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WHAT THIS PAPER ADDS

Comparing these techniques identifies a modest correlation but some key differences related to the spatial distribution of 18F-FDG and USPIO uptake. This may reflect the differing elements of macrophage activity detected by these modalities: glycolysis and phagocytosis. Further studies are needed to assess whether identification of this varying activity will influence aneurysm growth rates and the clinical outcome.

Objectives: Inflammation is critical in the pathogenesis of abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) disease. Combined 18F-fludeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) positron emission tomography with computed tomography (PET-CT) and ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide (USPIO)-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are non-invasive methods of assessing tissue inflammation. The aim of this study was to compare these techniques in patients with AAA.

Materials and methods: Fifteen patients with asymptomatic AAA with diameter 46 ± 7 mm underwent PET-CT with 18F-FDG, and T2*-weighted MRI before and 24 hours after administration of USPIO. The PET-CT and MRI data were then co-registered. Standardised uptake values (SUVs) were calculated to measure 18F-FDG activity, and USPIO uptake was determined using the change in R2*. Comparisons between the techniques were made using a quadrant analysis and a voxel-by-voxel evaluation.

Results: When all areas of the aneurysm were evaluated, there was a modest correlation between the SUV on PET-CT and the change in R2* on USPIO-enhanced MRI (n = 70,345 voxels; r = .30; p < .0001). Although regions of increased 18F-FDG and USPIO uptake co-localised on occasion, this was infrequent (kappa statistic 0.074; 95% CI 0.026−0.122). 18F-FDG activity was commonly focused in the shoulder region whereas USPIO uptake was more apparent in the main body of the aneurysm. Maximum SUV was lower in patients with mural USPIO uptake.

Conclusions: Both 18F-FDG PET-CT and USPIO-MRI uptake identify vascular inflammation associated with AAA. Although they demonstrate a modest correlation, there are distinct differences in the pattern and distribution of uptake, suggesting a differential detection of macrophage glycolytic and phagocytic activity respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in imaging modalities have generated considerable interest in novel molecular and cellular techniques. In contrast to anatomical and structural approaches, molecular and cellular imaging targets the activity of specific biochemical and cellular processes to provide insight into the aetiology, biology, and pathogenesis of diseased states. Moreover, this has the potential to refine the diagnosis and risk stratification of cardiovascular disease as well as to assess responses to specific therapeutic interventions.1–5 A combination of morphological imaging with molecular imaging has proven a particularly useful approach.

18F-Fludeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) is used to image metabolically active cells with combined positron emission and computed tomography (PET-CT). 18F-FDG accumulates in all
cells and tissues that metabolise glucose, in direct proportion to their metabolic activity. In atherosclerotic arteries and those affected by vasculitides, 18F-FDG uptake correlates with the degree of arterial inflammation and is reproducible.6–8 Furthermore, 18F-FDG uptake increases with the number of cardiovascular risk factors present, is predictive of future cardiovascular events,9–11 and has been used as a biomarker to demonstrate the anti-inflammatory effects of statins and other novel therapies.12–14 18F-FDG accumulates in the wall of abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAAs) and several studies have correlated uptake with aortic wall inflammation on histology.15–17 There is evidence to suggest that 18F-FDG can discriminate between asymptomatic and symptomatic AAA, but its potential use as a marker of aneurysm progression, rupture, and rupture has yet to be established.15–22

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide (USPIO) is an alternative approach for detecting cellular inflammation.23,24 Owing to their small particle size (diameter 10–30 nm), USPIO escape immediate recognition by the reticulo-endothelial system, persist in the bloodstream, and accumulate at sites of vascular inflammation. Here they undergo phagocytosis by tissue-resident macrophages within which they accumulate and are detectable on T2- and T2*−weighted MRI sequences. Within atheromatous plaques, USPIO uptake correlates with macrophage density, distinguishes stable from unstable carotid plaques, and is reduced following high-dose atorvastatin therapy.25–27 USPIO uptake in the wall of AAAs has previously been demonstrated, where it co-localises with macrophages and is associated with a threefold higher AAA growth rate.24

Given that both 18F-FDG PET and USPIO-enhanced MRI have been used to assess vascular inflammation in patients with AAA, the aim of this study was to compare 18F-FDG PET and USPIO-enhanced MRI in patients with AAAs. Specifically, the spatial distribution and intensity of the inflammatory process using both techniques was assessed to determine whether they provided complementary or distinct insights into the pathology of AAAs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Patients with asymptomatic AAA (diameter 30–55 mm on duplex ultrasound examination) were recruited from the aneurysm surveillance clinic at the Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh. Exclusion criteria were age < 50 years, active systemic inflammatory or malignant disease, renal dysfunction (estimated glomerular filtration rate < 30 ml/min, because of the risks of contrast induced renal dysfunction), hepatic cirrhosis (Child–Pugh score B or C, because of the contrast agent not having been studied in this group of patients), planned AAA surgery within 6 months of screening, any contraindication to MRI and insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (due to the confounding of 18F-FDG uptake with variable blood glucose concentrations). Studies were performed with the approval of the local research ethics committee, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and with the written informed consent of each participant.

All patients underwent a comprehensive baseline clinical assessment, including evaluation of their cardiovascular risk factor profile and recording of an abdominal ultrasound scan. 18F-FDG PET-CT and USPIO-MRI data acquisition procedures are detailed in Methods I of the Supplementary Material.

**Image analysis**

**Registration of PET and MRI images.** The accuracy of PET-CT to Computed Tomography Aortogram (CTA) registration was confirmed by visual assessment, and minor intra-scan patient movement was corrected using a semi-automatic rigid 3D voxel registration protocol (Analyze 11.0, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA). Registration of the MRI data allowed the excellent anatomical detail on the T2W images and the high sensitivity of T2*W images for iron to be utilised. All MR images were registered to the pre-contrast T2W image. The CTA and T2W MRI datasets were also co-registered. At the end of the registration process, the pre-USPIO T2*W MRI, the post-USPIO T2*W MRI, the CTA, and the PET-CT were all co-registered to enable direct comparison. All steps of registration used a semi-automatic rigid 3D voxel registration protocol that has been previously validated and published.24 All outputs were manually checked and optimised as necessary. Two independent trained observers, who were experienced vascular surgeons who had developed the image analysis techniques, undertook the analyses. There was excellent inter-observer agreement with kappa statistics between 0.84 and 0.89, for all steps.

**18F-FDG quantification.** The maximum standardised uptake value (SUVmax) was used to assess 18F-FDG uptake in the aneurysm. The SUV is the decay-corrected tissue uptake divided by the injected dose per unit body weight and is a semi-quantitative dimensionless unit that has been previously validated and is a commonly used measure of tissue 18F-FDG uptake.5,28 The SUV in vascular structures can be heavily influenced by variability of 18F-FDG activity in the blood pool. Therefore, the tissue-to-background ratio (TBR) was also calculated by dividing the tissue SUVmax by an averaged mean SUV in the blood pool, derived from five circular regions of interest in the centre of the inferior vena cava. An area of 18F-FDG uptake was defined as positive if the SUVmax or TBR was > 125% of the value obtained from an averaged SUVmax from five randomly selected regions in the non-aneurysmal descending thoracic aorta.29,30

**USPIO quantification.** Using validated in-house software built in the Matlab environment (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA), all four echoes in the multi-echo T2*W sequence were combined to generate a T2* map in which the magnitude of each voxel represented the T2* value (\[S(t) = S(0)exp^{-(t/T2^*)}\]). USPIO uptake was detected using the change in T2* (or R2*; R2* = 1/T2*) following USPIO administration. We applied a validated image analysis...
was increased uptake of USPIO or \(^{18}\text{F-FDG}\), the aneurysm reduced in diameter to an identical way but from the point where the aneurysm bifurcated. The MR images were reconstructed with an anisotropic voxel size of 1 × 1 × 1 mm. ROIs were defined in the aortic wall and peri-luminal thrombus on each slice of the corresponding PET images. A ROI encompassing the entire aorta was divided in the axial plane into three distinct regions: on MRI the shoulder region extended one slice (5 mm) above the point at which the aorta last measured 30 mm in diameter, whereas on PET-CT, two slices (6 mm) below the point at which the aorta last measured 30 mm. The area between these points was defined as the main body of the aneurysm.

In order to define the area of the aneurysm where there was increased uptake of USPIO or \(^{18}\text{F-FDG}\), the aneurysm was divided in the axial plane into three distinct regions: on MRI the shoulder region extended one slice (5 mm) above and below the point at which the aorta last measured \(\leq 30\) mm in diameter, whereas on PET-CT, two slices (6 mm) were considered. The bifurcation region was defined in an identical way but from the point where the aneurysm reduced in diameter to \(\leq 30\) mm. The area between these two points was defined as the main body of the aneurysm.

Voxel-by-voxel analysis. The MR images were reconstructed by down-sampling to achieve equivalent voxel sizes with the corresponding PET images. A ROI encompassing the entire aortic wall and thrombus was drawn on each slice of the pre-contrast T2W image. These ROIs were then applied to the PET images and to the calculated \(\Delta R2^*\) colour maps. This enabled a quantitative voxel-by-voxel analysis of USPIO and \(^{18}\text{F-FDG}\) uptake.

Aneurysm classification. We have previously shown that mural USPIO uptake predicts expansion; therefore, aneurysms were classified into three predefined groups by two independent blinded observers: Group 1, no mural or thrombus USPIO uptake, except for isolated periluminal enhancement; Group 2, diffuse USPIO uptake, distinct from the periluminal thrombus and aortic wall; and Group 3 focal areas (with at least 10 contiguous voxels) of USPIO uptake within the aortic wall of the aneurysm, distinct from the periluminal area and thrombus. \(^{24}\) PET activity in regions of increased uptake was then compared across these three groups.

A schematic outlining the image analysis techniques undertaken can be found in Methods III of the Supplementary Material.

Statistical analysis

Normally distributed continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Non-parametric data were presented as median with interquartile ranges. Correlations between normally distributed data were performed using Pearson’s correlation. Comparisons were undertaken with paired or unpaired Student’s t-tests as appropriate. A two-sided \(p < .05\) was regarded as statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with the use of GraphPad Prism version 5 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA USA).

RESULTS

Fifteen predominantly elderly men with multiple cardiovascular risk factors and a mean AAA diameter of 46 mm (range 34—55 mm) participated in the study (Table 1). All patients were asymptomatic and had fusiform aneurysms confined to the abdominal aorta. \(^{18}\text{F-FDG}\) PET-CT and USPIO-enhanced MRI scans were performed a median of 7 days apart. PET imaging of the abdomen was undertaken a median of 92 (IQR, 89—97) minutes after injection of 237 \(\pm 16\) MBq of \(^{18}\text{F-FDG}\). The effective radiation from participation in the study was 10.8 mSv using a conversion factor previously published for \(\Delta T2^*\) thresholding of AAA-USPIO data in order to visually interpret and threshold the USPIO T2*-weighted data. \(^{24}\) Increasingly \(R2^* \left(=\frac{1}{T2^*}\right)\) are reported to provide a positive correlation between concentration of USPIO and increase in \(R2^*\) values, facilitating more straightforward data interpretation and visualisation. Detailed USPIO quantification methodology is outlined in Methods II of the Supplementary Material.

The correlation between \(^{18}\text{F-FDG}\) uptake and USPIO distribution was analysed using three methods: the signals in different regions of the aneurysm were compared by drawing regions of interest (ROI) around the wall and thrombus, then the co-localisation across the aneurysm as a whole using a voxel-by-voxel analysis was studied. Finally, a previously defined aneurysm classification system based on USPIO uptake on MRI \(^{24}\) was compared with the mean \(SUV_{\text{max}}\) and TBR for the positive regions of \(^{18}\text{F-FDG}\) uptake in each aneurysm.

Colour maps. Two independent observers, blinded to patient demographics and aneurysm size reviewed the \(\Delta T2^*\) colour maps and the fused PET-CT data. Each axial slice was divided into quadrants and each quadrant was defined as positive if it included at least one region of increased USPIO or \(^{18}\text{F-FDG}\) uptake, or negative if it did not. On the \(\Delta T2^*\) colour maps, significant regions of USPIO accumulation were defined as consisting of at least 10 contiguous voxels with \(\Delta T2^*\) above the threshold of 59%. \(^{24}\) On the PET-CT scans, ROI were drawn around areas of maximum uptake in the wall and thrombus of the aneurysm and defined as positive if the \(SUV_{\text{max}}\) or TBR was > 125% of the value obtained from an averaged \(SUV_{\text{max}}\) from five randomly selected regions in the non-aneurysmal descending thoracic aorta.

| Medications                      | n (% of total) |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Antiplatelet agent              | 12 (80%)       |
| Statin                          | 13 (87%)       |
| \(\beta\)-Blocker                | 6 (40%)        |
| ACE inhibitor/ARB\(^a\)         | 8 (53%)        |
| Other Anti-hypertensive         | 2 (13%)        |

\(^a\) Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker (ARB).
factor of 0.014 mSv/mGy cm. The administration of $^{18}$F-FDG and USPIO was well tolerated with no adverse events.

**$^{18}$F-FDG uptake**

Increased $^{18}$F-FDG uptake was observed within the wall of 13 of the 15 AAAs. In total there were 42 regions of increased uptake and all were diffuse and involved the wall. Although it was not possible to resolve whether this uptake localised to the aneurysm wall or the thrombus, the majority were observed in the shoulder region of the aneurysm (25/42, 60%), with a third (14/42) occurring within the main body of the aneurysm and the remainder in close proximity to the bifurcation (3/42). There was increased uptake in the peri-luminal zone of the thrombus in all patients.

**USPIO uptake**

All AAA demonstrated uptake of USPIO but focal areas of mural USPIO were primarily confined to the main body of the aneurysm (146/271, 54%), with 28% (75/271) located in the shoulder region and 19% (50/271) adjacent to the bifurcation (Table 2). In keeping with our previous study, all patients had USPIO uptake in the periluminal area, representing a movement of particles directly into the thrombus from the blood pool.

**Comparison between $^{18}$F-FDG and USPIO uptake**

When all areas of the aneurysm were considered, there was a modest correlation between the SUV on PET-CT and the absolute change in R2* on MRI ($r = .30; 95\% CI 0.29–0.31, p < .0001; $ Fig. 1$). In general, the main thrombus (excluding the peri-luminal region) did not uptake $^{18}$F-FDG or USPIO. In contrast, local inflammation within the wall was readily identifiable but was not reflected by a change in T2* or R2* value in the whole aneurysm because of the associated dilutional effect of the thrombus.

Classification of AAA according to $^{18}$F-FDG or USPIO uptake using the quadrant technique was consistent and reproducible with excellent inter-observer agreement and a kappa statistic of 0.87 for $^{18}$F-FDG and 0.85 for USPIO. On occasion, areas of increased USPIO and $^{18}$F-FDG uptake colocalised to the same quadrant in the aortic wall, although regions of USPIO uptake without corresponding $^{18}$F-FDG uptake were also commonly seen (Fig. 2). Overall, co-localisation of areas of increased USPIO and $^{18}$F-FDG uptake were poor (kappa statistic 0.074; 95% CI 0.026–0.122) and there were more areas of increased uptake identified on MRI than on PET-CT (Table 3). Focal and discrete uptake of USPIO can be readily discerned on MRI, whereas there was more diffuse and ill-defined uptake of $^{18}$F-FDG involving both the wall and the thrombus on PET-CT.

Based on USPIO uptake, four patients were classified into Group 3 and 11 into Groups 1 and 2. SUV$_{max}$ and TBR appeared to be lower in those classified as Group 3 than in those in Groups 1 and 2, but this did not reach statistical significance ($p = .7884$, 95% CI $-2.181$ to $1.690$; $Fig. 1$). There was no correlation between the grouping classification and the maximum absolute change in R2* ($p = .4123$, 95% CI $-86.99$ to $37.98$).

**DISCUSSION**

In comparing $^{18}$F-FDG PET-CT with USPIO-enhanced MRI in patients with AAA, we have identified a modest correlation between these two imaging modalities but a number of key differences. In particular, activity detected with these two techniques appears to be concentrated in different regions of the aneurysm: largely in the shoulder region with $^{18}$F-FDG and in the body of the aneurysm using USPIO. We believe that this reflects the different elements of inflammatory activity detected by these two approaches, with PET-CT providing information related to glycolysis and USPIO information on phagocytosis. Further studies are now required to assess which modality will have the greatest predictive power to determine aneurysm growth and clinical outcome.

Inflammatory cells have a key role in the development and progression of abdominal aortic aneurysms. Histo-pathologically, the aneurysmal aortic wall is characterised by focal medial neovascularisation, infiltration of inflammatory cells (principally macrophages and lymphocytes), and fragmentation of elastin and collagen fibres within the extracellular matrix. Both $^{18}$F-FDG and USPIO imaging aim to detect and quantify the inflammatory cellular component and it is therefore encouraging that a modest correlation between these two measures was observed in this study, confirming that ultimately both identify vascular inflammation, albeit through differing pathways.

Vascular inflammatory cells are metabolically active and take up $^{18}$F-FDG in an insulin-insensitive manner. Therefore,

---

Table 2. Qualitative evaluation of $^{18}$F-fludeoxyglucose (FDG) uptake on positron emission tomography and computed tomography (PET-CT) compared to ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide (USPIO) uptake on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

| $^{18}$F-FDG PET-CT | USPIO MRI |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Spatial resolution  | 4–6 mm    |
| Periluminal enhancement | Present  |
| Region of uptake    | Predilection for shoulder region |
| Definition of uptake | > 125% of the averaged SUV$_{max}$ from five randomly selected regions in the non-aneurysmal descending thoracic aorta |
| Functional assessment | Glycolytic activity |
| Ionising radiation  | Yes       |
| Ionising radiation  | Phagocytic activity |
18F-FDG uptake in the fasted state has been proposed as a marker of risk for aneurysm progression and rupture with higher uptake associated with inflammation, aortic wall instability, and clinical symptoms. However, the role of 18F-FDG PET-CT in AAA disease remains controversial. Recent data have suggested that vascular 18F-FDG activity may relate to more than simply macrophage burden, with several studies identifying high lymphocyte counts and leucocytes within the aortic wall and implicating hypoxia as an important driver to 18F-FDG uptake. Furthermore, although several small studies have demonstrated the ability of 18F-FDG to discriminate between symptomatic and asymptomatic AAA, a number of published studies have failed to verify these observations.
inflammation at sufficient concentrations to cause signal changes on MRI. In addition, histological examination of excised tissue, including aneurysm tissue, has confirmed the co-localisation and uptake of USPIO in areas of macrophage infiltration.\textsuperscript{24,35--37} We speculate that USPIO and\textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake represent distinct markers of vascular inflammation that correspond to differing inflammatory and macrophage activities. Clearly, USPIO uptake is a measure of ongoing phagocytic activity of tissue-resident macrophages and potentially neutrophils, whereas \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG reflects glucose utilisation by cells with high metabolic requirements including the cells implicated in vascular inflammation.\textsuperscript{38} Moreover there is evidence that the two techniques target different macrophage subsets. Macrophages exist in varying polarised states and have different roles in vascular tissue. M1 macrophages are considered more destructive, promoting the destabilisation and rupture of atherosclerotic plaques, whereas phagocytosis is more important in the role of M2 macrophages stimulating reparative processes, mediated by the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines and the suppression of pro-inflammatory signalling.\textsuperscript{39} It has been suggested that \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG and USPIO uptake may be able to differentiate these two distinct M1 and M2 macrophage sub-populations respectively.\textsuperscript{40} In this study, the majority of \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake was identified in the shoulder region of the aneurysm, an area of high biomechanical stress,\textsuperscript{41,42} with a particular tendency to rupture: perhaps related to a destructive macrophage phenotype in this area.

Even though it has been demonstrated that our USPIO aneurysm classification identifies aneurysms likely to progress and dilate (Group 3; distinct mural USPIO uptake) we found no correlation between this classification and \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake nor the maximum absolute change in R2*. This supports the suggestion that it is the location of USPIO

Table 3. Quadrant analysis of the aneurysm wall: Regions of uptake identified by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography and computed tomography (PET-CT). kappa statistic $= 0.074$ (95% C.I. 0.026—0.122), representing a poor strength of agreement.

| PET-CT | MRI | Regions of | No regions | Total |
|--------|-----|------------|------------|-------|
|        |     | uptake     | of uptake  |       |
| Regions of uptake | 70  | 353 | 423 |
| No regions of uptake | 69  | 613 | 682 |
| Total | 139 | 966 | 1015 

Figure 2. (A,B) Representative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (A) and fused positron emission tomography and computed tomography (PET-CT) (B) scans from the same patient with an abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA). Ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide (USPIO) uptake, defined by percentage change in T2* is demonstrated using a colour scale. Changes in T2* value over the threshold (59%) are presented on a graduated (yellow-red) colour scale and data below the threshold appears blue. Corresponding \textsuperscript{18}F-fludeoxyglucose (FDG) activity (red arrow) can be seen in B. Differences in the location of regions of uptake between the techniques are apparent, as marked by the white arrow. (C,D) are corresponding MRI and fused PET-CT slices from the same patient who has no USPIO or \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake in the wall of the AAA, with uptake limited to the peri-luminal area.
uptake that is crucial, rather than the degree of USPIO uptake. However, the modest correlation that was demonstrated between maximum absolute change in R2* and SUV on a voxel-by-voxel basis supports the contention that these imaging modalities are both detecting elements of macrophage activity, namely phagocytosis and glucose metabolism.

**Study limitations**

There are important study limitations and technical considerations when interpreting our findings. We acknowledge that co-registration of the MRI and PET-CT datasets was challenging and cannot exclude partial or incomplete co-registration. Moreover, the limited in-plane resolution of PET-CT makes definition of the aortic wall and thrombus difficult and therefore challenging to locate the exact site of activity. Indeed this may also explain the increased 18F-FDG uptake observed in the shoulder region, which is more prone to partial volume effects due to its angulation through the slice. This may reduce the sensitivity of the study in detecting 18F-FDG or USPIO activity. However, as the thrombus uptakes little contrast agent, if thrombus was inadvertently included in the aortic wall assessment, this would lead to a tendency to under report uptake, thus our findings could be considered conservative. Additionally, there is no consensus or validated definition of quantitative significance values for 18F-FDG or USPIO uptake and despite the excellent inter-observer reproducibility demonstrated in this study, this may contribute to the differences observed.

The contribution of statin therapy to the results of this study also cannot be excluded. To date there is only observational data showing AAA growth reduction with statins and mechanistic data are limited. Although statin use has been shown to suppress AAA formation in animal models, this has not been translated to humans. The use of statins in vascular patients to reduce cerebrovascular and cardiovascular mortality as well as in patients undergoing AAA surgery is well documented. The ability to sample only tissue from the anterior portion of the aortic wall. Moreover, ex vivo 18F-FDG uptake is limited by the need for fresh viable tissue, whereas USPIO uptake can only be assessed when undertaken in very close proximity to elective surgery.

This was a small study population that will require prospective evaluation in larger patient cohorts. Furthermore, this study was limited by the exclusion of AAA ≥ 55 mm as aneurysm growth and rupture is unpredictable and non-linear. Current guidelines suggest that surveillance with selective repair is the most appropriate management strategy. However, as improvements in device technology for EVAR continues, this may change. Given this limited sample size, we were unable to assess the relationship between uptake of either 18F-FDG or USPIO with AAA growth rates or clinical outcome. A number of small studies have demonstrated the ability of 18F-FDG to differentiate between symptomatic and asymptomatic AAAs and a recent study has suggested an association between 18F-FDG activity and future clinical events. A pilot study of 29 patients demonstrated that USPIO uptake in the wall of AAA is associated with accelerated expansion rates. Further datasets with long-term follow-up are therefore required to undertake more complete evaluation of these promising imaging approaches.

An observational surveillance trial is currently underway at the centre to assess the relationship between mural USPIO uptake and subsequent clinical outcomes, with the aim of improving risk stratification of patients with AAA: the MA3RS trial (MRI for AAA to predict rupture or surgery; ISRCTN76413758).

In conclusion, the correlation between 18F-FDG PET-CT and USPIO-enhanced MRI to detect vascular inflammation in AAAs is modest and reflects the differing elements of macrophage activity that they detect: glycolysis and phagocytosis respectively. Whether the identification of this varying activity will impact on aneurysm growth rates or risk of aneurysm rupture will require larger longitudinal study.
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