**Abstract**

The field trial was conducted on sandy clay loam soils of an agricultural research station Ragolu, A.P., India during two consecutive Kharif seasons of 2015 and 2016. The trial was conducted in Randomized Block Design with three replications and nine treatments: Viz., T₁ - post emergence application of Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb Amine salt of 2,4 D @ 0.58 kg ai/ha at 40 DAS; T₂ - post emergence application of Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb metsulfuron methyl + chlorimuron ethyl (Almix) 4 g ai/ha at 40 DAS; T₃ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb Ethoxysulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha at 40DAS; T₄ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb Fenoxaprop P ethyl with safener (Rice star) @ 625ml/ha at 40 DAS; T₅ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb postemergence application of Fenoxaprop P ethyl with safener (Rice star) @ 625ml/ha at 40 DAS; T₆ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb postemergence application of Fenoxaprop P ethyl with safener (Rice star) @ 625ml/ha at 40 DAS; T₇ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb postemergence application of Fenoxaprop P ethyl with safener (Rice star) @ 625ml/ha at 40 DAS; T₈ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb postemergence application of Fenoxaprop P ethyl with safener (Rice star) @ 625ml/ha at 40 DAS; T₉ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb postemergence application of Fenoxaprop P ethyl with safener (Rice star) @ 625ml/ha at 40 DAS.
application of Cyhalofopbutyl @100 g ai/ha + amine salt of 2.4, D @ 0.58 kg ai/ha at 40 DAS; Tp – post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb Ethoxysulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha+ Fenoxaprop PEthyl with safenor (Rice star) @ 625 ml/ha at 40 DAS; Tp – Post emergence application of Penoxulam@ 25g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb amine salt of 2.4, D @ 0.58 kg ai/ha at 40 DAS ;Tp – Two hand weedicides at 20 & 40 DAS and Tp – Weedy Check. The study in dry direct sown rice on weed management by herbicides revealed that, uncontrolled weed growth in dry direct sown rice reduced the grain yield by 46 to 54 percent. Post emergence application of Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS followed by Ethoxysulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha+ Fenoxaprop P Ethyl with safenor (Rice star) @ 625 ml/ha at 40 DAS resulted in taller plants, maximum number of tillers/m². The highest dry matter production at harvest, more number of panicles/m2 filled grains/panicle, 1000 grain wt, higher grain yield (82-108 %) over weedy check) Straw yield besides higher gross returns net returns and B:C ratio among different herbicide treatments.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Rice is principal crop occupies more than 4 lakh hectares in north coastal districts of Andhra Pradesh where more than half of the area now under dry direct sown rice system of cultivation. In semi-dry system, seeds are sown in dry soil by broadcasting/line sowing or drilling and grown as rainfed crop for 30 to 45 days and with the availability of canal water dry sown rice is converted in to wet system and is maintained up to the harvest of the crop just like lowland rice. The area under semi-dry rice is rapidly increasing in the north coastal districts of Andhra Pradesh due to scarcity of labor late availability of irrigation water. In north coastal Andhra Pradesh semi-dry system of establishment gaining momentum as it successfully exploits the pre monsoon showers ensuring high water use efficiency. However, uncontrolled weed growth is one of the major yield limiting factor in dry direct sown rice and the yield loss ranges usually up to 42 percent and even more in some cases [1,2]. Therefore, “weeds present the main biological constraint to the success of DSR and failure to control weeds result in yield losses ranging from 50 to 90%” [3]. The traditional methods of weed control in rice include Manual weeding in rice is labour-intensive and dry direct sown rice growers usually hand-weed their crops two or three times per season, investing up to 190 person-days ha⁻¹. As human labour is becoming the very costly and unavailing for agriculture in the present day’s stresses for other means of weed control and chemical weed control proved cost effective alternative to hand weeding in India.

Simultaneous germination of crop and weeds in direct sown system single application of either pre emergence or post emergence application in effective in controlling weeds and hence need sequential application of two or three pre and post emergence herbicides as tank mixture or in relay to ensure weed free condition especially during the period of critical crop weed competition [1,2]. Weed flora also varies widely from place and time, method of land preparation and crop establishment needs usage of different herbicides due to variation in effectiveness of different herbicides. “Sequential application of herbicides were better compared to single application of either pre-emergence or post-emergence herbicides alone in controlling weeds in direct sown rice” [4]. Though some of the proven pre emergence herbicides are available for this system, information on post emergence herbicides and their combinations are patchy and needs immediate attention for reduce cost of production.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Field trial was conducted on sandy clay loam soils of agricultural research station Ragolu, A.P. India during two consecutive Kharif seasons of 2015 and 2016. The soil was sandy loam in texture with a pH of 6.5 and EC of 0.15 dSm⁻¹, low in organic carbon (0.33%) and available nitrogen (174 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (38 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (264 kg ha⁻¹). Total rainfall received during both the year of experimentation, 2016 and 2017 was 1189.8 mm and 724 mm, during field experimentation respectively. The trial was conducted in Randomized Block Design with three replications and nine treatments viz., T₁ – post emergence application of Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb Amine salt of 2.4 D @ 0.58 kg ai/ha at 40 DAS; T₂ – post emergence application of Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS
fb metsulfuron methyl + chlorimuron ethyl (Almix) 4 g ai/ha at 40 DAS; T₃ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb Ethoxysulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha at 40DAS; T₄ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb postemergence application of Fenoxaprop P ethyl with safenor (Rice star) @ 625ml/ha at 40 DAS; T₅ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb postemergence application of Cyhalofopbutyl @100 g ai/ha + amine salt of 2,4, D @ 0.58 kg ai/ha at 40 DAS; T₆ - post emergence application Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS fb amine salt of 2,4, D @ 0.58 kg ai/ha at 40 DAS; T₇ - Two hand weedings at 20 & 40 DAS and T₈ - Weedy Check.

2.1 Experimental Details

The seed of rice was directly sown in lines on dry soil@ 2-3 seeds hill⁻¹ with a spacing of 20 x 10 cm. RGL2332 was the cultivar. Seed treatment done with fungicide Carbendazim @ 1g/kg seed. Gap filling, thinning done at 10 DAS to maintain the uniform population in all the plots. N, P₂O₅, K₂O applied @ 120 - 60 - 50 kg per hectare as urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. Standard package recommended by Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural university for kharif direct sown rice was followed for this crop except weed control. Spay fluid applied uniformly @ 500 L ha⁻¹ by knapsack sprayer fitted with flat fan nozzle. Data on plant height, tillers m⁻², panicles/m², filled grains/panicle, test weight, grain and straw yields were recorded following standard procedure from 10 hills selected at random. Cost of cultivation was computed considering the local market price of inputs used and returns were calculated by adopting standard procedures. Data subjected to ANOVA and the significance was tested by Fisher’s least significance difference (p= 0.05).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Uncontrolled weed growth in dry direct sown rice reduced the grain yield by 54 percent during 2015 and 46 percent during 2016. Singh et al.[5] also reported that “uncontrolled weed growth in direct-seeded rice resulted in reduction in grain yield by 75.8%.” All the weed control treatments exerted noticeable influence on different growth parameters, yield attributes, grain and straw yield and economic parameters of dry direct sown rice during both the years of study. Among all weed management treatments two hand weedings done at 20 & 40 DAS was recorded higher growth parameters, yield attributes, grain and straw yield of dry direct sown rice. This might be due to less competition between plants for growth factors such as water, nutrients, solar radiation and space. Whereas among chemical weed management treatments, post emergence application of Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS followed by Ethoxysulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha+ Fenoxaprop PEthyl with safenor (Rice star) @ 625ml/ha at 40 DAS resulted in taller plants, maximum number of tillers/m², The highest dry matter production at harvest, more number of panicles/m² filled grains/panicle 1000 grain wt(g) Grain yield Straw yield and it was found at par in these parameters with two hand weedings during both the years. Post emergence application of Bis-pyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS followed by Ethoxysulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha+ Fenoxaprop PEthyl with safenor (Rice star) @ 625 ml/ha at 40 DAS increased the grain yield by 108 percent during 2015 and 82 percent during 2016 shows the effectiveness of treatment in reducing weed competition and resulted in to better growth structure, higher yield contributing characters there by realized higher grain yield. This might be due to the reason that the sequential application of two herbicides having distinct mode of actions created a rather weed free environment by effectively suppressing a broad spectrum of weed population and consequently weed dry matter. Prevalence of weed free crop growing environment might have enabled congenial conditions for production of higher growth stature and better yield structure. The findings of the present study are in corroborating with those of Pattar et al.[6] in direct-seeded rice. Walia et al. [7] reported “pre emergence application of pendimethalin 0.75 kg ha⁻¹ fb post emergence application of bispyribac-sodium 25 g ha⁻¹ resulted in 372% increase in rice grain yield compared to weedy check owing to better weed control".
Table 1. Effect of post emergence application of herbicides on growth parameters of dry direct sown rice

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | Tillers | Dry matter (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Panicles |
|-----------|------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|
|           | 2015             | 2016    | 2015                        | 2016     | 2015 | 2016 |
| T\(_1\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Amine salt of 2,4 D | 130     | 127                         | 523      | 539  | 14285 | 14190 | 377   | 381 |
| T\(_2\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Almix             | 129     | 129                         | 518      | 534  | 13576 | 13745 | 371   | 372 |
| T\(_3\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Ethoxysulfuron     | 129     | 127                         | 539      | 538  | 14060 | 14055 | 398   | 382 |
| T\(_4\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Rice star          | 130     | 128                         | 501      | 556  | 14233 | 14528 | 390   | 389 |
| T\(_5\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Cyhalofopbutyl+2,4,D amine | 130     | 129                         | 494      | 563  | 14539 | 14682 | 387   | 395 |
| T\(_6\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Ethoxysulfuron + Rice star | 139     | 136                         | 590      | 581  | 14776 | 14791 | 403   | 398 |
| T\(_7\)   | Penoxulam \(\times\) 2,4, D amine               | 136     | 135                         | 445      | 569  | 14094 | 14214 | 370   | 384 |
| T\(_8\)   | Two hand weedings                                  | 117     | 114                         | 313      | 302  | 8562  | 8782  | 249   | 236 |
|           | SEm\(+/−\)       | 3.70    | 4.02                        | 18.1     | 16.5 | 431   | 409   | 9.11  | 8.95 |
| CD (0.05) | 11               | 12     | 54                          | 49       | 1280 | 1215  | 27    | 26   |

Table 2. Effect of post emergence application of herbicides on yield parameters and yield of dry direct sown rice

| Treatment | filled grains panicle\(^1\) | 1000 grain wt(g) | Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Straw yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
|           | 2015                        | 2016             | 2015                        | 2016                      | 2015 | 2016 |
| T\(_1\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Amine salt of 2,4 D | 114             | 129                         | 22.77                    | 22.52 | 5900  | 6014  | 7116  | 7185 |
| T\(_2\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Almix             | 119             | 131                         | 23.17                    | 22.71 | 6326  | 6246  | 7409  | 7390 |
| T\(_3\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Ethoxysulfuron     | 122             | 127                         | 23.32                    | 22.91 | 6211  | 6202  | 7303  | 7298 |
| T\(_4\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Rice star          | 124             | 135                         | 23.24                    | 22.78 | 6338  | 6469  | 7411  | 7504 |
| T\(_5\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Cyhalofopbutyl+2,4,D amine | 126             | 139                         | 23.10                    | 22.64 | 6431  | 6549  | 7556  | 7591 |
| T\(_6\)   | Bis-pyribac sodium \(\times\) Ethoxysulfuron + Rice star | 129             | 143                         | 23.41                    | 23.06 | 6578  | 6567  | 7638  | 7682 |
| T\(_7\)   | Penoxulam \(\times\) 2,4, D amine               | 104             | 133                         | 22.58                    | 22.23 | 6184  | 6333  | 7249  | 7401 |
| T\(_8\)   | Two hand weedings                                  | 133             | 149                         | 23.56                    | 23.11 | 6878  | 6715  | 7934  | 7882 |
| T\(_9\)   | Weedy Check                                       | 87              | 82                          | 21.40                    | 21.05 | 3158  | 3612  | 4659  | 4720 |
|           | SEm\(+/−\)                   | 4.38            | 3.72                        | 0.18                     | 0.17  | 186   | 157   | 203   | 219 |
| CD (0.05) | 13                            | 11              | 0.55                        | 0.50                     | 553   | 465   | 603   | 650   |
Table 3. Effect of post emergence application of herbicides on economics of dry direct sown rice

| Treatment | Cost of cultivation (Rs ha\(^{-1}\)) | Gross Returns (Rs ha\(^{-1}\)) | Net Returns (Rs ha\(^{-1}\)) | Rupee returned per rupee invested (Rs\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|           |                                    |                               |                               |                                               |
| TR        | Bis-pyribac sodium fb Amine salt of 2,4 D | 2015                          | 2015                          | 2015                                         | 2015                                         | 2015                                         | 2016                                         |
| T2        | Bis-pyribac sodium fb Almix          | 34050                         | 35753                         | 89757                                        | 94218                                        | 55707                                        | 58465                                        | 1.64                                        | 1.64                                        |
| T3        | Bis-pyribac sodium fb Ethoxysulfuron | 33950                         | 35648                         | 95779                                        | 97750                                        | 61829                                        | 62102                                        | 1.82                                        | 1.74                                        |
| T4        | Bis-pyribac sodium fb Rice star      | 33800                         | 35490                         | 94333                                        | 97160                                        | 60533                                        | 61670                                        | 1.79                                        | 1.74                                        |
| T5        | Bis-pyribac sodium fb Cyhalofopbutyl+ | 34050                         | 35753                         | 96141                                        | 101240                                       | 62091                                        | 65487                                        | 1.82                                        | 1.83                                        |
| T6        | Bis-pyribac sodium fb 2,4, D amine   | 36225                         | 38036                         | 97451                                        | 102492                                       | 61226                                        | 64456                                        | 1.69                                        | 1.69                                        |
| T7        | Bis-pyribac sodium fb Ethoxysulfuron + Rice star | 34300 | 36015 | 99648 | 102774 | 65348 | 66759 | 1.91 | 1.85 |
| T8        | Penoxulam fb 2, 4, D amine           | 34100                         | 35805                         | 93823                                        | 98984                                        | 59723                                        | 63179                                        | 1.75                                        | 1.76                                        |
| T9        | Two hand weedings                    | 39050                         | 41003                         | 104206                                       | 105164                                       | 65156                                        | 64161                                        | 1.67                                        | 1.56                                        |
| T10       | Weedy Check                         | 31550                         | 33128                         | 49552                                        | 57528                                        | 18002                                        | 24400                                        | 0.57                                        | 0.74                                        |
| SEm+/-    |                                    | 715                           | 776                           | 1930                                         | 2027                                         | 1375                                         | 1323                                         | 0.08                                        | 0.06                                        |
| CD (0.05) |                                    | 2117                          | 2314                          | 5756                                         | 6018                                         | 4085                                         | 3921                                         | 0.23                                        | 0.19                                        |
However, Post emergence application of Bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha and Penoxulam @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS were at par in growth parameters, yield attributes, grain and straw yield of dry direct sown rice. Similarly, there was no measurable difference in growth parameters, yield attributes, grain and straw yield of dry direct sown rice with respect to post emergence application of Ethoxysulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha + Fenoxaprop P Ethyl with safenon (Rice star) @ 625 ml/ha and Cyhalofopbutyl @100 g ai/ha + amine salt of 2,4, D @ 0.58 kg ai/ha, metsulfuron methyl + chlorimuron ethyl (Almix) 4 g ai/ha, at 40 DAS and all inturn proved very effective over un weeded check established the need of sequential application of post emergence herbicides for weed control in dry direct sown rice. Application of 2,4 D amine salt @ 0.58 kg ai/ha at only 40 DAS was found to be inferior among other post emergence herbicides application. Post emergence application of these herbicides augmented the growth, improved the yield structure threby yield of dry direct sown rice might be due to reduced competition from weeds for prolonged period of crop growth facilitated availability of ample resources as per the crop needs. These results are in line to [8] who reported that “without the application of post emergence herbicides, the rice yield may reduce by 9 to 60%.”

Among different weed management treatments two hand weedings at 20 & 40 DAS was recorded higher gross returns, and Cost of cultivation also. Whereas post emergence application of Bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS followed by Ethoxysulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha+ Fenoxaprop P Ethyl with safenon (Rice star) @ 625 ml/ha at 40 DAS resulted into higher Gross Returns among different herbicide treatments. However, Net Returns and B:C ratio was higher with Post emergence application of Bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS followed by Ethoxysulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha+ Fenoxaprop P Ethyl with safenon (Rice star) @ 625 ml/ha at 40 DAS among all the weed management practices. All the sequential application of herbicide treatments yielded better returns over two hand weedings. “Higher rice grain yield and economic returns with post emergence application of bispyribac-sodium” was reported earlier by Khaliq et al. [9]. Two hand weedings at 20 & 40 DAS recorded lowest Net Returns and B: C ratio due to higher Cost of cultivation involved.

4. CONCLUSION

Two years field study in dry direct sown rice on weed management by herbicides revealed that, Uncontrolled weed growth in dry direct sown rice reduced the grain yield by 46 to 54 percent. Post emergence application of Bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ai/ha at 20 DAS followed by Ethoxysulfuron @ 20 g ai/ha+ Fenoxaprop P Ethyl with safenon (Rice star) @ 625 ml/ha at 40 DAS resulted into taller plants, maximum number of tillers/m2, The highest dry matter production at harvest, more number of panicles/m2 filled grains/panicle, 1000 grain wt, higher grain yield (82-108 percent over weedy check) Straw yield besides higher gross returns net returns and B:C ratio among different herbicide treatments.
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