INVESTIGATION OF PHOTOCATALYTIC ACTIVITY OF TiO₂-GO NANOCOMPOSITE
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Nanocomposite based on graphene oxide and TiO₂ was synthesized by hydrothermal method. The formation of nanocomposite was confirmed by Raman spectroscopy data. Characteristic peaks of graphene oxide and TiO₂ were recorded. Energy dispersive analysis showed the presence of titanium, carbon and oxygen in the nanocomposite. The specific surface area of TiO₂-GO nanocomposite is 1.16 times more than for pure TiO₂. A study of the photocatalytic activity of synthesized material in electrolytes with different pH was carried out. It is shown that the generation of photocurrent in TiO₂–GO depends on the electrolyte and increases by 2.9, 1.3 and 1.05 times in NaOH, KOH and Na₂SO₄, respectively, compared to the pure TiO₂ films.
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Introduction

Photoelectrochemical splitting of water with semiconductors is a "green" and inexpensive way to produce hydrogen fuel. Fujishima and Honda [1] showed for the first time that photoelectrochemical water splitting occurs on the surface of titanium dioxide (TiO₂). This work marked the beginning of the study of semiconductor materials for photocatalysis.

Titanium dioxide is a well-known and most studied functional material in the field of photocatalysis [2-4]. It is widely used in the degradation of environmental pollutants, as well as for the decomposition of organic substances.

Graphene has high electron mobility, large specific surface area and high transparency [5-7]. Earlier it was shown that composites based on TiO₂ and carbon-based materials, including activated carbon, carbon nanotubes and fullerenes, are able to demonstrate higher photocatalytic characteristics than pure TiO₂ [8-10].

Preparation of TiO₂-based composites is an effective way to increase the photocatalytic activity of the material by reducing the charge recombination rate. It is assumed that there is a more efficient separation of charges – photogenerated electrons, are converted into carbon materials, and the holes remain on TiO₂ and thus slow down the recombination of electrons and holes.

At the moment, there are several methods for the synthesis of nanocomposite material based on TiO₂ and graphene oxide. For example, the sol-gel method, the hydrazine reduction method, the hydrothermal method, the solvothermal method and the UV method, and there are 2-step methods for producing a composite [11-15], which directly affect the photocatalytic activity of the obtained materials. In ref. [16-18] it was shown that the electrolyte composition and its pH affect the value of the photogenerated current, as well as the efficiency of water splitting and hydrogen generation H⁺.

In this paper, nanocomposites based on TiO₂ and graphene oxide were synthesized and their structural, optical and photocatalytic properties were investigated. It is shown that the photoactivity of the nanocomposite depends on the electrolyte used.
1. Experiment

Materials
To prepare the TiO₂–GO nanocomposite, graphene oxide (GO, Cheaptubes) and TiO₂ (d>21, anatase, 99.7%, Sigma Aldrich), deionized water (purified by AquaMax water purification system), ethanol (anhydrous) were used. The films were deposited onto the surface of glass substrates coated with a conductive layer FTO (Fluorine doped tin oxide coated glass slide, ~7Ω/sq, Sigma Aldrich). Electrolytes NaOH, KOH, Na₂SO₄ were used to estimate photocatalytic properties. The pH value for them was equal to 13.4, 12.3 and 9.7, respectively. All reagents were analytically grade and used without additional purification.

The preparation and characterization of samples
Nanocomposite based on TiO₂ and graphene oxide was synthesized by hydrothermal method according to the method of [13]. The ratio of GO to TiO₂ was 1%. To measure the photocatalytic activity of the nanocomposite, a paste based on ethanol and TiO₂-GO powder with a concentration of 150 mg/ml was prepared.

The surface morphology of the resulting nanocomposite was studied using a transmission electron microscope (TEM) JEM-1400Plus (Jeol) with an accelerating voltage of 120 kV and a scanning electron microscope (SEM) Tescan Mira-3 (Tescan). The Confotec MR520 microscope (Sol Instruments) with laser excitation at a wavelength of 632.8 nm was used to register Raman spectra.

The porous structure was studied and the specific surface area was measured by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method on the Sorbi–MS (META) measuring complex. Nitrogen was used as an adsorbate. Measurements were carried out at the temperature of liquid nitrogen 77 K.

The photocatalytic activity of the obtained materials was studied by recording the photoinduced current in a standard photoelectrochemical three-electrode cell with a quartz window on a potentiostate-galvanostate P-30J (Elins). Ag/AgCl was used as the reference electrode. The radiation source was a diode lamp with a power of 35 mW/cm². The test samples were spin-coated on the surface of substrates with FTO. Resulting films were connected to the working electrode. A platinum electrode was connected to the negative potential.

2. Results and discussion
TEM and SEM images clearly show titanium dioxide nanoparticles (Fig.1, a and b). At the same time, graphene oxide sheets are barely distinguishable since ratio of GO to TiO₂ is 1:100. Energy dispersive (EDS) analysis of the prepared samples showed that titanium, carbon and oxygen are present in the composition of the nanocomposite (Fig.2, a).

![Fig.1. TEM (a) and SEM (b) images Of TiO₂-GO nanocomposite](image-url)
Raman spectra of the TiO$_2$-GO nanocomposite of the samples were also recorded (Fig.2, b). The spectra obtained are the combination of individual TiO$_2$ and GO curves and correlate well with the data obtained in [19, 20] for TiO$_2$ and graphene oxide in [21]. It was found that in the spectra of nanocomposite material the ratio of the intensities of I$_D$/I$_G$ bands was increased to 1.2 from 1.05. This indicates that in graphene oxide there is occur an ordering and an increase in the number of aromatic rings in structure during the process of synthesis [21].

![Fig.2. EDS analysis (a) and Raman spectrum (b): 1 – TiO$_2$, 2 – GO, 3 – TiO$_2$-GO](image)

Photocatalytic activity of semiconductor materials indirectly depends on its electrotransport properties and specific surface area. In the previous work [22] we investigated electrotransport and optical properties of TiO$_2$-GO nanocomposite and showed that nanocomposite has higher electrotransport characteristics in comparison with pure TiO$_2$.

Table 1 shows the specific surface area of the TiO$_2$-GO nanocomposite films, as well as the titanium dioxide. It is shown the specific surface area of the semiconductor was increased by 16% after the addition of graphene oxide.

**Table 1.** Specific surface area of TiO$_2$ and nanocomposite films

| Sample       | $S_{BET}$, m$^2$/g |
|--------------|---------------------|
| TiO$_2$      | 67.3                |
| TiO$_2$-GO   | 78.0                |

Further, the photocatalytic activity of pure TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$-GO nanocomposite in various electrolytes was studied. The value of the photocurrent of the samples was measured for 20 seconds with cyclic switching on and off the light. To determine the optimal conditions for the generation of electron-hole pairs in pure TiO$_2$ and in the nanocomposite with GO, the electrolytes of Na$_2$SO$_4$, KOH and NaOH, differing in the magnitude of the electrochemical potential, were used.

Fig.3a shows the transient characteristics of the photocurrent for samples based on pure TiO$_2$. It is seen that for TiO$_2$ the lowest values of the generated photocurrent were recorded in the electrolyte NaOH. The value of J in other electrolytes is comparable with each other. For the KOH electrolyte, a decrease in the curve profile after 60 s was registered, this indicates on acceleration of the electron recombination process in the TiO$_2$ film.
Films of TiO$_2$ in the Na$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte in intensively responds to incident light interval times of 20 s. The subsequent surge in the value of the photocurrent from $t=60$ s shows that the film continues to generate electrons.

Thus, studies of the transient characteristics of the photocurrent showed that TiO$_2$ generates photocurrents in the Na$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte (∼24 µA/cm$^2$) about 2 and 1.04 times more than in the electrolytes NaOH and KOH, respectively.

Na$_2$SO$_4$ is not the most optimal electrolyte for TiO$_2$–GO nanocomposite. The most effective electrolyte in this case is NaOH solution. The measurements showed (Fig.3, b) that the value of TiO$_2$–GO photocurrent is in 1.25 and 1.4 times better in NaOH than in KOH and Na$_2$SO$_4$. Nevertheless, in all electrolytes under irradiation of TiO$_2$–GO nanocomposite there is an increase in the photocurrent by 2.9, 1.3 and 1.05 times (NaOH, KOH and Na$_2$SO$_4$ electrolytes) was registered compared to pure TiO$_2$.

Conclusion

Thus, studies have shown that hydrothermal synthesis forms a bond between TiO$_2$ particles and graphene oxide sheets, which indicates the production of nanocomposite. It was confirmed by the data of EDS analysis and Raman spectroscopy.

Studies of the transient characteristics of the photocurrent showed that the most optimal electrolyte for pure TiO$_2$ is Na$_2$SO$_4$, whereas for the TiO$_2$–GO nanocomposite – is NaOH solution. In any case, the photocatalytic activity of the nanocomposite material is in 2.9, 1.3 and 1.05 times greater in NaOH, KOH and Na$_2$SO$_4$ solutions, respectively, than in TiO$_2$. Since the efficiency of photocatalytic splitting of water into molecular oxygen and hydrogen will depend directly on the magnitude of the photoinduced electrons, it can be assumed that when using the NaOH electrolyte for TiO$_2$–GO, hydrogen generation will be higher compared to other electrolytes.
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