Transport through quantum dots in mesoscopic circuits
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We study the transport through a quantum dot, in the Kondo Coulomb blockade valley, embedded in a mesoscopic device with finite wires. The quantization of states in the circuit that hosts the quantum dot gives rise to finite size effects. These effects make the conductance sensitive to the ratio of the Kondo screening length to the wires length and provide a way of measuring the Kondo cloud. We present results obtained with the numerical renormalization group for a wide range of physically accessible parameters.
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Since the pioneer work of Goldhaber-Gordon and coworkers reporting the observation of Kondo effect in a single quantum dot (QD) [1], many different circuit and dot configurations have been designed and studied [2]. In a single electron transistor or QD built on a semiconductor heterolayer, the most relevant parameters can be controlled by applying voltages. The possibility of their continuous variation allows to investigate different regimes with different number of electrons localized in the dot [3]. States with a well defined number of electrons tend to be stabilized by the Coulomb interaction, a phenomenon known as Coulomb blockade. When an odd number of electrons is stable in the dot and the total spin is \( S = 1/2 \), the coupling with the leads gives rise to the usual Kondo effect. The Kondo effect is the magnetic screening of the dot spin by the electrons of the host [4]. The screening occurs by the formation of a spin singlet involving the dot spin and the host electron’s spins. This screening is nearly fully developed below a characteristic temperature \( T_K \) known as the Kondo temperature. The size of the screening cloud, the spatial extension of the singlet wave function, is the Kondo screening length \( \xi_K \approx \hbar v_F / T_K \) where \( v_F \) is the Fermi velocity. For a typical QD the Kondo temperature \( T_K \) is of the order of magnitude of one degree and the Kondo screening length can be up to one micron. In these circuits, the conductance through the QD and their temperature dependence gives information on the occurrence of the Kondo effect and ultimately on the development of the Kondo cloud. The complete development of the Kondo effect is reflected by an ideal conductance \( 2e^2 / h \) as observed in some low temperature measurements [5].

The smallness of \( T_K \) makes it possible to alter the Kondo ground state by finite size effects. It was shown that whenever the characteristic size of the system is reduced and the mean level spacing \( \Delta \) becomes of the order or larger than \( T_K \), finite size effects become important [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Then, in any nanoscopic system with a QD coupled to one dimensional leads or wires of the order of one micron of length, the Kondo effect may be subject to size effects. Based precisely on these effects, Simon and Affleck made two proposals to measure the Kondo screening length [5, 6]. The first one concerns a closed loop with a QD. The persistent current induced by a magnetic flux threading the ring is sensitive to the screening length and is reduced when the circumference of the ring is smaller than \( \xi_K \). The second proposal, which is also the subject of the present work, considers a QD coupled to mesoscopic leads.

In what follows we study a QD attached to quantum wires as schematically shown in Fig. 1(a). By a quantum wire we mean a narrow wire with a small number of channels. The wires are weakly coupled at one end to the QD and at the other to three dimensional macroscopic contacts that act as a reservoir. The Hamiltonian of the system is then given by

\[
H = H_D + H_W + H_C + H_{DW} + H_{CW},
\]

where the first three terms corresponding to the dot, wire
tact Hamiltonian described by the conventional one dimensional tight binding matrix element
resonance for a system with finite wires: for the at-resonance case (b), off-resonance case (c) and intermediate case (d). The parameters are \( E_d = -0.5 U, t_{DW} = 0.2 t_0, \Delta = 10 T_K^{0} \), and \( t_{CW} = 0.6 t_0 \). The dotted line in (b), (c), and (d), is the spectral density for infinite wires.

FIG. 2: QD spectral density (a) and a detail of the Kondo case (b), off-resonance case (c) and intermediate case (d). The conductance can be put as:

\[
G(T) = \frac{2e^2}{h} 2\pi \int d\omega (-\frac{\partial f(\omega)}{\partial \omega}) \Gamma(\omega) \rho_D(\omega)
\]

where \( f(\omega) \) is the Fermi function, \( \Gamma(\omega) = \pi (t_{DW})^2 \rho_W(\omega) \) and \( \rho_D(\omega) \) is the QD spectral density \( [\mathbb{I}] \). This simple formula gives the conductance of the central part of the circuit: QD plus wires. It can be obtained calculating the current through the CW-links \( [\mathbb{I}] \) and expressing it in terms of the QD spectral density. The spectral densities are calculated using the numerical renormalization group (NRG) technique \( [\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I}] \). The linear conductance as function of \( E_d \) is shown in Fig. 1(b) for \( t_{CW} = t_0 \) corresponding to long wires \( (L \to \infty) \) without constrictions. At high temperatures two Coulomb peaks and the central Coulomb blockade valley are clearly observed. The Coulomb peaks are due to \( E_d \) or \( E_d + U \) being aligned with the Fermi energy. The central valley, away from the Coulomb blockade peaks, has one electron localized at the dot and corresponds to the Kondo regime. As the temperature is lowered, the conductance at the central valley increases indicating the occurrence of the Kondo screening. In this case \( \rho_W(\omega) \) is constant around the Fermi level and \( \rho_D(\omega) \) develops a Kondo resonance as shown in Fig. 2(a).

The Keldysh formalism \( [\mathbb{I}] \) the conductance becomes sensitive to the relative position of the Fermi energy \( E_F \) and the structure of \( \rho_W(\omega) \). We distinguish three cases: i) the Fermi level lying at a wire resonant state, a maximum in \( \rho_W(\omega) \) (the at-resonance case), ii) exactly between two resonances, a minimum in \( \rho_W(\omega) \) (the off-resonance case) and iii) intermediate situations. As shown in (c) for the at-resonance and off-resonance cases, a conductance of \( 2e^2/h \) is obtained at the Coulomb blockade valley, while for intermediate situations strong anomalies are obtained. These results correspond to the low temperature limit, for \( T > \Delta \) the structure of \( \rho_W(\omega) \) becomes unimportant and all three curves of Fig. (c) collapse into a single one that reproduces the high temperature behavior of Fig. (b).

The behavior of the transmission close to the Coulomb peaks can be understood in terms of a simple single particle resonant state lying above \( (E_d \geq 0) \) or below \( (E_d \leq -U) \) the Fermi energy. If \( E_d \sim -U/2 \), the center of the Coulomb blockade valley, the behavior is dominated by the Kondo physics. Let us now concentrate in the Kondo regime \( (E_d < 0 \text{ and } E_d + U > 0) \) and define a reference Kondo temperature \( T_K^{0} \) of the

The contact Hamiltonian is:

\[
H_D = \sum_\sigma E_d n^{\dagger}_\sigma n^\sigma + U n^{\dagger}_\sigma n^\sigma
\]

where \( n^{\dagger}_\sigma \) creates an electron with spin \( \sigma \) and energy \( E_d \) in the quantized state of the QD and \( U \) is the Coulomb repulsion for electrons in this state,

\[
H_W = \sum_{\eta,\sigma,n} \epsilon_{\eta}(c^{\dagger}_n c^\eta_{n+1} + h.c.)
\]

\[
H_{DW} = -t_{DW} \sum_{\eta,\sigma} (d^{\dagger}_\eta c_{n+1}^\eta + h.c.)
\]

\[
H_{CW} = -t_{CW} \sum_{\eta,\sigma} (c^{\dagger}_n a_{n+1}^\eta + h.c.)
\]

with \( \eta = R, L \) denoting the right and left wires described by the conventional one dimensional tight binding model. For simplicity, as in Ref. \( [\mathbb{I}] \), the contact Hamiltonian \( H_C \) describes two linear chains with a hopping matrix element \( t_0 \). The last two terms of the Hamiltonian describe the coupling of these three components:

\[
H_{DW} = -t_{DW} \sum_{\eta,\sigma} (d^{\dagger}_\eta c_{n+1}^\eta + h.c.)
\]

\[
H_{CW} = -t_{CW} \sum_{\eta,\sigma} (c^{\dagger}_n a_{n+1}^\eta + h.c.)
\]

\[
\text{where } a_{n+1}^\eta \text{ creates an electron in the first site of the contact } \eta.
\]

The parameters \( E_d \) and \( U \) can be estimated and related to a gate voltage using a capacitance model for the QD \( [\mathbb{I}] \).

If \( t_{DW} = t_{CW} = 0 \) the wires are isolated and their energy spectrum corresponds to a set of \( N \) states. Around the Fermi energy these states are separated by a characteristic energy \( \Delta \simeq \hbar v_F \pi / L \simeq 4h_0/N \) where \( L = aN \) is the wire length and \( a \) the lattice constant. When the wires are connected to the contacts with a non zero \( t_{CW} \), the wire states become resonances of width \( \gamma \). The local density of states at the wire end \( \rho_W(\omega) \), consists of a collection of resonant states characterized by the two energy scales \( \Delta \) and \( \gamma \) \( [\mathbb{I}] \). This structure of the system that hosts the QD may drastically change the Kondo screening and consequently the linear conductance of the circuit. Using the Keldysh formalism \( [\mathbb{I}] \) the conductance can be put as:

\[
G(T) = \frac{2e^2}{h} 2\pi \int d\omega (-\frac{\partial f(\omega)}{\partial \omega}) \Gamma(\omega) \rho_D(\omega)
\]
system with infinitely long quantum wires ($L \to \infty$). If a system with finite wires is such that $T_K^0 \gg \Delta$, on the scale of the characteristic Kondo energy the host local density of states can be averaged to its mean value and the finite size effects are washed out for any temperatures $T \gtrsim T_K^0$. This means that as the temperature is lowered and the Kondo screening starts to develop, the finite size effects are unimportant. Conversely, if the system were such that $T_K^0 \ll \Delta$, we expect strong finite size effects at any temperature $T \lesssim \Delta$, i.e. even before the Kondo effect of the reference system starts to develop.

In a circuit built on a semiconductor heterolayer, the position of $E_F$ relative to the wire structure as well as the coupling to the reservoirs ($t_{CW}$) can be varied applying gate voltages [2]. In Fig. 3 the conductance as a function of temperature for different values of the parameters is shown. In what follows we analyze these results according to the position of the Fermi level relative to the structure of the wire density of states $\rho_W(\omega)$.

i) At-resonance case: For $t_{CW} = 0$ the central QD with the attached wires form an isolated system and the QD spectral density is given by a collection of delta-functions. For this case of a small isolated system, which has been studied in some detail [7] for a small isolated system, which has been studied in some detail [7], the QD spectral density is given by a collection of delta-functions at the Fermi energy. One is just above and the other just below $E_F$. As the system is coupled to the macroscopic contacts ($t_{CW} \neq 0$) the delta functions in $\rho_D(\omega)$ acquire a finite width, however, for weak coupling the double structure around the Fermi energy is clearly observed and the Kondo resonance has a minimum at $E_F$. In Fig. 3(b) a low frequency detail of the QD spectral densities for the at-resonance case is shown. As the temperature increases, the whole structure is washed out.

The conductance obtained from Eq. 1 for a relatively long quantum wire with $t_{CW}^0 = 3\Delta$ is shown in Fig. 3(a) for different values of the wire-contact coupling strength $t_{CW}/t_0$. For an ideal coupling ($t_{CW} = t_0$) the conductance increases as $T$ decreases to reach the value $2e^2/h$. For $t_{CW} < t_0$, as $T$ is lowered and approaches the energy scale $\Delta$, the structure in $\rho_D(\omega)$ becomes relevant and the conductance departs from the $t_{CW} = t_0$ case. As $T \to 0$, the ideal value is recovered generating a minimum in the conductance. In the low temperature regime, the QD acts as a perfect link between the right and left wires creating a single wire of length $2L$. The at-resonance condition implies that the Fermi level is aligned with a wire state giving an ideal conductance. For short wires with $T_K^0 < \Delta$, the screening develops for temperatures $T \sim \Delta$ and the conductance is not very sensitive to the confinement effects [Fig. 3(b)].

ii) Off-resonance case: For $T_K^0 > \Delta$ and high temperatures the fine structure if $\rho_W(\omega)$ is not important and the conductance for the at-resonance and off-resonance cases behaves in the same way. For low temperatures, again the QD acts as a perfect link, the resulting effective wire of length $2L$ has resonant states separated by $\Delta/2$, rather than by $\Delta$, and one of them is aligned with $E_F$. Although the at-resonance and off-resonance spectral densities are quite different [Figs. 3(b) and 3(c)], the temperature dependence of the conductance is similar [Fig. 3(a)], in fact the product $\Gamma(\omega)\rho_D(\omega)$ has qualitatively the same structure in both cases giving a conductance that is not clearly distinguish the two situations. For short wires, as the temperature increases, the conductance rapidly diminishes from its low temperature value $G \sim 2e^2/h$ [Fig. 3(b)].

iii) Intermediate case: This situation where the Fermi level lies at an arbitrary position with respect to wire states generates a quite different behavior at low temperatures. Again for $T > \Delta$ the quantization effects are irrelevant and the conductance is not distinguished from that of the previous cases. At low temperatures the conductance never reaches the value $2e^2/h$ [see full symbols in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)]. Even if the QD were behaving

![FIG. 3: Conductance as a function of temperature for a $\Delta = T_K^0/3$ system (a) and (c), and a $\Delta = 10T_K^0$ system (b) and (d). (a) and (b) At-resonance (circles) and off-resonance (squares) situations with $t_{CW} = 0.5t_0$ (filled symbols), and $t_{CW} = 0.6t_0$ (open symbols). (c) and (d) Conductance for different values of $\varepsilon_W$ and $t_{CW} = 0.5t_0$. The other parameters are $E_d = -0.5U$ and $t_{DW} = 0.2t_0$.](image1)

![FIG. 4: Conductance as a function of $\varepsilon_W$ for a $\Delta = 10T_K^0$ system at $T = 0$ (a), $T \approx T_K^0$ (b), and $T = \Delta$ (c). $\varepsilon_W/\Delta = 0$, $\pm 0.5$ correspond to the at-resonance and off-resonance cases respectively.](image2)
ics is the connection of quantum wires to single electron embedded QD. Aharonov-Bohm interferometers with two arms and an transmission phase shift would determine the current in consistent with that of the Kondo peak. These effects in the behavior of \( \omega \) lapse for \( \varphi/\pi \) (minimum) for \( \varphi \approx 0 \) and these results of Gerland et al. [15], it has a maximum (minimum) for \( \varphi \approx 0 \). The phase of the transmission for a spin- \( \sigma \) electron in the QD level. The conductance as given by equation (5) is the thermal average of \( -1/\pi \sum_\sigma I_m(t_\sigma(\omega)) \). The phase of the transmission for a spin- \( \sigma \) electron, \( \phi_\sigma(\omega) = \arg[t_\sigma(\omega)] \), is shown in Fig. 3 for a system with finite wires. On a large energy scale, the low temperature behavior of \( \phi(\omega) \) qualitatively reproduces the results of Gerland et al. [15]. It has a maximum (minimum) for \( \omega \approx E_d/2 \) \( \omega \approx (E_d + U)/2 \) and a large phase lapse for \( \omega \approx 0 \). This large phase lapse at zero frequency shows novel features due to the confinement effects. A zoom of the low frequency details in Fig. 3(b), shows the behavior of \( \phi(\omega) \) for \( \omega \lesssim \Delta \) with a superstructure consistent with that of the Kondo peak. These effects in the transmission phase shift would determine the current in Aharonov-Bohm interferometers with two arms and an embedded QD.

A logical step in the development of molecular electronics is the connection of quantum wires to single electron transistors to be used as building blocks. The reduction of the quantum wires dimensions down to the micron lengthscale may change the system properties. For such devices to be useful, a detailed knowledge of the behavior of the transmission phase shift and the conductance through this system is needed. In this paper we have shown how these properties change when the QD is connected to finite quantum wires. In particular, the behavior of the system is very sensitive to the length of the quantum wires and to the position of the Fermi level relative to the structure of the local density of states. The confinement introduces anomalous features in the temperature dependence of the conductance and the energy dependence of the phase shift. For single channel wires in the Kondo regime the response of the system depends on whether the Kondo screening length is shorter or larger than the quantum wire length. Finite size effects are not only relevant in the Kondo regime, the Coulomb blockade peaks of the conductance are also strongly affected as shown in Fig. 1.
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