Gene Expression in Class 2 Integrons Is SOS-Independent and Involves Two Pc Promoters
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Integrons are powerful bacterial genetic elements that permit the expression and dissemination of antibiotic-resistance gene cassettes. They contain a promoter Pc that allows the expression of gene cassettes captured through site-specific recombination catalyzed by IntI, the integron-encoded integrase. Class 1 and 2 integrons are found in both clinical and environmental settings. The regulation of intI and of Pc promoters has been extensively studied in class 1 integrons and the regulatory role of the SOS response on intI expression has been shown. Here we investigated class 2 integrons. We characterized the PintI2 promoter and showed that intI2 expression is not regulated via the SOS response. We also showed that, unlike class 1 integrons, class 2 integrons possess not one but two active Pc promoters that are located within the attI2 region that seem to contribute equally to gene cassette expression. Class 2 integrons mostly encode an inactive truncated integrase, but the rare class 2 integrons that encode an active integrase are associated with less efficient Pc2 promoter variants. We propose an evolutionary model for class 2 integrons in which the absence of repression of the integrase gene expression led to mutations resulting in either inactive integrase or Pc variants of weaker activity, thereby reducing the potential fitness cost of these integrons.
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INTRODUCTION

Integrons are widely used by Gram-negative bacteria to resist antibiotics. These DNA elements can acquire, exchange and express promoterless coding sequences embedded within gene cassettes (Escudero et al., 2015). The integron functional platform is composed of a gene (intI) that encodes a site-specific recombinase (IntI); a recombination site (attI); and a functional promoter (Pc), divergently oriented to the integrase gene, that allows the expression of gene cassettes (Stokes and Hall, 1989). IntI catalyzes recombination events that lead either to the incorporation of gene cassettes within the integron, or to their excision. Several integron classes can be discriminated on the basis of their IntI sequences (Collis et al., 2002). In clinical settings, five integron classes involved in the expression and dissemination of antibiotic-resistance gene cassettes have been described. Class 1 integrons prevail in most epidemiological studies in human and animals, followed by class 2 integrons (Gillings, 2014).

In class 1 integrons, hundreds of distinct gene cassette arrays have been described (Moura et al., 2009). Class 2 integrons are associated with transposons related to Tn7 (Cambray et al., 2010) and usually carry three resistance-encoding cassettes designated dfrA1, sat2 and...
aadA1 (encoding resistance to trimethoprim, streptomycin and streptomycin/spectinomycin, respectively), followed by a pseudocassette of unknown function (orfX, also known as ybeA) (Figure 1A) (Hall and Stokes, 1993). Variations in this gene cassette array have rarely been described (Biskri and Mazel, 2003; Ahmed et al., 2005; Ramírez et al., 2005, 2010; Barlow and Gobius, 2006; Dubois et al., 2007; Gassama Sow et al., 2008; Márquez et al., 2008). This low diversity of the gene cassette array is thought to be due to disruption of the integrase gene intI2 by an internal ochre STOP codon (TAA) at position 179, yielding an inactive 178-aa polypeptide (Hansson et al., 2002) (Figure 1A). Few class 2 integrons with a non-disrupted intI2 gene encoding a 325-aa full-length functional integrase have been described (Barlow and Gobius, 2006; Márquez et al., 2008; Rodríguez-Minguela et al., 2009; Wei et al., 2014). A putative promoter for intI2, hereafter named PintI2, has been proposed in the annotation of the R483 plasmid (GenBank accession number L10818, Figure 1B). This PintI2 promoter overlaps a putative LexA repressor operator conserved in many integron classes (Cambray et al., 2011), suggesting that intI2 could be under the control of the SOS response, like the intI1 gene of class 1 integrons and intIα of the chromosomal integron of Vibrio cholerae (Guerin et al., 2009).

Gene cassette expression depends on the Pc promoter which, in class 1 and 3 integrons, is located within the int1 coding sequence (Collis and Hall, 1995; Collis et al., 2002). In class 2 integrons, however, no Pc promoter sequence has been found within the intI2 gene, and part of the attI2 region seems sufficient for gene cassette expression (Hansson et al., 2002) (Figure 1A). Four putative Pc promoters, hereafter renamed Pc2A to Pc2D, have been proposed in the sequence between the start codon of the intI2 gene and the first gene cassette (Figure 1) (Simonsen et al., 1983; Hansson et al., 2002 and Genbank accession number AM261760). A transcriptional start site consistent with the Pc2A promoter was recently mapped (da Fonseca et al., 2011). However, none of these potential Pc2 promoters has been experimentally characterized.

The aim of this study was to examine the expression of both the integrase and the gene cassettes of class 2 integrons, and to evaluate the role of the SOS response in class 2 integrons integrase expression. We mapped the PintI2 promoter and found that despite the presence of a potential LexA binding site, PintI2 is not under control of the SOS response. We also found that two promoters, Pc2A and Pc2B, seem to contribute equally to the expression of gene cassettes in class 2 integrons.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacteria and Growth Conditions**

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Cells were grown at 37°C in lysogeny broth (LB) supplemented when necessary with kanamycin (Km, 25 μg/ml).

**lacZ Transcriptional Fusions**

Plasmids pPC2, pPintI2 and their derivatives were constructed by cloning, into the EcoRI–BamHI sites of pSU38ΔtotlacZ (Table 1), a PCR product amplified either from genomic DNA of the Shigella sonnei isolate Dak 0898/12-14 carrying a class 2 integron previously described in the lab (Gassama Sow et al., 2008) or by assembly PCR (see below). All cloned fragments were verified by sequencing. All oligonucleotides were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Each lacZ fusion plasmid was transformed into Escherichia coli strain MG1656 (Table 1).

**Assembly PCR**

Assembly PCR was used to mutate the Pc2 or PintI2 promoter, using overlapping primers that contained the desired mutation, and two external primers, as previously described (Jové et al., 2010).

**5′ Rapid Amplification cDNA Ends (5′RACE)**

Total RNA from *E. coli* MG1656/pPintI2-1 (Table 1) was extracted and cDNA specific to the lacZ gene was synthesized by a reverse transcriptase (TaKaRa) using primers 30, 31, and 32 (Supplementary Table S1). After purification, cDNA was used as template for the 5′RACE experiment in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations (5′RACE System for Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends, Invitrogen), and using the TaKaRa Ex Taq™ DNA polymerase (TaKaRa Biotechnology). The purified PCR product was cloned in the pGEM®-T Easy vector (Promega) in *E. coli* DH5α and nine clones were sequenced.

**β-Galactosidase Assays**

Assays were performed with 0.5-ml aliquots of exponential-phase cultures (OD₆₀₀ = 0.6–0.8) as described by Miller (1992) except that the incubation temperature was 37°C. Experiments were done at least five times for each strain. Treatment with mitomycin C was carried out as previously described (Guerin et al., 2009). One-way ANOVA statistical followed by post hoc Tukey HSD statistics tests were used to determine whether variation in expression levels were significant (p-values < 0.01).

**Electrophoresis Mobility Shift Assays (EMSA)**

Over-expression and purification of the LexA protein was performed as previously described (Da Re et al., 2009). The EMSA probes were obtained by PCR using oligonucleotides 8 and 9 (probe PintI1, 270bp, Supplementary Table S1) or 10 and 11 (probe PintI2, 233bp, Supplementary Table S1) amplified from pAT674 or genomic DNA of isolate Dak 0898/12-14, respectively. They were end-labeled with [γ³²p]ATP (Amersham, Saclay, France) using T4 DNA polynucleotide kinase (Promega, Charbonnières, France). The EMSA experiments were performed as previously described (Da Re et al., 2009) using various amounts of purified LexA, 40 ng of the radiolabelled DNA probe PintI1 or PintI2 in the binding mixtures, and 630 ng of unlabelled probe for competition experiments (around 15.75-fold excess).
RESULTS

Mapping of the PintI2 Promoter
To precisely identify the PintI2 promoter, we used the 5′RACE technique (Frohman, 1993). The PintI2 transcription start site (TSS) was mapped at position -33 upstream from the IntI2 START codon, in agreement with previously inferred potential -35 and -10 elements (respectively, CAGGCA and TAGAAT, separated by 17 bp; GenBank accession number L10818; Figure 1B). Downstream of PintI2 lies a well-conserved putative translation initiation region (TIR; AAGGA-N7-ATG, see Figure 1B) compared to the bacterial TIR consensus sequence in E. coli (TAAGGA-N5/7-ATG) (Kozak, 2005). To experimentally validate PintI2, we tested its ability to drive the expression of the lacZ reporter gene by measuring β-galactosidase activity from two transcriptional fusions expressed in E. coli strain MG1656 and carried on plasmids pPintI2-1 and pPintI2-2 (Table 1). Plasmid pPintI2-1 includes the whole attI2 region fused to lacZ, while pPintI2-2 is restricted to the region corresponding to the putative PintI2 promoter (Figure 2A). Both constructs include the native TIR of IntI2. We found similar levels of β-galactosidase activity with pPintI2-1 and pPintI2-2 (Figure 2B). This activity was abolished by mutation of the most highly conserved bases of the PintI2-10 element, with respect to the σ^70 promoter consensus (pPintI2-3; Table 1 and Figures 2A,B). These results confirmed the presence of a single functional PintI2 promoter, CAGGCA-N17-TAGAAT.

Expression of intI2 Is Not Controlled by the SOS Response
Expression of the intI gene from PintI1 promoters in class 1 and V. cholerae chromosomal integrons is controlled by the LexA-mediated SOS response (Guerin et al., 2009). In class 2 integrons, a potential LexA binding site overlaps with the PintI2 promoter (Figure 1B). To determine whether LexA regulates the expression of intI2, we measured β-galactosidase activity from pPintI2-1 in a lexA-deleted MG1656 derivative (Table 1). Surprisingly, lexA deletion had no significant effect on β-galactosidase activity, nor did recA deletion or treatment with mitomycin C (induction of the SOS response) (Figure 2C). We performed EMSA with purified LexA protein and a PCR product encompassing PintI2. We showed that, unlike the promoter of class I integron integrase PintI1 (Guerin et al., 2009), there was no specific gel shift with PintI2, meaning that LexA
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displays a TGN-10 motif known to increase the strength of

We noticed the presence of another potential promoter located

Several Active Pc Promoters in the attl2 Region

Four potential Pc2 promoters have previously been inferred

Table 1

| Strains/plasmids | Genotype or description | Source or reference |
|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| **E. coli strains** |                         |                     |
| DH5α             | (F−) endA1 supE44 thi−1 recA1 relA1 gyrA96 deoR supG480 ΔlacZΔM15Δ (lacZYA- argF)U169, hsdR17(k− m− k+)λ− | Laboratory collection |
| MG1656           | MG1656Δlac−             | Espéli et al., 2001 |
| MG1656ΔlexA      | MG1656ΔlexAΔsfA         | Guerin et al., 2009 |
| MG1656ΔrecA      | MG1656ΔrecA             | Da Re et al., 2009  |
| **S. sonnei strain** |                         |                     |
| isolate Dak 0898/12-14 | Isolate carrying a class 2 integron | Gassama Sow et al., 2008 |
| **Plasmids**     |                         |                     |
| pAT674           | 6.5-kb BamHI fragment from In40 class 1 integron cloned into pBG18 | Ploy et al., 1998 |
| pSU38ΔtotlacZ    | Vector carrying lacZ coding sequence with no translation initiation region nor promoter. | Jové et al., 2010 |
| pPint12-1        | Whole attl2 region amplified with primers 2 and 3 from isolate Dak 0898-14 cloned into pSU38ΔtotlacZ to obtain Pint12-lacZ fusion. | This study |
| pPint12-2        | Pint12 promoter amplified with primers 2 and 3 from isolate Dak 0898-14 cloned into pSU38ΔtotlacZ. | This study |
| pPint12-3        | -10 sequence of Pint12 mutated (TAAAT mutated into cgGAcg) in pPint12 with primers 4 and 5. | This study |
| pPc2-1           | attl2 region + beginning of dfrA1 amplified with primers 10 and 12 from isolate Dak 0898-14 cloned into pSU38ΔtotlacZ to obtain P-lacZ translational fusion. | This study |
| pPc2-2           | pPc2-1 plus part of intl2 (PCR product amplified with primers 12 and 13) | This study |
| pPc2-3           | pPc2-1 deleted of Pc2D (PCR product amplified with primers 12 and 14) | This study |
| pPc2-4           | pPc2-1 deleted of Pc2D and A (PCR product amplified with primers 12 and 15) | This study |
| pPc2-5           | pPc2-1 deleted of Pc2D, A and B (PCR product amplified with primers 12 and 16) | This study |
| pPc2-5*          | pPc2-4 with the -10 sequence of Pc2C mutated (TAAAT mutated into cgAAAT) with primers 24 and 25 | This study |
| pPc2-6           | pPc2-1 deleted of Pc2D, A, B and C (PCR product amplified with primers 12 and 17) | This study |
| pPc2-7           | pPc2-1 mutated with primers 20 and 21 to inactivate Pc2A | This study |
| pPc2-8           | pPc2-1 mutated with primers 22 and 23 to inactivate Pc2B | This study |
| pPc2-9           | pPc2-1 mutated with primers 20/21 and 22/23 to concomitantly inactivate Pc2A and Pc2B | This study |
| pPc2-10          | pPc2-1 mutated with primers 24 and 25 to inactivate Pc2C | This study |
| pPc2-11          | pPc2-1 mutated with primers 26 and 27 to create the variant of Pc2A | This study |
| pPc2-12          | pPc2-1 mutated with primers 28 and 29 to create the variant of Pc2B | This study |
| pPc2-13          | pPc2-1 mutated with primers 26/27 and 28/29 to concomitantly introduce the variants of both Pc2A and Pc2B | This study |
| pPc2A            | Pc2A amplified with primers 14 and 18 from isolate Dak 0898-14 cloned into pSU38ΔtotlacZ | This study |
| pPc2A*           | -10 sequence of Pc2A mutated (TAAAT into cgGAcg) in pPc2A mutated with primers 20 and 21. | This study |
| pPc2B            | Pc2B amplified with primers 15 and 19 from isolate Dak 0898-14 cloned into pSU38ΔtotlacZ. | This study |
| pPc2B*           | -10 sequence of Pc2B mutated (TTTAAT mutated into TTcgAT) in pPc2B mutated with primers 22 and 23 | This study |
| pPc2A-V2         | pPc2A mutated with primers 26 and 27 to create the second variant of Pc2A | This study |
| pPc2B-V2         | pPc2B mutated with primers 28 and 29 to create the second variant of Pc2B | This study |

did not bind the putative LexA-binding site identified in the attl2 region (Figure 2C).

Together, these results strongly indicate that, despite the presence of a good canonical binding site for LexA protein within Pint12, this protein does not repress intl2 transcription, and the SOS response does not control intl2 expression.

Several Active Pc Promoters in the attl2 Region

Four potential Pc2 promoters have previously been inferred (named here Pc2A to Pc2D), all located within the attl2 region. We noticed the presence of another potential promoter located within the intl2 encoding sequence (here named Pc2E), that displays a TGN-10 motif known to increase the strength of promoters (Mitchell et al., 2003) (TGGCTA-N13TGN-TAAGCT, 165-bp away from intl2 START codon; Figure 3A).

We studied the ability of these candidate Pc promoters to drive expression of the lacZ reporter gene, by successively deleting the putative promoters (pPc2-1 to pPc2-6; Figure 3A and Table 1). The strong activity measured from pPc2-1 (entire attl2 region) argued for the presence of at least one functional promoter in attl2 (Figure 3B). When we added Pc2E (pPc2-2) or deleted Pc2D (pPc2-3), β-galactosidase activity was similar to that obtained with pPc2-1, showing that neither Pc2D nor Pc2E significantly contributes to gene cassette transcription in class 2 integrons (Figure 3B). We assumed that the copy number was stable regardless the nature of the cloned fragment. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude that the small differences of promoter strength observed with Pc2-1, Pc2-2, and Pc2-3 could
be explained by small variations of copy number. Nevertheless, these small differences of β-galactosidase activities were non-significant (p > 0.05, Figure 3B).

On the contrary, deletion of Pc2A (pPc2-4) reduced β-galactosidase activity by 80%, and concomitant deletion of Pc2B (pPc2-5) halved the remaining activity, leaving a residual activity of less than 10%, which could be attributed to Pc2C (Figure 3B). As expected, when none of the Pc2 promoters remained in the construct (pPc2-6), no β-galactosidase activity was detected (Figure 3B).

These results suggested that three of the four potential promoters located in the attI2 region, namely Pc2A, Pc2B, and Pc2C, may contribute to gene cassette expression, and that Pc2A would be the major actor.

Promoters Pc2A and Pc2B Contribute to Gene Cassette Expression

The coexistence of three potentially active Pc2 promoters (Pc2A–Pc2C) raised the question of their respective contributions to gene cassette expression. To address this question, we inactivated them individually or concomitantly in pPc2-1 (pPc2-7 to pPc2-10; Figure 4A), by placing mutations at key positions in their respective putative -10 elements (Table 1). As shown
in Figure 4B, inactivation of Pc2C in pPc2-1 (pPc2-10) had no significant effect on β-galactosidase expression, though Pc2C inactivation in pPc2-5 abolished all activity (Figure 4C). In contrast, inactivation of Pc2A (pPc2-7) or Pc2B (pPc2-8) reduced the overall expression level by 53 and 32%, respectively (Figure 4B). Surprisingly, when both Pc2A and Pc2B (pPc2-9) were mutated, 25% of the initial pPc2-1 β-galactosidase activity remained (Figure 4B). Indeed, as Pc2C did not appear to contribute to the activity from pPc2-1, we expected that double mutation of Pc2A and Pc2B would lead to a complete loss of activity. However, as mutation of Pc2A reduced pPc2-1 activity by around 50%, a similar decrease should have been observed after Pc2B mutation, which was not the case (Figure 4B). We thus verified whether the mutation introduced in the putative -10 element of Pc2A and Pc2B (Table 1) resulted in complete abolition of promoter activity. We cloned the wildtype and mutated Pc2A and Pc2B promoter regions in fusion with lacZ (Table 1), and found that although the mutation in Pc2A abolished completely the promoter activity, the activity of mutated Pc2B (pPc2B*) was reduced by only 60% compared

**FIGURE 3** | Activity of putative class 2 integron Pc promoters. (A) Schematic representation of the class 2 integron carried on Tn7 and Pc-lacZ translational fusions with the entire or partially deleted attI2 site carried on plasmids pPc2-1–pPc2-6. Boundaries of the cloned fragment are indicated by black dots and numbered according to its position in the pPc2-1 fragment (417 bp long). The cloned portion of the dfrA1 coding sequence is indicated by a rectangle. Broken arrows represent each putative Pc2 promoter. The boundaries of the attI2 region (nt4–nt314) are marked by vertical dotted lines. (B) The contribution of each putative Pc2 promoter (Pc2A–Pc2E) was estimated by measuring β-galactosidase activity from each plasmid. pSU38ΔtotlacZ is the empty control plasmid. At least five independent assays were performed for each construct. Error bars indicate the standard deviation. P-values (ANOVA and HSD Tukey’s test) for comparison with pPc2-1 (on the top) and between constructs are indicated: **p < 0.01, NS p > 0.05.**
FIGURE 4 | Specific contributions of the Pc2A, Pc2B and Pc2C promoters. (A) Schematic representation of the class 2 integron carried on Tn7, Pc-lacZ translational fusions with the entire or partially deleted attI2 site carrying functional or mutated Pc promoters carried on pPc2-1, pPc2-7 to pPc2-10 and pPc2-5; and Pc-lacZ transcriptional fusions with WT or mutated Pc2A and Pc2B carried on plasmids pPc2A, pPc2A*, pPc2B and pPc2B*, respectively. Inactivating mutation of a promoter -10 sequence is indicated by a cross. The wild type and mutated -10 sequences are written under the promoters with mutated residues shown in lowercases. Boundaries of the cloned fragment are indicated by black dots and numbered as in Figure 1B. The cloned portion of the dfrA1 coding sequence is indicated by a rectangle. Broken arrows represent each Pc2 promoter, and their coordinates (1st base of its -35 element and last base of its -10 element) are indicated for individually cloned promoters. (B) The contribution of promoters Pc2A, Pc2B and Pc2C within attI2 was estimated by measuring β-galactosidase activity from constructs carrying one or several inactivated promoters. (C) Individual promoter strength was estimated by measuring β-galactosidase activity. At least five independent assays were performed for each construct. Error bars indicate the standard deviation. P-values (ANOVA and HSD Tukey’s test) for comparisons with pPc2-1 (B) or with the respective WT promoter (C) are indicated: **p < 0.01, NS p > 0.05.

to wildtype Pc2B (pPc2B) (Figure 4C), suggesting that its -10 sequence might differ slightly from that previously inferred (Genbank accession number AM261760). These results indicate that Pc2A and Pc2B contribute equally to gene cassette expression in class 2 integrons.

Polymorphism of Class 2 Pc Promoters

There are several variants of the Pc promoter from class 1 and 3 integrons (Collis et al., 2002; Jové et al., 2010). We performed an in silico analysis of all class 2 integron sequences available online (May 2016), and found that six of the 220 analyzed sequences exhibited variations in Pc2A and Pc2B (accession numbers: DQ533990, DQ533991, EU780012, CP012363, CP012365, and KU736868). These six class 2 integrons all contain an A to G substitution converting the -10 element of Pc2A into TAAAGT, and a G to A substitution converting the -35 element of Pc2B into TTATAT. Hereafter, we will call these promoters Pc2A-V2 and Pc2B-V2, respectively. In one of these integrons, DQ533990, the -35 element of Pc2B-V2 is also duplicated.

To investigate the impact of this Pc2 polymorphism on the strength of promoters Pc2A/Pc2B, we introduced the Pc2A-V2 and/or Pc2B-V2 mutations in the pPc2-1 plasmid (Figure 5A). Replacing Pc2A by Pc2A-V2 or Pc2B by Pc2B-V2 significantly reduced lacZ expression by 39 and 12%, respectively (Figure 5B). When both promoters Pc2A and Pc2B were replaced by their variants Pc2A-V2 and Pc2B-V2, there was an additive effect, and
β-galactosidase expression fell by 57% compared to that obtained with pPc2-1 (Figure 5).

Interestingly, the Pc2A-V2 and Pc2B-V2 variants were always found associated only in class 2 integrons encoding a complete integrase gene, with no premature STOP codon, that has been shown to encode a functional IntI2 protein (Hansson et al., 2002). None of these variants could be recovered in class 2 integrons encoding a truncated integrase.

DISCUSSION

This work highlights particularities in the expression of the integrase and gene cassettes of class 2 integrons. After mapping the IntI2 promoter (Figure 1), we showed that despite the presence of a potential LexA box, LexA did not bind this region. Thus, integrase expression is not regulated by the SOS response in class 2 integrons, contrary to what has been shown for class 1 and V. cholerae integrons (Guerin et al., 2009). Interestingly, Cambray et al. (2011) found a correlation between the lack of a LexA binding box and an inactive integrase. In their analysis, four classes of integron, including class 2, did not fit this general scheme, as they displayed both a putative LexA operator and an inactive integrase (Cambray et al., 2011). As we show here that intI2 expression is not SOS-dependent, class 2 integrons also comply with this general model, belonging to integron classes in which the absence of LexA control correlates with an inactive integrase. Closer examination of the class 2 integrin putative LexA operator (CTGTATTAGGACAGACAG) revealed the presence of 4 C/Gs, with a stretch of 3 consecutive C/Gs, in the 10-bp central region, whereas most experimentally validated LexA operators in E. coli display only 3 or fewer C/Gs in this region1. One can hypothesize that the lack of the usual TA stretch within the class 2 integron putative LexA site may explain why LexA does not bind this site. In the three other integron classes with both a putative LexA operator and an inactive integrase, the putative LexA operator includes 0 or 1 C/G in the central region (Cambray et al., 2011). In class 1 integrons, besides LexA-dependent regulation, expression of the integrase gene is also inhibited by transcriptional interference from the strong Pc variant, due to the face-to-face arrangement of the Pc and PintI1 promoters (Guerin et al., 2009). In class 2 integrons, the Pc promoters (Pc2A and Pc2B) and PintI2 are arranged tail-to-tail, so no such transcriptional interference can exist and intI2 should be constitutively expressed. Consistently, we found no significant difference in β-galactosidase activity with pPintI2-2 (IntI2 cloned alone) and pPintI2-1 (entire attI2 site, includes the Pc promoters) (Figure 2). Although intI2 is fully expressed, the encoded integrase is not functional, owing to the ochre codon in position 179. Hansson et al. (2002) suggested that this shortened 178-aa IntI2 peptide might interfere with the attI2 site, preventing any IntI-mediated recombination. This hypothesis could explain the stability of the gene cassette array of class 2 integrons (Hansson et al., 2002). Consistently, the rare class 2 integrons that encode an active IntI2 integrase display a broader range of gene cassettes and gene cassette arrays (Supplementary Figure S1).

This work also highlights two particularities of gene cassette expression in class 2 integrons: (i) the Pc2 promoter is located in the attI region and not within the intI gene as in other integrons (Collis and Hall, 1995; Collis et al., 2002; Baharoglu et al., 2012), and (ii) at least two promoters, Pc2A and Pc2B, are involved in gene cassette expression. Although we observed weak activity of the putative Pc2C promoter when Pc2A and Pc2B were removed (Figure 3B), Pc2C inactivation within the entire attI2 region had no effect on β-galactosidase activity (Figure 4B). This indicated that the contribution of Pc2C to gene cassette expression is negligible which is consistent with its structure, since it displays a

1http://regulondb.ccg.unam.mx/
FIGURE 6 | Model of evolution of intI and Pc promoters in class 2 integrons. (A) Ancestral class 2 integron. The intI2 gene encodes a functional IntI2 integrase. LexA binds its operator and represses the expression of intI2. The Pc2 promoters are the V1 versions (high level of cassette gene expression). (B) Evolution of the LexA operator preventing LexA binding leading to derepression of intI2 expression. Constitutive expression of intI2 increases the fitness cost of the class 2 integron. This higher fitness cost is counterbalanced through mutations (C), introducing of a premature STOP codon in the IntI2 coding sequence, releasing the fitness cost, and giving raise to the prevailing current class 2 integrons; (D) weakening the Pc2 promoters (Pc2AV2 and Pc2BV2) but keeping an active integrase, this evolution pathway being rare.

suboptimal 19-bp long spacer between the -35 and -10 elements (Mitchell et al., 2003).

The permanent coexistence of two functional Pc promoters within the integron attI region is a unique feature of class 2 integrons. In class 1 integrons, two gene cassette promoters namely Pc and the P2 have been also described but in only 10% of the integrons (Collis and Hall, 1995; Jové et al., 2010). The biological reason for the presence of two active Pc promoters in class 2 integrons is unclear. Further studies are needed to determine whether the presence of these two functional gene cassette promoters in class 2 integrons might be linked to differential regulation of these promoters. One can hypothesize that this peculiar organization might be linked to specific activation of Pc2A and/or Pc2B, either under specific conditions, e.g., in response to distinct lifestyle conditions, or by specific partner proteins.

As in class 1 and 3 integrons (Collis and Hall, 1995; Correia et al., 2003; Jové et al., 2010), there are several Pc variants of different strengths in class 2 integrons. We show here that the class 2 integron promoters Pc2A-V2 and Pc2B-V2 variants are less efficient than Pc2A and Pc2B for gene cassette expression (Figure 5). Interestingly, the Pc2A-V2 and Pc2B-V2 variants have so far always been found together, in association with class 2 integrons that encode a functional IntI2 integrase (Barlow and Gobius, 2006; Márquez et al., 2008; Rodriguez-Minguela et al., 2009; Wei et al., 2014). This observed inverse correlation between the level of gene cassette expression and integrase activity raises another analogy with the class 1 integron model in which the weaker the Pc variant, the more efficient the IntI1 integrase (Jové et al., 2010).

Taken together, our data reveal the existence of two categories of class 2 integrons. The most prevalent category efficiently expresses a limited pool of gene cassettes from promoters Pc2A and Pc2B but is unable to modify its gene cassette array (non-functional IntI2). The rarer category produces an active integrase that permits gene cassette acquisition/rearrangement but expresses the gene cassettes more weakly (twofold; Figure 5). The rarity of functional class 2 integrons may be due to a high fitness cost associated with constitutive intI2 expression. Indeed, even though constitutive intI2 expression might represent an advantage because integrase production is not conditional on an environmental stimulus, it can also be a drawback, given the potential biological cost of intI2 expression or activity. Indeed, it is known than in Acinetobacter and in E. coli, expression of the class 1 integron integrase gene is deleterious, with a high fitness cost, and this can lead to inactivation of the integrase (Starikova et al., 2012; Lacotte et al., 2017).

We propose an evolutionary model for class 2 integrons in which the expression of the ancestral class 2 integrons integrase
was under control of the SOS response (Figure 6A). Then, mutation in the LexA operator would have led to a constitutive expression of the integrase gene encoding a fully active IntI2 (Figure 6B). The resulting high fitness cost would have lead to the introduction of either (i) a nonsense mutation in the intI2 gene that inactivated IntI2 but maintained an array of highly expressed gene cassettes; this would have given rise to the currently prevailing class 2 integrons (Figure 6C), or (ii) mutations within the Pc promoters, generating variants of the latter group of class 2 integrons constitutively express a functional IntI2 whose high fitness cost may explain their rarity.
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