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Abstract
For the analysis of historical wage development, no structured data is available. Job advertisements, as found in newspapers can provide insights into what different types of jobs paid, but require language technology to structure in a format conducive to quantitative analysis. In this paper, we report on our experiments to mine wages from 19th century newspaper advertisements and detail the challenges that need to be overcome to perform a socio-economic analysis of textual data sources.
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1. Introduction
Economists and sociologists draw on historical data to study long term trends. Information from archival records is used, for example to assess intergenerational mobility (Knigge, 2016). Overall, relative to contemporary data, historical quantitative data are hard to come by, and seldom the result of a research oriented data gathering process. As a result, researchers have to deal with what is available: a signature to indicate someone’s literacy, relative height to proxy health and the rounding of numbers (‘age heaping’) as an indicator of a population’s numeracy. In that sense even occupation is a proxy for a person’s human, economic and social capital.

Historians draw, next to quantitative sources, on qualitative sources. For example, by manually studying 617 memoirs for reasons why people put their children too work, or rather try to keep from work (Humphries, 2003). Another example is (Schulz et al., 2014) who painstakingly derived ascribed and achieved characteristics from 2194 job employment advertisements. However, compared to the derivation of occupational information, mining such qualitative data is even more labour intensive and will therefore always lead to relatively small samples, reducing the statistical power of hypothesis tests.

In this paper, we expand on these sources by using a computer assisted method, text mining, to extract from a qualitative source an occupation specific characteristic: wage. Wages are one of the most important long-run data series being gathered. They are a headline measure of long-run living standards and economic leadership (Allen, 2001; Allen et al., 2011; Feinstein, 1998; de Zwart et al., 2014). The Malthusian view of pre-industrial societies being characterised by long-term income stagnation relies on wages and prices for its empirical support (Clark, 2005). Furthermore, wage data are a key component in current explanations for the timing and location of industrialisation and the transition to sustained growth (Allen, 2009).

However, there has been substantial criticism at the methodology used in such research. Daily wages from a narrow set of occupations (construction workers) are made comparable through a standardised CPI and working days estimate. The degree to which such estimates are representative over all occupations and the places for which we have wages, as well as the assumptions about hours worked are increasingly criticised as biasing results (Stephenson, 2018; Humphries and Schneider, 2019; Humphries and Weisdorf, 2019). Overall, the call is to broaden the horizon in the type of sources being used to obtain historical wage data.

In this paper, we present a text mining use case for the social science and humanities domain. We describe a rule-based classifier that is used on a large corpus of job advertisements to analyse the development of wages. With this approach, we can automatically extract wages from a wide range of occupations and places. We describe our experiments and results, as well as the challenges in working on non-digital born resources and with a corpus that displays diachronic language variation.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we describe related work followed by the data sources used in Section 3. We then describe our approach in processing and analysing the wage information from digitized newspapers in Section 4. Our evaluation is presented in Section 5 followed by our conclusions and future work in Section 6. The code used in this project, as well as the lists of occupation titles and qualitative wage indicators can be found on: https://github.com/rubenros1795/mining-job-ads

2. Related work
To the best of our knowledge, advertisements have only occasionally been used in historical sociology and economic history. Schulz et al. use job advertisements to study...
whether people are hired for their ascribed or achieved characteristics (Schulz et al., 2014). Gray also collects quantitative data from newspaper advertisements, in this case rental prices to study the New York rental market (Gray, 2018). However, so far all these studies rely on manual entry to extract information from advertisements. One exception is Cummins, who uses regular expressions to extract information on wealth at death from the printed volumes of the Principal Probate Registry of the United Kingdom between 1892–2016 (Cummins, 2019). Automating this process further, and evaluating the results systematically, can greatly increase the usability of this kind of source material.

Automatically extracting information from text is a well-developed task in natural language processing research (Weischedel and Boschec, 2018). However, occupations are not a category of concepts that are generally included in such tasks, which often focus on named entities and relations between them. Many such approaches are based on machine learning methods, but annotating training data for this work was out of the scope of our project. Furthermore, the non-digital born nature of the source material used in this study also affects the quality of automatic methods (van Strien et al., 2020).

3. Data

The Dutch National Library has undertaken several large-scale digitization projects, resulting in a collection of over 15 million pages of digitized newspapers spanning the period between 1618 and 1995. Newspapers printed before 1876 are free of copyright restrictions. For the post-1876 newspapers, access was granted by the National Library. Besides the text of the newspaper articles (made machine-readable with Optical Character Recognition), various metadata fields such as dates, newspaper titles and links to the original images are also available.

The Dutch National Library has assigned four categories to different newspaper articles: news, advertisements, personal announcement, and illustrations. This makes it relatively straightforward to extract advertisements. For this project, we focused on advertisements in all newspapers present in the National Library database printed between 1850 and 1890. Although newspaper advertisements appear as early as the seventeenth century, it was only the mid-nineteenth century that saw the rise of large-scale newspapers, structured in a relatively consistent way and including relatively stable categories of advertisements.

After downloading the advertisements, they were preprocessed by removing all non-alphanumeric characters, followed by lowercasing and tokenization. We decided not to lemmatize the corpus because we suspected that the low OCR quality of the ads would hinder proper lemmatization. The statistics of the (preprocessed) data are summarized in Table 1. We measure OCR quality using the type-token ratio (TTR), which is the relative number of unique words divided by the relative number of words. Because faulty OCR produces many non-existent words, it is expected that texts with a low OCR-quality have a relatively high number of unique words (types). The ratio between the number of words and the number of unique words in a given year thus forms an approximation of OCR quality. Since a higher number of articles often leads to a higher number of types we divide both the number of tokens and the number of types by the number of articles.

According to (Reynaert, 2008), a TTR of around 44% is expected for twentieth-century newspapers. Wevers reports type-token ratios between 5% and 25% in the period 1890–1910 (Wevers, 2017). The quality of the OCR in the ads used in this study is quite low, especially compared to similar measurements in earlier studies. The low scores for the material used in this study are likely to result from the visual complexity of advertisements (compared to news articles). This also explains the decline in type-token ratios in the period between 1850 and 1879. In this period, an increase in the number of advertisements and the growing size of newspaper pages led to more complex page compositions that are harder to process for OCR engines.

Figure 1 shows an example of a job advertisement published in 1878. The photographed newspaper is provided, as well as the translation in italics. OCR errors are marked in red.

4. Approach

The approach to extract information from job advertisements consists of two tasks. First, the job advertisements (currently not labelled as such by the National Library) need to be identified from the overall set of advertisements. The second step is to extract ‘wage indicators’ from the advertisements and connect them to specific occupations.

4.1. Extracting Job Advertisements

Because a single advertisement (identified as such by article segmentation metadata provided by the National Library) often contains multiple advertisements (Figure 2), we need to detect potentially multiple occupations and associated wages per article. Especially job advertisements are
Table 1: Description of the dataset: the number of pages, the total number of articles, the total number of tokens, the total number of types and the type token ratio (TTR). The TTR is calculated as the ratio between the relative number of tokens (words) and the relative number of types (unique words).

|          | 1850-1859 | 1860-1869 | 1870-1879 | 1880-1889 |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| pages    | 1,491,391  | 3,321,334  | 3,534,270  | 3,427,923  |
| articles | 303,631    | 562,584    | 645,721    | 525,792    |
| tokens   | 1,7E + 08  | 1,72E + 08 | 1,72E + 08 | 1,57E + 08 |
| types    | 6,048,407  | 10,344,452 | 13,227,808 | 10,233,301 |
| TTR      | 18%        | 16%        | 13%        | 13%        |

sensitive to poor segmentation because they are generally short and do not have a consistent visual appearance. From all the advertisements that contain occupation titles, around 80% contain multiple titles. This problem could be solved by implementing a new segmentation procedure or by training a classifier to find relevant segments within the overall text, but this was out of the scope of this project.

Job ads were extracted based on an expansive list of occupations obtained from the historical international classification of occupations (HISCO) database [1]. We considered expanding the list by generating spelling alternatives based on string edit distance (e.g. “bakcer” and “dakker” as a way to detect wrongly OCR'ed instances of “bakker”). However, this introduced a new type of noise to the dataset because these alternatives contain many words that are no longer connected to the occupation title. Moreover, the list obtained from the HISCO dataset already includes 12,671 unique occupation titles. After selecting the advertisements based on the list of occupation titles the problem of wrongly segmented advertisements was circumvented by selecting a specific window of words around the occupation title. This introduced the problem of multiple advertisements being grouped together. However, by measuring the average number of words between occupation titles, trying different window sizes and close readings of individual advertisements we found a window of twelve words to the left, and forty words to the right of the occupation title to be the most effective. Overall, this method would result in 175,209 extracted windows on a total of 1,515,179 advertisements.

4.2. Extracting Wage Information

Information about wages and compensations for advertised occupations in nineteenth-century job advertisements comes in two forms. First, many jobs are advertised with reference to qualitative indicators such as **hoog loon** ("high pay") and **behoorlijk salaris** ("reasonable salary"). This category is also marked by wage indicators that are related to the applicants’ capabilities. Especially the phrase **loon naar bekwaamheden** ("wage by capabilities") frequently appears in the advertisements in the period 1850-1870. The second category of wage information is quantitative information. Despite the ‘messiness’ of digitized advertisements, numerical wage indicators are relatively consistent in form. Low-skilled jobs were often paid the same: a hundred guilders a year or two guilders a week. This reflects in the advertisements. Furthermore, OCR errors are also relatively consistent. Often, “10” is recognized as “lo” and the guilder sign “¢” is frequently recognized as an “f”. The classifier is therefore designed in such a way that the most frequently occurring OCR-errors are recognized and corrected.

4.2.1. Extracting Qualitative Wages

Qualitative wage classifiers were extracted using a list of frequently occurring indicators (such as “good wage”, “wage by capabilities”, “good salary” etc.). This list was composed manually on the basis of an extensive close reading exercise. We observed how only a limited range of qualitative indicators were used in the advertisements and that the use of a manually composed vocabulary of indicators was justified. Of course, OCR might have affected the identification of the indicators, but because all of the indi-
4.2.2. Extracting Numerical Wages

The extraction of the numerical wages was the central and most complex part of the project. We tackled this task by designing a rule based classifier. The reason for doing so is twofold. First, as mentioned earlier, the quantitative information appears in a relatively consistent form. Second, such an approach does not require large amounts of labeled training data.

The first step in identifying numerical wages was to extract all tokens with numerical characters and with a length of less than six and appearing in the selected context windows around occupation titles. Because a significant portion of the wages comprised the numbers 10 or 100, we also included tokens containing the character combinations “lo” and “loo” as a way to capture the most frequent OCR errors. Additionally, a separate vocabulary of spelled out numbers (“hundred”, “fifty”) was used to extract quantitative information in non-numerical form.

After selecting a list of wage candidates a rule-based classifier was used to determine the likelihood of the selected token expressing a wage. The classifier uses the following features:

- whether the first character of the token, or the preceding token is an “f” or “f”;
- whether the preceding token is the word van (“of”) or tegen (“against”), since wages are often discussed as loon van 5 gulden (“wage of five guilders”);
- whether the token that follows the numerical candidate is the word gulden (“guilder”).
- whether one of the three words before or after the numerical candidate is either loon (“wage”), salaris (“salary”), beloning (“remuneration”) or jaarwedde (“a year’s wage”).
- whether the first two characters of the numerical candidate are “18” or the token after the candidate is a month. In that case, the candidate is probably an indicator of time.

The features were selected on the basis of a close reading of a sample of over a hundred advertisements per decade. This showed that the appearance of job advertisements was relatively stable over time. Terms such as “salary” and “guilder” consistently appeared alongside occupation titles and the inner structure of advertisements was did not change significantly. This led us to select the above mentioned lexical features for the classifier.

5. Evaluation

The extraction and identification method was evaluated by comparing the extracted results with a set of manually annotated advertisements. A sample of 150 advertisements was drawn from the advertisements published in the years 1851, 1856, 1861, 1866, 1871, 1876 and 1881, resulting in a set of 1050 advertisements. Because at this stage we only wanted to evaluate the identification of wages and not the identification of occupations, we generated the windows using the method outlined in Section 4. This resulted in a total of 620 job advertisements that formed the evaluation set.

The subsequent annotation procedure comprised the tagging of occupations, qualitative wage information, and quantitative wage information. In Table 3 below, all annotation options are listed. Initially, software (WebAnno) was used for annotation but given the fairly straightforward entities that needed annotation it proved much faster to use a hand-built Python script.

The following rules were set for annotating the windows:

- If two occupation titles are mentioned reiziger of secondant (“traveler or assistant”), both titles were annotated only if one of them is not detected in another advertisement. The script that creates the windows based on the occupations generates separate advertisement windows for every detected occupation, so in the case of “reiziger of secondant” both reiziger and secondant could have their “own” window. Therefore, if both are detected and processed as separate windows only one is annotated.
- Wages can come in the form of a range, such as: f 100 tot f 120 (“f 100 tot f 120”). In this case, both indicators are annotated (separate by a space). However, when there is extra money to be earned f 100 inclusief f5 waschgeld (“f1 including f5 laundry allowance”), only the ‘main’ wage number is annotated.
- qualitative and quantitative information sometimes co-occurs. In that case they are annotated as: quan [token(s)], qual [token(s)].

During the annotation it turned out that half of the advertisements could either not be classified as a job advertisement, or did not contain any wage indicators. The first problem arose from occupation titles such as boekhandelaar (“bookseller”), or burgemeester (“mayor”) that were mentioned in different contexts (See Figure 1). The second problem, was mostly caused by high-skilled occupations (teachers and civil servants) that were not accompanied by wages.

In Table 3 we report the results of the evaluation procedure. Because the results vary based on whether we count all ads, ads that are only classified as job ads, or ads that contain wage indicators, we differentiated the evaluation results. With f1 scores between 0.624 and 0.724 our classifiers works reasonably well in extracting wage information. Given our original corpus of around 1.000.000 advertisements, containing around 175.000 occupations, a recall of 70% would give us 122.500 correctly classified advertisements. Here, we have to keep in mind the issue of true negatives: many of the windows are extracted on the basis of occupation titles that do not relate to job advertisements, but to other types of advertising. For example, the word “baker” could also be used in the context of bread advertisements. The classifier correctly discards these windows, because they are not job advertisements. However, in the
6. Preview: Wages in Domestic Services

To illustrate the types of analyses a structured dataset on wages can facilitate, we include a small example here of the combined wages of four similar occupations: kitchenmaid, maid, servant and housekeeper. Figure 3 shows the extracted quantitative wage indicators in the period between 1850 and 1879, combined for all four occupations. The resulting nominal wage series is fairly flat, but for the 1850–1875 period this is in line with other work (Allen, 2001). Moreover, the variation in wages in any given year confirms that there is substantial wage heterogeneity, even within a set of similar occupations.

7. Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper, we presented an approach and experiments for extracting wages and occupations from historical newspaper ads. We highlighted the main challenges in working with non-digital born data, as well as artefacts of the digitization process such as OCR and segmentation errors. Our observations can serve as guidelines for other researchers taking on text-driven analyses on historical newspapers.

Our method of extracting information about wages and occupations in historical advertisements proves to be a promising line of research. It sheds light on the socio-economic history of professional categories that generally lack quantitative evidence. By using large-scale digital corpora and relatively straightforward text classification methods, this evidence can be gathered. Of course, several problems need to be resolved before we can use wage indicators as reliable quantitative evidence. Three practical problems need further attention. First, occupations need disambiguation. In the example “baker searches apprentice”, both occupations, along with their windows, are extracted. In the current situation, both occupations would be connected to a wage indicator that only refers to “apprentice”. Tackling this problem could be done by, for example, considering the verbs that surround a specific occupation or by simply removing occupation titles that are seldom advertised. A second problem is the occurrence of multiple quantitative indicators in the advertisements. Wages were often negotiable or dependent on capabilities or background. In that case, we might encounter “f50-60”, “100, rising to 110” or “f100 with a bonus of f10 a month”. Currently, the classifier selects only the “top candidate” from the ad. This problem could be resolved by a second classifier that disambiguates multiple numerical indicators extracted from the ads.

Thirdly, we could only perform an evaluation on a sample of the dataset. For large-scale data enrichments, further evaluations using different samples, or a human-in-the-loop annotation approach are recommended. Here, additional information about the system’s decisions such as its confidence or the text quality can help distinguish ‘easy’ from ‘difficult’ cases, enabling a setup where human experts are only presented those cases that the computer cannot solve. We foresee many benefits from hybrid annotation efforts and hope our experiment provides a first inspiration for such experiments in different contexts.

Table 2: Explanation of the tags used in the annotation.

| Feature     | Tag                | Situation                                                                 |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Occupation  | [occupation title]| if the extracted occupation is correct                                     |
| Occupation  | “na”               | if the extracted occupation is incorrect because the ad is not a job ad   |
| Occupation  | [correct occupation]| if the extracted occupation is incorrect because another occupation is advertised |
| Occupation  | “np”               | if the extracted occupation is incorrect because no occupation is mentioned|
| WAGE        | “qual” [token(s)] | if the extracted wage is indicated by qualitative indicators              |
| WAGE        | “quan” [token]     | if the extracted wage is indicated by quantitative indicators             |
| WAGE        | “na”               | if no wage indicators are present because the ad is not a job ad          |
| WAGE        | “np”               | if no wage indicators are present                                         |

Table 3: Evaluation results for three different categories of advertisements in the evaluation set.

|             | All Ads | Job Ads | Ads with Indicators |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------------------|
| precision   | 0.715   | 0.717   | 0.641               |
| recall      | 0.754   | 0.737   | 0.607               |
| $f_1$       | 0.734   | 0.727   | 0.624               |
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