Use of non-pneumatic anti shock garment in hemorrhagic shock
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ABSTRACT

Background: Non-pneumatic anti-shock garment (NASG) is a first-aid device that reverses hypovolemic shock and decreases obstetric haemorrhage. It consists of articulated neoprene segments that close tightly with Velcro, shunting blood from the lower body to the core organs, elevating blood pressure and increasing preload and cardiac output. The use of an NASG can stabilize a patient while awaiting transport, during transport, or during delays in receiving care at referral facilities.

Methods: A prospective observational study of use of non-pneumatic anti shock garment (NASG) in cases with obstetric hemorrhagic shock was carried out at a tertiary referral center. As soon as severe shock was recognized in the hospital, the anti-shock garment was placed. Data on various parameters related to use of NASG was collected and interpreted to draw conclusions.

Results: NASG was used in 25 cases of hemorrhagic shock during one-year period. Post-partum hemorrhage (36%) was the commonest indication for NASG use, followed by ruptured tubal ectopic pregnancy (28%). It was observed that 68% and 32% of women had shock index of 1-1.5 and above 1.5 respectively at the time of application of NASG. The shock index rapidly improved to 0.5-0.9 in 92% and 1-1.5 in 8 percent of cases respectively after the application of NASG. The NASG was mainly used in labour room (40%) and emergency department (36%). NASG was applied by nurses and doctors together in 64% of cases. NASG was kept for a period 24 hours in 92% cases. The survival rate was 96% following use of NASG.

Conclusions: NASG is a temporizing alternative measure in hemorrhagic shock management that shows a trend to reduce hemorrhage related deaths and severe morbidities. NASG should be made available at all health facilities that deal with high risk pregnancies and deliveries.
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INTRODUCTION

Haemorrhagic shock is a rare but serious complication, which may occur in many obstetrical situations. Haemorrhage is a leading cause of maternal death in the developing world.1 Death and morbidity secondary to haemorrhage are becoming less common due to early recognition and intervention and improved availability of medical resources. Obstetrical haemorrhage is often acute, dramatic, and underestimated.2 Postpartum haemorrhage is a significant cause of maternal death.2 Haemorrhage occurs when there is excessive external or
METHODS

A prospective observational study was carried out for a period of one year at tertiary care hospital located in rural area in Maharashtra, India. Approximately 10,000 deliveries take place per year in this hospital. Majority of complicated and high-risk cases are referred to this hospital from nearby towns and villages. The hospital provides blood bank facility 24 hours a day. Common obstetric emergencies causing hypovolemic shock, that are reported from hospital are postpartum hemorrhage (PPH), ectopic gestation, antepartum hemorrhage (APH), post caesarean shock, retained placenta, inversion of uterus, liver disorders, puerperal sepsis, thromboembolism. NASG is applied by the doctor on emergency duty, whenever patient is reported to have hypovolemic shock. Cases coming from outside with anti-shock garment were not included in the study. As soon as severe shock was recognized in the hospital, the anti-shock garment was placed. Crystalloid solutions were given intravenously over the first hour at a rate of 1500mL per estimated liter of blood loss, then at a maintenance rate of 150mL/hour. Vital signs were monitored every 15 to 30 minutes, hourly urine output and intermittent oxygen saturation were used to monitor patients during the use of the anti-shock garment. Definitive medical or surgical management of cases was done. When sufficient blood transfusion and fluid infusion had been given to restore the blood pressure and hemoglobin to >7g/dL, the anti-shock garment was removed in segments at 15-minute intervals with documentation of vital signs before removal of each subsequent portion. The non-pneumatic anti shock garment was used either in casualty, in ward, in operation theatre or intensive care unit in different cases. It was applied by either trained nurses or doctors or both depending on the situation. The criteria for use of NASG were predetermined and thus followed in the hospital. The decision regarding application and removal of NASG was taken by specialist doctors. The vital parameters like state of consciousness, blood pressure, pulse, blood loss assessment, laboratory investigations and emergency medical and surgical management was performed by team of doctors available in the hospital. After initial assessment and starting resuscitative measures, cases are shifted either to labour room or operation theatre, depending on the diagnosis. Separate set of NASG is kept in casualty and labour room in order to avoid the delay in procurement from other places in the hospital. Patients of obstetric hemorrhage or shock are shifted to operation theatre and are operated with NASG in situ. Cases developing hypotension during surgery were put on NASG along with fluids management, plasma expanders, blood or components and inotropetic drugs. Critical patients are shifted from operation theatre to post-operative ward or surgical intensive care unit for observation. The segments of NASG are released, one by one, as per recommendations and instructions of the intensivist, depending on the clinical and hemodynamic condition of the patients. Data was collected on various parameters related to use of NASG and interpreted to draw conclusions.

Jiv Daya Foundation (JDF) is an international health agency working since year 2002 with the mission of improving the quality of life of people around the world. As one of the important activities, maternal health improvement programmed is being carried out through its India maternal health initiative (IMHI). Jiv Daya’s approach involves building sustainable partnerships and strengthening existing infrastructure for medical institutions in India. JDF provides support to medical institutes having high volume work, with the objectives to impart knowledge on newer techniques and modalities of managing obstetrics emergencies and trauma (MOET) for the benefit of peripheral medical officers from referring district. JDF has provided in kind donation in the form of NASG and other equipment’s and medication to more than 35 institutions having high volume work.

RESULTS

NASG was used as first aid during management of 25 cases of haemorrhagic shock. It was observed that 60% of women were below 25 years of age, indicating most fertile period in women. The mean age of women was 22.7 years, with minimum age of 17 years and maximum age of 31 years. Sixty six percent of the women were either second or third gravida. It was seen that majority of the women (84%) were educated up to high level and 48% women had either one or two antenatal visits, indicating lower literacy among women from rural area and early marriages and less awareness about importance of antenatal care. It was observed that 56% of women with obstetric haemorrhage were in third...
trimester of pregnancy and 32% were in first trimester of pregnancy. Ruptured ectopic pregnancy with intra peritoneal haemorrhage was the main cause for shock in first trimester of pregnancy. Among all cases of obstetric haemorrhage, 56% of the women were postnatal cases (Table 1).

Table 1: Patient characteristics.

| Patient characteristics | No. of cases (%) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| **Age in years**        |                 |
| <20                     | 07 (28)         |
| 20-25                   | 08 (32)         |
| 26-30                   | 07 (28)         |
| >30                     | 03 (12)         |
| **Parity**              |                 |
| Primiparous             | 04 (16)         |
| Second                  | 09 (36)         |
| Third                   | 08 (32)         |
| Fourth                  | 03 (12)         |
| Fifth and >             | 01 (04)         |
| **Education**           |                 |
| Primary                 | 04 (16)         |
| Secondary               | 10 (40)         |
| Higher secondary        | 07 (28)         |
| Graduation              | 03 (12)         |
| Post-graduation         | 01 (04)         |
| **Number of antenatal visits** |            |
| 1-2                     | 12 (48)         |
| 3-5                     | 04 (16)         |
| >5                      | 09 (36)         |
| **Gestational age (weeks)** |             |
| <12                     | 08 (32)         |
| 13-28                   | 02 (08)         |
| 29-40                   | 13 (52)         |
| >40                     | 02 (08)         |
| **Delivery status at the time of application of NASG** | |
| Undelivered (includes ectopic gestation and abortions) | 11 (44) |
| Delivered               | 14 (56)         |

In the present study it was observed that the shock following post-partum haemorrhage was the commonest indication for use of NASG. There were 9 (36%) cases of PPH, who had haemorrhagic shock, of which 7 (28%) were due to atomic PPH and 2 (8%) were due to traumatic PPH. Next common indication for NASG use was haemorrhagic shock due to intra-peritoneal bleeding following ruptured tubal ectopic pregnancy. All cases of ectopic rupture had come in an emergency, mostly from either private practitioners or from sonologist. There were 3 cases of ante-partum haemorrhage, of which 2 cases had severe variety of accidental haemorrhage and 1 case of placenta praevia. There was 1 case of acute inversion of uterus, who was referred from government hospital. This patient was in severe shock and was in gasping state, so was immediately shifted to intensive care unit for ventilator support. There was a postnatal case of severe viral hepatitis, who had multi-organ failure and shock (Table 2).

Table 2: Indications for application of NASG.

| Indication                                      | No. of cases (%) |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Post-partum hemorrhage                          | 09 (36)         |
| Ruptured ectopic pregnancy with hemoperitoneum  | 07 (28)         |
| Antepartum hemorrhage                           | 03 (12)         |
| Post LSCS shock                                 | 02 (08)         |
| Acute inversion of uterus with shock            | 01 (04)         |
| Retained placenta with shock                    | 01 (04)         |
| Inevitable abortion with severe bleeding in shock | 01 (04) |
| Severe hepatitis with multi-organ failure       | 01 (04)         |

The shock index was assessed at the time of admission or at the time of application of NASG in all 25 cases and it was again reassessed at the time of removal of the NASG. It was observed that 68 % and 32 % of women had shock index of 1-1.5 and above 1.5 respectively, at the time of application of NASG. The shock index rapidly improved to 0.5-0.9 in 92% and in between 1-1.5 in 8 percent of cases respectively, after application of NASG and other resuscitative measures that include intravenous fluids, blood and components and correction of acidosis and use of ionotropic drugs in majority of cases (Table 3).

Table 3: Shock index at the time of NASG application and removal.

| Shock index | At the time of application of NASG no. (%) | At the time of removal of NASG no. (%) |
|-------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 0.5-0.9     | 00 (00)                                   | 23 (92)                              |
| 1-1.5       | 17 (68)                                   | 02 (08)                              |
| >1.5        | 08 (32)                                   | 00 (00)                              |

Restoration of blood pressure and improvement of mental status occurred within 5 minutes in seven cases who were pulseless and three who were unconscious or confused. All patients had improvement of mean arterial pressure to greater than 80mm Hg within 5 minutes. Patients were comfortable during use of the anti-shock garment. In the present study, the NASG was mainly used in labour room (40%) in cases of postpartum haemorrhage and sometimes in cases of accidental haemorrhage. Another common place was casualty department or emergency department (36%), which is an entry point for hospital. At times NASG was used in either operation theatre or its recovery room (12%) or in ward or intensive care unit (12%). In majority of cases, NASG was applied by nurses and doctors (64%) together at all locations as mentioned above. In few cases, NASG was applied by only doctors (24%) or by only nurses (12%). The NASG was used for 12-24 hours in 52% cases and for 6-12 hours in 32%
cases. It was used for less than 6 hours and more than 24 hours in 8% cases each. In the present study, majority of cases (96%) survived following use of NASG (Table 4).

Table 4: NASG utilization and outcome details.

| Place of application of NASG                     | No. of cases (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Labour room                                     | 10 (40)          |
| Casualty/Emergency/Triage room                  | 09 (36)          |
| Operation theatre/Recovery room                 | 03 (12)          |
| Intensive care unit/ward                        | 03 (12)          |
| Person who applied NASG                         |                  |
| Labour room                                     | 06 (24)          |
| Casualty/Emergency/Triage room                  | 03 (12)          |
| Operation theatre/Recovery room                 | 16 (64)          |
| Duration of application of NASG                 |                  |
| <6 hours                                        | 02 (08)          |
| 6-12 hours                                      | 08 (32)          |
| 13-24 hours                                     | 13 (52)          |
| >24 hours                                       | 02 (08)          |
| Patient survival status following application of NASG |            |
| Survived                                        | 24 (96)          |
| Died                                            | 01 (04)          |

DISCUSSION

Obstetric hemorrhage is the leading cause of maternal mortality in India. In addition to non-recognition of high-risk pregnancies and timely referrals, the delays at all levels contribute in causation of maternal deaths. Three types of delays have been identified, which contribute to death in PPH. Delay in decision making by patient and relatives regarding seeking institutional care and delay in transport of PPH cases to appropriate health care facility are main causes of delays. At times there is delay in providing care at the health facility level either due to lack of doctors or the drugs, blood products, oxytocic’s and equipment’s at the health care facility. Time lapse between occurrence of PPH and reaching to tertiary care facilities determines the outcome. Unfortunately, there is very narrow margin of safety as regards to time. Treatment received in first two hours, following PPH determines the survival. Institutional deliveries and facility preparedness to tackle this complication help in saving life of young women. In order to avoid type three delay, facility preparedness to deal with postpartum hemorrhage is very crucial. Non-pneumatic Anti-Shock Garment (NASG) is a unique, life-saving first-aid device made of neoprene and Velcro, which is used on women with obstetric hemorrhage. It can be applied by anyone, even those without medical training. The NASG has a unique role in hemorrhage and shock management because it is meant to be used with, not instead of, other technologies. Currently, it is the only tool that aids in stabilizing pulse and blood pressure after a woman has gone into shock from obstetric hemorrhage. NASG can reverse shock and can be used at the same time with other hemorrhage and shock treatments, such as: uterine massage, uterotonics, blood transfusions, Vaginal procedures and surgery, uterine balloon tamponade. A pregnant woman suffering from severe hemorrhage can die within two hours, unless she receives immediate and prompt medical care; therefore, when delay in managing hemorrhage occurs, first aid is needed to resuscitate and stabilize women with hypovolemic shock until definitive treatment is obtained.\(^{15-17}\) NASG is mainly useful to stabilize a patient while evaluating, transporting, and preparing for definitive surgical treatment. A woman can safely and comfortably wear the NASG for up to 48 hours. The NASG remains on the woman during treatments and until she has been hemo-dynamically stable for 2 hours. NASG has 6 segments. Segment 1, 2, and 3 (in pairs) are placed around the patient’s legs. Segments 4, 5 and 6 are placed around pelvis and abdomen, A ball in segment 5 and segment 6 is placed over the woman’s umbilicus, adding more pressure. Segment 6 is closed over segment.\(^{2}\) Indications for the use of NASG are to manage any condition, where there is severe bleeding below the diaphragm, all forms of obstetric hemorrhage (in excess of 750ml) like in ectopic pregnancy, abortion, acute or chronic, placenta praevia, ruptured uterus, hydratidiform mole, spontaneous abortion. After delivery NASG is used in uterine atony, retained products of conception, obstetrical trauma. The contraindications for the use of NASG are A viable fetus (unless there is no other way to save the mother’s life and both mother and fetus will die), bleeding above diaphragm, open thoracic wounds, patients with severe congestive heart failure or pre-existing mitral stenosis. In trauma victims with injury to the chest or head, redistribution of blood to the injured area with NASG placement raises the possibility of associated increased hemorrhage.

Due to non-availability of NASG in public health system, majority of doctors and nurses have not even seen or heard about NASG. Very few gynecologists have heard about it but had not seen or used it in clinical practice. In a study published from UCH, Ibadan, awareness about the existence of anti-shock garment was high among midwives as 96% of the respondents said they have heard about it through seminars, conferences and other means. Many of them could describe NASG application, removal and its general function in the prevention of post-partum hemorrhage. Majority of them could correctly explain various advantage of NASG as well as some maternal and fetal conditions that are contraindicated in the use of NASG. It shows that many of them have been exposed to the use of anti-shock garment through workshops, conferences and seminars. However, this seems to be the limit of their knowledge; many of them have never applied it on bleeding woman. Their knowledge seems to be only theoretical as only 35% of them have had opportunities to apply NASG; the rest 65% had never applied it on clients. Because the respondents have never had opportunity to apply NASG on bleeding woman,
their theoretical knowledge is of little use. The researchers have compared the morbidity and mortality outcomes using the NASG to outcomes with standard treatment of shock/hemorrhage in women with a variety of obstetric hemorrhage (OH) aetiology, and the NASG was found to be effective in decreasing blood loss by over 50%. A systematic review including 5 studies and 1247 women with shock found a decreased mortality of 48% (Relative Risk (RR) 0.52 (95% Confidence interval (CI) 0.36 to 0.77) at referral, tertiary-level facilities. A cluster randomized clinical trial (CRCT) was conducted in Zimbabwe and Zambia to determine if early application of the NASG at the primary health facility level improved outcomes compared to later application at the referral facilities. The reduction in mortality was clinically significant at 55%, but there was an inadequate sample of women in hypovolemic shock (actual sample size, n = 880 vs. the predicted n=2400) necessary to have adequate power to determine statistical significance. The NASG is currently recommended by the International Federation of Gynecologists and Obstetricians (FIGO) and the World Health Organization (WHO) and can be found in PPH guidelines and manuals, such as WHO (Managing Complications 2nd Edition) Global Library of Women’s Medicine (GLOWM) PPH Recommendations and JHPIEGO’s helping mothers survive bleeding after birth as well as in JHPIEGO’s 2018, 5-year report “Survive and Thrive”. A healthcare technology assessment performed for WHO resulted in a positive recommendation for including the NASG in emergency maternal and obstetric care (EMOC) management. Despite these global recommendations and endorsements and despite pilot implementation and scale up projects conducted in India, Nigeria, Ethiopia, Niger, Timor Leste and Colombia, there have been fewer publications on how the NASG is accepted and used by clinicians and health systems. The reports on NASG use in Purina and Gaya district from Bihar revealed that the interviews and discussion with stakeholders reflected that even after training and appropriate introduction of the practice of using the NASG, the initiative did not translate into making a difference in ameliorating the situation of PPH management in the health facilities over a period of the following 6 months. The NASG were procured by national health mission and supplied in ambulances to the facilities. In Gaya district, NASG was supplied in 24 facilities, whereas in Purina, it was available only in few facilities. The ambulance technicians at the respective facilities were in-charge of the NASGs. In both the districts, health personnel were receptive towards the idea of having NASGs at their facilities for use in PPH management.

However, it was reported that though the NASG were readily available in many facilities and staff members were trained in its use; NASG were not being utilized. One of the interviewees mentioned that this was probably because: “Enough training was not provided to them, and they had no idea about how to use the NASG to prevent PPH cases. “While interacting with the various health-care providers, it was realized that they lacked skills to identify the cases of PPH and use the NASG garment appropriately.”

In low-resource settings nurses have few resources with which to stabilize women with severe obstetric hemorrhage. With training nurses and nurse-midwives can stabilize hemorrhaging women with the NASG, a low-technology first-aid device that shows promise for reducing blood loss, rates of hysterectomy, and mortality.

In the present study, it was observed that all nurses and resident doctors working in labour room, casualty department, operation theatre and intensive care unit were trained in application of NASG. Initially, there were few mistakes in application of some of the segments, but later on every member of the emergency team learnt the correct technique.

CONCLUSION

Obstetric haemorrhage remains one of the leading causes of maternal mortality, particularly in rural areas. The non-pneumatic anti-shock garment has been demonstrated to reduce mortality as it buys time for women in shock to be transported to or to overcome delays at referral facilities. There is need for training of maternal health care providers in newer modality like NASG a, which has potential to save lives in obstetric haemorrhage cases. Government should make NASG available in all health care facilities, for use in an emergency, when it is needed.

As survival of the patient is the utmost goal of any health facility, there should give more freedom to midwives/nurses on provision of life saving procedures as they are key persons in maternal health. One of such area is the application of NASG on bleeding woman. This should not be limited to resident doctors and consultant Obstetricians and Gynaecologists alone. With the proper implementation strategies, NASG utilization can be improved and that would result into decreased mortality among mothers at risk of death from obstetric hemorrhage.
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