Arthroscopic Stabilization for Displaced Lateral Clavicular Fractures: Can It Restore Anatomy?
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Background: The purpose of our study was to evaluate the accuracy of reduction based on postoperative computed tomography (CT) images after arthroscopic stabilization using tightrope system for unstable distal clavicle fracture.

Methods: Twelve patients with distal clavicle fracture combined with coracoclavicular (CC) ligament injury (type II, V) who received arthroscopically assisted fixation using a flip button device were evaluated for accuracy of reduction using 3-dimensional postoperative CT scan by measuring the degree of distal clavicular angulation and clavicular shortening.

Results: Immediate postoperative plain radiograph confirmed restoration of the CC distance (CCD) in 10 patients. At final follow-up, the CCD remained reduced anatomically on plain radiographs in these patients. All patients showed excessive posterior angulation and shortening compared to the opposite side. The average Constant score recovered to 94.8 at final follow-up.

Conclusions: Indirect reduction and arthroscopic subacromial approach with flip button fixation of unstable distal clavicle fractures demonstrated favorable clinical results despite unavoidable posterior angulation of distal clavicle and shortening the total length of clavicle.
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Introduction

Distal-third clavicle fractures with disruption of the coracoclavicular (CC) ligament are potentially unstable1 and are complicated by a high rate of non-union (33.3%) when treated conservatively.2 Internal fixation in these fractures is often difficult, because of inability to attain screw purchase due to inadequate distal fragment size, comminution, and instability caused by torn CC ligament. Numerous techniques such as CC screws, tension bands, hook and locked plates, intramedullary screws, transacromial K-wire or Knowles pin fixation, and CC ligament repair2-6 alone or in combination have been described, but no gold standard has been established. Many of them were associated with favorable outcomes but potential complications (nonunion, symptomatic hardware, peri-implant fracture, infection, rotator cuff tear, malunion, and acromioclavicular [AC] joint arthrosis) and morbidities were unavoidable.7-10

Attention has recently shifted towards minimally invasive surgery and arthroscopic fixation of these unstable fractures.11-16 Midterm results of arthroscopic flip button fixation showing favorable results in distal clavicle fractures have recently been published.13,14 Arthroscopic fixation has many advantages; however, postoperative X-rays can be deceiving in that it cannot identify occult mal-reduction evident on 3-dimensional computed tomography (3D CT), which might affect the long term results of this novel technique. The purpose of our study was to evaluate the accuracy of reduction based on postoperative CT images after arthroscopic stabilization using a tightrope system for unstable distal clavicle fracture.
Methods

This study was conducted retrospectively. Twelve patients with distal clavicle fracture combined with CC ligament injury who received arthroscopically assisted fixation using a flip button device (tightrope; Arthrex Inc., Naples, FL, USA) between January 2013 and December 2013 in Hallym University Dongtan Sacred Heart Hospital were included in the study. This technique was intended for use in acute cases (within the first week of injury) for Craig type II and type V fractures. The study group included 6 men and 6 women with a mean age of 40 years (range, 26–50 years). Radiological imaging using anteroposterior (AP) and axillary view demonstrated Craig type IIB fractures in 7 patients and type V fractures in 5 patients. All patients underwent surgery within the first week of injury. Patients with other fracture types (I, III, and IV) and those diagnosed with associated injuries of rotator cuff, labrum, or biceps tendon during arthroscopic examination were excluded. All patients had a minimum follow-up period of 2 years (range, 2.0–2.5 years).

Surgical Technique

Under general anesthesia with the patient in lateral decubitus position, diagnostic arthroscopy of the glenohumeral joint was performed through the posterior portal. Next, the anterolateral portal is made approximately 1.5 cm lateral to and in line with anterior border of acromion to perform a bursectomy. The anterior portal is established with direct visualization from the anterolateral portal. This portal allows access to the subcoracoid space for dissection around the inferior coracoid. Next, a 1.5-cm skin incision was made just medial to the fracture site along the midline of the distal clavicle (Fig. 1A).

An AC tightrope drill guide (Arthrex Inc.) was centered on the undersurface of the base of the coracoid through the anterior portal while its other end (the drill sleeve) was placed 1 cm medial to the fracture site along the center of the clavicle. With the drill guide held in this position and under clear arthroscopic view, a 2.4-mm guide pin was drilled from the clavicle to the undersurface of the coracoid process. Next, a 4.0-mm tunnel was made using a tightrope reamer. The tightrope reamer was left in situ and the guide pin was removed. A guide wire was inserted through the tightrope reamer, passed out of the hole drilled in the coracoid and retrieved with a grasper placed through the anterior portal and the reamer was removed. The flip button device (2 metal buttons, one oblong and the other rounded, connected by a loop of #5 FiberWire; Arthrex Inc.) was inserted into the loop and pulled through the clavicle and the base of the coracoid. The button was flipped and positioned horizontally under the coracoid using a suture retriever. The medial clavicular fragment was pushed inferiorly with a bone tamp and superior force applied on elbow and arm to indirectly reduce the fracture (Fig. 1B, C). The flip button was tied down over the round button on the superior surface of the clavicle after adequate reduction was obtained under an image intensifier.

The affected shoulder was immobilized in a shoulder brace in a neutral position for 4 weeks postoperatively. Gentle passive mobilization started at 4 weeks and active mobilization at 6 weeks after the surgery.

Outcome Measures

All patients were checked by 3D CT on postoperative 1 day. Postoperative 3D CT scan was used for assessing the 3D quality of reduction by measuring the degree of distal clavicular angulation and clavicular shortening as described by Bachoura et al. (Fig. 2). The restoration of relative clavicular length and degree of angulation on the distal clavicle were measured in the axial plane. Three midlines passing through the shaft of the medial segment, middle segment, and lateral segments of the clavicle were created. The angle between the lines from middle and lateral segments was measured in axial planes and compared with the contralateral side of the clavicle. The k statistic was used to
assess interobserver agreement for the measurement of angle. The k value for interobserver agreement was 0.85 (p<0.001). Clavicle length is measured as the straight distance between the medial and lateral ends of the clavicle, rather than the true length of the clavicle along its curvilinear surface.

Follow-up evaluation included the Constant score and the pain level based on the visual analogue scale (VAS; 0=no pain, 10=maximum pain). Maintenance of reduction was determined by measuring the vertical distance between the upper border of the coracoid process and the inferior cortex of the clavicle (CC distance, CCD) in standard AP view X-ray and comparing it to the contralateral side. Successful union was defined by obliteration of the fracture gap on plain radiographs and no tenderness or pain at the fracture site during shoulder exercise.

The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare the degree of posterior angulation and total clavicular length with those of the contralateral clavicle. Clinical scores were accessed using the independent t-test using IBM SPSS ver. 19.0 software (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). The level of significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

An overview of patients’ demographics, including age and gender, type of fracture, time for union, and radiologic results, as well as the Constant score at the last follow-up is shown in

![Fig. 2. Angle between the lateral and middle segments of the clavicle (black arrow), posterior angulation in the axial plane (yellow arrow) (A) and length of the clavicle (B) in the 3-dimensional computed tomography scans.](image_url)

| Patient No. | Gender | Age (yr) | Craig type | Angle between the lateral and middle segments of clavicle (°) | Clavicle length (cm) | Time of union (d) | Constant score |
|-------------|--------|----------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|
|             |        |          |            | Affected side | Contralateral side | Affected side | Contralateral side |               |
| 1           | Female | 26       | IIB        | 142.9         | 147.6              | 128.6         | 136.2           | 70 100        |
| 2           | Female | 36       | V          | 120.4         | 128.8              | 129.8         | 136.3           | 55 92         |
| 3           | Female | 42       | IIB        | 119.8         | 122.6              | 124.6         | 127.6           | 102 100       |
| 4           | Male   | 38       | V          | 130.7         | 135.4              | 152.3         | 156.5           | 62 92         |
| 5           | Male   | 50       | IIB        | 125.3         | 143.9              | 144.8         | 159.4           | 64 94         |
| 6           | Male   | 48       | V          | 118.7         | 140.3              | 142.3         | 147.7           | 69 100        |
| 7           | Female | 41       | V          | 118.6         | 122.4              | 142.5         | 146.1           | Resection 89  |
| 8           | Female | 33       | IIB        | 122.7         | 144.5              | 131.1         | 133.9           | 73 95         |
| 9           | Male   | 43       | IIB        | 115.1         | 146.6              | 151.6         | 145.7           | 69 98         |
| 10          | Male   | 39       | V          | 150.8         | 152.7              | 151.7         | 151.2           | 83 92         |
| 11          | Female | 45       | IIB        | 120.2         | 131.2              | 126.2         | 131.4           | 91 96         |
| 12          | Male   | 40       | IIB        | 116.3         | 131.5              | 141.3         | 146.7           | Plate 89      |
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Two patients demonstrated incomplete reduction, resulting in failure to restore CCD. One patient with type IIb was overreduced to 25% of the contralateral CCD, and another patient with type IIb fracture was incompletely reduced. Both patients underwent revision surgery, using plate and screw designed for distal clavicle fracture for first and excision of the distal fragment for the latter.

All patients showed excessive posterior angulation and shortening compared to opposite side. The mean posterior angulation of distal clavicle and total clavicular length in 10 patients at final follow-up were 32.4 degree and 136.9 cm respectively, while those of the contralateral side were 23.4 degree and 143.8 cm, which were both statistically significant (p<0.03 and p<0.01).

Mean time to union was 13.7 weeks (range, 7.8–14.5 weeks) after surgery. All patients had returned to full overhead pre-injury level of activity at last follow-up.

**Discussion**

Our study, arthroscopic stabilization with tightrope through a subacromial approach, demonstrated favorable clinical results and union despite unavoidable posterior angulation of the distal clavicle as evident on 3D CT images.

Despite our results, we believe that more anatomical restoration will be better in clinical results on long term follow-up, and no existing data describes biomechanical and clinical ill-effect caused by posterior angulation, special attention must be paid to its long term influence.

As a minimal invasive technique, arthroscopic stabilization of distal third clavicle fractures has been introduced using sutures or double-button devices for Neer type II fractures. Minimal invasive procedures are theoretically more advantageous over open technique in terms of fracture healing as well as ligament healing as a consequence of less soft tissue stripping and devascularization around the fracture site. Arthroscopy allows for diagnosis and treatment of any intra-articular pathology. Arthroscopic preparation of the coracoid base also provides a better view of the bone tunnel than an open surgical technique. Flinkklä et al., in their comparative study, favored arthroscopic tight rope fixation over hookplate, but reduction was achieved under direct vision, exposing the fracture hematoma.

Pujol et al. treated 4 patients with indirect reduction and double button device fixation through the arthroscopic rotator interval approach claiming union and a full recovery of the shoulder function in 6 months. Checchia et al., using an arthroscopic rotator interval approach and figure of 8 circlage loop technique around coracoid, treated 7 patients with a mean age of 46 years. Mean union time was 7 weeks. At mean follow-up of 15 months, there were 7 excellent to good results using University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA and American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) scores. One patient had developed stiffness of the shoulder probably due to use of a rotator interval approach. Loriaut et al. recently reported satisfactory functional outcome in 21 patients with type 2B displaced fracture of the clavicle who received an arthroscopically assisted fixation using a double button device through a rotator interval approach. Although the importance of an arthroscopic approach was highlighted, all of them opened the rotator interval for preparing the coracoid base.

Baumgarten treated a case with type II fracture using a flip button system through an arthroscopic subacromial approach, with fracture consolidation at 3 months, and return to painless, unrestricted activity at 5 months. Motta et al. treated 14 male patients, with type IIA, IIb, and 5 lateral clavicular fractures through an arthroscopic subacromial approach using TightRope. All fractures healed without limitations in range of motion or loss of reduction. We are in agreement with Baumgarten and Motta et al., who used a subacromial approach, because we believe that opening the rotator interval may lead to post-operative instability or stiffness of the shoulder.

Arthroscopic indirect reduction techniques may not result in adequate apposition in all fracture patterns, and there may exist residual instability between the fracture fragments, which was evident in one of our patients. Numerous complications in relation to arthroscopic fixation have been mentioned in the literature, including implant failure with nonunion, transient adhesive capsulitis, symptomatic AC joint arthritis, coracoid fracture, and clavicular erosion. However, we did not encounter any of them except instability and over reduction.

Even though Loriaut et al. and Motta et al. reported satisfactory radiologic outcomes after arthroscopic stabilization based on plane radiographs, malreduction/posterior angulation, an occult complication and its effect on clinical outcome has never been mentioned previously as it is not evident on routine X-rays. Rieser et al., in a biomechanical evaluation, showed that combination of a locking plate and tightrope was superior to either method alone as a result of greater construct strength and stiffness. Though fracture consolidation can be achieved without inter fragmentary fixation, arthroscopic stabilization using a double button device may not be sufficient to maintain anatomical reduction especially in the horizontal plane due to deforming forces exerted by muscle pull and gravity on fracture fragments as evidenced by shortening and increased posterior angulation of the distal clavicle in our cohort.

Although this case series constitutes level IV evidence (Oxford
grading), some general conclusions can be drawn due to the rarity of type II and type V fractures and few shoulder surgeons performing arthroscopic fixation. The quality of reduction does not appear to be related to the severity of injury. Despite a significant difference in the angulation and length of clavicle (p<0.03 and p<0.01), evaluation of CCD on the plain AP view X-rays did not reveal these abnormalities. Malreduction appears to be related to indirect reduction, improper placement of the clavicular tunnel, or improper tensioning of the flip button device. Proper positioning of the coracoid tunnel at the center of the base,23 clavicular tunnel within the footprint of the CC ligament, results in anatomic restoration of distal clavicle fractures.

There were several limitations of this study. First, the relatively small number of patients evaluated was a limiting factor in determining the relevant outcome of the procedure. Second, because this was a retrospective comparative study after surgery, we had no control group. The third limitation was the absence of biomechanical theory regarding posterior angulation and shortening after arthroscopic fixation. We assumed that this problem might be concerned with muscle force or gravity.

Concerns have been raised regarding whether shortening and posterior angulation of the distal clavicle will result in altered scapular biomechanics and AC joint problems. Andermahr et al.,24 in their anatomical study, showed that malunion of the clavicle may cause glenoid malpositioning, which, in turn, can lead to functional deficits during abduction. Hence, further long term studies are necessary to address the untoward events due to altered angulation and radius of curvature in the transverse plane, and to refine the technique further in order to restore normal anatomy by placement of the bony tunnels or number of tunnels over the anatomic foot print of the CC ligament.

Conclusion

Indirect reduction arthroscopic subacromial approach with flip button fixation of unstable distal clavicle fractures demonstrated favorable clinical results despite unavoidable posterior angulation of the distal clavicle and shortening of the total length of the clavicle. Further long term studies are needed to evaluate the influence of a posteriorly angulated distal clavicle and resulting in a shortened clavicle after arthroscopic flip button fixation of these fractures.
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