Short-term and long-term antibody response by mice after immunization against Neisseria meningitidis B or diphtheria toxoid
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Abstract

Serogroup B Neisseria meningitidis (MenB) is a major cause of invasive disease in early childhood worldwide. The only MenB vaccine available in Brazil was produced in Cuba and has shown unsatisfactory efficacy when used to immunize millions of children in Brazil. In the present study, we compared the specific functional antibody responses evoked by the Cuban MenB vaccine with a standard vaccine against diphtheria (DTP: diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis) after primary immunization and boosting of mice. The peak of bactericidal and opsonic antibody titers to MenB and of neutralizing antibodies to diphtheria toxoid (DT) was reached after triple immunization with the MenB vaccine or DTP vaccine, respectively. However, 4 months after immunization, protective DT antibody levels were present in all DTP-vaccinated mice but in only 20% of the mice immunized against MenB. After 6 months of primary immunization, about 70% of animals still had protective neutralizing DT antibodies, but none had significant bactericidal antibodies to MenB. The booster doses of DTP or MenB vaccines produced a significant antibody recall response, suggesting that both vaccines were able to generate and maintain memory B cells during the period studied (6 months post-triple immunization). Therefore, due to the short duration of serological memory induced by the MenB vaccine (VA-MENGOC-BC<sup>+</sup> vaccine), its use should be restricted to outbreaks of meningococcal disease.
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Introduction

In the absence of an effective vaccine, serogroup B Neisseria meningitidis (MenB) remains a major cause of invasive disease in early childhood in developed countries (1). In Brazil about 3500 cases of meningococcal disease are reported annually, with an average incidence of 2 cases/100,000 inhabitants and a case-fatality rate of 20%. The main serogroups circulating are B and C, with a progressive increase of serogroup C since the 1990s (2).

A multicomponent MenB vaccine (4CMenB) containing outer membrane vesicles from the New Zealand strain together with 3 recombinant proteins, Neisserial adhesin A, factor H binding protein and Neisserial heparin binding antigen, has been recently developed (1). However, the Cuban vaccine, VA-MENGOC-BC<sup>+</sup>, is the only MenB vaccine commercially available in Brazil but it showed no efficacy in young children (3). An important limitation of the current MenB outer membrane vesicle (OMV) vaccines is the short duration of both antibody response and protective efficacy (4,5). The goal of the present study was to investigate the duration of murine functional antibodies to MenB after primary immunization (three injections of vaccine) and the effect of a booster dose administered at 2, 4, and 6 months post-third immunization. For comparison with an effective vaccine that induces long-lasting antibody response, we used the diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis (DTP) vaccine, which is routinely used by public health authorities to immunize children.
Material and Methods

Serogroup B meningococcal strain

The Cuban vaccine strain (Cu385/83) of serotype:sero-subtype:immunotype 4,7;P1.19,15:L3,7,9 was used for the preparation of OMVs to be used in the opsonic assay and as the target strain for the bactericidal assay. H355/75 (B:15:P1.19,15:L3,7,9,8) and its variants PorA\(^{\prime}\) and Opa\(^{\prime}\) were also used for the bactericidal and opsonic antibody assays. The origin of these strains and the preparation of OMVs have been previously described (6).

Vaccine and immunization

The experiments described below were performed according to the guidelines of the “Colégio Brasileiro de Experimentação Animal” and were approved by the Ethics Committee for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals (CEUA) of Instituto de Biologia Roberto Alcântara Gomes, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (Protocol No. CEUA/038/2010).

The VA-MENGOC-BC\(^{\circ}\) (Finlay Institute, Habana, Cuba) and DTP (Instituto Butantan, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) vaccines were obtained commercially. Five- to 6-week-old female Swiss mice were immunized with 3 intramuscular injections of MenB or DTP vaccine over a period of 2 weeks.

Each MenB vaccine injection (100 \(\mu\)L) contained 2 \(\mu\)g (1/25 of the human dose) of outer membrane proteins, 2 \(\mu\)g C polysaccharide, 400 \(\mu\)g Al(OH)\(_3\) and 50 \(\mu\)g thimerosal as preservative. The DTP vaccine injection (100 \(\mu\)L) contained 2 \(\mu\)g diphtheria toxoid (1/13 of the human dose), 2 \(\mu\)g tetanus toxoid, 28 OP/mL whole cells of Bordetella pertussis, 500 \(\mu\)g Al(OH)\(_3\) and 80 \(\mu\)g thimerosal.

Serum samples were obtained from a group of mice before and 14 days after each injection of vaccine during the primary immunization schedule (total of 3 injections) and at 2, 4, and 6 months after the third dose (pre-booster sera). Blood was collected 14 days after the booster dose was given to different groups of mice at 2, 4, and 6 months. Serum samples were stored at -20°C.

Bactericidal assay

Serum bactericidal antibodies were measured as previously described (6). Briefly, the final reaction mixture contained 25 \(\mu\)L diluted test serum previously heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 min, 12.5 \(\mu\)L human serum that lacked detectable intrinsic bactericidal activity diluted at 1:2, and 12.5 \(\mu\)L log phase meningococci (about 5 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) colony forming units (CFU)/mL) grown on Tryptic Soy Broth (Accumed, USA) solidified with 2% (w/v) Noble agar (Merck, Germany) and containing 1% (v/v) horse serum. The bactericidal reaction was carried out at 37°C for 30 min. The CFU per well were determined with the aid of a stereoscopic microscope (40 \(\times\)). The bactericidal titer was defined as the reciprocal of the serum dilution (before the addition of complement and bacteria) causing \(\geq\)50% killing and recorded as the log\(_2\) liter. A value of 1 was assigned to each titer of <2; thus, log\(_2\)^1 = 0. The positive control for each assay consisted of a pool of post-vaccination mouse serum with previously determined bactericidal titer. The negative control consisted of the complement source in the absence of test serum.

Opsonic assay

Latex bead coating with OMV, opsonization and phagocytosis were carried out as previously described (7). Briefly, the antigen-coated bead suspensions (100 \(\mu\)L, 2.5 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) beads/mL) were opsonized for 8 min with 25 \(\mu\)L diluted test serum (1:20) previously heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 min, with a total sample volume of 400 \(\mu\)L obtained by the addition of PBS-BSA, supplemented with 0.98 mM CaCl\(_2\) and 1 mM MgCl\(_2\). Twenty-five microliters of human serum that lacked detectable intrinsic opsonization activity diluted at 1% was added to the reaction and incubated with end-to-end rotation for 8 min at 37°C. Donor leukocytes (100 \(\mu\)L, 1.25 \(\times\) 10\(^6\)/mL) were added and the suspensions were incubated for 8 min. Phagocytosis was terminated by adding 1.5 mL ice-cold PBS supplemented with 0.02% EDTA. The suspensions were kept on ice until analysis with a FacsCalibur (BD Biosciences, USA) flow cytometer (7).

Diphtheria toxin (DT) neutralization test

The DT neutralization test in Vero cells (green monkey renal epithelium) was used. The concentration of serum diphtheria antitoxin in cell culture was estimated according to the procedure described by Miyamura et al. (8), with some modifications. Serial 2-fold dilutions (25 \(\mu\)L) of serum were mixed with 25 \(\mu\)L DT equivalent to four times the minimal cytotoxic dose (6.2 ng/mL) (Sigma Aldrich, USA) and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Then, 50 \(\mu\)L suspension containing 2.5 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) Vero cells/mL in modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum was added to each well. The plates were gently shaken, covered and incubated at 37°C/5%CO\(_2\) for 6 days. On the basis of concurrent testing with a reference serum (equine antisem from Instituto Vital Brazil, Brazil; 1000 IU/mL antibody to DT), the antibody titer is reported as IU/mL. An antitoxin-positive control serum, a toxin control, a test serum control, and a cell control were run for every plate. Neutralizing antibody titer was defined as the highest dilution of serum neutralizing toxin that killed 100% Vero cells. Cell viability was determined by the MTT assay (9). Neutralizing antibody levels were categorized according to internationally accepted ranges: <0.01 IU/mL (non-protective), 0.01 to 0.09 IU/mL (basic protection), and \(\geq\)0.1 IU/mL (full protection) (10,11).

Statistical analysis

The levels of significance of the differences between groups were examined by the paired or unpaired t-test.
(parametric tests). For nonparametric data, either the Mann-Whitney test (unpaired samples) or the Wilcoxon matched-pair test (paired samples) was used. These analyses were performed with the GraphPad-Prism software, version 4.02 (GraphPad Software, Inc., USA). \( P < 0.05 \) was taken as significant.

**Results**

**Kinetics of MenB antibody response to vaccine strain after immunization.** The bactericidal antibody response to the Cu385/83 strain was dose-dependent (Figure 1A). After two doses there was approximately a 2-fold increase (\( P > 0.05 \)) of bactericidal antibody titers (log2 mean of 3.4, 95%CI = 1.9-4.9) compared to the first dose (log2 mean of 1.0, 95%CI = -0.5-2.5). A continued increase (2-fold, \( P = 0.02 \)) of antibody titers was seen 14 days after three doses (log2 mean of 5.2, 95%CI = 3.6-6.7). After two doses of vaccine, only one individual (14%) had antibody levels below 2 (log2), but after three doses all subjects were considered to be protected against meningococcal disease (log2 antibody titer >2) (Figure 1A).

A gradual and significant decline of bactericidal antibody titers to MenB was recorded during the subsequent periods, ranging from 1.6-fold at 2 months (log2 mean of 3.6, \( P > 0.05 \) compared with 3 doses) to 3.6-fold at 4 months (log2 mean of 1.6, \( P = 0.03 \) compared to 3 doses) and 4.7-fold at 6 months (log2 mean of 0.5, \( P = 0.01 \) compared to 3 doses; Figure 1A). Four months after the third dose, 80% of the animals had bactericidal titers below 2 (log2) and 6 months later none had these antibody titers (Figure 1A).

The booster injections administered at 4 and 6 months, (Figure 1B) induced a significant (\( P = 0.006 \)) increase in antibody response to MenB-4,7:P1.19,15 strain (Cu385/83) by mice immunized with VAMENGOC-BCH. Lines show the average serum antibody titers (log2) detected. A. Bactericidal antibodies at 14 days after each vaccine injection during the primary immunization schedule; B, bactericidal antibodies detected before (pre-booster) and 14 days after booster injections given at 2, 4, and 6 months (mo) after the primary immunization schedule; C, opsonic antibodies at 14 days after each vaccine injection, at 2, 4, and 6 months post-third dose and at 14 days post-booster injection applied at 6 months. The dashed line in A and B represents the protective bactericidal titer (4 or log2 of 2). The points represent each individual antibody level, which was tested at least twice for each sample. \(*P < 0.05\) compared to 1 and 2 doses. \#\( P < 0.05 \) compared to 3 doses. \##\( P < 0.05 \) compared to pre-booster. \**P < 0.05\) compared to 1 dose or 2 doses. \##\( P < 0.05 \) compared to 3 doses. \;++P < 0.05 \) compared to pre-booster. The paired t-test was used for statistical analysis.
bactericidal antibody levels to MenB (log₂ mean of 5.2 and 6.1, respectively), reaching levels similar to those observed after the primary immunization (log₂ mean of 5.2). Since antibody titers were still high at 2 months (log₂ of 3.6) after the third dose, the booster injection at this time had little effect on antibody titers (log₂ mean of 4.5).

Opsonic antibody response. Figure 1C shows the opsonic antibody response to the MenB vaccine strain measured as the median fluorescence induced during the oxidative burst of neutrophils. A 3.6-fold increase (P = 0.0007) in opsonic antibody levels was recorded after two doses (log₂ median of 11) when compared to the response induced by the first dose of VA-MENGOC-BC<sup>+</sup> (log₂ median log₂ of 7.8). Compared to the second dose, the third injection of vaccine induced a small but significant (P = 0.04) increase of opsonic antibodies, with a log₂ mean of 11.5.

There was a significant (P = 0.007) decline of antibody concentrations recorded at 4 and 6 months after the third dose of MenB vaccine. This decline was smaller (1.2- to 3.9-fold) than the reduction of bactericidal antibody levels during the same period. After the booster dose (log₂ median of 11) there was a significant (P = 0.0007) increase of opsonic antibodies (3.2-fold; Figure 1C).

Bactericidal and opsonic antibodies to PorA and Opa mutants. To investigate the role of PorA and Opa proteins in the functional antibody titers, we used the H355 strain (PorA homologous to the vaccine strain) and its variants (PorA<sup>−</sup> and Opa<sup>−</sup> strains). As shown in Figure 2A, a substantial amount of bactericidal antibodies were directed at the PorA protein since we detected a significant decrease (P = 0.02) in antibody titers when the PorA<sup>−</sup> strain was used as the target strain compared with its parent H355 strain. The same was observed when bactericidal antibodies to the PorA<sup>−</sup> strain were compared to the Cu385 (P = 0.04) and H355 Opa<sup>−</sup> (P = 0.003) strains. Similar antibody titers were observed for Cu385, H355 and H355 Opa<sup>−</sup> strains.

The same picture was recorded for opsonic antibodies, with similar median antibody levels being found for both P1.19,15 strains (median of 2853 for Cu385 and 2189 for H355) (Figure 2B). Concerning the mutant strains, it was possible to clearly see a significant (P < 0.0001) drop in opsonic antibody titers when the PorA<sup>−</sup> (median of 542) but not when Opa<sup>−</sup> strain (median of 2295, P > 0.05) was used as the target strain, compared to the H355 or Cu385 strains. This indicates a prominent role for PorA in inducing opsonic antibodies (Figure 2B).

Despite the high functional antibody levels detected after three doses of VA-MENGOC-BC<sup>+</sup>, immunized mice were only partially protected (45.6%) against the MenB challenge (10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL, N44/89 strain) compared to saline controls (20%), indicating the limitations of in vivo challenge experiments to predict protection from disease (data not shown).

Kinetics of DT neutralizing antibody response to the DTP vaccine

In contrast to previously published ELISA data (12), using the in vitro neutralization test we did not detect diphtheria antibodies before or after one injection of vaccine. However, as shown in Figure 3A, all animals had protective neutralizing antibody titers (>0.1 IU/mL) after two doses of vaccine (mean of 1.84 IU/mL) with a significant (P = 0.005) increase of antibodies after the
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**Figure 2.** Bactericidal antibody titers (A) and opsonic antibody response measured as median fluorescence induced during the oxidative burst of neutrophils (B) to the vaccine strain Cu385/83, a P1.15,19 homologous strain (H355/75; B:15:P1.19,15) and its variants Opa<sup>−</sup> and PorA<sup>−</sup>. Serum samples were obtained 14 days after the third immunization. For bactericidal antibody titers (A), the data are the mean ± SE of three serum pools. Each pool contained serum from 3 individuals. For opsonic antibodies (B), the data are the median and range of values of 6 mice. *P < 0.05 (Wilcoxon matched-pair test) compared to Cu385, H355 and to H355 Opa<sup>−</sup> strains.
third injection of DTP vaccine (mean of 21.2 IU/mL). In fact, all individuals had antibody titers 1 IU/mL after the third vaccination and were considered to be long-term protected. Antibody levels declined significantly (P = 0.009) 2 months later (mean of 1.7 IU/mL) and thereafter, ranging from 0.52 to 0.57 IU/mL at 4 and 6 months. However, antibody concentration remained above 0.1 IU/mL for all immunized mice until 4 months. At 6 months after the third dose, 2 animals (33%) had a fall in neutralizing antibodies to levels conferring partial protection against diphtheria (0.01 to 0.09 IU/mL).

The boosting antibody response was significant (P < 0.05) at all time points analyzed (2, 4, and 6 months post-primary immunization) and were similar in magnitude (mean of 32 to 46.7 IU/mL).

**Discussion**

For successful vaccines, primary vaccination elicits both protective immunity and memory. It is well known that immunological memory provides a swift and strong immune response upon re-exposure, as well as long-term protection even in individuals with very low and undetectable antibody levels (13). In general, immunity induced by MenB vaccines is of short duration, needing a boosting a few months after triple immunization to sustain significant antibody levels (14).

The Cuban MenB vaccine (VA-MENGOC-BC) employed in this study was previously used in Brazil to immunize millions of children but showed unsatisfactory efficacy (3). Since then, we have been studying the ability of this vaccine to generate and maintain immunological memory (6,7,12).

The data presented here showed that the peak of bactericidal and opsonic antibody titers was reached after triple immunization with the MenB vaccine. The DT immunoglobulin response induced by the DTP vaccine also reached its maximum after three injections, with all animals reaching an antibody amount >1 IU/mL, a level considered to confer long-term protection against diphtheria (15). The lack of neutralizing antibodies after the first dose of vaccine may have been influenced by antibody avidity (13).

A significant amount of the bactericidal and opsonic antibodies induced by triple immunization was directed at the PorA protein. Since this protein can be absent or expressed at different levels and shows great antigenic variation, the search for alternative vaccine antigens should be stimulated (16). These data are similar to what we have seen in adults vaccinated with the Cuban MenB vaccine (7).

Four and 6 months after immunization, we observed that the decrease of antibody levels induced by the MenB vaccine was more marked compared to the fall of antibodies to DT. At 4 months after immunization with DTP vaccine, protective DT antibody levels were present in all DTP-vaccinated mice but only in 20% of mice immunized against MenB. After 6 months of primary immunization, about 70% of the animals still had protective neutralizing DT antibodies, but none had significant bactericidal antibodies to MenB. A long-lasting antibody-secreting cell response was also seen for the
DTP vaccine compared to the Cuban MenB vaccine (12).
The data presented here showed that the booster doses of DTP or MenB vaccines produced a significant functional antibody recall response, suggesting that both vaccines were able to generate memory B cells in mice. A question remains about the duration of the memory response in humans. Adequate immunization against diphtheria consists of receiving 3 injections at 2, 4 and 6 months of age followed by booster doses every 10 years (17), but shorter booster intervals may be necessary for OMV vaccines against MenB (14).

According to studies with humans (4,7), our data reported here indicate differences in the generation and maintenance of serological memory by the vaccines under study. These differences may be related to the antigenic composition of the vaccines and suggest that, in contrast to the DTP vaccine, which is used in routine childhood immunization, the use of the VA-MENGOC-BC® vaccine should be limited to containing outbreaks of meningococcal disease.
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