Absence of telomerase activity and telomerase catalytic subunit mRNA in melanocyte cultures
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Summary

The classic model of activation of telomerase, for which activity has been found in most cancers including cutaneous malignant melanoma (CMM), dictates that enzyme activity is generated by pathological reactivation of telomerase in telomerase-negative somatic cells. However, recent data demonstrated physiological up-regulation in some normal cell types when established as proliferating cultures, indicating that, in some cancer types, telomerase is expressed by the process of up-regulation in telomerase-competent precursor cells. In this study, cultures of epidermal melanocytes, progenitor cells of CMM, were established and harvested in the logarithmic phase of growth. Telomerase activity was looked for using a non-isotopic variant of the telomeric repeat amplification protocol, and transcript expression of the hTERT gene, the rate-limiting catalytic telomerase subunit, was investigated by the reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. Neither telomerase activity nor hTERT mRNA could be detected in proliferating melanocyte cultures. Our in vitro data argue against the model of telomerase as a common biomarker of cell proliferation. The results further suggest that telomerase is tightly controlled in normal melanocytes, and that telomerase is reactivated rather than up-regulated in melanocytic precursors during melanoma initiation or progression. © 2000 Cancer Research Campaign
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Telomeres, stretches of repetitive DNA sequences associated with specific DNA binding proteins at the ends of eukaryotic chromosomes, progressively shorten with every cell division because DNA polymerase can not replicate the end of a linear template (the ‘End-replication Problem’) (Allsopp et al, 1995; Kipling, 1995). Gradual telomere erosion has been suggested to be a ‘mitotic clock’ and tumour suppressor mechanism, triggering senescence and cell death when telomere reduction eventually destabilizes chromosomes (Harley, 1991). Acquisition of the immortal phenotype is an obligatory event for most human cancers and stabilization of telomere length is thought to be a critical molecular condition in the multistep pathway to cellular transformation and immortalization (Newbold et al, 1982; Rhyu, 1995). Telomerase is a ribonucleoprotein complex that, by the action of an internalized RNA template (hTERC), a catalytic subunit (hTERT) and a possible helper protein (hTERP1), adds telomeric DNA to the ends of chromosomes, thereby halting their erosion with each cell division (Feng et al, 1995; Harrington et al, 1997; Nakamura et al, 1997). Based on the results of the conventional telomerase polymerase assay and of the sensitive telomeric repeat amplification protocol (TRAP assay), which showed enzyme activity in over 85% of human cancer tissues and immortal cell lines but not in normal primary cell cultures or normal tissues, it was extrapolated that, putatively by a genetic event, telomerase activity is ‘turned on’ during in vivo tumorigenesis (the ‘classic’ telomerase reactivation model), thereby freeing premalignant cells from the restraint of their finite life span (Counter et al, 1992; Kim et al, 1994). However, extensive TRAP analyses and RNA expression studies of hTERT – the only rate-limiting subunit discovered today – revealed that many normal cell types too, of which the majority of cancers are derived from, are weakly telomerase-positive in vivo (Counter et al, 1995; Harle-Bachor and Boukamp, 1996; Kolquist et al, 1998; Ramakrishnan et al, 1998). Other cell types, scored telomerase-negative in vivo, appear competent to express telomerase when subjected to a sufficient proliferative stimulus in vitro (Belair et al, 1997; Greider, 1998). Therefore, it is currently only valid to apply the classic reactivation model to a particular organ system when the cell of origin is effectively telomerase-negative, not just in its in vivo state but also when subjected to ‘excessive’ growth stimulation (‘revised’ classic model or type 1 scenario) (Wynford-Thomas, 1999).

Cutaneous malignant melanoma (CMM) is a cancer originating in melanocytes, a skin-cell type derived from the neural crest (Quevedo and Fleischmann, 1980). CMM is characterized by a rapid growth rate, the invasion of local tissue and a propensity for metastasis. The incidence and mortality rates of CMM are rising dramatically throughout the world (Boyle et al, 1995). Cytogenetic and molecular studies in CMM suggest that mutations in several genes are critical in the susceptibility, development and progression of CMM, which is believed to develop in a multistep fashion (Albino, 1995). CMM evolves from melanocytic precursors via the formation of clinicopathologically defined intermediate lesions of varying stability (Briggs, 1985). Interestingly, an increase in telomerase activity has been found during progression of melanocytic lesions from melanocytic naevi to metastatic CMM, indicating that telomerase activity may play a role in tumour initiation and progression (Taylor et al, 1996; Bosserhoff et al, 1997; Glaessl et al, 1999; Parris et al, 1999) (see Table 1). However, it is...
not known whether telomerase is initially de novo reactivated or merely quantitatively up-regulated during CMM carcinogenesis, because it is not known whether epidermal melanocytes are competent to express telomerase in physiological circumstances.

To investigate the competence of epidermal melanocytes to express telomerase, we determined telomerase activity and expression of hTERT, hTEP1 mRNA and hTERC RNA, using the TRAP assay and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) respectively, in proliferating melanocyte cultures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Melanocyte cultures

Ten primary MCCs were obtained from neonatal foreskins and cultured in M199 medium supplemented with 2% fetal calf serum (FCS), 10⁻⁹ M choleratoxin, 10 ng ml⁻¹ basic fibroblast growth factor, 10 μg ml⁻¹ insulin, 1.4 μM hydrocortisone and 10 μg ml⁻¹ transferrin, as described previously (Naeyaert et al, 1991). Post-FCS, 10⁻⁹ M choleratoxin, 10 ng ml⁻¹ basic fibroblast growth factor, 10 μg ml⁻¹ insulin, 1.4 μM hydrocortisone and 10 μg ml⁻¹ transferrin. Ten primary MCCs were obtained from neonatal foreskins and cultured in M199 medium supplemented with 2% fetal calf serum.
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TRAP assay

Lysate preparation and the TRAP assay were performed as described previously (Dhaene et al, 1998), with minor modifications. Briefly, 10⁵ MCC cells were lysed by retro-pipetting in 200 μl of ice-cold lysis buffer. After 30 min of incubation on ice, the lysates were centrifuged at 14 000 g for 60 min at 4°C, and the total protein concentration of the supernant standardized according to Bradford (approximately 1 μg μl⁻¹). Six microlitres of supernant were used for the TRAP assays. After an initial incubation period (30°C for 30 min), telomerase products were amplified using Ts and ACx primers (35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s). Assay specificity was confirmed by inclusion of an RNAase preincubation control step, and Tax inhibition checked by including the 36 bp internal control. Presence of telomerase inhibitors was tested by mixing negative MCC extracts with positive HL-60 extracts in a 1:1 ratio. Every assay included a telomerase-positive sample (HL-60), a telomerase-negative sample (dermal fibroblasts) and an extract-free sample to detect PCR amplification of primer dimers. Ampicllons were electrophoresed on a 12.5% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel (19:1), stained with ethidium bromide and analysed by the CCD camera-coupled Gel Doc 1000 Molecular Analyst Software package (Bio-Rad Laboratories GmbH, Germany).

RT-PCR analysis of telomerase transcripts

Total RNA was isolated using Tri Reagent (Sigma Chemical Co., USA). cDNAs were synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA in RT buffer containing random hexamers (Pharmacia Biotech, Sweden) and the MMLV reverse transcriptase (Promega Benelux BV, The Netherlands). For amplification of hTEP1, hTERC and hTERT transcripts, primers and cycling conditions were applied, as described previously (Dhaene et al, 1999) (see also Table 2). Briefly, primer pairs TLP1/U4792 and TLP1/L5102 (333 bp amlicon) and HTR-F and HTR-R (112 bp amlicon) were used to detect hTEP1 and hTERT cDNA. hTERT cDNA was looked for amplification of genomic DNA was controlled by omitting the RT-step in appropriate control reactions.

Table 1 Overview of telomerase activity (%) in melanocytic lesions, as reported in the literature

| Lesion Type       | Taylor et al, 1996 | Bosserhoff et al, 1997 | Ueda et al, 1997 | Parris et al, 1999 | Glaessl et al, 1999 |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Melanocytic naevi | 5/17 (29.4%)       | –                      | –                 | –                  | 10/36 (27.7%)       |
| Atypical naevi    | –                  | –                      | –                 | –                  | 4/5 (80%)           |
| Spitz’s naevi     | –                  | –                      | –                 | –                  | 2/3 (66%)           |
| Primary CMM       | 5/6 (83.3%)        | 7/11 (64.0%)           | 4/5 (80.0%)       | 22/32 (69%)        | 28/31 (90.3%)       |
| CMM metastasis    | 1/1 (100%)         | 8/8 (100%)             | –                 | –                  | 12/13 (92.3%)       |
| CMM cell lines    | –                  | 8/8 (100%)             | –                 | –                  | 8/8 (100%)          |

*Mel Im, HTZ-19, Mel Ei, Mel Wei, Mel Ju, Mel Ho, SK Mel 28.
RESULTS

Immunofluorescence with the melanosome-specific NKIbeteb antibody confirmed the melanocytic nature of cultured MCCs, with signals confined to the perinuclear area, along the dendrites and in the tips of the dendrites. The proliferative rate was found to be approximately 4.5–5 days per population doubling (data not shown). In MCCs in logarithmic phase of growth 60% and 30% of cells showed Ki-S5 and Ki-S2 nuclear staining respectively (Figure 1A, B).

A non-isotopic TRAP procedure was used to assay telomerase activity in extracts of proliferating MCCs. In a previous report, the sensitivity of our ethidium bromide-based procedure was evaluated, and 100 telomerase-positive cells were found to be sufficient for the detection of telomerase activity (Dhaene et al, 1998). In the present study, results reproducibly showed no telomerase activity in extracts of proliferating MCCs and of dermal fibroblasts (Figure 2A). False-negative results due to the presence of DNA polymerase inhibitors or telomerase inhibitors were excluded since the internal control could be amplified and ladder signals of telomerase-positive HL-60 extracts did not disappear after mixing with telomerase-negative extracts of MCCs (Figure 2B). In extracts of G 361 melanoma cells, like with HL-60 cells, strong enzyme activity was detected.

RT-PCR, specifically amplifying transcripts of the internal RNA template hTERC, of the catalytic subunit hTERT and of an associated protein hTEP1, was used to study expression of the various components of the telomerase complex in proliferating MCCs. Under all applied cycling conditions, PCR products were not generated when omitting the RT step, making DNAase treatments unnecessary (data not shown). We detected expression of hTERC and hTEP1 in G 361 cells and in proliferating MCCs. In contrast, we observed the 145 bp hTERT RT-PCR product only in the cancer-derived cell lines but not in fibroblasts nor in any of the MCCs (Figure 3A). We further applied the primers designed by Ulaner et al (1998) that span both the α and the β splice site, causing 182 bp and 36 bp deletions respectively. PCR with the 2164/2620 primers revealed alternative splicing of the hTERT gene in all neoplastic cells (Figure 3B). Among the four amplification products, representing the full-length hTERT transcript (457 bp), the α-deleted transcript (421 bp), the β-deleted transcript (275 bp), and the α- and β-deleted transcript (239 bp), the β-deleted transcript was clearly over-represented, while the α-deleted transcript was hardly detectable. Only the full-length

### Table 2

| Oligonucleotides                        | C°/time (sec) | Cycles |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|--------|
| hTEP1 cDNA amplification (333 bp)*      | 94°/30       | 30     |
| TLP1/U4782: 5'-CCTGGAATTGGGTCTGGTCGCTG-3' | 62°/45       |        |
| TLP1/L5102: 5'-CACACGACAGGAGGTAGGAACG-3' | 94°/30       | 25     |
| hTERC cDNA amplification (112 bp)*      | 65°/60       |        |
| HTR-F: 5'-CCTACTGAGAGGCGATGGACG-3'      | 65°/60       |        |
| HTR-R: 5'-CTAAGATAGAGGTAGGAGACG-3'      | 65°/60       |        |
| First round hTERT cDNA amplification (145 bp)* | 94°/20       | 33     |
| LT5: 5'-GGGAAACACTGTGTCGCGAGACG-3'      | 68°/40       | 35     |
| LT6: 5'-GGGTAAGCGGAGTCTGGGA-3'          | 72°/30       |        |
| Second round hTERT cDNA amplification (457 bp)* | 95°/25       |        |
| TERT-2164S: 5'-GCCTGAGCTGTACTTGTCACG-3' | 68°/50       | 35     |
| TERT-2620A: 5'-CGCAAACAGCTGCTCCATGCAG-3' | 72°/50       |        |
| β-actin cDNA amplification (95 bp)*      | (see Materials and Methods) | |
| 774: 5'-GGGAATTCAAAACTGGAACGAGTGAAGG-3' | 95°/25       |        |
| 775: 5'-GGGAAGTTATCAAAACTGGAACGAGTGAAGG-3' | 95°/25       |        |

*Nakayama et al (1998); *Ulaner et al (1998).
transcript is thought to code for active reverse transcription activity. As expected, no 457 bp amplicon but also none of the other spliced variants were detected in any of the MCCs and fibroblasts. Overall, the absence of hTERT expression in proliferating MCCs was confirmed using two different RT-PCR protocols.

**DISCUSSION**

Regarding their telomerase state, normal progenitor cells of telomerase-positive tumours can be subdivided into three categories (Wynford-Thomas, 1999). The cell of origin constitutively expresses a low (Type 3), a high (Type 2) or no (Type 1) level of telomerase activity. The latter state is only acceptable when the cell type is shown to be effectively telomerase-negative when subjected to ‘excessive’ growth stimulation. De novo expression (Type 1 scenario) vs quantitative up-regulation (Type 2 and 3 scenarios) of telomerase activity, occurring during carcinogenesis, are supposed to be fundamentally distinct biological processes as to what the tightness of telomerase regulation is. This study addressed the question whether epidermal melanocytes are competent to express telomerase under proliferation-inducing conditions.

Methodologically, we had to deal with an ongoing debate. Indeed, conflicting reports have appeared concerning cell cycle-dependent regulation of telomerase activity in cancer cells and telomerase-expressing normal cells, like T lymphocytes. Most groups found that telomerase is largely absent in cells that truly exit the cell cycle (G0), and that telomerase activity does not vary significantly at the other cycle stages (Mantell and Greider, 1994; Holt et al, 1996, 1997). In contrast, others concluded that G0-cells have detectable telomerase, whilst maximal telomerase activity is present in S phase cells (Yamada et al, 1996; Zhu et al, 1996). To circumvent this discrepancy, and to obtain an estimation of the least possible percentage of telomerase-positive melanocytes, cytospins were stained with the monoclonal antibody Ki-S2, which excludes G0-cells and cells in the rate-limiting G1 phase (Rudolph et al, 1998). Thirty per cent of melanocytes showed Ki-S2 immunoreactivity, which means that, the length of the S phase equalling the lengths of both the G1 and the M phase, at least 1.5×10⁵ melanocytes per 200 μl CHAPS lysis buffer (4500 cells in assay) could have had detectable telomerase activity. We previously determined that telomerase activity of a minimum of 10⁴ telomerase-positive cells 200 μl–¹ CHAPS lysis buffer (100 cells in assay) was detected in the ethidium bromide-based TRAP assay (Dhaene et al, 1998), indicating that the observed lack of telomerase activity in proliferating MCCs reported here, was substantial, and did not result from insufficient sensitivity of the assay. Moreover, using two different RT-PCR protocols, neither full-length nor spliced hTERT transcripts were detected in any of the ten MCCs, thereby further corroborating our conclusions. Indeed, hTERT encodes the proteinaceous subunit of the telomerase complex, which, by a reverse transcriptase-like activity, catalyses the synthesis of telomeric repeats. Whereas hTERT expression can be found in the absence of telomerase activity, suggesting the occurrence of post-translational modification, telomerase activity...
Absence of telomerase in cultured melanocytes

Telomerase activity has been detected in the majority of primary CMMs (range 64.0–90.3%) and CMM metastases (range 80–100%), indicating that telomerase may play a role in melanoma carcinogenesis (see Table 1). Accounting for over 50% of the cases, CMM is generally believed to derive de novo in normal skin from fully dendritic mature epidermal melanocytes (Ackerman, 1988). Thus, our data suggest that melanocytes are physiologically telomerase-incompetent, and that telomerase is pathologically reactivated during melanoma carcinogenesis. This pathogenic pathway corresponds with the aforementioned revised classic model (Type 1 scenario), in which the cell of origin is telomerase-negative, and in which telomerase reactivation is directly selected in precrisis tumour cell populations, resulting in an immortal tumour with telomeres stabilized at or above the crisis threshold (Wynter-Thomas, 1999). On the other hand, the spatial association of a subset of CMMs with benign naevi points to a possible malignant transformation of naevus cells (Hastrup et al, 1991). Whilst telomerase activity has been found to increase from benign melanocytic naevi to atypical naevi and further to CMM and metastatic CMM cells (see Table 1), both the reactivation and the up-regulation pathway are difficult to defend, since the telomerase-status of naevus cells is uncertain. It is worthwhile mentioning that it has been hypothesized that stem cells – either a pluripotent perineural cell within the neurocutaneous unit, or a committed melanoblast – are precursors of melanocytes, in both normal and abnormal differentiation, and that stem cells could be regarded as possible precursors of tumour cells (Cramer, 1991; Greaves, 1996). Stem cells are considered telomerase-competent, meaning that, if CMM would develop from it, there is no need for reactivation of telomerase. A latter scenario is currently envisaged as a Type 2 conceptual framework (Wynter-Thomas, 1999).

Mechanisms regulating telomerase reactivation are poorly understood. It is classically stated that telomerase up-regulation is forced by critical telomere erosion beyond the point where cell multiplication normally stops. An in vitro situation is seen during continuous culture of SV-40 Large T antigen or HPV/E6/E7-transformed telomerase-negative human cells which eventually undergo ‘crisis’ – the condition in which cellular chromosomes are characterized by ultra-short telomeres and that coincides with telomerase activation (Wright et al, 1989; Counter et al, 1992). At present it is not known whether HPV viruses, for which DNA sequences have been found in some CMMs, can be responsible for an in vivo equivalent of crisis during CMM carcinogenesis (Scheurlen et al, 1986; Klingel et al, 1987; Takamiyagi et al, 1998).

The great majority of individual studies showed a significant positive association between incidence of CMM and high levels of intermittent solar exposure, suggesting that even a single event may suffice to stimulate tumour growth (Elwood and Jopson, 1997). All the evidence suggests that it is the UV portion of the solar spectrum which is relevant. However, the contribution of specific wavelength bands (290–320 nm for UVB and 320–400 nm for UVA radiation) and the action spectrum for melanoma induction in humans remains unknown (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 1992). It has been reported that telomerase is activated during radiation-induced malignant transformation of human cells and in mouse skin (Pandita et al, 1996; Balasubramanian et al, 1999), and in the sun-exposed skin in humans, indicating a possible modulation of telomerase activity by UV exposure (Taylor et al, 1996; Ueda et al, 1997). The prediction is always accompanied by hTERT expression (Nakamura et al, 1997). In G 361 cells, as is known for HL-60 cells, three additional transcript variants were found. However, the significance of the distribution pattern of the spliced products awaits knowledge on the role of the individual mRNA variants and elucidation whether these variants are translated into biologically functional proteins. Thus, our report adds epidermal melanocytes to the list of cell types, including fibroblasts, mammary epithelium and embryonic kidney cells, which do not express telomerase activity even when proliferating actively (Counter et al, 1992; Kim et al, 1994).
is that one or more tumour suppressor genes prevent activation of telomerase in normal human cells (deLange, 1998). Therefore, it needs further study to find out if UV can directly eliminate such genes, for which candidates are thought to locate on the short arm of chromosome 3 (Cuthbert et al, 1999). Alternatively, telomerase reactivation might be an epiphenomenon of UV light-induced genotoxic stress, as proposed by adherents of the ‘co-selection hypothesis’ (Kipling, 1997). In this report, we excluded that proliferative behaviour, which both in vitro and in vivo is another effect of UV light (Libow et al, 1988; Gilchrest et al, 1998), can co-select telomerase activity. Finally, recent cloning and sequence analysis of the hTERT gene promoter revealed the presence of binding sites for transcription factors including the c-Myc proto-oncoprotein (Cong et al, 1999). The latter activates telomerase by inducing expression of its catalytic subunit, indicating that hTERT is a target of c-Myc activity (Wu et al, 1999). Interestingly, altered expression of c-myc has been reported in both cultured melanoma cells and in tumour samples (Weterman et al, 1994).
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