Somatostatin receptor subtype 2 in high-grade gliomas: PET/CT with $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptides, correlation to prognostic markers, and implications for targeted radiotherapy
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Abstract

Background: High-grade gliomas (HGGs) express somatostatin receptors (SSTR), rendering them candidates for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT). Our purpose was to evaluate the potential of $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-1-Nal$^3$-octreotide ($^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC) or $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-Tyr$^3$-octreotide ($^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC) to target SSTR subtype 2 (SSTR$^2$) in HGGs, and to study the association between SSTR$^2$ expression and established biomarkers.

Methods: Twenty-seven patients (mean age 52 years) with primary or recurrent HGG prospectively underwent $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptide positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) before resection. Maximum standardized uptake values (SUVmax) and receptor binding potential (BP) were calculated on PET/CT and disruption of blood–brain barrier (BBB) from contrast-enhanced T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI-T1-Gad). Tumor volume concordance between PET and MRI-T1-Gad was assessed by Dice similarity coefficient (DC) and correlation by Spearman’s rank. Immunohistochemically determined SSTR$^2$ status was compared to receptor imaging findings, prognostic biomarkers, and survival with Kruskal-Wallis, Pearson chi-square, and multivariate Cox regression, respectively.

Results: All 19 HGGs with disrupted BBB demonstrated tracer uptake. Tumor SUVmax (2.25 ± 1.33) correlated with MRI-T1-Gad ($r = 0.713$, $P = 0.001$) although DC 0.41 ± 0.19 suggested limited concordance. SSTR$^2$ immunohistochemistry was regarded as positive in nine HGGs (32%) but no correlation with SUVmax or BP was found. By contrast, SSTR$^2$ expression was associated with IDH1 mutation ($P = 0.007$), oligodendroglioma component ($P = 0.010$), lower grade ($P = 0.005$), absence of EGFR amplification ($P = 0.021$), and longer progression-free survival (HR 0.161, CI 0.037 to 0.704, $P = 0.015$).

Conclusions: In HGGs, uptake of $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptides is associated with disrupted BBB and cannot be predicted by SSTR$^2$ immunohistochemistry. Thus, PET/CT shows limited value to detect HGGs suitable for PRRT. However, high SSTR$^2$ expression portends favorable outcome along with established biomarkers such as IDH1 mutation.
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Background
High-grade gliomas (HGGs) are the most common primary malignant brain tumors with a dismal prognosis [1]. Few treatment options are available in HGGs at the time of recurrence which typically is local. Beneficial response to peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) targeting somatostatin receptors has been observed in pilot studies including both low-grade (WHO grade II) and high-grade (WHO grade III and IV) gliomas [2,3]. Additionally, Heute et al. reported encouraging results with recurrent glioblastomas locally treated with $^{103}$Y-DOTATOC, with a complete remission in one patient [4]. Therefore, PRRT remains an appealing treatment modality especially in recurrent HGGs. However, PRRT is technically demanding and careful selection of patients who are likely to respond is therefore required.

Somatostatin receptor (SSTR) expression in HGGs is controversial. While autoradiographic binding studies have shown no SSTR expression in glioblastomas, immunohistochemistry (IHC) and western blot have detected increased expression of subtypes SSTR2, SSTR3, and SSTR5 [5,6]. Therefore, an in vivo method to identify SSTR2 expression, the most abundant subtype, in patients who are candidates for PRRT is required. While scintigraphic studies have shown variable uptake of octreotide analogs in gliomas, we are not aware of prospective studies on $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC or $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC uptake in gliomas with positron emission tomography (PET), which has superior sensitivity compared to scintigraphy in detecting SSTR2-positive neuroendocrine tumors [7,8].

Our understanding on the genetic alterations in gliomas has led to the recognition of several molecular subtypes with distinct clinical, prognostic, and imaging characteristics [9,10]. IDH1 mutation, MGMT promoter methylation, and 1p/19q co-deletion are the three molecular markers included today in routine assessment of gliomas due to their diagnostic, prognostic, or predictive value [11]. An association between these molecular biomarkers and SSTR2 expression in HGGs, however, has not been studied before.

We aimed to prospectively study the potential of $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC and $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC to target SSTR2 in HGGs and to detect HGGs suitable for PRRT in vivo. We hypothesized that SSTR2 expression in HGGs could be quantified with dynamic positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) using $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptides. Also, our aim was to characterize SSTR2 expression in HGGs in relation to prognostic markers such as IDH1 mutation.

Methods
Twenty-seven patients with radiologically suspected primary ($n = 17$) or recurrent ($n = 10$) HGG scheduled for tumor resection between 2011 and 2013 were prospectively enrolled (mean age 52 years; 17 women and 10 men). PET/CT was performed prior to surgical resection with a mean interval of 19 days. Additionally, one patient with primary HGG was included in SSTR2 IHC analysis without performing PET/CT. $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC replaced $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC as the tracer in practice after first three patients due to poor availability of the DOTANOC precursor. Patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Southwest Finland and the Finnish Medicines Agency. All patients gave written informed consent before participation. The study has been registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01460706).

PET/CT with $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptides and MRI
$^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptides were synthesized as previously described [12]. Radiochemical purity and specific activity (mean) was 99.9% and 31.5 GBq/μmol for $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC, and 96.5% and 26.8 GBq/μmol for $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC, respectively. PET/CT of the brain was performed using a GE Discovery VCT PET/CT Scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, Pewaukee, WI, USA). Dynamic PET was acquired over 60 min ($8 \times 15-$, $6 \times 30-$, $5 \times 180-$, $4 \times 300-$, and $2 \times 600-$s frames) after intravenously injected $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC (123 MBq, median) or $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC (130 MBq, median). Low-dose CT (120 kV, 10 to 95 mA, noise index 25, slice thickness 3.75 mm) was used for attenuation correction. PET images were reconstructed (256 × 256 matrix, OSEM3D, 3 iterations, 28 subsets, 4.8-mm Hanning postfilter) yielding a pixel size of 1.4 mm. A duration of 60 min was determined after 90-min dynamic PET performed to one patient (no. 13) and 5-min static scans performed to three patients (nos. 11, 12, and 23) 96 min post-injection confirmed that tumor uptake did not increase after 60 min.

Clinical preoperative MRI nearest in time to PET scan (mean interval 14 days) was employed, and post-contrast T1-weighted images (MRI-T1-Gad) were used to define the tumor volume with contrast enhancement. MRI scanners and parameters used are listed in Online Resource 1.

Image evaluation
Analyses were performed using in-house developed software (Carimas 2.7; http://www.turkupetcentre.fi/carimas/). PET and MRI-T1-Gad images were co-registered, and spherical volumes of interest (VOIs) were manually placed over the tumor area with maximum activity. VOIs for contralateral normal brain white matter, nasal mucosa, pituitary gland, and skin of the occiput were also defined, and maximum standardized uptake values (SUVmax) were calculated (SUV = [Tissue radioactivity concentration (Bq/ml) × Body weight (g)]/ Injected dose...
Table 1 Clinical data, imaging abnormalities, and SSTR$_2$ expression

| Pt no | Age/sex | Stage | Previous therapy | Gr | Dg | PFS (months) | OS (months) | Follow-up (months) | V$_{1-Gad}$ (cm$^3$) | DOTA SUVmax | BP | C | H | L |
|-------|---------|-------|-----------------|----|----|-------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|----|---|---|---|
| 1     | 49/F    | Primary |                | III | A  | 29.4        |             |                   | 0.57            | TOC         | 0.79 | –0.26 | 0 | 2 | B |
| 2     | 62/F    | Primary |                | III | A  | 144         |             |                   | 1.71            | NOC         | 1.22 | NA  | 0 | 2 | B |
| 3     | 44/F    | Primary |                | III | A  | 21.0        |             |                   | 0              | NOC         | –c  | –    | 1 | 3 | B |
| 4     | 49/M    | Primary |                | III | A  | 13.0        |             |                   | 0              | NOC         | –    | –    | 3 | 3 | C |
| 5     | 57/F    | Primary |                | III | A  | 10.4        | 12.5        |                   | 0.16            | NOC         | 0.69 | –0.47 | 0 | 0 | – |
| 6     | 18/M    | Primary |                | III | A  | 10.9        | 11.8        |                   | 0              | NOC         | –    | –    | 0 | 3 | B |
| 7     | 49/F    | Primary |                | III | A  | 10.7        |             |                   | 0.81            | NOC         | 0.69 | d    | NA | 1 | 3 | C |
| 8     | 32/F    | Primary |                | III | A  | 8.4         | 13.8        |                   | 0              | X          | X    | X    | 0 | 1 | C |
| 9     | 54/F    | Primary |                | III | O  | 13.6        | 27.7        |                   | 0.03            | NOC         | –    | –    | 3 | 3 | B |
| 10    | 60/M    | Primary |                | III | OA | 21.6        |             |                   | 0              | NOC         | –    | –    | 3 | 3 | B |
| 11    | 71/M    | Primary | IV              | GBMO | 0 | 1.2        |             |                   | 1.91            | NOC         | 3.07 | 1.41 | 0 | 3 | M |
| 12    | 37/M    | Primary | IV              | GBMO | 21.0 |             |                   | 4.32            | NOC         | 0.99 | –0.62 | 3 | 3 | B |
| 13    | 35/F    | Primary | IV              | GBM  | 0 | 13.7        |             |                   | 1.27            | TOC         | 0.65 | 0.39 | 0 | 1 | C |
| 14    | 63/F    | Primary | IV              | GBM  | 24.0 | 24.1        |             |                   | 12.2            | NOC         | 2.21 | 0.98 | 0 | 0 | – |
| 15    | 62/F    | Primary | IV              | GBM  | 0 | 7.3        |             |                   | 11.5            | NOC         | 3.01 | 1.26 | 0 | 0 | – |
| 16    | 67/F    | Primary | IV              | GBM  | 0 | 4.4        |             |                   | 14.8            | NOC         | 1.62 | 0.36 | 0 | 1 | B |
| 17    | 70/M    | Primary | IV              | GBM  | 0 | 1.0        |             |                   | 21.5            | NOC         | 2.85 | 1.80 | 0 | 3 | M |
| 18    | 76/F    | Primary | IV              | GS   | 0 | 0.9        |             |                   | 34.7            | NOC         | 3.73 | 1.75 | 0 | 3 | M |
| 19    | 28/M    | Recurrent | S              | III | A  | 81.2       | 106.1       |                   | 0              | NOC         | –    | –    | 1 | 3 | B |
| 20    | 51/M    | Recurrent | S, RT          | III | O  | 60.9       | 253.7       |                   | 0              | NOC         | –    | –    | 3 | 3 | B |
| 21    | 42/F    | Recurrent | S, S, RT, S    | III | OA | 24.3       | 99.5        |                   | 0              | NOC         | –    | –    | 3 | 3 | C |
| 22    | 46/M    | Recurrent | S, RT, C       | III | OA | 39.0       | 100.4       |                   | 28.2            | NOC         | 5.68 | 3.33 | 1 | 1 | C |
| 23    | 42/F    | Recurrent | S, CRT         | IV   | GBM | 24.0       | 37.1        |                   | 0.04            | TOC         | 0.46 | –0.34 | 0 | 3 | B |
| 24    | 68/F    | Recurrent | S, CRT         | IV   | GBM | 23.1       | 26.3        |                   | 27.9            | NOC         | 2.40 | 1.57 | 0 | 0 | – |
| 25    | 64/F    | Recurrent | S, CRT         | IV   | GBM | 34.2       | 38.2        |                   | 12.8            | NOC         | 2.70 | 1.07 | 0 | 3 | B |
| 26    | 42/F    | Recurrent | S, CRT         | IV   | GBM | 5.5        | 13.6        |                   | 28.0            | NOC         | 2.44 | 2.28 | 0 | 3 | B |
| 27    | 61/F    | Recurrent | S, RT          | IV   | sGBM | 16.1       | 21.3        |                   | 2.59            | NOC         | 1.98 | 0.64 | 0 | 2 | C |
| 28    | 57/M    | Recurrent | S, CRT         | IV   | GBM | 11.0       | 27.5        |                   | 3.03            | NOC         | 3.26 | 2.33 | 0 | 3 | B |

Pt no, patient number; F, female; M, male; Gr, grade; Dg, diagnosis; A, astrocytoma; O, oligodendroglioma; OA, oligoastrocytoma; GBMO, glioblastoma with oligodendroglia component; GBM, glioblastoma; sGBM, secondary GBM; GS, gliosarcoma; S, surgery; RT, radiotherapy; C, chemotherapy (temozolomide); CRT, chemoradiotherapy with adjuvant temozolomide; Dx, dexamethasone; TOC, $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC; NOC, $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC; –, no tracer uptake; X, no PET performed; NA, not applicable; C, intensity of most common staining (0 to 3); H, highest staining intensity (0 to 3); L, location of staining; C, cytoplasmic; M, membranous; B, both. *No MRI (cardiac pacemaker), tumor volume defined from contrast-enhanced CT; **static PET 28 to 58 min post-injection (mild claustrophobia); ***dynamic PET discontinued at 53 min post-injection (dyspnea); ǂdynamic PET discontinued at 36 min post-injection (numbness of the arm).
Tumor mean SUVmax 30 to 60 min post-injection was used for further analyses.

Logan plot with skin as a reference tissue was used for tracer kinetic modeling [13]. We used 5 min as the starting point for linear regression model that was optimized against the normalized tumor and reference tissue time-activity curves (TAC), with the slope being the distribution volume ratio (DVR). Binding potential (BP) corresponds to the density of available receptors and is calculated as \( BP = DVR - 1 \).

For comparison of tumor volume abnormalities in PET and MRI-T1-Gad, the images were co-registered by automatic fusion using iPlan RT Treatment Planning Software (Brainlab, Munich, Germany). Tumor PET volumes were contoured with a threshold of 40% SUVmax \( (V_{PET}) \). Contrast-enhanced tumor volume in MRI-T1-Gad \( (V_{T1-Gad}) \) was delineated by thresholding the enhancing tumor volume on visual basis and then manually subtracting the hyperintense volume on precontrast T1-weighted images. Overlapping volumes between \( V_{PET} \) and \( V_{T1-Gad} \) were determined, and Dice similarity coefficient (\( DC = \frac{2 \times \text{Intersection}}{\text{Volume}_{1} + \text{Volume}_{2}} \)) was calculated. A DC value of 1 indicates perfect similarity between the volumes, while a value of 0 indicates no similarity. Patient no. 5 was excluded from analysis due to low SUVmax following failure in PET volume delineation.

**Immunohistochemistry and molecular markers**

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor tissues were sectioned at 3 μm and used for analyses. Antibodies for IHC are listed in Table 2. MGMT promoter methylation was studied by pyrosequencing [14], 1p/19q co-deletion or end of follow-up. OS was defined as the time from the first surgical resection to death or end of follow-up. PFS was defined as the time from the first surgical resection (also for recurrent HGGs) to the first tumor progression in MRI, deterioration in clinical symptoms, or both. Staining intensities were classified as follows: 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate), and 3 (strong). The same scoring parameters were used for EGFR IHC. SSTR2 IHC was further categorized as positive if the sum of the highest and most common staining intensities was ≥4 and negative if the sum was <4.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean ± SD. Pearson or Spearman’s rank correlations were used between tracer uptake parameters and \( V_{T1-Gad} \). \( V_{PET} \) and \( V_{T1-Gad} \) were compared with Mann–Whitney U test. The association between SSTR2 status and molecular markers was studied with Pearson chi-square analysis by cross-tabulations, and difference in Ki67 with independent samples t test. SSTR2 IHC and tracer uptake were compared with Kruskal-Wallis. Kaplan-Meier curves with log-rank test and univariate Cox regression were used to analyze progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS). Multivariate Cox regression (backward Wald) was performed for PFS with SSTR2 status and tumor grade as variables. PFS was defined as the time from the first surgical resection (also for recurrent HGGs) to the first tumor progression in MRI, deterioration in clinical symptoms, or end of follow-up. OS was defined as the time from the first surgical resection to death or end of follow-up. Two-tailed \( P \) values <0.05 were regarded significant.

**Table 2 Primary antibodies and methods used for immunohistochemistry**

| Antibody | Clone | Manufacturer | Method | Detection |
|----------|-------|--------------|--------|-----------|
| SSTR2 | UMB-1 | Abcam, Cambridge, UK | Ventana Benchmark XT Autostainer (Ventana Medical Systems, Strasbourg, France) | ultraVIEW Universal Detection Kit (Ventana, Strasbourg, France) |
| SSTR3 | Rabbit polyclonal (ab28680) | Abcam, Cambridge, UK | Labvision Autostainer (Thermo Scientific Inc, Kalamazoo, MI) | BrightVision Detection Kit (Immunologic, Duiven, the Netherlands) |
| SSTR5 | Rabbit polyclonal (AB5681) | Millipore, Billerica, MA | Labvision Autostainer | BrightVision Detection Kit |
| EGFR | SB7 | Ventana, Strasbourg, France | Ventana Benchmark XT Autostainer | ultraVIEW Universal Detection Kit |
| IDH1 | R132H | Dianova, Hamburg, Germany | Ventana Benchmark XT Autostainer | ultraVIEW Universal Detection Kit |
| CD68 | PG-M1 | Dako, Glostrup, Denmark | Ventana Benchmark XT Autostainer | ultraVIEW Universal Detection Kit + Universal Alkaline Phosphatase Red Detection Kit (Ventana) |
| Ki67 | 30-9 | Ventana, Strasbourg, France | Ventana Benchmark XT Autostainer | ultraVIEW Universal Detection kit |

\(^\text{a}SSTR2 + CD68 double staining.\)
Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 21 for Mac (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

All 19 HGGs with uptake demonstrated disrupted blood-brain barrier (BBB) in MRI-T1-Gad whereas no uptake was detected with intact BBB. Rapid peak in tumor uptake was followed by gradual decline reaching a plateau in 15 min (Figure 1A). Tumor SUVmax 30 to 60 min post-injection was 2.25 ± 1.33 (range 0.46 to 5.68), and it correlated with MRI-T1-Gad ($r = 0.713$, $P = 0.001$; Figure 1C). Tumor-to-skin SUVmax ratio was 2.12 ± 1.11.

Regression line of the Logan plot fitted to each data set successfully. BP in HGGs with uptake was 1.03 ± 1.11, and it correlated with tumor SUVmax ($r = 0.868$, $P < 0.001$; Figure 1B) and tumor-to-skin SUVmax ratio ($r = 0.956$, $P < 0.001$), implicating that SUVmax in this setting is a good marker for specific receptor binding. Negative BP values indicating lower tumor concentration of available receptors compared to reference tissue were found in HGGs with SUVmax <1.0.

Difference in $V_{\text{PET}}$ (9.3 ± 9.5 cm$^3$) and $V_{\text{T1-Gad}}$ (12.9 ± 11.7 cm$^3$) was nonsignificant ($P = 0.559$), $[V_{\text{PET}}]/[V_{\text{T1-Gad}}]$ tumor volume proportion was 1.05 ± 0.87. Dice similarity coefficient between $V_{\text{PET}}$ and $V_{\text{T1-Gad}}$ was 0.41 ± 0.19. Concordance and discordance between PET and MRI-T1-Gad tumor volumes in individual patients are demonstrated in Figure 1D.

**SSTR IHC and correlation to PET**

SSTR$_2$ expression in HGGs showed considerable variation (Table 1). When dichotomized, SSTR$_2$ IHC was regarded as positive in 8 anaplastic gliomas and 1 GBMO (32%) and as negative in 6 anaplastic gliomas and 13 glioblastomas (GBMs) (68%). However, no correlation with SUVmax or BP was found (Figure 2). In fact, 7 out of 8 HGGs with no $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptide uptake were classified as SSTR$_2$ positive, while 17 out of 19 HGGs with tracer uptake were classified as SSTR$_2$ negative. Since most HGGs demonstrated patchy areas of SSTR$_2$ reactivity, though regarded as SSTR$_2$ negative, we studied whether SUVmax or BP associates to the highest staining intensity observed. However, no association was found (SUVmax $P = 0.613$; BP $P = 0.655$). SUVmax for HGGs with membranous SSTR$_2$ staining (3.21 ± 0.46) was higher compared to that for HGGs demonstrating both membranous and cytoplasmic staining (1.69 ± 1.01); however, the difference was not significant ($P = 0.082$). SSTR$_3$ immunoreactivity was detected in cells bordering necrosis in seven GBM samples. One glioma (no. 2) displayed positive SSTR$_3$ staining in approximately 30% of tumor cells. SSTR$_2$ expression was not detected in any of the tumor specimens studied. SSTR$_2$

---

**Figure 1**

$^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptide uptake in PET and its comparison to enhancing tumor volume in MRI-T1-Gad. Time-activity curves show higher $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptide uptake in HGGs compared to skin but distinctly lower uptake when compared to pituitary gland (A). Tumor SUVmax at 30 to 60 min post-injection correlates to receptor binding potential (B) and to enhancing tumor volume in MRI-T1-Gad (C). However, PET and MRI-T1-Gad tumor volumes show apparent discordance in individual patients (D) (corresponding to patient numbers in Table 1).
staining in microglia and macrophages was excluded from IHC scoring with double staining. Due to intense CD68 reactivity, however, the SSTR$_2$ expression in microglia and macrophages was not assessable. The distribution and number of microglia and macrophages were heterogeneous. Within the cellular part of the tumor, variable diffuse infiltration was observed, whereas dense clusters of microglia and macrophages were detected along the necrosis border in GBMs. The average number of microglia and macrophages in anaplastic gliomas ranged from 0% to 4%, to 20% to 24% (median 5% to 9%) and in GBMs from 10% to 14%, to 35% to 39% (median 25% to 29%).

SSTR$_2$ IHC, molecular markers, and survival
Positive SSTR$_2$ IHC corresponded with IDH1 mutation ($P = 0.007$), lower tumor grade ($P = 0.005$), and oligodendroglioma component ($P = 0.010$) as presented in Table 3. Furthermore, Ki67 was significantly lower in SSTR$_2$-positive HGGs (14.44 ± 10.50) compared to SSTR$_2$-negative HGGs (41.05 ± 25.60; $P = 0.001$). Association between SSTR$_2$ IHC and MGMT promoter methylation was not significant ($P = 0.080$), but it is notable that all SSTR$_2$-positive HGGs contained MGMT promoter methylation, whereas all unmethylated HGGs were SSTR$_2$ negative. Positive SSTR$_2$ IHC was related to the absence of EGFR amplification ($P = 0.021$). However, no dependence was found between SSTR$_2$ and EGFR IHC.

Kaplan-Meier curves show the prognostic value of SSTR$_2$ status (Figure 3). Median PFS for SSTR$_2$-positive and SSTR$_2$-negative HGGs was 60.9 versus 10.9 months, respectively. Median OS for SSTR$_2$-negative HGGs was 26.3 months. Only one death occurred during follow-up among SSTR$_2$-positive HGGs. Within anaplastic gliomas, the median PFS for SSTR$_2$-positive and SSTR$_2$-negative HGGs was 21.3 versus 12.7 months, respectively.

Figure 2 $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptide uptake in high-grade gliomas does not correspond to SSTR$_2$ immunohistochemistry. Axial fused PET/MR images 30 to 60 min post-injection, corresponding contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MR images, and tumor SSTR$_2$ IHC from three different patients. Primary glioblastoma (patient no. 17) presents $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC uptake (A) and contrast-enhancement in MRI-T1-Gad (B). Patchy areas of positive SSTR$_2$ staining were observed (C). Another primary glioblastoma (patient no. 15) also shows $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC uptake (D) and contrast enhancement (E). However, SSTR$_2$ IHC was negative (F). Primary oligoastrocytoma (patient no. 10) represents no $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC uptake (G) and no contrast enhancement (H), but high SSTR$_2$ expression in IHC was detected (I). Color scale in PET images is set to maximum (red) 10,000 Bq/ml and minimum (blue) 0 Bq/ml. Bar = 50 µm.
SSTR2 status had prognostic value in HGG patients for both PFS and OS (Table 4). SSTR2 expression was an independent prognostic factor for prolonged PFS after adjustment to histological grade (HR 0.161, CI 0.037 to 0.704, \(P = 0.015\)). Multivariate Cox regression for OS was not applied due to a low number of end points. IDH1 mutation and MGMT promoter methylation were distinct prognostic factors for both PFS (HR 0.312, CI 0.102 to 0.950, \(P = 0.040\) and HR 0.234, CI 0.073 to 0.753, \(P = 0.015\), respectively) and OS (HR 0.167, CI 0.036 to 0.770, \(P = 0.022\) and HR 0.229, CI 0.061 to 0.859, \(P = 0.029\), respectively). Improved OS was observed in patients younger than 60 years of age (HR 0.183, CI 0.053 to 0.631, \(P = 0.007\)).

### Discussion

We have demonstrated that \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTA-peptide uptake in PET/CT is associated to disrupted BBB but does not correlate to immunohistochemically (IHC) determined SSTR2 status, suggesting limited benefit of this approach in defining suitable patients for PRRT. Low tumor SUV-max further implies that the achievable dose with intravenously administered PRRT remains low. The second finding of particular interest was the positive association between SSTR2 expression and IDH1 mutation, oligodendrogloma component, and improved PFS, which indicates that SSTR2 expression may become a new biomarker in HGG useful for prognostication and therapeutic decision-making.

Our findings correspond to SSTR scintigraphic studies in low- and high-grade gliomas where \(^{111}\)In-DTPA-D-
Phe1-octreotide scintigraphy visualized the tumors with disrupted BBB but did not correlate to in vitro SSTR autoradiography [16]. The authors reasoned that this discrepancy was due to nonspecific accumulation and trapping of the octreotide molecules. Again, we did not find a correlation between $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptide uptake and SSTR$_2$ IHC, which may be related to the heterogeneity of tumor tissue and uncertainties in obtaining the tissue sample from regions with the highest tracer uptake. Our results, however, do not confirm that the uptake is merely due to nonspecific accumulation. First, variation in SUVmax was noticed among similar MRI-T1-Gad volumes (Figure 1C). Second, alteration in BP values (range $-0.62$ to $3.33$) suggests different SSTR$_2$ densities in HGGs. Third, the Dice similarity coefficient between tumor volumes in PET and MRI-T1-Gad was rather low (0.41), implicating discordance between these volumes. In other words, tracer uptake was not limited to the area of disrupted BBB but was present in neighboring areas due to diffusion and/or receptor binding. Of note is also low expression of SSTR$_3$ and SSTR$_5$ which did not explain differences in tracer uptake. By contrast, specific binding is not supported by the time course of tumor $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptide uptake (Figure 1A) as the typical pattern of ligand-binding curve is not present. Thus, nonspecific tracer uptake cannot be excluded since a blocking study requiring another dynamic PET/CT was not performed.

The magnitude of diagnostic radionuclide uptake is not trivial for a successful PRRT. In meningiomas treated with PRRT, SUVmax with $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptides was related to the corresponding $^{177}$Lu-labeled radionuclide uptake and therapeutic dose [17]. SUVmax in meningiomas ranged from 4.3 to 68.7 with a very low therapeutic dose achieved with the lowest SUVmax. In our study, mean SUVmax was 2.25 with only four GBMs and one anaplastic glioma demonstrating SUVmax >3.0, suggesting an insufficient achievable dose in PRRT. It has to be noted, though, that PRRT in extra-axial meningiomas is intravenously delivered whereas in gliomas invading the brain, the therapeutic radionuclide needs to be locally injected [2-4]. This is of importance especially in HGGs with intact BBB that are SSTR$_2$ positive but lack tracer uptake in PET. These tumors may benefit from locally delivered PRRT, which, however, cannot be evaluated by intravenously given $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-peptides.

| Table 4 Univariate analysis of prognostic factors for progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in HGG patients |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| **SSTR$_2$ IHC**                                      |
| Negative 19 (67.9) 1                                      |
| Positive 9 (32.1) 0.161 (0.037 to 0.704) 0.015          |
| **Age**                                                 |
| > 60 11 (39.3) 1                                         |
| < 60 17 (60.7) 0.484 (0.190 to 1.233) 0.128             |
| **Oligodendroglia component**                           |
| No 21 (75.0) 1                                           |
| Yes 7 (25.0) 0.592 (0.210 to 1.672) 0.322               |
| **IDH1**                                                |
| Wild type 19 (67.9) 1                                     |
| Mutated 9 (32.1) 0.312 (0.102 to 0.950) 0.040           |
| **MGMT promoter**                                       |
| Unmethylated 5 (18.5) 1                                  |
| Methylated 22 (81.5) 0.234 (0.073 to 0.753) 0.015       |
| **1p/19q co-deletion**                                   |
| No 24 (85.7) 1                                           |
| Yes 4 (14.3) 0.780 (0.244 to 2.496) 0.675              |
| **EGFR amplification**                                  |
| No 20 (71.4) 1                                           |
| Yes 8 (28.6) 2.083 (0.819 to 5.297) 0.124              |

Results are expressed as hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence interval.
To our knowledge, this study demonstrates for the first time that high SSTR2 expression in HGG is associated with *IDH1* mutation which is regarded as the most powerful prognostic marker for a favorable outcome compared to *IDH1* wild-type gliomas [18]. It is unclear, however, why *IDH1* mutation affects prognosis and what the interaction with other markers is. Recently, *IDH1* mutation was found to determine the prognostic and predictive value of *MGMT* promoter methylation in anaplastic gliomas [19]. Association with mutant *IDH1* implicates a potential role for SSTR2 in the favorable outcome of chemosensitive and radiosensitive HGGs. This is substantiated by the observed trend of correlation between SSTR2 expression and *MGMT* promoter methylation.

Previous studies have not detected a clear association between SSTR1 expression and oligodendroglioma component. Cervera et al. found increased SSTR2 mRNA in oligodendrogliomas but finally concluded that it was due to contamination from normal brain [20]. Our SSTR2 scoring was based on IHC with a well-documented monoclonal antibody UMB-1 allowing cellular localization [21]. We found that SSTR2 staining was not restricted to oligodendroglial tumor cells but was similarly present in astrocytic cells in mixed oligoastrocytomas. Furthermore, two anaplastic oligodendrogliomas out of the four HGGs with 1p/19q co-deletion, a biomarker strongly linked to oligodendroglial histology [22], also showed the most intensive SSTR2 staining. We thus demonstrate an association between SSTR2 and oligodendroglioma component but underline that a larger study is necessary to confirm this initial finding.

SSTR2 status was an independent prognostic marker for PFS after adjustment to glioma grade. SSTR2 expression has previously been associated to improved survival with neuroendocrine tumors [23] and childhood neuroblastomas [24], suggesting a potential role for SSTR2 as a tumor suppressor. In fact, this antitumor effect has been demonstrated in experimental pancreatic tumors stably expressing SSTR2 [25], or after therapeutic SSTR2 gene transfer [26] with inhibited tumor growth. Our results on improved PFS substantiate the anti-oncogenic role of SSTR2 in HGGs as well.

Our study confronts limitations. First, two tracers with different affinity profiles for SSTR subtypes were used. However, the highest affinity with both 68Ga-DOTA-Tyr3-octreotide and 68Ga-DOTA-TOC is for SSTR2 [27]. In addition, our HGG samples demonstrated minimal expression of subtypes SSTR3 and SSTR5 for which 68Ga-DOTA-NOC possesses higher affinity compared to 68Ga-DOTATOC. Also, as 68Ga-DOTATOC was applied only in 3 patients and 68Ga-DOTANOC in 24 patients, we conclude that most likely this limitation had little effect on the outcome. Second, the number of patients was limited. Our data on established biomarkers, however, was in accordance with current literature giving substantiation also to our SSTR2 results. Third, potential alteration in tumor edema affecting volumetric analyses due to differences in steroid dosing during the interval between MRI and PET/CT cannot be excluded. Furthermore, clinical MRI with different scanners and parameters was used for MRI-T1-Gad evaluation.

**Conclusions**

We conclude that PET/CT with 68Ga-DOTA-peptides provides limited value in identifying patients with SSTR2-positive HGGs suitable for PRRT. Our study demonstrates for the first time that SSTR2 expression in HGGs is associated with *IDH1* mutation, oligodendroglioma component, and improved PFS. This potential diagnostic and prognostic value for SSTR2 expression in HGGs should be confirmed in a larger validation study.
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