Spectroscopic observations of PHz G237.01+42.50: A galaxy protocluster at z = 2.16 in the Cosmos field
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ABSTRACT

The Planck satellite has identified more than 2000 protocluster candidates with extreme star formation rates (SFRs). Here, we present the spectroscopic identification of a Planck-selected protocluster located in the Cosmos field, PHz G237.01+42.50. PHz G237.01+42.50 contains a galaxy overdensity of 31 spectroscopically identified galaxies at \( z \approx 2.16 \) (significant at 5.4\( \sigma \)) in a \( 10' \times 11' \) region. The overdensity contains two substructures or protoclusters at \( \Delta z = 2.16 \) and \( 2.195 \) with estimated halo masses at \( z = 0 \) of \( 5 \times 10^{14} M_\odot \) and \( 6 \times 10^{14} M_\odot \), respectively. We analyze the members’ ultraviolet (UV) spectra and UV-far-infrared spectral energy distributions to derive their SFR, stellar mass, and metallicity. Galaxy members include blue star-forming galaxies and Active galactic nuclei (AGN) with SFRs and stellar masses consistent with the main sequence. The overdensity total SFR, \( \approx 4000 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \), is higher than predicted by simulations but much smaller than the SFR derived from the Planck data. The analysis of the Herschel data in the field, in combination with the available ancillary data, shows that such a difference is due to an effect of source alignment along the line of sight that produces a 5\( \sigma \) overdensity of red Herschel sources in the field. We analyze the overdensity over a region diameter of \( 10' \times 10' \) in a 10

1. Introduction

The study of galaxy cluster progenitors, so-called protoclusters, allows us to investigate the growth of the most massive halos, the evolution of the large-scale structure at high redshift, the history of star formation in dense environments, and the links between galaxy evolution and environment (Kravtsov & Borgani 2012; Overzier 2016). Finding and studying high-z growing structures is challenging as protoclusters are rare (Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2016). The analysis of the Herschel data in the field, in combination with the available ancillary data, shows that such a difference is due to an effect of source alignment along the line of sight that produces a 5\( \sigma \) overdensity of red Herschel sources in the field. We analyze the members’ ultraviolet (UV) spectra and UV-far-infrared spectral energy distributions to derive their SFR, stellar mass, and metallicity. Galaxy members include blue star-forming galaxies and Active galactic nuclei (AGN) with SFRs and stellar masses consistent with the main sequence. The overdensity total SFR, \( \approx 4000 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \), is higher than predicted by simulations but much smaller than the SFR derived from the Planck data. The analysis of the Herschel data in the field, in combination with the available ancillary data, shows that such a difference is due to an effect of source alignment along the line of sight that produces a 5\( \sigma \) overdensity of red Herschel sources in the field. We analyze the overdensity over a region diameter of \( 10' \times 10' \) in a 10
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Based on LBT/LUCI spectroscopic observations.
Dannerbauer et al. 2014; Casey et al. 2015; Kato et al. 2016; Hung et al. 2016; Umehata et al. 2019) scales.

Negrello et al. (2005) predict the detection by Planck$^1$ (Planck Collaboration I 2011 I and the Herschel Space Observatory$^2$ (Pilbratt et al. 2010) sub-mm surveys of unresolved intensity peaks made by the summed emission of dusty star-forming high-z protocluster members within the arcminute-sized beam (arcminute scale). For these reasons, we embarked on an ambitious program to identify protoclusters based on their overall overdensity of ongoing star formation. We used the Planck satellite to search for high-z structures in a systematic way over the cleanest 26% of the extragalactic sky, at an angular resolution well matched to the expected size of these structures (5 arcmin, or $\sim$6 Mpc in comoving scales at $z = 2$–3; Muldrew et al. 2015). The Planck telescope, due to its sensitivity at sub-mm wavelengths where SFGs at $z \gtrsim 2$ are bright, has provided a homogenously selected sample of 2151 protocluster candidates at redshifts $\sim 2$–4 – the Planck high-z (PHz) sample (Planck Collaboration Int. XXXIX 2016). The sample selection and main properties are summarized in Sect. 2. The large sub-mm fluxes we see in the PHz fields can be due to statistical fluctuations of the cosmic IR background, single strongly lensed galaxies (Cañameras et al. 2015), overdensities of bright SFGs in the early Universe (Planck Collaboration Int. XXVII 2015), or projections along the line of sight of bright galaxies or of structures at different redshifts (Negrello et al. 2017). The most active star-forming protoclusters must be among the brightest sub-mm sources of the sky and are thus expected to be part of this sample. Follow-up studies of PHz protocluster candidates are relevant for a statistical characterization of a large and homogeneous protocluster sample, as well as for the discovery of extreme objects.

High-z protoclusters offer also the possibility of investigating several questions that are relevant in cosmology and galaxy evolution, for example the processes that regulate the intense star formation observed in these structures, the mechanisms responsible for their subsequent quenching, and the role of the activity associated with active galactic nuclei (AGN).

In this work, we present the spectroscopic confirmation of the only PHz source located in the Cosmos field (Scoville et al. 2007) for which spectroscopic observations with the Large Binocular Telescope Near-infrared Spectroscopic Utility with Camera and Integral Field Unit for Extragalactic Research (LUCI) spectrograph (Seifert et al. 2003; Buschkamp et al. 2012) on the Large Binocular Telescope (LBT; Hill et al. 2012) have been obtained, PHz G237.01+42.50 (G237). The advantage of using this field is the availability of a wealth of multiwavelength data, in particular of spectroscopic redshifts, that allow us to identify and analyze the protocluster member galaxies.

Throughout this work we use magnitudes in the AB system, and adopt a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function (IMF). We denote the stellar mass with $M$ and the characteristic stellar mass with $M^*$. We assume a flat $\Lambda$ cold dark matter (CDM) model, with cosmological parameters from the Planck 2018 release, $\Omega_m = 0.685$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.315$, $H_0 = 67.4$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ (Planck Collaboration VI 2020). We express physical and comoving distances in units of pkpc and ckpc, respectively. With the adopted cosmology, 1" corresponds to a scale of $\sim$8.5 pkpc and 18.1 ckpc at $z = 2.16$.

2. The Planck high-z source sample

The PHz catalog (Planck Collaboration Int. XXXIX 2016) was extracted from 26% of the Planck all sky maps. The catalog includes all sources that were detected at more than 5$\sigma$ in the red-excess (RX) map. The RX map is obtained after subtracting from the map at 550 $\mu$m the image obtained by linearly interpolating the signal in the 350 $\mu$m, and 850 $\mu$m maps. The selection requires a signal at more than 3$\sigma$ in the cleaned$^3$ maps at 350, 550, and 850 $\mu$m, and a non-detection ($S/N < 3$) in the 100 GHz map. In order to favor only reliable high-z candidates, all selected sources must also satisfy a color selection criterion. More specifically, a flux ratio $S_{850}/S_{550} > 0.5$ is required to reduce contamination from Galactic cold sources, and $S_{850}/S_{550} < 0.9$ to avoid contamination from radio and Sunyaev-Zel’dovich sources (see Fig. 15 in Planck Collaboration Int. XXXIX 2016). The PHz catalog contains 2151 sources. The selection procedure and the catalog are presented in Planck Collaboration Int. XXXIX (2016). The color selection criteria favor sources at $1.5 < z < 4$ assuming realistic values of dust temperature and emissivity index. The low angular resolution of the Planck beam (FWHM $= 4.3$ arcmin at 550 $\mu$m) and the 90% completeness limit of $\sim$500 mJy in the sub-mm imply that the PHz sources correspond to environments of typically 2 Mpc in size with $L_{IR} = 3 \times 10^{12} L_{\odot}$, for $z = 2$–3.

Identifying and characterizing these sources require multiwavelength deep photometric data over wide regions. Several follow-up observations have been carried out to obtain multiwavelength data for a representative subsample. First, sub-mm observations with the Spectral and Photometric Imaging RECEIVER (SPIRE; Griffin et al. 2010) instrument on the Herschel Space Observatory have been obtained for 228 PHz. Of these, 217 targets (95%) were found to correspond to overdensities of bright ($S_{350} > 37$ mJy) and red$^4$ SPIRE sources (Planck Collaboration Int. XXVII 2015). Spitzer/IRAC imaging at 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu$m have been obtained for 92 sources out of the Herschel subsample (Martinache et al. 2018). Optical and near-infrared (NIR) imaging data have been obtained for 42 PHz. Spectroscopic observations, necessary to confirm the protocluster nature of these sources, have been obtained only for three PHz, PHz G95.5–61.6, resulting in the identification of two potential structures, one at $z = 2$ with six members, and a second one at $z = 1.7$ with three members (Flores-Cacho et al. 2016), and PHz G237.01+42.50, whose observations are presented here. A third PHz, PLCK G073.4–2–3 Muldrew et al. 2015). Optical and near-infrared (NIR) imaging data have been obtained for 42 PHz. Spectroscopic observations, necessary to confirm the protocluster nature of these sources, have been obtained only for three PHz, PHz G95.5–61.6, resulting in the identification of two potential structures, one at $z = 2$ with six members, and a second one at $z = 1.7$ with three members (Flores-Cacho et al. 2016), and PHz G237.01+42.50, whose observations are presented here. A third PHz, PLCK G073.4–2–3, has provided a heterogenous set of redshifts for galaxies at $z \sim 2$, in particular, for dusty star-forming galaxies (DSFGs; Casey et al. 2017).

---

1 Planck (http://www.esa.int/Planck) is a project of the European Space Agency (ESA) with instruments provided by two scientific consortia funded by ESA member states (in particular the lead countries France and Italy), with contributions from NASA (USA), and telescope reflectors provided by a collaboration between ESA and a scientific consortium led and funded by Denmark.

2 Herschel is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by the European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.

3 The cleaned maps are obtained after removing the signal from the cosmic microwave background (CMB), and Galactic cirrus emission.

4 A SPIRE source is defined red if detected in all three SPIRE bands and with $S_{350}/S_{250} > 0.6$ and $S_{350}/S_{250} > 0.7$ (see Planck Collaboration Int. XXVII 2015).

---
In addition to the data obtained through our follow-up campaign, we looked for PHz sources located in public legacy fields, in particular in the >50 deg² HerMES fields (Oliver et al. 2012), where a large set of multiwavelength data, including Herschel SPIRE data, are available. Based on the density of the PHz sources (i.e., 0.2 ± 0.004 sources deg⁻²), we expect 20 ± 4 PHz in the HerMES fields (99.5 deg²). There are 21 (1% of the full sample) PHz in HerMES, and one, PHz G237.01+42.50, in the 1.6 deg² Cosmos field, where, in addition to multiwavelength photometric data, high-resolution imaging and spectroscopic data are available.

### 3. PHz G237.01+42.50: A Planck structure in the Cosmos field

PHz G237.01+42.50 (G237 hereinafter) is the only PHz source located in the Cosmos field. Its Planck position is α = 50:507 deg. and δ = 312046 deg., and size is 22.12 ± 5.5′. Its total Planck fluxes are $S_{500\mu m} = 0.61 ± 0.04 Jy$, and $S_{250\mu m} = 0.69 ± 0.03 Jy$, and the signal-to-noise ratios (S/Ns) at 850, 550 and 350, and in the RX 550 µm map, are 5.2, 5.8, 3.5, and 6.0, respectively, making G237 among the 30% most significant detections in the PHz sample. Based on these flux densities, and assuming a modified black body model with temperature of 30 K at $z = 2.2$, and the Kennicutt (1998) relation between the IR luminosity and the SFR, the estimated SFR of G237 is 18.210 $M_\odot$ yr⁻¹ (assuming a Salpeter 1955 IMF, and 10173 $M_\odot$ yr⁻¹ assuming a Chabrier 2003 IMF; Planck Collaboration Int. XXXIX 2016).

#### 3.1. LBT spectroscopic observations

As part of our follow-up program, we obtained spectroscopic observations of G237 (Italian Program 34; PI: Polletta) with LUCI (Seifert et al. 2003, 2010; Ageorges et al. 2010; Buschkamp et al. 2012) on the 8.4 m LBT (Hill et al. 2012) in monochromatic mode. LUCI provides NIR multiobject spectroscopy over a field of view of 4'×4'. We used the N1.8 camera (0.249⁹ pixel⁻¹) and the second order of the 200 H-K grating with a central wavelength of 1.93 m, providing a resolving power of $R \sim 1100$. The wavelength coverage is slightly different from object to object (depending on the position of the slit in the mask) but typically spans 1.50–2.30 µm. We observed 15 targets with AB $K$-band mag $\leq 22$ selected from the ultraVISTA 3rd data release catalog (McCracken et al. 2012). Five of them are within 11′′ of a SPIRE source, our highest priority sources, the remaining ten are filler sources selected because of their red optical-NIR colors. The layout of the LUCI mask overlaid on the UltraVISTA $K_s$ image of G237 is shown in Fig. 1. The observations were conducted on 2016 April 21–22. Flats and arcs were taken on the same day of the observations. The observing conditions were good with mostly clear sky, an airmass of 1.28, on average, and a seeing between 0.5 and 1.25″ (0.9″, on average). The observations were divided into five observing blocks, with twenty 120s exposures each, for a total exposure time of 3 h 20 min. We used slit widths of 1″, and slit length of either 8 or 10″ to sample enough sky background for sky subtraction. The nodding technique was applied to obtain a good quality sky subtraction during the reduction phase. A telluric star was observed at the end of each night for the flux calibration and for correction of atmospheric absorption.

The data reduction procedure was carried out by the Italian LBT support team using a dedicated pipeline developed at INAF IASF-Milan (Scodeglio et al. 2005; Garilli et al. 2012). This includes flat-fielding, sky subtraction, correction for distortions, and wavelength and flux calibration. The background was subtracted from frames in pairs obtained from nodding along the slit. Wavelength calibration was carried out using OH sky lines, and flux calibration using a telluric standard star. Monodimensional spectra extraction was performed using the Horne extraction algorithm (Horne 1986). The final products include sky and noise spectra, and flux calibrated bi- and mono-dimensional spectra. The spectra of the 15 observed objects are shown in Fig. A.1. Redshifts were determined using the EZ code (Garilli et al. 2010).

The complete list of spectroscopic targets, with coordinates, estimated redshifts and associated quality flags, $K$-band magnitudes, and IRAC colors from the Cosmos multiwavelength catalog (Laigle et al. 2016; L16 hereinafter) are given in Table A.1. We were able to obtain a reliable redshift ($z_{fig} > 1$) estimate for five sources, of which two are at the same redshift, $z = 2.16$. One of these two objects (ID SL01) exhibits a broad Hα line ($FWWHM \approx 4004 ± 327$ km s⁻¹) and it is thus classified as broad line AGN (Khachikian & Weedman 1974).

After analyzing these data, we discovered that six of our selected LUCI targets had been previously observed by the Cosmos team and, for five of them, a spectroscopic redshift was available from the zCosmos-Deep survey (Lilly et al. 2009). These redshifts are listed in Table A.1, and relative spectra are shown in Fig. A.2. In Fig. A.1, we show the expected spectral features (see red vertical dashed line) in the LUCI NIR spectra assuming the zCosmos-Deep redshift. In only one case we have a

---

5 The width of the broad Hα line has been derived after fitting the restframe spectrum in the 6400–6750 Å wavelength range with a power-law to model the continuum, and four Gaussian components to model the narrow, and broad Hα lines, and the N II i6584 doublet.
redshift estimate from both LUCI and zCosmos, ID slit08. They differ significantly, the LUCI estimate, $z = 1.52$, is highly reliable ($z$ flag = 4), while the zCosmos one, $z = 0.86$, is uncertain ($z$ flag = 1). In the other four cases, there are no strong features observable in the NIR, thus the spectra are consistent with the $z$Cosmos redshift estimates.

Since the chances of finding two sources at the same redshift out of such a small sample are small, we investigate the hypothesis that G237 might contain a structure at such a redshift. To this end we searched for all the available spectroscopic redshifts in a wide region centered on G237, and analyzed its distribution, as described in the next section.

### 3.2. Cosmos spectroscopic redshifts

We collected a large number of spectroscopic redshifts in a region $\sim 10^\prime$ wide centered on G237 from various public surveys (zCosmos Bright; Lilly et al. 2007; FMOS; Silverman et al. 2015; Magellan; Trump et al. 2007; Spitzer/IRS; Fu et al. 2010; DEIMOS; Hasinger et al. 2019), and from the zCosmos-Deep survey (Lilly et al. 2009). From this compilation, we obtained the redshift distribution in the $10^\prime \times 12^\prime$ region centered on G237 (see Fig. 2). The distribution shows two narrow peaks, one at $z_{\text{spec}} \approx 2.155$ with 15 members, and another at $z_{\text{spec}} \approx 2.195$ with eight members where $|z - z_{\text{spec}}|/(1 + z_{\text{spec}}) < 0.0016$ (or $|\Delta z| \leq 480 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). Six sources have intermediate redshifts, $2.16 < z < 2.19$. To quantify the significance of the two redshift peaks, we computed the median spectroscopic redshift distribution in a large region of the Cosmos field where the density of sources with a spectroscopic redshift is similar to the G237 field (i.e., $149.74^\circ < \alpha < 150.65^\circ$, and $1.77^\circ < \delta < 2.65^\circ$). Within such a region, we defined $20 10^\prime \times 12^\prime$ boxes, and derived, in each box, the redshift distribution from $z = 1.5$ to 2.8 with redshift bins of 0.01. For each redshift bin, we computed the median number of sources, the mean absolute deviation from the median, and the 16th and 84th percentile values, after normalizing to the number of sources found in the G237 region. The derived median distribution is shown in Fig. 2. Based on this distribution, we would expect $12.8 \pm 3.7, 3.6 \pm 1.7$, and $2.1 \pm 1.5$ sources at $2.15 \leq z \leq 2.20$, $2.15 \leq z \leq 2.16$, and $2.19 \leq z \leq 2.20$, respectively. Compared to the number of sources in these redshift bins in G237, 29, 15, and 8, respectively, the significances of the redshift peaks in the three bins are 4.4, 6.8, and 4.0$\sigma$, respectively. We can thus conclude that there is a significant overdensity of sources in all three redshift bins. Including the two sources from our LUCI sample, there are in total 31 sources with $2.15 \leq z_{\text{spec}} < 2.20$ or $|\Delta z| \leq 2360 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, yielding an overdensity contrast $\delta_{\text{gal}}^6 = 1.3$, and 3.15, with a significance of 4.9$\sigma$ at $2.15 \leq z \leq 2.20$, and of 8$\sigma$ at $2.15 \leq z \leq 2.16$, respectively.

The list of 31 sources is reported in Table 6, and their spectra are shown in Figs. B.1 and B.2. We note that in 11 out of 29 objects the redshift estimate is highly uncertain (quality flag equal to 1), but after a careful check where we investigated alternative redshift solutions using the EZ code (Garilli et al. 2010), we concluded that no revision was better than the available estimate. The detection of two sources at $z \approx 2.16$ in our LBT observations and the presence of a peak at the same redshift in the G237 field suggest that G237 contains a structure at such a redshift. To investigate this hypothesis further we examine the spatial distribution, both projected on the sky and along the line of sight for the spectroscopic sources at $z = 2.15$–2.20 (corresponding to $\Delta v = 4646 \text{ km s}^{-1}$).

### 3.3. Hα emitters in G237

The spectroscopic redshift peak found in G237 prompted us to carry out narrowband imaging observations to identify the Hα emitters (HAEs) in the field at $z = 2.16$. MOIRCS/Subaru (Ichikawa et al. 2006) observations of G237 using the NB2071 filter ($\lambda_c = 2.068 \mu m$, $\Delta I = 0.027 \mu m$; corresponding to the Hα line at $z = 2.13$–2.17) yielded the discovery of 38 HAEs (Koyama et al. 2021). The spatial distribution of all spectroscopic members, and the HAEs is shown in Fig. 3. Six out of eight spectroscopically confirmed galaxies with $z < 2.17$ in the observed MOIRCS $4\times7'$ regions are also selected as HAEs (see list in Table 6). The two spectroscopic members that are not detected as HAEs (IDs 58173, and 57730) might have an Hα line with a small equivalent width (EW) (i.e., $<30 \AA$), or a wrong redshift.

The MOIRCS observations reveal a strong overdensity of HAEs, with a clear enhancement of massive HAEs at its peak. The density peaks of both, the spectroscopic and the HAE samples, are only $38''$ apart. This region will be identified as the protocluster core in the next section.

### 3.4. Structure components

The spatial distribution of all 31 sources at $2.15 \leq z < 2.20$ in the PHzG237.01+42.50 field is shown in Fig. 4. We also show the projected distance along the line of sight in terms of velocity, where $100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ at these redshifts correspond to $\sim 1.24 \text{ cMpc}$. In Fig. 5, their distribution in transverse and radial distance is shown. The distribution of velocity offsets of all 31 sources is not Gaussian, indicating velocity substructures (see...
Fig. 3. Spatial distribution of spectroscopic sources (blue circles), and HAEs (red diamonds) overplotted on the SPIRE 350 µm image of the G237 field. The yellow-brown filled contours show the HAE projected density, and the turquoise contours the projected density of the spectroscopic sources. The density contour levels are shown at 25, 50, 75, and 100% of the maximum value. The dashed green rectangle represents the MOIRCS field of view where the HAE were selected (Koyama et al. 2021). The spectroscopic and HAE density peaks are 38″ apart. The white circle represents a masked region in the L16 catalog due to the presence of a bright star.

Fig. 4. Spatial distribution of sources with $z = 2.15–2.20$ in the field of PHz G237.01+42.50. Different colors correspond to the velocity offset from the annotated mean redshift as indicated by the color bar. AGN are sources spectroscopically classified AGN, and black stars are X-ray luminous AGN. A gray circle with radius corresponding to 2 Mpc comoving at the annotated mean redshift and centered on the structure center is shown. Dashed rectangles delineate the A, B, and C components described in Sect. 3.4, and shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 5. Velocity offset or radial distance from the mean structure redshift ($\langle z \rangle = 2.168$; dashed line) as a function of projected distance from the structure center (defined by the coordinates median). Filled circles represent sources in the 3 components described in Sect. 3.4: A in red, B in magenta, and D in blue. The remaining members are shown as open circles (magenta if at $2.15 \leq z < 2.164$, blue if at $z > 2.19$, and green if at intermediate redshifts, $2.164 < z < 2.19$). Spectroscopically identified AGN are annotated, and X-ray selected AGN are marked with black stars.

Fig. 6. Three-color Herschel/SPIRE (R: 500 µm, G: 350 µm, B: 250 µm) 10′×12′ image of the G237 field. The yellow contours represent the Planck red-excess signal at 50, 62.5, 75 and 87.5% of the peak value. Spectroscopic members are shown as open circles (magenta: $2.15 \leq z < 2.164$, green: $2.164 < z < 2.19$, and light blue: $2.19 < z < 2.20$). The regions A, B, and C, enclosing the groups of sources described in Sect. 3.4, are shown as dashed rectangles (red and magenta for those at $2.15 \leq z < 2.164$, light blue for those at $2.19 < z < 2.20$). Projected spatial distribution, we identify three components, as illustrated in Fig. 6.

Assuming as reference redshift, the mean value (i.e., $\langle z \rangle = 2.168 \pm 0.018$), the 31 sources can be split in two substructures with either high ($v \sim 2000–3000$ km s$^{-1}$) or low ($v$ from −1700 to 0 km s$^{-1}$) velocities. Based on the sources redshift and
sources (see red full circles in Fig. 5). This region exhibits the highest source density (4.4 sources arcmin$^{-2}$). In the same redshift group, there are four sources at similar redshifts ($\Delta v = 238$ km s$^{-1}$), and located in an elongated region ($0.6' \times 3'$; region B) with velocity offsets increasing with the distance from the core. These four sources, and one in the core, ID SL03, are all aligned south of the core, and their velocity offsets are consistent with them falling and accelerating into the core. The remaining 12 sources are more spread out and located in an annulus between $3'$ and $6.5'$ from the core. The group of 20 spectroscopic members with $2.15 \leq z < 2.164$ occupies a volume of $9.5' \times 9.3' \times 1302$ km s$^{-1}$, corresponding to a comoving volume of $\sim 15.29 \times 14.96 \times 19.1$ cMpc$^3$, or 4376 cMpc$^3$. We note that this volume estimate is not corrected for peculiar velocities (see Sect. 6.1). Among the remaining 11 sources with positive velocity offsets, eight are at $2.19 \leq z < 2.20$, corresponding to $\Delta v = 788$ km s$^{-1}$. Four sources in this group are in a $2.7' \times 2.2'$ region [IC component].

There are thus in total 31 sources with $2.15 \leq z < 2.20$, corresponding to $\Delta v = 4646$ km s$^{-1}$ over a $\sim 10' \times 11'$ region. The volume occupied by all 31 spectroscopic members is $\sim 16 \times 17 \times 67$ cMpc$^3$, or 18532 cMpc$^3$.

Based on the spectroscopic sources spatial distribution, we test the hypothesis that the full sample, and the two groups at $z \approx 2.15$–2.164, and 2.19–2.20 might be structures. There is no clear and consensual definition of a high-$z$ structure. As a reference we consider the criterion used by Eisenhardt et al. (2008) valid for $z > 1$ clusters for which at least five members must be located within a radius of 2 pMpc and have spectroscopic redshifts within $\pm 2000 (1+z)$, corresponding to $4'$, and $\pm 6300$ km s$^{-1}$ at the redshift of G237. The group at $2.15 \leq z < 2.164$ has 11 sources within 2 pMpc, and that at $2.19 < z < 2.20$ has five sources within 2 pMpc, thus both satisfy this criterion. If we consider the full list of 31 spectroscopic sources, 16 of which are located within a 2 pMpc radius and satisfy the velocity criterion, we can thus consider the full sample and the two smaller groups as being structures. In the following, we refer to the group at redshifts $2.15 \leq z < 2.164$ as substructure 1 (ss1), that at redshifts $2.19 \leq z < 2.20$ as substructure 2 (ss2), and to all 31 sources as the full structure. In Sect. 6.1 we analyze whether each of these structures can be considered a protocluster, based on the redshift at which they will collapse and on the estimated $z=0$ halo mass. We define as center of the full structure, the median coordinates of all 31 members, $\alpha = 150.46449$ deg, and $\delta = 2.3198330$ deg.

4. Sub-mm emission in G237

4.1. Planck versus Herschel

One of the main questions regarding the PHz sources is the origin of the sub-mm signal detected by Planck. SPIRE observations provide images at similar wavelengths as Planck, but with a better sensitivity and a spatial resolution 10 times higher (the SPIRE FWHM at 350 µm being 25″). In the following, we compare the Planck signal in G237, with the total flux density from all SPIRE sources detected at 350 µm, and 500 µm, respectively. The SPIRE observations in the Cosmos field are from the HERMES survey (Oliver et al. 2012). The SPIRE 5σ sensitivity limits in the field are 8.0, 6.6, and 9.5 mJy, at 250, 350, and 500 µm, respectively.

We compare Planck and SPIRE flux densities in the region where the signal in the RX map is higher than 50% of the maximum value (hereafter PHz area). This region, shown with yellow contours in Fig. 6 overlaid on the SPIRE image of the G237 field, has an area of 29.5 arcmin$^2$. To compute the SPIRE flux density we consider all SPIRE sources within the region drawn from the band-merged (or cross-ID, hereafter xID) DR4 catalog extracted on Starfinder blind 250 µm positions (Roseboom et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2014). All selected sources are detected at more than 3σ in at least one of the three SPIRE bands, where σ includes both the instrumental and confusion error$^7$. There are 46 SPIRE sources in the PHz area, of which 14 are detected at more than 3σ at 350 µm, and nine at 500 µm. The total SPIRE flux

$^7$ The confusion noise is estimated to be 5.8, 6.3 and 6.8 mJy beam$^{-1}$ at 250, 350 and 500 µm, respectively (Nguyen et al. 2010).
density at 350 and 500 μm is the total flux density obtained from all detected SPIRE sources located in the PHz area. The Planck flux density at 350 μm, and 550 μm is instead obtained by adding up the signal from all the pixels in the cleaned maps within the PHz area. The measured total SPIRE and Planck flux densities are reported in Table 1. We note that the Planck flux densities in the PHz area correspond to about 75, and 60% of the total flux densities at, respectively, 350, and 550 μm listed in the PHz catalog (Planck Collaboration Int. XXXIX 2016), and reported in Sect. 3. In comparing SPIRE and Planck flux densities, we need to take into account the difference in the filter transmission curves. Based on the average template derived from the ALESS sample (da Cunha et al. 2015), we expect $S_{350 μm} / S_{500 μm}$ = 0.84–0.96, and $S_{500 μm} / S_{350 μm}$ = 1.01–1.10 at $z = 0.5$–3.0.

The SPIRE and Planck flux densities at 500 μm are consistent within 1σ, while the SPIRE 350 μm flux density is $>3σ$ larger than the Planck 350 μm flux density. This comparison indicates that the SPIRE observations are deep enough to detect all sources that contribute to the Planck flux density (Herranz et al. 2013; Clements et al. 2014), and that the difference at 350 μm might be due to the signal from low-$z$ galaxies that were removed in the Planck cleaned images (see details on the cleaning procedure in Planck Collaboration Int. XXXIX 2016).

4.2. Sub-mm source density

Here, we investigate whether G237 is overdense of red SPIRE sources, as found for the majority of PHz sources (Planck Collaboration Int. XXVII 2015). To this end, we consider all red SPIRE sources over a $1° \times 1°$ region detected at $>1σ$ in all three SPIRE bands, and at $>3σ$ in at least one band where $σ$ includes the instrumental and confusion noises. For each source we compute a flux-weighted local density given by the distance distribution to the nearest 10 neighbors as:

$$\delta_i = \frac{W_i}{\pi d_{i,0}^2} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \exp \left[-0.5 \left(\frac{d_{i,j}}{d_{i,0}}\right)^2\right],$$

where $d_{i,j}$ is the distance to the $j$th source, $d_{i,0}$ is the distance from the $i$th source to the 10th nearest neighbor, and $W_i$ is the $i$th source weighting factor. This factor is the ratio between the $i$th source flux density minus the minimum flux density in the field, and the difference between the maximum and the minimum flux densities, where all flux densities are at 350 μm (adapted from Clements et al. 2014). From the distribution of $\delta_i$ values, we then compute a mean background density ($\rho_{bck}$) as the $3σ$ clipped mean and an associated uncertainty given by the rms ($\text{rms}_{bck}$). Based on the positions of the red SPIRE sources, and on their $\delta_i$ values, we generate a two-dimensional density map in a $40° \times 40°$ region centered on G237 after convolution with a Gaussian kernel with a FWHM of $3°$. The density map we derive a density contrast map, and a density significance map (see definition in footnote 5). The overdensity significance map of the red SPIRE sources, shown in Fig. 8, has a peak at $>5σ$ centered at $α = 150.4186°, δ = +2.3206°$. In Table 2, we list the maximum density contrast and significance values situated within $3σ_{pos}$ from the Planck position (see dashed yellow ellipse in Fig. 8), and the corresponding coordinates. We also list the area of contiguous pixels at $>3σ_{pos}$ significance around the maximum in the density significance map. This overdensity peak is at $5\sigma$ from the Planck position, which corresponds to $\sim 1–2σ_{pos}$ considering the source extension, which is given by an ellipse with major and minor axis with $σ_{pos}$ of $5.3 \pm 0.4′$, and $2.3 \pm 0.2′$, respectively (see yellow ellipses in Fig. 8).

We note that this method differs from that used in Planck Collaboration Int. XXVII (2015) to characterize the red SPIRE source overdensity in the PHz sample. First, they measured the overdensity only of the red SPIRE sources within the PHz area (or Planck IN region; see previous section), and the mean density

Table 1. Number of SPIRE sources and total flux density in the G237 PHz area.

| xID | 350 μm | 500 μm | red | Planck $S_{350}$ (mJy) | SPIRE $\sum S_{350}$ (mJy) | Planck $S_{500}$ (mJy) | SPIRE $\sum S_{500}$ (mJy) |
|-----|--------|--------|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 46  | 14     | 9      | 13  | 29.5           | 518 ± 42       | 722 ± 45       | 349 ± 34       | 404 ± 35       |

Notes. (a) The number of xID, 350 μm, and 500 μm sources in the PHz designate the number of SPIRE sources with at least one $3σ$ detection in any band, at 350 μm, or at 500 μm, respectively. The red sources are the xID sources with red sub-mm colors (i.e., $S_{500 μm} / S_{350 μm} > 0.7$ and $S_{500 μm} / S_{350 μm} ≥ 0.6$). The PHz area is defined as all adjacent pixels with a value above 50% of the maximum value in the RX map (see text). The Planck flux densities, Planck $S_{i}$, have been computed by adding the flux density values of all pixels within the PHz area. The SPIRE flux densities have been computed by adding the flux density of all xID sources within the same region.
was measured in ~20′ × 20′ images after excluding the PHz area. Finally, the uncertainty associated with the mean density field used to compute the overdensity significance was the standard deviation, rather than the rms. In G237, this method would not yield a significant overdensity because the overdensity is situated just outside the PHz area. An offset between the red SPIRE source overdensity peak and the PHz area, although not common, is observed in several PHz sources. Such an offset is, however, not surprising as the Planck red-excess signal and the SPIRE red sources do not trace exactly the same information. We note that the Planck source position is the result of a double Gaussian fit on the 550 μm cleaned map and not on the red-excess one, and G237 is among the most extended PHz, with only 18% of all PHz having a major axis with FWHM > 12.4′, as measured in G237. Considering the source extension, the Planck position and the overdensity peak are consistent.

In the next section, we analyze the spatial distribution and properties of the SPIRE sources in the field to understand the nature of such an overdensity, and its possible association with the spectroscopic structure at z ~ 2.16.

### 4.3. SPIRE member candidates

Here, we consider all the SPIRE sources in G237 (the 10′ × 11′ region shown in Fig. 4) with at least a ≥3σ detection and examine the available ancillary data to assess whether any of them might be associated with the spectroscopic sources. To this end, we take advantage of the available deep VLA 3 GHz, and MIPS[24 μm] data (Smolčić et al. 2017; Sanders et al. 2007), to identify the most likely counterpart to each SPIRE source. We can indeed assume that a source detected in the radio at 3 GHz, or at 24 μm is the most likely counterpart to the SPIRE source as the emission at these wavelengths and the sub-mm radiation are mainly powered by star formation and, thus, highly correlated (de Jong et al. 1985; Wunderlich et al. 1987; Sopp & Alexander 1991; Rieke et al. 2009).

There are 187 SPIRE sources, of which 183 have at least one VLA 3 GHz or MIPS[24 μm] counterpart within 11 arcsec. 94 with a unique VLA+MIPS counterpart, eight with 2, and one with 3, and 18 have a unique VLA counterpart with no MIPS[24 μm] match. Out of the remaining 62 SPIRE sources with no VLA counterpart, 43 have a unique MIPS counterpart, 15 have two, and four have three MIPS matches. Thus, 155/183 (85%) sources have a single counterpart at 3 GHz, 24 μm, or in both bands. The remaining 28 are matched with 61 VLA/MIPS[24 μm] sources. There are only four SPIRE sources without a match in either bands, but they are close to the region borders, and have faint SPIRE flux densities (≤20 mJy). We do not consider these sources in the following as their contribution to the Planck flux density would be low, and their association with the protocluster unlikely. Finding VLA or MIPS counterparts allows us to identify the matched source in the L16 catalog. In addition, for the MIPS-detected sources, deblended SPIRE flux densities are available. To find sources that might be members of the z ~ 2.16 structure out of all potential SPIRE counterparts, we select those with 2.15 ≤ zspec < 2.20 (4 sources; see Table 3), those with zphot > 1.4 and with sub-mm colors consistent with being red (40 sources), and those with no redshift information and red sub-mm colors (42 sources). We remove 78 sources with spectroscopic redshifts outside the redshift range of 2.15 ≤ zspec < 2.20, five because they are not red even if zphot > 1.4, five because they are not red and no redshift is available, and 42 with zphot < 1.4. The spatial distribution of the selected 86 SPIRE sources is shown in Fig. 9. These SPIRE member candidates clump in the region where there is a significant overdensity of red sources (see Sect. 4.2 and Table 2). In this region there is a bright star that prevents us from detecting any source in the optical and NIR, and thus none of those SPIRE sources has a redshift estimate (see cyan symbols in Fig. 9). To take into account the lack of redshift estimate due to the masked region, we apply a statistical correction to these 42 SPIRE sources without redshift. Based on the fraction of SPIRE sources in a large (1.6′ × 1.23′) region of the COSMOS field with Herschel, MIPS, and VLA coverage, we find that ~40% of counterparts of red SPIRE sources have a photometric or spectroscopic redshift <1.4. We can thus assume that 17 out of those 42 SPIRE sources might be at redshift <1.4. There are thus

| δ | Signif. | Area (arcmin²) | αmax (deg) | δmax (deg) |
|---|--------|----------------|------------|------------|
| 10.4 | 5.1 | 12.8 | 150.4186 | 2.3206 |

Table 2. Red SPIRE overdensity parameters.

![Fig. 9. Density map of red SPIRE sources, with the Planck red-excess contours overlaid in purple (at 50, 62.5, 75, 87.5% of the highest value). The dashed white circle represents the 1.4′ radius circle masked in the L16 Cosmos catalog due to a bright star. The Planck position is marked with a thick purple plus sign, while the position where the density of red SPIRE sources peaks is marked with a thick red plus sign. The other symbols represent 86 SPIRE sources with colors and redshifts consistent with z ~ 2.16 (circles are sources with a unique VLA and/or MIPS[24 μm] counterpart, diamonds are sources with multiple VLA counterparts): magenta if 2.15 ≤ zspec < 2.20 (4); green if zphot > 1.4 and red (40), cyan if no redshift is available and red (42). We note that about 17 (40%) of the sources with no redshift are likely at z < 1.4; see text for more details. A yellow cross is overplotted on sources with 2.25′ < δ < 2.35′ and with zspec or with a redshift estimate between 1.8 and 2.5 (11 sources). Such a redshift estimate was obtained by requiring the observed radio flux to be reproduced assuming the radio-FIR correlation.](image-url)
69 SPIRE counterparts in the G237 field with redshift consistent with being related to the structure.

Assuming a redshift of 2.16, we estimate the total (8–1000 μm) IR luminosities ($L_{IR}$) of the SPIRE member candidates by fitting their sub-mm spectral energy distribution (SED) with a single-temperature modified blackbody model. Fits were performed using the cmcirsed package (Casey 2012), and assuming a dust emissivity-index $\beta$ equal to 1.8. From the IR luminosities, SFR estimates are derived assuming the relationship in Kennicutt (1998), modified for a Chabrier (2003) IMF (Chabrier 2003), SFR[$M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$] = 9.5 × 10$^{-11}$ $L_{IR} [L_{\odot}]$. The total SFR, obtained by summing the contribution from all of the 69 sources, is 14 140$^{+670}_{-540} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, consistent with the Planck estimate (i.e., $Planck \ SFR = 10 173 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$; see Sect. 3). If we limit the sample to the sources with $2.25^\circ < \delta < 2.35^\circ$, where the $Planck$ signal peaks and the overdensity is located, we obtain 44 sources and a total SFR of 9232$^{+468}_{-579} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$. We note that to obtain these estimates, we used as total SFR of the sources with no redshift, the value obtained by averaging the total SFR obtained in 100 subsamples containing only 60% of the sources randomly selected and without repetitions. Thus, the $Planck$ flux density and derived total SFR can be reproduced from the SPIRE sources in the field if we select the red ones and assume a redshift of $\sim 2.2$, as implied by the sub-mm colors. However, it is highly unlikely that all these sources belong to the same structure.

To check the estimated SFRs, we compare the observed 3 GHz fluxes with those derived assuming the radio-FIR relation obtained using Eqs. (5), and (6) in Magnelli et al. (2015) (i.e., $q_{SFR} = (2.35 \pm 0.8) \times (1 + z)^{-0.12 \pm 0.04}$), and assuming a radio spectrum with a power-law index of $-0.8$. We first checked that the radio fluxes predicted by this relation were consistent with the observed ones using the subsample of SPIRE sources with a radio counterpart and a spectroscopic redshift (37 sources). We then derived the redshift that yielded a radio flux consistent with the observed one, or with the $5\sigma$ radio upper limit in case of non detection, for the 86 selected SPIRE candidate members. The derived redshifts cover a broad range with median value of 1.4$^{\pm0.7}$. If we restrict the considered region to $2.25^\circ < \delta < 2.35^\circ$ (56 sources), and select only the SPIRE sources with an estimated redshift, as derived from the radio flux and the radio-FIR relation, between 1.8 and 2.5, we find only 11 sources (see yellow crosses in Fig. 9). The total SFR associated with these four sources are listed in Table 3. For the remaining spectroscopically confirmed galaxies we consider the measured SFR flux density as an upper limit.

In conclusion, four out of 31 members are detected by SPIRE and have $L(IR) > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$, and three are in s1s. Assuming a volume density of $(3 \pm 2) \times 10^{-3} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$ for DSFGs with similar luminosities and 1.3 < $z$ < 3.6 (Casey et al. 2014), the expected number of DSFGs in our substructure volume is 1.3 ± 0.9. Thus, the number of DSFGs corresponds to an overdensity contrast $\delta_{DSFG} = (3.1 \pm 1.3)/1.3 = 2.4$, with a significance of (5–1)/0.9 = 1.9σ.

5. Properties of the spectroscopic members

In this section, we consider all the sources in the two redshift peaks and at intermediate redshifts as belonging to a unique structure with the components described in Sect. 3.4. We analyze their main properties, such as morphology, stellar mass, SFR, AGN activity, as a function of location within the structure. The goal, in this section, is to investigate any relationship between their properties and the environment. For this analysis we use the L16 multiwavelength catalog, and additional catalogs and images from the IRSA public repository.

5.1. Sub-mm properties

PHZ G237.0+42.5 was discovered by Planck because of its bright and red sub-mm emission. Spectroscopic observations in the G237 field reveal an overdensity of sources at $2.15 \leq z \leq 2.20$. The connection between the sub-mm emission and the overdensity at $z \sim 2.16$ is likely, but it could also be due to a projection effect with a foreground overdensity providing additional flux. To investigate such a connection we examine the spectroscopic members that might be sub-mm detected. Six SPIRE sources have a spectroscopic member located within the SPIRE beam (<11′), IDs SL01, SL03, 55326, 58057, 60718, and 60894. To assess whether they are the counterparts to the SPIRE sources, we examine the radio (3 GHz) and MIPS (24 μm) images and catalogs available in the field (L16, Smolčić et al. 2017). Since the emission in the sub-mm, in the radio and in the mid-IR (MIR) are mainly powered by SF, we can assume that they are produced by the same galaxy. The higher spatial resolution in the radio and 24 μm images helps to pinpoint the optical/NIR counterpart to the SPIRE source. A 24 μm counterpart is found in the optical/NIR counterpart to the SPIRE source. A 24 μm counterpart is found in all 6 SPIRE sources with an associated spectroscopic member, in four cases the spectroscopic member matches the 24 μm source and we can thus confirm the match between the SPIRE source and the spectroscopic galaxy.

A 3 GHz counterpart is found in only two of the four SPIRE sources with spectroscopic member, and they both have a 24 μm counterpart, different from the spectroscopic galaxy. In three cases, a faint radio source is visible in the images, and it matches the spectroscopic galaxy, but none is present in the 3 GHz 5σ public catalog.

Based on this analysis, we assign the measured SPIRE flux to the spectroscopic IDs SL03 (SPIRE: 9741, MIPS: 192876), 58057 (SPIRE: 16974, MIPS: 677305), 55326 (SPIRE:13155, MIPS: 182700), and 60984 (SPIRE: 10299, MIPS: 631549). The SPIRE flux densities and estimated IR (8–1000 μm) luminosities of these four sources are listed in Table 3. For the remaining spectrosopically confirmed galaxies we consider the measured SPIRE flux density as an upper limit.

Overdensities of DSFGs at $z \sim 2$ are often associated with protocluster candidates (Blain et al. 2004), but confirming their membership through spectroscopic identification is a challenging process (Casey et al. 2017). In the G237 field, we carried out targeted spectroscopic observations with LBT/LUCI of five SPIRE sources, and confirmed membership of only one DSFG (~20%). Lacaille et al. (2019) carried out spectroscopic observations of 56 DSFGs in two protoclusters at $z \sim 2.3$, and 2.85 (HS 1700+64, and HS 1549+19, respectively). They were able to measure a spectroscopic redshift for five DSFGs (9%), and confirm membership of four DSFGs (7%).
5.2. Members colors and classification

To characterize the SF activity and extinction level of the selected members we examine their location within two well known diagnostic diagrams, the rest-frame $NUV - r$ versus $r - K$, and $U - V$ versus $V - J$ diagrams (Williams et al. 2009; Arnouts et al. 2013). The two diagrams are widely used in the literature to separate quiescent galaxies from SFGs up to high redshifts ($z \lesssim 5$; Lemaux et al. 2020; Shahidi et al. 2020). A galaxy position in these diagrams depends on its SFR, extinction, specific SFR (sSFR = SFR/$M$), age, and metallicity (for further details, see Arnouts et al. 2013; Davidzon et al. 2016).

We show the positions of the 31 spectroscopic members in these color diagrams in Fig. 10. Rest-frame colors are computed from the best fit SEDs (see Sect. 5.4). All members are located in the SFG region. The vast majority (87%) clump in the region where blue SFGs are located, consistent with young stellar populations, and low extinction. The remaining eight sources (their IDs are annotated in the figure) are more scattered within the SFG region and exhibit redder colors. These eight sources include the four sources detected in the sub-mm, and four AGN (red symbols in the figures), of which two are also sub-mm detected (i.e., 55326 and 58057). The four sub-mm detected sources might be redder because of dust extinction. Regarding the four AGN, their redder colors might be due to the AGN component or to an older stellar component. Galaxies hosting an AGN might be transitioning to the quiescent region as a consequence of reduced SF activity caused by AGN feedback (see e.g., Krishnan et al. 2017; Shimakawa et al. 2018). The four AGN in the sample are, in general, redder than the SFG members in color diagrams in Fig. 10. This behavior suggests that their redder colors are more likely due to the presence of the AGN component whose contribution increases with wavelength, rather than to a change in the stellar population.

The similar UV-optical colors of the majority of our spectroscopic members allow us to carry out an analysis of their spectral properties by co-adding their spectra. In the next section, we will analyze the stacked spectrum and compare it with models to estimate the average stellar age and metallicity. The AGN will not be taken into account in the following analysis.

### 5.3. Ultraviolet spectral properties

#### 5.3.1. Stacked UV spectrum

The UV spectrum of SFGs is rich of spectral features carrying information on several components within a galaxy, like the stellar population, the ISM, the ionized nebulae (see e.g., Fanelli et al. 1988; Kewley et al. 2019). Since the available spectra are noisy and of moderate resolution, we make a co-added spectrum by stacking all spectra that cover a similar rest-frame wavelength range and exhibit consistent properties. This selection yields 26 SFGs with zCosmos-Deep VIMOS spectra. The stacked spectrum is obtained by taking the median value after a $3\sigma$ clipping procedure and normalizing all spectra using the average flux in two regions free of strong features, from 1580 to 1830 Å, and from 1925 to 2060 Å. The co-added spectrum is then corrected by Galactic absorption assuming $E(B-V) = 0.145$ (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011)$^9$, and the Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction law. The stacked spectrum, shown in Fig. 11, covers a rest-frame wavelength range from 1100 to 2200 Å, and has a spectral resolution of 1.7 Å.

The stacked spectrum shows several spectral features at the expected wavelengths, providing support to the redshift estimates (10 out of 26 spectra have redshift flag, $zflg > 1$, and ten have $zflg = 2$, implying that about six redshift estimates might be wrong). In Appendix C, we show that the co-added spectra obtained with all 26 non AGN at $z = 2.15–2.20$, with the 16 galaxies with $zflg \geq 2$, and with the 12 galaxies at $z = 2.15–2.16$, yield consistent results.

#### 5.3.2. UV spectral features

In Figs. 11 and C.1 we highlight the main UV features typically observed in the spectra of SFGs: absorption lines produced in the ISM; in the photosphere of hot, young, O and B stars; or in stellar winds, and nebular emission lines produced in H II regions (see Kinney et al. 1993; Heckman et al. 1998; González Delgado et al. 1998; Shapley et al. 2003; Leitherer et al. 2011; Zetterlund et al. 2015; Vidal-García et al. 2017; Feltre et al. 2020). These features can potentially provide insights on the epoch and source of ICM enrichment in clusters and protoclusters (Shimakawa et al. 2015; Mantz et al. 2017), and on the star formation history of protocluster galaxies (e.g., distinguishing between continuous and bursty star formation activity; Shimakawa et al. 2014; Casey 2016; Narayanan et al. 2015).

---

$^9$ [https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/](https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/)

$^10$ Based on the zCosmos-Bright sample (Lilly et al. 2007, 2009), the estimated redshifts are expected to be correct in 50% of the cases for $zflg = 1$, in 85% for $zflg = 2$, and in 100% for $zflg = 3$, and 4.
In the following, we analyze the co-added spectrum to estimate the UV spectral slope, the average extinction, the intrinsic UV luminosity, and the average stellar metallicity. The UV-continuum slope $\beta$ is obtained by fitting the UV spectrum with a power-law (i.e., $F_\lambda \propto \lambda^\beta$). The slope $\beta$ is sensitive to the dust reddening, the stellar age, the metal content, and even the escape fraction (Leitherer et al. 1999; Wilkins et al. 2016). For a SFG with a continuous SF and age between 10 Myr and 100 Myr, and metallicity $Z^* = 0.004–0.02$, the intrinsic slope $\beta$, computed over the 1230–2130 Å wavelength range, ranges from $\approx -2.7$, to $\approx -2.4$ (see Fig. 71 in Leitherer et al. 1999). The $\beta$ value derived from our stack over the 1308–2000 Å range is $\approx -1.17 \pm 0.03$ (see the dashed line in Figs. 11 and C.1). Assuming that the difference between observations and model predictions is due to dust extinction and the Calzetti et al. (2000) dust prescription, the derived extinction at 1500 Å would be $A_{1500} = 1.84 \times \Delta \beta = 2.82$, or 2.27 (corresponding to $A_V = 1.1–0.9$) for intrinsic $\beta = -2.7$, or $\approx -2.4$, respectively. We note that a certain amount of dust extinction would be required even in case of a SFG with an instantaneous burst of SF. Indeed, such a model would produce an UV continuum with a slope $\beta$ that goes from $\approx -2.6$ for an age of 10 Myr, to $\approx -1.3$ for an age of 100 Myr, and metallicity $Z^* = 0.004–0.02$. Assuming an extinction $A_{1500} = 2.3–2.8$, the extinction-corrected UV (1500 Å) luminosity would be $L_{1500} = (1.08 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Based on the relation between the UV dust extinction and the metallicity given in Cullen et al. (2019, i.e., $A_{1500} = -2.24 \times \log(Z^*) - 2.16$), we estimate an average metallicity $Z^* = 0.006–0.011$. A more accurate estimate of the stellar metallicity is carried out in the next section.

5.3.3. Stellar metallicity

The stellar metallicity can be derived from the strength of photospheric absorption features, expressed in terms of indices. The relation between the various UV indices and the stellar metallicity
Table 4. UV spectral indices.

| Index | EW (Å) | Log(Z/⊙) | Z/⊙ | Ref. (1) |
|-------|--------|-----------|------|---------|
| F1425 | 0.86 ± 0.52 | −0.64±0.58 | 0.23±0.64 | (1) |
| F1501 | 0.53 ± 0.27 | −0.56±0.59 | 0.27±0.78 | (1) |
| V1501 | 0.53 ± 0.27 | −0.79±0.32 | 0.16±0.18 | (2) |
| V1719 | 2.11 ± 0.41 | −0.33±0.18 | 0.47±0.24 | (2) |
| F1978 | 2.69 ± 1.70 | −0.57±0.16 | 0.27±1.05 | (1) |

Error-weighted mean: −0.44±0.16, 0.36±0.11

Notes. (1) The relationship between the metallicity and a specific index was taken from either (1) Sommariva et al. (2012), or (2) Calabrò et al. (2021).

is derived assuming stellar models with varying star formation histories (continuous or instantaneous burst), age (typically from 5 to 150 Myr), IMF, and metallicity (typically Z = 0.05–2 Z⊙) (e.g., Starburst99 models and BC03; Leitherer et al. 1999, 2011; Bruzual & Charlot 2003). The dependence on stellar age can be usually neglected as these indices become constant after the first ∼30 Myr. Several UV indices have been investigated in the literature (Rix et al. 2004; Sommariva et al. 2012; Vidal-García et al. 2017; Calabrò et al. 2021). The 1425 Å, and 1719 Å indices are among the cleanest and least contaminated stellar metallicity tracers, and insensitive to interstellar absorption. They are thus useful to trace stellar population properties, especially at higher metallicities (Vidal-García et al. 2017). Another reliable metallicity estimator is the 1978 Å index. This is associated with a blend of numerous FeIII features between λ = 1935 Å, and 2020 Å, and it is broader and stronger than most UV indices, and sensitive to the iron abundance. The 1978 Å index is clearly visible in the spectra of starburst galaxies and strong in high metallicity environment (Heckman et al. 1998; Rix et al. 2004). The 1425 Å, 1719 Å, and 1978 Å indices are the least affected by stellar age, dust, IMF, nebular continuum or interstellar absorption, they are broad and can thus be detected even at low spectral resolution (i.e., R ~ 600; Lilly et al. 2007, 2009), but they are shallow and require high S/N spectra.

The most significant absorption features, well visible in the stacked UV spectrum, are the Si IV λ1397 and the C IV λ1549 features, respectively around 1400, and 1550 Å. They both exhibit a P Cygni profile typical of high density stellar winds from giant and supergiant stars (Shapley et al. 2003; Vidal-García et al. 2017; Feltre et al. 2020). Although their strength correlates with the metallicity of the parent stars, it is difficult to use these features as metallicity indicators as they are blended with strong interstellar absorption, and affected by other processes, including the relative fractions of O and B stars (Leitherer et al. 2011).

Sommariva et al. (2012) defined a new line index, 1501 Å, associated with the S5 λ1501 line, an absorption feature that originates in the photosphere of hot stars, and considered a robust metallicity indicator because almost independent of age and IMF. In addition, it is less affected by uncertainties in the continuum determination because it is defined in a small wavelength window. Based on these considerations and on the quality of our stacked spectrum, we use only the 1425 Å, 1501 Å, 1719 Å, and 1978 Å indices and the relationships between these indices and the metallicity Z/⊙ derived in Sommariva et al. (2012) and Calabrò et al. (2021). The indices are obtained by measuring the EW within well defined wavelength ranges (see Table 2 in Calabrò et al. 2021). Following Sommariva et al. (2012), Calabrò et al. (2021), we compute a pseudo-continuum by linearly fitting the error-weighted mean density fluxes computed in narrow (∆λ = 3 Å) windows (see Table 3 in Rix et al. 2004). Because of our low spectral resolution (R ~ 600) we extended the width of such windows by ±3 Å (∆λ = 9 Å). The derived continuum is shown as solid black line in Fig. 11, and as a green line in Fig. 12. The EW and associated uncertainty of each index correspond to the median value, and the standard deviation obtained from 1000 computations, generated by perturbing the spectrum. The perturbation constitutes in adding noise to each wavelength element extracted from a normal distribution with a standard deviation defined by the error on the spectrum at that wavelength element. For each perturbed spectrum, an EW is measured computing a new pseudo-continuum. In Fig. 12, we show the spectrum around each index and the computed pseudo-continuum used for the EW measurement of the unperturbed spectrum. The estimated metallicities are given in Table 4.

Despite the weakness of the selected features in deriving UV indices and the uncertainty in determining the continuum, all estimates are consistent within 1σ (see Fig. 13), and yield, on average, an error-weighted average metallicity \(Z/⊙ = 0.36±0.16\), corresponding to \(Z = 0.005±0.002\) (assuming \(Z⊙ = 0.0142\); Asplund et al. 2009).
153 galaxies with \( \log(\text{metallicity}) \) and Ly\( \alpha \) divided in bins of stellar mass, or Ly\( \alpha \) EW, and one for LBGs at \( z \approx 3 \). Half of these spectra have continuum slopes inconsistent with ours, among the remaining half only two composite spectra exhibit both continuum slope and spectral features consistent with our stacked spectrum. The two most similar composite spectra are obtained from the VANDELS-m3 LAE sample (i.e., 153 galaxies with \( \log(M(M_\odot)) = 9.20-9.50 \), and \( 2.30 \leq z \leq 5.0 \); Cullen et al. 2019), and the VANDELS-Q3 sample (LAEs with \( \log(M(M_\odot)) = 9.54 \pm 0.44 \), and \( 3.0 \leq z \leq 5.0 \); Cullen et al. 2020). The m3 and Q3 composite spectra are compared with our stack in Fig. 14. The two samples of LAEs with UV spectra similar to our stack, m3 and Q3, are best fitted with stellar models with metallicity \( Z_e = 0.0017 \pm 0.0001 \), and \( 0.0021 \pm 0.0002 \), respectively (assuming \( Z_e = 0.0142 \); Asplund et al. 2009). These are, respectively, about 0.5, and 0.4 dex lower than the average metallicity of our protocluster members. This discrepancy is consistent with the difference in stellar mass, and redshift between our sample and the m3 and Q3 samples. Indeed, the mean stellar mass of the 26 SFGs used in the stacked spectrum is \( \log(M(M_\odot)) = 10.0 \pm 0.44 \) (see Sect. 5.4), about 0.5 dex larger than the LAE median stellar mass. There is a well known relation between stellar mass and metallicity in SFGs that evolves with redshift (see e.g., Calabrò et al. 2021) that reconciles the value obtained for our protocluster members with those derived for these LAE samples.

On the other hand LAEs are, typically, more metal poor than SFGs at the same stellar mass and redshift (Finkelstein et al. 2011), suggesting that our members might be more metal poor than typical SFGs at the same redshift. Similar results have been obtained in other protoclusters at \( z \sim 2 \) (Chartab et al. 2021; Sattari et al. 2021), and interpreted as a sign of pristine cold gas accretion, but this is not observed in all protoclusters (see e.g., Shimakawa et al. 2015).
the Schechter mass function of SFGs at $2 < z < 2.5$ (i.e., $M^* = 4^{+1.6}_{-1.0} \times 10^{10} M_\odot$; Davidzon et al. 2017), most (81%) of the spectroscopic members are less massive, and only 13% (4 galaxies) are more massive than $M^*$, with stellar masses $\approx 1 - 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$. The four galaxies with $M > 10^{11} M_\odot$ include one AGN, and two SPIRE detected sources. The relatively low stellar masses for the other galaxies suggests that these have not yet reached their maximal mass, and might be at an early stage of evolution, as indicated by their UV spectral properties.

We examine whether there is a relation between the stellar mass and the location of a galaxy within the structure as previously found for the HAEs in the structure by Koyama et al. (2021). We find that the mean stellar mass in the core (i.e., $\langle M_\star \rangle = 10.7 \pm 0.4$) is 0.5 dex larger than the average of all ss1 members (i.e., $\langle M_\star/ M_\odot \rangle = 10.2 \pm 0.4$), but this difference is not highly significant considering the wide range of stellar masses. We plot the spatial distribution of the members of ss1 in Fig. 15. Two of the most massive galaxies (IDs: SL01, and SL03) are in the core, while the four least massive ones are in the most external regions. We note that the three galaxies in the core are also HAEs. The CIGALE mass estimates are consistent with those estimated in Koyama et al. (2021) for IDs SL01, and SL03, and 60% lower for ID 58057. Such a difference is probably due to the AGN component included in our fit, and not considered in Koyama et al. (2021). This analysis agrees with the results reported by Koyama et al. (2021), the most massive members are located in the structure core, although the enhancement of stellar mass in the core is less pronounced than found with the HAEs.

In terms of SFRs, they range from $5 \lesssim 250 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, with mean (SFR$^{\text{core}}$) = $33 \pm 25(M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) for all ss1 members, and (SFR$^{\text{core}}$) = $73 \pm 52 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ in the core. There is also a slightly higher average SFR in the core than in the whole ss1 structure. This is also illustrated in the middle panel of Fig. 15, where we show the spatial distribution of the sources in ss1 as a function of their SFR. The figure shows the core having more members with high SFRs than the outer regions, but as in the case of stellar mass the difference is not highly significant. The estimated total SFR for the six HAEs in our spectroscopic sample is $544 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ from the dust-corrected $H\alpha$ luminosity, and $440 \pm 43 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ from our SED fitting. The six objects in common include two AGN, but, interestingly, the SFRs estimated for the two AGN are consistent, while the $H\alpha$-derived SFRs are systematically larger than our estimates for the non-AGN HAEs that are not detected by SPIRE in the sub-mm. This comparison suggests that our CIGALE-based SFR estimates might be underestimated by 20% on average. The sources with the highest SFRs (i.e., SFR $> 100 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) are the four detected by SPIRE, IDs 55326, 60984, SL03, and 58057.

To establish whether the estimated SFRs are consistent with normal SFGs, or starbursting galaxies, we compute the offset from the main sequence (MS) relation at $z = 2.17$ (Speagle et al. 2014; Elbaz et al. 2011; Rodighiero et al. 2011). Such an offset is the ratio between the estimated SFR and the expected SFR based on the object stellar mass and assuming the MS relation at the source redshift. The SFR as a function of stellar mass for all 31 spectroscopic members is shown in Fig. 16. The dotted lines represent the scatter around the MS, which is about a factor of 3. We find that 94% of the spectroscopic members are on the MS, with only two sources below, in the region where quenching galaxies are expected. None of the selected members is in the starburst region. No clear correlation is observed between the MS-offset and a source location within the structure. One AGN, ID SL01, is below the MS and it is also the closest source to the quiescent wedge in the UVJ and NUV/K diagrams. This source might be going through a quenching phase. We note that...
in the Cosmos field (the Chandra X-ray medium. To identify AGN activity in the G237 spectroscopic members, we consider the spectra and the X-ray data available in the Cosmo field (the Chandra and XMM-Newton X-ray catalogues; Cappelluti et al. 2009; Civano et al. 2016). We could also consider the AGN fraction estimated from the CIGALE SED-fitting, but the scarcity of MIR detections, makes this parameter too unreliable to securely identify AGN. Nonetheless, CIGALE identifies AGN in only two sources, both already known AGN based on other diagnostic criteria. In ss1, three sources (IDs 55326, 58057, and SL01) exhibit broad emission lines (i.e., Hβ, Hα, C IV emission, implying that it is an obscured (type 2) AGN. We thus find, in total, four AGN out of 20 members (20 ± 10%), of which three (15 ± 9%) are X-ray sources. The total (0.5–10 keV) X-ray luminosity is 5 ± 2% in 55326, and 6 ± 4% in 58057.

since this source is very faint in the ACS/F814W-band image (see Sect. 5.6), it is not possible to investigate whether a morphological transformation is occurring, leaving a bulge-dominated galaxy.

5.5. AGN in the structure

Determining the density and power of AGN in high-redshift structures is of great interest as feedback from AGN is often invoked as one of the main mechanisms to halt star-formation in their host galaxies, and to ionize and enrich the intergalactic medium. To identify AGN activity in the G237 spectroscopic members, we consider the spectra and the X-ray data available in the Cosmo field (the Chandra and XMM-Newton X-ray

![Fig. 15. Spatial distribution of all spectroscopic sources with $z = 2.15-2.16$ with colors corresponding to the estimated stellar mass (top panel), and SFR (bottom panel). Dashed red and magenta rectangles delineate the structure components A, B described in Sect. 3.4, and shown in Fig. 6.]

Table 5. CIGALE best-fit parameters of the spectroscopic members in the G237 field.

| ID      | $M_\odot$ | SFR $(M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) | Log(SFR/SFR$_{MS}$) $(a)$ |
|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 55326   | 1.64 ± 0.46 | 119 ± 10                    | 0.46                        |
| 55687   | 17.01 ± 0.85 | 5.3 ± 1.8                   | -1.66                       |
| 56014   | 0.28 ± 0.06 | 14 ± 2                      | 0.14                        |
| 56915   | 1.26 ± 0.17 | 30 ± 4                      | -0.05                       |
| 57557   | 0.80 ± 0.14 | 43 ± 5                      | 0.26                        |
| 58020   | 2.12 ± 0.26 | 44 ± 4                      | -0.05                       |
| 58057   | 5.08 ± 1.00 | 143 ± 26                    | 0.17                        |
| 58173   | 0.61 ± 0.08 | 14 ± 2                      | -0.14                       |
| 58200   | 0.54 ± 0.18 | 9.4 ± 8.3                   | -0.27                       |
| 58206   | 1.79 ± 0.51 | 51 ± 15                     | 0.06                        |
| 58266   | 1.22 ± 0.13 | 10.7 ± 6.1                  | -0.48                       |
| 59504   | 0.36 ± 0.04 | 14.7 ± 1.3                  | 0.06                        |
| 60718   | 0.75 ± 0.11 | 10.4 ± 2.4                  | -0.33                       |
| 60811   | 2.03 ± 0.29 | 91 ± 14                     | 0.28                        |
| L710971 | 3.78 ± 1.10 | 52 ± 30                     | -0.18                       |
| SL01    | 12.60 ± 1.67 | 34 ± 23                     | -0.76                       |
| SL03    | 18.67 ± 1.09 | 158 ± 19                    | -0.22                       |
| 54698   | 0.49 ± 0.08 | 26 ± 3                      | 0.20                        |
| 57138   | 0.94 ± 0.15 | 76 ± 8                      | 0.45                        |
| 57730   | 0.73 ± 0.21 | 57 ± 12                     | 0.41                        |
| ss2: $z = 2.19-2.20$ |
| 54765   | 0.53 ± 0.08 | 9 ± 1                       | -0.31                       |
| 55951   | 1.30 ± 0.17 | 18 ± 3                      | -0.29                       |
| 56005   | 0.60 ± 0.10 | 29 ± 5                      | 0.18                        |
| full: $2.15 < z < 2.20$ |
| 96 ± 3  | 1485 ± 71   | 0.22                        |

Notes. $(a)$Logarithm of the ratio between the estimated SFR and the expected SFR assuming the main sequence relation (Speagle et al. 2014) at the source redshift and stellar mass corrected for a Chabrier (2003) IMF. $(b)$The AGN fraction, or contribution to the bolometric luminosity is $5 ± 2%$ in 55326, and $6 ± 4%$ in 58057.
SSA22 at z = 3.09; Digby-North et al. 2010; Macuga et al. 2019; Lehmer et al. 2009). When considering the 11 sources at 2.164 < z < 2.20, no X-ray detected source nor broad line AGN is found. Thus, including these additional sources, the AGN fraction in the entire structure would be 13 ± 6%, still consistent with an excess of AGN activity with respect to the field. Two of the four SPIRE-detected members, IDs, 58057 and 55326, are X-ray luminous sources (L_X > 7 × 10^{43} erg s^{-1}), corresponding to 50 ± 35 %. This fraction is much higher than the fraction of X-ray detected SMGs in the field (i.e., 11–29%) SMGs are X-ray detected in the field with any X-ray luminosity; Johnson et al. 2013; Georgantopoulos et al. 2011; Laird et al. 2010). The simultaneous sub-mm and X-ray emission in these objects, although based on a few sources, is intriguing and will be discussed later.

In the 10′ × 12′ region centered on G237, there are 74 X-ray sources, or 0.62 ± 0.07 arcmin^{-2}, 45 have a spectroscopic redshifts and three (4%) of them are structure members. For the remaining 29 X-ray sources, a photometric redshift is available for 24 sources and none of them falls in the structure redshift range 2.15–2.20. We can thus say that there are at least three X-ray detected members in the Cosmos released X-ray catalogs (Cappelluti et al. 2009; Civano et al. 2016), and eight at the most, if we include the five sources for which no redshift estimate is available.

The three confirmed members have X-ray (0.5–10 keV) luminosities greater than 4.4 × 10^{43} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}, and they all belong to ss1. Assuming a volume density of (0.2–1) × 10^{-4} Mpc^{-3} for X-ray sources with similarly high luminosities at z ~ 2–3 (Silverman et al. 2008; Fotopoulou et al. 2016; Ranalli et al. 2016), the expected number of X-ray sources in the volume of ss1 (4376 Mpc^3) is ≤0.44 sources. We thus find a number of luminous X-ray sources in the structure that is ~7 times larger than expected, which corresponds to an X-ray source overdensity of δ_X-ray = (3.04/0.44)/0.44 = 5.8.

In a 2.2′ × 2.2′ region centered on the protocluster core there are six X-ray sources. In Fig. 17, we show the Chandra 0.5–7 keV image of such a region and superimpose all the detected X-ray sources from the Cosmos released Chandra and XMM-Newton X-ray catalogs (Cappelluti et al. 2009; Civano et al. 2016), and the structure spectroscopic members. Out of the six X-ray sources, only one (14%), ID 58057, belongs to the structure, the others five are at z<2 (based on two spectroscopic, and three photometric redshifts). The Chandra image shown in Fig. 17 shows, however, the presence of other X-ray sources that are not present in the public Chandra catalog, including some spectroscopic members, ID SL01, and possibly IDs SL03, and 57695. No other spectroscopic sources with visible X-ray emission in the Chandra image, and missing in the released X-ray catalog, have been found in the rest of the field. SL01, and SL03 belong to ss1, and 57695 to ss2. ID SL01 was already taken into account in the AGN fraction estimate as it is spectroscopically classified as an AGN, if we also include ID SL03, the AGN fraction in ss1 increases to 25 ± 11 %. There is thus strong evidence of an excess of X-ray sources in the protocluster core. Including the two spectroscopically classified AGN also located in the core, we can state that this region shows an enhanced fraction of AGN. The mechanisms that favor the presence of AGN might be also responsible for the enhanced level of SF activity.

5.6. Members morphology

A morphological analysis of the galaxy members within assembling structures can reveal whether, when and where galaxies experience morphological transformation and which processes are the main drivers (e.g., mergers, close encounters, gas stripping, etc.). A morphological classification in early-type (ET),
Table 6. PHzG237.01+42.50 spectroscopic members.

| ID            | \(\alpha_{2000}\) (deg) | \(\delta_{2000}\) (deg) | Number | \(z_{\text{spec}}\) | \(z_{\text{flg}}\) (a) | Type (b) | \(\text{mag}(K_s)\) (AB) | \(z_{\text{phot}}\) | Comp./Note (c) |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------|----------------------|------------------------|----------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 55326         | 150.39265                | 2.270105                 | 639096 | 2.1576               | 14 ET                   | 22.189 ± 0.022 | 1.8205               | CXO-ID: 769     |
| 55687         | 150.40045                | 2.370529                 | 707504 | 2.1529               | 1 Disk                  | 20.455 ± 0.006 | 1.4264              |
| 56014         | 150.40732                | 2.362427                 | 701268 | 2.1580               | 1 Irr                   | 23.221 ± 0.049 | 2.3446              |
| 56915         | 150.43237                | 2.248860                 | 624965 | 2.1576               | 2 Disk                  | 22.483 ± 0.020 | 2.1418              |
| 57557         | 150.45144                | 2.370448                 | 706805 | 2.1520               | 1 Disk                  | 22.779 ± 0.023 | 1.6211              |
| 58020         | 150.46384                | 2.257829                 | 631032 | 2.1519               | 3 Irr (d)               | 21.902 ± 0.011 | 2.1821              | B/HAЕ            |
| 58057         | 150.46451                | 2.327942                 | 677305 | 2.1517               | 13 Disk                 | 21.612 ± 0.008 | 2.0626              | A/CXO-ID: 1265, HAE |
| 58173         | 150.46791                | 2.281895                 | 646606 | 2.1520               | 2 Irr                   | 22.984 ± 0.029 | 1.654 B/non HAE      |
| 58200         | 150.46892                | 2.394305                 | 721845 | 2.1520               | 2 ...                   | 23.410 ± 0.042 | 1.7865              |
| 58206         | 150.46911                | 2.292743                 | 653838 | 2.1527               | 3 Disk (d,e)            | 22.675 ± 0.015 | 1.99                | B/HAЕ            |
| 58266         | 150.47072                | 2.247844                 | 625320 | 2.1502               | 2 Irr (d)               | 22.489 ± 0.037 | 2.1169 B/HAЕ        |
| 59504         | 150.50562                | 2.393203                 | 721608 | 2.1500               | 2 Disk                  | 22.715 ± 0.027 | 2.2462              |
| 60718         | 150.53749                | 2.365551                 | 703833 | 2.1510               | 2 Disk (e)              | 22.638 ± 0.030 | 1.5001              |
| 60811         | 150.54004                | 2.322157                 | 673721 | 2.1547               | 1 Disk (d)              | 21.729 ± 0.012 | 1.4376              |
| L710971       | 150.51958                | 2.377024                 | 710971 | 2.1600               | 1 ...                   | 22.645 ± 0.025 | 2.6792 CXO-ID: 1746  |
| SL01          | 150.46843                | 2.331292                 | 679779 | 2.1586               | 14 ...                  | 21.379 ± 0.008 | 2.1975 A/HAЕ        |
| SL03          | 150.46652                | 2.315957                 | 699076 | 2.1592               | 3 Disk                  | 20.564 ± 0.005 | 2.2488 A/HAЕ        |
| 57138         | 150.43973                | 2.329109                 | 618296 | 2.1615               | 4 Disk                  | 22.198 ± 0.013 | 2.1485              |
| 57730         | 150.45557                | 2.319829                 | 672385 | 2.1637               | 1 Disk                  | 22.506 ± 0.020 | 1.4109 A/non HAE     |
| 54698         | 150.38090                | 2.369391                 | 706004 | 2.1637               | 2 Irr (d)               | 22.667 ± 0.053 | 0.8765              |

Notes. (a) \(z_{\text{flg}}\) is a flag that indicates the reliability of the redshift estimate. Based on the \(z\)-Cosmos-Deep sample (Lilly et al. 2007), the estimated redshifts are expected to be correct in 50% of the cases for \(z_{\text{flg}} = 1\), in 85% for \(z_{\text{flg}} = 2\), and in 100% for \(z_{\text{flg}} = 3\), or 4. In case of AGN spectral features in the spectrum, \(z_{\text{flg}}\) is incremented by 10. (b) Morphological type is based on the ACS \(i\)-band image (Disk, ET: early-type, Irr: irregular) from the Cosmos morphological catalogs. A morphological type is not available when a source is too faint. (c) The letter A, B, or C indicates the component described in Sect. 3.4. Chandra IDs are listed in case of X-ray detection. Sources within the Subaru/MOIRCS FOV are listed as HAE if selected as such, or as non-HAE otherwise (see Sect. 3.3, and Koyama et al. 2021). (d) Presence of a nearby galaxy at \(<1.8^\prime\), \(<15\text{kpc}\) in the ACS \(i\)-band image. (e) Morphological classification derived from visual inspection of the ACS \(i\)-band images because not available in the Cosmos morphological catalogs.

Disk, and irregular galaxy is available from the Cosmos morphological catalogs for 26 members. This morphological classification is based on the HST/ACS F814W-band images (corresponding to \(<2500\text{Å}\) in the rest-frame), and on the asymmetry, concentration, clumpiness parameters, and the Gini index as described in Cassata et al. (2007), Tasca et al. (2009). For five sources a morphological classification is not available. Two of them exhibit an elongated structure in the HST/ACS F814W-band image, and can thus be classified as disks. The remaining three sources are extremely faint and extended to be morphologically classified through a visual inspection. The morphological classification of all 31 members is listed in Table 6.

Postage stamps (6′ × 6′) in the HST/ACS F814W-band and in the UltraVISTA/YJH bands of all member candidates are shown in Figs. D.1–D.3.

It is also interesting to investigate the presence of a nearby galaxy that might be indicative of an interactive system, like a merger. We searched for potential companion galaxies using the L16 catalog and by visually inspecting the postage stamps. We consider a pair of galaxies to be likely interacting if the projected distance is \(<15\text{pkpc}\) (equivalent to \(<1.8^\prime\) at the structure redshift). We note that larger physical separations are observed in interacting pairs, but would be more consistent with a pre-merger phase (see e.g., Ventou et al. 2017). We find in total six sources with a potential companion, three from the L16 catalog (IDs 58206, 59906, and 54698), and three additional ones by the images visual inspection (IDs 58020, 58266, and 60811; see

11 https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/COSMOS/overview.html
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Figs. D.1–D.3, and Table 6). The photometric redshifts, available only for three companions, are too uncertain to confirm or rule out any interaction within each pair.

All members are either disks or irregular galaxies, as expected for a SFG. There is only one exception, ID 55326, classified as ET, but since it hosts an AGN, it is possible that the light profile is dominated by the AGN point-like emission. We do not see any difference in the morphological classification in the different substructures (e.g., in the core, or in the outer regions). About 40% of members are classified as irregular galaxies, and 19% have a close companion, suggesting that several members might be or have been experiencing an interaction. Three out of the four sources in the elongated structure (B component in Sect. 3.4) have a companion. Although the pair fraction in this component is much higher than in the rest of the structure, it would be difficult to draw any conclusion being based on a handful of sources and lacking spectroscopic confirmation for all pairs.

6. Discussion

6.1. Is G237 a protocluster?

A high-z overdensity is considered a protocluster if it will collapse and relax by \( z = 0 \), and its predicted halo mass at \( z = 0 \), \( M_h(z = 0) \), is \( > 10^{14} M_\odot \) (Chiang et al. 2013). In addition to being used to confirm the protocluster nature of a high-z overdensity, \( M_h(z = 0) \) is also useful to establish a connection between a protocluster and the analogous of its descendant, to explore the diversity across protoclusters, and the effect of the halo mass on the protocluster assembly and on the evolution of its members.

There are various methods in the literature to estimate the \( z = 0 \) halo mass (see e.g., Steidel et al. 1998; Cucciati et al. 2014; Long et al. 2020). Here, we adopt the widely used analytical method first applied by Steidel et al. (1998). This method provides an estimate of the total mass of the descendant cluster at \( z = 0 \) from the (comoving) volume \( V \) occupied by the overdensity, the mean density of the Universe \( \bar{\rho} \) at the protocluster redshift, and the mass overdensity of the protocluster \( \delta_m \), through the following equation:

\[
M_h = \bar{\rho} V (1 + \delta_m) \tag{2}
\]

where \( V \) is the true volume, \( V \equiv V_{\text{apparent}} / C \), \( \delta_m \) is the mass overdensity that is related to the galaxy overdensity \( \delta_{\text{gal}} \) through \( 1 + \delta_m = C(1 + \delta_{\text{gal}}) \), where \( b \) is the linear galaxy bias parameter, and \( \bar{\rho} = 3.97 \times 10^{10} M_\odot \text{Mpc}^{-3} \) for the cosmological parameters used in this work. The galaxy overdensities, \( \delta_{\text{gal}} \), derived as described in Sect. 3.2 for the spectroscopic members in the full structure, and in the two substructures, and the corresponding comoving volumes are reported in Table 7. The parameter \( C \) takes into account the redshift distortions caused by peculiar velocities. Assuming that the structure is just breaking away from the Hubble flow, and following Steidel et al. (1998), \( C \) can be approximated by \( C = 1 + f / (1 + \delta_m)^{2/3} \), where \( f \) is the rate of growth of perturbations at the redshift of the protocluster (Lahav et al. 1991). In the cosmology adopted in this paper, \( f = 0.96 \) at the mean redshift of the overdensity, \( z = 2.168 \) (Lahav et al. 1991). We note that this method assumes that galaxies selected in a certain way predominantly occupy halos of the same mass, and this is expressed by the bias parameter. Here, we chose the bias parameter measured for galaxies at \( z = 2 \) with stellar masses \( M > 10^9 M_\odot \) (i.e., \( b = 1.74 \); Chiang et al. 2013). The \( C \) and \( \delta_m \) values derived assuming these parameters for the different substructures, and the derived halo masses, \( M_h(z = 0) \), are also listed in Table 7. The uncertainty on \( M_h(z = 0) \) is derived from the uncertainty on \( \delta_{\text{gal}} \). This is derived assuming the galaxy field density \( \pm 1 \sigma \), and by taking into account the redshift flag assigned to the spectroscopic redshifts in counting the galaxy members.

The same estimate can be derived considering the overdensity of sub-mm galaxies (\( \delta_{\text{SMG}} = 1.3 \) in ss1 and their bias parameter (i.e., \( b = 1.3 \); Miller et al. 2015). In this case, we obtain \( C = 0.81 \), and \( \delta_m = 0.67 \), and \( M_h(z = 0) = 3.6^{+2.6}_{-1.6} \times 10^{14} M_\odot \). This is consistent with the value derived from the spectroscopic members overdensity.

To establish whether these structure will collapse and virialize by \( z = 0 \), we investigate the evolution of the structures overdensity in the linear regime of a spherical collapse model and compare the estimated linear matter enhancement at \( z = 0 \), \( \delta_{\text{L}}(z = 0) \) with the critical collapse threshold of \( \delta_c = 1.686 \) (Percival 2005). The \( \delta_{\text{L}}(z = 0) \) values are derived from the \( \delta_m \) estimates using Eq. (18) in Mo & White (1996). The \( \delta_{\text{L}}(z = 0) \) values are obtained by multiplying \( \delta_{\text{L}}(z = 0) \) by the linear growth factor\(^{13}\) scaled by the cosmic scale factor (see Eq. (7) in

\(^{12}\) The number of spectroscopic members is weighted by the redshift flag as it follows, \( n \) galaxies with \( z_{\text{flag}} = 1 \) count as \( 0.5 \times n \) galaxies with \( z_{\text{flag}} = 2 \) as \( 0.85 \times n \), and those with \( z_{\text{flag}} = 3 \) remain unchanged.

\(^{13}\) The linear growth factor for the chosen cosmology was obtained from the ICRAR’s Cosmology Calculator (https://cosmocalc.icrar.org/).
Table 8. G237 structures SFR.

| Members | N  | SFR $\left(M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}\right)$ | SFRD $\left(M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{cMpc}^{-3}\right)$ |
|---------|----|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Full: 2.15 < $z_{\text{spec}}$ < 2.20 | 31 | 1485 ± 71 | 0.080 ± 0.004 |
| ss1: 2.15 < $z_{\text{spec}}$ < 2.164 | 20 | 1002 ± 58 | 0.229 ± 0.013 |
| ss2: 2.19 < $z_{\text{spec}}$ < 2.20 | 8 | 429 ± 41 | 0.115 ± 0.011 |
| HAE: (a) $w_0$ ($z_{\text{spec}}$ members) | 32 | 715 | 0.163 |
| Full+HAE | 63 | 2200 ± 71 | 0.119 ± 0.004 |
| ss1+HAE | 52 | 1717 ± 58 | 0.392 ± 0.013 |
| SPIRE: 1.8 < $z_{\text{spec}}$ < 2.5 | 11 | 2408 ± 154 | 0.130 ± 0.007 |
| Full+HAE+SPIRE (b) | 70 | 3995 ± 152 | 0.216 ± 0.008 |
| Core (c) | 6 | 436 ± 41 | 9.6 ± 0.9 |

Notes. (a) The HAE sample contains 38 sources, of which six are also present in ss1 and in the full spectroscopic samples, their SFRs are thus not considered in this subsample. We note that the HAE SFRs are derived from the extinction-corrected Hα luminosity (Koyama et al. 2021). (b) Four SPIRE sources are already included in the full spectroscopic sample and are thus not considered in the SPIRE subsample for the total SFR estimate. (c) We consider the core the A component with four spectroscopic members, of which three are HAEs, and two additional HAEs. The volume of the core is 45 cMpc$^3$, corresponding to $0.8 \times 1.17 = 1.45 \times 1.89 \text{cMpc}^2$, and a transverse distance of 16.4 cMpc, corresponding to $\Delta z = 2.1637–2.1517$.

6.2. G237 contribution to the cosmic SFR density

The total SFRs of the structures in G237, obtained by adding the SFR of all spectroscopic members, are $1485 \pm 71 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ if we consider the full sample, $1002 \pm 58 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ for ss1, and $429 \pm 41 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ for ss2. If we consider the HAEs presented in Koyama et al. (2021) and their SFRs derived from the dust-corrected Hα luminosity, we have an additional SFR of $715 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. This estimate does not include the SFR from the six sources in common between the two subsamples. Including the SFR from the HAEs, the total SFRs become $2200 \pm 71 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$, and $1717 \pm 58 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$, for the full structure, and for ss1, respectively. The estimated SFRs include the four spectroscopically confirmed members detected in the sub-mm by SPIRE. These are relatively faint sub-mm sources, with S$_{350 \mu m} \approx 18$ mJy, and SFR $\approx 110–220 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. Since not all SFG members in the structure have been identified, even after considering the HAEs, the estimated total SFRs are only a lower limit. We note that the HAE selection is limited to $z < 2.17$, and to $x \times 4'$. In addition, some heavily extincted SFGs might not be detectable as HAEs, and a large fraction of the field, where the density of SPIRE source peaks, is affected by a bright star at optical and NIR wavelengths. We estimate how much SFR we might be missing because of dust extinction and of the masked area, by considering the 11 SPIRE member candidates, minus the 4 already included in the spectroscopic sample (see Sect. 4.3). The total SFR, including these additional seven (11 candidates, minus four confirmed), increases to $\sim 4000 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. We also derive the SFR in the protocluster core by adding the SFRs of the four spectroscopic members, and two additional HAEs located in the A component. All the derived SFRs, and inferred SFR densities (SFRDs) are listed in Table 8.

Compared to the average cosmic SFR density (SFRD) at $z \sim 2.16$ ($\text{SFRD}(z = 2.168) = 0.128 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$; Madau & Dickinson 2014), the SFRD of ss1 and of the core are, respectively, $3.1 \pm 0.1$ and $75 \pm 7$ times higher, while the full structure SFRD is consistent with $\sim 1.6$ times higher if the SPIRE member candidates are included. Since the full structure includes a much bigger volume than the two substructures, it is not surprising that its SFRD is closer to the average cosmic value.

It is interesting to note that the enhanced SFRD in the core (with respect to the rest of the structure) is mainly due to the large galaxy density (0.13 spectroscopic members and HAEs cMpc$^{-3}$ in the core versus 0.01 cMpc$^{-3}$ in ss1), and, only in part due to a larger average SFR per galaxy in the core than in the full structure, (SFR) $\approx 73 \pm 52 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ in the core versus $35 \pm 27 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ in the full sample. The difference in average SFR is, however, less significant than the number density, and not highly significant as the SFRs span a wide range, and suffer from large uncertainties.

Since ss1, and its core are the most significant structures in the G237 field, we compare their SFRD estimates with those of other protoclusters from the literature at similar redshifts (Clements et al. 2014; Dannerbauer et al. 2014; Kato et al. 2016), and with theoretical models (Chiang et al. 2017). Chiang et al. (2017) predict that the contribution from protoclusters, and protocluster cores to the cosmic SFRD at $z \sim 2.2$ is 12–17%, and 1.2–2.9%, respectively. These estimates are obtained using two semi-analytical simulations (SAM; Henriques et al. 2015; Guo et al. 2013), and are based on all galaxies with $M > 10^{8.5} M_\odot$. Based on these simulations, the predicted SFRDs for an average protocluster, and its core at $z \approx 2$, are $\sim 0.6 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$, and $61–91 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$, respectively (Chiang et al. 2017). These estimates assume a spherical volume with average radius of 8 cMpc, and 0.8 cMpc, for the protocluster and its core, corresponding to $\sim 8'$, and $0.8'$, respectively at our redshift. Our estimate of SFRD in the core is almost one order of magnitude smaller than the average value predicted by the models, but the volume we consider is $\sim 20$ times bigger. The SFRD relative to ss1 (i.e., $0.39 \pm 0.01 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$) is also lower than predicted, but only by a factor of 1.6, and the considered volume is twice than in the model. The smaller SFRDs with respect to the model predictions can be in part explained by having considered bigger volumes, and possibly by not having included all star-forming members. Overall, we can conclude that the SFRD estimates derived for the ss1, and for the core can be reconciled with the predictions from theoretical models (Chiang et al. 2017).

Compared to the SFRD estimates of other protoclusters at similar redshift from the literature (i.e., 500–5000 $M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$; Clements et al. 2014; Dannerbauer et al. 2014; Kato et al. 2016), the SFRDs in ss1 or in the core are much lower. There are several differences between these works and ours that should be taken into account before making any comparison. In these works, the considered volume is often assumed to be a sphere of diameter equivalent to the members projected distance, and physical dimensions are considered rather than comoving, which yield smaller volumes, and thus larger SFRDs by a factor of $\geq 10–15$ at $z \approx 2–2.5$ (see e.g., Dannerbauer et al. 2017). In some cases, the integrated SFR is obtained considering all sub-mm detected galaxies, even when membership is...
not spectroscopically confirmed (see e.g., Clements et al. 2014; Kato et al. 2016). Finally, their SFR estimates are systematically higher than ours by a factor of 1.7–1.8 because they assume a Salpeter (1955) IMF and the L(IR)–SFR relation reported in Bell (2003). Because of all these differences we will not carry out a comparison with the SFRDs reported in the literature for other protoclusters and warn the reader against using those estimates to identify extreme sources and rule out models.

6.3. Quenching signs and the role of the AGN

Protocluster first descendants, clusters at \( z \approx 1.5–2 \), are already dominated by a population of massive quiescent galaxies in excess compared to the field (Andreon et al. 2014, 2016; Strazzullo et al. 2019). We can thus expect these galaxies to start quenching during the protocluster phase. Our protocluster, G237 at \( z = 2.16 \), can give us a first glance at this elusive quenching population, and at the processes responsible for halting their star formation. Theoretical studies often invoke AGN feedback as one of the main quenching mechanisms, especially in the most massive galaxies (e.g., Rosito et al. 2021). AGN feedback can occur mechanically, via a radio jet (radio mode), or radiatively (quasar mode), through an AGN-driven wind. The radio mode corresponds to AGN accreting well below the Eddington rate (Eddington ratio \( \lambda_{\text{edd}} < 0.01 \)) and with a radio jet. The quasar mode instead corresponds to high luminosity AGN that accrete at near their Eddington ratio and are capable of driving strong winds (Harrison 2017).

Out of the four members hosting AGN activity, three have relatively modest stellar masses, \((2–5) \times 10^{10} M_\odot\), and large SFRs, \(~50–140 M_\odot\,\text{yr}^{-1}\). The fourth AGN, ID SL01, is instead just below the MS and, with \( M \approx 1.3 \times 10^{11} M_\odot\) and SFR \(~34 M_\odot\,\text{yr}^{-1}\), turns out to be more massive and less active than the other AGN members. This source is in the structure core and might be at the end of its growing phase and starting to quench. The rest-frame optical spectrum of this source shows a slightly broad H\(\alpha\) line, suggesting that the AGN is unobscured. Its X-ray emission is weak, visible in the Chandra image, but not detected with a high enough signal-to-noise to be included in the Cosmos Chandra released catalog. These properties are consistent with the AGN evolutionary scenario where, following AGN feedback, the line of sight is cleared out from the obscuring dust, and, both the SF activity and AGN luminosity, decrease due to lack of fuel (Sanders et al. 1988). ID SL01 is not detected in the deep radio images available in the field, we can thus rule out the presence of a powerful radio jet. To investigate instead whether this source is radiatively powerful enough to launch a wind that can halt the current star formation, we estimate its Eddington ratio. This value is derived from the ratio of the AGN bolometric luminosity and the Eddington luminosity that depends on the black hole (BH) mass. Based on the optical luminosity at 5100 Å in the rest-frame (i.e., \(\log(L_\lambda(5100\,\AA)/\text{erg}\,s^{-1}) \approx 44.6\)) and on the width of the H\(\alpha\) line (i.e., \(FWHM(H_\alpha)/v_{\text{rot}} \approx 4004\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}\)), we estimate a BH mass in SL01 of \(~2.0 \times 10^8 M_\odot\).\(^{14}\)

The estimated bolometric luminosity, derived from the CIGALE best fit model, and assuming that is solely due to the AGN, is \(~2.9 \times 10^{48}\) erg\,s\(^{-1}\). Since the AGN does not dominate the bolometric emission in SL01, as its SED clearly shows (see Fig. E.1), the SED-derived bolometric luminosity should be considered as an upper limit to the AGN bolometric luminosity. The derived upper limit to its Eddington ratio, \(\lambda_{\text{edd}} = L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{edd}} < 0.11\), is high enough to cause some feedback onto the host galaxy through the AGN radiation.

We can investigate whether the other AGN members in the structure could cause AGN feedback. None of them is detected in the radio, thus we can rule out the radio mode feedback. To estimate their Eddington ratio, we use the bolometric correction as this quantity is correlated with the Eddington ratio (Lusso et al. 2012). The bolometric correction \(k_{\text{bol}}\), can be estimated from the ratio between the AGN bolometric luminosity and the X-ray luminosity, using \(k_{\text{bol}} = L_{\text{bol}}/L_X\). We derive \(L_X\) from the best fit SED, it is thus an upper limit to the AGN bolometric luminosity. \(L_X\) is derived from the 0.5–10 keV measured flux, and it is a lower limit since it is not corrected for absorption. The estimated upper limits to the \(k_{\text{bol}}\) values are thus \(<57, 95, \) and \(26\), for IDs 55326, 58057, and L710971, respectively. Assuming the relation between \(\lambda_{\text{edd}}\), and \(k_{\text{bol}}\) for unobscured AGN reported by Lusso et al. (2012), the ensuing \(\lambda_{\text{edd}}\) would be \(<0.3, 0.6, \) and \(1.1\), for IDs 55326, 58057, and L710971, respectively. The estimated Eddington ratios imply that these AGN can produce a significant radiative feedback.

The high AGN fraction in G237 suggests a connection between such an activity and the protocluster environment. Overall, all AGN members are powerful enough to exert some radiative feedback, and this might be quenching the star formation activity in ID SL01, a massive galaxy hosting an AGN with a lower SFR than expected for a MS galaxy with the same mass (see Fig. 16).

6.4. Structure properties that make a Planck high-\(z\) source

The overall SFR of G237 is not extreme, its members are ordinary SFGs, and the protocluster overall properties are similar to those observed in other protoclusters. It is thus legitimate to ask why G237 is significantly detected by Planck, while most protoclusters from the literature are not. To investigate whether there is a specific property that explains its Planck significant detection, we compare G237 with other protoclusters situated in the Cosmos field at \(~2–2.5\). There are other protoclusters found at much higher redshifts (e.g., Capak et al. 2011; Pavesi et al. 2018; Lemaux et al. 2018; Mitsuhashi et al. 2021), but we do not analyze them as the Planck high-\(z\) source selection is not tailored to find protoclusters at \(z \geq 4\).

To our knowledge there are no other known structures in the Cosmos field at exactly the same redshift as G237. G237 is located to the east of the central 1 deg\(^2\) of the Cosmos field, where many deep and extensive sub-mm and spectroscopic surveys have been carried out. A bright star, situated in the field and covering a circular region of 2.4–3.6' in diameter (depending on the band), prevents the detection of sources in the optical and NIR that are thus missing in the Cosmos L16 multiband catalog. These considerations might in part explain why this structure was not previously discovered through spectroscopic or high precision photometric redshifts. It is also possible that the nature of G237 and of the PHz sources, in general, is different from those discovered through other techniques.

There are two known structures in Cosmos that are also bright at sub-mm wavelengths, PCL1002 at \( z = 2.47\) (with 41 spectroscopically confirmed members, including seven SMGs, one QSO and 33 LBGs, over 20' \times 20'; Casey et al. 2015) and CL J1001 at \( z = 2.51\) (with 24 spectroscopically confirmed members, including 1 SMG, and six HAeS, over \(~3' \times 3';\) Wang et al. 2016, 2018). These structures are considered part of a proto-supercluster at \(z = 2.45\)

\(^{14}\) \(M_{\text{bol}}/M_\odot = 4.817 \times (L_\lambda(5100\,\AA)/10^{44}\,\text{erg}\,s^{-1})^{0.17} \times FWHM(H_\alpha)^2\), where \(FWHM(H_\alpha)\) is in \(\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}\) (Woo & Urry 2002).
Fig. 18. Layout of the structures found in a 50′ × 50′ region in the Cosmos field overlaid on a density map of red SPIRE sources. Planck red-excess contours (at 30, 40, 50, 68, 95 and 99.7% of the highest value) are shown as purple lines. Different symbols are members of the following structures: COS1000+0215 protocluster at z = 2.45 (blue stars; Diener et al. 2015; Chiang et al. 2015), CLJ1001 at z = 2.51 (magenta upside-down triangles; Wang et al. 2016, 2018), PCL 1002 at z = 2.47 (green triangles; Casey et al. 2015), CC2.2 at z = 2.23 (turquoise blue diamonds; Darvish et al. 2020), and ZFIRE at z = 2.09 (light blue circles; Yuan et al. 2014; Hung et al. 2016). The spectroscopic members in G237 are shown as open circles (red: 2.15 ≤ z < 2.164, yellow: 2.164 < z < 2.19, and orange: 2.19 < z < 2.20), and the HAEs (Koyama et al. 2021) as red diamonds. The dashed white circle represents the 1.4′ radius circle masked in the L16 Cosmos catalog due to a bright star. Large black dashed circles (2.5′ radius) indicate locations, close to the selected protoclusters, where a signal ≳ 2σ is detected in the Planck RX map, but not significant enough to be selected as a PHz source.

(Cucciati et al. 2018) that includes 96 spectroscopically confirmed members, initially discovered as an overdensity of LAEs over a region of 1 × 1 arcmin² (COS1000+0215; Diener et al. 2015; Chiang et al. 2015; Civano et al. 2012; Kriek et al. 2015; Trump et al. 2009; Casey et al. 2015). There are two other significant structures in the Cosmos field at z = 2, CC2.2 at z = 2.23, discovered as overdensity of HAEs (Darvish et al. 2020), and ZFIRE at z = 2.085, discovered in the Z-FOURGE photometric redshift survey (Spitler et al. 2012; Yuan et al. 2014; Hung et al. 2016). The main properties of these protoclusters are listed in Table 9, and the locations of their confirmed members are shown in Fig. 18.

All these structures have estimated total SFRs larger than what we measure in G237, and are massive structures (M* (z ≥ 0) ∼ 3–80 × 10¹⁴ M☉). However, none of them is detected as a Planck high-z source. In Fig. 18, we show the density map of red SPIRE sources in a 50′ × 50′ region where all above structures are located, and the contours of the Planck RX map at 550 µm. The figure shows that the Planck RX map detects the overdensities of red SPIRE sources. The red SPIRE sources overdensity in G237 is slightly off the Planck RX peak. We note that the bright star in the G237 field is close to the position of the SPIRE overdensity, thus no galaxy can be investigated in that region in the optical/NIR. There are three Planck sources detected at ~2–3σ level in the Planck maps at the position of CLJ1001, where some members of the PCL 1002 structure are also present, and close to COS1000+0215, and ZFIRE (see black dashed circles in Fig. 18). The association with these structures is probably due to the presence of bright SPIRE sources that belong to these two structures. The sub-mm signal in the vicinity of COS1000+0215 might indicate that the structure is more extended and include DSFGs that are not detectable as LAE. Emission line galaxies (e.g., LAEs, and HAEs) are rarely detected at sub-mm wavelengths, and there is some evidence that they might occupy different regions than DSFGs in protoclusters (see e.g., Umehata et al. 2015, 2017; Lee et al. 2017). Interestingly, there are nine DSFGs...
in the ZFIRE protocluster, but this structure is not detected by Planck. A possible explanation is that the DSFG members are not sufficiently clustered. Indeed they have been found by a dedicated search of DSFGs with spectroscopic redshift in the region around ZFIRE (Hung et al. 2016). On the contrary, in G237 two out of four DSFGs are in the core, and are also detected as HAEs.

This analysis indicates that the total SFR, the halo mass, and the extension do not determine whether a protocluster will be detected by Planck and selected as a PHz. The main key factor is possibly the presence of a large concentration of DSFGs at $z \sim 2$, but this can be also due to projection effects or to amplification of line-of-sight structures. We would need to analyze more PHz sources to confirm such a claim.

This comparison also highlights the importance of understanding the connection between protoclusters identified through different selection techniques, and the different types of member galaxies (massive galaxies, LAEs, HAEs, DSFGs, and AGN) and how these vary as a function of halo mass, and redshift. We can expect that galaxies of a certain type are mostly associated with a specific evolutionary stage, for example sub-mm galaxies tend to be more massive, and thus in a more advanced phase than LAEs. Protoclusters found through different galaxy types might then be at different maturity levels. These questions can only be addressed by studying a large number of protoclusters covering a significant range of redshifts, masses, and selections, but this would be a highly demanding endeavor due to the need of multiwavelength deep data over wide areas.

The lack of starbursting members in G237 is hard to reconcile with the Planck selection, which was intended to find such systems. Interestingly, based on the protocluster cosmic filling factor (i.e., 0.02 at $z \sim 2$; Chiang et al. 2017), protoclusters are expected to occupy a volume of $\sim 1500$ cm$^{-3}$ in a random sightline in a $10'$ field over $2.0 < z < 2.2$. The two substructures in G237 occupy, combined, a much larger volume, that is $\sim 8100$ cm$^{-3}$. Thus, having found these two protoclusters in a Planck source field is not fortuitous, and the 5σ overdensity of red SPIRE sources (see Sect. 4.2) is likely associated with the structures. Starbursting protoclusters or with a large number of DSFGs at $z \leq 3$ are expected to be in the Planck source list, and the best way to find them might be choosing those with the most significant sub-mm overdensities. G237 is among the least overdense Planck sources, and this might explain the low level of starbursting activity, but a study of another Planck source (i.e., PLCK G073.4–57.5; Kneissl et al. 2019, Hill, priv. comm.), characterized by a large overdensity also reveals a dominance of normal SFG members, rather than starbursts, and a large number of DSFG members (five detected by SPIRE, and three by SCUBA2).

### 6.5. Physical processes that power the star formation activity in G237

The analysis of the member properties with respect to their location in G237 shows a weak dependence on the environment (see Sect. 5.4). Although most spectroscopic members are blue SFGs, those in the core are on average more massive and more active. Also, the fraction of DSFGs and AGN is much higher in the core (i.e., $f_{\text{AGN}}^{\text{core}} = f_{\text{DSFG}}^{\text{core}} = 50\%$ versus $f_{\text{AGN}}^{\text{full}} = f_{\text{DSFG}}^{\text{full}} = 13\%$. The ubiquity of both SF (traced by the sub-mm emission), and AGN (traced by the X-ray emission) activity suggests a common mechanism at their origin. Both SF and AGN require gas to fuel them, and their enhancement in the core suggests that the fueling mechanism might be favored in dense regions. Gas can be funneled into the center of galaxies through gas-rich mergers or through gravitational disk instabilities caused by inflow gas (e.g., Kereš et al. 2005, 2009; Dekel & Birnboim 2006; Dekel et al. 2009).

In Sect. 5.6, we find morphologies consistent with signs of interaction or disturbance in about 40% of the members, and ~20% have also a close companion (see Table 6 and Figs. D.1–D.3). These properties are consistent with various merger phases, from pre-merging (pairs at $\leq 3''$ at our redshift; Ventou et al. 2017), to ongoing or post merging events. Since close encounters and interactions are more likely in overdensities (Jian et al. 2012), mergers might be a viable fueling mechanism. However, the lack of a clear trend between the morphology and the spatial distribution questions such an interpretation. High spatial resolution imaging in the optical rest-frame, or kinematic measurements of the gas in these systems would be necessary to determine the role of mergers.

Alternatively, cold gas streams can also be a viable fueling channel in G237 members. Cold gas flows are expected to fuel SF in massive halos only at high redshifts. Below a critical redshift, $z_{\text{crit}} \geq 2$, the streaming cold gas is shock-heated in massive halos $(M_h \geq 10^{12} M_\odot)$, and does not readily fuel ongoing SF. G237 is hosted by a massive halo and it is at a redshift below $z_{\text{crit}}$. The same is true for the other protoclusters in the Cosmos field listed in Table 9. In Fig. 19, we place the selected protoclusters on a halo mass versus redshift diagram, and compare them with the different gas regimes proposed by Dekel & Birnboim (2006). The halo mass and redshift marking the transition between the cold streams to the shock-heated regime are not yet well constrained, theoretically, nor observationally. Here, we show the values from Dekel & Birnboim (2006) (but see also Lapiner et al. 2021). To place the selected protoclusters in the diagram, we estimate their halo mass at the observed redshift. Those estimates were obtained by taking their estimated $z \approx 0$ halo mass and evolving it back in time using the halo accretion growth based on fitting functions of Behroozi et al. (2013) (see dashed lines in Fig. 19), when not available in the literature.15

All selected protoclusters are in the shock-heated regime implying that cold gas accretion should be inhibited and star-formation will continue as long as there is cold gas, and might end by starvation. Assuming a constant SFR equal to the average value in ss1 (i.e., $33 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$), and the relation between SFR and cold molecular gas for normal SFGs as formulated by Sargent et al. (2014), these galaxies have, on average, $(M_{\text{mol}}) \sim 3.4 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$. If we assume that all the molecular gas will be converted into stars, this molecular reservoir will be depleted in ~1 Gyr, or at $z \approx 1.6$. This simple scenario implies no need for cold gas accretion or mergers to sustain the current SFR in our protocluster members, but does not explain the SFR enhancement in the core.

### 6.6. Member properties in G237 and in other protoclusters

In Sect. 5.4, we find that most members in G237 are on the MS, and no starburst members have been found even among the SPIRE-detected sources. Here, we compare G237 member properties with those of other protoclusters at $z \sim 2$–3 with spectroscopically confirmed members and available SFRs, and

---

15 For PCL1002, and CLJ1001, we used the estimated halo mass at the observed redshift reported by Casey et al. (2015), and Wang et al. (2016) (i.e., $(8 \pm 3) \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ for PCL 1002, and $(7.9 \pm 2) \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ for CLJ1001).
stellar masses. These protoclusters are drawn from three different selection methods: searches around HzRGs, overdensities of red optical-NIR sources, HAEs, or sub-mm galaxies. The list of selected protoclusters for this comparison is reported in Table 9, along with the number of members with measured SFR and the integrated SFR. The star formation rates are either derived from FIR-sub-mm data corrected for a Chabrier (2003) IMF, from the dust corrected Hz luminosity, or from the SED fitting. The SFR as a function of redshift of single members as well as the integrated SFR of each protocluster are compared with G237 in Fig. 20 (left panel). Single structure members’ SFR span a wide range, $\gtrsim 2$ dex. Sources with large SFRs ($>100 M_\odot yr^{-1}$) are found in protoclusters drawn from all selection techniques, although the most intense SFGs ($>1000 M_\odot yr^{-1}$) are mainly found in sub-mm overdensities, and they are at $z \sim 2.4-2.5$. The spectroscopic members in G237 exhibit a wide range of SFRs, as in other protoclusters at similar redshift. We compare the observed total SFRs with those predicted by empirical models and simulations of protoclusters (Behroozi et al. 2013; Lim et al. 2021) in Fig. 20 and find that the observed ones are higher by a factor of $\sim 30$ on average.

The SFR as a function of stellar mass for all protocluster members for which those estimates are available are shown in the right panel of Fig. 20. The majority of sources lie on the MS. There are several sources above (by a factor of 3) the MS, consistent with being starburst galaxies. They are mostly sub-mm selected members, and massive ($>10^{10} M_\odot$) galaxies. A few sources are located below the MS, including two members in G237. Members below the MS are present at all stellar masses, they are in MRC 1138−262, in PCL 1002, and in CC2.2, fields where deep observations are available, possibly implying that such members might be present in other structures, but require extensive searches and deep data to be found. We also show the average SFR per stellar mass bin for G237 (full magenta stars), and for the protoclusters at $2.0 < z < 2.5$ (full green triangles). The upper and lower uncertainty bounds on the average SFRs are estimated by taking the 16th and 84th percentiles. The mean SFRs for G237 and for the protoclusters from the literature are both consistent with the MS relation. In G237, the value at the largest masses is below the MS, yet consistent with it if we take into account the associated uncertainty. This offset might hint to a beginning of quenching among the most massive galaxies in G237. It is interesting to explore whether such a population is also present in other protoclusters that exhibit properties of more mature structures, like the presence of a red sequence due to quiescent galaxies, and diffuse X-ray emission (i.e., CLJ1449+0856, and CL J1001; Strazzullo et al. 2016; Valentino et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016). There is indeed one galaxy in each of these two clusters below the MS, and in the case of CLJ1449+0856 there are four

---

**Table 9. Protoclusters in the Cosmos field at 2.0 $< z < 2.5$.**

| Name         | Redshift $[z_{\text{min}} - z_{\text{max}}]$ | $N$ spec. members | $M_h(z = 0) \times 10^{14}$ ($M_\odot$) | $\Sigma$ SFR ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) | Extent (arcmin) | N. DSFG (a) & AGN | Reference |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| ZFIRE        | 2.085 [2.076–2.104]                         | 57                | $2.5_{-1.2}^{+2.5}$                    | 4002                            | 7.4 x 10       | 9 & 4           | (1,2)     |
| CC2.2        | 2.233 [2.122–2.247]                         | 47                | $3.2_{-1.9}^{+4.8}$                    | 2228                            | 9.2 x 9.2      | 0 & 3           | (3)       |
| COS1000+0215 | 2.444 [2.428–2.456]                         | 20                | $4.4_{-0.8}^{+0.8}$                    | ...                             | 5.0 x 3.1      | 0 & 4           | (4,5)     |
| PCL 1002     | 2.472 [2.463–2.487]                         | 41                | $77_{-41}^{+53}$                      | 4447                            | 3.0 x 1.5      | 7 & 5           | (6)       |
| CL J1001     | 2.507 [2.494–2.515]                         | 18                | $83_{-46}^{+96}$                      | 2790                            | 2.7 x 3.0      | 1 & 1           | (7,8)     |

**Notes.** (a) Here, we consider a DSFG a galaxy detected in the sub-mm by SPIRE, SCUBA-2, LABOCA, or AzTEC, even if it might comprise multiple galaxies as in the case of CL J1001 where ALMA finds five sources associated with a single SPIRE source (Wang et al. 2016). 1) For PCL 1002, and CL J1001, the $z = 0$ halo masses have been derived from the estimated halo mass at the observed redshift (Casey et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2016), these are $(8 \pm 3) \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ for PCL 1002, and $(7.9_{-4.9}^{+4.9}) \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ for CL J1001, and assuming the mass growth as formulated by Behroozi et al. (2013), to be consistent with the other structures analyzed here. These are larger than those derived by Casey et al. (2015), and Wang et al. (2016) assuming a mass-dependent exponential growth model (i.e., $(2 \pm 1) \times 10^{13} M_\odot$).

**References.** (1) Yuan et al. (2014), (2) Hung et al. (2016), (3) Darvish et al. (2020), (4) Diener et al. (2015), (5) Chiang et al. (2015) (6) Casey et al. (2015), (7) Wang et al. (2016), (8) Wang et al. (2018).
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**Fig. 19.** Protocluster dark matter halo mass as a function of redshift for the protoclusters in the Cosmos field at $z \approx 2$ listed in Table 9 as annotated, and for the G237 substructures (ss1: magenta full star, ss2: red full star). The dashed black lines represent the average halo mass growth based on the fitting functions formulated by Behroozi et al. (2013). Thick lines indicate different gas cooling regimes as predicted by Dekel & Birnboim (2006): below a critical halo mass of $M_{\text{crit}}$, cold gas flows are shock-heated, thus shutting off most of the gas supply to the galaxies; above $M_{\text{crit}}$ (red curve), and above a critical redshift, $z_{\text{crit}}$ (to the left of the blue line), cold gas flows are shock-heated, thus shutting off most of the gas supply to the galaxies; above $M_{\text{crit}}$ (red curve), and above $z_{\text{crit}}$ (to the right of the blue line) cold gas is able to penetrate the hot gas environment through cold flow streams favoring galaxy growth and star formation.
Table 10. Protoclusters from the literature at 1.9 < z < 2.6.

| Name            | Redshift | N members (a) | Selection method (b) | ΣSFR (M⊙ yr⁻¹) | Reference |
|-----------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| CARLA J1018+0530 | 1.952    | 8             | HzRG                | 512             | (1)       |
| CARLA J0800+4029 | 1.985    | 9             | HzRG                | 754             | (1)       |
| CJ11449+0856    | 1.990    | 15            | phot               | 1236            | (2,3)     |
| CARLA J2039–2514| 1.999    | 9             | HzRG                | 945             | (1)       |
| ZFIRE           | 2.085    | 9             | phot               | 4002            | (4)       |
| MRC 1143–262    | 2.157    | 36            | HzRG                | 5713            | (5,6,7)   |
| PHzG237.01+42.50 | 2.155    | 20            | sub-mm             | 1002            | (this work) |
| HELAIS020       | 2.170    | 4             | sub-mm             | 1492            | (8)       |
| 2QZCluster      | 2.230    | 7             | sub-mm             | 2004            | (9)       |
| CC2.2           | 2.233    | 40            | HAE                 | 2228            | (10)      |
| HS 1700+64      | 2.310    | 13            | sub-mm             | 5290            | (9,11,12) |
| HATLAS J084933  | 2.412    | 4             | sub-mm             | 6026            | (13)      |
| PCL 1002        | 2.472    | 41            | sub-mm             | 4447            | (14)      |
| 4C 23.56        | 2.488    | 27            | HzRG                | 3887            | (6,15)    |
| CL J1001        | 2.507    | 14            | phot               | 2790            | (16)      |
| USS 1558–003    | 2.528    | 35            | HzRG                | 2375            | (6)       |
| HXMM20          | 2.609    | 5             | sub-mm             | 1877            | (8)       |

Notes. (a) Number of spectroscopically confirmed members for which a SFR estimate is available. (b) The listed protoclusters have been found through the following methods, HzRG: search around a HzRG, phot: identification of an overdensity of photometrically selected high-z sources, sub-mm: overdensity of sub-mm sources, HAE: overdensity of Hα emitters in narrowband images.

References. (1) Noirot et al. (2018), (2) Smith et al. (2019), (3) Valentino et al. (2015), (4) Hung et al. (2016), (5) Dannerbauer et al. (2017), (6) Tadaki et al. (2019), (7) Hatch et al. (2009), (8) Gómez-Guijarro et al. (2019), (9) Kato et al. (2016), (10) Darvish et al. (2020), (11) Lacaille et al. (2019), (12) Chapman et al. (2015), (13) Ivison et al. (2013), (14) Casey et al. (2015), (15) Lee et al. (2017), (16) Wang et al. (2018).

Fig. 20. SFR as a function of redshifts (left panel) and of stellar mass (right panel) of the spectroscopic members in G237 (small filled red stars) and in 16 (11 in the right panel because stellar masses for individual members were not available for all) protoclusters at 1.9 ≤ z < 3 from the literature (open triangles: sub-mm protoclusters, open circles: color selected (i.e., red optical-NIR sources or HAEs), or open squares: searches around HzRGs). The protocluster names are annotated (for more details see Table 10). Left panel: large symbols represent total SFRs. Predictions from the empirical models of Behroozi et al. (2013) (green hatched region), from the 25 most massive z = 0 clusters in the TNG300 simulation (salmon hatched area), and the median SFR of the five most massive TNG300 simulated clusters (salmon thick line) (adapted from Lim et al. 2021) are shown for comparison. Right panel: large full green triangles and large full magenta stars are mean SFRs per stellar mass bins for the literature samples with z < 2.5, and for G237, respectively. Dashed blue and red lines are the MS relation at z = 2, and 2.6 as parameterized by Speagle et al. (2014), respectively, after correcting it for a Chabrier (2003) IMF. The dotted lines represent the scatter around the MS, equivalent to a factor of 3. Additional members, classified as quiescent galaxies, with only an upper limit to their SFR, that would fall below the MS at high stellar masses (>10^{10.5} M⊙; Strazzullo et al. 2018). These are not shown in Fig. 20 because their SFR estimates are not available. There are, however, other protoclusters, including highly star-forming ones, with several members below the MS, as pointed out earlier. This highlights the heterogeneity observed in protocluster members, which might be in part intrinsic, and in part the result of the variety of techniques, and data used to identify and characterize them.
In conclusion, the members in G237 exhibit similar SFRs and stellar masses as those observed in other protoclusters at similar redshifts. Overall, the analyzed protoclusters exhibit different fractions, although poorly constrained, of ordinary SFGs, starbursts, and quenching galaxies. These fractions might be related to the protocluster maturity level, but also to observational biases. Deep multwavelength data, able to identify different types of member galaxies (starburst, SFGs, and quenching) of protoclusters drawn from different selections are necessary to understand the origin of the observed diversity.

7. Summary and conclusions

We discuss the spectroscopic identification and characteristics of members of an overdensity at $z \approx 2.16$ located in a protocluster candidate discovered with the Planck satellite, PHZ G237.01+42.50 (G237). An overdensity of HAEs at the same redshift in this field had been previously reported by Koyama et al. (2021). The overdensity contains 31 spectroscopically confirmed galaxies at $1.215 \leq z_{\text{spec}} < 2.20$ over a $10' \times 11'$ region, consistent with an overdensity significance of 5.4$\sigma$. Within this overdensity, we identify two substructures, ss1 with 20 members at $2.15 \leq z < 2.164$, and ss2 with eight members at $2.19 < z < 2.20$. Both substructures are expected to collapse by $z=0$ and become clusters with $z=0$ halo masses of $5\times10^{14} M_\odot$, roughly corresponding to the virial mass of a Virgo-type cluster. We refer to them as protoclusters. Most members are normal SFGs with a wide range of stellar masses ($M_\star = 2 \times 10^9 - 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$), and are either disks or irregular galaxies with SFRs consistent with the MS relation at their redshift. We find a large AGN fraction of $20 \pm 10\%$ among the identified members in ss1, selected via the X-ray luminosity or spectral line widths. All AGN members are powerful enough to halt the star formation in their hosts through radiative feedback. However, we do not see any obvious sign of quenching in the AGN members, with one exception where the galaxy is situated below the MS.

We find that the protocluster core, besides being denser, includes members that are, on average, more massive and star-forming and contains a larger fraction of AGN and DSFGs than the full sample. Although this result might indicate a more efficient growth in the densest regions within the structure, its significance is not high enough to establish an environmental effect on the growth of these galaxies.

The total SFR estimated by adding the SFR of the spectroscopic members, of the HAEs found in the structure (Koyama et al. 2021), and of the SPIRE member candidates is $\sim4000 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Although this is higher than predicted by simulations (Lim et al. 2021), it is much smaller than estimated from the Planck data (i.e., $10^{17.3} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$). By analyzing the Herschel/SPIRE data in the field, in combination with the available ancillary data, we explain this discrepancy as an effect of sources alignment along the line of sight that produces a 5$\sigma$ overdensity of red SPIRE sources in the field. This result is in agreement with the predictions based on the standard scenario for the evolution of large-scale structure (Negrello et al. 2017).

In view of protocluster member selection and identification in future studies, it is important to point out that the LAE selection technique would have missed most of the spectroscopic members analyzed here as they do not exhibit a sufficiently strong Ly$\alpha$ emission line. We plan to use the recently released Cosmic Hydrogen Reionization Unveiled with Subaru (CHORUS) data (Inoue et al. 2020) taken with the NB387 narrowband filter to search for LAEs in this field, and test this hypothesis. Based on our spectroscopic sample, the HAE selection technique finds 75% of all the spectroscopic members analyzed here at $z < 2.17$, including the DSFGs. Thus, it seems to be an effective technique to find protocluster members with SFR $\geq 5 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. However, because of the limited width of the narrowband filters, the narrowband imaging technique only finds members in a narrow redshift range (e.g., $\Delta z \approx 0.027$) and might not sample the full richness of an overdensity.

Further advances in our understanding of these structures might come from observations that will become possible with upcoming facilities. For example, the Euclid (Laureijs et al. 2011) mission will be able to detect all spectroscopic members in G237 in its wide optical-NIR survey, and will reach a 60% completeness level in detecting structures like G237 ss1 ($M_\star(z=2) = 5 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$; see Fig. 10 in Euclid Collaboration 2019), The James Webb Space Telescope (JWST; Gardner et al. 2006), and ground-based AO imaging observations in the NIR could provide high spatial resolution observations in the optical rest-frame to determine whether mergers are the primary fueling process. Wide field deep NIR spectroscopy with MOONS on the VLT could provide the identification of a large number of protocluster members and better constrain their stellar population. Deep X-ray observations with Athena (Barret et al. 2020) might detect the proto-ICM hot gas permeating these structures, and probe their halo masses and maturity level. Observations of the molecular gas with IRAM or ALMA would provide secure identification of DSFG members and information on the molecular gas properties (see Polletta et al. 2021). A promising future is ahead for the comprehension of the physical processes occurring in these complex and fascinating objects.
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Appendix A: LBT spectra

The complete list of the LBT spectroscopic targets, with coordinates, estimated redshifts, and associated quality flags, K-band magnitudes, and IRAC colors from the L16 catalog, is shown in Table A.1. In Fig. A.1 we show the LBT/LUCI spectra of the 15 LUCI selected targets. The six LBT targets that had been previously observed by the Cosmos team and the available zCosmos-Deep (Lilly et al. 2009) redshifts are listed in Table A.1, and relative spectra are shown in Fig. A.2.

Fig. A.1. LBT/LUCI spectra (gray line, black lines are rebinned spectra) of the 15 observed targets listed in Table A.1. Identifiers are annotated and spectroscopic redshifts are shown when they could be reliably measured. In such cases, the main emission and absorption lines are shown with vertical dashed, and dotted lines, respectively. Spectral regions contaminated by bright sky lines are marked with dark gray vertical bands. Spectral features expected at the optical redshift provided by zCosmos-deep spectrum, when available (see Table A.1), are shown as red vertical dashed lines and annotated.

Fig. A.1. continued.
### Table A.1. LUCI targets’ main properties.

| LUCI ID | LUCI NUMBER | α (L16)       | δ (L16)       | z\_LUCI | z\_VIMOS<sup>a</sup> | flag<sup>b</sup> | K_s (AB) | S_{4.5\mu m}/S_{3.6\mu m} | Herschel association |
|---------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| SL01    | 679779      | 150.469450   | 2.33129      | 2.1586<sup>c</sup> | 3               | 21.788 ± 0.004 | 1.083 ± 0.014 | 7814                     |
| SL02    | 676097      | 150.472301   | 2.324637     | 2.1240  | 3               | 20.099 ± 0.001 | 1.398 ± 0.008 | 2889                     |
| SL03    | 669706      | 150.466518   | 2.315597     | 2.1592  | 3               | 20.802 ± 0.002 | 1.192 ± 0.008 | 9741                     |
| SL04    | 656918      | 150.472125   | 2.296723     | ...     | ...             | 21.341 ± 0.003 | 1.327 ± 0.005 | 4103                     |
| SL05    | 643336      | 150.483696   | 2.276123     | 2.4189  | 2               | 21.188 ± 0.002 | 1.239 ± 0.009 | 1572                     |
| SL06    | 657340      | 150.506844   | 2.297430     | ...     | ...             | 21.994 ± 0.006 | 1.240 ± 0.011 | ...                      |
| SL07    | 665034      | 150.468504   | 2.309183     | ...     | ...             | 21.615 ± 0.004 | 0.741 ± 0.047 | ...                      |
| SL08    | 664921      | 150.480758   | 2.309062     | 1.5210 (0.8613) | 4 (1) | 21.839 ± 0.005 | 1.148 ± 0.010 | ...                      |
| SL09    | 673976      | 150.486251   | 2.320757     | (0.9246) | (3) | 19.816 ± 0.001 | 0.712 ± 0.009 | ...                      |
| SL10    | 647891      | 150.485378   | 2.280741     | (0.2227) | (4) | 19.711 ± 0.001 | 0.797 ± 0.016 | ...                      |
| SL11    | 657494      | 150.481342   | 2.297394     | ...     | ...             | 21.226 ± 0.003 | 0.713 ± 0.025 | ...                      |
| SL12    | 658044      | 150.489417   | 2.297995     | (0.9250) | (3) | 20.360 ± 0.001 | 0.727 ± 0.012 | ...                      |
| SL13    | 659137      | 150.502422   | 2.300324     | (0.2107) | ... | 21.689 ± 0.004 | 0.884 ± 0.009 | ...                      |
| SL14    | 647460      | 150.475890   | 2.323199     | ...     | ...             | 21.482 ± 0.003 | 0.755 ± 0.036 | ...                      |
| SL15    | 647673      | 150.508934   | 2.281465     | ...     | ...             | 20.529 ± 0.001 | 0.761 ± 0.022 | ...                      |

**Notes.**

- VIMOS spectroscopic redshifts are from the zCosmos spectroscopic survey (Lilly et al. 2007, 2009).
- The redshift flag corresponds to the probability of the redshift estimate of being correct and can be equal to 1 (50–75% probability), 2 (75–90% probability), or to 3, and 4 (>90% probability; Le Fèvre et al. 2013).
- The LUCI spectrum shows a broad Hα line, implying that this source is an AGN.

**Fig. A.2.** zCosmos-Deep VIMOS spectra of the sources observed with LBT/LUCI (gray line, in black after rebinning). Identifiers and spectroscopic redshifts are annotated at the top of each panel. The main emission and absorption lines are shown with vertical dashed, or dotted lines, respectively. Spectral regions contaminated by bright sky lines are marked with gray vertical bands. In case of ID slit08, we show the spectral features expected at the LBT/LUCI redshift in black, and those at the VIMOS optical redshift in red. The LUCI redshift is more reliable than the VIMOS one in this case.
Appendix B: VIMOS spectra

In Fig. B.1, we show the zCosmos-Deep/VIMOS spectra of the sources at $2.15 \leq z_{\text{spec}} < 2.164$, in Fig. B.2, those $2.19 < z_{\text{spec}} < 2.20$, and in Fig. B.3 those at $2.164 < z_{\text{spec}} < 2.19$.

Fig. B.1. VIMOS (DEIMOS for L710971) spectra (gray line) of 18 member candidates with $z = 2.15–2.164$ in the $10' \times 12'$ region centered at $\alpha = 150.465^\circ$, and $\delta = 2.31^\circ$ where G237 is located. The red lines are smoothed spectra. The vertical dashed lines represent the location of the main spectral lines as annotated. Source IDs and spectroscopic redshifts are annotated in each panel. Regions contaminated by bright sky lines are highlighted with vertical gray bars.

Fig. B.2. VIMOS spectra (gray line) of eight member candidates with $z = 2.19–2.20$ in the $10' \times 12'$ region centered at $\alpha = 150.465^\circ$, and $\delta = 2.31^\circ$ where G237 is located. The red lines are smoothed spectra. The vertical dashed lines represent the location of the main spectral lines as annotated. Source IDs and spectroscopic redshifts are annotated in each panel. Regions contaminated by bright sky lines are highlighted with vertical gray bars.

Fig. B.3. VIMOS spectra (gray line) of three member candidates with $z = 2.164–2.19$ in the $10' \times 12'$ region centered at $\alpha = 150.465^\circ$, and $\delta = 2.31^\circ$ where G237 is located. The red lines are smoothed spectra. The vertical dashed lines represent the location of the main spectral lines as annotated. Source IDs and spectroscopic redshifts are annotated in each panel. Regions contaminated by bright sky lines are highlighted with vertical gray bars.
Appendix C: Stacked spectrum assessment

In Fig. C.1, we show the co-added spectra obtained with all 26 non AGN sources at $z = 2.15–2.20$ (full stack in the top panel), with the 16 galaxies with $z_{\text{flg}} \geq 2$ (clean stack in the middle panel), and with the 12 galaxies at $z = 2.15–2.16$ (bottom panel).

All three co-added spectra show numerous absorption features and are consistent within $1\sigma$, providing evidence that most redshift estimates with low $z_{\text{flg}}$ are correct. The median S/N of the full stack is 14, that of the clean stack is 12, and of the $z = 2.15–2.16$ stack is 8.4. In Sect. 5.3, we carry out the spectral analysis of the “full stack” spectrum because has a higher S/N and it is more complete and representative of the member population.

![Stacked rest-frame VIMOS spectra](image)

**Fig. C.1.** Stacked rest-frame VIMOS spectra of 26 member candidates with $z = 2.15–2.20$ (red solid line in top panel), of 16 members with $z_{\text{flg}} \geq 2$ (purple solid line in middle panel), and of 12 members with $z = 2.15–2.16$ (magenta solid line in bottom panel). In all panels, the gray filled region represents the $1\sigma$ uncertainty, the dashed green line is a linear fit obtained to estimate the UV spectral slope $\beta$, where $F_\lambda \propto \lambda^\beta$, and the solid black curve represents the pseudo-continuum obtained by linearly interpolating the average flux density in the “features-free” windows defined in Table 3 in Rix et al. (2004). The vertical dashed lines represent the location of the main spectral features as annotated (nebular: blue, ISM: orange, stellar photosphere: green, stellar winds: red).
Appendix D: Morphological analysis

Postage stamps ($6'' \times 6''$) in the HST/ACS F814W-band and in the UltraVISTA/YJH bands of all 31 spectroscopic members are shown in Fig. D.1, D.2, and D.3. The identifier (ID) and the morphological classification are reported in the figure captions.

Fig. D.1. $6'' \times 6''$ ACS I-band and UltraVISTA YJH cutouts of the sources at $2.15 \leq z < 2.164$. Source ID and morphological classification are the following: (a) 55326: ET, (b) 55687: Disk, (c) 56014: Irr, (d) 56915: Disk, (e) 57557: Disk, (f) 58020: Irr, (g) 58057: Disk, (h) 58173: Irr, (i) 58200: Too faint, (j) 58206: Disk, (k) 58266: Irr, (l) 59504: Disk, (m) 60718: Disk, (n) 60811: Disk, (o) L710971: Too faint, (p) SL01: Too faint, (q) SL03: Disk, (r) 57138: Disk, (s) 54698: Irr, (t) 57730: Disk.

Fig. D.2. $6'' \times 6''$ ACS I-band and UltraVISTA YJH cutouts of the sources at $z > 2.19$. Source ID and morphological classification are the following: (a) 54677: Irr, (b) 56950: Irr, (c) 57695: Irr, (d) 59496: Disk, (e) 59906: Irr, (f) 60026: Disk, (g) 60718: Disk, (h) 60811: Disk, (i) L710971: Too faint, (j) SL01: Too faint, (k) SL03: Disk, (l) 57138: Disk, (m) 54698: Irr, (n) 57730: Disk.
Appendix E: Spectral energy distributions

In Fig. E.1, we show the SEDs of the 31 spectroscopic members and the best fit models obtained with CIGALE (see Sect. 5.4).

Fig. E.1. Observed spectral energy distributions (full cyan circles) and best fit templates (black lines) obtained with CIGALE at the spectroscopic redshift. Downward arrows are 5σ upper limits. The blue solid and dotted lines represent the stellar component after and before intrinsic extinction is applied. The green and red lines represent emission from dust. The purple line represents an AGN component, present only in IDs 55326, and 58057. Source ID and spectroscopic redshifts are annotated.
Fig. E.1. continued.