Astrocytic expression of HIV-1 viral protein R in the hippocampus causes chromatolysis, synaptic loss and memory impairment
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Abstract

Background: HIV-infected individuals are at an increased risk of developing neurological abnormalities. HIV induces neurotoxicity by host cellular factors and individual viral proteins. Some of these proteins including viral protein R (Vpr) promote immune activation and neuronal damage. Vpr is known to contribute to cell death of cultured rat hippocampal neurons and suppresses axonal growth. Behavioral studies are limited and suggest hyperactivity in the presence of Vpr. Thus Vpr may play a role in hippocampal loss of function. The purpose of this study is to determine the ability of HIV-1 Vpr production by astrocytes in the hippocampus to cause neurological deficits and memory impairments.

Methods: We tested the performance of rats in novel object and novel location tasks after hippocampal infusion with astrocytes expressing HIV-1 Vpr. Synaptic injury and morphological changes were measured by synaptophysin immunoreactivity and Nissl staining.

Results: Vpr-infused rats showed impaired novel location and novel object recognition compared with control rats expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP). This impairment was correlated with a significant decrease in synaptophysin immunoreactivity in the hippocampal CA3 region, suggesting synaptic injury in HIV-1 Vpr-treated animals. In addition, Nissl staining showed morphological changes indicative of neuronal chromatolysis in the Vpr group. The Vpr-induced neuronal damage and synaptic loss suggest that neuronal dysfunction caused the spatial and recognition memory deficits found in the Vpr-infused animals.

Conclusions: In this study, we demonstrate that HIV-1 Vpr produced by astrocytes in the hippocampus impairs hippocampal-dependent learning. The data suggest Vpr is a neurotoxin with the potential to cause learning impairment in HIV-1 infected individuals even under conditions of limited viral replication.
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Introduction

The impact of HIV-1 has been moderated by the use of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) that converts the infection from a once certain death sentence to a serious, but treatable chronic illness [1,2]. Even so, significant neuronal dysfunction has been reported in the CNS [3,4] in over 50% of those infected even when viral loads are low to undetectable [5,6]. The mechanisms that mediate ongoing neurocognitive impairments in patients on suppressive cART remain an active area of study [7,8].

One of the major impediments to developing therapies that specifically target HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) is the incomplete understanding of the mechanisms that are responsible for the development and progression of neurological impairments associated with HIV-1 infection. Macrophages and microglia represent the major productively infected cell in the brain, while astrocytes are considered to harbor a predominantly latent infection [9-14]. Particularly in patients on suppressive
cART with undetectable plasma and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) viremia, even low levels of viral activity in astrocytes may provide a source for viral neurotoxins. The viral burden in astrocytes, which harbor proviral DNA even during early asymptomatic infection [15], has been linked to the severity of HAND [16]. Although astrocytic infection is best characterized by limited production of early HIV-1 gene products [17-19], there are also reports supporting very low levels of replication after initial infection or by reduction of a number of host cell restriction factors [12,20-22]. Thus, in a patient on suppressive cART where replication in microglia is controlled, astrocytes are likely to have an important role in HIV neuropathology.

Astrocytes are the most abundant cell type in the brain, and they play multiple roles in brain development and normal brain functions including maintenance and regulation of neuronal cells. Evidence confirms their roles modulating neuronal signal transmission and synaptic plasticity [23-25]. Brain function may result from the combined network activity between neurons and glia. Changes in any of these interactions by HIV infection could severely impair brain homeostasis and contribute to viral neuropathology [26]. Viral replication, even at a low level, results in production of excess of viral proteins, many of which are neurotoxic. HIV-1 Vpr is a conserved HIV accessory protein involved in G2 cell cycle arrest [27] and apoptosis in human neuronal cells [28,29]. Vpr contributes to cell death of cultured rat hippocampal neurons [30], suppresses axonal growth [31] and causes increased intracellular calcium and plasma membrane permeability in neurons [32]. It is detected in the CSF of HIV patients [33,34]. Vpr has been found in the cytoplasm of astrocytes near areas of inflammation in HIV encephalitis indicating the potential for in vivo effects on astrocytes as well [35]. In astrocyte cultures, Vpr induces several inflammatory gene products including CCL5 [36], IL-6, IL-8, MCP-1, MIF [37], and the cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor p21/WAF1 [38]. In contrast to cellular studies of effects on astrocytes and neurons, few studies have examined whether Vpr is sufficiently neurotoxic to cause learning impairments. Vpr expression from brain monocytes in a transgenic mouse showed synaptic injury and disruption of neurotransmitter homeostatic enzymes as well as hyperexcitability and aberrant motor activity [28]. While these findings indicate that Vpr can alter CNS function, it is unknown whether Vpr expressed by astrocytes contributes to neurocognitive impairments. In this study, we established a model system to test whether Vpr produced by astrocytes can induce sufficient neurotoxicity to manifest as impaired learning. The purpose of this study is to determine the ability of HIV-1 Vpr production by astrocytes in the hippocampus to cause neurological deficits and memory impairments.

Materials and methods

Animals

All protocols involving rats were evaluated and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Sixty day old male Sprague Dawley rats obtained from the Ponce School of Medicine Animal Research Facilities were surgically implanted with autologous, Vpr-transfected astrocytes. Primary cultures of rat astrocytes were harvested from an additional rat group, also approved by the IACUC. To deliver the Vpr-transfected (or control green fluorescent protein (GFP)-transfected) cells, we used a stereotaxic device and an infusion pump system. The infusion rate was 0.5 µL/min to deliver a cell content of 100,000 cells. Prior to surgery, rats were anesthetized with isoflurane. Unilateral micro-infusion of transfected primary astrocytes was performed in the dentate gyrus of the right brain hemisphere. The infusions were at the specified coordinates: AP -0.28 mm, ML ±0.10 mm and DV -0.40 mm from bregma. Infusions were performed using a published protocol [39,40] with modifications [41]. After two days of recovery, rats were tested in the novel location and novel object recognition learning task (details follow). Following behavioral testing, rats were euthanized with an overdose of pentobarbital, blood was removed by cardiac puncture and animals were perfused with saline followed by buffered formalin to preserve brain tissue for analyses. The proper location of the infusion site was determined histologically. Throughout the experiments, rats were maintained on a 12-hour day/night cycle with free access to food and water.

Transient transfection of primary astrocytes

Primary astrocytes were isolated from Sprague Dawley rats [41,42] and cultured in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum. Transfection was used as a way to deliver the plasmid-encoding viral protein Vpr to stimulate the cell protein production. Primary rat astrocytes were transfected with a plasmid-encoding Vpr-GFP (experimental group) and GFP (control). Transfections were done using the Gene Pulser Xcell Electroporation System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) under the following conditions: 4 mm cuvette containing 5 µg of plasmid DNA per 1.6 × 10⁶ cells in a 300 µl volume of serum-free RPMI; 250 V and 35 ms using a time constant protocol. Flow cytometry was done using a GFP plasmid to determine transfection efficiency. Routine transfection efficiency by GFP expression was between 60 and 80% positive cells.

Vpr mRNA and protein detection

Total RNA and protein were isolated from transfected cells after various times in culture using Nucleospin reagents and protocol (Macherey-Nagel, Bethlehem, PA, USA). RNA (1 µg) was converted to cDNA using the Bio-
Rad iScript cDNA synthesis kit, followed by 35 cycles of real-time PCR with the Bio-Rad SYBR-green Supermix. The GFP control transfections produced only background fluorescence values, precluding a fold-change comparison; thus, relative expression of Vpr was determined by comparing signal strength versus the housekeeping gene, β-actin, and the difference in cycle of detection (ΔCt) is reported. Western blotting was used to confirm Vpr protein expression; 25 μg of protein from each total cell lysate were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody rabbit anti-Vpr (AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, NIH; Cat. #11836; 1:1000) or anti-actin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA; Cat. #A5060; 1:1000) Detection of bound primary antibodies was achieved with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody followed by chemiluminescent/chemifluorescent detection (General Electric RJP 2323).

Novel location and novel object learning task
Novel location and object recognition tasks were performed after infusion of astrocytes expressing HIV-1 Vpr or GFP. The protocol was adjusted from Benice and colleagues [43]. These experiments start by handling the rats to provide a period for adjustment to manipulation by humans and to reduce stress that could interfere with the behavioral test. Rats were handled for 5 minutes daily over four days, prior to brain surgery (day 5). After brain surgery and a 2-day recovery period, rats began training and testing. Training consisted of a day of habituation where the rats are familiarized with the open field that is used for testing. Rats were placed in a square box (9 sq. ft. area) for two trials of 5 minutes, each separated by 1 hour. On the following day, three objects were placed at specific locations within the same open field. Rats were then trained to learn the object locations through three 5-minute exposure periods, separated by 1-hour intervals. After 24 hours, one object was moved to the opposite corner (new location) and then the rat was given a 5-minute challenge to test memory of the old location. Memory was indicated by the rat spending additional time exploring the new location as rats will preferentially explore novelty when presented with a familiar environment. One hour later, one of the two unmoved objects was replaced (new object). The rat was given a 5-minute challenge to assess memory of old objects. The test measured exploration time for all objects, with learning indicated by a preference for the new object. Animals that did not show preference for novelty in either test were considered to have a memory deficit. Data acquisition was by a digital video camera followed by analysis with Ethovision software (Noldus Information Technology Inc., Leesburg, VA, USA).

Nissl staining for assessment of chromatolysis and neuronal damage
After sacrifice, brains were removed and preserved in buffered formalin prior to paraffin embedding. Brain slices of 4 μm thickness were dewaxed in xylene substitute for 30 minutes, rehydrated through graded alcohols and neutralized with 3% hydrogen peroxide (Sigma-Aldrich), followed by a rinse under running tap water and immersion in antigen-retrieval solution (0.01 M citrate, pH 6.0) for 1 minute at 98°C. Then sections were washed in TBS for 5 minutes and treated with blocking solution containing normal goat serum (BioGenex, cat# HK112-9KE). Sections were incubated for 24 hrs at 4°C in mouse monoclonal antisynaptophysin antibody (Neuromics, cat # MO20000, 1:500 dilutions). Negative controls with TBS instead of primary antibody were run in each slide. Primary antibody was washed in TBS buffer for 2 × 5 minutes and incubated with Multi Link secondary antibody (Super Sensitive Link-Label IHC Detection System, cat# LP000-ULE, BioGenex, San Ramon, CA, USA). Secondary antibody was washed in TBS and incubated in ABC-HRP, washed in TBS buffer and incubated in 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (cat# HK153-5KE, Biogenex, San Ramon, CA, USA). Slides were rinsed in water and counterstained with hematoxylin for 30 sec. The sections were rinsed, dehydrated and mounted with Cytoseal XYL (cat# 8312-4, Richard Allan Scientific, Kalamazoo, MI, USA). For quantitative densitometry, images of regions of interest (ROI) in the CA3 were captured from 5 rats in each group using NIH Image J 1.50 software.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were done with Graph Pad Prism version 5.02. ANOVA was used to measure statistics of locomotor activity, center zone time, and time spent
exploring novelty within group Vpr and GFP, as well as analyses of Vpr expression and of differences in chromatolysis and synaptophysin. Statistical comparisons of time spent exploring new location/object between Vpr and GFP were done using the Student \( t \) test. \( P \) values \( \leq 0.05 \) were considered significant.

**Results**

As a preliminary step in generating a rat model of spatial learning impairment by endogenous expression of HIV-1 Vpr protein from astrocytes, we established a primary astrocyte cell culture system. Cells were cultured in vitro as previously described [41] and genetically modified to express Vpr from a plasmid by transfection. Figure 1A demonstrates that primary rat astrocytes strongly express the Vpr gene for at least 7 days post-transfection in vitro compared to control cells that were mock transfected. The mRNA levels for Vpr were substantial at several thousand-fold over background at all time points as assessed by real-time RT-PCR. A no-RT control showed background levels equivalent to the control cells (not shown) indicating the signal was from RNA and not from transfected plasmid. Vpr protein expression in vitro peaked rapidly and declined after 48 hours. The peak expression as determined by western blot occurs immediately after transfection at 6 hours (Figure 1B). Thus, while mRNA levels were continuously expressed, protein was detectable for a shorter duration; consistent with this in vitro finding, we were unable to detect Vpr protein at the time of sacrifice (data not shown). Difficulty in detecting Vpr protein in vivo has been reported [28] even when the toxic effects of Vpr are present.

**Normal locomotor, anxiety and weight changes during learning session**

To define any physical or behavioral interference during the learning task, we analyzed the distance traveled (locomotor function) and the percent of time the rats spent in the center (assessment of anxiety) in an open field test. In order to examine spatial learning, the first step was to familiarize the rats with the environment. Exposure to a new environment leads to habituation characterized by a large amount of initial exploratory activity and a subsequent decrease as the rats become familiar with the environment [44,45]. In our experimental design, the initial exposure to objects in the open field was where this type of habituation during learning occurred. We measured distance traveled during the trials of the acclimation phase. Animals in both groups showed a similar trend of reduction in exploration across subsequent trials (Figure 2A). While Vpr animals traveled less distance in each trial, they showed the same tendency of reduced exploration from trial 1 to trial 2 and trial 2 to trial 3 as the GFP group. This demonstrates that the Vpr rats habituated and were able to familiarize with the objects and learning environment. The groups did not show differences in anxiety as indicated by the increased time spent passing through the center zone of the open field with each exposure to the environment (Figure 2B). Finally, the neurotoxicity of Vpr we detected in the chromatolytic neurons did not affect the overall health of the rats as assessed by weight gain (Figure 2C). Based on these parameters, the rats from both the Vpr and GFP groups were able to adequately perform our tests of novel recognition without influence from motor, anxiety or general health impairments. Thus, we proceeded with the test of novelty recognition.

**Vpr expression in the hippocampus impairs long-term memory**

Hippocampal function (learning) can be compromised by neuronal damage or death caused by exposure to Vpr. In order to test for deficits in learning and memory due to neuron disruption driven by Vpr neurotoxicity,
we measured behavioral responses to spatial change. While the rats habituate and learn the objects, a process of recognition and identification leading to prioritized attention to unexpected or new information develops [45]. This is shown when a change in spatial configuration provokes an increase in exploratory activity of the novelty. The rats exhibit a preference for the change or moved object. Spatial memory (novel location learning) and episodic memory (novel object learning) were assessed by comparing the time exploring the static object and the time exploring the moved or changed object. We determined the potential of Vpr to cause learning deficits by comparison against control animals infused with GFP-expressing astrocytes. Animals in the Vpr group showed deficits in both spatial and episodic memory by failing to increase exploration of the new location and new object, respectively (Figure 3A). In contrast, GFP-treated rats displayed a trend ($P = 0.0607$) of increased exploration of the novel location and statistically increased exploration of the novel object ($P < 0.05$). To substantiate that the changes in exploration represented different behavior between the groups, we also made direct comparisons between the GFP- and Vpr-treated rats for their exploration of novelty. Figure 3B shows that GFP rats explored the novel location statistically more than their Vpr-treated counterparts ($P = 0.0011$). Together these results indicate a significant spatial learning impairment is caused by endogenous Vpr expression.

Astrocyte expression of HIV-1 Vpr in the hippocampus induces structural changes indicating damage to neurons

Astrocytes respond to viral neurotoxins by releasing pro-inflammatory molecules, which attract macrophages and induce apoptosis of bystander cells [46,47]. HIV Vpr is known to produce apoptosis in several types of cells including human neurons [28,29,37,46,48]. We used Nissl staining to assess neuronal morphology. Our results show that rats infused with Vpr-transfected astrocytes develop chromatolytic neuronal morphology in CA3 and CA1 (Figure 4A). We found a significant difference in number of chromatolytic cells and normal cells present in the CA1 and CA3 (Figure 4B). Chromatolysis is characterized by transient enlargement of the nucleus and cell body, reorganization of rough endoplasmic reticulum, and gradual disappearance of Nissl bodies by loss of RNA basophilic staining [49-52]. Neuronal recovery through regeneration can occur after chromatolysis [53], but most often it is a precursor of cell death or apoptosis. TUNEL staining of brain slices from these rats did not show reactivity (data not shown), indicating that the chromatolysis in our model does not induce neuronal death. We also looked for activation of caspase 3/7 and Annexin V assay in astrocytes transfected with Vpr in vitro and did not observe signs of apoptosis (data not shown).

Since chromatolysis may reflect damage to neurons that is below the threshold to cause apoptosis, we examined the brain tissue for signs of synaptodendritic injury.
Since deletion of the major presynaptic terminal protein, synaptophysin, produces impairment in tests of object novelty and spatial learning in mice [54], we examined whether infusion of the Vpr-expressing astrocytes affected synaptophysin expression in the hippocampus. We found a significant reduction in synaptophysin staining in CA3, particularly on the infusion side (Figure 5). Thus, even though we found no evidence of neuronal death by apoptosis, the overall cellular morphology showed significant damage and increased chromatolysis that upon closer examination showed signs of synaptic injury and loss of a major synaptic protein.

**Discussion**

HIV invasion into the brain promotes cellular responses causing neurological impairments that affect cognition, behavior and motor function [5,26,47,55]. In this study, we report the contributions of HIV-1 Vpr to hippocampal loss of function and neurocognitive impairment. HIV-1 Vpr produced by astrocytes in the hippocampus impairs memory and causes damage to neurons resulting in synaptic injury without detectable apoptosis. Our results support that limited viral protein production by astrocytes is sufficient to produce toxicity and functional impairment of neurons.

HIV-1 Vpr has been found in several infected cell types in the brain including astrocytes [35]. Although astrocytes show low permissiveness to HIV-1 infection [9,56,57], more recent work indicates astrocyte infection is substantially greater in HIV patients with dementia [16]. Astrocytes participate in extensive neuron-glial synaptic interactions [58] and are involved in synaptic plasticity [59,60]. Memory and synaptic plasticity have been always attributed to neuronal modulation. As reviewed by Ota [61], evidence shows that astrocytes have an ongoing role in the regulation of neuronal activity through the release of gliotransmitters and modification of postsynaptic neurons. In the hippocampus, 62% of synapses are contacted by astrocytes at the synaptic cleft [62]. Thus, even with low permissiveness, astrocyte infection could have significant effects and contribute to HAND.

The recognition that HAND occurs even when viral loads in plasma and CSF are undetectable suggests that viral activity and chronic inflammation are present during suppressive cART [5,8]. The brain serves as a reservoir for HIV and represents a site in which this residual...
Viral activity continues [63]. HIV-1 Vpr is most likely produced in astrocytes where limited viral activity is stimulated by inflammation [21], resulting in localized effects. Our model simulates focal Vpr production by astrocytes in the hippocampus to examine if the toxic effect is sufficient to damage neurons both physically and functionally using histological analyses and behavioral testing. Vpr-treated rats, in comparison to their GFP-treated counterparts, were subjected to a 5-day protocol that resulted in impaired novelty recognition. Interestingly, although the Vpr gene expression was detectable at the RNA level across the duration, Vpr protein was detectible only transiently, indicating that a short exposure produces a pathological phenotype. Rats in both groups were able to demonstrate working memory of the testing chamber as demonstrated by reduction in exploration across three consecutive sessions to learn the object locations. Failure to learn the objects in this manner would constitute a short-term learning deficit. During acclimation to the open field, the rats construct a cognitive map of the objects and the environment that allows them to detect changes. If they are impaied they are unable to associate the spatial changes in the learning cage [64]. Interestingly, another study where Vpr was expressed transgenically in monocyte cells showed neurotoxic effects [28]. Vpr expression, in brain tissue, particularly in basal ganglia and cortex, was detected at the RNA level but as with our experiments, Vpr protein immunoreactivity was difficult to document [28]. In our model, Vpr treated rats show an overall reduction in distance (Figure 2A). In addition to distance traveled, we also measured time spent in center zone. Avoidance of the center zone indicates anxiety during the exposure to the open field [65]. Time in center zone was measured to assess Vpr’s effect on anxiety. We found minimal differences between the groups allowing us to exclude anxiety as a cause of the impaired memory (Figure 2B). Finally, as we reported in a similar

| Figure 4 | Astrocytic HIV-1 viral protein R (Vpr) production induces neuronal chromatolysis. (A) Representative 40x images of the Nissl stained hippocampal sections show reduced staining in Vpr-treated tissues. Chromatolysis was detected by prominent migration of the nucleus toward the periphery of the cell (shown in zoom to right). (B) Normal and chromatolytic neurons were counted from three sections per rat in CA1 and CA3 of both hippocampal hemispheres of green fluorescent protein (GFP)- and Vpr-treated rats. Mean and standard deviation are graphed. Significance was assessed by ANOVA. *P <0.05, **P <0.01. Five rats were counted in each group. |
study using Nef as the neurotoxin [41], we found that Vpr treatment does not affect general health as measured by weight gain (Figure 2C).

Our results correlate memory loss with neuronal chromatolysis in hippocampal CA3 region. Chromatolysis is typically seen in response to axonal injury and refers to the dissolution of Nissl bodies in the cytoplasm [66]. It is considered an early stage of morphological pathology that occurs in a cell that may enter apoptosis. Chromatolytic morphological changes have not been well explained, and there is a controversy as to whether chromatolytic neurons are irreversibly destined for death or if neurons may recover from injury marked by chromatolysis [52,53,67]. Chromatolysis is often observed as a consequence of axonal injury [68,69]. Some studies report that a cell undergoing chromatolysis activates regenerative pathways later, increasing protein production to counteract neuronal insults [70]. Redirecting cell machinery for regeneration can compromise metabolic needs and productivity that would otherwise be available for learning.

The hippocampus is involved in object recognition and memory formation [71]. To investigate the molecular mechanisms involved in HIV-1 Vpr induced memory impairment, we examined hippocampal levels of synaptophysin. Memory impairment was associated with decreased hippocampal synaptophysin in a mice model of Alzheimer [72]. As well, transgenic mice without synaptophysin demonstrate spatial and novelty learning impairments. Interestingly, these mice also demonstrate increased exploratory activity that is indicative of failure to habituate. Our Vpr-treated rats were able to habituate effectively, but like the transgenic mice lacking synaptophysin, demonstrated novelty learning impairment [54]. A major caveat to comparing these studies is that the transgenic mice had a complete absence of synaptophysin in all neurons and throughout development, whereas our Vpr rats showed decreased synaptophysin staining in a localized region for a short duration. This may explain why our rats showed habituation.

The CA3 is known to be associated with spatial learning, novelty detection, and short-term memory [73]. It is divided into CA3-a, -b, and -c subareas [74] CA3-a and -b, play important roles in new spatial information and short-term memory [75]. As demonstrated in our results, the CA3-a region showed decreased synaptophysin staining that correlated with the learning and memory impairment found in the novel recognition spatial learning task. Synaptophysin plays an important role in modulating synaptic plasticity. Alterations to the metabolic needs of the cell may compromise consolidation process leading to a learning and memory impairment. Synaptophysin is a synaptic vesicle specific protein, present in the membrane

![Image of synaptophysin staining in CA3]
of neuronal presynaptic vesicles [76,77]. It is implicated in the control of exocytosis [78] and neurotransmitter release [79]. Studies have shown increased synaptophysin in enriched environments with improved spatial memory [80]. These results demonstrate that HIV-Vpr expression in the hippocampus induces a synaptic impact with marked reductions in presynaptic synaptophysin protein involved in neurotransmission and synaptic plasticity.

Conclusions
We report for the first time that Vpr produced endogenously from astrocytes from a discrete region (right hemisphere dentate gyrus) and short duration (five days or fewer) causes neurotoxicity that is both morphologically and functionally relevant. The functional impairment is specific for spatial and episodic memory and does not represent a generalizable impairment as animals demonstrate proper motor function as well as the capacity for short term learning. The impairment manifests at least in part from neuronal injury and synaptic damage specifically in the hippocampus. The absence of apoptotic cells suggests that the damage caused by transient expression of Vpr is not permanent. In some respects, this is akin to recovery in function shown by some patients upon starting suppressive therapy. However, our model does not address the issue of the impact of multiple, sporadic (temporal and anatomical) insults that could be caused by focal viral neurotoxin production. These events when summed over time may be at the foundation for the observed continued progression of HAND in treated HIV-1 infected populations. Our results provide a basis for the importance of sub-replication viral activity which may occur from atypically infected cells, like astrocytes, in the continued neurological morbidities affecting those infected with HIV-1 in the era of suppressive antiretroviral therapy.
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