AI Techniques and Mathematical Modeling to Detect Coronavirus
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Abstract The epic coronavirus (COVID-19) flare-up, distinguished in late 2019, requires unique consideration in view of its future pandemics and conceivable worldwide dangers. In addition to clinical methodology and medicines, artificial intelligence (AI) guarantees another worldview for social welfare, a few distinctive AI methods that are based upon machine learning calculations are utilized for investigating information and dynamic procedures. This paper presents a survey on various mathematical model and AI-based devices to understand its growth which could assist in ruling out COVID-19 infection. Also, a new technique for the forecast of the disease is investigated. These methods if inculcated in practice can help in curtailing the further spread of the disease.
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Introduction

COVID-19 short for Coronavirus disease 2019 is a highly contagious disease originated in Wuhan province of China in December 2019. World Health Organization (WHO) conceded that virus can create respiratory ailment with fever and cough. Without a remedial vaccine or explicit antiviral medications, early identification tools and separation becomes essential against novel coronavirus. World Health Organization council announced COVID-19 as a pandemic because of quick individual–individual spread and affected patients have less immunity. The coronaviruses come in the group of Coronaviridae. The infections cause moderate virus Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) or Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome to the individuals. COVID-19 is fervid infection brought about by SARS-CoV, which had begun in China and it was unfurled in numerous nations around the world [1]. The COVID-19 spread quickly in China and leaded to more than 7050 cases toward the finish of January [2]. The count of the initial month total cases of the COVID-19 has crossed the complete count of the SARS cases in 2003 [3]. As a result, the patients are outnumbering the medical staff. Accordingly, this makes medical organization and doctors over-burdened. This prompt delayed examination and isolation of affected individuals and low productive medication of patients.

The Comparison Between COVID-19 and SARS-2003

In Fig. 1a [4] the count of cases of SARS in 2003 indicated in blue circle line and the COVID-19 in 2020 indicated in red circle line, where the broad blue line is the prediction of SARS 2003. Figure 1b [4] shows the rate of infection β(t) of SARS indicated in blue circles and COVID-19 indicated in red circles.

Presently, there are two essential kinds of COVID-19 tests.
The test screens a patient’s blood tests for antibodies against the infection. However, antibodies are often not detectable until a few days after symptoms begin. The test searches for viral DNA in mouth or nasal swabs. While these can distinguish the infection prior, they take a few hours to perform.

**AI and Its Use in Coronavirus**

Pilot test checks of the infection is imperative in treatment and stop spread of the Virus. AI is useful in identifying of the contaminated cases with the assistance of clinical imaging advancements such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic reverberation imaging (MRI) [5]. Figure 2 [5] shows comparison of AI-based and conventional treatment given to a person affected by Covid.

**Models and Techniques**

This segment presents the motivation and the point by point plan of the strategies. Figure 3 shows the model for coronavirus.

**AI Methods to Identify Coronavirus using Phone Detectors Method**

Medical team or individuals utilized this arrangement who own the smartphones and can be used anytime, anywhere. Accordingly, such model is required in the crisis circumstances [6].

The indications of the affirmed coronavirus patient ought to be figured it out. The common symptoms are shortness of breath, nausea, fever and fatigue. Indications of coronavirus are different from other indications of diseases like flu, cold, and fever. Thus, the system is attempting to find the degree of each indications on measurements of sensors. Also, many advance sensors are implanted in smart system including cameras, microphone, inertial sensors and temperature sensor etc. Then the sensor response is used for indications. For instance, temperature-fingerprint sensor is used to check the fever-level of a human body. Images and videos taken from camera are used to figure out human fatigue. Camera and inertial sensors are used to check the neck posture and level of headache.

The calculations of Sensor measurement give the results of infection which is put in dataset as a single record used as an input for further prediction by Machine Learning algorithm. [7]. Along these lines, details of infected persons are gathered and utilized as source in AI model.

Few AI methods like decision tree, SVM, and neural networks are implemented. Many profound learning calculations are used for characterization needs such as convolution neural system (CNN) and repetitive neural system (RNN) [8].

For identifying coronavirus, CT (scanned pictures) imaging of lungs is main strategy. A method is created to analyze the lesions of affected persons and investigating the proportion. Output of the method is the volume.

**Detection of Coronavirus Using X-ray by Neural Networks**

AI methods and X-ray together can be used in tandem for the accurate detection of this illness and can likewise be helpful to solve the issue of absence of specific doctors in
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small towns. This approach is helpful in providing precise treatment of multi-class and binary classification. A total of 1125 images (125 COVID-19(+) 500 Pneumonia and 500 No-Findings) were used [9]. Modeling the real-time object recognition framework is done by a system called YOLO (You only look once), which is a classifier method as Darknet-19. This classifier contains 5 pooling layers and 19 convolutional layers. The layer layout is like:

C1–M1–C2–M2–C3–C4–C5–M3–C6–C7–C8–M4–C9–C10–C11–C12–C13–M5–C14–C15–C16–C17–C18–C19

Two type of scheme are implemented to distinguish and analyze coronavirus by X-ray.
1. DarkNet-19 method is trained and is helpful to distinguish visuals to groups:
   - COVID-19
   - No-Findings
   - Pneumonia
Classification of two classes can be done after training the DarkNet-19 method:

- COVID-19
- No-Findings

The efficiency of this method is assessed utilizing the 5-overlay cross-approval methodology for these two-characterization issue. 80% of X-beam pictures are utilized for training and 20% for verification. The tests are done multiple times.

Results of both type of grouping of method are assessed for every overlay, and the normal order efficiency is determined. Figure 4 [10] shows layers of DarkNet-19 architecture.

**Multi-class Classification and Binary-class Classification**

Figure 5a, b [10] represents the confusion matrix result for multi-class and binary-class classifications, respectively. From Tables 1 and 2 [10], multi-class framework was found to be able to perform classification with an accuracy of 87.02 percent and binary framework was found with a precision of 98.07 percent. This has been corroborated by experts in radiology and the same is ready to be rolled out to a larger database.

**Mathematical Formulation of Model**

The formulation is based on 0-SEIHRD design [11]. Features of this design are:

- **Susceptible (symbol S)** The individual is not contracted from infection.
- **Exposed (symbol E)** The individual is in the development stage of being infected and has no indications. The individual could contaminate others, however, with a lower likelihood than individuals in the infectious category.
- **Infectious (symbol I)** Initial category of the stage. Individual may contaminate others and starts showing indications. Individuals in this category are controlled by hospital specialists or remains un-recognized.
- **Infectious but undetected** (symbol I_u) Individual can at present contaminate others and have indications, however, are not distinguished.
- **Hospitalized** (symbol H_D) Individuals in emergency clinic can still contaminate others. Patients have possibility to recover.
- **Hospitalized that will die** (symbol H_D) Individual is in emergency care and can still spread the disease to others.
- **Dead by COVID-19** (symbol D) Individual could not survive the infection.
- **Recovered after being previously detected as infectious** (symbol R_u) Individuals were recently distinguished as infectious, but at this point is not infectious and has built up an immune system to the infection. Patient still needs to be taken care of after recovering.
- **Recovered after being previously infectious but undetected** (symbol R_u) Individual was infectious but at present isn’t infectious and has built up a characteristic resistance to the Virus.
- **Isolation** Contaminated individuals are disengaged from contact with others. Just sanitary experts are in contact with them. Isolated patients get a sufficient clinical medication that diminishes the casualties.

The dynamic infection spread inside a specific affected region is demonstrated by utilizing a mathematical categorical method. Individuals in a domain are placed in one of those categories: S, E, I, I_u, H_R, H_D, R_d, Ru or D.

Every time people in region is spread equally. (It can be improved by separating a few regions into a lot of litter areas with comparable qualities.) Hence, the spatial dispersion of the pandemic in region is not included. We likewise expect that new births are vulnerable individuals [12]. Under those suppositions, below are the system of ordinary differential equations:

\[
\frac{dS^{(i)}}{dt} = -\frac{S^{(i)}(t)}{N^{(i)}} \left( m^{(i)}(t) \beta E^{(i)}(t) + m^{(i)}(t) \right) \\
\frac{dR^{(i)}}{dt} = \gamma_E E^{(i)}(t) - \left( \mu^{(i)} + \gamma^{(i)}(t) \right) E^{(i)}(t)
\]

\[
\frac{dR^{(i)}}{dt} = \left( 1 - \theta^{(i)}(t) \right) \gamma^{(i)}(t) E^{(i)}(t) - \left( \mu^{(i)} + \gamma^{(i)}(t) \right) R^{(i)}(t)
\]

\[
\frac{dH^{(i)}}{dt} = \theta^{(i)}(t) \left( 1 - \omega^{(i)}(t) \right) \gamma^{(i)}(t) E^{(i)}(t) - \gamma^{(i)}(h)(H^{(i)}(t))
\]

\[
\frac{dH_D}{dt} = \omega(t) \gamma(t) J(t) - \gamma^{(h)}(H_D(t))
\]

\[
\frac{dR_d}{dt} = \gamma^{(h)}(R_d(t)) H_D(t)
\]
\[ \frac{dR_d}{dt}(t) = \gamma_{L}(t)I_u(t) \]
\[ \frac{dD}{dt}(t) = \gamma_{H_D}(t)H_D(t) \]

where

- \( i \): count of considered nations/domains.
- \( \rho^{(i)}_E \): rate of birth.
- \( \rho^{(i)}_D \): rate of death.
- \( \omega(t) \): ratio of count of deaths and affected individual.
- \( \theta(t) \): ratio of recognized affected individual and registered by experts.
- \( \beta_E^{(i)}, \beta_I^{(i)}, \beta_H^{(i)} \): rate of individual sickness interaction in their category.
- \( \gamma_E \): rate of growth from category \( E \) to \( I \).
- \( \gamma_I^{(i)}(t) \): rate of growth from category \( I \) to \( I_u, H_R \) or \( H_D \).
- \( \gamma_L^{(i)}, \gamma_H^{(i)}, \gamma_{H_D}^{(i)} \): rate of growth from category \( I_u, H_R \) or \( H_D \) to \( R_u, R_d \) and \( D \).

We comment that, with this simplification, the last three conditions of the framework are not combined with different conditions. In this manner, computation occur between initial six conditions and result of ending three conditions.

\[ R_d(t) = R_d(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} \gamma_{H}(s)H_R(s)ds \]
\[ R_u(t) = R_u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} \gamma_{L}(s)I_u(s)ds \]

For the numerical simulations, the initial conditions are computed by 4th form Runge–Kutta technique.

Results of Design

The outcome of the method is shown with the above procedure and system of equations.

### Table 1: Performance of every overlay of multi-class classification [10]

| Folds   | Performance metrics (%)       |
|---------|-------------------------------|
|         | Sensitivity | Specificity | Precision | F1-score | Accuracy |
| Fold-1  | 88.17       | 93.66       | 90.97     | 89.44    | 89.33    |
| Fold-2  | 84.57       | 90.61       | 89.38     | 86.63    | 84.89    |
| Fold-3  | 84.13       | 91.14       | 89.88     | 86.54    | 85.78    |
| Fold-4  | 83.66       | 92.29       | 90.61     | 86.42    | 87.11    |
| Fold-5  | 85.83       | 92.75       | 89.71     | 87.57    | 88.00    |
| Average | 85.35       | 92.18       | 89.96     | 87.37    | 87.02    |

### Table 2: Performance of every overlay of binary-class classification [10]

| Folds   | Performance metrics (%)       |
|---------|-------------------------------|
|         | Sensitivity | Specificity | Precision | F1-score | Accuracy |
| Fold-1  | 100          | 100         | 100       | 100      | 100      |
| Fold-2  | 96.42        | 96.42       | 94.52     | 95.52    | 97.60    |
| Fold-3  | 90.47        | 90.47       | 98.14     | 93.79    | 96.80    |
| Fold-4  | 93.75        | 93.75       | 98.57     | 95.93    | 97.60    |
| Fold-5  | 93.18        | 93.18       | 98.58     | 95.62    | 97.60    |
| Overlapped |           |             |           |          |          |
| COVID-19 | 90.65        | 99.61       | 97.97     | 94.17    | 98.07    |
| No findings | 99.61        | 90.65       | 98.09     | 98.84    | 98.07    |
| Average | 95.13        | 95.3        | 98.03     | 96.51    | 98.08    |

Fig. 5 a Confusion matrix result of multi-class classification [10]. b Confusion matrix result of binary-class classification [10]
Fig. 6 Pictorial analysis of mathematical model of COVID-19 [11]

![Mathematical model diagram]

Table 3 Future predictions of Coronavirus cases of 10 days in India

| ds       | yhat    | yhat_lower | yhat_upper  |
|----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| 1        | 2020-07-25 | 1.276593e+06 | 1.221894e+06 | 1.327947e+06 |
| 2        | 2020-07-26 | 1.303652e+06 | 1.251894e+06 | 1.359976e+06 |
| 3        | 2020-07-27 | 1.330136e+06 | 1.281300e+06 | 1.381636e+06 |
| 4        | 2020-07-28 | 1.350382e+06 | 1.295558e+06 | 1.401771e+06 |
| 5        | 2020-07-29 | 1.377298e+06 | 1.325360e+06 | 1.432255e+06 |
| 6        | 2020-07-30 | 1.404441e+06 | 1.352719e+06 | 1.458594e+06 |
| 7        | 2020-07-31 | 1.431649e+06 | 1.379320e+06 | 1.483448e+06 |
| 8        | 2020-08-01 | 1.458631e+06 | 1.406738e+06 | 1.510670e+06 |
| 9        | 2020-08-02 | 1.485752e+06 | 1.431273e+06 | 1.535839e+06 |
| 10       | 2020-08-03 | 1.512235e+06 | 1.459838e+06 | 1.564263e+06 |

Fig. 7 Forecasting plot of coronavirus

![Forecasting plot graph]
\( c_m(t) \) Total count of coronavirus cases at particular time period \( t \), that is equal to \( c_m(t) = H_R(t) + H_D(t) + R_0(t-D(t)) \).

Total number of cases can also be calculated as:

\[
c_m(t) = c_m(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( H_R + H_D + R_0(t) + D(t) \right) ds
\]

\( = c_m(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} \theta(s) \gamma_1(s) I(s) ds \)

- \( d_m(t) \): Total count of Coronavirus deaths at particular day \( t \) denoted by \( D(t) \).
- \( R_0 \) and \( R_e \): This represents fundamental propagation count and the effective multiplication count. \( R_0 \) (fundamental propagation count) is the average count of cases affected individual can spread to others in the contagious time. This count can increase according to populace. Besides, \( R_e(0) = R_0 \). Normally, the spread of the malady eases down if \( R_e(i, t) < 1 \). Figure 6 [11] shows the pictorial diagram of mathematical model of COVID-19.

\[
R_0 = \left[ \frac{(\beta_1(1-o_1)\gamma_{01} + \beta_1(1-o_1)\gamma_{01})\gamma_{11} + \beta_1(1-o_1)\gamma_{01})\gamma_{11} + \beta_1(1-o_1)\gamma_{01})\gamma_{11} + \beta_1(1-o_1)\gamma_{01})\gamma_{11}}{\beta_1(1-o_1)\gamma_{01})\gamma_{11}} \right]
\]

Forecasting of Disease

Coronavirus impacted economies and claimed a lot of lives. Forecasting of this disease can help to create awareness to arrange the maximum number of beds and ventilators to help the patients in future. As well as, if the number is higher, it will give a sense of responsibility to the citizens to help curb the spread of the disease.

Algorithm

1. Use the Kaggle coronavirus dataset of India.
2. Read the csv file and parse the dates.
3. Drop the unnecessary columns and replace the name of the columns.
4. Usually, the confirmed, recovered and deaths columns are provided in the dataset. Active cases can be easily computed by the formulae:

\[
\text{Active} = \text{Confirmed} - (\text{Recovered} + \text{Deaths})
\]
5. Use the library Prophet (from fbprophet import Prophet)

Prophet is a library in Python Created by Facebook for the time series analysis (forecasting). We need two columns (first-date(ds), second forecast_value(y)).
6. Build the model with a confidence level and fit the model for forecasting.
7. Make a future data frame and a desired value for the data to be forecasted.
8. The future forecasted gives an yhat actual value, also lower and upper values. Table 3 shows the lower and upper limit of prediction of virus cases. Figure 7 shows the forecast of the coronavirus.
9. Plot the future prediction and weekly forecast plot. We have forecasted for 20 extra days. Figure 8 shows the weekly forecast of the coronavirus.
Conclusion

Previous work is explored and clarified in regard to their results from the scope of radiology to current innovations. These existing methods are not exact, time taking and are expensive. AI is a forthcoming and helpful apparatus to recognize early diseases and furthermore helps in checking the state of the contaminated patients. We have tried to analyze different AI techniques for detection of coronavirus. Mathematical models and forecasting can also help to predict the impact of this grave disease for helping the medical personnel to help the country at large. We present a novel approach by introducing new AI methods, mathematical modeling of coronavirus cases and forecasting the cases using python library.
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