ON GROUPS WHOSE GEODESIC GROWTH IS POLYNOMIAL

MARTIN R. BRIDSON, JOSÉ BURILLO, MURRAY ELDER, AND ZORAN ŠUNIĆ

Abstract. This note records some observations concerning geodesic growth functions. If a nilpotent group is not virtually cyclic then it has exponential geodesic growth with respect to all finite generating sets. On the other hand, if a finitely generated group $G$ has an element whose normal closure is abelian and of finite index, then $G$ has a finite generating set with respect to which the geodesic growth is polynomial (this includes all virtually cyclic groups).

1. Introduction

Growth for finitely generated groups is a concept that has been studied extensively in the last fifty years, providing landmarks for modern group theory, most notably Gromov’s theorem characterizing groups that have polynomial volume growth as virtually nilpotent groups [9]. Volume growth functions count the number of elements in the ball of radius $n$ about the identity in the Cayley graph of a finitely generated group, while geodesic growth functions count the number of geodesics of length at most $n$ that begin at the identity (cf. [7], [10], [2]). The geodesic growth function of a group with respect to any finite generating set is bounded above by an exponential function. The purpose of the present note is to record some elementary observations concerning groups that have subexponential geodesic growth functions.

Previous work on geodesic growth functions has focussed mainly on the issue of rationality of the associated formal power series. Gromov (8, p.137) and Epstein et al. (5 p.80) established rationality for hyperbolic groups with respect to arbitrary finite generating sets. There are similar rationality results for families of non-hyperbolic groups, but examples of Cannon, described in [10], show that rationality depends heavily on the choice of generators in the non-hyperbolic case. Our results concerning polynomial geodesic growth exhibit a similar dependency. Shapiro [11] considered the function $p_X : G \to \mathbb{N}$ which counts the number of geodesics for each group element, with respect to some finite generating set $X$. He gives an explicit formula for $p_X$ for abelian groups, from which a formula for the geodesic growth function can easily be obtained. We make use of his study of these functions in Section 3 below.

In Section 2 we define geodesic growth carefully and present some basic properties and examples. In Section 3 we prove that any nilpotent group $G$ that is not virtually cyclic has exponential geodesic growth with respect to all finite generating sets. In the following sections we restrict our attention to groups that have polynomial growth.
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1 i.e. the geodesic growth function is bounded above by a polynomial
geodesic growth with respect to some generating set; such groups are virtually nilpotent with virtually cyclic abelianization. In Section 4 we present two groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes C_2$; both have virtually cyclic abelianization but one has exponential geodesic growth with respect to every generating set while the other has polynomial growth with respect to some finite generating sets. In Section 5 we establish the following sufficient condition for polynomial geodesic growth.

**Theorem 1** (Main Theorem). Let $G$ be a finitely generated group. If there exists an element $x \in G$ whose normal closure is abelian and of finite index, then there exists a finite generating set for $G$ with respect to which the geodesic growth of $G$ is polynomial.

In Section 6 we present a sharpening of this result in the virtually cyclic case.

**Theorem 2.** Let $G$ be a virtually cyclic group generated by a finite symmetric set $X$. The geodesic growth function $\Gamma_{G,X}(n)$ is either bounded above and below by an exponential function, or else is bounded above and below by polynomials of the same degree.

2. Definition and elementary properties

Throughout this paper we consider groups $G$ equipped with a finite generating set $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ that is symmetric (i.e. if $x \in X$ then $x^{-1} \in X$). We often think of $X$ as a subset of $G$ but there are times when we must be more formal and regard the choice of generators as an epimorphism $F(X) \to G$ from the free monoid generated by $X$; in particular this is necessary when we want to allow repetitions in our generating sets, i.e. allow that certain elements of $X$ have the same image under $F(X) \to G$.

The central concept of study in this paper is geodesic growth.

**Definition 3.** The geodesic growth of a group $G$ with respect to the symmetric generating set $X$ is the function $\Gamma_{G,X}(n)$ counting, for each $n$, the number of geodesics of length at most $n$ starting at 1 in the Cayley graph of the group $G$ with respect to $X$.

There is an obvious upper bound on $\Gamma_{G,X}(n)$, namely $\Gamma_{G,X}(n) \leq |X|^n$. We say that $\Gamma$ has exponential geodesic growth with respect to $X$ if there exists $b > 1$ such that $\Gamma_{G,X}(n) \geq b^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\Gamma$ has polynomial geodesic growth with respect to $X$ if there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Gamma_{G,X}(n) \leq cn^d$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (At present, it is unclear if this is equivalent to demanding upper and lower bounds of the same polynomial degree, cf. [9].)

The more usual (volume) growth, $\gamma_{G,X}(n)$, counts the number of vertices in the Cayley graph of $G$ that are at distance at most $n$ from the identity. Since each vertex is connected to the identity by a geodesic, this function provides a lower bound for $\Gamma_{G,X}(n)$.

**Lemma 4.** Let $G$ be a group with finite generating set $X$. The geodesic growth function $\Gamma_{G,X}(n)$ is bounded below by the word growth function $\gamma_{G,X}(n)$: for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Gamma_{G,X}(n) \geq \gamma_{G,X}(n).$$

The following examples show that geodesic growth is heavily dependent on the choice of generating set.
Example 5. Consider the group $G = \mathbb{Z} \times C_2$. (Throughout this paper, $C_k$ denotes the cyclic group of order $k$.) We present $G$ as $\langle t, a | a^2 = 1, at = ta \rangle$. With respect to the symmetric generating set $\{t^{\pm 1}, a\}$, the geodesics of length $n$ are $t^n$, $t^{-n}$, $t^i at^{-i-1}$, and $t^{-i} at^{i+1}n$, for $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. Thus the number of geodesics of length $n$ is, for $n \geq 2$, equal to $2n + 2$, so the number of geodesics of length at most $n$ is $O(n^2)$.

Now consider the presentation $\langle t, c | c^2 = t^2, ct = tc \rangle$, obtained from the previous one by the substitution $c = at$. With respect to the generating set $\{t, t^{-1}, c, c^{-1}\}$, each word $x_1x_2\ldots x_n$ with $x_i \in \{tt, cc\}$ is a geodesic for the element $t^{2n}$. The number of such strings for each $n$ is $2^n$, so the geodesic growth is exponential.
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Figure 1. Cayley graphs for Example 5

Note that both generating sets are minimal: one cannot generate the group with fewer than two generators.

Example 6. Consider $\mathbb{Z}$ with the presentation $\langle t | \rangle$ and generating set $\{t^{\pm 1}\}$. For $n = 0$ there is one geodesic of length $n$, and for $n > 0$ there are exactly two, so the geodesic growth function is linear. Now consider the presentation $\langle t, s | t = s \rangle$. With respect to the generating set $\{s^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}\}$, there are $2^n$ geodesics joining 1 to the group element $t^n$, namely those labelled by positive words of length $n$ in the symbols $s$ and $t$.

A similar doubling trick shows that, with respect to some finite generating set, the geodesic growth of every finitely generated infinite group is exponential. Thus if one wants to make non-trivial statements about groups with subexponential geodesic growth, then one has to be content with imposing this constraint with respect to some finite generating set (not an arbitrary one). We would like to understand which groups have subexponential (polynomial or intermediate) geodesic growth in this sense.

The bound recorded in Lemma 4 tells us that if a group has polynomial geodesic growth with respect to some generating set then its word growth must be polynomial. Hence, by Gromov’s celebrated theorem [9], we obtain the following result which explains why we focus on virtually nilpotent groups in the rest of the text.

Corollary 7. If a group $G$ has polynomial geodesic growth with respect to some finite generating set, then $G$ is virtually nilpotent.

In addition to the obvious lower bound provided by the word growth function given in Lemma 4 there is another lower bound that comes from the good behavior of geodesics under lifts along homomorphisms.
Lemma 8. Let $G$ be a group with a finite symmetric generating set $X$. Let $\phi : G \to G'$ be an epimorphism of groups and take $X' = \phi(X)$ as a generating set for $G'$. The geodesic growth functions of $G$ and $G'$ satisfy the following inequality: for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\Gamma_{G,X}(n) \geq \Gamma_{G',X'}(n).$$

Proof. If $w = \phi(x_1) \ldots \phi(x_n)$ is a geodesic word in $G'$, then $x_1 \ldots x_n$ must be a geodesic in $G$: if there were a shorter word $y_1 \ldots y_l$ evaluating to the same element of $G$, then we would have $w = \phi(y_1) \ldots \phi(y_l)$ in $G'$, contradicting the assumption that $w$ is geodesic. Thus a choice of set-theoretic section $X' \to X$ of $x \mapsto \phi(x)$ defines an injection from the set of geodesic words of length $n$ in $(G', X')$ to the set of geodesic words of length $n$ in $(G, X)$. \hfill \Box

Remark 9. In this article we will not investigate the possible existence of finitely generated groups that, with respect to certain generating sets, have geodesic growth that is sub-exponential but super-polynomial, i.e. “intermediate”. Groups with intermediate word growth have attracted considerable attention since their discovery by Grigorchuk \cite{Grigorchuk80} in the 1980s. Might some such groups have intermediate geodesic growth with respect to some generating sets? It is natural to look first at Grigorchuk’s original group. With respect to the standard generating set of four involutions, the third and fourth authors, working with Gutierrez \cite{Gutierrez}, have proved that its geodesic growth rate lies between $O((\sqrt{2})^n)$ and $O((\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{3}})^n)$; in particular it is not intermediate.

A priori, it is also possible that there is an example of a virtually nilpotent group that has intermediate geodesic growth with respect to some finite generating set.

3. Geodesic growth for groups that map onto $\mathbb{Z}^2$

In this section we show that if a group maps onto $\mathbb{Z}^2$, then its geodesic growth with respect to any finite generating set is exponential; any finitely generated group that is not virtually abelian satisfies this condition. Our proof closely follows work of Michael Shapiro (Section 2 in \cite{Shapiro}). We thank Mark Sapir for pointing this out to us. We show that if a finitely generated nilpotent group is not virtually cyclic, then it satisfies this condition.

Take a basis $\{a, b\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and work with the symmetric generating set $X = \{a^{\pm 1}, b^{\pm 1}\}$. The $2n$ distinct permutations of the word $a^n b^n$ provide us with more than $2^n$ geodesics of length $2n$, so the geodesic growth of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with respect to $X$ is exponential. The following proposition extends this observation to arbitrary finite generating sets of $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Proposition 10 (Shapiro \cite{Shapiro}). The group $\mathbb{Z}^2$ has exponential geodesic growth with respect to every finite generating set.

For completeness, we present a self-contained proof.

Proof. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ be a symmetric generating set for $\mathbb{Z}^2$. We embed $\mathbb{Z}^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ as the set of points with integer coordinates and write $x_i = (a_i, b_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Consider the non-degenerate, centrally-symmetric convex polygon $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ that is the convex hull of $\{(a_i, b_i) | i = 1, \ldots, m\}$. We write $\lambda P$ for the image of $P$ under the homothety $v \mapsto \lambda v$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Let $x_i, x_j \in X$ be such that the segment joining $(a_i, b_i)$ to $(a_j, b_j)$ lies entirely on the boundary of $P$. We claim that $x_i^n x_j^n$ is at distance $2n$ from the identity
in the Cayley graph of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with respect to $X$. To see this, note that the vectors that represent the elements of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ of length at most $2n - 1$ with respect to $X$ are contained in the polygon $(2n-1)P$, and the vector $nx_i + nx_j$ is on the middle of the edge $[2nx_i, 2nx_j]$ of the polygon $2nP$, and this edge is entirely outside of $(2n-1)P$.

Thus the word $x_i^n x_j^n$ is geodesic of length $2n$, as is each of the $(2n)$ distinct words obtained by permuting its letters. \(\square\)

By applying Lemma 8 we deduce:

**Corollary 11.** A group that maps homomorphically onto $\mathbb{Z}^2$ has exponential geodesic growth with respect to any finite generating set.

**Proposition 12.** Let $G$ be a finitely generated nilpotent group. If $G$ is not virtually cyclic, then it has exponential growth with respect to every finite generating set.

In the light of Corollary 11 this is an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 13.** If a finitely generated nilpotent group is not virtually cyclic, then it maps homomorphically onto $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

**Proof.** If $G$ is virtually abelian, then $G$ modulo its (finite) torsion subgroup is free abelian. This observation covers the base case of an induction on the nilpotency class of $G$. In the inductive step we can assume that $G$ modulo its centre $Z$ satisfies the lemma. If $G/Z$ maps onto $\mathbb{Z}^2$ then so does $G$. If $G/Z$ is virtually cyclic then $G$, being a central extension of a virtually cyclic group, is virtually abelian and the observation in the first sentence of the proof applies once more. \(\square\)

## 4. Geodesic growth in some groups with virtually cyclic abelianization

According to Corollary 11, the only groups that might have finite generating sets with respect to which the geodesic growth is polynomial are those with virtually cyclic abelianization. Here we consider two such groups: both are of the form $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes C_2$ but their geodesic growth functions behave very differently.

**Remark 14.** Let $G$ be a group that has a finite index subgroup $H$ mapping onto a free abelian group $A$ of rank at least 2. By inducing $H \to A$ (in the sense of representation theory) we obtain a map from $G$ onto a virtually abelian group of rank at least 2. Thus Lemma 13 implies that if a finitely generated virtually nilpotent group $G$ is not virtually cyclic, then it maps onto a group that is virtually free abelian group of rank at least 2. Naively, one might hope that this extension of Lemma 13 would lead to an exponential lower bound on the geodesic growth functions of $G$, thus completing the characterisation of groups with polynomial geodesic growth. But the following example shows that this is not the case.

**Example 15.** Let $\phi_1$ be the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ that interchanges the basis elements $a$ and $b$, and consider

$$G_1 = \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \phi_1, C_2 = \langle a, b, t \mid [a, b] = 1, t^2 = 1, a^t = b \rangle,$$

which has abelianization $\mathbb{Z} \times C_2$.

With respect to the generating set $\{a, a^{-1}, t\}$ the language of geodesics has been computed explicitly [3] to be the set of words

$$\{a^x, a^x t a^y, a^x t a^y t a^{y_1} t a^{x_2} \mid x, y, x_1, y_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, x_1, x_2 \geq 0, |y_1| > 0\}.$$
Thus the geodesics of length \( n \geq 5 \) are
\[
\begin{align*}
a^\pm n, \\
a^\pm(n-1)t, \\
ta^\pm(n-1), \\
a^\pm(ta)^\pm(n-i-1) & \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n - 2, \\
ta^\pm(n-2)t, \\
a^\pm(ta)^\pm(n-2-i) & \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n - 3, \\
ta^\pm t^\pm a^\epsilon & \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n - 4, \\
a^\pm t^\pm a^\epsilon t^\pm a^\epsilon(t^\pm a^\epsilon(t^\pm a^\epsilon t^\pm a^\epsilon)) & \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, n - 4, \\
& \quad \text{and } \epsilon = \pm 1
\end{align*}
\]
of which there are
\[
2 + 2 + 2 + 4(n - 2) + 2 + 4(n - 3) + 4(n - 3) + 4 \sum_{i=1}^{n-4} i = 2n^2 - 2n.
\]

Similar examples may be constructed that are virtually free-abelian of arbitrary rank.

**Example 16.** Let \( \phi_2 \) be the automorphism that sends each of the basis elements \( a \) and \( b \) to their inverse, and consider
\[
G_2 = \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \phi_2 C_2 = \langle a, b, t \mid [a, b] = 1, t^2 = 1, a^t = a^{-1}, b^t = b^{-1} \rangle,
\]
which has abelianization \( C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \).

Let \( H = \langle a, b \rangle \). Note that if \( h \in H \) and \( g \in G_2 \setminus H \) then \( h^g = h^{-1} \) and \( g^2 = 1 \).

Let \( X = Y \cup Z \), where \( Y = \{ x \in X \mid x \not\in H \} \) and \( Z = \{ x \in X \mid x \in H \} \).

Let \( S = \{ z^i \mid z \in Z \} \cup \{ (yy') \mid y, y' \in Y \} \). Note that \( S \) is a symmetric set (since \( Z \) is symmetric and the \( Y \)-letters have order 2 in \( G_2 \)).

By extending the map \( a \mapsto (1, 0), b \mapsto (0, 1) \) to a homomorphism, we can, as in the proof of Proposition 11, embed \( H = \mathbb{Z}^2 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) as the set of points with integer coordinates. For \( h \in H \), denote by \( \overline{h} \) the vector in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) that is the image of \( h \) under this embedding. Let \( P \) be the centrally symmetric, convex polygon \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \) that is the convex hull of \( S = \{ x \mid x \in S \} \). As before, \( \lambda P \) denotes the image of \( P \) under the homothety \( v \mapsto \lambda v \) of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \).

We claim that if \( h \in H \) is at distance \( n \) from the identity in the word metric associated to \( X \), then \( \overline{h} \) is in \( (n/2)P \). To see this, consider a geodesic factorization \( h = x_1 x_2 \ldots x_n \). We rewrite this factorization by pushing the \( Y \)-letters to the left, inventing the \( Z \)-letters as they are pushed past using the relations \( zy = yz^{-1} \) where necessary. The number of \( Y \)-letters in any representation of \( h \) is even, so at the end of this process we have a geodesic factorisation
\[
h = (y_1 y_2) \ldots (y_{2m-1} y_{2m}) z_{2m+1} z_{2m+2} \ldots z_n.
\]
Hence
\[
\overline{h} = \overline{y_1 y_2} + \ldots + \overline{y_{2m-1} y_{2m}} + \frac{1}{2} z_{2m+1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{2} z_n.
\]
Thus \( \overline{h} \) is a positive linear combination of vectors in \( S \subseteq P \) with the sum of coefficients equal to \( n/2 \); in particular, \( \overline{h} \in (n/2)P \).

Let \( s_1, s_2 \in S \) be such that the segment joining the vertex \( \overline{s}_1 \) to \( \overline{s}_2 \) in \( P \) lies entirely on the boundary of \( P \), and consider \( g = s_1^n s_2^n \).
We claim that $s_1^n s_2^n$ is a geodesic for $g$ of length $4n$ with respect to $X$. Indeed we have just seen the elements of $H$ that are a distance at most $4n - 1$ from the identity determine vectors that are contained in the polygon $(2n - \frac{1}{2})P$, whereas $s_1^n s_2^n = n\mathbf{s}_1 + n\mathbf{s}_2$ lies on the boundary of $(2n)P$.

Since $s_1$ and $s_2$ commute, there are at least $\binom{2n}{n}$ geodesics for $g$ with respect to $X$, showing that the geodesic growth of $G$ with respect to $X$ is exponential.

5. Proof of the Main Theorem

Our proof of the Main Theorem begins with two lemmas, as follows.

**Lemma 17** (Highways beat byways). Fix $\theta \geq 1$. Let $A$ be a finitely generated free abelian group, let $S$ be a symmetric, finite generating set for $A$ and let $T$ be a finite subset of $A$ such that $\langle T \rangle$ has finite index in $A$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the set $S \cup T_N$, where

$$T_N = \{t^N \mid t \in T\}.$$

If $N$ is sufficiently large then only finitely many words in the letters $S$ are $\theta$-efficient for the word metric associated to $S \cup T_N$.

**Proof.** We identify $A$ with the set of points in $\mathbb{R}^r$ with integer coordinates and equip $\mathbb{R}^r$ with the standard Euclidean norm $\| \cdot \|$. The proof involves this norm, the word metrics $d_S$ and $d_{S \cup T_N}$ on $A$, and $d_T$ and $d_{T_N}$ on $\langle T \rangle$ and $\langle T_N \rangle$ respectively. We write 0 for the identity element of $A \subset \mathbb{R}^r$.

We fix $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that, for all $x \in A$,

$$\beta \|x\| \leq d_S(0, x) \leq \alpha \|x\|,$$

and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $\| y - [y]_T \| \leq \varepsilon$, where $[y]_T$ is the lex-least among the points in $\langle T \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}^r \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ that are nearest to $y$. Finally, fix $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^r$

$$d_T(0, [y]_T) \leq \lambda \| y \|.$$

Suppose that $N$ is large enough so that $\beta / \theta - \lambda / N > 0$.

Observe that, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^r$, we have:

1. $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{N} z \right\rfloor_T = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{N} z \right\rfloor_{T_N}$,
2. $\| z - [z]_{T_N} \| = N \| \frac{1}{N} z - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{N} z \right\rfloor_T \|$,
3. $d_T(0, \left\lfloor \frac{1}{N} z \right\rfloor_T) = d_{T_N}(0, [z]_{T_N})$,

whence $\| z - [z]_{T_N} \| \leq N \varepsilon$.

For all $z \in A = \mathbb{Z}^r$,

$$d_{S \cup T_N}(0, z) \leq d_{S \cup T_N}(0, [z]_{T_N}) + d_{S \cup T_N}(z, [z]_{T_N})$$

$$\leq d_{T_N}(0, [z]_{T_N}) + d_{S}(z, [z]_{T_N})$$

$$= d_T(0, \left\lfloor \frac{1}{N} z \right\rfloor_T) + d_{S}(0, z - [z]_{T_N})$$

$$\leq \lambda \| \frac{1}{N} z \| + \alpha \| z - [z]_{T_N} \|$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda}{N} \| z \| + \alpha N \varepsilon.$$
So if \( z \in A \) has a \( \theta \)-efficient representative with respect to \( S \cup T_N \) that contains no letters from \( T_N \), then
\[
\beta ||z|| \leq d_S(0, z) \leq \theta d_{S \cup T_N}(0, z) \leq \theta \left( \frac{\lambda}{N} ||z|| + \alpha N \varepsilon \right),
\]
yielding
\[
||z||(\beta/\theta - \lambda/N) \leq \alpha N \varepsilon
\]
or, equivalently (since \( \beta/\theta - \lambda/N > 0 \)),
\[
||z|| \leq \frac{\alpha N \varepsilon}{\beta/\theta - \lambda/N}.
\]
There are only finitely many elements of \( A = \mathbb{Z}^r \) that satisfy this bound. For each such element, the number of \( \theta \)-efficient representatives is finite since their length is at most
\[
\theta d_{S \cup T_N}(0, z) \leq \theta \left( \frac{\lambda}{N} ||z|| + \alpha N \varepsilon \right) \leq \theta \left( \frac{\alpha N \varepsilon}{\beta/\theta - \lambda/N} \right) + \alpha N \varepsilon.
\]
The result follows.

\textbf{Lemma 18} (Dominating generator). For a group \( G \), let \( X = \{x_0, x_0^{-1}\} \cup Y \) be a finite symmetric generating set. If there exists a constant \( k \) such that, for any geodesic in \( G \) with respect to \( X \), the total number of appearances of the generators \( y \in Y \) is at most \( k \), then the geodesic growth of \( G \) with respect to \( X \) is polynomial.

\textit{Proof.} Let \( m = |Y| \). Each geodesic word of length \( n \) over \( X \) has the form
\[
x_0^{\pm n_0} y_1 x_0^{\pm n_1} y_2 \cdots y_\ell x_0^{\pm n_\ell},
\]
with \( \ell \leq k \), where \( y_i \in Y \) and \( n_0, \ldots, n_\ell \) are non-negative integers such that
\[
n_0 + \cdots + n_\ell = n - \ell.
\]
To construct any word of this form, one can start with the string \( x_0^n \) and choose the \( \ell \) sites at which to replace \( x_0 \) by some \( y \in Y \); one then has to make a choice of sign for the remaining strings of \( x_0 \). For fixed \( \ell \), the number of possibilities for the set of \( Y \)-sites is \( \binom{n}{\ell} \) (the number of non-negative integer partitions of \( n - \ell \)). And there are at most \( 2^{\ell+1} \) possible sign choices (fewer if some of the integers \( n_0, \ldots, n_\ell \) are 0). Therefore, the number of geodesics of length \( n \), for \( n >> k \), is bounded above by
\[
2^{k+1} m^k \binom{n}{k} + 2^k m^{k-1} \binom{n}{k-1} + \cdots + 2^2 m \binom{n}{1} + 2 \leq f(n) := (k+1)2^{k+1} m^k \binom{n}{k}.
\]
If \( n \) is sufficiently large, then \( f(n') < f(n) \) for all \( n' < n \) and hence the number of geodesics of length at most \( n \) is bounded above by
\[
(n+1)f(n) = 2^{k+1} m^k (k+1)(n+1) \binom{n}{k},
\]
which is a polynomial of degree \( k + 1 \). \( \square \)
Proof of the Main Theorem. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group that contains an element $x$ whose normal closure $A = \langle x \rangle$ is abelian and of finite index. $A$ is finitely generated, since it has finite-index in $G$. Replacing $x$ by a proper power if necessary, we may assume that $A$ is free abelian of finite rank.

Let $Q = G/A$ and let $\pi : G \to Q$ be the natural projection. We fix a set of coset representatives $R = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_l\}$ for $A$ in $G$ and define

$$D = \{pq^{-1} \mid p, q, r \in R \cup R^{-1}, \text{and} \pi(r) = \pi(p)\pi(q)\}.$$ 

Let $S \subset A$ be a symmetric generating set that includes $D \subset A$ and is invariant under the conjugation action of $G$. The generators in $S$ are thought of as short generators.

Define $X = S \cup \{x^N, x^{-N}\} \cup R \cup R^{-1}$ as a finite generating set for $G$, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show that if $N$ is sufficiently large, then $G$ has polynomial growth with respect to $X$.

First, we apply Lemma 17 to $A$ with $T := \{q_i x q_i^{-1} \mid i = 1, \ldots, \ell\}$ in order to deduce the following:

Claim 19. If $N$ is sufficiently large, then there is a constant $k = k(N)$ such that any word over $S$ that is geodesic with respect to $X$ has length at most $k$.

To justify this observation, we need to know that a word in the letters $S$ that is geodesic in $(G, d_X)$ is $\theta$-efficient in $(A, d_{S \cup T_N})$, where $\theta$ does not depend on $N$. But for each $t = q_i x q_i^{-1}$ we have $d_X(1, tN) \leq d_X(1, x^N) + 2d_X(1, q_i) = 3$, so if $a \in A$ equals a word of length $L$ in the letters $S \cup T_N$, then it equals a word of length at most $3L$ in the letters $X$. In particular, words in $S$ that are geodesic in $(G, d_X)$ are 3-efficient in $(A, d_{S \cup T_N})$.

We are now ready for the main argument of the proof. To avoid confusion, we write $y = x^N$. In the light of Lemma 18 it suffices to prove that the number of letters from $S \cup R \cup R^{-1}$ in any geodesic over $X$ is uniformly bounded; we shall prove that it is bounded by $k + |Q|$.

Given a geodesic word $w$ over $X$ we may do the following.

(1) Since $S$ is invariant under conjugation by $R \subset G$ and its elements commute with $y$, all occurrences in $w$ of letters $s \in S$ can be moved to the left without changing the length of $w$. (As $s \in S$ is pushed past $q_i \in R$ it is replaced by $q_i s q_i^{-1} \in S$.)

(2) If a subword of the form $pq$ with $p, q \in R \cup R^{-1}$ appears in $w$ at any stage, then we can replace it by $dr$, where $d = pq^{-1} \in D$. Then, since $d \in D \subset S$, we can move $d$ to the left.

Proceeding in this manner, an arbitrary geodesic over $X$ can be transformed into one of the form

$$u(S)q_{i_1} y^{n_1} q_{i_2} y^{n_2} \cdots q_{i_{\lambda}} y^{n_{\lambda}},$$

with $q_{i_j} \in R^{\pm 1}$, where $u(S)$ is a word over $S$, and where $\lambda$ has been made as small as possible. We claim that $\lambda \leq l = |Q|$.

To prove this claim, consider what would happen if there were more than $l$ factors. There would be two prefixes

$$p_a = q_{i_1} y^{n_1} q_{i_2} y^{n_2} \cdots q_{i_a}, \quad p_b = q_{i_1} y^{n_1} q_{i_2} y^{n_2} \cdots q_{i_b},$$

for some $a < b \leq \lambda$ (including the possibility $a = 0$, i.e., $p_a$ being the empty word), such that $\pi(p_a^{-1} p_b) = 1$ in $Q$. Hence, $p_a^{-1} p_b = y^{n_a} w \in A$, where $w = \pi(p_a^{-1} p_b) = 1$ in $Q$. Since $\pi(p_a^{-1} p_b)$ is a relator in $Q$, it follows that $y^{n_a} w$ is a relator in $Q$.

Thus, $y^{n_a} w \in A$, and by the property of free abelian groups, $y^{n_a} w = \prod_i a_i^{n_i}$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. But then $y^{n_a} w = d^{-1} y^{n_a} w d = d^{-1} y^{n_a} w d = y^{n_a} w$, contradicting the assumption that $y^{n_a} w \not\in A$.

Therefore, $\lambda \leq l = |Q|$, as claimed. This completes the proof of the main theorem.
Since $y^n$ commutes with $w$, we could bring $q_{i_a}$ and $q_{i_{a+1}}$ next to each other and perform a move of type (2) (including the move of the newly obtained $d$ from $D$ all the way to the left). But this would contradict the assumption that $\lambda$ had been minimized.

Claim 19 implies that the length of $u(S)$ is at most $k$, so the number of letters different from $y^\pm 1$ in the modified geodesic is at most $k + l$. Since moves (1) and (2) do not change the number of $y^\pm 1$ letters, the number of letters different from $y^\pm 1$ in the modified geodesic is the same as that in the original geodesic. This completes the proof.  

6. Virtually cyclic groups

In the context of the Main Theorem, we do not know if one can obtain upper and lower bounds of the same polynomial degree. But in the case of virtually cyclic groups, one can obtain such bounds.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a virtually cyclic group generated by a finite symmetric set $X$. The geodesic growth function $\Gamma_{G,X}$ is either bounded above and below by an exponential function, or else is bounded above and below by polynomials of the same degree.

Proof. The exponential upper bound is trivial, and we observed in Section 2 that every infinite finitely generated group has some finite generating set for which the geodesic growth is exponential.

Theorem 1 shows that virtually cyclic groups have at least one generating set for which the geodesic growth is polynomial. A virtually cyclic group is hyperbolic, and the language of geodesics is regular for every finite generating set (see [5]). If the growth of a regular language is subexponential, then the language is simply starred and its growth is bounded above and below by polynomials of the same degree (see [1] and [5] p.20). 
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