Properties of groups of galaxies in the vicinity of massive clusters
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ABSTRACT

This work analyses the properties of groups of galaxies in the surroundings of clusters. On the basis of a very large public Virgo Consortium Simulation, we identified systems of galaxies in a wide range of masses. Systems with masses greater than $M_{\text{cut}} = 4 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} h^{-1}$ are considered "host", whereas smaller systems are taken as groups. Our results show that groups properties are affected by the proximity of massive hosts. Physical properties such as velocity dispersion, internal energy ($E$) and virial radius, show an increment, whereas the mean density decreases as the host-group distance is smaller. By analysing groups with different properties, we find that the low mass and the weakly bounded ($E > 0$) subsamples, are strongly affected by the presence of the host; on the other hand, massive groups and groups with $E < 0$ do not show dependence on the host-group distance. Using a sample of groups identified in the final version of the 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey, we find a very similar velocity dispersion behaviour in the observational data compared to results in the simulation. We also study the dependence of the groups velocity dispersion on the host masses in both, observations and simulation; finding that the larger is the host mass the higher is the effect on its vicinity.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In hierarchical models for galaxy formation, structures grow due to the accretion of smaller systems which formed at earlier times. According to this scheme, these systems should not only be in the cluster itself, but also in its vicinity, harbouring an important number of groups or small clusters of galaxies. In this sense, clusters of galaxies represent high density enhancements in the distribution of galaxies.

On the basis of this scenario, it is natural to ask whether physical properties of structures depend on its proximity to high density regions, which, as previously mentioned, may be well represented by rich clusters of galaxies; or, if on the contrary, they evolve independently on the presence of denser regions.

Several works have focussed on the evolution of substructures inside massive systems in simulations or rich galaxy clusters (Tormen et al. 1998, Ghigna et al. 2000, Taylor & Babul 2003, De Lucia et al. 2003); nevertheless, not much attention has been paid to structures in the outer regions of these systems. Hence, it is not well known if some of the behaviours of substructures inside the clusters, could also be applicable to groups in their periphery.

Einasto et al. (2003) have investigated the properties of loose groups in the Las Campanas Redshift Survey (LCLGs), in the vicinity of rich clusters of galaxies, including clusters from the Abell and APM catalogues, X-ray clusters and also a sample of the richest groups from the LCLG catalogue itself. By using an additional sample, consisting of all those LCLGs which do not neighbour a rich cluster, they have been able to compare the properties obtained for dense-environment LCLGs with those of typical LCLGs. They found that in most cases, the observed richness of groups near rich clusters is larger than the corresponding value for groups in the comparison sample. The same effect is shown when using Abell counts as a measure of groups richness. The harmonic radius and the velocity dispersion are also somewhat larger in the neighbourhood of rich clusters than in typical loose groups. They also found a strong mass segregation; indicating that loose groups in the vicinity of clusters have masses that are larger than mean masses of groups drawn from the comparison sample. The same trend is observed in the luminosities of LCLGs, showing that groups around rich clusters seem to be more luminous. At last, they found that these properties of loose groups do not show any dependence on clusters richness.
Lemson & Kauffmann (1999), also address the issue of properties of dark matter haloes as a function of local density; concluding that mass is the only halo property which correlates significantly with local environment. In fact, high mass haloes are underrepresented in low density regions, whereas in high density regions the situation is the other way round.

In this work we analyse the properties of groups in the vicinity of rich clusters; taking into account their masses, internal energies, velocity dispersions and virial radii. To this end, we use data extracted from a high resolution numerical simulation and from the final version of the 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS) (Colless et al. 2003). The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we describe the simulation that we used and give a brief description of the group identification algorithm; in section 3 we give a description of the 2dFGRS and the identification of groups in this catalogue; section 4 contains the results obtained for both, the simulation (subsection 4.1) and the observational data (subsection 4.2); a summary and a discussion of our results are presented in section 5.

2 NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

In this study we use one of the publicly available Very Large Simulations (VLS) (Yoshida et al. 2001). Given the success of the model to reproduce observational data, we have chosen the ΛCDM simulation, which has a box size of 479 Mpc h−1 and 5123 particles, resulting in a mass per particle 6.86 × 1010 M⊙ h−1. The cosmological parameters of this simulation are: Ω0 = 0.3, ΩΛ = 0.7, normalisation σ8 = 0.9 and h = 0.7. Due to the large box size it is possible to find a large number of rich systems, which give us the possibility to work with a reliable statistical sample. In addition, the simulation resolution enable small systems to have enough number of particles to confidently estimate physical properties.

The groups identification is carried out using a standard friends-of-friends algorithm. The analysis of the VLS haloes catalogue is performed using a linking length given by l = 0.17n−1/3, where n is the mean numerical density of particles, corresponding to an overdensity of δρ/ρ = 200. This criterion leads to ~ 370300 identified systems with masses ranging from 1.4 × 1012 M⊙ h−1 (20 particles) to 2.35 × 1015 M⊙ h−1.

3 OBSERVATIONAL DATA

Observational clusters and groups used in this work are extracted from the final version of the 2dFGRS, with the best redshifts estimates within the Northern (−7°.5 ≤ δ ≤ 2°.5, 9°50′ ≤ α ≤ 14°50′) and southern (−37°.5 ≤ δ ≤ −22°5, 21°40′ ≤ α ≤ 3°30′) strips of the catalogue. This galaxy sample contains 221414 galaxies. The group identification was performed using an algorithm similar to that developed by Huchra & Geller (1982), modified in order to take into account sky coverage problems (Merchán & Zandivarez, 2002), such as redshift completeness, which represents a ratio of the number of galaxies for which redshifts have been obtained to the total number of objects contained in the parent catalogue; and magnitude limit mask, which corresponds to variations of the parent survey magnitude limit with the position on the sky. Also, it was taken into account a minor effect introduced by the magnitude completeness mask (μ-mask). Mask software was obtained from the final version data release of the 2dFGRS, kindly provided by Peder Norberg and Shaun Cole.

In the construction of the group sample, values of δρ/ρ = 80 and V0 = 200 km s−1 were used to maximise the groups accuracy, as stated by Merchán & Zandivarez (2002). The group catalogue comprises a total number of 6088 galaxy groups with at least 4 members and mean radial velocities in the range 900 km s−1 ≤ V ≤ 75000 km s−1. The minimal number of members is imposed in order to avoid pseudo-groups.

Virial masses were estimated using the virial radius and the velocity dispersion (Mvir = σ2Rvir/G, Limber & Matthews, 1960). For a better efficiency and stability in the estimation of the velocity dispersion, we use the biweight estimator for groups with more than 15 members, and the gapper estimator for smaller groups (Beers, Flynn and Gebhardt, 1990; Girardi et al., 1993; Girardi & Giuricin, 2000). Finally, the catalogue mean velocity dispersion is 253 km s−1, the mean virial mass of 7.2 × 1013 M⊙ h−1 and a mean virial radius of 1.04 Mpc h−1.

4 GROUPS IN THE VICINITY OF CLUSTERS

In order to analyse the behaviour of systems surrounding high density regions, we discriminate "host" clusters from "groups" using a mass threshold Mcut. The studies were carried out in both, simulations and observational data described in previous sections.

4.1 Groups properties in the simulation

Host clusters in the VLS, were selected as systems with masses M > Mcut = 4 × 1014 M⊙ h−1, whereas systems with masses 1.4 × 1012 M⊙ h−1 ≤ M ≤ Mcut are considered groups. With this criterion we find ~ 379000 groups and 330 hosts, leading to a mean host separation of ~ 70 Mpc h−1. In this way we have defined our sample of groups neighbouring rich clusters, enabling us to study the dependence of groups properties on the host-group distance.

The leading aim of our studies, is the dependence of main groups physical properties on the host distance. Given the asymmetry of these property distributions, we use the median instead of the standard mean. We find an increasing trend for the median velocity dispersion when considering smaller host-groups distances. Top panel of figure 1 shows the above mentioned trend, for all systems in our group sample. As we are considering host-group distances starting at 3 Mpc h−1, miscalculation of group velocity dispersion owing to hosts particles contamination, is not likely to happen. Assuring that the observed effect is not produced by high velocity host particles misplaced in nearby groups.

As stated by Lemson & Kauffmann (1999), there is a dependence of the halo mass function on the environment, which is skewed towards high mass objects in overdense regions. Hence, the rising of the velocity dispersion in denser environments, could be the result of a higher abundance of
massive haloes. In order to test this possibility, we compute the mass function in 1 $Mpc$ $h^{-1}$ thick spherical shells, centered on hosts for several radii (3.5, 9.5, 20.5 and 40.5 $Mpc$ $h^{-1}$). This allows us to quantify the dependence of the mass function on the overdensity ($\delta = 5.89, 1.09, 0.28, 0.05$ respectively, for the above radii). Figure 2 shows in dashed lines the mass function for the mentioned radii multiplied by $(1 + \delta)^{-1}$ and labelled with the associated $\delta$'s; solid line, represents the total mass function. Due to the overlapping, we shift the curves corresponding to the three highest overdensities by factors 10, 100 and 1000 respectively. As can be seen, the mass function shape does not change for groups with masses $1.4 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$ $h^{-1} < M_{\text{group}} < 2.0 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ $h^{-1}$, and in the lower panel groups masses are $2.0 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ $h^{-1} < M_{\text{group}} < 4.0 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ $h^{-1}$. Error bars were estimated by the bootstrap resampling technique.

Based on the previous analysis, we explore the observed velocity dispersion behaviour for groups according to their masses; considering masses greater and lower than $2.0 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ $h^{-1}$. As a result of this resampling we find that velocity dispersions of low mass groups are strongly affected by the presence of host clusters (middle panel of figure 1), whereas high mass groups do not show a significant variation of the median when approaching to their associated hosts (lower panel of figure 1). According to this, we focus the following analysis on this low mass group sample.

At first sight, the rising of the median velocity dispersion, could be a result of the gravitational influence of the host on its neighbourhood. This possibility encourages us to analyse the behaviour of the internal energy, $E$, in the same way we did for the velocity dispersion. This quantity is estimated by:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 M_{\text{group}} - \frac{G m^2 N(N - 1)}{R_{\text{vir}}}$$

where the first and second terms correspond to the kinetic and potential energies respectively, $\sigma$ is the 3D velocity dispersion, $M_{\text{group}}$ the group mass, $m$ the particle mass, $N$ the number of members of a group and $R_{\text{vir}}$ the group virial radius. Top panel of figure 3 shows the dependence of the group median energy on the host distance. It is clear that groups situated closer to high density regions, tend to have higher energies, indicating that these groups are typically weakly bounded. Next, we subdivide the low mass group subsample into positive and negative internal energy groups. In this case, we find that the rising median velocity dispersion trend, is maintained for positive energies groups (open circles in bottom panel of figure 3), whereas the negative energies ones, show a constant behaviour (filled triangles in bottom panel of figure 3). Moreover, positive energy groups not only are the main contributors to the signal, but also dominate in number towards central regions; as can be seen in the middle panel of the same figure. This result suggests that the rising of the median velocity dispersion towards smaller host-group distances, has to do with an equilibrium loss, due to an increment in the internal velocities of these groups.

To complement the previously obtained results, we have also analysed the dependence of the median virial radius on

---

**Figure 1.** Host-groups distances against median velocity dispersion. In the top panel the sample corresponds to all groups, in the middle panel to groups with masses $1.4 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$ $h^{-1} < M_{\text{group}} < 2.0 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ $h^{-1}$, and in the lower panel groups masses are $2.0 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ $h^{-1} < M_{\text{group}} < 4.0 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ $h^{-1}$. Error bars were estimated by the bootstrap resampling technique.

**Figure 2.** Mass function around hosts, corresponding to different overdensities $\delta$. The solid line corresponds to the total mass function and dashed lines to the mass function computed in 1 $Mpc$ $h^{-1}$ thick spherical shells at radii 3.5, 9.5, 20.5 and 40.5 $Mpc$ $h^{-1}$, multiplied by $(1 + \delta)^{-1}$. For clarity, the three first curves and the total one, have been shifted (multiplied by 1000, 100 and 10 respectively) in the y-axe. Vertical dashed lines indicate the mass range of the low mass group sample. Error bars correspond to poisson estimation. The total mass function have error bars smaller than the point size.
Figure 3. Lower panel shows host-groups distances against the median velocity dispersion. Dots: low masses group sample. Open circles: groups with energy $E > 0$. Triangles: groups with energy $E < 0$. Error bars were estimated by the bootstrap resampling technique. In the middle panel is plotted the ratio between the number of groups with $E > 0$ and $E < 0$, as a function of the host-group distance. In this case error bars correspond to error propagation technique. In the top panel is shown the median energy against host-group distance. Bootstrap resampling technique was used to obtain the error bars.

Figure 4. Median virial radii (Top panel) and median mean density (Bottom panel) against host-group distance. Error bars are estimated using the bootstrap resampling technique. A possible interpretation of the above results can be found analysing the dynamical properties of systems of galaxies in the presence of external gravitational fields. The interaction between two systems of very different size will mainly affect the properties of the smaller one. The tidal radius $R_t$ specifies the size of the smaller system beyond which the mass becomes bound to the potential of the larger one. For a group with total mass $M_g$ in the neighbourhood of a host with mass $M_h$, the tidal radius can be roughly approximated by

$$R_t \simeq r \left( \frac{M_g}{3M_h} \right)^{1/3}$$

where $r$ is the host-group distance (Binney & Tremaine, 1987). For our low mass group sample, the mean group mass is $\sim 4.0 \times 10^{12} M_\odot h^{-1}$; whereas host sample has a mean mass of $\sim 6.5 \times 10^{14} M_\odot h^{-1}$. Replacing these values in the above equation, for a distance of 3 $Mpc h^{-1}$, a value of $R_t = 0.38$ $Mpc h^{-1}$ is obtained, which is quite similar to the median virial radius at the same distance (top panel of the host distance. As shown in the top panel of figure 4, the median virial radius weakly increases towards inner regions. This is in agreement with the previous analysis, since contributes to a decrease of the potential energy with the consequent increment in the internal energy. In the bottom panel of figure 4, the median density of groups, $\bar{\rho} = (N/R_{vir}^3)$, is plotted against the host-group distance showing that groups nearer to hosts, have lower mean densities than groups in the outer regions.

Figure 5. Upper panel: median velocity dispersions for $M_{cut} = 4 \times 10^{14} M_\odot h^{-1}, 6 \times 10^{14} M_\odot h^{-1}$ and $9 \times 10^{14} M_\odot h^{-1}$ corresponding to the bottom, middle and upper trend respectively. Bottom panel: median velocity dispersion in the nearest bin of the host-group distances ($\theta_{max}$), against $M_{cut}$. Numbers beside points indicate the number of host with masses larger than $M_{cut}$. Error bars are estimated according to the bootstrap resampling technique.
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4.2 Groups properties in the 2dFGGC

In this section we describe the results obtained for the sample of groups derived from the 2dFGRS as described in section 3.

In order to compare with the results of the simulation, we extract a random subsample from groups in the VLS, that reproduces the 2dF group velocity dispersion distribution. Inset plot of figure 6, shows in dashed line the normalised distribution imposed for VLS groups, and in solid line the corresponding to 2dF groups. We use for both samples $M_{cut} = 4 \times 10^{14}, 6 \times 10^{14}$ and $9 \times 10^{14} \, M_\odot \, h^{-1}$, corresponding to the lower, middle and upper trend respectively; the more massive are the selected hosts, the steeper is the median velocity dispersion trend. In the bottom panel of figure 5 we plot the value of the maximum median velocity dispersion, $\sigma_{max}$, corresponding to groups in the distance range from 3.0 to 3.45 Mpc $h^{-1}$, as a function of $M_{cut}$. A strong enhancement of the effect that hosts exert on its neighbourhood, is observed when considering more massive hosts. This fact supports the scenario where the gravitational potential of massive systems, perturbs the behaviour of the surrounding smaller groups.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Given the large volume and high resolution of the publicly available VLS, we could identify a large number of systems of galaxies in a wide range of masses. Using a mass threshold we defined several samples of host systems and groups.

Our results show that the median velocity dispersion of groups of galaxies increases as the distance to the host clusters is smaller. Taking subsamples of groups, considering high and low masses, we find that the previous behaviour is not present in the high mass subsample whereas low mass groups account for the observed effect. This low mass subsample was used to study the behaviour of groups according to their internal energies. We found that the internal energy of groups increases towards smaller values of host-group distances, showing up that the gravitational equilibrium of groups is influenced by the presence of massive systems. By splitting this sample in two groups subsamples with positive and negative energies, we found that only those with positive energies increase the median velocity dispersion towards smaller host distances. In order to unmask the source

Figure 6. In the inset line, the solid line represents the 2dFGGC normalised velocity dispersion distribution; the dashed line is for a subsample of VLS groups which imitates the former. Velocity dispersion medians for both samples, are plotted in the main panel for $M_{cut} = 7 \times 10^{14} \, M_\odot \, h^{-1}$, the line types correspond to the same samples as for the inset panel. Bootstrap resampling technique is used for the computation of error bars.
of these effects, we studied the dependence on the distance of complementary physical properties. By considering smaller host-groups distances, the virial radius increases and the opposite trend is observed for the mean density.

A possible scenario describing the above results, may be placed within the framework of the tidal forces exerted by the massive clusters over neighbouring systems. In the proximity of a cluster, its potential has a strength which is similar to the one exerted by the group itself; indicating that tidal forces are likely to affect groups properties.

Finally, analysing different subsamples of host clusters we found that, the more massive is the host cluster the greater is the effect on the group velocity dispersion, which is also consistent with the previous model.

Using the final version of the 2dF redshift survey, we repeated the velocity dispersion analysis performed to the VLS groups. In this case we take a subsample of VLS groups, which reproduces the velocity dispersion distribution of groups identified in the 2dFGRS. The trend we find for the observational data is in excellent agreement with the result we obtain for the simulation subsample. Moreover, 2dF groups velocity dispersion show a dependence on the host masses very similar to that found in the simulation. The good agreement between the results coming from the numerical simulation and the observational data suggests that no astrophysical mechanisms, other than the gravitational forces, are needed in order to explain the dynamical properties of groups of galaxies.
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