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Abstract

Accurate diagnosis of fibrosis is of paramount clinical importance. A human fibrosis classifier based on metzincins and related genes (MARGS) was described previously. In this investigation, expression changes of MARGS genes were explored and evaluated to examine whether the MARGS-based algorithm has any diagnostic value in a rat model of lithium nephropathy. Male Wistar rats (n = 12) were divided into 2 groups (n = 6). One group was given a diet containing lithium (40 mmol/kg food for 7 days, followed by 60mmol/kg food for the rest of the experimental period), while a control group (n = 6) was fed a normal diet. After six months, animals were sacrificed and the renal cortex and medulla of both kidneys removed for analysis. Gene expression changes were analysed using 24 GeneChip® Affymetrix Rat Exon 1.0 ST arrays. Statistically relevant genes (p-value < 0.05, fold change > 1.5, t-test) were further examined. Matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP2), CD44, and nephroblastoma overexpressed gene (NOV) were overexpressed in the medulla and cortex of lithium-fed rats compared to the control group. TGFβ2 was overrepresented in the cortex of lithium-fed animals 1.5-fold, and 1.3-fold in the medulla of the same animals. In Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA), both the medulla and cortex of lithium-fed animals showed an enrichment of the MARGS, TGFβ network, and extracellular matrix (ECM) gene sets, while the cortex expression signature was enriched in additional fibrosis-related-genes and the medulla was also enriched in immune response pathways. Importantly, the MARGS-based fibrosis classifier was able to classify all samples correctly. Immunohistochemistry and qPCR confirmed the up-regulation of NOV, CD44, and TGFβ2. The MARGS classifier represents a cross-organ and cross-species classifier of fibrotic conditions and may help to design a test to diagnose and to monitor fibrosis. The results also provide evidence for a common pathway in the pathogenesis of fibrosis.
Introduction

Glomerulosclerosis and tubulointerstitial fibrosis represent the final stage of most chronic kidney diseases (CKD) regardless of their underlying origin [1]. Renal fibrosis is a progressive disorder ultimately leading to end-stage kidney disease (ESKD), characterized by proliferation and transformation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts, deposition of fibronectin and collagens I and III into the interstitium, monocyte/macrophage, and T-cell infiltration, microvascular rarefaction, and podocyte depletion. [2].

Metzincins and related genes (MARGS) play important roles in ECM remodeling in fibrotic conditions. Metzincins are zinc-dependent metalloproteases that can be subdivided into those which contain a disintegrin and metalloprotease domain (ADAM), those with a disintegrin and metalloprotease domain with thrombospondin-motif (ADAMTS), and also serralysins, papalysins and matrix metalloproteases (MMP) with their tissue inhibitors (TIMP) [3, 4]. A transcriptomic classifier consisting of 19 MARGS, discriminating human renal allograft biopsies with or without interstitial fibrosis/tubular atrophy (IF/TA), has been previously defined [3]. Subsequently, the analysis was extended to non-transplant solid organs with and without fibrosis [5], aged rodents [6] and renal injury following ureteral obstruction [7].

In this investigation, gene expression was examined in a rat model to investigate whether the previously described MARGS based fibrosis classifier had diagnostic value in an experimental rat model of lithium-induced nephrogenic diabetes insipidus (NDI) which over the subsequent months of lithium treatment showed progressive focal renal fibrosis [8]. After 6 months, urine production in the control group was 46 ± 9 μL/min/kg body weight and in the lithium group was 449 ± 43 μL/min/kg body weight (P < 0.001), typical of NDI. Plasma lithium concentration in the experimental group was maintained within the therapeutic dosage recommended for humans (at 6 months; lithium group, 0.9 ± 0.1 mM/L) [8]. Plasma electrolytes, plasma osmotic pressure were comparable in both groups, and there was no evidence of deterioration in renal function, although there was a slight increase in proteinuria in the lithium-treated animals [8]. Some data were verified by immunohistochemical staining and quantitative PCR (qPCR) amplification.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Rat experiments were performed as described previously [8, 9]. Male Wistar rats weighing 200 g were obtained from the Hercus-Taieri Resource Unit, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. Ethical approval was provided by the Animal Ethics Committee from the University of Otago (92/08), according to New Zealand National Animal Welfare guidelines.

The rats were divided into two groups, an experimental group (n = 6), that received dietary lithium for 6 months, and a control group (n = 6). The control group received a standard rodent diet (Specialty Foods, Perth, Australia) and tap water ad libitum for 6 months. The experimental group was fed 40 mM lithium/ kg dry food for the first week, followed by 60 mM lithium/kg dry food for a further 23 weeks. It has been shown that this is adequate to raise and maintain plasma lithium levels to between 0.8–1.3 mM/L, equivalent to a therapeutic dose in human patients [8]. Water was available ad libitum. All rats given lithium were supplied with a salt block to maintain sodium balance and prevent lithium intoxication. Body weight and water intake were measured every second day. After 6 months, the animals were sacrificed by decapitation and their kidneys removed. Blood samples were taken immediately, the plasma separated by centrifugation and stored at -20°C. The two kidneys from each animal were cut...
in half longitudinally; one half was put in 10% neutral buffered formalin for histology, and the other 3 halves were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until further use.

**Preparation of RNA and hybridization of microarrays**

Portions of the medulla and cortex were removed from the frozen kidneys after partial thawing, and homogenised in Trizol® (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). RNA was extracted by precipitation with ethanol, followed by cleaning with Norgen Total RNA columns (Norgen Biotek Corp., Canada). RNA was quantified using a ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) and its (purity and) quality determined on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Only RNA samples with an integrity number > 8.0 were used. 50 ng total RNA was processed by using a GeneChip WT Terminal Labeling Kit (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and hybridised to Affymetrix Rat Gene 1.0 ST gene chips. Chips were stained and washed with an Affymetrix GeneChip Fluidics Station 450, and scanned in an Affymetrix GeneChip 7G Plus scanner. All procedures were done according to the manufacturer's instructions. 4 groups of arrays were used: control medulla (6), lithium medulla (6), control cortex (6) and lithium cortex (6).

**Analyses of microarray data**

CEL files from the 24 GeneChip® Rat Exon 1.0 ST arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) probe sets were subjected to RMA background correction, quantile normalization, log base 2 transformation, and median polish, using Partek Genomics Suite v 6.5 (www.Partek.com). For both cortex and medulla datasets, the effect of the technical factor “Scan date” was stronger than the effect “Treatment” which was the actual biological effect which the analysis should focus on. The technical effect was eliminated by ANOVA, including “Treatment” and “Scan Date” as factors. Following batch effect adjustment, exon probe sets were summarized into gene IDs by calculating the mean of the exon probe sets. This resulted in 28,894 transcript IDs. Expression filters were applied, which included only those features with log2-expression levels of at least 6 in at least 33% (i.e. 4 of 12) samples in a dataset. 2-way ANOVA was performed for cortex and medulla separately. For further gene ontology or pathway analysis, a significance p-value threshold of 0.05, and a minimum absolute fold change of 1.5 were arbitrarily chosen, attempting to enable future cross-platform validation [10]. Hierarchical cluster analysis was performed with standardized normalized signal intensity values, applying Euclidean distance, and Ward's method.

Data are available in the repository Gene Expression Omnibus, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE83610.

**Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)**

GSEA was performed using the Broad Institute Tool (http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea) along with GSEA statistics (obtained from the GSEA website, http://www.broadinstitute.org/cancer/software/gsea/wiki/index.php/Main_Page). Briefly, GSEA determines the degree of enrichment of members of gene sets at ends of a fold-change ranked gene list. The enrichment score reflects the degree of enrichment of a gene set at one end of the ranked gene list. The normalized enrichment score is the enrichment score normalized to the size of the gene set. Gene sets are defined by prior knowledge, e.g. on biological pathways or gene ontologies. For the analysis of Gene Ontology, a set of 1454 gene sets was used (external URL: http://amigo.geneontology.org), for the analysis of pathways, 901 gene sets were applied. These comprised 216 sets from BIOCARTA, 186 KEGG sets, 430 sets from REACTOME, 10 sets from SA...
(Sigma Aldrich), 8 from SIG (Signaling Gateway), 28 sets from ST (Signaling Transduction KE), 20 sets from SA Biosciences, and the MARGS set. The 20 SA Biosciences (SAB) genesets were: cAMP, EMT, Extracellular Matrix, Fibrosis, Chemokines, Cytokines_common, Hypoxia, Inflammatory cytokines, nephrotoxicity, TGFβ, VEGF, WNT, T and B cell activation, TNF, Wound healing, TH17, MAPK, GPCR, Growth factors, and NFκB.

The analysis was performed with gene symbols, applying permutation on gene sets, and a random seed 100. There was no size limitation for the genesets.

Statistical relevance was achieved when the absolute normalized enrichment score was larger than 1.5 and false discovery rate was smaller than 0.05.

**Classifier analysis**

Classification of the sets of 12 samples was performed as described previously [3]. The algorithm was linear discrimination analysis. To avoid overfitting, the number of variables in a model was limited to 11 from the group of 15 of the 19 human MARGS genes present on the rat array, internal validation was performed by the leave-one-out cross-validation method. The variables in the models were automatically selected by the software by optimization of the results.

**Histology and immunohistochemistry**

For histological and immunohistochemical studies, kidneys were wax embedded and sections cut at 3 μm. For histological examination, sections were stained in Masson’s Trichrome, using conventional protocols. Sections were examined on an Olympus Provis AX70 microscope and photographed with a Spot digital camera.

For immunohistochemical treatments, sections were dewaxed and rehydrated, antigen retrieval (microwaving in 10 mM/L citrate buffer, pH 6.0) was carried out, and endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% H2O2 in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). After preincubation in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) in PBS to block nonspecific binding, sections were labelled with the appropriate primary antibody. Antibodies used were against transforming growth factor-2 (TGFβ2, polyclonal, rabbit, sc-90, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), CD44 (mouse, 554869, Pharmingen, BD Biosciences, USA)), and nephroblastoma over-expressed gene (NOV/CCN3, polyclonal, goat, AF1640, R & D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Labelling of the tissue was visualized using a horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit or rabbit anti-goat immunoglobulins (IgG, DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark), followed by incubation with diaminobenzidine (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). After dehydration and clearing, sections were mounted in DPX and viewed on an Olympus AX70 Provis microscope. Representative regions were digitally recorded using a Spot camera. Negative controls were carried out either by omitting the primary antibodies or by using appropriate blocking peptides, and positive controls were used against chosen tissues.

To better interpret the immunohistochemical picture from TGFβ2, images were analysed using ImageJ. Using Adobe Photoshop, a series of 20 images were collected from 10 sections, 5 control and 5 from lithium-treated kidneys, by randomly traversing cortical and outer medullary regions. The resulting images were viewed using ImageJ, and the percentage of brown pixels in a 100 μ square mask determined, after colour deconvolution and thresholding.

**qPCR**

The RNA samples from the medulla and cortex, used for the microarrays, were reverse transcribed using a Superscript III reverse transcriptase single strand DNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen,
Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with oligo-dT primers. The resulting cDNA was then used with Assays—on-Demand TaqMan amplicon probes, Mastermix (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and the FAM reporter dye. Standard cycling conditions were used on an Applied Biosystems 7700 analyser. Assays-on-Demand TaqMan probes used were for TGFβ2, CD44, and NOV/CCN3 and the housekeeping gene β-actin. Relative quantification was carried out using the ΔCt method.

Results
Histology of lithium-induced renal fibrosis
After 6 months on a lithium-fed diet, rats exhibited a striking focal tubulointerstitial fibrosis (Fig 1A and 1B), characterized by increased interstitial collagen deposition, increased numbers of interstitial myofibroblasts, and grossly dilated collecting ducts, with abnormal cytology. These abnormalities are illustrated at higher magnification in Fig 1A–1D. Many glomeruli show evidence of a focal glomerulosclerosis (Fig 1C, arrow), while others show attachments to the capsular wall. Cortical collecting ducts are frequently greatly dilated (Fig 1D), and their epithelial lining ranges from attenuated cells spread thinly over the surface (Fig 1D) to greatly enlarged cells intruding into the lumen (Fig 1E), some of which appear to be multinucleate (Fig 1E, arrow). Deposition of extracellular matrix, usually adjacent to collecting ducts, compressed or obliterated the lumens of the enveloped tubules (Fig 1F). In spite of these obvious histological changes, there was no significant deterioration in renal function.

cDNA microarray analysis
Gene expression changes of these 163 MARGS were analysed in the cortex and medulla of lithium-treated rats and compared to those of control rats. Genes with a p-value < 0.05 and an absolute fold change > 1.5 were considered to be differentially expressed. These are illustrated in Tables 1 and 2.

In the cortex dataset, 17 passed the p-value filter, 5 of those also passing the fold change filter, as shown in Table 1. In the medulla dataset, 26 transcripts had a p-value < 0.05, of those 11 had an absolute fold change larger than 1.5 (Table 2). Interestingly, in both renal cortex and medulla, the 3 transcripts which responded most significantly to the lithium treatment were identical: NOV, MMP2, and CD44. Scatter plots in Fig 2 illustrate the expression differences for those genes as well as TGFβ2, another important molecule known to be involved in the development of fibrosis. In both datasets, differentially expressed MARGS transcripts led to a separation of control and lithium-treated samples in principal component analysis (PCA), and explained a large percentage of the variance between both sample groups in the first component (cortex: 96.8%, medulla: 89.6%), as depicted in Fig 3A and 3B. One lithium-treated sample in the medulla dataset did not cluster with the other lithium samples (Fig 3B). This is most likely due to biological variation or possibly the dissection of the tissue in the response to lithium treatment. Since the sample did not qualify as a technical outlier, it was left in the analysis.

Classifier performance
To examine whether a MARGS-based composite classifier, previously identified in gene expression studies of human tissues [3], would be able to classify the rat fibrosis samples correctly, 15 of the 19 MARGS genes of the classifier, which were represented on the rat GeneChip® Affymetrix 1.0 ST microarray, were used in this study. Classification was performed as described previously: the algorithm "linear discrimination analysis", with combinations of up
to 11 genes for the classification of a set of 12 samples to avoid overfitting, and leave-one-out cross-validation, was used. Strikingly, for both cortex and medulla of the rat dataset, the classification rate using 11 MARGS genes was 100% (Table 3). The most frequently used genes were MGP, ADAMTSL3, and CD44, indicating their major contribution to classification models and their discriminative power.

Fig 1. Sections of rat kidney stained with Masson’s Trichrome. Low power sections of normal rat kidney (A) and rat fed a lithium diet for six months (B), in which collecting duct tubules show cyst-like dilatations. The lithium treated rats show glomerulosclerosis (C, arrow), collecting duct dilations # (C, D), and epithelial linings either greatly attenuated (D, arrow) or with enlarged cells, often appearing multinucleate (E, arrow). The cortex has areas of focal fibrosis(F). Scale bar, A, B, 200 microns; C-F, 50 microns.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168240.g001
ADAMTS5, COL3A1, LAMB1, MGP, PAPLN, PLG, THBS2, THSD1, TNFSF10, TNSSF13B and VEGFA were able to classify all samples of medulla and cortex correctly with a classification rate, sensitivity and specificity of 100%.

Gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA)

GSEA, focusing on 5 pathway gene sets known to be involved in fibrosis and ECM remodeling was used: MARGS, ECM, fibrosis, immune response (e.g. lymphocyte activation and T-cell activation) and TGFβ signaling [3, 5]. GSEA ranks transcripts by fold-change between two analysis groups and measures whether transcript pathway members are enriched at either end of the ranked list, assigning p-value. The association of genes to gene sets can then be used to calculate an enrichment score for those sets.
Table 2. MARGS expression changes in the renal medulla between control and lithium-treated rats.

| Transcript Cluster ID | Gene Symbol | RefSeq | p-value | Control group mean signal intensity [log2] | Lithium group mean signal intensity [log2] | Fold-Change (lithium vs. control) |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|---------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 10896541              | NOV         | NM_030868 | 1.5E-07 | 5.91                                      | 9.91                                    | 16.00                             |
| 10809540              | MMP2        | NM_031054 | 7.2E-05 | 7.48                                      | 9.65                                    | 4.51                              |
| 10847761              | CD44        | NM_012924 | 8.5E-04 | 6.97                                      | 8.11                                    | 2.21                              |
| 10785063              | BMP1        | NM_031323 | 8.5E-04 | 6.79                                      | 7.50                                    | 1.64                              |
| 10929396              | COL4A4      | NM_001008332 | 3.0E-03 | 8.82                                      | 8.12                                    | -1.63                             |
| 10924719              | COL4A3      | NM_001135759 | 5.1E-03 | 9.46                                      | 8.84                                    | -1.53                             |
| 10885251              | HIF1A       | NM_024359 | 5.4E-03 | 9.52                                      | 9.02                                    | -1.41                             |
| 10787856              | TLL1        | NM_001106081 | 6.9E-03 | 5.80                                      | 6.39                                    | 1.50                              |
| 10901231              | TIMP3       | NM_012886 | 8.4E-03 | 11.86                                     | 11.15                                   | -1.63                             |
| 10740843              | MMP25       | ENSRNOT0000046876 | 8.8E-03 | 5.66                                      | 6.09                                    | 1.34                              |
| 10874282              | TNFRSF25    | NM_001137644 | 1.1E-02 | 6.24                                      | 6.58                                    | 1.27                              |
| 10715787              | NFKB2       | NM_001008349 | 1.1E-02 | 7.44                                      | 7.72                                    | 1.21                              |
| 10931712              | TNFSF14     | XM_001059278 | 1.1E-02 | 5.79                                      | 6.07                                    | 1.21                              |
| 10893863              | ADAMTSL5    | NM_001108071 | 1.1E-02 | 6.24                                      | 6.60                                    | 1.28                              |
| 10915933              | ADAMTS15    | NM_001106810 | 1.2E-02 | 6.33                                      | 6.96                                    | 1.55                              |
| 10792421              | PLAT        | NM_013151 | 1.3E-02 | 10.04                                     | 8.85                                    | -2.28                             |
| 10770577              | TGFB2       | NM_031131 | 1.5E-02 | 6.39                                      | 6.73                                    | 1.26                              |
| 10733258              | ADAMTS2     | NM_001137622 | 1.6E-02 | 6.24                                      | 6.55                                    | 1.24                              |
| 10812021              | ITGB1       | NM_017022 | 2.2E-02 | 9.47                                      | 9.14                                    | -1.26                             |
| 10715566              | HIF1AN      | NM_001113749 | 2.6E-02 | 7.51                                      | 7.23                                    | -1.21                             |
| 10737532              | COL1A1      | NM_053304 | 2.7E-02 | 7.71                                      | 8.27                                    | 1.48                              |
| 10788899              | ADAM9       | NM_001014772 | 2.7E-02 | 8.59                                      | 8.22                                    | -1.29                             |
| 10937403              | COL4A5      | ENSRNOT00000025677 | 3.5E-02 | 7.60                                      | 7.11                                    | -1.40                             |
| 10757726              | ELN         | NM_012722 | 4.1E-02 | 7.01                                      | 7.75                                    | 1.67                              |
| 10752839              | ADAMTS1     | NM_024400 | 4.4E-02 | 6.60                                      | 7.46                                    | 1.81                              |

(Continued)
GSEA plots illustrate the statistical enrichment of the gene sets in the cortex (Fig 4A) and medulla (Fig 4B) from control and lithium-treated rats. Each point represents the mean signal intensity (expression level) per group of a probe set within a gene set. No enrichment would be indicated by the position of a point along the identity line. Interestingly, the gene sets MARGS, fibrosis, TGF\(\beta\) and ECM are enriched in both lithium-treated cortex and medulla, but only the gene set “immune response” is enriched in medulla from lithium-treated rats, even to a more significant level than MARGS themselves (p < 0.001, NES = 2.40, vs. p = 0.01, NES = 1.52, respectively).

**Immunohistochemistry and qPCR**

To confirm the conclusions derived from the microarray data, further analytical studies were performed on selected gene products which showed significant changes following lithium treatment, such as TGF\(\beta\)2, CD44, and NOV (CCN3) in renal cortical and medullary samples.

![Figure 2](image)

**Fig 2.** Scatter plots of signal intensities of NOV, TGF\(\beta\)2, MMP2 and CD44 in the renal cortex (A) and medulla (B) from control rats and rats fed lithium for 6 months. Each point represents the signal intensity (a surrogate value of expression level) of mRNA in a sample. The expression differences between control and lithium-treated samples are clear (p values included).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168240.g002
Fig 3. Principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical cluster analyses of MARGS in the renal cortex (A) and medulla (B) of kidneys from control and lithium-fed rats (6 months). A. PCA (left) and hierarchical cluster analysis (right) using 5 MARGS genes from cortex (expression filtered, p-value < 0.05, |FC| > 1.5); B. PCA (left) and hierarchical cluster analysis (right) using 11 MARGS genes from medulla (expression filtered, p-value < 0.05, |FC| > 1.5). MARGS are sufficient to separate the two groups. In PCA, each sphere represents one sample. The ellipsoids represent 95% of the data of a group. In both PCA plots in A and B, red indicates expression above median, blue indicates expression below median. The depth of the colour indicates extent of expression above or below median.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168240.g003

Table 3. Fibrosis classifier showing 100% correct classification rate of Lithium versus Control (for explanation see text).

|                      | medulla | Cortex |
|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Number of genes      | 11      | 11     |
| Lithium correct classification rate | 6 (of 6) | 6 (of 6) |
| Control correct classification rate | 6 (of 6) | 6 (of 6) |
| Correct classification rate (%) | 100 | 100 |
| Sensitivity (%)       | 100     | 100    |
| Specificity (%)       | 100     | 100    |
| Area under ROC        | 1       | 1      |

Classifier genes (n = 11): ADAMTS5, COL3A1, LAMB1, MGP, PAPLN, PLG, THBS2, THSD1, TNFSF10, TNSSF13B, VEGFA.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168240.t003
TGFβ1 was also investigated, as a protein known to be involved in the fibrotic process, but did not show a significant change in the microarray data.

NOV/CCN3

In marked contrast to the large changes in expression of NOV shown in the microarray data, immunohistochemistry of the protein appears to be weak (Fig 5A and 5B) and reveals that NOV is not detectable in the basolateral region of the cortical collecting ducts of the control
animals but is barely detectable in the medullary collecting ducts of controls (Fig 5B). Exposure to lithium causes an upregulation of this expression in the cortex (Fig 5C) and which also extends into the outer medulla (Fig 5D) but its expression appears to be weak and confined here to cells of the collecting ducts.

The increase in expression of NOV induced by lithium exposure was also confirmed by quantitative PCR. Data obtained showed that there was an increase in NOV gene expression following six months of lithium exposure by more than a factor of 2 (2.79 ± 0.2; p > 0.005, n = 6) in the cortical extracts, and by 2.38 ± 0.23 (p>0.005; n = 6) in samples from the medulla.

**CD44**

Immunohistochemistry of CD44 in the kidneys of control rats (Fig 6A) revealed its presence mainly in the basolateral membranes of distal tubules, although its occurrence was sporadic, and absent from the collecting ducts. It was also expressed in some epithelial cells lining Bowman’s capsule (Fig 6B). The label was also detected in the outer medullary collecting ducts, but only in some cells. In contrast, examination of the kidneys of lithium treated rats (Fig 6C and 6D)
showed a substantial increase in expression. Although there was little change in the staining of the epithelial cells of Bowman’s capsule (Fig 6D), there was uniformly strong basolateral staining in the medullary collecting ducts and thick ascending limbs (Fig 6C), as well as the dilated cortical collecting ducts and distal tubules, and this strong expression was extended into the collecting ducts of the outer medulla.

qPCR of extracts of the cortical and medullary regions substantiated the qualitative impression given by immunohistochemistry and the microarray data. In the cortex of lithium-treated rats, abundance of CD44 mRNA increased by a factor of $1.17 \pm 0.14$; ns; $n = 6$), an insignificant change relative to the control animal. In the medulla, however, qPCR showed a significant increase, compared with the control animals, of $1.68 \pm 0.12$; $p > 0.01$; $n = 6$).

**TGFβ2**

Fig 7A and 7B illustrates that in the control animals there is diffuse staining in the proximal tubules, and basolaterally in the distal tubules, while the cortical collecting ducts themselves are unstained. After adjustment for background staining, the area of cortical DAB stain was $2.88 \pm 1.0\%$, while in the outer medulla it was slightly less at $1.98 \pm 0.28\%$. In contrast, while
cortical regions of the lithium-treated kidneys show little change in staining intensity (Fig 7C), there is a substantial increase in staining in the outer medulla, confined to the thick ascending limbs (Fig 7D). In these kidneys, the cortical area occupied by DAB staining was 6.54 ± 1.04% while the outer medullary area showed a very significant increase, compared to the controls, at 11.29 ± 1.2%. This difference is underlined by the qPCR results, which indicated that the presence of TGFβ2 in the cortex in lithium-treated rats increased by a factor of 1.23 ± 0.05; P>0.01; n = 6) while the increase in the medulla was considerable larger (1.45 ± 0.06; p>0.01; n = 6), which is reflected in the microarray data.

Discussion

The present work is aimed primarily at the refinement of the diagnosis of kidney fibrosis and the investigation of potential markers and mechanisms of this disorder across species. In this respect, a MARGS-based fibrosis classifier, that is able to separate fibrotic from non-fibrotic tissues across different etiologies and solid organs of humans, including the kidney, has been developed [3, 5]. In this study it was extended to include a rat model of CKD. The MARGS-
based fibrosis classifier gene set was able to classify all samples of medulla and cortex correctly with a classification rate, sensitivity and specificity of 100%. Using gene set enrichment analysis, which focused on 5 pathway gene sets known to be involved in fibrosis and ECM remodeling, the data support the more cortical pattern of fibrosis due to lithium exposure. This reflects previous reports in this disease model, since gene up-regulation in the cortex appears to be more consistent and less variable than in the medulla [8].

The microarray data of selected genes such as TGF\(\beta\)2, CD44, and NOV/CCN3 were validated against immunohistochemistry and qPCR.

The successful application of this classifier to experimental lithium-induced interstitial fibrosis has significant consequences. First, these results argue for universal features in the pathophysiology of fibrosis across species. Second, it supports the use of this experimental model [8] for interventional studies with respect to the application of such findings in humans.

A chronic model of lithium-induced renal fibrosis, in rats, with only minimal active inflammation, mimicking many aspects of chronic interstitial fibrosis in human kidneys, has been described [8]. These rats exhibited progressive focal interstitial fibrosis, characterized by increased numbers of myofibroblasts, apparently enhanced TGF\(\beta\)1 expression, and interstitial collagen deposition, as well as evidence of focal glomerulosclerosis [8]. However, exactly how lithium induces fibrosis is not well delineated.

In the past, transcriptome analyses of renal medulla from rats treated with lithium for 4 weeks, demonstrated altered transcription and mRNA expression of a number of genes, involved in cellular proliferation and regulation of the actin cytoskeleton [11–13]. NOV/CCN3 is a cysteine-rich protein that belongs to the CCN family of matricellular proteins interacting with integrins [14]. NOV is involved in extracellular matrix production, and migration of several cell types. It is widely expressed in several tissues, including the kidney [14, 15]. NOV differentially modulates expression of MMP-1, -3, -2, -9 and -13 in a cell-type specific manner [16–19]. NOV up-regulation in lithium-treated rats was associated with augmented MMP-2, CD44 and TGF\(\beta\)2.

TGF\(\beta\) is a potent inducer of ECM accumulation [20–23]. TGF\(\beta\)1 and TGF\(\beta\)2 are the major isoforms involved in renal fibrosis [24]. Upregulation of TGF\(\beta\) has been associated with tubulointerstitial fibrosis in humans and in various experimental models of fibrosis [25]. Importantly, the therapeutic potential of an anti-TGF\(\beta\) monoclonal antibody was demonstrated in rats with chronic renal allograft rejection [26], diabetes [27] and unilateral ureteral obstruction [28]. TGF\(\beta\)1 is also known to regulate MMP expression, as shown previously [29].

CD44 is a transmembrane glycoprotein which occurs in a large number of functionally distinct isoforms, implicated in many physiological and pathological processes, including fibrosis [30]. Hyaluronic acid (HA) and osteopontin are major ligands of CD44. For example, upon binding with HA, CD44 interacts with TGF\(\beta\) receptor I, resulting in the activation of TGF\(\beta\) signaling in metastatic breast tumor cells [31]. Moreover, cleavage of pro-TGF\(\beta\)1 into its active form by MMP-9 is dependent upon CD44 interaction with MMP-9 [32].

Glomerular or tubular CD44 overexpression occurs in humans with IgA-nephropathy, diabetic nephropathy and hypertensive nephrosclerosis as well as in experimental mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis [33–35]. In human IgA nephropathy and in renal allografts, tubular CD44 expression was found to correlate with IF/TA [36, 37]. During unilateral ureteral obstruction, CD44-deficient mice exhibited increased tubular damage, associated with decreased proliferation and increased apoptosis of tubular epithelial cells but decreased renal fibrosis [38]. The authors suggest that CD44, by interacting with TGF\(\beta\)1, plays a signal role in the development of interstitial fibrosis.
MMP-2 degrades gelatin and many forms of collagen including collagen IV. It has been implicated in renal fibrosis, and renal tubular epithelial cell transdifferentiation with tubular atrophy. MMPs, including MMP-2 were shown to be increased in human and rat chronic allograft dysfunction [39]. Urinary MMP-2 and MMP-9 and TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 might be markers of renal allograft function in humans; especially increased MMP-9 concentrations were shown to correlate with histologic lesions related to tubular atrophy and interstitial fibrosis [40]. Accordingly, in humans with chronic transplant nephropathy with interstitial fibrosis, serum MMP-2 levels were found to be elevated compared to subjects with acute rejection or stable graft function [41]. In addition, a clear association between MMP-2 transcript abundance and the extent of tubular injury in human delayed graft function was observed recently [42]. In human renal allograft biopsies, augmented concentrations of MMP-7 and MMP-2 in serum were parallel to the degree of IF/TA [43,44,45]. In a Fischer-Lewis rat model of kidney transplantation, recipients initially develop acute rejection which subsequently leads to chronic renal allograft rejection associated with IF/TA [39]. During chronic renal allograft rejection, mRNA levels of MMPs (MMP-2, -11,-12, -14), TIMP-1,-2, ADAM-17 and TGF\(\beta\)1 were increased [38]. In the present study, MMP-2 mRNA levels were found to be overexpressed in both the cortex and medulla of lithium-fed rats compared to controls.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that a MARGS-based fibrosis classifier that can be applied successfully across solid organs in humans, can also be applied to the rat kidney. Thus the MARGS-based fibrosis classifier can be used as a valuable tool in the investigation of rat models of renal fibrosis to correlate with changes demonstrated in human renal fibrosis.

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by a grant from the Novartis Foundation for Medical-Biological Research (H.P. Marti); the Maurice and Phyllis Paykel Trust (R.Walker, J Bedford, J.Leader) and the Otago Medical Research Foundation (Laurenson) (R. Walker, A. Jeffs)

**Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** RW HPM JB JL.

**Data curation:** AJ AS JL.

**Formal analysis:** AJ AS JL.

**Funding acquisition:** RW.

**Investigation:** JB JL CL HPM.

**Methodology:** JB JL CL HPM.

**Project administration:** RW JB HPM.

**Resources:** JB JL RW.

**Software:** AJ AS.

**Supervision:** RW JL HPM.

**Validation:** AJ AS HPM JL JB.

**Visualization:** JL JB AS.

**Writing – original draft:** JL HPM RW.

**Writing – review & editing:** HPM AJ AS JL JB RW.
References

1. Liu Y. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of renal fibrosis. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2011; 7(12): 684–696. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2011.149 PMID: 22009250

2. Boor P, Ostendorf T, Floege J. Renal fibrosis: novel insights into mechanisms and therapeutic targets. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2010; 6(11): 643–656. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2010.200 PMID: 20838416

3. Rodder S, Scherer A, Raulf F, Berthier CC, Hertig A, Couzi L et al. Renal allografts with IF/TA display distinct expression profiles of metzincins and related genes. Am J Transplant. 2009; 9(3): 517–526. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2008.02512.x PMID: 19191772

4. Nagase H, Woessner JF Jr. Matrix metalloproteinases. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274(4): 487–496. doi: 10.1007/s00428-011-1052-7 PMID: 21337037

5. Rodder S, Scherer A, Korner M, Marti HP. A subset of metzincins and related genes constitutes a marker of human solid organ fibrosis. Virchows Arch. 2011; 458(4): 487–496. doi: 10.1007/s00428-011-1052-7 PMID: 21337037

6. Marti HP, Fuscoe JC, Kwekel JC, Anagnostopoulou A, Scherer A. Metzincins and related genes in experimental renal ageing: towards a unifying fibrosis classifier across species. Nephrol Dial Transplantat. 2014; 29(6): 1177–1185.

7. Finne K, Marti HP, Leh S, Skogstrand T, Vethe H, Tenstad O, Vethe H, Tenstad O, Skogh Sger A, Vikse BE. Proteomic analysis of minimally damaged renal tubular tissue from two-kidney-one-clip hypertensive rats demonstrates extensive changes compared to tissue from controls. Nephron. 2016; 132(1): 70–78. doi: 10.11580/00444285 PMID: 26745798

8. Walker RJ, Leader JP, Bedford JJ, Gobe G, Davis G, Vos FE et al. Chronic interstitial fibrosis in the rat kidney induced by long-term (6-mo) exposure to lithium. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2013; 304(3): F300–F307. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00182.2012 PMID: 23171555

9. Kwon TH, Laursen UH, Marples D, Maunsbach AR, Knepper MA, Frokiaer J, Nielsen S. Altered expression of renal AQPs and Na_transporters in rats with lithium-induced NDI. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2000; 279(3): F552–F564. PMID: 10966935

10. MAQC Consortium, Shi L, Reid LH, Jones WD, Shippy R, Warrington JA et al. The MicroArray Quality Control (MAQC) project shows inter- and intraplatform reproducibility of gene expression measurements. Nat Biotechnol. 2006; 24(9): 1151–1161. doi: 10.1038/nbt1239 PMID: 16964229

11. Christensen BM, Kim YH, Kwon TH, Nielsen S. Lithium treatment induces a marked proliferation of primarily principal cells in rat kidney inner medullary collecting duct. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2006; 291(6): F39–F48.

12. Kotnik P, Nielsen J, Kwon TH, Krzisnik C, Frokiaer J, Nielsen S. Altered expression of COX-1, COX-2, and mPGES in rats with nephrogenic and central diabetes insipidus. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2005; 288(5): F1053–F1068. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00114.2004 PMID: 15644490

13. Rojek A, Nielsen J, Brooks HL, Gong H, Kim YH, Kwon TH et al. Altered expression of selected genes in kidney of rats with lithium-induced NDI. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2005; 288(6): F1276–F1289. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00305.2004 PMID: 15687245

14. Yeger H, Perbal B. The CCN family of genes: a perspective on CCN biology and therapeutic potential. J Cell Commun Signal. 2007; 1(3-4): 159–164. doi: 10.1007/s12079-008-0022-6 PMID: 18568428

15. Chevalier G, Yeger H, Martinerie C, Laurent M, Alami J, Schofield P, et al. novH: differential expression in developing kidney and Wilms’s tumors. Am J Pathol. 1998; 152(6): 1563–1575. PMID: 9626060

16. Laurent M, Martinerie C, Thibout H, Hoffman MP, Verrecchia F, Le Bouc Y et al. NOVH increases MMP3 expression and cell migration in glioblastoma cells via a PDGFR-alpha-dependent mechanism. FASEB J. 2003; 17(13): 1919–1921. doi: 10.1096/fj.02-1023fje PMID: 14519668

17. Lin CG, Chen CC, Leu SJ, Grzeszkiewicz TM, Lau LF. Integrin-dependent functions of the angiogenic inducer NOV (CCN3): implication in wound healing. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280(9): 8229–8237. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M404903200 PMID: 15611078

18. Benini S, Perbal B, Zambelli D, Colombo MP, Manara MC, Serra M et al. In Ewing’s sarcoma CCN3 (NOV) inhibits proliferation while promoting migration and invasion of the same cell type. Oncogene. 2005; 24(27): 4349–4361. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1206820 PMID: 15824736

19. Tzeng HE, Chen JC, Tsai CH, Kuo CC, Hsu HC, Hwang WL et al. CCN3 increases cell motility and MMP-13 expression in human chondrosarcoma through integrin-dependent pathway. J Cell Physiol. 2011; 226(12): 3181–3189. doi: 10.1002/jcp.22672 PMID: 21344378

20. Yoko H, Sugawara A, Mukoyama M, Mori K, Makino H, Suganami T et al. Role of connective tissue growth factor in profibrotic action of transforming growth factor-beta: a potential target for preventing renal fibrosis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2001; 38(4 Suppl 1): S134–S138. PMID: 11576939
21. Schnaper HW, Hayashida T, Hubchak SC, Poncelet AC. TGF-beta signal transduction and mesangial cell fibrogenesis. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2003; 284(2): F243–F252. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00300.2002 PMID: 12592970

22. Yang J, Liu Y. Dissection of key events in tubular epithelial to myofibroblast transition and its implications in renal interstitial fibrosis. Am J Pathol. 2001; 159(4): 1465–1475. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)62533-3 PMID: 11583974

23. Zeisberg M, Bonner G, Maeshima Y, Colorado P, Muller GA, Strutz F et al. Renal fibrosis: collagen composition and assembly regulates epithelial-mesenchymal transdifferentiation. Am J Pathol. 2001; 159(4): 1313–1321.

24. Yu L, Border WA, Huang Y, Noble NA. TGF-beta isoforms in renal fibrogenesis. Kidney Int. 2003; 64(3): 844–856. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.2003.00162.x PMID: 12911534

25. Yanagita M. Inhibitors/an tagonists of TGF-beta system in kidney fibrosis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2012; 27(10): 3686–3691. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfs381 PMID: 23114895

26. Guan Q, Li S, Gao S, Chen H, Nguyen CY, Du C. Reduction of chronic rejection of renal allografts by anti-Transforming growth factor beta antibody therapy in a rat model. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2013; 305(2): F199–F207. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00665.2012 PMID: 23552866

27. Benigni A, Zoja C, Campana M, Corno D, Sangalli F, Rottoli D et al. Beneficial effect of TGFbeta antag- onism in treating diabetic nephropathy depends on when treatment is started. Nephron Exp Nephrol. 2006; 104(4): e158–68. doi: 10.1159/000094967 PMID: 16902320

28. El Chaar M, Chen J, Seshan SV, Jha S, Richardson I, Ledbetter SR et al. Effect of combination therapy with enalapril and the TGF-beta antagonist 1D11 in unilateral ureteral obstruction. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2007; 292(4): F1291–F1301. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00665.2005 PMID: 17164399

29. Marti HP, Lee L, Kashgarian M, Lovett DH. Transforming growth factor-beta 1 stimulates glomerular mesangial cell synthesis of the 72-kd type IV collagenase. Am J Pathol. 1994; 144(1): 82–94. PMID: 8291614

30. Negi LM, Talegaonkar S, Jaggi M, Ahmad FJ, Iqbal Z, Khar RK. Role of CD44 in tumour progression and strategies for targeting. J Drug Target. 2012; 20(7): 561–573. doi: 10.3109/1061186X.2012.702767 PMID: 22753849

31. Bourguignon LY, Singleton PA, Zhu H, Zhou B. Hyaluronan promotes signaling interaction between CD44 and the transforming growth factor beta receptor I in metastatic breast tumor cells. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277(42): 39703–39712. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M204320200 PMID: 12145287

32. Yu Q, Stamenkovic I. Localization of matrix metalloproteinase 9 to the cell surface provides a mecha-nism for CD44-mediated tumor invasion. Genes Dev. 1999; 13(1): 35–48. PMID: 9887098

33. Roy-Chaudhury P, Khong TF, Haites NE, Wu B, Simpson JG et al. CD44 in glomerulone-phritis: expression in human renal biopsies, the Thy 1.1 model, and by cultured mesangial cells. Kidney Int. 1996; 50(1): 272–281. PMID: 8807598

34. Kirimca F, Sarigoluglu S, Camsari T, Kavukcu S. Expression of CD44 and major histocompatibility complex class II antigens correlate with renal scarring in primary and systemic renal diseases. Scand J Urol Nephrol. 2001; 35(6): 509–514. PMID: 11848433

35. Wagrowska-Danilewicz M, Danilewicz M. A correlation between immunexpression of CD44, alpha-SMA and CD68+ cells in IgA-nephropathy and in mesangial proliferative IgA-negative glomerulonephriti-s. Pol J Pathol. 2002; 53(3): 155–162. PMID: 12476618

36. Florquin S, Nunziata R, Claessen N, van den Berg FM, Pals ST, Weening JJ. CD44 expression in IgA nephropathy. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002; 39(2): 407–414. doi: 10.1053/ajkd.2002.30563 PMID: 11840384

37. Kers J, Xu-Dubois YC, Rondeau E, Claessen N, Idu MM, Roelofs JJ et al. Intragraf t tubular vimentin and CD44 expression correlate with long-term renal allograft function and interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy. Transplantation. 2010; 90(5): 502–509. doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e31816e86b42 PMID: 20582806

38. Rouschop KM, Sewnath ME, Claessen N, Roelofs JJ, Hoedemaeker I, van der Neut R et al. CD44 defi-ciency increases tubular damage but reduces renal fibrosis in obstructive nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2004; 15(3): 674–866. PMID: 14978169

39. Berthier CC, Lods N, Joosten SA, van Kooten C, Leppert D, Lindberg RL et al. Differential regulation of metzincins in experimental chronic renal allograft rejection: potential markers and novel therapeutic tar-gets. Kidney Int. 2006; 69(2): 358–368. doi: 10.1038/sj.ki.5000049 PMID: 16408127

40. Kwiatkowska E, Domanski L, Bober J, Safarnow K, Romanowski M, Pawlik A et al. Urinary metallopro-teinases-9 and -2 and their inhibitors TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 are markers of early and long-term graft function after renal transplantation. Kidney Blood Press Res. 2016; 41(3): 288–297. doi: 10.1159/000443431 PMID: 27160811
41. Rodrigo E, López-Hoyos M, Escallada R, Fernández-Fresnedo G, Ruiz JC, Piñera C et al. Circulating levels of matrix metalloproteinases MMP-3 and MMP-2 in renal transplant recipients with chronic transplant nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2000; 15(12): 2041–2045. PMID: 11096152

42. Wanga S, Ceron CS, Delgado C, Joshi SK, Spaulding K, Walker JP et al. Two distinct isoforms of matrix metalloproteinase-2 are associated with human delayed kidney graft function. PLoS One. 2015; 10(9): e0136276 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0136276 PMID: 26379248