Thalamocortical Connections Drive Intracortical Activation of Functional Columns in the Mislaminated Reeler Somatosensory Cortex
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Abstract

Neuronal wiring is key to proper neural information processing. Tactile information from the rodent’s whiskers reaches the cortex via distinct anatomical pathways. The lemniscal pathway relays whisking and touch information from the ventral posteromedial thalamic nucleus to layer IV of the primary somatosensory “barrel” cortex. The disorganized neocortex of the reeler mouse is a model system that should severely compromise the ingrowth of thalamocortical axons (TCAs) into the cortex. Moreover, it could disrupt intracortical wiring. We found that neuronal intermingling within the reeler barrel cortex substantially exceeded previous descriptions, leading to the loss of layers. However, viral tracing revealed that TCAs still specifically targeted transgenically labeled spiny layer IV neurons. Slice electrophysiology and optogenetics proved that these connections represent functional synapses. In addition, we assessed intracortical activation via immediate-early-gene expression resulting from a behavioral exploration task. The cellular composition of activated neuronal ensembles suggests extensive similarities in intracolumnar information processing in the wild-type and reeler brains. We conclude that extensive ectopic positioning of neuronal partners can be compensated for by cell-autonomous mechanisms that allow for the establishment of proper connectivity. Thus, genetic neuronal fate seems to be of greater importance for correct cortical wiring than radial neuronal position.
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Introduction

For rodents, somatosensation plays a crucial role in the exploration of and orientation in the environment. They use the principal whiskers on their snout for object identification and discrimination (Guic-Robles et al. 1989; Brecht et al. 1997; Kleinfeld and Deschenes 2011). Peripheral information from the whisker pad reaches the cortex via (at least) 3 anatomically distinct pathways. These pathways are supposed to convey different aspects of the afferent information, such as whisking kinematics, contact timing, and touch information (Diamond et al. 2008). Thalamic projections from the dorsomedial section of the ventral
posteromedial nucleus of the thalamus (VPM) to rodent primary somatosensory cortex represent the most prominent stream of information. This “lemniscal” pathway conveys detailed whisking and touch information. The thalamocortical axons (TCAs) mainly project to layer IV of the primary somatosensory cortex. There they form elliptically shaped patches within the center of layer IV cellular aggregates called “barrels” and are able to functionally activate layer IV cells and, subsequently, the functional barrel-related columns (Woolsey and Van der Loos 1970; Bernardo and Woolsey 1987; Senft and Woolsey 1991; Wimmer et al. 2010). Neuronal activation can be visualized by immediate-early genes, such as the inducible transcription factor c-fos (Sagar et al. 1988; Staiger 2006; Barth 2007), which has been shown to be a suitable marker to resolve neuronal activation (i.e., elevated neuronal firing rates) in a cell-type-specific manner (Staiger et al. 2002; Yassin et al. 2010).

The reeler mouse is a homozygous reelin-deficient mutant that, due to its striking cortical phenotype, provides a “valuable experiment in nature” (Caviness et al. 1988) for key developmental mechanisms like neuronal migration (Hashimoto-Torii et al. 2008; Britto et al. 2011) or pathway formation (Harsan et al. 2013). For a long time, descriptions of the phenotype emphasized a relative inversion of cortical layers (Caviness and Sidman 1973; D’Arcangelo 2005). However, various features of the disorganized cortex indicated a more substantial defect of cortical layering (Caviness and Rakic 1978; Rakic and Caviness 1995). Work from our own laboratory as well as that from other groups confirmed and extended this view of a complex cellular disorganization: in the somatosensory cortex, neurons with different laminar characteristics are severely intermingled and become distributed all over the cortical thickness (Dekimoto et al. 2010; Wagener et al. 2010; Boyle et al. 2011). Nevertheless, tangential organization, and thus somatotopy within the primary somatosensory barrel cortex, seems to be maintained (Caviness 1976; Wagener et al. 2010; Guy et al. 2015). Previous studies proposed a preservation of the complex projection of TCAs into the (vertically) disorganized reeler cortex. However, these studies lacked identification of target cells for the TCAs and thus potentially underestimated the extent of miswiring (Steindler and Colwell 1976; Frost and Caviness 1980; Caviness and Frost 1983). As guidance of TCAs and synapse formation with their corti cal partners are enormously complex processes (for review see Molnar et al. 2012), regular wiring of TCAs with extensively ectopic cortical target cells would demonstrate massive developmental plasticity. The ingrowth of TCAs into the cortex depends on genetically determined molecular cues as well as thalamic neurotransmission (O’Leary and Nakagawa 2002; Grove and Fukuchi-Shimogori 2003; Li et al. 2013). Interactions of thalamic axons with subplate cells seem to play an important role during thalamocortical pathfinding. Equivalent mechanisms have been postulated for the reeler cortex where thalamic fibers contact the superficially located “subplate,” which consequently in reeler is called superplate (Molnar et al. 1998; Higashi et al. 2005).

Due to the increasing number of neurological syndromes that can be linked to defects in neuronal migration (Francis et al. 2006; Kerjan and Gleeson 2007; Valiente and Marin 2010), there is great interest in determining the consequences of ectopic neuronal positioning. Of particular interest is the wiring of these ectopically positioned cells, as well as the basic functional properties of cellular disorganized networks. In the present study, we used viral tracers, as well as channelrhodopsin-expressing viral vectors in combination with patch-clamp slice electrophysiology in a transgenic animal that allows for identification of neurons with a genetic layer IV characteristic. Thus, we were able to trace TCAs in the vicinity of clusters of ectopic “layer IV neurons” as well as test for functional synaptic contacts. Our results confirm and substantially extend the notion of intact thalamocortical contact formation in the disorganized reeler brain, namely, that terminal fields of TCAs overlap with clusters of neurons with genetic layer IV characteristics and appropriate synaptic markers. It was particularly important to verify functional synapses between TCAs and identified ectopic neurons with genetic layer IV characteristics. While the general question of thalamocortical wiring in the disorganized cortex has been a matter of research in previous studies (see above), very little was known about intracortical network activation in the disorganized cortex, as a measure of the integrity of intracortical wiring. Using a combination of molecular and classical historical techniques, we revealed that thalamocortical excitation led to a highly ordered activation of excitatory and inhibitory neuronal populations in the barrel-related column. Thus, we could demonstrate that basic principles of intracolumnar wiring and information processing seem largely unimpaired by cortical cellular intermingling (cf. Lodato et al. 2011). Taken together, our results imply that, despite misplacement of neurons due to migration defects, neuronal wiring into highly functional networks is still possible.

Materials and Methods

Animals

In total, 40 adult B6C3Fe mice (20 wt and 20 reeler) and 24 layer IV tdTomato mice (12 wt and 12 reeler) were used for the experiments. Mice were obtained from the central animal facility of the University Medical Center Goettingen. They were housed with a 12-h light/dark cycle, with food and water available ad libitum. All experimental procedures were approved by the local government.

Transgenic Animals

Scnn1a-Cre mice (full strain name: B6.Cg-Tg(Scnn1a-Cre)3Aibs/J; Stock Number: 009613; Jackson Laboratory) were crossed with heterozygous reeler animals (B6C3Fe Reln+/–). The resulting Scnn1a-Cre reeler (+/–) were crossed again and animals homozygous for Scnn1a-Cre and heterozygous for Reln were chosen for further crossings. ROSA-Tomato-LSL mice (full strain name: B6;129S6-Gt(Rosa)26Sortm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze/J; Stock number: 007905; Jackson Laboratory) were crossed with heterozygous reeler in the same way, the resulting strain was called ROSA-Tomato-LSL reeler (+/–). Crossing of Scnn1a-Tg3-Cre reeler (+/–) and Tomato-LSL reeler (+/–) resulted in layer IV-specific expression of tdTomato. Thus, the resulting line was called LIVtdTomato, either wt or reeler (Guy et al. 2015). Heterozygous reeler animals were not used for experiments.

Enriched Environment

Eight LIVtdTomato (4 wt and 4 reeler) and 32 B6C3Fe mice (16 wt and 16 reeler) were briefly anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (0.1 mg/g bodyweight) in order to clip certain sets of whiskers. On the right side of the snout, whiskers C1–3 remained intact, whereas B1–3 and D1–3 remained intact on the left side of the snout. The next day, the animals were placed in a large box (60 × 40 × 40 cm) containing a variety of natural and non-natural materials that were new to the animal (wood, a small styrofoam maze, cardboard, etc.). The mice explored the enriched environment for 2 h in the dark. Water and food were freely accessible. The animals were subsequently sacrificed by perfusion fixation.

Fixation and Tissue Processing

The adult animals for in situ hybridization (ISH) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) were perfused transcardially with 10 mL of 10%
sucrose, followed by 200 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.4. Brains were dissected out and post-fixed for 2 h, then cryoprotected in 20% sucrose in 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) overnight at 4°C. Sectioning was done with a cryostat (CM 3050S; Leica) at 40 µm (ISH) or 50 µm (IHC) thickness. Most sections were cut in the standard coronal plane, but 4 LivDtomato (TC1460681) brains (2 wt and 2 reeler) were cut in the tangential plane (Welker and Woolsey 1974) (Fig. 2C, D).

Brightfield and Fluorescent Immunostaining

For a detailed description of the methods, see Wagener et al. (2010). In brief, the sections were rinsed in PB, Tris-buffer (TB), TB saline (TBS), and TBS-containing Triton X-100 (TBST) and lastly blocked with normal goat serum. They were incubated for 60 h at 6°C with the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-c-Fos, sc52 (1:5000, Santa Cruz); mouse anti–GAD67, MAB5406 (1:20,000, Chemicon); mouse anti-SMI32, SMI32R (1:5000, Covance); mouse anti-PV, P-3088 (1:150,000, Sigma); rabbit anti-CR, 7696 (1:10,000, Swant); mouse anti-Calb, C-8665 (1:160,000, Sigma). For brightfield staining, the primary antibodies were detected with suitable biotinylated secondary antibodies (Vector Laboratories), diluted 1:200 in TBST. The chromogen was 0.015% 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (DAB; Sigma). To darken the staining reaction (in double labeling), 0.4% ammonium nickel sulfate (Fluka) was added. The staining reaction was initiated by the addition of hydrogen peroxide 0.006%. Color development was monitored by visual inspection with a stereomicroscope. For fluorescent staining, the primary antibodies were detected with suitable secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa fluorophores (Invitrogen; 1:400). Fluorescent Nissl staining (NeuroTrace, Invitrogen) and DAPI staining (Molecular Probes) were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The sections were rinsed again and mounted in Aqua Poly Mount (Polysciences, Inc.).

In Situ Hybridization

ISH for the layer-specific markers Ndnf (also known as A93008380C7Rik), Rgs8, Rorb (also known as Roberta), Etv1 (also known as Er81), and TC1460681 as well as for excitatory and inhibitory markers Gad1 (also known as GAD67) and Slc17a7 (also known as vGlut1) were performed with digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled cRNA probes. They were either generated directly from the appropriate plasmids containing full-length cDNA inserts specified for the respective laminar marker (Source BioScience), or in the case of TC1460681, Gad1, and Slc17a7, were first amplified from genomic cDNA with appropriate primers, cloned into the pcR-BluntII-TOPO Vector (Invitrogen), transfected into JM 109 competent Escherichia coli (Promega), amplified in overnight cultures, and purified with a purification kit (Qiagen). Order numbers of the full-length plasmids (Source BioScience) included: Ndnf (IRAVp9681091D), Rgs8 (IRAVp96809162D), Rorb (IRAVp96801267D), Etv1 (IRAK-p961E106Q). Primer Sequences: TC1460681, forward primer TCCT CAGAGGTCATGCTCCTTCC, reverse primer TACTGTGATCGGTTTTT GAACTG (Boyle et al. 2011); Gad1, forward primer GCCAGCAGT GTTTACCGAGC, reverse primer GCCCTTGCCTCCGGTGTCATA; Slc17a7, forward primer CAGACGGAGAGGTGATA, reverse primer TTCCCTCAGAAGGGCGTT, forward primer GCCTGGAGTCAGGAAA GTGA, nested reverse TGAAGGAAAAAGGCGTTG. Plasmids underwent restriction digestion with the appropriate enzymes and subsequent in vitro transcription using a DIG RNA labeling kit (Roche). The sizes of the full-length probes were reduced to 350 base pairs via alkaline hydrolysis (0.2 M sodium carbonate and 0.2 M sodium bicarbonate at pH 10.2).

The thawed brain sections were rinsed 3 times (free floating) with 2× standard saline citrate (1× SSC = 0.15 M NaCl, 0.015 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0) and pretreated for 15 min in hybridization buffer (HB; 50% formamide, 4× SSC, 250 µg/mL denatured salmon sperm DNA, 100 µg/mL TNA, 5% dextran sulfate and 1% Denhardt’s solution) diluted 1:1 in 2× SSC at room temperature, followed by 1 h of incubation in pure HB at 55°C. Probe hybridization with DIG-labeled probes (200 ng/mL) was done overnight at the same temperature. Posthybridization washes were performed with 2× SSC (2× 15 min, at room temperature), 2× SSC/50% formamide (15 min, at 65°C), 0.1× SSC/50% formamide (15 min, at 65°C), 0.1× SSC (2× 15 min, at 65°C) and 0.01 M TBS, pH 7.4 (2× 10 min, at room temperature). The probes were detected by anti-DIG Fab fragments conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (raised in sheep; Roche) diluted 1:1500 in TBS-containing blocking agent (Roche, at 4°C overnight). Hybridized probes were stained with nitroblue tetrazolium and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate (Roche). Development of the staining reaction was monitored with a stereo microscope. After the desired intensity was reached, the sections were rinsed again in TBS and mounted in Kaiser’s glycerol gelatin (Merck).

Immunostaining Before ISH

The immunostaining was performed as described above using RNase-free material and buffers. The subsequent ISH was performed as described above as well.

Stereotactic Viral Vector Injection

The adeno-associated viral (AAV) vectors were custom manufactured by our “Viral Vectors Platform” of the DFG cluster of excellence CNMPB Goettingen. AAV-eGFP and AAV-Channelrhodopsin2(H134R)-eYFP consisted of AAV-2-ITRs, the human synapsin 1 gene promoter driving transgene expression, packaged in AAV-6 capsids (AAV2/6 eGFP; AAV2/6 ChR2-eYFP). For the anterograde tracing experiments, 4 adult B6C3Fe mice (2 wt and 2 reeler) and 8 adult LivDtomato mice (4 wt and 4 reeler) were injected with the AAV2/6 eGFP. For the optogenetic/electrophysiology experiments, 6 postnatal (P) 21 LivDtomato mice (3 wt and 3 reeler) were injected with the AAV2/6 ChR2-EYFP.

The animals were placed into a stereotactic frame (David Kopf Instruments) under constant anesthesia with –3% isoflurane (analgescia: Lidocaine s.c., Metamizole p.o.). Two hundred nanoliters of the viral vector in sterile PBS was injected into the VPM; anterior – posterior: Bregma –1.7 mm; medial – lateral: ±1.75 mm; dorsal – ventral: –3.25 mm) via a Pressure System Ile (TooheySpritzer). The animals were kept alive for 2–3 weeks to allow for expression of the fluorescent protein and/or channelrhodopsin. For the tracing experiments, the native fluorescence signals were amplified via immunocytochemistry using a goat anti-GFP antibody (Abcam; 1:2000) to enhance the eGFP signal (secondary antibody: donkey anti–goat-Alexa 488; Invitrogen), while a rabbit anti-RFP (Rockland; 1:500) enhanced the tdTomato signal (secondary antibody: donkey anti-rabbit-Alexa 546; Invitrogen; immuno-protocol see above). Fluoroochromes in the tissue of the optogenetic experiments were not enhanced. We checked all sections for retrogradely labeled, ChR2-expressing neurons in the cortex. No retrogradely labeled ChR2-expressing neurons were observed.
In Vitro Electrophysiology and Optogenetic Photostimulation

Two to three weeks after injection of the viral vector, the animals were anesthetized with isoflurane and sacrificed by decapitation. Thalamocortical slices of 300 µm thickness containing the primary somatosensory (barrel) cortex were sectioned with a vibratome (VT1200S; Leica). A cold (3–4°C) cutting solution containing the following (in mM) was used: 75 sucrose, 87 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 0.5 CaCl$_2$, 7.0 MgCl$_2$, 26 NaHCO$_3$, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$, and 10 glucose continuously equilibrated with 95% O$_2$ and 5% CO$_2$, pH 7.4. The sections were preincubated for 0.5–1 h at 34°C and kept at room temperature for at least 30 min before recording.

Slices were transferred to a fixed-stage recording chamber in an upright microscope (Axio Examiner, Zeiss) and continuously perfused at a rate of 2 mL/min with extracellular solution (ACSF) of the following composition (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl$_2$, 1 MgCl$_2$, 26 NaHCO$_3$, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$, and 25 glucose, pH 7.4 when equilibrated with 95% O$_2$ and 5% CO$_2$. All experiments were performed at 32°C.

The barrel field was first visualized at low magnification (2.5×) under brightfield conditions. Afterward, expression of (YFP-tagged) channelrhodopsin was verified. Layer IV neurons were identified by tdTomato fluorescence with a 40× water immersion objective (Olympus, Germany). For whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, filamented borosilicate glass capillaries (Science Products, Hofheim, Germany) of 5–8 MΩ resistance were filled with (in mM): 135 K-gluconate, 5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 phosphocreatine phosphate, and 0.3–0.5% biocytin. Membrane potentials were not corrected for the liquid junction potential of approximately −14 mV. Potentials were recorded using a SEC-05L amplifier (NPI Electronics, Tamm, Germany) in discontinuous current-clamp mode with a switching frequency of 50 kHz. The signals were filtered at 3 kHz and digitized at 10–25 kHz using a CED Power1401 (CED Limited, Cambridge, England). Data were collected, stored, and analyzed with Signal 5 software (CED Limited, Cambridge, England).

The passive and active properties of the recorded neurons were determined immediately after reaching whole-cell configuration by applying hyperpolarizing currents (10–50 pA) or depolarizing currents (10–200 pA) at resting membrane potential (Vrest), respectively. For assessing the connectivity of VPM neurons and layer IV cells in the barrel cortex of wt and reeler mice, full field photostimulation (diameter 100 µm) of ChR2-expressing VPM fibers was performed with a 473-nm laser light source (DL-473, Rapp OptoElectronik, Hamburg, Germany). The diode laser was coupled with a liquid fiber of 200 µm diameter to the epifluorescence path of the microscope and focused on the slice with the x40 objective. Photostimulation was executed 3 times for the same illumination intensity (10–500 µW) and duration (1–10 ms) with an interval of at least 5 s. Latencies from stimulus to postsynaptic responses were measured using a laser intensity that was 9-fold higher that the intensity needed to elicit an EPSP in the first place in the recorded cell.

After recording, slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C. For visualization of the biocytin-filled neurons, the sections were incubated with streptavidin-Alexa 633 (Invitrogen) 1:300.

Image Acquisition

Brightfield images (Figs 1, 3, 6 and 7) were acquired with an Axio Imager M2 (Zeiss) controlled by a NeuroLucida system (Version 11; Image Pro, Media Cybernetics, Inc., Silver Spring, Maryland) on an upright microscope (Axio Examiner, Zeiss). For visualization of tdTomato fluorescence path of the recorded neuron, the slice was placed in the recording chamber in an aqueous mounting medium (Mowiol 4–88, Calbiochem, La Jolla, California) and imaged using a 63× water immersion objective (Olympus, Germany). For whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, filamented borosilicate glass capillaries (Science Products, Hofheim, Germany) of 5–8 MΩ resistance were filled with (in mM): 135 K-gluconate, 5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 phosphocreatine phosphate, and 0.3–0.5% biocytin. Membrane potentials were not corrected for the liquid junction potential of approximately −14 mV. Potentials were recorded using a SEC-05L amplifier (NPI Electronics, Tamm, Germany) in discontinuous current-clamp mode with a switching frequency of 50 kHz. The signals were filtered at 3 kHz and digitized at 10–25 kHz using a CED Power1401 (CED Limited, Cambridge, England). Data were collected, stored, and analyzed with Signal 5 software (CED Limited, Cambridge, England).

The passive and active properties of the recorded neurons were determined immediately after reaching whole-cell configuration by applying hyperpolarizing currents (10–50 pA) or depolarizing currents (10–200 pA) at resting membrane potential (Vrest), respectively. For assessing the connectivity of VPM neurons and layer IV cells in the barrel cortex of wt and reeler mice, full field photostimulation (diameter 100 µm) of ChR2-expressing VPM fibers was performed with a 473-nm laser light source (DL-473, Rapp OptoElectronik, Hamburg, Germany). The diode laser was coupled with a liquid fiber of 200 µm diameter to the epifluorescence path of the microscope and focused on the slice with the x40 objective. Photostimulation was executed 3 times for the same illumination intensity (10–500 µW) and duration (1–10 ms) with an interval of at least 5 s. Latencies from stimulus to postsynaptic responses were measured using a laser intensity that was 9-fold higher that the intensity needed to elicit an EPSP in the first place in the recorded cell.

After recording, slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C. For visualization of the biocytin-filled neurons, the sections were incubated with streptavidin-Alexa 633 (Invitrogen) 1:300.

Image Acquisition

Brightfield images (Figs 1, 3, 6 and 7) were acquired with an Axio Imager M2 (Zeiss) controlled by a NeuroLucida system (Version 11; Image Pro, Media Cybernetics, Inc., Silver Spring, Maryland) on an upright microscope (Axio Examiner, Zeiss). For visualization of tdTomato fluorescence path of the recorded neuron, the slice was placed in the recording chamber in an aqueous mounting medium (Mowiol 4–88, Calbiochem, La Jolla, California) and imaged using a 63× water immersion objective (Olympus, Germany). For whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, filamented borosilicate glass capillaries (Science Products, Hofheim, Germany) of 5–8 MΩ resistance were filled with (in mM): 135 K-gluconate, 5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 phosphocreatine phosphate, and 0.3–0.5% biocytin. Membrane potentials were not corrected for the liquid junction potential of approximately −14 mV. Potentials were recorded using a SEC-05L amplifier (NPI Electronics, Tamm, Germany) in discontinuous current-clamp mode with a switching frequency of 50 kHz. The signals were filtered at 3 kHz and digitized at 10–25 kHz using a CED Power1401 (CED Limited, Cambridge, England). Data were collected, stored, and analyzed with Signal 5 software (CED Limited, Cambridge, England).

The passive and active properties of the recorded neurons were determined immediately after reaching whole-cell configuration by applying hyperpolarizing currents (10–50 pA) or depolarizing currents (10–200 pA) at resting membrane potential (Vrest), respectively. For assessing the connectivity of VPM neurons and layer IV cells in the barrel cortex of wt and reeler mice, full field photostimulation (diameter 100 µm) of ChR2-expressing VPM fibers was performed with a 473-nm laser light source (DL-473, Rapp OptoElectronik, Hamburg, Germany). The diode laser was coupled with a liquid fiber of 200 µm diameter to the epifluorescence path of the microscope and focused on the slice with the x40 objective. Photostimulation was executed 3 times for the same illumination intensity (10–500 µW) and duration (1–10 ms) with an interval of at least 5 s. Latencies from stimulus to postsynaptic responses were measured using a laser intensity that was 9-fold higher that the intensity needed to elicit an EPSP in the first place in the recorded cell.

After recording, slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C. For visualization of the biocytin-filled neurons, the sections were incubated with streptavidin-Alexa 633 (Invitrogen) 1:300.
MBF Bioscience). The images were acquired as virtual tissues (VTs; 6 z-planes, 5-µm steps) and are presented as minimum intensity projections. To merge the laminar markers (Fig. 3), micrographs of consecutive sections were assigned to a respective false color and merged using Photoshop CS6 (Adobe). Fluorescent images (Figs 2, 4 and 5) were acquired using a spectral confocal microscope (TCS SP2, Leica). The Leica confocal software was controlled by the Vision4d software (Arivis) in order to acquire and stitch multiple, predefined tiles. Confocal micrographs are presented as maximum intensity projections.

Cell Counting and Statistical Analysis
Stimulated and unstimulated functional columns were delineated with NeuroLucida and marker-labeled neurons with and without c-fos-positive nuclei registered manually within the outline. Data were exported with NeuroExplorer (MBF Bioscience) and exported in text files for Matlab R2010b import (MathWorks, MA, USA). We used a custom written script to display cells of 6 sections per condition in a single n by m array (Fig. 6E). For visualization of the activated neurons, a 150-pixel-wide Gaussian filter with a standard deviation of 12 pixels was applied to the array.

Figure 2. Neuronal activation in the barrel-related column of LV1tdTomato wild-type and reeler mice after tactile exploration of a novel, enriched environment. The whisker corresponding to stimulated columns were used during exploration, the whiskers corresponding to unstimulated columns were clipped before exploration. (A) Primary somatosensory (S1) cortex of wild type, sectioned in the coronal plane. The barrel as a layer IV structure becomes easily visible in the transgenic animal (red neurons). The stimulated wt column shows a substantially higher amount of c-fos-positive nuclei (green staining). The stimulated column is marked by a star within the barrel, and unstimulated columns are marked by arrows at the layer IV/V border. (B) S1 reeler cortex. Barrel equivalent patches are distributed over large parts of the cortex (red cells). However, the stimulated column again becomes visible by a higher amount of c-fos-positive nuclei. The stimulated column is marked by a star within one of the stimulated barrel equivalents, unstimulated columns are marked by arrows. Wild-type (C) and reeler (D) S1 barrel cortex sectioned in the tangential plane 400 µm below the pial surface. Barrels and barrel equivalents are labeled according to standard nomenclature. D1–D3 represent stimulated columns. Scale bars: 250 µm.
in order to obtain heat maps displaying the position of activated cell within the column (Fig. 6H-I).

The numbers/densities of the different excitatory and inhibitory neuronal populations, as well as the percentage of activated cells were analyzed with NeuroExplorer from reconstructed columns (n = 6–8 animals, 2 sections per animal for each condition). Data were exported to Excel (Microsoft) and statistical analysis was done using Sigma Plot 12 (ystat). Significance was tested using two-tailed t-tests or Mann–Whitney rank-sum tests. The level of significance was set to *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Results

Intermingling of Different Laminar Marker-Labeled Neurons in the Disorganized Somatosensory Reeler Cortex

The organization of the reeler cortex has already been a subject of research for several decades (Meier and Hoag 1962; Caviness and Sidman 1973; Caviness et al. 1988). Recent work from our group as well as that of other groups strongly emphasized a complex cortical phenotype (Dekimoth et al. 2010; Wagener et al. 2010; Boyle et al. 2011; Pielecka-Fortuna et al. 2014).

This is in line with our present findings, based on layer-specific mRNA expression in the somatosensory cortex (Lein et al. 2007; Molyneaux et al. 2007). In the wild-type barrel cortex, the selected in situ markers labeled the layers I–VI with high sensitivity and specificity (Fig. 1A). In the corresponding region of the reeler cortex, no distinct layers became obvious after staining with the selected laminar markers (Fig. 1B): Ndyf-labeled neurons (layer I-marker) showed a random distribution throughout the whole barrel cortex, while Rgs8- (layer II/III-marker) and Rorb- (layer IV-marker) labeled neurons were predominantly located in the middle two thirds of the cortex. These neurons were sandwiched between Etu1- (layer V-marker) and TC1460681- (layer VI-marker) neurons, which were prevalent in the areas above the white matter and below the pial surface. However, it must be emphasized that this “sandwich” pattern is an oversimplification that does not take into account the fact that individual marker-labeled cells of any type could be found at virtually any depth of the cortex.

For preidentification of neurons with genetic layer IV characteristics which made them accessible to targeted electrophysiological recording, we crossed animals that expressed Cre-recombinase under a layer IV-specific promoter (Scnn1a) with our reeler strain and a suitable Cre reporter line (Madisen et al. 2010). Thus, we obtained wild-type and reeler animals that showed Cre-driven fluorescence (CDF) in layer IV and ectopic layer IV neurons (LV1tdTomato mice) (Guy et al. 2015). The neurons showing CDF reproduced the pattern of Rorb-labeled layer IV neurons (Figs 1 vs. 2). The barrel, as a layer IV structure, became easily visible in the LV1tdTomato wt animals (Fig. 2A,C). The equivalent clusters in the reeler cortex were called barrel equivalents (Wagener et al. 2010; Guy et al. 2015). They were smeared out over large parts of the cortical thickness, but still defined structural columns (Fig. 2B,D).

Behavioral Stimulation Activates Neurons That Form a Functional Column in the Disorganized Reeler Cortex

The responsiveness of the disorganized somatosensory reeler cortex was tested by challenging the animals with a naturalistic exploration task. To ease the read-out, we clipped the vibrissae of the animals and kept just a predefined set of whiskers (i.e., B1–3 and D1–3) with which the animals explored a novel, enriched environment (Staiger et al. 2000; Wagener et al. 2010). The nuclei of activated neurons were visualized by c-fos immunostaining. C-fos is, on the one hand, an immediate early gene that is activated within minutes after an adequate stimulus (Greenberg et al. 1985; Morgan and Curran 1989), but on the other hand, can be used as a metabolic marker of brain activity that provides single-cell resolution (Dragunow and Faull 1989; Staiger 2006). In the wild-type and reeler somatosensory cortex, the exploration specifically activated barrel-related columns that corresponded to whiskers that were used during the exploration task (stained column; Fig. 2A,B, asterisks; 2C,D, barrels D1–3), while deprived columns (i.e., corresponding whiskers clipped) showed significantly fewer activated cells. Since these experiments were carried out in the LV1tdTomato line, it became obvious that, in both genotypes, the activated nuclei could be found predominately in layer IV and ectopic layer IV neurons that showed CDF. These spiny layer IV neurons (Staiger et al. 2004) represent the main target of lemniscal thalamic fibers (Senft and Woolsey 1991; Oberlaender et al. 2012). To a lesser extent, activated neurons were distributed throughout the cortical column outside the CDF-positive compartment (i.e., barrels or “barrel equivalents”, Fig. 2).

Lemniscal TCAs and Laminar Affiliation of Potential Target Cells in the Barrel Cortex

Establishment of synaptic connections with layer IV target cells is an important prerequisite for intracolumnar processing of sensory information (Miller et al. 2001; Diamond et al. 2008). In both genotypes, the exploration task activated distinct neuronal ensembles, with a preference for CDF-positive layer IV and ectopic layer IV neurons. Thus, thalamic fibers might reach their layer IV target compartment even in the disorganized reeler cortex. In order to test this hypothesis, we traced lemniscal TCAs with an injection of a GFP-expressing viral vector (AAV2/6 eGFP) into the VPM of the thalamus. In the wild-type brain, the labeled TCAs made their way through the reticular nucleus into the internal capsule and the subcortical white matter before entering the cortex (Fig. 3A). Visualization of the potential cortical target layers by staining consecutive brain slides with the laminar markers revealed that TCAs preferentially target the hollow of the layer IV barrels (Fig. 3C, asterisks) and, to a lesser extent the layer V/VI border. This finding replicates and extends previous studies that were performed with different tracing techniques or species (Steindler and Colwell 1976; Caviness and Frost 1983; Wimmer et al. 2010). In the reeler cortex, the labeled TCAs followed a similar path (Fig. 3E) and entered the somatosensory cortex (Fig. 3D). There, a substantial number of TCAs formed thick bundles that made their way obliquely up to the pial surface before turning down again (Fig. 3D, arrows). The bundles defasciculated and the axons formed terminal fields that consisted of clustered patches of thin thalamic axons. Within these terminal fields, the TCAs joined with others that had not made the loop path from the white matter up to the pial surface. These observations go in line with previous descriptions in a reelin-deficient rat model (shaking rat Kawasaki). Where a direct branching in the cortical plate was described to precede branching of the fibers that took the detour to the pial surface during developmental ingrowth of TCAs (Higashi et al. 2005).

The clusters of lemniscal axon terminals in the reeler cortex appeared to be as disorganized as the general cellular layout in the cortex. However, the axon clusters were shaped like the barrel equivalent clusters of ectopic layer IV cells and, indeed, lemniscal terminal fields overlapped, to a certain extent, with the clusters of Rorb-positive ectopic layer IV neurons in consecutive, marker-labeled brain sections (Fig. 3D, asterisks). No extensive overlap of
lemniscal terminal fields and other marker-labeled neuronal populations was obvious. It has to be taken into account that the applied method was restricted to visualization of the TCAs and to their potential cortical target cells in consecutive brain sections. Thus, specific targeting of thalamic fibers onto ectopic layer IV cells in the reeler cortex is suggested but not proven in this approach.

**Optogenetic Stimulation of Lemniscal Thalamic Fibers Reveals Functional Synapses on Identified Ectopic Layer IV Cells**

The tracing approach in the reeler brain potentially underscored the general capability of the thalamic fibers to target the disorganized somatosensory cortex. For a more fine-grained analysis of the targets of TCAs, including functional synaptic contacts, we made use of our LIVtdTomato animals and combined optogenetic methods with patch-clamp slice electrophysiology. We performed stereotactic injections of a channelrhodopsin-expressing viral vector (AAV 2/6ChR2-eYFP) into the VPM of the thalamus (Fig. 4A,F). After an adequate expression time of the viral vector, acute brain slices were prepared. Putative layer IV neurons showing CDF in the wild-type and reeler cortex were patched and filled with biocytin for subsequent staining (Fig 4B,G). In the wild-type brain, membrane potential responses to somatic current application revealed regular spiking action potential firing patterns (Fig 4C). Thus, the responses are in line with the typical electrophysiological profile of layer IV spiny stellate neurons. The morphology of the biocytin-filled Alexa-labeled cells supported...
Figure 4. Photostimulation of ChR2-transduced thalamic projections to transgenically identified layer IV spiny stellate neurons of the barrel cortex. (A, F) Micrographs of the recorded slices of an AAV-injected (AAV2/6 ChR2-eYFP, green) wild-type (A) and reeler (F) LIVtdTomato (red) brain. The intense green spots in the thalamus represent the injection site in the ventral posteromedial thalamic nucleus (VPM). The dashed boxes indicate the functional columns that are shown in higher magnification below (B, G) and contain the recorded cell. (B, G) Maximum intensity projections of confocal image stacks of the patched tdTomato-positive neurons and their position in the functional columns of the wild-type (B) and reeler (G) brain. The patched cells were filled with biocytin (visualized with streptavidin-Alexa 633, white). (C, H) Standard electrophysiological characterization shows the typical regular spiking (action potential) pattern of LIV spiny stellate neurons in wild type (C) and reeler (H). (D, I) Somatodendritic reconstructions of tdTomato-positive, biocytin-filled neurons. Note the restriction of the dendrites to the “home barrel” (wt; D) and “home barrel-equivalent” (reeler; I). (E, J) Examples of EPSPs evoked by photostimulation of ChR2-positive VPM axons with increasing intensities of a 473-nm laser. The time point of the laser flash is represented by a triangle below the trace. Note that the same amount of energy was needed to induce EPSPs in wild-type (E) and reeler (J) spiny stellates. HC, hippocampus; S1BF primary somatosensory barrel field; VPM, ventral posteromedial thalamic nucleus; wm, white matter. Scale bars: A, F 1000 µm; B, G 200 µm.
this notion (Fig. 4D): round to ellipsoid soma, absence of an apical dendrite, restriction of the dendrites to their “home barrel” and polarization of the dendrites toward the barrel center (Staiger et al. 2004). Flashes of blue laser light were able to evoke graded excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) in all layer IV spiny stellate neurons tested (n = 8 from 3 different animals; Fig. 4E), with a latency that can be considered monosynaptic (mean ± SD latency: 1.693 ± 0.421 ms; cf., Kloc and Maffei 2014). Thus, the experimental setting was suitable to functionally verify lemniscal thalamic synapses on layer IV spiny stellate cells in the wild-type cortex.

In the disorganized reeler cortex, the same experimental procedure (i.e., patching a neuron showing CDF) again revealed a regular spiking pattern and the morphology of typical spiny stellate neurons (Fig. 4H,I) whose dendrites were restricted to the “home barrel equivalent.” Moreover, stimulation of channelrhodopsin via laser flashes again reliably evoked EPSPs in all recorded CDF-positive neurons (n = 9 from 3 different animals; Fig. 4I) with a latency that indicates monosynaptic responses (mean ± SD latency: 2.131 ± 0.293 ms). The lowest laser power necessary to elicit EPSPs was not significantly different between the 2 genotypes (mean ± SD: wt 45.4 ± 36.3 µW; reeler 70.8 ± 48.2 µW; two-tailed, P = 0.294). Thus, despite the unusual pattern of the thalamic fibers and the pronounced disorganization of neurons showing CDF in reeler, the thalamic fibers established functional synaptic contacts onto the ectopic layer IV neurons.

**Lemniscal Thalamic Fibers Specifically Target Clusters of Ectopic Layer IV Cells**

The detected functional synaptic contacts between thalamic fibers and their native layer IV target cells must be considered necessary, but not sufficient for proper thalamocortical wiring. The reliably demonstrated functional contacts between lemniscal fibers and ectopic layer IV neurons showing CDF raise the question of the specificity of these contacts. In other words, do lemniscal TCAs in the disorganized cortex still preferentially target CDF-positive (layer IV) neurons, or has synapse formation become pervasive, and lemniscal synaptic connections are now formed without consideration to the laminar affiliation of target neurons? Our LIVtdTomato animals together with viral tracing were helpful tools to answer this question. Injection of a GFPhiexpressing viral vector (AAV2/6.EF1α.DIO.CAG.CaMKIIα.Slac17a7) into the VPM of LIVtdTomato wild-type animals visualized the TCAs in great detail. The layer IV neurons within the barrels showed CDF of tdTomato (Fig. 5A). Simultaneous visualization of thalamic fibers and CDF confirmed their extensive overlap within the elliptically shaped wild-type barrel patch (Fig. 5C,C′,C″). To further verify synaptic contacts, we immunostained for vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (vGlut2; Fig. 5C″), a marker that has been shown to predominantly label lemniscal thalamic synapses (Graziano et al. 2008). The patch of marker-labeled putative lemniscal synapses matched the barrel as delineated by tdTomato-expressing layer IV neurons and GFP-expressing thalamic axons (Fig. 5C). Accordingly, the majority of the lemniscal TCA-synapses seem to be formed in this compartment of the wild-type cortex.

The same methods were used to investigate the potential targets of lemniscal TCAs in the disorganized reeler cortex (Fig. 5B). Several patches of neurons showing CDF within a functional column represented a barrel equivalent (Fig. 5B,D) (Wagner et al. 2010). These patches were matched by thalamic terminal fields that accurately mimicked the shape and dimension of the barrel equivalents (Fig. 5D′). Furthermore, vGlut2 immunostaining for lemniscal thalamic synapses showed that these were formed preferentially within CDF-positive patches (Fig. 5D″,D), making them truly barrel equivalent. Thus, despite the severe cortical intermingling of neurons with different laminar affiliations and the atypical pattern of TCAs, lemniscal synapses in the disorganized reeler cortex still seem to be predominately formed between lemniscal axons and ectopic layer IV neurons within barrel equivalent patches.

**Distinct, Wild-Type Equivalent Excitatory and Inhibitory Neuronal Ensembles Participate in Behavioral Activation of the Disorganized Reeler Column**

Wiring of thalamic efferents to layer IV cells is just the first step in the activation of the functional column (Petersen 2007). For further evaluation of the activation within the disorganized reeler column, we identified activated (c-fos-positive) excitatory and inhibitory neurons. Therefore, we combined our behavioral paradigm (i.e., exploration of an enriched environment with a defined whisker set) with immunostaining and ISH. A Slc17a7 RNA probe was used for the identification of excitatory neurons (Fig. 6A,B). The distribution of excitatory cells within the column seemed to be different between the genotypes. In reconstructed wild-type columns, the cortical layers could be predicted by the density of excitatory neurons while Slc17a7-positive neurons in reeler columns were rather homogenously distributed (Fig. 6E). However, the density of excitatory cells within the whole column showed no significant statistical difference between the genotypes (Fig. 6F; Mann–Whitney rank sum, P = 0.977). On a cellular level, c-fos-positive and c-fos-negative excitatory neurons could be identified in both genotypes (Fig. 6A′,B′; c-fos-positive excitatory cells marked by arrows, c-fos-negative neurons labeled by arrowheads). A higher amount of activated excitatory cells in both the wild-type and the disorganized reeler cortex defined stimulated columns (Fig. 6A,B; excitatory columns labeled with stars within the barrel/barrel equivalent, deprived columns labeled with asterisks). This effect was statistically significant in both genotypes (Fig. 6G; wt, two-tailed, P = 0.0007; reeler, two-tailed, P = 0.012). Moreover, the strength of the excitatory activation was the same in wild type and reeler, meaning that the percentage of activated neurons within a stimulated column was not significantly different between the genotypes (Fig. 6G; two-tailed, P = 0.504). The same was true for the comparison of deprived columns (Fig. 6G; two-tailed, P = 0.256).

Most of the activated excitatory neurons within the stimulated wild-type column were located in layer IV. This can be seen in individual columns (Fig. 2A) as well as in heat maps superimposing the location of activated excitatory neurons from 6 functional columns (Fig. 6H). Weaker spots of activation were located in layer II/III and in the upper part of layer V. Because at least layer II/III neurons do not receive strong direct thalamic input (Fig. 5A), c-fos-positive neurons seem to partly reflect intracortical information processing. Individual stimulated functional reeler columns (Fig. 2B) as well as heat maps showing activated excitatory neurons in reeler (n = 6 columns; Fig. 6H), contained various spots of dense c-fos-positive neurons at different levels of the cortical depth (Figs 2B and 6H). These spots represent barrel equivalents. In addition, weaker spots of activation were distributed over the cortex, which again might reflect intracortical information processing.

For visualization of inhibitory neurons, a Gad1 RNA probe was used (Fig. 6C,D). The distribution of inhibitory neurons in reconstructed wild-type columns did not clearly reproduce the cortical layers. Accordingly, the distribution of inhibitory neurons in the
wild-type and reeler column looked comparable, except for the presence of a higher number of cells close to the pial surface in the reeler (Fig. 6E). In the wild type, this location corresponds to cell-sparse layer I. Statistically, the number of inhibitory neurons in the reeler column was also comparable with that of wild-type cells (Fig. 6F; two-tailed, $P = 0.572$).

As with excitatory neurons, a higher amount of activated nuclei within the Gad1-positive neuron population defined stimulated functional columns (Fig. 6C,D). This effect again was statistically significant (Fig. 6G; wt, two-tailed, $P = 0.002$; reeler, two-tailed, $P = 0.008$). Moreover, the percentage of inhibitory neurons participating in the activation of the stimulated column was statistically similar between the 2 genotypes (Fig. 6G; two-tailed, $P = 0.620$). The same was true for deprived wild-type and reeler columns (Fig. 6G; two-tailed, $P = 0.451$).

Interestingly, hot spots of activated inhibitory neurons within stimulated wild-type columns showed a broad distribution, being present from layer II/III to layer Va. This again emphasizes the fact that the c-fos expression, at least partially, might be driven by intracolumnar activation (Fig. 6I). Moreover, lemniscal synapses are concentrated in these overlapping spots, indicating that thalamic axons make specific synaptic contacts onto tdTomato-positive neurons with genetic layer IV affiliation. Scale bars: A, B 250 µm; C, D 50 µm.

Figure 5. Thalamic fibers preferentially connect to tdTomato-positive neurons with genetic layer IV characteristics despite the severe vertical disorganization of the reeler primary somatosensory cortex. (A, B) Thalamic axons in the primary somatosensory barrel cortex after injection of GFP-expressing viral vector (AAV2/6 eGFP; green) into wild-type (A) and reeler (B) ventral posteromedial thalamic nucleus. tdTomato is expressed under the layer IV-specific Scnn1a promotor (red). (C, D) Higher magnification of a wild-type barrel (C) and reeler barrel equivalent (D) delineated by the dashed frames in the micrographs above (maximum intensity projection of 50 z-planes). (C’, D’) Single channel: tdTomato-positive cells; (C”, D”) Single channel: thalamic GFP-expressing axons; (C””, D””) Single channel: immunostaining for vGluT2, which labels lemniscal thalamic synapses. Note that the shape of thalamic terminal fields follows the distribution of tdTomato-positive cells in the wild-type (C) as well as the disorganized reeler column (D). Moreover, lemniscal synapses are concentrated in these overlapping spots, indicating that thalamic axons make specific synaptic contacts onto tdTomato-positive neurons with genetic layer IV affiliation. Scale bars: A, B 250 µm; C, D 50 µm.
Figure 6. Activation of excitatory and inhibitory wild-type and reeler neurons after tactile exploration of a novel, enriched environment. (A–D) Stimulated and unstimulated barrel-related columns in the primary somatosensory “barrel” cortex. Excitatory (Slc17a7; A,B) or inhibitory (Gad1; C,D) neurons were labeled by chromogen RNA in situ hybridization (purple staining). Activated neurons were identified via immunostaining for the immediate early gene c-fos (DAB; brown staining of the nuclei). Stimulated columns are marked by a star in the wt barrel (A,C) or in reeler barrel equivalents, respectively. (B,D) Unstimulated columns are marked by asterisks. (A’–D’) The
surprisingly comparable with the wild-type condition. This finding becomes even more interesting when taking into account the fact that excitatory and inhibitory neuronal populations participated with individual and unique strengths in the activation (see below). A significantly higher percentage of excitatory neurons were activated by our behavioral paradigm (Fig. 6G; wt, two-tailed, P = 0.002). This difference between activated excitatory and inhibitory cells was statistically significant in reeler as well (Fig. 6G; reeler, two-tailed, P = 0.039).

**Excitatory and Inhibitory Neuronal Subtypes Preserve Their Proportional Activation in the Disorganization Reeler Column**

In order to achieve a more fine-grained analysis of activated neurons within the reeler column, we performed double immunolabeling of c-fos with markers for excitatory and inhibitory neuronal subpopulations (Fig. 7). There was no statistically significant difference in the density of neurons positive for the excitatory marker SMI32 in the wild-type and reeler functional columns (Fig. 7A,E,J; two-tailed, P = 0.953). The same held true for all inhibitory subpopulations tested: parvalbumin, calretinin, and calbindin (Fig. 7B–D, F–H, I; two-tailed, P = 0.750, 0.239, and 0.631). Moreover, all tested neuronal subpopulations showed a higher amount of activated (c-fos-positive) cells in stimulated columns compared with the deprived columns (Fig. 7A–H). This effect was always statistically significant in both genotypes (Fig. 7); two-tailed, P-values: SMI32, Pvalb and CR wild type and reeler, all <0.001; Calb wt, 0.028; Calb reeler, 0.003). Thus, all neuronal subpopulations that were tested participated in the activation induced by the exploration task.

For each individual neuronal subpopulation, we could not detect any difference in the strength of the activation when comparing the wild-type and reeler columns. Neither stimulated nor deprived columns, showed statistically significant differences in the percentage of activated neurons (Fig. 7); two-tailed, P-values: SMI32 stimulated, 0.458; SMI32 deprived, 0.478; Pvalb stimulated 0.184; Pvalb deprived 0.843; CR stimulated, 0.735; CR deprived 0.323; Calb stimulated, 0.689; Calb deprived, 0.394). However, the different neuronal subpopulations were activated with distinct strengths. The percentage of activated Pvalb-positive neurons in stimulated wild-type columns was significantly higher compared with the percentage of activated Calb-positive neurons in stimulated wild-type columns (Fig. 7); two-tailed, P = 0.017), but was within the same range compared with CR-positive neurons (Fig. 7; two-tailed, P = 0.925). CR-positive neurons in stimulated columns, in turn, showed a higher percentage of activated neurons compared with Calb-positive neurons (Fig. 7; two-tailed, P = 0.034). Interestingly, the same conclusions were true for the neuronal subpopulations in activated reeler columns (Fig. 7); two-tailed P-values: Pvalb vs. Calb, 0.004; Pvalb vs. CR, 0.354; CR vs. Calb, 0.01). Thus, the complex balance of excitatory and inhibitory neurons and neuronal subgroups that participated in columnar activation after behavioral stimulation was not impaired by the structural disorganization of the reeler column.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we demonstrate the preservation of basic principles of neuronal wiring and network activation in a brain with severely altered neocortical lamination. This holds true for long-range (i.e., thalamocortical) as well as short-range (i.e., intracortical) neuronal connections. We show targeting of ectopic layer IV neurons by lemniscal TCAs that resulted in functional synaptic contacts. Moreover, we found far-ranging similarities in intracortical activation patterns as the result of a behavioral task from which we conclude largely preserved intracortical wiring in the disorganized reeler brain.

Our study thus underscores the importance of genetic neuronal fate corresponding to time and place of neuronal origin over radial neuronal position. Neuronal fate seems to be the more important prerequisite for regular neuronal wiring and function (Hevner et al. 2003). In the reeler cortex, neurons misplaced due to migrational defects demonstrate the intrinsic ability to wire into functional networks even if they do not undergo synchronous migration with their laminar cohorts. Intrinsic transcriptional programs seem to compensate for the misplacement and ensure wiring into the appropriate network. These findings contradict the idea that cortical layers play a key role in enabling effective neuronal wiring and function in the sense of “good biological design” (Mitchison 1991; Cherniak 1995; Kaas 1997). Thus, cortical layering might represent a remnant of evolutionary remodeling, as it has been suggested for tangential cortical maps (Purves et al. 1992; Horton and Adams 2005).

The molecular mechanisms that underlie thalamocortical wiring, especially the final step in which thalamic fibers post-natally target layer IV cells, are little understood (Lopez-Bendito and Molnar 2003; Molnar et al. 2003). It is unclear if the laminar (radial) position of a neuron is important for the molecular mechanisms underlying the formation of terminal axonal arbors and finally synapse formation.

From our findings in the (radially) disorganized reeler cortex, we conclude that individual neurons, or at least individual ectopic neuronal clusters, are able to trigger the cascade of necessary molecular events. This speaks in favor of cell-autonomous molecular cues inducing synapse formation with the correct partners (Krishna-K et al. 2011; Carcea et al. 2014), rather than gradients established by the entire neuronal population of a layer.

**Revisiting Thalamocortical Wiring in the Reeler Mouse**

The idea of an inversion of neocortical layers in the reeler brain has been an attractive model to explain reelin function and has persisted in the literature for quite a long time (Caviness and Sidman 1973; Caviness 1982; D’Arcangelo 2005). Even if with time it became clear that the actual cortical phenotype has to be more...
Figure 7. Activation of subgroups of excitatory and inhibitory wild-type and reeler neurons after tactile exploration of a novel, enriched environment. (A–H) Different subpopulation of excitatory and inhibitory neurons visualized by DAB immunostaining (brown). Nuclei of activated (c-fos positive) neurons are revealed by DAB-Ni immunostaining (black). Stimulated columns are marked by a star within the wild-type barrel (A–D) or reeler barrel equivalent (E–H), and unstimulated columns are marked by asterisks. (A–H) The micrographs show a higher magnification of the area delineated by the dashed frames in (A–H). Exclusively marker-labeled neurons.
complex and disorganized (Welt and Steindler 1977; Rakic and Caviness 1995), the concept of “inverted layers” still is referred to in a number of recent publications. However, an increasing number of studies in the reeler brain cannot be conciliated with this oversimplification, for example studies that examined the position of corticospinal projection neurons (Terasima et al. 1983; Polleux et al. 1998; Yamamoto et al. 2003). Recently, established molecular tools, such as layer-specific molecular markers (Molyneaux et al. 2007) and suitable transgenic animals (Madisen et al. 2010; Gerfen et al. 2013), have enabled us to reanalyze the cortical phenotype. The layer-specific markers used in the present study showed massive cellular intermingling, without revealing an inversion or well-distinguishable layers at all. However, it has to be mentioned that, at the level of subplate-specific genes, an expression pattern suggesting a layer-like compartmentalization has been described for the reeler cortex (Hoender-Suabedissen et al. 2009; Oeschger et al. 2012).

The widespread distribution of molecularly identified neurons described in the present work confirms and substantially extends previous studies in which we demonstrated severe cellular intermingling in the reeler primary somatosensory cortex (Wagner et al. 2010) and primary visual cortex (Pielecka-Fortuna et al. 2014). These results were independently confirmed by other groups (Dekimoto et al. 2010; Boyle et al. 2011). The highly ectopic location of layer IV cells underscores the challenge thalamic fibers should have in targeting their native layer IV neurons.

Thalamocortical projections in reeler have been examined previously (Caviness 1976; Steindler and Colwell 1976; Caviness and Frost 1983). However, the studies lacked identification of presynaptic boutons on the axons as well as the postsynaptic layer IV target neurons. Furthermore, their interpretation was based on the idea of “inverted” cortical layers. Most of these studies supported the notion of intact thalamocortical pathfinding, but they also described the ingrowth of TCAs into a discernable layer IV being located in the deeper half of the cortex. However, based on the severely ectopic position of “layer IV” neurons as demonstrated in the present study with Rorb ISH and transgenic fluorescence labeling, these results are difficult to reconcile.

The ability to identify the native (layer IV) target population of TCAs (Molyneaux et al. 2007; Madisen et al. 2010) along with sophisticated viral vector based tracing methods (Luo et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2014) allowed us to reevaluate thalamocortical targeting in the reeler brain with unprecedented accuracy. Our results clearly show intact thalamocortical pathfinding and targeting of the newly revealed, highly ectopically positioned layer IV cells. Thus, thalamocortical synaptic contacts are formed between the correct partners, but distributed throughout the whole cortex. This finding is supported by work that has been done on the developmental organization of TCAs in the reeler cortex. The timing of the outgrowth of TCAs as well a transient synapse formation, important milestones of thalamocortical targeting, also showed extensive similarities to the wild-type developmental sequence (Yuasa et al. 1994; Molnar et al. 1998).

Moreover, optical recordings with voltage-sensitive dyes in a rat model lacking reelin (shaking rat Kawasaki) support our finding (Higashi et al. 2005).

Further experiments on the ultrastructural and functional levels will be required in order to analyze more subtle changes in thalamocortical wiring. Array tomography and single fiber reconstruction could reveal changes in the number and dendritic location of thalamocortical synapses (Schoonover et al. 2014). Moreover, further electrophysiological functional analysis of the synaptic contacts could reveal more subtle functional deficits (Cruikshank et al. 2010; Kloc and Maffei 2014).

**Functional Synapses in the Disorganized Cortex**

The laminar marker results also demonstrated that, due to massive cellular intermingling, it is virtually impossible to identify a neuron of a specific laminar population in native sections of the reeler brain simply by its location. This might explain why very little electrophysiology has been done in the reeler brain (Drager 1981; Kowalski et al. 2010). In order to overcome this problem of identification, we crossed transgenic lines with our reeler strain. In the resulting LIVtdTomato reeler line, a red fluorochrome was expressed under the layer IV-specific Scnn1a promoter (Madisen et al. 2010; Guy et al. 2015). Thus, CDF of ectopic layer IV neurons allowed for electrophysiological characterization of identified ectopic layer IV cells. Additional optogenetic photostimulation of lemniscal TCAs enabled us to functionally test antithalamocortical synapses (Petrera et al. 2007; Deisseroth 2011). We could demonstrate that the contacts between aberrant TCAs and ectopic layer IV cells indeed represent functional excitatory synapses, giving rise to graded EPSPs that should efficiently activate these neurons (cf. Cruikshank et al. 2010; Kloc and Maffei 2014), which seems to be key for the sensation of touch (Cruikshank et al. 2007; O’Connor et al. 2013).

**Lessons Learned from Intact Wiring in the Vertically Disorganized Cortex (Protomap Theory)**

Our finding of specific targeting of TCAs onto ectopic “layer IV” neurons speaks in favor of cell-autonomous molecular cues attracting the thalamic fibers. These apparently cell-intrinsic programs seem to not only preserve thalamocortical contact formation, but also retain columnar and thus tangential areal information. The mechanism mediating the ingrowth of thalamic fibers into the ectopic layer IV clusters could be mediated by the RAR-related orphan receptor beta (Rorb) which we demonstrate to be expressed in ectopic layer IV clusters of the reeler cortex. In the current study, Rorb mainly was used as a laminar marker, since it is strongly expressed in layer IV neurons (Schaeren-Wiemers et al. 1997). However, Rorb was shown to be expressed even before the ingrowth of thalamic fibers and peaks, during sharpening of tangential areal boarders. Moreover, ectopic expression of Rorb can induce the clustering of neurons and ingrowth of TCAs (Jabaudon et al. 2011). Rorb expression as an attractant of thalamic fibers even seems to be conserved across species (Belgard et al. 2013). Strong expression of Rorb as demonstrated in the adult reeler animals normally appears after the ingrowth of thalamic fibers (Nakagawa and O’Leary 2003).

The idea of ectopic layer IV neurons mediating thalamic ingrowth as well as formation of early tangential areal boarders (barrel formation) via Rorb, is in line with the protomap hypothesis. This model assigns the ability to build cortical maps to the individual neurons (Rakic 1988; Rakic et al. 2009). According to
Implications of Migration Defects on Neurologic and Psychiatric Diseases

The establishment of functional synapses after severe migration defects, even from long-range synaptic partners, is an especially interesting finding as there is an increasing number of neurologically and psychiatric diseases for which a disturbance of neuronal migration is either suspected or has been demonstrated (Francis et al. 2006; Kerjan and Gleeson 2007; Mochida 2009; Valiente and Marin 2010). Our findings indicate that the phenotype of such diseases cannot necessarily be attributed to a considerably changed wiring as an effect of the migrational ectopy. In this context, it is interesting that besides its role as migrational regulator, reelin and its receptors also can influence synaptic transmission (Weeber et al. 2002; Hellwig et al. 2011). Taking into account the fact that fundamental wiring of the migrational disorganized reeler cortex can be compensated for by extensive developmental plasticity, synaptic impairment could be the mechanism of reelin deficiency with an even higher relevance for clinical symptoms. This could be an important starting point for the development of treatment strategies, because pharmacological modulation of synaptic transmission is a more common and promising approach than correction of migrational defects that have been described only in an experimental setting (Manent et al. 2009). However, it has to be recognized that most of the diseases that are related to migrational defects, including the loss of reelin, lead to further structural neurological pathologies than the mere disturbance of cortical neuronal positioning (D’Arcangelo 2005). Moreover, subtle pathophysiological effects, as a consequence of impaired neuronal and especially intracortical wiring, could have remained undetectable by our experimental approaches.

Altogether our results show that cellular composition, wiring, synapse formation, and functional columnar activation are strikingly “normal” for a neocortex with a severe vertical intermingling of neurons with different laminar affiliations and fates. Our study thus underscores the enormous developmental plasticity in the reeler cortex and argues for a rather insignificant role of vertical positional information compared with the compensatory capabilities of predetermined cell-intrinsic molecular programs.
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