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Abstract

This paper describes the Tencent AI Lab submission of the WMT20 shared task on biomedical translation in four language directions: German $\Rightarrow$ English, English $\Rightarrow$ German, Chinese $\Rightarrow$ English and English $\Rightarrow$ Chinese. We implement our system with model ensemble technique on different transformer architectures (DEEP, HYBRID, BIG, LARGE Transformers). To enlarge the in-domain bilingual corpus, we use back-translation of monolingual in-domain data in the English language as additional in-domain training data. Our systems in German $\Rightarrow$ English and English $\Rightarrow$ German are ranked 1st and 3rd respectively according to the official evaluation results in terms of BLEU scores.\(^1\)

1 Introduction

Neural machine translation (Bahdanau et al., 2015; Vaswani et al., 2017, NMT) has achieved great progress in recent years. However, as Koehn and Knowles (2017) pointed out, NMT systems suffer from poor translation performance in out-of-domain scenarios, which poses a great challenge for the biomedical translation task.

In this paper, we present our submission to the WMT20 shared task on biomedical translation task. We participated in two language directions: German-English and Chinese-English. To address the domain problem, on one hand, we adopt model ensemble technique (Liu et al., 2018) with different transformer architectures to build a more robust model. On the other hand, we enlarge the in-domain bilingual corpus with back-translation approach (Sennrich et al., 2016a).

Our contributions are as follows:

- We adopt the model ensemble technique and the back-translation approach to achieve the state-of-the-art performance on WMT19 biomedical translation task test sets.
- To promote further studies, we release some pre-trained models and the in-domain synthetic Chinese-English bilingual data for the community.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents our system with four different transformer architectures: DEEP, HYBRID, BIG, LARGE Transformers. Section 3 describes the training data used in our system, including bilingual data, monolingual data and synthetic bilingual data. Section 4 reports experimental results in two language directions. Finally, we conclude our work in Section 5.

2 System

In our systems, we adopt four different model architectures with TRANSFORMER (Vaswani et al., 2017):

- **DEEP TRANSFORMER** (Dou et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019a; Dou et al., 2019) is the TRANSFORMER-BASE model with the 40-layer encoder.

- **HYBRID TRANSFORMER** (Hao et al., 2019b) is the TRANSFORMER-BASE model with 40-layer hybrid encoder. The 40-layer hybrid encoder stacks 35-layer self-attention-based encoder on top of 5-layer bi-directional ON-LSTM (Shen et al., 2019) encoder.

- **BIG TRANSFORMER** is the TRANSFORMER-BIG model as used by Vaswani et al. (2017).

- **LARGE TRANSFORMER** is similar to TRANSFORMER-BIG model except that it uses a 20-layer encoder.
The main differences between these models are presented in Table 1. Pre-Norm (Wang et al., 2019a) is adopted in above four models. All models are implemented on top of the open-source toolkit Fairseq\(^2\). Model ensemble is used through ensemble decoding with different model architectures.

| Hyper-parameters          | Deep | Hybrid | Big | LARGE |
|---------------------------|------|--------|-----|-------|
| Encoder Layer             | 40   | 40     | 6   | 20    |
| Decoder Layer             | 6    | 6      | 6   | 6     |
| Attention Heads           | 8    | 8      | 16  | 16    |
| Embedding Size            | 512  | 512    | 1024| 1024  |
| FFN Size                  | 2048 | 2048   | 4096| 4096  |

Table 1: Hyper-parameters of different Transformer models used in our system.

The data used to train our system consists of three parts: bilingual data, monolingual data and synthetic bilingual data.

3 Data

The data used to train our system consists of three parts: bilingual data, monolingual data and synthetic bilingual data.

3.1 Bilingual Data

In-domain bilingual data The in-domain bilingual data is provided by WMT20 biomedical translation shared task. For German-English, we choose Biomedical Translation\(^3\) and UFAL Medical Corpus\(^4\) to use as the in-domain training data. For Chinese-English out-of-domain (OOD) data, we adopt data selection (Axelrod et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014) to select the in-house data (8.5M sentence pairs) as the in-domain training data.

General-domain bilingual data To alleviate the data scarce problem, we collect general-domain bilingual data from WMT20 news translation shared task\(^5\). For German-English, we use Europarl-v10\(^6\), ParaCrawl-v5.1\(^7\), News Commentary-v15\(^8\) and Wiki Titles-v2\(^9\). For Chinese-English, we use CCMT Corpus\(^10\), UN Parallel Corpus v1.0\(^11\), News Commentary-v15\(^12\).

3.2 Monolingual Data

As WMT20 biomedical translation shared task provides in-domain bilingual data in other language pairs, we gather in-domain monolingual data from bilingual data in other language pair. Specifically, we collect the English side of the bilingual sentence pairs from Biomedical Translation and UFAL Medical Corpus.

The statistics of the in-domain bilingual and monolingual data is listed in Table 2.

3.3 Synthetic Bilingual Data

To enlarge the in-domain bilingual corpus, we adopt back-translation method (Sennrich et al., 2016a) to generate synthetic bilingual sentence pairs. For Chinese-English, as we lack of sufficient in-domain bilingual data, we use an online translation system TranSmart\(^13\) to translate the in-domain monolingual English back to Chinese. For German-English, we train a English-German LARGE model on the combination of in-domain and general-domain bilingual data, and use the model to generate synthetic bilingual data.

4 Experiment

We report experimental results in four language pairs: German-English (de/en), English-German (en/de), Chinese-English (zh/en) and English-Chinese (en/zh).

4.1 Experimental Setup

Data Pre-Processing We follow previous work (Saunders et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2019) to
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\(^2\)https://github.com/pytorch/fairseq
\(^3\)https://github.com/biomedical-translation-corpora/corpora
\(^4\)https://ufal.mff.cuni.cz/ufal_medical_corpus
\(^5\)http://www.statmt.org/wmt18/translation-task.html
\(^6\)http://www.statmt.org/europarl/v10/
\(^7\)https://www.paracrawl.eu/index.php
\(^8\)http://data.statmt.org/wikititles/v2/
\(^9\)http://data.statmt.org/news-commentary/v15/
\(^10\)http://mteval.cipsc.org.cn:81/agreement/description
\(^11\)https://conferences.unite.un.org/UNCorpus/
\(^12\)http://data.statmt.org/wikititles/v2/
\(^13\)transmart.qq.com
Table 2: The detailed statistics of in-domain training data used in our system. "Zh/En" and "De/En" denote the Chinese-English and German-English bilingual data, respectively. "En" denotes the monolingual English data.

| Corpus               | File                          | Zh/En  | De/En  | En      |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Biomedical Translation| wmt18training/es-en            | n/a    | n/a    | 287,811 |
|                      | wmt18training/fr-en            | n/a    | n/a    | 627,576 |
|                      | wmt18training/pt-en            | n/a    | n/a    | 74,645  |
|                      | wmt19training/de-en            | n/a    | 40,398 | 40,398  |
|                      | wmt19training/fr-en            | n/a    | n/a    | 75,049  |
|                      | wmt19training/es-en            | n/a    | n/a    | 100,257 |
|                      | wmt19training/pt-en            | n/a    | n/a    | 49,918  |
|                      | wmt20training/it-en            | n/a    | n/a    | 14,756  |
|                      | wmt20training/ru-en            | n/a    | n/a    | 46,782  |
| UFAL Medical Corpus  | shuffled.de-en                 | n/a    | 37,814,533 | 37,814,533 |
|                      | shuffled.cs-en                 | n/a    | n/a    | 48,243,170 |
|                      | shuffled.es-en                 | n/a    | n/a    | 92,999,169 |
|                      | shuffled.fr-en                 | n/a    | n/a    | 88,526,658 |
|                      | shuffled.hu-en                 | n/a    | n/a    | 48,783,611 |
|                      | shuffled.pl-en                 | n/a    | n/a    | 39,442,076 |
|                      | shuffled.ro-en                 | n/a    | n/a    | 62,034,179 |
|                      | shuffled.sv-en                 | n/a    | n/a    | 23,142,661 |

Follow Bawden et al. (2019), we use multibleu.perl from Moses to compute BLEU scores and report case-sensitive BLEU scores on development and test sets.

**Data Pre-processing** For each language pair, we perform byte-pair encoding (BPE) (Sennrich et al., 2016a) processing on the combination of in-domain bilingual data and general-domain bilingual data, and set the number of BPE merge operations to 50,000 for source and target sides, respectively.

**Model Training** The learning rate is set to 0.0007. All models are trained for 600K steps on 8 Tesla V100 GPUs where each is allocated with a batch size of 8192 tokens.

4.2 Evaluation

For German-English, we use the Khresmoi development data as the development set, and use the sentence pairs with the correct alignment in WMT19 biomedical translation task set as our test set. For Chinese-English, we use the in-house bilingual test set (1,000 sentence pairs) and the sentence pairs with the correct alignment in WMT19 biomedical translation task test set as development set and test set, respectively.

---

14https://github.com/moses-smt/mosesdecoder/tree/master/scripts
15normalize-punctuation.perl, tokenizer.perl, remove-non-printing-char.perl
16https://github.com/moses-smt/mosesdecoder
17https://github.com/rsennrich/subword-nmt
with best validation loss throughout the training process is selected as the final model for the testing. For model inference, the length penalty is set to 0.6 and the beam size is set to 4.

The German-English results are listed in Table 3. Our observations are:

- Due to the largest model capacity, LARGE model obtains the best translation performance among the four model variants.
- Ensemble decoding with different transformer architectures (ENSSEMBLE in Table 3) achieves best translation performance.
- Leveraging in-domain bilingual data (“+In-domain”) and synthetic bilingual data (“+BT In-domain”) achieves significant translation improvement.

Data rejuvenation\(^\text{18}\) (Jiao et al., 2020) is an approach which exploits the inactive training examples for neural machine translation on large-scale datasets. We adopt the data rejuvenation approach to German⇒English translation task. Experimental results are presented in Table 7 and the data rejuvenation approach achieves significant improvement over the baseline LARGE model.

### 4.4 Chinese-English Results

For Chinese-English task, we gradually add the general-domain data, the synthetic bilingual data and OOD in-house data to the training data and train the models from scratch. Since the development set and test set have different data distribution, we save checkpoints every epoch and average the last 5 checkpoints rather than choose the model with best validation loss. For model inference, the length penalty is set to 2.0 and the beam size is set to 8.

Similar phenomena are observed in Chinese-English translation task. Table 4 shows Chinese-English translation results. Finally, our systems obtain 32.24 BLEU points and 33.23 BLEU points on the development and test sets, respectively.

### 4.5 Main Results

Main results are reported in Table 5. Our submissions (Tencent AI Lab Machine Translation, TMT) with model ensemble technique achieve strong performances in WMT19 German⇔English and Chinese⇔English biomedical test sets.

### 5 Official Results

The official automatic evaluation results of our submissions for WMT 2020 are presented in Table 6. Our final systems rank the 1st and 3rd places on German-English and English–German, respectively, in terms of BLEU score.

### 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we present Tencent AI Lab machine translation systems for the WMT20 biomedical translation shared task and release the pre-trained models as well as the in-domain synthetic Chinese-English bilingual data for the research commu-

---

\(^{18}\) [GitHub Link]
| System                  | De-En | En-De | Zh-En | En-Zh |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| ARC (Peng et al., 2019) | 38.84 | 35.39 | 32.16 | 37.09 |
| UCAM (Saunders et al., 2019) | 38.07 | 34.69 | n/a   | n/a   |
| Our System             | 40.68 | 35.53 | 33.23 | 37.85 |

Table 5: Evaluation of translation performance on the WMT19 German⇔English and Chinese⇔English biomedical test sets. Only the correctly aligned sentences are used in the test sets.

| System                  | De-En | En-De | Zh-En | En-Zh |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Best Official          | 41.65 | 36.89 | 35.28 | 46.86 |
| TMT Primary Run       | 41.65 | 35.24 | 30.48 | 39.43 |

Table 6: Official BLEU scores of our submissions for WMT20 biomedical task. Only the correctly aligned sentences are used in the test sets.

|                | Dev   | Bio19  |
|----------------|-------|--------|
| LARGE          | 52.37 | 39.56  |
| +data rejuvenation | 52.69 | 40.31  |

Table 7: Effect of data rejuvenation strategy. BLEU scores on the WMT19 German⇒English biomedical test set. Only the correctly aligned sentences are used in the test set.

In the future, we plan to explore domain adaptation (Peng et al., 2019; Saunders et al., 2019; Chu and Wang, 2018; Wang et al., 2017a), phrase modeling (Wang et al., 2017b,c; Hao et al., 2019a), structural modeling (Hao et al., 2019c; Wang et al., 2019b) strategies to improve the system performance.
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