External Fixation of Extra-Articular Open Tibial Fractures
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SUMMARY

External fixation is one of the most commonly used methods for the treatment of open tibial fractures. In everyday practice, for fixation of open tibial fractures we use the external fixator by Mitković. External fixator is unilateral and easy to use. This retrospective study included 59 patients with 59 open tibial fractures, of which 37 (62.71%) men and 22 (37.28%) women, with mean age 43.92 (16-84) years. The fractures were localized in the proximal part of the tibia (11), tibial shaft (29) and distal part of the tibia (19). According to Gustilo classification, 12 (20.33%) patients had Type I open tibial fractures, 15 (25.42%) patients had Type II open tibial fractures, and 32 (54.23%) (13 IIIA, 17 IIIB, 2 IIIC) patients had Type III open tibial fractures. The union rate without complications was 77.96% (46). Nonunion and delayed union rate was 15.25% (9). Malunion rate was 6.77% (4). Pin tract infection rate was 13.55% (8). Compartment syndrome was observed in 5.08% (3) of patients. The patients had fasciotomy done and the external fixator applied. The average time of fracture healing was 26 weeks (6.06 months). External fixation of open tibial fractures is a simple and effective method that enables the safe healing of fractures, early mobilization of patients, early weight-bearing as well as early rehabilitation.
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INTRODUCTION

Because of its position in the human body, tibia is the most commonly injured long bone (1). Tibial fractures usually occur due to high energy trauma, but they can also occur because of the force of axial loads with rotation. Older patients with osteoporosis can face tibial fractures which are caused by low energy trauma. Open lower leg fracture can represent a huge problem for a surgeon. In literature, various surgical methods for tibial fractures treatments can be found (2-11). Two of the most commonly used methods are intramedular fixation and external fixation (4, 12). Because of the subcutaneous localization of tibia, external fixation allows fixation and treatment of both open and closed tibial fractures (12).

AIM

The aim of the paper was to show the efficacy of the external fixation method with unilateral external fixator in the treatment of open tibial fractures.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The retrospective study shows the results of the treatment of 59 patients with 59 open tibial fractures. All fractures were treated with the external fixation method at the Clinic of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Clinical Center Niš. Fractures were fixed using the unilateral Mitković external fixator. The follow-up period was 16-24 months post-injury.

RESULTS

Retrospectively, we analyzed 59 open tibial fractures, of which 37 (62.71%) in men and 22 (37.28 %) in women, with mean age 43.92 (16-84) years. The fractures were localized in the proximal part of the tibia (11), tibial shaft (29) and distal part of the tibia (19) (Table 1, 2). According to the Gustilo classification, 12 (20.33 %) patients had Type I open tibial fractures, 15 (25.42 %) patients had Type II open tibial fractures and 32 (54.23%) (13 IIIA, 17 IIIB, 2 IIIC) patients had Type III open tibial fractures (Figure 1, 2).

Figure 1. External fixation of an open tibial shaft fracture
A. X ray- AP view; B. X ray- Lateral view;
C. Open tibial shaft fracture;
D. External fixation of the open tibial shaft fracture.
Figure 2. The lower leg after external fixation of the open tibial fracture

Table 1. Fracture localization

| Fracture localization          | N |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Proximal part of the tibia     | 11|
| Tibial shaft                   | 29|
| Distal part of the tibia       | 19|

Table 2. Open tibial fractures according to the Gustilo-Anderson classification

| Gustilo-Anderson type  | N |
|------------------------|---|
| Type I                 | 12|
| Type II                | 15|
| Type III A             | 13|
| Type III B             | 17|
| Type III C             | 2 |
The union rate without complications was 77.96% (46). Nonunion and delayed union rate was 15.25% (9). Malunion rate was 6.77% (4) (Graph 1). Pins tract infection rate was 13.55% (8). Compartment syndrome was observed in 5.08% (3) of patients. The average time of fractures healing was 26 weeks (6.06 months). The final functional outcomes according to the Lower Extremity Functional Scale (LEFS) were excellent in 37 (62.71%), good in 15 (25.42%), moderate in 5 (8.47%) and poor in 2 (3.38%) patients.

**DISCUSSION**

Surgical treatment of open tibial fractures, whether they are treated with internal or external fixation, usually leads to healing and good treatment results (13). The most commonly used methods for the treatment of tibia are the methods of internal fixation with plates, intramedullary nails and external fixation with external fixators. External fixator could be used as a temporary or definite method for fracture fixation (3-8). In deciding which method will be used for fracture treatment, the type of fracture, condition of soft tissue and neurovascular status of the injured extremity are of great importance. External fixation is a great method and according to our experience it can be applied to every type of closed and opened tibial fracture at any level, whether the proximal part of tibia, tibial shaft or distal part of tibia is affected. A lot of intra-articular fractures can also be treated with the method of external fixation. Possibilities of postoperative complications such as infections and postoperative osteitis are minimal after external fixation of tibial fracture. In case of infection and osteitis after internal fixation of tibial fracture, external fixation is the only method which can be used after the removal of osteo-fixational materials. The external fixator by Mitković which is used in our practice allows a lot of postoperative corrections and manipulations; it also enables compression and distraction as well as the correction of angular and rotational deformities. This fixator enables an additional surgical intervention on soft tissues if necessary (10, 12). Relative disadvantages of this method are discomfort of patients because of the fixator, necessity of regular toilets around the pins and the possibility of the pin-tract infection. External fixation of open tibial fractures is a widely accepted method of treatment by many authors around the world. Shaw et al. reported 100% union in a group of 44 closed tibial fractures and open fractures Gustilo type I and Gustilo type II, treated with the external fixation method (14). Keating et al. had 95% union rate after the external fixation of 100 tibial fractures (47 closed and 53 open) with Orthofix external fixator. The same authors reported 6% nonunion in the same series after using external fixation, 14% malunion.
after external fixation of closed tibial fractures, and 32 %
malunion after external fixation of open fractures (7).
Krettek et al. found 10.9 % nonunion after the external
fixation of 202 tibial fractures (70 closed and 132 open)
(8). The Mitković external fixator consists of a road, a
carrier of clamps, and clamps and pins which are placed
in the bone. Pins are placed convergent and for their
placement a special guidance is not needed. The appa-
\ratatus has been tested in the laboratory and it has been
applied on several thousand of patients. It is being used
for primary treatment as well as possible complications
after the treatment of open tibial fractures (12).

CONCLUSION

Open tibial fractures are serious injuries and they
can represent a huge problem. External fixation is one of
the widely accepted and applied methods in the world.
External fixation with external fixator by Mitković is a
great method for the treatment of every type of open
tibial fracture, at any level. The apparatus-external fixator
provides good biomechanic conditions for fracture heal-
ing as well as postoperative corrections if necessary and
early rehabilitation of patients who have been operated
on.
References

1. Courtney PM, Bernstein J, Ahn J. Closed tibial shaft fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2011; 469: 3518-21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-011-2086-5

2. Bhandari M, Tornetta P, Sprague Sh, et al. Predictors of reoperation following operative management of fractures of the tibial shaft. J Orthop Trauma 2003; 17: 353-61. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005131-200305000-00006

3. Emami A, Mjoberg B, Karlstrom G, Larsson S. Treatment of closed tibial shaft fractures with unilateral external fixation. Injury 1995; 26: 299-303. https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-1383(95)00037-A

4. Horas U, Popa RB, Kilian O, et al. "Biorigid" interlocking after undreamed intramedullary nailing of tibial shaft fractures. Unfallchirurg 2002; 105: 797-803. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00113-002-0424-5

5. Jensen JS, Hansen FW, Johansen J. Tibial shaft fractures. A comparison of conservative treatment and internal fixation with conventional plates or AO compression plates. Acta Orthop Scand 1977; 48: 204-12. https://doi.org/10.3109/17453677708985136

6. Tejvani N, Polonet D, Wolinsky PR. External fixation of tibial fractures. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2015; 23: 126-30. https://doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-14-00158

7. Keating JF, Gardner E, Leach WJ, Macpherson S, Abrami G. Management of tibial fractures with the orthofix dynamic external fixator. J R Coll Surg Edinb 1991; 36: 272-7.

8. Krettek C, Haas N, Tschehme H. Results of treatment of 202 fresh tibial shaft fractures, managed with unilateral external fixation (monofixateur). Unfallchirurg 1989; 92: 440-52.

9. Melendez EM, Colon C. Treatment of open tibial fractures with the Orthofix fixator. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1989; 241: 224-30.

10. Mitkovic BM, Bumbasirevic ZM, Lesic A, et al. Dynamic external fixation of comminuted intraarticular fractures of the distal tibia (type C pilon fractures). Acta Orthop Belg 2002; 68: 508-14.

11. Oh CW, Park BC, Kyung HS, et al. Percutaneous plating for unstable tibial fractures. J Orthop Sci 2003; 8: 166-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s007760300028

12. Mitkovic M. New concepts in external fixation. Prosveta, Niš. 1993.

13. Trafton PG. Closed unstable fractures of the tibia. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1988; 230: 58-67. https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-198805000-00007

14. Shaw DL, Lawton JO. External fixation for tibial fractures: clinical results and cost effectiveness. J R Coll Surg Edinb 1995; 40: 344-6.
Spoljašnja fiksacija otvorenih vanzglobnih preloma tibije
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SAŽETAK

Spoljašnja fiksacija je jedna od najčešće primenjivanih metoda za lečenje otvorenih preloma tibije. Za fiksaciju otvorenih preloma tibije u svakodnevnoj praksi mi primenjujemo spoljašnji fiksator po Mitkoviću. Spoljašnji fiksator je unilateralni i jednostavan za primenu. Retrospekativnom studijom je uključeno 59 pacijenata sa 59 otvorenih preloma tibije, od toga 37 (62,71%) muškaraca i 22 (37,28%) žene, prosečne starosti 43,92 (16-84) godine. Prelomi su lokalizovani u proksimalnom delu tibije (11), dijafizi tibije (29) i distalnom delu tibije (19). Prema Gustilovoj klasifikaciji, 12 (20,33%) pacijenata je zadobilo otvoreni prelom Tip I, 15 (25,42%) pacijenata je zadobilo Tip II otvoreni prelom i 32 (54,23%) pacijenata Tip III (13 IIIA, 17 IIIB, 2 IIIC) otvoreni prelom tibije. Zarastanje preloma bez komplikacija bilo je prisutno kod 77,96% (46) pacijenata. Nezarastanje i usporeno zaraštanje preloma bilo je prisutno kod 15,25% (9) pacijenata, a loše zarastanje preloma kod 6,77% (4) pacijenata. Infekcija oko klinova aparata bila je prisutna kod 13,55% (8) pacijenata, dok je kompartman sindrom bio zabeležen kod 5,08% (3) pacijenata. Kod ovih pacijenata je u toku spoljašnje fiksacije preloma urađena fasciotomija. Prosečno vreme zarastanja preloma bilo je 26 nedelja (6,06 meseci). Spoljašnja fiksacija otvorenih preloma tibije je jednostavna i efikasna metoda koja omogućava zarastanje preloma, ranu mobilizaciju pacijenata, rani oslonac i ranu rehabilitaciju.
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