Tunes in the Zoom Room: Remote Learning via Videoconference Discussions of Physiology Songs
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As most instruction has been forced online, biology instructors have become acutely aware of the many advantages and limitations of online teaching. Here, we investigate one possible advantage of online education: the ease of allowing remote guest speakers to interact with students in real time. In particular, we piloted a model in which guest speakers could facilitate direct music-related interactions with students, possibly benefiting students’ content knowledge and sense of community. In the context of an undergraduate animal physiology course, face-to-face lessons on arterial blood gases and the renal system were supplemented with videoconferences with a guest speaker who presented relevant content-rich songs and led class discussions of the lyrics. Survey and test data suggested that, after each of the lessons, the students (i) had increased confidence in their understanding of the material, (ii) performed better on objective test questions, and (iii) attributed their learning chiefly to the musical intervention. While our approach awaits further exploration and testing, this report provides preliminary evidence of its feasibility and offers practical suggestions for others who may wish to give it a try.

INTRODUCTION

Among the many challenges of online education, one of the most critical is the challenge of creating community. Compared with face-to-face courses, online courses may be less conducive to forging student–student and student–instructor connections (1, 2). General strategies for fostering an inclusive learning community include enabling true dialogue, i.e., the sharing of genuine personal thoughts without fear, and strong ties, i.e., bonds often based on shared interests beyond the course material itself (3). True dialogue and strong ties, in turn, are more likely to occur when courses connect to students’ personal interests and life experiences (4).

Of the many ways of integrating students’ personal interests into biology curricula (5, 6), musical approaches are of special interest to us. Because music is a nearly universal interest (7), incorporating content-rich music into biology courses could potentially lead to community benefits, such as the true dialogue and strong ties emphasized by Elliott et al. (3). Indeed, the Hip-Hop Education movement (widely known via the hashtag #HipHopEd) explicitly employs music (plus other elements of hip-hop culture, like graffiti art and breakdancing) for such sociocultural purposes, in addition to covering content (8, 9). This movement has been especially prominent in urban middle school and high school classrooms but has also spread to the college level (10). Furthermore, there are now numerous precedents for using content-rich music to teach biology and other STEM subjects (11–18).

One challenge of teaching biology with music is the fact that most biology instructors—including the first author of this study (P.A.H.)—are not inclined to write or perform content-rich songs. However, videoconference technology can facilitate the use of remote guests with relevant expertise to facilitate musical activities with students in real time. As an initial test of this model, the second author (G.J.C.) led two music-based lessons via videoconference in a zoology course taught by the first author. Our experience suggests that this model may also work in other courses with live-streamed components, an increasingly popular course format when in-person instruction must be limited due to a pandemic or for other reasons.

PROCEDURE

Students (N = 10) in an undergraduate Animal Physiology course intended for biology majors (Zoology 625) met once a week in person on campus in a 3-h lecture format. Several weeks of the 13-week course were set up for flipped teaching.
(19), i.e., readings and videos were assigned as homework but not extensively reviewed in class so that more class time could be devoted to active learning activities. These “flipped weeks” included weeks 7 and 8, the period of this study.

In weeks 7 and 8, the first 30 min of the in-person class meeting were devoted to the following: (i) The students took a pre-test on the material to be covered; (ii) Via videoconference, the guest speaker, physically located three time zones away, presented the song of the day (“Gas Exchange Medley” for week 7 and “Henle’s Water Music” for week 8) (Appendix 3) and students were encouraged to sing along; (iii) Via videoconference, the guest speaker led a whole-class discussion of questions based on the song (Fig. 1 and Appendices 4 and 5); and (iv) The students took a post-test (which, unlike the pre-test, counted toward the course grade).

The first author’s Institutional Review Board approved this study as exempt (IRB application #8005).

RESULTS

This study did not rigorously test the hypothesis that musical activities enhance students’ enjoyment and understanding of physiology content. Nevertheless, our pilot data suggest that (i) the blood gas and renal system lessons increased students’ confidence in their knowledge of the material (Appendix 6); (ii) the lessons improved their actual knowledge of this material (Fig. 2); and (iii) these learning gains came mostly from our novel music-related videoconferencing activities, rather than traditional preparatory assignments (Fig. 2).

FIG 1 An example of using a song (“Henle’s Water Music”; tinyurl.com/henle-song) as a springboard to discussing biology content. Each discussion question was assigned a level of Bloom’s taxonomy as described by Crowe et al. (25).

FIG 2 Student’s content knowledge improved after musical videoconferences on blood gases (N=9) and the renal system (N=8), and students primarily attributed their learning gains to these videoconferences. (Top panel) Student’s performance on objective multiple-choice questions improved following videoconferences. Values shown are means ± SEM; double asterisks denote statistically significant differences (P<0.01) between pre-test and post-test scores. (Bottom panel) Student’s responses to the question, “Which of the following helped you understand [topic of the day]?” Students could choose any number of the six options. Activities denoted with an asterisk were assigned prior to the class meeting; the remaining activities were performed as a group during class time. No students found reading the chapter helpful for the renal system lesson.
In explaining the choices shown in Fig. 2, students’ free responses focused largely on the use of music (Appendix 7). Notably, several students independently distinguished between the song itself and follow-up discussion of the song, stating in various ways that the meaning of the lyrics was clarified and amplified by the discussion questions (Fig. 1 and Appendices 4 and 5).

**DISCUSSION**

As a research study, the work reported here had many limitations. Regarding methods, we neglected to ask students whether the musical lessons fostered a sense of community, as we hoped would occur; students’ learning gains following our intervention were not compared with gains after nonmusical interventions; we relied heavily on students’ perceptions of their learning, which can be unreliable (20); and our model was tested only in one 10-student course, so our approach may not be scalable nor our results generalizable. Given these methodological limitations, we cannot be sure whether our students benefited from the songs’ novelty, delivery of content, seeding of fruitful discussions, and/or other aspects.

Despite these caveats, we were pleased that our intervention went smoothly and led to positive student responses. Based on that and other relevant experience (12, 21, 22), we can offer practical encouragement to other instructors who may be tempted to try something similar. The following vignettes illustrate additional ways to incorporate music into a biology course with the aid of a remote guest speaker.

**Example 1:** You are teaching a cell biology course in which the translation of mRNA into polypeptides often baffles students. You consult some popular sources of biology songs (Table I) and discover Kevin Ahern’s song “Good Protein Synthesis” (to the tune of the Christmas song “Good King Wenceslas”). You decide that it would work well for a group sing-along, but you don’t feel comfortable leading the singing yourself. You enlist a musician friend (not a scientist) to practice the song a few times and then join

| Name (position)                                                                 | Music website                                                                 | Note                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kevin Ahern (retired biochemistry lecturer, Oregon State U.)                    | davincipress.com                                                              | Most songs are parodies of “oldies” (e.g., The Beatles)             |
| Rick Bungiro (microbiology & immunology lecturer, Brown Univ.)                  | youtube.com/c/RickBungiro/                                                    | Parodies of new and old hits                                        |
| Kristin Chavis (independent science educator, Lake Charles, LA)                  | youtube.com/channel/UCZjYc6rNoXyEn2vdZjixHxKw/                              | Includes hip-hop songs about the body’s organ systems               |
| Greg Crowther (biology instructor, Everett Comm. Coll.)                          | faculty.washington.edu/crowther/Misc/Songs/                                  | Mostly anatomy and physiology; study questions and karaoke options are included |
| Arlevia Davis (science teacher, Legacy H.S., Mansfield, TX)                     | https://www.youtube.com/user/pavefitness                                     | Goes by the alter ego of “Sciyoncé”; songs cover general introductory biology topics |
| Gary Grossman (ecologist, U. of Georgia)                                        | www.garygrossman.net/natural-voices/                                         | Songs about ecology, evolution, and natural history                 |
| Jeremy Long (ecologist, San Diego State U.)                                     | youtube.com/user/iambient33/                                                  | Chemical ecology student groups do songs for extra credit           |
| Tom McFadden (science teacher, The Nueva School, California)                    | sciencewithtom.com                                                            | Raps created by students of Tom’s “Rap Academy”                      |
| Jeanelle Reid (anatomy & physiology teacher, Cypress Ranch H.S., Texas)         | youtube.com/c/MrsReidTheScienceG/                                            | A new YouTube channel as of 2020; includes anatomy and physiology skits as well as songs |
| Wendy Silk (retired plant biologist, UC—Davis)                                  | youtube.com/user/HearUBEST/                                                   | Includes student compositions from the elective course “Earth, Water, Science, and Song” |
| Carl Winter (retired food scientist, UC-Davis)                                  | youtube.com/user/foodsafetymusic/                                            | Parodies about microbiology and food safety                         |
| Glenn Wolkenfeld (biology teacher, Berkeley H.S., California)                   | sciencemusicvideos.com                                                        | AP biology songs with videos, worksheets, etc.                       |
your live-streamed course to help everyone do the song together.

Example 2: You offer your students extra credit for writing a song about the material they are studying. A pair of students produce a fantastic disco song about the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, which they share with the class. You realize that the rest of the class could also contribute to the song by creating dance moves to visually or kinesthetically illustrate its meaning. Since you and the student songwriters have no expertise in dance, you (with the students’ permission) bring in a colleague from physics, a past participant in some of the lyrics videoconference session in which the students identify functional environments.

In summary, we have described the incorporation of videoconference-based musical interventions into an undergraduate animal physiology course. The students had no particular expertise in music, nor did they sign up for the course with any expectations that music would be a part of the course, even if they themselves are not biologists.

As a counterweight to our enthusiasm for musical interventions, we should admit that such interventions do come with several possible pitfalls, which we have enumerated previously (21, 22). These include perceptions that musical activities are a waste of time in a biology class, unclear connections between song lyrics and course content, and unrealistic expectations that a single pass through a song is sufficient for memorization and recall.
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