Learning analytics for smart campus: Data on academic performances of engineering undergraduates in Nigerian private university
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A B S T R A C T

Empirical measurement, monitoring, analysis, and reporting of learning outcomes in higher institutions of developing countries may lead to sustainable education in the region. In this data article, data about the academic performances of undergraduates that studied engineering programs at Covenant University, Nigeria are presented and analyzed. A total population sample of 1841 undergraduates that studied Chemical Engineering (CHE), Civil Engineering (CVE), Computer Engineering (CEN), Electrical and Electronics Engineering (EEE), Information and Communication Engineering (ICE), Mechanical Engineering (MEE), and Petroleum Engineering (PET) within the year range of 2002–2014 are randomly selected. For the five-year study period of engineering program, Grade Point Average (GPA) and its cumulative value of each of the sample were obtained from the Department of Student Records and Academic Affairs. In order to encourage evidence-based research in learning analytics, detailed datasets are made publicly available in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet file attached to this article. Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions of the academic performance data are presented in tables and graphs for easy data interpretations. In addition, one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and multiple comparison post-hoc tests are performed to determine whether the variations in the academic performances are significant across the seven
engineering programs. The data provided in this article will assist the global educational research community and regional policy makers to understand and optimize the learning environment towards the realization of smart campuses and sustainable education. © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Specifications Table

| Subject area        | Engineering Education                  |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|
| More specific subject area | Learning Analytics                      |
| Type of data        | Tables, graphs, figures, and spreadsheet file |
| How data was acquired | For the five-year study period of engineering program, Grade Point Average (GPA) and its cumulative value of each of the sample were obtained from the Department of Student Records and Academic Affairs. |
| Data format         | Raw, analyzed                          |
| Experimental factors | Undergraduates with incomplete academic records were excluded |
| Experimental features | Descriptive statistics, frequency distributions, one-way ANOVA and multiple comparison post-hoc tests were performed to determine whether the variations in the academic performances are significant across the seven engineering programs. |
| Data source location | The population sample and the academic performance data provided in this article were obtained at Covenant University, Canaanland, Ota, Nigeria (Latitude 6.6718° N, Longitude 3.1581° E) |
| Data accessibility  | In order to encourage evidence-based research in learning analytics, detailed datasets are made publicly available in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet file attached to this article. |

### Value of the data

- Comprehensive academic performance datasets provided in this article will promote evidence-based research in the emerging field of learning analytics in developing countries [1–4].
- Easy access to this data will assist the global educational research community and regional policy makers to understand and optimize the learning environment towards the realization of smart campuses and sustainable education [5–10].
- With the growing adoption of machine learning and artificial intelligence techniques in different fields, empirical data provided in this article will help in the development of predictive models for learning outcomes in engineering undergraduates [11–18].
- Descriptive statistics, frequency distributions, one-way ANOVA and multiple comparison post-hoc tests that are presented in tables, plots, and graphs will make data interpretation much easier for useful insights and logical conclusions.
- Detailed datasets that are made publicly available in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet file attached to this article will encourage further explorative studies in this field of research.

### 1. Data

The emerging field of learning analytics may be exploited to improve learning outcomes of engineering undergraduates in higher institutions of developing countries towards attaining
sustainable education in the region [19–21]. Useful information about the academic performances of undergraduates that studied engineering programs at Covenant University, Nigeria are presented and analyzed in this data article. Covenant University is located in Ota, Ogun State in Nigeria (Latitude 6.6718° N, Longitude 3.1581° E). It is a private Christian university affiliated with Living Faith Church Worldwide and a member of the Association of Commonwealth Universities (ACU), Association of African Universities (AAU), and National Universities Commission (NUC).

A total population sample of 1841 undergraduates that studied Chemical Engineering (CHE), Civil Engineering (CVE), Computer Engineering (CEN), Electrical and Electronics Engineering (EEE), Information and Communication Engineering (ICE), Mechanical Engineering (MEE), and Petroleum Engineering (PET) within the year range of 2002–2014 are randomly selected. The earliest year of entry and the latest year of graduation are 2002 and 2014 respectively. Having excluded undergraduates with incomplete academic records, 198, 152, 374, 407, 349, 166, 195 undergraduates were pooled from CHE, CVE, CEN, EEE, ICE, MEE, and PET respectively. The descriptive statistics of the academic performances of undergraduates in each of the seven engineering programs at Covenant University are presented in Tables 1–7.

The academic performances of engineering undergraduates vary as the students proceed from one level to another yearly. Fig. 1 shows the variations in the GPA data of all the engineering undergraduates under investigation. Figs. 2–8 illustrate the differences and trends in the GPA data of undergraduates in CHE, CVE, CEN, EEE, ICE, MEE, and PET respectively. The frequency distributions of the GPA data of undergraduates in CHE, CVE, CEN, EEE, ICE, MEE, and PET are shown in Figs. 9–15 respectively. Figs. 16–18 depict the proportions of engineering students that graduated with First

| Table 1 | Descriptive statistics of academic performances of undergraduates in CHE. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | First Year GPA | Second Year GPA | Third Year GPA | Fourth Year GPA | Fifth Year GPA | Cumulative GPA |
| Mean    | 4.02           | 3.49            | 3.52           | 3.77           | 3.79           | 3.70           |
| Median  | 4.11           | 3.53            | 3.55           | 3.88           | 3.90           | 3.78           |
| Mode    | 4.15           | 2.74            | 3.13           | 4.06           | 4.43           | 3.73           |
| Standard Deviation | 0.57           | 0.69            | 0.77           | 0.79           | 0.67           | 0.61           |
| Variance | 0.32           | 0.48            | 0.59           | 0.63           | 0.45           | 0.37           |
| Kurtosis | 4.07           | 2.69            | 2.40           | 2.70           | 3.45           | 2.39           |
| Skewness | −0.97          | −0.34           | −0.33          | −0.64          | −0.85          | −0.36          |
| Range   | 2.82           | 3.24            | 3.47           | 3.42           | 3.41           | 2.70           |
| Minimum | 2.09           | 1.54            | 1.47           | 1.55           | 1.59           | 2.16           |
| Maximum | 4.91           | 4.78            | 4.94           | 4.97           | 5.00           | 4.86           |
| Total Samples | 198           | 198             | 198            | 198            | 198            | 198            |

| Table 2 | Descriptive statistics of academic performances of undergraduates in CVE. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | First Year GPA | Second Year GPA | Third Year GPA | Fourth Year GPA | Fifth Year GPA | Cumulative GPA |
| Mean    | 3.67           | 3.13            | 3.33           | 3.78           | 3.91           | 3.54           |
| Median  | 3.70           | 3.09            | 3.38           | 3.92           | 4.01           | 3.60           |
| Mode    | 4.02           | 3.14            | 2.76           | 4.17           | 4.89           | 3.76           |
| Standard Deviation | 0.60           | 0.69            | 0.85           | 0.74           | 0.71           | 0.65           |
| Variance | 0.36           | 0.47            | 0.72           | 0.54           | 0.50           | 0.42           |
| Kurtosis | 3.48           | 2.55            | 2.28           | 2.24           | 2.60           | 2.27           |
| Skewness | −0.47          | 0.25            | −0.15          | −0.42          | −0.57          | −0.06          |
| Range   | 3.36           | 3.22            | 3.94           | 3.03           | 3.15           | 2.96           |
| Minimum | 1.60           | 1.70            | 0.99           | 1.94           | 1.83           | 1.97           |
| Maximum | 4.96           | 4.92            | 4.93           | 4.97           | 4.98           | 4.93           |
| Total Samples | 152           | 152             | 152            | 152            | 152            | 152            |
Class, Second Class Upper, Second Class Lower, and Third Class in CHE, CVE, CEN, and EEE; ICE and MEE; and PET respectively.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

For the five-year study period of engineering program, Grade Point Average (GPA) and its cumulative value of each of the sample were obtained from the Department of Student Records and
Table 6
Descriptive statistics of academic performances of undergraduates in MEE.

|                      | First Year GPA | Second Year GPA | Third Year GPA | Fourth Year GPA | Fifth Year GPA | Cumulative GPA |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Mean                 | 3.92           | 3.33            | 3.13          | 3.60            | 3.78           | 3.54           |
| Median               | 4.00           | 3.32            | 3.04          | 3.73            | 3.96           | 3.57           |
| Mode                 | 4.00           | 3.69            | 3.13          | 4.55            | 4.30           | 3.95           |
| Standard Deviation   | 0.60           | 0.72            | 0.87          | 0.76            | 0.73           | 0.66           |
| Variance             | 0.36           | 0.52            | 0.76          | 0.58            | 0.54           | 0.43           |
| Kurtosis             | 3.12           | 2.19            | 2.06          | 2.74            | 2.70           | 2.25           |
| Skewness             | -0.69          | 0.03            | 0.05          | -0.57           | -0.67          | -0.14          |
| Range                | 2.67           | 3.32            | 3.58          | 3.72            | 3.25           | 2.89           |
| Minimum              | 2.20           | 1.55            | 1.40          | 1.25            | 1.73           | 1.99           |
| Maximum              | 4.87           | 4.87            | 4.98          | 4.97            | 4.98           | 4.88           |
| Total Samples        | 166            | 166             | 166           | 166             | 166            | 166            |

Table 7
Descriptive statistics of academic performances of undergraduates in PET.

|                      | First Year GPA | Second Year GPA | Third Year GPA | Fourth Year GPA | Fifth Year GPA | Cumulative GPA |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Mean                 | 3.86           | 3.24            | 3.32          | 3.54            | 3.71           | 3.54           |
| Median               | 3.91           | 3.18            | 3.33          | 3.54            | 3.75           | 3.56           |
| Mode                 | 3.78           | 2.48            | 3.74          | 3.61            | 3.20           | 3.83           |
| Standard Deviation   | 0.62           | 0.71            | 0.73          | 0.69            | 0.65           | 0.59           |
| Variance             | 0.38           | 0.50            | 0.54          | 0.48            | 0.42           | 0.35           |
| Kurtosis             | 3.83           | 2.54            | 2.46          | 2.67            | 2.39           | 2.43           |
| Skewness             | -0.88          | -0.04           | -0.15         | -0.03           | -0.18          | -0.01          |
| Range                | 3.29           | 3.74            | 3.64          | 3.55            | 2.83           | 2.73           |
| Minimum              | 1.64           | 1.22            | 1.18          | 1.45            | 2.13           | 2.07           |
| Maximum              | 4.93           | 4.96            | 4.82          | 5.00            | 4.95           | 4.80           |
| Total Samples        | 195            | 195             | 195           | 195             | 195            | 195            |

Fig. 1. Boxplot of GPA data of undergraduates in the seven engineering programs (2002–2014).

Academic Affairs. In order to encourage evidence-based research in learning analytics, detailed datasets are made publicly available in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet file attached to this article. Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions of the academic performance data are presented in tables and graphs for easy data interpretations. In addition, one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and multiple comparison post-hoc tests are performed to determine whether the variations in the
Fig. 2. Boxplot of GPA data of undergraduates in CHE (2002–2014).

Fig. 3. Boxplot of GPA data of undergraduates in CVE (2002–2014).

Fig. 4. Boxplot of GPA data of undergraduates in CEN (2002–2014).
Fig. 5. Boxplot of GPA data of undergraduates in EEE (2002–2014).

Fig. 6. Boxplot of GPA data of undergraduates in ICE (2002–2014).

Fig. 7. Boxplot of GPA data of undergraduates in MEE (2002–2014).
Academic performances are significant across the seven engineering programs. Data showing whether there are significant differences in the GPA data of the engineering undergraduates throughout their five-year study period are presented in Tables 8–13. The boxplots of the GPA distribution by program are shown in Figs. 19–24. The results of the post-hoc test conducted to understand the extent of significant variations in cumulative GPA across engineering programs at Covenant University are presented in Table 14. Multiple comparison plots of cumulative GPA data in Figs. 25–31 reveal groups (i.e. other engineering programs at Covenant University) whose statistical means are significantly different.
Fig. 10. Histogram distributions of GPA data of undergraduates in CVE.

Fig. 11. Histogram distributions of GPA data of undergraduates in CEN.
Fig. 12. Histogram distributions of GPA data of undergraduates in EEE.

Fig. 13. Histogram distributions of GPA data of undergraduates in ICE.
**Fig. 14.** Histogram distributions of GPA data of undergraduates in MEE.

**Fig. 15.** Histogram distributions of GPA data of undergraduates in PET.
Fig. 16. Proportions of class of degree in CHE, CVE, CEN, and EEE.

Fig. 17. Proportions of class of degree in ICE and MEE.

Fig. 18. Proportions of class of degree in PET.
| Table 8 | ANOVA test on first year GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university. |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source of variation | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | Mean squares | F Statistic | Prob > F |
| Columns | 69.15 | 6 | 11.52 | 28.95 | 2.99×10⁻³³ |
| Error | 730.21 | 1834 | 0.40 | | |
| Total | 799.36 | 1840 | | | |

| Table 9 | ANOVA test on second year GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university. |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source of variation | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | Mean squares | F statistic | Prob > F |
| Columns | 34.02 | 6 | 5.67 | 10.58 | 1.43×10⁻¹¹ |
| Error | 983.13 | 1834 | 0.54 | | |
| Total | 1017.15 | 1840 | | | |

| Table 10 | ANOVA test on third year GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university. |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source of variation | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | Mean squares | F statistic | Prob > F |
| Columns | 36.48 | 6 | 6.08 | 8.55 | 3.47×10⁻⁹ |
| Error | 1304.02 | 1834 | 0.71 | | |
| Total | 1340.51 | 1840 | | | |

| Table 11 | ANOVA test on fourth year GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university. |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source of variation | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | Mean squares | F statistic | Prob > F |
| Columns | 12.99 | 6 | 2.16 | 3.83 | 8.53×10⁻⁴ |
| Error | 1037.83 | 1834 | 0.57 | | |
| Total | 1050.82 | 1840 | | | |

| Table 12 | ANOVA test on fifth year GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university. |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source of variation | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | Mean squares | F statistic | Prob > F |
| Columns | 17.80 | 6 | 2.97 | 5.87 | 4.44×10⁻⁶ |
| Error | 926.63 | 1834 | 0.51 | | |
| Total | 944.43 | 1840 | | | |

| Table 13 | ANOVA test on cumulative GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university. |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source of variation | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | Mean squares | F statistic | Prob > F |
| Columns | 12.13 | 6 | 2.02 | 4.70 | 9.39×10⁻⁵ |
| Error | 789.25 | 1834 | 0.43 | | |
| Total | 801.38 | 1840 | | | |
Fig. 19. First year GPA data of all engineering programs.

Fig. 20. Second year GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university.

Fig. 21. Third year GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university.
Fig. 22. Fourth year GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university.

Fig. 23. Fifth year GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university.

Fig. 24. Cumulative GPA data of engineering programs at Covenant university.
Table 14
Post-hoc test on cumulative GPA for engineering programs at Covenant university.

| Groups compared   | Lower limits for 95% confidence intervals | Mean difference | Upper limits for 95% confidence intervals | p-value |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|
| CHE CVE           | −0.0469                                     | 0.1617          | 0.3703                                     | 0.2507  |
| CHE CEN           | 0.0331                                      | 0.2031          | 0.3731                                     | 0.0078  |
| CHE EEE           | −0.1222                                     | 0.0453          | 0.2129                                     | 0.9853  |
| CHE ICE           | 0.0590                                      | 0.2310          | 0.4031                                     | 0.0015  |
| CHE MEE           | −0.0450                                     | 0.1585          | 0.3621                                     | 0.2455  |
| CHE PET           | −0.0333                                     | 0.1618          | 0.3570                                     | 0.1798  |
| CVE CEN           | −0.1447                                     | 0.0414          | 0.2274                                     | 0.9948  |
| CVE EEE           | −0.3002                                     | −0.1164         | 0.0675                                     | 0.5029  |
| CVE ICE           | −0.1186                                     | 0.0693          | 0.2573                                     | 0.9321  |
| CVE MEE           | −0.2203                                     | −0.0032         | 0.2139                                     | 1.0000  |
| CVE PET           | −0.2091                                     | 0.0001          | 0.2094                                     | 1.0000  |
| CEN EEE           | −0.2963                                     | −0.1577         | −0.0192                                    | 0.0139  |
| CEN ICE           | −0.1160                                     | 0.0280          | 0.1719                                     | 0.9976  |
| CEN MEE           | −0.2249                                     | −0.0445         | 0.1358                                     | 0.9909  |
| CEN PET           | −0.2121                                     | −0.0412         | 0.1296                                     | 0.9919  |
| EEE ICE           | 0.0446                                      | 0.1857          | 0.3268                                     | 0.0020  |
| EEE MEE           | −0.0649                                     | 0.1132          | 0.2913                                     | 0.4979  |
| EEE PET           | −0.0520                                     | 0.1165          | 0.2849                                     | 0.3898  |
| ICE MEE           | −0.2549                                     | −0.0725         | 0.1099                                     | 0.9047  |
| ICE PET           | −0.2421                                     | −0.0692         | 0.1037                                     | 0.9020  |
| MEE PET           | −0.2009                                     | 0.0033          | 0.2076                                     | 1.0000  |

Fig. 25. Multiple comparison test on cumulative GPA for CHE.
Fig. 26. Multiple comparison test on cumulative GPA for CVE.

Fig. 27. Multiple comparison test on cumulative GPA for CEN.

Fig. 28. Multiple comparison test on cumulative GPA for EEE.
Fig. 29. Multiple comparison test on cumulative GPA for ICE.

Fig. 30. Multiple comparison test on cumulative GPA for MEE.

Fig. 31. Multiple comparison test on cumulative GPA for PET.
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