Studies on an efficient method for determining ethyl carbamate in the workplace air
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Abstract In relation to the proposal to introduce an occupational exposure limit value for ethyl carbamate (EC) in Poland, a need to develop a sensitive method for determination of this carcinogenic substance in the workplace air was emerged. In the presented paper, a new method for its determination by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorescence detector (FLD) is proposed. The method is based on the adsorption of EC on the cellulose filter, its extraction with water, further reaction of EC with 9-xanthydrol in acidic solution. Determination of the obtained EC derivative (N-xanthyl ethyl carbamate) was then conducted in a reverse-phase system with acetonitrile and water mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min on an Ultra C18 column of 250 mm by HPLC–FLD. Measurement range of 0.1–2 μg/m3 for a 1440 L of air sample was appropriate to the established maximum admissible concentration value of 1 μg/m3. The limit of detection is 0.142 ng/mL, and the limit of quantification is 0.426 ng/mL, respectively. The developed quantitative method makes it possible to determine ethyl carbamate in workplace air, which in turn allows determining exposure indicators and facilitates occupational risk assessment for the employees.
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Introduction

Ethyl carbamate (EC, urethane) of CAS no 51-79-6 is an odourless, crystalline solid of white colour, stable under normal conditions. Ethyl carbamate forms naturally in fermentation of foods, such as alcoholic beverages, soy sauce, yoghurt and cheese (Kim et al. 2000; Hong et al. 2007; Barlow and Schlatter 2010), and is present in tobacco smoke (Starek and Podolak 2009). Intentionally, EC is used as a component of paint thinners and removers, additive for cosmetic and pharmaceutical products as well as in mixtures based on methyl methacrylate for dental applications (EFSA 2007; IARC 2010; NTP 2011).

EC occupational exposure can occur by inhalation or skin contact (Szymańska et al. 2015). It has been classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as a factor probably carcinogenic for humans (IARC 2010). The European Union has classified the compound as 1B, i.e. substances that may cause cancer (Regulation EC No 1272/2008). It was also found that in laboratory animals EC can cause lymphomas, leukaemia and cancers of lungs, liver, blood vessels and skin.

So far, there are no established workplace air exposure limits for EC in the world. In Poland, in 2015, at the meeting of the Group of Experts on Chemical Agents of the Interdepartmental Commission for Maximum Admissible Concentrations and Intensities for Agents Harmful to Health in the Working Environment, it was proposed to set a maximum allowable concentration (MAC) for ethyl carbamate at 1 μg/m3 (Szymańska et al. 2015). This
created the need for a substance determination method within the range from 0.1 to 2 of the maximum admissible concentration, i.e. from 0.1 to 2 μg/m³.

Various analytical techniques have been applied for EC determination in food products, such as gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometer (GC–MS) (Kim et al. 2013; Shin and Yang 2012) and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorescence detector (FLD) after derivatization of EC (Madrera and Valles 2009; Valente et al. 2014). Deak et al. (2010) and Alberts et al. (2011) applied also HPLC with mass spectrometer. However, there are no methods for ethyl carbamate determination in workplace air in the available literature. Therefore, aim of this study was to analyse EC in workplace air and to carry out its risk assessment.

The experimental part of the study involved developing an analytical procedure for determining ethyl carbamate in the air, which could be applied to assess workers’ exposure to this substance. For this reason, the method of individual dosimetry was chosen, in which sampler is worn in the worker’s breathing zone for a period of at least 75 % of the duration of a working shift (i.e. at least 6 h for an 8-h shift). Thus, conducted measurements could account for all types of actions performed by the worker. During the active sampling process, aspirators (battery-powered pumps) attached to a sampler force the flow of a known air volume through it. The quantitative method makes it possible to determine carcinogenic ethyl carbamate in workplace air, which in turn allows determining the exposure indicators and facilitates occupational risk assessment for the employees.

**Materials and methods**

**Material and reagents**

The following reagents were used in the experiment: ethyl carbamate, methyl carbamate, urea, 9-xanthydrol, 2 mol/L hydrochloric acid (HCl), acetonitrile and methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), sodium chloride (POCh, Poland) and high-purity water obtained from Milli-Q equipment (Millipore Corporation, USA). HPLC pure reagents were used for the experiment.

Whatman GF/A fibreglass filters of 37 mm diameter (Whatman, England), cellulose filters of 37 mm diameter (POCh, Poland) for air sampling and 113 nylon syringe filters of 25 mm diameter and pore size of 0.45 μm (Alltech, USA) for filtering solution before HPLC analysis were used. Additional equipment, such as glassware, volumetric flasks, 25-mL conical flasks with stoppers, test tubes, pipettes and syringes, was used.

**Apparatus**

An Agilent Technologies (Germany) liquid chromatograph, series 1200, with an online coupled fluorescence detector (FLD) was used in the experiment. Samples were injected with an autosampler. Ultra C18 column of dimensions (250 × 4.6 mm and 5 μm particle size), with a precolumn of dimensions: 10 × 4.0 mm (Restek, USA) was applied. Gilair 5 aspirator (Sensidyne, USA) was used for collecting air samples. Mechanical shaker WL-2000 (JWElectron. Poland) was used for EC recovery from filter and Sartorius TE214S analytical balance (Sartorius Corporation, USA) to weigh standard substances. EKS series cabinet desiccator (WSL, Poland) was used to store filters.

**Chromatographic conditions**

Determination of EC was conducted with an Ultra C₁₈ HPLC column (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) with a precolumn. Column temperature was set to 40 °C. Mobile phase of acetonitrile/water (75:25, v/v) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min was applied. Volume of the sample injected onto the column was 10 μL. Fluorescence detector (FLD) was used at the wavelengths (λ_ex = 238 nm/λ_em = 300 nm).

**Results and discussion**

**Air sampling and sample preparation**

Ethyl carbamate is a solid under normal conditions; therefore, filters must be used to isolate it from the air. Subsequently, the substance retained and concentrated on the filters is washed out with the properly selected liquid.
In order to verify the conditions of air sampling, 50 μL of a 57.6 μg/mL EC solution in methanol was applied onto fibreglass and cellulose filters 37 mm in diameter. After drying, the filters were placed in a cassette, for sampling the inhalable fraction, and then connected to an aspirator. Air was passed through the filter with a flow rate recommended by the manufacturer of the holder for sampling the inhalable fraction—i.e., 4 L/min for 6 h, which corresponds to 1440 L. Air flow rate was controlled.

The obtained results presented in Table 1 indicate that a fibreglass filter does not retain ethyl carbamate from the air. Satisfactory results were obtained for the same tests carried out with the cellulose filter, and therefore, this type of filter was chosen for further experiments.

**Derivatization of EC**

Complete extraction of an analyte from filters of 37 mm diameter is usually carried out with large amounts of the eluent (2.5–10 mL), which dilutes the analyte. The concentration of an analyte achieved in this manner may be insufficient for its determination at the assumed concentration level. Due to this, the analyte was enriched by converting EC to its derivative and further liquid–liquid extraction with salting out, as it was verified previously by Valente et al. (2014) during analysis of alcohol products.

Possibility of applying 9-xanthydrol as a derivatization reagent which, according to the literature (Madrera and Valles 2009; Valente et al. 2014), reacts with carbamates and produces a derivative that increases sensitivity of HPLC–FLD determination was also examined. The chromatographic conditions of HPLC–FLD make it possible to determine the reaction products with 9-xanthydrol (Fig. 1).

Schematically, air sample preparation process for analysis of EC is presented in Fig. 2. Air samples containing EC (1440 L) were collected on the filter. EC was extracted from filter with water, followed by a reaction with

![Fig. 1 Chromatogram of ethyl carbamate derivative in the presence of coexisting substances: 1 urea derivative, 2 9-xanthydrol, 3 methyl carbamate derivative, 4 ethyl carbamate derivative, 5 9-xanthydrol contamination. Determination conditions: HPLC–FLD (λ<sub>ex</sub> = 238 nm/ λ<sub>em</sub> = 300 nm), Ultra C18 column (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm), column temp. 40 °C; mobile phase ACN: H<sub>2</sub>O, 75:25 (v/v); flow 1 mL/min](https://example.com/fig1.png)
9-xanthyl) in acidic solution in order to obtain the required derivative (N-xanthyl ethyl carbamate). Subsequently, both NaCl and 1 mL of acetonitrile were added in order to separate water layer from acetonitrile layer. Ethyl carbamate derivative in acetonitrile was determined chromatographically.

**Calibration and precision**

Due to the multi-step nature of the sample preparation process, the calibration curve was prepared by applying standard of EC solutions in methanol with increasing concentrations onto cellulose filters. In such case, there is no need to determine the recovery efficiency. Any potential loss of substance which results from consecutive steps of the sample preparation process will be identical during calibration and real sample analysis.

The calibration was carried out in the concentration range of 0.144–2.88 µg/mL. The following concentrations of EC solution in methanol: 2.88; 4.32; 7.2; 14.4; 28.8 and 57.6 µg/mL were prepared. Therefore, 50 µL of each solution was separately applied onto filters. Three series of six filters in each were prepared. Working solutions in acetonitrile from each filter were obtained according to the diagram shown in Fig. 2. Table 2 shows the parameters which characterize the calibration curves described by the $y = bx + a$ equation. The calibration curves were linear for the studied concentration range.

In order to assess the precision of calibration, working standards were prepared in three series of eight working solutions in the following concentrations: 0.144, 1.44 and 2.88 µg/mL of EC in water. After derivatization and preparation for analysis as per Fig. 2, EC was determined by liquid chromatography.

---

**Table 2** Calibration parameters for three measurement series

| Parameter                        | A series            | B series          | C series          |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Calibration curve $y = bx + a$   | $y = 508.47x + 30.65$ | $y = 530.11x + 4.29$ | $y = 510.14x + 15.30$ |
| Correlation coefficient          | 0.9989              | 0.9999            | 0.9995            |
| Mean calibration coefficient     |                     |                   | 553.24            |
| Standard deviation               |                     |                   | 36.35             |
| Variability coefficient          |                     |                   | 6.57              |

**Fig. 2** Diagram of the sample preparation procedure for determining ethyl carbamate in air at workplaces
Validation

The described method for determination of EC in workplace air was validated according to PN-EN 482:2012 in terms of linearity, sensitivity, selectivity, precision and accuracy. The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantitation (LOQ) were determined from the blank sample results. Validation data estimated for the developed method of ethyl carbamate’s determination (for 1440 L of air sample within the measurement range of 0.1–2 μg/m³) are presented in Table 3.

Conclusion

As a result of the conducted tests, an adequate cellulose filter was selected for adsorption of ethyl carbamate from the air. Before determination, it was subjected to derivatization with 9-xanthydrol. Derivation reagent was selected along with the conditions of its reaction with ethyl carbamate. Within the studied range (0.1–2 μg/m³), linear calibration curves were obtained. Preparation of the standard curve involving the step of sample preparation for analysis was proposed. Such an analysis method causes elimination of the recovery coefficient determination step and thus shortening the analysis time and reduction in reagent consumption. The developed quantitative method for the determination of concentrations of EC in workplace air can be used to estimate occupational exposure in reference to a maximum admissible concentration of 1 μg/m³ established in Poland.
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