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Abstract: We start from Banach spaces motivated theory of convex geometry in high dimensionality and give a new additional confirmation of previous derivations of the 96 per cent dark energy density of the cosmos. The result derives directly from the purely geometric-topological phenomenon of measure-mass concentration and gives an unqualified complete confirmation of our previous analysis including the hidden quantum nature of Einstein’s celebrated equation $E = mc^2$. The straight forward relation to holographic dark energy and its endophysical nature is also outlined.
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1. Introduction

The main message of the present paper is that dark energy is essentially geometrical-topological in origin and that WMAP and type 1a supernova are the first appropriate experiments to test Einstein’s special relativity beyond any doubt. Einstein’s most famous formula states the equivalence of matter and energy and relates them via the constant speed of light in the jewel of special relativity $E = mc^2$ where $E$ is the energy, $m$ is the mass and $c$ is the speed of light[1-5]. Intensive effort motivated by the unexpected discovery of the accelerated expansion of the cosmos and the related problem of the dark matter and dark energy 95.5% density deficit revealed that Einstein’s energy ‘density’ equation is the sum of two parts [4-15]. The first is a measurable ordinary energy $E(O) = mc^2/22$ and the second which cannot be measured in any conventional way is the dark energy density $E(D) = mc^2(21/22)$ so that at the end $E = E(O) + E(D) = (mc^2/22) + mc^2(21/22) = mc^2$ [11-16]. To arrive at this result two main groups of methods were employed [5-56]. The first may be loosely described as mainly physical, based on the structure of the standard model of elementary high energy particles [10,57] while the second may be equally loosely described as largely mathematical starting from Cantor transfinite set theory [8,32].

In the present paper by contrast we first tackle the problem afresh using a purely topological-geometrical methodology based on some remarkable mathematical results from the modern theory of high dimensional convex geometry and the associated phenomenon of concentration of measures studied initially in Banach spaces and then lead to Dvoretzky’s wonderful theorem and the subsequent relevant research [58-61] then contrast this novel purely mathematical derivation with our own E-infinity analysis [50-56]. In fact the present geometrical explanation of dark energy is essentially a substantiation of ‘tHooft-Susskind holographic dark energy [5,6,10].

We stress again that the final result is a complete confirmation of the dissection of $E = mc^2$ into $E(O)$ of the quantum particle and $E(D)$ of the quantum wave in addition to being a deep insight into the relation between physics, geometry and algebra as well as the unity of classical and quantum mechanics [5-78]. Finally we reason that $E = mc^2$ could be tested only via an endophysical experiment such as the cosmological measurement and the observations leading to $E(O) = mc^2/22$ [79,80].

The present work is not intended to be a survey or an introduction to the problems connected to dark energy. For that purpose there is a vast volume of excellent literature for beginners as well as advanced researchers [84-89]. In particular we will not define the gravitational effect of dark energy because for us it is self evident and shown experimentally by WMAP and type 1a supernova [89].
2. Background Information and Preliminary Considerations

Cantorian spacetime is a monadic space [63] in a sense akin to that of K-theory, E-infinity rings and n-category theory [4-7]. The building blocks of this space are zero sets of a Hausdorff dimension $\phi$ embedded into empty sets of a Hausdorff $\phi^2$ which are distributed in a uniform randomness to form via an infinite number of unions and intersections a hierarchical-infinite dimensional Cantor set [68-77]. This Suslin-like operation [71] entails few fundamental equations which determine all that is required to deduce various vital invariant properties of this space which we use to mimic our own physical macro and micro quantum spacetime. Based on the above we were able to show in the last four years beyond reasonable doubt that the jewel of special relativity, i.e. $E = mc^2$ is in fact the sum of two partial equations. As mentioned earlier on in the introduction, the first part E(O) = mc^2/22 gives us the real measurable energy density which is due to the particle side of quantum mechanics as modelled by the zero set in Kaluza-Klein five dimensional spacetime [30]. The second part on the other hand is the dark energy which cannot be measured in the ordinary way E(D) = mc^2(21/22) and which is due to the wave nature of the quantum particle-wave duality as modelled by the empty set in the same K-K spacetime [30]. A trivial computation shows then that $1/22 = 4.5\%$ and $21/22 = 95.5\%$ of Einstein’s total energy density in complete agreement with all modern cosmological measurements. Again in the last four years numerous derivations of the preceding dissection of Einstein’s basic energy-mass relation was given by the author and his collaborators using a variety of physical and algebraic derivation and all leading to the same fundamental conclusion [4-77].

The present work is not intended to review or discuss in details past derivations but is mainly concerned with giving a new purely geometrical derivation showing that the so called missing 95.5\% dark energy is a well established geometrical phenomena completely understood since many years in the mathematical literature without drawing or suspecting any physical conclusion or relation to high energy quantum physics or cosmology [58-61]. We are talking here about the phenomenon of measure concentration of convex geometry with high dimensionality which is an off spring of research works on Banach spaces [66]. The next section is mainly concerned with outlining and explaining this fascinating new connection between pure geometry, quantum physics and cosmology as well as how classical mechanics arise from the deeper mechanics of the quantum and the even deeper mathematics of transfinite set theory and infinite dimensional Banach spaces [58-64].

3. Cantorian Spacetime as a Convex Geometry in Very High Dimensions

3.1. Short Review of the Relevant Results in Convex Geometry

The aim of this minimalistic section is to show the failure of our low dimensionality intuition when dealing with geometrical objects of higher dimensionality which start in earnest with $n \geq 4$ [72-74]. Similar ideas were discussed by the present author many years ago while attempting to connect string theory and the geometry of spacetime with sphere backing and chess board tiling [74]. The present fundamental example goes in the same main direction and even beyond to the degree that it becomes of fundamental importance in the cosmology of dark energy. To start let us calculate the $n-1$ dimensional slice of a unit ball. The relatively elementary consideration involving the use of the stirling formula leads to an accurate approximation of the volume of the smaller slice [58-61]

$$Vol(n) = (\sqrt{e})(e^{-n\pi^2})$$ (1)

where $\{xe^{R^n} : \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ fixes the width of parallel slices. That way we can conclude that the volume ‘mass’ distribution is Gaussian and for a fixed slab width almost 95.5\% of the mass lies in this slice [58]. Now as hinted at earlier, our intuition could lead us to wrongly think that this 95.5\% measure concentration is located in dimension $n-1$ at the centre of the n-dimensional space. The mathematical-geometrical truth however is exactly the opposite and careful consideration reveals that for large n the measure concentration is asymptotically near to the surface [58]. For $n = \infty$ the 95.5\% is for all practical reasons the surface itself. The mathematical literature is in the meantime abounds with various extensions of this remarkable result which is based on A. Dvoretzky’s theorem and the work of V. Milman and its mathematical-geometrical ramifications [58-61]. In the present work however our evident interest is clearly in the obvious relevance of the above conclusion to dark energy cosmology. We start by showing the almost one to one correspondence of the above with the Cantorian-fractal holographic boundary of E-infinity spacetime and its dark energy content.

3.2. The Measure Concentration of E-Infinity Spacetime as an Explanation for Dark Energy

As mentioned earlier on in the introduction, the building blocks of E-infinity Cantorian spacetime are zero sets embedded into an empty set as their surface or cobordism. Since the zero set ($n = 0$) has a Hausdorff dimension $\phi$ and the empty set ($n = -1$) has a Hausdorff dimension $\phi'$ then in $D = 5$ Kaluza-Klein spacetime the unit volumetric measure would be the average of intersectional $D = 5$ zero set volume $\phi'$ and the additive $5 = D$ empty set volume $5\phi^2$ where we interpreted $\phi'$ and $5\phi^2$ as a Hausdorff volume or topological probability as an extension of the classical notion of geometrical probability [5-7, 68,69]. Consequently the average volume is simply [70]

$$\langle V \rangle = \left(\phi' / 2\right) + \left(5\phi^2 / 2\right).$$ (2)
where $\phi^5$ is Hardy’s quantum entanglement [5-10]. The corresponding average energy is therefore nothing else but Einstein’s celebrated formula [28]

$$E = \left( \frac{\phi^5}{2} \right) mc^2 + \left( \frac{5\phi^5}{2} \right) mc^2$$

$$= \left( \frac{\phi^5}{2} + \left( \frac{5\phi^5}{2} \right) \right) mc^2$$

$$= (1)(mc^2)$$

$$= mc^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

From [28]

$$\phi^5/2 = 1/(22 + k)$$

$$= 1/(22.18033979)$$

$$= 1/22$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

and

$$5\phi^5/2 = (21 + k)/(22 + k)$$

$$= (21.18033989)/(22.18033979)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $k = \phi^3(1 - \phi^3)$ is the topological mass of ‘tHooft’s renormalon which could be thought of as made of two entangleon $\phi^5$ particles because $k = 2\phi^5$, our main result follows, namely that

$$E = E(O) + E(D)$$

$$= mc^2/22 + mc^2(21/22)$$

$$= mc^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$= E(Einstein).$$

So far we have looked at a single monadic building block of our space [63]. However due to self similarity we expect the above relation to hold true on all scales [4-7, 68,69]. To show that this expectation is correct and leads to our fundamental relation to hold true on all scales [4-7, 68,69]. To show this expectation is correct and leads to our fundamental role [68,69] as explained in great detail in previous older and more recent publications. Now our result follows because in the Poincare-Beltrami projection we have at the center about 336 degrees of freedom corresponding to the internal dimension of the original Klein modular space [68,69]

$$|\Gamma(7)|=|SL(2,7)|$$

$$= 7(7^2 - 1)$$

$$= 336.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

This represents the holographic boundary without the ‘compactified’ edge. At this edge however, which is located at infinity, we have infinitely more degrees of freedom. Thus although the edge seems to us from the middle to be infinitely thin it is really where the bulk of absolute measure is located, namely the 95.5%. For us low dimensional creatures, the situation may strike us as paradoxical especially when we are making measurements of the total energy density of the cosmos. In this sense the COBE, WMAP and Planck measurement [63-76] makes absolute sense when we realize that this 95.5% is related to the quantum wave of the universe which collapses on measurement and cannot be detected but can be indirectly inferred from cosmological observations extended to the edge of the accelerated expansion of the universe [4-51].

4. Validation of the 96% Result via the Fundamental Renormalization Equation of Electromagnetism

We recall from E-infinity theory that the reconstruction of the inverse electromagnetic fine structure constant

$$\alpha_s = 137 + k_o$$

where $k_o = \phi^5(1 - \phi^3)$ and $\phi^5 = \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} \right)$ is Hardy’s quantum entanglement probability, plays a fundamental role [68,69]

$$\overline{\alpha}_o = \left( \overline{\alpha}_1 \right)\left( 1/\phi \right) + \left( \overline{\alpha}_2 = \overline{\alpha}_1 / 2 \right) + \overline{\alpha}_3 + \overline{\alpha}_4$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Here $\overline{\alpha}_1 = 60, \overline{\alpha}_2 = 30, \overline{\alpha}_3 = 9$ and $\overline{\alpha}_4 = 1$ as explained in full detail on many previous occasions [5,6]. Consequently one finds the following noteworthy result, namely [36,50,63]

$$\overline{\alpha}_o = (97 + k_o) + 40$$

$$= 137 + k_o$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \overline{\alpha}_i = (10)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$= 100.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
It is easily seen from the above that the normed or normalized infinity of hierarchical dimensions of E-infinity become exactly 100. These hundred quasi dimensions or degrees of freedom may be interpreted as internal and external isometries. Consequently after taking out the $D = 4$ of Einstein’s spacetime and the 22 compactified dimensions of bosonic or strong interaction strings, we are left with 74 isometries which we identify with pure dark energy without the 22 dark matter [10]. In other words the dark section is simply 100 - 4 = 96 which corresponds directly to the 96% volume concentration discussed earlier on. The reader can easily see that 4%, 22% and 74% correspond perfectly to the exact values and are merely the integer version of these values obtained not merely by rounding it but from an exact ‘renormalized integer’ analysis.

It is also a mathematical delight to note that we could proceed in the opposite direction and obtain again in an exact integer analysis the exact integer value of $\tilde{\alpha}_o$, namely $\tilde{\alpha}_o = 137$ representing a famous prime number. To do that we need a simple result obtained many years ago when E-infinity was applied to Penrose twistors [78]. There we found that $\phi$ could be written as $(1/2)$ plus a ‘boost’. This boost is given by $(1 + k)/10$ where $k = \phi'(1 - \phi') = 2\phi'$ is ‘tHooft’s dimensional regularization [4] order parameter $\epsilon = 4 - D$. It follows then that the following transformation is consistent, namely [78]

$$1/\phi = 1 + \phi \Rightarrow 1 + (1/2).$$

(12)

The corresponding renormalization integer equation, i.e. without any transfinite correction is

$$\tilde{\alpha}_o = \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] (74) + 22 + 4$$

$$= (74 + 37) + 26$$

$$= 137.$$

(13)

In the above equation we have of course inverted the situation which is basically one of the many dualities existing in E-infinity theory as noted in various remarkable papers on E-infinity [7] and Witten’s M-theory [10,21,23]. For the benefit of the non-specialist reader we stress that all the above equations are not simply numbers but numbers anchored in well established theories. In addition the 96% concentration does not stand there alone but is supported by the various other topological and quantum mechanical entanglement arguments developed in the present and previous work [32-44].

5. Relevance to Holographic Dark Energy [81-83] and the Fundamental Notion of Endophysics [97,80]

Finding with geometrical-topological reasoning that almost 96% of the volume of certain Banach-like high dimensional manifolds are concentrated near to the surface, i.e. the boundary of the said manifold, naturally invites analogy or even more than analogy to the holographic dark energy [81]. We can thus give a rather accurate estimation of pure dark energy using ‘tHooft-Susskin holographic principle [81-83]. Based on this principle we can assume following Miao Li’s proposal [81] that short distance cut-off is related to the infrared cut-off, then assuming that the infrared cut-off relevant to dark energy is the size of the event horizon one finds the equation of the state of dark energy using the input $\Omega \Lambda = 0.73$ [81]. Now this 73% pure dark energy, i.e. excluding dark matter could be estimated very easily using the isometries of E-infinity theory. In this theory the bulk is given basically by Witten’s maximally symmetric manifold [9,14,21]

$$N_x^{(1)} = \left( \frac{11}{1} \right) + \left( \frac{11}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{11}{5} \right)$$

$$= 11 + 55 + 462$$

$$= 66 + 462$$

$$= 528.$$ (14)

Thus the holographic boundary corresponding to 528 requires a five dimensional gravity, that corresponding to 496 is simply the Klein modular curve with its SL(2,7) symmetry leading to 336 degrees of freedom or isometries because

$$\text{Dim SL}(2, 7) = 7(7^2 - 1)$$

$$= (7)(48)$$

$$= 336.$$ (16)

From the preceeding well known elementry mathematical facts we can estimate the density of pure dark energy as the ratio of the isometry of the holographic surface plus any coupling to the isometry of the bulk minus any auxiliary ‘conditional’ isometries or coupling. Noting that gravity is the essence of relativity and that we require 528 then for a Kaluza-Klein five dimensional spacetime, we see that the independent Riemannian component, i.e. Riemannian isometries must be [68,69]

$$R^{(5)} = (5)^2(5^2 - 1)/12$$

$$= 50.$$ (17)

Consequently our pure dark energy density could be estimated by the following ratio [10]
\[
\gamma(D) \equiv \frac{[SL(2,7)] + R^{(5)}}{N_k^{(11)}} \\
\equiv \frac{336 + 50}{528} \\
\approx 0.731 \approx 73\%
\]

which is in fair agreement with the previous estimation of the holographic pure energy. A second upper bound estimate could be found using E8E8 by involving the logarithmic scaling of the ratio of grand unification ‘tHooft-Polyakov monopole to the mass of the electroweak Z particle. This leads to a value equal to the maximal central charge [47]

\[
C = \ln \frac{M}{m_Z} \\
= \ln(10^{16} / 91) \\
= 32.
\]

Consequently one finds from inserting in equation (18) again that [62-69]

\[
\gamma(D) = \frac{336 + 32}{496} \\
= 0.74 \\
= 74\%
\]

Next we need to address a fundamental question and in fact a superficially fundamental contradiction, namely why all previous experimental test of \( E = mc^2 \) did not find any derivation amounting to anything even remotely near to the 96% or in the pure case 73% missing dark energy? The clue to this riddle could be found in the global nature of any \( E = mc^2 \) experiment. The situation is analogous and in fact deeply related to the phenomenon of quantum wave interference. This interference is absent in any endophysical experiment. Similarly \( E = mc^2 /22 \) is exophysically absent in any experiment. Thus to observe \( E = mc^2 /22 + mc^2 (21/22) \) we need to perform an endophysical measurement. None of the many tests referred to in the literature could be described as endophysical. By contrast the COBE, WMAP as well as Plank and type 1a supernova analysis can safely be described as a true endophysical experiment [79,80]. In other words the existence or rather absence of dark energy is the proof that Einstein’s famous formula is correct and that it is only naturally blind to the distinction between the two components contained in it. The situation is most vividly illustrated by the skiing observer looking upon another skier in fractal land via the fractal-non fractal interface (see Fig. 1.) The figure is only scantily related or inspired by James Joyce’s novel “A portrait of the artist as a young man”.

6. Discussion

The quantum wave and wave collapse may be a familiar face of the quantum world but this does not change its deep status as the greatest puzzle in physics. How could something which has no energy, no matter and no momentum have such a crucial effect on the motion of quantum objects. Suddenly however cosmology started becoming equally puzzling, if not more puzzling, with the discovery of a type of energy which may be the possible cause behind the accelerated cosmic expansion of the universe although it is de facto not there because it cannot be measured and if we try very hard, we find only 4.5% ordinary energy and ordinary matter leaving the 95.5% shrouded in mystery. These things which are there but are really not there remind anyone working in deterministic chaos, nonlinear dynamics and fractals with the paradoxical nature of a Cantor set [68,69]. These transfinite sets possess no measure, being of measure zero which means no length at all and consequently ‘physically’ not there. However they do have a substantial Hausdorff dimension, namely "\( \ln 2 / \ln 3 \approx 0.63 \)" for the original Cantor set and \( \phi = 0.618033989 \) for a uniformly random Mauldin-Williams Cantor set. Now we ask ourselves how did we succeed in applying an Occam razon magnum to these three paradoxical factors? The short answer is by reducing dark energy to the non-ordinary energy of the quantum wave and the quantum wave to an empty set dimension \( \phi^2 \) which happens to be the surface of the zero set quantum particle with a Hausdorff dimension \( \phi \). That way we seem to have eliminated the problem by illuminating it via the light of fractal Cantorian geometry and transfinite set theory as well as the associated E-infinity algebra of the highly structured golden mean ring which can deal with the most complex computation with unheard of simplicity [68,69,76]. From this viewpoint dark energy could be detected only via an endophysical experiment such as COBE, WMAP and type 1a supernova. In other words \( E = mc^2 /22 \) and \( E = mc^2 (21/22) \) dark energy are theoretical and experimental confirmation of Einstein’s theory and not a refutation. We may also add that the present measure concentration harmonizes perfectly with J.A. Wheeler’s famous principle of the boundary of a boundary is equal zero and we may interpret the edge of the holographic boundary of our universe as a one sided Möbius strip bringing Casimir energy and dark energy together.

7. Conclusion

The present work clearly shows that the dissection of Einstein’s energy density into two parts is a completely natural consequence of a very high dimensional geometry and its associated measure concentration which results in almost 96% of the volume being located near to the surface of the concerned manifold [58,61]. The same conclusion is reached via Hardy’s quantum entanglement \( P = \phi^5 \) [5]. Applied to our cosmos as modelled by E-infinity Cantorian spacetime manifold, the missing endophysical dark energy of the Hartle-Hawking wave function of the universe becomes a natural consequence of the geometry and topology of E-infinity as confirmed by the endophysical measurement of WMAP and type 1a supernova. Together with our anticleastic, anti-curvature and antigavity explanation of real material-like spacetime [26], dark energy and accelerated cosmic expansion find in the above a rational mathematical-geometrical and
physical explanation which harmonizes with the holographic principle [81-83] and supports the conclusion of numerous other theories which consider dark energy to be the negative gravitational force behind the observed accelerated cosmic expansion. Finally the main result, namely the 96% Banach-like manifold volume concentration is validated based on the electromagnetic renormalization equations of E-infinity theory. Most of the present conclusions are summarized metaphorically in the landscape of Fig. 1.
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