A novel hydrogen peroxide evolved CHO host can improve the expression of difficult to express bispecific antibodies
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Abstract
The manufacture of bispecific antibodies by Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells is often hindered by lower product yields compared to monoclonal antibodies. Recently, reactive oxygen species have been shown to negatively impact antibody production. By contrast, strategies to boost cellular antioxidant capacity appear to be beneficial for recombinant protein expression. With this in mind, we generated a novel hydrogen peroxide evolved host using directed host cell evolution. Here we demonstrate that this host has heritable resistance to hydrogen peroxide over many generations, displays enhanced antioxidant capacity through the upregulation of several, diverse antioxidant defense genes such as those involved in glutathione synthesis and turnover, and has improved glutathione content. Additionally, we show that this host has significantly improved transfection recovery times, improved growth and viability properties in a fed-batch production process, and elevated expression of two industrially relevant difficult to express bispecific antibodies compared to unevolved CHO control host cells. These findings demonstrate that host cell evolution represents a powerful methodology for improving specific host cell characteristics that can positively impact the expression of difficult to express biotherapeutics.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Recently, an interest in the cellular redox state and its effects on recombinant protein production has emerged (Handlogten et al., 2017, 2018, 2020; Orellana et al., 2015). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are partial reduction products of molecular oxygen generated as a result of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and oxidative protein folding within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER; Chevallier et al., 2020; Santos et al., 2009; Turrens, 2003; Zeeshan et al., 2016). Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells represent the mammalian cell type of choice for the expression of monoclonal antibodies (mAb) as well as a growing repertoire of diverse, nonnative biopharmaceutical molecules such as bispecific antibodies (BisAbs; Kim et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2019). Recombinant CHO cells are postulated to produce high levels of ROS during the bioreactor process which can lead to oxidative stress and therefore suboptimal cell culture performance and lower antibody titers (Handlogten et al., 2018).

To overcome the deleterious effects of exacerbated ROS production and ensure the maintenance of redox homeostasis, mammalian cells have evolved a complex antioxidant defense system. These antioxidants fall...
into two broad categories; enzymatic and nonenzymatic. Enzymatic antioxidants include catalase, superoxide dismutase, peroxiredoxins, thioredoxins, glutathione reductases, and glutathione peroxidases. Nonenzymatic antioxidants include several small molecules such as vitamin E but are largely centered around glutathione (GSH; Valko et al., 2007). GSH is a tripeptide that is present in all cell types at millimolar concentrations and acts as a major redox buffer affecting a broad range of intracellular systems (Forman et al., 2009). Antioxidants are thought to be important for recombinant protein expression. Indeed, the depletion of the reduced form of GSH (active GSH) has been linked to decreased specific productivity (qP) of manufacturing cell lines (Handlogten et al., 2020) and proteomic work demonstrated that high antibody-producing CHO cell lines upregulated GSH biosynthetic pathways (Orellana et al., 2015). These data are supported by observations that high producer cell lines had increased cellular GSH content (Chong et al., 2012). Consistently, the modulation of GSH synthetic enzymes, through targeted genetic overexpression, was shown to improve mAb titers (Orellana et al., 2017). In addition to GSH, other antioxidants such as thioredoxin reductase 1 and peroxiredoxin 6 were shown to be elevated by depletion of microRNA 23 and linked to improved recombinant protein expression (Kelly et al., 2015). More recently, the transcription factor Forkhead Box A1 (FoxA1) has been linked to improved expression of difficult to express (DTE) antibodies through a mechanism involving reduced oxidative stress (Berger et al., 2020). Taken together, the upregulation of antioxidants appears to be beneficial for the expression of recombinant proteins in CHO cells.

The development of novel DTE antibodies is often hindered by manufacturing challenges resulting from low product yields (Spiess et al., 2015) which have been associated with high levels of cellular stress, including oxidative stress (Chevallier et al., 2020). Rational genetic engineering approaches involving the manipulation of specific genes through overexpression or targeted genetic ablation to alter subcellular processes have been implemented to relieve production bottlenecks and produce more predictable and robust cell lines. To date, this strategy has been employed to alter diverse subcellular processes including cell cycle (Fussenegger et al., 1998), metabolism (Fogolin et al., 2004), protein secretion (Mohan et al., 2007), and importantly, cellular redox (Banneyer et al., 2004; Orellana et al., 2017; Warner et al., 1993). Although these strategies have been used to boost cell line characteristics to moderate success, the dawn of the "omics" era suggests that targeting specific genes in this way may not be as effective as originally hypothesized. This is due, in part, to the complex interplay of intracellular pathways that give rise to dynamic web-like interaction systems that are capable of compensating for the misexpression of an individual gene. In fact, using a method that induces global cellular changes as opposed to those that target individual genes may prove more effective for boosting productivity. An example of this is directed host cell evolution, a technique that can offer a relatively unbiased, simple but effective method of engineering CHO cells such that they are evolved to be endowed with specific characteristics that make them superior to their predecessor. With this in mind, we evolved our suspension adapted CHO host cells in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) to select for cells conferring resistance to oxidative stress. Here, we describe the generation and characterization of a novel H₂O₂ evolved CHO host and the evaluation of these cells for the expression of two industrially relevant, DTE BisAbs compared to our non-evolved CHO host.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | CHO host cell evolution using hydrogen peroxide

Suspension adapted CHO-K1 cells (CHO Control; AstraZeneca) were revived into CD CHO medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with 6 mM 1-glutamine (Life technologies) and passaged three times at 0.2 × 10⁶ cells/ml in a 30 ml culture volume. Once at 99% viability, the cells were incubated for 1 h with 14 mM H₂O₂ (Sigma-Aldrich) before centrifugation at 130g for 5 min and resuspension in 30 ml fresh CD CHO supplemented with 6 mM 1-glutamine. CHO cells were left to recover until cells reached 70% viability. This process was repeated an additional three times resulting in a total of four exposures to 14 mM H₂O₂, in which cells gradually recovered over a period of 10–20 days, with the medium being replenished periodically until cells reached 70% viability. The cells were then subjected to one round of 18.5 mM H₂O₂ treatment following the method above until cells reached 90% viability. Finally, the cells were incubated with 37 mM H₂O₂ for 1 h before centrifugation at 130g for 5 min and resuspension in 30 ml fresh CD CHO supplemented with 6 mM 1-glutamine and left to recover until >90% viable on Day 24. During this recovery period, the culture medium was replenished on Day 12 and the cells were diluted to 0.3 × 10⁶ cells/ml on Day 21 with fresh medium to aid recovery. Once at >90%, the H₂O₂ treated CHO cells were cryopreserved. All experiments were performed using this cryopreserved cell stock.

2.2 | Cell lines and culture conditions

CHO Control or H₂O₂ evolved host cells (AstraZeneca) were maintained in either CD CHO medium (Life Technologies) or AstraZeneca proprietary medium, both supplemented with 6 mM 1-glutamine (Life Technologies). Stably transfected CHO cells were grown in AstraZeneca proprietary medium supplemented with methionine sulfoximine (MSX; Sigma-Aldrich). Cell cultures were grown in polycarbonate Erlenmeyer flasks with vented caps (Corning) in a humidified incubator at 36.5°C, 6% CO₂ with agitation at 140 rpm, 25 mm rotation diameter as required.

2.3 | BisAb expression plasmids

The BisAb A and BisAb B stable expression plasmids were modified from transient expression plasmids (Daramola et al., 2014; Persic...
et al., 1997) and encoded both the BisAb Heavy Chain (Hc) and Light Chain (Lc) in addition to the glutamine synthetase (GS) selectable marker and were constructed by standard restriction enzyme digestion and ligation methods.

2.4 Production of BisAbs A and B by stable CHO pools

Stable CHO pools expressing BisAbs were generated by transfecting either CHO Control or H₂O₂ evolved host cells with a plasmid encoding either BisAb A or B and the GS selectable marker using an Amaxa nucleofector and reagents (Lonza). The transfected cells were selected and maintained in CD CHO in the presence of 50 µM MSX. Pools were counted regularly during the course of transfection recovery using a Vi-Cell XR Cell Viability Analyzer (Beckman Coulter). Pools of cells were expanded and used for the production of BisAb A and BisAb B in a 12-day fed-batch process using AstraZeneca proprietary medium. The medium was supplemented with bolus additions of an AstraZeneca proprietary nutrient feed added over the course of the culture period. Glucose and lactate were monitored throughout the fed-batch process using a YSI (2900D, YSI Inc). Cell culture medium was clarified by centrifugation and then BisAbs were quantified by protein-A HPLC affinity chromatography on an Agilent 1260 Infinity series (Agilent Technologies) by comparing the peak size from each sample with a calibration curve. Qp was calculated as follows: Qp = Th/CCTi (where Th is the Harvest Titer and CCTi is the calculated Cumulative Cell Time on the last day of the culture). CCTi = (di – di-1) × (VCNi + VCNi-1)/2 + CCTi-1 (where d is the day of the culture, VCN is the viable cell count and i is the day of VCN sampling during the course of the culture).

2.5 Glutathione assays

Relative changes in intracellular total glutathione (total GSH) and oxidized glutathione (GSSG) were determined with GSH/GSSG Glo™ Assay Kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, CHO Control or H₂O₂ evolved host cells in culture were harvested and resuspended in fresh AstraZeneca proprietary medium supplemented with 6 mM L-glutamine (untransfected hosts) or 50 µM MSX (transfected pools). Cells were seeded at 10,000 cells/well in a white 96-well luminometer-compatible plate (medium-only wells were used for background luminescence detection). A 25 µl volume of either total glutathione lysis reagent or oxidized glutathione lysis reagent was added to cell-containing wells and incubated at room temperature on a plate shaker for 5 min. Then, 50 µl of freshly prepared luciferin generation reagent was added to all wells followed by a 30 min incubation at room temperature. Finally, 100 µl of luciferin detection reagent was added to each well and incubated for 15 min. Luminescence was measured using an EnVision Microplate Luminometer (PerkinElmer). The analysis was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.6 Chemstress assays

Chem stress assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (ChemStress®, Valitacell Ltd). In brief, CHO or H₂O₂ evolved host cells were seeded into Valitacell ChemStress plates at 18,000 cells/well in 90 µl AstraZeneca proprietary medium supplemented with 6 mM L-glutamine. A control well was incubated with medium alone. Plates were incubated for 72 h in a static incubator at 36.5°C, 6% CO₂. Following this, 10 µl of neat PrestoBlue dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to all wells before plates were mixed for 20 s and incubated for a further 30 min at 36.5°C, 6% CO₂. Plates were analyzed using a PHerasari plate reader (BMG LAB-TECH with preconfigured protocols (excitation 560 nm, emission 590 nm). Data were analyzed using the ValitaAPP software (Valitacell Ltd).

2.7 MSB survival assays

CHO Control or H₂O₂ evolved host cells expressing BisAb A or B were seeded at 0.3 × 10⁶ cells/ml into 30 ml of AstraZeneca proprietary medium supplemented with 50 µM MSX. A total of 6 µM menadione sodium bisulfite (MSB; Sigma-Aldrich) or water was added to test and control cultures, respectively. Cells were assessed for viability and viable cell number (VCN) using a Vi-Cell XR Cell Viability Analyzer at 24, 48, and 72 h post addition of MSB or water.

2.8 RNA analyses

RNA was extracted from CHO control or H₂O₂ evolved host cells (both untransfected and transfected) using the Qiagen RNA Isolation Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was generated by reverse transcribing 3 µg of RNA using the SuperScript™ IV First-Strand Synthesis System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qPCR reactions were made up in a final volume of 20 µl using 3 ng cDNA and 1 µl of each 20× TaqMan Assay probe (qPCR probes are detailed in Table 1; Thermo Fisher Scientific). qPCR was performed on the QuantStudio 12K Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosciences). Relative gene expression

| Table 1 | Primer probes used for qPCR analysis of gene expression |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Primer name | Catalog number | Reference number |
| Catalase | 4351372 | Cg04624486_m1 |
| GCLM | 4351372 | Cg04497880_m1 |
| GPrx1 | 4351372 | Cg04422105_g1 |
| GSS | 4351372 | Cg04491342_m1 |
| xCT (slc7a11) | 4351372 | Cg04496729_m1 |
| MMADHC | 4351372 | Cg04467875_m1 |
was calculated using the $2^{ΔΔCt}$ method, the MMADHC reference gene was selected from Brown et al. (2018).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Directed-evolution of CHO cells with H$_2$O$_2$ results in a novel, oxidative-stress resistant host

To generate a novel CHO host with improved resistance to oxidative stress, AstraZeneca proprietary CHO control host cells were evolved through multiple rounds of successive H$_2$O$_2$ exposure followed by recovery until host cells demonstrated survival in the presence of 37 mM H$_2$O$_2$ (Figure 1a). To assess that the evolutionary changes induced by H$_2$O$_2$ treatment were maintained, these cells were passaged to 90 population doubling levels and subjected to rechallenge with 37 mM H$_2$O$_2$. The H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host cells demonstrated improved survival (~50% viability) compared to CHO control cells that had also undergone H$_2$O$_2$ treatment (Figure 1b) indicating long-term heritable resistance to high concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1** Creation of an H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host. A viability plot tracking cell recovery during the H$_2$O$_2$ host evolution process (a) (arrows indicate the day and concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ addition, black circles represent cell viability counts). The H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host were passaged to 29 and 90 PDL, and CHO control host to 7 PDL respectively in CD-CHO supplemented with 6 mM glutamine after which all hosts were re-challenged with 37 mM H$_2$O$_2$ and viabilities recorded (viability measured on Days 1, 2, 4, 7, 10, 11, and 12) (b). N = 1. CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; PDL, population doubling level.

3.2 | H$_2$O$_2$ evolved CHO cells have improved survival when grown in the presence of redox chemical stressors

To further confirm the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host’s ability to resist oxidative stress, these cells were evaluated for survival in response to various chemical compounds that mimicked the redox stress encountered by cells in the bioreactor process (Beck et al., 2011; Dunning et al., 2013; Lee et al., 1992; Zou et al., 2001). Here, H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host cells demonstrated significantly improved viabilities following 72 h growth in the presence of MSB, buthionine sulfoximine (BSO), mercaptosuccinic acid (MS), and cobalt chloride (CoCl) compared to CHO control cells (Figure 2a–d) demonstrating that H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host cells had developed resistance to diverse redox stressors.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2** CHO host cell survival in response to redox stressors using Chemstress plates. A comparison of relative host cell viabilities following 72-h incubation with menadione sodium bisulfite (MSB) (a), buthionine sulfoximine (BSO) (b), mercaptosuccinic acid (MS) (c), and cobalt chloride (CoCl) (d) between CHO control and H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host cells. The graphs show the mean ± SD, N = 3 in all cases, statistics determined using an unpaired t-test. CHO, Chinese hamster ovary. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005
3.3 | H₂O₂ evolved CHO cells have improved antioxidant capacity

The ability of the H₂O₂ evolved host to survive in the presence of several redox stressors suggests that H₂O₂-induced evolution may have augmented antioxidant defense pathways within the cell. To better understand this, we sought to evaluate this host based on its GSH content and transcriptional changes in antioxidant defense genes. Here, early passage H₂O₂ evolved host cells were shown to have significantly elevated total GSH content with respect to CHO control cells, however, the ratio of total to oxidized GSH (GSH:GSSG) remained unchanged (Figure 3a,b). Next, the expression of a panel of antioxidant defense genes was compared between the H₂O₂ evolved host and CHO control cells. Here, the H₂O₂ evolved host demonstrated significantly elevated expression of genes involved in GSH synthesis (GSS, GCLM, Figure 3c,d), an observation consistent with the elevated total GSH content of the H₂O₂ evolved host. In addition, the H₂O₂ evolved host demonstrated significantly elevated expression of genes involved in H₂O₂ elimination (catalase, Figure 3e) and cellular cysteine import (xCT, Figure 3f), indicating further improvements of antioxidant capacity in this host.

3.4 | H₂O₂ evolved host cells expressing DTE BisAbs maintain resistance to oxidative stress

To investigate whether the H₂O₂ evolved host, when expressing DTE BisAbs, maintained resistance to oxidative stress, H₂O₂ evolved and CHO control host cells were stably transfected with plasmid DNA encoding BisAbs A and B to yield stable pools (resulting transfected cell populations are denoted: H₂O₂ evolved host A or B and CHO control host A or B). Expressing pools were compared for survival in the presence of the widely used prooxidant, MSB. H₂O₂ evolved hosts A and B treated with MSB retained viabilities comparable with H₂O treated controls. By contrast, CHO control hosts A and B treated with MSB displayed a reduction in viability at Day 3 post-treatment to 65% and 82%, respectively (Figure 4a,b).

3.5 | H₂O₂ evolved DTE BisAb-expressing cells maintain an elevated antioxidant capacity

To investigate whether the elevated antioxidant phenotypes observed in the untransfected H₂O₂ evolved host cells were maintained in H₂O₂ evolved hosts A and B, both GSH content and antioxidant defense gene expression were assessed. Here, both H₂O₂ evolved hosts A and B displayed a significant upregulation in total GSH content as well as improved ratios of GSH:GSSG, however, this increase was less apparent in H₂O₂ evolved host B (Figure 5a-d). Second, H₂O₂ evolved host pools were assessed for the expression of several antioxidant defense genes. H₂O₂ evolved host A demonstrated significant elevations in GSS, GCLM, catalase, and xCT as well as glutathione peroxidase 1 (GPrx1) compared to CHO control host (Figure 5e-i). H₂O₂ evolved host B also showed significant elevations in GSS, GCLM, and catalase, however, xCT and GPrx1 remained unchanged with respect to CHO control Host B (Figure 5j-n). Taken together these data indicate that the improvements in antioxidant capacity observed in the untransfected H₂O₂ evolved host...
3.6 | The H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host demonstrates improved platform performance compared to CHO control cells

3.6.1 | Improved recovery post-transfection

Stable transfection of CHO cells using electroporation followed by MSX selection is stressful leading to cell death and cell recovery times of 14 days or more. To evaluate the H$_2$O$_2$ host performance during transfection recovery, cell viability and viable cell density (VCD) were monitored following transfection. Three expressing pools were generated per molecule for each host. For the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host transfected with plasmid DNA encoding BisAb A, two of the pools reached viabilities of 82%–84% and VCDs of $1.2 \times 10^6$–$1.50 \times 10^6$ cells/ml on Day 11 post-transfection and were transferred to shaking cultures. In contrast, CHO control hosts transfected with BisAb A reached a similar level of recovery (VCD: $1.4 \times 10^6$ cells/ml with viabilities of 71%–78%, Figure 6a) at Day 14 post-transfection. The same trend was seen with BisAb B where all three of the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host transfected pools reached 71%–82% viabilities and VCDs of $0.88 \times 10^6$–$1.35 \times 10^6$ cells/ml on Day 11 post-transfection, a significant improvement over the equivalent CHO control host transfected pools which reached 60%–70% viability and $0.65 \times 1.18 \times 10^6$ cells/ml at Day 14 before being transferred to shaking cultures (Figure 6b). These data further demonstrate that the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host has increased resistance to cellular stress compared to the unevolved CHO control cells.

3.6.2 | BisAb-expressing H$_2$O$_2$ evolved pools demonstrate improved performance in a fed-batch process

To assess host cell performance during BisAb production, a 12-day fed-batch process was performed with H$_2$O$_2$ evolved hosts A and B alongside CHO control hosts A and B. Strikingly, growth rates of H$_2$O$_2$ evolved hosts A and B were dramatically improved compared to CHO control pools irrespective of the BisAb being expressed (Figure 7a,f). Peak VCN for H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host A was $20 \times 10^6$ cells/ml compared to $7 \times 10^6$ cells/ml for CHO control host A. Similar trends were also observed for H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host B. In addition, viabilities remained significantly higher for H$_2$O$_2$ evolved hosts A and B compared to CHO control hosts A and B, although viabilities at Day 12 were comparably low between both hosts (Figure 7b,g). Second, all hosts displayed favorable lactate profiles with H$_2$O$_2$ evolved hosts A and B having lower lactate levels throughout the majority of the fed-batch process (Figure 7c,h). Finally, the titers of BisAb A were 3.5-fold higher from H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host A compared to the CHO control host (Figure 7d). Improvements in titer were also seen for BisAb B where titers from the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host were
FIGURE 5  Characterization of the antioxidant capacity of transfected H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host cells. Left panel: Comparison of total GSH (a) and the ratio of GSH:GSSG (b). Relative mRNA expression of glutathione synthetase (GSS) (e), gamma-glutamylcysteine ligase modulator subunit (GCLM) (f), xCT (g) catalase (h), and glutathione peroxidase1 (GPrx1) (i) in CHO control host A and H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host A. Right Panel: Comparison of total GSH (c) and the ratio of GSH:GSSG (d). Relative mRNA expression of GSS (j), GCLM (k), xCT (l) catalase (m), and GPrx1 (n) in CHO control host B and H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host B. All qPCR data were normalized to MMADHC mRNA expression and SD calculated on fold change relative to control. The graphs show the mean ± SD, N = 3 in all cases, statistics determined using an unpaired t-test. CHO, Chinese hamster ovary. **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0005, ****p < 0.00005.
1.75-fold higher than the CHO control host (Figure 7). Interestingly, 
H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host A demonstrated a significant increase in specific 
productivity (qP) of 1.6-fold compared to CHO control A (Figure 7e).
Indeed, the increased volumetric titer observed for BisAb A is likely 
derived from a combination of improved qP as well as cell growth 
and viability. By contrast, the improved titer seen for BisAb B ap-
pears to result from improved growth and viability as qP was not 
significantly different between hosts (Figure 7f). In addition, in-
tracellular expression of BisAb A and B, assessed by flow cytometry,
showed comparable profiles for Hc and Lc in both CHO control and 
H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host cells (data not shown).

4 | DISCUSSION

The production of DTE biopharmaceuticals by manufacturing cell 
lines is often hindered by low product yields (Spiess et al., 2015) that 
are, in some cases, associated with enhanced cellular stress. One 
such stress pertains to alterations in cellular redox state where 
elevated ROS generation arises due to a complex interplay between 
ER and mitochondrial burden (Templeton et al., 2013; Tu & Weissman, 2004; Turrens, 2003) as well as fluctuations in bioreactor 
conditions such as changes in dissolved oxygen concentrations 
(Handlogten et al., 2018, 2020) and cell culture medium components 
(Halliwell, 2014; Kelts et al., 2015; Schnellbaecher et al., 2019). The 
subsequent accumulation of ROS can damage the cell leading to 
poorer cell performance and lower antibody titers. To address these 
challenges, we generated a novel H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host that was eval-
uated for the expression of two industrially relevant DTE BisAbs. 
This host demonstrated heritable resistance to H$_2$O$_2$ (Figure 1a,b) 
and improved survival in the presence of several prooxidant chemi-
cals that were selected to mimic bioreactor stressors (Figure 2a–d).
These chemicals affect a diverse subset of intracellular redox path-
ways such as those involved in GSH biosynthesis and turnover (BSO 
and MS; Dunning et al., 2013; Lee et al., 1992), intracellular ROS 
generation (MSB; Beck et al., 2011), and hypoxia-induced ROS pro-
duction (CoCl$_2$; Zou et al., 2001). Consistent with this improved ability 
to resist oxidative stress, the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved cells also significantly 
upregulate several central antioxidant defense genes that combat 
ROS through multiple mechanisms (Figure 3c–f). These genes include 
the H$_2$O$_2$ scavenger, catalase, along with several enzymes associated 
with GSH production and activity (GCLM, GSS, and xCT). Indeed, this 
upregulation in genes involved in GSH synthesis correlated with a 
significant increase in the level of total GSH content in the H$_2$O$_2$ 
evolved host (Figure 3a). Interestingly, despite this increase in total 
GSH synthesis, both the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host and CHO control cells 
were able to maintain redox homeostasis through a preserved 
GSH:GSSG ratio when in the non-expressing state, this is potentially 
due to the absence of an oxidative stressor. By contrast, when the 
H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host was placed under stressful conditions such as 
those induced by the expression of DTE BisAbs, this resulted in an 
improved ratio of GSH:GSSG compared to CHO control cells 
(Figure 5a–d). Taken together, these data suggest that H$_2$O$_2$ directed 
evolution of our AZ proprietary CHO host has resulted in divergent 
expression of numerous antioxidant defense genes that renders the 
host capable of withstanding multiple sources of oxidative stress.

To investigate whether H$_2$O$_2$ directed evolution translated to 
improved cell performance, we evaluated the host at two stages of 
the cell line generation process associated with elevated cellular 
stress: recovery from stable transfection by electroporation and in a 
fed-batch process. Following stable transfection with plasmid DNA 
encoding two distinct BisAbs, the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host demonstrated
FIGURE 7 Stable pool performance during the fed-batch process. Left panel: A comparison of viable cell density (VCD) (a), Viability (b), Lactate (c), Titer (d), and cell-specific productivity (qP) (e) between CHO control A and H2O2 evolved host A. Right panel: A comparison of VCD (f) Viability (g), Lactate (h), Titer (i), and qP (j) between CHO control B and H2O2 evolved host B. A total of three pools expressing each molecule were evaluated for each host. Error bars are mean ± SD. N = 3 in all cases, statistics determined using an unpaired t-test for titer and qP and multiple t-tests on VCD, viability, and lactate time courses comparing each time point individually. CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; ns, not significant. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0005, ****p < 0.00005
faster recovery (11 days posttransfection) compared to CHO control host cells (14 days posttransfection) both in terms of viability and VCD (Figure 6a,b). When the cellular performance was assessed in a fed-batch process, H$_2$O$_2$ evolved hosts A and B also displayed significantly improved viability and growth compared to CHO control hosts A and B. In addition, significantly higher BisAb titers were observed with the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host, which for H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host A was due to a combined effect of improved growth and viability as well as significantly elevated qP (Figure 7).

The improved expression of DTE BisAbs in the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host appears to be linked to enhanced antioxidant capacity. Indeed, oxidative stress induced by MSB was better tolerated by H$_2$O$_2$ evolved hosts A and B compared to CHO control hosts A and B (Figure 4a,b). Interestingly, previous studies have drawn links between the expression of antioxidants such as peroxiredoxin 5 and mnSOD and improved CHO cell survival in response to oxidative stress (Banmeyer et al., 2004; Warner et al., 1993). Perhaps consistent with these observations, H$_2$O$_2$ evolved hosts A and B also demonstrated a significant upregulation in a panel of diverse antioxidant defense genes such as those involved with GSH biosynthesis and turnover (GCLC, GCLM, GSS, and GPrx; Figure 5). Perhaps unsurprisingly, enhanced expression of GCLM and GSS have been linked to high producer cell lines (Orellana et al., 2015), and GCLM overexpression has been shown to improve mAb production (Orellana et al., 2017). Furthermore, we observed a significant upregulation in total GSH in H$_2$O$_2$ evolved hosts A and B (Figure 5a-d). This phenotype observed in the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host is supported by data linking increased GSH content with improved mAb titers (Chong et al., 2012). In addition, Geoghegan et al demonstrated that the mRNA expression of the cysteine transporter, xCT, was upregulated in CHO cells during increased mAb production in the stationary phase of growth. Moreover, this phenotype was sensitive to xCT inhibition by sulfasalazine and linked to oxidative stress induced by high mAb production (Geoghegan et al., 2018). These data taken together with data in this study, suggest that elevated xCT expression in the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host may be important for boosting BisAb titers and/or responding to oxidative stress.

Interestingly the ER-resident protein, ER oxidoreductin 1 (ERO1), is known to oxidize protein disulfide isomerase leading to the formation of H$_2$O$_2$ during the protein folding process. An increased folding rate, such as that which occurs during recombinant protein expression can therefore trigger excessive H$_2$O$_2$ production within the ER (Zhang & Kaufman, 2008). Indeed, it is apparent from data presented here that the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host displays significantly elevated catalase expression in both non-expressing and expressing states (Figures 3e and 5g,i). Although catalase activity was not measured here, previous studies have demonstrated that catalase activity could be enhanced using directed host cell evolution (Spitz et al., 1988) and may facilitate the elimination of excessive H$_2$O$_2$ production, therefore preventing oxidative stress. In addition to the findings presented here, a recent transcriptomic analysis performed by our group in which non-expressing H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host cells were compared with CHO control cells (data not shown) revealed that in addition to the upregulation of diverse antioxidant genes there was a concomitant downregulation of a number of pro-oxidant genes. These data further exemplify the importance of modulating diverse cellular pathways, as opposed to the targeted expression of single genes, when engineering cells to improve the manufacture of biotherapeutics. It is also important to highlight that in addition to improved antioxidant capacity other mechanisms, not investigated here, may also be involved in improving BisAb titers such as elevated transcription of antibody genes or increased gene copy number. Collectively, our data suggest that the H$_2$O$_2$ evolved host is better equipped to combat excessive H$_2$O$_2$ production and that H$_2$O$_2$ induced evolution can improve cell performance through alterations in the expression of diverse redox pathways. Indeed, given that these phenotypes appear to be stable in transfection pools, which for CHO cells are known to exhibit considerable phenotypic instability, we anticipate that these beneficial phenotypes will also be maintained upon the generation of more phenotypically stable clonal cell populations, although this remains to be investigated.

To conclude, we have generated a novel H$_2$O$_2$ evolved CHO host that has been evaluated for the expression of two industrially relevant DTE BisAbs. The data presented here indicate that global changes in antioxidant pathways, such as those involved in GSH biosynthesis and turnover as well as H$_2$O$_2$ elimination, can confer cellular resistance to a diverse subset of oxidative stressors. Moreover, boosting antioxidant capacity appears to have advantages for better cell growth, viability, and DTE BisAb titers. Improving the expression of DTE biotherapeutics is of great importance as the range of novel formats in the biopharmaceutical industry is expanding rapidly and poses great challenges for their developability and manufacture. By using a host that is better equipped to deal with these stresses alleviates one such challenge. This study highlights the beneficial effects of directed host cell evolution in augmenting global cellular redox networks to improve the manufacturing of DTE biotherapeutics. Finally, these data offer insights into the role of cellular antioxidants in the production cell lines and therefore support growing research efforts to control cellular redox and boost recombinant protein production.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS
The authors declare that there are no conflict of interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
Rajesh K. Mistry contributed mechanistic data and wrote the manuscript with the support of Emma Kelsall, Si N. (Susie) Sou, Diane Hatton, and Suzanne Gibson. Emma Kelsall and Harriet Barker contributed fed-batch data; Si N. (Susie) Sou contributed stability data; Katie Willis and Mike Jenns contributed to host generation; Fabio Zurlo contributed titer analysis.

ORCID
Rajesh K. Mistry https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9992-8735
Suzanne J. Gibson https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3228-9983
REFERENCES

Banneyer, I., Marchand, C., Verhaeghe, C., Vucic, B., Rees, J. F., & Knoops, B. (2004). Overexpression of human peroxiredoxin 5 in subcellular compartments of Chinese hamster ovary cells: Effects on cytotoxicity and DNA damage caused by peroxides. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 36(1), 65–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2003.10.019

Beck, R., Pedrosa, R. C., Dejeans, N., Glorieux, C., Levêque, P., Gallez, B., Taper, H., Eckhoudt, S., Knoops, L., Calderon, P. B., & Verrax, J. (2011). Ascorbate/menadione-induced oxidative stress kills cancer cells that express normal or mutated forms of the oncogenic protein Bcr-Abl. An in vitro and in vivo mechanistic study. Investigational New Drugs, 29(5), 891–900. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10637-010-9441-3

Berger, A., Le Fourn, V., Masternak, J., Regamey, A., Bodenmann, I., Girod, P. A., & Mermod, N. (2020). Overexpression of transcription factor Foxa1 and target genes remediate therapeutic protein production bottlenecks in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 117(4), 1101–1116. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.27274

Brown, A. J., Gibson, S., Hatton, D., & James, D. C. (2018). Transcriptome-based identification of the optimal reference CHO genes for normalisation of qPCR data. Biotechnology Journal, 13(1), 1700259. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjot.201700259

Chevallier, V., Andersen, M. R., & Malphettes, L. (2020). Oxidative stress and thioredoxin systems contribute to recombinant monoclonal antibody interchain disulfide bond reduction during bioprocessing. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 114(7), 1469–1477. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26278

Handlogten, M. W., Zhu, M., & Ahuja, S. (2018). Intracellular response of CHO cells to oxidative stress and its influence on metabolism and antibody production. Biochemical Engineering Journal, 133, 12–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2016.01.031

Kelly, P. S., Breen, L., Gallagher, C., Kelly, S., Henry, M., Lao, N. T., Mealey, P., O’Gorman, D., Clynes, M., & Barron, N. (2015). Re-programming CHO cell metabolism using miR-23 tips the balance towards a highly productive phenotype. Biotechnology Journal, 10(7), 1029–1040. https://doi.org/10.1002/btj.201500101

Kelts, J. L., Cali, J. J., Duellman, S. J., & Shultz, J. (2015). Altered cytotoxicity of ROS-inducing compounds by sodium pyruvate in cell culture medium depends on the location of ROS generation. SpringerPlus, 4, 269. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-015-1063-y

Kim, Y. J., Kim, Y. G., & Lee, G. M. (2012). CHO cells in biotechnology for production of recombinant proteins: Current state and further potential. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 93(3), 917–930. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3758-5

Lee, K. S., Kim, H. K., Moon, H. S., Hong, Y. S., Kang, J. H., Kim, D. J., & Park, J. G. (1992). Effects of buthionine sulfoximine treatment on cellular glutathione levels and cytotoxicities of cisplatin, carboplatin and radiation in human stomach and ovarian cancer cell lines. Korean Journal of Internal Medicine, 7(2), 111–117. https://doi.org/10.3904/kjim.1992.7.2.111

Mohan, C., Park, S. H., Chung, J. Y., & Lee, G. M. (2007). Effect of doxycycline-regulated protein disulfide isomerase expression on the specific productivity of recombinant CHO cells. Thrombopoietin and antibody. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 98(3), 611–615. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.21453

Orellana, C. A., Marcellin, E., Gray, P. P., & Nielsen, L. K. (2017). Overexpression of the regulatory subunit of glutamate-cysteine ligase enhances monoclonal antibody production in CHO cells. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 114(6), 1825–1836. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26743

Orellana, C. A., Marcellin, E., Schultz, B. L., Nouwens, A. S., Gray, P. P., & Nielsen, L. K. (2015). High-antibody-producing Chinese hamster ovary cells up-regulate intracellular protein transport and glutathione synthesis. Journal of Proteome Research, 14(2), 609–618. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr501027c

Persic, L., Roberts, A., Wilton, J., Cattaneo, A., Bradbury, A., & Hoogboom, H. R. (1997). An integrated vector system for the eukaryotic expression of antibodies or their fragments after selection from phage display libraries. Gene, 187(1), 9–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-1119(96)00628-2

Santos, C. X., Tanaka, L. Y., Wosniak, J., & Laurindo, F. R. (2009). Mechanisms and implications of reactive oxygen species generation during the unfolded protein response: Roles of endoplasmic reticulum oxidoreductases, mitochondrial electron transport, and NAPDH oxidase. Antioxidants & Redox Signaling, 11(10), 2409–2427. https://doi.org/10.1089/ARS.2009.2425

Schnellbacher, A., Binder, D., Bellmaine, S., & Zimmer, A. (2019). Vitamins in cell culture media: Stability and stabilization strategies. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 116(6), 1537–1555. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26942
Spiess, C., Zhai, Q., & Carter, P. J. (2015). Alternative molecular formats and therapeutic applications for bispecific antibodies. *Molecular Immunology, 67*(2 Pt A), 95–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2015.01.003

Spitz, D. R., Li, G. C., McCormick, M. L., Sun, Y., & Oberley, L. W. (1988). Stable H2O2-resistant variants of Chinese hamster fibroblasts demonstrate increases in catalase activity. *Radiation Research, 114*(1), 114–124.

Templeton, N., Dean, J., Reddy, P., & Young, J. D. (2013). Peak antibody production is associated with increased oxidative metabolism in an industrially relevant fed-batch CHO cell culture. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 110*(7), 2013–2024. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24858

Tu, B. P., & Weissman, J. S. (2004). Oxidative protein folding in eukaryotes: Mechanisms and consequences. *Journal of Cell Biology, 164*(3), 341–346. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200311055

Turrens, J. F. (2003). Mitochondrial formation of reactive oxygen species. *Journal of Physiology, 552*(Pt 2), 335–344. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2003.049478

Valko, M., Leibfritz, D., Moncol, J., Cronin, M. T., Mazur, M., & Telser, J. (2007). Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human disease. *International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, 39*(1), 44–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2006.07.001

Wang, Q., Chen, Y., Park, J., Liu, X., Hu, Y., Wang, T., & Betenbaugh, M. J. (2019). Design and production of bispecific antibodies. *Antibodies, 8*(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/antib8030043

How to cite this article: Mistry, R. K., Kelsall, E., Sou, S. N., Barker, H., Jenns, M., Willis, K., Zurlo, F., Hatton, D., & Gibson, S. J. (2021). A novel hydrogen peroxide evolved CHO host can improve the expression of difficult to express bispecific antibodies. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 118, 2326–2337. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.27744