The antifungal plant defensin AtPDF2.3 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* blocks potassium channels
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Scorpion toxins that block potassium channels and antimicrobial plant defensins share a common structural CSαβ-motif. These toxins contain a toxin signature (K-C-X-N) in their amino acid sequence, and based on *in silico* analysis of 18 plant defensin sequences, we noted the presence of a toxin signature (K-C-R-G) in the amino acid sequence of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* defensin AtPDF2.3. We found that recombinant (r)AtPDF2.3 blocks K1.2 and K1.6 potassium channels, akin to the interaction between scorpion toxins and potassium channels. Moreover, rAtPDF2.3[G36N], a variant with a KCXN toxin signature (K-C-R-N), is more potent in blocking K1.2 and K1.6 channels than rAtPDF2.3, whereas rAtPDF2.3[K33A], devoid of the toxin signature, is characterized by reduced Kchannel blocking activity. These findings highlight the importance of the KCXN scorpion toxin signature in the plant defensin sequence for blocking potassium channels. In addition, we found that rAtPDF2.3 inhibits the growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and that pathways regulating potassium transport and/or homeostasis confer tolerance of this yeast to rAtPDF2.3, indicating a role for potassium homeostasis in the fungal defence response towards rAtPDF2.3. Nevertheless, no differences in antifungal potency were observed between the rAtPDF2.3 variants, suggesting that antifungal activity and Kchannel inhibitory function are not linked.

Voltage-gated potassium channels (Kv) are a diverse family of membrane-spanning proteins that selectively transfer potassium ions across the cell membrane in both excitable and non-excitable cells. These proteins play important roles in cellular signaling processes, such as regulating heart rate and insulin secretion1 and are involved in diverse physiological processes, including repolarization of action potential, cellular proliferation and migration and regulating cell volume2. Kv channels are considered to be ideal pharmacological targets for the development of new therapeutic drugs to treat cancer, autoimmune diseases and cardiovascular, neurological and metabolic disorders. For instance, Kv1.3 constitutes a promising target for treatment of autoimmune diseases, such as multiple sclerosis, as this channel is overexpressed in activated effector memory T cells2,4.

Scorpion toxins, among others, are well reported to interact with Kv channels. In 1999, Tytgat and colleagues suggested a general nomenclature for scorpion toxins active on Kv channels (α-KTxs), based on the similarity between the primary structures of those toxins5. Nowadays, more than 200 different scorpion toxins specific for potassium channels are divided in over 30 subfamilies, based on amino acid sequence motifs and on the location of cysteine residues that are crucial for 3D-structure6–7. Recently, it was shown that a toxin signature sequence can be assigned to α-KTxs. It has been proposed that most toxins that block Kchannels possess a conserved functional core composed of a key basic residue (Lysine or Arginine) associated with a key hydrophobic or aromatic residue (Leucine, Tyrosine, or Phenylalanine) within a 6.6 ± 1-Å distance. Such a functional dyad can be found in a broad range of structurally unrelated peptides from various animals, such as scorpions, cone snails, snakes, and sea anemones8,9. However, it has been reported that besides this dyad, other determinants are required for a high-affinity interaction between the toxin and its target10. Examples of toxins lacking a dyad but still capable of blocking Kchannels strongly suggest that the functional dyad on its own cannot represent the minimal pharmacophore or prerequisite for K1 binding11. These other determinants consist of eight structurally and functionally important residues conserved across the α-KTxs family, in which six cysteines are involved in three disulfide
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bridges and two amino acids (Lysine and Asparagine) in a four-residue long motif around the fourth cysteine (K-C-X-C-X-N) (X, any amino acid) are key functional residues of α-KTx12. Mutations at these two sites (Lysine27 and Asparagine30) had the largest destabilizing effects on binding of agatoxin2, an α-KTx isolated from the venom of the scorpion Leiterrus quinquemistratus hematus, to the Shaker potassium channel in Drosophila10,13. This is consistent with a toxin-channel complex model derived from solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies14. In that study, the side chains of Asparagine30 on the toxin kaliotoxin and Aspartic acid64 on the pore helix of one chain of KcsA-K1.3 (structurally equivalent to Aspartic acid431 of Drosophila melanogaster Shaker potassium channel or Aspartic acid361 of rat K1.1) are predicted to form hydrogen bonds, whereas side chains of Lysine27 directly enter into the pore region to contact the backbone carbonyls of Tyrosine78 on the channel filter (structurally equivalent to Tyrosine445 of D. melanogaster Shaker K+ channel or Tyrosine375 of rat K1.1)14. The functional importance of these two residues was also identified in a recent crystal structure of a K+ channel in complex with the α-KTx charybdotoxin, though in this complex the location of the Asparagine slightly differs from the NMR-based complex model12,14,15.

To date, only few K+ blockers are used in clinical settings. For instance, 4-aminopyridine, a K+ channel blocker, was marketed as a treatment for multiple sclerosis as it improved the walking speed of patients in phase III clinical trials16. Brivancan, which inhibits the atrial-specific channels K1.5 and K3.1/3.4, was approved in Europe as a new antiarrhythmic drug, as it was effective in terminating acute-onset atrial fibrillation17. Although several other K+ blockers entered clinical trials nowadays, and hence, await results on their efficacy in specific diseases, many K+ channel modulators lack specificity and have significant off-target toxicities18. These findings highlight the importance to identify and develop novel K+ blocking compounds in order to treat K+-related diseases.

In the search for tools to further develop novel K+ blocking compounds, we focused on another family belonging to the superfamily of cysteine-stabilized α/β (CSαβ) peptides, namely plant defensins. Plant defensins are small, basic, cysteine-rich peptides with antimicrobial activity against a wide range of microorganisms19,20. These peptides have been studied extensively the past decades and their antifungal activity has been well documented. They are of great interest as potential novel therapeutic agents, as they are suggested to be non-toxic for mammalian cells due to their initial interaction with microbe-specific targets that are absent in mammalian cells20. The extensive distribution of the common CSαβ structural motif throughout diverse organisms highlights that this relatively stable and versatile scaffold has the potential to tolerate insertions, deletions and substitutions within the structure21. It is the noteworthy CSαβ resemblance suggesting some similarity to scorpion toxins that block potassium channels which hinted us to investigate the possible interaction between plant defensins and K+ channels. Note that introduction of this scorpion toxin signature in the sequence of insect defensins, which does not contain such toxin signature, results in potassium channel blocking activity12.

In the present study, we analyzed the potential of several plant defensins to interact with potassium channels in silico, based on the presence of the potassium toxin signature sequence. Based on this analysis, we found that the Arabidopsis thaliana defensin AtPDF2.3 sequence contains a partial toxin sequence, and hence, we heterologously expressed this peptide, along with various AtPDF2.3 variants, either bearing a KC, a CXN or a KCXN filter (structurally equivalent to Tyrosine375 of rat Kv1.1)14. The same concentration of α-KTx6.12 possesses the toxin signature. In that study, the side chains of Asparagine30 on the toxin kaliotoxin and Aspartic acid64 on the pore helix of one chain of KcsA-K1.3 (structurally equivalent to Aspartic acid431 of Drosophila melanogaster Shaker potassium channel or Aspartic acid361 of rat K1.1) are predicted to form hydrogen bonds, whereas side chains of Lysine27 directly enter into the pore region to contact the backbone carbonyls of Tyrosine78 on the channel filter (structurally equivalent to Tyrosine445 of D. melanogaster Shaker K+ channel or Tyrosine375 of rat K1.1)14. The functional importance of these two residues was also identified in a recent crystal structure of a K+ channel in complex with the α-KTx charybdotoxin, though in this complex the location of the Asparagine slightly differs from the NMR-based complex model12,14,15.

In the present study, we analyzed the potential of several plant defensins to interact with potassium channels in silico, based on the presence of the potassium toxin signature sequence. Based on this analysis, we found that the Arabidopsis thaliana defensin AtPDF2.3 sequence contains a partial toxin sequence, and hence, we heterologously expressed this peptide, along with various AtPDF2.3 variants, either bearing a KC, a CXN or a KCXN filter (structurally equivalent to Tyrosine375 of rat Kv1.1)14. The same concentration of α-KTx6.12 possesses the toxin signature. In that study, the side chains of Asparagine30 on the toxin kaliotoxin and Aspartic acid64 on the pore helix of one chain of KcsA-K1.3 (structurally equivalent to Aspartic acid431 of Drosophila melanogaster Shaker potassium channel or Aspartic acid361 of rat K1.1) are predicted to form hydrogen bonds, whereas side chains of Lysine27 directly enter into the pore region to contact the backbone carbonyls of Tyrosine78 on the channel filter (structurally equivalent to Tyrosine445 of D. melanogaster Shaker K+ channel or Tyrosine375 of rat K1.1)14. The functional importance of these two residues was also identified in a recent crystal structure of a K+ channel in complex with the α-KTx charybdotoxin, though in this complex the location of the Asparagine slightly differs from the NMR-based complex model12,14,15.

### Results

**In silico analysis points to potential ion channel activity of the Arabidopsis thaliana plant defensin AtPDF2.3.** To investigate whether the conserved toxin signature is present in plant defensins, 18 plant defensins were aligned with representatives of the two α-KTx subfamilies that show the highest homology with these defensins, namely α-KTx6.12 and α-KTx23.123, using the COBALT alignment tool24 (Fig. 1A). The selection of plant defensins comprised defensins from Arabidopsis thaliana (AtPDF1.1–1.4 and AtPDF2.3–2.625,26), Pisum sativum (Psd127), Raphanus sativus (RsAFP1 and RsAFP228), Dahlia merckii (DmA129), Heuchera sanguinea (HsAFP129), Nicotiana alata (NaD130), Medicago sativa (MsDef131) and Medicago truncatula (MtDef432), representing a selection of diverse plant defensins with regard to mode of action and target specificity. A phylogenetic tree comprising all the selected plant defensins as well as the two representatives of the scorpion potassium toxins is presented in Fig. 1B, pointing to the diverse set of amino acid sequences.

The α-KTx6.1, also known as Pi1, is a 35-residue toxin cross-linked by four disulfide bridges that has been isolated from the venom of the scorpion Pandinus imperator. Pi1 inhibits K1 subtypes with lower nM (Shaker B) and even μM (Shaker IR, and hERG) and four cloned voltage-gated sodium channels (Na1.2, Na1.4, Na1.5, and the insect channel DmNa1.1) (Fig. 2). rAtPDF2.3 does not show activity on Na+ channels at 5 μM (n ≥ 4) (Supplementary Figure S1), whereas it can block K1.2 and K1.6 channels at 3 μM. The same concentration of

### rAtPDF2.3 blocks K1.2 and K1.6 channels.

rAtPDF2.3 was subjected to testing against a wide range of ion channels. The peptide's activity was investigated on 14 cloned voltage-gated potassium channels (K1.1–K1.6, K2.1, K4.2, Shaker IR, and hERG) and four cloned voltage-gated sodium channels (Na1.2, Na1.4, Na1.5, and the insect channel DmNa1.1) (Fig. 2). rAtPDF2.3 does not show activity on Na+ channels at 5 μM (n ≥ 4) (Supplementary Figure S1), whereas it can block K1.2 and K1.6 channels at 3 μM. The same concentration of
rAtPDF2.3 has no effect against other Kv channel isoforms from the Shaker (Kv1.1, Kv1.3, Kv1.4-Kv1.5 and Shaker IR), Shab (Kv2.1), Shal (Kv4.2), and hERG (Kv11.1) subfamilies. These data suggest that rAtPDF2.3 acts as a toxin active on Kv channels, and more specifically, on Kv1.2 and Kv1.6 channels.

Next, we determined dose-response curves for rAtPDF2.3’s action against the different Kv channels and determined IC50 values, i.e. the rAtPDF2.3 concentration resulting in 50% inhibition of the Kv1.2 and Kv1.6 channels. The corresponding IC50 values for Kv1.2 and Kv1.6 are 1.3 ± 0.2 μM and 978 ± 113 nM, respectively (Fig. 3D). Kv1.2 channels were used to further investigate the characteristics of inhibition by rAtPDF2.3. The inhibition of Kv1.2 channels induced by the defensin is not voltage-dependent as in a range of test potentials from −30 to +30 mV, no difference in the degree of block is observed (Fig. 3C). We further investigated whether the observed current inhibition is attributed to obstruction of the pore rather than to altered channel gating upon defensin binding. Application of 2 μM of rAtPDF2.3 causes 59 ± 3% and 66 ± 4% inhibition of the potassium current in ND96 (data not shown) and HK solutions, respectively (n ≥ 5) (Fig. 3D). rAtPDF2.3 does not significantly influence the reversal potential EK, as there is no significant shift in IV relationship in HK solution. EK

Figure 1. In silico analysis of plant defensins used in this study. (A) Sequence alignment between representative scorpion toxins and plant defensins. Sequences were aligned, matching the conserved cysteine residues in plant defensin sequences, using the COBALT alignment tool24; (−) denotes gaps in the alignment. UniProtKB accession numbers are presented. Conserved cysteine residues in plant defensin sequences are highlighted in grey; Lys27 and Asn30 present in the toxin signature are highlighted in blue and red, respectively. (B) Phylogenetic tree of sequences presented in (A) calculated by COBALT using the Fast Minimum Evolution method and Grishin distance24.
values yield $-4 \pm 1$ mV in control and $-2 \pm 1$ mV after application of defensin ($P > 0.05; n \geq 4$), indicating that ion selectivity is not changed (Fig. 3A). In ND96, the gV curves in control and in the presence of 2 μM defensin are characterized by V1/2 values of 8 ± 3 and 5 ± 2 mV ($n \leq 4$), respectively (Fig. 3B). It can be concluded that no significant shift in the midpoint of activation occurs ($P < 0.05$) and that rAtPDF2.3 current inhibition is attributed to obstruction of the pore, rather than to altered channel gating. Furthermore, the observation that there is no difference on the percentage-induced block in ND96 or HK leads to the conclusion that channel blockage is independent of the direction of the potassium current flux and is not influenced by the extracellular concentration of potassium ions. Altogether, these experiments imply that current inhibition upon rAtPDF2.3 binding does not result from changes in the voltage dependence of channel gating. The inhibition of K$_{1.2}$ channels occurs rapidly and blocking is reversible because the current recovers quickly and completely upon washout (data not shown). It can thus be assumed that rAtPDF2.3 exerts its K$_v$ channel inhibiting activity by physically blocking the channels, a phenomenon described previously for many K$_v$ channel toxins isolated from scorpions, snakes, cone snails and sea anemones among others.$^{36-38}$

Lysine33 and Asparagine36 are crucial for K$_v$ channel inhibitory activity of rAtPDF2.3. To assess whether the presence of the two crucial amino acids, i.e. Lysine33 and Asparagine36 (based on the AtPDF2.3 sequence) previously identified in scorpion potassium toxins$^{39}$, affects the peptide’s ability to block K$_{1.2}$ and K$_{1.6}$ channels, rAtPDF2.3 variants were produced which contain either only Asparagine36 (rAtPDF2.3[K33A][G36N]), both Lysine33 and Asparagine36 (rAtPDF2.3[G36N]) or neither of these (rAtPDF2.3[K33A]). The native rAtPDF2.3 only contains Lysine33, as indicated in Fig. 1A. The variants were subjected to electrophysiological recordings to test their ability to block K$_{1.2}$ and K$_{1.6}$ channels and dose-response curves were constructed (Fig. 4).

We found that rAtPDF2.3[G36N] has an increased potency as compared to rAtPDF2.3 in blocking K$_v$ channels, with IC50 values of 611 ± 91 nM and 138 ± 38 nM for K$_{1.2}$ and K$_{1.6}$ channels, respectively, which are 2.1- and 7.1-fold decreased as compared to rAtPDF2.3, respectively. For AtPDF2.3 [K33A][G36N], the IC50 values are 1380 ± 133 nM (K$_{1.2}$) and 366 ± 114 nM (K$_{1.6}$ ). A reduced activity is observed for rAtPDF2.3[K33A] as compared to rAtPDF2.3, with IC50 values of 2039 ± 383 nM for K$_{1.2}$ and 3500 ± 235 nM for K$_{1.6}$ channels. In

![Figure 2. Activity of rAtPDF2.3 on ion channels expressed in X. laevis oocytes.](image-url) Traces shown are representative of at least three independent experiments ($n \geq 3$). The dotted line indicates the zero current level. The asterisk (*) distinguishes the steady-state current after application of 3 μM defensin.
conclusion, rAtPDF2.3[G36N] is more potent in blocking Kv1.2 and Kv1.6 channels than rAtPDF2.3, whereas rAtPDF2.3[K33A] is characterized by reduced Kv channel blocking activity. These findings highlight the importance of the KCXN toxin signature in the plant defensin sequence to block potassium channels.

rAtPDF2.3 has a broad antifungal activity spectrum. As plant defensins are reported to exert antifungal activity against a broad range of fungi and yeasts (reviewed in ref. 18, 39), we further analysed the ability of rAtPDF2.3 to inhibit the growth of several plant pathogenic fungi as well as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Table 1). rAtPDF2.3 shows growth inhibitory activity against all plant pathogenic fungi tested in this study, with IC50 values ranging from 1.0 to 5.8 μM. The IC50 value of rAtPDF2.3 against the yeast *S. cerevisiae* is 8.1 ± 0.9 μM.

Kv channel inhibitory activity and antifungal activity seem not linked. As we showed that Kv channel inhibitory activity is affected by the presence of Lysine33 and Asparagine36 in the rAtPDF2.3 sequence, we further investigated whether the presence of these amino acids affects the antifungal activity as well. To this end, *F. graminearum* was challenged with the rAtPDF2.3 variants in a growth inhibitory assay, as *F. graminearum* is one of the most susceptible fungus in the panel of yeast and fungal species assessed in this study. No significant differences are found in the antifungal activity of the rAtPDF2.3 variants and that of rAtPDF2.3 against *F. graminearum*, suggesting that Kv channel inhibitory activity and antifungal activity are not linked.
Potassium transport is involved in rAtPDF2.3 antifungal action against yeast. Our above data indicate that rAtPDF2.3 blocks Kv1.2 and Kv1.6 voltage-gated potassium channels, expressed in X. laevis oocytes. In yeast, potassium transport is mainly regulated by the Trk1p-Trk2p potassium transporter system. In an attempt to translate the results from the electrophysiological recordings to yeast’s susceptibility to rAtPDF2.3, we analysed the rAtPDF2.3-sensitivity of Δtrk1 and Δtrk2 yeast strains, in addition to other knockout strains for genes that play a role in potassium homeostasis (listed in Table 2) and compared the corresponding IC50 values, i.e. the rAtPDF2.3 concentration resulting in 50% fungal growth inhibition, to that of the WT. We found that TRK1 plays an important role in mediating tolerance towards rAtPDF2.3 in yeast, as a significantly lower IC50 value for rAtPDF2.3 is obtained for the Δtrk1 strain as compared to the WT, i.e. 1.5 ± 0.0 μM and 8.1 ± 0.9 μM, respectively. Similarly, deletion of HAL5, ARL1, QDR2 and SAT4 results in increased sensitivity towards rAtPDF2.3 treatment as compared to the WT. None of the knockout strains was found more resistant to rAtPDF2.3 than WT yeast, suggesting that the tested potassium transporters are involved in generating tolerance towards rAtPDF2.3 treatment, rather than constituting its target. Note that deletion of TRK1 or ARL1 significantly reduces the growth rate of the corresponding knockout strains (Supplementary Figure S2), and hence, results with respect to hypersensitivity of these strains towards rAtPDF2.3 should be interpreted with care, as Trk1p and Arl1p might play an intrinsic role in yeast growth in addition to their role in potassium homeostasis. Nevertheless, these hypersensitive responses seem to be rAtPDF2.3-specific, as different responses are found when these knockout strains are challenged with rHsAFP1 (Table 3), a plant defensin that does not act on Kc channels (Supplementary Figure S3). None of the rAtPDF2.3-hypersensitive strains are hypersensitive to rHsAFP1, except for Δtrk1, which might be the result from its impaired growth. These findings indicate that involvement of potassium transport in tolerance towards rAtPDF2.3 in yeast seems to be peptide-specific and probably linked to Kc channel action.

Discussion
The primary aim of this study was to broaden the scaffolds for protein engineering and drug design via the observation of a structural similarity between plant defensins and scorpion toxins. Here, we show that the native form
and the knockout mutant. NA, not applicable.

**Table 2. IC50 values for rAtPDF2.3 against S. cerevisiae wild type (WT) and knockout mutants.** WT and knockout yeast strains were treated with a concentration range of rAtPDF2.3 for 24 hours, after which the IC50 values were determined spectrophotometrically; IC50, the concentration required for 50% growth inhibition as compared to control treatment. Mean ± SEM is shown of at least three independent experiments (n ≥ 3). ANOVA followed by Dunnett post hoc test was performed to analyse significant differences between the effect of rAtPDF2.3 on BY4743 WT and knockout mutants; the adjusted P-values are presented. Unpaired Student’s t-test was performed to analyse significant differences between the effect of rAtPDF2.3 on BY4743 WT and the FRQ1 knockout mutant. NA, not applicable.

| Microorganism                        | IC50 (μM) | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 WT | 8.1 ± 0.9 | NA      |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4743 WT | 3.3 ± 0.0 | NA      |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δfrq1 | 1.5 ± 0.0 | <0.0001 |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δakh2 | 7.0 ± 0.6 | 0.5862  |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δaku5 | 4.0 ± 0.4 | <0.0001 |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δprm6 | 7.6 ± 0.2 | 0.9958  |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δart1 | 5.7 ± 0.2 | 0.0033  |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δqdr2 | 2.9 ± 0.2 | <0.0001 |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δsat4 | 5.1 ± 0.1 | 0.0003  |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δskh1 | 8.0 ± 0.2 | >0.9999 |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δppz2 | 8.6 ± 0.5 | 0.9868  |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δatok1 | 7.7 ± 0.5 | 0.9995  |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δsoha1 | 9.1 ± 0.7 | 0.2161  |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δapp2 | 10.1 ± 0.5 | 0.0120 |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δakh1 | 8.9 ± 0.3 | 0.8144  |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 Δqppq8 | 7.1 ± 0.1 | 0.7009  |
| *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4743 Δfrq1 | 3.1 ± 0.1 | 0.0935  |

of the plant defensin AtPDF2.3 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* can block two different subtypes of the mammalian Kᵥ1 voltage-gated potassium channel family. No significant changes in the voltage-dependence of steady-state activation were observed after defensin application. Furthermore, the observation that there is no difference on the percentage-induced block in ND96 or HK led to the conclusion that channel blockage is independent of the direction of the potassium current flux and is not influenced by the extracellular concentration of potassium ions. Altogether, it can thus be assumed that rAtPDF2.3 exerts its Kᵥ channel inhibiting activity by physically blocking the channels, a phenomenon described previously for many Kᵥ channel toxins isolated from scorpions, snakes, cone snails and sea anemones among others. To date, few plant defensins were shown to interact with ion channels: the alfalfa defensin MsDef1 was shown to block the mammalian L-type Caᵥ1.2 channel in a non-toxic towards human cells. Indeed, rAtPDF2.3 does not reduce cell viability in HepG2 cells up to 25 μM. It is important to identify novel Kᵥ blockers, as Kᵥ channels are considered to be ideal pharmacological targets for the development of new therapeutic drugs against cancer, autoimmune diseases and cardiovascular, neurological and metabolic disorders. Plant defensins can be interesting tools in this respect, as they are in general non-toxic towards human cells. Indeed, rAtPDF2.3 does not reduce cell viability in HepG2 cells up to 25 μM (Supplementary Figure S4). However, note that rAtPDF2.3’s Kᵥ channel blocking activity is inferior to αKTxs in this respect and hence, direct use of rAtPDF2.3 for these purposes seems unlikely. Recently, a specific toxin signature sequence was assigned to scorpion toxins active on potassium channels, in which the Cα₄,1.2 pore region. In addition, the pea defensin Psd1 was suggested to function as a potassium channel inhibitor, based on its electrostatic surface potential that was similar to the known potassium channel inhibitors Agotoxin 2, αKTx7.2 and OSK1 toxin. In addition, the defensin-like peptide ZmES1-4 from maize was reported to interact with the intrinsic rectifying potassium channel KZM1, resulting in KZM1 channel opening and potassium influx, leading to pollen tube burst in maize. Whether rAtPDF2.3 blocks plant potassium channels as in case of ZmES1-4 needs to be investigated further. It is important to identify novel Kᵥ blockers, as Kᵥ channels are considered to be ideal pharmacological targets for the development of new therapeutic drugs against cancer, autoimmune diseases and cardiovascular, neurological and metabolic disorders. Plant defensins can be interesting tools in this respect, as they are in general non-toxic towards human cells. Indeed, rAtPDF2.3 does not reduce cell viability in HepG2 cells up to 25 μM (Supplementary Figure S4). However, note that rAtPDF2.3’s Kᵥ channel blocking activity is inferior to αKTxs in this respect and hence, direct use of rAtPDF2.3 for these purposes seems unlikely. Recently, a specific toxin signature sequence was assigned to scorpion toxins active on potassium channels, in which the Lysine at position 27 (Lysine²⁷) and the Asparagine at position 30 (Asparagine³⁰) were found important for channel inhibitory activity. AtPDF2.3, and by extinction other plant defensins, share a common structural fold with scorpion potassium toxins, i.e. the CSα₃ motif, however, not all plant defensins possess the toxin signature sequence. Whereas the Asparagine30 is only present in Psd1, and absent in all other plant defensins analyzed in this study (Fig. 1A), the hyper-conserved and functionally crucial Lysine27 is present in AtPDF2.3 (on position 33). The sequence alignment also provides some information on the lower potency of rAtPDF2.3 compared to α-KTx. rAtPDF2.3 displays a Glycine instead of an Asparagine at the corresponding position 30 (position 36 in the AtPDF2.3 sequence). It thus can be assumed that rAtPDF2.3 forms a less stable interaction with the Kᵥ channel due to the lack of stabilizing hydrogen bonds otherwise formed between Asparagine30 of the toxin and the Aspartic acid residue within the channel filter. This hypothesis is in line with the results obtained in our comparative study, in which rAtPDF2.3 variants rAtPDF2.3[ΔG36N], rAtPDF2.3[ΔK33A][G36N] and rAtPDF2.3[ΔK33A] were tested for their ability to block Kᵥ1.2 and Kᵥ1.6 channels. More specifically, rAtPDF2.3[G36N], bearing the KCXN toxin signature, shows a higher activity on Kᵥ1.2 and Kᵥ1.6 channels, whereas a decreased potassium blocking activity is observed for rAtPDF2.3[ΔK33A]. This shows that the presence of the
KCNX toxin signature, containing both Lysine33 and Asparagine36, is important but not essential in potassium channel inhibitory activity. Indeed, both native rAtPDF2.3 and rAtPDF2.3[K33A][G36N], only possessing a KC or CNX toxin signature with either Lysine33 or Asparagine36, respectively, can block K+ channels as well. These results reinforce previous findings for scorpion toxins12 and broaden the knowledge on the mechanism of action of potassium channel inhibitors.

In addition, we show that there are no differences in antifungal activity between rAtPDF2.3 and its variants against F. graminearum, indicating that the toxin signature is not important in determining antifungal activity of this plant defensin. In order to further investigate a potential role for potassium channels in rAtPDF2.3's antifungal activity, we investigated the effect of rAtPDF2.3 against yeast strains with deletions in genes involved in potassium transport and homeostasis. Note that orthologues of the oocyte K+ channels studied here have not been identified in yeast. Hence, in this study, we focused on all yeast proteins known to be involved in potassium transport and homeostasis in general. Potassium homeostasis is important in yeast, as high intracellular levels are required for many physiological processes, such as protein synthesis, enzyme activation and regulation of intracellular pH40,41. We found several potassium transport- and homeostasis-related genes to be involved in mediating tolerance towards rAtPDF2.3 treatment, but not towards rHsAFP1, a plant defensin that is not active on K+ channels. Hence, potassium transport in yeast seems important for governing AtPDF2.3-specific tolerance. Yet, the mechanisms underlying the observed differences between both peptides in K+ channel blocking activity on one hand, and antifungal tolerance mechanisms on the other hand, remain to be elucidated.

Although deletion of TRK1 results in a reduced growth rate of this strain, and hence, Trk1p might play an intrinsic role in yeast growth in addition to potassium homeostasis, this protein is suggested to play a role in tolerance towards rAtPDF2.3, since modulators of this protein, including Hal5p, Sat4p and Arl1p, seem to gain tolerance towards rAtPDF2.3 as well. Trk1p is a component of the Trk1p-Trk2p potassium transport system in yeast, which plays a major role in potassium uptake42,43, and was previously shown to be essential for tunicamycin treatment44 and Histatin 5 toxicity45 in C. albicans. Similarly, Trk1p was shown to be required for fungicidal activity by lactoferrin11, bactenecin16 and virion-associated protein VPR12 against C. albicans, pointing to Trk1p as a functional effector of these compounds46. In contrast, Trk1p was not required for killing of C. albicans by the human defensins HNP-1, hBD-2 and hBD-310. The latter is in line with our observation that Trk1p is not involved in rAtPDF2.3 antifungal activity as a functional effector, but is rather part of a tolerance mechanism towards rAtPDF2.3 treatment in S. cerevisiae. Since HAL5, SAT4 and ARL1 are modulators of the Trk1p-Trk2p transport system, it is not surprising that the corresponding knockout strains were found hypersensitive towards rAtPDF2.3 treatment as well. More specifically, Hal5p activates the Trk1p-Trk2p transport system9,10 and, hence, deletion of HAL5 results in impairment of Trk1p-Trk2p function. Similarly, Sat4p functions as a regulator of the Trk1p-Trk2p transport system and is partially redundant with Hal5p9. ARL1 encodes for a soluble GTPase that was shown to regulate potassium influx via regulation of SAT4 and HAL59. Deletion of ARL1 might result in deregulation of Hal5p and Sat4p, which on its turn would lead to impairment of Trk1p-Trk2p function.

Finally, we found that also QDR2 is involved in mediating tolerance towards rAtPDF2.3, but not rHsAFP1, treatment. Qdr2p functions as a plasma membrane transporter of many mono- and divalent cations51, and actively transports a variety of drugs out of the cell, such as quindine and barban52. In view of the latter, it was shown that QDR2, in addition to QDR3, confers resistance to cisplatin and bleomycin in yeast53. In addition, QDR2 was found to affect tolerance to oxidative stress, as strains overexpressing and lacking QDR2 exhibited phenotypes when reactive oxygen species-producing agents, such as hydrogen peroxide and menadione, were added to the growth medium. As several plant defensins are reported to induce reactive oxygen species in yeasts (reviewed in ref. 54), and we found that QDR2 is involved in tolerance towards rAtPDF2.3 treatment, it might well be that rAtPDF2.3 antifungal action involves the induction of oxidative stress. Whether this is the case, needs to be further investigated.

In conclusion, we show that the A. thaliana defensin rAtPDF2.3 interacts with K1.2 and K1.6 channels in a similar manner as is observed for scorpion toxins, i.e. by physically blocking the K+ channels. A comparative study with rAtPDF2.3 variants containing either no, a KC, a CNX or a KCXN potassium channel scorpion toxin signature revealed that Asparagine36 is important but not essential for rAtPDF2.3 K+ channel inhibitory activity. This is the first report of a native plant defensin interacting with mammalian K+ channels, and hence, this research broadens the availability of protein scaffolds, besides that of scorpion toxins, to engineer novel K+ blockers.

| Microorganism                          | IC50 (μM) | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae BY4741 WT      | 4.8 ± 0.1 | NA      |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae BY4741 Δtrk1   | 2.8 ± 0.1 | <0.0001 |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae BY4741 Δhal5   | 4.6 ± 0.0 | 0.1073  |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae BY4741 Δar1    | 5.2 ± 0.1 | 0.0281  |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae BY4741 Δqdr2   | 4.8 ± 0.0 | 0.4534  |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae BY4741 Δar4    | 4.7 ± 0.1 | 0.1865  |

Table 3. IC50 values for rHsAFP1 against S. cerevisiae wild type (WT) and knockout mutants. WT and knockout yeast strains were treated with a concentration range of rHsAFP1 for 24 hours, after which the IC50 values were determined spectrophotometrically; IC50, the concentration required for 50% growth inhibition as compared to control treatment. Mean ± SEM is shown of at least three independent experiments (n ≥ 3). ANOVA followed by Dunnett post hoc test was performed to analyse significant differences between the effect of rHsAFP1 on WT yeast and knockout mutants; the adjusted P-values are presented. NA, not applicable.
should also be taken into account that potentially also other members of the plant defensin family can affect potassium channel activity. Such information can be very relevant when medical plant defensin-based therapies are envisaged. In addition, we show that K_\text{\text{\textsubscript{c}}} channel inhibition and antifungal activity are not linked, as no significant differences were found in antifungal action of the rAtPDF2.3 variants. Finally, we confirm the involvement of potassium transport and/or homeostasis in rAtPDF2.3 antifungal action in yeast, more specifically by showing that several genes involved in regulating these processes confer tolerance towards rAtPDF2.3 but not to rHsAFP1, a plant defensin that does not act on K_\text{\text{\textsubscript{c}}} channels. It can be speculated that rAtPDF2.3 plays a dual role in plants, i.e. by (i) interacting with plant potassium channels to, for instance cause pollen tube burst, as was previously shown for ZmES1-4 from maize24, and by (ii) protecting the plant from fungi by its antifungal activity, which involves potassium transport and/or homeostasis. However, additional research is needed to further elucidate the mechanisms of action of rAtPDF2.3 and a potential potassium channel-dependent role for AtPDF2.3 in planta.

**Methods**

**In silico analysis.** Plant defensins and scorpion toxins were aligned matching their cysteine residues, using the COBALT alignment tool34. The sequence of all peptides analysed in this study are presented in Fig. 1A, including their corresponding accession numbers.

**Strains and reagents.** *Pichia pastoris* strain X33 was used for heterologous production of AtPDF2.3. *Botrytis cinerea* (B05.10 and R16, kindly provided by Rudi Aerts, KHK Geel, Belgium), *Verticillium dahliae* (MUC19210), *Fusarium culmorum* (K031; MUC30162), *Fusarium oxysporum* (isolate 5176, kindly provided by Donald Gardiner, CSIRO, Australia) and *Fusarium graminearum* (PH-1; MUC30161) WT and Δgsp30 strain were used to evaluate the antifungal activity of the recombinant peptides in a fungal growth inhibitory assay59. *Candida albicans* (SC5314) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* WT (BY4741 and BY4743), Δipt156, Δipt11Δskn737 and other yeast knockout strains tested in this study (listed in Table 2; purchased from Euroscarf; http://www.euroscarf.de) were used in a yeast growth inhibitory assay and bioscreen assays.

All culture media were purchased from LabM (UK), unless stated otherwise. For heterologous production, *P. pastoris* was cultured in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone and 2% glucose), BMGY (buffered complex glycerol medium; 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 1.34% yeast nitrogen base w/o amino acids (Becton Dickinson, UK), 1% glycerol, 100 mM K_3PO_4 pH 6, 4 × 10^{-5}% biotin) or BMMY (buffered complex methanol medium; 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 1.34% yeast nitrogen base w/o amino acids (Becton Dickinson, UK), 0.5% methanol, 100 mM K_3PO_4 pH 6, 4 × 10^{-5}% biotin). Plant pathogenic fungi used in the fungal growth inhibitory assay were grown in half strength PDB (1.2% potato dextrose broth). *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae* WT and knockout strains were grown in minimal medium (MM; 0.77 g/L complete amino acid supplement mixture (Bio 101 Systems), 6.7 g/L yeast nitrogen base w/o amino acids (Becton Dickinson, UK), 20 g/L glucose).

**Production and purification of recombinant (r) rAtPDF2.3 and rHsAFP1.** Recombinant AtPDF2.3 and its variants were produced using the pPICZαA transfer vector and the *P. pastoris* expression system as previously described for recombinant HsAFP155, with a minor modification: during the induction phase, 2% methanol (v/v%) was added to the culture to maintain induction of gene expression. After induction, the supernatant was collected via SDS-PAGE and silver staining. The supernatant was concentrated by centrifugal evaporation (SpeedVac Savant, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), re-dissolved in MilliQ water at 29%, rAtPDF2.3[K33A] at 26% and rAtPDF2.3[G36N] at 25%. The eluted fraction was vacuum dried by centrifugal evaporation (SpeedVac Savant, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), re-dissolved in MilliQ water and subjected to a microbicinchoninic acid assay (Pierce, Thermo Scientific, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions, to determine the protein concentration. Bovine serum albumin served as a reference protein. At least 70 mg/L of culture of purified rAtPDF2.3 was obtained. The yields of the rAtPDF2.3 variants were much lower, i.e. in a range of 1.5 mg/L to 45 mg/L of culture. Recombinant HsAFP1 was produced and purified as described previously59.

**Expression of voltage-gated potassium channels.** For the expression of the voltage-gated potassium channels (rK_1.1, rK_1.2, hK_1.3, rK_1.4, rK_1.5, rK_1.6, *Shaker* IR, hK_3.1, rK_4.3, and hERG) in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes, the linearized plasmids were transcribed using the T7’ or SP6 mMESSAGE-mMACHINE transcription kit (Ambion). The harvesting of stage V–VI oocytes from an anaesthetized female *X. laevis* frog was previously described39. Oocytes were injected with 50 nL of cRNA at a concentration of 1 ng/nL using a micro-injector (Drummond Scientific, USA). The oocytes were incubated in a solution containing (in mM): NaCl, 96; KCl, 2; CaCl_2, 1.8; MgCl_2, 2 and HEPES, 5 (pH 7.4), supplemented with 50 mg/L gentamycin sulfate.
**Electrophysiological recordings.** Two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings were performed at room temperature (18–22 °C) using a Geneclamp 500 amplifier (Molecular Devices, USA) controlled by a pClamp data acquisition system (Axon Instruments, USA). Whole cell currents from oocytes were recorded 1–4 days after injection. Bath solution composition was ND96 (in mM): NaCl, 96; KCl, 2; CaCl₂, 1.8; MgCl₂, 2 and HEPES, 5 (pH 7.4) or HK (in mM): NaCl, 2; KCl, 96; CaCl₂, 1.8; MgCl₂, 2 and HEPES, 5 (pH 7.4). Voltage and current electrodes were filled with 3 M KCl. Resistances of both electrodes were kept between 0.7 and 1.5 MΩ. The elicited currents were filtered at 0.5 kHz and sampled at 2 kHz (for potassium currents) or filtered at 2 kHz and sampled at 20 kHz (for sodium currents) using a four-pole low-pass Bessel filter. Leak subtraction was performed using an -P/4 protocol. K, 1.1–K, 1.6 and Shaker currents were evoked by 250 ms depolarizations to 0 mV followed by a 250 ms pulse to −50 mV, from a holding potential of −90 mV. Current traces of hERG channels were elicited by applying a +40 mV prepulse for 2 s followed by a step to −120 mV for 2 s. K, 2.1 and K, 4.2 currents were elicited by 500 ms pulses to +20 mV from a holding potential of −90 mV. Sodium current traces were, from a holding potential of −90 mV, evoked by 100 ms depolarizations to V_{max} (the voltage corresponding to maximal sodium current in control conditions). In order to investigate the current–voltage relationship, current traces were evoked by 10 mV depolarization steps from a holding potential of −90 mV. To assess the concentration dependency of the toxin induced inhibitory effects, a concentration–response curve was constructed, in which the percentage of current inhibition was plotted as a function of toxin concentration. Data were fitted with the Hill equation: y = 100 × [(IC50/toxin)x]^h, were y is the amplitude of the toxin-induced effect, IC50 is the toxin concentration at half-maximal efficacy, [toxin] is the toxin concentration, and h is the Hill coefficient. GV curves were calculated from IV relationships as follows: gK = IK/(Em−EK) with EK = (RT/2F)ln[K #0]/[K #0]. In these equations gK represents the conductance, IK the potassium current, Em the membrane potential, EK the reversal potential, R the gas constant (8.31 J/K mol), T the temperature, z the charge of the ion (for K+ ions: z = 1), F the Faraday’s constant (96.500 C/mol), [K #0]o and [K #0]i respectively are the extracellular and intracellular K+ ion concentrations. The values of IK or gK were plotted as function of voltage and fitted using the Boltzmann equation: gK/gmax = [1 + exp(Vg−V)/k]−1, were gmax represents maximal gK, Vg is the voltage corresponding to half-maximal conductance, and k is the slope factor. Comparison of two sample means was made using a paired Student’s t test (P < 0.05). All data represent at least three independent experiments (n ≥ 3) and are presented as mean ± standard error.

**Antifungal activity assays.** The antifungal activity of rAtPDF2.3 and its variants against a range of plant pathogenic fungi was analysed following the protocol previously described by Osborn and colleagues. Briefly, a two-fold dilution series of the peptides in sterile water was prepared in 96-well plates, after which 10 μL of peptide was mixed with 90 μL of half strength PDB containing 10^4 spores/mL of the fungus. The IC50 value, which is the concentration required for 50% growth inhibition as compared to control treatment, was determined by microscopy after 48 hours of incubation. The antifungal activity of rAtPDF2.3 and rHsAFP1 against S. cerevisiae and S. cerevisiae knockout strains was analysed according to the standard CLSI protocol M27-A3 with minor modifications: an inoculum of approximately 10^6 cells/mL was suspended in MM for rAtPDF2.3 or MMH (MM supplemented with HEPES pH 7) for rHsAFP1 and added to a two-fold dilution series of the peptides in water. The IC50 value was determined by spectrophotometry (OD490nm) after 24 hours of incubation. sigmoidal curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., CA, USA), using nonlinear regression. IC50 values were derived from the whole dose-response curves. All data represent at least three independent experiments (n ≥ 3); IC50 values are presented as mean ± standard error. ANOVA followed by Dunnett post hoc test was performed to analyse statistically significant differences between the rAtPDF2.3 or rHsAFP1 IC50 values of the wild types and those of the knockout strains.

**Growth curve determination.** Bioscreen assays (Bioscreen C Analyzer, Oy Growth Curves Ab Ltd, Raisio, Finland) were carried out to determine the growth rate of S. cerevisiae and the S. cerevisiae knockout strains that were found hypersensitive towards rAtPDF2.3 (Table 2). Overnight yeast cultures in YPD were washed and diluted in MM to an OD_{600nm} of 0.072 and transferred to the wells of Honeycomb plates (Oy Growth Curves Ab Ltd, Raisio, Finland). Cultures were grown for 50 hours at 30°C, shaking, and the OD_{600nm} was measured every 15 min. Growth rates were determined employing the equation Growth rate = (OD_{600nm,13 hours}−OD_{600nm,10 hours})/(13 hours − 10 hours), where growth was exponential, and hence in the linear range, for all strains tested. Data represent biological triplicates (n = 3) with three technical replicates each, and are presented as mean ± standard error. ANOVA followed by Dunnett post hoc test was performed to analyse statistically significant differences between the growth rates of the BY4741 WT and the knockout strains, respectively.

**rAtPDF2.3 toxicity in HepG2 cells.** HepG2 cells were seeded at 10,000 cells/well in 96-well plates and incubated for 24 hours. Subsequently, cells were treated either with water (untreated) or rAtPDF2.3 (0.01 μM–23.9 μM) for 24 hours after which cell viability was determined using the Cell Proliferation Kit II (XTT) as described previously. ANOVA followed by Dunnett post hoc test was performed to analyse statistically significant differences between untreated and rAtPDF2.3-treated samples.
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