Does Nature Allow Negative Refraction with Low Losses in Optical Region?
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There has been recently a significant attention devoted to the so-called left-handed materials (LHM), which are also called negative-refraction media. In such materials, the directions of energy transfer and wave-front propagation are opposite. This leads to remarkable electromagnetic (optical) properties such as refraction at surfaces that is described by a negative refraction index \( n \). This, in turn, causes a flat slab of a left-handed material with \( n = -1 \) to act as a “perfect lens creating, without reflections at the surfaces, a non-distorted image. This is a so-called Veselago lens. Moreover, such a lens can also build an image in the near field. Optical losses in LHMs are detrimental to their performance. These losses for LHMs in the near-infrared and visible region are significant, which drastically limits their usefulness. There have been proposals to compensate these losses with optical gain, which appears to be a way to resolve this problem. In this Letter, we show that compensating the optical losses, which implies significantly reducing the imaginary part of the dielectric permittivity \( \varepsilon \) and magnetic permeability \( \mu \), will necessarily change also the real parts of these quantities in such a way that the negative refraction disappears. This follows from the dispersion relations, i.e., ultimately, from the fundamental principle of causality.

A proposal to add a gain medium to a metal nanosystem to create a nanoplasmonic counterpart of a laser (spaser) in the near-infrared and visible spectral region has been introduced in Refs. [15-19]. Earlier, a THz quantum cascade laser with surface-polariton resonator has been created. A possibility to compensate a small fraction of optical losses in plasmonic propagation by gain as a first step toward creation a spaser has been experimentally shown. Using optical gain to compensate losses in the plasmonic “perfect lens” has been proposed. The interest to the compensation of losses in the metal plasmonic systems by the optical gain has attracted recently a great deal of attention in conjunction with the formidable problem of creating LHMs in the near-infrared and visible spectrum with low losses. This compensation of losses in LHMs by gain appears to be very attractive since the existing implementations of the LHMs in the optical region suffer from large optical losses: \[ |\text{Im} k| \sim |\text{Re} k| \], where \( k \) is the wave vector, so a wave propagates just a few periods in such a medium before extinction reduces its intensity several times. Apart from the active approach based on the gain media, there is also a possibility to use different materials or to nanostructure a medium to lower the optical losses.

However, it is impossible to reduce the optical losses without affecting the real part of the dielectric and magnetic responses because of the requirements of causality leading to the familiar Kramers-Kronig dispersion relations (see, e.g., Ref. [24]). In this Letter, we derive similar dispersion relations for the squared refractive index. Using them we show that a significant reduction in the optical losses at and near the observation frequency will necessarily eliminate the negative refraction.

The Kramers-Kronig relations follow from the causality of the dielectric response function in the temporal domain. Then one can prove that in the frequency domain permittivity \( \varepsilon (\omega) \) does not have singularities in the upper half-plane of the complex variable \( \omega \). From this and the limit \( \varepsilon (\omega) \to 1 \) for \( \omega \to \infty \), one derives the conventional Kramers-Kronig dispersion relation for the dielectric function. For the same causality reason, magnetic permeability \( \mu (\omega) \) does not have singularities in the upper half-plane of complex \( \omega \). Since also \( \mu (\omega) \to 1 \) for \( \omega \to \infty \), permeability \( \mu (\omega) \) satisfies a similar dispersion relation. Note the requirement of the response linearity is essential: nonlinear and saturated polarizabilities generally do not satisfy the Kramers-Kronig relations.

We will below consider systems including gain media; in those cases we assume that the optical reponses to the signal (observed) radiation are linear. This of course requires the signal to be weak enough to ensure the linearity of the responses to it and the applicability of the Kramers-Kronig relations.

We consider a material to be an effective medium characterized by macroscopic permittivity \( \varepsilon (\omega) \) and permeability \( \mu (\omega) \). The squared complex refraction index \( n^2 (\omega) = \varepsilon (\omega) \mu (\omega) \) has exactly the same analytical properties as \( \varepsilon (\omega) \) and \( \mu (\omega) \) separately: \( n^2 (\omega) \to 1 \) for \( \omega \to \infty \). Therefore, absolutely similar...
to the derivation of the Kramers-Kronig relations for the permittivity or permeability (see, e.g., Ref. [24], we obtain a dispersion relation for \( n^2(\omega) \),

\[
\Re n^2(\omega) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_0^\infty \frac{\Im n^2(\omega_1)}{\omega_1^2 - \omega^2} \omega_1 \, d\omega_1,
\]

where \( \mathcal{P} \) denotes the principal value of an integral.

Note that in contrast to \( n^2(\omega) \), refractive index \( n(\omega) = \sqrt{n^2} \) may possess singularities in the upper half plane and thus is generally not causal; this is true, in particular, when optical gain is present. The refractive index \( n \) per se does not enter the Maxwell equations; it is not a susceptibility, and it does not have to obey the causality, while \( n^2 \) does. This theory is based on \( n^2 \), not \( n \); the non-causality of \( n \) is irrelevant for its purposes.

Now we assume that at the observation frequency \( \omega \) the material is transparent (e.g., the losses are compensated by gain), i.e., \( \Im n^2(\omega) = 0 \) with any required accuracy. Then the principal value in the right-hand side of Eq. (1) can be omitted. Multiplying both sides of this equation by \( \omega^2 \) and differentiating over \( \omega \) (one can differentiate under the integral over \( \omega \) as a parameter, because the point \( \omega_1 = \omega \) is not singular anymore), we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial \omega^2}{\partial \omega} \left[ \Re n^2(\omega) - 1 \right] = \frac{4\omega}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\Im n^2(\omega_1)}{(\omega_1^2 - \omega^2)^2} \omega_1^3 \, d\omega_1.
\]

The left-hand side of this equation can be expressed in terms of the phase velocity \( v_p = (k/k) \omega/c \) and where vector \( k = i\omega n(\omega)/c \), while vector \( \mathbf{v}_g = (k/k) \partial \omega/\partial k \). In this way, we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{v_p v_g} - \frac{1}{c^2} = \frac{2}{\pi c^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\epsilon''(\omega_1) \mu'(\omega_1) + \mu''(\omega_1) \epsilon'(\omega_1)}{(\omega_1^2 - \omega^2)^2} \omega_1^3 \, d\omega_1,
\]

where \( \epsilon' = \Re \epsilon, \epsilon'' = \Im \epsilon \) and, similarly, \( \mu' = \Re \mu, \mu'' = \Im \mu \); \( \Im n^2(\omega) = \epsilon''(\omega) \mu'(\omega) + \mu''(\omega) \epsilon'(\omega) \).

In the case of the negative refraction, the directions of the phase and energy propagation are opposite, therefore \( v_p v_g < 0 \). Consequently, we obtain from Eq. (3) a rigorous criterion of the negative refraction with no (or low) loss at the observation frequency \( \omega \) as

\[
\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\epsilon''(\omega_1) \mu'(\omega_1) + \mu''(\omega_1) \epsilon'(\omega_1)}{(\omega_1^2 - \omega^2)^2} \omega_1^3 \, d\omega_1 \leq -1.
\]

This criterion directly imposes the lower bounds on the dielectric losses \( \epsilon''(\omega_1) > 0 \), overlapping with the magnetic plasmonic behavior \( \mu'(\omega_1) < 0 \) and the magnetic losses \( \mu''(\omega_1) > 0 \) overlapping with the electric plasmonic behavior \( \epsilon'(\omega_1) < 0 \). The denominator \( (\omega_1^2 - \omega^2)^2 \) makes the integral to converge for \( |\omega_1 - \omega| \) large; it would have diverged at \( |\omega_1 - \omega| \to 0 \) if the integrand did not vanish at that point. Thus, the major contribution to Eq. (3) comes from the lossy, overlapping electric and magnetic resonances close to observation frequency \( \omega \).

The stability of the system requires that no net gains are present at any frequency, i.e., \( \epsilon''(\omega) \geq 0 \) and \( \mu''(\omega) \geq 0 \) everywhere. There is a known condition of negative refraction\(^{27}\) \( \Im n^2(\omega) < 0 \). This condition is always satisfied in the region of left-handedness where \( \epsilon'(\omega) < 0 \) and \( \mu'(\omega) < 0 \). Thus, this condition is trivial: in contrast to Eq. (3), it does not impose a lower limit on the losses.

In the absence of magnetic resonances, in the optical region \( \mu' = 1 \) and \( \mu'' = 0 \). Then it is obvious that the integral in the left-hand side of Eq. (4) is strictly positive and this criterion is not satisfied, i.e., the negative refraction is absent. The presence of a magnetic resonance, in a part of its region \( \mu' < 0 \) and \( \mu'' > 0 \); thus the criterion (4) can, in principle, be satisfied. However, this requires non-zero losses: \( \mu'' > 0 \) and/or \( \epsilon'' > 0 \).

To satisfy the transparency requirement at the observation frequency, \( n^2(\omega) = 0 \), one may attempt to add a gain to exactly cancel out the losses at this frequency.\(^{14,24}\) Is it possible from the positions of causality? Because the sign should nowhere be negative, it is obvious that it must have the zero minimum at frequency \( \omega \). The corresponding resonant contribution to the permittivity close to resonance frequency \( \omega_1 \) has the form

\[
\varepsilon_r(\omega_1) \propto \left[ \omega_1 - \omega_r + i\frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 - \omega)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \gamma(\omega)}{\partial \omega^2} \right]^{-1},
\]

Here \( \gamma(\omega) \) is the relaxation rate that depends on frequency due to the loss compensation. At the observation frequency this loss is completely compensated, \( \gamma(\omega) = 0 \), and it has a minimum: \( \partial \gamma(\omega)/\partial \omega = 0 \) and \( \partial^2 \gamma(\omega)/\partial \omega^2 > 0 \). However, it follows from this equation that \( \varepsilon_r(\omega_1) \) has an extra pole at a complex frequency

\[
\omega_1 \approx \omega + 2i \left( \frac{\partial^2 \gamma(\omega)}{\partial \omega^2} \right)^{-1}.
\]

This pole is situated in the upper half plane, which violates causality. Because the form of Eq. (5) is rather general close to the resonance, we conclude that in this manner it is impossible to compensate the losses.

It is still possible that both the magnetic resonance and electric plasmonic behavior are present, but their losses are compensated by an active-medium gain. However, such compensation must take place not only at the observation frequency, but for the entire region of such resonances assuming their homogeneous nature. This means that in Eq. (3) whenever \( \mu'(\omega_1) < 0 \), we have \( \mu''(\omega_1) = 0 \) and \( \epsilon''(\omega_1) = 0 \). However, in this case the contribution of this region to the integral in Eq. (3) vanishes, and the contribution of the region of normal optical magnetic behavior \( (\mu = 1) \) is always positive. Consequently, the negative-refraction criterion is violated, which implies the absence of the negative refraction.

To obtain the negative refraction, the losses in the magnetic resonance region not only should be present, but they should be significant not only to overcome the positive contribution of the non-resonant region to the integral in Eq. (3), but actually to make it less than \(-1\). Thus, significantly reducing by any means, passive
or active (by gain), the losses of the negative-refraction resonances will necessarily eliminate this negative refraction itself. Fundamentally, this stems from the fact that the imaginary part and real part of the squared index of refraction are not independent but must satisfy the requirements imposed by the principle of causality.

One has to explore also a possibility to satisfy the criterion \( \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \frac{\omega_1^2 - \omega^2}{\omega_1^2} \right) \) with low losses at the working frequency \( \omega \) by having a left-handed resonance somewhere else at some resonance frequency \( \omega_r \), remote from \( \omega \) to satisfy Eq. (4).

The contribution of such a remote resonance to the integral in Eq. (4) can be approximated as

\[
\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\omega_1^3}{(\omega_1^2 - \omega^2)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n_r^2(\omega_1) \, d\omega_1. \tag{7}
\]

Here \( n_r^2(\omega_1) \) is the resonant contribution to the squared index. It is assumed that it decreases rapidly enough when \( |\omega_1 - \omega_r| \to \infty \), which is the expression of its resonant behavior. In this case, it is possible to extend the integral in this equation over the entire region, as indicated. As required by the causality, \( n_r^2(\omega_1) \) does not have any singularities in the upper half plane of \( \omega_1 \). This integral can be closed by an infinite arc in the upper half-plane, which gives the zero result due to this absence of the singularities there. Hence, the distant resonances do not contribute to the negative-refraction criterion (4).

This completes the proof that zero (or, very low) losses at and near the observation frequency are incompatible with the negative refraction.

We point out that in reality these losses do not have to be zero to eliminate the negative refraction. If they are merely much smaller that the losses in the adjacent regions that result in the positive contribution to the integral in criterion (4), then the negative refraction will be absent. In the microwave region, these losses can actually be quite small, but not so in the optical region.

Simple, exactly solvable, and convincing illustrations of the above theory are provided by the negative refraction of surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) in films with nanoscale thickness. Note that it is a two-dimensional refraction but our consideration is based on the principle of causality and is general, applicable to refraction in spaces of arbitrary dimensions. We emphasize the the examples to follow do not provide a proof but serve merely as illustrations of the above-given proof.

Consider a flat layer with nanoscale thickness \( d \) made of a material with dielectric permittivity \( \varepsilon_2 \) embedded between two half-spaces of materials with permittivities \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_3 \). The dispersion relation, i.e., wave vector \( k \) as a function of \( \omega \) or vice versa, of the waves (SPPs) bound to the nanolayer can be found from an exact, analytical transcendental equation

\[
tanh (\omega d \varepsilon_2 u_2/c) = -u_2(u_1 + u_2)/(u_1 u_3 + u_2^2), \tag{8}
\]

where \( u_i = \varepsilon_i^{-1} \sqrt{(kc/\omega)^2 - \varepsilon_i} \).

As the first example, we mention a semi-infinite metal (silver) covered with a nanolayer of dielectric with a half-space of another dielectric covering it.28,29 This system possess an extended spectral region of negative refraction,29, however, in this region the SPP losses are so high that the propagation is actually absent, in accord with the above-presented theory.

Another exactly solvable example of negative refraction also described by Eq. (8) is given by SPPs in a metal film of a nanoscopic thickness embedded in a dielectric. There are two metal-dielectric interfaces and, correspondingly, two modes of SPPs in this system. Because there is symmetry with respect to the reflection in the middle plane, these SPP modes are classified according to their magnetic-field parity: symmetric and antisymmetric. As an example, we consider a silver film with thickness \( d \sim 10 \) nm in vacuum. The corresponding dispersion relations are shown in Fig. 1(a) As we see from panel (a), the symmetric SPPs have regions of both the positive refraction \( \text{Re} k < 2 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and negative refraction \( \text{Re} k > 2 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), while the antisymmetric SPPs possess only the positive refraction. The optical losses are shown in Fig. 1(b). For most of the positive-refraction region of the symmetric SPPs and in the entire spectral range of the antisymmetric SPPs, these losses are relatively very small: \( |\text{Im} k| \ll |\text{Re} k| \), so the propagation is overdamped and actually absent, in the full agreement with the conclusions of the present theory.32

Yet another system that supports the negative-refraction SPPs is a dielectric nanolayer embedded in a metal.33 This system is also symmetric and possesses two metal-dielectric interfaces. Therefore it supports two branches of SPPs that are characterized by parity. The corresponding dispersion relations are displayed in Fig. 2. The real parts of these dispersion relations as functions \( \omega(\text{Re} k) \) for these two types of modes are shown in panel(a). From it we see that in the entire spectral region the symmetric SPPs (dashed line) have normal, positive refraction \( (\nu_p > 0) \), while the antisymmetric SPPs (solid line) are negative-refracting \( (\nu_p < 0) \). The corresponding
FIG. 2: (a) For a thin (d = 10 nm) dielectric layer with εd = 3 embedded in thick metal (silver), dispersion relation of SPPs displayed as dependence of frequency ω on the real part Re k of wave vector. (b) For the same system, dependence of the wavevector imaginary part Im k on its real part Re k. For both panels, the solid lines pertain to the antisymmetric SPP mode, and the dashed lines denote the symmetric SPP mode.

losses are displayed in Fig. 2(b). We note that the losses of the positive-refraction, symmetric mode (dashed line) are relatively small in the entire region, Im k ≪ Re k. In a sharp contrast, for the antisymmetric, negative-refraction mode (solid line), the losses for small wave vectors are very high, |Im k| ≳ Re k, so the wave propagates through only a few periods before it dissipates. The apparent discontinuity of the corresponding curve at small momenta is due to the failure of the numerical procedure to find a root of characteristic equation (8), which a consequence of the fact that a good, propagating SPP mode in this spectral region does not exist.

To conclude, from the fundamental principle of causality, we have derived a dispersion relation (1) for the squared refraction index. From it, assuming a low loss at the observation frequency, we have derived a criterion (4) of the negative refraction. We have shown that the low loss at and near the observation frequency is incompatible with the existence of the negative refraction. While at the THz region the losses may not be significant, they are very large in the optical region. The loss compensation or significant reduction will necessarily lead to the disappearance of the negative refraction itself due to the dispersion relation dictated by the causality.
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