Rapid and Sensitive Faecal based PCR Assays for the Detection of Parvoviruses in Laboratory Rats
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Abstract

Health status of laboratory rats indicates its suitability for the researches. They are prone to develop viral infections of subclinical nature caused by parvoviruses, which affects the research results adversely; hence implementation of health monitoring protocol is essential at the level of breeding colony itself. This study was intended to develop rapid and sensitive fecal-PCR assays to detect infections of multiple species of Parvoviruses affecting rats namely, Rat Minute Virus, Toolan’s Parvo Virus, Rat Parvo Virus and Kilham’s Rat Virus as an alternate approach to serology based health monitoring in a lab animal breeding unit. All the primers detected only in the presence of the respective templates. PCR assays of RMV and TPV consistently amplified as little as 40 fg and that of RPV and KRV consistently amplified as little as 4 fg of plasmid DNA. Specificity and sensitivity assays indicate that the PCR assays may be useful as diagnostic tools for rapid detection of natural acute viral infections. Further analysis of the primers in the positive laboratory animal colony is essential.
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Introduction

Suitability of laboratory animals for each and every individual research is determined by their health status (Nicklas et al., 1999). The laboratory animals are prone to develop infections caused by various viruses (Elizebath et al., 2012). These pathogens cause infections of subclinical nature, difficult to manage, thus potentially influencing the outcome of the research (Nicklas et al., 1993; Collins and Parker, 1972).

Presence of viruses like Rat parvo virus, Rat minute virus (wan et al., 2006), Toolan’s parvo virus and Kilham rat virus (Besselsen et al., 1995a) have been reported to affect the experimental results adversely.
Presence of infection in laboratory animals can be detected by variety of methods (Fulari et al., 2006). Serological screening provides information about prevalence of infection and microbiological status of laboratory animals (Raut et al., 2007). Common serological method for the detection of prevalence of viral infections in laboratory animals is by using ELISA. However it cannot detect early infection as the induction of antibody response requires 10-14 days post infection, also it cannot be applicable to immunodeficient animals (Wan et al., 2006) and lastly it is prohibitively costly and need to be imported.

PCR assays can detect viruses in early infections prior to serological conversion or in immunocompromised animals that are not capable of developing a serological response (Besselsen et al., 1995a) and PCR assays in fecal samples offer the advantages of easy sample collection and ante-mortem testing of valuable animals (Bauer and Riley 2006).

Hence this study was intended to develop rapid and sensitive fecal based PCR assays to detect active infections of Rat Minute Virus, Toolan’s Parvo Virus, Rat Parvo Virus and Kilham’s Rat Virus in rats, as an alternate approach to serology based health monitoring in a lab animal breeding unit.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animal maintenance**

Rats produced and weaned at the site of the present study, the Laboratory Animal Medicine Unit – a breeding unit of Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (TANUVAS) were used in this study. This study was carried out after the approval of Institutional Animal Ethical Committee (IAEC), MVC, Chennai-07 (IAEC No. 172/DFBS/B/2013 dated 17.10.2013) and as per the guidelines of the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experimentation in Animals (CPCSEA) Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India.

Rats were maintained in polypropylene cages with Corn Cobb bedding material and supplied with ad libidum feed and water. Cages, bedding materials and water bottles were autoclaved and purified water by reverse osmosis method and autoclaved before it was kept in each cage. Animals are maintained at room temperature 22±3ºC and relative humidity 50±10% and ventilated with centralized air conditioning by HVAC system.

**Sample collection**

Fresh fecal samples were collected from the animal cages before blood collection and were subjected to nucleic acid extraction immediately. Fecal samples from the animals of the same cage were pooled before processing.

Blood samples were collected from randomly selected weaned rats. Animals were anaesthetized using 3% isoflurane using an isoflurane anaesthetic apparatus. Blood samples were collected from anaesthetized rat from the lateral tail vein. Clotted blood samples were centrifuged and the separated serum was stored as aliquots at -20ºC used for ELISA.

After blood collection, rats were euthanized by carbon-di-oxide asphyxiation with steady state of increasing the carbon dioxide concentration in the chamber. Mesenteric lymph nodes were collected, for comparison with fecal PCR assays of parvoviruses, in sterile container and stored in -80 ºC until further use.
Primers

Oligonucleotide primers specific for Rat minute virus (RMV) and Toolan’s parvo virus (TPV) were designed using NCBI primer designing tool (Table 1). All the gene sequences were obtained from Genbank. Primers were designed based on multiple alignments of target gene (Table 1) sequences using DNASTAR Lasergene software. Primer sequences specific for Rat parvo virus (RPV) and Kilham’s rat virus (KRV) were selected as previously published (Table 1). All the primers were synthesized and obtained from sigma.

Viral DNA clones

Gene templates corresponding with reference gene sequence were synthesised in PUC57 vector for each virus by Genscript. Target gene sequences for all the viral strains were obtained from Genbank and consensus sequence generated, by multiple alignments using DNASTAR Lasergene software, was used for gene template synthesis.

PCR assays

DNA was isolated from fecal samples of rat. Briefly, one third of single rat fecal pellet was suspended in 2 mL of sterile Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4. The suspension was centrifuged at 700 g for 5 min at 4°C. A 100 µL of supernatant of the centrifuged was diluted with PBS at the ratio of 1:2 and this fecal mixture was used for DNA extraction (Beckwith et al., 1997). DNA extraction was done from fecal mixture or mesenteric lymph nodes using High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit (Catalogue number. 11858874001) as per the manufacturer’s instruction. Purified viral nucleic acids (DNA) were used as template for PCR assays. The DNA content and their purity in the fecal DNA extracts were determined by measuring the A260/A280 optical density ratio with a UV-visible spectrum spectrophotometer.

PCR assays were performed with a final volume of 20 µL, using 10 pmol in 1µL of each primer, 5ng of template DNA, 10 µL of ready to use Taq DNA polymerase 2X master mix red (AMPLIQON, Denmark) and the final volume was adjusted with nuclease free water. All PCR assays included positive and negative controls. An initial denaturation step of 95ºC for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95ºC for 45 sec, annealing (Table 1) for 20 sec and extension at 72°C for 1 min and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. Amplicons obtained from PCR reactions were subjected to electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel. Ethidium bromide (10 µg/mL) was added at 5 µL/100mL just before casting gel. Amplicon size was compared with molecular weight markers and visualized in Bio-Rad gel documentation system.

To assess the sensitivity of the PCR assay, 1 µL of quantified purified plasmid vector in 10 fold serial dilutions (figures) were used as template for PCR assay. To mimic diagnostic samples 5 ng of rat fecal DNA (Negative for parvovirus DNA) were added to the PCR assays. In the absence of positive samples for the Parvoviruses included in the study, specificity of each virus was analysed using plasmid DNA of all other viruses included in the study.

Serology

Serum samples were analyzed by Sandwich ELISA using the available commercial kits (XpressBio Life Science Products, Thurmont, MD 21788, USA), for the presence or absence of antibody as per the protocol described by manufacturer. 32 samples from Wistar rats were screened for antibody against viral pathogens namely RPV and KRV.
Results and Discussion

On comparison of the nucleotide sequences after multiple alignment of VP2 segment of RMV and TPV with those of other rodent parvoviruses, showed limited homology. Primers specific for strains of RMV and TPV were designed to provide maximum heterology with aligned region of other rodent parvoviruses. In addition to designing of primers showing maximum heterology, the nucleotide in the 3'-end of either or both primer were designed as a mismatch base in the sequences of the other related viruses for improving its specificity.

Sensitivity of each virus was determined by using 10 fold serial dilution of plasmid containing gene sequence of each virus along with rat fecal DNA added at the concentration of 5 ng per assay (Negative for all viruses). Concentrations of plasmid DNA ranged from 400 pg to 4 fg/PCR assay were used. PCR assays of RMV and TPV consistently amplified as little as 40 fg and PCR assays of RPV and KRV consistently amplified as little as 4 fg (Figure 1) of plasmid DNA in the presence of negative control fecal DNA.

Previously published PCR assays for prototypic Parvo viruses detected as low as 100 fg of DNA of TPV, 10 pg of DNA KRV (Besselsen et al., 1995a and 1995b), 0.092 fg of DNA of RPV, 0.18 fg of DNA of RMV-1 (Wan et al., 2006). All the studies varied with, usage of DNA purified from viral culture, cell culture stocks or plasmid DNA as template, detecting sensitivity in the presence of tissue DNA or fecal DNA.

Table.1 Oligonucleotide primers used and gene targeted for viruses of rats

| Virus          | Target Gene | Sequence (5’-3’)                                                                 | Product size | Annealing temperature |
|----------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| KRV (Besselsen et al., 1995a) | VP1 | GCACAGACAACACACAGGAA CTCTCC AGTCCTACTTTGAGCGGCTG | 281 bp       | 64 ºC                |
| RMV           | VP2         | ACCAACGACTAAACTCTCTCA TTAGTTCAAGGTTTGGTGA                                    | 566 bp       | 57 ºC                |
| RPV (Wan et al., 2006) | NS1 | CGCACTACGTAAGATTTTGTCTG CAAAGTCACCAGGCAATGTGT | 486bp        | 58 ºC                |
| TPV           | VP2         | ATTACTCTCTTGCGACA GGTGTTGTTTGGTGA                                           | 517 bp       | 62 ºC                |

Table.2 Prevalence of viral infections in Rat by ELISA and PCR

| Virus of rats | PCR assay (Fecal samples and mesenteric lymph node) | Serology |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------|
|               | Positive | % incidence | Positive | % incidence |
| KRV           | 0/32    | 0.00         | 0/32    | 0.00        |
| RPV           | 0/32    | 0.00         | 0/32    | 0.00        |
| RMV           | 0/32    | 0.00         | -       | -           |
| TPV           | 0/32    | 0.00         | -       | -           |
Figure 1. Ethidium bromide stained gel showing detection of sensitivity of PCR assay (A) TPV, (B) KRV, (C) RMV and (D) RPV in fecal samples. M- marker, 6 - 400pg, 5 - 40pg, 4 - 4pg, 3 - 400fg, 2 - 40fg, 1 - 4fg of positive plasmid DNA template with fecal DNA.
In the current study, Plasmid DNA was used for the detection of sensitivity of each set of primers, hence sensitivity assay for all the viruses were limited to 4 fg of Plasmid DNA, to avoid over-estimating the efficiency of primers when it is used for detecting the viral DNA in natural infection in fecal samples.

Primers of KRV, TPV, RMV and RPV specifically detected the viruses in the presence of respective DNA templates and not in the presence of other DNA templates.

Serological results and PCR assays (Table 2) in the fecal samples as well as mesenteric lymph nodes showed that the parvo viruses were not prevalent in the rat colony and the absence of infection is the reason for negative results of PCR in rat fecal samples.

In conclusion, PCR assays were developed for the set of parvoviruses infecting rats, included in the study. The fecal based PCR assay is a sensitive method and detects at least 40 fg of DNA (viruses included in the study) in fecal samples. The results of the specificity and sensitivity indicate that the fecal-PCR assays may be useful as non-invasive diagnostic tools for rapid detection of natural acute parvovirus infections. Further analysis of the primers in the positive laboratory animal colony is essential.
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