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Effects of different packaging materials coated with aloe vera extract on the microbial quality of African breadfruit flour (*Treculia africana*) during storage
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ABSTRACT

Antimicrobials in food packaging are used to enhance quality and safety by reducing surface contamination of processed food. This study investigated the effects of Aloe vera-coated packaging materials on the microbial quality characteristics of breadfruit flour. Breadfruit flour was packaged in Jute bag (JB), Calico bag (CB), low-density Polyethylene in Brown paper (LDPEBP) and they were compared with control. Samples were analyzed for changes in microbiological (total colony count and total fungal count) and moisture content, stored at an ambient temperature of 25 ± 2 °C during storage at intervals of 12 weeks. Packaging significantly (*p* < 0.05) affected the moisture content and microbiological of breadfruit flour during storage. The moisture content, total colony count, and total fungi count significantly (*p* < 0.05) increased as the storage time increased. The sample packaged in Brown paper (BP) were more acceptable than those in other packaging materials.
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1. Introduction

Active Packaging (AP) is a modern development consisting of a group of techniques in which the package is self-motivated, and is actively involved with food products, or act together with internal atmosphere to extend the shelf life while maintaining quality and safety. Active packaging, sometimes referred to as interactive or smart packaging, is designed to sense changes in the internal or external environment and take action by changing its own characteristics or attributes. Potential techniques used in active packaging are the use of oxygen scavenging/carbon dioxide, ethylene and moisture absorbing systems by placing sachets, incorporation of antimicrobial agents onto polymer surfaces or in plastics films, sheets or on materials and into the pads for fresh produce[1].

Packaging is a means of providing the correct environmental conditions for food during storage, and the choice of materials for packaging depends on the nature of the product, the storage and handling conditions
(temperature, humidity, risk of physical deterioration) among other factors\(^2\). Adetunji \textit{et al.}\(^3\) found that it was better to store gari in polythene lined brown multiply paper than in polythene lined calico. Age long chemicals have been used to control pathogens, however, in the recent time, pathogens have developed resistance. Therefore, there is a need for alternative approach to plant diseases control such as the use of plant extracts. Plant extracts are eco-friendly, accessible to rural dwellers, cost effective and more or less phytotoxic. Plant extracts have been successfully used to control a number of plant diseases\(^4\).

Antimicrobials in food packaging are used to enhance quality and safety by reducing surface contamination of processed food; they are not a substitute for good sanitation practices\(^5,6\). Antimicrobials reduce the growth rate and maximum population of microorganisms (spoilage and pathogenic) by extending the lag phase of microbes or inactivating them\(^7\). Antimicrobial agents may be incorporated directly into packaging materials for slow release to the food surface or may be used in vapor form. African breadfruit (\textit{Treculia africana}) is a tropical tree crop belonging to the taxonomic family Moraceae, genus, \textit{Treculia}\(^8\). The family consists of about 50 genera and over 1,000 species. It is high yielding with an average sized tree producing 400–600 fruits per year. Yields are superior to other starchy staples due in part to their verticality of production\(^9\). Singh\(^10\) reported that a single tree produces between 150 and 200 kg of food whereas Morton\(^11\) reported yields between 16 and 32 ton/ha/year.

Notwithstanding the high yielding potential, the crop is underutilized and considered as less important. The high-water activity of the crop makes it easily susceptible to microbial attack\(^12\) as well as the bulky nature makes transportation difficult. This has prompted processing of the fruit into products such as flour. The production of breadfruit flour has shown to be useful technique in extending the shelf life. Conventional flours are known to play important functional roles in food systems. However, their rising cost has resulted in the search for alternative replacements to fully or partially substitute the conventional flours with non-conventional in foods has been reported\(^13\).

Therefore, this work was designed to evaluate the effects of \textit{Aloe vera}-coated packaging materials on the microbial quality characteristics of breadfruit flour during storage.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Sample collection and preparation

\textit{Treculia africana} fruits were collected from a local farm in Ilesha, Osun State, Nigeria. Fresh, firm and mature \textit{Treculia africana} fruits were harvested and washed under running clean water and transported to laboratory for analysis. Fresh leaf samples of \textit{Aloe vera} was obtained from the experimental farm of Nigerian Stored Products Research Institutes, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria. The plants were identified at the Herbarium Unit of the Department of Plant Biology, University of Ilorin, Nigeria. The plants were dried in the sun until the moisture content was reduced. The plants were then pounded in a mortar, and further ground to powder using a clean electric blender and stored in polythene bags until use.

2.2 Cold aqueous extracts of \textit{Aloe vera}

Fifty grams of the finely ground powder was introduced into a conical flask and 200 mL of absolute ethanol was added to the ground \textit{Aloe vera} respectively. The mixture was put in a conical flask and placed on a mechanical shaker. After 48 h, the extract was decanted and passed through a muslin cloth and later filtered with a Whatman No.1 filter paper (110 mm). The filtrate obtained was evaporated to dryness at 45 \degree C, and the residue obtained was reconstituted with aqueous water as stock concentration of 250 mg/mL.

2.3 Preparation of \textit{Treculia africana} flour

The freshly harvested \textit{Treculia africana} fruits were peeled and sliced into cubes (2 cm\(^3\)) under running tap water. The sliced pieces were dried in an oven at 50 \degree C for 24 hours and then cooled to room temperature (28 \degree C). A hammer mill was used to mill the dried chips. The resultant flour was sieved through 75 \textmu m mesh and packaged in a sealed plastic bottle prior to analysis.
2.4 Storage of *Treculia africana* flour in different packaging materials

A 25 kg sample of *Treculia africana* flour was stored in Jute bag (JB), Calico bag (CB), low-density Polyethylene in Brown paper (LDPEBP) while the control contained 25 kg of *Treculia africana* flour in an unsealed jute bag respectively. They were then stored at ambient temperature (30 ± 3 °C) for the period of 13 weeks. Thermohydrograph was placed in the storage room to record the temperature and relative humidity of the atmosphere.

2.5 Application of *Aloe vera* extract to the packaging materials

The different packaging materials Jute bag (JB), Calico bag (CB), low-density polyethylene brown paper (LDPEBP) were coated with 250 mg/mL of *Aloe vera* extract. They were then air dried at ambient temperature (30 ± 3 °C), while the uncoated jute bag served as the control.

2.6 Preparation of media

The materials used such as glass wares were properly sterilized in the oven (Gallenkamp) at 160 °C for 1 h. All the media used were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions and then autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 min.

2.7 Isolation and characterization of pure cultures of microorganisms

One gram of sample was weighed and crushed to powder with sterile mortar and pestle. It was then placed in a sterile test tube and dissolved with 10 mL of distilled water to make the stock. The suspension was filtered through sterile glass wool. Serial dilution was done to the necessary dilution factors and pour-plated. The plates were left to gel and then incubated. The bacteria plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h on nutrient agar while the fungal plates were incubated at 25 °C for 72 h on potatoes dextrose agar. At the end of each incubation period, the colonies were counted and sub-cultured onto fresh media maintained on slants from nutrient agar and preserved at 4 °C in the refrigerator according to Fawole and Oso[14]. Tentative identification of bacterial isolates was done using the Bergey’s Manual of Determinative Bacteriology[15]. Fungal identification was carried out according to the procedure described by Samson and Van Reenen-Hoekstra.

2.8 Moisture content

The moisture content of the samples was determined by the standard method of AOAC[16]. Five grams each of all the samples was weighed into the preset oven and the drying was performed at 105 °C for 4 h to constant weight. They were removed from the desiccators to cool and then weighed. The difference in weight was used to obtain the moisture content. All analysis were carried out in duplicate. The percentage moisture content was then calculated as

\[
MC\% = \frac{\text{Weight loss}}{\text{Original weight}} \times 100\%.
\]

2.9 Data analysis

Data collected on each treatment were analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), while means with significant differences were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). All analyses were carried out using SPSS version 16 software package.

3. Result and discussion

During this study the following bacteria were isolated before storage in the different packaging materials: *Proteus vulgaris, Lactobacillus spp., Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Clostridium spp.* and *Bacillus cereus* while the fungus isolated were *Aspergillus niger, Fusarium oxysporium, Rhizopus stolonifer, Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Saccharomyces cerevisae*. (Tables 1 and 2). The result of the total colony count in Figure 1 showed that the breadfruit flour stored in low-density Polyethylene in Brown paper (LDPEBP) had the lowest number of colony forming units of \(3.17 \times 10^4\) CFUg\(^{-1}\) followed by Calico bag (CB), Jute bag (JB) which had colony forming unit of \(4.15 \times 10^4\) CFUg\(^{-1}\) and \(5.93 \times 10^4\) CFUg\(^{-1}\) respectively compared to the control that had the highest, colony forming unit of \(9.97 \times 10^4\) CFUg\(^{-1}\) at the end of storage at ambient condition.
### Table 1. Colonial morphology, cellular morphology and biochemical characteristics of the bacterial isolates from *Treculia africana* flour Gram’s staining

| Isolate | Cellular shape | Colonial elevation | Colonial edge | Colonial opacity | Colonial surface | Colonial pigmentation | Cellular arrangement | Gram’s staining | Motility test | Spore staining | Capsule staining | Catalase test | Methyl red test | Starch hydrolysis | Citrate utilization | Oxygen reaction | Lactose | Glucose | Sucrose | Maltose | Fructose | probable microorganism |
|---------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------------------|
| 1       | Rod            | Raised            | Entire      | Translucent    | Smooth         | Cream                | Chain               | -ve             | -ve          | -ve           | -ve            | +ve          | +ve            | +ve           | +ve               | FAN           | A        | A      | A      | A      | A       | Proteus vulgaris              |
| 2       | Rod            | Raised            | Lobate     | Opaque          | Smooth         | Creamy White        | Clusters            | +ve             | -ve          | -ve           | -ve            | -ve          | -ve            | -ve           | FAN               | AG            | A        | A      | A      | A      | A       | Lactobacillus species          |
| 3       | Rod            | Flat              | Lobate     | Opaque          | Dull           | White                | Clusters            | +ve             | +ve          | +ve           | +ve            | -ve          | -ve            | -ve           | FAN               | AG            | A        | A      | A      | A      | A       | Bacillus subtilis             |
| 4       | Cocci          | Raised            | Entire     | Opaque          | Smooth         | Creamy White        | Clusters            | +ve             | -ve          | -ve           | -ve            | -ve          | -ve            | +ve           | +ve               | FAN           | A        | A      | A      | A      | -ve     | Staphylococcus aureus           |
| 5       | Rod            | Raised            | Entire     | Translucent    | Smooth         | Yellowish Cream     | Chain               | -ve             | -ve          | +ve           | -ve            | -ve          | -ve            | +ve           | -ve               | AE            | -ve      | A      | A      | A      | A       | Pseudomonas aeruginosa         |
| 6       | Rod            | Raised            | Entire     | Opaque          | Rough          | Cream                | Chain               | -ve             | -ve          | +ve           | -ve            | -ve          | -ve            | +ve           | -ve               | AN            | A        | AG     | A      | AG     | A       | Clostridium species            |
| 7       | Rod            | Raised            | Lobate    | translucent    | Dull           | Cream                | chain               | +ve             | +ve          | +ve           | +ve            | +ve          | +ve            | +ve           | -ve               | AE            | -ve      | A      | AG     | A      | AG      | Bacillus cereus             |

**Key:** -ve = Negative; AE = Aerobic; AN = Anaerobic; A = Acid production; +ve = Positive; FAN = Facultative anaerobe; AG = Acid and Gas production.
The results from Figure 1, clearly indicated a decrease in the number of colony forming units of bacteria in the low-density Polyethylene in Brown paper (LDPEBP) < Calico bag (KB) < Jute bag (JB), when compared to the control sample after 13 weeks of storage. This could be attributed to the availability of moisture suitable for microbial activities because there was as much as (two times the initial moisture content) in those samples by 13 weeks of storage. According to Jay [17], bacteria require relatively high levels of moisture for their growth. The higher numbers estimated could also be due to the inability of those packages to serve as a physical barrier to oxygen, which is essential for carrying out metabolic activities by micro-organisms. The lower bacterial numbers recorded in low-density Polyethylene in Brown paper (LDPEBP) packages could be attributed to its good moisture barrier properties and also because the packaging material could have acted as effective physical barrier against bacteria. A variety of microbes find their way into foods, introduced from the soil in which they were grown, and during harvest, packaging, storage and handling [18].

| Isolate | Description | Fungi |
|---------|-------------|-------|
| 1       | Colonies at 25 °C attaining a diameter of 4–5 cm within 7 days, usually consisting of a compact white or yellow basal felt with a dense layer of dark brown to black conidiophores. Conidial heads, radiate, tending to split into loose columns with age. Conidiophores stipes smooth-walled, hyaline but often in brown colors. Vesicles globose to subglobose. | Aspergillus niger |
| 2       | Colony at 25 °C attaining a diam. of 4.5 cm in 4 days. Aerial mycelium sparse or flocose, becoming felting, whitish or peach, usually with the purple tinge more intense near the medium surface. Variable in shape and size, ovoid-ellipsoidal to cylindrical, straight or slightly curved. Conidiophores are usually short branched on phialides | Fusarium oxysporium |
| 3       | Colony whitish becoming grayish-brownish Sporangiospores and brown-black sporangia, often over 20 mm high. Sporangiospores is a colorless to dark brown, smooth or slightly rough-walled stolons opposite the branched rhizoids. Sporangia globose to subglobose, ovoid, blackish-brown at maturity. | Rhizopus stolonifer |
| 4       | Colonies on 25 °C attaining a diam. of 3–5 cm within 7 days, usually of a dense felt of yellow-green conidiophores. Conidia heads typically radiates latter splitting in several loose columns, yellow-green becoming dark yellow-green. Sclerotia often produced in fresh isolates, variable in shape and dimension often brown to black. | Aspergillus fumigatus |
| 5       | Colonies of Saccharomyces grow rapidly and mature in three days. They are flat, smooth, moist, glistening or dull, and cream to tannish cream in color. Blastocordia (cell buds) are observed. They are unicellular, globose, and ellipsoid to elongate in shape. Multilateral (multipolar) budding is typical. | Saccharomyces cerevisae |
| 6       | Colonies at 25 °C attaining a diameter of 3–5 cm within 7 days, usually consisting of a dense felt of yellow-green conidiophores. Conidia heads, radiate tendency to split into loose columns with age. Conidiophores stipes smooth-walled, hyaline but often in brown colors. | Aspergillus flavus |

Figure 1. Total colony count of breadfruit flour.

The result of the total fungal count in Figure 2 showed that the breadfruit flour stored in low-density Polyethylene in Brown paper (LDPEBP) had the lowest number of colony forming units of $3.17 \times 10^6$ CFU·g$^{-1}$ followed by Calico bag (KB), Jute bag (JB) which had colony forming unit of $3.63 \times 10^6$ CFU·g$^{-1}$ and $5.67 \times 10^6$ CFU·g$^{-1}$ respectively compared to the control that had the highest, colony forming unit of $9.78 \times 10^6$ CFU·g$^{-1}$ at the end of storage at ambient condition. Most fungal growth occurs at a water activity (aw) as low as 0.80, which explains why dried foods often become moldy [19]. If the water activity of a dehydrated product is allowed to rise above a certain critical level, microbiological spoilage may occur. In such cases, a packaging material with a low permeability to water vapor and
effectively sealed, is required

Hoseney

worked on wheat flour and reported that at lower moisture, fungi did not grow but at about 14% moisture content or slightly above, fungal growth took place. The increased in gaseous exchange between the stored product and the environment around the packaging material from Calico bag (CB), Jute bag (JB) and control also led to increase in moisture content value, total fungal count of breadfruit flour. It has been reported that fungal growth in agricultural produce is directly correlated to the moisture content

The typical water activity which is necessary for fungal growth ranges from 0.70–0.90

According to Hong et al.,

the antimicrobial activities activity of 5.0% w/w Propolis extract, Chitosan polymer and oligomer, or Clove extract in LDPE films (0.030- to 0.040-mm thick) against Lactobacillus plantarum, E. coli, S. cerevisiae, and Fusarium oxysporum is best determined through viable cell counts. Overall, LDPE films with incorporated natural compounds show a positive antimicrobial effect against L. plantarum and F. oxysporum. Preliminary studies by Suppakul et al. with LLDPE films (45 to 50 µm thick) containing 0.05% w/w linalool or methyl chavicol showed a positive activity against E. coli.

The direct incorporation of antimicrobial additives in packaging films is a convenient means by which antimicrobial activity can be achieved. Several compounds have been proposed and/or tested for antimicrobial packaging using this method. Han and Flores studied the incorporation of 1.0% w/w potassium sorbate in LDPE films. A 0.1 mm thick film was used for physical measurements, while a 0.4 mm thick film was used for antimicrobial effectiveness tests. It was found that potassium sorbate lowered the growth rate and maximum growth of yeast, and lengthened the lag period before mold growth became apparent.

Figure 2. Total fungi count in breadfruit flour.

Appropriate coatings can sometimes impart antimicrobial effectiveness. An et al. claimed that a polymer-based solution coating would be the most desirable method in terms of stability and adhesiveness of attaching a bacteriocin to a plastic film. It was found that low-density polyethylene (LDPE) films coated with a mixture of polyamide resin in i-propanol/n-propanol and a bacteriocin solution provided antimicrobial activity against Micrococcus flavus. The migration of bacteriocins reached equilibrium within 3 d, but the level attained was too low to affect several bacterial strains spread on an agar plate media. When the films were in contact with a phosphate buffer solution containing strains of M. flavus and L. monocytogenes, a marked inhibition of microbial growth of both strains was observed. LDPE film was successfully coated with nisin using methylcellulose (MC)/hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) as a carrier. Nisin was found to be effective in suppressing S. aureus and L. monocytogenes respectively.

Figure 3 showed that the breadfruit flour stored in low-density Polyethylene in Brown paper (LDPEBP) had the lowest moisture content of 7.9% followed by Calico bag (CB), Jute bag (JB) which had 10.80% and 13.00% respectively compared to the control that had the highest moisture content of 15.70% at the end of storage at ambient condition. All
the breadfruit flour stored in Calico bag (CB), Jute bag (JB) had high microbial counts compared to Low-density Polyethylene in Brown paper (LDPEBP) while their moisture content was within the range of recommended value for flour samples of 7–13%[31]. There is a linear relationship between the incidence of different types of microorganisms and moisture content of samples, as breadfruit flour is a rich carbohydrate source for yeast and mold growth[32]. Moisture content of hygroscopic material such as dry food is in direct relation to the humidity of the surrounding air[33]. Generally, changes in moisture content in all the samples during the storage period were due to changes in humidity of the storage atmosphere. Low-density Polyethylene in Brown paper (LDPEBP) packages had the least moisture values because they had better moisture barriers when compared to Calico bag (CB), Jute bag (JB) packages which allowed moisture in and out of them. The higher moisture content in control packages could be attributed to their poor moisture resistance ability.

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Figure 3.** Moisture content percent of breadfruit flour in different packaging materials.

### 4. Conclusion

This study has revealed the potential of botanicals in the extension of the shelf life of African Breadfruit Flour. This will go a long way in providing better alternative to over dependency on synthetic fungicides used on some packaging materials. The use of plant products, such as *Aloe vera* in spoilage microorganisms’ control could reduce over reliance on chemicals, as well as cut down production cost. This will also go a long way in the minimizing the effect of pesticide residue experienced when chemical pesticides are applied to packaging materials. Moreover, the simple extraction method of the *Aloe vera* extract and it readily availability will enable it easy adaptability and will go a long way in reduction of food losses and wastage.
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