Introduction

Myeloproliferative neoplasm (MPN) comprises of clonal stem cell disorders of multipotent hematopoietic progenitors. In 2008, the World Health Organization (WHO) revised the classification system for MPN. This classification reflected a paradigm shift from previous schemes as genetic information was incorporated with morphologic, cytochemical, immunophenotypic, and clinical information into diagnostic algorithms for myeloid neoplasms.[1] The newer classification system incorporates mutations discovered in the Janus kinase 2 (JAK2) V617F and MPL genes.[2]

In 2005, three myeloproliferative diseases polycythemia vera (PV), essential thrombocythemia (ET), and myelofibrosis (MF) were associated with somatic mutation in JAK2. This finding led to a better understanding of the pathogenesis of these diseases. JAK2 V617F tyrosine kinase encoded by gain of function mutation in the gene JAK2 V617F present on short arm of chromosome 9 is known to be present in 95% patients with PV, 50% patients with MF and 40% patients with ET.[3] The discovery of JAK2 V617F and similar mutations has revolutionized the diagnostic approach to the BCR-ABL negative MPNs, particularly PV, ET, and MF. This and the JAK exon 12 mutation and the mutations of MPL are important in differentiating between a neoplastic and reactive marrow proliferation.[4]

The role of megakaryocytic morphology has been emphasized in literature, but the terminology for megakaryocytic description is riddled with confusion and overlaps leading to under utility of megakaryocytic features and reliance on genetic markers. In this study, we used specific terminology for megakaryocytic description, attempting to identify specific megakaryocytic features for a particular entity.[5]

The objectives of the study were to study the megakaryocytic morphology in JAK2 V617F positive MPN in different age groups and gender. The study focused on clinical manifestation, hematological parameters, megakaryocytic number, morphological types, pattern, localization, along with other hematopoietic cells, in bone marrow aspirates (BMAs) and bone marrow biopsies (BMBs). The correlation of the same was done with the final diagnostic entities.

Subjects and Methods

This was a retrospective and prospective hospital-based study, from January 2011 to May 2015 including a review of clinical features, hematological parameters, peripheral smear, BMA, and BMB in those cases of MPNs which were JAK2 V617F mutation positive. The study was conducted in Department of Pathology, Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore, Karnataka, India. A total of 15 cases of JAK2 V617F positive MPN were collected during this period. Clearance from Institutional Ethics Committee was taken.

The cases on which JAK2 V617F mutation analysis was performed were included in the study. The cases without JAK2 V617F mutation analysis were excluded from the study.

The peripheral smear and BMA smears were stained by Leishman stain, and BMBs were stained by routine hematoxylin and eosin (H and E), and Gomori’s method was used for reticulin pattern. Reticulin stain was graded as per WHO 2008 guidelines.[1] The peripheral smear, BMA smears, and BMB slides were studied with special emphasis on megakaryocytic morphology and pattern. Cytogenetic test JAK2 617F qualitative mutation analysis was done by polymerase chain reaction and gel electrophoresis. Specific megakaryocytic morphology definitions were considered for the study.[5]
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The data were analyzed by proportions, tables, and graphs. Various morphological features were analyzed for their frequency and were compared with the final diagnosis using Chi-square value and Fisher test, wherever appropriate. $P < 0.05$ was considered to be significant. SPSS version 13.0 (IBM SPSS statistics) was used for the analysis.

**Results**

The study duration of 4½ years documented a total of 15 cases diagnosed as BCR-ABL negative JAK2 V617F positive MPN on complete blood count, peripheral smear examination, BMA, and BMB. Seven out of the 15 cases studied, seven cases (46.6%) were of PV, three cases (20%) of ET, and five cases (33.3%) were of MF.

The age group affected in PV (71.4%), ET (66.7%), and MF (60%) was between 40 and 60 years. One case (20%) of MF belonged to age group > 60 years. Male gender was affected predominantly in all the three disease entities with male: female ratio of 6:1 in PV, 2:1 in ET, and 3:2 in MF.

The most common symptom found was weakness in six out of seven cases of PV (85.7%), one case of ET (33.3%), and all five cases of MF (100%). The patients of PV also presented with plethora in six out of seven cases (85.7%). Other overlapping physical symptoms found were fatigue, abdominal pain, fever, weight loss, palpitation, giddiness, loss of appetite, and loose stools. The most common physical sign found was splenomegaly seen in three cases (42.9%) of PV, two cases (66.7%) of ET, and all the five cases (100%) of MF.

The hematological parameters included in the study were hemoglobin, total red blood cell (RBC) count, total white blood cell (WBC) count, and platelet count. Mean value and range of hemoglobin, total RBC count, total WBC count, and platelet count are shown in Table 1.

Basophils were noted in all 15 cases (100%) of JAK2 V617F positive MPNs. Six out of seven cases (85.7%) of PV, all three cases of ET and one out of five cases (20%) of MF showed giant platelets in peripheral smear. The circulating megakaryocytes were noted in one out of seven cases (14.2%) of PV and one out of five cases (20%) of MF.

All BMA and BMBs studied were hypercellular. Erythropoiesis was increased in all the seven cases of PV, one case of ET, and one case of MF. It was normal in remaining two cases (66.7%) of ET and four cases (80%) of MF. Myelopoiesis was increased in all the cases in both BMA and BMBs.

The most common finding of megakaryocytic morphology in PV, ET, and MF are shown in Table 2.

PV showed normal sized megakaryocytes, with cloud-like, hypolobated nuclei, small cytoplasm arranged in dense clusters. These features were similar to those seen in MF, with difference in reticulin fibrosis which was of Grade 2 in four cases of MF and Grade 3 in remaining case as compared to PV where reticulin grade of one was found predominantly. Features such as large size megakaryocytes with staghorn hyperlobated nuclei, abundant large cytoplasm, arranged in dense clusters were seen in ET. Reticulin grade was one in all the three cases of ET.

Comparison of megakaryocyte features as seen in different entities of JAK2 positive MPN in BMBs are shown in Table 3. In BMA, PV, and MF along with normal sized megakaryocytes also showed the presence of few large sized megakaryocytes. In nuclear features, PV revealed the presence of cloud-like nuclei in four out of seven cases (57.1%) and bare nuclei in three cases (42.9%). Five out of seven cases (71.4%) of PV showed hypolobated nuclei. In PV, three cases exhibited loose clustering, and another three showed dense clustering. Five out of seven cases (71.4%) of PV showed the presence of small cytoplasm; one case showed (14.3%) normal cytoplasm while one case (14.3%) showed large cytoplasm. Few megakaryocytes with large cytoplasm were also noted in MF. These megakaryocytes are distributed in paratrabeicular or perisinusoidal location.

**Discussion**

PV, ET, and MF are defined by WHO as distinct entities based on a combination of bone marrow morphology, genotype, clinical data, and phenotype. These are dynamic illnesses and can evolve into each other over time or progress toward MF.[4] Our study of 15 JAK2 V617F positive MPNs constituted seven cases of PV, three cases of ET, and five cases of MF. The main highlight of these disorders is the presence of atypical, pleomorphic megakaryocytes. Hence, the emphasis of our study was to compare and correlate the morphological features of megakaryocytes in them.

A multidisciplinary approach is required while making a diagnosis of MPN. The modality of treatment, as well as prognosis, differs in all the entities and hence it is mandatory to make a correct diagnosis.[6] Thrombocytopenia pre-fibrotic phase of MF and other disorders with thrombocytopenia (PV and ET) pose a diagnostic challenge and must be discriminated as these disorders have varied progression and complications.[6]

In our study, we analyzed the complete blood count along with BMA and BMBs with a special emphasis on megakaryocytic features.

The mean value of hemoglobin was found to be 18.9 mg/dl in PV, which was highest among the three entities. The mean platelet count (854 × 10⁶/L) was found to be the highest in ET. Patients in the pre-fibrotic phase of MF also showed high mean value of platelet resulting in problems of differentiating ET from MF as noted in other studies.[7,9] The peripheral smear examination showed the presence of tear drop cells and nucleated RBCs in MF, crowding of RBCs in PV and platelet lakes in ET. Hence, complete blood count and peripheral smear examination could furnish the required clues for diagnostic modalities to be followed.

**Table 1: Mean and range of hemoglobin, total red blood cell count, total white blood cell count, and platelet count**

| Diagnosis | Hemoglobin (g/dl) | Total RBC count (×10¹²/L) | Total WBC count (×10⁹/L) | Platelet count (×10⁹/L) |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| PV        | 18.9 (18.4-19.8) | 6.8 (5.25-8.12)           | 14.81 (8-21)             | 426 (214-806)           |
| ET        | 12.9 (11.1-15.6) | 5.4 (4.56-6.64)           | 20.67 (11-28)            | 854 (608-1238)          |
| MF        | 11.8 (11-13.1)   | 5.07 (3.86-6.94)          | 32.94 (6-55)             | 519 (30-862)            |

PV=Polycythemia vera, ET=Essential thrombocytopenia, MF=Myelofibrosis, RBC=Red blood cell, WBC=White blood cell
Table 2: Features of megakaryocyte in subcategories of myeloproliferative neoplasm

| Disease | Size | Nuclei | Lobation | Clustering | Cytoplasm |
|---------|------|--------|----------|------------|-----------|
| PV      | Normal | Cloud-like | Hypolobation | Dense | Small |
| ET      | Large | Staghorn | Hyperlobation | Dense | Large |
| MF      | Normal | Cloud-like | Hypolobation | Dense | Small |

PV=Polycythemia vera, ET=Essential thrombocythemia, MF=Myelofibrosis

Table 3: Comparison of megakaryocytic morphology in bone marrow biopsies in polycythemia vera, essential thrombocythemia and myelofibrosis bone marrow biopsies

| Features | PV (%) | ET (%) | MF (%) |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Number   |        |        |        |
| Normal   | 0      | 0      | 20     |
| Increased| 100    | 100    | 80     |
| Size     |        |        |        |
| Normal   | 100    | 0      | 100    |
| Large    | 0      | 100    | 0      |
| Nuclei   |        |        |        |
| Staghorn | 4.2    | 66.7   | 20     |
| Cloud-like | 79.2  | 33.7   | 60     |
| Dysmorphic| 8.3    | 0      | 0      |
| Bare nuclei | 8.3  | 0      | 20     |
| Hyperlobation | 14.3 | 100    | 20     |
| Hypolobation | 85.7 | 0      | 80     |
| Clustering |        |        |        |
| Loose    | 42.9   | 33.7   | 40     |
| Dense    | 57.1   | 66.7   | 60     |
| Normal   | 0      | 33.3   | 0      |
| Cytoplasm|        |        |        |
| Small    | 85.7   | 0      | 100    |
| Large    | 14.3   | 66.7   | 0      |
| 0        | 14.3   | 0      | 0      |

PV=Polycythemia vera, ET=Essential thrombocythemia, MF=Myelofibrosis

BMA and BMBs were marked by trilineage hypercellularity in all categories of MPN except one case of MF, which exhibited marked reticulin fibrosis. The presence of markedly hypercellular marrow as similar to other studies.[10-14]

The megakaryocytes in PV were normal sized admixed with few large and small megakaryocytes dispersed in both dense (three out of seven cases, 42.9%) and loose clusters (three out of seven cases, 42.9%) in PV with cloud-like hypolobated nucleus. Whereas megakaryocytes in ET exhibited large size, abundant mature cytoplasm with staghorn, hyperlobated nuclei. The presence of a characteristic nuclear feature, including predominance of staghorn nuclei in ET and cloud-like nuclei in PV, can help us to differentiate between the two entities. Other features may be overlapping. Few overlapping features included the presence of normal sized megakaryocytes in both ET and PV as seen by study of Gianelli et al.[15] and Vytrva et al.[16]

Although ET demonstrates characteristic megakaryocyte morphology, patient of ET with a history of hemorrhage can show significant erythroid hyperplasia along with granulocytic proliferation and can be confused with early stages of PV. However, characteristic large megakaryocyte with staghorn, hyperlobated nuclei would favor a diagnosis of ET [Figure 1].[11,17,18]

Megakaryocytes in prefibrotic phase of MF [Figure 2] were of normal size and were predominantly dispersed in dense clusters (three out of five cases, 60%) and exhibited small cytoplasm with cloud-like (three out of five cases, 60%) nuclei. These megakaryocytes are distributed in paratrabeucular or perisinusoidal location. Sinusoidal dilatation was noted along with extramedullary hematopoiesis suggestive of MF with myeloid metaplasia. Similar findings were reported by other authors,[19,20] Coexistence of normal sized megakaryocytes and cloud-like, hypolobated nuclei with distribution in dense clusters in the cellular phase of MF and PV can lead to difficulty in differentiating the two entities as reported by Vytrva et al.[16]

However, in the presence of leukoerythroblastic blood picture, dense clustering of normal sized megakaryocytes with cloud-like nuclei and few staghorn nuclei is more likely to point toward the diagnosis of MF as seen in our study. These findings would also be useful in patients with thrombocytosis in the early stage of MF where the differentiation from ET may be difficult.

The most probable reason for the morphological overlap is the JAK2 V617F mutation. There is a relationship between disease manifestations and allele burden of JAK2 V617F; the higher the copy number of mutated JAK2 V617F, the more likely disease resembles PV. Homozygosity for mutated JAK2, which results from mitotic recombination, is commonly found in PV, often in MF, and almost never in ET. Additional genetic abnormalities cooperate to initiate and influence the disease process. These reasons can be applied for the varying phenotypic aspects of these disorders.[21]

**Conclusions**

Megakaryocytes exhibited specific morphological features in the various categories of MPNs. PV was characterized by normal sized megakaryocytes, arranged in dense clusters, with small cytoplasm and hypolobated cloud-like nuclei. In contrast, ET exhibited giant megakaryocytes, abundant mature large cytoplasm, staghorn, hyperlobated nuclei in dense clusters. The megakaryocytes in MF were arranged in dense clusters, paratrabeucular, or perisinusoidal in location, with small cytoplasm and hypolobated nuclei. As JAK2 V617F mutation is seen only in a subset of MPN understanding of specific megakaryocytic morphologies in various categories of MPN could enable accurate subclassification of MPN.

**Limitation of the study and future prospective**

This study needs to be validated in a larger group of people. Patients who are negative for JAK2 617F mutation but positive for JAK2 exon 12 mutation need to be studied further. The relative importance of megakaryocytic morphology can be made useful in cases of ET with specific features which differ from the comparable features seen in PV and MF.
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