                                                       | 0.96  | [−3.98, 5.90]   |                     |
| 5 or more times per week                                                 | −4.52 | [−10.46, 1.43]  |                     |
| Frequency of consumption of dinner prepared outside home                 |       |                | 0.067               |
| Never or almost never                                                    | [Reference] |                |                     |
| 1–2 times per week                                                       | −1.46 | [−5.59, 2.66]   |                     |
| 3–4 times per week                                                       | −5.19 | [−10.00, −0.38] |                     |
| 5 or more times per week                                                 | −4.63 | [−10.68, 1.43]  |                     |
| Educational level (grade in the school)                                  |       |                | 0.032               |
| Six                                                                      | [Reference] |                |                     |
| Seventh                                                                  | 2.50  | [−0.46, 5.45]   |                     |
| Eights                                                                  | 3.90  | [0.86, 6.93]    |                     |
| Family member help in study subjects<sup>b</sup>                         |       |                | <0.001              |
| No                                                                       | [Reference] |                |                     |
| Yes, regularly                                                           | −11.90| [−15.46, −8.35] |                     |
| Yes, sometimes                                                           | −3.04 | [−6.41, 0.33]   |                     |
| Yes, rarely                                                              | −2.80 | [−7.90, 2.29]   |                     |
| Having personal tutor to help in any study subject<sup>b</sup>           |       |                | <0.001              |
| No                                                                       | [Reference] |                |                     |
| Yes                                                                      | −6.76 | [−9.27, −4.29]  |                     |
| Sleep during the weekdays (self-reported)                                |       |                | 0.474               |
| First tertile (<7.5 hours)                                               | [Reference] |                |                     |
| Second tertile (7.5 to <9 hours)                                        | 1.90  | [−1.16, 4.96]   |                     |
| Third tertile (≥ 9 hours)                                                | 0.86  | [−2.17, 3.90]   |                     |
| Sleeping nap during the day at weekdays                                  |       |                | 0.168               |
| No                                                                       | [Reference] |                |                     |
| Yes                                                                      | −1.75 | [−4.24, 0.74]   |                     |
| Sleep during the weekends (self-reported)                                |       |                | 0.042               |
| First tertile (<9 hours)                                                 | [Reference] |                |                     |
| Second tertile (9 to <11 hours)                                         | 0.35  | [−2.92, 3.62]   |                     |
| Third tertile (≥ 11 hours)                                               | −3.08 | [−6.45, 0.28]   |                     |
| Sleeping nap during the day at weekends                                  |       |                | 0.003               |
| No                                                                       | [Reference] |                |                     |
| Yes                                                                      | −6.8  | [−11.22, −2.39] |                     |
| Having medical condition that limits physical activities (self-reported) |       |                | 0.022               |
| No                                                                       | [Reference] |                |                     |
| Yes                                                                      | −4.54 | [−8.43, −0.66]  |                     |
| Time of the first meal during weekdays                                   |       |                | 0.033               |
| Before 7 am                                                              | [Reference] |                |                     |
| 7–8 am                                                                   | 3.06  | [−1.62, 7.75]   |                     |
| 8–9 am                                                                   | 11.97 | [3.43, 20.51]   |                     |
| 9–10 am                                                                  | 1.74  | [−1.06, 4.55]   |                     |
| After 10 am                                                              | 4.30  | [−1.30, 9.89]   |                     |

Continued
school days. However, if we consider the recommended duration of sleep for children aged 6–13 years (between 9 and 11 hours of sleep per night)50, approximately half of the participants slept between 9 and 11 hours per night on weekends. When we categorized the duration of sleep as per these recommendations, there was no association between cognitive functioning and duration of sleep on weekends or weekdays.

Previous studies have shown season of birth to be significantly associated with cognitive function, particularly non-verbal IQ51,52. Most of these studies were conducted in Europe or North America; and our study is the first to report a strong association between season of birth and cognitive function in Middle Eastern settings (Fig. 1). Several plausible mechanisms have been proposed to explain this association including variations in vitamin D levels during pregnancy, exposure to viral infections such as influenza in the winter season and poor prenatal nutrition in some seasons in addition to educational policies regarding school entry and sociocultural influences on timing of conception and birth 51. Generally, none of these mechanisms has offered a satisfactory explanation for the robust association between season of birth and cognitive function. In our study, we did not measure vitamin D during pregnancy but the current vitamin D levels of the adolescents was not associated with their cognitive function24. In our setting, there is no clear pattern in birth distribution that is likely to be related to sociocultural factors as has been described elsewhere 53. It is worth noting that season of birth has also been linked to various health outcomes and conditions including low birth weight52, schizophrenia54, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder55 and many others.

In low-income settings, it is estimated that many children do not reach their full cognitive potential due to poverty, insufficient nutrition and lack of access to health care. It is not clear what is the relative contribution of

| Variables                                                                 | β    | (95% CI)       | p-valuea |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----------------|----------|
| **Time of the first meal during weekends**                               |      |                |          |
| Before 7 am                                                              |      | [Reference]    | 0.100    |
| 7–8 am                                                                   | 8.91 | [−2.38,20.20]  |          |
| 8–9 am                                                                   | 9.29 | [−0.97,19.55]  |          |
| 9–10 am                                                                  | 10.22| [0.47,19.96]   |          |
| After 10 am                                                              | 6.87 | [−2.62,16.37]  |          |
| **Consumptions of sugary drinks per week**                               |      |                |          |
| Zero time                                                                | [Reference] | <0.001      |          |
| One per week times                                                       | −7.77| [−12.32, −3.22]|          |
| Two per week times                                                       | −6.36| [−10.72, −2.00]|          |
| Three per week times                                                     | −9.47| [−14.19, −4.76]|          |
| Four to six times                                                        | −11.64| [−16.63, −6.65]|          |
| Seven times or more                                                      | −15.28| [−19.68, −10.88]|         |
| **Currently taking vitamins or supplements**                             |      |                |          |
| No                                                                       | [Reference] | 0.671        |          |
| Yes                                                                      | −0.86| [−4.84,3.12]  |          |
| **Total time of physical activity**                                       |      |                |          |
| First tertile (Low)                                                      | [Reference] | 0.903        |          |
| Second tertile (Middle)                                                  | 0.20 | [−2.87,3.27]  |          |
| Third tertile (High)                                                     | 0.68 | [−2.39,3.75]  |          |
| **Body mass index as per WHO growth Charts**                             |      |                |          |
| Obesity                                                                  | [Reference] | 0.100        |          |
| Overweight                                                               | 2.65 | [−0.73,6.03]  |          |
| Normal                                                                   | 1.32 | [−1.55,4.19]  |          |
| Severe thinness/Thinness                                                 | −8.69| [−18.71,1.32] |          |
| **Hemoglobin level (as per WHO cutoffs)**                                |      |                |          |
| Not anemic                                                               | [Reference] |                  |          |
| Anemic                                                                   | −1.02| [−5.65,3.61]  | 0.665    |
| Iron (umol/L)                                                            | −0.01| [−0.24,0.22]  | 0.935    |
| Ferritin (ng/mL)                                                         | 0.01 | [−0.06,0.08]  | 0.827    |
| Transferrin (g/L)                                                        | −0.10| [−3.44,3.24]  | 0.953    |
| Transferrin saturation (%)                                               | −0.02| [−0.18,0.13]  | 0.753    |
| Vitamin D (nmol/L)                                                       | 0.03 | [−0.02,0.08]  | 0.234    |
| Parathyroid Hormone (pmol/L)                                             | −0.33| [−0.59,−0.07] | 0.011    |
| Vitamin B12 (pmol/L)                                                     | −0.03| [−0.08,0.02]  | 0.280    |
| Calcium (mmol/L)                                                         | 12.88| [−0.50,26.27] | 0.059    |
| Lead (5 ug/dL)                                                           | 0.04 | [−0.16,−0.24] | 0.684    |

Table 2. Association between Cognitive Function (Raven's Progressive Matrices scores) and various factors among adolescents in univariable analysis. *p*-values were generated by partial F-test. bThese factors were excluded from multivariate analysis because they were deemed to be a result of low cognitive functioning.
these factors in high-income settings such as in the oil-rich Arab states in the Middle East, where poverty has been eliminated, food is abundant and healthcare services are easily accessible. We found parental education to be significant independent predictor of cognitive functioning. Of note is the significant inverse association between father's income and SPM score of adolescents in univariable analysis (Table 2). Although this was not selected in the final model, it shows that parental education is far more important than income in our setting. Our findings

| Variables                          | β     | (95% CI)          | p-value* |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------|----------|
| Gender                            |       |                    |          |
| Male                              |       | [Reference]        | 0.002    |
| Female                            | 3.92  | [1.46, 6.38]       |          |
| Season of birth                   |       |                    |          |
| Summer (May, June, July)          |       | [Reference]        | 0.009    |
| Fall (August, September, October) | −3.81 | [−7.16, −0.46]     |          |
| Winter (November, December, January) | −4.87 | [−8.19, −1.56]    |          |
| Spring (February, March, April)   | −5.24 | [−8.64, −1.84]     |          |
| Province                          |       |                    | <0.001   |
| Capital                           |       | [Reference]        |          |
| Hawally                           | 0.76  | [−3.73, 5.26]      |          |
| Farawanya                         | −9.97 | [−14.61, −5.32]    |          |
| Jahra                             | −6.07 | [−11.08, −1.06]    |          |
| Mubarak al-Kabeer                 | 1.69  | [−3.38, 6.77]      |          |
| Ahmadi                            | −0.83 | [−5.18, 3.52]      |          |
| Father’s education                |       |                    | <0.001   |
| Intermediate school or below      |       | [Reference]        |          |
| Secondary (high-school)           | −0.18 | [−4.01, 3.65]      |          |
| Diploma                           | 1.93  | [−2.27, 6.13]      |          |
| University and above              | 6.27  | [2.42, 10.12]      |          |
| Mother’s education                |       |                    | 0.025    |
| Intermediate school or below      |       | [Reference]        |          |
| Secondary (high-school)           | 1.89  | [−2.45, 6.22]      |          |
| Diploma                           | 1.37  | [−3.13, 5.87]      |          |
| University and above              | 5.19  | [0.96, 9.43]       |          |
| Type of housing                   |       | <0.001             |          |
| Rented flat                       |       | [Reference]        |          |
| Rented house                      | −7.93 | [−12.22, −3.63]    |          |
| Owned flat                        | −1.94 | [−8.24, 4.36]      |          |
| Owned house                       | −4.64 | [−7.42, −1.85]     |          |
| Cigarettes smoking at home        |       | [Reference]        |          |
| No                                | −2.79 | [−5.34, −0.25]     | 0.031    |
| Sleep hours during the weekends   |       |                    | 0.017    |
| First tertile (<9 hours)          |       | [Reference]        |          |
| Second tertile (9 to<11 hours)    | 0.10  | [−2.94, 3.33]      |          |
| Third tertile (≥11 hours)         | −3.49 | [−6.76, −0.22]     |          |
| Grade level                       |       | <0.001             |          |
| Six                               |       | [Reference]        |          |
| Seventh                           | 3.17  | [0.32, 6.03]       |          |
| Eights                            | 5.60  | [2.68, 8.54]       |          |
| Consumptions of soft drinks per week |     |                    | <0.001   |
| Zero time                         |       | [Reference]        |          |
| One per week times                | −6.46 | [−10.81, −2.10]    |          |
| Two per week times                | −3.60 | [−7.77, 0.56]      |          |
| Three per week times              | −6.24 | [−10.81, −1.67]    |          |
| Four to six times                 | −5.93 | [10.87, −1.00]     |          |
| Seven times or more               | −9.92 | [−14.30, −5.54]    |          |
| Parathyroid Hormone (pmol/L)      | −0.23 | [−0.47, 0.01]      | 0.065    |

Table 3. Factors associated with cognitive function (Raven’s Progressive Matrices scores) in multivariable analysis. *p-values were generated by partial F-test.
are substantially different from that reported in low-income countries such as Uganda, where maternal education was not associated with cognitive outcomes\(^5^6\). In that study, however, the authors adjusted for home environment which was related to maternal education. The mechanisms by which socioeconomic status affects cognitive abilities is complex and has been debated extensively. There is a growing body of literature which suggests that socioeconomic status modifies the heritability of cognitive function probably by epigenetic mechanisms, with early poverty having a greater impact on cognitive functions compared to poverty at middle or late-childhood\(^2^2\).

In our study, none of the laboratory measurements including Hb, iron, ferritin, transferrin, vitamin B\(_12\) or calcium were found to be significant predictors of cognitive functioning as measured by Raven's SPM. Iron deficiency with or without anemia has been reported to be associated with low cognitive function, although studies have acknowledged the difficulty of disentangling the impact of iron deficiency from the effect of low socioeconomic background where iron deficiency or iron deficiency anemia prevail\(^5^7,5^8\). Most studies that showed an association between iron deficiency and cognitive function used electrophysiological measures of neurocognitive processing rather than neurocognitive performance such as cognitive tests. Similar to our findings, previous studies that used SPM as a measure of cognitive ability have reported no association between iron status and SPM scores\(^5^9\). Furthermore, it has been suggested that there is a critical period during early childhood (infants and preschool children) during which iron deficiency might have long-term effects on cognitive functions\(^6^0–6^2\). Therefore, iron status during adolescence may not yield clear associations with cognitive functions. Finally, it is worth noting that only <8% of the participants were anemic as per WHO cutoff points (Hb < 12 g/dl for children 12−14.99 years, Hb < 13 g/dl for males 15+ years and Hb < 12 g/dl for females 15+ years).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate factors associated with cognitive functioning among healthy adolescents in the oil-rich Arab states in the Middle East, where socioeconomic status has improved substantially in recent decades. We selected a nationally representative sample of adolescents and used Raven's SPM, which is a nonverbal and culture fair intelligence test in order to minimize the influence of these factors. SPM is the most widely used nonverbal intelligence test; and has been reported to provide reliable data on cognitive functioning, even among children with disabilities\(^6^3\). In our study, SPM scores were highly correlated with students’ academic performance (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient = 0.45; \(p < 0.001\) with mathematics, Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient = 0.34; \(p < 0.001\) with science, and Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient = 0.43; \(p < 0.001\) with the overall school performance), which boosted our confidence in the test. However, SPM is not the only measure of cognitive abilities. In fact, cognitive ability has several domains some of which are not precisely measured by Raven’s SPM such as verbal cognition, executive functioning and processing speed. Also, the study was cross-sectional making it difficult to identify the temporal relationship between several factors and cognitive functions. In our analysis, we used an exploratory approach with the aim of finding the strongest independent predictors of cognitive function regardless of their position in the causal pathway. Finally, we did not collect data on home environment indicators (parental interaction, provision of stimulation and early education) or on depression among adolescents.

In the oil-rich countries in the Middle East, where socioeconomic status has improved materially in the last few decades, parental education (but not income) in addition to season of birth and consumption of sugary drinks, were the main predictors of cognitive functioning as measured by the Raven's SPM while obesity and laboratory markers such as Hb, iron, ferritin and calcium were all not significantly associated with cognitive functioning among adolescents. The link between cognitive functioning and season of birth seems to be robust in various geographical locations including the Middle East. The association between sugary drinks and cognitive functioning highlights the importance of diet independently of obesity and support efforts to reduce consumption of sugary drinks among children.

**Data availability**

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

---

**Figure 1.** Raven’s Progressive Matrices scores by month of birth.
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