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Pre-structured hydrophobic peptide β-strands: A universal amyloid trap?

Kalkena Sivanesam *, Niels Andersen

1. Introduction

Amyloidogenic proteins are typically proteins that tend to misfold from their native state to form a β-sheet conformation. These β-sheets undergo self-association that results in soluble oligomers. Further oligomerisation creates protofibrils followed by mature amyloid fibrils that are insoluble and can be viewed as plaques [1–3]. (Fig. 1) Amyloid fibrils are characterized as long, unbranched fibrils that are made up of strands with well ordered, cross-sheet geometry that run perpendicular to the long axis of the fibril [4,5]. Amyloid-forming proteins have been linked to over 40 different diseases including Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, Type II Diabetes, and Huntington’s disease [6–12]. Each of these diseases are said to be caused by different proteins, all of which form amyloid deposits with similar morphology regardless of sequence.

In the past, amyloid plaques have been accepted to be the cause of disease [6–8,13,14]. However, there is mounting evidence that the most toxic form of amyloidogenic peptides occur much earlier on; when they are soluble oligomers [15,16]. This discovery is supported by the observation that the amount of amyloid plaques found in patients with amyloid diseases does not correlate with the severity of the disease [17]. This review focuses on two well-known amyloidogenic proteins; 1. Alpha-synuclein (αS), the protein implicated in the development of Parkinson’s disease and 2. Human amylin (hAM), the protein implicated in the development of Type II Diabetes. We will also examine the peptide inhibitors that have been developed to reduce the toxicity of these and other polypeptides (Table 1) and how these inhibitors may point to a common thread that connects the misfolding pathway of these proteins.

1.1. A basic guide to alpha-synuclein

First linked to Parkinson’s in 1997, alpha-synuclein (αS) is a 140 amino acid peptide that is found predominantly in neural tissue. Initially assumed to be involved in Alzheimer’s disease [18], this protein was later linked to Parkinson’s due to a missense mutation in an Italian family that had hereditary Parkinson’s disease [10]. Unfortunately the exact function of this protein is not well understood. Several possible roles have been posited including one in dopamine homeostasis, another in the assembly of a soluble NSF attachment protein receptor and an association with synaptic vesicles that has been shown to stabilize the vesicles and inhibit neurotransmitter release [19–21]. When misfolded, this protein has been implicated in the death of dopamine producing cells resulting in the development of Parkinson’s disease.

The alpha-synuclein sequence can be divided into three regions: the
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N-terminal region consisting of residues 1–60, the NAC region consisting of residues 61–99 and the C-terminal tail consisting of residues 100–140 (Fig. 2A). In its native form, αS exists as a random coil protein that adopts an α-helix configuration when in contact with lipids. This conformational change has been replicated in vitro in the presence of membranes and membrane-like environments [22–24]. However, αS is also capable of misfolding and forming amyloid plaques. In 2008, Vilar et al. put forth a structure of αS in the amyloid state. This structure, derived from quenched deuterium/hydrogen exchange NMR proposes that αS adopts five distinct β-strands from residues 35 to 96 with each strand being connected by a short unstructured loop [5]. (Fig. 2B) Since then, new cryo-EM studies have suggested that αS is capable of adopting more than 5 β-strands. An investigation of the protofilament structure adopted by αS (1–121) has proposed that this truncated form of αS forms 8 distinct β-strands that are broken up by glycine residues [25]. Li et al. on the other hand have used cryo-EM to study the protofilament state of full length αS and their results indicate that αS protofilaments adopt 7 distinct β-strands [26]. A third study done by Jiang and co-workers argue that αS protofilaments are polymorphic and therefore capable of forming different structures [27]. In their study, they were able to identify two such polymorphs in large quantities: the rod and the twister polymorph formed by full-length αS.

The misfolding of αS was traditionally assumed to originate from the NAC region of the protein since this region contains the minimum sequence of amino acids that are capable of undergoing amyloidogenesis [28,29]. However, new studies are showing that there may be an earlier event that occurs before the amyloidogenesis of the NAC region. In 2014, Mirecka et al. proposed that a series of residues within the N-terminal region of the peptide may be playing a nucleating role in the amyloidogenesis of the NAC region. While most Parkinson’s disease therapies rely on treating the symptoms of the disease rather than the cause, studies of inhibitors capable of preventing the amyloidogenesis of αS or abrogating the toxicity caused by misfolding have also been reported. Inhibitors of αS typically act in one of four ways: 1. Prevent the misfolding of the protein by stabilizing the native state. 2. Increasing the rate of amyloid formation so that the protein spends little time in the toxic soluble oligomer phase. 3. Redirecting amyloidogenesis at an early stage to form non-toxic aggregates and finally 4. Promoting the clearance of toxic forms of the protein.

Most of the small molecules that have been successful inhibitors of αS and/or PD share a common motif, the presence of the phenol moiety [47–49]. Resveratrol, a small molecule found in the skin of grapes and other berries is a polyphenol (Table 1). This small molecule has been shown to have antioxidant properties [50] as well as an ability to confer neuroprotective effects on rats that have been induced with Parkinson’s disease [51,52]. The studies done by Jin et al. show that administering resveratrol results in neuroprotection in test subjects in as little as 48 h [51]. However, the mechanism of resveratrol neuroprotection may not involve a direct interaction with αS. In vitro studies show that resveratrol has a very modest effect on αS amyloidogenesis [47]. Instead the action of resveratrol has been attributed to an activation of human
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**Fig. 1.** A schematic drawing depicting the stages of amyloidogenesis as well as the ways different inhibitors can act on this process. The dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonding between monomers. A soluble oligomer phase (*) has been put forth as the most toxic form of misfolded amyloid proteins in a number of cases.
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| Class                | Inhibitor                                                                 | Target system |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Small molecule      | Resveratrol                                                               | αS, hAM       |
|                     | Gallic Acid                                                               | αS, hAM       |
|                     | (−)-epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG)                                       | αS, hAM       |
|                     | Nortriptyline                                                             | αS, hAM       |
|                     | Baicalein                                                                 | hAM           |
|                     | 4-Phenylbutyrate (PBA)                                                   | hAM, Aβ       |

Sequence homologous peptides

- N-methyl hAM 22-27 \( (\text{NFGAIL}^{27}) \)
- I26P-hAM
- N-methyl αs 68-78 \( (\text{GAVVGTAVA}^{78}) \)

(continued on next page)
sirtuin 1 (SIRT 1) that has been shown to promote the autophagy of proteins in the nucleus and cytoplasm [53,54].

Another well studied small molecule that inhibits αS is gallic acid. In vitro studies have shown that this small molecule is capable of reducing the amount of toxic oligomers formed by αS [49]. At high doses, gallic acid reduces the formation of both toxic and non-toxic oligomers by αS, but at lower doses, gallic acid is less effective at preventing oligomerisation. However, these oligomers were determined to be non-toxic to neuronal cells. From this fact it was concluded that gallic acid redirects the amyloidogenesis of αS and forms off-pathway non-toxic aggregates. Further studies show that gallic acid may be doing this by binding to an intermediate conformation of αS and stabilizing it [49,55]. Size exclusion chromatography shows that the off-pathway oligomers formed by αS when incubated with gallic acid contain gallic acid, indicating a strong interaction between the two molecules.

Gallic acid is also part of a derivative known as (−)-epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) (Table 1) that is a well-known inhibitor of amyloidogenesis. Found in green tea, EGCG has been shown to inhibit other amyloidogenic proteins such as amyloid-beta (Aβ) and tau (both implicated in Alzheimer’s disease) [56–58]. When incubated with αS, EGCG has been shown to redirect amyloid formation to off-pathway non-toxic aggregates [59]. While some have proposed that the mechanism of this protection is through the binding of EGCG to the C-terminal tail of monomeric αS [59,60], a separate study shows that this binding may not be specific to the C-terminal tail, but could in fact be occurring throughout the αS sequence [31]. There have also been studies that propose that the binding occurs with the oligomeric state of the protein and destabilize it thus offering protection from αS cytotoxicity [58].

The efficacy of polyphenols as inhibitors has been proposed to be linked to the ability of these molecules to form quinones [61,62]. The quinones have been proposed to interact with aromatic residues within αS and prevent stacking of these residues during self-self-interaction. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that most of these molecules have been shown to interact with the C-terminal tail of αS, where three of the protein’s four tyrosine residues are located [63].

While these small molecules have shown interesting results in vitro, the main problem with these therapies remains the inability of these molecules to cross the blood-brain barrier. However, a recent study has emerged showing a known antidepressant, nortriptyline (NOR) (Table 1) as a possible inhibitor of αS [64]. In vitro studies done by Collier et al. show a dose-dependent decrease of aggregation by αS in the presence of NOR. Further studies done in A30P αS transgenic Drosophila show that the progressive retinal degeneration expressed in the absence of NOR was significantly attenuated when the flies were fed with food supplemented with NOR. The same study also included experiments done with transgenic mice. The mice were given intraperitoneal injections of NOR and αS accumulation in the cortex and hippocampus was analyzed. Analysis showed that NOR inhibited the progression of αS amyloid formation in a dose related fashion. The authors proposed that the mechanism of neuroprotection conferred by NOR arises from an interaction between NOR and the monomer αS. Their results show that in the presence of NOR, monomeric αS is more solvent accessible and therefore less prone to forming oligomers. Apart from small molecules, peptides have also been shown to reduce the effects of αS cytotoxicity. An example of one category of peptides, known as AFFITOPES (Table 1), has been designed to mimic the structure of the soluble oligomer formed by the C-terminal sequence of αS and generate an immune response [65]. The lack of sequence similarity between the AFFITOPES and native αS prevents the development of a deadly immune response while specifically targeting toxic forms of the protein [66]. Successful mouse model studies have led to a vaccine being tested in clinical trials. The preliminary results show that the vaccine is effective in reducing the worsening of Parkinson’s disease symptoms, however there has been a drop in antibody levels over time prompting the need for a booster dose [67,68].
While vaccination is an attractive approach to Parkinson’s disease therapy, the most common approach in peptide inhibitor design is to inhibit the transition of monomeric αS to its oligomeric form. The most prevalent approach to design has been to identify and isolate regions of αS that are prone to aggregate and modify these regions to be more soluble and less able to self-associate [69]. One method to prevent continued self-association is by N-methylation of residues within the isolated region or by substitution with proline residues. This prevents self-association on both faces of the peptide by eliminating the ability to form stable hydrogen bonds thus preventing the formation of intermolecular β-sheets [70–72]. The sequence homology between the modified peptides and αS allows for recognition but the lack of primary nitrogen on the opposite face of the peptide prevents amyloidogenesis. An example of one such peptide is GAVVTGmVTAVA [73,74]. The addition of N-methylation at G73 prevents the aggregation of this peptide in vitro. More importantly this peptide is capable of reducing the toxicity of the full length αS [75].

### 1.3. A basic guide to human amylin

Human amylin was the first amyloidogenic peptide discovered in 1901 by Eugene L. Opie. [76] He attributed the degeneration of islet cells in diabetes patients to amyloid plaques. In 1986, Westmark was finally able to identify the 37 amino acid peptide that made up the fibrils in the amyloid plaques first seen by Opie. [77] It wasn’t until a year later that an accurate characterization of hAM was done by Cooper et al. This study showed that hAM has a disulfide bond between the two cysteine residues at the N-terminus and has an amidated C-terminus [11]. (Fig. 3A) Further studies showed that the peptide is natively random coil but has a helix favoring N-terminus (residues 1–19), and a middle section that is capable of independently forming aggregates and a C-terminal tail that adopts a random coil structure in solution. Unlike αS, the function of hAM in the body is better understood. hAM is released by the pancreas in response to the signals that trigger the release of insulin, causing both proteins to be co-secreted at a 20:1 ratio [75]. It is believed that hAM functions in tandem with insulin preventing the release of glucagon, decreasing gastric emptying and stimulating satiety centers of the brain [78–83]. When hAM misfolds, it becomes toxic to the β-cells from which it originates possibly by causing channel or pore formation in the lipid bilayer. This channel then promotes the influx of calcium ions and efflux of potassium and sodium ions [84,85]. Other possible mechanisms of hAM toxicity is through the generation of reactive oxygen species [86–88] and the triggering of apoptosis through the promotion of the expression of genes linked to cell apoptosis such as c-Jun and the Fas-associated death domain [89–92].

The misfolding of hAM proceeds by a similar sequence of events as other amyloidogenic proteins. However, recent studies have posited a possible helical intermediate adopted by hAM before transitioning to β-sheet conformations typically seen in the amyloidogenic pathway [93]. Various spectroscopic studies have detected an increase in the α-helical character of hAM prior to the formation of β-strands [94–100]. A crystal structure analysis of hAM fused to maltose-binding protein (MBP) indicated that the N-terminal helix of hAM was capable of forming strong aromatic stacking between the F15 residues of two hAM monomers [101]. Mutations that improved the helical propensity at this residue resulted in increased rates of amyloidogenesis while residues that favored β-strand formation decreased the rate of aggregation [101,102]. Further evidence of a helical intermediate can be found in the increased propensity of hAM to aggregate in the presence of lipid membranes that have been shown to promote the formation of helices by the N-terminal half of hAM [103,104].

However, the transition from helix to β-strand plays an even more important role in amyloidogenesis of hAM. Upon strongly helix-favoring solvent conditions, hAM does not show signs of amyloidogenesis [105]. This type of “overstabilization” of a hAM secondary state has been proposed to be the mechanism by which insulin prevents the aggregation of hAM in healthy individuals [101]. It has also been linked to the way that rat amylin is able to prevent the cytotoxicity of hAM, with the observation that mutants of rat amylin that form a less stable α-helix are unable to inhibit hAM at the same level as wild type rat amylin [106]. Shifting the equilibrium between the α-helix and β-sheet conformation in favor of the α-helix state has also been shown to decrease the rate of fibril formation by another amyloid forming protein: Aβ [107]. However, the presence of three prolines (A25P/S28P/S29P versus hAM) in rAβ may be a more significant feature.

The study of how to maintain hAM solubility was a major area of investigation in the late 1980s and 1990s. It was shown that the use of proline at key positions of hAM was able to maintain the solubility of the protein while having minimal effect on the activity of hAM [108,109]. This discovery was very important because it allowed for the synthesis of a soluble protein known as pramlintide that could serve as an accompaniment to insulin therapies for patients with Type I and Type II Diabetes [110–112]. More recent studies into the therapeutic effect of pramlintide have discovered a possible use for the peptide as a potential therapy to combat obesity [113,114]. Among others, Ravussin et al. showed that patients that were administered pramlintide accompanied by a leptin-analogue, metreleptin, demonstrated significant weight loss [115].

### 1.4. Some well-known hAM inhibitors

hAM inhibitors have typically fallen into two categories, small molecules and peptides (Table 1). Interestingly, some of the small molecules that are known inhibitors of αS aggregation have also been shown to be effective against hAM. For example, resveratrol has been shown to inhibit hAM amyloid formation in a dose dependent manner [116]. In a study done by Jiang et al. it has been suggested that resveratrol inhibits hAM aggregation by the disruption of the aromatic stacking between hAM monomers [117]. Residues R11, H18, F15 and Y37 (Fig. 3A) in particular were found to be important since mutations of these residues to leucine decreases hAM amyloidogenicity and results in a decrease in resveratrol activity [118].

Another small molecule that inhibits both αS and hAM is baicalein (Table 1). This small molecule is a flavonoid which can be found in the roots of certain flowering plants. Studies showed that baicalein was...
capable of inhibiting hAM aggregation in a dose-dependent manner but that action of the molecule was dependent on the presence of at least two hydroxyl groups, one of which had to be at position 6 on the benzene ring [119]. Once again, a quinone intermediate has been proposed as part of the mechanism of action of baicalein with hAM, similar to that proposed between other phenolic molecules and αS.

Recently however, a new small molecule has been shown to inhibit hAM amyloidogenesis as well as decrease the toxicity related to hAM aggregation. Montane et al. demonstrated that 4-phenylbutyrate (PBA) (Table 1), a drug that is currently used to treat urea-cycle disorders and cystic fibrosis, is capable of restoring the glucose metabolism of transgenic mice that overexpress hAM [120]. The tests show that PBA is able to restore the function of β-cells and prevent the death of β-cells in transgenic mice. In vitro experiments further confirmed that PBA was interacting with hAM, showing a decrease in hAM amyloidogenesis in a dose-dependent manner.

hAM has also been shown to be inhibited by peptides. A common motif employed in the design of peptide inhibitors of hAM is the mutation of key residues to proline [106]. One such mutation, I26P resulted in a peptide that did not undergo aggregation and was able to increase the lag time of hAM aggregation by 20-fold when co-incubated with hAM at equimolar concentrations [121].

It is of note that the region being focused on by these studies has been proposed by several studies to not adopt a β-sheet conformation in the final fibril state of hAM. Luca et al. proposed that in the final fibril form that hAM adopts, two β-strands that are connected by a loop consisting of residues 18 to 27 (Fig. 3A). N-methylations of residues G24 and I26 have been shown to result in peptides that are non-aggregatory and non-toxic while being able to inhibit full length native hAM when incubated in 10 fold excess with hAM [124]. Other studies have targeted a similar region within hAM. Soluble hexapeptides derived from the 20–29 region of hAM have been found to be strong inhibitors of hAM aggregation [125,126].

The use of peptide inhibitors has typically relied on sequence homologous peptides to facilitate recognition of the inhibitor by the target peptide. There have been a few examples of peptides with no sequence similarity that are capable of interacting with amyloidogenic peptides. For example, Ghosh et al. demonstrated that a nine residue peptide taken from the SARS corona virus (Table 1) was able to inhibit hAM amyloidogenesis [131]. However, it is worth noting that the inhibitor itself was shown to be capable of aggregation albeit at a much slower rate than hAM.

The main drawback of this approach is the lack of universality of the inhibitors that are developed. Inhibitors that are derived from, or optimized for, one amyloidogenic peptide are only effective against that protein. This represents an overly specific type of interaction. However, there is a different approach that has been shown to be effective in the designing of inhibitors that bear no sequence similarity to the target peptide. This approach relies on designing very stable or pre-structured β-sheet peptides that have available edge strands capable of binding to amyloidogenic peptides. This binding is facilitated by the hydrophobic units on the edge strand of the inhibitor β-sheet that favors hydrophobic collapse.

The idea can be traced to efforts by Smith et al., in 2006 [132]. They were interested in building upon studies that had found antibody proteins that were capable of recognizing the soluble oligomers of a variety of amyloidogenic proteins [133]. Their initial experiments involved creating a phage-displayed library that would allow them to determine which randomized mutants of their template protein was capable of interacting strongly with oligomers of Aβ, the protein implicated in Alzheimer’s Disease. They found that the mutant containing two tyrosine (Tyr) residue substitutions and two tryptophan (Trp) residue substitutions was the most suitable for detecting their target. Their kinetic assays showed that co-incubation of their protein with Aβ resulted in complete suppression of amyloidogenesis.

Huggins et al. expanded on this work using designed hairpin peptides containing Trp and/or Tyr residues on the exposed face of the peptide [39]. Their initial study showed that these peptides were effective at inhibiting the amyloidogenesis of hAM. They then expanded on this study by testing their most effective inhibitor against a second amyloidogenic system, αS. Their results showed that the peptide, WW2 (Table 1 & Fig. 4) was able to increase the lag time of hAM amyloidogenesis by 3 fold when co-incubated at molar equivalent concentrations (Fig. 4). Increments in the concentration of the inhibitor further increased the lag time of hAM aggregation. On the other hand, use of the inhibitor WW2 against αS resulted in an immediate pre-cipitate formation upon addition of the aggregatory stimulus, hexa-fluoroisopropanol (HFIP). The precipitate was shown to be a non-amyloid aggregate by Congo Red staining. TEM studies showed that the precipitate had shorter thicker fibrils than those seen in amyloid precipitates. This would indicate that the peptide WW2 was slowing down the aggregation of hAM while redirecting the aggregation of αS to off-pathway aggregates. The peptide was also tested against hAM in RIN5fm cells. The results showed that at 1:2 ratios of hAM to inhibitor, there is an increase in cell viability. This increase is dose dependent and can be seen improving as the concentration of inhibitor is increased.

The study by Huggins et al. brought forth an interesting target for optimization, the peptide WW2 (Table 1 & Fig. 4). Following this, a new set of aryl rich peptides were tested, including a cyclized version of WW2 (Table 1 & Fig. 4) [31]. This was done to test the hypothesis that...
the stability of the inhibitor β-sheet would play a role in increasing the potency of the peptide as an inhibitor. Results showed that cyclization definitely improved the potency of WW2 from approximately 50% inhibition at one molar equivalence to approximately 75% inhibition when co-incubated with αS. In the presence of hAM, cyclo-WW2 (Table 1 & Fig. 4) showed even stronger inhibition [100]. Sub-stoichiometric concentrations of cyclo-WW2 were able to completely inhibit the amyloidogenesis of hAM when analyzed by Thioflavin T fluorescence. The effectiveness of cyclo-WW2 as an inhibitor was also tested in cell cytotoxicity assays where 1:0.5 ratio of hAM to cyclo-WW2 was enough to eliminate the cytoxicity of hAM against RIN5Fm cells.

NMR analysis was also performed to determine where these inhibitors were binding to αS [99]. Initial experiments in the absence of any inhibitors showed that the earliest peak attenuation was occurring in the N-terminal section. Of particular interest was the fact that a lot of these peaks belonged to the hairpin-region identified by Mirecka et al. to be part of an early pre-amyloid event that is important to the amyloidogenesis of αS [30]. One of the last portions of αS to show peak attenuation was the C-terminal tail corresponding to this region of αS remaining flexible in the soluble oligomer phase of αS amyloidogenesis. It was therefore quite interesting that the main binding locus of WW2 was located in the C-terminal tail of αS. Some of the more prominent binding shifts observed included Y133 and S129 (Fig. 2A). Y133 is of note because a study done by Ulrich et al. showed that the mutation of this tyrosine to alanine resulted in the complete inhibition of αS amyloidogenesis [134]. It is therefore possible that this residue is playing an important role in amyloid formation, possibly through long range contacts with other parts of the peptide. The other notable shift is S129. S129 is the only residue within αS that has been found to undergo phosphorylation [135]. The effect of phosphorylation on amyloidogenesis is unclear. The initial discovery of S129 phosphorylation seems to indicate that this event favors amyloidogenesis [135]. However, a second study showed that phosphorylation resulted in increased flexibility of αS in this region [136]. While there is a lack of consensus on what exactly phosphorylation does, it does seem to indicate that S129 plays a significant role in the structure and function of αS.

Cyclo-WW2 (Table 1 & Fig. 4) was also analyzed by NMR when co- incubated with αS [31]. The results showed that it had a similar binding locus in the C-terminal area of αS. However, a secondary binding locus was identified. Residues Q41, V48, H50, V52, A53 and T54 were all observed to have shifted upon cyclo-WW2 titration. Again we note that this region corresponds to the hairpin identified by Mirecka et al. Taken together this data would indicate that this region plays an important early role in the amyloid formation of αS and should be studied in more detail.

Sivanesam et al. also showed that αS inhibition was not limited to the type of β-sheet adopted by a potential peptide inhibitor [31]. A “turnless” β-sheet made up of a dimer connected by a disulfide bond in the middle was tested as an inhibitor of αS amyloidogenesis (Fig. 4). The results showed that at equimolar concentrations the dimeric peptide RW1CHHE was capable of inhibiting αS aggregation up to about 65%. Further increase in the concentration of inhibitor resulted in a corresponding increase in amyloid inhibition.

The study also attempted to determine if the presence of phenols would impact the inhibition of these peptides [31]. A small peptide containing a pair of tryptophans, μPro1 (Table 1) was compared to its tyrosine counterpart, YY- μPro1. Kinetic assays showed that the replacement of Trp with Tyr resulted in an almost 2 fold increase in the percent inhibition. These results support previous studies that have shown αS being inhibited strongly by phenolic compounds.

2. Conclusions

The need for more universal inhibitors of amyloidogenesis is increasing as links between amyloid diseases are found [137]. Cross-amyloid interactions have been identified between amyloid-beta and a number of other proteins including αS and hAM [138-144]. Clinical studies show that patients suffering from Type II Diabetes are at a higher risk of developing Alzheimer's disease and vice versa [145-147]. It is therefore important that inhibitor design be more universal, so that future therapies are not limited to only one target protein. Some small molecules have shown the ability to interact with multiple amyloidogenic peptides and prevent their transition to the toxic oligomer phase. For example, resveratrol has been shown to inhibit both hAM and αS (vide supra) while Congo Red and curcumin have been shown to be effective against αS and the protein responsible for Alzheimer’s disease Aβ [148]. EGCG has been reported to be an inhibitor of these proteins and additional systems [58-60,149]. However, we focused on peptide inhibitors where the question of sequence similarity and binding motifs can be examined. So far, our investigations have identified a number of peptides capable of inhibiting the amyloidogenesis of both αS and hAM [31,99,100]. Of these, cyclo-WW2 (which bears a resemblance to Trp- zip peptides, vide infra) is effective when co-incubated at sub-stoichiometric concentrations with either αS or hAM. In vivo studies of this peptide with hAM show that the inhibitor is capable of ameliorating the cytotoxicity of hAM in a dose-dependent manner while displaying no sequence similarity to the amyloidogenic target.

Kapurniotu and co-workers have also demonstrated that hAM and Aβ can interact with one another and decrease the rate of amyloidogenesis of both proteins. Their study examined whether a previously tested hAM peptide inhibitor was capable of inhibiting the amyloidogenesis of Aβ as well [150]. These studies showed that when co-incubated at equimolar concentrations, the hAM inhibitor was capable of preventing PC-12 cytotoxicity in the presence of Aβ. Since the hAM inhibitor being tested was in fact an N-methyl modified mimic of hAM between residues 22 to 27, Kapurniotu and co-workers studied if full length hAM was able to interact with Aβ. Their results showed that when co-incubated, both peptides exhibited a decreased rate of amyloidogenesis. An extensive follow up investigation showed that there were 3 regions within Aβ and 2 regions within hAM that bind strongly one to another [151]. They termed these regions hot segments within both peptides. Building upon this, they investigated if an inhibitor derived by linking hot segments from hAM and Aβ was able to inhibit the amyloidogenesis of either protein [152]. They determined that the linked hot segments would be able to inhibit the amyloidogenesis of hAM and Aβ at nanomolar concentrations, making them one of the most potent peptide inhibitors of either peptide. Whether these can be extended to αS and other systems with no sequence homology has yet to be examined.

There is further evidence that certain inhibitors are capable of inhibiting multiple amyloidogenic peptides. Daggett and co-workers investigated if tryptophan-zipper peptides (trp-zip) were capable of inhibiting the amyloidogenesis of Aβ and another amyloidogenic peptide, transthyretin (TTR) [153]. Their studies showed that the stability of the β-sheet structure trp-zip peptide played a large role in its ability to inhibit Aβ amyloidogenesis. However, it was the presence of the exposed tryptophan residues that was important in the interaction between trp-zip and TTR since a mutant of trp-zip with all tryptophans mutated to leucine resulted in a decrease in inhibition strength. Given that cyclo-WW2 and a number of other inhibitors (Fig. 4) of αS and hAM also have a Trp-rich β-strand motif, we expect that further cross-reactivities will be found in the future. Pre-structured β-sheet peptides that have available edge strands and aryl residues capable of binding another β-strand may well represent a class of universal amyloid traps.

Unfortunately, none of the recent advances have approached the point of becoming effective therapies. The method of delivery for these peptidic inhibitors has yet to be discovered and the need for high concentrations of inhibitor is still a hurdle. But inhibitor studies have so far yielded interesting information about the amyloidogenesis process itself. By studying where inhibitors bind and how that binding affects the amyloid pathway, a lot of new information has been uncovered.
about amyloidogenic polypeptides. The region between residues 37–54 of αS is increasingly interesting as a possible pre-amyloid secondary structure. As for αM, a possible loop feature formed by residues 22–29 in the final structure of the fibrils could be an important target area for further study. It is therefore important that inhibitors, in particular universal inhibitors, continue to be studied, since they might point out heretofore unknown similarities among amyloidogenic proteins that could lead to the development of a therapy that is applicable to all amyloid diseases.
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