NO EVIDENCE FOR INTERNAL ROTATION IN THE REMNANT CORE OF THE SAGITTARIUS DWARF
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ABSTRACT

We have conducted a spectroscopic survey of the inner regions of the Sagittarius (Sgr) dwarf galaxy using the AAOmega spectrograph on the Anglo-Australian Telescope. We determine radial velocities for over 1800 Sgr star members in 6 fields that cover an area 18.84 deg², with a typical accuracy of ≃ 2 km s⁻¹. Motivated by recent numerical models of the Sgr tidal stream that predict a substantial amount of rotation in the dwarf remnant core, we compare the kinematic data against N-body models that simulate the stream progenitor as (i) a pressure-supported, mass-follows-light system, and (ii) a late-type, rotating disc galaxy embedded in an extended dark matter halo. We find that the models with little, or no intrinsic rotation clearly yield a better match to the mean line-of-sight velocity in all surveyed fields, but fail to reproduce the shape of the line-of-sight velocity distribution. This result rules out models wherein the prominent bifurcation observed in the leading tail of the Sgr stream was caused by a transfer from intrinsic angular momentum from the progenitor satellite into the tidal stream. It also implies that the trajectory of the young tidal tails has not been affected by internal rotation in the progenitor system. Our finding indicates that new, more elaborate dynamical models, in which the dark and luminous components are treated independently, are necessary for simultaneously reproducing both the internal kinematics of the Sgr dwarf and the available data for the associated tidal stream.

Subject headings:

INTRODUCTION

Serendipitously discovered in a kinematic survey of the outer regions of the Galactic bulge, the Sagittarius (Sgr) dwarf galaxy (Ibata et al. 1994) displays one of the clearest cases of hierarchical galaxy formation in action, as it is currently being cannibalized by the Milky Way’s tidal field and is close to full tidal disruption (Velazquez & White 1995; Ibata et al. 1997; Niederste-Ostholt et al. 2010). The existence of an associated stellar stream that fully wraps around the Galaxy (Mateo et al. 1996; Ibata et al. 2001; Martínez-Delgado et al. 2001, 2004; Dohm-Palmer et al. 2001; Majewski et al. 2003; Belokurov et al. 2006) provides clear-cut evidence that the tidal stripping of stars commenced several orbital periods ago (Edelsohn & Elmegreen 1997; Helmi & White 2001; Law et al. 2005; Fellhauer et al. 2006).

However, in spite of the extensive theoretical efforts devoted to reproducing the position and velocity of the Sgr system (remnant core plus stellar stream), there currently is no model able to describe the wealth of existing observational data. A number of aspects have proven particularly difficult to understand. First, the width and velocity dispersion of the detected parts of the stream suggest that the stellar material was tidally stripped in a relatively recent epoch (∼ 2–3 Gyr ago). This seems at odds with the modelled orbit of the progenitor dwarf, which has an estimated perigalacticon of ∼ 15 kpc and a period ∼ 1 Gyr (Ibata et al. 1997; Zhao 1998). Jiang & Binney (2000) showed that the relatively young age of the stream could be explained if the progenitor mass was originally as large as ∼ 10⁷ M⊙ and its accretion onto the Milky Way (MW) occurred at a large Galactocentric distance, > 200 kpc. In this scenario, dynamical friction would be responsible for dragging the Sgr dwarf to its current orbit on a time-scale that spans several orbital periods.

Alternatively, motivated by the discovery in Sloan Digital Sky Survey data (SDSS; York et al. 2000) of a bifurcation in the leading tail of the Sgr stream (Belokurov et al. 2006), Fellhauer et al. (2006) explored numerical models where the original progenitor mass was small, 10⁸–10⁹ M⊙. In these models the role played by dynamical friction becomes inconsequential. As a result of the null orbital decay, the mass-loss process spans over a large number of orbital periods, which leads to the formation of multiple stream wraps. If the shape of the MW Galactic potential is close to spherical, these wraps may appear as bifurcated streams on the sky. Recent estimates of the stellar mass in the known pieces of the Sgr stream have lent some support to this scenario, as the coadjusted brightness of the Sgr system seems comparable to those of the M31 dwarf ellipticals (dEs) NGC 147 and NGC 185 (Niederste-Ostholt et al. 2010), whose dynamical masses are ∼ 10⁷ M⊙ (Geha et al. 2010).

However, detailed analysis of SDSS observations suggest that both arms of the bifurcated stream may exhibit similar distances, velocities and metallicity distributions (Yanny et al. 2009; Niederste-Ostholt et al. 2010), which is hard to accommodate by models that explain the bifurcation as two wraps of different ages, or as independent
streams from different progenitors.

In a recent contribution Peñarrubia et al. (2010, hereafter P10) were able reproduce the existing data on the leading tail bifurcation by adopting N-body models in which the Sgr dwarf galaxy was originally a late-type, rotating disc galaxy embedded in an extended dark matter halo, rather than a non-rotating, pressure-supported dwarf spheroidal galaxy (dSph), as previously thought. In this scenario, the two arms are both leading the galaxy, and arise naturally during consecutive pericentric passages, which occur at different orientations of the Sgr disc relative to its orbit. Furthermore, these authors showed that internal rotation can efficiently alter the trajectory of the stream with respect to the orbit of Sgr, which might indirectly affect any constraint on the shape of the MW halo derived from pressure-supported dwarf models. It is thus clear that understanding the bifurcation has important implications for the Galactic potential, which has been inferred from the Sgr stream to be variously spherical (Ibata et al. 2001), oblate (Johnston et al. 2005), prolate (Helmi 2004), or triaxial (Law & Majewski 2010, hereafter LM10).

Clues to the true origin of the Sgr dwarf (dSph, dE or late-type spiral galaxy), as well as to the shape of the MW dark matter halo, can be gleaned from the internal kinematics of the remnant core. Here we present the results of a spectroscopic survey of the Sgr remnant core using the AAOmega instrument at the Anglo-Australian Telescope (AAT).

2. OBSERVATIONS

We observed candidate Sgr red giant stars with the AAOmega fiber-fed spectrograph on the AAT the nights of 2010 June 3 – 6. AAOmega obtains spectra of ~ 400 targets simultaneously over a 2-degree field of view, employing a dichroic to send light to separate blue and red spectrographs. We used 1500V (R ~ 3700) and 1700D (R ~ 10000) gratings in the blue and red, to cover the regions around Mg I 5170Å and the Ca II 8500Å triplet, respectively. Although most of the observing run was lost to clouds, we were able to obtain high-quality data on 6 out of 9 intended fields in the central regions of the Sgr dwarf. The data were reduced using the Australian Astronomical Observatory’s 2dfdr software package.

Target stars were selected from the 2MASS PSC catalogue (Skrutskie et al. 2006). The photometry was first reddening-corrected using the Schlegel et al. (1998) extinction maps, and then candidates were required to satisfy the criteria 9 < Ks < 13 and J – Ks > 2.222 – 0.111Ks, i.e., likely Sgr K and M-giants. The location on the sky of these candidate Sgr stars is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 1: objects for which we obtained spectra are shown using bold points. The lower panel of Fig. 1 shows the measured Galactic Standard of Rest (GSR) velocity distribution as a function of RA. Velocities were derived from cross-correlating the Ca II triplet region of the spectrum (8450Å – 8750Å) with a model template spectrum (see Battalgia et al. 2008 for further details), and were corrected to a GSR frame. Star-by-star comparison of these results with an independent cross-correlation of the spectra, using the IRAF task fxcor and a synthetic Ca II triplet spectrum, yielded mean offsets of ≲ 0.5 km s⁻¹, with a typical standard deviation of < 1.5 km s⁻¹.

MW foreground contamination is readily recognized from the spatial density variations visible in the upper panel coupled with the clean separation in a GSR frame from Sgr members (vGSR > 120 km s⁻¹) that appears in the lower panel. We find that 1805 stars from our spectroscopic sample satisfy the velocity cut and are considered as Sgr dwarf members in this paper.

3. THEORETICAL MODELS

To analyze whether the Sgr dwarf possesses internal angular momentum we compare the above kinematic data against two types of N-body models: one in which the Sgr dwarf galaxy is composed of a late-type exponential disc embedded in a non-singular isothermal dark matter halo (rotating model), and another where the stellar and the dark matter particles follow a spherical King (1966) model (pressure-supported model). The main characteristics of the rotating model are the following (for details see P10): the initial mass isMd = md + mh = 3.5 × 10⁶ M⊙ + 2.4 × 10⁹ M⊙ ≈ 2.8 × 10⁹ M⊙, where md and mh denote the disc and dark matter halo masses. The initial disc scale-length and the halo core radius are R_d ≈ 0.9 kpc and R_h = 0.5 R_d , respectively. The rotation curve of this model peaks at ≈ 43 km s⁻¹. For the pressure-supported model we adopt similar parameters as those derived by LM10 in order to reproduce most of the existing observational constraints on the Sgr stream, i.e. an initial mass of Md = 6.0 × 10⁹ M⊙, a core radius R_K = 0.85 kpc, and a King tidal radius R_t = 5.02 kpc.

We assume a Galactic potential composed of disc, bulge and dark matter halo (see P10 for details). A brief description of this potential is the following: the disc component follows a Miyamoto-Nagai (1975) model with a mass Md = 7.5 × 10¹⁰ M⊙, and radial and vertical scale lengths a = 3.5 kpc and b = 0.3 kpc. The
MW bulge follows a Hernquist (1990) profile with a mass $M_0 = 1.3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ and a scale radius $c = 1.2$ kpc. The MW dark matter halo is modelled as a Navarro, Frenk & White (1997) profile with a virial mass $M_{vir} = 10^{12} M_\odot$, virial radius $r_{vir} = 258$ kpc and concentration $c_{vir} = 12$ (Klypin et al. 2002). In order to construct a Sgr dwarf model that matches the position and velocities of the observed stream pieces, we follow LM10 in assuming that the Galactic halo is triaxial in shape. This is done by introducing elliptical coordinates with the substitution $r \rightarrow m$, where $m^2 = x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 + z^2/c^2$, and $(a, b, c)$ are dimensionless quantities with values $(a, b, c) \approx (0.61, 1.34, 1.22)$.

We use test particles to integrate the orbit of the Sgr dwarf back in time from the current position and velocity of the remnant core in order to derive initial conditions for our N-body models. For simplicity, we hold the halo parameters fixed through the evolution of our Sgr models, given that tidal streams are barely sensitive to the past evolution of the host potential (Peñarrubia et al. 2006). We use an total integration time of 2.5 Gyr (approximately 2.5 orbital periods), as this is the (minimum) time required to reproduce the observed pieces of the Sgr stream (see P10). The current position of the Sgr dwarf in galactocentric coordinates is $(D, l, b)_{Sgr} = (25\text{kpc}, 5^\circ.6, -14^\circ.2)$. The velocity vector can be derived from the line-of-sight velocity $v_{los} = 137$ km s$^{-1}$ (Ibata et al. 1997), and the proper motion measurements of Dinescu et al. (2005), who find $(\mu_l \cos b, \mu_b) = (-2.35 \pm 0.20, -2.07 \pm 0.20)$ mas/yr. Adopting standard values for the Galactocentric position of the sun $(X, Y, W)_{\odot} = (-8, 0, 0)$ kpc, the Local Standard of Rest and the solar peculiar motion $(U, V, W)_{\odot} = (10, 225, 7)$ km s$^{-1}$ (Binney & Merrifield 1998), we find a Galactocentric space motion is $(\Pi, \Theta, W)_{Sgr} \approx (110, -37, 264)$ km s$^{-1}$. After integrating our N-body representations of the Sgr stream progenitor forwards in time for 2.5 Gyr, the rotating and pressure-supported models have respectively lost 42% and 48% of their initial stellar masses to tides.

Fig. 2 shows the stellar remnants of our rotating (upper panel) and pressure-supported (lower panel) dwarf galaxy models. N-body particles are color-coded according to their mean line-of-sight velocity in the GSR frame. This figure illustrates a few interesting points. First, both dwarf models show velocity gradients throughout the dwarf remnant core. Indeed, this is a feature expected in models where the Sgr dwarf is assumed to have originally been a late-type galaxy. In particular, the upper panel of Fig. 2 shows that, although tidal mass stripping removes a large fraction of the original angular momentum in the progenitor Sgr disc, the remnant core still rotates with a velocity amplitude $\sim 20$ km s$^{-1}$, which translates into a net velocity difference of $\sim 40$ km s$^{-1}$ between stars at declinations above and below $\delta \sim -30^\circ$.

The presence of a velocity gradient in the pressure-supported model, however, may be a result of the line-of-sight projection of the orbital velocity vector, rather than a manifestation of internal rotation. Since the orbital trajectory (dashed line) is practically perpendicular to the MW disc (dotted line), we expect a velocity gradient to principally manifest at different latitudinal directions with an amplitude $\Delta v_{app} = \Delta b (d\delta/db \cdot \mathbf{v}) \approx -231 \Delta b \text{km s}^{-1}$, where $\Delta b = b - b_{Sgr}$. Given that the maximum separation of our fields (white circles) is $|\Delta b| \approx 4^\circ$, we expect the line-of-sight velocity to increase/decrease approximately 16 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the systemic velocity of the dwarf in the fields that lie closest to/furthest from the MW plane.

The second interesting point refers to the direction of the velocity gradient. Whereas the rotating model predicts an increasing velocity towards higher Right Ascensions, the pressure-supported model shows the opposite trend. Given the accuracy of our velocity measurements (typically 2 km s$^{-1}$) and the large number of Sgr members in our sample ($N \approx 1800$), the above model predictions are straightforward to test.

4. MODELS VS. DATA

Fig. 3 shows the comparison of the velocity distribution expected for rotating (dashed lines) and pressure-supported (dotted lines) dwarf models in each of the fields where data (solid lines) exists. A number of revealing points can be gleaned from this figure. The first is that we observe a clear variation in the velocity peak along the major axis of the dwarf. For example, comparing fields F1 and F4, which are separated by 8 degrees (see Fig. 1), the velocity distribution peaks at $\sim 185$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\sim 165$ km s$^{-1}$, respectively. The amplitude, as well as the sense, of the velocity shift is consistent with the variation in the mean line-of-sight velocity expected from a projection effect, as previously discussed. Further evidence for this interpretation can be found in the absence of a mean velocity gradient along the minor axis, as the peak velocity in both the F2 and F6 fields is 175 km s$^{-1}$.

Indeed, it is clear that the pressure-supported model provides a better match to the mean velocities mea-
et al. 2006), or as independent streams from different
the bifurcation as two wraps of different ages (Fellhauer
2004; Helmi 2004; Law et al. 2005, 2010; Fellhauer et
al. 2006), two aspects of the stream have proven partic-
ularly challenging to understand. First, the leading tail
of the Sgr stream is bifurcated, with both arms exhibit-
any internal kinematics of the remnant core, which according to their models
should exhibit a clear signature of internal rotation.
However, it is worth noting that, although the
pressure-supported model provides a reasonable qualita-
tive description of the mean velocities observed through-
out the remnant core, the shape of the line-of-sight ve-
cocity distribution appears considerably more leptokurtic
than predicted in all the surveyed fields, except perhaps
in F1. This result is hardly surprising, considering that
the dwarf model adopted by LM10 in order to reproduce
the properties of the Sgr stream assumes for simplicity
that the stellar and the dark matter particles follow the
same density profile. Our data clearly indicate that bet-
ter kinematic coverage of the Sgr core plus more sophis-
ticated mass modelling should provide strong constraints
on the original distribution of stars and dark matter in
this galaxy.

5. DISCUSSION
Despite the prodigious theoretical efforts undertaken
to reproduce the characteristics of the Sagittarius tidal
stream (e.g. Ibata et al. 2001; Martínez-Delgado et al.
2004; Helmi 2004; Law et al. 2005, 2010; Fellhauer et
al. 2006), two aspects of the stream have proven partic-
ularly challenging to understand. First, the leading tail
of the Sgr stream is bifurcated, with both arms exhibit-
ing similar distances, velocities and metallicity distribu-
tions (Yanny et al. 2009; Niederste-Ostholt et al. 2010),
which would appear to refute earlier models that explain
the bifurcation as two wraps of different ages (Fellhauer
et al. 2006), or as independent streams from different
progenitors. Second, the position on the sky and the he-
liocentric velocities of stream members suggest the possi-
bility of the MW dark matter halo being triaxial in shape
(LM10). However, the orientation of the favored triaxial
halo model is puzzling, for its intermediate axis appears
to be aligned with the spin vector of the MW disc. This
is hard to understand, as circular orbits about the in-
termediate axis are unstable, raising questions about the
formation of the Galactic disc.

In a recent contribution, P10 showed that internal ro-
tation in the progenitor dwarf might help to explain the
origin of the bifurcation in the leading tail of the Sgr
stream, as well as the puzzling shape and orientation of
the MW dark matter halo derived by LM10. In partic-
ular, P10 found that the same mechanism that gave rise
to a bifurcated leading tail, i.e. a transfer of internal an-
gular momentum into the tidal stream, could have also
altered the orientation of the leading tail with respect
the progenitor’s orbit, directly affecting the constraints
on the halo shape derived from stream models that as-
sume a pressure-supported progenitor galaxy. To check
this scenario, these authors proposed a kinematic sur-
vey of the Sgr remnant core, which according to their models
should exhibit a clear signature of internal rotation.

Here we have conducted a spectroscopic survey of the
inner regions of the Sgr dwarf using the AAOMega in-
strument on the AAT. Our survey covers 6 fields with a
total area of 6π ≃ 18.8 deg² and provides radial veloc-
ties for more than 1800 Sgr dwarf member stars with a
typical accuracy of ≃ 2 km/s. We have compared our
velocity measurements against numerical N-body simu-
lations that adopt rotating (P10) and pressure-supported
(LM10) dwarf N-body models. We find that models with
little or no intrinsic rotation provide a better match to
the mean line-of-sight velocity in each of the surveyed
fields, hence refuting the scenario proposed by P10, but
fail to reproduce the observed line-of-sight velocity dis-
tribution, which appears considerably more leptokurtic
(or peaked), especially in the inner-most fields.

Interestingly, the absence of intrinsic rotation may be
consistent with recent theoretical models that propose
tidal stirring as the driving mechanism for the forma-
tion of the Sgr dwarf (Lokas et al. 2010). In this sce-
nario discs are transformed into spheroids through a com-
plex process that involves tidal mass loss, bar forma-
tion/disruption and ram stripping. However, although
these models are able to reproduce the elongated shape
of the Sgr dwarf, as well as the absence of intrinsic angu-
lar momentum in the remnant core, they cannot explain
the presence of a bifurcated tidal tail with the observed
characteristics.

Further theoretical work is clearly necessary in order
to reproduce the internal kinematics of the remnant core,
as well as the locations and velocities of the associated
stream, simultaneously. The kinematic data presented
in this contribution will provide useful constraints for
future numerical models that treat the dark and baryonic
material as separate dynamical components (e.g. Lokas
et al. 2010). Meanwhile, the origin of the prominent
bifurcation in the distribution of tidal debris of the Sgr
dwarf stands as an open – and enigmatic – question.
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