Corticosteroid Activation of Atlantic Sea Lamprey Corticoid Receptor: Allosteric Regulation by the N-terminal Domain
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Abstract

Lampreys are jawless fish that evolved about 550 million years ago at the base of the vertebrate line. Modern lampreys contain a corticoid receptor (CR), the common ancestor of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and mineralocorticoid receptor (MR), which first appear in cartilaginous fish, such as sharks. Until recently, 344 amino acids at the amino terminus of adult lamprey CR were not present in the lamprey CR sequence in GenBank. A search of the recently sequenced lamprey germline genome identified two CR sequences, CR1 and CR2, containing the 344 previously un-identified amino acids at the amino terminus. CR1 also contains a novel four amino acid insertion in the DNA-binding domain (DBD). We studied corticosteroid activation of CR1 and CR2 and found their strongest response was to 11-deoxycorticosterone and 11-deoxycortisol, the two circulating corticosteroids in lamprey. Based on steroid specificity, both CRs are close to elephant shark MR and distant from elephant shark GR. HEK293 cells transfected with full-length CR1 or CR2 and the MMTV promoter have about 3-fold higher steroid-mediated activation compared to HEK293 cells transfected with these CRs and the TAT3 promoter. Deletion of the amino-terminal domain (NTD) of lamprey CR1 and CR2 to form truncated CRs decreased transcriptional activation by about 70% in HEK293 cells transfected with MMTV, but increased transcription by about 6--fold in cells transfected with TAT3, indicating that the promoter has an important effect on NTD regulation of CR transcription by corticosteroids.

1. Introduction.

The sea lamprey (Petromyzon marinus), belongs to an ancient group of jawless vertebrates known as cyclostomes, which last shared a common ancestor with vertebrates about 550 million years ago (1–3). As an outgroup to the jawed vertebrates, lampreys are important for studying early events in the evolution of vertebrates (1–8). Lampreys and hagfish, the other extant cyclostome lineage, contain a corticoid receptor (CR), which is the common ancestor to both the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) and the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) (4,9). The MR and GR first appear as separate steroid receptors in sharks and chimeras (4,9–13). The CR, MR and GR belong to the nuclear receptor family of transcription factors, which also contains the progesterone receptor, estrogen receptor and androgen receptor (14–18).
Early studies from Thornton’s laboratory provided important insights into corticosteroid activation of lamprey CR (4). These studies reported that several corticosteroids (Figure 1), including aldosterone, cortisol, corticosterone, 11-deoxycorticosterone and 11-deoxycortisol activated lamprey CR. Although aldosterone, the physiological mineralocorticoid in humans and other terrestrial vertebrates (13, 19–25), is the strongest activator of lamprey CR (4), neither lampreys nor hagfish synthesize aldosterone (4). Later studies with lamprey revealed that 11-deoxycortisol and 11-deoxycorticosterone (Figure 1) are the circulating corticosteroids in sea lamprey (26–28).
Figure 1. Structures of Corticosteroids. 11-deoxycorticosterone and 11-deoxycortisol are circulating corticosteroids in lamprey (26–28). Aldosterone is the physiological mineralocorticoid in terrestrial vertebrates (13,19–24). Aldosterone is not synthesized by lampreys (4). Cortisol and corticosterone are glucocorticoids in terrestrial vertebrates and fish (29–32).
Until recently, due to complexities in sequencing and assembly of the lamprey’s highly repetitive and GC rich genome (3,33,34), DNA encoding 344 amino acids at the amino terminus of lamprey CR was present on a separate contiguous sequence that was not joined with the rest of the lamprey CR sequence and therefore not retrieved with BLAST searches of GenBank. The recent sequencing of the sea lamprey germline genome (35) provided contiguous DNA for two CR isoforms, CR1 and CR2, that encode the previously unassembled 344 amino acids at the amino terminus. The sequences of lamprey CR1 and CR2 reveal that like other nuclear receptors, lamprey CR is a multi-domain protein consisting of an N-terminal domain (NTD), a central DNA-binding domain (DBD), a hinge domain and a C-terminal ligand-binding domain (LBD) (4,14,36,37) (Figure 2). The DBD and LBD in lamprey CR are conserved in vertebrate MRs and GRs (Figure 2) (9,38), while their sequences in the NTD and hinge domains have diverged (Figure 2). The only sequence difference between lamprey CR1 and CR2 is a four amino acid insertion in the DBD in CR1 is not present in the DBD in CR2 or in other GRs and MRs.
Figure 2. Comparison of the functional domains of lamprey CR1 to CR2, previously cloned lamprey CR and selected vertebrate MRs (human, elephant shark, zebrafish) and GRs (human, elephant shark, zebrafish). Lamprey CR1 and human MR and GR have 87% and 90% identity in the DBD and 57% and 51% identity in the LBD, respectively. Lamprey CR1 and elephant shark MR and GR have 89% and 90% identity in the DBD and 59% and 57% identity in the LBD, respectively. Lamprey CR1 and zebrafish MR and GR have 87% and 89% identity in the DBD and 60% and 55% identity in the LBD, respectively. This strong conservation of the DBD and LBD contrasts with the low sequence identity of 10-19% and 15-36% between their NTD and hinge domains, respectively. Lamprey CR (4,38) is a partial CR sequence that was cloned from adult lamprey.

With the full sequences of the two lamprey CR isoforms in hand, we investigated four questions about this receptor that shares common ancestry with gnathostome GRs and MRs (9,13,38). First, what is the response of lamprey CR to a panel of physiological corticosteroids (aldosterone, cortisol, corticosterone, 11-deoxycorticosterone and 11-deoxycortisol) (Figure 1)
for vertebrate GRs and MRs, and, second, how does this response compare to the response to these steroids by elephant shark GR and MR (12,39)? Comparison of corticosteroid activation of lamprey CR with elephant shark GR and MR can provide insights into the evolution of corticosteroid specificity in the GR and MR.

Third, what is the role, if any, of the NTD in transcriptional activation of lamprey CR? The NTD on human GR contains an activation function 1 (AF1) domain that is very important in GR activation by steroids (40–48). The NTD on human MR also contains an AF1 domain, although it is a much weaker activator of the MR (41,49–51) compared to the AF1 on human GR. The NTD on elephant shark GR also contains a strong AF1 (12). The low sequence identity (less than 20%) between the NTD in lamprey CR and in elephant shark MR and GR raises the question: Is there AF1 activity in lamprey CR or did a strong AF1 evolve after CR duplication and divergence to form vertebrate GR and MR?

Fourth, what is the role of the MMTV (52,53) and TAT3 (54) promoters in transcriptional activation of lamprey CR? That is, does lamprey CR have different responses to corticosteroids in cells co-transfected with MMTV or TAT3 promoters, as we found for cells co-transfected with either MMTV or TAT3 and either elephant shark MR (55) or GR (12). Comparison of activation of lamprey CR in cells with either MMTV or TAT3 with that of elephant shark GR and MR could indicate whether lamprey CR was closer to the GR or to the MR, and thus shed light on the evolution of the GR and MR from their common ancestor.

In this report, we used two metrics for evaluating activation by steroids of lamprey CR and other receptors. The first metric was the half maximal response (EC50) to various steroids, and the second metric was the strength (fold-activation) of transcription. Combined, these two metrics provide insights into the relevance of a steroid as a physiological ligand for the CR.

Our initial experiments focused on lamprey CR1 because RNA-Seq analysis indicates that CR1 is more highly expressed than CR2 (greater than 99%) in lamprey tissue. However, CR1 and CR2 have similar EC50s for corticosteroids. We find that the EC50s for activation by 11-deoxycorticosterone and 11-deoxycortisol, the two circulating corticosteroids in lampreys (26–28), of full-length lamprey CR1 in HEK293 cells with MMTV were 0.16 nM and 1.5 nM, respectively. These are the lowest EC50s for CR1 among the corticosteroids that we studied. Aldosterone, cortisol and corticosterone had EC50s from 2 nM to 9.9 nM for activation of CR1
in cells with MMTV. For truncated CR1, which lacks the NTD, the EC50 of 11-deoxycorticosterone for lamprey CR1 in HEK293 cells with MMTV was 0.4 nM, while EC50s of the other corticosteroids for lamprey CR1 increased from 3 to 6-fold.

Comparison of corticosteroid activation of CR with that of elephant shark MR and GR reveals that full-length and truncated elephant shark MR and the CR have similar EC50s for 11-deoxycorticosterone, 11-deoxycortisol and other corticosteroids, in contrast to full-length and truncated elephant shark GR, which has a negligible response to 11-deoxycortisol and weak responses to other corticosteroids (12), indicating that, based on steroid specificity, elephant shark MR is a closer to CR1 and CR2 than is elephant shark GR, which has diverged more from its common ancestor with the MR.

Interestingly, we found differences between the effect of the MMTV and TAT3 promoters on fold-activation of transcription of full-length CR and of truncated CR. Unexpectedly, fold-activation of full-length CR1 to corticosteroids was about 3 to 4-fold higher in cells with the MMTV promoter than in cells transfected with the TAT3 promoter. Removal of the NTD on CR1 decreased fold-activation by corticosteroids of truncated CR1 in cells with MMTV by about 70% indicating that there is an activation function in the NTD. In contrast, compared to full-length CR1, transcriptional activation by corticosteroids of truncated CR1 in cells with TAT3 increased by about 6-fold, indicating that the CR1 NTD represses steroid activation in the presence of TAT3. These data indicate that allosteric regulation by the NTD evolved before the evolution of the GR and MR from their common ancestor in cartilaginous fishes, with divergence of specificity for various corticosteroids evolving in elephant shark GR and MR (12).

RESULTS

Comparison of functional domains on lamprey CR to domains on selected vertebrate MRs and GRs.

To begin to understand the evolution of the MR and GR from the CR, we compared the sequences of functional domains on lamprey CRs with corresponding domains in human, elephant shark and zebrafish MRs and GRs (Figure 2). The sequences of LBD and hinge domain
of lamprey CR1 and CR2 have more similarity to the LBD and hinge domain on vertebrate MRs than to the GRs as has been reported for lamprey CR (9,13,38,56). The strong conservation of the DBD and LBD contrasts with the low sequence identity of 10-19% between the NTDs and 15-36% between the hinge domains. The low similarity of the NTD on the CR to the NTD on elephant shark MR and GR of 13% and 12%, respectively, indicates that there was rapid evolution of the NTD during the divergence of a distinct MR and GR from their CR ancestor. Moreover, the NTD of elephant shark GR has only 21% sequence identity with the NTD on elephant shark MR, additional evidence for rapid evolution of the NTD early in the evolution of the MR and GR (12).

Although most of the sequence divergence among these receptors occurred in the NTD and hinge domain, there is an insertion of four amino acids in the DBD of CR1 that is not found in the DBD of either CR2 or the MR and GR (Figure 3). Otherwise, the DBD in both CRs is highly conserved in the MR and GR, with CR2 being closest to the DBD in the other MRs and GRs. Based on this analysis of the DBD, CR2 appears to be related to the common ancestor of the MR and GR.

**Figure 3.** Comparison of the DNA-binding domains on lamprey CR1, CR2, elephant shark MR and GR and human MR and GR. The DNA-binding domain of lamprey CR1 has a unique insertion of four amino acids. Otherwise the DNA-binding domain on CR1 and CR2 are identical. Differences between the sequence of lamprey CR and selected vertebrate MRs and GRs are shown in red.

**RNA-Seq analysis indicates that lamprey CR1 is the predominate CR expressed in lamprey.**
To gain an insight into the relative biological importance of CR1 and CR2 in lamprey, we used RNA-Seq analysis to investigate the relative expression of lamprey CR1 and CR2 in lamprey tissues using databases in GenBank \((3,35)\). As shown in Table 1, RNA-Seq analysis reveals that expression of lamprey CR1 is substantially higher than CR2. Indeed, CR1 expression is over 99% higher than CR2 in the intestine and kidney in the larval stage, in the parasitic and adult stages, as well as in the parasitic liver and in the adult intestine, kidney and brain.

**Table 1. RNA-Seq Analysis of Expression of Lamprey CR1 and CR2.**

|                          | Larval Stage | Parasic Stage | Adult Stage |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
|                          | Intestine    | Proximal intestine | Distal intestine | Kidney | Liver | Brain | Intestine | Kidney |
| CR1                      | 21.78        | 23.23         | 19.44       | 21.49   | 24.96 | 2471  | 35.48     | 30.32  |
| CR2                      | 0.1          | 0.22          | 0.32        | 0.09    | 0     | 0     | 0.2       | 0.35   |

Single-end RNA-Seq reads of sea lamprey, *Petromyzon marinus* for intestine and kidney from larval stage, intestine, kidney and liver from parasitic stage, brain, intestine and kidney from adult stage, were downloaded from database of National Center for Biotechnology Information (accession number: PRJNA50489). The relative measure of transcript abundance is FPKM (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads) \((57)\). FPKM values were estimated by normalizing gene length, followed by normalizing for sequencing depth.

**Corticosteroid-dependent and promoter-dependent activation of full-length and truncated lamprey CR1 and CR2.**

To gain a quantitative measure of corticosteroid activation of full-length and truncated lamprey CR1 and CR2, we determined the concentration dependence of transcriptional activation by corticosteroids of full-length lamprey CR1 transfected into HEK293 cells with either an MMTV-luciferase promoter (Figure 4A) or a TAT3 luciferase promoter (Figure 4B). A parallel study was done with truncated lamprey CR1 (Figure 4 C, D). Luciferase levels were used to calculate an EC50 value and fold-activation for each steroid for lamprey CR1 in HEK293 cells with either MMTV (Table 2) or TAT3 (Table 2).

Similar experiments were performed for corticosteroid activation of full-length and truncated lamprey CR2 in HEK293 cells, containing either the MMTV or TAT3 promoters.
(Figure 5). EC50 values and fold-activation for each steroid for lamprey CR2 in the presence of either MMTV or TAT3 are shown in Table 2.

Fig. 4. Concentration-dependent transcriptional activation by corticosteroids of full length and truncated lamprey CR1. Plasmids for full-length or truncated lamprey CR1 were expressed in HEK293 cells with either an MMTV-luciferase promoter or a TAT3-luciferase promoter. Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of either aldosterone, cortisol, corticosterone, 11-deoxycortisol, 11-deoxycorticosterone or vehicle alone (DMSO). Results are expressed as means ± SEM, n=3. Y-axis indicates fold-activation compared to the activity of vector with vehicle (DMSO) alone as 1. A. Full-length CR1 with MMTV-luc. B. Full-length CR1 with TAT3-luc. C. Truncated CR1 with MMTV-luc. D. Truncated CR1 with TAT3-luc.
CR1 with TAT3-luc. C. Truncated lamprey CR1 with MMTV-luc. D. Truncated lamprey CR1 with TAT3-luc.

Fig. 5. Concentration-dependent transcriptional activation by corticosteroids of full length and truncated lamprey CR2. Plasmids for full-length or truncated lamprey CR2 were expressed in HEK293 cells with either an MMTV-luciferase promoter or a TAT3-luciferase promoter. Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of either aldosterone, cortisol, corticosterone, 11-deoxycortisol, 11-deoxycorticosterone or vehicle alone (DMSO). Results are expressed as means ± SEM, n=3. Y-axis indicates fold-activation compared to the activity of vector with vehicle (DMSO) alone as 1. A. Full-length CR2 with MMTV-luc. B. Full-length
CR2 with TAT3-luc. C. Truncated lamprey CR2 with MMTV-luc. D. Truncated lamprey CR2 with TAT3-luc.

The results in Figures 4 and 5 and Table 2 show that transcriptional activation of full-length and truncated lamprey CR1 and CR2 in HEK293 cells is different in the presence of the MMTV and TAT3 promoters. In cells containing the MMTV promoter and full-length CR1, 11-deoxycortisol and 11-deoxycorticosterone, the two circulating corticosteroids in lamprey (26–28) have EC50s of 1.5 nM and 0.16 nM respectively (Table 2). These are the lowest EC50s of the tested corticosteroids, although aldosterone, corticosterone and cortisol also have low EC50s, which vary from 2.1 nM to 9.9 nM. In cells with MMTV, loss of the NTD raises the EC50 for corticosteroids, although the values for 11-deoxycorticosterone (0.4 nM) and 11-deoxycortisol (5.6 nM) are low. Cortisol has EC50 of 43 nM. Loss of the NTD results in a decline of fold-activation of CR1 by about 75%.

Analysis of Figure 4A and 4B and Table 2 shows that activation of full-length CR1 by corticosteroids is about 3-fold higher in HEK293 cells with the MMTV promoter than in cells with the TAT3 promoter. Removal of the NTD from CR1 leads to a decrease in fold-activation of about 75% by corticosteroids in cells with MMTV promoter (Figure 4C vs 4A). In contrast, in HEK293 cells with truncated CR1 and TAT3, corticosteroid stimulated transcription is about 7-fold higher than in cells with full-length CR1 and TAT3 (Table 2). Moreover, in cells with truncated CR1 and TAT3 corticosteroid-mediated transcriptional activation is about 50-fold higher than in cells with truncated CR1 and MMTV (Table 2).
Table 2. Corticosteroid Activation of Lamprey CR1 and CR2 in HEK293 cells with an MMTV promoter or a TAT3 promoter.

| MMTV-luc | DOC | 11-deoxycortisol | ALDO | Corticosterone | Cortisol |
|----------|-----|------------------|------|----------------|---------|
| Lamprey CR1 Full Seq. | EC50 (nM) | 0.16 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 9.9 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 95 (± 12) | 106 (± 2.5) | 112 (± 2.5) | 116 (± 6.0) | 92 (± 5.3) |
| Lamprey CR1 Truncated Seq. | EC50 (nM) | 0.4 | 5.6 | 13.2 | 11.2 | 43.1 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 27 (±1.0) | 26 (± 1.3) | 26 (± 0.9) | 29 (± 1.7) | 28 (± 1.8) |
| Lamprey CR2 Full Seq. | EC50 (nM) | 0.2 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 14.2 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 113 (± 4.8) | 116 (± 9.0) | 113 (± 6.4) | 110 (± 7.0) | 88 (± 6.2) |
| Lamprey CR2 Truncated Seq. | EC50 (nM) | 0.6 | 7.0 | 14.9 | 13.0 | 47.8 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 23.0 (±0.8) | 21.3 (± 0.9) | 23.3 (± 0.8) | 23.3 (± 0.8) | 21.2 (± 0.8) |
| TAT3-luc | DOC | 11-deoxycortisol | ALDO | Corticosterone | Cortisol |
|----------|-----|------------------|------|----------------|---------|
| Lamprey CR1 Full Seq. | EC50 (nM) | 0.24 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 7.5 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 36 (± 4) | 35 (± 2.6) | 41 (± 2.9) | 39 (± 2.4) | 29 (± 2.3) |
| Lamprey CR1 Truncated Seq. | EC50 (nM) | 0.24 | 2.3 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 26 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 280 (± 9.7) | 264 (± 2.3) | 267 (± 10.1) | 280 (± 19.4) | 284 (± 13.7) |
| Lamprey CR2 Full Seq. | EC50 (nM) | 0.26 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 4 | 7.4 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 88 (±4.1) | 79 (± 2.8) | 93 (± 6.8) | 80 (± 1.7) | 71 (± 5.8) |
| Lamprey CR2 Truncated Seq. | EC50 (nM) | 0.31 | 3.7 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 23.0 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 1281 (± 51) | 1232 (± 66) | 1325 (± 16) | 1215 (± 69) | 1183 (± 56) |

Full Seq. = full receptor sequence, Truncated Seq. = Receptor with NTD deleted
DOC = 11-deoxycorticosterone, ALDO = aldosterone
Corticosteroid-dependent and promoter-dependent activation by corticosteroids of full-length and truncated lamprey CR2.

Corticosteroids in HEK293 cells with full-length CR2 and the MMTV promoter have slightly higher EC50s and a similar fold-activation compared to full-length CR1 with the MMTV promoter (Table 2). However, similar to our results for lamprey CR1, transcriptional activation of full-length and truncated lamprey CR2 in HEK293 cells is different in the presence of the MMTV and TAT3 promoters (Figure 5, Table 2). In HEK293 cells with TAT3, there is about 30% lower fold-activation for full-length CR2 compared to fold-activation for full-length CR2 in cells with MMTV. In contrast, fold-activation for truncated CR2 is about 15-fold higher in the presence of TAT3 than full-length CR2 and 55 to 60 higher activation than for truncated CR2 with MMTV.

Corticosteroid-dependent and promoter-dependent activation by corticosteroids of full-length and truncated elephant shark MR and GR.

To gain an insight into corticosteroid activation of the MR and GR early in their evolution, we compared corticosteroid activation of lamprey CR with activation of the MR and GR in elephant shark (*Callorhinchus milii*), a cartilaginous fish belonging to the oldest group of jawed vertebrates. Elephant shark occupy a key position spanning an ancestral node from which ray-finned fish and terrestrial vertebrates diverged about 450 million years ago from bony vertebrates (58,59).

We previously studied corticosteroid activation of elephant shark GR (12,39) and MR (12) in HEK293 cells containing the MMTV promoter. To complete our dataset for an evolutionary analysis of the CR with elephant shark MR and GR, we investigated corticosteroid activation of elephant shark GR and MR in HEK293 cells containing TAT3. Figure 6 and Table 3 show our results. For full-length elephant shark GR, only corticosterone retains an EC50 value close to the EC50 of lamprey CR1. The other corticosteroids have substantially higher EC50s, and are unlikely to be physiological ligands for this GR. Notably, 11-deoxycortisol has little activity for elephant shark GR (EC50=289 nM), which compares to an EC50 of 1.5 nM for lamprey CR1 and 2.7 nM for lamprey CR2. However, fold-activation of full-length elephant
shark GR is higher for than for full-length lamprey CR1 with over 600-fold activation for aldosterone, corticosterone and cortisol. Truncated elephant shark GR loses substantial activity for all corticosteroids indicating that the NTD is important in the EC50 and fold-activation of elephant GR.
**Fig. 6. Concentration-dependent transcriptional activation by corticosteroids of full length and truncated elephant shark GR and elephant shark MR.** Plasmids for full-length or truncated elephant shark GR were expressed in HEK293 cells with either an MMTV-luciferase promoter or a TAT3-luciferase promoter. Plasmids for full-length or truncated elephant shark MR were expressed in HEK293 cells with a TAT3-luciferase promoter. Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of either aldosterone, cortisol, corticosterone, 11-deoxycortisol, 11-deoxycorticosterone or vehicle alone (DMSO). Results are expressed as means ± SEM, n=3. Y-axis indicates fold-activation compared to the activity of vector treated with vehicle (DMSO) alone as 1. A. Full-length elephant shark GR with MMTV-luc. B. Truncated elephant shark GR with MMTV. C. Full-length elephant shark GR with TAT3. D. Truncated elephant shark GR with TAT3. E. Full-length elephant shark MR with TAT3-luc. F. Truncated elephant shark MR with TAT3.

**Table 3. Corticosteroid Activation of elephant shark GR and MR in HEK293 cells with an MMTV promoter or a TAT3 promoter.**

| MMTV-luc | DOC | 11-deoxycortisol | ALDO | Corticosterone | Cortisol |
|----------|-----|------------------|------|----------------|----------|
| Elephant Shark GR Full Seq. | EC50 (nM) | 11.2 | 289 | 17.1 | 5.9 | 30 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 297 (± 22) | 23.4 (± 0.5) | 561 (± 34) | 648 (± 30) | 514 (± 25) |
| Elephant Shark GR Truncated Seq. | EC50 (nM) | 40.5 | - | 98.4 | 17.5 | 124 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 2.0 (± 0.1) | 1.1 (± 0.03) | 4.7 (± 0.2) | 6.4 (± 0.23) | 4.2 (± 0.06) |
| Elephant Shark MR Full Seq. * | EC50 (nM) | 0.1 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 1.6 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 8.6 (± 0.4) | 8.0 (± 0.5) | 9.6 (± 1.0) | 9.1 (± 0.7) | 10.1 (± 0.9) |
| Elephant Shark MR Truncated Seq. * | EC50 (nM) | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.58 | 1.06 |
| | Fold-Activation (±SEM) | 6.9 (± 0.15) | 6.6 (± 0.5) | 7.3 (± 0.8) | 8.2 (± 0.4) | 8.1 (± 0.95) |
| TAT3-luc | DOC | 11-deoxycortisol | ALDO | Corticosterone | Cortisol |
| Elephant Shark GR | EC50 (nM) | 11.0 | - | 11.4 | 4.0 | 21 |
|                | Fold-Activation | 48 (±3.5) | 2.1 (±0.2) | 137.3 (±10) | 152 (±18) | 124 (±10.2) |
|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| **Elephant Shark GR** | **EC50 (nM)** | 37.4       | -          | 100.3       | 16.3     | 99.6        |
| **Truncated Seq.**    | **Fold-Activation** (±SEM) | 21.4 (±0.8) | 1.3 (±0.04) | 125 (±5) | 216 (±8) | 122 (±5.7) |
| **Elephant Shark MR** | **EC50 (nM)** | 0.03       | 0.2        | 0.08        | 1.0      | 2.4         |
| **Full Seq.**         | **Fold-Activation** (±SEM) | 9.5 (±0.84) | 9.2 (±0.74) | 10 (±1) | 11 (±1.2) | 15 (±0.7) |
| **Truncated Seq.**    | **Fold-Activation** (±SEM) | 0.05 (±11) | 0.13 (±24.6) | 0.17 (±17.5) | 0.35 (±35.3) | 0.64 (±24.6) |

**Full Seq.** = full receptor sequence, **Truncated Seq.** = Receptor with NTD deleted

**DOC** = 11-deoxycorticosterone, **ALDO** = aldosterone

*: Katsu et al. N-terminal Domain Regulates Steroid Activation of Elephant Shark Glucocorticoid and Mineralocorticoid Receptors. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 210, 105845 (2021)

Unlike full-length elephant shark GR, full-length elephant shark MR in cells with the MMTV promoter has EC50s varying from 0.1 nM (11-deoxycorticosterone) to 1.6 nM (cortisol), which are close to their EC50s for lamprey CR1 and CR2. Truncated elephant shark MR in cells with MMTV retains these low EC50s (Table 3). As found for lamprey CR, in cells with TAT3, removal of the NTD in elephant shark MR leads to a substantial increase of 50-fold in transcriptional activation by corticosteroids (Figure 6, Table 3). These data also support lamprey CR and elephant shark MR as closer to each other than to elephant shark GR.

**Discussion**

Sea lamprey and hagfish, the two extant cyclostomes, occupy a critical position in the evolution of vertebrates (1–3,6), and the sea lamprey CR occupies a critical position in the evolution of the MR and GR in vertebrates (4,8,9,13,38,56), two steroid receptors that are important regulators of vertebrate physiology (10,19,20,22,31,32,36). The assembly of the lamprey germline genome provided an opportunity to determine the response to corticosteroids.
of full-length CR for comparison to elephant shark GR and MR and provide insights into the
evolution of glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid signaling. Analysis of the CR in the lamprey
genome identified two CRs, CR1 and CR2, which differs only in an insertion of four amino acids
in the DBD of CR1. The DBD in elephant shark MR and GR (12), as well as in other vertebrate
MRs and GRs lack these extra four amino acids found in CR1, suggesting that vertebrate MRs
and GRs are descended from an ancestral CR2-like gene. Expression of CR1 and CR2, as
determined by RNA-Seq revealed that CR1 comprises over 99% of expressed CR in lamprey
kidney, intestine and brain (Table 1).

Our analysis of steroid activation of lamprey CR1 and CR2 found that 11-
deoxycorticosterone and 11-deoxycortisol (Figure 1) have the lowest EC50s and a high fold-
activation of transcription, consistent with the evidence that these are the circulating steroids in
lamprey (26–28). Overall, full-length lamprey CR1 and CR2 have similar EC50s and fold-
activation for corticosteroids in cells transfected with MMTV promoter. Truncated lamprey CR1
and CR2 also have similar EC50s and fold-activation for corticosteroids in cells transfected with
MMTV promoter. However, in HEK293 cells transfected with TAT3, compared to full-length
CR1, full-length CR2 has about 2.25-fold higher activation in the presence of corticosteroids and
truncated CR2 has about 4.5-fold higher fold activation than truncated CR1. It appears that a
complex mechanism regulating CR-mediated transcription involving that the NTD and the
MMTV and TAT3 promoters evolved before the evolution of distinct GR and MR genes in an
ancestral cartilaginous fish.

Analysis of the effect of deleting the NTD on the CR and elephant shark MR and GR on
corticosteroid activation of lamprey CR and elephant MR and GR in HEK293 cells with TAT3
support the hypothesis that lamprey CR and elephant shark MR as functionally more similar to
one another than to elephant shark GR. A similar conclusion comes from comparison of the
responses of lamprey CRs and elephant shark MR and GR to corticosteroids.

Although 11-deoxycortisol and 11-deoxycorticosterone are the circulating corticosteroids
in lamprey, studies of these two steroids in live lamprey find that only 11-deoxycortisol is
biologically active (26,27,60). This contrasts with our results in cell culture in which both
steroids, as well as other corticosteroids, stimulate transcription of CR1 and CR2 (Figures 4 and
5). There may be additional regulatory mechanisms that lead to preferential activity of 11-
deoxycortisol in lamprey and inactivity of 11-deoxycorticosterone. It appears that the Atlantic sea lamprey has more secrets to share.

Materials and Methods

Chemical reagents

Cortisol, corticosterone, 11-deoxycorticosterone, 11-deoxycortisol, and aldosterone were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. For reporter gene assays, all hormones were dissolved in dimethyl-sulfoxide (DMSO); the final DMSO concentration in the culture medium did not exceed 0.1%.

Gene expression analysis

To determine the expression level of CR gene, single-end RNA-seq reads of sea lamprey for seven stages of embryos, intestine and kidney from larval stage, intestine, kidney and liver from parasitic stage, brain, intestine and kidney from adult stage, were downloaded from database of National Center for Biotechnology Information (accession number: PRJNA50489). Reference genome assembly and gene annotation were also downloaded from NCBI database (accession ID: GCF_010993605.1). RNA-seq reads of various tissues were independently aligned to the reference sequences using RSEM (v1.3.3) (57). The relative measure of transcript abundance is FPKM (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads).

Construction of plasmid vectors

Full-length mineralocorticoid receptor sequence of sea lamprey, Petromyzon marinus, was registered in Genbank (accession number: XM_032955475). Based on the registered sea lamprey MR sequence we synthesized DNA containing the full-length sequence. Full-length sea lamprey CR1 was ligated into pcDNA3.1 vector (Invitrogen). CR2 construction was performed
using KOD-Plus-mutagenesis kit (TOYOBO). All cloned DNA sequences were verified by sequencing.

**Transactivation assay and statistical methods**

Transfection and reporter assays were carried out in HEK293 cells, as described previously (12). The cells were transfected with 100 ng of receptor gene, reporter gene containing the *Photinus pyralis* luciferase gene and pRL-tk, as an internal control to normalize for variation in transfection efficiency; pRL-tk contains the *Renilla reniformis* luciferase gene with the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase promoter. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Promoter activity was calculated as firefly (*P. pyralis*)-lucifase activity/sea pansy (*R. reniformis*)-lucifase activity. The values shown are mean ± SEM from three separate experiments, and dose-response data, which were used to calculate the half maximal response (EC50) for each steroid, were analyzed using GraphPad Prism. Comparisons between two groups were performed using paired *t*-test. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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