By 2016, Ukraine had the second largest HIV epidemic in Eastern Europe and Central Asia with 280,358 people living with HIV. Interventions targeted for FSWs are a key component of HIV prevention programs as heterosexual contacts continue to gain higher epidemic significance – the proportion of the sexual way of HIV transmission has steadily increased and reached the value of 73.3% in 2016. However, HIV prevention programs for female sex workers generally provide services to women who self-identify as sex workers. Thus, programs aimed at female sex workers may not reach women who exchange sex for money or other resources, but who do not identify as sex workers.
The Transitions study aimed to better understand vulnerabilities to HIV, HCV, and other STBBIs among young women in Dnipro prior to, and in the very early period after entry into sex work. In order to inform HIV prevention services in Dnipro, this study examines self-reported behaviors that shape HIV vulnerability and place young women in Dnipro at increased risk for HIV infection. A multistage, stratified cluster sampling approach identified a random sample of participants between 14 and 24 years old. A team of field researchers conducted structured interviews with participants to gather information about their sexual behaviors and drug use practices using a standard quantitative tool. Authors present results from epidemiological mapping exercises and bio-behavioral surveys carried out among young women. This paper focuses on select components of the larger Transitions study, and has four aims: identifying and characterizing locations in which study group members congregate/reside in Dnipro, Ukraine; estimation the population sizes of the three study groups in Dnipro; examination how vulnerabilities to HIV and HCV overlap among study group members; and characterizing and estimate the duration of the transition period and access gap. Paper discusses the period of time between a woman’s first sex and self-identification as a sex worker as the “transition period”, and the period after formal entry into sex work, but prior to accessing health services from sex worker-specific programs, as the “access gap”. This study highlights numerous individual-level risk factors, as well as social and structural vulnerabilities for HIV faced by young women in Dnipro. Combination HIV prevention programmes that integrate behavioural, biomedical, and structural interventions are recommended to expand upon existing, local prevention efforts in Dnipro, and Ukraine more broadly.
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ОЦІНКА РИЗИКУ РАННЬОГО ІНФІКУВАННЯ ВІЛ СЕРЕД ДІВЧАТ-ПІДЛІТКІВ І МОЛОДИХ ЖІНОК ГРУП РИЗИКУ В М. ДНІПРО, УКРАЇНА

До 2016 р. в Україні спостерігалась друга за величиною епідемія ВІЛ у Східній Європі та Центральній Азії – 280 358 людей, які живуть з ВІЛ. Втручання, спрямовані на зниження ризику комерційного сексу (ЖКС), є ключовим компонентом програм профілактики ВІЛ, оскільки гетеросексуальні контакти продовжують набувати важливого значення для епідемії – частина статевого шляху передачі ВІЛ неухильно зростає і у 2016 р. досягла значення у 73,3%. Проте програми профілактики ВІЛ для ЖКС у цілому надають послуги жінкам, які самодійно ідентифікують себе як працівниці секс-бізнесу. Таким чином, програми, спрямовані на ЖКС, можуть не охоплювати жінок, які займаються сексом в обмін на гроші чи ресурси, проте не визнають себе працівницями секс-бізнесу. Метою "Транзитного проекту" є краще розуміння уражливості до ВІЛ, ВГС та інших інфекцій, що передаються статевим шляхом та через кров, серед молодих жінок у Дніпрі у період до та після завершення до сфери комерційного сексу. Вибір участі виконаний 14–24 роки здійснено методом класної багатоступінчастою вибірки. Група дослідників на місцях провела структуровану інтерв’ю з учасниками з метою збору інформації про статеве поведінку та практики вживання наркотиків, використовуючи стандартизовані опитувальні. Автори пропонують результати картина та дослідження проведеного серед молодих жінок. Ця робота представляє окремі компоненти "Транзитного проекту" та має на меті вирішення таких завдань: вивчати та охарактеризувати точки, на яких збираються/проживають представники групи дослідження (молоді жінки, які належать до гуртків секс-бізнесу), визначити, як враження до інфікування ВІЛ та ВГС перетворяється між представниками вікової групи дослідження; оцінити тривалість перехідного періоду та періоду відсутності доступу до послуг. Це дослідження окреслює чисельні фактори ризику на індивідуальному рівні, а також соціальні та структурні уразливості інфікування ВІЛ, з якими стикаються молоді жінки в м. Дніпро. Автори рекомендують поєднувати програми профілактики ВІЛ, які об’єднують поведінкові, біомедичні та структурні заходи з метою розширення існуючих місцевих заходів з профілактики в Дніпрі та Україні.

Ключові слова: ВІЛ, комерційний секс, відсутність доступу до послуг, ризикові поведінкові практики, профілактичні програми.

Structural, behavioural, and biological factors contribute to risk and vulnerability for HIV, hepatitis C virus (HCV), and other sexually transmitted and blood-borne infections.
Estimating early HIV risk among at risk adolescent girls

(STBBIs) among women engaged in sex work. It is important to determine the extent to which these factors contribute to increased risk and vulnerability, and how these factors interact to potentially magnify or mitigate risk. A full characterization of this risk environment is important to inform the design and implementation of comprehensive HIV interventions for female sex workers.

The purpose of this paper is to provide an overview of early findings from the Transitions study\(^1\), which was conducted in Dnipro, Ukraine from 2013-2015. The paper presents results from epidemiological mapping exercises and bio-behavioural surveys carried out among young women, aged 14-24 years.

After sub-Saharan African and the Asia and Pacific regions, the UNAIDS Eastern European and Central Asian Region, and Ukraine specifically, experience among the highest annual rates of new HIV infections, globally \([1]\). For the past decade, Ukraine’s annual HIV incidence per 100,000 population has been consistently higher compared to all other reporting countries in the Eastern European and Central Asian Region \([2]\). Most recent data from Ukraine estimated 30.4 new HIV infections per 100,000 adult population (15-49 years) \([2]\) and 0.9% adult HIV prevalence \([3]\).

Ukraine’s HIV epidemic is heterogeneous and disproportionately affects particular geographic regions and sub-populations. Odessa, Mykolaiv, Kherson, and Dnipropetrovsk oblasts, as well as cities with high populations density (e.g. Kyiv, Odessa, and Dnipro) experience relatively high HIV prevalence compared to other regions of the country \([1]\). Young women (14-24 years) in Ukraine are more vulnerable to HIV infection than men of the same age, with overall HIV prevalence of 0.6% and 0.2%, respectively \([3]\).

In 2015, the World Health Organization estimated that 71-million people were living with HCV worldwide, with the European and Eastern Mediterranean regions being most affected \([4]\). Limited information is available about rates of HCV among adults in Ukraine, but prevalence within the general populations has been estimated to range from 2%-7% \([5-7]\), while estimates of the absolute number of people living with HCV range from 1.2 million \([6]\) to 3.5 million \([5]\).

HCV also disproportionately affects certain sub-populations.\(^7\) Among people who inject drugs, HCV prevalence has been estimated to range from 51.7%-62.7% \([8]\). Over the past half-decade, reported incidence of HCV has consistently increased among adults in Ukraine

---

\(^1\) The Transitions study team would like to thank all the women who took part in the study, for their time and energy for the behavioural survey and the biological sampling. We would also like to thank Huiting Ma (MSc, Data Science – Analyst, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael’s Hospital, Toronto, Canada) and Stella (Programmer Analyst, Centre for Global Public Health, Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada) for their analytical support. This work was made possible by the members of the study team in Ukraine, Canada, and Kenya; study funders (Canadian Institutes for Health Research, grant numbers: MOP-130441 and FDN-13455); implementation, research, and program and policy partners in Ukraine (Ukrainian Institute for Social Research after Oleksandr Yaremenko, Alliance for Public Health, Public Health Centre of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine, Dnipropetrovsk Oblast AIDS Centre, CF “Virtus”, and the independent research agency “DEFgroup”), and in Canada (National HIV and Retrovirology Laboratories of the Public Health Agency of Canada).
– from 11.6 infections per 100,000 population in 2010 to 16.8 infections per 100,000 population in 2015 [9]. Furthermore, co-infections with HIV and HCV are relatively common among some key population members in Ukraine [1]. In 2015, 75.4% of all individuals newly diagnosed with HIV were also tested for HCV, and among them, 36.3% were found to have evidence of HCV infection [1].

It is important to note that limited epidemiological data are available from the temporarily occupied territories that have been experiencing military conflict (i.e. Sevastopol and AR Crimea) as well as regions in which counterinsurgency activities have been operating (i.e. Donetsk and Luhansk) [1]. As such, estimates for the Ukrainian HIV and HCV epidemics should be interpreted with caution.

“Key populations” refer to sub-populations that are disproportionately vulnerable to HIV, HCV, and other STBBIs. In Ukraine, key populations include female sex workers, people who inject drugs, and men who have sex with men [1]. Earlier in Ukraine’s HIV epidemic, transmission was primarily attributed to unsafe injection practices among people who inject drugs [2]. Over the past decade, an increasing number of new HIV infections have been observed among different sub-populations, and condom-less heterosexual sex is now the most commonly reported mode of HIV acquisition [2]. At the same time, transmission through condom-less sex between men has also become increasingly recognised as an important mode of HIV acquisition in the country [1; 2].

Given the increasing epidemiological importance of heterosexual transmission in Ukraine, women involved in sex work and their sexual partners (both clients and non-paying intimate partners) are sub-populations that must be prioritised within HIV prevention strategies [1]. While, estimates of the average HIV prevalence among female sex workers in Ukraine have decreased from 12.9% in 2009 to 7.0% in 2015 [1; 10], variation exists across geographic regions [1]. In 2015, HIV prevalence was estimated to be as high as 18.6% in Cherkasy oblast and as low as 0.7% in Zhytomyr oblast [1].

While not formally recognized as a key population for the HIV, HCV, and other STBBI epidemics in Ukraine, certain sub-groups of adolescents – referred to as most at-risk adolescents – have also been identified as a priority group for HIV prevention programming [11; 12]. Beginning in 2007, UNICEF implemented a multi-country project aimed at developing an evidence base to better understand the epidemiology of HIV among adolescents in Ukraine and southeastern Europe. The project also described HIV transmission dynamics among most at-risk adolescents, identified existing HIV prevention programs in the region, and provided an overview of existing advocacy efforts that represent adolescents’ interest at the national and regional levels [13]. Specifically because of these efforts, most at-risk adolescents were successfully integrated into the National Targeted Social Programme on HIV/AIDS for 2014-2018 [14].

Interventions tailored to female sex workers are often key components of national HIV prevention strategies, particularly in regions where sexual networks involving female sex workers, their clients, and their intimate partners are found to contribute to heterosexual HIV epidemics [15]. However, HIV prevention programs for female sex workers generally provide services to women who self-identify as sex workers. Thus, programs aimed at female sex workers may not reach women who exchange sex for money or other resources,
but who do not identify as sex workers. The *Transitions* study aimed to better understand vulnerabilities to HIV, HCV, and other STBBIs among young women in Dnipro prior to, and in the very early period after entry into sex work.

The *Transitions* study conceptualised the period of time between a woman’s first sex and self-identification as a sex worker as the “transition period”, and the period after formal entry into sex work, but prior to accessing health services from sex worker-specific programs, as the “access gap” (Figure 1).

**Figure 1. Schematic conceptualisation of the transition period and access gap in relation to timing and trajectory of HIV prevalence and vulnerabilities over time**

Prior to formally identifying as a sex worker, a woman may engage in transactional sex – defined by the *Transitions* study as an instance in which sex is exchanged between a man and a woman – with the expectation that she would receive money, gifts, or other resources in return. In the case of a transactional exchange, the price of sex is typically not negotiated upfront, but an implicit understanding about the exchange exists. In contrast, *Transitions* defined sex work as an explicit transaction between a man and a woman in which money, gifts, or other resources are exchanged for sex. In the context of sex work, the price of a sex act is negotiated up front, both parties typically agree upon the price before the event takes place, and payment is made before or immediately after the sex act. It is important to note that self-identification as a sex worker is a distinguishing feature between transactional sex and formal sex work. Participants in the *Transitions* study were not classified as sex workers for analyses if they had not self-identified as a female sex worker, regardless of whether reported behaviours matched the study’s definition used to define female sex workers.

The purpose of the *Transitions* study was to characterize factors that influence HIV risk and vulnerability during the transition period and access gap among young, sexually active women between the ages of 14 and 24 years in Dnipro, Ukraine. Based on the idea that access to sexual health services may be limited among young women who engage in
behaviours that place them at disproportionate risk of acquiring HIV, HCV, or other STBBIs, Transitions set out to examine the importance of these early periods of potential vulnerability for epidemic control. Furthermore, a better understanding of the transition period and access gap could be important for informing the implementation of, and configuration of services offered by HIV prevention programs.

To gain a better understanding of events that occur during and around the transition period and access gap, the Transitions study focused on three specific groups of young women who visit female sex worker hotspots. The three study groups included young women who: (1) have had sex, but have not engaged in transactional sex or formal sex work; (2) have engaged in transactional sex, but do not identify as female sex workers; and (3) formally identify as female sex workers (Figure 2). In this context, “hotspots” refer to physical locations where female sex workers meet or have sex with clients.

The objectives of the Transitions study were to describe how the characteristics and length of the transition period and access gap varies across epidemiologic contexts and in turn how HIV prevalence varies. The study also aimed to assess the extent to which HIV infections acquired during the transition period and access gap (before women are reached by existing programs), could mitigate the population level impact of HIV prevention interventions for women who self-identify as female sex workers, and to explore the potential marginal benefit of expanding programs to reach women during the transition period and access gap.

Figure 2. Definitions used for study group membership in the Transitions study

This paper focuses on select components of the larger Transitions study, and has four aims:
1. Identify and characterize locations in which study group members (i.e., young women seeking casual and transactional sex partners and young female sex workers) congregate/reside in Dnipro, Ukraine.

2. Estimate the population sizes of the three study groups in Dnipro.

3. Examine how vulnerabilities to HIV and HCV overlap among study group members.

4. Characterize and estimate the duration of the transition period and access gap.

Methods. The Transitions study used two core methods for primary data collection. The first step was geographic mapping to locate and enumerate the study population. These data were used to develop the sampling frame for the cross-sectional biological and behavioural survey, the second step of the study. These steps are described in further detail below.

Mapping. The Transitions study used a geographic mapping approach to locate and estimate population sizes of female sex workers (all ages, as well as the sub-population of female sex workers aged 14-24 years) and young women (14-24 years) seeking transactional and casual sex partners in Dnipro. These population estimates and locations where female sex workers and young women congregate to seek clients and sex partners formed the sampling frame for the study.

The mapping methods followed an approach that has been implemented in many countries globally [16; 17], and is based on the understanding that most female sex workers will congregate and/or meet clients in geographically defined areas (“hotspots”). A comprehensive description of this mapping methodology is described elsewhere [16]. Briefly, the mapping methodology includes four main steps: i) a pre-mapping exercise; ii) level 1 key informant interviews to generate an exhaustive list of places where women congregate to seek clients and partners for transactional and/or casual sex; iii) level 2 interviews with female sex workers to validate and characterize sex worker hotspots; and iv) data triangulation and compilation. The methods employed by the Transitions study were modified to include enhanced venue profiling that would: i) capture information about young women seeking transactional and casual sex partners within validated hotspots; and ii) estimate the population sizes of young women, aged 14-24 years, belonging to the three study groups (Figure 2).

Sampling framework. Participants for the Transitions study were recruited using a multi-stage cluster sampling approach with probability proportional to size of the enumerated population in the hotspots. From the selected hotspots, participants were recruited and screened for eligibility with the support of outreach workers.

Young women were eligible to participate in the Transitions study if they: (i) were 14 – 24 years of age, inclusive; (ii) had ever had sex; and (iii) were residing/working within a hotspot that had been identified and validated through preliminary mapping exercises.

Figure 3 outlines the sampling framework used for the Transitions study, with a target sample of 900 young women seeking casual sex partners (i.e. Group 1), 450 young women seeking transactional sex partners (i.e. Group 2), and 450 female sex workers (i.e. Group 3).

Behavioural survey. The first component of the Transitions study was a cross-sectional survey that collected information about young women’s sociodemographic characteristics; timing of specific transition and access gap events (first sex, first transactional sex,
self-identification as commercial sex workers, access to prevention program services; current sexual behaviours and partnerships; sexual behaviours and partnerships during the transition period and access gap; condom-use; alcohol use; injecting and non-injecting drug use; experiences of violence; HIV prevention program access; STBBI and HIV testing practices; and reproductive health. Behavioural survey questions were largely based on previously established guidelines, methodologies, and validated tools [18–20].

Women who met the eligibility criteria outlined above participated in the survey, after providing written informed consent. Trained interviewers administered the face-to-face surveys in Russian.

Figure 3. Sampling framework and target sample sizes for study groups in the Transitions study

Following informed consent and completion of the behavioural survey, finger-prick blood samples were collected from consenting participants and tested with PROFITEST, a combination rapid test for HIV, HCV, hepatitis B, and syphilis. Pre- and post-test counseling was provided to the participants as per national guidelines. If a participant tested positive for HIV, she was referred to the Dnipropetrovsk Oblast AIDS Center for confirmatory testing and appropriate follow-up care and treatment. If a participant tested positive for syphilis, HCV, or hepatitis B, she was referred to the local government clinic for confirmatory testing and treatment. Regardless of test results, all participants were referred to local HIV/STBBI prevention services in Dnipro and encouraged to continue with regular HIV testing on a semi-annual basis. All biological procedures were performed by certified medical workers from the Dnipropetrovsk Oblast AIDS Centre in a mobile clinic (provided by ICF “International HIV/AIDS Alliance in Ukraine” and CF “Virtus”) or in local government polyclinics (outpatient clinics).
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The Transitions study received ethical approval from the Health Research Ethics Board at the University of Manitoba, (Winnipeg, Canada), the Ethical Committee at the Sociological Association of Ukraine (Kyiv, Ukraine) and Committee on Medical Ethics of the State Institution – L. Gromashevsky Institute of Epidemiology and Infectious Diseases, National Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine (Kyiv, Ukraine). Informed consent was obtained from study participants separately for the behavioural survey and rapid test components of the Transitions study. No identifying information was collected, but each participant was assigned an alphanumeric participant identification number that was used to link the behavioural and biological data to accommodate individual-level data analyses.

Importantly, all young women invited to participate in the Transitions study were referred to local HIV prevention programs if they were not already accessing services and information regarding local health facilities where further testing could be obtained, independent of their decision to participate.

Findings and Results. In total, 1,818 women were recruited: 899 young women seeking casual sex partners/engaging in casual sex, 466 in young women seeking transactional sex partners/engaging in transactional sex, and 453 young female sex workers.

Mapping findings. During level 1 mapping activities, 891 hotspots were identified in Dnipro, with approximately 50% previously known to local prevention programs. Through level 2 mapping activities, 778 (87.3%) were validated to be active hotspots at which young women sought clients for sex work, and/or partners for transactional and casual sex.

Of all 778 active hotspots, 279 (35.9%) were used by women who self-identified as sex workers and 526 (67.6%) were spots in which young women met casual or transactional sex partners, suggesting that some hotspots were used by all three study groups. Among the 279 validated female sex worker hotspots, only 15.0% were also used by young women seeking transactional and/or casual sex partners, with 6.1% valid hotspots being used by all three study groups (Figure 4).

![Figure 4. Overlap among study groups within validated female sex worker hotspots in Dnipro (N=279), %](image-url)
Seven hotspot typologies were identified among the 279 female sex worker hotspots in Dnipro. Overlap between study groups existed within each hotspot typology; young women seeking transactional and/or casual sex partners were identified within each hotspot typology (Table 1).

**Table 1**

| Typologies of female sex worker hotspots in Dnipro |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Hotspot typology                              | n   | % |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Brothel                                       | 89  | 31.9 |
| Apartment                                     | 48  | 17.2 |
| Street/public place/road/highway              | 43  | 15.4 |
| Massage parlour/sauna/beauty salon           | 29  | 10.4 |
| Night club/casino/disco/art club/strip bar   | 15  | 5.4 |
| Café/restaurant/bar                           | 44  | 15.8 |
| Other (e.g. student dormitories, gyms)       | 11  | 3.9 |
| **Total**                                     | 279 | 100.0 |

Overlap between female sex workers and young women seeking transactional and/or casual sex partners was primarily observed within public establishments, such as cafés, restaurants, bars, and nightclubs (Figure 5). As expected, brothels, apartments, streets and highways, or massage parlours were predominantly places where only female sex workers sought clients.

![Figure 5. Overlap among study groups across female sex worker hotspot typologies in Dnipro (N=279)](image-url)
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*Population size estimates.* Mapping estimated a total female sex worker population in Dnipro of 1,087 individuals (range: 817-1,357), and 67.4% \((n = 733;\) range: 563-903) of all sex workers were 14-24 years old. It was estimated that a further 244 (range: 161-331) and 524 (range: 346-712) young women, aged 14-24 years, engaging in transactional or casual sex, respectively (Table 2).

**Table 2**

| Study group                                      | Population size estimates |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                                   | mean | minimum | maximum |
| Group 1: Young women seeking casual sex partners  | 524  | 346     | 712     |
| Group 2: Young women seeking transactional sex partners | 244  | 161     | 331     |
| Group 3: Young female sex workers                | 733  | 563     | 903     |
| Female sex workers (all ages)                    | 1087 | 817     | 1357    |

There was unequal distribution of study groups across different hotspot typologies in Dnipro (Figure 6). Young female sex workers were most commonly identified at brothels, apartments, massage parlours/saunas/beauty salons, and along streets or highways, while cafés/restaurants/bars and nightclubs/discos were more commonly used as meeting places among young women seeking casual sex partners. Overall, hotspots characterized as nightclubs/discos and cafés/restaurants/bars were found to have the highest density of all study groups at any given time.

![Figure 6. Mean number of study group members per female sex worker hotspot, by hotspot typology](image)
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Sociodemographic characteristics of Transitions study participants. The median age of study participants was 20 years (interquartile range 18-22 years), and the majority (88.4%) were never married (Table 3).

Table 3

| Characteristic                          | All study groups (N = 1818) | Young women engaging in casual sex (n = 899) | Young women engaging in transactional sex (n = 466) | Young female sex workers (n = 453) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                        | n %                         | n %                                        | n %                                               | n %                               |
| Median age (years)                     | 20                          | 19                                         | 21                                                | 20                                |
| Marital status                         |                             |                                             |                                                   |                                   |
| Single, never married                  | 1413                        | 77.9                                       | 700                                               | 77.9                              |
| Married, living with spouse            | 116                         | 6.4                                        | 84                                                | 9.3                               |
| Married, not living with spouse        | 44                          | 2.4                                        | 8                                                 | 0.9                               |
| Unmarried, living with partner         | 192                         | 10.6                                       | 92                                                | 10.2                              |
| Divorced/separated                     | 44                          | 2.4                                        | 14                                                | 1.6                               |
| Widowed                                | 6                            | 0.3                                        | 1                                                 | 0.1                               |

Over one-half of participants who engaged in casual sex indicated that they were currently students (57.8%; n=520), and just under one-half of young women engaging in transactional sex were current students (42.5%; n=198). A much smaller proportion (14.1%; n=64) of young female sex worker participants reported being a student at the time of the survey.

Table 4 outlines the highest levels of education attained by young women in each study group. Among all participants, approximately two-thirds (67.6%) reported having a complete secondary or vocational education. While 8.4% and 11.4% of young women engaging in casual and transactional sex, respectively, had attained higher education at the post-graduate level, only 1.3% of young female sex workers reported the same. A very small proportion (0.2%, n=2) of young women engaging in casual sex reported having no completed formal education; however, this may be due to the fact that nearly 60% still students at the time of the survey.

First sexual experiences. According to the 2012 Ukraine Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey [21], the average reported age at first sex was 18 years—substantially higher than the average age at first sex reported among Transitions participants in all study groups. Approximately 40% of young female sex workers participating in the Transitions study were younger than 15 years when they first had sex, while 19.2% and 18.5% of participants engaging in casual and transactional sex, respectively, were younger than 15 years at first
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sex. Among all participants, nearly 14% had their first sex with a man ≥10 years older than them. For most first sex acts, a condom was not used (Table 5).

### Table 4

| Characteristic                                | All study groups (N = 1818) | Young women engaging in casual sex (n = 899) | Young women engaging in transactional sex (n = 466) | Young female sex workers (n = 453) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                               | n  | %  | n  | %  | n  | %  | n  | %  |
| Highest completed level of education          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Never received formal education               | 2  | 0.1| 2  | 0.2| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Below secondary education                     | 450| 24.9| 202| 22.6| 65 | 14.0| 183| 40.5|
| Full secondary education                      | 542| 29.9| 263| 29.5| 143| 30.8| 136| 30.1|
| Vocational education                          | 682| 37.7| 351| 39.3| 204| 43.9| 127| 28.1|
| Higher education, post-graduate               | 134| 7.4 | 75 | 8.4 | 53 | 11.4| 6  | 1.3 |

### Table 5

| Characteristic                                | All study groups (N =1818) | Young women engaging in casual sex (n =899) | Young women engaging in transactional sex (n =466) | Young female sex workers (n =453) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                               | n  | %  | n  | %  | n  | %  | n  | %  |
| Median age at first sex (years)               | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 |     |     |     |
| First sex at age ≤14 years                    | 434| 23.9| 173| 19.2| 86 | 18.5| 175| 38.6|
| First sex partner older by ≥10 years          | 243| 13.5| 99 | 11.1| 76 | 16.4| 68 | 15.2|
| No condom used                                | 968| 53.5| 450| 50.3| 224| 48.4| 294| 65.2|

Nearly one-fifth of all participants reported receiving some resource in exchange for their first sex; among whom 5% of young women reported receiving money in exchange for sex during their first sexual encounter (Figure 7). Among all study groups, a greater proportion of young female sex workers and young women engaging in transactional sex reported receiving money at their first sex (8.9% and 8.8%, respectively), while less than 2% of women engaging in casual sex received money in exchange for their first sex.
Figure 7. Reported receipt of resources other than money in exchange for first sex, by study group, %

The most commonly reported resources received in exchange for sex were clothes (38%), food (37%), alcohol (31%), money (31%), and a place to stay (28%) while only 1% of study group members reported receiving drugs in exchange for first sex.

Experiences of physical and sexual violence. Participants from all study groups reported experiences of physical and sexual violence at the hands of sex partners (Figure 8). In general, a greater proportion of young women reported experiencing physical violence than sexual violence. Nearly one-third of female sex workers reported ever experiencing physical violence, while sexual violence was reported by 14.9% of female sex workers and 12.2% of young women engaging in transactional sex.

Figure 8. Experiences of physical and sexual violence reported among study group members, %
Experiences of physical violence were common among young women <18 years old. Among self-identified female sex workers <18 years, 40.9% had experienced physical violence at the hands of a sex partner at least once. At the same time, 5.3% and 10.3% of participants <18 years who engaged in casual and transactional sex, respectively, had ever experienced physical violence by sex partners.

Furthermore, 7.5% of young women engaging in casual sex and 8.4% of participants engaging in transactional sex indicated that their first sex was forced. Among young female sex workers, 5.3% reported the same. Non-paying intimate partners were the most commonly reported perpetrators of sexual violence among young women engaging in casual sex. For self-identified female sex workers, regular paying clients and non-paying intimate partners were the most frequent perpetrators of sexual violence. For participants who engaged in transactional sex, regular transactional sex partners and non-paying intimate partners were the most common perpetrators of sexual violence.

**Alcohol and other drug use.** While over 60% of all participants indicated that they consumed alcohol at least once a month, daily alcohol consumption was uncommon. Nearly half (43.6%) of young female sex worker participants reported ever using illicit drugs other than alcohol, while 15.7% and 20.6% of participants engaging in casual and transactional sex, respectively, reported the same. Similarly, compared to the other two groups, a greater proportion of young female sex workers reporting ever injecting drugs (Table 6).

**Table 6**

| Characteristic         | All study groups (N=1818) | Young women engaging in casual sex (n=899) | Young women engaging in transactional sex (n=466) | Young female sex workers (n=453) |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Alcohol use            |                           |                                           |                                                  |                                  |
| Never                  | 221                       | 12.2                                      | 135                                              | 15.0                             | 55                               | 11.8                           | 31                               | 6.9                             |
| Less than once a month | 476                       | 26.2                                      | 260                                              | 28.9                             | 113                              | 24.2                           | 103                              | 22.9                            |
| At least once a month  | 1088                      | 59.9                                      | 501                                              | 55.7                             | 292                              | 62.7                           | 295                              | 65.6                            |
| Daily                  | 30                        | 1.7                                       | 3                                                | 0.3                              | 6                                | 1.3                            | 21                               | 4.7                             |
| Other drug use         |                           |                                           |                                                  |                                  |                                  |                                |                                  |                                 |
| Ever used              | 433                       | 23.9                                      | 141                                              | 15.7                             | 96                               | 20.6                           | 196                              | 43.6                            |
| Ever injected          | 84                        | 4.6                                       | 28                                               | 3.1                              | 10                               | 2.2                            | 46                               | 10.3                            |

It was relatively common for participants to report sexual encounters while under the influence of alcohol or other drugs. Among those reporting alcohol use at least once a month, 49.4% of young women engaging in casual sex, 49.0% of those engaging in transactional sex, and 60.0% of self-identified sex workers also reported having sex while drunk during the same period.

**Transition period and access gap.** For participants who self-identified as female sex workers at the time of the survey, the median length of time from first sex to self-
identification as a sex worker (the transition period) was 2 years (IQR 1-3 years). Meanwhile, the length of the access gap – defined as the period of time from self-identification as sex worker until first point of contact with an HIV prevention program – was significant. Among young female sex workers, the rate of first contact with a program providing STBBI prevention services was 0.3 per person years in sex work. Nearly three-quarters (70.6%) of young female sex workers reported no contact with an HIV prevention program.

**HIV and HCV rapid testing.** All participants who completed the behavioural survey agreed to take part in the combination rapid testing for HIV, HCV, hepatitis B and syphilis. Only HIV and HCV prevalences are reported here.

**HIV prevalence.** Overall, HIV prevalence among Transitions study participants was 1.9%, with notable heterogeneity across groups – 4.0% of young female sex workers had positive HIV tests, while 1.0% and 1.7% of young women engaging in casual and transactional sex, respectively (Figure 9).

![Figure 9. HIV prevalence among Transitions participants, by study group, %](image)

HIV prevalence was higher among participants who had ever injected drugs, compared to those who had never injected (Table 7).
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**Table 7**

| Characteristic                        | All study groups | Young women engaging in casual sex | Young women engaging in transactional sex | Young female sex workers |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                       | \( n \) | \( N \) | \( % \) | \( n \) | \( N \) | \( % \) | \( n \) | \( N \) | \( % \) | \( n \) | \( N \) | \( % \) |
| Ever injected drugs                   | 9       | 84  | 10.7  | 2       | 28   | 7.1   | 1       | 10   | 10.0  | 6       | 46   | 13.0  |
| Ever used drugs, never injected       | 8       | 343 | 2.3   | 1       | 113  | 0.9   | 2       | 83   | 2.4   | 5       | 147  | 3.4   |
| Never used drugs                      | 17      | 1382 | 1.2   | 6       | 758  | 0.8   | 4       | 370  | 1.1   | 7       | 254  | 2.8   |

HIV prevalence also varied across age groups (Figure 10). HIV prevalence was highest among participants in the oldest age group (22-24 years) across all study groups.

**Figure 10. HIV prevalence among study group members, by age group, %**

*Hepatitis C prevalence.* The overall HCV prevalence among all *Transitions* participants was 5.5%. HCV prevalence was highest among female sex workers at 13.5% and much lower among young women engaging in casual and transactional sex at 2.4% and 3.6%, respectively (Figure 11).
When considering all participants together, HCV prevalence was considerably higher among those who reported ever injecting drugs (23.8%) than among participants who had never injected drugs (9.0%) (Table 8). However, the difference in HCV prevalence was less dramatic between female sex workers who had ever injected drugs and those who had never injected (28.3% vs. 15.0%, respectively).

Table 8

| Characteristic                      | All study groups | Young women engaging in casual sex | Young women engaging in transactional sex | Young female sex workers |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                     | n    | N   | %   | n   | N   | %   | n   | N   | %   | n   | N   | %   |
| Ever injected drugs                 | 20   | 84  | 23.8| 6   | 28  | 21.4| 1   | 10  | 10.0| 13  | 46  | 28.3|
| Ever used drugs, never injected     | 31   | 343 | 9.0 | 4   | 113 | 3.5 | 5   | 83  | 6.0 | 22  | 147 | 15.0|
| Never used drugs                    | 49   | 1382| 3.5 | 12  | 758 | 1.6 | 11  | 370 | 3.0 | 26  | 254 | 10.2|

Young female sex workers were found to have the highest HCV prevalence (Figure 12). Among participants engaging in casual sex and young female sex workers, the youngest age group (14-17 years) had the highest HCV prevalence – as high as 3.3% and 16.7%, respectively. Among participants engaging in transactional sex, HCV prevalence was the highest among those who were between 22-24 years (6.4%).

Figure 11. Hepatitis C prevalence among Transitions participants, by study group, %

Young female sex workers were found to have the highest HCV prevalence (Figure 12). Among participants engaging in casual sex and young female sex workers, the youngest age group (14-17 years) had the highest HCV prevalence – as high as 3.3% and 16.7%, respectively. Among participants engaging in transactional sex, HCV prevalence was the highest among those who were between 22-24 years (6.4%).
Estimating early HIV risk among at risk adolescent...

Figure 12. Hepatitis C prevalence among study group participants, by age group, %

Key findings, programmatic implications and recommendations. The Transitions study examines the risks and vulnerabilities for HIV in the sexual life course of adolescent girls and young women through a simplified model from first sex to engagement of casual and transactional sex to entry into formal sex work. While this linear model does not fully capture the complexity and fluidity of sexual partnerships, it has highlighted critical periods of HIV vulnerabilities during young women’s lives. This study found that compared to the general female population in Ukraine, Transitions participants across all three study groups were younger at the time of their first sex event, had received money and/or other resources at the time of first sex, and had experienced both physical and sexual violence at a young age.

HIV and HCV prevalence was also higher than anticipated (compared with prior studies) among Transitions participants given their young age. Overall, HIV prevalence among Transitions participants was 1.9%, with 4.0% of female sex workers, 1.7% of young women seeking transactional sex partners and 1.0% of young women seeking casual sex partners testing positive in the study. In the case of HCV, the overall prevalence among all Transitions participants was 5.5%, with 13.5%, 3.6% and 2.4% of female sex workers, young women engaging in transactional sex, and young women engaging in casual sex having a positive test, respectively. These findings contrast strikingly to the female sex worker integrated biological and behavioural assessment survey implemented nationally in 2015, which found 0% HIV and 0% HCV positivity among participants of the same age category in Dnipro. This discrepancy may be partially explained by the sampling strategy employed in Transitions. By purposefully anchoring HIV/HCV risk and vulnerability to
physical space and expanding the standard mapping approach to enhance venue profiling of locations where young women congregate to meet sex work clients and transactional and casual sex partners, the Transitions study was able to identify young women who are disproportionately vulnerable to STBBIs and who could benefit from HIV/HCV prevention programming efforts. Indeed, Transitions has shown that only 29.4% of young female sex workers had ever had contact with a local HIV prevention program, thus illustrating the potential application of this venue-based approach in the delivery of prevention and harm reduction services to target limited program resources to where they are needed most and where they could be the most impactful.

The unexpectedly high HIV prevalence among young female sex workers and young women engaging in transactional and casual sex who participated in the Transitions study suggests that the contribution of sex work and other forms of informal exchange for sex to the general HIV epidemic situation in Dnipro has likely been underestimated. As such, this may also hold true for other big cities in the regions with high rates of HIV. This, together with the observation that many HIV vulnerabilities common to female sex workers are also shared by young women engaging in transactional and casual sex, should provide impetus for rethinking the current scope of HIV prevention programming. In addition to strengthening current services for female sex workers to be inclusive of young women who have newly entered into sex work, prevention activities focusing on the needs of at-risk young women engaging in other forms of sexual exchange should be urgently implemented. Some examples include strategies to delay sexual debut among adolescents and young adults, education campaigns on personal protection from HIV and HCV, social marketing of condoms and other forms of harm reduction, and social campaigns to eliminate gender-based violence. Not only could integration of HIV testing, treatment, and care services with sexual and reproductive health services more holistically address the unique needs of adolescents and young women, it could also destigmatize HIV testing by normalizing testing as a routine part of sexual and reproductive health services offered to young people.

A limitation of the Transitions study is the underestimates of population sizes generated by the geographic mapping and enumeration approach (Table 2). While mapping and enumeration have been used in many cities and countries, this enhanced method was applied for the first time in Dnipro through Transitions. In places where mapping had been implemented, a thorough data collection process relied on the connection of key population members (“peers”) with other peers, and with hotspot establishments. In Dnipro, where sex worker mobilization networks are still largely informal – or, in some instances, non-existent – the current population size estimates for the three study groups provide a bare minimum baseline upon which the design of new HIV prevention programs can be based, and upon which future rounds of mapping could improve. Indeed, the fact that the study team was able to meet the sampling targets for women engaging in casual and transactional sex (i.e. Group 1 and Group 2) that were higher than the population size estimates overall, highlights the importance of iterative mapping and enumeration to update these estimates and further refinement of the mapping method for the context of Dnipro.

Like many HIV/STBBI prevention and control programs that are grappling with how the Internet is influencing social and sexual networks, Transitions was similarly limited in its
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capacity to estimate the population size of young female sex workers and other young women seeking casual and transactional sex partners on the Internet and through mobile applications. While we expect that a proportion of individuals identified through mapping of physical hotspots also used virtual hotspots to meet their clients and other sex partners, the extent of this overlap will need further investigation through affiliation network analysis.

The Transitions study addresses an important area in HIV prevention research that is not currently well understood. Our findings have the potential to contribute substantially to our scientific understanding of HIV risk and vulnerability among female sex workers prior to their self-identification as commercial sex workers. A better understanding of the characteristics of and HIV risk associated with the transition period and access gap, and the anticipated benefits of strategically expanding existing targeted interventions, will inform program design and delivery to maximize the population-level impact of targeted HIV prevention programs for female sex workers.
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