Surface Optimization of ZrC–SiC Inner Layer to Enhance Ablation Property of SiC/ZrC–SiC Multi-Layer Coating for C/C Composites
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Abstract: A ZrC–SiC inner layer was fabricated on carbon/carbon composites by pack cementation at different temperatures, aiming to prepare a transition layer for subsequent deposition of SiC and ZrC–SiC layer by chemical vapor deposition and plasma spray. Results show that the structure and phase composition of the inner layer significantly affected the interface bonding strength and thermal shock resistance of the multilayer, which played a vital role in resisting ablation. The jagged and porous surface of the inner layer led to forming a root-like pinning interface, generating a sawtooth combination between the layers. Moreover, the inner layer with high SiC content decreased the coefficient of thermal expansion mismatch between the inner and outer layers. Therefore, the enhanced ablation resistance of the optimum coating was attributed to the improved interface bonding strength and thermal shock resistance caused by the ZrC–SiC inner layer with rough and porous surface structure.
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1. Introduction

Carbon/carbon (C/C) composites are potential candidates for structural materials at elevated temperature aerospace owing to their low-density, high specific strength and outstanding high-temperature properties [1–3]. However, C/C composites suffer from serious oxidation failure under an oxidative environment due to their high oxidation sensitivity [4–6]. Therefore, it is crucial to prepare coating on C/C composites to improve their ablation resistance in complex high-temperature conditions.

It is well known that ultra-high-temperature ceramics (UHTCs), such as ZrC + SiC and ZrB2 + SiC, can effectively enhance ablation resistance of C/C composites for the synergic effect of both components [7–9]. This is due to, by healing the defects and reducing the oxygen diffusion, the multiphase coating enhances the integrity of SiO2-ZrO2 scales. However, some challenges still exist. During the service conditions, the coefficient of thermal expansions (CTEs, namely, geometric characteristics regularly change with temperature under the thermal expansion and cold contraction processing), mismatches between the C/C composites matrix and UHTC outer layer can evoke thermal stresses in the coating, leading to the propagation of penetrability cracks and failure of the multilayer coating.

To address this issue above, some research has been done to improve coating adhesion by means of pre or posttreatment processes focusing on ameliorating the stresses and increasing the coating hardness [10–12]. In addition, the SiC was usually used as a bonding layer to alleviate the CTE mismatch between C/C composites and UHTC outer layer, owing to its good compatibility with the carbon matrix. However, the interface between SiC and UHTC layer has been prone to cracks due to the different CTEs between carbon matrix and multilayers. To alleviate the CTEs mismatch and improve the interface bonding strength of...
multilayer coatings, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and SiC whiskers have been used as reinforcements to improve the toughness, interface bonding strength, thermal shock resistance and ablation properties for SiC and UHTC coatings [13–16]. Unfortunately, the toughening effect was still not very exciting due to the weak bonding of these nanomaterials with the carbon matrix. Meanwhile, surface modifying techniques, such as the oxidation pretreatment, oxycetylene flame blasting treatment and plasma etching for C/C composites, have been used to introduce the porous structure or desire anchoring sites for our coating which is proven to be the most effective method. The formation of an interlocking interface is beneficial to improve the bonding strength of coating [17–19]. However, these methods can cause damage to the carbon fibers and result in the degradation of the mechanical properties for C/C composites.

In this work, novel ZrC–SiC inner layers with different microstructure and phases were prepared by the pack cementation (PC) method at different temperatures. The nonuniform thickness and rough surface of the inner coating can enhance the bonding strength of the CVD–SiC layer [20,21]. Simultaneously, due to the uniform deposited SiC coating via chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [22], these surface features were reserved for the preparation of the ZrC–SiC outer layer by plasma spraying (PS), forming an interlocking structure of SiC/ZrC–SiC tri-layer coating. Therefore, the interlocking double or tri-layer coatings were developed to improve the bonding strength and reduce the CTE mismatch between the matrix and multilayers. Based on the preparation of the inner layers with different microstructure and phases, effects of the ZrC–SiC inner layer on microstructure, ablation resistance, and mechanism of SiC/ZrC–SiC-coated C/C composites were investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

The ZrC–SiC reinforced SiC/ZrC–SiC coating for C/C composite was prepared in a three-step process. First, cylindrical specimen (Ø30 × 10 mm, the density of 1.6 g/cm$^3$) were placed in a graphite crucible filled with ZrSi$_2$ powder (purity of >99%) to fabricate ZrC–SiC inner layer with different microstructure and phase composition by the PC process with at 1450–1750 °C for 0.5–4 h in negative pressure atmosphere. Subsequently, the SiC middle layer was fabricated on PC samples by CVD method at 1000–1200 °C with methyl trichlorosilane (MTS, CH$_3$SiCl$_3$) as the precursor for 8–15 h. Finally, the powders of ZrC and SiC for spraying were mixed with the mass ratio of 3:1. After drying, the ZrC–SiC coatings were deposited on the CVD samples by plasma spray. Table 1 lists process parameters in detail. For the sake of simplicity, the prepared samples were termed as Si-j (i = 1, 2 and 3; j = A, B, C and D). The letter i indicates the number of the coating. The letter j is the PC temperature, and A, B, C and D are 1450, 1550, 1650, 1750 °C, respectively.

**Table 1.** Spraying parameters of plasma spraying (PS).

| Parameters           | PS   |
|----------------------|------|
| Plasma gas, Ar (L/min) | 30–75|
| Plasma gas, H$_2$ (L/min) | 6–15 |
| Powder, kW           | 35–55|
| Spray distance, mm   | 80–120|

Water was used to produce the plasma flame by using plasma generator equipment (Multiplaz 3500) with a voltage of 160 ± 10 V for the ablation test. The surface temperature reached about 2300 °C [23,24]. The ablation rates were calculated according to $R_m = \Delta m/t$ and $R_l = \Delta l/t$, where $R_m$ and $R_l$ refer to the mass and linear ablation rate, respectively. $\Delta m$ and $\Delta l$ are the decreases of the sample thickness and mass loss of the sample mass, and $t$ is the test time. The bulk density and porosity were tested by the Archimedes method according to Equations (1) and (2).

$$\rho = W_a/(W_a - W_b)$$

(1)
3. Results

3.1. Effect of the Fabrication Temperature on Microstructure and Phase Composition of the ZrC–SiC Inner Layer

Figure 1 shows the surface XRD patterns of the prepared ZrC–SiC inner layer with different temperatures. In the low reaction temperature (1450 °C), the C, ZrSi2, β–SiC, and a small amount of ZrC are detected, indicating a slight reaction had occurred between the substrate and ZrSi2. When the temperature is elevated to 1550–1750 °C, the surface of the coating mainly contains ZrC in addition to a small amount of α–SiC in the S1-B sample. It was reported that the β–SiC could transform to α–SiC as the temperature increases [25]. A large number of ZrC is unfavorable for alleviating the CTE mismatch between C/C substrate and ZrC–SiC inner layer.

![Surface XRD patterns of the ZrC–SiC inner layer with different temperatures.](image)

Figure 2 displays the surface images of the ZrC–SiC inner layer. Figure 2a reveals the typical surface morphology of the coated C/C composites. As expected, a loose and porous SiC–ZrC layer is formed on C/C composites by the reaction of ZrSi2 and C. With the temperature increasing, many internal concave structures and isolated SiC are distributed in a continuous ZrC matrix (Figure 2b). These porous and internal concave structures of the SiC–ZrC inner layer will be conducive to the penetration of the CVD–SiC outer layer to form a strong interface combination. Meanwhile, the presence of SiC can also reduce the CTE mismatch of the coating. Furthermore, when temperature increasing to 1650 and

$$P_0 = \frac{(W_c - W_a)}{\rho_1 \times V}$$  

(2)
1750 °C, the SiC is disappeared, and the ZrC grains become larger and flatter (Figure 2c,d), which can be explained by the following equation [26]:

\[
M = \frac{D_0}{RT} \times \exp\left(-\frac{Q_a}{RT}\right)
\]  

(3)

where \( M \) refers to the grain boundary migration, \( R \) and \( T \) refer to the ideal gas constant and temperature, respectively; \( D_0 \) refers to the diffusion constant and \( Q_a \) to the grain boundary diffusion activation energy. According to Equation (1), the grain boundary migration increases rapidly with the elevated temperature. Therefore, the ZrC has the largest and flattest grain size at 1750 °C. Based on the above analyses, the surface defects and SiC phase of S1 inner coatings decrease with the increased temperature, which may affect the microstructure and ablation property of multilayer coating.

**Figure 2.** Surface images of prepared inner layer: (a) 1450 °C; (b) 1550 °C; (c) 1650 °C; (d) 1750 °C.

Figure 3 shows the polished cross-sectional and line scanning of the ZrC–SiC inner layers at different temperatures. It was found that the thickness of bonding layers changed with the various PC temperature. The coating thickness increased with the elevated PC temperature, reaching the maximum at 1650 °C, and decreased when the temperature continuously rises to 1750 °C. Furthermore, the S1-A coating displayed a deep jagged surface structure with many microholes (Figure 3a). The outer layer infiltrated into the inner layer to form a root-like interface, which improved the bonding strength of the coating. As for the S1-B coating, a relatively dense layer and shallower surface defect were formed on the substrate (Figure 3b), which could be ascribed to the formation of internal concave ZrC grains. By contrast, the whole S1-C coating becomes denser, and no obvious defects were observed on a superficial layer (Figure 3f). However, as the temperature reached 1750 °C, considerable pores and cracks were found in the S1-D coating (Figure 3e,f). Under the higher PC temperature, the residual Si from the melted Zr–Si eutectic was volatilized at negative pressure conditions, resulting in the formation of micropores in the bonding layer. In addition, during the cooling process, the thermal expansion mismatch among the ZrC coating (6.7 × 10^{-6} K^{-1}), SiC coating (4.3 × 10^{-6} K^{-1}),
and C/C substrate (1–2 × 10^{-6} K^{-1}) induced huge thermal residual stress, causing crack defects in the coating. Moreover, the higher reaction temperature-induced greater thermal residual stress [27]. Therefore, obvious cracks were formed in S1-D coating, which was well in accordance with the properties results listed in Table 2: the density of coating increased first and then decreased, while the porosity reveals an opposite tendency. From Figure 3c,e, the content of SiC in the inner layer decreased with the increased temperature, which may affect the CTE of the multilayer coating. 

![Figure 3. Polished cross-sectional and line scanning of the prepared inner layer with different temperature. (a) 1450 °C; (b) 1550 °C; (c,d) 1650 °C; (e,f) 1750 °C.](image)

Table 2. Properties of the prepared samples with different temperatures.

| Sample | Temperature (°C) | Porosity (%) | Density (g/cm³) |
|--------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|
| S1-A   | 1450            | 2.2          | 1.77           |
| S1-B   | 1550            | 2.0          | 2.00           |
| S1-C   | 1650            | 1.6          | 2.03           |
| S1-D   | 1750            | 2.2          | 1.91           |
Figure 4 shows the Gibbs free energy curves with temperatures ranging from 1400 to 1800 °C. The following reactions may occur during the different PC temperature:

\[
\begin{align*}
    ZrSi_2(s) + 3C(s) &\rightarrow ZrC(s) + 2SiC(s) \quad (4) \\
    ZrSi_2(s) + SiC(s) &\rightarrow ZrC(s) + 3Si(l) \quad (5) \\
    ZrSi_2(s) + ZrC(s) &\rightarrow SiC(s) + Zr(l) \quad (6) \\
    Zr(l) + C(s) &\rightarrow ZrC(s) \quad (7) \\
    Si(l) + C(s) &\rightarrow SiC(s) \quad (8) \\
    Zr(l) + SiC(s) &\rightarrow ZrC(s) + Si(l) \quad (9) \\
    ZrC(s) + Si(l) &\rightarrow SiC(s) + Zr(l) \quad (10)
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 4. The Gibbs free energy change for reactions (4)–(10) as a function of temperature.

When the temperature is 1450 °C, which is lower than the melting point of ZrSi\(_2\) (1620 °C) [28], the reaction (4) to form SiC and a small amount of ZrC exhibits negative Gibbs free energy. However, the formation of the SiC–ZrC layer can slow the reaction rate of reaction (4) and hinder the diffusion of the carbon from the carbon matrix. As a result, a relatively thin and porous SiC–ZrC–ZrSi\(_2\) coating was formed on the surface. As the temperature increased to 1550 °C, compared with the S1-A coating, a similar structure for S1-B coating was formed in the early stage of the reaction. However, because of the higher temperature (close to the melting point of ZrSi\(_2\)) and the long holding time, the partially melted Zr–Si eutectic was formed in the PC process. Accordingly, the porous layer was filled by the Zr–Si eutectic at negative pressure conditions. According to reaction (9), the content of ZrC and Si increased in porous S1-B coating, with the content of SiC decreased. As a result, the Si with low viscosity preferentially penetrated into the porous S1-B coating, forming a gradient ZrC–SiC coating in the later stage. As the temperature exceeds 1620 °C, according to reactions (4), (5), and (7–9), it is speculated that residual melt contains Si, Zr, and ZrC. The ZrC with high viscosity tends to precipitate on the surface, while the Si with lower viscosity tends to infiltrate into the inner layer. Therefore, a gradient ZrC–SiC coating with ZrC-rich outer layer was formed in the S1-C and S1-D coating. However, under the high-temperature and negative pressure conditions, the excessive Si was easily volatilized, causing the higher porosity and lower density of the S1-D coating than that of the S1-C coating. Meanwhile, with the increased PC temperature (1750 °C) and volatilization of
Si, the viscosity of the melt increased, causing the thinner ZrC–SiC inner layer at a higher temperature.

3.2. Effect of ZrC–SiC Inner Layer on Microstructure and Ablation Properties of Double-Layer ZrC–SiC/SiC Coating

The XRD patterns and surface images of the CVD–SiC layers deposited at different inner layers are shown in Figure 5. The prepared coatings mainly consisted of cubic SiC (JCPDS card No. 01-1119). A relatively low-temperature tends to the formation of cubic SiC crystal structure [17,29]. From Figure 5b–e, it can be seen that all the SiC surfaces revealed a typical mushroom structure, which is due to the supersaturation-condensation-fusion (SCF) process and nucleation mechanism of SiC growth at relatively low temperatures [30]. In addition, some bulges and grooves were found in Figure 5b, which should be attributed to the inheritance of the S1-A surface structure. Some gaps in the S2-B surface were formed among SiC mushroom crystals. The outer layer could infiltrate into these bulges, grooves, and gaps to form the interlock structure, thereby improving the bonding strength between CVD–SiC and the outer layer. However, with the decreased roughness of the inner layer, the mushroom morphologies surface became smoother and flattened. According to the SCF theory, the liquid droplets first formed on the matrix and then crystallized into SiC coating [29,31]. The porous and rugged inner layer limited the surface diffusion of liquid droplets, resulting in a reduced fusion of liquid droplets in the growth process. Nevertheless, the surface of SiC tended to flatten due to the full fusion of liquid droplets on a compactness and flatter substrate (Figure 5d,e), which was harmful to improving the bonding strength of the multilayer coating.

![Figure 5. XRD patterns (a) and surface images of the SiC layer. (b) S2-A; (c) S2-B; (d) S2-C; (e) S2-D.](image-url)
The ablation rates of S2 coatings are listed in Table 3. The ablation rates increased with the elevated PC temperature, indicating a superior ablation resistance of the double-layer coatings at the lower PC temperature. Figure 6 shows the XRD patterns of S2 coating after ablation. From Figure 6, it can be seen that the S2-A coating was SiC, while the S2-B and S2-C coatings were ZrO$_2$ and ZrC in addition to SiC, implying that the CVD–SiC layer was severely destroyed. Furthermore, compared with other coatings, the carbon peak was presented in the S2-D coating, which could be ascribed to the spallation of the inner layer and the exposure of the carbon substrate.

Table 3. The mass and linear ablation rate of S2 coatings after 60 s ablation.

| Sample | Mass Ablation Rate (mg/s) 0–60 s | Linear Ablation Rate (µm/s) 0–60 s |
|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| S2-A   | 0.13 ± 0.07                     | −0.12 ± 0.01                      |
| S2-B   | 0.30 ± 0.05                     | 12.35 ± 0.06                      |
| S2-C   | 0.33 ± 0.12                     | 15.43 ± 0.09                      |
| S2-D   | 0.90 ± 0.16                     | 24.69 ± 0.15                      |

Figure 6. XRD patterns of the S2 coatings after 60 s ablation.

The surface images and EDS analyses of S2 coatings after 60 s ablation are presented in Figure 7. The ablation center of the S2-A coating was a relatively intact SiO$_2$ layer (Figure 7a,b). The CVD–SiC layers of the S2-B, S2-C, and S2-D coatings were peeled off, with the inner layer of the carbon fibers exposed (Figure 7c,e,g) in the ablation center. Moreover, the interface of the denuded CVD–SiC layer changed from zigzag to relatively flat and smooth in the S2-B and S2-C coatings (Figure 7d,f). Moreover, the thermal shock cracks of the S2-D coating led to the spallation of the CVD–SiC layer under the denudation force (Figure 7h). One possible reason was the dense inner layer with a smooth surface caused a weak interface boning force between the inner and outer layers. Another alternative explanation was the inner layer with relatively high ZrC content could increase the CTE mismatch of the inner and SiC outer layer due to the higher CTE of ZrC ($\alpha_{ZrC} = 6.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$) than SiC ($\alpha_{SiC} = 4.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$) [32]. Therefore, the double-layer coating with ZrC-rich inner layer exhibited an inferior thermal shock and ablation resistance.
Figure 7. The surface images and energy-dispersive spectrometer (EDS) analyses of the S2 coatings after 60 s ablation. (a,b): S2-A; (c,d): S2-B; (e,f): S2-C; (g,h): S2-D.

3.3. Effect of ZrC–SiC Inner Layer on Microstructure and Ablation Properties of ZrC–SiC/SiC/ZrC–SiC Tri-Layer Coating

Figure 8 exhibits surface images, elemental mapping and XRD pattern of the prepared ZrC–SiC outer layer. All the coatings were composed of molten particles, which were identical to the typical PS microstructure. According to elemental mapping resulted (Figure 8e,g), it suggests that Zr and Si were uniformly distributed in the coating, which was beneficial to the ablation property of the coating. Combined with the XRD results (Figure 8h), a small amount of ZrO$_2$ was formed in addition to the main phase of ZrC and SiC, which was attributed to the slightly oxidized powders in the PS process at high temperature [32].
The cross-sectional images and line scanning of prepared S3 coatings are shown in Figure 9. It is obvious that all the coatings exhibit a three-layer structure, i.e., the ZrC–SiC inner bonding layer, the CVD–SiC middle layer and the ZrC–SiC outer layer. Meanwhile, no obvious cracks were observed on the whole cross-section of all coatings owning to a good CTE match of SiC with ZrC and SiC–ZrC. Moreover, no obvious interface was observed between CVD–SiC and porous inner layer, indicating the good bonding between the two layers due to the infiltration of the SiC phase into the pores of the inner layer in the CVD process (Figure 9a). The formation of this root-like pinning interface structure was beneficial to improving the bonding strength of multilayer coating. Meanwhile, because of the jagged and porous surface of the inner layer (S1-A), the CVD SiC middle layer revealed an irregular undulating interface feature, resulting in the sawtooth combination between the middle and outer layer after the PS. With the increasing PC temperature, the ZrC–SiC inner layer became denser, the smooth surface with fewer defects caused the flat interface combination between the middle and outer layer. As shown in Figure 9, it was noted that
the interface between the middle and outer layer was irregular and winding after 1450 °C PC due to the bulges and grooves of the middle SiC coating, while the interfaces of the other samples were relatively straight due to much flatter surface of the middle SiC layer after higher PC temperature (Figure 5b–e).

Figure 9. Cross-sectional SEM images and line scanning of the prepared S3 coatings. (a) S3-A; (b) S3-B; (c) S3-C; (d) S3-D; (e) the line scanning of line 1 in (b).

The macrographs of S3 coatings after 60 s ablation are shown in Figure 10. Concerning the S3 coating, the oxide scale of the S3-A was relatively intact (Figure 10a). However, the oxide scale of the S3-B coating peels off from the middle SiC layer (Figure 10b). Meanwhile, it was noted that S3-C and S3-D coatings suffer complete failure, with the ZrC–SiC outer layer all peels off (Figure 10c,d). The ablation rates of S3 coatings after ablation are summarized in Table 4. The mass and linear ablation rates increased with the elevated PC temperature, indicating that the lower PC temperature was conducive to enhancing the ablation resistance of tri-layer coatings.

Figure 11 shows the XRD patterns of ablated tri-layer coatings. According to Figure 11, the main phases of S3-A, S3-B, and S3-C coatings were ZrO$_2$, ZrC, and SiC, while the S3-D coating mainly contained SiO$_2$, SiC, and a small amount of ZrO$_2$ phases, which was consistent with the peeling phenomenon of the ZrC–SiC outer layer in a large area from SiC middle layer.

The surface morphologies of the S3-A and S3-B coatings after 60 s ablation are displayed in Figure 12. According to EDS analyses, the surface was covered by a molten ZrO$_2$
Figure 10. Macrographs of the S3 coatings after 60 s ablation time. (a) S3-A; (b) S3-B; (c) S3-C; (d) S3-D.

Table 4. The mass and linear ablation rate of S3 coatings after 60 s ablation.

| Sample   | Mass Ablation Rate (mg/s) | Linear Ablation Rate (μm/s) |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| S3-A     | 0.05 ± 0.02               | 0.02 ± 0.05                 |
| S3-B     | 0.18 ± 0.07               | 2.00 ± 0.02                 |
| S3-C     | 0.82 ± 0.04               | 3.07 ± 0.06                 |
| S3-D     | 1.92 ± 0.15               | 3.20 ± 0.16                 |

Figure 11. XRD patterns of the S3 coatings after 60 s ablation time.

The surface and cross-sectional morphologies of S3-C and S3-D coatings after 60 s ablation are displayed in Figure 13. From Figure 13a–c, due to the completely peeling off of the ZrC–SiC outer layer in the S3-C coating, the infiltrated SiO$_2$ originated from the oxidation of the outer ZrC–SiC layer adhered to the flat SiC surface to form a relatively dense protection layer. Similarly, the surface of the S3-D coating was also covered by the SiO$_2$ glass layer (Figure 13d–f). However, different from the S3-C coating, the SiO$_2$ came from the oxidation of the CVD–SiC due to the devastating spalling of the outer layer.
Figure 12. Surface morphologies of the S3-A and S3-B coatings after ablation for 60 s. (a): S3-A; (b): S3-B.

Figure 13. Surface and cross-sectional morphologies of S3-C and S3-D coatings after ablation for 60 s. (a–c): S3-C; (d–f): S3-D.
3.4. Ablation Mechanism

Based on the analyses above, it was concluded that the structure and phase composition of the inner layer was determined by the PC temperature. With the elevated temperature, the SiC content in all inner layers decreased. Especially in the high-temperature range of 1650 and 1750 °C, the ZrC-rich surficial layer increases the CTE mismatch of the C/C matrix and outer layer, resulting in deteriorating the thermal shock resistance of the coating. Meanwhile, owing to the reaction changed from a solid state to a liquid state with the elevated temperature, the growth of ZrC and SiC grains exhibited a more compact coating structure with a flat surface containing fewer defects, which significantly affected the interface structure and bonding ability of middle layer and outer layer.

(1) The effect of the ZrC–SiC inner layer on the ablation mechanism of the double-layer coating: The jagged and porous surface of the inner layer with an irregular interface directly determined the interface bonding state between the inner layer and middle transition layer. The dimples with greater fluctuation on the inner layer surface provided more deposition sites and a combined interface for the CVD–SiC coating, which enhanced their bonding ability. Meanwhile, the deep jagged structure with microholes was beneficial for SiC infiltration and forming a root-like pinning interface at PC temperature of 1450 °C. With the decrease of defect depth, the interfaces evolved from a deep root-like pinning structure to a shallow jagged structure and then to a smooth and straightness structure, resulting in the weakened interface bonding strength and inferior thermal shock resistance of the double-layer coating. Furthermore, as the temperature rose to 1750 °C, the dense inner layer with high ZrC content induced a higher CTE mismatch between the ZrC rich inner and SiC outer layers, which led to the failure of the double-layer coating (Figure 7).

(2) Effect of the ZrC–SiC inner layer on ablation mechanism of the tri-layer coating: The ablation process of the ZrC–SiC coating, which can occur under high-temperature and different oxygen pressure, followed reactions as below:

\[
\begin{align*}
2ZrC(s) + 3O_2(g) & \rightarrow 2ZrO_2(s) + 2CO(g) \quad (11) \\
2SiC(s) + O_2(g) & \rightarrow 2Si(l) + 2CO(g) \quad (12) \\
2SiC(s) + O_2(g) & \rightarrow 2Si(g) + 2CO(g) \quad (13) \\
2SiC(s) + 3O_2(g) & \rightarrow 2SiO_2(l) + 2CO(g) \quad (14) \\
SiC(s) + O_2(g) & \rightarrow SiO(g) + CO(g) \quad (15) \\
Si(l) + O_2(g) & \rightarrow SiO_2(l) \quad (16) \\
ZrO_2(s) & \rightarrow ZrO_2(l) \rightarrow ZrO_2(g) \quad (17)
\end{align*}
\]

The volatility diagrams are used to predict the possible oxidation products [35,36], aiming to explain the ablation mechanism of tri-layer coatings. In this work, the ablation temperature was about 2300 °C; therefore, corresponding volatility diagrams of ZrC and SiC were shown in Figure 14. SiC and ZrC were oxidized when pO₂ reaches 10⁻¹² and 10⁻¹⁰.⁵ atm, respectively, indicating that SiC was preferentially ablated than ZrC under the high-temperature flame. With the increased pO₂, the liquid Si and SiO₂ could effectively fill pores and cracks caused by volume expansion for the oxidation of ZrC and SiC to form a dense outer ZrO₂–SiO₂ layer (Equations (11)–(14), (16)). However, as the ablation time extended, according to Figure 15a, the vapor pressure of Si and SiO₂ was about 700 times higher than that of ZrO₂ at 2300 °C. Meanwhile, the decomposition pressure of SiO₂ was much higher than that of ZrO₂ (Figure 15b), causing the formation of a porous ZrO₂ skeleton layer. Thanks to the jagged and porous surface of the inner layer with lower PC temperature (1450 °C), the irregular undulating surface of the CVD–SiC middle layer was inherited from the inner layer, generating the sawtooth combination between the middle and outer layer, which caused a strong interface bonding strength and restrained the spallation of the coating during ablation [25,37]. As a result, ZrO₂ could react with a small fraction of SiO₂ to form a dense ZrO₂–SiO₂ solid solution with a low melting point.
and avoid the spalling of the ZrO$_2$ scale (Equation (17)). With the elevated PC temperature, defects and undulation degree of the interface decreased gradually (Figure 9), weakening the interface bonding strength and thermal shock resistance of the coating. Therefore, the porous ZrO$_2$ scale peeled off and infiltrated SiO$_2$ was exposed, as shown in Figure 12. As the PC temperature exceeded 1650 °C, apart from the inferior interface bonding ability between the layers, the inner layer with high ZrC content induced a higher CTE mismatch between the inner layer and outer layers, which led to the failure of sprayed ZrC–SiC coating and the exposure of infiltrated SiO$_2$ on the CVD–SiC surface (Figure 13).

![Volatility diagram for the ZrC–SiC system at 2300 °C.](image)

Figure 14. Volatility diagram for the ZrC–SiC system at 2300 °C.

![Vapor pressures and decomposition pressures for Si, SiO$_2$, and ZrO$_2$ at 500–3000 °C.](image)

Figure 15. Vapor pressures (a) and decomposition pressures (b) for Si, SiO$_2$, and ZrO$_2$ at 500–3000 °C.

Therefore, the interface structure and phase of the inner layer significantly affected the interface bonding strength and thermal shock resistance of the multilayer coating. The deep surface defects and SiC rich phase of the inner layer contributed to the high bonding strength and good CTE match between the layers, leading to the formation of a dense oxide scale, which effectively protected the multilayer coating for a long-duration ablation (S3-A coating). Compared with the S3-A coating, the shallower defect depth and higher ZrC content of the S3-B coating in the inner layer caused the partial spallation of the oxide scale. Therefore, moderate bonding strength and CTE matching ensure the integrity of multilayer coating. With the elevated PC temperature, the smooth surface and high ZrC phase in the inner layer severely deteriorated bonding strength and CTE mismatch between the layers, resulting in the catastrophic failure of the outer layers of S3-C coating and S3-D coatings.
4. Conclusions

The inner layers with different microstructure and phases were prepared to ameliorate the CTE mismatch and the interface bonding strength of multilayer coating. Through surface optimization of the inner layer, an interlocking structure of SiC/ZrC–SiC tri-layer coatings was fabricated on C/C composites by PC, CVD and PS methods.

(1) The S2-A and S3-A coatings exhibited the best ablation properties. The formation of a deep root-like pinning structure, with a strong bonding strength and good CTE match, contribute to the protection of C/C substrate;

(2) With the elevated PC temperature, the growth of ZrC and SiC grains exhibited a more compact coating structure with a flat surface containing fewer defects, which could reduce interface bonding strength and deteriorate the thermal shock resistance of the multilayer coating;

(3) At a higher PC temperature, the presence of high ZrC content, with a high CTE mismatch between the inner layer and outer, could cause the cracking even the failure of multilayer coating;

(4) This work convinced us that the introduction of the porous ZrC–SiC inner layer had a positive effect in optimizing the ablation resistance of multilayer coatings.
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