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Abstract: This research explores the behavior of \( \text{Co}_{40}\text{Fe}_{40}\text{W}_{10}\text{B}_{10} \) films when it is sputtered onto Si(100) substrates with a thickness \((t)\) ranging from 10 nm to 100 nm, and then altered by an annealing process at temperatures of 200 °C, 250 °C, 300 °C, and 350 °C, respectively. The crystal structure and grain size of \( \text{Co}_{40}\text{Fe}_{40}\text{W}_{10}\text{B}_{10} \) films with different thicknesses and annealing temperatures are observed and estimated by an X-ray diffractometer pattern (XRD) and full-width at half maximum (FWHM). The XRD of annealing \( \text{Co}_{40}\text{Fe}_{40}\text{W}_{10}\text{B}_{10} \) films at 200 °C exhibited an amorphous status due to insufficient heating drive force. Moreover, the thicknesses and annealing temperatures of body-centered cubic (BCC) CoFe (110) peaks were detected when annealing at 250 °C with thicknesses ranging from 80 nm to 100 nm, and annealing at 300 °C with thicknesses ranging from 50 nm to 100 nm, and annealing at 350 °C with thicknesses ranging from 10 nm to 100 nm. The FWHM of CoFe (110) decreased and the grain size increased when the thickness and annealing temperature increased. The CoFe (110) peak revealed magnetocrystalline anisotropy, which was related to strong low-frequency alternative-current magnetic susceptibility \((\chi_{\text{ac}})\) and induced an increasing trend in saturation magnetization (Ms) as the thickness and annealing temperature increased. The contact angles of all \( \text{Co}_{40}\text{Fe}_{40}\text{W}_{10}\text{B}_{10} \) films were less than 90°, indicating the hydrophobic nature of \( \text{Co}_{40}\text{Fe}_{40}\text{W}_{10}\text{B}_{10} \) films. Furthermore, the surface energy of \( \text{Co}_{40}\text{Fe}_{40}\text{W}_{10}\text{B}_{10} \) presented an increased trend as the thickness and annealing temperature increased. According to the results, the optimal conditions are a thickness of 100 nm and an annealing temperature of 350 °C, owing to high \( \chi_{\text{ac}} \), large Ms, and strong adhesion; this indicates that annealing \( \text{Co}_{40}\text{Fe}_{40}\text{W}_{10}\text{B}_{10} \) at 350 °C and with a thickness of 100 nm exhibits good thermal stability and can become a free or pinned layer in a magnetic tunneling junction (MTJ) application.
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1. Introduction

Ever since the conception of nanocrystalline materials by Rozlin in 2012, the CoFe alloy found in magnetic materials has presented excellent soft magnetic properties, categorized by high saturation magnetization (Ms) and a high Curie temperature \((T_{\text{C}})\) \[1\]. This topic has continued to attract the attention of scholars researching CoFe material, which can be applied to magnetic equipment for sensor, actuator, and read-write recorder.
applications [2–6]. The CoFe matrix with magnetic properties combines with boron (B) to form CoFeB material, which is a soft magnetic material that is applied widely to spin electronic devices. The thickness of the CoFeB thin film is thin enough that it shows perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) and is applied to magnetoresistance random access memory (MRAM). CoFeB can be a free layer or pinned layer for increasing ferromagnetic (FM)/antiferromagnetic (AFM) exchange-biasing anisotropy in magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ), creating large tunnel magnetoresistance (TMR) [7–12]. Among all metals, tungsten (W) has a high melting point, high tensile strength, and thermal conductivity, as well as a low thermal expansion coefficient. The addition of refractory elements such as W to the CoFeB system is worthwhile to study their specific properties. In 2012, Pai et al. examined the effect of W as a seed layer on MTJ and observed different crystal structures formed by single-layer W films due to a different thickness and a spin Hall angle [13]. An experiment in 2015 found that the W layer influenced the PMA effect at different annealing temperatures [14]. The PMA has high stable efficiency at high temperatures with the CoFeB alloy presenting the major effect of the PMA simultaneously, indicating that the W layer probably has the factor of heat resistance and is realized at a higher annealing temperature in order to obtain a strong PMA feature. In 2016, researchers studied the electrodeposition efficiency of CoFeW films in a citrate solution by changing the chemical composition ratio of the films with different W content in the electrolytes. The morphology, microstructure, and magnetic properties of the films were analyzed. A CoFeW alloy with low coercivity ($H_C$) and high saturation magnetization ($M_S$) was obtained [15]. Findings show that the coating of the CoFeW alloy with a high PH value produces better magnetic properties. However, the coating of the CoFeW alloy with a low PH value causes a lack of surface tension and the phenomenon of the grain size to decrease. Adding W into the CoFe alloy can increase its hardness, durability, corrosion resistance, and heat resistance [16]. However, excessive B content leads to a decrease in saturation magnetization at high temperatures [17]. Higher saturation magnetization has the advantage of heating stability. Due to the above reasons, magnetic components are usually operated in a higher temperature environment than room temperature (RT). CoFeWB film is usually inserted into MTJ as a free layer, pinned layer, or combined with other layers in a multilayer structure. It can be widely used in magnetic and semiconductor applications. Adhesion is an important factor in CoFeWB film. The experiment investigates Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ with a thickness of 10–100 nm was sputtered onto Si(100) substrate at room temperature (RT) by a magnetron DC sputtering direct method of 50 W power and under the following four conditions: (a) annealed at a treatment temperature ($T_A$) of 200 °C for 1 h, (b) annealed at 250 °C for 1 h, (c) annealed at 300 °C for 1 h, and (d) annealed at 350 °C for 1 h. The chamber base pressure was 8.5 × 10$^{-7}$ Torr, and the Ar working pressure was 3 × 10$^{-3}$ Torr. The pressure in the ex-situ annealed condition was 3 × 10$^{-3}$ Torr with a selected Ar gas. The target alloy composition of CoFeWB was Co (40%), Fe (40%), W (10%), and B (10%). The structure of the CoFeWB thin films was detected by grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns obtained with CuKα1 (PAN analytical X’pert PRO MRD, Malvern Panalytical Ltd, Cambridge, UK) and a low angle diffraction incidence of roughly 2 degrees. The in-plane low-frequency alternate-current magnetic susceptibility ($\chi_{ac}$) and hysteresis loop of the Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{20}$ were studied using an $\chi_{ac}$ analyzer (XacQuan, MagQu Co. Ltd. New Taipei City, Taiwan) and an alternating gradient magnetometer (AGM, PMC, Westerville, OH, USA). Moreover, in $\chi_{ac}$ measurement, the $\chi_{ac}$ analyzer was used to calibrate the standard sample under the action of an external magnetic field. Then, the sample was inserted into the $\chi_{ac}$ analyzer. The driving frequency was between 10 and
25,000 Hz. $\chi_{ac}$ was measured using magnetization. All test samples had an equivalent shape and size to eliminate demagnetization. The $\chi_{ac}$ valve acted as an arbitrary unit (a.u.) because the AC result corresponded to the reference standard sample and could have been a comparison value. The connection between magnetic susceptibility and frequency was measured by an $\chi_{ac}$ analyzer. The best resonance frequency ($f_{res}$) was measured by an $\chi_{ac}$ analyzer and represented the frequency of the maximum $\chi_{ac}$. Before measurement, the contact angle was properly air cleaned on the surface. The contact angle of the CoFeWB film was measured with deionized (DI) water and glycerol. The contact angle was measured when the samples were taken out of the chamber. The surface energy was obtained by measuring the contact angle and employing specific calculations [18–20].

3. Results

3.1. X-ray Diffraction

Figure 1a–e show Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ thin films when analyzed for the crystal structure by XRD at the annealed temperatures of 200 °C, 250 °C, 300 °C, 350 °C, and 400 °C, respectively. Figure 1a of annealed 200 °C result displays an amorphous status in all films owing to insufficient heating drive force. Furthermore, the thicknesses and annealing temperatures of body-centered cubic (BCC) CoFe (110) peaks were detected at around a diffracted angle of $2\theta = 44.7^\circ$ when annealing at 250 °C with thicknesses from 80 nm to 100 nm, annealing at 300 °C with thicknesses from 50 nm to 100 nm, annealing at 350 °C with thicknesses from 10 nm to 100 nm, and annealing at 400 °C with thickness of 150 nm. It is generally observed in CoFeWB thin films that the intensity of CoFe(110) peaks increases with greater thickness and increased annealing temperature. When the annealing temperature increases above 350 °C, it is found that the thickness of the initial crystallization of CoFe (110) becomes thinner.

![Figure 1. Cont.](image_url)
Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of Co40Fe40W10B10 thin films: (a) post-annealing at 200 °C, (b) post-annealing at 250 °C, (c) post-annealing at 300 °C, (d) post-annealing at 350 °C, and (e) post-annealing at 400 °C with 150 nm.

3.2. Full-Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) and Grain Size Distribution

Figure 2a shows the corresponding full width at half maximum (FWHM, B) of the CoFe (110) peak obtained under four conditions. The result reveals that FWHM decreases with increased thicknesses and post-annealing temperature, using the FWHM determined by XRD, while the grain size of CoFe (110) is calculated using the Scherrer formula [21,22]. By using the Scherrer formula (1), and considering the CoFe (110) peak, this study calculated the average crystallite size for the CoFeWB thin films under the studied conditions.

\[ D = \frac{K\lambda}{B\cos\theta} \]  

In the formula, \( k (0.89) \) is Scherrer’s constant; \( \lambda \) is the X-ray wavelength of the Cu Kα1 line; \( B \) is the FWHM diffraction CoFe (110) peak; and \( \theta \) is the half-angle of the diffraction peak. Figure 2b shows the average grain sizes, which were estimated using the FWHM of the CoFe (110) peak under four annealed conditions. The results indicate that the grain sizes depend on the thickness and annealed temperature, and that the crystallization of the films rose with the thickness and annealed temperature, indicating annealing treatment supports the heating drive force to grain growth [23–25]. To investigate the thickness and annealing temperature effect, the performance of the grains at a thicker and higher temperature, 150 nm and 400 °C, was also studied. In Figure 2a, there is little difference in the FWHM and grain size when annealing at 350 °C with 100 nm and at 400 °C with
150 nm. The grain size of 400 °C is slightly larger than 350 °C. Therefore, the grain size tends to be saturated when annealing above 350 °C.

3.3. Magnetic Analysis

Figure 3a–d display the magnetic hysteresis loops of Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ thin films under four annealed conditions, with thicknesses ranging from 10 to 100 nm. The external magnetic field of 200 Oe in the plane is enough to observe the saturation magnetic spin state. The figure shows low coercivity ($H_C$), which indicates that Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ films are soft magnetic. The saturation magnetization ($M_S$) of Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ thin films under four post-annealing conditions illustrates the magnetic properties of Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ thin films that were measured by AGM, as shown in Figure 4. The results show that in Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ films, $M_S$ increases with the increase of thickness, indicating the effect of thickness on $M_S$. It was also found that the $M_S$ of the CoFeWB thin films increased by raising the annealing temperature. Apparently, the $M_S$ when annealed at 350 °C, is much larger than other conditions because of magnetocrystalline anisotropy [26,27]. CoFeWB films show in-plane magnetization because the CoFeWB film is too thick and, when deposited on a Si substrate, perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) originates from the Fe-O bond and the in-plane demagnetization field is too big, owing to a thick CoFeWB [28,29]. In this study, the effect of greater CoFeWB thickness is demonstrated more than the weaker Fe-O bonding effect. The $M_S$ value of Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ thin films is increased to 350 °C, which shows that the thermal stability of Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ thin films is better than that of other research findings [30].

![Figure 3](image-url)
Figure 4. Saturation magnetization ($M_s$) of CoFeWB thin films.

Figure 5a–d show the low-frequency alternating-current magnetic susceptibility ($\chi_{ac}$) result of CoFeWB films with thicknesses ranging from 10 to 100 nm under four conditions. The low frequencies were measured in the range of 50–25,000 Hz. The results display that the $\chi_{ac}$ values decrease with an increasing frequency (Hz) under the four conditions. The corresponding maximum $\chi_{ac}$ values of various CoFeWB thicknesses under four conditions are shown in Figure 6. It was found that the maximum $\chi_{ac}$ value was 0.24 when the thickness was 100 nm at 200 °C; the maximum $\chi_{ac}$ value was 0.26 when the thickness was 100 nm at 250 °C; the maximum $\chi_{ac}$ value was 0.36 when the thickness was 100 nm at 300 °C; and the maximum $\chi_{ac}$ value was 1.17 when the thickness was 100 nm at 350 °C. These results clearly display an increased $\chi_{ac}$ owing to the thickness effect and magnetocrystalline anisotropy in the CoFeWB films. Table 1 shows the optimal resonance frequency ($f_{res}$) of CoFeWB. The maximum $\chi_{ac}$ demonstrates that the spin sensitivity is highest at the optimal resonant frequency [31]. The $\chi_{ac}$ peak indicates the spin exchange-coupling interaction and dipole moment of the domain under frequency. Additionally, the $f_{res}$ value is below 1000 Hz, which makes CoFeWB films for applications in soft magnetism devices. It was found that the $f_{res}$ values of all CoFeWB thicknesses were in the range of 50–1000 Hz, suggesting the maximum $\chi_{ac}$ had the strongest spin sensitivity at this frequency [32].
Figure 5. The low-frequency alternate-current magnetic susceptibility ($\chi_{ac}$) as a function of the frequency from 10 to 25,000 Hz: (a) after annealing at 200 °C, (b) after annealing at 250 °C, (c) after annealing at 300 °C, and (d) after annealing at 350 °C.

Figure 6. Maximum alternate-current magnetic susceptibility for the CoFeWB films.

Table 1. Optimal resonance frequency for films of various thicknesses.

| Thickness (nm) | After Annealing at 200 °C (Hz) | After Annealing at 250 °C (Hz) | After Annealing at 300 °C (Hz) | After Annealing at 350 °C (Hz) |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 10            | 500                            | 250                            | 500                            | 250                            |
| 20            | 500                            | 250                            | 100                            | 250                            |
| 30            | 100                            | 500                            | 200                            | 250                            |
| 40            | 50                             | 50                             | 100                            | 50                             |
| 50            | 50                             | 250                            | 250                            | 500                            |
| 60            | 250                            | 500                            | 100                            | 100                            |
| 70            | 250                            | 1000                           | 250                            | 250                            |
| 80            | 250                            | 50                             | 250                            | 50                             |
| 90            | 250                            | 100                            | 500                            | 250                            |
| 100           | 250                            | 50                             | 250                            | 250                            |

3.4. Contact Angle and Surface Energy

The contact angles were measured using DI water and glycerol. The data are presented in Table 2. The contact angles of all Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ thin films were less than 90°, representing that Co$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$W$_{10}$B$_{10}$ film exhibits good hydrophilicity and wettability. The surface energy of thin films is an important parameter because it relates to the adhesion of
thin films. When CoFeWB thin film is used as a seed, buffer, free, or pinned layer, the strong adhesion of the thin films is essential. The data of contact angles are used to calculate the surface energy via Young’s equation [19,20]:

$$\sigma_{sg} = \sigma_{sl} + \sigma_{lg} \cos \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

**Table 2.** Comparing contact angle and surface energy for Co_{40}Fe_{40}W_{10}B_{10} thin films from different fabrication processes.

| Process          | Thickness | Contact Angle with DI Water (°) | Contact Angle with Glycerol (°) | Surface Energy (mJ/mm^2) |
|------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Post-annealing** |           |                                |                                |                          |
| **200 °C**       | 10 nm     | 90.0°                          | 73.2°                          | 22.87                    |
|                  | 20 nm     | 80.7°                          | 65.7°                          | 24.50                    |
|                  | 30 nm     | 85.8°                          | 81.4°                          | 21.31                    |
|                  | 40 nm     | 84.3°                          | 72.6°                          | 27.09                    |
|                  | 50 nm     | 80.5°                          | 71.5°                          | 28.15                    |
| **200 °C**       | 60 nm     | 83.7°                          | 79.4°                          | 22.69                    |
|                  | 70 nm     | 84.9°                          | 84.0°                          | 22.43                    |
|                  | 80 nm     | 87.4°                          | 81.7°                          | 23.77                    |
|                  | 90 nm     | 87.4°                          | 83.0°                          | 21.02                    |
|                  | 100 nm    | 84.4°                          | 72.7°                          | 27.13                    |
| **250 °C**       | 10 nm     | 82.5°                          | 80.7°                          | 26.77                    |
|                  | 20 nm     | 85.6°                          | 76.0°                          | 25.69                    |
|                  | 30 nm     | 86.5°                          | 83.2°                          | 26.01                    |
|                  | 40 nm     | 80.7°                          | 80.0°                          | 24.75                    |
|                  | 50 nm     | 84.2°                          | 61.9°                          | 28.49                    |
| **250 °C**       | 60 nm     | 80.3°                          | 77.3°                          | 31.01                    |
|                  | 70 nm     | 73.7°                          | 70.0°                          | 29.84                    |
|                  | 80 nm     | 80.0°                          | 71.4°                          | 29.35                    |
|                  | 90 nm     | 75.5°                          | 70.6°                          | 29.30                    |
|                  | 100 nm    | 77.2°                          | 75.2°                          | 29.19                    |
| **300 °C**       | 10 nm     | 82.2°                          | 78.0°                          | 26.49                    |
|                  | 20 nm     | 77.2°                          | 77.2°                          | 27.62                    |
|                  | 30 nm     | 80.5°                          | 51.0°                          | 26.68                    |
|                  | 40 nm     | 77.8°                          | 74.9°                          | 28.90                    |
|                  | 50 nm     | 83.4°                          | 81.9°                          | 27.61                    |
| **300 °C**       | 60 nm     | 80.8°                          | 78.2°                          | 31.18                    |
|                  | 70 nm     | 79.6°                          | 65.9°                          | 37.93                    |
|                  | 80 nm     | 76.5°                          | 72.4°                          | 35.24                    |
|                  | 90 nm     | 77.7°                          | 76.6°                          | 43.26                    |
|                  | 100 nm    | 83.7°                          | 73.0°                          | 50.56                    |
| **350 °C**       | 10 nm     | 78.3°                          | 73.6°                          | 27.60                    |
|                  | 20 nm     | 75.6°                          | 75.4°                          | 28.85                    |
|                  | 30 nm     | 76.9°                          | 71.7°                          | 29.61                    |
|                  | 40 nm     | 71.6°                          | 68.5°                          | 31.48                    |
|                  | 50 nm     | 81.1°                          | 79.6°                          | 35.24                    |
Table 2. Cont.

| Process                      | Thickness | Contact Angle with DI Water (°) | Contact Angle with Glycerol (°) | Surface Energy (mJ/mm²) |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Post-annealing 350 °C        | 60 nm     | 80.0°                           | 78.0°                           | 41.06                   |
|                              | 70 nm     | 80.9°                           | 77.2°                           | 47.79                   |
|                              | 80 nm     | 81.8°                           | 79.7°                           | 51.65                   |
|                              | 90 nm     | 80.7°                           | 73.9°                           | 49.08                   |
|                              | 100 nm    | 81.7°                           | 75.6°                           | 54.95                   |

Here, σsg is the surface free energy of the solid; σsl denotes the liquid–solid interface tension; σlg is the surface tension of the liquid, and θ is the contact angle.

Figure 7 displays the surface energy of Co₄₀Fe₄₀W₁₀B₁₀ thin films. These data of surface energy are shown in Table 2. It can be observed that the surface energy of high post-annealing temperature was larger than low annealing temperature. As the post-annealing temperature increased, the surface energy clearly increased. As a consequence, the surface energy of annealed 200 °C CoFeWB thin films was 28.15 mJ/mm² at 50 nm, which was the highest value. When the post-annealing temperature was 250 °C, the highest surface energy of 60 nm was 31.01 mJ/mm². When the post-annealing temperature achieved 300 °C, it was 50.56 mJ/mm² at 100 nm. When the post-annealing temperature achieved 350 °C, it was 54.95 mJ/mm² at 100 nm. When the surface energy is high, the liquid absorption capacity of the surface is correspondingly high. High surface energy corresponds to strong adhesion [33]. Surface energy is the key factor affecting the adhesion of the film. Because CoFeWB is compatible with spin-value MTJ and applied to MRAM application, it can also be used as a free layer and in combination with other layers. One can observe the Co₄₀Fe₄₀W₁₀B₁₀ properties and compare them with other specific Co₄₀Fe₄₀V₁₀B₁₀ film magnetic properties and surface energies, as mentioned in Table 3 [34]. The χac and surface energy of 100 nm Co₄₀Fe₄₀W₁₀B₁₀, annealed at 350 °C, is larger than that of the Co₄₀Fe₄₀V₁₀B₁₀ film, indicating that Co₄₀Fe₄₀W₁₀B₁₀ thin film is more suitable as a free or pinned layer in MTJ and can be applied in MRAM applications.

![Surface energy of CoFeWB thin films.](image)

Table 3. Significant properties for Si(100)/Co₄₀Fe₄₀V₁₀B₁₀ and Si(100)/Co₄₀Fe₄₀W₁₀B₁₀ materials.

| Material                        | Maximum χac (a.u.) | Optimal Resonance Frequency, f_res (Hz) | Surface Energy (mJ/mm²) | Crystallinity |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Si(100)/Co₄₀Fe₄₀V₁₀B₁₀ [34]     | 0.013–0.019        | 50–200                                  | 34.2–51.5               | Weak         |
| 10–50 nm at RT                  |                     |                                         |                         |              |
| Si(100)/Co₄₀Fe₄₀W₁₀B₁₀[*]       | 0.24–1.17          | 50–1000                                 | 21.0–54.9               | Strong       |
| 10–100 nm at annealed conditions[*]: Current research |                     |                                         |                         |              |
4. Conclusions

XRD patterns revealed that CoFeWB thin films are composed of an amorphous status when annealed at a temperature of 200 °C. BCC CoFe (110) peaks were detected when annealing at 250 °C with thicknesses ranging from 80 nm to 100 nm, annealing at 300 °C with thicknesses ranging from 50 nm to 100 nm, and annealing at 350 °C with thicknesses ranging from 10 nm to 100 nm. The intensity and grain size of CoFe (110) peaks generally increased with increasing film thickness and annealing temperatures, indicating a development in crystallographic texture. CoFeWB films presented soft magnetism owing to low Hc and in-plane magnetization because thicker CoFeWB thicknesses showed a large in-plane demagnetization field. Ms and χac also increased as the thickness and annealed temperature increased. The χac and Ms of films annealed at 350 °C are much larger than in other conditions, owing to the thickness effect and magnetocrystalline anisotropy in the CoFeWB films. Alloying additions of W improved the thermal stability of CoFeB films. The maximum Ms and χac values were achieved for CoFeWB films with a thickness of 100 nm after annealing at 350 °C. The f_res values of all films were less than 1000 Hz. The contact angles of CoFeWB were smaller than 90°, indicating that the films were hydrophilic and had a good wetting effect. The surface energy of a high post-annealing temperature was larger than that of a low annealing temperature. As the post-annealing temperature increased, the surface energy clearly increased. Based on the magnetic properties and surface energy, the optimal condition of CoFeWB film is a thickness of 100 nm with an annealed temperature of 350 °C, which is suitable as a free or pinned layer in MTJ for magnetic component applications.
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