Species diversity, composition, and heterospecific associations of trees in three altitudinal gradients in Bird's Head Peninsula, Papua, Indonesia
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Abstract. Fatem SM, Djitmau DA, Ungirwala A, Wanma AO, Simbiak VI, Benu NMH, Tambing J, Murdjoko A. 2020. Species diversity, composition, and heterospecific associations of trees in three altitudinal gradients in Bird's Head Peninsula. Papua, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 21: 3596-3605. The region of Bird's Head Peninsula, West Papua, Indonesia has a high level of vegetation diversity distributed from coastal to mountain areas. Yet, the information regarding this diversity is limited. We studied species diversity, composition, and heterospecific association of trees in three altitudinal gradients in Bird's Head Peninsula to reveal the biotic phenomenon in the region. Systematic random sampling was applied during data collection in three locations representing lower, middle, and upper altitudes of natural tropical forest. We applied diversity indices to investigate taxonomic diversity, importance value index (IVI) to reveal floristic composition and canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) for heterospecific associations. In total, we recorded 30 families, 44 genera, and 66 species in the three locations. There were 20 families in lower area and each 15 families in middle and upper areas while the genera per site were 29 in lower, 20 in the middle, and 18 in the upper. The number of species found in lower, middle, and upper areas was 35 species, 24 species, and 27 species respectively. The research revealed that the taxonomic diversity of trees generally decreases as the elevation increases in terms of family, genera, and species level. The dominant species was also different across elevation gradients in which the dominant tree had a different pattern in the three locations between understory and upperstory. Moreover, the gradient of elevation has shaped the type of tree communities, suggesting interactions and associations among tree species. Of all species recorded, 26 species were listed in IUCN Red List with two species were under data deficient, 20 species were least concern, three species were near threatened, and one species was vulnerable.
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INTRODUCTION

Natural forest in tropical regions comprises a variety of ecological conditions, which can be divided into biotic and abiotic factors (Pan et al. 2013; Brown et al. 2014; Ashton 2018). The abiotic factors consist of climatic and edaphic variables, while the biotic factors consist of living organisms. The topographical arrangement of natural tropical forests varies from lower area to mountain area, resulting in variations of ecological conditions (Duivenvoorden 1995; Hunter et al. 2015; Vázquez and Givnish 1998). Consequently, the biotic factors are impacted by such variations, resulting in the gradient of biological diversity.

In tropical region, vegetation as a component of biotic factors shows differences in term of taxonomic diversity, population density, abundance, floristic composition, vertical structure and dispersal pattern (Huang et al. 2003; Phillips and Lewis 2014; Putz and Romero 2014; Addi et al. 2020). The pattern of the difference also forms vegetation communities as conspecific and heterospecific associations in which the communities create a particular characteristic (Trogisch et al. 2017; Clark et al. 2018). The conspecific association occurs between individuals in the same species of trees such as juveniles and mature individuals (Luo et al. 2009; Murdjoko et al. 2016a). The heterospecific association is the interaction of individuals among different species of trees (Zhu et al. 2015b; Johnson et al. 2017; Atanasso et al. 2019).

Bird's Head Peninsula is located in the western part of Papua, Indonesia in which administratively the area belongs to Province of West Papua, Indonesia. The region encompasses a high variety of abiotic factors, such as topographical gradient, resulting in a high level of vegetation diversity, which is distributed from coastal areas to mountain areas. The area harbors biotic factors with high diversity of flora and fauna. However, only little has been published scientifically i.e., wildlife (Shaverdo et al. 2016; Szczepański et al. 2018; Kaiser et al. 2019; Pattiselanno et al. 2019) and vegetation (Heatubun 2002; Heatubun et al. 2009, 2013; Maturbongs et al. 2014, 2015; Sillanpää et al. 2017; Robiansyah 2018).

Most area of Bird's Head Peninsula is pristine forest as the consequence of the low accessibility. Some parts of this region have been officially assigned as conservation areas, as such the management of the forest in this region is...
focused on conservation purposes (Bappeda Tambrauw 2015). For example, the Tambrauw District, which is located in the Bird's Head Peninsula, has been declared as conservation district to promote the sustainability of the forest. Thus, development program of this district must consider the principle of nature conservation (Fatem and Asem 2015; Fatem et al. 2018).

Hence, to provide baseline information regarding the natural resources in Bird's Head Peninsula, particularly the vegetation conditions, research must be conducted systematically in the region as the vegetation shows different responses to different biotic and abiotic factors (Fatem and Asem 2015; Robiansyah 2018). For this rationale, we studied the taxonomic dominance of tree and heterospecific association in tropical montane forests to reveal the biotic condition. We hypothesized that taxonomic dominance differs as the consequences of the difference in elevation of tropical montane forests in Bird's Head Peninsula and the taxonomic variation of the tree communities (Ding et al. 2019). This study only focused on the tree as part of the vegetation in which the presence of the tree could explain the phenomenon of vegetation overall. We expected that this research would encourage future research in Bird's Head Peninsula to enrich scientific information to support conservation program.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study period and area

This research was conducted in Tambrauw Mountains, which is part of the Bird's Head Peninsula, Tambrauw District, West Papua Province, Indonesia (Figure 1). The study took place in three different sites representing three altitudes: Site A at lower area with an altitude of 488 meters above sea level (m asl) (S 0°49'54.14" and E 132°29'17.78"), B at middle altitude of 950 m asl (S 0°43'3.49"S and E 132°23'47.15"), and C at high altitude of 1200 m asl (S 0°40'28.82"S and E 132°13'1.32"). The area was characterized by the mountainous topography with variations in elevation and slope. The data were collected in October 2019.

Sampling and data collection

We established twenty plots of 20 m x 20 m in each research site with at least 100 m distance between plots. We then made subplots nested in each plot to measure four stages of tree growth: (i) 20 m x 20 m subplot to collect mature tree (diameter > 20 cm); (ii) 10 m x 10 m subplot to collect young tree (diameter between 10-20 cm); (iii) 5 m x 5 m subplot to collect tree sapling (diameter < 10 cm with height > 150 cm; (iv) 2 m x 2 m subplot to collect tree seedling (height < 150 cm). The first two subplots were set to sample the upperstory and while the latter two subplots were to sample understory. Data collected were the name of tree species, number of individuals, and diameter (cm). For identification of tree species, herbarium specimens were collected and sent to the Herbarium Papuaense, Forestry and Environment Research and Development Agency Manokwari and Herbarium Manokwariense (MAN), Research Center of Biodiversity, Papua University, Manokwari. The scientific names of species, genera, and families were checked based on The Plant List (TPL) (at the website: http://www.theplantlist.org/). We also checked the conservation status of the species on The International Union for Conservation of Nature’s Red List of Threatened Species (at the website: https://www.iucnredlist.org/).

Figure 1. Location of research in three sites. The letter of A symbolizes lower area, B is middle area, and C is area with upper area based on elevation above sea level
Data analysis
The diversity in the three study sites was analyzed (Shannon 1948; Pielou 1966; Spellerberg and Fedor 2003) by calculating Shannon-Wiener diversity index as follows:

\[ H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} p_i \ln (p_i) \]

Where: \( H' \) is Shannon-Wiener diversity index, \( p_i \) is number of samples where species \( i \) is present.

We also calculated the evenness using Pielou’s evenness as:

\[ J' = H' / (\ln (S)) \]

Where: \( S \) is total number of species of each location.

Taxonomic species composition was analyzed through the Importance Value Index (IVI) and calculated as follows (Curtis and McIntosh 1950; Cottam and Curtis 1956):

\[ IVI_i = RFR_i + RDE_i + RD_i \] (i.e. for the upperstory)
\[ IVI_i = RFR_i + RDE_i \] (i.e. for the understory)

Where: \( IVI_i \) is important value index of species \( i \), \( RFR_i \) is relative frequency of species \( i \), \( RDE_i \) is relative density of species \( i \), \( RD_i \) is relative dominance of species \( i \).

We applied Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) to analyze the heterospecific associations among species in three locations (Ter Braak 1986; Murdjoko et al. 2017). This analysis was to investigate the tree communities formed in the three locations whether there was a tendency of species to present as a group or community. In the computation, we set 66 columns to fit with the total number of tree species recorded and 60 rows as the sum of plots across the tree study sites (i.e. every 20 plots in lower area, middle area, and upper area). Chi-square test \( (\chi^2) \) was performed to validate the CCA. The computations used vegan package in R version 3.5.3 (Oksanen et al. 2019).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Tree diversity
In total, we recorded 30 families, 44 genera, and 66 species in the three locations. There were 20 families in lower area and every 15 families in middle and upper areas while the genera per site were 29 in lower, 20 in the middle, and 18 in the upper. The number of species found in lower, middle, and upper areas was 35 species, 24 species, and 27 species respectively. In the lower site, the families of Myrtaceae, Anacardiaceae, Burseraceae, Lauraceae, and Myristicaceae consisted of at least three species while the other families had two species or less (Figure 2). In the middle site, the families of Myristicaceae, Sapindaceae, and Sapotaceae contained at least two species. In the upper, Myrtaceae, Lauraceae and Fagaceae were the families that had the species number at least three. The diversity at family level was higher in the lower area compared with the two areas and the species diversity showed the same pattern as family diversity.

Species diversity varied among the three locations (Table 1). To compare tree species diversity, we grouped species at the three locations and the structure of the tree (understory and upperstory). The results revealed the decline in species diversity from the lower, middle, and upper, indicated by the decrease in Shannon-Wiener index. The pattern of species diversity of understory was similar to tree category in general, while the diversity of upperstory showed an increase from lower to middle and then slightly decline from the middle to upper. The decreasing index of Pielou’s evenness occurred in all categories and understory. However, Pielou’s evenness index for upperstory showed fluctuating pattern where the \( J' \) dropped from lower to middle and marginally increase from the middle to upper.

The diversity of understory is more dynamic than the diversity of upperstory since the understory comprises the juvenile phase of the tree as seedlings (Murdjoko et al. 2016b). During the seedling establishment, this period is crucial as a result of the high mortality rate of seedlings (Dong et al. 2014; Lu et al. 2014; Zhu et al. 2015c). The process can be explained that the seedlings that just germinated would compete and interact with other vegetation and also can be as food for some animals (Sinery 2013). Therefore, higher diversity tends to occur in the understory. Moreover, the decrease of diversity as elevation increase is likely due to the limited dispersal of some species to the higher elevation. Thus, the higher elevation, the less diversity of species. The dispersal of species is also affected by the seed or fruit characteristics such as height, shape, and whether edible or not for animals (Clark et al. 2018; Yguel et al. 2019).

Table 1. Shannon-Wiener Index (\( H' \)) and Pielou's evenness index (\( J' \)) for the three study sites (mean [standard deviation]). The letter of A symbolizes lower area, B is middle area, and C is area with upper altitude based on elevation above sea level

|       | A (Lower area) | B (Middle area) | C (Upper area) |
|-------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| All   | \( H' \) 2.365 [0.115] | 1.914 [0.101] | 1.602 [0.067] |
|       | \( J' \) 0.785 [0.007] | 0.691 [0.014] | 0.578 [0.006] |
| Upperstory | \( H' \) 1.896 [0.044] | 2.088 [0.184] | 2.087 [0.054] |
|       | \( J' \) 0.912 [0.021] | 0.888 [0.053] | 0.906 [0.023] |
| Understory | \( H' \) 2.286 [0.160] | 1.799 [0.045] | 1.474 [0.001] |
|       | \( J' \) 0.870 [0.006] | 0.781 [0.020] | 0.690 [0.027] |
Figure 2. Number of species per family in the three study sites. The letters of A, B, and C are symbols of the lower area, middle area, and upper area, respectively.

Figure 3. Top five dominant tree species for understory in the three sites based on Importance Value Index (IVI). The letter of A symbolizes lower area, B is middle area, and C is upper area.
Figure 4. Top five dominant tree species for understory in the three sites based on Importance Value Index (IVI). The letter of A symbolizes lower area, B is the middle area, and C is the area upper.
Dominant tree species

We compared dominant tree species across the three study sites and growth stages. The results showed that there were differences in dominant tree species not only across the three locations but also the growth stages (i.e. understory and upperstory (Figures 3 and 4). The dominant species for understory were *Horsfieldia sylvestris* Warb., *Pouteria malaccensis* (C.B.Clarke) Baehni, *Vatica rassak* Blume, *Gymnanthera farquhariana* (Hook.f. & Thomson) Warb., and *Adina dissimilis* Craib in the lower study site; *Vatica rassak* Blume, *Drypetes macrostigma* J.J.Sm., *Gymnanthera farquhariana* (Hook.f. & Thomson) Warb., *Canarium asperum* Benth., and *Aglaias p* in the middle site; and *Alchornea cordifolia* (Schumach. & Thonn.) Müll.Arg., *Canarium asperum* Benth., *Parameria laevigata* (Juss.) Moldenke, *Lithocarpus lauterbachii* (Seemen) Markgr., and *Syzygium malaccense* (L.) Merr. & L.M.Perry in the upper site. The dominant species for upperstory were *Pimelodendron amboinicum* Hassk., *Vatica rassak* Blume, *Dracantomelon dao* (Blanco) Merr. & Rolfe, *Teijsmanniodendron bogoriense* Koord., and *Pterygota horsfieldii* (R.Br.) Kosterm. in the lower site; *Intisia palemonica* Miq., *Vatica rassak* Blume, *Gymnanthera farquhariana* (Hook.f. & Thomson) Warb., *Canarium asperum* Benth., and *Teijsmanniodendron bogoriense* Koord. In the middle site; and *Lithocarpus lauterbachii* (Seemen) Markgr., *Quercus argentata* Korth., *Elaeocarpus leucaanthus* A.C.Sm., *Syzygium anomalum* Lauterb., and *Garcinia beccarii* Pierre in the upper site.

Tree communities as species interactions

We grouped tree species as communities to investigate whether there are interactions among them. We applied Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) to cluster the species. We found group of species in the three study sites with the number of species in the lower area is 27 species, the middle area with 16 species, and upper area with 19 species (Figure 5). On the other hand, there are four species present dominantly in more than one location. The density of species shaped the four tree communities in this research based on the distribution across the difference of elevation. The CCA explained the variation of 57.65% in the first axis (CCA1) and 42.35% in the second axis (CCA2). The validation of CCA showed that the Chi-squared test ($\chi^2$) test= 1.4611, df = 130, p-value > 0.05. Therefore, the CCA was valid to describe the heterospecific associations as the distribution phenomenon of species. The species name of abbreviations in Figure 5 can be seen in Table S1.

Regardless of the growth stage as seedlings, saplings, poles/young trees, and mature trees, some species tend to be dominant in the lower area, middle area, and upper area which explain the pattern of communities formed (Figure 5). Each species has physiological, anatomical, and phenological characteristics that affect the interactions and associations with other species (Pavón et al. 2000; Zhu et al. 2015a; Craubay and Martin 2016). For example, the lifespan of species affects the community formed (Zuidema et al. 2013; Jiang et al. 2015; Gani et al. 2020), and the seed characteristics determine the distribution of species during the dispersal which often involves animals (Nanivadekar et al. 2015; Finnegem et al. 2019). However, in this research, the ecological conditions of each location, which differs in elevation, is likely the driving factors that form tree community. The conspecific association also relate to regeneration processes which might beneficial when developing conservation program such as planting for restoration (Seidler and Plotkin 2006; Zhu et al. 2015c; Murdoko et al. 2016a).

Distribution of individuals based on diameter class

Our study found that diameter class is not equally distributed and the distribution forms the reversed-J shape in which lower diameter trees dominated the stands than the higher diameter ones (Figure 6). This pattern is uniform across the three locations which is common in natural tropical forests. Of all young individuals with lower diameter class, only a few numbers of them that would grow to mature individuals as young individuals would compete and interact with each other to reach the canopy level as they require solar radiation (Bagchi et al. 2010; Metz et al. 2010; Zangaro et al. 2016). The competition not only occurs among individuals of trees but also other vegetation in different lifeforms such as lianas, herbs, and ferns. The competition and interaction are to obtain nutrients in soil and sunlight, so the relationship among vegetation as symbiosis (Barron et al. 2011; Taylor et al. 2019). On a broad scale, symbiosis in natural tropical forests takes place not only among vegetation but also with other organisms such as wildlife and microorganism (Silver et al. 1996; De Cáceres et al. 2013; Zhu et al. 2015c).

Therefore, the observation of size distribution between small and larger individuals is imperative to describe the regeneration process. For future research, it is crucial to analyze size distribution for certain species to figure out the natural regeneration process, so the result would be beneficial for the management of the natural tropical forest.

Conservation status

In total across the three locations, there were 26 species identified with the conservation status based on the International Union for Conservation of Nature Red List of Threatened Species (https://www.iucnredlist.org/) (Table 2). The status of data deficient (DD) was only present in the lower location (C), while at least nine species least concern (LC) status across the three locations. There were species with near threatened (NT) in the three locations, while vulnerable (VU) was only present in the lower location. Tree species with status near threatened (NT) are *Aglaia odorata* Lour., *Cryptocarya massoy* (Oken) Kosterm., and *Pouteria malaccensis* (C.B.Clarke) Baehni; and vulnerable (VU) is *Anisoptera curtisii* Dyer ex King.
Figure 5. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) plot showing the heterospecific association of tree species in the three sites. The red letter of A symbolizes lower area, B is the middle area, and C is the upper area.

Figure 6. Distribution of individuals based on the DBH class (cm) in the three study sites displaying the understory at left side and upperstory at the right side. The letter of A symbolizes lower area, B is the middle area, and C is the upper area.

Table 2. Conservation status of tree species in the three sites based on the IUCN Red List. The letter of A symbolizes lower area, B is middle area, and C is upper area. N.A. is data not available.

| Locations | N.A. | Data Deficient (DD) | Least Concern (LC) | Near Threatened (NT) | Vulnerable (VU) |
|-----------|------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| A         | 20   | 0                    | 11                 | 3                    | 1               |
| B         | 14   | 0                    | 9                  | 1                    | 0               |
| C         | 14   | 2                    | 9                  | 2                    | 0               |
Table S1. Species name in Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) plot

| Plant Community A | Species Name |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Adin_di           | Adina dissimilis Craib |
| Agla_od           | Aglaia odorata Lour. |
| Agla_sp.1         | Aglaia spectabilis (Miq.) S.S.Jain & S.Bennet |
| Anis_cu           | Anisoptera curitisii Dyer ex King |
| Cana_hi           | Canarium hirsutum Willd. |
| Cinn_cu           | Cinnamomum ciliatianum (L.) J.Presl |
| Cryp_ma           | Cryptocarya massoy (Oken) Kosterm. |
| Deca_fr           | Decaspermum fruticosum J.R.Forst. & G.Forst. |
| Drac_da           | Dracaenemelon du (Blanco) Merr. & Rolfe |
| Garc_be           | Garcinia beccarii Pierre |
| Garc_sp           | Garcinia sp |
| Gnet_gen          | Gnetum gneton L. |
| Gyri_ve           | Gyrinops versteegii (Gilg) Domke |
| Homa_fo           | Homalium foetidum Benth. |
| Hors_ir           | Horsfieldia irya (Gaertn.) Warb. |
| Hors_sy           | Horsfieldia sylvestris Warb. |
| Koor_pi           | Koordersiodendron pinnatum Merr. |
| Lits_ca           | Lisaea calophylla (Miq.) Mansf. |
| Lits_to           | Lisea tomentosa Blume |
| Pala_lo           | Palaquium lobbianum Burck |
| Pime_am           | Pimentodendron ambiancin Hassk. |
| Pout_ma           | Pouteria malaccensis (C.B.Clarke) Baehni |
| Pter_ho           | Pterygota horsfieldii (R.Br.) Kosterm. |
| Seme_pa           | Semecarpus papuanu Lauterb. |
| Syzy_an           | Syzygium anomalum Lauterb. |
| Term_mo           | Terminalia morobensis Coode |
| Tris_ma           | Tristaniopsis macroserpa (F.Muell.) Peter G.Wilson & J.T.Waterh. |

| Plant Community B | Species Name |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Agla_sp           | Aglaia sp |
| Fagr_el           | Fagraea elliptica Roxb. |
| Harp_ar           | Hartpullia arborea (Blanco) Radlk. |
| Hors_au           | Horsfieldia australiana S.T.Blake |
| Ints_pa           | Intsia palembanica Miq. |
| Myri_ps           | Myristica pseudoaroxeata Warb. |
| Pala_am           | Palaquium ambioinense Burck |
| Pala_sp           | Palaquium sp |
| Pitt_sp           | Pittosporum spinescens (F.Muell.) L.W.Cayer, Crisp & J.Telford |
| Pome_co           | Pometia coryacea Radlk. |
| Pome_pi           | Pometia pinnata J.R.Forst. & G.Forst. |
| Prun_ar           | Prunus arborea (Blume) Kalkman |
| Rhod_pa           | Rhododendron papillatum Balf. f. & R.E. Cooper |
| Syzy_ve           | Syzygium versteegii (Lauterb.) Merr. & L.M.Perry |
| Tris_fe           | Tristaniopsis ferruginea (C.T.White) Peter G.Wilson & J.T.Waterh. |
| Vati_ra           | Vatica rassak Blume |

| Plant Community C | Species Name |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Alch_co           | Alchornea cordifolia (Schumach. & Thonn.) Müll.Arg. |
| Ardi_se           | Ardisia serrata (Carv.) Pers. |
| Barr_as           | Barringtonia asiatica (L.) Kurz |
| Cana_as           | Canarium aspermum Benth. |
| Cryp_od           | Cryptocarya odorata Guillaum |
| Cryp_sp           | Cryptocarya sp |
| Elae_le           | Elaeocarpus leucanthus A.C.Sm. |
| Lith_la           | Lithocarpus lauterbachii (Seemen) Markgr. |
| Lits_sp           | Lisea sp |
| Magn_ma           | Magnolia mackiottii (Korth.) Dandy |
| Myri_fr           | Myristica fragrans Houtr. |

| Para_la           | Parameria laevigata (Juss.) Moldenke |
| Quer_ar           | Quercus argenteata Korth. |
| Quer_ge           | Quercus gemelliflora Blume |
| Shor_ov           | Shorea ovalis Blume |
| Syzy_in           | Syzygium inophyllum DC. |
| Syzy_lu           | Syzygium luehmannii (F.Muell.) L.A.S.Johnson |
| Syzy_ma           | Syzygium malaccense (L.) Merr. & L.M.Perry |
| Syzy_sp           | Syzygium sp |

| Plant Community OTHER | Species Name |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| Cana_in               | Canarium indicum L. |
| Dryn_ma               | Drypetes macrostigma J.J.Sm. |
| Gynm_fa               | Gymnacranthera farquhariana (Hook.f. & Thomson) Warb. |
| Teij_bo               | Teijsmanniodendron bogoriense Koord. |

The four species with conservation status of near threatened and vulnerable were less dominant species except for P. malaccensis. Hence, those should be in consideration in the management of the natural tropical forest. For example, future research should focus on the dispersal, regeneration, and the utilization of those species (Vlam et al. 2017; Padmakumar et al. 2018; Li et al. 2020). This could be one of the conservation programs that could support the conservation district of Tambrau. Besides, the species with conservation status not available need to be studied to gain more scientific information. Furthermore, the concept of forest conservation could be implemented widely not only focusing on biotic such as vegetation but the socio-cultural aspect could also be considered (Ungirwalu et al. 2014, 2017).
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