Retrospective use of heparin for VTE prophylaxis in adult patients on hemodialysis
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Abstract

Background: There is minimal research on whether heparin 5000 units subcutaneous (Sub-q) should be dosed every 8 hours versus every 12 hours in hemodialysis (HD) patients for venous thromboembolism (VTE) prophylaxis.

Methods: Management of the research data for this study involved collection, entry, processing, storage, retrieval, archival, distribution and documentation of information collected according to a written protocol. Efficacy and safety were measured using a Fisher’s exact test with a two-tailed p-value. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Study population: An EPIC report was obtained for hemodialysis patients that received heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours or every 12 hours from March 13, 2014 to April 28, 2020. 4303 patients were included, and 273 patients were assessed for eligibility. 214 patients were analyzed.

Results: The primary endpoint–vascular event, ischemic event, death related to coagulopathy, anaphylaxis, hyperkalemia, hypertriglyceridemia, heparin induced thrombocytopenia (HIT), or sepsis in patients receiving heparin who were on HD occurred in 12 of 107 patients (11.2%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours group and 10 of 107 patients (9.3%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12 hours group (p=0.676).

Conclusion: In HD patients on heparin for VTE prophylaxis, we detected no statistically significant difference between heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours versus every 12 hours.

Introduction

Unfractionated heparin (UFH) is indicated for the prophylaxis and treatment of thromboembolic disorders such as venous thromboembolism, acute coronary syndrome, as well as the prevention of clotting during dialysis. UFH works by inhibiting thrombin, which prevents fibrin formation and thrombin activation of platelets and clotting factors V and VIII. For UFH to exert its effect it requires cofactor antithrombin-III (AT-III) and a minimum of 13 additional saccharide units [1]. The heparin/AT-III complex increases thrombin inhibition by up to 1,000 times [2]. This binding facilitates the inactivation of clotting factors IIa, Xa, IXa, and XIIa [1]. UFH can be administered as a continuous intravenous (IV) infusion or subcutaneous injection as it cannot be absorbed through the gastrointestinal mucosa [3].

In HD patients, the clotting cascade is activated when blood components make contact with the dialyzer, dialysis tubing or the drip chamber [4]. Upon contact, platelet adherence occurs within the circuit, accelerating thrombin generation through the intrinsic coagulation pathway [5]. Due to the increased risk of clotting and thrombosis, anticoagulation is usually required. UFH has been extensively studied throughout the years and has been the standard anticoagulant due to its favorable side effect profile and safety data in patients who are not experiencing active bleeding, thrombocytopenia, heparin allergy or HIT.

Clots within the dialyzer decrease the surface area of the dialyzer, and in some cases can block blood in the circuit. Historically, the dose of UFH was based off of doses sufficient enough to cause a rise in activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) or whole blood activated clotting time (ACT) [4]. Dosing based off of aPTT and ACT is now considered impractical. Today, loading doses and infusion rates are calculated based off of equations to predict UFH dosages. UFH, with a half-life of 1.5 hours is typically given as a loading dose of 1000-2000 international units that is followed by a continuous infusion of 500-1500 units/h [5]. Some centers prescribe an empirical dose of UFH with a loading dose (LD) between 25-75 international units/kg, followed by a maintenance dose (MD) of 500-1500 units/h [6]. Whereas, other centers prefer a larger dose of UFH at the start of dialysis, followed by a second smaller dose halfway through HD [6]. In practice, the bolus dose, infusion rate and stopping times of UFH are determined empirically, based off of patient specific clot formation. The UFH dose might need to be adjusted in patients with a high hematocrit, thrombocytopenia or in patients on long-term anticoagulation [5].

In the practice setting, there is no standard dosing for heparin in HD patients. Due to this, there is minimal research on whether heparin 5000 units Sub-q should be dosed every 8 hours versus every 12 hours in HD patients. This cohort will provide the best available evidence on
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safety and efficacy in hemodialysis patients utilizing heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours versus every 12 hours for VTE prophylaxis.

**Methods**

**Trial design**

We conducted a retrospective, randomized, quality-improvement cohort to evaluate the use of heparin for VTE prophylaxis in hemodialysis patients at UNC REX Healthcare System.

Management of the research data for this study involved collection, entry, processing, storage, retrieval, archival, distribution and documentation of information collected according to a written protocol. An EPIC report was obtained for hemodialysis patients that received heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours or every 12 hours from March 13, 2014 to April 28, 2020.

Anaphylaxis was defined as a life-threatening allergic reaction that can be characterized by difficulty breathing, shock and dermatologic rash [7]. Hyperkalemia was defined as an elevated serum potassium level greater than 5.5 mEq/L [8]. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia was defined as a life-threatening hypercoagulable state 5-10 days after exposure to heparin therapy [10]. Sepsis was defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction due to infection that overwhelsm the host’s defense system [11]. Major bleed was defined by The Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) bleeding criteria [12].

**Trial population**

Participants were included if they were greater than or equal to 18 years of age, received hemodialysis therapy in the UNC REX Healthcare System, received unfractionated heparin therapy, and was a Medicare primary payer.

**Trial endpoints**

The primary endpoint compared the incidence of patients experiencing any of the following during the study period: symptomatic or asymptomatic vascular event (VTE (deep vein thrombosis (DVT) or pulmonary embolism (PE)) and/or PE, or acute coronary syndrome (ACS), ischemic event (Stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), or Myocardial Infarction (MI), death related to coagulopathy, anaphylaxis, hyperkalemia, hypertriglycerideremia, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia and sepsis.

The secondary endpoint compared the safety incidence events of VTE prophylaxis with both dosing regimens, including patients experiencing a major bleed (bleeding due to unscheduled medical intervention, healthcare professional intervention, impairment of daily living, and/or need to alter medication dosing).

**Statistical analysis**

Efficacy and safety in treatment arms was measured using a Fisher’s exact test with a two-tailed p-value. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Categorical variables such as demographic characteristics and medical history data were summarized with the use of frequencies and proportions.

**Results**

From March 2014 through April 2020, 4303 patients were included, and 273 patients were assessed for eligibility. 214 patients were analyzed from the UNC REX Healthcare System in North Carolina (Figure 1). The baseline characteristics of the patients are listed in Table 1.

**Endpoints**

The primary endpoint–vascular event, ischemic event, death related to coagulopathy, anaphylaxis, hyperkalemia, hypertriglycerideremia, HIT, or sepsis in patients receiving heparin who were on HD occurred in 12 of 107 patients (11.2%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours group and 10 of 107 patients (9.3%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12 hours group (p=0.676).

The incidences of the individual components of the primary endpoint are shown in Table 2. Vascular events occurred in 2 of 107 patients (1.9%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12 hours group and 1 of 107 patients (0.9%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours group (p=1).

**Table 1. Baseline demographics**

| Baseline demographics | All patients (N=4303) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Mean age, years       | 61.2                 |
| Male, n (%)           | 2244 (52.1)          |
| Female, n (%)         | 2059 (47.9)          |
| Race, n (%)           |                      |
| White                 | 1530 (35.5)          |
| Asian                 | 38 (1.0)             |
| African American      | 2503 (58.2)          |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 28 (0.7) |
| Other                 | 200 (4.6)            |
| Ethnicity, n (%)      |                      |
| Hispanic or Latino    | 130 (3.0)            |
| Non-Hispanic or Latino| 4099 (95.2)          |
| Unknown               | 72 (1.7)             |
| Smoking Status, n (%) |                      |
| Former Smoker         | 1568 (36.4)          |
| Non-Smoker            | 1658 (38.3)          |
| Current every day Smoker | 508 (11.8)          |
| Never Assessed        | 135 (3.1)            |
| Smokeless Tobacco Use, n (%) |      |
| Former User           | 160 (3.7)            |
| Never Used            | 2741 (63.7)          |
| Current User          | 82 (1.9)             |
| Unknown               | 1658 (25.1)          |
| Disease State         |                      |
| Hypertension, n (%)   | 2454 (57.0)          |
| Hyperlipidaemia, n (%)| 739 (17.2)           |
| Type II Diabetes, n (%)| 203 (4.7)           |
| Other, n (%)          | 907 (21.1)           |

**Figure 1. Trial endpoints**

The results of Table 2 show that the incidence of vascular events and ischemic events was lower in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours group compared to the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12 hours group, with a p-value of 0.676. The incidence of death related to coagulopathy was also lower in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours group compared to the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12 hours group, with a p-value of 0.676.
ACS occurred in 2 of 107 patients (1.9%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12 hours group and 1 of 107 patients (0.9%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours group (p=1). Ischemic events occurred in 3 of 107 (2.8%) patients in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12-hour group and 1 of 107 (0.9%) patients in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8-hour group (p=0.624). Myocardial infarction (MI) occurred in 2 of 107 patients (1.1%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12-hour group and 0 of 107 patients (0.0%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8-hour group (p=0.723). Hyperkalemia occurred in 5 of 107 patients (4.7%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12-hour group and 2 of 107 patients (1.9%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8-hour group (p=0.282). Hypertriglyceridemia occurred in 3 of 107 patients (2.8%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12-hour group and 4 of 107 patients (3.7%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8-hour group (p=0.723). HIT occurred in 2 of 107 patients (1.9%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8-hour group and 0 of 107 patients (0.0%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12-hour group (p=1).

The secondary endpoint—major bleed, bleed requiring medical intervention, bleed requiring healthcare intervention, bleed causing impairment of daily living, or bleed requiring alternative dosing, occurred in 3 out of 107 patients (2.8%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hours group and 4 out of 107 patients (3.7%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12 hours group (p=1). Bleeding requiring healthcare intervention occurred in 4 of 107 patients (3.7%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 12 hour group and 1 of 107 patients (0.9%) in the heparin 5000 units Sub-q every 8 hour group (p=0.369).
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