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Coronary Artery Microcalcification: Imaging and Clinical Implications
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Abstract: Strategies to prevent acute coronary and cerebrovascular events are based on accurate identification of patients at increased cardiovascular (CV) risk who may benefit from intensive preventive measures. The majority of acute CV events are precipitated by the rupture of the thin cap overlying the necrotic core of an atherosclerotic plaque. Hence, identification of vulnerable coronary lesions is essential for CV prevention. Atherosclerosis is a highly dynamic process involving cell migration, apoptosis, inflammation, osteogenesis, and intimal calcification, progressing from early lesions to advanced plaques. Coronary artery calcification (CAC) is a marker of coronary atherosclerosis, correlates with clinically significant coronary artery disease (CAD), predicts future CV events and improves the risk prediction of conventional risk factors. The relative importance of coronary calcification, whether it has a protective effect as a stabilizing force of high-risk atherosclerotic plaque has been debated until recently. The extent of calcium in coronary arteries has different clinical implications. Extensive plaque calcification is often a feature of advanced and stable atherosclerosis, which only rarely results in rupture. These macroscopic vascular calcifications can be detected by computed tomography (CT). The resulting CAC scoring, although a good marker of overall coronary plaque burden, is not useful to identify vulnerable lesions prone to rupture. Unlike macrocalcifications, spotty microcalcifications assessed by intravascular ultrasound or optical coherence tomography strongly correlate with plaque instability. However, they are below the resolution of CT due to limited spatial resolution. Microcalcifications develop in the earliest stages of coronary intimal calcification and directly contribute to plaque rupture producing local mechanical stress on the plaque surface. They result from a healing response to intense local macrophage inflammatory activity. Most of them show a progressive calcification transforming the early stage high-risk microcalcification into the stable end-stage macroscopic calcification. In recent years, new developments in noninvasive cardiovascular imaging technology have shifted the study of vulnerable plaques from morphology to the assessment of disease activity of the atherosclerotic lesions. Increased disease activity, detected by positron emission tomography (PET) and magnetic resonance (MR), has been shown to be associated with more microcalcification, larger necrotic core and greater rates of events. In this context, the paradox of increased coronary artery calcification observed in statin trials, despite reduced CV events, can be explained by the reduction of coronary inflammation induced by statin which results in more stable macrocalcification.
1. Introduction

Strategies for preventing acute coronary and cerebrovascular (CV) events are based on accurate identification of patients at increased (CV) risk who may benefit from intensive preventive measures [1]. Although the risk stratification using available CV risk scores is useful at a population level, the accuracy of predicting acute events in the individual patient is limited. Current diagnostic strategies are mostly based on the evaluation of symptomatic and asymptomatic patients to detect coronary artery luminal narrowing and myocardial ischemia [2–5]. However, the importance of the extent of coronary stenosis underlying acute coronary syndrome is debated [6–8]. In most instances, an acute coronary event is not due to occlusion at the site of severe stenosis seen on conventional angiography. Rather, the degree of luminal obstruction is a poor predictor of subsequent acute events and most vulnerable plaques are commonly associated with only mild to moderate stenosis [9–12]. In contrast, thrombosis plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of an acute coronary syndrome [13,14]. The majority of acute coronary events are caused by rupture of the thin cap overlying necrotic core of an atherosclerotic plaque or by plaque erosion, with superimposed thrombus formation [15–17]. However, thrombus formation at the site of severe stenosis is more likely to greatly reduce the blood flow, leading to clinical events. Hence, identification of the plaques thought to cause coronary thrombosis, referred to as vulnerable plaques, is essential for optimum acute event prevention. The important role of imaging techniques depends on their ability to identify the morphological and functional characteristics of the vulnerable plaque.

2. Pathology

Histological studies have demonstrated that some adverse plaque characteristics, such as a thin fibrous cap, macrophage infiltration, a large necrotic core, microcalcification, neovascularization, intraplaque hemorrhage, and outward arterial remodeling, are consistently associated with plaque rupture and myocardial infarction [18–21]. Atherosclerosis and calcification are closely related and have traditionally been considered passive, degenerative, the end-stage process of aging. However, several recent studies have demonstrated that they are active, highly dynamic and tightly regulated processes involving cell migration, apoptosis, inflammation, osteogenesis, and intimal calcification, progressing from early lesions to advanced plaques [18,19,22–25]. Inflammation plays a central role in atherogenesis. In fact, it is involved in all atherosclerosis steps, from plaque formation leading to calcification and rupture [26–28]. In most cases, atherogenesis is initiated by endothelial dysfunction which allows subendothelial retention of lipoproteins in arterial regions where the laminar flow is disturbed by arterial branches [19,20]. Inflammatory and immune cells such as macrophages, T cells, and mast cells are then recruited and produce pro-inflammatory mediators and enzymes [29,30]. Macrophages usually have an essential role in the genesis and progression of atherosclerosis [15]. They oxidize and catabolize lipoproteins within the arterial wall. Depending on their amount, oxidized lipoproteins can cause the death of macrophages which finally coalesce into a necrotic core. Vascular smooth muscle cells migrate into the intima and promote the formation of a fibrous cap which is mostly collagen [31]. This lipid-rich necrotic core encapsulated by fibrous tissue constitutes the fibroatheroma, which is generally a stable lesion [18]. Macrophages exert a catabolic effect on the fibrous components of the plaque through the release of metalloproteinases, resulting into plaque cap thinning [28]. Extensive inflammatory process and macrophages infiltration produce the thin-cap fibroatheroma (TCFA), characterized by a large necrotic core separated from the coronary lumen by a thin membrane cap, less than 50 or 60 µm (0.05 or 0.06 mm) thick, which makes the plaque unstable [32–37].

The inflammation makes the fibroatheroma hypoxic, resulting in the development of intraplaque neovascularization originating from the vasa vasorum in the adventitia, thus contributing to the
destabilization of the plaque [38]. Large lipid tissue and macrophage count are also associated with outward enlargement, or positive remodeling, of the arterial wall [39]. Although the arterial enlargement may be beneficial in avoiding or limiting the luminal stenosis, the plaque is more prone to rupture [21,40,41].

Calciﬁcations in atherosclerotic plaques develop as a healing response to intense local macrophage inﬂammatory and immune activity, leading also to the osteogenic transformation of vascular smooth muscle cells [42–46]. In lesions with extensive inﬂammation, macrophage-derived vesicles are released within the necrotic core of the plaque and serve as nucleating sites for calciﬁcation [47–49]. On the other hand, calciﬁcations themselves stimulate macrophage inﬁltration [50,51]. As long as inﬂammation persists there will be subsequent cycles of macrophage inﬁltration and repair through calciﬁcation. The causal relationship between inﬂammation and calciﬁcation has been clinically conﬁrmed by the observation that vascular inﬂammation, assessed by positron emission tomography, precedes subsequent deposition of arterial calcium within the same vascular site [52]. If the inﬂammation is reduced, the plaques stabilize leading to macrocalciﬁcation [53–55].

The relative importance of coronary calciﬁcation, whether it exerts a protective effect as a stabilizing force of high-risk atherosclerotic plaque has been debated until recently [54,56]. The extent of calcium in coronary arteries has different clinical implications [45]. Extensive plaque calciﬁcation reﬂects advanced, less inﬂamed and stable disease [12]. Macrolcalciﬁcations make the plaque stable acting as a barrier to limit the spread of inﬂammation and only rarely result in a rupture. This is conﬁrmed by the observation that the use of statins, which are known to reduce vascular inﬂammation [57,58], is associated with increased plaque calciﬁcation and ﬁbrous cap thickness, resulting in stabilization of the atherosclerotic lesions [39–65]. Moreover, recent data have shown that the degree of coronary artery calciﬁcation is signiﬁcantly higher in symptomatic patients who had chronic coronary artery disease compared to patients who sustained acute coronary events [43,66,67]. In asymptomatic patients with type 2 diabetes, the plaques more likely to become culprit plaques for acute coronary events over a 8-year follow-up were characterized by larger volume, greater lipid content, and only mild calciﬁcation [68]. These observations support the concept that at the level of individual plaque mild calciﬁcation predicts subsequent acute events, whereas more advanced calciﬁcation has a protective effect.

Unlike largely calciﬁed plaques, microcalciﬁcations, below 60 µm diameter (0.06 mm) [69,70], embedded in the ﬁbrous cap of the ﬁbroatheroma, are associated with increased inﬂammation and are more frequently observed in patients with acute coronary events [50,66,71]. In combination with other features of plaque vulnerability, such as TCFA, large necrotic lipid core, and extensive presence of macrophages, microcalciﬁcations strongly contribute to plaque instability [45,72,73]. Microcalciﬁcations develop from the aggregation and fusion of individual calciﬁying extracellular vesicles in areas of inﬂammation with a large necrotic core [74]. It is thought they may predispose to plaque rupture either through tissue mechanical stress within the ﬁbrous cap of the ﬁbroatheroma [35,75–77], and further stimulate inﬂammation around the plaque [78]. The microcalciﬁc deposits give rise to spotty calciﬁcations, deﬁned as small calcium deposits in the range of 1 to 3 mm involving an arc of about one-fourth of the coronary circumference, embedded in a plaque [49,50,79]. Some of them show a progressive calciﬁcation, transforming the early-stage high-risk lesions into stable end-stage macroscopic calciﬁcation [80]. Spotty calciﬁcations can also derive from asymptomatic rupture of unstable plaques subsequently healed and have been associated with extensive and progressive coronary atherosclerosis [81,82]. These observations indicate that plaque vulnerability is inversely related to the extent of calciﬁcations.

3. Imaging Atherosclerosis

In addition to CV risk assessment using risk factors, imaging is used to directly detect the presence and extent of atherosclerotic disease. Microcalciﬁcation and inﬂammation play a key role in plaque rupture, therefore representing important potential imaging targets. Although the greatest efforts have been undertaken to identify and treat the TCFA with signs of inﬂammation as high-risk lesions for
acute coronary events, it is now well established that most vulnerable plaques do not cause cardiac events [7,83,84]. The majority of unstable plaques undergo progressive transformation from high-risk lesions with microcalcifications to more stable macroscopic calcification. Others likely heal following asymptomatic rupture and stabilize with time [85]. According to these observations, imaging aimed at the detection and treatment of individual plaques has a limited impact on prevention. However, unstable plaques do not occur in isolation. Some studies suggest that plaque destabilization occurs at multiple distant sites throughout the coronary and carotid vascular beds [86–88]. Hence, their detection may serve to identify high-risk individuals with more extensive atherosclerotic disease activity, who may benefit from systemic therapy [89]. Atherosclerosis imaging is accordingly evolving from anatomical to metabolic imaging, thus providing insight into the underlying vascular inflammation of patient.

4. Imaging Plaque Morphology

Direct visualization of coronary atherosclerotic lesions is currently performed using angiography, computed tomography (CT) coronary artery calcium (CAC) scoring, coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA), intravascular ultrasound (IVUS), and optical coherence tomography (OCT), each providing different information about plaque morphology.

A coronary angiogram is routinely used to assess the degree and extension of arterial stenosis. Although it can detect extensive superficial calcium plaques, its sensitivity for smaller lesions is less than 50%, being to some extent operator-dependent [90]. Moreover, the relationship between the severity of stenosis and vulnerable plaques is uncertain. When the presence of vulnerable lesions is assessed by more specific intracoronary imaging, such as IVUS and OCT, a greater number of TCFA is detected in non-severe than in severe stenotic arterial lesions [11]. However, the underlying lesion morphology at the site of severe stenosis is more vulnerable and thrombus formation following the rupture more likely to further limit the blood flow leading to clinical events [91].

Non-contrast cardiac-gated computed tomography (CT) is extensively used to calculate the CAC score which correlates with the total coronary atherosclerotic burden and has been found as a strong predictor of patient outcomes [92–96]. Guidelines recommend CAC assessment using the Agatston scoring system to improve CV risk prediction in asymptomatic individuals at intermediate risk as well as in diabetics [1,3–5]. Recent studies have shown that CAC volume and density have different relationships with patients’ outcomes. While CAC volume is a direct predictor of CAD, density is inversely associated with acute coronary events [97,98]. These observations may suggest that a higher calculated volume of calcium is associated with extensive coronary atherosclerosis, whereas higher calcium density indicates more stable plaques. However, although the extent of coronary calcification can be accurately quantified, the current spatial resolution of 64-slice CT imaging cannot identify microcalcifications or distinguish actively inflamed from stable atherosclerotic calcifications.

In patients with stable coronary artery disease at low-intermediate risk, the addition of intravenous contrast agent to coronary CT provides non-invasive, highly accurate insight into the extent of coronary calcification and detection of obstructive coronary stenosis and high-risk coronary plaque morphology [99,100] (Figures 1 and 2). However, accurate quantification of various plaque components provided by CCTA is limited [101]. Microcalcifications and TCFA cannot be detected because their dimension is about ten times below the CCTA scan spatial resolution (about 0.5 mm) [102]. Hence, the anatomic assessment of the coronary plaques provided by CCTA, although a good predictor of the global risk of acute events is not useful for identifying vulnerable lesions prone to rupture in a specific lesion [103–105]. Overall, there is no evidence that CCTA plaque assessment improves the prediction of acute coronary event risk compared to established risk factors [106].
Figure 1. (a). Coronary computed tomography angiography. 79-year-old hypertensive patient demonstrating extensive calcified plaques of left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery. Lipid-rich (low CT attenuation) plaques are also shown (arrows). (b). Same patient. Axial view. The calcific plaque involves the origin of the LAD coronary artery (yellow arrowhead). (c). Same patient. Agatston CAC score > 400, indicating extensive calcification, high CV risk and high likelihood of significant coronary stenosis.

Figure 2. Coronary computed tomography angiography. 59-year-old woman with type-2 diabetes, symptomatic for stable angina, showing extensive calcified plaques of the LAD coronary artery. Intravascular imaging such as IVUS or OCT enables the clinician to gain insight into the arterial wall for the detection and quantification of calcium within a plaque and to use this information for patient care [107,108] (Figure 3). IVUS has high sensitivity and specificity for detecting large dense calcific plaques or spotty calcifications [80,81]. However, its axial resolution, in the range of 150–200 µm
(0.15–0.20 mm), is not sufficient to visualize microcalcifications or the thin-cap fibroatheroma which are usually smaller than 60 µm (0.06 mm). Since IVUS cannot penetrate calcium, its assessment is limited to arc and length. Another limitation is the inability to assess the composition and inflammation state of the fibrous cap [109].

**Figure 3.** Angiographic assessment by IVUS images in a fibro-calcific plaque involving left anterior descending (LAD) and left main (LM) coronary arteries. 69-year-old male patient, hypertensive, obese, previously treated by percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and drug eluting stent (DES) implantation to right coronary artery (RCA) because of inferior STEMI occurred. A staged procedure was scheduled to treat distal and mid left anterior descending (LAD) and to perform an IVUS assessment at proximal LAD and left main LM trunk. After stenting distal and mid segment (C and D), followed by a properly post-dilation, IVUS pullback was performed. The images showed a severe and calcific stenosis involving diagonal ostium (cross section “a” and “b”), an almost complete calcific ring (cross section “a” and “b”, white arrows) is stopped just at side branch take-off (cross section “b”, white asterisk). Proximally, a fibrotic prevalent plaque was detectable (cross section “c”). More proximally, fibrotic plaque associated by calcific spot was identified (cross section “d”, white asterisk). Immediately distal the bifurcation side (LM–LAD–LCx) another calcific deposits, forming an arch (around 110°), was detected (cross section “e”) and the site of distal LM truck a superficial calcific deposit (cross section “e”, white arrows) and a deep calcium nodule were detected (cross section “f”, yellow arrows), even visible by angiography (A and B, white arrows). After adequate pre-dilation, a long stent was implanted to LM-LAD (E) and a satisfactory result was reached (F–H).
OCT is a light-based imaging modality, analogous to ultrasound, which measures the time delay of optical echoes reflected by the arterial structures, providing high resolution, cross-sectional images of their structure [37,110,111]. Compared to IVUS, OCT has about ten times higher resolution, between 10 and 20 µm (0.01–0.02 mm). Unlike IVUS, OCT can penetrate calcium and assess its thickness, area, and volume, thus having the potential to characterize the details of coronary calcification (Figure 4). According to the OCT imaging of calcium arc, defined as the widest angle in which the calcifications are detectable, the coronary calcifications are classified as macrolcalifications with a calcium arc >90°, spotty calcification between 90° and 22.5°, and microcalcifications with a calcium arc <22.5° [112].

OCT is capable of quantifying the presence of macrophages in the atherosclerotic plaque with a high degree of positive correlation with histology, demonstrating high sensitivity (>85%) and specificity (89%) [113–115]. This provides direct evidence of the level of plaque inflammation. Recent OCT studies in patients with stable CAD have demonstrated that the contemporary presence of macrophages and microcalcifications in the same plaque (co-localization, when the reciprocal distance is smaller than 1 mm) is associated with a more vulnerable plaque and with systemic features of atherosclerosis such as increased carotid intima-media thickness [73,78]. The same patients showed less advanced coronary artery stenosis, thus suggesting that the co-localization of macrophages and microcalcifications indicates an early phase of the atherosclerotic process which may progress into further calcification and inflammation. Taken together these observations indicate that OCT can provide both morphological and assessment of the level of disease activity, thus identifying patients at higher risk for subsequent coronary events [116].

Figure 4. Cont.
To provide anatomic details, PET scans are currently combined with CT or MR. Recently developed plaques on non-contrast T1-weighted imaging were identified as high-risk plaques, validated by morphological information with a lower radiation exposure compared to PET hybrid scanners PET/CT [124,126]. Specific radioligands are used, targeting microcalcification activity within the arterial wall [105,125]. Hyperintensity imaging modality to monitor the atherosclerotic disease activity.

Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) and positron emission tomography (PET) provide an assessment of microcalcification and inflammation.

Due to limited spatial resolution (1.3–1.8 mm) and long scan duration, CMR has limited indications in clinical practice, whereas it has important research interest for future applications. Preliminary studies have shown that CMR can identify some unstable coronary plaque characteristics [105]. Hyperintensity plaques on non-contrast T1-weighted imaging were identified as high-risk plaques, validated by intravascular imaging [117–120]. Contrast-enhanced CMR plaque imaging provides a higher spatial resolution. The accumulation of the gadolinium-based contrast agent has been associated with macrophage accumulation and intraplaque hemorrhage, as confirmed by OCT [121–124]. The absence of ionizing radiation or potentially nephrotoxic contrast agents, could make CMR a non-invasive imaging modality to monitor the atherosclerotic disease activity.

PET provides a non-invasive imaging method for the assessment of the underlying biological activity within the arterial wall [105,125]. Specific radioligands are used, targeting microcalcification ($^{18}$F-sodium fluoride) and macrophages ($^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose and somatostatin receptor ligand). To provide anatomic details, PET scans are currently combined with CT or MR. Recently developed hybrid scanners PET/MR offer the possibility to simultaneous assessment of disease activity and morphological information with a lower radiation exposure compared to PET/CT [124,126].

$^{18}$F-sodium fluoride ($^{18}$F-NaF) was originally studied to identify bone metastasis. Fluoride ions are incorporated into hydroxyapatite, which is a central component of osteogenic mineralization [127].

**Figure 4.** OCT overcomes angiography limits during an acute coronary syndrome with transient ST-elevation in a symptomatic patient. This is a clinical case that can well show plaque activity in an Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS). A 66-year-old man, smoker, hypertensive, presented chest pain at Emergency Department. The EKG showed a transient inferior ST-elevation associated at high-sensitive Troponin increased. An early (<2 h) coronary angiography was performed but, no significant lesions were detected (A–C). Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) was executed and a severe spasm occurred immediately after guide-wire crossed the lesion (D, black arrow). Nitroglycerine administration did not reverse the intense spasm and the intravascular imaging show a very tight lesion with a minimum lumen area of 1.34 mm$^2$ (cross section “b”). In the atheroma two spotty calcifications (cross section “a” and “b”, white asterisks) and, proximally, activated macrophages (cross section “c”, white arrow), TCFA (yellow arrow) and lipid pool (white asterisk), were detected. Moreover, other two smaller and superficial calcifications (cross section “d”, white arrow and asterisk), forming, joined an arch (<90°), were identified. A stent was placed and optimized, guided by OCT features, reaching a good angiography result (E and F).

5. Imaging Disease Activity

Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) and positron emission tomography (PET) provide an assessment of microcalcification and inflammation.

Due to limited spatial resolution (1.3–1.8 mm) and long scan duration, CMR has limited indications in clinical practice, whereas it has important research interest for future applications. Preliminary studies have shown that CMR can identify some unstable coronary plaque characteristics [105]. Hyperintensity plaques on non-contrast T1-weighted imaging were identified as high-risk plaques, validated by intravascular imaging [117–120]. Contrast-enhanced CMR plaque imaging provides a higher spatial resolution. The accumulation of the gadolinium-based contrast agent has been associated with macrophage accumulation and intraplaque hemorrhage, as confirmed by OCT [121–124]. The absence of ionizing radiation or potentially nephrotoxic contrast agents, could make CMR a non-invasive imaging modality to monitor the atherosclerotic disease activity.

PET provides a non-invasive imaging method for the assessment of the underlying biological activity within the arterial wall [105,125]. Specific radioligands are used, targeting microcalcification ($^{18}$F-sodium fluoride) and macrophages ($^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose and somatostatin receptor ligand). To provide anatomic details, PET scans are currently combined with CT or MR. Recently developed hybrid scanners PET/MR offer the possibility to simultaneous assessment of disease activity and morphological information with a lower radiation exposure compared to PET/CT [124,126].

$^{18}$F-sodium fluoride ($^{18}$F-NaF) was originally studied to identify bone metastasis. Fluoride ions are incorporated into hydroxyapatite, which is a central component of osteogenic mineralization [127].
Coronary atherosclerosis is directly connected to macrophages osteogenic activity in the early stages of atherosclerosis, which results in microcalcifications [42]. This allows $^{18}$F-NaF to detect active microcalcification area beyond the resolution of CT scan [128–131]. The stronger affinity of the radioligand with newly formed hydroxyapatite compared to the old crystals makes it possible to distinguish between actively inflamed coronary calcifications from stable ones. This is confirmed by the observation that large areas of coronary calcium detected by CT scan do not show increased $^{18}$F-NaF uptake. Conversely, regions with absent or minimal CT calcium demonstrate intense $^{18}$F-NaF uptake [132,133]. This may explain the lack of correlation between $^{18}$F-NaF atherosclerotic plaque uptake and CAC score observed in high-risk individuals [134].

$^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose ($^{18}$F-FDG) is a glucose analogue which accumulates mostly in macrophages due to their high demand for glucose. It is a marker of vascular inflammation and macrophage burden and is extensively used for malignancy staging. Several studies conducted in carotid arteries have demonstrated the systemic nature of atherosclerosis [127,135,136]. Its use in the assessment of coronary plaque inflammation is limited by the close proximity to myocardial tissue, which has a high affinity to tracer uptake due to its high glucose metabolism. This obscures the coronary visualization, thus limiting accurate plaque analysis.

6. Confocal Imaging of Microcalcifications

Besides the above-mentioned histological visualization methods, fluorescence-based techniques can provide imaging of micro-calcifications with high sensitivity, selectivity, and resolution. Confocal microscopy, routinely applied to biological imaging, can penetrate deep inside heart tissues to provide clearer images of intricate structures [137]. Unlike other assessment methods where the spatial resolution cannot be decreased beyond 10 µm, the resolution in confocal microscopy can reach ~500 nm. In confocal microscopy, a tightly focused laser spot is scanned across a fluorescently labeled sample and the collected emission is filtered through a micro-meter-sized pinhole to exclusively allow the in-focus fluorescence reach a sensitive detector and reject all out-of-focus fluorescence contributed from other imaging planes. As such, confocal microscopy allows fine sectioning of samples to provide clear images with high signal-to-noise ratio. Although superior to other imaging techniques, confocal microscopy requires the sample to be immuno-stained with an appropriate fluorescent dye with low photo-bleaching kinetics to allow unperturbed imaging over long durations. This might represent a challenge to pathologists using Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining for their assessments. Furthermore, the field-of-view in confocal microscopy is limited by the magnification of the imaging objective and the linear range of the laser scanners to approximately 250 µm. As means to mitigate this shortcoming, it is possible to identify Regions of Interest (ROIs) using the low-resolution methods outlined above and, subsequently, use confocal microscopy to acquire high-resolution imaging of these ROIs.

7. Conclusions

Recent developments in the understanding of the pathophysiology of plaque vulnerability have demonstrated the central role of inflammation and microcalcification. The need to identify early stages of unstable lesions has shifted the patients’ risk factor assessment for CV events to direct imaging-based detection of plaque morphology and disease activity. Further means of accurate study and assessment of vascular microcalcification is expected to have more profound clinical impact on disease prevention.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Consent for Publication: All the images come from our daily diagnostic procedures in a public hospital. All patients signed an informed consent before the procedure, which includes the consent to the use of images for teaching and scientific purposes. The images do not show the patient name, code number, or other identificative trace.
References

1. Piepoli, M.F.; Hoes, A.W.; Agewall, S.; Albus, C.; Brotons, C.; Catapano, A.L.; Graham, I.; Cooney, M.-T.; Cosyns, B.; Deaton, C.; et al. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. The Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice. *Eur. Heart J.* 2016, 37, 2315–2381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. The SCOT-HEART Investigators. Coronary CT Angiography and 5-Year Risk of Myocardial Infarction. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2018, 379, 924–933.

3. Hecht, H.; Blaha, M.J.; Berman, D.S.; Nasir, K.; Budoff, M.; Leipsic, J.; Shaw, L.J.; Blankstein, R.; Narula, J.; Rumberger, J. Clinical indications for coronary artery calcium scoring in asymptomatic patients: Expert consensus statement from the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. *J. Cardiovasc. Comput. Tomogr.* 2017, 11, 157–168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Greenland, P.; Bonow, R.O.; Brundage, B.H.; Budoff, M.J.; Grundy, S.M. ACCF/AHA 2007 clinical expert consensus document on coronary artery calcium scoring by computed tomography in global cardiovascular risk assessment and in evaluation of patients with chest pain. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2007, 49, 378–402. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Stone, N.J.; Robinson, J.G.; Lichtenstein, A.H.; Merz, C.N.B.; Blum, C.B.; Eckel, R.H.; McBride, P.; Goldberg, A.C.; Gordon, D.; Levy, D.; et al. 2013 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Treatment of Blood Cholesterol to Reduce Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2014, 63, 2889–2934. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Niccoli, G.; Stefanini, G.G.; Capodanno, D.; Crea, F.; Ambrose, J.A.; Berg, R. Are the culprit lesions severely stenotic? *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2013, 6, 1108–1114. [CrossRef]

7. Stone, G.W.; Maehara, A.; Lansky, A.J.; De Bruyne, B.; Criotta, E.; Mintz, G.S.; Parise, H.; Mehran, R.; McPherson, J.; Farhat, N.; et al. A prospective natural-history study of coronary atherosclerosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2011, 364, 226–235. [CrossRef]

8. Marzilli, M.; Merz, C.N.B.; Boden, W.E.; Bonow, R.O.; Capozza, P.G.; Chilian, W.M.; Patel, M.R.; DeMaria, A.N.; Guarini, G.; Huqi, A.; et al. Obstructive coronary atherosclerosis and ischemic heart disease: an elusive link! *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2012, 60, 951–956. [CrossRef]

9. Maurovich-Horvat, P.; Ferencik, M.; Voros, S.; Merkely, B.; Hoffmann, U. Comprehensive plaque assessment by coronary angiography. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 2014, 11, 390–402. [CrossRef]

10. Ylä-Herttuala, S.; Bentzon, J.F.; Daemen, M.; Garcia-Garcia, H.M.; Herrmann, J.; Hoefer, I.; Jukema, J.W.; Krans, R.; Kwak, B.R.; et al. Stabilisation of atherosclerotic plaques. Position paper of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Working Group on atherosclerosis and vascular biology. *Thromb. Haemost.* 2011, 106, 1–19.

11. Tian, J.; Dauerman, H.; Toma, C.; Samady, H.; Itoh, T.; Kuramitsu, S.; Hu, S.; Domei, T.; Jia, H.; Vergallo, R.; et al. Prevalence and Characteristics of TCFA and Degree of Coronary Artery Stenosis: An OCT, IVUS, and Angiographic Study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2014, 64, 672–680. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Nicoll, R.; Henein, M.Y. Arterial calcification: Friend or foe? *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2013, 167, 322–327. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Virmani, R.; Kolodgie, F.D.; Burke, A.P.; Farb, A.; Schwartz, S.M. Lessons from sudden coronary death. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2000, 20, 1262–1275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Arbab-Zadeh, A.; Nakano, M.; Virmani, R.; Fuster, V. Acute coronary events. *Circulation* 2012, 125, 1147–1156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Falk, E.; Nakano, M.; Bentzon, J.F.; Finn, A.V.; Virmani, R. Update on acute coronary syndromes: The pathologists’ view. *Eur. Heart J.* 2013, 34, 719–728. [CrossRef]

16. Shah, P.K. Pathophysiology of coronary thrombosis: Role of plaque rupture and plaque erosion. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2002, 44, 357–368. [CrossRef]

17. Partida, R.A.; Libby, P.; Crea, F.; Jang, I.-K. Plaque erosion: A new in vivo diagnosis and a potential major shift in the management of patients with acute coronary syndromes. *Eur. Heart J.* 2018, 39, 2070–2076. [CrossRef]
18. Sakakura, K.; Nakano, M.; Otsuka, F.; Ladich, E.; Kolodgie, F.D.; Virmani, R. Pathophysiology of Atherosclerosis Plaque Progression. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2013, 22, 399–411. [CrossRef]
19. Wang, T.; Butany, J. Pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. *Diagn. Histopathol.* 2017, 23, 473–478. [CrossRef]
20. Bentzon, J.F.; Otsuka, F.; Virmani, R.; Falk, E. Mechanisms of Plaque Formation and Rupture. *Circ. Res.* 2014, 114, 1852–1866. [CrossRef]
21. Burke, A.P.; Kolodgie, F.D.; Farb, A.; Weber, D.; Virmani, R. Morphological Predictors of Arterial Remodeling in Coronary Atherosclerosis. *Circulation* 2002, 105, 297–303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
22. Wu, M.; Rementer, C.; Giachelli, C.M. Vascular Calcification: An Update on Mechanisms and Challenges in Treatment. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* 2013, 93, 365–373. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Sage, A.P.; Tintut, Y.; Demer, L.L. Regulatory mechanisms in vascular calcification. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 2010, 7, 528–536. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. Otsuka, F.; Kramer, M.C.A.; Woudstra, P.; Yahagi, K.; Ladich, E.; Finn, A.V.; Joner, M.; de Winter, R.J.; Kolodgie, F.D.; Wight, T.N.; et al. Natural progression of atherosclerosis from pathologic intimal thickening to late fibroatheroma in human coronary arteries: A pathology study. *Atherosclerosis* 2015, 241, 772–782. [CrossRef]
25. Kubo, T.; Maehara, A.; Mintz, G.S.; Doi, H.; Tsujita, K.; Choi, S.Y.; Rogers, J.H.; Katoh, O.; Nasu, K.; Koenig, A.; et al. The dynamic nature of coronary artery lesion morphology assessed by serial virtual histology intravascular ultrasound tissue characterization. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2010, 55, 1590–1597. [CrossRef]
26. Weber, C.; Noels, H. Atherosclerosis: Current pathogenesis and therapeutic options. *Nat. Med.* 2011, 17, 1410. [CrossRef]
27. Moriya, J. Critical roles of inflammation in atherosclerosis. *J. Cardiol.* 2019, 73, 22–27. [CrossRef]
28. Libby, P.; Tabas, I.; Fredman, G.; Fisher, E.A. Inflammation and its Resolution as Determinants of Acute Coronary Syndromes. *Circ. Res.* 2014, 114, 1867–1879. [CrossRef]
29. Tabas, I.; Garcia-Cardena, G.; Owens, G.K. Recent insights into the cellular biology of atherosclerosis. *J. Cell Biol.* 2015, 209, 13–22. [CrossRef]
30. Hansson, G.K.; Hermansson, A. The immune system in atherosclerosis. *Nat. Immunol.* 2011, 12, 204–212. [CrossRef]
31. Otsuka, F.; Sakakura, K.; Yahagi, K.; Joner, M.; Virmani, R. Has our understanding of calcification in human coronary atherosclerosis progressed? *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2014, 34, 724–736. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
32. Kolodgie, D.F.; Burke, P.A.; Farb, K.A.; Gold, H.K.; Yuan, J.; Narula, J.; Virmani, R.; Finn, A.; Aloke, V. The thin-cap fibroatheroma: A type of vulnerable plaque: The major precursor lesion to acute coronary syndromes. *Curr. Opin. Cardiol.* 2001, 16, 285–292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
33. Cheruvu, P.K.; Finn, A.V.; Gardner, C.; Caplan, J.; Goldstein, J.; Stone, G.W.; Muller, J.E.; Virmani, R. Frequency and distribution of thin-cap fibroatheroma and ruptured plaques in human coronary arteries: A pathologic study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2007, 50, 940–949. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Virmani, R.; Burke, A.P.; Kolodgie, F.D.; Farb, A. Pathology of the Thin-Cap Fibroatheroma. *J. Interv. Cardiol.* 2003, 16, 267–272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Maldonado, N.; Kelly-Arnold, A.; Vengrenyuk, Y.; Laudier, D.; Fallon, J.T.; Virmani, R.; Weinbaum, S.; Cardoso, L. A mechanistic analysis of the role of microcalcifications in atherosclerotic plaque stability: Potential implications for plaque rupture. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2012, 303, H619–H628. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Finn, A.V.; Nakano, M.; Narula, J.; Kolodgie, F.D.; Virmani, R. Concept of Vulnerable/Unstable Plaque. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2010, 30, 1282–1292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
37. Yonetsu, T.; Kakuta, T.; Lee, T.; Takahashi, K.; Kawaguchi, N.; Yamamoto, G.; Isobe, M.; Koura, K.; Hishikari, K.; Iesaka, Y.; et al. In vivo critical fibrous cap thickness for rupture-prone coronary plaques assessed by optical coherence tomography. *Eur. Heart J.* 2011, 32, 1251–1259. [CrossRef]
38. Tian, J.; Hou, J.; Xing, L.; Yonetsu, T.; Kato, K.; Jang, I.K.; Lee, H.; Zhang, S.; Yu, B.; Jang, I.K. Significance of intraplaque neovascularisation for vulnerability: Optical coherence tomography study. *Heart* 2012, 98, 1504–1509. [CrossRef]
39. Kume, T.; Okura, H.; Kawamoto, T.; Akasaka, T.; Toyota, E.; Watanabe, N.; Yoshida, K.; Neishi, Y.; Sukmawan, R.; Sadahira, Y. Relationship between coronary remodeling and plaque characterization in patients without clinical evidence of coronary artery disease. *Atherosclerosis* 2008, 197, 799–805. [CrossRef]
40. Kröner, E.S.; van Velzen, J.E.; Boogers, M.J.; Siebelink, H.M.J.; Schalij, M.J.; Kroft, L.J.; Schuijf, J.D.; de Roos, A.; van der Wall, E.E.; Jukema, J.W. Positive remodeling on coronary computed tomography as a marker for plaque vulnerability on virtual histology intravascular ultrasound. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2011, 107, 1725–1729. [CrossRef]

41. Varnava, A.M.; Mills, P.G.; Davies, M.J. Relationship between coronary artery remodeling and plaque vulnerability. *Circulation* 2002, 105, 939–943. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Aikawa, E.; Nahrendorf, M.; Figueiredo, J.-L.; Swirski, F.K.; Shtatland, T.; Kohler, R.H.; Jaffer, F.A.; Aikawa, M.; Weissleder, R. Osteogenesis associates with inflammation in early-stage atherosclerosis evaluated by molecular imaging in vivo. *Circulation* 2007, 116, 2841–2850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Nicoll, R.; Henein, M. Arterial calcification: A new perspective? *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2017, 228, 11–22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. New, S.; Aikawa, E. Cardiovascular Calcification—An Inflammatory Disease. *Circ. J.* 2011, 75, 1305–1313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Mori, H.; Torii, S.; Kutyna, M.; Sakamoto, A.; Finn, A.V.; Virmani, R. Coronary artery calcification and its progression: What does it really mean? *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2018, 11, 127–142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Ikeda, K.; Souma, Y.; Akakabe, Y.; Kitamura, Y.; Matsu, K.; Shimoda, Y.; Matsubara, H.; Ueyama, T.; Matoba, S.; Yamada, H.; et al. Macrophages play a unique role in the plaque calcification by enhancing the osteogenic signals exerted by vascular smooth muscle cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2012, 425, 39–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. New, S.E.P.; Goettsch, C.; Aikawa, M.; Marchini, J.F.; Shibasaki, M.; Yabusaki, K.; Aikawa, E.; Libby, P.; Shanahan, C.M.; Croce, K. Macrophage-derived matrix vesicles: An alternative novel mechanism for microcalcification in atherosclerotic plaques. *Circ. Res.* 2013, 113, 72–77. [CrossRef]

48. Boulanger, C.M.; Loyer, X.; Rautou, P.-E.; Amabile, N. Extracellular vesicles in coronary artery disease. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 2017, 14, 259. [CrossRef]

49. Roijers, R.B.; Debernardi, N.; Cleutjens, J.P.M.; Schurgers, L.J.; Mutsaers, P.H.A.; van der Vusse, G.J. Intra-section microcalifications in early intimel lesions of atherosclerotic human coronary arteries. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2011, 178, 2879–2887. [CrossRef]

50. Chatrou, M.L.L.; Cleutjens, J.P.; van Der Vusse, G.J.; Roijers, R.B.; Mutsaers, P.H.A.; Schurgers, L.J. From mechanism to molecular imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2017, 10, 582–593. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

51. Nadra, I.; Mason, J.C.; Philippidis, P.; Florey, O.; Smythe, C.D.; McCarthy, G.M.; Haskard, D.O.; Landis, R.C. Proinflammatory activation of macrophages by basic calcium phosphate crystals via protein kinase C and MAP kinase pathways. *Circ. Res.* 2005, 96, 1248–1256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. Abdelbaky, A.; Corsini, E.; Figueroa, A.L.; Fontanez, S.; Subramanian, S.; Ferencik, M.; Tawakol, A.; Brady, T.J.; Hoffmann, U. Focal arterial inflammation precedes subsequent calcification in the same location. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2013, 6, 747–754. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Dykun, I.; Lehmann, N.; Kalsch, H.; Möhlenkamp, S.; Moebus, S.; Budde, T.; Mahabadi, A.A.; Seibel, R.; Grönenmeyer, D.; Jöckel, K.-H.; et al. Statin medication enhances progression of coronary artery calcification: the heinz nixdorf recall study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2016, 68, 2123–2125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Nakahara, T.; Dweck, M.R.; Narula, N.; Pisapia, D.; Narula, J.; Strauss, H.W. Coronary artery calcification: From mechanism to molecular imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2017, 10, 582–593. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Reutelingsperger, C.; Schurgers, L. Coronary Artery Calcification A Janus-Faced Biomarker? *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2018, 11, 1324–1326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Huang, H.; Virmani, R.; Younis, H.; Burke, A.P.; Kamm, R.D.; Lee, R.T. The impact of calcification on the biomechanical stability of atherosclerotic plaques. *Circulation* 2001, 103, 1051–1056. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Evangelos, D.; George, K.; Lourdes Victoria, Q.-S.; Paraskevi, F.; Theodoros, T. The anti-inflammatory effects of statins on coronary artery disease: an updated review of the literature. *Curr. Cardiol. Rev.* 2017, 13, 209–216.

58. Tahara, N.; Kai, H.; Ishibashi, M.; Nakaura, H.; Kaida, H.; Baba, K.; Imaiizumi, T.; Hayabuchi, N. Simvastatin attenuates plaque inflammation: evaluation by fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2006, 48, 1825–1831. [CrossRef]

59. Henein, M.; Granåsen, G.; Wiklund, U.; Schermmund, A.; Guerci, A.; Erbel, R.; Raggi, P. High dose and long-term statin therapy accelerate coronary artery calcification. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2015, 184, 581–586. [CrossRef]
60. Lee, S.-E.; Chang, H.-J.; Sung, J.M.; Park, H.B.; Heo, R.; Rizvi, A.; Conte, E.; Lin, F.Y.; Kumar, A.; Hadamitzky, M. Effects of statins on coronary atherosclerotic plaques: The PARADIGM study. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 2018, 11, 1475–1484. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

61. Houslay, E.S.; Cowell, S.J.; Prescott, R.J.; Reid, J.; Burton, J.; Northridge, D.B.; Newby, D.E.; Boon, N.A. Progressive coronary calcification despite intensive lipid-lowering treatment: A randomised controlled trial. Heart 2006, 92, 1207–1212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

62. Shioi, A.; Ikari, Y. Plaque calcification during atherosclerosis progression and regression. J. Atheroscler. Thromb. 2018, 25, 294–303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Hattori, K.; Ozaki, Y.; Ismail, T.F.; Okumura, M.; Naruse, H.; Kan, S.; Hashimoto, T.; Ishikawa, M.; Kawai, T.; Ohta, M.; et al. Impact of statin therapy on plaque characteristics as assessed by serial OCT, grayscale and integrated backscatter–IVUS. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 2012, 5, 169–177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Puri, R.; Nicholls, S.J.; Shao, M.; Kataoka, Y.; Uno, K.; Kapadia, S.R.; Nissen, S.E.; Tuzcu, E.M. Impact of statin therapy on plaque characteristics as assessed by serial OCT, grayscale and integrated backscatter–IVUS. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 2012, 5, 169–177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Zheng, G.; Chen, J.; Lin, C.; Huang, X.; Lin, J. Effect of statin therapy on fibrous cap thickness in coronary plaques using optical coherence tomography: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Interv. Cardiol. 2015, 28, 514–522. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Shemesh, J.; Aptor, S.; Itzchak, Y.; Motro, M. Coronary calcification compared in patients with acute versus in those with chronic coronary events by using dual-sector spiral CT. Radiology 2003, 226, 483–488. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Otsuka, F.; Finn, A.V.; Virmani, R. Do vulnerable and ruptured plaques hide in heavily calcified arteries? Atherosclerosis 2013, 229, 34–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. Halon, D.A.; Lavi, I.; Barnett-Griness, O.; Rubinshtein, R.; Zafir, B.; Azencot, M.; Lewis, B.S. Plaque morphology as predictor of late plaque events in patients with asymptomatic type 2 diabetes: A long-term observational study. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 2019, 12, 1353–1363. [CrossRef]

69. Maldonado, N.; Kelly-Arnold, A.; Cardoso, L.; Weinbaum, S. The explosive growth of small voids in vulnerable cap rupture cavitation and interfacial debonding. J. Biomech. 2013, 46, 396–401. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

70. Cardoso, L.; Kelly-Arnold, A.; Maldonado, N.; Laudier, D.; Weinbaum, S. Effect of tissue properties, shape and orientation of microcalcifications on vulnerable cap stability using different hyperelastic constitutive models. J. Biomech. 2014, 47, 870–877. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

71. Hutcheson, J.D.; Maldonado, N.; Aikawa, E. Small entities with large impact: Microcalcifications and atherosclerotic plaque vulnerability. Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 2014, 25, 327–332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Rogers, M.A.; Aikawa, E. Cardiovascular calcification: artificial intelligence and big data accelerate mechanistic discovery. Nature Rev. Cardiol. 2019, 16, 261–273. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Reith, S.; Milzi, A.; Dettori, R.; Marx, N.; Burgmaier, M. Predictors for target lesion microcalcifications in patients with stable coronary artery disease: An optical coherence tomography study. Clin. Res. Cardiol. 2018, 107, 763–771. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

74. Hutcheson, J.D.; Goettsch, C.; Bertazzo, S.; Maldonado, N.; Ruiz, J.L.; Goh, W.; Quillard, T.; Yabusaki, K.; Faits, T.; Bouten, C.; et al. Genesis and growth of extracellular-vesicle-derived microcalcification in atherosclerotic plaques. Nat. Mater. 2016, 15, 335. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Kelly-Arnold, A.; Maldonado, N.; Laudier, D.; Aikawa, E.; Cardoso, L.; Weinbaum, S. Revised microcalcification hypothesis for fibrous cap rupture in human coronary arteries. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2013, 110, 10741–10746. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Cardoso, L.; Weinbaum, S. Changing views of the biomechanics of vulnerable plaque rupture: A review. Ann. Biomed. Eng. 2014, 42, 415–431. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Cilla, M.; Monterde, D.; Pea, E.; Martinez, M.A. Does microcalcification increase the risk of rupture? Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part H J. Eng. Med. 2013, 227, 588–599. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Burgmaier, M.; Milzi, A.; Dettori, R.; Burgmaier, K.; Marx, N.; Reith, S. Co-localization of plaque macrophages with calcification is associated with a more vulnerable plaque phenotype and a greater calcification burden in coronary target segments as determined by OCT. PLoS ONE 2018, 13, e0205984. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

79. Ruiz, J.L.; Weinbaum, S.; Aikawa, E.; Hutcheson, J.D. Zooming in on the genesis of atherosclerotic plaque microcalcifications. J. Physiol. 2016, 594, 2915–2927. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
80. Pu, J.; Mintz, G.S.; Biro, S.; Lee, J.B.; Sum, S.T.; Madden, S.P.; Stone, G.W.; Burke, A.P.; Zhang, P.; He, B.; et al. Insights into echo-attenuated plaques, echolucent plaques, and plaques with spotty calcification: Novel findings from comparisons among intravascular ultrasound, near-infrared spectroscopy, and pathological histology in 2,294 human coronary artery segments. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2014, 63, 2220–2233. [CrossRef]  
19. Kataoka, Y.; Wolski, K.; Uno, K.; Puri, R.; Tuzcu, E.M.; Nissen, S.E.; Nicholls, S.J. Spotty calcification as a marker of accelerated progression of coronary atherosclerosis: Insights from serial intravascular ultrasound. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2012, 59, 1592–1597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
82. Ehara, S.; Kobayashi, Y.; Yoshiyama, M.; Shimada, K.; Shimada, Y.; Fukuda, D.; Naruko, T.; Nakamura, Y.; Yamashita, H.; Yamagishi, H.; et al. Spotty calcification typifies the culprit plaque in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2004, 110, 3424–3429. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
83. Calvert, P.A.; Obaid, D.R.; O'Sullivan, M.; Shapiro, L.M.; McNab, D.; Densem, C.G.; West, N.E.; Schofield, P.M.; Braganza, D.; Clarke, S.C.; et al. Association between IVUS findings and adverse outcomes in patients with coronary artery disease: The VIVA (VH IVUS in Vulnerable Atherosclerosis) study. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2011, 4, 894–901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
84. Libby, P.; Pasterkamp, G. Requiem for the ‘vulnerable plaque’. *Eur. Heart J.* 2015, 36, 2984–2987. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
85. Arbab-Zadeh, A.; Fuster, V. The myth of the “vulnerable plaque”: transitioning from a focus on individual lesions to atherosclerotic disease burden for coronary artery disease risk assessment. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2015, 65, 846–855. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
86. Rothwell, P.M.; Villagra, R.; Gibson, R.; Donders, R.; Warlow, C.P. Evidence of a chronic systemic cause of instability of atherosclerotic plaques. *Lancet* 2000, 355, 19–24. [CrossRef]  
87. Goldstein, J.A.; Demetriou, D.; Grines, C.L.; Pica, M.; Shoukfeh, M.; O’Neill, W.W. Multiple complex coronary plaques in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2000, 343, 915–922. [CrossRef]  
88. Rachel, N.; Michael, H. Extensive coronary calcification: A clinically unrecognised condition. *Curr. Vasc. Pharmacol.* 2010, 8, 701–705.  
89. Dweck, M.R.; Aikawa, E.; Newby, D.E.; Tarkin, J.M.; Rudd, J.H.; Narula, J.; Fayad, Z.A. Noninvasive molecular imaging of disease activity in atherosclerosis. *Circ. Res.* 2016, 119, 330–340. [CrossRef]  
90. Mintz, G.S. Intravascular imaging of coronary calcification and its clinical implications. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2015, 8, 461–471. [CrossRef]  
91. Yun, K.H.; Mintz, G.S.; Farhat, N.; Marso, S.P.; Taglieri, N.; Verhey, S.; Dressler, O.; Foster, M.C.; Margolis, M.P.; Templin, B.; et al. Relation between angiographic lesion severity, vulnerable plaque morphology and future adverse cardiac events (from the Providing Regional Observations to Study Predictors of Events in the Coronary Tree Study). *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2012, 110, 471–477. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
92. Youssef, G.; Kalia, N.; Darabian, S.; Budoff, M.J. Coronary calcium: New insights, recent data, and clinical role. *Curr. Cardiol. Rep.* 2013, 15, 325. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
93. Nicoll, R.; Wiklund, U.; Zhao, Y.; Diederichsen, A.; Mickley, H.; Ovrehus, K.; Cademartiri, F.; Zamorano, P.; Gueret, P.; Schermmund, A.; et al. The coronary calcium score is a more accurate predictor of significant coronary stenosis than conventional risk factors in symptomatic patients: Euro-CCAD study. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2016, 207, 13–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
94. Sandfort, V.; Bluemke, D.A. CT calcium scoring. History, current status and outlook. *Diagn. Interv. Imaging* 2017, 98, 3–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
95. Shemesh, J.; Tenenbaum, A.; Fisman, E.Z.; Koren-Morag, N.; Grossman, E. Coronary calcium in patients with and without diabetes: First manifestation of acute or chronic coronary events is characterized by different calcification patterns. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* 2013, 12, 161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]  
96. McClelland, R.L.; Jorgensen, N.W.; Budoff, M.; Blaha, M.J.; Post, W.S.; Kronmal, R.A.; Folsom, A.R.; Bild, D.E.; Shea, S.; Liu, K.; et al. 10-Year Coronary Heart Disease Risk Prediction Using Coronary Artery Calcium and Traditional Risk Factors: Derivation in the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) With Validation in the HNR (Heinz Nixdorf Recall) Study and the DHS (Dallas Heart Study). *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2015, 66, 1643–1653.  
97. Criqui, M.H.; Denenberg, J.O.; IX, J.H.; McClelland, R.L.; Wassel, C.L.; Rifkin, D.E.; Allison, M.A.; Rifkin, D.E.; Carr, J.J.; Budoff, M.J. Calcium density of coronary artery plaque and risk of incident cardiovascular events. *JAMA 2014, 311*, 271–278. [CrossRef]
98. Criqui, M.H.; Knox, J.B.; Denenberg, J.O.; Forbang, N.J.; McClelland, R.L.; Novotny, T.E.; Allison, M.A.; Sandfort, V.; Waalen, J.; Blaha, M.J. Coronary artery calcium volume and density: potential interactions and overall predictive value: The multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 2017, 10, 845–854. [CrossRef]

99. Knuuti, J.; Wijns, W.; Saraste, A.; Capodanno, D.; Barbato, E.; Funck-Brentano, C.; Agewall, S.; Prescott, E.; Storey, R.F.; Deaton, C.; et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes: The Task Force for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur. Heart J. 2019, 1–71. [CrossRef]

100. Thomsen, C.; Abdulla, J. Characteristics of high-risk coronary plaques identified by computed tomographic angiography and associated prognosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc. Imaging 2015, 17, 120–129. [CrossRef]

101. Van Velzen, J.E.; de Graaf, F.R.; de Graaf, M.A.; Schuijf, J.D.; Kroft, L.J.; de Roos, A.; Schalij, M.J.; Reiber, J.H.C.; Bax, J.J.; Jukema, J.W.; et al. Comprehensive assessment of spotty calcifications on computed tomography angiography: Comparison to plaque characteristics on intravascular ultrasound with radiofrequency backscatter analysis. J. Nucl. Cardiol. 2011, 18, 893. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

102. Saremi, F.; Achenbach, S. Coronary plaque characterization using CT. Am. J. Roentgenol. 2015, 204, W249–W260. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

103. Obaid, D.R.; Calvert, P.A.; Gopalan, D.; Parker, R.A.; Hoole, S.P.; West, N.E.; Bennett, M.R.; Goddard, M.; Rudd, J.H.F. Atherosclerotic plaque composition and classification identified by coronary computed tomography. Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging 2013, 6, 655–664. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

104. Motoyama, S.; Ito, H.; Sarai, M.; Kondo, T.; Nagahara, Y.; Naruse, H.; Harigaya, H.; Kan, S.; Anno, H.; et al. Plaque characterization by coronary computed tomography angiography and the likelihood of acute coronary events in mid-term follow-up. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2015, 66, 337–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Adamson, P.D.; Newby, D.E. Non-invasive imaging of the coronary arteries. Eur. Heart J. 2019, 40, 2444–2454. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

106. Cho, I.; Chang, H.-J.; Sung, J.M.; Pencina, M.J.; Lin, F.Y.; Dunning, A.M.; Callister, T.Q.; Achenbach, S.; Berman, D.S.; Shaw, L.J.; et al. Coronary computed tomographic angiography and risk of all-cause mortality and nonfatal myocardial infarction in subjects without chest pain syndrome from the confirm registry (coronary CT angiography evaluation for clinical outcomes: an international multicenter registry). Circulation 2012, 126, 304–313. [PubMed]

107. Fleg, J.L.; Stone, G.W.; Fayad, Z.A.; Granada, J.F.; Hatsukami, T.D.; Waxman, S.; Ohayon, J.; Pettigrew, R.; Sabatine, M.S.; et al. Detection of high-risk atherosclerotic plaque: Report of the NHLBI working group on current status and future directions. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 2012, 5, 941–955. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

108. Fujii, K.; Hao, H.; Shibuya, M.; Imanaka, T.; Fukunaga, M.; Miki, K.; Hirota, S.; Tamurau, H.; Sawada, H.; Naito, Y.; et al. Accuracy of OCT, grayscale IVUS, and their combination for the diagnosis of coronary TCFA: An ex vivo validation study. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 2015, 8, 451–460. [CrossRef]

109. Van Soest, G.; Marcu, L.; Bouma, B.E.; Regar, E. Intravascular imaging for characterization of coronary atherosclerotic plaques. Curr. Opin. Biomed. Eng. 2017, 3, 1–12. [CrossRef]

110. Tearney, G.J.; Regar, E.; Akasaka, T.; Adriaenssens, T.; Barlis, P.; Bezerra, H.G.; Costa, M.A.; Bouma, B.; Bruining, N.; Chowdhary, S.; et al. Consensus standards for acquisition, measurement, and reporting of intravascular optical coherence tomography studies: A report from the international working group for intravascular optical coherence tomography standardization and validation. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2012, 59, 1058–1072. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

111. Jang, I.-K.; Tearney, G.J.; MacNeill, B.; Takano, M.; Moselewski, F.; Iftima, N.; Bouma, B.E.; Shishkov, M.; Houser, S.; Aretz, H.T.; et al. In vivo characterization of coronary atherosclerotic plaque by use of optical coherence tomography. Circulation 2005, 111, 1551–1555. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

112. Milz, A.; Burgmaier, M.; Burgmaier, K.; Hellmich, M.; Marx, N.; Reith, S. Type 2 diabetes mellitus is associated with a lower fibrous cap thickness but has no impact on calcification morphology: An intracoronary optical coherence tomography study. Cardiovasc. Diabetol. 2017, 16, 152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Tearney, G.J.; Yabushita, H.; Houser, S.L.; Aretz, H.T.; Jang, I.K.; Schlendorf, K.H.; Bouma, B.E.; Kauffman, C.R.; Shishkov, M.; Halpern, E.F.; et al. Quantification of macrophage content in atherosclerotic plaques by optical coherence tomography. Circulation 2003, 107, 113–119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
114. Sinclair, H.; Bourantas, C.; Bagnall, A.; Mintz, G.S.; Kunadian, V. OCT for the Identification of Vulnerable Plaque in Acute Coronary Syndrome. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2015, 8, 198–209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

115. Habara, M.; Otsuka, F.; Tsuchikane, E.; Terashima, M.; Nasu, K.; Kinoshita, Y.; Matsuou, H.; Murata, A.; Suzuki, Y.; Kawase, Y.; et al. In vivo tissue characterization of human atherosclerotic plaques by optical coherence tomography: A directional coronary atherectomy study with histopathologic confirmation. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2018, 268, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

116. Prati, F.; Romagnoli, E.; Gatto, L.; La Manza, A.; Burzotta, F.; Ozaki, Y.; Taglieri, N.; Marco, A.; Boi, A.; Fineschi, M.; et al. Relationship between coronary plaque morphology of the left anterior descending artery and 12 months clinical outcome: The CLIMA study. *Eur. Heart J.* 2019. [CrossRef]

117. Noguchi, T.; Yamada, N.; Kawasaki, T.; Tanaka, A.; Yasuda, S. Detection of high-risk atherosclerotic plaques by magnetic resonance imaging. *Circ. J.* 2013, 77, 1975–1983. [CrossRef]

118. Kanaya, T.; Noguchi, T.; Otsuka, F.; Asaumi, Y.; Kataoka, Y.; Morita, Y.; Nishimura, K.; Miura, H.; Nakao, K.; Fujino, M.; et al. Optical coherence tomography-verified morphological correlates of high-intensity coronary plaques on non-contrast T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in patients with stable coronary artery disease. *Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2018, 20, 75–83. [CrossRef]

119. Jansen, C.H.P.; Perera, D.; Wiethoff, A.J.; Phinikaridou, A.; Razavi, R.M.; Rinaldi, A.; Redwood, S.; Marber, M.S.; Greil, G.F.; Nagel, E.; et al. Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for the detection of ruptured coronary plaques in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0188292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

120. Dweck, M.R.; Puntmann, V.O.; Vesey, A.T.; Fayad, Z.A.; Nagel, E. MR imaging of coronary arteries and plaques. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2016, 9, 306–316. [CrossRef]

121. Xie, Y.; Kim, Y.-J.; Pang, J.; Kim, J.S.; Yang, Q.; Wei, J.; Merz, C.N.B.; Shah, P.K.; Nguyen, C.T.; Deng, Z.; et al. Coronary atherosclerosis T1-weighed characterization with integrated anatomical reference: comparison with high-risk plaque features detected by invasive coronary imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2017, 10, 637–648. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

122. Matsumoto, K.; Ehara, S.; Hasegawa, T.; Sakaguchi, M.; Otsuka, K.; Yoshikawa, J.; Shimada, K. Localization of coronary high-intensity signals on T1-weighted MR imaging: Relation to plaque morphology and clinical severity of angina pectoris. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2015, 8, 1143–1152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

123. Wurster, T.; Landmesser, U.; Engel, L.-C.; Bigalke, B.; Makowski, M. Coronary vessel wall imaging: State of the art and future directions. *Curr. Cardiovasc. Imaging Rep.* 2019, 12, 16. [CrossRef]

124. Andrews, J.P.M.; Fayad, Z.A.; Dweck, M.R. New methods to image unstable atherosclerotic plaques. *Atherosclerosis* 2018, 272, 118–128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

125. Robson, P.M.; Dweck, M.R.; Trivieri, M.G.; Abgral, R.; Karakatsanis, N.A.; Contreras, J.; Fayad, Z.A.; Gidwani, U.; Narula, J.P.; Fuster, V.; et al. Coronary artery PET/MR imaging: Feasibility, limitations, and solutions. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2017, 10, 1103–1112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

126. Evans, N.R.; Tarkin, J.M.; Chowdhury, M.M.; Warburton, E.A.; Rudd, J.H.F. PET imaging of atherosclerotic disease: advancing plaque assessment from anatomy to pathophysiology. *Curr. Atheroscler. Rep.* 2016, 18, 30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

127. Irkle, A.; Vesey, A.T.; Lewis, D.Y.; Skepper, J.N.; Bird, J.L.; Dweck, M.R.; Brindle, K.M.; Joshi, F.R.; Gallagher, F.A.; Warburton, E.A.; et al. Identifying active vascular microcalcification by 18F-sodium fluoride positron emission tomography. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 7495. [CrossRef]

128. Li, L.; Li, X.; Jia, Y.P.; Fan, J.; Wang, H.; Fan, C.; Yan, M.; Wu, L.; Si, X.; Hao, X.; et al. Sodium-fluoride PET-CT for the non-invasive evaluation of coronary plaques in symptomatic patients with coronary artery disease: A cross-correlation study with intravascular ultrasound. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2018, 45, 2181–2189. [CrossRef]

129. Dweck, M.R.; Chow, M.W.L.; Joshi, N.V.; Williams, M.C.; Jones, C.; Fletcher, A.M.; Boon, N.A.; Richardson, H.; White, A.; McKillop, G.; et al. Coronary arterial 18F-sodium fluoride uptake: A novel marker of plaque biology. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2012, 59, 1539–1548. [CrossRef]
131. Creager, M.D.; Hohl, T.; Huteson, J.D.; Moss, A.J.; Schlotter, F.; Blaser, M.C.; Tavares, A.A.; Park, M.-A.; Lee, L.H.; Singh, S.A.; et al. 18F-Fluoride Signal Amplification Identifies Microcalcifications Associated with Atherosclerotic Plaque Instability in Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography Images. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* **2019**, *12*, e007835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

132. Joshi, N.V.; Vesey, A.T.; Williams, M.C.; Shah, A.S.; Calvert, P.A.; Craighead, F.H.; van Beek, E.J.; Yeoh, S.E.; Wallace, W.; Salter, D.; et al. 18F-fluoride positron emission tomography for identification of ruptured and high-risk coronary atherosclerotic plaques: A prospective clinical trial. *Lancet* **2014**, *383*, 705. [CrossRef]

133. Fiz, F.; Morbelli, S.; Piccardo, A.; Bauckneht, M.; Ferrarazzo, G.; Pestarino, E.; Marini, C.; Democrito, A.; Riondato, M.; Villavecchia, G.; et al. F-18-NaF uptake by atherosclerotic plaque on PET/CT imaging: Inverse correlation between calcification density and mineral metabolic activity. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2015**, *56*, 1019–1023. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

134. De Oliveira-Santos, M.; Castelo-Branco, M.; Silva, R.; Gomes, A.; Chichorro, N.; Abrunhosa, A.; Ferreira, M.J.; Donato, P.; de Lima, J.P.; Pego, M.; et al. Atherosclerotic plaque metabolism in high cardiovascular risk subjects—A subclinical atherosclerosis imaging study with F-18-NaF PET-CT. *Atherosclerosis* **2017**, *260*, 41–46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

135. Derlin, T.; Tóth, Z.; Papp, L.; Wisotzki, C.; Apostolova, I.; Habermann, C.R.; Klutmann, S.; Mester, J. Correlation of Inflammation Assessed by 18F-FDG PET, Active Mineral Deposition Assessed by 18F-Fluoride PET, and Vascular Calcification in Atherosclerotic Plaque: A Dual-Tracer PET/CT Study. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2011**, *52*, 1020–1027. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

136. Pelletier-Galarneau, M.; Ruddy, T.D. Molecular imaging of coronary inflammation. *Trends Cardiovasc. Med.* **2019**, *29*, 191–197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

137. Pawley, J.B. (Ed.) *Handbook of Biological Confocal Microscopy*, 3rd ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2006.

© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).