Schwinger pair production with ultracold atoms
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A B S T R A C T

We consider a system of ultracold atoms in an optical lattice as a quantum simulator for electron–
positron pair production in quantum electrodynamics (QED). For a setup in one spatial dimension, we
investigate the nonequilibrium phenomenon of pair production including the backreaction leading to
plasma oscillations. Unlike previous investigations on quantum link models, we focus on the infinite-
dimensional Hilbert space of QED and show that it may be well approximated by experiments employing
Bose–Einstein condensates interacting with fermionic atoms. Numerical calculations based on functional
integral techniques give a unique access to the physical parameters required to realize QED phenomena
in a cold atom experiment. In particular, we use our approach to consider quantum link models in a
yet unexplored parameter regime and give bounds for their ability to capture essential features of the
physics. The results suggest a paradigmatic change towards realizations using coherent many-body states
for quantum simulations of high-energy particle physics phenomena.
© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license
(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

1. Introduction

The creation of electron–positron pairs from the vacuum of quantum electrodynamics in an external electric
field is a long-standing prediction that has not yet been directly observed [1,2].
Upcoming experimental laser facilities, such as the Extreme Light Infrastructure (ELI) [3], start approaching
the required critical field strength of $E_c \approx 10^{16}$ V/cm. Theoretically, the non-linear interplay of
the produced many-body states and the applied field represents a remarkable challenge with important links to a wide range
of elusive phenomena such as Unruh and Hawking radiation or string-breaking in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [4–6].

Whilst the critical field strength $E_c = M^2/e$ is determined by the electron/positron mass $M$ and the absolute value of the electric
charge $e$ in QED, the pair-production phenomenon essentially depends on the dimensionless ratio $E/E_c \gtrsim 1$ for an applied electric
field $E$. In principle, physical systems with very different characteristic scales can thus be used to realize the underlying
phenomenon. It has recently been suggested to employ a system of ultracold atoms in an optical lattice to study the physics of pair
production and string breaking [7,8]. Even though the implementation of a gauge symmetry in an atomic setup is demanding in
general [9–11], it may provide a unique way of answering crucial open questions, such as regarding the nonequilibrium dynamics of the strong and electroweak sector of the standard model of particle physics probed in heavy-ion collision experiments or early-universe cosmology [12,13].

Many proposals concentrate on quantum link models [14] rather than QED, or even QCD. Since the Hilbert space of a quantum
link model is finite-dimensional, the mapping to atomic systems is expected to be greatly facilitated. However, it is a crucial
question how much of the physics of the infinite-dimensional representation corresponding to QED may be captured in practice. Theoretical estimates based on diagonalization or matrix product states techniques are typically limited to low-dimensional representations [15–17]. Recently, powerful functional integral (FI) techniques have been employed to simulate the real-time dynamics of pair production and string-breaking directly in QED on a one-dimensional lattice [18–20], and in three dimensions [21]. This progress has become possible since strong bosonic fields can be efficiently sampled from coherent classical fields while keeping the full quantum nature of fermions [18,22].

In this work, we exploit this observation and start from the infinite-dimensional representation of the QED gauge group, pointing out that it may be well approximated by experiments using Bose–Einstein condensates interacting with fermionic atoms. For the first time, by using FI techniques we can estimate the physical parameters required to describe the QED phenomenon of pair
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production in a cold atom setup and we discuss the experimental realization. For this paradigmatic example, numerical studies using the FI approach are still feasible and serve as an important benchmark for future quantum simulation experiments in numerically intractable regimes. In particular, we use our approach to consider quantum link models in a yet unexplored parameter range and to give bounds for the dimensionality of the employed representation in order to capture essential features of strong-field QED in those formulations [16].

While the FI techniques can also be applied in higher dimensions and non-Abelian gauge theories [21], we focus here on the conceptually important example of QED in one spatial dimension [23,24]. Since there are no spatial plaquette terms in this case, angular momentum conserving atomic scattering processes can be used to directly implement the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry [14]. From a phenomenological point of view, this theory shares key properties with QCD as, e.g., dynamical string breaking, and hence provides valuable insights into nonequilibrium aspects of the theory of strong interactions.

2. Cold atom gauge theory

We start with the Hamiltonian formulation of lattice QED using the staggered fermion discretization [24]. To this end, the spinors are decomposed such that particle and antiparticle components separately reside on two neighboring sites of the lattice. Introducing the operators for the fermion field $\psi_n$, the link $U_n$ being connected to the gauge potential $A_n$ and the electric field $E_n$, the Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{\text{QED}} = \sum_n \left\{ \frac{g}{2} E_n^2 + M(-1)^n \psi_n^\dagger \psi_n - \frac{i}{2a} \left[ \psi_n^\dagger U_n \psi_{n+1} - \psi_{n+1}^\dagger U_n^\dagger \psi_n \right] \right\} ,$$

(1)

where $a$ is the lattice spacing and $g$ the gauge coupling. The dynamical variables of QED fulfill $[E_n, U_m] = g b_m b_n$. The Gauss-law operator $G_n = E_n - E_{n-1} - g \psi_n^\dagger \psi_n$ commutes with the Hamiltonian $[G_n, H_{\text{QED}}] = 0$. The last relation manifests local gauge invariance.

To make contact with quantum link models, we approximate QED by another theory, which is still gauge invariant and allows for an experimental realization with cold atoms [14,25]. We substitute $E_n \rightarrow g L_z$, $U_n \rightarrow \ell/\varepsilon$ and $L_{x,n}$ are quantum spin operators which obey $[L_{x,n}, L_{y,m}] = i b_m b_n$, and the raising operator is $L_{+n} = L_{x,n} + i L_{y,n}$. The performed substitution renders the dimension of the local Hilbert space finite-dimensional. Consequently, the QED relation $[U_n, U_m] = 0$ is no longer valid but is replaced by $[L_{x,n}, L_{x,m}] = 2 b_m b_n$. Remarkably, local gauge invariance is not affected.

The Schwinger representation of the angular momentum operators allows us to express the quantum spins by bosonic degrees of freedom $b_n$ and $d_n$ [26]: $L_{x,n} = b_n^\dagger b_n$, $L_{y,n} = d_n^\dagger d_n$ and $L_{x,n} = (b_n^\dagger b_n - d_n^\dagger d_n)/2$, with the constraint $b_n^\dagger b_n + d_n^\dagger d_n = 2 \ell$. Here, $\ell$ denotes the spin magnitude fixing the number of bosonic atoms.

In this representation, the Hamiltonian describing the cold atom (CA) system becomes

$$H_{\text{CA}} = \sum_n \left\{ \frac{g^2 \alpha}{4} [b_n^\dagger b_n^\dagger b_n b_n + d_n^\dagger d_n^\dagger d_n d_n] + M(-1)^n \psi_n^\dagger \psi_n - \frac{i}{2a \sqrt{\varepsilon} (\varepsilon + 1)} \left[ \psi_n^\dagger b_n d_n \psi_{n+1} - \psi_{n+1}^\dagger b_n^\dagger d_n^\dagger b_n \psi_n \right] \right\} + M(-1)^n \psi_n^\dagger \psi_n$$

(2)

and depends on two species of bosonic operators $b_n$, $d_n$ living on links and fermionic operators $\psi_n$ located on lattice sites. The parameters are determined by the basic physical quantities of the cold atom system, such as the gauge coupling $g$ given by the on-site scattering processes of the bosons and the spin-changing collisions between the fermionic and bosonic atoms.

Employing the density-phase representation with $b_n = \sqrt{\varepsilon + 1} \delta_{n,0} e^{i b_n} - i \delta_{n,0} e^{i d_n}$ and $d_n = \sqrt{\varepsilon + 1} \delta_{n,0} e^{i d_n} + i \delta_{n,0} e^{i b_n}$, one finds $H_{\text{CA}} = H_{\text{QED}} + O(\delta \rho/\ell)$. Therefore, the number of bosonic atoms per site, $2 \ell$, controls the approximation and allows to tune from the quantum link formulation to lattice QED by increasing $\ell$.

In previous work, the Hamiltonian (2) was studied for $\ell \sim O(1)$ via diagonalization or matrix product states methods [8,15–17,27]. Here we consider for the first time the dynamics in the regime $\ell \gg 1$ to approach lattice QED. From an experimental point of view, this regime corresponds to putting Bose–Einstein condensates on the links rather than single bosonic atoms.

3. Functional integral approach

To study the strong-field regime of QED, the field strength needs to be of the order of the critical field $E_c = M^2/g$. The corresponding cold atom setup is characterized by $E_c = g |N_0 - N_d|/2 \sim M^2/g$, where $N_0$, $N_d$ denote the number of atoms in the Bose–Einstein condensates. For $N_0$, $N_d \sim O(\ell) \gg 1$, the FI approach of Refs. [18,20–22,28] allows us to study the dynamics in this regime. To this end, we denote the bosonic fields collectively by $\phi_n = (b_n^\dagger, b_n, a_n^\dagger, a_n)$ and define the generating functional for correlation functions in the presence of sources $J_n = (J_n, J_n^\dagger, J_d, J_d^\dagger)$ by $Z[J] = \text{Tr}(\rho_0 T e^{i L \phi})$. Here, $\rho_0$ is the initial density matrix, $L \phi = \sum_n J_n (\phi_n(t) \ell t)$ the coordinate time along the closed time path $C$, and $T$ denotes time-ordering along $C$. Employing the coherent state basis, the matrix element of the density operator at initial time is $\langle + | \rho_0 | - \rangle$, where $| + \rangle$ and $| - \rangle$ are the first coherent states on the forward and backward contour, respectively. The FI representation of the generating functional is

$$Z[J] = \int \prod n (\psi_n^\dagger d_n^\dagger d_n^\dagger \psi_n) \langle + | \rho_0 | - \rangle \text{e}^{i L \phi} \langle + | \rho_0 | - \rangle$$

(3)

with the action

$$S = \int \prod n (\psi_n^\dagger i \delta \psi_n + b_n^\dagger i \delta b_n + a_n^\dagger i \delta a_n - H_{\text{CA}}).$$

(4)

We analytically perform the Gaussian integral for the fermions and then expand to first order in the bosonic response field $\phi_n$, which arises from the Keldysh rotation $\phi_n = \phi_n + g \phi_n$, disregarding higher-order terms, i.e., neglecting subleading corrections in bosonic occupancies [21] that are suppressed by $N_{b,1}^{-1}$, $N_{d,1}^{-1} \ll 1$, leads to the self-consistent set of equations

$$i \delta b_n = \frac{g^2 \alpha}{2} b_n^\dagger b_n b_n + i \frac{d_n F_{n+1}}{4 \alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon} (\varepsilon + 1)} ,$$

$$i \delta d_n = \frac{g^2 \alpha}{2} a_n^\dagger a_n d_n - i \frac{d_n F_{n+1}}{4 \alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon} (\varepsilon + 1)} ,$$

$$i \delta F_{nn} = \sum_n \left[ h_{n}^{\text{CA}} F_{nm} - F_{nm} h_{n}^{\text{CA}} \right] ,$$

(5)

where $F_{nm} = \langle \psi_n, \psi_m^\dagger \rangle$ is the fermion equal-time correlation function, whose evolution is governed by

$$h_{n}^{\text{CA}} = \frac{[d_n b_{n-1} b_n - b_n^\dagger d_n b_{n-1}^\dagger]}{2a \sqrt{\varepsilon} (\varepsilon + 1)} + M(-1)^n \delta_{nm} .$$

The equations (5) preserve the Gauss law, $\delta \rho_0 = 0$, if initialized accordingly. A similar derivation for the QED Hamiltonian (1) gives
The creation of electron–positron pairs in a uniform electric field $E$ may be viewed as a quantum process in which virtual electron–positron dipoles can be separated to become real pairs once they gain the binding energy of twice the rest mass energy. This QED process has been estimated [1,2] neglecting the backreaction of the produced pairs on the applied field, and the analytic result for the rate $\dot{n} = M^2 E / (2\pi E_c \exp(-\pi E_c/E))$ is depicted in Fig. 1.

This estimate should be valid at sufficiently early times and provides an important algorithm for any simulation method. Therefore, we consider a spatial lattice of length $Na$ with periodic boundary conditions and leave more refined estimates taking into account specific trap geometries of cold atom systems for further studies. We first compute the real-time evolution according to (5) for $g/M = 0.1$ and $\alpha M = 0.005$ in the limit $\ell \to \infty$, where it agrees to QED described by (6). Here $N$ determines the number of fermionic atoms, and we checked that for the largest employed lattices with $N = 512$ no significant volume dependence can be observed and our results are insensitive to changes in the lattice spacing. Accordingly, employing a standard definition of the particle number density [18,21] the simulation result for QED ($\ell = \infty$) as shown in Fig. 1 agrees well with the Schwinger formula at early times. At later times the backreaction of the produced pairs on the applied field is seen to give the expected sizable corrections [18,19].

Of course, in the corresponding cold atom system no particles are produced as the number of atoms is fixed. However, since two neighboring fermions are considered as particles and antiparticles in the staggered formulation, pair production is encoded in the hopping of atoms between odd and even sites of the optical lattice. This generates correlations, whose time evolution describes the corresponding phenomenon of pair production as shown in Fig. 1. The results demonstrate the convergence of the atomic system’s dynamics to the QED behavior as the number of bosonic atoms is increased. For $\ell = 2500$ we still observe considerable deviations from the QED result, whereas the difference becomes small for $\ell = 5000$.

In Fig. 2 the time evolution of the cold atom analogue of the electric field, $E = g(N_b - N_a)/2$, is given for different values of $\ell$. As for Fig. 1, we start with a bosonic species imbalance $N_b - N_a = 2M^2/g^2 > 0$ corresponding to the critical electric field strength in QED, and the analogue of the Dirac vacuum or ‘Fermi sea’ with the lowest $N/2$ energy eigenstates occupied. By comparison to Fig. 1, we observe a decrease of the electric field as the fermion number increases due to pair production. The correlated hopping of fermions reduces the bosonic species imbalance until it becomes zero and even changes its sign with $N_b - N_a < 0$ giving rise to plasma oscillations [18,21,29]. At times when the corresponding electric field drops below a critical level, particle creation effectively terminates as reflected in the characteristic plateaus in the particle number density.

The plasma oscillations are caused by the production and subsequent acceleration of particle–antiparticle pairs, which can be observed from their momentum distribution [18,21] as shown in Fig. 3 for $\ell = 10^3$ such that the QED result is well reproduced. The homogeneous electric field dominantly produces fermions around zero momentum, and accelerates the particles to higher momenta during the subsequent evolution. As the electric field decreases due to energy conservation, the production amplitude around zero mo-
momentum drops as well. At a time $t M \sim 175$, the fermions reach their maximum momentum along with a vanishing net electric field. Subsequently, the fermionic current results in a further decrease of the electric field to negative values along with a deceleration of the produced particles leading to the observed plasma oscillations.

The limiting experimental resources enforce a study of the dependence on the total number $N$ of fermionic atoms. Fig. 4 shows the electric field for different $N$ with fixed $\ell = 10^4$. We observe a reasonable description of the QED results for a full oscillation period employing a total number of fermionic atoms down to about $N = 128$, with sizable deviations occurring at later times. For $N = 512$ accurate descriptions are achieved for the entire range of times we considered.

5. Experimental realization

The physics of QED pair production in one spatial dimension may already be realized with available experimental techniques [8,14,30,31]. Here we point out how the relevant regime of large $\ell$ may be efficiently implemented and manipulated experimentally with the help of coherent many-body states.

Regarding the bosonic degrees of freedom, we confine two substates of one hyperfine manifold of bosonic atoms in a one-dimensional geometry via an external potential. Further, we employ a red detuned laser to generate an optical lattice such that the atoms are localized and the nearest neighbor hopping is suppressed. Already this construction allows us to realize mesoscopic bosonic gases with two components ($b_0$ and $d_0$) per site which can be described by the one axis twisting Hamiltonian [32] corresponding to the first two terms of (2). The preparation of the bosonic atoms mimicking the electric field can be achieved by a magnetic field or homogeneous two-photon microwave coupling, which produces a coherent spin state between the two components with a non-vanishing population difference.

Concerning the fermionic degrees of freedom, we again trap two substates of one hyperfine state manifold of fermionic atoms in a one-dimensional geometry. The aforementioned optical lattice is blue detuned for the fermions. Consequently, the fermions are located between the bosonic links, which is an essential ingredient of (2). In addition, we superimpose a second optical lattice with double lattice spacing in order to generate the staggered structure of the fermions. The frequency of this laser is tuned closer to resonance with respect to the fermions than to the bosons, such that the second lattice does not affect the latter ones. The staggered structure leads to a mini-gap in the dispersion relation of the fermions such that the initial state of the fermions corresponds to a fully filled lowest mini-band [33]. The detection of the fermions can be achieved by exploiting the band mapping technique [34].

The overlap of neighboring bosonic and fermionic atoms makes the hopping of one fermion from one site to the next possible via spin changing collisions. This modifies the internal state of the boson, i.e., species $b$ become $d$ or vice versa. This interaction induced hopping process implemented by boson-fermion spin-exchange collisions locally preserves the total spin [35]. In particular, the detuning of this process that is experimentally controlled by the external field corresponds to the mass term in (2). The dynamics of the system is initiated with a quench of the mass term from being far off-resonant.

6. Conclusion

Simulating high-energy physics by experiments with ultracold atoms may be achieved with coherent many-body states rather than single atoms. Our findings of the required resources in terms of atom numbers and protocols may already be realized with available experimental techniques. This opens new possibilities to resolve questions in the strong-coupling regimes of gauge theories, where no alternative real-time simulation techniques are known so far. Together with recent experimental proofs of concept in strongly interacting systems [36], one may hope to realize the old dream of solving complex problems in quantum field theory by experiment.
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