Synchronization Analysis of General Complex Dynamical Networks with Couplings Delays and Delays in the Dynamical Nodes
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Abstract—In this paper, we first introduce a more general model of complex dynamical networks with coupling delays and delays in the dynamical nodes than before. Then we further study the synchronization analysis of the complex dynamical networks with coupling delays and delays in the dynamical nodes. Via the theory of Lyapunov-Krasovskii stability and linear matrix inequality (LMI) technique, we investigate the sufficient conditions about synchronization criteria by constructing appropriate Lyapunov functions. The new delay-dependent conditions presented in the paper are formulated in the form of LMI, which can be solved easily by the LMI toolbox in Matlab. The node dynamic need not satisfy the very strong and the matrix is not assumed to be symmetric or irreducible. Moreover, the resulting for network synchronization are expressed in simple forms that can be readily applied in practical situations. The numerical example of the synchronization problem between the nonlinear electromechanical transducers has been investigated, which demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed results.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Complex networks have sprung up in recent 20 years, presently permeating in various fields of sciences, from physics science to biological science, even to society science[1-7]. A complex network is a large set of interconnected nodes, where the nodes and connections can represent everything. There have been a rich body of literature on analyzing complex networks, and one of the most significant dynamical behaviors of complex networks that has been widely investigated is the synchronization motion of its dynamical elements[1-3,7]. Some synchronization phenomena are very useful for us, such as the synchronous transfer of digital or analog signals in communication networks [8].

In practice, the information transmission within complex networks is in general not instantaneous since the signals traveling speed is limited, and this is very common in biological and physical networks [8-10]. This fact gives rise to the time delays that may cause undesirable dynamic network behaviors such as oscillation and instability. Therefore, time delays should be modeled in order to simulating more realistic networks.

In this paper, we first introduce a more general model of complex dynamical network with coupling delays and delays in the dynamical nodes than before. Then we further study the synchronization of this model. Based on the theory of asymptotic stability of linear time-delay systems and Lyapunov method combined with linear matrix inequality technique, sufficient conditions about synchronization criteria are derived. It should be pointed out that the node dynamic need not satisfy the very strong and the matrix is not assumed to be symmetric or irreducible.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the model of a general complex dynamical networks with coupling delays and delays in the dynamical nodes is presented and some preliminaries are also given. In Section 3, sufficient conditions about synchronization criteria of this complex networks is obtained. The numerical example for verifying the theoretical result is given in Section 4. Finally, conclusions are presented in Section 5.

II. MODEL DESCRIPTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The complex networks with coupling delays and delays in the dynamical nodes can be described as follows:
The dynamical network (1) is introduced as follows.

\[
\dot{x}_i(t) = f(x_i(t), x_i(t - \tau_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} \Gamma_i x_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{ij} \Gamma_i x_j(t - \tau_j), \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, N) \tag{1}
\]

where \( x_i(t) = (x_{i1}(t), x_{i2}(t), \cdots, x_{im}(t))^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is the state and input variable of node \( i \) at time \( t \). \( f : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \) is a continuous and differentiable function, \( \tau_i \) and \( \tau_j > 0 \) are the time delay of coupling delays and delays in the dynamical nodes, respectively, which are arbitrary but bounded, i.e., \( \tau_i, \tau_j \in [0, h] \), where \( h \) is a positive constant. \( A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \) and \( B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \) are the coupling matrices with zero-sum rows, which represent the coupling strength and the underlying topology for non-delayed configurations and asymptotic one \( \tau_j \) at time \( t \), respectively, \( a_{ij} \geq 0 \), \( b_{ij} \geq 0 \) for \( i \neq j \), \( a_{ii} = 0, b_{ii} = 0 \) \( (i \neq j) \), and \( \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \) are positive diagonal matrices which describe the individual couplings between node \( i \) and \( j \) for non-delayed configuration and delayed one \( \tau_j \) at time \( t \) respectively.

When the delayed dynamical network (1) achieves synchronization, namely, the states \( x_i(t) \rightarrow x_j(t) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_n(t) \rightarrow s(t) \), as \( t \rightarrow \infty \), where \( s(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is a solution of an isolate node, i.e.,

\[
\dot{s}(t) = f(s(t), s(t - \tau_j)). \tag{2}
\]

\( s(t) \) can be an equilibrium point, a nontrivial periodic orbit, or even a chaotic orbit. Let \( C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^m) \) be the Banach space of continuous functions mapping the interval \([-h, 0]\) into \( \mathbb{R}^m \) with the norm \( \| \phi \| = \sup_{t \in [-h, 0]} \| \phi(t) \| \), where \( \| \cdot \| \) is the Euclidean norm. The rigorous mathematical definition of synchronization for delayed dynamical network (1) is introduced as follows.

**Definition 1.** Let \( x_i(t; t_0, \phi), i = 1, 2, \cdots, N \) be a solution of delayed dynamical network (1), where \( \phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_N)^T, \phi_i = \phi_i(t) \in C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^m) \) are initial conditions. If there is a nonempty subset \( \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \), such that \( \phi \) takes values in \( \Lambda \) and \( x_i(t; t_0, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \), and

\[
\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \| x_i(t; t_0, \phi) - s(t; t_0, s_0) \| = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, N \tag{3}
\]

where \( s(t; t_0, s_0) \) is a solution of the system (2) with \( s_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m \), then the delayed dynamical network (1) is said to realize synchronization, and \( \Lambda \times \Lambda \times \cdots \times \Lambda \) is called the region of synchrony of the delayed dynamical network (1).

Define the error vector by

\[
e_i(t) = x_i(t) - s(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, N \tag{4}
\]

Notice that in (1) \( \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} = 0 \) and \( \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{ij} = 0 \), then the error system can be described by

\[
\dot{e}_i(t) = f(x_i(t), x_i(t - \tau_i)) - f(s(t), s(t - \tau_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} e_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{ij} e_j(t - \tau_j). \tag{5}
\]

Then the synchronization problem of the dynamical network (1) is equivalent to the problem of stabilization of the error dynamical system (5).

**Assumption 1.** (A1) Let \( S = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_N) \) be a positive-definite diagonal matrix. The nonlinear vector-valued continuous function, \( f : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \) satisfied the semi-lipschiz condition:

\[
(\dot{x}_i(t) - s(t))^T S(\dot{x}_i(t), x_i(t - \tau_i)) - f(s(t), s(t - \tau_i))) \leq \gamma_1(t)\| \dot{x}_i(t) - s(t) \|^2 + \gamma_2(t)\| x_i(t - \tau_i) - s(t - \tau_i) \|^2. \tag{6}
\]

where \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, N \), \( \gamma_1(t) \) and \( \gamma_2(t) \) are unknown time-varying nonzero parameters with unknown bounds, that is \( \gamma_1(t) \subseteq [\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}] \) and \( \gamma_2(t) \subseteq [\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}] \), \( \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \) are unknown constants.

We define \( \gamma_1 = \max(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}) \) and \( \gamma_2 = \max(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}) \).

Note that Assumption 1 is less conservative than general uniformly Lipschitz condition. For example, all linear and piecewise linear functions satisfy this condition.

In addition, if \( \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n) \) are bounded and \( \Gamma_0 \) is positive definite, the above condition is satisfied. So, it includes many well-known systems, such as the Lorenz system, Chen system, Li system, recurrent neural networks, Chua's circuit, and so on.

**Lemma 1.** For any vectors \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m \) positive definite matrix \( Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \), the following matrix inequality holds:

\[
2x^T y - x^T Q x + y^T Q^{-1} y \leq 0\tag{7}
\]

If not specified otherwise, inequality \( Q > 0 \) \( (Q > 0, Q \geq 0, Q \leq 0) \) means \( Q \) is a positive (or negative, semi-positive, or semi-negative) definite matrix, where \( Q \) is a square matrix.

**Lemma 2.** The Kronecker product \( \otimes \) have the following properties:

(1) \( (\alpha A) \otimes B = A \otimes (\alpha B) \),

(2) \( (A + B) \otimes C = A \otimes B + A \otimes C \),

(3) \( (A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC) \otimes (BD) \),

(4) \( (A \otimes B)^T = A^T \otimes B^T \).

**III. SYNCHRONIZATION CRITERIA OF THE GENERAL DELAYED COMPLEX DYNAMICAL NETWORKS**

In this section, we are in the position to present our main results for synchronization criteria of the general complex dynamical networks with couplings delays and delays in the dynamical nodes.
Let \( F = (f(x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t-\tau_1)), \cdots, f(x_N(t), x_{N+1}(t), x_{N+2}(t-\tau_2)) \), where \( x_i(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_i(t) \\ x_i(t-\tau) \end{pmatrix} \), \( A = \mathcal{A} \otimes \Gamma_1 \), \( B = B \otimes \Gamma_2 \), and \( e(t) = (e_1(t), e_2(t), \cdots, e_N(t))' \).

With the Kronecker product \( \otimes \) for matrices, system (5) can be recast into
\[
\dot{e}(t) = I_{m} F + \bar{A} e(t) + \bar{B} e(t - \tau) + u(t),
\]
(7)

Based on a Lyapunov-Krasovskii function, we first detruce the synchronization criteria of the network (1) with no input variables of node \((i.e., u_i(t) = 0) \).

**Theorem 1.** Consider the complex networks (1) with no input variables \(\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, h)\) and no input variables. If there exist matrices \(P > 0\), \(Q_1 > 0\), \(Q_2 > 0\), \(R_i > 0\), and any matrices \(N_i, M_i\), \(T_i(i = 1, \cdots, 5)\) of appropriate dimensions such that the following LMI holds:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Pi_{11} & \cdots & \Pi_{15} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\Pi_{15} & \cdots & \Pi_{19}
\end{bmatrix}
\leq 0
\]
(8)

where
\[
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{11} &= R_1 + R_2 + N_1 + N_2 + T_1 + T_2 + M_1 A + \bar{A} M_1', \\
\Pi_{12} &= N_1 + N_2 + T_2 + \bar{A} M_2', \\
\Pi_{13} &= N_2 + T_1 + \bar{A} M_2 + P + T_1, \\
\Pi_{14} &= N_2 + T_2 + T_1 + \bar{A} M_2 + M_i \bar{B}, \\
\Pi_{15} &= h N_i, \\
\Pi_{21} &= h T_1, \\
\Pi_{22} &= h N_i, \\
\Pi_{23} &= h T_2, \\
\Pi_{24} &= h Q_2 - M_2 - M_1, \\
\Pi_{25} &= h Q_2 - M_2 - M_1, \\
\Pi_{31} &= h Q_1 - h Q_2 - M_2 - M_1, \\
\Pi_{32} &= h Q_1 - h Q_2 - M_2 - M_1, \\
\Pi_{33} &= h Q_1 - h Q_2 - M_2 - M_1, \\
\Pi_{34} &= T_3 + M_i \bar{B}, \\
\Pi_{35} &= h N_i, \\
\Pi_{41} &= M_4 + T_4 + M_4, \\
\Pi_{42} &= T_4 + M_4 + M_4, \\
\Pi_{43} &= T_4 + M_4 + M_4, \\
\Pi_{44} &= T_4 + M_4 + M_4, \\
\Pi_{45} &= T_4 + M_4 + M_4, \\
\Pi_{51} &= h N_4, \\
\Pi_{52} &= h T_4, \\
\Pi_{53} &= h T_4, \\
\Pi_{54} &= h T_4, \\
\Pi_{55} &= h T_4
\end{align*}
\]

then the synchronization is achieved. * denotes the elements below the main diagonal of a symmetrical block matrix.

**Proof:** Selecting a Lyapunov-Krasovskii function of the form
\[
V(t) = \varepsilon^T(t)Pe(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{t-i\tau}^{t} \varepsilon^T(s) R_i e(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{t-i\tau}^{t} \varepsilon^T(s) Q_i \varepsilon(s) dv ds
\]
(9)

Taking the time derivative of \(V(t)\) along the trajectory of (7) yield that
\[
\dot{V}(t) = 2 \varepsilon^T(t)P \dot{e}(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{t-i\tau}^{t} \varepsilon^T(s) R_i e(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{t-i\tau}^{t} \varepsilon^T(s) Q_i \varepsilon(s) dv ds\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^T(t) Q_i \dot{e}(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^T(t) R_i e(t - \tau) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^T(t) R_i e(t - \tau)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^T(t) Q_i \dot{e}(t) + 2 \varepsilon^T(t) \bar{B} e(t) - 2 \varepsilon^T(t) \bar{B} e(t)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^T(t) Q_i \dot{e}(t) + 2 \varepsilon^T(t) M_i \bar{F} + \bar{A} e(t)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^T(t) Q_i \dot{e}(t) + 2 \varepsilon^T(t) M_i \bar{F} + \bar{A} e(t)
\]
(10)

From Lemma 1, it follows that
\[
-2 \varepsilon^T(t) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^T(s) \bar{Q}_i \varepsilon(s) ds \leq h \varepsilon^T(t) Q_i \varepsilon(t) + \int_{t-i\tau}^{t} \varepsilon^T(s) Q_i \varepsilon(s) ds,
\]
(11)

Then, combining (10)-(11) and A1, we have
\[
\dot{V}(t) \leq 2 \varepsilon^T(t) P \dot{e}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{t-i\tau}^{t} \varepsilon^T(s) Q_i \varepsilon(s) + \varepsilon^T(t) R_i e(t)
\]
\[
- \varepsilon^T(t) R_i e(t - \tau) + h \varepsilon^T(t) Q_i \varepsilon(t - \tau)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^T(t) \bar{B} e(t) - \varepsilon^T(t)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^T(t) Q_i \dot{e}(t) + 2 \varepsilon^T(t) M_i \bar{F} + \bar{A} e(t)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^T(t) Q_i \dot{e}(t) + 2 \varepsilon^T(t) M_i \bar{F} + \bar{A} e(t)
\]
\[
= \xi^T \Xi \xi
\]
(13)

where
\[
\Omega = \begin{bmatrix}
\Pi_{11} + \gamma_i I & \Pi_{12} & \cdots & \Pi_{15}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\Pi_{33} & \Pi_{34} & \cdots & \Pi_{35}
\]
\[
\Pi_{44} & \Pi_{45} & \cdots & \Pi_{45}
\]
\[
\Pi_{55} + h NQ_i \Xi + h TQ_i \Xi,
\]
where \(\Pi_j(i, j = 1, \cdots, 5)\) are given by (8).

By Schur complement and matrix inequality (8), we can get \(\Omega < 0\). That is \(V < 0\). From (8), it is guaranteed that all the subsystems in (1) are synchronized for any fixed time delay \(\tau \in (0, h)\). This completes the proof.

**Remark 1.** Base on LMI method, we construct a more general Lyapunov function to analyze the synchronization problem of the complex dynamical networks with couplings delays and delays in the dynamical nodes. The new delay-dependent conditions presented in Theorem 1
are formulated in the form of LMI, which can be solved by the LMI toolbox in Matlab.

IV. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

**Example 1.** We show that a delayed network with \( N = 5 \) nodes described by (14). Consider a delayed electromechanical device network as the node dynamical system. It is composed of an electrical part (Duffing oscillator) coupled to a mechanical part governed by a linear oscillator. The coupling between both parts is realized through the electromagnetic force due to a permanent magnet. It creates a Laplace force in the mechanical part and the Lenz electromotive voltage in the electrical part. The electrical part of the system consists of an electrical part (Duffing oscillator) coupled to a mechanical part governed by a linear oscillator. The coupling between both parts is realized through the electromagnetic force due to a permanent magnet. It creates a Laplace force in the mechanical part and the Lenz electromotive voltage in the electrical part. The electrical part of the system consists of a resistor \( R \), an inductor \( L \), a condenser \( C \) and a sinusoidal voltage source \( e(t) \) all connected in series. The mechanical part is composed of a mobile beam which can move along the \( z \)-axis on both sides. The rod \( T \) which has the similar motion is bound to a mobile beam with a spring. A single delayed dynamical equation is described by the following form[11]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) & = a_1 x_1(t - \tau_1) + b_1 u(t), \\
\dot{x}_2(t) & = a_2 x_2(t - \tau_2) + b_2 u(t).
\end{align*}
\]

where the coupling matrices are

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\( \tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0,1,2) \). The individual couplings matrices are \( \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \text{diag}[1,1,1] \). Similar to [12], obviously, assumptions A1 holds.

Applying Theorem 1 with, \( \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 4 \), \( \tau_1 = 0.6 \), \( \tau_2 = 1.2 \) and solving the LMI (8) by using LMI toolbox of Matlab, it is found the LMI (8) is feasible. The synchronous error \( e_i \) is shown in Fig. 1. For this simulation, the initial values of states are \( x_1(0) = (2,2,3)^T \) and \( s(0) = (1,1,1)^T \).
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