Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia. As an incurable, progressive, and neurodegenerative disease, it causes cognitive and memory deficits. However, the biological mechanisms underlying the disease are not thoroughly understood. In recent years, non-invasive neuroimaging and neurophysiological techniques (e.g., structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), diffusion MRI, functional MRI, and EEG/MEG) and graph theory based network analysis have provided a new perspective on structural and functional connectivity patterns of the human brain (i.e., the human connectome) in health and disease. Using these powerful approaches, several recent studies of patients with AD exhibited abnormal topological organization in both global and regional properties of neuronal networks, indicating that AD not only affects specific brain regions, but also alters the structural and functional associations between distinct brain regions. Specifically, disruptive organization in the whole-brain networks in AD is involved in the loss of small-world characters and the re-organization of hub distributions. These aberrant neuronal connectivity patterns were associated with cognitive deficits in patients with AD, even with genetic factors in healthy aging. These studies provide empirical evidence to support the existence of an aberrant connectome of AD. In this review we will summarize recent advances discovered in large-scale brain network studies of AD, mainly focusing on graph theoretical analysis of brain connectivity abnormalities. These studies provide novel insights into the pathophysiological mechanisms of AD and could be helpful in developing imaging biomarkers for disease diagnosis and monitoring.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia, comprising 50–70% of all dementia cases (Kukull and Bowen, 2002). Currently, 35.6 million people suffer from AD globally and the number is predicted to rise to 115.4 million by 20501. As an incurable, progressive, and neurodegenerative disease, it causes memory loss and other cognitive deficits.

In recent years, modern magnetic resonance imaging [MRI; e.g., structural MRI (sMRI), functional MRI (fMRI), and diffusion MRI] and neurophysiological (e.g., electroencephalograph and magnetoencephalograph, usually referred as EEG/MEG) techniques have provided an efficient, feasible, and non-invasive way to investigate the biological mechanisms of AD in vivo. A large quantity of studies have found focal structural and functional abnormalities of the brains of patients with AD, including disturbed functional activation and reduced gray matter volume or thickness in regions of the brain including the posterior cingulate, the medial temporal lobe, the hippocampus, and the parahippocampal gyrus (Rombouts et al., 2000; Frisoni et al., 2002; Busatto et al., 2003; Sperling et al., 2003). Recent studies have suggested that AD is not only associated with regional disturbance of brain structure and function but also with abnormalities in the connections between different regions. De Lacoste and White (1993) suggested that neurofibrillary tangles and neuritic plaques (the two principle neuropathological biomarkers of AD) are usually distributed in the regions where corticocortical connections begin or end. Disruptive alterations in white matter tracts have been observed in AD and involve the cingulum, the uncinate fasciculus, the splenium, and the genu of the corpus callosum (Rose et al., 2000; Bozzali et al., 2002; Naggar et al., 2006; Xie et al., 2006; Fellgiebel et al., 2008; Ukmar et al., 2008; Kiuchi et al., 2009). Abnormal functional connectivities have also been found, including abnormal interhemispheric and intrahemispheric (frontoparietal, frontotemporal, and temporoparietal) connections (Wada et al., 1998a,b; Berendse et al., 2000; Grady et al., 2001; Greicius et al., 2004; Pijnenburg et al., 2004; Koenig et al., 2005; Celone et al., 2006; Stam et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007). All of these studies proposed that AD is a syndrome of disconnection in neuronal networks (for reviews, see Delbeuck et al., 2003; He et al., 2009a; Filippi and Agosta, 2011).

Despite the number of studies of AD-related alterations in structural and functional connections between brain regions, there is increasing evidence that AD is also characterized by large-scale brain system disruptions. Sporns et al. (2005) proposed the notion of the “connectome” to describe the detailed structural and functional connectivity pattern of the human brain. Since then, many studies have utilized multi-modal neuroimaging and neurophysiological techniques as well as advanced graph theoretical approaches to investigate the human brain connectome in health and disease. These studies have discovered many important
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topological characteristics of the brain system such as efficient small-worldliness and distributed network hubs in the medial frontal and parietal regions (for reviews, see Bullmore and Sporns, 2009; He and Evans, 2010; Stam, 2010; Sporns, 2011). Such topology-based approaches have also been used to study the neuronal systems of patients with AD and have revealed a disruption of the typical organizational pattern of brain networks, including shifts in small-world topology and redistribution of hub regions (Stam et al., 2007a, 2009; He et al., 2008; Supekar et al., 2008; De Haan et al., 2009; Lo et al., 2010; Sanz-Arigita et al., 2010; Yao et al., 2010). Moreover, these methods have also been used to study topological organization of brain networks in the apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele (APOE-4) carriers (APOE-4 is a major genetic determinant for AD; Brown et al., 2011). These findings have provided new insights into the understanding of the biological mechanism of AD and could lead to the use of a network based imaging biomarker for disease diagnosis and monitoring.

In this review, we will summarize recent advances on graph theory based network analysis of the brain connectome in AD. First, we will briefly introduce several basic concepts of graph-based network analysis and human connectomics. Then we will review recent studies of graph theoretical analysis of AD brain networks derived from different imaging modalities including sMRI, diffusion MRI, EEG/MEG, and fMRI. Next we will have a short discussion regarding the effects of genetics on brain connectome in AD. Finally, we will propose further considerations for future studies of AD connectomics.

### Table 1 | Network indices.

| Index                  | Definition                                               | Interpretation                                                                 | Meaning                                           |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Cost/sparsity          | Cost(G) = K/K\(_{\text{max}}\)                          | \(G\): the network, or the graph to be studied \(K\): the number of edges in the network \(K_{\text{max}}\): the maximum possible number of edges in the network | The cost of constructing the network                |
| Degree \((k)\)         | \(C_p^i = \text{Cost}(G_i)\)                           | \(G_i\): the subgraph comprising of neighbors of node \(i\) and the connections between them | The number of edges linked to a certain node       |
| Clustering coefficient \((C_p)\) | \(C_p = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_p^i\)            | \(C_p\): the clustering coefficient of node \(i\), i.e., the cost of \(G\) to the possible subgraph \(G_i\) consisting of the nodes directly linked with \(i\) (the neighbors of node \(i\)) | The accessibility of a certain node               |
| Characteristic path length \((L_p)\) | \(L_p = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{d_{ij}}\) | \(d_{ij}\): the minimal number of edges that must be passed from node \(i\) to node \(j\) \(L_p\): the arithmetic or harmonic mean of \(d_{ij}\) of all the node pairs. Here the equation presents the harmonic mean | A low \(L_p\) indicates high transfer speed through the overall network, implying that the network has a high global efficiency |
| Global efficiency \((E_g)\) | \(E_g = \frac{1}{L_p}\)                                | \(E_g\): equals 1/L\(_p\) if \(L_p\) is the harmonic mean defined as above | The overall information transfer efficiency across the whole network |
| Local efficiency \((E_{loc})\) | \(E_{loc} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_g(G_i)\)    | \(E_{loc}\): the mean of the global efficiencies of \(G_i\) across all the nodes in the network | A higher \(E_{loc}\) value reflects higher efficiency of regional information processing |
| Betweenness centrality \((B_c(i))\) | \(B_c(i) = \sum_{j \neq m \in G} \frac{e_{jm}}{e_{im}}\) | \(e_{jm}\): the number of shortest paths between node \(j\) and \(m\) which pass through node \(i\) \(e_{im}\): the number of shortest paths between node \(j\) and \(m\) | A node with high betweenness plays a critical role in the information processing of the network because its abnormality would widely affect the shortest paths and thus influence the whole network efficiency |

### GRAPH THEORY AND HUMAN CONNECTOMICS

#### GRAPH THEORY

Generally speaking, a graph \(G\) (or a network) consists of \(N\) nodes linked by \(K\) edges. Depending on whether the edges have a direction or not, the graphs can be classified into directed or undirected. Furthermore, the graph is classified as weighted or unweighted based on whether the edges are weighted. Graphs (networks) can be described by an adjacency matrix \(A(n, m)\) in which \(n\) is the number of nodes and the value of \(A_{ij}\) refers to the edge linking node \(i\) and node \(j\).

There are many graph metrics that can be used to describe the topological properties of a network, including cost/sparsity, clustering coefficient \((C_p)\), characteristic path length \((L_p)\), normalized clustering coefficient \((\gamma)\), normalized characteristic path length \((\kappa)\), small-worldliness \((\sigma)\), global efficiency \((E_g)\), local efficiency \((E_{loc})\), degree \((k)\), nodal efficiency \((E_{nodal})\), and betweenness centrality \((B_c)\) (Table 1). In this review we will only focus on undirected and unweighted networks. For a detailed description of network metrics in directed or weighted networks, please see Boccaletti et al. (2006) and Rubinov and Sporns (2010).

The cost/sparsity of a network is the ratio of \(K\) to the possible maximum number of edges in the network \(K_{\text{max}}\), which equals \(N(N - 1)/2\). The \(C_p\) of node \(i\) is the cost/sparsity of the subgraph \(G_i\) consisting of the nodes directly linked with \(i\) (the neighbors of node \(i\)). The \(C_p\) of a network is the mean \(C_p\) across all the nodes. The distance between node \(i\) and \(j\) (noted as \(d_{ij}\)), also known as the shortest path length, refers to the minimum number of edges that must be passed from \(i\) to \(j\), and \(L_p\) is the arithmetic mean or...
the harmonic mean of the shortest path lengths between all pairs of nodes in G. The \( C_p \) and \( L_p \) of a network reveals the local and global efficiency of information transfer and processing, respectively. According to \( C_p \) and \( L_p \), networks can be assigned to three different categories: regular networks with high \( C_p \) and \( L_p \), random networks with low \( C_p \) and \( L_p \), and small-world networks with high \( C_p \) and \( L_p \), the mean \( C_p \) of a number of matched random networks and low \( L_p \), the mean \( L_p \) of a number of matched random networks; Watts and Strogatz, 1998). Small-world is a common organizational structure of networks in lots of fields such as airline networks, social networks, physiological networks, and neuronal networks and has been proved to support highly efficient segregated and integrated information processing with low wiring costs (Watts and Strogatz, 1998). Three secondary parameters, \( \gamma \) \( (C_p / C_p^{rand}) \), \( \lambda \) \( (L_p / L_p^{rand}) \), and \( \sigma \) \( (\gamma / \lambda) \) can reveal the network’s small-worldness (Watts and Strogatz, 1998; Humphries et al., 2006). The efficiency of information processing in a graph can be measured with \( E_g \) and \( E_{loc} \) (Latora and Marchiori, 2001). \( E_g \) equals the inverse of \( L_p \) if the harmonic mean of distances over all pairs of nodes and \( E_{loc} \) is the average of \( E_g \) in which \( i \) ranges from 1 to \( N \).

While the metrics mentioned above contain information about the organizational properties of the comprehensive network, several nodal metrics such as \( k \), \( E_{nodal} \), and \( B_c \) can be further used to indicate the different roles of the nodes. The degree, \( k \), refers to the number of edges linking to a particular node and reveals the accessibility of the node. \( E_{nodal} \) of node \( i \) is the inverse of the harmonic mean distance between \( i \) and all other nodes (Achard and Bullmore, 2007). The definition of \( B_c \) is much more complex. To get the \( B_c \) of a certain node \( i \) \( i.e., B_c(i) \) in Table 1, we should first select a pair of nodes, noted as \( m \) and \( n \), calculate the number of shortest paths between them passing through \( i \), divide that number by the total number of shortest paths between \( m \) and \( n \), and then sum the ratios across all pairs of nodes in the network (Freeman, 1977). \( B_c(i) \) measures the extent to which the node \( i \) is a necessity of the shortest paths between any pair of nodes excluding \( i \) in the network. Nodes with high \( k \), \( B_c \), or with short average path length to other nodes (and thus with high \( E_{nodal} \)) are considered of high importance to the information processing efficiency of the network and are called hubs. Because the hubs tend to have lots of connections to other nodes or on the way of lots of shortest paths, removal of hubs can cause significant changes in the organization of the network.

**HUMAN CONNECTOMICS**

Human connectomics is an emerging scientific concept that is used to represent the comprehensive descriptions of structural and functional connectivity patterns of the human brain (Sporns et al., 2005). The human connectome can be constructed on different scales: the microscale, the mesoscale, and the macroscale. The main difference between the three scales is the definition of the network node. A single neuron represents the node when using the microscale. For the mesoscale the nodes are a group of neurons and for the macroscale the nodes are anatomically separate brain regions (Sporns et al., 2005). The edges are then determined by analyzing multi-modal imaging data, for example by measuring the properties of white matter tracts derived from diffusion MRI images, the correlations of time courses from EEG/MEG/fMRI data and the association of brain morphometry obtained from sMRI. Currently, it is hard to obtain microscale and mesoscale network data on the human brain in vivo. To date, existing studies mainly focused on undirected and unweighted macroscale matrices. All the networks mentioned in this review are undirected and unweighted brain networks if not noted specifically. Once the brain networks are constructed using neuroimaging data, a threshold is usually used to transform the initial connectivity matrix into a binary adjacent matrix. Either the correlation coefficient or the cost/sparsity can be used to set the threshold. The flowchart of brain network construction is shown in Figure 1.

On the basis of the connectome analysis, many studies have demonstrated that healthy human brain networks derived from different modalities are small-world networks with high \( C_p \) and short \( L_p \) (for reviews, see Reijneveld et al., 2007; Stam and Reijneveld, 2007; Bullmore and Sporns, 2009; He and Evans, 2010; Sporns, 2011). Considering the traits of a small-world network, it can be inferred that the human brain has evolved into the optimal architecture that maximizes the local and global information processing efficiency in the human brain while lowering the wiring cost. Existing studies also have demonstrated coincident areas as hubs in human brain networks such as the precuneus, the posterior cingulate cortex, the dorsal superior frontal gyrus, the precentral gyrus, and the middle and superior occipital gyri (Achard et al., 2006; He et al., 2007; Hagmann et al., 2008; Buckner et al., 2009; Gong et al., 2009; Tomasi and Volkov, 2010). In addition, significant genetic effects on the brain connectome of healthy people have been demonstrated by two recent studies on twins. Using Figure 1: General process of whole-brain network construction. 1. Extract time course from EEG/MEG records or fMRI images. 2. Calculate morphological metrics such as cortical thickness (the picture showed in Figure 1) and gray matter volume. 3. Define white matter fiber bundles using tractography. 4. Extract regional information from the original voxel- or vertex-based MRI data according to templates. 5. For EEG/MEG, fMRI, and sMRI, the connectivity matrix usually refers to the correlation matrix; for diffusion MRI, it can be a matrix consisting of numbers of fibers regions or the connectivity strength. 6. Generate the whole-brain network using further modification of the connectivity matrix, for example by using thresholds.
resting-state fMRI, Fornito et al. (2011) illustrated that in functional brain network, 60% of the variation of the cost–efficiency, which is an index measuring the difference between the network cost and efficiency, was attributed to additive genetic effects. Using sMRI, Schmitt et al. (2008) demonstrated that genetically mediated neuroanatomic network derived from cortical thickness correlations follows a small-world architecture, suggesting that genetic factors are involved in the correlative patterning of the human cortex in this manner.

**BRAIN CONNECTOMICS IN AD**

**STRUCTURAL CONNECTOMICS IN AD**

Using sMRI and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), several studies have demonstrated abnormal topological properties in the structural brain networks of patients with AD. In this section, we will review the existing studies of AD structural connectomics.

**Gray matter networks**

Gray matter morphometric information (gray matter density, gray matter volume, and cortical thickness) revealed by sMRI provides a promising way to explore human brain anatomy. Coordinate variations of brain morphometry measurements between functionally- or anatomically-connected areas have been found in recent sMRI studies, in the visual areas (Andrews et al., 1997) and in the frontotemporal (Bullmore et al., 1998; Lerch et al., 2006), frontoparietal (Wright et al., 1999), and symmetrical interhemispheric regions (Mechelli et al., 2003; He et al., 2007; Zielinski et al., 2010). Human brain structural networks can be established from sMRI images based on gray matter volume or cortical thickness correlations between different areas. He et al. (2007) used graph theoretical network analysis (GRETNA) to examine the macroscale cortical thickness correlation network of 124 normal adults and described it as small-world. Networks based on gray matter volume correlations also revealed a similar topology (Bassett et al., 2008). Gray matter-based network analysis technique has gained more and more attention in the AD research field.

He et al. (2008) was the first group to use sMRI and graph theory tools to investigate structural brain networks in AD patients. Their study included 97 healthy older adults and 92 AD patients. The cortical thickness coordination networks at large-scale were constructed for both groups. The networks consisted of 54 nodes each, referring to 54 regions from the automated non-linear image matching and anatomical labeling (ANIMAL) template. GREtNA, as used in their previous study (He et al., 2007), was then applied to the two structural networks. They found that the AD group had decreased interregional correlations of cortical thickness between the bilateral postcentral gyrus and between the bilateral superior parietal lobes. Increased correlations were also discovered within regions such as the medial prefrontal cortex, the cingulate regions, the supramarginal gyrus, the superior temporal gyrus, and the inferior temporal gyrus. These regions were mostly located in the so-called default mode network (DMN), which is a neuronal network closely related to episodic memory, comprising of the posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus, the lateral temporal and parietal cortex, the hippocampus, and the medial frontal cortex regions (Raichle et al., 2001). While the networks derived from both groups demonstrated small-world characteristics, significant differences in network parameters were observed over binary networks using a wide range of sparsity thresholds (Figures 2A,B). The brain networks in AD showed increased $C_p$ and $L_p$ compared with those of healthy adults, indicating a less optimal topological structure. They also found decreased betweenness centrality in the right superior temporal gyrus and the bilateral angular gyrus. Increases were also found in the left lingual gyrus, the left lateral occipitotemporal gyrus, and the right cingulate gyrus in the network of patients with AD (Figure 2C). All of these regions were identified as hubs in either the health network or in the AD network by this study. In addition, they discovered that the AD network was more vulnerable to targeted attack, that is, the absence of hub regions had a greater influence on the AD network.

Another recent study explored changes in the topological properties of the structural brain network in patients with AD and mild cognitive impairment (MCI; Yao et al., 2010). MCI is considered an intermediate stage between normal aging and AD, and people with MCI are at high risk developing AD. The dataset for this study was acquired from the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative4,5 and included 98 normal controls, 113 subjects with MCI, and 91 AD patients. In this work, Yao and colleagues constructed a 90 by 90 gray matter volume correlation network for each of the three groups using an automated anatomical labeling (AAL) template with multiple sparsity thresholds ranging from 15 to 30%. Permutation testing revealed a significant increase in $C_p$ over a wide range of thresholds and a larger $L_p$ on higher thresholds in the AD networks compared with the healthy networks, implying a weakening of small-worldness. This result was consistent with previous study based on cortical thickness correlation networks (He et al., 2008). The $C_p$ and $L_p$ values of MCI network were intermediate between AD group and normal control group but no significant changes were found. They further identified the middle temporal gyrus, temporal pole, lingual gyrus, orbital frontal gyrus, and superior parietal gyrus as hub regions in the network of the normal control group, and the orbital frontal gyrus, inferior frontal gyrus, cingulate, and medial orbital frontal gyrus in the AD group. The hubs of MCI network largely overlapped with AD network. The alteration in hub regions revealed the disturbed large-scale brain connectome integration in AD. Regions including the parahippocampal gyrus, temporal pole, fusiform, cingulate, superior parietal region, and orbital frontal gyrus showed significant changes in the interregional correlations between the normal control and AD groups.

In summary, these sMRI-based studies have consistently demonstrated that patients with AD had aberrant morphological organization in gray matter structural networks. Specifically, the patients were found to have higher $C_p$ and $L_p$ in the brain structural networks, suggesting a tendency from the optimal small-world organization toward a regular-like connectivity pattern in the AD brain connectome. However, it needs to note that the biological mechanisms underlying topological alterations of morphological networks in AD remain largely unclear, although
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FIGURE 2 | Comparison of structural connectome between patients with AD and healthy controls (He et al., 2008). Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in $C_p$ and $L_p$ between patients with AD and healthy controls with different sparsity thresholds are shown in (A,B) with arrows. The gray lines represent mean values and 95% confidence intervals of between-group differences obtained by permutation tests, while red dots show the real value of the differences. (C) Shows hub regions with significantly different betweenness in the AD group compared with the healthy control group. Red regions have significantly increased betweenness in the AD group and cyan regions have decreased values of betweenness. The small letters a through f represented the right angular gyrus, the left angular gyrus, the right superior temporal gyrus, the left lateral occipitotemporal gyrus, the right lingual gyrus, and the left cingulate gyrus, respectively.

several previous studies have suggested that these morphological correlations among regions might be associated with the mutually tropic effects, environment-related plasticity, and genetic effects (Mechelli et al., 2005; He et al., 2007).

White matter networks
Different from sMRI, diffusion MRI captures the movement of water molecule in brain tissues, revealing the orientation of white matter fiber bundles by deterministic (Mori et al., 1999) or probabilistic (Behrens et al., 2003) tractography. Studies using the DTI technique have found faithful white matter fiber bundles known as real anatomical connections (Catani et al., 2002; Wakana et al., 2004). Relating to the AD research, DTI-based studies have reported widespread disruptions of white matter integrity in the corpus callosum, the superior longitudinal fasciculus, and cingulum (Rose et al., 2000; Bozzali et al., 2002; Naggara et al., 2006; Xie et al., 2006; Fellgiebel et al., 2008; Ukmar et al., 2008; Kiuchi et al., 2009).

Studies on the brain’s white matter are extremely important for the human connectome because white matter tracts connect functionally related regions and therefore might underlie functional states of the brain. Several recent studies have utilized DTI to construct human whole-brain white matter networks and demonstrated small-world topological properties (Hagmann et al., 2007; Iturria-Medina et al., 2008; Gong et al., 2009). Several hub regions have also been identified in the white matter structural networks in healthy adults, including the precuneus, the medial frontal cortex, the middle occipital gyrus, and the cingulate gyrus (Hagmann et al., 2008; Gong et al., 2009).

Lo et al. (2010) published the first research on the AD network based on the DTI technique. They used a dataset of 25 AD patients and 30 age- and gender-matched normal controls. They performed fiber tracking via the fiber assignment by continuous tracking algorithm (Mori et al., 1999). The fiber number between two cortical regions multiplied by the mean fractional anisotropy of the fiber bundles was calculated as the weight of edge. After constructing an undirected weighted network for each participant according to the AAL template, they calculated $C_p$, $L_p$, $\gamma$, $\sigma$, $E_g$, $E_{loc}$, and $E_{nodal}$ to investigate the topological differences between the normal control group and the AD group. It turned out that both normal and AD networks showed prominent small-worldness. No significant differences were found for the values of $C_p$, $\gamma$, and $\sigma$ between the two groups. However the AD group did have larger $L_p$ and $\lambda$ values. The increased $L_p$ was in accordance with previous structural connectomics studies of AD (He et al., 2008; Yao et al., 2010). As to the efficiency.
measurements, $E_g$ was significantly reduced in AD network, while $E_{loc}$ was not significantly different. These differences revealed a less optimal organization of the brain network in patients with AD. The researchers further identified nodes with high $E_{nodal}$ values as hubs and compared the $E_{nodal}$ of the hubs in AD with those of normal controls. They found that AD-related $E_{nodal}$ reduction was limited to several prefrontal areas including the medial superior frontal gyrus, the middle frontal gyrus, the orbital part of the inferior frontal gyrus, and the temporal pole of the middle temporal gyrus in the temporal lobe (Figure 3). The researchers correlated the network properties with the cognitive performance of the patients with AD and found significant correlations between some of the network metrics and memory test scores.

**FUNCTIONAL CONNECTOMICS IN AD**

Modern functional neuroimaging (e.g., fMRI) and neurophysiological techniques (e.g., EEG/MEG) can non-invasively measure human brain activities and provides valuable information about human brain networks. In this section we will summarize recent advances in AD functional connectomics.

**EEG/MEG networks**

EEG/MEG records the electric and magnetic field changes caused by the neuronal activities during a task or during the resting-state. These neurophysiological techniques also provide powerful approaches with high temporal resolution to investigate human brain function in health and disease. Functional brain connectome analysis based on EEG/MEG data have uncovered small-world topology in healthy people (Stam, 2004; Bassett et al., 2006; Micheloyannis et al., 2006; Ferri et al., 2007; Smit et al., 2008). The techniques have also been applied studies of AD and have demonstrated abnormal functional connectivity, both in interhemispheric and intrahemispheric connections (Berendse et al., 2000; Knott et al., 2000; Adler et al., 2003; Pijnenburg et al., 2004; Koenig et al., 2005; Stam et al., 2006).

Stam et al. (2007a) used EEG to conduct brain network analysis on 15 patients with AD and 13 control subjects with only subjective memory complaints. They computed synchronization likelihood in the beta band (13–30 Hz) between any pairs of 21 nodes and constructed a binary brain network for each participant. Their study showed that the AD group had significant increases in $L_p$ both under synchronization likelihood thresholds and sparsity thresholds, implying impaired large-scale brain functional integration. However, they barely found significant changes in $C_p$ below either type of the thresholds. This might imply that the local connectivity of the brain network in AD was relatively spared. Further analysis revealed significant negative Pearson’s correlations between $L_p$ and the mini mental state examination (MMSE) score. The results demonstrated the altered brain functional connectivity pattern associated with AD.

In a later work, Stam et al. (2009) used resting-state MEG data to investigate the human brain connectomics in AD. The study included 18 healthy people and 18 patients with AD. They produced a 149-node weighted brain network based on the phase lag index (PLI, see Stam et al., 2007b). The AD group showed significant mean PLI reduction in the beta band and the lower alpha band (8–10 Hz). Significant decreases were also observed in the left frontoparietal, the frontotemporal, the parietooccipital, and the temporoooccipital PLIs in the lower alpha band, and in the interhemispheric frontal and right frontoparietal PLIs in the beta band. The findings supported AD as a disconnection syndrome. Statistical analysis on small-world indices revealed significantly higher $L_p$ and lower $C_p$, $\gamma$, and $\lambda$ in the brain networks of the lower alpha band of patients with AD, leaving no discovery in the beta band. The alteration of small-world indices showed that the AD brain network exhibited a random-like pattern. Putting all the participants together, the MMSE score was positively correlated with mean PLI in the beta band and $\gamma$ in the lower alpha band.

De Haan et al. (2009) conducted another EEG study of AD and frontotemporal dementia. They acquired resting-state EEG records from 20 patients with AD and 23 healthy people with only subjective cognitive complaints. Binary synchronization likelihood brain networks were constructed with synchronization likelihood thresholds and sparsity thresholds. In the beta band, $\sigma$ was significantly decreased in AD networks while small-worldness was demonstrated both in healthy and AD networks across all band frequencies. $C_\sigma$ and $\gamma$ decreased in the AD group in the lower alpha and beta bands. The $\lambda$ value of AD networks also decreased in the lower alpha and gamma (30–45 Hz) bands. These results implied a disturbance in the balance of localized and integrated information processing and a random-oriented shift of the AD brain networks. The degree correlation, which refers to the mean Pearson correlation coefficient of the degree between each pair of directly linked nodes, was decreased in AD in the lower and upper (10–13 Hz) alpha bands. Taken together, all of these findings supported the conclusion that AD is a disconnection syndrome. The researchers also found that $\lambda$ was positively correlated with MMSE score in AD patients in the lower alpha band.

More recently, Ahmadlou et al. (2010) studied EEG networks in AD using a visibility graph method (Lacasa et al., 2008). The basic idea of visibility graph is to transform time series into a network whose structure is related to the self-similarity and complexity of the time series. The complexity in the visibility graph of AD patients was significantly decreased in the alpha and delta bands compared with the normal elderly group. They further derived classifiers based on the discriminative complexity measurements and yielded an average accuracy of 97.75% at best. This study demonstrated the possibility of using graph metrics as biomarkers for the diagnosis of AD.

In summary, the EEG/MEG network analysis demonstrated abnormal brain connectome from a functional perspective. All the networks presented a random-like reconstruction in patients with AD, characterized by lower $C_\sigma/\gamma$ or shorter $L_p$. The alpha and beta bands showed the highest consistency in detecting AD-related changes in network metrics. A previous study combining EEG and fMRI (Laufs et al., 2003) indicated that the power of the alpha band (8–12 Hz) was correlated with spontaneous neuronal activities of attention-related brain regions, and the power of part of the beta band (17–23 Hz) was correlated with activities in DMN regions. Thus, we speculate that the alterations of network indices in the alpha and beta bands might reflect the underlying mechanism of functional deficits observed in patients with AD.
Xie and He Brain connectomics in AD

FIGURE 3 | Brain regions with significant differences ($p < 0.05$, FDR corrected) in $E_{x,y}$ in the AD networks (Lo et al., 2010). The regions included the medial part of the superior frontal gyrus (SFGmed.F and SFGmed.R), the right dorsolateral part of the superior frontal gyrus (SFGdor.R), the right middle frontal gyrus (MFG.R), the right orbital part of the inferior frontal gyrus (ORBinf.R), the orbital and the medial orbital part of the superior frontal gyrus (ORBsup.R and ORBsupmed.R), the orbital part of the middle frontal gyrus (ORBmid.R), and the right temporal pole of the middle temporal gyrus (TPOmid.R). The connection strengths between nodes were represented by the edge widths, removing the effects of age and gender.

Functional MRI networks

Functional MRI captures blood-oxygen level dependent signal and indirectly describe the brain activity. fMRI has a relatively low temporal resolution (∼2 s) but a high special resolution (∼2 mm). Using resting-state fMRI (R-fMRI; Biswal et al., 1995), Salvador et al. (2005) first performed the graph theoretical analysis of the functional networks of the human brain. They constructed a 90-node undirected binary network for each participant. Graph theoretical analysis showed that the healthy human brain connectome is a small-world network with hierarchical organization. Later studies found similar topological structure in the human brain, studied the efficiency of the connectome (Achard and Bullmore, 2007) and identified several hub regions such as the precuneus, the middle temporal gyrus, the middle frontal gyrus, and the medial superior frontal gyrus (Achard et al., 2006; Buckner et al., 2009; He et al., 2009b; Zuo et al., 2011; for a review, see Wang et al., 2010). These findings made the understanding of brain network topology more clear and detailed.

Studies of AD based on R-fMRI data have found altered brain functional connectivity in patients with AD (Wang et al., 2006; Allen et al., 2007). Some task-based fMRI studies also found aberrant brain activity in the DMN of patients with AD during simple motor tasks (Greicius et al., 2004) and tasks of associative memory (Celone et al., 2006). Buckner et al. (2009) found a correlation between the locations of hub regions of fMRI brain networks in healthy adults and the sites of Aβ deposition in the brains of patients with AD. These regions included the inferior/superior parietal lobule, the medial superior frontal cortex, the medial prefrontal cortex, and the posterior cingulate/precuneus (Figure 4), implying that the hubs are preferentially affected in the progress of AD.

Supekar et al. (2008) published the first R-fMRI study of the functional brain connectome in AD using the topological network analysis method. The researchers recruited 21 patients with AD and 18 healthy volunteers matched for age, gender, and education. R-fMRI brain networks were established using wavelet correlation. The researchers computed small-world metrics of the 90-node networks based on the AAL template and found that both $\gamma$ and $\sigma$ of the functional networks were significantly lower in the AD group, indicating that the functional network in AD lost small-worldness. Further investigation showed that using $\gamma$ as a biomarker to diagnose AD would yield 72% sensitivity and 78% specificity at best, suggesting that the topological network indices could serve as biomarkers of AD. Nodal $C_p$ values were significantly decreased in the hippocampus bilaterally, demonstrating that intrinsic brain functional organization was disrupted. The researchers also found decreased intratemporal connections and weakened connectivity strength (i.e., correlation coefficients) between the thalamus and the frontal, temporal, and occipital lobes. Conversely, the connections within the frontal lobe were enhanced. The analysis was repeated on a second fMRI dataset acquired from the same subjects and produced similar results, suggesting that this analysis technique is reproducible.

In a more recent study, Sanz-Arigita et al. (2010) compared 18 patients with mild AD to 21 healthy controls to explore the loss of small-worldness in AD brain networks. According to the AAL template, a region-based synchronization likelihood matrix was established for each subject and then binarized by a series of thresholds ranged from 0.01 to 0.05 with increments of 0.01. $C_p$ and $L_p$, along with $\gamma$ and $\lambda$, were calculated as the indices of small-worldness. The $C_p$ of patients with AD did not show significant differences from the healthy control group, but the $L_p$ was significantly decreased in the AD group across a wide range.
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FIGURE 4 | The spatial distribution of functional brain hubs in normal controls (the two columns on the left) and Aβ deposition in AD (the two columns on the right; Buckner et al., 2009). The left color bar shows the Z score of degree. The right color bar reveals the extent of Aβ deposition.

of thresholds, implying a trend toward random networks. They found significant synchronization differences between the AD and control groups. Similar to the findings of Supekar et al. (2008), these changes included increases in the functional connectivity within the frontal cortices, between the frontal cortices and the corpus striatum and between the frontal cortices and the thalamus, as revealed by synchronization likelihood and decreases between the temporal lobe, the parietal cortex and the occipital cortex. The long-distance connectivity loss supported the conclusion that AD is a disconnection syndrome, while the strengthened connections suggested that a compensatory mechanism might be responsible for preserving cognitive functions.

Other than the whole-brain network analysis studies, several graph theoretical DMN studies based on fMRI have been conducted. Ciftci (2011) utilized the minimum spanning tree (the subgraph of a network with the minimum cost while connecting all the nodes) to investigate the alteration of DMN connectivity during AD. Their study included 14 young subjects, 14 healthy elderly subjects, and 13 subjects with AD. Significantly lower connection density was observed in the AD and elderly groups compared with the younger group, although the minimum spanning tree of the three groups all presented a similar chain-like structure. Cluster analysis on the three spanning trees revealed much more fragmented functioning organization in AD, which was most notable in the hippocampus/parahippocampus and the precuneus/posterior cingulate complex. They also found a decreased correlation coefficient between the hippocampus/parahippocampus and the inferior temporal gyrus and between the precuneus/posterior cingulate gyrus and the angular gyrus. Another study by Miao et al. (2011) used independent component analysis to identify DMN in 12 normal young adults, 16 older adult controls, and 15 patients with AD. The researchers further constructed directed brain networks using Granger causality modeling and examined the proportion of edges connected with hubs compared to all edges. They found the proportion to be significantly decreased in patients with AD, implying impaired directed DMN connectivity in AD. Utilizing this ratio as a diagnostic tool for AD yielded a specificity of 81.25% and a sensitivity of 80.00%.

In summary, the AD brain connectome studies based on fMRI data demonstrated disrupted network connectivity patterns in patients with AD. The lower C_p, L_p, γ, or λ revealed a random-toward transition of brain connectome in the disease, which were consistent with EEG/MEG studies (Stam et al., 2007a, 2009; De Haan et al., 2009). These less optimized reconstructions of functional brain network supported the theory that AD is a disconnection syndrome and might imply the functional basis of cognitive deficits.

The studies of structural and functional brain connectomics in AD have illustrated that the brain network configuration in patients with AD was significantly altered compared with normal controls. However, it needs to note that the alterations of topological metrics in the brain networks such as C_p and L_p showed distinct patterns in different modalities (see Table 2 for detail information). These discrepancies could be attributed to different imaging modality, network size, and population size applied in these studies (Table 2). In spite of these differences, we noticed that all of the studies pointed to a less optimized connectivity pattern in AD brain networks. Correlation analysis also revealed that cognitive performances of patients with AD were correlated to topological network indices. As to the nodal properties, the existing studies found aberrant changes in B_c and connectivity strength involving DMN regions. These regions were closely associated with episodic memory and showed significant gray matter atrophy and abnormal functional activities in AD (Rombouts et al., 2000; Frisoni et al., 2002; Busatto et al., 2003; Sperling et al., 2003; Buckner et al., 2005). Although the biological mechanism underlying disrupted
topological properties in AD brain networks still remains unclear, we speculate that the disruption could be attributed to the neuron loss, amyloid deposition, or metabolic abnormalities.

**AD CONNECTOME AND GENETICS**

Researchers have demonstrated that numerous genes have been associated with late-onset AD, including amyloid precursor protein, presenilin 1, presenilin 2, and APOE (for reviews, see Bookheimer and Burggren, 2009; Bekris et al., 2010). Of these genes, APOE is one of the major genetic risk factors for developing AD. Studies on normal people have revealed APOE-4 effects on the brain structure and function but controversy exists. For example, some studies reported smaller gray matter volume or thinner cortex in APOE-4 carriers in the hippocampus (Tohgi et al., 1997; Den Heijer et al., 2002; Honea et al., 2009) and the entorhinal cortex (Shaw et al., 2007; Burggren et al., 2008), yet others found no such differences (Reiman et al., 1998; Jak et al., 2007; Cherbuin et al., 2008). As to the studies of brain function, decreased activities were reported in the APOE-4 carriers in regions such as the medial prefrontal cortex, the hippocampus, and the posterior cingulate (Reiman et al., 1996; Small et al., 2000; Persson et al., 2008; Pihlajamaki and Sperling, 2009; Adamson et al., 2011), but enhanced activities were also found in these regions (Bookheimer et al., 2001; Wishart et al., 2006; Han et al., 2007; Filippini et al., 2009). Notably, APOE was also found to modulate disease phenotype. For example, several studies have demonstrated greater gray matter atrophy in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex in APOE-4 carriers with AD as compared to APOE-4 non-carriers with AD (Lehtovirta et al., 2006; Geroldi et al., 1999; Bigler et al., 2000; Hashimoto et al., 2001; Wolk and Dickerson, 2010), while evidences for non-significant volume differences in hippocampus were also reported (Jack et al., 1998; Drzezga et al., 2009). The discrepancies of the results could be attributable to the sample size and the demographic differences of subjects.

There are also evidences indicating that APOE-4 alters the brain connectivity in normal participants. For example, several studies magnified abnormal functional connectivity associated with APOE-4 in DMN (Filippini et al., 2009; Fleisher et al., 2009; Sheline et al., 2010; Machulda et al., 2011). DTI studies found aberrant white matter tracts with descending fractional anisotropy in APOE-4 carriers, including the posterior corpus callosum and the medial temporal lobe (Persson et al., 2006) and the parahippocampal white matter (Nierenberg et al., 2005; Honea et al., 2009). So far, there’s only one study using graph theoretical analysis to explore the APOE-4 effects on whole-brain networks. Brown et al. (2011) utilized DTI tractography methods to investigate the relationship between the age and the topology of human brain structural network in normal elderly people. They found that only in the APOE-4 group the cost, $C_p$ and $\sigma$ showed significant negative correlation with age, while only in the APOE-4 non-carriers group $L_p$ showed significant positive correlation with age. The nodal $C_p$ of APOE-4 carriers decreased more sharply along with age in the right precuneus, the left orbitofrontal cortex, the left supramarginal gyrus, and right inferior temporal gyrus. This study demonstrated that APOE mediated the topological organization of human brain structural connectome in aging. Further studies would be important to combine different imaging modalities to systematically explore how the APOE-4 and other genetic risk factors of AD affect the topology of human connectome in health and AD.

### Table 2 | Alzheimer's disease-related alterations of topological properties.

| Study                  | Modality         | Connectivity method                        | Network type | Matrix size | Main findings |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| He et al. (2008)       | sMRI             | Partial correlation of cortical thickness | Binary       | 54          |               |
| Yao et al. (2010)      | sMRI             | Pearson correlation of gray matter volume | Binary       | 90          |               |
| Lo et al. (2010)       | DTI              | Deterministic fiber tracking              | Weighted     | 78          |               |
| Stam et al. (2007a)    | EEG              | Synchronization likelihood                | Binary       | 21          |               |
| Stam et al. (2009)     | MEG              | Phase lag index                           | Weighted     | 149         |               |
| De Haan et al. (2009)  | EEG              | Synchronization likelihood                | Binary       | 21          |               |
| Supekar et al. (2008)  | fMRI             | Wavelet correlation                       | Binary       | 90          |               |
| Sanz-Arigita et al. (2010) | fMRI       | Synchronization likelihood                | Binary       | 116/90      |               |

This table was modified from Table 5 in Lo et al. (2010).

+; AD > NC; −; AD < NC; NS, none significance.

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

Despite the abundance of findings already obtained from the method, graph theoretical analysis of the AD network is only in its infancy and still has some problems. Future studies should take in account a number of considerations, which will be discussed in this section.

First, the existing works on AD brain networks are at the macroscale. The interplay between macroscale network property alterations associated with AD and the biological and pathological mechanisms of AD have not been studied thoroughly. AD could cause neuron loss and white matter aberration, which may be account for the gray matter atrophy revealed by volume loss or cortical thinning and the white matter fiber changes found in diffusion studies. Also, one study demonstrated that Aβ deposition locations corresponded with hub regions of healthy brain networks (Buckner et al., 2009). However, the relationship between these pathological changes and network abnormalities still needs further exploration. Empirical studies of AD pathology and neuroimaging would be helpful in clarifying this issue.
Second, multi-modal analysis represents one potential avenue for future research on connectomics. Data from sMRI, diffusion MRI, fMRI, and EEG/MEG all reveal meaningful information about human brain connectome from different perspectives, the combination of datasets from various modalities would thus give us a full view of human connectome in health and disease. For example, Villain et al. (2008) showed that the hippocampal atrophy in patients with AD was specifically related to cingulum bundle atrophy, which is in turn highly correlated to hypometabolism of the posterior cingulate cortex, suggesting the hypometabolism might result from hippocampal atrophy via cingulum bundle disruption.

Third, little is known about the dynamic progress of AD. Most of the AD network studies focus on comparing indices to those of normal controls and demonstrating significant differences between the two groups. We have little knowledge of longitudinal changes in brain connectomics. An R-fMRI study (Zhang et al., 2010) compared the posterior cingulate cortex connectivity of healthy controls to those of patients with mild, moderate and severe AD. The researchers suggested that the patients with AD had normal posterior cingulate cortex connectivity patterns and that the disruption intensified with disease progression. This study demonstrated the dynamic changes in brain connectivity in AD, but the relationship between the network and the disease progression remains unclear. Continuous longitudinal observations of AD development are needed to characterize the developmental changes.

Fourth, further studies are necessary to determine whether the abnormalities found in network studies are specific to AD. De Haan et al. (2009) demonstrated that network property alterations such as decreases in Gp and Lp were not observed in patients with frontotemporal lobar degeneration and that degree correlation decreased in AD but increased in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Still many more studies are needed to compare the disruptions of brain connectivity patterns between AD and other dementia, such as dementia with Lewy bodies.

Fifth, the reliability of network property changes as a biomarker of AD needs to be examined, given that controversial results were obtained from different studies mentioned in this review (Table 2).

Seventh, multi-modal analysis represents one potential avenue for future research on connectomics. Data from sMRI, diffusion MRI, fMRI, and EEG/MEG all reveal meaningful information about human brain connectome from different perspectives, the combination of datasets from various modalities would thus give us a full view of human connectome in health and disease. For example, Villain et al. (2008) showed that the hippocampal atrophy in patients with AD was specifically related to cingulum bundle atrophy, which is in turn highly correlated to hypometabolism of the posterior cingulate cortex, suggesting the hypometabolism might result from hippocampal atrophy via cingulum bundle disruption.

The relationship between the network and the disease progression remains unclear. Continuous longitudinal observations of AD development are needed to characterize the developmental changes.

Fourth, further studies are necessary to determine whether the abnormalities found in network studies are specific to AD. De Haan et al. (2009) demonstrated that network property alterations such as decreases in Gp and Lp were not observed in patients with frontotemporal lobar degeneration and that degree correlation decreased in AD but increased in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Still many more studies are needed to compare the disruptions of brain connectivity patterns between AD and other dementia, such as dementia with Lewy bodies.

Fifth, the reliability of network property changes as a biomarker of AD needs to be examined, given that controversial results were obtained from different studies mentioned in this review (Table 2). Several studies on the reliability of network topological metrics of healthy people have been done in MEG (Deuker et al., 2009, fMRI (Telesford et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011), and diffusion MRI (Vaessen et al., 2010; Bassett et al., 2011), but little is known about using these indices to diagnose AD. This is an important issue in establishing a topological biomarker for diagnosing and monitoring AD.

Finally, some individuals are at high risk of developing AD, such as those with the APOE-4 genotype and patients with amnesia MCI. Sorg et al. (2007) demonstrated that patients with amnesia MCI have reduced connectivity in the DMN and the executive attention network. In addition, Yao et al. (2010) discovered that the brain networks of patients with amnesia MCI and the patients with AD of both group demonstrated similar alterations compared to healthy controls, while the differences between the network topologies of the two patient groups were not significant. Some progress has been made in this field, but further studies are needed to clarify the AD-like topological alterations in people with AD risk factors.

**CONCLUSION**

To summarize, brain connectome analysis of adults with AD has provided an important methodology for studies of AD. All of the studies mentioned above demonstrated that AD brain networks are less optimally constructed and have decreased information processing efficiency. These alterations in brain connectivity patterns reveal the underlying brain structural and functional disruptions that cause the cognitive deficits of AD. Thus, these studies provide further support for the description of AD as a disconnection syndrome. The graph theory analysis methods have proved to be powerful tools for exploring the structural and functional architecture of the human brain and have provided new understanding of the biological mechanisms of AD and have uncovered potential biomarkers of early disease and disease progression.
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