Structural, Luminescent and Thermal Properties of Heteronuclear Pd$^{II}–$Ln$^{III}–$Pd$^{II}$ Complexes of Hexadentate N$_2$O$_4$ Schiff Base Ligand
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Abstract: New Pd$^{II}–$Ln$^{III}–$Pd$^{II}$ complexes of hexadentate N$_2$O$_4$ Schiff base ligand (H$_4$L: N,N′-bis(2,3-dihydroxybenzylidene)-1,3-diamino-2,2-dimethylpropane) with Eu (1), Tb (2), Er (3) and Yb (4) ([Pd$_2$Eu(H$_2$L)$_2$NO$_3$]NO$_3$·2H$_2$O·2CH$_3$OH 1, [Pd$_2$Ln(H$_2$L)$_2$H$_2$O]NO$_3$·3H$_2$O, where Ln = Tb 2, Er 3, [Pd$_2$Yb(H$_2$L)$_2$H$_2$O](NO$_3$)$_3$·5.5H$_2$O 4) were synthesized and characterized structurally and physicochemically by thermogravimetry (TG), differential thermogravimetry (DTG), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and luminescence measurements. The compounds 1–4 are built of cationic heterometallic Pd$^{II}–$Ln$^{III}–$Pd$^{II}$ trinuclear units. The palladium(II) centers adopt a planar square geometry occupying the smaller N$_2$O$_2$ cavity of the Schiff base ligand. The lanthanide(III) is surrounded by two Schiff base ligands (eight oxygen atoms) and its coordination sphere is supplemented by a chelating bidentate nitrate ion in 1 or by a water molecule in 2–4. The complexes have a bent conformation along the Pd$^{II}–$Ln$^{III}–$Pd$^{II}$ line with valence angles in the ranges of 162–171°. The decomposition process of the complexes results in mixtures of: PdO, Pd and respective lanthanide oxides Eu$_2$O$_3$, Tb$_2$O$_3$, Tb$_2$O$_7$, Er$_2$O$_3$, Yb$_2$O$_3$. The luminescent measurements show low efficiency intramolecular energy transfer only in the complex of terbium(III) (2).
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1. Introduction

The studies on palladium complexes are mainly focused on their catalytic activity [1–3]. Pd is widely applied in fuel cells, electronics and the automobile industry, as well as in dental and jewelry alloys. The extensive usage of this metal contributes to waste production and environmental pollution emissions to water and soil [4]. Therefore, fluorescent sensors for the detection of palladium ions are necessary and luminescence of palladium complexes has become an important topic [5]. On the other hand, the coordination compounds containing Ln$^{III}$ ions usually have quite long fluorescent life times, high quantum efficiency, sharp emission bands and large Stockes shifts. Therefore, the combination of Pd$^{II}–$Ln$^{III}$ may result in compounds with interesting properties.

In the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) (version 5.39 with updates) [6], there are 74 unique crystal structures of heteronuclear Pd$^{II}–$Ln$^{III}$ coordination compounds. Most of them (29) are complexes of carboxylic or thiocarboxylic acids. Among the other ligands there are structures with arsenates (12), cyanides (11), pyridine and pyrimidine derivatives (10), phosphines (4) and others. The Schiff bases are known to easily form 3d-4f complexes with transition metal ions such
as Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺ [7–12]. To conform the specific coordination stereochemical requirements of a palladium(II) ion, the structure of the organic ligand should provide a possibility to create a square planar coordination site. In the literature, there are nine homonuclear Pd²⁺ crystal structures with azomethino ligands bearing a 1,3-propanediimino spacer, and among them, there is only one structure with a bi-compartmental Schiff base [13]. Equally important is the possibility to adapt the molecular conformation and the relative position of two voluminous organic ligand molecules to additionally coordinate the lanthanide(III) ion. There is only one report in the CSD of a crystal structure of a heteronuclear Pd–Ln complex (Pd²⁺–Sm³⁺–Pd²⁺). It is a complex of a bi-compartmental Schiff base ligand (2,2′-(cyclohexane-1,2-diylbis(iminomethylene))bis(6-ethoxyphenolate)), which was synthesized for the asymmetric Friedel–Crafts alkylation of pyrroles with nitroalkenes [14].

To expand the current knowledge about heterometallic Ln(III) coordination compounds, we present a series of four structurally characterized Pd²⁺–Ln³⁺–Pd²⁺ complexes with a hexadentate N₂O₄ chelating cavity with low deviation of the Cu²⁺ ion from the base plane. This prompted us to trial obtaining novel palladium(II) heteronuclear 4d-4f complexes. The crystal structures presented here enable us to better know the coordination preferences and stereochemistry of palladium(II) ions in combination with voluminous Schiff base ligands in heterometallic lanthanide(III) compounds. The thermal behaviour and photoluminescence properties of complexes 1–4 are also discussed.

![Scheme 1](image)

**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of heterotrinuclear coordination compounds Pd²⁺–Ln³⁺–Pd²⁺ (Ln = Eu (1), Tb (2), Er (3), Yb (4)) of N,N′-bis(2,3-dihydroxybenzylidene)-1,3-diamino-2,2-dimethylpropane (H₄L).

2. Results

2.1. Crystal Structure

The crystal structure solution, refinement details and the selected molecular geometric data are given in Table 1 and Table S1 (in Supporting Materials).

The combination of a N₂O₄ hexadentate two-compartmental H₄L ligand with a hard Lewis acid (Ln³⁺ ions) and a soft Lewis acid in form of a Pd²⁺ cation resulted in the formation of propeller-like heterotrinuclear coordination compounds.
Table 1. Selected structural parameters\(^a\) for complexes 1–4.

| Parameter                  | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Ln1–Pd1                    | 3.612(3) | 3.577(18) | 3.529(2) | 3.5267(7) |
| Ln1–Pd2                    | 3.618(3) | 3.5615(18) | 3.546(2) | 3.5411(7) |
| Ln1–O1                     | 2.283(19) | 2.348(16) | 2.348(16) | 2.362(6) |
| Ln1–O2                     | 2.482(17) | 2.290(15) | 2.290(15) | 2.308(6) |
| Ln1–O3                     | 2.532(19) | 2.470(18) | 2.470(18) | 2.451(6) |
| Ln1–O4                     | 2.635(19) | 2.376(18) | 2.376(18) | 2.385(6) |
| Ln1–O5                     | 2.396(19) | 2.337(13) | 2.337(13) | 2.334(6) |
| Ln1–O6                     | 2.46(2) | 2.349(16) | 2.349(16) | 2.341(6) |
| Ln1–O7                     | 2.56(2) | – | – | – |
| Pd1–O1                     | 2.070(15) | 2.019(15) | 1.979(13) | 1.980(6) |
| Pd1–O2                     | 1.954(16) | 2.044(13) | 1.994(13) | 1.990(6) |
| Pd1–N1                     | 2.072(2) | 2.039(18) | 1.985(17) | 1.991(7) |
| Pd1–N2                     | 1.998(17) | 1.956(14) | 2.008(14) | 1.989(6) |
| Pd2–O5                     | 2.014(2) | 2.018(19) | 1.989(16) | 1.988(8) |
| Pd2–O6                     | 1.996(19) | 1.95(2) | 2.006(16) | 1.995(8) |
| Pd1–O1–Ln1                 | 112.1(7) | 109.8(9) | 110.8(9) | 108.3(2) |
| Pd1–O2–Ln1                 | 108.5(7) | 111.1(8) | 111.6(7) | 110.2(2) |
| Pd2–O5–Ln1                 | 110.9(8) | 111.8(8) | 109.7(8) | 109.7(3) |
| Pd2–O6–Ln1                 | 108.4(10) | 109.8(8) | 111.1(8) | 109.6(3) |
| Δ\(^b\) (Pd1; Pd2)         | 0.02; 0.01 | 0.02; 0.02 | 0.03; 0 | 0.02; 0 |
| σ\(^c\) (Pd1; Pd2)         | 10.5; 2.1 | 0.7; 9.2 | 3.0; 8.5 | 3.6; 4.4 |
| ε\(^d\)                    | 65.34 | 67.62 | 70.40 | 68.02 |
| ϕ\(^e\)                    | 161.70(8) | 170.26(6) | 170.92(6) | 169.45(2) |
| ψ\(^f\) (Pd1; Pd2)         | 29.2; 39.2 | 25.2; 10.3 | 25.7; 11.6 | 27.6; 9.43 |

\(^a\) Distances in Å, angles in degrees, Ln = Eu (1), Tb (2), Er (3), Yb (4), respectively; \(Δ\)—deviation of Pd\(^{II}\) ion from the \(N_2O_2\) mean plane; \(σ\)—dihedral angle between PdO\(^{II}\)phenoxo\(_2\) and LnO\(^{II}\)phenoxo\(_2\) planes; \(ε\)—dihedral angle between two \(N_2O_2\) mean planes in the coordination core; \(ϕ\)—valence angle along the Pd\(^{II}\)–Ln\(^{III}\)–Pd\(^{II}\) line; \(ψ\)—dihedral angle between two planes of benzene rings around the Pd\(^{II}\) ion.

Complex 1 (Pd\(^{II}\)–Eu\(^{III}\)–Pd\(^{II}\)) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group \(P2_1/c\). The polyhedron around the ten-coordinated Ln(III) ion is formed by eight O atoms from two hexadentate ligand molecules and by two O atoms from the chelating bidentate nitrate anion (Figure 1). The coordination unit is cationic and two additional NO\(^3\)\(^–\) anions are located in the outer coordination sphere. In the crystal structure, there are also two methanol and two water molecules.

![Figure 1. Molecular structure of the coordination unit in 1.](image-url)
Compounds 2 (Pd\textsuperscript{II}–Tb\textsuperscript{III}–Pd\textsuperscript{II}) and 3 (Pd\textsuperscript{II}–Er\textsuperscript{III}–Pd\textsuperscript{II}) are isostructural (space group \textit{P}2\textsubscript{1}/\textit{n}) (Figure 2). The lanthanide(III) cation has a coordination number of nine. Opposite to complex 1 in its coordination sphere, there is a water molecule instead of a bidentate nitrate ion. Three NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} ions are involved in intermolecular interactions with the coordination unit and with the three water molecules present in the crystal structure. Compound 4 (Pd\textsuperscript{II}–Yb\textsuperscript{III}–Pd\textsuperscript{II}) forms crystals in the space group \textit{C}2/c. The coordination unit in 4 is similar to 2 and 3 with one water molecule in the coordination sphere, but in the crystal, instead of three water molecules, there are 5.5 H\textsubscript{2}O.

The preference of a palladium(II) ion to form a planar square polyhedron is sustained in the presented complexes 1–4 with a voluminous H\textsubscript{4}L Schiff base ligand. The conformation of the organic ligand has been flattened slightly in comparison to previously reported complexes with Cu\textsuperscript{II}–Ln\textsuperscript{III}–Cu\textsuperscript{II} (Figure 3) [15]. The valence angles in 1–4 between two planes of benzene rings around the palladium(II) ions are in the range of 9–39° (\(\phi\), Table 1), whereas the corresponding values for Cu\textsuperscript{II}–Ln\textsuperscript{III}–Cu\textsuperscript{II} compounds are in the range of 40–47°. The deviation of the Pd\textsuperscript{II} ion from the N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} mean plane is nearly zero (\(\Delta\), Table 1). The dihedral angle between the PdO(phenoxo)\textsubscript{2} and LnO(phenoxo)\textsubscript{2} planes is also small (in the range of 0–10°, \(\sigma\), Table 1), similar to complexes of H\textsubscript{4}L with Cu\textsuperscript{II} and Ln\textsuperscript{III} metal ions [15] and unlike other 3d-4f complexes. For example, in complexes of Zn\textsuperscript{II}– Ln\textsuperscript{III}–Zn\textsuperscript{II} with a ligand based on a 2-hydroxypropanyl bridge, the \(\sigma\) value was approximately 35° [16]. The dihedral angle between two N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} mean planes in the coordination core in 1–4 is in the range of 65–70° (\(\epsilon\), Table 1) and the valence angle along the Pd\textsuperscript{II}–Ln\textsuperscript{III}–Pd\textsuperscript{II} line is in the range of 162–171° (\(\phi\), Table 1).

Figure 2. Molecular structure of the coordination unit in 2.

Figure 3. Overlay of selected molecular fragments of coordination units in complexes of 1 (red), 2 (black), 3 (grey) and a previously reported complex of H\textsubscript{4}L with Cu\textsuperscript{II}–Nd\textsuperscript{III}–Cu\textsuperscript{II} (green) [15]. The superposition was made for the benzene rings.
2.2. Thermal Properties

In order to examine the thermal behaviour and the stability of the Pd$^{II}$–Ln$^{III}$–Pd$^{II}$ complexes, the thermogravimetric (TG), differential thermogravimetric (DTG) and differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) curves were recorded in air atmosphere. As shown in Figure 4 and Figures S1–S3, the complexes are stable at room temperature.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Thermogravimetric (TG), differential thermogravimetric (DTG) and differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) curves of the thermal decomposition of complex Pd$^{II}$–Er$^{III}$–Pd$^{II}$ (3) in air (Exo–exoenergetic effect).

The recorded TG curves of 1–4 display similar mass loss patterns. The samples of compounds during heating up to approximately 110 °C undergo a desolvation process. The desolvation process is accompanied by a small endothermic effect, as seen on the DSC curves. These results are also confirmed by the TG-FTIR analysis. The recorded FTIR spectra of evolved gas phase for the selected complexes (Figure S4) show that water or water and methanol are the main products released during this stage. The characteristic vibration bands in the wavenumber ranges from 4000–3400 cm$^{-1}$ and 2060–1260 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to the stretching and deforming vibrations of H$_2$O molecules, whereas the bands associated with the presence of CH$_3$OH are observed at 3368 cm$^{-1}$, 1307 cm$^{-1}$ and 1095 cm$^{-1}$, respectively [17]. The decomposition process of the Pd$^{II}$–Ln$^{III}$–Pd$^{II}$ complexes is intricate and it was not possible to distinguish intermediate solid products. The final products (mixtures of: PdO, Pd and respective lanthanide oxides Eu$_2$O$_3$, Tb$_2$O$_3$, Tb$_3$O$_7$, Er$_2$O$_3$, Yb$_2$O$_3$) obtained during thermal decomposition in air were experimentally verified by X-ray diffraction powder patterns (Figures S5–S8) [18–20].

2.3. Absorption and Luminescence Spectra

In order to determine the photophysical properties of the obtained complexes, UV-Vis excitation and emission spectra were measured.

The absorption spectra of the Schiff base ligand (H$_4$L) and the Pd$^{II}$–Ln$^{III}$–Pd$^{II}$ complexes dissolved in methanol are shown in Figure S9 (Supplementary Material), and the absorption data are summarized in Table 2. The H$_4$L ligand shows the two most intense absorption bands, with maxima centered at 218 nm and 264.5 nm. All transitions correspond to the $\pi$-$\pi^*$ and n-$\pi^*$ transitions in the ligand [16,21]. The absorption spectra of the synthesized Pd$^{II}$–Ln$^{III}$–Pd$^{II}$ complexes with H$_4$L are characterized by two absorption maxima in the ultraviolet region between 210–290 nm and an additional small band centered at approximately 375 nm, as shown in Figure S9. The higher-energy bands are attributable to the intra-ligand transitions while the small bands could be assigned to metal-to-ligand charge transfer.
or a mixture of metal-to-ligand charge transfer and ligand-centered transitions [22–24]. No absorption bands due to f-f transitions were observed in the absorption spectra of these compounds due to the fact that the f-f transitions in Ln\textsuperscript{III} ions are very weak [25]. As a consequence of complex formation, bathochromic shifts are observed in the absorption spectra of solutions of the Pd\textsuperscript{II}–Ln\textsuperscript{III}–Pd\textsuperscript{II} complexes.

Table 2. Photophysical parameters of studied complexes 1–4 and H\textsubscript{4}L ligand (in nm).

| Compound | \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) (abs) \( ^{a} \) | \( \lambda_{\text{ex}}/\lambda_{\text{em}} \) \( ^{a} \) | \( \lambda_{\text{ex}}/\lambda_{\text{em}} \) \( ^{b} \) |
|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| H\textsubscript{4}L          | 218.0; 264.5; 298.5; 424.0       | 283/330.6; 438.2 | 380/418.0; 573.0 |
| 1        | 214.0; 286.0                    | 280/329.4        | 381/465.4; 496.2 |
| 2        | 215.0; 285.5                    | 280/329.2        | 380/469.6; 492.4 |
| 3        | 213.0; 284.0                    | 281/328.6        | 381/469.0; 494.2 |
| 4        | 216.0; 286.0                    | 279/327.8        | 382/472.2; 496.1 |

\( ^{a} \) in methanol solution, \( ^{b} \) in solid state.

The luminescence and excitation spectra of the Schiff base ligand and its corresponding Pd\textsuperscript{II}–Ln\textsuperscript{III}–Pd\textsuperscript{II} complexes dissolved in methanol and in the solid state were measured at room temperature. As shown in Figure 5A, the solution of the free H\textsubscript{4}L ligand exhibits unsymmetrical emission with two bands at 330.6 nm and 438.2 nm upon photoexcitation at \( \lambda_{\text{ex}} = 283 \text{ nm} \). These bands can be assigned to intra-ligand transitions. In the case of the methanolic solutions of complexes, upon excitation at approximately \( \lambda_{\text{ex}} = 280 \text{ nm} \), the emissions at \( \lambda = 330 \text{ nm} \) are slightly weaker than the corresponding emission in the ligand and, in addition, the blue emission band present in the ligand disappears (Figure S10). Complex 2 (Figure 5B) shows two very weak Tb\textsuperscript{III} luminescence bands, corresponding to \( ^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow ^{7}F_{6} \) (490.0 nm) and \( ^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow ^{7}F_{5} \) (545.6 nm) transitions. The spectra of the other complexes are devoid of any peaks characteristic of metal-centered luminescence. The results of our luminescence experiments show that the low efficiency of the process of intramolecular energy transfer takes place only in complex 2.

![Figure 5](image-url)

**Figure 5.** The excitation (A) and emission (B) spectra of methanolic solutions of H\textsubscript{4}L ligand and complex 2, the inset presents the f-f transitions in the Tb\textsuperscript{III} ion.
The excitation and emission spectra of the ligand and Pd\textsuperscript{II}–Ln\textsuperscript{III}–Pd\textsuperscript{II} complexes in solid state are shown in Figures S11 and S12, respectively. The maximum luminescence intensities in the excitation and emission spectra of microcrystalline H\textsubscript{4}L ligand are observed at wavelengths of 380 nm, 418.0 nm and 573 nm (Table 2). As can be seen in Figure S12, the corresponding emission spectra of all reported complexes show weak emission bands at about 469 nm and 494 nm when excited at approximately 380 nm. The emission bands are bathochromic shifted compared to the corresponding ligand ($\lambda_{em} = 418$ nm). In these systems, emissions probably originate from the metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) state with a mix of intra-ligand transfer [26]. Furthermore, in these emission spectra, the luminescence intensity of the ligand dramatically decreases after complex formation with palladium and lanthanide ions and its yellow emission completely disappears (Figure S12).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

All the reagents: 2,3-dihydroxybenzaldehyde, 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediamine, bis(benzonitrile) palladium(II) chloride, Eu(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·5H\textsubscript{2}O, Tb(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·5H\textsubscript{2}O, Er(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·5H\textsubscript{2}O, Yb(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·5H\textsubscript{2}O and CH\textsubscript{3}OH (solvent) were purchased from commercial sources and were used without further purification.

3.2. Synthesis of the H\textsubscript{4}L

\(N,N'\)-bis(2,3-dihydroxybenzylidene)-1,3-diamino-2,2-dimethylpropane (abbreviated as H\textsubscript{4}L) was prepared following a literature procedure [27,28]. The crystal structure was reported previously [15]. 2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-propanediamine (0.51 g, 5 mmol, 5 mL methanol) was added dropwise to 2,3-dihydroxybenzaldehyde (1.38 g, 10 mmol) dissolved in hot methanol (50 mL). The obtained compound was separated as orange needles and recrystallized twice from methanol. The empirical formula and the molecular weight are C\textsubscript{19}H\textsubscript{22}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and 342.00 g/mol respectively. Yield 85%.

Analytical data (%), calculated: C, 66.60; H, 6.43; N, 8.19. Found: C, 66.40; H, 6.20; N, 8.15. IR (ATR); 3194 m, 1624 vs, 1547 m, 1515 m, 1463 m, 1405 m, 1382 m, 1349 m, 1234 s, 1181 vs, 1078 w, 1053 w, 1022 s, 895 w, 849 c, 781 w, 734 vs, 644 w.

\(^{1}\text{H} NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}, \delta ppm): 1.13 (s, 6H, CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.52 (s, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}), 6.72 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, CH), 6.81 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, 2H, CH), 6.99 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, 2H, CH), 8.26 (s, 2H, CH), 14.00 (bs, 2H, OH).

\(^{13}\text{C} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d\textsubscript{6}, \delta ppm): 23.95, 36.19, 66.43, 118.13, 118.51, 122.32, 146.35, 151.84, 167.37.

3.3. General Procedure of Preparation of Pd\textsuperscript{II}–Ln\textsuperscript{III}–Pd\textsuperscript{II} Complexes

The heterotrinuclear complexes have been synthesized using the following general procedure: H\textsubscript{4}L (0.1368 g, 0.4 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (20 mL). Next, Pd(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}CN)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (0.1534 g, 0.4 mmol) was added to this solution. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 0.5 h. At this stage, Eu(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·5H\textsubscript{2}O (0.2 mmol, 0.0856 g), Tb(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·5H\textsubscript{2}O (0.2 mmol, 0.0870 g), Er(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·5H\textsubscript{2}O (0.2 mmol, 0.0887 g) or Yb(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}·5H\textsubscript{2}O (0.2 mmol, 0.0898 g) dissolved in methanol (5 mL), was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at about 45 °C for a further period of 0.5 h to afford a clear solution. The pale brown-coloured solution was kept in a refrigerator for crystallization. After about a week, crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained. The characterization data for these complexes are given below.

3.3.1. [Pd\textsubscript{2}Eu(H\textsubscript{2}L)\textsubscript{2}NO\textsubscript{3}]NO\textsubscript{3}·2H\textsubscript{2}O·2CH\textsubscript{3}OH (1)

The empirical formula and the molecular weight are C\textsubscript{40}H\textsubscript{52}N\textsubscript{7}O\textsubscript{21}Pd\textsubscript{2}Eu and 1331.64 g/mol, respectively. Yield 29 %. Analytical data (%), calculated: C, 36.08; H, 3.94; N, 7.36; Pd, 15.98; Eu, 11.41. Found: C, 36.20; H, 3.69; N, 7.40; Pd, 15.98; Eu, 11.50. IR (ATR); 3200 w, 1646 vs, 1568 w, 1464 s, 1404 w, 1388 w, 1302 m, 1256 vs, 1219 s, 1170 w, 1097 w, 1052 w, 871 m, 780 m, 759 w, 732 s, 675 s, 552 w.
3.3.2. \([\text{Pd}_2\text{Tb}(\text{H}_2\text{L})_2\text{H}_2\text{O}]\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_3\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (2)

The empirical formula and the molecular weight are \(\text{C}_{38}\text{H}_{48}\text{N}_7\text{O}_{21}\text{Pd}_2\text{Tb} \) and 1310.55 g/mol, respectively. Yield 30%. Analytical data (%), calculated: C, 34.82; H, 3.69; N, 7.48; Pd, 16.24; Tb, 12.13. Found: C, 34.60; H, 3.50; N, 7.30; Pd, 16.00; Tb, 12.20. IR (ATR); 3218 w, 1647 vs, 1568 w, 1464 m, 1388 w, 1308 m, 1256 s, 1219 s, 1170 w, 1040 w, 869 m, 816 m, 780 m, 753 w, 732 vs, 656 s, 552 w.

3.3.3. \([\text{Pd}_2\text{Er}(\text{H}_2\text{L})_2\text{H}_2\text{O}]\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_3\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (3)

The empirical formula and the molecular weight are \(\text{C}_{38}\text{H}_{48}\text{N}_7\text{O}_{21}\text{Pd}_2\text{Er} \) and 1318.92 g/mol, respectively. Yield 32%. Analytical data (%), calculated: C, 34.60; H, 3.67; N, 7.43; Pd, 16.14; Er, 12.68. Found: C, 34.50; H, 3.70; N, 7.30; Pd, 16.00; Er, 12.50. IR (ATR); 3204 w, 2959 w, 1646 vs, 1606 vs, 1567 w, 1464 s, 1404 w, 1388 w, 1301 m, 1256 vs, 1219 s, 1170 w, 1052 w, 871 m, 780 m, 759 w, 731 s, 675 s, 552 w.

3.3.4. \([\text{Pd}_2\text{Yb}(\text{H}_2\text{L})_2\text{H}_2\text{O}]\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_3\cdot5.5\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (4)

The empirical formula and the molecular weight are \(\text{C}_{38}\text{H}_{53}\text{N}_7\text{O}_{23.5}\text{Pd}_2\text{Yb} \) and 1369.75 g/mol, respectively. Yield 32%. Analytical data (%), calculated: C, 33.32; H, 3.90; N, 7.16; Pd, 15.54; Yb, 12.63. Found: C, 33.20; H, 3.70; N, 7.30; Pd, 15.30; Yb, 12.40. IR (ATR); 3201 w, 2964 w, 1655 w, 1607 vs, 1568 w, 1463 s, 1386 w, 1302 m, 1254 s, 1218 s, 1172 w, 1052 w, 871 m, 780 m, 759 w, 733 s, 680 m, 555 w.

3.4. Methods

The contents of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen in the complexes were determined by elemental analysis using a CHN 2400 Perkin Elmer analyser. The contents of palladium and lanthanides were established using ED XRF spectrophotometer (Canberra-Packard). The infrared spectra were acquired using a Thermo Scientific Nicolet 6700 FTIR with a Smart iTR diamond ATR accessory. Data were collected between 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) and 520 cm\(^{-1}\), with a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\) for 16 scans. The NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 500MHz in CDCl\(_3\) and DMSO-\(d_6\) solutions. Thermal analyses of complexes were carried out by the thermogravimetric (TG) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) methods using the SETSYS 16/18 analyser (Setaram). The experiments were carried out under air flow in the temperature range of 20–1000 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C·min\(^{-1}\). The samples 5.65 mg (1), 7.14 mg (2), 5.76 mg (3), 7.01 mg (4), 6.76 mg (5) and 6.02 mg (6) were heated in Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) crucibles. The TG–FTIR of the selected compounds was recorded using the TGA Q5000 analyser (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA), interfaced to the Nicolet 6700 FTIR spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). The complex samples were put in an open platinum crucible and heated from ambient temperature to 700 °C. The analysis was carried out at a heating rate of 20 °C·min\(^{-1}\) under nitrogen at a flow rate of 20 mL·min\(^{-1}\). To reduce the possibility of gasses condensing along the transfer line, the temperature in the gas cell and transfer line was set to 250 °C and 240 °C, respectively. Gas analysis was performed by matching the spectra against those from the spectrum library (Nicolet TGA Vapor Phase) of the software Ominic, together with the literature sources. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the products of the decomposition process were collected at room temperature on an Empyrean PANanalytical automated powder diffractometer with CuK\(\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 1.54187\) Å) over the scattering angular range 2\(\theta = 20–90^\circ\). The electronic absorption spectra of the ligand H\(_4\)L and complexes 1–4 were recorded with a Shimadzu UV 2401PC spectrophotometer, in 10 \times 10 mm quartz cells, in methanol solutions at a concentration of about 2 \times 10\(^{-5}\) mol/L. Excitation and emission spectra, in methanol solution and in solid state, were measured at ambient temperature and for the UV-Vis range, a Hitachi 7000 Fluorescence Spectrophotometer was used. The solid state samples were measured within the same sample holder to ensure a consistent amount of luminescent materials in all samples. Excitation and
emission spectra were corrected for the instrumental response. All measurements were carried out under the same experimental conditions.

Diffraction data for 1–4 were collected at room temperature on a SuperNova X-ray diffractometer equipped with an Atlas S2 CCD detector using mirror-monochromatized CuKα radiation (λ = 1.54184 Å). Absorption effects were corrected with CrysAlisPro 1.17.38.46 [29] by empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in the SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm. The structures were solved by direct methods using the ShelXT [30] structure solution program using intrinsic phasing and refined with the Olex2.refine refinement package using Gauss-Newton minimisation [31]. For nitrate ions in 1 and 2, the bond length restraints were applied by DFIX instructions to 1.21(1) and DANG 2.10(2) Å for N–O and O–O distances, respectively. Non-hydrogen atoms, except of nitrate N and O atoms and solvent molecules, were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically and the ‘riding’ model for the C–H bonds was used in the refinement. The structure 4 contains solvent accessible voids of 118 Å³, which can be attributed to disordered solvent molecules (32 electrons). The summary of the experimental details and the crystal structure refinement parameters are given in Table 1 and Table S1. The experimental details and final atomic parameters have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary material (CCDC: 1865659–1865662). The data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

4. Conclusions

Four novel 4d-4f Schiff base coordination compounds of palladium(II) and lanthanides(III) have been synthesized and characterized by X-ray diffraction structural studies, thermal analysis, and by luminescence measurements. The analysis of the geometry of the unique crystal structures 1–4 extended the knowledge about specific requirements necessary for the design and synthesis of new heterometallic Pd(II)–Ln(III) coordination compounds. A planar square coordination site for Pd(II) ions is beneficial. The presented results show that such geometry is also available for voluminous two-compartmental Schiff base ligands.

The complexes 1–4 are stable at room temperature. Their desolvation process is consistent with the loss of water and methanol molecules in the case of 1, or of water in 2–4. The main differences originate from the kind and amount of solvent molecules outside the coordination sphere and the type of small ligands coordinated to lanthanide(III) ions (nitrate ion (1) or water molecule (2–4)).

The photoluminescent properties were measured at ambient temperature, revealing similar emission patterns. The 1–4 complexes have been shown to be poorly effective emitters. The quenching of yellow emission of the N,N′-bis(2,3-dihydroxybenzylidene)-1,3-diamino-2,2-dimethylpropane upon complexation by palladium(II) and lanthanide(III) ions may be used for analytical applications to detect metal ions. Further studies are required.

Supplementary Materials: The following materials are available online, Table S1. Crystallographic data for complexes 1–4, Figure S1. TG, DTG, and DSC curves of Pd(II)–Eu(III)–Pd(II) (1) in air, Figure S2. TG, DTG and DSC curves of Pd(II)–Tb(III)–Pd(II) (2) in air, Figure S3. TG, DTG and DSC curves of Pd(II)–Yb(III)–Pd(II) (4) in air, Figure S4. FTIR spectra of gaseous products of the Pd(II)–Eu(III)–Pd(II) (1) decomposition, Figure S5. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the final products of Pd(II)–Eu(III)–Pd(II) (1) decomposition in air, Figure S6. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the final products of Pd(II)–Tb(III)–Pd(II) (2) decomposition in air, Figure S7. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the final products of Pd(II)–Er(III)–Pd(II) (3) decomposition in air, Figure S8. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the final products of Pd(II)–Yb(III)–Pd(II) (4) decomposition in air, Figure S9. Absorption spectra of Schiff base ligand H₄L and its metal-mixed complexes 1–4 dissolved in methanol (c~2 × 10⁻⁵Μ), Figure S10. Excitation (A) and emission (B) spectra of methanolic solutions of reported complexes, Figure S11. Luminescence spectrum of ligand H₄L in solid state; the inset is the excitation spectrum, Figure S12. Luminescence spectra of reported complexes in solid state, the inset present the excitation spectra of selected complexes, Figure S13. ¹H NMR spectrum of ligand H₄L in CDCl₃ solution, Figure S14. ¹³C NMR spectrum of ligand H₄L in DMSO-d₆ solution.
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