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Abstract

This editorial provides an introduction to and overview of the thematic issue on “Aid Impact and Effectiveness”. The guest editors put the specific contributions of the nine articles in perspective referring to the wider literature on foreign aid and its allocation, impact, and efficiency, as well as the political and economic processes in which aid operates. They discuss the historical and present-day context for foreign aid and provide summaries of the individual articles, highlighting policy implications and future research needs.
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1. Introduction and Overview

The questions of whether aid has impact and is effective have been the subject of a considerable literature, including attention to the aggregate impact of aid on growth across countries (Arndt, Jones, & Tarp, 2010, 2015, 2016; Burnside & Dollar, 2000; Easterly, 2003; Hansen & Tarp, 2001; Jones & Tarp, 2016; Juselius, Møller, & Tarp, 2014; Rajan & Subramanian, 2008). In this thematic issue, we build upon this literature, paying special attention to the ways in which aid affects development outcomes, including—but not limited to—growth. We pay special attention to work that speaks to aid impact and effectiveness in fragile states and situations, where development outcomes have been the poorest and domestic capacity weakest.

While decreasing in relative terms, foreign aid remains an important financial flow for many developing countries. The topic of aid’s impact on growth, therefore, remains central to the development literature. Overall, a consensus has emerged over the last decade that aid does seem to promote aggregate growth. At the same time, estimates of the impact vary and many studies focus on different factors that diminish aid’s potential impact. In their study, Mekasha and Tarp (2019) turn to meta-analysis to provide an overall assessment, building on previous work (Mekasha & Tarp, 2013). They are careful to point out the potential weaknesses of this methodology as applied to the impact of foreign aid. That said, their new and updated results confirm the increasing consensus about the positive evidence of aid’s impact on growth. They also show that this result is robust to including more recent studies and for different time horizons.

In turn, Martínez-Zarzoso (2019) considers the impact of aid on recipient income through international trade. She applies a structural gravity model of trade where aid is included, and estimates a set of trade variables for a cross-section of 33 donor countries and 125 recipient countries over the period 1995 to 2016. She furthermore uses a control function approach and instrumental variable techniques to estimate the indirect effect of aid on income. Her results are in line with emerging consensus about aid’s impact on recipient income, highlighting that effects are heterogeneous and vary by region.
Aid is provided for many reasons and to achieve many objectives. Promoting growth and income is only one dimension. Attention to the provision of basic needs has been a recurring theme in development debates for decades. Many have argued that satisfying basic needs is a precondition for broad-based growth. See for example the famous quote by the architect of the Human Development Reports, Professor Mahbub ul Haq: “We were taught to take care of our GNP as this will take care of poverty. Let us reverse this and take care of poverty first as the GNP can take care of itself” (Haq, 2018). For sure, from 1995 onwards, attention to the provision of health and education was central to the Millennium Development Goal agenda. As Banchani and Swiss (2019) note, the G8 placed in 2010 renewed focus on maternal health via the Muskoka Initiative, with increased commitment to support aid interventions in this area, and the Sustainable Development Goals similarly prioritize maternal health. These authors take as their starting point that there is little analytical evidence on the impact of foreign aid on maternal mortality in developing countries. They analyse aid’s impact on maternal health in a sample of 130 low- and middle-income countries from 1996 to 2015. Results show limited effects of total aid, but significant reductions in maternal mortality related to aid allocated to the reproductive health sector. The policy implication is that targeting aid to specific sectors has significant potential.

Climate change has over the last two decades pushed itself into a central position in international development and discussions about the future of the globe. In parallel, climate related aid is on the rise (see Arndt & Tarp, 2014). It is therefore natural to ask, as Kono and Montinola (2019) do, what the relationship is between climate aid and recipient climate policy. They find no evidence that the former is systematically related to the latter. They also qualify their conclusion with reference to the poor documentation of climate policy. The implication is that targeting aid to specific sectors has significant potential.

Aid effectiveness also may be influenced by donor motivations, and a significant literature on donor motivations in aid allocation exists. A particular strand is focused on how aid is used to buy influence through aid allocation to the Bretton Woods institutions and the United Nations (see e.g., Andersen, Harr, & Tarp, 2006). Reinsberg (2019) examines whether multi-bilateral aid is used to promote countries’ interest in becoming a temporary member of the UN Security Council (see also Dreher, Lang, Rosendorff, & Vreeland, 2018). His analysis draws on new data using media reports to assess donor interest in winning seats in the UN security council, along with data on multi-bilateral aid flows. He demonstrates that multi-bilateral aid is indeed used for geopolitical purposes.

The demand and supply for aid is often couched in economic terms. At the same time, it is widely understood that development assistance is in many ways a political project by donor countries (see Lancaster, 2006). It is also clear that the politics of aid recipient coun-
trieds matter to aid effectiveness. Over the past several decades, practitioners have sought to improve aid effectiveness by better taking politics into account, with growing interest and explicit reference to ‘thinking and working politically’ (TWP) in development (Carothers & de Gramont, 2013). Dasandi, Laws, Marquette and Robinson (2019) speak to the need for more systematic attention to the the evidence base on TWP and its impact on aid effectiveness. Although there is not yet a ‘strong enough’ evidence base, they argue, this is not surprising given that TWP is relatively recent in development programming. They discuss evidence in a variety of areas and suggest where future research should be focused.

While much remains to be learned about aid, as is true in other areas of social policy, we argue that future progress needs to take account of what is already known and hope that this thematic issue provides stimulating reading in this regard. At the same time, our ambition is to inspire further study and research on the need, supply, and provision of foreign aid and how to improve aid effectiveness.
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