The effects of non-linear electron-phonon interactions on superconductivity and charge-density-wave correlations
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Abstract – Determinant quantum Monte Carlo (DQMC) simulations are used to study non-linear electron-phonon interactions in a two-dimensional Holstein-like model on a square lattice. We examine the impact of non-linear electron-lattice interactions on superconductivity and on Peierls charge-density-wave (CDW) correlations at finite temperatures and carrier concentrations. We find that the CDW correlations are dramatically suppressed with the inclusion of even a small non-linear interaction. Conversely, the effect of the non-linearity on superconductivity is found to be less dramatic at high temperatures; however, we find evidence that the non-linearity is ultimately detrimental to superconductivity. These effects are attributed to the combined hardening of the phonon frequency and a renormalization of the effective linear electron-phonon coupling towards weaker values. These results demonstrate the importance of non-linear interactions at finite carrier concentrations when one is addressing CDW and superconducting order and have implications for experiments that drive the lattice far from equilibrium.
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Introduction. – Electron-phonon (e-ph) coupling is an important interaction in many molecular systems and solids, which dresses carriers to form quasiparticles (called polarons) with increased effective masses and modified dispersion relations [1,2]. Nearly all treatments of this interaction make use of linear models, where an electronic degree of freedom is coupled to the first-order displacement of the ions. Theorists typically justify this by expanding the e-ph interaction Hamiltonian in powers of the lattice displacement and then truncating the expansion under the assumption that the net displacements are small. For example, the motion of an atom in an external potential $\Phi_{\text{ext}}(X)$ established by the remainder of the crystal introduces an on-site electrostatic coupling between the lattice displacement $X$ and the carrier density $n_{i,\sigma}$, given by

$$H_{\text{site}} = -e \sum_{i,\sigma} n_{i,\sigma} \Phi_{\text{ext}}(X). \quad (1)$$

Typically $\Phi_{\text{ext}}$ is a non-linear function which scales like an inverse power of $X$. Thus, an expansion of $\Phi_{\text{ext}}(X)$ yields $H_{\text{site}} = H_0 + H_{\text{e-ph}} + O(X^2)$, where $H_0$ is the on-site Hamiltonian in the absence of atomic motion and $H_{\text{e-ph}}$ is the usual (linear) e-ph coupling. The higher-order terms are typically dropped assuming that $X$ is small; however, large displacements are expected in a number of situations. In the limit of a strong e-ph coupling, linear models predict large lattice distortions surrounding carriers as small polarons are formed [2–5]. This result violates the assumptions underlying the linear models and indicates the necessity of including higher-order terms in the expansion [4,5].

Non-linear e-ph interactions have a dramatic effect on polaron properties in the single-carrier limit. This was first demonstrated in ref. [4], which examined the problem using the non-perturbative “momentum average” approximation and found that small higher-order interactions lead to a dramatic undressing of the polaron. This result is of potential relevance to many systems where strong e-ph interactions have been inferred from experiments [6–11]; however, it is not clear whether the single-polaron result will generalize straightforwardly to the finite carrier concentrations relevant for these materials. Calculations at finite carrier concentrations are also needed in order to understand the impact of non-linearity on broken symmetry states like superconductivity [12] and charge density waves (CDW) [13].

Non-linearity can also be important when the e-ph interaction is weak. In some systems small initial atomic
displacements can be driven far from equilibrium by an external potential. For instance, coherent phonon excitations have been observed in several pump-probe experiments [14–18]. These experiments offer a promising new path to probing e-ph interactions, particularly in systems where the phonons have been difficult to differentiate from other collective excitations [19]. If the pump fluence is too high, however, it is possible that the lattice may be driven far from equilibrium, making higher-order terms in the e-ph interaction relevant.

In this letter we examine non-linear interactions in the many-body limit by studying the non-linear single-band Holstein model in two dimensions using determinant quantum Monte Carlo (DQMC). DQMC is a non-perturbative auxiliary-field technique capable of handling the e-ph interactions in a numerically exact fashion [20, 21]. The technique is formulated in the grand canonical ensemble, which allows us to study the model at finite carrier concentrations and temperatures. DQMC has previously been applied to linear Holstein models [22–24], but to the best of our knowledge, it has not been applied to any e-ph models with non-linear interactions. Here we focus on the competition between Peierls CDW correlations and s-wave superconductivity that is known to occur in the linear model [22, 25]. As with the single-carrier limit, we find that small non-linear interactions alter the properties of the system at finite carrier concentrations, undressing the carriers and significantly suppressing CDW correlations. While this allows superconductivity to emerge from behind the competing CDW order, we conclude that a non-linear interaction is ultimately detrimental to superconductivity in the Holstein model due to a renormalization of the effective linear e-ph coupling.

Model and methods. – We consider a modified single-band Holstein Hamiltonian

\[ H = H_{\text{el}} + H_{\text{int}} + H_{\text{int}}, \]

where

\[ H_{\text{el}} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} + \mu \sum_{i, \sigma} n_{i, \sigma}, \quad (2) \]

\[ H_{\text{int}} = \sum_{i} \left( \frac{1}{2M} \dot{P}_i^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{2} \dot{X}_i^2 \right) + \sum_i \Omega \left( b_i^\dagger b_i + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (3) \]

\[ H_{\text{int}} = \sum_{i, \sigma, k} \alpha_k \hat{n}_{i, \sigma} \hat{X}_i^k = \sum_{i, \sigma, k} g_k \hat{n}_{i, \sigma} \left( b_i^\dagger + b_i \right)^k. \quad (4) \]

Here, \( c_{i, \sigma}^\dagger (c_{i, \sigma}) \) creates (annihilates) an electron of spin \( \sigma \) on lattice site \( i \); \( b_i^\dagger (b_i) \) creates (annihilates) a phonon on site \( i \); \( \hat{n}_{i, \sigma} = c_{i, \sigma}^\dagger c_{i, \sigma} \) is the electron number operator; \( t \) is the nearest-neighbor hopping integral; \( \mu \) is the chemical potential which sets the band filling; \( \dot{X}_i \) and \( \dot{P}_i \) are the position and momentum operators, respectively, for lattice site \( i \); \( \Omega \) is the phonon frequency; \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) denotes a sum over nearest neighbors; and \( g_k = \alpha_k (2M \Omega)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \) is the strength of the e-ph coupling to \( k \)-th order in displacement. Throughout this work we consider a two-dimensional square lattice with lattice spacing \( a \) and set \( a = M = t = 1 \) as the units of length, mass, and energy, respectively.

It is convenient to define a dimensionless e-ph coupling strength \( \lambda \), which is given by the ratio of the lattice deformation energy \( E_p \) to half the electronic bandwidth \( W/2 \). For the linear Holstein Hamiltonian in two-dimensions \( \lambda = \alpha_1^2/(M \Omega^2 W) = g^4/4\Omega^2 \). For the non-linear model, additional dimensionless ratios \( \xi_n = g_n/g_{n-1} \) must also be specified in order characterize the strength of the non-linear terms. In the single-carrier limit the quartic terms have a much weaker effect on the properties of the polaron in comparison to the quadratic terms [4]. We expect a similar result here. We therefore limit ourselves to the linear and quadratic-order couplings only and define \( \xi = g_2/g_1 \). We note that one might be tempted to return to the physical definition of \( \lambda \) in order to characterize the system with a single effective parameter. The implicit assumption here is that the system can be mapped onto an effective linear model with a renormalized phonon frequency \( \Omega \) and e-ph coupling \( g \). This, however, is not possible for the single-particle case [4], where such effective linear models fail to capture the results of the non-linear model. Therefore multiple parameters are needed to characterize the model. In order to facilitate easy comparisons with the linear case we keep the standard definition of \( \lambda \), where \( \lambda > 1 \) implies strong linear coupling, and use the ratio \( \xi \) to characterize the strength of the non-linearity. Other choices are possible.

We study the non-linear model using DQMC. The method is outlined in a number of references [20, 21], and complete details of its application to the lattice degrees of freedom can be found in ref. [24]. The only change from the procedure outlined therein is with regards to the definition of the B-matrices, which are defined on each discrete time slice \( l \) (eq. (11) of ref. [24]); they must be modified to include the higher-order interaction terms. Specifically, \( B_{l}(\ell) = \exp(-\Delta \tau u(l)) \exp(-\Delta \tau K) \), where \( K \) is the matrix representation of \( H_{\text{int}} \) and \( u(l) \) is a diagonal matrix whose \( i \)-th element is \( [u(l)]_{ii} = (\sum_k \alpha_k X_{i,k}^k) \). All other aspects of the problem, including the sampling of the phonon fields, are treated as described in ref. [24].

Throughout this work we generally present results obtained with \( \Delta \tau = 1/10 \) and on clusters with \( N^2 = 8 \times 8 \) sites, however, some results are shown for other cluster sizes. Some results have also been obtained in the thermodynamic limit \( N \rightarrow \infty \) by performing a finite-size scaling analysis, where the quantity of interest is plotted as a function of \( 1/N \) for clusters ranging in size from \( N = 4 \) to 12 in size, and then extrapolated to the \( 1/N \rightarrow 0 \) limit. We have found that our results are qualitatively, and often quantitatively independent of finite-size effects. We have also performed simulations for various values of \( \Delta \tau \) for the half-filled case and observed no significant \( \Delta \tau \) errors.

Due to numerical instabilities and long autocorrelation times, DQMC simulations of the Holstein model are typically limited to phonon frequencies \( \Omega \geq t \) [24, 26]. Most of the results presented here are for \( \Omega = t \); however, larger
values of $\Omega$ are also considered (see fig. 5). Finally, we note that both the linear and non-linear Holstein models do not exhibit a fermion sign problem, which allows us to perform simulations to low temperatures.

**Results.** – We first examine the CDW and superconductive correlations. A measure of the CDW correlations is obtained from the charge susceptibility

$$\chi_C(q) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^\beta d\tau \langle \rho(q, \tau) \rho(q, 0) \rangle,$$

where $\rho(q) = \sum_{i,\sigma} e^{iqR_i} \hat{n}_{i,\sigma}$. Similarly, a measure of the $s$-wave superconducting correlations is obtained from the pair-field susceptibility

$$\chi_{SC} = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^\beta d\tau \langle \Delta(\tau) \Delta^\dagger(0) \rangle,$$

where $\Delta^\dagger = \sum_k c^\dagger_{k,\downarrow} c^\dagger_{-k,\uparrow} = \sum_{\nu} c^\dagger_{\nu,\uparrow} c^\dagger_{\nu,\downarrow}$.

Figure 1 shows the CDW and superconducting correlations as a function of the band filling in the linear and non-linear models at an inverse temperature $\beta = 5/t$. Here the linear coupling has been fixed to $\lambda = 0.25$. The results for the linear model ($\xi = 0$) agree well with previous work [22], where $q = (\pi, \pi)$ CDW correlations dominate due to a strong $q = (\pi, \pi)$ nesting condition on the Fermi surface near half-filling ($\langle n \rangle \sim 1$) [22,25]. The non-linear interaction dramatically alters these results. The initial effect is rapid and we find that $\chi_C(\pi, \pi)$ is suppressed near $\langle n \rangle \sim 1$ by an order of magnitude for a relatively small value of the non-linear coupling $\xi = 0.05$. This suppression continues for increasing values of $\xi$, but it is less dramatic after the initial decrease.

The $\xi$ dependence of $\chi_C(\pi, \pi)$ and $\chi_{SC}$ is examined further in fig. 2. Results are shown for $N = 8$ cluster with a fixed filling of $\langle n \rangle = 1$ and $\lambda = 0.25$. The behavior matches the expectations from fig. 1 and the rapid initial suppression of the CDW correlations for small non-zero values of $\xi$ is evident. Similar results were obtained in the single-polaron limit, where a small value of $\xi$ produced large changes in the polaron’s effective mass and quasi-particle weight, but gave way to more gradual changes in these properties for further increases in the value of $\xi$ [4].

In the linear model, CDW correlations directly compete with $s$-wave superconductivity and the former dominate at low temperatures, particularly for fillings near $\langle n \rangle \sim 1$ [22,25]. Thus, there is a concomitant enhancement in the pair-field susceptibility once the CDW correlations are suppressed by the non-linear interaction, which is evident in figs. 1 and 2. After its initial rise, however, $\chi_{SC}$ is relatively independent of the value of $\xi$ for all values of the band filling examined, apart from a slight suppression of $\chi_{SC}$ in the vicinity of $\langle n \rangle \sim 0.65$. Thus, the non-linear coupling does not significantly enhance or suppress superconductivity at this temperature once the competition with the CDW correlations has been suppressed or eliminated.

The inset of fig. 2 plots the average lattice displacement $\langle X \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i X_i$ as a function of $\xi$. This quantity serves as a proxy for the average number of phonon quanta (which is not directly accessible in the DQMC formalism) as larger lattice distortions are described by coherent states with increasing numbers of phonon quanta. For increasing values of $\xi$, the average lattice displacement is reduced, and thus, so is the number of phonon quanta on each site. This is fully consistent with the single-carrier limit where the number of phonon quanta in the polaron cloud dropped dramatically for non-zero values of $\xi$ [4]. This relaxation of the lattice displacement shown here thus reflects the unressing of the lattice bipolarons that form the $q = (\pi, \pi)$ CDW state.
Finite-size effects are also examined in fig. 2, where results in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$, obtained from a finite-size scaling analysis, are also shown. The behaviour of the CDW susceptibility is nearly identical to the finite cluster results, while the pair-field susceptibility is slightly suppressed in the thermodynamic limit. This indicates that suppression of the CDW correlations for increasing $\xi$ is well captured by the $N = 8$ clusters while the pair-field susceptibility is slightly overestimated. We conclude that finite-size effects associated with determining the susceptibilities on the $N = 8$ cluster are small and have little bearing on our conclusions.

The physical origin of the polaron undressing is the renormalization of the effective linear coupling by the non-linear interaction terms. This can be understood from a mean-field treatment of the problem in the atomic limit [4]. Applying the mean-field decoupling $(b^{\dagger})^2 = 2b^{\dagger}(b) - (b)^2$ leads to the effective Hamiltonian

$$ H_{MF} = \Omega_{MF} \left( b^{\dagger}b + \frac{1}{2} \right) + g_{MF}n(b^{\dagger} + b), $$

where $\Omega_{MF} = \Omega + 2g_2$ and $g_{MF} = g_1(1 - \frac{2g_2}{\Omega + 4g_2})$. From this result one sees that the second-order interaction acts to harden the phonon frequency and renormalize the effective linear coupling to lower values. This has the net effect of decreasing $\lambda_{MF} \sim \frac{g_2^2}{\Omega_{MF}}$ and thus the effective $e$-ph interaction is weaker for the non-linear model. A similar effect occurs for the itinerant case examined here, as is evident from the relaxation of the average lattice displacement and the suppression of the CDW correlations. This observation also helps understand why superconductivity is not strongly affected. While the hardening of the phonon frequency would raise the superconducting $T_c$, the net decrease in effective linear coupling results in an overall suppression of the superconducting correlations and thus $T_c$.

If the non-linear coupling results in a undressing of the polarons via a weakening of the effective linear coupling, one might expect the system to relax back to a metallic state for large values of $\xi$. We therefore examine the spectral weight at the Fermi level in order to confirm this expectation. A measure of the spectral weight at the Fermi level $\langle T \rangle$ is peaked at $\omega = 0$ and therefore weights the spectral weight at the Fermi level. The local propagator $G(r - r', \tau = \beta/2) \propto \sum_k G(k, \beta/2)$ is then a measure of the total spectral weight at the Fermi level. For simplicity we introduce the notation $\beta G(r = r, \tau = \beta/2) = \beta G_{\beta/2}$.

Figure 3(a) shows $\beta G_{\beta/2}$ as a function of $\xi$. Results are shown at half-filling for a number of cluster sizes, as well as the thermodynamic limit. The behaviour is similar for all cases examined. For $\xi = 0$ the CDW correlations dominate, resulting in the formation of a CDW gap that reduces the spectral weight at $\omega = 0$. The spectral weight is restored for increasing values of $\xi$, which is consistent with the closing of the CDW gap. For large $\xi$ the value of $\beta G_{\beta/2}$ approaches the non-interacting value, which is indicated by the dashed lines. Therefore, at $\beta = 5/t$, the system is metallic but with a slightly reduced $\xi$-dependent spectral weight. The metallicity of the system is further evidenced by the temperature dependence of $\beta G_{\beta/2}$, shown in fig. 3(b) for the $\xi = 0.25$, $N = 8$ case. Here $\beta G_{\beta/2}$ increases for decreasing temperatures as expected for a Fermi liquid where the quasiparticle scattering rate scales as $T^2$. The full DQMC result, however, deviates from the non-interacting limit, indicating that the quasiparticles remain slightly dressed by the $e$-ph interaction. This picture is consistent with the one obtained from the single-carrier limit, where the small polaron relaxes to a large polaron with a renormalization factor $Z$ only slightly reduced from 1 at large values of $\xi$ [4].

We have demonstrated that the system re-enters a metallic phase as the value of $\xi$ is increased and the effective linear coupling is decreased. We would therefore like to assess if superconductivity emerges as the ground state if the temperature is lowered further. To examine this, fig. 4(a) plots the temperature dependence of several relevant susceptibilities for the half- (fig. 4(a)) and approximately quarter-filled models (fig. 4(b)). At half-filling the $q = (\pi, \pi)$ CDW correlations are weakened; however, they remain as the dominate correlations in the system for all values of $\xi$ examined (for reference, $\chi_{SC}(\pi, \pi)/\chi_{SC} \sim 2.2$ for $\xi = 0.5$ and $\beta = 5/t$). This remains true upon further cooling and thus the ground state of the system with $\xi = 0.25$ remains a $q = (\pi, \pi)$ CDW insulator albeit with a drastically reduced transition temperature.
Away from half-filling $\chi_C(\pi, \pi)$ is reduced by a combination of the non-linear interaction and the loss of the Fermi surface nesting at this wave vector. For example, in the vicinity of a quarter filling we find $\chi_{SC} > \chi_C(\pi, \pi)$. But other ordering vectors become relevant at these fillings, and for $\langle n \rangle \sim 0.52$ the $q = (\pi, 0)$ ordering vector becomes the dominant vector. Moreover, for $\beta = 5/t$, $\chi_C(\pi, 0) \sim \chi_{SC}$ suggesting that superconductivity could emerge as the ground state at this filling. For decreasing temperatures $\chi_C(\pi, 0)$ and $\chi_{SC}$ increase concurrently, but the superconducting pair-field susceptibility overtakes the charge susceptibility at $\beta \sim 14/t$. This signals a superconducting ground state at low temperature but with a reduced $T_c$ owing to the renormalized effective linear coupling.

Finally, in fig. 5 we examine the dependence of our results at half-filling on the linear coupling strength $\lambda$ and the phonon frequency $\Omega$. Figures 5(a) and (b) show $\chi_C(\pi, \pi)$ and $\chi_{SC}$, respectively, for $\Omega = t$, $3t/2$, $2t$, and $3t$ and $\lambda = 0.25$. Figures 5(c) and (d) show similar results for $\lambda = 0.5$. The results follow the trends we have discussed. For $\xi = 0$ the CDW correlations increase with increasing linear coupling or with decreasing phonon frequency, consistently with prior work [22]. In all cases, however, the CDW correlations are suppressed for increasing non-linear interaction strengths. The weakening of the effective linear coupling and suppression of the CDW ordered phase by the non-linear interaction is therefore a generic result. We therefore expect that our key findings will hold into the adiabatic regime $\Omega \ll t$, where DQMC simulations currently cannot be easily performed.

Summary and conclusions. – We have examined a non-linear Holstein model on a two-dimensional square lattice and at finite temperatures and carrier concentrations using determinant quantum Monte Carlo. The competition between CDW and superconducting correlations was re-examined as a function of the non-linear e-ph interaction strength. The primary effect of the non-linear coupling is a dramatic suppression of the CDW correlations that dominate the linear model. A less pronounced effect was observed for the superconducting correlations. These effects are attributed to a combined hardening of the phonon mode and renormalization of the effective linear coupling by the non-linear terms. The net result is an overall reduction in the e-ph interaction strength. We therefore conclude that the non-linear interactions in the Holstein model will also reduce the transition temperature for phonon-mediated superconductivity. Our results explicitly confirm the importance of the non-linear interactions at finite carrier concentrations, as proposed in ref. [4] and show that the undressing of the polaron by the non-linear interactions is generic.

The suppression of CDW correlations by non-linearity will also affect competition with other phases apart from superconductivity. For example, the addition of an electron-electron ($e-e$) interactions in the half-filled Hubbard-Holstein model results in a clear competition between the antiferromagnetism and CDW phases [28–30]. As with the linear Holstein model, large lattice distortions are predicted [24] when the CDW phase wins. Therefore, non-linearity is likely to play a similar role in suppressing the CDW phase, and helping to restore the competing antiferromagnetic phase. In light of this it is desirable to revisit the competition between magnetism and charge ordering.

Our results also have implications for pump-probe experiments aimed at studying the strength of the e-ph interaction. For example, the higher-order interaction terms can become important in such experiments if the external field drives the lattice to large displacements, even if the
electron-lattice coupling at equilibrium is weak. Therefore, if the lattice is pumped too strongly, there is a danger that the non-linearity will enter and renormalize the effective coupling to smaller values. In doing so, one could drive the system hard enough that they extinguish the interactions they are trying to probe. Obviously this will be less of an issue if the pump pulses are weak and the lattice is only slightly perturbed; however, these effects may become extremely important if the lattice is strongly pumped as our results show that even a small non-linear contribution can have an order-of-magnitude impact. Moving forward it will be important to study the role of non-linear electron-lattice coupling and anharmonic lattice potentials as the community continues to study systems driven far from equilibrium.

Finally, these results call for a re-evaluation of claims of high-$T_c$ superconductivity mediated by non-linear e-phonon coupling [31]. We stress, however, that physics arising from the non-linear coupling is different from anharmonic effects due to the lattice potential, which are thought to play a key role in MgB$_2$ [10] and KO$_2$SbO$_6$ [32].
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