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Abstract: Novel materials with nanostructures are effective in controlling the physical properties needed for specific applications. The use of active and sensing materials is increasing in many applications, such as gas sensing. In the present work, we attempted to synthesize incorporated Cu\textsuperscript{2+} into the SnO\textsubscript{2} matrix as Cu\textsubscript{x}Sn\textsubscript{1−x}O\textsubscript{2} nanocomposite using a cost-effective precursor and method. It was observed that, at low concentrations of copper precursor, only SnO\textsubscript{2} phase could be detected by X-ray diffraction (XRD). The distribution of Cu in the SnO\textsubscript{2} matrix was further measured by elemental analysis of energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) mapping and X-ray fluorescence (XRF). At high copper concentration, a separated monoclinic phase of CuO was formed (noted here as CuO/SnO\textsubscript{2}). The average crystallite size was slightly reduced from 5.9 nm to 4.7 nm with low doping of 0.00–5.00% Cu but increased up to 15.0 nm at high doping of 10.00% Cu upon the formation of separated SnO\textsubscript{2} and CuO phases. The formation of Cu–SnO\textsubscript{2} or CuO phases at low and high concentrations was also observed by photoluminescent spectra. Here, only the emission peak of SnO\textsubscript{2} with a slight blueshift was recorded at low concentrations, while only the CuO emission peak was recorded at high concentration. The effect of Cu concentration on the sensing properties of SnO\textsubscript{2} toward methane (CH\textsubscript{4}) gas was also investigated. It was found that the sensor embedded with 2.00% Cu exhibited an excellent sensitivity of 69.0 at 350 °C and a short response–recovery time compared with the other sensors reported here. The sensing mechanism of Cu\textsubscript{x}Sn\textsubscript{1−x}O\textsubscript{2} and CuO/SnO\textsubscript{2} is thus proposed based on Cu incorporation.
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1. Introduction

Improvement of binary oxide nanomaterials to detect gas with high sensitivity and precise limitation requires creative materials. To date, SnO\textsubscript{2} has attracted the attention of researchers for gas sensing applications. It is one of the most significant n-type nanomaterials with a wide band gap [1]. Its sensing property is enhanced in the form of nanoscale due to the increased surface area and defect structure, which have higher reactivity [2].

To improve the sensitivity of sensing materials, nanoadditives, such as Pd, Pt, Au, Ag, and Cu, have been employed [3]. SnO\textsubscript{2} in pure or doped phase with Ag and Fe elements exhibits a low sensitivity toward CH\textsubscript{4} [4–7]. Several studies have focused on the effect of doping materials or nanoadditives of noble metals to improve the sensing properties of SnO\textsubscript{2} toward CH\textsubscript{4}. The sensing properties of SnO\textsubscript{2} toward CH\textsubscript{4} are improved by up to 1.55/0.1% (response/gas concentration) and
4.5/0.1% with Pt doping, as reported in [8,9]. Wagner et al. [10] and Cabot et al. [11] reported an improvement in the sensing properties of SnO₂ upon the functionalization with Pd, with the sensitivity increasing up to 20/0.66% at 400 °C [10]. Fateminia et al. [12] reported a response toward methane with Pt–SnO₂ structure as high as 30/0.5%. Much improvement was also reported when binary, ternary, or quadrant composite-based SnO₂ were developed [13–16].

The doping of SnO₂ nanostructures by Cu²⁺ has been shown to enhance the gas sensing properties of SnO₂. The role of Cu doping in SnO₂ sensing properties toward H₂S was studied by Wei et al. [17]. Zhang et al. [18] found that sensors fabricated of Cu-doped porous thin film showed a good performance toward H₂S. Ultrasensitive room-temperature H₂S gas sensing prepared by Cu²⁺-doped SnO₂ was also presented in [19]. Cu-doped SnO₂ was recently studied for an ethanol gas sensor. The sensing behavior offered a suitable application of Cu-doped SnO₂ nanowires with 2.5 at.% Cu in the nanowires [20]. Other promising single-phase materials, such as CuO, Co₃O₄, and In₂O₃, have also been shown to exhibit a sensing performance toward CH₄, although they are still in need of improvement [21–23].

A comparison between several materials sensitive to methane is reported in Table 1.

**Table 1.** CH₄ sensors based on undoped and doped SnO₂ materials reported in the literature and in the present study.

| Sensing Material                  | Operating Temperature (°C) | CH₄ Concentration % | Response  | Ref.   |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|
| SnO₂                              | 350                       | 0.200               | 1.35      | [4]   |
| Ag–SnO₂                           | 430                       | 0.200               | 1.75      | [5]   |
| Fe–SnO₂                           | 350                       | 0.025               | 1.30      | [6]   |
| porous 3D SnO₂                    | 120                       | 0.050               | 1.80      | [7]   |
| Pt–SnO₂                           | 350                       | 0.100               | 4.50      | [8]   |
| Pt–SnO₂                           | 400                       | 0.100               | 1.55      | [9]   |
| Pd–SnO₂                           | 400                       | 0.660               | 20.00     | [10]  |
| Pd–SnO₂                           | 350                       | 0.100               | 1.35      | [11]  |
| Pt/SnO₂                           | 400                       | 0.500               | 30.00     | [12]  |
| SnO₂ NR–NP–Gr hybrids             |                           |                     |           |       |
| PdPt–SnO₂–rGO                     | 150                       | 1.000               | 50.00     | [13]  |
| Sn₀.₉ₓIn₀.₁ₓCuₓO₂                  | 400                       | 0.250               | 9.00      | [15]  |
| SnO₂–InₓO₉–TiO₂/MgO               | 300                       | 0.500               | 2.40      | [16]  |
| CuO NCs                           | 200                       | 1.000               | 2.22      | [21]  |
| Co₃O₄ NPs                         | 200                       | 1.000               | 1.28      | [22]  |
| CuO NCs                           |                           | 0.250               | 3.50      |       |
| CuO NCs                           |                           | 0.375               | 4.50      |       |
| CuO NCs                           |                           | 0.500               | 5.50      |       |
| CuO NCs                           |                           | 0.675               | 10.00     |       |
| CuO NCs                           |                           | 0.750               | 50.50     |       |
| CuO NCs                           |                           | 1.000               | 69.60     |       |
| Cu₀.₀₂Sn₀.₉₈O₂ nanocomposite       | 350                       | 0.500               | 5.50      | Present |

The response of reducing gases, such as CH₄, is dependent on the space charge region of the n-type oxide, where it reduces as the level of gas increases. Obviously, an increase in the depth of the depletion layer will significantly enhance the response of the oxide toward the reducing gas. Yamazoe [24] reported that the trivalent impurities of Al³⁺ in SnO₂ generated more donor concentrations beneath the oxide surface, resulting in an increase in the depletion thickness from ~3 nm to ~19 nm. However, the pentavalent doping of Sb⁵⁺ caused an increase in the electron density, resulting in a decrease in the thickness of the depletion layer.

In this paper, we attempted to achieve high sensitivity of the SnO₂ nanostructure toward CH₄ using metallic doping with cost-effective materials and a cheap preparation method. To this end, we synthesized a developed nanomaterial based on the incorporation of Cu²⁺ in the matrix of SnO₂. The developed nanostructures were investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray fluorescence (XRF),
energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX), and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). Spectrofluorometric analysis was used to emphasize the formation of Cu$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$ and separated CuO phase. The gas sensing performance toward CH$_4$ was systematically investigated for a sensing layer fabricated of this nanomaterial. A gas-sensing mechanism is thus proposed for CuO/SnO$_2$ and Cu$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$.

2. Experimental Details

For the synthesis of Cu$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$ nanostructures, tin(IV) chloride [1–x: SnCl$_2$·5H$_2$O] or copper chloride dehydrate [x: CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O] as a dopant source were dissolved in 10.0 mL of distilled water, followed by a drop-wise addition of 3.0 g NaOH, which had previously been dissolved in 10 mL water. The reactants were prepared based on the molar ratio of [CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O (x) + SnCl$_2$·5H$_2$O (1−x) + 4NaOH] for several concentrations of x: 0.00%, 0.25%, 0.50%, 1.00%, 2.00%, 5.00%, and 10.00%. The mixture was stirred at room temperature and then transferred into Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclaves maintained at 160 °C for 10 h. Figure 1 shows the change in product color caused by increasing concentration of CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O in order to evaluate the effect of Cu on the SnO$_2$ powder. A dramatic change in color was observed at x = 10.00%.

![Figure 1. The change in product color with copper precursor x: CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O.](image)

The obtained powder was scanned by XRD (Philips PW 1700, Cu-Kα) in the range of 15° to 90° with a speed of 0.06°/s. The crystallinity and morphology were examined by TEM (JEOL-JEM-2100F). Fluorescence (FL) spectra were recorded at room temperature using a spectrofluorometer (Jasco, FP-6300 WRE) with an excitation wavelength of 290 nm and 600 nm.

For sensor fabrication, two gold electrodes were deposited using DC sputtering on a glass substrate. It was then coated by the sensing materials using a screen-printing method with a thickness of about 20 μm. The gas sensor was thermally treated at 400 °C for 30 min in the ambient air before inserting it into the testing chamber. The operating temperature started at room temperatures of up to 400 °C, controlled by programmable temperature controller Omega-CN4300 with autotuning function. Dry synthetic air mixed with CH$_4$ gas was passed through the measurement chamber at a rate of 200 mL/min, controlled by Horiba mass flow controllers (SEC-N112MGM). The electrical measurements were carried out using a computerized data acquisition instrument (multichannel Agilent 34972A LXI). The sensor response was defined by the ratio of electrical resistance, $R_g/R_a$, where $R_a$ is the resistance in synthetic air, and $R_g$ is the resistance in CH$_4$.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Effect of Concentration Ratio on Crystal Structure and Morphology

To emphasize the incorporation of Cu in SnO$_2$, XRF and EDX techniques were used. The elemental analysis of the nanoparticles by XRF spectroscopy and the actual mole percentage of Cu and Sn are listed in Table 2. The molar fractions, determined from the XRF results, were slightly less than those of
the intended values. This behavior may be attributed to the difference in the solubility constant of the precursors. Figure 2a illustrates XRD charts of the prepared powder. For pure SnO$_2$, the diffraction peaks were in agreement with the tetragonal structure of SnO$_2$ reported in JCPDS no. 77-0452. As the concentration of $x$ increased from 0.25% to 5.00%, no peaks were observed for either Cu or CuO phase, but a shift was observed in peaks with lower diffraction angle. This indicated that Cu ions may have been embedded into the crystal lattice of SnO$_2$, thereby causing lattice expansion. A secondary phase of monoclinic CuO was detected at 10.00%, matching with the reported values in JCPDS card no. 04-015-5876. To investigate the effect of $x$ concentration on the crystal structure, peaks of (110) and (101) are shown in the expanded scale in Figure 2b. The position of (110) and (101) peaks slightly shifted to a lower value of the diffraction angle for Cu$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$. This proved that Cu caused an expansion of the SnO$_2$ lattice. On the other hand, when the $x$ concentration was increased to 10.00%, SnO$_2$ and CuO peaks were observed at the exact position. Although the $x$ concentration was only 10.00%, the black color of CuO dominated the color of the composite. The average crystallite size was calculated using the Scherrer equation [25]:

$$D = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$

(1)

where $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength, $\beta$ is the full width at half maximum, and $\theta$ is the corresponding angle. The crystallite size was 5.9–4.6 nm for the concentration range of $x = 0.25$–5.00%, but it was 15.0 nm for $x = 10.00$%. The crystallite size and lattice parameters are listed in Table 3. The lattice parameters were calculated using Celref UNITCELL refinement software with the raw data measured by XRD. The slight decrease in $D$ may be attributed to the incorporation of Cu in the host matrix, which enabled more nucleation sites and slowed down the growth of the crystals [26]. By comparing the ionic radii of Sn$^{4+}$ and Cu$^{2+}$, substitution was found to be the most dominant mechanism in the incorporation of Cu$^{2+}$ ions into the SnO$_2$ lattice at low concentration, with the ionic radii of O$^{2-}$, Sn$^{4+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$ being 1.40, 0.69, and 0.73 Å, respectively. This result was supported by the slight expansion in unit cells as well as by the EDX data for selected samples of 0.50%, 2.00%, and 10.00% Cu, as shown in Tables 2 and 3. EDX mapping exhibited uniform distribution for O, Sn, and Cu, as shown in Figure 3. EDX of OK, SnL, and CuK showed that the weight percentage of Cu increased with increasing Cu precursor. In addition, the ratio of Cu atomic to Sn was observed as 0.092, 0.283, and 0.282, corresponding to 1.368, 0.575, and 1.219 oxygen atom ratio to Cu and Sn atoms. We interpreted this as follows: (1) at low incorporation of 0.50% Cu, the bridging oxygen was assigned partially to Cu and largely to Sn ions (SnO$_2$), and the oxygen ratio was thus 1.368; (2) when the Cu was increased up to 2.00%, the bridging oxygen was missing because Cu was involved in the lattice, and the atomic oxygen ratio therefore decreased to 0.575; (3) upon the formation of CuO as a separated phase, more oxygen ions were linked with both Cu$^{2+}$ and Sn$^{4+}$, thus forming CuO and SnO$_2$, respectively. Murray et al. reported the effect of stoichiometry and the absence of bridging oxygen on the structure of TiO$_2$ [27].

Table 2. The value of the molar fractions of Cu and Sn in Cu$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$ and CuO/SnO$_2$ nanostructures using X-ray fluorescence (XRF) and elemental analysis of OK, SnL, and CuK using energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) technique.

| Intended Molar Fraction ($x$ %) | X-ray Fluorescence Molar Fraction% | EDX Measurements | O/(Cu + Sn) at.% |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                 | Cu% Sn%                          | OK SnL CuK Cu/Sn|                  |
| 0.00                            | 0.000 100.00                      | 0.092 1.386      |                  |
| 0.25                            | 0.188 99.81                       | 0.283 0.575      |                  |
| 0.50                            | 0.472 99.527                      | 0.282 0.575      |                  |
| 1.00                            | 0.941 99.058                      | 0.282 0.575      |                  |
| 2.00                            | 2.342 97.657                      | 0.283 0.575      |                  |
| 5.00                            | 4.500 95.499                      | 0.282 0.575      |                  |
| 10.00                           | 11.087 88.912                     | 0.282 0.575      |                  |
Table 3. Average crystallite size and lattice parameters of Cu<sub>x</sub>Sn<sub>1-x</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures.

| Sample x% | Average Crystallite Size (nm) | Lattice Parameter a, c (Å) | Unit Cell Volume (Å<sup>3</sup>) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.00      | 5.90                          | 4.7406, 3.1676            | 72.19                           |
| 0.25      | 5.82                          | 4.7533, 3.1956            | 72.20                           |
| 0.50      | 4.80                          | 4.7529, 3.1965            | 72.21                           |
| 1.00      | 4.74                          | 4.7566, 3.1919            | 72.22                           |
| 2.00      | 4.70                          | 4.7566, 3.1927            | 72.24                           |
| 5.00      | 4.69                          | 4.7643, 3.1894            | 72.39                           |
| 10.00     | 15.28                         | 4.7616, 3.1896            | 72.32                           |

Figure 2. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the prepared composites: (a) 2Θ = 20°–90° and expanded figure (b) 2Θ = 20°–40°.
The undoped SnO$_2$ structure was mostly spherical, while it was nanorod-like for the 10.00% structure when the CuO phase was formed, as shown in Figure 4a–f. A spherical-like structure with an average crystallite or grain size of 4.52 nm was observed for x = 10.00%, the data revealed the presence of both SnO$_2$ and CuO phases with the spacing of 0.334 and 0.252 nm, corresponding with (110) for SnO$_2$ and (002) plane for CuO, and also an increase in the crystallite size.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** EDX element mapping of a selected area of Cu$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$ for SnL and CuK.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Typical high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images of (a–c) x = 2.00% (Cu$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$) and (d–f) x = 10.00% (CuO/SnO$_2$).

3.2. Effect of Concentration Ratio on Fluorescence Spectra

The FL technique has been widely used to investigate the structures and defects of metal oxides. FL spectrum was recorded at $\lambda_{ex} = 290$ and $\lambda_{ex} = 600$ nm excitation wavelengths in order to detect the radiative recombination in SnO$_2$ and CuO, respectively, as shown in Figure 5. Nonradiative recombination is defined by a cross-relaxation process where two neighboring ions exchange energy [28]. The undoped SnO$_2$ showed peaks at 335 and 383 nm. These were attributed to the radiative
recombination of the free exciton (recombination of conduction electrons of Sn5p to the hole of O2p) and to electron transition into defect levels, such as oxygen vacancies and the luminescence centers. A very slight blueshift and intensity reduction were observed for the emission peak of SnO2. At the excitation energy of λex = 600 nm, only the emission peak of CuO appeared at 806 nm for high concentration of 10.00% Cu, as shown in the inset of Figure 5. The energy of 1.54 eV refers to the phase of CuO. It is ascribed to the radiative recombination of Cu conduction band to its valance band. These two peaks confirmed the formation of separated phases of CuO/SnO2.

![Figure 5](image.png)

**Figure 5.** Fluorescence (FL) emission spectra at an excitation wavelength of 290 nm and 600 nm (inset) for x = 0.25–10.00%.

3.3. Sensing Mechanism of the Composites

An increase in the depletion layer of oxide is very useful for the reducing reaction, which can be achieved by increasing the vacancies or surface area. Thus, the importance of vacancies and the surface area appears when the ambient oxygen molecules chemically adsorb on the surface and capture free electrons from the conduction band of the oxide [29,30]. These oxygen molecules form O₂⁻, O₂⁻, and O²⁻ species based on the surface temperature, which results in a decrease/increase of free carriers inside n-type/p-type oxide [31,32]. For reducing gases such as CH₄, the gas molecule reacts with the adsorbed oxygen species and produces H₂O and CO₂. Upon this reaction, the electrons return to the oxide conduction band, leading to a change in its conductivity. In low concentrations of x, the incorporation of Cu²⁺ into the SnO₂ matrix caused lattice tension because of the larger ionic radii of Cu²⁺, as detected by the lattice parameter expansion. It raised the donor density in SnO₂, allowing the adsorbed oxygen molecules to pick up the excess electrons, which increased the depletion layer. The average crystallite size of CuₓSn₁₋ₓO₂ slightly decreased, while the excessive Cu caused a formation of a separated CuO phase. Nanorod-like structure with large crystallite size was grown in the presence of CuO phase, resulting in a decrease in the surface area as well as the thickness of the depletion layer compared to the bulk thickness. The formation of CuO resulted in CuO/SnO₂ heterojunction, but this heterojunction was insignificant compared to the effect of the bulk size. The expected band structure between SnO₂ and CuO is shown in Figure 6a. The exact band structure of CuO/SnO₂ was plotted using AFORS-Het software for the given parameters in the figure. Based on this band structure and the result obtained from the below gas sensing measurements, we can report the following. When the reducing gas CH₄ was introduced into a sensing layer composited of p-CuO and n-SnO₂, gas molecules reacted with the oxygen species. Upon this reaction, the electrons were injected back to the conduction band of the oxide. Thus, the free electrons in SnO₂ increased, which improved the oxide conductivity. On the other
hand, for p-CuO, the injected electrons recombined with the holes, reducing the oxide conductivity. In addition, the transfer of electrons from p-CuO to n-SnO₂ was not expected in the presence of ambient oxygen (in the air), and the transfer of electrons from SnO₂ to p-CuO was not allowed because of the potential barrier. Thus, the effect of the heterojunction between p-CuO and n-SnO₂ was negligible, and the sensor response here depended only on CuO and SnO₂ bulks. Figure 6b shows the proposed band structure of CuₓSn₁₋ₓO₂, which shows an increase in the depletion layer with the existence of Cu ions. Due to the substitution of Cu in the matrix of SnO₂, only one phase was formed. The substitution of Cu may have increased the active sites, which allowed the adsorbed oxygen molecules to capture more electrons from the conduction band of the oxide. This process increased the depletion layer of the oxide in the air. Upon exposure to the target gas, the oxygen species reacted with the gas to form H₂O and CO₂ and injected the electrons back to the conduction band [23,33,34], reducing the depletion layer.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** The possible gas-sensing mechanism of CuₓSn₁₋ₓO₂ and CuO/SnO₂ nanostructures: (a) heterojunction of CuO/SnO₂ and (b) band structure of CuₓSn₁₋ₓO₂. Note: the depletion layer increased by the substitution mechanism has been taken into consideration.

### 3.4. Effect of Concentration Ratio on Sensor Response

The sensing properties of CuₓSn₁₋ₓO₂ and CuO/SnO₂ sensors were investigated. The sensor signals toward CH₄ gas for the sensors prepared with x = 0.00%, 0.25%, 0.50%, 1.00%, 2.00%, and 5.00% are shown in Figure 7a,b for operating temperatures of 250 and 300 °C, respectively. The sensor’s resistance decreased upon the exposure to CH₄, suggesting that CuₓSn₁₋ₓO₂ is an n-type composite. Conversely, a p-type behavior was revealed for the sensor prepared by 10.00%, as shown in Figure 7c. This might be due to the dominance of the p-CuO phase on the sensing properties at low temperatures.
of 250 and 300 °C. However, n-SnO₂ dominated the sensing properties at the higher temperatures of 350 and 400 °C, as shown in Figure 8a,b. The dominance of CuO or SnO₂ seemed to be dependent on the operating temperature. This can be attributed to the surface activity of CuO at low temperature [21], while the SnO₂ surface is much active at higher temperatures [4–6,8–12,15,16]. Thus, the sensor response decreased upon this sensing behavior, where the sensor response was positive for SnO₂ and negative for CuO. The result also confirmed the interpretation mentioned in the above section.

**Figure 7.** Sensor signal upon exposure to 1.0% CH₄ gas for (a) x = 0.00–5.00% at 250 °C, (b) x = 0.00–5.00% at 300 °C, and (c) x = 10.00%. Note: the change in resistance of the sensor fabricated of 10.00% showed p-type semiconductor at these operating temperatures.

**Figure 8.** Sensor response toward 1.0% CH₄ for the prepared composites at x = 0.00–10.00% at (a) 350 °C and (b) 400 °C. Note: the sensor fabricated of 10.00% showed an n-type semiconductor.
The dependence of the sensor response on Cu concentration is given in Figure 9a. The sensor exhibited an improvement at low Cu concentrations. It firstly increased to the maximum response at \( x = 2.00\% \), then decreased gradually with a further increase of \( x \). The result suggested that the optimal concentration of Cu embedded into SnO\(_2\) was about 2.00\%. However, exceeding Cu concentration caused less sensitivity of SnO\(_2\). As mentioned above, the formation of CuO phase expressed a negative response behavior and reduced the sensitivity. Also, the sensing property was controlled by the bulk of CuO and SnO\(_2\) due to the large size of crystallites, resulting in insignificant heterojunction between these two phases. The response of the SnO\(_2\) sensor was obviously small compared to the Cu\(_x\)Sn\(_{1-x}\)O\(_y\) sensor. Therefore, the enhancement of sensor response toward CH\(_4\) gas was attributed to the Cu substitutions or the absence of bridging oxygen in the SnO\(_2\) matrix. Figure 9b shows the sensor response as a function of operating temperature. The sensor response firstly increased with the temperature, with the maximum response of 69.0 at 350 °C, and then decreased at higher temperatures. This indicated that the operating temperature of 350 °C was the optimal or near-optimal temperature of the presented sensors. The calculated average sensor response of all sensors as a function of temperature is shown by a dotted line in Figure 9b, with the sensing peak observed at 350 °C or close to it.

![Figure 9](image.png)

**Figure 9.** (a) Sensor response versus Cu concentration of 0.00–10.00%; (b) sensor response versus operating temperatures of 250–400 °C. Note: the dotted line is only a guide for the average sensor response for all sensors.

### 3.5. The Correlation of Sensing Properties and Surface Area

The large surface area of nanomaterials is beneficial for sensing properties. Increasing the surface area may increase the active sites on the surface of nanomaterials. In the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) measurement, the adsorption isotherm curves (shown in Figure 10) were measured with N\(_2\) gas using a Quantachrome AUTOSORB-1-MP after the samples were dried in a vacuum at 150 °C for 1 h. The effective surface area of the composites synthesized of 0.25–10.00% Cu is shown in Figure 10b. The surface area first increased with the incorporation of Cu in the SnO\(_2\) lattice, reaching its maximal value of ~116 m\(^2\)/g at 2.00% Cu content, indicating the development of more pores. Further increase of Cu decreased the surface area, i.e., ~33 m\(^2\)/g for 10.00% Cu. Here, separated CuO and SnO\(_2\) nanorods were formed, and the crystallite size increased. Figure 10b demonstrates the correlation between the effective surface area and the sensing properties at different Cu concentrations. The most sensitive sensor fabricated of 2.00% Cu had the highest surface area. This large surface area may have allowed the diffusion of more gases to access more active sites. Sensors fabricated of nanocomposites with low surface area were less sensitive to the gas.
3.6. Calibration Curve and Sensor Stability

The response signal of the most sensitive sensor was measured four months later at various concentrations of CH₄ (0.25–1.0%) and the operating temperature of 350 and 400 °C, and the results are shown in Figure 11a. The sensor response depended on the gas concentration, and it returned to the initial value upon switching off of the respective gas. From Figure 11a, one can observe that the sensor responded quickly to the change in the gas concentration. The sensor responded to the low concentrations of the gas with good sensitivity. Because this signal was measured four months after the first measurement, it can give us a good indication about sensor stability. Although it was measured after a long period, the sensor gave the same response value toward 1.0% methane; no draft was observed upon the exposure to various gas concentrations, and the resistance returned to its initial value after removal of the gas.

3.7. Response and Recovery Time Constants

The calibration curve of the sensor fabricated of 2.00% Cu measured at 350 and 400 °C is presented in Figure 11b. The response shows a straight-line behavior for gas concentration of 0.00% up to 0.675%. The sensor response is represented by the empirical equation presented in the figure. A dramatic increase in the sensor response was observed for the higher gas concentration. The response is represented by a straight line with a large slope. There were two stages in the calibration curve of the present sensor, showing the nonlinear behavior in general. The increase in sensor response at 0.7% and 1.0% has also been detected in previous works for Co₃O₄ and In₂O₃ nanostructures [22,23]. This
may be ascribed to an increase in CH$_4$ pressure, allowing CH$_4$ to diffuse deeply into the sensing layer and react with more oxygen species. However, the behavior is not well understood. Moreover, the gas concentration limit here was 1.0% in order to keep it less than the explosive limit of 5%. To better understand this behavior, a wide range of higher gas concentrations must be studied. We may carry out these measurements in the future with various materials supported with theoretical bases.

The response and recovery time constants are essential parameters to estimate the sensing performance of the sensing layer on time. The rate of sensor response is described as the response or recovery time constants, which characterize the time required for the resistance to reach 90% of the equilibrium value after the gas injection and the time necessary for a sensor to attain a resistance 10% lower than its original value in the air, respectively. Figure 12a demonstrates the definition of the response and recovery time constants. The sensor responded quickly once the gas was introduced to the chamber. The time constants depended on the gas diffusion into the sensing layer, the surface temperature, and the speed at which the gas concentration filled the chamber. The time constants of the most sensitive sensor as a function of the operating temperature are shown in Figure 12b. This sensor had a shorter response–recovery time compared to the other sensors measured here. It is worth noting that the recovery time was much shorter than the response time at different temperatures. It also became shorter with increasing operating temperature, decreasing from ~85 s at 250 °C to 35 s at 400 °C. The response time did not depend on the operating temperature, keeping at ~149 s.

**Figure 12.** (a) Diagram illustrating the definition of the response and recovery time constants and (b) the calculated response and recovery time constants of 2.00% Cu sensor as a function of operating temperature.

4. Conclusions

In summary, a novel Cu$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$ nanocomposite was synthesized by incorporating Cu$^{2+}$ in the SnO$_2$ matrix using cost-effective precursors and method. Different Cu concentrations were used to develop this composite to monitor the change in its structural and sensing properties. It was observed that, at low concentrations of copper precursor, only SnO$_2$ phase was detected by XRD. The distribution of Cu in the SnO$_2$ matrix was further measured by elemental analysis of EDX mapping. At high copper concentration, a separated monoclinic phase of CuO was formed. The formation of Cu$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$O$_2$ or CuO phase was detected through the photoluminescent spectra of the radiative recombination. The effect of Cu concentration on the sensing properties of SnO$_2$ toward methane (CH$_4$) gas was also investigated. It was found that the sensor response was enhanced with increasing Cu$^{2+}$ substitution in the SnO$_2$ matrix, and the sensor embedded with 2.00% Cu exhibited an excellent sensitivity of 69.0 at 350 °C and short response–recovery time compared to other sensors reported here. However, the formation of separated CuO phase at 10.00% Cu negatively affected the sensing properties of the composite due to the competition between bulk materials of p-CuO and n-SnO$_2$, which made the heterojunction at the interface between CuO and SnO$_2$ insignificant. The present paper therefore provides an explanation for the improvement of sensing properties in terms of Cu substitution and the surface area.
Author Contributions: Conceptualization, N.M.S. and D.H.; methodology, N.M.S., D.H., A.A., and A.Y.A.-L.; formal analysis, N.M.S. and D.H.; investigation, D.H. and N.M.S.; writing—original draft preparation, N.M.S. and D.H.; writing—review and editing, A.Y.A.-L. and M.A.A.-R.; supervision, M.A.A.-R.; project administration, A.A.; funding acquisition, N.M.S.

Funding: This work was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Faisal University, Al-Hassa, under grant no. 186113.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank DSR for technical and financial support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Li, P.; Lei, M.; Tang, W.; Guo, X.; Wang, X. Facile route to straight SnO₂ nanowires and their optical properties. *J. Alloys Comp.* **2009**, *477*, 515–518. [CrossRef]
2. Liu, X.; Cheng, S.; Liu, H.; Hu, S.; Zhang, D.; Ning, H. A survey on gas sensing technology. *Sensors* **2012**, *12*, 9635–9665. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Harraz, F.A.; Ismail, A.A.; Al-Sayari, S.A.; Al-Assiri, M.S. Highly sensitive ethanol chemical sensor based on nanostructured SnO₂ doped ZnO modified glassy carbon electrode. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2015**, *639*, 238–242. [CrossRef]
4. Quaranta, F.; Rella, R.; Siciliano, P.; Capone, S.; Epifani, M.; Vasanelli, L.; Licciulli, A.; Zocco, A. A novel gas sensor based on SnO₂/Os thin film for the detection of methane at low temperature. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **1999**, *58*, 350–355. [CrossRef]
5. Horastani, Z.K.; Sayedi, S.M.; Sheikhi, M.H.; Rahimi, E. Effect of silver additive on electrical conductivity and methane sensitivity of SnO₂. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **2015**, *35*, 38–44. [CrossRef]
6. Bose, S.; Chakraborty, S.; Ghosh, B.; Das, D.; Sen, A.; Maiti, H.S. Methane sensitivity of Fe-doped SnO₂ thick films. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2005**, *105*, 346–350. [CrossRef]
7. Xue, D.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, Z. Hydrothermally prepared porous 3D SnO₂ microstructures for methane sensing at lower operating temperature. *Mater. Lett.* **2019**, *237*, 336–339. [CrossRef]
8. Lu, W.; Ding, D.; Xue, Q.; Du, Y.; Xiong, Y.; Zhang, J.; Pan, X.; Xing, W. Great enhancement of CH₄ sensitivity of SnO₂ based nanofibers by heterogeneous sensitization and catalytic effect. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, *254*, 393–401. [CrossRef]
9. Min, B.-K.; Choi, S.-D. Undoped and 0.1 wt.% Ca-doped Pt-catalyzed SnO₂ sensors for CH₄ detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2005**, *108*, 119–124. [CrossRef]
10. Wagner, T.; Bauer, M.; Sauerwald, T.; Kohl, C.-D.; Tiemann, M. X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy investigation of the oxidation state of Pd species in nanoporous SnO₂ gas sensors for methane detection. *Thin Solid Films* **2011**, *520*, 909–912. [CrossRef]
11. Cabot, A.; Vila, A.; Morante, J. Analysis of the catalytic activity and electrical characteristics of different modified SnO₂ layers for gas sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2002**, *84*, 12–20. [CrossRef]
12. Fateminia, F.S.; Mortazavi, Y.; Khodadadi, A.A. Au-promoted Ce-Zr catalytic filter for Pt/SnO₂ sensor to selectively detect methane and ethanol in the presence of interfering indoor gases. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **2019**, *90*, 182–189. [CrossRef]
13. Kootia, M.; Keshkhtara, S.; Askariehb, M.; Rashidi, A. Progress toward a novel methane gas sensor based on SnO₂ nanorods nanoporous graphene hybrid. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2019**, *281*, 96–106. [CrossRef]
14. Navazani, S.; Shokuhfar, A.; Hassanisadi, M.; Carlo, A.D.; Nia, N.Y.; Agresti, A. A PdPt decorated SnO₂ r-GO nanohybrid for high-performance resistive sensing of ethane. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *95*, 438–451. [CrossRef]
15. Somacescu, S.; Osiceanu, P.; Calderon-Moreno, J.M.; Sackmann, A.; Simion, C.E.; Stănoiu, A. Mesoporous Sn₀.₉₋ₓInₓO₁.₉Cuₓ (I)O₂₋ₓ gas sensors with selectivity to H₂S working under humid air conditions. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2014**, *197*, 63–71. [CrossRef]
16. Sun, L.; Qiu, F.; Quan, B. Investigation of a new catalytic combustion-type CH₄ gas sensor with low power consumption. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2000**, *66*, 289–292. [CrossRef]
17. Wei, W.; Dai, Y.; Huang, B. Role of Cu Doping in SnO₂ Sensing Properties Toward H₂S. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 18597–18602. [CrossRef]
18. Zhang, S.; Zhang, P.; Wang, Y.; Ma, Y.; Zhong, J.; Sun, X. Facile Fabrication of a Well-Ordered Porous Cu-Doped SnO$_2$ Thin Film for H$_2$S Sensing. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2014, 6, 14975–14980. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Shu, J.; Qiu, Z.; Lv, S.; Zhang, K.; Tang, D. Cu$^{2+}$-Doped SnO$_2$ Nanograins/Polypyrrole Nanospheres with Synergic Enhanced Properties for Ultrasensitive Room-Temperature H$_2$S Gas Sensing. *Anal. Chem.* 2017, 89, 11135–11142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Johari, A.; Johari, A.; Bhatnagar, M.C.; Sharma, M. Structural, Optical and Sensing Properties of Pure and Cu-Doped SnO$_2$ Nanowires. *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* 2014, 14, 5288–5292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

21. Shaalan, N.M.; Rashad, M.; Abdel-Rahim, M.A. CuO nanoparticles synthesized by a microwave-assisted method for methane sensing. *Opt. Quant. Electron.* 2016, 48, 531–542. [CrossRef]

22. Shaalan, N.; Rashad, M.; Moharram, A.; Abdel-Rahim, M. Promising methane gas sensor synthesized by microwave-assisted CuO$_2$ nanoparticles. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 2016, 46, 1–5. [CrossRef]

23. Shaalan, N.M.; Rashad, M.; Abdel-Rahim, M.A. Repeatability of indium oxide gas sensors for detecting methane at low temperature. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 2016, 56, 260–264. [CrossRef]

24. Yamazoe, N. New Approaches for Improving Semiconductor Gas Sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 1991, 5, 7–19. [CrossRef]

25. Stokes, A.R.; Wilson, A.C.J. The diffraction of X rays by distorted crystal aggregates—I. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* 1944, 56, 174–181. [CrossRef]

26. Riad, A.; Mahmoud, S.; Ibrahim, A. Structural and DC electrical investigations of ZnO thin films prepared by spray pyrolysis technique. *Phys. B Condens. Matter* 2001, 296, 319–325. [CrossRef]

27. Murray, P.W.; Condon, N.G.; Thornton, G. Effect of stoichiometry on the structure of TiO$_2$(110). *Phys. Rev. B* 1995, 51, 10989. [CrossRef]

28. Rinnert, H.; Miska, P.; Vergnat, M.; Schmerber, G.; Colis, S.; Dinia, A.; Muller, D.; Ferblantier, G.; Slaoui, A. Photoluminescence of Nd-doped SnO$_2$ thin films. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2012, 100, 101908. [CrossRef]

29. Yamazoe, N.; Sakai, G.; Shimano, K. Oxide semiconductor gas sensors. *Catal. Surv. Asia* 2003, 7, 63–75. [CrossRef]

30. Zhang, J.; Qin, Z.; Zeng, D.; Xie, C. Metal-oxide-semiconductor based gas sensors: Screening, preparation, and integration. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2017, 19, 6313–6329. [CrossRef]

31. Tian, Y.; Li, J.; Xiong, H.; Dai, J. Controlled synthesis of ZnO hollow microspheres via precursor-template method and its gas sensing property. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2012, 258, 8431–8438. [CrossRef]

32. Wei, S.; Zhou, M.; Du, W. Improved acetone sensing properties of ZnO hollow nanofibers by single capillary electrospinning. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2011, 160, 753–759. [CrossRef]

33. Lee, D.-D.; Chung, W.-Y.; Sohn, B.-K. High sensitivity and selectivity methane gas sensors doped with Rh as catalyst. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 1993, 13–14, 252–255. [CrossRef]

34. Haridas, D.; Gupta, V. Enhanced response characteristics of SnO$_2$ thin film based sensors loaded with Pd clusters for methane detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2012, 166–167, 156–164. [CrossRef]

© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).