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The nonbiodegradability nature of heavy metals renders them resident in food chain and subsequently, destroying the entire ecosystem. Therefore, this study aimed to employ nitrate reduction-driven calcium carbonate precipitation in remediation of lead and mercury aerobically and anaerobically by *Proteus mirabilis* 10B, for the first time. Initially, Plackett-Burman design was employed to screen of 16 independent variables for their significances on periplasmic (NAP) and membrane-bound (NAR) nitrate reductases. The levels for five significant variables and their interaction effects were further optimized by central composite design. The maximum activities of NAP and NAR recorded 2450 and 3050 U/mL by 2-fold enhancement, comparing with non-optimized medium. Under aerobic and anaerobic optimized remediation conditions, the changes in media chemistry revealed positive correlation among bacterial growth, nitrate reductase activity, pH, NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ consumption and removal of Ca²⁺, Pb²⁺ and Hg²⁺. Subsequently, the remediated precipitates were subjected to mineralogical analysis; energy dispersive X-ray patterns exhibited characteristic peaks of C, O and Ca in addition to Pb and Hg. Scanning electron microscope depicted the presence of bacterial imprints and protrusions on rough and smooth surface bioliths. However, X-ray diffraction indicated entrapment of PbCO₃, Pb₃O, CaPbO₃, Hg and Hg₃O in calcite lattice. Interestingly, such approach is feasible, efficient, cost-effective and ecofriendly for heavy metals remediation.

Pollution with heavy metals is serious environmental concern, especially with continuous growing in urbanization and rapid pace in population which are synchronized with progressive increase in industrialization. Lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg) are among the “big three” heavy metals, possessing density more than 5 g/cm³, which enters environment as industrial effluents¹. The anthropogenic activities such as electroplating, painting, textile manufacturing, smelting, mine drainage, metallurgy, batteries production, construction, medical treatments, military and coal burning are the major sources of hazardous lead and mercury byproducts⁷. Indeed, the real hazard caused by lead and mercury are assigned to their nonbiodegradability and hence accumulate persistently in the food chain causing severe impact on all living organisms⁵. In general, the neurological disorders, heart disease, permanent brain damage and behavioral abnormalities are the common symptoms of lead toxicity in adults and children. Whereas, Minamata's disease is one of the most common diseases caused by mercury toxicity⁸.⁹.

Therefore, there is an urgent need to employ the appropriate method to detoxify these metals from any contaminated wastes or discharges. Recently, conventional remediation techniques were utilized to eliminate them from contaminated environments such as chemical precipitation, evaporation, electrochemical treatment, oxidation/reduction, carbon adsorption, ion exchange, membrane filtration and reverse osmosis⁶.⁷. Unfortunately, such methods suffer from several limitations such as capital-intensive, less effective and consuming a lot of chemicals and energy as revealed by Bojórquez et al.⁷ and Tariq et al.⁹. Alternatively, many biological remediation approaches have been introduced proving their efficiency in economic manner as well as environmental concerns.
friendlines\(^{15}\). The bioremediation approach includes the employment of plants, microorganisms, dead biomass or viable cells\(^{11}\). However, several studies documented the efficiency of phytoremediation of soil contaminated with different heavy metals, but harsh and dry climate in arid regions limited its applications\(^{22}\). Besides, the bioaccumulation, biosorption, biodegradation, bioleaching, biocoagulation and bioflocculation are categorized among biological remediation strategies. The major drawback associated with such methods is the possibility of releasing the adsorbed heavy metals back to the environment\(^{13}\). Thus, the biomineralization process considers being an alternative and appropriate method to constrain heavy metals away from the surrounding environment\(^{14}\).

Biomineralization or microbially induced carbonate precipitation (MICP) is defined as bioprecipitation of calcium carbonate mineral by the virtue of microbial cells and their biochemical activities. The alkalinity environment and nucleation sites are the fundamental key factors dictated such process\(^{35}\). During microbial growth and metabolism activation in MICP process, the microbes are able to generate carbonate compounds (CO\(_2\)\(^{-}\)) that react with calcium ions (Ca\(^{2+}\)) producing CaCO\(_3\) mineral precipitates\(^{16}\). Accordingly, the heavy metals with ionic radius approximate Ca\(^{2+}\) such as Pb\(^{2+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) can be incorporated in CaCO\(_3\) lattice and substituting Ca\(^{2+}\) ions. In such way, MICP traps heavy metals, preventing them from liberation in ambient environment\(^{14}\). In addition to pollutants bioremediation, several applications were addressed for MICP such as oil recovery, CO\(_2\) capturing, soil reinforcement, bioplugging/biocementation of rocks, self-healing of concrete structure and restoration of statural and historical buildings\(^{20,27}\).

MICP occurs naturally by diverse microbial species in different environmental conditions through various metabolic pathways, including photosynthesis, oxidative deamination of amino acids, nitrate reduction, urea degradation, sulfate reduction, methane oxidation and extracellular polymeric substances\(^{28,30}\). Generally, *Synechococcus* cyanobacteria, *Chlorella sp.*\(^{15}\), *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*\(^{20}\), *Bacillus sphaericus*\(^{27}\), *Sporosarcina sp.*\(^{18}\), *Arthrobacter crystallopoietes*, *Rhodococcus qingshengii*, *Psychrobacillus psychrophilus*\(^{21}\) and *Verticillium sp.*\(^{22}\) are examples of different microbial groups possessing the ability to induce a CaCO\(_3\) precipitation.

It is worth noting that various microbial genera are able to utilize nitrate aerobically and anaerobically by the dint of periplasmic (NAP) and membrane-bound nitrate reductase (NAR), respectively. Thus, they exhibit flexibility in their growth strategy and energy generation; encouraging the exploitation of bacterial nitrate dissimilation in bioremediation of nitrate pollution and detoxification of metals simultaneously in an eco-friendly approach and under different oxygen level. Despite of carbonate yield generated by nitrate dissimilation process is higher than ureolysis (approximately two-fold) and the highly negative standard Gibbs free energy (\(\Delta G^\circ = 785 \text{kJ/mol}\) provided by it, the published studies about MICP through nitrate dissimilation was limited\(^{23}\). As elucidated by Zhu and Dittrich\(^{24}\), the published researches about MICP through photosynthesis, ureolysis, nitrate reduction, sulfate reduction, and methane oxidation were 1128, 120, 34, 110, and 44, respectively. Where, the predominant metabolic activity for MICP accounted in terrestrial and aquatic environments (freshwater and marine) were 43% and 38% for ureolysis and photosynthesis, respectively.

Accordingly, this study was conducted to eliminate Pb\(^{2+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) in MICP through aerobic and anaerobic nitrate utilization process. It initiated by maximizing NAP and NAR (the key enzymes for MICP) activities using the optimization statistical approach. Subsequently, under optimized conditions, the precipitation of both metals via MICP process under nitrate dissimilation conditions (aerobically and anaerobically) was assessed and confirmed using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD). In this sense, as far as we know, no study reported before about Pb\(^{2+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) sequestration using MICP through nitrate reduction pathway of *Proteus mirabilis* under aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

**Results and Discussion**

Optimization of NAP and NAR activities by design of experiment (DOE). *Evaluation of nutritional/environmental factors affecting NAP and NAR activities using plackett-burman design (PBD).* PBD experiments showed a markedly wide variation in the activities of both enzymes, ranging from 9.14 U/mL to 864.29 U/mL for NAP and 85.71 U/mL to 1322.86 U/mL for NAR along with 20 experimental runs (Tables 1 and 2); this variation reflected the importance of media optimization to attain higher enzyme activity. The multiple linear regression coefficients of the model were addressed for MICP such as oil recovery, CO\(_2\) capturing, soil reinforcement, bioplugging/biocementation of rocks, self-healing of concrete structure and restoration of statural and historical buildings\(^{20,27}\).

The standard analysis of variance (ANOVA) indicated that both models were significant in term of small '-value, 0.05) indicates high significance of the corresponding coefficient\(^{25,26}\).

On the basis of calculated '-value, FeCl\(_2\)·4H\(_2\)O (p-value, 0.004), Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O (p-value, 0.002), sodium citrate (p-value, 0.006), inoculum size (%) (p-value, 0.009) and pH (p-value, 0.009) were considered to be the significant media components that influenced NAP activity, as clearly illustrated in the probability plot of effects and in the Pareto charts (Supplementary Fig. S3-A,B). The probability plot of effects is very important for separating random noise from real effects based on their distribution on the plot. Where, all significant factors that have the largest effect on response lie furthest from the line, while the rest of the factors, which lie along the line, are negligible. Accordingly, FeCl\(_2\)·4H\(_2\)O, Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O, sodium citrate contributed positively to NAP activity (higher concentration of these components was accompanied by increased NAP activity) since these lied on the right-hand side of the line. Whereas, pH and inoculum size (%) negatively affected NAP activity (i.e. increasing in NAP activity was associated with low values of these parameters) as seen as lying on the left-hand side of the line.

On the other hand, FeCl\(_2\)·4H\(_2\)O (p-value, 0.004), sodium citrate (C-source and electron donor; p-value, 0.013), NaNO\(_3\) (N-source and electron acceptor; p-value, 0.026), Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O (p-value, 0.042) and pH (p-value, 0.028) were the most significant factors affecting NAR activity. As noticed, FeCl\(_2\)·4H\(_2\)O, Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\)·2H\(_2\)O, NaNO\(_3\), and sodium citrate positively influenced NAR activity and pH influenced negatively (Supplementary Fig. S4-A). The order of significance was highlighted from a Pareto chart (Supplementary Fig. S4-B).

The standard analysis of variance (ANOVA) indicated that both models were significant in term of small p-value (P < 0.05), with values of 0.015 and 0.035 obtained for NAP and NAR, respectively (Supplementary Table S5). For examining the overall performance of the model, the coefficient of determination (R\(^2\)) was
Table 1. Twenty-trial Plackett–Burman matrix for evaluation of independent variables with high/low levels and concentrations along with the actual, predicted NAP activity and studentized residual.

| Run Order | X1 Order | X2 Inoculum Size | X3 FeCl3·4H2O (mg) | X4 CaCl2·2H2O (mg) | X5 MnCl2·4H2O (mg) | X6 Sodium Citrate (g) | X7 K2HPO4 (g) | X8 MgSO4 (mg) | X9 NaCl (mg) | X10 ZnSO4·7H2O (mg) | X11 Na2MoO4·2H2O (mg) | X12 Aeration (rpm) | X13 H3BO3 (mg) | X14 Na2MoO4·2H2O (mg) | X15 NaNO3 (mg) | X16 NaCl (g) | Predicted NAP Activity (U/mL) | Actual NAP Activity (U/mL) | St. Residual |
|-----------|---------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| 1         | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 2         | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 3         | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 4         | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 5         | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 6         | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 7         | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 8         | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 9         | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 10        | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 11        | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 12        | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 13        | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 14        | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 15        | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 16        | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |
| 17        | 5       | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                   | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0.92             | 1.02              | 0.64            | 1.18           | 0.36           | 1.58           | 0.04                       | 0.12                   | 0.64       |

Table 2. Twenty-trial Plackett–Burman matrix for evaluation of independent variables with high/low levels and concentrations along with the actual, predicted NAR activity and studentized residual.

measured. In addition, the adjusted-R² (adj-R²) value should be in reasonable agreement with R² value. The model R² and adj- R² values obtained for NAP were 0.9910 and 0.9432, respectively and those obtained for NAR were 0.9837 and 0.8967, respectively. These results imply that 99.10% and 98.37% of the variability of the data can be explained by the model, and there is only a 0.9% and 1.63% chance, which could be due to noise. Both models
also exhibited good correlation between the observed (experimental) values and the predicted values, as is evident from the studentized residual (Tables 1 and 2). Smaller residual value is preferred (less than ±2)⁵⁹. Evidently, the residual values of our models fell within this acceptable range.

The first order model for NAP and NAR activities obtained by ANOVA were fitted to the results obtained from the 20 experiments as highlighted in Eqs. 1 and 2:

\[
\text{NAP Activity (U/mL)} = \frac{\text{618} + \text{88.8X1} - \text{11.8X2} + \text{0.620X3} + \text{0.175X4} - \text{0.974X5}}{\text{1.6X6} - \text{15.3X7} + \text{332X8} - \text{0.184X9} - \text{0.068X10} + \text{8.31X11}} + \text{0.911X12} - \text{0.0308X13} + \text{2.81X14} + \text{18.6X15} - \text{39.5X16}}
\]

\[
\text{NAR activity(U/mL)} = \frac{\text{438} + \text{16.5X1} + \text{245X2} + \text{53.4X3} - \text{1.04X4}}{\text{+ 44.3X5} + \text{0.837X6} + \text{0.761X7} - \text{10.7X8} - \text{115X9} - \text{0.339X10}} + \text{1.48X11} + \text{0.196X12} - \text{0.162X13} + \text{57.4X14} + \text{24.0X15} + \text{1.08X16}}
\]

For further optimization by the central composite design CCD, all variables with a positive effect on NAP and NAR activities were fixed at a high level, and those variables that had negative effect were maintained at a low level.

**Central composite design (CCD) for optimization of NAP and NAR activities.** To obtain a more precise estimate of the optimal operating conditions, a second order polynomial function was fitted to the experimental results. Thus, the influence of significant variables and interaction effects on the response were investigated. A five-level CCD with 5 independent variables deduced from PBD were applied in a 32-trial matrix. The experimental and the predicted responses along with the design matrix and studentized residual were presented in Table 3.

As observed with the experimental trials, the NAP and NAR activities varied considerably. The lowest NAP activity (428.57 U/mL) was recorded in trail number 3, and the highest activity (1334.71 U/mL) was observed in trial number 30. For NAR, the minimum activity (100 U/mL) was observed in trail number 25, while the maximum activity (1835 U/mL) was achieved in trial number 11 as a factorial point. This variation validates the CCD, in which different concentrations with different interactions result in different response values.

**Multiple regression analysis and ANOVA.** Tests for significance of the regression model, significance of individual model coefficients and lack-of-fit were conducted. The ANOVA results, which are summarized in Tables 4 and 5, demonstrated that both models were highly appropriate and adequate as was evident from model F-value (79.0 and 111.69 for NAP and NAR, respectively) with very low probability value (0.000) for both. In addition, the multiple regression analysis explained the role of each individual variable, squared and their second-order interactions on NAP and NAR activities; based on signs (positive or negative effect on the response) and statistical significance of the coefficients (P < 0.05). Apparently, the probability values of the coefficients suggested that all linear and quadratic effects of the examined variables were more predominant terms for improving NAP activity than interaction effect. Moreover, the interaction effect of all variables appeared to be significant, with the exception of the interaction between pH & Na2MoO4·2H2O, pH & sodium citrate and Na2MoO4·2H2O & inoculum size (%).

However, it could be seen from the degree of significance that the linear effects of pH, sodium-citrate and NaNO3 and quadratic effects of all variables were significant; meaning that they can act as limiting factors for NAR activity, and little changes in their values will alter the activity rate. Interactions between two factors could be described as an antagonistic effect (negative coefficient) such as the interaction between pH and sodium citrate. While, the interaction between sodium citrate and NaNO3 had a synergistic effect (positive coefficient), pointing out that increasing the concentration of both carbon/electron donor source and N/electron acceptor source can lead to enhance NAR activity.

The goodness of fit of the model was checked by calculating the determination coefficient R², which was found to be 0.993 and 0.995 for NAP and NAR respectively, implying that 99.3 and 99.5% of the experimental data of the enzyme activity are compatible with the data predicted by the model, whereas only 0.7% and 0.5% of the total variations are not explained by the model. Besides, the values obtained for adj-R² were 0.981 and 0.986 for NAP and NAR, respectively, indicating good adjustment and reasonable agreement with R² value, thereby confirming high significance and the adequacy of the models.

Furthermore, lack of fit test was also performed. It describes the variation in the data around the fitted model. The insignificant lack-of-fit is desired. It indicates that there might be contributions to the regresses-response relationship that are not accounted for by the model, and hence, a good model will have an insignificant lack-of-fit. The results of the lack of fit test, as inferred by ANOVA (Tables 4 and 5) were insignificant by 0.351 and 0.086 for NAP and NAR, respectively. Generally, results indicated that the models are good and well-fitted to the experimental data. The maximum NAP and NAR activities could be described as a function of the optimum levels of five independent variables. To evaluate the relationship between independent variables and response and to predict the maximum NAP and NAR activities corresponding to the optimum levels of significant variables, a second-order polynomial model (Eqs. 3 and 4) were proposed as follows:

\[
\text{NAP activity (U/mL)} = \frac{\text{560} + \text{96.8X1} + \text{135.16X2} + \text{132.09X3} + \text{51.93X4}}{\text{+ 16.03X5} + \text{79.94(X1)2} + \text{58.01(X2)2} + \text{42.49(X3)2}} + \text{66.31(X4)2} + \text{54.44(X5)2} + \text{80.19X1X2} - \text{57.38X1X3X}} - \text{12.60X1X4} + \text{11.99X1X5} - \text{38.02X2X3} + \text{53.9X2X4}} + \text{27.45X2X5} + \text{7.64X3X4} + \text{21.60X3X5} - \text{42.50X4X5}}
\]

\[
\text{NAR activity(U/mL)} = \frac{\text{500} + \text{16.5X1} + \text{245X2} + \text{53.4X3} - \text{1.04X4}}{\text{+ 44.3X5} + \text{0.837X6} + \text{0.761X7} - \text{10.7X8} - \text{115X9} - \text{0.339X10}} + \text{1.48X11} + \text{0.196X12} - \text{0.162X13} + \text{57.4X14} + \text{24.0X15} + \text{1.08X16}}
\]
| Run Order | NAR-CCD matrix | NAP-CCD matrix |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| 1         | 0               | 0              |
| 2         | −1              | −1             |
| 3         | −1              | −1             |
| 4         | 1               | 1              |
| 5         | 1               | −1             |
| 6         | −1              | −1             |
| 7         | 0               | −2             |
| 8         | 0               | 0              |
| 9         | 1               | −1             |
| 10        | 0               | 0              |
| 11        | −1              | −1             |
| 12        | 0               | −2             |
| 13        | 0               | 0              |
| 14        | 0               | 0              |
| 15        | −1              | −1             |
| 16        | 1               | −1             |
| 17        | 0               | 2              |
| 18        | −2              | 0              |
| 19        | 1               | −1             |
| 20        | −1              | 1              |
| 21        | 2               | 0              |
| 22        | 0               | 0              |
| 23        | −1              | −1             |
| 24        | 0               | 0              |
| 25        | 1               | −1             |
| 26        | 0               | 0              |
| 27        | 0               | 0              |
| 28        | 1               | −1             |
| 29        | 0               | 0              |
| 30        | 1               | 1              |
| 31        | −1              | 1              |
| 32        | 0               | 0              |
| Variabe  |                  | Coded levels/Experimental Values |
| pH        | −2              | −2             |
| Inoculum Size (%) | 0.5 McFarland | 0.5 McFarland |
| NaNO₃ (g/L) | 0             | 0              |
| FeCl₂.4H₂O (mg/L) | 0            | 0              |
| Na₂MoO₄.2H₂O (mg/L) | 0        | 0              |
| Sodium citrate (g/L) | 0    | 0              |

Table 3. Central composite design matrix, representing the response of NAP and NAR activities as influenced by significant factors along with the predicted activity, residuals and concentrations of variables levels.

\[
NAP\text{Activity(U/mL)} = 371 - 273X_1 + 0.828X_2 + 11.227X_3 + 31.075X_4
- 68.174X_5 + 159(X_1)^2 + 255.9(X_2)^2 + 41.75(X_3)^2
+ 37.722(X_4)^2 + 37.09(X_5)^2 + 157.77X_1X_2 - 69.54X_1X_3
- 70.776X_1X_4 + 69.54X_1X_5 - 240.845X_2X_3 + 2.1X_2X_4
+ 38.725X_2X_5 + 37.495X_3X_4 + 178.44X_3X_5 - 242.95X_4X_5
\]
Graphical interpretation of the response surface model. The three-dimensional response surface plot and two-dimensional contour plots are graphical representation of the model equations obtained in the regression analysis to figure out the interaction of the studied variables and the optimal levels of each variable predicted for the optimal NAP/NAR activity\(^3\). The empirical functional relationship is expressed as the response on the vertical axis and coded levels of two explanatory factors on horizontal axes, while the remaining factors are held at the center point (zero levels) (Fig. 1A–H). There was common manner exhibited by surface plots of both NAP and NAR. As noticed, the plot was a U-shaped parabola, which opened upward and had the stationary point directed to be minimum.

Figure 1(A,B) represents a 2D -contour plot and 3D -surface plot as a function of FeCl\(_2\cdot4\)H\(_2\)O and Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\cdot2\)H\(_2\)O on NAP activity at a constant values of sodium citrate, pH and inoculum size (%) at their zero levels. It showed that when concentrations of FeCl\(_2\cdot4\)H\(_2\)O and Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\cdot2\)H\(_2\)O increased, the NAP activity gradually increased. The elliptical contour plot (Fig. 1A) confirmed the significant synergistic interaction between two factors. Broadly, the shape of the contour plot points out the nature and extent of the interactions between the variables. Elliptical and saddle-shaped contour plots elucidate a significant interaction between variables, whereas, a circular contour plot reveals an insignificant interaction between variables\(^2\). As a consequence, the correlation between Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\cdot2\)H\(_2\)O and pH was considered to be insignificant (Fig. 2C). Maximum NAP activity could be achieved by increasing the concentration of Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\cdot2\)H\(_2\)O while decreasing pH value or vice versa, implying the antagonistic interaction (Fig. 2D).

Nonetheless, maximum NAR activity was clearly observed at a low pH value, which was associated with a low concentration of FeCl\(_2\cdot4\)H\(_2\)O, reflecting a synergistic interaction between them (Fig. 1E,F). On the other hand, a ridged surface plot and a saddle-like stationary point was observed for the response, reflecting an antagonistic effect of the interaction between Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\cdot2\)H\(_2\)O and NaNO\(_3\) on NAR activity, as shown in Fig. 1G,H. NAR activity increased with increasing Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\cdot2\)H\(_2\)O and decreasing in NaNO\(_3\) concentration or vice versa. From the corresponding contour plot, a significant interaction was observed between Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\cdot2\)H\(_2\)O and NaNO\(_3\).

The reduced regression model was solved for predicting the maximum NAP/NAR activity using the Response Optimizer tool in MINITAB 14.0. Minitab's Response Optimizer calculates individual desirability using a desirability function (also called utility transfer function). The predicted optimal levels of the process variables for NAP activity of strain 10B were as follows: pH, 7.8; Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\cdot2\)H\(_2\)O, 200 mg/L; FeCl\(_2\cdot4\)H\(_2\)O, 500 mg/L; sodium citrate,

| Term          | Coef   | SE Coef | T     | P     |
|---------------|--------|---------|-------|-------|
| Constant      | 560.743| 13.669  | 41.022| 0.000 |
| X1            | 96.848 | 6.996   | 13.844| 0.000 |
| X2            | 135.163| 6.996   | 19.321| 0.000 |
| X3            | 132.098| 6.996   | 18.883| 0.000 |
| X4            | 51.933 | 6.996   | 7.424 | 0.000 |
| X5            | -16.039| 6.996   | -2.293| 0.043 |
| (X1)^2        | 79.944 | 6.328   | 12.634| 0.000 |
| (X2)^2        | 58.015 | 6.328   | 9.168 | 0.000 |
| (X3)^2        | 42.497 | 6.328   | 6.716 | 0.000 |
| (X4)^2        | 66.319 | 6.328   | 10.481| 0.000 |
| (X5)^2        | 54.444 | 6.328   | 8.604 | 0.000 |
| X1* X2        | 8.019  | 8.568   | 0.925 | 0.347 |
| X1* X3        | -57.389| 8.568   | -6.698| 0.000 |
| X1* X4        | -12.602| 8.568   | -1.471| 0.169 |
| X1 X5         | 11.995 | 8.568   | 1.4   | 0.189 |
| X2* X3        | -38.024| 8.568   | -4.438| 0.001 |
| X2* X4        | 53.94  | 8.568   | 6.296 | 0.000 |
| X2* X5        | 27.453 | 8.568   | 3.204 | 0.008 |
| X3* X4        | 7.649  | 8.568   | 0.893 | 0.391 |
| X3* X5        | 21.601 | 8.568   | 2.521 | 0.028 |
| X4* X5        | -42.505| 8.568   | -4.961| 0.000 |

Table 4. Estimated effect, regression coefficients and corresponding T and P values in addition to ANOVA analysis for the optimization of NAP activity using central composite design (CCD).
4 g/L; and inoculum size, 0.5 McFarland 2.5%. On the other hand, for NAR activity, the following optimal values recorded: pH, 5.8; NaNO3, 10 g/L; sodium-citrate, 10 g/L; Na2MoO4·2H2O, 200 mg/L; and FeCl2·4H2O, 300 mg/L.

Experimental verification of model. In order to determine the accuracy of both models and to verify the results, using the optimized conditions suggested by CCD, an experiment was carried out in triplicate and in parallel with basal media before optimization process. The optimization strategy led to a 2.14-fold enhancement of NAP activity from 1161/mL to 2490 U/mL. While, NAR activity was 1522 U/mL under basal conditions, and improved to 3050 U/mL by 2-fold increase under optimized conditions.

It is noteworthy that molybdenum cofactor (Mo-co) and cytochrome subunits (haem or iron–sulphur clusters [4Fe-4S]) are incorporated in the NAP/NAR active center in the catalytic subunit32. Moreover, iron has the vital role in iron-rich electron transfer systems (ETS), which are essential for ATP synthesis in aerobic heterotrophic bacteria, as referred by Kirchman et al.33. This result is in agreement with the finding of Eltarahony et al.34 who highlighted the importance of molybdenum and iron for NAP of Achromobacter sp. MMT. It should be noted that sodium citrate is widely used as a carbon/electron source for anaerobic denitrification process35,36. It is clear that electrons generated from carbon substrate metabolism are conserved for NO3− reduction and subsequently drive energy generation. As reported by Gamez et al.37 and Li et al.38, the metabolic pathway used for citrate utilization under anaerobic conditions varied from that used aerobically (i.e., the TCA cycle). In general, three different fermentation pathways have been described in enterobacteria for anaerobic utilization of citrate. Actually, it is converted to several products, such as acetate, propionate, formate, pyruvate and succinate ended by CO2, all of which participate in the electron donating cycle.

In fact, the statistical design was substantially successful at finding an equilibration between cell density (2.5%) and substrate concentration (4 g/L) to maximize NAP activity. In contrast, nitrate reduction by strain Zoogloea N299 showed no significant difference among the tested inoculums dosages (2–10%)36. Whereas, increasing the initial cell count of M. roseus and E. coli O157:H7 elevated nitrate reduction rate after 24 hr. of incubation39.

Apparently, NAP and NAR activities of strain 10B seemed to be pH dependent but differently. As reported in several previous studies, the most adequate pH for nitrate reduction always ranged from the neutral to slightly alkaline conditions (6.5–7.8), which is in concordance with our results for NAP enzyme34,40. On the other hand, the maximum NAR activity was predicted under initial acidic conditions (5.8). That could be explained by the

| Term         | Coef  | SE Coef | T     | P     |
|--------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| Constant     | 371.148 | 23.5    | 15.793 | 0.000 |
| X1           | −273.068 | 12.03   | −22.704 | 0.000 |
| X2           | 0.828   | 0.069   | 0.946  |       |
| X3           | 11.227  | 0.933   | 12.03  | 0.371 |
| X4           | 31.075  | 2.584   | 6.568  | 0.000 |
| (X1)^2       | 159.67  | 10.88   | 14.677 | 0.000 |
| (X2)^2       | 255.998 | 10.88   | 23.532 | 0.000 |
| (X3)^2       | 41.795  | 10.88   | 3.842  | 0.003 |
| (X4)^2       | −37.722 | 10.88   | −3.467 | 0.005 |
| (X5)^2       | −37.09  | 10.88   | −3.409 | 0.006 |
| X1*X2        | 157.773 | 14.73   | 10.711 | 0.000 |
| X1*X3        | −69.544 | 14.73   | −4.721 | 0.001 |
| X1*X4        | −76.776 | 14.73   | −4.805 | 0.001 |
| X1*X5        | −69.541 | 14.73   | −4.721 | 0.001 |
| X2*X3        | −240.845| 14.73   | −16.35 | 0.000 |
| X2*X4        | −2.1    | 14.73   | −0.143 | 0.889 |
| X2*X5        | 38.725  | 14.73   | 2.629  | 0.023 |
| X3*X4        | 37.495  | 14.73   | 2.545  | 0.027 |
| X3*X5        | −178.44 | 14.73   | −12.114| 0.000 |
| X4*X5        | 242.957 | 14.73   | 16.494 | 0.000 |

Table 5. Estimated effect, regression coefficients and corresponding T and P values in addition to ANOVA analysis for the optimization of NAR activity using central composite design (CCD).
generation of some byproducts such as oxygen hydroxide (OH\(^{-}\)) along with carbon dioxide (CO\(_2\)), which were formed from the anaerobic reduction of nitrate concurrently with citrate utilization. The interaction of these products generates bicarbonate HCO\(_3\)^{-} and carbonates CO\(_3^{2-}\) and may induce a certain amount of alkalinity, which donates buffering capacity as suggested by Drtil et al.\(^{41}\). Consistent with our results, Aoki et al.\(^{42}\) documented that Micrococcus denitrificans and M. halodenitrificans that were isolated from rice field soil had optimum pH at 5.6 and 6.3, respectively, for nitrate removal. Notably, under aerobic conditions, facultative anaerobes, which are capable of performing denitrification, prefer oxygen to nitrate as electron acceptor as oxygen has a

Figure 1. 2D-Contour plot (left panels) and 3D-Surface plot (right panels) showing the interactive effects of independent significant variables on NAP activity (A–D) and NAR activity (E–H).
higher redox potential (+818 mV) than nitrate (420 mV). Hence, nitrate was not a critical parameter to improve NAP activity in this study.

Bioremediation of Pb\(^{2+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) in MICCP process. The ability of strain 10B to precipitate Pb\(^{2+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) via nitrate dissimilation under oxic/anoxic conditions and the changes in media chemistry were monitored. This was performed through assessment of some parameters as a function of time for experimental trials, biotic (without metals) and abiotic (without bacteria) controls. Broadly, there was a positive correlation between bacterial growth and NAP/NAR activity which were synchronized with pH elevation, removal of soluble (Ca\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\)) and NO\(_3^-\)/NO\(_2^-\) reduction as deduced from Fig. (2). In the biotic control, the growth profile of strain 10B exhibited a typical growth stages (lag, logarithmic and stationary) aerobically and anaerobically. As depicted in Fig. 2A, under aerobic conditions, the cell number in biotic control increased rapidly and exhibited maximum NAP activity at 48 hr. by 2450 U/mL with cell density assessed by 17.6 \(\times\) 10\(^8\) CFU/mL. Additionally, a complete NO\(_3^-\) reduction was noticed at 84 hr. with accumulation of NO\(_2^-\). As initially presented in the optimized MICP media (aerobically), the soluble Ca\(^{2+}\) depletion by 98.4% (from 850 ppm to 13.7 ppm) at 108 hr. provided an evidence on CaCO\(_3\) precipitation.

**Figure 2.** Bioremediation process of Pb\(^{2+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) through aerobic and anaerobic nitrate utilization and study of the changes in media chemistry during MICP. (A) Aerobic biotic control; (B) Aerobic remediation of Pb\(^{2+}\); (C) Aerobic remediation of Hg\(^{2+}\); (D) Anaerobic biotic control; (E) Anaerobic remediation of Pb\(^{2+}\) and (F) Anaerobic remediation of Hg\(^{2+}\). The average of three replica were performed for each one. To adjust the scale, the parameters are multiplied in factor as indicated on the figures.
On the other hand, the overall decrease in cell density and retardation of growth phases were observed in presence of Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$. That could be possibly assigned to the acclimatization stage of bacteria upon being exposed to stress factor in culture media. In the same extent, Mwandira et al. noticed delay in log phase and noticeable decrease in the growth of Pararhodobacter sp. in presence of Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$. In the present study, the log-arithmetic phase began after 12 and 18 hr. for Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$, respectively. The maximum NAP activity displayed by 11.3 $\times$ 10$^4$ CFU/mL and 11.4 $\times$ 10$^4$ CFU/mL were 1500 and 1200 U/mL in 78 and 96 hr. for Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$, respectively (Fig. 2B,C). This implied the effect of both metals on overall bacterial cell performance including enzyme activity. A gradual uplifting in pH was observed from 7.8 to 8.4 for both aerobically remediating metals. Furthermore, nitrate was totally reduced at 96 and 108 hr. for Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$, correspondingly with accumulation of nitrite as noticed in the biotic control. Remarkably, effective removal percentage reached to 95.2% for Pb$^{2+}$ and 92% for Hg$^{2+}$ were displayed within 144 and 168 hr., respectively as inferred by ICP-OES analysis. Where, the remaining concentration of soluble Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ assessed 17 and 28 ppm, from initial concentration of 350 ppm (½ MIC of each heavy metal, data not shown). Further, by 240 hr. of incubation, the measured Ca$^{2+}$ in aqueous media recorded 113 and 151 ppm, from initial concentration of 850 ppm, for Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$, respectively, which is equivalent to 86.6 and 82.3% of its precipitation.

In comparison, the anaerobic cultures (13.3 $\times$ 10$^4$, 8.8 $\times$ 10$^7$ and 8.5 $\times$ 10$^7$ CFU/mL) of the biotic control, MICP cultures with Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ completely reduced NO$_3^-$ by 72, 90 and 108 hr., respectively. The maximum NAR activity evaluated by 3050, 2300 and 2050 U/mL at 54, 78 and 90 hr., for biotic control, Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ remediating cultures, respectively (Fig. 2D–F). However, the reduction of whole NO$_3^-$ accomplished in 108, 126 and 150 hr. as the previous order. In accompanying to the denitrification process, the elevation in pH was observed by 9.3 for biotic control and 8.9 for both remediated samples. Such increasing in pH contributed mainly in deposition of 1200, 1008 and 925 ppm of Ca$^{2+}$ in the form of CaCO$_3$ crystals with removal percentage evaluated by 100, 84 and 77% for the biotic control, Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ remediating cultures, correspondingly. Despite the anoxic precipitation of 91.1% (Pb$^{2+}$) and 88.3% (Hg$^{2+}$), correspond to 319 and 309 ppm by 168 and 186 hr., respectively, it seemed to be slower and with slightly lower performance than aerobic bioremediation. That could be explained by higher redox potential under aerobic conditions as reported previously by Ilbert & Bonnefoy. That led to higher nitrate reduction, bacterial metabolic activity and proliferation, therefore availability of more nucleation sites and eventually faster bioremediation of soluble pollutants. Interestingly, no precipitation was found in the abiotic (chemical) control, reflecting the importance of bacterial activity for altering the physicochemical parameters of the culture media which consequently promoted CaCO$_3$ precipitation. It is important to mention that the higher rate of metals removal was obviously occurred during log phase as reported by Kang et al., which concurred with our finding.

Apparently, the nitrate utilization process is the main mechanism that conducted metals precipitation in the current study. Notably, NAP enzyme played a crucial role in rapid utilization of NO$_3^-$ in association to citrate breakdown and protons consumption. Such subsequently led to bicarbonate generation and pH increasing, which contributed mainly in dep-
attractive van der Waals forces. Thus, by generation of $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ions and in the presence of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ions, CaCO$_3$ precipitated and accumulated on the cell surface according to the Eqs. 9–11:

$$\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{cell}^- \rightarrow \text{Cell} - \text{Ca}^{2+}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\text{HCO}_3^- \leftrightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$\text{Cell} - \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Cell} - \text{CaCO}_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Actually, the ionic selectivity of the cell promotes Ca$^{2+}$ ions to be adsorbed on the cell envelope more frequently to be accumulated inside it. As pointed out by Anbu et al., the cellular ATP-dependent pump, which is located close to outside of the cell, transfers Ca$^{2+}$ ions actively extracellularly; which is coupled with H$^+$ uptake. Additionally, Desrosiers et al. and Norris et al. referred that the extracellular concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ ions is up to 103 times higher than intracellular concentration, hence great tendency for extracellular biodeposition. Once the CaCO$_3$ nuclei is formed in supersaturated solution, the crystal growth begins through atom-by-atom addition and increase in particles size as described by Trushina et al.. Figure 3 illustrated graphical diagram summarizing the whole process.

Regarding the bioremediation of Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$, ICP-OES results of soluble metals denoted their transformation to insoluble form encapsulating within CaCO$_3$ matrix. Such would be approved through the upcoming characterization approaches. The entrapment of both metals via microbially driven biomineralization process alleviated their toxicity through obstruction of their release and decreasing their availability. The incorporation of both metals into CaCO$_3$ matrix occurred through substitution of Ca$^{2+}$ with Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$, especially with presence of unconsumed soluble Ca$^{2+}$ in the range of 11.7–23%, for both metals and under both conditions. This ionic exchange between divalent cations depends on several parameters such as metals hydrolysis constant, electronegativity, ionic radius, hydrated radius and atomic radius as reported by conventional theory. In the current study, the probability of both metals to be accessed into the interstice of the precipitated crystals and also the similarity in ionic radii among Ca$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ were suggested. Where, it records 0.1, 0.119 and 0.110 nm for Ca$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$, respectively. In coincidence with our results, Chada et al. and Zhu and Dittrich assigned the existence of divalent cations such as Cd$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Sr$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ in calcite crystals to their ionic radii that are close to Ca$^{2+}$. In the same extent, Mwandira et al. found that the ureolytic strain Pararhodobacter sp. detoxified Pb$^{2+}$ completely in MICP process through its incorporation in calcite and vaterite lattice. Whereas, Qian et al. attributed the removal of toxic Cr$^{6+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ by ureolytic fungi Penicillium chrysogenum in MICP.
process through the steady replacement of $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ anion in calcite lattice. Despite sufficient removal of $\text{Hg}^{2+}$, it required more time for detoxification in comparison to $\text{Pb}^{2+}$. That could be ascribed to its more toxicity to the microbial cell due to its potent affinity for thiol (SH) groups of proteins. Therefore, prolonged time was required to be adapted for toxicity. Additionally, microorganisms exhibit preference property for some certain metals over the others as elucidated by Halttunen et al. 69.

Mineralogical analysis and biodeposits characterization. The obvious and full view of bioremediation efficiency based on MICP of strain 10B under aerobic and anaerobic nitrate reduction conditions would be achieved through EDS, XRD and SEM analysis. These analytical techniques were employed to characterize the precipitated crystals (biotic controls and remediated samples) as follows:

EDS. EDS microanalysis of crystals precipitated aerobically and anaerobically in biotic controls illustrated in Fig. 4A–D, respectively. Both spectra exhibited characteristic peaks at 0.277, 0.525 and 3.69 keV, which correspond to the binding energies of C, O, and Ca, respectively. Additional peaks related to the binding energy of Pb were detected at 2.293 keV with atomic percentage of 15.2 and 11.7% in aerobic and anaerobic remediated samples, respectively (Fig. 4B–E). EDS also showed the presence of Hg through the characteristic Mα and Mβ emission peaks at 2.19 and 2.28 keV, respectively, along with Lα and Lβ peaks at 9.99 and 11.82 keV, respectively as demonstrated in Fig. 4C–F. Such results confirmed the involvement of Pb and Hg in calcareous remediated precipitations. Remarkably, the percentages of bioprecipitated Pb and Hg under oxic conditions were higher than anoxic one. Moreover, the remediated mercury percentages under both conditions were lower than lead, which agreed with ICP-OES results of remediated supernatants. Clearly, the presence of N and P peaks in considerable percentage reflected the biotic origin of the precipitates. In fact, such elements are intrinsic constituents of microbial cells biomolecules which comprise proteins, nucleic acids, phospholipids and lipopolysaccharides. Besides,
other peaks could be detected in a small percentage such as Na and Cl which considered being remaining of media components that integrated during MICP process. This result is in agreement with Han et al.\textsuperscript{73}.

**SEM.** The detailed description of biotic controls aerobically and anaerobically was illustrated in Fig. 5. The aerobically mineralized crystals exhibited a fine smooth texture encompassing bacterial cells that were protruded or imprinted above the surfaces as denoted by arrows in Fig. 5A,B. On the other hand, large, rough and coarse particles with wrinkled surface were displayed under anaerobic conditions (Fig. 5C,D). Upon magnification, the calcified cells were assembled compactly into close-packed stacks like superstructures with size ranged from 2 to 5 μm. Similarly, Seifan et al.\textsuperscript{74} found differences in the shape and size of CaCO\textsubscript{3} crystals sedimented by \textit{B. licheniformis} ATCC 9789 and \textit{B. sphaericus} NZRM 4381 throughout changing incubation conditions such as aeration and pH. However, some morphological and textural variations were observed in the bioremediated samples. The Pb\textsuperscript{2+} remediated grains showed well-defined faces crystal with rhombic, square as well as cubic shapes as pointed out by yellow arrows (Fig. 6A–C). Besides, the bioremediated crystals generated aerobically showed smooth edges with more angularity than those formed anaerobically. The close view image of aerobic remediated Pb\textsuperscript{2+} (Fig. 6B) displayed layer-flake structures contained sponge like area with many holes. Such holes resembled bacterial cell shape which revealed the delimiting the cell contours by availability of precipitated minerals. Analogues results have been obtained by Rothenstein et al.\textsuperscript{75} and Silva-Castro et al.\textsuperscript{76}. Further, magnified view of the anaerobically remediated Pb\textsuperscript{2+} depicted irregular flakes like matrix embedded with accumulation of calcified cells (Fig. 6D). Whereas, less crystallinity and amorphous shape of Hg\textsuperscript{2+} remediated bioliths were noticed, especially those deposited anaerobically (Fig. 6E–G). The magnified fields of both exhibited rough surface with no angularity as well. The calcified cells were clearly evident on the irregular surface of bioliths as referred by white head arrows (Fig. 6F–H). Moreover, many cavities were displayed on the surface of precipitates (Fig. 6F–H, red stealth arrows), reflecting bacterial imprints, which suggested to be the starting point for the aggregation of carbonate deposits. Commonly, at this stage, the calcified cells entered into death phase due to inhibition of nutrients exchange with the surrounding environment as stated by Silva-Castro et al.\textsuperscript{76}.

**XRD.** As depicted in Fig. 7, XRD spectra affirmed the heterotrophic precipitation of CaCO\textsubscript{3}. In the biotic controls under both incubation conditions, sharp, characteristic, distinguishable and broad diffraction peaks of calcite were identified at 2θ values of 29.50, 36.04, 39.51, 43.31, 47.51, 48.65, 56.71, 60.81 and 63.22 that were corresponding to Miller indices of (104) (110), (113), (202), (024), (116), (211), (214) and (125), respectively.\textsuperscript{76,78}
These peaks corroborate with the standard ICDD PDF 5-0586 as referred by Tas,79. Generally, XRD crystallographic patterns of all bioremediated samples declared that calcite was the dominant component, which matched with EDS results. The bacterial cells mineralized with calcite together with the remediated metals have been previously mentioned to be common biomineralization products61,67. In addition, the diffraction peaks of calcite, especially at 2θ values of 29.50 were shifted to right as a consequence of the replacement or integration of

(Fig. 7A–D). These peaks corroborate with the standard ICDD PDF 5-0586 as referred by Tas,79. Generally, XRD crystallographic patterns of all bioremediated samples declared that calcite was the dominant component, which matched with EDS results. The bacterial cells mineralized with calcite together with the remediated metals have been previously mentioned to be common biomineralization products61,67. In addition, the diffraction peaks of calcite, especially at 2θ values of 29.50 were shifted to right as a consequence of the replacement or integration of
remediated metals in calcite matrix. Reinforces this finding reported by Han et al.\textsuperscript{80}, who found that Mg\textsuperscript{2+} deteriorated the crystal growth of calcite which thereby lead to right shift in the (104) diffraction peak.

In both Pb\textsuperscript{2+}-remediated samples, the identified phase of Pb\textsuperscript{2+} was cerussite (PbCO\textsubscript{3}); implying simultaneous deposition of Pb\textsuperscript{2+} with CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} during calcite precipitation induced by nitrate reduction process (Fig. 7B–E). Furthermore, other weak peaks correspond to other phases such as lead oxide (Pb\textsubscript{2}O) and calcium lead oxide (CaPbO\textsubscript{3}) were detected as a product of the bacterial mineralization in the aerobically remediated sample (Fig. 7B). The presence of such phases could be attributed to the uncontrolled metabolic activity that aerobically generated different oxide anions that substituted CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} group. This result was consistent with the Achal et al.\textsuperscript{12}, who stated that Kocuria flava detoxified Pb\textsuperscript{2+} stress in the contaminated soil through ureolysis process and assigned the formation of different Pb\textsuperscript{2+} phases (carbonate and oxides) to the replacement of CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} group with Pb oxyanions. Moreover, Achal et al.\textsuperscript{81} reported the substitution of As (III) oxyanion for CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} group via ureolytic Sporosarcina ginsengisoli CR5 in calcite.

Regarding Hg\textsuperscript{2+}-MICP samples, XRD spectra revealed strong calcite peaks along with weak peaks hardly detected due to background noise; arising from bacterial biomass (Fig. 7C–F). These weak diffraction peaks match those of the standard spectrum PDF 74-0039, PDF 01-0896 and PDF 73-2218, which were assigned to Hg, HgO and Hg\textsubscript{2}O, respectively. In spite of the clear precipitation of HgCO\textsubscript{3}, was not obtained, the remediation process achieved within stable solid phase. Such result could be explained by the flexibility of biogenic calcite structure, including sufficient porosity and surface area, which promoted the incorporation of Hg\textsuperscript{2+} into calcite lattice as documented by Achal et al.\textsuperscript{72} and Qian et al.\textsuperscript{67}. The electronegativity of biogenic calcite suggested

**Figure 7.** XRD pattern of precipitated crystals biotic controls and remediated samples by strain 10B through aerobic and anaerobic nitrate reduction. (A) Aerobic biotic control; (B) Pb\textsuperscript{2+} remediated crystals under aerobic conditions; (C) Hg\textsuperscript{2+} remediated crystals under aerobic conditions; (D) Anaerobic biotic control; (E) Pb\textsuperscript{2+} remediated crystals under anaerobic conditions and (F) Hg\textsuperscript{2+} remediated crystals under anaerobic conditions.
favoring the gradual capturing of Hg$^{2+}$ during the crystal growth stage of calcite precipitates. Accordingly, in this
case, the produced calcite was acting efficiently not only in the absorption, but also sequestering the toxic metal in
stable precipitate. Similarly, Sun et al.\textsuperscript{32} recorded such phenomena in removal of Cr(VI) by chemical precipita-
tion. Furthermore, a durable encapsulation of Zn$^{2+}$ ions in Ca-Zn-CO$_3$ solid solution was obtained by concurrent
CaCO$_3$ formation and Zn$^{2+}$ sequestration of S. pasteurii within 7 days remediation process\textsuperscript{31}.

Virtually, mineralogical characterization techniques confirmed the presence of Pb$^{2+}$/Hg$^{2+}$-calcite coprecipi-
tated products in the examined remediated sample as possible indirect action of nitrate reductases. In addition,
gave insight on scavenging role of calcite in chelating active soluble heavy metals. Meanwhile, the adsorbed
metals were stabilized in calcite matrix and coprecipitated by additional calcite particles which generated continu-
ously during MICP process. Such mixed metal inclusions donated a more potent trap than adsorption which
occurs mainly superficially and relies on bonding specifications between adsorbents and adsorbate\textsuperscript{33}. It is note-
worthy to mention that CaCO$_3$ possesses adsorptive capacity and has the ability to retain divalent metals as
recorded by Chada et al.\textsuperscript{46}. That makes it a good ecofriendly agent to apply practically in the uptake of toxic metals
arose from anthropogenic activities.

Recently, hydroxide precipitation approach has been utilized effectively in removal of heavy metals\textsuperscript{84}. Nonetheless,
hydroxide precipitates suffering from redissolution in water through formation of soluble anionic hydroxyl com-
plexes\textsuperscript{85}. Hence, the immobilization of toxic metals in carbonate structure via MICP process deemed as alternative
approach to alleviate active metals toxicity through limiting their mobility and bio-availability, fix them in stabilized
solid and subsequently obstructed their release from calcite lattice to the surrounding ecosystem\textsuperscript{31}. In tandem with the
current results, Qian et al.\textsuperscript{37} manifested that the enhancement of heavy metals removal was conjugated with carbonate
precipitations. Interestingly, Sdrli and Higashi,\textsuperscript{46} declared the promising utilization of natural limestones in removal of
10mg/L of Pb$^{2+}$ in 6 hrs. In this sense, Liu et al.\textsuperscript{62} utilized CaCO$_3$ as potent alternative to Ca(OH)$_2$ to remediate Cu$^{2+}$
with 99.7% removal efficiency. Moreover, Fazlollahi et al.\textsuperscript{87} showed that CaCO$_3$ coprecipitation technique reduced
mercury content in contaminated brine from 0.5 to 0.055 ppm by 89% elimination efficacy.

It is remarkable to mention that Qian et al.\textsuperscript{37} found that Penicillium chrysogenum CS1 removed 98.8% of Pb$^{2+}$
from initial concentration 200 mg within 12 days. Kang et al.\textsuperscript{45} stated that ureolytic strains Enterobacter cloacae
KJ-46 and KJ-47 removed 60% of Pb$^{2+}$ from initial concentration of 7.2 and 5.9 mg/L, respectively within 48 hr.
icubation. Further, François et al.\textsuperscript{71} documented that Kocuria rosea EP1, Ochrobactrum sp. HG16 and Bacillus
sp. CM111 remediated 100 μM of mercury through biosorption. Moreover, Pseudomomas putida succeeded in
removal of 4 ppm of Hg$^{2+}$ in two days incubation through volatilization mechanism\textsuperscript{88}. Accordingly, strain 10B
seemed to be characteristic and considered as a promising tool to remediate high concentrations of Ca$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$
and Hg$^{2+}$ under different aeration conditions and in appropriate frame of time. In addition, the higher stability
of biogenic carbonate minerals promotes its recruitment in situ bioremediation of different contaminated envi-
ronmental systems such as soil, water and wastewater. Where, its precipitated nature without dispersed pattern
facilitates its separation without the requirement to additional step such as filtration, coagulation or floccula-
tion. Finally, the remediation of heavy metals through MICP seems to be advantageous, eco-friendly, sustainable
approach and potent alternative to the chemical process.

Materials and Methods

Microorganism, cultural conditions and enzyme assay. The bacterial strain Proteus mirabilis 10B is a
local isolate formerly identified and published as a nitratereducerandmetalsnanobiofactory\textsuperscript{39}. Both NAP and
NAR genes were detected, identified and submitted to the Genbank as described in details elsewhere\textsuperscript{72}. The strain
was stored in glycerol (20%, v/v) at −20 °C for the forthcoming investigations. The basal medium (g/L) of the
following ingredients was used for the optimization process of NAP and NAR enzymes under aerobic and anaer-
obric conditions: NaNO$_3$ 5.0, K$_2$HPO$_4$·7H$_2$O 1.0, KH$_2$PO$_4$ 3.0, MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O 0.12, disodium citrate (C$_6$H$_6$O$_7$Na$_2$)
7.5, NaCl 0.5, ZnSO$_4$ 0.31, MnCl$_2$·4H$_2$O 0.03, FeCl$_3$·4H$_2$O 0.24, Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O 0.015, CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O 0.06,
CoCl$_2$·6H$_2$O 0.04 and H$_2$BO$_3$ 0.057 at pH 7.0 ± 0.2. About 0.5 McFarland equivalents to about 1.5 × 10$^8$ CFU/ml
was inoculated in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 mL of the medium and incubated at 30 °C in an
orbital shaker (STUART SI500) at 150 rpm (for NAP) and anaerobically (for NAR) as described by Jun\textsuperscript{40}
and Siddiqui et al.\textsuperscript{91}. After the incubation period, the cells were centrifuged at 10.000 X
9 for 20 min at 4 °C and the
harvested cells were used to determine NAP and NAR activities according to the procedure followed by Zaki
et al.\textsuperscript{73}. One unit of NAP/NAR activity was identified as the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the formation of 1 μmol
of nitrite per minute or 1 μmol of nitrate reduced per minute under standard assay conditions.

Optimization of NAP and NAR activities by design of experiment (DOE). Screening for significant
factors influence the NAP and NAR activities by Plackett–Burman Design (PBD). PBD is a two-level factorial design
that is dedicated to screen and identify the controlled experimental factors (nutritional, environmental and incu-
bation conditions) based on their main effect on elevating NAP and NAR activities. PBD allows the investigation of
(n − 1) variables with at least n experiments. Each variable is represented at two levels, high (+) and low (−). Herein,
a total of 16 (n) variables with two-level concentrations were studied in twenty experiments matrix, as indicated in
Tables (1 and 2). Each experiment was performed in triplicate and an average of NAP and NAR activities were calcu-
lated as response\textsuperscript{25}. Plackett–Burman experimental design is based on the first order model (Eq. 12):

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_iX_i$$

(12)

where, $Y$ is the response or dependent variable (NAP/NAR activity); it will always be the variable we aim to
predict, $\beta_0$ is the model intercept and $\beta_i$ is the linear coefficient, and $X_i$ is the level of the independent variable.
From the statistical analysis, the main effect was used to elucidate the significance of variables depending on their
nature, i.e., positive or negative effect on the production process.
Central composite design (CCD) method. This stage was devoted to analyze the interaction among significant variables and also determine their optimal levels. In this study, five different significant variables identified from PBD to greatly influence NAP and NAR activities and were investigated at 5 experimental levels: $-\alpha$, $-1$, $0$, $+1$, and $+\alpha$. The concentrations of the screened variable at each level in the 32-trail matrix are listed in Table 3. All of the experiments were conducted in triplicate, and the average enzyme activity obtained was taken as the dependent variable or response ($Y$). For statistical calculation, the relationship between the coded and actual values is described by Eq. 13:

$$X_i = U_i - \frac{U_i}{\Delta U_i}$$

where $X_i$ is the coded value of the $i$th variable, $U_i$ is the actual value of the $i$th variable, $U_0$ is the actual value of the $i$th variable at the center point and $\Delta U_i$ is the step change of variable. The second order polynomial structured represented in Eq. 14:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + \beta_{11}X_1^2 + \beta_{12}X_1X_2 + \beta_{13}X_1X_3 + \beta_{22}X_2^2 + \beta_{23}X_2X_3 + \beta_{33}X_3^2 + \beta_{123}X_1X_2X_3$$

where: $Y$ is the predicted response; $X_1$, $X_2$, $X_3$ are input variables which influence the response variable $Y$; $\beta_0$ intercept; $\beta_1$, $\beta_2, \beta_3$ linear coefficients; $\beta_{11}, \beta_{22}, \beta_{33}$; squared or quadratic coefficients $\beta_{12}, \beta_{23}, \beta_{13}$ interaction coefficients

Statistical analysis. The statistical software Minitab 14.0 (Minitab Inc., Pennsylvania, USA) was used to perform the experimental designs “trials matrices” and statistical analysis of PDB and CCD. Besides, three-dimensional surface plots and two-dimensional contour plots were constructed to illustrate the relationship between the responses and the different levels of each variables utilized in this study. In addition, the optimizer tool was used to predict the optimum level of experimental factors to maximize response.

Validation of experimental model. The statistical model was validated by measuring NAP and NAR activities under conditions predicted by the model and comparing the values to those obtained with basal media.

Bioremediation of Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ in MICP process. The performance of strain 10B in Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ bioremediation through aerobic/anaerobic nitrate reduction was examined and confirmed in broth state at flask level. The biomineralization media (the optimized media contained Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ instead of NaNO$_3$) was supplemented with 1.7 mM (350 ppm) of Pb (CH$_3$COO)$_2$·3H$_2$O and HgCl$_2$. Such concentration was selected according to minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) test (data not shown). The flasks were inoculated and incubated under aerobic and anaerobic conditions at 30 °C for 10 days. Two controls were run in parallel; the abiotic or negative control contains uninoculated medium and biotic controls containing inoculated biomineralization media without Pb (CH$_3$COO)$_2$·3H$_2$O or HgCl$_2$. The dynamics of bioremediation was evaluated during the biomineralization process, at a constant interval time (6 hr.) through assessment of some parameters, including bacterial count, NAP/NAR activity, pH, concentrations of soluble Ca$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Hg$^{2+}$, NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$. A pH meter (PB-10, Sartorius AG) was used to measure the pH; however, NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ were estimated according to the methods described by APHA. The concentration of soluble Ca$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Hg$^{2+}$ were determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (Agilent ICP-OES 5110DV) (Central Lab, Alexandria university). Whereas, NAP/NAR activities were determined as described previously; the bacterial count was evaluated by pour plate method. All experiments were conducted in triplicate and the mean values were considered. At the end of the incubation period, all precipitates were centrifuged at 10.000 Xg for 20 min and subjected to mineralogical analysis.

Mineralogical analysis and biodeposits characterization. For assessment the identity, morphology, microstructure and chemical constituents of precipitated samples, XRD, SEM and EDS were utilized. XRD was carried out to identify the precipitated minerals by Bruker MeaSrv (D2-208219) diffractometer (Central lab, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University) with Cu Kα tube anode, applying 30KV/30 mA. Scans were run from 0° to 100° 2θ tube anode, applying 30KV/30 mA. Scans were run from 0° to 100° 2θ. The concentrations of the screened variable at each level in the 32-trail matrix are listed in Table 3. All of the experiments were conducted in triplicate, and the average enzyme activity obtained was taken as the dependent variable or response ($Y$). For statistical calculation, the relationship between the coded and actual values is described by Eq. 13:

$$\Delta Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + \beta_{11}X_1^2 + \beta_{12}X_1X_2 + \beta_{13}X_1X_3 + \beta_{22}X_2^2 + \beta_{23}X_2X_3 + \beta_{33}X_3^2 + \beta_{123}X_1X_2X_3$$

where: $\Delta Y$ is the predicted response; $X_1$, $X_2$, $X_3$ are input variables which influence the response variable $Y$; $\beta_0$ intercept; $\beta_1$, $\beta_2, \beta_3$ linear coefficients; $\beta_{11}, \beta_{22}, \beta_{33}$; squared or quadratic coefficients $\beta_{12}, \beta_{23}, \beta_{13}$ interaction coefficients

Conclusion

In conclusion, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of an attempt to successfully remediate Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ via CaCO$_3$ precipitation induced by Proteus mirabilis 10B under aerobic and anaerobic nitrate utilization. Therefore, the current study focused on optimization of nitrate reductases anaerobically and anaerobically. On the optimized precipitating media, the effectiveness of MICP for successful elimination of Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$ was examined. The parametric changes of media chemistry in remediation trials were demonstrated. Experimental results denoted the sufficient removal of Pb$^{2+}$ (95.2 and 91.1%) and Hg$^{2+}$ (92 and 88.3%) from initial 350 ppm following incubation at (144 and 168 hr.) and (168 and 186 hr.) under aerobic and anaerobic conditions, respectively. Mineralogical analysis including EDS, SEM and XRD confirmed the existence of calcite and cerussite in both Pb$^{2+}$ remediated samples. However, HgO and Hg, conjugated with calcite, were observed in aerobic and anaerobic Hg$^{2+}$ remediated samples, respectively; which emphasized the uptake of soluble toxic metals and their transformation to insoluble stable form entrapped in calcite lattice. Such metal–calcite deposits provided an advantage in limiting the leaching of metals from carbonate bound complex to the surrounding environment. Such can be considered as a good strategy for cost-effective, environmentally friendly and effective method for heavy metals bioremediation.
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