Analysis of Risk Factors for Spinal Pain: A Case Control Study of New Graduate Aviators Based on Imaging and Questionnaire Results.
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Abstract

Background: Disc degenerative diseases are common in occupational aviators exposed to long flight time and vibration during flight. The incidence of pathological and degenerative changes of spine in experienced pilots are widely studied and reported. However, few literatures focused on new graduate aviators. In this study we intended to describe imaging features of spine in new graduate aviators from flight academy and analyzed the risk factors for spinal pain.

Methods: This is a retrospective study of 178 new graduate aviators. All received full-length lateral X-ray of spine and 1.5T magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of cervical and lumbar spine. Sagittal alignments were measured through X-ray imaging. The degenerative grade of disc was defined according to Miyazaki and Pfirrmann grading classifications. The prevalence of degenerative disc diseases was reported under disc nomenclatures. The incidence of spinal pain during the last 12 months of flight training was acquired from questionnaires. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was utilized to analyses risk factors for spinal pain.

Results: The whole cohort presented at least one level disc degeneration of Grade 2 in cervical or lumbar spine. 134 of 178 subjects (75.3%) showed at least one cervical disc degeneration in grade 3. 61 (34.3%) presented cervical disc bulging and 5 (3%) presented cervical disc protrusion. 110 (61.8%) aviators reported neck pain during the last 12 months. 53 of 178 (29.8%) volunteers had at least one lumbar disc degeneration in grade 3. 31 (17.4%) aviators presented lumbar disc bulging and 21 (11.8%) presented lumbar disc protrusion. 45 (25.3%) aviators reported back pain during the last 12 months. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed age, cervical disc degeneration and bulging were risk factors for neck pain; lumbar disc degeneration, bulging and protrusion were risk factors for back pain.

Conclusions: Moderate degrees of disc degenerative changes and diseases of spine could be found in new graduate aviators at an early age. Disc degeneration and diseases correlate strongly with spinal pain. It is essential to recognize these pathological conditions to intervene in the occurrence and development of degenerative changes in aviators in advance.

Background

Aviators exposed to long flight time and vibration could developed degenerative diseases in spine. Typical degenerative diseases (including disc herniation, spondylolisthesis etc.) could lead to severe or chronic spinal pain. The theory that frequent exposure to dynamic + Gz forces causes degeneration of the spine and induce spinal pain in pilots has been convinced [1, 2]. Similarly, pilots maintaining other types of aircraft including transporters and helicopters also suffered from high prevalence of neck and low back pain (LBP) as well [3, 4]. However, most of the studies focused on experienced and middle-aged pilots. Few literatures reported new graduate pilots from flight academy at a young age and the incidence of disc degenerative diseases in the special population has not been studied.
A number of literatures described the sagittal radiographic alignments of spine represented. The correlations between radiographic parameters and spinal pain have been proposed in some literatures, Moon [5] collected data of 63 pilots in air force pilots and found that fighter pilots suffering from neck pain presented more kyphotic cervical lordosis. Chaléat [6] compared patients with chronic low back pain with asymptomatic adults. They proposed that patients with chronic LBP patients showed low sacral slope, pelvic tilt and small pelvic incidence. Nakamae [7] summarized data from 104 patients with low back pain and found that high pelvic incidence could be regarded as a risk factor for lumbar vertebra slip. However, the correlations between sagittal parameters and spinal pain remain controversial. Kim [8] found no significant relationships between cervical lordosis and questionnaire measure including neck pain in female nurse staff. Overall, the incidence of spinal pain and sagittal parameters in new graduate aviators has not been reported. The risk factors that influence spinal pain in the special population remain unclear.

In this study, we enrolled a population of new graduate aviators from a same flight academy in their early twenties (range from 20–26). All participants received lateral X-ray and MRI detections of spine. The imaging data were applied to describe spinal morphology and degenerative conditions. A standardized questionnaire was used to record the incidence of spinal pain (neck pain and low back pain) during the flight training lasting for 12 months. We also analyzed risk factors for spinal pain based on general information and radiographic features.

**Methods**

**Study participants**

This study was a retrospective analysis of new graduate aviators from a same flight academy. All the participants accepted standardized education curriculum lasting for 4 years (from 2014-2018) and mastered the basic theories and techniques in flying an airliner. This study was approved by the ethics committee of our hospital and all participants signed informed consent allowing utilization and analysis of anonymous data.

The exclusion criteria include: (1) failing to pass the graduation assessment on flying a plane, (2) clinical history of spinal trauma, inflammation and tumor, (3) not suitable for flying because of other physical and psychological reasons such as decreased vision, obesity or psychiatric disorders.

**Obtainment of the data**

All X-ray films were taken in full-length standing position by an experienced radiologist and collected by Picture Archiving and Communication System (PACS). The participants were standing in a “clavicle” position as recommended [9]. The MRI examinations were completed with a 1.5T MRI scanner (Ingenia, Philips, The Netherlands). A standardized questionnaire was utilized to record the existence of neck and low back pain [10].
Radiographic and questionnaire data analysis

The sagittal alignments of X-ray films were measured using the Surgimap software version 2.3 (Nemaris Inc., New York, NY, USA). The measurements were as follows: cervical lordosis (CL), T1 slope (T1S), cervical sagittal vertical axis (CSVA), pelvic incidence (PI), pelvic tilt (PT), sacral slope (SS), lumbar lordosis (LL), and C7 sagittal vertical axis (SVA). Two independent observers unrelated with the study measured the parameters. The degenerative condition was graded by Miyazaki classification in cervical spine and Pfirrmann classification in lumbar spine [11,12]. "Disc degeneration" was defined as at least one disc in grade 3 of Miyazaki or Pfirrmann classification. Conditions of degeneration including disc bulging, protrusion, and extrusion were determined under the disc nomenclature proposed by North American Spine Society (NASS) [13]. The incidence of Modic change and Schmorl nodules were also recorded [14,15]. All the conditions were defined by a senior doctor in our study group. The results of questionnaire were binary classified based on existence of spinal pain.

Statistical analysis

All X-ray data was conducted by SPSS (version 19.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Intra- and inter-class correlation coefficients (ICCs) were measured in sagittal parameters between the two independent observers. The incidence of different types and degrees of degenerative changes was reported. Continuous values were expressed by mean ± standard deviation (SD) following normality analysis. Categorical data were compared using Chi-square tests or Fisher exact tests. Analyses of multivariate logistic regressions were performed to assess risk factors for spinal pain and odds ratio (OR) were calculated. A P value < 0.05 was defined as statistical significance.

Results

7 aviators were excluded from the study according to the criteria because of decreased vision and psychiatric disorders. Data of 178 aviators were analyzed in total. The results of ICCs in were shown in Table 1. All parameters were above 0.8 and could be regarded as good. Baseline data of general information were shown in Table 2. All the participants were male and the mean age was 22.6 years (from 20 to 26 years). Mean BMI was 20.8 Kg/m² (from 18.5 to 23.5 Kg/m²).

The whole sample presented disc degeneration of Grade 2 in cervical and lumbar spine. For cervical spine, 134 of 178 subjects (75.3%) presented at least one-disc degeneration in grade 3 of Miyazaki classification. The distribution was 86 of C2/3 disc, 109 of C3/4 disc, 106 of C4/5 disc, 78 of C5/6 disc and 11 of C6/7 disc. 61 of 178 (34.3%) presented cervical disc bulging and the distribution was 5 of C2/3 disc, 7 of C3/4 disc, 19 of C4/5 disc, 37 of C5/6 disc, 21 of C6/7 disc and 1 of C7/T1 disc. 5 of 178 (3%) presented cervical disc protrusion and the distribution was 2 of C4/5 disc, 3 of C5/6 disc, and 2 of C6/7 disc (Table 2). It is worth mentioning that 1 aviator presented cervical disc protrusion of 3 levels. Neither Schmorl nodules nor Modic changes were found in cervical spine.
For lumbar spine, 47 of 178 (26.4%) volunteers had at least one lumbar disc degeneration in grade 3/4 of Pfirrmann classification. The distribution of disc degeneration in grade 3 was 1 of L2/3 disc, 4 of L3/4 disc, 15 of L4/5 disc, 18 of L5/S1 disc. 1 L4/5 disc and 11 L5/S1 discs presented degeneration in grade 4. 31 of 178 (17.4%) aviators presented lumbar disc bulging. The distribution of disc bulging was 1 of L3/4, 9 of L4/5 and 26 of L5/S1. 21 of 178 (11.8%) aviators presented lumbar disc protrusion. The distribution of disc protrusion was 1 of L4/5 and 21 of L5/S1, with one aviator presented disc protrusion in 2 levels. Schmorl nodules could be detected in lumbar spine of 9 aviators. The distribution was 2 of L1/2 disc, 3 of L2/3 disc, 5 of L3/4 disc, 2 of L4/5 disc, and 1 of L5/S1 disc. 5 subjects showed Modic changes in lumbar spine (Table 2). All the Modic changes were classified into type I and the distribution were 1 in L4/5 and 4 in L5/S1.

68 subjects reported neck pain during the flying curriculum which lasted for 12 months. Compared to those free from neck pain, aviators with neck pain had a higher rate of disc degeneration (90% vs 51.5%, P < 0.001), disc protrusion (47.3% vs 13.2%, P < 0.001) and older age (22.8 ± 1.0 vs 22.3 ± 1.1, P = 0.001) (Table 3). Results of multivariate logistic regression analysis considering neck pain as dependent variable showed that age (OR = 1.5, 95%CI = 1.1–2.1, P = 0.026), disc degeneration (OR = 5.5, 95%, CI = 2.4–12.7, P < 0.001), and disc bulging (OR = 3.5, 95%, CI = 1.5–8.2, P = 0.004) were positively correlated with a higher probability of neck pain (Table 4).

During the last 12 months 45 aviators suffered from back pain. Compared to those without back pain, aviators with back pain had a higher rate of disc degeneration (66.7% vs 17.3%, P < 0.001), disc bulging (33.3% vs 12.0%, P = 0.002), disc protrusion (37.8% vs 3.0%, P < 0.001) and Modic change (8.9% vs 0.8%, P = 0.015) (Table 5). Considering back pain as dependent variable, disc degeneration (OR = 4.3, 95%CI = 1.7–11.2, P = 0.002), disc bulging (OR = 5.8, 95%, CI = 2.2–15.2, P < 0.001), and disc protrusion (OR = 11.3, 95%CI = 2.7–46.6, P < 0.001) were positively associated with a higher probability of back pain following multivariate logistic regression analysis (Table 6).

Discussion

Occupational pilots including civil transport and military aircrews were faced with long time of flying. The variation of Gz and vibration during flight could be factors leading to spinal degenerative changes. Taneja studied civil and military aircrew and reported that degenerative disc disease was leading cause in spinal disabilities in helicopter and transporter pilots. Most of literatures presently published focused on the description of spine through imaging findings in experienced helicopter and military pilots [16]. Byeon proposed that compared with control group, degenerative changes in the cervical spine were more prevalent in military helicopter pilots [17]. Knox found that in military helicopter pilots, lumbar disc herniation yielded a 1.22-fold higher incidence rate compared to controls. The possible relationship between morphology of sagittal alignments and spinal pain has been proved in some literature [18]. Gao reported that the degree of cervical disc herniation was inversely correlated to cervical lordosis in young patients with neck pain [19]. Whereas Grob found no correlation between neck pain and cervical alignments in adults over 45 years old [20]. It should be noted that the literatures mentioned above
focused on the experienced pilots or middle-aged groups. The conditions in young aviators flying aircrafts were rarely studied. Besides, the morphology of spine and the prevalence of spinal pain in new graduate aviators in their twenties were rarely reported and analyzed before.

In this study, all the participants were just graduated from the same flight academy. They were highly selected at the beginning of the freshman year following evaluation of physical examination of pilot selection. The official flight training started at the beginning of the last year at college and lasted for 12 months, thus all the aviators accepted the same curriculum. The questionnaire was applied to report the prevalence of neck and back pain during the last 12 months. We used the Miyazaki and Pfirrmann classification to differentiate the disc degeneration conditions. The two classification strategies were widely used and accepted. Brinjikji reported that the prevalence of disc degeneration was 37% in the 20-year-old individuals [21]. In our study, all the participants showed at least one disc in grade 2 of cervical and lumbar spine, illustrating that degenerative disc change could occur at an early age in aviators. 75.3% and 26.4% participants showed obvious degeneration (grade 3 or above) in cervical and lumbar spine. The rates were significantly higher than general population and lower than that in experienced aviators. Considering the situations and training stress in military and civil aircrews, young aviators were free of consistent Gz variation and long-time consistent flying, which were correlated significantly with spinal degeneration [22, 23].

The lumbar disc nomenclature proposed by the NASS was utilized in this study to describe degenerative diseases and we extended the definitions of disc bulging, protrusion and extrusion to cervical spine. None of the participants presented disc extrusion. Romeo [24] reported that the prevalence of disc protrusion, and extrusion in spine were 18% and 8% in candidates to the air force, and the results were higher than that in our study (14.6% and 0%). One possible explanation was that the participants were all candidates to the air force in Romeo study, while in present research those failing to meet the selection criteria of aviators were excluded at the very beginning of selection process, as obvious disc herniation was one exclusion criterion.

Schmorl nodules and Modic changes were also detected in our study to analyses the possible correlation with neck and back pain. Kuisma reported that Modic changes at L5-S1 and Modic type I lesions are more likely to be associated with pain symptoms than other types or changes located at other lumbar levels in middle-aged workers [25]. In our study, the overall incidences of Modic change and Schmorl nodules were not high (2.8% and 5.1%). Although the incidence of Modic change in aviators with spinal pain was higher than that in those without pain, multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the correlation between Modic change and spinal pain was not statistically significant. A possible reason was that the degenerative condition was at an early stage to arise obvious clinical symptoms.

Spine sagittal alignments have been regarded as key elements of spine balance. Roussouly [26] proposed a classification of lumbar-pelvic alignments to describe the morphology of spine. The unusual morphology may induce uncomfortable conditions including pain and fatigue. As for cervical spine, we enrolled CL combined with T1 slope and CSVA as main predictors to analyses possible correlations with
neck pain [27]. Similarly, lumbar-pelvic alignments were utilized to detect possible correlations with back pain. No significant difference was found between aviators with and without spinal pain in neither cervical nor lumbar spine form the results, indicating that sagittal alignments may not correlate with spinal pain in this sample.

Landau reported in a study enrolling a small sample size of military aircrew that cervical spine degenerative changes seemed to be associated with older age rather than aircraft type [28]. The span of age was not tremendous in our study (from 20 to 26 years). Nevertheless, aviators with neck pain were older than those without neck pain and multivariate logistic regression analysis confirmed the correlation between age and neck pain. However, similar results were not acquired as for back pain. Relatively lower incidence of back pain may be a reason.

There still exist some limitations of the study. Firstly, the questionnaire was simple and focused on the incidence of spinal pain. Further differentiations including frequencies and degrees of pain were essential to better describe the occurrence and development of pain in aviators. Secondly, other structures of spine including muscles and facet joints were not enrolled as possible factors correlated with spinal pain. Thirdly, the descriptions of spine were obtained from images at the time point of graduation and the lack of baseline data at the beginning of the curriculum was obvious. However, it should be noted that the elimination ratio of aviators could be as high as 45%. The high rate implies that approximately half of the aviators attending the flying training will be eliminated because of variable reasons. About 30% of them withdrew from the course due to spinal diseases. Therefore, it makes more sense to analyses the data of new graduate aviators.

Conclusion: Moderate degrees of disc degenerative changes and diseases of spine could be found in new graduate aviators at an early age. Disc degeneration and diseases correlate strongly with spinal pain. It is essential to recognize these pathological conditions to take intervention measures in the occurrence and development of degenerative changes in aviators in advance.
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Tables
Table 1
Intra-and inter-class correlation coefficient (ICCs) of imaging parameters from X-ray films.

| Parameters | Intra-observer (observer A) | Intra-observer (observer B) | Inter-observer (A and B) |
|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| CL         | 0.964                       | 0.930                       | 0.834                    |
| T1S        | 0.878                       | 0.843                       | 0.851                    |
| CSVA       | 0.995                       | 0.998                       | 0.990                    |
| PI         | 0.998                       | 0.995                       | 0.984                    |
| PT         | 0.982                       | 0.993                       | 0.997                    |
| SS         | 0.981                       | 0.995                       | 0.971                    |
| LL         | 0.967                       | 0.984                       | 0.950                    |
| SVA        | 0.999                       | 0.998                       | 0.997                    |
Table 2
Baseline data of the whole sample.

| Variables                                         | Mean or % | SD |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|----|
| Age (years)                                       | 22.6      | 1.1|
| BMI (Kg/m²)                                       | 20.8      | 1.1|
| CL (°)                                            | 17.1      | 10.6|
| T1S (°)                                           | 22.3      | 7.4 |
| CSVA (mm)                                         | 7.3       | 7.3 |
| PI (°)                                            | 43.6      | 8.7 |
| PT (°)                                            | 8.4       | 6.4 |
| SS (°)                                            | 35.2      | 6.9 |
| LL (°)                                            | 47.9      | 9.4 |
| SVA (mm)                                          | -14.4     | 24.5|
| Cervical disc degeneration (grade 3) (%)           | 75.3      |    |
| Cervical disc bulging (%)                         | 34.3      |    |
| Cervical disc protrusion (%)                      | 3         |    |
| Lumbar disc degeneration (Grade 3/4) (%)          | 26.4      |    |
| Lumbar disc bulging (%)                           | 17.4      |    |
| Lumbar disc protrusion (%)                        | 11.8      |    |
| Schmorl nodule (%)                                | 5.1       |    |
| Modic change (%)                                  | 2.8       |    |
Table 3
Comparison of features in aviators with and without neck pain.

| Variables                      | Aviators with neck pain (n = 110) | Aviators without neck pain (n = 68) | P value |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Cervical lordosis (°)         | 17.0 ± 10.2                       | 17.4 ± 11.3                        | 0.792   |
| T1 slope (°)                  | 22.8 ± 7.2                        | 17.4 ± 11.3                        | 0.230   |
| CSVA (mm)                     | 7.9 ± 7.7                         | 6.2 ± 6.6                          | 0.144   |
| Disc degeneration (grade 3)   | 99                                | 35                                  | <0.001  |
| Disc bulging                  | 52                                | 9                                   | <0.001  |
| Disc protrusion               | 4                                 | 1                                   | 0.366   |
| Age (years)                   | 22.8 ± 1.0                        | 22.3 ± 1.1                         | 0.001   |
| BMI (Kg/m²)                   | 20.9 ± 1.1                        | 20.9 ± 1.1                         | 0.788   |

Table 4
Results of multivariate logistic regression analysis considering neck pain as dependent variable

| Independent variable | β     | SE     | P      | Exp(B) | 95%CI   |
|----------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Age (years)          | 0.406 | 0.182  | 0.026  | 1.501  | 1.1–2.1 |
| Disc degeneration    | 1.712 | 0.424  | <0.001 | 5.541  | 2.4–12.7|
| Disc bulging         | 1.261 | 0.433  | 0.004  | 3.527  | 1.5–8.2 |
| Disc protrusion      | 0.343 | 1.174  | 0.77   | 1.409  | 0.1–14.0|
Table 5
Comparison of features in aviators with and without back pain.

|                              | Aviators with back pain (n = 45) | Aviators without back pain (n = 133) | P value |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| PI (°)                       | 43.4 ± 9.7                       | 43.7 ± 8.3                           | 0.855   |
| PT (°)                       | 8.7 ± 5.5                        | 8.2 ± 6.7                            | 0.688   |
| SS (°)                       | 34.7 ± 8.0                       | 35.4 ± 6.5                           | 0.557   |
| LL (°)                       | 47.5 ± 10.7                      | 48.0 ± 8.9                           | 0.765   |
| SVA (mm)                     | -13.4 ± 25.5                     | -14.7 ± 22.2                         | 0.767   |
| Disc degeneration (grade 3/4)| 30                               | 23                                   | <0.001  |
| Disc bulging                 | 15                               | 16                                   | 0.002   |
| Disc protrusion              | 17                               | 4                                    | <0.001  |
| Schmorl nodules              | 2                                | 7                                    | 0.593   |
| Modic change                 | 4                                | 1                                    | 0.015   |
| Age (years)                  | 22.3 ± 1.0                       | 22.5 ± 1.0                           | 0.215   |
| BMI (Kg/m²)                  | 21.0 ± 1.0                       | 20.8 ± 1.0                           | 0.29    |

Table 6
Comparison of features in aviators with and without back pain.

| Independent variable    | β     | SE    | P      | Exp(B) | 95%CI              |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Age (years)             | -0.233| 0.227 | 0.303  | 0.792  | 0.5–1.2           |
| Disc degeneration       | 1.466 | 0.484 | 0.002  | 4.330  | 1.7–11.2          |
| Disc bulging            | 1.764 | 0.489 | <0.001 | 5.835  | 2.2–15.2          |
| Disc protrusion         | 2.425 | 0.723 | 0.001  | 11.303 | 2.7–46.6         |
| Modic change            | 0.122 | 1.227 | 0.921  | 1.129  | 0.1–12.5          |