Thyroid radiation shields: A potential source of intraoperative infection
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Thyroid radiation shields are often uncovered by the surgical gown and may represent a preventable source of wound contamination. The aims of this study are to define the common pathogens found on thyroid radiation shields and evaluate the effectiveness of a simple cleaning method.

Methods: Samples were obtained from 29 community thyroid shields collected from the operating theatres of 3 teaching hospitals. Each shield was swabbed under strict sterile technique using a separate swab for each of 4 designated zones. After sampling, shields were cleaned with a readily available disinfectant and sampling was repeated after 5 min. All swabs were cultured in ambient air at 37 °C on 5% sheep's blood agar for 48 hrs and subsequent growth was identified by a MALDI-TOF Walkaway mass spectrometer.

Results: Before cleaning, 100% of thyroid shields (29/29) and 68% of shield zones (79/116) grew at least 1 type of bacteria. Coagulase negative staphylococci, including S. epidermidis, S. capitis, S. cohnii, S. haemolyticus and S. hominis, were most commonly isolated. Enterobacteriaceae and S. aureus were also cultured. After cleaning, culturable contamination was reduced by 86.3% and 64.5%, respectively (p < 0.001).

Conclusion: The most common pathogens associated with SSIs can be isolated on thyroid radiation shields. Appropriate cleaning of thyroid shields with readily available disinfectant can significantly reduce the bacterial burden as detectable by culture. Hospitals should facilitate staff education and reinforce their policies on cleaning these shields which may often be overlooked.

1. Introduction

Despite modern infection control guidelines, surgical site infections (SSIs) remain the leading cause of nosocomial infection and represent a substantial burden of disease.1 In Europe, the percentage of SSIs per 100 surgical procedures can range from 0.6% to 9.5% depending on procedure type.2 Post-operative infections in orthopaedic patients can be particularly devastating given the setting of traumatized soft tissue and the frequent use of metal implants. Airborne transmission of bacteria is well recognized as a major contributor to SSIs.3,4 Exogenous bacteria originate from the shedding of exposed skin and hair of operating theatre personnel and contribute to infection by either direct contamination or by settling on inanimate objects before being introduced to the surgical wound.5,6 This has driven the implementation of numerous measures to prevent airborne bacterial load such as the use of laminar air-flow, hooded exhaust equipment, ultraviolet light cleaning and regular glove changing. Reducing the number of personnel in the operating theatre has also been shown to reduce the quantity of airborne bacteria.7

Lead garments are commonly worn in the operating theatre during orthopaedic procedures to facilitate the use of intraoperative fluoroscopy. At many institutions, these are provided by the hospital. They are stored on public hangers or shelves and are considered "shared-use", increasing the risk of bacterial colonisation. These pathogenic bacteria can remain virulent for weeks to months on these surfaces. Staphylococcus Aureus, for instance, has been shown to remain infective for 10 days and some strains of multiresistant S. aureus (MRSA) have been shown to remain viable on cotton materials for up to 9 weeks.8,9

Thyroid radiation shields constitute an important part of radiation protection garments. However, potentially contaminated thyroid shields are often exposed above sterile surgical gowns (Fig. 1) and may represent a significant breach in the sterile field. Airborne transmission of bacteria harboured on thyroid radiation shields could increase the risk of intraoperative infection.

2. Objectives

We designed this study (1) to isolate and identify bacteria present on thyroid radiation shields and (2) to assess the effectiveness of a simple cleaning method.
on shared-use thyroid shields; (2) to determine the most contaminated region of the shields; and (3) to evaluate the effectiveness of a readily-available simple cleaning method.

3. Methods

This study was conducted across three university teaching hospitals, each of which is a Level II trauma centre. All thyroid shields were collected at the end of a routine weekday without informing any theatre staff to eliminate any bias due to the Hawthorne effect. This also ensured all shields were available at the time of sample collection.

The shields were brought into an operating theatre that had undergone a ‘deep clean’ and distributed on a sterile surface. The external surface of each shield was divided into four (2 × 2 cm) zones (Fig. 2): Zone 1 (Upper), Zone 2 (Lower), Zone 3 (Right Lateral) and Zone 4 (Left Lateral, including the velcro strap). Sterile gloves and a surgical mask were worn throughout sample collection and swabbing. We used media free, sterile swabs (BBL™ CultureSwab™ EZ, Ireland) and pre-moistened them in normal saline to facilitate extraction of any contaminant on the shield surface. Separate sterile swabs were used to sample each of the 4 zones.

As part of a well-accepted semi-quantitative technique, we used Columbia blood agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood (Columbia blood agar, Fannin Healthcare, Ireland). This is a non-selective media known to support the growth of numerous bacterial strains, including Gram-negative and Gram-positive species. We inoculated each plate using the 4-quadrant streak method and labelled each plate appropriately. The thyroid shields were then cleaned with the hospital’s medical-standard alcohol wipes (Clinell universal disinfectant wipes, Gammahealthcare, UK) and left for 5 min to dry ensuring full effect of the cleaning product. Each zone was then swabbed again using the previously described technique. Plates were incubated in ambient air at 37°C and 5% CO2 for 48 h, after which they were examined for growth of bacterial colonies. All isolates were placed on a MALDI-TOF walk-away mass spectrometer for identification under the supervision of a consultant microbiologist.

A total of 232 swabs were taken (116 initial swabs and 116 post-disinfection swabs). The results were divided into Staphylococcus Aureus, Coagulase Negative Staphylococci (CoNS), Enterococcus species, Streptococcus viridans species, gram-positive bacilli, Fungal Species and other bacteria. Positive growth was graded by the number of colony forming units (CFU) present. Standard 90 mm plastic petri dishes were categorised into: no growth, moderate growth 1+ (10–100 CFU), heavy growth 2+ (100–1000 CFU) and confluent growth 3+ (> 1000 CFU).

3.1. Statistical analysis

We used the McNemar test to compare the contamination rates of the thyroid shields, as well as each of the 4 allocated zones, before and after decontamination. To determine if there was a significant difference in the contamination rate between zones before cleaning, we used the partitioned chi-squared independence test. Post-hoc correction analysis was performed using the Holm-Bonferroni method. Categorical data is presented in terms of number and percentage. Continuous data is categorised and presented in terms of number and percentage. We used SPSS 25.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL) for all analysis.

4. Results

29 thyroid radiation shields (116 zones) were swabbed and cultured before and after cleaning. Prior to decontamination, bacteria were isolated from 100% (29/29) of the thyroid radiation shields and 68% (79/116) of the shield zones After disinfection, bacteria were isolated from 13.7% (4/29) of the thyroid shields and this involved only one zone on each of these shields: 3.4% (4/116).

The post-disinfection reduction in both the number of contaminated thyroid shields (86.3%) and contaminated quadrants (64.6%) was statistically significant (p < 0.001).

The contamination rate between thyroid shield zones before cleaning was also statistically significant ($x^2 [3, N = 4] = 11.866$, $p < 0.008$). Post-hoc analysis demonstrated that Zone 4 (left lateral including the velcro strap) was the most contaminated area with significantly more growth than Zone 2 ($x^2 = 6.74$, $p < 0.047$) and Zone 3 ($x^2 = 8.06$, $p < 0.027$). Bacteria was cultured on 86% (25/29) of swabs from Zone 4 and it was the most common to exhibit confluent growth (> 1000 CFU). Zone 1, the area that sits just above the sterile gown, facing the operative field was also heavily contaminated and bacteria were present in this zone on 79% of the thyroid shields. The majority of contamination in Zone 1 cultured moderate or heavy growth of bacteria (Table 1).

In 67% (53/79) of the contaminated zones, growth was polymicrobial, inoculating more than one pathogen. We classified bacterial and fungal growth by morphology and gram stain (Table 2). The majority of bacteria cultured on thyroid radiation shields are upper respiratory tract and skin commensals. The most common pathogens were coagulase negative staphylococci (CoNS) including S. epidermidis, S. capitis, S. cohnii, S. hemolyticus and S. hominis. CoNS were present on 29/29 (100%) of the shields and 57% (66/116) of quadrants before cleaning. Streptococcus viridans species including Strrep. mitis, Strrep. oralis, Strrep. parasanguis, Strrep. sanguinis and Strrep. infantis were cultured on 9% of thyroid shield quadrants. Gram positive spore-forming bacilli including Bacillus cereus, Bacillus licheniformis and Bacillus mycoides
were also isolated on 9% (10/116) of the zones. Staphylococcus Aureus was cultured on 3% of quadrants. Enterobacteriaceae and Candida Sp. were both cultured on 1 zone. Other respiratory pathogens such as Micrococcus luteus, Rothia Sp. as well as gram negative diplococci such as Moraxella catharhalis and Moraxella osteonis were also isolated.

After decontamination with alcohol based solution, CoNS was present on 2.5% (3/116) of the quadrants as detected by the MOLDI-TOF mass spectrometer. CoNS were the most likely organisms to be present after disinfection, making up 75% of the residual bacteria present. The only other bacteria present after cleaning was Escherichia Coli.

5. Discussion

Reducing the rate of SSI is of paramount importance both from a societal and an economic perspective as they significantly increase healthcare cost, in-hospital length-of-stay, morbidity and mortality. In orthopaedic surgery, hospital acquired infection (HAI) increases patient length of stay by more than 2 weeks and increases costs by 300%.11 Orthopaedic surgery, hospital acquired infection (HAI) increases patient healthcare cost, in-hospital length-of-stay, morbidity and mortality. In societal and an economic perspective as they significantly increase healthcare cost, in-hospital length-of-stay, morbidity and mortality. In societal and an economic perspective as they significantly increase healthcare cost, in-hospital length-of-stay, morbidity and mortality. In societal and an economic perspective as they significantly increase healthcare cost, in-hospital length-of-stay, morbidity and mortality.

After decontamination with alcohol based solution, CoNS was present on 2.5% (3/116) of the quadrants as detected by the MOLDI-TOF mass spectrometer. CoNS were the most likely organisms to be present after disinfection, making up 75% of the residual bacteria present. The only other bacteria present after cleaning was Escherichia Coli.

5. Discussion

Reducing the rate of SSI is of paramount importance both from a societal and an economic perspective as they significantly increase healthcare cost, in-hospital length-of-stay, morbidity and mortality. In orthopaedic surgery, hospital acquired infection (HAI) increases patient length of stay by more than 2 weeks and increases costs by 300%.11 Orthopaedic surgery, hospital acquired infection (HAI) increases patient length of stay by more than 2 weeks and increases costs by 300%. Orthopaedic surgery, hospital acquired infection (HAI) increases patient length of stay by more than 2 weeks and increases costs by 300%.

Furthermore, periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) has recently been demonstrated to have a five-year mortality of 21.12%.12 Patient factors, prophylactic antibiotics and length of stay are well documented contributors to the rate of healthcare associated infections. (7) However, recent literature demonstrates that intraoperative factors such as prolonged operation duration or repetitive minor breaches in sterile technique may have a larger impact on SSI rates than any patient factors.7

Additionally, these findings support the organized and detailed disinfection protocols used in their institution.13 The most common CoNS isolated in our study were Staphylococcus epidermidis followed closely by Staphylococcus capitis.15 The main virulence factors of these organisms are their ability to produce biofilms and surface adhesins, both facilitating antibiotic resistance. Biofilms function as an extracellular polysaccharide matrix barrier against host defences allowing the colonisation of biomaterials. Since the implementation of new identification methods such as matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) or real time broad range polymerase chain reaction (PCR) focus has shifted to reporting CoNS to the species level and understanding the epidemiology and pathogenicity of each bacteria in PJI. Staphylococcus capitis is a recognised cause of PJI requiring revision surgery and is thought to contracted during surgery or in the immediate post-operative period.21,22 It has also been shown to be the predominant CoNS in laminar air flow during prosthetic joint surgery.25

Table 2
Percentage of quadrants contaminated on thyroid shield.

| T. McAleese, et al. | Journal of Orthopaedics 22 (2020) 300–303 |
|---|---|
| Table 1 |
| Contamination rates (%) of 29 thyroid shields divided by zone. |

| Pre-decontamination bacterial growth |
| Quantity | Zone 1 | Zone 2 | Zone 3 | Zone 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1+ | 45% | 31% | 38% | 48% |
| 2+ | 31% | 17% | 14% | 28% |
| 3+ | 3% | 7% | 0% | 10% |
| Total | 79% | 55% | 52% | 86% |

| Post-decontamination bacterial growth |
| Quantity | Zone 1 | Zone 2 | Zone 3 | Zone 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1+ | 7% | 0% | 0% | 3% |
| 2+ | 0% | 3% | 0% | 0% |
| 3+ | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Total | 7% | 3% | 0% | 3% |

| p value | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001 | p < 0.001 |

CoNS: Coagulase Negative Staphylococcus.
We also isolated a number of other potentially harmful strains from the shields, including *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Candida albicans*. Growth of Candida Sp. is particularly worrying as they are often non-susceptible to Cefuroxime and other commonly used prophylactic antibiotics for orthopaedic procedures. *Escherichia coli* is a member of the large family of gram negative bacteria encompassing enterobacteriaceae. An increasing number of hospital acquired infections are related to antibiotic-resistant pathogens such as carbapenem resistant enterobacteriaceae (CRE). This may reflect an increasing number of severely ill surgical patients or the widespread use of broad spectrum antibiotics and poor stewardship.  

We found that the velcro strap (Zone 4) and upper zone (Zone 1) were the most heavily contaminated areas on the thyroid shield. They were also the most likely area to contain any bacteria. We felt this correlated with the most frequently handled areas of the thyroid shield and the areas closest to the surgeon’s skin. This is also in keeping with Bible et al.’s conclusion that the area just below the neck is the least sterile area of the surgical gown. They explained that this is due to transmission of bacteria from the face and neck onto this portion of the gown.

The main strengths of our study are the multi-centre sampling and using the Maldi-TOF mass spectrometer to allow for a more accurate identification and quantification of bacteria present. Our study was also well-designed, systematic and involved a good sample size. We took out study highlights a potential preventable source of surgical site infection. Continuous training and auditing of theatre staff in the cleaning staffs of interest to declare.

**References**

1. Health Protection Surveillance Centre. Health Protection Surveillance Centre, Point Prevalence Survey of Hospital Acquired Infections & Antimicrobial Use in European Acute Care Hospitals May 2017; May 2017 (National Report Ireland).
2. Control. ECDC. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. reportAnnual Epidemiological Report 2016 – Surgical Site Infections.
3. Howorth FH. Prevention of airborne infection during surgery. Lancet. 1985;1:386–388.
4. Walter CW, Kudsin RB. The airborne component of wound contamination and infection. Arch Surg. 1973;107:588–595.
5. Dancer SJ. The role of environmental cleaning in the control of hospital-acquired infection. J Hosp Infect. 2009;73:378–385.
6. Lidwell OM, Lowbury EJL, Whyte W, Blowers R, Stanley SJ, Lowe D. Airborne contamination of wounds in joint replacement operations: the relationship to sepsis rates. J Hosp Infect. 1983;4:111–131.
7. Onezen P, Dursun L. Epidemics of postoperative wound infections associated with hair carriers. Lancet. 1973;2:1157–1159.
8. Ritter MA. Intraoperative controls for bacterial contamination during total knee replacement. Orthop Clin N Am. 1989;20:49–53.
9. Bhalla A, Pultz NJ, Gries DM, et al. Acquisition of nosocomial pathogens on hands after contact with environmental surfaces near hospitalized patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2004;25:164–167.
10. Colbeck JC. Environmental aspects of staphylococcal infections acquired in hospitals. I. The hospital environment–its place in the hospital Staphylococcus infections problem. Am J Public Health Natio’s Health. 1960;50:468–473.
11. Whitehouse JD, Friedman ND, Kirkland KB, Richardson WJ, Sexton DJ. The impact of surgical-site infections following orthopedic surgery at a community hospital and a university hospital: adverse quality of life, excess length of stay, and extra cost. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2002;23:183–189.
12. Natshura KM, Shelton TJ, Meehan JP, Lum ZC. Mortality during total hip prosthetic joint infection. J Arthroplasty. 2019;34:S337–5342.
13. Grogan BF, Cranston WC, Lopez DM, et al. Do protective lead garments harbor harmful bacteria? Orthopedics. 2011;34:e765–767.
14. Pulido L, Ghanem E, Joshi A, Purcell JJ, Parvizi J. Periprosthetic joint infection: the incidence, timing, and predisposing factors. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2008;466:1710–1715.
15. Norton TD, Skeete F, Dubrovskaya Y, Phillips MS, Bosco 3rd JD, Mehta SA. Orthopedic surgical site infections: analysis of causative bacteria and implications for antibiotic stewardship. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ). 2014;43:E89–E92.
16. Cantlon CA, Stempfer ME, Schwan WR, Hoffman MA, Quainshat SS. Significant pathogens isolated from surgical site infections at a community hospital in the Midwest. Am J Infect Contr. 2006;34:526–529.
17. Feierabend S, Siegel G. Potential infection risk from thyroid radiation protection. J Orthop Trauma. 2015;29:18–20.
18. Jabar Mohamed M, Qiao E, Harvill Monte L. Contamination of interventional radiology lead aprons by nosocomial pathogens. Biomed J Sci Tech Res. 2017;1.
19. Ang L, Almasoud A, Palakodeti S, Mahmud E. Bacterial contamination of lead aprons in a high-volume cardiac catheterization laboratory and disinfection using an automated ultraviolet-C radiation system. J Invasive Cardio. 2018;30:416–420.
20. Elsayed SLJ, Cosker T, Kulkarni R. Bacterial contamination of the theatre lead apron: a cause for concern? J Trauma. 2005;59:542.
21. Tewell S, Baig S, Nilsdotter-Augustinsson A, Stegger M, Soderquist B. Same organism, different phenotype - are phenotypic criteria adequate in coagulase-negative staphylococcal orthopaedic implant-associated infections? J Bone Jt Surg. 2019;4:16–19.
22. Tewell S, Hellmark B, Nilsdotter-Augustinsson A, Soderquist B. Staphylococcus capitis isolated from prosthetic joint infections. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2017;36:115–122.
23. Mansson E, Hellmark R, Sundqvist M, Soderquist R. Sequence types of Staphylococcus epidermidis associated with prosthetic joint infections are not present in the laminar airflow during prosthetic joint surgery. APMIS. 2015;123:589–595.
24. Berrios-Torres SI, Umscheid CA, Bratzler DW, et al. Centers for disease control and prevention guideline for the prevention of surgical site infection. JAMA Surg. 2017;152:784–791 2017.
25. Bible JE, Biswas D, Whang PG, Simpson AK, Grauer JN. Which regions of the operating gown should be considered most sterile? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2009;467:825–830.