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Abstract

**Background:** Ankylosing spondylitis (AS), as a common inflammatory rheumatic disease, often cause depression and impaired health-related quality of life (QoL). Although positive effects of patient education have been demonstrated, limited studies explored the benefits of education via mobile applications for AS patients. This study aimed to evaluate the effects of the WeChat-based educational intervention on depression and health-related QoL in AS patients.

**Methods:** We conducted a single-blind randomized controlled trial from March to December 2017. Potential patients were recruited and randomized into the intervention group who received a 12-week WeChat-based educational intervention (consisting of four individual online educational sessions, online health information) or the control group receiving standard care. Data was collected at baseline and 12 weeks after the intervention. Outcomes were measured by Beck Depression Inventory, the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form 36-item Health Survey, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Patient Global Score, visual analogue scales.

**Results:** A total of 118 patients with AS were included and analysed. Measures at baseline were comparable between groups. After the intervention, the intervention group reported significant higher scores of all dimensions of QoL except for physical function and validity, compared with the control group. Additionally, patients in the intervention group had lower depressive symptom than the control group. No significant difference in other outcomes was observed at 12 weeks.

**Conclusions:** This study found that the 12-week educational intervention via WeChat had positive effects on reducing depressive symptom and improving health related QoL in Chinese patients with AS. We suggest that this intervention can be integrated into current routine care of AS patients.

**Trial registration:** Chinese Clinical Trail Registry (registry number: ChiCTR-IPR-16009293). Registered September 29, 2016.

**Background**

Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a common inflammatory rheumatic disease primarily affecting axial skeleton[1, 2]. AS starts in an early age and affects patients at their most productive age[3, 4]. The clinical features of AS mainly include inflammatory back pain, morning stiffness, functional impairment, and specific organ involvement. Besides, AS may have negative psychological consequences, such as depression and anxiety, and result in impaired health-related quality of life (QoL)[1, 4, 5]. Depressive symptom is common among AS patients, with prevalence ranging from 11 ~ 64%[5, 6]. AS patients suffering from depressive symptom might require intervention that is not recognised by rheumatological doctors [6]. Moreover, evidence also indicates that AS patients have poorer health related QoL compared with the general population, but similar to patients with other rheumatological conditions[1]. European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommends that management should aim at maximising long-term health related QoL through controlling symptoms and inflammation, preventing progressive
structural damage and preserving function and social participation [2]. Thus, effective interventions to prompt AS patients’ QoL and depression is needed.

The best management for AS patients requires pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment[2]. Patient education, as an effective, non-pharmacological treatment strategy for patients with AS, enables patients to manage their own condition, enhance their ability to cope with disease, improve perceived health status and maintain quality of life[7]. Several previous studies explored the effects of educational interventions in patients with AS[8−13], but the effects of patient education on depression and quality of life is still unclear. Some studies used disease specific measure to assess quality of life[8, 12], which can not compare the effects of education on QoL among individuals with different health conditions[4]. The Medical Outcomes Study Short Form 36-item Health Survey(SF-36) is generic instrument that can be used in the general population and various disease populations, and this scale enable to compare health related QoL in populations with different health conditions[4].

Educational interventions for AS patients are mainly provided through face-to-face mode, such as group education, peer-led education[8, 10, 11, 14]. Time constraints, physical limitation and transportation cost are barriers for patients with AS to attend these educational interventions[15, 16]. The use of mobile telehealth platforms is a new and innovative delivery strategy for patient education that may overcome the barriers of face-to-face strategy[17]. In recent years, educational interventions delivered through mHealth approaches have grown rapidly with increased access to telecommunication devices, e.g. tablets, smartphones[15]. In China, WeChat, with more than 1.2 billion monthly active users, is the most widely and frequently used mobile application[17]. WeChat provides various services, such as instant messaging, free voice/video call, private or group chatting, browsing and posting information, etc [17, 18]. Patient education via WeChat is a useful tool to overcome current barriers in traditional face-to-face education[17, 18]. Moreover, Zhang et al. revealed that individualised health education through WeChat can play a central role in health education efforts in China because WeChat is well-integrated into society[18]. In recent years, some studies have found that interventions delivered by WeChat can improve health behaviors and health outcomes in patients with other chronic diseases[19−22]. Hu et al. explored the transitional care delivered by WeChat in discharged patients with AS, but they educated patients only through group chatting[23]. However, the individual educational intervention delivered via WeChat for patients with AS has not been well explored.

The purpose of this study was to develop and explore the benefits of a 12-week educational intervention delivered by WeChat on health-related quality of life measured by SF-36 and depression measured by Beck Depression Inventory(BDI) among patients with AS in China.

Methods

Aim and study design

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of a 12-week patient education program delivered by WeChat on depression and quality of life in Chinese patients with AS. This study was an assessor-blind,
A parallel-group, randomized controlled trial conducted between March to December 2017.

**Ethical considerations**

This study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki declaration. Ethical approval was obtained from West China Hospital Medical Ethics Committee in 2016 (ID: 20160364). All participants were informed the content and procedure of this study and provided informed consent.

**Participants**

Eligible patients were recruited from the Department of Rheumatology and Immunology at West China Hospital Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. The inclusion criteria were as follows: fulfilling the modified New York classification criteria for AS [24], aged $\geq 14$ years, able to understand/read Chinese, able to use the WeChat software, and willing to participate in this study. We excluded patients who had severe psychological and cognitive impairment, and were participating in other studies. Patients with other rheumatic diseases were also excluded.

Patients were enrolled and randomly allocated into the intervention group or the control group using a randomization code generated by the Excel software. The assessors who collected data were blind to the allocation of the participants.

**Intervention**

**Intervention group**

Participants in the intervention group received the 12-week educational intervention delivered by WeChat and standard care. The educational intervention was mainly carried out by experienced research nurses who were well-trained the study protocol. The content of the educational intervention was developed on literature review, expert consultation, and a pilot study. The core content was as follows: basic knowledge, exercise, medication, daily life management, psychological support, self-assessment.

During the 12-week intervention, participants in the intervention group received four individual educational sessions and health information shared on WeChat platform. The four individual educational sessions were conducted through WeChat voice/video call based on participants’ preference, and each session lasted 20-30min depending on their’ willingness to communicate. At the first educational session, the research nurses assessed participants’ needs, problems and barriers of managing their life. Then, participants were taught the knowledge and skills of managing their condition, including exercise, medication taking, daily life instruction, psychological management strategies. The participants were also taught how to use validated instruments to assess their disease condition. During subsequent sessions the research nurses taught participants strategies to manage their disease based on participants’ problems and health behaviors over the previous 2 or 4 weeks. In addition, the researchers also sent online educational information including articles and videos to participants once a week. During
the whole intervention phase, we encouraged participants to ask questions, share their experience at any time.

**Control group**

The control group received standard care at hospital. The standard care consisted of basic health advice and brief guidance on medication and exercise. They could ask the researchers questions about disease via WeChat or mobile phone, but did not access to the educational program.

**Measures and data collection**

All participants completed baseline assessments at the Department of Rheumatology and Immunology. Outcome measures, including quality of life, depressive symptoms, global wellbeing, pain, was collected at the baseline and after the 12-week educational intervention. Two well-trained research assistants who were blind to group allocation collected study data at baseline and after the 12 week educational intervention. If participants had difficulties in completing the questionnaires, the research assistants would help them read each item and record their responses.

**Participants characteristics**

The baseline demographic data included age, gender, educational level, marital status, household income, medical insurance, smoking status. Disease-specific data included disease duration, current medication.

**Quality of life**

Health related QoL was measured using the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form 36-item Health Survey (SF-36)[25, 26]. The SF-36 consists of 36 items and measures eight aspects of health status during the past 4 weeks. The eight dimensions of the SF-36 includes: physical functioning(PF), role physical(RP), bodily pain(BP), general health(GH), vitality(VT), social functioning(SF), role emotional(RE) and mental health(MH)[4, 25]. Each dimension is scored ranging from 0 to 100, higher scores indicating better QoL[4]. This scale has been translated into different language versions and validated in patients with AS[4, 27]. In this study, the Cronbach’s α of total score was 0.87, and the Cronbachs’ α of each dimension ranged from 0.777 to 0.915.

**Depression**

Depression was evaluated using the Chinese version of Beck Depression Inventory-Ⅱ (BDI)[28]. The BDI is a validated self-reported questionnaire developed by Beck et al[29]. It comprises 21 items and evaluate the severity of depressive symptoms during the past two weeks [30]. Each item scores from 0 to 3, and total score ranges from from 0 to 63. Higher scores indicate severer depressive symptoms[28, 31]. In current study, the cronbach’s α was 0.837.

**Disease specific measures**
The Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Patient Global Score (BAS-G) was used to assess the effect of AS on overall patients' well-being over the last week and during the last six months[32]. The test-retest reliability of BAS-G was excellent, and construct validity and predictive validity was good[32]. The BAS-G ranges from 0-10 and higher scores indicate worse patients’ global assessment. Patients’ overall pain, back pain, night pain, and morning stiffness were collected using a visual analogue scale, and final scores range from 0(none) to 10(severe).

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences 22.0(SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The intention-to-treat analysis(ITT) method was used to analyse data. Participants’ characteristics and outcome scores were summarized using means±standard deviation(SD) or medians [interquartile ranges] (IQR) for continous variables, or frequencies (percentages) for categorical variables. Baseline characteristics and outcomes were compared using independent samples t test or Mann-Whitney U-test from continus varibles, and Chi-squared test for categorical varibles between the intervention and control group. P values≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Participants’ flow through the trial

Figure 1 shows the flow diagram of the study. There were 140 potential participants assessed for eligibility and 22 excluded. We recruited 118 participants and randomized them into the intervention group(N = 59) or control group(N = 59). Of 118 participants, 106 completed the 12-week educational intervention and post-test. We included 118 patients in statistical analysis since an ITT analysis was used.

Participant Baseline Characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the participants were described in Table 1. The average age was 29.93 years, and the median years of symptom duration and diagnosis duration were 6, 3, respectively. Most of the participants were male, single/divorced, and had high educational level, no medical insurance. At the baseline, both groups were comparable since no statistisitically significant differences were observed in the variables between the two groups.
| Variable                              | All(N=118)       | Intervention group(N=59) | Control group(N=59) | t /c²/Z | P     |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------|
| Age(years, Mean±SD)                  | 29.93±8.23       | 30.80±8.82               | 29.07±7.58          | 1.142   | 0.256a|
| Gender                               |                  |                          |                     | 0.457   | 0.499b|
| Male                                 | 93(78.8)         | 45(76.3)                 | 48(81.4)            |         |       |
| Female                               | 25(21.2)         | 14(23.7)                 | 11(18.6)            |         |       |
| Educational level                    |                  |                          |                     | 0.218   | 0.897b|
| Junior high school or below          | 30(25.4)         | 15(25.4)                 | 15(25.4)            |         |       |
| Senior high school                   | 26(22.0)         | 12(20.3)                 | 14(23.7)            |         |       |
| College or above                     | 62(52.5)         | 32(54.2)                 | 30(50.8)            |         |       |
| Marital status                       |                  |                          |                     | 0       | 1.000b|
| Single/Divorced                      | 62(50.8)         | 31(52.5)                 | 31(49.2)            |         |       |
| Married                              | 56(47.5)         | 28(47.5)                 | 28(47.5)            |         |       |
| Monthly per capita income, (¥, yuan) |                  |                          |                     | 1.720   | 0.633b|
| <2200                                | 34(28.8)         | 16(27.1)                 | 18(30.5)            |         |       |
| 2200~3300                            | 33(28.0)         | 15(25.4)                 | 18(30.5)            |         |       |
| 3300~5500                            | 23(19.5)         | 11(18.6)                 | 12(20.3)            |         |       |
| >5500                                | 28(23.7)         | 17(28.8)                 | 11(18.6)            |         |       |
| Medical insurance                    |                  |                          |                     | 0.160   | 0.689b|
| Self-pay                             | 82(69.5)         | 40(67.8)                 | 42(71.2)            |         |       |
| Medical insurance                    | 36(30.5)         | 19(32.2)                 | 17(28.8)            |         |       |
| Smoking status                       |                  |                          |                     | 1.502   | 0.472b|
| Current smoking                      | 36(30.5)         | 19(32.2)                 | 17(28.8)            |         |       |
| Never smoking                        | 70(59.3)         | 36(61.0)                 | 34(57.6)            |         |       |
| Quit smoking                         | 12(10.2)         | 4(6.8)                   | 8(13.6)             |         |       |
| Medication                           |                  |                          |                     | 2.185   |       |
|                          | Group 1   | Group 2   | Group 3   | p-value  
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------
| DMARDs                   | 64(54.2)  | 28(47.5)  | 36(61.0)  | 0.139b   
| DMARDs+biologics         | 54(45.8)  | 31(52.5)  | 23(39.0)  |          
| Symptom duration M[IQR]  | 5.00[6.00]| 6.00[7.00]| 5.00[7.00]| -0.346   
| Diagnosis duration M[IQR] | 3.00[6.00]| 3.00[6.00]| 3.00[6.00]| -0.577   
| SF-36 subgroups          |           |           |           |          
| Physical function        | 77.88±18.67| 77.54±20.46| 78.22±16.86| -0.196 0.845a 
| Role physical, M[IQR]    | 50.00[100.00]| 50.00[100.00]| 50.00[100.00]| -0.490 0.624c 
| Bodily pain              | 51.49±20.31| 53.97±22.39| 49.02±17.84| 1.328 0.187a 
| General health           | 49.08±21.29| 49.81±21.93| 48.34±20.79| 0.375 0.708a 
| Vitality                 | 65.89±18.95| 67.63±19.06| 64.15±18.85| 0.996 0.321a 
| Social functioning       | 70.46±22.68| 69.33±23.45| 71.59±22.03| -0.539 0.591a 
| Role emotional           | 64.41±43.52| 66.67±43.33| 62.15±43.97| 0.562 0.575a 
| Mental health            | 67.29±15.39| 67.12±15.97| 67.46±14.92| -0.119 0.905a 
| BDI, M[IQR]              | 5.00[11.25]| 5.00[10.00]| 6.00[12.00]| -0.543 0.587c 
| BAS-G                    | 3.36±2.31  | 3.31±2.37  | 3.41±2.27  | -0.234 0.815a 
| Overall pain             | 3.24±2.35  | 2.97±2.33  | 3.51±2.36  | -1.267 0.208a 
| Back pain                | 2.96±2.33  | 2.76±2.30  | 3.16±2.36  | -0.929 0.355a 
| Night pain               | 3.02±2.48  | 3.00±2.33  | 3.05±2.64  | -0.103 0.918a 
| Morning stiffness        | 2.45±2.11  | 2.35±1.93  | 2.56±2.29  | -0.545 0.587a 

Note: SD: Standard Deviation; DMARDs: Disease-modifying Anti-rheumatic Drugs; M: median; IQR: Interquartile Range; BASDAI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BAS-G: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Patient Global Score; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory

a students’ t test; b chi-square test; c wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U test
Outcomes

As shown in Table 2, role physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social function, role emotional, mental health scores of SF-36 in the intervention group were significantly higher compared with the control group after the intervention (all $P<0.05$). BDI score was lower in the intervention than those in the control group ($P<0.05$). However, there were no statistically significant difference in other outcomes between the two groups ($P>0.05$).
Table 2
Comparison of outcomes between the intervention and control group

| Variable                  | Intervention group (N = 59) | Control group (N = 59) | t/Z  | P     |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------|-------|
|                           | Mean ± SD                  | Mean ± SD              |      |       |
| SF-36 subgroups           |                            |                        |      |       |
| Physical function         | 83.05 ± 16.24              | 79.41 ± 15.68          | 1.240| 0.217a|
| Physical role, M[IQR]     | 100.00[50.00]              | 50.00[100.00]          | -2.429| 0.015b|
| Bodily pain               | 65.75 ± 16.85              | 55.29 ± 17.17          | 3.340| 0.001a|
| General health            | 59.05 ± 17.73              | 49.95 ± 20.71          | 2.565| 0.012a|
| Vitality                  | 70.25 ± 15.04              | 65.34 ± 18.19          | 1.600| 0.112a|
| Social functioning        | 83.31 ± 18.30              | 73.54 ± 18.08          | 2.917| 0.004a|
| Emotional role            | 81.36 ± 34.61              | 61.02 ± 43.84          | 2.797| 0.006a|
| Mental health             | 72.88 ± 13.96              | 65.97 ± 15.64          | 2.534| 0.013a|
| BDI, M[IQR]               | 3.00[5.00]                 | 5.00[13.00]            | -1.980| 0.048b|
| BASFI, M[IQR]             | 1.00[1.40]                 | 1.40[1.60]             | -1.764| 0.078b|
| BAS-G                     | 3.28 ± 2.10                | 3.68 ± 1.94            | -1.060| 0.291a|
| Overall pain              | 3.05 ± 1.87                | 3.25 ± 1.92            | -0.583| 0.561a|
| Back pain                 | 3.19 ± 2.16                | 2.93 ± 2.03            | 0.658| 0.512a|
| Nocturnal pain            | 2.83 ± 2.10                | 2.86 ± 2.18            | -0.086| 0.932a|
| Morning stiffness         | 2.42 ± 1.93                | 2.64 ± 1.64            | -0.672| 0.503a|

Note: SD: Standard Deviation; M: median; IQR: Interquartile Range; SF-36: the Short Form 36-item Health Survey; BASDAI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BAS-G: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Patient Global Score; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory

a students’ t test; bwilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U test

Discussion
We developed and evaluated the effects of the 12-week educational intervention delivered by WeChat among AS patients in China. The results demonstrated that the 12-week educational intervention was able to improve health related QoL and reduce depressive symptom, but no significant effects on pain, morning stiffness and global well-being. Our finds suggested that WeChat was a feasible and effective method to deliver health service for Chinese patients with AS in clinial setting.

Patient education via WeChat is more convenient, timely, cost-effective, because WeChat has various interactive functionns and numerous user[18]. During four individual educational sessions, WeChat enabled interactive and effective communication between researchers and participants. Meanwhile, we were able to send health information to participants according to their preference, e.g. via text, pictures, article, voice and video, which could meet their educational needs. This intervention educated participants knowledge and skills of disease management, help them understad disease well, and may contribute to better health outcomes.

We found that the WeChat-based educational intervention have a positive effect on reducing depressive symptom in patients with AS. This result was in line with several studies among AS patients[14]. However, the opposite result was detected in a peer-led group education[10]. The possible reson may be that Kaya et al’s intervention was led by peer patients[10]. Dures et al found that around three-quarters of patients with inflammatory arthritis prefer for psychological support delivered by rhumaatology nurses[33]. Meanwhile, EULAR’s recommendation revealed that nurses play a crucial role in addressing patients’ psychological issues to reduce their symptoms of depression and anxiety[34]. In current study, the educational intervention was led by nurses who well understood patients needs and psychological concern. The research nurses helped participants express their psychological concern and taught them how to deal with psychological distress. Moreover, the education delivered by the social media platform, WeChat, can optimize communication between patients and health care professionals[18, 35], which might improve psychological outcomes. Thus, this educational intervention could reduce participants’ depressive symptom.

Some studies revealed that educational programs are effective to improve health related QoL[8, 11, 13], but others found reverse results[9, 10, 12]. The previous programs with small sample size, delivered by peer patients, reported no significant impact on improving QoL[10]. Our study found that all domain scores of quality of life, except for physical function and vitality, were significantly higher in the intervention group compared with the control group after 12-week intervention, which means the education via WeChat can effectively improve QoL. Psychological distress, especially depression, have significant effects on QoL[1]. This intervention had reduced the symptom of depression, which may contribute to better QoL. Meanwhile, this intervention taught patients knowledge and skills of managing their disease, such as regular treatment, adherence to exercise and health behaviours. Regular treatment and physical exercise are beneficial to the mental and physical aspects of QoL in AS patients[1]. Thus, this intervention could help patients cope with their health condition, and improve physical and mental health.
We did not detect the short-term effects of the intervention on pain, morning stiffness, overall wellbeings in AS patients. Similarly, Kaya et al[10] and O'Dwyer et al[12] found that education programs have no effects on health status outcomes (e.g. pain, BAS-G, disease activity). Patient education may have positive effects on patients’ disease control in a long time[36]. In current study, we only explored the short-term effects and found no significant positive results on pain, morning stiffness and overall wellbeings. However, the long-term effects of the intervention are unknown. Thus, we suggest that future studies should explore the long-term effects of educational intervention on health status in AS patients.

In current study, we used a assessor-bind randomized controlled trial study design to explore effects. However, this study also has some limitations. First, all participants were recruited from a tertial hospital due to limited resources, which may affect the generalizability of our findings. Second, we only explored the short-term effects of educational intervention delivered by WeChat among AS patients, but the long-term effects remain unknown. Further studies should evaluate the long-term effects of educational intervention via WeChat. Third, our study only compared the effects of educational intervention delivered by WeChat with standard care, but it is also important to compare the benefits of different educational methods, such group education, education via telephone call, web-based education. Further studies that compare the effects of education via WeChat with other educational methods are required. Although the socioeconomic benefits of the education program are important, we did not conduct a full cost-benefit analysis because of the limited resource. Future studies should include economic analyses.

**Implication**

Our findings supported that WeChat is a effective and convenient approach to deliver patient education in China[37, 38]. Healthcare providers can monitor patients remotely, and enable immediate care delivery[35]. Moreover, AS patients’ characteristic and the widely use of WeChat make it more feasible to conduct WeChat-based education. The WeChat-based educational intervention can be improved by seeking feedback and opinions from participants in this study. We suggest this intervention should be integrated into clinical care.

**Conclusion**

This study found that the 12-week educational intervention via WeChat had positive effects on reducing depressive symptom and improving health related QoL in Chinese patients with AS. This intervention can be incorporated into current routine care of AS patients. Further multicenter studies should explore the long-term effects of education delivered by WeChat.

**Abbreviations**

QoL: quality of life; AS: ankylosing spondylitis; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory[1]; SF-36: the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form 36-item Health Survey; BAS-G: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Patient Global Score; PF: physical functioning; RP: role physical; BP: bodily pain; GH: general health, VT: vitality, SF:
social functioning; RE: role emotional; MH: mental health; DMARDs: Disease-modifying Anti-rheumatic Drugs; SD: standard deviation; M: median; IQR: interquartile ranges
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