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Whether *Burkholderia cepacia* complex should be an objectionable organism in antiseptic solutions with acceptable total bacterial counts is controversial. By using next-generation sequencing, we documented a polyclonal *B. cepacia* complex outbreak affecting peritoneal dialysis patients in Hong Kong that was caused by contaminated chlorhexidine solutions. Epidemiologic investigations at a manufacturing site identified a semiautomated packaging machine as the probable source of contamination in some of the brands. Use of whole-genome sequencing differentiated the isolates into 3 brand-specific clonal types. Changes in exit site care recommendations, rapid recall of affected products, and tightening of regulatory control for chlorhexidine-containing skin antiseptics could prevent future similar outbreaks. Environmental opportunistic pathogens, including *B. cepacia* complex, might be included in regular surveillance as indicator organisms for monitoring environmental contamination.

*B. cepacia* is the type species of the genus *Burkholderia* and is a ubiquitous multidrug-resistant, motile, non-glucose-fermenting, gram-negative organism found in water and soil (1). The *B. cepacia* complex (BCC) contains ≥17 closely related species that require molecular methods for accurate differentiation (2). Previous typing methods, such as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, restriction fragment-length polymorphism, or multilocus sequence typing (MLST), are ineffective and only enable differentiation into genome variants.

BCC is a major pathogen among patients with cystic fibrosis and an opportunistic pathogen affecting patients with indwelling medical devices and immunosuppression (3). Although >50 BCC-related nosocomial outbreaks associated with contaminated antiseptics or medications have been described, none of the skin disinfectant-related outbreaks were documented by next-generation genome sequencing as the typing method. The exact mode of contamination of commercial antiseptics was often not found (4,5). Implicated disinfectants and medications included intrinsically or extrinsically contaminated chlorhexidine (4–11), povidone–iodine (12,13), benzalkonium chloride (14–16), intravenous fluids or drugs (17–20), sodium docusate (21,22), eye drops (23), alcohol-free mouthwash, and nebulized salbutamol and albuterol (24–26).

There is a lack of consensus on whether *B. cepacia* complex should be considered an objectionable organism in antiseptic solutions with acceptable total bacterial counts is controversial. By using next-generation sequencing, we documented a polyclonal *B. cepacia* complex outbreak affecting peritoneal dialysis patients in Hong Kong that was caused by contaminated chlorhexidine solutions. Epidemiologic investigations at a manufacturing site identified a semiautomated packaging machine as the probable source of contamination in some of the brands. Use of whole-genome sequencing differentiated the isolates into 3 brand-specific clonal types. Changes in exit site care recommendations, rapid recall of affected products, and tightening of regulatory control for chlorhexidine-containing skin antiseptics could prevent future similar outbreaks. Environmental opportunistic pathogens, including *B. cepacia* complex, might be included in regular surveillance as indicator organisms for monitoring environmental contamination.

**Materials and Methods**

**Outbreak Investigation**

On September 6, 2019, we conducted an investigation at the Queen Mary Hospital Dialysis Unit in Hong Kong. Our investigation included review of medical records, exit-site care practices, and manufacturing records. Whole-genome sequencing was used to differentiate the isolates into 3 brand-specific clonal types. Changes in exit site care recommendations, rapid recall of affected products, and tightening of regulatory control for chlorhexidine-containing skin antiseptics could prevent future similar outbreaks.
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Environmental Surveillances

Air, water, and environmental samples from the peritoneal dialysis unit, together with various antiseptics used for exit site care from our hospital and the community, were collected and microbiologically analyzed as described (31,32) (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/9/19-1746-App1.pdf). In brief, we collected surface specimens by using premoistened, Polywipe sponge swabs (Medical Wire & Equipment, https://www.mwe.co.uk). We sampled faucets and drains of sinks by using transport rayon swabs (Copan Diagnostics, https://www.copanusa.com). We collected tap water (250 mL) into labeled sterile bottles. We used an air sampler, SAS Super ISO 180 model 86834 (VWR International PBI Srl, https://it.vwr.com), to collect 1,000 liters of air onto MacConkey agar (CM 0507; Oxoid, http://www.oxoid.com) containing 0.0005% crystal violet (Merck KGaA, https://www.emdgroup.com) and 4 μg/mL gentamicin (CG-MAC). We collected in-use and unopened antiseptics in the hospital. Unopened 0.05% aqCHX was also obtained from other (outside) stores.

Tap water was filtered through a 0.45-µm membrane, which was then inoculated onto CG-MAC. Sponge swabs and transport rayon swabs were incubated in sterile selective brain heart infusion broth (CM1135; Oxoid) containing 4 μg/mL gentamicin, 15 μg/mL vancomycin, and 1 μg/mL amphotericin B (G3632, V2002, and A4888, respectively; Sigma-Aldrich, https://www.sigmaaldrich.com) at 37°C overnight before inoculation onto CG-MAC. All disinfectants and antiseptics were subjected to 1:10 dilution with neutralization broth (brain-heart infusion plus 2% Tween 80 [P1754; Sigma-Aldrich], 0.3% sodium thiosulphate pentahydrate [27910.260; VWR Chemicals, https://us.vwr.com], 0.4% potassium dihydrogen phosphate [26936.260; VWR Chemicals], and 0.5% lecithin). The suspension was left at room temperature for 5 min, then 100 µL of the suspension was spread onto blood agar (CM0331; Oxoid). Water and air samples were incubated at 37°C for 1 day, followed by room temperature for 5 days. Other specimens were incubated at 37°C for 5 days and examined daily for visible bacterial growth. Any bacterial growth was further specified, and bacterial CFUs were also counted for air and antiseptic cultures.

Clinical Specimens

We processed all clinical specimens obtained before the outbreak investigation according to standard laboratory operating procedures. We performed active surveillance for BCC collected by swabbing catheter exit sites for all peritoneal dialysis patients. These swab specimens were inoculated onto CG-MAC for incubation at 37°C for 2 days. Patients with clinical symptoms suggestive of invasive catheter-related infection were investigated accordingly (e.g., peritoneal fluid or blood culture).

Field Investigation at Brand B Manufacturing Site

On September 19, 2019, a joint field investigation at brand B manufacturing site was conducted by a team of field epidemiologists, infection control professionals, and clinical microbiologists. The process of reconstitution, dilution, and packaging of 5% chlorhexidine solution into individually packed 25-mL volumes of 0.05% aqCHX was directly observed. Environmental samples and antiseptics were collected for microbiological investigations as described in the previous sections.

Identification by Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry

We picked bacterial colonies from blood agar or CG-MAC for matrix-assisted laser desorption/
ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry identification with bacterial colony protein extraction by using a direct transfer method. We measured mass spectra of isolates by using the MBT Smart Mass Spectrometer (Bruker Daltonik, https://www.bruker.com) and the Bruker MBT Database 9.0 (8326 spectra). Scores >2.0 were considered as showing high-confidence identification and scores of 1.7–2.0 as showing low-confidence identification.

**Whole-Genome Sequencing and Bioinformatic Analysis**
We further analyzed environmental and clinical BCC isolates by using the NovaSeq 6000 Sequencing System (Illumina Inc., https://www.illumina.com) at The University of Hong Kong Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, Centre for PanorOmic Sciences, Genomics Core (Appendix). Two archived outbreak-unrelated BCC isolates were used as controls. We extracted MLST profiles from whole-genome assemblies by using BIGSdb, which is available on the BCC PubMLST website (33). We performed phylogenetic analysis according to single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) by using CSIPhylogeny version 1.4 with default settings (Appendix) (34). Results from CSIPhylogeny were subsequently imported into FigTree version 1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk) for visualizing the phylogenetic tree.

**Statistical Analysis**
We used the exact rate ratio test to compare exit site infection (ESI) rates between centers with and without routine chlorhexidine use. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. We applied the Holm-Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons to control the familywise error rate at 0.05. We used the R package rateratio.test (https://www.r-project.org) to perform calculations. We used an independent t-test to compare means of outbreak durations involving nonsterile and sterile sites. We used SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM, https://www.ibm.com) to perform this analysis.

**Results**

**Epidemiologic Investigation**
On September 6, 2019, we launched an outbreak investigation when BCC was isolated from 3 peritoneal dialysis catheter exit sites and 1 hemodialysis catheter exit site for 4 patients (2 women and 2 men; age range 49–90 years, median age 60.5 years). The exit site swab specimens were used for investigation of suspected ESI on September 4, 2019. Three patients had BCC isolated from previous exit site specimens, 1 from as early as September 24, 2018. The number of days from catheter insertion to first isolation of BCC ranged from 300 to 2,329 days (mean 1,084.5 days, median 854.5 days).

Retrospective case finding of BCC showed an increasing trend over time among nonduplicated dialysis patients since March 2018. During March 13, 2018–September 6, 2019, BCC was isolated from 53 renal patients, including 47 peritoneal dialysis catheter exit sites and 2 peritoneal fluid specimens (Table 1). The incidence rate of BCC isolated from peritoneal dialysis catheters during 2018–2019 was >2 SD from baseline (Figure 1), confirming an outbreak of BCC among peritoneal dialysis patients. Interviews with ward staff and observation of patient care practice found no recent changes or irregularity but showed that peritoneal dialysis patients purchased 0.05% aqCHX from community stores and used this solution for routine exit site care. Brands A and B were the commonest aqCHX bought by peritoneal dialysis patients because they were the most readily available brands in the community.

**Environmental Surveillance**
We collected 63 environmental and antiseptic specimens used in peritoneal dialysis catheter exit site care from the renal unit (Table 2). Different brands of aqCHX were purchased in the community (brands A–F) and collected in the hospital (brands G and H). All 77 aqCHX collected in the hospital were culture negative, but 103 of the 104 community aqCHX showed bacterial growth (Table 2). Brand A of aqCHX had an average bacterial load of 3.6 × 10^6 CFU/mL, and brand B had a value of 5.9 × 10^4 CFU/mL. No BCC was isolated from environmental samples and other antiseptics collected from the renal unit.

**Clinical Specimens**
We collected peritoneal dialysis catheter exit site swab specimens from 275 patients for BCC surveillance. A total of 62 (22.5%) patients were positive for BCC, 33.9% (21/62) of whom had a genuine infection. A total of 29.0% (18/62) were among the 53 BCC-positive peritoneal dialysis patients identified from retrospective case finding.

**Field Investigation at Brand B Manufacturing Site**
We observed the entire process from dilution to packaging of aqCHX. In brief, 5% aqCHX was diluted with distilled water in the mixing compartment of a semiautomated packaging machine, which channeled and packed the diluted solution into...
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Table 1. Specimen types and demographic characteristics for 53 renal dialysis patients from whom Burkholderia cepacia complex was isolated, Hong Kong, China, March 13, 2018–September 6, 2019*

| Characteristic                                      | 2018, 25 patients                                             | 2019, 28 patients                                             | Total, 53 patients |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Specimen type                                       | 23 PD catheter ES; 1 HD catheter ES; 1 ES swab specimen not otherwise specified | 23 PD catheter ES; 2 HD catheter ES; 1 blood culture from HD catheter | 46 PD catheter ES; 3 HD catheter ES; 2 peritoneal fluid; 1 blood culture from HD catheter |
| Age, y, mean (median, range)                        | 60.1 (65, 24–81)                                             | 65.8 (66, 46–90)                                             | 63.1 (66, 24–90)  |
| Sex ratio, F:M                                       | 16:9                                                         | 13:15                                                       | 29:24             |
| Days from PD/HD catheter insertion until first isolation of B. cepacia complex, mean (median, range) | 1,192 (648, 58–2,349)                                        | 1,140 (769.5, 70–6,098)                                      | 1,163, (713, 58–6,198) |
| B. cepacia complex peritonitis                      | 1                                                           | 4                                                           | 5                 |
| Removal of PD catheter                              | 1                                                           | 3 (2 caused by renal transplant)                             | 4                 |
| Previous infections                                 |                                                             |                                                             |                   |
| ESI caused by other organisms                        | 8                                                           | 7                                                           | 15                |
| Peritonitis caused by other organisms                | 4                                                           | 6                                                           | 10                |
| Antimicrobial drug use ≤ 1 y before isolation of B. cepacia complex | 19                                                          | 26                                                          | 45                |
| No. deaths†                                         | 2                                                           | 2                                                           | 4                 |

*ES, exit site; ESI, exit site infection; HD, hemodialysis; PD, peritoneal dialysis.
†None of the 4 deaths were attributable to infection by B. cepacia complex.

Identification by MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry
All isolates were identified correctly to the genus level and had scores ≥1.7. Further species identification within the BCC was not possible.

Whole-Genome Sequencing and Bioinformatic Analysis
A total of 80 isolates (52 patient isolates from active surveillance; 26 chlorhexidine-related isolates, including 5 isolates from the manufacturing site; and 2 outbreak-unrelated strains) were subjected to genome sequencing (Appendix Tables 1, 2). MLST analysis identified 2 predominant types. All BCC isolated from brands A, D, and E aqCHX (from the same company) were B. cenocepacia genomovar IIA sequence.

Figure 1. Epidemic curve and incidence rate of Burkholderia cepacia complex isolated from peritoneal dialysis patients, Hong Kong, China, January 2014–September 2019.
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Two BCC isolates from brand C were *B. cenocepacia* that had another novel sequence (ST1694).

The phylogenetic tree based on core SNPs was consistent with the MLST results showing 2 predominant clusters with highly related strains within each cluster (Figure 3). Strains from clusters A corresponded to brand A (and D and E) aqCHX and cluster B corresponded to brand B aqCHX, except that 1 brand A isolate (BCAP168) was different from cluster A strains. Both strains in cluster C corresponded to brand C aqCHX produced by a different company. A total of 47/52 patient isolates were indistinguishable or closely related to those in cluster A. Forty of these
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Table 2. Environmental specimens collected and tested for investigation of *Burkholderia cepacia* complex outbreak in peritoneal dialysis unit, Hong Kong, China, March 13, 2018–September 6, 2019

| Characteristic | No. specimens | Culture result (mean, median, range), CFU/mL |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|
| **Peritoneal dialysis unit** | | |
| **Environment** | | |
| Air samples | 2 | Negative for BCC |
| Swab specimens from sink and faucet | 12 | |
| Water samples from sink in ward | 10 | |
| Soaps from dispensers next to patient sinks | 4 | |
| Swab specimens from wound dressing trolleys | 3 | |
| Blood pressure cuffs, gloves, and tissue paper | 6 (2 each) | |
| Connection shield SyssIK with povidone–iodine solution† | 3 | |
| **Exit site care agents** | | |
| In-use povidone–iodine | 10 | Negative for BCC |
| Single-use prepackaged saline and sterile water | 10 (5 each) | |
| White wine vinegar | 3 | |
| **Aqueous chlorhexidine** | | |
| Brand A (outside hospital) | 51 | 43 with BCC only (3.6 × 10³, 1.9 × 10², 2.7–7.6 × 10⁴); 4 with *Ralstonia* species only (77, 85, 46–93); 4 with BCC and *Ralstonia* species (120, 120, 94–130) |
| Brand B (outside hospital) | 45 | 45 with BCC (5.9 × 10⁴, 4.6 × 10⁴, 2.9 × 10⁴–1.2 × 10⁵) |
| Brand C (outside hospital) | 4 | 4 with BCC (8.3 × 10⁴, 6.8 × 10⁴, 8 × 10²–1.9 × 10⁴) |
| Brand D (outside hospital) | 2 | 2 with BCC (2.8 × 10⁵, 2.8 × 10⁵, 2.4–3.2 × 10⁵) |
| Brand E (outside hospital) | 1 | 1 with BCC (1.5 × 10⁵) |
| Brand F (outside hospital) | 1 | Negative for BCC |
| Brand G (from hospital) | 47 | Negative for BCC |
| Brand H (from hospital) | 30 | Negative for BCC |
| **Brand B manufacturing site** | | |
| **Environment** | | |
| Air samples | 2 | Negative for BCC |
| Plastic packaging | 1 | Negative for BCC |
| Plastic container in preparation room | 1 | Negative for BCC |
| Surface of fan in preparation room | 1 | Negative for BCC |
| Surface of air conditioner in preparation room | 1 | Negative for BCC |
| Specimens collected during dilution and packaging process | | |
| 5% chlorhexidine from original bottle | 1 | Negative for BCC |
| Chlorhexidine in measuring beaker | 1 | Negative for BCC |
| Distilled water | 1 | Negative for BCC |
| Diluted chlorhexidine in mixing compartment of semiautomated packaging machine ([I] in Figure 2), before mixing with stirring rod | 1 | BCC from enriched culture method with overnight incubation in neutralization broth |
| Stirring rod surface swab specimen, before mixing diluted chlorhexidine solution | 1 | Negative for BCC |
| Stirring rod surface swab specimen, after mixing diluted chlorhexidine solution | 1 | BCC from enriched culture method with overnight incubation in neutralization broth |
| Diluted chlorhexidine in mixing bowl of packaging machine, after mixing with stirring rod | 1 | BCC from enriched culture method with overnight incubation in neutralization broth |
| Newly packed 25 mL 0.05% aqueous chlorhexidine | 16 | 16† with BCC 1.2 × 10⁵, 1.2 × 10⁵, 3.6 × 10⁴–2.4 × 10⁵; 3 with concurrent *Achromobacter* species |

*BCC, *Burkholderia cepacia* complex.
†Baxter Healthcare SA, https://www.baxter.com.
‡Three specimens had concurrent *Achromobacter* species found in culture.

**Type (ST) 1547, and all BCC isolated from brand B aqCHX and its manufacturing site were *B. cepacia* that had a novel ST (ST1693). The 2 BCC isolates from brand C were *B. cenocepacia* that had another novel sequence (ST1694).**
patients recalled using brand A for exit site care, 4 could not recall the brand used, and 3 reported using brand B. Of the 5 patients with isolates closely related to those in cluster B, 2 reported using brand B for exit site care, 2 reported using brand A, and 1 could not recall the brand used. The number of SNP differences in pairwise comparison of environment and patient isolates within cluster A was 0–165 and within cluster B was 0–32.

Outbreak Control

Upon reasonable suspicion of BCC contamination affecting prepacked aqCHX purchased in the community, the renal unit called all patients to stop such a practice and arranged alternative means of exit site disinfection. On September 17, 2019, the Hospital Authority and Centre for Health Protection (CHP), Department of Health, Hong Kong, were notified of the finding of BCC in prepackaged aqCHX. Further investigation by CHP identified 183 affected patients in public and private hospitals in Hong Kong. Several additional affected brands of aqCHX were identified and voluntarily recalled by the corresponding companies. We performed snapshot ESI surveillance between centers with routine and nonroutine chlorhexidine use by using data provided by the Hospital Authority; no major difference were found between the 2 practices. Thus, sterile saline was recommended for routine exit site care in peritoneal dialysis patients instead of aqCHX.

On October 8, 2019, the Guidance Notes on Classification of Products as Pharmaceutical Product under the Pharmacy and Poisons Ordinance (Cap. 138) related to chlorhexidine was revised. Skin antiseptic products containing chlorhexidine are now classified as pharmaceutical products unless otherwise stated or under certain exceptions. This guidance took effect on July 8, 2020.

Discussion

We report a polyclonal outbreak of BCC among peritoneal dialysis patients in our hospital that was caused by several contaminated brands of prepackaged aqCHX, which led to a territory-wide contact tracing that identified additional affected patients in other hospitals. Some observations can be made from this and previous BCC outbreaks. First, BCC outbreaks involving nonsterile sites were usually more prolonged; the mean outbreak duration was 85.4 days (median 66 days) when >50% of outbreak strains were isolated from sterile sites, compared with a mean of 245.9 days and a median of 199 days when >50% of BCC were isolated from nonsterile sites (p = 0.001). This finding might have occurred because BCC isolated from nonsterile sites might go unnoticed or were dismissed as sporadic, especially for patients with known risk factors, such as peritoneal dialysis catheters.

Also, the number of patients involved in an outbreak correlated with geographic distribution of the
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contaminated source(s). For example, 2 recent, large BCC outbreaks involving 162 and 138 patients were caused by intrinsically contaminated intravenous saline and liquid docusate (17,21,22); both items were distributed to multiple states in the United States. From these and previous experiences (38), opportunistic environmental pathogens, such as BCC and nonanthrax *Bacillus*, might be used as indicator organisms for environmental contamination and be included as part of routine surveillance.

The use of whole-genome sequencing (WGS) provided high-resolution information for further analysis of this outbreak. First, it enabled accurate identification of BCC to species level and preliminary typing of bacterial strains through MLST. Phenotypic tests and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry are inaccurate in speciation within BCC, and unlike previous BCC outbreaks, in which identical antibiogram profiles were found among outbreak-related BCC (4,39), the antibiogram profiles among isolates from our patients were variable.

Although WGS is becoming increasingly used for outbreak investigations, the technology is not readily available in usual clinical microbiology laboratories and can be costly. Thus, alternative molecular typing methods, such as MLST or restriction fragment length polymorphism, remains the first choice for nosocomial outbreak investigations because they often provide sufficient information for evaluation of smaller scale, more focused outbreaks. In addition, these methods are also helpful for preliminary evaluation of larger outbreaks. Nevertheless, we opted for WGS in our investigation because of anticipated large-scale involvement, and the need for high-resolution data for analysis to enable rapid enforcement of corrective measures at a regional level.

Phylogenetic analysis of the WGS data based on SNP differences unambiguously differentiated the outbreak BCC isolates into distinct clusters. Combined with epidemiologic findings and field investigation at brand B manufacturing site, we believe that the contamination of aqCHX most likely occurred at their corresponding manufacturing sites. First, brand A aqCHX was manufactured outside Hong Kong and had no direct geographic linkage with the brand B manufacturing site. Second, the 5% chlorhexidine from the unopened bottle at the brand B manufacturing site did not show any growth of BCC, and presence of BCC was only detected in samples taken from the semiautomated machine, implying that the

![Figure 3. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of 80 *Burkholderia cepacia* complex isolates based on single-nucleotide polymorphisms, Hong Kong, China. A, B, and C indicate clusters. Scale bar indicates nucleotide substitutions per site.](image)
contamination had occurred during processing at the manufacturing site, rather than in the raw material. Third, the WGS analysis of clusters A and B, corresponding to brands A and B, were genetically distant. Although we cannot be certain of the exact time and duration of contamination, the retrospective case finding of B. cepacia isolated among our peritoneal dialysis patients during 2014–2019 showed a substantial increase only since March 2018, suggestive of a relatively recent event. We suspect that lapses in good manufacturing practices (GMPs) at various sites of chlorhexidine dilution led to bacterial contamination from the environment into the production line. BCC are ubiquitous in the environment and strains that have a MIC (>100 mg/L chlorhexidine) have been described, in which the minimum bactericidal concentration can be 3 times higher than the MIC (40).

The relative chlorhexidine resistance of BCC was believed to be caused by chromosomally encoded, resistant-nodulation-division efflux pumps, which up-regulate in the presence of sublethal concentrations of chlorhexidine (41). Thus, chlorhexidine led to the selection of a predominant BCC strain exhibiting high levels of resistance to chlorhexidine specific to each manufacturing site. In comparison, chlorhexidine has better antibacterial activities against staphylococci and Enterobacterales; thus, contamination of chlorhexidine by these organisms is rare, even at low chlorhexidine concentrations (Appendix Figure) (42).

The peritoneal dialysis catheter exit site care practice was revisited during this outbreak. Our local guideline stated that sterile saline and antiseptics, such as aqCHX, are acceptable (43), and the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis 2017 guidelines stated that there is no evidence to suggest any antiseptics being superior in lowering the ESI rate (44). Some peritoneal dialysis centers have adopted routine use of chlorhexidine for ES care but a local snapshot audit on ESI rate supported the use of either sterile saline or aqCHX for exit site care.

Before the described outbreak, prepackaged aqCHX products were not considered to be pharmaceutical products in our locality because they were not labeled for use on broken skin nor had medicinal claims, and as such, these products were not registered with the Pharmacy and Poisons Board. The updated CHP guidance issued in response to this outbreak compels all chlorhexidine-containing skin antiseptic for human and animal use to be classified as pharmaceutical products unless otherwise stated, or except that these products are clearly labeled in English and Chinese for washing hands only (or equivalent); or chlorhexidine is used as a preservative or antimicrobial agent in cosmetic products, and necessitates that GMPs be observed, together with additional regulatory measures (45). Because terminal sterilization might inactivate or compromise the antimicrobial activity of particular antiseptics including, chlorhexidine, GMPs are relied upon to ensure the quality of the chlorhexidine produced, coupled with microbial testing of products to demonstrate their compliance with the limit laid out by the authorities (27,28,46). We believe that antiseptics that are potentially used on wounds, compromised mucosal surfaces, exit sites or in immunocompromised patients should be subjected to regulations as pharmaceutical products to avoid future similar outbreaks.

This study had several limitations. First, the outbreak that we described was restricted to peritoneal dialysis patients. Non-peritoneal dialysis–related infections associated with contaminated aqCHX would not have been readily identified during initial case finding. Subsequent case finding based on exposure to contaminated aqCHX identified other affected groups of patients (e.g., persons with left ventricular-
assisted devices). Also, BCC isolated from peritoneal dialysis patients before September 6, 2019, and older lots of aqCHX were not available. Thus, only BCC strains identified from active patient surveillance and recent lots of prepackaged aqCHX were included for WGS. Therefore, phylogenetic analysis of the environmental and clinical strains might only reflect recent transmissions. Nevertheless, isolates subjected to WGS were from 12 patients who were among the 53 patients identified by the initial retrospective case finding. All of these isolates were highly related to strains within cluster A. Finally, investigation of brand C was not performed because there were no patient isolates within cluster C and none of the peritoneal dialysis patients used this brand. Other affected brands of aqCHX were imported from outside Hong Kong. Therefore, field investigation at the manufacturing sites for these brands was also not possible. Nevertheless, all affected brands were recalled and will be subject to the new regulatory measures.

In conclusion, our investigations identified a polyclonal outbreak of BCC caused by contamination of multiple brands of commercial aqCHX. The findings illustrated that genome sequencing enabled high-resolution and accurate analysis of the outbreak strains, which facilitated identification of the probable cause or point of contamination. Timely actions and coordination between renal units, the Microbiology and Infection Control Services, Hospital Authority, and Department of Health ensured prompt control of the outbreak and amendment of peritoneal dialysis catheter exit site care practice guidelines, voluntary territory-wide recall of the contaminated aqCHX, and tightening of regulatory control of chlorhexidine-containing skin antiseptics to prevent additional cases. Surveillance of environmental opportunistic pathogens, such as BCC, might enable these indicator organisms to be used to monitor environmental contamination for early detection of similar outbreaks.
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Polyclonal *Burkholderia cepacia* Complex Outbreak in Peritoneal Dialysis Patients Caused by Contaminated Aqueous Chlorhexidine

**Appendix**

**Collection and Laboratory Processing of Environmental, Air, Water, and Antiseptic Samples**

Environmental samples were collected by using Polywipe sponge swabs (Medical Wire & Equipment, https://www.mwe.co.uk). These swabs are sterile, premoistened, thin, flexible sponges tailor-made for sampling environmental surfaces. The sampled sponge swabs were put into sealed sterile plastic bags individually and were properly labeled before further processing in the laboratory. Samples from the faucets and drains of sinks in renal units were taken by using transport rayon swabs (Copan Diagnostics, https://www.copanusa.com) and placed in sterile selective brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (CM1135; Oxoid, http://www.oxoid.com) containing 4 µg/mL gentamicin, 15 µg/mL vancomycin, and 1 µg/mL amphotericin B (G3632, V2002, and A4888, respectively; Sigma-Aldrich, https://www.sigmaaldrich.com) (CG-BHI) before further processing in the laboratory.

An air sampler, SAS Super ISO 180 model 86834 (VWR International PBI Srl, https://it.vwr.com), was used to collect 1,000 liters of air at a rate of 180 liters of air/min for each bacterial air sampling. The air collected was directly pass onto MacConkey agar (CM 0507; Oxoid) containing 0.0005% crystal violet (Merck KGaA, https://www.emdgroup.com) and 4 µg/mL gentamicin (CG-MAC) during a 5.5-min process. Because water has been implicated in *Burkholderia cepacia*
complex (BCC) nosocomial outbreaks, 250 mL of water from sinks in renal units were collected into labeled sterile bottles for processing in the laboratory.

Both in-use and unopened antiseptics were collected from the renal unit. Unopened 0.05% aqueous chlorhexidine (aqCHX) were also collected from other units in our hospital. Because many peritoneal dialysis patients obtain their aqCHX from the community, 0.05% aqCHX was also obtained from a medical equipment store in the hospital and outside pharmacies.

**Specimen Processing**

The air samples on CG-MAC were incubated directly after collection at 37°C in air for 1 day and then at room temperature. Water samples were filtered by using MicroFunnel filter funnels (Pall, https://www.pall.com) through a 0.45-µm membrane. The membrane was then placed onto CG-MAC and incubated at 37°C for 1 day, and then at room temperature. All initial processing of other environmental samples was performed in class II biosafety cabinets. For each sponge swab specimen, 3 mL CG-BHI was added into a plastic bag, in which the medium was absorbed by the sponge swab specimen. The sponge swab specimen was then squeezed repeatedly for proper mixing. Then, 2 mL of suspension was extracted from the bag and incubated at 37°C overnight, then subcultured onto CG-MAC for incubation at 37°C in air. Swabs in CG-BHI broth were incubated at 37°C overnight, then subcultured onto CG-MAC for incubation at 37°C in air.

All antiseptics were processed in class II biosafety cabinets and 70% alcohol was used to disinfect the surface of the container immediately before specimen collection. Sterile needles and syringes were used to aspirate the antiseptics from the container under aseptic condition. One milliliter of the antiseptic was transferred to 9 mL neutralization broth (BHI plus 2% Tween 80) (P1754; Sigma-Aldrich), 0.3% sodium thiosulphate pentahydrate (27910.260; VWR Chemicals, https://us.vwr.com), 0.4% potassium dihydrogen phosphate (26936.260; VWR Chemicals), and 0.5% lecithin. The
suspension was left at room temperature for 5 min. Then, 100 µL of suspension was spread onto blood agar (CM0331; Oxoid) for incubation at 37°C in air.

All culture plates were incubated for ≤5 days and were examined daily for visible bacterial growth. Any bacterial growth was further speciated. For air samples and antiseptic cultures, bacterial CFUs were also counted.

Peritoneal dialysis catheter exit site swab specimens for BCC surveillance were inoculated onto CG-MAC agar upon arrival at the microbiology laboratory. The inoculated agar was incubated at 37°C in air for 2 days and examined daily for bacterial growth.

**Genome Sequencing**

The BCC isolates were further analyzed by genome sequencing with the NovaSeq 6000 sequencing system (Illumina Inc., https://www.illumina.com) at The University of Hong Kong. A BCC isolate from a peritoneal swab specimen from a patient with acute necrotizing pancreatitis during 2017 and a blood culture isolated during 2018 from a patient with atonic urinary bladder with recurrent urinary tract infection were included as unrelated controls.

Libraries (pair-end sequencing of 151 bp) were prepared on the basis of the protocol for the Nextera XT DNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina). Enriched libraries were validated by using a Fragment Analyzer (https://www.agilent.com) and Qubit (https://www.thermofisher.com), and quality control analysis was performed by using a quantitative PCR. The libraries were denatured and diluted to optimal concentration. Illumina NovaSeq 6000 was used for Pair-End 151-bp sequencing.

Using software from Illumina (bcl2fastq), we assigned sequencing reads into individual samples; each sample had an average throughput of 1.7 Gb and a total throughput of 137.9 Gb. In terms of sequence quality, an average of 93% of the bases achieved a quality score of Q30, in which Q30 indicates the accuracy of a base call to be 99.9%.
Sequencing reads were filtered for adaptor sequence and low-quality sequence, followed by retaining only reads with read length $\geq 40$ bp by using Cutadapt version 1.8.1 (1) and custom scripts. Low quality is defined as reads with $>5\%$ unknown bases N and reads having $>50\%$ of bases with a quality value $\leq 11$.

De novo genome assembly was performed on samples by using preprocessed reads with SPAdes assembler version 3.13.0 (2). A range of k-mer sizes of 21, 33, 55, and 77 were used. The assembly yielded an average genome size of 8.1 Mb and an average N50 value of 322 Kb, and number of scaffolds ranged from 53 to 134. All assembled sequences were annotated by using Prokka version 1.14.0 (3) and setting genus as *Burkholderia* and species as *cepacia*. Multilocus sequence typing profiles were extracted from whole-genome assemblies by using BIGSdb (4), which is available on the *B. cepacia* complex PubMLST website (https://pubmlst.org/bcc/).

**Phylogenetic Analysis**

Scaffold sequences and reference genome sequence of BCC ST32 were uploaded to the CSIPhylogeny 0v1.4 Web site (5) with default settings. Results from CSIPhylogeny were subsequently imported into FigTree version 1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk) for visualizing the phylogenetic tree.

**References**

1. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet J. 2011;17:10–12 [cited 2020 May 11]. https://journal.embnet.org/index.php/embnetjournal/article/view/200

2. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol. 2012;19:455–77. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1089/mbc.2012.0021
3. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:2068–9. PubMed

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153

4. Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. Wellcome Open Res. 2018;3:124. PubMed

https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.14826.1

5. Kaas RS, Leekitcharoenphon P, Aarestrup FM, Lund O. Solving the problem of comparing whole bacterial genomes across different sequencing platforms. PLoS One. 2014;9:e104984. PubMed

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104984

6. Berkelman RL, Lewin S, Allen JR, Anderson RL, Budnick LD, Shapiro S, et al. Pseudobacteremia attributed to contamination of povidone-iodine with *Pseudomonas cepacia*. Ann Intern Med. 1981;95:32–6. PubMed

https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-95-1-32

7. Panlilio AL, Beck-Sague CM, Siegel JD, Anderson RL, Yetts SY, Clark NC, et al. Infections and pseudoinfections due to povidone–iodine solution contaminated with *Pseudomonas cepacia*. Clin Infect Dis. 1992;14:1078–83. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/14.5.1078

8. Pegues DA, Carson LA, Anderson RL, Norgard MJ, Argent TA, Jarvis WR, et al. Outbreak of *Pseudomonas cepacia* bacteremia in oncology patients. Clin Infect Dis. 1993;16:407–11. PubMed

https://doi.org/10.1093/clin/16.3.407

9. van Laer F, Raes D, Vandamme P, Lammens C, Sion JP, Vrints C, et al. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* with septicemia on a cardiology ward. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1998;19:112–3. PubMed

https://doi.org/10.2307/30142001
10. Kaitwatcharachai C, Silpapojakul K, Jitsurong S, Kalnauwakul S. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* bacteremia in hemodialysis patients: an epidemiologic and molecular study. Am J Kidney Dis. 2000;36:199–204. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1053/ajkd.2000.8295

11. Doit C, Loukil C, Simon AM, Ferroni A, Fontan JE, Bonacorsi S, et al. Outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* bacteremia in a pediatric hospital due to contamination of lipid emulsion stoppers. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42:2227–30. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.42.5.2227-2230.2004

12. Ghazal SS, Al-Mudaimeegh K, Al Fakihi EM, Asery AT. Outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* bacteremia in immunocompetent children caused by contaminated nebulized sulbutamol in Saudi Arabia. Am J Infect Control. 2006;34:394–8. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2006.03.003

13. Abe K, D’Angelo MT, Sunenshine R, Noble-Wang J, Cope J, Jensen B, et al. Outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* bloodstream infection at an outpatient hematology and oncology practice. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2007;28:1311–3. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1086/522679

14. Yang CJ, Chen TC, Liao LF, Ma L, Wang CS, Lu PL, et al. Nosocomial outbreak of two strains of *Burkholderia cepacia* caused by contaminated heparin. J Hosp Infect. 2008;69:398–400. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2008.03.011

15. Heo ST, Kim SJ, Jeong YG, Bae IG, Jin JS, Lee JC. Hospital outbreak of *Burkholderia stabilis* bacteraemia related to contaminated chlorhexidine in haematological malignancy patients with indwelling catheters. J Hosp Infect. 2008;70:241–5. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2008.07.019

16. Lee CS, Lee HB, Cho YG, Park JH, Lee HS. Hospital-acquired *Burkholderia cepacia* infection related to contaminated benzalkonium chloride. J Hosp Infect. 2008;68:280–2. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2008.01.002
17. Romero-Gómez MP, Quiles-Melero MI, Peña García P, Gutiérrez Altes A, García de Miguel MA, Jiménez C, et al. Outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* bacteremia caused by contaminated chlorhexidine in a hemodialysis unit. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2008;29:377–8. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1086/529032

18. Sunenshine R, Schultz M, Lawrence MG, Shin S, Jensen B, Zubairi S, et al. An outbreak of postoperative gram-negative bacterial endophthalmitis associated with contaminated trypan blue ophthalmic solution. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48:1580–3. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1086/598938

19. Martins IS, Pellegrino FL, Freitas A, Santos MS, Ferraiuoli GI, Vasques MR, et al. Case-crossover study of *Burkholderia cepacia* complex bloodstream infection associated with contaminated intravenous bromopride. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2010;31:516–21. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1086/651667

20. Souza Dias MB, Cavassin LG, Stempliuk V, Xavier LS, Lobo RD, Sampaio JL, et al. Multi-institutional outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* complex associated with contaminated mannitol solution prepared in compounding pharmacy. Am J Infect Control. 2013;41:1038–42. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2013.01.033

21. Boszczowski I, do Prado GV, Dalben MF, Telles RC, Freire MP, Guimarães T, et al. Polyclonal outbreak of bloodstream infections caused by *Burkholderia cepacia* complex in hematology and bone marrow transplant outpatient units. Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo. 2014;56:71–6. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1590/S0036-46652014000100011

22. Lalitha P, Das M, Purva PS, Karpagam R, Geetha M, Lakshmi Priya J, et al. Postoperative endophthalmitis due to *Burkholderia cepacia* complex from contaminated anaesthetic eye drops. Br J Ophthalmol. 2014;98:1498–502. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2013-304129
23. Moehring RW, Lewis SS, Isaacs PJ, Schell WA, Thomann WR, Althaus MM, et al. Outbreak of bacteremia due to *Burkholderia contaminans* linked to intravenous fentanyl from an institutional compounding pharmacy. JAMA Intern Med. 2014;174:606–12. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.13768

24. Ko S, An HS, Bang JH, Park SW. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* complex pseudobacteremia associated with intrinsically contaminated commercial 0.5% chlorhexidine solution. Am J Infect Control. 2015;43:266–8. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2014.11.010

25. Montaño-Remacha C, Márquez-Cruz MD, Hidalgo-Guzmán P, Sánchez-Porto A, Téllez-Pérez FP. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* bacteremia in a hemodialysis unit, Cadiz, 2014 [in Spanish]. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2015;33:646–50. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2015.02.013

26. Paul LM, Hegde A, Pai T, Shetty S, Baliga S, Shenoy S. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* bacteremia in a neonatal intensive care unit. Indian J Pediatr. 2016;83:285–8. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-015-1855-7

27. Mali S, Dash L, Gautam V, Shastri J, Kumar S. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* complex in the paediatric unit of a tertiary care hospital. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2017;35:216–20. PubMed

28. Song JE, Kwak YG, Um TH, Cho CR, Kim S, Park IS, et al. Outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* pseudobacteraemia caused by intrinsically contaminated commercial 0.5% chlorhexidine solution in neonatal intensive care units. J Hosp Infect. 2018;98:295–9. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2017.09.012

29. Brooks RB, Mitchell PK, Miller JR, Vasquez AM, Havliceck J, Lee H, et al. Multistate outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* complex bloodstream infections after exposure to contaminated saline flush syringes—United States, 2016–2017. Clin Infect Dis. 2018. PubMed
30. Hamill RJ, Houston ED, Georghiou PR, Wright CE, Koza MA, Cadle RM, et al. An outbreak of *Burkholderia* (formerly *Pseudomonas*) *cepacia* respiratory tract colonization and infection associated with nebulized albuterol therapy. Ann Intern Med. 1995;122:762–6. PubMed https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-122-10-199505150-00005

31. Reboli AC, Koshinski R, Arias K, Marks-Austin K, Stieritz D, Stull TL. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* lower respiratory tract infection associated with contaminated albuterol nebulization solution. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1996;17:741–3. PubMed https://doi.org/10.2307/30141547

32. Matrician L, Ange G, Burns S, Fanning WL, Kioski C, Cage GD, et al. Outbreak of nosocomial *Burkholderia cepacia* infection and colonization associated with intrinsically contaminated mouthwash. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2000;21:739–41. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1086/501719

33. Balkhy HH, Cunningham G, Francis C, Almuneef MA, Stevens G, Akkad N, et al. A National Guard outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* infection and colonization secondary to intrinsic contamination of albuterol nebulization solution. Am J Infect Control. 2005;33:182–8. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2005.01.001

34. Molina-Cabrillana J, Bolaños-Rivero M, Alvarez-León EE, Martín Sánchez AM, Sánchez-Palacios M, Alvarez D, et al. Intrinsically contaminated alcohol-free mouthwash implicated in a nosocomial outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* colonization and infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2006;27:1281–2. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1086/508845

35. Estivariz CF, Bhatti LI, Pati R, Jensen B, Arduino MJ, Jernigan D, et al. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* associated with contamination of albuterol and nasal spray. Chest. 2006;130:1346–53. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.130.5.1346
36. Kutty PK, Moody B, Gullion JS, Zervos M, Ajluni M, Washburn R, et al. Multistate outbreak of *Burkholderia cenocepacia* colonization and infection associated with the use of intrinsically contaminated alcohol-free mouthwash. Chest. 2007;132:1825–31. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.07-1545

37. Serikawa T, Kobayashi S, Tamura T, Uchiyama M, Tsukada H, Takakuwa K, et al. Pseudo outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* in vaginal cultures and intervention by hospital infection control team. J Hosp Infect. 2010;75:242–3. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2009.11.013

38. Dolan SA, Dowell E, LiPuma JJ, Valdez S, Chan K, James JF. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* complex associated with intrinsically contaminated nasal spray. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2011;32:804–10. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1086/660876

39. Lee S, Han SW, Kim G, Song DY, Lee JC, Kwon KT. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cenocepacia* associated with contaminated chlorhexidine solutions prepared in the hospital. Am J Infect Control. 2013;41:e93–6. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2013.01.024

40. Zurita J, Mejia L, Zapata S, Trueba G, Vargas AC, Aguirre S, et al. Healthcare-associated respiratory tract infection and colonization in an intensive care unit caused by *Burkholderia cepacia* isolated in mouthwash. Int J Infect Dis. 2014;29:96–9. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2014.07.016

41. Leong LE, Lagana D, Carter GP, Wang Q, Smith K, Stinear TP, et al. *Burkholderia lata* infections from intrinsically contaminated chlorhexidine mouthwash, Australia, 2016. Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24:2109–11. PubMed https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2411.171929

42. Becker SL, Berger FK, Feldner SK, Karliova I, Haber M, Mellmann A, et al. Outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* complex infections associated with contaminated octenidine mouthwash solution, Germany, August to September 2018. Euro Surveill. 2018;23. PubMed https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.42.1800540
43. Gleeson S, Mulroy E, Bryce E, Fox S, Taylor SL, Talreja H. *Burkholderia cepacia*: an outbreak in the peritoneal dialysis unit. Perit Dial Int. 2019;39:92–5. PubMed [https://doi.org/10.3747/pdi.2018.00095](https://doi.org/10.3747/pdi.2018.00095)

**Appendix Table 1.** Summary of library preparation for whole-genome sequencing of *Burkholderia cepacia* isolates

| Characteristic                      | Summary                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Average input DNA                   | 1 ng                                                                    |
| Library preparation protocol        | Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kit Reference Guide (15031942 v02)           |
| Index system                        | IDT UDI Nextera Primer Pairs                                           |
| Changes made to library preparation protocol | None                                                                |
| Sequencer model                     | Novaseq 6000                                                            |
| Run type                            | Pair end 151 bp                                                         |

**Appendix Table 2.** Nature and distribution of throughput of each sample for whole-genome sequencing of *Burkholderia cepacia* isolates

| Sample name  | Associated brand | No. raw reads (Read1 + Read2) | Total throughput, Gb | % >Q30 bases |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| BCAP122      | Brand A          | 11,950,402                    | 1.8                  | 94           |
| BCAP128      | Brand A          | 11,733,806                    | 1.8                  | 93           |
| BCAP143      | Brand A          | 6,274,224                     | 0.9                  | 84           |
| BCAP148      | Brand A          | 9,639,536                     | 1.5                  | 94           |
| BCAP166      | Brand A          | 10,257,462                    | 1.5                  | 93           |
| BCAP168      | Brand A          | 11,732,112                    | 1.8                  | 93           |
| BCAP174      | Brand A          | 9,678,166                     | 1.5                  | 91           |
| BCAP177      | Brand A          | 8,848,674                     | 1.3                  | 90           |
| BCAP178      | Brand A          | 11,773,222                    | 1.8                  | 94           |
| BCAP180      | Brand A          | 11,652,572                    | 1.8                  | 94           |
| BCAP228      | Brand A          | 11,807,954                    | 1.8                  | 93           |
| BCAP229      | Brand A          | 9,000,640                     | 1.4                  | 89           |
| BCAP258      | Brand B          | 12,118,698                    | 1.8                  | 94           |
| BCAP267      | Brand B          | 11,566,100                    | 1.7                  | 94           |
| BCAP276      | Brand C          | 12,404,438                    | 1.9                  | 94           |
| BCAP279      | Brand B          | 12,791,322                    | 1.9                  | 94           |
| BCAP284      | Brand B          | 10,421,288                    | 1.6                  | 93           |
| BCAP292      | Brand B          | 13,069,666                    | 2.0                  | 93           |
| BCAP301      | Brand B          | 9,275,042                     | 1.4                  | 90           |
| BCAP302      | Brand B          | 11,509,782                    | 1.7                  | 94           |
| BCAP306      | Brand C          | 11,978,500                    | 1.8                  | 93           |
| BCAP309      | Brand E          | 11,226,918                    | 1.7                  | 94           |
| BCAP314      | Brand D          | 13,238,160                    | 2.0                  | 94           |
| BCAP315      | Brand D          | 10,598,990                    | 1.6                  | 94           |
| BCAP344      | Brand A          | 13,624,626                    | 2.1                  | 94           |
| BCAP345      | Brand A          | 14,178,784                    | 2.1                  | 93           |
| Ctl-2017     | Outbreak unrelated blood culture isolate from 2017                   | 12,130,950           | 1.8          | 94           |
| Ctl-2018     | Outbreak unrelated blood culture isolate from 2018                   | 12,620,538           | 1.9          | 92           |
| Patient 1    | Brand A          | 12,806,494                    | 1.9                  | 94           |
| Patient 2    | Brand A          | 12,273,168                    | 1.9                  | 93           |
| Patient 3    | Patient using brand A aqCHX                                       | 10,764,710           | 1.6          | 93           |
| Patient 4    | Brand A          | 11,176,822                    | 1.7                  | 94           |
| Patient 5    | Brand A          | 10,950,102                    | 1.7                  | 92           |
| Patient 6    | Brand A          | 11,085,726                    | 1.7                  | 94           |
| Patient 7    | Brand A          | 11,562,168                    | 1.7                  | 93           |
| Patient 8    | Brand A          | 11,588,802                    | 1.8                  | 93           |
| Patient 9    | Brand A          | 14,410,584                    | 2.2                  | 94           |
| Patient 10   | Brand A          | 11,509,524                    | 1.7                  | 94           |
| Patient 11   | Brand A          | 9,409,852                     | 0.8                  | 88           |
| Patient 12   | Brand B          | 10,538,318                    | 1.6                  | 94           |
| Patient 13   | Brand B          | 13,177,162                    | 2.0                  | 94           |
| Patient 14   | Brand B          | 10,687,054                    | 1.6                  | 91           |
| Patient 15   | Brand B          | 12,277,634                    | 1.9                  | 92           |
| Patient 16   | Brand B          | 12,691,674                    | 1.9                  | 94           |
| Patient 17   | Unknown          | 11,599,212                    | 1.8                  | 94           |
Appendix Table 3. Summary of antiseptic- and medication-related *Burkholderia cepacia* complex outbreaks involving >50% sterile sites*

| Year of outbreak, country | Site(s) of BCC isolation | Duration of outbreak, d† | Type of patients involved | No. affected patients | Implicated source (intrinsic or extrinsic contamination) | Multistate or multiple hospital involvement | Reference |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1981, United States       | Blood (pseudobacteremia) | 210                      | Various wards             | 52                    | Povidone–iodine (intrinsic contamination)                | 4 hospitals                               | (6)       |
| 1992, United States       | Peritoneal fluid (4) and blood (2) | 25                     | ICU and HD center in pediatric facilities | 6                    | Povidone–iodine (intrinsic contamination)               | No                                        | (7)       |
| 1993, Georgia             | Blood                    | 85                       | Oncology clinic           | 14                    | Multiuse IV fluid used for dilution of multile vial heparin flush solution (extrinsic contamination) | No                                        | (8)       |
| 1998, Belgium             | Blood                    | 3                        | Cardiology ward           | 8                     | 1 L dextrose used for heparin dilution (extrinsic contamination) | No                                        | (9)       |
| 2000, Thailand            | Blood (subclavicular line infection) | 7                       | HD                        | 9                     | 1.5% chlorhexidine–cetrimide prepared from in pharmacy department | No                                        | (10)      |
| Year of outbreak, country | Site(s) of BCC isolation | Duration of outbreak, d | Type of patients involved | No. affected patients | Implicated source (intrinsic or extrinsic contamination) | Multistate or multiple hospital involvement | Reference |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 2004, France             | Blood (IV catheter as source in 75%) | 210 | NICU, PICU, pediatric gastroenterology | 8 | Contaminated condensate on the plastic stoppers in lipid emulsion | No | (11) |
| 2006, Saudi Arabia       | Blood                    | 21  | Tertiary care hospital                | 5 | 0.5% subbutamol solution (intrinsic contamination) | No | (12) |
| 2007, United States      | Blood/intravenous catheter tips | 214 | Pediatric hematology and oncology practice, patients with subcutaneous port catheters | 10 | Multidose medications (extrinsic contamination) | No | (13) |
| 2008, Taiwan             | 9 blood, 7 central venous catheter tips, 2 urine, 1 HD catheter tip | 90 | Hospital respiratory care ward and general ward | 15 | Extrinsic contamination of daily prepared diluted heparin solution in the ward | No | (14) |
| 2008, South Korea        | Blood                    | 23  | Cancer center                        | 8 | 0.5% chlorhexidine solution diluted at hospital site | No | (15) |
| 2008, South Korea        | Blood (6), urine (1), wound (3), catheter tip (1), unknown (2) | 21  | Various wards, especially hemato–oncology and endocrine patients | 13 | Benzalkonium chloride diluted in hospital pharmacy | No | (16) |
| 2008, Spain              | Blood                    | 151 | HD patients                          | 5 | Contaminated deionized water used for dilution of 2.5% chlorhexidine at hospital site | No | (17) |
| 2009, United States      | Eye (endophthalmitis)    | 30  | Hospital A (4)                       | 4 | Contaminatedtrypan blue dye from compounding pharmacy (unopened bottles were contaminated) | Yes | (18) |
|                          | Eye (endophthalmitis)    | 60  | Hospital B (2)                       | 2 | Contaminated trypan blue dye from compounding pharmacy (unopened bottles were contaminated) | Yes | |
| 2010, Brazil             | Blood                    | 88  | Various wards                        | 25 | IV bromoprilde (antiemetics) 3% mannitol (inextrinsically contaminated) for bladder irrigation | 3 hospitals | (19) |
| 2013, Brazil             | Blood (4) and urine (3)  | 59  | 350-bed private tertiary care hospital | 7 | Multidose vial of IV drug (extrinsic contamination) and a laminar flow cabinet | No | (20) |
| 2014, Brazil             | Blood                    | 60  | Hematology and BMT outpatient unit   | 24 | No | (21) |
| 2014, India              | Vitreous samples         | 91  | Postcataract surgery patients        | 13 | Local anesthetic eye drops | No | (22) |
### Appendix Table 4. Summary of antiseptic- and medication-related *Burkholderia cepacia* complex outbreaks involving ≥50% nonsterile sites

| Year of outbreak, country | Site(s) of BCC isolation | Duration of outbreak, d | Type of patients involved | No. affected patients | Implicated source (intrinsic or extrinsic contamination) | Multistate or multiple hospital involvement | Reference |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1995, United States      | Respiratory tract specimen | 215                     | Medical center            | 42                   | Nebulized albuterol (extrinsic contamination)             | No                           | (30)      |
| 1996, United States      | Respiratory specimens    | 330                     | Several adult ICUs in a hospital | 44              | Albuterol nebulization solution (extrinsically contaminated) | No                           | (31)      |
| 2000, United States      | Respiratory specimens    | 699                     | Adult ICU, ventilated patients | 69              | Alcohol-free mouthwash (intrinsic contamination)         | 2 hospitals                   | (32)      |
| 2005, Saudi Arabia       | Respiratory (31), blood (21), wound (2), CSF (1), eye (1), others (3) (some patients with >1 positive culture) | 336 | Tertiary care hospital and a 150-bed hospital | 52              | Albuterol nebulization solution (intrinsically contaminated) | 2 hospitals                   | (33)      |
| 2006, Spain              | Respiratory specimens (35), unspecified (2) | 365 | ICU (35) and non-ICU (2) patients | 37              | Alcohol-free 0.1% hexetidine mouthwash (intrinsically contaminated) | No                           | (34)      |
| 2006, United States      | Respiratory tract specimen | 183                     | Adult acute care facility (hospital A) | 18              | Contaminated albuterol (extrinsic contamination)         | No                           | (35)      |
| 2007, United States      | Respiratory specimens (83), urine (33), blood (20), tissue (3) | 146 | Multiple hospitals, especially ventilated patients. | 116             | Alcohol-free cetylpyridinium chloride mouthwash (intrinsically contaminated) | 22 hospitals in 9 states | (36)      |

*An outbreak in Lebanon was excluded because the prolonged outbreak duration was attributed to the political instability at the time of outbreak. Only reports where outbreak duration were described are included. BCC, *Burkholderia cepacia* complex; BMT, bone marrow transplant; HD, hemodialysis; ICU, intensive care unit; IV, intravenous; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; PICU, pediatric intensive care unit. †If exact dates are not specified in the report, the whole month will be counted toward duration of outbreak.
| Year of outbreak, country | Site(s) of BCC isolation | Duration of outbreak, d† | Type of patients involved | No. affected patients | Implicated source (intrinsic or extrinsic contamination) | Multistate or multiple hospital involvement | Reference |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 2009, Japan              | Vaginal culture          | 61                       | Obstetrics and gynecology ward | 17                   | 0.025% benzalkonium chloride prepared in hospital pharmacy | No                                          | (37)      |
| 2011, United States      | 4 Sinus and 1 tracheal aspirate | 90                       | Pediatric hospital         | 5                    | 0.05% oxymetazoline hydrochloride nasal spray (intrinsic contamination) | No                                          | (38)      |
| 2013, South Korea        | Sputum (10), Blood (4), CSF (1), others* (3) | 92                       | ICU and general wards     | 37                   | Contaminated purified water used for chlorhexidine dilution at hospital site | No                                          | (39)      |
| 2014, Ecuador            | Respiratory specimens    | 458                      | ICU                       | 13                   | Alcohol-free chlorhexidine 0.12% mouthwash (intrinsic contamination) | No                                          | (40)      |
| 2018, Australia          | 1 Blood and 6 respiratory specimens | 61                       | ICU                       | 7                    | Alcohol-free chlorhexidine mouthwash (intrinsic contamination) | 2 hospitals                                | (41)      |
| 2018, Germany            | Respiratory specimens    | 30                       | Postcardiac surgery       | 3                    | Octenidine mouthwash solution (intrinsic contamination) | No                                          | (42)      |
| 2019, New Zealand        | Peritoneal dialysis catheter exit sites | 377                      | Peritoneal dialysis patients | 9                    | 4% chlorhexidine body wash (extrinsic contamination) | No                                          | (43)      |

*An outbreak in Lebanon was excluded because the prolonged outbreak duration was attributed to the political instability at the time of outbreak. Only reports where outbreak duration were described are included. BCC, *Burkholderia cepacia* complex; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ICU, intensive care unit.
†If exact dates are not specified in the report, the whole month will be counted toward duration of outbreak.
Appendix Figure. Activity of 0.05% aqueous chlorhexidine (brands G and A) against *Escherichia coli* ATCC25922, an outbreak-unrelated *Burkholderia cepacia* isolate, and an outbreak-related *B.* isolate. All plates show bacterial lawns with a 0.5 McFarland standard of the test strain against sterile filter paper disk soaked with 40 µL of aqueous chlorhexidine and incubated overnight at 37°C. A) *E. coli* ATCC25922 and large zone of inhibition. B) Outbreak-unrelated *B. cepacia* isolate and small zone of inhibition. C) Outbreak-related *B. cepacia* patient isolate, no zone of inhibition. D) Outbreak-related *B. cepacia* isolate from brand A aqueous chlorhexidine, no zone of inhibition.