Chronic Inflammation in Prostate Biopsy Cores is an Independent Factor that Lowers the Risk of Prostate Cancer Detection and is Inversely Associated with the Number of Positive Cores in Patients Elected to a First Biopsy

Antonio B. Porcaro\textsuperscript{a} Giovanni Novella\textsuperscript{a} Daniele Mattevi\textsuperscript{a} Leonardo Bizzotto\textsuperscript{a}
Giovanni Cacciapuoti\textsuperscript{a} Nicolò De Luyk\textsuperscript{a} Irene Tamanini\textsuperscript{a} Maria A. Cerruto\textsuperscript{a}
Matteo Brunelli\textsuperscript{b} Walter Artibani\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a}Urologic Clinic; and \textsuperscript{b}Department of Pathology, University Hospital, Ospedale Policlinico, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata, Verona, Italy

Key Words
Prostate cancer • Chronic inflammation • Prostate biopsy • Prostate-specific antigen • Prostate volume

Abstract
Objectives: To investigate associations of chronic inflammatory infiltrate (CII) with prostate cancer (PCa) risk and the number of positive cores in patients elected to a first set of biopsies. Materials and Methods: Excluding criteria were as follows: active surveillance, prostate specific antigen (PSA) $\geq$ 30 ng/l, re-biopsies, incidental PCAs, less than 14 cores, metastases, or 5-alpha reductase inhibitors. The cohort study was classified as negative (control group) and positive cores between 1 and 2 or $>2$. Results: The cohort included 421 cases who did not meet the exclusion criteria. PCa was detected in 192 cases (45.6%) of which the number of positive cores was between 1 and 2 in 77 (40.1%) cases. The median PSA was 6.05 ng/ml (range 0.3–29 ng/ml). Linear regression models showed that CII was an independent predictor inversely associated with the risk of PCa. Multinomial logistic regression models showed that CII was an independent factor that was inversely associated with PCa risk in cases with positive cores between 1 and 2 (OR = 0.338; p = 0.004) or more than 2 (OR = 0.076; p < 0.0001) when compared to the control group. Conclusion: In a cohort of men undergoing the first biopsy set after prostate assessment, the presence of CII in the biopsy core was an independent factor inversely associated with PCa risk as well as with the number of positive biopsy cores (tumor extension). Clinically, the detection of CII in negative biopsy cores might reduce the risk of PCa in repeat biopsies as well as the probability of detecting multiple positive cores.
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Introduction

Inflammation is known to be associated with several cancers such as gastric, colon, esophageal and lung cancers, and hepatocellular carcinoma [1]. Basic science has shown that chronic inflammation plays an important role in human carcinogenesis [1–3]. Indeed, the development and progression of cancer might be related to reactive oxygen and nitrogen species developing in the tissue microenvironment after related damage and regeneration. It has been suggested that exposure to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) reduces the risk of carcinogenesis [4].

In approaching prostate diseases, it is important that consider the concept of zonal anatomy in order to locate and differentiate growth processes [5] which include benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) and prostate cancer (PCa). In clinical practice, BPH and PCa may both be associated with chronic inflammation [6, 7] that has been classified in 4 categories by the National Institutes of Health [8]. The last category, that is coded type IV, is detected in prostate biopsy cores of patients who present increased levels of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) with or without an abnormal digital rectal exam (DRE) and have no history of genitourinary tract pain complaints. The 4 categories of National Institutes of Health are presented in Table 1. The presence of chronic inflammatory infiltrate (CII) of the prostate might be related to the pathogenesis and progression of BPH [6]. Chronic inflammatory infiltrate of the prostate is also associated with PCa, but the nature of the relation, which might be protective or not, is still controversial and unsettled [7]. Indeed, an inverse association between CII and PCa has been reported by previous studies [9–17]. However, the subject has also been approached by other investigators who failed to detect any association [18–20].

In 2 different cohorts of patients, we showed that CII of the prostate is inversely associated with the risk of PCa detection [9, 10]. The present study investigates the association of CII with the risk of PCa as well as with the number of tumor positive cores in a new cohort of patients elected to a first set of biopsies because of suspected cancer.

Material and Methods

We retrospectively reviewed the records of 1,260 patients who underwent transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) biopsy at our institution in a period between September 2010 and September 2014. The study excluded patients who had re-biopsy, were in active surveillance, had levels of PSA ≥ 30 ng/ml, were metastatic, had less than 14 biopsy cores, had a painful rectal exam, had previous prostate surgery for BPH, assumed 5-alpha reductase inhibitors, or showed acute inflammation in the biopsy cores. Patients who were metastatic or showed total PSA levels higher than 30 ng/ml were excluded because of confounding the aim of the study which focused on evaluating a homogenous cohort undergoing a

| Category | Definition | Description |
|----------|------------|-------------|
| I        | Acute bacterial prostatitis | characterized by sudden fever, perineal and suprapubic pain and voiding symptoms. The urine shows signs of a urinary tract infection. |
| II       | Chronic bacterial prostatitis | characterized by symptoms of prostatitis (pain, voiding symptoms) for more than 3 months with recurrent bacterial urinary tract infection. |
| III      | The CPPS | characterized by pain and voiding symptoms for more than 3 months, without detection of bacterial pathogens using standard microbiological methods. The CPPS is divided into two subcategories: |
|         | The inflammatory CPPS | characterized by a chronic pelvic pain syndrome with signs of prostate inflammation (leukospermia or white blood cells in expressed prostate secretion). The culture of semen, EPS and urine after post-prostatic massage are negative. |
|         | The noninflammatory CPPS | characterized by a chronic pelvic pain syndrome without signs of prostate inflammation (see category IIIA). |
| IV       | Asymptomatic inflammatory prostatitis | Patients have evidence for prostate inflammation (leukospermia, white blood cells in expressed prostate secretions, positive cultures or inflammation in tissue biopsies) without pelvic pain or voiding symptoms. |

CPPS = Chronic pelvic pain syndrome; EPS = expressed prostatic secretion.
In each biopsy core, a dedicated pathologist systematically assessed the following issues: (i) length, (ii) detection and grade of PCa according to the Gleason Score system, (iii) length of biopsy core involved by PCa, (iv) prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), (v) CII, (vi) glandular atrophy, and (vii) atypical small acinar cell proliferation (ASAP). Chronic inflammation criteria included the following findings: (i) inflammatory cell infiltrate within the stroma of the prostate; (ii) inflammatory cell infiltrate predominantly composed of lymphocytes with admixed plasma cells, and (iii) periglandular distribution of the cell infiltrate. Criteria excluding chronic inflammation were: (i) sheets of neutrophils around and within the glands and (ii) aspects of granulomatous prostatitis. The inflammation was not graded according to the severity. However, in each biopsy core specimen, aggregates and nests of inflammatory cells were characterized by mild (1–10 cells) or moderate (> 10 cells) inflammation which was noticeable at low magnification. Severe inflammation showed massive sheets of inflammatory cells and was detectable at any magnification.

In each biopsy core, a dedicated pathologist systematically assessed the following issues: (i) length, (ii) detection and grade of PCa according to the Gleason Score system, (iii) length of biopsy core involved by PCa, (iv) prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), (v) CII, (vi) glandular atrophy, and (vii) atypical small acinar cell proliferation (ASAP). Chronic inflammation criteria included the following findings: (i) inflammatory cell infiltrate within the stroma of the prostate; (ii) inflammatory cell infiltrate predominantly composed of lymphocytes with admixed plasma cells, and (iii) periglandular distribution of the cell infiltrate. Criteria excluding chronic inflammation were: (i) sheets of neutrophils around and within the glands and (ii) aspects of granulomatous prostatitis. The inflammation was not graded according to the severity. However, in each biopsy core specimen, aggregates and nests of inflammatory cells were characterized by mild (1–10 cells) or moderate (> 15 cells) inflammation which was noticeable at low magnification. Severe inflammation showed extensive sheets of inflammatory cells and was detectable at any magnification.

In the study design, the measurement of cancer and CII were independent to each other. Indeed, the presence of cancer or inflammation precluded the diagnosis of the other. The percentage of positive cores was computed as a function of the number of positive cores which ranged between 0 (n = 0) and 14 (n = 14).

### First Prostate Biopsy Set

First prostate biopsy set because of suspected cancer. The excluded patients (n = 839) were as follows: (i) PSA > 30 ng/ml, n = 37 (3.7%), (ii) M+, n = 13 (1.03%), (iii) number of biopsy cores less than 14, n = 40 (3.17%), (iv) painful rectal exam, n = 25 (1.98%), (v) prostate surgery for BPH, n = 50 (3.96%), (vi) medicated by 5-alpha reductase inhibitors, n = 125 (9.92%), (vii) acute inflammation, n = 15 (1.9%), (viii) active surveillance, n = 91 (7.22%), and (ix) re-biopsy, n = 483 (38.3%).

The main indications were as follows: 1) increased levels of PSA, 2) abnormal DRE, 3) increased PSA with abnormal DRE, and 4) abnormal ultrasound imaging of the prostate with normal PSA and DRE. Abnormal DRE findings included a diffusely hard prostate, discrete firm area, irregular contours, or prominent lobe asymmetry. Family history of PCa and smoking was also investigated.

Collection and use of patient data, who signed informed consent, had Institutional Board Review approval. All data were prospectively collected and retrospectively analyzed. Age (years) and body mass index (BMI, kg/m²) were calculated in each patient. PSA was measured by an immuno-radiometric test (normal range: 2–4 ng/ml). The volume of the prostate was measured by TRUS before performing biopsies and was computed by a 3-dimensional formula that was transformed into volume (ml). The density of PSA (PSAD) was also computed.
Table 2a. Clinical and pathological characteristics of the study cohort (N = 421)

| Continuous | Median | Range |
|------------|--------|-------|
| Age (years) | 66     | 40–90 |
| BMI        | 26.1   | 18.3–42.4 |
| PSA (ng/ml) | 6.05  | 0.3–29 |
| PV (ml)    | 36.8   | 73–114.8 |
| PSAD (ng/ml) | 0.16 | 0.01–1.2 |
| N (n)      | 14     | 14–15 |
| L (mm)     | 19.5   | 10–36 |
| N+ (n)     | 3.0    | 1–14 |

PV = Prostate volume; N = number of biopsy cores; L = length of cores.

Table 2b. Clinical and pathological characteristics of the study cohort (N = 421)

| Categorical | n  | % |
|------------|----|---|
| FAM        |    |   |
| no         | 357| 84.8|
| yes        | 64 | 15.2|
| SMK        |    |   |
| no         | 218| 51.8|
| yes        | 203| 48.2|
| DRE        |    |   |
| normal     | 338| 80.3|
| abnormal   | 83 | 19.7|
| PCA        |    |   |
| no         | 229| 54.4|
| yes        | 192| 45.6|
| CII        |    |   |
| no         | 331| 78.6|
| yes        | 90 | 21.4|
| GA         |    |   |
| no         | 391| 92.9|
| yes        | 30 | 7.1|
| ASAP       |    |   |
| no         | 367| 87.2|
| yes        | 54 | 12.8|
| PIN        |    |   |
| no         | 403| 95.7|
| yes        | 18 | 4.3|
| bGS        |    |   |
| 6          | 107| 55.7|
| 7          | 69 | 35.9|
| 8          | 10 | 5.2|
| 9          | 6  | 3.1|
| bGP1       |    |   |
| 3          | 157| 81.8|
| 4          | 34 | 17.7|
| 5          | 1  | 0.5|
| bGP2       |    |   |
| 3          | 128| 66.7|
| 4          | 57 | 29.7|
| 5          | 7  | 3.6|
| N+         |    |   |
| 1–2        | 77 | 40.1|
| >2         | 115| 59.9|

FAM = Family history of PCA; SMK = smoking; GA = glandular atrophy; bGS = biopsy Gleason score; bGP = biopsy Gleason pattern.

Fig. 3. Proportion of positive cores according to the presence or absence of PIN in the biopsy core in the cohort of patients who are stratified into 3 groups that include cases whose number of positive cores are zero (n+ = 0; control group), between 1–2 or more than 2 (see results and discussion for details). n+ = 0, negative cores; 1 ≤ n+ ≤ 2, positive core between 1 and 2; n+ >2, positive cores more than 2.

The percentage of positive cores was assessed in the cohort of patients who were stratified by the number of positive cores (zero, between 1 and 2, and more than 2) and the presence or absence of CII (fig. 2). The percentage of positive cores was computed in the cohort of patients who were stratified by the number of positive cores (zero, between 1 and 2, and more than 2) and the presence or absence of PIN (fig. 3).

Data on continuous variables are reported as medians with their respective ranges, and differences between groups were analyzed with the Kruskal-Wallis test. The X2 statistic was computed to test categorical variables. Data on categorical variables are presented as proportions, and differences between groups were analyzed with Pearson’s chi-squared test or Fisher exact test as appropriate. To generate simple linear regression models of covariates associated with the number of positive cores (N+), all continuous variables were entered as continuous variables unless otherwise stated. Only variables that were significant in univariate models were included in the multivariable analysis. Where significant collinearity existed between independent variables, the one with the most predictive power was given preference in the multivariate model. Multivariate models were generated using a simple stepwise model. To generate simple and multivariate multinomial logistic regression models of predictors associated with the number of positive cores between 1 and 2 (1 ≤ N+ ≤ 2) or more than 2 (N+ > 2) both were compared with the cancer negative group (N+ = 0), and all continuous variables were entered as continuous variables unless otherwise stated.

All tests were two-sided with p < 0.05 considered to indicate statistical significance.
Results

The present analysis relates to 421 patients who did not meet our exclusion criteria. The main indications to perform a first set of prostate biopsies were as follows: (i) increased PSA plasma levels (74.8%), (ii) increased PSA plasma levels and abnormal DRE (15.2%), abnormal imaging of the prostate with normal PSA and DRE (5.7%), or abnormal DRE with normal PSA (4.3%).

Clinical and pathologic characteristics of the study cohort are reported in Table 2 which shows that abnormal DRE was present in 19.7% of cases, the median plasma levels of PSA was 6.05 ng/ml, the median age was 66 years, and the median volume of the prostate was 36.8 ml. Prostate cancer was detected in 192 cases (45.6%) and CII was present in 90 patients (21.4%). The median number of cores was 14 (range 14–15) and the median length of each core was 19.5 mm (range 10–36 mm). The median number of positive cores was 3 (range 1–14). The number of positive cores was at least 1 or 2 in 77 patients (40.1%) and more than 2 in 115 patients (59.9%).

Table 3a. Factors associated with the number of positive-cores (N+, range 0–14) as determined by linear regression models: Univariate Model

| Covariates | B   | SD  | 95% CI of B | p    |
|------------|-----|-----|-------------|------|
| Age        | 0.057 | 0.017 | 0.024–0.090 | 0.01 |
| BMI        | 0.013 | 0.041 | 0.067–0.093 | 0.756 |
| PSA        | 0.177 | 0.035 | 0.109–0.246 | < 0.0001 |
| PV         | -0.049 | 0.007 | -0.062–0.036 | < 0.0001 |
| PSAD       | 9.025 | 0.823 | 7.408–10.643 | < 0.0001 |
| N          | 0.304 | 0.182 | 0.053–0.661 | 0.095 |
| DRE (±)    | 1.495 | 0.340 | 0.826–2.163 | < 0.0001 |
| CII        | -1.629 | 0.328 | -2.274–-0.984 | < 0.0001 |
| GA         | -1.16 | 0.535 | -2.168–0.068 | 0.038 |
| ASAP       | -1.044 | 0.411 | -1.852–0.237 | 0.011 |
| PIN        | 0.519 | 0.684 | 0.825–1.863 | 0.448 |

Table 3b. Factors associated with the number of positive-cores (N+, range 0–14) as determined by linear regression models: Multivariate Model

| Covariates | B   | SE  | 95% CI of B | p    |
|------------|-----|-----|-------------|------|
| AGE        | 0.058 | 0.014 | 0.029–0.085 | < 0.0001 |
| PSA        | 0.054 | 0.058 | 0.06–0.167 | 0.351 |
| PV         | -0.026 | 0.070 | -0.045–0.007 | 0.007 |
| PSAD       | 5.964 | 1.621 | 2.779–9.152 | < 0.0001 |
| DRE (±)    | 1.022 | 0.289 | 0.454–1.590 | < 0.0001 |
| CII        | -1.264 | 0.280 | -1.814–-0.713 | < 0.0001 |
| GA         | -0.282 | 0.445 | -1.157–0.592 | 0.526 |
| ASAP       | -0.953 | 0.345 | -1.630–-0.275 | 0.006 |

B = Regression coefficient; SD = standard deviation; SE = standard error.

The present analysis relates to 421 patients who did not meet our exclusion criteria. The main indications to perform a first set of prostate biopsies were as follows: (i) increased PSA plasma levels (74.8%), (ii) increased PSA plasma levels and abnormal DRE (15.2%), abnormal imaging of the prostate with normal PSA and DRE (5.7%), or abnormal DRE with normal PSA (4.3%).

Clinical and pathologic characteristics of the study cohort are reported in Table 2 which shows that abnormal DRE was present in 19.7% of cases, the median plasma levels of PSA was 6.05 ng/ml, the median age was 66 years, and the median volume of the prostate was 36.8 ml. Prostate cancer was detected in 192 cases (45.6%) and CII was present in 90 patients (21.4%). The median number of cores was 14 (range 14–15) and the median length of each core was 19.5 mm (range 10–36 mm). The median number of positive cores was 3 (range 1–14). The number of positive cores was at least 1 or 2 in 77 patients (40.1%) and more than 2 in 115 patients (59.9%).

Table 3 shows the factors associated with the number of positive cores (N+, range 0–14) as determined by linear regression models. In the multivariate model, the covariates positively associated with N+ were age (b = 0.05; p < 0.0001), PSAD (b = 5.96; p < 0.0001), and abnormal DRE (b = 1.02; p < 0.0001). Negative predictors of N+ included volume of the prostate (b = -0.026; p = 0.007), CII (b = -1.26; p < 0.0001), and ASAP (b = -0.95; p < 0.006).
Table 4 shows the clinical and pathological factors of patients with the number of positive cores between 1–2 or > 2 compared with the biopsy negative (control) group.

| Variables | Population (n = 421) | N+ | p |
|-----------|----------------------|----|---|
| Age (years) | 66.0 (40–90) | 65 (44–90) | 67 (45–89) | 70 (40–83) | < 0.0001 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 26.1 (18.3–42.6) | 26.1 (18.3–42.6) | 26 (20.3–35.9) | 26.5 (19.6–39.1) | 0.668 |
| PSA (ng/mL) | 6 (0.3–29.0) | 6 (0.3–23.2) | 5.8 (1.2–29) | 6.2 (0.8–27.0) | 0.052 |
| PV (mL) | 36.8 (7.3–114.8) | 43.3 (7.3–114.8) | 34.6 (12.2–99.3) | 28.4 (12.5–77.7) | < 0.0001 |
| PSAD (ng/mL) | 0.16 (0.01–1.2) | 0.13 (0.01–1.0) | 0.17 (0.03–0.82) | 0.22 (0.2–1.2) | < 0.0001 |

Categorical, n (%) | 0.069 | 0.652 | 0.002 | < 0.0001 |
| FAM | 357 (84.8) | 199 (47.3) | 68 (16.2) | 90 (21.4) |
| Yes | 64 (15.2) | 30 (7.1) | 9 (2.1) | 25 (5.9) |
| SMK | 218 (51.8) | 123 (29.2) | 37 (8.8) | 58 (13.8) |
| No | 203 (48.2) | 106 (25.2) | 40 (9.5) | 57 (13.5) |
| Yes | 338 (80.3) | 197 (46.8) | 60 (14.3) | 81 (19.2) |
| DRE (±) | 83 (19.7) | 32 (7.6) | 17 (4) | 34 (8.1) |
| No | 331 (78.6) | 156 (37.1) | 66 (15.7) | 109 (25.9) |
| CII | 331 (78.6) | 156 (37.1) | 66 (15.7) | 109 (25.9) |

Table 4 shows the clinical and pathological factors of patients with the number of positive cores between 1 and 2 or > 2 compared with the biopsy negative group. Patients who had more than 2 positive cores showed significant differences related to age (older), PSAD (higher), volume of the prostate (smaller), abnormal DRE (higher rates), CII (lower rates), glandular atrophy (lower rates), ASAP (lower rates), and PIN (higher rates) than cases who were negative or had 1–2 positive cores. Table 5 shows the covariates associated with the number of positive cores between 1 and 2 or > 2 compared with biopsy negative cases by multinomial logistic regression models. In the multivariate models, patients with 1–2 positive cores showed significant differences related to age (p = 0.011), volume of the prostate (p = 0.019), PSAD (p = 0.005), and CII (p = 0.004); and patients with > 2 positive cores showed significant difference related to age (p < 0.0001), volume of the prostate (p < 0.0001), PSAD (p < 0.0001), abnormal DRE (p = 0.010), CII (p < 0.0001), and PIN (p = 0.030). Finally, differences between groups with 1–2 positive cores and > 2 positive cores included abnormal DRE and PIN.

Figure 1 shows the cumulative proportion of positive cores as a function of N+ (range 0–14), stratified into groups with or without CII. Patients who had CII in the biopsy core showed higher negative proportion rates (81.1%) than those without (47.1%). Moreover, cases with 1–2 positive cores were proportionally lower (18.9%) in the CII subgroup than those without CII (42.8%). Figure 2 depicts the cumulative proportion of positive cores in the patient population stratified into 3 groups by the number of positive cores. The rates related to 1–2 or more than 2 positive cores were significantly lower in the CII group than in the other without CII. Moreover, the number of cases with > 2 positive cores decreased in the CII group and increased in the cluster without CII. Figure 3 outlines the independent and positive association of PIN with the number of positive cores including 1–2 or >2. As shown, the proportion of positive cores was increasingly higher in PIN patients than cases without PIN.

The patient study design is summarized by the flow chart image depicted in fig. 4.

Discussion

In North America and Europe, PCs and CII both show a high prevalence which suggests causality between the two diseases [21]. There is increasing evidence that inflammation might also play a non-negative role. Indeed, in the prostate microenvironment, it has been shown that...
CII might be both pro- and anti-tumorigenic which depends on the immune response [21]. It has recently been shown by the Reduction by Dutasteride of Prostate Cancer Events (REDUCE) study that the association between CII and PCa is protective [16, 17]. Indeed, among men undergoing repeat prostate biopsy after an initial negative baseline biopsy, baseline chronic inflammation was found to be independently associated with both a lower risk and lower volume of PCa. However, the REDUCE study has several limitations related to the inclusion criteria that limit the generalization of the results. Indeed, the selection criteria of the study included only men with PSA values between 2.5 ng/ml and 10 ng/ml. Moreover, it excluded high-grade PIN, ASAP, volume of the prostate > 80 ml, or an International Symptom score > 25 or ≥ 20.

The protective association between CII and PCa was confirmed by the present study in which CII was detected in 21.4% of cases and was an independent predictor inversely associated with the number of positive cores. As shown by fig. 1 and 2, the CII group showed higher negative PCa rates (81.1%) and lower PCa detection rates in the subgroups with the number of positive cores between 1 and 2 more than 2. As shown by multinomial logistic regression models, the negative association of CII with PCa was stronger than the volume of the prostate (OR = 0.977; p = 0.019) in both groups including N+ between 1 and 2 (OR = 0.338; p = 0.004) and N+ > 2 (OR = 0.076; p < 0.0001) when compared to the control (biopsy negative) group.

Our study confirms the protective role of CII in the prostate microenvironment. Although the present investigation shows results which are similar to those of the REDUCE trial, the design of our study differs from the REDUCE trial. Indeed, while the REDUCE study evaluated the association of inflammation in a negative biopsy for cancer with the subsequent risk of prostate cancer detection, our investigation evaluated the association of inflammation and cancer detection in the same biopsy set.

Our study shows results that correlate with those of the REDUCE trial because it confirms the negative association of CII with the risk of PCa detection as well as with the number of positive cores that relate to tumor extension or volume. Moreover, our study allows the generalization of the results since we included a large set of cases which were excluded by the design of the REDUCE trial. The present study shows that, in a cohort of patients elected to first set of prostate biopsies because of suspected cancer, the proportion of PCa cases with the number of positive cores between 1 and 2 was 40.1%

---

**Table 5.** Covariates associated with a number of positive cores between 1–2 or > 2 compared to negative cases by multinomial logistic regression models

| Covariates | \(1 \leq N^+ < 2\) vs. negative group (\(N^+ = 0\)) | \(N^+ > 2\) vs. negative group (\(N^+ = 0\)) |
|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|            | OR  | 95% CI of OR | OR  | 95% CI of OR |
|            | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |
| Age        | 1.032 | 0.998 | 1.066 | 0.062 |
| PSA        | 1.055 | 0.998 | 1.184 | 0.155 |
| PV         | 0.971 | 0.955 | 0.987 | < 0.0001 |
| PSAD       | 567.714 | 35.3 | 380.418 | < 0.0001 |
| DRE (±)    | 1.744 | 0.906 | 3.359 | 0.096 |
| CII        | 0.356 | 0.178 | 0.714 | 0.004 |
| GA         | 0.363 | 0.106 | 1.245 | 0.107 |
| ASAP       | 1.545 | 0.783 | 3.048 | 0.209 |
| PIN        | 3.906 | 1.022 | 14.938 | 0.046 |

---

**Univariate Model**

- Age
- PSA
- PV
- PSAD
- DRE (±)
- CII
- GA
- ASAP
- PIN

**Multivariate Model**

- Age
- PSA
- PSAD
- DRE (±)
- CII
- GA
- ASAP
- PIN
with a median PSA of 5.8 ng/ml (Table 2 and 4). In the cohort of PCa patients, cases with 1–2 positive cores represent an important subgroup because of drawbacks in clinical practice. Indeed, the number of positive cores between 1 and 2 is the first parameter to evaluate according to a sequence of steps that will lead to select patients who are candidates for active surveillance. This was the reason that in the design of the study, we evaluated this subset of the PCa population by multinomial logistic regression models.

It has been suggested that infiltrates and mediators of chronic inflammation might be involved in PCa carcinogenesis [22–24]. Because of the association of chronic inflammation with oxidative stress which is mediated by the cyclo-oxygenase gene pathway, it has been proposed that aspirin, which is NSAID, might prevent PCa carcinogenesis by inhibiting the cyclo-oxygenase [25–29]. However, these findings are questionable since there are observational studies showing that PCa risk is increased after NSAID exposure [30–32]. Moreover, cancer susceptibility and severity may be associated with functional polymorphisms of inflammatory cytokine genes, and deletion or inhibition of inflammatory cytokines inhibits the development of experimental cancer [1, 24]. Although the presence of inflammatory cells in the prostate is well documented, the relationship between CII and PCa development is limited by the absence of characterization of cellular phenotypes of the leukocytes. Indeed, growing tumors can induce recruitment of immune cells into the prostate microenvironment by secreting many inflammatory factors and cytokines in order to attract the immune cells and initiate a reciprocal interaction that promotes disease progression. However, information regarding the precise profile of prostate tumor-infiltrating leukocytes, which include lymphocytes (T- and B-cells), macrophages, mast-cells, immunosuppressive cells, and neutrophils, is extremely limited. In the early steps in carcinogenesis, the cells of the immune system have the potential to be both anti- or pro-tumorigenic, depending on cellular phenotypes, combinations, localization, and the tumor microenvironment [1]. The prostate is considered an immunocompetent organ in which the environment is populated by inflammatory cells that include the innate (monocytes, macrophages, and mast cells) and adaptive (B and T lymphocytes) section of the immune system that is currently being studied for PCa immunotherapy strategies [24, 33, 34].

Indeed, anticancer vaccines and immunotherapies focus on empowering the immune system to overcome the tumor [23, 31, 32] by stimulating the immune system.

Fig. 4. Flowchart image of the study design.
and activating an appropriate immune-mediated response against malignant cells. The immune system consists of innate and adaptive components. The innate immune system encompasses phagocytic cells, natural killer cells, and cells that release inflammatory mediators. Macrophages and dendritic cells function as antigen presenting cells which serve as a bridge from innate to adaptive immunity. The adaptive arm of the immune system consists of B cells and T cells. The T cells are the most crucial component in mediating antitumor responses induced by cancer vaccines and immunotherapies.

The results of the REDUCE study suggests that CII in the biopsy specimen may lower the risk of subsequent PCa detection on repeat biopsy as well as be associated with less aggressive PCa [16, 17]. Our study also suggests that CII is an independent factor that lowers both the risk as well as the extension (number of positive cores) of PCa. It is difficult to understand and explain the biology supporting the negative association between CII and with PCa. As a theory, we suggest, as illustrated in fig. 5, a schematic mechanistic diagram showing the potential cellular exchange of signaling pathways towards inflammation in PCa. Indeed, in the early stages of PCa carcinogenesis, high grade PIN associates with disruption of the basement membrane which allows cancer cells to induce recruitment of immune cells into the prostate microenvironment by secreting many inflammatory factors and cytokines in order to attract the immune cells and initiate a reciprocal interaction with delivery of tumor antigens. Moreover, macrophages and dendritic cells expose the antigens to both helper (CD4+) and cytotoxic (CD8+) T lymphocytes which trigger a cytotoxic response against the cancer cells structuring the focus of high grade PIN. As a consequence, PCa carcinogenesis progression might be interrupted and the risk of PCa is reduced because of the activated local immune system.

PSA selection for prostate biopsy may pose a potential bias because its increment also associates with CII [35–37]. In our study, PSA was not an independent covariate associated with the number of positive cores, as assessed by multivariate linear regression models (Table 3). The multinomial logistic regression model also showed that PSA did not have any power in differentiating between biopsy negative cases and patients with

---

**Fig. 5.** Diagram showing the theoretic pathway involved by chronic inflammation and cells of the immune system in prostate cancerogenesis (see discussion for details). Legend: TNF, tumour necrosis factor; IL-1, interleukin 1; IL-6, interleukin 6; IFN, interferon gamma.
1–2 positive cores. The present study also confirmed the negative-independent role of the volume of the prostate in detecting PCa [38–39].

The independent role of PSAD in predicting PCa was also confirmed by our investigation [40].

The main differences between PCa cases with 1–2 positive cores and patients with more than 2 cores were the presence of PIN and abnormal DRE as shown by multinomial logistic regression models. Fig. 3 shows the independent and positive association of PIN with PCa. Indeed, the presence of PIN in the biopsy core decreased the probability of a negative biopsy (55.8 vs. 22.2%) as well as increased the PCa detection in cases with either 1–2 cores (17.9 vs. 27.8 %) or > 2 positive cores (26.3 vs. 50.0%).

There are limits in our study. First, it was a single centre study, but the sample size was large enough. Second, we measured the volume of the prostate by means of TRUS and not by prostatectomy specimens. However; TRUS is widely used and it is considered an effective technique. Third, the inverse association of chronic inflammation with PCa might be confounded by PSA. Indeed, PSA may be elevated by both inflammation and cancer, and hence, if biopsies are performed in cases with increased PSA, some patients will have cancer and others CII [35–37]. Patients with inflammation may more likely undergo biopsy procedures than men without inflammation because of potential higher PSA levels. As a result, men with CII will automatically be less likely to have cancer and vice versa. Finally, it was not a controlled trial and, although the data were prospectively collected, they were retrospectively analyzed, with the limitations that this engenders.

In summary, we have determined that, in a cohort of men undergoing the first biopsy set after prostate assessment, the presence of CII in the biopsy core is an independent factor that is inversely associated with a lower risk of PCa as well as a lower number of positive biopsy cores indicating tumor extension. Clinically, the detection of CII in negative biopsy cores may reduce the risk of PCa on repeat biopsies as well as the probability of detecting multiple positive cores. The association between CII and PCa carcinogenesis remains a controversial issue which needs further clinical and basic research.
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