Statistics of Stochastics:  
A conditional space-time POD formalism for intermittent and rare events with application to jet noise
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We present a conditional space-time POD formulation that is tailored to the eduction of the average, rare or intermittent event from an ensemble of realizations of a fluid process. By construction, the resulting spatio-temporal modes are coherent in space and over a pre-defined finite time horizon and optimally capture the variance, or energy of the ensemble. For the example of intermittent acoustic radiation from a turbulent jet, we introduce a conditional expectation operator that focuses on the loudest events, as measured by a pressure probe in the far-field and contained in the tail of the pressure signal’s probability distribution. Applied to high-fidelity simulation data, the method identifies a statistically significant ‘prototype’, or average acoustic burst event that is tracked over time. Most notably, the burst event can be traced back to its precursor, which opens up the possibility of prediction of an imminent burst. We furthermore investigate the mechanism underlying the prototypical burst event using linear stability theory and find that its structure and evolution is accurately predicted by optimal transient growth theory. The jet-noise problem demonstrates that the conditional space-time POD formulation applies even for systems with probability distributions that are not heavy-tailed, i.e. for systems in which events overlap and occur in rapid succession.
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1. Introduction

Intermittency is a ubiquitous feature that can be observed over a wide range of dynamic systems arising in engineering and nature. Turbulent shear flows, ocean waves, weather patterns, seismic events and volcanic eruptions are but a few examples that are characterized by the irregular occurrence of events far from the statistical mean. Classically, intermittency is quantified in terms of a binary intermittency function or an intermittency factor that describes the probability of finding the flow in one state or the other. Once identified, this factor can be used as a filter to obtain conditional statistics for each state independently (Pope\textsuperscript{2000}). While these statistics might accurately describe the random process in a quantitative manner via its moments, the underlying physics are often better understood from a dynamical systems perspective. In this light, sporadic deviations
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Figure 1. A high-energy burst in the acoustic far-field of a turbulent jet: (a) scaleogram of the $m = 1$ component of the pressure signal at the probe location (magenta dot) at $(x_0, r_0) = (12, 5)$; (b,c) streamwise fluctuation velocity in the center plane (colored contours) and $m = 1$ pressure component (grayscale contours) at $t = 366.8$ in (b) and $t = 378$ in (c). The two time instances represent the peak of the loud event identified in the scaleogram by the 75% of maximum pressure contour (red) and the quiet period shortly thereafter. A standard Morse wavelet is used in (a). All contour levels are adjusted for best readability.

from the statistical equilibrium can, for many systems, be expressed as instabilities that are intermittently triggered through external stochastic excitation (Mohamad & Sapsis 2015). If the amplification potential and growth rate of these instabilities are significant, a statistical footprint in the process’s distributional tails can be observed and related to intermittent, rare events.

In the context of fluid mechanics, transient growth, associated with the non-normality of the underlying linearized dynamics (Trefethen et al. 1993; Farrell & Ioannou 1996; Schmid & Henningson 2001), provides such an amplification mechanism. The linear theory that governs transient growth allows for the computation of nonmodal solutions that identify spatial structures that undergo significant energy growth over short periods of time. Pipe flow is a prominent example of a flow in which a transient linear amplification process plays a crucial role as the flow transitions from the laminar to an intermittently turbulent state with increasing flow rate (Kerswell 2005; Avila et al. 2011). In particular, patches of turbulence, so-called turbulent puffs, are observed despite the fact that the laminar state is linearly stable to infinitesimal disturbances.

In other systems, nonlinear interactions may sporadically conspire in such a way that responses of exceptionally large amplitudes are observed. Such statistical outliers are commonly referred to as extreme, or rare events due to their isolated nature. An example of this class of intermittent effects are oceanographic surface gravity waves of extreme magnitude, or rogue waves (Dysthe et al. 2008).

In this paper, we present a data-driven approach to isolate and identify intermittent structures in a statistical manner by leveraging both their temporal and spatial coherence. As an example, we choose the highly intermittent super-directive acoustic radiation of a hot turbulent jet. The jet-noise problem is of eminent technical interest for the aviation industry, in particular as it applies to naval aviation: aircraft carrier flight crew personnel operate in one of the world’s loudest work environments, and regularly suffer from hearing
loss due to overexposure to intermittent noise (Aubert & McKinley 2011). In figure 1, we contrast two time instances of the flow field obtained from a high-fidelity numerical simulation (Bré et al. 2017). As in König et al. (2013), we use a wavelet transform of the pressure signal at a probe location in the far-field to identify loud events, or acoustic bursts, and distinguish them from quieter periods in which the pressure fluctuations are closer to their statistical mean.

A loud event is identified from the scaleogram in figure 1(a) and the corresponding perturbation velocity and pressure fields for the \( m = 1 \) azimuthal Fourier component are shown in figure 1(b). The acoustic burst manifests itself as a high-amplitude wave in the pressure field that is emitted at a low angle (relative to the jet axis) from the jet. Shortly after, the pressure field at the probe location appears quiet in figure 1(c). It is well established that large-scale coherent structures, or wavepackets, are the dominant source of low aft-angle jet noise and that these structures can be modeled as spatial linear stability modes (Jordan & Colonius 2013) or resolvent modes (Schmidt et al. 2018). Their footprint can be seen in the pressure field in the developing jet region with \( x \lesssim 10 \) in figure 1(b,c). Jet noise models based on such linear theories, however, tend to significantly under-predict jet acoustics. The main reason for their failure is the intermittency of the shear-layer instability wavepackets and therefore their radiated sound, which has been observed experimentally (Juvé et al. 1980; Kearney-Fischer et al. 2013), quantified and modeled (Cavalieri et al. 2011), but is not fully understood as of now.

In § 2, we first formulate a conditional space-time proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) problem, that allows for the inference of intermittent or rare events as space-time modes that optimally capture the conditional variance of an ensemble of realizations of the event. As an example, we then apply the general framework to acoustic bursts in turbulent jets in § 3 before summarizing our findings in § 4.

2. A conditional space-time POD formulation

We start by defining the space-time inner product

\[
\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle_{x,t} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_V q_1^*(x,t) W(x) q_2(x,t) \, dV \, dt,
\]

on which we also base a measure of perturbation size as the energy (norm) of the quantity \( q \). The diagonal, positive definite weight matrix \( W(x) \) is introduced to accommodate space and/or variable dependent weights.

Equipped with the scalar product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{x,t} \) and an expectation operator \( E \{ \cdot \} \), commonly defined as the sample average, we embed \( q(x,t) \) into a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \), which allows us to identify the spatio-temporal structure \( \phi(x,t) \in \mathcal{H} \) that maximizes

\[
\lambda = \frac{E \left\{ \left| \langle q(x,t), \phi(x,t) \rangle_{x,t} \right|^2 \right\}}{(\phi(x,t), \phi(x,t))_{x,t}}
\]

using a variational approach. The \( \phi(x,t) \) and \( \lambda \) that satisfy equation (2.2) can be found as solutions to the Fredholm eigenvalue problem

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_V C(x,x',t,t') \phi(x',t') \, dx' \, dt' = \lambda \phi(x,t),
\]

where \( C(x,x',t,t') = E \{ q(x,t) q^*(x',t') \} \) denotes the two-point space-time correlation tensor. This formulation corresponds to the classical space-time POD problem introduced
by Lumley (1970), which was vastly overshadowed by its popular spatial variant (Sirovich 1987; Aubry 1991). A notable exception is the work by Gordeyev & Thomas (2013), in which the authors solve a space-time POD problem to investigate flow transients. More recently, the frequency-domain version (see Towne et al. 2018; Schmidt et al. 2018), which is derived from equation (2.3) under the assumption of statistical stationary, has gained popularity in the analysis of turbulent flows.

In deviation from other formulations, we introduce a conditional expectation

\[
E\left\{ \left| \langle q(x, t), \phi(x, t) \rangle_{x,t} \right|^2 \mid H \right\}
\]  

with respect to the event $H$. As we are interested in the average evolution of the rare event in space and time, we furthermore recast the space-time inner product $\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle_{x, \Delta T} = \int_{\Delta T} \int_V q_1^*(x, t) W(x) q_2(x, t) \, dV \, dt,$ (2.5) over some finite time horizon $\Delta T$ in the temporal neighborhood of the rare event $H$. The quantity to maximize

\[
\lambda = \frac{E\left\{ \left| \langle q(x, t), \phi(x, t) \rangle_{x, \Delta T} \right|^2 \mid H \right\}}{\langle \phi(x, t), \phi(x, t) \rangle_{x, \Delta T}},
\]  

is defined analogously to equation (2.2) and its solution is obtained, analogous to equation (2.3), from the corresponding weighted Fredholm eigenvalue problem

\[
\int_{\Delta T} \int_V C(x, x', t, t') W(x') \phi(x', t') \, dx' \, dt' = \lambda \phi(x, t).
\]  

In discrete time and space, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the two-point space-time correlation tensor are obtained from the decomposition

\[
QQ^* \mathbf{M} \Phi = \Phi \Lambda,
\]  

where

\[
Q = \begin{bmatrix}
q(t_0^{(1)} - t^-) & q(t_0^{(2)} - t^-) & \cdots & q(t_0^{(N_{peaks})} - t^-) \\
q(t_0^{(1)}) & q(t_0^{(2)}) & \cdots & q(t_0^{(N_{peaks})}) \\
q(t_0^{(1)} + t^+) & q(t_0^{(2)} + t^+) & \cdots & q(t_0^{(N_{peaks})} + t^+)
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

represents the space-time data matrix of realizations of events occurring at times $t_0^{(j)}$. The $j$-th column of $Q$ hence contains the $j$-th realization of event $H$ evolving from time $t_0^{(j)} - t^-$ to $t_0^{(j)} + t^+$, i.e. over $\Delta T/\Delta t$ time steps. Here, $\Delta t$ is the temporal separation
between two consecutive snapshots, and \( t^- \) and \( t^+ \) define the time interval
\[
\left\{ t \mid t \in [t_0^{(j)} - t^-, t_0^{(j)} + t^+] \right\}
\]
over which the \( j \)-th event evolves, with \( \Delta T^{(j)} \) denoting its length. The matrix \( \mathbf{M} \) accounts for both the weights \( \mathbf{W}(x) \) and numerical quadrature weights stemming from the spatial integration in equation (2.7). The matrices \( \Phi = [\phi^{(1)}(x, t), \phi^{(2)}(x, t), \ldots, \phi^{(N_{\text{peaks}})}(x, t)] \) and \( \Lambda = \text{diag} [\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(N_{\text{peaks}})}] \) contain the eigenvectors and eigenvalues, i.e. space-time POD modes and their corresponding energies in the space-time inner product, respectively. In practice, the large eigenvalue problem (2.8) is solved by computing the cheaper eigendecomposition of the smaller matrix \( \mathbf{Q}^* \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q} \) first, in the same manner as for all other POD variants (see, e.g., Towne et al. 2018). Note that the eigenvectors \( \phi(x, t) \) of the space-time correlation tensor, i.e., the conditional space-time POD modes, are dependent on time. In fact, by construction they are coherent in space and over the time horizon \( \Delta T \).

3. Example: Acoustic bursts in a round supersonic jet

As an example, we consider acoustic bursts that are intermittently emitted from a high Reynolds number round jet and tailor the space-time inner product (2.5) as well as the event \( H \) to this application. A total of 10,000 snapshots separated by \( \Delta t = \Delta t^*/c_\infty = 0.1 \) acoustical time units of a high-fidelity LES by Břes et al. (2017) of a heated ideally expanded turbulent jet with jet Mach number \( M_j = \frac{U_j}{c_j} = 1.5 \) and nozzle temperature ratio \( T_0/T_\infty = 2.53 \) are analyzed. The subscripts \( j, \infty \) and 0 indicate jet, stagnation and freestream conditions, the superscript * marks dimensional quantities, and \( c \) and \( T \) stand for the speed of sound and temperature, respectively. The data for our study corresponds to the pressure field of case A2 in Břes et al. (2017), and the reader is referred to that paper for more details. For cylindrical coordinates with \( x = (x, r) \) and \( dV = r \, dx \, dr \), where \( x \) and \( r \) are the streamwise and radial coordinates, respectively, the rotational symmetry allows for a Fourier decomposition of the pressure field
\[
\mathbf{p}(x, r, \theta, t) = \sum_m \mathbf{p}_m(x, r, t) e^{im\theta}
\]
into azimuthal Fourier modes \( \mathbf{p}_m(x, r, t) \). For our demonstration, we focus on the \( m = 1 \) component of the data as shown in figure [1] which contains the largest fraction of the fluctuating energy of unheated jets (Schmidt et al. 2018). In the following, we drop the \( \mathbf{\hat{}}_1 \) with the understanding that \( \mathbf{p} \) and \( \mathbf{p} \) denote the \( m = 1 \) Fourier component of the pressure. The pressure 2-norm
\[
\left| \langle \mathbf{p}(x, t), \mathbf{p}(x, t) \rangle_{x, \Delta T} \right|^2
\]
is chosen as a convenient measure for the acoustic energy of the flow.

In order to focus on the acoustic emissions into the far-field plus the outer part of the shear-layer, we choose
\[
\mathbf{W}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{U(x)}{U_j} \leq 0.1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\]
to mask out the highly energetic pressure fluctuations in the jet column. Here, \( U \) is the mean streamwise velocity and \( U_j \) the jet velocity. The threshold \( U(x) \leq 0.1 U_j \) is chosen as a suitable way to distinguish these different parts of the flow. The inclusion
Figure 2. Time trace (left) and histogram (right) of the pressure at the probe location \((x_0, r_0) = (12, 5)\): (a) \(m = 1\) component with \(t^- = 150\Delta t\) and \(t^+ = 149\Delta t\), such that \(\Delta T = 30\) spans 300 snapshots centered about any \(t^{(j)}_0\), and \(N_{\text{peaks}} = 200\); (b) \(m = 1\) component with \(t^- = 100\Delta t\), \(t^+ = 60\Delta t\), \(N_{\text{peaks}} = 35\). The insert in (b) shows an example of an isolated event. The full signal is shown in gray; red symbols pinpoint events that occur at times \(t^{(j)}_0\), with blue signals to indicate their temporal neighborhoods \(t^{(j)}_0 - \Delta t \leq \Delta T^{(j)} \leq t^{(j)}_0 + \Delta t\).

of the outer part of the shear-layer facilitates backtracking of the acoustic burst event to its precursor in the mixing region close to the nozzle. The final results were found qualitatively independent of the exact choice of thresholding.

The event \(H\) is specified to identify loud events, or acoustic bursts, in the far-field of the jet. In particular, we detect such events as local maxima in time from a single-point measurement of the far-field pressure \(p(x_0, t)\) at some probe location \(x_0 = (x_0, r_0)\). For the case at hand, we detect loud events at \((x_0, r_0) = (12, 5)\), see figure 1. This location corresponds to the peak location of the power spectral density of the pressure along the edge of the domain, i.e., the dominant aft-angle noise. A local maximum that identifies an event is said to be detected at time \(t_0\) if \(p(x_0, t_0)\) is larger than its two neighboring samples at \(t = t_0 - \Delta t\) and \(t = t_0 + \Delta t\) in discrete time. The event is hence defined as

\[
H : \left\{ t^{(j)}_0 \in t_{\text{sim}} \mid p(x_0, t^{(j)}_0 - \Delta t) < p(x_0, t^{(j)}_0) > p(x_0, t^{(j)}_0 + \Delta t) \right\},
\]

where \(t_{\text{sim}} = [\Delta t, 2\Delta t, \ldots, 10^4\Delta t]\) is the set of discrete simulation times at which the LES snapshots have been saved. We further restrict the conditional expectation

\[
E\left( \left| \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{x, \Delta T} \right|^2 \mid H_{\text{peaks}} \right) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{peaks}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{peaks}}} \left\{ \left| \langle p(x, \Delta T^{(j)}), \phi(x, \Delta T^{(j)}) \rangle_{x, \Delta T} \right|^2 \mid H_{\text{peaks}} \right\},
\]

with \(H_{\text{peaks}} = \left\{ H : |p(x_0, t_0^{(1)})| \geq |p(x_0, t_0^{(2)})| \geq \cdots \geq |p(x_0, t_0^{(N_{\text{peaks}})})| \right\}\),

sorted such that the first \(N_{\text{peaks}}\) largest peaks are selected, i.e., we threshold the cardinality \(|H|\) of the event.

Figure 2 shows the time trace of the pressure signal at the probe location and its histogram for two different choices of space-time POD parameters \(t^-, t^+\), and \(N_{\text{peaks}}\). The
Figure 3. Conditional space-time POD energy spectrum for $t^- = 150 \Delta t$, $t^+ = 149 \Delta t$, and $N_{\text{peaks}} = 200$.

Figure 4. Temporal evolution of the leading conditional space-time POD mode ($\Box\Box\Box$, $-0.25 < \phi^{(1)}(x,t)/\|\phi^{(1)}(x,t)\|_\infty < 0.25$). The potential core and the jet width are indicated as lines of constant streamwise mean velocity $U$ at 99% (solid red) and 5% (dashed red) of the jet velocity $U_j$, respectively. The space-time integral energy of this mode is given by the leading eigenvalue $\lambda^{(1)}$ in figure 3. An animation of this mode in direct comparison with the linear optimal solution (shown in figure 5 below) can be found in the supplementary material.

The parameter combination $t^- = 150$, $t^+ = 149$, and $N_{\text{peaks}} = 200$ shown in figure 2a is used in the remainder of this paper. This choice centers the rare event within a time interval of 30 acoustic units, which approximates the time it takes an acoustic pulse to transverse the domain. The combination shown in figure 2b solely serves as a less dense example, with the insert highlighting a single isolated event (filled red circle) occurring at $t_0 = 288.1$ and its temporal neighborhood, or time segment, $278.1 \leq \Delta T \leq 294.6$ (blue line segment). Note that every snapshot might well contain multiple rare events at different stages of their temporal evolution which results in overlapping time segments. The plot shown on the right side of figure 2 uses the same color representation as used for the time trace to distinguish the histogram of the entire signal (gray) from those of the event (red) and segments (blue). As we are considering the absolute value of a presumingly Gaussian-distributed random signal, the time trace histogram can be approximated by a $\chi^2$-distribution. By the definition of $H$ in equation (3.3), the rare acoustic events occupy the tail of this distribution.

In figure 3 we present the eigenvalue, or energy spectrum of the conditional space-time POD problem defined by the parameters presented in figure 2(a). Two features of the spectrum stand out: (i) the dominance of the principal eigenvalue which is $\approx 40\%$ larger than the following eigenvalue; (ii) the slow decay of the rest of the spectrum starting with the second mode. These two observations are crucial to our analysis as they indicate that the particular space-time structure associated with the first eigenvalue plays a dominant role in the space-time statistics.

We next investigate the corresponding structure, i.e., the leading space-time POD mode $\phi^{(1)}(x,t)$, in figure 4. Nine representative time instances $t^{(j)} =$
Figure 5. Same as figure 4, but for the pressure component of the optimal initial condition $q(0)$ ($\square$, $-0.25 < p(t) \parallel p(t)\parallel_\infty < 0.25$). This optimal solution should be compared to the leading conditional space-time POD mode depicted in figure 4.

$[50\Delta T, 75\Delta T, 100\Delta T, \ldots, 250\Delta T]$ that track the event’s evolution from its formation in the near-nozzle shear-layer (top left) to the time it exits the computational domain (lower right) are shown. The first instance at $t = 5.5$ represents the earliest time for which we observe a distinct localized wavepacket structure. This structure is identified as the initial seed, or precursor, of the acoustic burst, and over time evolves into an acoustic burst with a distinct wavenumber, spatial extent and ejection angle. The existence of a statistically prevalent mode was a priori not obvious, and suggests the existence of an underlying physical mechanism.

Motivated by a number of studies that demonstrate that mean flow stability analysis can yield accurate predictions of the dynamics of turbulent flows (see, e.g., [Beneddine et al. (2016)]), we seek a description of the average linear burst event in terms of its linear dynamics, here represented by the compressible Navier-Stokes operator $A = A(q, x, m)$ linearized about the mean state $\bar{q}$. The reader is referred to Schmidt et al. (2018) for details of the discretization such as grid size and resolution, finite-difference operators, treatment of the Reynolds number, etc. The optimality of the conditional POD formulation in the space-time inner product leads itself to an optimal transient growth problem

$$G(\tau) = \max_{q(0)} \frac{\|q(\tau)\|_2^2}{\|q(0)\|_2^2} = \max_{q(0)} \frac{\langle \mathcal{A}(\tau)q(0), \mathcal{A}(\tau)q(0) \rangle_E}{\langle q(0), q(0) \rangle_E}$$

that maximizes the growth of energy as measured in the spatial inner product $\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle_E = \int_V q_1^*(x) W'(x) q_2(x) dV$ and over a finite time $\tau = t^- + t^+$. Here, $W'(x)$ does not apply the same spatial weighting as introduced in (3.2), but also contains the component-wise energy weights of the compressible energy norm (see, e.g., Schmidt et al. (2018)). $\mathcal{A}(\tau) = e^{A\tau}$ represents the evolution operator that maps $q(0)$ onto $q(\tau)$, and $\mathcal{A}^\dagger(\tau) = e^{-A^*\tau}$ is defined as its adjoint counterpart. As in Schmidt et al. (2015), we converge the initial condition $q(0)$ by direct-adjoint looping, and enforce the spatial restriction implied by equation (3.2) at the beginning of each iteration. A comprehensive review of nonmodal theory can be found in Schmidt (2007).

The time evolution of the pressure component of the optimal initial condition $q(0)$ is reported in figure 5. The gain associated with this optimal structure, as defined in equation (3.4), is $G(\tau) = 231$. The waves in the end region of the potential core are described in detail by Tam & Hu (1989) and Towne et al. (2017), and are not relevant for this study. The time offset $t'_0 = 40$ aligns the evolution of the optimal structure with that of the leading conditional space-time POD mode depicted in figure 4 and is introduced...
without loss of generality as we are interested in the evolution relative to the occurrence of an event at the probe location. A remarkable correspondence between the optimal structure and the dominant space-time POD mode is observed. This correspondence strongly suggests that nonmodal, transient growth plays a critical role in the generation of the average acoustic burst event as educed empirically using the conditional space-time POD formalism.

4. Conclusions

We present a data-driven approach based on a conditional space-time POD formulation that is tailored to the eduction and statistical description of intermittent or rare events from data. The resulting modes are orthonormal in a space-time inner product and optimally capture the conditional variance of an ensemble of realizations of the intermittent event. By construction, the modes are coherent in space and over a finite time horizon. The formalism hence bridges the gap between standard POD modes, which are coherent at zero time lag only, and spectral POD modes, which are perfectly coherent over all time.

It can also be thought of as an extension to classical time-resolved conditional averaging that has previously been applied as a structure identification technique, for example to identify acoustic source locations in jets \cite{GuJ2003}, or more recently to examine the hairpin generation process in turbulent wall boundary layers \cite{HackM2018}. Compared to conditional averaging, the method introduced here has the additional advantage that it inherits the desirable mathematical properties from the POD formalism.

To demonstrate the approach, we apply conditional space-time POD to the example of superdirective acoustic radiation of a turbulent jet. We find a statistically dominant space-time mode that is energetically well separated from all other modes. It takes the form of a spatially confined wavepacket that originates in the thin initial shear-layer close to the nozzle. Over time, this initial seed evolves into an acoustic burst of a distinct wavenumber and spatial support that is emitted into the far-field. The structure and dynamics of this prototype acoustic burst suggest the existence of an underlying physical mechanism. We investigate the possibility of optimal transient growth as a candidate mechanism, and find a remarkable agreement between the evolution of the optimal initial condition and the leading POD mode.

The orthogonality and optimality properties of the conditional space-time POD modes make them potential candidates for basis functions for use in reduced-order models of transient processes. In fluid mechanics, Galerkin models (see, e.g., \cite{Noack2011}) are widely successful and build on exactly these properties. The temporal coherence of the modes furthermore enables the identification of precursors of extreme events, such as the initial seed of the acoustic burst event shown in the upper-left panel of figure 4. We plan to leverage this capability for model-predictive control in the future.
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