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Abstract

Most bacterial organisms rely on homologous recombination to repair DNA double strand breaks and for the post-replicative repair of DNA single strand gaps. Homologous recombination can be divided in three steps: (i) a pre-synaptic step in which the DNA 3’-OH ends are processed, (ii) a recA dependent synaptic step allowing the invasion of an intact copy and the formation of a Holliday junctions and (iii) a post-synaptic step consisting of migration and resolution of these junctions. Currently, little is known about factors involved in homologous recombination, especially for the post-synaptic step. In *Escherichia coli*, branch migration and resolution are performed by the RuvABC complex, but could also rely on the RecG helicase in a redundant manner. In this study, we show that recG and ruvABC are well-conserved among *Streptomyces*. ΔuvABC, ΔrecG and ΔuvABC ΔrecG mutant strains were constructed. ΔuvABC ΔrecG is only slightly affected by exposure to DNA damage (UV). We also show that conjugational recombination decreases in absence of RuvABC and RecG but that intra-chromosomal recombination is not affected. These data suggest that RuvABC and RecG are indeed involved in homologous recombination in *S. ambofaciens* and that alternative factors are able to take over Holliday junction in *Streptomyces*.
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Introduction
Among all DNA damage that cells have to cope with, double strand breaks (DSB) are the most deleterious ones. They can be induced by various exogenous sources such as chemicals, natural products like mitomycin C or physical forces like desiccation or exposure to ionizing and UV radiations [1-3]. They can also be triggered by collapse of the replication fork after the replication machinery encounters a single-strand nick [4-5] or by the effect of endogenous genotoxic components such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) [6]. In absence of repair, DSBs lead to cell death, but in the course of evolution, two major ways were selected to repair DSBs: Homologous Recombination (HR) and illegitimate recombination including the error-prone Non-Homologous End Joining pathway (NHEJ). In contrast to NHEJ which can directly ligate the free DNA ends after a facultative processing step, HR needs an intact homologous template to repair the break. DNA repair through HR can be described as a sequence of three stages: the pre-synaptic, synaptic and post-synaptic steps. In bacteria, HR was previously well-documented, especially in some model organisms like *Escherichia coli* or *Bacillus subtilis* [7-15]. In the pre-synaptic step, a helicase-nuclease complex binds to the free DNA end and begins to unwind the double strand molecule while extensively degrading both strands until it reaches specific sequences named chi. The main factors involved in this step and the nature of the chi sequences can vary among organisms [11, 16-17]. The confrontation between the chi site and the helicase-nuclease complex causes important changes in the conformation of the latter complex. It leads to the alteration of the 3’-5’ exonuclease activity and therefore to the formation of single strand 3’ tails on which RecA protein is loaded. The newly formed RecA nucleofilament promotes homologous pairing and strand invasion during the synaptic step [9, 14]. Finally, the post-synaptic step involves the formation, migration and resolution of a four way DNA intermediate named the Holliday junction (HJ), and, at least in *E. coli*, PriA dependent loading of the replicative helicase on the post-synaptic DSB repair intermediate called a D-loop leads to the assembly of a replication fork and couples DSB repair with replication restart [18-20].

A high number of studies concerning the post-synaptic step of HR in bacteria were undertaken in the past decades, but involvement and importance of each factor remain elusive. In *E. coli*, the proteins RuvA, RuvB and RuvC are known to form a tripartite complex able to displace and resolve an HJ [21]. In the presence of ATP, RuvA binds DNA and, together with RuvB, drives the branch migration of the HJ leading to the formation of a DNA heteroduplex [22-23]. In concert with RuvAB, RuvC resolves the newly formed structure by a dual strand incision [24]. The nicked DNA duplexes can then split up and be restored by DNA ligases [25-26]. HJ migration can also be performed by the RecG helicase [27-29]. Although the role of RuvAB in branch migration and that of RuvABC in resolution of HJs is firmly established, the role of RecG remains more elusive [30]. Recently, RecG was proposed to act on the D-loop formed by the RecA filament invasion rather than on the HJ, and to promote the correct loading of PriA, allowing efficient replication restart and the formation of a viable recombinant molecule [31]. The additive defects conferred by *ruvABC* and *recG* mutations would then result from the fact that HJ branch migration and replication restart from the D-loop are two ways of stabilizing the recombination intermediate. Even though *ruvAB* and *recG* are highly conserved among bacterial genomes [32], the impact of their loss on cell metabolism is variable between organisms. *E. coli* devoid of RuvABC or RecG displays a weak sensitivity to DNA damage and a slight decrease in HR efficiency. In contrast, a double *ΔruvABC ΔrecG* mutant strain shows a marked aggravation of both phenotypes suggesting that the two pathways achieve redundant roles [27]. In *B. subtilis*, the single deletion of either *ruvAB*, or *recG* or *recU* (a functional homologue of *ruvC*) is highly deleterious for cell survival to DNA damage whereas the different combinations (*ΔruvAB ΔrecU, ΔruvAB ΔrecG* and *ΔrecG ΔrecU*) of double mutations are lethal [12-33].

*Streptomyces* are Gram-positive soil bacteria belonging to the Actinomycetales order. They are characterised by a complex lifestyle in which a vegetative mycelium gives rise to an aerial mycelium differentiating in spore chains. They are also known to produce a large panel of secondary metabolites. In contrast to most bacterial phyla, they possess a linear chromosomal DNA with a typical replication origin located approximately at the middle of the chromosome [34-35] and terminal proteins attached covalently to the 5’ ends of the DNA [36]. The genome of the *Streptomyces* species presents a high plasticity characterized by frequent rearrangements resulting from both homologous recombination triggering chromosomal arm replacement [39-40] and illegitimate recombination leading for instance to chromosomal circularization (for review [39-40]). Extensive DNA amplifications are also frequently encountered on rearranged chromosomes [41] resulting from an unknown mechanism involving homologous recombination [42].

At the moment, little is known about HR factors in *Streptomyces*. While there is no homologue for either *recBCD* of *E. coli* or *addAB* of *B. subtilis* in the *Streptomyces* genomes so far sequenced, these bacteria possess an *adnAB* locus [43] as described in
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other actinomycetes [17]. This locus encodes a helicase-nuclease complex meant to play the role of recBCD and addAB in E. coli and B. subtilis respectively, which is to process the DNA ends of a DSB and initiate the recombination repair pathway. In contrast to Mycobacterium tuberculosis, the deletion of this locus was not possible in Streptomyces ambofaciens, strongly suggesting that the encoded function was essential [43]. On the other hand, a mutant deficient for the encoded function was essential [43].

In contrast to the deletion of this locus was not possible in step of HR, unable to perform HR in conjugational sensitive to UV and mitomycin C exposure and are recBCD helicase-nuclease complex meant to play the role of other actinomycetes [17]. This locus encodes a

Material and methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions.

All strains used in this study are listed in table 1. The DH5α E. coli strain is used as conservation host for BACs and plasmids. The ET12567 non-methylating strain containing the mobilising pUZ8002 plasmid was used as donor for intergeneric conjugation with S. ambofaciens. All E. coli strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium at 37°C except for the BW25113 pKD20 thermosensitive strain used for PCR targeting, which was grown at 30°C. S. ambofaciens ATCC23877 was our reference strain from which the mutant strains derived. All S. ambofaciens strains were grown at 30°C on Soya flour mannitol (SFM) plates except for UV assays which were performed on solid Hickey Tresner (HT) medium. Liquid HT medium was used for growth of mycelium before DNA extraction. When necessary, antibiotics were added to the medium at a concentration of 50 µg/mL for apramycin and kanamycin, 25 µg/mL for nalidixic acid and chloramphenicol. For intrachromosomal recombination assays, bacterial lawns were picked using a 2 cm diameter cookie-cutter and spores were harvested after being thoroughly vortexed (3 times 20 s). For conjugational recombination assays, the number of Streptomyces spores was calibrated to 10⁹ UFC and added to 2.10⁸ UFC of the E. coli donor culture.

Mutant strain construction.

Mutant strains were constructed by PCR targeting as described by Gust et al. 2003 [51]. To summarise, a recombinant BAC containing the locus of interest was transformed in the highly recombinogenic E. coli BW25113/pKD20 strain. The target gene was replaced by an apramycin resistance disruption cassette [52] in which an oriT sequence as introduced. The modified BAC was then transferred into S. ambofaciens ATCC23877 strain using the ET12567/pUZ8002 donor host. Double-crossover (CO) events leading to the replacement of the target gene by the cassette were selected by the sensitivity to kanamycin (loss of the BAC vector part) along with the resistance to apramycin (insertion of the resistance cassette). The disruption cassette flanked by attL and attR sites was excised in the newly obtained mutant strains after the introduction of pOSV508 a plasmid allowing the expression of int and xis genes from pSAM2 [52]. Excision was verified by PCR after DNA extraction using a low binding sulfate salt method [53]. All the primers used in this experiment are listed in table 2.

Ultraviolet (UV) light exposure and viability rates.

Serial dilutions of the spore suspension to be tested were spread on HT plates and exposed to 0, 75 and 150 J.m⁻² UV doses using a 254 nm UV light. Plates were immediately protected from light to avoid photoreactivation and then incubated at 30°C [54]. Surviving colonies were counted after 3 days of growth, and survival rates were calculated in comparison to the same dilutions of unexposed cells of the same culture. For each condition, three biological replicates were carried out.

Statistical analyses.

For UV light exposure as well as for recombination assays, comparisons were achieved pairwise with a t test under R and adjusted with the Bonferroni correction [55].

Results

ruvABC and recG are not essential for Streptomyces development

In analysis aimed at identification of orthologs of DNA recombination genes, Rocha et al. [32] revealed the presence of orthologs of ruvABC and recG in S. coelicolor and S. avermitilis genomes. To determine whether ruvABC and recG loci are well-conserved among Streptomyces species, we screened the 36 fully sequenced genomes of Streptomyces species (tBLASTN algorithm of the genome database of NCBI). Based on the protein sequence of the genes labelled as ruvA, ruvB, ruvC
and recG in *S. coelicolor*, we found an ortholog for each gene in each genome with a minimal identity of 69% for *SCO1519*, 82% for *SCO1518*, 68% for *SCO1520* and 73% for *SCO5556* encoding RuvA, RuvB, RuvC and RecG respectively. The *ruvABC* genes are colocalised and form a potential *ruvCAB* operon. In *S. ambofaciens*, RuvA, RuvB, RuvC and RecG (corresponding to *SAM23877*-*1570*, *SAM23877*-*1569*, *SAM23877*-*1571* and *SAM23877*-*5320*) have a predicted size of respectively 201, 357, 233 and 745 amino-acids.

We employed the PCR targeting method to construct mutant strains in order to test the involvement of these genes in HR. We generated strains deleted for the *ruvABC* operon or for the *recG* locus as well as double mutant strains. As a HR defective control context, we targeted the *recA* locus. For each genotype, two independent BACs were modified by replacement of the target gene(s) by a resistance cassette and were used to generate two independent mutant strains. All the mutant strains were obtained with classical frequencies showing that none of these genes was essential for growth in laboratory conditions or requested selection for additional suppressor mutations. No specific colony morphology phenotype or sporulation deficiency was observed for the *ΔruvABC* or the *ΔrecG* strains compared to the wild-type (WT) when grown as single colonies along a time course growth of 96 hours, corresponding to the entry in sporulation phase for the WT strain (HT medium, 30°C). In contrast, the double mutant *ΔruvABC ΔrecG* showed a growth phenotype characterised by a slight pigmentation delay (Fig. 1).

The double *ΔruvABC ΔrecG* mutation confers sensitivity to UV light exposure

Spores were exposed to UV at 75 J.m\(^{-2}\) and 150 J.m\(^{-2}\) immediately after plating on solid HT medium and survival rate was calculated relatively to unexposed cells. The WT strain showed cell survival rates of 66.45 ± 4.29% and 25.02 ± 2.18% at UV doses of 75 J.m\(^{-2}\) and 150 J.m\(^{-2}\) respectively (Fig. 2). The *ΔruvABC* and *ΔrecG* strains showed a sensitivity of 61.93 ± 3.87% and 59.12 ± 4.51% at 75 J.m\(^{-2}\) and 19.93 ± 1.59% and 17.01 ± 2.12% at 150 J.m\(^{-2}\) respectively. Even if these values are slightly lower than for the WT, the difference is not statistically significant. In contrast, with sensitivity rates of 43.68 ± 3.52% at 75 J.m\(^{-2}\) and 11.95 ± 1.66% at 150 J.m\(^{-2}\), the *ΔruvABC ΔrecG* mutant strains are weakly but significantly more sensitive to UV exposure than the WT (at risk α=0.05). This sensitivity was much less pronounced in comparison to the *ΔrecA* strain which showed a drastic decrease of resistance rates with a value of 0.11 ± 0.08% at the lowest tested dose.

The double *ΔruvABC ΔrecG* mutant is only affected in the formation of conjugational recombinants

To test the ability of the *ΔrecG ΔruvABC* mutant to achieve homologous recombination, we compared the mutant strains and the WT reference for their capacity to form recombinants (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). For that purpose, we targeted a locus not implicated in DNA recombination processes (namely *SAM23877*-*1172* encoding the *Streptomyces* cellobiose sensor; [56]). In a first approach (Fig. 3), we introduced by intergeneric conjugation (see material and methods section) the BAC in which *SAM23877*-*1172* is replaced by an apramycin resistance cassette, then apramycin resistant (Apra\(^{8}\)) clones were selected for and counted. By this mean, we counted all the recombination events resulting in the integration of the apramycin resistance gene into the chromosome: the most probable being a single cross-over occurring between the insert of the recombinant vector and its homologous counterpart on the chromosome (recombination within the H1 or H2 region; the event within H1 is represented on Fig. 3A). By doing so, we also accounted the minor proportion of recombinants that directly underwent a double cross-over leading to chromosome allele replacement (recombination within H1 and H2, Fig. 3A).

Apra\(^{8}\) clones resulting from single or double cross-over events were counted in each genetic context and compared to the WT (Fig 3B). The ratio of Apra\(^{8}\) clones obtained in *ΔruvABC* and *ΔrecG* contexts was 28.71 ± 5.16% and 19.82 ± 3.64% respectively relative to the WT showing that conjugational recombination efficiency was reduced on average 3.5-fold and 5-fold in *ruvABC* and in *recG* defective mutants respectively. In the homologous recombination deficient *recA* mutant, no Apra\(^{8}\) clone could be observed in the same experimental conditions. When both loci are deleted, the recombination efficiency was further reduced to 7.4 ± 2.38% of the WT reference. These results demonstrated that *ruvABC* and *recG* are involved in conjugational recombination. Since the recombination deficient phenotype is aggravated in the double *ΔruvABC ΔrecG* mutant strains compared to single mutants, we concluded that RuvABC and RecG may be redundant for the formation of recombinants. However, it should be noticed that in the absence of both RuvABC and RecG, HR is still effective suggesting that alternative proteins can catalyse migration and resolution of Holliday junctions in this double mutant.

Since our observations followed an intergeneric *E. coli*-*Streptomyces* conjugation, differences in the conjugational capacities of the WT and the *ΔruvABC ΔrecG* genetic backgrounds may be...
responsible for the decrease in recombinants. To rule out this possibility, we set up conjugation experiments using the conjugal and integrative plasmid pDYN6902 (derived from pIJ6902); its chromosomal integration occurs by site-specific recombination and is therefore independent from HR [49]. This vector shares the same replication origin than pBeloBAC11 used to construct the BAC library [50] and is thus expected to have an equivalent copy number in the donor *E. coli* strain. Since its integration is very efficient, we monitored the conjugation frequency of the conjugal and integrating pDYN6902 plasmid in the mutant strain and compared it to the WT. There was no significant difference between both strains with conjugal rates of $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $9.7 \times 10^{-5}$ for the WT and ∆ruvABC ∆recG strains respectively.

We further set up a second experiment to compare the frequency of homologous recombination in the different mutants (Fig. 4). In this approach, we assayed the frequency of gene replacement (∆SAM23877_11722 by the apramycin resistance cassette). This step corresponds to the formation of a crossing-over occurring between the long tandem repeats resulting from the integration of the recombinant BAC into the genome. The second cross-over event removes the vector DNA including the kanamycin resistance gene leading to a ApraR KanR phenotype from an ApraR KanR background. We used an experimental approach inspired from the classical Luria and Delbrück fluctuation test [57]. The idea was to test the occurrence of the second cross-over in independent samples of WT and ∆ruvABC ∆recG bacterial populations from clones that have integrated the recombinant vector by a single cross-over. Twenty samples of bacterial lawns (picked using a cookie-cutter, see material and methods section) and thus corresponding to equal subpopulations were harvested for WT and ∆ruvABC ∆recG strains in which the first cross-over happened (ApraR KanR transconjugants). Spore suspensions from these lawn samples were titrated and grown on HT medium supplemented with only apramycin to retain the presence of the resistance cassette but without counter-selecting the formation of the second crossing-over. Plates were further replica-plated on HT medium supplemented with both apramycin and kanamycin to determine the frequency of ApraR KanR clones among the ApraR.

For both genetic contexts, at least one ApraR KanR clone was counted in each of the 20 samples of populations revealing that the second cross-over could occur at an equivalent frequency (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, ApraR KanR clones were observed at frequencies which were not significantly different (risk $α = 0.05$): $13.38 \pm 4.95\%$ and $11.67 \pm 4.98\%$ for the WT and the ∆ruvABC ∆recG respectively. According to Luria and Delbrück, this reveals that intra-chromosomal homologous recombination is not significantly affected in the ∆ruvABC ∆recG double mutant strain compared to WT.

These data show that the deficiency in RuvABC and RecG does not significantly affect intrachromosomal homologous recombination in *S. ambofaciens* while it decreases the capacity to form recombinants after a conjugational transfer.

**Discussion**

*Alternative homologous recombination post-synaptic proteins functioning in Streptomyces*

RuvABC resolvasome and RecG branch migration enzyme are assumed to act as alternative proteins for the post-synaptic step of homologous recombination in *E. coli* [8, 58]. While RuvAB and RecG are able to perform branch migration after HJ formation, the endonuclease RuvC can resolve the four way structure and restore the two double strand homologs [59]. In addition to branch migration of HJs, *in vitro* RecG can act on a variety of structures, and promote a variety of reactions, so that to date its role *in vivo* remains undetermined [30]. In this study, we found a high level of conservation of *ruvABC* and *recG* in all *Streptomyces* genomes sequenced so far. We studied the involvement of both in HR in our bacterial model *S. ambofaciens*. For this purpose, we measured the sensitivity to UV irradiation (a DNA damaging agent), we quantified homologous recombination frequency after conjugal transfer and homologous recombination between tandemly repeated sequence on the chromosome. The ∆ruvABC ∆recG is more sensitive to UV irradiation than wild-type cells and in a ∆ruvABC ∆recG mutant strain we detected a 7.4 fold decrease of HR efficiency in conjugal recombination. In contrast, no difference in intra-chromosomal recombination was observed between the mutant and the WT strain. The latter result shows that proteins may compensate for the deficiencies of RuvABC resolvasome and RecG enzyme. An open question is how to explain the significant difference in HR measured by conjugation and by intramolecular recombination assay. In conjugal HR, the substrate DNA (exogenote) is transferred from *E. coli* to *Streptomyces* as a single strand DNA. In *E. coli*, it is well-known that persistent single strand DNA leads to the induction of the SOS system [60]. This favours interspecies recombination through the increasing expression of *recA* and *ruvAB* [60]. Hence, we can extrapolate and hypothesise that the single-stranded transfer of the BAC DNA from *E. coli* to *Streptomyces* also stimulates the expression of these two recombination enzymes. Similarly, UV irradiation...
is known to induce the SOS response. Increased expression of RecA may stimulate the first steps of HR, increasing the need for the enzymes that act at later steps. In contrast, intrachromosomal recombination would not be promoted by the SOS-induction of RecA and the RuvABC/RecG defect could be compensated by the basic expression of alternative resolvases.

Migration and resolution of HJ, a high redundant function?

In the bacterial models E. coli and Bacillus subtilis RuvABC/RecU and RecG are known to be the main factors of the HR post-synaptic steps [7, 12, 33, 58]. Unexpectedly, the simultaneous inactivation of ruvABC and recG confers a mild phenotype in response to DNA damage and in HR efficiency on S. ambofaciens, suggesting the existence of alternative ways of processing post-synaptic molecules. In E. coli, when the late stages of HR are blocked (e.g. through ruvABC mutations), the loss of uvrD, known to be involved in mismatch and nucleotide excision repair, is lethal [61]. In addition, E. coli UvrD was recently shown to be able to unwind HJ in vitro and it could therefore have a redundant role with RuvAB and RecG [62]. In S. ambofaciens genome, two uvrD homologues can be detected. Another candidate for branch migration could be a recA paralog known as sms or radA that is well conserved among all bacterial genomes sequenced thus far [63]. In E. coli, measurements of conjugal recombination suggest a redundant function for radA/sms with the other branch migration factors [64]. Recently, a study showed that RadA/Sms is able to perform branch migration but it is suspected to act differently than RuvAB and RecG since RadA/Sms displays no helicase activity [65].

For the HJ resolution activity, an alternative candidate can also be suggested. Aravind et al. [66] identified the YqgF family as sharing homologous and structural relationships with the RuvC family, suggesting that its members, predicted resolvases, could function as an alternative to RuvC. While preparing this manuscript, Nautiyal et al. [67] reported in vitro analyses carried out on RuvX (the mycobacterial member of the YqgF family). They revealed that this protein has affinity for HJs and displays HJ cleavage activity. Mycobacteria and Streptomyces both belong to the actinobacteria and an ortholog to RuvX can be identified in the Streptomyces genomes. Altogether, these data support the idea that RuvX is a serious candidate for resolving HJs as an alternative to RuvC in S. ambofaciens. It would be interesting to investigate the involvement of the aforementioned putative alternative factors in the recombination process in Streptomyces.
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### Table 1: Strains, plasmids and BACs used in this study

| Strains, plasmids and BACs         | Characteristics                              | Sources   |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| **Streptomyces**                  |                                              |           |
| *S. ambofaciens* ATCC 23877       | Used as a wild-type strain                   | [45]      |
| *S. ambofaciens* ATCC 23877 ΔruvABC | Deletion of the *ruvABC* locus               | This study|
| *S. ambofaciens* ATCC 23877 ΔrecG | Deletion of the *recG* locus                 | This study|
| *S. ambofaciens* ATCC 23877 ΔrecGΔruvABC | Deletion of the *recG* and the *ruvABC* loci | This study|
| *S. ambofaciens* ATCC 23877 ΔrecA | Deletion of the *recA* locus                 | This study|
| **E. coli**                       |                                              |           |
| DH5α                              | F- *φ*dlacZ ΔM15 endA1 supE44 thi-1 recA1 relA1 gyrA96 deoR nupG Δ(lacZYA-argF)U169 λ- hsdR17 (rK- mK+) phoA relA1 | [46]      |
| ET12567/pUZ8002                    | dam-13::Tn9 dcm cat tet hsdM hsdR zji-201::Tn10 tra neo RP4 | [47]      |
| BW25113/pKD20                     | K12 derivative ΔaraBAD, ΔrhaBAD λ-red (gam, bet, exo), bla, araC, rep101<sup>a</sup> | [48]      |
| **Plasmids/BACs**<sup>a</sup>     |                                              |           |
| BAA8H12ΔSAM1569-SAM1571           | Δchl::kan ΔruvABC::aac3(IV)-oriT             | This study|
| BAB10F9ΔSAM5320                   | Δchl::kan ΔrecG::aac3(IV)-oriT               | This study|
| BAB24H12ΔSAM5320                  | Δchl::kan ΔrecG::aac3(IV)-oriT               | This study|
| BAB20B5ΔSAM5473                   | Δchl::kan ΔrecA::aac3(IV)-oriT               | This study|
| BAB13C7ΔSAM1172                   | Δchl::kan ΔcebR::aac3(IV)-oriT               | This study|
| pDYN6902                          | E. coli to *Streptomyces* conjugative and integrative shuttle vector | [49]      |

<sup>a</sup> all original BACs arise from [50]

---
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### Table 2: Primers used in this study

| Primer name | Sequence (5'-3') | Characteristics |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| kanDchl1    | AGGTTCCCACTTTCACCATAATGAAATAAGATCA CTACCGCCGCACTTTCGCCCATTC | Replacement of chl by neo |
| kanDchl2    | TGTGTTGCGAGCTGCTATTAAATGAAATCGGCAACG CAACCATTAGAGATCCCCGCTGGTA | Replacement of chl by neo |
| ruv_L3      | GTGCCGCTACTGCGGTGAGCAGGACGTAGAACC GTCGCGGTGCTTCTTGGCTGTCAGAGGAACAGCAACTTG | ruvABC deletion |
| ruv_R3      | GGACGCGGCGGGGTGAAGGAGGTCGCCGACGCAAGG GCGGCCGGCGCGCGCCGGCGCTGGGACGAGAG | ruvABC deletion |
| ruv11       | CGAGGAATTCGGTGCTGTAATTCCTTCACA | Verification of ruvABC deletion |
| ruv12       | GCGAATTCATCGAGGAGGATCGACGACTG | Verification of ruvABC deletion |
| D_recG_F    | TGGCGGTGGTGCAATGGATCTCGTGCCGAGGACT GCGACTGCTTCCTGCTCCGAAGCAACTTG | recG deletion |
| D_recG_R    | TCAGCCCTTCTCAGGGATGACTGCTCTCTCTCTGT CCAGCGGCGCTTTGCTCCGGAGCAAGAGG | recG deletion |
| V_recG_F    | CGCTGCTCATCGGCGTG | Verification of recG deletion |
| V_recG_R    | TGTGTTCCGCTTCCATCTGTCG | Verification of recG deletion |
| DrecA_F     | GTGAAGCGGATCAATACAGAACCAGCCGGGTGGAAC CCAATTCTGCTCTTCGGCAGCAGTAATTGTG | recA deletion |
| DrecA_R     | CCCAGTCGGTTCGCTGTCGACTGGTCTAGCTTGGT GTGCCGCGGCGCTTTGCTCCGGAGGAGG | recA deletion |
| recA11      | CCGGGAATTTCGGACGTACGGGCTGGAGGTGC | Verification of recA deletion |
| recA12      | TGGCGGACATTGCTCTGCTGACGCTGCTG | Verification of recA deletion |
| SaCebRmut_F | CCGCGAGGTGCGGGGTGCTCGACGGAGGGAGGGGCGAC GCAGATCGCCGCGGCTTTGCTCCGGAGA | Deletion of SAM23877_1172 |
| SaCebRmut_R | CCGCGAAGCGACCCCGTGCCCGGCCGCTCCCAC ACCTCAATGCTTCTTCTGCTCCGAAGCAACT | Deletion of SAM23877_1172 |
**Fig.1:** Phenotype of *S. ambofaciens* ATCC 23877 WT and ΔruvABC ΔrecG strains after 96 hour growth on HT medium at 30°C. In comparison to WT, a ΔrecG ΔruvABC strain shows a slight pigmentation delay.

**Fig.2:** Survival rates of WT, ΔruvABC, ΔrecG, ΔruvABC ΔrecG and ΔrecA strains after UV exposure at 75 J.m⁻² (dark grey) and 150 J.m⁻² (light grey). This experiment was performed in triplicate. The asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference with WT (t-test) at risk α=0.05.
Fig. 3: Conjugal recombination efficiency in *S. ambofaciens*. A) Representation of single or double cross-over events occurring between *Streptomyces* chromosome and a homologous DNA transferred by intergeneric conjugation. The *neo* gene confers resistance to kanamycin and *aac* stands for *aac(3)IV* which encodes the aminoglycoside 3-N-acetyltransferase and confers resistance to apramycin. B) Proportion of single and double cross-over events represented by the proportion of *Apra*<sup>R</sup> clones obtained after conjugation in ∆*ruvABC*, ∆*recG*, ∆*ruvABC* ∆*recG*, ∆*recA* and WT strains. Values of the mutant strains were normalised to that of WT. The letters a, b, c and d indicate the different statistical groups (*t*-test) at risk α=0.05.
Fig. 4: Intrachromosomal recombination efficiency in *S. ambofaciens*. A) Representation of a second cross-over event occurring within the chromosome after a single cross-over event. B) Proportion of second cross-over events represented by the proportion of Apra<sup>R</sup> Kan<sup>S</sup> clones in the 20 populations obtained after a single cross-over event in WT and ΔruvABC ΔrecG backgrounds.