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ABSTRACT

This paper aims to test the success of the village financial system (SISKEUDES) using the success model of information System DeLone & McLean and trust theory. Eight variables verified in research are system quality, information quality, service quality, trust in government organization, trust in technology, usage, user satisfaction and net benefits. The research was conducted by providing questionnaires to users of SISKEUDES SARBAGITA area (Denpasar, Badung, Gianyar and Tabanan) in Bali. Sampling of research samples was based on nonprobability sampling with purposive sampling and hypothesis testing was performed using Partial Least Square. The results of the research show that the quality of information system positively affected the use of SISKEUDES. Quality of information, service quality, trust in government organization, trust in technology did not have any effect on the use of SISKEUDES. The quality of the system, information quality and trust in technology proved to be positively influential on user satisfaction. Service quality and trust in government organization had no effect on user satisfaction but user usage and satisfaction proved to be positively influential on net benefits.
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1. Introduction

The presence of information technology in the era of globalization and digitization has brought about change and gives much influence on life (Yuhelson, et.al., 2020). The development of information technology is expected to provide benefits to improve individual performance (DeLone & McLean, 1992; Hou, 2012) and later organization impact (DeLone & McLean, 2003). Adoption and utilization of information systems is an emerging behavior due to the advantages of the use of information systems based on information and communication Technology (ICT) (Seddon, 1997; Navarro-Galera et al., 2016). Information and communication technology (ICT) is not only utilized by the economic and business sectors (Ariyanto, et al., 2014), but also utilized by the government sector (Yuhelson et al., 2020; Navarro-Galera et al., 2016; Rimawati, 2012). Government agencies utilize the development of information technology to support the creation of good governance through e-government (Paquette, Jaeger, & Wilson, 2010; Navarro-Galera et al., 2016). In Indonesia there is a government policy on regional autonomy that causes the delegation of authority from the central government to the local government (Kristiansen et al., 2009). The financial management of local governments is required to utilize the information system in order to produce transparent, accountable, and free of abuse financial statements (Paquette et al., 2010; Manoharan & Ingrams, 2018). Development in Indonesia (UU No.6, 2014) starts from the suburbs areas and villages. The village government is part of the district government or the city that has the function as the smallest form of government in the territory of the Republic of Indonesia (UU No.6, 2014). The village
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becomes the spearhead in every development and community implementation in the area. Therefore, the village government is prepared to respond to the process of modernization, globalization and the evolving democratization without losing its identity in accordance with the regulated law (UU No.6, 2014). which is defined by Government Regulation (PP No.11, 2019).

The birth of the law (UU No.6, 2014) about the village apparently raises the pros and cons of various parties (Widakgo et al., 2016). The existence of the law is feared to ensnare the village apparatus in the Gulf of corruption (Wiyanto, 2014; Nam, 2018). However, the law was made so that the village government was more independent in managing the government, finance, and wealth of the village in the form of the village's income and expenditure budget (APBDes). The APBDes are quite fantastic and varied because the value is approaching the number one billion rupiah per village. APBDes will be more than one billion depending on village conditions and year-on-year upgrade (Kementrian Keuangan Republik Indonesia, 2017). The management of large village funds must be supported with accounting information system to ensure accountability of village funds (Navarro-Galera et al., 2016). Village financial System (SISKEUDES) is an application developed by the Financial Supervisory and Development Agency (BPKP) in order to improve the quality of the village's financial governance. However, the competence of village apparatus in the administration, reporting, and financial accountability of the village is not adequate (BPKP, 2015). SISKEUDES is expected to be a solution of village funds accountability and able to help financial management effectively, efficiently and integrated in accordance with statutory regulations (BPKP, 2015; Manoharan & Ingrams, 2018; Brandon, 2013). The features in the village's financial management application are made as simple as possible to facilitate the user (Davis, 1986; Rimawati, 2012; Halawi et al., 2007) in operating the SISKEUDES application.

SISKEUDES Program is dedicated to all Indonesian village governments. In the province of Bali, SISKEUDES has begun to be introduced in 2016 and started to be implemented by all districts/cities in Bali in 2017 (BPKP, 2015). The research focuses on evaluating the success of SISKEUDES implementation in the village government in Bali, especially in the region SARBagita (Denpasar, Badung, Gianyar, Tabanan) in Bali (Rahyuda et al., 2019). The application of SISKEUDES in the region of SARBagita is expected to be done optimally, because SARBagita is a national strategic area that region and the space prioritized because it has a very important influence nationally to the defense and security of the country, economy, social and culture. The success of accounting information system in an organization depends on how the system is run, the ease of use of the system used by the wearer and the technology distribution according to the task (Goodhue et al., 1995; Petter et al., 2013). The research seeks to explore deeply about the success factors of information systems using models (DeLone & McLean, 2003; Petter et al., 2013) consisting of six variables, namely system quality, information quality, service, usage, user satisfaction, and net benefits. It then adds a dimension to the belief theory of (DeLone & McLean, 2003; Petter et al., 2013), namely trust in government organizations and trust in technology. The information system is researched, evaluation and assessment about the success of the application of SISKEUDES in SARBagita as a strategic area of national development in Bali (Rahyuda et al., 2019).

2. Literature review

The theory of reasoned action (TRA) was proposed by Icek Ajzen and Martin Fishbein in 1980. The theory of reasoned action (Ajzen, 1989) assumes the intention of behavior determined by two factors, namely attitude toward behavior and subjective norm. Then TRA was developed into (TAM) Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1986) and performed testing by comparing TRA and TAM (Davis et al., 1989). TRA and TAM in the context of this research explains the intention behavior to use SISKEUDES system influenced by attitude toward behavior and subjective norm. Meanwhile, attitude toward behavior is influenced by perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use (Davis, 1986; Davis et al., 1989). Size success of SISKEUDES adoption can be seen from use, user satisfaction, individual impact, impact organization (DeLone & McLean, 1992) and net and benefit (DeLone & McLean, 2003; Petter et al., 2013). Trust (Mayer et al., 1995) is a willingness to trust the other party based on the expectation that the other party will take a certain action for the party to believe it. Trust is necessary for the user of the system to believe that the system used by the wearer and the technology distribution according to the task (Davis, 1986; Rimawati, 2012; Halawi et al., 2007) in operating the SISKEUDES application.
quality of the system would affect system usage and user satisfaction. The quality of the system affects the use and satisfaction of the user's online tax filling system (Chen et al., 2015; Kofahe et al., 2019; Al-Kofahi, 2020) and the use of e-learning systems (Mohammadi, 2015; Seta et al., 2018). The results of the overall research show the better the quality that has been, the increased system usage and user satisfaction. Thus, the hypothesis is formulated:

H₁: System quality has a positive effect on system usage.
H₂: System quality affects positively on user satisfaction.

The good of information quality is represented by the usefulness of the output generated by a system (DeLone & McLean, 1992; DeLone & McLean, 2003). The usefulness of the output of a system can affect the system usage (Petter et al., 2013). Research conducted by Sumiyana and Pribadi (2010), Wahyuni (2011), Halawi, et al. (2007) dan Rai, et al. (2002) found that the information quality affects the use and satisfaction of online user tax filling (Chen et al., 2015; Kofahe et al., 2019; Al-Kofahi, 2020) and the use of e-learning system (Mohammadi, 2015; Seta et al., 2018). The results showed that if the user feels the quality of information produced by a system provides benefits to the user, the user will increase the use of a system. Conversely, if the quality of the information generated by a system is felt not to provide benefits or not quality, then the user tends to decrease or reduce the use of the system and user satisfaction. Research hypothesis, that is.

H₃: Information quality positively affects system usage.
H₄: Information quality positively affects user satisfaction.

The quality of service affects system usage (DeLone & McLean, 2003). The quality of services owned by a system affects the use and satisfaction of the user system (Rimawati, 2012; Sumiyana & Pribadi, 2010), the use of online tax filling (Chen et al., 2015; Kofahe et al., 2019; Al-Kofahi, 2020), the use of e-learning system (Mohammadi, 2015; Seta et al., 2018) and patient acceptance of health information technology (Ahlan & Ahmad, 2015). So, if the service is owned by the system has good quality, it affects the increased use of the system and user satisfaction. Thus, it is formulated:

H₅: Service quality has a positive effect on system usage.
H₆: Service quality has a positive effect on user satisfaction.

Trust in government organizations is built by enhancing measures of the use of information systems, and the commitment and consistency of government and local governments to support the implementation, use and development of the system. The higher the trust in government agencies the higher the use of the system. Results of the study (Chen et al., 2015; Grimmelikhuijsen at al., 2013; Kofahe et al., 2019; Al-Kofahi, 2020); Xiao at al. (2018) stated that trust in the government is a factor that affects the interest of use and satisfaction of information system users. Research results (Ahlan & Ahmad, 2015; Porumbescu, 2016) state the factors that affect the interest to use and user satisfaction of the system is trust. Thus, the hypothesis is:

H₇: Trust in government organization has positive effect on system usage.
H₈: Trust in government organization has a positive effect on user satisfaction.

Trust in this technology includes community confidence in the technology used in government agencies. The higher the individual confidence in the technology, the more the use of the system provided by the government. Research results (Rakhmawati & Isharjadi, 2013; Grimmelikhuijsen at al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2018) and research (Saputro & Sukirno, 2013) states that the factors affecting the interest to use the system are belief (Chen et al., 2015). Thus the fifth hypothesis that can be formulated is as follows:

H₉: Trust in technology positively affects system usage.
H₁₀: Trust in technology positively affects user satisfaction.

The use of high information systems, can provide benefits to the organization (Petter et al., 2013). This is because with the benefit of a system well by individuals, it will help in completing the work that exists. The impact is that it can drive the high productivity of an individual (DeLone & McLean, 1992) which ultimately improves the organizational performance (DeLone & McLean, 1992). Successful use of the system will be beneficial in improving the performance of individuals and organizations and will bring benefits to the organization (Petter et al., 2013). Many studies (Chen et al., 2015; Kofahe et al., 2019; Al-Kofahi, 2020) demonstrate the use of the system and user satisfaction effect on the net benefits of online tax filling, and the net benefit of e-Learning (Mohammadi, 2015). Thus, the hypothesis can be formulated namely:

H₁₁: System usage positively affects the net benefits.
H₁₂: User satisfaction positively affects the net benefits.
3. Methodology/materials

Research is conducted in the province of Bali in the region SARBAGITA (Denpasar, Badung, Gianyar, Tabanan) because SARBAGITA is a national strategic area (Rahyuda et al., 2019). The region and the space arrangement are prioritized because they have a very important national influence on State sovereignty, defense and security of the country, economic, social, cultural and/or environmental, including world heritage-designated territories.

### Table 1
Research Construct

| Construct               | Indicator          | Source                                                                 |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| System Information Quality (SIQ) | 1. Ease of Use  | Davis et al., 1989; DeLone & McLean, 2003; Teo et al., 2008; Petter et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015. |
|                         | 2. Speed           |                                                                        |
|                         | 3. Flexibility     |                                                                        |
| Information Quality (IQ) | 1. Relavancy       | DeLone & McLean, 2003; Teo et al., 2008; Petter et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015. |
|                         | 2. Accuracy        |                                                                        |
|                         | 3. Timeliness      |                                                                        |
|                         | 4. Reliability     |                                                                        |
| Service Quality (SQ)    | 1. Tangibles       | DeLone & McLean, 2003; Teo et al., 2008; Petter et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015. |
|                         | 2. Reliability     |                                                                        |
|                         | 3. Responsiveness  |                                                                        |
|                         | 4. Assurance       |                                                                        |
|                         | 5. Empathy         |                                                                        |
| Trust In Government (TIG) | 1. Actions        | Mayer et al., 1995; Teo et al., 2008; Bélanger & Carter, 2008; Teo et al., 2008; Wang & Benbasat, 2008; Chen et al., 2015. |
|                         | 2. Reliable        |                                                                        |
|                         | 3. Competent       |                                                                        |
|                         | 4. Reliable        |                                                                        |
| Trust In Technology (TIT) | 1. Convenience    | Mayer et al., 1995; Teo et al., 2008; Bélanger & Carter, 2008; Teo et al., 2008; Wang & Benbasat, 2008; Chen et al., 2015. |
|                         | 2. Protection of   |                                                                        |
|                         | 3. Safe            |                                                                        |
|                         | 4. Trusted         |                                                                        |
| Use (U)                 | 1. Daily used time | DeLone & McLean, 2003; McGill, et al. 2003.                           |
|                         | 2. Frequency of use|                                                                        |
| User Satisfaction (US)  | 1. Completeness of contents | Doll and Torkzadeh, 1988; DeLone & McLean, 2003.                      |
|                         | 2. Accuracy        |                                                                        |
|                         | 3. The display     |                                                                        |
|                         | 4. Ease of Use     |                                                                        |
|                         | 5. Timeliness      |                                                                        |
| Net Benefit (NB)        | 1. Speed of accomplishing task | Davis et al., 1989 ; DeLone & McLean, 2003; Petter et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015. |
|                         | 2. Job performance |                                                                        |
|                         | 3. Effectiveness   |                                                                        |
|                         | 4. Easy of job     |                                                                        |
|                         | 5. Usefulness in work |                                                                        |

Sampling of research uses nonprobability sampling technique with sampling purposive, i.e. sampling technique with certain consideration (Sugiyono, 2017:144). The criteria in sampling, SISKEUDES users in the village, i.e. the village secretary, head of financial affairs and operator SISKEUDES. Other criteria in determining the sample of this research, namely the village in the area SARBAGITA (Rahyuda et al., 2019) and located in the subdistrict that received the largest village funds in the year 2019. The questionnaire was distributed to thirty six (36) villages in the SARBAGITA area with a total of one hundred and eight (108) respondents. The endogenous variables in this study are system usage, user satisfaction, and net benefits. The exogenous variables in this study are system quality, information quality, service quality, trust in government organization and trust in technology. The construction and research questions are presented in Table 1.

Hypothesis testing using PLS (Partial Least Square) is a structural analysis (SEM) based variance. The research uses a recursive structural model that is a one-way cause model and no reverse direction and no causal influence. So that problems un-identified or over-Domain Keys identified also will not occur. Analysis using PLS carried out three testing stages, namely the outer model analysis, inner model analysis and hypothesis testing.

4. Results and findings

4.1 Measurement model evaluation (outer model)

Outer model defines the influence between the latent variables with indicators or the outer models define how each indicator relates to its latent variables. Outer model is assessed by testing three stages, namely convergent validity, linear validity and composite reliability. The evaluation of the outer model is done using the PLS Algorithm calculation. The outer model evaluation result is shown in Fig. 1.
Convergent validity with reflective indicator can be seen from the correlation between the indicator score and the variable score. Individual indicators are considered reliable when they have a correlation value above 0.50. The correlation between dimensions and variables in this study can be seen in Table 2. Table 2 shows the entire value of the outer loading indicator has a value above 0.50. So it can be concluded that the results meet the requirements convergent validity.

### Table 2
Outer Model Evaluation Result

| Construct                  | Items  | Outer Loading | AVE   | Composite Reliability | Cronbach’s α |
|----------------------------|--------|---------------|-------|------------------------|--------------|
| System Information Quality (SIQ) | SIQ1   | 0.921         |       | 0.820                  | 0.945        |
|                            | SIQ2   | 0.912         |       |                        |              |
|                            | SIQ3   | 0.935         |       |                        |              |
| Information Quality (IQ)   | IQ1    | 0.903         |       |                        | 0.849        |
|                            | IQ2    | 0.897         |       |                        | 0.948        |
|                            | IQ3    | 0.884         |       |                        |              |
|                            | IQ4    | 0.937         |       |                        |              |
| Service Quality (SQ)       | SQ1    | 0.923         |       | 0.851                  | 0.959        |
|                            | SQ2    | 0.903         |       |                        |              |
|                            | SQ3    | 0.887         |       |                        |              |
|                            | SQ4    | 0.905         |       |                        |              |
|                            | SQ5    | 0.924         |       |                        |              |
| Trust In Government (TIG)  | TIG1   | 0.915         |       | 0.826                  | 0.948        |
|                            | TIG2   | 0.857         |       |                        |              |
|                            | TIG3   | 0.923         |       |                        |              |
|                            | TIG4   | 0.925         |       |                        |              |
| Trust in technology (TIT)  | TIT1   | 0.914         |       | 0.820                  | 0.956        |
|                            | TIT2   | 0.908         |       |                        |              |
|                            | TIT3   | 0.932         |       |                        |              |
|                            | TIT4   | 0.923         |       |                        |              |
| Use (U)                    | U1     | 0.934         |       | 0.845                  | 0.936        |
|                            | U2     | 0.942         |       |                        |              |
| User Satisfaction (US)     | US1    | 0.898         |       | 0.880                  | 0.963        |
|                            | US2    | 0.938         |       |                        |              |
|                            | US3    | 0.941         |       |                        |              |
|                            | US4    | 0.874         |       |                        |              |
|                            | US5    | 0.932         |       |                        |              |
| Net benefits (NB)          | NB1    | 0.921         |       | 0.841                  | 0.966        |
|                            | NB2    | 0.890         |       |                        |              |
|                            | NB3    | 0.925         |       |                        |              |
|                            | NB4    | 0.944         |       |                        |              |
|                            | NB5    | 0.926         |       |                        |              |

Source: Processed primary data, 2020.
Another method for judging the discriminant validity is by comparing the square root of the Average Variance Extracted (√AVE) to each variable with the correlation between variables with other variables in the model. The model has a sufficient validity linear if the AVE squared root for each variable is greater than the correlation between variables and other variables in the model. Testing linear validity can be viewed by assessing the validity of variables at the AVE value. The Model is said to be good if the AVE of each of the variable values is greater than 0.50. The output result in Table 2 indicates that the AVE value of the entire variable is greater than 0.50 so that the model can be said to be valid. Reliability test is done by two criteria, namely composite reliability and Cronbach’s Alpha. Variable declared reliable if the composite reliability or Cronbach’s alpha value above 0.70. Output in table 2 shows that composite reliability as well as Cronbach’s alpha throughout the research variables are all above 0.70. Thus, it can be said that the whole variable is reliable.

4.2 Structural model evaluation (inner model)

Hypothesis testing can be seen from the T-statistical value and the probability value calculated using the bootstrapping calculation. The bootstrapping analysis results can be seen in Table 3.

| No. | Relationships between variables | Path coefficient (Bootstrapping) | T Statistic | P Value | Description |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| 1   | SIQ → U                         | 0.200                             | 2.017       | 0.044   | Significant |
| 2   | SIQ → US                        | 0.274                             | 2.639       | 0.009   | Significant |
| 3   | IQ → U                          | 0.186                             | 1.549       | 0.122   | Not Significant |
| 4   | IQ → US                         | 0.243                             | 2.794       | 0.005   | Significant |
| 5   | SQ → U                          | 0.227                             | 1.567       | 0.118   | Not Significant |
| 6   | SQ → US                         | 0.184                             | 1.541       | 0.124   | Not Significant |
| 7   | TIG → U                         | 0.180                             | 1.834       | 0.067   | Not Significant |
| 8   | TIG → US                        | 0.120                             | 1.579       | 0.115   | Not Significant |
| 9   | TIT → U                         | 0.223                             | 1.587       | 0.113   | Not Significant |
| 10  | TIT → US                        | 0.240                             | 2.035       | 0.042   | Significant |
| 11  | US → NB                         | 0.411                             | 3.270       | 0.001   | Significant |
| 12  | US → NB                         | 0.503                             | 3.918       | 0.000   | Significant |

Hypotheses are accepted when P values are < 0.05 and T statistic > 1.96. The results of this research show that the system information quality (SIQ) proved to be positive and significant effect on the SISKEUDES usage (U). The information quality (IQ), Service Quality (SQ), trust in government organization (TIG), trust in technology (TIT) have no significant effect on the SISKEUDES usage (U). The system information quality (SIQ), information quality (IQ) and trust in technology (TIT) proved to be positively and significantly impactful in user satisfaction (US). Service quality (SQ) and trust in government organization (TIG) have no significant effect on user satisfaction (US). The usage system (U) and user satisfaction (US) proved to be a positive and significant effect on the net benefits (NB).

4.3 Discussion

The systems information quality (SIQ) proved to be positive and significant in the SISKEUDES usage (U). The results obtained can be interpreted that the higher systems information quality available, then the use of SISKEUDES will be increased. SISKEUDES has fulfilled the expectations of its users by providing flexibility of ease of use, and reliability of the system, to meet the expectations of system users in supporting the operational activities of the village government. The results of this study are in line with the research of Tan et al. (2015); Seta et al., 2018; Wahyuni (2011), as well as Sumiyana and Pribadi (2010); Kofahe et al. (2019) and Al-Kofahi (2020), who found empirical evidence that systems information quality would significantly affect the system usage. System information quality (SIQ) proved to be positively and significantly impactful in user satisfaction (US). The results obtained can be interpreted that the low quality of information cannot affect the level of use SISKEUDES. This is because SISKEUDES users in the village government of SARBAGITA region only use the output/information as a claim or work routine. The information generated by SISKEUDES, is not a determinant of the use of the system, because SISKEUDES will...
The use of high information systems in the village government of SARBAGITA region can provide benefits to the organization. The results obtained can be interpreted that higher the quality of information will increase the user satisfaction SISKEUDES. The use of SISKEUDES in the village government of SARBAGITA region has made easier and faster village government in the preparation, administration and reporting/financial liabilities, this is evidenced by the high value of the mean in the questionnaire stating that SISKEUDES can provide information in a timely manner. The high quality of the information generated by a system can have a positive impact on the perceived satisfaction of users of the system, because the information generated from the system becomes a solution in order to improve financial management accountability (Emawati at al., 2019; Kofae et al., 2019; Al-Kofahi, 2020). The results were in line with the research (Istianingsih & Utami, 2009; Seddon & Kiew, 1996; Seta et al., 2018; Rai et al., 2002).

Service quality (SQ) has no significant effect on the SISKEUDES usage (U). The results obtained can be interpreted that the low quality of service cannot affect the level of use SISKEUDES. This can be caused by the security guarantee owned by SISKEUDES is not good enough. This can be proved from the results of a questionnaire that shows a security indicator of a safe sense of access to the SISKEUDES has the lowest mean value. SISKEUDES usage to be less capable of providing assurance and security in terms of accessing and submitting financial data. So, it caused the absence of influence between the quality of service on the use of SISKEUDES in the village government in the area SARBAGITA. Similar results were obtained in the research conducted by Tan et al. (2015) and Muharor at al. (2016). Service quality (SQ) has no significant effect on user satisfaction (US). The results can be interpreted that the low quality of service cannot affect the level of user satisfaction SISKEUDES. The findings are supported by the results of research conducted by Tan et al. (2015) and Iranto (2012). Both researchers also found that the quality of service owned by a system does not affect user satisfaction. As with the quality of service that SISKEUDES has, it is not able to affect user satisfaction in the village government of SARBAGITA region. This result is reinforced by a questionnaire answer that shows a security-sense assurance indicator of the access to SISKEUDES has the lowest mean value. SISKEUDES used to be less capable of providing assurance and security in terms of accessing and transmitting data. The trust in government (TIG) organization in this research has no significant effect on the SISKEUDES usage (U). The results obtained can be interpreted that the high low level of user trust SISKEUDES in government organizations, then cannot affect the level of SISKEUDES usage. This is because the village government is required to be able to implement SISKEUDES in government operational activities, manage the village finances and produce a report that can be accounted for (BPKP, 2015). It can be said that the application of SISKEUDES is mandatory so that the level of use of this system is not influenced by the level of trust in government organizations. The results of this research in line with other research (Rimawati, 2012b) proved that belief in the institution has no effect on the use in the success of e-government.

Trust in government (TIG) organizations has no significant effect on the user satisfaction (US). The results obtained can be interpreted that the low level of user trust SISKEUDES in government organizations, it cannot affect the level of satisfaction of SISKEUDES users. User satisfaction can also be fulfilled through the suitability of user perception of information systems. Such perception can be formed by the level of knowledge and experience in using the system, as well as the user's need for information availability. There is a limited information in handling the problems faced by users in using SISKEUDES in the village government of SARBAGITA region to the government above or local governments. This led to the absence of influence between the trust in government organization in the satisfaction of SISKEUDES users. Financial and Development Supervisory Agency (BPKP) and mandatory (Venkatesh et al., 2003) in the village. Trust in technology (TIT) has no significant effect on the SISKEUDES usage (U). The results obtained can be interpreted that the high low level of user trust SISKEUDES on technology, it cannot affect the level of use. Users of SISKEUDES feel the legal structure of the system yet to protect against the problems seen from the results of a questionnaire that shows an indicator of believing in the legal structure and technology can protect the user from having problems with the lowest mean value, so that the level of user trust in technology cannot affect the level of use SISKEUDES. Siskeudes (BPKP, 2015) is an application developed by the Financial and Development Supervisory Agency (BPKP) and mandatory in the village (Venkatesh et al., 2003).

Trust in technology (TIT) has significant effect on user satisfaction (US). The results obtained can be interpreted that the high or low level of user trust SISKEUDES on technology can change the satisfaction of SISKEUDES users. This Trust in technology is built by proactively providing counseling on knowledge and skills related to technology to users of the system (Chen et al., 2015). Users of SISKEUDES in the village government of SARBAGITA region believe that today's technology has a strong environment, it can be seen at a high mean value that indicates that SISKEUDES is a trusted system to be used and able to assist in completing the work, hence the belief in the technology can improve the satisfaction of SISKEUDES users. The results of this research are in line with Latifah et al. (2020) who also showed that belief is a factor that affects user satisfaction in using e-commerce. The system usage (U) proved to be positive and significant on net benefits (NB). The results obtained can be interpreted that the higher the level of use SISKEUDES will increase the net benefit that SISKEUDES users feel. The more often users use the information system, the more levels of learning on individual performance in the organization, and decision-making (McGill et al., 2003; Kofae et al., 2019; Al-Kofahi, 2020). Positively the existence of information systems will be a stimuli and challenge for individuals in the organization to work better, which in turn impacts the organizational performance. The use of high information systems in the village government of SARBAGITA region can provide benefits to the organization.
This research is in line with other researches (Noviyanti, 2017; Krisbiantoro., 2015). User satisfaction (US) proved to be positively and significantly influential on the net benefit (NB). The results obtained can be interpreted that the higher the level of user satisfaction in using SISKEUDES, the higher the net benefit that SISKEUDES users feel. SISKEUDES user satisfaction provides the net benefits that have been felt by the user of the system, such as being able to finish the job more quickly and efficiently, as well as improving performance in managing the regional finances. SISKEUDES makes it easy for users to complete their work in a timely manner. The results of this research are in line with the other studies (Noviyanti, 2017; Kofahi et al., 2019; Al-Kofahi, 2020; Kodarisman & Nugroho, 2013) which shows that the user satisfaction is positively and significantly influential on the net benefits.

5. Conclusions and recommendations

Based on the results of research and discussion it can be concluded that the system quality had positive and significant effect on the SISKEUDES usage. Information Quality, service quality, trust in government, trust in technology had no significant effect on the SISKEUDES usage. System quality, information quality and trust in technology proved to be positive and significant in user satisfaction. Service quality and trust in government had no significant effect on user satisfaction. System usage and satisfaction proved to be positively and significantly influential on net benefits. Model testing (DeLone & McLean, 2003) by augmenting the dimensions of the trust theory had weak effect on quality information, system quality, and service quality, trust in government and trust in technology was a good predictor of system usage variables and user satisfaction in an entity with mandatory system implementations. So for researchers further advised to test Model (DeLone & McLean, 2003) by increasing the dimension of the belief theory in an entity whose system implementation is still voluntary. The success of the system is not only determined by the technical factors of the system but also by the human psychology factor. Psychological factors can be added to the model (DeLone & McLean, 2003) to evaluate the application of a system. The theory of Information technology acceptance (Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology) from Venkatesh et al. (2003) can be used to measure the successful implementation of information technology in terms of its human factors.
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