Novel Nanofibers Made of Chitosan/Hyaluronan Electrostatic Complex

Abstract

After preparation of pure chitosan nanofibers, development of electrospinning in our laboratory allowed to prepare new nanofibers made of hyaluronan (HA) and chitosan (CS) polyelectrolyte complex (PEC). It is the first time that such materials are produced taking advantage of the properties of these two important biological polymers. PEC fibers need to be stabilized by thermal treatment to enable their use as materials for biological applications. Spinnability of the blends and some physicochemical properties were evaluated as well as their mechanical properties under different fiber arrangement. Finally, the fibers were applied for chondrocyte development in view of tissue engineering.
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Introduction

PECs are a type of composite produced by mixing two polymers with opposing charges, stabilized by strong electrostatic interactions between the polycation and polyanion [1-5]. The materials based on PEC are characterized by a degree of swelling and solubility depending on pH and external ionic concentration [6]. Often, chitosan CS is selected as polycation due to its specific properties (biocompatibility, biodegradability, antimicrobial) and associated with synthetic or natural polyanions. Hyaluronan, a natural polysaccharide which is a critical component of natural ECM is widely used in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine [7]. In order to prepare PEC systems, Chitosan/Polyethylene Oxide (PEO) and Alginate/PEO solutions have been mixed for electrospinning with different volume ratios [8]. Ma and co-workers successfully electrospun CS/HA solutions by mixing different volumes of 1% wt. HA solubilized in water (W)/Formic acid (FA) (25/75 w/w) with positively charged 7%wt. chitosan in W/FA (20/80 w/w). The weight and mole ratios of –NH₂ groups on the CS backbone to –COOH groups of HA are able to be determined easily. Under those previous electrospinning conditions, smooth and thin fibers were obtained with a mole ratio –NH₂/-COOH less than 1 but no test on stability in aqueous medium was performed [1]. Core-shell fibers were obtained by mixing 1% wt. HA solution (W/FA/Dimethylformamide = 5.0/2.5/2.5, w/w, as a blend solvent) and 7% wt. CS solution (W/FA 1/9, w/w, as a blend solvent) at the different blending volume ratio HA/CS from 9/1 to 5/5, i.e. -NH₂/-COOH charge ratios from 1.64 to 14.73 respectively. However, HA remains soluble depending on the weight ratio and chitosan makes the shell [2]. Another method allowed the production of
CS–HA-PEO bilayer material by sequential electrospinning of HA–PEO onto a freshly formed CS–PEO layer; the ratio of the thickness of the CS:HA layers was 2:1. A fraction of HA remains soluble but it was demonstrated a significantly higher number of living cells on the surface of the CS–HA compared with CS with a better biocompatibility [9].

In our work, PEC made of chitosan and hyaluronan is prepared from chitosan and hyaluronan solutions in the same solvent (FA/W 1/1 v/v) at controlled -NH\(_2\)/-COONa charge ratios. The stability of the materials obtained is studied on the nanofibers obtained by electrospinning after a thermal treatment. Mechanical properties in dried state, degree of swelling and solubility in phosphate buffer (pH = 7.4) are determined. Then, the application for biological development of chondrocytes for tissue engineering is introduced.

### Materials and Methods

#### Materials

Chitosan (CS) sample from Northern cold-water shrimp, _Pandalus borealis_, was obtained from Primex Ehf (Batch TM4778, code 42010, Sigtufjordur, Iceland), with a molecular weight (M\(_w\)) around 160 kg/mol and a degree of acetylation (DA) of 0.05, determined using H NMR. The used Hyaluronan (HA) sample from Soliance (Pomacle, France) has a weight-average molecular weight M\(_w\) = 540 kg/mol. Formic acid (FA) (ACS reagent > 98%) from Sigma-Aldrich (Product of Finland, lot #STBJ3705) and Dulbecco’s Phosphate Buffered Saline (DPBS) (ref. 14190-094, Lot 2118924) from Gibco (Made in UK) were utilized. Poly (ethylene oxide) (PEO) with a molecular weight of 1x10\(^5\) kg/mol was used to prepare the fibrous mat. Deionized water was used as solvent for the solutions. All reagents and polymers were used as received without further purification.

For cell culture, the C20A4 human chondrocyte cell line [10] was selected and the samples were seeded in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% v/v of fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% v/v, in proportion with the total volume, penicillin/streptomycin/glutamine solution. Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) solution has a pH = 7.4, measured in the laboratory, DMEM serum-free and 0.05% Trypsin–EDTA solution were also utilized in cell experiments. All biological reagents were purchased from Gibco Life Technologies (Paisley, UK).

#### Preparation of PEC solutions

Chitosan and Hyaluronan homogeneous solutions were prepared separately at 4% w/w in W/FA mixtures at ratio 1/1 (v/v) to obtain stable solutions. Under these conditions, the total functional groups contents are 0.233 [−NH\(_2\)]/L in chitosan and 0.1 [−COOH]/L in hyaluronan respectively. Then, HA and CS solutions were mixed at different volume ratios corresponding to charge ratios Rc = 0.5, 1, 1.8, 2.35 and 3.0 under stirring getting a homogeneous blend.

#### Electropinning of PEC

With the purpose of favor PEC spinnability, the addition of a 4% w/w PEO solution was needed. Using the same solvent as for the corresponding biopolymer mixture, a final content in PEC/PEO equal to 70/30 (w/w) was selected such as to preserve a high yield in polysaccharides.

Using a conventional vertical set up of electrospinning technique, the prepared solutions were placed in a 5 mL plastic syringe fitted with a 21-gauge stainless steel needle. Then, the ensemble syringe/needle was disposed in a syringe pump (model: KDS Legato 200, KD Scientific, Holliston, MA, USA), which delivers solutions at specified flow rate. Electrospinning was effectuated applying a voltage around 25 kV between the electrodes using a homemade dual high voltage power supplier (± 20 kV, iseq GmbH, Radeberg, Germany).

The nanofibers were recovered on two different collectors: aluminum foil and rotatory cylinder. The experiments were performed placing the collector (metallic plate or rotatory cylinder) at 17 cm from the tip of the needle and the operating flow rates varied from 0.02 to 0.15 mL/h. In the case of rotatory collector, the distance was considered from the surface of the cylinder (diameter = 10 cm) to the needle tip. The cylinder rotation was set at 1500 rpm. Production of fibers was carried out at room temperature in closed Plexiglas® box with relative humidity ranging between 30% and 50%. The produced nanofibers matrices were left in ambient conditions to evaporate excess of FA and water and reserved for further analyses.

#### Thermal treatment of nanofiber mats

As proposed in literature, amide linkage is formed between −NH\(_2\) and −COOH under controlled thermal treatment [9, 11–13]. In this work, chitosan and chitosan/HA complex under mat morphology were treated at 120°C during 4 hours in air conditions for structural stabilization in presence of PEO.
Mechanical properties of nanofiber mat

The measurements were carried out using an ARES-G2 rheometer (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA) equipped with a rectangular geometry, used for axial tension consisting of two axial clamps that hold the material when the force is applied. Samples were taken from the nanofibrous electrospin matrices (randomly oriented and aligned fibers) maintaining a free length/width ratio around 2.69. The results are expressed as the Stress \( \sigma \) (Pa) = Force applied (N)/section area (m²).

Tensile tests were performed starting from a zero-applied force until the material presented a breaking point, with a deformation rate of 0.01 mm/s. The experiments were carried out at constant temperature around 25 °C.

Cell culture and chondrocyte quantification

Chondrocytes C20A4 initial sample was disposed in a culture flask with 20 mL of complete DMEM. Cell sample was preserved into a cell incubator (inCu safe, Panasonic) at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ constant inlet flow during few days until confluence. The nanofiber mats having a regular shape with a surface of ~1 cm², were directly placed in a Petri dish and washed 2 times with the PBS solution to be subsequently hydrated in the DMEM culture solution during 2 days. After the DMEM solution being removed from the culture dish, 20 µL of a cell suspension at a concentration of 5.7 x 10⁵ cell/mL, was disposed on the fiber mat followed by the addition of 2 mL of complete DMEM. The samples were maintained into a cell at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ constant inlet flow during few days before cell growth quantification, the culture solution was renewed every 2 days.

Cells were detached from the fibrous substrate and resuspended in DMEM in order to quantify the number of cells as a function of time. PEC supports were disposed in a 15 mL tube and carefully washed twice with 1 mL of PBS solution in order to remove remaining DMEM solution and unattached cells. Washing was followed by a detachment step consisting in the addition of 0.5 mL of Trypsin-EDTA 0.05% and vortex agitation at 1000 rpm during 60 seconds, four times. Further addition of DMEM and PBS washings helped to resuspend the extracted cells. Cell counting was carried out at times between 1 and 4 days after seeding and proliferation.

The final cell suspension was stained with Acridine Orange/Propidium Iodine fluorescent marker (F230001, Logos biosystems, Villeneuve d’Ascq, France) and cell quantification, in cell/mL, was performed on a dual brightfield and fluorescence cell counter (LUNA-FL, Logos biosystems, Villeneuve d’Ascq, France). This technique allows to identify and quantify the amount of total and living cells, for cell viability calculation. It gives also information about average cell size.

Results and Discussion

Nanofibers made of chitosan as reference [14-17] and CS/HA PEC have been processed by electrospinning in presence of PEO to favor spinnability. The samples are characterized as spun and after stabilization allowing to extract PEO and excess of salts and solvents in Ethanol (EtOH)/water 80/20 v/v. Due to the large solubility in aqueous medium, a thermal treatment (TT) was firstly adopted and characterized by a weight loss at higher charge ratios (Table 1).

### Table 1: Characterization of the PEC nanofibers obtained at different CS/HA ratios.

| Charge ratio | Weight ratio CS/HA | Electrospin products | Weight lost (%) during TT | Swelling degree at pH = 7.4 | Solubility (%) at pH = 7.4 |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.0          | 0.42               | Fibers               | 20.24 ± 0.09              | 5.2 ± 0.7                   | 21.2                      |
| 2.35         | 1.0                | Fibers               | 9.8 ± 2.5                 | 3.3 ± 0.3                   | 12.2                      |
| 3.0          | 1.26               | Fibers               | 10.9 ± 0.4                | 3.7 ± 0.5                   | 13.9                      |

Nanofiber stability and swelling

From the experimental results, it comes that, to get nanofibers, the stoichiometric charge ratio (-NH₂/COOH) is necessary to control their physicochemical properties. From NMR, it is shown that the insoluble materials are made of pure complex. PEO is extracted in Ethanol (EtOH)/water mixture [18]. In phosphate buffer at pH = 7.4, the swelling degree is low (3-4 %) for higher charge ratios. In the same conditions, after ~8 hours, the solubility is around 13-14% allowing to use this biomaterial for applications in dried and wet states.

Swelling degree decreases when the charge ratio (as well as the weight ratio) increases. At pH = 3, due to the insolubility of HA (gel forming conditions), the swelling remains minimum whatever the composition. At pH = 7.4, in phosphate buffer the swelling trend is close to pH = 3 behavior. In basic conditions, due to the large solubility of HA, the swelling decreases rapidly when the HA content decreases (up to CS/HA~ 2).

Mechanical properties

Using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), the diameter of PEC and pure chitosan nanofibers is determined. The average diameter obtained for pure chitosan nanofibers is 180 nm for thin regular fibers forming a highly porous mat with a density around 0.037 ± 0.01 g/cm³ [18]. The density of the PEC material is larger for aligned and randomly collected fibers (around 0.102 ± 0.022 and 0.097 ± 0.011 g/cm³, respectively). Considering the morphology of the samples, mechanical test was performed to compare the performances of nanofibers with random distribution as it was done before [15,18] with oriented nanofibers in the aim of increasing their mechanical properties.

On the PEC mats, the mechanical properties are determined and compared with that of pure chitosan obtained in the same experimental conditions. (Figure 3)

Figure 3 reflects the role of alignment of nanofibers on their mechanical properties in the dried state as already observed with honeycomb structures based on Polycaprolactone (PCL) [19]. It is necessary to consider that the density of the material is larger for aligned than for randomly collected PEC fibers and larger than that of pure chitosan, as mentioned be-
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Chondrocyte proliferation

Preliminary results for cell viability on PEC nanofiber mats (Rc = 2.35) were obtained by inverted fluorescence microscopy. Figure 5 shows cell proliferation on the PEC fibers at t = 10 days after seeding.

In the same way, initial quantitative analysis of cell proliferation obtained on PEC nanofiber mats (Rc = 2.35) after thermal treatment and PEO extraction was performed. The results are compared with cell development on neutralized chitosan in Table 2.

Table 2: Influence of the substrate composition on development of chondrocytes. Comparison between chitosan and PEC (Rc = 2.35) fiber mats, cell average size was found ~ 20 µm.

| Substrate          | Seeding Time = 2 days | Seeding Time = 4 days |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|                    | Cell density (cell/cm²) | Cell viability          |
| CS/PEO 70/30       | 1.4 x 10⁴              | 96.8%                  |
|                    | 2.0 x 10⁴              | 100%                   |
|                    | 3.14 x 10⁴             | 90%                    |
| PEC/PEO 70/30      | 1.4 x 10⁴              | 96.8%                  |
|                    | 6.8 x 10⁴              | 91.4%                  |
|                    | 1.34 x 10⁵             | 100%                   |

From these results, for substrates having the same dimensions, it is clear that PEC favors chondrocyte adhesion and development compared with chitosan support with a very high cell viability.

Conclusion

This paper described the main characteristics of new nanofibers made of chitosan/hyaluronan complex processed to get nanofibers. The role of the stoichiometric is examined and it is demonstrated that the -NH₂/-COOH ratio controls the degree of swelling and solubility of the material. The stress/strain for uniaxial tension show that chitosan is stronger than PEC with a lower strain. The influence of the orientation of fibers by using a rotating collector allows comparison of properties with randomly orientated fibers. Density of aligned PEC fibers is larger due to a lower porosity but the stress at break is larger allowing easier handling of the samples in the wet state. The stress in the two directions of orientation of the samples—in parallel and transversal directions of the tensile test specimen are also obtained. The relatively high stress in...
the transversal direction seems to indicate connection between fibers occurring on the collector before complete drying of the electrospun solution.

At end, chondrocytes were developed on chitosan and PEC fibers showing the advantage of presence of hyaluronan on cell adhesion and proliferation. This new material should be convenient for tissue engineering application.
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