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**ABSTRACT**

Wastewater from slaughter houses (abattoirs) has been a problem in Nigeria. It is complex and difficult to treat. The potentials of novel Fish Bone Chito-protein (FBC) successfully extracted through de-proteinization of Fish Bone Flour (FBF) were explored for the reduction of particle load in abattoir wastewater. Extracted FBC sample was analysed via proximate analysis and instrumental characterizations viz: X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) analysis, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrophotoscopic Analysis (FTIR). Influences of coagulant dosage, pH, settling time and temperature were studied. The rate of particle uptake was studied using seven kinetic models. Proximate characterization of FBC revealed that it contains 24% protein, 43% carbohydrate and other components in trace values. Before treatment, abattoir wastewater contains (563 mg/L) suspended particles in excess of the national discharge standard. 92% of the particle load was removed after the coagulation treatment with 1.5g of FBC, after 35 min at pH 2, and 40°C. BOD removal of 58% was also obtained at the same conditions. The best kinetics model selection was done between Pseudo Second Order (PSO) and fractional power (FP) kinetic model via one way statistical mean comparison using ANOVA and turkey pairwise p-values. The ANOVA p-value for pseudo second order (0.001) was found to be < 0.005 (model significance alpha value). Also, the difference between the adjusted and predicted R² value (0.0018) was less than 0.2. Thus, pseudo second order described the kinetic data with precision. The mechanistic pathway analysis for the process particle uptake was governed by intra-particle diffusion and film/surface diffusion. The results summarized indicate that fish bones are no waste, FBF is good source of coagulant.

1. Introduction

The impacts of discharge of abattoir wastewater on Nigerian water bodies have become significant issue of environmental concern [1]. Abattoir wastewater, generated from cleaning operation in slaughter houses, contains suspended solids, liquid and fat [2] as major contaminants. The type of animal slaughtered and the amount of rendering or processing done on slaughter houses greatly influence wastewater quality. Generally, particles load and concentration of the Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) in abattoir wastewater were found to be above the national discharge limit [3]. For effective disposal of abattoir effluent there is need for proper treatment and particles decontamination.

Adsorption [4, 5], filtration [6], ion-exchange [7] and coagulation [8], advanced oxidation methods [9], catalytic ozonation [10], lime treatment [11], chemical degradation [12] among other treatment methods have been successful in treatment of wastewater. However, coagulation/flocculation process has been identified as an effective method of handling wastewaters that contain colloidal particles [8, 13]. Coagulation is the neutralization of particles stabilization charges by introduction of counter charges thereby causing particles aggregation and subsequent sedimentation. These counter charges are introduced through the use of coagulants [13].

Coagulants are substances capable of supplying positive charges into wastewater sample which can neutralize the existing negative charge of the particles. Coagulants can be chemical or bio-based (natural) [13]. Chemical coagulants are either metallic salts or polymers that are employed in coagulation process. Conventionally, Aluminium Sulphate (Alum), Poly-Aluminium Chloride (PAC), Alum Potash, Ferric Sulphate or Ferric Chloride among others [14] coagulants have found uses in coagulation processes. These chemicals have been extensively used for wastewater management. However, due to their various limitations (ineffectiveness in low temperature sample, change in pH of the treated water and relative high procurement cost) research interests have grown toward bio-based alternatives.
Bio-based (natural) coagulant substances, obtained from natural sources - animals and cellulose of plants - are water soluble organic polymers that can be used as both primary coagulants and as coagulants aids [15]. Some are sourced from waste materials or natural materials that are of less economical values, like shells, bones, leaves, bark and wood of trees and some parts of foods which are not edible among other sources. Many researchers have reported the use of moringa olivera extract [15], fish scales [16], crab shell [17], mucuna [18] and tannin [19] among others. Natural coagulants can be freely returned to nature without adverse effects. They are renewable, less expensive and if properly utilized, generate less sludge volume. The availability of fish bone as waste product motivated the interest of using it as an active source of coagulant precursor for wastewater treatment. Fish bone includes the bony, delicate parts of the skeleton such as limbs and fins, but especially the ossification of connective tissue lying transversely inclined backwards to the ribs between the muscles segment and having no contact with the spine [20]. These bones can be processed to chitosan, with chito-protein as the waste product of the de-protenization stage. This waste product (chito-protein) was recovered and its potentials harnessed. This work seeks to determine the potentials of fish bones as precursor for coagulants. This has never been reported within the limits of works (literatures) surveyed.

The availability of fish bone was explored as coagulant precursor for removal of Total Dissolved and Suspended Particles (TDSP) and Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) from abattoir effluent via coagulation technique. The scope is limited to adsorptive, non-adsorptive, kinetics and mechanistic description of the effluent treatment process.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Abattoir wastewater

Abattoir wastewater was collected from Amansea slaughter house in Anambra State, Nigeria. The influence of sunlight was prevented by preserving the effluent in a black plastic air tight container. Samples of the wastewater collected were characterized using standard methods adopted from American Water Works Association (AWWA) [21].

2.2. Fish bone

Fish bones were obtained from some restaurants and eateries around Awka metropolis, Anambra State, Nigeria. The bones were washed, dried and processed to fish bone flour (FBF). The FBF was stored in air tight sack prior to coagulant extraction.

2.3. Experimental method

2.3.1. Effluent characterization

The physiochemical properties of the abattoir wastewater were determined using AWWA standard procedures [21].

2.3.2. Extraction of bio-coagulant from precursor

Fish bone coagulant (FBC) was extracted using the modified Fernandez-Kim method [22]. The product of de-proteination of FBF was utilized for the study instead of chitosan. For the de-protenization, 1L of 1M NaOH solution containing 100 g of FBF was stirred using magnetic stirrer at 65 °C for 2hrs. The mixture was allowed to settle, cooled and subsequently filtered using filter paper. The filtrate was allowed to settle for 30min. The clear extraction solution was decanted leaving behind the concentrated slurry which contains some percentage of radical protein that will become a waste if not harnessed. The concentrated slurry was collected, dried and stored as bio coagulant. This bio-coagulant (FBC) was characterized using ASTM standard procedures as shown in Table 1.

2.3.3. Instrumental analysis of FBC

The FBC was subjected to FTIR analysis using Thermo Nicolet Nexus Model 470/670/870, SEM analysis using Zeiss Evo MA 15 E DX/WDS unit, XRD photo spectrometer using Aeris Bench top model and XRF using Axis fast spectrometer. FTIR spectroscopy was used to identify the functional groups present in FBC, while SEM was used to study the surface morphology. XRD revealed the crystalline structure and the intermolecular spacing within the coagulant internal structure, while XRF was used to study the elemental components of the FBC.

2.3.4. Coagulation and flocculation studies

The conventional jar test method was employed in coagulation-flocculation experimental procedure. 800mL of abattoir wastewater (ABW) were introduced into six different 1000mL beakers. The initial sample parameters (pH and turbidity) were measured and recorded, 1 g/L - 5 g/L of the coagulant were dosed into the ABW samples. The resultant mixture were stirred for 2 min at 250rpm (G = 550 s⁻¹), and 20 min at 30 rpm using magnetic stirrer (B.Bran Scientific model 78HW-1) [16]. At the end of the 20 min stirring, the resultant mixtures were allowed to settle for 60min. Thereafter, 20 mL of the treated samples were pipetted from 2cm depth of the treated ABW for impact assessment. The extent of turbidity removal (TDSP) were recorded in standard units via coagulation flocculation technique. The influent via coagulation tech-
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3.3. FTIR characterization of FBC

Figure 2 is the spectra image of FBC. The spectral result displayed certain discernable peaks which were effectively assigned to various functional groups on the basis of their comparison with known signature of identified materials in the FTIR spectra chart. At the higher wave number end of the spectra, C – H stretching region provided important information about the coagulants’ chemical composition [23]. The existence of aromatic ring groups in the coagulant structure were displayed by the stretching band at wave numbers >3000 cm$^{-1}$ [2].

Also, the C – H stretching band around 2800 and 2700 cm$^{-1}$ appeared at the wave number characteristics of aldehyde. In addition, a strong band that occurred between 1600 and 1800 cm$^{-1}$ confirmed the presence of aldehyde groups. Other C – H stretching bands appeared at 3000–2900 cm$^{-1}$ region. Furthermore, some other identifiable peaks existed in the regions of 800 cm$^{-1}$ (P – F stretching band), 1360–1370 cm$^{-1}$ (SO$_2$ asymmetric stretching band), 2000–2100 cm$^{-1}$ (C ≡ C stretching band of weak intensity) and 1600 - 1690 cm$^{-1}$ (NO$_2$ asymmetric stretching).

Table 7 shows the assigned functional groups and their wave (peak) numbers.

3.4. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) characterization of FBC

The surface morphological make-up of FBC was observed using SEM image analysis. The SEM image elucidated both the surface texture and morphology. The SEM image obtained is presented in Figure 3.

Figure 3 shows irregular granular structure on the coagulant surface. The irregular platelets show the brittle nature of FBC [29]. A porous network of spatial dark patches is also observed at the coagulants surface. These heterogeneous and prominent interspatial cavities observed within their matrices are good characteristics of an effective coagulant. The granular and porous structures observed in FBC surface morphology are desirable for a good coagulant with regards to particles adsorption [30, 31].

3.5. Process parameter studies

3.5.1. Effect of FBC dosages on TDSP and BOD removal

TDSP and BOD removal efficiency from abattoir wastewater were analysed based on the jar test data obtained at initial pH of the sample. Figure 4 and Figure 5 shows the plots of removal efficiency of TDSP and BOD, respectively with time at different coagulant dosages.

From Figure 4, at 1.5g of FBC the optimum particles removal efficiency of 88.90% was obtained. The removal efficiency increased with time until equilibrium was attained at 30min. Hence, most of the particle adsorption took place before the equilibrium time between 0 – 30min. After this time, particle adsorption became insignificant; this can be attributed to coagulant point of saturation. Similar, observation was reported by [28]. Figure 5 presents the effect of FBC dosages on BOD removal. From Figure 5, the extent of reduction in the activities of the aerobic micro-organisms as a result of the coagulation process increased with time. However sequential increase in BOD was not observed with increase in dosage. The best removal percentage was observed at the 1g of FBC with percentage removal of 66% at 35min.

3.5.2. Effect of pH on TDSP and BOD removal efficiency

The pH effect on TDSP and BOD removal was studied at the best coagulant dosage of 1g, temperature of 30 °C, and time of 60min. Few drops of H$_2$SO$_4$ and NaOH were used for pH variation during the studies. Samples pH was varied from 2-10. The results are shown in Figures 6 and

---

**Table 4. Abattoir wastewater characterization.**

| Parameter          | Unit | Value       | WHO standard [27] |
|--------------------|------|-------------|-------------------|
| Effluent Conc.     | NTU  | 310 ± 0.02  | <11.75            |
| TSS mg/L           |      | 563.6 ± 0.01| 80                |
| COD mg/L           |      | 692 ± 0.25  | 150               |
| BOD$_5$ mg/L       |      | 470 ± 0.11  | 80                |
| pH                 |      | 6.7 ± 0.52  | 6.6–8.56          |
| TS mg/L            |      | 1080 ± 0.24 | 500               |
| TDS mg/L           |      | 516.4 ± 0.20| 50                |
| Total hardness mg/L|      | 80 ± 0.64   | 500               |
| Iron mg/L          |      | 4.79 ± 0.15 | 0.3               |
| Potassium mg/L     |      | 8.1 ± 0.23  | -                 |
| Magnesium mg/L     |      | 18.64 ± 0.32| 75                |
| Lead mg/L          |      | 0.5 ± 0.36  | 0.1               |
| Sulphate mg/L      |      | 12.63 ± 0.28| -                 |
| Colour mg/L        |      | 210.2 ± 0.31| -                 |
| Conductivity μS/cm |      | 108 ± 0.22  | 8-10,000          |

**Table 5. Proximate characteristics FBC.**

| Composition         | Value   |
|---------------------|---------|
| Total Crude protein | 24.35 ± 0.20 |
| Ash content (%)     | 16.22 ± 0.37   |
| Lipid content (%)   | 1.77 ± 0.05    |
| Moisture (%)        | 8.91 ± 0.15    |
| Crude fibre (%)     | 4.85 ± 0.21    |
| Carbohydrates (%)   | 43.90 ± 0.3    |

**Table 6. Elemental characterization of FBC.**

| Element       | Concentration (wt. %) |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| Al$_2$O$_3$   | 20.29 ± 0.05          |
| CaO           | 43.39 ± 0.18          |
| SiO$_2$       | 11.14 ± 0.04          |
| Fe$_2$O$_3$   | 6.61 ± 0.02           |
| P$_2$O$_5$    | 6.73 ± 0.12           |
| Na$_2$O       | 6.28 ± 0.03           |
| K$_2$O        | 0.71 ± 0.01           |
| MgO           | 4.29 ± 0.04           |
| TiO$_2$       | 0.59 ± 0.04           |
| Al$_2$O$_3$/CaO| 0.46 ± 0.04           |
| CaO/SiO$_2$   | 3.89 ± 0.34           |
7 for TDSP and BOD respectively. Best TDSP and BOD removal efficiency (92% and 58%) were obtained at pH of 4 (Figure 7). This infers that the TDSP and BOD removal using FBC performs better when the sample is acidic. This observation can be attributed to high coagulant solubility and enhanced particles destabilization within acidic medium. In addition, after the pH of 4, there was decline in the removal efficiency of the particles until the minimum value was attained due to decrease in coagulant solubility with increase in pH. Hence, FBC may not be very effective in alkaline solution. Similar works were reported by [32, 33].

3.5.3. Effect of temperature on TDSP and BOD removal efficiency
The effect of temperature on TDSP and BOD removal between 30 °C–60 °C at the best pH and coagulant dosage show that TDSP and BOD removal varies directly with temperature to the maximum (Figures 8 and 9) and decreased linearly thereafter. This trend can be attributed to the effect of additional thermal energy as temperature increases. Increase in thermal energy increases the particles rapid random motion, and enhances the floc formation stage. After the maximum response, TDSP and BOD removal with temperature decreased until the minimum values were observed. This must have resulted from denaturation of the coagulant particles which may cause slight inhibition of the process [23]. Figure 9 shows similar trend with TDSP removal (Figure 8), the highest BOD removal was also observed at 40 °C after which there was continual decline in BOD removal. Total removal of 58% was observed at the optimum temperature.

Table 7. FTIR vibrational peaks and their corresponding functional groups.

| S/N | Peak (cm⁻¹) | Assignment                      |
|-----|-------------|---------------------------------|
| 1   | 805.04      | Out-of-plane — C – H bending    |
| 2   | 1360.24     | SO₂ asymmetric band            |
| 4   | 2673.87     | C – H stretching of aldehyde   |
| 5   | 2847.66     | C – H stretching of aldehyde   |
| 6   | 2847.66     | O – H stretching               |

Figure 1. XRF spectra for FBC.
Figure 2. FTIR spectra of FBC.
Figure 3. SEM micrograph of FBC.
Figure 4. Effect of coagulant dosage on TDSP (%) removal.
Figure 5. Effect of coagulant dosage on BOD (%) removal.
3.6. Coagulation kinetics

After particles charge neutralization and destabilization, the effect of time on the particles transfer from the bulk of the effluent sample to the surface of the coagulant was studied using coagulation kinetic plot \( \frac{1}{Ct} \) as shown in Figure 10.

The rate constant for Brownian transport of destabilized particles \( (K_m) \) is evaluated from the slope of the kinetic plot (Figure 10). Based on Eq. (11), the Von Smoluchowski’s coagulation constant \( (K_R) \) was estimated. This accounts for the rate of rapid coagulation. Table 8 shows the coagulation kinetic parameters obtained.

From Table 8, the Menkonu rate of coagulation and flocculation \( (K_m) \) \[28\] was 3E-04 g/min while \( K_R \) (Von Smolushoski rate of coagulation) is 5.00E-21. The rate of particle flocculation \( (K_f) \) was 0.0003 g/min. \( K_f \) (Ejimenk floc formation constant) accounts for the rate of particles transfer and aggregation during the flocculation stage (from the double layer compression stage to the actual particle attachment unto the coagulant surface). It is the difference between the Menkonu and the Von Smolushoski rate constant for rapid coagulation) \[28\]. The particle collision efficiency \( (\epsilon_p) \) of 6.00E-16 was obtained. Positive values of particle collision efficiency \( (\epsilon_p) \) in the system suggest that greater number of collision were effective. Table 8 shows the values of the coagulation kinetic parameters. From Table 8, it can be observed that \( K_m > K_R \). Hence, the Ejimenk constant \( (K_f) \) is approximately equal to the Menkonu constant (Menkonu rate constant \( (K_m) \) accounts for the rate of particles transfer and aggregation for both the rapid coagulation and the flocculation stage) it suggests that the entire process is greatly influenced by the rate of floc formation than the actual rate of coagulation \[20\].
Table 8. Coagulation kinetic parameters.

| Parameter         | Value        | Parameter         | Value        |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Km (g.min)        | 3.00E-04     | r_p               | 6.00E+16     |
| R²                | 0.9298       | K_r               | 5.00E+21     |
| b(t) (g.min)      | 6.00E-04     | D'                | 1.20E+18     |
| τ_1/2 (min)       | 1.87E+01     | B                 | 3.50E-03     |
| R                 | 1.66E-04     | α                 | 2            |
| Kf (g/min)        | 0.0003       |                   |              |

Figure 11. Kinetic modeling of the coag-adsorptive kinetics.

3.6.1. Coagulation-adsorption kinetic studies

Particles aggregation in coagulation-flocculation process proceeds via particles adsorption unto the coagulant. Coagulation consists of four different mechanisms (double layer compression, adsorption and charge neutralization, sweep flocculation and adsorption and inter-particle bridging). These mechanisms are grouped into adsorptive and non-adsorptive components [23]. The adsorptive components were studied by subjecting the coagulation kinetic data into adsorption kinetic models. For this study, seven (7) kinetic models were considered. Figure 11 compares the non-linear model data and the experimental data. The calculated model parameters and their statistical F-test, T-Test, Chi test compares the non-linear model data and the experimental data. The adsorptive components were studies by subjecting the coagulation kinetic data into adsorption kinetic models.

For this study, seven (7) kinetic models were considered. Figure 11 compares the non-linear model data and the experimental data. The calculated model parameters and their statistical F-test, T-Test, Chi test shows in Table 9.

In this study, the extent of correlation was a measure of the models coefficient of correlation (R²). However, From Table 9, it was observed that the R² values for models considered were above 0.9 except exponential model with R² value of 0.8. Hence, the difference between qe observed (experimental qe) and qe calculated (Δqe) was used as the basis for model comparison, where qe experimental is the quantity adsorbed at equilibrium time and qe calculated is model generated data. From Table 9, the models with Δqe ≥ 2 were eliminated as they are considered as having poor description of the experimental kinetic data. Based on this condition, Pseudo second order and Fractional power kinetic models with Δqe ≥ 2 were considered appropriate for further examination. Figure 12 shows the correlation between the selected models and the experimental values.

The best model selection between fractional power and pseudo second order was done based on Post hoc test result. ANOVA and Turkey pairwise comparison tests were carried. It was assumed that the Fractional power kinetic model described the experimental data better than Pseudo second order (the null hypothesis, H₀) and that fractional power does not describe the experimental data better than pseudo second order kinetic model (the alternative hypothesis, H₁). H₀ is defective, then H₁ is accepted. The model acceptance is based on the p-value. The p-value indicates the probability of falsely rejecting H₀ when it is really true. The p-value is compared with the model significance level (alpha value, α). A p-value ≤ α indicates that H₀ is defective and should be rejected in favour of H₁. The significance level of 0.005 was used for the ANOVA and Turkey pairwise comparison test. Table 10 shows the ANOVA result. The ANOVA p-value was observed to be < 0.005. Pooled standard deviation of 2.25 was observed. The ANOVA p-value less than the significance alpha level indicates significant difference between the considered data pairs and are enough to reject the null hypothesis.

The analysis of the mean difference that resulted in p-value of 0.000 (Table 10) were further described using Turkeys difference of means. Table 11 presents the difference in means between the individual Fractional power qe and experimental values. Table 11 highlights the significant and non-significant comparisons based on the difference in mean p-value.

The Turkey pairwise interval comparison plots for Fractional power, Pseudo second order with the experimental data are presented in Figures 13 and 14 respectively. Figure 13 shows that there is significant

Figure 12. Comparison between Pseudo-second order, Fractional power model and Experimental qt.

Table 9. Kinetic models parameters.

| PSO   | PFO   | Elovich | Exponential | Richie | Fractional | Avrami |
|-------|-------|---------|-------------|--------|------------|--------|
| K_f   | 0.002 | 0.189   | β            | 0.03729 | K          | 7.81   |
| qe    | 124.1 | R²      | A            | 108.95 | Qe         | 8.64   |
| R²    | 0.928 | Ttest   | R²           | 0.986  | R²         | 0.89   |
| Ttest | 0.027 | Ttest   | Ttest        | 0.0003 | Ttest      | 0.017  |
| β     | 0.65  | Chi test| 0.93        | 0.9446 | Ftest      | 0.57   |
| r     | 0.973 | STD     | 17.5         | 0.988  | Chi test   | 0.49   |
| STD   | 19.43 | Δq     | 2.52         | 11.73  | Δq         | 6.63   |
| Δqe   | 1.24  | Δqe     | 10.6         | 6.47   | Δqe        | 1.28   |

PSO = pseudo second order, PFO = pseudo first order model, K_f = PSO kinetic constant, K_f = PFO kinetic constant, β = desorption constant, α = initial adsorption rate, STD: standard deviation, K = Exponential model constant, K_f = Richie model constant, K_f = Fractional model constant, Qe = quantity adsorbed at equilibrium, Kav = Avrami model constant.
difference between the Fractional power model $q_f$ with the experimental generated $q_t$, while in Figure 14, the Pseudo second order model $q_t$ is in perfect conformity with the experimental data. Figures 15 and 16 show the normal probability plots of Experimental vs Fractional power and Experimental vs Pseudo-second order kinetic model data respectively. Figures 17 and 18 also compared the Turkey's residual plot for Fractional power and Pseudo-second order model respectively. The normal probability plot for Pseudo-second order shows more data comparative normality with the experimental than Fractional power. The Turkey pairwise histogram shows asymmetric data points distribution for both models. This also indicates the presence of outliers at -5 and -0.04 respectively for Fractional power and Pseudo second order models.

The residual versus fit plot for the model still indicates the presence of outliers and also suggest non constant variance. However, considering that there are no observed influential points, conclusion can be drawn based on the interval plots (Figures 13 and 14) and individual value plots (Figures 19 and 20) which show the comparison between the model data points and the experimental data points. The plots are in support with the acceptance of the alternative hypothesis which indicates that Pseudo second order best describes the experimental generated data.

### 3.7. Mechanistic studies

The rate of particle transfer from the bulk of fluid unto the surface of the coagulant or the rate of rapid attachment of the particles to the active charged-sites provided by the linking of a particle with the charged coagulant particle is always governed by the slowest transfer step known as Rate Governing Step (RGS). The mechanistic studies evaluated the

### Table 10. Analysis of variance.

| Source            | DF | Adj SS  | Adj MS  | F-Value | P-Value |
|-------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Fractional power  | 6  | 3697.91 | 616.319 | 121.27  | 0.001   |
| Error             | 5  | 25.41   | 5.082   |         |         |
| Total             | 11 | 3723.32 |         |         |         |

**Model Summary**

| $R^2$ | $R^2$ (adj) | $R^2$ (pred) |
|-------|-------------|-------------|
| 0.9933 | 0.9850 | 0.9832 |

**Means**

| Fractional power | N  | Mean  | StDev | 95% CI |
|------------------|----|-------|-------|--------|
| 8.1, 0.91        | 1  | 80.99 |       | (75.19, 86.78) |
| 8.1, 3.34        | 1  | 78.23 |       | (72.43, 84.02) |
| 9.5, 9.57        | 1  | 91.57 |       | (85.78, 97.37) |
| 10.6, 30.8       | 1  | 103.5 |       | (97.7, 109.3)  |
| 117, 770         | 1  | 108.5 |       | (102.8, 114.4) |
| 12.2, 667        | 1  | 112.3 |       | (106.5, 118.1) |
| 125.357          | 6  | 125.626 | 2.254 | (123.260, 127.991) |

Pooled St. Dev = 2.25439

CI: confidence interval, N: data sum, St. Dev: standard deviation.

### Table 11. Turkey simultaneous test for difference of means.

| Difference of Levels | Difference of Means | SE of Difference | 95% CI | Adjusted T-Value | P-Value |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------|---------|
| 81.334–81.091        | -0.276              | 13.19           | (-17.03, 11.51) | -0.87 | 0.964 |
| 95.957–81.091        | 10.58               | 13.19           | (-3.69, 24.85)  | 3.32  | 0.144 |
| 106.308–81.091       | 22.55               | 13.19           | (8.28, 36.82)   | 7.07  | 0.007 |
| 117.770–81.091       | 27.61               | 13.19           | (13.34, 41.88)  | 8.66  | 0.003 |
| 122.667–81.091       | 44.64               | 2.44            | (33.74, 55.54)  | 18.33 | 0.000 |
| 95.957–81.334        | 13.35               | 13.19           | (-0.93, 27.62)  | 4.19  | 0.064 |
| 106.308–81.334       | 25.31               | 13.19           | (11.04, 39.58)  | 7.94  | 0.004 |
| 117.770–81.334       | 30.37               | 13.19           | (16.10, 44.64)  | 9.53  | 0.002 |
| 122.667–81.334       | 34.05               | 13.19           | (19.78, 48.32)  | 10.68 | 0.001 |
| 125.357–81.334       | 47.40               | 02.44           | (36.50, 58.30)  | 19.47 | 0.000 |
| 106.308–95.957       | 11.96               | 13.19           | (-2.31, 26.23)  | 3.75  | 0.096 |
| 117.770–95.957       | 17.03               | 13.19           | (2.76, 31.30)   | 5.34  | 0.025 |
| 122.667–95.957       | 20.71               | 13.19           | (6.44, 34.98)   | 6.50  | 0.011 |
| 125.357–95.957       | 34.05               | 02.44           | (23.15, 44.95)  | 13.98 | 0.000 |
| 117.770–106.308      | 05.06               | 13.19           | (-9.21, 19.33)  | 1.59  | 0.697 |
| 122.667–106.308      | 08.74               | 13.19           | (-5.53, 23.01)  | 2.74  | 0.252 |
| 125.357–106.308      | 22.09               | 02.44           | (11.19, 32.99)  | 9.07  | 0.002 |
| 122.667–117.770      | 03.68               | 13.19           | (-10.59, 17.95) | 1.15  | 0.886 |
| 125.357–117.770      | 17.03               | 02.44           | (6.13, 27.93)   | 6.99  | 0.008 |
| 125.357–122.667      | 13.35               | 02.44           | (2.45, 24.24)   | 5.48  | 0.022 |

Individual confidence level = 99.35%
RGS using model analysis. Intra-particle diffusion model postulates that the particle uptake varies almost proportionally with $t^{1/2}$ rather than with the contact time, $t$.

The particles uptake is governed solely by intra-particle diffusion if the plot of variation in $q_t$ with the square root of the contact time passes through the origin and is linear in nature. However in the study, the plot generated for the intra-particle model is linear but does not pass through the origin (Figure 21). This suggests the influence of other mechanisms in rate of particles transfer. From Figure 21, two linear sections could be observed. The first linear section depicts macro pore diffusion while the second linear portion represents micro-pore diffusion.
The second rate influencing mechanism that contributed to the rate of particle transfer was studied using other four mechanistic pathway models, namely, the Richenberg model (RM), the Homogeneous diffusion model (HSDM), the Dumwald-Wagner model (DWM), and the Bangham model (BM).

RM (Figure 22) was used to study the involvement of film diffusion in particle transfer rate. Observe from Figure 22 that the data points were relatively linear, however did not pass through the origin. This indicates that film diffusion has an influence on the particle uptake rate. The value of HSDM constant (Ds) generated from Figure 23 was observed to be small (2.25E-11). This suggests that particle transfer onto coagulant increases with increase on coagulant dosage. The linearity of BM (Figure 24) and high coefficient of correlation (Table 12) gives credence to involvement of pore diffusion. However, an extrapolation of DWM (Figure 25), this yielded a straight line plot with its basis from the origin (0,0). This indicates that the particle transfer or bulk particle adsorption is more of surface or film diffusion than pore diffusion. Therefore, from the mechanistic modeling it could be concluded that film diffusion mechanism had
The process parameter analysis conducted revealed that the average BOD reduction of 58% were obtained through jar test experiments.

Table 12. Mechanistic parameters.

| Models/Parameters | Intra-particle | Bangham | Dumwald-Wagner | Homogeneous | Richenberg |
|-------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| R²                | 0.94           | 0.925   | 0.9695         | 0.966       | 0.9188     |
| Slope             | 0.4933         | 0.3096  | -0.0201        | 0.0965      | 0.0678     |
| Intercept         | 1.6919         | -1.106E-00 | -0.0629     | -           | -0.1062    |
| Kid               | 49.19263       | -       | -              | -           | -          |
| Dp                | 4.4E-10        | -       | -              | -           | -          |
| Kb                | 0.04566        | -       | 0.0201         | -           | -          |
| Dkw               | -              | -       | 2.25E-11       | -           | -          |

Figure 25. Dumwald-Wagner plot.

Superior influence as the governing factor over pore diffusion in the present coagulation-adsorption system.

4. Conclusion

In this research, chito-protein extracted from fishbone (FB) was successfully used for removal of TDSP and BOD from abattoir wastewater. High efficiency of removal for TDSP (91.8%) and relatively good (above average) BOD reduction of 58% were obtained through jar test experiment. The process parameter analysis conducted revealed that the removal/reduction efficiency is a function of the coagulant dosage, pH, temperature and time. The particle removal followed Pseudo second order kinetic model while the process particle uptake was governed by intra-particle diffusion and film/surface diffusion. In summary, the novel Fish Bone Chito-protein (FBC) was found to be an effective coagulant in treatment of abattoir wastewater and can be a better alternative to chemical coagulants. Therefore, it can be concluded that chito-protein is no more a waste product as it can be very useful in wastewater management.
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