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ABSTRACT

Model reduction of a system is an approximation of a higher-order system to a lower-order system while the dynamic behavior of the system is almost unchanged. In this paper, we will discuss model order reduction (MOR) strategies for unstable systems, in which the method based on the balanced truncation algorithm will be focused on. Since each MOR algorithm has its strengths and weaknesses, practical applications should be suitable for each specific requirement. Simulation results will demonstrate the correctness of the algorithms.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Model order reduction (MOR) is a method that simplifies higher-order complex models. This is a topic received a lot of attention. However, there still have many issues to be addressed. The balanced truncation (BT) algorithm is one of the most popular methods of order reduction for linear systems. This method was introduced in [1]. Later, the ability to preserve stability during the process of order reduction is proved in [2], and a formula for calculating the error limitation is determined in [3, 4]. In order to perform the BT algorithm, it is necessary to perform the diagonalization process Gramian’s control matrix and Gramian’s observer matrices of the system simultaneously. The equivalence of two diagonal matrices allows transforming the original model represented in any base system to an equivalent base system represented in the coordinate system of internal balanced space. Based on Moore’s BT method [1], other algorithms have been proposed such as the random balancing method [5], positive real balance method [6], Hankel standard approximation method [7], etc. Recent studies on the method of BT [8-13] focus on developing or adjusting the BT algorithm corresponding to each specific application. The BT algorithm and other algorithms [14-16] are mainly applied to stable linear systems because the original concepts of the BT method (controllability Gramian and observability Gramian) are always accompanied by the requirement that the system is stable, i.e. the system has all the poles on the left of the imaginary axis. In practical applications, however, higher-order linear models (higher-order object models, higher-order controllers [17-19]) may be unstable. Therefore, to meet the requirements of the problem of order reduction, algorithms need to be capable to reduce the order of both stable and unstable systems.
To solve the problem of order reduction for unstable systems, there are two strategies:
- **Strategy 1 (indirect order reduction algorithm):** Firstly, the unstable original system is separated into stable and unstable parts. After that, an order reduction algorithm is applied to the stable part [17, 18, 20-23]. Finally, we add the stable reduced-order part with the unstable part.
- **Strategy 2 (direct order reduction algorithm):** In this strategy, order reduction algorithms for stable systems are modified and adjusted so that these algorithms can perform order reduction irrespective of whether the original system is stable or unstable [24-28].

Each of the two above order reduction algorithms has its approach and needs to be evaluated for use in specific applications. To provide a specific evaluation of proposed MOR algorithms for unstable systems, the authors focus on introducing and evaluating algorithms for unstable systems based on Strategy 2.

Specifically, we compare three extended BT algorithms for unstable systems: LQG based algorithm [24], Zhou’s BT algorithms [25], and Zilochian BT algorithm [26].

### 2. BT BASED ORDER REDUCTION ALGORITHMS FOR UNSTABLE SYSTEMS

#### 2.1. Problem of order reduction

Given a linear, continuous, time-invariant system with multiple inputs, multiple outputs, described in the state space as shown in (1):

\[ \dot{x} = Ax + Bu \]
\[ y = Cx \]

in which, \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( u \in \mathbb{R}^p \), \( y \in \mathbb{R}^q \), \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{nxn} \), \( B \in \mathbb{R}^{nxp} \), \( C \in \mathbb{R}^{qxn} \).

The objective of the problem of order reduction for the model described by (1) is to find the model described by (2):

\[ \dot{x}_r = A_r x_r + B_r u \]
\[ y_r = C_r x_r \]

in which, \( x_r \in \mathbb{R}^r \), \( u \in \mathbb{R}^p \), \( y_r \in \mathbb{R}^q \), \( A_r \in \mathbb{R}^{rxr} \), \( B_r \in \mathbb{R}^{rxp} \), \( C_r \in \mathbb{R}^{qxr} \), with \( r \leq n \); so that the model described by (2) can replace the model described by (1), applied to analyze, design, and control the system.

#### 2.2. Zhou’s BT algorithm

As mentioned in Section 1, the difficulty of applying the BT algorithm for unstable systems is that the determination of Gramians always comes with the requirement that the original system is asymptotically stable. To determine the Gramians of unstable systems, Zhou [25] proved that special functions \( X \) and \( Y \), roots of the two Lyapunov equations, can be used:

\[ AX + A^T X - XBB^T X = 0 \]
\[ AY + YA^T - YC^T CY = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

From the two special functions \( X \) and \( Y \) by setting \( F = -B^T X \) and \( L = -Y C^T \), we can determine the controllability Gramian and observability Gramian of the unstable system by two Lyapunov equations as shown in (4):

\[ (A + BF)P + P(A + BF)^T + BB = 0 \]
\[ Q(A + LC) + A(LC)^T + C^T C = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

After determining the controllability Gramian and observability Gramian, we follow steps of Moore’s BT algorithm to obtain the reduced-order system of the unstable original system.

Detail of Zhou’s BT algorithm [25] is as follows:

- **Input:** System \((A, B, C)\) described in (1) (unstable system).
- **Step 1:** Calculate the special functions \( X \) and \( Y \), according to (3).
- **Step 2:** Set \( F = -B^T X \) and \( L = -Y C^T \).
- **Step 3:** Calculate the controllability Gramian \( P \) and the observability Gramian \( Q \), according to (4).
- **Step 4:** Analyze the following matrices:
Analyze the Cholesky matrix: \( \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^T \), with \( \mathbf{R} \) is an upper triangle matrix.

Analyze SVD matrix: \( \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}^T = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}^T \).

Step 5: Calculate matrix: \( \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{V}^{1/2} \)

Calculate the singular matrix: \( \mathbf{T}^{-1} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{U}^{1/2} \)

Step 6: Calculate \( (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) = (\mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) \).

Step 7: Select the order that needs to be reduced \( r \) so that \( r < n \).

Present \( (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) \) in a matrix form as follows:

\[
\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_1 \\ \mathbf{B}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C} = [\mathbf{C}_1 \quad \mathbf{C}_2].
\]

In which \( \mathbf{A}_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{nr}, \mathbf{B}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{np}, \mathbf{C}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{nr} \).

Output: Reduced-order system \( (\mathbf{A}_{11}, \mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{C}_1) \).

2.3. Zilochian’s extended BT algorithm

To overcome the difficulty of identifying Gramians of unstable systems, Zilochian [26] proposed an idea of converting the system from an unstable form to a stable form through coordinate axis displacement (or mapping). When the system is in a stable form, we can reduce the order of the system according to the BT algorithm. Finally, the algorithm performs a reverse projection (reverse displacement of coordinate origin) to convert the stable reduced-order system into an unstable form like the original system.

To carry out the idea, the first and crucial step is determining the value of the coordinate axis displacement so that the reduced-order result is an optimal solution. Zilochian [26] demonstrated that the coordinate axis displacement based on the largest-real-part unstable pole has an optimal reduced-order result.

The Zilochian’s BT algorithm [26] is stated as below:

Input: System \((\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})\) described in (1) (unstable system) with a transfer function:

\[
G(s) := \mathbf{C} (s \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{B}
\]

Step 1: Determine the unstable pole \( \alpha \) of (1) that has the largest real part. Set \( \beta = \text{real}(\alpha) + \delta \), in which \( \delta \in \mathbb{R} \) arbitrary small and \( \delta > 0 \).

Convert the system \((\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})\) into an unstable system \( G_\beta(s) \) according to below:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A}_\beta &= \mathbf{A} - \beta \mathbf{I} \\
\mathbf{B}_\beta &= \mathbf{B} \\
\mathbf{C}_\beta &= \mathbf{C}
\end{align*}
\]

Step 2: Calculate the observability Gramian \( \mathbf{Q}_\beta \) and controllability Gramian \( \mathbf{P}_\beta \) of the system \((\mathbf{A}_\beta, \mathbf{B}_\beta, \mathbf{C}_\beta)\) by solving two following Liapulov equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A}_\beta \mathbf{P}_\beta + \mathbf{P}_\beta \mathbf{A}_\beta^T &= -\mathbf{B}_\beta \mathbf{B}_\beta^T, \\
\mathbf{A}_\beta^T \mathbf{Q}_\beta + \mathbf{Q}_\beta \mathbf{A}_\beta &= -\mathbf{C}_\beta^T \mathbf{C}_\beta.
\end{align*}
\]

Step 3: Analyze the following matrices:

Analyze the Cholesky matrix: \( \mathbf{P}_\beta = \mathbf{R}_{\beta p} \mathbf{R}_{\beta p}^T \), with \( \mathbf{R}_{\beta p} \) is an upper triangle matrix.

Analyze the Cholesky matrix: \( \mathbf{Q}_\beta = \mathbf{R}_{\beta o} \mathbf{R}_{\beta o}^T \), with \( \mathbf{R}_{\beta o} \) is an upper triangle matrix.

Analyze SVD matrix: \( \mathbf{R}_{\beta o} \mathbf{R}_{\beta p}^T = \mathbf{U}_\beta \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_\beta^T \).
Step 4: Calculate the singular matrix $T_{\beta}$
\[
T_{\beta}^{-1} = R_{\beta} p V_{\beta} \Lambda_{\beta}^{-1/2}
\]

Step 5: Calculate:
\[
\left( \hat{A}_{\beta}, \hat{B}_{\beta}, \hat{C}_{\beta} \right) = \left( T_{\beta}^{-1} A_{\beta} T_{\beta}, T_{\beta}^{-1} B_{\beta}, C_{\beta} T_{\beta} \right)
\]

Step 6: Select the order that needs to be reduced $r$ so that $r < n$.

Present $\left( \hat{A}_{\beta}, \hat{B}_{\beta}, \hat{C}_{\beta} \right)$ in a matrix form as follows:
\[
\hat{A}_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_{11} & \hat{A}_{12} \\ \hat{A}_{21} & \hat{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{B}_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{B}_{1} \\ \hat{B}_{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{C}_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{C}_{1} & \hat{C}_{2} \end{bmatrix},
\]

in which $\hat{A}_{11} \in R^{r \times r}$, $\hat{B}_{1} \in R^{r \times p}$, $\hat{C}_{1} \in R^{r \times r}$.

We obtain a stable reduced-order system $\left( \hat{A}_{11}, \hat{B}_{1}, \hat{C}_{1} \right)$.

Step 7: Convert stable system $\left( \hat{A}_{11}, \hat{B}_{1}, \hat{C}_{1} \right)$ into $\beta$-stable system $\left( \hat{A}_{11}, \hat{B}_{1}, \hat{C}_{1} \right)$ according to the following equations:
\[
\hat{A}_{11} = \hat{A}_{11} - \beta I,
\]
\[
\hat{B}_{1} = \hat{B}_{1},
\]
\[
\hat{C}_{1} = \hat{C}_{1}.
\]

Output: Reduced-ordered system $\left( \hat{A}_{11}, \hat{B}_{1}, \hat{C}_{1} \right)$

2.4. LQG based BT

The idea of the LQG based BT algorithm [24] is that instead of calculating the controllability Gramian and the observability Gramian using Lyapunov equations, the proposed algorithm calculates them using the extended Riccati equation as follows:
\[
AP + PA' - PC'CP + BB' = 0
\]
\[
A'Q + QA - QBB'Q + C'C = 0
\]

After determining the controllability Gramian and the observability Gramian, we follow steps of Moore's BT algorithm to obtain the reduced-order system of the unstable original system.

Detail of the LQG based BT [24] is as following:

Input: System $\left( A, B, C \right)$ described in (1) (unstable system)

Step 1: Calculate the controllability Gramian $P$ and the observability Gramian $Q$ according to (11).

Step 2: Analyze the following matrices:

Analyze the Cholesky matrix: $P = RR'$, with $R$ is an upper triangle matrix.

Analyze SVD matrix: $RQR' = UAV'$. 

Step 3: Calculate matrix: $L = V^{1/2}$

Step 4: Calculate the singular matrix: $T^{-1} = R^{T}UL^{1/2}$

Step 5: Calculate: $\left( A, B, C \right) = \left( T^{-1}AT, T^{-1}B, CT \right)$.

Step 6: Select the order need to be reduced $r$ so that $r < n$. 
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Present \((A, B, C)\) in a matrix form as follows:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

In which \(A_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}, C_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}\).

Output: Reduced-order system \((A_{11}, B_1, C_1)\).

3. SIMULATION AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Order reduction of high order controller

Consider a 28th order controller as follows:

\[
N(s) = -0.004867 s^{28} - 0.7519 s^{27} - 58.8 s^{26} - 2526 s^{25} - 8.35.10^4 s^{24} - 2.128.10^6 s^{23}
\]

\[
-4.383.10^7 s^{22} - 7.542.10^9 s^{21} - 1.108.10^{10} s^{20} - 1.411.10^{11} s^{19} - 1.527.10^{12} s^{18} - 1.544.10^{13} s^{17}
\]

\[
-1.341.10^{14} s^{16} - 1.032.10^{15} s^{15} - 7.021.10^{15} s^{14} - 4.211.10^{16} s^{13} - 2.213.10^{17} s^{12} - 1.01.10^{18} s^{11}
\]

\[
-3.954.10^{18} s^{10} - 1.306.10^{19} s^9 - 3.564.10^{19} s^8 - 7.845.10^{19} s^7 - 1.348.10^{20} s^6 - 1.723.10^{20} s^5
\]

\[
-1.52.10^{20} s^4 - 8.162.10^{19} s^3 - 1.984.10^{19} s^2 + 3.89.10^{16} s - 125.2
\]

and

\[
D(s) = 5.25e^{-5} s^{28} + 0.009786 s^{27} + 0.8675 s^{26} + 48.8 s^{25} + 1965 s^{24} + 6056.10^3 s^{23} + 1.49.10^6 s^{22}
\]

\[
-3.018.10^7 s^{21} + 5.14.10^8 s^{20} + 7.483.10^9 s^{19} + 9.425.10^{10} s^{18} + 1.035.10^{12} s^{17} + 9.968.10^{12} s^{16}
\]

\[
+8.432.10^{13} s^{15} + 6.266.10^{14} s^{14} + 4.079.10^{15} s^{13} + 2.314.10^{16} s^{12} + 1.134.10^{17} s^{11} + 4.74.10^{17} s^{10}
\]

\[
+1.66.10^{18} s^9 + 4.762.10^{18} s^8 + 1.085.10^{19} s^7 + 1.891.10^{19} s^6 + 2.399.10^{19} s^5 + 2.062.10^{19} s^4
\]

\[
+1.065.10^{20} s^3 + 2.479.10^{19} s^2 - 1.59.10^4 s + 2.945.10^{-11}
\]

In practice, a 28th order controller has many disadvantages when it comes to real-time control, so order reduction of this controller is a crucial problem. The 28th order controller is a stable linear model but it has two poles that approximately equal to zero. The 28th order controller is used as an object to evaluate the efficiency of order reduction algorithms introduced in section 2. Results are obtained in the following Tables 1-3:

| Order | \(R_r(s)\) |
|-------|-------------|
| 5     | \(-92.89 s^5 - 925.9 s^4 - 6851 s^3 - 4.932.10^4 s^2 - 1.635.10^5 s - 1.999.10^6\) |
| 4     | \(-92.89 s^4 - 912.8 s^3 - 6772 s^2 - 4.801.10^4 s - 1.106.10^4\) |
| 3     | \(-92.89 s^3 - 906.5 s^2 - 6518 s - 4.763.10^4\) |
| 2     | \(-92.89 s^2 + 521.2 s - 4377\) |

Note: We will call the reduced-order controller (order of \(r\)) is an \(r\)-order controller
Table 2. Results of the order reduction of a high-order controller according to Zilochian’s BT algorithm

| Order | \( R_f(s) \) |
|-------|----------------|
| 5     | \(-92.89s^5 - 438.1s^4 - 7570s^3 - 2.603 \times 10^4 s^2 - 3.759 \times 10^4 s - 1.26 \times 10^4\)  
\( s^3 + 36.85s^2 + 559.6s + 4799s^2 + 4428s + 1653 \) |
| 4     | \(-92.89s^4 - 424s^3 - 7535s^2 - 2.483 \times 10^4 s - 3.513 \times 10^4\)  
\( s^4 + 36.7s^3 + 552.5s^2 + 4720s + 3923 \) |
| 3     | \(-92.89s^3 - 407.5s^2 - 6853s - 2.386 \times 10^4\)  
\( s^3 + 736.52s^2 + 538.8s + 4446 \) |
| 2     | \(-92.89s^2 + 220.1s - 4576\)  
\( s^2 + 29.11s + 336.2 \) |

Table 3. Result of the order reduction of a high-order controller according to LQG based BT

| Order | \( R_f(s) \) |
|-------|----------------|
| 5     | \(-92.89s^5 - 560.5s^4 - 7588s^3 - 3.197 \times 10^4 s^2 - 2.632 \times 10^4 s + 37.48\)  
\( s^5 + 36.31s^4 + 587.8s^3 + 5599s^2 + 2845s + 0.006441 \) |
| 4     | \(-92.89s^4 - 547s^3 - 7030s^2 - 3.139 \times 10^4 s + 65.45\)  
\( s^4 + 36.17s^3 + 577.1s^2 + 5360s - 0.01912 \) |
| 3     | \(-92.89s^3 + 278.7s^2 - 3613s + 3.781\)  
\( s^3 + 29.28s^2 + 254.1s + 0.003809 \) |
| 2     | \(-92.89s^2 + 891.7s + 0.1328\)  
\( s^2 + 22.68s - 0.000403 \) |

3.2. Simulation results and discussion

To evaluate and identify an appropriate reduced-order model, step response and frequency response of the original controller and the reduced-order controller will be used. Simulation results are shown in Figures 1-3.

![Figure 1. Transient response and frequency response of the original controller and the 4th order controller, (a) transient response, and (b) frequency response](image-url)
Figure 2. Transient response and frequency response of the original controller and the 3rd order controller, (a) transient response and (b) frequency response

Figure 3. Transient response and frequency response of the original controller and the 2nd order controller, (a) transient response, and (b) frequency response
From simulation results, we see that:

a) With transient response:
   - The transient response of the 4th order controller based on Zilochian’s MOR algorithm is closest to the transient response of the original system among three considered algorithms.
   - The transient response of the 3rd order controller based on Zhou’s MOR algorithm is closest to the transient response of the original system among three considered algorithms.
   - The transient responses the second-order controller based on all considered MOR algorithms are much different from the transient response of the original 28th order controller. The second-order controller based on Zilochian’s algorithm has the smallest error among three considered algorithms.

b) With frequency response:
   - The amplitude responses of the 4th, 3rd, and 2nd order controllers according to all three considered algorithms are much different from the amplitude response of the original controller, in which the error of the method based on LQR is smallest. The simulation results of the three methods also show that the lower the order of the controller, the higher the error.

c) Through analysis of transient and frequency responses, we see that:
   - With transient response: reduced-order controllers based on Zilochian’s BT algorithms give the best results (closest to the transients on the original controller).
   - With frequency response: All reduced-order controller give not good results. (characteristics are much different from the original controller settings).

d) From here we see that:
   - If the requirement of the order reduction problem is mainly concerned with transient response error, we should choose the Zilochian’s MOR algorithm.
   - If the requirements of the problem of order reduction take into account both transient response and frequency response, we should choose the LQG based algorithm.
   - Thus, all three direct MOR algorithms are capable of reducing order for unstable systems with their advantages and disadvantages. To choose an appropriate MOR method for unstable systems, we need to base on the requirements set out for the problem of order reduction for unstable systems to select the appropriate algorithm.

4. CONCLUSION

The paper has introduced three MOR algorithms for unstable systems based on the BT algorithm. By analyzing these MOR algorithms through illustrative examples, we see that each algorithm has its advantages and disadvantages. From these assessments, we can choose the MOR method under the requirements of the problem of order reduction for unstable systems. Our on-going research direction is to evaluate and compare other indirect MOR algorithms with the three mentioned algorithms and use the results in specific applications to have a more accurate quality evaluation of reduced-order systems.
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