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Among the many industrially important zeolites, mordenite is found to be interesting because of its unique and exceptional physical and chemical properties. Mordenite (high silica zeolite) is generally prepared by the hydrothermal method using TEA⁺ cations. TEA⁺ cations are the best templating agent, though they can create a number of issues, for instance, generating poison and high manufacturing cost, wastewater contamination, and environmental pollution. Hence, it is necessary to find a mordenite synthesis method without using an organic template or low-cost template. In this review, a number of unique sources were used in the preparation of mordenite zeolite, for instance, silica sources (rice husk ash, silica gel, silica fumes), alumina sources (metakaolin, faujasite zeolite) and sources containing both silica and alumina (waste coal fly ash). These synthesis approaches are also based on the absence of a template or low-cost mixed organic templates (for instance, glycerol (GL), ethylene glycol (EG), and polyethylene glycol 200 (PEG)) or pyrrolidine-based mesoporogen (N-cetyl-N-methylpyrrolidinium) modifying the mordenite framework which can create unique properties. The framework properties and optical properties (indium-exchanged mordenite zeolite) have been discussed. Mordenite is generally used in alkylation, dewaxing, reforming, hydrocracking, catalysis, separation, and purification reactions because of its large pore size, strong acidity, and high thermal and chemical stability, although the applications are not limited for mordenite zeolite. Recently, several applications such as electrochemical detection, isomerization, carbonylation, hydrodeoxygenation, adsorption, biomass conversion, biological applications (antibacterial activity), photocatalysis, fuel cells and polymerization reactions using mordenite zeolite were explored which have been described in detail in this review.

Introduction

Catalysis, particularly heterogeneous catalysis, represents an essential part in the sustainable synthesis of numerous chemical compounds (which includes specialty, fine and commodity chemicals, and also pharmaceutical products) and renewable generation of fuels and energy, which are among the most crucial concepts of green chemistry (in other words, the ninth concept catalytic reagents (as selective as possible) are better than stoichiometric reagents).

At present, around 90% of chemical production procedures and over 20% of most commercial products are based on catalytic pathways.¹ In heterogeneous catalysis, porous materials have gained a prominent place. Porous materials have contributed considerably to the current progress of chemical processes. Porous materials can be utilized either as catalyst supports or catalysts. For instance, in petrochemistry, the application of microporous crystalline zeolites being a catalyst has considerably increased the selectivity, quality, and yield of the products in the following reactions (for example isomerization, alkylation, and cracking). In general the lifetime of the catalytic materials is higher, and in the meantime, the consumption of energy reduces.²

Porous materials are usually solid substances, although not restricted to solids these days.³ Porous materials could possibly be classified into three kinds according to their pore size. The pore size less than 2 nm and between 2–50 nm were called microporous and mesoporous materials. The pore size of more than 50 nm were called macroporous materials. This is according to the IUPAC classification (1960) based on the nitrogen adsorption experiment.⁴ This can also be categorized based on the nature of solid phases (for example, amorphous or crystalline) or building blocks. Fig. 1 shows the classification of porous materials by their pore size, nature of phase, and building blocks.
Zeolites tend to be common in our present lifestyle because they are utilized for a wide variety of applications which includes agriculture, gas adsorption, green chemistry, water treatment, petroleum refining, animal feed additives, and additionally several others. Zeolites can be formed naturally or prepared in a laboratory. To date, 234 zeolites with different structural types have been identified. Zeolites are a class of inorganic aluminosilicates having a consistent framework structure assembled by corner-sharing units of silicates (SiO₄) and aluminates (AlO₄) in a number of fractions however having a silicon to aluminum ratio (Si/Al) more than one. In zeolites the SiO₄ and AlO₄ units are connected together in different modes, creating a huge collection of zeolites having distinctive pore sizes (typically less than 1 nm) as well as pore topologies. The International Zeolite Association assigned distinctive three-letter codes for these crystalline microporous materials, for example, BEA (Zeolite Beta), FAU (Faujasite) and MOR (Mordenite), etc. These materials have different physical as well as chemical properties. Of these zeolites, about 20 are industrial-operated, however exclusively five are known as “Big Five” zeolites, for example, BEA, FAU, FER, MOR, and MFI, because of their importance in the present chemical industry, in particular, conversions of petrochemical, for instance, the production of propylene, gasoline (volatile, flammable liquid hydrocarbons), and aromatics productions (for example, ethylbenzene and cumene).

Mordenite is among the list of an important class of zeolites and most common exploitable deposits of natural zeolites and is often an important component. Mordenite belongs to a large-pore zeolite and behaves as a molecular sieve (two-dimensional) for tiny molecules such as nitrogen and oxygen and for larger molecules being one-dimensional zeolite. It shows better chemical resistance, greater thermal stability, contains both Bronsted and Lewis acid sites, a large quantity of pore volume, and high surface area. Because of these kinds of properties, mordenite is employed in many industrial processes for example methylamine synthesis, disproportionation, trans-alkylation, and hydroisomerization. Though the application of mordenite zeolite not limited as mentioned above and it is growing each year. For this reason, the purposes of the present review started to significantly assess the mordenite zeolite synthesis with a novel approach, properties, and most recent application. Also, an extensive review article found for ZSM-5 and not for mordenite zeolite which have almost the same properties. Fig. 2A–D showing statistical data related to publications of mordenite zeolite between 2000–2020 (based on the Scopus statistics). In two decades, 2711 documents were published and each year more than 100 articles were published related to mordenite. In 2019 a maximum of 176 documents was published and in 2020 till now, 106 documents were published (Fig. 2A). It will become higher compared to 2019 and in previous years. This indicates an increase in the importance of the mordenite zeolite. Fig. 2B displays the contribution to mordenite by country-wise. China, Japan, and the United States contributed a lot in the field of mordenite synthesis and applications. From the above statistical data, we understand the importance of mordenite zeolite still maintaining and growing rapidly from 2019. Hence writing a review article on mordenite provides a better idea to readers with respect to mordenite synthesis and applications.

Experimental
Synthesis of mordenite zeolite by a novel approach
We know, typically the mordenite zeolite can be synthesized by a hydrothermal approach using templates. In this section, we
will discuss the synthesis of mordenite zeolite using a novel approach which was not widely discussed. The summary of the synthesis of the mordenite zeolite is presented in Table 1.

**Rice husk ash**

In 2020 earlier, Klunk and his collaborators synthesized mordenite zeolite using rice husk ash as silicon source and metakaolin for aluminium source. They modified the mordenite synthesis without using organic templates according to the reported synthesis by Costa and Araujo. By using X-ray fluorescence (XRF), they find the composition of rice husk ash (RHA) and metakaolin. The major component present in RHA is SiO₂ (90.02%) and in metakaolin, the major components are SiO₂ (48.27%), Al₂O₃ (35.34%), and some other oxides in a few percent. The mordenite zeolites with different Si/Al ratios (5, 10, 15, and 20) were prepared by varying amounts of RHA and metakaolin. The typical synthesis procedure of mordenite is explained here. Initially, 3.5 mol L⁻¹ of NaOH dissolved in the water and split into two parts (500 ml). The required amount of RHA dissolved in one part and metakaolin in another part. Then both the solutions mixed together and kept at room temperature for the sol–gel process followed by drying in an oven at 90 °C for 24 h. Finally, the solid product calcinated at 550 °C for 6 h. They also calculated product yield. The mordenite with Si/Al ratio 5 and 20 yields of 71% and 70% respectively. The total BET surface area and total pore volume of 133 m² g⁻¹ and 0.279 cm³ g⁻¹ to 314 m² g⁻¹ and 0.198 cm³ g⁻¹ with a decreasing Si/Al ratio from 20 to 5. The mesopore volume present in a negligible amount and also decreases from 0.03 cm³ g⁻¹ to 0.01 cm³ g⁻¹ with an increasing Si/Al ratio from 20 to 5. They carried out the thermogravimetric analysis and found as-synthesized materials are stable up to 400 °C. The stability decreases with increasing the Si/Al ratio from 20 to 5. In conclusion, the mordenite with Si/Al ratio has a higher surface area, high thermal stability, and a highly crystalline nature than the other materials. However, the material stability should be improved, and also the mesopore volume to be increased for synthesizing hierarchical pore structures to use this material in various applications effectively.

**Waste coal fly ash**

Nowadays researchers focused on the synthesis of catalytic materials from waste sources. For example, waste coal fly ash is one of the waste materials generated by thermal power stations. Hence, researchers start using coal fly ash for the preparation of zeolites. Lankapati et al. synthesized mordenite using waste coal fly ash based on the concept of Lathiya et al. used waste coal fly ash as a solid acid catalyst by converting into sulfated metakaolin. They prepared mordenite zeolite using coal fly ash based on the composition reported by Kim et al. with some changes. Kim et al. utilized silica and sodium aluminate for silica and alumina sources, whereas Lankapati et al. used waste coal fly ash for silica and alumina sources as an alternative for commercial sources. The utilization of coal fly ash makes the mordenite zeolite process becomes sustainable, economical, and greener approach. Only the outlined procedure gave also by Kim et al. The required amounts of silica and alumina source dissolved in sodium hydroxide and subjected to crystallization at 150 to 170 °C using stainless steel tube having a capacity of 100 ml with autogenous pressure. Finally, the product calcinated at 700 to 1200 °C. The composition ratio of 0.1–1.6 Na₂O/ SiO₂ : 7–150 SiO₂/Al₂O₃ : 100–190 H₂O/Na₂O. From BET analysis, the total surface area and total pore volume of 133 m² g⁻¹

| S. no. | Title                               | Source for silica and alumina                  | Template          | Reaction conditions | Reference |
|-------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 1     | Rice husk ash                       | Rice husk ash (RHA) and metakaolin             | Absence           | Room temperature for sol–gel process; calcinated at 550 °C for 6 h | 25        |
| 2     | Waste coal fly ash                  | Waste coal fly ash for both                   | Low-cost mixed organic templates (glycerol (GL), ethylene glycol (EG), polyethylene glycol 200 (PEG)) | Crystallization at 150 to 170 °C | 27        |
| 3     | Silica gel/low-cost mixed organic templates | Silica gel and aluminum nitrate               | Absence           | Crystallization at 180 °C for 2 days; calcinated at 550 °C for 2 h | 30        |
| 4     | Silica fume (microsilica)           | Silica fume and metakaolin                    | Absence           | Heated for 24 h at 180 °C in a Teflon reactor; no calcination | 31        |
| 5     | Faujasite/N-ctyl-N-methylypyrrolidinum (C16NMP) | Sodium silicate and faujasite zeolite        | Pyrrolidine-based mesoporen | Crystallization at 140 °C for 6 days with stirring (50 RPM); calcined at 550 °C for 1 h | 32        |
| 6     | Ball milling, solvent-free synthesis | Sodium silicate, SiO₂, Al₂(SO₄)₃·18H₂O 40 wt% LUDOX HS-40 (colloidal silica) and NaAlO₂ | Absence           | In an oven for 24, 48, or 72 h at 33 180 °C | 33        |
| 7     | Ultrafast, organic structure-directing agent-free synthesis | Piperazine as OSDA | Ludox AS-40 and NaAlO₂ | Heated at 210 °C in an oil bath | 38        |
| 8     | Piperazine as OSDA                  | Ludox AS-40 and NaAlO₂                         | Piperazine        | Hydrothermally treated at 150 °C for 72 h to 192 h | 43        |
and 0.090 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$, which is lower than commercial mordenite have the surface area and total pore volume of 432 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and 0.200 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$. Though mesopore volume was found to be higher prepared by waste coal fly ash 0.060 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ than commercial mordenite (0.020 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) which is necessary for absorption applications. They concluded, the waste coal fly ash can be a potential alternative and cost-effective source for the preparation of porous zeolites as absorbents.

**Silica gel/low-cost mixed organic templates**

In mid-2019, Abdelrahman et al. synthesized mordenite nanoparticles through a hydrothermal approach by employing cost-effective organic templates such as glycerol (GL), ethylene glycol (EG), polyethylene glycol 200 (PEG). The templates used in various combinations, for instance, all the three templates (GL–EG–PEG) simultaneously or used any two templates. The two combinations are GL–EG, GL–PEG, and EG–PEG respectively. The standard procedure for the fabrication of mordenite zeolite is done as follows. Initially, two sets of solutions were prepared. The first solution contains, 12.0 g NaOH, 9.22 g silica gel dissolved in 325 ml double distilled water. The second solution contains, 0.53 g of aluminum nitrate dissolved in 75 ml double distilled water. The second solution added drop-wise into the first solution with stirring for 1 h. The resulting gel heated hydrothermally in a stainless steel autoclave for 2 days at 180 °C. Before the hydrothermal process, 5 ml organic templates (fixed quantity for all templates) were added. After the end of the reaction, the autoclave cooled, the contents filtered, washed completely with deionized water. The product was dried at 100 °C for 6 h, followed by calcination at 550 °C for 2 h. These catalysts were used in the removal of Pb(II) ions from the aqueous solutions. From XRD analysis, it is found that the mordenite prepared by EG–PEG and GL–EG–PEG showed a smaller crystallite size of 38.75 and 45.96 nm compared to mordenite prepared by GL–PEG and GL–EG crystallite size of 86.78 and 98.70 nm, respectively which is also confirmed by HR-TEM. Various morphological change happened by changing template combinations. The mordenite prepared by EG–PEG has a higher surface area (27.590 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) and pore volume (0.0470 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) compared to others and as a result, mordenite prepared by EG–PEG achieved efficient removal of Pb(II) ions (17.40 mg g$^{-1}$) from the aqueous solutions. They studied kinetic, pH, equilibrium, thermodynamic, desorption, and reusability studies. To obtain higher surface area and pore volume this process needs to be tailored for multi-purpose applications.

**Silica fume (microsilica)**

In the early-2019, Chen et al. synthesized efficient and environment-friendly monolithic mordenite (seed-assisted) nanostructures without using organic structure-directing agents. The authors used silica fume (microsilica) and metakaolin for silicon source and aluminium source respectively. The major component present in silica fume is SiO$_2$ (93.11%) and in metakaolin, the major components are SiO$_2$ (55.06%), Al$_2$O$_3$ (44.12%), and some other oxides in a few percent. The typical synthesis procedure is explained here. Initially, the silica fume and mordenite mixed well with the mordenite seed for 5 min in a pulp mixture. The alkali (NaOH) solutions were mixed with the above mixture with continuous stirring for obtaining uniformed slurry. The slurry cast into molds and then sealed in a plastic bag. Followed by kept in an oven for 24 h at 50 °C for the curing process. The obtained geopolymer block was placed with distilled water (75 ml) and heated for 24 h at 180 °C in a Teflon reactor for hydrothermal synthesis. Finally, the nanostructured monolithic mordenite formed. The as-synthesized mordenite has a total surface area and total pore volume of 30.16 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and 0.063 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$. This is a cost-effective process and eco-friendly process for mordenite zeolite. However, the authors not tested any applications with the monolithic mordenite. Hence, the efficiency of the material needs to be tested, and based on that the properties of the material should be improved.

**Faujasite/N-cetyl-N-methylpyrrrolidinium (C16NMP)**

In 2019, Bolshakov and his collaborators prepared mordenite nanorods using cheap pyrrolidine-based mesoporogen. The typical synthesis procedure of mordenite as follows. Initially, the N-cetyl-N-methylpyrrrolidinium (bromide form – C16NMP) dissolved in deionized water. Then, a solution of sodium silicate has been added into a solution of C16NMP drop-wise and then stirred for 15 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the desired amount of NH$_4$Y zeolite (faujasite) was added to the above solution. The resultant gel vigorously stirred for 90 min at room temperature and then transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. The above mixture was heated at 140 °C for 6 days with stirring (50 RPM). The obtained product filtered, washed completely with deionized water. The product dried at 110 °C in an air oven and finally calcined at 550 °C for 10 h. The synthesis mixture having a molar composition of C16NMP: 2.47Na$_2$O; 0.33Al$_2$O$_3$; 10SiO$_2$; 256H$_2$O. The composition of x is varied (x = 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35, 0.40). For comparison purposes, mordenite zeolite is prepared without using C16NMP. The mordenite zeolite with C16NMP composition 0.15 has the highest surface area (392.8 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), whereas mordenite zeolite with C16NMP composition 0.25 has the highest external surface area (88.5 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) and total pore volume (0.23 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) even though it contains a total surface area of 379.3 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The synthesized materials were tested in the hydroisomerization of alkane and showed better selectivity compared to bulk mordenite zeolite.

**Ball milling, solvent-free synthesis**

In the mid-2019, Nada et al. synthesized mordenite and ZSM-5 zeolites through template-free and solvent-free approach, and also no crystals seed were added. Also, the reaction was carried out by the mechanochemical pathway (ball-milling). In recent times, the mechanochemical approach gained importance and was used in the preparation of solid-state materials, mixed-halide perovskites, transition-metal porphyrins. The as-synthesized materials were tested in the conversion of glucose and cellulose into hydroxymethylfurfural and their catalytic
performance is comparable to commercial and ZSM-5 zeolites. In a typical synthesis, 5.03 mmol Na₂SiO₃·9H₂O, 24 mmol SiO₂, 0.98 mmol Al₂(SO₄)₃·18H₂O, NaOH were taken as silica, alumina source, and an additive. At 1400 RPM, the above solid mixture was grinded by a ball mill (high energy) for 25 min and 50 min. Then, the contents were transferred into Parr autoclave (Teflon lined). Subsequently, the autoclaved placed in an oven for 24, 48, or 72 h at 180 °C (Scheme 1). For comparison, the precursor mixtures were physically mixed without using a grinder. For removing salts (byproduct), the product was washed using H₂O and followed by drying in the air at 80 °C. The effects of heating and grinding time, contents (Si, Al, and Na), additive (NaOH) were tested. For the determination of the thermal stability, the as-synthesized products were heated for 6 h at 550 °C. The silica and alumina source concentrations were adjusted to determine the content effects. The synthesized mordenite catalysts were characterized to determine the optimal synthesis procedure and confirm the formation of products. It was found that the mordenite and ZSM-5 zeolites were successfully synthesized by a ball milling approach without using an organic template at 50 min. The amount of sodium plays a significant role (as structure-directing) in the formation of zeolite crystallization. The crystalline phase was formed at a higher Na₂O/Al₂O₃ ratio (7–9) and a lower ratio, the amorphous phase was formed. It is concluded, the high energy ball milling is an effective approach for the synthesis of mordenite and ZSM-5 zeolites at higher Na₂O/Al₂O₃ ratio and efficient catalysts in the biomass conversion. Recently, Kornas and his collaborators synthesized mordenite zeolite without using solvent by automated milling activation and compared with the hydrothermal approach and manual grinding. It was concluded, only 5% of water is enough in automated milling activation which is comparatively lesser than the traditional synthesis of mordenite. It was concluded, the formation of the mordenite phase takes place only in automated milling activation and not in manual milling which is confirmed X-ray diffraction results.

Ultrafast, organic structure-directing agent-free synthesis

At the end of 2016, Zhu et al. synthesized mordenite zeolite by an organic structure-directing agent (OSDA)-free, ultrafast approach. They claimed, the mordenite zeolite formed in 10 min, which is comparatively ultrafast to conventional methods. The typical synthesis procedure is explained here. Initially, NaAlO₂ (aluminium source) dissolved by NaOH solution (alkali source), subsequently deionized water and 40 wt% LUDOX HS-40 (colloidal silica) for silica source was into the above mixture. Then, at room temperature stirred for 30 min for obtaining a homogenized mixture. Afterward, 10–30 wt% raw micrometer-sized (2 μm) or milled nanometer-sized (200 nm) mordenite seed crystals were added. It was found that micrometer-sized milled mordenite crystals improved the crystallization rate. The reaction mixture was heated at 210 °C in an oil bath (preheated) after feeding inside a tubular reactor. Finally, the tubular heater was cooled down by using water after hydrothermal treatment. The solid product is centrifuged, washed well by using deionized water, and followed by drying in an air oven overnight at 80 °C. The author claimed the mordenite formation was achieved in 10 min (ultrafast). However, the complete crystallization achieved after 30 min (from XRD results), and also the pre-preparation taking some time. Though, we conclude that this approach is faster compared to conventional synthesis. The crystallization time taking more than 6 h, if no seed crystals were added. Hence, mordenite seed crystals (200 nm) played a significant role in the ultrafast synthesis. The same authors were synthesized many zeolite and zeolite-like materials by an ultrafast approach in a few minutes.

Piperazine as OSDA

In early 2019, Bai and coworkers synthesized some aluminosilicate zeolites such as ZSM-12, ZSM-5, mordenite, ZSM-35, and ZSM-4 by employing piperazine as OSDA under optimized conditions. For the preparation of [C₅N₅H₁₂]₂[ZrGeO₄(F₂)]₄₄ [Sn₄(PO₄)₃]-0.5[C₅N₅H₁₂]₂₄, [C₅N₅H₁₂]U₂O₄F₆ (MUF-1), aluminophosphates fourteen piperazine were used as template. It was also employed in the synthesis of mazzite (MAZ), harlowite (PH) zeolites. The author stated that the usage of piperazine as OSDA is found in limited numbers when compared to hexamethylenediamine, piperidine, and pyrrolidine. Hence, the author evaluated the impact of piperazine in the synthesis of aluminosilicates. The author evaluated the impact of SiO/Al₂O₃ ratio and reaction time (72 h to 192 h) on zeolite synthesis. The
mordenite and ZSM-4 zeolites were obtained at a low SiO2/Al2O3 ratio (12.8 and 9 respectively). The ZSM-12, ZSM-5, and ZSM-35 zeolites were obtained at a high SiO2/Al2O3 ratio (17.2, 58.7, and 14.3–29.3 respectively). The acidic form of ZSM-35, mordenite, and ZSM-4 catalysts were tested in the reaction of dimethyl ether carbonylation. The high selectivity of methyl acetate is achieved by ZSM-35 and mordenite zeolites. In a typical hydrothermal synthesis, NaAlO2 (2 g), NaOH (0.6 g), H2O (24 g), and piperazine (12 g) were mixed. In the above solution, Ludox AS-40 (20 g) was introduced into the above solution for gel formation. The contents stirred for 3 h at 40 °C before transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave. Then, the contents are hydrothermally treated at 150 °C for a particular time (72 h to 192 h). Finally, the filtered synthesized materials dried overnight at 110 °C and then calcinated at 150 °C for 10 h. They concluded, the piperazine was best fitted with the ZSM-35 zeolite (FER-type framework).

Properties of mordenite zeolite

This section discusses the properties of zeolites based on the cell data, building units, pore channels, and framework density. Idealized cell data: orthorhombic, Cmcm, a = 18.3 Å, b = 20.5 Å, c = 7.5 Å, secondary building units: 5-1, framework density: 17.2 T/1000 Å3, crystal chemical data: [Na8(H2O)24] [Al8Si40O96], MOR channels: [001] 12 6.5 × 7.0 ↔ [001] 8 2.6 × 5.7.

Mordenite mineral, maricopaite [Ga–Si–O]-MOR (interrupted framework), Na-D, Ca-Q, Zeolon (commercial synthetic Zeolon prepared by the Norton company), and LZ-211 have this type of framework. Mordenite is a significant solid acid catalyst. It is used in the isosive process to upgrade the octane number of gasoline and in the alkylation of biphenyl with propene. It belongs to the orthorhombic crystal system (Cmcm, a = 18.3 Å, b = 20.5 Å, c = 7.5 Å) and has a framework density of 17.2 T/1000 Å3. In the mordenite framework, pentasil units are joined to one another via common edges to form chains like in the MFI framework. These chains are connected through oxygen bridges to form grooved sheets. It is having 12-ring and 8-ring channels (T-atoms) and runs along the [001] plane with channel dimensions of 6.5 × 7.0 Å and 2.6 × 5.7 Å. Fig. 3 shows the MOR framework type with a basic building block and mordenite mineral. The mordenite zeolite pore structure and dimensions are displayed in Fig. 4.

Optical properties

In 2019, Serykh et al. investigated the indium-exchanged mordenite zeolites‘ optical properties through photoluminescence and UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy. The exchanged indium cations in mordenite capable of exhibiting similar intensities of visible-light photoluminescence and ultraviolet emissions. They concluded the visible-light photoluminescence and ultraviolet emission are related to the clusters/oligomers of indium cation (excited state) and isolated indium cations respectively. In addition, indium-exchanged zeolite photoluminescence properties could be tuned by adjusting the space between indium cations. The new finding of optical properties of mordenite zeolite exchanged by cations could be useful in finding wide-ranging applications in the construction of photonic materials.

Recent applications of mordenite zeolite

Recently mordenite zeolite is used in various applications such as electrochemical detection, isomerization, carbonylation, hydrodeoxygenation, etc. discussed in the upcoming sections. The overview of the application of mordenite zeolite is displayed in Table 2.

Electrochemical detection

Mercury and other heavy metals are toxic and causing environmental pollution. They enter into the human through water and food. Even a small amount of mercury ions causes various problems in humans such as thyroid problem, kidney failure, damages to the central nervous system. At present, a variety of analytical methods are utilized to detect Hg2+ ions, for instance, optical sensors, atomic fluorescence spectrometry (AFS), X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XFS), atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS), gas chromatography (GC), etc. The above methods have high sensitivity and selectivity. Though they are expensive, high operating costs, require sample
pretreatment, follow critical protocol, and for analysis require more amount of sample. Hence, inexpensive, highly selective detection of heavy metal ions is of greater importance. At the same time, electroanalytical methods that have positive aspects for instance high selectivity, rapid analysis, portability, low price for operation, and minimal sample pre-treatment have been explored and documented.

However, only a few articles found which is related to the detection of heavy metal ions using the electrochemical approach and mordenite. Sakthinathan et al. developed platinum loaded-dealuminated mordenite zeolite decorated modified glassy carbon electrode. It is applied in the electrochemical detection of mercury. The GCE/Pt/D-mordenite electrode show excellent selectivity, reproducibility, higher sensitivity (11.2598 μA μM⁻¹ cm⁻²), and excellent detection limit (3.4 nM). They also compared long linear range with various other electrodes for the determination of mercury ions and found a wider long linear range of 0.1–220 μM. Hence, the detection of heavy metal ions using zeolite support is still open for many zeolites and heavy metal ions.

In 2018, a lanthanum-modified mordenite carbon paste electrode was synthesized by Ismail et al. and investigated in the electrochemical detection of heavy metal ions (Pb(II) and Cd(II)). The lanthanum concentration varied (2%, 5%, and 10%) by keeping the mordenite SiO₂/Al₂O₃ ratio as 15. The 2 wt% lanthanum impregnated electrode gave a better response toward the detection of Pb(II) ion. The detection limit for a single detection of Pb(II) was 0.23 ppb. The detection limits in

| S. no. | Reaction | Catalyst | Reactant | Product | Ref. |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | Electrochemical detection | Platinum incorporated mordenite zeolite modified glassy carbon electrode | Mercury ions | — | 56 |
|  | Lanthanum-modified mordenite zeolite electrode | Pb(II) and Cd(II) ions | — | 57 |
|  | Phosphate-modified zeolite carbon paste electrodes | Thiocyanate | — | 59 |
| 2 | Isomerization | Dealuminated mordenite | n-Pentane, n-hexane, and light naphtha | Isoalkanes, octane number improvement for light naphtha | 69 |
|  | Hierarchical mordenite | α-Pinene | Camphene, limonene and α-terpinene | 70 |
| 3 | Carbonylation | Hot-water pretreated H-mordenite | Dimethyl ether | Methyl acetate | 87 |
|  | Hierarchical porous H-mordenite | Methyl acetate | Methyl acetate | 88 |
|  | Alkali treated mordenite | Methyl acetate, methanol and light hydrocarbons | 90 |
| 4 | Hydrodeoxygenation | Ru metal supported on ZSM-5, mordenite, COK-12, β-zeolite, Y-zeolite, TiO₂, and ZrO₂ | 2-Methoxy phenol | Cyclohexane | 106 |
|  | Ru metal supported on ZSM-5, mordenite, COK-12, β-zeolite, Y-zeolite, TiO₂ and ZrO₂ | m-Cresol | Methylcyclohexane | 107 |
| 5 | Adsorption | Natural mordenite–clinooptilolite zeolites | Palm oil | C15–C18 (major) C4–C14 (minor) | 108 |
|  | Natural and cation-exchanged clinooptilolite, mordenite and chabazite | CO₂ | Adsorbed CO₂ gas | 113 |
| 6 | Biomass conversion | Hierarchical mordenite zeolites | Biomass (glucose, fructose, cellulose, and bamboo sawdust) | Levulinic acid, humins | 138 |
| 7 | Antibacterial activity | Fe₃O₄@MOR@CuO core–shell | Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli | — | 150 |
| 8 | Photocatalysis | Fe₃O₄@MOR@CuO core–shell | Methylene blue | Gaseous CO₂ and inorganic ions | 163 |
|  | Mordenite zeolite@MCM-41 | | | Degraded products | 165 |
| 9 | Fuel cell | Nafion/mordenite composite membrane | Methanol | Energy | 171 |
|  | Graphene oxide@Nafion–mordenite composite membrane | Gases (H₂ and O₂) | | 172 |
| 10 | Polymerization | High-silica mordenite | Phenylacetylene | Polymerized product | 181 |
simultaneous detection of Pb(II) and Cd(II) ions were found to be 0.24 and 0.12 ppb, respectively. They claimed that this electrode is capable of trace heavy metals quantification present in drinking water.74

Thiocyanate from industrial effluents with high concentration causes considerable toxicity to aquatics. Its detection is therefore the most significant research objective.75 Idris et al. synthesized mordenite zeolite carbon paste electrodes modified by phosphorous with various ratios of graphite to phosphate zeolite. A various percentage (0 to 20%) of P-MOR was loaded with a constant amount of graphite (30%). The ability of mordenite and phosphate modified zeolite electrochemical detection evaluated towards thiocyanate using cyclic voltammetry for the first time. They found from NMR, the addition of phosphoric acid influences the framework of mordenite and were getting dealuminated. The mordenite carbon paste electrode containing 5% phosphorous demonstrated a maximum current density of 5.6 105 μA cm−2 with a linear dynamic range of 10 to 150 μM was obtained.76 Even in the past, only a few articles found on the electrochemical detection using zeolite. Hence, the detection of various heavy metal ions, toxic chemicals, pathogens using zeolite support is still open for mordenite zeolites.

Isomerization

We already know, isomerization is a process that alters the molecular arrangement of the products without adding or removing anything from the original molecule. In particular, conversion of straight-chain reactant molecule into a branched-chain product molecule.77 In a refinery industry, it is a significant reaction. The improvement of the high octane number performed by lube stocks (C20–C60), diesel (C18–C26), n-paraffins isomerization in jet fuel, and light naphtha isomerization is performed to reduce the pour point as well as plugging point of the oils.78–80 The catalyst utilized in the above reactions will be bifunctional processes, the first and second components are acid function metallic function respectively.81 The catalysts used in isomerization of commercial light naphtha are Pt/chloride alumina,82 Pt/mordenite and Pt/sulphated-zirconia.83,84 Even though Pt/chloride alumina requires less temperature. It suffers from leaching of Cl. Zeolites-based catalysts are advantageous in this aspect. Mordenite is a superacid solid catalyst and a choice among three catalysts in relation to the generation of a strong level of Bronsted acidity.85 Tamizhdurai and his collaborators prepared platinum-loaded dealuminated mordenite (various dealumination times - 0.5, 1.0, and 3.0 h) from commercial mordenite and evaluated its catalytic performance in the n-hexane, n-pentane, and light naphtha isomerization by changing various reaction parameters. At low temperature, the dealuminated mordenite (1.0 h; SiO2/Al2O3 ratio of 40) showed better conversion and selectivity compared to parent and other dealuminated mordenite catalysts. They also compared their catalysts performance with the other isomerization catalysts such as Pt/ZSM-5, Pt/Pd-beta zeolite, Zr-SBA-15, etc., and claimed n-pentane and n-hexane conversions are comparatively higher than the rest of the catalyst. In addition to that, they performed density functional theory (DFT) calculation and concluded that the n-hexane isomerization activation energy is higher than the n-pentane isomerization.86

More recently, isomerization of α-pinene carried out by Liu et al. using hierarchical mordenite zeolite prepared by the microwave-assisted alkaline treatment approach. It was stated, the alkaline-treated mordenite (microwave irradiated) possesses a higher external surface area than parent and alkaline-treated mordenite (conventionally heated). As a result, the conversion of α-pinene is higher (94.7%) than the other two. Also, the selectivity and yield of camphene and limonene are higher. Parent mordenite showed only 46.1% α-pinene conversion. Even though the conversion of conventionally heated mordenite (93.4%) is close to microwave irradiated mordenite (94.7%), the selectivity of limonene is low. The selectivity of camphene, limonene, and α-terpinene are higher with microwave irradiated mordenite. In addition, they performed recycling performance for microwave irradiated mordenite catalyst. They found the conversion is unaffected after 3 cyclic tests. Whereas, a slight decrease in the selectivity of camphene and limonene was observed.87

The non-noble metals supported on mordenite towards isomerization reactions can be explored. In recent, catalytic isomerization of galactose into tagatose using Mg-Al hydrotalcite and α-glucose to α-fructose performed by BEA-type zeolite catalysts, which can be explored by mordenite.88,89

Carbonylation

Carbonylation is a process of introducing carbon monoxide into an organic molecule. A few articles were found on the topic of carbonylation of dimethyl ether. The carbonylation of dimethyl ether is a more important reaction in recent years. Because the ethanol can be prepared from dimethyl ether. Ethanol has drawn global attention in current years, among the many promising alternatives for fossil fuel resources because of its exceptional properties.90–93 The traditional synthesis process of ethanol has some drawbacks such as high cost and pollution.94 A newer and effective ethanol synthesis approach is immediately needed.95–99 In recent times, the synthesis of ethanol through a greener approach has received significant consideration particularly through carbonylation of dimethyl ether (DME).100,101 Still, the catalytic performance and consistency of the catalysts towards carbonylation of dimethyl ether must be significantly enhanced. Initially, dimethyl ether converted into methyl acetate by the carbonylation process. Then methyl acetate is converted into ethanol by hydrogenation process.102,103 Here we can discuss some of the literature related to carbonylation reaction using mordenite zeolite. In 2019, Zhao and his collaborators prepared mordenite zeolite which was pretreated with hot water for different durations (5, 10, or 15 min) to improve catalytic performance. They used these catalysts in the carbonylation of dimethyl ether. Compared to the H-MOR catalyst, the water pretreated catalysts showed better conversion and selectivity. Out of the three pretreated catalysts, the catalyst treated for 10 minutes showed superior conversion and selectivity. The excess treatments of hot water (15 min) inhibit the carbonylation of dimethyl ether. They concluded the
pretreatment of catalysts by hot-water can create more Brønsted acid sites (medium strong and strong) in the catalysts. As a result, the carbylation of dimethyl ether can be boosted. In another article, Sheng et al. explained the carbylation of dimethyl ether can also be done by hierarchical mordenite zeolite prepared by the hydrothermal method using soft templates (n-butylamine and polyacrylamide). They also prepared mordenite zeolite without soft templates for comparison purposes. Based on the characterization results, they stated, there are two types of rings (8 and 12 membered rings) present. The 8 membered rings having more Brønsted sites and framework aluminium present in large numbers which can be responsible for better dimethyl ether conversion and product selectivity. The 12 membered rings having less acidity and responsible for suppressing coke formation. The lower acidity in 12 membered rings is achieved after the introduction of a soft template. By using GC-MS analysis, the authors analyzed the spent catalyst after a reaction time of 10 h and found cyclenes species, methylbenzene species, and some bulky poly cyclic aromatics. A large amount of cyclenes and methylbenzene species found in parent mordenite and as a result experiences more coke formation. In 2018, Liu and his researchers examined the roles of 8 and 12 membered ring by using DFT calculation and molecular simulations. Based on the results, they concluded the carbylation reaction can be improved by the diffusion dynamics alongside reaction kinetics. This can be useful in the design and optimization of catalytic processes.

Wang et al. stated that the performance of carbylation of dimethyl ether can be enhanced after the mordenite catalysts involved in alkaline treatment. They prepared mordenite catalysts with and without the presence of templates. They found the crystallinity decreases, whereas a specific surface area and pore volume increases. On the other hand, the micropores were destroyed and strong acid sites were removed in a considerable amount in the case of without using a template. In conclusion, both the alkaline treatment and templates are responsible for enhancing the carbylation reaction. The various carbylation reactions such as hydroformylation, decarbonylation, oxidative carbonylation, and other reactions using mordenite catalyst still need to be explored.

**Hydrodeoxygenation**

Hydrodeoxygenation is a process of removing oxygen from oxygen-containing compounds. For example, the conversion of oxygenated bio-oil upgrading into valuable fuels/chemicals. The process getting much importance because many valuable materials, for instance, fine chemicals, resins, polymers can be obtained from hydrodeoxygenation of aromatic oxygenates. The noble metal loaded solid catalysts having neutral and acidic support, for instance, Mo, Ni, Rh, Ru, Pt, Pd as well as bi-metallic catalysts CoMo, Ni-Mo, Ni-Cu have been utilized for the hydrodeoxygenation of oxygenates (derived from lignin) for the formation of alkanes, arenas, allene, and alcohols. The materials' performance is highly affected by metal nanoparticles. Hence, it is necessary to find a suitable catalyst for the hydrodeoxygenation reaction. More recently, Dang et al., carried out hydrodeoxygenation of 2-methoxy phenol using Ru metal supported on ZSM-5, mordenite, COK-12, β-zelite, Y-zelite, TiO2, and ZrO2. These catalysts were purchased commercially and used without modification for creating additional pores. The COK-12 is the only catalyst prepared in the lab. The highest conversion and cyclohexane selectivity was noticed with Ru/ZSM-5 catalyst followed by Ru/mordenite and other catalysts. After the catalytic screening, they performed the rest of the reaction only with Ru/ZSM-5 catalyst. Hence, the Ru/mordenite catalyst was not completely analyzed by Dang et al. Though, their research finding with mordenite will be useful for future research. The selectivity of cyclohexane is slightly lower in Ru/mordenite (657 μmol gm⁻¹) compared to Ru/ZSM-5 catalyst. This is due to the high acidic nature of mordenite that can be deactivated rapidly and as a result, coke formed easily compared to Ru/ZSM-5 catalyst (532 μmol gm⁻¹). Hence, the synthesis of a catalyst with hierarchical pore structures would be beneficial. In 2020, conversion of m-cresol converted into methycyclohexane through hydrodeoxygenation process using ruthenium-based catalyst supported on ZSM-5, mordenite, COK-12, β-zelite, Y-zelite, TiO2 and ZrO2 done by Kumar et al. These catalysts were purchased commercially and used without modification except COK-12. The Ru/ZSM-5 catalyst showed superior catalyst activity and TOF followed by Ru/mordenite > Ru/β-zelite > Ru/γ-zelite > Ru/TiO2 > Ru/ZrO2 > Ru/COK12 > ZSM-5. Hence, they have chosen Ru/ZSM-5 is the best catalytic system and used further in the rest of the hydrodeoxygenation reaction. In the above two reactions, the Ru/ZSM-5 catalyst only studied throughout the process. Also, it should be noted that the noble metal ruthenium is only used in both reactions. Hence, the above two reactions using synthesized hierarchical mordenite zeolite with some other noble and non-noble metal is still needed to be explored more.

Another interesting reaction of hydrodeoxygenation of palm oil using nickel phosphate supported on sodium form of mordenite zeolite carried out by Rakmae and his researchers. In Thailand, palm oil is produced in large quantities and can be used as a biofuel feedstock by hydrodeoxygenation process. At the optimum reaction condition (425 °C, 50 bar), a complete conversion with high selectivity of linear C15–C18 alkanes (green diesel). Also, the obtained liquid product is clear. In addition, the isoparaffins were also found in the liquid product. This research finding making the interest in utilizing available feedstock as biofuels.

**Adsorption**

We know adsorption is a surface phenomenon and the interest in adsorption of gases growing rapidly. Adsorption of gases using activated carbon materials was carried out extensively and the focus now shifts also to zeolites. Releasing carbon dioxide into the environment by electricity generation, industrial operations, and transportation leads to the cause of climate change. Hence, it should have captured before releasing it into the environment. A variety of carbon dioxide capture techniques have already been suggested which may be categorized into seven groups: (1) biological processes, (2) cryogenic
separation, (3) membrane separation, (4) molecular sieves, (5) water scrubber (6) chemical absorption, (7) pressure swing adsorption. On a commercial scale, carbon dioxide absorption methods utilizing chemical solutions are mostly applied. But, still more suitable material and technique required for efficient adsorption of carbon dioxide. Recently few articles found on the adsorption of gases using mordenite zeolite. Wahono et al. have reported enhanced adsorption of CO₂ natural mordenite–clinoptilolite zeolites. The performance of microporous materials is restricted due to their pore size is smaller. Hence, they have modified the corresponding zeolite by way of acid treatment (1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 M HCl) followed by calcination at high-temperature (400 °C). The mordenite–clinoptilolite zeolite treated with 12 M HCl possesses a large specific surface area (179.44 m² g⁻¹) compared to untreated (25.95 m² g⁻¹) and other acid-treated materials. At 25 °C and 1 bar pressure, the zeolite treated with 12 M HCl showed a CO₂ adsorption capacity of 0.954 mmol g⁻¹. The CO₂ adsorption capacity increases to 1.35 mmol g⁻¹ at 0 °C and 1 bar pressure. Whereas, at 0 °C and 30 bar pressure the zeolite showed a maximum CO₂ adsorption capacity of 5.22 mmol g⁻¹. The utilization of cost-effective natural zeolite as CO₂ adsorbent opened a new application to mordenite.

As the reserves of fossil fuels continue to decline, it is becoming increasingly necessary to find alternative fuels. Hydrogen is considered an alternative to fossil fuels because of its high availability and no air pollution. The problem with storing large amounts of hydrogen in the cylinder is there and research on storing hydrogen becomes more important. There are numerous investigations associated with the adsorption of hydrogen on natural zeolites. Though, investigations on adsorption of hydrogen on synthesized and cation exchanged natural zeolites are still inadequate especially mordenite zeolite. In 2019, Alver and Sakızci have researched on adsorption of hydroxides at 77 K using natural and various cation-exchanged (H⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Li⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺) chabazite, clinoptilolite, and mordenite zeolite. Out of the three samples investigated chabazite showed maximum hydrogen adsorption capacity of 0.474 to 1.082 wt%, compared to mordenite and clinoptilolite have 0.224 to 0.337 wt% and 0.065 to 0.555 wt% respectively. The Na exchanged chabazite showed the maximum hydrogen adsorption (1.082 wt%) out of the 21 candidates. This can be due to the natural chabazite possess a BET surface area of 446 m² g⁻¹, which is comparatively higher than natural mordenite (68 m² g⁻¹) and clinoptilolite (42 m² g⁻¹). It is concluded that the structure and pore nature of zeolite plays a critical role in the adsorption of hydrogen. Hence, tailoring the pore properties of mordenite can make a more suitable candidate in adsorption.

Biomass conversion

In recent years, the conversion of biomass into valuable chemicals getting increasingly important in the research area. Because, biomass is abundant, inexpensive, and easily accessible raw materials. The lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose are three main components of biomass. The 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF), levulinic acid (LA), and lactic acid (LAC) can be obtained from cellulose materials. U.S. Energy Department recognized levulinic acid among the platform chemicals. Several heterogeneous catalysts such as HZSM-5, HY zeolite, ionic liquid encapsulated zeolites, Sn-beta, Amberlyst 70, SAC13, ZrO₂ and niobium oxides have been examined towards the conversion of cellulose to levulinic acid. But, these catalysts are suffering from a few drawbacks for instance low yields, low conversion, reaction times are longer, need of costly and harmful solvents, as well as deactivation caused by the formation of humins. Velaga et al. have stated the conversion of biomass (glucose, fructose, cellulose, and bamboo sawdust) into levulinic acid using hierarchical mordenite zeolites synthesized by a hydrothermal approach. By changing hydrothermal time (17 h and 34 h) and aging time (0 h and 12 h), the acidic site concentration, mesoporosity, and crystallinity of mordenite significantly increased. The concentration of the Bronsted acid site was found to be higher (112 μmol g⁻¹) than others. The biomass conversion reaction carried at Teflon lined autoclave reactor. The yield of levulinic acid is found maximum (61%) which is converted from bamboo sawdust followed by cellulose, glucose, and fructose are 56, 52, and 43% respectively using hierarchical mordenite catalyst. Besides, humins (carbon-based macromolecular substances) are also formed along with the levulinic acid. The author stated, the formation of humins can be from the intermediates (5-hydroxymethylfurfural and 2,5-dioxo-6-hydroxy-hexanal). They also provided a mechanism for the conversion of biomass to levulinic acid and humins. Alam and his collaborators mentioned the list of biologically- and chemically-derived top chemicals produced from biomass, which is shown in Fig. 5. Hence, a wide range of platform chemicals can be obtained from biomass and broad research can be done using mordenite and other zeolites. In 2017, we have published a paper which is related to biomass conversion (polys such as sorbitol and xylitol to hydrocarbons) using hierarchical ZSM-5 zeolites, which is still open for mordenite zeolite.

Biological properties (antibacterial activity)

We already know zeolites can be used in a large number of applications. In addition to that, it can also be used for biological applications. For example, clinoptilolite proved useful anti-fungal and anti-bacterial agents, wound treatment, and
anticancer therapy. Zeolite A and ZSM 5 are applied in quick identification of diseases. Zeolites for instance ZSM 5 and zeolite X are widely utilized as bio-medical implants, hemoperfusion, anesthesiology, and haemodialysis. Zeolite Y, X, and P are employed as drug carriers. Silver-loaded zeolites have been served as anti-microbial agents. The utilization of mordenite towards biological applications needs to be explored more. Even though metal oxides are extensively studied for various biological applications, they have very low or no surface area. Hence, the magnetic metal oxide nanoparticles (Fe3O4) can be used as support. Because by using the magnetic field it can be easily separated from the reaction medium. But, generally, they aggregate because of their magnetic attraction and hence they need to be separated. Coating with zeolites can suppress the aggregation of magnetic nanoparticles. Because, zeolites have more chemical stability, non-toxicity and the surface can be modified easily. In 2018, Rajabi and Sohrabnezhad, fabricated Fe3O4@MOR@CuO core–shell by direct calcination and investigated for its antibacterial properties. The Fe3O4@MOR@CuO core–shell showed better antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* compared to CuO and Fe3O4@CuO. Besides, it should be noted Fe3O4 and Fe3O4@MOR not show any antibacterial activity. It is concluded, the CuO antibacterial activity enhanced after loaded with Fe3O4@MOR. The same authors, used similar material (Fe3O4@MOR@CuO core–shell) as photocatalyst which we will discuss in the next section. Hence, the mordenite zeolite can be used as a support for various metal oxides and can be tested against microbes and viruses. In 2018, Jesudoss et al. carried out *in vitro* anti-cancer activity (human lung epithelial cell lines (A549)) by hierarchical ZSM-5 zeolites modified by M (Cu, Ni) first time and showed higher cytotoxicity. Therefore, it is concluded the mordenite might be more suitable against cancer cells.

**Photocatalysis**

When dyes and harmful chemicals are discharged from the factory, they affect the environment. For example, methylene blue dye can be highly toxic, carcinogenic, and mutagenic when mixed with water. The advanced oxidation process is a powerful oxidation process for the oxidation of a wide range of aqueous organic contaminants. Hence, a large number of metal oxide semiconductors are applied as a photocatalyst, because of its suitable band positions, nontoxic nature, high stability, inexpensive, and simple convenience. The performance of the catalyst can be improved by loading on porous, large surface area supports for example alumina, porous carbon, zeolites, and graphitic oxide. There are only a few articles related to photocatalysis using mordenite zeolite. Not most recently, but a few years back (2017), Rajabi and Sohrabnezhad synthesized Fe3O4@MOR@CuO core–shell by direct calcination and utilized as visible light photocatalyst in the degradation of methylene blue. In the previous section, we saw the similar authors used this material in antibacterial activity. From UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra, it is found that the Fe3O4@CuO show absorption between 300 to 500 nm only. Whereas, the Fe3O4@MOR@CuO catalyst shows a strong absorption between 400 to 800 nm which will be helpful for increasing the absorption in the visible region. As expected, the Fe3O4@MOR@CuO catalyst superior photocatalytic activity than CuO, Fe3O4, Fe3O4@CuO, as well as Fe3O4@MOR towards the degradation of methylene blue. This might be due to the mordenite zeolite have a large surface area and hence more amount of methylene blue can be absorbed and also act as an electron acceptor and transferred to the Fe3O4. Hence, to achieve optimal degradation of methylene, all three components are necessary. The photocatalytic efficiency of Fe3O4@MOR@CuO catalyst was maintained even after six runs. They varied the dye concentration between 3.2 to 10 ppm and a catalyst amount of 0.05 to 2 g L⁻¹. However, the catalyst found effective up to 5 ppm only with a catalyst amount of 0.9 g L⁻¹ at pH 10. A large amount of catalyst (0.9 g L⁻¹) and reaction time (120 min) should be minimized, a maximum of 5 ppm dye concentration needs to be improved.

In a similar period, Sohrabnezhad et al. synthesized the mordenite core and put inside the MCM-41 shell. Then Ag and ZnO nanoparticles were incorporated and tested photocatalytic efficiency towards the degradation of methylene blue under visible light. Diffuse reflectance recorded for Ag/ZnO-MOR@MCM-41, the ZnO NPs, Ag/ZnO, and MOR@MCM-41 samples. All the samples show absorption characteristics. But, Ag/ZnO and Ag/ZnO-MOR@MCM-41 only show absorption even in the visible region. Hence, these two candidates are most suitable in the visible region. However, the Ag/ZnO-MOR@MCM-41 catalyst shows superior dye degradation (92%) and decolorization (97.24%) of methylene blue. However, Ag/ZnO without support shows only 33.15% degradation and 42.50% decolorization of dye. Even Ag/ZnO-MOR (55.20%) and Ag/ZnO–MCM-41 (79.52%) unable to attain maximum conversion. They varied the dye concentration between 3.2 to 20 ppm and a catalyst amount of 0.03 to 0.1 g L⁻¹. The catalyst found effective up to 10 ppm only with a catalyst amount of 0.08 g L⁻¹ at pH 6.5 in 60 min. Though, the performance of catalysts was found better with respect to catalyst amount, time, and dye concentration compared to a work described in the previous section. It should be noted the efficiency of photocatalyst can be improved in the visible region after the deposition of silver. Hence, silver plays an important role in the absorption of visible light in a large fraction. As stated in the previous section, this author also claimed better absorption of MCM-41 and an electron acceptor of mordenite improved the dye degradation efficiency by Ag/ZnO–MOR@MCM-41 catalyst. They claimed the efficiency of a photocatalyst not decreased even after four recycles. From the above two research work, we conclude that the mordenite zeolite can be prepared as a core–shell and applied in the photocatalytic degradation of pollutants. The performance of mordenite is found superior when used as a core than as a shell from the above discussions. Also, a variety of dyes, drugs, phenols, and chlorophenols can be analyzed by mordenite zeolite along with photocatalyst.

Asadollahi et al. prepared and incorporated Ag2CO3 (silver carbonate) nanoparticles in mordenite zeolite by precipitation method. A different weight percentage of AgBr (silver bromide – 20%, 40%, and 50%) coupled with Ag2CO3–mordenite. The
synthesized catalysts photocatalytic performance tested against degradation of methylene blue under visible light. The AgBr/Ag2CO3-MOR composite with 50% AgBr showed higher photocatalytic degradation efficiency of methylene blue. The efficiency of AgBr/Ag2CO3-MOR composite compared with the Ag2CO3 and Ag2CO3-MOR catalysts. The following parameters varied such as, catalyst amount (0.1 to 1.0 g without adjusting pH), amount of AgBr (20%, 40%, and 50%), (1 ppm to 10 ppm) and from the parameters the optimum support amount, catalyst amount, AgBr amount, dye concentration and time are 0.2 g L−1, 0.7 g L−1, 50 wt%, 3.2 ppm, and 75 min respectively. The author claimed the reason for the better efficiency of AgBr/Ag2CO3-MOR composite is the mordenite adsorb more methylene blue molecule and also acts as an electron acceptor. The 90% degradation and 95% decolorization of methylene blue achieved in 75 min for AgBr (50 wt%)/Ag2CO3-MOR catalyst.\(^\text{182}\)

Overall from the above discussions, if the mordenite catalyst used as a core show better photocatalytic performance. Also, if the hierarchical pore structured mordenite is used, the efficiency will be higher with less amount of catalyst.

### Fuel cell

As the demand for energy is increasing daily in the world, its use is increasing. As fossil fuels continue to decline, we are forced to look for alternative sources of energy.\(^\text{183-184}\) Direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC) gaining attention because of its clean energy, easy to use, and high energy efficiency.\(^\text{185-187}\) Prapainainar et al. extensively studied DMFC using mordenite with various materials.\(^\text{188-189}\) Hence, we will discuss here only two from the most recent one. In 2020, Prapainainar et al. have published a research article related to the evaluation of the effect of the solvent (alcohol) ratio on DMFC performance of ion/mordenite composite membrane fabricated with a solution casting approach.\(^\text{188}\) Nafion is a fluoropolymer–copolymer and a brand name for sulfonated tetrafluoroethylene discovered by Walther Grot.\(^\text{192}\) The amount (ratio) of alcohol changed between 0 to 5 (0, 1, 3, 5) by comparing the volume of DMF. They thought decreasing the ratio of alcohol might increase the ratio of composite membrane homogeneity, but an undesirable effect (two layers of polymer and mordenite formed) happens. Also, the composite membrane chemical resistance was reduced due to increased solubility when the ratio of alcohol decreased. At 343.15 K, the composite membrane having an alcohol volume ratio of 5 reaches a maximum power density of 11.5 mW cm\(^{-2}\) compared to other composite membranes at 2 M concentration of methanol.\(^\text{188}\) The same author in 2019 for improving the performance of Nafion–mordenite composite membranes and decreasing the methanol permeability by incorporating graphene oxide. At 30, 50, and 70 °C, silane grafted Nafion–mordenite composite with 0.05% content of graphene oxide showed the highest proton conductivity of 0.0560, 0.0738, and 0.08645 S cm\(^{-1}\) respectively than silane grafted silane–mordenite composite without graphene and recast Nafion. In addition, it possesses the lowest methanol permeability in comparison with the others.\(^\text{193}\)

In 2019, Munavalli and Kariduraganavar developed the sulfonic acid functionalized zeolites (ZSM-5, beta, mordenite) for fuel cell applications. Various parameters such as proton conductivity, ion exchange capacity, water uptake, and swelling behavior in relation to mass% (4, 8, and 12) of functionalized materials to determine the performance of membranes. The zeolites with 8% functionalized material to show the highest ion exchange capacity revealed from the data of proton conductivity. The functionalized Na-mordenite zeolite, Na-beta zeolite, and Na-ZSM-5 zeolite composite membranes show the corresponding proton conductivity of 0.124, 0.112, and 0.102 S cm\(^{-1}\). Also, the zeolites with 8% functionalized material possess outstanding power density of 0.45 W cm\(^{-2}\) at 1.1 A cm\(^{-2}\), 0.42 W cm\(^{-2}\) at 1.05 A cm\(^{-2}\), and 0.37 W cm\(^{-2}\) at 1.1 A with respect to Na-mordenite zeolite, Na-beta zeolite, and ZSM-5 zeolite composite membranes from the studies of fuel cell performance. They concluded these functionalized composite materials show superior performance than the Nafion 117 commercial membrane and can be a promising material in fuel cell applications.\(^\text{195}\) This fuel cell application with mordenite zeolite will gain more importance due to energy demand. Also, there are various types of fuel cells that can be explored by mordenite.

### Polymerization

Polymerization is one of the most important chemical reactions and catalyzed by various catalysts. The polymerization of phenylacetylene catalyzed by various metal loaded complexes and attracts more due to its unique properties, for instance, conductivity, humidity sensor, non-linear optical properties, ferromagnetism, and oxygen permeability.\(^\text{194-196}\) Although polymerization of phenylacetylene was not tried by zeolite catalysts. In 2020, Confalonieri et al. investigated phenylacetylene polymerization using high-silica mordenite with high pressure for the first time. At high pressure conditions, the monomeric phenylacetylene is inserted into a mordenite zeolite. In a combination of zeolite pores, high pressure, and temperature, the monomeric phenylacetylene converted into oligomeric species having a high proportion of conjugation. The formation of polymeric material was confirmed by X-ray diffraction and infrared spectroscopy analysis. Based on the IR data, they suggest at 0.30 GPa a slow oligomerization reaction seen, and also a large number of monomeric phenylacetylene species was found at 0.30 GPa. The complete polymerization of phenylacetylene observed when the applied pressure reaches to ~1.10 GPa. Besides, a higher temperature is required for further reactivity. The pressure reaches 2.58 GPa when it is kept in an oven for 5 h at 150 °C. Whereas, the pressure reaches 1.35 GPa when the samples quench at room temperature. They concluded this research will open a new path for finding novel composite materials having exciting optoelectronic properties through polymerization of aromatic molecules with the help of pressure and zeolite frameworks.\(^\text{197}\)

### Biomass valorization

The per capita per day global food consumption provides a key input variable to understand the related biomass...
accumulation related to food production alone. The global food consumption was 2358 kcal in the 1960s and the same increased to about 3000 kcal in 2020. The migration of people towards urban cities has increased manifold, and the near absence of natural biodegradation in urban habitats has demanded technological solutions to sustainable waste management techniques. The collections, preparation, transformation to value-added products provide opportunities to exploit these waste products.

Biomass feedstocks, including bagasse, vegetable oils, cooking oil, as well as several biomass intermediates, are potential resources for conversion to biofuel. Factors, such as complex composition impede the conversion of biomass to obtain valuable products. Accordingly, processing biomass to liquid fuel requires a series of steps and conditions.\(^1\) The continued development achieved in the preceding years in evolving the properties of zeolite catalysts to mitigate the challenges related to biomass up-gradation. The potential of customizing the vital physicochemical properties has provided the option of utilizing the zeolites for biomass valorization. The promising application pathways reviewed in this work are catalytic pyrolysis and hydroprocessing of biomass. The introduction of hierarchical zeolites have further enhanced the catalytic reactions to produce higher yield and selectivity.

The pyridine adsorbed HMOR zeolite was studied in the carbonylation of DME, and it was observed that pyridine influenced the selectivity of reaction sites to ensure higher stability of the catalyst.\(^2\) Ethanol synthesis has been attempted from syngas feedstock, HMOR modified with Zn improved the catalytic performance by improving the selectivity from 7.4% to 69%; however, to avoid deactivation Zn-HMOR was subsequently modified with pyridine.\(^3\) Alkaline treatment generated mesopore mordenite catalyst with varying Si/Al ratio was used in the pyrolysis and catalytic treatment of vacuum gas oil, which produced less yield but higher quality oil.\(^4\) Catalytic pyrolysis using proton forms of mordenite was attempted in the conversion of pine wood biomass, and it was observed that ketones and phenols formed the major groups of elements in the biofuel.\(^5\) Hydrothermal process utilizing mordenite zeolite catalysts possessing meso-porous structure displayed more of an aluminium framework and Bronsted acidic sites, which produced methyl acetate (MA) from dimethyl ether (DME).\(^6\) The sourcing of hydrogen for the hydrogenation of biomass has been a challenge to its viable implementation, but the possibility of utilizing H\(_2\) derived from renewable sources has thrown open the chances for a cost-effective solution.\(^7\) Catalyst transfer hydrogenation (CTH) enables the up-gradation of biomass-derived fuels and the production of valuable chemicals.\(^8\) Hydrogenolysis of cyclic ethers and polyols were attempted by utilizing zeolite supported Ni phyllosilicate catalyst, and the yield amounts were highest for ZSM-5, but MOR yielded higher than beta supports.\(^9\)

### Post-consumer plastic upgradation to fuel

Synthetic plastics are non-biodegradable and cause extensive harm to the entire ecosystem. The persistent pollutants transform over the years to micro and nanoparticles, which facilitates their entry into every living thing. The solution to mitigate plastic pollution is to convert them to valuable products through pyrolysis. Zeolites display a vital role in the valorization of post-consumer synthetic plastics. Catalytic cracking has been more effective than the thermal cracking of plastic solid waste. Catalytic pyrolysis reactions take place at a much lower temperature and at the same time, ensure higher yield.

Catalytic pyrolysis of low-density polyethylene (LDPE) has been attempted by employing an H-MOR catalyst, and it was observed that the cracked products were in the carbon range of C1–C19.\(^10\) Mordenite catalysts employed in catalytic cracking of LDPE have provided yields (40%) higher than thermal cracking.\(^11\) Mordenite catalyst has been an effective catalyst in the conversion of plastic solid waste to liquid fuel. Though its yield performance has been recorded as lower than other zeolites, its selectivity in producing high-quality oil makes it a valuable pyrolysis catalyst. Catalytic pyrolysis experiments of raw pyrolysis wax oil were conducted utilizing various zeolites (including mordenite), and it was observed that mordenite yield was lower, but it produced the highest yield of paraffin.\(^12\)

### Conclusion

The latest advancement of mordenite zeolite synthesis approaches in the past few years has given new impetus to utilizing this product. The primary reason for the increased interest in mordenite zeolite was the use of inexpensive silicon sources (rice husk ash and waste coal fly ash) and aluminium source (metakaolin and faujasite zeolite) respectively. In addition to that, the synthesis was also carried out without the use of costly organic templates like TEA\(^+\) cation or making use of cheap mixed organic templates such as (glycerol (GL), ethylene glycol (EG), and polyethylene glycol 200 (PEG)). Finally, the discussed synthesis approaches taken few days for crystallization time with effective crystallinity and pore size. From the discussion, it is concluded that the synthesis of mordenite zeolite using cheap sources will have a great opportunity in the production of catalysts and as a replacement for the materials synthesized using costly templates.

From the novel applications of mordenite zeolite in catalysis, it is expected to expand over the next few years. The medical and industrial sector requires low-cost sensors for detecting heavy metal. The construction of the mordenite zeolite-based electrode will become a promising electrochemical sensor of heavy metals. The ability of mordenite zeolite as an isomerization catalyst result may find tremendous opportunities in the petroleum refining industry. The bio-oil upgradation (hydrodeoxygenation) using mordenite catalysts create new opportunities for complete utilization of lignin-derived oxygenated aromatic compounds, which can produce valuable chemicals. The mordenite zeolite can be a suitable candidate for the adsorption of CO\(_2\) and possible applications in the conversion of CO\(_2\) into chemicals and also adsorption of H\(_2\) and possible applications in hydrogen storage. In biomedical applications, there is a scope for mordenite zeolite in further development (antimicrobial and anticancer activity). In photocatalytic
degradation of dyes, the efficiency of catalyst depends upon the adsorption of more dye molecules which was achieved by mordenite zeolite as support and it can be a promising candidate in various photocatalytic applications. Based on the discussions, the mordenite zeolite is definitely an ideal material for fuel cell applications. Even though the mordenite is used in various novel applications, there is some potential application such as plastic pyrolysis, NO₂ removal, polyols to hydrocarbon conversions yet to be explored by mordenite zeolite.
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