INTRODUCTION

Lycopene is a powerful antioxidant which can prevent human skin against UVR-induced effects [1]. Lycopene helps in providing protection against acute and potentially longer-term aspects of photo damage [2]. Lycopene in its original form is a lyophilic drug [3]. Lycopene-based product had a much greater protective ability from photodamage than the product containing the mixture of vitamins and lycopene has suitable characteristics to be used successfully in the prevention of cutaneous damage by free radicals [4]. The antioxidant ability of lycopene was probably due to its high reductive power. It act as a preventative agent via inhibition of epidermal ornithine decarboxylase activity, reducing inflammatory responses, maintaining normal cell proliferation and possibly preventing DNA damage as indicated by blocking the necessitating step of apoptosis following UVB injury [5]. It has poor aqueous solubility and this reduces the flexibility of drug formulation and administration [6].

Microparticle entrapped micelles is a delivery system in which the particles of the micellar range are ensemble for drug and gene delivery in specific parts of the body. Microparticles containing micelles have the potential for delivering micelle encapsulated hydrophobic drug on targeted site [7-11]. Micelles have been used as drug carriers for administration and exhibit many advantages including their ease of production, long circulation times and having surfaces that are readily modified with targeting ligands. Drug loaded micellar microparticles can sustain the release of drug and provide patient compliance by ease of application through topical drug delivery system. The lower solubility of lycopene in aqueous solvents makes it a selective candidate for the improvement of solubility and delivering the drug by formulating colloidal microparticles [12].

In the present investigation, sustained release topical formulation of lycopene loaded colloidal microparticles was prepared. Colloids were prepared by Cloud point technique using Tween 40 and Tween 60 surfactant solution and then incorporated into microparticles by solvent evaporation method using polymer like HPMC and ethyl cellulose. The formulated colloidal microparticles were also evaluated for different parameters such as Percentage yield, Particle Size, Entrapment efficiency, FTIR study and SEM. The optimised colloidal microparticles were incorporated into gel and evaluated for macroscopic examination, viscosity, drug content, spreadability, antioxidant activity, in vitro permeation and release kinetics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Lycopene was obtained as a gift sample from Ion Healthcare (P) Ltd, Baddi. Other materials mainly Methanol, Chloroform, hexane, Petroleum ether, Tween 40 and Tween 60 used were of analytical grades and procured commercially.

Formulation of drug loaded colloids

Drug loaded colloids were formulated by Cloud Point Technique. The cloud point temperature (CPT) were obtained by placing the test tubes each containing a surfactant solution of 1% (1 ml in 100 ml), 5%, 10%, 15% and 25% (v/v) of Tween 40, Tween 60 and mixture of Tween 40 and Tween 60 into a temperature-controlled bath. The sample solutions were heated to a temperature where cloudy appearance was visualized. The temperature at the first sign of the turbidity was taken as the CPT [13].

Micellar solubilization

Micellar solubilization of lycopene was performed by employing cloud point technique, wherein drug was solubilized in surfactant solution at CPT. Aqueous surfactant solutions of 1%, 5%, 15% and 25% (v/v) of Tween 60, Tween 40 and mixture of Tween 40 and Tween 60 were used as solubilizing media. The surfactant solutions (5 ml) were taken in centrifuge tubes, heated in a water bath up to CPT and excess amount of lycopene was added under stirring, solutions were cooled to room temperature (RT) and the solubility of lycopene was estimated. These lots were kept under shaking at RT using orbital shaker for 24h to attain equilibrium. The aliquots of these samples were centrifuged, filtered through a 0.45 mm nylon membrane filter, suitably diluted with n-hexane and were subjected to UV spectrophotometric analysis of lycopene at 517 nm.
Formulation of colloidal microparticles

Microparticles formulations using combination of hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose (HPMC) and ethyl cellulose as a carrier polymer were prepared using solvent evaporation technique. Desired quantity of HPMC and ethyl cellulose polymer was dissolved in 8.5 ml of acetone and dispersed by using a magnetic stirrer. The micellar solution of lycopene was added to the polymer solution and mixed for 15 min, followed by magnesium stearate (100 mg) and then mixed thoroughly. The resulting dispersion was added in a thin stream to a mixture of 90 ml liquid paraffin contained in a 250 ml beaker, while stirring at 700 rpm using a mechanical stirrer. Stirring was continued for 3 h until the acetone evaporated completely. The microparticles formed were filtered using filter paper. The residue was washed 4-5 times with 50 ml portions of n-hexane. The product was then dried at room temperature for 24 h [14-17]. The composition is given in table 1.

Table 1: Composition of various formulations of colloidal microparticles

| Ingredients (mg/ml) | Formulations |
|---------------------|--------------|
|                     | M1 | M2 | M3 | M4 | M5 | M6 | M7 | M8 | M9 |
| Ethyl cellulose     | 10 | 15 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 20 |
| HPMC                | 5  | 10 | 20 | 5  | 10 | 20 | 5  | 10 | 20 |
| Micellar solution (ml) | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |

Drug-loading efficiency and encapsulation efficiency

The amount of lycopene encapsulated in the microparticles was measured by an ultraviolet detector at the λmax value of 507 nm. The colloidal microparticles were suitably diluted with n-hexane and filtered before determination. Encapsulation efficiency (EE %) and drug loading (DL %) was calculated with the following equations [18, 19]:

\[ EE\% = \frac{Actual\ amount\ of\ drug\ encapsulated\ in\ microparticles}{initial\ amount\ of\ drug\ used\ in\ microparticles} \times 100 \]

\[ DL\% = \frac{Weight\ of\ drug\ in\ microparticles}{Weight\ of\ particles} \times 100 \]

Actual amount of drug encapsulated in microparticles is calculated by: A= εcl

Particle size analysis

A thin film of microparticles was spread on a slide and covered with cover slip. The slide was observed under optical microscope [17].

Yield of colloidal microparticles

The Yield of colloidal microparticles was calculated by given formulæ [20, 21].

\[ \%\ yield = \frac{Actual\ weight\ of\ product}{Total\ weight\ of\ excipients\ and\ drugs} \times 100 \]

Table 2: Composition of various formulations of colloidal microparticles loaded gel

| S. No. | Ingredients                | Quantities (% w/w) |
|--------|----------------------------|--------------------|
|        |                            | G1     | G2     | G3     | G4     | G5     | G6     |
| 1      | Colloidal Microparticles   | 1.5    | 1.5    | 1.5    | 1.5    | 1.5    | 1.5    |
| 2      | Carbopol 934              | 0.5    | -      | 1.5    | -      | -      | -      |
| 3      | HPMC                      | -      | -      | -      | 3      | 3.5    | 4      |
| 4      | Glycerin                  | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      |
| 5      | Triethanolamine           | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      |
| 6      | Methyl paraben            | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   |
| 7      | Propyl paraben            | 0.05   | 0.05   | 0.05   | 0.05   | 0.05   | 0.05   |
| 8      | Sodium hydroxide          | q.s.   | q.s.   | q.s.   | q.s.   | q.s.   | q.s.   |
| 9      | Distilled water           | q.s.   | q.s.   | q.s.   | q.s.   | q.s.   | q.s.   |

Macroscopic examination

The physical appearance of the prepared formulation were checked and compared visually for their colour, homogeneity, consistency [29].

Determination of pH

The pH values of gel formulations were determined by using digital pH meter [30, 31].

Determination of viscosity

Brookfield digital viscometer was used to measure the viscosity (in cp) of the prepared gel formulation [32].

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy

FTIR spectrum of pure lycopene and lycopene and tween 60 were observed on FTIR spectrophotometer. FTIR spectroscopy was carried out for investigating any kind of chemical interactions among pure lycopene and other excipients in formulation [22, 23].

Scanning electron microscope (SEM)

The morphology of prepared Colloidal microparticles was observed using a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) [24].

Formulation of colloidal microparticles incorporated gel

The weighed amount of Carbopol 934 LR powder was slowly dispersed in 50% distilled water with vigorous stirring, so as to avoid the clump formation and air entrapment. After complete dispersion, the gel solution was kept in dark for 24 h for complete swelling of Carbopol. Accurately weighed amount of Lycopene colloidal microparticles were then incorporated in Carbopol gel with continuous stirring. In another beaker other ingredients were dissolved in remaining 25% distilled water with moderate heating and stirring. The above solution was added slowly into the Carbopol gel containing lycopene with continuous stirring using magnetic stirrer. Care has been taken during preparation to avoid entrapment of air bubbles in a gel. Finally formed gel was then neutralized by sufficient quantity of sodium hydroxide solution (1% w/v). Final volume of formulation was adjusted by remaining amount of distilled water [25-28].
Spreading coefficient was measured on the basis of ‘Slip’ and ‘Drag’ characteristics of gels.

Determination of antioxidant activity of formulated gel

1 gm of sample was taken and 0.67% of aqueous thiobarbituric acid (2 ml) and benzene (2.5 ml) were added. This mixture was shaken continuously for 1h using mechanical shaker. After 1h supernatant was taken and placed in boiling water bath for 1h. After cooling, absorbance of supernatant was measured at 540 nm using UV visible spectrophotometer. The TBA value was expressed as mg. malondialdehyde/kg sample using the following equation:

\[ \text{TBA} = 7.8 \times \text{O.D.} \]

Where, O. D. is the absorbance at 540 nm.

In vitro permeation test

The in vitro permeation tests were performed using Franz diffusion cell. The diffusional area of the cell is 1.75 cm² and the receptor compartment had a capacity of approximately 10.5 ml. The membrane was cut to a diameter of 25 mm and saturated for 30 min in receptor medium (phosphate buffer pH 7.4) before starting the experiment. The cell was filled with degassed receptor medium and the membrane was placed in the top of the receptor compartment and checked for air bubbles. An aliquot of the sample was measured with the aid of a syringe and then placed in the cavity of a dosage wafer (donor compartment), on top of the membrane. The amount applied was around 50 mg of gel. With a spatula, the gel was spread uniformly filling the donor compartment. A glass disk was carefully placed on the sample to occlude it, and an aligner cap was then used to centralize the assembly, which was held together by a clamp. The receptor medium was maintained at 37±2 °C under constant stirring. To characterize the drug release, 1 ml samples were collected after 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 h. After sampling, the volume collected is replaced with fresh receptor medium. The amount of lycopene is assayed by UV analysis [34].

Micellar solubilization

The surfactant solutions (5 ml) were taken in centrifuge tubes, heated in a water bath up to CPT and excess amount of lycopene was added under stirring, solutions were cooled to room temperature (RT) and the solubility of lycopene was estimated by UV Spectroscopy and results are tabulated in table 3.

| S. No. | Concentration (% v/v) | Solubility of lycopene (mg/ml) | Tween 40 | Tween 60 | Mixture of Tween 40 and 60 |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------------|
| 1     | 1                     | 3.404                         | 5.7      | 5.217    |                             |
| 2     | 5                     | 14.465                        | 19.8     | 17.2     |                             |
| 3     | 10                    | 38.76                         | 53.9     | 47.4     |                             |
| 4     | 15                    | 58.656                        | 82.3     | 72.84    |                             |

Evaluation of colloidal microparticles

The yield, particle size, drug loading and entrapment efficiency increases with increase in polymer concentration. From the results, it was observed that the drug is uniformly distributed within the polymer matrix. These findings were found to be consistent with other studies [25]. The particle size increases with the increase in the polymer concentration in the microparticles. This was due to increase in relative viscosity, which led to increase in particle size. A higher concentration of polymer produces more viscous dispersion, which formed larger droplets and consequently larger microparticles [26, 27]. These results are depicted in table 4.

| Formulation code | % yield | Particle size (µm) | Drug loading (%) | Entrapment efficiency (%) |
|------------------|---------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| M1               | 87.94   | 222.1              | 45.92±0.28       | 70.99±0.54                |
| M2               | 90.51   | 256.5              | 41.97±1.11       | 77.56±1.22                |
| M3               | 96.4    | 378.6              | 35.64±1.28       | 84.75±1.08                |
| M4               | 83.45   | 235.6              | 53.78±0.83       | 78.9±1.12                 |
| M5               | 91.78   | 249.4              | 49.8±0.96        | 93.4±0.26                 |
| M6               | 95.7    | 362.5              | 38.04±0.88       | 89.8±0.41                 |
| M7               | 85.42   | 228.7              | 50.32±1.22       | 75.38±1.52                |
| M8               | 90.38   | 263.4              | 43.54±0.47       | 80.34±0.77                |
| M9               | 97.4    | 381.7              | 35.41±1.54       | 85.07±0.94                |

mean±SD, n=3
Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy

The FTIR spectra of lycopene exhibited principle peaks at wave numbers 632.43, 701.10, 761.14, 853.39, 989.48, 1075.80, 1146.94, 1359.22, 1633.23, 2923.02 and 3311.05 cm\(^{-1}\) indicating the conformation of lycopene. In the FTIR spectra of physical mixture of drug with excipients, the principle peaks of drug were present at the above numbers. So, from the spectrum, it can be concluded that there was no interaction between drug and excipients used in the formulation. The FTIR spectrum of pure lycopene and lycopene and tween 60 are shown in fig. 1 and fig. 2.

After considering the particle size and entrapment efficiency as optimization parameters the formulation M5 was considered as the best formulation having maximum entrapment efficiency and optimum particle size.

Surface morphology

Surface morphology of colloidal microparticles of formulation M5 was investigated with the Scanning electron microscope. SEM photomicrograph is shown in fig. 3. The particle surface was found to be slightly wrinkled, irregularly shaped and discrete. The smoothness of the surface may increase with the increasing concentration of polymer. Very less particulate matter of the drug were seen on the surface of the microparticles indicating uniform distribution of the drug in the polymer network. The roughness of the microparticles can be due to washing out of the surfactant solution and also due to solvent evaporation [27, 28].

Evaluation of gel

The gel containing colloidal microparticles formulations were clear and transparent with a smooth and homogeneous appearance with carbopol and white viscous with HPMC. The pH values of all prepared formulation of gel containing colloidal microparticles ranged from 5.8 to 6.8. The pH of the formulations was considered within acceptable pH range (5.5-6.5) and pH measurement was essential to ensure non-irritating nature of the formulation [39, 40]. The viscosity of gel increased with increase in polymer concentration. The spreadability decreased with increased polymer concentration. Lesser the time taken for separation of two slides, better the spreadability [41]. Drug content was calculated using the equation, which was obtained by linear regression analysis of calibration curve. The drug content was observed in the range of 91.62 to 95.48%. The macroscopic examination, pH, viscosity, spreadability and drug content are represented in table 5.

| Parameters          | Formulation code | G1   | G2   | G3   | G4   | G5   | G6   |
|---------------------|------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Appearance          | Clear            | Clear| Clear| White viscous | White viscous | White viscous |
| pH                  | 5.8              | 6.1  | 6.8  | 5.5  | 6.0  | 6.4  |
| Viscosity (cps)     | 505              | 717  | 923  | 381  | 535  | 721  |
| Drug Content (%)    | 91.6±0.02        | 95.4±0.05 | 92.3±0.03 | 89.8±0.07 | 93.4±0.8 | 91.8±0.9 |
| Spreadability       | 13.6             | 10   | 8.82 | 15.7 | 12.8 | 10.2 |
| Antioxidant value   | 1.7              | 2.69 | 1.73 | 1.4  | 1.7  | 1.6  |

(mean±SD, n=3)
In vitro permeation test

The in vitro permeation tests were performed using Franz diffusion cell. The cumulative percentage drug release from gel loaded with colloidal microparticles showed that the cumulative drug release from gel was in descending order i.e., G1>G2>G4>G5>G3>G6. The amount of drug release or permeation through the cellophane membrane was showed to be based on viscosity or concentration of gelling agent. The drug release was found to decrease with increase in Carbopol concentration. In vitro release data of lycopene from gel loaded with colloidal microparticles is tabulated in table 6.

| Time (h) | % cumulative drug release | G1      | G2      | G3      | G4      | G5      | G6      |
|---------|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1       |                           | 7.4±0.67| 5.4±0.90| 4.2±1.4 | 6.6±0.08| 5±0.08  | 3.8±1.7 |
| 2       |                           | 12.4±0.35| 11.8±0.46| 9.7±0.6 | 11.1±0.5| 9.8±1.2 | 8.9±1.1 |
| 3       |                           | 16.3±1.45| 16.0±0.33| 14.2±0.7| 14.9±0.4| 14.6±0.5| 12.1±0.4|
| 4       |                           | 23.9±0.89| 20.2±0.74| 17.4±0.2| 22.1±0.7| 18.3±0.8| 15.2±0.07|
| 5       |                           | 34.1±0.57| 27.3±1.25| 24.7±0.5| 30.2±0.09| 25.2±0.96| 21.9±0.2|
| 6       |                           | 43.6±0.45| 39.7±0.82| 31.0±1.2| 36.5±0.1| 32.05±0.4| 27.2±0.08|
| 7       |                           | 66.6±1.21| 60.9±0.75| 42.8±1.8| 57.8±0.67| 43.9±0.09| 37.5±0.9 |

mean±SD, n=3

Table 7: In vitro drug release profile

| Model name              | G1  | G2  | G3  | G4  | G5  | G6  |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Zero order model        | 0.9588 | 0.948 | 0.981 | 0.958 | 0.982 | 0.981 |
| First order model       | 0.908 | 0.902 | 0.964 | 0.915 | 0.96 | 0.968 |
| Higuchi model           | 0.919 | 0.908 | 0.954 | 0.921 | 0.954 | 0.955 |
| Korsmeyer-Peppas model  | 0.973 | 0.979 | 0.993 | 0.977 | 0.993 | 0.993 |

Determination of release kinetics

The mathematical models were used to evaluate the kinetics and mechanism of drug release. The model that gave high correlation coefficient (r) value was considered as the best fit of the release data [42]. The in vitro drug release profiles of various models are tabulated in table 7.

A graphical representation of the log cumulative percentage drug release vs. log time originated as a straight line shown in fig. 4. The release mechanism deviates from the Fickian diffusion following an anomalous diffusion or non-fickian diffusion, which referred to the combination of both diffusion and erosion controlled rate release.

CONCLUSION

In a nutshell to conclude, colloidal microparticles system is a vital tool in improving the solubility of lipophilic drug. The current studies resulted in the successful development of sustained release gel containing colloidal microparticles of lycopene. The lycopene’s lipophilic nature was masked by formulating micelles using Tween 40 and Tween 60. These colloids were then converted into solid product by forming microparticulates. These colloidal microparticulates were incorporated into topical formulation which showed sustained release and grossly improve the patient compliance. The formulation could be a successful approach to prevent human skin against UVR-induced effects.
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