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Abstract. We discuss natural connections between three objects: quadratic forms with values in line bundles, conic bundles and quaternion orders. We use the even Clifford algebra, and the Brauer-Severi Variety, and other constructions to give natural bijections between these objects under appropriate hypothesis. We then restrict to a surface base and we express the second Chern class of the order in terms of the canonical degree, $K^3$ of the corresponding conic bundle. We find the conic bundles corresponding to minimal del Pezzo quaternion orders and we discuss problems concerning their moduli.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we work over a field $k$ of characteristic not equal to 2. When we speak of varieties, we mean quasi-projective varieties over the field $k$ which we assume is algebraically closed. All schemes by default, will be noetherian and separated.

Classically, Clifford algebras over a field provide a nice construction of central simple algebras of dimension $n^2$ where $n$ is a power of two. One of our main aims is to study quaternion orders via explicit constructions. These are sheaves of algebras over a smooth variety say $Z$, which are locally free of rank 4 and are generically central simple over the function field $k(Z)$. A natural approach is to extend the theory of Clifford algebras to the scheme setting. This was done in [BK] and we apply their construction to give natural connections between various objects.

To motivate the scheme-theoretic generalization, recall the well known fact that terminal quaternion orders on a smooth surface $Z$ correspond to standard conic bundles on $Z$ [AM, Sa]. Now a conic bundle $X$ can be written down explicitly since the relative anti-canonical embedding shows they embed in a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle, say $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$ for some rank 3 vector bundle $V$ on $Z$ and furthermore, $X$ is carved out by some quadratic form $Q: \text{Sym}^2 V \to \mathcal{L}$ for some line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $Z$. It seems natural that one should be able to construct the quaternion order corresponding to $X$ using the data of this quadratic form. Now when $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_Z$ one can construct the usual Clifford algebra as the quotient of the tensor algebra $T(V)/I$ where $I$ is the ideal generated by $vw + wv - 2Q(v, w)$ for all sections $v, w \in V$. Unfortunately, this is not possible if $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{O}$ but what is surprising is that the even part of the Clifford...
algebra $Cl_0(Q) = O_Z \oplus \wedge^2 V \otimes L^*$ is a well-defined algebra. This construction, due to [BK] is described in section 3.

The first half of the paper examines the relationships between three classes of objects: Quadratic forms, rank 4 algebra, and conic bundles. For example, the maps $Q \mapsto Cl_0(Q)$ and $Q \mapsto X := V(Q = 0)$ assign to each quadratic form, a rank four algebra and conic bundle respectively. These maps are compatible with the Brauer-Severi map which assigns to any quaternion order $A$, the Brauer-Severi variety $SB(A)$, which is well-known to be a conic bundle. We review and expand less well known relationships between the three objects and answer questions such as: Which rank 4 algebras arise as even Clifford algebras? How does one recover the quaternion order from its Brauer-Severi variety?

We use the relationships above to study in particular quaternion orders which are minimal del Pezzo. These are orders which arise in the Mori program for classifying orders on surfaces [CI]. They are the non-commutative analogues of del Pezzo surfaces and so deserve special attention. They have been classified using the Artin-Mumford sequence in étale cohomology, which can be used to show orders with prescribed ramification data exist, but give no hint as to what they look like. Now we are finally in a position to write these orders down explicitly as even Clifford algebras. Furthermore, we identify their Brauer-Severi varieties with well-known threefold conic bundles.

We show how the second Chern class of a quaternion order on a surface can be expressed in terms of $-K^3$ of its Brauer-Severi variety conic bundle. We also discuss several problems and connections between the moduli spaces of del Pezzo quaternion orders and their corresponding conic bundles.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In §2 we review some facts about conic bundles. In particular, we recall that conic bundles on $Z$ are in bijective correspondence with orbits of “nice” quadratic forms under the action of $Pic Z$. In §3 we recall the Bichsel-Knus construction of the even Clifford algebra. In §4 we give a partial algebraic characterization of even Clifford algebras on rank 3 bundles. This is an exposition of our version of results of Voight [Voi]. In §5 we recall the Brauer-Severi map which assigns a conic bundle to every quaternion order. An explicit inverse map is given in §6.

In section §7 we give a relation between the second Chern class of a quaternion order and $-K^3$ of the associated conic bundle. The rest of the paper §8 looks in depth at the case of del Pezzo and ruled quaternion orders. The del Pezzo condition depends only on ramification data and the possibilities were classified in [CK], [CI], [AdJ]. The first task is to associate to such ramification data an appropriate quadratic form $Q$. When the centre $Z$ of the order is $\mathbb{P}^2$, as is the case when it is minimal del Pezzo, we may use Catanese theory [Cat] with line bundle resolutions to generate $Q$. We compute natural quadratic forms $Q$ associated to the ramification data of minimal del Pezzo orders and describe the corresponding Clifford algebras. Our theorem shows that the Brauer-Severi varieties of these Clifford algebras are just the associated conic bundles. We identify these conic bundles with well-known descriptions of Fano three-folds described in the literature. We give several problems concerning moduli of these orders.

Some of the material in this paper has “folklore status”. We would like to thank the referee and Voight for pointing out similar work on Clifford algebras that we had not been aware of.
2. Quadratic Forms over Schemes and Associated Conic Bundles

In this section, we remind the reader about some basic facts concerning conic bundles and quadratic forms.

Let $Z$ be a scheme and let $V$ be a rank $n$ vector bundle on $Z$. We will mainly be interested in the case where $Z$ is a smooth variety. We write $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$ for the scheme parametrizing rank one quotients of $V^*$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(V^*) \to Z$ be the projection and let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(H)$ be the universal line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$ associated with rank one quotients of $V^*$. Recall that there is a canonical exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)/Z}(H) \to \pi^* V^* \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(H) \to 0 \]

and that

\[ \omega_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)/Z} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(-nH) \otimes \pi^* \det V^* \]

as in [H] chapter III, Ex. 8.4.

We now introduce the notion of a quadratic form $Q$ on $V$ with values in a line bundle $L$ on $Z$. This is just a map $Q : \text{Sym}^2 V \to L$ so that we may view

\[ Q \in H^0(Z, \text{Sym}^2 V^* \otimes L) = H^0(\mathbb{P}(V^*), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(2H) \otimes \pi^* L). \]

Let $X = X(Q)$ be the subscheme in $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$ cut out by $Q$. When $Q$ is non-zero, this is the \textit{quadric bundle} associated to $Q$. When $V$ has rank 3, we shall call $X(Q)$ a \textit{conic bundle}.

The adjunction formula gives

\[ \omega_{X/Z} \cong \mathcal{O}_X((n+2)H) \otimes \pi^*(\det V^* \otimes L). \]

Recall there is a surjective “symmetrizer map”

\[ V \otimes V \to \text{Sym}^2 V : v \otimes w \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(v \otimes w + w \otimes v). \]

So sometimes, we will refer to quadratic forms $Q : V \otimes V \to L$, by which we just mean one which factors through this symmetrizer map.

Let $\bar{Q} : V \otimes L^* \to V^*$ be the natural map given by contracting with $Q$. This is the \textit{symmetric matrix} associated to $Q$ which is symmetric in the sense that $\bar{Q}^* = \bar{Q} \otimes L^*$. Note conversely that such a symmetric matrix $\bar{Q}$ determines a map $Q : \text{Sym}^2 V \to L$. When $Z$ is integral, the \textit{rank} of $Q$ is just the generic rank of $\bar{Q}$. We say $Q$ is \textit{non-degenerate} when $\bar{Q}$ is injective, that is, has full rank. If $Q$ is surjective, then we say that it is \textit{nowhere zero}. If $p \in Z$ is a closed point where $Q$ is not surjective, then the fibre of $X(Q)$ above $p$ is the whole of $\mathbb{P}(V^* \otimes k(p))$.

There is a natural action of $\text{Pic} Z$ on the set of quadratic forms. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a line bundle on $Z$. Then we obtain a new symmetric matrix

\[ \bar{Q} \otimes \mathcal{M} : V \otimes L^* \otimes \mathcal{M} \cong (V \otimes \mathcal{M}^*) \otimes L^* \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2} \to (V \otimes \mathcal{M}^*)^* \]

which corresponds to a quadratic form on $V \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ with values in the line bundle $L \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes -2}$. Note that $\bar{Q} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ maps to $\bar{Q}$ under the natural isomorphism

\[ \text{Sym}^2(V \otimes \mathcal{M}^*)^* \otimes (L \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes -2}) \to \text{Sym}^2 V^* \otimes L \]

and so their associated quadric bundles are naturally isomorphic.

It turns out that if the rank $n$ of $V$ is odd then we can choose $\mathcal{M}$ to normalize $\bar{Q}$ as follows. Suppose for the rest of this section that $Z$ is a smooth variety. We pick a divisor $D \in \text{Div} Z$ with

\[ \mathcal{O}(D) \cong (\det V)^{-2} \otimes L^n. \]
When $Q$ is non-degenerate, we can choose $D$ to be the effective divisor $\det \tilde{Q} = 0$ which we note is unchanged if we alter $Q$ by a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$.

Now $\bar{Q} \otimes (\det V) \otimes L^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is the map

$$
V \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes (\det V) \otimes L^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \to V^* \otimes \det V \otimes L^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}
$$

$$
(V \otimes (\det V)^* \otimes L^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) \to (V \otimes (\det V)^* \otimes L^{\frac{n-1}{2}})^*
$$

In other words, if we replace $V$ with $\bar{V} = V \otimes (\det V)^* \otimes L^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ then $\mathcal{L}$ gets replaced with $\mathcal{O}(D)$. This normalization is natural in two respects. Firstly, in the case of conic bundles, we have

$$
\det \bar{V} = \det V \otimes (\det V)^{-3} \otimes \mathcal{L}^3 \simeq \mathcal{O}(D).
$$

Hence, on normalizing we may assume that $\mathcal{L} = \det V$ and the formula above for the relative anti-canonical bundle shows that $\omega_{X/Z}^{-1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(H)$. Secondly, recall that above points of $D$, the quadric bundle $X(Q)$ degenerates into a union of two hyperplanes and so defines a double cover of $D$. The sheaf $\text{cok}(\bar{Q} : V \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) \to V^*)$ is the 2-torsion line bundle on $D$ which defines this double cover.

We say a conic bundle $\pi : X(Q) \to Z$ is flat if $\pi$ is flat and $Q \neq 0$. They can be characterized intrinsically as follows.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $X$ be a Gorenstein scheme over a smooth variety $Z$ such that the fibres of $\pi : X \to Z$ are all (possibly degenerate) conics in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then $X$ is a flat conic bundle.

**Remark.** The converse is clear since conic bundles are hypersurfaces. In fact, one sees easily that flat conic bundles are precisely those of the form $X(Q)$ where $Q$ is nowhere zero.

**Proof.** Note $\pi$ is flat since $Z$ is smooth, $X$ is Gorenstein and the fibres of $\pi$ are all 1-dimensional. Also, the relative anti-canonical bundle $\omega_{X/Z} := \omega_X \otimes \pi^* \omega_Z^{-1}$ is flat over $Z$. Grauert’s theorem and the condition on the fibres now ensure $V^* := \pi_* \omega_{X/Z}^{-1}$ is a vector bundle of rank 3 and we have a relative anti-canonical embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_Z(V^*)$. Computing fibre-wise, we see that the corresponding line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(X) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(2H) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}$ for some $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic} Z$. Now $X$ is given by a section of this bundle so determines up to scalar a quadratic form $Q = Q(X) \in \text{Hom}_Z(\text{Sym}^2 V, \mathcal{L})$.

The argument above shows that for flat conic bundles we have $\pi_* \omega_{X/Z}^{-1}$ is a rank three vector bundle. This is true in general.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $Q$ be a quadratic form on a rank three vector bundle $V$ with associated conic bundle $X$. Then

$$
\pi_* \omega_{X/Z}^{-1} \simeq V^* \otimes \det V \otimes \mathcal{L}^*.
$$

In particular if $Q$ is normalized, then $\pi_* \omega_{X/Z}^{-1} \simeq V^*$.

**Proof.** Consider the exact sequence of sheaves on $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$

$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(-H) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^* \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(H) \to \mathcal{O}_{X/Z}(H) \to 0.
$$
For $i = 0, 1$ we have
\[ R^i \pi_* (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)/Z}(-H) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^*) = R^i \pi_* (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)/Z}(-H) \otimes \mathcal{L}^*) = 0 \]
so the long exact sequence in cohomology gives $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X/Z}(H) = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)/Z}(H) = V^*$. The adjunction formula above gives for $X = X(Q)$
\[ \pi_* \omega_{X/Z}^{-1} \simeq \pi_* (\mathcal{O}_{X/Z}(H) \otimes \pi^* \det V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^*) \simeq V^* \otimes \det V \otimes \mathcal{L}^* . \]

\[ \square \]

3. Even Clifford Algebras

We now recall the construction of the even Clifford algebra of a quadratic form with values in a line bundle due to Bichsel and Knus [BK]. We will use this to study quaternion orders on projective surfaces as well as their Brauer-Severi varieties.

Let $Z$ be a scheme and $Q : \text{Sym}^2 V \to \mathcal{L}$ be a quadratic form on a rank $n$ vector bundle $V$ with values in the line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic} Z$. When $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}$, there is the well-known construction of the Clifford algebra, which is a sheaf of vector bundles also a version of the odd part of the Clifford algebra that is a module over the even part. As in general, for quadratic forms with values in line bundles, there is a version of the even part of the Clifford algebra that is a module over the even part, but the even and odd parts do not form an algebra. To construct the even part we proceed as follows:

We first consider two $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathcal{O}_Z$-algebras: the tensor algebra $T(V) = \oplus T(V)_i$ and $\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}^j$. Tensoring these two algebras together gives a bigraded algebra
\[ T(V, \mathcal{L}) := T(V) \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes \oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}^j . \]
Now $\text{Sym}^2 V \subset T(V)_2 = V \otimes Z V$ so we may consider $Q$ as a relation in $T(V, \mathcal{L})$ and define the total Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}_\bullet(Q)$ to be the quotient of $T(V, \mathcal{L})$ with defining relation $Q$. More precisely, let $I \triangleleft T(V, \mathcal{L})$ be the two-sided ideal generated by sections of the form $t - Q(t)$ for all $t \in \text{Sym}^2 V$. Then
\[ \text{Cl}_\bullet(Q) := T(V, \mathcal{L})/I . \]

If $\tilde{Q}$ is the symmetric matrix associated to $Q$ then we also write $\text{Cl}_\bullet(\tilde{Q})$ for $\text{Cl}_\bullet(Q)$.

Of course, $\text{Cl}_\bullet(Q)$ is no longer bigraded. However, if we give $V$ degree 1 and $\mathcal{L}$ degree 2, then the relation is homogeneous of degree 2 so $\text{Cl}_\bullet(Q)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. The degree zero part $\text{Cl}_0(Q)$ is called the even Clifford algebra since, when $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}$, it is the even part of the usual Clifford algebra. Recall from section 2 that Pic $Z$ acts on $Q$. Though altering $Q$ by a line bundle $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic} Z$ affects $\text{Cl}_\bullet(Q)$, it does not affect $\text{Cl}_0(Q)$.

We need a result concerning the classical Clifford algebra of a quadratic form
\[ Q : V \otimes V \to \mathcal{O}_Z \text{ defined by } \text{Cl}(Q) = T(V)/I \text{ where } I \text{ is the ideal generated by sections } t - Q(t) \text{ for } t \in \text{Sym}^2 V . \]

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $V = \mathcal{O}_Z^2$ and $Q : V \otimes V \to \mathcal{O}_Z$ be a quadratic form. Then $\text{Cl}(Q)^* \simeq \text{Cl}(Q)$ as left and right $\text{Cl}(Q)$-modules.

**Proof.** Write $V = \mathcal{O}_Z x \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z y$ and note that
\[ \text{Cl}(Q) = \mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z x \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z xy . \]
Let $\xi : \text{Cl}(Q) \to \mathcal{O}_Z$ be projection onto $\mathcal{O}_Z xy$. The left and right submodules generated by $\xi$ are isomorphic to the module $\text{Cl}(Q)^*$.

\[ \square \]
We omit the proof of the following result which mimics the proof in the field case as can be found for example in [Jac, theorem 4.14].

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $Z$ be the spectrum of a local ring with closed point $p$ and $Q : V \otimes V \to O_Z$ be a quadratic form on a rank $n$ vector bundle $V$. Suppose the induced quadratic form $Q \otimes Z k(p) : V_p \otimes_k V_p \to k(p)$ is non-zero where $V_p = V \otimes Z k(p)$. Then there is a rank $n-1$ sub-bundle $V' < V$ and a quadratic form $Q' : V' \otimes V' \to O_Z$ of rank rank $Q-1$ such that $Cl_0(Q) \simeq Cl(Q')$.

To study the even and the total Clifford algebra, we notice that $Cl_\bullet(Q)$ also has an ascending filtration where the $i$-th filtered piece is

$$F^i Cl_\bullet(Q) = \text{im} : (\oplus_{i \leq j} T(V)_i) \otimes (\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} L^j) \to Cl_\bullet(Q).$$

The associated graded algebra is then easily seen to be

$$\text{gr} Cl_\bullet(Q) = \wedge^\bullet V \otimes Z (\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} L^j).$$

This shows in particular that $Cl_0(Q)$ is locally free of rank $2^{n-1}$.

For the rest of this section, we assume that $n = 3$ which corresponds to conic bundles and algebras of rank 4. In this case, the above filtration gives an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to O_Z \to Cl_0(Q) \to \wedge^2 V \otimes L^{-1} \to 0.$$

Suppose now that $Q$ is non-degenerate. Then the centre of the even Clifford algebra is $Z(Cl_0(Q)) = O_Z$ by [BK, theorem 3.7(1)] and moreover, the Azumaya locus of $Cl_0(Q)$ is the open set where $\det Q \neq 0$. Hence if $Z$ is a normal integral scheme, the even Clifford algebra is an order. Now there is a reduced trace map on the central simple $k(Z)$-algebra $Cl_0(Q) \otimes Z k(Z)$ which restricts to a map $tr : Cl_0(Q) \to O_Z$. Now $\frac{1}{2} tr$ splits the above sequence so writing $sCl_0(Q) := \{ a \in Cl_0(Q) | tr(a) = 0 \}$ for the traceless part of $Cl_0(Q)$, we have

$$Cl_0(Q) = O_Z \oplus sCl_0(Q), \quad sCl_0(Q) \simeq \wedge^2 V \otimes L^{-1}.$$

When $Q$ is normalized so that $L = \det V$, we further have $sCl_0(Q) \simeq V^*$. The next result shows how to recover the total Clifford algebra from the even part. Recall that the wedge product induces a perfect pairing $\wedge^r V \otimes \wedge^{n-r} V \to \det V$ so if $n$ is odd, there is a duality between $\wedge^{\text{even}} V$ and $\wedge^{\text{odd}} V$. We will use a Clifford algebra analogue of this.

**Proposition 3.3.** Consider a total Clifford algebra $Cl_\bullet(Q)$ where $Q$ is a normalized quadratic form on a rank 3 vector bundle and let $A = Cl_0(Q)$ be the even Clifford algebra. The graded decomposition of $Cl_\bullet(Q)$ as $A$-modules can be rewritten as

$$Cl_\bullet(Q) = (\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A \otimes Z L^j) \oplus (\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A^* \otimes Z L^j)$$

where $A^*$ sits in degree 1. The decomposition is as of $A$-modules. Moreover, in this description $(A/O_Z)^* \subseteq A^*$ corresponds to $V \subseteq Cl_1(Q)$.

**Proof.** The filtration on the third graded component of $Cl_\bullet(Q)$ gives the exact sequence

$$0 \to V \otimes L \to Cl_3(Q) \to \wedge^3 V \to 0.$$
Since $Q$ is normalized, we may identify $L$ with $\wedge^3 V$, to see that multiplication in the total Clifford algebra gives a pairing

$$\text{Cl}_1(Q) \otimes_A \text{Cl}_2(Q) \to \text{Cl}_3(Q) \to L.$$ 

It is a perfect pairing since it is compatible with the perfect pairing on $\text{gr} \text{Cl}_1(Q)$. Tensoring with $L^{-1}$ shows that $\text{Cl}_1(Q) = \text{Cl}_0(Q)^*$. It also shows that $(A/O_Z)^* < A^*$ corresponds to $V$. 

The following result will be useful in the next section.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $V$ be a rank three vector bundle and $Q : V \otimes V \to L$ a quadratic form with values in a line bundle $L$. Suppose that $Q$ is non-degenerate and nowhere zero. Writing $A$ for the even Clifford algebra, we have an $A$-bimodule isomorphism $A^* \otimes_A A^* \cong A \otimes L$. Furthermore, the isomorphism maps $\text{Sym}^2(A/O_Z)^*$ onto $O_Z \otimes L$.

**Proof.** Consider the bimodule morphism given by multiplication in the total Clifford algebra

$$\mu : A^* \otimes_A A^* = \text{Cl}_1(Q) \otimes_A \text{Cl}_1(Q) \to \text{Cl}_2(Q) = A \otimes L.$$ 

Note that $\text{Cl}_2(Q) = V^2 + L$ where $V^2$ denotes sums of products of elements in $V$. Since $Q$ is nowhere zero, we in fact have $V^2 \supset L$ so $\mu$ is clearly surjective. Note that locally, $A$ is Clifford by proposition 3.2 and the assumption that $Q$ is nowhere zero. So locally on $Z$, proposition 3.1 shows that $A^* \simeq A$ as a left and right $A$-module. Hence $A^* \otimes_A A^*$ is locally isomorphic to $A$ and $\mu$ induces the desired isomorphism. 

**4. Quaternion Algebras**

Not all locally free algebras of rank four occur as even Clifford algebras. In this section, we give a partial intrinsic characterization of these algebras. We thank the referee and Voight for pointing out that a complete intrinsic characterization has been obtained in [Voi]. As a result, we will only sketch proofs here, but will also show how the results may be extracted from [Voi].

Let $Z$ be a scheme. Usually, $Z$ will be integral and $A$ will be an $O_Z$-algebra that is locally free of rank four.

**Definition 4.1.** We say that an $O_Z$-algebra $A$ is *quaternion* if it is locally free of rank four and there is an $O_Z$-linear trace function $\text{tr} : A \to O_Z$ such that

1. $\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}$ splits the natural inclusion $O_Z \to A$.
2. any section $a \in A$ satisfies a quadratic relation of the form $a^2 - \text{tr}(a)a + g = 0$ where $g \in O_Z$.

**Definition 4.2.** Suppose that $Z$ is a normal integral scheme. A *quaternion order* is an $O_Z$-algebra $A$ that is locally free of rank four and such that $k(Z) \otimes_A A$ is a central simple $k(Z)$-algebra. The definition is justified by the fact that the reduced trace function satisfies conditions 1) and 2) so $A$ is quaternion.

**Remark.** The conditions 1) and 2) above define the trace uniquely when $Z$ is integral (see for example the proof of the proposition below). Furthermore, in 2) we have $g = \frac{1}{2}((\text{tr } a)^2 - \text{tr } a^2)$. 
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Here are some basic facts. The definition of Cayley-Hamilton algebras can be found in [leB, §1.6] while the definition of standard involution (of the first kind) is in [Voi] definition 1.2.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $A$ be an $\mathcal{O}_Z$-algebra that is locally free of rank four. Then it is quaternion if and only if there is a splitting of the sheaf $A = \mathcal{O}_Z \oplus sA$ as $\mathcal{O}_Z$-modules, such that for every $x \in sA$ we have $x^2 \in \mathcal{O}_Z$. If $A$ is a quaternion algebra on an integral scheme $Z$, then the trace pairing $A \times A \to \mathcal{O}_Z : (x,y) \mapsto \mathrm{tr}(xy)$ is symmetric. In particular, in this case we have $A$ is quaternion if and only if there is a splitting of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Z$. We have $(x,y)$.

**Proof.** If $A$ is a quaternion order, then $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}$ gives a splitting as above. So to prove the converse we assume $A$ has a splitting $A = \mathcal{O}_Z \oplus sA$ as above. The splitting defines a trace map which satisfies the conditions of definition 4.1 since we are assuming $x^2 \in \mathcal{O}_Z$ for every $x \in sA$. To prove symmetry of the trace pairing it suffices, since $\mathcal{O}_Z$ is central, to show $\mathrm{tr}(xy) = \mathrm{tr}(yx)$ for all linearly independent $x, y \in sA$. Let $t = xy + yx$ which lies in $\mathcal{O}_Z$ since

$$t = (x + y)^2 - x^2 - y^2 \in \mathcal{O}_Z.$$ Consider first the case where $xy \notin \mathcal{O}_Z$ so its trace is determined by condition 2) of definition 4.1. We have $(xy)^2 = -x^2y^2 + txy$. Since $x^2, y^2 \in \mathcal{O}_Z$ we see $\mathrm{tr}(xy) = t$ and a symmetric computation shows $\mathrm{tr}(yx) = t$. Suppose on the other hand that $xy \in \mathcal{O}_Z$ so also $yx \in \mathcal{O}_Z$. Then $(x^2)y + x(yx) = xt$ so linear independence of $x, y$ force $x^2 = 0, yx = t$. A symmetric computation shows $xy = t$ so in fact we will have $xy = yx = 0$. This shows that $A$ is Cayley-Hamilton of degree two and a direct computation shows that $a \mapsto \mathrm{tr}(a) - a$ is a standard involution of the first kind.

The next result immediately suggests a strong relationship between quaternion algebras and Clifford algebras.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $Z$ be the spectrum of a local ring with closed point $p$, and $A$ be a quaternion $\mathcal{O}_Z$-algebra. Suppose that $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z k(p)$ is generated as a $k(p)$-algebra by two elements $x, y \in A$. Then $A = \mathrm{Cl}(Q)$ for some quadratic form on $V = \mathcal{O}_Z(x - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}x) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(y - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}y)$.

**Proof.** Replacing $x, y$ with $x - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}x, y - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}y$, we may suppose that $x, y \in sA$. Hence $a := x^2, b := y^2, c := \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx) \in \mathcal{O}_Z$. Now $x, y$ generate $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z k(p)$ so $1, x, y, xy$ must form a $k(p)$-basis. They are thus also an $\mathcal{O}_Z$-basis for $A$. If we set $V = \mathcal{O}_Zx \oplus \mathcal{O}_Zy$, then $A = \mathrm{Cl}(Q)$ where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}.$$ Restricting a quaternion algebra to a closed subscheme $Y \subset Z$ gives a quaternion algebra on $Y$. The closed fibres of a quaternion algebra are thus prescribed by the following well-known result whose proof we omit (see [Voi]).

**Theorem 4.5.** Let $A$ be a quaternion $k$-algebra. Then $A$ is isomorphic to one of the following algebras:

1. a central simple Clifford algebra $k(x, y)/(x^2 - a, y^2 - b, xy + yx)$ for some $a, b \in k^*$. This is a free offprint provided to the author by the publisher. Copyright restrictions may apply.
(2) a Clifford algebra of form $k\langle x, y \rangle/(x^2 - a, y^2, xy + yx)$ for some $a \in k^*$.
(3) the Clifford algebra $k\langle x, y \rangle/(x^2, y^2, xy + yx)$.
(4) the commutative algebra $k[x, y, z]/(x, y, z)^2$.
(5) the quiver algebra of the Kronecker quiver with two arrows:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
k & V \\
0 & k
\end{pmatrix}
$$

where $V$ is a two dimensional vector space over $k$.

The only Clifford algebras amongst these are 1), 2) and 3). The algebras 1), 2), 3), 4) are the even Clifford algebras $Cl_0(Q)$ where $Q$ has rank 3, 2, 1, 0 respectively.

**Remark.** a) The algebra in 5) above does not occur in the case of orders for the following reason. Suppose that $Z$ is the spectrum of a complete local ring with closed point $p$ and residue field $k(p) = k$. If $A \otimes_Z k(p)$ is the quaternion algebra in 5), then it has a non-trivial idempotent $e$ such that $e(A \otimes_Z k(p))(1 - e) = 0$. Now idempotents may be lifted to $Z$ to give a Peirce decomposition

$$A \simeq \begin{pmatrix} O_Z & V \\ 0 & O_Z \end{pmatrix}$$

where $V$ is a vector bundle of rank two on $Z$. In other words, one cannot deform the quiver algebra of the Kronecker quiver into the matrix algebra. This algebra is an example of what Voight calls an exceptional ring (see [Voit definition 1.4]).

b) Any Clifford algebra formed from a symmetric matrix $\tilde{Q} : V \to V^*$ is isomorphic to the even Clifford algebra formed from the symmetric matrix $\tilde{Q} \oplus 1 : V \oplus O \to V^* \oplus O$. The theorem above shows that, even locally on $Z$, an even Clifford algebra may not be a Clifford algebra.

**Definition 4.6.** We say that an algebra $A$ is locally quaternion (respectively, Clifford, or even Clifford) if the localization of $A$ at any point is isomorphic to a quaternion algebra (respectively, Clifford algebra, or even Clifford algebra).

**Proposition 4.7.** Suppose $Z$ is an integral scheme. Any locally quaternion algebra is quaternion. Any locally even Clifford algebra of rank four is quaternion.

**Proof.** Let $A$ be a quaternion algebra and $A = O_Z \oplus sA$ be the splitting induced by the trace. Then

$$\{a \in A| a^2 \in O_Z\} = O_Z \cup sA$$

so the subbundle $sA$ is uniquely defined. In particular, any locally quaternion algebra is quaternion by proposition 4.3.

Consider the even Clifford algebra $Cl_0(Q)$. To show it is quaternion, it suffices to work locally so we may assume $Q : V \otimes V \to O_Z$ is given by a matrix $(q_{ij})$ with respect to a basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ for $V$. Recall this means $Cl_0(Q)$ has defining relations

$$\frac{1}{2}(x_i x_j + x_j x_i) = q_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$ 

Then

$$sA := O_Z(x_1 x_2 - q_{12}) \oplus O_Z(x_2 x_3 - q_{23}) \oplus O_Z(x_3 x_1 - q_{31})$$

is a complement to $O_Z$ with which we can apply proposition 4.3 to show that $A$ is quaternion. It follows that any locally even Clifford algebra is locally quaternion and hence, quaternion. □
We can finally give an intrinsic characterization of the even Clifford algebras of nowhere zero quadratic forms. It is essentially a special case of [Voi] theorem A.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let $A$ be an $\mathcal{O}_Z$-algebra where $Z$ is an integral scheme. The following are equivalent.

1. $A$ is a quaternion algebra such that for every $p \in Z$ closed, the algebra $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z k(p)$ is generated by two elements.
2. $A$ is a locally Clifford algebra of rank 4.
3. $A \simeq Cl_0(Q)$ for some nowhere zero quadratic form $Q : V \otimes V \to \mathcal{L}$ on a rank three vector bundle $V$ with values in the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$.

**Proof.** We assume first that 3) holds and prove 1). Proposition 3.2 and the fact that $Q$ is nowhere zero implies that $Cl_0(Q)$ is locally Clifford and hence locally generated by two elements. It is quaternion by proposition 4.7. The implication 1) $\Rightarrow$ 2) is proposition 4.4.

Finally, we assume 2) and prove 3). We know that locally, $A$ is an even Clifford algebra and must show this holds globally. We construct the total Clifford algebra first. The Clifford algebra will be built from the rank three vector bundle $V = (A/\mathcal{O}_Z)^* < A^*$. Finding the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is more subtle. Locally on $Z$, we know by lemma 3.4 that there is an $A$-bimodule isomorphism $A^* \otimes_A A^* \simeq A$ which maps $\text{Sym}^2 V$ onto $\mathcal{O}_Z$. Now local computations show $Z(A) = \mathcal{O}_Z$ so bimodule isomorphisms $A \to A$ are given by multiplication by sections of $\mathcal{O}_Z$. These give transition functions which define a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic} Z$ allowing us to glue these isomorphisms to a global isomorphism $A^* \otimes_A A^* \simeq A \otimes \mathcal{L}$. This in turn gives the “total Clifford algebra”

$$Cl_\bullet(Q) = \left( \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z \mathcal{L}^j \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z \mathcal{L}^j \right).$$

Looking locally at the isomorphism $A^* \otimes_A A^* \simeq A \otimes \mathcal{L}$, we see that the multiplication maps $\text{Sym}^2 V$ into $\mathcal{L}$. Consequently, multiplication gives a global map $Q : \text{Sym}^2 V \to \mathcal{L}$. It is now clear that $A \simeq Cl_0(Q)$. Furthermore, $Q$ is nowhere zero since we actually assumed that $A$ was locally Clifford.

The theorem shows that, under the nowhere zero assumption, the property of being an even Clifford algebra is purely local on the base $Z$. This mimics the case of conic bundles. The equivalence of 1) and 3) can also be extracted from [Voi]. Indeed, the two generator hypothesis in 1) corresponds to the fact that the canonical exterior form in [Voi] is never zero, which in turn by [Voi] theorem Aiv) corresponds to the fact that the quaternion algebra is the even Clifford algebra of a nowhere zero form.

**Remark.** If an algebra is locally Clifford in codimension one, then often one can apply the previous result on the Clifford locus and extend across codimension two points. For example, let $A$ be a maximal order of rank 4 on a smooth surface. Then $A$ is reflexive hence locally free by Auslander-Buchsbaum. Purity of the branch locus and étale local descriptions of $A$ at codimension one points show that $A$ is locally Clifford outside some closed subset $Y \subset Z$ of codimension at least two. Hence $A$ is isomorphic to $Cl_0(Q)$ on $Z - Y$ for some quadratic form $Q : V \otimes V \to \mathcal{L}$. Now $V, \mathcal{L}, Q$ all extend uniquely to $Z$ and, since $A$ is reflexive, $A$ is determined completely by its structure on $Z - Y$. Hence $A$ is globally even Clifford.
5. Brauer-Severi Varieties of Even Clifford Algebras

In this section we consider a quadratic form \( Q : V \otimes V \to \mathcal{L} \) where \( V \) is a rank 3 vector bundle on a smooth variety \( Z \). For an algebra of rank \( n \) over a scheme \( Z \) we write \( \text{SB}(A) \) for the Brauer-Severi scheme \( \text{BS}_{n}(A,\mathcal{O}_{Z}) \) as defined in [VdB]. So we write the Brauer-Severi variety of the even Clifford algebra \( Cl_{0}(Q) \) by \( \text{SB}(Cl_{0}(Q)) \). Recall that from [2] that we may view \( Q \in H^{0}(Z, \text{Sym}^{2} V^{*} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \) and its zero locus \( X = X(Q) \) is a conic bundle in \( \mathbb{P}(V^{*}) \). The objective of this section is to show that \( X(Q) = \text{SB}(Cl_{0}(Q)) \). Hence the maps relating quadratic forms to quaternion algebras and Brauer-Severi varieties are compatible.

The first task is to show that the Brauer-Severi variety naturally embeds in \( \mathbb{P}(V^{*}) \). We can and will assume that \( V \) has been normalized as in section [2] so \( \mathcal{L} = \text{det} \, V \).

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \( A \) be a quaternion algebra on a smooth variety \( Z \). Then there is a closed embedding of \( \text{SB}(A) \) into \( \mathbb{P}(A/\mathcal{O}_{Z}) \). This map sends a codimension two ideal \( I < A \) to the one codimensional subsheaf \( I + \mathcal{O}_{Z} < A/\mathcal{O}_{Z} \). (Here codimension is as of vector spaces over \( k \).

**Proof.** Recall that \( \mathbb{P}(A/\mathcal{O}_{Z}) \) is the fine moduli space parametrizing one codimensional subsheaves of \( A \) which contain \( \mathcal{O}_{Z} \). A map \( \text{SB}(A) \to \mathbb{P}(A/\mathcal{O}_{Z}) \) can thus be constructed functorially as follows. Let \( f : T \to Z \) be a test scheme and \( I < f^{*}A \) a left ideal such that \( f^{*}A/ I \) is flat over \( T \) of constant rank 2. We seek to show that \( f^{*}A/(I + \mathcal{O}_{T}) \) is a line bundle on \( T \) which will give our required map \( \text{SB}(A) \to \mathbb{P}(A/\mathcal{O}_{Z}) \). To this end, we may assume \( \mathcal{O}_{T} \) is local with maximal ideal \( \mathfrak{m} \) and we need to show \( \text{Tor}_{1}^{T}(\mathcal{O}_{T}/\mathfrak{m}, f^{*}A/(I + \mathcal{O}_{T})) = 0 \). Flatness of \( f^{*}A/I \) gives an exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Tor}_{1}^{T}(\mathcal{O}_{T}/\mathfrak{m}, f^{*}A/(I + \mathcal{O}_{T})) \to \mathcal{O}_{T}/\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{T}/\mathcal{O}_{T} \cap I \to \mathcal{O}_{T}/\mathfrak{m} \otimes f^{*}A/I.
\]

It suffices to show that the map on the right is injective, which, since \( \mathcal{O}_{T}/\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{T}/\mathcal{O}_{T} \cap I \cong \mathcal{O}_{T}/\mathfrak{m} \) is simple, fails precisely when \( \mathcal{O}_{T} \subset \mathfrak{m} f^{*}A + I \). Suppose this occurs. Now \( \mathfrak{m} f^{*}A + I \) is an ideal containing 1 so must be \( f^{*}A \). Nakayama’s lemma now implies that \( I = f^{*}A \), a contradiction. We conclude that \( f^{*}A/(I + \mathcal{O}_{T}) \) is a line bundle on \( T \) so our map \( i : \text{SB}(A) \to \mathbb{P}(A/\mathcal{O}_{Z}) \) is well defined.

Now \( \text{SB}(A) \) is projective over \( Z \). We show that our map \( i \) is an embedding by showing that it separates points and tangent vectors. This is clear if the points lie over different points of \( Z \) or the tangent vector is horizontal. We can thus restrict our attention to some closed fibre \( A_{0} \) of \( A \). Let \( I_{1}, I_{2} \) be distinct two-dimensional ideals in \( A_{0} \). If they are not separated by \( i \), then \( I_{1} + k = I_{2} + k \). It follows that the ideal \( I_{1} + I_{2} = I_{1} + k \) which gives a contradiction since the only ideal containing \( k \) is \( A_{0} \).

Now let \( k[\varepsilon] \) be the ring of dual numbers and \( I_{1}, I_{2} < A_{0} \otimes k[\varepsilon] \) be ideals which are flat over \( k[\varepsilon] \). They correspond to vertical tangent vectors in the Brauer-Severi variety which we will assume to be distinct. If they are not separated by \( i \) then \( I_{1} + k[\varepsilon] = I_{2} + k[\varepsilon] \). As in the previous case, \( I_{1} + I_{2} \subset I_{1} + k[\varepsilon] \) and a contradiction arises unless \( I_{1} + I_{2} = I_{1} + \varepsilon k[\varepsilon] \). Now flatness of \( I_{1} \) implies that \( \varepsilon A_{0} \cap (I_{1} + \varepsilon k[\varepsilon]) \) is a 3-dimensional \( A_{0} \)-module containing \( \varepsilon \). However, \( A_{0} \varepsilon \) is already 4 dimensional so we obtain a contradiction once more. \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.2.** Consider a quadratic form \( Q \) on a rank 3 vector bundle \( V \) on a smooth variety \( Z \) as above. Then \( \text{SB}(Cl_{0}(Q)) = X(Q) \subset \mathbb{P}(V^{*}) \).
PROOF. We carry out the computation at the universal closed point. Hence $V$ is a vector space say with basis $x, y, z$ and $Q$ is given by a $3 \times 3$-matrix $(q_{ij})$ with entries in $k$. The even Clifford algebra $A := Cl_0(Q)$ has basis

$$Z := x \wedge y, X := y \wedge z, Y := z \wedge x, 1.$$  

Recall $A/k \simeq V^*$. We will write elements of $A^*$ as row vectors with respect to the basis dual to $1, X, Y, Z$. This means an element $\alpha \in (A/k)^* \simeq V$ has the form $\alpha = (0 \, \alpha_1 \, \alpha_2 \, \alpha_3)$. We compute the closed condition for $\alpha$ to be in the image of $i : SB(A) \to \mathbb{P}(V^*)$. Note that $\ker \alpha < A$ is $3$-dimensional and it is in the image of $i$ precisely when the maximal left ideal $I$ in $\ker \alpha$ is two dimensional. But using the right $A$-module structure on $A^*$ we can write

$$I = \ker \alpha \cap \ker(\alpha X) \cap \ker(\alpha Y) \cap \ker(\alpha Z).$$

Now a short computation shows $\ker \alpha, \ker \alpha X, \ker \alpha Y, \ker \alpha Z$ are the rows of the matrix below $M :=$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \\
\alpha_1 & 2q_{23} \alpha_1 & -q_{33} \alpha_3 & 2q_{12} \alpha_1 + q_{22} \alpha_2 + 2q_{33} \alpha_3 \\
\alpha_2 & 2q_{13} \alpha_1 + 2q_{23} \alpha_2 + q_{33} \alpha_3 & 2q_{13} \alpha_2 & -q_{11} \alpha_1 \\
\alpha_3 & -q_{22} \alpha_2 & q_{11} \alpha_1 + 2q_{12} \alpha_2 + 2q_{13} \alpha_3 & 2q_{12} \alpha_3
\end{pmatrix}$$

Also $I = \ker M$ is two-dimensional precisely when $M$ has rank two, that is, all $3 \times 3$-minors vanish. Let

$$q(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = q_{11} \alpha_1^2 + q_{22} \alpha_2^2 + q_{33} \alpha_3^2 + 2q_{12} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + 2q_{13} \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + 2q_{23} \alpha_2 \alpha_3.$$  

Then all $3 \times 3$-minors are multiples of $q$ and furthermore, the $(4,3), (3,2), (2,4)$ minors are $\alpha_1 q, -\alpha_2 q, \alpha_3 q$ respectively. Hence the closed condition for $\alpha$ to be in the Brauer-Severi variety is $q(\alpha) = 0$. This proves that indeed $SB(Cl_0(Q)) = X(Q)$.  

6. Quaternion algebras of conic bundles

In this section, we give a direct method for recovering quaternion algebras from their Brauer-Severi variety. Let $\pi : X \to Z$ be a conic bundle on a smooth variety $Z$. So $X$ is a Gorenstein variety and the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X/Z} = \omega_X \otimes \pi^* \omega_Z^{-1}$ is a line bundle. We need the following facts

**Lemma 6.1.** We have natural isomorphisms

$$\pi_* \omega_{X/Z} = 0$$

$$R^1 \pi_* \omega_{X/Z} = \mathcal{O}_Z$$

$$H^{i+1}(X, \omega_{X/Z}) = H^i(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z).$$

**Proof.** The first statements follow from the fact that $R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$, and the last line follows from the Leray spectral sequence. 

**Definition 6.2.** We define a rank two vector bundle $J$ on $X$ as follows. From the above lemma we see that $H^1(X, \omega_{X/Z}) = H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. Hence $1 \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ determines an extension

$$0 \to \omega_{X/Z} \to J^* \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0.$$  

It is essentially unique. We call this extension the *Euler sequence* of the conic bundle and $J$ is the *dual Euler extension*. 
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The terminology derives from the fact that if we restrict to smooth fibres (or pull back to an étale cover of the locus of smooth fibres) we obtain the usual Euler sequence

\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}(-1)^2 \to \mathcal{O} \to 0. \]

**Lemma 6.3.** We have the following natural isomorphism

\[ R^i \pi_* J^* = 0. \]

**Proof.** This follows from the long exact sequence formed on pushing down the extension above, together with the natural isomorphism \( R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \simeq R^{i+1} \pi_* \omega_{X/Z}. \)

We are primarily interested in the dual of the previous exact sequence and its pushforward to \( Z \).

\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to J \to T_{X/Z} \to 0, \]

where \( T_{X/Z} \) is the relative tangent sheaf. Let \( A = \pi_* \mathcal{E}nd_X(J) \). We have the following result.

**Proposition 6.4.** There is an isomorphism of sheaves on \( Z \),

\[ A \simeq \pi_* J. \]

**Proof.** Apply \(- \otimes J\) to the sequence

\[ 0 \to \omega_{X/Z} \to J^* \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to 0, \]

to obtain

\[ 0 \to \omega_{X/Z} \otimes J \to J^* \otimes J \to J \to 0. \]

By relative duality we know that \( R^i \pi_*(\omega_{X/Z} \otimes J) \) is dual to \( R^i \pi_* J^* = 0 \), so the result follows on pushing forward to \( Z \).

**Corollary 6.5.** If we push forward the exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to J \to T_{X/Z} \to 0 \]

we obtain

\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to A \to A/\mathcal{O}_Z \to 0 \]

and we have a natural isomorphisms

\[ A/\mathcal{O}_Z \simeq \pi_* T_{X/Z} \simeq \pi_* \omega_{X/Z}^{-1} \]

\[ \simeq \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_Z(K_Z)) \simeq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(K_Z). \]

So we see that \( A/\mathcal{O} \) is the pushforward of a line bundle.

**Proposition 6.6.** The algebra \( A = \pi_* \mathcal{E}nd_X J \) is quaternion.

**Proof.** Lemma 2.2 shows that \( \pi_* \omega_{X/Z}^{-1} \) is a rank three vector bundle so the exact sequence in the previous corollary reveals that \( A \) is locally free of rank four. Now \( \mathcal{E}nd_X J \) is quaternion since it is Azumaya so we may push forward the trace map to obtain a trace map \( \text{tr} : A \to \mathcal{O}_Z \). The conditions on \( \text{tr} \) for \( A \) to be a quaternion algebra are inherited from the corresponding conditions on \( \mathcal{E}nd_X J \).
We wish to show that under mild hypotheses, conic bundles and quaternion algebras are in bijective correspondence under the maps

\[ \{ \pi : X \to Z \} \mapsto \pi_*E_{nd_X}J, \quad A \mapsto SB(A). \]

Under this correspondence, we obtain another important interpretation of \( J \). Let \( A \) be a locally Clifford algebra over \( Z \) of rank 4 so that the Brauer-Severi variety \( \pi : SB(A) \to Z \) is a conic bundle by theorem 6.2. Since \( SB(A) \) parametrizes two dimensional cyclic representations of \( A \) there is a universal cyclic representation \( J \) with natural maps \( \pi^*A \to J \to 0 \) and \( \pi^*A \to \mathcal{E}_{nd}(J) \). We will show that this \( J \) corresponds to the one obtained from the conic bundle \( SB(A) \) via the Euler sequence.

We start with a conic bundle \( \pi : X \to Z \) and seek to show, under some hypotheses, that \( X \) is naturally isomorphic to \( SB(\pi_*E_{nd_X}J) \). The following proposition is the first step.

**Proposition 6.7.** Consider the map in the Euler sequence \( J^* \to \mathcal{O}_Z \) and the induced quotient map \( q : \mathcal{E}_{nd_X}J \to J \).

1. The composed map

\[ p : \pi^*\pi_*E_{nd_X}J \to \mathcal{E}_{nd_X}J \xrightarrow{q} J \]

is a surjective map of \( \pi^*\pi_*E_{nd_X}J \)-modules. It naturally induces a morphism of varieties \( \phi : X \to SB(\pi_*E_{nd_X}J) \).

2. The surjection \( \pi^*\pi_*\omega^{-1}_{X/Z} \to \omega^{-1}_{X/Z} \) defines a map \( \psi : X \to \mathbb{P}(\pi_*\omega^{-1}_{X/Z}) \) and this maps \( \psi \) and \( \phi \) are compatible with the map \( SB(\pi_*E_{nd_X}J) \to \mathbb{P}(\pi_*\omega^{-1}_{X/Z}) \) defined in proposition 5.1.

**Proof.** First observe that \( q \) is a morphism of \( \mathcal{E}_{nd_X}J \)-modules so \( p \) is a morphism of \( \pi^*\pi_*E_{nd_X}J \)-modules. To prove 1), it remains only to show that \( p \) is surjective since \( J \) is flat over \( X \) of constant rank two. Recall the exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X \to \pi_*J \to \pi_*\omega^{-1}_{X/Z} \to 0. \]

We may pull this back via \( \pi \) to obtain a commutative diagram with exact rows

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
L_1 \pi^*\pi_*\omega^{-1}_{X/Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\pi_*J & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\pi_*\omega^{-1}_{X/Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & \omega^{-1}_{X/Z} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

Now \( \pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X \) is surjective as is \( \pi^*\pi_*\omega^{-1}_{X/Z} \to \omega^{-1}_{X/Z} \) since \( \omega^{-1}_{X/Z} \) is relatively very ample with respect to \( \pi \). From proposition 6.1 we see that \( p : \pi^*\pi_*E_{nd_X}J = \pi^*\pi_*J \to J \) is surjective too and 1) follows. The above commutative diagram also shows that the map \( \psi \) is well-defined and compatible with the map in proposition 5.1. \( \square \)

**Theorem 6.8.** Let \( \pi : X \to Z \) be a flat conic bundle and \( A = \pi_*E_{nd_X}J \). Then the map \( \phi : X \to SB(A) \) of \( Z \)-schemes constructed in proposition 6.7 is an isomorphism and \( A \) is the universal cyclic representation of rank two. Finally, \( A \) is locally Clifford of rank 4.
PROOF. We know from proposition 6.7 that \( \phi \) is compatible with the natural embeddings of \( X \) and \( \text{SB}(A) \) into \( \mathbb{P}(\pi_*\omega^1_X/Z) \). Hence to show it is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that it is an isomorphism on each fibre. Observe that at a closed point \( z \in Z \), the Brauer-Severi variety above \( z \) is just \( \text{SB}(A \otimes_Z k(z)) \). To compute \( A \otimes_Z k(z) \) note that \( (\mathcal{E}nd_X J) \otimes_Z k(z) = \mathcal{E}nd_X(J \otimes_Z k(z)) \). Now by construction \( J \otimes_Z k(z) \) is the dual Euler extension corresponding to the conic \( X_z \) so proposition 6.6 shows that \( \text{End}_X(J \otimes_Z k(z)) \) is always 4-dimensional. Flatness now gives the base-change condition for \( \mathcal{E}nd_X J \) with respect to \( \pi \). Our computation is thus reduced to one on closed fibres.

The isomorphism on closed fibres will follow from the three lemmas below which show the correspondence

\[
\{ \pi : X \to Z \} \mapsto \pi_* \mathcal{E}nd_X J, \quad A \mapsto \text{SB}(A)
\]

holds on closed fibres. Note that as \( \pi \) is flat, there are only three possible fibres, the smooth conic isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), the pair of lines crossing in a node and finally, the double line. There will be a lemma for each of these cases.

**Lemma 6.9.** Let \( X = \mathbb{P}^1 \) and \( J = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \). Then the dual Euler sequence is

\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to J \to \mathcal{O}(2) \to 0
\]

and \( A = \text{End}_X J \) is the full \( 2 \times 2 \)-matrix algebra over \( k \). The map \( \phi : X \to \text{SB}(A) \) of proposition 6.7 is an isomorphism. Furthermore, the map \( p : A \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X \to J \) of that proposition exhibits \( J \) as the universal cyclic representation of \( A \) of rank two.

**Proof.** We omit the proof of this easy fact, most of which is well-known. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.10.** Let \( X \) be the union of two distinct lines \( l, l' \) in \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). Let \( p, p' \) be points on \( l, l' \) respectively which are not nodal. Then the dual Euler sequence is

\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}(p) \oplus \mathcal{O}(p') \to \mathcal{O}(p + p') \to 0
\]

Setting \( J = \mathcal{O}(p) \oplus \mathcal{O}(p') \) we have \( A = \text{End}_X J \) is the algebra 2) in theorem 4.5. The map \( \phi : X \to \text{SB}(A) \) of proposition 6.7 is an isomorphism. Furthermore, the map \( p : A \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X \to J \) of that proposition exhibits \( J \) as the universal cyclic representation of \( A \) of rank two.

**Proof.** The Euler sequence above is clear. Now the Clifford algebra 2) of theorem 4.5 has a Peirce decomposition which allows it to be written schematically as

\[
A' := \begin{pmatrix} k & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \ \varepsilon^2 = 0.
\]

Now

\[
\text{End}_X J = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}(p), \mathcal{O}(p)) & \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}(p'), \mathcal{O}(p)) \\ \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}(p), \mathcal{O}(p')) & \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}(p'), \mathcal{O}(p')) \end{pmatrix}
\]

and the algebra isomorphism \( A \simeq A' \) is easily obtained by matching up the two Peirce decompositions. It is well-known that \( \text{SB}(A) \) is isomorphic to \( X \) (as can be determined using theorem 5.2 for example) from which one easily observes that \( \phi \) is in isomorphism and \( J \) is the universal cyclic representation. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.11.** Let \( R = k[u, v, w]/(w^2) \) and \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \) be the double line \( \text{Proj} R \). Let \( A = k[x, y] \) be the algebra 3) of theorem 4.5. Let \( M \) be the graded \( A \otimes_k R \)-module

\[
M := A \otimes_k R/(R(w + vx - wy) + R(wx - uxy) + R(-wy + vxy) + Rwxy)
\]
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and $J$ be the corresponding sheaf on $X$. Then the dual Euler sequence is

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to J \to \mathcal{O}_X(1) \to 0$$

and $A \simeq \text{End}_X J$. The map $\phi : X \to \text{SB}(A)$ of proposition 6.7 is an isomorphism. Furthermore, the map $p : A \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X \to J$ of that proposition exhibits $J$ as the universal cyclic representation of $A$ of rank two.

**Proof.** Since the dual Euler extension is the essentially unique non-split extension of $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ by $\mathcal{O}_X$, verifying the Euler sequence amounts to showing that the cokernel $N$ of $R \to M : r \mapsto 1 \otimes r$ is a Serre module for $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Now $R_{\geq 0}wxy \subset R(wx - uxy) + R(-wy + vxy)$ so up to a finite dimensional vector space we have

$$N = \frac{Rx \oplus Ry \oplus Rxy}{R(wx - uxy) + R(wx - uzy) + R(-wy + vxy)}.$$  

But the Koszul complex for $k[u, v, w]$ shows that this is indeed a Serre module for $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$.

Since $M$ is an $A$-module we certainly have $A \subset \text{End}_X J$. But proposition 6.6 shows that $\text{End}_X J$ is 4-dimensional so we have equality. We know $\text{SB}(A)$ is the double line so it follows that $\phi$ must be an isomorphism and $J$ is the universal cyclic representation.

Proposition 6.6 shows that $A$ is quaternion while the fibre-wise computations above show that all the closed fibres of $A$ are generated as a $k$-algebra by two elements. Proposition 4.3 now ensures that $A$ is locally Clifford of rank 4. This completes the proof of the theorem. \qed

**Theorem 6.12.** Let $A$ be a locally Clifford algebra over $Z$ of rank four and $\pi : X = \text{SB}(A) \to Z$ be the Brauer-Severi variety. Then $\pi$ is a flat conic bundle and $A \simeq \pi_* \text{End}_X J$ where $J$ is universal cyclic representation of rank two. Furthermore, $J$ is the dual Euler extension associated to the conic bundle $\pi : X \to Z$. Consequently, there is a bijection between flat conic bundles and locally Clifford algebras of rank four.

**Proof.** Since $A$ is locally Clifford, it is locally even Clifford so $\text{SB}(A)$ is a conic bundle by theorem 5.2. None of the fibres of $\text{SB}(A)$ are $\mathbb{P}^2$ so it is in fact a flat conic bundle. We have by definition of universal representation a surjective module map $\pi^* A \to J$ and a map $\pi^* A \to \text{End} J$. Hence there is an algebra map $A \to \pi_* \text{End}_X J$. It is an isomorphism by the fibre-wise computations in lemmas 6.9, 6.10 and 6.11.

The fibre-wise computation also shows that on every closed fibre $X_z$ for $z \in Z$, we have a non-split sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X_z} \to J|_{X_z} \to \omega_{X_z}^{-1} \to 0.$$  

This shows that $T := J/\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \omega_{X/Z}^{-1} \otimes_Z \pi^* M$ for some line bundle $M \in \text{Pic } Z$. We need to show that $M \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$. Now $R^1 \pi_* T^* = R^1 \pi_* \omega_{X/Z} \otimes M^* = M^*$ so it suffices to show that $R^1 \pi_* T^* \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$.

Note that $R\Gamma(X_z, J^*|_{X_z}) = 0$ by lemma 6.3 so $R\pi_* J^* = 0$ too. Consider the universal ideal $I$ and the exact sequence

$$0 \to I \to \pi^* A \to J \to 0.$$
We dualize to obtain a commutative diagram with exact rows.
\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & T^* & \longrightarrow & \pi^*(A/O_Z)^* & \longrightarrow & I^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & J^* & \longrightarrow & \pi^*A^* & \longrightarrow & \alpha I^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Now $R\pi_*J^* = 0$ so $\pi^*\alpha : A^* \to \pi^*I^*$ is an isomorphism. Hence, we see that
\[
R^1\pi_*T^* = \text{coker}((A/O_Z)^* \to \pi^*I^*) = \text{coker}((A/O_Z)^* \to A^*) = O_Z.
\]

This completes the proof. $\square$

7. Chern classes and $-K^3$

In this section we assume that $X$ is a smooth threefold which is a conic bundle over a smooth surface $Z$. Riemann-Roch gives us the following formula for any coherent sheaf $E$ on a smooth threefold $X$,
\[
\chi(E) = \text{deg} \left( \text{ch}(E) \cdot \text{td}(T_X) \right)_3.
\]

We will temporarily write $c_i$ as shorthand for the Chern classes of the tangent bundle $c_i(T_X)$. We will write $c_3 = \chi_{\text{top}}(X)$ simply as notation. Using Riemann-Roch gives
\[
\chi(O_X) = \frac{1}{24}c_1c_2.
\]

Also
\[
c_1 = -K_X.
\]

Now applying Riemann-Roch again gives
\[
\chi(T_X) = \text{deg} \left( \text{ch}(T_X) \cdot \text{td}(T_X) \right)_3
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{6}(c_1^3 - 3c_1c_2 + 3c_3) + \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2 + c_2)c_1 + \frac{1}{4}c_1(c_1^2 - 2c_2) + \frac{1}{8}c_1c_2
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2}c_1^3 - \frac{19}{24}c_1c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_3
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2}K_X^3 - 19\chi(O_X) + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{\text{top}}(X).
\]

So we now have
\[
-\frac{K_X^3}{2} + \frac{\chi_{\text{top}}(X)}{2} = \chi(T_X) + 19\chi(O_X).
\]

For a standard conic bundle $\pi : X \to Z$ we can simplify the formulas further. Let the discriminant be $D$, we have that
\[
\chi_{\text{top}}(X) = 2\chi_{\text{top}}(Z) + \chi_{\text{top}}(D),
\]
\[
\chi(O_X) = \chi(O_Z)
\]
as in [IP] Lemma 7.1.10. For a standard conic bundle $\pi : X \to Z$ with discriminant $D$, we also have the following exact sequence which follows from local computations
\[
0 \to T_{X/Z} \to T_X \to \pi^*T_Z \to i_*N_{Z/D} \to 0,
\]

where $N_{Z/D}$ is the normal bundle of $D$ in $Z$, and $i$ is the isomorphism from $D$ to the singular locus of $\pi^{-1}(D)$. So we can compute
\[
-K_X^3/2 = 19\chi(O_Z) + \chi(T_Z) - \chi_{\text{top}}(Z) - \chi_{\text{top}}(D)/2 - \chi(O_D(D)) + \chi(A/O).
\]
\[ K^2 - \frac{1}{4} \chi_{\text{top}}(Z) + K_Z D + \chi(A/O) \]
\[ = 3K^2_Z - 3\chi(O_Z) + K_Z D + \chi(A/O). \]

So we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 7.1.** Let \( \pi : X \to Z \) be a standard conic bundle with associated quaternion order \( A \) and discriminant \( D \). Then

\[ -K^3_X = 6K^2_Z + 3K_Z D + D^2 - c_2(A). \]

**Proof.** We use the fact that \( c_1(A) = -D \) and Riemann-Roch for surfaces with the above computation. \( \square \)

If we restrict to the case where \( Z = \mathbb{P}^2 \) and let \( \deg D = d \) then we get the formulas

\[ -K^3_X = 48 - 6d + 2\chi(A/O) \]
\[ -K^3_X = 54 - 9d + d^2 - c_2(A). \]

---

**8. Del Pezzo Orders and Conic Bundles**

**8.1. Del Pezzo Orders.** We are interested studying del Pezzo quaternion orders and their associated conic bundles. The minimal del Pezzo orders were classified in terms of their ramification data \( (\tilde{D} \to D \to Z) \) in [CK, CI, ADJ].

We will only be concerned with minimal terminal quaternion del Pezzo orders. We will refer to these simply as del Pezzo orders but it should be noted that there are many other types of del Pezzo orders which are not necessarily minimal, terminal or quaternion. Briefly, in the quaternion case, the centre of the order is always \( Z = \mathbb{P}^2 \), the ramification locus \( D \subset Z \) is a nodal curve of degree \( d = 3, 4 \) or 5 and \( \tilde{D} \) is a double cover of \( D \), ramified at the nodes. We denote them by \( F^d_n \). For each ramification data, we wish to explicitly construct quadratic forms \( Q : \text{Sym}^2 V \to L \) such that the corresponding Clifford algebra \( \text{Cl}_{0}(Q) \) has ramification data \( (\tilde{D} \to D \to Z) \). The centre \( Z \) of the del Pezzo order is \( \mathbb{P}^2 \), so we may use Catanese theory [Cat] to construct \( Q \), as has been done by Brown-Corti-Zucconi [BCZ]. We will review that construction.

**Proposition 8.1.** Let \( (\tilde{D} \to D \to Z) \) be the ramification data of a minimal del Pezzo order. Then the symmetric resolution of \( L := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}/\mathcal{O}_{D} \) is one of the following types.

\[ F^2_3 : 0 \to \mathcal{O}(-2)^3 \to \mathcal{O}(-1)^3 \to L \to 0 \]
\[ F^2_4 : 0 \to \mathcal{O}(-3)^2 \to \mathcal{O}(-1)^2 \to L \to 0 \]
\[ F^2_{5+} : 0 \to \mathcal{O}(-3)^5 \to \mathcal{O}(-2)^5 \to L \to 0 \]
\[ F^2_{5-} : 0 \to \mathcal{O}(-4) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-3)^2 \to \mathcal{O}(-2)^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \to L \to 0 \]

**Proof.** Write \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}} = \mathcal{O}_D \oplus L \) for some 2-torsion line bundle \( L \) on \( D \). We can resolve the module \( \Gamma(L) = \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, L(i)) \) over the homogeneous coordinate ring of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). This will give a resolution by sums of line bundles. So we may use apply Catanese theory [Cat], which requires locally free resolutions of \( L \). The types above
all follow from Riemann-Roch calculations. We will work out the case $F_2^2$ in detail and explain why there are two separate cases for $F_5^{2-}$.

If $\deg D = 4$, and $L^\otimes_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_D$ is non-trivial. Then $h^0(L) = 0$ and $\deg L = 0$. We see that $\chi(L(i)) = 4i - 2$ and $h^1(L(i)) = h^0(L(-i + K_D)) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Also $h^1(L(1)) = h^0(L^*) = h^0(L) = 0$. So our resolution begins with $\mathcal{O}(-1)^2$ since $h^0(L(1)) = 2$. To find the required syzygy we twist by one and compute $h^0(L(2)) = 6$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}(1)^2) = 6$, so no syzygy is required in this degree. Twisting once more yields $h^0(L(3)) = 10$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}(2)^2) = 12$, so we require $\mathcal{O}(-3)^2$ as a syzygy. Checking Hilbert series show that the resolution is complete at this point.

In the case where $D$ is a smooth quintic case, $L(1)$ is a theta characteristic, and its parity affects the Riemann-Roch calculation. We know by Clifford’s Theorem that $h^0(L(1)) \leq 3$. So since $L(1)$ has degree 5, if $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, L(1)) = 2$ or 3, then we know that $L(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_D(1)$ or $L(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_D(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(p - q)$ by exercise B-1, p.264 of [ACGH].

The first case is certainly not possible, and in the last case we would require that $\mathcal{O}(2p) \simeq \mathcal{O}(2q)$ giving that $D$ is hyperelliptic. By exercise B-2, p.221 loc. cit, we see that this is also impossible. Hence we have either $h^0(L(1)) = 0$ or 1, and Riemann-Roch calculations give the above two resolutions of types $F_5^{2+}$ and $F_5^{2-}$. 

Since these resolutions are symmetric when obtain quadratic forms. Two of the resolutions types yield quadratic forms with vector bundles that do not have rank three. We make a simple adjustment to the case of $F_5^2$ by adding an $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ to each rank two vector bundle to obtain the new resolution:

$$F_4^2: \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-3)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)^2 \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

We also need to make a more complicated adjustment in the quintic even theta characteristic case $F_5^{2+}$ as explained later.

The first term of the resolution is the vector bundle $V^*$ and the resolution can be chosen to be symmetric so we have the map $\bar{Q}: V \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow V^*$. So we may construct the even Clifford algebra. We can choose numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3, d$ so that $V = \oplus \mathcal{O}(2a_i + d)$. Then $Q$ can be presented as a symmetric matrix with entries which are forms of degree $\deg \bar{Q}_{ij} = a_i + a_j + d$. The numbers are chosen to be

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc|c}
\text{type} & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & d \\
F_3^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
F_4^2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
F_5^{2-} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

In this case we can form a homogeneous coordinate ring for the even Clifford algebra which is fairly simple.

$$\Gamma(Cl_0(Q)) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, Cl_0(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}(i))$$

We will form a Clifford algebra $Cl(Q)$ over the polynomial ring $k[u, v, w]$, generated by $x_1, x_2, x_3$ with the relations $(x_i, x_j) = Q_{ij}$. We set the degrees of $x_i$ to be $2a_i + d$ and the degrees of $u, v, w$ to be 2. So we get a graded algebra $B$ with the 6 generators $x_1, x_2, x_3, u, v, w$.

**Proposition 8.2.** The algebra $\Gamma(Cl_0(Q))$ is the subalgebra of $Cl(Q)$ generated by $u, v, w, x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_1x_3$. 
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PROOF. We first check that the Hilbert series are the same. For the algebra $A$ we have that
\[ A_n = H^0(P^2, (\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_1 + a_2 + d) \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_2 + a_3 + d) \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_1 + a_2 + d)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(d + n)). \]
We also see that $B$ is generated as a module over $k[u,v,w]$ by 1, $x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_3, x_1$ whose degrees are $0, 2a_1 + 2a_2 + 2d, 2a_2 + 2a_3 + 2d, 2a_3 + 2a_1 + 2d$. So the Hilbert series match. Now the construction of the even Clifford algebra shows that there is a map $\Gamma(\text{Cl}_0(Q)) \to \text{Cl}(Q)$.

A similar analysis can be done for the even Clifford algebras of type $F^2_5$ where we will obtain an algebra $\text{Cl}_0(Q)$ with rank $4^2$.

Given an order $A$ we define the Kodaira dimension of $A$ to be give by the growth of the Hilbert Series of the canonical algebra
\[ \bigoplus H^0(Z, \omega^n_A). \]
It is not hard to see that the Kodaira dimension of $A$ is the same as the Kodaira dimension of the associated log surface as in [CI].

PROPOSITION 8.3. Let $A$ be an order over smooth surface $Z$ with $A \otimes k(Z)$ a division algebra, $H^1(Z, A) = 0$, and $\text{kod}(A) = -\infty$. Then if $B$ is Morita equivalent to $A$ and has the same rank then $c_2(B) \geq c_2(A)$.

PROOF. Since $B$ and $A$ have the same first Chern class since the have the same rank and discriminant. So Riemann-Roch yields $\chi(A) - \chi(B) = c_2(B) - c_2(A)$. Now $h^2(Z, B) = h^0(Z, \omega_B) = 0$ since $A$ has $\text{kod}(A) = -\infty$ which is a Morita invariant. Also $H^0(Z, A) = H^0(Z, B) = k$ since $A$ is in a division algebra.

In the cases under consideration, if we let $A = \text{Cl}_0(Q)$ then $h^i(A/\mathcal{O}_{P^2}) = h^i(V^*) = 0$ we see that there are no deformations of $A$ as an order over $Z$, or in other words $A$ is rigid. The above Proposition also shows that if $A$ is of type $F^2_3, F^2_4, F^2_5$ then $A$ has a minimal second Chern class among Morita equivalent orders with the same rank. Results of [AdJ] show that the moduli space of such orders is a proper scheme of dimension zero. We conjecture further that the moduli space is a single point.

CONJECTURE 8.4. The even Clifford algebras $\text{Cl}_0(Q)$ are the only orders which have the same rank, second Chern class and are Morita equivalent to $\text{Cl}_0(Q)$.

We suspect this conjecture is true for all quaternion minimal terminal del Pezzo orders, but we have less evidence for type $F^2_5$ since we do not know if the second Chern class is minimal.

8.2. Conic Bundles of del Pezzo Orders. We now describe the associated conic bundle of the del Pezzo orders. Since each type is significantly different we will discuss each separately. We first note that the conic bundles are all Fano by the following result.

PROPOSITION 8.5. Let $\pi : X \to Z$ be a standard conic bundle and suppose that $X = V(Q = 0) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ where $V$ is normalized. If for any curve $C$ in $Z$ and a surjection $V^* \to L$ where $L$ is a line bundle supported on $C$, we have that $\deg L - K_Z.C > 0$ then $X$ is Fano.
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and \( \mathbb{P}^4 \) we may assume that \( p^t A = (x, y, z, 0, 0) \). So this allows us to compute the structure of the vector bundle \( \mathbb{P}(V) \) in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \) above \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) which contains the conic bundle. It is given by the exact sequence

\[
0 \to O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)^{p^t A} \to O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)^4 \to V \to 0.
\]

So we see that \( V \cong \Omega^1 \oplus O(-2) \).

We may assume that \( p^t A = (x, y, z, 0, 0) \). So this allows us to compute the structure of the vector bundle \( \mathbb{P}(V) \) in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \) above \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) which contains the conic bundle. It is given by the exact sequence

\[
0 \to O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) \to O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)^4 \to V \to 0.
\]

We conjecture that the moduli space of del Pezzo Orders of type \( F_5^{2+} \) described above is the curve \( C \).

**Conjecture 8.7.** Given a fixed ramification data of type \( F_5^{2+} \), the moduli space of quaternion orders with fixed Morita equivalence class and Chern classes is the curve \( C \) as constructed above.

There is a corresponding conjecture for conic bundles.

**Conjecture 8.8.** Let \( X = \text{Bl}_{\pi(C)} \mathbb{P}^3 \). The moduli space of conic bundles over \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) which are birational to \( X \) over \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) with fixed anti-canonical degree is given by the curve \( C \).

\( F_5^{2-} \): In this case the Brauer-Severi variety is the blow up of a cubic threefold along a line \( X = \text{Bl}_l V_3 \). To show the relation with \( Q \) choose coordinates \( u, v, x, y, z \) on \( \mathbb{P}^4 \) so that the line \( l = V(x = y = z = 0) \). Let our cubic threefold be \( V_3 = V(f = 0) \) and note that since \( l \subset V_3 \) we have that \( f \in (x, y, z) \). Now and write \( f \) as a polynomial in \( u, v \)

\[
f = q_{11} u^2 + 2q_{12} uv + q_{22} v^2 + 2q_{13} u + 2q_{23} v + q_{33}.
\]

Our quadratic form has entries \( Q = (q_{ij}) \). The conic bundle structure is given by the projection from the line.

We can present the geometric version of the conjecture of the uniqueness of moduli.

**Conjecture 8.9.** Let \( X \) be the Brauer-Severi variety of an order of type \( F_3^3, F_4^3, F_5^{2-} \) as described in the table below. Then if \( Y \) is birational to \( X \) over \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) and has the same anticanonical degree then \( Y \cong X \).

For convenience we record some of the results in this section in the following table.

| type  | \( V^* \)                        | SB(A)                      | \(-K_X^3\) | \( h^{1,2} \) |
|-------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| \( F_3^2 \) | \( O(-1)^3 \)                   | \( X_{1,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \) | 30          | 0            |
| \( F_4^2 \) | \( O(-2) \oplus O(-1)^2 \)     | \( X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \) ramified on \( V_{2,2} \) | 24          | 2            |
| \( F_5^{2+} \) | \( \Omega^1 \oplus O(-2) \)   | \( \text{Bl}_C \mathbb{P}^3, \deg C = 7, g(C) = 5 \) | 16          | 5            |
| \( F_5^{2-} \) | \( O(-2)^2 \oplus O(-1) \)   | \( \text{Bl}_{\text{line}} V_3 \) with \( V_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \),  | 18          | 5            |
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