Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Sulphur on Yield, Nutrient Uptake and Soil Fertility after Harvest of Mustard (Brassica juncea L.)
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Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at Agronomy Instructional Farm, C.P. College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat during rabi season of the year 2018-19 to study the effect of different fertility levels on yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility after harvest of mustard. The experiment comprising of twelve treatment combinations viz., three levels of nitrogen (50, 75 and 100kg N/ha), two levels of phosphorus (50 and 75 kg P₂O₅/ha) and two levels of sulphur (40 and 60 kg S/ha) were evaluated in randomized block design with factorial concept and three replications. The soil of the experimental field was loamy sand in texture, low in organic carbon (0.18 %) and available nitrogen (171 kg/ha), medium in available P₂O₅ (37.2 kg/ha) and low in available sulphur (6.8 kg/ha) with soil pH of 7.3. Results revealed that higher yield, nutrient content and uptake of nutrient of mustard and higher available nutrient status in soil can be secured by the application of 75 kg N/ha along with 50 kg P₂O₅/ha and 40 kg S/ha in loamy sand soil of North Gujarat Agro-climatic conditions.
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Introduction

Oilseed crops occupy an important place in Indian agricultural economy as well as in human life. They are not only rich sources of energy and carriers of fat soluble vitamins ‘A’, ‘D’, ‘E’ and ‘K’ but they form the ingredients of foods and flavours; cosmetics and condiments; soap and detergents; lubricants and laxatives and also known for their medical and therapeutic use. Rapeseed-mustard is the third most important edible oilseed crop in India having 30 to 48 per cent oil content after soybean and groundnut.

Mustard seed has 28 to 36 per cent protein content with a high nutritive value. Mustard is one of the major sources of oil in India. Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.] is the most important rabi season oilseed crop, which thrives best in light to heavy loam soil in areas having 25 to 40 cm
rainfall. Mustard oil is mainly used for cooking, frying and in pickles. Oil is also used in preparing vegetable ghee, hair oil, medicines, soaps, lubricating oil and in tanning industries. The oil cake left after extraction is utilized as cattle feed and manure. Its oil cake contains 5.2, 1.8 and 1.2 per cent N, P and K, respectively. The green tender plant is used for preparing vegetable commonly called “Sarsonkasaag”. The whole seed is used in preparing pickle and flavouring vegetable and curries.

Worldwide, India is the fourth largest mustard producer. The mustard yield can be increased to 2 to 2.5 t/ha by adopting the improved agronomic practices. Fertilizer management plays an important role for increasing the productivity of mustard, which can be realized by providing plant nutrients in required amount along with its suitable application during crop growth period. The chemical fertilizers being used for supplementing the major nutrient are generally either deficient or low in sulphur content. The importance of sulphur fertilization for increasing yield and quality of Indian mustard is being increasingly recognized. However, the information regarding optimum level of sulphur and its influences on seed yield and quality of mustard is meager. Probably for these reasons, mustard crop needs comparatively higher amount of sulphur for proper growth and development and higher yields.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Instructional Farm, Chimanbhai Patel College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat during rabi season of the year 2018-19. The soil of the experimental field was loamy sand in texture, low in organic carbon (0.18 %) and available nitrogen (171 kg/ha), medium in available \( P_2O_5 \) (37.2 kg/ha) and low in available sulphur (6.8 kg/ha) with soil pH of 7.3. There were twelve treatment combinations consisting of three levels of nitrogen (50, 75 and 100 kg N/ha), two levels of phosphorus (50 and 75 kg \( P_2O_5 \)/ha) and two levels of sulphur (40 and 60 kg S/ha) evaluated in randomized block design with factorial concept and three replications. The seeds of mustard variety GDM-4 were sown manually on October 30, 2018 by maintaining 45 cm × 15 cm distance between two rows and two plants at a depth of 3 cm in previously opened furrows using seed rate of 3.75 kg/ha. The experimental plots were fertilized as per treatments. Nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur were applied as per the treatment through urea, DAP and gypsum, respectively. The total quantity of phosphorus, gypsum and half dose of nitrogen were applied in opened furrow at the time of sowing as per treatments. The remaining half dose of nitrogen was top dressed at 30 days after sowing at the time of third irrigation. Recommended practices of the region were followed for raising the crop. The observations recorded for growth and yield attributes, yield and quality parameters were put to the statistical analysis in accordance with analysis of variation techniques as suggested by Fisher (1950) for randomized block design (RBD) with factorial concept.

Results and Discussion

Effect of nitrogen

Perusal of data presented in Table 1 indicated that application of 100 kg N/ha (N2) reported significantly higher seed (2485 kg/ha) and stover (5208 kg/ha). On an average, the application of 100 kg N/ha (N3) and 75 kg N/ha (N2) increased seed yield to the tune of 15.6 and 10.8 per cent over the 50 kg N/ha (N1), respectively. Higher yield in these
treatments might be due to cumulative effect of elevated growth structure as well as yield structure. Increase in seed yield was mainly because of remarkable improvement in growth and yield attributing characters and ultimately resulted from higher nitrogen levels that provide nutrition to the plant ultimately resulted in maximum seed yield and stover yield. This result was corroborated by Sah et al., (2006) and Dawson et al., (2009). Effect of nitrogen found significant on nitrogen content in seed (3.28 %) and Stover (0.45 %) by application of 100 kg N/ha (N₂), while phosphorus and sulphur content in seed and stover was found non-significant. Treatment N₃ (100 kg N/ha) recorded significantly higher nitrogen (81.48 and 23.34 kg/ha), phosphorus (13.64 and 10.63 kg/ha) and sulphur (10.07 and 6.99 kg/ha) seed and stover uptake respectively. Significantly higher nitrogen content in seed and Stover might be due to relatively higher with increasing level of nitrogen. Since the concentration of nitrogen and dry matter production increased with nitrogen application, the uptake of nutrients also increases. The higher percentage of nitrogen was recorded in seed. It is because of the ability of nitrogen to more towards reproductive organs. Since most of nutrients (N, P and S) in seed is relocated from their reserves in vegetative parts, better nutritional conditions of soil with balanced fertilization seems to be on account of their higher concentration in plants. The positive effect of nitrogen on P content and uptake might be due to increase in the photosynthesis, which resulted into the accumulation of higher quantity of carbohydrates in the vegetative portion of the plants and ultimately enhancing the uptake of nutrients. It was confirmed with the finding of Ameta et al., (2014), Parmar et al., (2011) and Tripathi et al., (2011). Increased available N in soil (180.93 kg/ha) with higher level of nitrogen treatment might be assign due to additional application of nitrogen in soil and non-significant effect of nitrogen on phosphorus and sulphur soil nutrient status. Increasing nutrient status of nitrogen in soil might be due to availability of applied nitrogen in soil

**Effect of phosphorus**

A perusal of data in Table 1 indicated that different levels of phosphorus failed to exert significant differences for biological yields, nitrogen and sulphur content and uptake from seed and stover. An application of phosphorus 75 kg P₂O₅/ha (P₂) was recorded significantly the maximum phosphorus content in seed (0.60 %) and stover (0.21 %) and phosphorus uptake by seed (14.37 kg/ha) and stover (10.56 kg/ha). Higher phosphorus content in seed and stover might be due to Phosphorus being responsible for synthesis of DNA and RNA and as an ingredient of phospho-proteins plays a central role in synthesis of proteins. Improvement in nutrient concentration in seed and stover due to N and P. The considerable increase in P uptake by seed and stover could be attributed to the fact that P stimulates the early root development and growth and thereby efficient utilization of nutrients from the deeper soil layer.

A significant influence on these nutrient uptakes by seed and straw due to increase in concentration along with increase in yield of seed and stover. The results of investigation are in close agreement with those reported by, Jadav et al., (2016) and Singh and Thenua (2016). An application of phosphorus @ 75 kg P₂O₅/ha(P₁) recorded significantly higher available P₂O₅(39.77 kg/ha) in the soil (Table 2). These might be due to enhanced microbial activity by P application in rhizosphere through development of fibrous and deep root system of plant there by recycled the nutrients from deeper layer to upper layer of soil. Similar results were observed by Trivedi et al., (2013) and Jadav et al., (2016).
Table.1 Effect of fertility levels on content of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur in seed and stover of mustard under north Gujarat condition

| Treatments  | Seed yield (kg/ha) | Stover yield (kg/ha) | Nitrogen content (%) | Phosphorus content (%) | Sulphur content (%) | Nitrogen uptake (kg/ha) | Phosphorus uptake (kg/ha) | Sulphur uptake (kg/ha) |
|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
|             | Seed               | Stover               | Seed                 | Stover                | Seed                | Stover                 | Seed                      | Stover                 |
| Nitrogen levels(N) : | | | | | | | | |
| N₀ :50 kg/ha | 2150               | 4634                 | 3.07                 | 0.39                  | 0.539               | 0.194                  | 0.392                     | 0.128                  | 65.99                 | 17.90               | 11.67               | 9.00               | 8.42               | 5.93               |
| N₁: 75 kg/ha | 2382               | 5143                 | 3.23                 | 0.43                  | 0.528               | 0.203                  | 0.390                     | 0.130                  | 76.96                 | 22.14               | 12.60               | 10.46              | 9.30               | 6.68               |
| N₂100 kg/ha | 2485               | 5208                 | 3.28                 | 0.45                  | 0.548               | 0.204                  | 0.405                     | 0.134                  | 81.48                 | 23.34               | 13.64               | 10.63              | 10.07              | 6.99               |
| S.Em.±      | 72                 | 128                  | 0.05                 | 0.01                  | 0.008               | 0.003                  | 0.005                     | 0.002                  | 2.64                  | 0.73                | 0.48                | 0.29               | 0.32               | 0.19               |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 210               | 375                  | 0.14                 | 0.02                  | NS                  | NS                     | NS                        | NS                     | 7.74                  | 2.14                | 1.42               | 0.85               | 0.93               | 0.57               |
| Phosphorus levels(P) : | | | | | | | | |
| P₀:50 kg/ha | 2290               | 4880                 | 3.17                 | 0.42                  | 0.475               | 0.195                  | 0.392                     | 0.130                  | 72.73                 | 20.37               | 10.90               | 9.50               | 8.99               | 6.34               |
| P₁: 75 kg/ha | 2387               | 5110                 | 3.21                 | 0.43                  | 0.601               | 0.206                  | 0.399                     | 0.131                  | 76.89                 | 21.89               | 14.37               | 10.56              | 9.53               | 6.72               |
| S.Em.±      | 59                 | 104                  | 0.04                 | 0.01                  | 0.006               | 0.003                  | 0.004                     | 0.002                  | 2.16                  | 0.60                | 0.39               | 0.24               | 0.26               | 0.16               |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | NS                | NS                   | NS                   | NS                    | 0.019               | 0.008                  | NS                        | NS                     | 1.16                  | 1.02                | NS                 | NS                 | NS                 | NS                 |
| Sulphur levels(S) : | | | | | | | | |
| S₀: 40 kg/ha | 2315               | 4902                 | 3.14                 | 0.42                  | 0.534               | 0.199                  | 0.383                     | 0.199                  | 72.95                 | 20.46               | 12.43               | 9.76               | 8.89               | 6.23               |
| S₁:60 kg/ha | 2362               | 5088                 | 3.24                 | 0.43                  | 0.542               | 0.202                  | 0.408                     | 0.202                  | 76.66                 | 21.80               | 12.84               | 10.30              | 9.64               | 6.83               |
| S.Em.±      | 59                 | 104                  | 0.04                 | 0.01                  | 0.006               | 0.003                  | 0.004                     | 0.003                  | 2.16                  | 0.60                | 0.39               | 0.24               | 0.26               | 0.16               |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | NS                | NS                   | NS                   | NS                    | NS                  | NS                     | NS                        | NS                     | NS                    | NS                  | NS                 | NS                 | NS                 | NS                 |
| Interactions : | NS                | NS                   | NS                   | NS                    | NS                  | NS                     | NS                        | NS                     | NS                    | NS                  | NS                 | NS                 | NS                 | NS                 |
| C.V. (%)     | 10.62              | 8.86                 | 5.23                 | 5.72                  | 5.07                | 5.82                   | 4.79                      | 4.95                   | 12.23                 | 11.96               | 13.24               | 9.98               | 11.91              | 10.31              |
Table 2 Effect of fertility levels on available N, P$_2$O$_5$ and S status of soil after harvest of mustard under north Gujarat condition

| Treatments          | Available nutrient status in soil |          |          |          |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                     | N (kg/ha)                        | P$_2$O$_5$ (kg/ha) | S (mg/kg) |
| Nitrogen levels (kg/ha) |                                  |          |          |          |
| N$_0$:50 kg/ha      | 173.81                           | 38.26    | 7.59     |
| N$_1$:75 kg/ha      | 178.39                           | 38.30    | 7.69     |
| N$_2$:100 kg/ha     | 180.93                           | 39.50    | 7.70     |
| S.Em.+              | 1.70                             | 0.53     | 0.08     |
| C.D. (P=0.05)       | 4.98                             | NS       | NS       |
| Phosphorus levels   |                                  |          |          |          |
| P$_0$:50 kg/ha      | 176.97                           | 37.60    | 7.62     |
| P$_1$:75 kg/ha      | 178.45                           | 39.77    | 7.71     |
| S.Em.+              | 1.39                             | 0.43     | 0.07     |
| C.D. (P=0.05)       | NS                               | 1.26     | NS       |
| Sulphur levels      |                                  |          |          |          |
| S$_0$:40 kg/ha      | 176.02                           | 38.47    | 7.49     |
| S$_1$:60 kg/ha      | 179.40                           | 38.91    | 7.83     |
| S.Em.+              | 1.39                             | 0.43     | 0.07     |
| C.D. (P=0.05)       | NS                               | NS       | 0.20     |
| Interactions :      |                                  |          |          |          |
| C.V. (%)            | 3.31                             | 4.72     | 3.69     |
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Effect of sulphur

A perusal of data in Table 1 indicated that different levels of sulphur had failed to exert their significant influence on yield of mustard. An application of sulphur 60 kg/ha exerted its notable impact on increment of S content in seed (0.41 %). This was mainly due to greater availability of sulphur in soil, increased the S concentration. The increase in sulphur concentration was also reported by Neha et al., (2014) and Singh and Thenua (2016).

There was significant increase in sulphur uptake by stover with application of 60 kg S/ha (6.83 kg/ha) over 40 kg S/ha. There was no significant increase in sulphur uptake by mustard seed. Increased uptake of sulphur by mustard with sulphur treatment might be assign due to increase in seed and stover yields and increase sulphur content of seed and stover which in term enhanced the uptake (Pachauri et al., (2012), Rai et al., (2014) and Singh and Thenua (2016).

An application of sulphur 60 kg/ha(Si) registered significantly higher available S content (7.83 mg/kg) in soil. The increase in available nutrient status in soil with S application might be due to stimulating effect of sulphur on mineralization of nutrients in soil and provide favorable condition for microbial activity as well as chemical activity, which resulted higher availability of nutrients in soil (Jadav et al., (2016).

Interaction effect

The interaction effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur levels was not found significant on seed yield, nutrient content and uptake and available nutrients in soil after harvest.

From the ongoing results discussion it can be concluded that mustard crop should be fertilized with 75 kg N/ha along with 50 kg P2O5/ha and 40 kg S/ha for obtaining higher seed yield, nutrient content and uptake and available nutrients in soil after harvest in loamy sand soil of North Gujarat Agro-climatic conditions.
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