Role of Immigration in Tuberculosis Transmission to Iran: A Systematic Review

Abstract
Background: Today, because of increasing immigration and the prevalence of drug-resistant tuberculosis in Iran, identifying intra-community cases is necessary in the country. It will be possible through the use of molecular epidemiologic methods. In this inquiry, in order to determine the role of immigrants in the transmission of specific strains to Iran, the studies have been examined which had been conducted based on molecular epidemiologic methods among Iranians and non-Iranians people. Methods: All studies from 1997 to the end of March 2017 were examined in three databases of PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar and finally, 16 studies were selected. Results: The common clustering rate between Iranians and non-Iranians was determined to be 19.8, and the intra-community recent transmission rate was from 0% to 49% with average of 18.1%. The rate of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) was 12.5%, which was higher among immigrants, especially Afghans, and a significant number of the strains were Beijing. Conclusions: The studies have shown that migrants, especially Afghans, are more effective in transmitting specific strains of tuberculosis to migratory areas. To control tuberculosis, it is necessary to register of immigrant’s health information, while enter to the country, so that, by doing appropriate diagnostic tests, the curing the patients, the transmission of tuberculosis to the country would be prevented.
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Introduction
Despite the great medical advances, tuberculosis (TB) is still one of the major health problems in the world, and nearly one-third of the world’s population is infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis,[1] and according to the World Health Organization (WHO), nearly 10 million of new TB cases have been reported in the world in 2019, which about 465,000 cases of them have been multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB).[2] Although some individuals would be afflicted with active disease after infection with this bacterium, but 90% of them remain asymptomatic.[3] At present, the TB worldwide control program has two serious threats: one is the HIV epidemic[4] and another is the prevalence of drug resistance, in particular MDR-TB.[5] Therefore, the use of methods that could identify TB bacteria, especially MDR-TB, and stop its transmission will be effective in controlling this disease. Several factors, such as traveling to contaminated areas,[6] homelessness, HIV infection,[4] migration,[7] as well as strain type,[8] are effective in the rapid transmission and spread of TB. Although TB is under control in Iran, it has not been decreased yet, and immigrants are one of the most important factors of this problem. Immigration, besides causing the economic and social challenges, is an important and influential factor in the epidemiology of infectious diseases.[9] An increase of immigration may have a significant impact on the pattern of TB transmission in countries.[10] TB immigrants are normally people who move from countries where TB is indigenous to the affluent countries from the viewpoint of facilities. Thus, migratory flows from developing countries with a high prevalence of TB are a source of concern for TB control,[11] as some immigrants due to having a hidden infection[12] on the one hand, and TB patients travelling to other countries such as Iran who called “health tourism”[13] on the other hand, are effective in the transmission of TB; therefore, international travel and migrations will
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change the epidemiology of this disease. Iran has been faced a significant number of immigrants from the countries of Afghanistan and Iraq as a result of its geographical location and proximity, as well as people from Azerbaijan, referred to as Health Tourism, for the low-cost or free-of-charge TB treatment come to the provinces of the North-West of Iran. Regarding the lack of reduction of TB cases in the country, this study attempts to focus on the role of immigration in the transmission of TB in Iran, and help to make preventive decisions to reduce TB by identifying how migrants influence the transfer of TB to Iran.

**Methods**

**Study selection**

Because molecular typing methods can be used to study the genetic pattern of strains, the pathway of transmission, and examine of risk factors, therefore, in this study, those molecular epidemiological studies were included in the study which had used genotyping methods to examine the *M. tuberculosis* isolates. Their study included Iranian and non-Iranian individuals, focused on the transmission of TB between Iranians and migrants, with a sample size of more than 50 cases and identified the number of samples inside the cluster. Studies that had not performed genotyping or had selected specific cases and studies on nonhuman specimens were excluded. Meanwhile, studies that only had focused on TB prevalence and its detection were removed.

**Literature search**

All studies from 1997 to the end of March 2017 were examined in three databases of PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar. For search, the terms TB, transmission, immigration, and Iran were selected. English and Persian articles were intended.

**Data extraction**

The collected data included the study time, the study period, the province or city, the number of examined samples, the genotyping method and the secondary typing method, MDR-TB strains, within cluster and unique samples, the size and number of clusters, the number of common clusters between Iranians and non-Iranians, and specific strains such as Beijing, and recent intra-community transmission. To calculate the common clustering rate, the number of common in-cluster samples divided into the total number of studied subjects and to calculate the TB ratio, which has resulted due to recent transmission between Iran and non-Iranians, the following formula has been used assuming that each cluster has an infectious resource in which the disease is activated and the rest have recently become infected. Minimum estimated rate of intra-community recent transmission was calculated by the following formula:

\[
\frac{\text{number of common clustered patients} - \text{number of common clusters}}{\text{total number of patients}}
\]

Isolates with unique patterns, non-cluster, and those that have the same genotyping pattern are classified as clustered. Therefore, a cluster consists of two or more isolates that have the same pattern and the common cluster contains clusters in which separated isolates from both Iranian and non-Iranian were inserted.

**Results**

Regarding including and excluding criteria from study, the information of 16 papers was finally analyzed [Figure 1], and the summary of important information is presented in Table 1. Methods used for genotyping include insertion sequence 6110-restriction fragment length polymorphism (IS6110-RFLP), spoligotyping, polymorphic GC-rich repetitive sequence-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PGRS-RFLP), mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit-exact tandem repeat (MIRU-ETR), mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit-variable number tandem repeat (MIRU-VNTR), and direct repeat-restriction fragment length polymorphism (DR-RFLP). In eight studies, they used a secondary typing method, and eight
| Study region/duration (month) | Study subjects (Iranian-non-Iranian) | MDR/Beijing (%) | Genotyping method (primary/secondary) | Clustered isolates/isolates with unique pattern | Cluster (no.) | RT* (%) | Clusters with shared isolates (Iranian and non-Iranian) | Isolated included in common clusters (Iranian-non-Iranian) | Common clustering rate (%) | Intra-community RT (%) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Tabriz[^3]/12                | 119 (91-28)                         | NA              | MIRU-VNTR/-                          | 23/96                                         | 10           | 10.9    | 0                                                 | 0                          | 0                         | 0                       |
| Tehran[^18]                 | 70 (60-10)                          | 20/1.4          | IS6110-RFLP/Spoligotyping            | 56/14                                         | 22           | 48.6    | 4                                                 | 24 (18-6)                  | 34.3                      | -                       |
| Markazi Province[^18]       | 95 (88-7)                           | NA              | IS6110-RFLP/PGRS-RFLP               | 75/20                                         | 28           | 49.5    | -                                                 | -                          | -                         | -                       |
| Iran-21 Provinces[^12]      | 1242 (1172-70)                      | 5.9/8.1         | Spoligotyping/-                     | 1165/77                                       | 10           | 93      | -                                                 | -                          | -                         | -                       |
| Markazi Province[^12]       | 57 (54-3)                           | NA              | IS6110-RFLP/DR-RFLP                 | 16/41                                         | 7            | 15.8    | 0                                                 | 0                          | 0                         | 0                       |
| Markazi Province[^21]/6     | 53 (50-3)                           | NA              | MIRU-ETR/-                          | 13/40                                         | 4            | 17      | 1                                                 | 2 (1-1)                    | 3.8                       | 1.9                     |
| Tehran[^15]                 | 102 (73-29)                         | NA/15.7         | Spoligotyping/-                     | 77/25                                         | 10           | 65.7    | 5                                                 | 55 (35-20)                 | 53.9                      | 49                      |
| Tehran[^24]/24              | 291 (231-60)                        | 15.8/NA         | IS6110-RFLP/Spoligotyping           | 60/231                                        | 25           | 12      | 5                                                 | 13 (8-5)                   | 4.5                       | 2.7                     |
| Tehran[^12]                 | 258 (199-59)                        | 27.9/4.7        | IS6110-RFLP/Spoligotyping           | 65/193                                        | 29           | 14      | 14                                                | 33 (18-15)                 | 12.8                      | 7.4                     |
| Mashhad[^30]/10             | 113 (109-4)                         | NA/7.1          | Spoligotyping/-                     | 69/44                                         | 17           | 46      | 1                                                 | 8 (4-4)                    | 7.1                       | 6.2                     |
| East and West Azarbaijan Provinces[^21]/12 | 154 (152-2)                        | 1.3/NA          | IS6110-RFLP/MIRU-ETR                | 27/127                                        | 13           | 9.1     | 0                                                 | 0                          | 0                         | 0                       |
| Tehran[^60]                 | 1742 (1074-668)                     | 15.1/5.3        | Spoligotyping/-                     | 1679/63                                       | 70           | 92.4    | 21                                                | 495                        | 28.4                      | 27.2                    |
| Tehran[^12]                 | 195 (147-48)                        | 12.8/9.2        | Spoligotyping/-                     | 109/86                                        | 9            | 51.3    | 3                                                 | 45                         | 23.1                      | 21.5                    |
| East Azarbaijan Province[^30]/6 | 119 (105-14)                      | 5.7/NA          | IS6110-RFLP/-                       | 38/81                                         | 12           | 21.8    | 1                                                 | 3 (2-1)                    | 2.5                       | 1.7                     |
| Tehran[^18]                 | 120 (118-2)                         | 19.2/NA         | PGRS-RFLP/Is6110-RFLP              | 33/87                                         | 9            | 20      | 2                                                 | 4 (2-2)                    | 3.3                       | 1.7                     |
| Tehran-Fars Provinces[^21]/12 | 62 (49-13)                         | 6.3/10          | IS6110-RFLP/Spoligotyping           | 12/50                                         | 6            | 9.7     | 1                                                 | 2 (1-1)                    | 3.2                       | 1.6                     |

*RT: Recent transmission
studies were without a secondary method. In the studies, of 4792 TB patients, 3772 people were Iranians and 1020 (21.3%) were non-Iranians. Non-Iranians included 968 Afghans, 49 Azerbaijanis, and 3 Iraqis. The most non-Iranians in the study in Tehran, the capital of Iran, were 38.3%.[28] Of the antibiogrammed samples (4375 cases), 546 samples (12.5%) were MDR; the highest MDR in the study was related to Farnia et al.[25] paper in Tehran, which was (27.9%) and the prevalence of the Beijing strain in the studies was determined to be 6.5%. The highest rate of Beijing’s strain isolated by Torkman et al.[23] in Tehran was (15.7%). The rate of common clustering between Iranians and non-Iranians was estimated to be 19.8% on average, which was the highest rate in the studies conducted by Torkman et al.[21] in Tehran was 53.9% (55/102) and the highest rate of the recent intra-community in the study in Tehran was 49% [(55–5)/102] and the second highest rate of the recent intra-community transmission in Tehran in another study conducted by Velayati et al.[20] was (27.2%) [(495–21)/1742]. In studies in which there were significant numbers of immigrants (non-Iranians) and strains in Beijing, the recent intra-community transmission rate has been higher.

Discussion

The use of molecular typing methods is essential for evaluation and improvement of TB control programs and determining the amount of recent transmission, especially intra-community transmission. Therefore, in this study to examine the role of migrants and therapeutic travelers in transferring TB to Iran, 16 studies have been evaluated in Iran based on the molecular typing methods. On the basis of these methods, the majority of TB cases were due to the recent transmission, and the recent transmission rate was variable from 9.1% in the study of Asgharzadeh et al.[23] to 93% in the study of Velayati et al.[20] and the intra-community recent transmission rate was determined from 0% to 49% and an average of 18.1%. The majority of intra-community transmission took place between Iranian and Afghan immigrants. The intra-community recent transmission rate was very different, as in Afagi et al.[17] Rafiee et al.[21] and Asgharzadeh et al.[27] studies, the rate of intra-community was zero. In the studies of Rafiee et al.[21] and Asgharzadeh et al.[27] the number of non-Iranians was very low, 3 and 2 cases, respectively; therefore, the intra-community probability would be very low and also they used two methods for typing. However, in the study of Afagi et al.[17] although Azerbaijanis represented 24% of the patients, there was no intra-community, and the inter-community transmission among the Azerbaijanis was \( \frac{5-2}{28} = 10.7\% \) and among Tabriz people were \( \frac{18-8}{91} = 11\% \). It seems, in contrast to the eastern provinces of Iran, Afghan immigrants have not resided in the North West of Iran because of distant and different languages of the Azeri language, and the non-Iranians who have been referred for diagnosis and treatment were from the Republic of Azerbaijan who have common language with people from northwest of Iran and have come to Tabriz for therapeutic tourism to provide medical services at a very low or free cost to treat TB patients, as they have not stayed for a long time in Tabriz to have close contact with different people and also the sample size was low (119 cases); therefore, it did not conclude all samples; as a result, the size of existing clusters was small (2–4 members) and there has not been common cluster between Azerbaijanis and Tabrizis.[17] Therefore, there has not been intra-community. Of course, in another study conducted in this region, intra-community was observed to be 1.7%.[10] Thus, it is necessary to monitor the movement of Azerbaijanis TB patients to the northwestern region of Iran. In most studies reviewed, there was an intra-community,[19,22-26,28-32] and in this study the majority of non-Iranians were Afghans. Because of the political situation and insecurity in the neighboring country, Afghanistan, immigration to Iran and through Iran to European countries is higher. A significant number of Afghan immigrants to Europe are being returned, and a significant number of them are residing in Iran. Meanwhile, there is much immigration within the country, which is marginalized in big cities such as Tehran, Mashhad, and Qom. Tehran is a metropolis, so Afghan refugees can get jobs, and the cities of Mashhad and Qom have led the Afghans to settle there because of pilgrimage and crowding. Immigrants have an impact on the epidemiology of TB in populations, as in advanced countries, the majority of recent transmission occur among immigrant groups or their children.[11,12] Considering that Afghan immigrants, like other immigrants, have malnutrition, high stress, low education and lack of knowledge about the disease, population density in their residents, deprivation of living facilities and health services, and they are from low social groups and economic levels and generally because of illegally entering, they live secretly, so they have low incomes and also return some of their low income to the country of origin; therefore, there is a possibility of reactivation of the bacteria present in their bodies from their country, as well as there is a high possibility of getting infection from other roommates and colleagues. Of course, some Afghans may have entered Iran with active TB and the contamination would occur during travel to the country of origin, so they can become a person who can transfer the bacteria in the living room to the roommates or other people who are in constant and close contact with them or can get a new infection of them, therefore the inter-community can occur between Afghans and the intra-community between Iranians and Afghans, but it should be noted that, the risk factors for being afflicted with TB between immigrants and indigenous people of Iran can be different, therefore, despite the treatment of TB of
immigrants is free of charge like indigenous people of Iran, because they are not controlled by health services, so the diagnosis of TB in these people is delayed, eventually they will have the opportunity to infect other people.

The average common clustering rate among Iranians and non-Iranians in these studies was 19.8%, with the highest common cluster (53.9%) in Torkman et al.’s [23] study in Tehran, the capital of Iran and next 28.4% in Velayati et al.’s [28] study have also been observed in Tehran. In conducted studies, the maximum number of common clusters among Iranians and non-Iranians was 21, and the largest common cluster among Iranians and non-Iranians was 251 in the Iranian capital, separated from 152 Iranians and 99 Afghans and separated from the East African Indian 3 (EA13 family). [20] Factors associated with the strain and various factors, such as study duration, local TB incidence, and sampling fraction [33] have role in size and number of the clusters, and the size of the clusters is usually large in young adults, men, and city residents. [34] In Torkman et al.’s [23] study in Tehran as the Beijing strains that have more transference power [35] are more than the rest of the study, so the common clustering rate was the highest. In Velayati et al.’s [28] study in Tehran because the duration of the study (60 months), the number of samples (1742) was higher, the number of males and young adults was higher among Iranians and non-Iranians, and there were a significant number of Afghans \[
\frac{668}{1742} = \% 38.3 \]
; as a result, contact with other people was increased and caused increasing the social mixing among Iranians and non-Iranians; therefore, the number of common within the cluster isolates as well as the size of the largest common cluster has increased. It should be noted that the presence of a common cluster does not always indicate a definitive epidemiological link, so that in cases where two people who had never any contact with each other and were completely in the separated geographic regions, the bacteria isolated from them had the same pattern and were placed in the same cluster. [36] This indicates that some strains were more prevalent in a particular region, so they could lead to mistakes in epidemiologic communications and indicate more recent transmission rates, especially when only the spoligotyping method is used which has a low distinction power. [37] Therefore, it would cause increasing of the clustering and the recent transmission rate is estimated further. As in conducted spoligotyping studies, despite enough time and the number of suitable samples, the rate of clustering and recent transmission had been increased. [20,23,28]

Thus, to use molecular typing and the examine of TB transmission, it is preferable to use methods with high distinction power such as MIRU-ETR, IS6110-RFLP, or to use a secondary method with spoligotyping.

Of the 16 studies, there have been Beijing strains in eight studies [Table 1]. The Beijing strain has not been reported in Eastern and Western Azerbaijan and Markazi provinces. [17,19,21,22,27,30,38] The strain of Beijing was first reported by Doroudchi et al. [32] in Iran. In total, in studies of a significant number of Afghans, the Beijing strain was higher, [23,28,29,32] so it seems that the Beijing strain has been likely transmitted to Iran through the Afghans. [18,29]

The strain of Beijing in the people of Afghanistan is of circulating strains, so that in the eastern provinces of Iran and in cities such as Qom and Tehran, where more Afghans live, there are more Beijing strains. [39] This strain is rapidly expanding and has a higher pathogenicity [9] and a significant number of MDR strains have Beijing genotype. [33] As vaccination of TB cannot prevent the infection with Beijing strain, [40] so in the event of a lack of diagnosis and treatment of patients infected with the strain, in the future, being infected at lower ages, probably could be increased. It seems that not decreasing of TB in Iran is likely to be due to increasing of Beijing strains especially MDR strains. However, the increase of the number of HIV infected people in the country also plays a roll. So, in order to control TB, in particular to prevent the transmission of drug-resistant TB, breaking this transmission chain is necessary. Therefore, the Beijing strains should be identified through dedicated methods such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [41] and spoligotyping [42] in immigrants referring to health centers, especially in the eastern provinces of Iran, which are the place of entry of Afghan immigrants so that they could be treated properly.

Of antiibiorgramed samples, 12.5% were MDR. In studies with higher rates of migrants, the percentage of resistance was also higher. In a study by Farnia et al. [25] in Tehran of 72 MDRs, 38 cases were isolated of Afghan patients, namely 63.3% (38/60) isolated strains were from Afghan MDR. In Velayati et al.’s [28] study in Tehran and Ramezanzadeh et al. [29] MDR-TB rates were higher among immigrants than Iranians, and a significant number of resistant drug strains were Beijing. [29,32] It seems that MDR-TB cases are more common among Afghans than Iranians in regions of Iran where migrants, especially Afghans, live. It is not always like this. Regarding that, a significant number of patients, especially cases of MDR-TB, are immigrants and they influence on distribution of certain strains in Iran, so to reduce TB in Iran, it is necessary to reduce of TB transmission in origin countries. It is also necessary to provide conditions in which, after the arrival of immigrants to Iran, their hidden TB would not become active and this is possible by improving migrant’s living condition and according to the economic problems in the country it is hard to this country to handle the problem alone, so financial aids from international organizations such as WHO is necessary.

The following limitations have been observed in the examined studies:

1. Different methods of genotyping have been used in these studies, which they had different power of distinction. Considering that the distinction power of the
tying technique is effective on the recent transmission rate, in studies that only used the spoligotyping method it seems that the recent transmissions has been falsely observed further
2. In some studies, the results were not complete due to the lack of testing for drug sensitivity and the failure to identify strains of Beijing
3. Studies were conducted on positive culture samples; therefore, cases such as children TB in which samples were prepared hardly for cultivation were excluded
4. In some studies, the samples were low and did not include all TB cases
5. In some provinces where immigration has taken place, the relevant studies have not been carried out.

It is suggested that in order to reduce the number of TB in Iran, immigrant’s health information be recorded upon admission, which be accompanied by suitable diagnostic tests for TB and also be benefit from the health services provided to Iranians.

Conclusions
Regarding the arrival of foreign migrants from neighboring countries to Iran, that one of their main motivations is the pursuit of occupation and the treatment of their illness, including TB, because of being free, therefore, exploitation the molecular epidemiological studies has made it possible to assessment and impact of immigrants on the country’s TB and has improved our understanding of the role of immigrants in the transmission of specific strains to Iran, so that the obtained information can help to control of TB in Iran and even in neighboring countries.

Financial support and sponsorship
This study was supported financially by Tabriz University of Medical Sciences (grant number 8785).

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

Received: 17 Oct 18 Accepted: 14 Feb 20
Published: 30 Dec 20

References
1. Rashedi J, Mahdavi Poor B, Rafi A, Asgharzadeh M, Abdolalizadeh J, Moaddab SR. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in north-west of Iran and Republic of Azerbaijan: A major public health concern for Iranian people. J Res Health Sci 2015;15:101-3.
2. Global tuberculosis report 2020. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. https://www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/tb-reports/global-tuberculosis-report-2020. [Last accessed on 2020 Feb 14].
3. Murray JE. Mycobacterium tuberculosis and the cause of consumption: From discovery to fact. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2004;169:1086-8.
4. Sharma SK, Mohan A, Kadhiran T. HIV-TB co-infection: Epidemiology, diagnosis & management. Indian J Med Res 2005;121:550-67.
5. Thi SS, Parker DM, Swe LL, Pukrittayakamee S, Ling CL, Amornpaesarnloet K, et al. Migration histories of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients from the Thailand-Myanmar border, 2012-2014. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2017;21:753-8.
6. Verhagen LM, van den Hof S, van Deutkom H, Hermans PW, Kremer K, Borgdorff MW, et al. Mycobacterial factors relevant for transmission of tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 2011;203:1249-55.
7. Falzon D, Zignol M, Migliori GB, Nunn P, Raviglione MC. Migration: An opportunity for the improved management of tuberculosis worldwide. Ital J Public Health 2012;9:1-11.
8. Parwati I, van Crevel R, van Soolingen D. Possible underlying mechanisms for successful emergence of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Beijing genotype strains. Lancet Infect Dis 2010;10:103-11.
9. Castelli F, Sulis G. Migration and infectious diseases. Clin Microbiol Infect 2017;23:283-9.
10. Pescarini JM, Rodrigues LC, Gomes MG, Waldman EA. Migration to middle-income countries and tuberculosis—global policies for global economies. Global Health 2017;13:15.
11. Lönnroth K, Mor Z, Erkens C, Bruchfeld J, Nathavitharama RR, van der Werf MJ, et al. Tuberculosis in migrants in low-incidence countries: Epidemiology and intervention entry points. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2017;21:624-37.
12. Alvarez GG, Gushulak B, Abu Rumman K, Altpeter E, Chemtob D, Douglas P, et al. A comparative examination of tuberculosis immigration medical screening programs from selected countries with high immigration and low tuberculosis incidence rates. BMC Infect Dis 2011;11:3.
13. Asgharzadeh M, Khakpour M, Salehi TZ, Kafli HS. Use of mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit-variable-number tandem repeat typing to study Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from East Azarbaijan province of Iran. Pak J Biol Sci 2007;10:3769-77.
14. Dhavan P, Dias HM, Creswell J, Weil D. An overview of tuberculosis and migration. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2017;21:610-23.
15. Godfrey-Faussett P, Sonnenberg P, Sherrer SC, Bruce MC, Mee C, Morris L, et al. Tuberculosis control and molecular epidemiology in a South African gold-mining community. Lancet 2000;356:1066-71.
16. Asgharzadeh M, Shahbabian K, Majidi J, Aghazadeh AM, Amini C, Jahantabi AR, et al. IS6110 restriction fragment length polymorphism typing of Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from East Azarbaijan Province of Iran. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2006;101:517-21.
17. Afagh-STaramaleki A, Moaddab S, Darbouy M, Ansarin K, Hanifian S. Determining the risk of intra-community transmission of tuberculosis in the northwest of Iran through 15 loci MIRU-VNTR typing. Eur J Microbiol Immunol 2017;7;46-54.
18. Feyisa SG, Haeli M, Zahednamazi F, Mosavari N, Taheri MM, Hamzehloo G, et al. Molecular characterization of Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from Tehran, Iran by restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis and spoligotyping. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 2016;49:204-10.
19. Farazi A, Jabbariasl M, Tadayon K, Mossavar N, Keshavarz R, Hoseini SD. Comparison of the genetic convergence between mycobacterium strains by three RFLP-based methods in central province of Iran. Iran J Basic Med Sci 2014;17:401-5.
20. Velayati AA, Farnia P, Mozafar M, Sheikholeslami MF, Karahrudi MA, Tabarsi P, et al. High prevalence of rifampin-monoresistant tuberculosis: A retrospective analysis among Iranian pulmonary tuberculosis patients. Am J Trop Med
21. Rafiee B, Farazi AA, Sadeghi D, Ghanii S, Mohammadtaheri M, Sakhraei A, et al. Effects of recent transmission of infection and reactivation of latent infection with Mycobacterium Tuberculosis in patients with tuberculosis. J Isfahan Med Sch 2013;30:2310-3.

22. Ahmadi M, Tadayon K, Mosavari N, Farazi AA, Arjomandzadegan M, Keshavarz R, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis genotyping by MIRU-VNTR method. J Gorgan Univ Med Sci 2015;17:97-107.

23. Torkaman MR, Nasiri MJ, Farnia P, Shahhosseiny MH, Mozafari M, Velayati AA. Estimation of recent transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains among Iranian and Afghan immigrants: A cluster-based study. J Clin Diagn Res 2014;8:DC05-8.

24. Nasiri B. Evaluation and molecular comparison of Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains isolated from Iranian and Afghan immigrants in Tehran. Iran J Med Microbiol 2014;8:22-7.

25. Farnia P, Masjedi MR, Vahramh M, Miraecidi M, Ahmadi M, Khazampour M, et al. The recent-transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains among Iranian and Afghan relapse cases: A DNA-fingerprinting using RFLP and spoligotyping. BMC Infect Dis 2008;8:1-7.

26. Rohani M, Farnia P, Nasab MN, Moniri R, Torfeh M, Amiri MM. Beijing genotype and other predominant Mycobacterium tuberculosis spoligotypes observed in Mashhad city, Iran. Indian J Med Microbiol 2009;27:306-10.

27. Asgharzadeh M, Kafil HS, Roudsary AA, Hanifi GR. Tuberculosis transmission in Northwest of Iran: Using MIRU-VNTR, ETR-VNTR and IS6110-RFLP methods. Infect Genet Evol 2011;11:124-31.

28. Velayati AA, Farnia P, Miraecidi M, Reza Masjedi M. The most prevalent Mycobacterium tuberculosis superfamilies among Iranian and Afghan TB cases. Scand J Infect Dis 2006;38:463-8.

29. Ramazanzadeh R, Farnia P, Amirmozafari N, Ghazi F, Ghadertotonchi Z, Kamran J, et al. Comparison between molecular epidemiology, geographical regions and drug resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains isolated from Iranian and Afghan patients. Chemotherapy 2006;52:316-20.

30. Asgharzadeh M, Shahbadian K, Samadi Kafil H, Rafi A. Use of DNA fingerprinting in identifying the source case of tuberculosis in East Azerbaijan province of Iran. J Med Sci 2007;7:418-21.

31. Feizabadi MM, Shahriari M, Safavi M, Gharavi S, Hamid M. Multidrug-resistant strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolated from patients in Tehran belong to a genetically distinct cluster. Scand J Infect Dis 2003;35:47-51.

32. Doroudchi M, Kremer K, Basiri EA, Kadivar MR, Van Soolingen D, Ghaderi AA. IS6110-RFLP and spoligotyping of Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates in Iran. Scand J Infect Dis 2000;32:663-8.

33. Borgerdorff MW, van den Hof S, Kalisvaart N, Kremer K, van Soolingen D. Influence of sampling on clustering and associations with risk factors in the molecular epidemiology of tuberculosis. Am J Epidemiol 2011;174:243-51.

34. Glynn JR, Crampin AC, Traore H, Chaguluka S, Mwafurira DT, Alghamdi S, et al. Determinants of cluster size in large, population-based molecular epidemiology study of tuberculosis, northern Malawi. Emerg Infect Dis 2008;14:1060-6.

35. Merker M, Blin C, Mona S, Duforet-Frebourg N, Lecher S, Willery E, et al. Evolutionary history and global spread of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Beijing lineage. Nat Genet 2015;47:242-9.

36. Braden CR, Templeton GL, Cave MD, Walvey S, Onorato IM, Castro KG, et al. Interpretation of restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from a state with a large rural population. J Infect Dis 1997;175:1446-52.

37. Jonsson J, Hoffner S, Berggren I, Bruchfeld J, Ghebremichael S, Pennhag A, et al. Comparison between RFLP and MIRU-VNTR genotyping of Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains isolated in Stockholm 2009 to 2011. PLoS One 2014;9:e95159.

38. Sahebi L, Ansarir K, Hoffner S, Mohajeri P, Mohammad A. Beijing strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in smear-positive tuberculosis patients in North-West and West of Iran. Adv Biomed Res 2016;5:181.

39. Mozafari M, Farnia P, Afraei M, Derakhshani-Nezhad Z, Masjedi MR, Velayati AA. Molecular diversity of Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains indifferent provinces of Iran. Iran J Microbiol 2013;5:366-73.

40. Lopez B, Aguilar D, Orozco H, Burger M, Espitia C, Ritacco V, et al. A marked difference in pathogenesis and immune response induced by different Mycobacterium tuberculosis genotypes. Clin Exp Immunol 2003;133:30-7.

41. Sun JR, Lee SY, Dou HY, Lu JJ. Using a multiplex polymerase chain reaction for the identification of Beijing strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009;28:105-7.

42. Kamerbeek J, Schouls L, Kolk A, van Agterveld M, van Soolingen D, Ghaderi AA. IS6110-RFLP and spoligotyping of Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates in Iran. Scand J Infect Dis 2000;32:663-8.