CHAPTER 8

The Coming of Islam to Balkh

Arezou Azad and Hugh Kennedy

1 Introduction

The coming of the Muslim armies to Central Asia from 650 onwards and the subsequent settlement of Arabs in and around the main urban centers had a profound and lasting effect on the urban topography of the area. The reconfigured city of Balkh extended beyond the city walls to the surrounding countryside, fulfilling a central role in commercial, religious, military and administrative activities. Urban institutions and structures thus served to establish Balkh's position in the region and ensure control of the surrounding populations which contributed to the city's role. There are four major urban centers in greater Khurāsān – Balkh, Bukhara, Merv, and Samarqand. Of these, Balkh, until recently, has been the least understood and the least researched.1 The archaeological site of pre-modern Balkh is situated in northern Afghanistan. Much of the site remains unaffected by modern development and open for archaeological and historical investigation. The purpose of this joint paper is to set the developments at Balkh, in so far as we can determine them, in the wider context of the history of urbanism in Central Asia and to give some indication how further archaeological research might shed light on the evolution of the city in the early Islamic period.

2 The Sources

The sources we have at our disposal are both textual and archaeological. The textual material consists mainly of narratives that are useful to the topic of this chapter.2 Bactrian documents discovered in the 1990s are an invaluable source

1 Much headway has been made thanks to the Balkh Art and Cultural Heritage project (BACH) run out of the University of Oxford, 2011–2015. See www.balkhheritage.org (accessed: 27 July 2016).
2 For previous studies on the history of the site, see Paul Schwarz, “Bemerkungen zu den arabischen Nachrichten über Balkh,” in Oriental Studies in Honour of Cursetji Erachji Pavry, ed. Jal Dastur Cursetji Pavry (London: Oxford University Press, 1933), 434–443; Florian Schwartz,
for Kushano-Sasanian and early Islamic Bactria in a rural metropolis located 130 km to the SE of Balkh within the Tukhāristān region of which Bactra (Arabicised to Balkh after the Islamic conquests) was the capital. They deal with an array of issues, including keeping the peace between feuding parties, the purchase of land or goods, slave manumission, gifts, leases, declarations of trust (or impost?), loan receipts, and marriage. However, they make all but a single tangential reference to the city of Balkh, and will, therefore, not feature in this chapter. The narrative sources span various languages, including Persian, Arabic and Chinese. An early source is the Chinese pilgrim’s account by Xuanzang who travelled to Bactra in the 630s only briefly before the first Muslim incursions into the city. He gives us a particularly detailed account of the city’s main Buddhist temple (Sk. stupa), called Naw Bahār, and its monastery (Sk. vihara) and hundreds of domed shrines to the Buddhist saints (arhats). Ninth and tenth-century Muslim geographers give us critical details on Balkh’s topography in the first centuries after the arrival of Islam to Balkh. They include al-Ya’qūbī (d. after 292/905), Ibn al-Faqih (d. after 292/905), al-Iṣṭakhrī (ca. 338/950), al-Masʿūdi (ca. 344–345/955–6), Ibn Ḥawqal (ca. 378/988), al-Muqaddasi (d. after 380/990), and al-Idrīsī (ca. 548/1154), as well as the anonymous Persian Ḥudūd al-ʿālam (ca. 372/982). Parallel data on Balkh can be found in chroni-
cles, such as those of al-Balādhurī (d. 279/892), al-Ṭabarī (d. 310/923), Balʿamī (d. 363/974), Gardīzī (ca. 440–443/1049–1052) and Bayhaqī (470/1077). A local historical source known as the Faḍāʾīl-i Balkh (‘The Merits of Balkh’) is particularly important because it is the earliest local history that survives, written in Arabic in 610/1214, and adapted into Persian in 676/1278. Although it is mainly a prosopographical work about Islamic scholars, it nonetheless contains a few details on Balkh’s medieval topography that cannot be found anywhere else.

The archaeological evidence for Balkh is extensive – for much of the ancient site remains unbuilt on – but little researched and difficult to use. Much of the archaeological evidence has been collected since the 1920s by the Délégation Archéologique Française en Afghanistan (DAFA). Until 2011, DAFA was little concerned with the Islamic period of Balkh, while searching for Alexander the Great’s city of Bactra. DAFA and other archaeologists published some impor-

---

5 Aḥmad b. Yaḥyā al-Balādhurī, Futūḥ al-buldān, ed. Michael Jan de Goeje (Leiden: Brill, 1906), trans. Francis C. Murgotten as: The Origins of the Islamic State: Being a Translation from the Arabic, Accompanied with Annotations, Geographic and Historic Notes of the Kitāb Futūḥ Al-Buldān of Al-Imām Aḥmad Ibn-Jābir Al-Balādhurī, 2 vols. (New York: Columbia University Press, 1942); al-Ṭabarī, Taʾrīkhal-rusulwa-l-mulūk, 3 vols., ed. Michael Jan de Goeje et al. as: Annales quos scripsit Abu Djafar Mohammed ibn Djairat Tabari, 15 vols. (Leiden: Brill, 1879–1901); Abū ’Ali Muḥammad b. Muḥammad Balʿamī, Tārīkh-i Balʿamī, eds. Parvin Gunābādī and Muḥammad Taqī Bahār (Tehran, Kitābfurūshī-yi Zavvār, 1353/1974); Abū Saʿīd ʿAbd al-Ḥayy b. al-Ḍaḥḥāk b. Maḥmūd Gardīzī, Zayn al-akhbār, ed. ‘Abd al-Hayy Habibi (Tehran: Intishārāt-i Bunyād-i Farhang-i Iran, 1437/1968), trans. Edmund Bosworth as: The Ornament of Histories: A History of the Eastern Islamic Lands AD 650–1041: The Original Text of Abū Saʿīd ʿAbd al-Hayy Gardizi, BIPS Persian Studies Series 10 (London: I.B. Tauris, 2011); Abū al-Faḍl Muḥammad b. Ḫusayn Bayhaqi, Tārīkh-i Bayhaqi, ed. ‘Ali Akbar Fayyāḍ (Tehran: Kitābkhaṇā- yi Mill-yi Irān, 1383/2004–2005), trans. Edmund Bosworth and Mohnes Ashtiany as: The History of Beyhaqi: The History of Sultan Masʿud of Ghazna, 1030–1041, 3 vols. (Boston, MA: Ilex Foundation, 2001).

6 For details, see Arezou Azad, “The Faḍāʾīl-i Balkh and its Place in Islamic Historiography,” IRAN – Journal of the British Institute of Persian Studies 50 (2012): 79–102.

7 Shaykh al-Islām al-Wāʾiẓ, Faḍāʾīl-i Balkh, ed. ʿAbd al-Hayy Habibi (Tehran: Intishārāt-i Bunyād-i Farhang-i Iran, 1350/1971).
tant reports from the 1940s to the 1960s, notably those of Alfred Foucher in the 1940s, and Daniel Schlumberger, Rodney Young, Jean-Claude Gardin, and Marc Le Berre in the 1950s and ‘60s. In another relevant source, Ludwig Adamec in the 1970s edited a declassified 1914 gazetteer of Afghanistan compiled by the British General Staff of the Army Headquarters in India. The volume on ‘Mazar-i Sharif and North-Central Afghanistan’ provides topographical information on Balkh. Warwick Ball compiled an archaeological gazetteer that includes maps and details of archaeological finds in Afghanistan’s wider Balkh area. DAFA’s research was disrupted by the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan (1978–1987), the two civil wars (1989–1996) and Taliban rule (1996–2001) but it resumed archaeological excavations at Balkh in 2005. The findings from the digs since 2005 have not yet been systematically analyzed or published, but a summary is expected in a forthcoming volume.

At the heart of the site lies a large circular area (known today as the Bâlâ Ḥiṣâr) of approximately one kilometer in diameter, enclosed by a high mud-brick wall, with a raised citadel at the south end. Beyond that is a series of walls,
some sub-rectangular, others windsing and serpentine that enclose a larger area (rabaḍ). There are medieval accounts that a massive outer wall had enclosed the whole Balkh oasis, but only anecdotald archaeological evidence has been found for this. Al-Ya'qūbī notes, “A huge wall encloses the village farms (diyā‘) and cultivated lands of Balkh ... and outside the wall there is no cultivation or farms or villages, only the sands.” In the suburban area there are a number of important mounds, including the site now thought to be the Buddhist stupa of Naw Bahār, and a site known as Tepe Zargarān (‘Hill of the Goldsellers,’ for this and other sites mentioned). DAFa has drawn up sketch maps of the site, with suggested sequences of the major structural elements. According to Rodney Young, Marc Le Berre categorized old Balkh’s sketch maps into ‘Bactres I’ which is vaguely determined as pre-Islamic Bactra and consists of the elevated Bālā Ḩiṣār (lit. “high fort”); ‘Bactres IA’ which is pre-Islamic and early Islamic Bactra and includes Bactres I and the southern extension in the lowland (the rabaḍ); ‘Bactres I’ which represents Islamic Balkh up to the Mongols and includes Bactres IA and an eastern extension of the rabaḍ, and ‘Bactres III’ as the post-Timurid city to the west of the rabaḍ (during which time ‘Bactres I’ was abandoned).

The division of the city in the way that Le Berre did is problematic for two reasons. First, the evidence that survives comes from a very limited set of evidence taken from a small number of trenches, and it is therefore, impossible to extrapolate onto the entire city. Secondly, and most importantly, the divisions
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12 al-Ya'qūbī, Buldān, 116.
13 Foucher drew up the first map which he divided into ‘modern’ and ‘old,’ basing himself on archaeological evidence from Balkh’s Bālā Ḩiṣār mound and the stratigraphy of wall ramparts (Foucher, Vieille route, 73–75).
14 No major pre-Islamic city complex has been found to the dismay of the early French archaeologists, like Alfred Foucher, while major sites have been uncovered in adjacent provinces in the north. Ai Khanoum is the main Hellenistic site, dug up in Takhar province to the NE of Balkh. Surkh Kotal is a Kushan site in Baghān province just to the south of Balkh. The main Buddhist site is at Bamiyān sooth of Baghān. Paul Bernard et al., Fouilles d’Aī Khānōm (Paris, Klincksieck, 1973–); Gérard Fussman and Daniel Schlumberger, Surkh Kotal en Bactriane (Paris, Diffusion de Bocard, 1983–1990). Soviet explorations at Tilla Tepe in Dilbarjīn (in Jūzjān district, west of Balkh) by Viktor Sarianidi uncovered the gold hoards that have been touring the museums of the world over the past decade. See Viktor Sarianidi, The Golden Hoard of Bactria (New York: H.N. Abrams, 1985). Two important Buddhist sites on the Tajik side of Bactria and excavated by Soviet archaeologists are at Ajina Tepe, 12 kilometers east of Kurgan-Tiube; and Kalai Kafirnigan monastery. See Boris Litvinskij, The Buddhist Monastery of Ajina Tepa (Rome: IsIAO, 2004); and idem, “Kalai-Kafirnigan: Problems in the Religion and Art of Early Mediaeval Tokharistan,” East and West 31 (1981): 35–66.
are limited to the intramural spaces of the city. Given that some of the city’s most important pre-Islamic and early Islamic sites, such as, the Naw Bahār and the Nuh Gunbad (see below) are located outside of the city walls, Le Berre’s model is incomplete and most probably incorrect.

The archaeology of Balkh reflects many of the problems involved in using the archaeological evidence of Central Asian cities for the reconstruction of urban topography. Very little stone was employed in the buildings of the cities of Central Asia in this period. The main building materials used were mud-brick, either in brick form or in larger molded blocks. There was also extensive use of wood. Decoration was largely carried out in stucco plaster and the exterior tile-work so characteristic of the area in the post-Mongol period was virtually unknown before the end of the twelfth century. Fired brick was much less used and even when it was, it was usually robbed out for reuse.

The nature of the archaeological evidence seriously restricts the types of information that it can reveal. Typically we can see the outlines of citadels and of city walls giving an idea, *grosso modo*, of the area of settlement. Dating of these massive structures can sometimes be determined by excavation, especially if the excavation produces coins or diagnostic pottery in a clear archaeological context. It is much more difficult to recognize individual buildings and still more so to say anything about their architectural forms. It is only in the case of the few surviving structures made of fired brick that we can see anything of the architectural detail.

In Balkh, the Nuh Gunbad stands out as a fired brick structure that has recently been dated to as early as the eighth century. It is a nine-domed structure that is a common pre-Islamic Iranian building form. A *mihrāb* found at the site seems to indicate that it was used as a mosque, making it possibly the earliest mosque in the Islamic east. However, none of the Arab geographers mentions it, and the local history of Balkh, the *Faḍā’il-i Balkh* written in the late twelfth century only mentions a *nuh gunbadān* in passing without specifying that it might be a mosque. Lisa Golombek identified the stucco carvings, a distinctive style with a vocabulary of motifs consisting of grape-leaves, vinescrolls, palmettes, and fir-cones, as being best represented in Samarra, the Iraqi city
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15 For an overview of the development of Iranian cities in the early Islamic period, see Hugh Kennedy, “From Shahristan to Medina,” *Studia Islamica* 102–103 (2006): 5–34.
16 Chariyar Adle, “Communication: la mosquée Háji Piyâdah/Noh Gonbadân à Balkh (Afghanistan), un chef d’œuvre de Fazl de Barmecide construit en 178–179/794–795?” *Comptes Rendus des Séances de l’Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres* 2001, no. 1 (2011): 565–625.
125 km north of Baghdad founded by the ‘Abbāsid caliph al-Mu‘tasim (r. 218–227/833–842). The question remains open whether the transmission of decorative style went from west to east, or vice versa.17

3 The Early History of Balkh to the End of the Umayyads

Balkh is located in northwestern Afghanistan, an oasis sandwiched between the Oxus River and Hindukush mountains and watered by the Balkhāb river and canals flowing from the mountains located in the south. Bactra was the capital of the pre-Islamic Bactria which at its height included the eastern Iranian lands, as well as, southern Tajikistan, the lands south of the Hindukush and northern India (modern-day Pakistan and the north-west frontier). Bactra and Bactria together became known in the Persian and Arabic sources as ‘Balkh.’

The first surviving textual mention of ancient Bactria is found in the Vendidad section of the Zoroastrian holy book, the Avesta.18 We have accounts of Bactria during the Median period (seventh to sixth century BCE), and documentary evidence of its relations with the Achaemenids (sixth to fourth century BCE) and the Hellenistic conquerors (fourth to first century BCE).19 Amongst the various nomadic invaders, the Kushans achieved supremacy, bringing Buddhism to Bactra in the second century CE, and building the city’s Naw Bahār shrine and monastery. Bactria was eventually integrated into the Sasanian realm. Ardashir I (r. 224–242) is said to have visited Balkh when establishing his rule20 and Bahram Gur (r. 420–438) appointed his brother as governor of Khurāsān and assigned Balkh to him as his capital.21 But the Kushans retained much of the governing powers in Bactra.22 After a period of rule by

17 Lisa Golombek, “The Abbasid Mosque at Balkh,” Oriental Art 15 (1969): 177. The ‘Masjid-i Chahār Sutūn’ at Tirmidh has the same nine-domed floorplan. Robert Hillenbrand, Studies in Medieval Islamic Architecture (London: Pindar Press, 2006), 2: fig. 19.
18 Fritz Wolff, ed., Avesta: die heiligen Bücher der Parsen (Strassburg: Karl J. Trübner, 1910, paras.1.6–1.7), 317–318. The Avesta known to us today was written down under the Sasanians during the fourth century.
19 Ctesias of Cnidus, “Persica,” in Photius, Bibliothèque, ed. René Henry (Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 1959–1991); Jan Stronk, Ctesias’ Persian History (Düsseldorf: Wellem, 2010); Joseph Naveh and Shaul Shaked, eds. and trans., Aramaic Documents from Ancient Bactria: (Fourth Century B.C.E.): From the Khalili Collections (London: Khalili Family Trust Publication, 2012).
20 al-Ṭabarī, Taʾrīkh, 2:819.
21 al-Ṭabarī, 866.
22 Nicholas Sims-Williams, New Light on Ancient Afghanistan: The Decipherment of Bactrian,
the ‘White Huns’ (Kidarites, Chionites and Hephthalites) in the fifth century, Bactra was formally back in Sasanian hands until the Muslim conquests.

The first Muslim “crossing of the [Oxus] river” was achieved in 22/642–643 during the time of the caliph ‘Umar (r. 13–23/634–44) by al-ʾAḥnaf b. Qays, according to the author of the Faḍāʾil-i Balkh (FB). Al-Ṭabarī adds that al-ʾAḥnaf appointed his nephew to rule, and he is said to have received mihragān gifts from the notables of the city, much to his surprise. As so often in the conquests of Central Asia, the process had to be repeated several times. FB states that Balkh was besieged in 32/652–653 by Saʿīd, the son of the fourth caliph Uthmān. Al-Yaʿqūbī, by contrast says that the city was taken by ‘Abd al-Raḥmān b. Samura (fl. 43/663) in the reign of Muʿāwiya I (r. 41–60/661–680), and al-Balādhurī attributes the conquest to the Khurāsān governor Qays b. al-Haytham al-Sulami (fl. 41/661) “who destroyed its Naw Bahār.” Al-Yaʾqūbī describes Balkh as the biggest city of Khurāsān at the time of the conquests and says that a certain “Ṭarkhān, the king (malik) of Khurāsān” had settled there. The Arab conquerors are said to have come from the city of Kūfa, and Balkh was “one of the conquests of the Kūfans” with 400,000 dirhams being agreed upon as tribute. Whatever the reality of the original “conquest,” the inhabitants soon rejected Muslim rule, and in 51/671 we find al-Rābiʿ b. Ziyād al-Ḥārithī conquering the city peacefully, that is coming to an agreement with the inhabitants “since they had locked (the city) after al-ʾAḥnaf b. Qays had made peace with them.”

It is not clear when permanent Muslim settlement began in Balkh. The presence of an ispahbadh (pre-Islamic army chief) in the city in 90/708–709 and again in 91/709–710 implies that there were still Iranian, non-Muslim officials...
in the city who wielded considerable power. In 86/705 Qutayba b. Muslim (d. 96/715) was met by the ispahbadh of Balkh and some local dignitaries, and in 90/708–709 the ispahbadh of Balkh is said to have been one of the local rulers to whom Nizak Ṭarkhān wrote when he was trying to unite the local aristocracy of Tukhāristān in resistance to the advances of Qutayba b. Muslim. The barmak (keeper of the Naw Bahār) appears to have been one of the leaders of this revolt as well, and his wife was taken prisoner. In response Qutayba sent a garrison of 20,000 men to winter at al-Barūqān, near Balkh, presumably to prevent the rebels using the city as a base for operations against the Muslims.

As elsewhere in Tukhāristān, Nizak’s defeat led to the imposition of more direct Muslim rule. The ispahbadh is heard of no more and the district was entrusted to Qutayba’s brother ‘Amr. It seems to have become something of a stronghold of the Qutayba family and ‘Amr continued in charge even after his brother’s defeat and disgrace in 96/715. In 106/724 ‘Amr defended the city and its fortress against the troops of Naṣr b. Sayyār (d. 131/748) in one of the increasingly fierce tribal conflicts which dominated the last decades of Umayyad rule. The fact that there was now faction fighting between Yaman and Qays/Muḍar in the Balkh, like in the rest of the empire in that period, suggests that there was a significant Arab population.

According to al-Ṭabarī, the next year a new governor, Asad b. ‘Abd Allāh al-Qasri (governor of Khurāsān, 106–109/724–7 and 117–120/735–738) decided to move the Arab settlers from Barūqān to the madīna (city) of Balkh, and began the rebuilding of the city, ordering the barmak to take charge of the operations. The madīna at this stage probably refers to the Bālā Ḥiṣār. Asad used it as the base for his military operations in 108/726–727, and he is said to have preached from the minbar in Balkh, implying that there was a masjid al-jāmiʿ in the city by this stage. The Faḍāʾil Balkh places the move of the Arab settlers into the city in 118/736–737, and credits Asad with building a congregational mosque in the same year. The location of this mosque is problematic and the question is closely bound up with the role of Bālā Ḥiṣār in the early Islamic period. We know from
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29 Although Muslims are described with pre-Islamic titles and titulature in the papyri after the conquest of Egypt, in this context the ispahbadh must be Persian official given that we can find no example of a Muslim having this title in the Persianate world.

30 al-Ṭabarī, Taʾrīkh, 23181, 1206–1207, 1219; Shaykh al-Islam al-Wāʿiz, Faḍāʾil-i Balkh, 34.

31 Shaykh al-Islam al-Wāʿiz, 23472–1477.

32 al-Ṭabarī, Taʾrīkh, 23500–1501.

33 Shaykh al-Islam al-Wāʿiz, Faḍāʾil-i Balkh, 33–35.
epigraphic and documentary evidence that the city was the center of an Achaemenid satrapy and it is possible to surmise, by analogy with the more securely dated example at Merv, that the ārg and the Bālā Ḥiṣār date, at least in their general layout from the Achaemenid period. Was the Bālā Ḥiṣār in fact the setting of the mosque and the sūqs which surrounded it? The madīna was described by al-Ḥṣārī in the tenth century as being half a farsakh by half a farsakh (ca. three by three kilometres), though it is not clear whether a round or rectangular space is meant, and surrounded by walls made of mud-brick. He also records that the masjidal-jāmiʿ was in the middle of the city (madīna) not in the rabad and was surrounded by the sūqs. This suggests that the site of the mosque was in the middle of the Bālā Ḥiṣār. This identification is supported by the comment of al-Muqaddasī that one went down into the mosque on steps (yanzalu ilayhi bi-darajīn) from the street level. This could make sense if the mosque was in the raised Bālā Ḥiṣār, since if it were on the flat plain it would be beneath the water table. It may suggest, like the Mogaki Attari in Bukhara, to which one also descends, that it may have occupied the site of an existing, pre-Muslim religious building. On the other hand, the Bālā Ḥiṣār is only about one kilometer in diameter, significantly smaller than the half farsakh (ca. three kilometers) mentioned by al-Ḥṣārī. Elsewhere, however, al-Muqaddasī describes streams running along the streets, which cannot be in Bālā Ḥiṣār. Ibn Batṭūṭa, who found the city effectively deserted, saw the ruins of a mosque which was about the size of the (huge) mosque of Rabat (Morocco) “but more beautiful.” The archaeological explorations of the 1950s and especially Gardin’s survey of the ceramics, came to the conclusion that the Bālā Ḥiṣār may have suffered “une longue période d’abandon” from the time of the Muslim conquest, if not before, down to the Timurid period (1370–1507) when the old walls were reused to form the foundations of the new Timurid ramparts. However, the latest reports note laconically “céramique, verres, mon-
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34 Besenval and Marquis reported the finding of Achaemenid ceramic material in the Bālā Ḥiṣār, in “Les travaux de la délégation archéologique française en Afghanistan (DAFA): résultats des campagnes de l’automne 2007-printemps 2008 en Bactriane et à Kaboul,” (note d’information), Comptes Rendus des Séances de l’Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres 152, no. 3 (2008): 980.

35 al-Ḥṣārī, Masālik, 278.

36 al-Muqaddasī, Aḥsan al-taqāsim, 302.

37 Ibn Batṭūṭa, Rihla, trans. Charles-François Defrémery and Adrian D.H. Bivar as: The Travels of Ibn Batṭūṭa, A.D. 1325–1354 (Cambridge: Published for the Hakluyt Society at the University Press, 1958–2000), 33572.

38 Le Berre and Schlumberger, “Troisième partie – Observations,” 75.
naies... attestant d’une forte densité d’occupation du site du IX au XII siècle."\(^{39}\)

The investigation, and hopefully the resolution of this question is fundamental to our understanding of the historical topography of the city.

In 118/736 Asad, governor for the second time, decided to move the administrative capital of all Khurāsān from Merv to Balkh, transferring the diwāns to the city and building strongholds (ikhtakha al-maṣāniʿ).\(^{40}\) A castle (qalʿa) is mentioned in the Umayyad-period city, as are slave markets.\(^{41}\) The castle is called a qaṣr in the Faḍāʾil-i Balkh and quhandiz in al-Yaʿqūbi’s account of the wars (involving who?) during the governorate of Naṣr b. Sayyār, immediately before the Abbasid revolution, when Naṣr imprisoned one of his enemies in it.\(^{42}\) We also hear of 2,000 troops raised from the people of Balkh in 118/736.\(^{43}\) If, as seems likely, these were Muslims it would imply a Muslim population of the city of at least 10,000 by this time.

In the light of this admittedly fragmentary data it is possible to suggest a history of the first Muslim settlement in Balkh. Despite the repeated “conquests” of the city in the Rāshidūn and Umayyad period, there is no evidence of the establishment of a Muslim garrison in the city. Authority in the city remained in the hands of the ispahbadhs in some sort of partnership with the barmak of the Naw Bahar Buddhist temple and monastery. This position changed in the aftermath of the defeat of Nizak’s rebellion in 90/708–709 when the ispahbadh disappears and Muslim troop were settled, not, it would seem in the old city center of Balkh, but at Barūqān, possibly a Muslim new settlement. Probably in 107/725–726 Asad b. ‘Abd Allāh transferred the Arab settlers from Barūqān to the old site of Balkh and this may have represented the first Muslim settlement on the site which was followed by the construction of the mosque in the center of the town. The Faḍāʾil-i Balkh states, however, that this was not the first mosque of Balkh, having been preceded by an ‘old mosque’ (masjid-i ‘atīq) constructed seven years earlier.\(^{44}\) Muslim settlement may also have been estab-
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\(^{39}\) Besenval and Marquis, “Les travaux,” 982.

\(^{40}\) al-Ṭabarī, Taʾrīkh, 21590. Masnaʿ can mean a fortress, a synonym for qaṣr but it can also be an open cistern for water. See Edward W. Lane, Arabic-English Lexicon (London: Williams and Norgate, 1863–1893), sv. šnʿ.

\(^{41}\) al-Ṭabarī, Taʾrīkh, 21589, 1599; also for use of qalʿa in Ghaznavid period in the forthcoming Balkh, ‘Mother of Cities.’

\(^{42}\) Shaykh al-Islam al-Wāʾiz, Faḍāʾil-i Balkh, 36 ("Ja’far b. Muhammad b. al-Ash’ath, n/d) built a castle [in Balkh], and ordered a prison to be demolished, and next to it he ordered the building of a college"). The text also refers to “many castles and forts” in the outlying areas of Balkh (p. 50); al-Yaʿqūbi, Buldān, 302.

\(^{43}\) al-Ṭabarī, Taʾrīkh, 21590.

\(^{44}\) Shaykh al-Islam al-Wāʾiz, Faḍāʾil-i Balkh, 35.
lished outside the Bālā Hiṣār in the area enclosed by the walls described by the archaeologists as ‘Bactres 1A.’ In 118/736 the Islamicization of the city continued when Asad b. Ṭabd Allāh made Balkh the capital of the whole of Khurāsān and we can suggest that there was by this time a Muslim population of some 10,000. It seems as if the Muslim settlement was, as in Bukhara, inside the madīna/shahristān, possibly at the expense of those inhabitants who chose not to adopt the new faith.45 What remains unclear is when the various sections of the rabaḍ were constructed, but Ya’qūbī’s account makes it clear that the rabaḍ was enclosed by a wall in his time (late ninth century) and that the Naw Bahār, here described as the residences (manāzil) of the Barmakids – the dynasty that descended from the barmaks of Naw Bahār and wielded great power in the ‘Abbāsid court of Hārūn al-Rashid (r. 170–193/786–809) – was to be found there.46

4 Balkh and the Muslim Settlement of Khurāsān and Transoxania

How does Balkh fit into the general pattern of urban development in Khurāsān and Transoxania in the three centuries which followed the Muslim conquests? As is well known, the cities of Central Asia usually had a bipartite or tripartite form. There was usually a fortified citadel (arg/erk), generally circular or oval in form which is either adjacent to, or separate from, a walled inner city (madīna/shahristān). Beyond the inner city lay the suburb (rabaḍ) which was often walled as well. Finally some oases (Balkh, Bukhara) are known to have had very long walls which enclosed the whole cultivated area of the oasis and its villages. Such outer walls, which in Balkh was up to 72 kilometers in length, can hardly have been defensive but used rather to prevent blowing sand and dust from encroaching on the cultivated area and to prevent the flocks of the nomads peoples of the desert from invading the farmed area.

Although many similar forms can be found in different cities, the dating and distribution of these elements seems to have varied greatly. In the best known of the cities, Merv, the round citadel, Erk Kala, seems to date back to the Achaemenid occupation from the fifth century BCE onwards. While the rectangular wall of the city dates back to Seleucid times and was maintained and

45 Hugh Kennedy, “The Coming of Islam to Bukhara,” in Living Islamic History: Studies in Honour of Professor Carole Hillenbrand, ed. Yasir Suleiman (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2010), 77–91.
46 al-Yaʾqūbī, Buldān, 117.
rebuilt throughout the Sasanian period, the ancient citadel lies on one wall of the *shahrīstān*, effectively allowing direct access to the city, along the south side, and to the oasis on the other.\(^{47}\) In Samarqand, the citadel lay at the north edge of the fortified city, separated from the rest of the *shahrīstān* by deep ditches.\(^{48}\) By contrast it seems that the citadel in Bukhara, much smaller than the one at Merv, only dated from the first centuries of the common era. Here the *shahrīstān*, again with sub-rectangular walls, was separated from the citadel by an open area which was only built up in the early Islamic period.\(^{49}\) In Balkh we seem to have an *arg* which lay on the southern wall of the *shahrīstān*.\(^{50}\) Gardin notes\(^1\) that the, limited, ceramic evidence makes it clear that the origins of the Bālā Ḥiṣār pre-date the Kushan period and this leads Le Berre and Schlumberger to argue for a Hellenistic origin.\(^{52}\) However, the surviving Hellenistic city walls of the region, at Ai Khanum (North-East of Qunduz) and Merv, are clearly rectangular or sub-rectangular in plan while the oval citadel at Merv is firmly dated to the Achaemenid period. By analogy with these more clearly dated sites, it would be possible to argue that the straight south wall of the [rectangular] *rabaḍ* (‘Bactres 1A’ in Le Berre, et al.) date from the Hellenistic period and there is nothing in the archaeological record to contradict this.

The coming of Muslim rule and Arab settlement in Central Asia occurred in different ways. We are best informed about Merv, from archaeological and textual evidence, and Bukhara, because of the survival of Narshakhī’s history of the city, which is extremely interested in topographical information. Narshakhī presented his *Tārīkh-i Bukhāra* in Arabic in 943–944 to the Sāmānid governor Nuḥ b. Naṣr, and it is the Persian adaptations of the text by Abu Naṣr Aḥmad Qubawī in 1128–1129 and Muḥammad b. Zufar b. ‘Umar in 1178–1179 that have

\(^{47}\) For the fortifications in Merv, see Vladimir A. Zavyalov, “Fortifications of the City of Gyaur Kala, Merv,” in *After Alexander: Central Asia before Islam*, eds. Joe Cribb and Georgina Herrman (Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press, 2007), 313–329.

\(^{48}\) Frantz Grenet and Claude Rapin, “De la Samarkand antique à la Samarkand islamique: continuités et ruptures,” in *Colloque International d’Archéologie islamique, 17–20, Le Caire, 3–7 février 1993*, ed. Roland-Pierre Gayraud (Cairo: Institut français d’archéologie orientale, 1998), 387–402.

\(^{49}\) See the discussion in Anette Gangler, Heinz Gaube and Attilio Petruccioli, *Bukhara: The Eastern Dome of Islam* (Stuttgart: Edition Axel Manges, 2004), 18–22, 30–42.

\(^{50}\) For the best discussion of the walls of Balkh and their dating, see Le Berre and Schlumberger, “Troisième partie – Observations.”

\(^{51}\) Gardin, *Ceramiques de Bactres*, 137.

\(^{52}\) On the grounds that Polybius implies that there was a strong fortress on the site when Antiochus III besieged the king Euthydemos at Balkh. Le Berre and Schlumberger, “Troisième partie – Observations,” 87–88.
survived. In Merv, the early Muslim settlement was concentrated outside the old *shahrīstān*, along the banks of the Majan canal. Until the eleventh century, this new Muslim settlement seems to have been unfortified. By contrast the ancient citadel was in ruins (*kharāb*) by the mid-eighth century, if not before, and the old *shahrīstān* was the center of industrial activity, notably steel making. The first mosque may have been established in middle of the *shahrīstān* but later the main mosque, as well as the *dār al-imāra* of Abū Muslim, were to be found in the unfortified Muslim new town. The explanation for the abandonment of the huge ancient fortifications of Merv may be perhaps that there were no more attacks expected. It may also have been a consequence of the financial and material difficulty of keeping up and indeed providing a fortification that does not have natural resources.

In Samarqand, by contrast, the new centers of Muslim power, mosques and the *dār al-imāra* were established on the site of the ancient citadel, appropriating the ancient centers of power. The explanation for these differences may lie in the nature of the conquests. Merv seems to have been taken more or less peacefully, meaning that the existing inhabitants were allowed to retain their houses and places of worship in the *shahrīstān*. The Muslims were therefore obliged to create a new settlement for themselves. Samarqand was conquered as the result of a violent struggle and, at least for a time, the inhabitants were driven out of the city and forbidden to re-enter it on pain of death. It is likely, therefore, that the citadel and fortified city became a largely Muslim environment from an early stage. There is no evidence at Samarqand of an extensive *rabaḍ* or Muslim new town before the Mongol conquests of the thirteenth century. In Bukhara, the *arg* remained in the residence of the local rulers of Bukhara, the Bukhār Khudāt at least until the early Abbasid period. The Arab settlers and the Muslim governors established themselves in the *shahrīstān*.

---

53 Narshakhī, *Tārīkh-i Bukhārā*, ed. Mudarris Raḍawī ([Tehran]: Intishārāt-i Bunyād-i Farhang-i Īrān, 1351/1971), trans. Richard Frye as: *The History of Bukhara* (Cambridge, MA: Mediaeval Academy of America, 1954).

54 See Hugh Kennedy, “Medieval Merv: An Historical Overview,” in *Monuments of Merv*, ed. Georgina Herrmann (London: Society of Antiquaries of London, 1999), 25–44.

55 See Grenet and Rapin, “De la Samarkand antique.”

56 Kennedy, “The Coming of Islam,” 77–91. At the time of the first Arab raids on Bukhara in 54/674, the local ruler was the widow of the Bukhār Khudār Bīdūn. She ruled as regent for her infant son, Ṭughshāda. The Bukhār Khudāt appears again in al-Ṭabarī’s account of Qutayba’s conquest of Bukhāra in 91/710, after which Qutayba installed the same Ṭughshāda as the princely ruler of Bukhārā. Vasily V. Barthold and Richard Nelson Frye, “Bukhara,” in *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, 2nd ed. (Leiden: Brill), http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912_islam_SIM_1508.
The first mosque seems to have been built in the citadel shortly after the conquest, but in the early Abbasid period a new mosque was constructed in the developing area between citadel and the shahrīstān where the Kalyon mosque is today. Bukhara also provides the only clear evidence we have for the conversion of a pre-Muslim, either Zoroastrian or, less likely, Buddhist place of worship into a small mosque, the so-called Mogaki-Attari.57

How then does Balkh fit into this matrix? It seems likely that there was a continuity of indigenous élite settlement in the fortified area of the Bālā Ḥiṣār but until more extensive archaeological mapping and survey work has been carried out in the area this cannot be proved. The function, and indeed the continuing existence of the quhandīz, cannot be proved beyond the end of the Umayyad period. Did it, like the citadel at Samarqand remain the center of power for the new Muslim rulers, was it like the arg at Merv, abandoned and kharāb, or was it where the local rulers remained like in Bukhara? As for the rabad, it is clear that it, or at least parts of it, were enclosed by fortifications before the Muslim conquest and that these fortifications may date back, as the Merv ones do, to the Seleucid period. What remains quite unclear is whether the rabad area expanded in the aftermath of the Muslim conquest? Did the population expand, as it clearly did in Merv and Bukhara? All these questions remain to be investigated: only after further research in the textual resources and above all the archaeological material, will we be able to understand early Islamic Balkh in the way we can, or think we can, in the other great ancient cities of the region.

Three Sites in the rabad

Three features within the rabad of Balkh deserve further elaboration, the Naw Bahār, Tepe Zargarān and Nuh Gunbad. The Naw Bahār is probably best described by Ibn al-Faqīh (d. after 292/905). The Naw Bahār was more than a temple and monastery: it was a vast estate. Thus, writes Ibn al-Faqīh (fl. 289/902), the Naw Bahār [estate] comprised an area of 8-by-4 farsakhs (48 by 24 kilometres) [in the more detailed Mashhad manuscript the area is given as 7 farsakhs in diameter, which is perhaps seven square farsakhs, so 42 by 42 kilometres]. This would mean that the Naw Bahār territory covered at least two-thirds of the Bactrian oasis, if not all of it.58 Flags were flown on top of the cupola.
of its central building, which in some languages (i.e. other than Arabic) was known as ‘al-ustūn.’ Ibn al-Faqīh’s description, probably unbeknownst to him, provides clues that make the Buddhist use of Naw Bahār unquestionable. The flying of flags on the cupola is a common feature in Buddhist temples, and ‘al-ustūn’ is orthographically similar to al-ustūp, the Arabicised rendering of the Sanskrit stupa. Round arches and three hundred-sixty prayer cells surrounded the site in which devotees carried out their (monastic) services and slept. The cupola measured one hundred cubits in circumference (i.e. 45.72 meters), and one hundred cubits (45.72 meters) in height.59 Ibn al-Faqīh’s account complements the observations made by the Buddhist pilgrim Xuanzang (fl. 630–640s AD). The Chinese visitor would have known a Buddhist site if he had seen one.60 Judging from Xuanzang’s account, Bactra’s landscape was dotted with Buddhist convents that totaled one hundred, and three thousand monks were lodged in them; Naw Bahār was by far the largest. Probably the very stupa mounds inventoried by Warwick Ball in 1982 throughout the Balkh region are the remains of what must have been acomely sight of glistening cupolas dotting the landscape.61 A mud-brick site known today as Tepe Rustam outside the southern wall of Balkh appears to be the Naw Bahār cupola. It is conceivable that the Naw Bahār functioned as a semi-autonomous Buddhist administrative entity with a remit that extended into the secular domain. By the account of Ibn al-Faqīh, the Naw Bahār territory covered two-thirds of the Bactran oasis, which begs the question of whether it functioned as a city within a city.62

---

59 Xuanzang, 1:46.
60 Xuanzang, 46. Xuanzang noted that the monks of Naw Bahār studied the religious teaching of the Shravakayāna, which he refers to by the pejorative name Hinayāna (‘lesser vehicle’). This is a reference to early forms of Buddhism. Richard Gombrich, Étienne Lamotte and Lal Mani Joshi, “Buddhism in Ancient India,” in The World of Buddhism, eds. Heinz Bechert and Richard Gombrich (London: Thames and Hudson, 1984), 77–89.
61 Ball records stupa sites in the eastern Balkh area called Charkh-i Falak, Āsyā-yi Qunak and Chihil-dukhtarān sites. The Charkh-i Falak is located five kilometers east of old Balkh on the old route to Mazār-i Sharif. It consists of the remains of a mud-brick stupa – a cylindrical drum on a square base, and there are many more ruins southwards of the site. The Āsyā-yi Qunak 5km southeast of old Balkh has a very high narrow circular mound of mud eighteen meters high and resembles a stupa. There are many ruins in its vicinity. The Chihil-dukhtarān site, three kilometers southeast of old Balkh and near the Āsyā-yi Qunak, is a large irregular mud-brick structure that may be a stupa as well. See Ball, Archaeological Gazetteer, no. 191, 72.
62 For more details, see Étienne de la Vaissière, “De Bactres à Balkh, par le Nowbahar,”
We do not know how long the temple site served as a place of worship after the Islamic conquest. While al-Balādhurī has Naw Bahār’s Buddhist *stupa-vihara* complex destroyed during the campaigns under the caliph Muʿāwiya (r. 41–60/661–680), al-Ṭabarī reports that Nizak Tarkhān went to pray there during his rebellion against Qutayba b. Muslim in 90/709. The anonymous *Ḥudūd al-ʿālam* describes the royal buildings (*bināhā-yi khusrāwān*) and the remaining Naw Bahār’s decorations, including painted images (*naqsha*) and wonderful works (*kārkard*). These are presumably secco or fresco murals and carvings on the temple’s plastered walls that had survived into the author’s time. The *Faḍāʾil-i Balkh* does not mention a Buddhist function, which would indicate that at the latest by the twelfth century the Buddhist meaning of Naw Bahār had been lost. This ‘devilish’ house only occupied the realms of a rude past, not the cityscape of the present. Thus, two-thirds of Balkh had been effectively managed by the *barmak* at least until the time of the Muslim conquest. No king or local ruler is mentioned otherwise, which can only add further evidence to the observation that most of Balkh was in fact Naw Bahār.

Naymark and Kennedy have observed in the early Islamic period the merging of smaller urban settlements or principalities into wide unfortified agglomerations covering the former rural territory. In Balkh, too, Naw Bahār is no longer mentioned as an administrative entity. Al-Yaʿqūbī’s account implies the ‘demotion’ of Naw Bahār from a *de facto* temple-city to a mere section in Balkh’s *rabad*. Naw Bahār had been merged into the wider Balkh city or ‘metropolis’...
(Ar. miṣr), to borrow a term used by al-Muqaddasi, which can be considered synonymous with the medieval Persian shahr.\textsuperscript{70}

The second feature of the rabad\textsuperscript{7} is a surviving freestanding building which has been attributed to the early Islamic period, possibly even to the Umayyads, known as the Nuh Gunbad (known locally as “Ḥajji Piyāda”).\textsuperscript{71} The remains of the nine-domed structure with beautifully carved columns stand three kilometers outside the southern wall of Balkh.\textsuperscript{72} Its extra muros location supports the argument for a newly fashioned city spread over a wider urban settlement. Various scholars have written about the site, contending that it was a mosque. However, none of the Arab geographers mention it, and the Faḍāʾil-i Balkh only mentions the ‘Nuh Gunbadān’ in passing without specifying that it might be a masjid.\textsuperscript{73} The Faḍāʾil-i Balkh is usuallymeticulous about identifying the

\textsuperscript{70} Al-Muqaddasi defines the specialized vocabulary of his science specifically for jurists who would need to take into account jurisdictional boundaries. At the highest level, the head of the province (iqlīm, pl. aqālim) is the miṣr, or ‘metropolis.’ It administers over districts (kawra), themselves containing several main towns (madīna, pl. mudun) around a capital (qasaba). Exceptions occur inter alia in al-mashriq, which has two metropoles divided by the Oxus (Abū Zayd al-Balkhī had divided the region into three – Khurāsān, Sistān and Māwarāʾ al-Nahr). A miṣr can be a capital of its district, but a capital is not necessarily a miṣr; and a miṣr gives its name to a district. Balkh is the name of the capital (qasaba) of Balkh district (kawr). See al-Muqaddasi, Aḥsan al-taqāsīm, 47, 260, 295–296; trans. Collins, Best Divisions, 51, 236, 261; also André Miquel, “al-Muḳaddasī,” in Encyclopaedia of Islam, 2nd ed. (Leiden: Brill), http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912_islam_SIM_5451. Regarding the Persian terms, Aubin points out that the Persian use of shahr such as in shahr-i Kirmān in mediaeval texts, which does not distinguish between the vast territory it encompasses and the principal locality, has skewed some of the scholarship. Thus, shahr can refer to a city and the canton (nāhiyat) which surrounds it, while also being the “chef-lieu de la province.” Shahr and the Arabic miṣr, therefore, have the same meaning. See Jean Aubin, “Eléments pour l’étude des agglomérations urbaines dans l’Iran médieval,” in The Islamic City: A Colloquium, eds. Albert Hourani and Samuel Miklos Stern (Oxford: Bruno Cassirer, 1973), 68–69. The Persian equivalents appear in the Faḍāʾil-i Balkh as well.

\textsuperscript{71} For the first art-historical reports on the site, see Golombok, “The Abbasid Mosque,” 173–189, and Galina Pugachenkova, “Nuh Gumbov v Balkhe,” Sovetskaiā Archeologiiā 3 (1970): 241–250. They superseded the article by Asadollah Melikian-Chirvani, “La plus ancienne mosquée de Balkh,” Arts and the Islamic World 20 (1969): 3–20.

\textsuperscript{72} The floor plan was provided by Pugachenkova in “Nuh Gumbov,” 241–250; and has been reproduced by various scholars. Golombok identified the stucco carvings, a distinctive style with a vocabulary of motifs consisting of grape-leaves, vine scrolls, palmettes and fir-cones, as being best represented in Samarra, the Iraqi city 125 km north of Baghdad founded by the Abbasid caliph al-Mu’tasim (r. 218–227/833–842). See Golombok, “The Abbasid Mosque,” 177. See also the Masjid-i Chahār Sūtūn at Tirmidh. It has the same nine-domed floor plan.

\textsuperscript{73} A nuh gunbadān is mentioned in the Faḍāʾil-i Balkh as the site adjacent to which stood the shrine of the Shaykh al-Islām al-Nuṣayrī/al-Naṣīrī (no. 53, d. 411/1020), states the author of
mosques of Balkh as masjid, and it would be odd to leave out such a beautifully decorated one from the extensive list of mosques mentioned.\textsuperscript{74}

Why then would it not do so in relation to the Nuh Gunbad/Ḥajjī Piyāda site? The answer seems to lie in the negative literary and archaeological evidence: there is no evidence that the Nuh Gunbad was a mosque in the eighth century. Recent excavations have unearthed a mihrāb, however, the date of the mihrāb is still not determined with any certainty (and it may well post-date the Faḍāʾil-i Balkh).\textsuperscript{75} Scholars have found that the architectural model based on four columns at the axes of a central square, surrounded by an outer wall, thus forming nine roofing elements covered in domes, has pre-Islamic precedents. On the other hand, the architectural style is found in early Iranian mosques, such as at Kerman as well. Perhaps the style had survived not only in the form of a mosque but as part of a palatial building type as well.

What remains relevant for our analysis is the tentative dating of the structure to the eighth–ninth centuries, and the use of pre-Islamic models for Islamic-period buildings – whether for religious or ceremonial purposes – within a program of developing the wider city (Per. shahr) of Balkh.

The Nuh Gunbad is by no means the only freestanding medieval structure in the Balkh area. Galina Pugachenkova in the 1960s inventoried and photographed a number of eleventh-century commemorative tomb structures outside the walls of Balkh.\textsuperscript{76} The eleventh-century Bābā Ḥātim shrine structure forty kilometers west of the medieval Balkh site attracted the attention of Asadollah Melikian-Chirvani, Janine Sourdel-Thomine and Adrian D.H. Bivar in the late 1960s and 1970s. An impressive Kufic dedicatory border inscription around the shrine’s doorway references the patron, a certain Sālār Khalil, as well as his female ancestors. The language is Arabic, albeit in Persianised grammar.\textsuperscript{77}

---

\textsuperscript{74} Shaykh al-Islam al-Wāʾiz, Faḍāʾil-i Balkh, 207. For a fuller list, see Azad, Sacred Landscape, 88–89.

\textsuperscript{75} Adle, “Communication,” 589ff.

\textsuperscript{76} Galina Pugachenkova, “Little Known Monuments of the Balkh Area,” Art and Archaeology Research Papers 13 (1968): 31–40.

\textsuperscript{77} Asadollah Melikian-Chirvani, “Remarques préliminaires sur un mausolée Ghaznévide,” Arts Asiatiques 17 (1968): 59–92; Janine Sourdel-Thomine, “Le mausolée dit de Baba Hatim en Afghanistan,” Revue des études islamiques 39 (1971): 293–320; Adrian D.H. Bivar, “The Inscription of Sālār Khalil in Afghanistan,” Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society 2 (1977): 145–149. The inscription reads: biʾsmiʾllāhiʾl-raḥmāniʾl-raḥīmhādhā(al-)mashhadSālār Khalil-i Sayyid sanaʾahu li-ummi ummih [barra] daʾlāhu majdahuʾakhumā wa-nawwara ḥafa-
The third feature of the *rabāḍ* is the mound (*tall*) of Tepe Zargarān (non-contiguous part of ‘Bactres I’ and ‘Bactres IA’) on the eastern limit. Today it stands as a limestone mound (900 metres by 300 metres), smaller than the Bālā Ḥiṣār, and extending horizontally over several dozen meters. It is located to the east of a serpentine wall. Excavated remains, such as pre-Islamic column bases and pillars depicting bovine creatures, date back to the Kūshān and Sāsānian periods. Schlumberger found ceramic sherds in Tepe Zargarān bearing Sogdian and Kharoshti inscriptions, as well as Kūshān and Sasanian coins. Gardin identified glazed polychrome ceramics dating to the ninth to the twelfth centuries here. More recent excavations have unearthed coins and ceramic pots from the Islamic period which Balkh Art and Cultural Heritage (BACH) numismatists have concluded indicate that the occupation of this part of the city might have continued to the tenth century. They found, with one exception, no coins that relate to the final period before the Mongol destruction.

What was the function of Tepe Zargarān? Might it have served as a cult or pilgrimage site? Its location away from the center of town begs the question whether it was an isolated site, or whether it was embedded within a settled suburb. Linking the literary evidence to this *tall* is far from straightforward. An important mound is known as Tall-i Gushtāsp/Vishtāsp (‘Mound of Gushtāsp/Vishtaspa’) to the *Faḍāʾil-i Balkh*. It served as a sacred place and the burial site of several prominent figures, including the prophet Job, the mythical king Gushtāsp, and a number of the saints of Balkh.

After the arrival of Islam, it is possible that Tepe Zargarān provided the eastern limit for an extended inner city (i.e. beyond the serpentine wall), while it may also have served as a non-contiguous religious site. We have accounts that...

---

78 Ball, *Archaeological Gazetteer*, 1:47–49; Roland Besenval, *Rapport d’activités* (Kabul, La Délégation archéologique française en Afghanistan, 2007). The pillars and capitals were viewed by the author in Balkh on 23 July 2009 both at the Tepe Zargarān site and in the offices of the Balkh Province’s Culture and Information Department in Mazār-i Sharif.

79 Schlumberger, “Prospection archéologique,” 181–184, and figs. 12 and 13.

80 Gardin, *Céramiques de Bactres*, 87–97, 23–28, 42–43, 53, 89 (fig. 32), 119–120 (coins), plate xxiv.

81 Stefan Heidemann and Matthias Naue, “Coin Finds in Relation to Local History,” unpublished report for the *Balkh Art and Cultural Heritage Project* (2013), 31. A detailed chapter on this will appear in the BACH volume, *Balkh, ‘Mother of Cities’*.

82 Further details, see Azad, *Sacred Landscape*, 72–75, 96–97.
the top of a conspicuous mound (sar-i tall) was used as a burial ground for ninth and tenth-century Islamic legal scholars, and it is plausible that the reference is to Tepe Zargarān. If this is the case then we have an example of how the Muslims appropriated sacred spaces of the conquered peoples, and converted them into Islamic spaces.

6 Conclusion

The history of the coming of Islam to Balkh remains much less well understood than that of other major Central Asian cities like Marv, Samarqand and Bukhara. This is partly because of the paucity of early literary sources, partially compensated by the thirteenth century Faḍāʾil-i Balkh but more significantly by the lack of sustained archaeological investigation of the Islamic city of the sort that was done in Soviet and post-Soviet times in the other great cities. Nonetheless, certain features and developments can be made out. The central role of the pre-Islamic Bala Hisar which incorporated the citadel seems to have been the main centre of the city, the shahrīstān, until at least the twelfth century and may have been the site of the lost great mosque, admired by the Arab geographers. However, there is no evidence that the citadel played a major part in urban life. We can suggest that in early Islamic times the city spread with the construction of the rabaḍ outside the walls of the Bala Hisar at a lower elevation, and again outside the walls of the rabaḍ. This swallowed up the great stupa of the Nawbahar and was the site of the only important surviving early Islamic building of Balkh, the controversial Nuh Gunbad. The development of the extra-mural rabad in this period suggests a pattern of urban development in Balkh broadly similar to that in Marv, Bukhara and Paykent which all acquired rabaḍs during this period, rabaḍs which in Marv and Bukhara, were the location of the main religious buildings and the main commercial quarters. Only with more sustained archaeological work, will we be able to improve on these tentative conclusions.

While the main focus of this study lies with the city of Balkh, connections with the surrounding countryside are obvious and significant. The town's hinterland formed a source of supplies for the city with agricultural grounds providing staple foods as well as seasonal fruits and vegetables. Pastoral grounds extending into more remote areas were at least as important for the mobile nomads who provided the city with food stuffs and other supplies such as hides, but who could also be called upon as a military force through temporary and more constant alliances. The city's income consisted of taxes and tolls or fees they could
raise on the services and transactions thus taking place: commercial, agricultural and military. To maintain such material and personnel services, the city aimed to extend its influence over the surrounding lands by different means. Defense works and the soldiers housed in them were an obvious means by which the city imposed control over its hinterland – this would have helped especially the collection of taxes and other impositions as well as reduce the chance of rebellions and other acts of disobedience. It also points to the other important way through which the city maintained its dominance, namely by offering the surrounding population protection from attacks and opportunities to sell their products. The city’s commercial function with an Arab soldier population who could dispense of a spendable salary and the administrative activities as well as the expanding urban population all offered opportunities for agricultural and household manufacture trade as well as long-distance commercial activities. In other words the city attached the inhabitants of the surrounding lands by offering services that were beneficial to them. Also through institutions, legal and religious, did the city play an increasingly central role in the life of the people around. This offered indirect ways of controlling the people and lands around the city.
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