1. INTRODUCTION

Software quality plays a significant importance in software development projects because it affects the every aspect of the system such as the functionality, reliability, availability, maintainability, and safety. Software development process requires information about almost all aspect of the software development phase such as objectives, monitoring and control of activities, project costs and technical quality. Besides, software metrics is employed for evaluating software systems quality and improving the software reliability. Recently, few researches have been developed for increasing the quality of software. A systematic framework was intended in [1] by using Markov chain for modeling the stochastic processes of a quality management system and selection of the optimum set of factors impacting software quality. Systematic framework improves the software reliability. But, scalability of software quality management was remained unaddressed. Iterative redundancy method was intended in [2] for improving the software system reliability. But, reducing the cost of software quality testing was remained unsolved.

An initial design and development of an integrated analyser component was intended in [3] for enlarging the functionality of the open source framework for software quality management. But, multiple software maintenance handled over time results in software quality degradation and also increases the service provisioning time. A novel method was designed in [4] to examine the relationship between quality assurance and software ecosystems in which set of quality attributes are used to ensure software quality assurance. However, software reliability was remained unaddressed.

In [5], reliability metrics are employed for quantitative measurement of software reliability and to evaluate of reliability of open source software. But, the quantitative estimation is not sufficient for software quality management. A systematic literature review of open source software quality assessment models was presented in [6] to find out the important quality attributes with which to develop more reliable quality models. However, open source software quality evaluation across different domains was remained unsolved.

In [7], the evolution of Mozilla Firefox from a traditional release model to a rapid release model was analyzed to determine potential changes in field quality (users) and bug fixing (developers). A novel approach was designed in [8] for evaluating the stability of open-source software systems with aid of combination of Bayesian Classifiers.

In [9], the quality evolution of an open source Java software system was examined with aid of metrics in which software quality was addressed from an internal point of view. However, the number of defects as a quality indicator was considered. A novel method was designed in [10] for measuring reliability of an open source software using computational systems through considering both hardware and software failure impacts. But, scalability was remained unsolved. To overcome the above mentioned existing issues, an Autocorrelation Weighted Sum Entropy approach was designed in this work.
(AWSE) is developed. The research objective of AWSE technique is formulated as follows,

- To enhance the scalability and to reduce the service provisioning time of software quality management, autocorrelation function is used in AWSE technique.
- To improve the software reliability and to reducing the total cost during the software quality testing, Weighted Sum Entropy model is employed in AWSE technique.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 explains an Autocorrelation Weighted Sum Entropy (AWSE) based software quality management with the assist of architecture diagram. Section 3 and Section 4 explains the experimental settings and details performance analysis with the aid of parameters. Section 5 describes the related works. Finally, Section 6 concludes this paper.

2. AUTOCORRELATION WEIGHTED SUM ENTROPY TECHNIQUE

As shown in Figure 1, AWSE technique takes schoolmate dataset as input. Then, AWSE technique used Autocorrelation function with objective of increasing the scalability and minimizing the service provisioning time of software quality management. After that, AWSE technique employed Weighted Sum Entropy model in order to improve the software reliability and reducing the total cost of software quality testing. As a result, AWSE technique improved performance of software quality management. The detailed explanation about AWSE technique is described in following sections.

2.1 Autocorrelation Function

In proposed technique, Autocorrelation Function is used to measures the relationship between a contemporary version and the conventional versions of same open source application. The resulting output of autocorrelation function is ranges between $+1$ to $-1$. An autocorrelation of 1 signify a perfect positive correlation. On the other hand, an autocorrelation of negative 1 denotes perfect negative correlation. Thus, autocorrelation function over a time is measured for consecutive versions of same open source application to improve the software reliability. The process of autocorrelation function for improving the scalability and
reducing the service provisioning time of software quality
management is shown in below Figure 2.

![Figure 2 Process of Autocorrelation Function for Software Quality Management](image)

As shown in Figure 2, the autocorrelation function initially measures the correlation between contemporary version \( Y_t \) and the conventional versions \( Y_s \) that are both part of the same open source application. Besides autocorrelation between \( Y_t \) and \( Y_s \) based on the differentiation or lag between \( t \) and \( s \). Thus \( \tau = t - s \). Therefore, the autocorrelation \( \rho_{\tau} \) function is defined as the correlation between the versions of open source software application separated by lag \( \tau \) which is mathematically formulated as below,

\[
\rho_{\tau} = \frac{\Sigma_{t} \left( Y_t - \mu \right) \left( Y_{t+\tau} - \mu \right)}{\Sigma_{t} \left( Y_t - \mu \right)^2}
\]

(1)

(4)

From the equation (1), \( c_\tau \) represents the sample auto covariance function whereas \( c_0 \) denotes the variance of stochastic process. The sample auto covariance function is mathematically expressed as,

\[
c_\tau = \frac{\Sigma_{t} \left( Y_t - \mu \right) \left( Y_{t+\tau} - \mu \right)}{N}
\]

(2)

Thus, for a given different versions of same open source software application \( Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, ..., Y_N \) at time \( t_1, t_2, t_3, ..., t_N \), the autocorrelation function is defined as follows,

\[
\rho_{\tau} = \frac{\Sigma_{t} \left( Y_t - \mu \right) \left( Y_{t+\tau} - \mu \right)}{\Sigma_{t} \left( Y_t - \mu \right)^2}
\]

(3)

From the equation (3), autocorrelation function for consecutive versions of open source software application is determined. This in turn provides the differentiation between the versions of same open source software program to enhance the software quality.

The determined auto correlation is depends only on the lag between \( t \) and \( s \) where \( t \) denotes contemporary version and \( s \) refers the conventional versions of open source software program. Therefore, the lag \( \tau = t - s \) indicates a period of time between contemporary version and conventional versions of same open source software. Thus, the autocorrelation function of time lag is mathematically expressed as,

\[
R(\tau) = \frac{E[(Y_{t-\mu})(Y_{t+\tau-\mu})]}{\sigma^2}
\]

(4)

From the equation (4), \( \mu \) denotes mean and \( \sigma^2 \) indicates variance of time. In addition, autocorrelation for service provisioning time entropy is evaluated that considers the effect of maintenance operations carried out for both contemporary versions and the conventional versions. Hence, the autocorrelation for service provisioning time entropy computes the amount of time taken for creating the contemporary version of open source application with the conventional versions. Thus, the autocorrelation for service provisioning time entropy of different versions is measured using following mathematical expression,

\[
\rho_{\tau}[SPTE] = \frac{E[(Y_t-\mu)(Y_{t+\tau-\mu})]}{E[(Y_t-\mu)^2]}
\]

(5)

From the equation (5), the autocorrelation for service provisioning time entropy is estimated in which \( E \) represents the entropy that denotes the maximum time at which the conventional versions of open source software is updated into the contemporary version. Then the modern version of open source software is provided to the user. Furthermore, Average time entropy is estimated for consecutive versions of same open source software application by using following mathematical representation,

\[
\rho_{\tau}[ATE] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \rho_{\tau}[SPTE]_t
\]

(6)

From the equation (6), average time entropy is arrived at with which the time entropy of different versions is obtained for consecutive versions of same open source software application, reducing the service provisioning time and improving the scalability. The scalability is measured in terms of number of versions is adoptable for a given open source software program to increase the open source software quality or reliability. The algorithmic process autocorrelation function for software quality management to improve the software quality is shown in below algorithm 1.

**Input:** schoolmate dataset  
**Output:** Improved Scalability with Reduced Service provisioning time  
**Step 1: Begin**  
**Step 2:** 
For each open source software program

**Step 3:** Measure auto correlation function for consecutive versions of same application using (3)

**Step 4:** Compute autocorrelation function of time lag using (4)
Step 5: Measure autocorrelation for service provisioning time entropy using (5)
Step 6: Compute Average time entropy using (6)
Step 7: End for
Step 8: End

By using the above algorithmic process, AWSE techniques initially determines the correlation among the different versions for each open source software program. After that, AWSE techniques estimate a period of time between contemporary version and conventional versions of open source software. Subsequently, AWSE techniques computes service provisioning time entropy to find out the amount of time necessitated for constructing the contemporary version of open source application with the conventional versions. Finally, AWSE techniques calculate the average time entropy to get the entropy of consecutive versions of same open source software application. As a result, an AWSE technique increases the scalability and reduces the service provisioning time of software quality management in an effective manner.

2.2 Weighted Sum Entropy model

In AWSE techniques, a Weighted Sum Entropy model is used to reduce the total cost involved along with improving the reliability for open source software program in a given amount of time during software quality testing. The Weighted Sum Entropy model considers the Mean Time between Failure (MTF) to enhance the software reliability in a specified environment for a given amount of time. MTF measures the reliability of given open source software to improve its quality and also helps to identify many defects in the software design and functionality. Besides, Weighted Sum Entropy model considers the two objectives namely cost minimization and testing time minimization with objective of reducing the total cost involved while improving the reliability of software quality. The process of Weighted Sum Entropy model for improving the software reliability is shown in below Figure 3.

From the equation (7), MTTF denotes the differentiation of time between two consecutive failures and MTTR represents the time required to resolve the failure in open source software. Besides, the probability of failures i.e. number of defects in given open source software program is identified by using following equation,

\[ \text{Failures}_p = \frac{\text{Number of failing test cases}}{\text{Total number of cases under consideration}} \] (8)

From the equation (8), number of defects in given open source software is identified. After identifying the number of faults in open source software, The WSE model considers the two objectives namely cost minimization and testing time minimization in order to reduce the total cost involved while improving the reliability of software quality. These two objectives is mathematically formulated as,

\[ F(x) = [F_1(x), F_2(x)] \] (9)

From the equation (9), \( F_1(x) \) denotes cost required for improving the software quality whereas \( F_2(x) \) refers the time required for testing the overall open source software system. The cost for improving the software quality measures the cost incurred while sharing information between users that include source code, test cases and operational knowledge. The cost is measured in terms of amount of memory utilized for storing the source code, test cases and operational knowledge. Thus, cost of software quality improvement is mathematically formulated as,

\[ \text{cost} = SC_M + TC_M + OK_M \] (10)

From the equation (10), \( SC_M \) indicates the amount of memory utilized for storing the given open source software code and \( TC_M \) denotes the memory consumed for
test cases to test the software reliability whereas $OK_M$ refers the memory taken for storing the operational knowledge of software system. Second objective is reducing the time for overall test system infrastructure that include the test cases testing time and other test related information between users which is measured by using following formula,

$$testing\ time = n \times time\ (testing\ one\ testcase)$$

(11)

From the equation (11), the amount of time needed for testing the overall open source software system is determined in which $n$ indicates the number of test cases used. Thus, the Weighted Sum Entropy model for two objectives i.e. cost minimization and testing time minimization is mathematically represented as,

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^{k}w_i F_i (x)$$

(12)

From the equation (12), $w_i$ are weights $i = 1,2,3,...,k$ corresponding to objective functions which satisfy the following conditions,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}w_i = 1, w_i \geq 0, i = 1,2,3,...k$$

(13)

The Weighted Sum entropy Model is widely used for multi-objective optimization problems. It integrates the diverse objectives and weights corresponding to those objectives to construct a single objective for each alternative to make them comparable. Therefore, Weighted Sum entropy Model combines two objectives i.e., cost minimization and time for test system infrastructure into a single objective by multiplying each objective with a weight to lessen the total cost involved while improving the reliability of software quality which is formulated as,

$$U = w_1 F_1 (x) + w_2 F_2 (x)$$

(14)

From the equation (14), $w_1$ and $w_2$ is the assigned weights whereas $F_1 (x)$ indicates cost required for improving the software quality whereas $F_2 (x)$ represents the time required for testing the overall software system. The variable $w_1$ and $w_2$ are related based on the following expression,

$$w_2 = 1 - w_1$$

(15)

By using these weights, the significance of the objective function can be tuned according to system requirement to enhance its reliability. Therefore, WSE model reduces the total cost involved along with improving the reliability for a given amount of time during software quality testing. The algorithmic process of Weighted Sum Entropy model for improving the reliability of open source software program during the software quality management is shown in below algorithm 2.

Input: schoolmate dataset
Output: Improved software reliability with reduced total cost

Step 1: Begin
Step 2: For each open source software program
Step 3: Find number of faults using (8)
Step 4: Measure mean time between failures using (7)
Step 5: Compute cost required for improving the software quality using (10)
Step 6: Evaluate amount time required for testing the given open source software program using (11)
Step 7: Combines two objectives into a single objectives to reduce the total cost involved during software quality testing using (14)
Step 8: End

Algorithm 2 Weighted Sum Entropy model

By using the above algorithmic process, AWSE technique initially identifies the number of faults in each open source software program. Then, an AWSE technique computes the mean time between failures to find out the amount of time required for finding and resolving the faults in given open source software program. Subsequently, AWSE techniques calculates the cost required and amount of testing time required for improving the software quality. Finally, AWSE technique combines two objectives into a single objective to reduce the total cost involved during software quality testing. This in turn helps for improving the reliability of open source software program.

3. EXPERIMENTAL SETTINGS

The proposed Autocorrelation Weighted Sum Entropy (AWSE) technique is implemented in Java Language using schoolmate data set. The AWSE technique used schoolmate data set which includes of numerous PHP open source software program for improving reliability of software quality. The performance of AWSE technique is measured in terms of scalability, service provisioning time and software reliability.

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, the result analysis of AWSE technique is estimated. The effectiveness of AWSE technique is compared against with two methods namely systematic framework [1] and iterative redundancy method [2] respectively. The efficiency of AWSE technique is evaluated along with the following metrics with the help of tables and graphs.

4.1 Measurement of Scalability

The scalability measures the capability of AWSE technique to handle a huge size of open source software program for improving the reliability of software. The scalability is
measured in terms of percentage (%). While the scalability is higher, the method is said to be more efficient.

Table 1 Tabulation for Scalability

| Size of software program code (KB) | Systematic Framework | Iterative Redundancy Method | AWSE technique |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 10                                | 63.18                 | 71.56                       | 80.23          |
| 20                                | 63.98                 | 74.65                       | 81.95          |
| 30                                | 65.14                 | 75.88                       | 83.26          |
| 40                                | 67.85                 | 77.36                       | 85.92          |
| 50                                | 70.26                 | 79.52                       | 88.16          |
| 60                                | 71.82                 | 80.80                       | 89.90          |
| 70                                | 73.64                 | 81.64                       | 91.03          |
| 80                                | 75.99                 | 82.95                       | 91.89          |
| 90                                | 76.59                 | 83.65                       | 92.65          |
| 100                               | 78.23                 | 85.84                       | 94.42          |

Table 1 demonstrates the result is obtained for scalability with respect to different size of software code in the range of 10-100 KB using three methods. The AWSE technique considers the framework with diverse size of open source software code for improving the reliability of software quality. While 50 KB open source software code is taken for software quality management, proposed AWSE technique achieves the 88.16% scalability whereas the systematic framework [1] and Iterative redundancy method [2] achieves the 70.26% and 79.52% respectively.

4.2 Measurement of Service provisioning time

In AWSE technique, Service provisioning time (SPT) measures the amount of time required to creating the contemporary version of open source application with the conventional versions to render the user requirements. The service provisioning time is measured in terms of milliseconds (ms) and mathematically formulated as,

\[
SPT = \frac{P_{cont}}{time}(creating\ contemporary\ version\ of\ open\ source\ application)
\]

(16)

From the equation (16), service provisioning time of software quality management is obtained. While the service provisioning time is lower, the method is said to be more efficient.
Table 2 Tabulation for Service provisioning time

| Size of software program code (KB) | Systematic Framework | Iterative Redundancy Method | AWSE technique |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| 10                                | 27.8                  | 19.6                       | 11.2           |
| 20                                | 30.2                  | 25.3                       | 14.5           |
| 30                                | 35.4                  | 29.7                       | 19.3           |
| 40                                | 38.9                  | 33.1                       | 22.7           |
| 50                                | 41.5                  | 36.4                       | 25.8           |
| 60                                | 44.7                  | 42.5                       | 28.3           |
| 70                                | 49.3                  | 45.8                       | 30.4           |
| 80                                | 55.8                  | 50.2                       | 35.1           |
| 90                                | 59.2                  | 56.7                       | 39.9           |
| 100                               | 65.5                  | 62.9                       | 45.3           |

Table 2 illustrates the comparative result analysis of service provisioning time based on diverse size of software program code using three methods. While 30 KB open source software code is taken for software quality management, proposed AWSE technique acquires the 19.3 ms service provisioning time whereas the systematic framework [1] and Iterative redundancy method [2] acquire 35.4ms and 29.7ms respectively.

As demonstrated in figure, the proposed AWSE technique provides better service provisioning time for improving the reliability of software quality in software quality management when compared to existing systematic framework [1] and Iterative redundancy method [2]. As well, while increasing the size of open source software program code, the service provisioning time is also increased using all three methods. But comparatively, the service provisioning time using proposed AWSE technique is lower. This is because of application of autocorrelation function in which association between the contemporary version and conventional versions of same open source software is efficiently determined for updating the conventional versions. Furthermore, autocorrelation for service provisioning time entropy is estimated to find out the amount of time required for constructing the contemporary version of open source application with the conventional versions. This in turn helps for reducing the service provisioning time of software quality management. Thus, the proposed AWSE technique reduces the service provisioning time by 41% as compared to systematic framework [1] and 11% as compared to Iterative redundancy method [2] respectively.

4.3 Measurement of Software reliability

In AWSE technique, Software reliability measures possibility of failure-free software operation using equation (8) in software program code. The Software reliability is measured in terms of percentage (%). While the software reliability is higher, the method is said to be more efficient.
Table 3 Tabulation for Software reliability

| Size of software program code (KB) | Systematic Framework | Iterative Redundancy Method | AWSE technique |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| 10                                | 64.89                | 70.12                       | 85.36         |
| 20                                | 65.22                | 70.95                       | 86.25         |
| 30                                | 65.91                | 73.62                       | 86.91         |
| 40                                | 68.21                | 74.48                       | 88.15         |
| 50                                | 68.95                | 75.86                       | 88.89         |
| 60                                | 70.88                | 77.65                       | 89.65         |
| 70                                | 71.25                | 78.21                       | 90.50         |
| 80                                | 72.93                | 78.90                       | 91.42         |
| 90                                | 75.16                | 79.46                       | 92.80         |
| 100                               | 76.38                | 81.20                       | 93.95         |

The software reliability result is obtained with respect to different size of software program code using three methods is presented in Table 3. While 80 KB open source software code is taken for improving software quality, proposed AWSE technique obtains the 91.42% software reliability whereas the systematic framework [1] and Iterative redundancy method [2] obtains 72.93% and 78.90% respectively.

5. RELATED WORKS

In [11], the impact of different software metrics designed for evaluating software quality was analyzed to improve the reliability of software system. But, Reducing the time and cost of the software project was not considered. Modularity Index Metrics was developed in [12] for Java-Based Open Source Software Projects to discover strengths and potential problems of the project.

In [13], a collection of metrics was presented to determine the impact of metrics in software development environment and investigated the open source tools for automation of metrics generation process. A review of different techniques designed for software quality models to evaluate the software products was presented in [14].

An empirical approach was developed in [15] to learn software metrics impacts on different versions of Java based open source software’s. However, human and environmental factors which affect maintainability of open source software’s were remained unaddressed.

An analysis of code ownership metrics and their relationship with software quality was presented in [16] to improve the reliability of open source software projects. But, service provisioning time was remained unsolved.

A survey of different static and dynamic metrics designed for Open Source Software was analyzed in [17] to assure software code quality, operation, and maintenance. Besides, a survey of diverse open source tools developed for measuring the internal quality of Java software products was examined in [18].

A fuzzy data mining algorithm was intended in [19] for time series data to generate the association rules for evaluating the existing trend and regularity in the evolution of open source software project. Quality Management for achieving higher software quality in the Service Sector was presented in [20].

6. CONCLUSION
An effective component model called Autocorrelation Weighted Sum Entropy (AWSE) technique is developed with objective of attaining the higher scalability and reducing service provisioning time while improving the reliability of software quality. The main objective of AWSE technique is to improve the software reliability with reduced cost of software quality testing. This objective of AWSE technique is attained with application of autocorrelation function and weighted sum entropy model. At first, AWSE technique employed autocorrelation function to find correlation among the consecutive version of same open source software which in turn helps for enhancing the scalability and reducing service provisioning time of software quality management. After that, AWSE technique used weighted sum entropy model that measures the Mean Time between Failure (MTF) to improve the software reliability in a specified environment for a given amount of time. Further, AWSE technique integrates the two objectives (i.e., cost minimization and testing time minimization) into a single objective through multiplying each objective with a weight. This in turn helps for reducing the total cost of software quality testing. The efficiency of AWSE technique is test with the metrics such as scalability, service provisioning time and software reliability. With the experiments conducted for AWSE technique, it is observed that the scalability for improving software system quality provided more accurate results as compared to state-of-the-art works. The experimental results demonstrate that AWSE technique is provides better performance with an improvement of software reliability and also reduces the software reliability when compared to the state-of-the-art works.
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