MicroRNAs as new characters in the plot between epigenetics and prostate cancer
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INTRODUCTION

Epigenetics is the study of changes in gene expression caused by mechanisms other than changes in the DNA sequence. Accumulation of genetic and epigenetic alterations is one of the most important factors in the tumorigenesis and progression of prostate cancer (PCA). DNA hypermethylation, loss of imprinting and altered histone modification patterns have been frequently reported in PCA. The transcriptional control of gene expression is regulated by a complex machinery composed of DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), histone acetyltransferases (HATs), histone deacetylases (HDACs), histone methyltransferases (HMTs), histone demethylases (HDMDs), and chromatin remodeling enzymes.

Hypermethylation of a 5′-cytosine to guanosine in CpG rich areas located in the promoter region of several genes occurs frequently in PCA, but rarely in normal prostate tissue, resulting in silencing of methylated genes. Many of these epigenetically regulated genes are tumor suppressor genes (TSGs), whose silencing promotes a carcinogenic effect. In addition to this mechanism, also upregulation of effectors of the epigenetic machinery, such as the HMT enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) has been associated with high proliferation rate and aggressive tumor subgroups in PCA. These data highlight the importance of epigenetic factors in PCA genesis and progression.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) with gene regulatory functions (Ambros, 2001). The advent of high throughput techniques has revealed that aberrations of the miRNAome (defined as the full spectrum of miRNA expression in a given genome) occur in almost all human tumors, with respect to the normal tissue counterpart, including PCA (Volinia et al., 2006; Dillhoff et al., 2008; Croce, 2009; Fabbri and Croce, 2011). In addition to the "traditional" mechanism of action of miRNAs (according to which these ncRNAs mainly silence the target gene by binding to the 3′-untranslated region, 3′-UTR of its mRNA; Bartel, 2004), miRNAs can also increase the translation of their target gene, by binding to the 5′-UTR of the targeted mRNA (Vasudevan et al., 2007), and it has also been observed that they can affect gene expression by modifying their epigenetic control (Fabbri et al., 2007a). In particular, our group was able to show that in cancer, a specific family of miRNAs (namely, the miR-29 family) directly targets DNMT3A and DNMT3B (Fabbri et al., 2007a), and indirectly targets DNMT1 (Garzon et al., 2009), leading to a global reduction in cancer cell methylation and re-expression of TSGs (such as WWOX and FHIT), normally epigenetically silenced in tumors, and to an anti-tumoral effect. Moreover, several groups have previously shown that miRNAs undergo the same epigenetic regulatory mechanisms that affect the expression of any other protein coding gene (PCG), revealing a complex level of interaction between epigenetics and miRNAs. This review will focus on the implications of this miRNA–epigenetics network for PCA, and will provide the state-of-the-art knowledge on how the intertwined relationship between miRNA and epigenetics regulates the expression of the androgen receptor (AR), one of the main actors in the development and progression of PCA, therefore providing the rationale for new possible therapeutic avenues for the treatment of this still very debilitating type of cancer.

miRNAs REGULATE THE EPIGENETIC MACHINERY IN PROSTATE CANCER

As previously observed in lung cancer (Fabbri et al., 2007a) and hematological malignancies (Garzon et al., 2009), also in PCA miRNAs have been shown to target key effectors of the epigenetic machinery (which might act either as OGs or as TSGs themselves), or they could affect the expression of epigenetically regulated OGs or TSGs. These two scenarios have been disclosed for miR-101, regulating EZH2, and miR-449a, regulating HDAC1 (cfr. Table 1).
**Table 1 | Epigenetics and relevant miRNAs in PCA.**

| miRNA     | PCA relevant targets | Role      | Expression in PCA | Function                                      | Reference         |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| miR-101   | EZH2                 | TSG       | Down              | Invasiveness, cell cycle arrest, senescent-like phenotype and loss of clonogenicity | Cao et al. (2010) |
| miR-449a  | HDAC1                | TSG       | Down              | Cell cycle arrest, senescent-like phenotype and loss of clonogenicity | Noonan et al. (2009) |
| miR-205   | ZEB1/ZEB2**          | TSG       | Down              | EMT                                           | Huff et al. (2011) |
| miR-200c/ 141 cluster | ZEB1/ZEB2          | TSG       | Down              | EMT                                           | Kong et al. (2009) |
| miR-126   | DNMT1**              | TSG       | Down              | N/A                                           | Zhao et al. (2011) |
| miR-145   | TNFSF10              | TSG       | Down              | Apoptosis                                      | Zaman et al. (2010) |
| miR-34 family | SIRT1/CD44       | TSG       | Down              | Chemoresistance, metastasization, DNA damage  | Lodygin et al. (2008), Rokhlin et al. (2008), Liu et al. (2011) |
| miR-21    | Multiple targets**   | OG        | Down/Up           | Chemoresistance, androgen-supported proliferation, castration resistant phenotype | Ribas et al. (2009), Ribas and Lupold (2010), Shi et al. (2010), Huff et al. (2011) |
| miR-615   | N/A                  | N/A       | Up                | N/A                                           | Huff et al. (2011) |
| miR-196b  | N/A                  | TSG       | Down              | N/A                                           | Huff et al. (2011) |

**Known target not demonstrated in PCA.**

- **miRNAs ARE EPIGENETICALLY REGULATED IN PROSTATE CANCER**

MicroRNAs undergo the same regulatory mechanisms of any other PCG, therefore the genes encoding for miRNAs can also be epigenetically regulated (Fabbri, 2008). In several types of cancer (including PCA), aberrations of this epigenetic regulation of miRNAs are able to explain, at least in part, some of the specific miRNome abnormalities which characterize that specific malignant phenotype.

Recently, an integrative approach has been successfully used to show that the expression of several miRNAs is modified by epigenetic modulation in PCA (Hulf et al., 2011). By combining primary transcription analysis, genome-wide DNA methylation and H3K9Ac marks with miRNA expression, Huff et al. (2011) demonstrated that at least three miRNAs are down-regulated (namely, miR-205, -21, and -196b) and one up-regulated (miR-615) by epigenetic mechanisms in PCA. Interestingly, one of the down-regulated miRNAs, miR-205, is involved in the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) process, and together with another family of miRNAs (namely, the miR-200 family) it has been extensively studied in several types of cancers, including PCA (Kong et al., 2009). The miR-200 family includes miR-200a, miR-200b miR-200c, miR-141, and miR-429. MiRNAs of the miR-200 family are organized in two clusters, one (encoding for miR-200c and miR-141) located on chromosome 1, while the other (encoding for miR-200a and miR-429) on chromosome 12. MiR-200 family and miR-205 directly target the E-cadherin transcriptional repressors ZEB1 and ZEB2, which are implicated in EMT and affect the formation of metastases, by controlling the adhesion and invasion processes (Gregory et al., 2008). Recently, Kong et al. (2009) reported that the miR-200c/miR-141 cluster is transcribed as a polycistronic RNA, and is silenced by promoter hypermethylation in several cancer types including PCA. Indeed,
treatment of PC3 cells with the de-methylating agent 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-AZA) induces re-expression of both miRNAs of the cluster, proving that aberrant epigenetic mechanisms participate in the inappropriate repression of the miR-200c/miR-141 cluster, and this could affect the metastable ability of PCA cells.

Interestingly, the epigenetic regulation of miRNAs appears to be cancer-type specific. Indeed, while the expression of miR-196b is reduced in PCA, miR-196b is upregulated in lymphoblastic leukemia and gastric cancer cells (Schotte et al., 2010; Tsai et al., 2010) because of a reduced degree of methylation at the same CpG island which are hypermethylated in PCA, and are responsible for miR-196b silencing in PCA. This finding corroborates the dual nature or miRNAs, able to act both as OGs and TSGs (Fabbri et al., 2007b), but also indicates that different strengths of epigenetic control can be exerted by cancer cells on the same gene, and the extent of this control depends on other yet not clearly identified intracellular factors, which ultimately determine whether an epigenetically regulated miRNA is silenced or not, in a cell-type specific fashion. Interestingly both miR-615 and miR-196b are located in CpG islands within the HOX gene clusters, but while the HOXC cluster host gene has been previously demonstrated to be part of a long range hypermethylated domain (Coolen et al., 2010), miR-615, located within this cluster is actually hypomethylated in PCA. This finding confirms that miRNA epigenetic regulation is strictly “tailored” and separate for miRNAs and their host genes.

Also miR-126, frequently down-regulated in PCA and in bladder cancer, undergoes epigenetic regulation, since the treatment of several cancer cell lines with 5-AZA or PBA (4-phenylbutyric acid), which is an HDAC inhibitor strongly increases miR-126 expression (Saito et al., 2009). Interestingly, a recent study reported upregulation of miR-126 in CD4+ T cells of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and this favors the development of this disease (Zhao et al., 2011). Moreover, this study identified a feed-forward loop in which miR-126 directly targets DNMT1, leading to a subsequent hypomethylation of miR-126 promoter, and increased levels of miR-126 (Zhao et al., 2011).

Based on these considerations it would be interesting to investigate whether a “reversed loop” occurs in PCA, where low miR-126, associated to higher expression of DNMT1, contributes in keeping the miRNA itself under epigenetic silencing.

Another miRNA frequently down-regulated in PCA with respect to the normal tissue counterpart is miR-145. Combinatory treatment with 5-AZA and the HDAC inhibitor TCA (trichostatin-A) increases miR-145 expression, suggesting that also in this case the silencing is due to epigenetic modulation. Exogenous overexpression of miR-145 in PC3 cells induces the expression of the pro-apoptotic gene TNFSF10 leading the cells to apoptosis (Zaman et al., 2010).

In PCA also miR-193b is silenced by hypermethylation of a CpG island located about 1 kb upstream of the miRNA locus (Rauhala et al., 2010). Re-expression of miR-193b in 22Rv1 PCA cells induced a significant tumor growth inhibition, as a result of a reduced number of cells in the S-phase of the cell cycle. In addition, the anchorage independent growth of this same cell line was partially inhibited upon transient expression of miR-193b (Rauhala et al., 2010).

Another miRNA tightly controlled by promoter methylation in PCA is miR-34a. Silencing of miR-34a expression is presumably mediated by CpG methylation of a region located 100–500 base-pairs upstream of the miR-34a transcription start which includes a binding site for p53, which transactivates miR-34a transcription (Raver-Shapira et al., 2007). The different miR-34a expression levels among DU145, PC3, and LNCaP cell lines can only partially be explained by the promoter methylation status of the miRNA, therefore it can be hypothesized that the endogenous levels of p53 (different among the different cell lines) contribute to the overall endogenous expression of this miRNA. Exogenous re-expression of miR-34a in PC3 cells induces cellular senescence, and permanent cell cycle arrest (Lodygin et al., 2008). Finally, miR-34a has been involved in the control of cancer stem cells population balance in PCA. Liu et al. (2011) observed that exogenous over-expression of miR-34a in CD44 positive PCA cells (that are considered PCA stem cells) inhibits clonogenic expansion, tumor regeneration, and metastasis. In contrast, miR-34a silencing in CD44 negative PCA cells, achieved by antagonirs (Kruitzfeldt et al., 2005), promoted tumor development and metastasis, confirming that miR-34a has an important TSG function in PCA.

Despite miR-21 is generally considered a miRNA which acts as an OG, its role in PCA is still unclear, given the fact that conflicting results have been published. Hulf et al. (2011) demonstrated a significant epigenetic repression of miR-21 in PCA, suggesting a TSG role for miR-21 in PCA. However, Ribas et al. (2009) found that over-expression of miR-21 was sufficient to drive androgen-independent growth in two androgen-dependent PCA cell lines. Additionally, they observed that miR-21 was enhancing androgen-supported proliferation of LNCaP cells. In mouse models, elevated levels of miR-21 improved tumor establishment, increased tumor growth, and induced a castration-resistant phenotype (Ribas and Lupold, 2010). Overall, these experiments support an OG role for miR-21, or at least suggest that miR-21 might be implicated in the switch from hormone-sensitive to hormone-resistant PCA. Recently however Folini et al. (2010) showed that miR-21 knockdown elicited no change in proliferative or invasive properties in PCA cells. In the same paper the authors were unable to confirm a significant difference in the expression of miR-21 in PCA versus normal tissue on a cohort of 36 patients. In summary, a clear role for miR-21 in prostate carcinogenesis has not been defined yet, and further studies are warranted to clarify this issue.

Interestingly, both miR-34 and miR-21 are also involved in chemoresistance of PCA. MiR-34 downregulation is associated with the HDAC SIRT1 over-expression, this protein has been described to be associated with chemoresistance to camptothecin and cisplatin in PC3 and DU145 cells (Fujita et al., 2008). Artificial introduction of miR-34a in PC3 cells induces apoptosis and attenuates chemoresistance to camptothecin through the targeting of SIRT1 and probably others cell cycle regulators, previously described to be targets of miR-34a such as CDK4, CDK6, Cyclin D1, Cyclin E2, E2F3, and BCL2 (Hermeking, 2007; Fujita et al., 2008).

The involvement of miR-21 in PCA chemoresistance has been demonstrated by Shi et al. (2010). They showed that miR-21 is upregulated in docetaxel resistant PC3 (PC3R) cells compared to
wild type PC3 cells. This process is mediated by the ability of miR-21 to target the oncosuppressor gene PDCD4 that is known to be involved in the sensitivity to other chemotherapeutic agents like cisplatin and paclitaxel (Shi et al., 2010).

Several studies have reported that both miR-21 and miR-34a can act as PCA biomarkers. But while miR-34a has been shown to be silenced by promoter hypermethylation in PCA, and consequently its expression in the blood of PCA patients is reduced with respect to non-cancerous patients (Kojima et al., 2010), for miR-21 there is the conflicting evidence of low expression in primary prostate tumors (due to promoter hypermethylation) but high expression in the serum of patients with hormone-refractory PCA (Yaman Agaoglu et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011). Again, these findings highlights the need to better understand what is the role of miR-21 in prostate carcinogenesis and in particular how its epigenetic regulation affects the shift toward hormone-refractory PCA.

**EPIGENETICS OF THE ANDROGEN RECEPTOR: ROLE OF miRNAs**

Androgen receptor is the main actor in the development and progression of PCA and controlling its activity represents one of the most important goals in the clinical management of PCA. Despite its relevance for PCA, the mechanisms that regulate the expression of this protein remain poorly understood. While in some cases AR is not functional because of genetic mutations, in up to 40% of PCA patients (and in the DU145 cell line as well) it has been found to be silenced by promoter hypermethylation (Jarrard et al., 1998; Kinoshita et al., 2000; Nakayama et al., 2000; Sasaki et al., 2002; Yamanaka et al., 2003; Reibenwein et al., 2007), revealing a remarkable role of epigenetics in AR expression regulation. Other described mechanisms of AR aberrant expression and function include genetic amplification, generation of ligand-independent receptor variants, downregulation of AR repressors, persistence of intratumoral androgens, or upregulation of signals that activate AR also in absence of androgens (for a complete review see Shen and Abate-Shen, 2010).

In PCA, AR can function in two opposite directions: on one side, AR signaling is crucial for prostate and PCA cell survival (Shen and Abate-Shen, 2010), however its activation can also limit cell proliferation and mediate apoptotic induction under specific circumstances (Shen and Abate-Shen, 2010). For example, under genotoxic stress AR is fundamental for p53 activation and for the subsequent induction of apoptosis (Heinlein and Chang, 2004; Rokhlin et al., 2008). Since it has been estimated that miRNAs can regulate the expression of about 30% of the human genes (Lewis et al., 2005), there has been interest in investigating whether miRNAs can regulate the expression of AR. Despite a large number of miRNAs has been shown to be able to regulate AR expression (Sikand et al., 2010; Ostling et al., 2011), there is a substantial gap between the basic research findings and the expression of these miRNAs in clinical samples, raising the question of the relevance of these results for translational research purposes.

Conversely, it can be expected that reduced expression of AR-targeting miRNAs is responsible for AR increased expression. Recently, Ostling et al. (2011) demonstrated that AR-3′-UTR is much longer than contemplated by the most frequently used miRNA target prediction programs. In particular, the authors demonstrated that at least 71 miRNAs affect AR expression in PCA cell lines, and they confirmed that 13 of them directly bind to and regulate the 6-kb extended 3′-UTR of AR. Among them, miR-34a and miR-34c are particularly interesting, since, as we previously described, they are epigenetically regulated in PCA, and could represent the missing link between epigenetics–miRNAs and AR regulation in PCA (**Figure 1**). In 2008, Rokhlin et al. (2008) demonstrated that miR-34a and miR-34c are involved in the apoptotic induction after p53 activation in PCA cells, and that pro-apoptotic functions of DNA damage-induced p53 and miR-34s are dependent upon AR activation. Therefore, a central role of the epigenetically regulated miR-34 family members in the physiology of AR in PCA can be claimed (**Figure 1**).

In addition to miR-34s, other miRNAs have been reported to be controlled by AR. Narayanan et al. (2010) demonstrated that by blocking miRNAs maturation most of the functions of AR are also blocked. Specifically, they observed that the silencing of DICER (a key enzyme in miRNA biogenesis) prevents DHT (dihydrotestosterone)-induced PSA production. They also demonstrated that by silencing DICER, DHT activation of AR results in upregulation of NCOR1 and NCOR2, two well-characterized AR co-repressors, a mechanism bona fide miRNA-mediated, despite the actual miRNAs involved have not been identified in this study (**Figure 1**). Also miR-21 has been demonstrated to be directly transactivated by AR, through an AR binding site on the miRNA promoter (Ribas et al., 2009). These findings corroborate
the need for a deeper investigation on the role of miR-21 in the acquisition of a hormone-resistant PCA phenotype. Finally, it has been demonstrated that a group of five miRNAs (namely miR-141, -494, 29a, -29b, and -29c) which directly target effectors of the epigenetic machinery, are also induced by DHT stimulation in VCaP and AR-expressing LNCaP cells (Waltering et al., 2010). This finding casts a new light on the interaction between AR function in PCA and miRNA controlling key enzymes ruling gene epigenetic regulation.

CONCLUSION
The genetics of PCA is complex and only partially understood. In recent years, the discovery that a specific subgroup of ncRNAs, called miRNAs, is implicated in the pathogenesis and dissemination of this cancer has shown that the molecular biology of PCA is more complex than initially thought. Moreover, the finding that epigenetic mechanisms are responsible for some of the aberrations of the miRNome which are hallmarks of PCA and/or its resistance to drugs has introduced a new layer of complexity to the picture. Despite this apparent intricacy, an accurate dissection of all the molecular mechanisms responsible for the pathogenesis and clinical behavior of this disease is the absolutely necessary background to understand which are the best molecular targets for the treatment of PCA. Undoubtedly, for some aspects, we are still at the dawn of our comprehension. Unconfirmed data and, in some cases, even conflicting results witness the need for a deeper insight into the intertwined mechanisms that drive cells to develop a malignant phenotype. Therefore (and almost paradoxically), the discovery of an increased complex interaction between well known OGs and TSGs, epigenetics and new characters like the miRNAs, ends up being one of the fastest ways to identify new promising therapeutic targets, especially (yet not exclusively) for those patients who still have an uncurable PCA.
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