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Abstract. Longitudinal shear flow in the connection of a bridge steel truss upper chord and a concrete bridge slab is studied both in elastic and plastic stages of loading up to the shear connection collapse. First the distribution of the shear flow with an increasing level of loading is shown as resulted from 3D MNA (materially nonlinear analysis) using ANSYS software package and a former experimental verification. Nevertheless, the flow peaks in elastic stages above truss nodes due to local transfer of forces are crucial for design of the shear connection in bridges. Therefore a simple approximate 2D elastic frame modelling was suggested for subsequent extensive parametric studies. The study covers various loadings including the design loading of bridges and demonstrates importance of rigidity of the shear connection, rigidity of an upper steel truss chord and rigidity of a concrete deck. Temperature effects and a creep of concrete are also studied. The substantial part of the study deals also with concentration of shear connectors in the area of steel truss nodes and influence of the connector densification on distribution of the longitudinal shear along an interface of the steel truss chord and the concrete deck. Eurocode 4 approach and quest to find an optimum design of the shear connection in composite bridge trusses are discussed. Finally the resulting recommendations for a practical design are presented.
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Introduction

Composite steel and concrete bridges of medium spans with steel part constructed as a truss proved to be both economic and aesthetic. For example, in the Czech Republic only, twelve such bridges with spans between 21 and 63 m were completed during last two decades, Figure 1. Experimental and theoretical investigation of composite trusses during last decades is adequately described (Viest et al. 1997; Machacek, Cudejko 2009, 2011; Stadler, Mayrhofer 2010; Machacek, Charvat 2013) and therefore attention is given to research concerning longitudinal shear along the steel truss and a concrete slab only.

In the ninetieth the comprehensive research relating to composite floor trusses (Skidmore 1992) resulted in design recommendations showing a wide range of relevant design aspects. Concerning design of the shear connection between a steel truss and a concrete slab the common plastic redistribution as in standard plate girders was suggested, provided the shear connectors were sufficiently ductile.

Such redistribution however, can not be applied for the elastic behaviour of the shear connection, where the highly non-uniform distribution of longitudinal shear is caused by transmitting forces predominantly from truss nodes to a concrete slab. The elastic distribution of the longitudinal shear due to local effect of a concentrated longitudinal force introduced into concrete slab of a composite continuous girder due to prestressing was investigated in the late ninetieth (Johnson 1997; Johnson, Ivanov 2001). The simplified results were also suggested for application in composite trusses and introduced into Eurocode 4 (EN 1994-2 (2005), described however

Fig. 1. Examples of recent motorway and railway composite truss bridges
in ENV 1994-2 in more details). The significant peaks of the longitudinal shear above truss nodes in elastic regions are obvious. The elastic design of the longitudinal shear is required for bridges (according to Eurocode 4 (EN 1994-2 2005)) both in serviceability and ultimate limit states incl. fatigue, tolerating 10% plastic redistribution in a given segment), in case of rigid shear connectors with respect to their limited deformation capacity and also always necessary for class 3 and 4 cross sections (determined according to Eurocode 4 (EN 1994-2)). Designers of bridges commonly use shear (vertical) force to calculate longitudinal shear, to which roughly add local effects due to differences of chord forces in truss nodes along an estimated length.

Recently new important studies concerning relevant parameters of steel truss chords, concrete slabs and shear connection in composite truss bridges were published (Bouchair et al. 2012; Bujnak et al. 2013). Other papers substantial for the following studies cover behaviour of shear connectors (Leon 2005; Mirza, Uy 2010; Faggiano et al. 2009), complete composite trusses (Marcinkowski, Berkowski 1997; Mujagic et al. 2010; Jung et al. 2010) and various design aspects (Kravanja, Silih 2003; Szmi-giera 2007; Yatim et al. 2013).

Former investigation of the authors in this field started with experimental investigation of two identical floor truss girders with 6 m span and using perforated shear connectors providing “full” shear connection (see Fig. 2). The test results of both trusses together with common analytical calculation (as for plated beams) providing elastic \( F_{el} \) and plastic \( F_{pl} \) capacities taking into account real geometrical and material characteristics and full composite action according to Eurocode 4 (EN 1994-1-1 2004) are presented in Figure 3.

It is evident that the tests justified common simple calculations for floor composite trusses.

Subsequently the more precise numerical 3D MNA (materially nonlinear analysis) using ANSYS software package was proposed (Machacek, Cudejko 2009, 2011), clarifying the entire process of longitudinal shear distribution along an interface between steel and concrete parts under both elastic and plastic behaviour. For central deflection and end slip see Figures 3 and 4.

The numerical model is very demanding but provided excellent agreement with tests results up to the collapse (Fig. 5) and may serve as a benchmark.

Nevertheless, detailed investigations of elastic distribution of the shear flow in the shear connection between a bridge steel truss and a concrete slab require vast parametric studies for which simplified 2D LA (linear elastic analysis) was proposed, verified and used.

The present paper i) explains the simplified 2D LA model, ii) presents results of wide parametric studies concerning various entry data, iii) clears up the distribution of longitudinal shear in bridges due to temperature effects, creep and shrinkage, iv) summarizes the results and provides substantial recommendations for a practical design.
1. 2D LA numerical model

Instead of using rather demanding 3D MNA (materially non-linear analysis) mentioned above, the simplified 2D LA (linear elastic analysis) seems to be appropriate for studies in an elastic region.

Common SCIA Engineer software using simple 2D frame modelling was employed. The shear connectors were modelled as cantilevers sticking out from a member representing steel truss chord and pin connected at mid-plane of concrete slab substituted by a concrete strut (neglecting slab tension zone as recommended by Eurocode 4 (EN 1994-1-1 2004) for a shear connection analysis).

The verification of such simplification was studied for the first bridge shown in photos of Figure 1 with geometry according to Figure 6.

The linear parts of stress-strain relationships for both steel and concrete (Young’s modulus \( E = 210 \) GPa, \( E_{cm} = 30.5 \) GPa) were introduced. The upper steel truss chord was formed as \( \Pi \) (double web) profile and the shear connection provided by four parallel rows of welded studs 19/200 [mm] in 400 mm spacing. The non-linear behaviour of the connection (Oehlerl, Coughlan 1986) was linearized and only the first linear part representing the 4 parallel studs introduced according to Figure 7 in the analyses.

The connection in this case was modelled as circular cantilever bars in spacing of 400 mm, Figure 8. The diameter of the bars follows from formula:

\[
D = \sqrt[3]{\frac{64P\ell^3}{3\pi E\delta}},
\]

where: shear force \( P \) and deflection \( \delta \) correspond to Figure 7, length of the bar \( \ell = 305 \) mm and steel modulus of elasticity \( E = 210000 \) MPa. Resulting diameter \( D = 109.64 \) mm.

The four shear stud connectors 19/200 [mm] with characteristic shear strength \( 4 \times 91.4 = 365.6 \) kN (and spacing of 400 mm giving 914 N/mm) behaves fully linearly roughly up to \( 0.6 \times 365.6 = 219.4 \) kN.

Comparison of 3D MNA (ANSYS) and 2D LA (SCIA) results of longitudinal shear flow under loading of 200 kN/m (corresponding approximately to design bridge loading) together with Eurocode 4 (EN 1994-1-1 2004) approach is shown in Figure 9 (the detailed description of Eurocode solution was described elsewhere, see Machacek, Charvat 2013). The 2D LA reasonably and conservatively imitates the 3D MNA analysis, justifying its use for parametric studies of longitudinal shear. Eurocode approach seems to be very conservative.

2. Parametrical studies

Out of vast parametric studies performed for all the bridges in Figure 1 only results for the railway bridge shown in Figures 10, 11 are illustrated in the following.

Various bridge loadings in accordance with Eurocode 1 (EN 1991-2 2003) were employed and evaluated. However, the results shown in the following cover exclusively classified vertical design loading using LM71 model with appropriate coefficients (due to dynamic effects and eccentricity of railway track) for one truss girder as shown in Figure 12 and giving maximal longitudinal shear under consideration of an unpropped bridge construction and without supplemental dead loadings. Longitudinal shear due to the supplemental dead loading in the real bridge amounts to about 86% of the former (i.e. approx. 46% of the total design value) and is influenced by creep. As the creep is in the following investigated.
separately, the total shear values are not presented herein and for the real bridge in comparison to illustrated values are about twofold.

2.1. Influence of rigidity of shear connection

Rigidity of shear connection depends on number of shear connectors as obvious from Figure 13. In this study the welded studs 19/150 [mm] in regular spacing of 200 mm with characteristic/design strength \( P = 81.6/65.3 \) kN and various number (1, 5, 10) placed in parallel position were considered.

The non-linear load-slip diagrams resulting from large set of push-out tests (Oehlers, Coughlan 1986) were again linearized with a fully elastic behaviour valid up to 60% of characteristic values. Cylindrical members modelling the connectors in accordance with Figure 8 were of 280 mm length with corresponding diameters (72, 108, 128 mm) and spacing of 200 mm. First the total transmitted shear flow is shown in Figure 14, pointing to a significant increase of shear peaks above truss nodes due to increasing shear connection stiffness. Therefore, a simple proportional spreading of shear connectors to cover any of the shear flows (i.e. using a simple corresponding number of shear connectors to cover the flow) is not correct and the final rigidity fitting to applied connection is substantial.

This is obvious from Figure 15. The proper values of shear forces per connector above the first truss node for 1, 5, 10 connectors are 73, 22 and 13 \([\text{kN}]\) respectively. However, the simple spreading of the shear flow corresponding e.g. to one stud from Figure 14 leads to values approximately of 73, 15 and 7 \([\text{kN}]\), respectively.

2.2. Influence of rigidity of steel truss chord

Investigation of influence of both area and second moment of area of the steel truss chord was accomplished. In the studies three shear stud connectors 19/150 [mm] in parallel position and spacing 200 mm were considered, using appropriate modelling by due cylindrical members.

Influence of chord area is presented in Figure 16, where the area from the former initial value \( A_{ch} = 33500 \) mm\(^2\) was half or doubled \((16750)\) mm\(^2\) or \((67000)\) mm\(^2\), respectively) while the chord second moment of area remained the same \( I_{ch} = 4.9 \times 10^8 \) mm\(^4\).
Similarly a study of the value of the chord second moment of area is shown in Figure 17, with half or doubled value (2.45×10⁸ mm⁴ or 9.81×10⁸ mm⁴, respectively) while keeping the same initial chord area $A_{ch} = 33500$ mm².

The study demonstrates the principal significance of the steel truss chord area while the second moment area of the steel truss chord practically does not influence the distribution.

In a real bridge design the area and the second moment of area (chord rigidity) are tied together. Supplemental studies concerning extremely low and high rigidities (not presented here) proved the peaks of longitudinal shear above truss nodes increase rapidly with low (approaching to zero) rigidities.

2.3. Influence of rigidity of concrete slab
Values of the longitudinal shear strongly depend on amount of the mass (i.e. concrete slab) connected to the steel truss. The distribution of shear forces per connector is shown for half and doubled concrete slab area (1687×300 [mm] and 6750×300 [mm], respectively) in Figure 18. In the study three stud connectors in parallel position with 19 mm diameter and spacing in longitudinal direction of 200 mm were considered. While with the greater mass the shear clearly increases, the shear peaks above truss nodes are becoming less noticeable.

Another study concerned concrete slab aspect ratios. For the same shear connection and two different depth/width ratios the results are shown in Figure 19. Aspect ratio of the slab (width/depth) seems not to be substantial for the longitudinal shear distribution.

Supplemental studies concerning influence of concrete slab dimensions on the longitudinal shear were performed, keeping the steel truss unchanged. Enormous dimensions of concrete slabs resulted into low shear values were the peaks disappeared while with minimal slabs the peaks were emphasized but also of very low total shear values.

2.4. Concentration of shear connectors above truss nodes
The concentration of shear connectors above truss nodes in the regions of high longitudinal shear peaks is common in a design practice. However, the concentration of shear connectors increases the connection rigidity and subsequently attracts more shear flow to the relevant regions (Machacek, Charvat 2013). The extents of these regions were studied in some detail in former studies (Machacek, Cudejko 2011). With usual steel truss chord dimensions (without regard to node gusset plates) the extent of densification within a quarter distances between the nodes (both sides) seems to be appropriate. Nevertheless, an optimal design requires an iteration process outlined further.

The suggested procedure accepts the above extent of the densification (quarter node distance round both node sides) and corresponds to loading according Figure 12. Starting pattern fit to uniform distribution of 3 parallel studs 19/150 mm at spacing of 200 mm. Densification of shear connectors above node regions led to increasing number of studs at the same spacing (in 2D LA approach to increasing diameters of cylindrical members). The first densification resulted from ratio of maximum to average shear force per stud along the truss in the first and second node regions and led to approx. 7.3 and 4.1 parallel studs at 200 mm spacing respectively, Figure 20.
The second densification followed the same rearrangement using average shear force per stud along the truss from the first densification and led to approx. 15.5 and 6.0 parallel studs at the first two nodes. Because of recurring increase of the shear flow above the nodes the third densification needed to be performed, leading to approx. 27.5 and 9.5 parallel studs at the first and second node respectively, keeping the 200 mm spacing.

With the iteration process and enlarging number of shear connectors the shear forces per connector obviously decrease. A linear magnification of the received shear forces to the shear stud capacity (here with respect to supplemental dead loading \( \approx 0.54 \times 48.9 = 26.4 \) kN) may result in an appropriate utilization of the shear stud capacity along substantial truss length. In the investigated example the distribution resulted into 7.6 and 2.6 parallel studs above the first and second node (in 200 mm spacing), which corresponds to maximum shear force in the studs to 26.2 kN, see Figure 21.

The number of parallel studs and spacing in a real design must respect the relevant Standard (e.g. EN 1994-1-1 2004) limit values and other effects (temperature, shrinkage).

2.5. Creep, temperature and shrinkage effects

Creep effects on the longitudinal shear forces were studied for uniform supplemental dead loading of 94.05 kN/m. The shear connection with 5 parallel studs 19/150 [mm] in uniform spacing of 200 mm was introduced.

Modules of hardened concrete after 28 days, 2 months and 100 years were considered. The reduction of shear force per one stud is shown in Figure 22 together with total creep differential influence after 100 years.

Temperature effects were investigated only for temperature difference between concrete and steel parts (simplified method according to Eurocode 1 (EN 1991-1-5 2003), with no non-uniform distribution of temperature). The design temperature difference in the studied bridge at load combinations in accordance with EN 1991-1-5 (2003) amounts to \( \pm 9 \) °C (see Fig. 23). As obvious, the result roughly corresponds to the Eurocode 4 (EN 1994-1-1 2004) assumption of triangular distribution of longitudinal shear force due to temperature effects along the effective shear lag width for global analysis (where \( b_{\text{eff}} = (0.55 + 0.2) \times 35.2/4 = 6.6 \) m, while available 3.375 m), with no peaks of the shear distribution above truss nodes.

Shrinkage effect on longitudinal shear corresponds to effect of concrete slab cooling. However, shrinkage is reduced by a creep. Distribution of shear forces due to shrinkage corresponding various times after the bridge assembly was also analyzed but not presented in this article.

Conclusions

The growing need for the proper design of shear connection in composite steel and concrete trusses emerges from the recent increasing number of composite truss bridges. While the full process of redistribution of longitudinal shear between a steel truss and a concrete slab from elastic to plastic stages is easily available through MNA (materially non-linear analysis) using sophisticated software, the elastic distribution is required in design of bridges, in case of rigid shear connectors with respect to their limited deformation capacity and for class 3 or 4 cross-sec-
8. Temperature and shrinkage modified by creep have very similar effects on the longitudinal shear and forces in shear connectors as the ones in common plated composite steel and concrete bridges. Roughly triangular distribution of the longitudinal shear at the truss ends seems to be adequate with no shear peaks above truss nodes.
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The presented parametrical studies resulted into following conclusions with practical meaning:
1. The employed shear connection needs to be well described concerning load-slip relationship as the rigidity of the connection plays decisive role. The relationships for various shear connectors are readily available, e.g. in case of welded headed studs (Oehlers, Coughlan 1986) or perforated shear connector (Machacek, Studnicka 2002).
2. Linearization of the load-slip relationship for elastic analysis is usually correct up to roughly 60% of the characteristic strength connector value, which enable its use for a design with slightly conservative results.
3. High stiffness of the shear connection (either uniformly distributed or more concentrated above truss nodes) emphasizes peaks of the shear flow above truss nodes. A simple proportional spreading of shear connectors to cover shear flows resulting from another rigidity (i.e. using a simple corresponding number of shear connectors to cover the flow) is not correct and the final rigidity fitting to applied connection is substantial.
4. The peaks of the longitudinal shear distribution are more distinct for a greater area of the steel chord (therefore the area of gusset plates in node region is important). On the other side the peaks are little effected by the chord second moment of the steel chord area.
5. Concrete slab dimensions influence primarily the values of the shear flow, while the peaks of longitudinal shear rather increase with smaller dimensions.
6. Concentration of shear connectors above truss nodes as usual in design practice requires an iterative procedure. Suitable densification is suggested along a chosen length (depending on existence of node gusset plates) and the procedure is shown for a practical example.
7. Contrary to former effects the creep seems to be more pronounced in regions between the truss nodes. Using the 2D LA the creep may easily be analysed.

tions (determined according to Eurocode 4 (EN 1994-1-1 2004)).

To avoid use of the complex and demanding software, the simplified 2D LA model suitable for both practical design and parametric studies concerning longitudinal shear distribution in composite steel and concrete bridges was suggested in the article. The proposed numerical model was verified with more complex 3D MNA and experimental results with reasonable agreement. The comparisons also refer to enormous conservatism of Eurocode 4 (EN 1994-1-1 2004) approach which is based on formulas for distribution of the longitudinal shear between the steel truss and a concrete slab resulting from local effects of a concentrated longitudinal shear force. Nevertheless, the Eurocode 4 (EN 1994-1-1 2004) approach may give the first guess about the shear peaks above the truss nodes.
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