Elastic differential cross-section measurement at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV by TOTEM
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Abstract The TOTEM collaboration has measured the elastic proton-proton differential cross section $d\sigma/dt$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV LHC energy using dedicated $\beta^* = 90$ m

beam optics. The Roman Pot detectors were inserted to 10m distance from the LHC beam, which allowed the measurement of the range $[0.04 - 0.2$ GeV$^2$] in four-momentum transfer squared $|t|$. The efficient data acquisition allowed to collect about $10^9$ elastic events to precisely measure the differential cross-section including the diffractive minimum (dip), the subsequent maximum (bump) and the large-$|t|$ tail. The average nuclear slope has been found to be $B = (20.40 \pm 0.002_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.01_{\text{syst}})$ GeV$^{-2}$ in the $|t|$-range $0.04-0.2$ GeV$^2$. The dip position is $|t_{\text{dip}}| = (0.47 \pm 0.004_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.01_{\text{syst}})$ GeV$^2$. The differential cross section ratio at the bump vs. at the dip
$R = 1.77 \pm 0.01\text{stat}$ has been measured with high precision. The series of TOTEM elastic pp measurements show that the dip is a permanent feature of the pp differential cross-section at the TeV scale.

1 Introduction

This paper presents a high-statistics proton-proton elastic differential cross-section $d\sigma/dt$ measurement by the TOTEM experiment at a center-of-mass LHC energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The square of four-momentum transferred in the elastic process, $|t|$, covers an unprecedented range from 0.04 GeV$^2$ to 4 GeV$^2$. The large $|t|$-spectrum has been achieved with special and efficient data acquisition, which allowed to collect an order of $10^9$ elastic events. The elastic differential cross-section $d\sigma/dt$ spans ten orders of magnitude in one data set, providing a unique insight into the elastic interaction of protons.

The TOTEM collaboration has already measured proton-proton elastic scattering at several LHC energies: $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV, 7 TeV, 8 TeV and 13 TeV [3,4,6,7,9–14,18]. The present results continue the series of measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, showing the exponential-like part at low- $|t|$, characterized by an average nuclear slope $B$, the diffractive minimum of the $d\sigma/dt$ and the perturbative regime.

The main features of the observed $d\sigma/dt$ at the Intersecting Storage Ring (ISR) about 40 years ago are all present at the TeV scale [1]. The $\sqrt{s}$ dependence of the $d\sigma/dt$ shows the shrinkage of the elastic peak with increasing $\sqrt{s}$, thus the average nuclear slope $B$ increases and the dip moves to lower $|t|$ values. The precise data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV confirms the significant deviation from an exponential in the $|t|$-range from about 0.05 to 0.2 GeV$^2$, first observed at 8 TeV by the TOTEM experiment [9].

The TOTEM measurements confirmed the existence of the dip at the collision energies $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV, 7 TeV, 8 TeV and 13 TeV. In total a range of 10 TeV center-of-mass energy is covered, and the observations demonstrate that the diffractive minimum is a permanent structure at the TeV scale [4,12].

2 Experimental setup

The Roman Pot (RP) units used for the present measurement are located on both sides of the LHC Interaction Point 5 (IP5) at distances of $\pm 213$ m (near) and $\pm 220$ m (far), see Fig. 1. A unit consists of 3 RPs, two approaching the outgoing beam vertically and one horizontally. The horizontal RP overlaps with the two verticals and allows for a precise relative alignment of the detectors within the unit. The 7 m long lever arm between the near and the far RP units has the important advantage that the local track angles in the $x$ and $y$-projections perpendicular to the beam direction can be reconstructed with a precision of about 3 $\mu$rad.

Each RP is equipped with a stack of 10 silicon strip detectors designed with the specific objective of reducing the insensitive area at the edge facing the beam to only a few tens of micrometers. The 512 strips with 66 $\mu$m pitch of each detector are oriented at an angle of $+45^\circ$ (five planes) and $-45^\circ$ (five planes) with respect to the detector edge [20]. The complete and detailed description of the TOTEM experiment is given in [2,5].

3 Data taking and analysis

The analysis has been performed on a large data sample, including seven data sets (DS1–DS7) recorded in 2015, corresponding to the LHC fills 4495, 4496, 4499, 4505, 4509, 4510 and 4511, respectively. The LHC beam was configured with the $\beta^* = 90$ m optics described in detail in [3,7,8,17].

The RP detectors were placed at a distance of 10 times the transverse beam size ($\sigma_{\text{beam}}$) from the outgoing beams. The special trigger settings allowed to collect about $10^9$ elastic events.

The angular resolution is different for each of the data sets DS1–DS7, and it deteriorates with time within the fill, expected mainly due to the beam emittance growth according to $\sigma(x) = \sqrt{\epsilon \beta}$ [17,19]. The data sets have been reorganized according to their resolution into two larger data sets. The ones with better (about 20 %) resolution were collected into DS$\text{g}$, which includes DS1, DS2 and DS4. The remaining ones are collected in data set DS$\text{o}$. The statistical uncertainties of the scattering angles, obtained from the data, are summarized in Table 2 for the two data sets.

The normalization of this analysis is based on the $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV total cross-section measurement with $\beta^* = 90$ m optics, where the RP detectors were placed two times closer (5$\sigma_{\text{beam}}$ distance) to the beam [13]. This data set (DS$\text{n}$) corresponds to the LHC fill 4489, recorded before DS1.

3.1 Elastic analysis

3.1.1 Reconstruction of kinematics

The horizontal and vertical scattering angles of the proton at IP5 ($\theta^*_{x}, \theta^*_{y}$) are reconstructed in a given arm by inverting the proton transport equations [8]

$$\theta^*_{x} = \frac{1}{dL_x/ds} \left( \theta_x - \frac{dL_x}{ds} x^* \right), \quad \theta^*_{y} = \frac{y}{L_y},$$

(1)

where $s$ denotes the distance from the interaction point, $y$ is the vertical coordinate of the proton’s trajectory, $\theta_x$ is its horizontal angle at the detector, and $x^*$ is the horizontal vertex.
coordinate reconstructed as

\[ x^* = \frac{L_{x,\text{far}} \cdot x_{\text{near}} - L_{x,\text{near}} \cdot x_{\text{far}}}{d}, \]

(2)

where \( d = (v_{x,\text{near}} \cdot L_{x,\text{far}} - v_{x,\text{far}} \cdot L_{x,\text{near}}). \) The scattering angles obtained for the two arms are averaged and the four-momentum transfer squared is calculated as

\[ t = -p^2 \theta^*^2, \]

(3)

where \( p \) is the LHC beam momentum and the scattering angle

\[ \theta^* = \sqrt{\theta_x^*^2 + \theta_y^*^2}. \]

Finally, the azimuthal angle is

\[ \phi^* = \arctan \left( \frac{\theta_y^*}{\theta_x^*} \right). \]

(4)

The coefficients \( L_x, L_y \) and \( v_x \) of Eqs. (1) and (2) are optical functions of the LHC beam determined by the accelerator magnets between IP5 and the RP detectors, see Fig. 1. The \( \beta^* = 90 \) m optics is designed with a large vertical effective length \( L_y \approx 263 \) m at the RPs placed at 220 m from IP5. Since the horizontal effective length \( L_x \) is close to zero at the RPs, its derivative \( dL_x/ds \approx -0.6 \) and the local angle \( \theta_x \) is used instead. The different reconstruction formula in the vertical and horizontal plane in Eq. (1) is also motivated by their different sensitivity to LHC magnet and beam perturbations.

### 3.1.2 RP alignment and beam optics

After applying the usual TOTEM alignment methods the residual misalignment is about 3.3 \( \mu \)m in the horizontal coordinate and about 110 \( \mu \)m in the vertical. When propagated to the reconstructed scattering angles, this leads to uncertainties about 1.11 \( \mu \)rad (horizontal angle) and 0.42 \( \mu \)rad (vertical angle) [9,10].

The nominal optics has been updated from LHC magnet and current databases and calibrated using the observed elastic candidates of \( DS_{n} \). The calibrated optics has been used in the analysis of \( DS_{g} \) and \( DS_{o} \) exploiting the stability of the LHC optics. The uncertainties of the optical functions have been estimated with a Monte Carlo program applying the optics calibration procedure on a sophisticated simulation of the LHC beam and its perturbations. The obtained uncertainty is about 1.2% for \( dL_x/ds \) and 2.1% for \( L_y \) [8,17].

### 3.1.3 Event selection

The analysis is similar to the procedure performed for the measurement of the elastic cross section at several other LHC energies [3,4,6,7,9,10,14,18]. The measurement of the elastic events is based on the selection of events with the following topology in the RP detector system: a reconstructed track in the near and far vertical detectors on each side of the IP such that the elastic signature is satisfied in one of the two diagonals: left bottom and right top (Diagonal 1) or left top and right bottom (Diagonal 2).

In addition, the elastic event selection requires the collinearity of the outgoing protons in the two arms, see Fig. 2. The suppression of the diffractive events is also required using the correlation between the position \( y \) and the inclination.
the progressive selection of elastic events after each analysis

cuts shown in Fig. 4. Figure 4 also shows the acceptance boundaries are defined by the acceptance circle for the range of the diffractive minimum at $|t|_{\text{min}} = 0.04$ GeV$^2$ and the aperture cut at $|t|_{\text{max}} = 0.45$ GeV$^2$, since in this range the visible $\phi$ part of the acceptance circles is increasing with $|t|$. At the largest $|t|$-values of the analysis, about 4 GeV$^2$, the maximum of the correction function $A(t)$ is about 13.

where $\Delta \phi^*$ is the visible azimuthal angle range.

The correction function $A(t)$ is drawn as a solid red line in Fig. 5. The function is monotonically decreasing between the detector edge cut at $|t|_{y,\text{min}} = 0.04$ GeV$^2$ and the aperture cut at $|t|_{y,\text{max}} = 0.45$ GeV$^2$, since in this range the visible $\phi$ part of the acceptance circles is increasing with $|t|$. At the largest $|t|$-values of the analysis, about 4 GeV$^2$, the maximum of the correction function $A(t)$ is about 13.

The geometrical acceptance correction is calculated in order to correct for the missing acceptance in $\phi^*$

$$A(t) = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta \phi^*(t)}, \quad (5)$$

The acceptance of elastically scattered protons is limited by the RP silicon detector edge and by the LHC magnet apertures. The acceptance boundaries are defined by the acceptance cuts shown in Fig. 4. Figure 4 also shows the $|t|$-acceptance circle for the range of the diffractive minimum at $\theta^*_{\text{dip}} = 105.4$ $\mu$rad.

The horizontal beam divergence estimated from the data of Diagonal 1 and 2. The red dashed lines show the analysis acceptance cuts, which define the acceptance boundaries near the detector edge and magnet aperture. The inner black dashed circle illustrates the approximate scattering angle position $\theta^*_{\text{dip}}$ of the diffractive minimum in the data.

Fig. 4 The azimuthal distribution of the scattering angle $\theta^*$ demonstrates the azimuthal symmetry of elastic scattering on a data sample from Diagonal 1 and 2. The red dashed lines show the analysis acceptance cuts, which define the acceptance boundaries near the detector edge and magnet aperture. The inner black dashed circle illustrates the approximate scattering angle position $\theta^*_{\text{dip}}$ of the diffractive minimum in the data.

Fig. 5 The geometrical acceptance correction $A(t)$ is defined by the cuts of Fig. 4. The beam divergence correction $D(t_{\text{y}})$ depends on the vertical collinearity cut $\theta^*_{\text{v}}$ shown in Fig 2: the angular window and the $\sigma$ of the cut determines the missing acceptance in the corners. Note that the data extends up to 4.0 GeV$^2$ due to the horizontal acceptance, see also Fig. 2.
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The acceptance cut at the acceptance edges is not step function-like due to the angular spread, the beam divergence, of the LHC beam. The effect of the beam divergence can be directly measured by comparing the angles reconstructed from the left and right arm, see Fig. 2. The figure shows the correlation between the angles and at the same time the spread due to the beam divergence. The figure also shows the missing corners of the acceptance at the acceptance edges. This additional acceptance loss is modeled with a Gaussian distribution, with experimentally determined parameters. The beam divergence correction $D(t_y)$ is drawn as a solid blue line in Fig. 5, which is close to 1 except at the acceptance edges.

Finally, the acceptance correction $A(t)D(t_y)$ is factorized in terms of the geometrical and beam divergence corrections.

The unfolding of resolution effects has been estimated with a Monte Carlo simulation whose parameters are obtained from the data, see Table 2. The probability distribution $p(t)$ of the event generator is based on the fit of the differential rate $dN/dt$. Each generated MC event is propagated to the RP detectors with the proper model of the LHC optics, which takes into account the beam divergence and other resolution effects. The kinematics of the event is reconstructed and a histogram is built from the four momentum transfer squared $t$ values. The ratio of the histograms without and with resolution effect describes the first approximation of the bin-by-bin corrections due to bin migration. The probability distribution $p(t)$ of the simulation is multiplied with the correction histogram, to modulate the source, and the procedure is repeated until the histogram with migration effects coincides with the measured distribution, thus the correct source distribution has been found. The uncertainty of the unfolding procedure is estimated from the residual difference between the measured histogram $dN_{el}/dt$ and the simulated histogram with resolution effects.

According to Table 2 the angular resolution is different in the horizontal and vertical plane, so the simulation takes into account the angular acceptance cuts of the analysis to give the proper weight to the resolution effects. The angular spread of the beam is determined with an uncertainty of 0.1 $\mu$rad by comparing the scattering angles reconstructed from the left and right arm, therefore the unfolding correction factor $U(t)$ can be calculated with a precision better than 0.1%. The unfolding correction histograms $U(t)$ are shown in Fig. 6. Three different unfolding methods have been compared in order to estimate the contribution of the unfolding to the systematic uncertainty: the described MC based algorithm (Method 1), regularized unfolding (Method 2) and deconvolution of a proper fit function with resolution $\sigma$ (Method 3) [16]. The results of the three methods are perfectly consistent within their uncertainties, see Fig. 6.

In total, the event-by-event correction factor due to acceptance corrections and resolution unfolding is

$$C(t, t_y) = A(t)D(t_y)U(t).$$

### 3.1.5 Inefficiency corrections

The proton reconstruction efficiency of the RP detectors is evaluated directly from the data. The RP detectors are unable to resolve multiple tracks, which is the main source of detector inefficiency [2]. The additional tracks can be due to interactions of the protons with the sensors or the surrounding material, or due to pileup with non-signal protons or beam halo.

#### Table 2
Horizontal and vertical angular resolutions of the analysis data sets $DS_g$ and $DS_o$, respectively

|          | Horizontal ($\mu$rad) | Vertical ($\mu$rad) |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| $DS_g$   | $4.96 \pm 0.02$       | $1.87 \pm 0.01$     |
| $DS_o$   | $5.10 \pm 0.02$       | $2.24 \pm 0.01$     |

![Fig. 6](image_url) The unfolding correction histograms $U(t)$ for data set $DS_g$ and $DS_o$, which correspond to the resolution values summarized in Table 2 (left panel). Comparison of the unfolding of $dN_{el}/dt$ using the three unfolding methods around the diffractive minimum (right panel)
The inefficiency corrections are calculated for different categories: “uncorrelated” \( I_{3/4} \), when one RP out of four along a diagonal has no reconstructed track; this inefficiency includes the loss of the elastic proton due to an additional track coming from nuclear interaction, shower or pile-up with a beam halo proton. The \( I_{3/4} \) inefficiency has been determined using a reduced set of elastic cuts in a so-called “3/4” elastic analysis per detector and diagonal \([9]\). The inefficiency is determined as a function of \( \theta^* \) per RP, see Fig. 7, which shows that the dependence on the angle is close to negligible. The overall correction on the elastic rate is described in Table 3.

The inefficiency is called “correlated” \( I_{2/4} \) when both RPs of one arm have no reconstructed tracks. The case when the inefficient RPs are in different arms is denoted with \( I_{2/4}^\text{diff.} \). The present analysis focuses on the differential cross-section measurement, and its overall normalization is determined from the corresponding cross section analysis at 13 TeV \([13]\). The \( t \)-dependence of the inefficiencies \( I_{2/4} \) and \( I_{2/4}^\text{diff.} \) is even weaker than for \( I_{3/4} \), thus these inefficiencies are estimated but set to zero in the total correction factor per event (shown in its most general form)

\[
f(t, t_y) = \frac{1}{\eta_d \eta_t} \cdot \frac{C(t, t_y)}{1 - t} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta t},
\]

where the track reconstruction inefficiency \( I = I_{3/4}(\theta^*) + I_{2/4} + I_{2/4}^\text{diff.} = I_{3/4}(\theta^*) \) and \( \Delta t \) is the bin width. The \( \eta_d \), \( \eta_t \) are the DAQ and trigger efficiencies that influence the normalization only. However, during the final normalization to the total cross-section these parameters cancel \([13]\).

### 4 The differential cross section

After inefficiency correction the differential rates \( dN/dt \) of the two diagonals (Diagonal 1 and 2) agree within their statistical uncertainty. The two diagonals are almost independent measurements, thus the final measured differential rate is calculated as the bin-by-bin weighted average of the two differential elastic rates \( dN_{el}/dt \), according to their systematic uncertainty (Table 4).

The normalization is based on the 13 TeV total cross-section measurement with \( \beta^* = 90 \text{ m optics} \), where the RP detectors were placed at half the distance to the beam (50\( \theta \) beam instead of 100\( \theta \) distance) \([13]\). The \( \rho \) measurement at 13 TeV with \( \beta^* = 2500 \text{ m optics} \) was also essential to obtain the final normalization \([11]\). The differential cross-section is shown in Fig. 8. The normalization uncertainty 5.5% is determined by the total cross-section measurement, inheriting the normalization uncertainty from \([13]\).

The numerical values of the differential cross-section, the representative \( |t| \) values, as well as the statistical and systematic uncertainties are given in Table 5.

---

**Fig. 7** The contribution of the left far pot to the \( I_{3/4} \) correction in Diagonal 1. The inefficiency as a function of \( \theta^* \) is estimated with a linear fit, shown with a solid red line.

**Table 3** Corrections to the differential rate for the two diagonals. The “uncorrelated” inefficiency correction \( I_{3/4} \) is \( t \)-dependent, in the table the average correction on the elastic rate is provided.

| Correction | Diagonal 1 (\%) | Diagonal 2 (\%) |
|------------|----------------|----------------|
| \( I_{3/4} \) | 11.2 ± 1.1     | 12.25 ± 1.4    |

**Table 4** The main physics observables and their statistical and systematic uncertainty.

| Observation | Value | Total uncertainty |
|-------------|-------|--------------------|
| \( B \) \((\text{GeV}^2)\) | 20.40 | 0.002 ± 0.01 = 0.01 |
| \( |t_{\text{dip}}| \) \((\text{GeV})\) | 0.47 | 0.004 ± 0.01 = 0.01 |
| \( R \) | 1.77 | 0.01 |

**Fig. 8** Differential elastic cross-section \( d\sigma/dt \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \). The statistical and \( |t| \)-dependent correlated systematic uncertainty envelope is shown as a yellow band.
Table 5  The differential cross-section $d\sigma/dt$

| $|t|_{\text{low}}$ (GeV$^2$) | $|t|_{\text{high}}$ (GeV$^2$) | $|t|_{\text{repr.}}$ (GeV$^2$) | $d\sigma/dt$ | Statistical uncertainty (mb GeV$^{-2}$) | Systematic uncertainty |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.03763         | 0.03926         | 0.03840         | 291.005     | 0.238                       | 23.23               |
| 0.03926         | 0.04090         | 0.04004         | 280.102     | 0.219                       | 17.10               |
| 0.04090         | 0.04254         | 0.04168         | 270.253     | 0.208                       | 13.45               |
| 0.04254         | 0.04419         | 0.04332         | 260.682     | 0.198                       | 10.17               |
| 0.04419         | 0.04583         | 0.04496         | 251.980     | 0.191                       | 8.04                |
| 0.04583         | 0.04748         | 0.04661         | 243.144     | 0.183                       | 6.23                |
| 0.04748         | 0.04912         | 0.04825         | 234.997     | 0.177                       | 5.10                |
| 0.04912         | 0.05077         | 0.04990         | 227.243     | 0.171                       | 4.35                |
| 0.05077         | 0.05242         | 0.05155         | 219.549     | 0.165                       | 3.65                |
| 0.05242         | 0.05408         | 0.05320         | 212.265     | 0.159                       | 3.08                |
| 0.05408         | 0.05573         | 0.05486         | 205.123     | 0.154                       | 2.55                |
| 0.05573         | 0.05739         | 0.05651         | 198.390     | 0.149                       | 2.27                |
| 0.05739         | 0.05904         | 0.05817         | 191.804     | 0.144                       | 2.01                |
| 0.05904         | 0.06070         | 0.05983         | 185.387     | 0.139                       | 1.77                |
| 0.06070         | 0.06236         | 0.06149         | 179.122     | 0.135                       | 1.63                |
| 0.06236         | 0.06402         | 0.06315         | 173.102     | 0.130                       | 1.49                |
| 0.06402         | 0.06569         | 0.06481         | 167.281     | 0.126                       | 1.36                |
| 0.06569         | 0.06735         | 0.06648         | 161.621     | 0.122                       | 1.24                |
| 0.06735         | 0.06902         | 0.06814         | 156.083     | 0.118                       | 1.13                |
| 0.06902         | 0.07069         | 0.06981         | 150.719     | 0.114                       | 1.03                |
| 0.07069         | 0.07236         | 0.07148         | 145.698     | 0.110                       | 0.94                |
| 0.07236         | 0.07403         | 0.07315         | 140.756     | 0.107                       | 0.85                |
| 0.07403         | 0.07571         | 0.07482         | 135.985     | 0.103                       | 0.81                |
| 0.07571         | 0.07738         | 0.07650         | 131.443     | 0.100                       | 0.76                |
| 0.07738         | 0.07906         | 0.07818         | 126.963     | 0.097                       | 0.72                |
| 0.07906         | 0.08074         | 0.07985         | 122.778     | 0.094                       | 0.67                |
| 0.08074         | 0.08242         | 0.08153         | 118.598     | 0.091                       | 0.63                |
| 0.08242         | 0.08410         | 0.08322         | 114.650     | 0.088                       | 0.60                |
| 0.08410         | 0.08579         | 0.08490         | 110.811     | 0.085                       | 0.56                |
| 0.08579         | 0.08747         | 0.08658         | 107.055     | 0.082                       | 0.53                |
| 0.08747         | 0.08916         | 0.08827         | 103.514     | 0.080                       | 0.49                |
| 0.08916         | 0.09085         | 0.08996         | 100.023     | 0.077                       | 0.46                |
| 0.09085         | 0.09254         | 0.09165         | 96.617      | 0.075                       | 0.43                |
| 0.09254         | 0.09423         | 0.09334         | 93.343      | 0.072                       | 0.41                |
| 0.09423         | 0.09593         | 0.09503         | 90.220      | 0.070                       | 0.39                |
| 0.09593         | 0.09762         | 0.09673         | 87.164      | 0.068                       | 0.38                |
| 0.09762         | 0.09932         | 0.09842         | 84.210      | 0.066                       | 0.36                |
| 0.09932         | 0.10102         | 0.10012         | 81.310      | 0.064                       | 0.34                |
| 0.10102         | 0.10272         | 0.10182         | 78.544      | 0.062                       | 0.33                |
| 0.10272         | 0.10442         | 0.10353         | 75.835      | 0.069                       | 0.31                |
| 0.10442         | 0.10613         | 0.10523         | 73.253      | 0.067                       | 0.30                |
| 0.10613         | 0.10783         | 0.10693         | 70.749      | 0.064                       | 0.28                |
Table 5 continued

| \(|t|_{\text{low}}\) (GeV²) | \(|t|_{\text{high}}\) (GeV²) | \(|t|_{\text{repr.}}\) (GeV²) | \(dσ/dt\) | Statistical uncertainty (mb GeV⁻²) | Systematic uncertainty |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.10783 | 0.10954 | 0.10864 | 68.344 | 0.062 | 0.27 |
| 0.10954 | 0.11125 | 0.11035 | 66.073 | 0.060 | 0.26 |
| 0.11125 | 0.11296 | 0.11206 | 63.812 | 0.058 | 0.24 |
| 0.11296 | 0.11468 | 0.11377 | 61.604 | 0.056 | 0.23 |
| 0.11468 | 0.11639 | 0.11549 | 59.525 | 0.054 | 0.22 |
| 0.11639 | 0.11811 | 0.11720 | 57.524 | 0.052 | 0.21 |
| 0.11811 | 0.11983 | 0.11892 | 55.529 | 0.050 | 0.20 |
| 0.11983 | 0.12154 | 0.12064 | 53.648 | 0.049 | 0.19 |
| 0.12154 | 0.12327 | 0.12236 | 51.755 | 0.047 | 0.18 |
| 0.12327 | 0.12499 | 0.12408 | 50.026 | 0.045 | 0.17 |
| 0.12499 | 0.12671 | 0.12580 | 48.342 | 0.044 | 0.16 |
| 0.12671 | 0.12844 | 0.12753 | 46.655 | 0.043 | 0.16 |
| 0.12844 | 0.13017 | 0.12926 | 45.0718 | 0.0409 | 0.148 |
| 0.13017 | 0.13190 | 0.13099 | 43.5530 | 0.0396 | 0.141 |
| 0.13190 | 0.13363 | 0.13272 | 42.0412 | 0.0383 | 0.134 |
| 0.13363 | 0.13537 | 0.13445 | 40.5083 | 0.0370 | 0.127 |
| 0.13537 | 0.13710 | 0.13618 | 39.1987 | 0.0357 | 0.120 |
| 0.13710 | 0.13884 | 0.13792 | 37.8674 | 0.0346 | 0.114 |
| 0.13884 | 0.14058 | 0.13966 | 36.5563 | 0.0334 | 0.108 |
| 0.14058 | 0.14232 | 0.14140 | 35.3093 | 0.0323 | 0.104 |
| 0.14232 | 0.14406 | 0.14314 | 34.0693 | 0.0312 | 0.099 |
| 0.14406 | 0.14580 | 0.14488 | 32.8900 | 0.0302 | 0.095 |
| 0.14580 | 0.14755 | 0.14663 | 31.7420 | 0.0292 | 0.091 |
| 0.14755 | 0.14930 | 0.14837 | 30.6370 | 0.0282 | 0.088 |
| 0.14930 | 0.15105 | 0.15012 | 29.5747 | 0.0273 | 0.084 |
| 0.15105 | 0.15280 | 0.15187 | 28.5268 | 0.0264 | 0.080 |
| 0.15280 | 0.15455 | 0.15363 | 27.5185 | 0.0256 | 0.077 |
| 0.15455 | 0.15630 | 0.15538 | 26.5863 | 0.0247 | 0.074 |
| 0.15630 | 0.15806 | 0.15713 | 25.6414 | 0.0239 | 0.071 |
| 0.15806 | 0.15982 | 0.15889 | 24.7246 | 0.0231 | 0.068 |
| 0.15982 | 0.16158 | 0.16065 | 23.8487 | 0.0224 | 0.065 |
| 0.16158 | 0.16334 | 0.16241 | 22.9954 | 0.0216 | 0.062 |
| 0.16334 | 0.16510 | 0.16417 | 22.1702 | 0.0209 | 0.059 |
| 0.16510 | 0.16687 | 0.16594 | 21.4001 | 0.0203 | 0.057 |
| 0.16687 | 0.16864 | 0.16770 | 20.6409 | 0.0196 | 0.054 |
| 0.16864 | 0.17040 | 0.16947 | 19.9019 | 0.0190 | 0.052 |
| 0.17040 | 0.17218 | 0.17124 | 19.1646 | 0.0183 | 0.050 |
| 0.17218 | 0.17395 | 0.17301 | 18.4862 | 0.0177 | 0.048 |
| 0.17395 | 0.17572 | 0.17478 | 17.7879 | 0.0172 | 0.045 |
| 0.17572 | 0.17750 | 0.17656 | 17.1552 | 0.0166 | 0.043 |
| 0.17750 | 0.17927 | 0.17834 | 16.5560 | 0.0161 | 0.042 |
| 0.17927 | 0.18105 | 0.18011 | 15.9388 | 0.0155 | 0.040 |
| 0.18105 | 0.18283 | 0.18189 | 15.3767 | 0.0151 | 0.038 |
\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{continued}
\begin{tabular}{cccccc}
\hline
\( \tau_{\text{low}} \) (GeV²) & \( \tau_{\text{high}} \) (GeV²) & \( \tau_{\text{repr}} \) (GeV²) & \( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \) & Statistical uncertainty & Systematic uncertainty \\
\hline
0.18283 & 0.18462 & 0.18368 & 14.8025 & 0.0146 & 0.036 \\
0.18462 & 0.18640 & 0.18546 & 14.2666 & 0.0141 & 0.035 \\
0.18640 & 0.18819 & 0.18725 & 13.7533 & 0.0137 & 0.033 \\
0.18819 & 0.18998 & 0.18903 & 13.2581 & 0.0132 & 0.032 \\
0.18998 & 0.19177 & 0.19082 & 12.7590 & 0.0128 & 0.030 \\
0.19177 & 0.19356 & 0.19261 & 12.2949 & 0.0124 & 0.029 \\
0.19356 & 0.19535 & 0.19440 & 11.8561 & 0.0120 & 0.028 \\
0.19535 & 0.19715 & 0.19620 & 11.4076 & 0.0116 & 0.026 \\
0.19715 & 0.19894 & 0.19800 & 10.9936 & 0.0113 & 0.025 \\
0.19894 & 0.20074 & 0.19979 & 10.5950 & 0.0109 & 0.024 \\
0.20074 & 0.20254 & 0.20159 & 10.1833 & 0.0106 & 0.023 \\
0.20254 & 0.20435 & 0.20339 & 9.8035 & 0.0102 & 0.022 \\
0.20435 & 0.20615 & 0.20520 & 9.4488 & 0.0099 & 0.021 \\
0.20615 & 0.20796 & 0.20700 & 9.1026 & 0.0096 & 0.020 \\
0.20796 & 0.20977 & 0.20881 & 8.7620 & 0.0093 & 0.019 \\
0.20977 & 0.21158 & 0.21062 & 8.4083 & 0.0090 & 0.018 \\
0.21158 & 0.21339 & 0.21243 & 8.1080 & 0.0087 & 0.017 \\
0.21339 & 0.21520 & 0.21424 & 7.8116 & 0.0084 & 0.016 \\
0.21520 & 0.21702 & 0.21606 & 7.5264 & 0.0082 & 0.016 \\
0.21702 & 0.21883 & 0.21787 & 7.2372 & 0.0079 & 0.015 \\
0.21883 & 0.22065 & 0.21969 & 6.9655 & 0.0077 & 0.014 \\
0.22065 & 0.22247 & 0.22151 & 6.7154 & 0.0075 & 0.013 \\
0.22247 & 0.22429 & 0.22333 & 6.4637 & 0.0072 & 0.013 \\
0.22429 & 0.22612 & 0.22515 & 6.20908 & 0.0070 & 0.012 \\
0.22612 & 0.22794 & 0.22698 & 5.97031 & 0.0068 & 0.011 \\
0.22794 & 0.22977 & 0.22881 & 5.75469 & 0.0066 & 0.011 \\
0.22977 & 0.23160 & 0.23064 & 5.53763 & 0.0064 & 0.010 \\
0.23160 & 0.23343 & 0.23247 & 5.32432 & 0.0062 & 0.010 \\
0.23343 & 0.23527 & 0.23430 & 5.11779 & 0.0060 & 0.009 \\
0.23527 & 0.23710 & 0.23613 & 4.91989 & 0.0058 & 0.009 \\
0.23710 & 0.23894 & 0.23797 & 4.72926 & 0.0057 & 0.008 \\
0.23894 & 0.24078 & 0.23981 & 4.54239 & 0.0055 & 0.008 \\
0.24078 & 0.24262 & 0.24165 & 4.37635 & 0.0053 & 0.008 \\
0.24262 & 0.24446 & 0.24349 & 4.20548 & 0.0052 & 0.007 \\
0.24446 & 0.24631 & 0.24533 & 4.03536 & 0.0050 & 0.007 \\
0.24631 & 0.24815 & 0.24718 & 3.89381 & 0.0049 & 0.007 \\
0.24815 & 0.25000 & 0.24902 & 3.73005 & 0.0047 & 0.006 \\
0.25000 & 0.25185 & 0.25087 & 3.58604 & 0.0046 & 0.006 \\
0.25185 & 0.25370 & 0.25272 & 3.44067 & 0.0044 & 0.006 \\
0.25370 & 0.25556 & 0.25458 & 3.30493 & 0.0043 & 0.006 \\
0.25556 & 0.25741 & 0.25643 & 3.17651 & 0.0042 & 0.005 \\
0.25741 & 0.25927 & 0.25829 & 3.04288 & 0.0040 & 0.005 \\
0.25927 & 0.26113 & 0.26015 & 2.92947 & 0.0039 & 0.005 \\
0.26113 & 0.26299 & 0.26201 & 2.80257 & 0.0038 & 0.005 \\
0.26299 & 0.26485 & 0.26387 & 2.69417 & 0.0037 & 0.004 \\
0.26485 & 0.26672 & 0.26573 & 2.57945 & 0.0036 & 0.004 \\
0.26672 & 0.26858 & 0.26760 & 2.47640 & 0.0035 & 0.004 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
Table 5 continued

| $|t|_{\text{low}}$ (GeV$^2$) | $|t|_{\text{high}}$ (GeV$^2$) | $|t|_{\text{repr.}}$ (GeV$^2$) | $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}$ | Statistical uncertainty (mb GeV$^{-2}$) | Systematic uncertainty |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.26858                  | 0.27045                  | 0.26947                  | 2.37881       | 0.0034                    | 0.0042                 |
| 0.27045                  | 0.27232                  | 0.27134                  | 2.27509       | 0.0033                    | 0.0040                 |
| 0.27232                  | 0.27420                  | 0.27321                  | 2.18539       | 0.0032                    | 0.0038                 |
| 0.27420                  | 0.27607                  | 0.27508                  | 2.09632       | 0.0031                    | 0.0036                 |
| 0.27607                  | 0.27795                  | 0.27695                  | 2.00623       | 0.0030                    | 0.0035                 |
| 0.27795                  | 0.27982                  | 0.27883                  | 1.92209       | 0.0029                    | 0.0033                 |
| 0.27982                  | 0.28170                  | 0.28071                  | 1.84416       | 0.0028                    | 0.0031                 |
| 0.28170                  | 0.28359                  | 0.28259                  | 1.76460       | 0.0027                    | 0.0030                 |
| 0.28359                  | 0.28547                  | 0.28447                  | 1.69359       | 0.0027                    | 0.0029                 |
| 0.28547                  | 0.28735                  | 0.28636                  | 1.62036       | 0.0026                    | 0.0027                 |
| 0.28735                  | 0.28924                  | 0.28824                  | 1.54873       | 0.0025                    | 0.0026                 |
| 0.28924                  | 0.29113                  | 0.29013                  | 1.48620       | 0.0024                    | 0.0025                 |
| 0.29113                  | 0.29302                  | 0.29202                  | 1.42084       | 0.0024                    | 0.0024                 |
| 0.29302                  | 0.29492                  | 0.29391                  | 1.35815       | 0.0023                    | 0.0022                 |
| 0.29492                  | 0.29681                  | 0.29581                  | 1.29937       | 0.0022                    | 0.0021                 |
| 0.29681                  | 0.30061                  | 0.29960                  | 1.18712       | 0.0021                    | 0.0019                 |
| 0.30061                  | 0.30441                  | 0.30340                  | 1.08606       | 0.0020                    | 0.0018                 |
| 0.30441                  | 0.30822                  | 0.30721                  | 0.99128       | 0.0019                    | 0.0016                 |
| 0.30822                  | 0.31013                  | 0.30912                  | 0.94404       | 0.0018                    | 0.0015                 |
| 0.31013                  | 0.31204                  | 0.31103                  | 0.90267       | 0.0018                    | 0.0014                 |
| 0.31204                  | 0.31395                  | 0.31294                  | 0.86103       | 0.0017                    | 0.0014                 |
| 0.31395                  | 0.31586                  | 0.31485                  | 0.82014       | 0.0017                    | 0.0013                 |
| 0.31586                  | 0.31778                  | 0.31677                  | 0.78056       | 0.0016                    | 0.0012                 |
| 0.31778                  | 0.31970                  | 0.31868                  | 0.74955       | 0.0016                    | 0.0012                 |
| 0.31970                  | 0.32162                  | 0.32060                  | 0.71498       | 0.0015                    | 0.0011                 |
| 0.32162                  | 0.32354                  | 0.32252                  | 0.67820       | 0.0015                    | 0.0010                 |
| 0.32354                  | 0.32546                  | 0.32445                  | 0.64830       | 0.0014                    | 0.0010                 |
| 0.32546                  | 0.32739                  | 0.32637                  | 0.6160172     | 0.00140                   | 0.00094                |
| 0.32739                  | 0.32932                  | 0.32830                  | 0.5875874     | 0.00136                   | 0.00090                |
| 0.32932                  | 0.33124                  | 0.33022                  | 0.5599290     | 0.00132                   | 0.00086                |
| 0.33124                  | 0.33318                  | 0.33215                  | 0.5326184     | 0.00129                   | 0.00081                |
| 0.33318                  | 0.33511                  | 0.33409                  | 0.5084319     | 0.00125                   | 0.00077                |
| 0.33511                  | 0.33704                  | 0.33602                  | 0.4841120     | 0.00121                   | 0.00074                |
| 0.33704                  | 0.33898                  | 0.33796                  | 0.4584498     | 0.00118                   | 0.00070                |
| 0.33898                  | 0.34092                  | 0.33989                  | 0.4368558     | 0.00114                   | 0.00067                |
| 0.34092                  | 0.34286                  | 0.34183                  | 0.4159908     | 0.00111                   | 0.00063                |
| 0.34286                  | 0.34480                  | 0.34378                  | 0.3931062     | 0.00108                   | 0.00060                |
| 0.34480                  | 0.34675                  | 0.34572                  | 0.3773297     | 0.00105                   | 0.00057                |
| 0.34675                  | 0.34870                  | 0.34767                  | 0.3567071     | 0.00102                   | 0.00054                |
| 0.34870                  | 0.35064                  | 0.34961                  | 0.3408495     | 0.00099                   | 0.00052                |
Table 5 continued

| \(l_{\text{low}} \) (GeV²) | \(l_{\text{high}} \) (GeV²) | \(l_{\text{repr.}} \) (GeV²) | \(d\sigma/dt\) | Statistical uncertainty (mb GeV⁻²) | Systematic uncertainty |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.35064 | 0.35259 | 0.35156 | 0.3233294 | 0.00096 | 0.00049 |
| 0.35259 | 0.35455 | 0.35351 | 0.3078857 | 0.00093 | 0.00047 |
| 0.35455 | 0.35650 | 0.35547 | 0.2911156 | 0.00090 | 0.00044 |
| 0.35650 | 0.35846 | 0.35742 | 0.2759747 | 0.00088 | 0.00042 |
| 0.35846 | 0.36042 | 0.35938 | 0.2632198 | 0.00085 | 0.00040 |
| 0.36042 | 0.36238 | 0.36134 | 0.2484736 | 0.00083 | 0.00038 |
| 0.36238 | 0.36434 | 0.36330 | 0.2368378 | 0.00080 | 0.00036 |
| 0.36434 | 0.36630 | 0.36526 | 0.2238501 | 0.00078 | 0.00035 |
| 0.36630 | 0.36827 | 0.36723 | 0.2129791 | 0.00076 | 0.00034 |
| 0.36827 | 0.37024 | 0.36920 | 0.2001638 | 0.00073 | 0.00032 |
| 0.37024 | 0.37221 | 0.37117 | 0.1910685 | 0.00071 | 0.00031 |
| 0.37221 | 0.37418 | 0.37314 | 0.1811450 | 0.00069 | 0.00030 |
| 0.37418 | 0.37616 | 0.37511 | 0.1708701 | 0.00067 | 0.00029 |
| 0.37616 | 0.37813 | 0.37709 | 0.1631546 | 0.00065 | 0.00028 |
| 0.37813 | 0.38011 | 0.37906 | 0.1542701 | 0.00063 | 0.00027 |
| 0.38011 | 0.38209 | 0.38104 | 0.1458654 | 0.00061 | 0.00025 |
| 0.38209 | 0.38407 | 0.38302 | 0.1376252 | 0.00059 | 0.00024 |
| 0.38407 | 0.38606 | 0.38501 | 0.1314138 | 0.00058 | 0.00023 |
| 0.38606 | 0.38804 | 0.38699 | 0.1250128 | 0.00056 | 0.00022 |
| 0.38804 | 0.39003 | 0.38898 | 0.1172248 | 0.00054 | 0.00020 |
| 0.39003 | 0.39202 | 0.39097 | 0.1108358 | 0.00053 | 0.00019 |
| 0.39202 | 0.39401 | 0.39296 | 0.1051992 | 0.00051 | 0.00018 |
| 0.39401 | 0.39601 | 0.39495 | 0.0988553 | 0.00049 | 0.00017 |
| 0.39601 | 0.39800 | 0.39695 | 0.0943577 | 0.00048 | 0.00016 |
| 0.39800 | 0.40000 | 0.39894 | 0.0893882 | 0.00047 | 0.00014 |
| 0.40000 | 0.40200 | 0.40094 | 0.0844118 | 0.00045 | 0.00013 |
| 0.40200 | 0.40410 | 0.40299 | 0.0794673 | 0.00043 | 0.00012 |
| 0.40410 | 0.40631 | 0.40514 | 0.0746659 | 0.00040 | 0.00011 |
| 0.40631 | 0.40866 | 0.40742 | 0.0702312 | 0.00038 | 0.00010 |
| 0.40866 | 0.41117 | 0.40984 | 0.0644914 | 0.00035 | 0.00008 |
| 0.41117 | 0.41384 | 0.41242 | 0.0612570 | 0.00033 | 0.00008 |
| 0.41384 | 0.41668 | 0.41517 | 0.0562343 | 0.00030 | 0.00008 |
| 0.41668 | 0.41974 | 0.41812 | 0.0526126 | 0.00028 | 0.00007 |
| 0.41974 | 0.42305 | 0.42129 | 0.0483678 | 0.00026 | 0.00007 |
| 0.42305 | 0.42663 | 0.42473 | 0.0449274 | 0.00024 | 0.00011 |
| 0.42663 | 0.43054 | 0.42846 | 0.0413754 | 0.00022 | 0.00022 |
| 0.43054 | 0.43483 | 0.43255 | 0.0385490 | 0.00020 | 0.00038 |
| 0.43483 | 0.43957 | 0.43705 | 0.0354994 | 0.00019 | 0.00061 |
| 0.43957 | 0.44485 | 0.44204 | 0.0327863 | 0.00017 | 0.00093 |
| 0.44485 | 0.45072 | 0.44760 | 0.0307740 | 0.00016 | 0.00122 |
| 0.45072 | 0.45722 | 0.45377 | 0.0291248 | 0.00015 | 0.00084 |
| 0.45722 | 0.46437 | 0.46059 | 0.0277333 | 0.00015 | 0.00027 |
| 0.46437 | 0.47203 | 0.46799 | 0.0272682 | 0.00014 | 0.00032 |
| 0.47203 | 0.48006 | 0.47585 | 0.0276871 | 0.00015 | 0.00035 |
| 0.48006 | 0.48828 | 0.48398 | 0.0284679 | 0.00015 | 0.00042 |
Table 5 continued

| $t_{\text{low}}$ (GeV$^2$) | $t_{\text{high}}$ (GeV$^2$) | $t_{\text{repr.}}$ (GeV$^2$) | $d\sigma/dt$ | Statistical uncertainty (mb GeV$^{-2}$) | Systematic uncertainty |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.48828                  | 0.49654                     | 0.49222                     | 0.0294984  | 0.00015                              | 0.00051                |
| 0.49654                  | 0.50472                     | 0.50045                     | 0.0305493  | 0.00016                              | 0.00054                |
| 0.50472                  | 0.51278                     | 0.50857                     | 0.0320606  | 0.00017                              | 0.00053                |
| 0.51278                  | 0.52069                     | 0.51656                     | 0.0337122  | 0.00018                              | 0.00045                |
| 0.52069                  | 0.52845                     | 0.52440                     | 0.0352345  | 0.00019                              | 0.00034                |
| 0.52845                  | 0.53607                     | 0.53209                     | 0.0368763  | 0.00020                              | 0.00024                |
| 0.53607                  | 0.54356                     | 0.53964                     | 0.0382915  | 0.00020                              | 0.00018                |
| 0.54356                  | 0.55093                     | 0.54707                     | 0.0396758  | 0.00021                              | 0.00019                |
| 0.55093                  | 0.55820                     | 0.55440                     | 0.0411312  | 0.00022                              | 0.00019                |
| 0.55820                  | 0.56539                     | 0.56163                     | 0.0427254  | 0.00022                              | 0.00021                |
| 0.56539                  | 0.57250                     | 0.56878                     | 0.0434895  | 0.00023                              | 0.00022                |
| 0.57250                  | 0.57956                     | 0.57587                     | 0.0444147  | 0.00023                              | 0.00024                |
| 0.57956                  | 0.58657                     | 0.58290                     | 0.0454339  | 0.00024                              | 0.00022                |
| 0.58657                  | 0.59356                     | 0.58990                     | 0.0462303  | 0.00024                              | 0.00020                |
| 0.59356                  | 0.60052                     | 0.59687                     | 0.0462224  | 0.00024                              | 0.00019                |
| 0.60052                  | 0.60748                     | 0.60383                     | 0.0468683  | 0.00025                              | 0.00018                |
| 0.60748                  | 0.61444                     | 0.61079                     | 0.0471657  | 0.00025                              | 0.00017                |
| 0.61444                  | 0.62142                     | 0.61776                     | 0.0479109  | 0.00025                              | 0.00017                |
| 0.62142                  | 0.62844                     | 0.62476                     | 0.0477659  | 0.00025                              | 0.00016                |
| 0.62844                  | 0.63550                     | 0.63179                     | 0.0483139  | 0.00025                              | 0.00016                |
| 0.63550                  | 0.64262                     | 0.63888                     | 0.0479224  | 0.00025                              | 0.00016                |
| 0.64262                  | 0.64981                     | 0.64603                     | 0.0478640  | 0.00025                              | 0.00015                |
| 0.64981                  | 0.65710                     | 0.65327                     | 0.0479463  | 0.00025                              | 0.00015                |
| 0.65710                  | 0.66449                     | 0.66060                     | 0.0473400  | 0.00025                              | 0.00015                |
| 0.66449                  | 0.67200                     | 0.66805                     | 0.0475291  | 0.00025                              | 0.00014                |
| 0.67200                  | 0.67966                     | 0.67563                     | 0.0466393  | 0.00025                              | 0.00014                |
| 0.67966                  | 0.68747                     | 0.68336                     | 0.0460401  | 0.00024                              | 0.00014                |
| 0.68747                  | 0.69545                     | 0.69125                     | 0.0452852  | 0.00024                              | 0.00014                |
| 0.69545                  | 0.70363                     | 0.69933                     | 0.0446399  | 0.00024                              | 0.00013                |
| 0.70363                  | 0.71203                     | 0.70761                     | 0.0440867  | 0.00023                              | 0.00013                |
| 0.71203                  | 0.72066                     | 0.71611                     | 0.0429611  | 0.00023                              | 0.00013                |
| 0.72066                  | 0.72956                     | 0.72487                     | 0.0419997  | 0.00022                              | 0.00012                |
| 0.72956                  | 0.73875                     | 0.73391                     | 0.0415542  | 0.00022                              | 0.00012                |
| 0.73875                  | 0.74826                     | 0.74324                     | 0.0408855  | 0.00021                              | 0.00012                |
| 0.74826                  | 0.75812                     | 0.75292                     | 0.0394498  | 0.00021                              | 0.00011                |
| 0.75812                  | 0.76837                     | 0.76296                     | 0.0384823  | 0.00020                              | 0.00011                |
| 0.76837                  | 0.77905                     | 0.77341                     | 0.0368327  | 0.00019                              | 0.00011                |
| 0.77905                  | 0.79021                     | 0.78432                     | 0.0357022  | 0.00019                              | 0.00010                |
| 0.79021                  | 0.80190                     | 0.79572                     | 0.0344881  | 0.00018                              | 0.00010                |
| 0.80190                  | 0.81419                     | 0.80769                     | 0.0331379  | 0.00017                              | 0.00009                |
| 0.81419                  | 0.82715                     | 0.82029                     | 0.0317622  | 0.00017                              | 0.00009                |
| 0.82715                  | 0.84087                     | 0.83361                     | 0.0301535  | 0.00016                              | 0.00008                |
| 0.84087                  | 0.85546                     | 0.84773                     | 0.0286175  | 0.00015                              | 0.00008                |
Table 5 continued

| \(|t|\)_{\text{low}} (GeV^2) | \(|t|\)_{\text{high}} (GeV^2) | \(|t|\)_{\text{repr.}} (GeV^2) | \(d\sigma/dt\) | Statistical uncertainty (mb GeV^{-2}) | Systematic uncertainty |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.85546 | 0.87105 | 0.86279 | 0.0268686 | 0.00014 | 0.00007 |
| 0.87105 | 0.88781 | 0.87933 | 0.0257198 | 0.00013 | 0.00007 |
| 0.89598 | 0.92585 | 0.91528 | 0.0217698 | 0.00012 | 0.00006 |
| 0.92585 | 0.94787 | 0.93614 | 0.0199802 | 0.00011 | 0.00005 |
| 0.94787 | 0.97267 | 0.95942 | 0.0179976 | 0.00010 | 0.00005 |
| 0.97267 | 1.00119 | 0.98591 | 0.0159908 | 0.00008 | 0.00004 |
| 1.00119 | 1.03483 | 1.01673 | 0.01377598 | 0.00007 | 0.00003 |
| 1.03483 | 1.07580 | 1.05364 | 0.01160554 | 0.00006 | 0.00003 |
| 1.07580 | 1.12787 | 1.09633 | 0.00933244 | 0.00004 | 0.00002 |
| 1.12787 | 1.19956 | 1.15991 | 0.00700284 | 0.00003 | 0.00001 |
| 1.19956 | 1.27842 | 1.23455 | 0.00478260 | 0.00002 | 0.00001 |
| 1.27842 | 1.36517 | 1.31661 | 0.00323285 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 |
| 1.36517 | 1.46060 | 1.40681 | 0.00072459 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 1.46060 | 1.56556 | 1.50598 | 0.00021747 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 1.56556 | 1.68102 | 1.61503 | 0.00010673 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 1.68102 | 1.80803 | 1.73499 | 0.000040267 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 1.80803 | 2.0142 | 1.9214 | 0.000005156 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 2.0142 | 2.27047 | 2.17127 | 0.000005870 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 2.27047 | 2.45624 | 2.34561 | 0.00002434 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 2.45624 | 2.66097 | 2.53863 | 0.00001017 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 2.66097 | 2.88597 | 2.75357 | 0.00000395 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 2.88597 | 3.13348 | 2.98393 | 0.00000235 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 3.13348 | 3.40573 | 3.23982 | 0.00000050 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 3.40573 | 3.70521 | 3.52028 | 0.00000017 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 3.70521 | 4.03464 | 3.82873 | 0.00000012 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |

The propagation of systematic uncertainties to the \(|t|\)-distribution has been estimated with a Monte Carlo program, see Fig. 9. A fit of the final differential cross-section data is used to generate the true reference \(|t|\)-distribution. Simultaneously, another \(|t|\)-distribution is created, which is perturbed with one of the systematic effects at 1\(\sigma\) level. The difference between the \(|t|\)-distributions gives the systematic effect on the differential cross-section

\[ \delta s_q(i) = \frac{\partial (d\sigma/dt)}{\partial q} \delta q, \tag{8} \]

where \(\delta q\) corresponds to 1\(\sigma\) bias in the quantity \(q\) responsible for a given systematic effect. The Monte-Carlo simulations show that the combined effect of several systematic errors is well approximated by linear combination of the individual contributions from Eq. (8).

The \(|t|\)-dependent systematic uncertainties are summarized in Fig. 9. The result can be used to approximate the covariance matrix of systematic uncertainties:

\[ V_{ij} = \sum_q \delta s_q(i) \delta s_q(j), \tag{9} \]

where \(i\) and \(j\) are bin indices, and the sum over \(q\) goes over the optics, alignment and beam momentum error contributions. The model fits of the data have been evaluated using the covariance matrix in the generalized least-squares method

\[ \chi^2 = \Delta^T V^{-1} \Delta, \quad \Delta_i = \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} - f(t)|_{t=t_{\text{repr}}} \right)_{\text{bin } i} \tag{10} \]

and \(V = V_{\text{stat}} + V_{\text{syst}}\).

The nuclear slope has been found to be \(B = (20.40 \pm 0.002^{\text{stat}} \pm 0.01\^{\text{syst}})\) GeV\(^{-2}\) using an exponential fit in the \(|t|\) range from 0.04 to 0.2 GeV\(^2\). The relative difference between data and this fit is plotted in Fig. 10, showing a non-exponential shape, similar to the 8 TeV result \cite{9}. Consequently, the value found for the nuclear slope \(B\) can be considered as an average \(B\) and the fit quality \(\chi^2/\text{ndf} = \ldots\).
The dip position has been found to be $|t_{dip}| = (0.47 \pm 0.004^{\text{stat}} \pm 0.01^{\text{syst}}) \text{GeV}^2$ and the differential cross section ratio between the second maximum and the minimum is $R = 1.77 \pm 0.01^{\text{stat}}$.

5 Summary

The TOTEM collaboration has measured the elastic proton-proton differential cross section $d\sigma/dt$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV LHC energy in the four-momentum transfer squared $|t|$ range from 0.04 to 4 GeV$^2$. A special data acquisition allowed to collect about $10^9$ elastic events and the precise measurement of the differential cross-section including, the diffractive minimum and the large-$|t|$ tail. The average nuclear slope has been found to be $B = (20.40 \pm 0.002^{\text{stat}} \pm 0.01^{\text{syst}}) \text{GeV}^{-2}$ in the $|t|$-range $0.04$–$0.2$ GeV$^2$. The position of the diffractive minimum is $|t_{dip}| = (0.47 \pm 0.004^{\text{stat}} \pm 0.01^{\text{syst}}) \text{GeV}^2$ and the differential cross section ratio at the maximum and minimum is $R = 1.77 \pm 0.01^{\text{stat}}$ with negligible systematic uncertainty.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to the beam optics development team for the design and the successful commissioning of the high $\beta^*$
optics and to the LHC machine coordinators for scheduling the dedicated fills. This work was supported by the institutions listed on the front page and partially also by NSF (US), the Magnus Ehrnrooth Foundation (Finland), the Waldemar von Frenckell Foundation (Finland), the Academy of Finland, the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters (The Vilho Yrjö and Kalle Väisälä Fund), the Circles of Knowledge Club (Hungary) and the OTKA NK 101438 and the EFOP-3.6.1-16-2016-00001 grants (Hungary). Individuals have received support from Nylands nation vid Helsingfors universitet (Finland), MSMT CR (the Czech Republic), the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the NKP-17-4 New National Excellence Program of the Hungarian Ministry of Human Capacities and the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education Grant No. MNiSW DIR/WK/2017/07-01.

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors’ comment: The datasets analysed in this manuscript are available from the corresponding author on a reasonable request.]

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. Funded by SCOAP³.

References

1. U. Amaldi, K.R. Schubert, Impact parameter interpretation of proton-proton scattering from a critical review of all ISR data. Nucl. Phys. B 166, 301–320 (1980). https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(80)90229-1
2. G. Anelli et al. (TOTEM collaboration), The TOTEM experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider. JINST 3, S08007 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08007
3. G. Antchev et al. (TOTEM collaboration), First measurement of the total proton-proton cross section at the LHC energy of √s = 7 TeV. EPL 96(2), 21002 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/96/21002
4. G. Antchev et al. (TOTEM collaboration), Proton-proton elastic scattering at the LHC energy of √s = 7 TeV. EPL 95(4), 41001 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/95/41001
5. G. Antchev et al. (TOTEM collaboration), TOTEM Upgrade Proposal (2013). http://cds.cern.ch/record/1554299
6. G. Antchev et al. (TOTEM collaboration), Luminosity-independent measurement of the proton-proton total cross section at √s = 8 TeV. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111(1), 012001 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.012001
7. G. Antchev et al., Measurement of proton-proton elastic scattering and total cross-section at √s = 7 TeV. (TOTEM collaboration), EPL 101 21002(2):21002, https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/101/21002(2013c)
8. G. Antchev et al. (TOTEM collaboration), LHC Optics measurement with proton tracks detected by the roman pots of the TOTEM experiment. New J. Phys. 16, 103041 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/10/103041
9. G. Antchev et al. (TOTEM collaboration), Evidence for non-exponential elastic proton differential cross-section at low-|t| and √s = 8 TeV by TOTEM. Nucl. Phys. B 899, 527–546 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.08.010
10. G. Antchev et al., Measurement of elastic pp scattering at √s = 8 TeV in the Coulomb-nuclear interference region: determination of the ρ –parameter and the total cross-section. (TOTEM collaboration), Eur Phys J C 76(12), 661 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4399-8
11. G. Antchev et al. (TOTEM collaboration), First determination of the ρ parameter at √s = 13 TeV-probing the existence of a colourless three-gluon bound state. CERN-EP-2017-335 (2017). https://cds.cern.ch/record/2298154
12. G. Antchev et al. (TOTEM collaboration), Elastic differential cross-section dσ/dt at √s = 2.76 TeV and implications on the existence of a colourless 3-gluon bound state. CERN-EP-2018-341 (2018). https://cds.cern.ch/record/2298154
13. G. Antchev et al. (TOTEM collaboration), First measurement of elastic, inelastic and total cross-section at √s = 13 TeV by TOTEM and overview of cross-section data at LHC energies. Eur. Phys. J. C 79(2), 103 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6567-0
14. G. Antchev et al. (TOTEM collaboration), Measurement of proton-proton elastic scattering and total cross-section at √s = 2.76 TeV (2019) (in preparation)
15. S.J. Brodsky, G.R. Farrar, Scaling laws at large transverse momentum. Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 1153–1156 (1973). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.31.1153
16. G. Cowan, Statistical Data Analysis (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998)
17. F. Nemes, Elastic scattering of protons at the TOTEM experiment at the LHC. PhD thesis, Eötvös U., CERN-THESIS-2015-293 (2015)
18. F. Nemes, Elastic and total cross-section measurements by TOTEM: past and future. PoS (DIS2017) 059 (2017)
19. H. Niewiadomski, Reconstruction of protons in the TOTEM Roman Pot detectors at the LHC. PhD thesis, Manchester U. CERN-THEISIS-2008-080 (2008)
20. G. Ruggiero et al., Characteristics of edgeless silicon detectors for the Roman Pots of the TOTEM experiment at the LHC. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 604, 242–245 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2009.01.056