Cytosolic and Nucleosolic Calcium-Regulated Molecular Networks in Response to Long-Term Treatment with Abscisic Acid and Methyl Jasmonate in *Arabidopsis thaliana*
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Abstract: Calcium acts as a universal secondary messenger that transfers developmental cues and stress signals for gene expression and adaptive growth. A prior study showed that abiotic stresses induce mutually independent cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)) and nucleosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)) increases in *Arabidopsis thaliana* root cells. However, gene expression networks deciphering [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) signalling pathways remain elusive. Here, using transgenic *A. thaliana* to selectively impair abscisic acid (ABA)- or methyl jasmonate (MeJA)-induced [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) increases, we identified [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)- and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated ABA- or MeJA-responsive genes with a genome oligo-array. Gene co-expression network analysis revealed four Ca\(^{2+}\)-signal-decoding genes, CAM1, CIPK8, GAD1, and CPN20, as hub genes co-expressed with Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated hormone-responsive genes and hormone signalling genes. Luciferase complementation imaging assays showed interactions among CAM1, CIPK8, and GAD1; they also showed interactions with several proteins encoded by Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated hormone-responsive genes. Furthermore, CAM1 and CIPK8 were required for MeJA-induced stomatal closure; they were associated with ABA-inhibited seed germination. Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction analysis showed the unique expression pattern of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)- and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated hormone-responsive genes in *cam1*, *cikp8*, and *gad1*. This comprehensive understanding of distinct Ca\(^{2+}\) and hormonal signalling will allow the application of approaches to uncover novel molecular foundations for responses to developmental and stress signals in plants.
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1. Introduction

Sessile plants respond to developmental and environmental cues through various secondary messengers and phytohormones. Calcium ion (Ca\(^{2+}\)) is a universal secondary messenger involved in fertilisation, growth, and development; it responds to both biotic and abiotic stresses in plants [1–3]. In addition, plants produce hormones (e.g., abscisic acid (ABA) and jasmonic acid (JA)) to help them grow, develop, and adapt to their changing environments [4,5]. Both ABA and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) can induce increases in cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)) in plant guard cells and roots [6–8]. Using tobacco suspension cells transiently transformed with cytosolic- or nucleus-targeted apoaequorin, Walter et al. [9] found that both 12-oxophytodienoic acid, the precursor of JA, and JA itself could separately induce a transient increase in nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) in a concentration-dependent
manner. Krebs et al. [10] also demonstrated that external ATP could induce increases in 
$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{nuc}}$ and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ in *Arabidopsis thaliana* root cells and Nod factor-induced spikes in 
$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{nuc}}$ and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ in *Lotus japonicus* root hair cells. Additionally, osmotic and salt 
stresses can separately trigger mutually independent increases in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{nuc}}$ and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ in 
*A. thaliana* roots; such increases are involved in specific gene expression, root growth, and 
lateral root development [11]. Therefore, deciphering the $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{nuc}}$-regulated 
molecular networks and their crosstalk with hormone signalling pathways is essential for 
greater comprehension of Ca$^{2+}$ signalling in plants.

Ca$^{2+}$ signals are characterised as stimulus-specific signatures in terms of duration, 
amplitude, and frequency of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ elevation [3]; they are sensed by intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ sensor 
proteins composed of calmodulins (CaMs) and calmodulin-like proteins (CMLs) [12], Ca$^{2+}$- 
dependent protein kinases (CPKs), CPK-related kinases (CRKs) [13], Ca$^{2+}$- and Ca$^{2+}$/CaM- 
dependent protein kinases (CCaMKs) [14,15], and calcineurin B-like proteins (CBLs), along 
with CBL-interacting protein kinases (CIPKs) [16]. Complex gene families encode these 
Ca$^{2+}$ sensors; most possess classical EF-hand motifs, which allow for the binding of Ca$^{2+}$ 
and triggering of Ca$^{2+}$-dependent conformational changes within these proteins for signal 
transduction [17,18]. Throughout the *A. thaliana* genome, the CaM/CML family is repre-
sented by 6 CaM and 50 CML genes [19], the CBL-CIPK family has 10 CBLs and 25 CIPKs [20], 
and the CPK/CRK family is encoded by 34 CPK and 8 CRK genes [13]. In contrast to CaMs 
present in all eukaryotes, CMLs, CBLs-CIPKs, CCaMKs, and CPKs/CRKs—all of which 
form intricate signalling networks that enable phosphorylation events, changes in protein-
protein interactions, and gene expression regulation—are mainly restricted to plants and 
some protists [21].

In plants, Ca$^{2+}$ sensors can be classified into two major groups: sensor relays and 
sensor responders [2]. CaMs, CMLs, and CBLs do not have any known enzymatic func-
tional domains; therefore, they are considered members of the sensor relay group. A 
notable exception is CaM7, which physically interacts with HY5 and directly binds the 
T/G- and E-box cis-acting elements in the 
*HY5* 
protein interactions, and gene expression regulation—are mainly restricted to plants and 
some protists [21].

This study represents a comprehensive investigation of the *A. thaliana* transcriptome 
for $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{nuc}}$- and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$-regulated genes in cytosolic-localised parvalbumin (PV-NES) 
and nucleosolic-localised parvalbumin (PV-NLS) transgenic *A. thaliana* seedlings in re-
ponse to ABA and MeJA using full genome microarray analysis. This work revealed 244 
$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$- and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{nuc}}$-regulated ABA-responsive genes and 144 $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$- and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{nuc}}$-
regulated MeJA-responsive genes. Moreover, using systems biology approaches focusing
on genes transcriptionally regulated by Ca$^{2+}$ and hormones, we defined a highly reliable gene co-expression network among [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$- and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{nuc}$-regulated genes, Ca$^{2+}$ signal-decoding proteins, and ABA/JA signalling pathway proteins to uncover dynamic subnetwork structures in response to hormone treatment in *A. thaliana*.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Plant Material and Growth Conditions

*A. thaliana* (Col-0 ecotype) plants were grown with a cycle of 16 h light (120 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)/8 h dark at 22 °C and 60% relative humidity. T-DNA insertion mutants *cam1* (SALK_202076c), *gad1* (SALK_022227), and *cipk8* (SALK_139697c) were obtained from The *A. thaliana* Information Resource (https://www.arabidopsis.org/ (accessed on 6 September 2017)) (Figure S1A). After genotyping progeny from self-crossed plants by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Figure S1B) and assessing target gene transcription in seedlings by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) (Figure S1C), we obtained homozygous lines of *cam1*, *cipk8*, and *gad1*. The used primers are listed in Table S1.

*A. thaliana* seeds were surface-sterilised with 75% ethanol and plated on half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) salts, 2% sucrose, and 0.8% (w/v) agar at pH 5.8. After stratification at 4 °C in the dark for 3 days, the plates were transferred to a growth chamber under 16 h light (120 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)/8 h dark at 22 °C for another 3 days. Seedlings were then transferred to half-strength MS plates containing 10 µM ABA or 50 µM MeJA, cultured for 5 additional days, and collected for RNA extraction. For the germination assay, seeds were plated on half-strength MS plates containing 0.5 µM ABA or 50 µM MeJA at 4 °C in the dark for 3 days. The plates were then transferred to a growth chamber for 3 days, and the seed germination rate was calculated.

### 2.2. Imaging of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{nuc}$ in *A. thaliana* Roots

[Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{nuc}$ measurements were performed in mature root sections of 1-week-old *A. thaliana* seedlings, as previously described [11]. Transgenic *A. thaliana* lines containing cytosolic-localised yellow Cameleon YC 3.6 (NES-YC3.6) or nuclear-localised yellow Cameleon YC 3.6 (NLS-YC3.6) were used to monitor the effects of ABA and MeJA on changes in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{nuc}$ in root cells. After germination, *A. thaliana* seedlings were grown vertically on half-strength MS medium for 5–7 days; the roots were immobilised by overlaying 1% (w/v) low-melting-point agarose (AMRESCO, Dallas, TX, USA) in an Attofluor® Cell Chamber (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA). After a small tunnel in the agarose had been made to expose the root, 200 µL of bathing solution buffer (0.5 × MS salt, 1% sucrose, 10 mM MES-KOH, pH 5.8) were applied to the chamber. ABA (10 µM) and MeJA (50 µM) in the same bathing solution were separately perfused as the stimulus into the chamber. The mean fluorescence resonance energy transfer values in response to different stimuli represented measurement of 20–30 root cells from at least nine independent seedlings, each of which included three to six root cells. Analyses of statistical significance were performed using the unpaired Student’s t-test with GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) and the results are presented as means ± standard deviations (SDs).

### 2.3. Stomatal Aperture Bioassay

Rosette leaves were detached from 3- to 4-week-old *A. thaliana* plants and floated in a solution containing 10 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl$_2$, and 10 mM MES-Tris at pH 6.15 for 2 h in a growth chamber under light (120 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) at 22 °C. ABA (10 µM) and MeJA (50 µM) were separately added to the solutions for 2 h to assess stomatal closure, as previously described [29]. Stomatal apertures were imaged with a digital camera (DP72; Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan) attached to a fluorescence microscope (BX51; Olympus Corp.) and measured using DP2-BAW software (Olympus Corp.).
2.4. Total RNA Isolation and Analysis of Microarray Data

Two wild-type (WT) plants and three previously described independent PV-NES lines (1, 7, and 11) and PV-NLS transgenic lines (4, 5, and 8) [11] were used for microarray analysis. First, total RNA was extracted from seedlings that had been treated with 10 µM ABA or 50 µM MeJA for 5 days using the Eastep® Super Total RNA Extraction Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Approximately 2 µg of total RNA were reverse-transcribed into first-strand cDNA using the First-Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix (TransScript, Beijing, China). The cDNA was hybridised on an Affymetrix A. thaliana ATH1-121501 genome array using a GPL198 platform (CapitalBio Corporation, Beijing, China). All generated datasets are publicly available in the Gene Expression Omnibus database under the accession number GSE109611.

Files in .cel format were read into R and normalised using the RMA procedure. The cor function was used to calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient, represented by R. The R-value of duplicate samples ranged from 0.98 to 1, indicating high relevance and repeatability. Volcano plot analysis, with a fold-change >2.0 and a false discovery rate-corrected p-value < 0.05, according to Student’s t-test, was performed to identify differentially expressed genes; such genes were visualised using Venn diagrams and heatmaps.

2.5. Construction of the A. thaliana Gene Co-Expression Network

Seven open or published A. thaliana chip datasets regarding ABA and JA hormone processing were collected from the Affymetrix GPL198 platform and downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus database on the National Center for Biotechnology Information website: GSE12715, GSE45662 [30], GSE44446, GSE39384 [31], GSE7432 [32], GSE5620 [33], and GSE109611. In accordance with a previously published method [34], R software was used to pre-process the data of each chip, mainly in terms of removing disproportionately low mean expression genes or genes with poor correlations. Finally, the dataset contained 13,083 genes in 165 samples. Then, the weighted gene co-expression network analysis algorithm in R software was used to construct the A. thaliana co-expression network. This algorithm can generate functional modules in various situations [35,36]. To construct the co-expression network, the weight value power selected in this study was 12; the corresponding Pearson correlation coefficient was approximately 0.9. Cytoscape_V3.2.1 was used to display the co-expression network results.

2.6. Firefly Luciferase Complementation Imaging (LCI) Assay

The LCI assay was conducted as previously described [37]. Coding sequences of glutamate decarboxylase 1 (GAD1), calmodulin 1 (CAM1), and calcineurin B-like protein-interacting protein kinase 8 (CIPK8) were inserted into the pCAMBIA-nLUC or pCAMBIA-cLUC vector. The Ca²⁺-regulated hormone response genes were cloned into the pCAMBIA-cLUC vector. The constructed plasmids and conjugative P19 plasmid were separately transformed into Agrobacterium GV3101 strains. A single colony was inoculated in the corresponding resistant YEB liquid medium and cultured overnight at 28 °C and 200 rpm. After centrifugation for 2 min at 12,000 rpm, the medium was discarded. The pellet was washed five times with tobacco transformation buffer (10 mM MES, 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 5.6), resuspended with tobacco transformation buffer containing 0.1 mM acetosyringone, and infiltrated into the leaves of 3-week-old Nicotiana benthamiana (16 h day/8 h night, 25 °C) with pairs of nLUC and cLUC vectors. After 2–3 days, the luciferase assay substrate (Promega) was infiltrated into the leaf and reaction imaging was immediately captured by a low-light cooled charge-coupled device imaging system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). The experiments were repeated at least three times.

2.7. qRT-PCR

Four-day-old seedlings were transferred to half-strength MS medium containing 10 µM ABA or 50 µM MeJA for 6 h, followed by RNA extraction and first-strand cDNA as described in Section 2.4. qRT-PCR was performed with Power SYBR® Green PCR Master
Mix (TransStart, Beijing, China) on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Value changes of more than two-fold (>2 or <0.5) were considered to indicate the induction or repression of gene expression. All primers used in this study are listed in Table S1. The A. thaliana actin2 gene served as an internal control. At least three independent biological replicates were performed.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Data analyses were carried out using the Data Processing System [38]. Data are shown as means ± SDs of at least three independent experiments. Statistical comparisons were performed using one-way or two-way analysis of variance with Student’s t-test to identify significant differences among group means; p < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Both ABA and MeJA Triggered [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} and [Ca^{2+}]_{nuc} Increases in A. thaliana Roots

Transgenic A. thaliana lines containing cytosolic-localised yellow Cameleon YC 3.6 (NES-YC3.6) or nuclear-localised yellow Cameleon YC 3.6 (NLS-YC3.6) were used to monitor the effects of ABA and MeJA on changes in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} and [Ca^{2+}]_{nuc} in root cells. [Ca^{2+}] measurements were performed in mature root sections of 1-week-old A. thaliana seedlings, as previously described [11]. Consistent with previous results from plant stomata [6,39], we demonstrated that both ABA and MeJA triggered [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} elevations in A. thaliana roots (Figure 1A,B). Moreover, ABA and MeJA induced [Ca^{2+}]_{nuc} increases in A. thaliana root cells (Figure 1C,D), indicating that [Ca^{2+}]_{nuc}, similar to [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}, participates in ABA and JA signalling within plants. In addition, the elevations of [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} and [Ca^{2+}]_{nuc} elicited by ABA or MeJA were impaired in root cells of PV-NES/NES-YC3.6 and PV-NLS/NLS-YC3.6 plants, respectively, compared with the WT (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Impaired [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} and [Ca^{2+}]_{nuc} increases in responses to ABA and MeJA treatment in root cells of PV-NES and PV-NLS transgenic A. thaliana lines, respectively. (A,B) Changes in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}
in the roots of 6-day-old WT and PV-NES plants triggered by ABA (50 μM) or MeJA (1 mM). The dynamics of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) are shown as changes in the apparent fluorescence resonance energy transfer efficiency of NES-YC3.6. Typical traces (A) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) peak value comparisons (B) are shown (n = 20 cells from 8 different seedlings). (C,D) Changes in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) in the roots of 6-day-old WT and PV-NLS plants triggered by ABA (50 μM) or MeJA (1 mM). The dynamics of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) are shown as changes in the apparent fluorescence resonance energy transfer efficiency of NES-YC3.6. Typical traces (C) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) peak value comparisons (D) are shown (n = 20 cells from 8 different seedlings). In (B,D), black lines represent the mean value; blue and red lines represent the standard error (p < 0.001).

3.2. Elevated [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) Contributed to Significant Changes in ABA- or MeJA-Responsive Gene Expression Profiles in A. thaliana

To further elucidate the roles of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) in gene expression in A. thaliana, genome microarray analyses were performed in PV-NES and PV-NLS seedlings in response to long-term treatment with ABA or MeJA. Three lines of each transgenic plant and two WT plants were used in the microarray analysis experiments; the datasets were deposited into the Gene Expression Omnibus database with the accession number GSE109611. Using the parameters of a 2.0-fold change and a p-value < 0.05, we identified 1001, 667, and 889 ABA-responsive genes in WT, PV-NES, and PV-NLS lines, respectively (Figure S2A–C); 1145, 1095, and 1262 MeJA-responsive genes were identified in WT, PV-NES, and PV-NLS lines, respectively (Figure S2D–F). Then, we identified 193 [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive genes, 15 [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive genes, and 14 [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated ABA-responsive genes between WT and PV-NES or PV-NLS plants (Figure 2A and Figure S3 and Table S2); we also identified 40 [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated MeJA-responsive genes, 57 [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated MeJA-responsive genes, and 25 [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated MeJA-responsive genes between WT and PV-NES or PV-NLS plants (Figure 2B and Figure S3 and Table S3). In addition, among these differentially expressed [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) or [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated hormone-responsive genes, we identified eight [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA- and MeJA-responsive genes; two [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated ABA- and MeJA-responsive genes; seven [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated MeJA-responsive genes; two [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated MeJA-responsive genes; one [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated ABA-responsive and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated MeJA-responsive gene; one [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated MeJA-responsive and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated ABA and MeJA-responsive gene; and one [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated ABA and MeJA-responsive gene (Figure S3). These results suggest that both cytosolic and nucleosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) signals are involved in hormone-regulated gene expression and network crosstalk in A. thaliana.

3.3. Integrative Co-Expression Analysis to Identify Hub Genes within Calcium-Regulated Transcriptional Modules

To further characterise the roles of calcium in phytohormone-regulated gene expression, we generated integrative co-expression networks of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]-regulated hormone-responsive genes with Ca\(^{2+}\) signal-decoding genes and ABA or JA pathway proteins. First, we collected A. thaliana microarray datasets that were used in the Affymetrix platform GPL198 analysis, filtered outliers from the data using a published method [34], and compiled microarray datasets containing 165 samples and 13,083 genes (Table S4); these covered approximately half of the coding genes in the A. thaliana genome. Subsequently, we constructed a co-expression network map by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient among the expression values of different genes in accordance with the standard WGCNA procedure; we identified modules with biologically correlated genes. The power value was set to 12 and the Pearson correlation coefficient was set to >0.9, indicating a high correlation; the most highly connected genes within each module, designated as ‘hubs’, could be key regulators in response to ABA and/or MeJA in A. thaliana.
Figure 2. [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)- and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated phytohormone-responsive genes. Significantly differentially expressed gene clusters in WT, PV-NES, and PV-NLS transgenic lines in response to ABA (A) and MeJA (B) treatment. The left column indicates [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA- or MeJA-responsive genes. The middle column indicates [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated ABA- or MeJA-responsive genes. The right column indicates [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)- and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated ABA- or MeJA-responsive genes. Microarray comparison results represented by each column are indicated at the top of the figure. Each row represents one gene; colours represent changes in gene expression levels as indicated. Quantitative data for each gene are shown in Tables S2 and S3.
Next, we placed Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated ABA-responsive genes, calcium signal-decoding genes (Table S5), and ABA pathway member genes (Table S6) into the constructed *A. thaliana* gene co-expression database to obtain a Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated ABA signalling co-expression network (Figure 3A,B, Table S7). Similarly, we placed Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated MeJA-responsive genes, calcium decoding protein genes, and JA pathway member genes into the constructed gene co-expression database to obtain a Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated JA signalling co-expression network (Figure 3C,D, Table S7). We found that three calcium signal-decoding genes—calmodulin 1 (CAM1), calcineurin B-like protein-interacting protein kinase 8 (CIPK8), and glutamate decarboxylase 1 (GAD1)—were hub genes in the main submodules of Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated ABA and JA signalling co-expression networks (Figure 3A,C). In these two modules, CAM1, CIPK8, and GAD1 were co-expressed with [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive genes such as AT1G64330, NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase subunit 1 (NDHA), HYSERSENSITIVE INDUCED REACTION 2 (HIR2), CAPE2, PGSIP2, and PSBI; [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-co-regulated ABA-responsive genes such as NTMC2T6.1, RCI2B, and AT4G26190; [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated JA-responsive genes such as AT3G45160, AGP14, and HIPP25; [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated JA-responsive genes such as PELPK1 and NET2D; [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated JA-responsive genes such as AT3G19370, AT1G54410, and AT2G21560; and the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated JA-responsive gene AT1G28400 (Figure 3A,C). In two other submodules, the calcium decoding protein gene CPN20 co-expressed with PSBZ, a [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive gene, and CLA1, an ABA pathway member, constituted the hub genes of a Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated ABA signalling co-expression network (Figure 3B). Similarly, CPN20 co-expressed with AT3G26440, a [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated JA-responsive gene, was identified as a hub component in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated JA signalling co-expression network (Figure 3D). These results indicated that these hub genes, CAM1, CIPK8, GAD1, and CPN20, have important regulatory roles in cytosolic and nucleosolic calcium-mediated hormone-responsive gene expression.

### 3.4. Interactions among the Hub Proteins CAM1, CIPK8, and GAD1 and with Proteins Encoded by Ca\(^{2+}\)-Regulated Hormone-Responsive Genes

We next performed the LCI assay in the leaves of 3-week-old *N. benthamiana* to detect interactions among the three hub proteins, as well as interactions with proteins encoded by Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated hormone-responsive genes. First, we showed an interaction of CIPK8 with CAM1, GAD1, and itself; we also showed an interaction of the protein encoded by AT1G28400, a [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated JA-responsive gene, with CAM1, but not with GAD1 and CIPK8 (Figure 4). Interactions between the encoding proteins of several Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated hormone-responsive genes and each of the three hub genes are summarised in Table 1; the interaction between CAM1 and GAD1 was used as a positive control. In the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive genes AT1G64330, NDHA, HIR2, CAPE2, PGSIP2, and PSBI, we observed interactions of AT1G64330 with GAD1 or CAM1, PSBI with CAM1 or CIPK8, and PGSIP2 with CAM1 (Figures S4 and S5). The encoded protein of AT3G45160, a [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated JA-responsive gene, interacted with GAD1 and CAM1, but not CIPK8 (Figure S5). In the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated ABA-responsive genes NTMC2T6.1 and RCI2B, we observed interactions of RCI2B with GAD1 or CAM1, and NTMC2T6.1 with CAM1 (Figure S6). In the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated JA-responsive genes AT3G19370 and AT1G54410, we observed interactions of AT3G19370 with GAD1 or CAM1; there were no interactions between AT1G54410 and any of the three hub proteins (Figure S7). These results provide clues for dissecting the mechanism underlying hub protein-mediated regulation of the expression of ABA and JA responsive genes.
Figure 3. Calcium-mediated phytohormone-associated gene co-expression networks. (A,B) Sub-models with distinct central genes and their co-expression partners among [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_\text{cyt}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_\text{nuc}\)-regulated ABA-responsive genes, genes encoding calcium signalling proteins, and genes encoding ABA pathway proteins. (C,D) Sub-models with distinct central genes and their co-expression partners among [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_\text{cyt}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_\text{nuc}\)-regulated MeJA-responsive genes, genes encoding calcium signalling proteins, and genes encoding JA pathway proteins. Different colours and shapes indicate distinct types of proteins. Different genes in the models are listed in Table S8.
Figure 4. Interactions among four hub genes in tobacco leaves, as determined by LCI assays. (A) The interaction of CIPK8 with CAM1, GAD1, CIPK8 or At1G28400. (B) The interaction of At1G28400 with CAM1, GAD1, or CIPK8. Tobacco leaves were transformed with constructs encoding full-length GAD1, CAM1, CIPK8, and At1G28400 fused with the C- or N-terminus of luciferase (c- or n-LUC). Empty vectors were used as negative controls. Luminance intensity indicates an interaction between any two proteins. The experiments were repeated three times and a representative read-out is shown.

Table 1. Protein interactions among three hub genes and several [Ca^{2+}]-regulated hormone-responsive genes detected by LCI assay (Figures S4–S7).

|                          | nLUC-GAD1 | nLUC-CAM1 | nLUC-CIPK8 |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}-regulated ABA-responsive genes |           |           |            |
| cLUC-NDHA                | −         | −         | −          |
| cLUC-PSBI                | −         | +         | +          |
| cLUC-PGSIP2              | −         | +         | −          |
| cLUC-CAPE2               | −         | −         | −          |
| cLUC-AT1G64330           | +         | +         | −          |
| cLUC-HIR2                | −         | −         | −          |
| [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}-regulated JA-responsive genes |           |           |            |
| cLUC-AT3G45160           | +         | +         | −          |
| [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} and [Ca^{2+}]_{nuc}-regulated ABA-responsive genes | cLUC-RC1B | +         | +          |
| cLUC-NTMC2T6.1           | −         | +         | −          |
| [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} and [Ca^{2+}]_{nuc}-regulated JA-responsive genes | cLUC-AT1G54410 | −         | −          |
| cLUC-AT3G19370           | +         | +         | −          |

+ indicates interaction; − indicates no interaction.

3.5. CAM1 and CIPK8 Are Required for MeJA-Induced Stomatal Closure and Are Associated with ABA-Inhibited Seed Germination

We monitored the roles of [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} and [Ca^{2+}]_{nuc} in hormone-induced stomatal closure in *A. thaliana*. Both ABA- and MeJA-induced stomatal closure were severely impaired in *PV-NES* plants, but not in *PV-NLS* plants (Figure 5A,B), indicating that ABA- and MeJA-induced stomatal closure requires [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}, but not [Ca^{2+}]_{nuc}. Furthermore, ABA-induced stomatal closure was impaired in *cam1*, but not *gad1* and *cipk8* (Figure 5C); MeJA-induced stomatal closure was impaired in *cam1* and *cipk8*, but not *gad1* (Figure 5D). These results suggest that CAM1 is required for ABA- and MeJA-induced stomatal closure, while CIPK8 is essential for MeJA-induced stomatal closure. In addition, ABA-inhibited seed germination was more severe in both *PV-NES* and *PV-NLS* plants than in WT plants (Figure 5E). Similarly, ABA-inhibited seed germination was more severe in *cam1* and *cipk8* than in *gad1* (Figure 5F). However, MeJA had no effect on seed germination in any of the tested plants (Figure 5E,F). These results indicate that CAM1 and CIPK8 regulate ABA-inhibited seed germination.
Figure 5. Impacts of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{nuc}$ on ABA- or MeJA-induced stomatal closure and seed germination. (A–D) Stomatal apertures (W/L, width/length) of rosette leaves from 3-week-old WT, PV-NES, and PV-NLS plants, and gad1, cam1, and cipk8 plants, were measured after treatment with 10 µM ABA (A,C) or 50 µM MeJA (B,D). r.u. indicates relative units. Data from six independent experiments and a total of 120 stomata are shown; data are shown as means ± SDs. Different letters indicate statistical significance at $p < 0.05$ (Student’s t-test). (E,F) Seed germination of WT, PV-NES, and PV-NLS transgenic lines (E) and of WT, gad1, cam1, and cipk8 plants (F) in response to ABA or JA treatment. Seeds were plated on half-strength MS plates containing ABA (10 µM) or MeJA (50 µM) for 3 days at 4°C in the dark, then transferred to a growth chamber under 16 h light (120 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)/8 h dark at 22°C for another 3 days. Error bars represent SDs; n = 600 seeds. Different letters indicate significant differences at $p < 0.05$ (Student’s t-test).

3.6. CAM1, CIPK8, and GAD1 Regulate the Transcription of [Ca$^{2+}$]-Regulated Genes in Response to ABA or MeJA Treatment

Here, we found that NCED3 was a [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$-regulated ABA-responsive gene, ERF104 was a [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$-regulated ABA- and MeJA-responsive gene, PR1 was a [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{nuc}$-regulated ABA- and MeJA-responsive gene, and AGL21 was a [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$- and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{nuc}$-regulated MeJA-responsive gene (Tables S2 and S3). Therefore, we monitored the expression patterns of these [Ca$^{2+}$]-regulated genes in different A. thaliana plants treated with ABA or MeJA for 6 h by qRT-PCR. First, we found that ERF104 was induced by ABA only in PV-NES plants and by MeJA only in WT plants (Figure 6A,B), consistent with our microarray data. In addition, ERF104 was induced by ABA in cam1, but not in cipk8 and gad1; it was not induced by MeJA in these three mutant lines (Figure 6C,D). Thus, CAM1 is required for ABA-induced expression of ERF104; CAM1, CIPK8, and GAD1 positively regulate MeJA-induced expression of ERF104. Second, we found that PR1 was downregulated by ABA and MeJA in WT plants, but strongly upregulated by MeJA in PV-NLS plants (Figure 6A,B). Furthermore, PR1 expression was activated by ABA and MeJA in cipk8, but the effects were less robust in cam1 and gad1 (Figure 6C,D). Third, NCED3 was activated by ABA in WT plants; however, this type of activation was decreased in PV-NES, PV-NLS, and cam1 lines (Figure 6A,C). Finally, AGL21 was induced by MeJA in PV-NLS and cipk8 plants, but not in WT, PV-NES, or gad1 plants (Figure 6B,D). These results suggested that CAM1, CIPK8, and GAD1 act as key regulators that modify the expression patterns of calcium-regulated genes in response to ABA and MeJA in A. thaliana seedlings.
Figure 6. Expression patterns of several [Ca$^{2+}$]-regulated genes were detected by qRT-PCR in A. thaliana seedlings. (A,B) Transcript abundances of ERF104, PR1, and NCED3 in response to ABA (10 µM) or MeJA (50 µM) in WT, PV-NES, and PV-NLS seedlings. (C,D) Transcript abundances of ERF104, PR1, and NCED3 in response to ABA (10 µM) and abundances of ERF104, PR1, and AGL21 in response to MeJA (50 µM) in WT, cam1, cipk8, and gad1 seedlings. Expression levels of target genes were normalised to the expression level of actin2, and the relative value of the WT was set to 1.0. Data are shown as means ± SDs of three biological replicates.
4. Discussion

Ca\(^{2+}\) serves as a vital secondary messenger in the mediation and integration of multiple hormone signalling pathways that specify cell-signalling information during plant growth and development. Therefore, it is challenging to elucidate the complexity of hormone and Ca\(^{2+}\) crosstalk; such analysis requires a combined approach that involves both experimental measurements and systems-level modelling. A prior study revealed 269 [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-upregulated genes in *A. thaliana* seedlings that responded to three specific types of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) elevations elicited by artificial electrical stimulation [28]. However, the identification of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]-responsive genes under physiological conditions in plants remains challenging. Our recent study demonstrated that both osmotic- and salt-stress-induced [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) increases were impaired in the roots of transgenic *A. thaliana* lines containing PV-NES or PV-NLS, respectively [11], thus providing a powerful tool for the establishment of an interaction landscape of hormone and Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling in *A. thaliana*. Here, we identified 244 [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive and 144 [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-regulated MeJA-responsive genes in *A. thaliana* seedlings using full genome microarray analysis; we found that 22 genes overlapped. Unlike other Ca\(^{2+}\)-based transcriptome studies, we confined our conditions to a long duration of exposure to ABA or MeJA; this facilitated enrichment of late transcriptional events. Through co-expression network analysis, we unveiled two common modules among [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) hormone-responsive genes, Ca\(^{2+}\) signal-decoding genes, and ABA/MeJA signalling pathway genes; our results provide valuable clues for use in exploring novel functions of known genes or potential functions of unknown genes, while enabling the dissection of crosslinks among Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling and hormonal pathways via co-expression networks.

Previous studies showed that cytosolic and nucleosolic calcium increases in response to various stimuli are mutually independent in animal [40] and plant cells [11]. Moreover, gene expression patterns regulated by nuclear calcium are also independent of cytosolic calcium in animal cells. For example, signalling pathways activated by [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) target the serum-response element, whereas [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) increases are critical for cyclic AMP response element-dependent calcium-activated transcription in hippocampal neurons [41]. However, Thompson et al. [42] found that [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\), but not [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\), negatively regulates the activity of transcription enhancer factor in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. In plants, [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\) oscillations in response to Nod factor treatment are mediated by three nuclear-localised cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (CNGC15a/b/c); such oscillations are required for the establishment of symbiosis by nitrogen-fixing rhizobial bacteria in *Medicago truncatula* [43]. Here, we characterised [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-activated/repressed, [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-activated/repressed, and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-co-regulated genes in response to ABA and MeJA treatment in *A. thaliana* seedlings. Furthermore, some genes were shared among the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated ABA-responsive and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-regulated MeJA-responsive genes, indicating that both cytosolic and nucleosolic calcium are involved in the transcriptional regulation triggered by ABA or MeJA treatment in *A. thaliana*. Notably, we found that four calcium signal-decoding genes, *CAM1*, *GAD1*, *CIPK8*, and *CPN20*, were hub genes in two modules of Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated ABA and JA signalling co-expression networks. Additionally, we found the direct interactions among hub genes and Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated hormone-responsive genes with different combinations in LCI assays. These results provide important clues concerning hub genes *CAM1*, *GAD1*, *CIPK8*, and *CPN20* that mediate crosstalk between Ca signalling and hormone pathways in *A. thaliana*, suggesting the implications for other plants or crops under biotic and abiotic stress [44,45].

An intriguing finding was that the chloroplast genome encoded several [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\)-activated ABA-responsive genes, including *PSB1*, *YCF9*, *PSB1*, *RPOA*, *RPL4*, *RPS3*, *RPL22*, *RPS19*, *YCF1.1*, *PSAC*, *ndhE*, and *ndhA*, as well as one [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-activated ABA-responsive gene, *PSB7*, and one [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{nuc}}\)-repressed MeJA-responsive gene, *PSBD*. A previous study showed that the chloroplast can function as a sensor for environmental stimuli, such as drought stress, and initiate signals that regulate nuclear gene expression [46]. Plastid-derived signals that target the regulation of nuclear gene expression are considered
retrograde signals \[47\]; nucleus to plastid signalling is considered an anterograde pathway \[48\]. Wang et al. \[49\] showed that many genes related to drought stress responses, ABA metabolism, chloroplast biogenesis, and chlorophyll degradation are strongly expressed at early time points, followed by gradual decreases in induction or even suppression at later time points, during long-term ABA treatment in \textit{A. thaliana}. Here, we found that \textit{PSB1} and \textit{ndhA} were co-expressed with hub genes \textit{CAM1}, \textit{CIPK8}, and \textit{GAD1}, while \textit{RPOA} was co-expressed with \textit{CAM1} and \textit{GAD1} in the \textit{Ca}^{2+}-regulated ABA signalling co-expression network. In addition, LCI assays showed that \textit{PSB1} interacts directly with \textit{CAM1} and \textit{CIPK8}. These results indicated that the ABA-induced increase in \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{cyt}\), but not in \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{nuc}\), has a vital role in combining and coordinating the expression patterns of nuclear and plastid genes for bi-directional communication between chloroplasts and the nucleus \[50\].

Crosstalk between JA and ABA signalling through interactions of ABA receptor \textit{PYRABACTIN RESISTANCE1-Like} proteins (PYLs) and \textit{JASMONATE ZIM DOMAIN} (JAZ) proteins, transcription inhibitors of JA signalling, is known to coordinate the balance between plant growth and defence resistance \[51\]. Here, we revealed a new crosstalk mechanism between JA and ABA signalling via \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{cyt} and \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{nuc}\). We first showed that both ABA and \textit{MeJA} induce \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{cyt} and \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{nuc} increases in \textit{A. thaliana} roots; ABA- and \textit{MeJA}-induced stomatal closure is impaired in \textit{PV-NES}, but not \textit{PV-NLS}, plants. In addition, ABA- and \textit{MeJA}-induced stomatal closure is impaired in \textit{cam1} plants. These results indicated that \textit{CAM1} perceives ABA- and \textit{MeJA}-induced increases in \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{cyt}\) to regulate stomatal closure in \textit{A. thaliana}. Hossain et al. \[52\] showed that \textit{MeJA}-induced, but not ABA-induced, \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{cyt} elevation and stomatal closure are disrupted in the ABA-deficient mutant \textit{aba2-2} or by treatment with the ABA synthetic inhibitor fluridon in WT plants, consistent with our results in \textit{cipk8} plants; thus, \textit{CIPK8} presumably regulates ABA biosynthesis in \textit{A. thaliana}. Furthermore, we found that ABA-inhibited seed germination is more sensitive in \textit{PV-NES}, \textit{PV-NLS}, \textit{cam1}, and \textit{cipk8} plants than in WT plants. These results indicated that both \textit{CAM1} and \textit{CIPK8} participate in cross-talk during ABA- and JA-regulated biological processes in \textit{A. thaliana}. Using LCI assays, we showed that \textit{CIPK8} interacts with \textit{CAM1}; many \textit{Ca}^{2+}-regulated ABA- and JA-responsive genes, such as \textit{ERF104}, \textit{PR1}, and \textit{AGL21}, exhibit various expression patterns in \textit{cam1} and \textit{cipk8} plants. These results suggested that the \textit{CAM1}–\textit{CIPK8} complex could be a connection between the ABA and JA signalling pathways. Further genetic and molecular studies are needed to explore this potential connection.

5. Conclusions

Here, we found that \textit{PV-NES} and \textit{PV-NLS} separately impair ABA- and \textit{MeJA}-induced increases in \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{cyt}\) or \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{nuc}\). We also identified 244 \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{cyt}\)- and \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{nuc}\)-regulated ABA-responsive and 144 \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{cyt}\)- and \textit{[Ca}^{2+}]_{nuc}\)-regulated \textit{MeJA}-responsive genes using an \textit{A. thaliana} genome oligo array. Moreover, gene co-expression network analysis identified four \textit{Ca}^{2+} signal-decoding genes, \textit{CAM1}, \textit{CIPK8}, \textit{GAD1}, and \textit{CPN20}, as hub genes in two \textit{[Ca}^{2+}\)-regulated ABA/JA-responsive gene co-expression modules. Finally, LCI assays, phenotypic observations, and gene expression analyses showed that the \textit{CAM1}–\textit{CIPK8} complex is a key regulator of stomatal movement, seed germination, and expression of \textit{[Ca}^{2+}\)-regulated hormone-responsive genes in response to hormonal signals in \textit{A. thaliana}.
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