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Abstract

Background: Oral health hygiene and practices of pre-school children depends on the knowledge, awareness, and attitude of their parents. Parental education level, family background and family size play an important role in adapting oral hygiene practices. Also, oral health behaviors vary between boys and girls, and it is generally believed that girls are better at taking care of their oral hygiene than boys. This cross-sectional study aimed to assess oral health hygiene and practices of pre-school children (4-6 years old) and its correlation with their parent's education level, child gender and child order between his/her brothers in the family.

Methods: A survey was conducted randomly among 270 parents of Damascus population. Access to the parents in the target age group was achieved through face-to-face interaction (14 parents), online (87 parents) and two different kindergartens in two different social areas (169 parents). A set of 17 questions were formulated, and the questionnaire was distributed. A comparison of the answers from the collected data was made on SPSS 24 using Chi-Square Tests.

Results: Chi-Square Tests showed the important role of parental education level and its associated with regular dental visit (9.3%), temporary teeth treatment (48.1%), no early extracted teeth due to caries (48.5%) and no current caries (35.2%). On the other hand, there was no difference between child gender or order and daily oral hygiene practices.

Conclusion: This study highlights the role of Parental education level in the quality of a child's oral hygiene practices. Although some parents were aware of the importance of temporary teeth treatment and preventing caries through a regular dental visit, they weren't aware of some deleterious oral habits.

Background

The dental health of preschool children has extensive implications on the oral health of the individual as he grows into an adult. Parents/guardians of preschool children play a central role in enforcing proper oral hygiene and preventive regime in these children.

The oral health care provided by the parents to the pre-school children is of crucial importance here as this determines not only the current oral health status of the child but also lays the backbone of attitudes and practices that a child adopts in this age which he carries over in his or her adulthood.[1] Improvement in children's oral health depends on parent's awareness and knowledge. It is essential to start basic good oral health habits from childhood so that the important dental norms are formed and then maintained into future.[2] Family background plays an important role in adapting oral hygiene practices. [3] Oral health behaviors vary between boys and girls, and it is generally believed that girls have better COHB than boys. [4,5]

Dental caries is a multifactorial disease, with many risk factors contributing to their initiation and progression.
Early childhood caries (ECC) is a term used to describe dental caries in children aged 6 years old. Oral streptococci are considered to be the main etiological agent of tooth decay in children. The risk factors can be categorized as biological, environmental or socio-behavioral [6]. In preschoolers, high consumption of sucrose, sweet drinks, high sugar intake between meals, and frequent snacking have all been associated with dental caries [7,8]. Additionally, the quality of a child’s oral hygiene practices and the parents’ ability to withhold cariogenic snacks are also factors associated with dental caries [6,9]. Some studies have found an association between tooth-brushing and lower caries prevalence, although the findings are inconsistent. [8,10,11] Moreover, socioeconomic factors such as income, education level and family size impact disease prevalence. [12,13]

Primary teeth play an important role in basic life functions such as speech, phonetics, and eating. The management of deciduous teeth is not considered a primary concern in most of the population. The most increasing problem nowadays is the increase in caries risk referred to as early childhood caries. Further, the treatment of primary teeth is not considered important as it is believed that primary teeth will shed as the child grows, without having an effect on permanent dentition.

Dental caries in children is rapidly increasing compared to that seen in permanent teeth. In early childhood caries, there is an aggressive spread of dental caries most commonly affecting the upper anterior teeth as they are the first teeth to erupt. An increase in dental caries increases the pain experienced by the child, and eventually decreases the intake of food, thereby decreasing the essential minerals and vitamins leading to malnutrition.[14] Early childhood caries is commonly seen in bottle-feeding children overnight because of the increase in sucrose content attacking the tooth surface, thereby increasing caries incidence.

Loss of anterior teeth in children eventually results in speech development and lack of confidence due to peer influence. The space present in the primary dentition due to early extraction must be maintained to prevent unorganized eruption of the permanent teeth. Improper maintenance of space in primary dentition leads to lack of space for eruption of the permanent teeth, leading to crowding and impaction.

Poor oral habits include a wide spectrum of habits including, thumb sucking, finger sucking, blanket sucking, tongue sucking, soother/pacifier use, lip sucking, lip licking, mouth breathing, and nail biting, among others.

These habits can alter the normal muscle balance in the face, resulting in an orofacial myofunctional disorder, which can have a negative impact on facial growth. Thumb sucking is the most recognized oral habit that is widely understood to negatively affect the growth of the jaws and the teeth. When the thumb is in the mouth it displaces the tongue so it is not resting fully in the palate. Lip sucking or lip biting can also have effects on the upper anterior causing proclination. Bruxism is a sleep disorder wherein the child bites his teeth during sleep. Habitual mouth breathing is when the child has a habit of breathing from the mouth instead of the nose. This could be because of three reasons – obstruction in the nasal passage, habitual, or anatomical. These habits require an orthodontic treatment approach, with the use of habit breaking appliances.
Results showed that 150 (55.6%) of the parents are educated and 120 (44.4%) are not. 137 (50.7%) of the children are males and 133 (49.3%) are females. 122 (41.5%) children of them are the oldest between his/her brothers in the family. All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Methods

Research methodology:

A cross-sectional research was carried out to study the role of parental education level, child gender and order in daily oral hygiene practices among pre-school children in Damascus, Syria.

Sampling:

The data for this cross-sectional study were collected in March 2020. The target population was parents having children between 4–6 years of age. Written parental consent was obtained for this study. This age group was chosen because children depend on their parents to have good dental health practices. In this age, it’s considered that it is the parent’s responsibility to make these practices as a habit in their children.

Survey's Questions:

A survey (Supplementary file 1) was conducted randomly among 270 parents of Damascus population. Access to the parents in the target age group was achieved through face-to-face interaction (14 parents), online (87 parents) and two different kindergartens in two different social areas (169 parents). A set of 17 questions was formulated, and the questionnaire was distributed. The questionnaire collected information about the (e.g., paternal education levels, child gender and order) and dental health practices (e.g., details about tooth-brushing duration and frequency, toothpaste amount used and toothbrush changing period). The questionnaire also asked about eating habits (e.g., sugar and soda intake frequency), deleterious oral habits (e.g., thumb sucking, nail and lip biting, mouth breathing, bruxism, tongue thrusting...), dental visit, current caries, the way of handling orthodontic problems and early tooth extraction due to caries.

Statistical analysis:

The information was collected and recorded. A comparison of the answers from the collected data was made on SPSS 24 using Chi-Square Tests.

Results

General Study Results:
Results showed that 150 (55.6%) of the parents are educated and 120 (44.4%) are not. 137 (50.7%) of the children are males and 133 (49.3%) are females. 122 (41.5%) children of them are the oldest between
their brothers and sisters whereas 39 (14.4%) are midchilds and 119 (44.1%) are the youngest. (Table 1)

It’s found that daily tooth-brushing frequency was zero in 4.8% of the children. And 62.2% of them brush their teeth just once a day. 31.5% of them brush their teeth twice a day and only 1.5% brush their teeth three times a day. Also, it’s found that tooth-brush duration was only one minute in 38.1%, 2 minutes in 35.9%, 3 minutes only in 13.3% and less than one minute in 12.6%. On the other hand, it’s found that toothpaste amount used was as rice size in only 15.2% and it was as peas size in 75.9%, less than rice size in 2.6% and more than peas size in 6.3%. The results showed that the duration of using toothbrush before changing it was 6 months in 57.0%, one year in 17.0%, less than 6 months in 21.1% and more than one year in 4.8%. (Table 2)

About the dental visit, it was regularly only in 13.3% whereas 62.2% of the dental visit was only when the child complains of pain and 24.4% never taken to a dentist. Only 9.6% of the parents thought that treatment of primary tooth is unimportant whereas 90.4% thought that dental treatment in children was necessary. When the parents were asked about the way of handling any orthodontic problems 45% of them suggested that they will wait until the permanent dentition whereas 54.1% suggested that they will have an orthodontic consulted. Only 9.639% of children had applied local fluoride on their teeth whereas 90.370% did not. (Table 3)
Table 3
Dental visit, temporary tooth treatment, handling orthodontic problems and applies local fluoride.

| Variables                      | N = 270 |
|--------------------------------|---------|
|                               | n    | %    |
| **Daily Brushing Frequency**  |       |      |
| 0                             | 13   | 4.8  |
| 1                             | 168  | 62.2 |
| 2                             | 85   | 31.5 |
| 3                             | 4    | 1.5  |
| **Brushing Duration**         |       |      |
| 1 minute                      | 103  | 38.1 |
| 2 minutes                     | 97   | 35.9 |
| 3 minutes                     | 36   | 13.3 |
| Less                          | 34   | 12.6 |
| **Toothpaste Amount**         |       |      |
| Rice                          | 41   | 15.2 |
| Peas                          | 205  | 75.9 |
| More                          | 17   | 6.3  |
| Less                          | 7    | 2.6  |
| **Toothbrush Changing Periods** |     |      |
| 6 months                      | 154  | 57.0 |
| a year                        | 46   | 17.0 |
| More                          | 13   | 4.8  |
| Less                          | 57   | 21.1 |

Sugar daily intake was zero in 1.1%, once a day in 25.9%, twice a day in 49.6, three times a day in 23.3%. On the other hand, Soda weekly intake was zero in 68.9%, once a week in 25.2%, twice a week in 4.4%, 3 times in 1.5%. (Table 4)
It’s found that 45.6% of children have current caries, 26.8% of them on anterior teeth whereas 73.2% where on posterior teeth. On the other hand, 54.4% of children don’t have any current caries. Also, it’s found that 83% of children don’t have any early extraction due to caries whereas there are 5.9% of children had extracted one tooth, 4.4% had extracted 2 teeth, 3% had extracted 3 teeth, 3.3% had extracted 4 and only 0.4% had extracted more than four teeth because of caries. (Table 5)

| Variables               | N = 270 |
|-------------------------|---------|
|                         | n  | %   |
| **Dental Visit**        |     |     |
| Regularly               | 36  | 13.3|
| On pain                 | 168 | 62.2|
| Never                   | 66  | 24.4|
| **Temporary Tooth Treatment** |   |     |
| Important               | 244 | 90.4|
| Not important           | 26  | 9.6 |
| **Handling Orthodontic problems** |   |     |
| Permanent waiting       | 124 | 45.9|
| Orthodontist consulted  | 146 | 54.1|
| **Applies Local Fluoride** |   |     |
| Done                    | 26  | 9.6 |
| Never                   | 244 | 90.4|
Finally, it's noticed that the practices of deleterious oral habits are widespread, 15.556% of the children have nails biting, 12.592% have mouth breathing, 12.962% have bruxism, 11.481% of children put things inside their mouths, 6.296% have thumb sucking, 5.185% have lips biting, 2.22% have tongue thrusting, 0.370% have bottle-feeding, 0.740% have food sucking whereas 45.55% of children don't have any deleterious oral habits. (Fig. 1)

**Statistical Analysis using Chi-Square Tests:**
- **Parental Education Level:**
  The test showed a statistically significant difference between parental education level and dental visit, temporary teeth treatment, early extracted teeth due to caries, current caries. (Table 6) The dental visit was more regularly in children with educated parents, while taking children to the dentist only when they complain of pain was more in uneducated parents. More children with educated parents had never taken to the dentist before. (Table 6) More educated parents think that the treatment of temporary teeth is important. Whereas more uneducated parents think that it is not important. (Table 6) Children with educated parents had less extracted tooth due to caries than children with uneducated parents that had more extracted tooth. (Table 6) Children with educated parents had fewer caries than uneducated parents. (Table 6)
Table 6
Comparison between parental education level and some oral hygiene practices.

| Variables                                | N = 270 |
|------------------------------------------|---------|
|                                          | n      | %     |
| **Current Caries**                       |         |       |
| Yes                                      | 123     | 45.6  |
| No                                       | 147     | 54.4  |
| **If Caries Positive**                   |         |       |
| On Anterior                              | 33      | 26.8  |
| On Posterior                             | 90      | 73.2  |
| **Extracted or Lost teeth due to Caries**|         |       |
| 0                                        | 224     | 83.0  |
| 1                                        | 16      | 5.9   |
| 2                                        | 12      | 4.4   |
| 3                                        | 8       | 3.0   |
| 4                                        | 9       | 3.3   |
| 6                                        | 1       | .4    |

Statistically there was no significant difference between parental education level and daily brushing frequency, brushing duration, toothpaste amount, toothbrush changing periods, applying local fluoride, the way of handling orthodontic problems and sauger and soda intake. (Table 7)
Table 7
Comparison between parental education level and some oral hygiene practices.

| Variable                   | Parental Educational Level | P value |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
|                            | Educated (N = 150)         |         |
|                            | Uneducated (N = 120)       |         |
|                            | N  | %   | N  | %   |         |
| Dental visit               |    |     |    |     |         |
| Regularly                  | 25 | 69.45 | 11 | 30.55 | .001*   |
| On pain                    | 79 | 47.02 | 89 | 52.98 |         |
| Never                      | 46 | 69.7  | 20 | 30.3  |         |
| Temporary teeth treatment  |    |     |    |     |         |
| Important                  | 130 | 53.28 | 114 | 46.72 | .023*   |
| Not important              | 20 | 76.92 | 6  | 23.08 |         |
| Extracted Or lost teeth    |    |     |    |     |         |
| 0                          | 131 | 58.49 | 93  | 41.51 | .002*   |
| 1                          | 8  | 50.00 | 8   | 50.00 |         |
| 2                          | 8  | 66.67 | 4   | 33.33 |         |
| 3                          | 2  | 25.00 | 6   | 75.00 |         |
| 4                          | 0  | 0     | 9   | 100.0 |         |
| 6                          | 1  | 100.0 | 0.00 | 0.00  |         |
| Current Caries             |    |     |    |     |         |
| Yes                        | 55 | 44.71 | 68  | 55.29 | .001*   |
| No                         | 95 | 64.62 | 52  | 35.38 |         |

* Statistical significance p ≤ 0.05.

Fisher's exact test was used as 25% of cells had expected count less than 5.

- Child Gender:
  Statically there was no significant difference between child gender and daily brush frequency, brushing duration nor toothpaste amount, toothbrush changing periods, dental visit, the importance of temporary teeth treatment, local fluoride appliance, the way of handling orthodontic problems, sugar and soda intake, the number of extracted teeth due to caries and current caries. (Table 8)
Table 8
No statistical difference between child gender and oral hygiene practices.

| Variable                  | Parental Educational level | P value |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
|                           | Educated (N = 150)         | Uneducated (N = 120) |         |
|                           | N  | %   | N  | %   |         |
| Daily brushing frequency  |     |     |     |     |         |
| 0                         | 7  | 53.84 | 6  | 46.16 | .54   |
| 1                         | 100 | 59.53 | 68 | 40.47 |       |
| 2                         | 39 | 45.88 | 46 | 54.12 |       |
| 3                         | 4  | 100.00 | 0  | 0.00  |       |
| Brushing Duration         |     |     |     |     |         |
| 1 minute                  | 60 | 58.25 | 43 | 41.75 | .591  |
| 2 minutes                 | 56 | 57.73 | 41 | 42.27 |       |
| 3 minutes                 | 17 | 47.22 | 19 | 52.78 |       |
| Less                      | 17 | 50.00 | 17 | 50.00 |       |
| Toothpaste Amount         |     |     |     |     |         |
| Rice                      | 28 | 68.29 | 13 | 31.71 | .181  |
| Peas                      | 111| 54.15 | 94 | 45.85 |       |
| More                      | 9  | 52.94 | 8  | 47.06 |       |
| Less                      | 2  | 28.57 | 5  | 71.43 |       |
| Toothbrush Changing Periods|     |     |     |     |         |
| 6 months                  | 85 | 55.19 | 69 | 44.81 | .563  |
| a year                    | 28 | 60.87 | 18 | 39.13 |       |
| More                      | 5  | 38.46 | 8  | 61.54 |       |
| Less                      | 32 | 56.14 | 25 | 43.86 |       |
| Applies Local Fluoride    |     |     |     |     |         |
| Done                      | 19 | 73.07 | 7  | 26.92 | .064  |
| Never                     | 131| 53.69 | 113| 46.31 |       |
| Handling Orthodontic problems|     |     |     |     |         |
| Variable                  | Parental Educational level | P value |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Permanent waiting         | 69                         | 55      | 51.41   | 1.000 |
| Orthodontist consulted    | 81                         | 65      | 44.52   |

**Sugar Daily Intake**

|       |       |       |       |       |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0     | 1     | 33.33 | 2     | 66.67 | .897  |
| 1     | 39    | 55.71 | 31    | 44.29 |
| 2     | 74    | 55.22 | 60    | 44.78 |
| 3     | 36    | 57.14 | 27    | 42.86 |

**Soda Weekly Intake**

|       |       |       |       |       |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0     | 109   | 58.60 | 77    | 41.40 | .198  |
| 1     | 36    | 52.94 | 32    | 47.06 |
| 2     | 4     | 33.33 | 8     | 66.67 |
| 3     | 1     | 25.00 | 3     | 75.00 |

* Statistical significance p ≤ 0.05.
[广泛] Fisher's exact test was used as 25% of cells had expected count less than 5.

- Child's Order:
  Statically there was no significant difference between child order and the rest data (daily brush frequency, brushing duration, toothpaste amount, toothbrush changing periods, dental visit, the importance of temporary teeth treatment, local fluoride appliance, the way of handling orthodontic problems, sugar and soda intake, the number of extracted teeth due to caries and current caries). (Table 9)
Table 9
Comparison between child order and oral hygiene practices.

| Variable                  | Gender | P value |
|---------------------------|--------|---------|
|                           | Male (N = 137) | Female (N = 133) |       |
|                           | N      | %       | N      | %       |         |
| Daily brushing frequency  |        |         |        |         |         |
| 0                         | 10     | 76.92   | 3      | 23.08   | .123    |
| 1                         | 88     | 52.39   | 80     | 47.61   |         |
| 2                         | 37     | 43.52   | 48     | 56.48   |         |
| 3                         | 2      | 50.00   | 2      | 50.00   |         |
| Brushing Duration         |        |         |        |         |         |
| 1 minute                  | 59     | 57.29   | 44     | 42.71   | .404    |
| 2 minutes                 | 46     | 47.42   | 51     | 52.58   |         |
| 3 minutes                 | 16     | 44.44   | 20     | 55.56   |         |
| Less                      | 16     | 47.06   | 18     | 52.94   |         |
| Toothpaste Amount         |        |         |        |         |         |
| Rice                      | 21     | 51.22   | 20     | 48.78   | .779    |
| Peas                      | 102    | 49.76   | 103    | 50.24   |         |
| More                      | 9      | 52.94   | 8      | 47.06   |         |
| Less                      | 5      | 71.43   | 2      | 28.57   |         |
| Toothbrush Changing Periods |      |         |        |         |         |
| 6 months                  | 75     | 48.70   | 79     | 51.30   | .053    |
| a year                    | 31     | 67.39   | 15     | 32.61   |         |
| More                      | 4      | 30.77   | 9      | 69.23   |         |
| Less                      | 27     | 47.37   | 30     | 52.63   |         |
| Dental Visit              |        |         |        |         |         |
| Regularly                 | 19     | 52.78   | 17     | 47.22   | .311    |
| On pain                   | 90     | 53.57   | 72     | 46.43   |         |
| Variable                        | Gender | P value |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------|
|                                | Never  |         |
| Never                          | 28     | 42.42   | 38     | 57.58  |
| **Temporary Tooth Treatment**  |        |         |
| Important                      | 128    | 52.46   | 116    | 47.54  | .100   |
| Not important                  | 9      | 34.62   | 17     | 65.38  |
| **Applies Local Fluoride**     |        |         |
| Done                           | 9      | 34.61   | 17     | 65.39  | .100   |
| Never                          | 128    | 52.46   | 116    | 47.54  |
| **Handling Orthodontic problems** |       |         |
| Permanent waiting             | 62     | 50.50   | 62     | 50.50  | .903   |
| orthodontist consulted         | 75     | 51.37   | 71     | 48.63  |
| **Sugar Daily Intake**         |        |         |
| 0                              | 1      | 33.33   | 2      | 66.67  | .067‡  |
| 1                              | 27     | 38.57   | 43     | 61.43  |
| 2                              | 72     | 53.73   | 62     | 46.27  |
| 3                              | 37     | 58.73   | 26     | 41.27  |
| **Soda Weekly Intake**         |        |         |
| 0                              | 97     | 52.15   | 89     | 47.85  | .899‡  |
| 1                              | 32     | 47.06   | 36     | 52.94  |
| 2                              | 6      | 50.00   | 6      | 50.00  |
| 3                              | 2      | 50.00   | 2      | 50.00  |
| **Extracted or lost teeth**    |        |         |
| 0                              | 113    | 50.44   | 111    | 49.56  | .898‡  |
| 1                              | 9      | 56.25   | 7      | 43.75  |
| 2                              | 5      | 41.67   | 7      | 58.33  |
| 3                              | 5      | 62.5    | 3      | 37.5   |
| 4                              | 4      | 44.44   | 5      | 55.56  |
| Variable                  | Gender | P value |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|
|                          | 6      | 100.0   | 0   | 0.00 |

Current Caries

| Yes          | 67  | 54.47  | 56  | 45.53 | .274 |
| No           | 70  | 47.62  | 77  | 52.38 |

* Statistical significance $p \leq 0.05$.

Fisher's exact test was used as 25% of cells had expected count less than 5.

| Variable                  | Oldest (N = 112) | Midchild (N = 39) | Youngest (N = 119) | P value |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Daily brushing frequency |                  |                   |                     |         |
| 0                        | 4  | 30.77 | 2   | 15.38 | 7   | 53.85 | .718 |
| 1                        | 73 | 43.45 | 26  | 15.47 | 69  | 41.08 |
| 2                        | 33 | 38.82 | 10  | 11.76 | 42  | 49.42 |
| 3                        | 2  | 50.00 | 1   | 25.00 | 1   | 25.00 |
| Brushing Duration        |                  |                   |                     |         |
| 1 minute                 | 47 | 45.63 | 13  | 12.63 | 43  | 41.74 | .579 |
| 2 minutes                | 38 | 39.17 | 16  | 16.51 | 43  | 44.32 |
| 3 minutes                | 17 | 47.22 | 3   | 8.34  | 16  | 44.44 |
| Less                     | 10 | 29.41 | 7   | 20.59 | 17  | 50.00 |
| Toothpaste Amount        |                  |                   |                     |         |
| Rice                     | 61 | 39.61 | 23  | 14.93 | 70  | 45.46 | .293 |
| Peas                     | 20 | 43.48 | 5   | 10.87 | 21  | 45.65 |
| More                     | 4  | 30.77 | 0   | 0.00  | 9   | 69.23 |
| Less                     | 27 | 47.34 | 11  | 19.29 | 19  | 33.37 |
| Toothbrush Changing Periods |              |                   |                     |         |
| Variable                               | Gender | P value |
|----------------------------------------|--------|---------|
|                                        |        |         |
| 6 months                               | 20     | 48.78   | 4 |  9.76 | 17 | 41.46 | .208 |
| a year                                 | 79     | 38.53   | 31 | 15.12 | 95 | 46.35 |
| More                                   | 10     | 58.82   | 4  |  23.53 |3  | 17.65 |
| Less                                   | 3      | 42.85   | 0  |  0.00 | 4  | 57.15 |
| **Dental Visit**                       |        |         |
| Regularly                              | 15     | 41.66   | 6  |  16.68 | 15 | 41.66 | .061 |
| On pain                                | 60     | 35.71   | 28 |  16.67 | 80 | 47.62 |
| Never                                  | 37     | 56.06   | 5  |   7.58 | 24 | 36.36 |
| **Temporary Tooth Treatment**          |        |         |
| Important                              | 103    | 42.21   | 34 |  13.94 |107 | 43.85 | .695 |
| Not important                          | 9      | 34.61   | 5  |  19.24 | 12 | 46.15 |
| **Applies Local Fluoride**             |        |         |
| Done                                   | 14     | 53.84   | 4  |  15.39 |  8 | 30.77 | .327 |
| Never                                  | 98     | 40.16   | 35 |  14.35 |111 | 45.49 |
| **Handling Orthodontic problems**      |        |         |
| Permanent waiting                      | 48     | 38.71   | 17 |  13.71 |  59| 47.58 | .585 |
| orthodontist consulted                 | 64     | 43.83   | 22 |  15.08 |  60| 41.09 |
| **Sugar Daily Intake**                 |        |         |
| 0                                      | 2      | 66.67   | 0  |  0.00 |  1 | 33.33 | .892 |
| 1                                      | 31     | 44.28   | 9  |  12.87 | 30 | 42.85 |
| 2                                      | 53     | 39.55   | 23 |  17.16 | 58 | 43.29 |
| 3                                      | 26     | 41.27   | 7  |  11.11 | 30 | 47.62 |
| **Soda Weekly Intake**                 |        |         |
| 0                                      | 79     | 42.47   | 29 |  15.59 |  78| 41.94 | .889 |
| 1                                      | 27     | 39.50   | 8  |  11.97 | 33 | 48.53 |
| 2                                      | 5      | 41.67   | 1  |   8.33 |  6 | 50.0  |
### Variable

| Gender | P value |
|--------|---------|
| 3      | 25.00   |

### Extracted or lost teeth

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0      | 93     | 41.51  | 32     | 14.30  |
| 1      | 7      | 43.75  | 2      | 12.50  |
| 2      | 5      | 41.67  | 2      | 16.66  |
| 3      | 5      | 62.5   | 1      | 12.50  |
| 4      | 2      | 22.22  | 2      | 22.22  |
| 6      | 0      | 0.00   | 0      | 0.00   |

### Current Caries

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Yes    | 48     | 39.02  | 18     | 14.64  |
| No     | 64     | 43.53  | 21     | 14.30  |

* Statistical significance $p \leq 0.05$.

† Fisher's exact test was used as 25% of cells had expected count less than 5.

---

**Discussion**

The child’s parents/guardians play an important role in the development of healthy habits in children and sustaining the same during the child’s transition into adulthood.[15]

Improvement in children’s oral health depends on parent’s awareness, knowledge and education level. It is essential to start basic good oral health habits from childhood so that the important dental norms are formed and then maintained into future. Family background plays an important role in adapting oral hygiene practices.[16] The family is the first institution that influences child behavior and development, especially the mothers, who are the primary role model for developing behavior.

A significant association was observed in this study between scores of knowledge, attitude and practice and the educational level of the participants which was in accordance with the studies conducted by Jain et al., Suresh et al.[17] and Williams et al.[18] wherein it was shown that parents with lower education level had poor dental knowledge and attitude also. Probably, the parents with higher education level had better knowledge regarding the oral health care of their children which resulted in favorable attitudes and adoption of better practices to render oral health care to their child.[19]
A randomized controlled trial done in the UK showed that mothers visit to trained dental educator (dentist) of those pre-school children at risk of caries increased the parental knowledge and improved the attitude toward the dental health of their offspring's. [20]

The factors responsible for irregular visits and follow-up could vary depending on financial status, fear and lack of awareness and motivation.[19] Despite the fact that majority of parents were aware of the fact that regular dental check-ups are necessary, only 13.3% of parents took their child for dental visit in every 6 months.

The family's influence on COHB varies between girls and boys [4,5]; however, conclusions regarding these differences are inconsistent among existing studies. In this study, there was no difference between boys and girls.

**Conclusion**

From this study, it can be concluded that parental education level plays the most important role in the quality of their children's oral hygiene practices.

Most parents don’t have the required knowledge about the correct oral hygiene practices such as the daily brushing frequency, brushing duration, the right toothpaste amount that should be used. Most parents don’t know the importance of applying local fluoride on their children's teeth which can be done in the regular dental checkups.

On the other hand, some of them were aware of the bad consequences for deleterious oral habits that can make orthodontic problems, eating habits, the importance of temporary teeth treatment and the correct duration to change their children's toothbrush.

Nowadays there is no differentiation between brothers if they are boys or girls or even the oldest or the youngest. All children take the same dental care from their parents, the same oral hygiene practices. What makes a real difference in the children's oral hygiene practices is their parent’s education level. The more they are educated, the more they will be taking care of details such caries, fluoride appliance and regular dental checkup which reduce the number of extracted teeth due to caries followed by orthodontic problems.
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