MOLECULAR GAS IN HIGH REDSHIFT QSOS
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We review cm and mm observations of thermal molecular line emission from high redshift QSOs. These observations reveal the massive gas reservoirs (10^{10} to 10^{11} M_{⊙}) required to fuel star formation at high rates. We discuss evidence for active star formation in QSO host galaxies, and we show that these high redshift, FIR-luminous QSOs follow the non-linear trend of increasing \( L_{\text{FIR}}/L'(\text{CO}) \) with increasing \( L_{\text{FIR}} \). We conclude with a brief discussion of the recent CO detection of the most distant QSO at \( z = 6.42 \), and its implications for cosmic reionization.

1. Introduction

Over the last few years, the study of high redshift QSOs has been revolutionized in three ways. First, wide field surveys have revealed 100’s of high z QSOs, right back to the epoch of cosmic reionization (\( z > 6 \); e.g., Fan et al. 2003). Second, it has been shown that most (all?) low redshift spheroidal galaxies have central super-massive black holes (SMBH), and that the black hole mass correlates with bulge velocity dispersion. This \( M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_v \) correlation suggests coeval formation of galaxies and SMBH, thereby making SMBHs a fundamental aspect of the galaxy formation process (Gebhardt et al. 2000). And third, mm surveys of high redshift QSOs find that 30% of the sources are ‘hyper-luminous infrared galaxies’ (\( L_{\text{FIR}} = 10^{13} L_{\odot} \)), corresponding to thermal emission from warm dust, and that this fraction is independent of redshift out to \( z = 6.4 \) (Omont et al. this vol.). If the dust is heated by star formation, the implied star formation rates are extreme (\( > 10^3 M_{\odot} \text{ year}^{-1} \)), consistent with the formation of a large elliptical galaxy on a dynamical timescale of 10^8 years. On the other hand, the FIR...
luminosity constitutes typically only 10% of the bolometric luminosity of
the sources, such that dust heating by the AGN remains an alternative.
Demographic studies show that SMBHs acquire most of their mass during
major accretion events marked by the QSO phenomenon (Yu & Tremain
2002).

Molecular line observations (typically CO) of FIR-luminous high z QSOs
have revealed large gas masses in most cases observed to date (see Table
1). Such gas reservoirs are a prerequisite for star formation models for dust
heating in FIR-luminous high z QSOs. The typical gas depletion timescales
are of order $10^7$ to $10^8$ years, if the dust is heated by star formation. In
this review we consider this question in more detail. We restrict ourselves
to $z > 2$ QSOs (see also Barvainis 1999; and see Scoville et al. 2004
and Sanders & Mirabel 1996 for observations of lower redshift QSOs). We
assume a standard concordance cosmology.

2. Statistics

Table 1 shows all the published detections of CO emission from sources at
$z > 2$ (only the most recent reference is given). Most of the sources are AGN
(QSOs, radio galaxies = RG), since in these cases optical spectroscopic
redshifts are available, although a number of optically brighter 'submm
galaxies' (SMM) have now been detected in CO emission (Neri et al. 2003).
About half the sources are strongly lensed (L). Most sources have been
studied in the higher order transitions ($\geq$ CO 3-2), although at $z \geq 4$ the
lower order transitions become accessible to cm telescopes such as the VLA.

Figure 1 shows the correlation between FIR luminosity and velocity
integrated CO 1-0 luminosity ($L'_{CO(1-0)}$ K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$) for sources at low
and high redshift (Beelen et al. 2004). The sources in Table 1 constitute
most of the sources with $L_{FIR} > 10^{13}$ L$\odot$ in Figure 1. For sources without
CO 1-0 measurements, the 1-0 luminosity was calculated assuming constant
brightness temperature. For the high redshift sources the FIR luminosity
is given approximately by: $L_{FIR} = 4 \times 10^{12}(S_{250}/$ mJy$) L_\odot$, appropriate for
a typical ULIRG SED (Omont et al. al. 2003; note that in Fig 1 the values
were calculated using the measured multifrequency SEDs where available).
For dust heating by star formation, the total star formation rate (SFR; from
0.1 to 100 M$_\odot$) is given by: $SFR = 4 \times 10^{-10}L_{FIR}$ M$_\odot$ year$^{-1}$. Gas masses
can be derived from: $M(H_2) = X \times L'_{CO(1-0)}$, where $X = 4$ for typical spiral
galaxies, and $X = 0.8$ for ULIRGs (Downes & Solomon 1998). Note that
$X = 0.2$ is the minimum (ie. optically thin) value, assuming solar C and O
Table 1. CO sources at $z > 2$ as of February 2004

| name            | type    | $z$   | Trans. | $L'_F$ | $S_{250}$ | ref        |
|-----------------|---------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|------------|
| B1021+4724      | QSOL    | 2.286 | 3-2    | 4.2    | 9.6       | Solomon 1992 |
| J1636+4057      | SMM     | 2.385 | 3-2    | 2.3    | 2.5       | Neri 2003   |
| 53W092          | QSO     | 2.394 | 3-2    | 1.2    | 1.7       | Alloin 2000 |
| J0443+0210      | SMM     | 2.509 | 3-2    | 1.4    | 1.1       | Neri 2003   |
| Cloverleaf      | QSOL    | 2.558 | 3-2    | 9.9    | 18        | Weiss 2004  |
| J1401+0252      | SMM     | 2.565 | 3-2    | 2.4    |           | Frayer 1999 |
| J1409+5628      | QSO     | 2.583 | 3-2    | 4.0    | 10.7      | Beelen 2004 |
| B0414-0534      | QSOL    | 2.639 | 3-2    | 2.6    | 40        | Barvainis 1998 |
| cB58            | SMM     | 2.727 | 3-2    | 0.3    | 1         | Baker 2003  |
| J1230+1627      | QSOL    | 2.735 | 3-2    | 0.80   | 2.7       | Guilloteau 1999 |
| J0239-0136      | SMM     | 2.808 | 3-2    | 3.1    | 4.0       | Genzel 2003 |
| J2330+3927      | RG      | 3.094 | 4-3    | 1.3    |           | de Breuck 2003 |
| B0751+2716      | QSOL    | 3.200 | 4-3    | 6.0    | 6.7       | Barvainis 2002 |
| J0943+4700      | SMM     | 3.346 | 4-3    | 1.1    | 2.3       | Neri 2003   |
| J0121+1320      | RG      | 3.520 | 4-3    | 1.2    |           | de Breuck 2003 |
| J1909+722       | RG      | 3.534 | 4-3    | 1.6    | 4         | Papadopoulos 2000 |
| 4C60.07         | RG      | 3.788 | 1-0    | 0.24   | 4.5       | Greve 2004  |
| B0827+5255      | QSOL    | 3.911 | 1-0    | 0.15   | 17.0      | Papadopoulos 2001 |
| J2322+1944      | QSOL    | 4.119 | 2-1    | 0.92   | 9.6       | Carilli 2003 Cox 2002 |
| B0952-0115      | QSOL    | 4.434 | 5-4    | 0.91   | 2.8       | Guilloteau 1999 |
| B1355-0417      | QSO     | 4.407 | 2-1    | 0.44   | 5.6       | Carilli 2002 |
| B1202-0725      | QSO     | 4.693 | 2-1    | 0.49   | 12.6      | Carilli 2002 |
| J1148+5251      | QSO     | 6.419 | 3-2    | 0.20   | 5.0       | Walter 2003 Bertoldi 2004 |

abundances, and warm gas (70 K).

The straight lines correspond to linear relations, with a ratio of $L_{FIR}/L'_CO = 40$ (dash) or 300 (solid). The correlation is clearly non-linear, and is better described by a power-law of the form: $L_{FIR} \propto L'_CO^{1.7}$. This non-linearity has been interpreted as an increasing 'star formation efficiency' (= SFR/gas mass) with increasing SFR (Gao & Solomon 2003). An alternate hypothesis is that the AGN dominates dust heating at the highest luminosities. But this latter hypothesis begs the question: why would there be any correlation at all? Meaning that if two very different physical mechanisms are involved, one might expect a discontinuity in this relationship going from starbursts to AGN.

3. Examples

Figure 2 shows examples of the CO excitation for three $z > 4$ QSOs. The line strengths are consistent with constant brightness temperature ($T_B$) up to CO 5-4. This is similar to what is seen for nuclear starburst regions, such as in M82, and implies dense ($> 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$), warm ($> 50$ K) gas. The recent detection of HCN emission from the Cloverleaf quasar at $z = 2.56$
Figure 1. The relationship between $L_{FIR}$ and $L'_{CO(1-0)}$ (Beelen et al. 2004).

supports the idea of dense, warm (star forming?) molecular gas in these systems (Solomon et al. 2003).

Figure 2. Left: The CO ladder for three high z QSOs. Right: The radio to IR SEDs. The solid line is the fit for M82.
Also shown in Figure 2 are the radio to IR SEDs of these sources. Again, the SEDs are similar to those seen in typical star forming galaxies. VLBA imaging of the 1.4GHz continuum emission from J1409+5628 at $z = 2.58$ implies intrinsic brightness temperatures $< 10^5$ K, consistent with the non-thermal emission expected from a star forming galaxy, but inconsistent with that expected from an AGN (Beelen et al. 2004).

Figure 3 shows the optical and CO emission from the $z = 4.12$ QSO 2322+1944. The source is strongly gravitationally lensed, appearing as a double QSO in the optical with 1″ separation (Djorgovski et al. in prep), and a complete ‘Einstein ring’ in the CO 2-1 emission. A similar ring is seen for the 1.4 GHz continuum emission (Carilli et al. 2003). These results can be modeled in the source plane as a starburst disk surrounding the QSO with a radius of about 2 kpc. J2322+1944 represents perhaps the best example of a coeval starburst+AGN at high redshift.

Figure 3 shows the optical (left) and CO 2-1 (right) images of the gravitationally lensed QSO J2322+1944 at $z = 4.12$ (Carilli et al. 2003).

We consider briefly the question of why only 30% of high $z$ QSOs are FIR luminous? Unfortunately, sensitivity limits of current (sub)mm surveys cannot rule out a continuum of FIR luminosities extending below a few mJy, although deep radio surveys suggest that this may not be the case (Petric et al. 2004 in prep). If there really are two types of QSOs (FIR-luminous and FIR-quiet), then the 30% fraction could represent a relative duty cycle for star formation vs. QSO activity. Alternatively, there may be
two types of major accretion events for SMBHs: those that have associated star formation, and those that do not.

4. Cosmic Stromgren spheres

The epoch of reionization (EoR) represents a fundamental benchmark in cosmic structure formation, corresponding to ionization of the neutral IGM by the first luminous sources. The recent discovery of Lyα absorption by the neutral IGM toward \( z > 6 \) QSOs (the Gunn-Peterson effect; Fan et al. 2003) implies that these sources are situated at the end of the EoR.

![Figure 4. CO 3-2 emission from the highest redshift QSO, J1148+5251 at \( z = 6.419 \) (Walter et al. 2003). The left frame shows the velocity integrated line emission at 1.5'' resolution at 46.7 GHz. The right frame is an 'off' channel, showing the lack of continuum emission. The contour levels are: -0.1,0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5 mJy/beam.](image)

Bertoldi et al. (2003) show that the 30% fraction of FIR-luminous QSOs remains constant into the EoR, including the most distant QSO known, J1148+5251, at \( z = 6.42 \), which has an \( L_{\text{FIR}} = 1.2 \times 10^{13} \, L_\odot \). CO emission has also been detected from J1148+5251 using the VLA and the PdBI (Figure 4; Walter et al. 2003; Bertoldi et al. 2003), with an implied molecular gas mass of \( 2 \times 10^{10} \, M_\odot \). The presence of a large mass of heavy elements and dust in a galaxy just 0.8 Gyrs from the big bang raises interesting issues for ISM enrichment, and suggests that active star formation started at \( z > 10 \) in the host galaxy of this QSO. Also required is a dust formation mechanism involving high mass stars and/or supernova remnants.

A particularly interesting result for J1148+5251 is the difference between the host galaxy redshift of 6.42 (as determined from the CO line)
and the onset of the Gunn-Peterson absorption trough at $z = 6.32$. We note these CO observations are the only measurements relating to the host galaxy properties (e.g., the exact redshift), as opposed to the AGN properties. This redshift difference implies that the QSO must be surrounded by a ionized sphere of physical radius $R = 4.7$ Mpc, presumably formed by the radiation from the QSO itself. Hence, in J1148+5251 we are witnessing the process of cosmic reionization. This is a ‘time bounded’ Stromgren sphere, and implies a lifetime for the recent QSO activity of:

$$t_{qso} = 10^7 (R/4.5\text{Mpc})^3 f(\text{HI}) \text{ years},$$

where $f(\text{HI})$ is the (volume averaged) IGM neutral fraction (Walter et al. 2003; Wyithe & Loeb 2003a).

Wyithe & Loeb (2003b) have used this result, plus models of QSO formation, to argue (statistically) that the neutral fraction of the IGM must be substantial at $z = 6.4$: $f(\text{HI}) > 0.1$, otherwise the QSO lifetimes become unreasonably short. This neutral fraction is much larger than the best current lower limits set by the GP effect of $f(\text{HI}) > 0.001$, and argues for ‘fast reionization’ at $z \sim 6$ (Gnedin 2000). The implication is that $f(\text{HI})$ changes from $< 10^{-4}$ at $z = 5.7$ to $> 10^{-1}$ at $z = 6.4$, i.e., the neutral fraction of the universe changes by three orders of magnitude over a timescale of only 0.1 Gyr.
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