Tracing and constraining anthropogenic aerosol iron fluxes to the North Atlantic Ocean using iron isotopes
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Atmospheric dust is an important source of the micronutrient Fe to the oceans. Although relatively insoluble mineral Fe is assumed to be the most important component of dust, a relatively small yet highly soluble anthropogenic component may also be significant. However, quantifying the importance of anthropogenic Fe to the global oceans requires a tracer which can be used to identify and constrain anthropogenic aerosols in situ. Here, we present Fe isotope (δ⁵⁶Fe) data from North Atlantic aerosol samples from the GEOTRACES GA03 section. While soluble aerosol samples collected near the Sahara have near-crustal δ⁵⁶Fe, soluble aerosols from near North America and Europe instead have remarkably fractionated δ⁵⁶Fe values (as light as −1.6‰). Here, we use these observations to fingerprint anthropogenic combustion sources, and to refine aerosol deposition modeling. We show that soluble anthropogenic aerosol Fe flux to the global surface oceans is highly likely to be underestimated, even in the dusty North Atlantic.
Iron (Fe) is an important marine micronutrient, thought to be acting as the principal control on phytoplankton growth and carbon export in up to a third of the world’s oceans\(^1\), as well as influencing global distribution of nitrogen fixation, due to the high Fe requirement of nitrogen-fixing diazotrophs\(^3\). However, the low solubility of Fe in seawater means that dissolved Fe concentrations are often vanishingly small away from Fe sources\(^1\). Deposition of Fe-bearing atmospheric dust, while highly episodic and regionally variable, may be the most important source of new Fe to the surface oceans in some Fe-limited regions\(^5\), and is especially important in the North Atlantic due to the close proximity to the Saharan dust source\(^6\). Consequently, changes in the deposition, composition, and distribution of aerosol Fe supply has the potential to dramatically influence biogeochemical cycles and the carbon cycle at the global scale, especially across proximity to the Saharan dust source\(^6\).

Release of Fe during combustion (including anthropogenic observational and modeling studies have suggested that the release of Fe during combustion (including anthropogenic industrial sources, biofuel or fossil fuel burning, and global biomass burning) may also be an important supply of soluble Fe to the surface oceans\(^12\)–\(^17\). Disparate lines of evidence have previously suggested an important role for anthropogenic aerosol Fe, though the magnitude of this flux is currently poorly characterized. Anthropogenically produced aerosols, as fingerprinted either from air mass back-trajectory analysis or the presence of anthropogenically sourced elements (e.g. Ni and Pb from coal or fly ash or gasoline and V from heavy oil), have been suggested to contain relatively highly soluble Fe compared with mineral dust\(^14\)–\(^15\). For example, high Fe solubilities (up to 19%) in marine aerosols collected near Bermuda have been linked to anthropogenic processes\(^14,15\), while mineral Fe within natural desert dust typically has very low solubility (1%)\(^18\). Although such studies demonstrate that aerosols can carry large amounts of soluble Fe, it is not possible to determine whether solubility is directly related to the presence of an anthropogenic component or whether Fe solubility has been enhanced due to physical and/or chemical atmospheric processing. For example, several workers have suggested that under low dust loading, or far from source, or under variable composition, aerosol solubility is enhanced from <1% up to 10% or even greater\(^19\)–\(^21\). The challenges of determining a representative Fe solubility under laboratory conditions also complicates efforts. Overall, these complications lead to a large range in estimated aerosol Fe solubility from <1 to 95%\(^20\)–\(^22\). Furthermore, measurements of Fe solubility in preindustrial (and thus truly natural) Last Glacial Maximum ice-core dust ranged from <1 to 40%\(^23\), suggesting that high Fe solubilities are not purely diagnostic of the presence of anthropogenic Fe.

Another approach to evaluating the biogeochemical importance of anthropogenic Fe deposited to the oceans is to use global aerosol deposition models, which resolve iron aerosol. Currently, modeling suggests that a small but highly soluble flux of combustion-sourced Fe has a major impact on the total estimated atmospheric soluble Fe delivery to the oceans worldwide\(^24\)–\(^25\). Such models have even gone so far as to suggest that anthropogenic Fe may dominate soluble aerosol Fe deposition in some regions of the globe, especially to the ocean in the Southern Hemisphere\(^26\)–\(^28\). However, a major uncertainty in extrapolating from observations to modeling global fluxes arises from uncertainties in the solubility of Fe from various natural and anthropogenic sources. Generally, modelers have assumed that Fe from mineral dust is very insoluble, using Fe solubilities of <2% (although this may not capture the observed range of spatial variability\(^12,29,30\), while assuming much higher solubilities for anthropogenic Fe based on measurements from point sources\(^12\).

However, both of these assumptions are subject to large uncertainties, principally because of the uncertainty over the causes of different Fe solubilities in observational studies. Similarly, using other elements as tracers for anthropogenic Fe requires them to be derived from the same source with a reproducible or representative ratio, which is challenging to quantify in a well-mixed atmosphere far from aerosol sources\(^31\). A quantitative evaluation of the global importance of anthropogenic Fe therefore requires a tracer which can be used to inform anthropogenic fluxes in situ.

The stable isotope ratio of Fe (\(\delta^{56}\)Fe) within aerosols may provide an additional way to constrain the relative importance of Fe sourced from natural dust versus other types of aerosol, provided there are resolvable and characterizable differences between natural and anthropogenic aerosol Fe. Natural Fe within bulk natural aerosol dust, and within material from dust source regions, has been characterized by a near-crustal \(\delta^{56}\)Fe value of +0.1%\(^o\) (relative to IRMM-014 standard)\(^32\)–\(^36\). In addition, several recent studies have suggested that there is also an isotopically light marine aerosol Fe phase which may be linked to anthropogenic activity\(^34,37,38\). This attribution is supported by near-source measurements, which suggest that anthropogenic sources of aerosol Fe such as those emitted from combustion of gasoline can be highly fractionated (3 to +0.3%)\(^34,35,39,40\). Specifically, isotopically light \(\delta^{56}\)Fe values have been observed in bulk aerosol near anthropogenic sources such as a road tunnel at Hiroshima, Japan (−3 to +0.3%)\(^40\). Similarly light \(\delta^{56}\)Fe values were measured in aerosols collected in the bulk phase (−2.01 to +0.23%) and even lighter in simulated rain-water extractions (−3.91 to −1.87%) at Hiroshima, Japan, over several months\(^34\), providing further evidence for a light \(\delta^{56}\)Fe anthropogenic endmember near combustion sources.

However, from these near-source measurements, which span a range of isotopic compositions and particle sizes, it is difficult to establish precisely what the \(\delta^{56}\)Fe signature of an anthropogenic endmember might be, were it to be measured in open-ocean marine aerosols. Insight for the latter is provided from measurements of the mean fine-fraction (<2 µm) bulk phase aerosols collected from in the winter at Bermuda (mean = −0.1%, as light as −0.5%)\(^35\), and in the two samples of fine-fraction bulk phase aerosol particles from the North West Pacific (−1.2 and −1.7%)\(^34\). Isotopically light bulk phase aerosol Fe has also been reported from Taiwan, linked to anthropogenic activity by high Fe solubility\(^37\). Together, these studies are indicative of the presence of an isotopically light Fe component within marine aerosols, meaning that aerosol \(\delta^{56}\)Fe values may allow us to distinguish between the presence of anthropogenic Fe from natural mineral Fe within an aerosol sample, provided we can constrain isotopic end members.

Here, we present new data for aerosol iron isotopes (\(\delta^{56}\)Fe) collected shipboard from the North Atlantic Ocean during the US GEOTRACES North Atlantic GA03 section. While bulk \(\delta^{56}\)Fe show some variability across the section, we observe a dramatic difference in soluble aerosol \(\delta^{56}\)Fe between samples collected from Saharan air masses (+0.1%), and those collected from North American or European air masses, which show remarkably fractionated \(\delta^{56}\)Fe values (as light as −1.6%). We attribute these Fe isotope signals to mineral dust and anthropogenic sources, respectively, demonstrating that the signature of anthropogenic combustion is visible at the basin scale even in the very dusty North Atlantic. By coupling these results to dust deposition modeling, we show that a reduction in natural dust source solubility and an increase in anthropogenic aerosol Fe supply to the region are needed to reproduce GA03 \(\delta^{56}\)Fe observations. Applying those model refinements at the global scale suggests that current parameterizations of dust deposition models may...
underestimate anthropogenic aerosol Fe fluxes to the global oceans.

Results

GA03 Fe isotope results. We measured $\delta^{56}$Fe in total bulk (HNO$_3$ and HF-digested) and soluble (water- and seawater-soluble) fractions of marine aerosols collected from above the North Atlantic Ocean during two GEOTRACES GA03 section cruises\(^{41,42}\) during winter 2010 and 2011. Based on published HYSPLIT back-trajectory modeling of air masses sampled on the cruises\(^{43}\), we chose a subset of samples (Fig. 1) from defined air masses, including areas strongly influenced by the mineral dust plume emanating from the Sahara desert (Saharan air masses) as well as regions near North America (North American air masses) and Europe (European air masses).

We found that GA03 aerosols considered to be dominated by the Sahara dust plume were characterized by near-crustal $\delta^{56}$Fe in both the bulk and water-soluble phases ($+0.12 \pm 0.03^\circ$ and $+0.09 \pm 0.02^\circ$, respectively), confirming the observation that natural aerosol Fe is defined near-crustal $\delta^{56}$Fe (Table 1; Fig. 2a\(^{32,33}\)). Leaching of the same aerosol dust with surface seawater also yielded crustal values ($+0.04^\circ$s and $+0.05^\circ$s; Supp. Data), consistent with the only other previous $\delta^{56}$Fe measurement for soluble Saharan aerosols\(^{35}\). However, in dramatic contrast to the Saharan-dominated aerosols, the aerosols collected from North American or European air masses showed pronounced fractionation toward lighter $\delta^{56}$Fe values ($\sim 0.8$ to $1.6^\circ$; mean $-0.91^\circ$s) in the water-soluble phase (Table 1; Fig. 2a), the seawater-soluble phases ($-1.43^\circ$s), and more-muted but similar fractionation towards lighter $\delta^{56}$Fe values in the bulk phase ($-0.12 \pm 0.06^\circ$). While these lighter $\delta^{56}$Fe values could be caused by either a primary source signal or the result of kinetic isotope effects during partial dissolution or re-precipitation of Fe during leaching, the presence of lighter-than-crustal $\delta^{56}$Fe in the bulk aerosol, as well as similar observations in bulk phase marine aerosol from other studies\(^{34,35}\) means the isotopically light soluble phase cannot simply be attributed to differences in chemical behavior during processing or leaching. Instead, our data are indicative of at least two kinds of aerosol Fe present in the samples, one of which is natural and one of which we hypothesize to be anthropogenically sourced.

Comparison of Fe isotopes results with other aerosol parameters. The Saharan-dominated aerosols were characterized by much higher Fe loading than the non-Saharan samples (Table 1; Fig. 2a)\(^{43}\), an observation consistent with global aerosol models of highly soluble anthropogenic Fe mixing with a large contribution of very poorly soluble Fe from desert dust\(^{15,26}\). Indeed, that idea is
in strong agreement with data in this study when water-soluble $\delta^{56}$Fe is plotted against Fe solubility (Fig. 2b); aerosol samples fall into two well-defined groups, either a natural dust field ($\delta^{56}$Fe +0.1‰, 0.2–0.5% soluble) or a hypothetical anthropogenic field ($\delta^{56}$Fe −0.5 to −1.6‰; 2–21% soluble). The isotopically light signature of anthropogenic Fe is thus largely masked in the bulk phase by the much greater presence of Saharan dust particles, but becomes dominant in the soluble phase due to the higher solubility of anthropogenic Fe [46,47]. Furthermore, in addition to the high Fe solubilities and light $\delta^{56}$Fe signatures, highly elevated (15–95×) bulk Pb/Al ratios (0.003–0.019) [43] in the anthropogenic field (Fig. 2c; Table 1) compared with the upper continental crust Pb/Al (0.0002) [48] are similarly indicative of anthropogenic pollution. This Pb/Al signature, together with elevated Ni/Al and V/Al over crustal in these samples [43,44], while not definitive evidence that the light Fe is anthropogenic, provides further weight to the hypothesis that the light Fe-bearing aerosols within North American and European air masses are strongly influenced by anthropogenic activity.

**Discussion**

Our data provide clear evidence for the presence of an isotopically light highly soluble Fe phase in marine aerosol over the Atlantic, which we suggest is linked to anthropogenic activity through chemical analyses. This scenario can be simply modeled using a two-component isotope mixing equation:

$$\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{soluble aerosol}} = (f_{\text{dust}} \times \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{soluble dust}}) + (f_{\text{anthropogenic}} \times \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{soluble anthropogenic}})$$

which can be re-arranged to solve for the relative fractions of natural dust Fe ($f_{\text{dust}}$) and anthropogenic aerosol Fe ($f_{\text{anthropogenic}}$) in the soluble phase of the GA03 aerosol samples, by assigning fixed values for $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{soluble dust}}$ and $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{soluble anthropogenic}}$, based on appropriate assumptions, and using the measured isotope ratio in each soluble aerosol sample ($\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{soluble aerosol}}$). For this simple mixing model, we assigned $+0.09$‰ as the $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{dust}}$ endmember, which is the crustal Fe isotopic composition [42] (confirmed for Saharan soluble aerosol in this study), and $-1.6$‰ as the anthropogenic aerosol endmember, based on data from this study and the literature (see Methods for further discussion of endmember choice). Using these assigned end members, geochemical modeling suggests that the European and North American soluble aerosols are characterized by ~50–100% anthropogenic Fe, while the Saharan aerosols are characterized by ~100% dust Fe (Fig. 3). This clearly demonstrates the dominance of anthropogenic Fe to some open-ocean regions of the North Atlantic, and also how $\delta^{56}$Fe can be used to place direct constraints on the two Fe sources in aerosols.

While the pattern of isotopically light soluble aerosol $\delta^{56}$Fe observed in the North Atlantic in this study provides evidence for an important anthropogenic aerosol Fe source, it does not identify whether the anthropogenic Fe comes from fossil fuel or biofuel combustion, as previous studies have attributed the observation of isotopically light Fe to either one of these sources. However, comparison with aerosol deposition models can help to answer this question. To inform this, we considered model deposition output from the Community Earth System Model (CESM 1.0.5) with the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM4) bulk aerosol model, which has recently been adapted to include an intermediate complexity soluble Fe atmospheric processing

![Fig. 2 North Atlantic GA03 aerosol Fe isotope results. Water-soluble and bulk aerosol $\delta^{56}$Fe relative to (a) Fe concentration [43], (b) Fe solubility [44,45,58], or (c) bulk Pb/Al [43]. Aerosols from different air masses [43] are separated by color: gray Saharan (2010 and 2011), red European (2010), and blue North American (2011). Error bars on $\delta^{56}$Fe are 2σ external error (0.05‰) or 2σ internal error (where larger). The brown vertical bar represents the $\delta^{56}$Fe signature of crustal source materials (0.07–0.14‰) [32]. Circles denote natural crustal (brown) or anthropogenic-sourced (purple) aerosol fields, based on this study. (Pb/Al)$_{bulk}$ and the crustal diamond in (c) are based on other sources [36,43,48].](image1)

![Fig. 3 Water-soluble GA03 aerosol Fe from anthropogenic sources. Proportions of Fe from anthropogenic sources were calculated using a simple two-component isotope mixing equation, and hypothesized $\delta^{56}$Fe end members of 0.09‰ for dust and −1.6‰ for anthropogenic Fe (see main text for details).](image2)
This version of the CAM4 model simulates both mineral dust Fe and combustion (fossil fuel, biofuels, and fire) Fe emissions, with transport and atmospheric processing to simulate soluble Fe deposition for each type of aerosol (Fig. 4). As can be seen from Fig. 4, the spatial patterns during the time of GA03 aerosol collection (October–November) are most consistent with the light $\delta^{56}$Fe resulting from fossil fuel combustion sources, which are the dominant source of anthropogenic aerosols in the North Atlantic at this time of year, and not biomass burning (i.e., fires are not prevalent in Northern Hemisphere mid-latitude during winter).

As well as providing spatial information about which source may be responsible for the isotopically light Fe, deposition model output provides the opportunity to test how well models are capturing discrete aerosol observations, and whether the hypothesis linking isotopically light Fe to anthropogenic sources is consistent with current model scenarios. Here, we directly compared output from the baseline CAM4 deposition model scenario$^{49}$ for the North Atlantic with GA03 aerosol observations, in terms of both Fe solubility and a predicted aerosol $\delta^{56}$Fe at the location and time the GA03 samples were collected. While the baseline CAM4 model produced similar solubilities (4–8%) to GA03 measurements (mostly 2–6%) for the North American and European aerosols, CAM4 overestimated observations for Saharan Aerosols (median 2% vs 0.4%), resulting in a poor correlation between model-predicted and observed soluble $\delta^{56}$Fe of aerosols, when an $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{anthropogenic}}$ endmember of $-1.6$‰ was used (Fig. 5). It is worth noting that this correlation cannot be improved simply by using a lighter $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{anthropogenic}}$ such as $-3$‰. However, initial model-observation comparisons were based on a monthly mean model deposition output which was compared with the sub-weekly (often daily) observations. However, the monthly mean will likely miss the details of discrete dust storms which occur on a sub-monthly time frame. Increasing the model temporal resolution to daily mean model output allowed for a more direct comparison with the days of collection and hence improved the model-observation comparison (reduced median solubility from 2.0 to 1.4%). However, this was still higher than GA03 observations.

A reduction in the solubility of readily released Fe (the Fe assumed soluble at emission) in mineral dust at source from 25 to 10% within the model also improved the fit to solubility data.
ags and reduced dust source solubilization (from 25 to 10%) (blue circles). Agreement is further improved in capture dust storms and reduced dust source solubilization (from 25 to a optimized anthropogenic circles). The anthropogenic endmember was also optimized in δan anthropogenic best scenario still failed to capture the lightest 6NATURE COMMUNICATIONS| (2019) 10:2628 | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10457-w | www.nature.com/naturecommunications et al.49 with monthly average output and end members of circles denote output from CAM4 baseline model scenario of Scanza Fig. 5 Comparison of GA03 observations with model predictions. Black circles denote output from CAM4 baseline model scenario of Scanza et al.49 with monthly average output and end members of +0.09% for dust and −1.6% for anthropogenic Fe, and shows poor agreement. In agreement is improved by changing model to use daily mineral dust to capture dust storms and reduced dust source solubilization (from 25 to 10%) (blue circles). Agreement is further improved in a by using 5× anthropogenic emissions, to generate an isotope-refined model scenario (CAM4_isotope-refined scenario) which best matches GA03 observations (red circles). The anthropogenic endmember was also optimized in b to provide best fit between model and observations (see main text; red points are with an anthropogenic δ56Fe endmember of −1.6; purple circles are with an optimized anthropogenic δ56Fe endmember of −1.4%).

(median of 0.81%), improving the δ56Fe predictive power compared with observations (Fig. 5a). However, even this modeling scenario still failed to capture the lightest δ56Fe values, which suggested that the magnitude of the soluble anthropogenic aerosol was being underestimated. Recent work based on magnetite observations in China27, has suggested that anthropogenic aerosol Fe emission are currently underestimated globally, potentially up to a factor of 8. To test this, we increased combustion Fe emissions (fossil fuel, biofuel, and fire) by 5× here to match the CAM4 NEW scenario of Matsui et al.27, to see if this provided a better fit to the observed lighter δ56Fe. We found that this 5× increase in anthropogenic emissions, together with the changes to mineral dust outlined above (denoted here as the CAM4_isotope-refined scenario) produced a good fit to GA03 observations (Fig. 5a).

Following refinement of the CAM4 model to match GA03 observations in this study using an assumed anthropogenic endmember of −1.6‰, we took the CAM4_isotope-refined output and optimized the slope of the trendline of the model observations to as close to 1:1 as possible, simply by varying the anthropogenic δ56Fe endmember while keeping the dust δ56Fe endmember fixed (Fig. 5b). This exercise, while not influencing the soluble Fe deposition output of the model, may provide a better constraint on the specific isotope endmember for anthropogenic Fe in this region (−1.43‰). While this predicted endmember is slightly heavier (−1.38 ± 0.08‰), it is lighter than all but one North American and European GA03 aerosols, and significantly heavier than some of the potential combustion sources that have been described from Japan34,40. This variability may reflect variability in regional sources, source signatures or grain size effects in the two basins. For example, combustion products are isotopically heavy (±0.4‰) in the coarser size fractions (>2 μm). Interestingly, coarser-grained heavier anthropogenic Fe might explain the observations of Fe which is heavier-than-crustal in one of our European sample total digests (+0.3‰), but which was not obvious in the corresponding soluble fraction (−0.78‰), and in several previously reported Equatorial Pacific aerosols (+0.3 to +0.4‰). Size-fractionated marine aerosol collection, coupled with soluble δ56Fe analysis, while challenging, would therefore help to provide better constraints on the range of anthropogenic δ56Fe end members in future studies.

The overall observation of an isotopically light water-soluble Fe phase in North Atlantic aerosols, and the changes made to produce our CAM4_isotope-refined Scenario for the North Atlantic have implications for both the global ocean Fe isotope budget and, perhaps more importantly, the flux of soluble aerosol Fe to the oceans.

Previously, studies have used seawater δ56Fe as a tool to trace different sources of Fe to the ocean, assuming that each source has a single unique endmember6,32,52. Previous work in the North Atlantic, based on water-column data from the GA03 section, assumed a dust endmember δ56Fe of +0.7‰6. That study assumed the dust δ56Fe endmember was heavier than crustal due equilibrium dissolution of natural mineral dust in concert with strong iron-binding ligands, resulting in an isotopically heavy dissolved Fe pool, over much longer timescale than the instantaneous leaches carried out here.53 However, data from the present study suggest that a second significant aerosol component should be considered, particularly in regions with high potential deposition fluxes of combustion-derived Fe. Indeed, a continuous background delivery of light δ56Fe to the Western North Atlantic could contribute to the observation that the δ56Fe of the water column at Bermuda appears to show little variability between season34, and is near +0.4‰ throughout the water column65, lighter than the proposed natural dust endmember. However, we note this might also be influenced by the recently described process of eddy-driven sediment Fe supply to this region with a near-crustal δ56Fe signature56. The primary δ56Fe signature of deposition to the ocean may thus vary significantly on regional scales as the relative contribution from mineral dust and combustion-derived Fe fluxes changes. This may be especially
true in the Pacific Ocean, with the large observed gradient in Asian dust and postulated large changes in the proportion of anthropogenic and natural soluble Fe deposition\(^6\) (Fig. 6a).

If we apply the isotope-informed changes to the CAM4 baseline model, which are required in the North Atlantic to match observations (5x increase in combustion of Fe and 10x decrease in dust source solubilization) to the baseline CAM4 model\(^4\) globally to create a new global model scenario (denoted here as CAM4\(_{\text{isotope-refined}}\)), it is clear that soluble anthropogenic Fe deposition dramatically increases at the global scale (Fig. 6a). We calculate that global soluble anthropogenic Fe (fossil fuel, biofuels, and fire) increases with this scenario from 120 to 293 Gg yr\(^{-1}\). The effect of this is that anthropogenic Fe deposition becomes more dominant for the soluble Fe aerosol deposition budget at the global scale, especially over large regions of the Southern and Pacific Oceans, but also over Asia, Europe, and North America (Fig. 6b). This finding is consistent with the other previously mentioned recent work using CAM4, which suggests that combustion of Fe must be ~8x greater, in order to reproduce observations of magnetite aerosols, leading to a 50% increase in soluble anthropogenic Fe supply to the Southern Hemisphere and the dominance of combustion as an Fe source to this region\(^26,57\).

To conclude, we present the first clear evidence that anthropogenic Fe from combustion sources is visible at the basin scale, using \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}\) observations in the North Atlantic. These results suggest that aerosol \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}\) is a promising new tracer for fingerprinting and constraining anthropogenic aerosol Fe sources to the oceans. It is striking to observe anthropogenic aerosol Fe so clearly in a region that is dominated by large deposition fluxes of natural Saharan dust\(^6,8\), demonstrating the sensitivity of this tracer. Furthermore, we show that aerosol deposition models can be improved by constraining them with \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}\) observations, better capturing the magnitude of anthropogenic Fe fluxes. Here, our model-observation comparison suggests that, in line with other recent observations, anthropogenic combustion sources may be underestimated in current dust model scenarios, while natural dust sources are likely overestimated, meaning that anthropogenic Fe is likely to be a more dominant Fe source to the oceans than previously considered. This is especially true of regions of the ocean which receive much less natural dust\(^8\) than the North Atlantic and will therefore be proportionately more sensitive to Fe deposition resulting from the distribution and degree of industrial combustion. Near-future increases in anthropogenic Fe supply, such as increased Southern Hemisphere industrialization and increased Northern Hemisphere dust sources may therefore lead to episodic and short-term changes in carbon sequestration which may act to mitigate long-term increases in atmospheric CO\(_2\) from anthropogenic emissions.

**Methods**

**Data availability.** All GA03 aerosol \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}\) data from this study are available in Suppl. Data. Data, with GA03 water-soluble and bulk elemental concentrations for this subset of GA03 aerosols. GA03 bulk aerosol Fe concentration data are reproduced from Shelley et al.\(^25\), GA03 soluble aerosol Fe concentration data are reproduced from Wozniak et al.\(^34,45\) and Shelley et al.\(^58\). All GA03 aerosol concentration data are available in the 2017 GEOTRACES Intermediate Data Product\(^6\).

**Laboratory and analytical methods.** Shipboard aerosols were collected on board the R/V Knorr as part of the US GEOTRACES North Atlantic GA03 section cruises...
were the only total samples with a high calculated blank component (60 acid-washed 47 mm Whatman 41 ashless samples and bracketing standards following our previous work60. We estimate 2 <1% (Saharan) and 2 (>18.2 M.cm; 0.08 nmol kg⁻¹ Fe) or 0.2 – 21.41 N, 51.46 – 52.47 W) and Saharan air mass deposition showed a peak in mineral dust occurring during the period of collection rather than at beginning of collection. The original model parametrization was modified (denoted as CAM4iso-re) to reduce mineral dust solubilization from 25 to 10%, and to increase anthropogenic combustion emissions by 5% compared with the baseline CAM4 scenario of Scanza et al. 49 in order to generate Figs. 5 and 6 and conclusions.

Data availability
All Fe concentration and δ⁵⁶Fe data reported in this study are available as Supplementary Data or are previously published52–55. All model output and codes will be publicly available either by request to the authors or from http://www.geocornell.edu/eas/PeoplePlaces/Faculty/mahowald/dust/Conwayetal2019/.
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