From Three-Photon Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger States to Ballistic Universal Quantum Computation
Mercedes Gimeno-Segovia, Pete Shadbolt, Dan E. Browne, and Terry Rudolph
Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 020502 — Published 8 July 2015
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.020502
From three-photon GHZ states to universal ballistic quantum computation

Mercedes Gimeno-Segovia,1 Pete Shadbolt,1 Dan E. Browne,2 and Terry Rudolph1

1Department of Physics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom
2Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom
(Dated: June 1, 2015)

Single photons, manipulated using integrated linear optics, constitute a promising platform for universal quantum computation. A series of increasingly efficient proposals have shown linear-optical quantum computing to be formally scalable. However, existing schemes typically require extensive adaptive switching, which is experimentally challenging and noisy, thousands of photon sources per renormalized qubit, and/or large quantum memories for repeat-until-success strategies. Our work overcomes all these problems. We present a scheme to construct a cluster state universal for quantum computation, which uses no adaptive switching, no large memories, and which is at least an order of magnitude more resource-efficient than previous passive schemes. Unlike previous proposals, it is constructed entirely from loss-detecting gates and offers a robustness to photon loss. Even without the use of an active loss-tolerant encoding, our scheme naturally tolerates a total loss rate $\sim 1.6\%$ in the photons detected in the gates. This scheme uses only 3-GHZ states as a resource, together with a passive linear-optical network. We fully describe and model the iterative process of cluster generation, including photon loss and gate failure. This demonstrates that building a linear optical quantum computer need be less challenging than previously thought.

In 2001, Knill, Laflamme and Milburn [1] showed that scalable quantum computation was possible using only linear optical elements — without the need for deterministic two-photon interactions. However, their proposal was more a proof of principle than a feasible construction as the scheme required tens of thousands of optical elements to acquire gates with a high probability of success. Since then, several proposals have developed the idea of a linear optical quantum computer (LOQC), including Nielsen’s proposal [2] of combining linear optics with cluster states, Browne and Rudolph’s fusion mechanisms [3] to efficiently create optical cluster states and Kieling’s et al proposal [4] of building an imperfect cluster that can be renormalized using ideas of percolation theory. While alternative schemes for LOQC [5] using parity state encoding [6] or small amplitude coherent states [7] have been proposed, we do not address these approaches in this manuscript.

Recent demonstrations [8–12] have made significant progress towards experimental linear-optical quantum computing. In particular, the use of integrated photonics to implement large-scale, complex interferometers on a chip shows great promise. However, active feed-forward remains challenging, it requires fast switching which is a dominant source of photon loss and has not yet been experimentally demonstrated in an integrated device.

Of previous approaches to linear optical quantum computing, only Kieling et al’s proposal [4] is ballistic - meaning that active switching is not required for the process of cluster state generation. It is thus the most suitable previous approach to LOQC in an integrated setting. It has a number of shortcomings, however. Firstly, it requires 4 or 5-photon entangled states as input—costly and difficult to generate in a (near)-deterministic manner. Secondly, it is not constructed from loss-tolerant components, photon loss during the process will lead to the generation of an undesired state.

In this Letter, we adapt new advances in Bell state measurement [13, 14] to the ballistic cluster state generation scheme to provide a new approach to scalable ballistic LOQC with significant advances on Kieling et al’s approach. Off-line resources are reduced to 3-photon entangled states, while all gates are loss-detecting. The scheme has an in-built robustness to loss and will succeed, without additional loss-encoding, even if $> 1\%$ of the photons entering the gates are lost. Deterministic $n$-qubit entangled state generation becomes increasingly experimentally challenging with $n$ [15], and the reduction to resource states of only 3 photons is thus a significant improvement. For a fair comparison of our scheme against previous proposals [4] we count the number of Bell pairs needed to build the initial entangled states for both cases. As the construction of these initial states is probabilistic, we assume a multiplexing stage in order to achieve deterministic resource states, which then enables us to count the total number of Bell pairs used in each strategy. The full resource comparison, demonstrating at least an order of magnitude reduction in resources, is presented in the supplementary material.

The basic building block of our scheme is Browne and Rudolph’s Type-II fusion gate, which can be used to connect small cluster state fragments into a large cluster state for measurement-based quantum computing. This gate is equivalent to a Bell state measurement (BSM) in a rotated basis. In linear optics, BSMS cannot be achieved deterministically. For a long time, the highest known probability of success for a linear optical BSM was 50% [16], but recent breakthroughs have shown that this can be improved to 75% by incorporating ancillary resources - such as Bell Pairs [13] or single photons [14]. We adapt these schemes to give a Type-II fusion gate with the same enhanced probability. The advantage of using Type-II fusion instead of Type-I as in previous proposals[4], is that this gate detects any lost photons and therefore does not introduce logical errors [17].

The phenomenon of percolation has been long studied [18]
in classical statistical mechanics as a prototype phase transition on graphs that have lost some of their bonds and/or sites due to a randomized process with a probability $1 - p$. When $p$ is above the percolation threshold, there exists at least one spanning path from one side of the lattice to the other. In the context of one-way LOQC, the percolation graph will define a cluster state, whose bonds/sites are effectively removed due to failure of probabilistic entangling gates together with photon loss. The percolation threshold marks a phase transition in the computational power of the resource state generated \cite{19}, which distinguishes the states that can be used for universal quantum computation from those which cannot.

Here, we exploit the 75\% success probability of the boosted Type-II gate, to develop a new percolation approach in which 3-photon cluster states are fused together to form a lattice. The underlying graph we choose is the diamond lattice, as it has the lowest vertex degree of all 3D lattices and yet it shows good percolation properties in comparison with 2D lattices with the same correlation number per site \cite{20}. As it will be shown in figures 3 and 5, in our scheme, failure of a gate produces correlated bond losses as well as the appearance of bonds that do not belong to the diamond lattice form. This is very different from the uncorrelated bond loss model which is usually studied in statistical mechanics, and therefore we cannot employ existing analytic or numerical results.

The internal structure of a diamond lattice can equivalently be seen as a “brickwork” in three dimensions (Fig. 2). This picture is useful when arranging the microclusters prior to fusion, as all bonds then lie in one of three orthogonal directions. The diamond lattice is formally isotropic, however its brickwork depiction is not, there is a greater average connectivity in the X direction and thus a preferred direction for percolation. The process by which the lattice is generated (figs 3 and 4) is optimized to take advantage of this anisotropy.

In figure 2 we can see how the GHZ states are arranged to create the brickwork structure. For each site in the final lattice, we use three 3-GHZ states to create a five-qubit microcluster. Each microcluster is created by performing two rotated Type-II fusion gates \cite{3}, as described in figure 3. The 5-star microcluster will be created when both fusions succeed, however in the case of failure, the outcomes will still create connectivity in the lattice, contributing still to the percolation of the whole lattice. In the case where we have formed a 5 qubit star graph state, all the qubits in the exterior are equivalent, however in the cases where failures have happened, the way in which we arrange those external qubits affects the connectivity of the lattice. We have shown in figure 3 the arrangement that is most suitable for our scheme and that allows us to obtain the lowest percolation threshold.

To assess the percolation properties of the lattice, we use a Monte Carlo simulation. In each independent run, our simu-
FIG. 4. (Color online) Fusion of 5-qubit microcluster to form the final lattice

Simulation builds the lattice sequentially, modeling the action of the success and failure of the fusion gates and attempts to find a percolation path. In doing so, we achieve a more realistic picture compared to the simpler alternative of deleting nodes from a perfectly formed lattice. This approach also allows us to observe the information which will ultimately be fed to a classical percolation algorithm. For each set of parameters, the simulation is run $10^4$ times to ensure that statistical error in the data is $\lesssim 1\%$.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Instance of the percolated cluster (10x3x3), highlighted in blue is the spanning cluster. In addition to the orthogonal bonds which are expected in the canonical brickwork lattice, we see some diagonal bonds — these are the result of failed fusions during the creation of microclusters.

In figure 5 we present an instance of the lattice, where we can see why this lattice is not the typical percolated diamond lattice. The failures of some of the fusion gates produce correlated bond losses together with the appearance of new diagonal bonds that can be seen in the figure. It must be noted that the presence or absence of the bonds will be known from the pattern of successes and failures of the fusion gates. Thus in any experimental set up, the structure of the percolated lattice could be inferred by a simple classical algorithm.

Let us define $\Pi(p, L)$ as the probability that a lattice of linear dimension $L$ percolates when built with fusion gates that succeed with probability $p$. The percolation threshold can be calculated from finite size lattices by finding the crossing point of the function $\Pi(p, L_i)$ for different values of $L_i$ (a justification for this procedure can be found in the supplementary material).

![Graph showing percolation probability vs. success probability of fusion gates](image)

We perform the simulation by generating instances of the lattice with fusion success probability $p$. In figure 6 we have represented the results for lattices of different linear dimension and find the value for the percolation threshold, which is estimated to be $p_c \approx 0.625$. We conclude that lattices built according to our scheme, using boosted fusion gates with success probability of 75%, are well above the percolation threshold — and are therefore universal for quantum computing.

A single qubit channel: In traditional MBQC, a single qubit is replaced by a linear cluster. When two-qubit operations are required, a bond (gate) is created between two linear clusters (qubits). In a paradigm where the creation of entanglement between qubits is probabilistic (such as in LOQC), a three-dimensional piece of cluster state can be used to implement a single functional qubit. If there exists a spanning path through the cluster, information can flow through the channel, allowing the computation to progress. We can then calculate how many operations we can perform on this single qubit.

The cluster channel is parametrized by a fixed cross section (width and height) and variable length, which corresponds to the computational depth. The cross section of this cluster is directly related to its percolation properties — a larger cross section gives a higher percolation probability. Given a desired length, we must choose a cross section in order to have a percolation probability higher than some desired probability of success. In figure 7 we show the percolation probability for different cross sections, as a function of the length. We have chosen square cross sections because in preliminary simulations this geometry performed better than rectangular shaped cross sections.

As we can see from figure 7, for a cross section of $6 \times 6$ qubits, we can make the cluster very deep. Because of computational constraints, simulating large clusters is very challenging. We fit an exponential decay function to the data, ob-
Probability of percolation

We want to stress that this is a natural loss tolerance of the system. Previous proposals [4] have given thresholds for heralded loss, where the location of all loss errors in the final lattice is known. Heralded loss is not experimentally justified in LOQC and only serves as an upper bound for loss tolerance. In order to compare our scheme with previous work we have performed the same kind of heralded loss simulations and found that in this scenario we could tolerate loss rates up to 15%, which is an improvement of 5% on the numerical results reported in [4]. The improvement over previous proposals [4] is not only on the overall robustness of the construction, which is indicated by the 5% improvement on the heralded loss tolerance, but also the reduction of the amount of resources needed by at least an order of magnitude.

We have presented a ballistic scheme for the construction of a linear optical cluster state that is universal for MBQC. While we have not explicitly included error-correcting codes to provide robustness to loss and errors in the photons in the final computational cluster state, the universality of the cluster state implies a number of ways forward, incorporating tree-clusters [21] or the surface code [22, 23] as loss-error and general-error correcting codes. Raussendorf’s 3D cluster encoded surface code [24], in particular, seems well suited to ballistic generation.

To implement this scheme with only 3-photon GHZ as resources we have proposed a boosted fusion mechanism based on [13] and [14] that works with 75% probability, which is well above the percolation threshold \((p_c > 62.5\%)\) of this lattice. We have shown the robustness of the scheme in the presence of small amounts of photon loss (up to 1.6%) and its favourable resource scaling. Even though this scheme was devised with linear optics in mind, it applies for any physical system with probabilistic gates, and if that probability is higher than 75% it might be conceivable that the resources needed could be reduced even further.

For this scheme to be implemented experimentally, it would need a near-deterministic 3-photon GHZ source. It is not yet known what the optimal way of producing these photonic states is, options range from multiplexing a linear optical circuit such as that proposed in [17], using a similar scheme to the multiplexed single photon source such as [25], to producing a 3-photon linear cluster (local Clifford equivalent to a GHZ) with a quantum dot [26]. As any linear optical fully loss detecting gate must necessarily measure all photons incident on it, the 3-photon GHZ is the minimal resource for a loss-detecting BSM-based ballistic scheme.

Ballistic generation of cluster states for MBQC remains the most attractive approach to scalable linear optical quantum computing. By developing a loss-tolerant and significantly more resource efficient scheme, we have shown that new theoretical ideas continue to ameliorate the technical challenges of building a scalable linear optical quantum computer.
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