Mid-Rapidity Direct-Photon Production in p+p Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV
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A measurement of direct photons in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV is presented. A photon excess above background from $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$ and other decays is observed in the transverse momentum range $5 < p_T < 7$ GeV/c. The result is compared to a next-to-leading-order perturbative QCD calculation. Within errors, good agreement is found between the QCD calculation and the measured result.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Qk, 25.75.Dw

Measurements of particle production at large transverse momenta ($p_T$) in hadronic interactions provide the possibility to test perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD). Neutral-pion production in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV in the range $2 < p_T < 13$ GeV/c measured by the PHENIX experiment at RHIC can be well described by next-to-leading-order (NLO) pQCD. This comparison, however, relies on the choice of the parton-to-pion fragmentation function. Measurement of...
direct-photon production provides a more direct test of pQCD. Quark-antiquark annihilation \((q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)\) and quark-gluon Compton scattering \((qg \rightarrow q\gamma)\) contribute to direct-photon production at leading order \(^2\). Due to the latter process, which dominates the production, the measurement of direct photons can be used to obtain information on the parton distribution function of the gluon inside the proton.

Previous direct-photon measurements in \(p+p\) collisions were made up to energies of \(\sqrt{s} = 63\) GeV (see e.g. \(^3\) \(^4\) \(^5\)). For \(p+\bar{p}\) collisions direct-photon data are available at considerably higher energies, \(\sqrt{s} = 546, 630\) GeV \(^6\) \(^7\) \(^8\), up to \(\sqrt{s} = 1800\) GeV \(^9\) \(^10\). At these energies NLO pQCD calculations describe the direct-photon data within about 20\%, although systematic differences in the spectral shapes were observed \(^11\). At energies below \(\sqrt{s} = 63\) GeV the agreement between NLO pQCD and data is generally worse. With phenomenological approaches based on soft-gluon radiation of the incoming parton, which leads to an additional transverse momentum \(k_T\), a better description of the data can be obtained \(^12\). The Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at the Brookhaven National Laboratory provides \(p+p\) collisions at energies between the existing data sets, allowing better constraints on the processes affecting incoming partons. A further incentive to study direct-photon production in \(p+p\) at \(\sqrt{s} = 200\) GeV comes from the measurement of direct photons in collisions of gold nuclei at the same center-of-mass energy per nucleon-nucleon pair \(^13\) \(^14\). Parton-level constraints on the processes affecting incoming partons.

The data presented in this report were collected during the 2001-2002 run period (Run 2) of RHIC. The neutral-pion spectrum was taken into account in the measurement of neutral pions from the hot and dense fireball created in these collisions (jet quenching) \(^15\). As direct photons are not subject to the strong interaction they should not be suppressed in the jet-quenching model. In this context, the \(p+p\) direct-photon results serve as a baseline against which possible nuclear effects can be identified.

The PHENIX EMCal comprises two arms each with 4 sectors \(^16\). The ECAL consists of two different sub-detectors, a lead-scintillator calorimeter (PbSc, 6 sectors) and a lead-glass calorimeter (PbGl, 2 sectors). Each sector covers a pseudorapidity range of \(|\eta| < 0.5\) and an azimuthal range of \(\Delta \phi \approx 25\)\(^\circ\). Each PbSc (PbGl) sector is highly segmented and consists of 72 \((96 \times 48)\) individual detector modules, called towers, with a lateral size of \(5.5 \times 5.5\) cm\(^2\) \((4 \times 4\) cm\(^2\)). With a radial distance of \(5\) m this corresponds to a segmentation of \(\Delta \phi \times \Delta \eta \approx 0.01 \times 0.01\) such that the two decay photons of a \(\pi^0\) are well separated up to neutral-pion momenta of \(p_T \approx 20\) GeV/c. The different detection mechanisms of the two sub-detectors (measurement of scintillation light in PbSc and detection of Cherenkov photons in PbGl) result in a different response to hadrons. Thus, the PbSc and PbGl provide photon measurements with different systematic uncertainties. The energy calibration of the detector was obtained from the position of the \(\pi^0\) invariant-mass peaks. A \(\sim 4\%\) \((\sim 5\%)\) shift of the \(\pi^0\) peak position due to energy smearing in conjunction with the influence of the steeply falling \(\pi^0\) \(p_T\) spectrum was taken into account in the PbSc (PbGl) calibration. The calibration was corroborated by correlating the EMCal energy with the momentum of electrons measured with the PHENIX tracking detectors and, in case of the PbSc, by measuring the energy deposited by minimum-ionizing particles. From these studies the systematic uncertainty of the energy measurement was estimated to be less than 1.5\%. In a direct-photon analysis it is essential to exclude bad detector modules ("hot towers") which might give rise to spurious direct-photon signals. A detailed quality assessment was carried out to identify such towers.

The EMCal high-\(p_T\) trigger (called \(2 \times 2\)) was based on the analog energy signal measured in \(2 \times 2\) groups of adjacent EMCal towers (called trigger tiles). The average threshold of the trigger corresponded to an energy signal of 0.75 GeV. The probability as a function of the photon \(p_T\) to fire the trigger was determined by Monte Carlo simulations which included the variation of the trigger tile thresholds, the EMCal detector response, and the geometry of the active trigger tiles. This trigger efficiency was confirmed with minimum-bias data. The nominal interaction point at \(\pm 1.44\) m along the beam. The BBC’s subtended the pseudorapidity range \(\pm (3.1 - 3.9)\) with full azimuthal coverage. The collision vertex was determined by measuring the difference of particle arrival times in the two BBC’s. This analysis was restricted to events with a vertex in the range \(\pm 30\) cm. The BBC’s were calibrated as a luminosity detector with absolute luminosity measurements based on the van der Meer scan technique \(^13\). With these scans the cross section for fireing the BBC minimum-bias trigger was determined to be \(21.8 \pm 2.1\) mb \(^11\). Thus, roughly 50\% of the inelastic \(p+p\) events satisfy the minimum-bias trigger condition if an inelastic \(p+p\) cross section of \(42\) mb at \(\sqrt{s} = 200\) GeV is assumed.
hadron decays in the fiducial area of about a factor of 2. The high-\(p_T\)-trigger photon sample was used above \(p_T = 3\) GeV/c in the final spectrum.

Another EMCal trigger which did not require a coincidence with the minimum-bias trigger was used to account for the bias on the particle measurement due to the minimum-bias event selection. To this end the fraction of \(\pi^0\)'s measured with this EMCal trigger for events which in addition satisfied the minimum-bias trigger condition was determined to be \(f = 0.75 \pm 0.02\). The unbiased photon and neutral pions cross sections were then determined by dividing the total number of measured photons and neutral pions by this number.

The minimum-bias data sample in this analysis consisted of 16.7 million events, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 0.77 nb\(^{-1}\). About 1 in 47 minimum-bias events also satisfied the 2 × 2 high-\(p_T\) trigger condition. The 18.7 million analyzed 2 × 2 events thus corresponded to an integrated luminosity of 40.3 nb\(^{-1}\).

The first step in the direct-photon analysis was to define a sample of direct-photon-candidate hits. An EMCal hit was rejected as a direct-photon candidate if it formed an invariant mass in the \(\pi^0\) or \(\eta\) range with other hits in the same or adjacent sectors. The invariant-mass window was 110 < \(m_{\gamma\gamma}\) < 170 MeV/c\(^2\) for the \(\pi^0\) and 500 < \(m_{\gamma\gamma}\) < 620 MeV/c\(^2\) for the \(\eta\), corresponding roughly to a ±2\(\sigma\) window around the observed \(\pi^0\) and \(\eta\) peaks. To keep the rate of accidental rejections of genuine direct photons low it was required that the partner hits had a transverse momentum of \(p_T > 0.4\) GeV/c. This cut effectively corresponded to a \(p_T\)-dependent upper limit on the energy asymmetry \(\alpha = [E_{\gamma_1} - E_{\gamma_2}] / (E_{\gamma_1} + E_{\gamma_2})\) in the rejection procedure. In spite of this requirement, a small fraction of genuine direct photons is rejected. This was studied by inserting artificially generated direct-photon hits into real events. In order to keep the hit multiplicity constant, a randomly selected real hit was removed from an event in this procedure. It was found that the loss of genuine direct photons was less than 2% for \(p_T > 3\) GeV/c. The final direct-photon spectrum was corrected for this effect. In order to increase the chances of finding the partner photon for a \(\pi^0\) or \(\eta\) decay photon, direct-photon candidates were required to lie within a restricted fiducial area which was defined by a minimum distance of 16 (20) towers to the edge of the detector for the PbSc (PbGl). For example, for \(\pi^0\)'s with \(p_T = 4\) GeV/c and the requirement that one decay photon has a \(p_T > 0.4\) GeV/c the average distance of the decay photons in tower units is \(\sim 8\) (\(\sim 11\)) for PbSc (PbGl). With the chosen fiducial area basically all decay photons from neutral pions with \(p_T \gtrsim 4\) GeV/c can be tagged. Monte Carlo studies showed that the rejection of direct-photon candidates based on the \(\pi^0\) and \(\eta\) tagging lead to a reduction of background photons from hadron decays in the fiducial area of about a factor of 2 for \(p_T > 5\) GeV/c. Some direct-photon analyses only measure isolated direct photons for which the total transverse energy or the number of charged tracks in a cone centered around the direct photon is required to lie below a threshold. No such cut was used in this analysis.

In order to reduce the background from hadronic hits in the EMCal, cuts were applied on the lateral shower shape and on the time-of-flight of the hits. The remaining contamination of charged particles was subtracted on a statistical basis by employing the PC3 as a charged-particle veto detector. The intrinsic efficiency of the PC3 for detecting a charged particle was higher than 99% and the active PC3 area in the EMCal acceptance was roughly 90%. PC3 hits were projected onto the EMCal surface using a straight line given by the PC3 hit and the event vertex. An EMCal hit within a certain veto radius was counted as a charged hit. The chosen veto radius decreased with increasing \(p_T\) and for \(p_T > 0.8\) GeV/c a constant value of 15 cm was used. The fraction of charged hits was corrected for random associations with the help of a mixed-event technique. The charged-particle background in the direct-photon-candidate sample was \(\sim 15\%\) around \(p_T = 5\) GeV/c for both PbSc and PbGl. A large fraction of these background hits, however, comes from photon conversion in the field-free region between the vertex and PC3. The photon loss due to conversion was calculated based on the material budget up to PC3. The photon conversion probability was 4.1% for the 2 PbSc sectors in the East Arm of the central spectrometer, 5.3% for the 4 sectors in the West Arm, and 7.4% for the PbGl. These conversion losses were taken into account in the final photon cross section. The correction for the contamination of the raw spectrum of neutral EMCal hits with neutrons and anti-neutrons was determined with a detailed GEANT simulation \cite{20}. In the case of the PbGl calorimeter the simulation was based on the creation of Cherenkov photons in order to achieve a realistic description of the detector response. The background from neutral particles was found to decrease with \(p_T\) and was already less than 1% for \(p_T > 2\) GeV/c for both PbSc and PbGl.

The geometric acceptance and the efficiency of the photon detection were calculated with a Monte Carlo simulation. The efficiency takes the distortion of the direct-photon-candidate spectrum due to energy smearing into account. Moreover, it corrects the small (\(\lesssim 5\%\)) loss of photons due to the shower shape and time-of-flight cuts. The final direct-photon spectrum was corrected for the difference between the average direct-photon cross section within a finite \(p_T\) bin and the value of the cross section at the bin center.

With the described corrections the unbiased differential cross section \(\sigma_{\text{cand}}^\gamma = E d^3\sigma / d^3 p\) for the direct-photon candidates calculated from the minimum bias data sample reads

\[
E d^3\sigma / d^3 p = \frac{1}{f} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi p_T} \cdot C_{\text{reco}} \cdot C_{\text{conv}} \cdot C_{\text{loss}} \cdot \frac{N_{\text{cand}}}{\Delta p_T \cdot \Delta y} \tag{1}
\]
The direct-photon spectrum was then calculated as

\[
\frac{\gamma_{\text{direct}}}{\pi^0} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \cdot \frac{N^{\text{cand}}_{\text{direct}}}{\eta_{\text{direct}}/\pi^0}
\]

where \(N^{\text{cand}}_{\text{direct}}\) is the total number of direct-photon candidates in a \(p_T\) bin \(\Delta p_T\) and rapidity \(\Delta y\); \(\mathcal{L}\) is the acceptance and efficiency correction for photons; \(\mathcal{C}_{\text{conv}}\) is the correction for photon conversions; \(\mathcal{C}_{\text{loss}}\) is the correction for the loss of genuine direct photons in the \(\pi^0\) and \(\eta\) tagging; \(f = 0.75 \pm 0.02\) is the fraction of the unbiased direct photon yield which is measured under the minimum bias trigger condition; and \(\mathcal{L}\) is the integrated luminosity for the analyzed data sample. The high-\(p_T\) triggered sample required an additional correction for the efficiency of this trigger for photon detection.

The \(p_T\) spectrum of the direct-photon candidates contains direct photons as well as remaining background photons from hadron decays. These background photons mostly come from \(\pi^0\) and \(\eta\) decays for which one decay photon misses the detector. At a representative bin of \(p_T = 6.75\) GeV/c about 93% of the background photons originate from \(\pi^0\) and \(\eta\) decays, the remaining background photon come from decays of other hadrons like \(\omega\) and \(\eta'\). The background was calculated with the same Monte Carlo code that was used for the acceptance and efficiency calculation. The Monte Carlo code took a parameterization of the measured \(\pi^0\) spectrum as input. The spectra of \(\eta\) mesons and other hadrons with photon decay branches were assumed to have the same shape as the \(\pi^0\) spectrum as a function of \(m_T = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m_0^2}\) (\(m_T\) scaling [21, 22]). The \(\eta/\pi^0\) invariant cross section ratio as a function of \(m_T\) was taken as \(0.48 \pm 0.1\) which was confirmed by the measured \(\eta\) spectrum.

The dominant systematic uncertainty of the neutral-pion spectrum and the direct-photon-candidate spectrum came from the uncertainty of the energy scale and the uncertainty of the yield correction. At \(p_T = 6.75\) GeV/c, the 1.5% uncertainty of the energy scale resulted in a 9% uncertainty in the photon yield. The yield correction included the correction for energy and position smearing of the detector, for photon losses due to particle-identification cuts, for photon conversions, and for the detector acceptance. For the neutral pions an additional 5% uncertainty came from the extraction of the \(\pi^0\)-peak content. In the case of the photon measurement the uncertainty due to the subtraction of charged and neutral backgrounds was taken into account. In the ratio \(\gamma_{\text{direct}}/\pi^0\) of the direct-photon-candidate spectrum and the neutral-pion spectrum systematic uncertainties partially cancel. Monte Carlo studies showed that the uncertainty of this ratio at \(p_T = 6.75\) GeV/c due to a possible non-linearity of the energy scale was ~2%.

For the determination of the direct-photon spectrum the expected background photons from hadronic decays need to be subtracted from the spectrum of direct-photon candidates. To this end the ratio \(R_\gamma = \langle \gamma_{\text{direct}}/\pi^0 \rangle / \langle \gamma_{\text{bckg}}/\pi^0 \rangle\) of the measured direct-photon candidates to the calculated background was determined. The direct-photon spectrum was then calculated as

\[
\gamma_{\text{direct}} = (1 - R_\gamma^{-1}) \cdot \gamma_{\text{cand}}\gamma_{\text{direct}}.
\]

The relative systematic uncertainty of the direct-photon cross section was calculated as the quadratic sum of the relative uncertainties of the two factors in Eq. 2. The factor \(1 - R_\gamma^{-1}\) contains the (statistical and systematic) significance of the direct photon signal. When multiplying with \(\gamma_{\text{cand}}\gamma_{\text{direct}}\), only the systematic uncertainties that cancelled in the ratio \(R_\gamma\) are added (e.g. the energy scale error). The overall normalization uncertainty from the luminosity determination was 9.6%. The estimated systematic uncertainties for the measured neutral-pion spectrum and the measured direct-photon-candidate spectrum are shown in Table I for a representative bin (\(p_T = 6.75\) GeV/c) of the spectrum. The systematic uncertainty of the direct-photon measurement was corroborated by comparing results obtained for the dif-
The absolute normalization error of 9.6% is not significant of the direct-photon-candidate spectrum is weak. The upper edges of the arrows indicate an upper limit (90% confidence level) for the photon production in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. In addition, the Monte Carlo calculation pictured in Fig. 1. In summary, a small but significant direct-photon signal has been observed at mid-rapidity in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. The measured direct-photon cross section is in agreement with pQCD calculation, albeit within large errors.
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### TABLE II: Invariant differential cross section for direct-photon production in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. Asymmetric uncertainties ($\sigma_{\text{low}}, \sigma_{\text{high}}$) are given for the cross section. The absolute normalization error of 9.6% is not included.

| $p_T$ (GeV/c) | $E^2 d^3\sigma/d^3p$ (mb GeV$^{-2}$c$^3$) | stat. error | sys. error |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| 5.75         | 5.61·10$^{-7}$                  | 50%         | 42%        |
| 6.25         | 2.68·10$^{-7}$                  | 75%         | 56%        |
| 6.75         | 2.37·10$^{-7}$                  | 59%         | 42%        |

Different photon-identification criteria. Moreover, the individual PbGl and PbSc results were found to agree within systematic errors.

To make the best use of the available Run-2 statistics the photon and $\pi^0$ spectra from PbSc and PbGl were combined for the final result. The ratio of the acceptance- and efficiency-corrected direct-photon candidate $p_T$ spectrum to the measured $\pi^0$ spectrum is depicted in Fig. 1. In addition, the Monte Carlo calculation for the ratio of the expected background photons to the $\pi^0$ spectrum is shown. At high $p_T$ the statistical significance of the direct-photon-candidate spectrum is weak. However, around $p_T \approx 6 - 7$ GeV/c there is clear evidence of a photon signal above the background.

The extracted invariant direct-photon spectrum is shown in Fig. 2 and the numerical values are given in Table II. The experimental result was compared to NLO pQCD calculations [23-27] which used the CTEQ6 parton distribution functions [29] and the GRV parton-to-photon fragmentation function [30]. There are in general two mechanisms for the production of direct photons: the direct contribution from elementary scattering processes of quarks and gluons, described in the introduction, and the contribution from photons which are produced in the fragmentation of quark or gluon jets. The latter is a long-distance process which is not perturbatively calculable. It is described by a parton-to-photon fragmentation function which is determined experimentally. Since no isolation cut was used in the data analysis the pQCD calculation in Fig. 2 includes contributions from the direct production mechanism and the fragmentation mechanism. The separation of short-distance and long-distance processes in the pQCD calculation introduces unphysical renormalization, factorization, and fragmentation scales. Identical values for all three scales were used in the pQCD calculation. In Fig. 2 results are shown for three choices of the scales ($\mu = p_T$, $\mu = p_T/2$, and $\mu = 2p_T$). The theoretical and experimental results agree within the large uncertainties of the data points.

Prior to the Run-2 p+p beamtime PHENIX took data from Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. A clear direct-photon signal was observed in mid-central and central Au+Au reactions [13]. The strong suppression of $\pi^0$'s and $\eta$'s in Au+Au significantly reduced the number of background photons and eased the extraction of the direct-photon signal. The p+p NLO pQCD was used as a baseline reference for the interpretation of the Au+Au result. In contrast to neutral pions no sign of a suppression of direct photons in Au+Au collisions was found. The direct-photon measurement presented in this paper supports the use of the NLO pQCD calculation as a reference for the results measured in Au+Au.

In summary, a small but significant direct-photon signal has been observed at mid-rapidity in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. The measured direct-photon cross section is in agreement with pQCD calculation, albeit within large errors.
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