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Investigation of the effects of hydrodynamic and parasitic electrostatic forces on the dynamics of a high aspect ratio MEMS accelerometer
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Abstract

We present the results of an extensive characterization of physical and electrostatic effects influencing the dynamical behavior of a micro-electromechanical (MEMS) accelerometer based on commercial technology. A similar device has been utilized recently to demonstrate the effect of Casimir and other nano-scale interactions on the pull-in distance [Ardito et. al., Microelectron. Reliab., 52 (2012) 271]. In the present work, we focus on the influence of pressure, plate separation, and electric surface potentials on the spectral mechanical response. We finally find evidence for the presence of non-viscous damping due to compressibility of the ambient gas, and demonstrate a strong dependence of the sensitivity on the parameters of the operating point.
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1. Introduction

Micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) are employed in a wide variety of industrial applications. At the present technological level, typical surface separations in these devices are of the order of 1 \( \mu \)m. For these dimensions, it has already been demonstrated that hydrodynamic forces[1] and even Casimir [2, 3] interactions can influence the dynamical behavior of mechanical elements. The ongoing trend for miniaturization will necessitate the assessment and understanding of the prevalent interactions in the distance regime below the micrometer level. In this domain, due to the limitation of a maximum possible electric field strength, Casimir forces are necessarily of the same order as electrostatic ones. Therefore, one must either find ways to effectively reduce quantum-mechanical surface interactions [4], or to employ them in a controlled way to actuate devices [5]. Another aspect, which gains importance at small separations, is the presence of electrostatic ‘patch’ potentials caused by local variations in the Fermi surfaces. In the same way as Casimir forces, patch interactions create a pervasive force background which may cause stiction. Finally, even at low gas pressures, elastic hydrodynamic effects and slippage on surfaces have to be taken into account [6].

It is the purpose of the present work to investigate the aforementioned effects in a MEMS accelerometer based on commercial technology. We extend a previous characterization [3] of a similar device by an assessment of the influence of hydrodynamics and surface potentials onto the dynamic mechanical response.
2. Device and setup

The investigated device has been designed and fabricated by ST Microelectronics using their ThELMA [7] process to create structures of 22 \( \mu m \) depth in heavily doped poly-silicon. As shown schematically in Fig. 1a, the mechanical structure consists of a movable shuttle (rotor, R) which is supported by four folded springs. Interleaved comb structures extending laterally from the shuttle form parallel plate capacitors with similar structures on the fixed frame (stator, S). Relative displacements \( \Delta d \equiv \Delta d_{DC} \) or modulated \( \Delta d \equiv \Delta d(t) \) between R and S, resulting in changes of the plate separation \( d = d_0 - \Delta d \) can be sensed by monitoring the capacitance \( C_{SR} \),

\[
C_{SR} = \varepsilon A \left( \frac{1}{d_0} + \frac{1}{s - w} \right),
\]

where \( A \) is the total sensing area, while \( s \) and \( w \) are the spacing between two S-lamellae and the width of an R-lamella, respectively. The device contains 4 linear bi-directional electrostatic comb drives (D), realized in two different geometries, one of which is shown enlarged in Fig. 1b). Application of a voltage \( V_D \) to D results in a force \( F_D \) on the shuttle in longitudinal direction \( x \), and hence a displacement \( \Delta d \) according to,

\[
\Delta d \approx \frac{F_D}{k}, \quad \text{with } k = \lim_{\omega \to 0} T_{-1}^{-1} \omega, \quad \text{where } T_{-1}^{-1} = m \left[ \omega_r^2 - \omega^2 + 2i\xi \omega \omega_r \right], \quad \text{and } d_0 = \lim_{F \to 0} d.
\]

Here, \( m \) is the rotor mass, \( \omega_r = 2\pi f_r = \sqrt{k/m} \) stands for the cyclic mechanical eigenfrequency, \( \xi \) is the viscous damping coefficient, and the elastic constant \( k \) represents the limiting value of the inverse mechanical transfer function \( T_{-1}^{-1} \), relating general (driver and external) forces \( F \) to displacements \( \Delta d \) of the shuttle.

In order to measure the static and dynamic responses of the device, we utilize two different setups shown in Figs. 1c and 1d, respectively. Investigations of the pull-in distance and surface potentials are performed using a constant \( V_D \) to set \( \Delta d_{DC} \), and an LCR meter with optional DC bias \( V_{BDC} \) applied between R and S. For dynamic measurements the system is excited by adding a modulation \( V_{DAC} \) at frequency \( \omega_D/2\pi \) to \( V_D \) effecting a small vibration amplitude \( \Delta d(t) \ll d \). This modulation together with the constant supply \( V_S \) results in a current \( i_R = V_S \partial C_{SR}/\partial t \), which can be converted to a voltage via a transimpedence amplifier. Finally, demodulation by a lock-in amplifier synchronized to \( \omega_D \) gives a signal which is proportional to \( \Delta d(t) \). For the investigation of hydrodynamic effects, the setup is placed in a vacuum chamber allowing to control the pressure \( p_a \).
low damping $\xi$ can be obtained from fits of the measured $C_{SR}(V_S)$ to Eqn. (1) with $\Delta d \propto (V_S - V_0)^2$, resulting in the data shown in the upper inset.

3. Characterization of the device

Analytic modeling of driver characteristics is hampered by the strong influence of fringe effects [3, 8]. For this reason we resort to the simple model $F_D = f_0 V_D^2$ and determine the geometrical factor $f_0$ from a fit to DC measurements of $C_{SR}$ shown in Fig. 2. The effective global $V_0$ can be determined either dynamically [10] or from the minima of the curves $C_{SR}(V_{BDC})$ shown in the inset of Fig. 2. A minimization of the pull-in distance $d_{pi}$ is possible by the application of a compensating voltage $V_{BDC} = -V_0$. At small surface separations, non-linear effects become visible. These are caused by distance-dependent forces $F(d)$ (mainly electrostatic due to $V_{BDC}$ and parasitic surface potentials $V_0$) and change the dynamics according to $k \rightarrow k_{eff} = k - \partial F(d)/\partial d$ and Eqn. (2), finally leading to instability and the infamous pull-in. However, apart from these well known effects, our device is also plagued by the softness of the lamellae at small $d$. The latter deform under the influence of surface potentials $V_S$ as shown in Fig. 3a, which leads to premature pull-in and further non-linear effects at $d \lesssim 0.8\,\mu m$. In this domain, force gradients lead to a reduction of the lamella resonance frequency $f_{iol}$ [9] seen in Fig. 3b. Fabrication tolerances may explain the occurrence of multiple pull-in points observed in Fig. 2, at which supposedly (groups of) lamellae snap to contact, thereby increasing $k_{eff}$.

The dynamical response of the mechanics depends on the precise parameters at the operating point. For sufficiently low damping $\xi$ at pressures $p_a \lesssim 100\,\mu b ar$, the amplitude reaches the bi-stability threshold as shown in Figs. 4a and b – an effect being strongly influenced by the modulation amplitude $V_{DAC}$. We determine the resonance frequency $f_0$ either from measurements of the oscillation [11] seen in step responses in Fig. 6, or from the minimum of the phase measured by the lock-in amplifier. While both measurements yield identical results (as demonstrated in Figs. 5a, c, and d), we believe that this method may be prone to uncertainties due to parasitic effects in the device requiring...
additional modeling [11]. For this reason \( f_r \) and \( f_0 \) may differ. On the basis of preliminary model calculations, however, we consider the results in Fig. 6 to be qualitatively correct. It has been predicted (review: [6]) and measured [1] that at high squeeze numbers \( \sigma = 12 \eta \omega \ell^2 / (\rho_a d^2) \gtrsim 10 \), with the dynamic viscosity \( \eta \) of the ambient gas and \( \ell \) being a typical device size for which we choose the depth of the lamellae, the prevalent nature of fluid-interactions changes from viscous to elastic. Thereby, the softening effect of distance-dependent forces is countered according to \( k_{eff} \rightarrow k - \partial F(d)/\partial d + k_s \). The coefficient \( k_s \) can be estimated from linearized Reynolds theory [12]. We find indications for such an increased \( k \) at small \( d (\sigma > 10) \) close to the pull-in, which are reflected by an increase in the measured resonance frequency seen in Fig. 6. More dedicated measurements could be performed using a MEMS-design with stiffer lamellae, which would allow us to reduce \( d \) below 300 nm, thereby mimicking the hypothetical situation in future NEMS devices.
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