Case Report

Management of extensive nerve gaps of more than 5 cm by vein graft in three cases
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of use of extensive vein grafts to manage nerve gap repair. The study on peripheral nerve injury has been carried out in this country as well as abroad for many years, but the use of veins as the conduit is more than three decades old and still in evolving phase for standard clinical procedure. Its application is simple and regeneration of nerve is quite satisfactory specially for nerve gap of less than 5 cm. However, the present study was done on extensive nerve gaps of more than 5 cm to 10 cm gaps specially of after long duration of injury to see the results. Inspite of poor results due to extensive nerve gap and treatment tried after long duration of injury, it is quite encouraging to see nerve growth in biopsy of one venous tube and it is great achievement for future study. As of now any vein tube applied for nerve gap of more than five cm is not successful. So successful use of venous tubes is still in infancy for nerve gap of more than 5 cm.
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INTRODUCTION

There is a great interest in nerve injury and regeneration, not only from surgeons, but also from basic scientists. The future will likely see the use of nerve tubes or conduits so that nerve grafts will not have to be taken from other areas in the body. Such venous tubes may even be used for a nerve repair, deliberately leaving a small gap between the nerve ends to put into the body’s natural messengers to guide regeneration.

The study on peripheral nerve injury has been carried out in this country as well as abroad for many years, but the use of veins as the conduit is more than three decades old and still in evolving phase for standard clinical procedure. Its application is simple and regeneration of nerve is quite satisfactory specially for nerve gap of less than 5 cm. The present study was done on extensive nerve gaps of more than 5 to 10 cm gaps specially of after long duration of injury to see the results.

CASE REPORT

The present study was based on the observations and evaluation of the progress of the three patients after operation. The study design included only three cases of nerve gap of different etiology in which nerve gap were managed using the novel technique of venous tube to see the benefit during 1991 to 1994 period conducted in IMS, Varanasi. Total 90 cases were seen of nerve injury and randomly three cases were taken for this venous conduit study for evaluating its probable role in extensive nerve gap as it was known that for smaller defects there were encouraging results especially in 5 mm nerve gaps.
Table 1: Venous tubulation.

| Case no. | Months | Mode of injury | Duration (months) | Nerve | Treatment |
|----------|--------|----------------|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| 71       | 1-2-3-6-9 | Gun shot | 32 | Right Radial | Given 10 cm venous tube in 1991, Venoneuronal biopsy done+ tendon transfer in 1994. |
| 79       | 1-2-3-6-9 | Road traffic accident | 12 | Ant. tibial+ personeal (L) | 9.6 cm venous tube for Ant. tibial |
| 87       | 1-2-3-6-9 | Vascular tumor from median nerve (R) | 40 | Median nerve (L) | 6 cm venous tube |

Note: M+= grade II motor power, S+ = 25% sensation, M++= grade III motor power, S++ = 50% sensation, M+++ = grade IV motor power, S+++ = 75% sensation, M++++(N) = normal motor power, S++++(N) = normal sensation.

The Table 1 reveals that venous tubulation which was used as substitute for nerve graft has failed so far for nine months follow up. It was remarkable to note that Tinel’s sign often occurs quite distal to lesion during first postoperative week. This may be due to tube acting as volume conductor transmitting tingling quite low below. Case no. 71 of post gunshot right radial nerve injury of 32 months duration having extensive nerve gap was managed by venous tube of 10 cm but had no recovery and so it underwent tendon transfer. Slicing the venous tube under microscope and veno neural junction biopsy showed positive nerve growth in this case at the time of tendon transfer operation (Figure 2). Similar fate for case no. 79 had 9.6 cm venous tube without any clinical positive results (Figure 1). In the last case no. 87 biopsy of tumour showed to be neurofibroma which was marked by angiomatous proliferation within it with associated haemorrhage. So, in all three cases of venous tubulation of more than five cm length which was applied after long duration of injury had no recovery even after nine months of follow up after operation.

DISCUSSION

The concept of using a tube to connect two severed nerve stumps is inherently simple and appealing: nerve stumps are pulled into the ends of a tube or tube like structure. Here it is autogenous vein of suitable diameter which readily accommodates the cut end of nerves at ends without compression and this guides the direction of regenerating axonal processes towards the distal nerve segment while at the same time protecting the regenerating axons from intervening scar tissue or...
trauma.5-8,12 The protected microenvironment created by the venous conduit contains an enriched milieu of concentrated neurotrophic factors.9 A fibrin clot forming between the nerve stumps acts as the scaffold to support the essential migration of Schwann cells and, subsequently, axons. The negative result in our three cases of venous tabulation may be due to long duration of injury of more than 12 months and excessive length of the graft of more than five cms. Only remarkable point we get is early Timel’s sign quite distal to coaptation which may be due to tube acting as volume conductor.

Patient case no. 71 who had undergone 10 cm venous tube to bridge radial nerve gap had no motor or sensory recovery but however showed axon fibres under microscope when tube was sliced at places for the content to be inspected just before doing definite tendon transfer operation.13 Neuro venous junction biopsy proved the growth of nerve fibres (Figure 2). This shows nerve regeneration in vein conduit do occur but in extensive length it is failing in its aim of getting nerve continuity. This may be due to distal stump influences proximal regeneration and indicate that this influence can act only over a limited distance below 5 cm or volume. Such an influence could consist of humoral agents which support nerve growth and/or outgrowth from the distal stump.

CONCLUSION

Venous tabulation results were poor because it was put after long duration of injury and graft length was quite extensive to show early result. However, it is quite encouraging to see nerve growth in biopsy of one venous tube and it is great achievement for future study. As of now any vein tube applied for nerve gap of more than five cm is not successful. Future of management of injured peripheral nerve lies more in the realms of biochemistry and tissue culture rather than finding out individual nerve fibre under most high-power magnification. More work is being done on successful use of venous tubes and synthetic tubes but still in infancy for nerve gap of more than five cm.
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