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A series of seven fac-[(Re(CO)3)(5Me-Sal-Trypt)(L)] complexes containing tryptamine on the N,O 5-methyl-salicylidene bidentate ligand backbone and where L is MeOH, Py, Imi, DMAP, PPh3 coordinated to the 6th position have been studied, including the formation of a dinuclear Re2 cluster. The crystallographic solid state structures showed marked similarity in structural tendency, in particular the rigidity of the Re core and the hydrogen bond interactions similar to those found in protein structures. The rates of formation and stability of the complexes were evaluated by rapid time-resolved stopped-flow techniques and the methanol substitution reaction indicates the significant activation induced by the use of the N,O salicylidene bidentate ligand as manifested by the second-order rate constants for the entering nucleophiles. Both linear and limiting kinetics were observed and a systematic evaluation of the kinetics is reported clearly indicating an interchange type of intimate mechanism for the methanol substitution. The anticancer activity of compounds 1–7 was tested on HeLa cells and it was found that all compounds showed similar cytotoxicity where solubility allowed. IC50-values between ca. 11 and 22 µM indicate that some cytotoxicity resides most likely on the salicylidene–tryptamine ligand. The photoluminescence of the seven complexes is similar in maximum emission wavelength with little variation despite the broad range of ligands coordinated to the 6th position on the metal centre.

Introduction

Schiff bases have been of significant interest to chemists due to their ease of synthesis and the variable properties achieved in the final product. From a clinical perspective they boast a broad range of medicinal application such as antiviral, antibacterial and antimalarial characteristics. These characteristics are dependent on the substitutes bound to the imine functionality, while the final properties of the Schiff base can be tuned to fit a particular need. One example of this is the recent development of Schiff bases as antiviral agents.

The imine functional group is prevalent in nature because the formation thereof is a result of the transamination reaction with pyridoxal-5-phosphate present in many of the known forms of life and so the use of Schiff bases as ligand systems for potential model radiopharmaceutical compounds is a reasonable approach.

The metal chosen for creating the model radiopharmaceutical complexes presented in this paper is rhenium, which is ideally suited due to its similarities to technetium. In radiopharmaceutical technetium is used as a diagnostic agent utilizing the 99mTc isotope which decays to release gamma radiation. 99mTc is routinely used in thyroid, heart, kidney, brain and bone imaging; moreover the isotope is investigated for selective cancer imaging. The 99mTc isotope can be produced through the use of a 99Mo generator system. Similarly 188Re can be generated from a 188W generator whereas 188Re can be produced from a cyclotron and isolated in high purity. Both 186/188Re radionuclides serve as β emitters which are used as therapeutic agents in the radiopharmaceutical industry for example in cancer and rheumatoid arthritis treatment. Due to their chemical similarity, these group seven elements (Re and Tc) can be used interchangeably and has been demonstrated in literature for Schiff base Re/Tc multinuclear complexes as potential theranostic agents. Furthermore the use of ‘cold’ non-radioactive rhenium permits detailed chemical and reactivity analysis without the hazards of using the radio-active isotopes of 186/188Re, 99mTc and 99Tc.

Rhenium based complexes have been investigated for their applications as diagnostic agents through luminescence.
Photoluminescence investigations for bipyridine and phenanthroline type rhenium tricarbonyl complexes have been studied extensively.\textsuperscript{24–26} Photoluminescent rhenium tricarbonyl complexes have been proposed to be photo-probes in biological imaging\textsuperscript{25} and depending on the charge, relative concentration of the metal complexes and functional groups present in the final complex, the location of uptake in cells can be altered.\textsuperscript{27} This tunability provides a viable means to theranostic pathways if, for example, the luminescent complexes are enriched with \textsuperscript{186/188}Re.\textsuperscript{28} The photoluminescence of rhenium tricarbonyl complexes has been correlated to \textit{in vivo} imaging with the \textsuperscript{99m}Tc isotope further supporting the theranostic claim for \textit{fac}-\textsuperscript{[M(CO)\textsubscript{5}]} \textsuperscript{−} (where M = Re, Tc) complexes.\textsuperscript{29–30} Most of these complexes utilize pyridine based bidentate ligand systems to date, while little information on \textit{N,O} Schiff base ligands in rhenium tricarbonyl complexes are found in published reports. Schiff base ligands shows significant luminescent activity without metal centres present, however depending on the metal used the Schiff base complex could exhibit variation in luminosity.\textsuperscript{31–33} While in certain cases the luminescent properties of Schiff base ligands improve upon complexation with metals, particularly that from the lanthanide series, the cytotoxicity is not necessarily so predictable in either the ligand or metal complex of that ligand and therefore needs to be systematically evaluated. It should be noted that rhenium complexes with little to no chemotoxic activity can still be of great interest for the clinical practitioner if a radioisotope is used, for example,\textsuperscript{188}Re, should the complex be intended for therapy, exploiting the high energy $\beta$ particles of the decaying nuclei, \textit{i.e.} for radioactivity.\textsuperscript{31} It is therefore crucial to evaluate both ligand and coordinated complex to determine if the possible therapeutic behaviour originates from chemotherapeutic, radio or photo-toxic activity. Furthermore in any potential radiopharmaceutical, emphasis should be placed in having some idea of how the said compound could behave \textit{in vivo}. Investigating the fundamental behaviour of the compound is necessary to predict what types of reactions the potential model radiopharmaceutical would partake in and by extension knowing with which proteins and biomolecules the compound would react with \textit{in vivo}. The preference of biomolecule might be very difficult to predict due to the complexity of living organisms, but the fundamental behaviour of the complex in question can be investigated through \textit{in vitro} kinetic research.

Substitution kinetics in six-coordinate octahedral metal complexes can follow one of the known three distinct mechanistic pathways if a monodentate ligand is substituted. These pathways are the classic associative, interchange and dissociative mechanisms.\textsuperscript{34–36} Each of the mechanisms follows two steps, and the relative rate of each determines the type of mechanism. In an associative type mechanism, substitution occurs through the rate determining step of ligand coordination followed by the fast dissociation of the leaving group. Dissociative mechanisms have the dissociation of the bound ligand as the rate determining step and the second associative step as the fast reaction in the mechanism. Interchange mechanisms has properties of both associative and dissociative mechanisms whereby there is a subtle interchange of coordinating/leaving ligands within the outer-sphere complex to form a rapid pre-equilibrium followed by a slower rate-determining second reaction.

With radiopharmaceuticals that use the associative type of mechanism, selective protein coordination \textit{via} the metal centre is in theory more likely. The dissociative mechanisms involving the protein-metal coordination is possibly non-selective as an open coordination site on the metal centre is available for a select period of time. These mechanistic studies focus on protein-metal coordination and selectivity, however it is important to note that protein–ligand \textit{i.e.} the organic ligand bound to the organometallic complex) interactions may too play a role. Each of these pathways may have a direct affect on the viability of the complex as a radiopharmaceutical and on the design of the next iteration of potential model radiopharmaceuticals. Rhenium tricarbonyl complexes tend to display interchange dissociative characteristics\textsuperscript{37–39} however mechanistic crossovers have been proposed\textsuperscript{40,41} further highlighting the importance of kinetic investigations as well as the proper design of ligand systems for these types of complexes.

This paper reports the functionalising of a rhenium tricarbonyl salicylidene complex with the biological tryptamine group. Substitution of the 6th position on the metal centre was conducted with various monodentate ligands. The solid state structure analysis was conducted and includes a dinuclear complex. The reactive mechanistic pathways which occur during substitution were evaluated. Anti-cancer evaluation of the substituted complexes was conducted as well solid state luminescence investigations which determined the effect induced by varying the substituent in the 6th position.

### Experimental

#### Materials and methods

All reagents and solvents used during this study were of analytical grade, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Rhenium pentacarbonyl bromide was purchased from Strem Chemicals, Newburyport, Massachusetts, United States of America. \textit{fac}-\textsuperscript{[NET\textsubscript{4}]$_2$[ReBr$_3$(CO)$_3$]} (ReAA) was synthesised according to the procedure found in literature.\textsuperscript{42} The tetraethylammonium salt can remain as a side product from the reaction and is removed with great difficulty. Although it is stable and non-reactive with regards to further kinetic experiments, removal of the tetraethylammonium salt utilising THF or acetone/benzene (1 : 9) is practiced. During the removal of the THF we are unavoidably also removing product which is partly soluble and therefore low yields are occasionally obtained. The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra (150.96 and 300.13 MHz respectively) of the ligands and complexes were recorded on a Bruker AXS 600 MHz, Bruker AXS 400 MHz or on a Bruker AXS 300 MHz at 25 °C in CD$_2$CN (1.94 ppm), (CD$_3$)$_2$CO (2.05 ppm), (CD$_3$)$_2$SO (2.50 ppm), CD$_3$OD (3.31 ppm) and CD$_2$Cl$_2$ (5.32 ppm). Positive shifts are downfield. All chemical shifts are reported in ppm and the coupling constants in Hz. The tetraethylammonium salt occurs at 3.19 ppm ($q$, 8H, \textit{J} = 7.3 Hz, CH$_3$), 1.15 ppm (\textit{tt}, 12H, \textit{J} = 7.2, 3.7 Hz, CH$_3$) for $^1$H NMR and at 40.1, 7.07 ppm for $^{13}$C NMR. Elemental analysis was performed in Atlantic Microlab, Inc.
Norcross, GA. A Bruker Tensor 27 Standard System spectrophotometer equipped with a 4000–370 cm⁻¹ laser range was used to record the infrared spectra. All data were collected at room temperature. A Varian Cary 50 Conc. UV-Visible Spectrophotometer was used for UV-Vis spectra data collections which were conducted in a 1.000 ± 0.001 cm quartz cuvette cell. The UV-Vis spectrophotometer was equipped with a Julabo F12-mV temperature cell regulator, which is accurate to 0.1 °C.

Substitution kinetics

The kinetic measurements were conducted on a Varian Cary 50 Conc UV-Visible Spectrophotometer fitted with a Julabo F12-mV temperature cell regulator, which is accurate to 0.1 °C, in a 1.000 ± 0.001 cm quartz cuvette cell. The Varian Cary 50 Conc UV-Visible Spectrophotometer was used for slow kinetic reactions. The Hi-Tech FS-61DX2 Stopped-Flow instrument that is equipped with a Julabo F12-mV temperature cell regulator was used for all fast kinetic reactions and can be set up to use a Diode-Array or Photo-Multiplier detector. The Diode-Array is used to determine the best wavelength of the absorbance change of a kinetic reaction. Once a suitable wavelength has been determined the Photo-Multiplier detector is used for the kinetic reactions due to its greater accuracy. The Stopped-Flow instrument is operated by Kinet Asyst Stopped-Flow Kinetic Studio software on a Microsoft Windows operating system. All kinetic reactions were conducted under pseudo first-order conditions wherein the substituting ligand’s concentration was at least ten times greater than the total rhenium metal complex concentration. Each kinetic trace was collected in triplicate. Once the data had been collected the information was processed by using Microsoft Office Excel 2007 and Scientist 2.01 developed by MicroMath. Reproducibility of the kinetic results was confirmed.

X-ray structure determination

The reflection data were collected on Bruker X8 Apex II 4K CCD and Bruker D8 Quest diffractometers. The diffractometers were equipped with a graphite monochromator using a Mo-Kα X-ray generator with a wavelength of $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å. Data was collected utilizing both phi and omega scans at a temperature of 100 K. COSMO was utilized for multiple hemisphere data collection of the reciprocal space. Bruker SAINT-Plus and XPREP were employed for frame integration and data reduction respectively. SADABS was used for phase correction through the multi-scan method. SHELXS was applied to solve the crystal structures, ab initio methods for the ligand systems and Patterson phasing for the crystals containing heavy metal centres. WinGX and SHELXL-2018/3 were used for the refinement of the crystal structures. The DIAMOND 3.0 software was utilized for the generation of images. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn with 50% probability level unless stated otherwise. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Methyl, methylene and aromatic hydrogens were constrained by riding them on the parent atoms in geometrically idealised positions with (C–H = 0.95–0.98 Å) and $(U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(C)$ and $1.2 U_{eq}(C)$).

Luminescence

Solid state photoluminescent studies were conducted via an Edinburgh Instruments FLS980 series Fluorescence Spectrometer. A 450 W continuous xenon lamp (Xe1), filtered by a double monochromator, was used to excite the samples which were packed uniformly in a 0.3 mm demountable quartz cuvette. Quantum yield experimentation was done using an integrating sphere accessory.

Cancer cell testing

Cell culture. Cellnex human cervical cancer cell line (HeLa cells) was grown in DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified essential medium). The medium was supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. A humidified atmosphere with 5% carbon dioxide present, was used to incubate cells at 37 °C.

Cytotoxicity assay. Tests were performed according to the SRB (Sulforhodamine B) assay. A monolayer of cells were trypsinized and suspended in 1 ml growth medium. The cell count was diluted to $0.5 \times 10^5$ cells per ml, of which 0.1 ml was added to each well of a 96 well microplate. Plates were incubated for 1 hour to allow cells to adhere. Varied concentrations (0.1 ml) of tested compounds were added to each well. The plates were again incubated for 3 days at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. Trichloroacetic acid (0.05 ml of 50%) was added to each well in order to fixate cells and then stored overnight at 4 °C. The plates were washed under running tap water and dried at 50 °C for 1 hour. SRB stain (0.1 ml) was added to each well and stored in the dark for 30 minutes. Plates were washed with 1% acetic acid (0.1 ml × 4) in order to remove unbound stain, and air-dried overnight. The bound dye was solubilized by the addition of 10 mM tris buffer (0.1 ml) to each well. The plates were shaken gently for 1 hour and the absorbance measure at 510 nm. The absorbance measurements were used to determine control inhibition of the test compounds as a percentage of the control group. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post test was performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA. Data was fitted to a nonlinear regression of normalized response.

Synthesis

5Me-SalH-Trypt (1), $\text{fac-[Re(CO)₅(5Me-Sal-Trypt)(MeOH)]}$ (2), and $\text{fac-[Re(CO)₅(5Me-Sal-Trypt)(Py)]}$ (3) were synthesised as described by literature methods.

$\text{fac-[Re(CO)₅(5Me-Sal-Trypt)(Imi)]}$ (4). ReAA (0.1001 g, 0.1299 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 ml). AgNO₃ (0.0663 g, 0.3903 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 ml) and added to the rhenium solution. The mixture was refluxed for one hour at 80 °C. The AgBr was filtered off. 5Me-SalH-Trypt (0.0365 g, 0.1311 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 ml) and added to the rhenium solution to reflux for 3 hours at 80 °C. Imidazole (0.0090 g, 0.1322 mmol) was added to the solution and stirred for 5 min. The solvent was evaporated and light yellow oil was obtained as the pure product (0.0232 g, 29.0%). IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): ν(CO) 2009, 1894, 1868. UV-Vis (nm, L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹): $\lambda_{max} = 382,$
\( \varepsilon = 6.810 \times 10^2 \). \(^1\)H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)): \( \delta \) 10.85 (s, 1H, N=CH), 7.98 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.84 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.62 (t, 2H \( J = 6.7, 8.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar} \)), 7.35 (d, 1H \( J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar} \)), 7.21 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.08 (t, 1H \( J = 7.1, 1.1, 0.8 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar} \)), 7.05 (d, 1H \( J = 2.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar} \)), 6.99 (t, 1H \( J = 7.1, 1.8, 0.8 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar} \)), 6.94 (s, 1H, Ar), 6.88 (d, 1H \( J = 7.9 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar} \)), 6.56 (s, 1H, Ar), 6.30 (dd, 1H \( J = 6.6, 1.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar} \)), 4.32–4.19 (m, 1H, CH\(_3\)), 4.08 (q, 1H \( J = 11.0, 9.4, 7.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_2\)), 3.18–3.13 (m, 1H, CH\(_3\)), 3.02–2.96 (m, 1H, CH\(_2\)), 2.16 (s, 3H, CH\(_3\)). \(^{13}\)C NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)): \( \delta \) 165.74 (N=CH), 164.75, 144.87, 137.95, 136.20, 135.29, 135.08, 128.08, 126.93, 123.34, 121.52, 121.08, 118.41, 118.33, 117.990, 117.93, 115.85, 111.45, 110.46 (Ar), 69.24 (N=CH\(_2\)), 27.46 (CH\(_2\)), 21.390 (CH\(_3\)). Anal. calc.: C, 42.46%; H, 6.32%; N, 14.38%; found: C, 42.46%; H, 7.24%; N, 14.21%.

\( \text{fac-[Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt}(\text{PPh}_3))\] (5). \( \text{fac-[Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-SalH-Trypt}(\text{PPh}_3))\] was synthesised by adding triphenylphosphine (0.0111 g, 4.232 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mmol}) to a \( \text{fac-[Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-SalH-Trypt}(\text{MeOH}))\] (0.0333 g, 5.745 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mmol}), as synthesised in reported literature, and dissolved in acetonitrile (10 ml). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. The solvent was allowed to evaporate slowly. Yellow crystals were obtained as the pure product. The crystals were suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis. Yield (0.0187 g, 54.6%). IR (ATR, cm\(^{-1}\)): \( \nu (\text{CO}) \) 2009, 1910, 1874. UV-Vis (nm, L mol\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)): \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 397, \varepsilon = 7.686 \times 10^2 \). \(^{31}\)P NMR (400 MHz, Methanol-\(d_4\)): \( \delta \) (ppm) 10.77 (s) (5.67 ppm for free PPh\(_3\) in Methanol-\(d_4\)). Anal. calc.: C, 54.01%; H, 6.23%; N, 5.62%; found: C, 53.67%; H, 5.34%; N, 5.31%.

Table 1. Crystal data for \( \text{fac-[Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt}(\text{PPh}_3))\] (5) and \( \text{fac-[Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt}(\text{PPh}_3))\] (7)

|  | \( \text{fac-[Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt}(\text{PPh}_3))\] (5) | \( \text{fac-[Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt}(\text{PPh}_3))\] (7) |
|---|---|---|
| Empirical formula | \( \text{C}_{30} \text{H}_{42} \text{N}_2 \text{O}_4 \text{PRe} \) | \( \text{C}_{48} \text{H}_{64} \text{N}_4 \text{O}_{10} \text{Re}_2 \) |
| Formula weight (g mol\(^{-1}\)) | 809.83 | 1211.29 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | \( \text{P}2_1/\text{a} \) | \( \text{P} \) |
| \( a \) (Å) | 10.5060(7) Å | 7.928(1) Å |
| \( b \) (Å) | 26.6424(17) Å | 9.0461(1) Å |
| \( c \) (Å) | 12.3068(8) Å | 17.152(3) Å |
| \( \alpha \) (°) | 90° | 78.981(5)° |
| \( \beta \) (°) | 106.455(2)° | 89.505(5)° |
| \( \gamma \) (°) | 90° | 75.427(5)° |
| Volume (Å\(^3\)) | 3303.6(4) | 1167.5(3) |
| \( Z \) | 4 | 1 |
| \( \rho_{\text{calc}} \) (g cm\(^{-3}\)) | 1.628 | 1.723 |
| Crystal colour | Yellow | Yellow |
| Crystal morphology | Plate | Plate |
| Crystal size (mm) | 0.239 \times 0.137 \times 0.027 | 0.529 \times 0.279 \times 0.101 |
| \( \mu \) (mm\(^{-1}\)) | 3.771 | 5.241 |
| \( F(000) \) | 1608 | 592 |
| \( \theta \) range (°) | 1.887 to 27.999 | 4.592 to 28.000 |
| Index ranges | \(-13 \leq h \leq 13\) | \(-10 \leq h \leq 10\) |
| | \(-35 \leq k \leq 35\) | \(-11 \leq k \leq 9\) |
| | \(-14 \leq l \leq 16\) | \(-22 \leq l \leq 20\) |
| Reflections collected | 42725 | 23942 |
| Unique reflections | 7965 | 5614 |
| \( R_{\text{int}} \) | 0.0542 | 0.0358 |
| Completeness to 2 theta (°, %) | 25.24, 99.9 | 25.24, 99.2 |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 7965/0/429 | 5614/19/299 |
| GoOOF | 1.066 | 1.028 |
| \( R[I > 2\sigma(I)] \) | \( R_1 = 0.0236 \) | \( R_1 = 0.0206 \) |
| | \( wR2 = 0.0540 \) | \( wR2 = 0.0388 \) |
| | \( R_1 = 0.0250 \) | \( wR2 = 0.0405 \) |
| | \( wR2 = 0.0566 \) | 0.438 and \(-0.535 \) |
| \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) (e Å\(^{-3}\)) | 1.482 and \(-0.853 \) | 2057438 |
| CCDC no | 2057438 |
tetraethylammonium nitrate was evaporated and light yellow crystals were grown from acetone suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield of product (0.0264 g, 32.9%). IR (ATR, cm\(^{-1}\)): \(v\)(CO) 2010, 1900, 1868. UV-Vis (nm, L mol\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)): \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) = 390, 314. \(\epsilon\) = 1.948 \times 10\(^3\), 6.992 \times 10\(^3\). \(^1\)H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)): \(\delta\) 11.06 (d, 1H J = 1.7 Hz, NH), 8.41 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.77 (d, 1H J = 7.9 Hz, Ar), 7.45 (d, 1H J = 7.9 Hz, Ar), 7.26 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.20 (d, 1H J = 7.9 Hz, Ar), 7.17 (t, 1H J = 7.3, 7.1 Hz, Ar), 7.08 (t, 1H J = 7.1, 7.0 Hz, Ar), 6.89 (d, 1H J = 7.7 Hz, Ar), 6.88 (s, 1H, Ar), 4.67–4.62 (m, 1H, CH), 4.39 (q, 1H J = 11.5, 8.6, 8.2 Hz, CH\(_2\)), 3.51–3.41 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 2.27 (s, 1H, CH). \(^{13}\)C NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)): \(\delta\) 168.30, 164.9, 145.67, 136.46, 133.53, 126.86, 123.85, 123.38, 121.37, 119.37, 118.71, 118.38, 118.22, 111.75 (Ar), 109.86 (C=\(\equiv\)N), 69.43 (CH\(_2\)), 65.58 (CH\(_3\)), 21.41 (CH\(_3\)). Anal. calc.: C, 48.36%; H, 4.78%; N, 7.83%; found: C, 48.16%; H, 4.03%; N, 7.84%.

**Table 2** Comparison for selected bond distances, bond angles, torsion angles and dihedral angles for fac-\[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{5Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{PPh}_3)\] (5) and fac-\[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{5Me-Sal-Trypt})_2\] (7)

|                  | 3 (ref. 55) | 5                          | 7                          |
|------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Re1—O1 (Å)      | 2.123(1)    | 2.117(2)                    | 2.149(2)                    |
| Re1—N1 (Å)      | 2.197(2)    | 2.183(2)                    | 2.185(2)                    |
| Re1—L (Å)       | 2.227(2)    | 2.509(1)                    | 2.177(2)                    |
| N1—Re1—O1 (°)   | 84.47(5)    | 84.57(8)                    | 80.50(7)                    |
| N1—C19—C20—C21 (°) | -178.7(2)  | 179.3(3)                    | -173.2(2)                   |
| Dihedral angle (°) | 60.89(5)   | 41.17(8)                    | 21.59(6)                    |
| Effective cone angle (°) | 122.40(4) | 147.87(2)                   | 205.15(5)                   |
| Average cone angle (°) | 122.70(6) | 139.92(3)                   | 158.88(8)                   |

\(a\) The planes are generated from the two aromatic groups of the 5Me-Sal-Trypt coordinated ligand in all three crystal structures the first plane is generated from C\(_{12}\)–C\(_{13}\)–C\(_{14}\)–C\(_{15}\)–C\(_{16}\)–C\(_{17}\) and the second plane is generated from C\(_{21}\)–C\(_{22}\)–C\(_{23}\)–C\(_{24}\)–C\(_{25}\)–C\(_{26}\)–C\(_{27}\)–C\(_{28}\)–N\(_2\).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Fig. 1** Molecular diagrams of complexes (A) fac-\[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{5Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{PPh}_3)\] (3); (B) fac-\[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{5Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{PPh}_3)\] (5); (C) of fac-\[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{5Me-Sal-Trypt})_2\] (7). All three diagrams indicate atom numbering schemes and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability level.\(^{15}\) Structure A has been relabelled to match the labelling scheme of diagram B and C for the sake of comparison.

**Results and discussion**

**X-ray structure determination**

The crystal structures of fac-\[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{5Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{PPh}_3)\] (5) and the dinuclear cluster fac-\[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{5Me-Sal-Trypt})_2\] (7) were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction and compared to the previous reported structure\(^{15}\) of fac-\[\text{Re(CO)}_3(\text{5Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{PPh}_3)\] (3). The geometric parameters, basic crystallographic data and data collection parameters are summarised in Table 1. Table 2 contains selected bond angles and distances of complexes as evident from the bite-angles (N1–Re1–O4) of 84.47(5), 84.57(8) and 80.50(7)° of \(\text{Re}1\)--O4 and \(\text{Re}1\)--N1 bond distances vary according to the atom distance of 2.177(2) to 2.509(1) Å and (3) with 2.227(2) Å. Fig. 1 depicts the molecular diagrams of (3), (5) and (7). Overlays of each of the crystal structures can be seen in Fig. 2. The crystal structures of (3), (5) and (7) show typical distorted octahedral geometries for rhenium(i) tricarbonyl complexes as evident from the bite-angles (N1–Re1–O4) of 84.47(5), 84.57(8) and 80.50(7)° each. The Re1–O4 and Re1–N1 bond distances between the three crystal structures are similar and the Re1–L bond distances vary according to the atom bonded in the sixth position with (7) having the shortest distance of 2.177(2) Å, (3) with 2.227(2) Å and (5) with the longest distance of 2.509(1) Å. The consistency of the bite-angles and bond distances to the Re metal centre indicates the relative rigidity and coordination preference of the metal core. These strong interactions seem to dominate the free rotation of the tryptamine side chain in the bidentate ligand. To highlight the effect the sixth ligand has upon the freedom of rotation of the tryptamine side chain, a dihedral angle between the salicylic aromatic group and the tryptamine aromatic group can be
determined and compared against the calculated cone angle of each of the monodentate ligands.

Two distinct cone angles were calculated for each of the ligands occupying the sixth positions in the three crystal structures. The first is the effective cone angle and its calculation is derived from the Tolman cone angle using the atom that generates the largest angle between the farthest outlier from the ligand and Re-X (where X = O, N or P) bond. The second is the average cone angle where the angle is calculated as an average from two/three atoms of the ligand in a similar fashion to the effective cone angle. Both cone angles are based on the work done by Tolman\(^{56}\) and Bilbrey \textit{et al.}\(^{57}\) since they incorporate similar mathematical theories. The average cone angle is used as the preference here to obtain a measurable and comparable cone angle for the dinuclear structure (7) versus the two other monomeric structures (3 and 5); both cone angles are listed in Table 2. The dihedral angles decrease from 60.89(5), 41.17(8) to 21.59(6)° for (3), (5) and (7). This can directly be related to the average cone angles of the ligands coordinated to the sixth positions which are 122.70(6), 139.92(3) and 158.88(8)° for (3), (5) and (7) respectively. The tryptamine side chain, with the advantage of the ethyl link, can rotate freely to accommodate the incoming ligands of varying steric in the sixth position. In all three crystal structures the N2 atom and its accompanying hydrogen atom takes part in H-bonding interactions. In the case of (3) and (5) the hydrogen interactions occur with the acceptor O4 atom on an adjacent complex molecule through a symmetry operator appropriate to the steric bulk of the monodentate ligand occupying the 6th position and relative position of the tryptamine side chain (symmetry operators: \(x, -1 + y, z\) for (3) and \(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z\) for (5)). In the crystal structure of (7) the N2 atom participates in hydrogen interactions with an acceptor O01 atom of a solvate acetone molecule through its identity symmetry operator. The similar hydrogen interactions found in these crystals structures indicate that the nitrogen–hydrogen pairing in the indole functional group is potentially important in the development for future radiopharmaceuticals as this is a prime location for biological interactions. Hydrogen bonding in ligands is even more significant when the metal centre is not available to partake in protein reactions, such as in the case of (7), where ligand–protein interactions are all that is available. These type of interactions (N–H…acceptor) can be seen in protein crystal structures of various indole based molecules such as tryptamine (PDB code: 2PQL),\(^{58}\) tryptophan (PDB code: 5TIA),\(^{59}\) and serotonin (PDB code: 5MT3).\(^{60}\) Indole based pharmaceuticals has also been under development suggesting that these types of structures and soft interactions could be of further significance in the medicinal world.\(^{61}\)

Substitution kinetics

The negative side effects experienced by patients during chemotherapy and radiotherapy could be significantly reduced if site-specific coordination of the organometallic molecule was achieved after drug administration. Selectivity and stability \textit{in vivo} however still remains the gold standard which has not yet been fully achieved in all treatments. To this end, the mechanistic and kinetic evaluation of the said complexes was investigated to achieve an improved understanding as to what may alter the mechanistic behaviour of the rhenium tricarbonyl complexes. In particular, whether the functionalised tryptamine could...
assist the biomimetic development of organometallic complexes. To achieve site specific selection the following arguments were taken into account in order to build a complete reaction mechanism for the substitution of the coordinated methanol, found in the 6th position. These arguments are based on preliminary measurements, characterisation and published literature:

The \( \text{fac-}[\text{Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{MeOH})] \) (2) starting material has been fully characterised by \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR, IR and UV-Vis. The final kinetic products \( \text{fac-}[\text{Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{L})] \) (where \( \text{L} = \text{pyridine (Py), imidazole (Imi), 4-dimethylamino-pyridine (DMAP) and triphenylphosphine (PPh}_3\)) complexes were independently synthesised and characterised with IR, \(^1\text{H}\), \(^{13}\text{C}\) and \(^{31}\text{P}\) NMR to ensure no alternative products could be formed (Fig. 4). The X-ray diffraction crystal structure data was available for the kinetic product \( \text{fac-}[\text{Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{PPh}_3)] \) (5) hence confirming final coordination and bonding mode. The substitution of methanol by the four monodentate entering ligands (Py, Imi, DMAP and PPh\(_3\)) was followed with the use of UV-Vis, \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{31}\text{P}\) NMR spectroscopy. UV-Vis was used to quantitatively determine the rate constants for its economical use of starting reagents. The \( \text{fac-}[\text{Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{MeOH})] \) (2) starting material was found to be stable for over 24 hours in methanol. The stability studies were conducted at 25 \(^\circ\)C and observed with the use of UV-Vis spectroscopy. The hydrolysis (i.e. substitution of methanol with water) of \( \text{fac-}[\text{Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{MeOH})] \) (2) was tested at 25 \(^\circ\)C in freshly dried methanol with 5 varying concentrations of water, [\( \text{H}_2\text{O} \)] = 0.0 M to 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}. It was found that no hydrolysis reaction occurred under these experimental conditions. The preliminary UV-Vis traces for the substitution of methanol by Py, Imi, DMAP and PPh\(_3\) indicated that the reactions occur too quickly for standard UV-Vis studies and as such the 25 \(^\circ\)C and 15 \(^\circ\)C reactions were performed on the Stopped-Flow instrument. The 5 \(^\circ\)C study had reaction times that could be conducted using the normal UV-Vis instrument (i.e. Varian Cary 50 Conc UV-Visible Spectrophotometer). Reproducibility for the 5 \(^\circ\)C reaction was conducted on both the standard UV-Vis and Stopped-Flow instruments. Identical reaction rates were obtained at the same ligand concentrations on both instruments. A single reaction was observed under experimental pseudo first-order conditions for the temperatures of 5 \(^\circ\)C, 15 \(^\circ\)C and 25 \(^\circ\)C. The formation of a single product is also confirmed from the very good isosbestic points observed (Fig. 3). Additional experiments were conducted at 35 \(^\circ\)C and 45 \(^\circ\)C however a second reaction was observed at higher temperature, with increased metal concentration and after extended reaction times. It is proposed that the second reaction is the dinuclear formation that becomes a dominating reaction at these higher temperatures compared to the substitution of the methanol. Dinuclear formation is reported to be dependent on time and the metal concentration. The reaction is thermodynamically favoured at high temperatures.\(^{42}\) From the results obtained in this study and reported in literature an interchange dissociative mechanism for the substitution of methanol in \( \text{fac-}[\text{Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{MeOH})] \) (2) is proposed.

This investigation focused on the linear relationship between concentration and absorbance for the purposes of determining the rate equation. All reactions were conducted under pseudo-first order conditions whereby [ligand] \( \gg \) [Re complex]. After the reagents were mixed in solution the change in absorbance was recorded as a function of time. The decrease or increase in absorbance from the kinetic plots can be viewed as a representation of the change in concentration of the reagents or products of the reaction. The pseudo first-order rate constants for the reactions were determined by least-squares fitting to the equation

\[
A_{\text{obs}} = A_t - (A_i - A_t)e^{-k_{\text{obs}}t}
\]  

(1)

In eqn (1), \( A_{\text{obs}} \) is the observed absorbance, \( A_t \) is the final absorbance, \( A_i \) the initial absorbance, \( k_{\text{obs}} \) is the pseudo first-order rate constant and \( t \) is time. The limiting kinetic calculations i.e. the equations used and how it relates to linear kinetics (\( k_t \) versus \( k_i \)) can be found in a paper by Brink et al.\(^{44}\) as well as in the ESIf of this study.

The graphs of the pseudo first-order rate constants, \( k_{\text{obs}} \) versus [L] (where L = Py, Imi, DMAP and PPh\(_3\)) were plotted from

![Fig. 5 Plot of \( k_{\text{obs}} \) versus [pyridine] for the reaction between \( \text{fac-}[\text{Re(CO)}_3(5\text{Me-Sal-Trypt})(\text{MeOH})] \) (2) and pyridine at a range of temperatures with [Re complex] = 4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M} and [pyridine] = 0.010 M – 0.095 M collected in methanol at 380 nm, yielding linear relationships.](image-url)
indicating linear kinetics traces for the concentration ranges of 0.01 M. Therefore the forward rate constant \( k_1 \) for an interchange mechanism is expected to be similar to the overall second-order rate constant \( (k_1) \) within experimental error, especially at low ligand concentrations where saturation limits have not yet been reached (see Fig. 6 versus Fig. 7). Rate constants obtained for the reaction with DMAP illustrate the similarity in rate constants for PPh₃ concentrations greater than 0.035 M. The solubility of PPh₃ in methanol was poor at 5 °C for PPh₃ concentrations greater than 0.035 M. These reactions were therefore conducted at a lower concentration range of 0.002 M to 0.035 M. The solubility of Py, Imi and DMAP at a range of temperatures with [Re complex] = 4 \times 10^{-4} M and [DMAP] = 0.010 M – 0.762 M collected in methanol at 380 nm yielding non-linear, limiting kinetics. Saturation limits (i.e. the flattening of the curve to a plateau) has not yet been obtained despite a concentration range of nearly 2 orders-of-magnitude indicated by the extrapolated plot.

The Gibbs free energy (\( \Delta G^\circ \)) of activation was calculated according to eqn (3) at 25 °C and shows that the reactions are primarily enthalpy driven (indicated by the small percentage contribution of \( \Delta S^\circ \)) (Table 4) and thus more dependent on bond breaking/formation.

\[
\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ
\]  

The variation in entropy (i.e. \( \Delta S^\circ \)) are small and additional experiments such as volume of activation studies, could possibly provide ESI† for the absolute mechanism. This will be explored in the future. The relative percentage contribution of \( T\Delta S^\circ \) to \( \Delta G^\circ \) is small (11% for Py; 1% for Imi; 6% for DMAP; 13% for PPh₃) implying that the reactions are primarily enthalpy driven and thus more dependent on bond breaking/formation instead of ordering. The rate of methanol substitution by pyridine in various other rhenium Schiff-base complexes that have previously been conducted was compared to the results obtained in this study.\(^{65,66} \text{fac-}[\text{Re(CO)}_3(N,O)(\text{MeOH})] \) complexes (where \( N,O = 2-(\text{m-tolylminomethyl})\) phenolate, 2-(3-methylbutyliminolino methyl) phenolate, 2-(cyclohexyliminomethyl)phenolate, 2-(p-tolyliminomethyl)phenolate and 2-(phenyliminomethyl) phenolate). Fig. 8 graphically depicts the rates of each of the above mentioned complexes with the complex used in this study by plotting \( k_{\text{obs}} \) versus...
the second order rate constants

Entering ligands

| Entering ligands (L) | Temperature (°C) | k₁ (M⁻¹ s⁻¹) | k₄ × 10⁻³ (s⁻¹) | Kₒq × 10² (M⁻¹) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Py                 | 5.0             | 0.11 ± 0.02  | 0.9 ± 0.6      | 1.1 ± 0.7       |
|                    | 15.4            | 0.64 ± 0.01  | 1.8 ± 0.6      | 4 ± 1           |
|                    | 25.3            | 2.04 ± 0.03  | 3 ± 1          | 7 ± 4           |
| Imi                | 5.0             | 0.22 ± 0.01  | -0.8 ± 0.6     | -3 ± 2          |
|                    | 15.6            | 0.62 ± 0.03  | 2 ± 1          | 3 ± 2           |
|                    | 25.2            | 1.66 ± 0.06  | 9 ± 3          | 1.8 ± 0.6       |
| DMAP               | 5.0             | 0.56 ± 0.01  | 2 ± 0.5        | 2.6 ± 0.7       |
|                    | 15.1            | 0.95 ± 0.03  | 2 ± 1          | 5 ± 4           |
|                    | 25.0            | 3.18 ± 0.08  | -8 ± 4         | -4 ± 2          |
| PPh₃               | 5.0             | 0.41 ± 0.03  | 0.2 ± 0.3      | 23 ± 41         |
|                    | 15.4            | 1.87 ± 0.08  | 2 ± 1          | 8 ± 5           |
|                    | 25.3            | 4.1 ± 0.1    | 1 ± 1          | 34 ± 38         |

a Concentration range of [Py] = 0.01–0.10 M. b Concentration range of [Imi] = 0.01–0.10 M. c Concentration range of [DMAP] = 0.01–0.10 M at 5.0 °C, 0.01–0.50 M at 15.1 °C and 0.01–0.76 M at 25.0 °C utilised for the calculation of limiting kinetics with line curvature. d [DMAP] = 0.0025–0.0350 M. e The forward rate constant (k₄) of an interchange mechanism calculated for limiting kinetics.

Table 3 UV-Vis kinetic data obtained from the kobs vs. ligand concentration plots for the substitution of methanol in fac-[Re(CO)₃(5Me-Sal-Tryptamine)(MeOH)] by different ligands (L) at various temperatures in methanol when calculated with linear kinetics traces

Table 4 Activation energy data obtained from the global fit of the Eyring equation. Included are the entering monodentate ligand pKₐ values and the second order rate constants k₁ (M⁻¹ s⁻¹) values calculated for the reactions at 25 °C in methanol

| Entering ligand (L) | k₁ (M⁻¹ s⁻¹) | ΔG° (kJ mol⁻¹) | ΔH° (kJ mol⁻¹) | ΔS° (J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹) | b pKₐ |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-------|
| Py                  | 2.04 ± 0.03  | 71 ± 5         | 82 ± 1         | 35 ± 5           | 5.2   |
| Imi                 | 1.66 ± 0.06  | 72 ± 11        | 72 ± 3         | 2 ± 11           | 6.9   |
| DMAP                | 3.18 ± 0.08  | 70 ± 14        | 66 ± 4         | -13 ± 13         | 9.6   |
| PPh₃                | 4.1 ± 0.1    | 60 ± 10        | 61 ± 3         | -30 ± 10         | 7.6   |

a Free energy of activation calculated at 25 °C. b References for pKₐ values. c Calculation based on linear traces for [DMAP] = 0.01–0.10 M. The relative percentage contribution of TΔS° to ΔG° is 11% for Py; 1% for Imi; 6% for DMAP; 13% for PPh₃.

Fig. 8 Plot of kobs versus [Pyridine] (0.01–0.40 M) for fac-[Re(CO)₃(Re-Sal-T)MeOH] complexes where R = H, CH₃ and T = m-toluidine, p-toluidine, phenylidine, 3-methylbutylidine, cyclohexylimine and tryptamine; λ = 440, 436, 441, 416 and 360 nm respectively substituted with pyridine in methanol at 25 °C.

pyridine concentration. The methyl and tryptamine functionalities in fac-[Re(CO)₃(5Me-Sal-Trypt)][MeOH] (2) of this study activate the complex somewhat more than the previous complexes studied with the exception of the cyclohexylimine complex. This finding suggests that the reactivity for substitution of methanol is slightly tuneable by altering the substituents on the ligand backbone to achieve the desired reactivity for a metal complex. However no dramatic rate or mechanistic changes were observed by introducing the tryptamine functionality onto the ligand backbone. This tendency illustrates the dominant role of the NₐO iminophenolate 6 membered cyclic backbone which is coordinated to the rhenium metal centre on the kinetic reactivity. The rate of methanol substitution by pyridine was conducted with NₐN, NₐO and OₐO bidentate ligand systems (1,10-phenanthroline, 2,2’-bipyridine, 2-picoline, 2-quinolinate, 2,4-dipicolinate, 2,4-diquinolinate, tribromo tropolonate and hydroxylavonate) by Schutte-Smith et al. wherein an interchange dissociative mechanism was proposed. The reaction rates of these NₐO bidentate ligand systems were found to be significantly slower than the rates of
methanol substitution in fac-[Re(CO)₃(5Me-Sal-Trypt)(MeOH)] with reaction rates up to three orders-of-magnitude faster which the large tryptamine side chain does not seem to hinder.⁷⁷,⁷⁸

Additional investigations with the fac-[Re(CO)₃(Tropolone) (MeOH)] complex substituting methanol with imidazole in high pressure kinetics studies also indicated an interchange dissociative mechanism.⁷⁹ The research by Grundler et al. for water substitution in fac-[Re(CO)₃(H₂O)₃] with monodentate ligands (acetonitrile, Br⁻, pyrazine, tetrahydrothiophene and dimethylsulfide) does indicate that a mechanistic changeover from an interchange dissociative to an interchange associative mechanism is possible.⁸⁰,⁸¹

In conclusion an interchange dissociative mechanism is proposed based on the low, near zero values of ΔS°; the subtle interplay of linear and limiting kinetics (particularly noticeable in the pyridine versus the DMAP); The relative consistency of k₁ for all the entering nucleophiles despite the varying pKa’s and steric parameters as well as the similarity of kobs behaviour (Fig. 8) to that reported in literature.⁸²,⁸³

Cytotoxicity studies

The bidentate ligand, 5Me-SalH-Tryptamine (1), was designed with biological activity in mind. The ligand is constructed using 4-methyl-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde and tryptamine as starting materials. The aldehyde was chosen for its similarities to aspirin which has well known bioactivity and protein interactions as seen in the protein crystallography (PDB code: 1OXR).⁷³ Donor/acceptor interactions are observed from the protein crystal structure via the oxygen atoms and aromatic structure of the aspirin molecule. Tryptamine which is a neurotransmitter has significant biological interactions.⁷⁴ From protein structure analysis (PDB code: 2PQL) donor/acceptor interactions can be observed at the amine NH₂ and indole NH atoms as well as interactions with the aromatic indole functional group all of which may play a determining role in protein binding.⁷⁵ Our fac-[Re(CO)₃(5Me-SalH-Tryptamine)(L)] (where L = MeOH, Py, Imi, DMAP and PPh₃) complexes, including the dinuclear structure (7), does lose the NH₂ binding site during Schiff-base formation and ligand complexation, however the N indole, O (bidentate ligand) and carbonyl atoms are still available for donor/acceptor interactions and does appear consistently in the three small molecule crystallographic results. It is important to note that carefully thought out ligand systems and subtle variations in the final metal complex does not necessarily translate to predictably better or worse cytotoxic results and so cell line testing becomes imperative in radiopharmaceutical development.⁷⁵

The anticancer activity of compounds (1–7) used in this study, as well as cisplatin, was tested in vitro on HeLa cells by using the SRB assay. The half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) was calculated from colorimetric determinations expressed as a percentage of the control. IC₅₀ values are summarized in Fig. 9 and all cell-growth curves are shown in the ESI† to this paper (Fig. 10).

All of the compounds exhibited anticancer activity with an IC₅₀ value of between 11.6 and 22 µM, except for complex (6) and (7). Compound (1) is the free ligand and complex (2), the MeOH substituted compound. Complex (3), (4) and (6) are the N-substituted complexes, (5) contains PPh₃ in the 6th position and (7) is the dinuclear cluster. Comparable IC₅₀’s were obtained for (1–5), suggesting the cytotoxic capability most likely resides in the functionalized tryptamine ligand. A slightly better value is obtained for the MeOH substituted compound (2) (11.6 µM), which can be ascribed to the lability of the solvent molecule which permits for substitution and coordination once inside the cells. Compounds (6) and (7) dissolved initially but precipitated out of the aqueous solution over time, thus leading to higher IC₅₀ values. Due to the similar anticancer activity of all compounds in this study, including the free functionalized tryptamine ligand it is postulated that the ligand possesses the dominant anticancer activity observed. This is supported by findings of Cerchiaro et al. who found anticancer activity for oxindole type ligands.⁷⁶ Results also suggest that little or no anticancer activity is based on the Re-metal. Binkley et al. indicated that [Re(TAME)(CO)₃]X complexes (where TAME = trisaminomethylethane; X = Br, Cl, NO₃, PF₆, ClO₄) showed little or no toxicity as tested against HeLa cells,⁷⁶ while Marker et al. also showed very little toxicity by rhenium tricarbonyl complexes.⁷⁵,⁷⁶ This suggest that as used for specific labelling the rhenium tricarbonyl complexes is ideal since the chemical toxicity is nearly negligible, and only the radio-toxicity should be considered when ¹⁸⁶/¹⁸⁸Re is utilised.

Photoluminescence

Photoluminescence of each of the compounds (1–7) were conducted. Due to the limited sample mass and poor lambertian behaviour of some of the metal complexes quantum yields was only conducted on the ligand ((1), in the form of crystalline
flakes) which was found to be 11% when excited at 350 nm, see Fig. 10.

Previously ((1), in the form of powder) has been investigated and demonstrated to have a quantum yield of 1.3% and 0.9% at 390 nm and 280 nm. Reproducibility of the quantum yield of (1) in both forms (powder vs. crystalline) has been confirmed. The morphology of a luminescent material may have a strong effect on its emission intensity, e.g. samples of SrTiO₃ with spherical morphology emitted much more intensely than cubic particles which were chemically identical. In the case of (1) the quantum yield of the crystalline flakes may be greater than the powder due to its lower surface-to-volume ratio (considering the surface as a crystalline defect which may result in non-radiative de-excitation) as well as the greater rigidity imposed on the individual molecules by the crystal structure that may inhibit quenching. The tryptophan and tryptamine has comparable excitation and emission properties to (1) indicating that the indole ring system plays a dominating role in the ligands photochemical characteristics.

For comparative purposes the excitation wavelength for each of the compounds (1–7) was chosen at 350 nm and wavelengths at the maximum emission intensity for the complexes were found to be 570 nm, 550 nm, 570 nm, 550 nm and 550 nm for compounds 2–7 respectively. The excitation and emission spectra of (1–7) can be seen in Fig. 11. While no correlation could be observed between the 6th ligand coordinated to the metal centre and the peak emission wavelength the similarities between the wavelengths indicate that the bidentate Schiff base ligand dwarfs the contribution to luminescence with respect to the monodentate ligand. Very little information is available with respect to the luminescence of N₂O Schiff base fac-[Re(CO)₃]** complexes however pyridine based bidentate ligand systems have received attention in this regard. Clède et al.® reported a series of N,N′ neutral bidentate ligands coordinated to fac-[Re(CO)₃]** which had somewhat similar excitation and emission wavelengths of 335 nm and 527 nm each compared to our 350 nm excitation and 550 nm and 570 nm emission wavelengths. It is worth noting that Clède et al. conducted their photoluminescence studies in acetonitrile. Doleck et al.® produced bipyridyl based systems on the fac-[Re(CO)₃]** core which showed large variations in luminescence wavelengths (225–402 nm excitation and 435–730 nm emission) when observed in acetonitrile solutions.

Conclusions
Our interest is in the development of site-specific radiopharmaceuticals, in particular how the fundamental mechanistic reactivity can assist the said development. We have synthesised a range of fac-[Re(CO)₃][5Me-Sal-Trypt][L] complexes whereby L = MeOH, Py, Imi, DMAP, PPh₃ including a dinuclear cluster containing tryptamine on the ligand backbone. The solid state structures show marked similarity in structural tendency, in particular the rigidity of the Re core and the H-bond interactions of the N₂ indole and O₄ salicylidene backbone, interactions which may prove key for future protein–ligand coordination. The substitution kinetics confirms the stability of
the complexes as well as the interchange dissociative mechanism. A mechanism which may not be ideal for selective coordination due to the 6th coordinate transition state intermediate which becomes available during substitution. However, the increase reactivity (3 orders of magnitude) is ideal for radio-pharmaceuticals wherein one must consider the half life of the radio-isotope. Additionally, cell testing indicates that the complexes are not exceptionally cytotoxic and that radioactivity would be the driving force should any of these metal complexes be introduced in a clinical environment when using a suitable radioisotope. The photoluminescence between the complexes is similar in maximum emission wavelength with little variation despite the broad range of ligands coordinated to the 6th position on the metal centre.
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