‘Hope you find your ‘eureka’ moment soon’: a qualitative study of parents/carers’ online discussions around allergy, allergy tests and eczema
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To explore understandings and concerns surrounding allergy, food intolerances and their potential impact on eczema among parents and carers of children with eczema who had posted messages in online forums.

Methods We conducted a scoping review for active UK-based discussion forums that did not require password/registration to view posts and identified two parenting discussion forums with high activity and frequent use. We used their internal search functions to identify and export discussion threads relating to allergy and allergy testing for eczema from 2011 to 2016. We carried out an inductive thematic analysis of the 120 exported discussion threads.

Results 246 pages of text were analysed. Analysis led to three main themes: (1) confusion over the language surrounding ‘allergy’ and ‘intolerance’; (2) diverse beliefs about allergy testing in relation to eczema and (3) parents’ frustrations with perceptions of health professionals as uninterested and unhelpful about allergy testing. Forum users were concerned about immediate and delayed-type allergies but showed confusion in how terms were used, as well as different approaches to testing. Parents sought experiences of others, seeking social support as well as practical guidance.

Conclusions The confusion around allergy-related terminology and its possible relationship with eczema means that it is essential healthcare professionals are able to signpost parents to accurate, accessible information. The lack of consistent information currently available means parents may use online discussion forums as an important source of information. This study suggests that the confused nature of discussions on these forums is inaccurate at best, and detrimental at worst.

BACKGROUND

Childhood eczema is very common, affecting over 20% of children aged 5 or under at some point.1 Eczema can cause significant distress to the child and family due to sleep disturbance and itch.2,3 In the majority of cases, eczema starts before the age of 4 years and clears by the teenage years, although relapses may occur.4 The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Guideline on Atopic Eczema in Children5 concludes that the main cause of treatment failure is non-adherence to prescribed treatments. This may be related to a mistrust of treatments6 and the mismatch of views between parents/carers and health professionals causing disillusionment with treatment.7 A major area where views of parents/carers and health professionals are at odds is approaches to diet and allergy as a potential ‘cure’ for eczema.

Despite the popularity of dietary exclusion in eczema, there is little evidence to suggest that this is helpful for most people’s symptoms.8 A review of dietary exclusions for the treatment of eczema found little evidence that ‘few food diets’, ‘removal of milk/eggs’ and ‘elemental diets’ are of benefit to individuals who have not had testing.8 Furthermore, NICE guidance on eczema in children states that food allergy is unlikely in eczema unless the child has reacted to a food with immediate symptoms, or where moderate or severe atopic eczema has not been controlled by optimum management, particularly if associated with
gastrointestinal symptoms. However, people may initiate dietary exclusions for a range of perceived problems, including intolerance as well as allergy.  

In 2017, 80% of adults used the internet daily or almost daily: the internet is changing how patients access health information and support. Online forums are discussion sites for people to interact through asynchronous written communication: they contain naturalistic data and have been used widely in qualitative research. Participating in online forums may influence patients’ experiences and has implications for our understanding of patients’ roles in managing their health.  

The permanence of written exchanges in online forums ensures that future users have access to both current and historical posts. Many users may turn to online forums for support, especially if they did not understand information provided by their healthcare professional. Concerns have been raised regarding the accuracy of information found online, but many people, particularly those with long-term health conditions, are using online forums due to an unmet need for information and support.  

Qualitative research among parents of children with allergy has explored concerns, particularly around meeting nutritional needs, development of ‘picky’ eating and exploring coping among families of children with allergy. Qualitative research among families of children with eczema has explored the impact of the condition, information needs, concerns about topical steroid use and frustrations with seeking information about eczema online. One study explored parents’ frustrations with health professionals who did not appear to ‘take seriously’ their child’s eczema; while parents saw dietary exclusions as a potential cure, they perceived healthcare professionals as uninterested in this. However, to date, there has been no qualitative research aimed specifically at exploring concerns around diet and allergy among parents/carers of children with eczema.  

We chose to carry out a qualitative study of discussions focused on eczema, diet and allergy in online discussions as these provide a forum where users’ experiences and perspectives are discussed openly in a naturalistic way without researcher influence. This study aims to explore the understandings and concerns surrounding allergy and food intolerances and their potential impact on eczema among parents and carers of children with eczema who have posted messages on online forums.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Data retrieval**

In October 2016, a scoping review was conducted (by DN, a medical student, supervised by MS, an academic general practitioner (GP), and AH, a research fellow, both with qualitative expertise) to identify relevant forums. The terms ‘eczema +exclusion’ and ‘eczema +elimination’ were entered into two search engines (Google and Bing). For every search conducted, 15 forums were identified or three pages of results (10 per page) were evaluated. This process identified 40 different forums, which were evaluated against chosen criteria (format, registration requirements, internal search functions, activity (how frequent posts were, such as daily, weekly, monthly), commercial interests, site moderation and the exclusion of non-UK based forums). Two parenting websites were selected for inclusion in this study as they met our selection criteria as well as showing frequent use (daily–weekly posts). Registration was required to post messages, but not to view threads. Both websites had site moderation (an employee who removed spam and occasionally responded to comments).  

DN familiarised herself with these forums, enabling a search strategy to be devised. ‘Eczema exclusion’ and ‘eczema elimination’ were searched for using the internal search function. The first three pages of results (10 per page) from January 2011 to October 2016 were reviewed. One hundred and twenty discussion threads were copied into Microsoft Word and then exported into qualitative data management software NVivo V.10.

**Ethical considerations**

This study adopted British Psychological Society Guidelines which consider online forum messages to be within the public domain, provided the researcher did not need to register in order to view them, an approach used in other studies. To preserve anonymity, forums are not identified and data excerpts have been paraphrased. Paraphrasing has been checked for maintaining original meaning by all authors.

**Patient and public involvement**

The following research questions arose from the James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership for eczema: (1) ‘What role might food allergy tests play in treating eczema?’ (2) ‘How much does avoidance of irritants and allergens help people with eczema?’ (3) What is the role of diet in treatment eczema: exclusion diets and nutritional supplements?  

This study was early scoping work, initially carried out by a medical student, in order to understand public perceptions of allergy and eczema in this under-researched area. No patient advisers were involved in the conduct of this study. Patients and the public are involved in other work on allergy and eczema being carried out by the authors.

**Data analysis**

Inductive thematic analysis was conducted. One author (DN) read each discussion several times and coded relevant posts line by line. Codes were derived inductively from the data and grouped to produce an initial coding frame. Codes and theme/subtheme definitions were iteratively developed by DN, AH and MS through multiple team discussions. The coding manual was iteratively developed and fitted and explained all of the data, having searched for disconfirming cases. Data saturation was achieved. During the analysis, original posts were considered within the discussion thread they were originally posted in order.
to retain context (for example, being able to position advice to the specific question being asked).

RESULTS
A total of 100,203 words were analysed, on 239 pages of text: this included 31 discussions (159 users) from a general parenting forum and 51 discussions (96 users) from a baby care forum. This is shown in Table 1. Overall, the discussions were similar in both forums: generally conversational in tone and supportive in nature. Both forums are based in the UK, as were most of the users (shown, for example, through references to UK hospitals). Some users explained they were based abroad, especially if this provided more context to their post (eg, by explaining that their healthcare system is different from the UK).

Analysis of parents/carers’ discussions about allergy and allergy testing highlighted three main themes: (1) confusion over the language surrounding ‘allergy’ and ‘intolerance’; (2) diverse beliefs about allergy testing in relation to eczema; and (3) perceptions that healthcare providers were uninterested and unhelpful about allergy testing in eczema. Selected quotes are used to illustrate themes and subthemes. Paraphrased quotes are shown with participant ID and forum.

Confusion surrounding ‘allergy’ and ‘intolerance’
Throughout the discussion threads, there was confusion surrounding the terms ‘allergy’ and ‘intolerance’ and an apparent absence of shared understanding about their meaning. They were used interchangeably, both by individuals within posts and different users within threads.

F2-23-P1
I thought it had to be a problem with food and so while we were waiting for a dietician appointment (it took eight weeks) I eliminated the obvious allergens. You have to work out intolerances (so called slow reaction allergies) by elimination diet as allergy tests won’t show them.

On occasion, allergy/intolerance would be used in a post, and someone would reply but referring to the other: in these instances, forum users appeared unaware they were referring to different conditions which could impact on the relevance of advice. Other terms used included ‘true allergy’ and ‘major allergy’; allergies were also described as ‘bad’, and as something changeable depending on the season.

However, some users were clear in their understanding of the different terminology and how it related to the types of testing available. Terminology was often used without explanation of what it meant (for example, ‘EpiPens and quick acting antihistamines’), assuming prior and shared knowledge and understanding.

Diverse beliefs about allergy testing in relation to eczema
Parents feeling testing does not provide answers
Although some users differentiated between types of testing available, often no distinction was made between conventional tests in the form of skin prick tests and specific IgE blood tests, and ‘testing’ via other means such as an elimination diet or testing via ‘high street’ or internet providers using unregulated methods.

F1-P6-1b
You can’t really do an allergy test for it because the reactions are different—they are the type of reactions that you need an epipen for. Maybe antihistamines. They show up straight away so the tests just confirm the allergy really. The slow reactions are different but they won’t show up in these tests because they take a while for you to react and get things like an upset stomach, rash and so on.

While many forum users were sharing experiences of pushing for allergy testing, several users expressed concerns over this, believing that testing does not always provide answers and advising caution.

F2-23-P1
I think you’ll find that allergy testing is not that accurate. All the people on allergy boards will tell you this because the tests can’t diagnose all allergies. It can point you in the right direction but you can’t tell for sure from the tests. The only way you can really tell how your daughter’s body will react is by eliminating food. My daughter’s tests came back saying that she’s really allergic to eggs (which she’s not!) and that she’s not allergic to shellfish (which she has really bad reactions to!).

Parents feeling testing does provide answers
Several parents wrote about how useful testing had been for their children, with one believing they would not have been able to ascertain their child’s triggers without ‘specialist testing’.

Table 1  Forum information

| Forum               | F1 (general parenting) | F2 (baby care) |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Total users         | 159                    | 96             |
| Number of pages of text | 113                    | 126            |
| Total included threads | 31                    | 51             |
| Median posts per thread (range) | 12 (2–31)        | 4 (1–26)       |
| Median users per thread (range) | 7 (1–15)          | 3 (1–9)        |
| Median posts per user over all threads (range) | 16                     | 1–40           |
I really needed help to figure out what set his symptoms off. He is allergic to loads of stuff, with some reactions coming on straight away and others being delayed—there is just no way I could have done it without the specialists.

F2-P26

My little one had eczema since she was a newborn and our GP thought it would get better in time and we just had to check she didn’t get an infection when it got red. I couldn’t just wait so I saw a nutritionist who asked us questions and then said it was milk. At first I wasn’t convinced so we went for the blood test and that showed clearly she was allergic to milk as well as wheat and egg.

F2-P30-2

Our son’s a year old and had allergies since introducing solids at 6 months. I knew he had cow’s milk protein allergy but it was the eczema which got us referred to the paediatrician. We had allergy tests done, the usual dairy, nuts, fish etc. Best of luck, hope you find that ‘eureka’ moment soon.

Users who had positive experiences of allergy testing often expressed incredulity that other families were unaware of such services, or unable to access them.

F2-P12-4

I just can’t believe how few people on here have had allergy testing! My son’s skin was horrific but when he was 12 weeks old he was diagnosed with a dairy allergy so I cut dairy from my diet at that stage. The results were brilliant—within a week his eczema cleared up and now he only gets the occasional patch of dry skin.

Alternatives

Other users talked positively about alternatives to allergy testing that lack support from scientific evidence. People seemed particularly drawn to these where they were dissatisfied with their clinical care.

F2-P17-1

He is due to have allergy testing but I feel like stopping this and maybe trying Chinese medicine

F2-P22-1

We went to a naturopath who used [electrodermal non-evidence-based test] to test for different allergies. It showed my daughter is allergic to dust mites and tomatoes. Since we have removed these, her eczema has nearly gone in a few days. I would recommend this test, it’s non-invasive and works!

In a more worrying account, one user offered advice for how to test an allergen at home, which would clearly be dangerous for some children and is never an appropriate action.

F1-P6-1a

I would test the egg at home. Get [brand of liquid antihistamine] ready just in case. Find a patch of skin on his arm free from eczema, draw a small circle and dip your finger into egg white before touching the inside of the circle. Don’t rub it. Wait for an itch. An anaphylactic reaction would have happened by now and [brand of liquid antihistamine] has been effective in the past, you can’t avoid eggs and the allergist isn’t concerned enough to test, so you’re not putting your son at risk. Leave for 24 hours, if there isn’t a reaction then the allergy is not there, or very mild. Give [brand of liquid antihistamine] when the itch becomes painful and red, and spreads beyond the circle or when hives appear. If his breathing becomes difficult or if he vomits then dial 999.

Perceptions that health professionals are uninterested and unhelpful about allergy testing

Many users shared negative experiences of their GP not referring them for allergy testing, with some being told that allergy testing would have no benefit and to trial food elimination instead.

F1-P3-1

We did a food exclusion last summer and we gave up everything for two weeks (both of us as I was still breastfeeding) and then reintroduced each thing two weeks apart with a diary of symptoms. Nothing appeared to make a difference. I did ask the dermatologist about allergy testing but he seemed to think it wasn’t worth it. What tests did you get?

F2-P9-3

My little boy has had eczema nearly since he was born and we had the same issues with our GP. When he finally got referred to the allergy clinic (aged four months and we had to wait three months for the appointment) it showed he was allergic to dairy and egg. I am still angry about this—I sat in my doctor’s office and refused to leave until I got a referral. Doctor kept telling me the eczema would be ‘non-specific’ and so there was no point looking for triggers. Well, they showed up clearly on the prick test!

Some parents encouraged others to ‘insist on’ a referral, even where they appeared unaware of the symptoms that other users’ children were experiencing, and seemed to assume they were similar to their own.

F2-P10-1

The GP’s fobbed me off by prescribing antihistamines for a while. I think if your child is that bad (and I understand as DD was the same) then you need a referral to a dermatologist/for allergy testing and probably steroids. Allergy diagnosis and correct treatment transformed my daughter within weeks!

F2-P18-2

I would insist on blood tests, DS (nearly two) was diagnosed with CMP allergy at 9 months. I’d been...
completely ignored previously as I suspected it was something to do with formula. A sympathetic consultant did blood tests after taking one look at him.

F2-P4-1

I think my son is slightly intolerant to milk, he has many bouts of diarrhoea and can only eat a little bit of dairy. But my GP said he’s clearly not allergic because the symptoms would be worse and he does have a bottle of formula which he seems to tolerate. Can you force a GP for allergy/intolerance testing? How?

DISCUSSION

Main findings

Analysis of online discussions about allergy and intolerances in relation to eczema identified three themes: (1) confusion over the language surrounding ‘allergy’ and ‘intolerance’; (2) diverse beliefs about allergy testing in relation to eczema and (3) parents/carers perceiving health professionals as uninterested and unhelpful around allergy testing, which many parents perceive as having great potential benefit for eczema.

The confusion surrounding terminology appeared to make it difficult for users to identify whether advice was applicable to their own child. Similarly, users’ lack of distinction between conventional and ‘alternative’ allergy tests makes advice difficult to interpret for their own child’s circumstances. Although guidelines suggest that allergy testing is not helpful for most children with eczema, some parents perceived allergy testing to have benefited their child’s eczema and were dismayed that others had not received this, while others advised caution, citing inconclusive or inaccurate results.

Findings in context of previous research

Previous qualitative research on health-focused online forums has shown them to have an important role in learning from others’ experiences and gaining social support, particularly for users who felt health professionals were not listening to their views. A recent meta-synthesis highlighted how users of multiple forums sought information from ‘expert patients’. Forums provide a space in which information can be exchanged in ‘plain English’, and often faster than through healthcare providers as users can search for relevant content. Previous research examining online forums has found that conflicting information from people with different experiences can cause confusion and anxiety, a finding that came through strongly in our study.

Previous research among parents/carers of children with eczema found frustration with health professionals’ lack of interest in diet and allergy approaches as a potential ‘cure’ for eczema, as well as frustration relating to conflicting advice offered by different health professionals. Recent research showed that some parents believed eczema to have a root cause, such as allergy, which needs identifying and avoiding and this is potentially a barrier to treatment of the child’s eczema: our research echoes these findings as it shows parents/carers are often confused about treatment and are interested in allergy as a possible cause. We found similar frustrations in online discussion forums, possibly related to the widespread belief in allergy testing for eczema conflicting with guideline advice. Numerous reports have cited the need for greater education and training around allergy in both primary and secondary care, so it is likely that parents may not receive sufficient explanation and discussion when they discuss potential allergy with health professionals, leading them to seek support elsewhere.

Strengths and limitations

This research reports a valuable method of exploring parents/carers’ concerns about their child’s eczema. As data were collected retrospectively, our analysis is limited to the data available as it is impossible to ask parents to expand on posts. The searches identified a large quantity of relevant information, although it is possible that using different search terms may have yielded different findings. Forum users may have had more difficult experiences than parents not accessing forums for advice, so these results cannot be generalised outside this specific environment. However, the consistency in concerns about allergy and intolerance in relation to eczema, and the range of (often inaccurate) information shared, suggest these need addressing within healthcare.

Implications for future research

We found evidence of a gap in shared understanding of the role of diet and allergy, as parents/carers’ views in online discussion forums differ substantially from guidelines and usual clinical practice. It is unsurprising that this frustrates parents and further qualitative research could explore how these perceptions develop. Observation of interactions in consultations, or interviews with parents about their reactions to mainstream medical messages about allergy and eczema, could further elucidate how shared understandings can be achieved.

Implications for clinical practice

Parents/carers need greater clarity and consistency in terminology surrounding ‘allergy’ and ‘intolerance’. Although confusion is likely to be magnified in online discussion forums, comprehensible language and clear definitions are an essential first step towards shared understandings between parents and health professionals.

It is important that healthcare professionals are prepared to discuss allergy with parents and signpost to accurate, reliable and accessible information online. Without this, parents are likely to turn to online discussion forums, which are confusing at best and detrimental at worst.

Author affiliations

1Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK
2Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
3Primary Care and Population Science, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Ethics approval University of Southampton’s Faculty of Medicine Ethics Committee.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement Requests for data will be considered by the authors.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

REFERENCES
1. Williams H, Stewart A, von Mutius E, et al. Is eczema really on the increase worldwide? J Allergy Clin Immunol 2008;121:947–54.
2. Lewis-Jones MS, Finlay AY. The Children’s Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDSLQ): initial validation and practical use. Br J Dermatol 1995;132:942–9.
3. Zuberbier T, Ortolf SJ, Paller AS, et al. Patient perspectives on the management of atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;118:226–32.
4. Williams HC, Strachan DP. The natural history of childhood eczema: observations from the British 1958 birth cohort study. Br J Dermatol 1998;139:834–9.
5. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Clinical Guideline 57. Management of Atopic Eczema in children from birth up to the age of 12 years. London: NICE, 2007.
6. Smith SD, Hong E, Fearns S, et al. Corticosteroid phobia and other confounders in the treatment of childhood atopic dermatitis explored using parent focus groups. Australas J Dermatol 2010;51:168–74.
7. Santer M, Burgess H, Yardley L, et al. Experiences of carers managing childhood eczema and their views on its treatment: a qualitative study. Br J Gen Pract 2012;62:e261–7.
8. Bath-Hextall F, Delamere FM, Williams HC. Dietary exclusions for improving established atopic eczema in adults and children: systematic review. Allergy 2009;64:258–64.
9. Johansson SG, Hourihane JO, Bouquet J, et al. A revised nomenclature for allergy. An EAACI position statement from the EAACI nomenclature task force. Allergy 2001;56:813–24.
10. Office for National Statistics. Internet access – household and individuals: 2017. 2017.
11. Zrebiec JF. Internet use in communities: do they improve coping with chronic conditions? Diabetes Educ 2005;31:36.
12. White M, Dorman SM. Receiving social support online: implications for health education. Health Educ Res 2001;16:693–707.
13. Seale C, Carters-Black J, MacFarlane A, et al. Interviews and internet forums: a comparison of two sources of qualitative data. Qual Health Res 2010;20:595–606.
14. Coulson NS. Receiving social support online: an analysis of a computer-mediated support group for individuals living with irritable bowel syndrome. Cyberpsychol Behav 2005;8:580–4.
15. Flower A, Bishop FL, Lewith G. How women manage recurrent urinary tract infections: an analysis of postings on a popular web forum. BMC Fam Pract 2014;15:162.
16. Robinson KM. Unsolicited narratives from the Internet: a rich source of qualitative data. Qual Health Res 2001;11:706–14.
17. Ziebland S, Wyke S. Health and illness in a connected world: how might sharing experiences on the internet affect people’s health? Milbank Q 2012;90:219–49.
18. Carlsson T, Starke V, Mattsson E. The emotional process from diagnosis to birth following a prenatal diagnosis of fetal anomaly: A qualitative study of messages in online discussion boards. Midwifery 2017;48:53–9.
19. Hadert A, Rodham K. The invisible reality of arthritis: a qualitative analysis of an online message board. Musculoskeletal Care 2008;6:181–96.
20. Shoebottom A, Coulson NS. Therapeutic Affordances of Online Support Group Use in Women With Endometriosis. J Med Internet Res 2016;18:e109.
21. Allen C, Vassilev I, Kennedy A, et al. Long-Term Condition Self-Management Support in Online Communities: A Meta-Analysis of Qualitative Papers. J Med Internet Res 2016;18:e61.
22. Mehta P, Fortiz MF, Sharma HP, et al. Qualitative assessment of psychosocial and nutritional concerns among parents of children with food allergies. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2017;139:AB147.
23. Alanne S, Laitinen K, Söderlund R, et al. Mothers’ perceptions of factors affecting their abilities to care for infants with allergy. J Clin Nurs 2012;21:170–9.
24. Alanne S, Laitinen K, Paavilainen E. Living ordinary family life with an allergic child—the mother’s perspective. J Pediatr Nurs 2014;29:679–87.
25. Lawson V, Lewis-Jones MS, Finlay AY, et al. The family impact of childhood atopic dermatitis: the Dermatitis Family Impact Questionnaire. Br J Dermatol 1998:139:107–13.
26. Meintjes KF, Noile AG. Parents’ experience of childhood atopic eczema in the public health sector of Gauteng. Curriculum 2015:38.
27. Gore C, Johnson RJ, Caress AL, et al. The information needs and preferred roles in treatment decision-making of parents caring for infants with atopic dermatitis: a qualitative study. Allergy 2005;60:938–43.
28. Norresfelt M, Bissell P, Taulsen JM. From consumerism to active dependence: Patterns of medicines use and treatment decisions among patients with atopic dermatitis. Health 2010;14:91–106.
29. Santer M, Muller I, Yardley L, et al. ‘You don’t know which bits to believe’: qualitative study exploring carers’ experiences of seeking information on the internet about childhood eczema. BMJ Open 2015;5:e006339.
30. Holtz P, Kronberger N, Wagner W. Analyzing internet forums. J Media Psychol 2012;4:55–66.
31. Hewson C, Buchanan T, Brown I, et al. Ethics guidelines for internet-mediated research. The British Psychological Society 2013.
32. Attard A, Coulson NS. A thematic analysis of patient communication in Parkinson’s disease online support group discussion forums. Comput Human Behav 2012;28:500–6.
33. Coulson NS. Sharing, supporting and sobriety: a qualitative analysis of messages posted to alcohol-related online discussion forums in the United Kingdom. Journal of Substance Use 2014;19:176–80.
34. Teasdale EJ, Muller I, Santer M. Carers’ views of topical corticosteroid use in childhood eczema: a qualitative study of online discussion forums. Br J Dermatol 2017;176.
35. Batchelor JM, Ridd MJ, Clarke T, et al. The Eczema priority setting partnership: a collaboration between patients, carers, clinicians and researchers to identify and prioritize important research questions for the treatment of eczema. Br J Dermatol 2013;168:577–82.
36. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol 2006;3:77–101.
37. van Uden-Kraan CF, van Wijngaarden C, van der Sanden M, et al. Experiences of parents monitoring their children with atopic dermatitis. J Med Internet Res 2012;14:e54.
38. Ziebland S, Wyke S. Health and illness in a connected world: how might sharing experiences on the internet affect people’s health? Milbank Q 2012;90:219–49.
39. Science and Technology Committee. Allergy. London: Authority of the House of Lords 2013.
40. Ellis J, Raff J, Smith H, et al. Identifying current training provision and future training needs in allergy available for UK general practice trainees: national cross-sectional survey of General Practitioner Specialist Training programme directors. Prim Care Respir J 2013;22:19–22.