Soil health and nutrient density: preliminary comparison of regenerative and conventional farming
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ABSTRACT

Several independent comparisons indicate regenerative farming practices enhance the nutritional profiles of crops and livestock. Measurements from paired farms across the United States indicate differences in soil health and crop nutrient density between fields worked with conventional (synthetically-fertilized and herbicide-treated) or regenerative practices for 5 to 10 years. Specifically, regenerative farms that combined no-till, cover crops, and diverse rotations—a system known as Conservation Agriculture—produced crops with higher soil organic matter levels, soil health scores, and levels of certain vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals. In addition, crops from two regenerative no-till vegetable farms, one in California and the other in Connecticut, had higher levels of phytochemicals than values reported previously from New York supermarkets. Moreover, a comparison of wheat from adjacent regenerative and conventional no-till fields in northern Oregon found a higher density of mineral micronutrients in the regenerative crop. Finally, a comparison of the unsaturated fatty acid profile of beef and pork raised on one of the regenerative farms to a regional health-promoting brand and conventional meat from local supermarkets, found higher levels of omega-3 fats and a more health-beneficial ratio of omega-6 to omega-3 fats. Despite small sample sizes, all three crop comparisons show differences in micronutrient and phytochemical concentrations that suggest soil health is an under appreciated influence on nutrient density, particularly for phytochemicals not conventionally considered nutrients but nonetheless relevant to chronic disease prevention. Likewise, regenerative grazing practices produced meat with a better fatty acid profile than conventional and regional health-promoting brands. Together these comparisons offer preliminary support for the conclusion that regenerative soil-building farming practices can enhance the nutritional profile of conventionally grown plant and animal foods.

INTRODUCTION

Reported declines in the nutrient density of crops (Mayer, 1997; Davis, Epp & Riordan, 2004; White & Broadley, 2005; Ekholm et al., 2007; Davis, 2009) are typically attributed to...
crop breeders having focused almost exclusively on increasing yields (Morris & Sands, 2006; Marles, 2017). However, studies demonstrating that fertilization regimes and soil life affect mineral uptake by crops (e.g., Lambert, Baker & Cole, 1979; Marschner & Dell, 1994; Miller, 2000; Jansa, Wienken & Frossard, 2006; Ryan et al., 2008; White & Broadley, 2009; Zhang et al., 2012; Lehmann et al., 2014; Adak et al., 2016; Konecny et al., 2019) suggest that conventional farming practices of intensive tillage, nitrogen fertilization, and synthetic pesticide applications may have contributed to declining nutrient density through disrupting crop symbioses with soil life (Montgomery & Biklé, 2016, 2022). While a number of previous assessments compared differences in the nutritional quality of foods grown with conventional and organic production practices (Svec, Thoroughgood & Mok, 1976; Smith, 1993; Worthington, 2001; Brandt et al., 2011; Hunter et al., 2011; Smith-Spangler et al., 2012; Baranski et al., 2014), few have considered directly the influence of soil health—as reflected in soil organic matter and soil life—on nutrient density (see Hepperly, Omondi & Seidel (2018) for a notable exception).

Although proponents of farming practices that rebuild soil organic matter and soil health (which we collectively term “regenerative”) contend that such practices result in more nutrient-dense food, such claims remain little tested. Here we compare the effect of regenerative farming on soil health and crop nutrient density from a cohort of paired farm trials across the United States. Along with evidence from several other paired farm and plot studies this comparison indicates that regenerative agricultural practices employing no-till, cover crops, and diverse crop rotations enhance soil health and the micronutrient and phytochemical density of various crops. We also compare the fatty-acid profile of beef and pork raised on one of the regenerative farms to a regional health-promoting brand and conventionally raised meat purchased at a local grocery store. Our results suggest that farming practices that affect soil organic matter and microbial communities are under-appreciated influences on crop nutrient density, particularly for micronutrients and phytochemicals relevant to plant health and chronic disease prevention in humans. These preliminary results point to soil health as a more pertinent metric for assessing the impact of farming practices on the nutrient composition of crops than the usual distinction of organic and conventional practices (Montgomery & Biklé, 2021).

PAIRED FARMS

Methods

We tested the influence of soil health, as measured by soil organic matter content and soil health scores (Haney et al., 2018), on the nutrient density of crops by measuring both on eight pairs of regenerative and conventional farms across the United States, in North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Iowa, Tennessee, Kansas, North Dakota, and Montana (Fig. 1). Each regenerative farm was paired with a proximal conventionally-managed farm with the same soil type and planted with a stand of the same crop variety as their conventional neighbor (peas, sorghum, corn, or soybeans). In addition, we compared measures of soil health and nutrient density on the same variety of cabbage grown on a regenerative no-till vegetable farm in California paired with a field at the start of being...
converted to tillage-based organic practices, and thus with soil still representative of a conventional farm. We also measured soil health on another regenerative farm in Connecticut and a neighboring conventional farm but failed to secure a same-cultivar comparison crop. Regenerative farms were selected from a cohort of farmers whose practices had combined no-till, cover crops, and diverse rotations (Montgomery, 2017) in a system known as Conservation Agriculture (Kassam, Friedrich & Derpsch, 2019). At the time of our survey these regenerative farmers had fully employed such systems for 5 to 10 years, while some had used no-till methods or cover crops for longer.

While soil organic matter is a common soil-health metric, it does not account for microbial abundance and activity, which is known to affect nutrient cycling. So in addition to measuring soil organic matter, in each of the sampled fields on the paired farms we also used a test (Haney et al., 2018) that combines water extractable organic carbon and nitrogen content with a measure of microbial respiration (24-h CO₂ release) to gauge microbial activity, assess the amount of food readily available to soil microbes, and determine an overall soil health score for the soil. At each set of farms we collected a topsoil sample aggregated from the upper eight inches collected at locations across each field in which tested crops were grown. Soil samples were then sent to Ward Laboratories (www.wardlab.com) for analyses. This gave us a total of ten comparisons of soil health metrics (soil organic matter and Haney test scores) and nine paired observations on crop nutrient density.

Soil organic matter levels were measured through loss on ignition. To determine soil health scores each soil sample was dried at 50 °C and ground to pass a 2 mm sieve. Two 4 g samples were place in 50 ml Erlenmeyer flasks and one 40 g sample was placed in a 50 ml plastic beaker. The larger (40 g) sample was wetted through capillary action with 20 ml of DI water and analyzed after a 24-h incubation test at 24 °C using an infrared gas analyzer for CO₂-C. The two 4 g samples were respectively extracted with 40 ml of DI water and 40 ml of H3A organic acid extract, shaken for 10 min, centrifuged for 5 min, and...
filtered through Whatman 2 V filter paper. The extracts were analyzed for NO$_3$-N and NH$_4$-N on a Lachat 8,000 flow injection analyzer, and the water extract was analyzed on a Teledyne-Tekmar Torch C:N analyzer for water-extractable organic C and total N. Haney soil health scores (SHS) were then calculated as

$$SHS = \left( \frac{CO_2 - C}{10} \right) \times \left( \frac{WEOC}{100} \right) \times \left( \frac{WEON}{10} \right)$$ (1)

where CO$_2$C is the one day CO$_2$-C release (mg/kg soil), WEOC is active organic C (mg/kg soil), and WEON is the active organic soil N (mg/kg soil) (Haney et al., 2018).

Upon harvest, each of the regenerative farmers sent a sample of both their harvested crop and the corresponding crop from their conventional neighbor’s farm to the Linus Pauling Institute at Oregon State University for measurement of certain vitamins (B, C, E, and K), minerals (Al, Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, P, and Zn), and phytochemicals (total phenols, total phytosterols, and total carotenoids). This gave us nine comparisons of crops from paired regenerative and conventional farms (as a same-cultivar crop comparison was not available for the Connecticut farms).

Crop samples were shipped immediately after harvest in insulated bags containing ice blocks and were either processed immediately or briefly stored at −20 °C until processed. All samples were ground into powders in a stainless steel blender containing liquid nitrogen to minimize nutrient degradation. Powdered samples were then stored at −80 °C until analyzed. Vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals were analyzed according to published methods (see below). Samples were kept cold during sample preparation by keeping the sample container immersed in liquid nitrogen during the weighing step.

Vitamins were measured by HPLC with amperometric detection for vitamins E (Podda et al., 1996) and C (Frei, England & Ames, 1989), and by mass spectrometry for vitamins K (Farley et al., 2014) and B (Cellar et al., 2016). Minerals were analyzed in the Soil Health laboratory at Oregon State University by ICP OES following microwave digestion in nitric acid (da Silva et al., 2020). Phytochemicals were measured by UV-Vis spectrophotometry for total phenolics (Durst & Weaver, 2013), total phytosterols (Larissa et al., 2013), and total carotenoids (Durst & Weaver, 2013).

**Paired farm results**

Across the board, the soil on the regenerative farms had more soil organic matter (two tailed T-test, $p = 0.0087$) and higher soil health scores (two tailed T-test, $p = 0.000033$) (Table 1). Specifically, regenerative farms had 3% to 12% soil organic matter (mean = 6.3%), whereas those on conventional farms had 2% to 5% (mean = 3.5%) (Fig. 2). Soil health scores for regenerative farms ranged from 11 to 30 (mean = 20). Those for the conventional farms ranged from 3 to 14 (mean = 8). In terms of individual farm pairs, the regenerative farms were consistently higher, with up to 5 times more soil organic matter (mean = 2.0), and up to 7 times higher soil health scores (mean = 3.3) (Fig. 3). The two no-till vegetable farms had the highest soil organic matter ratios (between 2:1 and 3:1) and soil health scores. The eight paired conventional and regenerative farms had soil organic matter ratios between 1:1 and 2:1.
Given our minimal sample size, and therefore lack of statistical power for individual crops tested, in the discussion below we note only differences greater than 10% in measured values of vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals. Results varied substantially for individual nutrients and farm pairs, but averaged across all nine farm pairings the regenerative farm crops had 34% more vitamin K (10% more to 57% more), 15% more
vitamin E (11% less to 70% more), 14% more vitamin B1 (17% less to 2 times more), and 17% more vitamin B2 (17% less to 3 times more) (Table 2). The crops from the regenerative farms also had 15% more total carotenoids (6% less to 48% more), 20% more total phenolics (14% less to more than twice as many), and 22% more total phytosterols (25% less to more than 2 times more). In addition, regeneratively grown crops had 11% more calcium (1% less to 43% more), 16% more phosphorus (10% less to twice as much), and 27% more copper (16% less to twice as much). Cabbage from the regenerative farm had 20%, 41%, and 70% more vitamins C, K, and E, respectively, as well as more than twice the phenolics and phytosterols, and 48% more carotenoids than cabbage from the conventional field. Corn, soy, and sorghum grown under regenerative practices respectively had 17%, 22%, and 23% more zinc. In addition, peas and sorghum grown

Figure 3 Plots of (upper) soil organic matter and (lower) Haney test soil score for regenerative farms vs their paired conventional farm. Dotted lines represent 1:1 correspondence, dashed lines represent other indicated ratios.
using regenerative practices had more vitamins, and regenerative soy and sorghum had more copper. However, averaged across all crops the regenerative ones also had less vitamin B6 and manganese, and regenerative soy had less vitamin C and several B vitamins (B1, B3, and B6). Overall, more substantial differences were observed in cabbage, peas, and sorghum than in corn and soybeans. Absolute values for each crop and nutrient pairing are given in Supplemental Materials (File S1).

**NO-TILL VEGETABLE FARMS**

**Methods**

We further compared the nutrient density of cabbage from the California regenerative no-till vegetable farm to that of the same variety of cabbage grown on another (second) field on the same nearby farm from the comparison discussed above, this one a fully certified organic field subject to frequent mechanical tillage. We also measured soil health metrics on this tilled organic-certified field to compare with those from the regenerative farm and the just converted to organic field still representative of conventional practices. In addition, we measured the nutrient density of carrots and spinach on both the California regenerative farm and the regenerative, no-till vegetable
A farm in Connecticut. Both of these regenerative no-till vegetable farms used hand sowing or hand transplants of vegetable starts and used no herbicides or pesticides. The methods employed on the Connecticut farm are as described by O’Hara (2020).

We also compared aspects of the nutritional profile of cabbage, carrots, and spinach grown on these regenerative no-till vegetable farms with values from the USDA nutritional database [USDA SR28] and from previously published analyses of these same crops conventionally grown and purchased from New York supermarkets (Chun et al., 2005).

**No-till vegetable results**

On the California organic farm the organic-certified field had higher soil organic matter (2.9%) and Haney test score (9.2) than the “conventional” field only recently converted to organic tillage (the one reported in Table 1). Still, the soil on the California regenerative farm had almost 4 times the soil organic matter and a soil health score 3 times higher than either of these two fields at the tilled organic farm. Likewise, the regenerative Connecticut farm had 3 times the organic matter and 7 times the soil health score than the neighboring conventional farm (Table 1).

Cabbage grown on the regenerative California farm had 46% more vitamin K, 31% more vitamin E, and 33% more vitamin B1, 60% more vitamin B3, and 23% more vitamin B5 than cabbage from the regularly tilled organic field. The regenerative cabbage also had 41% more calcium, 22% more potassium and less than a third of the sodium, 35% more carotenoids, and 74% more phytosterols. Absolute values are reported in supplemental materials (File S1).

Relative to standard reference values in the USDA nutrient database (USDA SR28, No. 11749, cabbage, common (Danish, domestic, and pointed types), freshly harvest, raw) the regenerative cabbage had 50% more zinc and magnesium, and just a fifth of the sodium that one would expect to find in a conventionally grown cabbage. While the USDA database does not (yet) include phenolics, a 2005 study published in the *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* reported total phenolic contents for fruits and vegetables purchased from New York supermarkets, including values for cabbage, spinach, and carrots (Chun et al., 2005). The regenerative cabbage we sampled had almost two and a half times more. In addition, spinach from both the Connecticut and California regenerative farms had about 4 times the total phenolics, and carrots from these farms had 60% to 70% more total phenolics than reported values from samples obtained at New York supermarkets (Chun et al., 2005).

**WHEAT TESTS**

**Wheat comparison methods**

An additional comparison examined the effect of regenerative practices on the mineral density of wheat from two adjacent no-tilled fields in northern Oregon that had undergone different weed control and crop rotation treatments. On one field the farmer followed the region’s conventional fallow-winter wheat rotation with regular applications of herbicide (glyphosate). In contrast, the regenerative field was planted in a diverse cocktail of cover crops between crops of spring barley and winter wheat.
For two years running the farmer planted both no-tilled fields with the same variety of wheat, fertilized with either compost or a “starter blend” of chemical fertilizers. To test for differences in mineral levels in the two crops we had the farmer send us samples of the second-year wheat crop from both fields. We ground up wheat grains from each field in a heavy-duty blender thoroughly cleaned with de-ionized water between sample preparations. Three acid-digested replicates of each sample were then analyzed in a mass spectrometer in the Trace Element Laboratory at the University of Washington’s College of the Environment to determine elemental concentrations of B, Na, Mg, K, Ca, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, Mo, and Cd.

Wheat comparison results
The farmer reported that both fields produced a harvest of 5 metric tons per ha (75 bushels an acre), and thus that the cover crops worked to suppress weeds without reducing the harvest. The cover cropped wheat samples had higher mineral density, with significantly greater amounts ($p < 0.05$) of boron (41%), magnesium (29%), calcium (48%), zinc (56%), and molybdenum (4 times more), and at lower statistical significance ($p < 0.10$) more potassium (26%), and manganese (35%), and just two-thirds as much nickel (Table 3). In all, more mineral micronutrients were getting into the wheat harvested from the no-till, no-herbicide, cover-cropped plot.

### FATTY ACID PROFILES

**Fatty acid testing methods**
Prior studies have shown that the diet of cows affects the fatty acid profile of their meat (Wood & Enser, 1997; Razminowicz, Kreuzer & Scheeder, 2006; Scollan et al., 2006; Lorenzen et al., 2007; De la Fuente et al., 2009; Daley et al., 2010; French et al., 2000; Duckett Table 3 Wheat mineral density comparisons.

| Element | CC mean (ppm) ±Sd | NC mean (ppm) ±Sd | CC/NC | p value |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|---------|
| B       | 0.90 ± 0.03       | 0.64 ± 0.10       | 1.41  | 0.017   |
| Na      | 13.12 ± 0.69      | 13.20 ± 3.13      | 0.99  | 0.064   |
| Mg      | 1439 ± 54         | 1112 ± 181        | 1.29  | 0.040   |
| K       | 7219 ± 197        | 5750 ± 1002       | 1.26  | 0.067   |
| Ca      | 32.50 ± 2.26      | 21.92 ± 3.91      | 1.48  | 0.051   |
| Mn      | 50.96 ± 3.84      | 37.66 ± 9.57      | 1.35  | 0.089   |
| Fe      | 40.78 ± 1.19      | 34.10 ± 5.92      | 1.20  | 0.128   |
| Ni      | 0.20 ± 0.01       | 0.30 ± 0.06       | 0.67  | 0.055   |
| Cu      | 2.56 ± 0.16       | 2.17 ± 0.40       | 1.18  | 0.202   |
| Zn      | 18.99 ± 0.59      | 12.21 ± 3.10      | 1.56  | 0.021   |
| Mo      | 0.220 ± 0.060     | 0.053 ± 0.010     | 4.15  | 0.011   |
| Cd      | 0.220 ± 0.000     | 0.023 ± 0.010     | 0.87  | 0.373   |

Notes:
Mineral density of wheat from cover cropped (CC) and chemical/fallow (NC) plots.
Standard deviations are for three replicates of each sample.
For CC/NC ratios, bold indicates significant at $p = 0.05$, and italics indicate significant at $p = 0.10$ (two tailed T-tests).
et al., 2013), particularly the amount of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) as well as the amounts of omega-3 and omega-6 fats. We compared the amount of these fatty acids in beef and pork raised on one of the regenerative farms in our paired farm comparison (Bismarck, ND) with the fatty acid profiles of the same cuts (boneless ribeye and pork chops) of both conventional meat and meat marketed as a healthier alternative purchased at a local supermarket.

Samples were sent to the Iowa State University Department of Animal Science testing lab where samples were prepared for fatty acid analysis by trimming external fat to prepare 2 g of muscle tissue that was ground up and homogenized prior to analysis for total omega 3 and 6 content as well the essential omega-3 alpha-linolenic acid (ALA), the long-chain omega-3 fats eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), docosapentaenoic acid (DPA), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), and CLA (for beef). Lipids were extracted from each sample following Folch, Lees & Stanley (1957) and the extracted lipid was then esterified using the acetyl chloride/methanol method, and processed following methods described by Pogge, Lonergan & Hansen (2014). Fatty acid profiles were then analyzed using gas chromatography following methods described by Richter, Drewnoski & Hansen (2012).

Samples of each type of meat represent three different styles of animal husbandry, as described below. The regenerative beef was 100% grass-fed and grass-finished, raised and grazed on restored native prairie, and on regeneratively grown cover crop forages and bale grazed over the winter (Brown, 2018). Cows from the regional health promoting brand comparison were also grass-fed and finished but not on regeneratively managed land. The conventional beef was finished in a feedlot with an industry standard diet of corn and soybean meal, silage, and other grains grown on conventional farms. The regenerative pork was raised on pasture after weaning and also offered a mix of regeneratively raised ground grains, typically oats, peas, barley and flax. The regional health promoting (organic) brand raises pigs in large barns with access to the outdoors on a diet of non-GMO corn and soybean meal. The conventional pork came from a brand that raises pigs in confinement for their entire lives on a diet of conventionally grown GMO corn and soybeans.

**Fatty acid testing results**

The beef from the regenerative farm had 3 times more omega-3 fats, and more than 6 times more of the essential omega-3, alpha linolenic acid (ALA), than the conventional beef (Table 4). The regenerative beef also had more than half more to almost three quarters more of the trio of long-chain omega-3s (EPA, DPA, and DHA), as well as two-thirds of the omega-6 fats, making for an omega-6 to omega-3 ratio one fifth as large as for conventional beef (1.3:1 vs 6.2:1). Values for the regional brand of grass-fed beef were intermediate. The pork from the regenerative farm had more than 9 times as many omega-3s, and 3 times the omega-6s, making for an omega-6 to omega-3 ratio one-third as large as for the conventional pork (Table 5). The regenerative pork had more than 11 times as much ALA, almost 2 times as much EPA, 3 times as much DPA, and more than 4 times as much DHA than the conventional pork.
DISCUSSION

The differences described above might be considered to represent an upper range for what may be rapidly attainable through soil-building practices as the regenerative farmers were selected based on their success in developing and applying such methods. Nonetheless, the consistently greater micronutrient content of regeneratively grown foods points to the potential for soil-building farming practices to enhance the nutritional profile of crops and livestock.

Soil

As each of the regenerative farms had been conventionally farmed and had soil organic matter content similar to its paired conventional farm before conversion to regenerative practices, our analyses show that such practices can increase topsoil organic matter and enhance soil health after less than a decade of fully adopting regenerative practices based on Conservation Agriculture methods combining no-till, cover crops, and diverse...
rotations. Moreover, the roughly doubled soil organic matter measured on average for the regenerative farms is large enough to substantially contribute toward reversing the roughly 50% historical decline in soil organic matter reported previously as typical for American cropland in general (Baumhardt, Stewart & Sainju, 2015). In addition, the consistently higher soil health scores on regenerative farms indicate the potential for greater nutrient cycling compared to conventionally managed fields.

The soils on the two small no-till vegetable farms had between 2 and 3 times as much topsoil organic matter as conventional farms, whereas the larger no-till row crop farms in the national comparison had between 1 and 2 times as much soil organic matter (Fig. 3). This difference suggests the potential to more rapidly increase soil organic matter on small-scale vegetable farms than on larger, more grain-oriented farms. Moreover, the very high soil organic matter content of the small no-till vegetable farms suggests the potential to increase levels above the range typical for native soils.

However, a 2014 review of previous studies (Powlson et al., 2014) concluded that despite no-till practices tending to increase soil organic matter in surficial soil horizons compensating losses deeper in the soil profile limit the net increase. That study, however, looked at no-till only, which by itself has limited potential to increase soil organic matter. Notably, over the two decades from 1993 to 2013 the combination of all three practices (no-till, cover crops, and diverse rotations) at the North Dakota regenerative farm increased topsoil (A horizon) depths from 8 cm to 36 cm (Brown, 2018). Hence, further evaluation of the net increase in soil organic matter integrated over the full soil profile on regenerative farms is warranted to assess the potential for different systems of regenerative farming to build and retain soil organic matter.

Crops
While our sample sizes are small, all three lines of investigation discussed above (paired farms, no-till vegetable comparisons, and wheat field trials) find that relative to conventional farming, regenerative practices yield crops with higher levels of key phytochemicals, vitamins, and/or minerals relevant to human health. Despite substantial variability between crops and specific farm pairings our wheat comparison revealed that conventionally grown grain had more Cd, Ni, and Na, elements detrimental to human health, whereas regeneratively grown grain had more micronutrients beneficial to human health. Overall, our results are consistent with those reported by Hepperly, Omondi & Seidel (2018) for the effect of building soil organic matter on the mineral content of crops. It appears that healthier soil with more soil organic matter and the life it sustains can enhance the nutrient-density of crops, increasing levels of micronutrients and phytochemicals through, we suspect, influencing nutrient cycling as well as biochemical signaling involved in phytochemical production. These results parallel those obtained from biofortification of mineral density through use of micronutrient fertilizers and growth-promoting bacterial inoculation advocated as ways to help address mineral micronutrient deficiencies in the human diet (Rana et al., 2012; Bouis & Saltzman, 2017; de Valença et al., 2017).
Our results for wheat in particular demonstrate the potential for rapid increases in mineral micronutrient density comparable in magnitude to reported historical nutrient declines (e.g., Davis, Epp & Riordan, 2004; Garvin, Welch & Finley, 2006; Fan et al., 2008; Murphy, Reeves & Jones, 2008; Davis, 2009). As the mineral composition of the soil itself could not change this much over two years without corresponding chemical inputs, the observed changes in mineral uptake by the cover cropped wheat indicate that something else was going on. What could change that fast? Soil biology and its influence on micronutrient uptake (Montgomery & Biklé, 2016).

Considered all together, our preliminary analyses show that regenerative farming methods affect both soil organic matter and nutrient density. Notably, the roughly doubled soil organic matter measured on average for the regenerative farms is the inverse of the typical decline reported for North American cropland (Baumhardt, Stewart & Sainju, 2015).

Fatty acids
Key aspects of the fatty acid profile of regeneratively grazed meat were substantially better than for either grass-fed or conventionally raised examples. While a large body of research has shown that a grass vs grain fed diet affects the fatty acid composition of meat (Wood & Enser, 1997; Razminowicz, Kreuzer & Scheeder, 2006; Scollan et al., 2006; Lorenzen et al., 2007; De la Fuente et al., 2009; Daley et al., 2010; French et al., 2000; Duckett et al., 2013), the observation that the regeneratively raised meat in our comparison also had higher levels of omega-3s than the other grass-fed, outdoor-raised comparison suggests that the greater soil health on the regenerative farm further enhanced the fatty acid profile over what would be expected from the grass-fed vs grain-fed distinction.

A number of studies have ascribed health benefits to greater consumption of both CLA and omega-3s. Dietary intake of CLA has been linked to quelling inflammation and reducing risk of various chronic diseases (Viladomiu, Hontecillas & Bassaganya-Riera, 2016; den Hartigh, 2019). And while human beings evolved on diets with roughly equal amounts of omega-6 and omega-3 fats (Simopoulos, 2006), a substantial body of evidence implicates a high omega-6 to omega-3 ratio (such as the 10:1 to 20:1 ratio typical of a modern Western diet) as contributing to inflammation that underlies obesity and chronic diseases like cardiovascular and irritable bowel disease, rheumatoid arthritis, and neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s (Patterson et al., 2012). Increased dietary omega-3 consumption (or supplementation) also has been shown or proposed to reduce the effects or risk of Crohn’s disease (Belluzzi, 1996; Calder, 2008), arthritis (James & Cleland, 1997; Curtis et al., 2000; Adam et al., 2003; Calder, 2017), asthma (Brigham et al., 2019), diabetes (Raheja et al., 1993), breast cancer (Grammatikos et al., 1994; Maillard et al., 2002; Abdimagid et al., 1993) and obesity (Buckley & Howe, 2009; Simopoulos, 2016).

CONCLUSIONS
The mechanisms and relationships through which regenerative farming practices influence the nutrient density of food, and thereby potentially human health, deserve greater
attention from both agronomists and nutritionists alike. Relative to conventional farming, regenerative practices based on Conservation Agriculture produced crops with higher levels of phytochemicals, vitamins, and minerals, although which ones and by how much varied among farm pairings. Most notably, soil health appears to influence phytochemical levels in crops, indicating that regenerative farming systems can enhance dietary levels of compounds known to reduce risk of various chronic diseases (e.g., Weisberger, 1991; Alloway, 2009; Kang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011, 2014; Del Rio et al., 2013; Zanotti et al., 2015). Given the complexity of soil ecology, the human microbiome, and other important factors, it will prove challenging to more rigorously link soil health and human health. Still, our preliminary comparisons suggest the potential for regenerative agricultural practices that build soil health to enhance the nutritional profile of crops and livestock, and thereby influence human health and risk of chronic diseases.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

**Funding**
Nutrient density testing was supported by the Dillon Family Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Grant Disclosures**
The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:
Dillon Family Foundation.

**Competing Interests**
Anne Biklé is a principal author at Dig2Grow.com. Paul Brown and Jazmin Jordan work at Brown’s Ranch, a regenerative farm sampled in the study.

**Author Contributions**
- David Montgomery conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Anne Biklé conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Ray Archuleta performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Paul Brown performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Jazmin Jordan performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
Field Study Permissions
The following information was supplied relating to field study approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

My institution does not require permits for field studies. No permit was necessary to collect soil samples from farms.

Data Availability
The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The data for Figs. 2 & 3 are available in Table 1. The raw data for Tables 2 & 3 are available in the Supplemental Files. The remainder of the data are available in Tables 4 & 5.

Supplemental Information
Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12848#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES
Abdelmagid SA, MacKinnon JL, Janssen SM, Ma DWL. 2016. Role of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and exercise in breast cancer prevention: identifying common targets. Nutrition and Metabolic Insights 9:71–84 DOI 10.4137/NML.S39043.

Adak A, Prasanna R, Babu S, Bidyarani N, Verma S, Pal M, Shivay YS, Nain L. 2016. Micronutrient enrichment mediated by plant-microbe interactions and rice cultivation practices. Journal of Plant Nutrition 39(9):1216–1232 DOI 10.1080/01904167.2016.1148723.

Adam O, Beringer C, Kless T, Lemmen C, Adam A, Wiseman M, Adam P, Klimmek R, Forth W. 2003. Anti-inflammatory effects of a low arachidonic acid diet and fish oil in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology International 23(1):27–36 DOI 10.1007/s00296-002-0234-7.

Alloway BJ. 2009. Soil factors associated with zinc deficiency in crops and humans. Environmental Geochemistry and Health 31(5):537–548 DOI 10.1007/s10653-009-9255-4.

Baranski M, Srednicka-Tober D, Volakakis N, Seal C, Sanderson R, Steward GB, Benbrook C, Biavati B, Markellou E, Giotis C, Gromadzka-Ostrowska J, Rembialkowska E, Skwarlo-Sonta K, Tahvonen R, Janovská D, Niggli U, Nicot P, Leifert C. 2014. Higher antioxidant and lower cadmium concentrations and lower incidence of pesticide residues in organically grown crops: a systematic literature review and meta-analyses. British Journal of Nutrition 112(5):794–811 DOI 10.1017/S0007114514001366.

Baumhardt RL, Stewart BA, Sainju UM. 2015. North American soil degradation: processes, practices, and mitigating strategies. Sustainability 7(3):2936–2960 DOI 10.3390/su7032936.

Belluzzi A. 1996. Effect of an enteric-coated fish-oil preparation on relapses in Crohn’s Disease. New England Journal of Medicine 334(24):1557–1560 DOI 10.1056/NEJM199606133342401.

Bouis HE, Saltzman A. 2017. Improving nutrition through biofortification: a review of evidence from HarvestPlus, 2003 through 2016. Global Food Security 12(2):49–58 DOI 10.1016/j.gfs.2017.01.009.

Brandt K, Leifert C, Sanderson R, Seal CJ. 2011. Agroecosystem management and nutritional quality of plant foods: the case of organic fruits and vegetables. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 30(1–2):177–197 DOI 10.1080/07352689.2011.554417.

Brigham EP, Woo H, McCormack M, Rice J, Koehler K, Vulcain T, Wu T, Koch A, Sharma S, Kolahdooz F, Bose S, Hanson C, Romero K, Diette G, Hansel NN. 2019. Omega-3 and
omega-6 intake modifies asthma severity and response to indoor air pollution in children. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* **199**(12):1478–1486 DOI 10.1164/rccm.201808-1474OC.

**Brown G. 2018.** *Dirt to soil: one family’s journey into regenerative agriculture.* Chelsea Green: White River Junction.

**Buckley JD, Howe PRC. 2009.** Anti-obesity effects of long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Obesity Reviews* **10**(6):648–659 DOI 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2009.00584.x.

**Calder PC. 2008.** Polyunsaturated fatty acids, inflammatory processes and inflammatory bowel diseases. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* **52**(8):885–897 DOI 10.1002/mnfr.200700289.

**Calder PC. 2017.** Omega-3 fatty acids and inflammatory processes: from molecules to man. *Biochemical Society Transactions* **45**(5):1105–1115 DOI 10.1042/BST20160474.

**Cellar NA, McClure SC, Salvati LM, Reddy TM. 2016.** A new sample preparation and separation combination for precise, accurate, rapid, and simultaneous determination of vitamins B1, B2, B3, B5, B6, B7, and B9 in infant formula and related nutrional by LC-MS/MS. *Analytica Chimica Acta* **934**:180–185 DOI 10.1016/j.aca.2016.05.058.

**Chun OK, Kim D-O, Smith N, Schroedrer D, Han JT, Lee CY. 2005.** Daily consumption of phenolics and total antioxidant capacity from fruit and vegetables in the American diet. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **85**:1715–1724 DOI 10.1002/(ISSN)1097-0010.

**Curtis CL, Hughes CE, Flannery CR, Little CB, Harwood JL, Caterson B. 2000.** N-3 fatty acids specifically modulate catabolic factors involved in articular cartilage degradation. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **275**(2):721–724 DOI 10.1074/jbc.275.2.721.

**da Silva IJS, Lavorante AF, Paim APS, da Silva MJ. 2020.** Microwave-assisted digestion employing diluted nitric acid for mineral determination in rice by ICP OES. *Food Chemistry* **319**(2):126435 DOI 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126435.

**Daley CA, Abbott A, Doyle PS, Nader GA, Larson S. 2010.** A review of fatty acid profiles and antioxidant content in grass-fed and grain-fed beef. *Nutrition Journal* **9**(1):10 DOI 10.1186/1475-2891-9-10.

**Davis DR. 2009.** Declining fruit and vegetable nutrient composition: what is the evidence? *Horticultural Science* **44**(5):15–19 DOI 10.21273/HORTSCI44.1.15.

**Davis DR, Epp MD, Riordan HD. 2004.** Changes in USDA food composition data for 43 garden crops, 1950–1999. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* **23**(6):669–682 DOI 10.1080/07315724.2004.10719409.

**De la Fuente J, Diaz MT, Álvarez I, Oliver MA, Font i Furnols M, Sañudo C, Campo MM, Montossi F, Nute GR, Cañeque V. 2009.** Fatty acid and vitamin E composition of intramuscular fat in cattle reared in different production systems. *Meat Science* **82**(3):331–337 DOI 10.1016/j.meatsci.2009.02.002.

**de Valença AW, Bake A, Brouwer ID, Giller KE. 2017.** Agronomic biofortification of crops to fight hidden hunger in sub-Saharan Africa. *Global Food Security* **12**(3808):8–14 DOI 10.1016/j.gfs.2016.12.001.

**Del Rio D, Rodriguez-Mateos A, Spencer JPE, Tognolini M, Borges G, Crozier A. 2013.** Dietary (poly)phenolics in human health: structures, bioavailability, and evidence of protective effects against chronic diseases. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling* **18**(14):1818–1892 DOI 10.1089/ars.2012.4581.

**den Hartigh LJ. 2019.** Conjugated linoleic acid effects on cancer, obesity, and atherosclerosis: a review of pre-clinical and human trials with current perspectives. *Nutrients* **11**(2):370 DOI 10.3390/nu11020370.
Duckett SK, Neel JPS, Lewis RM, Fontenot JP, Clapham WM. 2013. Effects of forage species or concentrate finishing on animal performance, carcass and meat quality. *Journal of Animal Science* 91(3):1454–1467 DOI 10.2527/jas.2012-5914.

Durst RW, Weaver GW. 2013. Nutritional content of fresh and canned peaches. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 93(3):593–603 DOI 10.1002/jsfa.5849.

Ekholm P, Reinivuo H, Mattila P, Pakkala H, Koponen J, Happonen A, Hellström J, Ovaskainen M-L. 2007. Changes in the mineral and trace element contents of cereals, fruits and vegetables in Finland. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* 20(6):487–495 DOI 10.1016/j.jfca.2007.02.007.

Fan M-S, Zhao F-J, Fairweater-Tait SJ, Poulton PR, Dunham SJ, McGrath SP. 2008. Evidence of decreasing mineral density in wheat grain over the last 160 years. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology* 22(4):315–324 DOI 10.1016/j.jtemb.2008.07.002.

Farley SM, Leonard SW, Stevens JF, Traber MG. 2014. Deuterium-labeled phylloquinone fed to α-tocopherol-injected rats demonstrates sensitivity of low phylloquinone-containing tissues to menaquinone-4 depletion. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 58(8):1610–1619 DOI 10.1002/mnfr.201300659.

Folch J, Lees M, Stanley GHS. 1957. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 226(1):497–509 DOI 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)64849-5.

Frei B, England L, Ames BN. 1989. Ascorbate is an outstanding antioxidant in human blood plasma. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 86(16):6377–6381 DOI 10.1073/pnas.86.16.6377.

French P, Stanton C, Lawless F, O-Riordan EG, Monahan FJ, Caffrey PJ, Moloney AP. 2000. Fatty acid composition, including conjugated linoleic acid, of intramuscular fat from steers offered grazed grass, grass silage, or concentrate-based diets. *Journal of Animal Science* 78(11):2849–2855 DOI 10.2527/2000.78112849x.

Garvin DF, Welch RM, Finley JW. 2006. Historical shifts in the seed mineral micronutrient concentration of US hard red winter wheat germplasm. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 86:2213–2220 DOI 10.1002/(ISSN)1097-0010.

Grammatikos SI, Subbaian PV, Victor TA, Miller WM. 1994. N-3 and n-6 fatty acid processing and growth effects in neoplastic and non-cancerous human mammary epithelial cell lines. *British Journal of Cancer* 70(2):219–227 DOI 10.1038/bjc.1994.283.

Haney RL, Haney EB, Smith DR, Harmel RD, White MJ. 2018. The soil health tool—theory and initial broad-scale application. *Applied Soil Ecology* 125(12):162–168 DOI 10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.07.035.

Hepperly PR, Omondi E, Seidel R. 2018. Soil regeneration increases crop nutrients, antioxidants and adaptive responses. *MOJ Food Processing & Technology* 6(2):196–203 DOI 10.15406/mojftp.2018.06.00165.

Hunter D, Foster M, McArthur JO, Ojha R, Petocz P, Samman S. 2011. Evaluation of the micronutrient composition of plant foods produced by organic and conventional agricultural methods. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 51(6):571–582 DOI 10.1080/10408391003721701.

James MJ, Cleland LG. 1997. Dietary n-3 fatty acids and therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism* 27(2):85–97 DOI 10.1016/S0049-0172(97)80009-1.

Jansa J, Wienen K, Frossard E. 2006. The effects of agricultural practices on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. In: Frossard E, Blum WEH, Warkentin BP, eds. *Function of Soils for Human Societies and the Environment*. Geological Society: London, 89–115.
Kang NH, Shin SH, Lee HJ, Lee KW. 2011. Polyphenols as small molecular inhibitors of signaling cascades in carcinogenesis. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics* **130**(3):310–324 DOI 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2011.02.004.

Kassam A, Friedrich T, Derpsch R. 2019. Global spread of conservation agriculture. *International Journal of Environmental Studies* **76**:29–51 DOI 10.1080/00207233.2018.1494927.

Konecny J, Hrselová H, Bukovská P, Huijslová M, Jansa J. 2019. Correlative evidence for co-regulation of phosphorus and carbon exchanges with symbiotic fungus in the arbuscular mycorrhizal *Medicago truncatula*. *PLOS ONE* **14**(e0224938) DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0224938.

Lambert DH, Baker DE, Cole H Jr. 1979. The role of mycorrhizae in the interactions of P with Zn, Cu and other elements. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **43**:976–980 DOI 10.2136/sssaj1979.03615995004300050033x.

Larissa BDC, Araújo LBDC, Silva SL, Galvão MAM, Ferreira MRA, Araújo EL, Randau KP, Soares LAL. 2013. Total phytosterol content in drug materials and extracts from roots of *Acanthospermum hispidum* by UV-VIS spectrophotometry. *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia* **23**(5):736–742 DOI 10.1590/S0102-695X2013000500004.

Lehmann A, Versoglou SD, Leifheit EF, Rillig MC. 2014. Arbuscular mycorrhizal influence on zinc nutrition in crop plants—a meta-analysis. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **69**:123–131 DOI 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.11.001.

Lorenzen CL, Golden JW, Martz FA, Grün IU, Ellersieck MR, Gerrish JR, Moore KC. 2007. Conjugated linoleic acid content of beef differs by feeding regime and muscle. *Meat Science* **75**:159–167 DOI 10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.06.025.

Maillard V, Bougouz P, Ferrari P, Jourdan M-L, Pinault M, Lavillonniere F, Body G, Floch OLe, Chajes V. 2002. N-3 and N-6 fatty acids in breast adipose tissue and relative risk of breast cancer in a case-control study in Tours, France. *International Journal of Cancer* **98**(1):78–83 DOI 10.1002/ijc.10130.

Marles RJ. 2017. Mineral nutrient composition of vegetables, fruits and grains: the context of reports of apparent historical declines. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* **56**(1S):93–103 DOI 10.1016/j.jfca.2016.11.012.

Marschner H, Dell B. 1994. Nutrient uptake in mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Plant and Soil* **159**(1):89–102 DOI 10.1007/BF00000098.

Mayer A-M. 1997. Historical changes in the mineral content of fruits and vegetables. *British Food Journal* **99**(6):207–211 DOI 10.1108/0007079710181540.

Miller MH. 2000. Arbuscular mycorrhizae and the phosphorus nutrition of maize: a review of Guelph studies. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* **80**(1):47–52 DOI 10.4141/P98-130.

Montgomery DR. 2017. *Growing a revolution*. New York: W. W. Norton.

Montgomery DR, Biklé A. 2016. *The hidden half of nature*. New York: W. W. Norton.

Montgomery DR, Biklé A. 2021. Soil health and nutrient density: beyond organic vs. conventional farming. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems* **5**:699147 DOI 10.3389/fsufs.2021.699147.

Montgomery DR, Biklé A. 2022. *What your food ate*. New York: W.W. Norton.

Morris CE, Sands DC. 2006. The breeder’s dilemma—yield or nutrition? *Nature Biotechnology* **24**(9):1078–1080 DOI 10.1038/nbt0906-1078.

Murphy KM, Reeves PG, Jones SS. 2008. Relationship between yield and mineral nutrient concentrations in historical and modern spring wheat cultivars. *Euphytica* **163**(3):381–390 DOI 10.1007/s10681-008-9681-x.

O’Hara B. 2020. *No-till intensive vegetable farming*. White River Junction: Chelsea Green Publishing.
Patterson E, Wall R, Fitzgerald GF, Ross RP, Stanton C. 2012. Health Implications of high dietary omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism* 2012(2):539426 DOI 10.1155/2012/539426.

Podda M, Weber C, Traber MG, Packer L. 1996. Simultaneous determination of tissue tocopherols, tocotrienols, ubiquinols, and ubiquinones. *Journal of Lipid Research* 37(4):893–901 DOI 10.1016/S0022-2275(20)37587-8.

Pogge DJ, Lonergan SM, Hansen SL. 2014. Influence of supplemental vitamin C on postmortem protein degradation and fatty acid profiles of the *longissimus thoracis* of steers fed varying concentrations of dietary sulfur. *Meat Science* 96:956–963 DOI 10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.08.031.

Powlson DS, Stirling CM, Jat ML, Gerard BG, Palm CA, Sanchez PA, Cassman KG. 2014. Limited potential of no-till agriculture for climate change mitigation. *Nature Climate Change* 4:678–683 DOI 10.1038/nclimate2292.

Raheja BS, Sadikot SM, Phatak RB, Rao MB. 1993. Significance of the n-6/n-3 ratio for insulin action in diabetes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 683:258–271 DOI 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1993.tb35715.x.

Rana A, Joshi M, Prasanna R, Shivay YS, Nain L. 2012. Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. *European Journal of Soil Biology* 50:118–126 DOI 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.01.005.

Razminowicz RH, Kreuzer M, Scheeder MRL. 2006. Quality of retail beef from two grass-based production systems in comparison with conventional beef. *Meat Science* 73:351–361 DOI 10.1016/j.meatsci.2005.12.013.

Richter EL, Drewnoski ME, Hansen SL. 2012. Effects of increased dietary sulfur on beef steer mineral status, performance, and meat fatty acid composition. *Journal of Animal Science* 90(11):3945–3953 DOI 10.2527/jas.2011-4512.

Ryan MH, McInerney JK, Record IR, Angus JF. 2008. Zinc bioavailability in wheat grain in relation to phosphorus fertilizer, crop sequence and mycorrhizal fungi. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 88:1208–1216 DOI 10.1002/(ISSN)1097-0010.

Scollan N, Hocquette J-F, Nuernberg K, Dannenberger D, Richardson I, Moloney A. 2006. Innovations in beef production systems that enhance the nutritional and health value of beef lipids and their relationship with meat quality. *Meat Science* 74(1):17–33 DOI 10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.05.002.

Simopoulos AP. 2006. Evolutionary aspects of diet, the omega-6/omega-3 ratio and genetic variation: nutritional implications for chronic diseases. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* 60(9):502–507 DOI 10.1016/j.biopharma.2006.07.080.

Simopoulos AP. 2016. An increase in the omega-6/omega-3 fatty acid ratio increases the risk for obesity. *Nutrients* 8(3):128 DOI 10.3390/nu8030128.

Smith B. 1993. Organic foods vs supermarket foods: element levels. *Journal of Applied Nutrition* 45:35–39.

Smith-Spangler C, Brandeau ML, Hunter GE, Bavinger JC, Pearson M, Eschbach PJ, Sundaram V, Liu H, Schirmer P, Stave C, Olkinn I, Bravata DM. 2012. Are organic foods safer or healthier than conventional alternatives?: a systematic review. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 157(5):348–366 DOI 10.7326/0003-4819-157-5-201209040-00007.

Svec LV, Thoroughgood CA, Mok HCS. 1976. Chemical evaluation of vegetables grown with conventional or organic amendments. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 7(2):213–228 DOI 10.1080/00103627609366634.
Viladomiu M, Hontecillas R, Bassaganya-Riera J. 2016. Modulation of inflammation and immunity by dietary conjugated linoleic acid. *European Journal of Pharmacology* 785(Suppl 2):87–95 DOI 10.1016/j.ejphar.2015.03.095.

Wang S, Meckling KA, Marcone MF, Kakuda Y, Tsao R. 2011. Can phytochemical antioxidant rich foods act as anti-cancer agents? *Food Research International* 44(9):2545–2554 DOI 10.1016/j.foodres.2011.05.021.

Wang S, Moustaid-Moussa N, Chen L, Mo H, Shastri A, Su R, Bapat P, Kwun I, Shen C-L. 2014. Novel insights of dietary polyphenols and obesity. *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* 25(1):1–18 DOI 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2013.09.001.

Weisberger JH. 1991. Nutritional approach to cancer prevention with emphasis on vitamins, antioxidants, and carotenoids. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 53(1):226–237 DOI 10.1093/ajcn/53.1.226S.

White PJ, Broadley MR. 2005. Historical variation in the mineral composition of edible horticultural products. *Journal of Horticultural Science & Biotechnology* 80(6):660–667 DOI 10.1080/14620316.2005.11511995.

White PJ, Broadley MR. 2009. Biofortification of crops with seven mineral elements often lacking in human diets—iron, zinc, copper, calcium, magnesium, selenium and iodine. *New Phytologist* 182(1):49–84 DOI 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02738.x.

Wood JD, Enser M. 1997. Factors influencing fatty acids in meat and the role of antioxidants in improving meat quality. *British Journal of Nutrition* 78(1):S49–S60 DOI 10.1079/BJN19970134.

Worthington V. 2001. Nutritional quality of organic versus conventional fruits, vegetables and grains. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine* 7(2):161–173 DOI 10.1089/107555301750164244.

Zanotti I, Dall’Asta M, Mena P, Mele L, Bruni R, Ray S, Del Rio D. 2015. Atheroprotective effects of (poly)phenols: a focus on cell cholesterol metabolism. *Food & Function* 6:13–31 DOI 10.1039/C4FO00670D.

Zhang Y-Q, Deng Y, Chen R-Y, Cui Z-L, Chen X-P, Yost R, Zhang F-S, Zou C-Q. 2012. The reduction in zinc concentration of wheat grain upon increased phosphorus-fertilization and its mitigation by foliar zinc application. *Plant and Soil* 361:143–152 DOI 10.1007/s11104-012-1238-z.