Relationship between buccal branches of the facial nerve, parotid duct, buccal fat pad and Zuker’s point
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Abstract

Objectives: The aim of this study was to determine the relationship of the buccal branches of the facial nerve with the parotid duct, the buccal fat pad and the Zuker’s point, and reveal the incidence of the neural communications of buccal branch with the zygomatic and marginal mandibular branches of the facial nerve.

Methods: Fifteen formalin-fixed cadaveric heads (8 females and 7 males) with a mean age of 73.93±14.42 years were dissected bilaterally to reveal the buccal, zygomatic and marginal mandibular branches of the facial nerve, the parotid duct and the buccal fat pad. Positional relationships of these structures and the anatomical features of buccal and zygomatic branches were evaluated.

Results: The mean number of buccal and zygomatic branches was 2.40±0.62 and 1.90±0.60 respectively. Buccal branches crossed the parotid duct in 67%, formed a plexus along the parotid duct in 27% and coursed superior or inferior to the parotid duct without crossing in 6% of the dissected specimens. The mean number of intersection points of buccal branches on parotid duct was 4.03±1.03. Parotid duct crossed along the superior border of buccal fat pad in 66%, deep to buccal fat pad in 27% and between the superior and inferior lobes of buccal fat pad in 7%. Buccal branches crossed superficial to buccal fat pad in 80% and some branches passed superficial and through the buccal fat pad in 20%.

Conclusion: It is essential to know the positional interrelations of the buccal branches of the facial nerve with the parotid duct and buccal fat pad for clinical implications. The relation of Zuker’s point with zygomatic and buccal branches and with parotid duct should not be overlooked.
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Introduction

Buccal branches of the facial nerve (BB) run horizontally across cheek and buccal area. The BB have superficial and deep twigs. Superficial twigs of the BB run deep to subcutaneous fat and superficial musculo-aponeurotic system. Deep twigs of the BB innervate the zygomaticus major and minor, levator labii superioris, levator anguli oris, levator labii superioris alaeque nasi, small nasal muscles, buccinator and orbicularis oris muscles.¹⁻⁴

Zygomatic branches of the facial nerve (ZB) cross the zygomatic bone towards lateral canthus and innervate orbicularis oculi muscle. These branches may also contribute innervation of the muscles which are innervated by the BB.¹ The Zuker’s point is defined as a clinical landmark at the vicinity of the BB and ZB that innervates the zygomaticus major muscle. It refers to the midpoint on a line drawn from crus of helix to the labial commissure. The BB and ZB have been found to lie at approximately 2.3 mm away from the Zuker’s point.¹¹

Parotid duct (PD) appears at the anterior border of the upper part of the parotid gland and passes horizontally across masseter muscle at approximately midway between the angle of the mouth and the zygomatic arch. Then it turns medially at the anterior border of masseter piercing the buccal fat pad (BFP) and buccinator muscle then opens...
into oral cavity upon a small papilla opposite the second upper molar tooth.⁶

The BFP is an encapsulated and round shaped adipose tissue which can be divided into three lobes anatomically as anterior, intermediate and posterior.⁷⁻⁸ The anterior lobe is triangular and located below zygomatic arch. The intermediate lobe lies between the anterior and posterior lobes. The posterior lobe is located in masticatory space forming buccal, pterygoid, pterygopalatine, and temporal extensions.⁹ It functions to fill deep tissue spaces and act as gliding pads during contraction of masticatory and mimic muscles.⁶

The BB, the PD and the BFP are interrelated structures of the face. They could be damaged in operations such as rhytidectomy, parotid surgeries⁷⁶⁻⁷⁷ and BFP surgeries⁷¹⁻⁷² since they run close to each other. Injury to the BB or ZB may result in loss of function of the muscles involved. Damage to the parotid system can result in a PD fistula or a sialocele.¹² Blind dissection of the BFP may cause injury to the BB and PD, hematoma formation by ‘bleeding’¹⁶ and iatrogenic rupturing of the PD.¹⁷ While discrepancy exists regarding the positional relationships of the BB, PD and BFP in the literature, there is need to redefine these interrelations. Therefore, goals of this study were to define the relationship of BB with the PD, the BFP and the Zuker’s point, and to determine the incidence of the neural communications of BB with the ZB and marginal mandibular branches of the facial nerve (MMB).

Materials and Methods

Fifteen formalin-fixed cadaveric heads (8 females and 7 males) with a mean age of 73.93±14.42 (range: 44–92) years were evaluated bilaterally at the Anatomy Laboratory of Mersin University after taking the approval from Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Mersin University (2018/289). Skin, subcutaneous tissue and superficial musculo-aponeurotic system were dissected after preauricular incision. Branches of the facial nerve especially the BB, ZB and MMB, PD and BFP were revealed. The BB, ZB and MMB were dissected from their exit through the parotid gland until their entrance point into the facial muscles. The PD was dissected from its exit point at the anterior border of the parotid gland until its entrance to the oral cavity through the buccinator muscle. Any facial nerve branch lying superficial to or in contact with the zygomatic arch was accepted as the ZB. Whereas, any branch lying inferior to the zygomatic arch was accepted as the BB, as stated by Kehrer et al.¹⁴ Dissections were carried under a surgical microscope (Zeiss OPMI Pico, Jena, Germany) and a digital caliper (0.01 mm precision) was used for measurements. Dissections and measurements were conducted by the same researcher (ÖE). The following parameters were evaluated and recorded:

- Number of the BB anterior to the parotid gland (proximally) and around the BFP (distally).
- The origin of BB.
- The relationships of the BB with the ZB and the MMB.
- The number of the ZB.
- The Zuker’s point was determined as mid-distance between commissura labiorum and crus of helix,¹³ the structures on/around it were defined accordingly.
- Positional relationship of the BB with the PD (cross type: One or more than one branch crossed the PD; plexus type: Branches formed plexus on the PD; no crossing type: Branches coursed superior or inferior to the PD without crossing it).
- Positional relationships of the PD with the BFP (the PD crossed along superior border of the BFP; the PD crossed deep to the BFP; the PD crossing superficial to the BFP).¹⁶
- Positional relationship of the BB with the BFP (branches crossed superficial to the BFP; some twigs passed through/within the BFP).¹⁶

Results

The mean number of the BB proximal to the PD was 2.40±0.62 (range: 1–4) branches and distal to the PD was 4.0±1.03 (range: 2–6) branches. The number of proximal and distal branches was symmetric in 2/15 specimens (13%). The BB originated from both temporofacial and cervicofacial common trunks in 27/30 sides (90%), only from temporofacial trunk in 2/30 (7%) sides and only from cervicofacial trunk in one side (3%). The BB originated from both temporofacial and cervicofacial common trunks were symmetric in 4/15 specimens (27%).

The relationships of the BB with the ZB and the MMB are shown in Table 1. They were grouped as “no relation”, “communicating”, “common trunk” and “communicating+common trunk” regarding sides. The communication between the BB and ZB was symmetric in one case

| No relation | Communication | Common trunk | Communication+Common trunk |
|-------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| BB-ZB       | 7             | 7            | 5                         | 11                        |
| BB-MMB      | 19            | 3            | 7                         | 1                         |

BB: buccal branches of the facial nerve; MMB: marginal mandibular branches of the facial nerve; ZB: zygomatic branches of the facial nerve.
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(6%) and with MMB, in 5 cases (33%). Neural communications and formation of common trunk between the BB and ZB was present in 2/15 specimens (13%).

The mean numbers of the ZB were 1.90±0.60 (range: 1–3) branches. The numbers of ZB were symmetrical in 8/15 specimens (53%).

The Zuker’s point was determined 58.21±4.24 mm away from the crus of helix (range: 50.00–65.00) mm. The Zuker’s point crossed the superior border of the BFP by a nerve branch in 9 specimens (6 ZB, 2 BB and 1 buccozygomatic trunk). There were 13 ZB, 4 BB and 4 buccozygomatic trunks running superior and in close proximity to Zuker’s point and 9 PD, 6 BB, 1 ZB and 1 buccozygomatic trunk running inferior to Zuker’s point.

When the positional relationships of the BB with the PD were evaluated; crossing type found in 20/30 (67%), plexus type in 8/30 (27%) and no crossing type in 2/30 (6%) sides where the BB coursed superior or inferior to the PD without crossing it (Figures 1a–c). The positional relationships of the BB and PD were symmetric in 8/15 cases (53%) as it was of plexus type in one case and crossing type in other cases. The mean number of intersection points of the BB and the PD was 4.03±1.03 (range: 2–6) points.

The PD crossed along the superior border of the BFP in 20/30 (66%) (Figures 1a and b); deep to the BFP in 8/30 (27%) and between superior and inferior lobes of the BFP in 2/30 (7%) of sides (Figures d–f). The positional relationships of the PD and BFP were symmetric in 8/15 specimens (53%). In 7 of those cases, the PD crossed along the superior border of the BFP and in one case the PD crossed deep to the BFP.

When positional relationships of the BB with the BFP examined, it was observed that the BB crossed superficial

Figure 1. Relationships between the BB, BFP, FA, MM and PD on left sided specimens. (a) The BB crossed the PD. The BB were superficial to the BFP. The PD ran superior border of the BFP. (b) The BB formed a plexus on the PD. The PD was superior to the BFP. (c) The BB coursed superior and inferior to the PD without crossing it. (d) The PD ran deep to the BFP. (e) The PD coursed between superior and inferior lobes of the BFP. The blue spot indicated the Zuker’s point just superior to the buccozygomatic trunk. (f) The BB coursed through and deep to the BFP. BB: Buccal branch of the facial nerve; BFP: buccal fat pad; FA: facial artery; i: inferior lobe of buccal fat pad; marks: PDs’ continuation; MM: marginal mandibular branch of the facial nerve; OOc: orbicularis oculi muscle; PD: parotid duct; s: superior lobe of buccal fat pad; star: zygomaticus minor muscle; ZB: zygomatic branch of the facial nerve; ZMj: zygomaticus major muscle.
to the BFP in 24/30 (80%) and some twigs of BB passed superficial and some twigs passed deep/through the BFP in 6/30 (20%) of sides (Figures 1a and f).

**Discussion**

There is a large diversity regarding the number of BB which has been reported to be composed of 1 to 5 branches.\[^{[1,3,4,16,19–21]}\] Only a single BB has been reported to be found 2.5% to 85%, two branches 15% to 50%, three branches 48.6% and four branches 5.7%.\[^{[11,22–24]}\] In the present study, the mean number of BB was identified as 2.40±0.62 (range: 1–4) branches proximally and 4.03±1.03 (range: 2–6) branches distally. It is important to note that main BB observed to divide into terminal branches around the BFP running to the relevant muscles. However, Saylam et al.\[^{[25]}\] have reported that 55% of the BB divided into two branches, 18% divided into three branches and the 27% had a plexiform pattern.

The BB is classically defined to be formed by two roots, one from the temporofacial and one from the cervicofacial division, or from a common stem with the MMB or the ZB.\[^{[19,23,24]}\] In the present study, the BB originated from both temporofacial and cervicofacial trunks in 90%, only from temporofacial trunk in 7% and only from cervicofacial trunk in 3% of sides. The findings of Kwak et al.\[^{[27]}\] were similar to the present study that in 93.2% of cases BB originated from two trunks; one from the temporofacial and one from the cervicofacial division. In 6.8% of cases the BB originated from either temporofacial or cervicofacial trunks.

Interconnections between the BB and the ZB or connections of BB with the MMB have been reported previously.\[^{[19,27,28]}\] Kwak et al.\[^{[27]}\] categorized facial nerve branching pattern according to origin of the BB. They reported that there were communicating branches between the BB and the ZB with a prevalence of 70% and between the BB and the MMB with a prevalence of 42%. Consistent decussations between the BB and the ZB were noted as 67% in classification of Davis et al.\[^{[26]}\] Katz and Catalano\[^{[25]}\] reported that the MMB may communicate with the BB in 10% of cases. The present study revealed that there was no crossing of the BB with the ZB in 23%. Our results showed but there were neural communications between BB and ZB in 23% and in 17% BB and ZB formed a common trunk. Interestingly, there were both neural communications and common trunk formation in 37% of the dissected specimens. The relationship of the BB with the MMB showed no relation in 63%, only communication in 10%, a common trunk in 23% and both communication and common trunk in 3%. These findings revealed that the BB has more interactions with the ZB than the MMB. The spontaneous recovery of motor functions and nerve relays in case of surgical lesions can be explained by these communications.\[^{[29,30]}\]

The ZB has been generally reported to be multiple.\[^{[3,11]}\] In the present study, the number mean of ZB was 1.90±0.60 (range: 1–3) branches. The Zuker’s point was determined as 58.21±4.24 mm from the crus of helix (range: 50–65) mm. The intersection of the Zuker’s point with the nerve branches was observed in 30% of sides. This intersection was seen in 6 out of 9 the ZB branches, 2 out of 9 the BB and in one side it was a buccozygomatic trunk. Not on the Zuker’s point, but superior to it, there were 13 ZB, 4 BB and 4 buccozygomatic trunks. At the same time, there were 4 BB and PD together, 9 PD, 6 BB, one ZB and one buccozygomatic trunk coursing inferiorly and in close proximity to the Zuker’s point. In the study of Doraşhar et al.,\[^{[1]}\] the intersection of the branch supplying the zygomaticus major muscle and the Zuker’s point has been described in 39%. The branches inferior to Zuker’s point were reported in 28% and superior to Zuker’s point in 33%. The Zuker’s point has been described to be 53.33 mm away from the crus of helix (range: 45–63) mm. The results of that study were similar to the present study, but they did not mention about the PD. In the present study, it is also revealed that the PD was in close proximity with Zuker’s point. This point is suggested as a clinical guide to help to prevent nerve injuries.\[^{[30]}\] The location of PD which can be found in close proximity being inferior to the Zuker’s point should not be overlooked.

The BB is classically described that it has close relationship with the PD. The BB lies below the PD about 2.5 cm after emerging from the parotid gland. The PD may be crossed by communicating branches between the BB and ZB.\[^{[4]}\] Pogrel et al.\[^{[24]}\] reported that BB courses below the PD in 75%; above the PD in 10%; two BB, one passing superior to the PD and one passing below it in 15%. Saylam et al.\[^{[25]}\] reported a single BB coursing below the PD in 60% cases. Liu et al.\[^{[26]}\] stated that the BB courses within the region of 10.7 mm superior and 9.3 mm inferior to the PD. In the present study, positional relationships of BB with PD were determined in three different patterns. Majority of the BB crossed the PD (67%), some of the branches were observed to form a plexus along the PD (27%) and in some specimens BB were observed to course superior or inferior to PD without crossing it (6%). The pattern that BB, forming a plexus on the PD, is first revealed in the present study as far as we know. Therefore, the BB forming a plexus on the PD in 27% of sides is the remarkable difference of the
present study. In PD surgeries, these plexuses may pose a serious risk for iatrogenic injuries. These kind of cases may be more prone to injury as they are more superficial in regional surgeries.

The mean number of intersections of the BB and the PD has been reported as 2.49±1.15 in the study of Tsai et al. They observed two intersection points in 37%, three intersection points in 23%, one intersection point in 20%, four intersection points in 14% and five intersection points in 6% of cases. On the other hand, Erbil et al. reported that BB never crossed PD, all were inferior to PD. In the present study, the mean number of intersection points of the BB and the PD was 4.03±1.03 (range: 2–6) and that was 67% of the sides. Additionally, BB was crossing PD in 6% of our specimens.

Gross anatomy, functions, pathology and clinical uses of the BFP has been well described in the study of Yousuf et al. However, its relationship with the PD and the BB was not mentioned. On the other hand, in the study of Hwang et al., the interrelations of BFP with BB and PD have been evaluated. It has been mentioned that PD crossed superficial to the buccal extension of BFP in 42.1%; deep to it in 26.3%; and crossed along its superior border in 31.6%. In the present study, the PD was deep to the BFP in 27% of specimens as in previous studies. We observed that the PD crossed the superior border of the BFP in 66% of specimens; and run between the superior and inferior lobes of the BFP in 7%. On the other hand, Surek et al. reported that PD coursed on the superior aspect of BFP constantly. Hwang et al. also reported that BB crossed superficial to BFP in 73.7% and two twigs of BB passed through the buccal extension of BFP in 26.3%. These results were in similar with our findings that the BB crossed superficial to the BFP in 80% of our specimens while some twigs passing superficial and some twigs passed through the BFP in 20%. In another study, it is mentioned that the anterior surface of BFP was covered by BB in 75%, while the lateral border of BFP was covered by ZB in 90%. But we did not detect any ZB related with the BFP. It is interesting to note that positional relationships of PD with the BB and BFP were symmetric in 53% of specimens.

Conclusion

This study presented the relationships of the BB ZB, PD and BFP. It is shown that position of the BB according to the PD and the BFP is not constant. While majority of the BB (67%) crossed the PD, remarkable ratio (27%) of BB formed plexus on the PD. The position of PD according to the BFP also varies. It is important to know that 27% of the PD run deep to BFP and 7% of it run between superior and inferior lobes of the BFP. Additionally, the BB course superficial to the BFP in 80%, while they course both superficial and through/within the BFP in 20%. Descriptive findings of this study may be helpful in several surgical, particularly reconstructive and aesthetic procedures which will be performed in this region.
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