Antibacterial Spirotetronate Polyketides from an Actinomadura sp. Strain A30804
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Abstract: Large scale cultivation and chemical investigation of an extract obtained from Actinomadura sp. resulted in the identification of six previously undescribed spirotetronates (pyrrolosporin B and decatromicins C–G; 7–12), along with six known congeners, namely decatromicins A–B (1–2), BE-45722B–D (3–5), and pyrrolosporin A (6). The chemical structures of compounds 1–12 were characterized via comparison with previously reported data and analysis of 1D/2D NMR and MS data. The structures of all new compounds were highly related to the spirotetronate type compounds, decatromycin and pyrrolosporin, with variations in the substituents on the pyrrole and aglycone moieties. All compounds were evaluated for antibacterial activity against the Gram-negative bacteria, Acinetobacter baumannii and Gram-positive bacteria, Staphylococcus aureus and were investigated for their cytotoxicity against the human cancer cell line A549. Of these, decatromicin B (2), BE-45722B (3), and pyrrolosporin B (7) exhibited potent antibacterial activities against both Gram-positive (MIC 90 between 1–3 µM) and Gram-negative bacteria (MIC 90 values ranging from 12–36 µM) with weak or no cytotoxic activity against A549 cells.
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1. Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance is one of the leading threats to human health globally [1]. Recently, it was estimated that 1.2 million people died from antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections, which was more than that caused by HIV/AIDS or malaria [2]. Thus, there is clearly an urgent need for new and effective antimicrobials. For many years, our group has engaged in a screening effort to detect secondary metabolites from Nature that can inhibit pathogenic microorganism [3–6], such as Staphylococcus aureus. Notably, S. aureus is one of the leading pathogens (the second after Escherichia coli) for fatalities associated with resistance [2]. Microbes have been one of the most prolific sources of small molecules where two third of known microbial secondary metabolites are derived from actinobacteria [7,8]. Actinobacteria is a large group of morphologically and physiologically diverse bacteria well known for their production of natural products and biotechnologically relevant compounds [9]. It is known that 70% of them are produced by the genus Streptomyces. Among the non-Streptomyces species, Actinomadura has been reported as a producer of chemically and biologically unique polyketides possessing antitumor, antimicrobial and anticoccidial activities. Up to date, several spirotetronate-class polyketides have been isolated from Actinomadura. For instance, nomimicin, a polyketide isolated from an Actinomadura strain TP-A0878 in a compost sample collected at Nomi, Ishikawa, Japan. Subsequently nomimicins B-D, new tetronate-class polyketides were also isolated from a marine-derived actinomycete of the genus Actinomadura. These compounds showed antimicrobial activities [10,11].
During our screening assays, a few MeOH extracts from our in-house Actinobacteria strains library [12] exhibited antibacterial activity against *S. aureus*. Chemical dereplication of the active extracts suggested the presence of several spirotetronate polyketides, a group of compounds that was known for their significant pharmacological potentials [13]. The spirotetronate polyketides feature an unusual aglycone core containing a typical tetronic acid spiro-connected to a six-membered ring and linked to a trans-decalin moiety. Further chemical analysis of the active extracts shortlisted one extract from *Actinomadura* sp. strain A30804 that produced higher yields of potentially new spirotetronate analogs for large-scale cultivation. Bioassay guided purification of the extract yielded twelve spirotetronate natural products, including six known compounds, decatromicin A–B (1–2) [14,15], BE-45722B–D (3–5) [16–18], and pyrrolosporin A (6) [19,20], as well as six new analogs, pyrrolosporin B (7) and decatromicin C–G (8–12) (Figure 1). In this report, we describe the purification and structure elucidation of these natural products and further demonstrate the antimicrobial potential of the spirotetronates. Moreover, the discovery of these structurally intricate compounds enriches the chemical diversity of the spirotetronates and potentially leads to a better understanding of preliminary structure–activity relationships.

**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of compounds 1–12.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Experimental Procedures

Specific rotations were recorded using JASCO P-2000 digital polarimeter. Bruker DRX-400 NMR spectrometer with cryoprobe was used to record NMR spectra. The NMR spectrometer was equipped with 5 mm BBI (1H, COSY, edited HSQC, and HMBC) or BBO
(13C) probe head with z-gradients. The 1H and 13C NMR chemical shifts were referenced to the residual solvent peaks for MeOH-d4 at δH 3.31 and δC 49.0 ppm; or DMSO-d6 at δH 2.50 and δC 39.5 ppm. Preparative RP-HPLC was performed using Xterra MS C18 Prep column (19 × 300 mm, 10 µm) on an Agilent 1260 Infinity Preparative-Scale LCMS Purification System hyphenated with Agilent 6130B single quadrupole MS as a detector. LCMS data were recorded using Agilent UHPLC 1290 Infinity coupled to Agilent 6540 accurate-mass quadrupole time-of-flight (QTOF)-ESIMS. Standard gradient conditions of 98% H2O (0.1% FA) to 100% CH3CN (0.1% FA) were run over 8.6 min using an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7 µm) column, all at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The QTOF were set using the same parameter as previously reported [4].

2.2. Molecular Identification and Phylogenetic Analysis of the Bacteria Isolate A30804

The DNA of bacterial strain A30804 was extracted from the plate using the DNeasy PowerSoil Pro Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Upon extraction, DNA purity and yield of A30804 was measured with NanoDrop2000 spectroscopy system (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Bacterial 16S rRNA genes of interest were amplified from the extracted DNA using the universal 16S primers 27F (5′—AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG—3′) and 1492R (5′—TAC GGY TAC CTT GGT ACG ACT T—3′) [21,22]. The PCR amplification reactions were performed using Applied Biosystems ProFlex Thermocycler (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with a total reaction volume of 20 µL that comprised of 2.0 µL of 10× PCR buffer with 20 mM MgCl2, 2.0 µL of 2 mM dNTPs, 1 unit of Taq polymerase (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA), 1.0 µL of 10 µM of each primer and 1.0 µL of purified DNA templates. The thermal cycling profile used was, 5 min initial denaturation at 95 °C; further denaturation at 95 °C (30 cycles, 30 s each), annealing at 60 °C for 50 s, followed by 1 min extension at 72 °C, and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. A negative control and non-template were included in the run. This was followed by Sanger Sequencing of the PCR amplified DNA fragments (1st BASE, Singapore). Alignment of the sequences were carried out using Benchling and followed by analysis using BLAST [National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)]. A30804 was aligned using ClustalW with respective closely related actinobacteria strains obtained from the GenBank databases with 16S rRNA region. Neighbor-joining tree algorithm method was used to establish the genetic relationship between the strains. The phylogenetic tree was constructed with a bootstrapped database containing 1000 replicates in MEGA 11.0 software (Mega, US). The 16S rRNA gene sequence of strain A30804 has been deposited in GenBank database of NCBI under the accession number OP225395.

2.3. Fermentation and Extraction of Bacterial Crude Extract

Actinomadura sp. strain A30804 were cultured in 5 mL SV2 media, (For 1 L, add 15 g glucose (1st BASE, Singapore), 15 g glycerol (VWR, Radnor, PA, USA), 15 g soya peptone (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK), and 1 g calcium carbonate (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), pH adjusted to 7.0) for 3 days at 28 °C under constant shaking at 200 rpm to generate a seed culture. The seed culture was inoculated into fresh CA09LB media (10 g meat extract (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 4 g yeast extract (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), 20 g glucose (1st BASE, Singapore), and glycerol 3 g (VWR, Radnor, PA, USA) in 1 L of H2O, pH adjusted to 7.0) in a 1:20 volume ratio and incubated at 28 °C in the dark with shaking at 200 rpm. After 9 days of incubation, the cultures were centrifuged to separate biomass and supernatant, followed by lyophilization. The dried cultures were extracted by MeOH and filtered through filter paper (Whatman Grade 4, Maidstone, Kent, UK). MeOH was removed under reduced pressure to give a crude extract of a combined weight of 40.02 g.

2.4. Isolation and Structure Elucidation

The dried extracts obtained were combined and partitioned with CH2Cl2/MeOH/H2O in a ratio of 2:1:1. The CH2Cl2 layer was then evaporated to dryness under reduced pres-
sure using a rotary evaporator. The CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} crude extract (2.1 g) was subjected to a Si gel column chromatography (2.5 x 22 cm) using a stepwise gradient solvent system from 100% CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} to 12% MeOH/CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (2% MeOH increment, 250 mL each), followed by a final 100% MeOH elution to afford eight fractions (A1–A8). Fractions A4–A6 (2.5 g) were combined and further separated by C\textsubscript{18} RP-HPLC. Isocratic conditions of 50% MeCN/H\textsubscript{2}O (0.1% FA) were initially held for 5 min, followed by a linear gradient to 100% MeCN (0.1% FA) over 2 min, and isocratic conditions at 100% MeCN for 10 min, all at a flow rate of 24 mL/min. This purification step yielded decatromicin B (2, 6.5 mg) and BE-45722B (3, 3.4 mg). Fractions A7 and A8 were pooled (356.6 mg) and separated by C\textsubscript{18} RP-HPLC. Isocratic conditions of 50% MeCN/H\textsubscript{2}O (0.1% FA) were initially held for 5 min, followed by a linear gradient to 80% MeCN/H\textsubscript{2}O (0.1% FA) over 45 min, a gradient to 100% MeCN (0.1% FA) over 2 min, and isocratic elution at 100% MeCN for 10 min, all at a flow rate of 24 mL/min to afford compound 8 (7.5 mg), decatromicin A (1, 10.9 mg), pyrrolosporin A (6, 3.1 mg) and compound 7 (3.8 mg). Fraction A2 (257.7 mg) was further separated using C\textsubscript{18} RP-HPLC. Initially, isocratic conditions of 50% MeCN/H\textsubscript{2}O (0.1% FA) were held for 5 min, followed by a linear gradient to 100% MeCN (0.1% FA) over 45 min, then isocratic conditions at 100% MeCN for 10 min, all at a flow rate of 24 mL/min to yield BE-45722D (5, 17.1 mg), BE-45722C (4, 16.8 mg), compound 9 (7.5 mg), compound 10 (4.1 mg), and a subfraction containing a mixture of 11 and 12. The mixture (3.5 mg) of 11 and 12 was further separated using preparative TLC with a solvent system of 0.5% MeOH/CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} to obtain pure compounds 11 (1.2 mg) and 12 (1.0 mg).

2.5. Chemical Structural Data

The UV spectra and HRESIMS spectra of 7–12, and 1D and 2D NMR spectra of 1–12 are provided in Supplementary Information, Figures S1, S2 and S4–S55.

Pyrrolosporin B (7): White amorphous powders; [\(\alpha\)]\textsubscript{D}\textsuperscript{23} −4 (c 0.5, MeOH); UV (MeCN/H\textsubscript{2}O) \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) (%) 220 (100%), 272 (70%) nm; (–)-HRESIMS: \(m/z\) 873.2706 (84%) [M–H]\textsuperscript{−}; 875.2685 (100%) [M–H]\textsuperscript{−} (calcd for C\textsubscript{44}H\textsubscript{52}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{10}Cl\textsubscript{3}, 873.2693); \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR data, see Table 1.

Table 1. \(^1\)H (400 MHz) and \(^{13}\)C (100 MHz) NMR data of decatromicins C (7) and D (8) in MeOH-d\textsubscript{4}.

| Pos. | \(^{13}\)C, Type | \(^1\)H, Mult. (\(J = \) Hz) | \(^1\)H, Mult. (\(J = \) Hz) |
|------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| 7    |                 |                  |                  |
| 1    | 164.7, C        | –                | 169.5, C         | –                |
| 2    | 104.5, C        | –                | 104.0, C         | –                |
| 3    | 206.2, C        | –                | 205.5, C         | –                |
| 4    | 56.1, C         | –                | 56.0, C          | –                |
| 5    | 41.9, CH        | 1.82, m          | 41.7, CH         | 1.84, m          |
| 6    | 23.9, CH\textsubscript{2} | 1.37, m; 1.80, m | 24.0, CH\textsubscript{2} | 1.38, m; 1.81, m |
| 7    | 33.3, CH\textsubscript{2} | 1.59 (2H), m | 33.2, CH\textsubscript{2} | 1.63 (2H), m |
| 8    | 35.6, CH        | 2.34, m          | 35.4, CH         | 2.40, m          |
| 9    | 87.3, CH        | 3.37, dd (5.3, 10.2) | 87.4, CH         | 3.39, m          |
| 10   | 40.0, CH        | 2.15, t (10.7)   | 39.7, CH         | 2.17, t (10.6)   |
| 11   | 125.4, CH       | 5.59, m          | 125.5, CH        | 5.68, t (10.4)   |
| 12   | 132.8, CH       | 5.69, dd (2.2, 5.9, 10.1) | 132.6, CH      | 5.70, dd (1.4, 5.2, 9.9) |
| 13   | 44.8, CH        | 2.94, m          | 43.9, CH         | 2.90, br dd (4.5, 9.1) |
| 14   | 37.8, CH\textsubscript{2} | 1.95 (2H), m | 38.0, CH\textsubscript{2} | 2.02 (2H), m   |
| 15   | 129.0, CH       | 5.30, m          | 132.5, CH        | 5.21, m          |
| 16   | 129.0, CH\textsubscript{2} | 5.35, m       | 129.1, CH\textsubscript{2} | 5.43, dd (5.3, 9.5, 14.9) |
| 17   | 35.2, CH\textsubscript{2} | 2.53 (2H), m | 45.7, CH\textsubscript{2} | 2.37, dd (4.8, 12.3); 2.50, dd (9.0, 12.0) |
| 18   | 132.3, CH       | 5.63, dd (8.1, 16.1) | 140.2, C         | –                |
| 19   | 134.2, CH\textsubscript{2} | 5.41, d (15.9) | 126.8, CH        | 5.00, br s       |
| 20   | 43.3, C         | –                | 44.0, C          | –                |
Table 1. Cont.

| Pos. | $^{13}$C, Type | $^1$H, Mult. ($J = Hz$) | $^{13}$C, Type | $^1$H, Mult. ($J = Hz$) |
|------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| 21   | 143.9, CH      | 6.76, d (1.8)            | 143.9, CH      | 7.06, d (1.5)            |
| 22   | 133.8, C       | –                        | 132.8, C       | –                        |
| 23   | 37.5, CH       | 2.65, m                  | 37.2, CH       | 2.70, m                  |
| 24   | 31.5, CH$_2$   | 1.83, m; 2.46, m         | 31.0, CH$_2$   | 1.83, m; 2.47, m         |
| 25   | 86.7, C        | –                        | 86.9, C        | –                        |
| 26   | 200.4, C       | –                        | 200.4, C       | –                        |
| 27   | 24.2, CH$_2$   | 1.82, m; 2.74, m         | 24.1, CH$_2$   | 1.87, m; 2.73, m         |
| 28   | 12.4, CH$_3$   | 0.94, t (7.5)            | 12.2, CH$_3$   | 0.92, t (7.2)            |
| 29   | 13.5, CH$_3$   | 1.01, d (7.0)            | 13.5, CH$_3$   | 1.04, d (7.0)            |
| 30   | 24.4, CH$_3$   | 1.28, s                  | 26.9, CH$_3$   | 1.31, s                  |
| 31   | 170.6, C       | –                        | 170.5          | –                        |
| 32   | 27.6, CH$_2$   | 1.53, m; 1.65, m         | 27.3, CH$_2$   | 1.60, m; 1.75, m         |
| 33   | 13.6, CH$_3$   | 0.91, t (7.2)            | 13.2, CH$_3$   | 0.92, t (7.2)            |
| 1’   | 102.7, CH      | 4.47, d (8.8)            | 103.0, CH      | 4.52, dd (1.3, 9.6)      |
| 2’   | 40.7, CH$_2$   | 1.56, m; 2.22, m         | 41.2, CH$_2$   | 1.59, m; 2.27, dd (1.3, 4.6, 12.4) |
| 3’   | 70.0, CH       | 3.72, dt (4.9, 11.2)     | 70.3, CH       | 3.71, dd (4.9, 9.8, 11.3) |
| 4’   | 59.8, CH       | 3.61, t (9.5)            | 59.4, CH       | 3.57, t (9.8)            |
| 5’   | 72.6, CH       | 3.44, m                  | 72.5, CH       | 3.42, m                  |
| 6’   | 18.9, CH$_3$   | 1.24, d (6.2)            | 18.7, CH$_3$   | 1.22, d (6.2)            |
| 2’’  | 129.1, C       | –                        | 127.0          | –                        |
| 3’’  | 121.9, C       | –                        | 122.9, CH      | 6.90, dd (1.4, 2.5)      |
| 4’’  | 110.3, C       | –                        | 110.1, CH      | 6.16, dd (2.6, 3.6)      |
| 5’’  | 116.8, C       | –                        | 111.7, CH      | 6.82, dd (1.4, 3.7)      |
| 6’’  | 161.1, C       | –                        | 164.3, C       | –                        |
| 18-CH$_3$ | –             | –                        | 19.1, CH$_3$   | 1.79, s                  |

Decatromicin C (8): White amorphous powders; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} + 39$ (c 1.0, MeOH); UV (MeCN/H$_2$O) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (%) 216 (100%), 270 (70%) nm; (–)-HRESIMS: $m/z$ 785.4034 [M–H]$^-$ (calcld for C$_{45}$H$_{57}$N$_2$O$_{10}$, 785.4019); $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Table 1.

Decatromicin D (9): White amorphous powders; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} + 133$ (c 0.2, MeOH); UV (MeCN/H$_2$O) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (%) 216 (100%), 272 (28%) nm; (–)-HRESIMS: $m/z$ 563.3015 [M–H]$^-$ (calcld for C$_{34}$H$_{43}$O$_7$, 563.3014); $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Table 2.

Decatromicin E (10): White amorphous powders; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} + 86$ (c 0.4, MeOH); UV (MeCN/H$_2$O) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (%) 216 (100%), 272 (44%) nm; (–)-HRESIMS: $m/z$ 533.3275 [M–H]$^-$ (calcld for C$_{34}$H$_{45}$O$_5$, 533.3272); $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Table 2.

Decatromicin F (11): White amorphous powders; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} + 35$ (c 0.2, MeOH); UV (MeCN/H$_2$O) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (%) 224 (100%), 277 (38%) nm; (–)-HRESIMS: $m/z$ 547.3443 [M–H]$^-$ (calcld for C$_{35}$H$_{48}$O$_5$, 547.3429); $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Table 2.

Decatromicin G (12): White amorphous powders; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} + 90$ (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeCN/H$_2$O) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (%) 224 (100%), 377 (38%) nm; (–)-HRESIMS: $m/z$ 547.3438 [M–H]$^-$ (calcld for C$_{35}$H$_{48}$O$_5$, 547.3429); $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, see Table 2.
2.6. Biological Assays

Antimicrobial effect of compounds 1–12 were tested against a panel of Gram-negative bacteria, including *Acinetobacter baumannii* (ATCC® 19606™), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC® 9027™), and *Klebsiella aerogenes* (ATCC® 13048™), the Gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* Rosenbach (ATCC® 25923™) and the fungal strain *Aspergillus fumigatus* (ATCC® 46645™). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal/fungicidal concentration (MBC/MFC) determination were carried out using the microbroth dilution method, based on the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines, with minor modifications. Antibacterial assays were tested with cells seeded at 5.5 × 10⁵ cells/mL, whereas the antifungal assay was carried out at 2.5 × 10⁴ spores/mL. The tested compounds were incubated together with the bacterial cells at 37 °C for 24 h, and at 25 °C for 72 h for the fungal spores for MIC testing. OD₆₀₀ measurement was subsequently performed on the plates to determine the inhibitory effect of the compounds. MBC/MFC was determined by transferring 5 µL of the treated culture into new media microplates, where the plates were incubated under the same condition, followed by OD₆₀₀ measurement. Isolated compounds 1–12 were also tested against A549 human lung carcinoma cells (ATCC® CCL-185™) for cytotoxicity assessment, where cells were seeded at 3.3 × 10⁴ cells/mL. Following that, compounds were added to the cells and further incubated for 72 h at 37 °C in the presence of 5% CO₂. The cytotoxic effect of the compounds was detected using

### Table 2. ¹H (400 MHz) and ¹³C (100 MHz) NMR data of decatromicins D–G (9–12) in MeOH-d₄.

| Pos. | ¹H, Mult. (f = Hz) | ¹H, Mult. (f = Hz) | ¹H, Mult. (f = Hz) | ¹H, Mult. (f = Hz) | ¹H, Mult. (f = Hz) | ¹H, Mult. (f = Hz) |
|------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|      |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| 1    | 167.7, C         | 169.8, C         | 168.7, C         | 103.6            | –                | –                |
| 2    | 102.5, C         | 104.3, C         | –                | –                | –                | –                |
| 3    | 203.0, C         | 206.1, C         | –                | –                | –                | –                |
| 4    | 55.8, C          | 56.1, C          | –                | –                | –                | –                |
| 5    | 41.8, CH         | 1.79, m          | 41.4, CH         | 1.81, m          | 41.6, CH         | 1.80, m          |
| 6    | 23.9, CH₂        | 1.32, m, 1.80, m | 23.9, CH₂        | 1.38, m, 1.81, m | 23.9, CH₂        | 1.37, m, 1.82, m |
| 7    | 33.4, CH         | 1.63 (2H), m     | 33.3, CH         | 1.65 (2H), m     | 33.4, CH         | 1.65 (2H), m     |
| 8    | 36.2, CH         | 2.12, m          | 36.1, CH         | 2.14, m          | 36.1, CH         | 2.12, m          |
| 9    | 77.5, CH         | 3.41, dd (5.2, 10.6) | 77.2, CH         | 3.42, dd (5.2, 10.6) | 77.3, CH         | 3.42, dd (5.1, 10.6) |
| 10   | 40.8, CH         | 2.05, m          | 40.6, CH         | 2.08, t (10.7)   | 40.7, CH         | 2.06, t (10.2)   |
| 11   | 125.5, CH        | 5.79, d (9.9)    | 125.7, CH        | 5.82, d (9.6)    | 125.6, CH        | 5.80, d (10.2)   |
| 12   | 133.0, CH        | 5.65, m          | 132.1, CH        | 5.67, ddd        | 132.7, CH        | 5.66, ddd        |
| 13   | 43.3, CH         | 2.98, m          | 44.1, CH         | 2.90, br q (5.3) | 43.9, CH         | 2.96, m          |
| 14   | 38.1, CH₂        | 1.91, m, 2.00, m | 38.0, CH₂        | 1.92 (2H), m     | 38.0, CH₂        | 1.96 (2H), m     |
| 15   | 122.7, CH        | 5.24, m          | 123.3, CH        | 5.17, m          | 123.7, CH        | 5.21, m          |
| 16   | 128.7, CH        | 5.40, m          | 129.3, CH        | 5.41, m          | 129.1, CH        | 5.39, m          |
| 17   | 45.7, CH₂        | 2.34, m, 2.46, m | 46.0, CH₂        | 2.44, m, 2.44, m | 45.9, CH₂        | 2.33, m, 2.42, m |
| 18   | 139.5, C         | –                 | 139.3, C         | –                 | 139.0, C         | –                 |
| 19   | 127.3, CH        | 4.99, br s       | 128.0, CH        | 4.94, br s       | 128.5, CH        | 4.95, br s       |
| 20   | 44.0, C          | –                 | 43.5, C          | –                 | 43.6, C          | –                 |
| 21   | 144.7, CH        | 7.03, d (1.1)    | 128.8, CH        | 5.57, br t (1.4) | 129.1, CH        | 5.55, br s       |
| 22   | 133.0, C         | –                 | 134.9, C         | –                 | 134.8, C         | –                 |
| 23   | 37.5, CH         | 2.64, m          | 42.0, CH         | 2.17, m          | 39.7, CH         | 2.27, m          |
| 24   | 30.7, CH₂        | 1.79, m, 2.35, m | 31.5, CH₂        | 1.75, m, 2.46, m | 35.9, CH₂        | 1.69, m, 2.42, m |
| 25   | 86.3, C          | –                 | 87.8, C          | –                 | 87.6, C          | –                 |
| 26   | 200.6, C         | –                 | 200.8, C         | –                 | 201.1, C         | –                 |
| 27   | 24.1, CH        | 1.83, m, 2.75, q (7.5) | 24.1, CH         | 1.89, m, 2.72, m | 24.1, CH        | 1.85, m, 2.72, m |
| 28   | 13.3, CH₂        | 0.95, t (7.5)    | 12.2, CH₂        | 0.90, t (7.5)    | 12.4, CH₃        | 0.91, t (6.9)    |
| 29   | 12.7, CH₂        | 1.00, d (7.0)    | 12.6, CH₂        | 1.00, d (7.0)    | 12.6, CH₃        | 1.00, d (6.9)    |
| 30   | 27.0, CH₂        | 1.27, s          | 27.6, CH₂        | 1.26, s          | 27.4, CH₃        | 1.27, s          |
| 31   | 171.4, C         | –                 | 22.2, CH₂        | 1.79, s          | 22.3, CH₃        | 1.77, s          |
| 32   | 27.3, CH₂        | 1.72 (2H), m     | 26.2, CH₂        | 1.53, dq (7.2, 10.5), 1.79, m | 26.2, CH₂        | 1.61 (2H), m     |
| 33   | 12.5, CH₂        | 0.91, t (7.6)    | 13.3, CH₂        | 0.91, t (7.4)    | 23.7, CH₂        | 1.34 (2H), m     |
| 34   | –                | –                 | –                | –                 | 14.3, CH₂        | 0.91, t (7.6)    |
| 18-CH₃ | 19.1, CH₃       | 1.76, s          | 18.6, CH₃        | 1.77, s          | 18.6, CH₃        | 1.77, s          |

* Not detected due to minute amounts.
A (A30804 was aligned and further analyzed where a nucleotide BLAST search was performed against strain IFM 10266 and Actinomadura chibensis. The presence of a sugar moiety attached to C-9 was evident by a pyrrole moiety. Consistently, MS/MS fragmentation analysis showed a fragment with a pyrrolosporin A (polyketide structural class (Figure 4). For example, published data. 

trans between H-9 and H-10 suggested a linkage between the sugar and the backbone of the molecule, a coupling constant of 10.2 Hz. For H–16 to those of pyrrolosporin A (m/z 767) (Figure 5) [23]. Similar coupling constant values and NOESY correlations in electronic supplementary information together with MS data analysis revealed that the molecular ion peak suggested the presence of three chlorine atoms in the pyrrole moiety in Compound 7, white amorphous powders, had a molecular formula of C₄₄H₅₃Cl₃N₂O₁₀, as revealed by (+)-HRESIMS. The ¹H, ¹³C, and HSQC NMR data (Table 1) indicated the presence of five methyl, eight methylene, seventeen methine, and fourteen non-protonated carbons. Detailed analysis of 2D NMR spectra suggested that 7 belonged to the spirotetronate polyketides compounds such as decatromicin A and B [15,17].

The MeOH extract obtained from the liquid culture of Actinomadura sp. was separated by various chromatographic techniques to afford six known and six previously undescribed spirotetronate polyketides, pyrrolosporin B (7), decatromicin C–G (8–12) (Figure 1). The known spirotetronates, decatromicin A–B (1–2), BE-45722B–D (3–5), and pyrrolosporin A (6) were characterized by comparisons of their MS data, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra to published data.

Compound 7, white amorphous powders, had a molecular formula of C₄₄H₅₃Cl₃N₂O₁₀, as revealed by (+)-HRESIMS. The ¹H, ¹³C, and HSQC NMR data (Table 1) indicated the presence of five methyl, eight methylene, seventeen methine, and fourteen non-protonated carbons. Detailed analysis of 2D NMR spectra suggested that 7 belonged to the spirotetronate polyketide structural class (Figure 4). For example, ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum readily assigned the fragment H-5 along the chain to H-19; HMBC cross-peaks from H₃-30 to C-19, C-21, and C-25, together with HMBC correlations from H-21 to C-22, C-23, and C-31 as well as ¹H-¹H COSY between H-23 and H₂-24 and HMBC correlation from H-24 to C-25 allowed the identification of a cyclohexene ring (linked to C-19) decorated with a carboxylic acid at C-22. ¹H-¹H COSY between H$_3$-32 and H-23 and HMBC correlation from H-33 to C-23 established an ethyl fragment attached to C-23. Further HMBC correlations from H$_2$-27 to C-4, C-5, and C-13; and H$_3$-28 to C-4 assigned the position of CH$_3$-CH$_2$- fragment on C-4. The presence of a sugar moiety attached to C-9 was evident by ¹H-¹H COSY between H-1’/H₂-2’/H-3’/H-4’/H-5’/H₃-6’, and HMBC correlation from H-9 to an anomic carbon at δC 102.7 ppm. The features of the ¹H and ¹³C spectra of 7 were very similar to those for pyrrolosporin A (6) (Supplementary Information Table S3), except that the -CH resonance from the pyrrolo moiety in 6 was absent in 7. Analysis of MS data and the isotopic pattern of the molecular ion peak suggested the presence of three chlorine atoms in 7. These observations together with MS data analysis revealed that 7 had a unique trichlorinated pyrrole moiety. Consistently, MS/MS fragmentation analysis showed a fragment with an m/z value of 687.3651, which suggested a loss of the trichlorinated pyrrole carbonyl fragment (Figure 5) [23]. Similar coupling constant values and NOESY correlations in 7 to those of pyrrolosporin A (6) indicated the same relative configurations, for instance, a coupling constant of 8.8 Hz between H–1’ and H–2’ax readily indicated a β-glycosidic linkage between the sugar and the backbone of the molecule, a coupling constant of 10.2 Hz between H-9 and H-10 suggested a trans-diaxial relationship. NOESY correlations between...
H-10 and H-29, H-1’ and H-3’ and H-1’ and H-5’ further supported the relative configuration as drawn on Figures 1 and 6. Thus, the structure of 7 was established as a new member of the spirotetronate polyketide structural class and named pyrrolosporin B (7).

Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree showing the evolutionary relationship between A30804 and other type species of the genus *Actinomadura*. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed based on 16S rRNA gene sequence showing the relationship between isolated strain A30804 and representatives or related actinobacteria strains retrieved from the GenBank with their respective accession numbers. Bootstrap values greater than 50% are shown at the number on the branches nodes which were analyzed based on 1000 replicates. Bar, 0.01 substitutions per nucleotide position.
The MeOH extract obtained from the liquid culture of *Actinomadura* sp. was separated by various chromatographic techniques to afford six known and six previously undescribed spirotetronate polyketides, pyrrolosporin B (7), decatromicin C–G (8–12) (Figure 1). The known spirotetronates, decatromicin A–B (1–2), BE-45722B–D (3–5), and pyrrolosporin A (6) were characterized by comparisons of their MS data, 1H and 13C NMR spectra to published data. Compound 7, white amorphous powders, had a molecular formula of C44H53Cl3N2O10, as revealed by (−)-HRESIMS. The 1H, 13C, and HSQC NMR data (Table 1) indicated the presence of five methyl, eight methylene, seventeen methine, and fourteen non-protonated carbons. Detailed analysis of 2D NMR spectra suggested that 7 belonged to the spirotetronate polyketide structural class (Figure 4). For example, 1H-1H COSY spectrum readily assigned the fragment H-5 along the chain to H-19; HMBC cross-peaks from H3-30 to C-19, C-21, and C-25, together with HMBC correlations from H-21 to C-22, C-23, and C-31 as well as 1H-1H COSY between H-23 and H2-24 and HMBC correlation from H-24 to C-25 allowed the identification of a cyclohexene ring (linked to C-19) decorated with a carboxylic acid at C-22. 1H-1H COSY between H2-32 and H-23 and HMBC correlation from H-33 to C-23 established an ethyl fragment attached to C-23. Further HMBC correlations from H 2-27 to C-4, C-5, and C-13; and H 3-28 to C-4 assigned the position of CH3-CH2- fragment on C-4. The presence of a sugar moiety attached to C-9 was evident by 1H-1H COSY between H-1'/H 2-2'/H-3'/H-4'/H-5'/H3-6', and HMBC correlation from H-9 to an anomeric carbon at δC 102.7 ppm. The features of the 1H and 13C spectra of 7 were very similar to those for pyrrolosporin A (6) (Supplementary Information Table S3), except that the -CH resonance from the pyrrole moiety in 6 was absent in 7. Analysis of MS data and the isotopic pattern of the molecular ion peaks suggested the presence of three chlorine atoms in 7. These observations together with MS data analysis revealed that 7 had a unique trichlorinated pyrrole moiety. Consistently, MS/MS fragmentation analysis showed a fragment with an m/z value of 687.3651, which suggested a loss of the trichlorinated pyrrole carbonyl fragment (Figure 6) [23]. Similar coupling constant values and NOESY correlations in 7 to those of pyrrolosporin A (6) indicated the same relative configurations, for instance, a coupling constant of 8.8 Hz between H--1' and H--2'ax readily indicated a β-glycosidic linkage between the sugar and the backbone of the molecule, a coupling constant of 10.2 Hz between H-9 and H-10 suggested a trans-diaxial relationship. NOESY correlations between H-10 and H3-29, H-1′ and H-3′ and H-1' and H-5' further supported the relative configuration as drawn on Figure 1 and 5. Thus, the structure of 7 was established as a new member of the spirotetronate polyketide structural class and named pyrrolosporin B (7).
Compound 8, white amorphous powders, had a molecular formula of C_{45}H_{56}N_{2}O_{10} assigned based on (−)-HRESIMS data. Comparison of ¹H NMR data of 8 with those of 7 showed an additional singlet methyl at δ_H 1.79 and three additional proton resonances at δ_H 6.16, 6.82, and 6.90, characteristic ¹H resonances of a pyrrole moiety (Table 1). The additional methyl was positioned at C-18 based on HMBC correlations from δ_H 1.79 to C-17, C-18, and C-19 (Figure 4). The other features of ¹H and ¹³C of 8 were similar to those of decatromicin A (1). Based on these observations, compound 8 was a dechlorinated version of decatromicin A (1). The relative configurations of 8 were deemed to be the same as in 7 following analyses of J-coupling and NOESY data (Figure 6). Thus, the structure of 8 was assigned and named decatromicin C. It is worth mentioning that compound 8 was previously obtained from a dechlorination reaction of 1 using tri-n-butylstannane [14]. However, spectroscopic data of 8 was not reported. We have also included HRMS and full NMR spectroscopic data of 8, which were not reported in the original paper. This is the first report of the identification of 8 from a natural source.
Compound 9 had a molecular formula of C\textsubscript{34}H\textsubscript{44}O\textsubscript{7} following HRMS data analysis. \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectra of 9 were similar to those of 8. However, the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR signals for the pyrrole group and amino sugar in 8 were missing in 9 (Table 2). Furthermore, the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR resonance of H-9 was shifted downfield from \(\delta\text{H} 3.39\) in 8 to \(\delta\text{H} 3.41\) in 9. Detailed 2D NMR (Figure 7) data analysis indicated that 9 was the aglycone core of 8, and named decatromicin D. The relative configuration of 9 was deemed to be identical as 8 following NOESY data interpretation (Figure 8). Previously, aglycone 9 was obtained from a degradation study of decatromicin A (1) [14]. Here, we report the isolation and identification of 9 from a natural source.

![Figure 7: Selected COSY, HMBC correlations for 9-12.](image)

![Figure 8: Selected NOESY correlations for 9-12.](image)

Compound 10, white amorphous powders, was assigned the molecular formula C\textsubscript{33}H\textsubscript{46}O\textsubscript{5}. The \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectra of 10 were almost identical to those of 9, indicating that compound 10 had the same spirotetronate aglycone core structure. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR data showed that compound 10 had an extra methyl compared to 9 (Table 2). The \textsuperscript{13}C carbonyl NMR resonance at \(\delta\text{C} 171.4\) in 9 was not observed in 10, suggesting that the carboxylic acid group in 9 was replaced by a methyl group. This was supported by HMBC correlations from the singlet methyl at \(\delta\text{H} 1.79\) to C-21, C-22, and C-23 (Figure 7). The same relative configuration previously assigned for 9 was also determined for 10 following analyses of
the NOESY data and \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{1}H coupling constants (Figure 8). Thus, the structure of 10 was established and named decatromicin E.

The molecular formula C\textsubscript{35}H\textsubscript{48}O\textsubscript{5} was assigned for compound 11 following (–)-HRESIMS spectrum analysis. MS and NMR data comparison between 10 and 11 revealed that the latter compound had an additional -CH\textsubscript{2}- moiety (Table 2). \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{1}H COSY spectrum established a fragment of H\textsubscript{2}-32/H\textsubscript{2}-33/H-34 which was attached to C-23 since HMBC correlation from H-23 to C-32 was observed (Figure 7). The relative configuration of 11 was judged to be identical to 10 following the NOESY data comparison (Figure 8). Therefore, the structure of 11 was elucidated and named decatromicin F.

The minor compound 12 had the same molecular formula C\textsubscript{35}H\textsubscript{48}O\textsubscript{5} as that of 11, indicating that these compounds were structural isomers. However, the methyl doublet at \( \delta \text{H} 1.00 \) in 11 was absent in 12. This observation together with HMBC correlation from H\textsubscript{3}-30 to C-8 located an ethyl group on C-8 (Figure 7). \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{1}H coupling constants and the NOESY spectrum analysis assigned the relative configurations in 12 to be the same as in 10 (Figure 8). Hence, the structure of 12 was elucidated and named decatromicin G. Due to the minute amount of decatromicin F (11) and G (12), several of \textsuperscript{13}C NMR resonances for these molecules were not observed in the \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectra (Table 2). However, their \textsuperscript{1}H/2D NMR, UV, and MS spectra were comparable with other isolated compounds (1–10), indicating they belonged to spirotetronate polyketide type of compounds.

During the isolation of compounds 7–12, six known spirotetronate polyketides, including decatromicin A–B (1–2), BE-45722B–D (3–5), and pyrrolosporin A (6) were also isolated and identified. Decatromicins A (1) and B (2) were initially discovered from Actinomadura sp. MK73-NF4 and showed potent antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive methicillin-resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (MRSA) [14,15], while BE-45722 series of compounds (3–5) were analogs of the decatromicins with an altered decoration of chlorine on their pyrrole group [16,17]. Compounds 3–5 were also reported to demonstrate antibacterial activity against a panel of Gram-positive bacteria, including \textit{Bacillus cereus}, \textit{Bacillus subtilis}, \textit{S. aureus}, and \textit{Clostridium perfringens}. Another congener, pyrrolosporin A (6), was first isolated from \textit{Micromonospora} sp. (ATCC 53791) [19,20]. The main structural difference between pyrrolosporin A (6) and decatromicins/BE-45722 series was the absence of a methyl group attached to an olefin in the aglycone core in 6.

The antibacterial activity of spirotetronate polyketides 1–12 was evaluated against a panel of bacterial strains, namely \textit{A. baumannii}, \textit{K. aerogenes}, \textit{P. aeruginosa}, and \textit{S. aureus} Rosenbach. All compounds were inactive against the Gram-negative bacteria \textit{P. aeruginosa} and \textit{K. aerogenes} (Figure S56). Compounds 1–8, 10, 12 demonstrated activities against \textit{S. aureus} Rosenbach, the only Gram-positive bacteria strain tested (Table 3 and Figure 9). Interestingly, compounds 2, 3 and 7 exhibited inhibitory activity against Gram negative strain \textit{A. baumannii} (Table 3 and Figure 10), which was not reported previously for this class of compounds. In addition, the antifungal activities of 1–12 was also evaluated against \textit{A. fumigatus}; no antifungal activity was observed (Figure S57). Furthermore, all the compounds were investigated for their cytotoxicity against the human lung carcinoma cell line; and compounds 3–5 showed weak cytotoxic activity towards A549 cells (Figure S58). Notably, it has been well documented that decatromicin compounds exhibited a wide range of biological properties; and are strong antibiotics against Gram-positive bacteria, including contemporary strains of methicillin-resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (MRSA) [14,15].
| Target Organism or Cell Line (ATCC® Number) | Minimal Inhibitory Concentration, MIC90 of Decatromicin Compounds (µM) |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Acinetobacter baumannii (ATCC® 19606™)    | 100 >100 28.3 12.3 >100 >100 36.3 >100 >100 >100 >100 >100 >100 |
| Staphylococcus aureus Rosenbach (ATCC® 25923™) | 3.3 1.4 1.7 6.4 5.3 3.4 2.3 15.7 >100 17.5 >100 15.6 |

| Target organism or cell line (ATCC® number) | Minimal bactericidal concentration, MBC90 of decatromicin compounds (µM) |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Acinetobacter baumannii (ATCC® 19606™)    | 100 >100 30.4 >100 >100 >100 >100 >100 >100 >100 >100 >100 |
| Staphylococcus aureus Rosenbach (ATCC® 25923™) | 3.9 3.1 8.7 7.5 7.6 4.7 7.4 16.3 >100 >100 >100 >100 |

Compounds 1–5 and 8 possess the same aglycone core structure. In this series of compounds, at least two chlorine substitutions and a free NH on the pyrrole moiety are important for antibacterial activity against *A. baumannii* as seen in compounds 2 and 3 (i.e., MIC90 between 12 µM and 30 µM). In addition, compound 3 was 2-fold more active against *A. baumannii* (MIC90 of 12.3 µM and MBC90 of 30.4 µM) as compared to compound 2 (i.e., MIC90 of 28.3 µM and MBC90 >100 µM) as the number of chloro substituent on the pyrrole moiety increases (Table 3).

Similar observation was made in compounds 6 and 7 which possess the same aglycone structure. For instance, compound 7 which was trichloro substituted at the pyrrole moiety showed antibacterial activity against *A. baumannii* (i.e., MIC90 of 36.3 µM) while compound 6 (i.e., dichloro substituted) was inactive against *A. baumannii*. Moreover, a 3-fold increase in antibacterial activity was observed in compound 3 as compared to compound 7 when the C-18 position in the aglycone moiety was substituted with a methyl group (Table 3).

Compounds 1-8, 10, 12 were active against *S. aureus* Rosenbach. Among these compounds, compounds 2, 3 and 7 were the most potent (MIC90 between 1 µM and 3 µM). Our studies showed that the potency of these compounds against *S. aureus* Rosenbach was likely attributed to at least two chloro- substitutions on the pyrrole moiety. On the other hand, a decrease in antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* Rosenbach was observed in compounds 4 and 5 (i.e., MIC90 between 5 µM and 7 µM) when NH group in pyrrole moiety was substituted with a methyl group even though their pyrrole moieties were substituted with at least two chlorine. In addition, the absence of any chlorine and methyl substitutions on the pyrrole moiety in compound 8 led to 3 to 10-fold decrease in antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* Rosenbach (i.e., MIC90 of 15.7 µM) as compared to compounds 2–5.

Interestingly, a replacement of the carboxylic acid group on the C-22 position in the aglycone moiety in compound 9 with a methyl group in compound 10 led to antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* Rosenbach as shown in Table 3 and Figure 9 (i.e., 9: MIC90 >100 µM; 10: MIC90 of 17.5 µM). This could be due to the poor cell membrane permeability of the carboxylic acid group, thus resulting in no antibacterial activity in compound 9.

For compounds 10 and 11, a replacement of the ethyl group with a propyl group on the C-23 position in the aglycone moiety resulted in weaker activity against *S. aureus* Rosenbach with an MIC90 value of 17.5 µM in 10, whilst 11 did not exhibit any antibacterial activity. In addition, a replacement of the methyl group with an ethyl group on the C-8 position in the aglycone moiety as seen in compounds 10 and 12, respectively, did not lead to any significant change in activity against *S. aureus* Rosenbach, with a MIC90 value of 15.6 µM in compound 12. Of all the compounds tested, compounds 2 and 3 (decatromicin B and BE-45722B, respectively) and 7 (pyrrolosporin B) showed the most potent antibacterial
activities against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, as well as weak or no cytotoxic activity against A549 cells.

Figure 9. Inhibitory effect dose–response curve against *S. aureus* Rosenbach (ATCC® 25923™) for compounds 1–12 (A–L).
Figure 10. Inhibitory effect dose–response curve against A. baumannii (ATCC® 19606) for compounds 1–12 (A–L).
4. Conclusions

*Actinomadura* sp. strain A30804 was found to produce spirotetronate polyketides 1-12. Compounds 2 and 3 (decatromicin B and BE-45722B, respectively) and 7 (pyrrolosporin B) not only exhibited weak to no cytotoxicity to laboratory human cell line A549, but also demonstrated potent antibacterial activity against both Gram-positive bacteria, *S. aureus* Rosenbach (*ATCC®* 25923™) with MIC<sub>90</sub> values ranging from 1 µM to 3 µM and Gram-negative bacteria, *A. baumannii* (*ATCC®* 19606™) with MIC<sub>90</sub> values ranging from 12 µM to 36 µM. Preliminary structure–activity relationship studies showed the presence of at least two chloro- substitutions on the pyrrole moieties was important for antibacterial activity in this series of spirotetronate compounds. This report further supported the potential of these spirotetronate polyketides as potent antibacterial agents.
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