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Abstract

For the one-dimensional linear kinetic equation analytical solutions of problems about temperature jump and weak evaporation (condensation) over flat surface are received. The equation has integral of collisions BGK (Bhatnagar, Gross and Krook) and frequency of collisions of molecules, affine depending on the module molecular velocity.
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Introduction

In work \cite{1} the linear one-dimensional kinetic equation with integral of collisions BGK (Bhatnagar, Gross and Krook) and frequency of collisions, affine depending on the module velocity of molecules has been entered.

In \cite{1} the theorem about structure of general solution of the entered equation has been proved.
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In the present work which is continuation [1], exact solutions of the problem about temperature jump and weak evaporation (condensation) in the rarefied gas are received. These two problems following [2] we will name the generalized Smoluchowsky’ problem, or simply the Smoluchowsky problem.

Let us stop on history of exclusively analytical solutions of the generalized Smoluchowsky’ problem.

For simple (one-nuclear) rarefied gas with a constant frequency of collisions of molecules the analytical solution of the generalized of Smoluchowsky’ problems it is received in [3].

In [4] the generalized of Smoluchowsky’ problem was analytically solved for simple rarefied gas with frequency of collisions the molecules, linearly depending on the module of molecular velocity. In [5] the problem about strong evaporation (condensation) with constant frequency of collisions has been analytically solved.

Let us notice, that for the first time the problem about temperature jump with frequency of collisions of molecules, linearly depending on the module molecular velocity, was analytically solved by Cassel and Williams in work [6] in 1972.

Then in works [7, 8, 9] the generalized Smoluchowsky’ problem also analytical solution for case of multinuclear (molecular) gases has been received.

In works [10, 11, 12] the problem about behaviour of the quantum Boze-gas at low temperatures (similar to the temperature jump problem for electrons in metal) is considered. We used the kinetic equation with excitation fonons agrees to N.N. Bogolyubov.

In works [13, 14] the problem about temperature jump for electrons of degenerate plasmas in metal has been solved.

In work [15] the analytical solution of the Smoluchowsky’ problem for quantum gases it has been received.

In work of Cercignani and Frezzotti [16] the Smoluchowsky’ problem
it was considered with use of the one-dimensional kinetic equations. The full analytical solution of Smoluchowsky’ problem with use of Cercignani–Frezotti equation it has been received in work [17].

At the same time there is an unresolved problem about temperature jump and concentration with use of the BGK–equation with arbitrary dependence of frequency on velocity, in spite of on obvious importance of the decision of a problem in similar statement.

In the present work attempt to promote in this direction is made. Here the case of the affine dependence of collision frequency on molecular velocity in models of one-dimensional gas is considered. Model of one-dimensional gas gave the good consent with the results devoted to the three-dimensional gas [17].

Let us start with statement problem. Then we will give the solution of the Smoluchowsky’ problem for the one-dimensional kinetic equation with frequency of collisions, affine depending on the module of molecular velocity.

1. Statement of the problem and the basic equations

Let us begin with the general statement. Let gas occupies half-space $x > 0$. The surface temperature $T_s$ and concentration of sated steam of a surface $n_0$ are set. Far from a surface gas moves with some velocity $u$, being velocity of evaporation (or condensation), also has the temperature gradient

$$g_T = \left( \frac{d \ln T}{dx} \right)_{x=+\infty}.$$

It is necessary to define jumps of temperature and concentration depending on velocity and temperature gradient.

In a problem about weak evaporation it is required to define temperature and concentration jumps depending on velocity, including a temperature gradient equal to zero, and velocity of evaporation (condensation) is enough small. The last means, that

$$u \ll v_T.$$

Here $v_T$ is the heat velocity of molecules, having order of sound velocity order,

$$v_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_s}}, \quad \beta_s = \frac{m}{2k_B T_s},$$

$m$ is the mass of molecule, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant.

In the problem about temperature jump it is required to define temperature and concentration jumps depending on a temperature gradient, thus evaporation (condensation) velocity it is considered equal to zero, and the temperature gradient is considered as small. It means that

$$l g_T \ll 1, \quad l = \tau v_T, \quad \tau = \frac{1}{\nu_0},$$

where $l$ is the mean free path of gas molecules, $\tau$ is the mean relaxation time, i.e. time between two consecutive collisions of molecules.

Let us unite both problems (about weak evaporation (condensation) and temperature jump) in one. We will assume that the gradient of temperature is small (i.e. relative difference of temperature on length of mean free path is small) and the velocity of gas in comparison with sound velocity is small. In this case the problem supposes linearization and distribution function it is possible to search in the form

$$f(x, v) = f_0(v)(1 + h(x, v)),$$

where

$$f_0(v) = n_s \left( \frac{m}{2\pi k_B T_s} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left[ -\frac{mv^2}{2k_B T_s} \right]$$

is the absolute Maxwellian.

We take the linear kinetic equation which has been written down rather functions $h(x, v)$, with integral of collisions of relaxation type, in integral of collisions BGK named also (Bhatnagar, Gross and Krook), and having the following form

$$v \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = \nu(v) \left[ A_0 + A_1 \frac{v}{v_T} + A_2 \left( \frac{v^2}{v_T^2} - \beta \right) - h(x, v) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)
Here $A_\alpha$ ($\alpha = 0, 1, 2$) is the any constants, subject to definition from laws of preservation of number of particles (numerical density), an momentum and energy, $\nu(v)$ is the collision frequency affine depending on module molecular velocity,

$$\nu(v) = \nu_0 \left(1 + \sqrt{\pi a} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2k_BT_s}} |v| \right),$$

$a$ is the arbitrary positive paramater, $0 \leq a < +\infty$.

Strictly speaking, in such model is necessary to take frequency of collisions in the form

$$\nu(v) = \nu_0 \left(1 + \sqrt{\pi a} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2k_BT_s}} |v - u_0(x)| \right),$$

where $v - u_0(x)$ is the velocity of a molecule in system of coordinates, concerning which gas is rested in the given point $x$, $v$ is the velocity of a molecule in laboratory system of coordinates, $u_0(x)$ is the mass velocity of gas in a point $x$ in laboratory system coordinates. We will consider further, that in linear statement $|u_0(x)| \ll v_T$.

The right part of the equation (1.1) is the linear integral of collisions, expanded on collision invariants

$$\psi_0(v) = 1,$$

$$\psi_1(v) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2k_BT_s}} v,$$

$$\psi_2(v) = \frac{mv^2}{2k_BT_s} - \beta.$$

Let us pass in the equation (1.1) to dimensionless velocity

$$C = \sqrt{\beta} \frac{v}{v_T}$$

and dimensionless coordinate

$$x' = \nu_0 \sqrt{\frac{m}{2k_BT_s}} x = \frac{x}{l}.$$

The variable $x'$ let us designate again through $x$. 
In the dimensionless variables we will rewrite the equation (1.1) in the form
\[ C \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = (1 + \sqrt{\pi a} |C|) \left[ l_0[h] + 2C l_1[h] + (C^2 - \beta) l_2[h] - h(x, C) \right]. \] (1.2)

The constant \( \beta \) is finding from an orthogonality condition of invariants \( \psi_0(v) \) and \( \psi_2(v) \). Orthogonality here is understood as equality to zero of scalar product with weight \( \rho(C) = (1 + \sqrt{\pi a} |C|) \exp (-C^2) \)
\[
(f, g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(C) f(C) g(C) dC.
\]

From here we receive that
\[
\beta = \beta(a) = \frac{2a + 1}{2(a + 1)}.
\]

2. Laws of preservation and transformation of the kinetic equation

The modelling integral of collisions should satisfy to laws preservations of number of particles (numerical density), momentum and energy
\[
(\psi_\alpha, M[h]) \equiv \nu_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2} (1 + \sqrt{\pi a} |C|) M[h] \psi_\alpha(C) dC = 0, \quad (2.1)
\]

where
\[
M[h] = A_0 + A_1 + (C^2 - \beta) A_2 - h(x, C).
\]

From the first equation from (2.1), i.e. preservation law of number of particles \((\psi_0, M[h]) = 0\) we receive that
\[
A_0 = \frac{(1, h)}{(1, 1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(a + 1)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2} (1 + \sqrt{\pi a} |C|) h(x, C) dC.
\]

From second equation from (2.1), i.e. preservation law of momentum \((\psi_1, M[h]) = 0\) we receive that
\[
A_1 = \frac{(C, h)}{(C, C)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}(2a + 1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2} (1 + \sqrt{\pi a} |C|) Ch(x, C) dC.
\]
From third equation from (2.1), i.e. preservation law of energy \((\psi_2, M[h]) = 0\) we receive that

\[
A_2 = \left(\frac{C^2 - \beta, h}{C^2 - \beta, C^2 - \beta}\right) = \frac{4(a+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}(4a^2 + 7a + 2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2}(1 + \sqrt{\pi}a|C|)(C^2 - \beta)h(x, C) dC.
\]

Let us return to the equation (1.2) and by means of received above equalities let us transform this equation to the form

\[
C\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + (1 + \sqrt{\pi}a|C|)h(x, C) =
\]

\[
= (1+\sqrt{\pi}a|C|)\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C'^2}(1+\sqrt{\pi}a|C'|)q(C, C', a)h(x, C') dC'. \quad (2.2)
\]

Here \(q(C, C', a)\) is the kernel of equation,

\[q(C, C', a) = r_0(a) + r_1(a)CC' + r_2(a)(C^2 - \beta(a))(C'^2 - \beta(a)),\]

\[r_0(a) = \frac{1}{a + 1}, \quad r_1(a) = \frac{2}{2a + 1}, \quad r_2(a) = \frac{4(a + 1)}{4a^2 + 7a + 2}.\]

3. Derivation of boundary conditions and the formulation of boundary problem

Rectilinear substitution it is possible to check up, that the kinetic equation (2.2) has following four private solutions

\[h_0(x, C) = 1,\]

\[h_1(x, C) = C,\]

\[h_2(x, C) = C^2,\]
\[ h_3(x, C) = \left( C^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \left( x - \frac{C}{1 + \sqrt{\pi a|C|}} \right). \]

Let us consider, that molecules are reflected from a wall purely diffusively, i.e. they are reflected from a wall with Maxwell distribution by velocities, i.e.

\[ f(x, v) = f_0(v), \quad v_x > 0. \]

From here we receive for function \( h(x, C) \) condition

\[ h(0, C) = 0, \quad C > 0. \] \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

Condition (3.1) is the first boundary condition to the equation (2.2).

For asymptotic distribution of Chepmen—Enskog we will search in the form of a linear combination of its partial solutions with unknown coefficients

\[ h_{as}(x, C) = A_0 + A_1 C + A_2 \left( C^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \]

\[ + A_3 \left( C^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \left( x - \frac{C}{1 + \sqrt{\pi a|C|}} \right). \] \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

We consider the distribution of number density

\[ n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, v)dv = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_0(v)(1 + h(x, v))dv = n_0 + \delta n(x). \]

Here

\[ n_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_0(v)dv, \quad \delta n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_0(v)h(x, v)dv. \]

From here we receive that

\[ \frac{\delta n(x)}{n_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2} h(x, C)dC. \]

We denote

\[ n_e = n_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2} (1 + h_{as}(x = 0, C))dC. \]
From here we receive that
\[ \varepsilon_n \equiv \frac{n_e - n_0}{n_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2} h_{as}(x = 0, C) dC. \] (3.3)

The quantity \( \varepsilon_n \) is the unknown jump of concentration. Substituting (3.2) in (3.3), we find, that
\[ \varepsilon_n = A_0. \] (3.4)

From definition of dimensional velocity of gas
\[ u(x) = \frac{1}{n(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, v)vdv \]
we receive, that in linear approximation dimensional mass velocity is equal
\[ U(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2} h(x, C)CdC. \]
Setting "far from a wall" velocity of evaporation (condensation), let us write
\[ U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2} h_{as}(x, C)CdC. \] (3.5)

Substituting in (3.5) distribution (3.2), we receive, that
\[ A_1 = 2U + A_3\omega(a), \] (3.6)
where \( \omega(a) \) is the number parameter,
\[ \omega(a) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2} \frac{C^2(C^2 - 3/2)}{1 + \sqrt{\pi}a|C|} dC. \]

Let us consider temperature distribution
\[ T(x) = \frac{2}{kn(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{m}{2}(v - u_0(x))^2 f(x, v)dv. \]
Fig. 1. Dependence of quantity $\omega = \omega(a)$ on parameter of problem $a$.

From here we find, that

$$
\frac{\delta T(x)}{T_0} = -\frac{\delta n}{n_0} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-C^2} h(x, C) C^2 dC =
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-C^2} h(x, C)(C^2 - \frac{1}{2}) dC.
$$

From here follows, that at $x \to +\infty$ asymptotic distribution is equal

$$
\frac{\delta T_{as}(x)}{T_0} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-C^2} h_{as}(x, C)(C^2 - \frac{1}{2}) dC. \quad (3.7)
$$

Setting of the gradient of temperature far from a wall means, that distribution of temperature looks like

$$
T(x) = T_e + \left( \frac{dT}{dx} \right)_{x=+\infty} \cdot x = T_e + G_T x,
$$
where
\[ G_T = \left( \frac{dT}{dx} \right)_{+\infty}. \]

This distribution we will present in the form
\[ T(x) = T_s \left( \frac{T_e}{T_s} + g_T x \right) = T_s \left( 1 + \frac{T_e - T_s}{T_s} + g_T x \right), \quad x \to +\infty, \]
where
\[ g_T = \left( \frac{d\ln T}{dx} \right)_{x=+\infty}, \]
or
\[ T(x) = T_s (1 + \varepsilon_T + g_T x), \quad x \to +\infty, \]
where
\[ \varepsilon_T = \frac{T_e - T_s}{T_s} \]
is the unknown temperature jump.

From expression (3.7) is visible, that relative change of temperature far from a wall is described by linear function
\[
\frac{\delta T_{as}(x)}{T_s} = \frac{T(x) - T_s}{T_s} = \varepsilon_T + g_T x, \quad x \to +\infty
\]
(3.8)

Substituting (3.2) in (3.7), we receive, that
\[
\frac{\delta T_{as}(x)}{T_s} = A_2 + A_3 x.
\]
(3.10)

Comparing (3.7) and (3.10), we find
\[ A_2 = \varepsilon_T, \quad A_3 = g_T. \]
So, asymptotic function of Chepmen—Enskog’ distribution is constructed
\[ h_{as}(x, C) = \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_T + (2U + \omega(a)g_T)C + \]
\[
+ \left( C^2 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \left[ \varepsilon_T + g_T \left( x - \frac{C}{1 + \sqrt{\pi a |C|}} \right) \right].
\]

Now we will formulate the second boundary condition to the equation (2.2)
\[ h(x, C) = h_{as}(x, C) + o(1), \quad x \to +\infty. \]
(3.11)
Now we will formulate the basic boundary problem, which is generalized Smoluchowsky’ problem. This problem consists in finding of such solution of the kinetic equation (2.2) which satisfies to boundary conditions (3.1) and (3.11).

4. Transformation of boundary problem

In the equation (2.2) we will carry out variable replacement $\sqrt{\pi}a \rightarrow a$ also we will write down the received equation in the form

$$\frac{C}{1 + a|C|} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + h(x, C) =$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-C^2}(1 + a|C'|)q(C, C', a)h(x, C')dC'.$$

(4.1)

In this equation $q(C, C', a)$ is the kernel of equation,

$$q(C, C', a) = r_0(a) + r_1(a)CC' + r_2(a)(C^2 - \beta(a))(C'^2 - \beta(a)),$$

where

$$r_0(a) = \frac{1}{a + \sqrt{\pi}}, \quad r_1(a) = \frac{2}{2a + \sqrt{\pi}}, \quad r_2(a) = \frac{4(a + \sqrt{\pi})}{4a^2 + 7\sqrt{\pi}a + 2\pi},$$

$$\beta(a) = \frac{12a + \sqrt{\pi}}{2a + \sqrt{\pi}}.$$

Let us make in the equation (4.1) replacement of a variable $C = C(\mu), C' = C(\mu')$, where

$$C(\mu) = \frac{\mu}{1 - a|\mu|}, \quad |\mu| < \alpha, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{a}.$$

Let us designate function $h(x, C(\mu))$ again through $h(x, \mu)$. The equation (4.1) passes in the following equation, standard for the transport theory

$$\mu \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + h(x, \mu) = \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho(\mu')q(\mu, \mu')h(x, \mu')d\mu'.$$

(4.2)
where
\[
\rho(\mu') = \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{\mu'}{1 - a|\mu'|} \right)^2 \right] \frac{1}{(1 - a|\mu'|)^3},
\]
\[
q(\mu, \mu') = r_0(a) + r_1(a) \frac{\mu}{1 - a|\mu|} \frac{\mu'}{1 - a|\mu'|} +
\]
\[
+ r_2(a) \left( \frac{\mu}{1 - a|\mu|} \right)^2 - \beta(a) \right) \left( \frac{\mu'}{1 - a|\mu'|} \right)^2 - \beta(a) \right].
\]

Let us notice, that on the ends of the intervals of integration we have
\[
\rho(\pm \alpha) = 0,
\]
and, besides,
\[
\lim_{\mu \to \pm \alpha} \rho(\mu) C^n(\mu) = 0
\]
for any natural \( n \).

Boundary conditions (3.1) and (3.11) after variable replacement \( C = C'(\mu) \) pass in the following
\[
h(0, \mu) = 0, \quad 0 < \mu < \alpha,
\]
and
\[
h(x, \mu) = h_{as}(x, \mu) + o(1), \quad x \to +\infty,
\]
where
\[
h_{as}(x, \mu) = \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_T + (2U + g_T \omega(a)) C'(\mu) +
\]
\[
+ \left( C^2(\mu) - \frac{3}{2} \right) \left[ \varepsilon_T + g_T(x - \mu) \right].
\]

In (4.5) the designation is entered
\[
\omega(a) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} e^{-C^2(\mu)} C^2(\mu) \left( C^2(\mu) - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{d\mu}{1 - a|\mu'|}.
\]

Let us solve further a boundary problem (4.2) – (4.4).

5. Eigenfunction and eigenvalues
Seperation of variables in the equation (4.2), taken in the form
\[ h_\eta(x, \mu) = \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\eta}\right)\Phi(\eta, \mu), \quad \eta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (5.1) \]
transforms equation (5.1) to characteristic equation
\[ (\eta - \mu)\Phi(\eta, \mu) = \eta Q(\eta, \mu), \quad \eta, \mu \in (-\alpha, +\alpha), \quad (5.2) \]
where
\[ Q(\eta, \mu) = r_0(a)n_0(\eta) + r_1(a)C(\mu)n_1(\eta) + 
+r_2(a)\left(C^2(\eta) - \beta(a)\right)\left(C^2(\mu) - \beta(a)\right). \]

Here
\[ n_\alpha(\eta) = \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} \Phi(\eta, \mu)C^\alpha(\mu)\rho(\mu)d\mu, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \quad (5.3) \]
is the zero, first and second moments of eigen function with weight \( \rho(\mu) \).

Eigen functions of the continuous spectrum filling by the continuous fashion an interval \((-\alpha, \alpha)\), we find [18] in space of the generalized functions
\[ \Phi(\eta, \mu) = \eta Q(\eta, \mu)P\frac{1}{\eta - \mu} + g(\eta)\delta(\eta - \mu), \quad \eta \in (-\alpha, \alpha). \quad (5.4) \]

Here \( g(\eta) \) is the unknown function, defined from equations (5.3), \( Px^{-1} \) is the distribution, meaning principal value of integral by integration \( x^{-1} \), \( \delta(x) \) is the Dirac delta-function.

Let us substitute eigen functions (5.4) in normalization equalities (5.3). We will receive the following system of the dispersion equations
\[ n_\alpha(\eta) + \eta \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} Q(\eta, \mu)C^\alpha(\mu)\rho(\mu)\frac{d\mu}{\mu - \eta} = g(\eta)\rho(\eta)C^\alpha(\eta), \quad (5.5) \]
\( \alpha = 0, 1, 2. \)
We denote
\[ t_n(\eta) = \eta \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} C^m(\mu) \frac{\rho(\mu)d\mu}{\mu - \eta}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. \]

Now system of the dispersion equations (5.5) it is possible transform to the form
\[ n_\alpha(\eta) + r_0(a)n_0(\eta)t_\alpha(a) + r_1(a)n_1(\eta)t_1(\eta) + \]
\[ + (n_2(\eta) - \beta(a)n_0(\eta))(t_{\alpha+2}(\eta) - \beta(a)t_\alpha(\eta)) = g(\eta)\rho(\eta)C^\alpha(\eta), \quad (5.6) \]
where \( \alpha = 0, 1, 2. \)

Let us write down the equations (5.6) in the vector form
\[ \Lambda(\eta)n(\eta) = g(\eta)\rho(\eta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ C(\eta) \\ C^2(\eta) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.7) \]

Here \( \Lambda(\eta) \) is the dispersion matrix-function with elements
\[ \lambda_{ij}(\eta) \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3), \]
\( n(\eta) \) is the normalization vector with elements \( n_\alpha(\eta) \quad (\alpha = 0, 1, 2). \)

Elements of the dispersion matrix in the explicit form will more low be necessary
\[ \lambda_{11}(z) = 1 + [r_2(a) + \beta^2(a)r_2(a)]t_0(z) - \beta(a)r_2(a)t_2(z), \]
\[ \lambda_{12}(z) = r_1(a)t_1(z), \]
\[ \lambda_{13}(z) = r_2(a)[-\beta(a)t_0(z) + t_2(z)], \]
\[ \lambda_{21}(z) = [r_0(a) + \beta^2(a)r_2(a)]t_1(z) - \beta(a)r_2(a)t_3(z), \]
\[ \lambda_{22}(z) = 1 + r_1(a)t_3(z), \]
\[ \lambda_{23}(z) = r_2(a)[-\beta(a)t_1(z) + t_3(z)], \]
\[ \lambda_{31}(z) = \left[ r_0(a) + \beta^2(a)r_2(a) \right] t_2(z) - \beta(a)r_2(a)t_4(z), \]

\[ \lambda_{32}(z) = r_1(a)t_3(z), \]

\[ \lambda_{33}(z) = 1 + r_2(a) \left[ -\beta(a)t_2(z) + t_4(z) \right]. \]

We introduce the dispersion function \( \lambda(z) \), \( \lambda(z) = \det \Lambda(z) \). In the explicit form we have

\[ \lambda(z) = \lambda_{11}(z)\lambda_{22}(z)\lambda_{33}(z) + r_1(a)t_3(z)\lambda_{13}(z)\lambda_{21}(z) + \]

\[ +r_1(a)t_1(z)\lambda_{31}(z)\lambda_{23}(z) - \lambda_{13}(z)\lambda_{22}(z)\lambda_{31}(z) - \]

\[ -r_1(a)t_3(z)\lambda_{11}(z)\lambda_{23}(z) - r_1(a)t_1(z)\lambda_{21}(z)\lambda_{33}(z). \]

From vector equation (5.7) we find

\[ n_\alpha(\eta) = g(\eta)\rho(\eta) \frac{\Lambda_\alpha(\eta)}{\lambda(\eta)}, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \quad (5.8) \]

where \( \Lambda_\alpha(\eta) \) is the determinant received from determinant of system (5.6) by replacement in it \( \alpha \)-th column by the column from free members of this system. We will write out these determinants in the explicit form

\[ \Lambda_0(z) = \Lambda_{11}(z) - C(z)\Lambda_{21}(z) + C^2(z)\Lambda_{31}(z) = \lambda_{22}(z)\lambda_{33}(z) - \]

\[ -r_1(a)t_3(z)\lambda_{23}(z) - C(z)r_1(a) \left[ t_1(z)\lambda_{33}(z) - t_2(z)\lambda_{13}(z) \right] + \]

\[ +C^2(z) \left[ r_1(a)t_1(z)\lambda_{23}(z) - \lambda_{22}(z)\lambda_{13}(z) \right], \]

\[ \Lambda_1(z) = \Lambda_{12}(z) + C(z)\Lambda_{22}(z) - C^2(z)\Lambda_{32}(z) = -\lambda_{21}(z)\lambda_{33}(z) + \]

\[ +\lambda_{31}(z)\lambda_{33}(z) + C(z) \left[ \lambda_{11}(z)\lambda_{33}(z) - \lambda_{31}(z)\lambda_{13}(z) \right] - \]

\[ -C^2(z) \left[ \lambda_{11}(z)\lambda_{23}(z) - \lambda_{21}(z)\lambda_{13}(z) \right], \]
\[ \Lambda_2(z) = \Lambda_{31}(z) - C(z)\Lambda_{32}(z) + C^2(z)\Lambda_{33}(z) = \\
= r_1(a)t_3(z)\lambda_{21}(z) - \lambda_{31}(z)\lambda_{22}(z) - C(z)r_1(a)\left[t_3(z)\lambda_{11}(z) - t_1(z)\lambda_{33}(z)\right] + \\
+C^2(z)\left[\lambda_{11}(z)\lambda_{22}(z) - r_1(a)t_1(z)\lambda_{21}(z)\right]. \]

Here \( \Lambda_{ij}(z) \) is the minor of element \( \lambda_{ij}(z) \).

By means of equalities (5.8) we will transform equality for \( Q(\eta, \mu) \) to the form
\[ Q(\eta, \mu) = \tilde{Q}(\eta, \mu)\frac{g(\eta)}{\lambda(\eta)}\rho(\eta), \] (5.9)
where
\[ \tilde{Q}(\eta, \mu) = r_0(a)\Lambda_0(\eta) + r_1(a)C(\mu)\Lambda_1(\eta) + \\
+ r_2(a)\left[C^2(\mu) - \beta(a)\right]\left[\Lambda_2(\eta) - \beta(a)\Lambda_0(\eta)\right]. \]

By means of equality (5.9) we will transform expression (5.4) for eigen functions
\[ \Phi(\eta, \mu) = \tilde{\Phi}(\eta, \mu)g(\eta), \] (5.10)
where
\[ \tilde{\Phi}(\eta, \mu) = \eta\frac{\tilde{Q}(\eta, \mu)}{\lambda(\eta)}\rho(\eta)\frac{1}{\eta - \mu} + \delta(\eta - \mu). \] (5.11)

From equality (5.10) it is visible, that eigen functions are defined accurate within to coefficient – any function \( g(\eta) \), identically not equal to zero. Owing to uniformity of the initial kinetic equation it is possible to consider this function identically equal to unit \( (g(\eta) \equiv 1) \) and further in quality eigen function corresponding to continuous spectrum, it is possible to consider the functions defined by equality (5.11). Apparently from the solution of the characteristic equation, continuous spectrum of the characteristic equation is the set
\[ \sigma_c = \{\eta : -\alpha < \eta < +\alpha\}. \]

By definition the discrete spectrum of the characteristic equation consists of set of zero of dispersion function.
Expanding dispersion function in Laurent series in a vicinity infinitely remote point, we are convinced, that it in this point has zero of the fourth order. Applying an argument principle \[19\] from the theory of functions complex variable, it is possible to show, that other zero, except \(z_i = \infty\), dispersion function not has. Thus, the discrete spectrum of the characteristic equations consists of one point \(z_i = \infty\), multiplication factor which it is equal four,

\[
\sigma_d = \{z_i = \infty\}.
\]

To point \(z_i = \infty\), as to the 4-fold point of discrete spectrum, corresponds following four discrete (partial) solutions of the kinetic decision (4.2)

\[
\begin{align*}
    h_0(x, \mu) & = 1, \\
    h_1(x, \mu) & = C(\mu), \\
    h_2(x, \mu) & = C^2(\mu) - \frac{1}{2}, \\
    h_3(x, \mu) & = (x - \mu) \left(C^2(\mu) - \frac{3}{2}\right).
\end{align*}
\]

Let us result formulas Sokhotsky for the difference and the sum of the boundary values of dispersion function from above and from below on the \((-\alpha, +\alpha)\)

\[
\lambda^+(\mu) - \lambda^-(\mu) = 2\pi i \rho(\mu) \tilde{Q}(\mu, \mu), \quad \mu \in (-\alpha, +\alpha),
\]

and

\[
\frac{\lambda^+(\mu) + \lambda^-(\mu)}{2} = \lambda(\mu), \quad \mu \in (-\alpha, +\alpha).
\]

6. Analytical solution of boundary value problem

Here we will prove the theorem about analytical solution of the basic boundary problem (4.2) - (4.4).

**Theorem.** The boundary problem (4.2)-(4.4) has the unique solution, representable in the form of the sum linear combinations of discrete
(partial) solutions of this equation and integral on the continuous spectrum on eigenfunctions correspond to the continuous spectrum

\[ h(x, \mu) = h_{as}(x, \mu) + \int_0^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\eta}\right) F(\eta, \mu) A(\eta) d\eta. \quad (6.1) \]

In equality (6.1) \( \varepsilon_n \) and \( \varepsilon_T \) are unknown coefficients (of discrete spectrum), \( U \) and \( g_T \) are the given values, \( A(\eta) \) is the unknown function (coefficient of the continuous spectrum).

Coefficients of discrete and continuous spectra are subject to finding from boundary conditions.

Expansion (6.1) it is possible to present in classical sense

\[ h(x, \mu) = \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_T + (2U + g_T \omega) C(\mu) + \left(C^2(\mu) - \frac{3}{2}\right) [\varepsilon_T +
+ g_T(x - \mu)] + e^{-x/\mu} A(\mu) + \int_0^\alpha e^{-x/\eta} \frac{\eta R(\eta, \mu) \rho(\eta) A(\eta)}{\lambda(\eta)(\eta - \mu)} d\eta. \quad (6.1') \]

**Proof.** Let us substitute decomposition (6.1) in a boundary condition (4.2). We receive the integral equation

\[ h_{as}(0, \mu) + \int_0^\alpha F(\eta, \mu) A(\eta) d\eta = 0, \quad 0 < \mu < \alpha. \]

In an explicit form this equation looks like

\[ h_{as}(0, \mu) + \int_0^\alpha \frac{\eta R(\eta, \mu) \rho(\eta)}{\lambda(\eta)(\eta - \mu)} A(\eta) d\eta + A(\mu) = 0, \quad 0 < \mu < \alpha. \quad (6.2) \]

Let us enter auxiliary function

\[ N(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\eta R(\eta, z) \rho(\eta)}{\lambda(\eta)(\eta - z)} A(\eta) d\eta, \quad (6.3) \]

for which according to formulas Sohotsky we have

\[ N^+(\mu) - N^-(\mu) = 2\pi i \mu \frac{R(\mu, \mu)}{\lambda(\mu)} \rho(\mu) A(\mu), \quad 0 < \mu < \alpha, \quad (6.4) \]
\[
\frac{N^+(\mu) + N^-(\mu)}{2} = \int_0^\alpha \frac{\eta R(\eta, \mu)\rho(\eta)}{\lambda(\eta)(\eta - \mu)} A(\eta) d\eta, \quad 0 < \mu < \alpha. \quad (6.5)
\]

Let us transform the equation (6.2) according to equalities (6.4) and (6.5)
\[
h_{as}(0, \mu) + \frac{N^+(\mu) + N^-(\mu)}{2} + \lambda(\mu) \frac{N^+(\mu) - N^-(\mu)}{2\pi i \rho(\mu) R(\mu, \mu)} = 0,
\]
whence
\[
2\pi i \rho(\mu) R(\mu, \mu) h_{as}(0, \mu) + \pi i \rho(\mu) R(\mu, \mu) [N^+(\mu) + N^-(\mu)] + \\
+ \lambda(\mu)[N^+(\mu) - N^-(\mu)] = 0, \quad 0 < \mu < \alpha. \quad (6.6)
\]

Considering formulas of Sokhotsky for dispersion function, let us transform the equation (6.6) to the non-uniform Riemann’ boundary value problem
\[
\lambda^+(\mu)[N^+(\mu) + h_{as}(0, \mu)] - \\
- \lambda^-(\mu)[N^-(\mu) + h_{as}(0, \mu)] = 0, \quad 0 < \mu < \alpha. \quad (6.7)
\]

Let us consider the corresponding homogeneous boundary value problem
\[
\frac{X^+(\mu)}{X^-(\mu)} = \frac{\lambda^+(\mu)}{\lambda^-(\mu)}, \quad 0 < \mu < \alpha. \quad (6.8)
\]

The solution of this problem which is limited and not disappearing in points \(z = 0\) and \(z = \alpha\) we will take without a derivation
\[
X(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} \exp V(z), \quad (6.9)
\]
where
\[
V(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\alpha \frac{\theta(\mu) - 2\pi}{\mu - z} d\mu, \quad (6.10)
\]
where \(\theta(\mu) = \arg \lambda^+(\mu)\) is the principal value of the argument, fixed in zero by condition \(\theta(0) = 0\).
Let us transform the problem (6.7) by means of homogeneous problem (6.8) to the problem of finding of analytical function on its jump on the cut,

\[ X^+(\mu)[N^+(\mu) + h_{as}(0, \mu)] = X^-(\mu)[N^-(\mu) + h_{as}(0, \mu)], \quad 0 < \mu < \alpha. \quad (6.11) \]

Let us find singularities of boundary condition (6.11). We will return to function \( N(z) \), which boundary values in the interval \((0, \alpha)\) enter into the boundary condition (6.7) and which is defined by equality (6.3). We will present this function in the explicit form

\[ N(z) = r_0(a)P(z) + r_1(a)\frac{z}{1 - az}Q(z) + r_2(a)\left[\left(\frac{z}{1 - az}\right)^2 - \beta(a)\right]R(z), \quad (6.12) \]

where

\[ P_n(z) = \int_0^\alpha \frac{\Lambda_n(\eta)}{\lambda(\eta)} \frac{A(\eta)}{\eta - z} \rho(\eta) d\eta, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \]

\[ Q(z) = \int_0^\alpha \frac{\Lambda_1(\eta)}{\lambda(\eta)} \frac{A(\eta)}{\eta - z} \rho(\eta) d\eta \equiv P_1(z), \]

\[ R(z) = \int_0^\alpha \frac{\Lambda_2(\eta) - \beta(a)\Lambda_0(\eta)}{\lambda(\eta)} \frac{A(\eta)}{\eta - z} \rho(\eta) d\eta \equiv P_2(z) - \beta(a)P_0(z), \]

Let us transform equality (6.12), allocating its polar singularity \( \frac{z}{1 - az} \):

\[ N(z) = r_0(a)P_0(z) - r_2(a)\beta(a)[P_2(z) - \beta(a)P_0(z)] + \]

\[ + r_1(a)\frac{z}{1 - az}P_1(z) + r_2(a)\left(\frac{z}{1 - az}\right)^2 [P_2(z) - \beta(a)P_0(z)]. \quad (6.13) \]

Let us write down equality (6.13) on degrees of its polar singularity

\[ N(z) = P(z) + r_1(a)\frac{z}{1 - az}Q(z) + r_2(a)\left(\frac{z}{1 - az}\right)^2 R(z), \quad (6.14) \]

where

\[ P(z) = [r_0(a) + \beta^2(a) r_2(a)]P_0(z) - r_2(a)\beta(a)P_2(z), \]
\[ Q(z) \equiv P_1(z), \quad R(z) = P_2(z) - \beta(a)P_0(z). \]

From expression (6.14) it is visible, that the boundary condition (6.11) has double pole in the point \( z = 1/a \). Therefore, multiplying this boundary condition on \((1 - a \mu)^2\), we receive

\[
X^+(\mu)[M^+(\mu) + (1 - a \mu)^2 h_{as}(0, \mu)] = X^-(\mu)[M^-(\mu) + (1 - a \mu)^2 h_{as}(0, \mu)], \quad 0 < \mu < \alpha, \quad (6.15)
\]

where

\[ M(z) = (1 - az)^2 N(z). \quad (6.16) \]

Considering behaviour of the functions entering into the boundary condition (6.15), we receive the general solution of the corresponding boundary problem

\[
M(z) = - (1 - az)^2 h_{as}(0, z) + \frac{C_0 + C_1 z}{X(z)}, \quad (6.17)
\]

where \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \) are arbitrary constants, though in this equality

\[
(1 - az)^2 h_{as}(0, z) = (1 - az)^2 (\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_T) - (1 - az)z(2U + \omega(a)g_T) - \left[ z^2 - \frac{3}{2}(1 - az)^2 \right] (\varepsilon_n - g_T z).
\]

Let us notice, that the solution (6.17) has in infinitely removed point \( z = \infty \) a pole of the third order, while function \( M(z) \), defined by equality (6.16), has in this point a pole the first order. That the solution (6.17) could be accepted in quality of function \( M(z) \), defined by equality (6.16), we will lower order of a pole at the solution (6.17) from three to unit, and then let us equate coefficients at \( z^m \) \((m = 1, 0)\) in expansion in point vicinities \( z = \infty \) the left and right parts of equality (6.17). The last is caused by that these coefficients in both equality parts contain unknown parametres.
Let us expand both the left and right parts of the solution (6.17) in Laurent series in a vicinity of infinitely remote point

\[ M_1 z + M_0 + o(1) = C_1 z^3 + (C_0 - V_1) z^2 + (C_1 U_2 - C_0 V_1) z + (C_1 U_3 - C_0 U_2) - \varepsilon_n + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_T + (2 a \varepsilon_n - a \varepsilon_T - 2 U - g_T \omega(a) - \frac{3}{2} g_T) z + \left[ - a^2 \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_T \left( \frac{a^2}{2} - 1 \right) + 2 a U + a \omega(a) + 3 \right] z^2 + \\
+ \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} a^2 \right) g_T z^3 + o(1), \quad z \to \infty. \quad (6.18) \]

At a derivation of equality (6.18) expansion has been used

\[ V(z) = \frac{V_1}{z} + \frac{V_2}{z^2} + \cdots, \quad z \to \infty. \]

Here

\[ V_n = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \tau^{n-1} [\theta(\tau) - 2\pi] d\tau, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots. \]

Besides, at the derivation (6.18) one more has been used expansion

\[ \exp \left[ - V(z) \right] = 1 + \frac{V_1^*}{z} + \frac{V_2^*}{z^2} + \cdots, \quad z \to \infty. \quad (6.19) \]

Coefficients \( V_n^* \) are expressed through coefficients \( V_n \) with the help of the equality found on the basis of (6.19)

\[ V'(z) = \frac{V_1^*}{z} + \frac{2 V_2^*}{z^2} + \frac{3 V_3^*}{z^3} \cdots \]

\[ 1 + \frac{V_1^*}{z} + \frac{V_2^*}{z^2} + \frac{V_3^*}{z^3} \cdots. \]

Really, substituting in the left part of this equality the series for \( V(z) \), we receive

\[ -\left( \frac{V_1}{z^2} + 2 \frac{V_2}{z^3} + 3 \frac{V_3}{z^4} \cdots \right) \left( 1 + \frac{V_1^*}{z} + \frac{V_2^*}{z^2} + \frac{V_3^*}{z^3} \cdots \right) = \]

\[ = \frac{V_1^*}{z^2} + 2 \frac{V_2^*}{z^3} + 3 \frac{V_3^*}{z^4} \cdots. \]
From here we find that

\[ V_1^* = -V_1, \quad V_2^* = -V_2 + \frac{1}{2}V_1^2, \]

\[ V_3^* = -V_3 + V_1V_2 - \frac{1}{6}V_1^3, \cdots . \]

Equating to zero in the right part of equality (6.18) coefficients at \( z^3 \) and \( z^2 \), we find

\[ C_1 = g_T\left(\frac{3}{2}a^2 - 1\right), \quad (6.20) \]

\[ C_0 = g_T\left[\left(\frac{3}{2}a^2 - 1\right)V_1 - a(\omega(a) + 3)\right] + \]

\[ + a^2\varepsilon_n + \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{2}\right)\varepsilon_T - 2aU. \quad (6.21) \]

Equating now coefficients in (6.18) at the left and on the right at \( z \) and \( z^0 \), we find

\[ M_1 = C_1V_2^* - C_0V_1 + 2a\varepsilon_n - 2U - (\omega(a) + \frac{3}{2})g_T, \quad (6.22) \]

\[ M_2 = C_1V_3^* + C_0V_2^* - \varepsilon_n + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_T. \quad (6.23) \]

Substituting in equalities (6.22) and (6.23) coefficients \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \), defined accordingly equalities (6.20) and (6.21), we will receive the equations, from which unequivocally searched \( \varepsilon_n \) and \( \varepsilon_T \). Thus, free parameters \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \) from solution \( M(z) \) are found unequivocally, and also coefficients of the discrete spectrum are found unequivocally \( \varepsilon_n \) and \( \varepsilon_T \) of expansion (6.1). Coefficient of continuous spectrum \( A(\eta) \) is searched on the basis of formulas Sokhotsky, applied to functions \( M(z) \), the defined equalities (6.16) and (6.17)

\[ M + (\mu) - M^-(\mu) = 2\pi i\mu(1 - a\mu)^2\frac{R(\mu, \mu)}{\lambda(\mu)}\rho(\mu)A(\mu) \]

and

\[ M + (\mu) - M^-(\mu) = (C_0 + C_1\mu)\left(\frac{1}{X^+(\mu)} - \frac{1}{X^-(\mu)}\right). \]
From these equalities we find
\[
\frac{\eta A(\eta)\rho(\eta)}{\lambda(\eta)} = \frac{C_0 + C_1\eta}{2\pi i(1 - a\eta)^2 R(\eta, \eta)} \left( \frac{1}{X^+(\eta)} - \frac{1}{X^-(\eta)} \right). \tag{7.24}
\]

So, all coefficients of expansion (6.1) are established. On construction, expansion (6.1) satisfies to boundary conditions (4.3) and (4.4). That fact, that expansion (6.1) satisfies to the equation (4.2), it is checked directly.

Uniqueness of decomposition (6.1) is proved by a method from the opposite. The theorem is proved.

7. Temperature jump and weak evaporation (condensation)

Let us return to the decision of the put physical problems. Coefficient of continuous spectrum (6.24) we will substitute at first in equality (6.3) for functions \(N(z)\), and then we will take advantage of equality (6.16), which let us present in the form of the sum

\[
M(z) = C_0 K(z) + C_1 L(z), \tag{7.1}
\]

where

\[
K(z) = \frac{(1 - az)^2}{2\pi i} \int_0^\alpha \frac{R(\eta, z)}{(1 - a\eta)^2 R(\eta, \eta)} \left[ \frac{1}{X^+(\eta)} - \frac{1}{X^-(\eta)} \right] \frac{d\eta}{\eta - z}, \tag{7.2}
\]

and

\[
L(z) = \frac{(1 - az)^2}{2\pi i} \int_0^\alpha \frac{\eta R(\eta, z)}{(1 - a\eta)^2 R(\eta, \eta)} \left[ \frac{1}{X^+(\eta)} - \frac{1}{X^-(\eta)} \right] \frac{d\eta}{\eta - z}. \tag{7.3}
\]

According to (7.1) we have

\[
M(z) = (C_0 K_1 + C_1 L_1) + (C_0 K_0 + C_1 L_1) + o(1), \quad z \to \infty, \tag{7.4}
\]
i.e.

\[
M_1 = C_0 K_1 + C_1 L_1, \quad M_0 = C_0 K_0 + C_1 L_0. \tag{7.5}
\]
The found coefficients $M_1$ and $M_2$ we will substitute in equalities (6.22) and (6.23), then we will replace in them $C_0$ and $C_1$ it agree (6.20) and (6.21). We receive system from two equations rather $\varepsilon_T$ and $\varepsilon_n$:

$$\begin{align*}
-\left[ a + \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{2}\right) (V_1 + K_1) \right] \varepsilon_T + \left[ 2a - a^2(V_1 + K_1) \right] \varepsilon_n &= \\
= 2U[1 - a(V_1 + K_1)] + gT \left[ \frac{3}{2} + \omega + \left( \frac{3}{2} - 1 \right) (L_1 - V_2^* + V_1^2 + V_1 K_1) - (3a - \omega(a)) (V_1 K_1) \right] \\
\text{and}
\left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{2}\right) (V_2^* - K_0) \right] \varepsilon_T + [-1 + a^2(V_2^* - K_0)] \varepsilon_n &= \\
= 2aU (V_2^* - K_0) + gT \left[ \left( \frac{3}{2} a^2 - 1 \right) (V_1 K_0 - V_1 V_2 - V_3 + L_0) + (3a + \omega(a)) (V_2 + K_0) \right].
\end{align*}$$

(7.6)

and

The basic determinant of this system is equal

$$\Delta = V_1 + K_1 - 2a(V_2^* - K_0).$$

Let us bring expressions for other determinants

$$\Delta_{T,U} = -1 + a(V_1 + K_1) - a^2(V_2^* - K_0),$$

$$\Delta_{n,U} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} a(V_1 + K_1) - \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{2}\right) (V_2^* - K_0),$$

$$\Delta_{T,gr} = [1 - a^2(V_2^* - K_0)] \left[ \left( \frac{3}{2} a^2 - 1 \right) (V_2^* - L_1) - \omega(a) - \frac{3}{2} \right] +$$

$$+ \left[ \left( \frac{3}{2} a^2 - 1 \right) V_1 - 3a - \omega(a) \right] [2a(V_2^* - K_0) - V_1 - K_1] +$$

$$+ \left( \frac{3}{2} a^2 - 1 \right) (V_3^* - L_0) [2a - a^2(V_1 + K_1)],$$

$$\Delta_{n,gr} = - \left[ a + \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{2}\right) (V_1 + K_1) \right] \left[ (3a + \omega(a))(V_2^* - K_0) - \left( \frac{3}{2} a^2 - 1 \right) \right] \times$$

$$\times (V_3^* - L_0 + V_1 V_2^* - V_1 K_0) - \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{2}\right) (V_2^* - K_0) \right] \times$$
\times \left[ \frac{3}{2} + \omega(a) + \left( \frac{3}{2} a^2 - 1 \right) (L_1 - V_2^* + V_1^2 + V_1 K_1) - (3a + \omega(a))(V_1 + K_1) \right].

We will write down the solution of system of the equations (7.6) and (7.7) in the form
\begin{equation}
\varepsilon_T = 2U \frac{\Delta_{T,U}}{\Delta} + g_T \frac{\Delta_{T,gt}}{\Delta}, \tag{7.8}
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
\varepsilon_n = 2U \frac{\Delta_{n,U}}{\Delta} + g_T \frac{\Delta_{n,gt}}{\Delta}. \tag{7.9}
\end{equation}

8. Conclusion

In the present work the analytical solution of boundary problems for the one-dimensional kinetic equation with frequency of collisions of molecules, affine depending on the module molecular velocity \[1\] is considered. The solution of the generalized Smoluchowsky’ problem about temperature jump and weak evaporation (condensation) is considered. The theorem of expansion of the solution of the Smoluchowsky’ problem on eigenfunctions of the corresponding characteristic equation is proved.
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