Arf6 is necessary for senseless expression in response to wingless signalling during Drosophila wing development
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ABSTRACT

Wnt signalling is a core pathway involved in a wide range of developmental processes throughout the metazoa. In vitro studies have suggested that the small GTP binding protein Arf6 regulates upstream steps of Wnt transduction, by promoting the phosphorylation of the Wnt co-receptor, LRP6, and the release of β-catenin from the adherens junctions. To assess the relevance of these previous findings in vivo, we analysed the consequence of the absence of Arf6 activity on Drosophila wing patterning, a developmental model of Wnt/Wingless signalling. We observed a dominant loss of wing margin bristles and Senseless expression in Arf6 mutant flies, phenotypes characteristic of a defect in high level Wingless signalling. In contrast to previous findings, we show that Arf6 is required downstream of Armadillo/β-catenin stabilisation in Wingless signal transduction. Our data suggest that Arf6 modulates the activity of a downstream nuclear regulator of Pangolin activity in order to control the induction of high level Wingless signalling. Our findings represent a novel regulatory role for Arf6 in Wingless signalling.
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INTRODUCTION

The ADP-ribosylation factor (Arf) family of small GTP-binding proteins is remarkably well conserved throughout the eukaryotes (Donaldson and Jackson, 2011). Arf6 is the most divergent of the Arfs, and localises to the plasma membrane and endosomes where it regulates various steps of endosomal trafficking and recycling (D’Souza-Schorey and Chavrier, 2006; Donaldson and Jackson, 2011). Previous in vitro studies have implicated Arf6 in the upstream stages of Wnt signalling (Grossmann et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Pellon-Cardenas et al., 2013). However, a potential physiological, in vivo, role of Arf6 in Wnt signalling is yet to be addressed (Kim et al., 2013).

Despite the evolutionary distance between humans and Drosophila, Arf6 shares 97% sequence identity conservation between the two species (Fig. S1A). Combined with the availability of powerful genetic tools, this makes Drosophila an ideal model in which to investigate the requirement for Arf6 in Wnt signalling in an in vivo context.

The Drosophila Wnt1 homologue, wingless (wg), is initially expressed throughout the wing primordium, and becomes progressively refined to a narrow strip of cells of the presumptive wing margin late in larval development (Ng et al., 1996; Williams et al., 1993). The Drosophila wing has classically served as a developmental model of Wg signalling and has played a fundamental role in our understanding of Wnt/Wg signalling (Bejoovec, 2018; Jenny and Basler, 2014; Langton et al., 2016; Wiese et al., 2018). Canonical Wg signalling is contingent upon the stability of cytoplasmic Armadillo (Arm, the Drosophila β-catenin homologue) in signal receiving cells. In the absence of the Wg ligand, Arm is constitutively phosphorylated by the β-catenin destruction complex, consisting of the scaffold Axin, APC, and the kinases GSK3β and CK1 (Stamos and Weis, 2013), promoting Arm proteosomal degradation. The binding of Wg to the Frizzled 2 (Fz2) receptor and Arrow (Arr) co-receptor at the cell surface activates Dishevelled (Dsh), leading to the deactivation of the destruction complex and the stabilisation of cytoplasmic Arm (Swarup and Verheyen, 2012). Arm then translocates to the nucleus where it binds to Pangolin (Pan, a LEF/TCF homologue), converting it from a transcriptional repressor to an activator, and triggering the expression of Wg target genes (Mosimann et al., 2009; Schweizer et al., 2003).

High level Wg signalling is essential for the establishment and patterning of the wing margin (Couso et al., 1994; Iafar-Nejad et al., 2006; Phillips and Whittle, 1993). Cells flanking the wing margin respond to the local high levels of Wg protein by expressing the zinc finger transcription factor senseless (sens), which acts as the proximal factor for the anterior stout mechanosensory, and posterior non-innervated margin bristles (Iafar-Nejad et al., 2003, 2006; Nolo et al., 2000). Low level Wg signalling further into the wing blade induces the expression of more sensitive target genes such as distal-less (dll), which is more broadly expressed in the wing blade (Neumann and Cohen, 1997; Zecca et al., 1996).

In this study we assessed the in vivo developmental role of Arf6 in Wg signalling using a Drosophila model. Arf6 mutants show a dominant loss of wing margin bristles and a concomitant loss of Wg-dependent sens expression in the wing imaginal disc, phenotypes indicative of a defect in high level Wg signalling. Arf6 has previously been suggested to act upstream in the transduction of Wnt signalling by promoting the phosphorylation of the Wnt co-receptor, LRP6, and the release of β-catenin from the adherens junction into the cytoplasm (Grossmann et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Pellon-Cardenas et al., 2013). In contrast to these findings, our data indicate that in Drosophila Arf6 is necessary downstream of Arm stabilisation for the activation of high level Wg signalling. Moreover, we show that Arf6 acts genetically upstream, or at the level of Pan activity. These findings represent a novel function for Arf6 necessary for high level...
Wg target gene expression during wing margin development, and is the first demonstration of an in vivo role for Arf6 in, or in parallel to, Wg/Wnt signalling.

RESULTS
Arf6 is necessary for wing margin patterning
We observed a dominant reduction in the number of bristles throughout the wing margins of adult flies heterozygous for the amorphic Arf6 alleles, Arf61 and Arf6KO (Dyer et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2009) (Fig. 1A,A′) (see Fig. S1C for an overview of wing margin bristle patterning). This phenotype was strongly enhanced in homozygous Arf6 mutants (Fig. 1A,A″). The trans-allelic combination of Arf61 and Arf6KO resulted in a comparable phenotype to the respective homozygotes (Fig. 1A,A″), showing that the loss of the DNA region common to both deficiencies is responsible for the phenotype (Fig. 1A; Fig. S1B).

The patterning of the wing margin is coordinated by high level Wg signalling at the dorso-ventral (D/V) boundary late in larval development (Couso et al., 1994; Jafar-Nejad et al., 2006). We therefore tested whether the Arf6 mutant phenotype is sensitive to the level of Wg. Although the null wg allele, wgCX4, does not induce a dominant wing margin phenotype (Fig. 1A′,A″), when in combination with either heterozygous Arf61 or Arf6KO, it strongly enhanced the Arf6 wing margin phenotype (Fig. 1A′,A″). We did not observe notching of the wing margin, or morphological defects in the bristles in Arf6 mutants either alone or in combination with wgCX4 (Fig. 1A,A′,A″).

Wg-dependent senseless expression is suppressed in an Arf6 mutant
The zinc finger transcription factor Sens acts as the proneural factor for many of the margin bristles and is expressed in two narrow stripes flanking the D/V boundary in response to high level Wg signalling (Jafar-Nejad et al., 2006; Nolo et al., 2000) (Fig. 2A). Sens staining was strongly reduced throughout the presumptive wing margin in an Arf6 mutant wing disc, but not in the sensory organ precursor in which the expression of Sens is independent of Wg (Fig. 2A′). The bristles induced by ectopically expressing sens were not dominantly suppressed in the Arf6 mutant, indicating that the loss of bristles was not due to a loss of Sens proneural activity (Fig. S2A,A′).

To test whether the reduction in Sens is due to a defect in wg expression, we analysed the pattern of Wg in Arf61 mutant wing discs (Fig. 2A). The Wg stripe at the D/V boundary was not disrupted by the loss of Arf6. Interestingly, the low-threshold Wg target Distal-less (Dll) was not reduced in Arf6 mutant conditions (Fig. S3A,A′,B) indicating that Arf6 is not necessary for low level Wg signalling.

In order to assess whether Arf6 is required cell autonomously in Wg signal transduction, we generated random mitotic Arf61 clones that we then stained for Sens and Wg. Consistent with the dominant
loss of bristles in Arf6 mutants, we observed a strong reduction in Sens staining in homozygous Arf6' clones, an intermediate level in heterozygous tissue and the wild-type levels in the wild-type tissue (Fig. 2B,B'). Importantly, clones that overlapped with the sens expression domain, without entering the wg expressing margin cells, still induced a strong reduction in Sens staining (closed orange arrowheads, Fig. 2B'), demonstrating that removing Arf6 activity cell autonomously suppresses Sens in Wg receiving cells. Importantly, we did not observe ectopic Wg expression in Arf6 clones near the D/V boundary (Fig. 2B,B'), nor wing notching in the adult Arf6 mutant wing (Fig. 1), indicating that the integrity of the D/V boundary was not affected by the loss of Arf6 (Rulifson and Blair, 1995; Rulifson et al., 1996). Altogether, these data show that while Arf6 is not required for the integrity of the D/V boundary, its activity is required cell autonomously for the transduction of high level Wg signalling controlling the expression of sens necessary for wing margin bristle development.

Arf6 is necessary downstream of armadillo stabilisation

In order to determine the level at which Arf6 is required in Wg signal transduction, we began by activating the Wg signalling pathway in an Arf6 mutant background. We suppressed the activity of the destruction complex by expressing a dominant-negative form of the Drosophila GSK3β homologue, shaggy (sgg482) (Bourouis, 2002) or knocking-down axin. Both treatments induce high level Wg signalling and the formation of ectopic bristles in the wing blade (Fig. 3A,B). The number of ectopic bristles was dominantly suppressed in heterozygous Arf6 mutant backgrounds (Fig. 3A,A',B,B'). These data indicate that the loss of bristles and Sens expression in the Arf6 mutants is not a result of the hyperactivation of the Arm destruction complex, and suggest that Arf6 acts downstream of Arm stabilisation.

We next confirmed that Arf6 acts downstream of the stabilisation of Arm by expressing two constitutively active forms of Arm: ArmS10 and ArmNDel (Pai et al., 1997). Importantly, these N-terminally truncated forms of Arm accumulate in the cytoplasm, triggering constitutive, high level Wg signalling in a ligand independent manner (Pai et al., 1997; Somorjai and Martinez-Arias, 2008). We expressed ArmS10 in a broad domain overlapping the D/V boundary with the C96-Gal4 driver, while ArmNDel expression is directly driven by the vestigial quadrant and margin enhancers (subsequently referred to as vgArmNDel). Both Arm variants induced ectopic bristles in the wing blade (Fig. 3C,C',D,D'). Importantly, the bristles induced by vgArmNDel were not dependent on endogenous Wg signalling (Fig. S4A,A',B,B',B' and vgArmNDel is active in canonical Wg signalling (Fig. S4C). The ectopic bristles induced by both constructs were dominantly suppressed in the Arf6 mutant background (Fig. 3C,C',D,D'). Moreover, vgArmNDel or ArmNDel did not rescue the wing margin bristles lost in the wing margin of Arf6KO flies, and instead caused an enhancement of the Arf6 mutant phenotype (Fig. 3E,E'; Fig. S5A,A'). Over-expressing wild-type dsh also induced ectopic bristles that were suppressed in a heterozygous Arf6KO background (closed orange arrowhead, Fig. S5B,B'). dsh over-expression also enhanced the heterozygous Arf6KO phenotype (compare Fig. S5B,C,C'). This is unlikely to be due to a dominant negative effect of ArmS10 or Dsh overexpression as expressing either of these constructs in a wild-type background did not induce wing margin defects. Moreover, we did not observe a change in the levels of endogenous Arm and Cadherin at the adherens junctions in Arf6'.
mutant clones (Fig. S6A, A’), suggesting that Arf6 does not regulate Wg signalling through the sequestration of Arm to the adherens junction in *Drosophila* (Grossmann et al., 2013; Pellon-Cardenas et al., 2013). Altogether, these data demonstrate that Arf6 is required genetically downstream of Arm stabilisation in order to activate high level Wg signalling.

To test whether stabilised Arm had a generally reduced signalling activity in the *Arf6* mutants, we stained for both Sens and Dll in wing imaginal discs expressing *vgArmNDel* in either a wild-type (Fig. 3F) or heterozygous *Arf6KO* background (Fig. 3F’). Clusters of ectopic Sens positive nuclei were apparent far from the D/V boundary in control wing discs expressing *vgArmNDel* (closed orange arrowheads, Fig. 3F) accompanied by an upregulation of Dll (open orange arrowheads, Fig. 3F). Removing a single copy of *Arf6* led to an almost complete suppression of the ectopic Sens expression, including at the D/V boundary but both the ectopic and endogenous Dll remained (closed blue arrowheads, Fig. 3F’).

These data indicate that although *vgArmNDel* is still able to activate low level signalling in the *Arf6* mutant background, its ability to activate Sens expression is strongly attenuated. Importantly, although the *Arf6* margin phenotype was mildly enhanced in a heterozygous *Arf6KO* mutant background, the signalling

---

**Fig. 3. Epistatic analysis shows that Arf6 acts downstream of Arm stabilisation.** (A) Dominant negative Sgg (*sggA81T*) overexpressed with *nub-Gal4* induces ectopic bristles (closed orange arrowheads), which are dominantly suppressed in the *Arf6* mutant background (quantification in A’). (B) Knock-down of *axin* induces ectopic bristles (B’), which are dominantly suppressed in the *Arf6* mutant background. (C) *ArmS10* (expressed with C96-Gal4) and (D) *vgArmNDel* (expressed under vestigial margin and quadrant enhancers) introduce ectopic bristles that are dominantly suppressed in the *Arf6* mutant background (quantified in C’ and D’). (E) *ArmS10* expression with C96-Gal4 at 25°C enhances *Arf6KO* margin phenotype, but introduces ectopic margin bristles in a wild-type background (solid orange arrowheads). (E’) Quantification of stout mechanosensory bristles. Bristle counts were analysed using a Kruskal–Wallis test. Significance values for pairwise comparisons between genotypes were calculated using a post-hoc Dunn test and reported using the following abbreviations: N.S., $P>0.05$; *, $P\leq0.05$; **, $P\leq0.001$; ***, $P\leq0.001$. (F) *vgArmNDel* expression induces ectopic Sens (closed orange arrowheads) and Dll (open orange arrowheads) in a wild-type background. (F’) Ectopic Sens, but not Dll (closed blue arrowheads) is suppressed in a heterozygous *Arf6KO* background. In the merged images, Sens is in magenta, Dll in green.
activity of ArmN^Del was not suppressed in a heterozygous arf1^{182-1} background (Fig. S7A, A', B, B'). This suggests that although Arf1 contributes to wing patterning, it likely does so in a distinct manner to Arf6 (Hemalatha et al., 2016).

Together, these results emphasise the specific requirement for Arf6 for the cell autonomous establishment of sens expression in response to high level Wg signalling. The loss of margin bristles in the Arf6 mutants is therefore likely to be due to a loss of the Sens-positive proneural clusters of the wing margin due to a suppression of high level Wg signalling.

**Arf6 is necessary at the level or upstream of Pangolin**

The dominant suppression of N-terminally truncated Arm activity in Arf6 mutants suggests that Arf6 could be involved in positively regulating canonical nuclear Wg signalling. Pavarotti (Pav), a MKLP1 homologue (Dyer et al., 2007; Makyio et al., 2012) has previously been shown to act in the nucleus as a negative regulator of Wg signalling during embryonic development (Jones et al., 2010). MKLP1 also recruits, and physically interact with Arf6 at the flemming body during cytokinesis (Makyio et al., 2012). We therefore hypothesised that Pav could provide the functional link between Arf6 and Wg signalling.

We began by testing whether the Arf6 phenotype is sensitive to changes in the level of Pav. Pav is essential during cytokinesis (Adams et al., 1998), we therefore opted to use hypomorphic pav alleles (pav^{B200} and pav^{963}) to avoid strong pleiotropic effects. Heterozygous pav^{B200} and pav^{963} flies in a heterozygous Arf6 background provided a partial rescue of the number of wing margin bristles (Fig. 4A, A') in the wing margin. These conditions did not induce cytokinesis defects or wing notching (Fig. 4A; Fig. S8), consistent with Arf6 being dispensable for somatic cytokinesis in Drosophila (Dyer et al., 2007). The genetic interaction between Arf6 and pav indicate that Arf6 could be regulating nuclear Wg signalling by modulating the non-canonical activity of Pav as a negative regulator of Pan activity (Jones et al., 2010).

Once in the nucleus, Arm forms a complex with Pan, a TCF/LEF homologue forming the core of the enhanceosome (Gammons and Bienz, 2018). To determine whether Arf6 acts upstream of the enhanceosome, we generated a constitutively active form of Pan (Pan-VP16::HA, see Materials and Methods) (Fig. S9A, A'). Expressing pan-VP16::HA in a wild-type background only induced low levels of ectopic Sens expression (Fig. S9B; closed orange arrowheads, Fig. S9B'), and was not sufficient to activate sens expression far from the D/V boundary (open orange arrowheads, Fig. S9B'), indicating that its activity still requires endogenous permissive signals. Expressing Arm^{S10} under the same conditions induced extensive ectopic Sens throughout the C96 expression domain (Fig. S9C, C'). Despite its greater ability to induce Sens expression, expressing Arm^{S10} with C96-Gal4 in a heterozygous Arf6^{KO} background did not rescue Sens expression (Fig. S9D, D'), whilst expressing pan-VP16::HA in the same conditions resulted in a substantial rescue of Sens throughout the D/V boundary (Fig. 4C, C'). Taken together, these results indicate that Arf6 activity is required genetically downstream of the stabilisation of Arm, but...
upstream or at the level of Pan activity for the induction of sens expression.

**DISCUSSION**

We have demonstrated a novel requirement for the small GTP binding protein Arf6 during *Drosophila* wing development. The *Arf6* mutant phenotype is characterised by a dominant reduction in the number of bristles in the adult wing margin, accompanied by reduced sens expression in the wing margin PNCs in the wing imaginal discs. The patterning of the wing margin requires the expression and activity of Sens in the cells flanking D/V boundary in response to high level Wg signalling activity (Jafar-Nejad et al., 2006; Nolo et al., 2000). sens begins to be expressed in this compartment late in larval development and reducing Wg signalling during this period is associated with similar phenotypes to those we observed in the *Arf6* mutant background (Couso et al., 1994). We therefore focused on understanding the *Arf6* mutant phenotype in the context of Wg signalling. Based on epistatic interactions, we established that Arf6 acts genetically downstream of the stabilisation of Arm, but upstream or at the level of nuclear Pan activity for the expression of sens in response to Wg signalling. As Arf6 acts at the plasma membrane and endosomal membranes, it is unlikely to directly regulate nuclear Wg signalling (Donaldson and Jackson, 2011). We therefore suggest that Arf6 could regulate Wg signalling through the non-canonical activity of the MKLP1 orthologue, Pav, previously shown to directly interact with Arf6, and to act as a nuclear repressor of Wg signalling during *Drosophila* embryogenesis (Jones et al., 2010). This could be achieved through the sequestration of Pav to endosomal membranes by Arf6, preventing its access to the nucleus.

Our findings complement the results of previous *in vitro* studies in which Arf6 was shown to act upstream in Wnt signalling at the level of signalosome activity, or through reallocation of junctional β-catenin to the cytoplasm (Grossmann et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Pellon-Cardenas et al., 2013). These findings are not mutually exclusive, as it is not yet clear whether the downstream role of Arf6 is conserved in Wnt signalling, as Wnt conditioned medium was used as a source of Wnts, meaning that a role for Arf6 in upstream signalling steps would likely mask a potential downstream role. A downstream role of Arf6 in Wnt signalling would be of particular relevance to pathologies such as colorectal and breast cancers induced by hyperactivation of Wnt signalling (Zhan et al., 2017). This is most commonly a result of mutations in components of the β-catenin destruction complex, or more occasionally β-catenin itself, leading to β-catenin stabilisation (Clevers and Nusse, 2012). Wnt signalling in these contexts is ligand-independent, making downstream regulators of Wnt transduction potentially valuable therapeutic targets. Small molecule inhibitors of Arf6 have already been identified, and Arf6 inhibition in adults has not been associated with secondary effects (Grossmann et al., 2019; Macia et al., 2021).

The *Drosophila* Arf6 phenotype is particularly striking due to it being dominant, while specifically impacting a high threshold Wg signalling target, sens, without affecting the low threshold target dll. These observations can be interpreted as Arf6 specifically acting in the transduction of high threshold Wg signalling, as sens has previously been shown to be much more sensitive to perturbations in Wg signalling than other Wg targets such as dll or vestigial (vg) (Baena-Lopez et al., 2009; Song et al., 2009). However, we cannot exclude the possibility that Arf6 is required for a process acting in parallel to Wg signalling, specifically necessary for the induction of sens expression in response to high level Wg signalling. Although sens expression is frequently used as a readout of Wg signalling, little is known about the regulatory logic and temporal dynamics underlying its regulation by Wg signalling. Furthermore, the wing margin PNCs represent one of the few known contexts in which sens expression is regulated by Wg signalling rather than by the bHLH proneural proteins, Achaete (Ac) and Scute (Sc) (Jafar-Nejad et al., 2006; Nolo et al., 2000; Vincent, 2014). In contrast to *Arf6* mutants, flies lacking both ac and sc lose the majority of sensory organs throughout the body, while the stout mechanosensory organs, and non-innervated bristles of the wing margin remain (Garcia-Bellido and De Celis, 2009; Jack et al., 1991; Jafar-Nejad et al., 2006). This, combined with lack of a more general defect in bristle development in the *Arf6* mutant indicates that the *Arf6* mutant affects the Wg-dependent regulation of sens. This is particularly pertinent in the posterior compartment of the wing disc, in which the bHLH proneural factors are not expressed. Understanding the mechanism underlying the *Arf6* mutant phenotype could provide insights into the cellular response to different levels of Wg signal transduction, and into the regulation of sens expression during wing margin development.

The high level of conservation of Arf6 and the Wg signalling pathway makes the molecular mechanism underlying the *Arf6* phenotype more likely to be relevant beyond *Drosophila* wing development. Identifying the Arf6 regulators and effectors relevant to wing margin development, and in turn whether Arf6 activity is regulated by Wg signalling will not only help to understand the *Arf6* phenotype, but could also provide more general insights into the mechanisms governing Arf6 activity in patho-physiological conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fly genetics**

Flies were raised in standard conditions. Crosses were carried out at 22°C unless stated otherwise.

**Clone induction**

Clones were generated by crossing males of either FRT42B, *Arf6KO*CyO, *Tb::RFP* or FRT42B, *Arf66CyO*, *Tb::RFP* with virgins of *w*¹¹¹8, *hsFLP; FRT42B, ubi-nlsGFP*. Heat shock induction was carried out for 30 min in a water bath at 37°C, 48 h after egg lay. Larvae carrying *Arf6* or *Arf6KO* were selected based on the absence of *Tb* then dissected and stained in wandering stage L3. Mutant clones were recognised based on the absence of a GFP signal.

**Fly stocks**

The following fly stocks were used during this study: *w¹¹¹8* (Bloomington #3605) served as a wild-type control and the source of wild-type chromosomes. *Arf51F¹⁸¹⁸−−* (/*Arf6KO*; Bloomington #60585; Huang et al., 2009), *Arf6⁵¹* (Dyer et al., 2007) (a kind gift from Marcos Gonzalez Gaitan, Université de Genève) are both independently generated null alleles of *Arf6* lacking the full coding region. *Arf6KO* was initially recessive lethal, so we introgressed both *Arf6* null alleles into a *w* background for five generations and reconfirmed the presence of the deletions by PCR. *Arf6KO* and *Arf6⁵¹* were maintained as a stock balanced over *CyO, Tb::RFP* (Bloomington #36336) to allow homozygous larvae to be recognised. *arf6⁵¹F¹⁸¹⁸−−* is a null allele of *Drosophila arf1* (referred to as *arf1⁵¹[3]−−* in text) and was a kind gift from Tony Harris, University of Toronto. *Arf6KO* (Bloomington #60586) is an endogenous, C-terminally tagged form of *Arf6* generated in the *Arf6KO* background (Huang et al., 2009). High level Wg activation was induced using UAS-63sh; *myc* (Bloomington #8453), UAS-*sbrGFP* (Bloomington #5360) (Bourouis, 2002), UAS-*ArmS10* (encoding Arm lacking amino acids 37 to 84 in the N-terminus, Bloomington #4782) (Pai et al., 1997), *vgMQ-armNDel* (Bloomington #5360) (Bourouis, 2002), UAS-*ArmS10* (encoding Arm lacking amino acids 1 to 138 from the N terminus, Bloomington #8370) or UAS-*axin-RNAi* (Bloomington #31705). Wg signalling was induced downstream of Arm...
stabilisation was achieved using \textit{UAS-pan\textsuperscript{VP16}::HA} (generated in this study, see methods below).

Wg signalling suppression was achieved with \textit{UAS-dsh-RNAi} (KK330205, VDRC), \textit{UAS-arr-RNAi} (GD6707 and GD6708, VDRC) or \textit{wg\textsuperscript{CVX}} (Bloomington \#2980). Wild-type sens was over-expressed with \textit{UAS-sens} (Bloomington \#42209). The following Gal4 drivers were used to drive expression in the wing imaginal disc: \textit{nubbin-Gal4} (expressed throughout the wing pouch) (Azpiazu and Morata, 2000) \textit{C96-Gal4} (expressed in a wide domain overlapping the D/V boundary) (Bloomington \#43343). Mitotic clones were induced using y, w;hsFLP, FRT42B, ubi-GFP\textsuperscript{NS} (derived from Bloomington \#5826), and \textit{Arf6\textsuperscript{KO}}. FRT42B/CyO, Tb::RFP or \textit{Arf6\textsuperscript{KO}}, FRT42B/CyO, Tb::RFP (derived from Bloomington stocks \#1956 and \#56326).

The following independently generated EMS-induced pav alleles were used: \textit{pav\textsuperscript{B200}} (Bloomington \#4384) (Salzberg et al., 1994) and \textit{pav\textsuperscript{B36}} (Bloomington \#23926) (Collins and Cohen, 2005).

\textbf{Generating pan\textsuperscript{VP16}::HA}

\textit{pan\textsuperscript{VP16}::HA} was generated in order to allow the induction of Wg signalling downstream of Arm stabilisation. The construct is conceptually based on a construct previously shown to act independently of enhanceosome components Legless (Lgs) and Pygopus (Pygo) (Thompson, 2004). A sequence encoding full length Pan, excluding the stop codon, followed by components Legless (Lgs) and Pygopus (Pygo) (Thompson, 2004). A sequence encoding full length Pan, excluding the stop codon, followed by components Legless (Lgs) and Pygopus (Pygo) (Thompson, 2004). A sequence encoding full length Pan, excluding the stop codon, followed by components Legless (Lgs) and Pygopus (Pygo) (Thompson, 2004). A sequence encoding full length Pan, excluding the stop codon, followed by components Legless (Lgs) and Pygopus (Pygo) (Thompson, 2004).

\textbf{Antibodies}

The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-GFP (1:400, Life Technologies A6455), Guinea pig anti-Sens (1:1000, a kind gift from Hugo Bellen, Baylor College of Medicine), rat anti-Distalless (1:100, a kind gift from Marc Bourouis, Institut de Biologie Valrose), mouse Anti-Wg (1:100, DSHB 4D4), mouse anti-Arm (1:10 DSHB N2 7A1). Rat anti-DE-cadherin (1:50, DSHB DCAD2).

The following secondary antibodies were used: goat anti-rabbit Alexa488 (1:500; Invitrogen A11034), goat anti-rabbit Alexa546 (1:500; Invitrogen A10135), donkey anti-mouse Alexa488 (1:500; Invitrogen A21202), donkey anti-mouse Alexa546 (1:500; Invitrogen A10036), donkey anti-rat Alexa488 (Invitrogen A21208), goat anti-rat Alexa546 (1:500; Invitrogen A11081) and TRITC-phallidin (1:100; Sigma-Aldrich P1951-1MG).

\textbf{Wing imaginal disc preparation and imaging}

Wandering stage L3 larvae were washed then dissected in ice-cold 1xPBS. Fixation was carried out for 20 min at room temperature in 3.7% formaldehyde with constant agitation. Samples were washed and permeabilised for 30 min in PBST (0.3% Triton X-100, 1x PBS) then blocked for 1 h in blocking buffer (0.1% Triton X-100, 1% BSA, 1x PBS) at room temperature. Primary antibody incubations were carried out overnight at 4°C in 200 μl of antibody diluted in blocking buffer. Samples were washed 3x 20 min in PBST, then incubated for 1 h at room temperature with secondary antibodies. Samples were washed in PBST then mounted in VECTASHIELD mounting medium (Vector Laboratories).

Images were acquired with a Leica TCS upright 5P5 confocal microscope using a 40x objective (HCX PLAN APO; Numerical aperture of 1.3). The Leica LAS AF software package was used for image capture (v 2.6.3.8173). Images were analysed using FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012) and the data analysed and visualised in R (R Core Team, 2020). Larger points represent numerical outliers, defined as points that fall outside 1.5x the interquartile range, above the upper, and below the lower quartiles.

\textbf{PCR validation of Arf6 deficiencies}

Genomic DNA was extracted from individual flies. Flies were crushed in PCR tubes using a pipette tip containing 50 μl of squashing buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 25 mM NaCl and 200 μg/ml proteinase K). Samples were incubated at 37°C for 30 min then heat inactivated at 95°C for 2 min using a thermocycler. 1 μl of the resulting extraction was used as the PCR template.

The deficiency described for \textit{Arf6\textsuperscript{KO}} was validated using PCR (Fig. S1B') and the primer combinations shown in (Fig. S1B). \textit{Arf6\textsuperscript{KO}} has previously been characterised in Huang et al. (2009). Primer sequences used are provided in the table below. 2x GoTaq Green Master Mix (M7121, Promega) was used for the PCR reactions. The following primers were used to validate the \textit{Arf6\textsuperscript{KO}} allele:

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Primer name} & \textbf{Sequence} \\
\hline
Arf6\textsubscript{A} & GATCTGGGTTCCACTGAAA \\
Arf6\textsubscript{D} & TGTCTGCGAATTGAGGCGA \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\textbf{Adult wing dissection}

Adult flies were collected in ethanol at least 12 h following emergence to ensure their wings had fully expanded and dried. Wings were removed at the hinge in ethanol, dried on blotting paper, then mounted in a drop of Euparal (Carl Roth #7356.1) and left to cure overnight on a slide heating plate set at 60°C. Wings were imaged using a Leica DM2000 with an attached Leica DFC7000T camera. Wings were excluded from quantifications if damage to the wing margin prevented bristle quantification.

\textbf{Quantification and statistical analysis}

The numbers of both ectopic and stout wing margin bristles (Fig. S1C) were quantified manually using the cell counter plugin in FIJI (Schniedlin et al., 2012). Statistical analyses and plotting were carried out in R (version 3.6.3) (R Core Team, 2020). The counts of both stout bristles and ectopic bristles for multiple genotypes were analysed using the Kruskal–Wallis test. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons between the counts for individual genotypes were carried out using the Dunn test. The P-values resulting from multiple comparisons were corrected for Type 1 error using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure. Single comparisons were made using Mann–Whitney U tests.

Plots were generated using the GGPLLOT2 package and exported and embedded the egg package (Auguié, 2019; Wickham, 2009). Sample sizes are marked on the plots or provided in figure legends.
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