Computation of the Cutoff Wavenumbers of Metallic Waveguides with Symmetries by Using a Nonlinear Eigenproblem Formulation: A Group Theoretical Approach
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Abstract: The evaluation of the cutoff wavenumbers of metallic waveguides can be related to the numerical resolution of a suitable nonlinear eigenproblem defined on the domain C described by the contour of its transverse cross section. In this work, we show that the symmetries of C can be exploited to obtain a block diagonal matrix representation of the nonlinear eigenproblem, which enables a remarkable reduction in the computational effort involved in its resolution.
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1. Introduction

The computation of the cutoff wavenumbers of metallic waveguides is a classic problem in electromagnetic engineering [1]. It is well known that the knowledge of these parameters plays a paramount role in the design of a significant number of microwave and millimetre waves devices as power combiners, transducers, T-junctions, and mode transformers [2]. In recent decades, renewed interest in the subject, mainly focused on the treatment of guiding structures with an arbitrarily shaped transverse cross section, has been shown by researchers, and several numerical procedures have been proposed for the evaluation, for example, [3–5] and the references within. In [6], it was demonstrated that these parameters coincide with the eigenvalues of a suitable nonlinear eigenproblem of the form [7]

\[ A(\gamma)f = 0, \]

where the operator \( A(\gamma) \) is defined as a boundary integral equation having as its domain the contour C described by the transverse cross section of the guiding structure. The main feature of this approach, as discussed in [8], is the absence of spurious (or ghost) solutions. In [9], it was shown that these nonlinear eigenvalues can be reliably computed by means of the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) applied to the homogeneous matrix system arising by the discretization of the operatorial Equation (1). Although effective, the SVD approach is time consuming due to its asymptotic computational cost on the order of \( O(\frac{3}{2}n^3) \) [10]. In [11], one of the present authors proposed a quick numerical procedure for computing the nonlinear eigenvalues \( \gamma \) based on the use of both the QR decomposition and an adaptive technique for their localization. In this paper, an enhancement of the approach described in [11] obtained by means of group theory is presented with the aim of computing, in a fast and effective manner, the cutoff wavenumbers of metallic waveguides characterized by a symmetric transverse
cross section. Group theory has been applied with success in the context of electromagnetic theory and applications, e.g., eddy current analysis [12], electromagnetic scattering [13], antenna theory [14], microwave engineering [15], microwave imaging [16], plasmonics [17], and metamaterials [18]. Inspired by [13,19], the approach we propose exploits the symmetries of \( C \) to obtain a block diagonal matrix representation of the operator \( A(\gamma) \), thereby reducing the computational effort involved in the use of the procedure [11]. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a brief account of the main concepts concerning the formulation of the cutoff wavenumbers of a metallic waveguide as nonlinear eigenvalues of a suitable nonlinear eigenproblem [6] and of the numerical technique described in [11] for its resolution. In Section 3, we present elements of group theory and group representation theory that are essential to understanding the rationale of the proposed approach. In Section 4, we demonstrate how the theoretical results given in Section 3 can be used to enhance the computational performance of the technique [11] to compute the cutoff wavenumbers of metallic waveguides with symmetry. For this purpose, guiding structures characterized by a symmetric transverse cross section that is invariant (i) to reflection operations about the orthogonal planes intersecting the propagation axis, and (ii) to the rotation operation of \( \pi \) radians around this axis, have been considered. In Section 5, numerical results are discussed. Conclusions are provided in Section 6.

2. Cutoff Wavenumbers as Nonlinear Eigenvalues

2.1. Nonlinear Eigenproblem Formulation

Following [6], we consider a metallic waveguide with PEC walls filled by a medium with dielectric permittivity \( \varepsilon \) and magnetic permeability \( \mu \), characterized by an transverse cross section along the \( \hat{z} \)-axis. The problem of the computation of the cutoff wavenumbers \( \kappa_c \) of this structure can be stated as follows: the electric field \( E(r) \) inside the metallic waveguide can be expressed as

\[
E(r) = -j\omega A(r) - \nabla \phi(r),
\]

where the vector potential \( A(r) \) and the scalar potential \( \phi(r) \) are given by [8]

\[
A(r) = \frac{\mu}{4j} \oint_C H_0^2(\kappa|r-r'|)J(r')dl',
\]

\[
\phi(r) = \frac{1}{4\omega\varepsilon} \oint_C \nabla' \cdot J(r')dl',
\]

where \( C \) is the contour of the waveguide cross section, \( H_0^2(\cdot) \) is the Hankel function of second kind and zero order, \( \kappa = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} \) is the wavenumber, \( j \) is the imaginary unit and \( r' \) and \( r \) are the source and position vectors, respectively. The boundary conditions on the waveguide walls are

\[
\hat{n} \times (j\omega A(r) + \nabla \phi(r)) = 0,
\]

where \( \hat{n} \) is the unit vector orthogonal to the surface of the PEC walls. Equation (5) can be written in operatorial form as [6]

\[
L(J) = 0.
\]

2.2. Nonlinear Eigenvalues Computation

The operatorial Equation (6) defines a nonlinear eigenproblem of the form (1), where the cutoff wavenumbers \( \kappa_c \) are the nonlinear eigenvalues \( \gamma \) [6,10]. By applying the method of moments [1] to (6), it is reduced to a homogeneous matrix equation of the form

\[
[L(\kappa)] \bar{I} = 0.
\]
In principle, the computation of the nonlinear eigenvalues of Equation (7) requires a search for the values of the parameter \( \kappa \) for which the matrix \([L(\kappa)]\) becomes singular, i.e., the values \( \kappa = \kappa_c \) for which

\[
\det ([L(\kappa_c)]) = 0
\]

holds [6,9,11]. From a numerical perspective, a more stable and accurate way to ascertain the singularity of \([L(\kappa_c)]\) is the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) for \([L(\kappa_c)]\) [9,10]. Exploiting the fact that the smallest singular value \( \sigma_n \) of \([L(\kappa)]\) corresponds to the Euclidean distance between the matrix \([L(\kappa)]\) and the set \([\{H\}] \) of all the matrices with rank \( n - 1 \) [10], the cutoff wavenumbers \( \kappa_c \) can be evaluated by searching for the zeros of the function \( \Omega(\kappa) = \sigma_n([L(\kappa)]) \) over the domain for \( \kappa \). However, as discussed in [11], due to discretization errors, this search has to be accomplished on the minima of the function \( \Omega(\kappa) \). To save computational time, an algorithm for rapid evaluation of nonlinear eigenvalues is presented in [11]. The algorithm is based on the definition of an auxiliary function \( \Psi(\kappa) \) that is able to locate all the minima of \( \Omega(\kappa) \) while being computationally less expensive to evaluate than \( \Omega(\kappa) \). This result is obtained by (i) exploiting the QR decomposition (instead of the SVD) of the matrix \([L(\kappa)] = [Q(\kappa)] [R(\kappa)]\) where \([Q(\kappa)]\) is a unitary matrix and \([R(\kappa)]\) is a upper triangular matrix, (ii) choosing a suitable algorithm to estimate the smallest singular value of a triangular matrix (considering the fact that \( \sigma_n([R(\kappa)]) = \sigma_n([L(\kappa)])\) [11], and (iii) exploiting a suitable adaptive technique to evaluate \( \Psi(\kappa) \). The adaptive method requires two levels of resolution \( r_{\text{min}} \) and \( r_{\text{max}} \) to be fixed and a tolerance \( \tau \) for evaluating the function \( \Psi(\gamma) \). The computation stops when all the minima of \( \Psi(\gamma) \) remain unchanged between two computational steps of the evaluation procedure. The overall asymptotic computational cost of the algorithm is \( \mathcal{O}(n_0\frac{n^3}{\tau} + n_0) \), where \( n \) is the order of the matrix \([L(\kappa_c)]\) and \( n_0 = 2^l \) \( (r_{\text{min}} < k \leq r_{\text{max}}) \) is the number of sample points used to compute the function \( \Psi(\kappa) \) in the domain for \( \kappa \) (see [11] for a detailed discussion of these points).

3. Basic Concepts and Definitions of Group Theory and Group Representation Theory

A symmetry operation can be defined as a transformation applied to an object that leaves its geometric structure indistinguishable from its initial configuration [20,21]. For an object that exhibits some degree of symmetry, several symmetry operations can be defined. The set of all these operations yields an abstract algebraic structure called the symmetry group, whose properties are described by group theory [20,21]. In the following, we provide a brief review of the elements of group theory and group representation theory that are essential to understanding the rationale of the approach adopted in this study to reduce the computational effort related to the computation of the cutoff wavenumbers \( \kappa_c \) of metallic waveguides with symmetries.

3.1. Basics of Group Theory

An abstract group (or simply a group) [20] is an algebraic structure composed of a non-empty set \( \mathcal{G} \) of abstract elements \( a_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), rigged with a binary operation \( \circ \), that fulfils the following four axioms:

1. \( \forall (a_i, a_j) \in \mathcal{G}, a_i \circ a_j \in \mathcal{G} \) (closure property)
2. \( \forall (a_i, a_j, a_k) \in \mathcal{G}, (a_i \circ a_j) \circ a_k = a_i \circ (a_j \circ a_k) \) (associative property)
3. \( \exists a_e \in \mathcal{G} : \forall a_i \in \mathcal{G}, a_i \circ a_e = a_i = a_e \circ a_i \) (existence of the identity element)
4. \( \forall a_i \in \mathcal{G} \exists a_i^{-1} \in \mathcal{G} : \ a_i \circ a_i^{-1} = a_i^{-1} \circ a_i = a_e \) (existence of the inverse element)

Group \( \mathcal{G} \) is called an abelian group if its binary operation \( \circ \) is commutative. The number of elements of \( \mathcal{G} \) (its cardinality, \(|\mathcal{G}|\)) gives the order of group \( \mathcal{G} \). In the following, only groups with finite order are considered. An abstract element \( a_i \in \mathcal{G} \) is the conjugate of the abstract element \( a_j \in \mathcal{G} \) if \( a_j = a_k a_i a_k^{-1} \) with \( a_k \in \mathcal{G} \). The set \( \mathcal{C} \) of all the elements that are conjugated to each other forms a conjugacy class \( \mathcal{C}_i \), and \( n = |\mathcal{C}| \) denotes its cardinality. The number \( P \) of conjugacy classes \( \mathcal{C}_i \) belonging to a given group \( \mathcal{G} \) is \( P \leq \mathcal{G} \). Finally, the sets \( \mathcal{C}_i, i = 1, \ldots, P \) are disjoint to each other [20,21].
3.2. Basics of Group Representation Theory

Roughly speaking, group representation theory enables the description of the operations on the elements \( a_i, i = 1 \ldots |\mathcal{G}| \) belonging to the abstract group \( \mathcal{G} \) in terms of matrices and linear transformations \([20,21]\). From a formal perspective, if \( \mathcal{G} \) can be placed in correspondence with the group \( \mathcal{G} \) of linear operators \( T(a_i) \) on Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \), then \( \mathcal{H} \) is called the carrier space for \( \mathcal{G} \) \([20]\). By selecting a set of \( n \) linearly independent functions \( \{f_j\}_{j=1}^n \in \mathcal{H} \), each \( T(a_i) \) can be represented as a square matrix \( [T(a_i)] \) of order \( n \). The set \( \mathcal{G}' \) of all these matrices (which is a group itself) defines a representation of dimension \( n \) for \( \mathcal{G} \) \([20,21]\). As matrices \( [T(a_i)] \) belonging to the same conjugacy class \( C_i', i \in 1, \ldots, P' \) are related to each other by a similarity transformation (see the definition of conjugate elements given in Section 3.1), they are characterized by the same trace \([20,21]\). This number determines the character of class \( C_i' \), and it is denoted by the symbol \( \chi(C_i') \) \([20,21]\). A given representation \( \mathcal{G}' \) can be reducible or irreducible: if all the elements \( [T(a_i)] \in\mathcal{G}' \) can be transformed into a block diagonal form \([\bar{T}(a_i)]\) by a linear transformation \([U]\)

\[
[T(a_i)] = [U]^{-1}[T(a_i)][U] \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, |\mathcal{G}|\},
\]

(9)

\( \mathcal{G}' \) is called a reducible representation; otherwise, it is called an irreducible representation. Every abstract group \( \mathcal{G} \) is characterized by a finite number of irreducible representations \( \mathcal{G}^{irr}_k \) that coincides with the number \( P' = P \) of its classes \([20]\). From this latter result, we have that all the matrices \( [T(a_i)] \) belonging to a reducible representation \( \mathcal{G}' \) can always be expressed as a direct sum of the matrices \( [T(a_i)]^{irr}_k \in \mathcal{G}^{irr}_k, k = 1, \ldots, P \) as follows:

\[
[T(a_i)] = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{P} m_k[T(a_i)]^{irr}_k,
\]

(10)

where the symbol \( \oplus \) stands for the operation of the direct sum and the coefficients \( m_k \) indicate the number of times the matrices \( [T(a_i)]^{irr}_k, k = 1, \ldots, P \) recur in \([\bar{T}(a_i)]\) \([20]\). They are given by

\[
m_k = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}|} \sum_{i=1}^{P} n_i' \chi(C_i') \chi(C_i^{irr})^*,
\]

(11)

where \( n_i' \) and \( \chi(C_i') \) are the cardinality and the character of the \( i \)-th class \( C_i' \) belonging to \( \mathcal{G}' \), respectively, and \( \chi(C_i^{irr})^* \) is the complex conjugate of the character of the \( k \)-th class belonging to \( \mathcal{G}^{irr}_k \). A reducible representation \( \mathcal{G}' \) in which all the elements are in the form given by the Equation (5) is called fully reduced. One way to directly obtain an \( n \)-dimensional fully reduced representation \( \mathcal{G}' \) for the abstract group \( \mathcal{G} \) is to exploit a suitable set of basis functions \( \{s_j\}_{j=1}^n \) called the symmetry-adapted basis functions \([21]\). This set can be built by applying the following projection operators to each element of the set of linear independent functions \( \{f_j\}_{j=1}^n \) initially exploited to obtain the reducible representation \( \mathcal{G}' \) \([13,20]\)

\[
P_k = \frac{d_k^{irr}}{|\mathcal{G}|} \sum_{i=1}^{P} \chi([T(a_i)]^{irr}_k)^* T(a_i) \quad k = 1, \ldots, P,
\]

(12)

where \( d_k^{irr} \) is the dimension of the irreducible representation \( \mathcal{G}^{irr}_k \) and \( \chi([T(a_i)]^{irr}_k)^* \) is the conjugate of the character for class \( C_i^{irr} \) containing the element \( [T(a_i)]^{irr}_k \). Finally, if an operator \( L \) commutes with all the linear operators belonging to the group \( \mathcal{G} \), its matrix representation \([L]\), obtained by using the symmetry-adapted basis functions \( \{s_j\}_{j=1}^n \), has to commute with all the elements \( [\bar{T}(a_i)] \in \mathcal{G}' \). Accordingly, \([L]\) must have the same block diagonal structure as that of these elements \([20]\).
4. Computation of Cutoff Wavenumbers of Metallic Waveguides with Symmetries

In the following, we apply the theoretical results discussed in Section 3 to reduce the computational effort involved in the computation of the cutoff wavenumbers of metallic waveguides by using the nonlinear eigenproblem formulation (7) via the algorithm described in Section 2.2 [11]. Specifically, we consider metallic guiding structures characterized by a transverse cross section with two symmetry axes (\(\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2\)) orthogonal to the direction of propagation \(\hat{z}\) (see Figure 1). Denoting the operations of reflection about the planes \(\hat{z} - \hat{u}_1, \hat{z} - \hat{u}_2\) with the symbols \(\sigma\) and \(\sigma'\), respectively, the operation of rotation of \(\pi\) radians around the \(\hat{z}\)-axis with the symbol \(C_2\), and the identity operation with the symbol \(e\), we have that the set \(\{e, C_2, \sigma, \sigma'\}\) yields a group \(G\) known as the point symmetry group \(C_{2v}\) [20,21]. As \(C_{2v}\) is an abelian group, the number of classes \(P\) coincides with the group cardinality \(|G|\) = 4. Each class \(C_i, i = 1, \ldots, 4\) contains a distinct element of \(C_{2v}\), so \(n_i = 1\). Furthermore, \(C_{2v}\) has four irreducible representations \(G_k^{irr}, k = 1, \ldots, 4\), which are all one-dimensional [20,21].

Table 1 shows the characters of the four classes belonging to the four irreducible representations \(P\). A reducible representation \(G'\) can be obtained by considering the action of each element of \(C_{2v}\) on the set of the subsectional basis functions \(\{f_j(r')\}_{i=1,j=1}^{4p}\) (The following convention is adopted for numbering these functions: the index \(i\) specifies the quadrant of the plane \((\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2)\) on which the basis function is defined, and the index \(j\) specifies the order of the subsectional basis function [13]) (with \(p \in \mathbb{N}^+\)) used to discretize the relation (5) via the method of moments [13,19]. The representation \(G'\) is abelian, with \(P' = 4\) and \(n'_i = 1, i = 1 \ldots 4\). Table 2 shows the characters of the four classes belonging to \(G'\). The representation \(G'\) can be reduced on the basis of the considerations given in Section 3.2. By inserting the values of \(|G|\), \(P'\), and \(n'_i\) given above and the values of the characters reported in Tables 1 and 2 into relations (11) and (12), we obtain that all the elements \([T(\alpha)]\) of the fully reduced representation \(G'\) can be written as block diagonal matrices of order \(4p\) as

\[
[T(\alpha)] = \begin{pmatrix}
[T_{G_1^{irr}}(\alpha)] & 0 \\
[T_{G_2^{irr}}(\alpha)] & 0 \\
[T_{G_3^{irr}}(\alpha)] & 0 \\
[T_{G_4^{irr}}(\alpha)] & 0 \\
0 & [T(\alpha)]^{irr}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(13)

where \(\alpha \in \{e, C_2, \sigma, \sigma'\}\). The diagonal sub-matrices \([T_{G_k^{irr}}(\alpha)]\), \(k = 1, \ldots, 4\) of order \(p\) have the form

\[
[T_{G_k^{irr}}(\alpha)] = \begin{pmatrix}
[T(\alpha)]^{irr}_k & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(14)

i.e., they are given by the direct sum of the irreducible matrices \([T(\alpha)]^{irr}_k\), \(k = 1, \ldots, 4\) taken \(m_k = p\) times [20]. Using the arguments stated in [13,22,23], the operator-valued function \(L\) of (6) commutes with all the elements of the group \(C_{2v}\). This property, as clearly stated in Section 3, indicates that (6) can be reduced to a homogeneous matrix system of the form (7) with coefficient matrix \([L(\alpha)]\) reduced into block diagonal form by means of the Galerkin method [24], with the set of symmetry-adapted basis functions \(\{s_j(r')\}_{i=1,j=1}^{4p}\) generated by applying the projection operators

\[
P_1 = \frac{1}{4} [T(\epsilon) + T(\sigma) + T(C_2) + T(\sigma')] \quad (15)
\]

\[
P_3 = \frac{1}{4} [T(\epsilon) - T(\sigma) + T(C_2) - T(\sigma')] \quad (16)
\]

\[
P_3 = \frac{1}{4} [T(\epsilon) + T(\sigma) - T(C_2) - T(\sigma')] \quad (17)
\]

\[
P_4 = \frac{1}{4} [T(\epsilon) - T(\sigma) - T(C_2) + T(\sigma')] \quad (18)
\]
given by (13) to the set of the sub-sectional basis functions \( \{ f_j^l(r') \}_{j=1}^{4p} \) used to obtain a reducible representation for the group \( C_{2v} \). Due to the commutability constraint, the coefficient matrix \([L(\kappa)]\)

must have the same block form as that of the elements \([T(\kappa)]\), i.e.,

\[
[L(\kappa)] = \begin{pmatrix}
[A(\kappa)] & 0 \\
0 & [D(\kappa)]
\end{pmatrix},
\]

whose submatrix elements have the form

\[
A(\kappa)_{mn} = \langle s^1_m(r), L(s^1_n(r')) \rangle = 
\int_C s^1_m(r) \cdot \left[ \mathbf{n} \times (j_0 A^1_n(r') + \nabla \phi^1_n(r')) \right] dl
\]

(20)

\[
B(\kappa)_{mn} = \langle s^2_m(r), L(s^2_n(r')) \rangle = 
\int_C s^2_m(r) \cdot \left[ \mathbf{n} \times (j_0 A^2_n(r') + \nabla \phi^2_n(r')) \right] dl
\]

(21)

\[
C(\kappa)_{mn} = \langle s^3_m(r), L(s^3_n(r')) \rangle = 
\int_C s^3_m(r) \cdot \left[ \mathbf{n} \times (j_0 A^3_n(r') + \nabla \phi^3_n(r')) \right] dl
\]

(22)

\[
D(\kappa)_{mn} = \langle s^4_m(r), L(s^4_n(r')) \rangle = 
\int_C s^4_m(r) \cdot \left[ \mathbf{n} \times (j_0 A^4_n(r') + \nabla \phi^4_n(r')) \right] dl,
\]

(23)

where the terms \( A^1_n(r'), A^2_n(r'), A^3_n(r'), A^4_n(r'), \phi^1_n(r'), \phi^2_n(r'), \phi^3_n(r'), \) and \( \phi^4_n(r') \) are, respectively, the potentials related to the symmetry-adapted basis functions \( s^1_n(r'), s^2_n(r'), s^3_n(r'), s^4_n(r'), n = 1, \ldots, p, \) and the symbol \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) denotes the inner product [6] (a full account of the numerical treatment of the matrix elements (20)–(23) for the TE and TM modes can be found in [8] and will be not repeated here). Accordingly, the eigenproblem (19) is composed of four nonlinear eigenproblems of order \( n = p \). As can be easily verified, the overall asymptotic computational complexity involved in the numerical resolution by means of the algorithm described in [11] is \( \mathcal{O} \left( n_0 \frac{p^2}{4} n^3 + 2n_0 \right) \), where \( n = p \). If we compare this result with \( \mathcal{O} \left( n_0 \frac{p^2}{4} n^3 + 2n_0 \right) \), where \( n = 4p \), that is, the required overall computational complexity for solving the eigenproblem (8), it is evident that (for large \( p \)) a theoretical speed-up by a factor of 16 is achieved.

**Table 1.** Character table for the irreducible representations \( \mathcal{G}^{irr}_k \) of \( C_{2v} \).

| \( \mathcal{G}^{irr}_k \) | \( \chi([T(\kappa)]^{irr}_k) \) | \( \chi([T(C_2)]^{irr}_k) \) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \( k = 1 \)  | 1              | 1              |
| \( k = 2 \)  | 1              | 1              |
| \( k = 3 \)  | 1              | -1             |
| \( k = 4 \)  | 1              | -1             |

| \( \mathcal{G}^{irr}_k \) | \( \chi([T(\sigma)]^{irr}_k) \) | \( \chi([T(\sigma')]^{irr}_k) \) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \( k = 1 \)  | 1              | 1              |
| \( k = 2 \)  | -1             | -1             |
| \( k = 3 \)  | 1              | -1             |
| \( k = 4 \)  | -1             | 1              |
Figure 1. Geometric effect of the $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry operations.

Table 2. Character table for the reducible representation $G'$ of $C_{2\nu}$.

| $G'$  | $\chi([T(e)])$ | $\chi([T(C_2)])$ |
|-------|-----------------|-------------------|
| $4p$  | $0$             |                   |

| $G'$  | $\chi([T(e)])$ | $\chi([T(\sigma)])$ | $\chi([T(\sigma')])$ |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| $0$   | $0$             |                       |                        |

5. Numerical Results

The procedure described in Section 4 is implemented in computer code using MATLAB®. As a case study, we consider the computation of the cutoff wavenumbers $\kappa_c$ for the following metallic waveguides (see Figure 2 for details), (i) coaxial, (ii) rectangular with rounded corners, and (iii) double ridged, which are characterized by a transverse cross section with a symmetry degree described by the symmetry group $C_{2\nu}$. All the numerical computations are performed on an Intel Xeon DP E5405 Quad Core 2.0 workstation with 20 GB of main memory. Numerical results for the cutoff wavenumbers for the $T_E$ and $T_M$ modes are obtained and compared with those published in the literature [5,25,26]. The values of the parameters $r_{\min}$, $r_{\max}$, and $\tau$ employed in the numerical computations are 6, 11, and $10^{-3}$, respectively. We exploited a number of symmetry adapted basis functions equal to $p = 24$ in the case of coaxial waveguide, $p = 16$ in the case of rectangular waveguide with rounded corner, and $p = 32$ in the case of double ridged waveguide. Tables 3–5 show that a good agreement is achieved for all the considered guiding structures. The differences are very small and always less than 0.3%. The computational time involved to obtain these numerical results was of 0.92 s, 0.85 s, and 1.1 s for each frequency point for the $T_M$ case, while was of 1.96 s, 1.71 s, and 2.4 s for each frequency point for the $T_E$ case. The computational speed-up (when compared with the numerical simulations conducted by [11] without the use of the group theoretical approach) ranges between factors of 9 and 11. From a practical perspective, these speed-up values can be considered to be close to the expected theoretical speed-up predicted by the considerations given in Section 4, thus demonstrating the usefulness of exploiting symmetry to reduce the computational effort involved in the calculation of the cutoff wavenumbers.
Figure 2. Symmetric waveguides considered in the present work: (Top) coaxial waveguide \((r = 1 \text{ cm, } a = 0.5 \text{ cm, } b = 0.25 \text{ cm, [5]})\), (Middle) rectangular waveguide with rounded corners \((a = 0.1 \text{ cm, } b = 0.2 \text{ cm, [25]})\), (Bottom); double-ridged waveguide \((a = 1.27 \text{ cm, } b = 1.016 \text{ cm, } c = 0.508 \text{ cm, } d = 0.3683 \text{ cm, [26]})\).

Table 3. Cutoff wavenumbers \(\kappa_c \text{ [rad \cdot cm}^{-1}\)] for the coaxial waveguide.

| Mode | This Work | [5]  | [8]  |
|------|-----------|-----|-----|
| \(T_E_1\) | 1.7377    | 1.7356 | 1.7407 |
| \(T_E_2\) | 3.0387    | 3.0313 | 3.0441 |
| \(T_E_3\) | 4.2363    | 4.2180 | 4.2199 |
| \(T_M_1\) | 3.8956    | 3.8998 | 3.8919 |
| \(T_M_2\) | 4.1739    | 4.1749 | 4.1666 |
| \(T_M_3\) | 4.5784    | 4.5944 | 4.4450 |

Table 4. Cutoff wavenumbers \(\kappa_c \text{ [rad \cdot cm}^{-1}\)] for the rectangular waveguide with rounded corners.

| Mode | This Work | [25] | [27] |
|------|-----------|-----|-----|
| \(T_E_{10}\) | 85.8943   | 86.71 | 86.8 |
| \(T_E_{01}\) | 166.7438  | 167.48 | 166.9 |
| \(T_E_{30}\) | 168.7157  | 169.68 | 170.4 |
| \(T_E_{11}\) | 201.2376  | 201.46 | 201.4 |
| \(T_M_{11}\) | 179.6737  | 180.91 | 178.6 |
Table 5. Cutoff wavenumbers $\kappa_c$ [rad · cm$^{-1}$] for the double-ridged waveguide.

| Mode   | This Work | [25] | [26] |
|--------|-----------|------|------|
| TE$_{10}$ H | 1.4987    | 1.5097 | 1.434 |
| TE$_{10}$ T | 3.1945    | 3.1932 | 3.168 |
| TE$_{20}$ T | 6.2091    | 6.2218 | 6.192 |
| TE$_{30}$ H | 6.7567    | 6.7629 | 6.705 |
| TE$_{11}$ T | 7.0241    | 7.0123 | 6.975 |

6. Conclusions

In this paper, a group theoretical approach to reducing the computational effort related to the evaluation of the cutoff wavenumbers of symmetric metallic guiding structures using a nonlinear eigenvalue problem formulation has been presented. To illustrate, in a simple way, that the group theoretical machinery works, we have tested our approach on metallic waveguides with a transverse cross section described by the symmetric point group $C_{2v}$. The numerical results demonstrate that a significant improvement in the computational performance related to the use of the algorithm described in [11] can be obtained in this way. To conclude, we note that the proposed approach can be used without any substantial changing to the method of addressing waveguides characterized by a transverse cross section with any kinds of symmetries.
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