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Abstract

Repressive regulation of potassium channel genes by Polycomb group (PcG) proteins contributes to PcG protein-mediated neuroprotection against neuronal ischemic injury, as seen in an ischemic stroke. Here we asked the question whether Trithorax group (TrxG) proteins, the antagonistic partners of PcG proteins (i.e., epigenetic activators targeting the same genes) may also regulate potassium channels. Results of patch-clamp studies on cultured neuronal cells showed that inhibition of TrxG protein MLL-1 led to an increase in potassium channel activity, an unexpected effect for a presumed gene activator. In contrast, decreased sodium currents were observed with MLL-1 inhibition. Increased or decreased levels of potassium channel protein Kv2.1 or sodium channel protein Nav1.2, respectively, were seen with MLL-1 inhibition, as determined by immunocytochemistry. These results, for the first time, demonstrate an involvement of TrxG protein MLL-1 in regulating neuronal ion channels, potentially repressing potassium channel genes.

Introduction

Ion channels play pivotal roles in regulating neuronal response to stresses, such as ischemic stress in brain ischemia. In the phenomenon of the so-called neuronal ischemic tolerance (modeled resistance against ischemic injury), a decrease in whole cell potassium (K⁺) currents and levels of ischemic injury, as seen in an ischemic stroke. Here we asked the question whether Trithorax group (TrxG) proteins, the antagonistic partners of PcG proteins (i.e., epigenetic activators targeting the same genes) may also regulate potassium channels. Results of patch-clamp studies on cultured neuronal cells showed that inhibition of TrxG protein MLL-1 led to an increase in potassium channel activity, an unexpected effect for a presumed gene activator. In contrast, decreased sodium currents were observed with MLL-1 inhibition. Increased or decreased levels of potassium channel protein Kv2.1 or sodium channel protein Nav1.2, respectively, were seen with MLL-1 inhibition, as determined by immunocytochemistry. These results, for the first time, demonstrate an involvement of TrxG protein MLL-1 in regulating neuronal ion channels, potentially repressing potassium channel genes.

PcG proteins potentially target more than a thousand genes, including many K⁺ channel genes [4]. They exert their repressive roles by concerted modifications of specific histone proteins in a residue-specific manner. Two well-studied, PcG protein-mediated histone modifications include mono-ubiquitination of histone H2A at Lysine 119 (H2K119mUb) by RING1B or BMI-1, and tri-methylation of H3 at Lysine 27 (H3K27me3) by EZH2; both H2K119mUb and H3K27me3 are repressive epigenetic marks. Interestingly, for genes that are repressively regulated by PcG proteins, they are also subject to activating regulation by another group of epigenetic regulatory proteins, the trithorax group (TrxG) proteins [5-9]. Like PcG proteins, TrxG proteins also modify histone proteins as a mean to installing activating epigenetic marks; examples include H3 tri-methylation at Lysine 4 (H3K4me3) by MLL-1 and at Lysine 36 (H3K36me3) by ASH1L, respectively. Our early, limited data suggest that, in ischemic-injured or ischemic-tolerant brains, the levels of PcG and TrxG proteins change in the opposite direction [10]. These observations have led us to ask the question whether TrxG proteins may regulate neuronal ion channels in effects opposing to that of PcG proteins, and subsequently the neuronal response to ischemia.
To investigate this question, it is essential that the effects of TrxG proteins be examined at functional levels. In this work, we took advantage of a commercially available pharmacological inhibitor for TrxG protein MLL-1, a H3K4 methyltransferase, and tested the effects of MLL-1 inhibition on electrophysiological properties of differentiated neuroblastoma NS20Y cells. Differentiated NS20Y cells have many characteristics that are comparable to those of primary cortical neurons, such as response to ischemic stress and biosynthesis of neuropeptides [2,11-13]. Previously, we have used this cell line to establish repressive regulation of cortical neurons, such as response to ischemic stress and biosynthesis of neuropeptides [2,11-13]. To investigate this question, it is essential that the effects of TrxG proteins be examined at functional levels. In this work, we took advantage of a commercially available pharmacological inhibitor for TrxG protein MLL-1, a H3K4 methyltransferase, and tested the effects of MLL-1 inhibition on electrophysiological properties of differentiated neuroblastoma NS20Y cells. Differentiated NS20Y cells have many characteristics that are comparable to those of primary cortical neurons, such as response to ischemic stress and biosynthesis of neuropeptides [2,11-13]. Previously, we have used this cell line to establish repressive regulation of neuroblastoma NS20Y cells. Differentiated NS20Y cells have many characteristics that are comparable to those of primary cortical neurons, such as response to ischemic stress and biosynthesis of neuropeptides [2,11-13]. Previously, we have used this cell line to establish repressive regulation of neuroblastoma NS20Y cells. Differentiated NS20Y cells have many characteristics that are comparable to those of primary cortical neurons, such as response to ischemic stress and biosynthesis of neuropeptides [2,11-13]. Previously, we have used this cell line to establish repressive regulation of

**Materials and methods**

**Cell cultures**

Mouse brain-derived neuroblastoma NS20Y cells (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) were maintained under normoxic conditions in DMEM media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Differentiation was achieved by the addition of 0.5 mM 8-CPT-cAMP (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) to the culture, as previously described [2]. For MLL-1 inhibition, differentiated cells were incubated with 100 μM MM-102 (HMTase Inhibitor IX MM-102, Calbiochem, San Diego, CA; dissolved in DMSO and diluted with culture media) overnight (approximately 16 hours). Control cells were incubated with culture media containing 0.1% DMSO.

**Immunocytochemistry (ICC)**

The effectiveness of MLL-1 inhibition on specific histone modification (H3K4me3) and cellular levels of selected ion channel proteins were examined by ICC analysis following standard protocols as previously described [2]. Briefly, cells were fixed with 4% polyformaldehyde in PBS, permeabilized, and analyzed with specific antibodies as follows (antibody against-, dilution, vendor, catalog no): H3K4me3, 1:400, Abcam, Ab6000; Kv2.1, 1:200, NeuroMab, Clone 89/34; Nav1.2, 1:400, OriGene, TA322242. For immunofluorescent detection, Dylight 594-conjugated secondary antibodies were used (1:800, ThermoScientific, 35510 and 35560 for anti-mouse IgG and anti-rabbit IgG, respectively). After incubation with appropriate antibodies, the specimens were mounted with a DAPI-containing solution to reveal nuclei, and examined under a Zeiss Axioskop2 fluorescence microscope.

**Electrophysiology**

Patch-clamp recordings were conducted on MM-102-treated or control cells that were plated on the same day. For Na+ channel recording, the extracellular solution (pH 7.37–7.43, 320–330 mOsm) contained (in mM): NaCl (140), KCl (5.4), CaCl2 (2), MgCl2 (1), HEPES (20), Glucose (10), in the presence of calcium (Ca++) channel blocker CdCl2 (0.1). For K+ channel recording, the extracellular solution included an addition of 1 μM Tetrodotoxin, a Na+ channel blocker and 100 μM CdCl2. The intracellular solutions (pH 7.27–7.33, 290–300 mOsm) contained (in mM): EGTA (0.5), HEPES (10), NaCl (15), MgATP (2), Na2GTP (0.3), either with K+ channel blockers CsCl (120) and Tetra-ethylammonium-Cl (2) for Na+ recordings, or with K-Gluconate (120) for K+ channel recordings. MM-102 was not included in the extracellular solution during recording. Recordings were performed on a Digidata 1322 Digitizer and Axon Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA) using 40% for both prediction and compensation. Leak subtraction was used for Na+ channel recordings.

**Results**

First, in differentiated NS20Y cells, the effectiveness of MLL-1 inhibition by MM-102 was verified by examining cellular levels of H3K4me3, whose production relies on the activity of MLL-1. As demonstrated in Figure 1a, MM-102-treated cells showed decreased levels of H3K4me3 proteins.

**MLL-1 inhibition resulted in increased K+ currents and cellular levels of Kv2.1 proteins**

When currents of voltage-gated K+ channels (Kv) were analyzed with voltage clamp recording, a significant increase was observed in MM-102-treated cells when compared with that in control cells (Figure 2a). An increase in the levels of Kv2.1 proteins was seen in MM-102-treated cells (Figure 1b). On the other hand, currents attributed to the inward rectifier K+ channel (Kir) function was not affected by MLL-1 inhibition (Figure 2b). Hence, MLL-1 appears to have a repressive effect on the expression of Kv channel gene(s) in NS20Y cells, as suggested by changes in both channel activity and levels of Kv2.1 proteins.

**MLL-1 inhibition in decreased Na+ currents and cellular levels of Nav1.2 proteins**

To assess whether a potentially repressive role of MLL-1 is a general effect to voltage-gated ion channels, we analyzed Na+ currents and cellular levels of Nav1.2, a voltage-gated Na+ channel (Nav) protein. Figure 2c shows that there was a significant decrease in Na+ currents in MM-102-treated cells when compared with that in control cells. Nav1.2 is widely
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Figure 1: MLL-1 inhibition-induced changes in cellular levels of H3K4me3 and ion channel proteins. Differentiated NS20Y cells were treated with either 100 μM MM-102 (Inhib) or vehicle (0.1% DMSO, Ctrl). ICC analysis of H3K4me3 (a) or Kv2.1 (b) or Nav1.2 (c) was performed using appropriate primary antibodies and Dylight 594-conjugated secondary antibodies (a and b, anti-mouse; c, anti-rabbit). A negative control (d, Minus primary) was included by incubating the specimen with secondary antibody alone in absence of the primary antibody. After incubation with antibodies, the specimens were mounted with a DAPI-containing solution to reveal nuclei, and examined under a fluorescence microscope. Similar results were obtained in 2-3 independent cultures. Scale bar represents 50 μm.

Discussion

Results from this study showed effects of TrxG protein MLL-1 in regulating cellular levels of K+ and Na+ channel proteins and the function of K+ or Na+ channel. To the best of our knowledge, the present work is the first in providing experimental evidence suggesting MLL-1 as a regulator of neuronal ion channels. MLL-1 inhibition-induced decrease in cellular levels of H3K4me3 confers MLL-1’s role as an epigenetic regulatory protein.

Unexpected, repressive effects of MLL-1 on K+ channel

It is interesting that, in differentiated NS20Y cells, the effects of MLL-1 inhibition differ between K+ and Na+ channels. The opposite changes in levels of Kv2.1 and Nav1.2 proteins (note that both are voltage-gated ion channel proteins), respectively, with MLL-1 inhibition implicate that MLL-1 may potentially act as a repressor for K+ channel gene(s) but an activator for Na+ channel gene(s). The increase in K+ currents with MLL-1 inhibition is in contrast to what one would expect, if MLL-1 is categorically an epigenetic activator being a TrxG protein. However, there have been studies in which MLL-1 shows similar roles to that of PcG proteins. In tumorigenesis, MLL-1 is known to play an oncogenic role, like PcG proteins typically do [14-18]. In brain tumor cells, MLL-1 enhances hypoxic responses, and silencing MLL-1 results in a decrease in hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) [16], known effects of PcG proteins. It should be noted that above-mentioned studies do not describe a direct effect, or the lack of it, of MLL-1 on gene expressions.

Mechanisms underlying MLL-1-mediated K+ channel repression

One may consider a number of possible underlying mechanisms in attempt to explain the observed repressive effects of MLL-1 on K+ channel. First, it is not known whether MLL-1 interacts directly with promoters of genes of interest or may regulate their expression through another regulatory protein. It is also possible that MLL-1 may activate a gene encoding a K+ channel inhibitory subunit. Second, as noted in Introduction, histone H3 carries both repressive and activating
epigenetic marks, each of which may be gene-specific, and change in condition-specific, dynamic manners [9]. For a specific target gene, its expression often is determined by the balanced acts of multiple histone modifiers. The current results render the need of profiling epigenetic marks that occupy specific $K^+$ or $Na^+$ channel genes, using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis combined with DNA sequencing or mass spectrometry analysis. Third, MLL-1 may be a noncanonical TrxG protein, that it may act like a PcG protein rather than as a TrxG protein, as there are examples for a PcG protein acting like a TrxG protein [19]. Also, the effects of PcG repressors and TrxG activators can potentially be context-dependent [20, 21]. Fourth, structurally, MLL-1 protein contains several regions that may potentially interact with (or recruit) gene repressor proteins including PcG protein BMI-1 and histone deacetylase 1 [22-25], whose actions may

Figure 2: Effects of MLL-1 inhibition on $K^+$ and $Na^+$ currents in differentiated NS20Y cells. The left panels show sample traces for measurements of $K^+$ (a), $Na^+$ (b), and $K_{IR}$ (c) channels, respectively. The right panels demonstrate: (a) Steady-state $K^+$ channel current density with voltage steps from -50 to +100 mV, which is indicative of $K_v$ type of $K^+$ channels. MLL-1 inhibition (open circles) significantly increased steady-state current density in main effect ($F_{(1, 24)} = 8.429, p = 0.0078$) in a voltage dependent manner (interaction of MLL-1 inhibition X Voltage, $F_{(15, 360)} = 6.436, p < 0.0001$), with significance from +50 to +100 mV, inclusive (+50 mV, $p = 0.0272$; +60 mV, $p = 0.0036$, +70 mV, $p = 0.0004$, +80 and +90 mV, $p < 0.0001$; +100 mV, $p = 0.0001$) when analyzed with two-way ANOVA. (b) $Na^+$ channel current density (normalized with cell size) in response to voltage steps from -50 to +70 mV. MLL-1 inhibition (open circles) significantly decreased the peak current density in a voltage-dependent manner (main effect, $F_{(1,17)} = 15.37, p = 0.0011$; interaction of MLL-1 inhibition X Voltage, $F_{(18, 306)} = 7.931, p < 0.0001$; -20 to +10 mV, $p < 0.0001$; +20 mV, $p = 0.0002$; +30 mV, $p = 0.0013$; +40 mV, $p = 0.0087$; +50 mV, $p = 0.0416$). (c) Peak $K^+$ channel current density with voltage steps from -60 to -140 mV, which is indicative of the $K_{IR}$ type $K^+$ channel, and for which MLL-1 inhibition did not result in changes in current density (main effect, $F_{(1, 24)} = 0.9399, p = 0.3420$; interaction of MLL-1 inhibition X Voltage, $F_{(8,192)} = 0.03859, p = 0.9999$). Numbers in parentheses indicate the total numbers of cells analyzed; cells were collected from at least two independent cultures.
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ablative MLL-1’s activity as a gene activator. While it remains to be shown whether such interactions may be more prevalent in the promoter regions of K⁺ channel genes as to that of Na⁺ channel genes, the possibility presents an interesting topic for future studies.

Summary

TrxG protein MLL-1 shows a regulatory role for both K⁺ and Na⁺ channels in neuronal cells, with a potentially repressive role for the former.
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