Recovery from 6-month spaceflight at the International Space Station: muscle-related stress into a proinflammatory setting
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ABSTRACT: The Sarcolab pilot study of 2 crewmembers, investigated before and after a 6-mo International Space Station mission, has demonstrated the substantial muscle wasting and weakness, along with disruption of muscle’s oxidative metabolism. The present work aimed at evaluating the pro/anti-inflammatory status in the same 2 crewmembers (A, B). Blood circulating (c-)>microRNAs (miRs), c-proteasome, c-mitochondrial DNA, and cytokines were assessed by real-time quantitative PCR or ELISA tests. Time series analysis was performed (i.e., before flight and after landing) at 1 and 15 d of recovery (R+1 and R+15, respectively). C-biomarkers were compared with an age-matched control population and with 2-dimensional proteomic analysis of the 2 crewmembers’ muscle biopsies. Striking differences were observed between the 2 crewmembers at R+1, in terms of inflamma-miRs (c-miRs-21-5p, -126-3p, and -146a-5p), muscle specific (myo)-miR-206, c-proteasome, and IL-6/leptin, thus making the 2 astronauts dissimilar to each other. Final recovery levels of c-proteasome, c-inflamma-miRs, and c-myo-miR-206 were not reverted to the baseline values in crewmember A. In both crewmembers, myo-miR-206 changed significantly after recovery. Muscle biopsy of astronaut A showed an impressive 80% increase of α1-antitrypsin, a target of miR-126-3p. These results point to a strong stress response induced by spaceflight involving muscle tissue and the proinflammatory setting, where inflamma-miRs and myo-miR-206 mediate the systemic recovery phase after landing.—Capri, M., Morsiani, C., Santoro, A., Moriggi, M., Conte, M., Martucci, M., Bellavista, E., Fabbri, C., Giampieri, E., Albracht, K., Flück, M., Ruoss, S., Brocca, L., Canepari, M., Longa, E., Di Giulio, I., Bottinelli, R., Cerretelli, P., Salvioli, S., Gelfi, C., Franceschi, C., Narici, M., Rittweger, J. Recovery from 6-month spaceflight at the International Space Station: muscle-related stress into a proinflammatory setting, FASEB J. 33, 5168–5180 (2019). www.fasebj.org
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It is known that short- and long-term spaceflights are associated with physiologic and biologic changes of the human body (1–3). Currently, long-term orbiting flights are regularly performed to serve the International Space Station (ISS) missions, and deep space missions (e.g., to the moon or Mars) are thought to be feasible soon (4). Among the many bodily effects, those related to the skeletal-muscle apparatus and brain appear to be particularly relevant in terms of possible health risks and difficulty to revert the changes after landing (5). Many of the space-related changes are detrimental to the body, and it has been suggested that microgravity could be seen as a model of ageing (6).

Access to astronauts is quite limited, which is a considerable impediment to the generation of knowledge in space medicine. Luckily, the possibility of measuring advanced blood biomarkers, such as microRNAs (miRs), and pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines offer the intriguing opportunity of easily monitoring crew health concerning the physiologic and stress-associated challenges of spaceflight. In addition, circulating (c-)markers are promising tools for the evaluation of healthy and unhealthy ageing trajectories (7). Thus, blood is an informative tissue in which the presence and the concentration of markers may indicate not only tissue/organ injuries or suffering status but also epigenetic changes that may propagate in all the body, especially in the case of c-miRs. In fact, many of these molecules are able to modulate inflammatory signaling pathways, in particular the inflamma-miRs (miR-21-5p, -126-3p, -146a-5p), which were found to be increased or dysregulated in the blood with ageing or pathologic conditions (8).

The Sarcolab pilot study has studied the neuromuscular adaptations to long-term space flight in 2 crewmembers before and after a 6-mo ISS mission and has demonstrated substantial muscle wasting and weakness, along with disruption of muscle’s oxidative metabolism, as a result of spaceflight (9). The muscle atrophy observed with spaceflight has some analogy with the age-associated loss of muscle mass (sarcopenia) (10). In both conditions, the loss of muscle mass could contribute to the increase of c-markers networking with the stress response and proinflammatory status as well as inflamminge along with life span (11–13). Further support for such a view is provided by the recent observation that body core temperature is increased in space in a way that is independent of impeded heat dissipation and which seems to be linked with an inflammatory response (14).

The driving hypothesis is that spaceflight, as a prolonged stressor, and recovery may favor a proinflammatory status, increasing the molecular “garbage,” such as misplaced molecules (15), which in turn may favor the inflammatory stress conditions. To this purpose, the present work attempts to evaluate the pro- and anti-inflammatory status in the 2 crewmembers (A and B) who spent ~6 mo in space. Blood c-miRs, c-proteasome, c-mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), and cytokines were evaluated before flight and after 1 and 15 d of recovery and correlated with muscle proteomic analysis. All data were acquired taking into account the main question: How similar are the 2 crewmembers’ responses to spaceflight and recovery after 1 and 15 d from landing?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and time series sampling

Two crewmembers of the same sex and similar age, A and B, were tested before and after a 6-mo ISS mission. Ethics committee approval was obtained in accordance with the ethical standards presented in the Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Accordingly, informed consent was obtained prior to study inclusion and information on in-flight countermeasure training was obtained via data sharing with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration as previously reported (9). Blood/plasma and soleus muscle tissue samples were obtained between 76–79 d before flight (preflight) from both astronauts and at 24 h and 15 d after return (R+1 and R+15, respectively), in accordance with the previous work (9). Crewmembers’ data were compared to a healthy and age-matched control group recruited in Bologna, Italy. Blood and biopsy samples were obtained in the morning, after having food withheld overnight, both in astronauts as well as in the control group. The control group never undertook spaceflight. In particular, 19 plasma samples were collected from 6 healthy volunteers at 4 different times (up to 7 mo, but some samples were not obtained). Plasma samples were processed and frozen within 2 h after blood drawing. A large set of c-molecules, including miRs, proteasome, mtDNA, and cytokines as described in Table 1, was assessed in both crewmembers and control group. In particular, for each measurement, time series data of control group have been combined as baseline reference, thus including intraindividual and seasonal variability.

C-proteasome quantification

C-proteasome analysis was performed in plasma by a self-developed ELISA assay, as previously described (16). Briefly, ELISA plates were coated with a mouse monoclonal antibody toward 20S proteasome-subunit α6 (Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY, USA), and 20S purified proteasome in a concentration range of 0–100 ng/ml was used as calibration standard. An antiproteasome rabbit pAb (obtained from an expert research team) and then a peroxidase-conjugated mouse anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA) were applied for antigen detection. OD-values were determined at 450 nm. Every sample was tested in triplicate, and the mean of the values was reported.

C-miRs relative quantification

Total RNA was extracted from plasma-EDTA samples (100 μl) with a Total RNA Purification Kit (Norgen Biotek, Thorold, ON, Canada) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In addition, 20 fmol of spike-in cel-miR-39 (Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands) was added to the plasma samples at the lysis step as control for RNA extraction efficiency. Eight miRs were chosen, having a crucial and referenced regulatory role (see Table 1), and were measured by quantitative RT-PCR in plasma samples: miR-21-5p, -126-3p, -146a-5p, -145-5p, -133a-3p, -206, -122-5p, and -363-3p. These miRs were measured by applying TaqMan technologies (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA); this method consists of an miR-specific retrotranscription, in which RNA is first transcribed in cDNA for each miR, then cDNA is used as a template for the quantitative PCR reaction. ΔCt relative expression was calculated by ΔCt method using 2 replicates for each measurement. Ct values were normalized with miR-16-5p after validation of its stability along the time series analysis (17).
**C-mtDNA relative quantification**

Total DNA was isolated from plasma-EDTA samples using Quick-gDNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA). To quantify the free mtDNA copy number, a real-time quantitative PCR SYBR Green assay was performed using a standard curve as calibration. Assays were performed in duplicate by Rotor-Gene Q 6000 Detector (Qiagen), using SYBR GreenER Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and forward/reverse primer (specific for 69-bp fragment internal to the ND1 mt-gene fragment used for calibration). Specificity of PCR products was confirmed by melting curve analysis. Each run was repeated 3 times. Standard curve was set up using 10-log serial dilution of stock solution containing from $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-5}$ mtDNA copies/µl. To determine mtDNA copies, a 217-bp fragment, corresponding to MT-ND1 gene, was amplified by PCR and loaded on 1% agarose gel. DNA corresponding to the 217-bp band was isolated and quantified by absorbance and used as a calibrator. mtDNA copy number of calibrator was obtained by the total DNA concentration divided by amplicon weight. The latter was estimated as follows:

$$\text{copy number} = \frac{(217 \text{ bp} \times \text{MWt})}{A},$$

where MWt denotes the MW of double-stranded DNA $(6.6 \times 10^5 \text{ g/mole})$, and $A$ denotes Avogadro’s number $(6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecules/mole})$.

**Cytokines/leptin quantification**

IL-6, TGF-β1 and Leptin concentration were measured in plasma samples with commercial ELISA kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All measurements were performed in duplicate, and the average values were used in the statistical analyses.

**Muscle proteins**

Protein extraction and minimal labeling with cyanine dyes (Cy3 and Cy5), and 2-dimensional (2-D) separation and analyses were performed as previously described (9). Proteins of interest were identified by peptide mass fingerprinting (PMF) utilizing a matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization–tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS), as previously described (19). For further information about PMF and liquid chromatography–MS/MS, data are listed in Tables 2 and 3. A representative example of heat shock protein β-1 (HSPB1) analysis with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization–ToF PMF and electrospray ionization–MS/MS is reported in supporting information (Supplemental Fig. S4). Proteomic analyses were performed in triplicates.

**Bioinformatic analysis**

Validated miR targets were identified by means of Dianatools (mirPath v.3.0) using TarBase v.7.0 for the union of inflammation miRs targets and apart, the validated targets of muscle-specific (myo)-miR-206. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways and Gene Ontology (GO) category analyses were applied to identify the most significant molecular network involving the transcripts regulated by the selected miRs.

**Statistical analysis and modeling**

Data obtained by astronauts were compared with the control distribution, and a $z$ score test was applied for each value. Values of $P < 0.05$ were considered significant. Data exploiting was obtained by considering each molecule as an independent variable and was standardized by means of a box-cox transform. The different ranking of each variable was obtained by graphing the $z$ score of each molecular target and comparing it with the baseline population. Proteomic statistical analysis was performed using the DeCyder 1.0 extended data analysis module. Protein filters were set to select only those protein spots that matched >90% of the gel images, and these protein spots were included in data analysis. Statistically significant differences of 2-D–difference gel electrophoresis data were computed by paired 1-way ANOVA (2-sided) coupled to Tukey’s test; the significance level was set at $\alpha < 0.01$. In addition, the false discovery rate was applied as a multiple testing correction method to keep the overall error rate as low as possible (20). Two independent analyses were performed for crewmembers A and B by comparing R+1 vs. preflight and R+15 vs. preflight for each member.

**RESULTS**

All results have been reported both in dependence of time series (preflight, R+1, and R+15) and in comparison
TABLE 2. The entire list of proteins differentially expressed in all comparisons for both crewmembers (A and B), together with statistical analyses (values reported as P in columns in reference to Tukey’s test), protein Ac number and gene name.

| Protein | Accession no. | Gene | Subject A | R+1 vs. preflight | R+15 vs. preflight | Subject B | R+1 vs. preflight | R+15 vs. preflight |
|---------|---------------|------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
|         |               |      | Tukey’s test | % fold change | Tukey’s test | % fold change | Tukey’s test | % fold change | Tukey’s test | % fold change |
| Heat shock protein beta-1 | P04792 | HSPB1 | 7.28E-04 | -31 | 1.58E-04 | 29 | 9.47E-04 | 19 |
| Heat shock protein beta-1 | P04792 | HSPB1 | 1.66E-03 | -22 | 7.09E-03 | -18 | 1.55E-03 | 30 | 3.93E-03 | 24 |
| 60 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial | P10809 | HSPA12 | 5.05E-03 | -22 | 9.15E-03 | 49 |
| Heat shock-related 70 kDa protein 2 | P54662 | HSPA5 | 6.99E-03 | 21 |
| Endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP | P11021 | HSPA5 | 5.03E-03 | 22 |
| Annexin A2 | P07355 | ANXA2 | 4.32E-03 | 27 | 4.44E-04 | 30 |
| 1a-antitrypsin | P01009 | SERPINA1 | 5.33E-03 | 25 |
| Peroxiredoxin-2 | P32119 | PRDX2 | 9.15E-03 | 49 |
| Peroxiredoxin-6 | P30041 | PRDX6 | 8.91E-03 | 17 |
| Superoxide dismutase | Q7Z7M6 | SOD2 | 9.41E-03 | 19 |
| Catalase | P00400 | CAT | 8.83E-03 | 23 |
| Catalase | P00400 | CAT | 4.12E-03 | 50 |
| Glutathione S-transferase Mu2 | P28361 | GSTM2 | 3.93E-03 | 43 |
| Protein/nucleic acid deglycase D1-1 | Q99497 | PARK7 | 5.33E-03 | -25 |
| Tripartite motif-containing protein 72 | Q6ZM5 | TRIM72 | 3.93E-03 | -26 |

*SERPINA1: protein identified by liquid chromatography–MS/MS.
| Protein                                | Accession no. | Gene   | MW (kDa) | pI | Matched/searched peptides | Protein mascot score | Sequence coverage (%) | MS/MS sequence | MS/MS score | m/z | z | Range (aa) |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------|----|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----|---|----------|
| Heat shock protein beta-1              | P04792        | HSPB1  | 22.3     | 9.1| 8/17                     | 116                 | 92.2                  | LFDQAFGLPR      | 63          | 1163.635   | 1   | 28–37     |
| Heat shock protein beta-1              | P04792        | HSPB1  | 22.3     | 9.1| 9/23                     | 126                 | 44.7                  | LFDQAFGLPR      | 88          | 1163.633   | 1   | 28–37     |
| 60 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial| P10809        | HSPDI  | 61.2     | 5.6| 13/15                    | 161                 | 26.5                  | AAEEGIVLGGGCALLR | 95.6        | 1684.89    | 1   | 430–446   |
| Heat shock–related 70 kDa protein 2    | P54652        | HSPA2  | 69.9     | 5.5| 15                      | 143                 | 25.8                  | TTPSIVAFTDTER    | 104         | 1487.726   | 1   | 38–50     |
| Endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP    | P11021        | HSPA5  | 72.1     | 4.9| 10/18                    | 114                 | 20.4                  | EFFNGKEPSR       | 38          | 1210.6     | 1   | 376–385   |
| Annexin A2                             | P07355        | ANXA2  | 38.6     | 8.5| 14/33                    | 174                 | 36.0                  | QDIAFAYQR       | 42.5        | 1111.53    | 1   | 69–77     |
| α-1-antitrypsin*                       | P01009        | SERPNAI| 46.7     | 5.3| 5                       | 257                 | 12.7                  | Splash           | 28          | 398.297    | 2   | 405–411   |
| Peroxiredoxin-2                        | P32119        | PRDX2  | 21.9     | 5.6| 9/13                     | 158.0               | 44.4                  | QTIVNDLPVGR     | 66.6        | 1211.66    | 1   | 139–149   |
| Peroxiredoxin-6                        | P30041        | PRDX6  | 25.0     | 6.0| 12/22                    | 180.0               | 49.1                  | LLPSLEDDR       | 77.9        | 1085.594   | 1   | 96–106    |
| Superoxide dismutase                   | Q7Z7M6        | SOD2   | 22.2     | 7.0| 7/19                     | 99.0                | 38.4                  | AIWNVINWENTER    | 48.8        | 1743.882   | 1   | 179–192   |
| Catalase                               | P04040        | CAT    | 59.7     | 7.0| 10/36                    | 75                  | 23.9                  | AFVNVLNEEQR     | 59          | 1481.746   | 1   | 445–456   |
| Catalase                               | P04040        | CAT    | 59.7     | 7.0| 11/37                    | 102.0               | 30.0                  | AFVNVLNEEQR     | 85.3        | 1481.746   | 1   | 445–456   |
| Glutathione Stransferase               | P28161        | GSTM2  | 25.7     | 6.0| 10/38                    | 103.0               | 45.0                  | DCGATWVVLGHSER  | 87          | 1586.727   | 1   | 85–98     |
| Mu 2                                   | Q99497        | PARK7  | 19.9     | 6.4| 8/12                     | 105.0               | 39.2                  | GAEEMETVIPDVMR   | 77.2        | 1675.811   | 1   | 13–27     |
| Tripartite motif-containing protein 72 | Q5ZM5U5       | TRIM72 | 52.6     | 6.0| 9/18                     | 103.0               | 16.4                  | LLPAAAHAHAR      | 38          | 1048.59    | 1   | 119–128   |

*Ac, accession number; pI, isoelectric points. *Protein identified by liquid chromatography–MS/MS.
in particular, the former table is referred to GO: response to stress ($P = 6.78206035218 \times 10^{-33}$), whereas the latter is specifically related to miR-206 transcript targets.

Muscle proteomic analyses, tested for evaluable miR-targets, indicated significant differences in stress and antioxidant proteins comparing baseline with postflight (R+1) in 9 and 6 spots in crewmember A and B, respectively. Then, comparing baseline to recovery time (R+15), 6 spots changed in crewmember A and 5 spots in crewmember B (Fig. 7 and Table 2). In particular, 2 proteoforms (21), different molecular forms originated from the heat shock protein family B (small) member 1 gene (HSPB1) were changed in abundance in both crewmembers at R+1 (21% in A; +29% in B). Peroxiredoxin-2 (PRDX2) was more abundant in both crewmembers postflight (R+1) (+21% in A; +29% in B). Peroxiredoxin-6 (PRDX6) and superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2, a validated target of miR-21-5p and -146a-5p) were decreased (−17 and −19%), whereas 2 proteoforms of catalase were increased (+23 and +50%) in crewmember A after landing. Heat shock–related 70 kDa protein 2 (HSPA2, +49%) and glutathione S-transferase Mu 2 (GSTM2, +43%, putative target of miR-21-5p) were increased in abundance, whereas the mitochondrial 60 kDa heat shock protein (HSPD1, −22%) was down-regulated in crewmember A at recovery time. Protein/nucleic acid deglycase DJ-1 (PARK7, +35%, validated target of miR-126-3p) and endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP (HSPA5, +15%, validated target of

Figure 1. C-proteasome. A) Measurements are reported in dependence of time in both crewmembers. Circles represent preflight, triangles (R+1 d) landing time, and squares denote (R+15 d) recovery time. B) C-proteasome values of crewmembers are compared with age-matched control distribution (19 measurements). Blue: crewmember A; red crewmember B. **$P \leq 0.01$ (z-score test).

(Supplemental Tables S1 and S2). In particular, the former table is referred to GO: response to stress ($P = 6.78206035218 \times 10^{-33}$), whereas the latter is specifically related to miR-206 transcript targets.

Muscle proteomic analyses, tested for evaluable miR-targets, indicated significant differences in stress and antioxidant proteins comparing baseline with postflight (R+1) in 9 and 6 spots in crewmember A and B, respectively. Then, comparing baseline to recovery time (R+15), 6 spots changed in crewmember A and 5 spots in crewmember B (Fig. 7 and Table 2). In particular, 2 proteoforms (21), different molecular forms originated from the heat shock protein family B (small) member 1 gene (HSPB1) were changed in abundance in both crewmembers at R+1 (−31 and −22% in A; +29 and +30% in B) and recovery (−18% in A; +19 and +24% in B). Tripartite motif-containing protein 72 (TRIM72) was down-regulated after landing in both crewmembers (−25% in A and −31% in B) and only in A at recovery (−26%). SERPINA1 was more abundant in A after landing (+66%) and in both at recovery (+81% in A and +23% in B). SERPINA1 is also a validated target of miR-126-3p (22). Annexin A2 (ANXA2, validated target of miR-146a-5p) was down-regulated at R+1 (−27%) and up-regulated at recovery (+30%) in crewmember B. Peroxiredoxin-2 (PRDX2) was more abundant in both crewmembers postflight (R+1) (+21% in A; +29% in B). Peroxiredoxin-6 (PRDX6) and superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2, a validated target of miR-21-5p and -146a-5p) were decreased (−17 and −19%), whereas 2 proteoforms of catalase were increased (+23 and +50%) in crewmember A after landing. Heat shock–related 70 kDa protein 2 (HSPA2, +49%) and glutathione S-transferase Mu 2 (GSTM2, +43%, putative target of miR-21-5p) were increased in abundance, whereas the mitochondrial 60 kDa heat shock protein (HSPD1, −22%) was down-regulated in crewmember A at recovery time. Protein/nucleic acid deglycase DJ-1 (PARK7, +35%, validated target of miR-126-3p) and endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP (HSPA5, +15%, validated target of

Figure 2. C-myo-miR-206. A) Measurements are reported in dependence of time in both crewmembers. For explanation of symbols see Fig. 1. B) C-myo-miR-206 values of crewmembers are compared with age-matched control distribution (19 measurements). Blue: crewmember A; red crewmember B. **$P \leq 0.01$ (z-score test).
miR-21-5p) were increased in crewmember B at R+1 and recovery, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Prolonged distress or chronic exposures to stressors, including psychologic or physical stresses, are known to affect immune system function, which in turn increases inflammatory mediators (23, 24). Furthermore, chronic stress throughout the lifespan in absence or modest bodily adaptation and inefficient repair mechanisms may affect the ageing process and lifespan (15, 25, 26), favoring the disease onset (27, 28). Spaceflight may also represent a source of prolonged/chronic stress that is due not only to the psychologic aspect but also environment stressors, such as adaptation to microgravity, high workload, sleep deprivation, isolation and confinement, ionizing radiation, and potentially others (29).

The present work aimed at answering the questions of whether the 2 crewmembers (A and B), beyond the effects on skeletal muscle (9), had systemic effects in terms of pro- and anti-inflammatory c-molecules after about 6 mo of spaceflight at the ISS and if they recovered at 1 or 15 d after landing.

Both atrophy of skeletal muscle and systemic stress may affect the entire body, being that skeletal muscle is the most abundant tissue of the human body (about 30–40% of the body) and systemic stress is able to alter metabolism and homeostasis (3, 30, 31). Furthermore, recent evidence supports the hypothesis that systemic stress-evoked sterile inflammation initiates by the sympathetic nervous system, resulting in the increase of c-damage associated molecular patterns, such as mtDNA, and a reduction in immune-inhibitory miRs, which are carried in the blood circulation to tissues throughout the body (32).

To examine at the systemic level possible unbalancing homeostasis in terms of pro- and anti-inflammatory molecules, we measured relevant blood molecules and epigenetic regulators (i.e., c-myo-miRs-206 and -133a-3p, c-inflamma-miRs -21-5p, -126-3p, and -146a-5p, liver c-miR-122-5p, cell proliferation regulators c-miR-145-5p and -363-3p, c-proteasome, c-mtDNA, proinflammatory IL-6, anti-inflammatory TGF-β1, and leptin). Indeed, types of various c-shuttles (nano-microextracellular vesicles, proteins, and apolipoproteins) were not the objective of the present work, whereas the measurement of the total amount of c-miRs/different molecules was supposed to be more significant.

Relevant differences between the 2 crewmembers at 1 or 15 d, or both points, of recovery were identified, even if these findings cannot define the in-flight c-levels of the same molecules. Crewmember A showed more deviations from baseline after landing time (R+1) than crewmember B. In fact, c-inflamma-miRs -21-5p, -126-3p, and -146a-5p, and c-miR-206, c-proteasome, IL-6, and leptin were significantly increased after 1 d recovery. At R+15, crewmember A showed a significant increase of c-proteasome, inflamma-miRs, and myo-miR-206. Comparing these data with crewmember B, the only common molecule was myo-miR-206, which was still increased at R+15 in both crewmembers. It is known that myo-miR-206 is
preferentially expressed in skeletal muscle and completely absent, or expressed at relatively low levels, in other tissues. Notably, crewmember B trained more vigorously than A, particularly concerning the loading forces. In the postflight, crewmember A showed substantial decrements (i.e., muscle volume and architecture) in strength and in fiber contractility, which was strongly mitigated in B, as previously reported in a separate work on the same individuals (9). In fact, the increased level of c-miR-206 at landing time in astronaut A and in both astronauts at R+15 may also be associated with the different physical training status of the subjects. This finding suggests a possible role of c-miR-206 as a good candidate for the monitoring of skeletal muscle status. Regardless, a consistent literature indicates the full involvement of myo-miR-206 in different conditions, such as age, physical training, and type of exercise, such as acute or prolonged, aerobic or resistance or endurance activity (33–36).

MiR-206 promotes cell differentiation and cell inhibition and may influence cell regeneration in the muscle (37). In particular, miR-206 and miR-21 have been found to increase in muscle tissue in catabolic/atrophy condition in a mouse model (38). The contribution of muscle atrophy/wasting to the pool of c-miRs was recently confirmed in exosomes released by myofibers, supporting the conclusion that myofiber-derived exosomes modulate protein levels of key factors in myogenic or osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal progenitor cells (39). In particular, miR-21-5p was shown to promote the osteogenic differentiation of mouse bone marrow cells by targeting Sprouty homolog 1 (Spry1), negatively regulating the osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (40).

As far as inflamma-miRs are concerned, crewmember A showed the highest levels at R+15, whereas crewmember B showed increases of miR-126-3p and -146a-5p only at R+1, thus revealing 2 different trends between the 2 subjects, those being regulators of both stress response and inflammatory pathway (8).

Cellular miRs were previously studied in both in vitro microgravity experiments on earth and in vitro experiments run in the ISS. The former study was conducted with γ-ray coexposure and many miRs

---

**Figure 4.** IL-6 and leptin. A, C) Measurements of circulating IL-6 (A) and leptin (C) are reported in dependence of time in both crewmembers. For explanation of symbols see Fig. 1. B, D) IL-6 (B) and leptin (D) values of crewmembers are compared with age-matched control distribution (19 measurements). Blue: crewmember A; red crewmember B. **P ≤ 0.01 (z-score test).
involving cell cycle machinery and DNA repair system resulted dysregulated (41). The latter showed the dysregulation of miR-21 in a different experiment setting (42), thus highlighting its involvement in spaceflight effects.

Once c-inflamma-miRs are up-taken by cells and tissue, they are able to modulate many genes. Taking into account KEGG pathway analysis, NF-κB pathway had high significance \((P = 2.516315e^{-208})\), but p53 signaling \((P = 9.370703e^{-207})\) and the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway \((P = 0.0001298907)\) also significantly fit the miR-targets. In particular, phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase regulatory subunit \(\alpha\) (PIK3R1), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase regulatory subunit \(\beta\) (PIK3R2), insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1), and serine/threonine kinase 1 (AKT1) are inflamma-miR targets and represent the central pathway involving muscle/tissue anabolism/synthesis. Accordingly, some proteins related to mTOR pathway have also been identified as dysregulated in our previous paper (9). Taking into account the GO category analysis, stress response resulted among those strongly significant \((P = 6.78206035218e^{-33})\). Interestingly, a common target of both miR-21-5p and miR-146a-5p is CLOCK (43, 44). This protein plays a central role in the regulation of circadian rhythms and could have a systemic role because of its ubiquitous expression in testis, thyroid, and many other tissues.

The inflamma-miR increase may be due to an augmented exocytosis that can be, at least in part, stress related (32), due to an increased tissue and cell injury, or both, especially in crewmember A. The tissue injury is also confirmed by the increase of c-proteasome, reaching a concentration similar to that of autoimmune disease (45) in crewmember A after final recovery. Accordingly, the increase of c-mtDNA was dramatically evident in crewmember A, even if at the limit of the normal range. Overall, the \(\text{in vivo}\) c-proteasome and c-mtDNA levels mediate the inflammatory pathway and represent a general mechanism to switch on inflammation, immune cell activities also being markers of muscle wasting (16, 46). On the other side, the increase of c-proteasome in crewmember A at R+15 d as a marker of muscle recovery cannot be completely excluded (47). Noteworthy, IL-6 increased only in astronaut A at 1 d recovery concomitantly to leptin, and both are important regulators of inflammation and bone turnover (48).

Importantly, various stress-related and antioxidant proteins were found modified in skeleton muscle, and many of them are direct targets of c-inflamma-miRs. A direct or indirect effect between muscle tissue proteins and blood c-miRs may only be speculated, which is a limitation of the work. However, it is worth noting that concomitantly with the increased inflamma-miR levels in astronaut A, the soleus muscle tissue showed an increase of 80% \(\alpha\) SERPINA1, a serine protease inhibitor.

**Figure 5.** All normalized markers in crewmembers A and B at preflight, R+1, and R+15 times. Y-axis describes z scores and gray zone contains control group values. Values outside the gray zone are considered significant.
belonging to acute phase protein and validated target of miR-126-3p (22), apparently as a tissue-related anti-inflammatory response. This effect was also revealed in astronaut B but to a lesser extent and at final recovery time only. Recent data suggest that SERPINA1 is also expressed by endothelial cells after exposure to simulated microgravity (49) and may represent an important marker of tissue-related anti-inflammatory response. SERPINA1 increase can be due to the miR-126-3p decrease, especially in endothelial cells where it is usually expressed (50), assuming that a relationship exists with the miR-126-3p increase in the blood, as observed in both astronauts even if timing differed.

In agreement with all data obtained, spaceflight recovery had greater effects on crewmember A than B. In fact, muscle stress–related proteins, such as HSPs, GSTM, PRDX, ANXA2, and PARK7, were largely modified in crewmember A rather than in B. These results point to muscle-stress responses that also involve oxido-reductase enzymes like SOD and catalase as well as the repair membrane protein TRIM72. The latter was decreased in muscle tissue at both recovery times in astronaut A and at final recovery time in astronaut B. Similar results in terms of stress-related pathway activation were previously observed in mouse model after 91 d of spaceflight (51).

Overall, these results corroborate the view obtained from our previous paper (9), where the 2 crewmembers had different spaceflight recovery effects, in which crewmember A was the most affected. In particular, muscle-related stress and proinflammatory status are here highlighted in crewmember A, whereas crewmember B’s recovery was almost completed except for myo-miR-206. Inflamma-miRs seem to mediate the systemic recovery of crewmember A, and they are expected to reach a complete recovery beyond 15 d from landing.

In a complex field like space and spaceflight, N of 1 could be a critical issue. However, population size, usually based on a relatively low number of crewmembers, can be overwhelmed by time series (or longitudinal) personalized studies. In fact, the effects of the 6-mo chronic exposure to such an environment with many variables can have substantially different effects in different individuals, thus the study of intraindividual variability along the time of exposure/recovery becomes more informative (52).

Based on a personalized time series analysis, the present data further underpin the importance of countermeasures aimed at reducing, as much as possible, skeletal muscle wasting (9). Moreover, these data further suggest a linkage among muscle wasting, stress response and inflammation and potentially affecting systemic metabolism. In this perspective, the prolonged or chronic exposure to space/ spaceflight may favor the development of metabolic alterations, even if additional analyses with later time points are necessary.

Figure 6. The 2 crewmembers, A and B, are compared at preflight vs. R+15. All markers are normalized. Y-axis describes z scores and gray zone contains control group values. Values outside the gray zone are considered significant.
Figure 7. Proteomic analysis in human skeletal muscle. Histograms of stress and antioxidant proteins differentially expressed in the soleus muscle between baseline vs. R+1 (colored bars) and baseline vs. R+15 (striped bars) in crewmember A (blue bars) and B (red bars), as detected by 2-D-DIGE analysis. Proteins significantly changed (paired t-test, α = 0.01) are indicated by their gene name and expressed as a percent of spot volume variation. Statistical details are showed in Table 2.
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