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Abstract

Organocatalysis, now running its second decade of life, is being considered one of the main tools a synthetic chemist has to perform asymmetric catalysis. In this review the synthesis of six-membered rings, that contain multiple chiral centers, either by a ring closing process or by a functionalization reaction on an already existing six-membered ring, utilizing bifunctional (thio)ureas will be summarized. Initially, the use of primary amine-thioureas as organocatalysts for the above transformation is being discussed, followed by the examples employing secondary amine-thioureas. Finally, the use of tertiary amine-thioureas and miscellaneous examples are presented.

Introduction

During the last 15 years, organocatalysis has flourished and has been established as one of the three major pillars of asymmetric synthesis [1-3]. Among the modes of activation of organic molecules that have been designed and developed, the functionalization of carbonyl compounds via enamine and iminium ion intermediates are the most common [4,5] (Scheme 1).

The carbonyl compound condenses with the amino catalyst, to form an iminium ion, subsequent deprotonation leads to the highly nucleophilic enamine. This kind of intermediates have been proposed to be the reactive intermediates in many reactions such as aldol, Michael, Mannich, and α-functionalization

Scheme 1: Activation of carbonyl compounds via enamine and iminium intermediates [2].
(α-chlorination, α-amination, α-fluorination) reactions. Proline-type organocatalysts are considered privileged, because their corresponding enamines exist mainly in the s-trans conformation, that factor is crucial since complete prediction of the stereochemical outcome of the reaction is possible.

Generally, the enamines formed can interact with the substrates in two ways, via electronic or steric interactions (Scheme 2). The electronic interaction depicted in the left, in Scheme 2, seems to be operative, when the R group of the organocatalyst possesses a moiety, that is able to form hydrogen bonds, being the hydrogen bond donor. Employing this logic, many organocatalysts have been developed, possessing various groups, that are able to form hydrogen bonds, such as carboxylic acids, tetrazoles, thioureas, etc. The selectivity observed, when steric shielding interaction is employed, is due to the bulky group of the catalyst. This group shields one face of the enamine to provide the selectivity.

The third most valuable and studied mode of activation involves hydrogen bonding, which is also postulated to be present in enzymatic reactions. (Thio)urea moieties have been employed in order to activate electrophiles and in order to align them, in a specific manner, so as to react with nucleophiles (Scheme 3) [6,7]. In addition, many bifunctional (thio)ureas have been synthesized in order to utilize both hydrogen bonding interactions and enamine formation. In the last 10 years the field has witnessed the development of some new activation modes, such as SOMO catalysis [8] and photoredox organocatalysis [9].

Six-membered rings are found in many natural products, pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals, thus, a lot of effort has been put by the synthetic community to provide mild, reliable, robust and operationally simple methods to construct them. Of the vast variety of six-membered rings, those with multiple chiral centers pose the most difficult synthetic challenge, because not only the regiochemical outcome, but also the stereochemical outcome of the reaction must be carefully controlled. Since its rebirth, organocatalysis has made many contributions in the synthesis of six-membered rings with multiple chiral centers, this area has been reviewed in the past [10-14]. This review will focus on (thio)urea organocatalysts, including primary, secondary and tertiary amine groups. Miscellaneous catalysts will be also presented. Thus, it will provide an exhaustive overview of this area, rather than providing a few examples of each class of organocatalysts.

**Review**

**Primary amine-thioureas as organocatalysts promoting asymmetric transformations that lead to a six-membered ring**

As discussed earlier, except from the activation of the substrates with the formation of the corresponding enamines or iminium ions, the synthesis of enantiopure products can be also achieved organocatalytically with hydrogen bonding. Organocatalysts that contribute to hydrogen bond formation bear usually a urea or thiourea moiety and they mostly interact with carbonyl groups, nitro moieties or even imines that exist to the substrates, leading to increased electrophilicity; urea and thiourea moieties have also been proposed to interact with nucleophiles. Besides the fact that hydrogen bond donors increase the electrophilicity of the substrates, they mostly coordinate the transition state of the reaction, controlling this way the stereoselectivity of the products. It has been postulated that as the acidity of component HX is increased, the stronger the resulting hydrogen-bonding interaction Y···H–X is [15]. As a logical conclusion, it seems that multiple hydrogen-bonding interactions will provide a more defined conformation to the transition state, thus the catalysts, which contain urea or thiourea moieties are more efficient. If someone combines the ability of amines, to form the corresponding enamines with a carbonyl compound...
and the ability of ureas or thioureas to define a specific conformation in the transition state of the reaction, then, one can take advantage of a bifunctional catalyst. The first family of these bifunctional catalysts, that are going to be discussed, are the "primary amine-thioureas".

Initially, catalyst 4 was studied as an organocatalyst in the addition of isobutyaldehyde (1) to (E)-methyl 2-oxo-4-phenylbut-3-enolate (2) for the formation of substituted dihydro-2H-pyrann-6-carboxylate 3 (Scheme 4) [16]. It was observed, that by employing PhCOOH as an additive, the yield (%) and the ee (%) increased, in comparison to the use of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP). A single example was shown leading to 82% yield and an enantiomeric excess of 71%. The suggested mechanism for this catalytic reaction involves a bifunctional activation.

Utilizing the primary amino group, the authors proposed that the catalyst condenses to form an imine, which is in equilibrium with the corresponding enamine of isobutyaldehyde, while the two hydrogens of the thiourea group interact with one or two carbonyl groups of phenylbutenoate 2 (Scheme 5).

Another catalytic reaction catalyzed by a primary amine-thiourea that leads to multiple chiral centers is the asymmetric desymmetrization of 4,4-disubstituted cyclohexadienones 5, using the Michael addition of malonates 6, to obtain 3,4,4-trisubstituted cyclohexanones 7 [17]. It is noted that the organocatalyst employed is the same with the previous example, catalyst 4. Furthermore, this reaction is taking place in the presence of PPY and high pressure was utilized. The authors proposed that PPY deprotonates the ethyl malonate, producing the active nucleophile, while the thiourea group activates the electrophile (Scheme 6). The above catalytic reaction provided products with yields up to 99%, dr up to 93:7 and ee up to 93%.

Carter and co-worker utilized a similar primary amine-thiourea, organocatalyst 11, in an enantioselective synthesis of α,α-disubstituted cycloalkanones 10. Starting from α-substituted cycloalkanones 8 and alkenes 9, containing an electron withdrawing group, α,α-disubstituted cycloalkanones were obtained (Scheme 7) [18]. The reaction described above provided products with yields up to 96%, ee up to 98% and complete regiocontrol. The authors proposed that the primary amino group of the organocatalyst condenses with the ketone, to form the corresponding enamine, which in turn reacts with the electrophilic alkene 9.

Jacobsen and co-workers have introduced a number of (thio)ureas as organocatalysts for a variety of transformations. Utilizing the primary amine-thiourea 18, an enantioselective formal aza-Diels–Alder reaction of enones 12 and 13 was reported. In this reaction the enamine is formed from the side of the methyl ketone, which is conjugated with the pre-existing double bond, providing the electron-rich diene, which reacts with substituted dihydroisoquinoline 14 and dihydro-β-carboline 15, so that cyclohexanone derivatives 16 and 17 will be produced, respectively (Scheme 8) [19]. Also, a cyclic derivative of 13 was utilized (not shown). This aza-Diels–Alder reaction provides products with yields up to 99% and up to 99% ee. This constitutes an excellent addition in a synthetic chemist’s arsenal for the synthesis of polycyclic heterocycles.
Scheme 6: Asymmetric desymmetrization of 4,4-cyclohexadienones using the Michael addition reaction with malonates [17].

Scheme 7: The enantioselective synthesis of \( \alpha,\alpha \)-disubstituted cycloalkanones using catalyst 11 [18].

Scheme 8: The enantioselective synthesis of indolo- and benzoquinolidine compounds through aza-Diels–Alder reaction of enones with cyclic imines [19].
Along the same lines of cycloadditions, Jacobsen and co-workers reported the combination of a primary amine-thiourea and an achiral thiourea catalyst, organocatalyst. More specifically, the reaction is a catalytic asymmetric synthesis of 8-oxabicyclooctanes via an intermolecular [5 + 2] pyrylium cycloaddition (Scheme 9) [20]. This novel [5 + 2] cycloaddition describes the coupling of a pyrylium ylide with a dipolarophile, in order to give access to the 8-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane framework. In this reaction, the main factor of achieving high yields or enantioselectivities, is how electron-rich or electron-poor, the dienophile is. Electron-rich olefins, like the benzyl vinyl ether and ethyl vinyl ether, reacted with success providing high yields and high enantiomeric excess. It has been observed that a nucleophilic $\pi$-reactant is needed for the successful conversion of the reactants into the desired products, following a mechanism which involves a cationic, electron-poor amino-pyrylium intermediate. In addition, for the achievement of high ee values the nature of $R^3$ is very important. The better the leaving group $R^3$ is, the higher the values of the ee. It is mentioned that the ee in this reaction is up to 96%, and the recommended $R^3$ group to be used is 3,4,5-trifluorobenzyl.

The use of the bifunctional amine-thiourea catalyst, into a reaction providing oxazine derivatives, was reported by Ye and co-workers (Scheme 10) [21]. In this reaction, nucleophile is coupled to arylenone to give the desired product. Initially a Michael reaction is taking place, followed by cyclization. After screening of various acids, hydrobromic acid was found to be the optimum acid for the second step of the reaction. Products were obtained in good to excellent yields (64–99%), with >20:1 diastereoselectivity and excellent values of up to 98% ee.

Employing the same catalyst as before, another synthesis of the bridged core and specifically the bicyclo[3.3.1]nonadienone of (−)-huperzine was reported (Scheme 11) [22]. The reagents were the analogue of pyridine and an $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aldehyde. In order to obtain the desired products, an $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aldehyde must be used. In this particular reaction, the product was obtained in 78–90% yield and 15–92% ee. Finally, $\beta$-substituted $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aldehydes were completely unreactive.

In 2012, a proposed inverse electron-demand Diels–Alder reaction was reported by Wang and co-workers, obtaining enantiopure products, starting from diene and dienophile, using compound as the catalyst (Scheme 12) [23]. This reaction provided products in 84–99% yield and with a diastereoselectivity of up to >20:1 and excellent enantioselectivity (88–99% ee).

In 2015, Dixon, Paton and co-workers demonstrated an elegant route to morphan skeletons, utilizing prochiral ketones or, catalyzed by a primary amine-thiourea developed by Jacobsen. The proposed pathway is based on desymmetrization of by an intramolecular Michael addition of the corresponding enamines to an $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ester, to yield bicyclic.

The use of the bifunctional amine-thiourea catalyst, into a reaction providing oxazine derivatives, was reported by Ye and co-workers (Scheme 10) [21]. In this reaction, nucleophile is coupled to arylenone to give the desired product. Initially a Michael reaction is taking place, followed by cyclization. After screening of various acids, hydrobromic acid was used. The reagents were the analogue of pyridine and an $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aldehyde. In order to obtain the desired products, an $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aldehyde must be used. In this particular reaction, the product was obtained in 78–90% yield and 15–92% ee. Finally, $\beta$-substituted $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aldehydes were completely unreactive.

In 2012, a proposed inverse electron-demand Diels–Alder reaction was reported by Wang and co-workers, obtaining enantiopure products, starting from diene and dienophile, using compound as the catalyst (Scheme 12) [23]. This reaction provided products in 84–99% yield and with a diastereoselectivity of up to >20:1 and excellent enantioselectivity (88–99% ee).

In 2015, Dixon, Paton and co-workers demonstrated an elegant route to morphan skeletons, utilizing prochiral ketones or, catalyzed by a primary amine-thiourea developed by Jacobsen. The proposed pathway is based on desymmetrization of by an intramolecular Michael addition of the corresponding enamines to an $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ester, to yield bicyclic.

The use of the bifunctional amine-thiourea catalyst, into a reaction providing oxazine derivatives, was reported by Ye and co-workers (Scheme 10) [21]. In this reaction, nucleophile is coupled to arylenone to give the desired product. Initially a Michael reaction is taking place, followed by cyclization. After screening of various acids, hydrobromic acid was used. The reagents were the analogue of pyridine and an $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aldehyde. In order to obtain the desired products, an $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aldehyde must be used. In this particular reaction, the product was obtained in 78–90% yield and 15–92% ee. Finally, $\beta$-substituted $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aldehydes were completely unreactive.

In 2015, Dixon, Paton and co-workers demonstrated an elegant route to morphan skeletons, utilizing prochiral ketones or, catalyzed by a primary amine-thiourea developed by Jacobsen. The proposed pathway is based on desymmetrization of by an intramolecular Michael addition of the corresponding enamines to an $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ester, to yield bicyclic.
Scheme 10: Asymmetric synthesis of oxazine derivatives 26 [21].

Scheme 11: Asymmetric synthesis of bicyclo[3.3.1]nonadienone, core 30 present in (=)-huperzine [22].

Scheme 12: Asymmetric inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder reaction catalyzed by amine-thiourea 34 [23].
or spiro-bicyclic products 38 and 39, respectively, in excellent yields and stereoselectivities (Scheme 13) [24]. Computational studies were employed, in order to support the mechanistic pathway and the origins of stereocontrol.

**Secondary amino-thioureas as organocatalysts promoting asymmetric transformations that lead to a six-membered ring**

In 2009, the first asymmetric tandem reaction for the construction of bicyclic skeletons utilizing a secondary amine-thiourea was reported (Scheme 14) [25]. In this reaction, (E)-2-nitroallyl acetates 40 were used, that could serve as reagents, which can install a nitro group into the final product. After screening of various catalysts, organocatalyst 43 and 4-methoxybenzoic acid as a cocatalyst, was identified as the optimum for the reaction of (E)-2-nitroallyl acetate 40 with cyclohexanone 41 to provide nitrobicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one 42, in solvent-free conditions. This reaction provides products with yields up to 94% and enantiomeric excess up to >99%. A proposed mechanism for this reaction is shown below, where the formation of the s-trans-enamine occurs and then attacks the electrophilic double bond of the nitroallyl acetate (Scheme 15).
Among the same lines, Tsakos and Kokotos reported an enantioselective domino-Michael–Henry reaction catalyzed by a secondary amine-thiourea between cyclohexa-1,4-dienone (44) and a γ,δ-alkyl-aryl-disubstituted nitrodiene (45), providing bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (Scheme 16) [26]. The organocatalyst used in this reaction is the cyclic thiourea (47). It is noted, that organocatalyst (47) affords products only in organic solvents and more specifically in THF. This tandem Michael–Henry reaction provided the product in an excellent yield of 91%, excellent enantiomeric excess of 96% and complete diastereocontrol.

Trying to provide a greener alternative, Kokotos and co-workers, catalyzed the same tandem Michael–Henry reaction between cyclohexa-1,4-dienone (44) and nitrodiene (48) by employing the secondary amine-thiourea (50), which contains a fluorine on its skeleton and 4-nitrobenzoic acid as a cocatalyst, to provide the substituted bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (Scheme 17) [27]. It is highly noted that the difference to the moiety at the 4-position of the pyrrolidine ring, where a fluorine atom exists, gives to the organocatalyst (50) the ability to catalyze this tandem Michael–Henry reaction in brine, giving...
excellent diastereoselectivity and enantiomeric excess, unlike the previously employed catalyst 47, which worked only in organic solvent. The key component for the achievement of catalyst’s 50 catalytic ability is the known "gauche effect" of fluorine in the pyrrolidine ring, where $\sigma^*(C-F)$ and $\sigma(C-H)$ vicinal orbitals tend to overlap [28]. For a more efficient overlap of these two orbitals the ring has a certain bent conformation, which presumably makes the formed enamine more planar and a better nucleophile to attack the nitrodiene. This tandem Michael–Henry reaction provided the product in a medium yield 48%, excellent enantiomeric excess 97% and excellent diastereoselectivity >99:1.

Tertiary amine-(thio)ureas as organocatalysts promoting asymmetric transformations that lead to a six-membered ring
One-step reactions producing six-membered rings
In 2008, the first example of a single reaction producing a six-membered ring with multiple stereocenters catalyzed by a tertiary amine-thiourea 56 was reported by Bernardi, Ricci and co-workers for the Diels–Alder reaction of 3-vinylindoles 51 (Scheme 18) [29]. The authors utilized either maleimides 52 or quinones 53 as the dienophile, affording the products 54 and 55 in excellent yields and enantioselectivities, after trapping of the adducts with trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA), in order to make the products more stable. As expected the endo-adduct was the sole product observed.

For this transformation, quinine-derived bifunctional organocatalyst 56 was utilized. The authors proposed that the catalyst raises the HOMO of the nucleophile, making the diene more nucleophilic, and lowers the LUMO of the electrophile, making the dienophile more electrophilic (Scheme 19), thus the catalyst acts via a bifunctional mode. All these interactions are developed in the transition state through hydrogen-bonding, which controls the stereocchemical outcome of the reaction.

![Scheme 19: Proposed transition state and activation mode of the asymmetric Diels–Alder reactions of 3-vinylindoles 51 [29].](image-url)
The same year, two different groups utilized thiourea catalyst 57 to catalyze the desymmetrization of meso anhydrides 58 and 59 through a methanolysis reaction (Scheme 20 and Scheme 21).

Chin, Song and co-workers utilized the catalyst in 5–10 mol % catalyst loading and dioxane as solvent, producing the desired products 59 in excellent enantioselectivities [30].

Connon and co-workers, on the other hand, changed slightly the catalytic system, using only 1 mol % catalyst loading and MTBE as solvent to afford products 61 in excellent yields (90–99%) and good to excellent enantioselectivities (83–96% ee) [31].

In 2009, Cobb and co-workers disclosed the asymmetric intramolecular Michael addition of nitronates 62 onto conjugated esters utilizing the cinchona-derived thiourea 63 (Scheme 22) [32]. The reaction proceeded with excellent selectivity and afforded products 64 in good yield. The substrate scope of this reaction was thoroughly studied and the products of the transformation were exploited to generate a variety of γ-amino acids, including examples containing three contiguous stereocenters.

In 2010, Yan and co-workers described the Michael addition of malonates 65 to 3-nitro-2H-chromenes 66, which provided the substituted chromanes 67 in moderate to excellent yields and good enantioselectivities (Scheme 23) [33]. Catalyst (S,S)-68 is postulated to catalyze the reaction in a bifunctional manner: the tertiary amine deprotonates the malonate and the resulting enolate is directed to the upper face of the 3-nitro-2H-chromene due to hydrogen bonding of the enolate with the ammonium cation. The thiourea moiety, firstly activates the 3-nitro-2H-chromene through two hydrogen bonds, making it more electrophilic (LUMO lowering effect) and secondly it orients it near the enolate.

In 2011, You and co-workers described the intramolecular desymmetrization of cyclohexadienones 69 catalyzed by thiourea 71, derived from cinchonine to give a bicyclic system 70 containing two chiral centers, utilizing an aza-Michael reaction (Scheme 24) [34]. The reaction proceeded in good to excellent yield and excellent enantioselectivity for almost all of the substrates that were tested.

This methodology was further extended in the total synthesis of (−)-mesembrine. This natural product contains a sterically hindered and arylated quaternary carbon center, which was constructed via a desymmetrization aza-Michael reaction. That key intermediate 72 was afforded in 91% yield and 97% ee. (Scheme 25).

In 2012, Cobb and co-workers developed a novel asymmetric Michael–Michael reaction between nitrohex-4-enoates 73 and nitroolefins 74 to construct a cyclohexene moiety, bearing multiple contiguous stereocenters, including one quaternary center [35]. The reaction proceeded smoothly and a wide range of products 75 were obtained in good yields and moderate to
excellent stereoselectivity (Scheme 26). The authors proposed that the organocatalyst deprotonates substrate 73 to produce a nitronate, which reacts with the electrophilic nitroolefin via a Michael addition. The resulting nitro compound is again deprotonated by the organocatalyst and reacts with the α,β-unsaturated ester to yield the desired product.

Scheme 23: Asymmetric addition of malonate to 3-nitro-2H-chromenes 67 [33].

Scheme 24: Intramolecular desymmetrization through an intramolecular aza-Michael reaction [34].

Cascade/domino/tandem reactions producing six-membered rings

Cascade and tandem reactions always seemed very appealing to the synthetic community, not only because of their elegance, but also for their efficiency [36-42]. Cascade and tandem reactions have been proven extremely efficient because in only one synthetic operation, many bond-forming steps are achieved. Organocatalysis has made many contributions in cascade and tandem processes [43-45], due to the mild conditions required for the organocatalysts to operate, many distinct reactions can be conducted in one-pot fashion.

Cascade/domino/tandem reactions producing six-membered rings initiated by Michael addition

Bonne, Constantieux, Rodriguez and co-workers reported an enantioselective three-component Michael–Michael–Henry reaction to access a highly substituted cyclohexane 76 with excellent selectivity over three steps (>95:5 dr, 98% ee) using Takemoto’s catalyst 77 (Scheme 27) [46]. The cascade starts with a Michael addition of the enol of the α-keto-amide 78 to nitroalkene 79, subsequent Michael addition of nitronates to the second equivalent of nitroalkene 79 and finally a Henry-type reaction between nitronate and the highly electrophilic carbonyl of the α-keto-amide, resulting in the final product 76.
In 2010, Zhao and his group demonstrated the synthesis of bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-8-ones 80, via a domino Michael–Henry reaction using quinine-derived catalyst 57 (Scheme 28) [47]. The nucleophile in this process is cyclohexane-1,2-dione (81) and the Michael acceptor is nitroolefin 82. A wide range of substrates were tested and the products were isolated in good yields, moderate diasteroselectivities and excellent enantioselectivities. To expand the utility of the developed process, Zhao and co-workers performed the reaction with trans-β-nitrostyrene in gram scale isolating the desired product in 74% yield, 88:12 dr and 96% ee.
The same year, Rueping and co-workers utilized the cinchonidine-based thiourea catalyst 83 in much lower catalyst loading, in order to catalyze the same reaction producing the product in high yields and good selectivity (Scheme 29) [48]. In addition, they proposed an explanation for the low diastereoselectivity of the reaction: the kinetic product is slowly interconverting into the thermodynamic product by two pathways: the first one is deprotonation of the α-H to the nitro group and subsequent protonation, and the second pathway is by a retro-Henry process.

Employing the same nucleophile 81, Wang and his group combined it with β,γ-unsaturated α-ketoesters 87, as the electrophile, catalyzed by bifunctional indane-derived thiourea 88, to produce derivatives of 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran 89 (Scheme 30) [49]. This reaction sequence involved a Michael reaction, followed by a hemiacetalization reaction.

The reaction proceeded smoothly for a wide range of substrates to afford the desired products in good to excellent yields (72–97%) and excellent enantioselectivities (93–96% ee). Unfortunately, the product epimerized in the reaction medium, and the resulting product is a mixture of the two anomers.

In 2012, Xie and his group envisioned the use of α,α-dicyano olefins 90, as a vinylogous Michael donor in an asymmetric Michael addition to substituted 3-nitro-2H-chromenes 91 catalyzed by bifunctional thiourea catalyst 92 (Scheme 31) [50]. When R² is an alkyl group the reaction resulted in the production of 93 and 94 in moderate to excellent enantioselectivities, considering the high molecular complexity achieved in only one step.

Recently, Bugaut, Constantieux and co-workers described the enantioselective organocatalytic multicomponent synthesis of 2,6-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octanones 95, utilizing Takemoto’s catalyst 77 (Scheme 32) [51]. The reaction was carried out in dry toluene in the presence of molecular sieves at −10 °C, to afford the highly substituted product, containing a 2,6-diaza-bicyclo[2.2.2] unit and multiple stereocenters, of which two are contiguous and tetrasubstituted, in good yields and selectivities.
In 2013, Luo, Xu and co-workers demonstrated an easy method for the synthesis of enantiomerically pure polysubstituted chromans, via the reaction of chalcone enolates and nitromethane, catalyzed by quinine-derived thiourea (Scheme 33) [52]. Initially nitromethane adds to the chalcone moiety, followed by a nitronate addition to the α,β-unsaturated ester. The substrate scope was widely expanded, including the aromatic moieties containing halogens, alkyl and alkoxy groups. Also, ketones bearing aryl, heteroaryl and alkyl groups, provided the desired products in excellent yields and selectivities. In order to broaden the utility of this methodology, the authors reduced the nitro group to an amine. The product was in situ transformed to the tricyclic product through a stereoselective reductive amination, that controlled the stereochemistry of the carbon bearing the R₂ group.

Very recently, Wang and co-workers used a cinchona alkaloid-based bifunctional thiourea as the catalyst of choice to an
organocatalytic domino process. This domino reaction involved a Michael cyclization–tautomerization reaction sequence between isatylidene malononitriles 104 and α,α-dicyanoalkenes 105. The process yielded highly functionalized spiro-oxindole dienes 106. The products were obtained in good to excellent yields (up to 97%) and enantioselectivities (up to 96%), but the diastereoselectivities were moderate (up to 7.9:1) (Scheme 34) [53].

In 2015, Soós and co-workers disclosed an elegant synthesis of polysubstituted cyclohexanes, utilizing the chiral adduct 107 of the Michael reaction of chalcone 109 catalyzed by a bifunctional thiourea 56 [54]. The authors used a range of different adducts, as well as monosubstituted and disubstituted α,β-unsaturated aldehydes 108, affording the desired products 110 in moderate to good yields and good to excellent stereoselectivities (Scheme 35).

Recently, Wang and co-workers disclosed an asymmetric synthesis of dihydrocoumarins 113 containing adjacent stereogenic centers, utilizing the cinchona-derived bifunctional thiourea 57 [55]. A wide range of azlactones 112 were tested, as well as a plethora of α-hydroxychalcone derivatives 111, providing the products in good to excellent yield and good to excellent stereoselectivity (Scheme 36). The authors proposed that azlactones are deprotonated by the tertiary amine of the organocatalyst to provide an enolate, which in turn reacts with the Michael acceptor 111.

Cascade/domino/tandem reactions producing six-membered rings initiated by Michael addition of activated methylene derivatives

In 2004, Takemoto and co-workers demonstrated the enantioselective tandem Michael addition of γ,δ-unsaturated-β-ketoesters 114 to trans-β-nitrostyrene 115 which produced tetrasubstitut-
Scheme 36: Asymmetric synthesis of dihydrocoumarins [55].

Scheme 37: Asymmetric double Michael reaction en route to tetrasubstituted cyclohexenols [56].

Scheme 38: Asymmetric synthesis of α-trifluoromethyl-dihydropyrans [58].
The same year Zhao and co-workers applied the same principles, in order to produce another class of chiral dihydropyran 122. They utilized the novel tyrosine-derived tertiary amine-thiourea 123 in quite low catalyst loading to catalyze the reaction between α-cyanoketones 118 and β,γ-unsaturated α-ketoesters 87 (Scheme 39) [59]. Initially a Michael reaction occurs, followed by a hemiacetalization reaction, providing wide range of products in excellent yields (up to 95%) and selectivities (87–96% ee), confirming the generality of the protocol.

In 2010, Zhong and co-workers demonstrated that bifunctional thiourea 56 could catalyze the domino Michael–Henry reaction between nitroalkenes 82 and methyl 2,5-dioxocyclohexanecarboxylate 124 to produce bicyclo[3.2.1]octane unit (Scheme 40) [60]. The reaction proceeded smoothly to afford a wide variety of products 125 in good to excellent yields and selectivities.

In 2010, Gong and co-workers developed an asymmetric process en route to spiro[4-cyclohexanone-1,3'-oxindoline] 126 catalyzed by the bifunctional urea 127 (Scheme 41) [61]. The transformation follows a Michael–Michael mechanism and is considered a formal [4 + 2] cycloaddition of 128 (bearing a nucleophilic carbon as well as an electrophilic carbon) and protected methylene-indolinones 129. A wide range of substrates were tested and the desired products were isolated in good to excellent yields (up to 98%), diastereoselectivities (up to 99:1) and enantioselectivities (up to 98%).

In 2010, Xie and co-workers reported the kinetic resolution of racemic 3-nitro-2H-chromenes 130 catalyzed by Takemoto’s organocatalyst 77 (Scheme 42) [62]. The resulting (R)-3-nitro-2H-chromene was isolated in rather moderate optical purity.

In 2010, a domino Michael hemiacetalization reaction was reported between cyclic 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds 134 and β-unsaturated α-ketoesters 87 utilizing a novel tyrosine-derived thiourea 135 (Scheme 43) [63].

Scheme 39: Tyrosine-derived tertiary amino-thiourea 123 catalyzed Michael hemiacetalization reaction [59].

Scheme 40: Enantioselective entry to bicyclo[3.2.1]octane unit [60].

Scheme 41: Asymmetric synthesis of spiro[4-cyclohexanone-1,3'-oxindoline] 126 [61].
In 2010 and 2011, Wang demonstrated that the versatile β-unsaturated α-ketoesters 87 are capable of participating in multiple cascades, initiated by Michael addition of preformed stable enols 137 and 138. As a result, this methodology provided a highly efficient route to coumarins 139 and naphthoquinone derivatives 140 in excellent yields and selectivities (Scheme 44) [64, 65]. In both cases, a bifunctional activation of substrates was proposed by the authors.

In 2011, Yan and co-workers reported the organocatalytic cascade Michael hemiketalization, using the same versatile reagent, β-unsaturated α-ketoester 87, and 4,4,4-trifluoroacetate 143 to produce trifluoromethyl-substituted dihydrofurans 144 (Scheme 45) [66]. The process is catalyzed by the bifunctional cinchonine-derived thiourea 57. A number of substrates were presented and the methodology is tolerant to many functional groups.

The same year Zhao, Zhu and co-workers developed a new class of thiourea organocatalyst 145 bearing a trifluoromethyl group. The combination of this group and phenylalanine provided an efficient catalyst for the domino reaction between ethyl 4,4,4-trifluoro-3-oxobutanoate 146 and β-unsaturated α-ketoesters 87 (Scheme 46) [67]. A wide range of products were obtained in moderate to good yields and excellent selectivities following this methodology.

The same year Zhao and co-workers reported a similar type reaction (organocatalytic cascade Michael hemiketalization) between 3-oxo-phenylpropanenitrile 118 and (E)-1,1,1-trichloro-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one 148 catalyzed by bifunctional thiourea (R)-120 producing α-trichloromethylidihydropyrans 149 (Scheme 47) [68]. Utilizing a quite low catalyst loading (2 mol %), good yields and selectivities were achieved.

In 2011, Lee and co-workers disclosed the enantioselective synthesis of 3,4-dihydropyran 150 bearing an all-carbon spiroquaternary stereocenter utilizing Takemoto’s organocatalyst 77 (Scheme 48) [69]. The domino process is initiated by a Michael addition followed by acetalization, and subsequent PCC oxidation in an one-pot transformation.

In 2012, Enders and co-workers described the three-component domino Michael–Michael aldol reaction between β-ketoesters 153, nitroalkenes 77 and α,β-unsaturated aldehydes 154, producing heavily substituted cyclohexanes 155 containing...
Scheme 44: Wang’s utilization of β-unsaturated α-ketoesters 87 [64,65].

Scheme 45: Asymmetric entry to trifluoromethyl-substituted dihydropyrans 144 [66].

Scheme 46: Phenylalanine-derived thiourea-catalyzed domino Michael hemiacetalization reaction [67].
Scheme 47: Asymmetric synthesis of α-trichloromethylidihydropyrans 149 [88].

Scheme 48: Takemoto’s thiourea-catalyzed domino Michael hemiaketalization reaction [69].

Scheme 49: Asymmetric synthesis of densely substituted cyclohexanes [70].

Scheme 50: Cascade/domino/tandem reactions producing six-membered rings initiated by oxy/aza/sulfa-Michael addition

In 2007, Wang and co-workers utilized 2-mercaptobenzaldehydes 163 and α,β-unsaturated systems as Michael acceptors, such as α,β-unsaturated oxazolidinones 164 and maleimides 52, in order to catalyze Michael aldol cascades to construct versa-
tile benzothiopyrans derivatives 165 and 166 (Scheme 52) [73,74]. The reactions operate through a sulfa-Michael aldol mechanism. Those transformations are useful because they produce products containing three contiguous stereocenters in high yields and excellent stereoselectivities utilizing only 1 mol % catalyst loading.

The authors proposed a bifunctional mode of activation. More specifically, the thiourea moiety activates the maleimide through hydrogen-bonding and the tertiary amine recognizes the thiol group, again through hydrogen-bonding, and orients the thiol attacking from the Si-face of the maleimides 52 (Scheme 53).
In 2008, Wang and co-workers described a very interesting Michael–Michael cascade of trans-3-(2-mercaptophenyl)-2-propenoic acid ethyl esters 167 and nitroalkenes 82 to produce thiochromane derivatives 168 catalyzed by the bifunctional thiourea 57 (Scheme 54) [75]. The reaction proceeded smoothly for a wide range of substrates with high stereoselectivity, that fact is inconsistent with the current literature as the sulfa-Michael reaction is not catalyzed efficiently by this catalyst. In order to explain the high selectivity of the reaction, they proposed a dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR) pathway of a Michael–retro-Michael–Michael–Michael reaction.

The same year Zhao and co-workers reported a novel domino Michael–Knoevenagel reaction between 2-mercaptobenzaldehydes 163 and easily accessible Michael acceptors 169 catalyzed by 9-epi-aminoquinine thiourea 57 (Scheme 55) [76]. Various adducts were obtained in good to excellent yields (up to 96%) and moderate to excellent selectivities.

In 2010, Chen, Xiao and co-workers described a domino sulfa-Michael–Michael reaction catalyzed by the novel multifunctional thiourea 171 (Scheme 56) [77]. The cascade is initiated by the addition of thiol 173 to the more electrophilic double bond of 172, those in conjugation with the nitro group, and subsequent addition of the nitronate to the remaining double bond.
A wide range of substrates were tested and the desired products 174 were obtained in good to excellent yields (up to 92 %) and selectivities (~95:5 dr and up to 96% ee), employing only 3 mol % catalyst loading. The synthetic utility of the process was further expanded by the multigram version of the reaction utilizing only 0.5 mol % catalyst loading and by the transformations of the adducts into other synthetic intermediates by oxidation either of the nitro group or the thioether group.

The same year Wang and co-workers reported the enantioselective synthesis of spiro-chromanone-thiochroman compounds 175 catalyzed by a bifunctional indane-based thiourea 176 (Scheme 57) [78]. The cascade is initiated by the sulfa-Michael addition of 2-mercaptobenzaldehyde 163 to the exo-α,β-unsaturated ketone 177 and subsequent aldol reaction between the newly-formed enolate and the aldehyde moiety. The desired products were obtained utilizing low catalytic loading (5 mol %) in excellent yields (up to 98%) and enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee), but low to excellent diastereoselectivities (1.2:1–57:1 dr).

In 2011, Chen, Xiao and co-workers, based on their previous work [77], described the aza-Michael–Michael cascade between substituted anilines 178 and nitroolefin enoates 172, utilizing a bifunctional cinchonine-derived thiourea 57 (Scheme 58) [79]. The reaction proceeds very smoothly for a variety of substrates affording the desired products in excellent yields and selectivities.

In 2012, Xu and co-workers described an alternative route to highly-functionalized tetrahydroquinolines employing a domino aza-Michael–Michael reaction of substituted anilines 180 and nitroolefin 77 catalyzed by a bifunctional thiourea 181 (Scheme 59) [80]. The combined yields of the products 182 and 183 was good (up to 96%) but the selectivity was moderate.

Miscellaneous cascade/domino/tandem reactions

In 2012, Wang and co-workers disclosed a novel domino Mannich–Michael reaction between malonitriile 184 and substituted aromatic imine 185 catalyzed by bifunctional thiourea 88 (Scheme 60) [81]. Many functional groups were tolerated, ob-
Scheme 58: Enantioselective synthesis of 4-aminobenzo(thio)pyrans 179 [79].

Scheme 59: Asymmetric synthesis of tetrahydroquinolines [80].

Scheme 60: Novel asymmetric Mannich–Michael sequence producing tetrahydroquinolines 186 [81].

In 2012, Wang and co-workers reported a novel domino Friedel–Crafts alkylation (via conjugate addition)-hemiacetalization catalyzed by rosin-derived tertiary amine-thiourea 187 (Scheme 61) [82]. Reagent 87 was successfully combined with...
Zhao and co-workers employed the bifunctional cinchona-derived thiourea 181 to catalyze the tandem Henry–Michael reaction of nitromethane (101) to the enal 192, but the reaction resulted in three diastereoisomers (Scheme 62) [83]. With this in hand, they envisioned the interconversion of the kinetic products to the most stable product. In order to achieve that, they designed an one-pot two-step process, where upon completion of the tandem Henry–Michael reaction, TMG catalyzed the epimerization to the sole product 193. Their postulation is based on the fact that Henry reactions are typically reversible, so 194 and 195 could be involved in a retro-Henry and subsequent diastereoselective Henry reaction, where the stereochemical outcome is inducted by the $C_2$ stereochemistry. This is further supported by some additional mechanistic experiments they conducted. The substrate scope was also examined and the nature of the R group does not affect the outcome of the reaction, as the reaction proceeds smoothly with excellent selectivity.

In 2013, Quintavalla and co-workers disclosed an interesting Henry–Michael–retro-Henry–Henry domino cascade to furnish substituted cyclohexanes with three adjacent stereocenters [84]. A wide range of aldehydes 196 were tested, obtaining the desired products 197 in good yields and good stereoselectivities (Scheme 63). The process follows an interesting mechanism, proposed by the authors, supported by experimental data. The initial Henry reaction provides the two nitro alcohols 198, 199 as a mixture of low optical purity. Subsequent Michael addition provides 197, 200 and 201. Compounds 200 and 201 were further investigated.

**Scheme 61**: Enantioselective synthesis of biologically interesting chromanes 190 and 191 [82].

**Scheme 62**: Asymmetric tandem Henry–Michael reaction [83].
Scheme 63: An asymmetric synthesis of substituted cyclohexanes via a dynamic kinetic resolution [84].

Equilibrate to 197 via a retro-Henry reaction to 202, followed by a Henry ring closure.

In 2015, Chen and co-workers envisaged a three-component organo-cascade quadruple reaction, that yielded highly functionalized polycarbocycles [85]. The authors utilized multiple aromatic aldehydes 205 and some 4-substituted cyclohexanones 206, affording the desired products 207 in good yield and stereoselectivity, given the high molecular complexity that is being achieved in one step (Scheme 64). The researchers suggested that diketone 204 and benzaldehyde 205 reacts through Knoevenagel condensation, to produce 2-arylidene-1,3-indanones, which is subsequently attacked by the enolate of cyclohexanone. Two subsequent aldol reactions furnished the desired product.

Miscellaneous thiourea-catalysts and catalytic systems promoting asymmetric transformations that lead to a six-membered ring

The discovery of L-proline as an organocatalyst for the aldol reaction was of major importance and therefore many asymmetric reactions that could not be achieved, are now possible. There are many reactions catalyzed by L-proline, affording stereoselective products in high yields and enantiomeric excess, nevertheless there are many limitations. For that reason, it has emerged the need for the synthesis of new molecules that would
have the same reactivity with L-proline in catalyzed asymmetric reactions and better properties.

The combination of proline with other molecules to provide a catalytic system was exploited by Ramachary and co-workers in an enamine-based Michael reaction between 2-(2-nitrovinyl)phenol (208) and cyclohexanone (209, Scheme 65) [86]. When that reaction has been performed under the “regular” conditions for a Michael reaction, product 210 has been obtained in low yields. To overcome this problem, catalysts 57 and 211 were combined and the reaction goes through a more rigid pre-TS assembly. Reduction of the hemiacetal 210, afforded product 212 in 90% yield and >99% ee.

A mechanism for the above reaction, where the s-cis enamine attacks the electrophilic double bond of 2-hydroxynitrostyrene, was proposed (Scheme 66).

In 2012, Wang and co-workers developed a dual organocatalyst catalytic system, en route to hexa substituted hexanes, utilizing some aldehydes 213 and a wide range of nitroolefins 82 [87]. The products were obtained in good yields and good to excellent stereoselectivities (Scheme 67).

The researchers proposed that the diaryl silyl prolinol 214 condenses with the aldehyde to form the corresponding enamine, that in turn reacts with the nitroolefin to produce the Michael adduct 216. 216 is being deprotonated by the chiral thiourea to afford a nucleophilic nitronate, which attacks the nitroolefin. Subsequent Henry reaction afforded the desired product (Scheme 68).

Among the same lines, Zhou, Li and co-workers reported a cascade process affording six-membered spiro-cyclic oxindoles with five adjacent stereocenters. The authors proposed that the reaction proceeds via an asymmetric Michael–Michael aldol sequence (Scheme 69) [88]. In this protocol, when a different derivative of L-diphenylprolinol is used, a different diastereomer of the product is obtained. When along with N-Boc-substituted oxindole 218, substituted derived nitro-alkene 82 and substi-
tuted unsaturated aldehyde 154, a bifunctional quinine-derived thiourea 57 and L-diphenylprolinol-tert-butyxlsilyl ether 219 were used, the substituted N-Boc-substituted spiro-oxindoles 220 were obtained. This domino Michael–Michael aldol reaction provides the product in an excellent 94% yield, excellent enantiomeric excess (>99%) and good diastereoselectivity (7:2:1). Utilizing organocatalyst 57 with another derivative of 220, organocatalyst 214, another diastereomer was obtained of the desired product 221 (Scheme 69). This domino Michael–Michael aldol reaction provides the product in an excellent 92% yield, excellent enantiomeric excess (>99%) and good diastereoselectivity (9:2.5:1).
Dixon, Xu and co-workers described a three-compound reaction between dialkyl malonate 222, nitro-alkene 82 and substituted enal 154, catalyzed by the chiral quinine-derived thiourea 57 and organocatalyst 223, affording product 224 with a substituted cyclohexane-ring core (Scheme 70) [89]. The experimental results of this reaction were excellent with a 54% yield, 3.1:1:1 dr and >99% ee. The proposed mechanism, begins with an activation of the malonate 222 and the nitro-alkene 82, so a stereoselective Michael addition occurs. Thus, the formed adduct, through an iminium catalysis pathway caused by catalyst 223, reacts with the unsaturated aldehyde and affords a pre-aldol substrate. Finally, under basic conditions, an aldol reaction is taking place and gives the final desired substituted cyclohexane.

In a similar manner, the same group reported the synthesis of substituted piperidines 225 and 226 through a multiple organocatalytic activation of the substrates which are nitro-alkene 82, aldehyde 213 and a substituted (E)-tosylimine 227 (Scheme 71) [90]. This catalytic reaction gives the product in a good yield and an excellent enantioselective excess. The proposed mechanism of this reaction starts when catalyst 223 activates aldehyde 213, through the formation of the corresponding enamine. Then, the enamine reacts with nitro-alkene 82, which is activated by hydrogen bonding due to catalyst 228. Thus, the formed intermediate can now participate to a nitro-Mannich reaction, affording a N-protected aminoaldehyde product. Finally, the N-protected aminoaldehyde product can now be cyclized under the reactions’ conditions.

Another stereoselective reaction was attempted by Kotsuki’s group presenting an organocatalytic hetero-Diels–Alder reaction between isatin 229 with substituted diene 230. High pressure had to be employed in order to obtain spiro-dihydropyranoxindole derivatives 231 in good to excellent yields, using catalyst 232 (Scheme 72) [91]. The mechanistic studies showed that the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl group was an essential component of the thiourea catalyst. After the optimization of the reaction conditions the yields of products 231 were 71–91%.

Barbas and co-workers reported the synthesis of carbazole spiro-oxindole derivatives, in a Diels–Alder reaction in very short reaction time (10 min). The reagents were the substituted indoles 233, benzylidene oxindolinones 234 and the organocata-
lyst, a C$_2$-symmetric bis-thiourea 235 was employed to yield product 236 (Scheme 73) [92]. Surprisingly, a single diastereoisomer was isolated, despite the fact that four new chiral centers were produced. The products were obtained in high yields (75–99%) and ee values 88–99%. The biggest advantage of this reaction is that it can be transferred to large-scale chemical production, due to the difference in the solubilities of the reactants and the products, which means the product and the catalyst can be isolated separately.

In 2012, Carrillo and co-workers reported an enantioselective formal [2 + 2] cycloaddition of enals 237 with nitroalkenes 238 to obtain the oxabicyclo product 239 (Scheme 74) [93]. A combination of catalysts was used, with catalysts 23 and 214. This
reaction affords the desired product in 38–91% yield and 85–95% ee.

Furthermore, a thiourea catalyzed reaction via a cationic polycyclization of hydroxylactams 240 leads to the corresponding polycyclized products 241, using organocatalyst 242 (Scheme 75) [94]. The authors postulated that the existence of an extended aromatic framework on the catalyst is very crucial, as the delocalized $\pi$-electron system interacts with the N-acyliminium ion intermediate through a stabilizing cation–$\pi$-interaction. They came to this conclusion, after an extensive catalyst screening.

In 2014, Shi and co-workers presented the synthesis of products 243, utilizing substrates 244 and $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aldehyde 245. Chiral phosphine organocatalyst 246 was employed as the catalyst (Scheme 76) [95]. Product 243 was obtained in high yield (85%), high ee values (up to 99%) and high diastereoselectivity (8:1:1).

In 2012, an interesting $\alpha$-selective approach for the synthesis of galactopyranoses using achiral thiourea organocatalyst 20, was reported from McGarrigle, Galan and co-workers (Scheme 77) [96]. In this reaction the reagent is 2,3,4-trisubstituted dihydropyran 247 and the product is the corresponding $\alpha$-galactopyra-
Scheme 77: Formation of the α-stereoselective acetals 248 from the corresponding enol ether 247, using catalyst 23 [96].

In 2013, Schmidt and co-workers described the use of Shreiner’s thiourea as a catalyst in glycosidation with O-glycosyl trichloroacetamides as glycosyl donors [97]. α-D-glucopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate 249 was employed as a donor, several alcohols were utilized, achieving moderate to excellent anomeric selectivity (Scheme 78). Other O-glycosyl donors were tested, giving similar results.

Conclusion
Throughout this review, efficient ways of activating both substrates by interactions via hydrogen bonds, derived from thiourea moieties, were presented. Reactions providing enantiopure products were shown to be catalyzed by primary, secondary and tertiary chiral amine-thioureas, or a combination of catalysts. Products were obtained in one-pot or step-economic domino processes, achieving high increase of molecular complexity in step-economy transformations. There is no doubt that this scientific field will grow in the near future, providing more efficient ways of constructing six-membered rings.
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