Rethinking mirror symmetry as a local duality on fields
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We introduce an algorithm to piecewise dualise linear quivers into their mirror dual. The algorithm uses two basic duality moves and the properties of the S-wall which can all be derived by iterative applications of Seiberg-like dualities.

INTRODUCTION

3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories enjoy a mirror duality which relates pairs of dual theories with Higgs and Coulomb branches of the vacuum moduli space exchanged [4]. If we realise these theories on Hanany–Witten brane set-ups in type IIB string theory with D3-branes suspended between NS5 and D5-branes, mirror symmetry can be interpreted as the action of S-duality on the brane system [2,3].

It has been argued that S-duality can act locally on each 5-brane creating an S-duality wall on its right and an S$^{-1}$ wall on its left [4, 5]

\[ \text{NS5} \rightarrow \text{S}^{-1}\text{D5}\text{S}, \quad \text{D5} \rightarrow \text{S}^{-1}\text{NS5}\text{S}, \]  

and the S-wall intersecting N D3-branes is known to correspond to the $T[SU(N)]$ quiver theory [4].

It is natural to wonder whether this local S-duality action can be understood in field theory as a local action on the quiver. In this paper we show that this is indeed possible, thus providing a completely field theoretic and algorithmic derivation of mirror symmetry. Specifically, for each element in the relations [1] we can find a field theory counterpart, allowing us to reinterpret [1] as genuine infra-red (IR) dualities in field theory. Such dualities, together with the properties of the S-wall, can then be used to systematically dualise a given quiver into its mirror. Crucially, all the basic dualities needed in our algorithm can be derived using more elementary Seiberg-like dualities, that are dualities that are analogues of Seiberg-like dualities.

Recently in [7] a family of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories called $E^\rho_p[USp(2N)]$, labelled by partitions $\rho, \sigma$ of $N$, were constructed (see Fig. [1]). These theories upon compactification to 3d and suitable RG flows reduce to the $T^\rho_p[SU(N)]$ family of unitary gauge linear 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ quivers, first introduced in [4,8]. The $E^\rho_p[USp(2N)]$ theories, as their 3d relatives, enjoy mirror symmetry which relates pairs of theories with swapped $\rho$ and $\sigma$ partitions.

One may then ask whether also 4d mirror symmetry can be realised as a local action on the quiver. We will see that it is indeed possible to define the same algorithm also in 4d, to locally dualise the fields by means of two basic duality moves, which together with the properties of the 4d S-wall allow us to go from $E^\rho_p[USp(2N)]$ to its mirror $E^\sigma_p[USp(2N)]$. As argued in [9], the 4d S-wall should be identified with the $FE[USp(2N)]$ theory [10,11], which in 3d reduces to the $T[SU(N)]$ theory up to gauge singlets. Interestingly, the basic duality moves involved in our algorithm are IR dualities which can be in turn derived by iterative applications of the Intriligator–Pouliot (IP) duality [12] as shown in [9].

Although our discussion here focuses on the 4d case, by taking the standard 3d limit combined with the suitable Coulomb branch VEVs and real mass deformations, we answer the same question in 3d, that is we have an algorithm to locally dualise 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ quivers.

Early attempts to answer the same kind of question in the 3d set-up [5,13] reformulated the local $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ action at the level of the $S^3$ partition function without providing the field theory interpretation in terms of applications of genuine IR dualities. In the abelian case, the local S-duality action can be realised as a piecewise dualisation of a free hypermultiplet into SQED, which was understood as a generalised Fourier transformation of its partition function [14]. Our result is a generalisation of the piecewise dualisation of the 3d abelian mirrors in [14] to the non-abelian case.

One important feature of our dualisation algorithm is the propagation of certain operator VEVs along a quiver via Higgs mechanism, which resembles Hanany–Witten transitions in brane set-ups. Such Higgs mechanism plays an essential role to realise the expected gauge groups in the mirror dual frame.

THE 4D S-WALL

In this section, we review the properties of the 4d S-wall, the $FE[USp(2N)]$ theory [13]. The quiver representation of the theory is given on the left of Fig. [2].

The IR global symmetry is

\[ USp(2N)_x \times USp(2N)_y \times U(1)_t \times U(1)_c, \]  

with the enhancement $SU(2)_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow USp(2N)_y$ of the symmetries of the saw and where the charges under $U(1)_t$ and
$U(1)_c$ are as specified in Fig. 2. Notice in particular that the only fields charged under $U(1)_c$ are those forming the saw of the quiver. To demonstrate our algorithm, we will use the supersymmetric index [10] of this theory, which is a function of the fugacities for these global symmetries so we will denote it by $I^{(N)}_{FE}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; t; c)$. Its explicit definition can be found in eq. (2.17) of [9].

We will also need an asymmetric $S$-wall which is obtained by turning on the superpotential

$$\delta W_{\text{def}} = \text{Tr}_y \{ J \cdot C \}, \quad J = \frac{1}{2} (J - J^T),$$

where Tr$_y$ is taken over the emergent $USp(2N)_y$ symmetry of the theory. $C$ is a matrix collecting the mesonic operators constructed from the bifundamental field between the gauge nodes and the fundamental fields in the saw, which is in the antisymmetric representation $USp(2N)_y$ [7, 10]. The antisymmetric matrix $J$ is defined in terms of the $K$-dimensional empty matrix $\mathbb{0}_K$ and the $K$-dimensional Jordan matrix $\mathbb{J}_K$. This deformation partially breaks $USp(2N)_y$ to $USp(2M) \times SU(2)$ and tunes the fugacities of $FE[USp(2N)]$ as $y_{M+1} = t^{-\frac{N-M-1}{2}} v, \ldots, y_{N} = t^{-\frac{N-M-1}{2}} v$ for $M < N$. We schematically represent the resulting theory as on the right of Fig. 2.

It was shown in [9] that gluing two $S$-walls by gauging a diagonal combination of one $USp(2N)$ from each of them we get the Identity wall, a theory with quantum deformed moduli space whose index behaves as a delta-function that identifies the remaining symmetries, as shown in Fig. 3. To gauge we add an antisymmetric chiral coupled quadratically to one antisymmetric operator from each block.

At the level of the index the identity property corresponds to

$$\hat{x}_t \hat{g}_{\vec{y}; \vec{v}}(t) = \oint d\vec{z}_N \Delta_N(\vec{z}; t) I^{(N)}_{FE}(\vec{z}; \vec{y}; t; c)$$

where we defined the identity operator

$$\hat{x}_t \hat{g}_{\vec{y}; \vec{v}}(t) = \sum_{\sigma\in S_N, \pm 1 \to N} \prod_{i=1}^N 2\pi i x_i \delta(x_i - y^{\pm 1}_{\sigma(1)}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{\Delta_N(\vec{z}; t)}{\sigma(0)}$$

with the summation $\sum_{\sigma\in S_N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{\Delta_N(\vec{z}; t)}{\sigma(0)}$ spanning the Weyl group of $USp(2N)$ and $j = 1, \ldots, N - M$. We also defined with $d\vec{z}_N$ the $USp(2N)$ integration measure including the Weyl symmetry factor and with $\Delta_N(\vec{z}; t)$ the contribution of the $USp(2N)$ vector and antisymmetric chiral multiplets. For their explicit definitions, see eqs. (2.7)-(2.8) of [9].

This duality and various generalisations where the $S$-walls are glued adding some fundamental chirals in the middle $USp(2N)$ gauge node were derived in [9] with iterative applications of the IP duality.

**BASIC DUALITY MOVES**

We will now introduce the two basic duality moves we will need to perform the local duality. These moves can be considered as the field theory analogue of the local S-action on the 5-branes.

**Triangle block duality**

The first move replaces a bifundamental block by a fundamental chiral sandwiched between two $S$-walls, as on the left of Fig. 4. This duality has been derived in [9] by iterative use of the IP duality. At the level of the supersymmetric index we have

$$\mathcal{I}^{(N,M)}_{\mathbb{V}}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; v; t; c) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^M \Gamma_c \left( t^{\frac{N-M+1}{2}} v^{\pm 1} \right) \mathcal{I}^{(M)}_{\mathbb{F}}(\vec{z}; \vec{y}; t; pq)$$

$$\mathcal{I}^{(N)}_{\mathbb{F}}(\vec{x}; \vec{z}; t^{\frac{N-M+1}{2}} v, \ldots, t^{\frac{N-M+1}{2}} v; t; c)$$

using the identity operator

$$\hat{x}_t \hat{g}_{\vec{x}; \vec{v}}(t) = \sum_{\sigma\in S_N, \pm 1 \to N} \prod_{i=1}^N 2\pi i x_i \delta(x_i - y^{\pm 1}_{\sigma(1)}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{\Delta_N(\vec{z}; t)}{\sigma(0)}$$

with the summation $\sum_{\sigma\in S_N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{\Delta_N(\vec{z}; t)}{\sigma(0)}$ spanning the Weyl group of $USp(2N)$ and $j = 1, \ldots, N - M$. We also defined with $d\vec{z}_N$ the $USp(2N)$ integration measure including the Weyl symmetry factor and with $\Delta_N(\vec{z}; t)$ the contribution of the $USp(2N)$ vector and antisymmetric chiral multiplets. For their explicit definitions, see eqs. (2.7)-(2.8) of [9].

This duality and various generalisations where the $S$-walls are glued adding some fundamental chirals in the middle $USp(2N)$ gauge node were derived in [9] with iterative applications of the IP duality.
where we defined the index of the triangle block as

\[ T^{(N,M)}(\vec{v}; \vec{y}; v; c) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \Gamma_c \left( \frac{pq}{t} \frac{1}{2} x_{i}^{\pm \pm} y_{j}^{\pm \pm} \right) \]

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \Gamma_c \left( t^{\frac{1}{2}} c v_{i}^{\pm} x_{i}^{\pm \pm} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{M} \Gamma_c \left( p q t e^{-1} v_{i}^{\pm} y_{j}^{\pm \pm} \right) \]

where the definition of the elliptic gamma function \( \Gamma_c(z) \) is given by

\[ \Gamma_c(z) = \frac{1}{\Delta_N(w)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{p^n q^m}{1} - \epsilon} \]

**Fundamental block dualisation**

The second basic move replaces a block of 2L fundamentals times the Identity wall by L triangle blocks sandwiched between two S-walls, as on the right of Fig. 4. This can be obtained starting from the duality for the gluing of two S-walls with 2L chirals in the middle given in [9] by gluing two further S-walls on each side of the duality. Using the delta property of Fig. 3 on the l.h.s. of the duality and the flip-flip duality of \( F^E[U(2N)] \) on the r.h.s., we arrive at our basic move. At the level of the supersymmetric index, this reads

\[ x^{i}_{\underline{i}}(t) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \Gamma_c \left( \left( \frac{pq}{t} \right) \frac{1}{2} x_{i}^{\pm \pm} y_{j}^{\pm \pm} \right) = \int \prod_{k=0}^{L} \Delta_N(w_{k}) \Delta_N(v_i^{0}) \]

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \Delta_N(w_{k}) \Delta_N(v_i^{0}) \prod_{k=1}^{L} \Delta_N(w_{k}) \prod_{k=1}^{L} \Delta_N(v_i^{0}) \]

**DUALISATION ALGORITHM**

Given the identity property of the S-wall and the basic duality moves, we can use them to derive the 4d mirror of any of the \( E^4_{\rho}(USp(2N)) \) theories of [7]. The algorithm works as follows:

1. Chop the quiver by ungauging the gauge nodes into either triangle or fundamental blocks.
2. Dualise each block using the basic duality moves in Fig. 4.
3. Glue back the dualised blocks producing Identity walls to arrive at a quiver with no S-walls left. At this stage some operators can acquire a VEV.
4. If some operators acquired a VEV, follow the RG flow to the IR final configuration, which coincides with the expected mirror of the original theory.

Let us exemplify this procedure in the case of \( \rho = [N-2, 1^2] \) and \( \sigma = [1^N] \). This is summarised in Fig. 5. We start from the quiver of \( E^4_{[N-2, 1^2]}(USp(2N)) \) presented on the top left corner of Fig. 5. The crosses represent gauge singlets flipping the corresponding diagonal mesons, while the blue lines denote singlets charged under some of the non-abelian global symmetries. For simplicity we omit drawing singlets that don’t transform under the non-abelian symmetries in the intermediate steps. One can keep track of them with the index and check that they work out as expected.

In Step 1 we split the quiver into triangle and fundamental blocks. Notice that the fundamental block includes the identity operator. We can add such operator in the quiver by introducing an auxiliary gauge node labeled by the fugacity \( z^{(3)} \) in the drawing. We have also completed the first and third triangle adding trivial fields.

In Step 2 we dualise each block using the basic moves.
In Step 3 we glue back the dualised blocks by restoring the gauging of the original nodes. These three gaugings glue together $S$-walls with the correct charges to yield identity walls as in Fig. 3.

In this way we remove all the $S$-walls from the quiver (the $S$-walls connecting zero nodes are trivial and can be dropped) and we arrive at Step 4, producing also new singlets charged under the non-abelian symmetries which we draw in green. Notice that one set of them gives mass to some of the original blue singlets.

We now have a quiver with no $S$-walls and with fixed charges for the chiral fields. In particular, the orange line denotes a pair of chirals in the bifundamental of the $USp(4)$ gauge and the $SU(2)$ flavor node. One of them has charge 1 under $U(1)_c$ only, while the other is uncharged under every abelian symmetry including the R-symmetry. Such vanishing charges for the latter chiral signal that some operator is acquiring a VEV. Indeed, we note that there is a set of gauge singlets, originating from $\Delta_N(\vec{x}, t)$ of \([5]\), that couple to the mesons constructed from the bifundamental chirals denoted by the orange line. The superpotential \([3]\) of the deformed $FE[USp(2N)]$ is yields a linear superpotential for one of those extra singlets, whose equation of motion leads to a non-zero VEV of one of the mesons, specifically the one constructed from the bifundamental chirals with no abelian charges.

We can efficiently study the VEV through the super-symmetric index with the technique described in \([20]\). Specifically, the index contribution of the aforementioned chirals is $\prod_{i=1}^{\beta} \Gamma_{c_i}(y_3^{1/2} u_2^{1/2})$, where $u_i$ are the $USp(4)$ gauge fugacities. From these gamma functions we have two sets of poles that pinch the integration contour at, say, $u_2 = y_3^{1/2}$. Taking these residues we obtain the index of the theory after the Higgsing induced by the VEV. In this case, the last $USp(4)$ node is higgsed down to $USp(2)$ and the two chirals move to the $USp(4)$ node on its left. Hence, we end up with the quiver on the bottom right of Fig. 5 where we are now drawing all the singlets and the charges to show that this indeed coincides with the $E_\kappa(1, N-2, 1/2) [USp(2N)]$ according to the conventions of \([7]\). We recovered in this way the mirror duality between $E_\kappa(1, N-2, 1/2) [USp(2N)]$ and $E_\kappa(1, N-2, 1/2) [USp(2N)]$.

**COMMENTS AND OUTLOOK**

Our algorithm dualises the $E^\kappa_p[USp(2N)]$ theory into its mirror dual by acting with two basic duality moves and the properties of the $S$-wall. As shown in \([9]\), when we consider gluings involving gauging manifest symmetries, everything can be derived from the Intriligator–Pouliot duality.

However, to actually glue back all the dualised blocks, we need the basic moves and the $S$-wall properties with gauging of both manifest and emergent symmetries. These equivalent relations can be trivially obtained using the self-duality property of the $FE[USp(2N)]$ theory, which follows from the self-mirror property of $E[USp(2N)]$. So it would seem that our algorithm to construct mirrors has to assume mirror symmetry at some point.

Nevertheless, to derive the mirror of $E^\kappa_p[USp(2N)]$, we only need to assume the self-mirror property of $E[USp(2K)]$ with $K < N$. Since $E[USp(2)]$ is simply a Wess–Zumino model which is manifestly self-mirror, by mathematical induction we can prove that all the mirror dualities of the $E^\kappa_p[USp(2N)]$ family can be derived by the iterative use of the IP duality alone.

One can obtain an analogous algorithm for the local dualisation of 3d linear quivers, by either taking the 3d limit, combined with Coulomb branch VEVs and real masses, of our 4d results or re-deriving all the basic moves directly in 3d by iterative applications of the Aharony duality \([21]\), to which the IP duality reduces.

The basic moves in this case can be directly interpreted as the transformations of the NS5 and D5-branes in the brane set-ups of the 3d theories under the $S$ element of $SL(2, Z)$. After dualising the 5-branes in the brane setup, one usually needs to move D5 across NS5-branes using Hanany–Witten moves to reach a configuration where one can read off the 3d gauge theory. Interestingly, in our procedure we don’t have to implement the HW moves, but we need to study RG flows initiated by VEVs which have the effect of moving the matter fields and changing the ranks so to arrive at the final mirror theory.

One should note that our algorithm is not just a pre-
scription to generate integral identities for the supersymmetric index on $S^3 \times S^1$; while we have provided the supersymmetric index as one concrete example of observables realising our dualisation algorithm, other partition functions can also be manipulated in the same way to derive mirror symmetry from the IP duality. In fact, as we already emphasised, our algorithm should be regarded as a procedure at the level of field theories.

Indeed the basic duality moves and the identity wall property used in our algorithm can be proven as in [9] by iterations of the IP duality, with a procedure which can be implemented on the UV Lagrangian.

A key ingredient of our algorithm is the possibility gauging emergent symmetries, which actually allows us to piece-wise dualise and glue black the triangle or fundamental blocks. These manipulations are then implemented in the IR.

Furthermore, as mentioned in the Introduction, our algorithm is the generalisation of the piecewise duality of abelian mirror symmetry. For the latter, notably, the same idea has also been used to derive some non-supersymmetric abelian dualities from simpler building blocks [22,24]. We thus expect that our result will provide a new approach to understanding non-abelian dualities with less supersymmetry.

The results of this paper can be generalised in many
directions. For example, the same technique can be used to derive the mirror dualities of circular quivers and of the 3d S-fold SCFTs [25,33] and their 4d counterpart.

Moreover, one can also try to find the basic duality moves corresponding to the local action of other $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ elements, including the action of the $T$ generator, which in 3d corresponds to the introduction of a Chern–Simons coupling. This will allow us to generate more general pairs of 4d dual theories. We plan to investigate this in a future work.
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