In vitro efficacy of cold atmospheric pressure plasma on S. sanguinis biofilms in comparison of two test models

Abstract

Dental plaque critically affects the etiology of caries, periodontitis and periimplantitis. The mechanical removal of plaque can only be performed partially due to limited accessibility. Therefore, plaque still represents one of the major therapeutic challenges. Even though antiseptic mouth rinses reduce the extent of biofilm temporarily, plaque removal remains incomplete and continuous usage can even result in side effects. Here we tested argon plasma produced by kinpen09 as one option to inactivate microorganisms and to eliminate plaque. S. sanguinis biofilms cultivated in either the European Biofilm Reactor (EUREBI) or in 24 well plates were treated with argon plasma. In both test systems a homogeneous, good analyzable and stable biofilm was produced on the surface of titan plates within 72 h (>6.9 log$_{10}$ CFU/ml). Despite the significantly more powerful biofilm production in EUREBI, the difference of 0.4 log$_{10}$ CFU/ml between EUREBI and the 24 well plates was practically not relevant. For that reason both test models were equally qualified for the analysis of efficacy of cold atmospheric pressure plasma. We demonstrate a significant reduction of the biofilm compared to the control in both test models. After plasma application of 180 s the biofilm produced in EUREBI or in 24 well plates was decreased by 0.6 log$_{10}$ CFU/ml or 0.5 log$_{10}$ CFU/ml, respectively. In comparison to recently published studies analyzing the efficacy of kinpen09, S. sanguinis produces a hardly removable biofilm. Future investigations using reduced distances between plasma source and biofilm, various compositions of plasma and alternative plasma sources will contribute to further optimization of the efficacy against S. sanguinis biofilms.
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Zusammenfassung

Die dentale Plaque beeinflusst entscheidend die Ätiologie von Karies, Parodontitis und Periimplantitis. Die Plaque stellt nach wie vor eine therapeutische Herausforderung dar, weil ihre Eliminierung durch mechanische Reinigung aufgrund der schweren Zugänglichkeit nur unvollständig gelingt. Antiseptische Mundspülungen können zwar über die Senkung der Bakterienzahl das Plaquewachstum hemmen, allerdings ohne dabei die Plaque selbst zu eliminieren. Darüber hinaus sind nur wenige antiseptische Wirkstoffe aufgrund möglicher Nebenwirkung für die Daueranwendung geeignet. Als mögliche Option zur Inaktivierung der Mikroorganismen in der Plaque bei gleichzeitiger Plaqueelimination wurde die Anwendung von Argonplasma, erzeugt mit dem kinpen09, an S. sanguinis Biofilmen, kultiviert im Europäischen Biofilmreaktor (EUREBI) bzw. in der 24-Well-Platte, untersucht. In beiden Modellen konnte auf Titanplättchen innerhalb von 72 h ein homogener, stabiler, gut analysierbarer Biofilm (>6.9 log$_{10}$
KbE/ml) erzeugt werden. Trotz der signifikant stärkeren Biofilmbildung im EUREBI war die erzielte Differenz von 0,4 log_{10} KbE/ml ohne praktische Relevanz, so dass beide Prüfmodelle gleichermaßen zur Erprobung der Wirksamkeit von Plasmaquellen geeignet sind. Verglichen mit der Kontrolle war in beiden Biofilmmodellen nach der längsten gewählten Einwirkungszeit von 180 s eine signifikante Reduktion des Biofilms um 0,6 log_{10} KbE/ml bzw. 0,5 log_{10} KbE/ml erreichbar. Im Vergleich zur Wirksamkeit des kinpen09 in anderen Studien bildet S. sanguinis offensichtlich einen schwierig eliminierbaren Biofilm aus, wobei die Reifungszeit des Biofilms sowie andere Plasmazusammensetzungen und Behandlungseinstellungen die vergleichsweise geringe Effektivität beeinflusst haben dürften.

Um die Wirksamkeit gegen S. sanguinis Biofilme zu verbessern, sind weitere Untersuchungen mit reduziertem Abstand zwischen Plasmaquelle und Biofilm, veränderter Zusammensetzung des Plasmas sowie mit anderen Plasmaquellen erforderlich.
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Introduction

Dental plaque not only affects the etiology of caries and periodontitis [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], but it can also induce periodontitis or periimplantitis, and thereby jeopardize positive long-term effects of dental implants [8]. Thus it is crucial to remove biofilms from dental implants, as done in periodontal treatments, in order to prevent or treat periimplant infections [9], [10], [11]. For many decades scientists have searched for efficient ways to remove, delete or prevent dental plaque [12]. The most common practice to remove plaque is mechanical cleaning [5], that can be improved by combination with chemical agents, sonication and the application of magnetization force or an electric field [7], [13]. However, all combinations have their flaws. Mechanical cleaning can only partially remove dental plaque due to the limited accessibility of various biofilms [13], [14]. The mechanical removal of plaque from implants by curets or sonication damages the abutments [15]. Antiseptic mouthrinses can cause problems after long-term usage [16]. Side effects such as unpleasant taste, discoloration of teeth or desquamation und painful mucosa are described for chlorhexidine [17], the gold standard of plaque control [13], [18]. Furthermore, chlorhexidine caused pre-malignant alterations in animal experiments [19]. Therefore, it is essential to develop novel techniques for plaque removal. One of the most recent innovations is cold atmospheric pressure plasma [20]. The possibility of removing biofilms and inactivating microorganisms in biofilms with cold atmospheric pressure plasma, so-called tissue-tolerable plasma, could improve the removal of plaque in the mouth, particularly from gingival pockets hardly to access [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31]. Cold atmospheric pressure plasma could also enhance elimination of biofilms during surgery of infected implants [32]. Furthermore, plasma can be considered supportive for the treatment of periimplantitis [31], since it stimulates growth of osteoblasts and improves the reossification process of dental implants. Many in vitro models for testing the efficacy against biofilms are expensive, complicated and do not provide sufficiently reproducible results [33]. In addition, variations in culture systems and bacterial species make the direct comparison between different studies difficult [34]. For that reason it is important to develop novel in vitro models generating reproducible, good analyzable and homogeneous biofilms.

One goal of this study was the comparison of the European Biofilm Reactor (EUREBI), an advancement of the CDC reactor [35], with the conventional 24 well plate with respect to biofilm production. EUREBI possesses three inlets for different nutrient solutions instead of only two as the CDC reactor. The friction of the stirrer between glass bottom and impeller is decreased in EUREBI due to its flat bottom. Therefore the speed of stirring can be controlled more precisely. Per cycle 40 instead of 24 test samples can be applied. Since the mechanically exposed pieces are made of stainless steel, the service life (durability) of EUREBI is extended. Furthermore, the Luerlock-System allows installation of sterile tube systems. The entire EUREBI can be completely cleaned and autoclaved. We assume that EUREBI is the more effective system to produce biofilms. In both test systems biofilm production was analyzed on titan surfaces, because this material is widely used in the field of implantology [8]. The primary colonizer of dental plaque chosen for the present study was the bacterial species S. sanguinis [1], [2], [12], [36], [37], [38], [39], [40], [41]. Providing that both test systems, EUREBI and 24 well plates, were capable of stable biofilm production, the purpose of this work was the analysis of the efficacy of cold atmospheric pressure plasma against those stable biofilms and to compare the two biofilm reactors.
Material and methods

Testorganism

S. sanguinis DSM 20068 was cultivated in brain heart infusion (BHI) (BBL™, Becton Dickinson GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) supplemented with 1% sucrose (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). One inoculation loop of cells was re-suspended in 100 ml BHI. The liquid culture was incubated at 37 ° C for 48 h.

EUREBI biofilm model and biofilm evaluation

Figure 1: Experimental setup. On the left European Biofilm Reactor (EUREBI) with vertical placed titanium discs, on the right infusion bag as storage vessel for liquid culture, in the middle Infusomat to control the flow of liquid culture.

100 ml of the 48 h liquid culture and 550 ml of fresh BHI were added to the biofilm reactor. Afterwards, 5 titanium discs (15 mm diameter, 1 mm thickness, Institut Straumann AG, Basel, Switzerland) were fixed in one reactor bar and placed in the reactor. The reactor was coated for 24 h. Flow of the liquid culture was started and controlled by Infusomat fmS (B. Braun AG, Melsungen, Germany) with 18.6 ml/h. The used medium was transferred out of the reactor system by the Infusomat. For adjustment of temperature, a measuring sensor was inserted into the reactor (Figure 1). The number of colony forming units (CFU) were determined at 0 h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h (per exposure time n = 5 discs). After 72 h stable biofilm was established.

In order to analyze the biofilm culture the titanium discs were washed one time with 0.89% NaCl solution to remove not adherent cells and placed into a 24 well plate. 1 ml of 0.89% NaCl solution was added to each well. The biofilm was removed by treatment in an ultrasonic bath (Branson 2510, 130 W, 42 kHz, Mississauga, Canada) for 30 minutes. Serial dilutions of 100 µl of re-suspended biofilm solutions were transferred to 900 µl fresh 0.89% NaCl solution. An aliquot portion of 100 µl from serial dilutions 10⁻³, 10⁻⁴ and 10⁻⁵ was plated on Columbia sheep blood agar (BBL™, Becton Dickinson GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. The CFU were determined with a colony counter (Bibby Scientific Ltd, Stone, UK), calculated in accordance with DIN EN 1040 [42] and expressed in the common logarithmic scale as CFU/ml. The reduction factor (RF) was calculated by subtraction of the treated samples from the mean of untreated control samples. Statistical analyses were performed by the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test with Bonferroni correction for α=0.05 (Statview 5.0, SAS Institute GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany).

For photometric detection the biofilm-covered discs were colored with 0.1% crystal violet solution (Carl Roth GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany). 500 µl solution was pipetted into each well onto the discs. After an incubation period of 15 min, each disc was washed 3 times with 1 ml 0.89% NaCl solution to rinse unbound stain. 500 µl of an ethanol HCl mixture (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was pipetted into each well to elute the crystal violet. After an incubation time of 15 min, 200 µl of the eluate were transferred into wells of a 96 well microplate. The extinction was detected at 620 nm (Microplate ELISA-Reader 2020, anthos, Microsystems GmbH, Krefeld, Germany).

Microtiter plate biofilm model

The liquid culture, prepared analog to EUREBI liquid culture, was incubated for 24 h (37 °C) instead of 48 h. After 24 h, 1 ml of the liquid solution was pipetted into each well of a 24 well plate (Plastic Techno Products Ltd., Trasadingen, Switzerland). One titanium disc was placed in each well. As negative control 10 titanium discs were not inoculated with the liquid culture, but only covered with BHI. At time point 0 h and after an incubation period of 24 h, 48 h and 72 h respectively, serial dilutions were made in order to determine the CFU/ml. After 72 h a stable biofilm was established as in EUREBI. Measurement of CFU and photometric detection of the biofilm was performed as described for the EUREBI.

Plasma application

For plasma generation, the plasma jet kinpen09 (Neoplas GmbH, Greifswald, Germany) was used with argon as carrier gas in continuous mode [43] with a gas flow of 5 standard liters/min (slm), a frequency of 1.8 MHz and a voltage of 170 V.
Gas flow was controlled by a flow controller (MKS Instruments, Munich, Germany). Titanium discs covered with the 72 h old biofilm of both models were transferred for plasma treatment into 24 well plates. The plasma source was attached to a computer-controlled x/y/z table and the 24 well plate was positioned below. Additional titanium discs were placed in each well under the exposed disc for storing the samples higher. Therefore the distance between the top disc and the plasma source was 10 mm. The kinpen09 moved meander-like in a diameter of 15 mm over each well (Figure 2). All six titanium discs were treated with plasma for a period of 30 s, 60 s, 90 s, 120 s and 180 s. Six samples were treated only with argon gas as “gas flow” control and 6 untreated samples served as negative control.

Microtiter plate model

In agreement with the EUREBI results a homogeneous biofilm formation was observed after 72 h (mean 6.9 log₁₀ CFU/ml, ±0.48). Results of the photometric detection method accord with the results of the cultural biofilm analysis (mean of extinction 1.22±0.197).

In the microtiter plate model the effectiveness of plasma application was slightly lower than in the EUREBI model, so that the difference to the untreated control was 0.37 log₁₀ CFU/ml after 120 s (p=0.009). After 180 s the reduction reached 0.5 log₁₀ CFU/ml (Figure 4).

Discussion

Biofilm production

Biofilm reactors simulate the natural salivation due to the change of media [44], [45], [46]. It is feasible to generate a flow-through system by influx and efflux using the continuous flow technique. This is crucial for biofilm production guaranteeing a steady state [47]. The Constant Depth Film Fermenter (CDFF) allows a comparable simulation of biofilm production and excellent reproducibility [14], [48], [49], [50], [51], [52], [53], [54].

A biofilm of a certain thickness can be produced by a permanently installed scraper. However, the stationary phase is only achieved after 100 h [55]. Relatively high costs are another disadvantage of the CDFF [52].

Comparing the EUREBI model with the microtiter plate model the mean extent of biofilm formation was significant different for the cultural and the photometric detection method (Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test p≤0.0001 and p=0.0282). Despite the significantly more powerful biofilm production in EUREBI, the difference of 0.4 log₁₀ CFU/ml is from biological perspective not relevant. Due to this fact and the lower experimental effort, the microtiter plate model should be preferred. However using the EUREBI various factors influencing the growth of biofilm can be checked, which can be not modulate with a conventional 24 well plate.

In agreement with results obtained by Duarte et al. [8], Astasov-Frauenhoffer et al. [56] and Hauser-Gerspach et al. [57] S. sanguinis DSM20068 produced a consistent biofilm on titanium surfaces.

S. sanguinis was chosen as test species because it is not only highly relevant for plaque production, but also attaches to surfaces 10 to 100 times stronger than S. mutans, S. mitis and S. salivarius, and generates stable biofilms [36]. In addition, S. sanguinis has a fast metabolic ratio and therefore rapidly reaches high cell densities [58], [59].

The BHImedium was supplemented with 1% Saccharose in order to improve surface adherence and synthesis of exopolysaccharides [2], [4], [15], [39], [46], [50], [58], [60], [61], [62], [63]. In numerous studies saliva is used as nutrient solution. However, the composition of saliva...
is complex [7] which makes standardization of this medium very difficult. Also, sterilization of saliva is challenging. An additional important factor for biofilm growth is the incubation time. Herles et al. [45] and Oliveira et al. [64] showed that the quantity of bacteria increases in up to 72 h of cell culture. During this period deviations in biofilm production were minimal [65]. Wirthin et al. [53] demonstrated that only after 100 h incubation time the steady state was reached. Despite the quantitative increase some authors consider biofilms after 48 h or even less incubation time as sufficient for routine experiments [8], [23], [46], [58], [66], [67]. A cell culture period of 72 hours is advantageous though, since resistance against antimicrobial agents was at its maximum after 72 h [64], [68] and the plaque milieu only becomes caries-producing after 72 h [1], [6], [39], [69], [70].
Since more than 500 species of bacteria are present in dental plaque [71], it is recommended that bacterial suspension used for biofilm production experiments should contain six [72], nine or ten different species [7], [51], [60], [73], [74]. However, Bowden [4] showed that mono- and multispecies biofilms do not differ regarding sequence of biofilm development and cell counts. Costerton et al. [75] accepted both mono- and multispecies biofilms to test the antibiofilm activity. The results of the present study demonstrate that both monospecies biofilm models using S. sanguinis exhibit a strong capability of resistance. Both biofilms tested were only partially reduced by plasma treatment. For that reason, monospecies models are a suitable system to screen the efficacy of argon plasma.

Efficacy of plasma source

In both test models the longest exposition of 180 s resulted in reductions of 0.6 log$_{10}$ CFU/ml (EUREBI model) and 0.5 log$_{10}$ CFU/ml (microtiter plate model). We conclude that biofilms generated by both models exhibit comparable stability towards argon plasma. The antimicrobial efficacy determined here is low compared to results of other studies using the same plasma source but different monospecies biofilms [29], [76]. It is important to mention that the reduction of 2–4 log$_{10}$ CFU/plate depending on the test organism was observed by Daeschlein et al. [76] under different basic conditions. The exposure time was 3 min longer than the time in the present study. Colonies grown on agar plates were investigated instead biofilm. The distance between sample and plasma source was only 1 mm compared with 10 mm in our study. The colonies were exposed to plasma already after 24 h of cultivation instead of 72 h. Koban et al. [15] obtained a reduction factor of 3.2 log$_{10}$ CFU/ml using S. mutans biofilms treated with kinpen09 for 60 s with a sample-plasma source distance of 7 mm. However, not the entire plate was meander-like treated, but only one isolated point was exposed. Also, the diameter of the titanium plates was only 5 mm instead of 15 mm as in the present study. In agreement with our data, a lower reduction of 0.5 log$_{10}$ CFU/ml after 2 min exposure was achieved by Koban et al. [30] using kinpen09 and a C. albicans biofilm. According to data in the literature S. sanguinis plays a particular role regarding the resistance towards plasma [25] and other antimicrobial agents like gaseous ozone [57]. In order to optimize removal of S. sanguinis biofilms, a shorter distance between sample and plasma and an oxygen-admixture to argon plasma could be useful, because these factors influence the efficacy [30], [77].

Conclusions

The difference in the biofilm production between EUREBI and microtiter plate was from the biological perspective not relevant. For that reason both test models are suitable for the analysis of efficacy of cold atmospheric pressure plasma. Further in vitro und in vivo investigations are necessary to evaluate the use of atmospheric pressure plasma for plaque inactivation in dentistry. This will allow identification of influencing factors for optimization of biofilm inactivation. At the same time possible risks for the patient need to be determined, especially mutagenesis and carcinogenesis have to be excluded [78].
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