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Evaluation of glutathione S-transferase Pi in non-invasive ductal carcinoma of breast

C.O.C. Bellamy & D.J. Harrison

Department of Pathology, University Medical School, Edinburgh, UK.

Summary Glutathione S-transferase Pi (GST P) has been reported to be a marker of dysplastic lesions. For this reason expression of GST P by intraduct breast carcinoma was evaluated by immunohistochemistry. Thirty-seven of 92 carcinomas (40%) were GST P positive. GST P staining did not correlate with histological variables, c-erbB-2 overexpression or any clinical outcome. The GST P status of recurrences did not correlate with that of the index lesion. There is little evidence that GST P is a useful marker of the potential of invasive breast carcinoma to become invasive.

Patients and methods

Ninety-two women with DCIS without previous breast carcinoma were studied. These patients represent part of a larger cohort of DCIS patients reported in detail elsewhere (Bellamy et al., 1993) and for whom material was available. Follow-up data were available for all patients.

The tissue was formalin fixed and paraffin embedded and one block was selected from each case. Serial 4 μm sections were cut, and one section was stained with haematoxylin–eosin to confirm the presence of carcinoma in the study material. In cases of recurrence, blocks were also selected from the recurrent carcinoma and, where present, from lymph node metastases.

All immunohistochemistry was performed using a standard peroxidase–anti-peroxidase technique. Negative controls were prepared by omitting the primary antibody. Staining for GST P was carried out as described previously (Klys et al., 1992) using a polyclonal rabbit antibody, which was a kind gift from G.J. Beckett, University Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Edinburgh, UK. Liver was used as a positive control in which bile ducts stained positively.

GST staining was assessed semiquantitatively and each tumour was categorised according to one of the following staining patterns: none, focal, diffuse weak, diffuse strong. Focal staining required unambiguously positive staining in at least 10% of carcinoma cells. Tumours that showed only equivocal staining of carcinoma cells were classified as GST P negative since down-regulation of GST P compared with normal epithelium had clearly occurred. However, inclusion of those tumours as GST P positive did not alter the conclusions from analysis of the results. Staining for overexpression of the c-erbB-2 gene product was carried out using the rabbit polyclonal antiseraum 21N at a final concentration of 3.3 μg ml⁻¹ (Gusterson et al., 1987). Tumours were scored as c-erbB-2 positive when more than 5% of carcinoma cells showed positive membrane staining. A known c-erbB-2-positive invasive breast carcinoma was used as the positive control.

Other variables assessed included the extent of breast affected by carcinoma, categorised as single or multiquadrant disease (mastectomy patients only), the predominant histological pattern of DCIS (categorised as solid, comedo, cribriform or micropapillary), the presence of luminal necrosis and the nuclear grade (defined as grade 1 to 3 in order of increasing pleomorphism, as for invasive carcinoma; Elston, 1987).

Results

The age of the patients ranged from 29 to 71 years (average 55 years). Staining for GST P localised to both nucleus and cytoplasm in most cases. Strong staining of benign epithelium, including myoepithelial cells, was consistently observed.
within ducts and lobular units, acting as an internal positive control (Figure 1). A minority of normal duct epithelial cells showed unambiguous but weaker positive staining, of similar the 'diffuse weak' staining category for carcinoma cells. Staining of fibroblasts and inflammatory cells was variable but occasionally strong and widespread. For the purposes of analysis the three categories of GST P staining (focal, diffuse weak, diffuse strong) were regarded as GST P positive, however analyses comparing each individual category of GST P staining with all other categories did not yield any new correlations. Overall, 37 of 92 (40%) DCIS patients were GST P positive (Figure 2). Table I correlates the result for GST P expression with DCIS histological variables and with c-erbB-2 status. It is apparent that cribriform DCIS was most often GST P positive (15 of 28 cases; 54%) and micro-papillary DCIS was least often positive (two of nine cases; 22%), however these differences in expression were not statistically significant. GST P staining did not correlate significantly with nuclear grade (positive case for grade 1, 8/19 (42%); grade 2, 18/38 (47%); grade 3, 13/35 (37%), or with the presence of necrosis [25/68 (37%) cases with necrosis GST P positive; 12/24 (50%) cases without necrosis GST P positive), or with the extent of breast affected by DCIS [single and multi-quadrant DCIS were GST P positive in 21/52 (40%) cases and 5/10 (50%) cases respectively]. There was no correlation between GST P expression and the c-erbB-2 status of the carcinomas (Table I).

Table II shows the patients who experienced recurrence after median follow-up of 60 months (range 12–180 months). Five patients had recurrence of DCIS only and five developed invasive ductal carcinoma, including one case of microinvasive carcinoma and two patients with regional lymph node metastases. All recurrences followed high-grade DCIS (grade 2 or 3), usually of comedo pattern. The numbers are too small for meaningful analysis but there was no consistent relationship to GST P expression. Of note is that GST P expression in the recurrent DCIS did not always relate to the GST status of the index lesion, and in one case invasive carcinoma in the recurrence expressed GST P while GST was absent in the antecedent DCIS lesion.

Discussion

There are no previous data on GST expression in non-invasive breast carcinoma. The present study of a large number of patients has demonstrated loss of GST P expression in DCIS when compared with normal breast epithelium. These results differ from those in other epithelia in which GST P expression is increased in dysplasia and in carcinoma compared with normal cells (Sato, 1989; Howie et al., 1990). The results presented here also indicate that loss of GST expression can occur at a relatively early (i.e. intraepithelial) stage in breast carcinogenesis, but that this loss is not an irreversible event, as evidenced by altered GST P status in some recurrences. The stimulus for such a reversal is not evident from this study; no patient received adjuvant chemotherapy.

The proportion of DCIS patients showing GST staining (40%) is similar to that for invasive carcinoma, in that 47% of invasive ductal carcinomas have been reported to express GST P (Cairns et al., 1992). A DCIS lesion is most likely to develop into invasive carcinoma if it is of high nuclear grade and particularly when of comedo pattern (Bellamy et al.,

Figure 1 GST P staining in a benign breast lobule. There is staining of both myoepithelial and epithelial cells. Note the staining of occasional parenchymal cells (bar = 85 μm).

Figure 2 a, Cribriform DCIS showing strong diffuse staining for GST P (bar = 85 μm). b, Comedo DCIS with luminal necrosis and microcalcification. There is focal staining for GST P within carcinoma cells although most of the malignant cells are negative (bar = 85 μm).

| GST P status  | Comedo | Solid | DCIS pattern | Micro-papillary | Cribriform | Nuclear grade | Necrosis | c-erbB-2 | Total |
|---------------|--------|-------|--------------|----------------|------------|---------------|----------|----------|-------|
| Diffuse strong| 9      | 4     | 1            | 1              | 1          | 1             | 6        | 8        | 2     |
| Diffuse weak  | 2      | 1     | 0            | 9              | 4          | 5             | 3        | 5        | 7     |
| Focal         | 3      | 1     | 1            | 5              | 2          | 6             | 2        | 8        | 2     |
| Negative      | 24     | 11    | 7            | 13             | 12         | 21            | 22       | 43       | 12    |
| Total         | 38     | 17    | 9            | 28             | 19         | 38            | 35       | 69       | 23    |

Table I: Correlation of GST P expression with DCIS histological indices and c-erbB-2 status.
1993). The present results have shown no significant difference in GST P status between high- and low-grade DCIS or between comedo and other DCIS patterns. Furthermore, both GST-positive and GST-negative DCIS patients developed invasive carcinoma in this study. Hence, GST P has not been found to be a marker for tumour progression in DCIS. The lack of correlation between GST P expression and c-erbB-2 positivity in DCIS matches the findings in invasive breast carcinoma (Cairns et al., 1990). The strong expression of GST P by benign epithelial and stromal cells in breast (Figure 3) should be remembered when interpreting biochemical analyses of tissue homogenates, which fail to discriminate these from carcinoma cells. GST P is expressed in a number of proliferating tissues, e.g. basal layer of cervix (Carder et al., 1990). Its expression in some cases of DCIS may simply reflect an abnormality of cell proliferation control in these cells and as such is not necessarily related to aggressiveness or resistance to therapy.
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