De novo sequencing and comparative analysis of holy and sweet basil transcriptomes
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Abstract

Background: Ocimum L. of family Lamiaceae is a well known genus for its ethnobotanical, medicinal and aromatic properties, which are attributed to innumerable phenylpropanoid and terpenoid compounds produced by the plant. To enrich genomic resources for understanding various pathways, de novo transcriptome sequencing of two important species, O. sanctum and O. basilicum, was carried out by Illumina paired-end sequencing.

Results: The sequence assembly resulted in 69117 and 130043 transcripts with an average length of 1646 ± 1210.1 bp and 1363 ± 1139.3 bp for O. sanctum and O. basilicum, respectively. Out of the total transcripts, 59648 (86.30%) and 105470 (81.10%) from O. sanctum and O. basilicum, respectively were annotated by uniprot blastx against Arabidopsis, rice and lamiaceae. KEGG analysis identified 501 and 952 transcripts from O. sanctum and O. basilicum, respectively, related to secondary metabolism with higher percentage of transcripts for biosynthesis of terpenoids in O. sanctum and phenylpropanoids in O. basilicum. Higher digital gene expression in O. basilicum was validated through qPCR and correlated to higher essential oil content and chromosome number (O. sanctum, 2n = 16; and O. basilicum, 2n = 48). Several CYP450 (26) and TF (40) families were identified having probable roles in primary and secondary metabolism. Also SSR and SNP markers were identified in the transcriptomes of both species with many SSRs linked to phenylpropanoid and terpenoid pathway genes.

Conclusion: This is the first report of a comparative transcriptome analysis of Ocimum species and can be utilized to characterize genes related to secondary metabolism, their regulation, and breeding special chemotypes with unique essential oil composition in Ocimum.
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Background

Ocimum L., belonging to family Lamiaceae is one of the best known genus for its medicinal properties and economically important aromatic oils. Some Ocimum species are also constituents of Ayurvedic and indigenous medicines. This genus is highly variable and possesses wide range of intra- and inter-specific genetic diversity comprising at least 65 [1] to more than 150 species [2] distributed all over the world. Among these, Ocimum sanctum L. (Ocimum tenuiflorum L.) and Ocimum basilicum L. are the two important species used extensively for their medicinal and industrial importance. O. sanctum, known as “the holy basil” is native to Asian tropics [3], whereas O. basilicum L. or “the sweet basil” is described to be of African origin as per the Germplasm Resources Information Network [4] of United States Department of Agriculture. While holy basil is revered for its spiritual sanctity and medicinal potential [5], the sweet basil is widely used as culinary herb and for fragrance [6]. Both of the two Ocimum species are rich reservoirs of innumerable phytochemicals, which comprises predominantly phenylpropanoids and terpenoids with various medicinal and aromatic properties. Most of these phytochemicals are sequestered in specialized anatomical structures, termed glandular
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trichomes, on the surface of the aerial parts of the plants [7]. O. sanctum is known to possess antibacterial, antianaphylactic, antihistaminic, wound healing, radioprotective, antidiabetic, larvicidal, anti-genotoxic, neuro-protective, cardio-protective and mast cell stabilization activity [8]. The leaves and stem of holy basil contain a variety of biologically active constituents like saponins, flavonoids, triterpenoids, and tannins [9]. Urosolic acid (UA) from O. sanctum L. is reported to have cardioprotective effect [10]. Some active phenolics like rosmarinic acid, apigenin, cirsimarin, isotheumusin and isotheimonin exhibit antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities [10]. The most important aroma components are described to be 1, 8 cineole, linalool, methyl chavicol (estragole) and to a lesser degree, eugenol [11]. Similarly, the essential oil of sweet basil (O. basilicum) is described to have antifungal, antimicrobial and insect-repelling activities [12]. O. basilicum, contains primarily phenolic derivatives, such as eugenol, methyl eugenol, chavicol, estragole, and methyl cinnamate, often combined with various amounts of linalool [13]. This is also reported to be clinically useful for prevention of stroke, and exhibiting anticarcinogenic, antituberculosis and hypoglycemic activities [14,15]. Thus, the uses of Ocimum sp. for therapeutic purposes in addition to their industrial importance for aromatic properties reinforce the importance of ethno-botanical approach as a potential source of bioactive substances.

Despite spiritual, pharmacological, and industrial importance, very little transcriptomic and genomic data of Ocimum sp. is available limiting the studies on biosynthetic pathways of important phytochemicals [7]. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) shows a record of 312 entries in nucleotide database and 23336 EST sequences of O. basilicum compared to only 61 entries in nucleotide database and 108 EST sequences of O. sanctum. In recent years, several studies have successfully reported the generation of transcriptome data and its analysis as an effective tool to study gene expression in specific tissues at specific time, and also provide a platform to address comparative genomics for gene discovery in non-model plants for which no reference genome sequences are available [16]. Due to the availability of quick, low cost sequencing [17] and high quality annotation using different assembly tools [18] it has become possible to analyze and understand the genome of non model plant like Ocimum. Hence, O. sanctum and O. basilicum were selected for next generation sequencing (NGS) and analysis with the main objective to establish the basic understanding about genes involved in various pathways and the factors involved in the regulation and channelling of the secondary metabolites like phenylpropanoids and terpenoids. So, leaf transcriptome data of O. sanctum (CIM Ayu- eugenol rich variety) and O. basilicum (CIM Saumya- methylchavicol rich variety) [19] was generated using paired-end (PE) Illumina NGS sequencing platform and genes involved in phenylpropanoids/terpenoids biosynthesis pathway were identified. This study also reports EST collection of leaf tissues from O. sanctum and O. basilicum with a number of differentially expressed cytochrome P450s, transcription factors and pathway genes with probable involvement in differential metabolite biosynthesis in O. sanctum and O. basilicum leaf tissues. Using these datasets, molecular markers of EST-SSRs were also analyzed to facilitate the marker-assisted breeding of these species. Overall, this data set will be a significant advancement in terms of genomic resources in the diverse Ocimum genus.

Results and Discussion

Transcriptome sequencing, de novo assembly and functional annotation of contigs

In recent years, Illumina sequencing platform has been widely used for transcriptome analysis of plants devoid of reference genomes [20-22]. In order to generate transcriptome sequences, complementary DNA (cDNA) libraries prepared from leaf tissues of Ocimum were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq1000 platform. Paired-end Sequencing-by-Synthesis (SBS) yielded raw data of 4.75 Gb and 5.23 Gb for O. sanctum and O. basilicum, respectively. After filtering and removing adapter sequences from the raw data, 45969831 (45.97 million) and 50836347 (50.84 million) reads comprising of 4542127604 and 5025102762 high quality nucleotide bases for O. sanctum and O. basilicum, respectively, were retained for further assembly. Filtered reads were assembled into contigs using Velvet assembler at a hash length of 45, which generated 75978 and 290284 contigs for O. sanctum and O. basilicum, respectively. Transcript generation was carried out using Oases-0.2.08 for the same hash length that resulted in 69117 and 130043 transcripts for O. sanctum and O. basilicum, respectively. In both cases average contig lengths were of 1646 ± 1210.1 bp and 1363 ± 1139.3 bp with N50 values of 2199 and 1929 in O. sanctum and O. basilicum respectively (Table 1). The average lengths of transcripts generated using Illumina platform in Curcuma longa, cabbage and goosegrass transcriptomes have also been reported with varied lengths of 1304.1 bp, 1419 bp and 1153.74 bp respectively [21-23]. The distribution of assembled transcript length ranged from 180 to >5000 bases. Maximum number of transcripts were of 501–1000 bp size with 12640 transcripts (18.29%) followed by 12613 transcripts (18.25%) of 1001–1500 bp size in O. sanctum. Similarly in O. basilicum, 180–500 bp size transcripts were of highest in number (31594 transcripts, 24.30%) followed by 27208 transcripts (20.92%) of 501–1000 bp size. In both cases, least number of transcripts 591 (0.86%) in O. sanctum and 641 (0.49%) in O. basilicum were of 4501–5000 bp size (Figure 1A). In root transcriptome of Ipomoea batatas, 65.76% unigenes were in the range of 101–500 bp length followed by 20.7%
of transcripts of 501–100 bp length [20], similarly in the case of Medicago sativa, Boehmeria nivea, Apium graveolens and C. longa, Centella asiatica the highest number of transcripts/unigenes were reported with length between 75–500 bp [21-23]. Further, transcripts from both Ocimum samples were clustered using CD-HIT-v4.5.4 at 95% identity and query coverage resulting in a total of 130996 transcripts. Blastx search was conducted for assembled sequences of O. sanctum and O. basilicum against uniprot databases and GO terms were assigned for each unigene based on the GO terms annotated to its corresponding homologue in the uniprot database with the proteins of Arabidopsis, rice and lamiaceae family (Table 2; Additional file 1, Additional file 2, Additional file 3). In the case of O. sanctum, 59380 transcripts (86%) were annotated with Arabidopsis, 56753 (82%) with rice and 11704 (17%) with lamiaceae family whereas 104856 (81%), 102721 (79%) and 18427 (14%) O. basilicum transcripts were annotated with Arabidopsis, rice and lamiaceae family, respectively. About 442, 694 and 225 transcripts of O. sanctum; and 107, 2601 and 507 transcripts in O. basilicum were uniquely annotated to lamiaceae, Arabidopsis and rice databases, respectively (Figure 1B and C). Number of total transcripts annotated from all databases were 59648 (86.30%) and 105470 (81.10%) for O. sanctum and O. basilicum, respectively.

Functional classification of Ocimum transcriptome by GO
Gene Ontology (GO) is an international standardized gene functional classification system offering an updated and a strictly defined concept to comprehensively describe the properties of genes and gene products in any organism [24]. In order to assign putative functions, transcripts from O. sanctum and O. basilicum were compared against the NR protein sequences of Arabidopsis, rice and lamiaceae family available at uniprot database using blastx algorithm. The associated hits were searched for their respective GO. Based on sequence homology, 59380 sequences from O. sanctum and 104856 sequences from O. basilicum were categorized into 51 functional groups under three main categories: biological process (BP), cellular component (CC) and molecular function (MF) (Figure 2). Highest percentages of genes were annotated to biological process (BP) category followed by cellular component (CC) and molecular function (MF) for both O. sanctum and O. basilicum.
classified under 'unknown groups' in all the three GO categories, followed by 'binding activity' (42.18% in \textit{O. sanctum} and 43.12% in \textit{O. basilicum}), 'membranes' (24.03% in \textit{O. sanctum} and 24.55% in \textit{O. basilicum}), 'other biological processes' (21.62% in \textit{O. sanctum} and 20.45% in \textit{O. basilicum}), 'nucleus' (13.98% in \textit{O. sanctum} and 13.23% in \textit{O. basilicum}) and 'hydrolase activity' (11.99% in \textit{O. sanctum} and 12.94% in \textit{O. basilicum}) were observed. Reports on \textit{Salvia miltiorrhiza} transcriptome, a member of the same family, also represents the 'binding activity' of the transcripts in MF category to be with maximum percentage with an anomaly in CC and BP categories [25]. Higher number of genes represented in 'binding and hydrolase activity' indicates dominance of gene regulation, signal transduction and enzymatically active processes. Extremely low percentage of genes were classified in terms of 'antioxidant' (0.02% both in \textit{O. sanctum} and \textit{O. basilicum}), 'transcriptional regulation activity' (0.1% in \textit{O. sanctum} and 0.09% in \textit{O. basilicum}) and 'localization' (0.09% in \textit{O. sanctum} and 0.07% in \textit{O. basilicum}) categories (Figure 2). Both the libraries showed similar type of distribution pattern of unigenes under different GO terms. This study suggests the existence of huge potential for new gene identification, as a large number of unigenes from \textit{O. sanctum} and \textit{O. basilicum} were classified to 'unknown' subgroups of the three main categories.

**KEGG analysis of \textit{Ocimum} transcriptomes**

To identify the biological pathways functional in the leaf tissues of \textit{O. sanctum} and \textit{O. basilicum}, 69117 and 130043 assembled transcripts from both species were mapped to the reference canonical pathways in KEGG. All transcripts were classified mainly under five categories: metabolism, cellular processes, genetic information

| Table 2 Annotation summary of \textit{O. basilicum} and \textit{O. sanctum} transcripts using Uniprot database |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
|\hline UniProt_Lamiaceae & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{\textit{O. basilicum}} & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{\textit{O. sanctum}} & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{\textit{O. basilicum}} \hline \textit{O. sanctum} & \textit{O. basilicum} & \textit{O. sanctum} & \textit{O. basilicum} & \textit{O. sanctum} & \textit{O. basilicum} \\
|\hline Total & 11704 & 18427 & 59380 & 104856 & 56753 & 102721 \\
|GO:MF & 9449 & 15109 & 38618 & 67205 & 35303 & 62227 \\
|GO:CC & 2402 & 3126 & 33480 & 58087 & 25602 & 44215 \\
|GO:BP & 4460 & 5966 & 31720 & 54533 & 26758 & 46351 \\
|\hline
|-----------------------------------------------|

**Figure 2** Histogram of gene ontology classification. The results are summarized in three main categories: biological process, cellular component and molecular function. Bars represent assignments of \textit{O. basilicum} and \textit{O. sanctum} transcripts (percent) with BLAST matches in the uniprot database (\textit{Arabidopsis}) to each GO term.
processing, environmental information processing and others. Highest number of transcripts from both *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum* were related to metabolism followed by others. In total, all transcripts from *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum* were assigned to 332 KEGG pathways (Additional file 4). Interestingly, 501 and 952 transcripts, respectively, from *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum* were found to be involved in biosynthesis of various secondary metabolites. The cluster for 'Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis [PATH: ko00940]' and 'Terpenoid backbone biosynthesis [PATH: ko00900]' represented the largest group. As observed from Figure 3, the category of 'terpenoid backbone biosynthesis' showed highest percentage of transcripts compared to 'phenylpropanoid biosynthesis' in *O. sanctum* (20.56%) where as *O. basilicum* had highest percentage (17.02%) of transcripts related to 'phenylpropanoid biosynthesis'. The list of chemicals and activities specifically in the leaf tissues of *O. sanctum/sanctum* and *O. basilicum* as displayed in the Dr. Duke's Phytochemical and Ethnobotanical database (http://sun.ars-grin.gov:8080/npgspub/xsql/duke/findsp.xsql?letter=Ocimum&p_request=Go&amt=sc) also supported the higher percentage of terpenoids in *O. sanctum* and phenylpropanoids in *O. basilicum*. From the total compounds in Duke's database *O. sanctum* showed a higher percentage of diverse terpenoids (53.1%, 34 types) where as *O. basilicum* was found to be rich in phenylpropanoids (65.9%, 27 types; Additional file 5).

**Genes related to biosynthesis of different terpenoids and phenylpropanoids**

*O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum* analyzed in this investigation accumulate different types of phenylpropanoids/terpenoids in the essential oil. *O. sanctum* contains mainly eugenol (83.56%), β-elemene (7.47%) and β-caryophyllene (6.93%) [26] whereas *O. basilicum* accumulates methylchavicol (62.54%) and linalool (24.61%) [19]. Precursor molecules for phenylpropanoid biosynthesis are derived from the shikimate pathway (Figure 4) while terpenoid biosynthesis utilizes isoprenoid precursors from cytosolic MVA.

---

**Figure 3** KEGG classification based on secondary metabolism categories. Bracketed numbers represent various secondary metabolic pathways abbreviated as: (1) Terpenoid backbone biosynthesis; (2) Streptomycin biosynthesis; (3) Stilbene, diarylethylene and gingerol biosynthesis; (4) Sesquiterpenoid and triterpenoid biosynthesis; (5) Polyketide sugar unit biosynthesis; (6) Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis; (7) Novobiocin biosynthesis; (8) Monoterpenoid biosynthesis; (9) Limonene and pinene degradation; (10) Isoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis; (11) Indole alkaloid biosynthesis; (12) Glucosinolate biosynthesis; (13) Geraniol degradation; (14) Flavonoid biosynthesis; (15) Flavone and flavonol biosynthesis; (16) Diterpenoid biosynthesis; (17) Carotenoid biosynthesis; (18) Caffeine metabolism; (19) Butirosin and neomycin biosynthesis; (20) Brassinosteroid biosynthesis; (21) Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides; (22) Biosynthesis of ansamycins; (23) Betalain biosynthesis; (24) Anthocyanin biosynthesis; (25) Zeatin biosynthesis; (26) Tropine, pipерidine and pyridine alkaloid biosynthesis; (27) Tetracycline biosynthesis.
mevalonate) as well as plastidial MEP pathways (2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate/1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate/non-mevalonate pathways) (Figure 5) [7]. Uniprot annotations against lamiaceae family were used to identify genes encoding enzymes involved in different steps of phenylpropanoid and terpenoid backbone biosynthesis. Both O. sanctum and O. basilicum annotations comprised of almost all the genes involved in the biosynthesis of essential oil specific phenylpropanoids and terpenoids indicating the completeness of transcriptome data (Tables 3, 4 and 5). Higher number of transcripts for 4CL (4-coumarate: CoA ligase), ADH (alcohol dehydrogenase), TAT (tyrosine aminotransferase) from phenylpropanoid biosynthetic pathway and DXS (1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase), GPPS (geranyl diphosphate synthase), and TPS (terpene synthase) were detected for terpenoid biosynthetic pathway. The multiplicity of terpenoids produced by a single plant is achieved both by the expression of multiple TPS genes and by the ability of some TPSs to catalyze the production of multiple products [27]. Evidently, annotation of transcriptome data from both Ocimum species against Arabidopsis and lamiaceae family in uniprot revealed several candidates of probable terpene synthases involved in biosynthesis of terpenoids like- menthofuran, geraniol, limonene, linalool, kaurene, cadinene, selinene, germacrene-D and zingiberene (Figure 6).

Recently, presence of pentacyclic triterpenoids like ursolic, oleanolic and betulinic acids has been reported in Ocimum spp. [28]. This non-aromatic class of compounds have pharmacological importance such as anti-HIV, antibacterial, antiviral, anticancer and anti-inflammatory activities [29]. Like other sesquiterpenoids these triterpenoids also share their origin to farnesyl diphosphate (FDP). FDP is converted to squalene and then to oxidosqualene respectively by squalene synthase (SQS) and squalene epoxidase (SQE) enzymes. Subsequently, oxidosqualene in presence of multifunctional oxidosqualene cyclases (OSCs) viz-α-aminopyrine synthase (aAS), β-aminopyrine synthase (bAS) or lupeol synthase (LUP) which are then converted to α-aminopyrine, β-aminopyrine or lupeol, respectively. OSCs catalyzing the formation of α-aminopyrine, also produce β-aminopyrine, finally synthesizing diverse triterpenoids with the help of CypP450s members. Hence, the bAS expression cannot be directly
correlated with the triterpene accumulation. Similar reports of triterpenoids biosynthesis from these OSCs are available from *Catharanthus roseus* and *O. basilicum* [30,31]. In this investigation a total of 12 transcripts from *O. basilicum* and 8 transcripts from *O. sanctum* were homologous to β-amyrin synthase as per the *Arabidopsis* annotation. HPLC analysis from the dried leaves of both the *Ocimum* species detected oleanolic and ursolic acids however betulinic acid remained undetected. *O. sanctum* was observed to be having higher content of oleanolic and ursolic acids as compared to *O. basilicum* (Figure 7A).

*Ocimum* spp. is also known to accumulate rosmarinic acid (an ester of caffeic acid and 3,4-dihydroxyphenyllactic acid), which has various pharmacological properties including antioxidant, antibacterial, antiviral and anti-inflammatory activities [32]. Both transcriptomes contained several (32 in *O. sanctum*; 37 in *O. basilicum*) transcripts annotated as rosmarinic acid synthase with average RPKM values of 13.6 and 6.3, respectively. To validate differential digital gene expression, 8 genes were randomly selected for quantitative real time PCR (qPCR). These genes (*PAL, CCR, CS3′H, EGS, CVOMT, HPPR, BAS* and *PMK*) showed up-regulation in *O. basilicum* compared to *O. sanctum* (Figure 7B). All the genes described in this investigation shows up-regulation for *O. basilicum* in digital gene expression results (Figure 7C). This indicates higher accumulation of metabolites in *O. basilicum* compared to *O. sanctum* which is in coherence with the cytological study (Additional file 6). As also discussed earlier, *O. basilicum* is rich in phenylpropanoids with higher content and array of related compounds, which is also in coherence with the observation on upregulation of the phenylpropanoid pathway genes like *PAL, CCR, CS3′H, EGS, CVOMT* and *HPPR* in *O. basilicum*.

### Discovery of candidate CYP450s and transcription factors with probable involvement in phenylpropanoid/terpenoid biosynthesis

Cytochrome P450s (CYP450s) are reported to be nature’s most versatile biological catalysts forming the biggest gene families in plants accounting for more than 1% of the total gene annotations in individual plant species [33]. These are generally involved in the biosynthesis of terpenoids, sterols, lignins, hormones, fatty acids, pigments, and phytoalexins in plants [34]. These genes are also the subject of analysis in many of the *de novo*
transcriptome sequencing projects in an effort to unravel novel functions of CYPs [24,25,35]. Through uniprot annotation against *Arabidopsis*, a total of 386 and 801 transcripts were identified from *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum*, respectively resembling CYPs. However, against lamiaceae family annotation, only 231 transcripts from *O. sanctum* and 542 from *O. basilicum* were identified as members of CYP450 gene family. Out of total *Arabidopsis* database annotated transcripts, 203 transcripts were exclusively annotated to *O. sanctum* and 416 transcripts to *O. basilicum*, whereas 48 and 157 transcripts were found unique to *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum*, respectively in case of the lamiaceae annotations. Apart from the total and exclusive transcripts, 183 transcripts from *O. sanctum* and 385 transcripts in *O. basilicum* were annotated against both *Arabidopsis* and lamiaceae family in uniprot. All the CYP450s involved in the secondary metabolism were classified under 26 gene families viz. CYP51, CYP57, CYP71, CYP72, CYP73, CYP75, CYP76, CYP81, CYP82, CYP84, CYP85, CYP90, CYP91, CYP93, CYP94, CYP95, CYP96, CYP98, CYP706, CYP707, CYP710, CYP711, CYP712, CYP716, CYP721 and CYP734.

Table 3 Transcript abundance in shikimate pathway derived phenylpropanoid biosynthetic pathway genes as per the lamiaceae annotation

| Phenylpropanoid pathway genes                        | E.C. No.       | Ocimum sanctum | Ocimum basilicum |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                                                       | No. of transcripts | Avg RPKM | No. of transcripts | Avg RPKM |
| Chavicol O-methyltransferase (CVOMT)                   | 2.1.1.146       | 4  4.55 | 2  88.55 |
| Eugenol synthase 1 (EGS)                              | 1.1.1.318       | 6  15.38 | 8  42.27 |
| \( p \)-Coumaroyl shikimate 3’-hydroxylase (CS3'H)   | 1.14.13.36      | 28  15.72 | 69  8.96 |
| \( p \)-Coumarate 3-hydroxylase (C3H)                 | 1.14.13.36      | 15  8.64 | 29  4.06 |
| Cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (C4H)                         | 1.14.13.11      | 7   34.53 | 21  11.83 |
| 4-Coumaratecoenzyme A ligase (4CL)                    | 6.2.1.12        | 140 9.52 | 251 5.65 |
| Alcohol acyltransferase (AAT)                         | 2.3.1.84        | 18  10.05 | 35  6.12 |
| Cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD)                 | 1.1.1.195       | 57  15.78 | 38  24.60 |
| Cinnamoyl-CoA reductase (CCR)                        | 1.2.1.44        | 78  13.48 | 112 6.90 |
| Rosmarinic acid synthase (RAS)                       | 2.3.1.140       | 40  13.59 | 59  6.28 |
| Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL)                    | 4.3.1.24        | 9   91.47 | 45  11.33 |
| Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH)                          | 1.1.1.1         | 101 11.48 | 226 10.82 |
| Anthocyanidin 3-O-glucoside 5-O-glucosyltransferase (PF3R4) | 2.4.1.111 | 54  11.37 | 127 3.45 |
| Anthocyanidin synthase (ANS)                         | 1.14.11.19      | 71  11.47 | 164 7.45 |
| Cinnamate\(p\)-coumarate carboxyl methyltransferase (CCMT) | 2.1.1.104 | 16  16.38 | 36  7.26 |
| Caffeoyl CoA O-methyltransferase (CCOMT)             | 2.1.1.104       | 16  16.38 | 36  7.26 |
| Chalcone isomerase (CHI)                             | 5.5.1.6         | 14  15.92 | 12  7.51 |
| Chalcone synthase (CHS)                              | 2.3.1.74        | 29  25.19 | 72  15.01 |
| Caffeic acid 3-O-methyltransferase (COMT)            | 2.1.1.68        | 8   8.97 | 13  5.03 |
| 3-deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase (DAHPS) | 2.5.1.54 | 14  48.28 | 25  18.98 |
| Dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR)                    | 1.1.1.219       | 41  12.33 | 73  7.42 |
| Flavanone 3-hydroxylase (F3H)                        | 1.14.11.9       | 71  14.76 | 95  8.55 |
| Flavonoid 3’-hydroxylase (F3’H)                      | 1.14.13.21      | 47  9.19 | 72  4.12 |
| Glutathione 5-transferase (GST)                      | 2.5.1.18        | 43  24.55 | 63  13.80 |
| Hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA shikimate/quininate hydroxycinnamoyltransferase (HSHT) | 2.3.1.133 | 5  7.05 | 13  4.53 |
| Hydroxycinnamoyl transferase (HCT)                  | 2.3.1.99        | 17  12.94 | 62  3.92 |
| 4-Hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPDI)          | 1.13.11.76      | 6   13.73 | 11  10.70 |
| Hydroxyphenylpyruvate reductase (HPPR)               | 1.1.1.237       | 33  7.80 | 58  7.24 |
| Polyphenol oxidase (PPO)                             | 1.10.3.1        | 6   50.85 | 19  44.55 |
| Tyrosine aminotransferase (TAT)                      | 2.6.1.5         | 63  13.96 | 101 11.58 |
| UDP-glucose: flavonoid 7-O-glucosyltransferase (UGFT) | 2.4.1.91       | 17  5.33 | 79  12.14 |
### Table 4 Transcript abundance of MEP pathway derived terpene biosynthetic pathway genes as per the lamiaceae annotation

| MEP pathway genes                                      | E.C. No. | Ocimum sanctum |          | Ocimum basilicum |          |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|----------|------------------|----------|
|                                                        |          | No. of transcripts | Avg RPKM | No. of transcripts | Avg RPKM |
| 1-Deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase (DXS)          | 2.2.1.7  | 24              | 15.74    | 45               | 15.22    |
| 1-Deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase (DXR)  | 1.1.2.67 | 11              | 15.69    | 4                | 50.58    |
| 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate cytidylyltransferase (MCT) | 2.7.7.60 | 3               | 28.13    | 7                | 7.28     |
| 4-Diphosphocytidyl-2-C-methyl-D-erythritol kinase (CMK) | 2.7.1.148| 5               | 7.73     | 9                | 2.66     |
| 4-Hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl diphosphate synthase (HDS) | 1.1.7.7  | 2               | 112.54   | 4                | 40.57    |
| Isopentenyl pyrophosphate isomerase (IDI)             | 5.3.3.2  | 4               | 24.58    | 18               | 7.18     |
| Geranyl diphosphate synthase (GPPS)                   | 2.5.1.1  | 15              | 7.19     | 21               | 6.05     |
| Geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (GGPPS)           | 2.5.1.29 | 8               | 6.17     | 7                | 5.67     |
| Beta-myrcene synthase (MYS)                           | 4.2.3.15 | 7               | 6.66     | 4                | 7.09     |
| Limonene synthase (LS)                                | 4.2.3.16 | 12              | 3.00     | 5                | 13.28    |
| Cineole synthase (CinS2)                              | 4.2.3.108| 4               | 8.80     | 1                | 12.20    |
| R-linalool synthase (LIS)                             | 4.2.3.26 | 9               | 15.11    | 14               | 4.37     |
| (−)-Endo-fenchol synthase (FES)                       | 4.2.3.10 | 1               | 0.00     | 7                | 2.91     |
| Geraniol synthase (GES)                               | 3.1.7.11 | 18              | 5.28     | 10               | 32.11    |
| Lavandulyl diphosphate synthase (LPPS)                | 2.5.1.69 | 14              | 13.14    | 10               | 58.58    |
| Exo-alpha-bergamotene synthase (BGS)                  | 4.2.3.81 | 3               | 10.29    | 1                | 2.23     |
| Alpha-zingiberene synthase (ZIS)                      | 4.2.3.65 | 2               | 3.82     | 9                | 12.43    |
| Gamma-cadinene synthase (CD5)                         | 4.2.3.92 | 8               | 34.92    | 17               | 3.74     |
| Germacrene-D synthase (GDS)                           | 4.2.3.22 | 0               | 0.00     | 13               | 1.34     |
| Bicyclogermacrene synthase (Ov-TPS4)                  | 4.2.3.100| 4               | 1.87     | 1                | 0.91     |
| Selinene synthase (SES)                               | 4.2.3.86 | 6               | 1.68     | 15               | 7.53     |
| Kaurene synthase (KS)                                 | 4.2.3.19 | 5               | 1.49     | 20               | 1.63     |
| Copalyl diphosphate synthase (CPS)                    | 5.5.1.12 | 1               | 0.75     | 6                | 1.66     |
| Monoterpene synthase (MTPS)                           | 4.2.3.   | 0               | 0.00     | 1                | 0.84     |
| Sesquiterpene synthase (SesqTPS)                      | 4.2.3.   | 4               | 1.43     | 4                | 12.81    |
| Terpene synthase (TPS)                                | 4.2.3.   | 2               | 13.13    | 13               | 6.83     |
| (+)-Bornyl diphosphate synthase (BPPS)                | 5.5.1.8  | 1               | 0.00     | 0                | 10.74    |

### Table 5 Transcript abundance of MVA pathway derived terpene biosynthetic pathway genes as per the lamiaceae annotation

| MVA pathway genes                                      | E.C. No. | Ocimum sanctum | Ocimum basilicum |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|
|                                                        |          | No. of transcripts | Avg RPKM | No. of transcripts | Avg RPKM |
| Acetoacetyl-CoA thiolase (AACT)                         | 2.3.1.9  | 13              | 14.10    | 11               | 26.48    |
| 3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A synthase (HMGS)   | 2.3.3.10 | 7               | 11.90    | 14               | 2.44     |
| 3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase (HMGR)  | 1.1.1.34 | 14              | 7.04     | 36               | 12.17    |
| Mevalonate kinase (MKV)                                | 2.7.1.36 | 3               | 4.27     | 2                | 6.40     |
| 5-Phosphomevalonate kinase (PMK)                        | 2.7.4.2  | 1               | 11.56    | 14               | 3.46     |
| Mevalonate diphosphate decarboxylase (MDC)             | 4.1.1.33 | 9               | 20.03    | 12               | 1.67     |
| Farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FPPS)                   | 2.5.1.10 | 2               | 10.42    | 7                | 11.62    |
| Squalene synthase (SQS)                                | 2.5.1.21 | 13              | 18.30    | 7                | 15.75    |
(Table 6 and 7) with diverse functions in phenylpropanoids and terpenoid metabolism. Among all the CYP families classified, the maximum number of transcripts in both the Ocimum sp. belonged to CYP71 family with most abundant CYP71A5 transcripts. Recently, the role(s) of genes of CYP82 and CYP93 families were worked out and described to be involved in flavonoid biosynthesis [36]. Additionally, transcripts of CYP716A class were also identified to be the members of multifunctional oxidases involved in triterpenoids (ursolic, oleanolic and betulinic acids) biosynthesis [37].

Transcription factors (TFs) are sequence specific DNA-binding proteins interacting with the promoter regions of target genes to modulate their expression. In plants, these...
proteins play a very important role in regulation of plant development, reproduction, intercellular signalling, response to environment, cell cycle and are also important in the modulation of secondary metabolites biosynthesis [38]. In recent years, many studies have been reported on the involvement of various TF families like bHLH, bZIP, Zinc fingers, MYB, ARF, HSF, WRKY, HB and NAC in regulation of secondary metabolites and plant stress responses [25,39]. As phenylpropanoids and terpenoids are the main determinants of aroma and flavour in Ocimum, it becomes important to investigate the transcriptional regulation of the genes involved their biosynthesis, which can further be used to modulate the pathway and develop phenylpropanoid or terpenoid enriched chemotypes. A few transcription factors from other plants, eg. EMISSION OF BENZENOIDS I (EOBI), EMISSION OF BENZENOIDS II (EOBI), and ODORANT 1 (ODO 1), MYB4, members of R2R3-MYB family regulate benzenoid/phenylpropanoid volatile biosynthesis in Petunia hybrida [40,41]. ORCA2 and AP2 family member, MYC2, a bHLH family member and WRKY1 regulate indole alkaloid and terpenoid biosynthesis pathway in Catharanthus roseus [42,43]. Similarly, a wound inducible WRKY transcription factor from Papaver somniferum was suggested to be involved in benzylisoquinoline biosynthetic pathway [44]. Also, in Lamiaceae family plants like Salvia miltiorrhiza and Perilla frutescens, TFs belonging to bHLH family are reported to be involved in phenypropanoid biosynthesis pathway [45,46]. In the present investigation TFs were classified according to uniprot annotation for Arabidopsis family. A total of 3489 (5.9%) and 6074 (5.8%) transcripts in O. sanctum and O. basilicum, respectively were grouped into 40 TF families (Figure 8). Those which were annotated to have sequence specific transcription factor activity but cannot be grouped among any family were included in ‘other’ TFs category, following Arabidopsis transcription factor database (http://Arabidopsis.med.ohio-state.edu/AtTFDB/) and Plant transcription factor database (http://planttfdb.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) [47] classification. A systematic analysis of these transcription factors would help in understanding differential regulation of terpenoid and phenypropanoid pathways.

Cyto genetic characterization of O. sanctum and O. basilicum

There have been discrepancies regarding the chromosome number of Ocimum in literature. Darlington and Wylie [48] and Mehra and Gill [49] considered x = 8 as basic chromosome number for the genus Ocimum as a whole, while some other authors suggested that Ocimum species are characterized by the different basic chromosome numbers x = 8, 10, 12, or 16 [50]. In order to establish the actual chromosome numbers for the two varieties used in this study, fast growing roots emerging from stem cuttings were examined for somatic chromosome number. Observations recorded from root-tip mitosis reveal somatic chromosome count of 2n = 16 for O. sanctum and 2n = 48 for O. basilicum and chromosome size below 1 μm (Additional file 6). As the

### Table 6 Numbers of transcripts encoding cytochrome P450s involved in phenylpropanoid metabolism

| Functions                         | CYP transcripts of O. sanctum | CYP transcripts of O. basilicum | Functions |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
|                                   | Arabidopsis annotation        | Lamiaceae annotation         | Arabidopsis annotation | Lamiaceae annotation |          |
| CYP72A14                          | 2                             | -                             | 8          | -                     | Phenylpropanoid Metabolism |
| CYP73A1                           | 5                             | 7                             | 23         | 33                    | Cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (C4H) |
| CYP75B1                           | 8                             | -                             | 8          | -                     | Flavonoid biosynthesis     |
| CYP81D1                           | 2                             | -                             | 16         | -                     | Phenylpropanoid Metabolism |
| CYP81F3                           | 1                             | -                             | 9          | -                     | Phenylpropanoid Metabolism |
| CYP84A1                           | 2                             | -                             | 1          | -                     | Coniferaldehyde 5-hydroxylase |
| CYP93D1                           | -                             | -                             | 1          | -                     | Phenylpropanoid Metabolism |
| CYP98A3                           | 12                            | -                             | 25         | -                     | 4-Coumaryl shikimic/quinic ester 3'-hydroxylase. |
| CYP98A14                          | -                             | 16                            | -          | 46                    | p-Coumaryl shikimate hydroxylase |
| CYP707A2                          | 5                             | -                             | 4          | -                     | Phenylpropanoid Metabolism (abscisic acid 8'-hydroxylase) |
| CYP707A3                          | 12                            | -                             | 13         | -                     | Secondary metabolism (abscisic acid 8'-hydroxylase) |
| CYP710A1                          | 3                             | -                             | 7          | -                     | Phenylpropanoid Metabolism |
| CYP711A1                          | 1                             | -                             | 1          | -                     | Core phenylpropanoid metabolism |
| CYP712A1                          | -                             | -                             | 2          | -                     | Stilbene, coumarine and lignin biosynthesis |
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essential oil of the genus *Ocimum* is the reservoir of secondary metabolites, there may be a probable correlation between the chromosome numbers of species and its essential oil yield, which may in turn be affected by expression of related genes. Indeed, DGE and real-time expression analyses showed higher expression of pathway genes in *O. basilicum* compared to *O. sanctum* (Figures 4, 5, 7). Moreover, the ploidy level has been shown to enhance the accumulation of secondary metabolites in *Cymbopogon* [51]. As reported earlier, *O. basilicum* (var: CIM-Saumya) shows more vigorous growth and higher oil content (0.99%) compared to *O. sanctum* (var: CIM-Ayu) with 0.70% oil content [19,26].

Analysis of GC content and identification of SSR Markers

Next generation sequencing also offers an opportunity for the analysis of GC content among transcripts and expands the scope for molecular markers such as SSRs. GC content is an important indicator of the genomic composition including evolution, gene structure (intron size and number), gene regulation and stability of DNA [52]. Average GC contents of *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum* transcripts were analyzed to be 47.12% and 46.39%, respectively (Additional file 7), which is in the range of GC levels of coding sequences in dicots (44-47%) [53]. Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) markers have proven to be valuable tools for various applications in genetics and breeding for the better understanding of genetic variation. As described, more than 150 species [1,2] of *Ocimum* are reported around the world and hence, polymorphic SSR markers are important for investigations related to genetic diversity, relatedness, evolution, linkage mapping, comparative genomics and gene-based association studies. Transcriptome SSR markers also exhibit high inter-specific transferability [54]. Genus *Ocimum* is highly prone to cross pollination and hence the seed raised population will have variability in metabolite content [10]. The identification of SSRs in *Ocimum sp.* will help in distinguishing closely related individuals and will also provide useful criteria for enriching and analyzing variation in the gene pool of both the plants. Even though SNPs serve as excellent markers especially for high-throughput mapping and studying complex genetic traits, SSRs provide a number of advantages over other marker systems. SSRs with their moderate density still serve as the best co-dominant marker system for construction of

### Table 7 Numbers of transcripts encoding cytochrome P450s involved in terpenoid metabolism

| CYP transcripts of *O. sanctum* | Functions |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| CYP51G1 4 Arabidopsis annotation | Obtusifoliol 14α-demethylase |
| CYP71A-like 1 Lamiaceae annotation | (+)-Menthofuran synthase |
| CYP71B12 1 Arabidopsis annotation | Biosynthesis of prenyl diphosphates |
| CYP71B31 1 Lamiaceae annotation | Mono-/sesqui-/di-terpene biosynthesis |
| CYP71D13/ D15 - 10 Arabidopsis annotation | (−)-Limonene-3-hydroxylase |
| CYP71D18 - 45 Lamiaceae annotation | (−)-Limonene-6-hydroxylase |
| CYP71 with unknown function 43 99 | Unknown function |
| CYP72A15 26 Arabidopsis annotation | Carotenoid biosynthesis |
| CYP76C3 3 Arabidopsis annotation | Monoterpane biosynthesis |
| CYP76C4 - Lamiaceae annotation | Monomer-/sesqui-/di-terpene biosynthesis |
| CYP82G1 1 Arabidopsis annotation | Mono-/sesqui-/di-terpene biosynthesis |
| CYP85A2 4 Lamiaceae annotation | Brassinosteroid biosynthesis |
| CYP90B1 - Arabidopsis annotation | Triterpene, sterol, and brassinosteroid metabolism |
| CYP90C1 2 Arabidopsis annotation | Steroid biosynthesis |
| CYP94D2 5 Lamiaceae annotation | Carotenoid biosynthesis |
| CYP96A9 1 Arabidopsis annotation | Monomer-/sesqui-/di-terpene biosynthesis |
| CYP706A7 - Lamiaceae annotation | Biosynthesis of steroids |
| CYP707A4 10 Arabidopsis annotation | Sterol biosynthesis |
| CYP716A2 - Lamiaceae annotation | Monoterpane biosynthesis |
| CYP734A1 3 Arabidopsis annotation | Triterpene, sterol, and brassinosteroid metabolism |

| CYP transcripts of *O. basilicum* | Functions |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| CYP51G1 13 Arabidopsis annotation | |
| CYP71A-like 9 Lamiaceae annotation | |
| CYP71B12 1 Arabidopsis annotation | |
| CYP71B31 - Lamiaceae annotation | |
| CYP71D13/ D15 - 16 Arabidopsis annotation | |
| CYP71D18 - 43 Lamiaceae annotation | |
of *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum*, 27.77% transcripts (19191) from *O. sanctum* and 17.79% (23141) transcripts from *O. basilicum* were observed to be having SSRs (Table 8 and Additional file 8). The total number of SSR containing sequences in *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum* were 26232 (37.95%) and 28947 (22.26%), respectively. Following the criteria used to identify these SSRs, di-nucleotide repeats were highest in number for both species (14.64% in *O. sanctum* and 6.94% in *O. basilicum*), while penta-nucleotide repeats were of lowest occurrence (0.16%) in *O. sanctum* and hexa-nucleotide repeats (0.08%) in *O. basilicum*. The most prevalent dinucleotide SSRs group had the highest occurrence of CT, TC, AG and GA repeats followed by trinucleotide (7.03%) SSRs in *O. sanctum*, while in *O. basilicum* TC, CT, AG and GA dinucleotide repeats were highest. Interestingly, several SSR motifs were linked with unique sequences encoding enzymes (e.g. COMT, HPPR, HPPD, PPO, HSHCT, CinS2, ZIS, BGS, LPPS, CDS, MYS, LIS, AAT2, IDI, HDS, DXR, SQS, AACT) involved in terpenoid/phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (Additional file 9). Maximum number of SSRs was observed in 4CL transcripts of *O. sanctum* where as SSR number was abundant in ANS transcripts of *O. basilicum*. The gene specific identification of SSRs in both the *Ocimum* sp. will help in distinguishing closely related individuals and will also provide useful criteria for enriching and analyzing variation in the gene pool of the plant. Similarly, mining of SNPs from NGS-generated transcripts mainly involves clustering and assembling the sequence reads, followed by SNP identification by means of *in silico* approaches [56]. In this investigation, a total of 3245 (66.16%) transitions and 1660 (33.84%) transversions were observed by the SNP finder tool with *O. sanctum* as anchor (Table 9 and Additional file 10).

**Conclusion**

Terpenoids and phenylpropanoids are the predominant secondary metabolites in *Ocimum* species. These metabolites are synthesized through metabolic divergence from the mevalonate/non-mevalonate and shikimate pathways, respectively, and accumulate in the specialized glandular trichomes on the leaves [7]. So, this study was undertaken with the objective of enriching the existing limited set of genomic resources in *Ocimum*, and to provide a comparative analysis of transcriptomes of two *Ocimum* species having contrasting essential oil composition. To this end, high quality transcriptome database was established for *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum* by using NGS technology. This is the first report of a comprehensive transcriptome analysis of *Ocimum* species. Genes encoding pathway enzymes related to aromatic components such as volatile terpenoids,
phenylpropanoids and non-volatile medicinal compounds such as pentacyclic triterpenes and rosmarinic acid were identified in the transcriptome database; indicating the importance of exploring Ocimum species as a source of both medicinal and aromatic compounds. Moreover, several putative CYPs and transcription factors with probable involvement in the biosynthesis and regulation of terpenoids and phenylpropanoids were identified. Further investigations on these putative CYPs and TFs may reveal the reasons behind differential accumulation of phenylpropanoids/terpenoids, along with the similarity/difference in biosynthetic pathways operating in different species of Ocimum. Additionally, several SNPs and SSRs were identified in both the transcriptomes which will assist in breeding of Ocimum for developing distinct chemotypes. Overall, Ocimum transcriptome databases presented here, both individually and collectively, can be exploited to characterize genes related to phenylpropanoid and terpenoid metabolism and their regulation, as well as for breeding chemotypes with unique essential oil composition in this largely cross-pollinating species.

Methods

Plant material, library preparation and sequencing

Leaf tissues of O. sanctum L. (var: CIM Ayu) and O. basilicum L. (var: CIM Saumya) were collected from three month old plants grown in the experimental farm at the Bangalore Resource Centre of CSIR-Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. TRIzol method was used for RNA isolation from the leaf tissues. The quality and quantity of total RNA was calculated with a BioAnalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA); high-quality (RNA Integrity Number >7) RNA was used. The cDNAs were amplified according to the Illumina RNA-Seq protocol and sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq1000 system, producing 45.97 and 50.84 Mbp of 100-bp paired-end reads for O. sanctum and O. basilicum respectively. Transcriptome library for sequencing was constructed according to the Illumina TruSeq RNA library protocol outlined in “TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Guide” (Part # 15008136; Rev. A; Nov 2010). Enriched Poly-A RNA (1 μg) using RNA Purification Beads was fragmented for 4 minutes at elevated temperature (94°C) in the presence of divalent cations and reverse transcribed with Superscript III reverse transcriptase by priming with Random Hexamers (Invitrogen, USA). Second strand cDNA was synthesized in the presence of DNA polymerase I and RNaseH. The cDNA was cleaned up using Agencourt Ampure XP SPRI beads (Beckman Coulter, USA) followed by ligation of “Illumina Adapters” to the cDNA molecules, after end repair and addition of “A”- base. Following SPRI cleanup after ligation, the library was amplified using 11 cycles of PCR, for enrichment of adapter ligated fragments. The prepared library was quantified using Nanodrop and validated for quality by running an aliquot on High Sensitivity Bioanalyzer Chip (Agilent).

De novo assembly and sequence clustering

Raw reads obtained after sequencing were subjected to adapter, B-block and low quality base filtering to obtain the processed reads. De novo assembly of the processed reads was carried out using Velvet 1.2.10 for different hash lengths (45–73) [57]. Velvet takes in short reads and assembles them into contigs using paired-end information. This assembly was used by “observed-insert-length.pl” and “estimate-exp_cov.pl” (from Velvet package) to estimate insert length and expected coverage parameters, which were then used to generate a final assembly. The resulting contigs were assembled into transcripts by Oases-0.2.01 for the same (45–73) hash lengths [58], using the assembly from Velvet and clustering them into small groups (loci). It then uses paired end information to construct transcript isoforms. Transcript

| Table 8 Statistics of SSRs identified from O. basilicum and O. sanctum leaf transcriptome data |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total number of sequences examined            | 69117           | 130043          |
| Total size of examined sequences (bp)         | 113791599       | 177312343       |
| Total number of identified SSRs               | 26232           | 28947           |
| Number of SSR containing sequences            | 19191           | 23141           |
| Number of sequences containing more than 1 SSR| 5128            | 4383            |
| Number of SSRs present in compound formation  | 2301            | 2091            |
| Di-nucleotide repeat                          | 10118           | 9025            |
| Tri-nucleotide repeat                         | 4859            | 6029            |
| Tetra-nucleotide repeat                       | 314             | 363             |
| Penta-nucleotide repeat                       | 109             | 115             |
| Hexa-nucleotide repeat                        | 223             | 107             |

| Table 9 Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) statistics |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Summary of SNPs statistics                                |
| Number          | Percentage (%)   |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Tot. no. of Transitions                                  |
| 3245            | 66.16           |
| A < − > G Transitions                                   |
| 1602            | 32.66           |
| C < − > T Transitions                                   |
| 1643            | 33.50           |
| Tot. no. of Transversions                                |
| 1660            | 33.84           |
| A < − > T Transitions                                   |
| 538             | 10.97           |
| G < − > T Transversions                                  |
| 363             | 7.40            |
| C < − > G Transversions                                  |
| 369             | 7.52            |
| A < − > C Transversions                                  |
| 390             | 7.95            |
| Tot. no. of SNPs                                         |
| 6565            |                 |
assembly was selected for the best hash length based on the assembly statistics and the transcripts from both the samples were clustered together using CD-HIT-v4.5.4 at 95% identity and 95% query coverage [59]. The transcriptome data for both the species was submitted to the NCBI under SRA Study accession number SRP039008 for \textit{O. sanctum} and SRP039533 for \textit{O. basilicum}).

**Sequence annotation and functional characterization**

Assembled transcripts were blasted against UniProt databases and GO (Gene Ontology) terms were assigned for each unigene based on the GO terms annotated to its corresponding homologue in the UniProt database with the proteins of \textit{Arabidopsis}, \textit{Rice} and \textit{Lamiaceae} family. Each annotated sequence may have more than one GO term, assigned either for different GO categories (Biological Process, Molecular Function and Cellular Component) or in the same category [60]. To gain an overview of gene pathway networks, the assigned polypeptides encoded by unigenes from \textit{O. sanctum} and \textit{O. basilicum} transcriptome were mapped to metabolic pathways according to the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [61]. The output of KEGG analysis includes KEGG orthology (KO) assignments using KEGG automated annotation server, KAAS (http://www.genome.jp/kaas-bin/kaas_main?mode = partial).

**Read mapping and transcript abundance measurement**

RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase per Million) measurement is a sensitive approach by which expression level of even poorly expressed transcripts can be detected using read count as the fundamental basis. For RPKM measurement, reads were first aligned using “Bowtie tool” [62] and “Awk scripting” was used to generate the read count profile from the output file (.sam) of Bowtie alignment. RPKM values were calculated applying the approach adopted by Mortazvi and co-workers [63], to measure the expression level of each assembled transcript sequence. The clustered transcripts were used as the master reference for carrying out the digital gene expression (DGE) analysis by employing a negative binomial distribution model (DESeq v1.8.1 package (http://www-huber.embl.de/users/anders/DESeq/)) [64].

**Cytological analysis**

Stem cuttings of the \textit{O. sanctum} (var. CIM Ayu) and \textit{O. basilicum} (var. CIM Saumya) were transplanted in moist sand. The fast growing 1 cm long young roots emerging from the stem cuttings were excised and pre-treated for 2.5 h in saturated aqueous solution of \textit{p}-dichloro benzene at 12–14°C, washed thoroughly in water and quickly transferred to Carnoy’s mixture (6:3:1) for fixation overnight at room temperature. The fastened root tips were squashed in 45% acetic acid and permanent chromosome preparations were made by removing the cover glass by quick-freeze method followed by dehydration in tertiary butyl alcohol series and mounting in DPEX.

**Real-time PCR analysis**

Total RNA was isolated from both \textit{O. sanctum} and \textit{O. basilicum} leaves of same stage and cDNAs were prepared using RevertAid first strand cDNA synthesis Kit (ThermoScientific, USA). Expression of selected pathway genes and cytochrome P450s was analyzed through qPCR using Fast Real Time PCR System (7900HT Applied Biosystems, USA) and Maxima SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (2X) (ThermoScientific, Waltham MA, US) to validate Illumina sequencing data. Each PCR reaction was set up in 15 μL volume containing 7.5 μL of Maxima SYBR Green PCR master mix, 50 ng of cDNA sample prepared using RevertAid first strand cDNA synthesis Kit (ThermoScientific) and gene-specific primers (Additional file 11). The specificity of the reactions was verified by melting curve analysis with the thermal cycling parameters: initial hold (50°C for 2 min); initial denaturation (95°C for 10 min); and 40 amplification cycles (95°C for 15 s; and 60°C for 1 min) followed by additional steps (60°C for 15 s, 95°C for 15 s and 37°C for 2 min). Relative mRNA levels were quantified with respect to the reference gene \textit{actin} of \textit{O. sanctum} (SO_2009_transcript16212) [65]. Sequence Detection System (SDS) software version 2.2.1 was used for relative quantification of gene transcripts using the \(ΔΔCq\) method. Threshold cycle (Cq) values obtained after real-time PCR were used for calculation of the \(ΔCq\) value (target-reference). The quantification was carried out by calculating \(ΔΔCq\) to determine the fold difference in gene expression \([ΔCq\ target – ΔCq\ calibrator]\). The RQ was determined as \(2^{−ΔΔCq}\). All the experiments were repeated using three biological replicates and the data were analyzed statistically (±Standard Deviation).

**Estimation of triterpenoid content**

Methanolic extract of 0.5 g dried leaf powder was used for estimation of triterpenoids mainly oleanolic, ursolic and betulinic acids. HPLC was performed as per previously reported method with slight modification [66] with an instrument (Shimadzu, Japan), consisting of an analytical column (Waters Spherisorb ODS-2, 250 × 4.6 mm, 10 μm), pumps (LC-10AT), autoinjector (SIL-10 AD) and PDA (SPD-M10A). Mobile phase composition used was acetonitrile- water containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (85:15 v/v) at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min\(^{-1}\). The quantitation was performed at 204 nm as reported earlier.
Identification of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs)

All the transcripts of *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum* were analyzed with a microsatellite program, MISA (http://pgrc.ipkgedsleben.de/misa/) for identification of SSR motifs having mononucleotide to hexanucleotide repeats. The parameters used for simple sequence repeats (SSRs) were, at least 6 repeats for di- and 5 for tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa-nucleotide. Transitions and transversions identification between *O. sanctum* and *O. basilicum* was carried out using SNPs Finder tool taking *O. sanctum* as anchor (http://snpsfinder.lanl.gov/).
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