Short-term interval combined chemoembolization and radiofrequency ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma
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RESULTS: At 1 mo after treatment, Child-Pugh scores (CPs) remained unchanged in 89 of 94 patients (94.7%), and transiently increased by one-point in 5 patients (5.3%). However, the score returned to baseline score at 3 mo and was maintained until 6 mo in all patients. The baseline CPs of 8 or more was identified as a factor for transient rise of CPs after the treatment (CPs 8/9 vs 5/6/7; 21.4% vs 2.5%; P = 0.022). At 12 mo follow-up, CPs was unchanged in 90 patients (95.7%), and increased by one-point in 4 patients (4.3%). The rise of CPs at 12 mo was not statistically associated with the initial transient rise of CPs. There were procedure-related complications in 3 patients (3.2%), but the complications were resolved by medical and interventional treatments without hepatic functional sequelae.

CONCLUSION: The combined TACE and RFA with an interval of 0-2 d are safe for the management of HCC < 5 cm in cirrhotic patients.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.
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Core tip: This study investigated whether an interval of 0-2 d for combined transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) and radiofrequency ablation (RFA) is acceptable for recovery of liver functional reserve in cirrhotic patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) < 5 cm. Of 94 enrolled patients, 89 (94.7%) did not show changes in their Child-Pugh scores (CPs) after treatment. Only 5 patients experienced a transient rise of CPs by one-point and their CPs was restored to the baseline within 3 mo after treatment. Therefore, we suggest that the combined TACE and RFA using a short-term interval are safe for treating HCC < 5 cm in cirrhotic patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation (RFA) has become established as a curative treatment for small sized hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)\(^\text{[1-3]}\). However, the likelihood of complete ablation using RFA decline rapidly as tumor size increases. The complete remission (CR) after RFA for HCC \(\leq 2\) cm is approximately \(90\%\)\(^\text{[4]}\), but the local tumor progression rate for HCC \(> 2\) cm is substantial, and varies from \(20\%\) to \(40\%\)\(^\text{[4,5]}\). Because local tumor progression could have an adverse effect on patient survival or distant HCC recurrence, it is necessary to obtain complete coagulation necrosis of the tumor and a sufficient safety margin. Thus, the combination of RFA with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) has several theoretical advantages\(^\text{[6,7]}\). Occlusion of hepatic arterial flow by TACE could reduce the heat sink effects and contribute to a larger RFA ablation zone. In addition, the hyperthermia induced by RFA may enhance the effect of anticancer agents delivered by TACE. Several recent reports demonstrated promising results and indicated the combination therapy is more effective than either of the mono therapies in preventing incomplete necrosis of HCCs\(^\text{[8-11]}\).

The time-interval between sequential TACE and RFA treatments should be chosen carefully to achieve a balance between successful tumor eradication and adequate preservation of liver function. A longer time interval between the two treatments might preserve liver function because sufficient time is allowed for hepatic functional recovery. However, this extended time prolongs the hospital stay or increases the number of times a patient is admitted, which might undermine the benefits of this treatment option. Conversely, a short interval can lead to better local efficacy because of more synergistic effect for the combination of TACE and RFA. However, a short interval might increase the potential risk of damage of liver function reserves, especially in patients with liver cirrhosis. Theoretically, the optimal time interval is as short as possible when adequate preservation of hepatic functional reserves is guaranteed. However, until now there has been no clear consensus regarding the time interval between TACE and RFA for balancing local therapeutic efficacy and safety\(^\text{[12-18]}\).

The purpose of this study is to investigate the serial changes of liver function parameters and Child-Pugh score (CPs) during a 1-year follow-up period after combination TACE and RFA treatments. The treatments were separated by a time-interval of \(0-2\) d and were used to treat HCC in patients with liver cirrhosis. The risk factors for aggravation of liver function (rise of CPs) after the treatment were also assessed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Between July 2005 and September 2011, a total of 115 consecutive patients with HCC underwent sequential application of TACE and RFA at a time interval of \(0-2\) days with curative intent at Konkuk University Medical Center, Seoul, Korea. The inclusion criteria of the combined treatment were as follows: (1) patients with a solitary HCC less than 5 cm in diameter or those with two or three nodules each less than or equal to 3 cm in diameter; (2) cirrhosis classified as Child-Pugh classification A or B; (3) absence of vascular invasion or extrahepatic metastasis; (4) absence of severe coagulopathy \(i.e.,\) prothrombin activity \(< 40\%\) or platelet count \(< 40000/\text{mL}\); and (5) tumor located at least 1 cm away from the central bile duct. There were 21 patients who received subsequent management for recurrence of HCC (3 patients with local tumor progression at the treated site; 18 patients with distant HCC recurrence) during the 1-year follow up after the initial combined treatment. These 21 patients were excluded from the analysis because subsequent treatment causes additional alteration of liver function. Thus, the local tumor progression rate and distant recurrence rate during 1 year follow-up period were \(2.6\%\) and \(15.7\%\), respectively. Ninety-four patients who survived without HCC recurrence were included in this retrospective study for evaluation of serial changes of liver function during a 1 year follow-up after combined treatment of TACE and RFA (Figure 1).

The diagnosis of HCC was based on the typical imaging features \(i.e.,\) arterial enhancement followed by delayed washout on dynamic contrast enhanced computed tomography (CT) and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)\(^\text{[16]}\). Local tumor progression was defined as a new enhancing lesion within or adjacent to the ablation site on dynamic liver CT or MRI. Distant recurrence was defined as a new HCC in the liver distant from the index tumor or in extra-hepatic regions. The clinical diagnosis of liver cirrhosis was based on imaging findings such as CT or MRI, together with compatible clinical features of portal hypertension, such as esophageal varices or splenomegaly with thrombocytopenia. This study protocol received Institutional review board approval.

TACE and RFA procedures

All TACE procedures were performed on an inpatient basis by an interventional radiologist with more than 10 years of TACE experience. After celiac and superior mesenteric arteriography using a 5-French catheter \(\text{(Cook, Bloomington, IN, United States)}\), the hepatic artery was catheterized. The tumor feeding branch off either the right or left hepatic artery was selectively cannulated using a 3-French microcatheter \(\text{(Microferret; Cook)}\). Thereafter, selective embolization of the tumor-feeding artery was performed while sparing the majority of hepatic parenchymal arterial supply using an emulsion of iodized oil \(\text{(Lipiodol; Andre Guerbet, Aulnay-sous-Bois, France)}\) and doxorubicin hydrochloride \(\text{(Adriamycin RDF; Ildong}\).
Follow-up after TACE and RFA

A CT was performed at the one month follow-up and was used to evaluate the complete remission (CR) of HCC by the combined treatment. CR was defined as the presence of a non-enhancing area surrounding the index tumor with an ablative margin of 0.5 cm or larger on portal phase CT images. Thereafter, the patients were followed up every 3 mo using liver function tests, such as serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), albumin (Alb), total bilirubin (T-Bil), prothrombin time (PT), and CPs with dynamic liver CT or MRI. The presence of major complications were also evaluated based on a previous guideline that was defined as any event leading to substantial morbidity and disability, increasing in the level of care, lengthening hospital stay or requiring blood transfusion or interventional drainage procedure.

Statistical analysis

The statistical calculations were performed with software (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois). The continuous variables are shown as the mean with standard deviation (SD) or median with range. The categorical values are expressed as the frequency with percentage. Interval changes of liver functional parameters were analyzed using a paired t-test, and significant differences were corrected with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. Univariate analysis by Fisher’s exact test was performed to identify the variables which were associated with the aggravation of CPs. Potential variables that had an association (P < 0.10) with the rise of CPs in univariate analysis were included in a multivariate logistic regression analysis to determine the independent risk factors increasing CPs after TACE+RFA. Statistical significance was defined by a P-value less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics and procedural outcomes

Among the 94 enrolled patients, 69 patients were men and 25 patients were women. The mean patient age was 58.5 ± 8.9 years. Hepatitis B surface antigen and antibodies to hepatitis C were positive in 72 (76.6%) and 13 (13.8%) patients, respectively. Seventy-two patients had chronic hepatitis B, and 60 patients (83.3%) were concomitantly treated with antiviral agents. None of the 14 patients with chronic hepatitis C were treated with anti-HCV agents. Two (2.1%) patients were co-infected with both viruses. Nine (9.6%) patients who had no evidence of viral hepatitis reported high alcohol consumption, and two (2.1%) patients had cirrhosis of unknown origin. There were 67 (71.3%) patients considered class A, and 27 (28.7%) patients were class B based on the Child-Pugh classification system. The mean CPs was 5.9 ± 1.2. There were 21 cases with left lobe HCC, 66 cases with right lobe HCC and 7 cases involving both hepatic lobes. Seventy patients had a single HCC nodule, 21 had two nodules, and 3 patients had three nodules. The mean tumor diameter was 24.1 ± 7.6 mm. The demographics of the enrolled patients in this study are summarized in Table 1.

The mean number of overlapping ablations per tumor was 2.2 ± 1.3 and the mean total time of ablation per tumor was 23.9 min ± 15.0 min. The mean diameter of the long axis of the coagulation necrosis induced by

Figure 1 Flow diagram shows algorithm of enrolled patients. Ninety-four patients were analyzed for this study who are alive without recurrence of HCC at 1 year after combination of TACE+RFA. HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; intra-OP: Intra-operative; RFA: Radiofrequency ablation; RT: Radiation therapy; TACE: Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization.
The serum ALT levels tended to increase slightly from 44.41 ± 40.48 IU/L prior to TACE+RFA to 51.52 ± 46.19 IU/L at 1 mo after TACE+RFA (paired t-test, P = 0.083). However, the levels gradually decreased at 3, 6, and 9 mo and then returned to baseline. Serum Alb levels significantly decreased from 3.65 ± 0.52 g/dL before the treatment to 3.50 ± 0.51 g/dL at 1 mo after the combined treatment (paired t-test with Bonferroni correction, P = 0.005). The Alb levels were recovered by 3 mo and subsequently remained at similar levels for 6, 9, and 12 mo. Serum T-Bil levels at 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 mo were maintained at the pre-treatment levels. Serum PT levels were also unchanged 12 mo after the treatment (Table 2).

At 1 mo after the treatment, the CPs remained unchanged in 89 of the 94 patients (94.7%). There was an increase of CPs by one-point in 5 patients (5.3%), but their CPs returned to pre-treatment scores at 3 mo after the treatment. To evaluate the factors affecting the transient rise of CPs at 1 mo after the combined treatment, the following potential variables were examined: gender, age (≥ 60 yr < 60 years), etiology of HCC (hepatitis B virus vs others), CP class (B vs A), CPs (score 8/9 vs 5/6/7), tumor size (> 3.0 cm ≤ 3.0 cm), multiplicity of HCC (≥ 2 vs single) and presence of major complications (Table 3). In the univariate analysis, high baseline CPs (score 8/9 vs 5/6/7, P = 0.022) and large tumor (> 3.0 cm ≤ 3.0 cm, P = 0.082) were significantly or marginally associated with transient rise of CPs. However, in the multivariate analysis, the high CPs (score 8/9) was identified as the only independent factor for transient worsening of CPs (score 8/9 vs score 5/6/7; P = 0.026, OR = 9.20, 95%CI: 1.31-64.70).

At 3 and 6 mo after treatment, the CPs maintained pre-treatment scores in all patients. At 9 and 12 mo after the treatment, CPs was not changed in 91 patients (96.8%) and 90 patients (95.7%), respectively. There was an increase of CPs by one-point at 9 and 12 mo observed in 3 (3.2%) and 4 (4.3%) patients, respectively. There was no patient whose CPs increased by two points or more at any time. The rise of CPs at 12 mo was not statistically associated with the initial transient rise of CPs in univariate and multivariate analyses. Other clinical parameters were not associated with long-term aggravation of CPs.

Complications
Major complications developed in three of 94 patients (3.2%): hepatic arterial bleeding (n = 1) and biloma with abscess (n = 2). The hepatic arterial bleeding was detected immediately after the procedures, and was successfully treated with transcatheter arterial embolization using microcoils. Two patients experienced infected bilomas, which occurred in the ablated area 4 and 10 mo after combination therapy, respectively. These patients were treated with percutaneous drainage of the biloma and administration of antibiotics. The bilomas were resolved within 1 mo without hepatic functional deterioration. Minor complications developed in 10 patients (10.6%), including moderate pleural effusion (n = 1), subsegmental hepatic infarction (n = 2), non-infected biloma (n = 4), and peripheral hematoma (n = 2) or partial portal vein thrombosis (n = 1). All the minor complications were asymptomatic and did not alter the CPs. The minor complications did not require any specific invasive treatment. There was no mortality from hepatic failure directly related to the procedure complications.

DISCUSSION
In our study, 5 (5.4%) of 94 patients who had short-term interval (0-2 d) combined treatment for HCC with mean size of 2.4 ± 0.8 cm showed transient rise of CPs by 1 point at 1 mo follow-up. The only identified risk factor for this transient hepatic functional change was baseline CPs ≥ 8. At the 3 mo follow-up, the scores returned to baseline and were maintained up to 6 mo in all patients. This result suggested that a short term interval combined treatment did not induce long- term hepatic functional deterioration.

There have been two studies comparing RFA treatment alone with TACE combined with RFA that focused on hepatic functional alteration after the treatment. Koda et al.[12] reported that there was no significant difference of hepatic functional damage between the RFA alone group (n = 25) and the TACE+RFA group (n = 28). Regardless of treatment option, a transient hepatic functional

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the patients

| Parameters | Total patients (n = 94) |
|------------|------------------------|
| Gender (n), Male/Female | 69/25 |
| Age (yr), ≥ 60: < 60 yr | 46/48 |
| Etiology of HCC (n), HBV: HCV: HBV and HCV | 70/11:2:9 |
| HCV co-infection: Alcohol: Cryptogenic | 26:62 |
| Antiviral agents (n), Yes/No | 60/34 |
| Albumin (g/dL), mean ± SD | 3.65 ± 0.52 |
| Bilirubin (mg/dL), mean ± SD | 1.17 ± 0.69 |
| Prothrombin time (INR), mean ± SD | 1.21 ± 0.16 |
| AST (IU/L), mean ± SD | 55.3 ± 29.7 |
| ALT (IU/L), mean ± SD | 44.4 ± 40.4 |
| PLT (× 10^3/mm^3), mean ± SD | 97.0 ± 41.6 |
| Controllable ascites: no ascites (n) | 26:62 |
| Varices (n), Yes/No | 48/46 |
| Child-Pugh score, 5/6/7/8/9 | 51/16:13:11:3 |
| Child-Pugh classification, A: B | 67:27 |
| Tumor size (cm), > 3.0: ≤ 3.0 | 22:72 |
| Tumor number, multiple: single | 24:70 |
| Tumor location, bilobar: unilobar | 7:87 |
| AFP (ng/mL), > 100: ≤ 100 | 19:75 |
| Interval between TACE and RFA (d), 0:1:2 | 27:35:32 |

AFP: α-Fetoprotein; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; INR: International normalized ratio; PLT: Platelet; RFA: Radiofrequency ablation; SD: Standard deviation; TACE: Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization.
Table 2 Sequential changes of laboratory parameters from the baseline levels to the levels at 1, 3, 6, 12 mo after combination therapy

| Liver function parameters | Pre-TACE + RFA | After TACE + RFA |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | Baseline | At 1 mo | At 3 mo | At 6 mo | At 9 mo | At 12 mo |
| ALT (IU/L) | 44.41 ± 40.48 | 51.52 ± 46.19 | 38.71 ± 28.16 | 38.50 ± 23.88 | 38.05 ± 27.72 | 37.69 ± 20.94 |
| Albumin (g/dL) | 3.65 ± 0.52 | 3.50 ± 0.51 | 3.64 ± 0.50 | 3.69 ± 0.48 | 3.67 ± 0.50 | 3.66 ± 0.48 |
| Bilirubin (mg/dL) | 1.17 ± 0.69 | 1.23 ± 0.80 | 1.20 ± 0.69 | 1.22 ± 0.76 | 1.23 ± 0.73 | 1.23 ± 0.79 |
| Prothrombin time (INR) | 1.21 ± 0.16 | 1.22 ± 0.19 | 1.20 ± 0.17 | 1.20 ± 0.18 | 1.20 ± 0.19 |

Table 3 Factors associated with the rise of Child-Pugh scores after transcatheter arterial chemoembolization and radiofrequency ablation combination

| Factors | n | Rise of CPs at 1mo events | P | Rise of CPs at 12mo events | P |
|---------|---|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| Gender  |   |                           |   |                           |   |
| Male    | 69 | 4 (5.8%)                  | 1.00 | 2 (2.9%)                  | 0.287 |
| Female  | 25 | 1 (4.0%)                  | 2 (4.3%)                  | 1.000 |
| Age (yr) |   |                           |   |                           |   |
| ≥ 60    | 46 | 1 (2.2%)                  | 0.362 | 2 (4.2%)                  | 0.232 |
| < 60    | 48 | 4 (8.3%)                  | 2 (4.2%)                  | 0.91 |
| Etiology of HCC |   |                           |   |                           |   |
| HBV     | 72 | 4 (5.6%)                  | 1.00 | 2 (2.8%)                  | 0.576 |
| Others  | 22 | 1 (4.5%)                  | 2 (9.1%)                  | 0.481 |
| CP score |   |                           |   |                           |   |
| ＜5-7   | 80 | 2 (2.5%)                  | 0.022 | 3 (3.8%)                  | 0.481 |
| ≥8-9    | 14 | 3 (21.4%)                 | 1 (7.1%)                  | 0.856 |
| Diameter of HCC (cm) |   |                           |   |                           |   |
| ≥3.0    | 22 | 3 (13.6%)                 | 0.082 | 1 (4.5%)                  | 1.000 |
| ≤3.0    | 72 | 2 (2.8%)                  | 3 (4.2%)                  | 0.579 |
| Multiplicity of HCC |   |                           |   |                           |   |
| ≥2.0    | 24 | 3 (12.5%)                 | 0.103 | 1 (4.2%)                  | 0.079 |
| ≤2.0    | 70 | 2 (2.9%)                  | 3 (4.3%)                  | 0.579 |
|AFP (ng/mL) |   |                           |   |                           |   |
| ＞100    | 19 | 1 (5.3%)                  | 0.000 | 0 (0.0%)                  | 0.579 |
| ≤100    | 75 | 4 (5.3%)                  | 4 (5.3%)                  | 0.579 |
| TACE-RFA interval (d) |   |                           |   |                           |   |
| same day | 27 | 3 (11.1%)                 | 0.141 | 2 (7.4%)                  | 0.576 |
| Major complications |   |                           |   |                           |   |
| Yes     | 3  | 0 (0.0%)                  | 1.000 | 1 (33.3%)                 | 0.124 |
| No      | 91 | 5 (5.5%)                  | 3 (3.3%)                  | 0.34 |
| Rise of CPs at 1mo |   |                           |   |                           |   |
| Yes     | 5  | 1 (20.0%)                 | 0.199 | 3 (3.4%)                  | 0.34 |
| No      | 89 |                           |   |                           |   |

P value was calculated by Fisher’s exact test. AFP: α-Fetoprotein; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; RFA: Radiofrequency ablation; TACE: Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization.
determined to be a risk factor for hepatic functional deterioration at 1 mo follow-up. A possible explanation for suboptimal results at 1 mo in the study by Li et al\[8\] is that they included patients with larger tumors that had a mean size of 3.5 cm, whereas in our study and that of Kang et al\[8\] the mean tumor size was 2.4 cm. In addition, it is not clear whether their observation was transient or not because the follow-up period for hepatic function was limited to 1 mo. In our study, hepatic functional deterioration at 1 mo was transient in all 5 patients.

In our study, baseline CPs ≥ 8 was identified as a risk factor for hepatic functional alteration at 1 mo, although it was mild and transient and was not a clinically significant issue. In previous studies and our study a poor baseline hepatic function (e.g., CPs ≥ 8 or 9) is consistently a significant risk factor for transient or persistent hepatic functional deterioration after the treatment. Therefore, additional attention is needed when applying the combined treatment for patients with limited hepatic functional reserve.

At 12 mo after the treatment, CPs were unchanged compared to baseline score in 90 (95.7%) of 94 patients; the other 4 patients (4.3%) experienced a 1 point increase in CPs in our study. Because all 5 patients who had alteration of CPs at 1 mo recovered baseline hepatic functional status at both 3 and 6 mo follow-up, this mild deterioration at 12 mo follow-up might not be related to the treatment. This change would be associated with natural course of liver cirrhosis. Statistically, the rise of CPs at 12 mo was not significantly associated with any factors including baseline liver functional reserves, TACE-RFA interval, and procedure-related complications. During the entire follow-up period after treatment the CPs was not changed by 2 or more points in any patients.

Major complications developed in three of 94 patients and were successfully managed with interventional procedures without deterioration of hepatic function. The incidence of major complications (3.2%) in our study involving short-term (0-2 d) interval sequential application of TACE and RFA is comparable to the rate for long-term interval sequential application of TACE and RFA and for RFA therapy alone\[17,18\].

This study has several limitations. First, it did not include a control population such as a RFA alone treatment group or combined treatment with a longer time interval because of its retrospective nature. These control groups would have been necessary to clearly confirm the safety of short-term interval combined treatment. However, we believe that our results regarding liver function change and complications after the short-term interval treatment are acceptable when compared to already published historical series. Second, we excluded 21 patients who received subsequent treatment of HCC recurrence within the 1 year follow-up period after the combined treatment. The patients were excluded because subsequent HCC-directed treatments can cause additional alteration of hepatic function. This exclusion of these patients might have a selection bias. Third, approximately 60% of patients were concomitantly treated with antiviral agents, which might have biased the hepatic function after the treatment compared to those in previous studies that did not administer antiviral agents.

In conclusion, the combination of TACE and RFA is safe for the management of HCC less than 5 cm. A time interval of 0-2 d is sufficient to allow for recovery of liver functional reserve in patients with cirrhosis. However, patients with CPs of 8 or more are at increased risk for transient liver function damage. Therefore, it might be necessary to carefully monitor these patients when using the combined treatment with a short term interval.

**COMMENTS**

**Background**

Radiofrequency ablation (RFA) has been considered to be one of the most effective loco-regional therapies for small sized hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, local tumor progression is still a potential problem especially for small sized HCCs, which might have biased the hepatic function after the treatment compared to those in previous studies that did not administer antiviral agents.

In conclusion, the combination of TACE and RFA is safe for the management of HCC less than 5 cm. A time interval of 0-2 d is sufficient to allow for recovery of liver functional reserve in patients with cirrhosis. However, patients with CPs of 8 or more are at increased risk for transient liver function damage. Therefore, it might be necessary to carefully monitor these patients when using the combined treatment with a short term interval.

**Applications**

The combined TACE and RFA with an interval of 0-2 d is safe for the management of HCC less than 5 cm in patients with liver cirrhosis. The results demonstrated that this combined treatment was safe for the management of small or intermediate HCC. A time interval of 0 to 2 d was sufficient to allow for recovery of liver functional reserve in patients with cirrhosis.

**Terminology**

TACE is one of the most widely performed treatments for unresectable HCCs, which slows tumor progression and improves survival by combining the effect of targeted chemotherapy with ischemic necrosis by arterial embolization. RFA is a localized thermal treatment technique. RFA has emerged as an accepted therapy for early HCC because of its effectiveness and safety. Nowadays, RFA is generally considered as an alternative treatment to liver resection for small sized HCCs, especially for patients with impaired liver function.

**Peer review**

In this study, the authors retrospectively investigated the safety of combination of TACE and RFA with a short term interval. The results suggest that the combined TACE and RFA is safe for treating HCC less than 5 cm in cirrhotic patients, even though the interval between two treatments is short term. Because the appropriate time interval between TACE and RFA is still under debate, this paper is of interest to the readers of the Journal.
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