Distribution and diversity of myxomycetes in Tiantangzhai National Forest Park, China
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ABSTRACT

Although myxomycetes are ubiquitous in terrestrial ecosystems, studies on their distribution and diversity in subtropical humid forests are still lacking. Field collections and moist chamber cultures were conducted from May to October within a two-year period in the Tiantangzhai National Forest Park of China. A total of 1,492 records representing 73 species belonging to 26 genera were obtained, of which 243 records/37 species were from field collections, and 1,249 records/52 species were from moist chamber cultures. Among the specimens obtained by culturing, 896 records/38 species and 353 records/37 species were obtained from living bark and ground litter, respectively. ANOVA showed that the sampling months had significant impacts on collection of myxomycetes from field and those that inhabit litter. An LEfSe analysis indicated that Arcyria was significantly abundant in August, while Stemonitis and Physarum were more abundant in July when collected from field. An RDA analysis showed that temperature was the main factor that affected the litter-inhabiting myxomycetes. The ANOVA indicated that forest type was the significant factor for bark-inhabiting myxomycetes. Diderma effusum was primarily obtained from mixed forests, while Clastoderma debaryanum and Colloderma oculatum were more common in coniferous forests. The RDA analysis indicated that the vegetation, pH, water retention, and elevation were the primary factors that affected the bark-inhabiting myxomycetes.

INTRODUCTION

Myxomycetes are a group of eukaryotic microorganisms in terrestrial ecosystems, which are common in bark, woody debris, litter, lianas, bryophytes, dung, and soil (Liu, Yan & Chen, 2015). The life cycle of myxomycetes is composed of two trophic stages including uninucleate myxamoebae or flagellate cells and multinucleate plasmodium and one sporulating stage (fruiting bodies). In addition, the myxamoebae and plasmodium are
transformed into microcysts and sclerotia, respectively, under unfavorable conditions, which are called propagules together with spores. The plasmodia feed on bacteria, yeasts, algae, and other microorganisms, which can regulate the microbial community and affect the distribution of nutrition and biomass in microhabitats (Dagamac, Stephenson & Dela Cruz, 2014; Zahn, Wagai & Yonemura, 2016; Hunninghaus et al., 2017).

Myxomycetes are widely distributed around the world, and approximately 1,000 morphospecies have been observed (Lado, 2005–2020). They are currently recorded in tropical, subtropical, temperate, boreal forests, tundra, grasslands, deserts, and alpine snowbanks (Schnittler, Dagamac & Novozhilov, 2017). However, in terms of the distribution of the world’s climatic zones, the distribution in tropical and temperate climates is far more extensive than that of subtropical humid climates, and the species of myxomycetes in subtropical forests have not been sufficiently studied. Subtropical regions have a humid climate and diverse vegetation, and they are located in the transition region between tropics and temperate zone. Therefore, they should have abundant resources of myxomycete species. Researchers in Vietnam (Redeña-Santos et al., 2018), Nepal (Chauhan, Budhathoki & Adhikari, 2015), China (Liu et al., 2013; Gao et al., 2018; Ukkola, Härkönen & Zeng, 2001; Härkönen, Ukkola & Zeng, 2004) and other countries have conducted research on the species of humid subtropical myxomycetes. A total of 239 species of myxomycetes belonging to 41 genera have been identified in subtropical China. Therefore, this region is of substantial significance for the investigation and diversity research on myxomycetes species in subtropical areas.

The main influencing factors of species diversity of myxomycetes are divided into abiotic factors, such as temperature, humidity, altitude, water retention and pH, and biological factors, such as vegetation type, bacterial composition, fungal composition (Xavier de Lima & de Holanda Cavalcanti, 2015; Liu, Yan & Chen, 2015). The distribution of vegetation has the characteristics of vertical zoning, demonstrating the replacement of plant communities as the altitude increases. For example, the altitude of coniferous forests in naturally formed forests is generally higher than that of broad-leaved forests. The species assemblage of myxomycetes varies in different forest types, which generally indicate that the abundance of myxomycetes in deciduous broad-leaved forests is significantly higher than that in coniferous forests (Takahashi & Hada, 2012; Novozhilov et al., 2017). Some species have a preference for the type of vegetation. For example, Physarum and Didymium are more common in tropical and subtropical broad-leaved forests, while Cribraria and Trichia are widely distributed in temperate coniferous forests (Stephenson, Novozhilov & Schnittler, 2000). Several factors that affect the occurrence of myxomycetes in forest types include the substrate, pH, water retention, and vegetation (Schnittler et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2018).

The occurrence of myxomycetes shows some seasonal regularity. For example, the results show that in Virginia, USA, the species richness and diversity of myxomycetes peaked in August and gradually declined during the following months (Stephenson, 1988). Myxomycete fruiting bodies were significantly higher during the rainy season than dry season in low-altitude forests and farmland in Thailand, but there were more propagules, including spores, microcysts, and sclerotia, on the substrate collected during dry season.
Subtropical regions are ideal places to study the seasonal regularity of myxomycetes because of their distinct characteristics of temperature and precipitation seasons.

In this study, fruiting bodies in field, as well as living bark and ground litter for moist chamber cultures were collected monthly in three forest types from May to October in 2015 and 2016 in the Tiantangzhai National Forest Park, a subtropical region of China. The main purpose of this study through field collections and moist chamber cultures in this area was to: (i) describe the species composition of myxomycetes; (ii) explore the influence of forest types and months on the richness and diversity of myxomycetes, and (iii) evaluate the relationship between environmental factors and myxomycete communities, thereby further supplementing the data of myxomycetes in subtropical forests.

**MATERIALS & METHODS**

**Study area**

The Tiantangzhai National Forest Park (31°06′–31°10′N, 115°45′–115°48′E) is located in Jinzhai County, Anhui Province, China (Fig. 1). The forest park belongs to the north subtropical warm humid monsoon climate, with an annual average temperature of 14.6 °C and a maximum average temperature of 27.9 °C. The frost-free period is approximately 220 days, and the annual rainfall reaches 1,280–1,300 mm a.s.l. The broad-leaved forest is dominated by *Cyclobalanopsis myrsinifolia*, *Quercus aliena* var. *acuteserrata*, *Q. serrata* var. *brevipetiolata*, and many shrubs. The obvious vertical distribution of forest is that there are more *Pinus taiwanensis* distributed over 1,300 m a.s.l. with the increase in elevation.
Study sites

Six study sites (50 m × 50 m) were selected in the 1,000–1,600 m a.s.l. altitude range of the Tiantangzhai National Forest Park, with an interval of approximately 100 m a.s.l. between adjacent sites. These six study sites were close to rivers that had little disturbance from humans, and had the main tree species of the forest park. The specific location and vegetation information are as follows:

Qingren Fall (abbr. QF; 31°7’8″N, 115°47’21″E; 1,035 m a.s.l.) is a deciduous broad-leaved forest, and the main trees are *Cyclobalanopsis myrsinifolia*, *Q. aliena* var. *acuteserrata*, and *Castanea seguinii*.

Jade Pool (abbr. JP; 31°7’48″N, 115°47’19″E; 1,168 m a.s.l.) is a deciduous broad-leaved forest, and the main trees are *Q. serrata* var. *brevipetiolata*, *Cercis chinensis*, *Q. aliena* var. *acuteserrata*.

Yingong Fall (abbr. YF; 31°7’38″N, 115°47’7″E; 1,294 m a.s.l.) is a coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest, and the main trees are *Pinus taiwanensis*, *Q. serrata* var. *brevipetiolata*, and *Platycarya strobilacea*.

Pheasant Plain (abbr. PP; 31°7’15″N, 115°46’57″E; 1,405 m a.s.l.) is a coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest, and the main trees are *P. taiwanensis*, *C. seguinii*, and *Eupeletia pleiospermum*.

Wild boar Forest (abbr. WF; 31°7’15″N, 115°46’57″E; 1,532 m a.s.l.) is a coniferous forest, and the main trees are *P. taiwanensis* and *Lindera obtusiloba*.

The Observatory (abbr. OB; 31°7’6″N, 115°46’33″E; 1,600 m a.s.l.) is a coniferous forest, and the main trees are *P. taiwanensis* and *Indocalamus tessellatus*.

Sampling

A total of 12-time surveys, including the collection of fruiting specimens and substrates, were performed monthly in the study sites from May to October in 2015 and 2016. The myxomycete fruiting bodies were carefully searched within the study sites and placed in labeled sample boxes. The specimens were brought back to the laboratory and air-dried for storage. In each study site, the diagonal method was used to select five plots (10 m × 10 m) at the four corners and center point. The bark of living trees that were randomly selected from each plot at a height of 1 m and the ground litter, such as dead branches and fallen leaves under the trees, were collected as substrates. A total of 720 substrate samples were collected.

Moist chamber cultures

Moist chamber cultures of living bark and ground litter collected from the different six study sites were prepared as described by Stephenson & Stempen (1994). Three moist chambers were prepared for each individual substrate. The pH of each dish was measured using a flat plate pH meter (Fisher Scientific AccuMem Model PHS-3C; JingKe Company, Shanghai, China). The method to determine water retention of the substrate was that used by Snell & Keller (2003).
Taxonomy
The fruiting bodies were primarily identified based on their morphological characteristics (Martin & Alexopoulos, 1969). The nomenclature followed Lado (2005–2020). Ceratiomyxales, the traditional research subject of myxomycetologists, has also been included in the study. Specimens were deposited in the Microbial Culture Center of Nanjing Normal University (MCCNNU), Nanjing, China.

Data analysis
The same species in the same Petri dish in the moist chamber culture was recorded as one collection. The relative abundance dataset of myxomycete species was subdivided into field collections (FC), moist chamber cultures-bark (MC-bark), and moist chamber cultures-litter (MC-litter) assemblages. The relative abundance of each species was obtained by dividing the number of records for each species of myxomycetes by the total number of myxomycetes (Stephenson, Kalyanasundaram & Lakhanpal, 1993). The species abundance scale was classified as follows: A: abundant (>3%); C: common (1.5–3%); O: occasional (0.5–1.5%); R: rare (<0.5%). To evaluate the sampling completeness of these assemblages, EstimateS 9.1.0 was used to calculate the Chao1 estimator based on the number of records (Chao et al., 2005). Shared species of three forest types and six sampling months were plotted using the R-package “VennDiagram” (Chen, 2018) and “venn” (Dusa, 2020). Origin 2017 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA) was used to draw a bidirectional bar chart to describe the relative abundance of >1% species.

The number of species (S) and Shannon–Wiener diversity index ($H'$) were used to assess the richness and diversity of myxomycetes. An analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and a Fisher’s protected least significant difference (LSD) or a Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare the differences in species richness and diversity of FC, MC-bark, and MC-litter assemblages in different sites, forest types, and months. These steps were performed in SPSS 25 (IBM, Inc., Armonk, NY, USA) and Origin 2017.

A cluster analysis based on Bray–Curtis distance further revealed the ecological model of myxomycete communities in assemblages (FC-month, MC-bark-forest type, and MC-litter-month) that showed significant differences in the previous ANOVA analysis. ANOSIM was used to test significant differences between the groups. To further and specifically identify myxomycete taxa associated with forest types and sampling months, linear discriminate analysis effect size (LEfSe) was used to compare the relative abundance of species at different taxonomic levels. Several myxomycete taxa were found to be differentially abundant between the study groups using a linear discriminant analysis (LDA) score > 4.0 and $P < 0.05$. These cases were analyzed using the metaMDS and anosim within the R-package “vegan” (Oksanen et al., 2018), and an online resource (http://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy).

The influence of environmental factors on the myxomycete community of MC-bark and MC-litter was analyzed by redundancy analysis (RDA) using the R-package “vegan” (Oksanen et al., 2018). To avoid interference from rare species, individual observations in the species abundance matrix were removed, and the relative abundance retained was converted logarithmically. Environmental factors included temperature, precipitation,
RESULTS

Species composition

A total of 1,492 records were obtained from field collections (FC) and moist chamber cultures (MC-bark and MC-litter), representing 73 species belonging to 26 genera. A total of 243 records of myxomycetes were collected from the field, belonging to 37 species and 17 genera. A total of 1,249 records belonging to 52 species and 21 genera were obtained from the moist chamber cultures. There were 896 myxomycete specimens on the bark belonging to 38 species and 353 on the litter belonging to 37 species. The distribution of species at the order level was as follows: Physarales (22 species), Trichiales (17 species), Liceales (17 species), Stemonitidales (14 species), Echinosteliales (2 species), and Ceratiomyxales (one species). Based on their relative abundance, seven species were recorded as A (abundant), including *Arcyria cinerea*, *Clastoderma debaryanum*, *Colloderma oculatum*, *Diderma effusum*, *Echinostelium minutum*, *Licea operculata*, and *Lycogala exiguum*. In addition, 12 species were recorded as C (common), 14 as O (occasional) and 40 as R (rare). Only nine species, including *A. cinerea*, *Arcyria denudata*, *Comatricha nigra*, *Cribraria microcarpa*, *D. effusum*, *Hemitrichia serpula*, *Physarum album*, *Stemonitis fusca*, and *Trichia botrytis*, were found in the FC, MC-bark, and MC-litter. A total of 21 species, including *Amaurochaete atra*, *L. exiguum*, *Reticularia lycoperdon*, and *Tubifera ferruginosa*, only appeared in the FC. Fourteen species, including *C. oculatum*, *Cribraria confusa*, and *Macbrideola scintillans*, were found only on the bark. Eight species, including *Diachea subsessilis*, *Physarum bivalve*, and *Physarum lateritium*, were specific for litter (Table 1; Data S1).

Sampling completeness of the survey

The Chao1 estimators were calculated based on the number of species and records of total, FC, MC-bark, and MC-litter myxomycete assemblages. Based on the results, if the sampling was thorough, the number of myxomycete species in the total, FC, MC-bark, and MC-litter assemblages could be expected to be calculated as 85, 39, 48 and 45, respectively. Correspondingly, the sampling completeness of these four assemblages was 86%, 95%, 79%, and 82%, respectively (Fig. 2).

Spatial and temporal distribution of myxomycetes

Among the three forest types in the Tiantangzhai National Forest Park, 54 species of myxomycetes were obtained in the deciduous broad-leaved forest (DBF), 52 species in the coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest (CBMF) and 42 species in the coniferous forest (CF). In the CBMF, 41 species were shared with the DBF, and 33 were shared with the CF. The DBF and CF shared 30 species. A total of 29 species were distributed in all three forest types (Fig. 3A). From May to October during the two years as a whole, the distribution of
Table 1  Myxomycete composition and relative abundance from experimental methods, forest types and sampling months.

| Species name               | Abbreviation | Overall | Experimental methods | Forest types | Sampling months |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------|----------------------|--------------|----------------|
|                            |              |         | FC       | MC-Bark | MC-Litter | DBF | CBMF | CF | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct |
| Amaurochaete atra          | AMAatr       | R       | R        | R       | R         | R   | R   | R   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Arcyria cinerea            | ARCcin       | A       | A        | A       | A         | A   | A   | A   | C   | A   | A   | C   | O   |
| Arcyria denudata           | ARCden       | C       | O        | R       | C         | O   | O   | O   | R   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Arcyria major              | ARCmaj       | R       | R        | R       | R         | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| Arcyria obvelata           | ARCobv       | O       | O        | R       | R         | R   | R   | R   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Calomyxa metallica         | CALmet       | R       | R        | R       | R         | R   | R   | O   | O   | R   | R   | O   | R   |
| Ceratomyxa fruticulosa     | CERfru       | C       | C        | R       | R         | R   | O   | O   | O   | C   | R   | O   | R   |
| Clastoderma debaryanum     | CLAdeb       | A       | A        | C       | O         | A   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | O   | O   |
| Collaria arcyriomena       | COLar        | O       | O        | R       | R         | R   | R   | R   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Colloderma oculatum        | COLocu       | A       | A        | A       | A         | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | O   |
| Comatricha nigra           | COMnig       | O       | R        | R       | R         | R   | O   | R   | R   | R   | R   | O   | R   |
| Comatricha pulchella       | COMpul       | R       | R        | R       | R         | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| Cribraria aurantiaca       | CRIaur       | C       | C        | R       | C         | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Cribraria confusa          | CRIcon       | O       | O        | R       | R         | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| Cribraria microcarpa       | CRImic       | C       | O        | O       | R         | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | R   | R   |
| Cribraria minutissima      | CRImin       | R       | R        | R       | R         | R   | R   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Cribraria violacea         | CRIVio       | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | R   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Diachea splendidens        | DIAspl       | R       | R        | R       | R         | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| Diachea subsessilis        | DIAsub       | R       | R        | R       | R         | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| Dictydiurnum cancellatum   | DICcan       | C       | C        | R       | C         | R   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   |
| Diderma effusum            | DIDeff       | A       | O        | C       | O         | R   | C   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Diderma hemisphaericum     | DIDhem       | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| Didymium clavus            | DIDcla       | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | R   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Didymium iridis            | DIDiri       | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | R   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Didymium melanospermum     | DDMel        | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Didymium minus             | DDMin        | O       | O        | R       | R         | O   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| Didymium squamulosum       | DDsqua       | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Echinostelium minutatum    | ECHmin       | A       | A        | O       | O         | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | O   | R   |
| Hemitrichia calyculata     | HEMcal       | C       | C        | R       | C         | R   | C   | R   | C   | C   | C   | R   | C   |
| Hemitrichia minor          | HEMmin       | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | R   | O   | R   | O   | R   | R   | R   |
| Hemitrichia serpula        | HEMser       | O       | O        | R       | R         | R   | O   | R   | R   | O   | R   | R   | R   |
| Licea biforis              | LICbif       | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Licea castanea             | LICcas       | R       | R        | R       | R         | R   | O   | R   | O   | R   | O   | R   | R   |
| Licea kleistobolus         | LICke        | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Licea minima               | LICmin       | O       | O        | R       | R         | R   | O   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| Licea operculata           | LICope       | A       | A        | R       | C         | O   | R   | O   | R   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Licea pedicellata          | LICped       | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
| Licea pusilla              | LICpus       | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | O   | O   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |
| Licea variabilis           | LICvar       | R       | R        | R       | R         | O   | R   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   | O   |
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myxomycete species increased and then declined, with 31, 33, 45, 48, 25, and 26 species obtained, respectively. Fifteen species were found in all the sampling months (Fig. 3B). The distribution of species with a relative abundance >1% in different forest types and sampling months is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1**

| Species name             | Abbreviation | Overall* | Experimental methods** | Forest types*** | Sampling months |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                          |              | FC       | MC-Bark | MC-Litter | DBF | CBMF | CF | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct |
| *Macbrideola scintillans* | MACsci       | R        | R       |            | R   | R    | O  | R   | O   | R   | O   | R   | O   |
| *Paradiacheopsis erythropodia* | PARery     | O        | O       | R          | R   | R    | R  | R   | R   | O   | R   | R   | O    |
| *Paradiacheopsis fimbriata* | PARfim     | R        | R       |            | O   | O    |    | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Perichaena corticalis* | PERcor       | C        | O       | O          | C   | R    | R  | R   | O   | R   | O   | R   | R   |
| *Perichaena depressa* | PERdep       | R        | R       | R          | O   | R    |    | O   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Perichaena pedata* | PERped       | R        | R       |            | O   | O    |    | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Physarum album* | PHYalb       | C        | O       | C          | O   | O    | O  | O   | R   | O   | R   | R   | O   |
| *Physarum bivalve* | PHYbiv       | R        | R       |            | O   | O    |    | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Physarum cinereum* | PHYcin       | R        | R       |            | O   | O    |    | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Physarum flavicomum* | PHYfla       | O        | O       |            | R   | R    |    | O   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Physarum globuliferum* | PHYglo       | R        | R       |            | R   | R    |    | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Physarum lateritium* | PHYlat       | R        | R       |            | O   |       |    | O   |       |    |    |    |     |
| *Physarum melleum* | PHYmelm      | R        | R       | R          | R   | R    | O  | R   | O   | R   | O   | R   | O   |
| *Physarum nucleatum* | PHYnus       | R        | R       | O          | R   | O    |    | O   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Physarum obtatum* | PHYobl       | R        | R       |            | O   | O    |    | O   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Physarum penetrale* | PHYpen       | O        | O       |            | O   | O    |    | O   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Physarum pusillum* | PHYpus       | R        | R       | R          | R   | R    | O  | R   | O   | R   | O   | O   | O   |
| *Physarum roseum* | PHYros       | R        | R       | R          | R   | R    |    | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Physarum viride* | PHYvir       | C        | C       |            | R   | O    |    | O   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Reticularia lycoperdon* | RETlyc      | R        | R       |            | R   | R    |    | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Stemonitis axifera* | STEaxi       | O        | O       |            | R   | R    |    | O   | R   |    |    |    |     |
| *Stemonitis fusca* | STEfus       | C        | O       | R          | C   | O    | O  | R   | O   | R   | O   | O   | O   |
| *Stemonitis pallida* | STEpal       | R        | R       |            | R   | R    |    | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Stemonitis smithii* | STEsmi       | C        | C       |            | O   | R    |    | O   | C   |    |    |    |     |
| *Stemonitopsis aequalis* | STEaeq     | R        | R       |            | O   | O    |    | O   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Stemonitopsis reticulata* | STeret     | O        | R       | O          | O   | R    | R  | R   | O   | R   | O   | R   | O   |
| *Trichia botrytis* | TRIbot       | C        | R       | O          | R   | R    | R  | R   | O   | R   | O   | O   | O   |
| *Trichia contorta* | TRIcon       | R        | R       |            | R   | R    |    | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Trichia decipiens* | TRIdec       | O        | R       |            | O   | O    |    | O   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Trichia erecta* | TRIere       | R        | R       |            | R   | R    |    | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Trichia favoginea* | TRIfav       | R        | R       | R          | R   | O    | O  | R   | O   |    |    |    |     |
| *Trichia lutescens* | TRIluc       | R        | R       | R          | R   | R    |    | R   | R   |    |    |    |     |
| *Tubifera ferruginosa* | TUBfer      | O        | O       |            | R   | R    |    | O   | O   |    |    |    |     |

Notes:

1. Relative abundance of records for a particular species (Stephenson, Kalyanasundaram & Lakhanpal, 1993). A, abundant (>3% of all records); C, common (1.5–3%); O, occasional (0.5–1.5%); R, rare (<0.5%).
2. Experimental methods include field collections (FC) and moist chamber cultures (MC-bark and MC-litter).
3. Forest types include deciduous broad-leaved forest (DBF), coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest (CBMF), and coniferous forest (CF).
months is shown by the bidirectional bar chart (Fig. 3C). What was more notable was that *Physarum penetrale* only occurred in the CF, and *C. confusa* only occurred in the DBF. Twelve species were only recorded in July within six sampling months, including *Arcyria obvelata*, *Physarum viride*, *P. penetrale*, and *Stemonitis smithii* (Data S2).

**Species diversity**

The changes in species richness and Shannon diversity of myxomycetes in the three assemblages of FC, MC-bark, and MC-litter in the six study sites are shown in Figs. 4A–4C. The species richness and Shannon diversity of myxomycetes in the MC-bark (S: *P* < 0.001, *H* ′: *P* < 0.001) and MC-litter (S: *P* = 0.04, *H* ′: *P* = 0.04) differed significantly from study site to study site but not in the FC (S: *P* = 0.79, *H* ′: *P* = 0.74). In the MC-bark and MC-litter, the study site with the most species richness and Shannon diversity was JP (Figs. 4B, 4C; Data S3). In the MC-bark assemblage, the richness and diversity indices were lowest in the QF study site. The study site with lowest species richness was QF, while the lowest Shannon diversity was WF, which was in the MC-litter assemblages. In FC, the study site PP had the highest and the study site QF had the lowest points of myxomycetes species richness and Shannon diversity, respectively.
The richness and diversity of species varied significantly between forest types for the MC-bark assemblage ($S: P = 0.03$, $H': P = 0.01$; Fig. 4E), but not between the forest types for FC ($S: P = 0.38$, $H': P = 0.40$; Fig. 4D) and MC-litter assemblages ($S: P = 0.22$, $H': P = 0.20$; Fig. 4F). The forest type with the lowest species richness and Shannon diversity in MC-bark and MC-litter was the CF, but the lowest in FC assemblage was DBF. The forest type with the highest value was recorded in the CBMF in FC and MC-bark, while the highest for MC-litter was recorded in the DBF.

Although specimens of myxomycetes were obtained in each sampling month, the richness and diversity analysis of myxomycetes could not be performed in the FC.
assemblage in May, September, and October owing to the scarcity of specimens. Seasonal fluctuations of species richness and Shannon diversity showed different patterns in the FC and MC-bark and MC-litter. The most abundant specimens could be obtained in the field in July (Fig. 4G) but not in the MC-bark and MC-litter. August was found to have the highest myxomycete species richness and Shannon diversity in the MC-bark assemblage (Fig. 4H), while those of the MC-litter were found in October (Fig. 4I). September had the lowest number of myxomycete species in the MC-bark and MC-litter.
Analysis of the myxomycete community

NMDS (Data S4–S6) combined with ANOSIM (Data S7) and LEfSe (Data S8–S10) further revealed the ecological model of the FC, MC-bark, and MC-litter myxomycete communities, which had significant differences according to the ANOVA analysis described above. The FC assemblage was clustered into three groups based on the month (Stress = 0.142; Fig. 5A). ANOSIM confirmed the significant difference among the three months (R = 0.437, P = 0.001). LEfSe further identified that Arctocephalus was significantly enriched in August, while Stemonitis and Physarum were preferentially more abundant in July (Fig. 5D).

NMDS ordination of the MC-bark showed three significantly different groups by forest type (Stress = 0.188; ANOSIM: R = 0.445, P = 0.001; Fig. 5B). The LEfSe showed that Cladostomata and Colloderma were significantly enriched in the CF and Liceales in the DBF, while Didemna and Perichaena were more abundant in the CBMF (Fig. 5E).

The MC-litter assemblage was divided into six groups by months (Stress = 0.199; ANOSIM: R = 0.133, P = 0.009; Fig. 5C). However, the LEfSe verified that there was no significant difference in the species composition of the litter-inhabiting myxomycetes between six sampling months.

Figure 5 Non-metric multidimensional scaling built on Bray–Curtis distance and LEfSe analysis of (A, D) FC, (B, E) MC-bark and (C) MC-litter.
Relationship between myxomycete species and environmental factors

Correlations of the myxomycete species that were cultured on the MC-bark and MC-litter with environmental factors were detected using an RDA analysis. Among the total environmental variance of MC-bark assemblage, 33.43% was explained in the RDA axis 1 (66.57%) and axis 2 (16.74%; Fig. 6A). Vegetation ($P = 0.001$), pH ($P = 0.002$), water retention ($P = 0.027$), and elevation ($P = 0.049$) were the main impacting factors that determined the distributions of myxomycete species on the bark. In addition, the results for MC-litter assemblage (Fig. 6B) demonstrated a significant model with elevation ($P = 0.031$) and temperature ($P = 0.017$), with 69.73% and 30.27% by the first and second axes, respectively, explained 10.50% of the cumulative variance in the weighted averages of the litter-inhabiting myxomycetes.

DISCUSSION

This study entailed 12 field surveys from May to October in 2015 and 2016 in the Tiantangzhai National Forest Park, Anhui Province, a subtropical region of China. A total of 73 species of myxomycetes were obtained by field collections and moist chamber cultures. The four species with the highest relative abundance, *C. oculatum*, *A. cinerea*, *C. debaryanum*, and *E. minutum*, comprised 50.29% of the total and are also widely distributed throughout the world. There were also some unusual species, such as *A. atra*, *Trichia erecta*, and *R. lycoperdon*, that had not previously been reported in subtropical forests in China. Some species, such as *Arcyria major*, *Diachea splendens*, *D. subsessilis*, *Licea castanea*, *Licea variabilis*, *P. lateritium*, *P. penetrale*, and *Trichia lutescens*, which were more common in temperate forests, had only been reported once in subtropical forests in China. Based on the distribution of myxomycetes, most of the myxomycete species recorded in the Tiantangzhai National Forest Park were diffusely distributed worldwide (Table 1), and the myxomycete species in subtropical regions strongly resemble those in tropical and temperate regions. However, it is very likely that the study area was close to the Qinling Mountains-Huaihe River Line, which is the boundary between...
temperate and subtropical climates in China. Therefore, from the perspective of species, many species have been reported in temperate forests many times (Stephenson, 1989; Takahashi, 2014), but only some species, such as Physarum roseum and Physarum nucleatum, were more common in the tropics (Rojas & Stephenson, 2007; Rojas, Stephenson & Huxel, 2011).

Previous research on the myxomycete species in subtropical areas include Big Bend National Park in USA (71 species, 21 genera; Ndiritu, Spiegel & Stephenson, 2009) and Thai Nguyen City in northern Vietnam (54 species, 17 genera; Redena-Santos et al., 2018). The Tiantangzhai National Forest Park had 28 and 27 mutual species with these two areas, respectively, and the Sørensen’s coefficient of community index was 0.39 and 0.43, respectively. This may be owing to geographical separation, which made the assemblage of myxomycetes different even in each habitat type, such as a subtropical forest. In the subtropical area of China, the Tiantangzhai National Forest Park shared 44 species with the Baotianman National Nature Reserve in Henan Province (which was 443 km away; 71 species, 24 genera; Gao et al., 2018) and shared 43 species with Badagongshan National Nature Reserve in Hunan Province (which was 600 km away; 91 species, 25 genera; Ukkola, Härkönen & Zeng, 2001; Härkönen, Ukkola & Zeng, 2004), and the Sørensen’s coefficient of community index was 0.61 and 0.52, respectively. It could be hypothesized that the geographical distance may affect the similarity of myxomycete species, because factors such as vegetation, temperature, and precipitation may be more similar in closer distances.

Although the ANOVA (Figs. 2B, 2C) and RDA (Fig. 6) models showed that elevation had some degree of influence on the MC-bark and MC-litter, this influence may be more closely related to the distribution of vegetation patches from a biological perspective. Vegetation with the characteristics of vertical distribution affected by elevations was one of the key environmental variables that affected the diversity of myxomycetes (Schnittler & Stephenson, 2000; Ndiritu, Spiegel & Stephenson, 2009). In deciduous broad-leaved forests and coniferous broad-leaved mixed forests, the species richness and diversity of myxomycetes were higher than those in coniferous forests, which was consistent with the results of Liu et al. (2013) and Novozhilov et al. (2017). Although these six study sites did not completely cover the area of entire forest park, they included the main forest types and tree species of this forest park. The broad-leaved and coniferous forests had the least number of shared species, indicating that the similarity of vegetation communities also affected the similarity of myxomycete species. For example, some species of Physarales, such as Didymium clavus, Didymium melanospermum, P. bivalve, and P. lateritium, only occurred in broad-leaved forests, while the relative abundance of some species of Licea and Trichia was higher in coniferous forests.

The distribution of myxomycetes on bark was susceptible to the variables of microenvironment, such as pH, texture, water retention and age of the tree (Stephenson, Schnittler & Lado, 2004). An RDA indicated that the pH and water retention of bark had significant effects on the myxomycetes. Furthermore, the LDA score of the MC-bark assemblage proved that D. effusum was more abundant in mixed forests, while C. oculatum and C. debaryanum were more likely to be found in coniferous forests that were more
acidic (Fig. 5E). These results also confirmed the results of previous studies that most members of Stemonitidales and Echinosteliales seemed to prefer acidic conditions compared with species of Physarales (Ndiritu, Spiegel & Stephenson, 2009; Yatsiuk Iryna, Leontyev Dmitry & Shlakhter Mykhalo, 2018). Snell & Keller (2003) suggested that the occurrence and abundance of certain species were significantly related to the pH of the bark, but not to the water retention. However, Stephenson (1989) and Schnittler, Unterseher & Tesmer, 2006 suggested that the water retention of bark was positively correlated with the species of myxomycetes. This contradiction was probably caused by the increased water retention of epiphytes that were attached to the bark surface, or the difference in the thickness of the bark when sampling.

Furthermore, different temporal patterns of myxomycete diversity were obtained by comparing the two methods. July was the month with the highest incidence of myxomycete species collected in the field. However, the highest myxomycete diversity of MC-bark and MC-litter was in August and October, indicating the accumulation of propagules in the substrate. Therefore, we believe that summer was the season when fruiting bodies occur intensively, and the increased propagules in these substrates may have originated from the attachment of new spores. With the decrease in temperature and precipitation, the fruiting bodies of myxomycetes in the field decreased, but the number of propagules accumulated by the species increased. The RDA analysis indicated that temperature was a climatic factor that significantly affected the litter-inhabiting myxomycetes. Litter is the main source of forest soil organic carbon (Berg et al., 2001; Rojas & Stephenson, 2017). The change in surface properties of litter and the rate of decomposition were greatly affected by temperature (Kirschbaum, 2006). Takahashi & Hada (2012) also found that the occurrence of foliicolous myxomycetes was significantly related to temperature, and the most abundant myxomycetes were observed in Japanese temperate forests in July.

The combination of field collections and moist chamber cultures was still the most effective and reliable method to study the richness and diversity of myxomycete species. The specimens collected in the field reflect the fact that myxomycetes that complete their life history and produce fruiting bodies in a natural state, while the moist chamber culture more represents the propagules, such as spores, microcysts, and sclerotia on substrates (Martin & Alexopoulos, 1969). Therefore, the combination of field collections and moist chamber cultures can more completely reflect the diversity of myxomycetes in the ecosystem. Only nine shared species indicated a difference in the composition of myxomycetes species between the two methods (Table 1). Some species made these two methods irreplaceable and complementary. Myxomycetes that had a large size or bright colors were more conspicuous when collecting fruiting bodies in the field (Schnittler & Stephenson, 2002), including some species of Lycogala, Tubifera, and Enteridium. However, species with minute fruiting bodies such as Clastoderma, Echinostelium, and Licea, could be more easily observed on the substrate of cultures. However, with the increasing availability of genetic information, traditional myxomycete taxonomy is being increasingly challenged (Walker & Stephenson, 2016). For example, a morphological species was represented by several ribotypes and cryptic speciation, as shown in the details.
of Tricia varia (Feng & Schnittler, 2015), which may be the rule rather than an exception. However, owing to the late start of molecular biology of myxomycetes and the lack of universal primers, the true diversity of myxomycetes has not been revealed.

CONCLUSIONS
This study provided insights into the species composition, spatiotemporal dynamic change, and drivers of myxomycete communities in subtropical forests of China, which was of substantial significance to make up the gap of myxomycetes species in the relatively insufficiently studied subtropical forests. A total of 73 species indicated the abundant myxomycete resources of Tiantangzhai National Forest Park, among which 37 species were collected in the field, and 38 and 37 species were obtained from bark and litter culture in moist chambers, respectively. The myxomycete species obtained by different experimental methods exhibited different temporal and spatial dynamics. For example, the sampling months had more significant impacts on field collected and litter-inhabiting myxomycetes than the types of forests. Temperature was the main factor that affected the litter-inhabiting myxomycetes. The species richness and diversity of bark-inhabiting myxomycetes in coniferous and broad-leaved mixed forests were significantly higher than those of coniferous forests, among which vegetation, pH, water retention, and elevation were the primary factors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
We are sincerely grateful to the anonymous reviewers whose comments made our results more accessible to the readers. We are also thankful to Tiantangzhai National Forest Park and Anhui Meteorological Observatory for their information and data.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding
This study was supported by the Project for Fundamental Research of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2019FY101808), the Natural Science Foundation of China (32070007 & 31470143), and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures
The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:
Project for Fundamental Research of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China: 2019FY101808.
Natural Science Foundation of China: 32070007 & 31470143.
Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

Competing Interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Author Contributions

- Min Li analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Gaowei Wang performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Yang Gao performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Mingzhu Dou analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Ziqi Wang analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Shuzhen Yan conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Shuanglin Chen conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

Field Study Permissions

The following information was supplied relating to field study approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

The research was conducted in Tiantangzhai National Forest Park. Our experimental sites are located at the edge of the tourism area of Tiantangzhai National Forest Park. When we collected samples, we showed our identity and intention to the park staff, and then we got their consent and help. Therefore, approval documents were not required.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data are available in the Supplemental Files.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12059#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

Berg B, Mcclaugherty C, Santo AVD, Johnson D. 2001. Humus buildup in boreal forests: effects of litter fall and its N concentration. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 31(6):988–998 DOI 10.1139/x01-031.

Chao A, Chazdon RL, Colwell RK, Shen TJ. 2005. A new statistical approach for assessing similarity of species composition with incidence and abundance data. Ecology Letters 8(2):148–159 DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00707.x.

Chauhan A, Budhathoki U, Adhikari M. 2015. An account on myxomycetes from Kathmandu Valley. Nepal Journal of Natural History Museum 26:194–197 DOI 10.3126/jnhm.v26i0.14143.

Chen HB. 2018. VennDiagram: generate high-resolution Venn and Euler Plots. R package version 1.6.20. Available at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=VennDiagram.
Dagamac NHA, Stephenson SL, Dela Cruz TEE. 2014. The occurrence of litter myxomycetes at different elevations in Mt. Arayat National Park, Pampanga, Philippines. *Nova Hedwigia* 98(1–2):187–196 DOI 10.1127/0029-5035/2013/0142.

Dusa A. 2020. Venn: draw Venn diagrams. R package version 1.9. Available at [https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=venn](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=venn).

Feng Y, Schnittler M. 2015. Sex or no sex? Group I introns and independent marker genes reveal the existence of three sexual but reproductively isolated biospecies in *Trichia varia* (Myxomycetes). *Organisms Diversity & Evolution* 15(4):631–650 DOI 10.1007/s13127-015-0230-x.

Gao Y, Yan SZ, Wang GW, He G, Chen SL. 2018. Myxomycete diversity and ecology in the Baotianman National Nature Reserve, a subtropical mountain forest in central China. *Fungal Ecology* 35:10–19 DOI 10.1016/j.funeco.2018.06.002.

Hunninghaus M, Koller R, Kramer S, Marhan S, Kandeler E, Bonkowski M. 2017. Changes in bacterial community composition and soil respiration indicate rapid successions of protist grazers during mineralization of maize crop residues. *Pedobiologia* 62:1–8 DOI 10.1016/j.pedobi.2017.03.002.

Härkönen M, Ukkola T, Zeng Z. 2004. Myxomycetes of the Hunan Province, China, 2. *Systematics and Geography of Plants* 74:199–208.

Kirschbaum MUF. 2006. The temperature dependence of organic matter decomposition—still a topic of debate. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38(9):2510–2518 DOI 10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.01.030.

Ko Ko TW, Stephenson SL, Hyde KD, Lumyong S. 2011. Influence of seasonality on the occurrence of myxomycetes. *Chiang Mai Journal of Science* 38(3):71–84 DOI 10.1007/s12022-013-9243-1.

Lado C. 2005–2020. An online nomenclatural information system of Eumycetozoa. Real Jardín Botánico, CSIC, Madrid, Spain. Available at [http://www.nomen.eumycetozoa.com](http://www.nomen.eumycetozoa.com) (accessed 17 April 2020).

Liu QS, Yan SZ, Chen SL. 2015. Species diversity of corticolous myxomycetes in Tianmu Mountain National Nature Reserve, China. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 59(12):803–813 DOI 10.1139/cjm-2013-0360.

Martin GW, Alexopoulos CJ. 1969. *The myxomycetes*. Iowa City, IA: University of Iowa Press.

Ndiritu GG, Spiegel FW, Stephenson SL. 2009. Distribution and ecology of the assemblages of myxomycetes associated with major vegetation types in Big Bend National Park, USA. *Fungal Ecology* 2(4):168–183 DOI 10.1016/j.funeco.2009.03.002.

Novozhilov YK, Schnittler M, Ernstova D, Shchepin O. 2017. Myxomycetes of the Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Reserve (Far East, Russia). *Nova Hedwigia* 104(1–3):183–209 DOI 10.1127/nova_hedwigia/2016/0394.

Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O’Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Wagner H. 2018. Vegan: community ecology package, version 2.5-3. Available at [https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan).

Redeña-Santos JC, Van Thao D, Schnittler M, Dagamac NHA. 2018. The first report of composition and occurrence of myxomycete assemblages in protected and unprotected plantation forests: a comparative study in Thai Nguyen City, Northern Vietnam. *Plant Ecology and Evolution* 151(2):231–240 DOI 10.5091/plecevo.2018.1432.
Rojas C, Stephenson SL. 2007. Distribution and ecology of myxomycetes in the high-elevation oak forests of Cerro Bellavista, Costa Rica. Mycologia 99(4):534–543 DOI 10.1080/15572536.2007.11832547.

Rojas C, Stephenson SL. 2017. Myxomycetes: biology, systematics, biogeography and ecology. London: Academic Press.

Rojas C, Stephenson SL, Huxel GR. 2011. Macroecology of high-elevation myxomycete assemblages in the northern Neotropics. Mycological Progress 10(4):423–437 DOI 10.1007/s11557-010-0713-2.

Schnittler M, Dagamac NHA, Novozhilov YK. 2017. Biogeographical patterns in myxomycetes. In: Stephenson SL, Rojas CA, eds. Myxomycetes: biology, systematics, biogeography, and ecology. First Edition. London: Academic Press, 299–331.

Schnittler M, Dagamac NHA, Sauge M, Wilmking M, Buras A, Ahlgrimm S, Eusemann P. 2016. Ecological factors limiting occurrence of corticolous myxomycetes—a case study from Alaska. Fungal Ecology 21(5):16–23 DOI 10.1016/j.funeco.2016.02.003.

Schnittler M, Stephenson SL. 2000. Myxomycete biodiversity in four different forest types in Costa Rica. Mycologia 92(4):626–637 DOI 10.1080/00275514.2000.12061203.

Schnittler M, Stephenson SL. 2002. Inflorescences of neotropical herbs as a newly discovered microhabitat for myxomycetes. Mycologia 94(1):6–20 DOI 10.1080/15572536.2003.11833244.

Schnittler M, Unterseher M, Tesmer J. 2006. Species richness and ecological characterization of myxomycetes and myxomycete-like organisms in the canopy of a temperate deciduous forest. Mycologia 98(2):223–232 DOI 10.1080/15572536.2006.11832694.

Snell KL, Keller HW. 2003. Vertical distribution and assemblages of corticolous myxomycetes on five tree species in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Mycologia 95(4):565–576 DOI 10.1080/15572536.2004.11833060.

Stephenson SL. 1988. Distribution and ecology of myxomycetes in temperate forests. I. Patterns of occurrence in the upland forests of southwestern Virginia. Canadian Journal of Botany 66(11):2187–2207 DOI 10.1139/b88-302.

Stephenson SL. 1989. Distribution and ecology of myxomycetes in temperate forests. II. Patterns of occurrence on bark surface of living trees, leaf litter, and dung. Mycologia 81(4):608–621 DOI 10.1080/00275514.1989.12025792.

Stephenson SL, Kalyanasundaram I, Lakhanpal TA. 1993. A comparative biogeographical study of myxomycetes in the mid-Appalachians of eastern North America and two regions of India. Journal of Biogeography 20(6):645–657 DOI 10.2307/2845520.

Stephenson SL, Novozhilov YK, Schnittler M. 2000. Distribution and ecology of myxomycetes in high-latitude regions of the Northern Hemisphere. Journal of Biogeography 27(3):741–754 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2699.2000.00442.x.

Stephenson SL, Schnittler M, Lado C. 2004. Ecological characterization of a tropical myxomycete assemblage—Maquipucuna Cloud Forest Reserve, Ecuador. Mycologia 96(3):488–497 DOI 10.1080/15572536.2005.11832948.

Stephenson SL, Stempen H. 1994. Myxomycetes: a handbook of slime molds. Portland, OR: Timber Press.

Takahashi K. 2014. Influence of bark characteristics on the occurrence of corticolous myxomycetes in western Japan. Journal of Japanese Botany 89(1):35–47 DOI 10.17951/pjss/2018.51.1.11.

Takahashi K, Hada Y. 2012. Seasonal occurrence and distribution of myxomycetes on different types of leaf litter in a warm temperate forest of western Japan. Mycoscience 53(4):245–255 DOI 10.1007/S10267-011-0158-8.
Tran HTM, Stephenson SL, Hyde KD, Mongkolporn O. 2006. Distribution and occurrence of myxomycetes in tropical forests in northern Thailand. *Fungal Diversity* **22**(4):227–242 DOI 10.1111/j.1439-0507.2006.01249.x.

Tran HTM, Stephenson SL, Hyde KD, Mongkolporn O. 2008. Distribution and occurrence of myxomycetes on agricultural ground litter and forest floor litter in Thailand. *Mycologia* **100**(2):181–190 DOI 10.1080/15572536.2008.11832475.

Ukkola T, Härkönen M, Zeng Z. 2001. Myxomycetes of Hunan Province, China. I. *Annales Botanici Fennici* **38**(4):305–328.

Walker LM, Stephenson SL. 2016. The species problem in myxomycetes revisited. *Protist* **167**(4):319–338 DOI 10.1016/j.protis.2016.05.003.

Xavier de Lima V, de Holanda Cavalcanti L. 2015. Ecology of lignicolous myxomycetes in Brazilian Atlantic Rain Forest. *Mycological Progress* **14**(10):92 DOI 10.1007/s11557-015-1115-2.

Yatsiuk Iryna I, Leontyev Dmitry V, Shlakhter Mykhailo L. 2018. Myxomycetes of the National Nature Park Slobozhanskiy (Ukraine): biodiversity and noteworthy species. *Nordic Journal of Botany* **36**(1–2):1605–1618 DOI 10.1111/njb.01605.

Zahn G, Wagai R, Yonemura S. 2016. The effects of amoebal bacterivory on carbon and nitrogen dynamics depend on temperature and soil structure interactions. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **94**:133–137 DOI 10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.11.021.