Case Report of Lambert Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome in a Patient with Small Cell Lung Cancer on Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy
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Abstract

Lambert Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS) is a rare autoimmune neuromuscular junction disorder involving loss of functional pre-synaptic P/Q-type voltage-gated calcium channels. Many cases occur as a paraneoplastic disorder, often in small cell lung cancer (SCLC). Recently, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) have emerged as treatment of choice for various malignancies. While generally well tolerated, certain ICI-treated patients experience neurologic immune-related adverse events (irAEs). Here, we explore therapeutic and diagnostic conundrums from the unclear etiology (paraneoplastic vs. irAE) of a case of LEMS in a patient with SCLC treated with ICI therapy. A 62-year-old female patient with SCLC was referred to EMG laboratory with 7 weeks of progressive weakness, shortness of breath and
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dysphagia. Due to tumor progression, immunotherapy with pembrolizumab was initiated five months prior to presentation. On examination, she had mild non-fatigable right-sided ptosis and diplopia, normal bulbar strength, and proximal greater than distal weakness of lower greater than upper extremities. Her reflexes were 2-/4 throughout, with left biceps reflex facilitating after 30 seconds of exercise. On nerve conduction studies (NCS), there was an amplitude increase in multiple nerves including the left median nerve (160%) and left ulnar nerve (370%) after 10 seconds of exercise. Paraneoplastic panel came back with elevated LEMS-related anti-P/Q-type voltage gated calcium channel antibodies at 0.19nmol/L (normal: ≤0.02nmol/L). This case illustrates the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges that surround LEMS in SCLC patients on immunotherapy. Diagnosis hinges on clinical presentation, motor NCS, and antibody testing while determination of the etiology (paraneoplastic vs ICI related LEMS) is more complex and may affect selection of the correct treatment. Therapy for ICI-related neuromuscular irAEs depends on symptom severity, but typically should include holding immunotherapy and administration of high dose corticosteroids as first line treatment with possible addition of IVIg and plasmapheresis. This differs from the common first line treatment for paraneoplastic LEMS, highlighting the importance of understanding of the etiology. Further research is needed to better understand optimal management.
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1. Introduction

Immunotherapy, including immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), has emerged as a novel treatment for a variety of malignancies. These drugs block checkpoint proteins from binding with their ligand/receptor which disinhibits T lymphocytes and allows them to kill cancer cells. Pembrolizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody against one of these checkpoint proteins, programmed death 1 (PD-1). Pembrolizumab is indicated for treatment of multiple malignancies, including PD-L1-expressing small cell lung cancer (SCLC) [1]. While generally well-tolerated, up to 12% of ICI-treated patients experience neurologic immune-related adverse events (irAEs), including encephalitis/meningitis, neuropathies, myositis, and myasthenia gravis (MG) [2, 3]. Lambert Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS) has rarely been reported [4, 5]. Importantly, the treatment approach to neuromuscular irAEs can differ from that of the classical forms of the same neuromuscular disease. Here, we explore the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges of LEMS in a patient with SCLC treated with ICI therapy.

2. Case Presentation

A 62-year-old female patient presented with recurrent stage IVA SCLC and was referred for EMG with 7 weeks of progressive weakness. Notably, this weakness was not present upon initial presentation of SCLC.
This patient was chosen for our study based on her symptoms, which began after 8 cycles (~5 months) of pembrolizumab treatment, with the drug being held at onset of weakness. She described difficulty rising from a chair, followed by instability requiring a walker and wheel chair and difficulty completing activities of daily living. Four weeks after onset, she developed shortness of breath at rest and mild dysphagia. She denied any visual symptoms, fatigability, or diurnal variation in her weakness.

On examination, our patient had mild non-fatigable right-sided ptosis without eye closure weakness. Eye movements appeared normal; however, she complained of diplopia in neutral gaze that did not worsen with sustained up or lateral gaze. She could whistle, puff her cheeks against resistance and was wheelchair-bound. Medical Research Council (MRC) strength: Neck flexion 4+/5, shoulder abduction and hip flexion 4-/5, distal strength 4+/5. Her reflexes were 1+/4 throughout, however the left biceps reflex facilitated to 2+/4 with 30 seconds of exercise. The remainder of her neurologic examination was normal.

Motor nerve conduction studies showed low amplitude compound muscle action potential (CMAP) with normal latencies and conduction velocities in the right peroneal, tibial, median, and left median and ulnar nerves. Slow 3-Hz repetitive nerve stimulation revealed decrement of 44% in the right ulnar-abductor digiti minimi (ADM) and 37% in the right median-abductor pollicis brevis (APB) nerve-muscle combinations (Figure 1). With 10 seconds of exercise there was 370% and 160% post exercise facilitation of the baseline left ulnar and median CMAP amplitudes, respectively (Figure 1). There was mild evidence of carpal tunnel syndrome, which had been previously diagnosed. All sensory nerve conduction studies were normal. Concentric needle EMGs of the right first dorsal interossei, biceps, deltoid, triceps, and pronator teres were normal.

Figure 1 3Hz repetitive nerve stimulation demonstrated CMAP amplitude decrement of 44% (0.4mV to 0.2mV) in right ulnar nerve (top left of figure) and 37% (2.4mV to 1.5mV) in right median nerve (top right of figure). EMG demonstrated post-exercise CMAP amplitude increases of 370% (1.0mV to 4.7mV) in left ulnar nerve (bottom left of figure) and 160% (0.5mV to 1.3mV) in left median nerve (bottom right of figure).
Given the history and electrodagnostic findings, LEMS was suspected. Laboratory evaluation identified elevated anti-P/Q-type voltage gated calcium channel (VGCC) antibodies at 0.19 nmol/L (normal: ≤0.02 nmol/L). Anti-acetylcholine receptor (AChR) binding, blocking and modulating, muscle-specific kinase (MuSK), TSH and N-type VGCC antibodies, CK, and myomarker panel were all normal or negative. The presence of concurrent irAE-related, antibody-negative MG was considered given the decremental response on her EMG (which can be seen in LEMS), mild ptosis, diplopia (although neither was fatigable) and shortness of breath which are atypical symptoms for LEMS. While the lack of clear fatigability commonly seen in MG was not observed, concurrent irAE related MG could not be fully excluded.

Pembrolizumab had already been held at the time of neurologic evaluation. She was started on 1mg/kg daily of prednisone while awaiting antibody results and 3,4 DAP insurance approval. Two weeks of corticosteroids yielded no benefit and the patient independently discontinued them without a taper. Shortly thereafter, 3,4 DAP was initiated at 10mg TID with minimal improvement after two weeks. She then started triweekly IVIg with a dramatic and sustained improvement in her symptoms. The patient then decided to stop 3,4 DAP. Within hours both she and her daughter noted reduced strength and decreased mobility. She restarted 3,4 DAP the following day and within hours returned to her new baseline. At her most recent follow up performed over the telephone, she denied any upper or lower extremity weakness, dysarthria, dysphagia, diplopia, ptosis, or shortness of breath and reported minimal leg weakness for the three days preceding her IVIg treatments. Her cancer therapy was changed to paclitaxel with good response, and pembrolizumab was not restarted.

3. Discussion

We present a case of a 62-year-old woman with advanced stage SCLC who presented with LEMS while undergoing pembrolizumab treatment. In this setting, determining the LEMS etiology (i.e. paraneoplastic vs. irAE) is critical to enact the right treatment plan.

LEMS is a rare autoimmune neuromuscular junction disorder involving the loss of functional presynaptic P/Q-type VGCCs [6]. Over 60% of cases occur as a paraneoplastic disorder that is most frequently associated with SCLC [6,7]. On motor nerve stimulation, a 60% increment in compound muscle action potential (CMAP) amplitude following 10 seconds of sustained exercise of a muscle with a low baseline CMAP amplitude is considered 97% sensitive for LEMS and 99% specific for LEMS [8]. Autoantibodies to P/Q-type VGCCs show 91% sensitivity and nearly 100% specificity for LEMS diagnosis [9].

The mainstay of therapy for classic LEMS is treatment of the underlying malignancy and 3,4 DAP, which augments acetylcholine release at the neuromuscular junction [10]. Refractory patients often require immunosuppressants [11]. IVIg at 2g/kg over 3-5 days followed by 1g/kg every 3-4 weeks, is typical first line treatment for refractory weakness [12,13]. While steroids can improve symptoms [14], the response is typically less robust than seen in other neuromuscular disorders [15]. Plasma exchange is reserved for non-responders or those with contraindications to IVIg [16].

There are limited reports of ICI-related LEMS and no consensus on optimal treatment [4, 17, 18]. In general, management of neuromuscular irAEs depends on symptom severity [19]. For very mild symptoms, immunotherapy may continue with close serial monitoring. If symptoms are moderate, severe, or life-threatening, immunotherapy is immediately held. The next steps in the work up
depends on the specific irAE (muscle biopsy, EMG etc.), and consultation with a neuromuscular or irAE expert neurologist is suggested [20]. Counter to classic LEMS, the first line treatment for most neuromuscular irAEs is high dose corticosteroids [21]. For some ICI-related neuromuscular toxicities, IVIg and plasmapheresis are indicated as well [22]. Due to the paucity of cases of ICI-related LEMS, it remains unclear if ICI-related LEMS will be highly responsive to 3,4 DAP without the need for other adjunctive immunosuppressive therapy (as is typical for paraneoplastic LEMS), or if they will behave more like other ICI-related neuromuscular toxicities and require steroids and/or addition immunosuppressive therapies. Notably, in the two previous case reports of irAE LEMS, the response to steroids was limited, counter to most irAEs and one patient who failed IVIG therapy required rituximab [17, 18]. Although the numbers are very small it does raise some questions about the pathophysiology of irAEs LEMS and consequently the optimal management of resilient immune-mediated LEMS.

In cases of LEMS in ICI SCLC patients, the etiology matters because LEMS treatment may have effects on the efficacy and selection of ongoing cancer treatment for two reasons. Firstly, in immunogenic malignancies like melanoma and lung cancer, there is limited and sometimes contradictory evidence that muting the immune response against cancer with steroids may affect cancer outcomes [22]. In NSCLC, there is evidence that steroids prior to and during immunotherapy worsens outcomes [23]. In other malignancies, studies show no survival or outcome difference with steroid use to manage irAEs [22]. Secondly, patients with paraneoplastic LEMS can continue ICI therapy while those with irAE-related LEMS should stop immunotherapy and may later consider re-challenge. Results for re-challenges with ICI therapies after neuromuscular irAE are mixed. Some experts recommend retrial with a different class of ICI [2, 3, 20, 24-26].

We predict that as other cases are described, it may remain difficult to determine the etiology of LEMS in SCLC patients treated with ICI therapy. Careful evaluation of antibody status and timing of symptoms may help. 91% of those with paraneoplastic LEMS have P/Q-type VGCC antibodies [27, 28]. The absence of antibodies should raise suspicion for ICI-related etiology, as other neuromuscular irAEs show lower incidence of antibodies compared to classic forms of the disease (i.e. 90% AchR antibodies in generalized classic MG [29], vs 66% for ICI-related MG) [30]. The time course may also provide clues. In classic LEMS, the symptoms often precede the cancer diagnosis [27] while the median time from immunotherapy to neuromuscular irAEs is 19 weeks (range 1-115) [31]. Evaluation of electrophysiologic findings and work up for concurrent neuromuscular irAEs is critical. Treatment should be guided by these findings (Figure 2).
The etiology of our patients’ LEMS remains unclear. The presence of P/Q-type VGCC antibodies and lack of steroid response might argue for a paraneoplastic cause while the delayed onset of symptoms favors ICI-related LEMS. Further complicating the case, although her AchR antibodies were negative, she had shortness of breath and diplopia (atypical for LEMS), raising the possibility of concurrent ICI-related antibody negative MG which would also be treated with IVIg and corticosteroids. Patients with multiple irAEs are commonly reported [20].

This case illustrates the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges that surround LEMS in SCLC patients on immunotherapy. Further research is needed to develop consensus and evidence-based guidelines for treatment.
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