Minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis in Frkyman’s type I to IV fractures of distal end radius: A study of 30 cases
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Abstract
Introduction: Encouraged by the distinct advantages of minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis technique for treatment of peri-articular fractures of long bones, we have extended the concept for treatment of distal radius fractures. The aim of our study was to evaluate the clinical and functional outcome of MIPO technique for distal radius fractures.

Method: Thirty patients with isolated Frkyman’s type I to IV fractures of distal radius were treated using minimally invasive approach of proximal vertical and distal transverse incision and fixed with volar locking plate and followed till six months post operatively. Final assessment was done using Mayo wrist scoring system at the end of six months.

Results: Based on Mayo wrist scoring system 73% had excellent results and 27% had good results. All patients could return to pre-injury work status by 8 weeks. There were no cases of neuro-vascular injury or joint stiffness and cosmetic acceptance of barely visible scar was very satisfactory.

Conclusion: Fixation of extra-articular distal radius fractures and minimally displaced, stable intra-articular fractures by using minimally invasive approach appears to be encouraging, reliable and easily reproducible by all.
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Introduction
Fractures of distal end radius are the most common injuries that affect upper limb injuries. They are common in all age groups with a slightly higher preponderance in elderly age group. Several treatment options ranging from close reduction and cast application, external fixation with or without k-wire fixation, open reduction and internal fixation to more recently segmental fixation have been done for these fractures. The best treatment option for these fractures is still debatable with advantages and disadvantages of each modality of treatment [1-4]. Close reduction and cast application has long been considered to be a good option for distal radius fractures in low demand patients. Some restriction of function has been an inevitable outcome of conservative treatment [2-3]. External fixation with or without k-wire fixation has consistently shown better results in comminuted intra-articular fractures. However this modality of treatment is associated with higher incidence of pin site infection, occasional residual stiffness and nerve injury [5]. Conventional open reduction and internal fixation technique involves extensive soft tissue disruption and periosteal stripping which is probably associated with slightly higher infection rate, delayed union and joint stiffness [5-6]. The recent technique of minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis has consistently given better results in fractures of proximal and distal femur, proximal and distal tibia [7, 8]. This technique involves minimal injury to soft tissue envelope around the fracture site and no further damage to periosteal layer thereby preserving the vascularity of the fractured bone fragments [9]. The same concept has recently been expanded to treat fractures of upper extremity. Imatani et al. [10] first reported 5 patients treated by MIPO technique by using two longitudinal incisions on palmar side of forearm. We performed MIPO using proximal vertical incision and distal transverse incision.
Pronator quadratus muscle was not incised in our technique. Fixation was done using 2.7 mm locked anatomical locking plate. We reviewed 30 cases of volar locking plate using two incisions to assess the final outcome and complications of this technique at the end of six months.

Materials and methods: We conducted a prospective study of 30 cases of distal radius fractures treated at GMERS, Gotri medical college, Vadodara a tertiary care hospital, from Jan-2014 to Jan-2017. Ethics committee approval and informed consent of all patients was taken before including them in our study.

Inclusion criteria: Age between 18 to 65 years. Closed isolated distal radius fracture (Frkyman’s type I to IV).

Exclusion criteria: Open fractures. Other associated skeletal injuries. Intra-articular displaced fractures. Severe osteoporosis.

All patients were evaluated on outdoor basis in form of anteroposterior and lateral x-rays of wrist joint. Patients with other associated injuries were excluded from the study. They were classified based on Frkyman’s classification. Displaced intra-articular fractures and comminuted fractures were excluded from the study. Patients were explained the procedure and those who gave written consent for surgery were included in the study. They underwent pre-operative evaluation and assessment and once were fit for surgery posted for surgery. All the patients were operated under Brachial block in supine position under fluoroscopic control.

Surgical procedure: Close reduction of the fracture was done and provisional reduction was held using one or two k-wires under fluoroscopic guidance. A distal transverse incision of 2 cms was made two cms proximal to skin crease over the wrist. Subcutaneous tissue was cut in the line of incision. Flexor carpi radialis tendon was identified and retracted ulnarwards. Upper border of pronator quadratus muscle was identified by the transverse running muscle fibres. Sharp periosteum elevator was passed from below the upper border of pronator quadratus and extended proximally extra-periosteally. Another vertical incision was taken proximally along the radial border of flexor carpi radialis after deciding the length of the volar plate. Subcutaneous tissue and muscle were dissected by blunt dissection proximally till the volar surface of radius was clearly visible. A 2.7mm locking volar plate of adequate size was passed from distal transverse incision beneath the pronator quadratus extra-periosteally and pushed proximally over the proximal vertical incision. Final position of plate was adjusted under fluoroscopy and plate was fixed to bone using 2.7mm screws. Initial provisional k-wires were removed and final fixation was confirmed under image intensifier. Both incisions were sutured and sterile padded dressing was applied. Post-operative x-rays were taken and limb kept elevated for next 24 hours. Wrist mobilization was started and patients were encouraged to do active physiotherapy as per pain tolerance. Stitches were removed on the 9th post-operative day and subsequent follow-up was done at one month, three months and six months. At each follow-up radiological and clinical assessment was done and patients were evaluated by Mayo wrist scoring system at the end of six months.

| Parameter                  | Score |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Pain                       |       |
| No pain                    | 25    |
| Mild occasional            | 20    |
| Moderate                   | 15    |
| Severe                     | 0     |
| Work status                |       |
| Regular job                | 25    |
| Restricted job             | 20    |
| Able to work but unemployed| 15    |
| Unable to work due to pain | 0     |
| Range of motion            |       |
| >120°                      | 25    |
| 100°-119°                  | 20    |
| 90°-99°                    | 15    |
| 60°-89°                    | 10    |
| 30°-59°                    | 5     |
| 0°-29°                     | 0     |
| Grip strength (% of normal)|       |
| 90-100                     | 25    |
| 75-89                      | 15    |
| 50-74                      | 10    |
| 25-49                      | 5     |
| 0-24                       | 0     |

Mayo wrist scoring system.

Results:

- Excellent: Score of 90 to 100
- Good: Score of 80 to 89
- Fair: Score of 65 to 79
- Poor: Score of < 65

Results: A total of 30 patients were enrolled in the study from Jan-2014 to Jan-2017 as per the inclusion and exclusion criteria. All of them underwent close reduction and minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis using 2.7mm anatomical locking volar plate by proximal vertical incision and distal transverse incision under regional anaesthesia. 21 were male and 9 were female. 18 had fracture on right side and 12 had fracture on left side. All patients had indirect mode of injury in form of fall on outstretched hand. Average age was 40 years with a range of 19 to 65 years. Average admission-operation interval was 3 days with a range of 2 to 6 days. Average duration of post-operative stay was 3 days with a range of 2 to 7 days. All patients underwent suture removal at the end of 9 days. None of the patients developed superficial or deep infection in our subsequent follow-up. There was no case of intra-operative or post-operative neurological or vascular injury. No patient complained of operative scar tenderness or thickening by the end of six weeks. All the fractures united at an average duration of 6 weeks. There was no case of implant failure or irritation at the end of six months. No patient required implant removal and none came for the same in subsequent follow-ups. All patients returned to pre-injury work status by the end of 8 weeks. Based on Mayo wrist score system we had excellent results in 22(73%) patients, good results in 8(27%) patients. None of them had fair or poor results.
**Discussion**

With ever changing scenario in newer techniques and advancement in fixation methods of fractures, minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis has emerged as a clear choice for treating fractures. The balance has gradually tilted towards MIPO from conventional open reduction and internal fixation methods for several fractures over time. MIPO technique has been consistently proven to be safe and effective method in treating long bone fractures, particularly of proximal and distal tibia and femur fractures. In this study we have extended the indication of MIPO in treatment of Frykman’s type I to IV fractures of distal radius by using a proximal vertical and distal transverse incision and fixing the fracture with 2.7mm locking volar plate. We have evaluated the results with reference to radiological union and clinical and functional outcome at the end of six months.

Deep head of pronator quadratus acts as a dynamic stabilizer of the distal radio-ulnar joint. It contributes 21% of the pronator strength of wrist joint. Conventional ORIF sacrifices this stabilizer. According to Dangke et al. there is still controversy about the result of repair of pronator after ORIF. Armangil et al. in their study concluded that suturing of pronator after ORIF decreased the strength and endurance. Preserving the radial attachment of pronator could reduce the damage to blood supply around the fracture. Our technique preserves the attachment of pronator over the distal radius. Conventional open reduction and plating damages the pronator and can potentially lead to delayed healing, infection and joint stiffness due to extensive soft tissue stripping. Also this technique has reported the complication of median nerve injury ranging from 0% to 17%. For the same reason probably external fixation technique gave good functional outcome as it preserves the soft tissues. However the radiological outcome was better in ORIF than external fixator. MIPO technique allows preservation of fracture haematoma which stimulates rapid bone healing. In our study all the fractures united by the end of 6 weeks. Early joint mobilization was probably possible due to preservation of pronator which decreased the chances of joint stiffness. Most of the patients could achieve full range of functional wrist movements by the end of four weeks. By the end of two months all patients had returned to their pre-injury work status. The cosmetic satisfaction of barely visible scar of surgery was of great comfort to most of the patients. None of the patients had pain and tenderness around the scar at six months follow-up. Mayo wrist score at the end of six months was excellent in 73% and good in 27%.

Xu Ming et al. in their study concluded that minimally invasive approach and plate osteosynthesis of distal radius fractures with volar locking plate is a safe and effective means of fixation with radiological outcome comparable to those published in literature for standard volar locked plate. Although this technique of fixation is not suitable for all types of distal radius fractures, but still it can be considered as one of the options in limited group of extra-articular fractures and stable minimally displaced intra-articular fractures. More research work is still needed with a larger sample size and longer follow-up and comparative studies are needed to conclusively establish the clear benefits of this method over conventional volar approach.

**Limitations of study**

We had a small sample size of 30 patients. Study did not include comminuted fractures and unstable fractures of distal end radius which also form a significant part of distal radius fractures. No comparative study has been done with other modalities of treatment of such fractures. Six month follow-up of patients seems to be too short to reach to a conclusion of long term result of this method of treatment.

**Conclusion**

Short to medium term results of minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis technique in distal radius fractures seem to be encouraging. This technique does not disturb the anatomy of pronator quadratus and it being extra-periostal preserves the vascularity of fracture fragments. It allows better cosmetic acceptance with early mobilization and good functional recovery. However as compared to a longer learning curve of MIPO technique for other fractures this fracture seems to be much easier to learn and master it. Fixation of extra-articular fracture and minimally displaced, stable intra-articular fractures by using minimally invasive approach appears to be a reliable and reproducible method.

**Recommendation**

Based on our study we recommend MIPO technique for Frykman’s type I to IV fractures of distal radius due to better cosmetic and functional results.
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