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Abstract

Background: Previous studies have revealed that the C-terminal region of the S-layer protein from Lactobacillus is responsible for the cell wall anchoring, which provide an approach for targeting heterologous proteins to the cell wall of lactic acid bacteria (LAB). In this study, we developed a new surface display system in lactic acid bacteria with the C-terminal region of S-layer protein SlpB of Lactobacillus crispatus K2-4-3 isolated from chicken intestine.

Results: Multiple sequence alignment revealed that the C-terminal region (LcsB) of Lb. crispatus K2-4-3 SlpB had a high similarity with the cell wall binding domains S_A and CbsA of Lactobacillus acidophilus and Lb. crispatus. To evaluate the potential application as an anchoring protein, the green fluorescent protein (GFP) or beta-galactosidase (Gal) was fused to the N-terminus of the LcsB region, and the fused proteins were successfully produced in Escherichia coli, respectively. After mixing them with the non-genetically modified lactic acid bacteria cells, the fused GFP-LcsB and Gal-LcsB were functionally associated with the cell surface of various lactic acid bacteria tested. In addition, the binding capacity could be improved by SDS pretreatment. Moreover, both of the fused proteins could simultaneously bind to the surface of a single cell. Furthermore, when the fused DNA fragment of gfp:lcsB was inserted into the Lactococcus lactis expression vector pSec:Leiss:Nuc, the GFP could not be secreted into the medium under the control of the nisA promoter. Western blot, in-gel fluorescence assay, immunofluorescence microscopy and SDS sensitivity analysis confirmed that the GFP was successfully expressed onto the cell surface of L. lactis with the aid of the LcsB anchor.

Conclusion: The LcsB region can be used as a functional scaffold to target the heterologous proteins to the cell surfaces of lactic acid bacteria in vitro and in vivo, and has also the potential for biotechnological application.

Background

Surface layers (S-layers), which are composed of protein or glycoprotein subunits, are the outmost cell surface structure of many Bacteria and Archaea. They can self-assemble spontaneously into the crystalline lattices in solutions, on various solid surfaces, and interfaces [1]. Several species of the genus Lactobacillus possess an S-layer protein with molecular masses ranging from 25 to 71 kDa, the smallest known proteins considered to function in the adherence to the intestinal epithelial cells and mammalian extracellular matrix [2].

S-layer proteins normally contain two functional regions: the self-assembly domain and the cell wall-targeting domain. These regions have been characterized in the S-layer proteins S_A of Lb. acidophilus ATCC 4356 [3], CbsA of Lb. crispatus JCM 5810 [4], and SlpA of Lactobacillus brevis ATCC 8287 [5]. The sequences of S_A and CbsA showed identity especially in the C-terminal regions, which were proved to anchor the S-layer subunits to the bacterial cell wall, and the more variable N-terminal regions were involved in the self-assembly process [3,4]. In the S-layer proteins of lactobacilli, no S-layer homology (SLH) motif at the C-terminus, which was responsible for the non-covalent attachment of the protein subunits to the cell wall through a pyruvylated polysaccharide receptor in the cell wall [6], has been identified. Instead, an interaction between basic amino acids in the cell wall binding region and negatively charged secondary cell wall polymers has been proposed to mediate the cell wall binding in the case of S_A and CbsA. The (lipo)teichoic acids have been proved to be the cell wall ligands of S_A and CbsA [3,4]. In contrast, in SlpA of Lb. brevis ATCC 8287, the domains responsible...
for the self-assembly process (C-terminal region) and for cell wall binding (N-terminal region) were located in reverse order compared to S\textsubscript{A} and CbsA. The specific cell wall component that interacts with the S-layer proteins of \textit{Lb. brevis} ATCC 8287 and \textit{Lactobacillus buchneri} was shown to be the neutral polysaccharide moiety of the cell wall [5,7].

The display of heterologous proteins on the cell surface of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) is an exciting and emerging research area that holds great promise for a variety of biotechnological applications, including the developments of live vaccine delivery system, diagnostics, peptide library screening and whole-cell biocatalysts [8]. Various anchoring proteins have also been explored for their efficiency in attaching hybrid proteins to the cell membrane or cell wall of LAB, such as LPXTG-containing proteins [9], transmembrane protein PgsA [10], LysM motif-containing proteins [11], S-layer proteins [12]. The LPXTG-containing proteins have been the most commonly used anchor in most of the previously reported cell surface display systems. The functional proteins fused to the cell wall anchor were initially synthesized and anchored to the cell surface of producing hosts after their translocation across the membrane. However, the expressed proteins were anchored to the producer cells, thus making the host strain for surface display a genetically modified organism (GMO) [9,13]. Other studies have provided insight into the use of the non-genetically modified gram-positive bacterial cells as matrices to bind externally added heterologous proteins by means of a high-affinity binding domain. These novel tools were based on the peptidoglycan-binding domain of the major autolysin AcmA from \textit{Lactococcus lactis} and the endolysin Lyb5 of \textit{Lactobacillus fermentum} bacteriophage \textit{ΦYB5} which contained three LysM repeats in theirs C terminus [14-16]. The cell wall anchor fused to the foreign proteins was overproduced in a model organism (e.g., \textit{E. coli}), and interestingly found to maintain its capacity to anchor the functional proteins to the cell surface of LAB. These novel surface display systems opened the possibility of surface display of foreign proteins on the non-genetically modified LAB cells.

S-layer proteins as an anchor device for surface display of functional proteins have been reported previously [12,17-19]. Most of the applications were based on the fusions between the desired functional molecules with S-layer proteins. The S-layer proteins were not affected by the fusion partner molecules, while the functional sequences were represented on the outermost surface of the S-layer lattice. The truncated forms of the S-layer protein SbsA and SbsB from \textit{Bacillus stearothermophilus} were capable of carrying amino acid insertion of up to 500 residues without any effect on their self-assembly capacity when the fusion proteins were produced in \textit{E. coli} [20]. \textit{Lactobacillus} S-layer epitope constructs have been expressed in the original hosts. The small epitopes VP1, a poliovirus epitope, and c-Myc, which comprised 10 and 11 amino acid residues, respectively, were successfully displayed on the cell surface as part of the S-layer proteins of lactobacilli. Although a heterologous epitope was present in every S-layer subunit, an intact S-layer could be formed without any change in the crystalline structure [12].

In this study, we developed an approach for surface display of the heterologous proteins on the LAB cells by means of the C-terminal region of S-layer protein SlpB (LcsB) from \textit{Lb. crispatus} K2-4-3. The LcsB fusion protein (GFP-LcsB) was produced in \textit{E. coli} and was able to bind to the cell walls of LAB \textit{in vitro}, demonstrating that the LcsB has the ability of binding the heterologous protein to the cell surface. The system was then further applied to display of beta-galactosidase with enzymatic properties, as well as those two proteins (GFP and Gal) simultaneously. Furthermore, we also evaluated the function of LcsB by constructing the cell wall-targeting expression vector pLeiss:GFP-LcsB, which could express the fusion GFP-LcsB under the control of \textit{nisA} promoter in \textit{L. lactis}. Western blot, in-gel fluorescence assay, immunofluorescence microscopy and SDS sensitivity analysis showed that the GFP was exposed on the cell surface of \textit{L. lactis}.

Results

The primary analysis of the S-layer protein SlpB from \textit{Lb. crispatus} isolated from chicken intestine

The presence of a putative S-layer protein on the bacterial cell surface can be deduced from the occurrence of a dominant protein band in the sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-extractable protein profile of non-lysed bacteria. Dominant protein bands of 45-62 kDa were present in 13 out of the 45 isolates, suggesting the presence of S-layer proteins in these strains (data not shown). The presence of the S-layer protein in the strain \textit{Lb. crispatus} K2-4-3 with high-adhesive capacity was demonstrated by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) targeting the S-layer protein gene. The whole S-layer protein gene (\textit{slpB}) of \textit{Lb. crispatus} K2-4-3 was obtained by ligation-anchored PCR from the genomic DNA. The \textit{slpB} was found to consist of 1332 nucleotides and to have the capacity to encode a polypeptide of 440 amino acid residues, which was identified and showed 95% identity with the silent S-layer protein of \textit{Lb. crispatus} JCM 5810 [21]. A signal sequence of 57 amino acid residues was identified on the deduced amio acid sequence. According to the 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis, \textit{Lb. crispatus} K2-4-3 was identified as \textit{Lb. crispatus}. The GenBank accession numbers of the sequences obtained in this study were HQ716719 and HQ716720.

Previous studies have also shown that the conserved regions in the C-terminus of S-layer proteins of \textit{Lb. acidophilus}, \textit{Lb. crispatus} and \textit{Lactobacillus helveticus} were
involved in the cell wall binding [3,4]. According to the multiple sequence alignment in Figure 1, the C-terminal region of SlpB of \( Lb. \) crispatus K2-4-3 (LcsB) obtained in this study had a high degree of similarity with the conserved C-terminal domain \( Sa \) of \( Lactobacillus \) acidophilus and CbsA \( Lb. \) crispatus, suggesting that the LcsB region has the potential in anchoring the foreign protein to the cell wall.

GFP displaying on the cell surface of various LAB mediated by the LcsB region

To evaluate the possibility of the LcsB region as a cell wall anchor, the LcsB region was tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP), a convenient tool to investigate the protein localization in cells [22]. The GFP (27 kDa) and GFP-LcsB (47 kDa) were firstly overproduced in \( E. \) coli (data not shown). Subsequently, the purified GFP or GFP-LcsB was added to various lactic acid bacteria cells \( in \) \( vitro \), and the binding was viewed by fluorescence microscopy. Surprisingly, GFP-LcsB bound to the cells of \textit{Lactobacillus delbrueckii}, \textit{Lb. brevis}, \textit{Lb. helveticus}, \textit{Lactobacillus johnsonii}, \textit{Lb. crispatus}, \textit{Streptococcus thermophilus}, \textit{L. lactis} and \textit{Lactobacillus salivarius}, causing fluorescence of cell surfaces. As a negative control, GFP alone did not bind to cells. These results indicated that the GFP could be bound to the cell surface of LAB tested under the direction of the LcsB region (Figure 2). Nevertheless, the fluorescence of the \textit{Lactobacillus} cells was more intensive than that of the \textit{L. lactis} and \textit{S. thermophilus}, especially those of the S-layer-containing strains (\textit{Lb. brevis}, \textit{Lb. helveticus}, \textit{Lb. johnsonii} and \textit{Lb. crispatus}). In addition, in the case \textit{Lb. salivarius}, the cells formed aggregates after mixing with the GFP-LcsB fusion protein. Moreover, GFP-LcsB was not displayed on the cell surface of \textit{Lb. casei}, which was consistent with the previous report that the CbsA protein of \textit{Lb. crispatus} was not retained at the cell surface of \textit{Lb. casei} and secreted into the medium [23]. Comparing with the LysM motif-containing anchor [14,15], the GFP-LcsB was located equally at the whole surface of cells, suggesting the cell wall ligand was different between the LcsB and Ly5C anchor.

Investigation of the LcsB-binding capacity by LAB cells after chemical pretreatment

Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) is known to remove mainly (lipo)teichoic acids from the cell wall and SDS has the ability of removing the cell-wall associated proteins (e.g. the S-layer protein) [14,15]. To enhance the binding capacity of LcsB and simply analyze the cell wall receptors of LcsB, various LAB cells were pretreated with SDS, TCA and acetone. Compared to nonpretreated cells of \textit{Lb. crispatus}, \textit{Lb. brevis} and \textit{L. lactis}, 2-fold increases in fluorescence intensity were obtained with SDS pretreated cells, illustrating that SDS pretreatment was efficient in enhancing the LcsB binding capacity (Figure 3). Moreover, the effect of TCA pretreatment was different in the loading capacity of the LcsB anchor for different strains tested. For \textit{Lb. delbrueckii}, TCA pretreatment showed a great decrease in fluorescence intensity, indicating that the lipo (teichoic) acid rather than lipid or cell-associated proteins was involved in the binding process. However, the increase in fluorescence intensity was obtained from the cells of \textit{Lb. crispatus} pretreated by TCA, and no change in the fluorescence intensity of \textit{L. lactis} cells was observed no matter whether the cells were pretreated or not.

To determine the maximum binding capacity, various amounts of purified GFP-LcsB fusion proteins were mixed with the SDS pretreated and nonpretreated cells of \textit{Lb. brevis} with an optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of 1 (approximate 10^8 cfu/mL). As shown in Figure 4, the cells of SDS pretreated and nonpretreated \textit{Lb. brevis} could bind approximately 475 \( \mu \)g and 275 \( \mu \)g of GFP-LcsB fusion proteins.
proteins, respectively. Therefore, each cell could bind approximately $10^7$ GFP-LcsB molecules, which had a 10-fold increment by comparison with the Ly5C mediated surface display system [16].

Displaying beta-galactosidase on the cell surface of LAB
To further confirm the potential of the LcsB anchor, the beta-galactosidase gene (gal) from Paenibacillus sp. K1 [24] was fused to LcsB at its N-terminus instead of the GFP, and the Gal and Gal-LcsB proteins produced in E. coli Origami B (DE3) were also mixed with various LAB cells for 30 min to 60 min. As shown in Figure 5A, the beta-galactosidase activities on the surface of LAB cells tested were detected, indicating that the beta-galactosidase was anchored to the cell surface with the aid of LcsB and retained the beta-galactosidase activity. As a control, no galactosidase activity incubated with Gal or PBS was detected.

To test the possibility of displaying multiple proteins in a single cell, attempts were made to add the mixture of the GFP-LcsB and Gal-LcsB at different ratios to Lb. brevis cells. As a result, both fusion proteins, GFP-LcsB and Gal-LcsB could be detected on the cell surface of Lb. brevis using whole-cell fluorescence measurement and enzymatic activity assays, indicating that both proteins retained their function while presented on the cell surface (Figure 5B). The fluorescence intensity correlated well with the added GFP-LcsB content.

Construction of the cell wall-targeting expression vector in L. lactis via LcsB anchor
In this study, the results described above have proved that GFP and beta-galactosidase fused to LcsB region could be displayed on the surface of LAB cells. To further test whether the GFP or Gal fused to LcsB could be synthesized and efficiently exposed on the cell surface of L. lactis in vivo, the fused DNA fragment of gfp:lcsB or gal:lcsB was inserted into the expression vector pSec:Leiss:Nuc, which could secrete the nuclease into the medium under the nisin inducible promoter [25]. Expression of GFP and GFP-LcsB were confirmed by Western blot analysis using the GFP-specific polyclonal antibody. As shown in Figure 6A, the GFP-LcsB proteins were found in the cell wall fractions and no obvious band could be observed in the supernatant, suggesting that GFP was bound to the cell wall due to the activity of LcsB anchor. In-gel fluorescence assay was used to assess the integrity and activity of the GFP-LcsB fusion in the membrane and cell wall fractions. When the gel was exposed to ultraviolet light, an obvious fluorescence band with an approximate molecular weight of 50 kDa, representing the GFP-LcsB fusions, was clearly visible in the membrane and cell wall fractions (Figure 6B arrows). A small amount of GFP was also detected in the membrane and cell wall fractions by in-gel fluorescence. However, the fluorescence intensity of GFP-LcsB was markedly higher than those of GFP, indicating that GFP was transported to the membrane and the cell wall with the aid of the LcsB anchor and retained the fluorescence activity. The fluorescence bands of GFP and GFP-LcsB were also found in the cytoplasm fractions, suggesting that GFP and GFP-LcsB were successfully expressed in L. lactis. Moreover, the cytoplasm of cells expressing GFP produced two fluorescence bands. We believed that the latter maybe the mature GFP without the signal peptide.

In conclusion, all the results demonstrated that the
GFP-LcsB fusion proteins were displayed on the cell wall of L. lactis and retained their fluorescence activity.

Immunolabeling with specific antibodies is a useful tool to detect surface-exposed protein. The localization of the GFP on the external surface of L. lactis was determined by immunofluorescence microscopy. In Figure 7, panel A, B, C represented the L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP expressing GFP, and panels D, E, F represented the L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP::LcsB expressing GFP-LcsB fusions. The left, middle and right panels represented the same field of the sample viewed under light microscope, fluorescence microscope with FITC filter, and with rhodamine filter, respectively. As shown in Figure 7, the L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP::LcsB cells were positively decorated with red fluorescence, while no red fluorescence was observed on the cell surface of L. lactis /pLeiss:GFP, suggesting that the GFP-LcsB were exposed to the cell surface of L. lactis with the aid of the LcsB anchor. Moreover, the red fluorescence was inconsistent with the intensity of green fluorescence, maybe because that the chromophore of GFP was influenced after the binding of antibody. Unfortunately, the expression of Gal-LcsB on the cell surface in L. lactis failed due to the improper enzyme folding in the cell wall or the low secretion efficiency of the Gal-LcsB (data not shown).

Since externally added macromolecules can not penetrate the cell membrane, extracellular SDS treatment of intact cells has been used to provide evidence for the surface localization of target proteins. As shown in Figure 8, approximate 40% reduction of fluorescence intensity was observed when the L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP::LcsB was treated with 0.5% or 1% SDS for 4 hours, a relatively high reduction compared with the SDS pretreated L. lactis/pLeiss: GFP cells.

**Discussion**

S-layer proteins form porous lattices composed of identical subunits which cover the whole cell surface and may constitute up to 20% of the total cell protein content.
These properties make S-layer proteins an attractive anchor for the development of microbial cell-surface display systems [8]. In this study, we first developed a means for displaying heterologous proteins on the cell surface of LAB by using the cell wall binding domain of the S-layer protein SlpB as the cell wall anchor \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}.

To date, only a few S-layer protein genes of lactobacilli, such as \textit{Lb. brevis}, \textit{Lb. acidophilus}, \textit{Lb. crispatus} and \textit{Lb. helveticus} have been sequenced [2]. The C-
terminal domain of *Lb. crispatus* JCM 5810 CbsA and *Lb. acidophilus* *S*$_{A}$ have recently been investigated with the capacity of binding the S-layer subunits to the teichoic acids of several bacterial species [3,4]. In this study, the C-terminal region LcsB of the S-layer protein SlpB from *Lb. crispatus* showed a high similarity with that of CbsA and *S*$_{A}$, suggesting the LcsB could be used as the cell wall anchor to locate the heterologous proteins on the cell surface. We have proved that the heterologous proteins (GFP or Gal) were bound to the surface of various LAB cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*, opening up the possibilities for surface display of heterologous proteins.

**Figure 6** Western blot analysis (A) and in-gel fluorescence assay (B) of *L. lactis* cell fractions. (A) Western blot using the anti-GFP polyclonal antibodies were performed on fractionated protein extracts from *L. lactis* cells expressing GFP and GFP-LcsB. The positions of molecular mass standard are indicated. (B) In-gel fluorescence was monitored and the gel was stained with Coomassie (left). Intensities of in-gel fluorescent signals were plotted.
proteins (antigens or functional enzymes) on the LAB cells with the aid of LcsB anchor (Figure 2 and 5). Unlike the thus far reported live Lb. brevis S-layer epitope constructs, which surface-displayed the small epitope as the part of the S-layer protein in the original hosts [12], our study described a versatile application of lactobacilli S-layers as an anchor for the surface display of more bulky polypeptide proteins on non-genetically modified bacteria.

A high binding capacity of the anchor protein is desirable for an efficient surface display system. The Lactobacillus cells saturated with GFP-LcsB contained $10^7$ molecules per cells, which showed a high displaying capacity as same as the cA or Ly5C mediated surface display system [14-16]. The high surface density of LcsB on non-genetically modified LAB cells was compared favorably with those of other surface display systems, which relied on the expression of proteins on the surface of bacterial cells as a part of the S-layer. For example, $5 \times 10^5$ small epitopes could be obtained to surround a single Lb. brevis cell as part of S-layer [12]. Finally, LcsB from the S-layer protein of intestinal Lb. crispatus had a high affinity to Lactobacillus cells, providing the advantage of using various probiotic lactobacilli isolated from different environments as the host to present the functional proteins on the cell surface.

Figure 7 Comparison of GFP expression on the surface of L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP and L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP:LcsB cells. Panels A-F showed micrographs of the same field of L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP (A-C) or L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP:LcsB (D-F) after immunolabeling with antibodies using phase contrast (A and D), FITC (B and E), and TRITC (C and F) fluorescence microscopy.

Figure 8 SDS sensitivity assays for L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP and L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP:LcsB, respectively. Results are presented as percentage of the fluorescence intensity of cells prior to the addition of 0.5% SDS (A) and 1% SDS (B). The data points represent the averages and standard deviations from four independent experiments.
In addition to peptidoglycan, the rigid cell wall of lactobacilli is composed of secondary cell wall polymers (SCWP) such as teichoic acids, lipoteichoic acids, lipopolysaccharids or teichuronic acids and so on [26]. Many gram-positive bacteria possess at the N-terminal part of their S-layer proteins the so-called SLH motifs which are responsible for anchoring the S-layer proteins to SCWP [6]. No SLH proteins, the so-called SLH motifs which are responsible for anchoring the S-layer proteins to SCWP [6], have been found in the Lactobacillus S-layer proteins, and the neutral polysaccharide (lipo)teichoic acids have been shown to be the cell wall ligand of Lactobacillus S-layer protein [3-5,7]. These features may explain why the GFP-LcsB bound to the entire surface of LAB cells (Figure 2). For Lactobacillus delbrueckii, TCA-pretreated approach which mainly removed the cell wall-associated molecules (e.g., teichoic acids and polysaccharides) reduced the fluorescence intensity. For Lb. crispatus and Lb. brevis, TCA may get rid of the polysaccharides that hindered the binding of GFP-LcsB, leading to an increase in fluorescence intensity. Moreover, SDS treatment could remove the S-layer proteins of Lb. crispatus and expose the cell wall ligand of GFP-LcsB, resulting in the increase of displaying capacity. In addition, because of the remarkable deficiency of wall teichoic acids (WTA), the GFP-LcsB could not bind to the cell surface of Lb. casei [26], and the diversity of the (lipo)teichoic acids in LAB strains led to the different binding efficiency of GFP-LcsB.

For the present study, strain L. lactis N9000, which did not carry an S-layer protein, was chosen here as the model host because of its amenability to genetic engineering, the availability of a wide variety of genetic tools for this bacterium. The NLists-Controlled gene Expression (NICE), which is one of the most widely used tools in regulating gene expression in L. lactis, was used to construct the cell wall-targeting expression system [27]. In this study, the expression vector pLeiss:GFP:LcsB, which could produce and target the GFP fusion protein to the cell wall in L. lactis N9000, was established. As expected, the L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP:LcsB was positively stained when incubated with GFP antibody and rhodamine labeled secondary antibody, resulting in a red fluorescence on the cells of L. lactis. Moreover, the red fluorescence was not as strong as green fluorescence and the reduction of fluorescence intensity of L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP:LcsB cells was low, suggesting that only a small part of GFP-LcsB was exposed to the surface of L. lactis cells. However, in-gel fluorescence assay of membrane and cell wall fractions showed that more GFP-LcsB fusion proteins were existent in the membrane as well as in the cell wall. We deduced that the GFP-LcsB could be poorly translocated across the cell wall and accumulated in the cell membrane. The protein targeting may be limited by the activity of the surface-located housekeeping protease HtrA [28] and the low-affinity interaction between the LcsB with the cell wall of L. lactis. To further improve the binding capacity, the htrA-deficient L. lactis or the colonizing commensal lactobacilli thus represent possible solutions.

**Conclusion**

Sequence comparison revealed that the C-terminal region of S-layer protein SlpB of L. crispatus K2-4-3 (LcsB) had a high similarity with the cell wall-targeting domain of S_{A} and CbsA, suggesting the LcsB could be sufficient to anchor the foreign proteins on the cell surface. Thus, the aim of this study was to test whether LcsB could be used to construct the surface display system as the cell wall anchor for LAB. First, a surface display system in vitro was established and heterologous proteins (GFP and Gal) were successfully exposed to the surface of various LAB cells. Surprisingly, two proteins could bind to a single cell at a controlled ratio simultaneously, making the LcsB anchor attractive in displaying different epitopes on the cell surface. Moreover, the high loading capacity approaching 10^7 molecules per cell was advantageous in many applications. Finally, a versatile cell wall-targeting expression vector pLeiss:GFP:LcsB was constructed and successfully synthesized and exposed the GFP-LcsB fusion on the cell surface of L. lactis.

**Materials and methods**

**Bacterial strains and culture conditions**

All of the strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Table 1. The chicken intestine was chosen to isolate Lactobacillus strains which possessed the S-layer protein. All Lactobacillus strains were propagated in MRS broth (Oxoid, United Kingdom) at 37°C without aeration. L. lactis NZ9000 and S. thermophilus were grown in M17 broth (Oxoid, United Kingdom) supplemented with 0.5% (wt/vol) glucose (GM17) at 30°C or 42°C anaerobically, respectively. The E. coli strains were grown aerobically in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth at 37°C. To facilitate the selection of transformants, ampicillin, kanamycin, and tetracycline were used at 100 μg/mL, 34 μg/mL and 12.5 μg/mL for E. coli, respectively. Chloramphenicol was used at 10 μg/mL and 5 μg/mL for E. coli and L. lactis, respectively.

**Extraction of S-layer proteins from Lactobacillus cells and cloning of the S-layer gene**

Extracellular proteins were extracted from Lactobacillus cells on the method as described by Hagen et al [29]. Briefly, the cells of overnight cultures were harvested by centrifugation and washed twice with phosphate-buffer saline (PBS; 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na2HPO4, 2 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.4), then treated with extraction buffer (2% SDS, 1% beta-mercaptoethanol) at 70°C for 10 min. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 3500 g for 5 min, and the supernatant (the cell surface-associated proteins) was analyzed by 12% SDS-PAGE.
The oligonucleotide primers used in this study are listed in Table 2. Standard recombinant DNA techniques were performed essentially as methods described previously [30]. Electroporation of L. lactis was performed with a Gene Pulser (2500 V, 200 Ω, 25 μF, 5 ms, Bio-Rad Laboratories) as previously described [31]. PCR products were generated using rTaq polymerase (TaKaRa, Japan).

Genomic DNA was extracted from Lactobacillus using the protocol of Walter et al [32]. The primers CbsA2F and CbsA2R designed to anneal to two conserved sequences localized between nucleotide 931-940 and 1296-1317 on the gene encoding the S-layer protein of Lb. crispatus [33], were used to amplify the region encoding the conserved part of the S-layer protein. To amplify the upstream or downstream region of the S-layer protein encoding gene, the ligation-anchored PCR was performed as described previously, with some modifications [34]. The chromosomal DNA of lactobacilli was digested with Hae III, and then the appropriate DNA fragments (approx. 2000 bp) were excised from the agar gel and purified by Gel Extraction Kit (Omega, USA) and ligated into the Hae III digested plasmid pSec:Leiss:Nuc. The ligated sample was purified with Cycle-Pure Kit (Omega, USA) and used to the next PCR amplification as the template. A set of the S-layer protein gene-specific primers (SF-SR) and the vector-specific primer Cm were used to amplify the upstream and downstream region of the S-layer protein gene, respectively. The fragments obtained were purified and sequenced. At last, the whole S-layer protein gene (slpB) was PCR amplified by primers SlpF and SlpR and cloned into the plasmid pSec:Leiss:Nuc.

### Table 1 Strains, plasmids used in this study

| Strain             | Description                              | Source            |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| E. coli DH5α       | supE44lacU169F80lacZ ΔM1hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 relA1 | Novagen           |
| E. coli Origami B (DE3) | F-ompT hsdS8 rB- mB- lac dcm lacY1 ahpC gor522::Tn10 (TcR) trxB-Kan (DE3) | Novagen           |
| Lb. crispatus K2-4-3 | Isolated from chicken intestine | Our lab           |
| Lb. johnsonii K23  | Isolated from chicken intestine | Our lab           |
| Lb. salivarius     | Isolated from chicken intestine | Our lab           |
| L. lactis NZ9000   | MGI363 pepN:nisRK: Most commonly used host of the NICE system | [27]              |
| Lb. brevis K31     | Wild type                                | Our lab           |
| Lb. casei BL23     | Kindly gifted by Hazebrouck              | [36]              |
| S. thermophilus K11 | Wild type                                | Our lab           |
| Lb. helveticus K17 | Wild type                                | Our lab           |
| Lb. delbruecki subsp. bulgaricus ATCC 11842 | Kindly gifted by M. van de Guchte | [37]              |

### Table 2 Primers used in this study

| Primers  | Sequences (5'-3') | Source |
|----------|-------------------|--------|
| CbsA2F   | GTACCAAGCCAAAGCAAGAC | [30]   |
| CbsA2R   | GTTGGAAGCCCTTACGAAGTC | [29]   |
| SF       | CAAGTTCATCAACGCTGACAACATCG | This study |
| SR       | GTATATGATCTTGTGATATGT | This study |
| SlpF     | ACATTTCATATTTCAAGGAGGAGGA | This study |
| SlpR     | CGTTCTCTATATATCCTTACTGACT | This study |
| 8F       | AAGAAGCTATCTGCAC | This study |
| 1541R    | AAGAAGCTATCTGCAC | This study |
| LcsB-HindIII | GCTAAGCTTATGGTTGGGTCAC | This study |
| LcsB-XhoI | GTGGTGAGGGTGGGACCTTACGT | This study |
| GFP-NsiI | GAGATGCATATATGGGCTTGGGAGGAAG | This study |
| Gal-NsiI | CGCGATGCATTAGAGAGGGAAACAAATTACC | This study |
| LcsB-EcoRI | GGGGAATTCTTAAAAGTTTGAAGCCTTACGT | This study |
| GFP-EcoRI | GCGGAATTTCTATGGTGAGGCTTACG | This study |
| Cm       | ATGGACTTCATTTACTGGGTTTA | This study |

Restriction enzyme cut sites are in bold.
into the pMD18-T vector, resulting in the vector pMD18-S.

The 16S rRNA genes of the lactobacilli isolates were amplified by PCR using the universal primers 8F and 1541R [35] and directly sequenced by Huada genomic sequence corporation.

Plasmids and DNA manipulations

The LcsB fragments were PCR amplified from the plasmid pMD18-S vector using the oligonucleotides LcsB-HindIII and LcsB-XhoI. The PCR products were digested with HindIII and XhoI and then inserted into the HindIII/XhoI sites of the plasmid pET-gfp and pET-gal, yielding plasmids pET-gfp-lcsB and pET-gal-lcsB, respectively. To construct the recombinant plasmids for protein expressing of GFP-LcsB and Gal-LcsB in L. lactis, PCR amplifications of gfp-lcsB and gal-lcsB were performed using the primers GFP-NsiI/LcsB-EcoRI and Gal-NsiI/LcsB-EcoRI, respectively. Subsequently, the PCR products were digested with NsiI and EcoRI and inserted into the corresponding sites of pSec:Leiss:Nuc, resulting in plasmids pLeiss:GFP-LcsB and pLeiss:Gal:LcsB. As a negative control, the gfp fragments were amplified using the primers GFP-NsiI and GFP-EcoRI from the plasmid pET-gfp and digested with NsiI/EcoRI, then the digested PCR products were ligated into the NsiI/EcoRI digested plasmid pSec:Leiss:Nuc, generating the plasmid pLeiss: GFP. Transformation of L. lactis by electroporation was performed as described previously, resulting in recombinants L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP, L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP:LcsB, and L. lactis/pLeiss:Gal:LcsB.

Protein expression in E. coli and L. lactis

Gene expression was carried out as described in the pET system Manual (Novagen, USA) by using E. coli strain Origami (DE3). E. coli strains harboring the recombinant plasmids constructed above were grown overnight at 37°C in LB medium supplemented with 100 μg/mL ampicillin and 12.5 μg/mL kanamycin. Subsequently, the overnight cultures were 100-fold diluted in 500 mL of fresh LB broth and induced for the proteins expression with 1 mM isopropyl- beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) when the cultures reached an OD600 of 0.8. After further incubation for 4 hours at 30°C, cells were harvested, washed, and resuspended in 100 mL of PBS. Then, the cells were disrupted by sonication on ice at 400 W for two cycles (one cycle consists of 50 times of sonication for 3 s, intermission for 8 s), and the clear lysates were centrifuged at 12000 g for 30 min and filtered (0.22 μm; Millipore) to remove the cell debris. Subsequently, the clear lysates were applied to HisTrap™ FF crude columns (GE Healthcare, USA) equilibrated with the binding buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, 25 mM imidazole, pH 7.4), then the columns were washed with washing buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, 50 mM imidazole, pH 7.4) and the His-tagged protein was eluted in elution buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole, pH 7.4). Concentrations of purified proteins were determined using the Bradford protein assay. SDS-PAGE was used to analyze protein expression.

For the expression of heterologous protein in L. lactis under the control of nisA promoter, the recombinant L. lactis strains were grown in GM17 medium at 30°C overnight. 2% (W/V) of overnight cultures were inoculated in 5 mL of the fresh GM17 medium containing chloramphenicol (5 μg/mL), and incubated until the OD600 reached 0.4. Then, nisin (Sigma, USA) was added to cultures at a final concentration of 10 ng/mL. Growth was continued for 6 hours at 18°C to induce the protein expression.

Binding of LcsB fusion proteins to LAB

LAB strains were grown as mentioned above, and cells of 1 mL stationary-phase cultures were collected, washed twice and resuspended in 100 μL of PBS. In typical binding experiment, the cells in 100 μL of PBS were incubated for 30 to 60 min at 37°C with 1 mL of the clear lysates containing excessive purified GFP, GFP-LcsB, Gal and Gal-LcsB proteins, respectively. After binding, cells were collected by centrifugation at 10000 g for 5 min and resuspended in 1 mL PBS with a vortex mixing. After washed five times with PBS, cells were resuspended in 1 mL of PBS. Subsequently, cells bound with LcsB fusion proteins were analyzed by the whole-cell fluorescence measurement, fluorescence microscopy and beta-galactosidase activity.

LAB cells were harvested in the stationary phase, resuspended in PBS and adjusted to an OD600 of 1.0 before treatment with 0.2 volume of different chemicals. The chemical treatments were performed as follows: heating at 100°C for 10 min, 5% TCA at 100°C for 10 min, 10% SDS at 100°C for 10 min, and 90% acetone at room temperature for 10 min, respectively. After treatments, the cells were washed completely with PBS to remove any residual chemicals. The pretreated cells were subsequently used for LcsB binding assay as described above.

The cell-associated fluorescence was measured on LS-50B spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer, USA) by excitation at 488 nm and emission at 511 nm with untreated and black 96-well polystyrene test plate. The background fluorescence of cells was subtracted to obtain the relative fluorescence units (RFU). The cell density was measured at 600 nm, and the whole-cell fluorescence per OD600 was calculated. The fluorescent cells were observed by fluorescence microscopy (Nikon Eclipse TE2000-S, Japan). The beta-galactosidase activity of the cells was assayed using o-nitrophenyl-b-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) as a chromogenic substrate [24].
Cell fractionation and in-gel fluorescence assay
The cells of L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP and L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP: LcsB induced by nisin were centrifuged at 5000 g at 4°C for 5 min, then the supernatant fraction was obtained according to the TCA/acetone method. Briefly, 100 µL of 100% TCA was mixed with 1 mL supernatant for protein precipitation. Subsequently, the mixtures were vortexed for 15 s and placed on ice for a minimum of 15 min. The pellets of protein were obtained by centrifugation at 14000 g for 10 min and washed twice with 100 µL acetone. After dried in air, the pellets were dissolved in 50 µL of 1× SDS loading buffer.

The cell-wall fraction was extracted according to the S-layer extraction method described above and the method of membrane and cell walls extraction, respectively. Membrane and cell wall fractions were isolated from 10 mL cultures of L. lactis induced by nisin at 18°C for 6 hours using the following method. The cultures were harvested and resuspended in 10 mL PBS buffer. After disrupting the cells by sonication on ice at 400 W for three cycles (one cycle consists of 50 times of sonication for 3 s, intermission for 8 s), the resuspension was centrifuged for 10 min at 10000 g to remove the cell debris. The resulting supernatants were centrifuged for 30 min at 100000 g and the membrane and cell wall fractions were recovered in the pellet which were resuspended in 50 µL PBS and mixed with 1× SDS-PAGE nonreducing buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 20% glycerol, 5 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 0.02% bromphenol blue, 4% SDS, 0.05 M dithiothreitol). The sample was incubated at 37°C for 5 min before electrophoresis in standard 12% SDS-PAGE. Subsequently, the gel was rinsed with distilled water and exposed to a STORM840 scanner (Amersham, USA) to detect the fluorescence band.

Western blot
The proteins on the SDS-PAGE gel were electrotransferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane at 200 mA for 2 hours. The membrane was blocked with 5% non-fat milk for 1 hour and then incubated overnight with the primary anti-GFP polyclonal antibody (Clontech, USA) at a dilution of 1:2000. The membrane was washed with TBST buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 500 mM NaCl, 0.01% Tween 20) three times for 15 min each time and incubated with horseradish-peroxidase (HRP)-coupled secondary antibody (Solarbio, China) at a dilution of 1:300 for 1 hour. The bands were visualized with HRP-DAB kit (Tiangen, China) according to the instruction manual.

SDS sensitivity assay and immunofluorescence analysis
The cells of L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP and L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP: LcsB induced by nisin for 6 hours were harvested and washed three times with PBS, and then adjusted to an OD_{600} of 1.0. SDS was added to each cell suspension to a final concentration of 0.5% and 1% (wt/vol). The fluorescence intensity of SDS-treated and SDS-untreated cells was measured at hourly interval. Then, the ratio between the fluorescence intensity of SDS-treated and SDS-untreated cells was measured at hourly interval.

The cells of L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP and L. lactis/pLeiss:GFP: LcsB induced by nisin for 6 hours were harvested and washed three times with PBS. Firstly, blocking was performed by addition of 3% BSA and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Cells were collected and resuspended in PBS buffer containing 3% BSA and primary anti-GFP polyclonal antibody (diluted 1:100) for 1 hour. After washed three times with PBS, cells were incubated with the secondary rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (diluted 1:75) at room temperature for 1 hour in darkness. After washed three times and suspended with PBS, cells were observed by a Nikon fluorescence microscope equipped with xenon lamp and filter sets for FITC (485 nm for excitation and 505 nm for emission) and Rhodamine (540 nm for excitation and 600 nm for emission).
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