We prove the simplicity and isolation of the first eigenvalue for the problem $\Delta_p u = |u|^{p-2}u$ in a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, with a nonlinear boundary condition given by $|\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u$ on the boundary of the domain.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the first eigenvalue for the following problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_p u &= |u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
|\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= \lambda |u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
$$

(1.1)

Here $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth boundary, $\Delta_p u = \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the $p$-Laplacian, and $\partial/\partial \nu$ is the outer normal derivative. In the linear case, that is for $p = 2$, this eigenvalue problem is known as the Steklov problem (see [3]).

Problems of the form (1.1) appear in a natural way when one considers the Sobolev trace inequality. In fact, the immersion $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\partial \Omega)$ is compact, hence there exists a constant $\lambda_1$ such that

$$
\lambda_1^{1/p} \|u\|_{L^p(\partial \Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}.
$$

(1.2)

The extremals (functions where the constant is attained) are solutions of (1.1). This Sobolev trace constant $\lambda_1$ can be characterized as

$$
\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \, dx, \int_{\partial \Omega} |u|^p = 1 \right\},
$$

(1.3)
and is the first eigenvalue of (1.1) in the sense that \( \lambda_1 \leq \lambda \) for any other eigenvalue \( \lambda \).

In [13] it is proved that, there exists a sequence of eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \) of (1.1) such that \( \lambda_n \to +\infty \) as \( n \to +\infty \). This is done using standard variational arguments together with the Sobolev trace immersion that provide the necessary compactness. Indeed, for solutions of (1.1) we can understand critical points of the associated energy functional

\[
\mathcal{F}(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\partial \Omega} |u|^p. \tag{1.4}
\]

This functional \( \mathcal{F} \) is well defined and \( C^1 \) in \( W^{1,p}(\Omega) \) and the usual min-max techniques can be applied (see [13]). Also see [14] for similar results for the \( p \)-Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

We prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** The first eigenvalue \( \lambda_1 \) is isolated and simple.

We remark that this theorem says that the extremals of the Sobolev trace inequality are unique up to multiplication by a real number. In the special case of a ball, \( \Omega = B(0, R) \), our result implies that the first eigenfunction is radial. In fact, if \( u_1(x) \) is an eigenfunction associated to \( \lambda_1 \) and \( R(x) \) is any rotation, then \( u_1(R(x)) \) is also an eigenfunction, by our result we have that \( u_1(x) = u_1(R(x)) \). We conclude that \( u_1 \) must be radial. Also from our results it follows that any other eigenvalue has nonradial eigenfunctions as they have to change sign on the boundary (see Lemma 2.4).

The study of the eigenvalue problem when the nonlinear term is placed in the equation, that is, when one considers a quasilinear problem of the form \(-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u \) with Dirichlet boundary conditions, has received considerable attention (cf. [1, 2, 15, 14, 17], etc.).

However, nonlinear boundary conditions have only been considered in recent years. For the Laplace operator with nonlinear boundary conditions (cf. [5, 6, 8, 16, 19]). For elliptic systems with nonlinear boundary conditions (see [11, 12]). For previous work for the \( p \)-Laplacian with nonlinear boundary conditions of different type see [7, 13, 18]. Also, one is led to nonlinear boundary conditions in the study of conformal deformations on Riemannian manifolds with boundary (cf. [4, 9, 10]).

**2. Proof of the main result**

In this section, we prove that the first eigenvalue \( \lambda_1 \) is isolated and simple. To clarify the exposition, we will divide the proof in several lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( u_1 \) be an eigenfunction with eigenvalue \( \lambda_1 \), then \( u_1 \) does not change sign on \( \Omega \). Moreover, if \( u_1 \) is \( C^{1,\alpha} \), it does not vanish on \( \bar{\Omega} \).
Proof. We have that $|u_1|$ is also a minimizer of (1.3). By the maximum principle (see [20]) we have that $|u_1| > 0$ in $\Omega$. Assume that $u_1$ is regular and that there exists $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ such that $u_1(x_0) = 0$, by the Hopf lemma (see [20]) we have that the normal derivative has strict sign, $(\partial |u_1|/\partial v)(x_0) < 0$, but the boundary condition imposes $(\partial |u_1|/\partial v)(x_0) = 0$, a contradiction which proves that $|u_1| > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. The result follows. □

Now we state an auxiliary lemma,

**Lemma 2.2.** (a) Let $p \geq 2$, then for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$|\xi_2|^p \geq |\xi_1|^p + p|\xi_1|^{p-2}\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 - \xi_1 \rangle + C(p)|\xi_1 - \xi_2|^p.$$  (2.1)

(b) Let $p < 2$, then for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$|\xi_2|^p \geq |\xi_1|^p + p|\xi_1|^{p-2}\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 - \xi_1 \rangle + C(p)\frac{|\xi_1 - \xi_2|^p}{(|\xi_2| + |\xi_1|)^{2-p}},$$  (2.2)

where $C(p)$ is a constant depending only on $p$.

**Proof.** See [17]. □

**Lemma 2.3.** The first eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ is simple. Let $u, v$ be two eigenfunctions associated with $\lambda_1$, then there exists $c$ such that $u = cv$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.1, we can assume that $u, v$ are positive in $\Omega$. We perform the following calculations assuming that $u, v$ are strictly positive in $\bar{\Omega}$, to obtain our result we can consider $u + \varepsilon$ and $v + \varepsilon$ and let $\varepsilon \to 0$ at the end as in [17]. Therefore, we can take $\eta_1 = (u^p - v^p)/u^{p-1}$ and $\eta_2 = (v^p - u^p)/v^{p-1}$ as test functions in the weak form of (1.1) satisfied by $u$ and $v$, respectively. We have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \nabla \left( \frac{u^p - v^p}{u^{p-1}} \right) = \lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} |u|^{p-2}u \left( \frac{u^p - v^p}{u^{p-1}} \right) - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2}u \left( \frac{u^p - v^p}{u^{p-1}} \right),$$  (2.3)

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v \nabla \left( \frac{v^p - u^p}{v^{p-1}} \right) = \lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} |v|^{p-2}v \left( \frac{v^p - u^p}{v^{p-1}} \right) - \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p-2}v \left( \frac{v^p - u^p}{v^{p-1}} \right).$$

Adding both equations we get

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \nabla \left( \frac{u^p - v^p}{u^{p-1}} \right) + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v \nabla \left( \frac{v^p - u^p}{v^{p-1}} \right).$$  (2.4)
Using
\[ \nabla \left( \frac{u^p - v^p}{u^{p-1}} \right) = \nabla u - p \frac{v^{p-1}}{u^{p-1}} \nabla v + (p - 1) \frac{v^p}{u^p} \nabla u, \] (2.5)
we obtain that the first term of (2.4) is
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{p}{p-1} |\nabla v| |\nabla u| + (p - 1) \frac{v^p}{u^p} |\nabla u|^p \\
= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \ln u|^p u - p \int_{\Omega} \frac{v^p}{u^{p-1}} |\nabla \ln u| |\nabla u| \\
+ \int_{\Omega} (p - 1) |\nabla \ln u|^p v^p. \] (2.6)

We also have an analogous expression for the second term of (2.4). Using both expressions we get that (2.4) becomes
\[
0 = \int_{\Omega} (u^p - v^p) (|\nabla \ln u|^p - |\nabla \ln v|^p) \\
- p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \ln u|^p |\nabla \ln v|^p - (\nabla \ln u, \nabla \ln v) u v \] (2.7)
\[
- p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \ln v|^p |\nabla \ln u|^p - (\nabla \ln v, \nabla \ln u) u v \}. \]

Taking \( \xi_1 = \nabla \ln u \) and \( \xi_2 = \nabla \ln v \) and using Lemma 2.2 we get, for \( p \geq 2 \),
\[
0 \geq \int_{\Omega} C(p) |\nabla \ln u - \nabla \ln v|^p (u^p + v^p). \] (2.8)
Hence,
\[
0 = |\nabla \ln u - \nabla \ln v|. \] (2.9)

This implies that \( u = kv \), as we wanted to prove. For \( p < 2 \), we use the second part of Lemma 2.2 as above.

Now we turn our attention to the proof of the isolation of the first eigenvalue, in order to prove this we need the following nodal result.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( w \) be an eigenfunction corresponding to \( \lambda \neq \lambda_1 \), then \( w \) changes sign on \( \partial \Omega \), that is, \( w^+|_{\partial \Omega} \neq 0 \) and \( w^-|_{\partial \Omega} \neq 0 \). Moreover, there exists a constant \( C \) such that
\[
|\partial \Omega^+| \geq C \lambda^{-\beta}, \quad |\partial \Omega^-| \geq C \lambda^{-\beta}, \] (2.10)
where $\partial^+ = \partial \cap \{ w > 0 \}$, $\partial^- = \partial \cap \{ w < 0 \}$, $\beta = (N-1)/(p-1)$ if $1 < p < N$ and $\beta = 2$ if $p \geq N$. Here $|A|$ denotes the $(N-1)$-dimensional measure of a subset $A$ of the boundary.

**Proof.** Assume that $w$ does not change sign in $\Omega$, then we can assume that $w > 0$ in $\Omega$ using ideas similar to those of Lemma 2.1. Let $u_1$ be a positive eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_1$. Making similar computations as the ones performed in the proof of Lemma 2.3 we arrive at

$$
(\lambda_1 - \lambda) \int_{\partial \Omega} (u_1^p - w^p) \geq C \int_\Omega |\nabla \ln w - \nabla \ln u_1|^p (u_1^p + w^p) \geq 0. \quad (2.11)
$$

Therefore, if we take $kw$ instead of $w$ we get that, for every $k > 0$, we have

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} (u_1^p - k^p w^p) \leq 0,
$$

which is a contradiction if we take

$$
k^p \left( \int_{\partial \Omega} w^p \right) < \left( \int_{\partial \Omega} u_1^p \right). \quad (2.12)
$$

Therefore, $w$ changes sign in $\Omega$ and by the maximum principle, [20], also $w$ changes sign in $\partial \Omega$.

We use $w^-$ as test function in the weak form of (1.1) satisfied by $w$ to obtain

$$
\int_\Omega |\nabla w^-|^p + \int_\Omega |w^-|^p = \lambda \int_{\partial \Omega \cap \{ w < 0 \}} |w^-|^p. \quad (2.14)
$$

Hence,

$$
\|w^-\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \leq \lambda \left( \int_{\partial \Omega} |w^-|^{p\alpha} \right)^{1/\alpha} \|\partial \Omega\|^{1/\beta}. \quad (2.15)
$$

If $1 < p < N$ we choose $\alpha = (N-1)/(N-p)$ and $\beta = (N-1)/(p-1)$. Now we use the trace theorem to get that there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\|w^-\|_{L^p(\partial \Omega)}^p \leq C \|w^-\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p. \quad (2.16)
$$

If $p \geq N$, we choose $\alpha = \beta = 2$ and we argue as before using that $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\partial \Omega)$. A similar argument works for $w^+$. \qed

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)'$, then there exists a unique weak solution $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of

$$
-\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2} u = \phi. \quad (2.17)
$$

Moreover, the operator $A_p : \phi \mapsto u$ is continuous.
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Proof. See [13]. □

With these lemmas we can prove the isolation of $\lambda_1$.

**Lemma 2.6.** The first eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ is isolated, that is, there exists $a > \lambda_1$ such that $\lambda_1$ is the unique eigenvalue in $[0, a]$.

**Proof.** From the characterization of $\lambda_1$, it is easy to see that $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda$ for every eigenvalue $\lambda$. Assume that $\lambda_1$ is not isolated, then there exists a sequence $\lambda_k$ with $\lambda_k > \lambda_1$, $\lambda_k \searrow \lambda_1$. Let $w_k$ be an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_k$, we can assume that $\|w_k\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 1$. Therefore, we can extract a subsequence (that we still denote by $w_k$) such that $w_k \to u_1$ in $L^p(\partial\Omega)$. Define $\phi_k \in (W^{1,p}(\Omega))'$ as

$$\phi_k(u) = \lambda_k \int_{\partial\Omega} |w_k|^{p-2} w_k u$$

and $\phi \in (W^{1,p}(\Omega))'$ by

$$\phi(u) = \lambda_1 \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_1|^{p-2} u_1 u.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.19)

From the $L^p(\partial\Omega)$ convergence of $w_k$ to $u_1$ we get that $\phi_k$ converges to $\phi$ in $(W^{1,p}(\Omega))'$. Using the continuity of $A_p$ given by **Lemma 2.5** we get that the sequence $w_k$ converge strongly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Therefore, passing to the limit in the weak form of (1.1) we get that $u_1$ is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\lambda_1$. By **Lemma 2.1** we can assume that $u_1 > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. By Egorov’s theorem we can find a subset $A_\varepsilon$ of $\partial\Omega$ such that $|A_\varepsilon| < \varepsilon$ and $w_k \to u_1 > 0$ uniformly in $\partial\Omega \setminus A_\varepsilon$. This contradicts the fact that, by (2.10), we have, for every $k$

$$|\partial\Omega_k^-| = \partial\Omega \cap \{w_k < 0\} \geq C\lambda_k^{-(N-1)/(p-1)}. \hspace{1cm} (2.20)$$

This completes the proof. □
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