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Abstract. Four-element reconfigurable multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) antennas for dual band applications are proposed. The frequency reconfigurability of the proposed antennas is achieved by incorporation of three PIN diodes within the single element. The antenna covers multiple switchable operating bands for Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access (Wi-MAX)/Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) applications (3.4-3.6GHz, 3.8-3.86GHz, 5.18-5.27GHz, 5.35-5.5GHz and 5.67-5.8GHz). The proposed MIMO antenna consists of 2x2 elements on a single FR4 substrate. The combinations of MIMO and reconfigurable antenna provide improved performance in terms of envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) and channel capacity loss (CCL) in multiple-frequency bands. The MIMO antenna system performance including the isolation, ECC, CCL, and the diversity gain (DG) are simulated and measured. High isolation (≥25dB) is achieved between reconfigurable MIMO antenna ports without any internal and external decoupling network. The proposed antenna has sufficient performance that makes it suitable for indoor access points (IAPs).
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1. Introduction

Modern wireless system implements multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) technology using multi-antennas to fulfill increasing demands of higher data transmission rate, good reliability and better communication quality which lead to increase the transmission speed and more capacity of the wireless system without overburden on transmission power.

In wireless systems, multiple antennas (MIMO antenna) are used in wireless local area networks (WLANs) to improve the overall channel capacity and to overcome the multipath fading problem results better communication system [1-3]. However, for the efficient use of available RF-spectrum, multiple services and encroachment on number of users placing separate antennas for different applications in portable or small devices (like cell phones, user access points, etc.) increases design challenges and costs [4]. Thus, to overcome multipath fading and resourcefully use of RF-spectrum the reconfigurable technologies are merged with MIMO technologies named as reconfigurable MIMO. Various antennas have been reported with omni-directional patterns for indoor and outdoor wireless access points (WAPs), because the pattern diversity of these antennas allow a good communication link in between transmitter and receiver [5-12].

The most commonly used antennas for WAPs are microstrip patch antennas. Various reconfigurable antennas and multi-element MIMO antennas are studied [7-12] for Wi-MAX and WLAN application using different methodologies. The envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) and diversity gain performance parameters of Wi-MAX and 802.11 WLAN have been calculated using mathematical equations based on other important parameters.

One of essential factor that determines the performance of the MIMO antenna systems is the isolation between the antenna input ports. To ameliorate the MIMO antenna performance, several internal and external decoupling networks, techniques and methods have been reported to increase the isolation between the MIMO antenna system such as defective ground structure (DGS), electromagnetic band gap (EBG), neutralization line, introducing air gap, etc. [13-18]. In [14], two parallel planar inverted-F antennas with DGS have been used to achieve good isolation but for large scale structure experienced decrement in front to back radiation ratio. In another approaches, neutralization line [15, 16] and EBG [17, 18] were introduced but found that the design challenges were increased for reducing mutual coupling using these techniques.

As compared to traditional multiple antennas, reconfigurable MIMO antennas provide many advantages such as compact size and better gain on desired bandwidth.

The basic principle of reconfigurable antenna is changing/blocking the current density in an element by electrical or mechanical switches. Electrical switches such as PIN diodes, varactor diodes, MEMS switches [19-23] are used. In recent years, lots of studies on frequency reconfigurable antennas have been carried out using PIN diodes in [19-21].

Generally, large numbers of switches (like varactors, PIN diodes, etc.) were used in single element that would lead to involve more DC-bias circuits and increase design complexity. In proposed reconfigurable MIMO antennas, the number of switching components are reduces up to three per element which covers three different frequency bands.

In this paper, a compact frequency reconfigurable MIMO antenna using active and passive components (i.e. inductors, capacitors and PIN diodes) is presented. High isolation (≥25dB) is achieved without any internal and external decoupling network. It is due to physical separation between the MIMO antenna elements. Thus, the design challenges and cost are reduced significantly.

The proposed reconfigurable MIMO antenna likely to operate in different switchable frequency bands (Wi-MAX/WLAN), makes the antenna dynamic according to usability and robust to environmental scenarios (multipath,
fading effect etc.). Figure 1 shows the block diagram of proposed four element reconfigurable MIMO antenna accompanied by matching network, isolation, switching network and external DC-bias circuit.

2. Antenna design and configuration

The configuration of single element is illustrated in Fig. 2. The presented antenna is designed and fabricated on FR4 substrate which has a dielectric constant (\(\varepsilon_r\)) = 4.4, loss tangent (\(\tan\delta\)) = 0.02 and thickness (h) = 1.6mm. The simulation and optimization of antenna design is carried out using ANSYS High-Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS) software [24]. The optimized shape parameters of the proposed MIMO antennas are shown in Table 1.

2.1. Equivalent circuit model of PIN diode

One of the most commonly operated switching components is RF PIN diodes. In this paper, RF PIN diodes are used as the switching elements to accomplish the frequency reconfiguration in the proposed reconfigurable MIMO antenna design.

![Fig. 2. Reconfigurable antenna: (a) Single-element of the proposed antenna, (b) LC matching network, (c) Side view, (d) Unfolded and folded view of Radiator 2.](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

The RF PIN diodes models are chosen as Skyworks-SMP1345 [12]. The equivalent circuit models of the diodes for ON/OFF or I/O states are shown in Fig.3.

It consists of a series inductance (\(L1\)) and a low resistance (\(R1\)) when the PIN-diode is in ON state, while a series inductance (\(L1\)) and a parallel combination of a resistance (\(R2\)) and capacitance (\(C1\)) when the PIN-diode is in OFF state. The values for the ON state: \(L1 = 0.7nH\) and \(R1 = 1.5\Omega\), and the OFF state: \(L1 = 0.7nH\), \(R2 = 2M\Omega\) and \(C1 = 0.15pF\) are chosen for simulation [12]. The proposed antenna is constructed based on matching network and equivalent circuit modeling of PIN diode as a switching network model. The models are applied to simulate, design and optimize the antenna parameters.

2.2. Single-element reconfigurable antenna

Each element of reconfigurable MIMO antenna consists of three asymmetric radiators, which are connected to a common T-shaped microstrip feed line as shown in Figure 2. Since, the number of radiators attached to single feed line will cause...
impedance mismatching. Therefore, an LC-matching network is placed to provide impedance matching in between the feed line and the radiators. The value of capacitance $C = 2.5$ pF and inductance $L = 18$ nH are optimized manually. It can also be obtained by using ADS software.

The individual element of proposed antenna is comprised of three different shaped radiator. So, each radiator is designed and implemented different techniques (such as shorting to ground, defective ground structure-DGS and notch on patch) to cover multiple switchable operating bands. The multiband reconfigurable antenna is realized by merging three RF PIN-diodes to three different shaped patch antennas and using external DC-biasing circuit. Diodes are designated as Diode 1-3 (D₁, D₂, and D₃).

2.3. Parametric study

To determine the S-parameter bandwidth at different resonant bands of the single element reconfigurable MIMO antenna, parametric study is performed. To perform the parametric study the shape and size of the board is chosen same as the optimized structure. For the initial optimization, at a time single diode is ON and rest of the diodes are kept in OFF state are considered.

Figure 4 shows the S-parameters of single reconfigurable MIMO element with different configuration of RF PIN-diodes. D₁-ON represents Diode 1 is in ‘ON’ state and Diode 2-3 are in ‘OFF’ state. Similarly, D₂-ON and D₃-ON represents Diode 2 and Diode 3 is ON, respectively and other diodes are in OFF state. It is observed that when Diode 1 or Diode 3 is in ON state various tunable WLAN frequency bands between 5.1 GHz to 5.8 GHz are achieved and if Diode 2 is in ON state it covers Wi-MAX frequency bands. The basic switching mechanism of frequency reconfiguration is that the effective length ($L_{\text{eff}}$) of the radiators and path of antenna current distribution are changed to achieve approximately 2 GHz of shift in the frequency band.

![Fig. 3. Equivalent circuit model of PIN diode (a) ON state or ‘1’ state, (b) OFF state or ‘0’ state.](image)

![Fig. 4. S-parameters of single element using PIN-diodes as a switch at different diode configuration.](image)

The proposed geometrical parameters examined for study are the placement of shorting position to ground “A”, “B”, “C” of radiator 1 (see Figure 5a), the slot length “Ws”, folded length “d” and “e” of radiator 2 (see Figure 2(d)), the DGS length “Lg” and width “Wg” of radiator 3. The resonant frequencies are tuned by analyzing particular geometrical parameter meanwhile the other parameters are kept constant.

Figure 5(a) shows the variation of reflection coefficient of the antenna with frequency by considering the shorting position to ground as parameter. It can be seen that the position A (shorting to ground) provides sharper peak at upper frequencies than that of the position B and C. This is mainly because of the quarter-wavelength shorted strip ($\lambda/4$ @ 5.65GHz) from point A to T-feed line. As can be seen in Figure 2 (d) that the length of radiator 2 (L₂+d+e) is less than half-wavelength ($\lambda/2$ @ 3.6GHz). Further, to increase the electrical length of radiator 2 a slot (Lₛ x Wₛ) is introduced which gives minimum reflection within Wi-MAX band. Further, Figure 5(b)-(d) shows the variation of reflection coefficient with frequency for slot parameter “Ws”, “d”, and “e” of radiator 2. It is observed from Figure 5(b) that the increase in the slot width “Ws” results the shift in resonant frequency band towards lower frequency and a sharper roll-off at the Wi-MAX (3.3-3.8GHz) frequency band while no change is observed in the upper frequency band (5-6GHz). It is due to the increment in the effective length of radiator 2 as expected. Simply the optimized $S_{11}$ result of radiator 2 validated by increase or decrease in slot parameter “d” and “e” leads to mismatch as shown in Figure 5(c) and 5(d), respectively.

Figure 6 shows the variation of the reflection coefficient with frequency for DGS parameters of the radiator 3 when diode 3 is in the ON state. It is seen from Figure 6(a) and 6(b) that the WLAN frequency band (5.18 GHz – 5.27 GHz) is achieved by incorporating the DGS on the ground plane of radiator 3 with parameter “Wₛ” and “L_g”. When $Wₛ=0$ (without DGS) indicates more reflections. Hence, position ‘A’ as shorting to ground, $Wₛ = 5$ mm, $d = 4$ mm, $e = 2$ mm $L_g = 14$ mm and $W_g = 1.5$ mm are selected as the optimal values for which good $S_{11} (<-10$dB) is observed in Wi-MAX and WLAN frequency bands respectively.
Three PIN diodes are used with each MIMO antenna. The antennas are named as Antenna 1-4. The prototype is fabricated by using T-Tech (quick circuit prototype systems) micro milling machine.

The shape of substrate reduces the size of overall device and also provides space for indicators, display unit and input–output device ports. The proposed structure not only provides the basic need of high isolation (>25dB) over the entire resonant frequency bands, but also utilizes the plane between antenna elements for device components. Since all four elements are identical therefore the reflection S-parameter will be remained same for all the elements. Only coupling S-parameters (S_{12}, S_{13}, and S_{14}) will change due to placement of antennas on different locations and different possible combinations of the PIN diodes.

There are three PIN diodes used with each MIMO element to achieve frequency reconfigurability. Hence, six possible combinations namely S1 to S6 are considered for the present study. Table 2 provides the various switching state of the PIN diode in binary form (0 for OFF and 1 for ON states).

2.4. Four-elements reconfigurable MIMO antenna

The reconfigurable antennas are arranged in such a manner that the radiating patches of all the antennas are on the top and ground structure on bottom of the H-shaped substrate with dimension 60x80mm^2. Figure 7 shows the prototype of proposed four element reconfigurable MIMO antenna. The antennas are named as Antenna 1-4.

The measurement is executed on vector network analyzer VNA Master MS2038C (5 kHz-20 GHz). The simulated and measured frequency bands, isolation and simulated radiation efficiency at the switching parameter will be remained for all the elements. Only coupling S-parameters (S_{12}, S_{13}, and S_{14}) will change due to placement of antennas on different locations and different possible combinations of the PIN diodes.

There are three PIN diodes used with each MIMO element to achieve frequency reconfigurability. Hence, six possible combinations namely S1 to S6 are considered for the present study. Table 2 provides the various switching state of the PIN diode in binary form (0 for OFF and 1 for ON states).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. S-parameters of the proposed antenna

The measurement is executed on vector network analyzer VNA Master MS2038C (5 kHz-20 GHz). The simulated and measured frequency bands, isolation and simulated radiation efficiency (η) for different switching state are presented in Table 2. As expected, the radiation efficiency at the switching states S1, S2, and S3 has higher values as compared to S4, S5, and S6. This is because of more number of ON states of PIN diodes which results in larger ohmic losses and thus reduces the overall radiation efficiency of the antenna. While all the four elements are similar, the reflection S-parameters of all the antenna elements are symmetrical for identical switching configuration. The simulated and measured S-parameters are plotted in Figure 8.

It is observed that the simulated and measured results of S-parameters show good performance with S_{11} (<-10dB) and S_{12} (<-25dB) parameters for the switching states S1 (5.67-5.8GHz), S3 (5.18-5.27GHz), S5 (5.35-5.55GHz) are situated in WLAN.
(5-5.9GHz), state S2 (3.4-3.61GHz), S6 (3.8-3.86GHz) are located in Wi-MAX (3.3-3.8GHz) and state S4 (3.37-3.6 GHz and 4.9-5.1GHz) covers both WLAN and Wi-MAX frequency bands. The measured results are in close agreement with the simulated results with little disagreement (see Figure 8). The slight difference mainly attributed due to fabrication tolerances, equivalent circuit models of RF PIN diodes, more soldering to make good connect and testing environment. Since, all the four parameters are identical, therefore, in Figure 8, the simulated results of other decoupling parameters like S13 and S14 of the proposed MIMO antenna are plotted. The mutual couplings between antenna elements (i.e. S12, S13, S14) for different states (S1, S2, S3, S4, S5 and S6) are less than 25dB is achieved.

![Simulated S11 (dB)](image)

![Simulated S13 (dB)](image)

![Simulated S14 (dB)](image)

![Measured S11 (dB)](image)

![Measured S13 (dB)](image)

![Measured S14 (dB)](image)

3.2. Surface current distribution at different states

The current distribution path on the presented reconfigurable MIMO antenna is responsible for switching different frequency bands. To visualize the basic frequency reconfiguration mechanism, the surface current distribution on the proposed antenna at S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, and S6 states are demonstrated in Figure 9.

![Fig. 8. S-parameters of the proposed antenna at different states of PIN diodes](image)

![Fig. 9(a)](image)

![Fig. 9(b)](image)

![Fig. 9(c)](image)

It is observed that resonant frequency changes with alter the diodes configuration. It can be clearly observed from Fig 9a-c that when all the ports are excited there is negligible amount of the surface current passes from one antenna port to another antenna port. The spacing between antenna elements cause null in between them.

Further, it can be observed in Figure 9(a) (state S1) and demonstrated by the black arrow, the path length travelled by surface current is smaller which is responsible for upper resonant frequency (5.6GHz). When antenna resonant at lower frequency (3.6GHz), the effective length of element current distribution and effective length of radiator are larger because of PIN diode attach to radiator 2 is in ON state as shown in Fig. 9a (state S2). Furthermore, it can be observed from Figure 9(b) (state S3) that the surface current travels through effective length of radiator expanded over the DGS leads to radiate at upper frequency (5.2GHz). Similarly, nulls are visible in the Figure 9(b) (state S4), 9(c) (state S5) and (state S6) between the antenna elements in different switching states justified the good isolation. However, in the Figure 9(c) (state S6) when all diodes are in ON states (i.e. S6 state) there is very small amount of surface current flow from one antenna element to another antenna element results a little decrement in isolation as seen in Table 2.
Antenna1 is presented in this article. The simulated and measured results (Normalized gain (dB) versus Angle) in XOZ and YOZ-planes at the six different states are shown in Fig. 10. It can be observed that the corresponding 2D pattern results are almost similar to the each other. However, the fabricating tolerance and the additional parasitic parameters generated by introducing inductor, capacitor and PIN diodes makes experimental radiation patterns slightly differed from the simulated radiation patterns.

Furthermore, it is observed that the pattern appears almost bidirectional and quasi-Omni-directional 2D-radiation patterns in XOZ and YOZ-planes, respectively. The total efficiency of the \( i^{th} \) and \( j^{th} \) ports is defined as [10].

\[
\eta_{\text{total}} = \eta_{\text{rad}1} (1 - |S_{11}|^2 - |S_{21}|^2) \\
\eta_{\text{total}} = \eta_{\text{rad}2} (1 - |S_{22}|^2 - |S_{12}|^2)
\]

where, \( \eta_{\text{rad}1} \) and \( \eta_{\text{rad}2} \) are the radiation efficiencies when port 1 and port 2 is excited, respectively.

### 3.4. MIMO system performance parameters

The envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) and channel capacity loss (CCL) are the important parameters to analyze the diversity performance of the MIMO antenna. The ECC can be enrolled to determine the degree of similarities between the two or more field patterns. Generally, high envelope correlation means more interference between the two radiation patterns so the acceptable value of this parameter is ECC < 0.5 [3, 10].

The envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) can be evaluated by using eqn (3) and (4) which are discussed in [3, 10-11, 25]. Here, \( \rho_e \) represents the envelope correlation coefficient between the \( i^{th} \) and \( j^{th} \) elements (where \( i, j = 1, 2 \)).

\[
\rho_e = \frac{|S_{ii}^{*}S_{jj} + S_{ji}^{*}S_{jj}|^2}{(1 - |S_{ii}|^2 - |S_{jj}|^2)(1 - |S_{jj}|^2 - |S_{jj}|^2)}
\]

\[
\rho_e = |\rho_{ij}|^2 = \frac{\int \left| \overrightarrow{F}_1(\theta, \phi) + \overrightarrow{F}_2(\theta, \phi) \right| d\Omega}{\int \left| \overrightarrow{F}_1(\theta, \phi) \right|^2 d\Omega \int \left| \overrightarrow{F}_2(\theta, \phi) \right|^2 d\Omega}
\]

Where, \( \overrightarrow{F}_1(\theta, \phi) \) and \( \overrightarrow{F}_2(\theta, \phi) \) represents the beam patterns of the MIMO antenna when port1 and port2 is excited, respectively and * depicts the Hermitian product function. The complex cross-correlation coefficient \( \rho \) and envelope correlation coefficient \( \rho_e \) is closely related with \( |\rho|^2 \approx \rho_e \). Thus, the relation between diversity gain \( G_d \) and complex cross-correlation coefficient is [3, 9]

\[
G_d = 10 \times \sqrt{1 - |\rho|^2}
\]

Where, \( \sqrt{1 - |\rho|^2} \) term is reducing the diversity gain induced by envelope correlation coefficient. The lower is the value of ECC, the more desirable is the diversity gain.

---

Fig. 9. Current distributions between nearest elements at different switching states, where at S1, S2 state, (b) at S3, S4 state, (c) at S5 and S6 state.

---

### 3.3. Radiation patterns at different states

The 2D-radiation patterns of the proposed antenna in XOZ-plane (E-plane) and YOZ-plane (H-plane) are determined using High-Frequency Structure Simulator software and measured in the anechoic chamber for the different diode configuration at different frequencies. The pyramidal horn antennas (designed for S, C and X-bands) are used as transmitting antenna and the proposed Antenna1 is connected to coaxial detector as receiving antenna located in the far-field region, all the other ports are terminated with 50-ohm matched loads for 2D pattern measurement in XOZ and YOZ-planes. Due to similar behavior of the identical antenna elements, the 2D-radiation pattern of Antenna1 is presented in this article. The simulated and measured results (Normalized gain (dB) versus Angle) in XOZ and YOZ-planes at the six different states are shown in Fig. 10. It can be observed that the corresponding 2D pattern results are almost similar to the each other. However, the fabricating tolerance and the additional parasitic parameters generated by introducing inductor, capacitor and PIN diodes makes experimental radiation patterns slightly differed from the simulated radiation patterns.

Furthermore, it is observed that the pattern appears almost bidirectional and quasi-Omni-directional 2D-radiation patterns in XOZ and YOZ-planes, respectively. The total efficiency of the \( i^{th} \) and \( j^{th} \) ports is defined as [10].

\[
\eta_{\text{total}} = \eta_{\text{rad}1} (1 - |S_{11}|^2 - |S_{21}|^2) \\
\eta_{\text{total}} = \eta_{\text{rad}2} (1 - |S_{22}|^2 - |S_{12}|^2)
\]

where, \( \eta_{\text{rad}1} \) and \( \eta_{\text{rad}2} \) are the radiation efficiencies when port 1 and port 2 is excited, respectively.

### 3.4. MIMO system performance parameters

The envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) and channel capacity loss (CCL) are the important parameters to analyze the diversity performance of the MIMO antenna. The ECC can be enrolled to determine the degree of similarities between the two or more field patterns. Generally, high envelope correlation means more interference between the two radiation patterns so the acceptable value of this parameter is ECC < 0.5 [3, 10].

The envelope correlation coefficient (ECC) can be evaluated by using eqn (3) and (4) which are discussed in [3, 10-11, 25]. Here, \( \rho_e \) represents the envelope correlation coefficient between the \( i^{th} \) and \( j^{th} \) elements (where \( i, j = 1, 2 \)).

\[
\rho_e = \frac{|S_{ii}^{*}S_{jj} + S_{ji}^{*}S_{jj}|^2}{(1 - |S_{ii}|^2 - |S_{jj}|^2)(1 - |S_{jj}|^2 - |S_{jj}|^2)}
\]

\[
\rho_e = |\rho_{ij}|^2 = \frac{\int \left| \overrightarrow{F}_1(\theta, \phi) + \overrightarrow{F}_2(\theta, \phi) \right| d\Omega}{\int \left| \overrightarrow{F}_1(\theta, \phi) \right|^2 d\Omega \int \left| \overrightarrow{F}_2(\theta, \phi) \right|^2 d\Omega}
\]

Where, \( \overrightarrow{F}_1(\theta, \phi) \) and \( \overrightarrow{F}_2(\theta, \phi) \) represents the beam patterns of the MIMO antenna when port1 and port2 is excited, respectively and * depicts the Hermitian product function. The complex cross-correlation coefficient \( \rho \) and envelope correlation coefficient \( \rho_e \) is closely related with \( |\rho|^2 \approx \rho_e \). Thus, the relation between diversity gain \( G_d \) and complex cross-correlation coefficient is [3, 9]

\[
G_d = 10 \times \sqrt{1 - |\rho|^2}
\]

Where, \( \sqrt{1 - |\rho|^2} \) term is reducing the diversity gain induced by envelope correlation coefficient. The lower is the value of ECC, the more desirable is the diversity gain.
Table 2: Diodes configuration and corresponding results

| State | D1 | D2 | D3 | Simulated \( f_L - f_H \) (GHz) | Measured \( f_L - f_H \) (GHz) | Simulated Isolation (dB) | Measured Isolation (dB) | Simulated radiation efficiency (\( \eta_r \)) | Peak gain (dB) |
|-------|----|----|----|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| S1    | 1  | 0  | 0  | 5.61-5.8                      | 5.67-5.8                      | ≥28                      | >30                      | 70%                              | 5.2         |
| S2    | 0  | 1  | 0  | 3.4-3.66                      | 3.4-3.61                      | >35                      | >38                      | 80%                              | 1.8         |
| S3    | 0  | 0  | 1  | 5.16-5.2                      | 5.1-5.27                     | >28                      | >30                      | 69%                              | 2           |
| S4    | 0  | 1  | 1  | 3.4-3.65 & 5.19               | 3.37-3.6 & 4.9-5.1            | >27                      | >28                      | 71%                              | >2.7        |
| S5    | 1  | 1  | 0  | 5.4-5.61                      | 5.35-5.5                     | >26                      | >27                      | 66%                              | 2.5         |
| S6    | 1  | 1  | 1  | 3.8-3.98                      | 3.8-3.86                     | >23                      | >22                      | 57%                              | >2.5        |

Another parameter channel capacity loss (CCL) employed to characterize quality of a multiple antenna system. Thus, the CCL is also computed from the \( S \)-parameter-based formula [10, 26] as follows:

\[
C_{loss} = -\log_2 |\Psi_R| \tag{6}
\]

Where, \( \Psi_R \) is the receiving antenna correlation matrix.

The matrix elements \( \rho_{ij} \) stands the correlation coefficients. The expression shows MIMO system performance and \( C_{loss} \) affects by the reflections at the antenna ports.

\[
\Psi_R = \begin{pmatrix}
\rho_{11} & \rho_{12} \\
\rho_{21} & \rho_{22}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Here,

\[
\rho_u = 1 - |S_{ii}|^2 - |S_{ij}|^2
\]

\[
\rho_g = S_{ii}^* s_u + S_{jj}^* s_j
\]

DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.32452/IJAMT.2022.274282](http://dx.doi.org/10.32452/IJAMT.2022.274282) © 2022 IJAMT
The MIMO system performance parameters are calculated and shown in Table 3. For good MIMO antenna performance, CCL should be less than 0.40 bits/s/Hz. The measured and simulated results of ECC and CCL are calculated by using the reflection coefficients formula (S-parameters) and are shown in Figure 11. It is clear that both ECC and CCL exist within the acceptable limits.

Table 3: MIMO system performance parameters.

| Port x-y | Frequency (GHz) | CCL (bits/s/Hz) | ECC | Gd |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|----|
| 1-2      | 3.6             | 0.24            | 0.006 | 9.999 |
|          | 5               | 0.126           | 0.005 | 9.999 |
|          | 5.8             | 0.21            | 0.001 | 9.999 |
| 1-3      | 3.6             | 0.22            | 0.002 | 9.999 |
|          | 5               | 0.25            | 0.041 | 9.998 |
|          | 5.7             | 0.32            | 0.078 | 9.996 |
| 1-4      | 3.6             | 0.29            | 0.072 | 9.997 |
|          | 5               | 0.31            | 0.085 | 9.995 |
|          | 5.7             | 0.34            | 0.088 | 9.995 |

Fig. 11. The simulated and measured ECC and CCL of proposed antenna.

The reconfigurable MIMO antenna is capable of switching (~2GHz bandwidth) and has low CCL (< 0.40 bits/s/Hz) and low ECC (< 0.5) forms a good choice in indoor and outdoor wireless access points (WAPs) for Wi-MAX/m-WLAN applications. The simulated antenna is validated by prototype and tested results.

4. Conclusion

A four-element frequency reconfigurable MIMO antenna is proposed, fabricated and measured. In this work, the antenna designed with omni-directional and bi-directional radiation pattern in H- and E-planes respectively, for premium WAPs. It has been shown that the multiple bands can be accomplished using three different shaped radiators and equivalent circuit models of RF PIN-diodes as switches. It provides good frequency reconfiguration and high isolation over the operating frequency bands.
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