The Predialysis Serum Sodium Level Modifies the Effect of Hemodialysis Frequency on Left-Ventricular Mass: The Frequent Hemodialysis Network Trials
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Abstract
Introduction: The Frequent Hemodialysis Network (FHN) Daily and Nocturnal trials aimed to compare the effects of hemodialysis (HD) given 6 versus 3 times per week. More frequent in-center HD significantly reduced left-ventricular mass (LVM), with more pronounced effects in patients with low urine volumes. In this study, we aimed to explore another potential effect modifier: the predialysis serum sodium (SNa) and related proxies of plasma tonicity. Methods: Using data from the FHN Daily and Nocturnal Trials, we compared the effects of frequent HD on LVM among patients stratified by SNa, dialysate-to-predialysis serum-sodium gradient (GNa), systolic and diastolic blood pressure, time-integrated sodium-adjusted fluid load (TIFL), and extracellular fluid volume estimated by bioelectrical impedance analysis. Results: In 197 enrolled subjects in the FHN Daily Trial, the treatment effect of frequent HD on ∆LVM was modified by SNa. When the FHN Daily Trial participants are divided into lower and higher predialysis SNa groups (less and greater than 138 mEq/L), the LVM reduction in the lower group was substantially higher (−28.0 [95% CI −40.5 to −15.4] g) than in the higher predialysis SNa group (−2.0 [95% CI −15.5 to 11.5] g). Accounting for GNa, TIFL also showed more pronounced effects among patients with higher GNa or higher TIFL. Results in the Nocturnal Trial were similar in direction and magnitude but did not reach statistical significance. Discussion/Conclusion: In the FHN Daily Trial, the favorable effects of frequent HD on left-ventricular hypertrophy were more pronounced among patients with lower predialysis SNa and higher GNa and TIFL. Whether these metrics can be used to identify patients most likely to benefit from frequent HD or
other dialytic or nondialytic interventions remains to be determined. Prospective, adequately powered studies studying the effect of GNa reduction on mortality and hospitalization are needed.

Introduction

Cardiovascular risk is markedly increased in patients with reduced glomerular filtration rate [1], and the risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes does not improve following commencement of standard renal replacement therapy [2]. Adverse cardiovascular outcomes result from increased vascular events, often in association with inflammation [1, 3] and an increased incidence of congestive heart failure and left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) [4]. The prevalence and severity of LVH are increased in patients with decreased residual diuresis [5] and with increased volume load [6–8]. LVH is also associated with higher serum concentrations of fibroblast growth factor-23 which is upregulated in response to hyperphosphatemia [9, 10].

Sodium management and control of excessive extracellular fluid volume (ECFV) have proven effective in reducing blood pressure (BP) [4, 11, 12] and in decreasing the risk of LVH [4, 6, 12]. Beneficial effects on both BP control and LVH have been reported with use of more frequent hemodialysis (HD), a modification of the conventional thrice-weekly dialysis regimen, which, in addition to improving volume control, also facilitates control of hyperphosphatemia and improves health-related quality of life [13].

In 2003, the National Institute of Health and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) initiated the Frequent Hemodialysis Network (FHN) Daily and Nocturnal Trials. The trials were designed to establish the safety and efficacy of more frequent HD on 2 co-primary endpoints: death or change in left-ventricular mass (LVM) and death, or change in the Physical Health Composite of the RAND-36 instrument [14, 15]. The effect of frequent HD on the co-primary and a series of secondary outcomes have been previously reported [12, 16–21].

To quantify the interdialytic volume overload, we computed a novel parameter designed to represent a time-integrated (“area under the curve”) estimate of extracellular fluid load (TIFL), a concept which was reported to associate with changes in LVM in the trials [12]. During the development of the TIFL, which incorporates serum sodium (SNa) and the dialysate to SNa gradient (GNa), we noticed that the favorable treatment effect (i.e., a reduction in LVM) appeared to be more prominent among patients with lower predialysis SNa values and those with higher dialysate to GNa values. The purposes of this study were to quantify this effect and to explore a number of possible mechanisms that might explain the effect modification, especially those related to ECFV and inflammation.

Materials and Methods

Patient Selection

Patients for the Daily Trial (ClinicalTrials.gov number: NCT00264758) were recruited by 2 consortia of in-center HD units, one organized by the Renal Research Institute in New York, USA and the other by dialysis units at university and freestanding HD centers in California and Texas. Patients for the separate Nocturnal Trial (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00271999) were recruited from home-dialysis training centers in the United States and Canada. All patients signed informed consent. Both trials were approved by local Institutional Review Boards (Beth Israel Medical Center in New York, NY, USA, Stanford University School of Medicine in Stanford, CA, USA and Wake Forest School of Medicine, in Winston-Salem, NC, USA) and conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study Design

The FHN Daily and Nocturnal trials were controlled and randomized. The co-primary endpoints were assessed after a follow-up period of 12 months [22]. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were nearly identical for both trials, except for residual kidney function at trial entry. In the Daily Trial, we excluded patients with residual urea clearance >3 mL/min per 35 L of urea distribution volume, while in the Nocturnal Trial, where practical recruitment issues required a focus on incident patients, we set the residual kidney function exclusion considerably higher and accepted patients with average residual clearances of urea and creatinine up to 10 mL/min per 1.73 m² [22].

Measurements

We performed cardiac magnetic resonance imaging at baseline and at month 12. SNa was measured monthly by potentiometric methods in certified local laboratories. Dialysate Na (DNa) was not measured but retrieved from the dialysis provider medical record for each patient at each time point. The sodium gradient during HD (Gna) was calculated as the prescribed DNA minus the measured predialysis SNa. Interdialytic weight gains were assessed according to the dialysis facility routine. To quantify the interdialytic volume overload, we computed a novel parameter designed to represent a time-integrated (“area under the curve”) estimate of extracellular fluid load (TIFL). The TIFL curve was computed based on the interdialytic weight gain, which is affected by the residual urine volume, the GNa, and the session length. Based on data collected in a previous study, we calculated an estimated post-dialysis SNa as outlined in [12]. This estimated post-dialysis SNa was in turn used to estimate the volume of post-dialysis fluid intake required after dialysis to restore the predialysis SNa level to its...
usual value. This sodium-gradient-adjusted modeled TIFL aims to separately account for both the thirst-driven immediate post-dialytic fluid intake and the sodium and fluid intake during the remainder interdialytic period. The value for TIFL is calculated in liter× days or the number of liters of extracellular fluid (ECF) overload times the number of days in the interdialytic interval that this overload exists. The value for TIFL is different from conventional time-integrated fluid overload measures in that it also takes into account the gradient between dialysate and predialysis SNa, making the assumptions that the post-dialysis SNa will be very close to the dialysate value, and also that, soon after cessation of dialysis, a patient will drink until the SNa returns to its predialysis value. In a previous publication, we found that the calculated value for improvement in TIFL in liter × days with more frequent dialysis was associated with reduction of LVM, unless substantial residual renal function was present [12]. For details on the model see [12] and for simulations of TIFL for subjects with different gradients in the 2 study arms see online supplementary Figure 1; for all online supplementary material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000519339.

Bioimpedance Measurements
We used single frequency bioimpedance analysis (BIA) (RJL Systems, Clinton Township, MI, USA) to estimate body water compartments. As per protocol, we performed BIA prior to a midweek HD treatment; however, deviations in a minority of cases may be noted. Accuracy and precision of the BIA method that was used have been reported elsewhere [23, 24]. ECFV was calculated as the difference between BIA-estimates of total body water [25] and intracellular fluid volume [26].

Statistical Analyses
We evaluated the treatment effect of frequent versus conventional HD on LVM by employing linear mixed-effects models adjusted for clinical center, age, diabetes mellitus, and baseline value. We explored effect modification by inclusion of the interaction term between treatment effect and the respective parameter in the linear mixed-effects model and subsequent analysis in strata of that parameter. Changes in LVM were further depicted as a box-whisker plot stratified into quintiles of SNa. p values <0.05 were considered significant. We conducted all analyses using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and R v3.6.0 (codename “Planting of a Tree”; [27]) using packages dplyr, reshape2, stringr, doBy, haven, and stringr.

Results
We included 197 patients enrolled in the FHN Daily trial and 82 patients enrolled in the FHN Nocturnal Trial with available data for SNa at baseline (Fig. 1). Demographics for the overall population stratified as per the median predialysis SNa (138 mEq/L) are shown in Table 1.

SNa Modifies the Effect of Frequent HD on LVM
Irrespective of the treatment allocation, sNa, dNa, and the resulting GNa, remained consistent in magnitude for the studied population over the entire period of the study (online suppl. Fig. 2a–c). In both the Daily and the Nocturnal Trial, SNa at baseline was not significantly different in patients with congestive heart failure, myocardial infarction, or those with an open prescription of antihypertensive medication at baseline in both trials.

As shown in online supplementary Table 1 and Figure 2, baseline predialysis sNa significantly modified the effect of frequent HD on LVM (p = 0.027); the interaction of treatment× baseline GNa was of marginal significance.
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of FHN trial participants who had baseline sodium concentration values

| Characteristic                                    | 3X daily pts (N = 98) | 3X daily pts with SNa ≤ 138 mEq/L (N = 46) | 3X daily pts with SNa > 138 mEq/L (N = 52) | 6X daily pts (N = 99) | 6X daily pts with SNa ≤ 138 mEq/L (N = 50) | 6X daily pts with SNa > 138 mEq/L (N = 49) |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Age, years                                       | 52.1±12.7             | 52.9±12.8                                | 51.3±12.7                                | 48.7±12.7             | 48.2±12.6                                | 49.1±12.8                                |
| Female, n (%)                                     | 21 (40)               | 17 (37)                                  | 99                                       | 23 (47)               | 15 (30)                                  |                                          |
| Race                                             |                       |                                          |                                          |                       |                                          |                                          |
| Black, n (%)                                      | 44 (45)               | 18 (35)                                  |                                           | 40 (40)               | 18 (37)                                  | 22 (44)                                  |
| White, n (%)                                      | 34 (35)               | 22 (42)                                  |                                           | 32 (32)               | 16 (33)                                  | 16 (32)                                  |
| Native American, Aboriginal Canadian, and Alaskan Native, n (%) | 4 (4)                | 1 (2)                                    |                                           | 4 (4)                 | 1 (2)                                    | 3 (6)                                    |
| Asian, n (%)                                      | 5 (5)                 | 2 (4)                                    |                                           | 9 (9)                 | 4 (8)                                    | 5 (10)                                   |
| Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, n (%) | 3 (3)                 | 0                                        |                                           | 1 (1)                 | 0                                        | 1 (2)                                    |
| Other/mixed/unknown, n (%)                        | 8 (8)                 | 3 (6)                                    | 5 (11)                                   | 13 (13)               | 10 (20)                                  | 3 (6)                                    |
| Ave. weekly predialysis systolic BP, mm Hg        | 146±18                | 146±20                                   | 145±17                                   | 148±17                | 149±17                                   | 147±18                                   |
| Ave. weekly predialysis diastolic BP, mm Hg       | 78.1±11.0             | 77.6±10.5                                | 78.7±11.7                                | 81.7±11.4             | 82.4±9.1                                 | 80.9±13.3                                |
| Ave. weekly post-dialysis systolic BP, mm Hg      | 133±18                | 133±18                                   | 133±17                                   | 135±19                | 135±18                                   | 135±20                                   |
| Ave. weekly post-dialysis diastolic BP, mm Hg     | 71.6±10.3             | 70.4±9.3                                 | 72.9±11.3                                | 73.7±11.6             | 74.4±10.7                                | 73.0±12.5                                |
| LVM, g                                           | 145±52                | 147±55                                   | 143±49                                   | 146±58                | 139±50                                   | 152±64                                   |
| Left-ventricular end-diastolic vol., mL           | 184±70                | 188±60                                   | 179±80                                   | 179±57                | 177±48                                   | 181±65                                   |
| Extracellular fluid volume, L                    | 22.9±4.7              | 23.0±4.6                                 | 22.9±5.0                                 | 22.7±4.2              | 22.7±4.1                                 | 22.7±4.4                                 |
| Extracellular fluid volume/total body fluid volume, L [BIA] | 0.528±0.0063         | 0.530±0.066                              | 0.525±0.060                              | 0.517±0.073           | 0.530±0.069                              | 0.505±0.075                              |
| Extracellular fluid volume/ave. weekly post-dialysis wt. | 0.298±0.040          | 0.301±0.043                              | 0.294±0.036                              | 0.298±0.045           | 0.301±0.046                              | 0.294±0.045                              |
| TIFL (units liter × day)                          | 4.2±1.30              | 4.6±1.38                                 | 3.75±1.03                                | 4.25±1.36             | 4.43±1.46                                | 4.09±1.27                                |
| Body mass index, kg/m²                            | 88                    | 142±5.4                                  | 15.5±4.1                                 | 12.8±3.4              | 14.5±4.8                                 | 14.1±5.2                                 |
| Predialysis bicarbonate, mEq/L                    | 88                    | 4.7±2.06                                 | 5.7±2.05                                 | 3.69±1.50             | 4.72±2.33                                | 5.57±2.54                                |
| Diabetes, n (%)                                   | 40 (41)               | 26 (50)                                  | 14 (30)                                  | 39 (39)               | 23 (47)                                  | 16 (32)                                  |
| Left-ventricular ejection fraction, %             | 98                    | 56.2±12.4                                | 55.4±12.7                                | 57.0±12.2             | 57.8±10.0                                | 57.9±9.8                                 |

Plus-minus values are means ± SD. Bracketed values are medians with interquartile ranges. SNa, serum sodium; TIFL, time-integrated fluid load; HD, hemodialysis; BP, blood pressure; IDWG, interdialytic weight gains; LVM, left ventricular mass; FHN, Frequent Hemodialysis Network.
(p = 0.06). Stratified by median values, the change in LVM was −28.0 (95% CI −40.5 to −15.4) g in patients with baseline sNa ≤ 138 mmol/L and −2.0 (95% CI −15.5 to 11.5) g in patients with baseline sNa > 138 mmol/L; online supplementary Table 1. Repeating the analyses stratifying by quintiles of sNa showed a comparable trend (Fig. 3). For GNa, the interaction of treatment × baseline GNa was only of borderline significance (p = 0.06). Reductions in LVM associate with more frequent HD for patients with a positive gradient (GNa > 0 mEq/L) were −25.2 (95% CI −37.6 to −12.7) g and −0.7 (95% CI −18.2 to 16.8) g; online supplementary Table 1. In the Nocturnal Trial where the power to detect interactions was considerably lower, the findings regarding SNa, GNa, and TIFL were of similar direction, but none were statistically significant (online suppl. Tables 2, 3).

**TIFL as an Effect Modifier**

Formal testing of the treatment × TIFL interaction was not significant (p = 0.22). However, when stratified by TIFL ≤ 3.0 L × days and TIFL > 3 L × days, we observed a more pronounced effect in the latter group (−26.0 [95% CI −39.43 to −12.59] g) for those with greater TIFL (online suppl. Table 1 and Fig. 2). In the Nocturnal Trial the treatment effects were smaller and of comparable magnitude in terms of direction and subgroup differences but not statistically significant (online suppl. Table 3).

**Discussion/Conclusion**

Our analysis quantified and documented our observation that in patients with lower predialysis SNa values, the use of frequent HD was associated with a more marked reduction in LVH than those with higher predialysis SNa values. When the FHN Daily Trial participants are divided into lower and higher predialysis SNa groups, the LVM reduction in the lower group was substantially higher (−28.0 [95% CI −40.5 to −15.4] g), than in the higher predialysis SNa group (−2.0 [95% CI −15.5 to 11.5] g); see online supplementary Table 1 and Figure 2. This interaction was statistically significant and remained significant when the predialysis SNa level was examined as a continuous interaction variable. Notably, assignment to more frequent dialysis had no effect on the predialysis SNa value.

When examining potential mechanisms for the treatment effect of predialysis SNa on LVM, we assessed whether the predialysis SNa value was related to the predialysis systolic BP or to the baseline value of LVM, because in previous analyses, we had found that the treatment effect of more frequent dialysis on LVM was strongly associated with baseline LVM, and also there was a trend for a larger reduction in LVM to occur with more frequent dialysis in patients with the highest predialysis systolic BP values at baseline [16]. In the present analysis, the baseline predialysis SNa level was not related to either the systolic or the diastolic predialysis BP (Table 1) nor to the baseline measure of LVM. Similarly, baseline ejection fractions were similar in the lower and higher predialysis SNa groups.

In the previous analyses, we found that there appeared to be an interaction between the presence of diabetes and response of LVM to frequent dialysis in the Daily Trial [16], though in the relationship in current data, the modifying effect of diabetes was in the opposite direction. The reduction in LVM with more frequent dialysis was greater in those patients without diabetes. This particular interaction was of borderline significance in all models tested. We did find that the presence of diabetes was increased in the half of patients with lower predialysis SNa values (Table 1), and it is well known that a high predialysis glucose level can lower the measured predialysis SNa [28–30]. Unfortunately, serum glucose was not in-
cluded in the routine measurements in the FHN trial, so it is not possible to calculate whether or not the presence of hyperglycemia alone could account for the lower measured SNa values nor to what extent poorly controlled diabetes, and the associated hyperglycemia, which we were unable to account for, might have been responsible for a lower predialysis SNa. In our current analyses, the modifying effect of predialysis SNa on the LVM-frequent dialysis interaction was in the opposite direction to the modifying effect of diabetes (Table 1). The modifying effect of low predialysis SNa on the LVM-frequent dialysis interaction may in fact have been attenuated due to more patients having diabetes in the lower SNa group than those in the higher SNa group.

One popular explanation for the beneficial effects of more frequent dialysis on LVH is better control of excess fluid volume, and specifically, ECF volume. In those patients with lower predialysis SNa values at baseline, the ECF volume did not seem to be increased: left-ventricular end-diastolic volumes were similar in the lower and higher predialysis SNa groups, and bioimpedance-measured ECF volumes were not significantly different between the groups presenting with lower and higher SNa (Table 1).

One volume-related measure that was higher in the patients with lower SNa values was the interdialytic weight gains, whether factored by post-dialysis body weight or by post-dialysis impedance-calculated ECFV (Table 1). In previous publications, we have proposed a new metric to quantify ECF “load” in dialysis patients, which we have termed TIFL [12].

In the present analysis, in addition to dividing patients up based on predialysis SNa values, we also divided them by the dialysate-GNa. In 62 out of 197 patients so-analyzed, the sodium gradient was <0 (DNA less than SNa), and in this subset, the mean treatment effect of more frequent dialysis in the Daily Trial was −0.7 (95% CI −18.2 to 16.8) g, or essentially null, while in 111 out of 197 patients in whom the DNA was greater than predialysis SNa, the reduction in LVM associated with more frequent HD was −25.2 (95% CI −37.6 to −12.7) g. Similarly when we calculated the value for TIFL, when the value for TIFL was <3 L × days, the reduction in LVM was −5.6 (95% CI −21.5 to 10.4) g, whereas when TIFL was ≥3 L × days, the reduction was much larger, −26.0 (95% CI −39.43 to −12.59) g (online supplementary Table 1 and Fig. 2). However, the examination of the interaction between the treatment arm allocation and (a) the baseline predialysis SNa, (b) the sodium gradient, and (c) TIFL as a continuous variable, showed a significant interaction only for the predialysis SNa. This suggests a nonlinear relationship between treatment effect and these potential effect-modifying parameters and requires further investigation and analyses.

Our primary analysis was performed on patients in the FHN Daily Trial. We also divided the patients in the FHN nocturnal trial into 2 groups based on baseline predialysis

Fig. 3. Changes in LVM from baseline to follow-up after a 12-month study period in the control group (a) and the more frequent HD group (b). Quintiles were defined as follows: Q1: 129–135; Q2: 136–137; Q3: 137–139; Q4: 139–141; and Q5: 141–145 mEq/L. LVM, left-ventricular mass; HD, hemodialysis; SNa, serum sodium.
SNa values, to explore whether or not any trends or differences found in the Daily Trial might be present in the Nocturnal Trial patients. Such trends were present, but none were statistically significant as documented in the online supplementary Tables 2 and 3.

Our post hoc analysis has a number of limitations; among them are a reduced sample size and the lack of available data to explore all possible mechanisms that might potentially explain the modifying effect of baseline predialysis SNa on the reduction of LVM with more frequent dialysis. We were not able to measure or estimate the nonosmotically stored sodium in storage sites such as skin and muscle over the course of the study. These relatively newly described storages are not yet well enough understood to generate hypotheses as to how frequent HD might have affected them; however, one recently described association between nonosmotically stored sodium and LVM [31] suggests that this topic definitely merits further research. Further, it will be important to validate the model allowing the quantification of TIFL and the pathophysiology correlates in cohorts other than the studiedness. However, in regard of the biological context, the underlying physiology, and the adequate power of our analysis, we believe the found dynamics to be describing a generally applicable phenomenon. Prospective, adequately powered, studies aimed to reduce the GNa and then to study the effects of the reduction on hard outcomes such as LVM, mortality, and hospitalization; also, soft outcomes such as skin and muscle sodium storage are needed to fully understand the complex interrelationship found in our data.

In summary, of all the potential mechanisms examined, it appears most likely that the association between baseline predialysis SNa and LVM-response to more frequent dialysis is related to a greater impact on control of ECFV with more frequent dialysis in the slightly hyponatremic patients, in whom the time-averaged sodium-adjusted ECFV load TIFL may be magnified due to a higher sodium gradient. However, the data and mechanistic explanations remain preliminary, and it is not clear to what extent more frequent dialysis should be recommended for slightly hyponatremic patients.
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