Extreme Nonlinear Phenomena in NbN Superconducting Stripline Resonators
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We study a microwave superconducting stripline resonator made of NbN on a Sapphire wafer. Novel, self-sustained modulation of the reflected power off the resonator, at frequencies of up to 60MHz, has been recently reported. Here we show experimentally that near the self modulation threshold, the device exhibits a giant nonlinearity, which manifests itself in an extremely high intermodulation gain, accompanied by a very strong noise squeezing and period doubling of various orders. Such a device is highly suitable for serving as a readout device in quantum data processing systems.

The theory of nonlinear dynamics predicts that near the edge of instability, one can expect a strong noise amplification, known also as noise rise, which is linearly unbounded and only saturates by higher order nonlinear terms. In addition, the same mechanism can result in large amplification of small periodic signals, injected into the system. Nonlinear effects in superconductors have significant implications for both basic science and technology. It may be exploited to explore some important quantum phenomena in the microwave region, such as quantum squeezing and experimental observation of the so called dynamical Casimir effect. Whereas technologically, these effects may allow some intriguing applications such as bifurcation amplifiers for quantum measurements, resonant readout of qubits, mixers, single photon detectors, and more. Recently, we have reported on a novel nonlinear phenomenon originates by thermal instability, in which self-sustained modulation (SM) of a reflected pump tone off a resonator is generated in a superconducting stripline resonator. At the SM power threshold range the resonator experiences strong noise amplification. A theoretical model, which is presented in Ref., exhibits a good agreement with the experimental results.

In this paper we experimentally demonstrate the significance of the SM phenomenon, as a generator of several giant nonlinear effects, which manifest themselves at the instability threshold. First, a very strong amplification of periodic signals is demonstrated using intermodulation (IM) measurement. The same measurement technique is used to show period doubling bifurcation (PDB) of various orders. Finally, we demonstrate a phase sensitive deamplification (PSD) which exhibits a strong squeezing factor. Moreover, in the same region our devices have already proved an ability to amplify the mixing products of a low power optical signal, modulated at gigahertz frequencies, and a RF signal, with a large signal to noise ratio.

Our experiments are performed using a novel device (Fig. B), which integrates a narrow microbridge into a superconducting stripline ring resonator, and consequently has resonance frequencies, which can be tuned by both internal (Joule self heating) or external (infrared illumination) perturbations. The microbridge serves as a self-tuned, lumped element, which governs the boundary conditions of the resonator, and thus allows the manipulation of its resonance frequencies. Further design considerations, fabrication details as well as normal modes calculation can be found elsewhere. The IM experiments are performed using the setup described in Fig. (A) while the device is fully immersed in liquid Helium.

One of the common and effective ways to characterize nonlinearities in superconductors is using IM mea-
Figure 1: (A) IM measurement setup. The resonator is stimulated by two phase-locked tones. One, called Pump, is a relatively intense tone which biases the resonator into the nonlinear region. The other, called Signal, is a relatively weak tone, namely at least three orders of magnitude weaker that the pump tone. The reflected power off the resonator is measured using a spectrum analyzer (SA). (B) The resonator is made as a stripline ring, having a characteristic impedance of $50 \, \Omega$. It is composed of 200 nm thick Niobium Nitride (NbN) deposited on a Sapphire wafer. A weakly coupled feedline is employed for delivering the input and output signals. The first few resonance frequencies fall within the range of $2 - 8 \text{ GHz}$. The optical image shows the microbridge whose dimensions are $1 \times 10 \, \mu\text{m}^2$.

measurements (16). IM, as measured in our devices, is the result of two unequal tones, called Pump and Signal, having close spaced frequencies, being mixed together by a nonlinear system, which produces additional tones at frequencies that are linear combinations (integer multiples) of both. The largest IM products appear at the third order IM mixing tones, known as the Signal and Idler tones, because usually, the second order mixing products do not coincide with any natural resonance frequency of the resonator (17). The Idler is measured at frequency $f_{\text{Idler}} = 2f_{\text{pump}} - f_{\text{sig}} = f_{\text{pump}} - \Delta f$. Theory predicts that as the input pump tone drives the resonator to the edge of instability, both the Signal and the Idler tones undergo equally large amplification (17). Fig. 2 A) shows a typical IM measurement obtained at the third resonance mode, namely $f_{\text{pump}} = f_3 = 5.667 \, \text{GHz}$. The Signal tone is deviated by $\Delta f = 800 \, \text{Hz}$ from the Pump tone, and thus the Idler and both these tones lie within the resonance band. The pump power is set to the edge of instability, namely to the first SM power threshold at which the SM starts. In this region the Pump, Signal and Idler tones as well as higher order mixing products are easily detected in the reflected power spectrum. The higher order mixing products also spread beyond the scope of this graph, and thus indicate the strength of the IM nonlinearity at that region.

The strength of the IM nonlinearity can be qualitatively characterized by defining two parameters, IM Signal and Idler gains, defined as the ratio of the output Signal and the output Idler powers to the input Signal power respectively. Fig. 2 C) and (D) plot these gains respectively as a function of both input Pump and input Signal powers. Two areas of strong amplification, indicated by red shaded colors, are easily noticed and referred to as first and second power thresholds. The maximum amplifications achieved by the Signal tone at first and second threshold powers are $30.66 \, \text{dB}$ and $17.77 \, \text{dB}$, respectively. Whereas the maximum amplifications achieved by the Idler tone are $30.85 \, \text{dB}$ and $16.36 \, \text{dB}$, respectively. As expected, the Signal and Idler gains are approximately equal. To emphasize the strength of these amplifications we note that usually, no amplification greater than unity (0 dB) is achieved in IM measurements with superconducting resonators (18,17). Fig. 2 B) shows the corresponding gain of the Pump tone. It experiences a strong absorption (pump depletion) at the first power threshold, where the large amplification of the Pump and Signal tones takes place. An increased absorption also occurs at the second power threshold, but is harder to notice, as it is rather small on this scale.

In order to check the correlation between the IM and the SM phenomena, SM measurement has been obtained simultaneously with the IM measurement, as shown in Fig. 2 E). At low input powers, approximately below $-33.25 \, \text{dBm}$, and at high input powers, approximately above $-24.5 \, \text{dBm}$, the response of the resonator is linear, namely, the reflected power off the
Figure 2: A typical IM measurement. (A) The reflected power $P_{\text{refl}}$, is plotted as a function of the measured frequency $f_{\text{SA}}$, centralized on the third resonance frequency $f_3$ ($f_{\text{SA}} = f_3 - f_3$). (B), (C), (D) Pump, Signal and Idler IM gains respectively, as a function of the input Pump and input Signal powers. (E) Simultaneous SM Measurement. The reflected power is plotted as a function of the measured frequency centralized on $f_3$ and the input Pump power, while the resonator is stimulated with a single monochromatic tone at $f_3$. (F) Signal (blue) and Idler (red) IM gains versus the SM frequency (green) as a function of input Pump power.
Fig. 2 (F) shows the correlation between the SM and the IM phenomena. The blue (red) curve shows the IM Signal (Idler) gain as a function of the input pump power, while the input signal power equals $-86 \text{ dBm}$ ($-79.6 \text{ dBm}$), for which the maximum of the gain is measured. The green curve shows the SM frequency as a function of the input pump power. A comparison clearly shows that the strong IM gain is achieved at the same power thresholds of the SM phenomenon. This finding indicates that the correlation between these two phenomena is strong, and proves that the observed IM does not originate from various other possible nonlinear mechanisms, common in superconductors \cite{20}. The narrow power range, at which the Signal and Idler gains are achieved, which is by most 5 nW wide, emphasizes the sharpness of the edge of instability in our device.

It has been found that in some nonlinear systems, the transition to chaos can occur via consecutive PDB instabilities of various orders \cite{21,22,23,24}. It was shown that near the onset of PDB, any dynamical system can be used to amplify perturbations near half the fundamental frequency. The closer the bifurcation point is, the greater is the amplification \cite{25}. During IM measurement, PDB is indirectly observed at some of our devices, at the SM power threshold. Naturally, the sub-harmonics that result from PDB do not coincide with other modes of the resonator and therefore can not be directly measured. The observation of PDB is possible because the sub-harmonics of the Pump and Signal tones mix together and appear at new frequencies in the vicinity of the stimulated resonance mode.

The amplification caused by the PDB, which occurs at rational fractions of the resonance frequency, has a great advantage over amplifications of tones which lie in the resonance band. The latter tones are always perturbed by the resonance mode, whereas the former tones can be effectively decoupled from the resonance mode by setting the input pump off the power threshold. The coupling occurs only during the time periods at which a measurement is deliberately obtained. Thus our system has both the ability of strong amplification and can be coupled or decoupled at will, to a measured system, having signals at half the resonance frequency of the resonator.

Fig. 3 has four subplots, each showing an IM measurement, in which PDB is observed. The strong Pump and weak Signal powers are set to the SM power threshold, and the reflected Pump, Signal, Idler, and high order mixing tones, are easily observed (labeled at subplot (iv)). In addition, looking at subplot (i), we observe a new type of reflected tones which are found at half integer multiples of the Pump and Signal frequencies. For example, the two labeled tones are found at $(f_{\text{pump}} + f_{\text{sig}})/2$, and $(3f_{\text{pump}} - f_{\text{sig}})/2$. This measurement provides a clear evidence that a period doubling of the second order occurs in the resonator. Subplots (ii) and (iii) show additional measurements, in which period doubling of forth and third orders occur, respectively. Subplot (iv) shows a measurement in which a chaotic-like behavior is observed. It is characterized by a strong and broadband amplification of the noise floor and a high absorption of the pump power. At first these measurements were taken while sweeping the weak Signal power, but later we have realized that due to a quasi-periodic, very low frequency noise the system can self-switch between the various period doubling states even when all deliberately applied external excitations are constant. At this point the origin of this low frequency noise is yet unclear.

A parametric amplifier can establish correlations \cite{20} between the output tones at $f_{\text{pump}} \pm \Delta f$, where $\Delta f = |f_{\text{sig}} - f_{\text{pump}}|$, as a result of IM gain. When delivered to a mixer, operated in the homodyne mode, whose local oscillator (LO) is phase-locked to the pump, these correlations can result in noise fluctuations reduced below that which the mixer would see if the signal delivered to the parametric amplifier were, instead, directly
Figure 3: Period doubling captured during IM measurement. The reflected power is plotted as a function of the measured frequency $f_{SA}$ centralized on $f_3$ ($f_{SA} = f_{SA} - f_3$). It shows the Pump, Signal, and Idler tones, and in addition mixing products of (i) half, (ii) quarter, and (iii) one third subharmonics of the Pump and Signal tones. Panel (iv) shows chaotic-like behavior, characterized by a strong and broadband amplification of the noise floor.
Figure 4: (A) PSD measurement setup, which utilizes homodyne detection measurement scheme. The resonator is stimulated by a single monochromatic pump and the reflected power is fed to an external mixer, driven by a local oscillator (LO), having the same frequency as the pump, and an adjustable phase. The output of the mixer is measured by a SA in a frequency band of 45MHz starting from DC. (B) A typical PSD measurement. Reflected power as a function of the measured frequency for $\phi_{\text{LO}} = 0$ (blue) and $\phi_{\text{LO}} = \pi/2$ (red). The same measurement for a continuous LO phase is shown in (C). (D) Squeezing factor as a function of the measured frequency and the input pump power.
delivered to the mixer. Consequently, the measured spectral power becomes \( \pi \)-periodical, as a function of the phase \( \phi_{\text{LO}} \) of the LO, relative to the pump. This phenomenon is called PSD or squeezing for thermal noise, weak signals or quantum fluctuations reduction. The theory is detailed in [26], and summarized in [30]. In our resonators we observe PSD of both the fluctuation noise and the spectral power density of the sidebands generated by the SM phenomenon.

Typical PSD measurement, as obtained using the setup described in Fig. 4A, is shown in Fig. 4B. The blue curve shows the largest amplification of the measured spectrum. The pump tone is down converted to dc and the SM sidebands are down-converted respectively to the pump. We refer to this measurement as taken with a zero LO phase, \( \phi_{\text{LO}} = 0 \). The red curve is taken with \( \phi_{\text{LO}} = \pi/2 \). The deamplification of the noise, relatively to \( \phi_{\text{LO}} = 0 \) curve is clear. Panel (C) shows a similar measurement, taken for continuous \( \phi_{\text{LO}} \) values. The dependence of the reflected power on \( \phi_{\text{LO}} \) is clearly observed, where the phase period equals \( \pi \), as expected. We define the squeezing factor as the ratio of the measured signal at its maximum value to the measured signal at its minimum value. Panel (D) shows the squeezing factor as a function of the measured frequency and the pump power. Strong and broadband squeezing occurs at the two power thresholds, where the strong IM gain is measured. In addition, an even stronger squeezing occurs at the SM sidebands where a maximum value of 44.5 dB has been measured.

In conclusion, our devices exhibit an extreme nonlinear behavior which manifests itself in various effects, in correlation with the SM phenomenon. Strong IM gain of both the Signal and Idler tones is measured in all of our devices at the SM power threshold. Correlated PDB of various orders as well as chaotic-like behavior occur at some of our devices. In addition, PSD is measured, and shows a strong squeezing factor in correlation with the IM strong gain. The outcome of this three correlated phenomena is a very strong, phase sensitive amplifier, which can be coupled or decoupled to a measured system, at will. Such a device is highly suitable for quantum data processing systems and for quantum state read-out schemes.
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