Automatic Grain Size Detection and Classification of Metals

Vinayak Bairagi, Mayuri Rathod, Tushar Shirsath
Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Department, AISSMS, Institute of Information Technology, Pune, India
Email: vbairagi@yahoo.co.in, mayurirathod16@gmail.com, rtushar.shirsath@gmail.com

Received: 24th November 2018, Accepted: 13th February 2019, Published: 30th June 2019

Abstract
Metallography is the study of the microstructure of metallic alloys. The integrity of metals in vital in the case of any manufacturing industry as the durability is dependent on quality of metal. Microscopic analysis is essential part of modern manufacturing process. Micro-structural Inclusions measurement is routinely required process for maintaining quality. The most important physical properties of particulate samples are particle size and grain size. The diameter of individual grains of sediments is called as grain size. Gran size determination is actually depends upon the manual process which leading to time-consuming and errors are occur at the time of determination. The main objective to propose this system is to automatically analyze the material according to their size/shape and grain sizes. Fuzzy logic model is a classifier used to detect edges and corners of grains available in the input image. The system categories the metal using its grain size. The obtained results are compared with ASTM standards and other reported results in survey. The proposed system is useful in metal industry which are depends upon size and shape of the grains.
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Introduction
Metallography is important part of study related to the microstructure of metallic alloys. It can be stated that the scientific discipline of observing and analyzing the spatial distribution of the sediments, inclusions or phases present in metallic alloys. By extension, these similar principles can be applied to the further characterization of any material or alloy. Different techniques are used to reveal the micro structural characteristics of alloys [1]. An ultramicroscopic is a microscope with illumination system that allows viewing of tiny particles even up to size of micro meter level. Microscopic analysis is essential part of modern manufacturing process in metal industry. The quality, durability, and life cycle of a final product depend on the attribute and characteristics of the material with fitting tolerances. A defect in single sediment can lead to breakdown the entire end product of system. Micro-structural Inclusions measurement is routinely required process for maintaining quality. The most important physical properties of particulate samples are particle size and grain size.

The main objective to propose this system is to automatically analyze the material according to their size/shape and grain sizes. Grain size is diameter of individual grains of sediments. Grains are having internal boundaries to define grain size of metal. Grain size analysis acts an important part in the research of metallic material. From grains analysis we can get the information related to material’s properties like yield strength, tensile strength and elongation which have effective impact on material’s properties [22]. Grain size is “Diameter of individual particles”. The methods of grain analysis are relying on the manual processes but it is time consuming and errors are liable to occur. So with the development of image processing technologies the DIP (Digital Image processing) and pattern recognition technologies can be used as tools for calculating the grain size automatically. Image-pro plus, image tool are widely used in metallographic process. This technique reduces manual workloads and improves the efficiency of analysis but it is difficult to identity grain boundaries in the image frame with low contrast and bad boundaries detected [22-27]. So we overcome these problems with this system using fuzzy logic.

Existing System
The analysis of grain size and their shape and to classify those according to their characteristics is great era now a days. Although the few systems are automatic so as to reduce manual workloads and, but instructions of the systems are still observed very difficult, e.g., many parameters need to be set manually and for further process it require user’s interface. There is major need to improve the efficiency of analysis to large extent. There are number of technique for measurement of particle shape and size such as Laser diffraction particle sizing, Dynamic light scattering, and Automatic image analysis with microscope. Among this automatic image analysis with microscope is most effective and reduced cost technique. Recent papers in the field of study are studied and brief summary is presented here with. Grayali. N et al. [2, 12], researcher utilizes Ultrasonic Velocity to detect average grain size. It is observed that, the ultrasonic velocity in low carbon steel has effects of carbon content and temperature. So by using increase in the temperature average grain size is determined. The required instrumentation for processing which is expensive.
Palanichamy, P et al. [3], researcher used ultrasonic velocity method to analyze grain size of stainless steel metal. It is observed that the system is time consuming and feasible only for particular location of material. Beecham, D et al. [4], Goebbels, K et al. [5], and Hecht, A et al. [6], had utilized ultrasonic backscatter. It is observed that in this method, it is difficult to get information of the variation of grain size. Raul David Serban et al. [7], laser diffraction method was performed on 54 sediments to determine the grain size analysis. It is observed that in the result of texture classification is highly consistent but as relative deviation increased and correlation decreases as pre-treatment advanced, so they affects on variability of sand fraction and H2O2 rather affected on slit fraction. SN Chirikov et al. [8], to calculate particle size and shape laser polarimetry and dynamic light scattering is used. It is observed that laser technique allows to analyze particle size of dispersed media remotely, efficiently and high sensitivity. Size determination is assumed based on medium particle are spherical. Accounting for specific feature of particle shape is a difficult task requiring significant computing resources and partially difficult to resize. Xincheng et al. [9], researcher utilized wavelet based edge detection technique for removing useless noise presented in the image, and it is observed that to characterize fractographs are very tedious and time consuming so to automate the process texture descriptor is utilizes. Ben Luan et al. [10], researcher used New approach utilizes to reduce decomposition of Ni-P deposition solution and the drawback of the system is the trace amount of chlorine is under coating so it does not cause much of concern but the concentration of rinsing water is very low and Ni-P coated 3003 alloy have much higher hardness and wear resistance. Łukasz Rauch et al. [11], researcher Utilizes feedback pulse coupled neural network is more efficient inspired by algorithms to segment the material microstructure images but it is observed that they analyze accurate edges and position of metals but they are not continuous and smooth.

Methodology
The block diagram of proposed system is shown below.

![System Block Diagram](image)

**Overall System Description:**
The digital image is given to the system as input as shown in fig.2. The digital image is converted into gray scale image shown in fig.3, because further we have to use fuzzy logic and for these gray values of pixels is required. After that we have calculate the degree of membership function because fuzzy logic is used to describe the fuzziness and it is possible by using degree of membership function shown in fig.4. The degree of membership is a curve which defines the values in between 0-1, as over which range the pixel values are varies. Again fuzzy logic is used to detect whether pixels are on edge or not. The fuzzy logic follows fuzzifiers and de-fuzzifiers and from this the fuzzy values are stores into fuzzy database. Fuzzy logic is easy to detect only edges and corners. But after classifiers; somewhat noise is present in the image. With the help of canny operator the output can sharpen and removes noise and consistent output is obtained. At the end of proposed system, according to formula of grain size the classification of different metals is shown in table. The type of the metal is detected automatically.

**Extracting Grain Boundary by Fuzzy Logic**
After pre-processing, grain size analysis part is to be considered. The digital image is converted into gray scale image as input to the second part of system. Noise is present in image; due to defect present in the image while performing
metallographic classes. At start, we select a pixel \( P(x,y) \) from the image as a central middle point. A window \( W(x,y) \) is selected related to size of \( n \) in the pixel values as follows,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\ldots & p(x-1,y-1) & p(x-1,y) & p(x-1,y+1) & \ldots \\
\ldots & p(x,y-1) & p(x,y) & p(x,y+1) & \ldots \\
\ldots & p(x+1,y-1) & p(x+1,y) & p(x+1,y+1) & \ldots \\
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{1}
\]

Based on the analysis of above correlation of the pixels one new function is proposed i.e. \( \mu(p) \), which calculates the membership degree. A degree of membership function is stated that each point presented in the input should be mapped perfectly between gray values 0 to 1. We already know about fuzzy logic is used to describe the fuzziness. This fuzziness is utilized to characterize by its membership function.

In fuzzy logic the degree of truth is represented by membership function. Membership function describes all the information in fuzzy sets whether the elements from fuzzy sets are in discrete manner or in continuous manner. Membership function is a technique used to solve the practical problem rather than knowledge. The membership function \( \mu(p) \) is expressed as follows,

\[
\mu(p) = \frac{1}{e^{p(x,y) - a(x,y)}} + 1
\tag{2}
\]

Where, \( p(x,y) \) is gray value of the centre pixel in the \( w(x,y) \). \( \mu(p) \) is scalar value varies in between \([0,1]\) and \( a(x,y) \) is the average value of all pixels from the window \( w(x,y) \). The \( a(x,y) \) is expressed as follows,

\[
a(x,y) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \sum_{i-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} p(k_1 - 1)
\tag{3}
\]

As shown in fig.5, edge detected using fuzzy logic with consisting of some noises in the image. The grain boundary is a roof edge. The edge pixel central point \( P \) is considerably different from the adjacent non-edge pixels’. The edge pixels’ are faint enough as compare to other pixels’. The gray converted value of the edge pixels’ \( P \) is usually thinner than the non-edge pixels’. Depending on such features of the metallographic image, an edge detection algorithm utilizing fuzzy logic has been improved to detect the grain boundary from the frames. The fuzzy model is utilizes to compare the pixels’ properties to determine whether the pixels’ are on the edge or not. After edge detection using fuzzy logic detects some whole grains and some partial grains, to complete the grain shape morphological operations are used like dilation and erosion.

The output of morphological operation on image is as shown in fig.6. While final edge detected image is as shown in fig.7, to remove the noise from output of edge detected image filter is used and output is shown in the fig.8. Canny operator is considered to be much easier and consistent than other operators. Canny operator is only designed to detect the edges. It follows the gray values discontinuities output to show. An edge gives rise to ridges in the magnitude image by using canny operator. Fig.9 shows the result after canny operator processed to remove unwanted noise from image, while fig.10, Shows the filtered output of canny operator.

i. **Fuzzy Model:**

**Step 1:** Take digital input image
**Step 2:** Convert digital input image into gray scale as fuzzy required binary values to detect edges.
**Step 3:** Locate \( p(x,y) \) in window matrix
**Step 4:** Calculate \( d(i) \)
**Step 5:** Evaluate \( d(i) \) in input membership function.
**Step 6:** Apply fuzzy set of rules to window matrix
**Step 7:** Evaluate \( d(i) \) in input membership function.
**Step 8:** Defuzzification over system
**Step 9:** \( x= \text{width (Image)} \)
   - True if \( y=y+1 \) & \( x=0 \)
   - False if \( x=x+1 \)
**Step 10:** \( y= \text{height (Image)} \)
   - End if true
   - Again go to locate \( p(x,y) \) if false.
Results

a. Result of Grain Size Measurement

Figure 2: Digital Input Image

Figure 3: Digital Image Converted into Gray Scale

Figure 4: Degree of Membership Function $\mu(p)$

Figure 5: Output of the Edge Detection Using Fuzzy Logic

Figure 6: After Applying Morphological Operation on Image

Figure 7: Edge Detected Using Fuzzy Model

Figure 8: Filtered Image of Edge Detect

Figure 9: Results After Canny Operator Processed to Remove Unwanted Noise from Image.
Canny operator gives the smooth edges at the end of processing based on we determine the whole grains and partial grain easily for further calculations. Based on simulation part we calculate manually grain size and confirmed it by automatically. There are two methods are used to calculate the grain size first is simple formulae based while second is by using intercept method which shown below in fig.11,

### I. Method 1: (Simple)

**ASTM grain size number:**

\[
N = 2^{(n-1)}
\]  

(4)

Where,

- N- No. Of Grains presented in the image
- n- Grain size no.

### II. Method 2: (Intercept Method)

Grain size analyses by using intercept method as follows,

**Step 1:** Calculate Magnification with the help of actual width and real width in mm

**Step 2:** Calculate mean intercept length by using formulae,

\[
l = \left(\frac{\text{no.of lines drawn}}{\text{no of lines intercept per length mm}}\right) \times \left(\frac{M}{\# \text{ of grains intercepted}}\right)
\]  

(5)

**Step 3:** Calculation of grain size according to formulae

\[
G = -6.643856 \log l - 3.288
\]  

(6)

Where,

- l - Mean intercept length
- M- Magnification
- G- Grain size

| Sr. No. | Alloy Name | No of Grains(N) | Average Grain Diameter(AVG) (in mm) | Grain Size (n) in µm | Values according to ASTM Standard (µm) |
|---------|------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1       | Al5052     | 377.10          | 0.01018                             | 9.55                | 1-10 [15]                             |
| 2       | Al1100     | 72.468          | 0.01167                             | 7                   | 1-10 [15]                             |
| 3       | Al7075     | 71.88           | 0.02141                             | 8                   | 1-10 [15]                             |
Table 1: Grain Size Calculation.

| Sr.No | Name of Alloys | Proposed system results (Grain Size in μm) | Other researchers results (Grain size in μm) [12] | Values according to ASTM standard (μm) |
|-------|----------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 4     | Al3003         | 18.20                                      | 0.02369                                          | 5                                      |
| 5     | AZ 41A         | 39.87                                      | 0.02035                                          | 6.31                                   |
| 6     | AZ 31          | 6.89                                       | 0.04681                                          | 3.78                                   |
| 7     | Brass          | 17.56                                      | 0.04107                                          | 5.13                                   |
| 8     | Brass 1        | 16.47                                      | 0.04035                                          | 5.04                                   |
| 9     | Brass 2        | 11.077                                     | 0.04777                                          | 4.46                                   |
| 10    | AZ 41          | 4.816                                      | 0.01223                                          | 3.26                                   |
| 11    | AZ 91D         | 6.199                                      | 0.10205                                          | 18.89 ± 1.6                           |
| 12    | Brass 3        | 11.62                                      | 0.03378                                          | 4.53                                   |
| 13    | Brass 4        | 16.18                                      | 0.03299                                          | 5.01                                   |
| 14    | Brass 5        | 18.08                                      | 0.02584                                          | 5.17                                   |
| 15    | Brass 6        | 7.578                                      | 0.07318                                          | 3.95                                   |

Where,
- Sr.No - Serial Number,
- ASTM - American society for testing material.

Observation from the above table it does prove that when numbers of Grains are increases the Grain Size also increases and vice versa. The star mark (*) shows that results are observed to be wrong. So, the Accuracy of system is calculated by using given formulae,

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{corrected output}}{\text{total size}} \quad (7)
\]

From the above equation the system accuracy is 80%. And table shows comparison with ASTM standard values of varieties metal alloys.

Table 2: Comparison of Other Researcher’s Result with Proposed System Results.

| Name of Alloys | Proposed system results (Grain Size in μm) | Other researchers results (Grain size in μm) [12] | Values according to ASTM standard (μm) |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| B4C            | 18.52                                      | 19.3                                             | 10-60 [20]                            |
| B4C            | 16.68                                      | 18.3                                             | 10-60 [20]                            |
| B4C+Al         | 28.88                                      | 32.5                                             | 4.2-74.7 [19]                        |
| B4C+Al+Ni      | 18.43                                      | 20.9                                             | 10-21 [14]                           |
| B4C+Al+Ni      | 17.10                                      | 19.2                                             | 10-21 [14]                           |
| WC-Ni          | 4.46                                       | 3.54                                             | 2.1-3.6 [13]                         |
| WC-Ni          | 4.67                                       | 5.19                                             | 2.1-3.6 [13]                         |

b. Contribution to Proposed System

In existing method only one type of metal is used and calculates Grain size of metal according to grain size formulas. While in proposed method, the system is working automatically as when we give digital image of metal as input then at the end which type of metal is used is detected. Comparison with other metals is also classified in the Table No. 3.

Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a new combination of algorithms to do material analysis. To ensure the consistent changes, a material analysis is proposed approach to classify varieties of metal alloys of different types as shown in Table No.2&3. We have compared the proposed results with existing work and also with ASTM standard. We have introduces material analysis/Grain size analysis according to their size/shape by using two new different methods like direct formulae based method and intercept method based on fuzzy logic. The output of proposed system, the grain size of particular metal is detected automatically. Experimental results on material analysis/Grain size analysis have shown the consistency of the proposed methods and its application. The results can also be improved by use of 3D images at input image. The use of pre-processing will be useful in detecting edges correctly.
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