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Background. Mounting evidence suggests an association between human papillomavirus (HPV) and HIV acquisition. This study aimed to explore this association among South African female sex workers (FSWs).

Methods. We used data from 88 HIV-negative FSWs who participated in a vaginal gel (COL-1492) trial. Cervicovaginal rinse samples, obtained before HIV-seroconversion, were genotyped into high-risk (HR-) and low-risk (LR-) HPV. HIV-adjusted hazard ratios (aHRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated using Cox survival analysis.

Results. HR- and LR-HPV prevalences were 70.5% (95% CI: 60.5–79.2) and 60.2% (95% CI: 49.9–70.0), respectively. Twenty-five women HIV seroconverted. Controlling for background characteristics and other sexually transmitted infections, HIV aHR increased by a factor of 1.7 (95% CI: 1.01–2.7, P_linear trend = 0.045) for an increase of one unit of the number of HR-HPV genotypes.

Conclusions. HIV seroconversion among FSWs is associated with genital HR-HPV infection. Further investigation is warranted, including testing the possible protective effect of available HPV vaccines on HIV acquisition.

1. Background

HIV prevalence in South Africa is one of the highest in the world, with over 17% of adults infected with HIV [1]. Women are a particularly vulnerable group, with HIV prevalence and incidence far exceeding that of men from the region and of women in other parts of the world [2]. In South Africa, 90% of new infections in the 15 to 24 age group are among women [3], and HIV prevalences of up to 66.7% have been reported among women aged 24 [4].

A number of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), notably HSV-2, have been identified as key biomedical risk factors for the heterosexual transmission of HIV [2, 5, 6]. Among high-risk populations, female sex-workers (FSWs) generally have disproportionately high rates of STIs [7], and are likely to be at increased risk of HIV exposure. In low-income settings where condom use is inconsistent and access to effective STI treatment limited, an estimated 50 to 75% of FSWs are infected with at least one STI at any one time, and multiple infections are common [7].

In recent years, the association between HIV and genital human papillomavirus (HPV), one of the most common STI worldwide, has generated much interest. In South Africa, genital HPV is thought to affect about 21.0% of women with normal cytology [8], and HPV prevalence among FSWs is estimated to be two and a half to four times higher [9]. Genital HPV genotypes are divided into “high-risk” (HR) and “low-risk” (LR) types on the basis of their association with malignancies of the cervix. HR-HPV is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in South Africa due to its
aetiological association with genital cancer [10–15], cervical carcinoma being the second leading cause of cancer death among South African women [8].

Mounting evidence suggests an association between HPV serostatus and HIV infection. Several studies conducted among sub-Saharan African women indicate that HIV seropositivity is strongly associated with higher HPV prevalence [14, 16–23], higher HR-HPV prevalence [16, 17, 19], higher HPV incidence [20], a reduced likelihood of HPV infection clearance, which is associated with an increased risk of HPV-related lesions [20, 23, 24], and higher prevalence of infection with multiple HPV genotypes [14, 16, 17, 19, 21, 23, 24]. Furthermore, HIV seropositivity has also been found to be associated with the development of high-grade cervical squamous intraepithelial lesions [25], the presence of high and low-grade cervical squamous intraepithelial lesions [22], as well as invasive cervical carcinoma, a recognised AIDS-defining condition [19, 26] and the most common cancer among HIV-positive women in sub-Saharan Africa [27].

More recently, an association between HPV infection and HIV acquisition has been suggested, but to date, only a few studies have been conducted among women to test this hypothesis [28–30]. The objective of this study was to assess HPV as a risk factor of HIV acquisition among FSWs in South Africa, a group which is presumably at high risk for STIs such as HPV and HIV.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Population and Sample Collection. Data were obtained from South African FSWs from the Kwazulu-Natal Midlands, who were participating in a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, triple-blinded, phase 2/3 efficacy trial of COL-1492, a low-dose nonoxynol-9 microbicide. The trial was funded by the United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), and conducted between September 1996 and June 2000.

In brief, 187 healthy, nondrug using, not pregnant, HIV-negative South African FSWs were recruited by the Medical Research Council of Durban from truck stops along the major highway between the port city of Durban and the commercial capital Johannesburg and enrolled in the trial. At the screening visit, comprehensive information on the trial was provided and written informed consent was obtained. Participants were randomly assigned to a nonoxynol-9 containing gel (COL-1492) or the same placebo gel without nonoxynol-9. Data on demographics, background characteristics and sexual behaviour were collected at baseline. The primary endpoint was incident HIV-1 infection. The retention rate in the study at 48 weeks was 88%. Due to issues with processing, HPV sample data were unavailable for 83 women, but their baseline characteristics did not differ significantly from those of the remaining 104 women [31]. Details on the trial have been published elsewhere [31, 32].

Samples used for the present study were cervicovaginal rinses (CVRs), which were collected and tested for HPV. These samples were obtained at baseline, which started in 1996, in December 1998 (Followup A) and in December 1999 (Followup B). The full follow-up schedule of the study participants has been previously described [32, 33]. Full sets of HPV samples (i.e., one sample collected at each time point) were not obtained for all participants, some of whom having missing data. Infection statuses at baseline for Trichomonas vaginalis, Candida albicans, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Chlamydia trachomatis, and syphilis were also obtained as described elsewhere [32].

In the current study, we included the 88 women who had at least one HPV result, obtained before HIV conversion for those who seroconverted.

2.2. Laboratory Testing. The detailed testing procedures have been previously described [31, 32].

HIV testing was performed with sensitive antibody ELISA (Abbott, Chicago, Ill, USA and Omnimed, UK) and confirmed by line immunoassay (Innogenetics, Ghent, Belgium). Seroconversion dates were established using p24 antigen detection ELISA and a DNA PCR assay.

HPV DNA was extracted from the collected cervical cells using a Qiagen DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Calif, USA). HPV typing was performed with a reverse line blot assay (Roche Molecular Systems, Calif), according to a published method [34]. This assay could detect 13 HR-HPV genotypes (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, and 68), 14 LR-HPV genotypes (6, 11, 26, 40, 42, 53, 54, 55, 57, 66, 73, 82, 83, and 84) and had probes for internal β globin control. As recommended by the manufacturer, β globin negative samples were not included in the analysis [35]. Samples were tested blinded of other study data. For quality control procedures, PCR controls for the HPV genotyping test were run together with test specimens in both the amplification and detection step of the assay.

Laboratory testing procedures for other STIs have been previously described [32] and are available at http://image.thelancet.com extra/02art3365webmethods.pdf.

2.3. Statistical Analyses. HIV-adjusted hazard ratios (aHRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated with survival analysis (Cox model), using as covariates the following baseline characteristics: number of HR-HPV genotypes (referred to as number of HR-HPV in the text), number of LR-HPV genotypes (referred to as number of LR-HPV in the text), age (less than 24 years, 24 years, or more), intervention group (placebo or Nonoxynol-9), condom use (never, sometimes, or often), anal sex (yes or no), length of sex work (less than 24 months, 24 or more), number of clients per week (less than 20, 20 or more) and status of other STI (Trichomonas vaginalis, Candida albicans, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Chlamydia trachomatis, and syphilis). The variables age, length of sex work, and number of clients were dichotomized based on their respective median values.

Because infections with HR-HPV and LR-HPV were correlated (P = 0.007, Spearman’s rho test), the effect of LR-HPV on HIV incidence was first tested by controlling for HR-HPV. Similarly, the effect of HR-HPV on HIV incidence was first tested by controlling for LR-HPV.
The CIs of the percentages were calculated using Bayesian estimation [36]. Continuous variables and dichotomous variables were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test and Fisher’s exact test, respectively. Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical package SPSS for Windows version 8 (SPSS, Chicago, Ill, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Background Characteristics. Median age of the participants was 24 (min–max: 19–45; interquartile range (IQR): 19 to 30). Participants had been engaging in sex work for a median duration of 2.0 years (min–max: 0.17–23; IQR: 1.6 to 3.0), and their median number of clients per week was 20 (min–max: 5–35; IQR: 11 to 25). Median duration of participation in the study was 2.5 years (IQR: 1.7 to 2.8). Other background characteristics and STI status are indicated in Table 1.

3.2. HPV Infection and Genotype Distribution. For the analyses, we used the first available HPV testing, which was obtained at screening for 33 women (37.5%; 33/88), in December 1998 for 44 women (50.0%, 44/88) for whom HPV data at screening were unavailable, and in December 1999 for 11 women (12.5%; 11/88) for whom HPV data were unavailable at screening and in December 1998. The HR- and LR-HPV prevalences were 70.5% (62/88, 95% CI: 60.5–79.2) and 60.2% (53/88, 95% CI: 49.9–70.0), respectively. The distribution of the numbers of HR-HPV and LR-HPV is shown in Table 2. Among the 62 women infected with at least one HR-HPV, 102 different HR-HPV genotypes were detected. The distribution of these genotypes is illustrated in Figure 1. This figure shows that among the 13 high-risk genotypes detected, HR-HPV 18 was the most common. HR-HPV 16 and HR-HPV 18 together represented close to one third of all HR-HPV genotypes detected among the participants.

3.3. HIV Acquisition and Risk Factors. Among the 88 women, 28.4% (25/88) HIV seroconverted during followup. When controlling for the number of HR-HPV, the number of LR-HPV was not a risk factor for HIV acquisition (aHR = 0.95, 95% CI: 0.68–1.3, P = 0.76). In contrast, when controlling for the number of LR-HPV, the number of HR-HPV was a risk factor for HIV acquisition (aHR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.1–2.2, P = 0.019). When assessing HIV acquisition as a function of the total number of genotypes, regardless of type, the univariate effect was HR=1.2 (95% CI: 0.97–1.4; P = 0.089), and the multivariate effect was aHR = 1.1 (95% CI: 0.84–1.4; P = 0.51).

The mean (median) duration in years of followup before HIV infection was 2.4 (2.7) for women not infected with HR-HPV and 2.2 (2.5) for those infected with at least one HR-HPV genotype. The effect of HR-HPV status and other covariates on HIV acquisition, and the distribution of HIV cases, are indicated in Table 1. In the multivariate analysis, HIV acquisition significantly increased with the number of HR-HPV genotypes and level of education, and significantly decreased with age. Women infected with two or more HR-HPV genotypes were at higher risk of acquiring HIV than those infected with zero or one HR-HPV genotype (aHR = 4.0, 95% CI: 1.2–14.0, P = 0.028).

As shown in Figure 2, HIV-free survival decreased with the number of HR-HPV genotypes.

A sensitivity analysis was conducted to examine the effect of HR-HPV on HIV acquisition among women in the placebo group. The univariate effect was HR=1.9 (95% CI: 1.1–3.3, P = 0.027). The corresponding multivariate analysis only included covariates having a univariate P value lower
| Factor                                | Distribution | Number of HIV infections | Mean survival (days) | Univariate HR (95% CI) | Multivariate aHR* (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Number of high-risk HPV               |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| 0                                     | 29.5% (26/88) | 5                        | 1148                 |                        |                           |
| 1                                     | 43.2% (38/88) | 9                        | 1110                 | 1.5 (1.1 to 2.1)       | 1.7 (1.01 to 2.7)         |
| 2                                     | 14.8% (13/88) | 5                        | 934                  |                        |                           |
| 3-4                                   | 12.5% (11/88) | 6                        | 775                  |                        |                           |
| Number of low-risk HPV                |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| 0                                     | 39.8% (35/88) | 7                        | 1134                 |                        |                           |
| 1                                     | 35.2% (31/88) | 11                       | 992                  | 1.1 (0.12 to 0.69)     | 0.77 (0.49 to 1.2)        |
| 2                                     | 15.9% (14/88) | 5                        | 932                  |                        |                           |
| 3                                     | 9.1% (8/88)   | 2                        | 1136                 |                        |                           |
| Age (years)                           |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| Less than 24                          | 46.6% (41/88) | 18                       | 933                  | 1                      | 1                         |
| 24 or more                            | 53.4% (47/88) | 7                        | 1192                 | 0.29 (0.12 to 0.69)    | 0.28 (0.079 to 0.97)      |
| Intervention                          |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| Placebo                               | 46.6% (41/88) | 9                        | 1132                 | 1                      | 1                         |
| Nonoxynol-9                           | 53.4% (47/88) | 16                       | 1013                 | 1.8 (0.81 to 4.2)      | 2.0 (0.75 to 5.1)         |
| Education                             |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| Less than 6 years                     | 40.9% (36/88) | 6                        | 1184                 | 1                      | 1                         |
| 6 years or more                       | 59.1% (52/88) | 19                       | 990                  | 2.7 (1.1 to 6.8)       | 5.5 (1.6 to 19.6)         |
| Condom use                            |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| Never or sometimes                    | 80.7% (71/88) | 22                       | 1044                 | 1                      | 1                         |
| Often                                 | 19.3% (17/88) | 3                        | 1175                 | 0.57 (0.17 to 1.9)     | 0.29 (0.05 to 1.6)        |
| Anal sex                              |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| No                                    | 56.8% (50/88) | 18                       | 1029                 | 1                      | 1                         |
| Yes                                   | 43.2% (38/88) | 7                        | 1137                 | 0.53 (0.22 to 1.3)     | 0.35 (0.12 to 1.0)        |
| Length of sex work (months)           |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| Less than 24                          | 50.0% (44/88) | 13                       | 1045                 | 1                      | 1                         |
| 24 or more                            | 50.0% (44/88) | 12                       | 1091                 | 0.89 (0.41 to 1.9)     | 1.5 (0.41 to 5.6)         |
| Number of clients per week            |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| Less than 20                          | 46.6% (41/88) | 13                       | 1056                 | 1                      | 1                         |
| 20 or more                            | 53.4% (47/88) | 12                       | 1076                 | 0.78 (0.36 to 1.7)     | 1.4 (0.48 to 3.9)         |
| *Trichomonas vaginalis*               |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| Negative                              | 64.2% (52/81) | 17                       | 1030                 | 1                      | 1                         |
| Positive                              | 35.8% (29/81) | 6                        | 1109                 | 0.52 (0.21 to 1.3)     | 1.4 (0.41 to 4.6)         |
| *Candida albicans*                    |              |                          |                      |                        |                           |
| Negative                              | 63.0% (51/81) | 16                       | 1038                 | 1                      | 1                         |
Table 1: Continued.

| Factor                  | Distribution | Number of HIV infections | Mean survival (days) | Univariate HR (95% CI) | Multivariate aHR* (95% CI) |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Positive                | 37.0% (30/81) | 7                        | 1129                 | 0.67 (0.28 to 1.6)     | 0.55 (0.18 to 1.7)          |
| Neisseria gonorrhoeae   |              |                          |                      |                        |                            |
| Negative                | 91.3% (73/80) | 20                       | 1074                 | 1                      |                            |
| Positive                | 8.8% (7/80)   | 3                        | 1065                 | 1.33 (0.40 to 4.5)     | 2.3 (0.53 to 9.6)           |
| Chlamydia trachomatis   |              |                          |                      |                        |                            |
| Negative                | 86.5% (64/74) | 20                       | 1039                 | 1                      |                            |
| Positive                | 13.5% (10/74) | 7                        | 1120                 | 0.77 (0.23 to 2.6)     | 0.25 (0.06 to 1.1)          |
| Syphilis                |              |                          |                      |                        |                            |
| Negative                | 73.2% (60/82) | 20                       | 1042                 | 1                      |                            |
| Positive                | 26.8% (22/82) | 4                        | 1138                 | 0.50 (0.17 to 1.5)     | 0.66 (0.17 to 2.6)          |

(a) HR: (adjusted) hazard ratio.
HPV: human papillomavirus.

The following variables were included in the multivariate analysis: number of high-risk HPV, number of low-risk HPV, age, intervention group, education, condom use, anal sex, length of sex work, number of clients per week, infection with Trichomonas vaginalis, infection with Candida albicans, infection with Neisseria gonorrhoeae, infection with Chlamydia trachomatis, and infection with syphilis.

**Increase of hazard ratio of HIV acquisition for an increase of one unit of the number of HPV. The P value corresponds to a linear trend.**

Table 2: Distribution of the number of human papillomavirus (HPV) genotypes among HIV-negative women.

| Number of high-risk HPV genotypes | Percentage of women (95% CI) [n] | Number of low-risk HPV genotypes | Percentage of women (95% CI) [n] |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0                                | 29.5 (20.8–39.5) [26]            | 0                                | 39.8 (30.0–50.1) [35]            |
| 1                                | 43.2 (33.2–53.5) [38]            | 1                                | 35.2 (25.8–45.4) [31]            |
| 2                                | 14.8 (8.4–23.1) [13]             | 2                                | 15.9 (9.3–24.4) [14]             |
| 3                                | 10.2 (5.1–17.7) [9]              | 3                                | 3.4 (0.84–8.7) [3]               |
| 4                                | 2.3 (0.36–7.0) [2]               | 4                                | 5.7 (2.1–11.9) [5]               |
| 1–4                              | 70.5 (60.5–79.2) [62]            | 1–4                              | 60.2 (49.9–70.0) [53]            |
| Total                            | 100 [88]                         | Total                            | 100 [88]                         |

than 0.15 (age and education) because of the smaller number of HIV infections and yielded an effect aHR = 1.8 (95% CI: 1.0–3.3, P = 0.041).

3.4. Estimation of Potential Biases. Using the first available HPV testing result for our analysis may have been a source of bias because in doing so, it was assumed that HPV status at baseline and at the two follow-up visits were equivalent. This is not necessarily correct, because the observed association between HPV and HIV acquisition could be time dependent due to the transient nature of HPV infection. To address this issue, it was recommended that the analyses be repeated taking time zero as the date of the first HPV test. Although this approach reduced the power of the analysis because it reduced the total length of followup, the multivariate effect obtained (aHR = 1.6; 95% CI: 0.97 to 2.6; P = 0.067) was very close to the reported estimate from the main analysis.

The 16 (104 minus 88) women who were excluded due to lack of HPV results were compared with the 88 remaining women in terms of background characteristics and STI status, which are listed, Table 1. They did not differ with respect to their average age (26.6 versus 25.8; P = 0.54), percentage having been randomized to the intervention group (50.0% versus 46.6%; P = 1.0), percentage with at least six years of education (81.3% versus 59.1%; P = 0.16), percentage using condoms often (31.3% versus 19.3%; P = 0.32), percentage practicing anal sex (43.8% versus 43.2%; P = 1.0), average duration of sex work in months (27.0 versus 34.9; P = 0.59), average number of clients per week (21.4 versus 18.7; P = 0.26), prevalence of Trichomonas vaginalis (18.8% versus 35.8%; P = 0.25), prevalence of Candida albicans (50.0% versus 37.0%; P = 0.40), prevalence of Neisseria gonorrhoeae (12.5% versus 8.8%; P = 0.64), and prevalence of Syphilis (25.0% versus 26.8%; P = 1.0). However, the difference in the prevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis was borderline (35.7% versus 13.5%; P = 0.058). The statistical power for these analyses may have been limited due to the small sample sizes.
4. Discussion

While the burden of disease associated with HIV and HPV infection is significant, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, there is limited research on the link between HPV and HIV acquisition. In the present study, we found that HR-HPV was significantly and independently associated with HIV acquisition among South African FSWs and that the risk of HPV infection significantly increased in the event of multiple HR-HPV infections. No association was found with LR-HPV.

To our knowledge, only six published observational studies, three conducted among men and three among women, have explored HPV infection and HIV acquisition [28–30, 37–39]. All but one study [38] were conducted in sub-Saharan Africa, where HIV transmission is predominantly heterosexual. In five of these studies, HIV seroconversion was associated with HR-HPV. Only two studies found an association between LR-HPV and HIV acquisition.

One study [38], in which did HPV was not assessed by type, reported that anal HPV infection was associated with HIV acquisition among men who have sex with men (aHR = 3.5, 95% CI: 1.2–10.6). Another study [37] found that HIV acquisition was facilitated among HR-HPV infected South African men (adjusted incidence rate ratio= 3.8, 95% CI: 1.8–7.7), but not among those infected with LR-HPV. A third study conducted among Kenyan men [39] reported an independent, increased risk of HIV seroconversion among HPV-positive men (aHR = 1.8, 95% CI: 1.1–2.9) but not when HR and LR-HPV were assessed separately. Among women, a case-control study [30] reported that for Zimbabwean women with cervical HPV infection, the odds of acquiring HIV were over twice higher than among HR-HPV and LR-HPV uninfected women after adjustment for behavioural and biological factors (aOR = 2.3, 95% CI: 1.4–3.9 and aOR = 2.8, 95% CI: 1.3–5.9, resp.). Another study conducted in Zimbabwe [28] indicated that concurrent genital HR-HPV (assessed at the time of HIV detection) and recent HR-HPV infection (assessed within six months of HIV detection) approximately doubled the risk of HIV acquisition independently of sexual risk factors and other STIs (aHR = 2.0, 95% CI: 1.2–3.3 and aHR = 2.0, 95% CI: 1.2–3.2, resp.). This study also found a significant association between concurrent LR-HPV infection and HIV acquisition (aHR = 1.7, 95% CI: 1.0–2.9). Lastly, the cohort study conducted among Rwandan FSWs [29] found that women who HIV seroconverted were about five times more likely to have been infected with HR-HPV at baseline (OR = 4.9, 95% CI: 1.2–19.7).

Our study findings are mostly coherent with these reports; however, comparisons are difficult because of substantial variations in terms of sample population, covariate adjustment and HPV status assessment. For instance, only one study assessed the association among individuals with risky sexual behaviour [29] but reported unadjusted estimates. The other five studies [28, 30, 37–39] controlled for HSV-2 infection but only three [28, 30, 37] for condom use and another three for other STIs status [28, 30, 38]. The most notable variations concerned the timing of HPV-infection status assessment, which varied from baseline [28, 38, 39], to six months after recruitment [29], within three [30] or six months [28] before HIV seroconversion, concurrently with HIV detection [28] or after HIV seroconversion [37]. Due the transient nature of HPV infections, it is plausible that the association between HPV and HIV acquisition is time dependent, and it could explain the variations in study findings. Differing study designs may also explain the fact that we did not detect an increased risk of HIV acquisition with LR-HPV infection, as it had been reported by two of the studies [28, 30], but it could also reflect specific HPV-type differences in host response.

Several nonexclusive and plausible explanations can account for our findings. Firstly, HIV and HPV are sexually transmitted viruses which share the same transmission route and behavioral risk factors. However, the association observed remains largely significant even after controlling for sexual behavioral covariates although residual confounding cannot be excluded. Secondly, it could be argued that HIV-HPV coinfected male partners may have shed HR-HPV more intensively than HIV-negative male partners. Indeed, in the case of a depressed immune system, HPV lesions are more likely to be dysplastic [40], and immunodepression can reactivate latent HPV infections [41–43]. Since HPV is assumed to be more infectious than HIV, it is possible that women exposed to both infections at the same time acquire HPV before HIV, and that the hypothesized effect of HPV infection on HIV acquisition be due to residual confounding. This would be an issue even if the ascertainment of HPV among exposed partners occurred before HIV seroconversion. Thirdly, the findings could simply indicate that HR-HPV facilitates HIV acquisition, which seems the most likely explanation, since no effect of LR-HPV was detected. Lastly, as in any statistical analysis, our results could be due to chance. However, the numbers of HR and LR infections are similar. Thus, the powers of the individual analyses of the effect of HR- and LR-HPV on HIV acquisition are also similar. The probability that our results are due to chance cannot be excluded, but we think that it is reasonably small.

Several factors could account for a causal association of HR-HPV with HIV acquisition. first, their association is very strong, as shown in observational studies. Secondly, causality is biologically plausible: HPV could disrupt the local and systemic immune systems and predispose to HIV infection [27], either via the recruitment of HIV target cells [44, 45], which would facilitates its acquisition, or cytokine stimulation [45, 46], which could increase HIV replication. Thus, since HR-HPV and LR-HPV are believed to elicit a differential immune response [47], it would explain the lack of association between LR-HPV and HIV as found in this study and in a cross-sectional survey conducted in Zambia [16]. Thirdly, HPV could lead to genital lesions that may facilitate HIV acquisition. Unfortunately, we did not have the necessary data on HPV-associated lesions to assess this hypothesis. Further research is needed to clarify the etiological mechanisms of the association between HPV and HIV incidence.

This study has several limitations: First, its observational nature does not allow the interpretation of the findings as a causal association between HR-HPV status and HIV
acquisition. Secondly, we did not obtain sets of samples collected at the same time for all participants although only a small proportion were not included because of missing data. Thirdly, HSV-2 status, anal HPV sampling, and cervical cytology were not available, and thus their effects on the observed association could not be tested. In particular, HSV-2 has been hypothesized to facilitate HIV acquisition [48], and could potentially play a mediating role. However, among the six identified studies exploring the link between HSV-2 and HIV seroconversion, five [28, 30, 37–39] found a significant association even when HSV-2 status was included as a covariate. Fourthly, HPV infections are known to be transient [49], and this may have diluted the associations observed in this study. Lastly, the sample of women used in this study was not a representative sample. Nevertheless, there are few reasons to think that these limitations could invalidate the observed association between HR-HPV status and HIV acquisition.

5. Conclusion

Despite these limitations, these findings indicate that the hypothesis of a facilitating effect of HR-HPV on HIV acquisition requires further investigation using longitudinal data. The validation of this hypothesis could necessitate testing the potential protective effect of available HPV vaccines on HIV acquisition.
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