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ABSTRACT

This article describes a comprehensive geographic information system of Third-Republic France: the TRF-GIS. It provides annual nomenclatures and shapefiles of administrative constituencies of metropolitan France from 1870 to 1940, encompassing general administrative constituencies (départements, arrondissements, cantons) as well as the most significant special administrative constituencies: military, judicial and penitentiary, electoral, academic, labor inspection, and ecclesiastical constituencies. It further proposes annual nomenclatures at the contemporaneous commune level that map each municipality into its corresponding administrative framework along with its population count. The 901 nomenclatures, 830 shapefiles, and complete reproduction material along with primary sources of the TRF-GIS database are available at https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/TRF-GIS.

Background & Summary

For seven decades, between the collapse of the Second Empire in 1870 and the establishment of the Vichy Regime in 1940, France remained under a single political regime: the Third Republic. Despite the unprecedented stability of its institutions, France underwent dramatic socio-economic changes during this period. These were the result of several critical junctures, among which the Second Industrial Revolution and the modernization of France (1870–1914), the establishment of free, mandatory, and secular education (1881–1882), the Separation of the Churches and the State (1905), the First World War (1914–1918), the economic and political turmoils of the 1930s, and the defeat by Nazi Germany (1939–1940).1–4 Research programs aimed at understanding how these experiences shaped the French society increasingly rely on empirical evidence, in part due to the conjunction of two phenomena: the substantial production of administrative statistics under the Third Republic and the recent revival of quantitative history.5,6 Ongoing advances in optical character recognition (OCR) techniques applied to the digitization of historical archives suggest that this trend will likely intensify in the future.7

But a fundamental element empirical researchers typically need in order to process, map, and analyze spatially localized historical data is an underlying geographic frame of reference—some form of geographic information system (GIS). And contrary to the United States (nhgis.org), Great Britain (visionofbritain.org.uk), and Germany (digihist.de), a historical GIS of France has not been produced thus far.8–10 This lack is especially problematic for quantitative research in the context of Third-Republic France, as statistics then were oftentimes produced by administrations operating at heterogeneous and incompatible levels of aggregation, despite the coordination efforts by the Statistique Générale de France—Figure 1 provides a simplified diagram of the complexity of the administrative framework of statistics-producing entities over this time period.11 As a result, it currently falls onto individual researchers to complete this time-consuming, difficult, and yet, crucial task. Although simple département-level historical shapefiles are routinely produced for the needs of single studies, these are generally insufficiently documented and unavailable to the community. Furthermore, nomenclatures and shapefiles for more fine-grained administrative constituencies remain rare, and, when they exist, are hardly curated: they are generally neither findable due to lacking metadata, nor accessible under appropriate licensing, nor interoperable with other GISs, nor reusable in machine-readable format.12 A notable exception is LARHRA’s cantons shapefiles for 1884 and 1925, which were produced by the manual vectorization of georeferenced historical maps (a description of acronyms used in this article is available in Table 1).13 Overall, research endeavors in the context of Third-Republic France are bound to be hindered by the lack of a shared frame of reference that would not only ease researchers efforts by generating economies of scale, but also improve reproducibility and interoperability across scientific studies.14,15

To alleviate these issues and empower research programs in this context, this article proposes a comprehensive geographic information system of Third-Republic France: the TRF-GIS. It provides annual nomenclatures (codifications and toponymies) along with shapefiles of administrative constituencies of metropolitan France (mainland France and Corsica) from 1870 to 1940—901 nomenclatures and 830 shapefiles in total. It encompasses general administrative constituencies (départements, arrondissements, cantons) as well as the most significant special administrative constituencies: military constitutions (military
regions and subdivisions), judicial constituencies (courts of appeal and of first instance), penitentiary constituencies, electoral constituencies (circonscriptions), academic constituencies (academies), labor inspection constituencies, and ecclesiastical constituencies (dioceses). The TRF-GIS further proposes annual nomenclatures at the contemporaneous commune level that map each municipality into its corresponding administrative framework along with its population count.

The construction of TRF-GIS shapefiles uses a methodology different to the manual vectorization of georeferenced historical maps. It first reconstructs the administrative framework contemporaneous communes were embedded in, then aggregates these units into relevant constituencies using modern communes shapefiles. Despite some limitations, this method offers substantial advantages: not only it provides more precise results than existing outputs, but, more importantly, it also enables to circumvent the fundamental problems of non-existence of annual historical maps and of time and resource investments associated with manual vectorization methods.

By providing a comprehensively-curated common frame of reference that encompasses all aspects of the French society, the TRF-GIS will help create the conditions for a better understanding of the dramatic socio-economic changes that France underwent during the seven decades that lasted the Third Republic.

Methods

General methodology
The underlying structure of the TRF-GIS builds on three datasets that are available under open licensing (Figure 2 provides an overview of the construction logic of the TRF-GIS):

1. INSEE’s Codes Officiel Géographique (COG) nomenclatures.

   INSEE’s annual COGs provide the official nomenclature of communes and of general administrative constituencies in the geography of a given year. Existing communes are uniquely identified through a five-digit coding scheme, which first two digits correspond to a commune’s département and last three to its number within the département, generally assigned in ascending alphabetical order. For instance, the commune of Allonne in the département of Oise (60) holds INSEE code 60009. COGs and their coding scheme were first established in 1943 and have remained stable ever since, barring changes in communes’ territorial structures. The construction of the TRF-GIS uses the COGs 2005 and 2011.

2. The Histoire Administrative des Communes (HAC) database distributed through cassini.ehess.fr.

   The HAC database provides, for each of the 41,410 communes that ever existed between 1793 and 2005, a unique record that contains a commune’s dates of creation and subsequent modifications, the general administrative constituencies it ever belonged to, its INSEE codification, the evolution of its toponymy, and its municipal population counts across censuses—these records can only accessed individually through cassini.ehess.fr. Information herein relies on official administrative acts published in the Bulletin des Lois from 1793 to 1931 and in the Journal Officiel hereafter. Except for a few cases documented in the TRF-GIS source code, communes in the HAC database are effectively characterized in 2005 geography. Communes that no longer existed by 2005 are assigned the INSEE code of their absorbing commune in the HAC database. For instance, absorbed by Beauvais in 1943, the commune of Voinlieu holds Beauvais’ INSEE code 60009. Former and current communes can nevertheless be uniquely identified through the url query parameter of their individual record on cassini.ehess.fr, which I denominate “Cassini code.” It is assigned in ascending alphabetical order from 0 to 41,475 (with a few gaps). For instance, while Beauvais holds Cassini code 3332, Voinlieu holds Cassini code 40965—Voinlieu’s record is available at http://cassini.ehess.fr/cassini/fr/html/fiche.php?select_resultat=40965.

3. IGN’s GEOFLA® Communes Édition 2011 France Métropolitaine (GEOFLA) shapefile.

   IGN’s GEOFLA 2011 shapefile provides an official representation of the 36,568 communes of metropolitan France in 2011 geography in polygon and vector forms. It is expressed through an RGF93 Lambert-93 projection system and derived from the geometry of IGN’s BD CARTO® with a precision of 1:1,000,000.

TRF-GIS shapefiles
Annual shapefiles use the GEOFLA 2011 as their underlying frame to the exclusion of the territories that did not belong to France during certain years (Figure 3): Alsace-Lorraine from 1871 to 1918, and the communes of La Brigue and Tende throughout the period—these were not annexed by France from Italy until 1947. As a result, shapefiles that constitute the base frame for 1870 and 1919–1940 represent 36,566 of the 36,568 existing communes of 2011 and 34,932 for 1871–1918. Each of these communes is then matched to the general administrative constituencies they belonged to in the relevant year using the HAC database, which initial 2005 geography is converted into 2011 geography using the COGs 2005 and 2011—between these two dates, nine communes disappeared and seven were created.
Moreover, annual shapefiles of commune nomenclatures are composed only of those communes that existed in the relevant year. For instance, the commune of Voisinlieu was created from Allonne in 1930, a parent commune that belonged to the département of Oise between 1870 and 1929. Hence, Voisinlieu is assigned to the département of Oise in the base frames for the shapefiles 1870–1929.

Commune-level polygons are then dissolved to create general administrative constituency-level polygons. The construction of special administrative constituency shapefiles relies on this initial characterization, as these constituencies were generally based on the general administrative framework constituted by départements, arrondissements, and cantons.

**TRF-GIS nomenclatures**

Annual constituency-level nomenclatures use contemporaneous toponymies and codifications as provided in the HAC database for general administrative constituencies. For special administrative constituency nomenclatures, I rely on historical sources. Annual commune-level nomenclatures are composed only of those communes that existed in the relevant year. For instance, the commune of Voisinlieu only appears in the commune-level nomenclatures 1930–1940. Figure 4 displays the evolution of the number of communes that compose annual commune-level nomenclatures. It ranges from 35,974 communes in 1871 to 38,038 communes in 1939–1940.

**Municipal arrondissements of Paris, Lyon, and Marseille**

The three building blocs of the TRF-GIS have different treatments of the 45 municipal arrondissements of Paris, Lyon, and Marseille: while the GEOFLA treats them as independent elements, explaining why it contains 36,610 distinct entities representing 36,568 communes, COGs and the HAC database do not. Because they have been stable since 1859, the TRF-GIS keeps the 20 municipal arrondissements of Paris as distinct entities. However, it treats the communes of Lyon and Marseille as single entities because their municipal arrondissements were modified several times since 1870, making it challenging to match these to the GEOFLA.

**Timeline**

The TRF-GIS encompasses the period of the Third Republic. This political regime was proclaimed following the fall of the Second Empire on September 4, 1870, and later dissolved on July 10, 1940, after the capitulation against Nazi Germany and the advent of the Vichy Regime. Although the Third Republic began in 1870, the general administrative constituencies that prevailed during the regime were only effective by May 1871 and the signature of the Frankfurt treaty, which settled the aftermath of the Franco-Prussian War and the annexation of Alsace-Lorraine by the newly unified German Empire. For interoperability with research programs covering the Second Empire, the TRF-GIS begins in 1870 and therefore encompasses constituencies prevailing by the end of this regime.

**Limitations**

The TRF-GIS exhibits two limitations. First, its shapefiles rely on delineations of communes in 2011 geography through the GEOFLA 2011. I use this dataset for quality and reproducibility purposes, as it is the earliest geography for which an IGN-produced commune-level shapefile is accessible under open licensing. Moreover, annual shapefiles of commune boundaries for 1870–1940 have not been produced thus far—this is among the endeavors of the ongoing project COMMUNE HIS-DBD, which is scheduled to be completed by the end of 2022. This needs not invalidate the TRF-GIS, for two reasons. First, commune boundaries did not change much since the beginning of the Third Republic: out of the 36,568 communes represented in the GEOFLA 2011, less than seven percent ever underwent territorial modifications (creation, suppression, territorial transfer) between 1870 and 2011 (Figure 5). Second, TRF-GIS shapefiles represent constituencies that are for the most part defined at higher levels of aggregation than communes, thereby limiting potential inaccuracies at their boundaries. Still, this might represent an issue for the larger urban centers as some increased in size over time, absorbing peripheral parcels and hamlets. For instance, the area of the Bois de Vincenne was not absorbed by Paris until 1929. TRF-GIS shapefiles are therefore better suited for usage with regional and national extents—for local extents, specific tools such as ALPAGE for Paris might be more relevant.

The second limitation of TRF-GIS shapefiles is due to some constituencies being at times defined across commune boundaries, generally in the vicinity of urban centers. For instance, the area around the city of Montluçon was divided between two cantons, Montluçon-Ouest and Montluçon-Est, with the commune of Montluçon being subdivided between these two cantons along the Cher river (Figure 6, Panel a). While this poses no issue for the construction of constituency-level nomenclatures, shapefiles and commune-level nomenclatures have communes as their base unit. I circumvent this problem by implementing INSEE’s and IGN’s common methodology when building their COGs and GEOFLAs, and use the concept of “pseudo-constituency:” whenever part of a constituency is defined across commune boundaries, a pseudo-constituency is created for that specific part, which level of aggregation is the commune itself and which encompasses all constituencies defined within that commune. In the case of Montluçon’s cantons, TRF-GIS shapefiles and commune-level nomenclatures assign communes that fall entirely into cantons to either cantons of Montluçon-Ouest (seven communes) and Montluçon-Est...
(eight communes), while the commune of Montluçon itself, being subdivided between these two cantons, is assigned to the pseudo-canton of Montluçon (Figure 6, Panel b).

**Institutional details**

**General administrative constituencies**

General administrative constituencies (départements, arrondissements, cantons) formed the basic territorial divisions through which the State deployed its administration. During the Third Republic, these constituencies were strictly nested: cantons belonged to a single arrondissement, and arrondissements, to a single département. In each département, a prefect, operating from the chef-lieu, the prefecture, represented the State and supervised its administration within the département. Similarly, a subprefect held office in each arrondissement at the subprefecture. While départements and arrondissements were both administrative units and territorial constituencies, cantons were simple territorial divisions with no administrative prerogatives—except for local justices of the peace—that formed the basis of military and electoral constituencies. This organization had been in place since the National Constituent Assembly in 1789–1791 and was later consolidated by the law of August 10, 1871, which stabilized this framework and made départements the central constituency for France’s territorial administration. It was only essentially altered by two events: the annexation of Alsace-Lorraine in 1871 and its recovery in 1919, and the arrondissements reform of 1926.

**The annexation of Alsace-Lorraine in 1871 and its recovery in 1919**

Following the Franco-Prussian War, the Frankfurt treaty of May 10, 1871 (complemented by the convention of October 12, 1871) stipulated the transfer of Alsace-Lorraine to the German Empire. Annexed territories consisted of the département of Bas-Rhin, most of the département of Haut-Rhin, parts of the départements of Moselle and Meurthe, and a few communes of the département of Vosges—1,694 communes in total. Supplementary Table 1 documents the composition of annexed territories along with supporting administrative sources. Boundaries of these territories did not overlap with pre-war limits of general administrative constituencies. As a result, it was necessary to reconfigure these constituencies within the territories of annexed départements that had remained in France: the remaining territories of the département of Haut-Rhin were grouped into the Territoire-de-Belfort and the département of Meurthe was renamed Meurthe-et-Moselle, absorbing the remaining territories of the département of Moselle. The reconfiguration of these territories’ arrondissements and cantons between 1871 and 1873 is documented in Supplementary Table 2. Upon the official recovery of Alsace-Lorraine per the Versailles treaty of June 28, 1919, territories of this region kept their administrative structures defined in the aftermath of the Franco-Prussian War: the Territoire-de-Belfort and the département of Meurthe-et-Moselle remained unchanged, and the territories formerly in the départements of Bas-Rhin, Haut-Rhin, and Moselle recovered their pre-war structures, barring changes that occurred under German rule between 1871 and 1918—changes documented in Supplementary Table 3.

**The arrondissements reform of 1926**

Due to the deterioration of France’s budgetary situation after World War I and the relative uselessness of the arrondissement as administrative constituency, the Poincaré government suppressed 106 arrondissements per the decree-law of September 10, 1926, bringing their number to 279. Although four were re-established by 1940, the configuration of arrondissements defined in 1926 remained unchanged until the end of the Third Republic. Territorial changes induced by this reform are documented in Supplementary Table 4.

Overall, except for these two events and the creation of five dozen cantons (documented in Supplementary Table 5), general administrative constituencies remained relatively stable throughout the Third Republic. Figure 7 displays the evolution of their number between 1870 and 1940: from 87 to 90 départements, from 279 to 385 arrondissements, and from 2,861 to 3,028 cantons. Figure 8 further displays the geographic configuration of these administrative constituencies at four key dates: 1870, 1871, 1919, and 1926.

**Special administrative constituencies**

**Military constituencies**

The territorial organization of the military prevalent during most of the Third Republic was based on a series of reforms passed in 1873–1874. At its core, the law of “general organization of the army” of July 24, 1873, ensured consistency between military recruitment and military command. It divided the territory into 18 military regions, each further divided into eight subdivisions per the decree of August 6, 1874. Moreover, per the decree of September 28, 1873, one army corps per military region was created. Each army corps was composed of two infantry divisions of two brigades with two regiments—one infantry regiment per subdivision of military region. In each subdivision of military region was located a recruitment bureau, which managed recruitment and mobilization under the authority of the military region command. Although they overlapped geographically, military regions were the relevant administrative structures for recruitment, while army corps were relevant for military command.

Except for the regions of Paris and Lyon, which had specific military governments, delineations of military regions and subdivisions followed canton and arrondissement boundaries so as to maintain balance in terms of population, transportation...
France’s judicial organization remained broadly stable from the early nineteenth century to the end of the Third Republic. Ordinary judicial institutions consisted of five nested jurisdictional levels. At the first level, justices of the peace ( justices de paix) and simple police courts ( tribunaux de simple police) had cantons as constituency and were headed by the same cantonal judge. Their attributions were limited to minor civil cases that could be resolved by conciliation and to minor criminal offences. The second level was constituted by courts of first instance ( tribunaux de première instance), the ordinary first-degree jurisdiction for civil cases, which also ruled on appeals to justices of the peace. In criminal matters, its criminal court ( tribunal correctionnel) ruled on all offenses for which sentences were shorter than five years but exceeded those imposed by simple police courts. Until the decree of September 3, 1926, courts of first instance had arrondissements as constituency—except for the département of Seine, which had only one, and the arrondissement of Puget-Théniers, which had none. These courts were located at the subprefecture, barring a dozen exceptions. In the spirit of the arrondissements reform of 1926, the judicial reform of the same year suppressed 228 courts of first instance. However, this reform soon failed and all but six courts were reinstated in 1930 per the law of August 22, 1929—three courts were later suppressed in 1931–1932. At the third level, assize courts ( cours d’assises) were autonomous départemental jurisdictions that ruled on crimes. They were only in session for one trimester each year except for the assize court of Paris, which was permanent. The fourth jurisdictional level was constituted by courts of appeal ( cours d’appel), which ruled on appeals to courts of first instance, both in civil and criminal matters. There were 26–28 courts of appeal, each composed of one ( Bastia) to seven ( Paris) départements. Their delineations remained stable throughout the Third Republic barring modifications induced by the annexation and recovery of Alsace-Lorraine: the courts of appeal of Metz and Colmar were suppressed in 1871, the later being reinstated in 1919. Finally, the higher jurisdiction was the Court of Cassation ( Cour de Cassation), which reviewed other courts’ rulings in last resort, both in civil and criminal matters. Panels a and b of Figure 11 display the evolution of the number of judicial constituencies between 1870 and 1940: from 26 to 28 courts of appeal, and from 138 to 370 courts of first instance. However, this construction of annual constituency-level shapefiles for courts of appeal is straightforward as these followed département boundaries. For courts of first instance, I rely on their delineations along canton boundaries—although courts of first instance were based on arrondissements until 1929, their delineations from 1930 to 1940 were based on the geography of arrondissements prior to the reform of 1926, which left cantons untouched. I first match each canton from the TRF-GIS canton-level nomenclature 1870 to its court of first instance. Then, for each year onward, I update this initial configuration with territorial modifications to both cantons and courts of first instance. Modifications that affected courts of appeal and courts of first instance, along with other details, are documented in Supplementary Table 8. Because justices of the peace, police courts, and assize courts had

Judicial and penitentiary constituencies
France’s judicial organization remained broadly stable from the early nineteenth century to the end of the Third Republic. Ordinary judicial institutions consisted of five nested jurisdictional levels. At the first level, justices of the peace ( justices de paix) and simple police courts ( tribunaux de simple police) had cantons as constituency and were headed by the same cantonal judge. Their attributions were limited to minor civil cases that could be resolved by conciliation and to minor criminal offences. The second level was constituted by courts of first instance ( tribunaux de première instance), the ordinary first-degree jurisdiction for civil cases, which also ruled on appeals to justices of the peace. In criminal matters, its criminal court ( tribunal correctionnel) ruled on all offenses for which sentences were shorter than five years but exceeded those imposed by simple police courts. Until the decree of September 3, 1926, courts of first instance had arrondissements as constituency—except for the département of Seine, which had only one, and the arrondissement of Puget-Théniers, which had none. These courts were located at the subprefecture, barring a dozen exceptions. In the spirit of the arrondissements reform of 1926, the judicial reform of the same year suppressed 228 courts of first instance. However, this reform soon failed and all but six courts were reinstated in 1930 per the law of August 22, 1929—three courts were later suppressed in 1931–1932. At the third level, assize courts ( cours d’assises) were autonomous départemental jurisdictions that ruled on crimes. They were only in session for one trimester each year except for the assize court of Paris, which was permanent. The fourth jurisdictional level was constituted by courts of appeal ( cours d’appel), which ruled on appeals to courts of first instance, both in civil and criminal matters. There were 26–28 courts of appeal, each composed of one ( Bastia) to seven ( Paris) départements. Their delineations remained stable throughout the Third Republic barring modifications induced by the annexation and recovery of Alsace-Lorraine: the courts of appeal of Metz and Colmar were suppressed in 1871, the later being reinstated in 1919. Finally, the higher jurisdiction was the Court of Cassation ( Cour de Cassation), which reviewed other courts’ rulings in last resort, both in civil and criminal matters. Panels a and b of Figure 11 display the evolution of the number of judicial constituencies between 1870 and 1940: from 26 to 28 courts of appeal, and from 138 to 370 courts of first instance. However, this construction of annual constituency-level shapefiles for courts of appeal is straightforward as these followed département boundaries. For courts of first instance, I rely on their delineations along canton boundaries—although courts of first instance were based on arrondissements until 1929, their delineations from 1930 to 1940 were based on the geography of arrondissements prior to the reform of 1926, which left cantons untouched. I first match each canton from the TRF-GIS canton-level nomenclature 1870 to its court of first instance. Then, for each year onward, I update this initial configuration with territorial modifications to both cantons and courts of first instance. Modifications that affected courts of appeal and courts of first instance, along with other details, are documented in Supplementary Table 8. Because justices of the peace, police courts, and assize courts had
Displays the geographic configuration of judicial constituencies at four key dates: 1870, 1871, 1926, and 1940.

Besides ordinary judicial institutions, special jurisdictions ruled over litigation specific to some professional groups, such as commercial courts (tribunaux de commerce) and labor courts (conseils de prud'hommes). Because these only existed in some cities and had heterogeneous jurisdictions, I do not provide nomenclatures and shapefiles for these special jurisdictions. Annual commune-specific nomenclatures do, however, detail their locations.

Finally, linked to the judicial system, the penitentiary system was administered through regional constituencies that comprised several départements from 1871 onward—in 1870, penitentiary constituencies and départements were confounded. Their number decreased over time, from 45 in 1871–1887 to 16 in 1926–1940 (Figure 11, Panel c). These modifications are documented in Supplementary Table 8. Figure 13 displays the geographic configuration of penitentiary constituencies at four key dates: 1871, 1888, 1909, and 1940.

Electoral constituencies The Third Republic was a Parliamentarian regime in which the National Assembly, France’s Lower House, elected the head of the executive branch (together with the Senate from 1875 onward). Members of the National Assembly, the députés, were elected by male citizens aged 21 and older through a single-member system, with one député per electoral constituency, denominated circonscription—though the general elections of 1871, 1885, 1919, and 1924 used a block-list system. While block-list elections generally had département-level electoral constituencies, arrondissements formed the basis of electoral constituencies throughout the Third Republic. Its electoral geography was based on the redistricting of 1875, which followed three principles that barely changed during the next seven decades: each arrondissement had at least one député, and therefore constituted at least one circonscription; each additional hundred thousand inhabitants entitled an arrondissement to an additional député; and a circonscription was modified only if its number of députés had to change due to population changes. There was only one major redistricting after 1875: that of 1927 after the return of the single-member system, which was broadly based on the geography of arrondissements prior to the Poincaré reform of 1926. The redistricting of 1889, also after the return to the single-member system, was essentially identical to that of 1875. Still, the population rule for redistricting entailed marginal changes after each census, adjusting constituencies in 10–20 arrondissement every five years—Supplementary Table 9 documents all 13 redistricting laws. Panel a of Figure 14 displays the evolution of the number of circonscriptions during the Third Republic: from 87 to 100 for block-list elections, and from 526 to 598 for single-member elections.

The construction of annual circonscription-level nomenclatures and shapefiles relies on two elements: TRF-GIS annual canton-level nomenclatures and Gaudillère’s (1995) Atlas Historique des Circonscriptions Électorales Françaises, which provides maps of circonscriptions from 1815 to 1986 for each département, with cantons as underlying frame. Using Gaudillère’s 800-page atlas, I manually match each contemporaneous canton to its electoral constituency for each redistricting year. I then expand these configurations to the following years until the next redistricting, taking into account territorial changes to cantons through TRF-GIS annual canton-level nomenclatures. I use the same methodology but at the levels of communes for Seine-et-Oise and municipal arrondissements for Seine, as circonscriptions were generally defined at such levels in these two départements.

Annual circonscription-level shapefiles exhibit the same limitations as canton-level shapefiles, as some circonscriptions were defined across canton and commune boundaries due to the population rule for redistricting. For instance, the city of Montluçon was divided along canton boundaries into the circonscriptions of Montluçon-1 (canton of Montluçon-Est) and Montluçon-2 (canton of Montluçon-Ouest) during single-member elections. Following the same logic as with canton-level shapefiles, I create pseudo-circonscriptions whenever relevant. Figure 15 displays the geographic configuration of electoral constituencies at four key general elections dates: 1876, 1914, 1919, and 1928.

Academic constituencies The administration of public education during the Third Republic was operated through a system of regional academies that was defined in 1854 and that overlapped département boundaries. Since then, each academy was administered by a rector who supervised primary, secondary, and superior education within the academy. He was assisted by several academic inspectors—one per département, two or more in the populous départements of Nord and Seine. The territorial configuration of the 17 academies that existed by 1870 was seldom modified during the Third Republic: in 1871, the Territoire-de-Belfort was transferred to the academy of Besançon; in 1888, the chef-lieu of the academy of Douai was transferred to Lille; in 1919, the recovered département of Moselle was transferred to the academy of Strasbourg; and in 1920, the academy of Chambéry was suppressed and its départements (Savoie and Haute-Savoie) transferred to the academy of Grenoble. Panel b of Figure 14 displays the evolution of the number of academies during the Third Republic, which remained at 16–17.

The construction of annual academy-level shapefiles is straightforward as academies were supra-départemental constituencies that underwent little modifications. I first match each département from the TRF-GIS département-level nomenclature...
1870 to its academy per the decrees of August 22nd, 1854, and June 13th, 1860. Then, for each year onward, I update this
initial configuration with territorial modifications to both départements and academies—the few territorial modifications to
academies are documented in Supplementary Table 10. Panel a of Figure 16 displays the geographic configuration of academic
constituencies in 1919.

Labor inspection constituencies The service of labor inspection of the Ministry of Labor was created by the law of May
19th, 1874, which objective was to regulate child labor. However, it was not until the law of December 13th, 1892 that a service
of labor inspectors structured the territory in order to control the application of labor regulations. It was initially organized
into 11 supra-départemental constituencies, each headed by one divisional inspector. Divisional inspectors were assisted by
several départemental inspectors operating in territorial sections—92 in total. Labor inspection constituencies underwent little
modifications after 1892: sieges of the 2nd and 4th constituencies were respectively transferred from Châteauroux to Tours
and from Bar-le-Duc to Nancy in 1893; the département of Isère, initially split between the 10th and 11th constituency, was
reunited into the 11th constituency in 1902; and the département of Somme was transferred from the 5th to the 6th constituency
in 1911, then back to the 5th constituency in 1919. After World War I, a 12th constituency was created with Strasbourg as
chef-lieu in order to structure labor inspections in the départements of Moselle, Bas-Rhin, and Haut-Rhin. Panel c of Figure 14
displays the evolution of the number of labor inspection constituencies during the Third Republic, which remained at 11–12.

To construct annual labor inspection-level shapefiles, I first match each canton from the TRF-GIS canton-level nomenclature
1892 to its labor inspection constituency per the law of December 13th, 1892—although these constituencies were supra-
départemental, cantons of the département of Isère were initially split between the 10th and 11th constituency. Then, for each
year onward, I update this initial configuration with territorial modifications to both cantons and labor inspection constituencies.
Panel b of Figure 16 displays the geographic configuration of labor inspection constituencies in 1919.

Ecclesiastical constituencies Despite the Separation of the Churches and the State in 1905, catholic ecclesiastical
constitutions—dioceses—continued to play an important role in the French society, and to produce statistics. Inherited
from the geography of roman provinces, most dioceses were created between the second and the fifth centuries. Their
delineations were substantially altered twice after the Revolution: by the Concordat of 1801, which broadly nested the diocesan
graphy into that of the newly created départements, and by the Papal bull Paternae charitatis of 1822, which restored some
prenvolutionary dioceses. By 1870, the metropolitan territory was divided into 86 dioceses, among which 17 archbishoprics
(archevêchés) and 69 bishoprics (évêchés). Ruled by an archbishop, archbishoprics were metropolitan sees and headed the
territories of several subordinate bishoprics. Some episcopal sees were immediately subject to the Holy See—the dioceses
of Metz and Strasbourg after 1919. Throughout the Third Republic, the territories of most dioceses followed département
boundaries. Still, some followed arrondissements: the diocese of Marseille, which corresponded to the arrondissement of
Marseille; the diocese of Reims, which corresponded to the département of Ardenne and the arrondissement of Reims (Marne);
the diocese of Fréjus, which corresponded to the département of Var and the arrondissement of Grasse (Alpes-Maritimes) until
1886; and the dioceses of Lille and Cambrai, which split the département of Nord after 1913. The diocese of Carcassonne
(Aude) even had an enclave in the département of Ariège through the canton of Quérigut. The diocesan geography of the
départements of Savoie and Haute-Savoie was more complex, as it divided their territories along commune boundaries—this
configuration is documented in Supplementary Table 11. Diocese boundaries underwent little changes during the Third
Republic: beyond those described above, the Territoire-de-Belfort was transferred from the diocese of Strasbourg to that of
Besançon after the Franco-Prussian War, and the territories of the département of Meurthe-and-Moselle were attributed to
the diocese of Nancy—Supplementary Table 12 documents these changes. Panel d of Figure 14 displays the evolution of the
number of dioceses between 1870 and 1940, which remained between 84 and 87.

To construct annual diocese-level shapefiles, I first match each canton from the TRF-GIS canton-level nomenclature 1870
to its diocese as per the Papal bull of 1822, taking into account the creation of the diocese of Laval in 1855. Then, for each
year onward, I update this initial configuration with territorial modifications to both cantons and dioceses. I use the same
methodology but at the level of communes for the départements of Savoie and Haute-Savoie. Panel c of Figure 16 displays the
geographic configuration of dioceses in 1919, together with the extent of metropolitan bishoprics.

Data Records
The TRF-GIS database is composed of two types of data files: annual constituency-level nomenclatures and annual constituency-
level shapefiles. A description of each these data files’ names, content, formats, sizes, and locations is available in Table 2
for general administrative constituencies, in Table 3 for military constituencies, in Table 4 for judicial and penitentiary
constituencies, and in Table 5 for electoral, academic, labor inspection, and ecclesiastical constituencies. Table 5 also describes
data files for commune-level nomenclatures. Annual constituency- and commune-level nomenclatures are available in Stata
data format (.dta) as well as in text delimited format (.txt), with the main file-specific metadata replicated as notes
within .dta files and along with separate codebooks for .txt files. Each annual constituency-level shapefile is composed of
because the commune-level GEOFLA 2011 shapefile forms the base frame for annual canton-level shapefiles, and cantons were sometimes defined across commune boundaries, these shapefiles do not entirely match canton-level nomenclatures. Instead, they use the concept of pseudo-cantons. Following INSEE’s and IGN’s codification conventions, pseudo-cantons are identified across time. Moreover, I keep the contemporaneous toponymy of cantons, which names generally included fractions of communes (e.g., the canton of Montluçon-Est was composed of eight entire communes and a fraction of the commune of Montluçon). They also include information on cantons’ chefs-lieux (bureaux centralisateurs): their INSEE codes, names, whether they are current or former communes (e.g., Montluçon was the bureau centralisateur of both cantons of Montluçon-Est and Montluçon-Ouest), and their geographic coordinates. Cantons two-digit codification uniquely identifies cantons within départements and follows the COG 2011. For cantons that no longer existed by then, I assign a higher number in ascending alphabetical order within each département and keep this codification constant so that cantons can be uniquely identified across time. Moreover, I keep the contemporaneous toponomy of cantons, which names generally were that of their bureaux centralisateurs—Supplementary Table 14 documents the 206 toponymic modifications to cantons that occurred during the Third Republic. Note that although the TRF-GIS treats municipal arrondissements of Paris and the Territoire-de-Belfort as arrondissements, these territories did not have this status officially until 1922. Annual arrondissement-level shapefiles contain the same variables as arrondissement-level nomenclatures.

The TRF-GIS follows FAIR data management practices. Data files are available in the TRF-GIS Dataverse, hosted by the Harvard Dataverse, under a CC-BY 4.0 licence at https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/TRF-GIS, in which each constituency constitutes a separate dataset—15 datasets in total. Each dataset and datafile is attributed a unique and persistent Digital Object Identifier (DOI) for better findability and citability. Data files are curated through DataCite Metadata Schema 4.3 and use the Library of Congress Subject Heading (LCSH) controlled vocabulary for topic classification.

General administrative constituencies

**Départements**  Annual département-level nomenclatures are composed of 10 variables (Table 6). They include départements’ codes, names, and whether they were composed of enclosed territories and islands (e.g., the Enclave des Papes of the département of Vaucluse, enclaved in the territory of the département of Drôme). They also include information on départements’ chefs-lieux (prefectures): their INSEE codes, names, whether they are current or former communes (e.g., the prefecture of the département of Ardennes was located in the former commune of Mézières), and their geographic coordinates. For départements codification, I give number 99 to the département of Meurthe in 1870—codifications and toponymies are otherwise standard. Note that although the TRF-GIS treats the Territoire-de-Belfort as a département, it did not achieve this status officially until 1922. Annual département-level shapefiles contain the same variables as département-level nomenclatures.

**Arrondissements**  Annual arrondissement-level nomenclatures are composed of 15 variables (Table 6). They include arrondissements’ département code and name as well as arrondissements’ codes and names. They also include information on arrondissements’ chefs-lieux (subprefectures): their INSEE codes, names, whether they are current or former communes, whether they were common to other arrondissements (e.g., Strasbourg was the subprefecture of the arrondissements of Strasbourg-Campagne and of Strasbourg-Ville), whether they were located outside of their arrondissement (e.g., Strasbourg was located outside of the arrondissement of Strasbourg-Campagne), and their geographic coordinates. Arrondissements one-digit codification uniquely identifies arrondissements within départements and follows the COG 2011. For arrondissements that no longer existed by then, I assign a higher number in ascending alphabetical order within each département and keep this codification constant so that arrondissements can be uniquely identified across time. Moreover, I keep the contemporaneous toponymy of arrondissements, which names generally were that of their subprefectures—Supplementary Table 13 documents the ten toponymic modifications to arrondissements that occurred during the Third Republic. Note that although the TRF-GIS treats municipal arrondissements of Paris and the Territoire-de-Belfort as arrondissements, these territories did not have this status officially. Annual arrondissement-level shapefiles contain the same variables as arrondissement-level nomenclatures.

**Cantons**  Annual canton-level nomenclatures are composed of 18 variables (Table 6). They include cantons’ département and arrondissement codes and names as well as cantons’ codes, names, and communal composition. The latter variable, which follows INSEE’s COGs codification convention, indicates whether a canton was composed of entire communes and/or of fractions of communes (e.g., the canton of Montluçon-Est was composed of eight entire communes and a fraction of the commune of Montluçon). They also include information on cantons’ chefs-lieux (bureaux centralisateurs): their INSEE codes, names, whether they are current or former communes, whether they were common to other cantons (e.g., Montluçon was the bureau centralisateur of both cantons of Montluçon-Est and Montluçon-Ouest), and their geographic coordinates. Cantons two-digit codification uniquely identifies cantons within départements and follows the COG 2011. For cantons that no longer existed by then, I use the codification in the COG 1954. For those that no longer existed by 1954, I assign a higher number in ascending alphabetical order within each département and keep this codification constant so that cantons can be uniquely identified across time. Moreover, I keep the contemporaneous toponomy of cantons, which names generally included that of their bureau centralisateur—Supplementary Table 14 documents the 206 toponymic modifications to cantons that occurred during the Third Republic. Note that although the TRF-GIS treats municipal arrondissements of Paris as cantons, these territories did not have this status officially. Moreover, the communes of partially annexed cantons that had remained in France after the Franco-Prussian War were not re-assigned to functioning cantons until 1873. As a result, their chefs-lieux was (virtually) outside of France in 1871 and 1872. This was the case for 36 communes of the annexed cantons of Gorze (Moselle), of Vic-sur-Seille and Lorquin (Meurthe), and of Saales and Schirmeck (Vosges).

Because the commune-level GEOFLA 2011 shapefile forms the base frame for annual canton-level shapefiles, and cantons were sometimes defined across commune boundaries, these shapefiles do not entirely match canton-level nomenclatures. Instead, they use the concept of pseudo-cantons. Following INSEE’s and IGN’s codification conventions, pseudo-cantons are..., in which each constituency constitutes a separate dataset—15 datasets in total. Each dataset and datafile is attributed a unique and persistent Digital Object Identifier (DOI) for better findability and citability. Data files are curated through DataCite Metadata Schema 4.3 and use the Library of Congress Subject Heading (LCSH) controlled vocabulary for topic classification.
assigned codes greater than 80 in ascending alphabetical order within départements. Moreover, their toponymy corresponds to the common city infra-communal cantons are aggregated into. For instance, in the case of the Montluçon area displayed in Figure 6, canton-level shapefiles contain the pseudo-canton of Montluçon, which extent corresponds to the commune of Montluçon and which is given pseudo-canton code 80. During the Third Republic, 10–12 percent of cantons were composed of fractions of communes, which translated into 110–120 pseudo-cantons (Figure 7, Panel c). Supplementary Table 15 documents the mapping between cantons and pseudo-cantons—this mapping is also available as a dataset alongside annual canton-level nomenclatures. Annual canton-level shapefiles contain the same variables as canon-level nomenclatures, except that their base units are pseudo-cantons rather than cantons. To reflect this difference, variables prefix in these shapefiles is pct rather than cl.

Special administrative constituencies

Military constituencies (1870–1873) Annual military corps-level nomenclatures are composed of 10 variables (Table 7). They include military corps’ codes, names, and composition (entire départements). They also include information on military corps’ chefs-lieux: their INSEE codes, names, whether they are current or former communes, and their geographic coordinates. Codifications and toponymies are contemporaneous, with toponymies corresponding to military corps chefs-lieux. Annual military corps-level contain the same variables as military corps-level nomenclatures.

Annual military division-level nomenclatures are composed of 14 variables (Table 7). They include military divisions’ corps code and name as well as military divisions’ codes, names, and composition (entire départements). They also include information on military divisions’ chefs-lieux: their INSEE codes, names, whether they are current or former communes, and their geographic coordinates. Codifications and toponymies are contemporaneous, with toponymies corresponding to military divisions chefs-lieux. Annual military divisions-level shapefiles contain the same variables as military divisions-level nomenclatures.

Military constituencies (1874–1940) Annual military region-level nomenclatures are composed of 10 variables (Table 7). They include military regions’ codes, names, and composition. For instance, throughout the Third Republic, the 2nd military region (Amiens) was composed of fractions of arrondissements and of entire cantons. They also include information on military regions’ chefs-lieux: their INSEE codes, names, whether they are current or former communes, and their geographic coordinates. Codifications and toponymies are contemporaneous, with toponymies corresponding to military regions chefs-lieux. Because the commune-level GEOFLA 2011 shapefile forms the base frame for military region-level shapefiles, and military regions were sometimes defined across cantons and commune boundaries, these shapefiles do not entirely correspond to military region-level nomenclatures. Instead, these shapefiles use the concept of pseudo-military regions. These are assigned military region codes greater than 90 in ascending alphabetical order, and their names correspond to their territorial composition. For instance, the city of Lyon was divided between the military regions of Grenoble and Besançon from 1874 to 1921. This pseudo-military region is assigned pseudo-region code 90 and name “Grenoble - Besançon.” Pseudo-military regions are not assigned a chef-lieu, so all variables with prefix cl are empty for actual pseudo-military regions. Supplementary Table 16 documents the mapping between pseudo-cantons and pseudo-military regions—this mapping is also available as a dataset alongside annual military region-level nomenclatures. Annual military region-level shapefiles contain the same variables as military region-level nomenclatures, except that their base units are pseudo-military regions rather than military regions. To reflect this difference, variables prefix in these shapefiles is pmreg rather than mreg. In addition, the variable pmreg_type indicates whether military regions were effectively pseudo-military regions.

Annual military subdivision-level nomenclatures are composed of 15 variables (Table 7). They include military subdivisions’ region code and name as well as military subdivisions’ codes, names, and composition. They also include information on military subdivisions’ chefs-lieux: their INSEE codes, names, whether they are current or former communes, whether they were common to other subdivisions (e.g., Rouen was the chef-lieu of both military subdivisions of Rouen-Nord and Rouen-Sud), and their geographic coordinates. Codifications and toponymies are contemporaneous, with toponymies corresponding to military subdivisions chefs-lieux. Because the commune-level GEOFLA 2011 shapefile forms the base frame for military subdivision-level shapefiles, and military subdivisions were sometimes defined across cantons and commune boundaries, these shapefiles do not entirely correspond to military subdivision-level nomenclatures. Instead, these shapefiles use the concept of pseudo-military subdivisions. These are assigned military subdivision codes greater than 10 in ascending alphabetical order, and their names correspond to their territorial composition. For instance, the city of Rouen was divided between the military subdivisions of Rouen-Nord and Rouen-Sud from 1874 to 1929. This pseudo-military region is assigned code 11 and name “Rouen (Nord - Sud).” Pseudo-military subdivisions are not assigned a chef-lieu, so all variables with prefix cl are empty for actual pseudo-military subdivisions. Supplementary Table 17 documents the mapping between pseudo-cantons and pseudo-military subdivisions—this mapping is also available as a dataset alongside annual military subdivision-level nomenclatures. Annual military subdivision-level shapefiles contain the same variables as military subdivision-level nomenclatures, except that their base units are pseudo-military subdivisions rather than military subdivisions. To reflect this difference, variables prefix in
these shapefiles is pmsub rather than msub. In addition, the variable pmsub_type indicates whether military subdivisions were effectively pseudo-military subdivisions.

Judicial and penitentiary constituencies Annual court of appeal-level nomenclatures are composed of 9 variables (Table 8). They include courts of appeal’s codes and names, as well as information on their chefs-lieux: their INSEE codes, names, and geographic coordinates. Toponyms are contemporaneous and correspond to courts of appeal chefs-lieux. Courts of appeal two-digit codification uniquely identifies these constituencies over time and is assigned in ascending alphabetical order. Annual court of appeal-level shapefiles contain the same variables as court of appeal-level nomenclatures.

Annual court of first instance-level nomenclatures are composed of 14 variables (Table 8). They include their court of appeal’s code and name, as well as courts of first instances’ codes and names. They also include information on courts of first instances’ chefs-lieux: their INSEE codes, names, whether they were also a subprefecture, and their geographic coordinates. Toponyms are contemporaneous and correspond to courts of first instance chefs-lieux. Courts of first instance two-digit codification uniquely identifies these constituencies over time within their court of appeal and is assigned in ascending alphabetical order. Annual court of first instance-level shapefiles contain the same variables as court of first instance-level nomenclatures.

Annual penitentiary constituency-level nomenclatures are composed of 9 variables (Table 8). They include penitentiary constituencies’ codes and names, as well as information on their chefs-lieux: their INSEE codes, names, and geographic coordinates. Toponyms are contemporaneous and correspond to penitentiary constituencies’ chefs-lieux. Penitentiary constituencies two-digit codification uniquely identifies these constituencies over time and is based on the contemporaneous codification defined in the official act of 1871 reorganizing penitentiary constituencies—for 1870, these correspond to département codes; penitentiary constituencies created after 1871 are assigned codes that are ascending in the temporal order of their creation. Annual penitentiary constituency-level shapefiles contain the same variables as penitentiary constituency-level nomenclatures.

Electoral constituencies Annual circonscription-level nomenclatures are composed of 6 variables (Table 9). They include circonscriptions’ département code as well as circonscriptions’ codes, names, and composition. The later variable indicates whether a circonscription was composed of entire départements, arrondissements, cantons, or communes. Some codes specifically refer to the circonscriptions of Paris. Contrary to other constituencies, electoral constituencies are uniquely identified by their name, which corresponds to their arrondissement name, with the addition of a number for the larger urban centers, or, between 1928 and 1940, to the most important city of the constituency—sometimes two adjoined names, such as “Nantua - Gex.” For convenience, I provide a codification in ascending alphabetical order within each département, but it is not necessarily consistent over time due to the changing nature of circonscriptions’ boundaries. Likewise, urban circonscriptions which contemporaneous names were composed of the arrondissement name and a number, such as “Saint-Denis-3” in Seine-et-Oise, might not be consistent over time—this is generally the case in the more urban areas in which redistricting was frequent. Moreover, no chefs-lieux are indicated as electoral circonscriptions were not administrative units, but only territorial constituencies—electoral operations were instead coordinated at cantons’ bureaux centralisateurs. Each annual circonscription-level nomenclature further indicates three elements among its metadata: the election system (block-list or single-member), whether it was a general election year, and the relevant redistricting year. Annual circonscription-level shapefiles contain the same variables as circonscription-level nomenclatures, except that their base units are pseudo-circonscriptions rather than circonscriptions. To reflect this difference, variables prefix in these shapefiles is pcirco rather than circo. In addition, the variable pcirco_type indicates whether circonscriptions were effectively pseudo-circonscriptions. Note that because shapefiles are stored in associated .dbf files, attribute names cannot be more than 10 characters long. Consequently, two field names are abbreviated: pcirco_name into pcirco_nam and pcirco_type into pcirco_typ.

Academic constituencies Annual academy-level nomenclatures are composed of 8 variables (Table 9). They include academies’ codes and names, as well as information on their chefs-lieux: their INSEE codes, names, and geographic coordinates. Toponyms are contemporaneous and correspond to academies chefs-lieux. Academies two-digit codification uniquely identifies these constituencies over time and is assigned in ascending alphabetical order—except for the academy of Chambéry, created only in 1860 and suppressed in 1919, which holds academy code 17. Annual academy-level shapefiles contain the same variables as academy-level nomenclatures.

Labor inspection constituencies (1892–1940) Annual labor inspection-level nomenclatures are composed of 6 variables (Table 9). They include labor inspections codes, as well as information on their chefs-lieux: their INSEE codes, names, and geographic coordinates. Labor inspection constituencies did not have a specific toponymy beyond their 2-digit codification, which was fixed over time. Annual labor inspection-level shapefiles contain the same variables as labor inspection-level nomenclatures.
Ecclesiastical constituencies  Annual diocese-level nomenclatures are composed of 14 variables (Table 9). They include the archbishopric’s code and name each diocese was attached to, its type (metropolitan see or exempt), as well as dioceses codes, names, and types (archbishoprics or bishoprics). They further include information on their chefs-lieux: their INSEE codes, names, and geographic coordinates. The diocesan toponymy is contemporaneous and corresponds to customary dioceses names, which consisted in the locations of their chefs-lieux (episcopal sees)—except for the diocese of Aire, which episcopal see was transferred to Dax in 1933, and for the diocese of Tarentaise, which name referred the Tarentaise Valley. Different from their customary names, dioceses’ formal names were composed of all prerevolutionary dioceses their bishops were entitled. For instance, by 1854, the bishop of Bayeux was entitled the former diocese of Lisieux. As a result, the formal name of the diocese of Bayeux became “Bayeux-Lisieux.” These names were sometimes cumbersome: for instance, by 1877, the formal name of the diocese of Avignon was “Avignon-Apt-Cavaillon-Carpentras-Orange-Vaison.” Annual diocese-level nomenclatures therefore use dioceses customary names. The formal diocesan toponymy is documented in Supplementary Table 19. Dioceses two-digit codification uniquely identifies these constituencies over time and are assigned in ascending alphabetical order—except for the archbishopric of the dioceses of Metz and Strasbourg after 1919, exempt and subject to the Holy See, which is assigned code 99. Annual diocese-level shapefiles contain the same variables as diocese-level nomenclatures.

Annual commune-level nomenclatures Annual commune-level nomenclatures are composed of 69 variables (Table 10). Herein, each commune can be identified through its unique Cassini code from the HAC database as well as through its INSEE code in 2005 geography, which might not be unique if the commune was absorbed by another before 2005—a variable indicates whether the commune existed by then. Communes names are contemporaneous. These nomenclatures include the names and codes of all the above general and special administrative constituencies each commune belonged to in a given year—pseudo-constituencies in the cases of cantons, military regions and subdivisions, and electoral circonscriptions. They also include indicator variables for whether a commune was the chef-lieu of a constituency or the siege of a special jurisdiction (a commercial or labor court). Table 10 describes the 21 additional variables included in annual commune-level nomenclatures that are not present in other constituency-level nomenclatures—the 48 other variables described in Tables 6–9 are not present in Table 10.

To enable users to combine statistics produced by administrations at different and potentially ex-ante incompatible levels of aggregation, commune-level nomenclatures further provide municipal population counts from the HAC database, which reports this information based on population censuses. During the Third Republic, censuses were carried out every five years—except in 1871, when it was postponed to 1872 due to the Franco-Prussian War, and in 1916, when it was cancelled due to the First World War. Three variables relate to population counts: pop, the raw municipal population count, ipop, the interpolated municipal population count, and pop_flag, a municipal population count flag. The pop variable is missing for all communes outside of census years (extended missing value .a) and for a few communes during census years: when a commune was created during the same year as a census but after it was carried out (.b), when the census archive was lost (.c) or unreadable (.d), and when the commune was not surveyed (.e). Except in 1872, when 233 communes were not surveyed (nearly exclusively in the département of Hautes-Pyrénées) and the archives for another 482 communes were lost (exclusively in the département of Vosges), missing population counts during census years remain negligible: they always account for less than 15 communes—less than 3 communes in 9 out of all 13 censuses that were carried out during the Third Republic. Based on these raw population counts, the variable ipop provides interpolated municipal population counts for all years and all communes present in annual commune-level nomenclatures. The variable pop_flag documents which imputation method is used: none for census years (1), linear interpolation for inter-census years (2), linear interpolation for census years when the census archive was lost (3) or unreadable (4), linear interpolation for census years when a commune was not surveyed (5), and imputation from the previous (6) or next (7) census for communes that were created or suppressed during an inter-census year. Imputations remain limited to about 30 communes across all years after 1872, and to about a hundred in 1870–1871.

Finally, annual commune-level nomenclatures have a specific treatment of Paris: it provides both arrondissement- and commune-level information. Parisian arrondissements hold INSEE codes 75101–75120, and the commune of Paris, 75056. Whenever Paris is the chef-lieu of a constituency, that title falls onto the first arrondissement as well as onto the commune. Whenever it is subdivided into constituencies, the commune of Paris holds the status of pseudo-constituency with corresponding codifications: it holds pseudo-canton code 7580, pseudo-military region and subdivision code 99, and pseudo-circonscription code 99.

Technical Validation

TRF-GIS nomenclatures Information supporting TRF-GIS nomenclatures documented in secondary sources (the HAC database and other secondary sources) were thoroughly verified against primary sources. Supplementary Table 20 details the content of each of the 175 primary sources used for this verification procedure: the constituency they are relevant to, their codification (date of official
issue and type), their title, their persistent identifier (PID) assigned by Gallica and the National Library of France (BNF) in archival resource key (ARK) form, and their origin. Primary sources’ types take the following forms: law (L), decree (D), order (A, for arrêté), ordinance (O), and papal bull (B). Their origins is generally the Journal Officiel, though sometimes it is the Bulletin des Lois; the Code Pénitentiaire or Code des Prisons; the Bulletin Administratif de l’Instruction Publique; and the Acta Apostolicae Sedis. All these primary sources are available in PDF format in the repository. This rigorous verification procedure combined with data integrity tests in the TRF-GIS source code enabled to identify 231 inaccuracies or errors in the HAC dataset and to rectify them. These are documented in Supplementary Table 21.

Nomenclatures were also verified against periodical statistical publications of relevant administrations, among which censuses for general administrative constituencies, the Compte Rendu sur le Recrutement de l’Armée for military constituencies, the Compte Général de l’Administration de la Justice Civile et Commerciale and the Compte Général de l’Administration de la Justice Criminelle for judicial constituencies, the Statistique Pénitentiaire for penitentiary constituencies, or the Tableau des Élections à la Chambre des Députés for electoral constituencies. Useful to interested users, an up-to-date listing of the availability of these periodical statistical publications is available at progedo.hypotheses.org/514.

TRF-GIS shapefiles
IGN’s GEOFLA 2011 shapefile constitutes the underlying frame of TRF-GIS shapefiles. As discussed above, I use this dataset for quality and reproducibility assessments: it constitutes the official representation of France’s communes in 2011 geography and is available under open licensing. Although IGN’s BD CARTO shapefiles are far more precise than the GEOFLA (1:25,000 against 1:1,000,000), these files are not all freely accessible. Their use would therefore substantially alter the possibilities of reproduction and dissemination of TRF-GIS shapefiles. Moreover, their manipulation is more cumbersome: while GEOFLA is available as an ensemble, BD CARTO files are only available separately for each département, and their overall size approaches 2.5 Go against 150 Mo for the GEOFLA. In the end, the lower precision of the GEOFLA need not be very problematic because TRF-GIS shapefiles aim at regional and national extents (see the ‘Limitations’ section).

Moreover, the general methodology used to construct TRF-GIS shapefiles offers several advantages over the manual vectorization of georeferenced historical maps. First, it yields more precise results than existing outputs, such as LARHRA’s cantons shapefiles for 1884 and 1925: historical maps with national extents oftentimes lacked precision and had unspecified projection systems, making the resulting georeferencing potentially approximate, and urban centers, Corsica, and islands challenging to vectorize—historical cantons maps of 1884 and 1925 have a precision of 1:1,250,000 and 1:1,600,000, respectively. Inaccuracies that result from these methods are displayed in Figure 17, which plots three randomly selected cantons from TRF-GIS and LARHRA cantons shapefiles 1884. Herein, we can see that five out of twenty communes (which territories did not change historically) are classified in the wrong canton by LARHRA’s shapefile—Plougar (Plouvézédé instead of Plouescat), Plougourvest (Plouvézédé instead of Landivisiau), Sibiril (Plouvézédé instead of Saint-Pol-de-Léon), Plouvorn (Taulé instead of Plouvézédé), and potentially Guiclan (Saint-Thégonnec instead of Taulé). Moreover, the commune of Ile-de-Batz (canton of Saint-Pol-de-Léon) is not represented by LARHRA’s shapefile. Second, and more importantly, historical maps are generally not available for every year for general administrative constituencies, and are even more rare for special administrative constituencies, which implies that relying uniquely on the vectorization of georeferenced historical maps would leave substantial gaps in a historical GIS of France. Finally, manual vectorization methods are quite time- and resource-consuming, making it very challenging to build annual shapefiles with realistic resources and to verify their accuracy.

Usage Notes
The TRF-GIS database can be accessed through the TRF-GIS Dataverse under a CC-BY 4.0 licence, which is hosted in the Harvard Dataverse and accessible at https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/TRF-GIS. The reproduction material is available in the “TRF-GIS Reproduction Material” dataset at doi.org/10.7910/DVN/6FCK3W. It contains source data, reproduction codes, and primary archival sources. Although each file can be accessed independently, I recommend downloading the full dataset for reproducibility purposes in order to preserve the integrity of the folders structure.

Code availability
The TRF-GIS database was produced using Stata SE version 16 as well as QGIS version 3.12 for its shapefiles. Stata .do files and python .py files needed to reproduce TRF-GIS data files are available in the “TRF-GIS Reproduction Material” dataset under the MIT open licence. Using a standard processor of 4.00GHz with 16 GB RAM, the total processing time of the master Stata .do file is 7 hours, and 8 hours for the python .py files constructing shapefiles.
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Figures & Tables

Figure 1. Schematic hierarchy of administrative entities described by the TRF-GIS. Constituencies with a vertical link are strictly nested, with the lower entity being nested into the upper one. Horizontal and diagonal links indicate the entities upon which the geography of a constituency is generally based on—some are based on two different levels, usually depending on the urban-rural status of a location. Dotted lines indicate logic that only applied in certain years.
Figure 2. Construction logic of the TRF-GIS. HAC corresponds to the *Histoire Administrative des Communes* database distributed through cassini.ehess.fr.\textsuperscript{21,22} COG (2005, 2011) corresponds to INSEE’s *Codes Officiels Géographiques* nomenclatures 2005 and 2011.\textsuperscript{18–20} GEOFLA (2011) corresponds to IGN’s *GEOFLA\textsuperscript{©} Communes Édition 2011 France Métropolitaine* (GEOFLA) shapefile.\textsuperscript{25}
Figure 3. Missing territories during the Third Republic compared to IGN’s GEOFLA 2011: Alsace-Lorraine (1871–1918; in green), La Brigue and Tende (1870–1940; in blue).

Figure 4. Number of communes in TRF-GIS commune-level nomenclatures (in thousands). Red vertical dashed lines indicate the annexation (1871) and recovery (1919) of Alsace-Lorraine.

Figure 5. Share of communes of 2011 that underwent territorial modifications since a given year (out of 36,568). Red vertical dashed lines indicate the proclamation (1870) and dissolution (1940) of the Third Republic.
Figure 6. Cantons and pseudo-cantons of the Montluçon area (1870–1940). Red edges indicate cantons (a) and pseudo-cantons (b) boundaries. Black edges indicate commune boundaries. The shaded area corresponds to the commune of Montluçon.

Figure 7. Number of départements, arrondissements, and cantons (1870–1940). Red vertical dashed lines indicate the annexation (1871) and recovery (1919) of Alsace-Lorraine, as well as the arrondissements reform (1926). In Panel c, the blue line denotes cantons, and the gray line, pseudo-cantons.
Figure 8. General administrative constituencies in 1870, 1871, 1919, and 1926. Black lines delineate départements, red lines, arrondissements, and gray lines, cantons.
Figure 9. Number of military constituencies (1870–1940). Between 1870 and 1873, military corps and military divisions; between 1874 and 1940, military regions and subdivisions of military regions. Red vertical dashed lines indicate the military reform of 1874, and the annexation (1871) and recovery (1919) of Alsace-Lorraine.
Figure 10. Military constituencies in 1870, 1874, 1919, and 1940. Thick lines delineate military corps (1870) and military regions (1874, 1919, 1940) and thin lines, military divisions (1870) and subdivisions of military regions (1874, 1919, 1940).
Figure 11. Number of judicial (1870–1940) and penitentiary constituencies (1871–1940). Red vertical dashed lines indicate the annexation (1871) and recovery (1919) of Alsace-Lorraine.
Figure 12. Judicial constituencies in 1870, 1871, 1926, and 1940. Thick lines delineate courts of appeal and thin lines, courts of first instance.
Figure 13. Penitentiary constituencies in 1871, 1888, 1909, and 1940.
Figure 14. Number of electoral, academic, and ecclesiastical constituencies. In Panel a, red vertical dashed lines indicate shifts from a block-list electoral system to a single-member electoral system, and green vertical dashed lines indicate the reverse. In Panels b, c, and d, red vertical dashed lines indicate the annexation (1871) and recovery (1919) of Alsace-Lorraine.
Figure 15. Electoral constituencies (circonscriptions) in 1876, 1889, 1919, and 1928. Thicker lines delineate départements.
Figure 16. Academic, labor inspection, and ecclesiastical constituencies in 1919. In panel c, thick lines delineate the extent of metropolitan bishoprics and thin lines, dioceses.
Figure 17. Comparison of TRF-GIS and LARHRA cantons shapefiles 1884. TRF-GIS cantons have thick black boundaries while LARHRA’s cantons have thick red boundaries. Thin boundaries correspond to communes in the GEOFLA 2011. The three cantons are Plouzévédé, Saint-Pol-De-Léon, and Taulé in the département of Finistère (29).
| Acronym | Definition | Description |
|---------|------------|-------------|
| TRF-GIS | Third-Republic France Geographic Information System | Database |
| INSEE   | Institut National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques | National statistics bureau of France |
| COG     | Code Officiel Géographique | Officiel geographic code |
| HAC     | Histoire Administrative des Communes | Database of historical nomenclatures for general constituencies |
| IGN     | Institut Géographique National | National bureau of geographical information of France |
| GEOFLA  | (no meaning) | IGN shapefile of France |
| BD CARTO| Base de Données Cartographique | IGN shapefile of France |
| RGF93   | Réseau Géodésique Français 1993 | Projection system used by IGN |
| LARHRA  | Laboratoire de recherche historique Rhône-Alpes | Laboratory in Lyon 2 university |
| COMMUNE HIS-DBD | Collaborative Micro Mapping of UNexploited HISTorical District-Boundary Data | Research project |
| ALPAGE  | AnaLyse diachronique de l'espace urbain PARisien : Approche GEomatique | Research project |
| PID     | Persistent identifier | Long-lasting reference to a document |
| ARK     | Archival Resource Key | PID for archival resources |
| CC-BY   | Creative Commons (CC) license with attribution (BY) | Licensing category |

*Table 1. Description of acronyms used in the article.*
| Constituency       | Content            | Name                                | Format     | Size    | Dataset DOI          |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|---------|----------------------|
| Départements      | Nomenclatures      | COG_DEPARTEMENTS_YYYY               | .dta       | ~20 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/ULQYM5   |
|                   | Nomenclatures      | COG_DEPARTEMENTS_YYYY               | .txt       | 6 kB    | 10.7910/DVN/ULQYM5   |
|                   | Codebook and metadata | COG_DEPARTEMENTS_YYYY_CODEBOOK     | .txt       | 2 kB    | 10.7910/DVN/ULQYM5   |
|                   | Shapefiles (polygon) | DEPARTEMENTS_YYYY                | GIS        | ~700 kB | 10.7910/DVN/ULQYM5   |
|                   | Shapefiles (vector) | LIMITES_DEPARTEMENTS_YYYY          | GIS        | ~700 kB | 10.7910/DVN/ULQYM5   |
|                   | Prefectures coordinates | CHEFLIEU_DEPARTEMENTS_YYYY      | .csv       | 4 kB    | 10.7910/DVN/ULQYM5   |
|                   | Shapefiles metadata | METADATA_DEPARTEMENTS             | .qmd       | 4 kB    | 10.7910/DVN/ULQYM5   |
|                   |                    |                                     |            |         |                      |
| Arrondissements   | Nomenclatures      | COG_ARRONDISSEMENTS_YYYY           | .dta       | ~70 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/08ERPB   |
|                   | Nomenclatures      | COG_ARRONDISSEMENTS_YYYY           | .txt       | ~30 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/08ERPB   |
|                   | Codebook and metadata | COG_ARRONDISSEMENTS_YYYY_CODEBOOK | .txt       | 2 kB    | 10.7910/DVN/08ERPB   |
|                   | Shapefiles (polygon) | ARRONDISSEMENTS_YYYY              | GIS        | ~1.70 MB| 10.7910/DVN/08ERPB   |
|                   | Shapefiles (vector) | LIMITES_ARRONDISSEMENTS_YYYY       | GIS        | ~1.70 MB| 10.7910/DVN/08ERPB   |
|                   | Subprefectures coordinates | CHEFLIEU_ARRONDISSEMENTS_YYYY    | .csv       | 21 kB   | 10.7910/DVN/08ERPB   |
|                   | Shapefiles metadata | METADATA_ARRONDISSEMENTS           | .qmd       | 4 kB    | 10.7910/DVN/08ERPB   |
|                   |                    |                                     |            |         |                      |
| Cantons           | Nomenclatures      | COG_CANTONS_YYYY                  | .dta       | ~870 kB | 10.7910/DVN/U85RSZ   |
|                   | Nomenclatures      | COG_CANTONS_YYYY                  | .txt       | ~360 kB | 10.7910/DVN/U85RSZ   |
|                   | Codebook and metadata | COG_CANTONS_YYYY_CODEBOOK        | .txt       | 2 kB    | 10.7910/DVN/U85RSZ   |
|                   | Mapping cantons to pseudo-cantons | COG_CANTONS_YYYY_MAPPING    | .dta       | ~80 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/U85RSZ   |
|                   | Shapefiles (polygon) | CANTONS_YYYY                    | GIS        | ~9.00 MB| 10.7910/DVN/U85RSZ   |
|                   | Shapefiles (vector) | LIMITES_CANTONS_YYYY              | GIS        | ~9.00 MB| 10.7910/DVN/U85RSZ   |
|                   | Bureaux centralisateurs coordinates | CHEFLIEU_CANTONS_YYYY      | .csv       | 169 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/U85RSZ   |
|                   | Shapefiles metadata | METADATA_CANTONS                 | .qmd       | 4 kB    | 10.7910/DVN/U85RSZ   |

**Table 2.** Summary of TRF-GIS data files for general administrative constituencies. YYYY denotes a year between 1870 and 1940. GIS files are a set of five files in .shp, .shx, .dbf, .prj, and .cpg format. Size refers to the size of individual data files once unzipped.
| Constituency (1870–1873) | Content | Name | Format | Size | Dataset DOI |
|-------------------------|---------|------|--------|------|-------------|
| Military corps (1870–1873) | Nomenclatures | COG_MIL_CORPS_YYYY | .dta | 13 kB | 10.7910/DVN/2KMLSE |
| Military divisions (1870–1873) | Nomenclatures | COG_MIL_DIVISIONS_YYYY | .dta | 17 kB | 10.7910/DVN/2H2JVT |
| Military regions (1874–1940) | Nomenclatures | COG_MIL_REGIONS_YYYY | .dta | ~20 kB | 10.7910/DVN/SQPEUW |
| Military subdivisions (1874–1940) | Nomenclatures | COG_MIL_SUBDIVISIONS_YYYY | .dta | ~30 kB | 10.7910/DVN/TAMQAG |

Table 3. Summary of TRF-GIS data files for military constituencies. YYYY denotes a year between 1870 and 1940. Mapping corresponds to mappings from military regions/subdivisions to pseudo-military regions/subdivisions. GIS files are a set of five files in .shp, .shx, .dbf, .prj, and .cpg format. Size refers to the size of individual data files once unzipped.
| Constituency                  | Content            | Name                                      | Format | Size   | Dataset DOI         |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Courts of appeal             | Nomenclatures      | COG_COURTS_APPEAL_YYYY                    | .dta   | 13 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/QV6ZBS  |
|                              | Nomenclatures      | COG_COURTS_APPEAL_YYYY                    | .txt   | 2 kB   | 10.7910/DVN/QV6ZBS  |
|                              | Codebook and metadata | COG_COURTS_APPEAL_YYYY_CODEBOOK         | .txt   | 2 kB   | 10.7910/DVN/QV6ZBS  |
|                              | Shapefiles (polygon)| COURTS_APPEAL_YYYY                       | GIS    | ~400 kB | 10.7910/DVN/QV6ZBS  |
|                              | Shapefiles (vector) | LIMITES_COURTS_APPEAL_YYYY                | GIS    | ~400 kB | 10.7910/DVN/QV6ZBS  |
|                              | Chefs-lieux coordinates | CHEFLIEU_COURTS_APPEAL_YYYY            | .csv   | 2 kB   | 10.7910/DVN/QV6ZBS  |
|                              | Shapefiles metadata | METADATA_COURTS_APPEAL                   | .qmd   | 4 kB   | 10.7910/DVN/QV6ZBS  |
| Courts of first instance     | Nomenclatures      | COG_COURTS_INSTANCE_YYYY                  | .dta   | ~50 kB | 10.7910/DVN/VE2OJS  |
|                              | Nomenclatures      | COG_COURTS_INSTANCE_YYYY                  | .txt   | ~20 kB | 10.7910/DVN/VE2OJS  |
|                              | Codebook and metadata | COG_COURTS_INSTANCE_YYYY_CODEBOOK       | .txt   | 2 kB   | 10.7910/DVN/VE2OJS  |
|                              | Shapefiles (polygon)| COURTS_INSTANCE_YYYY                     | GIS    | ~1.70 MB | 10.7910/DVN/VE2OJS |
|                              | Shapefiles (vector) | LIMITES_COURTS_INSTANCE_YYYY              | GIS    | ~1.70 MB | 10.7910/DVN/VE2OJS |
|                              | Chefs-lieux coordinates | CHEFLIEU_COURTS_INSTANCE_YYYY         | .csv   | 20 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/VE2OJS  |
|                              | Shapefiles metadata | METADATA_COURTS_INSTANCE                 | .qmd   | 4 kB   | 10.7910/DVN/VE2OJS  |
| Penitentiary constituencies  | Nomenclatures      | COG_PENITENTIARY_YYYY                    | .dta   | ~15 kB | 10.7910/DVN/XQUXBO  |
|                              | Nomenclatures      | COG_PENITENTIARY_YYYY                    | .txt   | ~3 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/XQUXBO  |
|                              | Codebook and metadata | COG_PENITENTIARY_YYYY_CODEBOOK       | .txt   | 2 kB   | 10.7910/DVN/XQUXBO  |
|                              | Shapefiles (polygon)| PENITENTIARY_YYYY                       | GIS    | ~450 kB | 10.7910/DVN/XQUXBO  |
|                              | Shapefiles (vector) | LIMITES_PENITENTIARY_YYYY                | GIS    | ~450 kB | 10.7910/DVN/XQUXBO  |
|                              | Chefs-lieux coordinates | CHEFLIEU_PENITENTIARY_YYYY          | .csv   | 2 kB   | 10.7910/DVN/XQUXBO  |
|                              | Shapefiles metadata | METADATA_PENITENTIARY                   | .qmd   | 4 kB   | 10.7910/DVN/XQUXBO  |

Table 4. Summary of TRF-GIS data files for judicial and penitentiary constituencies. YYYY denotes a year between 1870 and 1940. GIS files are a set of five files in .shp, .shx, .dbf, .prj, and .cpg format. Size refers to the size of individual data files once unzipped.
| Constituency            | Content          | Name                               | Format | Size  | Dataset DOI           |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|-----------------------|
| Circonscriptions       | Nomenclatures    | COG_CIRCONSCRIPTIONS_YYYY          | .dta   | ~40 kB| 10.7910/DVN/L2LGDW    |
|                        | Nomenclatures    | COG_CIRCONSCRIPTIONS_YYYY          | .txt   | ~10 kB| 10.7910/DVN/L2LGDW    |
|                        | Codebook and metadata | COG_CIRCONSCRIPTIONS_YYYY_CODEBOOK | .txt   | 2 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/L2LGDW    |
|                        | Mapping          | COG_CIRCONSCRIPTIONS_YYYY_MAPPING  | .dta   |       | 10.7910/DVN/L2LGDW    |
|                        | Shapefiles (polygon) | CIRCONSCRIPTIONS_YYYY   | GIS    | ~1 MB | 10.7910/DVN/L2LGDW    |
|                        | Shapefiles (vector) | LIMITES_CIRCONSCRIPTIONS_YYYY   | GIS    | ~1 MB | 10.7910/DVN/L2LGDW    |
|                        | Shapefiles metadata | METADATA_CIRCONSCRIPTIONS   | .qmd   | 4 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/L2LGDW    |
| Academies              | Nomenclatures    | COG_ACADEMIES_YYYY               | .dta   | 12 kB | 10.7910/DVN/PMVTFU    |
|                        | Nomenclatures    | COG_ACADEMIES_YYYY               | .txt   | 2 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/PMVTFU    |
|                        | Codebook and metadata | COG_ACADEMIES_YYYY_CODEBOOK | .txt   | 1 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/PMVTFU    |
|                        | Shapefiles (polygon) | ACADEMIES_YYYY               | GIS    | ~300 kB | 10.7910/DVN/PMVTFU   |
|                        | Shapefiles (vector) | LIMITES_ACADEMIES_YYYY         | GIS    | ~300 kB | 10.7910/DVN/PMVTFU   |
|                        | Chefs-lieux coordinates | CHEFLIEU_ACADEMIES_YYYY   | .csv   | 1 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/PMVTFU    |
|                        | Shapefiles metadata | METADATA_ACADEMIES            | .qmd   | 4 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/PMVTFU    |
| Labor inspections (1892–1940) | Nomenclatures | COG_INSPECTIONS_YYYY            | .dta   | 10 kB | 10.7910/DVN/OSOFAO    |
|                        | Nomenclatures    | COG_INSPECTIONS_YYYY            | .txt   | 2 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/OSOFAO    |
|                        | Codebook and metadata | COG_INSPECTIONS_YYYY_CODEBOOK | .txt   | 1 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/OSOFAO    |
|                        | Shapefiles (polygon) | INSPECTIONS_YYYY            | GIS    | ~250 kB | 10.7910/DVN/OSOFAO  |
|                        | Shapefiles (vector) | LIMITES_INSPECTIONS_YYYY        | GIS    | ~250 kB | 10.7910/DVN/OSOFAO  |
|                        | Chefs-lieux coordinates | CHEFLIEU_INSPECTIONS_YYYY    | .csv   | 1 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/OSOFAO    |
|                        | Shapefiles metadata | METADATA_INSPECTIONS          | .qmd   | 4 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/OSOFAO    |
| Dioceses               | Nomenclatures    | COG_DIOCESES_YYYY              | .dta   | 23 kB | 10.7910/DVN/A66YJK    |
|                        | Nomenclatures    | COG_DIOCESES_YYYY              | .txt   | 8 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/A66YJK    |
|                        | Codebook and metadata | COG_DIOCESES_YYYY_CODEBOOK | .txt   | 2 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/A66YJK    |
|                        | Shapefiles (polygon) | DIOCESES_YYYY               | GIS    | ~700 kB | 10.7910/DVN/A66YJK  |
|                        | Shapefiles (vector) | LIMITES_DIOCESES_YYYY          | GIS    | ~700 kB | 10.7910/DVN/A66YJK  |
|                        | Chefs-lieux coordinates | CHEFLIEU_DIOCESES_YYYY       | .csv   | 3 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/A66YJK    |
|                        | Shapefiles metadata | METADATA_DIOCESES            | .qmd   | 4 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/A66YJK    |
| Communes               | Nomenclatures    | COG_COMMUNES_YYYY              | .dta   | ~24.0 MB | 10.7910/DVN/LZTZWE  |
|                        | Nomenclatures    | COG_COMMUNES_YYYY              | .txt   | ~12.0 MB | 10.7910/DVN/LZTZWE  |
|                        | Codebook and metadata | COG_COMMUNES_YYYY_CODEBOOK | .txt   | 7 kB  | 10.7910/DVN/LZTZWE    |

*Table 5.* Summary of TRF-GIS data files for electoral, academic, labor inspection, and ecclesiastical constituencies, and commune-level nomenclatures. YYYY denotes a year between 1870 and 1940. Mapping corresponds to mappings from circonscriptions to pseudo-circonscriptions. GIS files are a set of five files in .shp, .shx, .dbf, .prj, and .cpg format. Size refers to the size of individual data files once unzipped.
| Nomenclature   | Variable   | Label                                      | Values                                                                 |
|---------------|------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Common to all | dep        | Département id                             | 2 digits                                                               |
|               | dep_name   | Département name (capitalized)             | String                                                                 |
|               | dep_name_prop | Département name (proper)                  | String                                                                 |
| Départements  | dep_encl   | Département with enclaves                  | Continuous (0), Enclaves (1), Islands (2)                              |
| Arrondissements | depar     | Département-arrondissement id              | 3 digits                                                               |
|               | ar         | Arrondissement id                          | 1 digit                                                                |
|               | ar_name    | Arrondissement name (capitalized)          | String                                                                 |
|               | ar_name_prop | Arrondissement name (proper)               | String                                                                 |
|               | cl_multi   | Arrondissement chef-lieu common            | Unique (0), Common (1)                                                |
|               | cl_out     | Arrondissement chef-lieu outside           | Inside (0), Outside (1)                                               |
| Cantons       | depar      | Département-arrondissement id              | 3 digits                                                               |
|               | ar_name    | Arrondissement name (capitalized)          | String                                                                 |
|               | ar_name_prop | Arrondissement name (proper)               | String                                                                 |
|               | depct      | Département-canton id                      | 4 digits                                                               |
|               | ct         | Canton id                                  | 2 digits                                                               |
|               | ct_name    | Canton name (capitalized)                  | String                                                                 |
|               | ct_name_prop | Canton name (proper)                       | String                                                                 |
|               | ct_type    | Canton communal composition                | See table notes                                                        |
|               | cl_multi   | Canton chef-lieu common                    | Unique (0), Common (1)                                                |
| Common to all | cl         | Constituency chef-lieu id                  | 5-digit INSEE code                                                     |
|               | cl_name    | Constituency chef-lieu name (capitalized)  | String                                                                 |
|               | cl_name_prop | Constituency chef-lieu name (proper)       | String                                                                 |
|               | cl_act     | Constituency chef-lieu actual commune      | Current (1), Former (2)                                               |
|               | cl_x       | Constituency chef-lieu latitude            | Lambert-93 projection                                                 |
|               | cl_y       | Constituency chef-lieu longitude           | Lambert-93 projection                                                 |

Table 6. Variables in annual general administrative constituency-level nomenclatures. Values for `ct_type`: Only entire commune(s) (1), Fraction of one commune and entire commune(s) (2), Fractions of several communes and entire commune(s) (3), Fraction of one commune (4), Fractions of several communes (5).
### Table 7. Variables in annual military constituency-level nomenclatures. Values for `mreg_type` and `msub_type`: Only entire départements (1), Fractions of départements, entire arrondissements (2), Fractions of arrondissements, entire cantons (3), Fractions of arrondissements, entire communes (4), Fractions of communes (5).

| Nomenclature                  | Variable   | Label                             | Values                          |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Common to 1870–1873          | `mcor`     | Military corps id                 | 1 digit                         |
|                               | `mcor_name`| Military corps name (capitalized) | String                          |
|                               | `mcor_name_prop` | Military corps name (proper) | String                          |
| Military corps (1870–1873)   | `mcor_type`| Military corps type               | See table notes                 |
| Military divisions (1870–1873)| `mcordiv`  | Military corps-division id        | 3 digits                        |
|                               | `mdiv`     | Military division id              | 2 digits                        |
|                               | `mdiv_name`| Military division name (capitalized) | String                          |
|                               | `mdiv_name_prop` | Military division name (proper) | String                          |
|                               | `mdiv_type`| Military division type            | See table notes                 |
| Common to 1874–1940          | `mreg`     | Military region id                | 2 digits                        |
|                               | `mreg_name`| Military region name (capitalized) | String                          |
|                               | `mreg_name_prop` | Military region name (proper) | String                          |
| Military regions (1874–1940)  | `mreg_type`| Military region type              | See table notes                 |
| Military subdivisions (1874–1940) | `mregsub` | Military region-subdivision id     | 4 digits                        |
|                               | `msub`     | Military subdivision id           | 2 digits                        |
|                               | `msub_name`| Military subdivision name (capitalized) | String                          |
|                               | `msub_name_prop` | Military subdivision name (proper) | String                          |
|                               | `msub_type`| Military subdivision type         | See table notes                 |
|                               | `cl_multi` | Military subdivision chef-lieu common to other | Unique (0), Common (1) |
| Common to all                 | `cl`       | Constituency chef-lieu id         | 5-digit INSEE code              |
|                               | `cl_name`  | Constituency chef-lieu name (capitalized) | String                          |
|                               | `cl_name_prop` | Constituency chef-lieu name (proper) | String                          |
|                               | `cl_act`   | Constituency chef-lieu actual commune | Current (1), Former (2)        |
|                               | `cl_x`     | Constituency chef-lieu latitude   | Lambert-93 projection           |
|                               | `cl_y`     | Constituency chef-lieu longitude  | Lambert-93 projection           |

### Table 8. Variables in annual judicial and penitentiary constituency-level nomenclatures.
### Table 9. Variables in annual electoral, academic, labor inspection, and ecclesiastical constituency-level nomenclatures. Values for `circo_compo`: Entire département (1), Entire arrondissement(s) (2), Entire canton(s) (3), Entire commune(s) (4), Fraction(s) of commune(s) (5), Paris arrondissement (6), Fraction of Paris arrondissement (7).

| Nomenclature               | Variable | Label                        | Values                                         |
|----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Circonscriptions           | dep      | Département id               | 2 digits                                       |
|                            | dep_circo| Département-circonscription id | 4 digits                                       |
|                            | circo    | Circonscription id           | 2 digits                                       |
|                            | circo_name| Circonscription name (capitalized) | String                                         |
|                            | circo_name_prop| Circonscription name (proper) | String                                         |
|                            | circo_compo| Circonscription composition | See table notes                                 |
| Academies                  | acad     | Academy id                   | 1 digit                                        |
|                            | acad_name| Academy name (capitalized)   | String                                         |
|                            | acad_name_prop| Academy name (proper) | String                                         |
| Labor inspections (1892–1940) | insp   | Labor inspection id          | 2 digits                                       |
| Dioceses                   | arch     | Archbishopric id             | 2 digits                                       |
|                            | arch_name| Archbishopric name (capitalized) | String                                         |
|                            | arch_name_prop| Archbishopric name (proper) | String                                         |
|                            | arch_type| Archbishopric type           | Metropolitan See (1), Exempt (2)              |
|                            | archdioc | Archbishopric-diocese id     | 4 digits                                       |
|                            | dioc     | Diocese id                   | 2 digits                                       |
|                            | dioc_name| Diocese name (capitalized)   | String                                         |
|                            | dioc_name_prop| Diocese name (proper) | String                                         |
|                            | dioc_type| Diocese type                 | Archbishopric (1), Bishopsric (2)              |
| Common to academies,       | cl       | Constituency chef-lieu id    | 5-digit INSEE code                             |
| labor inspections,         | cl_name  | Constituency chef-lieu name (capitalized) | String                                         |
| and dioceses               | cl_name_prop| Constituency chef-lieu name (proper) | String                                         |
|                            | cl_x     | Constituency chef-lieu latitude | Lambert-93 projection                     |
|                            | cl_y     | Constituency chef-lieu longitude | Lambert-93 projection                     |

| Table 10. Variables in annual commune-level nomenclatures (beyond those described in Tables 6–9). Extended missing value codes for `pop`: Not census year (.a), Commune nonexistent (.b), Archive lost (.c), Archive unreadable (.d), Commune not surveyed (.e). Values for `pop_flag`: Census data (1), Interpolated: not census year (2), Interpolated: archive lost (3), Interpolated: archive unreadable (4), Interpolated: commune not surveyed (5), Imputed: previous census (6), Imputed: next census (7). `cl_insp` only in nomenclatures 1892–1940. |

| Variable        | Label                        | Values                                         |
|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| cassini         | Cassini id                   | Numeric (1–5 digits)                           |
| insee           | INSEE id                     | 5 digits                                       |
| com_name        | Commune name (capitalized)   | String                                         |
| com_name_prop   | Commune name (proper)        | String                                         |
| com_act         | Actual commune code          | Current (1), Former (2)                        |
| pop             | Municipal population (census) | Numeric (see table notes)                      |
| ipop            | Municipal population (interpolated) | Numeric (see table notes)                      |
| pop_flag        | Municipal population flag    | Numeric (see table notes)                      |
| cl_dep          | Département chef-lieu        | Not prefecture (0), Prefecture (1)             |
| cl_ar           | Arrondissement chef-lieu     | Not subprefecture (0), Subprefecture (1)       |
| cl_ct           | Canton chef-lieu             | Not bureau centralisateur (0), Bureau centralisateur (1) |
| cl_mcor or cl_mreg | Military corps or region chef-lieu | Not chef-lieu (0), Chef-lieu (1)                |
| cl_div or cl_ms sub | Military division or subdivision chef-lieu | Not chef-lieu (0), Chef-lieu (1)                |
| cl_cour         | Court of appeal chef-lieu    | Not chef-lieu (0), Chef-lieu (1)               |
| cl_inst         | Court of first-instance chef-lieu | Not chef-lieu (0), Chef-lieu (1)               |
| trib_com        | Commercial court             | Not court siege (0), Court siege (1)           |
| trib_prud       | Labor court                  | Not court siege (0), Court siege (1)           |
| cl_pris         | Penitentiary constituency chef-lieu | Not chef-lieu (0), Chef-lieu (1)                |
| cl_acad         | Academy chef-lieu            | Not chef-lieu (0), Chef-lieu (1)               |
| cl_insp         | Labor inspection chef-lieu   | Not chef-lieu (0), Chef-lieu (1)               |
| cl_dioc         | Diocese chef-lieu            | Not chef-lieu (0), Chef-lieu (1)               |