SYMMETRY GROUPS OF FOUR-MANIFOLDS

MICHAEL P. MCCOOEY

Abstract. If a (possibly finite) compact Lie group acts effectively, locally linearly, and homologically trivially on a closed, simply-connected four-manifold $M$ with $b_2(M) \geq 3$, then it must be isomorphic to a subgroup of $S^1 \times S^1$, and the action must have nonempty fixed-point set.

Our results strengthen and complement recent work by Edmonds, Hambleton and Lee, and Wilczyński, among others. Our tools include representation theory, finite group theory, and Borel equivariant cohomology.

1. Introduction

By well-known constructions, any finitely presented group can be realized as the fundamental group of a closed four-manifold. Any such group thus acts (by covering translations) on a simply-connected four-manifold $M$. This action is free, so if $G$ is finite, the Lefschetz fixed-point theorem implies that it must have a faithful representation on $H^*(M)$. In contrast, it is natural to ask which groups admit homologically trivial, non-free actions on simply-connected four-manifolds.

The results of this paper provide a nearly complete answer. Our methods combine cohomological considerations with local geometric ones, so in fact our results apply equally well to manifolds with perfect fundamental groups:

Theorem 7.2. Let $G$ be a (possibly finite) compact Lie group, and suppose $M$ is a closed four-manifold with $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $b_2(M) \geq 2$, equipped with an effective, locally linear, homologically trivial $G$-action.

1. If $b_2(M) = 2$ and $\text{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S^1 \times S^1$.
2. If $b_2(M) \geq 3$, then $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S^1 \times S^1$, and a fixed point necessarily exists.

In [3], we treated the case of $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ actions, and here we apply one result of that paper to study actions of non-abelian groups which contain a rank two abelian subgroup.

Each simply-connected four-manifold with $b_2(M) \leq 1$ admits actions by non-abelian groups, as do $S^2 \times S^2$, $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# - \mathbb{C}P^2$, and $\hat{\mathbb{C}}P^2 \# - \mathbb{C}P^2$. On the other hand, results of Hambleton and Lee show that the only groups which act smoothly and homologically trivially on $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$ are abelian of rank $\leq 2$. By combining our main theorem with some extra information provided by the Atiyah-Singer $G$-signature theorem, we extend this result to the locally linear case. The question of exactly which nonabelian groups can act on each of the other “small” manifolds has been treated to some extent by various authors, and we summarize their results below.
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The main technical tool in our arguments is Borel equivariant cohomology, which associates to a $G$-space $X$ a graded cohomology module $H^*_G(X)$. If $M$ is a four-manifold and $\Sigma \subset M$ is the set of points with non-trivial isotropy groups, then the inclusion of $\Sigma$ in $M$ induces an isomorphism $H^*_G(M) \cong H^*_G(\Sigma)$ in degrees five and higher. By showing that these modules can not be isomorphic, we rule out the possibility of a $G$-action.

To use this observation to prove a theorem of any generality, we must first make judicious choices of groups $G$ to study, and then understand the $G$-spaces $M$ and $\Sigma$ sufficiently well to carry out cohomology calculations. Our choices of $G$ are determined by an algebraic classification of minimal nonabelian finite groups. The assumption of homological triviality makes $H^*_G(M)$ relatively easy to compute. On the other hand, results of Edmonds show that $\Sigma$ is a union of isolated points and (possibly intersecting) 2-spheres. Knowing this, the representation theory of $G$ and its subgroups can be used to study the possible arrangements of spheres near any intersection points, and thus to understand the global structure of $\Sigma$.

Earlier work on the sort of problem we consider includes that of Edmonds, Hambleton and Lee, and Wilczyński. Wilczyński showed in 1987 that the only groups which can act locally linearly on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ are the subgroups of $PGL(3,\mathbb{C})$, and his work also applies to manifolds with homology isomorphic to that of $\mathbb{C}P^2$. At approximately the same time, Hambleton and Lee proved a similar result for finite groups. Their 1995 paper uses equivariant gauge theory to re-prove this result for smooth actions and shows much more generally that if $M$ is a connected sum of $n > 1$ copies of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $G$ acts smoothly and homologically trivially, then $G$ must be abelian of rank $\leq 2$. Edmonds’s recent paper inspired the present work, and includes our main result as a conjecture. He shows that if a finite group $G$ acts homologically trivially, locally linearly, and pseudofreely (i.e. with a singular set consisting only of isolated points) on a simply-connected four-manifold $M$ with second Betti number at least three, then $G$ must be cyclic. We recover his result as a corollary of Theorem 7.2. But in the pseudofree case, our proof reduces essentially to his, and is not a fundamentally different argument. Note that the Betti number requirement in that theorem is necessary: in $S^2 \times S^2$, where more complicated groups arise.

1.1. **Structure of the paper**: We begin in section with some examples and basic observations. In the next section, we briefly review the construction of Borel equivariant cohomology and describe its application to the problem at hand. Section contains a classification of minimal nonabelian finite groups and provides the framework of our argument. They are naturally divided into rank one groups, which have periodic cohomology, and groups of rank two and higher, whose actions can be analyzed via their elementary abelian subgroups and the results of [13]. These two analyses follow, and in the last section we gather the ingredients and prove the main theorem and two corollaries.

1.2. **Acknowledgments**. An earlier version of this work formed part of my Ph.D. dissertation at Indiana University, and I would like to express my gratitude to my advisor, Allan Edmonds, for all his help over the years. Two of his papers, [6] and [7], were especially important influences. Thanks also to Ergün Yalçın for useful discussions about minimal nonabelian groups.
2. A FEW EXAMPLES AND BASIC RESULTS.

In this section we present a small collection of examples to indicate some of the range of possible structures for the singular set (denoted in general by Σ) of a locally linear group action. We then see that the added assumption of homological triviality places surprisingly strong restrictions on Σ. We recall two important results which we shall use often: first, a version of the Lefschetz Fixed-point Theorem (compare tom Dieck [17, page 225]):

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( g : X \to X \) be a periodic, locally linear map on a compact manifold \( X \). Then

\[
\chi(\text{Fix}(g)) = \lambda(g) := \sum_{i=0}^{\dim X} (-1)^i \text{Trace} H_* (g) |_{H_i(X, \mathbb{Q})}.
\]

Notice in particular that if \( g \) acts trivially on homology, \( \chi(\text{Fix}(g)) = \chi(X) \). Also recall a theorem of Edmonds [6]: (The original theorem is stated only for simply-connected manifolds, but the proof applies just as well whenever \( H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \).)

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( \langle g \rangle \) be a cyclic group of prime order \( p \) which acts, preserving orientation, on a closed four-manifold \( M \) with \( H_1(M) = 0 \). If \( \text{Fix}(g) \) is not purely 2-dimensional, then the 2-dimensional components of \( \text{Fix}(g) \) represent independent elements of \( H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}_p) \). If it is purely 2-dimensional, and has \( k \) 2-dimensional components, then the 2-dimensional components span a subspace of \( H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}_p) \) of dimension at least \( k - 1 \), with any \( k - 1 \) components representing independent elements.

If the action of \( G \) on \( M \) is locally linear and preserves orientation, then the fixed point set of each \( g \in G \) will be a submanifold, each component of which has even codimension. But their dimensions need not be equal:

**Example.** Let \( n \) be odd. We construct a \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) action on \( S^2 \times S^2 \): Begin with an action of \( g \) on \( D^4 \) by \( g(z, w) = (z, \lambda w) \), where \( \lambda = e^{2\pi i/n} \). Along the fixed \( S^1 \subset \partial D^4 \), add a 2-handle with the same action and framing 0, using the equivariant attaching map \( f_0 : S^1 \times D^2 \to S^3 \) which simply sends \((z, w)\) to \((z, w)\). Next, let \( g \) act on another 2-handle via \((z, w) \mapsto (\lambda z, \lambda^{-2} w)\). With respect to this action, the framing 2 attaching map \( f_2(z, w) = (z, z^2 w) \) is equivariant. Use it to attach the second 2-handle, linking the first once. In the boundary of the quotient, attaching this 2-handle amounts to 2-surgery on \( S^2 \times S^1 \), so the result is a lens space. Thus the action can be capped off upstairs with a 4-ball on which \( g \) acts linearly, with an isolated fixed point. The resulting manifold is easily seen to be \( S^2 \times S^2 \), and the action has a fixed-point set consisting of two isolated points and a 2-sphere.

In contrast, Edmonds shows in [6] that every component of the fixed-point set of an involution on a simply connected spin 4-manifold has the same dimension. Notice also that the fixed point set of any cyclic subgroup of \( SO(3) \times SO(3) \) is a product of spheres, so the action we have constructed is not equivalent to a “linear” one.

**Corollary 2.3.** If the singular set of a cyclic group action on \( S^2 \times S^2 \) consists of two 2-spheres, the two spheres need not have the same isotropy groups.

**Proof.** Simply carry out the above construction with a \( g \) of order \( 2n \). Then \( \text{Fix}(g) = S^2 \cup S^0 \), but \( \text{Fix}(g^n) = S^2 \cup S^2 \). \( \square \)
Example. Let $\rho$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on $S^2$ of reflection through an equator. Then the fixed-point set of the the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action $(\rho, \rho)$ on $S^2 \times S^2$ is a torus. By taking equivariant connected sums $\#_{i=1}^7 S^2 \times S^2$, we obtain actions whose fixed point sets are oriented surfaces of any genus.

Example. Let $\rho$ be as above, and let $r_n$ be a rotation of $2\pi/n$ radians around an axis meeting the equator. Consider actions on $S^2 \times S^2$. If $g = (\rho, \rho)$, and $h = (1, r_n)$, then $\text{Fix}(g) \cap \text{Fix}(h) \cong S^1 \cup S^1$. Also, $\langle g, h \rangle \cong D_n$.

Homologically trivial actions are simpler: by the Lefschetz fixed-point theorem, for each $g \in G$ which acts homologically trivially, $\chi(\text{Fix}(g)) = \chi(M) - b_2(M)/2$. By [3], $b_1(\text{Fix}(g)) = 0$, so the fixed-point set of each element consists of 2-spheres and/or isolated points. The intersections of fixed-point sets are also easy to describe (compare [1, 4.8], [8, 2.3]):

Proposition 2.4. Let $G$ act locally linearly and homologically trivially on a closed, simply connected 4-manifold $M$ with $b_2(M) \geq 1$. No isotropy representation can reverse orientation on a singular 2-plane in a neighborhood of its fixed point. Thus the intersection of the fixed-point sets of any $g, h \in G$ consists of a (possibly empty) set of 2-spheres and isolated points.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that when $b_2(M) \geq 1$, any 2-sphere component of the fixed point set of a cyclic group action represents a nontrivial element of $H_2(M)$. A singular 2-plane near a fixed point is part of a singular $S^2$, and if the group action were to reverse orientation locally, it would send $[S^2]$ to $-[S^2]$.

For the second claim, we need to rule out the possibility that $\text{Fix}(g) \cap \text{Fix}(h)$ contains 1-dimensional components. Suppose for a contradiction that $S$ is a circle component of $\text{Fix}(g) \cap \text{Fix}(h)$. We may assume $G = \langle g, h \rangle$. By local linearity, $G$ acts preserving orientation on the linking sphere $S^2$ to $S$, so $G$ is polyhedral. Moreover, the stabilizer of each point on $S^2$ is cyclic. If $G$ itself is cyclic, it has a global fixed point on $S^2$, and hence $\text{Fix}(G, M)$ is 2-dimensional near $S$. Otherwise, for any non-cyclic subgroup $H$ of $G$, $S$ is also a component of $\text{Fix}(H, M)$. Since $D_2$ is a subgroup of each of Tet, Oct, Icos, and $D_n$, for $n$ even, it suffices to rule out dihedral groups. But in a $D_n$ action on $S^2$, an involution reverses the orientation on the order $n$ axis of rotation, which in turn reverses the orientation on the fixed sphere of the group element of order $n$.

This proposition places a strong restriction on the allowable linear representations of isotropy groups, and eventually on the groups themselves, as we shall see.

3. The Borel fibration, equivariant cohomology, and the Borel spectral sequence

None of the material in this section is new; we include it to fix notation and for the convenience of the reader. For a more thorough discussion, see [3, 4, 17], or the original source, [2].

Let $G$ be a compact Lie group, let $X$ be a $G$-space, and let $\Sigma$ denote the singular set of the group action. If $EG$ is a contractible, $G$-CW complex on which $G$ acts freely, then $BG = EG/G$ is a classifying space for $G$-bundles. We form the twisted product $X_G = EG \times_G X = (EG \times X)/G$. The “Borel fibering” $X \to X_G \to BG$ is naturally induced by the projection $EG \times X \to EG$. A similar construction applies to the singular set $\Sigma$. The equivariant cohomology $H_G^*(X)$ of the $G$-space $X$ is
defined as $H^*(X_G)$ (singular cohomology). Similarly, $H^*_G(X,A) := H^*(X_G,A_G)$ whenever $A$ is a closed, $G$-invariant subspace of $X$. With these definitions, $H^*_G$ is a cohomology theory with the usual properties. Moreover, $H^*_G(X)$ inherits an $H^*(G)$-module structure: When $a \in H^*(BG)$ and $x \in H^*_G(X)$, we define $ax = p^*(a) \cup x$.

Whenever $X$ is a $G$-space, we can apply the Leray-Serre spectral sequence to the fibering $X_G \to BG$. Thus

$$E_2^{ij}(X) = H^i(BG; H^j(X)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X_G)$$

as a sequence of bigraded differential $H^*(BG)$-algebras. The product in $E_2$ corresponds to the cup product in $H^*(BG; H^*(X))$ (with local coefficients). In particular, if $X$ is connected, $E_2^{0,0}$ is naturally identified via $p^*$ with $H^*(BG)$. The products and differentials in the spectral sequence $E(X)$ are compatible with the $H^*(G)$-module structure of $H^*(X_G)$. For details, see Whitehead [14, XIII.8]. There are appropriate versions of all this with pairs $(X,A)$ whenever $A$ is closed and $G$-invariant. Henceforth we shall refer to the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the Borel fibration simply as the Borel spectral sequence.

The following observation is made in [3] and [7] in certain forms, but we include a short proof here for convenience.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $M$ be a four-manifold with a locally linear $G$-action, and let $\Sigma$ be its singular set. Then $H^n_G(M) \cong H^n_G(\Sigma)$ for $n > 4$.

**Proof.** It follows from results of Spanier [14, 6.6.2 and 6.9.5] that $\Sigma$ is tautly embedded in $M$. Thus we have an isomorphism $\lim H^n_G(M,U) \cong H^n_G(M,\Sigma)$, as $U$ varies over invariant neighborhoods of $\Sigma$. Now consider the projection $M \times EG \to M$. If we quotient by the $G$-action, we obtain a map $p : M_G \to M/G$ such that for any $x \in M/G$, $p^{-1}(x) \cong BG_x$. In particular, when restricted to the complement of the singular set, $p$ becomes a fibration with contractible fiber. A trivial application of the relative spectral sequence of this fibration then shows that $H^n((M - \Sigma)_G, (U - \Sigma)_G) \cong H^n((M - \Sigma)/G, (U - \Sigma)/G)$ for all $n$, whenever $U$ is an invariant open neighborhood of $\Sigma$. By excision again, the latter group is isomorphic to $H^n(M/G, U/G)$. But since $M$ is four-dimensional, $H^n(M/G, U/G)$ is trivial for $n > 4$. The lemma follows from the long exact cohomology sequence of the pair $(M,A)$ and tautness.

To exploit the isomorphism $H^n_G(M) \cong H^n_G(\Sigma)$, we need to better understand the topology of the singular set as a $G$-space and study certain aspects of the spectral sequences in detail.

Let $j : X \to EG \times_G X$ be the inclusion of a typical fiber into $X_G$, and let $A$ be a closed, $G$-invariant subspace of $X$. According to tom Dieck [17, III.1.18], we have:

**Proposition 3.2.** Suppose $H^*(X,A; R)$ is a finitely generated, free $R$-module. If $G$ acts trivially on $H^*(X,A)$ and the Borel spectral sequence $E(X,A)$ collapses, then $H^n_G(X,A)$ is a free $H^*(BG)$-module. Any set $(x_\nu | \nu \in J)$, $x_\nu \in H^*_G(X,A)$, such that $(j^* x_\nu | \nu \in J)$ is an $R$-basis of $H^*(X,A)$, can be taken as an $H^*(BG)$-basis.

If $M$ has cohomology in only even dimensions and $G$, only in odd dimensions, then the spectral sequence will collapse automatically.

**Corollary 3.3.** Suppose $G$ acts locally linearly and homologically trivially on a closed four-manifold $M$ with $H_1(M) = 0$. If $H^*(G)$ vanishes in odd dimensions, then $H^*_G(M)$ is a free $H^*(G)$ module on $b_2(M) + 2$ generators corresponding to generators for $H^*(M)$. 
We will also need to consider the spectral sequence for the singular set \( \Sigma \). It suffices to consider, one at a time, the subspaces \( GX \), where \( X \) is a path-component of \( \Sigma \). Such a subspace will henceforth be referred to as a \textit{G-component} of \( \Sigma \).

4. Minimal nonabelian groups

In this section we classify the finite non-abelian groups of which every proper subgroup is abelian. The task of classification is not as difficult as one might guess. In particular, by requiring that every proper subgroup be abelian, rather than only the proper normal subgroups, we bypass algebraic questions about simple groups.

We begin with a lemma:

\textbf{Lemma 4.1.} Let \( K \) be a finite abelian \( p \)-group, and suppose there exists an automorphism \( \sigma \) of \( K \) of prime order \( q \neq p \), so that the resulting representation of \( \mathbb{Z}_q \) on any invariant proper subgroup of \( K \) is trivial. Then \( K \) has exponent \( p \).

\textit{Proof.} The group operation in \( K \) will be written additively. Write \( K \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^a} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^b} \), where \( n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \), and let \( a_1, \ldots, a_k \) be generators of the factors in this decomposition.

Let \( S = \{ x \in K \mid \text{order}(x) < p^{n_1} \} \). Observe that \( S \) must be invariant under \( \sigma \), so \( \sigma \mid S \) is trivial. If \( n_1 = 1 \), then \( K \) has exponent \( p \), and the proof is complete. So assume \( n_1 > 1 \). In this case, \( \{ x \in K \mid px = 0 \} \subseteq S \).

Write \( \sigma(N_{a_1}) = a_1a_1 + a_2a_2 + \cdots + a_ka_k \), where \( a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_i}} \). Then \( \sigma(pa_1) = p\sigma(a_1) + \cdots + p\sigma(a_k) \). On the other hand, \( pa_1 \in S \), so \( \sigma(pa_1) = pa_1 \), and \( pa_2a_2 = \cdots = p\sigma(a_k) = 0 \), so we have \( \sigma(a_1) = a_1a_1 + x \), where \( x \in S \) and \( a_1 \equiv 1 \) (mod \( p^{n_1} \)).

Now, \( \sigma^q(a_1) = a_1^q + (a_1^q + \cdots + a_1 + 1)x \). But \( \sigma \) has order \( q \), so \( \sigma^q(a_1) = a_1 \). Hence \( a_1^q \equiv 1 \) (mod \( p^{n_1} \)).

Since \( a_1 \equiv 1 \) (mod \( p^{n_1} \)), we have \( a_1 = mp^{n_1} + 1 \) for some \( m \). Then by the binomial theorem,

\[
\alpha_1^q = \sum_{i=0}^{q} \binom{q}{i}(mp^{n_1})^i \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n_1}}.
\]

But \( p^{n_1} \) divides all the terms with \( i > 1 \), and the \( i = 0 \) term is 1. So \( p^{n_1} | mp^{n_1} + 1 \), and then \( p|m \). Hence \( a_1 \equiv 1 \) (mod \( p^{n_1} \)). Now \( \sigma^q(a_1) = a_1 + qx = a_1 \), so \( qx = 0 \). Since \( (p, q) = 1 \), \( x = 0 \), so \( a_1 = a_1 \). The same argument applies to any other element of order \( p^{n_1} \), so \( \sigma \) is trivial on all of \( K \) and hence cannot have order \( q \).

\( \square \)

\textbf{Proposition 4.2.} Let \( G \) be a finite nonabelian group, every proper subgroup of which is abelian. Then \( G \) is one of:

1. A minimal nonabelian \( p \)-group
2. \( (\mathbb{Z}_p \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_q^n \),

where \( p \) and \( q \) are distinct primes, and \( n \geq 1 \).

\textit{Proof.} Recall a theorem of Burnside (See [3, th 7.50]): If \( Q \) is a Sylow \( q \)-subgroup of a finite group \( G \) such that \( Q \subset Z(N_G(Q)) \), then \( Q \) has a normal complement \( K \).

Assume \( G \) is not a \( p \)-group. Then every Sylow subgroup is proper, and hence abelian. If each Sylow subgroup is normal, then \( G \) must be abelian. So suppose \( Q \) is a Sylow \( q \)-subgroup which is not normal in \( G \). Then \( N_G(Q) \) must be abelian, so \( Q \subset Z(N_G(Q)) \), and Burnside’s theorem gives us a normal complement \( K \) such
that $G \cong K \rtimes Q$. Observe that for any other $p \neq q$, a Sylow $p$-subgroup will be contained in $K$ and hence be normal in $G$.

By minimality, $K$ must be a $p$-group. Also by minimality, $Q$ must be cyclic, say of order $q^n$, and the semidirect product automorphism $\sigma$ must have order $q$. One more application of minimality shows that $\sigma$ must be trivial on any proper submodule of $K$, and then the lemma applies. \hfill $\square$

**Example.** It is natural to wonder about rank restrictions on the subgroup $K$ in case 2. Rank one examples exist whenever $q | (p-1)$. Let $\cong (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ be a familiar rank two example. More generally, note that $| \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) | = (p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p) = (p-1)^2(p+1)$, and so an order $q$ automorphism $\sigma$ exists for any prime $q$ dividing $p-1$ or $p+1$. Moreover, if $q$ is an odd prime dividing $p+1$, it will not divide $p-1$, and hence a $\sigma$ of order $q$ cannot restrict to a nontrivial automorphism of a cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. So the resulting groups $(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q$ give plenty of examples with rank two kernel.

This simple argument can also be used to produce examples with higher rank kernel. Notice that $| \text{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}_p)^k) | = (p^k - 1)(p^k - p) \cdots (p^k - p^{k-1})$, and the first term of this product factors further as $(p-1)(p^{k-1} + p^{k-2} + \cdots + 1)$. So whenever $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i$ has a prime factor which does not divide $p-1$ or any smaller $\sum_{i=0}^{k'-1} p^i$ there will be a minimal nonabelian group of type 2 whose kernel $K$ has rank $k$. $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ is an example with $k = 3$. In any case, only the ranks 1 and 2 will concern us in applications.

The minimal nonabelian $p$-groups are classified. According to Yagita [22], who in turn cites Redei [14], they are of two types when $p$ is an odd prime (This classification can actually be proven using arguments similar to those in the proofs above):

**Type 1.** $G_1(m,n,p) = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = 1, [a,b] = a^{p^{m-1}}, m \geq 2 \rangle$. Thus $G_1 \cong \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle$, with a semidirect product automorphism $\sigma(a) = b^{-1}ab = a^{p^{m-1}}$.

**Type 2.** $G_2(m,n,p) = \langle a, b, c \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = c^{p} = 1, [a,b] = c, [c,a] = [c,b] = 1 \rangle$. Observe that when one of $m$ or $n$ (say $n$) is greater than 1, $G_2$ contains a rank three abelian subgroup generated by $a^p$, $b$, and $c$. $G_2(1,1,p)$ is of the form $\langle a,c \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle$, where $\sigma(a) = ac$.

When $p = 2$, $G_1(2,1,2) \cong G_2(1,1,2) \cong D_4$, and there is exactly one more, the usual quaternion group $D_2^* = \langle a,b \mid a^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, [a,b] = a^2 \rangle$.

For future reference, it is useful to organize the minimal nonabelian groups according to the rank of their elementary abelian subgroups:

1. In rank 1, we have the groups $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ and the quaternions, $D_2^*$. These groups have periodic cohomology. Of the rank one groups, the dihedral groups $D_p$, with $p$ an odd prime, will play a special role for us, as their irreducible real representations are two-dimensional and not free.

2. In rank 2, the groups take the form $(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. (i.e. $G_1(m,n,p)$, when $m \geq 2$), or $(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. The important fact here is that in each case, there is a normal rank two subgroup.

3. In rank 3 and higher, the precise structure will be unimportant for us.
The details of the arguments vary as reflected in the structure of the equivariant cohomology modules $H^*_G$. With this in mind, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence shows that:

Let $\sigma(a) = ka$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and $k^q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then $\sigma(t) = kt$, so $\sigma_s(t^i) = k^it^i$. In particular, the only powers of $t$ fixed by $\sigma_s$ are those which are divisible by $q$. Hence

$$H^0(\mathbb{Z}_q^n; H^1(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if } j \equiv 0 \pmod{2q} \text{ and } i > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

With this in mind, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence shows that:

$$H^i(\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}_q^n & \text{if } i \text{ is even, but } q \nmid i, \\ \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q^n & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{2q}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

A similar calculation applies to $D^*_2$, and yields:

$$H^i(D^*_2; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \mathbb{Z}_8 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \text{ and } i > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Each of the rank one groups has periodic cohomology, and this periodicity is reflected in the structure of the equivariant cohomology modules $H^*_G(M)$ and $H^*_G(\Sigma)$. The details of the arguments vary as $G$ does, so we consider three cases:

1. $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, with $q > 2$.
2. $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, with $n > 1$; also $G = D^*_2$.
3. The dihedral group $G = D_p$.

**Lemma 5.1.** If $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q^n \subset SO(4)$, then $q = 2$.

**Proof.** The subgroup $G_0 = \langle a, b^q \rangle$ is cyclic, and by the Brauer theorem on induced characters, each irreducible complex representation takes the form $\text{Ind}^G_{G_0}(V)$, where $V$ is a complex representation of $G_0$. In particular, each faithful one has dimension $q$. Now, if $G \subset SO(4)$, then it has a representation on $\mathbb{C}^4 = \mathbb{R}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ which splits as a sum of irreducibles. Since $G$ is not a nontrivial direct product, at least one must be faithful, so $q \leq 4$. And if $q = 3$, $G$ would necessarily have a three-dimensional real representation. But the finite subgroups of $O(3)$ are well-known, and $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ is not among them. \qed
Next we recall some terminology and one basic result about the cohomology of
groups. (See 3 for details.) Suppose \( G \) is a group, \( H \subset G \) a subgroup, and \( M \) an
\( H \)-module. We write

\[
ZG \otimes_{ZH} M = \text{Ind}_{H}^{G} M
\]

and

\[
\text{Hom}_{ZH}(ZG, M) = \text{Coind}_{H}^{G} M.
\]

When \( G \) is finite (or more generally, when \( (G : H) \) is finite),

\[
\text{Coind}_{H}^{G} M \cong \text{Ind}_{H}^{G} M \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G/H} gM.
\]

The following lemma is elementary, but exceedingly useful:

**Lemma 5.2 (Shapiro’s Lemma).** If \( H \subseteq G \) and \( M \) is an \( H \)-module, then the com-
position

\[
H^{*}(G; \text{Coind}_{H}^{G} M) \overset{\tau}{\to} H^{*}(H; \text{Coind}_{H}^{G} M) \overset{\pi}{\to} H^{*}(H; M)
\]

is an isomorphism, where \( r : H \leftrightarrow G \) is the inclusion, and \( \pi : \text{Coind}_{H}^{G} M \to M \) is
the canonical projection given by \( \pi(\phi) = \phi(1) \).

In particular, the Shapiro isomorphism induces an \( H^{*}(G) \)-module structure on
\( H^{*}(H) \). Let \( a \in H^{*}(G) \) and \( x \in H^{*}(H) \). Tracing through the definitions and using
the naturality properties of the cup product, we find that \( ax = r^{*}(a) \cup x \).

Now suppose \( G = \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q} \) acts on \( M \), with \( q > 2 \). Lemma 5.3 shows that \( G \) has
no fixed points. If \( (a) \) were to fix a 2-sphere, \( G \) would act on it with a fixed point,
so \( \text{Fix}(a) \) must consist only of \( 2 \) isolated points, permuted freely by \( \mathbb{Z}_{q} \). Let \( m = \frac{q+2}{2} \).

\( \text{Fix}(b) \) will contain, say, \( n_{1} \) isolated points and \( n_{2} \) 2-spheres. Each of these will
form part of a free \( \mathbb{Z}_{p} \)-orbit. It follows that

\[
H^{j}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} 
\text{Coind}_{(a)}^{G}(\mathbb{Z}^{m}) \oplus \text{Coind}_{(b)}^{G}(\mathbb{Z}^{n_{1}+n_{2}}) & \text{if } j = 0, \\
\text{Coind}_{(b)}^{G}(\mathbb{Z}^{n_{2}}) & \text{if } j = 2,
\end{cases}
\]

whence, by Shapiro’s lemma,

\[
H^{j}(G; H^{j}(\Sigma)) \cong \begin{cases} 
H^{j}(\mathbb{Z}_{p})^{m} \oplus H^{j}(\mathbb{Z}_{q})^{n_{1}+n_{2}} & \text{if } j = 0, \\
H^{j}(\mathbb{Z}_{q})^{n_{2}} & \text{if } j = 2.
\end{cases}
\]

The Borel spectral sequence then shows that in degrees \( \geq 4 \), \( G R(H^{*}(\Sigma)) \) has
period 2 as a graded group. On the other hand, since \( H^{*}(G) \) has period \( 2q \),
Corollary 3.3 implies that \( H^{*}_{G}(M) \) must have a period which is divisible by \( q \).
So \( G = \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q} \) cannot act as we supposed.

Next, consider \( G = \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n} \), with \( n > 1 \). According to Wolf [21, 5.5.10], every
faithful representation of \( G \) is free, so if \( G \) has a fixed point, it must be isolated
(and \( q = 2 \) by Lemma 5.3).

On the other hand, if some point \( x_{0} \) is fixed by the cyclic subgroup \( G_{0} \) generated
by \( ab^{t} \), then, since \( (ab^{t})^{p} \subset \langle b^{t} \rangle \), \( x_{0} \in \text{Fix}(b^{t}) \setminus \text{Fix}(b) \). This is possible only if
\( \text{Fix}(b^{t}) \) is 2-dimensional around \( x_{0} \). Thus \( x_{0} \) is contained in a 2-sphere \( S \), and
\( G / \langle b^{t} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q} \) must act effectively upon it. Again, this is only possible if \( q = 2 \).

Thus \( G = \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n} \), and the singular set consists of, say, \( n_{1} \) isolated fixed points
and \( n_{2} \) 2-spheres. Each point and each sphere is invariant under \( G \), so the action
of \( G \) is trivial on \( H^{*}(\Sigma) \).
Since $G$ has cohomology only in even dimensions, the Borel spectral sequence collapses for $H^*_G(\Sigma)$, and shows that

$$\mathcal{G}(H^*_G(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}_2^n)^{n_1+2n_2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{n_2} & \text{if } i = 2 \pmod{4}, i > 4, \\ (\mathbb{Z}_2^n)^{n_1+2n_2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{n_1+n_2} & \text{if } i = 0 \pmod{4}, i > 4, \end{cases}$$

and that

$$\mathcal{G}(H^*_G(M; \mathbb{Z})) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}_{2^n})^{b_2+2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 & \text{if } i = 0 \pmod{4}, i > 4, \\ (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{b_2+2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{b_2} & \text{if } i = 2 \pmod{4}, i > 4. \end{cases}$$

Comparison of $p$-torsion shows that $n_1 + b_2 = 2$. In particular, if $b_2 \geq 3$, $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ cannot act as we supposed.

The case of $G = D^2_2$ is similar. The same analysis applies to show that $\Sigma$ would consist of $n_1$ isolated fixed points and $n_2$ spheres, and we compute:

$$\mathcal{G}(H^*_G(\Sigma)) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_1+n_2} & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \mod{4}, \\ (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)^{n_2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_8)^{n_1+n_2} & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \mod{4}. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(H^*_G(D^2_2; \mathbb{Z})) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_8)^{b_2(M)} & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \mod{4}, \\ (\mathbb{Z}_8)^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2b_2(M)} & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \mod{4}. \end{cases}$$

When $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we find that $4 + 3b_2(M) = 2n_1 + 5n_2$. And when $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we discover that $6 + 2b_2(M) = 3n_1 + 5n_2$. Combining these equations, we see again that $n_1 + b_2(M) = 2$

Finally, we consider the case $G = D_p = \langle s,t \mid s^p = t^2 = 1, t^{-1}st = s^{-1} \rangle$, when $p$ is an odd prime. Since $D_p$ has 2-dimensional representations, the situation near a fixed point can be more complicated than in the earlier cases.

By Proposition 2.3, if the action of $G$ is homologically trivial, then $\text{Fix}(s)$ can not be 2-dimensional near a global fixed point $x_0$. Hence the local representation must take the form

$$s \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} r_p & 0 \\ 0 & (r_p)^k \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r_p$ is an order $p$ rotation of a 2-plane, $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ are 2-plane reflections, and $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. In particular, $t$ must fix a 2-plane, and the singular set of the $D_p$ action near $x_0$ is the union of the images of $\text{Fix}(t)$ under the powers of $s$.

The singular set $\Sigma$ may have several components. Some, say $n_1$, of them will contain global fixed points. Suppose $X$ is one such. Observe that any $x \in \text{Fix}(t) \cap \text{Fix}(s^k t)$ for some $k$ must in fact be a fixed point for all of $D_p$, since these two elements together generate the group. Since $\text{Fix}(t)$ consists of a collection of isolated points and 2-spheres, and since around any fixed point, $\mathbb{Z}_p$ cyclically permutes the spheres, $X$ must be a union of $p$ 2-spheres intersecting in $m \geq 1$ fixed points $e_1^0, \ldots, e_m^0$ of $D_p$. In one of the spheres, choose 1-cells $e_1^1, \ldots, e_{m-1}^1$ connecting, respectively, $e_i^0$ to $e_{i+1}^0$. View the remainder of the sphere as a 2-cell. This determines a CW structure on the sphere which extends equivariantly to a CW structure on all of $X$.

The resulting $D_p$-equivariant chain complex for $X$ takes the form:

$$0 \to \text{Ind}^D_p(\mathbb{Z}) \to (\text{Ind}^D_p(\mathbb{Z}))/^{m-1} \to \mathbb{Z}^m \to 0.$$
Also note that $\text{Hom}_Z(\text{Ind}_{t}^{D_p}(Z), M) \cong \text{Hom}_{Z[Z_d]}(Z[D_p], M) \cong \text{Coind}_{(s)}^{D_p}(M)$. It follows that, for any coefficient module $M$,
\[
\begin{align*}
H^0(X; M) &= M \\
H^1(X; M) &= \bigoplus_{m-1} (\text{coker}(M \rightarrow \text{Coind}_{(s)}^{D_p}(M))) \\
H^2(X; M) &= \text{Coind}_{(t)}^{D_p}(M).
\end{align*}
\]

For notational convenience, we will abbreviate $\text{coker}(M \rightarrow \text{Coind}_{(t)}^{D_p}(M))$ by $Ck(M)$. To calculate $H^*(D_p; Ck(Z))$, consider the coefficient short exact sequence
\[
1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \text{Coind}_{(t)}^{D_p}(Z) \rightarrow Ck(Z) \rightarrow 1,
\]
and its associated long exact sequence in cohomology. By Shapiro’s Lemma,
\[
H^*(D_p; \text{Coind}_{(t)}^{D_p}(Z)) \cong H^*(\langle t \rangle ; Z).
\]

And with this identification, the inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow \text{Coind}_{(t)}^{D_p}(Z)$ induces the restriction map $r^* : H^*(D_p; Z) \rightarrow H^*\langle t \rangle ; Z)$. Thus we have an exact sequence
\[
\cdots \rightarrow H^i(D_p; Z) \xrightarrow{r^*} H^i(Z_2; Z) \rightarrow H^i(D_p; Ck(Z)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(D_p; Z) \rightarrow \cdots.
\]

And it follows that
\[
H^n(D_p; Ck(Z)) \cong \begin{cases} 
Z_p & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 4, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Components of $\Sigma$ which do not contain fixed points are simpler. Aside from the $n_3$ global fixed points, $\text{Fix}(s)$ contains, say, $n_2$ $(t)$-orbits of 2-spheres (containing two spheres each), and $n_3$ $(t)$-orbits of isolated points (containing two points in each orbit). And the rest of $\text{Fix}(t)$ contains, say, $n_4$ 2-spheres and $n_5$ isolated points, each of which forms part of an $s$-orbit. Hence
\[
\begin{align*}
H^0(\Sigma; Z) &\cong Z^{n_2} + (\text{Coind}_{(s)}^{D_p}(Z))^{n_2+n_4+n_3} + (\text{Coind}_{(t)}^{D_p}(Z))^{n_4+n_5} \\
H^1(\Sigma; Z) &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_1} (Ck(Z)^{m_i-1}) \\
H^2(\Sigma; Z) &\cong (\text{Coind}_{(t)}^{D_p}(Z))^{n_1+n_4} + (\text{Coind}_{(s)}^{D_p}(Z))^{n_2}.
\end{align*}
\]

Using Shapiro’s lemma, we find:
\[
\begin{align*}
H^n(D_p; H^0(\Sigma)) &\cong \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\
(Z_2)^{n_1+n_4+n_5} + (Z_p)^{n_2+n_3} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 4, \\
(Z_2)^{n_1+n_4+n_5} + (Z_p)^{n_1+n_2+n_3} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 4,
\end{cases} \\
H^n(D_p; H^1(\Sigma)) &\cong \begin{cases} 
\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_1} Z_p & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 4, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \\
H^n(D_p; H^2(\Sigma)) &\cong \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\
(Z_2)^{n_1+n_4} + (Z_p)^{n_2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.}
\end{cases}
\]

**Lemma 5.3.** The spectral sequence for $H^*(\Sigma_{D_p}; Z)$ collapses.
Proof. The sequence has nonzero rows \( j = 0 \) and \( 2 \) only, so it suffices to show that the differentials \( d_2 : E_2^{i,2} \rightarrow E_2^{i+2,1} \), \( d_2 : E_2^{i,1} \rightarrow E_2^{i+2,0} \), and \( d_2 : E_2^{i,2} \rightarrow E_2^{i+3,0} \) vanish. But \( E_2^{i,2} \) and \( E_2^{i+2,0} \) vanish in odd dimensions, and \( E_2^{i,1} \) vanishes in even dimensions. The conclusion follows.

\[ \]

Proposition 5.4. If \( D_p \) (\( p \) odd, prime) acts locally linearly and homologically trivially on \( M \), then \( |\text{Fix}(D_p)| + b_2(M) = 2 \).

Proof. Let \( G = D_p \). By Corollary 1.3,

\[ GR(H^8(M_G; \mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2p} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{b_2(M)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2p}. \]

And since the sequence for \( \Sigma_{D_p} \) also collapses, we have

\[ GR(H^8(\Sigma_{D_p}; \mathbb{Z})) \cong H^8(D_p; H^0(\Sigma)) \oplus H^7(D_p; H^1(\Sigma)) \oplus H^6(D_p; H^2(\Sigma)) \]

\[ \cong (\mathbb{Z}_{2p}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2p}^{n_2+n_3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2p}^{n_1+n_3}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{2p}^\Sigma(m_i-1)) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{2p}^{n_1+n_4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2p}^{n_2}). \]

By comparing the \( p \)-ranks, we find that \( n_1 + 2n_2 + n_3 + \sum(m_i - 1) = 2 \). Since the action has a fixed point, \( n_1 \geq 1 \), so we must have \( n_2 = 0 \) and \( n_3 \leq 1 \). On the other hand, \( \chi(\text{Fix}(s)) = n_1 + 4n_2 + 2n_3 \). By the Lefschetz Fixed-point theorem, \( \chi(\text{Fix}(s)) = b_2(M) + 2 \). This is possible only if \( n_1 = n_3 = b_2(M) = 1 \) or \( n_1 = 2 \) and \( n_3 = b_2(M) = 0 \) - in other words, when \( |\text{Fix}(D_p)| + b_2(M) = 2 \). When \( b_2(M) \geq 2 \), as we have assumed, there are no actions with a fixed point.

Some of the calculation in this section assume that \( b_2(M) \geq 1 \), but for these groups the case \( b_2(M) = 0 \) is easily handled by inspection. So if a rank 1 minimal nonabelian group acts, then \( |\text{Fix}(G)| + b_2(M) = 2 \).

6. THE RANK TWO AND HIGHER CASES

Rank 2 groups have more complicated cohomology and representation theory than rank 1 groups, so a direct analysis along the lines of the one we have just finished is rather difficult. (The case \( G = D_4 \) is especially interesting.) But as we have observed, the minimal nonabelian groups of rank 2 each contain a normal subgroup \( G_0 \) isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \). If we denote by \( \Sigma_0 \) the singular set of the embedded \( \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \) action, then all of \( G \) must act on \( \Sigma_0 \). In [13], we studied \( \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \) actions in detail, and in particular, we showed:

Proposition 6.1. Suppose \( M \) is a closed, topological four-manifold with \( b_2(M) \geq 1 \) and \( H_1(M) = 0 \), equipped with an effective, homologically trivial, locally linear \( \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \) action. Except for fixed-point free actions which exist in two cases:

1. when \( b_2(M) = 1 \), \( p = 3 \), and the action is pseudofree, or
2. when \( M \) has intersection form \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \) and \( p = 2 \),

the singular set \( \Sigma_0 \) consists of \( b_2(M) + 2 \) spheres equipped with rotation actions, intersecting pairwise at their poles, and arranged into a single closed loop. Each sphere represents a primitive class in \( H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \), and together these classes generate \( H_2(M) \).

We shall assume in this section that either \( b_2(M) \geq 3 \), or \( b_2(M) = 2 \), but the action has a fixed point. In either case, the lemma determines the structure of \( \Sigma_0 \). In particular, we can observe:

If \( b_2(M) \geq 2 \), then adjacent spheres \( S_i \) and \( S_{i+1} \) in \( \Sigma_0 \) must represent different homology classes. For \( S_i \) intersects \( S_{i-1} \) once, while \( S_{i+1} \) does not intersect it at all.
So a homologically trivial action which leaves $\Sigma_0$ invariant, and fixes a point, must leave the individual spheres invariant. And if $b_2(M) \geq 3$, then any homologically trivial action on $M$ which leaves $\Sigma_0$ invariant must in fact leave each sphere in $\Sigma_0$ invariant, and fix their intersection points. For the $b_2 + 2$ spheres represent at least $b_2$ different homology classes.

It follows that the isotropy representation of $G$, which must be faithful, splits as a sum of two two-dimensional rotation actions. This is possible only if $G \subset SO(2) \times SO(2)$. Thus actions of the minimal nonabelian groups of rank two are ruled out, as are actions of any groups – even abelian ones – of rank $\geq 3$.

Example. As a contrast to the constraints which occur when $b_2(M) \geq 3$, consider the action of $D_4$ on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ given in homogeneous coordinates by $s : [x, y, z] \mapsto [ix, -iy, z]$, $t : [x, y, z] \mapsto [y, x, z]$. The fixed-point sets of each element are easy to calculate. If $G_0 = \langle s^2, t \rangle$, we find that $\Sigma_0$ consists of three copies of $S^2$ intersecting at their poles. The action of $D_4$ exchanges two of the spheres and leaves the one fixed by $s^2$ invariant.

Notice that this action can be obtained from a linear action on $S^4$ by an equivariant blowup at one of the fixed points. If we perform the same construction at the other fixed point (with opposite orientation), the result is an action on $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$, in which $\Sigma_0$ consists of two spheres fixed by $s^2$ and left invariant by the whole group, and spheres fixed by $t$ and $s^2t$, respectively, which are exchanged by the action of $s$.

7. Proofs of the main results.

A simple observation will allow us to generalize our results from finite groups to compact Lie groups:

**Lemma 7.1.** Every nonabelian compact Lie group contains a nonabelian finite subgroup.

**Proof.** A nonabelian compact Lie group $G$ has a nontrivial Weyl group $W = N_G(T)/Z_G(T)$, where $T$ is a maximal torus. $N_G(T)$ is itself a compact Lie group whose identity component $T$ is (of course) abelian. A structure theorem for such groups (see [6, theorem 6.10] or [1]) states that there is a finite subgroup $E$ of $G$ such that $N_G(T) = TE$. In particular, there is some $h \in E$ of finite order $n$, say, which normalizes $T$ but does not centralize it.

Since $\text{Ad}(h)$ acts non-trivially on $L(T)$, there is a closed one-parameter subgroup $\theta_v$ such that $\text{Ad}(h)(v) \neq v$. Choose $k \in \text{im}(\theta_v)$ of prime order $p$ which is not fixed by $\text{Ad}(h)$. Then $k$ and its conjugates under powers of $h$ generate a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_p \subset T$ which is normalized by $h$. Thus $\langle h, k \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a finite, nonabelian subgroup of $G$. \qed

This brings us to our main result:

**Theorem 7.2.** Let $G$ be a (possibly finite) compact Lie group, and suppose $M$ is a closed four-manifold with $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $b_2(M) \geq 2$, equipped with an effective, locally linear, homologically trivial $G$-action.

1. If $b_2(M) = 2$ and $\text{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S^1 \times S^1$.
2. If $b_2(M) \geq 3$, then $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S^1 \times S^1$, and a fixed point necessarily exists.
Proof. If an action by a nonabelian group exists, then by Lemma 7.1, an action by
a minimal nonabelian finite group $G_0$ exists. But we have seen that for every such
$G_0$ which acts, $b_2(M) + |\text{Fix}(G_0)| = 2$. The existence of fixed points for abelian
groups follows easily from the Lefschetz Fixed Point theorem and the result of 13
used in Section 1 above.

As a corollary of this theorem we recover the main result of 13:

**Corollary 7.3** (Edmonds). If a finite group $G$ acts locally linearly, pseudofreely,
and homologically trivially on a closed, simply connected four-manifold $X$ with
$b_2(X) \geq 3$, then $G$ is cyclic and acts semifreely, and the fixed point set consists
of $b_2(X) + 2$ isolated points.

Proof. By Theorem 7.2, $G$ must be abelian of rank at most two, and have a fixed
point. But if $G$ has rank two, it cannot act freely on the linking sphere to the fixed
point, and so cannot act pseudofreely.

Since $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}P^\# - \mathbb{C}P^2$ have the same second Betti number, and
both have diagonalizable intersection forms, our methods so far do not distinguish
between them. Yet the latter admits nonabelian group actions, while the first
admits none – at least, according to Hambleton and Lee [9], no smooth actions.
One tool which does see the difference between the two manifolds is the Atiyah-
Singer $G$-signature theorem (see [2] for the smooth case, [13] for locally linear
actions), and we apply it here to extend Hambleton and Lee’s result to the locally
linear setting:

**Corollary 7.4.** Let $G$ be a compact Lie group with a locally linear, homologically
trivial action on a four-manifold $M$ whose integral cohomology is isomorphic to that
of $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S^1 \times S^1$.

Proof. As before, we suppose $G$ is minimal nonabelian. Theorem 7.2 implies that
the action must be fixed-point-free. We briefly consider the possibilities:

1. $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, with $q > 2$. The arguments of Section 3 rule out these actions
as before, since $H^*_G(M)$ must have period 2 or 4.
2. $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, with $n > 1$, or $G = D_2^n$. As before, we show easily in the first
case that $q$ must equal 2. Choose generators so that $G = \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle$. Then
$\Sigma = \text{Fix}(b^2)$ consists of two spheres on which $b$ acts by an order two rotation.
Denote the fixed points of this rotation by $x_1, \ldots, x_4$, and the rotation angles
of the $b$ action around $x_i$ by $\phi_i$ and $\psi_i$. According to the $G$-signature theorem,
$-\sum_i \cot(\phi_i/2) \cot(\psi_i/2) = \sigma(g, M) = 2$. But at each point, one of $\phi_i$ or $\psi_i$
eq 0, a contradiction. The same argument applies to $D_2^n$.
3. The dihedral group $G = D_p = \langle s \rangle \rtimes \langle t \rangle$. The $G$-signature theorem implies that
some component $S \subset \text{Fix}(t)$ must have $[S] \cdot [S] \neq 0$. But $sS \subset \text{Fix}(st^{-1})$, and
since the action is homologically trivial, $[sS] \cdot [S] \neq 0$. So $\text{Fix}(t) \cap \text{Fix}(st^{-1}) \neq 0$.
4. $G$ has rank two. Let $G_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p < G$, and $\Sigma_0$ be as in Section 3. $\Sigma_0$
contains four spheres; since they cannot all be left individually invariant by $G$,
two opposing spheres are left invariant and two are interchanged by some
$g \in G$. Thus $g$ fixes four points (two on each invariant sphere), while $g^2$ fixes
two spheres. A contradiction follows just as in case 2 above.
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