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ABSTRACT

In December 2019, a new virus called SARS-CoV-2 was reported in China and quickly spread to other parts of the world. The development of SARS-COV-2 vaccines has recently received much attention from numerous researchers. The present study aims to design an effective multi-epitope vaccine against SARS-COV-2 using the reverse vaccinology method. In this regard, structural proteins from SARS-COV-2, including the spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M), and nucleocapsid (N) proteins, were selected as target antigens for epitope prediction. A total of five helper T lymphocytes (HTL) and five cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) epitopes were selected after screening the predicted epitopes for antigenicity, allergenicity, and toxicity. Subsequently, the selected HTL and CTL epitopes were fused via flexible linkers. Next, the cholera toxin B-subunit (CTxB) as an adjuvant was linked to the N-terminal of the chimeric structure. The proposed vaccine was analyzed for the properties of physicochemical, antigenicity, and allergenicity. The 3D model of the vaccine construct was predicted and docked with the Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4). The molecular dynamics (MD) simulation was performed to evaluate the stable interactions between the vaccine construct and TLR4. The immune simulation was also conducted to explore the immune responses induced by the vaccine. Finally, *in silico* cloning of the vaccine construct into the pET-28 (+) vector was conducted. The results obtained from all bioinformatics analysis stages were satisfactory; however, *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests are essential to validate these results.

1. Introduction

In December 2019, a new virus called SARS-CoV-2 was reported in China and quickly spread to other parts of the world. The disease caused by this virus was officially named COVID-19 by the World Health Organization (WHO) [1]. The WHO has reported 119030459 cases and 2640349 deaths due to COVID-19, as of March 14, 2021 [2]. SARS-COV-2 transmission pathways include direct and indirect transmission. Direct transmission occurs through respiratory droplets and saliva when coughing, sneezing, and close contact. Indirect transmission is also possible due to contaminated surfaces where the virus may remain and act as a secondary source [3,4]. The most common symptoms of COVID-19 are fever, cough, muscle soreness, and fatigue [5,6]. Patients with moderate to severe disease suffer from shortness of breath [5]. Moreover, bloody sputum was reported in a small percentage of patients [5]. Headaches and gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting,
and diarrhea are not common but may occur [7].

The Coronaviridae family consists of four genera, including alpha, beta, delta, and gamma [8]. The human coronavirus HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-229E belong to the alphacoronavirus genus, while HCoV-HKU-1, HCoV-MERS, HCoV-SARS, and HCoV-OC-43 belong to the betacoronavirus genus [9]. SARS-CoV-2 was also classified as a betacoronavirus after the identification of its gene sequence [10]. Alpha and betacoronaviruses mainly infect mammals and cause disease in humans and animals [11]. On the other hand, gamma and delta coronaviruses mainly infect wild birds [12].

SARS-CoV-2 is an enveloped RNA virus, the genome of that is a positive single-stranded ribonucleic acid about 29.9 kb in length [13]. The virus genome consists of 14 open reading frames (ORFs) that encode 27 proteins [14]. About two-thirds of the virus genome includes ORF1a and ORF1b, which encode 16 nonstructural proteins (NSPs) [15], while the remaining third of the genome contains eight accessory proteins, including orf14, 9b, 8b, 7b, 7a, p6, 3b, and 3a, and four structural proteins, including N, M, E, and S.

The entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the host cells requires the identification of specific proteins of the cell surface that act as receptors for the S protein. The virus uses angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a cellular receptor to enter the host cell [16]. The E protein is an integral protein in the membrane that plays a crucial role in various stages such as virulence, assembly, and morphogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 [17]. One of the significant functional components of SARS-CoV-2 is the M protein, which plays an essential role in maintaining the size and shape of the virus [18]. The N protein helps to replicate and package viral RNA in the virus [19].

Despite advances in treating infectious diseases, pathogenic microorganisms still appear to be the greatest threat to public health. Although conventional vaccines have played a key role in eradicating some pathogens, their production methods require the cultivation of microorganisms [20], a time-consuming process that can only detect antigens that are produced in large quantities, while protein abundance does not mean that they are immunogenic [21], on the other hand, these methods will not work for uncultivable microorganisms [22]. Recent advancements in genome sequencing and the advent of computer biotechnology have led to new methods, including reverse vaccinology [23], which uses genetic data and computer algorithms to develop vaccines [24]. This method has several advantages over conventional

Fig. 1. Flow chart of methods used for in silico design of the multi-epitope vaccine.
vaccination, such as reducing the cost and time of vaccine development, detecting antigens found in small amounts, and allowing for the study of uncultivable or risky pathogens [22].

The development of SARS-COV-2 vaccines has recently received much attention from numerous researchers. This study aims to design an effective multi-epitope vaccine against SARS-COV-2 using the reverse vaccination methodology. In this regard, CTL and HTL epitopes of S, M, N, and E proteins were predicted and linked together by appropriate linkers. CTxB as an adjuvant was linked to the N-terminal of the chimeric structure using one EAAAK linker. Subsequently, the vaccine candidate was evaluated for the physicochemical properties, antigenicity, and allergenicity. Furthermore, several analyzes were also performed, including the prediction of secondary and 3D models of the vaccine construct, molecular docking, MD simulation, immune simulation, and in silico cloning of the vaccine construct (Fig. 1).

2. Methodology

2.1. Retrieval of the amino acid sequence of the target proteins

Amino acid sequences of the target proteins and adjuvant were retrieved from the NCBI database in FASTA format; their accession number are presented in Table 1.

2.2. T-cell epitopes prediction and selection

NetCTL 1.2 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetCTL/) was used to predict the CTL epitopes for target proteins (S, M, N, and E) [25]. The sensitivity and specificity of the epitope predicted by the server are 54–89% and 94–99%, respectively. The server predicted epitopes based on three strategies, including TAP transport efficiency, MHC-I binding peptides, and proteasomal C-terminal cleavage. In this study, 12 MHC class I subtypes were selected to predict CTL epitopes, and the threshold score for weight on C terminal cleavage, weight on TAP transport efficiency, and epitope identification were set at 0.15, 0.05, and 0.75, respectively. Using NetMHCII 2.3 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetMHCII/), HTL epitopes were predicted [26]. The server used ANN to predict epitopes. In this study, HLA-DR, HLA-D, and HLA-DQ alleles were selected to predict HTL epitopes, and the threshold was set at 2% and 10% for strong binder and weak binder, respectively.

Since there were a large number of predicted epitopes and the vaccine construct is limited in size, the best epitope should be selected from among them. In this regard, epitopes that had a binding affinity with more MHC alleles and thus cover a major population were selected [27, 28]. A higher antigenicity score for an epitope indicates that it can play a significant role in initiating the immune response [29]. To ensure the safety of epitopes, their toxicity and allergenicity should be checked [30, 31]. Therefore, the selected epitopes from the previous step were screened for antigenicity, toxicity, and allergenicity using VaxiJen v2.0 (http://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/vaxijen/VaxiJen/VaxiJen.html), ToxinPred (https://webs.iiitd.edu.in/raghu/toxinpred/design.php), and AllerTOP v. 2.0 (https://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/AllerTOP/), respectively. VaxiJen v2.0 classifies antigens solely based on protein physicochemical characteristics without using sequence alignment. The accuracy of the antigenicity prediction by the VaxiJen v2.0 server is between 70% and 89% [32–34]. In this analysis, the virus was selected as the target organism, and the antigenicity threshold was set at 0.4. AllerTOP v2.0 server uses machine learning approaches, including auto and cross variance transformation, amino acid E-descriptors, and the k nearest neighbors to predict the allergenicity of proteins and peptides [35]. ToxinPred server predicts the toxicity of peptides along with their physicochemical properties such as molecular weight, hydropathicity, pl, charge, hydrophilicity, hydrophobicity, and amphipathicity [36].

Not all HTL epitopes are capable of inducing the production of cytokines, and the cytokines produced by each may be different. IFN-γ is an important cytokine in innate and adaptive immune systems and activates immune responses against bacteria, parasites, and particularly viruses [37,38]. Induction of IL-4 and IL-10 by HTL epitopes in the vaccine construct also helps activate cytotoxic T cells, macrophages, and B cells [39]. Therefore, the HTL epitopes were evaluated for induction of IFN-γ, IL-4, and IL-10. IFNepitope server (https://webs.iiitd.edu.in/raghava/ifnepitope/design.php) was used to predict IFN-γ-inducing HTL epitopes [40]. Furthermore, the SVM-based approach and IFN-γ versus other cytokine were selected to predict IFN-γ inducing epitopes. The ability of HTL epitopes to induce IL-4 was assessed using the IL4pred server (https://webs.iiitd.edu.in/raghava/il4pred/design.php) [41]. Subsequently, the IL-10Pred server (https://webs.iiitd.edu.in/raghava/il10pred/predict3.php) was used to evaluate HTL epitopes for IL-10 induction [42].

2.3. Vaccine design

The selected CTL and HTL epitopes were used as the basic components of the vaccine. The HTL epitopes were fused via GPGPG linkers, whereas KK linkers were used for linking CTL epitopes. The linkers improve the presentation of epitopes [43]. Glycine-rich linkers, such as GPGPG, help improve solubility and allow adjacent domains to act freely [44]. Furthermore, CTxB was attached by an EAAAK linker as an adjuvant to the N-terminal of the chimeric sequences to enhance the immunogenicity of the vaccine candidate. CTxB is the nontoxic segment (124 amino acids) of cholera toxin that can improve the cellular and humoral immune responses [45].

2.4. Evaluation of the physicochemical properties, allergenicity, and antigenicity of the proposed vaccine

Protparam server (https://web.expasy.org/protparam/) was used to predict the physicochemical parameters of the vaccine, such as the number of amino acids, amino acid composition, molecular weight (MW), theoretical pl, half-life, instability index, aliphatic index, and grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY) [46]. The AllerTOP v. 2.0 server was used to evaluate the allergenicity of the vaccine. VaxiJen v2.0 and ANTIGENpro (http://scratch.proteomics.ics.ucl.ac.uk/) were used to predict the antigenicity of the vaccine construct. ANTIGENpro predicts protein antigenicity independent of pathogens and based on the protein sequence. The prediction of this server is performed in two stages, based on five machine learning algorithms and multiple representations of the initial sequence [47].

2.5. Prediction of secondary structure

The Prabi server (https://npsa-prabi.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/npsa_automat.pl?page=NPSA/npsa_gor4.html) was used to predict the percentage of the secondary structure elements of the multi-epitope vaccine. This server uses the GOR IV method to predict a secondary structure with a mean accuracy of 64.4% [48].

2.6. 3D model prediction, refinement, and validation of multi-epitope vaccine

I-TASSER server (https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/
I-TASSER/) was used to predict the 3D structure of the vaccine construct. I-TASSER provides a platform for the prediction of high-quality tertiary structure models from the amino acid sequences. This server generates the 3D structures from the amino acid sequence by reassembling excised fragments from threading templates and reports a C-score to evaluate the accuracy of the predicted models [49–51]. 3D refine server (http://sysbio.rnet.missouri.edu/3Drefine/) was used to improve the quality of the 3D structure of the selected model [52–54]. Model validation is an essential step in comparing the quality of the unrefined model with the refined model [55]. PROCHECK (https://saves.mbi.ucla.edu/), ERRAT (https://saves.mbi.ucla.edu/), and ProSA-web (https://prosa.services.came.sbg.ac.at/prosa.php) were used to validate the 3D model of the vaccine construct. PROCHECK generates the Ramachandran plot, showing the percentage of amino acids presented in the favoured, allowed, and disallowed regions [56, 57]. ERRAT conducts a statistical analysis of non-bonded interactions between various atoms in protein structures [58]. ProSA-web calculates the overall quality score for the protein structure. If the computed score is outside the range characteristic of native proteins, it indicates potential errors in the protein structure [59,60].

2.7. B-cell epitopes prediction

The BCPred server (http://ailab-projects1.ist.psu.edu:8080/bcpred/predict.html) was used to predict linear B-cell epitopes. The server predicts linear B-cell epitopes using a subsequence kernel-based SVM classifier with an accuracy of 74.57% [61,62]. In the present study, the vaccine sequence was used as input without changing other default parameter values. The Ellipro server (http://tools.iedb.org/ellipro/) was used to predict discontinuous B-cell epitopes utilizing residue clustering algorithms and Tornton’s approach [63]. In this analysis, the refined 3D model of the vaccine was used as input, and the minimum score and maximum distance were set at 0.5 and 6 Å, respectively.

2.8. Molecular docking

Molecular docking is a computational method used to predict the complex structure and interaction of ligands and receptors at the atomic level. Accordingly, ClusPro 2.0 server (https://cluspro.org/login.php) was used to perform molecular docking between the vaccine construct and TLR4 (PDB ID: 4GA8) [64–66]. The refined models of vaccine construct and TLR4 were submitted to the server as ligand and receptor, respectively. LigPlot software was used to map bonds formed between residues of vaccine construct and TLR4 in the docked complex [67].

2.9. MD simulation

The GROMACS 2019.6 software was used to perform the MD simulation. This software predicts the behavior of ligands and receptors over a period of time using Newton’s laws of atomic and molecular motion [68–70]. The f99SB force field was used for the preparation of the input structure. Next, sodium and chloride ions were used to neutralize the surface charge of the structure. Moreover, the protein was inserted into a layer of TIP3P water molecules with a thickness of 10 Å using gmx solvate software. The energy minimization of the structures was carried out using the steepest descent method to eliminate van der Waals interactions and hydrogen bonds forming between water and complex molecules. Subsequently, the system temperature was gradually increased from 0 to 300 K with a duration of 200 ps in constant volume, and the system was then equilibrated at constant pressure. The MD simulation was performed at a temperature of 300 K and a period of 40 ns. Finally, the root mean square deviation (RMSD) and the root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of the ligand and receptor were calculated.

2.10. Immune simulation

C-ImmSim server (http://150.146.2.1/C-IMMSIM/index.php?page=1) was used to characterize the immune response profile of the designed multi-epitope vaccine. This server is an agent-based simulator that predicts cellular and humoral immune responses to specific antigens using the position-specific scoring matrix (PSSM) and machine learning approaches [71]. In this analysis, random seed, simulation volume, and simulation step parameters were set at 12345, 10, 270, respectively. Two injections with time steps of 1 and 63 were considered for the proposed vaccine. The rest of the parameters were kept as the default values [72].

2.11. Codon optimization and in silico cloning of the vaccine construct

Java Codon Adaptation Tool (JCat) was used to reverse translation and codon optimization of the multi-epitope vaccine [73]. E. coli (strain K12) was selected as the host organism to express the vaccine construct. Moreover, cDNA sequence, codon adaptation index (CAI), and GC content were included in the server output. The CAI and GC content are essential parameters to evaluate the protein expression levels. Finally, the sequence of restriction sites for the XhoI and BamHI restriction enzyme was added at 5’ and 3’ ends of the nucleotide sequence of the vaccine, respectively, and inserted into the pET-28 (+) vector by SnapGene tool.

3. Results

3.1. T-cell epitopes prediction and selection

A total of 443 CTL epitopes for E, M, N, and S proteins were predicted by NetCTL 1.2 server. Among them, epitopes with the ability to bind to at least four different human MHC class subtypes were assessed for allergenicity, antigen, and toxicity. Finally, one CTL epitope was selected for each of E, M, and N proteins and two CTL epitopes were selected for S protein (Supplementary File. 1). HTL epitopes were predicted for E, M, N, and S proteins using the NetMHCII 2.3 server. A total of 75 high score HTL epitopes (15-mer) with high binding potential for at least six human MHC II alleles were screened for antigenicity, allergenicity, toxicity, and induction of IFN-γ, IL-4, and IL-10. Induction of IL-4 and IL-10 by HTL epitopes in the vaccine construct also helps activate cytotoxic T cells, macrophages, and B cells [39]. One HTL epitope was selected for each of M, N, and S proteins, and two HTL epitopes were selected for E protein based on the mentioned parameters (Supplementary File. 2).

3.2. Vaccine design

A total of five CTL epitopes and five HTL epitopes were used to construct multi-epitope vaccines (Table 2). HTL and CTL epitopes were linked together by GPGPG and KK linkers, respectively. A sequence of 150 amino acids was obtained after epitope fusion. The adjacent sequence, with a length of 124 amino acids (MIKLFVGFTVFLLSSAYAHGTPQNIKDLCAYEYNHTQHTLNKDIFSYESTLSAGKRE-

| Table 2 | The selected epitopes for the final vaccine construct. |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| E       | FLAVVFFIL (20–28)                                  |
|         | KPSFYYSRKVLNLS (53–67)                             |
|         | SFVVYSRKVLNLS (55–69)                              |
| M       | ATSRTELSY (171–179)                                |
|         | WITGSSAAMACLVG (75–89)                             |
| N       | LSPRWFFYY (104–112)                                |
|         | OGYFRRATRIRGG (83–97)                              |
| S       | FVFLVILPL (2-10)                                   |
|         | WITAGAAAY (258–266)                                |
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MAITKNGATFQVEPQSIIHSQKAIERMKDTLRIYLETEAKVEKLCWVNKTPHAIAISMAN, was attached to the N-terminal of the vaccine sequence by one EAAAK linker (Fig. 2).

### 3.3. Evaluation of the physicochemical parameters, allergenicity, and antigenicity of the proposed vaccine

The physicochemical characteristics of the multi-epitope vaccine were computed by the ProtParam server. The final composition of the vaccine included 279 amino acids. Cysteine and alanine were the lowest (1.1%) and the highest (9.3%) residues in the vaccine construct, respectively (Fig. 3). The molecular weight of the vaccine was 30.99 kDa, and its theoretical pI was estimated to be 10.01. The half-life of the vaccine was calculated to be 30 h in mammalian reticulocytes, more than 20 h in yeast, and more than 10 h in E. coli. The predicted values for the instability index, aliphatic index, and GRAVY were 39.92, 82.9, and −0.088, respectively. The non-allergic nature of the vaccine construct was defined by AllerTOP v. 2.0 server. The antigenicity of the vaccine was predicted using VaxiJen v2.0 and ANTIGENpro. The antigenicity of the vaccine construct predicted by the VaxiJen v2.0 server was 0.5638 and 0.6015 in the virus and bacterial models, respectively. The ANTIGENpro server also estimated the probability of vaccine antigenicity at 0.8456. These results confirmed the antigenic nature of the proposed vaccine.

### 3.4. Prediction of secondary structure

The percentage of the secondary structure elements of the vaccine was estimated using the Prabi server. The predicted structure included 34.77% alpha-helix, 24.37% extended strand, and 40.86% random coil (Fig. 4).

### 3.5. 3D model prediction, refinement, and validation of multi-epitope vaccine

Five 3D structure models of the vaccine were generated by the I-TASSER server using the threading templates (PDB Hit: 1ltrA, 3chbD, 1g55A, 46l6, 6elCA, 1ltrF, and 5elbA). The C-scores of the models 1 to 5 were −4.18, −3.73, −4.35, −3.36, and −4.67, respectively. The C-score is usually in the range of [-5 to 2], and a high value C-score indicates a high confidence model [49]. Consequently, model 4 with a C-score of −3.36 was selected and refined using the 3D refine server (Fig. 5), which generated five refined models and calculated the 3D refine score, GDT-TS, GDT-HA, RMSD, MolProbity, and RWPlus parameters for each model (Table 3). The lower values of the 3D refine score, RWplus, and MolProbity, and the higher values of the GDT-TS, GDT-HA, and RMSD, indicate higher quality models. Refined model 5 was selected based on the parameters mentioned above (Fig. 5). PROCHECK, ERRAT, and ProSA-web were used to compare the overall quality of the protein structure of the vaccine before and after the refining process. The Ramachandran plot of the initial model showed that 74.4%, 20.2%, 2.9%, and 2.5% of the residues were present in the favoured, additional allowed, generously allowed, and disallowed regions, respectively (Fig. 6a). After refinement, 81.5%, 13.9%, 2.9%, and 1.7% of residues were present in the favoured, additional allowed, generously allowed, and disallowed regions, respectively (Fig. 6b). The ERRAT predicted the overall quality factor to be 79.705 for the unrefined model and 81.413 for the refined model (Fig. 6c and d). The Z-score of the initial model was determined to be −7.6 (Fig. 6e), which reached −7.91 after the refinement (Fig. 6f).

### 3.6. B-cell epitopes prediction

The BCPred server predicted five linear B-cell epitopes (20-mer) with scores ranging from 0.735 to 1 (Table 4). The place of the linear B-cell epitopes in the 3D model of the vaccine construct is shown in Fig. 7. Ten discontinuous B-cell epitopes were predicted by the ElliPro server (Fig. 8). The size of the predicted epitopes ranged from 6 to 38 amino acids. The lowest and highest scores for the epitopes were 0.621 and 0.814, respectively (Table 5).

### 3.7. Molecular docking

The molecular docking was performed between the vaccine construct and TLR4 using the ClusPro server, which generated 26 clusters. Cluster 2 was used to perform molecular dynamics simulation analysis due to its negative energy score (−952.8 kcal/mol) compared to other clusters, indicating the highest binding affinity. In this cluster, the two chains, B and D from TLR4, interacted with the vaccine construct (Fig. 9). A total of 9 hydrogen bonds were formed between the residues of the chain B from TLR4 and the vaccine residues, while the number of hydrogen bonds formed between the residues of the chain D from TLR4 and the residues of the vaccine was 11. (Fig. 10a and b). The amino acids that formed these hydrogen bonds and their distances are presented in Tables 6 and 7.
3.8. MD simulation

MD simulation was performed using GROMACS 2019.6 software to evaluate stable interactions between the vaccine candidate and TLR4 in the docked complex. The RMSD of the structures generated during the MD simulation in the time dimension is an appropriate and common standard to verify the structural stability of the protein. The RMSD value of TLR4 had an increasing trend at the beginning of the simulation period and reached 0.6 nm after 17000 ps, and then decreased to 0.3 nm in 24,000 ps. After this time, the RMSD value increased to approximately 0.4 nm and remained constant until the end of the simulation time. The RMSD plot of the vaccine showed a continuous increase up to 33,000 ps and reached about 0.8 nm, and remained at the same value until the end of the simulation (Fig. 11a). The RMSF of Cα atoms was determined to evaluate the motion and structural flexibility of the ligand and receptor in the docked complex. The RMSF plot of the vaccine showed very sharp fluctuations, in which the highest peaks with an RMSF value of 0.8 nm in the plot indicated a highly flexible region (amino acids 205–215) in the vaccine construct. The fluctuations in the RMSF plot of TLR4 were very mild. The 20 amino acids showed high flexibility at the end of chains A and B (Fig. 11b).

3.9. Immune simulation

The immune response elicitation of the multi-epitope vaccine was evaluated using the C-ImmSim server. The level of antigen was significantly decreased after the first and second injections of the proposed vaccine. The primary response was characterized by an increase in the levels of IgM + IgG, IgM, and IgG1, and in the secondary response the levels of these antibodies increased relative to their concentrations in the primary response, and an increase in IgG1 + IgG2 and IgG2 was also observed (Fig. 12a). The B cell memory and B cell isotype IgM and IgG1 populations were increased after each injection, and a very small population of B cell isotype IgG2 was also observed after the second injection (Fig. 12b). The TH cell population reached 5000 cells per mm³ from the first injection to day 10 and remained at the same level until the second injection, after that increased again, reaching 9000 on day 30, and then slowly decreased until day 90. Moreover, the level of TH memory cells increased after each injection, and the increase was higher...
after the second injection (Fig. 12c). After the first injection, the TC cell population increased, reaching 1150 cells per mm$^3$ on the 12th day, which decreased slowly until the 90th day (Fig. 12d). A significant increase was observed in IFN-$\gamma$ and IL-2 levels following each vaccine injection (Fig. 12e). The active macrophage population also increased after each vaccination (Fig. 12f).

Table 3
Results of the 3D refine server. Model 5 was selected as the best refined model based on the 3Drefine score, GDT-TS, GDT-HA, RMSD, MolProbity, and RWPlus parameters.

| Model | 3Drefine Score | GDT-TS | GDT-HA | RMSD (Å) | MolProbity | RWPlus         |
|-------|---------------|--------|--------|----------|------------|----------------|
| 5     | 17245.5       | 0.9991 | 0.9713 | 0.353    | 3.256      | -53105.552557  |
| 4     | 17446.4       | 1.0000 | 0.9803 | 0.331    | 3.230      | -53051.739246  |
| 3     | 17792.9       | 1.0000 | 0.9875 | 0.301    | 3.180      | -52958.210160  |
| 2     | 18422.5       | 1.0000 | 0.9964 | 0.257    | 3.213      | -52815.241513  |
| 1     | 20587.0       | 1.0000 | 0.9982 | 0.193    | 3.266      | -52650.359028  |

Fig. 6. Validation of the tertiary structure of the vaccine using the Ramachandran plot, ERRAT, and ProSA-web before and after the refining process. (a) Ramachandran plot of the initial model shows that 74.4% of residues to be in the favoured region, (b) while in the refined model, 81.5% of residues to be in the favoured region. (c) The ERRAT results indicate that the overall quality factor for the initial model is 79.705, (d) while this value for the refined model is 81.413. (e) The z-score obtained from the ProSA-web is $-7.6$ in the initial model and (f) the z-score of the refined model is $-7.91$. 
3.10. Codon optimization and in silico cloning of the vaccine construct

Back translation and codon optimization of the vaccine construct was conducted using JCat. There were 837 nucleotides in the optimized codon sequence. The CAI of the optimized nucleotide sequence was 0.95, and the GC content was 49.46%. Finally, the nucleotide sequence of the vaccine was cloned into the pET-28 (+) vector using the SnapGene tool (Fig. 13).

4. Discussion

The advent of the twentieth century has been accompanied by the emergence of new viruses, the latest case of emerging viruses was the SARS-COV-2 epidemic in Wuhan, China [74]. The threat of any new virus requires us to quickly develop a vaccine. Indeed, vaccines are one of the most effective ways to prevent viral infections because, in most cases, other treatment options are limited or non-existent, and infections lead to clinical deterioration, which decreases the therapeutic effect [75].

In recent months, many efforts have been made by researchers to develop an effective vaccine against SARS-COV-2. As of November 18, 2020, approximately 164 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine candidates were in preclinical phases, and 44 vaccine candidates were in clinical trials (phase I–III) [76]. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) granted the emergency use authorization for Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna.

Table 4

| Position | Linear B-cell epitope | Score |
|----------|----------------------|-------|
| 203      | VGGPGQGQYRBBATRIR    | 1     |
| 139      | NLNSRGPGKFSFYVYSR    | 1     |
| 175      | VTEIPVSMGPQPGWITGG   | 0.999 |
| 62       | TFKNGATFOVEPGQHIDS   | 0.947 |
| 99       | TEARYEKLGVWNKTPHAIA  | 0.735 |

Table 5

| Position | Discontinuous B-cell epitope | Score |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------|
| 267      | LLKKLSPRWYYFYY                | 0.814 |
| 110      | NNKTPHI                       | 0.812 |
| 1        | MIKLFGVFHTY                  | 0.787 |
| 219      | DTKFGKVFV                    | 0.733 |
| 72       | EVPQQKBD                     | 0.715 |
| 138      | KNLSRGPGPG                    | 0.693 |
| 235      | LKKWTAGAAAYY                  | 0.652 |
| 31       | AYEYHTQQHTLNDKIFSYTESLAKREMAITFFNGAT | 0.648 |
| 155      | VYSRVKNLNSGPGNFTISYTEIPVSMGPQPG | 0.633 |
| 124      | NEAAAKS                      | 0.621 |

Fig. 7. Linear B-cell epitopes (magenta) are shown in the 3D model of the vaccine construct (blue).

Fig. 8. 3D model representation of discontinuous B-cell epitopes (a–j). The vaccine construct is shown in gray sticks, and the B-cell epitopes are depicted by yellow surface.

Fig. 9. Docked complex of vaccine construct with TLR4. The vaccine construct (ligand) is shown in blue, whereas tan color represents TLR4 (receptor) in the docked complex.
COVID-19 vaccines on December 11 and 18, 2020, respectively [77]. Simultaneously with these clinical trials, another group of researchers used the immunoinformatics approaches to design epitope-based vaccines against SARS-COV-2. In bioinformatics studies, various proteins of SARS-COV-2 have been used as target antigens to predict epitope. In studies conducted by Kar et al. [78], Dar et al. [79], and Kumar et al. [80], S protein alone was used as a target for epitope prediction. However, in other pieces of research conducted by Kalita et al. [81] and Khairkhah et al. [82], S, M, and N protein were identified as target antigens. Furthermore, S, E, and N proteins were reported as target antigens by Kumar et al. [83]. In addition to structural proteins, Zaheer et al. [84], Chauhan et al. [85], Enayatkhani et al. [86], and Jain et al. [87] used some accessory proteins as antigen targets in their studies.

In the present study, as in the study of Singh et al. [88], four structural proteins, including S, M, N, and E, were used to predict epitope.
After screening the predicted epitopes for allergenicity, antigen, and toxicity, five HTL epitopes and five CTL epitopes were selected for use in the vaccine construct (Table 2). According to a report by Nguyen et al. [89], the epitopes selected in the present study were found in conserved regions of structural proteins and were potentially appropriate for vaccine development. The selected HTL and CTL epitopes were arranged in different orders and positions in the vaccine construct using flexible linkers such as GPGPG, AYY, and KK. After evaluating the physicochemical properties, particularly the stability of the obtained constructs, it was concluded that GPGPG and KK linkers are appropriate linkers for linking HTL and CTL epitopes, respectively. CTxB was added to the N-terminal of the vaccine structure as an adjuvant by the EAAAK linker to increase the immunogenicity of the vaccine. This adjuvant was selected based on previous research, which has shown that it can be used as a viral adjuvant to boost both systemic and mucosal immunity to the respiratory syncytial virus by nasal vaccination [90]. In this work, for the first time, the CTxB sequence and epitopes of structural proteins of M, N, E, and S were organized by appropriate linkers within a vaccine construct.

The molecular weight of the vaccine was 30.99 kDa, due to the easier purification process of proteins with a molecular weight of less than 110 kDa in the laboratory, the predicted value for this parameter is satisfactory [91]. The theoretical pl of the vaccine was estimated to be 10.01, indicating that the vaccine was basic in nature. The half-life of the vaccine was estimated to be 30 h in mammalian, allowing the vaccine with a long half-life to be more exposed to the immune system [92]. The instability index of the vaccine candidate was estimated at 39.92, and since this value is less than 40, the vaccine is classified as a stable protein [92]. The aliphatic index was computed 82.9, indicating that the vaccine construct is hydrophilic and hydrophobic, increases its delivery and processing, formulation, and dissolution in an aqueous environment [94]. The negative and positive values of GRAVY indicate that the vaccine construct is hydrophilic and hydrophobic, respectively [95]. The GRAVY score was −0.088, indicating the hydrophilic nature of the vaccine due to its negative value; therefore, it can interact better with water molecules [96]. However, in the study of Qamar et al. [97], GRAVY was calculated to be 0.395, and the use of micelles to improve the interaction of the vaccine in the polar environment of the body seems to be necessary due to the hydrophobic nature of the vaccine.

According to these results, the physicochemical properties of the vaccine candidate are in accordance with the standard criteria required for vaccine formulation. Furthermore, the suggested vaccine was found to be antigenic and non-allergenic, indicating that the multi-epitope vaccine without an allergic reaction would have a strong immune response. After 3D modeling, the structure of the vaccine was refined to achieve a high-quality structure. The evaluation of the Ramachandran plot of the initial and refined model of the vaccine showed that 74.4% of the residues in the initial model were present in the favoured region, which increased to 81.5% after refining, confirming the improvement in the refined model. Approximately 35.8% and 22% of vaccine construct residues were found to be present in the linear and discontinuous B-cell epitopes, respectively, indicating an antiviral antibody response.

Hu et al. showed that TLR4 expression is upregulated within 24 h after SARS-CoV infection, indicating its role in the generation of immune responses [98]. Other studies have also demonstrated the influential role of TLR4 in inducing immune responses against coronavirus [99–101]. Consequently, TLR4 was selected as the immune receptor for molecular docking in the present study, such as studies by Yang et al. [102] and Safavi et al. [103]. The molecular docking analysis showed that our vaccine has the ability to bind TLR4 and induce a strong immune response. In this regard, MD simulation of the docked complex was carried out for 40 ns to evaluate the stability of the vaccine structure. The RMSD plot of the vaccine-TLR4 complex showed the stability of the complex (Fig. 11a). The RMSF plot showed that the residues present in the HTL epitopes fluctuate more than the residues in CTL epitopes (Fig. 11b), which is due to the more interaction of CTL epitope residues with TLR4. The immune simulation results indicated that the final structure of the vaccine was able to activate both cellular and humoral immune responses against SARS-CoV-2. Moreover, the secondary response generated by the vaccine was significantly higher than the primary response. Codon optimization was performed to assure the maximum expression of the designed vaccine in E. coli (strain K12). The CAI and GC content of the optimized nucleotide sequence were 0.95 and 49.46%, respectively. CAI greater than 0.8 is optimal for expression in the target organism [104], and the GC content between 30 and 70% is considered ideal [96]. The results of the computational evaluation of the vaccine candidate were satisfactory; however, these results must be validated in both in vitro and in vivo experiments.

5. Conclusion

The SARS-COV-2 outbreak, first reported in China, was spread rapidly to other parts of the world and has recently caused heavy casualties. The vaccine appears to be the most effective way to combat this virus. The reverse vaccinology method can be used to design a safe and high potency vaccine in a short time and at a low cost. In the present study, bioinformatics software and online servers were used to design a multi-epitope vaccine against SARS-COV-2. S, E, N, and M proteins were selected from SARS-COV-2 as the target proteins for epitope prediction. After screening epitopes for antigenicity, allergenicity, and toxicity, a total of five CTL and five HTL epitopes and CTxB (as adjuvant) were organized in a multi-epitope vaccine structure. The evaluation of the vaccine using the ProtParam server showed that the proposed vaccine is of good quality regarding its physicochemical properties. The results of the molecular docking showed the high affinity of the vaccine construct with TLR4. The stability of the vaccine construct was confirmed by MD simulation. Furthermore, the efficacy of the vaccine to elicit an immune response was demonstrated by the higher binding affinity of the vaccine construct with TLR4.

![Fig. 11. MD simulation of the vaccine - TLR4 complex. (a) The RMSD plot of TLR4 shows an increasing trend from the beginning of the simulation and remains at about 0.4 nm from 30,000 ps to the end of the simulation. The RMSD plot of the vaccine increases from the beginning of the simulation until 33,000 ps, after that time it remains at approximately 0.8 nm to the end of the simulation. (b) In the RMSF plot, the peaks represent regions with a high degree of flexibility.](image-url)
response was confirmed by immune simulation. However, these results must be validated in both in vitro and in vivo tests.
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