The X-linked intellectual disability protein IL1RAPL1 regulates excitatory synapse formation by binding PTPδ and RhoGAP2
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Mutations of the Interleukin-1-receptor accessory protein like 1 (IL1RAPL1) gene are associated with cognitive impairment ranging from non-syndromic X-linked mental retardation to autism. IL1RAPL1 belongs to a novel family of IL1/Toll receptors, which is localized at excitatory synapses and interacts with PSD-95. We previously showed that IL1RAPL1 regulates the synaptic localization of PSD-95 by controlling c-Jun N-terminal kinase activity and PSD-95 phosphorylation. Here, we show that the IgG-like extracellular domains of IL1RAPL1 induce excitatory pre-synapse formation by interacting with protein tyrosine phosphatase delta (PTPδ). We also found that IL1RAPL1 TIR domains interact with RhoGAP2, which is localized at the excitatory post-synaptic density. More interestingly, the IL1RAPL1/PTPδ complex recruits RhoGAP2 at excitatory synapses to induce dendritic spine formation. We also found that the IL1RAPL1 paralog, IL1RAPL2, interacts with PTPδ and induces excitatory synapse and dendritic spine formation. The interaction of the IL1RAPL1 family of proteins with PTPδ and RhoGAP2 reveals a pathophysiological mechanism of cognitive impairment associated with a novel type of trans-synaptic signaling that regulates excitatory synapse and dendritic spine formation.

INTRODUCTION

IL1RAPL1 belongs to the IL1/Toll receptor family and shares 52% homology with the IL-1 receptor accessory protein (IL-1RacP). Similar to other members of the IL-1 receptor family, it is characterized by three extracellular Ig-like domains, a transmembrane domain and an intracellular TIR domain. However, unlike the family members, 150 additional amino acids are located at the C-terminus. The homology with IL-RacP is evenly distributed throughout the protein with the exception of the last 150 amino acids, which are present only in IL1RAPL1 and its paralog, IL1RAPL2. The first identified mutation in the IL1RAPL1 gene, which was described by Carrie et al. (1), is associated with a non-syndromic form of mental retardation (MR). Similar to some other genes involved in cognitive impairment (2–4), IL1RAPL1 mutations are associated with a spectrum of cognitive impairments ranging from MR to autism (5–11).

It has been previously demonstrated that the intracellular C-terminal domain of IL1RAPL1 interacts with NCS-1 (12) and that this interaction mediates the regulatory effect of IL1RAPL1 over-expression on N-type voltage-gated calcium channel activity in PC12 cells (13). More recently, we found that the C-terminal tail of IL1RAPL1 interacts with PSD-95.
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and regulates PSD-95 localization to synapses by stimulating c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) phosphorylation at Ser-295 (14).

In this study, we found that both IL1RAPL1 and IL1RAPL2 can induce excitatory pre-synapse differentiation and dendritic spine formation. Interestingly, although the extracellular domain is sufficient for inducing pre-synaptic differentiation, both extracellular and intracellular TIR domains are required for dendritic spine formation. Using affinity chromatography, we identified protein tyrosine phosphatase delta (PTPδ) as a binding partner of IL1RAPL1 through its extracellular domain. This interaction was confirmed biochemically and with an HEK cell trans-clustering assay using both IL1RAPL1 and IL1RAPL2. Using yeast two-hybrid screening, we found that the IL1RAPL1 intracellular TIR domain interacts with RhoGAP2, which is localized at the excitatory post-synaptic density. The interaction of IL1RAPL1 with RhoGAP2 is required to induce dendritic spine formation. Interestingly, we found that blocking the IL1RAPL1/PTPδ interaction abolished RhoGAP2 recruitment at excitatory synapses, suggesting that IL1RAPL1 is involved in a novel trans-synaptic signaling pathway that regulates excitatory synapse and dendritic spine formation.

RESULTS

IL1RAPL1 induces the formation of functional excitatory synapses

We have previously demonstrated that IL1RAPL1 over-expression in neurons increases the excitatory synapse number (measured as an increase in pre-synaptic contacts and dendritic spine number) and that this ability does not depend on the interaction between IL1RAPL1 and PSD-95 (14).

To better understand which domains of IL1RAPL1 are involved in this function, we over-expressed full-length IL1RAPL1 or two different mutants in neurons at days in vitro 9 (DIV 9). The two mutants investigated were HA-IL1RAPL1ΔC, which mimics the Y459X mutation that was described in a boy affected by MR (1) and lacks part of the TIR domain and the entire C-terminal tail (Fig. 1A), and HA-IL1RAPL1ΔN, which has a deletion of the two external N-terminal Ig-like domains on the extracellular surface (Fig. 1A). Seven days after transfection, neurons were analyzed for the dendritic spine number and shape, and the pre-synaptic interaction and inhibitory contacts were stained using VGLUT1 and VGAT antibodies, respectively.

As shown in Figure 1B and quantified in the graph in Figure 1C and D, both the extracellular and the intracellular domains of IL1RAPL1 were necessary to increase the dendritic spine number (Fig. 1D, mean ± SEM dendritic spine number per 10 μm in neurons expressing GFP: 4.4 ± 0.2; HA-IL1RAPL1: 6.6 ± 0.3; HA-IL1RAPL1ΔC: 3.6 ± 0.3; HA-IL1RAPL1ΔN: 4.1 ± 0.4; *P < 0.05). However, the full-length and mutant IL1RAPL1 constructs did not modify the dendritic spine shape (Fig. 1C). Only the extracellular domain of IL1RAPL1 was required to increase the excitatory (VGLUT1-positive, Fig. 1E and G), but not the inhibitory (VGAT-positive, Fig. 1F and H), pre-synaptic staining (Fig. 1G, mean ± SEM normalized fluorescence intensity of VGLUT1 staining in neurons expressing GFP: 92.9 ± 6.3; HA-IL1RAPL1: 388.1 ± 33.9; HA-IL1RAPL1ΔC: 298.2 ± 21.9; HA-IL1RAPL1ΔN: 102.1 ± 10.5; *P < 0.01).

We also studied the role of the IL1RAPL1 gene paralog, IL1RAPL2, in neurons to understand whether this protein shares properties with IL1RAPL1. Similar to IL1RAPL1, IL1RAPL2 over-expression increased the dendritic spine number without affecting morphology (Fig. 1B–D, number of spines per 10 μm in neurons expressing IL1RAPL2 compared with GFP: 6.3 ± 0.3 versus 4.4 ± 0.2, *P < 0.05) and promoted excitatory (Fig. 1E and G, VGLUT1 staining in neurons expressing HA-IL1RAPL2 compared with neurons expressing GFP: 227.2 ± 31.2 versus 92.9 ± 6.3; *P < 0.01), but not inhibitory, pre-synaptic contact formation in connecting neurons (Fig. 1F and H).

To visualize whether these extra synapses actively release neurotransmitters, we performed an FM4–64 labeling experiment. Neurons were transfected at DIV 9 with full-length IL1RAPL1 or mutants (IL1RAPL1ΔC and IL1RAPL1ΔN) and GFP to visualize transfected neurons, using immunofluorescence. Seven days after transfection, pre-synaptic vesicle recycling was stimulated with elevated K+ (90 mM KCl for 1 min), and the cells were loaded with FM4–64, washed in low-KCl buffer containing tetrodotoxin (TTX) to remove excess FM4–64 and then fixed. Over-expression of IL1RAPL1 and IL1RAPL1ΔC increased the number of active pre-synaptic compartments in contacting neurons (Fig. 2A and B, mean ± SEM FM4–64 relative intensity in neurons expressing GFP: 113.0 ± 3.9; HA-IL1RAPL1: 198.2 ± 17.4; HA-IL1RAPL1ΔC: 156.3 ± 11.0; HA-IL1RAPL1ΔN: 98.3 ± 4.2; *P < 0.01).

Finally, in IL1RAPL1 over-expressing neurons, but not in neurons over-expressing IL1RAPL1ΔN, an increase in the number of pre-synaptic contacts was associated with an increase of the miniature excitatory post-synaptic current (mEPSC) frequency (Fig. 2C and D, mean ± SEM mEPSC frequency of neurons expressing GFP: 0.9 ± 0.1 Hz; HA-IL1RAPL1: 1.5 ± 0.2 Hz, *P < 0.5; HA-IL1RAPL1ΔC: 0.85 ± 0.25 Hz, *P < 0.05), whereas no modification of the mEPSC amplitude was observed (Fig. 2C and E; mEPSC amplitude of neurons expressing GFP: 13.6 ± 0.3 pA; HA-IL1RAPL1: 12.8 ± 0.3 pA; P = 0.07; HA-IL1RAPL1ΔC: 13.3 ± 0.5 Hz). These data suggest that IL1RAPL1 may act as a synaptogenic protein and that the extracellular domain is required to induce pre-synaptic formation, although both the extracellular and intracellular domains are required for inducing dendritic spine formation.

The extracellular domain of IL1RAPL1 is necessary to induce pre-synaptic clustering

Our data suggest that both IL1RAPL1 and IL1RAPL2 may function as synaptic cell adhesion proteins. To corroborate this hypothesis, we performed a neuron-fibroblast co-culture assay (15).

COS-7 cells transfected with GFP (negative control), neuroligin-2 (positive control), HA-IL1RAPL1 (either full-length or lacking the extracellular domain, IL1RAPL1ΔN) or HA-IL1RAPL2 were co-cultured with hippocampal neurons at DIV 8. After 3 days of co-culture, we fixed the
cells, and the pre-synapses were visualized using an antibody against synapsin I.

COS-7 cells expressing wild-type (wt) IL1RAPL1, IL1RAPL2 and neurexin-2, but not IL1RAPL1ΔN, induced pre-synaptic differentiation in contacting axons, showing significantly higher levels of synapsin I intensity compared with the COS-7 cells expressing GFP (Fig. 2F and H), mean ± SEM synapsin intensity staining measured over COS-7 cells transfected with GFP: 55.9 ± 4.0; IL1RAPL1: 321.2 ± 32.3; IL1RAPL2: 253.9 ± 19.6; IL1RAPL1ΔN: 62.5 ± 10.0; neurexin-2: 731.5 ± 154.3; *P < 0.05). However, IL1RAPL1-expressing COS-7 cells were not able to recruit VGAT-positive pre-synaptic terminals (Fig. 2G).
These data demonstrate that IL1RAPL1 and IL1RAPL2 may act as synaptogenic molecules for excitatory synapses that interact with an unknown pre-synaptic partner.

**IL1RAPL family proteins specifically interact with PTPδ**

To identify the receptor for IL1RAPL1, we used the IL1RAPL1 extracellular domain coupled to Fc (Fc-IL1RAPL1-N) or control Fc proteins and protein A beads for column purification of synaptosomal membrane proteins from P18 rat brains that bound Fc-IL1RAPL1 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). IL1RAPL1-associated proteins were then analyzed by MudPit tandem mass spectrometry (16). We found less than 200 proteins that co-precipitated with Fc-IL1RAPL1-N (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Among these, some proteins were common with Fc alone, and other proteins were cytosolic molecules or enzymes. Among the few transmembrane pre-synaptic proteins, PTPδ was precipitated. PTPδ, together with LAR and PTPγ, is a...
We also tested the interaction between IL1RAPL1 and other transmembrane synaptic partners, including neurexins. Again, we did not find other interactors among the analyzed proteins (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3).

The specific interaction between IL1RAPL1 and PTPδ was also confirmed by immunoprecipitation. For this experiment, HEK293FT cells were transfected with full-length IL1RAPL1 or IL1RAPL1\(\Delta\)N. Another set of cells was transfected with PTPδ, LAR or PTP\(\rho\). The lysates obtained from the transfected cells were mixed 1:1 and immunoprecipitated using antibodies specific for the intracellular domain of IL1RAPL1 (14). As shown in Figure 3B, IL1RAPL1 but not IL1RAPL1\(\Delta\)N immunoprecipitated only PTPδ.

These results suggest that post-synaptic IL1RAPL1 enhances the maturation of pre-synaptic terminals by its trans-synaptic interaction with membrane-bound PTPδ.

The intracellular domain of IL1RAPL1 interacts with RhoGAP2

As shown in Figure 1, the IL1RAPL1 intracellular domain is required to induce dendritic spine formation, and this activity is not dependent on the interaction with PSD-95 (14). Therefore, we sought to identify proteins that bind to IL1RAPL1 in the intracellular domain that might be required for dendritic spine formation. We used the yeast two-hybrid system with the intracellular C-terminal tail of IL1RAPL1 (amino acids 390–696; Fig. 4A) as bait to screen a human fetal brain cDNA library. Four prey cDNA clones were isolated (clones 14, 25, 27 and 37; Fig. 4A), all of which encoded RhoGAP2, a novel RhoGTPase-activating protein II.

The sites of interaction between IL1RAPL1 and RhoGAP2 were further studied using a yeast two-hybrid system using the full-length IL1RAPL1 C-terminus containing the TIR domain (amino acids 390–696), the TIR domain plus 18 amino acids of the distal region of the C-terminus (amino acids 390–580), the TIR domain alone (amino acids 403–562) or the IL1RAPL1 C-terminus lacking the TIR domain (amino acids 560–696) as bait.

For prey, the following constructs were tested (Fig. 4B): the RhoGAP full-length C-terminus (amino acids 441–698) and three C-terminal fragments (amino acids 430–580, 500–698 and 560–698) (Fig. 4B).

Only two RhoGAP2 constructs [full-length RhoGAP2 C-terminus and a C-terminal fragment (amino acids 500–698)] interacted with wt IL1RAPL1 (with an intact C-terminal tail) and with the TIR domain (amino acids 390–580, 403–562). None of the RhoGAP2 constructs interacted with the IL1RAPL1 construct missing the TIR domain (amino acids 560–696) (Fig. 4B). These data show that IL1RAPL1 and RhoGAP2 interact and that the TIR domain of IL1RAPL1 is necessary for interaction in the two-hybrid system.

We further investigated the interaction between IL1RAPL1 and RhoGAP2 in transfected COS-7 cells and neuronal protein extracts using pull-down and co-immunoprecipitation experiments. First, we performed a GST pull-down assay using COS7 cells. GST was fused to the IL1RAPL1 TIR domain (amino acids 403–562) or the IL1RAPL1 C-terminal fragments (amino acids 551–607, 608–684 or 560–696) and

Figure 3. The extracellular domain of IL1RAPL1 interacts with that of PTPδ. (A) Two sets of HEK293FT cells were transfected with IL1RAPL1 or IL1RAPL1\(\Delta\)N and with the Myc-tagged constructs of LAR-ecto-pDisplay or HA-IL1RAPL1. One day after transfection, the cells were co-cultured, and the subcellular distribution of the two proteins was observed. Interestingly, HA-IL1RAPL1, which specifically interacted with PTPδ, showed enhanced accumulation at sites of contact with PTPδ-transfected cells (Fig. 3A).

To confirm the interaction, we initially examined whether membrane-associated PTPδ could regulate the accumulation of IL1RAPL1 at contact sites. HEK293FT cells were transfected with PTPδ-ecto-pDisplay or HA-IL1RAPL1. One day after transfection, the cells were co-cultured, and the subcellular distribution of the two proteins was observed. Interestingly, HA-IL1RAPL1, but not HA-IL1RAPL1\(\Delta\)N, showed enhanced accumulation at sites of contact with PTPδ-transfected cells (Fig. 3A).

To determine whether IL1RAPL1 specifically bound PTPδ and not other PTP family members, we performed a similar set of experiments with LAR and PTP\(\rho\); neither protein showed enhanced accumulation at sites of contact with IL1RAPL1-transfected cells (Fig. 3A). Similarly, IL1RAPL2 specifically interacted with PTPδ but not with the other members of the PTP family (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2).
tested for binding to full-length myc-RhoGAP2. As expected, the TIR domain of IL1RAPL1 precipitated full-length myc-RhoGAP2. In addition, the 560–696 fragment precipitated myc-RhoGAP2. We then generated an antibody that specifically recognizes RhoGAP1 (see Experimental Procedures, Supplementary Material, Fig. S4A for details) and showed that the TIR domain and the last 132 amino acids of the IL1RAPL1 C-terminus interact with RhoGAP2 present in rat brain lysates (Fig. 4E).

These data indicate that the IL1RAPL1–RhoGAP2 interaction is complex and involves different regions of the C-terminal end of IL1RAPL1 (Fig. 4C and E).

Next, we found that HA-IL1RAPL1 was specifically co-immunoprecipitated with myc-RhoGAP2 in transfected COS-7 cells, but HA-IL1RAPL1 with the C-terminus deleted (HA-IL1RAPL1ΔC) was not (Fig. 4D). Finally, immunofluorescence labeling of hippocampal neurons showed that endogenous IL1RAPL1 and RhoGAP2 co-localized to...
dendritic spines (Fig. 4F). These findings demonstrate the association of IL1RAPL1 with RhoGAP2.

**RhoGAP2 is localized to excitatory synapses**

We then asked whether RhoGAP2 is localized to the postsynaptic site of the excitatory synapses, as suggested by its interaction with IL1RAPL1. We found that RhoGAP2 is expressed in the cortex, the cerebellum and the hippocampus (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4A) and in the cortex and hippocampus in mice during development (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4B). Using subcellular fractionation, we also showed that RhoGAP2 is present in the synaptosomal fraction (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2B). The synaptic subcellular localization of RhoGAP2 was further studied by multiple-immunolabeling experiments in cultured hippocampal neurons, using anti-GluR1, anti-GluR2, anti-PSD-95, anti-Shank, anti-Bassoon, anti-synaptophysin and anti-V-GAT antibodies. Endogenous RhoGAP2 was mostly co-localized with PSD-95 and Shank1 proteins (percent of IL1RAPL1 antibodies. Endogenous RhoGAP2 was mostly co-localized with PSD-95 and Shank1 proteins (percent of IL1RAPL1

74.4% + 1.2 of the endogenous RhoGAP2 immunoreactivity co-localized with Shank1 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2C and D), which are markers of excitatory post-synapses. Moreover, only 5% ± 1.2 of the endogenous RhoGAP2 co-localized with V-GAT (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4C and D). These results suggest that RhoGAP2 is enriched in the post-synaptic compartment.

We also examined the effect of over-expressing RhoGAP2 in mature neurons on the staining of endogenous pre- and postsynaptic markers. In neurons over-expressing mycRhoGAP2, there was a significant increase in the number of puncta containing synaptophysin (relative to GFP-transfected neurons, 11.86 ± 0.64 versus 8.5 ± 0.44; P < 0.01), Bassoon (relative to control, 8.9 ± 0.39 versus 6.42 ± 0.51; P < 0.05), Shank1 (relative to GFP transfected neurons, 9.9 ± 0.45 versus 7.3 ± 0.34; P < 0.01) and PSD-95 (relative to control, 10.3 ± 0.63 versus 6.7 ± 0.45; P < 0.01) but not GluR1 (relative to GFP transfected neurons, 8.16 ± 0.33 versus 7.7 ± 0.41) or GluR2 (relative to GFP-transfected neurons, 8.4 ± 0.21 versus 7.1 ± 0.33) (Fig. 5A and B).

We also found that spines of mycRhoGAP2-overexpressing cells showed increased staining intensity for synaptophysin (relative to control, 3.1 ± 0.55 versus 1.3 ± 0.21; P < 0.01), Bassoon (relative to control, 2.8 ± 0.58 versus 1.5 ± 0.6; P < 0.05), Shank1 (relative to control, 3.9 ± 0.45 versus 2.4 ± 0.7; P < 0.01) and PSD-95 (relative to control, 3.8 ± 0.55 versus 2.4 ± 0.63; P < 0.01), but not GluR1 (relative to control, 3.3 ± 0.27 versus 2.8 ± 0.31) or GluR2 (relative to control, 2.7 ± 0.27 versus 2.2 ± 0.45) (Fig. 5A and B).

These data demonstrate that RhoGAP2 is a novel synaptic RhoGAP that interacts with IL1RAPL1 and might contribute to excitatory synapse formation.

**RhoGAP2 is recruited to synapses by the IL1RAPL1/PTP6 interaction**

We then determined whether the interaction between IL1RAPL1 and PTP6 is required for RhoGAP2 recruitment and function in excitatory synapses. We over-expressed wt IL1RAPL1 and mutants and stained for endogenous RhoGAP2 expression. We found that the over-expression of IL1RAPL1 significantly increased both endogenous RhoGAP2 and synapsin staining compared with β-Gal-overexpressing neurons (Fig. 6A–C). The over-expression of both IL1RAPL1ΔC (unable to bind to RhoGAP2) and IL1RAPL1ΔN (unable to bind to PTP6) did not increase the staining of endogenous RhoGAP2 (Fig. 6A and B), whereas only IL1RAPL1ΔC increased the synapsin staining (Fig. 6A and C) (Fig. 6B, mean ± SEM normalized intensity staining of endogenous RhoGAP2 in neurons over-expressing β-Gal: 51.9 ± 2.7; IL1RAPL1: 76.0 ± 4.3; IL1RAPL1ΔC: 57.0 ± 2.9; IL1RAPL1ΔN: 44.6 ± 1.9; *P < 0.05. Fig. 6C, mean ± SEM normalized staining intensity of endogenous synapsin in neurons over-expressing β-Gal: 93.6 ± 6.3; IL1RAPL1: 376.5 ± 31.7; IL1RAPL1ΔC: 301.5 ± 25.0; IL1RAPL1ΔN: 91.5 ± 10.5; *P < 0.05). These data suggest that IL1RAPL1 binds and recruits RhoGAP2 to synapses via its intracellular domain, and binding of PTP6 at the extracellular domain is also required. To confirm these findings, neurons over-expressing IL1RAPL1 were incubated with purified Fc or Fc-IL1RAPL2 for 6 days, which should compete with the binding between IL1RAPL1 and PTP6. We found that Fc-IL1RAPL2 was able to significantly reduce both RhoGAP2- and synapsin-induced recruitment by IL1RAPL1 (Fig. 6A–C) (Fig. 6B, mean ± SEM normalized intensity staining of endogenous RhoGAP2 in neurons over-expressing IL1RAPL1 and incubated with Fc: 76.6.9 ± 3.9; incubated with Fc-IL1RAPL2: 58.5 ± 5.5; §P < 0.05. Fig. 6C, mean ± SEM normalized intensity staining of endogenous RhoGAP2 in neurons over-expressing IL1RAPL1 and incubated with Fc: 337.2 ± 6.3; incubated with Fc-IL1RAPL2: 189.2 ± 25.5; §P < 0.05). We also measured the level of co-localization of endogenous RhoGAP2 with synapsin and found that the over-expression of IL1RAPL1ΔC does not reduce significantly the level of RhoGAP2 that co-localizes with synapsin (data not shown), suggesting that IL1RAPL1 might not be necessary for RhoGAP2 localization at synapses.

Thus, our data suggest that the interaction between IL1RAPL1 and PTP6 induces pre-synaptic maturation, and the interaction with RhoGAP2 increases postsynaptic cluster and dendritic spine formation. To further support this finding, we over-expressed wt RhoGAP2 or RhoGAP2ΔC (unable to bind IL1RAPL1; we inserted a stop codon at amino acid 500) and GFP in hippocampal neurons and determined the dendritic spine number and morphology. We found that the over-expression of RhoGAP2 increased the dendritic spine number without changing the shape, whereas the over-expression of RhoGAP2ΔC significantly altered the shape of dendritic spines, which became longer and thinner compared with neurons over-expressing GFP (Fig. 7A) (Fig. 7B, mean ± SEM dendritic width, μm, in neurons expressing GFP: 0.8 ± 0.01; RhoGAP2: 0.9 ± 0.02; RhoGAP2ΔC: 0.5 ± 0.03; *P < 0.05. Fig. 7B, mean ± SEM dendritic length, μm, in neurons expressing GFP: 1.4 ± 0.3; RhoGAP2: 1.5 ± 0.2; RhoGAP2ΔC: 2.5 ± 0.3; *P < 0.05. Fig. 7C, mean ± SEM dendritic spines number in neurons expressing GFP: 4.3 ± 0.2; RhoGAP2: 6.2 ± 0.3; RhoGAP2ΔC: 3.4 ± 0.3; *P < 0.05).
DISCUSSION

In this study, we showed that the MR-related protein IL1RAPL1 is a synaptic adhesion protein that binds trans-synaptically to PTPd through its extracellular domain and to RhoGAP2 through its intracellular domain.

Cell adhesion proteins are thought to be key regulators of synaptogenesis. In particular, these proteins are involved in both the initial phase of synaptogenesis, during cell-type-specific target recognition, and the synapse maturation phase, during which the protein components of the presynaptic release machinery and the post-synaptic signaling apparatus are recruited to the nascent synaptic contact (17).

These proteins are known to induce synaptic specialization in neurons when over-expressed in fibroblasts during co-culture experiments.

We previously showed that IL1RAPL1 is a transmembrane protein located at post-synaptic densities of excitatory synapses (14) that can induce pre-synaptic differentiation when over-expressed in neuronal cultures. Here, we found that the IL1RAPL1 extracellular domain interacts with PTPd, a pre-synaptic protein involved in synapse formation.

This interaction was found using non-biased Fc-IL1RAPL1 affinity chromatography and mass-spectrometry analysis using brain extract and then confirmed by HEK cell trans-clustering assays and immunoprecipitation by trans-interaction.

PTPδ is a member of the LAR phospho-tyrosine phosphatase family, which also includes LAR and PTPσ (18). All three members of the family are specifically involved in synapse formation by binding to NGL-3 through the FNIII domain (15,19). It has also been found that the Ig
domain of PTPα binds to the neurotrophin receptor TrkC, suggesting that multiple proteins can contribute to the presynaptic differentiation of the LAR-PTP families (20). In this study, we found that PTPδ can specifically transsynaptically bind to a second and a third partner, IL1RAPL1 and its paralog IL1RAPL2.

It was previously demonstrated that PTPδ is the only LAR family member that is not able to induce post-synaptic differentiation, such as PSD-95 accumulation, when added to neuronal cultures (19). However, our data showed that the ability of IL1RAPL1 to induce dendritic spine formation requires both the extracellular and the intracellular domains.

Using yeast two-hybrid screening, we identified RhoGAP2 as a new intracellular partner of IL1RAPL1, and our data suggest that the IL1RAPL1 and RhoGAP2 interaction is required for the ability of IL1RAPL1 to induce dendritic spine formation.

Indeed, we previously showed that IL1RAPL1 binds to PSD-95; however, this interaction is not required for the IL1RAPL1-mediated increase in the number of dendritic spines (14). In this study, we showed that the intracellular domain also binds to RhoGAP2, a GTPase-activating protein previously shown to inhibit Rac1 activity (21). However, because the deletion of most of the N- or C-terminal region of IL1RAPL1 used in our experiments not only disrupts binding of IL1RAPL1 to PTPδ and RhoGAP2, but also binding to any other possible known and unknown interacting proteins, we cannot totally exclude that other IL1RAPL1

---

**Figure 6.** The IL1RAPL1/PTPδ complex recruits RhoGAP2 to synapses. (A) Hippocampal neurons were transfected with β-Gal, HA-IL1RAPL1, HA-IL1RAPL1ΔC or HA-IL1RAPL1ΔN at DIV 9, fixed at DIV 16 and triple-stained for β-Gal, IL1RAPL1, RhoGAP2 and synapsin. Two sets of neurons transfected with IL1RAPL1 were treated with Fc or FcIL1RAPL2, as indicated in the panels. Each row of images shows triple-labeling for HA (red, left panel), RhoGAP2 (green, middle left panel) and synapsin (blue, middle right panel); merged images are shown in color in the right panel (scale bar = 10 μm). (B) Quantification of RhoGAP2 (B1) and synapsin (B2) cluster intensity in neurons over-expressing IL1RAPL1 constructs (at least nine neurons were analyzed for each construct). Bar graphs show the mean ± SEM of dendritic RhoGAP2 and synapsin intensity normalized to GFP-transfected neurons (*P < 0.01). Two sets of HEK293FT cells were transfected with IL1RAPL1 with or without RhoGAP2 and with the Myc-tagged construct PTPδ-ecto-pDis (PTPδ) for 16 h using the calcium phosphate precipitation method. Cells expressing the proper constructs were plated together on a 16 mm cover slip and grown for 24 h before fixation and staining for IL1RAPL1, Myc and RhoGAP2.
partners are important for its activity on excitatory synapse formation.

Our biochemical and morphological data suggest that RhoGAP2 is localized to excitatory synapses, and its C-terminal tail interacts with the TIR domain of IL1RAPL1, although pull-down experiments suggest a possible additional interaction at the C-terminal tail.

RhoGAP proteins increase the intrinsic GTPase activity to inactivate the RhoGTPase switch and guanine-nucleotide dissociation inhibitors (22). Rho proteins have been implicated in different aspects of neuronal morphogenesis, including dendritic arbor development and spine morphogenesis (23). In particular, Rac1 positively regulates and RhoA negatively regulates dendritic spine morphogenesis (24).

Surprisingly, we found that the over-expression of RhoGAP2 induces both excitatory synapse and dendritic spine formation. However, the over-expression of a RhoGAP2 mutant that does not bind to IL1RAPL1 causes a drastic change in spine shapes which are converted to filopodia-like shape. Thus, our data suggest that the RhoGAP2 activity on dendritic spines is regulated by the interaction with IL1RAPL1/PTPd complex.

Although the function of the IL1RAPL1–RhoGAP2 interaction remains to be defined, we found that RhoGAP2 is recruited to synapses by the PTPδ–IL1RAPL1 interaction, as suggested by the finding that endogenous RhoGAP2 staining is increased at synapses when IL1RAPL1 is overexpressed or when the interaction between IL1RAPL1 and PTPδ is inhibited by Fc-IL1RAPL2.

In conclusion, we show for the first time that IL1RAPL1 and its paralog ILRAPL2 are synaptic adhesion molecules that contribute to synapse formation, and alteration of this pathway might contribute to the development of ID in patients with IL1RAPL1 mutations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibodies

The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-IL1RAPL1 (K10) (14), rabbit anti-RhoGAP2 (QQ15) raised against the peptide CysGHRRASSGDRKDTGSVQRSLTYD (amino acids 659–677), rabbit anti-VGlut1 (Synaptic System), mouse anti-VGAT (NeuroMab), mouse anti-synapsin (Sigma), mouse anti-Bassoon (Synaptic System), guinea pig anti-Shank1 (gift from E. Kim, KAIST), mouse anti-PSD-95 K28/43 (NeuroMab), mouse anti-GluR2 (Chemicon, International S.C.), rabbit anti-GluR1C-term (Chemicon International), mouse anti-synaptophysin (Sigma), rabbit anti-HA-tag (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti-HA-tag (Roche Applied Science), rabbit anti-Myc-tag (Sigma) and mouse anti-β-galactosidase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

cDNA constructs

Full-length or deletion HA-IL1RAPL1 constructs have been previously described (14). IL1RAPL2 cDNA was first obtained by Kristi Palmer (Amgen) and then sub-cloned into the GW1–2b-GluR2 Signal peptide-HA vector. For the IL1RAPL1ΔC construct, we inserted a stop codon at amino acid 459, and for the IL1RAPL1ΔN construct, we deleted the first 242 amino acids.

For the chromatography assay, the IL1RAPL1 extracellular domain (amino acids 1–353) was cloned into the NheI/KpnI sites of the pEGFP-N1 vector in which EGFP was replaced with a human Fc domain (19). Myc- and HA-tagged LAR-ecto-pDis, PTPδ-ecto-pDis and PTPσ-ecto-pDis have been previously described (19). Neurelin2-HA has been previously described (25). Neurexin1β-CFP, Neurexin1α-CFP,
Neurexin2α-CFP, Neurexin3α-CFP, Myc-Netrin-G1, Myc-Netrin-G2, Myc-DASM-1, SALM1-GFP, SALM2-GFP, SALM3-GFP, SALM4-GFP, NGL-3-GFP and NCAM140-GFP constructs have been previously described (26,27). RhoGAP2 cDNA was bought from Invitrogen and then sub-cloned into the GW12b-myc plasmid. To develop RhoGAP2ΔC, we inserted a stop codon at amino acid 500.

Cell culture, transfection, staining and quantification of primary rat hippocampal neurons

Low-density hippocampal neuronal cultures were prepared from E18–E19 rat hippocampi as previously described with minor modifications (28,29) and were grown in 12-well Petri dishes (Iwaky Sterilin, Caerphilly, UK). Neurons were transfected using the calcium phosphate precipitation method on DIV 9, and experiments were performed 7 days after transfection.

African green monkey kidney (COS-7) or HEK293T cells at 50–70% confluency (24 h after plating in six-well plates; Iwaky Sterilin, Caerphilly, UK) were transfected using Lipofectamine® 2000 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA) with cDNA expression constructs (1–2 μg DNA/well) for 2–3 h in 5% CO2 at 37°C. Cells were washed twice with PBS, fed with DMEM containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin and grown for 24–48 h before lysis for co-immunoprecipitation or pull-down assays.

Hippocampal neurons were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA)–4% sucrose or 100% methanol at −20°C for 10 min. Primary (1:50–1:400) and secondary (1:200) antibodies were applied in GDB buffer [30 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)] containing 0.2% gelatin, 0.5% Triton X-100 and 5 ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid, 10 HEPES, 4 ATP and 15 phosphocreatine (pH 7.4)]. EPSCs were investigated in cultured neurons by superfusing the whole-cell clamped neuron with a Tyrode solution containing (in mM): 150 NaCl, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 4 KCl, 10 glucose and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4). Neurons were voltage-clamped at −70 mV, and TTX (0.3 μM) was added to block spontaneous action potential propagation during the recording of mEPSCs. Each experiment was performed at room temperature (22–24°C). Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed t-test.

Mixed-culture assay

Mixed co-culture assays were performed as described previously (32,33). Briefly, cultured hippocampal neurons at DIV 8 were co-cultured with COS-7 cells expressing GFP, HA-IL1RAPL1 (full-length or deletion mutants), HA-IL1RAPL2 or HA-neuroligin2 in the presence of 0.5 μM cytosine arabinoside to inhibit COS-7 cell proliferation. Three days later, cells were fixed and immunostained. Quantification of synapsin staining in the transfected COS-7 cells was performed using the MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices).

Affinity chromatography

Affinity chromatography for mass spectrometric identification of IL1RAPL1-binding proteins was performed as described previously (34). Briefly, HEK293FT cells were transfected with the IL1RAPL1-ecto-Fc expression constructs and grown in Opti-MEM (Invitrogen) for 10 days. Every 3 days, the IL1RAPL1-ecto-Fc protein was purified from conditioned 293FT media using Protein A sepharose.

Fifteen P18 rat brains were homogenized in homogenization buffer (0.32 M sucrose, 4 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, and protease inhibitors) using a Dounce homogenizer. Homogenates were centrifuged at 1000 g for 15 min at 4°C. Supernatants were centrifuged at 1000 g for 15 min and then the resulting supernatants were centrifuged for 10 000 g for 20 min. The P2 pellet containing crude synaptosomes was resuspended in homogenization buffer and centrifuged at 10 000 g for 20 min, yielding the P2′ pellet containing washed crude synaptosomes. The P2′ pellet was extracted in 20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 0.1 mM CaCl2 and 1% Triton X-100 for 30 min at 4°C to enrich for pre-synaptic proteins (35). The extracts were centrifuged at 10 000 g for 30 min, and the supernatants were diluted 1:1 with extraction buffer. Protein A beads bound to 50 μg human Fc control protein or 50 μg IL1RAPL1 ecto-Fc in Tyrode solution in the presence of 1 μM TTX (Tocris) as previously described (31).

Electrophysiological recording of cultured hippocampal neurons

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were taken from GFP-, IL1RAPL1- or IL1RAPL1ΔN-transfected rat hippocampal neurons as described above. Patch electrodes, fabricated from thick borosilicate glass, were pulled and fire-polished to a final resistance of 3–4 MΩ and filled with the standard internal solution ([in mM] 100 CsMES, 20 CsCl, 2 MgCl2, 5 ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid, 10 HEPES, 4 ATP and 15 phosphocreatine (pH 7.4)]. EPSCs were investigated in cultured neurons by superfusing the whole-cell clamped neuron with a Tyrode solution containing (in mM): 150 NaCl, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 4 KCl, 10 glucose and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4). Neurons were voltage-clamped at −70 mV, and TTX (0.3 μM) was added to block spontaneous action potential propagation during the recording of mEPSCs. Each experiment was performed at room temperature (22–24°C). Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed t-test.
protein were added and rotated overnight at 4°C. Bound proteins were eluted from the beads by incubation with 0.2 M glycine (pH 2.2) and TCA-precipitated overnight.

The precipitate was boiled for 5 min and separated on Invitrogen Nupage gels. The nanoflow LC—MS/MS analysis was performed using a Q-STAR mass spectrometer (PE-Sciex, Canada) equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source (Proxeon Biosystems, Odense, Denmark).

HEK293FT cell adhesion proteins
HEK293FT cells at 70–80% confluence (24 h after plating in 12-well plates) were transfected using the calcium phosphate precipitation method with the cDNA expression constructs (1–2 μg DNA per well) for 16 h, grown for an additional 3 h, collected and resuspended in DMEM (Lonzetta). To examine protein interaction, cells expressing the proper construct were plated together on a 16 mm cover slip and grown for 24 h before fixation with 4% PA supplemented with 4% sucrose (36).

Yeast two-hybrid screening and cDNA constructs
For the two-hybrid experiments, a fragment corresponding to the C-terminus of IL1RAPL1 (amino acids 390–696) was cloned into the pBDLeu vector in frame with the GAL4-binding domain and used as bait to screen a human fetal brain cDNA library (ProQuest Pre-made cDNA Libraries), which had been cloned into the pPC86 vector. Positive colonies grew on plates containing 10 mM 3-AT without tryptophan, leucine or histamine and expressed all three reporter genes: HIS3, LacZ and URA3. cDNA plasmids from positive clones were recovered using Amp and sequenced. 

For further two-hybrid experiments, four DNA fragments corresponding to the IL1RAPL1 C-terminus—amino acids 390–580, 390–580, 403–562 and 560–696—were sub-cloned into the pBDLeu and used as bait; the DNA fragments corresponding to amino acids 441–698, 430–580, 500–698 and 560–698 of RhoGAP2 were sub-cloned into the pPC86 plasmid and used as prey. RhoGAP2 with the myc tag was sub-cloned into the GW1-CMV expression vector (British Biotechnology, UK). Truncated HA-ILRAPL1 and HA-ILRAPL1ΔC were developed using PCR amplification and the appropriate oligonucleotides; these were also sub-cloned into the GW1-CMV expression vector with a HA-tag at the N-terminus as previously described (14).

The GST-II-ILRAPL1 fragments corresponding to amino acids 403–562, 551–607, 608–684 and 560–696 were cloned into the pGEX4T-3 vector.

Co-immunoprecipitation and GST pull-down assays
Transfected COS7 cells were lysed with buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.05% SDS and protease inhibitors (lysis buffer). Lysate samples (100 μg protein) were incubated overnight at 4°C with mouse anti-myc-tag antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA) at 5 μg/ml in buffer A (200 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM Na2HPO4, 0.5% NP-40, 0.1% SDS, 10 mM NaF and Ser/Thr- and Tyr-phosphatase inhibitor cocktails).

Protein A agarose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) washed in buffer A were added, and the incubation continued for 2 h. The beads were pelleted by centrifugation, washed five times with buffer A, resuspended in sample buffer for SDS–PAGE and boiled for 5 min. The beads were again pelleted by centrifugation, and the supernatants were applied to 7.5% SDS–PAGE. Protein bands were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham) at 80 V for 120 min at 4°C. Primary antibodies were applied overnight in blocking buffer [20 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween20 and 3% dried non-fat milk]. Secondary antibodies (HRP-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit) (Amersham) were used at a 1:2000 dilution. The signal was detected using an ECL detection system.

GST fusion proteins were prepared in E. coli BL21 and purified by standard procedures. African green monkey kidney COS-7 cells or rat brain homogenates were lysed in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP40 and 0.5% deoxycholate]. Lysates were then incubated with 30 μg of GST fusion protein immobilized on GST 4B beads (GE Healthcare) for 3 h at 4°C, washed extensively five times in the lysis buffer and resuspended in 25 μl of 3× SDS sample buffer. GST alone was used as a control. Samples were separated by SDS–PAGE followed by western blot analysis. For GST pull-down experiments, hippocampal neuron lysates and rat brain extracts were incubated with 30 μg GST fusion protein immobilized on GST 4B beads (GE Healthcare) for 3 h at 4°C, washed five times in lysis buffer, and resuspended in 25 μl SDS sample buffer. GST alone served as a control. Samples were separated by SDS–PAGE followed by western blot analysis using the appropriate antibodies.

The following antibodies and dilutions were used: rabbit anti-Myc-tag (1:200; Upstate Cell Signaling Solutions) and rabbit anti-RhoGAP2 (1:500; in-house).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.
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