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Abstract

The Holton–Lindzen–Plumb (HLP) model describes the spontaneous emergence of mean flow reversals in stratified fluids. It has played a central role in understanding the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation of equatorial winds in Earth’s stratosphere and has arguably become a linchpin of wave–mean flow interaction theory in geophysical and astrophysical fluid dynamics. The derivation of the model’s equation from primary equations follows from several assumptions, including quasi-linear approximations, WKB expansion of the wave-field, simplifications of boundary-layer terms, among others. Starting from the two-dimensional, non-rotating, Boussinesq equations, we present in this paper a self-consistent derivation of the HLP model and show the existence of a distinguished limit for which all approximations remains valid. We furthermore discuss the important role of boundary conditions, and the relevance of this model to describe secondary bifurcations associated with a quasi-periodic route to chaos.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Roughly every 14 months, winds in the equatorial stratosphere reverse direction, alternating between westward and eastward phases. This phenomenon called the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO) is arguably the clearest example of spontaneously generated low-frequency periodic phenomenon in geophysical flows, i.e. without direct link with astronomical forcings such as the seasonal cycle (Baldwin \textit{et al.}, 2001). Lindzen and Holton (1968) elucidated at the end of the 1960s the basic mechanisms underlying this phenomenon and proposed in the early 1970s a simplified model for the reversals (Holton and Lindzen, 1972). They explained the interplay between waves, dissipative effects and mean flows in the equatorial stratosphere, with an emphasis on the role of planetary Yanai and Kelvin waves (Lindzen, 1971). Building on their model, Plumb (1977) isolated a few years later the minimal ingredients required to observe the spontaneous generation of mean flow reversals in a stratified fluid: a horizontally periodic domain filled with a stratified fluid forced at the bottom by a source of waves with a horizontal phase speed of opposite sign. The sufficiency of these basic elements has been successfully demonstrated with a now celebrated laboratory experiment (Plumb and McEwan, 1978). The spontaneous generation of low-frequency oscillations in the Holton–Lindzen–Plumb (HLP) model describes the spontaneous emergence of mean flow reversals in stratified fluids. It has played a central role in understanding the QBO of equatorial winds...
in Earth’s stratosphere and has arguably become a linchpin of wave–mean flow interaction theory in geophysical and astrophysical fluid dynamics. The derivation of the model’s equation from primary equations follows from several assumptions, including quasi-linear approximations, WKB expansion of the wavefield, simplifications of boundary-layer terms, among others. Starting from the two-dimensional, non-rotating, Boussinesq equations, we present in this paper a self-consistent derivation of the HLP model and show the existence of a distinguished limit for which all approximations remains valid. We furthermore discuss the important role of boundary conditions, and the relevance of this model to describe secondary bifurcations associated with a quasi-periodic route to chaos. The experiment was interpreted with a partial integro-differential equation for the velocity that is now presented in most geophysical fluid dynamics textbooks, and which we call the HLP equation or model. In non-dimensional settings, the equation reads

\[ \partial_t U - Re^{-1} \partial_Z^2 U = -\partial_Z \left\{ \exp \left\{ -\int_0^Z \frac{dZ'}{(1 - U^2)} \right\} - \exp \left\{ -\int_0^Z \frac{dZ'}{(1 + U^2)} \right\} \right\}, \tag{1} \]

with appropriate boundary conditions. The model predicts the evolution of the averaged zonal velocity fields \( U(Z,t) \) controlled solely by the Reynolds number \( Re \). A historical perspective on the development of such low-dimensional QBO models is provided by Lindzen (1987). In this paper we revisit the model’s derivation, paying particular attention to the underlying hypotheses, all of them being listed in the original papers (Plumb, 1975; 1977); we discuss the relevant non-dimensional parameters of the problem and show the existence of a distinguished limit for which the model is self-consistent. This analysis highlights the important role of boundary conditions.

The motivation for this work comes from a revival of interest in QBO-like phenomena over the last few years. First, an unexpected periodicity disruption of QBO on Earth was reported in 2016 (Newman et al., 2016; Osprey et al., 2016), triggering debates on the origin of this effect (Dunkerton, 2016). Second, QBO-like phenomena have been reported in other planetary atmospheres (Dowling, 2008; Read, 2018), and is suspected to occur in stably stratified layers in stars (McIntyre, 1994; Kim and MacGregor, 2001; Rogers and Glatzmaier, 2006; Rogers et al., 2008; Showman et al., 2019). Third, fluid dynamicists have shed new light on the interplay between waves, mean flow and sometimes turbulence in stratified fluids: the nature of the bifurcation towards an oscillating state in HLP’s model when the control parameter \( Re \) is varied has been elucidated and tested against laboratory experiments (Yoden and Holton, 1988; Semin et al., 2018); the possibility for synchronization or phase-locking with a seasonal cycle have been investigated within the HLP framework (Rajendran et al., 2015); emergence of low-frequency mean-flow reversals in a stably stratified layer forced by a turbulent layer have been reported in direct numerical simulations (Couston et al., 2018); and secondary bifurcations with a quasi-periodic route to chaos in mean-flow reversals have been reported both in the HLP model (Kim and MacGregor, 2001; Renaud et al., 2019) and direct numerical simulations (Renaud et al., 2019). This large number of studies involving HLP’s equation in different contexts has been a strong incentive to take a closer look at the derivation of this model and its regime of validity which have to our knowledge never been discussed in detail.

The paper is organised as follows. In the second section, we list the series of assumptions that leads to the HLP model, starting from the non-rotating Boussinesq equations in a simplified two-dimensional geometry, paying particular attention to the non-dimensional parameters of the problem and to the physical mechanisms that govern wave–mean flow interactions in this context. The third section recalls the phenomenology of the mean-flow evolution in the HLP model, considering simple limiting cases, namely forcing by either a single propagating wave at the bottom or by two counter-propagating waves. In particular, we propose an analytic form for stationary states in the case where the flow is forced by a single monochromatic wave at the bottom. This analytic form happens to also be a useful guide to interpret the mean-flow profiles in the case of forcing with two counter-propagating waves. These observations and the scaling derived from the analytic profile are used in Appendix A to justify a posteriori the derivation of HLP’s model and to show the existence of a distinguished limit for which the derivation is self-consistent. This is the main result of this paper. In the fourth section, we review the current understanding of the QBO bifurcations, the role of symmetries, and the possibilities of additional bifurcations. We emphasise in this section the central role of bottom boundary conditions, which had up to now been largely ignored: changing this condition from no-slip to free-slip invalidates self-consistency of the model derivation and favours the transition to chaos.

## FROM BOUSSINESQ EQUATIONS TO HLP MODEL

In this section, we propose a derivation of the HLP model, starting from the non-rotating Boussinesq equations. The first subsection introduces the primary model’s equations...
and geometry. Starting from this minimal bedrock, the second subsection describes the quasi-linear approximation, and the third subsection presents the approximations leading to a closure for Reynolds stresses. The approximations are carefully listed. They are compared to Plumb’s own hypotheses and their self-consistency is checked \textit{a posteriori} in Appendix A. En route, this section also highlights the essential physical mechanisms in play.

2.1 Primary set of equations

We consider a two-dimensional vertical slice of fluid, periodic in the zonal direction with period $L$, and semi-infinite in the upward direction. The zonal and vertical coordinates are labelled by $x$ and $z$ and are associated with the unit vectors $e_x$ and $e_z$ respectively. We consider a linear stratification profile with buoyancy frequency $N$. The energy is dissipated by two processes:

(i) the viscous damping with kinematic viscosity $\nu$, 
(ii) the linear damping of buoyancy disturbances with rate $\gamma$.

The fluid motion is governed by the 2D Navier–Stokes equations under the Boussinesq approximation

$$\begin{align*}
\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla) u &= -\nabla \Pi + b e_z + \nu \nabla^2 u, \quad (2a) \\
\partial_t b + u \cdot \nabla b + N^2 w &= -\gamma b, \quad (2b) \\
\nabla \cdot u &= 0, \quad (2c)
\end{align*}$$

where $u = u e_x + w e_z$ is the two-dimensional velocity field, $\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_z)$ is the gradient operator, $\Pi$ is the pressure potential and $b$ is the buoyancy anomaly. Respectively, Equations (2a), (2b) and (2c) correspond to the momentum, buoyancy and mass conservation.

The fluid is forced by the vertical undulations of a bottom boundary, periodic in time with period $T$. We denote $h(x, t)$ the deviation of the boundary from its mean position $z = 0$. The boundary conditions are discussed below.

2.1.1 Wave–mean flow decomposition

We split the dynamics into a mean and a wave part by averaging over the spatial $x$-wise periodicity of the domain and the temporal periodicity of the forcing:

$$u(x, z, t) = \overline{u}(z, t) + u'(x, z, t),$$

$$\overline{u}(z, t) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{d}x \text{ d}\tau \ u(x, z, t + \tau). \quad (3)$$

Here, $\overline{u}$ is the mean part while $u'$ is the wave part. Our choice to average over time as well as space will be useful to filter out high-frequency mean-flow oscillations. This average commutes with any derivative. Consequently, averaging Equation (2c) constrains the mean vertical velocity to be $z$-independent: $\partial_z \overline{w} = 0$. Assuming no mass flux from below then leads to $\overline{w} = 0$.

2.1.2 Mean-flow equation

Averaging the horizontal projection of the momentum Equation (2a) leads to the mean-flow equation

$$\partial_t \overline{u} - \nu \partial_z^2 \overline{u} = -\partial_z \overline{u'} \overline{w'}.$$ 

(4)

The mean flow $\overline{u}$ is forced by the vertical divergence of the Reynolds stress component or mean upward momentum flux $\overline{u'} \overline{w'}$. Wave attenuation generates a mean flow through this forcing term. This phenomenon is often referred to as \textit{streaming} in fluid mechanics. The classical work of Lighthill (1978) presents this subject with an emphasis on analogies between acoustics and internal waves. A general introduction to wave–mean flow interaction theories is given in (Staquet, 2005; Bühler, 2014). In the following, we will sometimes refer to the momentum flux divergence as the \textit{streaming force}.

An exact computation of the momentum flux \( \overline{u'w'} \) would require solving the primary Equations (2) and doing the averaging afterwards to obtain the mean flow. This task, intractable analytically, requires costly direct numerical simulations. Another approach consists of parametrizing the momentum flux $\overline{u'w'}$ to close the mean flow (Equation (3)). In their pioneering work, Holton, Lindzen and Plumb built the first parametrizations reflecting the minimal ingredients required to obtain mean-flow reversals and jointly brought to light today’s prevalent physical understanding of the QBO of equatorial winds in the Earth’s stratosphere.

2.1.3 Wave equations

Subtracting the averaged equations from the dynamical Equations (2) yields the nonlinear wave equations

$$\begin{align*}
\partial_t u' + \overline{u} \partial_t u' + u' \partial_z \overline{u} &= -\nabla \Pi' + b' e_z + \nu \nabla^2 u' - (u' \cdot \nabla) u', \quad (5a) \\
\partial_t b' + \overline{b} \partial_t b' - (N^2 + \overline{\Delta}) w' &= -\gamma b' - (u' \cdot \nabla) b', \quad (5b) \\
\nabla \cdot u' &= 0. \quad (5c)
\end{align*}$$

Here $b'$ is the buoyancy anomaly and $w'$ the wave part.
2.1.4 | Boundary conditions

We consider a no-slip condition at the bottom boundary\(^1\) whose motion is assumed to be purely vertical and a free-slip and impermeability boundary condition at infinity:

\[ u|_{z=h} = \partial_t h \mathbf{e}_z, \quad \partial_z u|_{z=+\infty} = 0, \quad w|_{z=+\infty} = 0. \tag{6} \]

Such a bottom boundary condition suits well the laboratory experiment contexts where internal waves are generated by solid membrane oscillations (Plumb and McEwan, 1978; Otobe et al., 1998; Semin et al., 2018). In the stratospheric context, the oscillating bottom boundary mimics the tropopause height variations forced by deep convection in the equatorial troposphere. In the atmospheric case, it is not obvious that the no-slip condition at the bottom is the relevant choice. Nevertheless, it is the most commonly used boundary condition in the literature (e.g. Plumb (1977), Rajendran et al., 2015, among others). We discuss the case of the free-slip boundary condition and its implications in Appendix D.

2.1.5 | Characteristic scales and non-dimensional parameters

The parameters of the problem are the buoyancy frequency \( N \), the buoyancy damping rate \( \gamma \), the viscosity \( \nu \) and the characteristic amplitude \( h \), angular frequency \( \omega \) (always considered positive) and horizontal wavenumber \( k \) of the bottom undulation. These six parameters involve only time and space units. This corresponds to four non-dimensional independent parameters\(^2\):

\[ hk, \quad \frac{\omega}{N}, \quad \frac{\gamma}{\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad Re \equiv \frac{\omega^2 h^2}{2 \nu}, \tag{7} \]

where \( Re \) is a Reynolds number which will appear naturally in the model derivation. In the following, we consider a distinguished limit where \( hk, \omega/N \) and \( \gamma/\omega \) vanish, the Reynolds number \( Re \) being the only parameter left in the HLP model.

\(^1\)The horizontal average in (2) is ill-defined close to the curvy bottom boundary. This issue is bypassed by Taylor expanding the bottom boundary condition (4) with respect to \( h \) such that the fields are defined up to the flat boundary \( z=0 \).

\(^2\)We assume that the domain is semi-infinite in the vertical direction thus preventing non-trivial effects related to wave reflections, such as the emergence of internal wave attractors. We also neglect buoyancy diffusivity, which amounts to assuming an infinite Prandtl number.

2.2 | Quasi-linear dynamics

We now consider four important approximations for the wave dynamics. Their regime of validity will be discussed a posteriori in detail in Appendix A.

**Quasi-linear approximation:** we ignore the nonlinear terms \( \nabla \cdot (u'u') \) and \( \nabla \cdot (b'u') \) in (5) and keep the leading-order terms in the Taylor expansion of the bottom boundary condition (4) with respect to the bottom elevation \( h \). This yields the no-slip condition for the mean flow at a flat boundary

\[ \bar{u}|_{z=0} = 0. \tag{8} \]

**Frozen stratification:** we ignore \( \partial_t \bar{b} \) in (5b) such that the stratification profile remains linear at all times.

**Hydrostatic approximation:** we consider the hydrostatic balance in place of the vertical momentum conservation in (5).

**Non-viscous wave:** we ignore \( \nu \nabla^2 u' \) in (5a), as in the original work of Plumb (1975), and we reduce the wave boundary condition to an impermeability condition

\[ w'|_{z=0} = \partial_t h. \tag{9} \]

The effect of viscous damping in the domain bulk has been discussed by Plumb (1977), in particular in relation to laboratory experiments (Plumb and McEwan, 1978). However in both papers the boundary layers associated with the viscous boundary condition are ignored without further comment, which is not always satisfactory (Renaud and Venaille 2019).

**Time-scale separation:** we assume that waves evolve on a much faster time-scale than the mean flow such that they adjust instantaneously to any change in the mean-flow profile. Therefore, we compute the stationary wavefield assuming a frozen-in-time mean flow.

To compute the stationary wavefield under these hypotheses, it is convenient to introduce the streamfunction \( \psi' \) such that \( (u', w') = (-\partial_z \psi', \partial_x \psi') \) and to decompose the boundary undulation and this streamfunction on Fourier modes:

\[ \{ h_n(x, t), \psi'(x, z, t) \} = \sum_n \{ h_n, \psi_n(z) \} e^{i(m_n r-k_n z)+c.c.}, \tag{10} \]

where \( \omega_n, k_n \) and \( h_n \) denote the angular frequency, the horizontal wave number and the complex amplitude of each
modes and “c.c.” stands for “complex conjugate”. Then, each mode $\psi_n(z)$ obeys the Taylor–Goldstein equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_z^2 \psi_n(z) + & \left\{ \frac{k_n^2 N^2}{(\omega_n - k_n \bar{u}(z))^2} + \omega^2 \right\} \psi_n(z) = 0,
\end{align*}
$$

with bottom boundary condition

$$
\psi_n(0) = -\frac{\omega_n h_n}{k_n}.
$$

The upward flux of horizontal momentum (momentum flux hereafter) is constituted of the sum of each individual mode contribution:

$$
\overline{u'w'}(z) = \sum_n \overline{u'_n w'_n(z)}, \quad \text{with}
$$

$$
\overline{u'_n w'_n(z)} = \frac{k_n}{4} (\psi_n^* \partial_z \psi_n - \text{c.c.}),
$$

where the averaging operator is defined\(^3\) in (2).

In (3), the mean flow is forced by minus the divergence of the momentum flux (11). In the next subsection, we derive a closed form for the momentum flux using additional approximations also discussed in Appendix A.

2.3 | Momentum flux closure

Since the momentum flux $\overline{u'w'}$ is a sum over contributions from independent wave modes (Equation (11)), let us start by considering a single mode with amplitude $h$, wavenumber $k$ and angular frequency $\omega$. Indices will be added back later when considering multiple modes.

2.3.1 | Homogeneous case

Important physical insights can be gained considering first the case without mean flow ($\bar{u} = 0$). In this case, the Taylor–Goldstein Equation (9) is homogeneous and its solution takes the form of a damped vertical oscillation

$$
\psi(z) = \psi(0) \exp \left\{ -imz - \frac{z}{2\Lambda} \right\},
$$

where $m \in \mathbb{R}$ is the real part of the vertical wavenumber characterising the oscillation, $\Lambda > 0$ is a damping length and $\psi(0)$ is given by Equation (10). We now make a weak damping approximation, by assuming $\gamma \ll \omega$. Then, injecting ansatz (12) in (9), we find at leading order in $\gamma/\omega$

$$
m = -\frac{|k|N}{\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = \frac{\omega^2}{\gamma N|k|}.
$$

Using Equations (10) and (11), the momentum flux reads at leading order

$$
\overline{u'w'}(z) = Fe^{-z/\Lambda}, \quad \text{with} \quad F \equiv \text{sign}(k) N \frac{|h|^2}{2}.
$$

Figure 1a shows a snapshot of the damped wave vertical velocity field $w'$ and the corresponding momentum flux divergence $-\partial_z \overline{u'w'}$. The latter decays exponentially with height over a scale corresponding to the damping length $\Lambda$.

The damping length $\Lambda$ has an interpretation in terms of the inviscid upward group velocity (Vallis, 2017):

$$
\Lambda = \frac{wg}{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad w_g = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial m} = \frac{\omega^2}{|k|N}.
$$

In the presence of a mean flow, the $\omega^2$ dependence in $\Lambda$ will impact dramatically the vertical momentum flux profile, as we now discuss.

2.3.2 | Inhomogeneous case

Let us now consider an arbitrary frozen mean flow profile $\bar{u}(z)$. With clear scale separation between the wave and the mean flow, we expect the wave to behave locally as in the homogeneous case, but Doppler shifted:

$$
\hat{\omega}(z) = \omega \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{u}(z)}{c} \right),
$$

where $c = \omega/k$ the horizontal phase speed. Considering a global weak damping limit with $\gamma \ll \hat{\omega}$ at all $Z$, the local damping length also varies by a factor $\hat{\omega}^2$. The wave is therefore damped more rapidly at heights where the mean flow approaches the horizontal phase speed $c$. Consequently, we expect enhanced streaming in that case. Formally, this behaviour is captured by the leading-order terms of a WKB expansion of the wave. Before performing this expansion, let us introduce dimensionless variables

$$
Z = \frac{z}{\Lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad m(Z) = -\frac{\omega}{\hat{\omega}(Z)},
$$

where $\Lambda$ is the damping length introduced in (15), and $m$ is a rescaled local vertical wave number. It will also be convenient to introduce a Richardson number

$$
Ri \equiv \left( \frac{N}{c/\Lambda} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{\omega}{\gamma} \right)^2.
$$

---

\(^3\)Without the time filtering in this definition, there would be additional cross terms corresponding to high-frequency oscillations.
FIGURE 1  Wave streaming. Snapshot of the vertical velocity field associated with a damped monochromatic progressive plane wave propagating (a) within a resting flow or (b) within a shear flow sketched with grey arrows. Each panel is accompanied by a plot of the associated momentum flux divergence vertical profile. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

With these notations, the Taylor–Goldstein Equation (9) reads

$$\frac{1}{Ri} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \psi + \left\{ \frac{Ri}{Ri + m^2} \left(1 - i \frac{m}{Ri^{1/2}} \right) - \frac{m^2}{Ri} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} \frac{1}{m} \right\} \psi = 0,$$

$$\psi = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The weak damping limit ($\gamma \ll \omega$) leads to a large Richardson limit ($Ri \gg 1$), guaranteeing the stability of the mean flow with respect to Kelvin–Helmholtz instability. The WKB parameter will be given by $Ri^{-1/2}$; self-consistency of the WKB approach can thus be considered as a consequence of the weak damping limit.

To simplify the Taylor–Goldstein equation, we assume a length-scale separation

$$\left| \frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} \frac{1}{m} \right| \ll \left| \frac{m}{Ri^{1/2}} \right| \ll 1$$

at all $Z$. Note that the relevant Richardson number to be scrutinised for shear instability is actually $Ri/m^2$, such that (20) ensures the absence of shear instability. At order one in $m/Ri^{1/2}$, the Taylor–Goldstein equation reads

$$\frac{1}{Ri} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \psi + m^2 \left(1 - i \frac{m}{Ri^{1/2}} \right) \psi = 0.$$

We approximate the solution of this equation by the leading-order terms of the WKB expansion

$$\psi(Z) = \exp \left\{ i \frac{Ri}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_j(Z)}{Ri^{j/2}} \right\},$$

where the $g_j$ are complex functions of $Z$. Injecting (22) in (19) and collecting the zeroth-order terms yields $g_0(Z) = \pm \int_0^Z m(Z') \, dZ'$. Collecting the first-order terms leads to an expression for $g_1$ that depends on $g_0$. Keeping the solution that vanishes at infinity, we obtain at this order the WKB expression

$$\psi(Z) = \psi(0) \frac{m(0)}{m(Z)}^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -i \frac{Ri^{1/2}}{2} \int_0^Z m(Z') \, dZ' - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^Z m^2(Z') \, dZ' \right\},$$

$$\psi(0)$$

is determined by the boundary condition (10). Changing variable back to $z$ and $\bar{u}$, the mean momentum flux reads

$$u'w'(z) = F \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\Lambda} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{\left\{1 - \bar{u}(z')/c\right\}^2} \right\},$$

where $F$ is defined in Equation (14). Note that the momentum flux and the horizontal phase speed have the same sign whatever the mode considered ($F \bar{c} > 0$). Figure 1b shows a snapshot of the wave vertical velocity field $w'$ propagating through a background shear flow $\bar{u}$ represented with grey arrows and the corresponding streaming force $-\partial_z u'w'$. The shear background flow enhances the streaming force close below the critical height where $\bar{u} = c$. However, the vertically integrated streaming force does not depend on the background shear profile. A positive background shear flow thus concentrates the streaming force to lower heights.

2.3.3 | Closed mean flow equation

Equation (24) offers a closed form for the momentum flux due to a single mode. This expression depends solely
on the instantaneous mean flow vertical profile \( \overline{u} \). It is straightforward to generalise this result to multiple modes indexed by \( n \). The mean flow evolution Equation (3) becomes then a one-dimensional integro-differential equation

\[
\partial_t \overline{u} - \nu \partial_z^2 \overline{u} = -\partial_z \left( \sum_n F_n \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\Lambda_n} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{(1 - \overline{u}(z')/c_n)^2} \right\} \right), \tag{27}
\]

where \( F_n, \Lambda_n, \) and \( c_n \) are the bottom momentum flux, the dissipation length and the horizontal phase speed of the \( n \)th mode such that \( F_n c_n \leq 0 \).

Equation (25) is the model derived originally in (Plumb, 1975; 1977), building on the physical insights from the Holton and Lindzen (1972) theory of QBO. We followed most of the steps of the original derivation by Plumb (1975), having collected and rephrased all the required approximations in a systematic manner. Furthermore, we will check \textit{a posteriori} in Appendix A that the set of approximations made along the way are self-consistent. For that purpose, we will need a deeper characterisation of the mean flow evolution through Equation (25), which we now tackle.

### 3 | SOLUTIONS OF THE HOLTON–LINDZEN MODEL IN SIMPLE CASES

This section investigates the dynamics of the mean flow generated and steered by the streaming of damped internal waves propagating from below, using the HLP model derived in Section 2. The resulting uni-dimensional integro-differential Equation (25) is easily solved numerically over long time-scales using a standard finite-difference approach (appendix A of Renaud 2018 gives more details). In a first subsection, we consider the particular case of a single wave streaming in which the mean flow ultimately reaches a steady state. The addition of a second counter-propagating wave, considered in a second subsection, allows for the mean-flow stationary state to bifurcate from a steady regime to a limit cycle when the wave-streaming force is increased. Both the case of a single wave and two counter-propagative waves were discussed in the original work of Plumb (1977). Our contribution is to give an analytical solution to the steady-state solution in the single-wave case, which allows us to interpret the numerical results in the two counter-propagating waves case and to obtain \textit{a posteriori} scaling laws on typical length-scales and velocities. These scalings are used in Appendix A. to check the self-consistency of the model derived in the previous section under a number of hypotheses.

#### 3.1 | Single wave streaming

Let us consider the mean-flow evolution induced by a single rightward propagating wave with amplitude \( h \). The associated closed mean flow evolution equation reads

\[
\partial_t \overline{u} - \nu \partial_z^2 \overline{u} = -F \partial_z \left( \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\Lambda} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{(1 - \overline{u}(z')/c)^2} \right\} \right), \tag{28}
\]

where the horizontal phase speed \( c \) and the bottom upward momentum flux \( F \) defined in Equation (14) of the wave are both positive. Natural characteristic length and velocity are provided by the damping length \( \Lambda \) and the phase speed \( c \). A characteristic time-scale for the streaming is defined by

\[
\tau = \frac{c \Lambda}{F}. \tag{29}
\]

This time-scale corresponds to the period scaling given in Vallis (2017). Considering the dimensionless variables

\[
Z = \frac{z}{\Lambda}, \quad T = \frac{t}{\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \frac{\overline{u}}{c}, \tag{30}
\]

the mean flow equation now reads

\[
\partial_T U - \frac{1}{Re} \partial_Z^2 U = -\partial_Z \left( \exp \left\{ -\int_0^Z \frac{dZ'}{(1 - U)^2} \right\} \right), \tag{31}
\]

where

\[
Re = \frac{\Lambda F}{\nu c}. \tag{32}
\]

is the Reynolds number introduced previously (Equation (5)). This is the single control parameter of the model. Qualitatively, it compares the strength of the wave forcing to the mean viscous stress. Equation (29) is coupled with the boundary conditions \( U|_{Z=0} = 0 \) and \( \partial_Z U|_{Z=\infty} = 0 \). In numerical application, an upper flat boundary is located at \( z = 1.5 \Lambda \) with a free-slip boundary condition, which induces finite size effect but does not change the qualitative behaviour of the system.

#### 3.1.1 | Downward propagation

We integrate Equation (29) numerically starting from rest for \( Re=25 \). The evolution of the mean-flow profile is shown in Figure 2. The streaming force on the right-hand side
of Equation (29) is everywhere positive and is henceforth forcing a rightward mean flow.

A characteristic dynamical feature arises when \( U \) reaches order 1 values: the streaming force profile get confined to lower levels leading to a downward propagation of the mean flow (Figure 2 for \( T > 0.5 \)). For \( T > 1 \), the streaming force is locally balanced by the viscous stress. There remains only the slow viscous diffusion of momentum above the critical layer until a steady state is reached at \( T \to \infty \).

Downward propagation of the QBO phase through a streaming mechanism was first noticed by Lindzen and Holton (1968) and has been a major achievement of HLP theory. Plumb (1977) showed numerical simulations of the relaxation towards a steady-state, as in Figure 2. Recent laboratory experiments have also described this single-wave streaming phenomenon (Sémin et al., 2016), in which case damping is dominated by viscosity. To our knowledge, there has been no analytical description of the limiting steady state in these different regimes.

### 3.1.2 Steady state

The steady state \( U_\infty(Z) \) satisfies

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} U_\infty = Re \left\{ \frac{dZ'}{(1-U_\infty)^2} \right\}.
\]

Using the bottom no-slip boundary condition, and free-slip condition at infinity, this equation admits a unique solution found analytically. It reads

\[
U_\infty(Z) = \frac{Re - W(Re e^{Re(1+Re)^2})}{1 + Re},
\]

where \( W \) denotes the Lambert-W function (\( y = we^w \Leftrightarrow w = W(y) > -1 \)). A detailed derivation is provided in Appendix B. The mean flow profile (32) is shown as dashed in Figure 2 for \( Re = 25 \). Numerical resolutions of (29) suggest that any initial condition converges toward this steady state solution. In the low Reynolds number limit, we recover a steady solution of the homogeneous problem \( U_\infty(Z) = Re(1-e^{-z}) \).

Expression (32) is useful to estimate how close the mean flow approaches the critical value \( U = 1 \) with

\[
U_{\text{max}} = \lim_{Z \to \infty} U_\infty(Z) = \frac{Re}{1 + Re}.
\]

Moreover, in the large Reynolds number limit, the steady mean flow takes the form

\[
U_\infty(Z) = \min\{1, Re Z\}
\]

with a characteristic scale of \( Re^{-1} \) for the bottom shear. These estimates for the steady flow profile are useful to check consistency of the derivation for the HLP model (Appendix A).

### 3.2 Symmetric counterpropagating waves streaming

As noticed by Plumb (1977), the simplest setting leading to spontaneous mean flow reversals corresponds to the mean flow evolution (3) driven by two counterpropagating waves with equal amplitude and frequencies, but opposite wavenumbers. Then, using the dimensionless variables introduced in Equation (28), the closed mean flow evolution equation reads

\[
\partial_U U - Re^{-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} U
\]

complemented by the boundary conditions \( U|_{Z=0} = 0 \) and \( \partial_Z U|_{Z=\infty} = 0 \). In this symmetric case, the rest state \( U = 0 \) is a natural fix point to the dynamics which are always stable at low Reynolds numbers. Above a critical Reynolds number \( Re_c \approx 4.15 \), the rest state becomes unstable and the system reaches an oscillating state which presents the...
sient features of the QBO (Plumb, 1977). Numerical computations of these oscillations in the case $Re=25$ are shown in Figure 3. Snapshots of the mean-flow vertical profile evenly spaced within a half period of the cycle are shown from Figure 3b-1 to b-12, together with the steady states of single-wave streaming. From b-1 to b-9, the rightward streaming force is balanced with the viscous stress at the bottom and the leftward streaming force is pushing the mean flow leftward above the critical layer. The bottom part of the flow is close to the steady state of the single-wave configuration. This goes on until a second critical layer is created in the upper part of the flow (b-10) which then propagates downward (b-10 to b-12). At some point, the viscous stress coming from the shear between the two critical layers becomes strong enough that it takes over the rightward forcing: the bottom mean flow reverses (b-12). We then end up in a configuration symmetric to b-1 and the second half of the cycle continues following the same scheme.

4 | BIFURCATION DIAGRAMS OF THE HLP MODEL

In this section, we analyse the symmetric HLP Equation (35) with the lens of dynamical system theory, building on Yoden and Holton (1988) and Semin et al. (2018). We first take a close look at the first bifurcation, namely the instability of the rest state. We then describe the quasi-periodic routes to chaos that were found in Kim and MacGregor (2001) and Renaud et al. (2019). Our contribution is to offer a comparison between no-slip and free-slip boundary conditions at the bottom. The free-slip analysis detailed in Appendix D is new. The comparison brings to light significant differences between these two boundary conditions, even if the global structure of the bifurcation diagram is left unchanged.

4.1 | Instability of a rest state

When the Reynolds number is sufficiently small, the rest state is a stable fixed point of the HLP Equation (35). For sufficiently large Reynolds number, it becomes unstable. The basic mechanism underlying this instability was qualitatively understood by Holton and Lindzen (1972). Building on their interpretation, and using a linearized version of Equation 35, Plumb provided a quantitative analysis of this instability mechanism: close to a rest state with $U \ll 1$ and $\int U dz' \ll 1$. In this limit, the forcing term on the right-hand side can be simplified, leading to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U - Re^{-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} U = 4 \left( U - \int U dz' \right) e^{-Z} \quad (38)$$

The first term of the r.h.s. corresponds to positive feedback between the mean flow and the enhanced streaming induced by the wave with a phase speed having locally the same sign as the mean flow. The second term involves the vertically integrated velocity field and can be interpreted as a shielding term. Assuming the velocity field is initially positive, the shielding takes over the enhanced streaming at high altitude, changing the sign of the r.h.s.; the wave propagating in the same direction as the mean flow has been efficiently damped so that the streaming becomes dominated by the contribution from the counter-propagating wave. Plumb (1977) showed that the rest state is always unstable in the limit of infinite Reynolds numbers. Yoden and Holton (1988) studied numerically the details of the instability, including a discussion on the effect of asymmetric wave forcing, and a two-layer version of Equation (35). A semi-analytical computation of the marginal instability curve is obtained by Semin et al., (2018) in a case where the wave attenuation
is dominated by viscosity with a no-slip bottom boundary condition for the mean horizontal flow. They found good agreement with experimental data. We reproduce in Appendix C their computation of the instability threshold, focusing on the HLP model (Equation (35)) where wave attenuation is dominated by Newtonian cooling. The critical Reynolds number and the period of the oscillation at the threshold are found to be the solution of a transcendental equation (Equation (4)). A numerical resolution yields the critical Reynolds number $Re_c \approx 4.37$ and the critical period $T_c \approx 10.7$. Figure 4 compares these predictions to direct simulation of the nonlinear HLP equation as well as a numerical analysis of its linearized counterpart (Equation (35)).

### 4.1.1 Nonlinear saturation close to the first bifurcation

Yoden and Holton (1988) found numerically that the Hopf bifurcation associated with instability of the rest state in Equation (35) is supercritical. The nonlinear saturation of the instability close the first bifurcation threshold was addressed by Semin et al. (2018) by using multiple-scale analysis using viscous damping and linear friction in the momentum equation. Such terms are relevant to model the effect of lateral walls in laboratory experiments or to account for radiation of waves outside the equatorial region in geophysical flows. They found that the Hopf bifurcation becomes sub-critical when the linear damping parameter exceeds a threshold, in good agreement with laboratory experiments.

### 4.1.2 Effect of breaking mirror symmetry in zonal direction

So far, we have discussed bifurcation diagrams for boundary conditions admitting a mirror symmetry in the $x$-direction. This symmetry can be broken by increasing the amplitude of one of the two counter-propagative waves at the boundary. It is also possible to break mirror symmetry in the problem just by changing the properties of wave propagation in the domain bulk, for instance by considering the effect of rotation, as in the original work of Holton and Lindzen (1972).

As noticed by Semin et al. (2018), the phenomenology of supercritical/subcritical Hopf bifurcation does not change when this mirror symmetry is broken, as the normal form for the bifurcation just depends on the assumption of translational invariance in time for the mean vertical wind profile. The only consequence of breaking mirror symmetry is that the low Reynolds stable state is no longer a rest state, and the oscillatory solution presents asymmetries between eastward and westward phases (Holton and Lindzen, 1972; Plumb, 1977; Yoden and Holton, 1988).

### 4.2 The case of free-slip boundary conditions

Up to now, we have only considered the case of no-slip boundary conditions, as in the vast majority of work dealing with the HLP model. As far as applications to the
atmosphere are concerned, the choice of boundary conditions that would mimic the effect of the tropopause to the stratosphere is not obvious. It is thus natural to ask whether the results obtained with no-slip boundary conditions are robust to other choices. We discuss in Appendix D the case of free-slip conditions at the bottom.

The main results derived in this Appendix are three-fold. First, in the single wave streaming case, we find that the mean flow does not reach a steady state as it grows past the singular value \( U = 1 \) at a finite time. Second, when forcing with two counter-propagating waves of equal amplitude, we find that the system undergoes a Hopf bifurcation as in the no-slip case considered in (Semin et al., 2018). While the critical Reynolds number is close to the no-slip value, we find reversals that are roughly twice as fast (Figure (4)). Third, we find that the HLP model with free-slip boundary conditions does not admit any self-consistent regime: the contribution from the wave boundary layers in the Reynolds-stress tensor cannot be dismissed, contrary to the no-slip case.

### 4.3 Secondary bifurcations and quasi-periodic route to chaos

The full bifurcation diagrams for the HLP model is plotted (Figure 5), both in the no-slip and in the free-slip case, following the procedure of (Renaud et al., 2019). The figure is obtained after many numerical integrations of the model for different values of the control parameter \( Re \), assuming that there is a single attractor for each parameter (as checked numerically by varying the initial condition). We recover in both cases the quasi-periodic route to chaos described in Kim and MacGregor (2001) and Renaud and Venaille (2019). Starting from a stable rest state and increasing the parameter \( Re \), each bifurcation is associated with shallower mean-flow reversals embedded in slower and deeper oscillations. With respect to the no-slip case, the free-slip boundary condition facilitates the transition to chaos by lowering the successive bifurcations' thresholds significantly. This is puzzling considering that the first bifurcations occur at comparable Reynolds number. Another major difference between the free-slip and no-slip oscillation comes from their frequency of oscillation – the free-slip oscillation being roughly twice as fast over a wide range of Reynolds number (Figure 4). At the secondary bifurcation, it seems that the upper part of the signal is failing to keep pace with the bottom oscillation. The free-slip oscillation being faster, this might explain the loss of synchronisation at a lower Reynolds number.

### 5 CONCLUSION

In this work, we have revisited the derivation of the HLP model. By keeping track of all the approximations made and checking their validity a posteriori, we have been able to show the existence of a distinguished limit for which the derivation of the model with two symmetric waves and no-slip bottom boundary condition is self-consistent whatever the value of the control parameter \( Re \). This suggests that the quasi-periodic route to chaos reported in Kim and MacGregor (2001) and Renaud et al., (2019) is an intrinsic property of the original set of Boussinesq equations rather than an artefact of the reduced model. At large Reynolds number, the proof relies on a novel analytical expression of the steady-state mean flow associated with each individual wave. We also took a look at the free-slip problem which is rarely considered in the literature. The dynamics present noticeable differences with respect to the no-slip case: the oscillation periods are about twice as slow, and the secondary bifurcation occurs at much lower Reynolds numbers.

While the paper was focused on the derivation of a reduced model from a primary set of equations arguably relevant to the actual atmosphere, we have left aside a number of important physical ingredients such as tropical upwelling related to the meridional circulation, or rotational effects that should be included to account for the real QBOs. Other three-dimensional effects have also been ignored in our primary model. Recent theoretical and experimental work in the non-rotating case and in the \( f \)-plane case have revealed important modifications of wave–mean flow interactions in the presence of a transverse (meridional) motion, with for instance
the generation of vertical vorticity when wave generation varies in the meridional direction (Dauxois et al., 2018). It will be interesting to investigate these 3D features in the context of QBO-like phenomena. Simplified models aiming at describing the meridional extent of the QBO on the equatorial beta-plane were proposed thirty years ago (Plumb and Bell, 1982a; 1982b; Dunkerton, 1985), building on Lindzen (1971) and Holton and Lindzen (1972). The existence of self-consistent QBO-like models in this beta-plane case remains to our knowledge an open question, together with a full description of their bifurcation diagram.
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**APPENDIX A**

**A. SELF-CONSISTENCY OF THE HLP MODEL**

We discuss in this Appendix the possibility of a self-consistent regime for the HLP model considering the simplest case of two symmetric counterpropagating waves. We recall that the control parameter for the bifurcation is the Reynolds number \( Re = FL/v_c = \omega^2 h^2/(2\gamma v) \). To explore the possibility of a distinguished limit leading to the HLP model, we have to translate the different hypothesis made for the derivation of the HLP model into constraints on the dimensionless numbers. We consider two limiting cases:

a. the system is close to the bifurcation with \( Re \sim Re_c \) such that the mean flow oscillations are weak, and

b. the forcing is large with \( Re \gg 1 \) such that the mean flow approaches the critical layers.

We look in both cases for a distinguished limit with parameters organised as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
hk &= \epsilon, \\
\frac{\omega}{N} &= O(\epsilon^\alpha), \\
\frac{\gamma}{\omega} &= O(\epsilon^\beta), \\
Re &= O(\epsilon^{-\delta})
\end{align*}
\]  

(A1)

with \( \epsilon \ll 1 \). In the following we look for triplets of exponents \((\alpha, \beta, \delta)\) consistent with the approximations leading to the HLP model.

**A.1 DISTINGUISHED LIMIT CLOSE TO THE FIRST BIFURCATION**

Let us assume that the system is in the QBO regime, close to the supercritical bifurcation threshold, so that \( |\bar{u}| \ll c \) everywhere. In other words, the amplitude of the limit cycle remains sufficiently small to avoid critical layers, and vertical variations of \( \bar{u} \) are characterised by the damping length \( \Lambda \) defined in Equation (13). We list below the different hypotheses leading to the HLP model close to the threshold:

1. **System close to the first bifurcation.** The bifurcation threshold occurs for \( Re = O(1) \). Assuming that the system is close to this threshold leads therefore to the condition \( \delta = 0 \).

2. **Inviscid wavefield in the bulk.** We ignored the effect of viscosity on the wavefield in the domain bulk, where it is primarily damped by radiative cooling. To neglect the contribution of viscosity we must have \( v \nabla^2 / \gamma \sim v m^2 / \gamma \ll 1 \) yielding the condition \( Re (\gamma / \omega)^2 \gg (hk)^2 (N/\omega)^2 \) and thus \( 2 - \delta > 2\alpha + 2\beta \).

3. **Weak dissipation limit and WKB parameter.** Throughout this study, we simplified the dispersion relation of internal gravity waves assuming \( \gamma \ll \omega \). This implied that the vertical wavelength \( 1/m \) is much smaller than the attenuation length-scale \( \Lambda \). The parameter \( \gamma / \omega \) also corresponds to the WKB parameter \( Ri^{-1/2} \) used to simplify the computation of the wavefield during the derivation of the model. Thus, the weak dissipation limit guarantees the validity of the WKB approximation. The condition \( \gamma / \omega \ll 1 \) corresponds to \( \beta > 0 \).

4. **Hydrostatic balance.** The condition for hydrostatic balance is satisfied for small (vertical to horizontal) aspect ratio \( k/m \), which guarantees that \( |\partial_tw'/|/b'| \ll 1 \). Using the dispersion relation in Equation (13), we obtain the condition \( \omega/N \ll 1 \) and hereby \( \alpha > 0 \).

5. **No boundary streaming.** Even if viscosity can safely be neglected in the bulk to compute the wave field, it induces the presence of boundary layers close to the wall. We have neglected streaming induced by these boundary layers. It is hard to justify this hypothesis in the general case (Renaud and Venaille, 2019). In the case of a standing wave forcing with no-slip boundary condition, streaming induced by each of the two counter-propagative waves cancels out if there is no mean flow. Neglecting boundary streaming in the no-slip case can be justified if the wave boundary-layer thickness \( \sqrt{v/\omega} \) is much smaller than the typical
length-scale for mean flow variations along the vertical. Close to the bifurcation, this length-scale is given by the attenuation length-scale \( \Lambda \). Therefore, we must have \( \sqrt{v/\omega} \ll \Lambda \), which gives \( Re^{-1}(hk)^2(N/\omega)^2(\gamma/\omega) \ll 1 \), and consequently \( 2 + \delta - 2\alpha + \beta > 0 \).

6. **Frozen-in-time stratification.** We assumed the stratification profile to be dominated at any time by the initial one, such that \( \tilde{\delta} \sim N^2 \). The order of magnitude for the mean buoyancy \( \tilde{b} \) is estimated from the steady averaged buoyancy \( b \approx \tilde{\delta} w^2 b' / \gamma \). Taking again the attenuation length-scale \( \Lambda = \omega^2 / (N \nu) \) as a characteristic vertical scale, and using \( w b' \sim h^2 \omega N^2 \), we have \( \tilde{\delta} b / N^2 \sim \omega \Lambda b' / (\omega \gamma^2 N^2) \sim (\gamma / \omega)^2 (N / \omega)^2 (hk)^2 \). Therefore the frozen-in-time stratification condition is fulfilled if \( (\gamma / \omega)^2 (N / \omega)^2 (hk)^2 \ll 1 \), corresponding to \( 2 + \delta - 2\alpha + \beta > 0 \).

7. **Quasi-linear approximation.** We assumed the waves to be linear. This condition is fulfilled if the nonlinear terms are small compared to the linear ones in all the equations. Assuming that the smallest linear term is the one involving radiative cooling (in agreement with the weak damping limit above), the linearity condition is satisfied when \( |\mu| \cdot |\nu| \ll \gamma \). Therefore, we must have \( (kh)(N/\omega)/(\omega/\gamma) \ll 1 \) and hereby \( \alpha + \beta < 1 \).

8. **Time-scale separation between waves and mean flows.** The typical adjustment time for the wavefield around a frozen-in-time mean flow can be estimated as the propagation time for a wave packet over the attenuation length-scale \( \Lambda \) with vertical group velocity \( c_g \approx \omega^2 / (k \nu) \). We assumed that this adjustment time is much smaller than the typical time for mean flow reversals estimated in Equation (27) as \( \tau \sim c \Lambda / \nu \tilde{b} \sim \Lambda / (\gamma \Lambda^2 k \nu) \). This time-scale separation hypothesis is satisfied when this leads to the condition \( (kh)^2 (N/\omega)^2 \ll \tau \), and hence \( \alpha < 1 \).

Note that the last seven hypotheses can be matched with the hypotheses made by Plumb in his original work (Plumb, 1977). The hypotheses 2 and 5 corresponds to his hypothesis (ii). The hypothesis 3 corresponds to his hypotheses (iv) and (v). The hypothesis 4 corresponds to his implicit assumption of hydrostatic balance. Finally, the hypotheses 6, 7 and 8 correspond to his hypotheses (vi), (i) and (iii) respectively.

All together, the scaling conditions reduce to 4 with \( \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \delta = 0 \) and \( 1 - \alpha - \beta > 0 \). A distinguished limit exists for instance with \( (\alpha, \beta, \delta) = (1/4, 1/4, 0) \). Note that such a distinguished limit is possible thanks to the introduction of radiative damping that dominates wave attenuation. Without this term, the waves are attenuated by viscosity in the domain bulk, and a self-consistent approach is not possible (Renaud and Venaille, 2019).

The case with lateral walls, including possible 3D effects, remains to be addressed.

### A.2 Distinguished limit for large Reynolds number

We now assume that the system is far beyond the QBO bifurcation \( Re \gg 1 \). In this limit, we observed numerically that the mean flow \( \bar{u} \) oscillates between two profiles corresponding to the steady response of single propagating wave mode (Figure 3). Consequently, we make use of the analytical expression \( (32) \) to estimate the quantity \( |1 \pm \bar{u} / c| \) which is found to vary between 2 and \( Re^{-1} \) (Equation (33)). We also note that the mean flow now presents two spatial scales: the decay length-scale \( \Lambda \), as in the previous case close to the bifurcation, and the bottom shear scale \( \Lambda / Re \) (Equation (34)). These typical length-scales will also be good estimates for typical wave attenuation length on the vertical. Vertical derivatives will, therefore, be estimated in the worst-case scenario using an attenuation length-scale \( \Lambda / Re \). It is now possible to list the different hypotheses leading to the HLP model in the large Reynolds number limit, following the same procedure as in the previous section.

1. **Large Reynolds number limit.** The condition \( Re \gg 1 \) simply yields \( \delta > 0 \).

2. **Inviscid wavefield in the bulk.** To neglect the contribution of viscosity in the wavefield we must have \( \nu / \gamma \sim v m^2 / \gamma \ll 1 \). The vertical wavenumber becomes large close to critical layers, with \( |m| \sim N k / (\omega) |1 + \bar{u} / c| \). The worst scenario thus corresponds to \( |1 + \bar{u} / c| \sim 1 / Re \). Therefore the condition is fulfilled if \( (\gamma / \omega)^2 \gg Re (hk)^2 (N / \omega)^2 \) and thus \( 2 - 2\alpha - 2\beta - \delta > 0 \).

3. **Weak dissipation limit and WKB parameter.** The weak dissipation limit \( \gamma \ll \omega \) is independent from the presence of a mean flow, and therefore the constraint \( \beta > 0 \) remains unchanged in the large Reynolds regime. However, the WKB approximation requires two additional assumptions that depend on the mean flow through the parameter \( m = 1 - \bar{u} / c |^{-1} \) (Equation (20)). For these constraints to be valid in the worst case scenario, using \( R \sim (\omega / \gamma)^2 \) and \( \delta \sim Re \) we must have \( Re \ll \gamma / \omega \). This corresponds to \( \beta \sim 3\delta > 0 \).

4. **Hydrostatic balance.** As in the previous case, the condition \( |(\delta - \bar{u} / c)w' / |b'| \sim |1 + \bar{u} / c|^2 \omega^2 / N^2 \ll 1 \) is satisfied when \( \omega / N \ll 1 \) and hereby \( \alpha > 0 \) (bending of the rays close the critical layers only reinforces hydrostatically).

5. **No boundary streaming.** The length-scale of the mean flow at the bottom being reduced by a factor \( Re \) in this regime (with respect to the previous case \( Re \sim 1 \)), the condition to neglect boundary streaming
now reads $\sqrt{\nu/\omega} \ll \Lambda/Re$. Therefore, we must have $Re(kh)^2(N/\omega)^2(\gamma/\omega) \ll 1$ and hereby $2 - \delta - 2\alpha + \beta > 0$.

6. Frozen-in-time stratification. As in the previous scenario, we estimate again the mean buoyancy anomaly gradient with the relation $\delta \sim \partial_z \omega^\prime b'/\gamma$. The attenuation length-scale is now given by $\Lambda/Re$ and it can be shown using (23) that the magnitude of $b^\prime \omega^\prime$ does not depend on the Reynolds number. Therefore, the condition $\delta B \ll N^2$ yields $Re^2(\gamma/\omega)^2(N/\omega)^2(kh)^2 \ll 1$, corresponding to $2 - 2\delta - 2\alpha + \beta > 0$.

7. Quasi-linear approximation. In the large Reynolds limit, the condition $|u^\prime \cdot \nabla| \ll \gamma$ needs to account for the amplitude variation (Equation (23)). In the worst case scenario, $|u^\prime| \ll 1$ is rescaled by a factor $Re^{1/2}$. Therefore, we must have $Re(kh)^2(N/\omega)^2(\omega/\gamma)^2 \ll 1$ and hereby $2 - 2\alpha - 2\beta - \delta > 0$.

8. Time-scale separation between waves and mean flows. This condition is unaffected by the existence of critical layers. Indeed, the characteristic time of mean-flow reversals introduced in (27) is independent of the Reynolds number. Moreover, just as in the case without mean flow, the time-scale for wave adjustment is given by $\gamma^{-1}$. Therefore the condition $(kh)^2(N/\omega)^2 \ll \tau$ remains unchanged, and hereby $\alpha < 1$.

All together, the scaling conditions reduce to four with $\alpha > 0$, $\delta > 0$, $\beta - 3\delta > 0$, and $2 - 2\alpha - 2\beta - \delta > 0$. A distinguished limit exists for instance with $(\alpha, \beta, \delta) = (1/4, 1/2, 1/8)$.

**APPENDIX B**

**B. STEADY RESPONSE TO SINGLE WAVE STREAMING**

In this Appendix, we compute the solution $U_\infty(Z)$ of the steady state Equation 31 which we rewrite here for readability:

$$\partial_Z^2 U_\infty = Re \partial_Z \left[ \exp \left\{ - \int_0^Z \frac{dZ'}{(1 - U_\infty)^2} \right\} \right]. \quad (B1)$$

Integrating once and using the free-slip condition at infinity, $\partial_Z U_\infty|_{Z=-\infty}=0$, yields

$$\partial_Z U_\infty = Re \exp \left\{ - \int_0^Z \frac{dZ'}{(1 - U_\infty)^2} \right\}. \quad (B2)$$

Equation (1) can now be rewritten in the form

$$\partial_Z^2 U_\infty = -\frac{1}{(1 - U_\infty)^2} \partial_Z U_\infty. \quad (B3)$$

Integrating again Equation (3), once using the no-slip condition $U_\infty|_{Z=0}=0$ and that $\partial_Z U_\infty|_{Z=0}=Re$ (obtained from Equation (2)), we get

$$\partial_Z U_\infty = Re + 1 - \frac{1}{1 - U_\infty}. \quad (B4)$$

Evaluating Equation (4) at $Z \to \infty$ readily yields the result (33). Now, separating variables and using the no-slip condition $U_\infty|_{Z=0}=0$, we have

$$Z = \int_0^{U_\infty} dU \frac{1 - U}{Re - (1 + Re)U} = \frac{(1 + Re)U_\infty + \log Re - \log \{Re - (1 + Re)U_\infty\}}{(1 + Re)^2}, \quad (B5)$$

which can be rewritten in the form

$$Re e^{Re-(1+Re)Z} = \{Re - (1 + Re)U_\infty\} e^{Re-(1+Re)U_\infty}. \quad (B6)$$

Using the Lambert’s $W$ function which satisfies $x=W(x)e^{W(x)}$ with $W(x)\gg 1$, we invert (6) and finally obtain the steady mean flow expression (32).

**APPENDIX C**

**C. LINEAR STABILITY OF THE REST STATE**

In this Appendix, we compute the critical Reynolds number associated with the linearised HLP Equation (36). We introduce the ansatz $U(Z, T) = \Phi(Z)e^{\sigma T}$, with an additional boundary condition $\Phi(0) = 0$. The no-slip bottom boundary and the free-slip condition at infinity read $\Phi'(0) = 0$ and $\Phi''(\infty) = 0$. Integrating (36) once yields

$$\Phi'' + Re \{4e^{-Z} - \sigma\} \Phi = \Phi''(0), \quad (C1)$$

Following Semin et al., (2018), we split the solution into a product of two functions $\Phi(Z) = f(Z)g(Z)$ with $g$ and $f$ being solutions of

$$f g'' + 2f'g' = \phi''(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f'' + Re \{4e^{-Z} - \sigma\} f = 0. \quad (C2)$$

The solutions of Equation (2) read

$$g(Z) = \phi''(0) \int_Z^\infty \frac{f(Z'')}{f'(Z')} dZ', \quad \text{and} \quad f(Z) = (1 - A) J_\alpha \left( 4 \sqrt{Re} e^{-Z/2} \right) + AJ_\alpha \left( 4 \sqrt{Re} e^{-Z/2} \right), \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha = 2\sqrt{Re} \sigma$, $J_\alpha(b)$ is the Bessel’s function of the first kind of order $\alpha$ and argument $b$ and $Z_0, Z_1$, and $A$
are constants to be determined using the boundary conditions. Assuming $\Re[\sigma] > 0$, setting $Z_0=\infty$, $Z_1=0$ and $A=0$ ensures that the boundary conditions $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi''(\infty) = 0$ are satisfied. Finally, the no-slip condition $\Phi''(0) = 0$ yields

$$\int_0^\infty dZ J_a \left( 4 \sqrt{\Re} e^{-Z/2} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n (4\Re)^{n+1/2}}{n! (n + \sqrt{\Re} \sigma) \Gamma(1 + n + 2\sqrt{\Re} \sigma)} = 0,$$  

(C4)

where $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function. The bifurcation occurs for $\Re[\sigma] = 0$. The transcendental roots of (4) are found numerically using a truncation of the infinite sum. We obtain the approximate solution

$$Re_c \approx 4.37 \quad \text{and} \quad \Im[\sigma] \approx 0.588. \quad (5)$$

**APPENDIX D**

**D. THE CASE OF FREE-SLIP BOTTOM BOUNDARY CONDITION**

This Appendix briefly investigates the case of a free-slip boundary condition. In the quasi-linear approximations, the bottom boundary conditions now reads

$$\partial_z \tilde{u} |_{z=0} = 0, \quad \tilde{w} |_{z=0} = \partial_t h + \tilde{u} |_{z=0} \partial_z h. \quad (D1)$$

Consequently, the bottom boundary condition written in Equation (10) for the no-slip case now reads

$$\psi_n(0) = -\left( \frac{\omega_n - k_n \tilde{u}(0)}{k_n} \right) \frac{h_n}{k_n}. \quad (D2)$$

On following the derivation described in Section 2, the dependence in $\tilde{u}(0)$ is found to trace up to the mean flow integro-differential equation. Ultimately, we obtain the following HLP equation in the case of free-slip boundary condition

$$\partial_t \tilde{u} - v \partial_z^2 \tilde{u}$$

$$= -\partial_z \left[ \sum_{n} F_n \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{u}(0,t)}{c_n} \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{\Lambda_n} \int_0^Z \frac{dz'}{(1 - \tilde{u}(z',t)/c_n)^2} \right\} \right]. \quad (D3)$$

In non-dimensionalised settings, it reads

$$\partial_t U - Re^{-1} \partial_z^2 U = -\partial_z \left[ (1 - U |_{z=0}) \exp \left\{ -\int_0^Z \frac{dz'}{(1 - U)^2} \right\} \right] - (1 + U |_{z=0}) \exp \left\{ -\int_0^Z \frac{dz'}{(1 + U)^2} \right\}. \quad (D4)$$

In the case of a single wave streaming, from integrating (4) numerically starting from rest, the mean flow at the bottom $U(0,T)$ is found to reach 1 over a finite time. No steady-state solution can be captured by the model as the homogeneous solution $U=1$ is singular.

In the case of symmetric counterpropagating waves streaming, as in the no-slip case, the rest state is a fixed point of Equation (4) which becomes unstable when the Reynolds number is large enough. The amplitude and period of the resulting signal are shown in Figure 4. Predictions for the critical Reynolds number and the period at the transition is obtained in the next subsection.

**D.1 LINEAR STABILITY ANALYSIS OF THE REST STATE IN THE FREE-SLIP CASE**

Linearising Equation (4) yields

$$\partial_t U - Re^{-1} \partial_z^2 U = \partial_z \left\{ 4 \int_0^Z U dz' + 2U(0,T) \right\} e^{-Z}. \quad (D5)$$

We look for a solution of the form $U(Z, T) = \Phi'(Z)e^{\sigma t}$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$. On using the free-slip boundary condition $\Phi''(0) = 0$, integrating (5) once yields

$$\Phi'' + Re \left( 4e^{-Z} - \sigma \right) \Phi = 2Re\Phi'(0) \left( 1 - e^{-Z} \right). \quad (D6)$$

with boundary conditions $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi''(\infty) = 0$. We split the solution in the form $\Phi = f \, g$ such that

$$fg'' + 2f'g = 2Re \, \Phi'(0) \left( 1 - e^{-Z} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad f'' + Re \left( 4e^{-Z} - \sigma \right) f = 0. \quad (D7)$$

The solutions of Equations (7) read

$$g(Z) = 2Re \, \Phi'(0) \int_{Z_1}^Z \frac{dz''}{f^2(Z'')} \int_{Z_1}^{Z''} dZ'' \left( 1 - e^{-Z''} \right) f(Z'') \quad (D8)$$

$$f(Z) = (1 - A) J_a \left( 4\sqrt{Re} \, e^{-Z/2} \right) + A J_{-a} \left( 4\sqrt{Re} \, e^{-Z/2} \right), \quad (D9)$$

where $a = 2\sqrt{Re \, \sigma}$, $J_a(b)$ is the Bessel’s function of the first kind of order $a$ and argument $b$ and $Z_0,Z_1$, and $A$ are constants to be determined. Assuming $\Re[\sigma] > 0$, setting $Z_0=\infty$, $Z_1=0$ and $A=0$ ensures that the boundary conditions $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi''(\infty) = 0$ are satisfied. Injecting these expressions into Equation (6) yields the final condition

$$J_a \left( 4\sqrt{Re} \right) + 2Re \int_0^\infty dZ \left( 1 - e^{-Z} \right) J_a \left( 4\sqrt{Re} \, e^{-Z/2} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n (4\Re)^{n+1/2}}{n! \Gamma(1 + n + 2\sqrt{Re \, \sigma})}.$$
\[
\times \left( \frac{2Re}{(n + \sqrt{Re \sigma})(n + 1 + \sqrt{Re \sigma})} + 1 \right) = 0, \tag{D10}
\]

where \( \Gamma \) is the Gamma function. The bifurcation occurs for \( \Re[\sigma] = 0 \). We compute the roots of (10) numerically using a truncation of the infinite sum and obtain

\[
Re_c \approx 4.43 \text{ and } \Im[\sigma] \approx 1.41. \tag{D11}
\]

D.2 SELF-CONSISTENCY OF THE MODEL CLOSE TO THE FIRST BIFURCATION

Compared to the no-slip case treated in Appendix A, almost all hypothesis can be justified in the same in the free-slip case except for ignoring the boundary streaming. Indeed, the wave boundary layers cannot cancel each other out as the mean flow is non-zero at the bottom. However, we may neglect the contribution from boundary layers if their contribution to the streaming is negligible compared to that of the bulk. Following Renaud and Venaille (2019), we can show that the ratio of the momentum flux divergence associated with the boundary layer with respect to that of the bulk is of the order \( \omega/\gamma \) close to the bifurcation. Neglecting the boundary-layer streaming, namely \( \omega \ll \gamma \), is incompatible with the weak damping approximation which states \( \gamma \ll \omega \). We could also consider a less conservative condition by considering the ratio of the momentum flux directly which yields \( Re(\omega/N)^2(\gamma/\omega)(hk)^{-2} \ll 1 \). It is also incompatible to the quasi-linear approximation which states \( Re^{-1}(\omega/N)^2(\gamma/\omega)(hk)^{-2} \gg 1 \). Therefore, the HLP model is not self-consistent when considering a free-slip bottom boundary condition.