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Abstract

**Background:** The bone defects caused by different reasons led to deformity and dysfunction of human body. Considering the need for clinical application, it was essential for bone regeneration to exploit a scaffold with bioactive bone cement. In this paper, we fabricated bioactive magnesium phosphate bone cement (BMPC) at room temperature, then it was set at 37℃ and 100% humidity for 2h.

**Methods:** The process was as follows. MgO was formed by calcining Mg₂(OH)₂CO₃, MgO, KH₂PO₄ and carboxymethyl chitosan were mixed to form magnesium phosphate bone cement (MPC), then Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ was added to neutralize alkaline product after MPC hydration to fabricate bioactive magnesium phosphate bone cement (BMPC). The influence of doped content of Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ on the properties of bone cement was discussed.

**Results:** The results showed that Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ and carboxymethyl chitosan can adjust the setting time of bone cement within the scope of 8 minute and 25 minute. The compressive strength increased first and then decreased. After 48h without additional pressure, the compressive strength reached the maximum value, so it was about of 38.6 MPa. Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ and carboxymethyl chitosan can play a synergistic role in regulating the BMPC properties. BMPC was degradable in the simulated body fluid (SBF). The results of cytotoxicity experiment and laser confocal microscopy experiment indicated that BMPC fabricated at room temperature had better biocompatibility and degradability, which was more consistent with clinical operation requirement.

**Conclusions:** BMPC can be used as a promising orthopedic material, and it can meet with the needs for repairing bone defects.

1. **Introduction**

The treatment of bone tissue defect was a common problem in clinics. At present, the traditional autologous bone transplantation was still the “golden standard” for the clinical treatment of bone defects. However, autologous bone transplantation could increase patients’ additional trauma. It was lack of bone source and was limited by donors. Besides, it could also increase the number of operations and prolong the healing period. Its future development prospect was not ideal [1,2]. Compared with traditional bone transplantation, degradable bioactive bone cement was prepared to repair bone defects had the advantages of minor damage by bone tissue engineering technology. It was necessary for proper repair of bone morphology in the defect area and no obvious antigenicity [3,4]. Monocalcium phosphate (Ca(H₂PO₄)₂), also known as acidic calcium phosphate, was a colorless, granular, or crystalline powder. It existed in the form of Ca(H₂PO₄)₂•H₂O at room temperature. The aqueous solution was acidic and lost crystalline water after heating. It was widely used as buffer and food additive[5,6]. Chitosan was the product of deacetylation of chitin. It was the most important derivative of chitin. The surface of chitosan was hydrophilic. As an essential water-soluble chitosan derivative, carboxymethyl chitosan had good biocompatibility and biodegradability. It was widely used in hydrogels, wound healing biomaterials, and...
tissue engineering scaffold materials\cite{7}. Previous studies showed that carboxymethyl chitosan had no antigenicity in animals and was used as an additive for bone defect repair materials and as a fabrication of bone cement\cite{8,9}. Magnesium phosphate cement (MPC) was an inorganic non-metallic bioceramic material generated by slightly soluble salinization reaction. It was usually used in the industrial field. Based on the characteristics of high early strength and rapid curing, it had good biocompatibility and biodegradability. In the recent years, it had attracted the attention of bioactive bone scaffold researchers\cite{10,11}. MPC was fabricated by mixing magnesium oxide (MgO) and ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$) as solid components. The main reaction product was magnesium ammonium phosphate (MgNH$_4$PO$_4$), commonly known as guano stone, a natural crystal\cite{12,13}. Accordingly, this process led to the release of NH$_3$ during the degradation of bone cement, which was easy to contaminate environment and was toxic to the implanted tissue.\cite{14} Potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH$_2$PO$_4$) was applied to replace NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$ in the fabrication of industrial cement\cite{15,16}. Compared with NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$, KH$_2$PO$_4$ had a smaller dissociation constant and lower solubility, which was easier to control the reaction rate. Also, it did not produce NH$_3$ when reacting with water. The final product was magnesium potassium phosphate (MgKPO$_4$), which was isomorphic with guanite\cite{17,18}. However, in the research field of bioactive bone cement, there were few reports on the determination of pH of MgKPO$_4$ in the aqueous phase and the effect of Ca (H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ on the properties of MPC bone cement.

As for the temperature conditions for the fabrication of bone cement, there were several reports on the fabrication of bone cement below 0°C\cite{19,20}. It was reported that the mechanical properties of bone cement fabricated at low temperature in industry were not optimistic.\cite{21} In clinical practice, the temperature in the operating room was generally controlled at 20~26°C\cite{22,23}. It was necessary that solid and liquid phases of bone cement was mixed and stirred in proportion at room temperature and implanted into the bone defect quickly. Therefore, it was significative to carry out more researches on the fabrication of bone cement at room temperature.

In this essay, bioactive magnesium phosphate bone cement was investigated. Firstly, MgO, KH$_2$PO$_4$ and carboxymethyl chitosan were mixed at 25°C to fabricate bioactive magnesium phosphate bone cement (BMPC), and pH of MPC hydration product MgKPO$_4$ was measured. Then Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ was added to MPC. The acidity produced by the degradation of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ was utilized to neutralize the alkalinity of MgKPO$_4$, the main hydration product of MPC. Finally, the effects of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ and carboxymethyl chitosan on pH, preserving time, compressive strength, degradability, cell morphology, and biocompatibility of bone cement were discussed.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1 Fabrication of BMPC samples
BMPC was composed of solid powder and liquid phase (deionized water). The solid powder was made from MgO, KH$_2$PO$_4$, carboxymethyl chitosan and Ca (H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$. Among them, MgO was prepared by heating and decomposition of Mg$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$. All powder materials were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., China. Mg$_2$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$ was calcined in muffle furnace to 1500 °C, the heating rate was 10 °C/min, kept warm for 2h, cooled with the furnace, wet ball milled with alcohol for 2 h, and sieved through 300 mesh nylon sieve to prepare MgO. KH$_2$PO$_4$ and Ca (H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ were respectively screened through a 300 mesh nylon screen after ball milling. Then carboxymethyl chitosan powder was added by 1.5% of mass fraction$^{[24]}$. At 25°C, deionized water was added at a solid-liquid ratio of 1.6g/ml, after being thoroughly mixed, made into bioactive cement paste, and placed in a 3D printing polyethylene mold (size 10×10×5 mm) without additional pressure. The BMPC sample was collected after restoring at 37°C and 100% relative humidity for 48h. The composition of BMPC samples was analyzed by X-ray crystal diffraction (XRD, D8-Advance, Germany). The surface morphology and microstructure of BMPC were observed and studied by scanning electron microscope (SEM, JSM-7800, Japan).

2.2 pH determination of MPC hydration product

Four different mass ratios of MgO and KH$_2$PO$_4$, i.e., 1:2, 1:3, 1:4 and 1:5, were selected. carboxymethyl chitosan was added by a 1.5% mass fraction and synthesized MPC with the participation of deionized water. The main hydration product MgKPO$_4$ was analyzed by XRD. The MPC sample was immersed in normal saline with a solid-liquid ratio of 0.2g/ml and placed in a constant temperature oscillator for 24h. The supernatant was taken, and the pH was measured. Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ was introduced at the mass ratio of 1:2 (MgO and KH$_2$PO$_4$) in order to prepare different mass fractions of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ bone cement samples (0wt.%, 20wt.%, 40wt.%, 60wt.%), which were recorded as BMPC0, BMPC20, BMPC40 and BMPC60 respectively. The effects of different content of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ on the properties of BMPC were examined.

2.3 Characterization of BMPC samples

In order to determine the pH of BMPC soaking solution with different content of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$, BMPC samples were immersed in normal saline at a solid-liquid ratio of 0.2g/mL and stored in a constant temperature oscillator for 24 h. The supernatant was taken and pH was determined by a pH meter. The setting time of BMPC was measured using a Vicat meter. The Vicat meter which was used, had a sliding metal round rod with a weight of 300g and a test needle of 1mm diameter and 50 mm length at the lower end of the rod. The setting time was the time required from mixing the solid and liquid phases of the composite bone cement to the time when the test needle failed to penetrate more than 1 mm into the specimen. The test was repeated three times, and the average value was calculated. After 48h setting of the bone cement, the compressive strength was measured with a loading rate of 2mm/min using the MTS810 universal mechanical testing machine, and five samples were taken at each group. The degradation of different BMPC in SBF was determined by the degradation rate at different time points. BMPC samples (10mm×10mm×5mm) were dried for 2h as initial weight ($W_0$). Then, BMPC samples were immersed in SBF at 37°C in a thermostatic shaker with a solid-to-liquid mass ratio of 1:20 g/ml. The
solution was renewed every two days. The weights of BMPC were determined at days 3, 5, 7, 14, 21 and 28, respectively. The operation was performed by removing the specimen from the liquid after immersion, rinsing it with deionized water, drying it for 2 h, and recording the new weight of all specimens. All values were the average of three tests. The degradation rate was calculated by the following formula (1):

\[
\text{Degradation rate} = \frac{W_0 - W_t}{W_0} \times 100\% (1)
\]

2.4 Cell culture and cytotoxicity experiment

Mouse osteoblasts 3T3E1 were selected and cultured in complete Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 (RPMI) containing 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% antibiotics (penicillin, streptomycin) and at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂. Cells were harvested after the confluence with 0.25% trypsin and inoculated individually on culture dishes at an initial density of 2000 cells per well, placed in 96 well culture dishes, and incubated at 37°C/CO₂. The culture medium was changed every 3 days. BMPC extracts with different mass fractions of Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ were used as the experimental group, and a normal cell culture medium was used as the control group. The BMPC extracts were prepared according to the method in the literature[25]. First, the bone cement raw material was added to aseptic conditions to obtain the solution, which was incubated at 37°C for 24h and then centrifuged, and the supernatant was collected, refrigerated at 4°C and stored for further use. The cells were cultured for 1 day, 3 days and 5 days, respectively. The cytotoxicity of BMPC was estimated by MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide) assay. To each well, 20µl of MTT solution was added, and incubation was continued at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 4 h. The supernatant in the wells was then discarded, and 200µL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to fully dissolve the purple crystals. On days 1, 3, and 5, respectively, the absorbance value of each well at 490 nm was measured by an enzyme maker OD. The relative growth rate (RGR) was calculated according to the measured OD value by the following formula (2), and the test results were evaluated according to the cytotoxicity grade standard (Table 1)[26].

\[
\text{RGR(\%)} = \frac{A_{\text{Test}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \times 100\% (2)
\]

2.5 Laser confocal microscopy experiment

Mouse osteoblasts 3T3E1 in logarithmic growth stage were taken at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ in a constant temperature oven, and treated with 4×10⁵/ml in four groups BMPC extracts with different levels of Ca(H₂PO₄)₂, respectively. After 5 days of culture, the cells were fixed with 0.2 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde, and the wells were placed in the thermostat for 10 min. The fixative solution was pipetted out, rinsed with PBS, and 0.5% Triton x-1000 5 ml was added, and the membrane was permeabilized in the thermostat for 20 min, after which the permeabilized solution was pipetted out, rinsed with PBS, and 0.5 ml of staining solution was added to re-stain the plates for 5min, and the re-staining solution was pipetted out and rinsed with PBS. 200μL of rhodamine ghostpen ring peptide was added and treated in a dark room at
room temperature for 40 min and then sealed with fluorescence quencher after PBS rinsing. After material
treatment, cell morphology and growth were observed using laser confocal microscopy.

3. Results And Discussion

3.1 Hydration products and pH of MPC

Fig.1 showed XRD and pH results of MgO and KH$_2$PO$_4$(MPC) at different mass ratios. According to the
analysis from the XRD data, all hydration products of MPC specimens were composed of MgO and
KMgPO$_4$ (Figure 1), and the main diffraction peaks were KMgPO$_4$. However, it wasn’t revealed the peak of
carboxym ethyl chitosan. The diffraction peaks MgO were weak and it indicated that there was only a
small amount of MgO. The diffraction peak intensity of MgO decreased with the increase of KH$_2$PO$_4$, as
the diffraction peak intensity of KMgPO$_4$ enhanced with the increase of KH$_2$PO$_4$. Therefore, it indicated
that MgO was almost completely transformed into KMgPO$_4$. With the increase of KMgPO$_4$, the pH of
MPC soaking solution enhanced, indicating that KMgPO$_4$ (hydration product of MPC) was alkaline.

3.2 The SEM images of BMPC specimens

Figure 2 showed surface morphology of BMPC specimens with different content of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ after
setting for 48 hours. It was found that BMPC0 contained prismatic crystals. It was speculated that these
crystals were KMgPO$_4$ as well as clay materials with dense morphology and structure, as shown in Figure
2(a, b). With the increase of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ content, prismatic crystals disappeared and clay materials
increased, which was consistent with the XRD results. KMgPO$_4$ disappeared with the increase of
Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$. It could be seen that clay materials accumulated together, resulting in high strength of the
specimens, as shown in Figure 2(c,d) to Figure 2(e, f). Moreover, there were many pores in the clay
materials, which were consistent with the experimental results observed by Wang s, et al(2019)[27]. The
existence of these pores was not only conducive to the degradation of bone cement and phosphate
deposition, but also conducive to the adhesion and proliferation of osteoblasts, so it induced the growth
of new bone. Therefore, it could result in the degradation of BMPC specimens (Figure 2 (g, h)).

3.3 The results of characterization of BMPC samples

The pH of BMPC extracts were shown in Fig. 3. The pH decreased with the increase of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$
content, which was related to the hydration product after 48 h. With the increase of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ content,
KMgPO$_4$ phase and MgO phase disappeared, as MgHPO$_4$ phase appeared, so it resulted in the decrease
of pH of BMPC extracts. It showed that KMgPO$_4$ was alkaline during immersion. Moreover, the research
results showed that the pH of BMPC extracts with 40% or more Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ decreased to less than 7.40,
which was close to the pH value of simulated body fluid (SBF) used in general cell experiments. This
condition of pH environment promoted cell growth and proliferation.
The effect of different amounts of Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ on the setting time was shown in Fig. 4. With increasing Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ content, the setting time of BMPC was increased from 8 min to 25 min, a time range that was consistent with the operating time needed for general clinical bone defect repair. When the ratio of MgO to KH₂PO₄ was 1:2, the content of MgO was relatively overreacted (Table 2). In contrast, as the Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ content increased, the acidity of the reaction system increased, more crystalline products were generated, and the main product KMgPO₄ disappeared, prolonging the time for the reaction to reach equilibrium and resulting in a longer setting time of bone cement, which facilitated implantation of bone cement.

Figure 5 showed the effect of Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ content on compressive strength of BMPC specimens. After BMPC slurry prepared at 25°C and static set for 48h, the compressive strength increased at the beginning, then it decreased with the increase of Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ content without additional pressure. The compressive strength of BMPC40 reached the maximum value of 38.6 MPa, which was 31.4 MPa higher than that of magnesium ammonium phosphate bone cement prepared at low temperature[20]. The increase of compressive strength was due to the decrease of pH in the reaction system, then it resulted in more hydration products similar to clay particles (Fig. 2). These granular materials were closely staggered and stacked together to form high compressive strength. Therefore, the specimens not only performed satisfactory mechanical strength, but also met the needs of on-site fabrication of bone cement at room temperature. Compared with BMPC40, the compressive strength of BMPC60 decreased. It was the reason that crystal structure became irregular as the crystallinity of hydration products decreased (Fig. 2), which cannot form a high compressive strength and resulted in a decrease of compressive strength with BMPC60.

After bone cement was set for 48h, KMgPO₄ and surplus unreacted MgO was examined from the reaction system of BMPC0. With the increase of Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ content, MgO gradually disappeared, and MgHPO₄, Mg₃(PO₄)₂, Ca₃(PO₄)₂ and Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂ appeared. KMgPO₄ disappeared in the reaction system with more than 40%Ca(H₂PO₄)₂. Finally, Mg₃(PO₄)₂, MgHPO₄, Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂ and MgKH(PO₄)₂ were formed. Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂ was also known as hydroxyapatite (HAP), an inorganic component of human bone.

After degrading for 28 days, Mg₃(PO₄)₂ could be examined from all specimens. Among them, the degradation products of BMPC with Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ included Mg₃(PO₄)₂, MgHPO₄, pyrophosphate and HAP. It should be pointed out that BMPC filled with Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ produced MgHPO₄ after setting for 48h. After degradation for 28 days, MgHPO₄ still existed, while other products were transformed into magnesium phosphate, pyrophosphate and HAP (Table 2). Thus, MgHPO₄ could be regarded as buffering agent in the reaction system, and the regulation of pH with BMPC depended on the existence of MgHPO₄.

Figure 6 showed the degradation rate of BMPC samples soaked in simulated body fluid (SBF) at different time. Obviously, BMPC degraded in SBF as time went on and the degradation rate was related to the amount of products (Table 2). It can be found that after degrading for 28 days, the product amount of
BMPC40 samples had the most significant reduction and the highest degradation rate. The results showed that the more products disappeared, the faster the degradation was.

3.4 The results of cytotoxicity with BMPC by MTT assay

MTT assay was widely applied to detect the bioactivity or cytotoxicity of biomaterials. MTT assay was selected to detect the extracts of BMPC samples to determine the cytotoxicity to mouse osteoblasts 3T3E1. According to RGR and toxicity grade standard (Table 3), when Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ content was less than 40%, the toxicity grade of BMPC samples was grade 1. When Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ content reached 40% or more, the toxicity grade of BMPC samples was grade 0 (Fig. 7, Table 3). It indicated that BMPC40 and BMPC60 samples had good biocompatibility, which were consistent with the pH results of BMPC extracts (Fig. 3). It was the reason that addition of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ reduced the pH of BMPC extracts, which was close to the pH of simulated body fluid, thereby it was benefit to improve the biocompatibility of bone cement. It also proved that the alkaline environment of KMgPO$_4$ was not suitable for the growth, adhesion and proliferation of mouse osteoblasts 3T3E1.

3.5 The results of laser confocal microscopy experiment

The results of laser confocal microscopy experiment were shown in Fig. 8. The morphology of mouse osteoblasts 3T3E1 in bone cement extracts was irregular, mostly triangular and polygonal, with many protrusions, mononuclear and oval nucleus. The cell matrix was wrapped around the nucleus, and the pseudopodia between cells fused with each other. It indicated that the cells grew on the matrix of all bone cement samples. In terms of different Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ contents, compared with BMPC0 (Fig. 8(a)) and BMPC20 (Fig. 8(b)), the number of osteoblasts with BMPC40 and BMPC60 increased significantly (Fig. 8(c,d)). It suggested that with the increase of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ content, the changes of bone cement degradation products and alkaline environment promoted cell proliferation and differentiation. This was consistent with the cytotoxicity results of BMPC by MTT assay. Compared with the other groups, the largest number of cells was detected in BMPC60 extracts (Fig. 8 (c, d)), which could be interpreted for two reasons. On the one hand, the pH of BMPC40 and BMPC60 were close to the pH of simulated body fluid (Fig. 3), which was suitable for cell growth and proliferation. On the other hand, the contents of calcium ions, magnesium ions and phosphate in the solution were high, which provided a suitable environment for the growth of osteoblasts.

4. Discussion

Here we developed novel bioactive magnesium phosphate bone cement (BMPC) with improved physicochemical properties by incorporating different ratios of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ into magnesium phosphate bone cement (MPC). It was revealed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) that the mass ratio of magnesium oxide (MgO) to potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH$_2$PO$_4$) was 1:2. The major hydration reaction of MPC made from MgO and KH$_2$PO$_4$ was:
$K^+ + Mg^{2+} + PO_4^{3-} = MgKPO_4$

Besides the MgKPO$_4$ and unreacted MgO, XRD analysis did not reveal any other hydration products. It indicated that carboxymethyl chitosan mainly performed as micro-filler in the MPC reaction system, therefore, it does not involve in the formation process of hydration products. After adding different concentrations of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$, the typical peaks of MgO and KMgPO$_4$ gradually disappeared in XRD analysis, indicating that all samples were transformed into other hydration products.

The setting time was one of the vital properties which could reflect the polymerization time for repairing bone defects$^{[28]}$. The setting time of MPC was greatly affected by the conditions of powder size, surface area, MgO content, powder-to-liquid ratio, etc.$^{[29]}$. In the preparation process of inorganic salt bone cement, the acid-base reaction rate was fast and difficult to control, so that the setting time was very short$^{[30]}$. Several former studies had revealed that a setting time of 8 to 20 minutes was suitable for implanting bone cement in surgery$^{[30]}$. This study showed that the setting time could be prolonged from 8 min to 25min by adjusting the content of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ in MPC, with the carboxymethyl chitosan at a fixed ratio. Therefore, it was sufficient long for repairing the bone defects by injecting and shaping the cement.

The setting time prolonged because Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ as an acid salt, it played a certain buffering role in the reaction system, which can decelerate the rate of hydration reaction. Moreover, the carboxymethyl chitosan can forms coatings to cover the surface of MgO and KH$_2$PO$_4$ to reduce its hydration, slowing down the hydration reaction. We speculate that Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ can coordinated with the carboxymethyl chitosan in this process. Without a buffer, the hydration reaction can take a violent exothermic effect$^{[31]}$. The prolonged setting time indicated the composite cement had a moderate hydration reaction, generating less heat during the setting process. It can be helpful to avoid tissue damage and apoptosis$^{[32]}$.

Bone cement needs to achieve a certain mechanical strength in clinical applications, at least to meet the compressive strength of the cancellous bone$^{[33]}$. We found that the compressive strength first increased and later decreased with the Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ concentration increasing. The maximum value of compressive strength was 38.6 MPa, with BMPC40. The increase of compressive strength was due to the decrease of pH value in the reaction system, resulting in more hydration products similar to clay particles. These granular materials were closely staggered and stacked together to form high compressive strength. Therefore, the specimen not only had good mechanical strength, but also met the needs of on-site preparation of bone cement at room temperature. Compared with BMPC40, the compressive strength of BMPC60 decreased. This was because the crystallinity of the hydration product of BMPC60 reduced, the crystal structure became irregular, and the high compressive strength cannot be formed, resulting in the decrease of compressive strength. In addition, carboxymethyl chitosan, as a hydrophilic polymer, it may adsorb deionized water in the liquid phase and forms a high viscosity coating on the cement surface. This can be observed from SEM images. MPC showed many brittle crystal cracks, while carboxymethyl chitosan filled these cracks, forming a dense microstructure, which had a certain degree of fracture resistance.
pH should also be considered because it can significantly affect osteogenesis of bone cement\textsuperscript{34}.

Generally, surplus MgO in MPC composites can lead to a large amount of OH\textsuperscript{−}. In order to decrease the alkalinity of hydration products, a relatively low Mg/P ratio of 1:2 was adopted. We also utilized the acidic characteristic of Ca(H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} in aqueous solution to decrease the pH. With the increase of Ca(H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} content, KMgPO\textsubscript{4} phase and MgO phase disappeared, as MgHPO\textsubscript{4} phase appeared, the pH of BMPC extracts decreased. Moreover, the results showed that the pH of BMPC extracts with 40\% or more Ca(H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} decreased to less than 7.40, which was close to the pH value of simulated body fluid (SBF) used in general cell experiments. In addition, carboxymethyl chitosan was an amphoteric ether derivative with active groups, such as hydroxyl(-OH), carboxyl(-COOH), and amino(-NH\textsubscript{2}), it can also decrease the pH of BMPC. We speculated that Ca(H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} and carboxymethyl chitosan can play a synergistic role in pH regulation.

The degradation rate or biodegradability was another important property of bone cement. There was evidence that lower degradation rate can be caused by lower porosity\textsuperscript{35}. We found that the degradation rate was faster after adding Ca(H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} to MPC cement at first one week. Then, the degradation rate of Ca(H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} groups gradually slow down, although the increasing trend still existed. We speculated that the Ca(H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}, as a buffer, took action in the degradation process. Therefore, this reaction process can be controlled at a comparatively moderate condition. The carboxymethyl chitosan may be also play a synergistic role, however, it still needed to be testified by further study. We have noticed that when the Ca(H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} concen was 60\%, the degradation rate decreased slightly compared to BMPC40. The differences in degradation and pH of BMPC60 may be caused by the direct dissolution of Ca(H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} after binding saturation. Studies have shown that magnesium ions(Mg\textsuperscript{2+}) have properties similar to those of bone tissue and displays antibacterial activity, excellent biocompatibility, and biodegradability\textsuperscript{36}. Water can penetrate the BMPC scaffold, allowing Mg\textsuperscript{2+} to diffuse from the scaffold. The release of Mg\textsuperscript{2+} was generally positively correlated with the degradation of BMPC, and the precipitation trend of Mg\textsuperscript{2+} achieved a stable state. Therefore, In this work, under the condition of controllable degradation rate, Mg\textsuperscript{2+} may coordinated with Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, acting as the nuclei for forming hydroxyapatite to achieve bone matrix mineralization.

In this study, in vitro cytotoxicity experiment and laser confocal microscopy experiment were used to evaluate the cellular response to biomaterials, detecting the cell morphology and internal structure. The results of in vitro cell culture showed that BMPC composites had no cytotoxicity. After 5 days of culture, 3T3E1 cells proliferated and adhered to BMPC composites better than MPC(BMPC0). In addition, compared with MPC, the cell viability on BMPC increased with the Ca(H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} content adding. The osteogenic response to bone cement was very important for osteanagenesis. The results of these experiments indicated that BMPC has been good biocompatibility and osteogenesis.

5. Conclusions
Here we developed an degradable self-setting BMPC by combining Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ with carboxymethyl chitosan. With the increase of Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$ content, the setting time of BMPC prolonged from 8 mins to 25 mins, which was in line with the needs of bone cement implantation. The compressive strength increased at first and then decreased. After setting for 48h, the maximum compressive strength reached 38.6 MPa, which could meet the compressive strength of non load-bearing bone of human. BMPC can be degraded in simulated body fluid. After degrading, it produced magnesium phosphate and HAP, which was conducive to the formation of autologous bone. The experiment of cytotoxicity and laser confocal microscope of BMPC extracts showed that BMPC samples had good biocompatibility. The results showed that the BMPC fabricated in this study was expected to become a bioactive material with potential clinical application value.
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Tables
Table 1
Relative growth rate (RGR) and cytotoxicity grade standard.

| RGR (%) | Toxicity Grade |
|---------|----------------|
| ≥100    | 0 Grade        |
| 75~99   | 1 Grade        |
| 50~74   | 2 Grade        |
| 25~49   | 3 Grade        |
| 1~24    | 4 Grade        |
| 0       | 5 Grade        |

Table 2. The hydration products of BMPC after setting for 48h and soaking for 28d tested by XRD.

| Group   | Setting for 48h | Soaking for 28d |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| BMPC0   |                 |                 |
| BMPC20  |                 |                 |
| BMPC40  |                 |                 |
| BMPC60  |                 |                 |

Setting for 48h: MgO; MgKPO₄; Mg₃(PO₄)₂; Ca₃(PO₄)₂; MgHPO₄; Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂; MgKH(PO₄)₂

Soaking for 28d: Mg₃(PO₄)₂; KMgPO₄; MgHPO₄; Mg₅P₂O₇; K₄P₂O₇; K₂CaP₂O₇; Ca₃(PO₄)₂; Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂

Table 3
The relative growth rate (RGB) and toxicity grade (TG) of BMPC samples.

| Group   | 1d  | 3d  | 5d  |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|
|         | RGB (%) | TG  | RGB (%) | TG  | RGB (%) | TG  |
| BMPC0   | 81.75  | 1   | 75.68  | 1   | 76.08  | 1   |
| BMPC20  | 89.68  | 1   | 84.93  | 1   | 83.95  | 1   |
| BMPC40  | 103.97 | 0   | 108.90 | 0   | 103.12 | 0   |
| BMPC60  | 107.14 | 0   | 113.01 | 0   | 107.58 | 0   |

Figures
Figure 1

The hydration products and pH of MPC specimens. (a) 1:2; (b) 1:3; (c) 1:4; (d) 1:5.
Figure 2

SEM images of BMPC samples. (a,b) BMPC0 (c,d) BMPC20 (e,f) BMPC40 (g,h) BMPC60.
Figure 3

pH of BMPC extracts with Ca(H₂PO₄)₂.
Figure 4

The setting time of BMPC specimens with Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$.

Figure 5
The compressive strength of BMPC specimens with Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$.

Figure 6

The degradation rate of BMPC samples with Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$)$_2$. 
Figure 7

The cytotoxicity of BMPC samples.
Figure 8

The images of laser confocal microscopy experiment of BMPC samples. (a) BMPC0; (b) BMPC2; (c) BMPC40; (d) BMPC60.