Comparative Proteomic Analysis of Gallbladder Bile Proteins Related to Cholesterol Gallstones
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Abstract

Background: Nucleation of cholesterol monohydrate crystals following the aggregation and fusion of cholesterol-enriched vesicles is a critical procedure in the formation of cholesterol gallstone. Biliary proteins play important roles in the process. It is inefficient to screen pro-nucleating or anti-nucleating proteins with routine physiochemical techniques, by which we discovered several pro-nucleating proteins.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Based on comparative proteomic technologies, we investigated the differentially expressed proteins between the cholesterol gallstone and control groups, and between the vesicular phase and micellar phase. There are 401±75 spots detected on the cholesterol gallstone group and 389±94 spots on the control group gels, 120±24 spots detected on vesicular phase and 198±37 on micellar phase gels, and accordingly 22 and 8 differentially expressed proteins were identified successfully, respectively. Three of them, HSA, Profilin and Retinol Binding Protein, were validated by Western blot.

Conclusion/Significance: Some of the identified proteins are in good agreement with proteins reported to be involved in the gallstone formation before. The information from this study might provide some important clues to uncover the key proteins involved in the formation of cholesterol gallstone.
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Introduction

Gallstone disease is one of the most prevalent gastrointestinal diseases with a substantial burden to health care systems that is supposed to increase in aged populations at risk [1–3]. Diclit reported that cholesterol gallstones account for 80–90% of the gallstones found at cholecystectomy in Western societies [4]. Etiology and pathogenesis of cholesterol gallstones are still not well defined, but three mechanisms are of major importance, cholesterol supersaturation, gallbladder hypomotility and kinetic, pro-nucleating or anti-nucleating factors [1,5]. The multifactorial pathogenesis of cholesterol gallstone has been widely accepted, and the relative significance of various factors remains to be clarified. But, biliary proteins might involve in the above three mechanisms, such as regulation of gallbladder motility and alteration of nucleating activity.

The cholesterol can be dissolved in gallbladder bile mainly due to distinct carriers: mixed micelles consisting of bile salts, phospholipids and cholesterol and vesicles containing phospholipids and cholesterol [6]. It is widely accepted that nucleated cholesterol originates from cholesterol-enriched vesicles [7]. Any agent that affects vesicle aggregation therefore affects collisions of prevailing clusters, and hence the rate of nucleation. Accordingly, the characterization of proteins associated with vesicles or mixed micelles in bile is of particular interest, since these might affect the solubility of cholesterol and following the formation of cholesterol gallstone.

Since the first report of the presence of pro-nucleating activity proteins in bile [8], several proteins are known or suspected to influence the kinetics of cholesterol nucleation and classified as either pro-nucleating or anti-nucleating agents [9,10]. In recent years, several publications have marshalled experimental evidence arguing against a role of most of biliary proteins in cholesterol gallstone formation. Their results showed that excess biliary proteins been occurred secondary to supersaturation rather than as a primary defect causing cholesterol crystallization [11,12]. None of these nucleation factors has been unequivocally linked to either cholesterol gallstone disease. The failure can be explained by the fact that formation of cholesterol gallstone is an extremely complex process under control of numerous genetic and environ-
mental factors [13]. However, since the complex components of gallbladder bile interfere with the analysis of biliary proteins, it is not perfect that nucleating activity proteins were sifted and identified by routine physiochemical technologies in the past. Perhaps, many proteins, playing important roles in formation of cholesterol gallstone, cannot be detected with these technologies.

He et al counted 70 and 7 spots in 2-DE patterns of bile from patients with cholesterol gallstones and pigment gallstones, 39 and 471 spots from vesicular and micellar phases, respectively. They did not analyze the different proteins by MS further [14,15]. Kristiansen et al identified 97 unique proteins in pathologically changed bile fluid obtained from a cholangiocarcinoma patient [16]. Zhou et al made a large-scale (218 proteins) identification of biliary proteins of a cholesterol gallstone patient during cholecystectomy [17]. But to our knowledge, there is no literature elucidated about the differentially expressed proteins in gallbladder bile between cholesterol gallstone and control groups or between vesicular phase and micellar phase with 2-DE and MALDI-TOF MS and tandem TOF/TOF MS.

In recent years, proteomics has provided unparalleled information in the understanding of pathogenesis of kinds of diseases. System analysis performed at the protein level has the advantage of being closest to their function. In this study, we employed comparative proteomic approaches to investigate the differentially expressed proteins associated with gallbladder bile from cholesterol cholelithiasis patients and control ones, and mixed micelles and vesicles in gallbladder bile from patients with cholesterol gallstones. We attempt to explore the key protein which might relate to cholesterol gallstone formation. It might help us cultivate a better understanding of the pathophysiologic molecular mechanisms involved in the formation of cholesterol gallstone.

Methods

Gallbladder Bile Samples

Thirty-one patients with symptomatic cholesterol gallstone disease (mean age: 45.7±6.9 years, 9 male/22 female) scheduled for elective cholecystectomy were included in the present study. There were more than two stones in the gallbladder of every subject. Patients with a diagnosis of hepatic dysfunction, acute cholecystitis, or biliary ducts obstruction were excluded. Cholesterol content of dry weight as determined by chemical analysis was more than 70% in all the cases. Gallbladder bile samples were obtained during surgery as described by Strasberg et al [18] and stored at −80°C until processed. Gallbladder bile acquired from 8 liver transplantation donors (mean age: 33.4±10.4 years, 2 male/6 female), who were free of gallstone, were included in the control group. The clinical characteristics of cholesterol gallstone patients and controls are showed in Table 1. Inclusion of patients in this study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, China. The study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki, 1995. The subjects gave full written informed consent. Some samples in this study from minors, we obtain written informed consent from guardians on the behalf of the minor participants. The patient anonymity has been preserved. This institutional review board specifically approved this study.

Bile fluid samples were centrifuged at 15 000 g for 15 min at 4°C to remove debris and cells as a preliminary separation. They were then dialyzed against Tris-base (0.01 M, pH 7.54) for 48 h at 4°C. After the bile samples were centrifuged at 15 000 g for 45 min, the stratum intermedium was mixed (v/v 1:5) with 0.1% acetic acid in a 50:50 mixture of acetone and ethanol at −20°C overnight. The supernatant was removed by centrifugation at 15 000 g for 30 min. Washed with cold acetone, the pellets were then lyophilized and dissolved in a buffer containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 40 mM Tris, 65 mM DTT, 2% Phosphate and 1 M PMSF. The protein concentration was determined according to the Bradford method with BSA as the protein standard. All the samples were stored at −80°C prior to further analysis.

Isolation of Various Cholesterol-carried Phases

Various cholesterol-carried phases were isolated using the density gradient centrifugation method described by Miquel et al [19] with some modifications. Gallbladder bile was centrifuged at 12 000 g for 10 min at 4°C, filtered through 0.22 μm micropore filters (Whatman Ltd, Maidstone, Kent, U.K.). Metrizamide (13% w/v; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was directly dissolved in bile. Then the bile mixture was centrifuged at 59 000 rpm for 4 h at 4°C in a SW 60 Ti Swinging Bucket Rotor (Beckman Instruments, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The top opalescent vesicular and bottom micellar fraction was obtained by fine needle. The integrity of the two fractions was confirmed by transmission electron microscopy. Then the two objective fractions were processed through the same procedures as for crude gallbladder bile samples.

One-dimensional SDS-PAGE Electrophoresis

To validate the effect of samples processing and observe one dimension protein profiles, aliquots (2 μg) of protein samples were re-solubilized with sample buffer (50 mM Tris, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 0.1% bromophenol blue, 5% beta-mercaptoethanol, pH 6.8). Gels were stained with silver nitrate [20].

2-DE

The protocol of 2-DE was followed as described by Görg with modification [21,22], using the IPGphor IEF System and Hoefer SE 600 (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden). Total proteins (250 μg) of each sample were run in IEF using a 13 cm pH 3–10 NL IPG strips (Amersham Biosciences). After active in-gel rehydration under a low voltage of 30 V at 20°C for 12 h, IEF was performed using the following parameters: 100 V for 1 h, 200 V for 2 h, 500 V for 1 h, 1000 V for 1 h, 8000 V (gradient) for 0.5 h, and finally 8000 V for a total of 24 000 Vh. Then, the IPG strips were immediately reduced and alkylated with buffer I (6 M urea, 30% glycerol, 2% SDS, 1% DTT) and then buffer II (6 M urea, 30% glycerol, 2% SDS, 2.5% iodoacetamide) each for 15 min. Vertical SDS-PAGE was run with laboratory-made...
homogeneous acrylamide gel (12.5%T, 3%C). SDS-PAGE was performed for 30 min at a constant voltage of 40 V per gel and then 100 V per gel until the bromophenol blue reached 1 mm from the bottom of the gels.

**Protein Visualization and Image Analysis**

The gels were visualized by silver staining using the protocol of Mortz et al [20]. Gels were also run with the same samples yet more loading amount (1 mg). These gels were stained with CBB R-250 for preparative gels for MS detection. Subsequently, the 2-DE gels were scanned using ImageScanner™ (Amersham Biosciences). Image data were analyzed using ImageMaster™ 2D Platinum version 5.0 software (Amersham Biosciences). The spot automatic detection function was used for all group comparisons using the same parameters. Groups were matched automatically and corrected manually if necessary. Differences in protein expression were identified using the relative volume (%Vol) option of the software. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student’s t-test between different groups.

**MALDI-TOF-MS and Database Search**

The spots were excised from the gels and in-gel digested with sequencing grade trypsin (Promega, Mannheim, Germany). Firstly, the excised gel spots were destained with 50% ACN/50 mM (NH₄)₂CO₃ and washed in Milli-Q water. The spots were then soaked in 100% ACN for 3 min to dehydrate the gels. After being dried with Speed-Vac (SPD 111 V; Savant Instruments, Holbrook, NY, USA) for 20–30 min, the gels were rehydrated with about 15 µL cold trypsin solution (12.5 ng/µL), and incubated at 37°C for 16–24 h. The gels were extracted for peptides in 25–50 µL of 50% ACN/0.1% TFA for 30–60 min, and the two extracts were combined and completely dried with Speed-Vac. The peptide eluate (0.5 µL) was applied to a MALDI target and overlaid with 0.5 µL CHCA (10 mg/mL in 50% ACN, 0.1% TFA). MALDI-TOF MS and tandem TOF/TOF MS was performed on a 4700 MALDI-TOF/TOF Proteomics Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Mascot search engine (www.matrixscience.com) was used to identify proteins.

**Western Blot**

Three of the differentially expressed proteins, HSA, Proflin (PFN), and Retinol binding protein (RBP) were further validated by Western blot analysis. Mouse mAb against HSA was from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA), Mouse pAb against human PFN from Alexis Biochemicals (Lausanne, Switzerland), and mouse mAb against RBP from Abcam(Cambridge, MA, USA). The immune complexes were visualized by chemiluminescence using the ECL kit (Amersham Biosciences Piscataway, NJ, USA). We did not find suitable internal standard in gallbladder bile to be used in the study. The film signals were digitally scanned and then quantified using TotalLab 2.01 (Nonlinear Dynamics Ltd, USA).

**Results**

**Sample Preparation and SDS-PAGE Profiles**

Gallbladder bile is a complex system mainly composed of water, inorganic ions, conjugated bile salts, phospholipids, cholesterol, bilirubin and proteins. The high amounts of lipids, bilirubin and bile salts in crude bile or different cholesterol-carried phases, which interfered with our analysis, were removed by dialysis and ultracentrifugation. These procedures provided us with satisfactory SDS-PAGE maps without the smearing of kinds of contaminants in bile. Most of the protein bands of cholesterol cholelithiasis and control groups are between 47.5 kDa and 83 kDa. The abundance of major expressed proteins is higher in experiment group than in control group. Several proteins with low and high molecular weight differentially expressed between two groups. The protein maps of different cholesterol-carried phases indicate that a very low amount of proteins is associated with vesicular phase. These results show that gallbladder bile protein profiles of SDS-PAGE from different groups and from different cholesterol-carried phases are unique and well-defined.

**Comparative Proteomic Analysis between the Gallbladder Bile of Control and that of Cholesterol Cholelithiasis Groups**

To reveal the different proteins in gallbladder bile from cholesterol cholelithiasis patients and control ones, we compared the protein profiles of two groups. There were 401±75 (n = 26) spots detected on the experimental and 389±94 (n = 8) spots on the control gels. The number of spots matching experimental with control group were 216±32 (54.7%) (Figure 2). We focused on 34 spots that showed the most abundant of the differential expression and statistically significant (Student’s t-test, p<0.05). Then these spots were cut from CBB staining gels to perform MS identification. Figure 2 showed 22 spots were identified successfully according to their peptide mass fingerprints.

**Comparative Proteomic Analysis between the Vesicular and Micellar Phases**

There were approximately 120±24 (n = 5) spots detected on vesicular phase gels and 198±37 (n = 5) on micellar phase gels. There were 72±16 (45.3%) spots matching vesicular with micellar phases. Compared with the micellar phase, 8 spots we focused, which were identified successfully by MS, displayed differentially expressed in vesicular phase (Figure 3).

**PMF and MALDI-TOF MS Identification of the Differentially Expressed Proteins**

The extracted peptides from these focused spots were identified by MALDI-TOF MS. The protein description, accession number, theoretical molecular weight and iso-electric point, peptides matched, coverage and scores of altered expressed protein spots were presented in Table 2 referred to Figure 2 and Table 3 referred to Figure 3. Several proteins were present as multiple spots on 2-D gels in Figure 2, which might represent different post-translational modification that alter the molecular mass or pI of the protein. For example, spot 12 and 19 were identified as lipid-translational modification that alter the molecular mass or pI of the protein. For example, spot 12 and 19 were identified as lipid-translational modification that alter the molecular mass or pI of the protein.
Validation of Differentially Expressed Proteins by Western Blot Analysis

The expression level of HSA and RBP were up-regulated in cholesterol cholelithiasis group and vesicular phase. However, the PFN was significant lower in cholesterol cholelithiasis group than in control group. The expression profiles of the three proteins were consistent with 2-DE results. These results validated the reliability of proteomic analysis as described above.

Discussion

The mechanism of the formation of cholesterol gallstone remains unclear. The role of nucleating proteins, in the process of cholesterol crystallization, became increasingly controversial. Some publications confirmed that most biliary proteins are currently considered to be secondary to gallstone formation or inflammation, with the possible exception of mucin [11,12]. However, other scientists reach a consensus that the biliary proteins might play an important role [23]. In general, in vivo
studies that analyzed the role of putative pro-nucleating proteins have been hampered by a number of factors. For example, prior methods used to identify quantitative differences in these biliary proteins were relatively insensitive and might be inadequate to demonstrate significant differences in concentration. Not the concentrations of, but rather accumulative or cooperative effect of multiple proteins could be required to promote the formation of cholesterol gallstones. Utilization of sensitive proteomic screens techniques will likely increase our understanding of what role, if any, the biliary proteins may play in the course of formation of cholesterol gallstones [24].

Various cholesterol-carried phases were isolated using the density gradient centrifugation method in the study, which was first described by Amigo et al in 1990 [25]. And this method was improved by Miquel et al in 1992 [19]. Subsequently, some researchers argued that there are artificial shifts between vesicular and micellar phases during the procedure [26]. Accordingly, gel filtration of bile using an eluent containing bile salts should theoretically allow isolation of vesicles and micelles without artificial perturbation. However, the gel filtration may underestimate vesicular lipids and overestimate vesicular cholesterol phospholipid ratios [27].

In our opinion, the optimal methodology for quantification of cholesterol carriers is combined ultracentrifugation-ultrafiltration-dialysis described by Moschetta et al [28].

Compared with previous results, the separated proteins in our 2-DE maps are sufficient and most of them are also identified in Table 2.

Table 2. Differentially expressed proteins of gallbladder bile between cholesterol cholelithiasis group and control group identified by MALDI-TOF MS.

| Spot No. | Protein Descriptiona | Accession No.b | Theoretical Mr (Da)/pIc | Matched peptidesd | Protein coverage (%)e | Protein Scoref |
|----------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 1        | Chain A, The Ga Module Complexed With Human Serum Albumin | 55669910 | 67173.9/5.57 | 39 | 69 | 660 |
| 2        | Immunoglobulin Gamma 2 Heavy Chain Constant Region | 25987833 | 36418/7.51 | 11 | 48 | 204 |
| 3        | Chain A, Human Serum Albumin | 4389275 | 65992.7/5.69 | 22 | 33 | 301 |
| 4        | Aldo-keto Reductase Family 1, Member A1 | 5174391 | 36549.9/6.32 | 19 | 54 | 350 |
| 5        | Hypothetical Protein | 29789193 | 47863.5/8.28 | 3 | 12 | 79 |
| 6        | Chain B, Recombinant Hemoglobin | 2982014 | 15903.3/7.13 | 10 | 77 | 223 |
| 7        | Variable Immunoglobulin Anti-TT Light Chain | 1834499 | 11609.8/8.7 | 7 | 73 | 131 |
| 8        | Beta-Actin | 14250401 | 40978.4/5.56 | 18 | 59 | 340 |
| 9        | Mitochondrial ATP Synthase, H-Transporting F1 Complex Beta Subunit | 89574027 | 46173.2/5.03 | 19 | 55 | 586 |
| 10       | Calreticulin Precursor Variant | 62897681 | 42890.1/4.3 | 11 | 25 | 152 |
| 11       | Chain A, Beta-Actin-Profilin Complex | 41800.7/5.21 | 18 | 50 | 341 |
| 12       | Chain A, Lipid-Free Human Apolipoprotein A-I | 90108664 | 28061.5/5.27 | 27 | 84 | 443 |
| 13       | Proapolipoprotein | 178775 | 28943.9/5.45 | 20 | 66 | 284 |
| 14       | Tropomyosin | 438888 | 28988.7/4.75 | 23 | 50 | 270 |
| 15       | Alpha1-acid Glycoprotein | 757907 | 42000.3/4.96 | 10 | 45 | 198 |
| 16       | Fibrinogen Beta Chain Precursor | 20178280 | 50762.9/7.95 | 10 | 19 | 109 |
| 17       | Immunoglobulin Heavy Chain | 1321859 | 70478.6/5.01 | 17 | 23 | 175 |
| 18       | Hypothetical Protein | 2135416 | 30162/5.07 | 4 | 11 | 76 |
| 19       | Chain A, Lipid-Free Human Apolipoprotein A-I | 90108664 | 28061.5/5.27 | 31 | 90 | 511 |
| 20       | Chain A, The Transthyretin Mutant | 3161374 | 13717.9/5.35 | 4 | 14 | 80 |
| 21       | Chain B, Human Retinol Binding Protein | 4558176 | 12994.5/5.53 | 10 | 77 | 384 |
| 22       | Apolipoprotein A-II Precursor | 114000 | 8707.9/5.05 | 6 | 54 | 189 |

a) Spot number corresponds to the spot number on Fig. 2.
b) Protein description refers to the name of each matched protein in NCBInr database.
c) Accession number is recorded as a reference for the identification in NCBInr database.
d) Theoretical Mr/pI means theoretical molecular weight and iso-electric point of the matched protein.
e) Matched peptide refers to the number of peptide matched to the candidate protein.
f) Sequence coverage is percent of identified sequence to the complete sequence of the candidate protein.
g) Spots were identified by MS/MS analysis and the MASCOT score is indicated.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054489.t002
their experiments (HSA, Haptoglobin, Prealbumin, and so on). Moreover, several proteins are only identified in our experiment (PFN, Aldo-keto Reductase Family 1 member A1, etc.). The differentially expressed proteins had not been identified in the past studies. In this experiment, we compared the proteomes between two groups or two phases by 2-DE and MALDI-TOF MS. Finally, 22 and 8 protein spots were identified, respectively. Five of them were identical (Apolipoprotein A-I, Apolipoprotein A-II, HSA, Prealbumin and RBP). At the same time, three interested proteins (HSA, PFN and RBP) were validated by Figure 3. Representative 2-DE pattern of gallbladder bile proteins from different phases of cholesterol-phosphatide vesicle and micelle. The proteins (250 μg) were subjected to 2-DE system (first dimension, IPG strip, pH 3–10 NL, 13 cm; second dimension, 12% SDS-PAGE). Protein spots were visualized by silver staining and analyzed by ImageMaster™ 2-D Platinum software. The spots marked with arrows in the two images indicate the distribution of up-regulated or down-regulated proteins that were successfully identified by MALDI-TOF. The numbers between the two images show the molecular marker (kDa). Annotations in the gels refer to the Spot No. are in Table 3.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054489.g003

| Spot No.a) | Protein Descriptionb) | Accession No.c) | Theoretical Mr (Da)/pId) | Matched peptidesd) | Protein coverage (%)e) | Protein Scoref) |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 1          | Chain A, Crystal structure of Human Serum Albumin | 3212456 | 68424.7/5.67 | 39 | 64 | 656 |
| 2          | Aldehyde Reductase | 1633300 | 36418.8/6.34 | 18 | 49 | 336 |
| 3          | Beta Globin | 7127231 | 15987.3/7.86 | 9 | 61 | 208 |
| 4          | Apolipoprotein A-I, Isoform CRA_b | 119587681 | 23454/5.39 | 15 | 57 | 223 |
| 5          | Apolipoprotein A-II, Precursor | 4502149 | 11175/5.05 | 6 | 69 | 233 |
| 6          | Chain A, Prealbumin (Human Plasma) | 230651 | 13808.9/5.55 | 5 | 32 | 79 |
| 7          | Chain A, Human Retinol Binding Protein With Its Carrier Protein Transthyrelin Reveal | 4558175 | 13454.7/5.55 | 8 | 69 | 344 |
| 8          | Haptoglobin Beta Chain | 998962 | 26275.1/6.32 | 10 | 43 | 157 |

a)Spot number corresponds to the spot number on Fig. 3.
b)Protein description refers to the name of each matched protein in NCBInr database.
c)Accession number is recorded as a reference for the identification in NCBInr database.
d)Theoretical Mr/pI means theoretical molecular weight and iso-electric point of the matched protein.
e)Matched peptide refers to the number of peptide matched to the candidate protein.
f)Sequence coverage is percent of identified sequence to the complete sequence of the candidate protein.
g)Spots were identified by MS/MS analysis and the MASCOT score is indicated.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054489.t003
Western blot, which confirmed the validity of the comparative proteomic study.

Based on their biological function, the differentially expressed proteins can be classified into transport proteins (HSA, Beta-globin, Hemoglobin, Transthyretin), enzymes or enzyme regulator (Aldo-keto Reductase, Aldehyde Reductase), immunity system (Immunoglobulin Heavy Chain, Alpha-1-acid Glycoprotein), structure molecule (Beta-actin, Tropomyosin), proteins in the coagulation cascade (Fibrinogen Beta Chain Precursor), and so on. Some identified proteins are of particular interest and discussed briefly here.

HSA, the main protein of plasma, has a good binding capacity for calcium ion, fatty acids, hormones, bilirubin and drugs. The expression level is more than three-fold higher in cholesterol gallstone than in control group, and more than two-fold higher in vesicular than in micellar phase. The relationship of HSA with the cholesterol gallstone remains unclear. The up-regulated albumin could possibly be a consequence of cholesterol cholelithiasis. Keulemans et al [29] discovered that albumin, IgG, aminopeptidase N, and mucin were selectively increased in 36% of bile samples. Correspondingly, they hypothesized that local inflammatory processes were the primary factor, which led to an increase in mucin and IgG production. Then these factors might trap albumin via hydrophobic interaction. However, HSA identified in present experiment is modified, which could be a cause of the cholesterol gallstone [30]. More recent studies have uncovered a myriad of pathobiologic events induced by modified albumin [31,32]. Therefore, it may perform function in the course of disturbance of bile thermodynamic or dynamics equilibrium through certain mechanism, which should be further studied.

The expression abundance of RBP is more than three-fold in cholesterol gallstone than control groups. The same results appeared in different cholesterol-carried phases. RBP is synthesized primarily in the liver, delivering retinol from the liver stores to various target tissues. There are no literatures reported about the relationship of RBP and cholesterol cholelithiasis. The result indicates that RBP probably plays a certain role in the formation of cholesterol gallstone, which is now investigated in native and model bile in our group.

PFN is a ubiquitous protein found in many types of eukaryotic systems and plays a role both in signal transduction pathways and in actin filament dynamics [33]. In mammalian tumor cells, overexpression of PFN led to suppression of tumorigenicity and cell malignant behavior, such as abnormal cell proliferation, spreading, adhesion, and poor differentiation [34]. Therefore, we predict that down-regulated PFN might lead to the gallbladder motor dysfunction, which facilitates the cholesterol monohydrate crystal formation in the cholesterol supersaturated bile.

Among the up-regulated proteins, Calreticulin is a calcium-binding chaperone in the ER, which participates in the folding of lipid molecules. Kibe et al [10] discovered that these proteins prolonged the nucleation time of cholesterol monohydrate crystals when added to model systems of supersaturated bile at physiological concentrations. Furthermore, they were among the proteins present in a fraction of bile enriched in potent inhibitors of cholesterol crystal nucleation.

Most of these studies, focused on the role of pro-nucleating and anti-nucleating proteins in the process of cholesterol crystallization, were performed in the eighties en nineties of the previous century. Their nucleating roles became increasingly controversial. Mucin, Immunoglobulins and some other glycoproteins were regarded as pro-nucleating proteins. Apolipoprotein A-I and Apolipoprotein A-II were considered to be putative anti-nucleation factors. These conclusions were based on different methods, including polarizing microscopy, nephelometry, or spectrophotometry. However, crystal mass will be underestimated for large crystals due to morphology and size of cholesterol crystals. Chemical measurement of crystal mass should be regarded as the ideal method for quantitation of cholesterol crystallization when it was investigated that the role of nucleation proteins during the course of cholesterol gallstone formation [39]. Large-scale, sensitive proteomic screens are becoming widely used in pathophysiology and translational research. Comparative proteomic techniques will likely improve our understanding of what role, if any, biliary proteins may play in formation of cholesterol gallstones [24]. It remains controversial that the differentially expressed proteins, discovered in our study, are primary roles in cholesterol gallstone formation, or secondary to gallstone formation or inflammation. Therefore, the accurate roles of the differential proteins in the formation of cholesterol gallstone should be further investigated.

Some differentially expressed proteins, Beta-actin, PFN, Tropomyosin, may affect the gallbladder motility, which is also crucial prerequisite for cholesterol gallstone formation. It remains indistinct that other differentially expressed proteins, such as RBP, HSA, Calreticulin, Fibrinogen and Aldo-keto Reductase, are causes or consequences of the cholesterol gallstone. It may be possible to select some proteins according to their character and biological function for further investigation of their roles in the formation of cholesterol gallstones.

In conclusion, based on 2-DE, MALDI-TOF MS and tandem TOF/TOF MS, we made an attempt to clarify the differentially expressed proteins between the cholesterol gallstone and control groups, and between the vesicular and micellar phases. The present results about some of the differentially expressed proteins involved in the gallstone formation are in good agreement with previous data. Other proteins may be involved in the formation of cholesterol gallstone through particular mechanisms. The information from this study may be helpful to provide some important clues to uncover the pathophysiologic molecular mechanisms involved in the formation of cholesterol cholelithiasis. However, further studies are still needed to elucidate the detailed roles of these differentially expressed proteins.
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