Low back pain among nurses working in clinical settings of Africa: systematic review and meta-analysis of 19 years of studies

Ayele Semachew Kasa 1*, Yinager Workineh 2, Emiru Ayalew 1 and Worku Animaw Temesgen 1

Abstract

Background: Nurses in Africa are arguably the most important frontline healthcare workers available in most healthcare facilities, performing a broad range of tasks. Such tasks are considerably presumed in the causation of workload. Nursing is listed among the highly risky professions for developing low back pain. The nursing profession is ranked within the top ten professions which have a great risk of low back pain. Hence, this review aimed to ascertain whether low back pain is a significant concern for nurses in African healthcare facilities.

Methods: A comprehensive literature search of different databases with no date limit was conducted from September to November 2018 using the PRISMA guideline. The quality of the included studies was assessed using a 12-item rating system. Subgroup and sensitivity analyses were performed. Cochran’s Q and the I 2 test were used to assess heterogeneity. The presence of publication bias was evaluated by using Egger’s test and visual inspection of the symmetry in funnel plots.

Result: In this review, 19 studies from different African regions with a total sample size of 6110 nurses were included. All the studies were carried out between 2000 and 2018. Among these, the lowest and the highest prevalence were found to be 44.1 and 82.7% respectively. The estimation of the prevalence rate of low back pain among nurses using the random-effects model was found to be 64.07% (95% CI: 58.68–69.46; P-value < 0.0001). Heterogeneity of the reviewed studies was I 2 = 94.2% and heterogeneity Chi-squared = 310.06 (df = 18), P-value < 0.0001. The subgroup analyses showed that the highest prevalence of LBP among nurses was from West African region with prevalence rates of 68.46% (95% CI: 54.94–81.97; P-value < 0.0001) and followed by North Africa region with prevalence rate of 67.95% (95% CI: 55.96–79.94; P-value < 0.0001).

Conclusion: Even though the overall prevalence of the present study is lower when compared to the Western and Asian studies, it indicated that the prevalence of low back pain among nurses is substantial.
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Background

Low back pain (LBP) is one of the most common causes of musculoskeletal disorders [1]. It is a neglected health problem responsible for serious suffering and disability among nurse professionals [2]. LBP accounted for an average number of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) higher than different infectious diseases, non-communicable diseases, and road traffic injuries. According to the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2010, LBP was reported among the top ten high burden diseases and injuries [3].

Due to the nature of their work healthcare providers are prone to experience lower back pain. On this regard, hospital nurses are groups of healthcare workers who suffered a lot from it [4–6]. The incidence varies between professions and countries [7, 8]. The incidence of
LBP being considerably high among nurses working in many healthcare facilities mainly in hospital settings [9].

Nurses are arguably the most important frontline healthcare professionals available in most African healthcare facilities, performing a broad range of tasks. They carried out their activities in settings where no other health workers are available [10]. Such tasks are considerably presumed in causing workload. Due to these and other reasons, nursing is listed among the highly risky professions to experience LBP. In line with this, the nursing profession is ranked within the top ten professions which have a great risk of LBP [11–14].

In their day to day practice, nurses are subjected to lift and transport patients or equipment. They often perform such tasks in difficult environment particularly in developing nations where lifting aids are not available or practicable [15–19]. Such tasks bring a strenuous effect on the back and leads to nurses to experience different musculoskeletal complaints [20]. Biomechanical investigations reported that much strenuous activities on the back results in high spinal load [21].

Low back pain affects nurses’ productivity at work and consequently reduces the overall quality of healthcare the clients receive [22–27]. In addition, LBP will have many negative impact on different aspects of the healthcare system including healthcare workers’ absence from workplace, loss of optimal performance, low job satisfaction, rising medical costs and occupational disability [28].

A survey study on nurses revealed that hospital staff nurses lost 750,000 days a year as a result of back pain [18]. A study done in America with regards to workdays lost due to LBP revealed nurses were ranked the sixth highest to lose their working days from a job [29].

To the researchers’ knowledge, no prior systematic review and meta-analysis work on the prevalence of LBP among nurses in Africa. Hence, the objective of the current review is to thoroughly evaluate peer-reviewed published studies on the reported prevalence of LBP among nurses working at different African healthcare facilities. This would help us to ascertain whether LBP is a significant concern for nurses in the African healthcare facilities.

Method
This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted using studies that addressed low back pain among nurses working at different African healthcare facilities. The review was presented using the PRISMA guideline [30].

Search strategy
To conduct this study, all potentially relevant articles and grey literatures were comprehensively searched from September to November 2018 with no date limit. PubMed, Web of Science, SCOPUS, Science Direct, Google Scholar, CINHAL, ProQuest, African Index Medicus (AIM) and African Journals Online databases were searched using the following search terms: “Burden”, “Magnitude”, “Prevalence”, “Incidence” “Low back pain”, “Musculoskeletal problem”, “Back hygiene”, “Nursing”, “Nurses”, “Professional nurses”, “Registered nurses”, “Hospitals”, “Healthcare facilities” and “Africa”. Search strings were developed using “AND” and “OR” Boolean operators. In addition to the electronic database searches, a secondary search using the list of cited references from the included studies was also considered to identify additional articles.

Eligibility criteria
Primary researches reported the prevalence of LBP among nurses working at different African healthcare facilities using a 12-month recall period were included. Studies were not restricted by time of study but they should be written or published using English language. Thesis reports, dissertations, and proceedings/conferences that reported the outcome variable were also considered in our search. Studies were excluded if the article was program evaluation, not full text and not published using English language.

Operational definition
Musculoskeletal disorders: They are described as any pain and/or discomfort that affect the human body’s movement or musculoskeletal system. Low Back Pain (LBP) was operationally defined a pain in the lower back between L1 - L5 and L5-S1 [31]. Prevalence of low back pain: A 12-month recall period was used for experiencing low back pain, as this has been shown to be an appropriate time-scale in other studies [32].

Data extraction
The title and abstract of the studies were screened based on the preset criteria. Retrieved articles were assessed based on their title, overall objectives, and methodology. Irrelevant and duplicate articles were removed and the full text of the remaining articles that fulfilled the preset criteria were reviewed for inclusion.

To extract the data, a form was prepared that contains: Author names, year of publication, country, region in the continent, setting, study design, sample size, gender, mean age, measurement, and prevalence of LBP. The extraction was done by three independent researchers (ASK, YW, and EA). When there was disagreement between them, a thorough discussion was made and if there was still any disagreement, the fourth author (WA) was consulted.
**Fig. 1** PRISMA flow diagram on prevalence of Low Back Pain (LBP) among nurses working at different healthcare facilities in Africa, 2018

**Table 1** Critical appraisal result of the included studies, 2018

|Included articles | Criterion No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | % |
|------------------|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|
|Thembelihle D. et al. [40] | X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ ✓ 80 |
|Asmare Y. et al. [41] | ✓ X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 83 |
|M.M. Belay et al. [42] | ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 90 |
|Lamina S. et al. [21] | X X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 70 |
|Lamina S. et al. [21] | X X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 70 |
|F. O. Omokhodion et al. [26] | X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 80 |
|Sikiru L & Hanifa S [43] | X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA ✓ ✓ ✓ 90 |
|Muhammed A. et al. [28] | ✓ X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 80 |
|Mukaruzima Lela [44] | ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 90 |
|Thembelihle D [45]. | ✓ X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 80 |
|Chandeu Mwilila [46] | ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA ✓ ✓ ✓ 100 |
|Wided B. et al. [31] | ✓ X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA ✓ X ✓ ✓ 81.8 |
|Ian G Munabi. et al. [47] | ✓ X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 80 |
|Mengestie M. et al. [42] | ✓ X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 80 |
|Betty C. [26]. | ✓ X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 80 |
|Amany M. et al. [48] | X X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ 81.8 |
|Ziadi B. et al. [49] | ✓ X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 80 |
|Bolanle MS. et al. [50] | ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA ✓ X ✓ ✓ 91 |
|Chiwardzo et al. [51] | ✓ X ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ NA NA X ✓ ✓ 80 |

✓ criterion fulfilled, X criterion not fulfilled NA not applicable
| Authors name          | Year of publication | Country         | Region in the continent | Setting                 | Study design | Sample size | Sampling method | Measurement gender (%) | Mean age | Response rate (%) | Prevalence (%) | No. of people with the outcome | Prevalence (%) |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Thembelihle D. et al| 2018                | South Africa   | South                  | Regional Hospital       | cross-sectional| 373         | Convenience   | SSAQ                 | 89% Female          | 65.0    | 157               | 59             |                                 |                |
| Aynae Y. et al.      | 2015                | Ethiopia       | East                   | Public Hospital & HC   | cross-sectional | 428         | Survey        | A-NMSQ               | 53.7% Female         | 30      | 91%              | 57.1           |                                 |                |
| MM. Belay et al.     | 2016                | Ethiopia       | East                   | Public Hospital         | cross-sectional | 430         | SRS           | SSAQ + VAS            | 72.2% Female         | 30.6    | 92%              | 45.8           |                                 |                |
| Lamina S. et al.     | 2009                | Ethiopia       | East                   | Public Hospital         | cross-sectional | 120         | Convenience   | SSAQ                 | NS                  | NS      | 83%              | 60             | 300                             | 73.5           |
| Lamina S. et al.     | 2009                | Nigeria        | West                   | Specialized hospital    | cross-sectional | 500         | Convenience   | SSAQ                 | NS                  | NS      | 82%              | 300            | 73.5                             |                |
| F. O. Omokhodion et al. | 2010              | Nigeria        | West                   | Rural hospital          | cross-sectional | 80          | Convenience   | SSAQ                 | 33.8% Female         | 43.8    | 93%              | 69             |                                 |                |
| Sikiru L & Hanifa S  | 2010                | Nigeria        | West                   | Specialized hospital    | cross-sectional | 500         | VB            | SSAQ                 | 63.7% Female         | 39.2    | 82%              | 73.5           |                                 |                |
| Muhammed A. et al    | 2015                | Nigeria        | West                   | UTH                    | cross-sectional | 120         | multi-stage   | SSAQ                 | NS                  | NS      | 82%              | 82.7           |                                 |                |
| Mukaruzima Leila     | 2010                | Rwanda         | East                   | Military Hospital       | cross-sectional | 133         | SRS           | IPAQ+NMDQ             | 82% Female           | 34.5    | 82%              | 78             |                                 |                |
| Thembelihle D.       | 2010                | South Africa   | South                  | Public Hospital         | cross-sectional | 373         | SRS           | SSAQ                 | 79.3% Female         | 72%     | 158               | 59             |                                 |                |
| Chandeu Mwilla       | 2008                | Tanzania       | East                   | MOI                    | cross-sectional | 312         | Purposive     | SSAQ                 | 83.6% Female         | 35.9    | 54%              | 73.6           |                                 |                |
| Wided B. et al       | 2017                | Tunisia        | North                  | Teaching hospital       | cross-sectional | 329         | Survey        | Boq CR-10 scale, JQ  | NS                  | 39.8    | 61.70%            | 58.1           |                                 |                |
| Ian G Munabi. et al  | 2014                | Uganda         | East                   | Public Hospital         | cross-sectional | 880         | Survey        | DMQ & NMDQ            | 85.7% Female         | 35.4    | 85.40%            | 61.9           |                                 |                |
| Mengeste M. et al    | 2016                | Ethiopia       | East                   | Public Hospital         | cross-sectional | 395         | SRS           | SSAQ                 | 72.2% Female         | 30.6    | 91.9             | 45.8           |                                 |                |
| Betty C.             | 2015                | Kenya          | East                   | Both public & private hospitals | cross-sectional | 169         | SRS           | SSAQ                 | 76.9% Female         | 35      | 76.9             | 76.9           |                                 |                |
| Amary M. et al.      | 2014                | Egypt          | North                  | Public Hospital         | cross-sectional | 150         | Purposive     | OLBDQ                | 100% Female          | NS      | 100               | 79.3           |                                 |                |
| Ziad B. et al.       | 2014                | Algeria        | North                  | Public Hospital         | cross-sectional | 450         | SRS           | SSAQ                 | NS                  | NS      | 66.7             | 66.7           |                                 |                |
| Bolanle MS. et al.   | 2010                | Nigeria        | West                   | UH, GH, PH              | cross-sectional | 160         | SRS           | SSAQ                 | 97.5% Female         | 36.4    | 80               | 44.1           |                                 |                |
| Chiwardzo et al.     | 2018                | Zimbabwe       | East                   | Public Hospital         | cross-sectional | 208         | Stratified RS | NMDQ                 | 84.6% Female         | 32      | 55.7             | 55.7           |                                 |                |

A-NMSQ adapted from nordic musculoskeletal questionnaires, DMQ Dutch musculoskeletal questionnaire, GH General Hospital, HC Health Center, IPAQ International Physical Activity Questionnaire, JQ job content questionnaire, MOI Muhimbili Orthopedic Institute, NMDQ Nordic musculoskeletal disorder questionnaire, NS not stated, OLBDQ Oswestry low back disability questionnaire, PH Private Hospital, RS random sampling, SRS systematic random sampling, SSAQ standardized self-administered questionnaire, UH University Hospital, UTH University Teaching Hospital, VB volunteer based and VAS visual analog scale.
Study quality assessment
To assess the quality of the included studies, in the current study a modified critical appraisal tool was utilized. This tool comprises three methodological tests encompassing 12 distinct conditions for prevalence studies. Three questions assess sample representativeness of the target population, six questions assess data quality, and the remaining three questions assess the definition of the outcome variable. Based on this, studies having at least 75% of the total score were acceptable [31, 33–35] to be included in the systematic review and meta-analysis (Appendix).

Statistical analysis procedure
Data analysis were performed using STATA version 11 software and P-value < 0.05 significance level was considered. The weight given to each study was assigned according to the inverse of the variance. Cochrane Q and I² statistics were used to assess heterogeneity among studies. Heterogeneity was measured by I² and divided into four categories; no heterogeneity (0%), low (25–50%), moderate (50–75%), and high (> 75%) [36]. Subgroup analysis and meta-regression (the relationship between the years of the study and region in the continent with the prevalence rate) were employed to explore the cause of heterogeneity between studies. Funnel plot (Begg’s test) and Egger’s statistics with pseudo 95% confidence interval were used to examine publication bias.

Result
Until December 10, 2018 418 articles were identified. All articles were reviewed and 361 irrelevant and duplicate studies were excluded. The full texts of the remaining 57 articles were reviewed in detail. Finally, 19 articles that met the inclusion criteria were included in the final analysis (Fig. 1).

Critical appraisal result of the included studies
Criterion number 8 and 9 in the selected critical appraisal instrument were not applicable for most studies except studies done by [37] as they utilized both interview and physical examination techniques to gather the data. Studies were done by [25, 38, 39] utilized both self-

| Study ID | ES (95% CI) | Weight |
|----------|-------------|--------|
| Thembelihle D. et al (2018) | 59.00 (51.01, 66.99) | 5.28 |
| Asmare Y. et al. (2015) | 57.10 (49.17, 65.03) | 5.29 |
| M M. Belay et al. (2016) | 45.80 (38.30, 53.30) | 5.36 |
| Lamina S. et al. (2009) | 60.00 (51.98, 68.02) | 5.28 |
| Lamina S. et al. (2009) | 73.50 (65.08, 81.92) | 5.22 |
| F. O. Omokhodion et al. (2000) | 69.00 (60.70, 77.30) | 5.24 |
| Sikiru L & Hanifa S (2010) | 73.50 (65.08, 81.92) | 5.22 |
| Muhammed A. et al (2015) | 82.70 (74.05, 91.35) | 5.18 |
| Mukaruzima Lela (2010) | 78.00 (69.46, 86.54) | 5.20 |
| Thembelihle D. (2010) | 59.00 (51.01, 66.99) | 5.28 |
| Chandeu M. (2008) | 73.60 (65.17, 82.03) | 5.22 |
| Wided B. et al (2017) | 58.10 (50.14, 66.06) | 5.29 |
| Ian G Munabi. et al. (2014) | 61.90 (53.81, 69.99) | 5.27 |
| Mengestie M. et al. (2016) | 45.80 (38.30, 53.30) | 5.36 |
| Betty C. (2015) | 76.90 (68.39, 85.41) | 5.20 |
| Amany M. et al. (2014) | 79.30 (70.73, 87.87) | 5.19 |
| Ziadi B. et al. (2014) | 66.70 (58.47, 74.93) | 5.25 |
| Bolanle MS. et al. (2010) | 44.10 (36.68, 51.52) | 5.37 |
| Chiwaridzo et al. (2018) | 55.70 (47.82, 63.58) | 5.30 |
| Overall (I-squared = 88.1%, p = 0.000) | 64.07 (58.68, 69.46) | 100.00 |

NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis

Fig. 2 Forest plot of prevalence of low back pain among nurse in the African healthcare facilities, 2018
administered questionnaire and physical examination so that they utilized criterion number 9 as a critical appraisal. All the included studies for this systematic review and meta-analysis were methodologically assessed and they satisfied the indicated criteria (Table 1).

Based on the inclusion criteria, 19 studies [15, 20, 22, 25, 37–39, 52–62] were included in the final analysis. All the studies were done using a cross-sectional study design. Even if some studies [15, 22, 25, 61] failed to report the number of male and female participants clearly most of the study participants were females. One study indicated that only female nurse participants were included to their study [38]. The sample size of the studies ranged between 80 from a study done in Nigeria [54] and 880 from Uganda [59]. Concerning to the study facility, almost all studies were done among hospital nurses. Whereas one study from Ethiopia included nurse participants from both hospitals and health centers [37] (Table 2).

Prevalence of low back pain (LBP)
In this review, 19 studies from different African regions with a total sample size of 6110 nurses were included. All the studies were carried out between 2000 and 2018. From these 19 studies, the lowest and the highest reported prevalence of LBP were 44.1% [39] and 82.7% [22] respectively. Both the highest and the lowest prevalence of LBP were reported from a study done in Nigeria. The estimation of the prevalence rate of LBP among nurses using the random-effects model was 64.07% (95% CI: 58.68–69.46; P-value < 0.0001). Heterogeneity of the reviewed studies was $I^2 = 94.2\%$ and heterogeneity Chi-squared = 310.06 (d.f = 18), P-value < 0.0001 (Fig. 2).

Subgroup analysis
According to the subgroup analyses, the highest prevalence of LBP among nurses was reported from West African region with prevalence rates of 68.46% (95% CI: 54.94–81.97; P-value < 0.0001) followed by North Africa region with prevalence rate of 67.95% (95% CI: 55.96–79.94; P-value < 0.0001). These two African regions had the highest prevalence of LBP as compared to their South African counterparts, 59.00% (95% CI: 53.34–64.65; P-value < 0.0001) (Fig. 3).

Meta-regression
Meta-regression analysis showed that there was no significant statistical relationship between the year of publication and the prevalence of the LBP ($\beta = -0.82$, P-value = 0.808) (Fig. 4).

The meta-regression also showed that there was no significant statistical relationship between the sample size and the prevalence of LBP ($\beta = -0.007$, P-value = 0.93) (Fig. 5).
To assess publication bias, the funnel plot and Egger’s test were conducted in the meta-analysis. The funnel plot and Egger’s regression tests ($\beta = -0.0024$, SE = 0.06, $P = 0.96$) showed that no evidence of publication bias for the included studies (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Low back pain is a common work-related musculoskeletal disorder in healthcare workers. Particularly, it imposes high risk on nursing professionals working at different healthcare facilities mainly in hospital settings [63, 64]. Different studies have shown nursing personnel had higher prevalence of LBP relative to the general population or other occupational groups [41, 48, 65]. Such problems are reported in influencing the quality of life of healthcare professionals. This will, in turn, affects the healthcare quality [23].

This study denotes the first effort to report the prevalence of LBP among nurses working in healthcare facilities in the African continent. Hence, the aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to determine the pooled prevalence of LBP among nurses working at different healthcare facilities in African regions. By providing a comprehensive picture,
this study would help to recognize the impact of the problem on nurse professionals in African countries.

Low back pain is a common occupational problem for nurses worldwide. LBP has been previously reported at rates between 45% in England [50], 63% in Australia [40]. Researches from Hong Kong and China also showed that nurses experience LBP about 40.6% [42] and 56% [26] respectively. Different African studies reported that LBP rates as 44.1, 79.4 and 82.7% [22, 39, 43, 44].

Literatures stated that the 12-month prevalence of LBP among nursing personnel is estimated to be up to 65% [45]. The result of the present systematic review and meta-analysis also showed that the overall 12-month prevalence of LBP among nurses was 64.07%. This finding was higher than from studies done in Iran that showed the overall prevalence of LBP among nurses was 61.2 and 60.98% respectively [31, 46]. Whereas studies in the Western nations and Asian countries revealed that the overall prevalence of LBP among nurses was higher compared to the present finding. Studies from Japan [47], Turkey [8] and the United States of America [49] showed that the overall prevalence of LBP among nurses was 91.9, 77.1 and 72.5% respectively. All the studies revealed that the existence of higher prevalence of LBP. Studies done in Switzerland [51] and Italy [66] also revealed that the overall annual prevalence of LBP among nurses was 73–76 and 86% respectively. This also confirms that there is a higher prevalence of LBP among nurses in the Western nations.

Studies done in the Western and in some Asian countries revealed that there is a higher prevalence of LBP among nurses. This finding is confirmed by different literatures in the subject area. A systematic review on LBP among Asian nurses revealed that the overall prevalence was 71.85% [67]. This high existence of LBP among nurses in the developed and in some Asian nations might be due the existence of high workload [68] for patient care, conducting advanced procedures that require prolonged standing. Such tasks all might lead nurses to experience LBP in their working environment. Studies also indicated that the prevalence of LBP was linked with both demographic characteristics of nurses, psychological factors and hospitals’ organizational factors [69]. In addition, the variation of the prevalence of LBP among studies might be accounted by variations in the tool utilized in assessing the outcome variable.

The results of this study identified the presence of a high prevalence of LBP among nurses in the Western region of Africa. In the present study, five different studies were incorporated from the Western region of Africa, all of them were from Nigeria, a country with the highest population in the continent. Coupled with a high population, with many patients and no enough healthcare providers these all will have their own impact on the countries healthcare system [70]. A report by Good Health Weekly revealed that Nigerian health professionals mainly nurses are experiencing high workload, burnout, stress, and demotivation from their work [70]. This all will cause nurses to experience LBP in one or in another way. Moreover, in the studies conducted in Nigeria, nurses were included from specialized and teaching hospitals. This, in turn, will have an additional workload on nurses that will expose them to suffer from LBP. The current review revealed variations in LBP among nurses working in the four regions of Africa. The variation was due to differences on having high client flow to the healthcare system [70] and nurses providing a broad range of tasks in such regions. But further researches are imperative to find out the possible route cause of LBP in each specific regions of Africa.

![Funnel plot with pseudo 95% confidence limits](image-url)
As mentioned in many literatures, nurses are the number one frontline healthcare professionals who contact clients in a variety of healthcare setups. This would have its own share to experience workload and consequently, they will be at higher risk of developing LBP.

**Strength and limitation**

The search was not restricted by time of study or year of publication. In addition, all possible findings from thesis report, dissertation, and any report proceedings/conferences in the subject matter were considered in our searches. As a limitation, adequate studies were not incorporated from some regions of the continent and even most of the studies were concentrated in a single country in each region of the continent. This might have its own shortfalls in producing the overall picture of the problem to the continent as a whole.

**Conclusion**

The overall prevalence of the present study is lower compared to the Western and Asian studies. The current finding indicated that low back pain is a significant concern amongst nurses in Africa.

The result may be helpful for hospital administrators and other concerned government agencies to implement measures in reducing the incidence of low back pain on nurses. The possible measures will include considering ergonomics solutions, stress reduction strategies, providing training for the nurses that can significantly reduce the risk of experiencing LBP. All the efforts made would improve nurses’ sense of belongingness, retention, quality of patient care and even organizational culture.
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