Targeted gene sequencing of FYCO1 identified a novel mutation in a Pakistani family for autosomal recessive congenital cataract
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Abstract

Background: Congenital cataract is causing one-third of blindness worldwide. Congenital cataract is heterogeneous in its inheritance patterns. The current study is aimed to explore the unknown genetic causes underlying congenital cataracts.

Methods: Blood samples from affected and normal individuals of n = 25 Pakistani families identified with congenital cataracts were collected. Genomic DNA was extracted and Sanger sequencing was performed to identify novel pathogenic variants in the FYCO1 (MIM#607182) gene. Later structural bioinformatics tools and molecular dynamics simulations were performed to analyze the impact of these variants on protein structure and function.

Results: Sanger sequencing resulted in the identification of a novel splice site mutation (NM_024513.3: c.3151-29_3151-7del) segregating in an autosomal recessive manner. This novel variant was confirmed to be absent in the n = 300 population controls. Further, bioinformatics tools revealed the formation of a mutant protein with a loss of the Znf domain. In addition, we also found a previously known (c.4127T>C; p.Leu1376Pro) mutation in four families. We also report a novel heterozygous variant (c.3419G>A; p.Arg1140Gln) in another family.

Conclusions: In conclusion, we report a novel deletion (NM_024513.3: c.3151-29_3151-7del) in one family and a frequent homozygous missense mutation (c.4127T>C; p.Leu1376Pro) in four Pakistani families. The current research highlights the importance of autophagy in lens development and maintaining its transparency.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Congenital cataract (CC) is referred to as the leading cause of reversible blindness in children. Its prevalence is ranging from 2.2 to 13.6 per 10,000 children as given based on population-based studies worldwide (Wu et al., 2016). The initiation of cataracts occurs mainly when there is an accumulation of proteins in the lens that results in the formation of large aggregates that block the retina’s transmission of visible images (Wasnik et al., 2021). The optical properties of the lens depend upon the appropriate cellular architecture of the lens fiber cells and the accumulation of the soluble proteins called crystallins, which maintain a constant refractive index of the lens. Any changes in the structure of the lens result in the opacification of the lens thus, driving the variations of the refractive index of the lens (Shoshany et al., 2020).

Congenital cataract has been classified into different subtypes based upon the genotype–phenotype relationship. These types include nuclear, lamellar, total, cortical, anterior polar, and posterior polar cataracts depending upon the location and morphology of the opacities (Vander Veen, 2012). The clinical and genetic heterogeneity of congenital cataracts makes it much more diverse. Congenital cataract follows all types of inheritance patterns in both syndromic and non-syndromic, involving about more than 100 causative genes. However, autosomal dominant is the most prevalent mode of inheritance (Hansen et al., 2009).

To date, 1422 disease-causing variants have been reported for this disease (Wasnik et al., 2021). Thirty-nine different genes are involved in non-syndromic inherited congenital cataracts. So far, 14 genetic loci have been implicated in the autosomal recessive congenital cataract (arCC) and a few of the responsible genes are still unknown. Some of the known genes like CRYBB1 (MIM#600929) (Cohen et al., 2007), CRYAA (MIM#123580) (Beby et al., 2007), CRYBB3 (MIM#123630) (Riazuddin et al., 2005), and CRYAB (MIM#123590) (Chen et al., 2009) are involved in both dominant and recessive inheritance patterns whereas, some of the genes like EPHA2 (MIM#176946) (Kaul et al., 2010), BFSP2 (MIM#603212) (Aldahmeh et al., 2011), HSF4 (MIM#602438) (Behnam et al., 2016), CRYBA1 (MIM#123610) (AlFadhli et al., 2012), CRYGD (MIM#123690) (Gao et al., 2016), GJA8 (MIM#600897) (Arora et al., 2008; Micheal et al., 2018), CRYGC (MIM#123680) (Gonzalez-Huerta et al., 2007), CRYGS (MIM#123730) (Yang et al., 2013), VIM (MIM #193060) (Ma et al., 2016), MIP (MIM#154050) (Gu et al., 2007), WFS1 (MIM#606201) (Berry et al., 2013), GJA3 (MIM#121015) (Ding et al., 2011), TMEM114 (MIM#611579) (Jamieson et al., 2007), UNC45B (MIM#611220) (Hansen et al., 2014), PAX6 (MIM#607108) (Brémond-Gignac et al., 2010), CHMP4B (MIM#610897) (Shiels et al., 2007), and CRYBB2 (MIM#123620) (Faletra et al., 2013) are involved in the autosomal dominant mode of Inheritance only.

The current study is aimed to explore the role of the FYCO1 (MIM#607182) gene in causing congenital cataracts in Pakistani families with a focus particularly on autophagy in maintaining the transparency of the lens. This autophagy protein FYCO1 expresses itself in newborns and plays a critical role in development of eye lens (Brennan et al., 2012). As compared to other genes, involved in eye disorders, little information is available in literature about variants of FYCO1 (MIM#607182) in congenital cataracts. Currently, CRISPR/Cas gene therapy techniques are getting lot of attention to cure the genetic diseases, particularly eye disorders (Zhang, 2021). Therefore, there is need to investigate all disease-causing genetic variants. In this study, we investigated the FYCO1 gene for novel genetic variants, causing the disease. Furthermore, we have employed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to understand the impact of the variants on the structure and function of the protein. The resulting data supports the hypothesis that there exists a strong correlation between FYCO1 protein, autophagy, and cataract.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Subjects

The recruitment of the families was done from the pediatric ophthalmology department of Al-Shifa Eye Trust Hospital, Rawalpindi, Pakistan.

2.2 | Clinical examination

In total, a cohort of n = 25 families was selected for this study with a familial history of congenital cataracts. Detailed ocular, medical and family data were obtained from the available family members of the patients. A complete ophthalmic examination was performed by slit lamp for both affected and non-affected members of the family.

2.3 | Blood DNA extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood by using the QIAGEN DNA Blood Midi Kit (QIAGEN, Germantown, Maryland, USA) by following the detailed instructions of the given package insert.
2.4 | Sanger sequencing

The coding regions and the intronic boundaries of the FYCO1 gene were sequenced in \( n = 25 \) probands of the selected families with familial history of congenital cataracts. Gene-specific primers were designed by using online software Primer 3 to cover all the coding regions of exons and intronic boundaries covering at least 100–120 bp. The PCR product was analyzed with 2% agarose gels. Sanger sequencing was performed by using ABI Big Dye chemistry (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA), by using an automated ABI 3730 Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Inc.) and following the given protocols. Sequence alignment was performed with the reference sequence to find the variant by using CodonCode Aligner (version 6.1) (CodonCode Co., Centerville, MA, USA). The conditions used for PCR reaction mix and annealing temperatures were adapted from the literature. Various online prediction tools like SIFT, MutationTaster, and PolyPhen-2 were used to determine the pathogenicity of missense variants. For splice site variants, MaxEntScan, NNSPLICE, GeneSplicer, Human Splicing Finder, and ESE were used for splicing predictions.

2.5 | Bioinformatics analysis

The three-dimensional prediction of protein structure was done by using the online server I-TASSER (Yang et al., 2015). This server used a threading algorithm to predict the structure of the protein. I-TASSER predicted five potential models. Among these, the best model was selected based on the highest C-score. InterPro server was used to identify the conserved domains and their functions in the protein structure (Blum et al., 2021). Finally, 20ns molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed using the GROMACS 5.0.5 tool to observe the dynamic impact of mutations on the protein structure (Van Der Spoel et al., 2005). Root mean square deviation (RMSD), root mean square fluctuations (RMSF), radius of gyration (Rg), and the total number of hydrogen bonds (Hn) were analyzed by using trajectory.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Family 1 with novel mutation (NM_024513.3: C.3151-29_3151-7del)

In family 1, there were two affected and four unaffected members as shown in the pedigree (Figure 1a).
The proband (IV: 4) was a 6-year-old girl. Both affected members have nuclear cataracts with no other ocular or systemic abnormalities. Sanger Sequencing resulted in the identification of a novel mutation (NM_024513.3: c.3151-29_3151-7del) in the intronic region. This novel deletion was found to be segregating with the disease homozygously among the affected and unaffected members of the family (Figure 1b). This novel mutation (NM_024513.3: c.3151-29_3151-7del) is expected to result in the disruption of the splice site of exon 10 with a probable consequence of skipping exon 10 during transcription. The calculations for the Ex-SKIPscore and Splice Site predictor present that this change leads to the possibility of a loss of splice site of exon 10 as shown in Figure 1c,d. As a result, the mRNA formed will be shorter in length by 119 bp with a loss of about 41 amino acid residues from 1051 to 1090 as compared to wild type RefSeq NP_078789. This novel variant was verified to be absent in population controls of about $n = 300$ and the ClinVar database.

We analyzed the dynamic impact of this mutation on the structure of the protein by using a 20ns molecular dynamics simulation. GROMACS 5.0.5 version was used for this purpose. Root mean square deviation (RMSD), root mean square fluctuation (RMSF), the radius of gyration (Rg), and the total number of hydrogen bonds (Hn) were calculated for wild-type and the mutant structure. It was found that both wild-type and the mutated structures showed a similar type of RMSD trend (Figure 2a). This indicates that the mutation does not disturb the overall structure of the protein. However, in RMSF, fewer fluctuations were observed in the mutant structure as compared to the wild-type. The Rg value for the mutant structure was calculated to be 4.3 which was lesser than the Rg value of the wild-type that is, 4.6. From this RMSF and Rg data, it is concluded that the mutant protein structure as a result of this mutation became more compact as compared to the wild-type (Figure 2b,c). However, almost same number of hydrogen bonds were seen in 20ns simulations (Figure 2d). This shows that due to this mutation the mutant protein structure failed to adopt necessary

**FIGURE 2** Bioinformatics analysis of novel mutation (NM_024513.3: C.3151-29_3151-7del). (a) RMSD graph of wild-type and mutant structures of Molecular Dynamics trajectory. The wild-type and mutants are showing similar trends, indicating that mutation does not impact the overall 3D structure of the protein. (b) RMSF graph of wild-type and mutants structures amino acids residues. These values are calculated during 20 ns Molecular Dynamics simulations. There are significant differences of fluctuations between some wild-type and mutant parts. (c) Radius of Gyration (Rg) of wild-type and mutant calculated during 20 ns Molecular Dynamics simulations. There is a significant difference between Rg value of wild type and mutant structures. (d) Total number of hydrogen bonds calculated in wild-type and mutant structures during 20ns Molecular Dynamics simulations. Almost identical numbers of hydrogen bonds are observed in wild-type and mutants.
conformational changes thereby failing to carry out its role in signaling cascades of autophagy.

3.2 | Mutation (c.4127 T > C; p.Leu1376Pro)

A previously known mutation (c.4127 T > C; p.Leu1376Pro) was also found in four families 2, 3, 4 & 5 as shown in Figure 3a, of our cohort. This mutation (c.4127 T > C; p.Leu1376Pro) was found to be segregating with the affected and unaffected individuals of these families (Figure 3b). This mutation leads to the loss of highly conserved Leu1376 of mammalian species. This reported missense change actually disrupts the reading frame for the GOLD domain of FYVE Coiled-coil protein which is very critical for the normal functioning of the protein (Chen et al., 2011). The presence of this mutation in four out of 25 families suggests it to be a frequent mutation of FYCO1 in the cases of congenital cataracts of Pakistani origin. The change (NP_078789: p.Leu1376Pro) has already been reported to be possibly damaging by PolyPhen and deleterious by SIFT.

3.3 | Family 6 with heterozygous variant (c.3419G > a; p.Arg1140Gln)

We report another novel heterozygous variant in family 6, with a likely benign status (c.3419G > A; p.Arg1140Gln) as shown in Figure 3c,d. This variant has a disease-causing with \( p \)-value = 1 by mutation transfer due to change in highly conserved nucleotide thus giving Polyphen score: 5.94. However, the variant failed to segregate with the affected and unaffected individuals of the family thus indicating that this might not be the only cause of congenital cataract in this family.

In addition, there are some other common Synonymous and non-synonymous variants found in these families for FYCO1 that have been listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

FIGURE 3  Mutation (c.4127 T > C; p.Leu1376Pro) and heterozygous variant (c.3419G > a; p.Arg1140Gln). (a) Pedigree of families with inherited congenital cataract (2-5). (b) Mutation analysis of homozygous mutated individual along with the heterozygous carrier and normal individual for already reported mutation (c.4127 T > C; p.Leu1376Pro). (c) Pedigree of a family 6 showing heterozygous variant (c.3419G > A; p.Arg1140Gln). (d) Mutation analysis of homozygous normal individual along with the heterozygous mutated individual for variant (c.3419G > A; p.Arg1140Gln)
DISCUSSION

In our study, we identified a novel mutation (NM_024513.3; c.3151-29_3151-7del) in the flanking region of Exon 10 thus disrupting splice site for post-transcriptional modifications. The gene for FYVE and coiled-coil domain containing 1 (FYCO1) is located on chromosome 3 at position 3p21.31. This gene is comprised of 18 exons and exon 1 is non-coding. The protein coded by this gene consists of 1478 amino acids with a Molecular mass of 166,983 Daltons. InterPro results revealed that there are four domains in the protein, including RUN domain (36–173 aa residues), coiled-coil domain (224–1154), Znf domain (1166–1231 aa residues), and GOLD domain (1339–1466 aa residues) (Figure 4a).

Out of the 19 mutations reported so far in FYCO1 for congenital cataract mostly are responsible for the formation of truncated protein hampered with coiled–coil or GOLD domain of the protein (Iqbal et al., 2020). Recently reported mutations in FYCO1 include c.2365 C>T (Aprahamian et al., 2021), (c.1621C>T; p.Gln541*) (Barashkov et al., 2021) and (c.1387G>T; p.G463X) (Al-Badran et al., 2022). Among all these, this is the first longest deletion reported so far in FYCO1 gene and 2nd reported splice site variant for Exon 10 in addition to previously reported splice site variant (c.3150+1G>T) (Chen et al., 2011). Exon 10 is involved in the synthesis of the Znf domain which plays an important role in signaling pathways. Previously, only 4 (c.3150+1G>T), (c.3151–2A>C; p.A1051DfsX27), (c.3196delC; p.H1066IfsX10), and (c.3670C>T; p.R1224X) mutations have been reported for Znf domain.

In-silico analysis indicated two major impacts of this mutation on the protein structure. First, it affects the synthesis of the Znf domain of the FYVE and coiled-coil domain containing 1 (FYCO1) protein, suggesting that this domain is critical for the function of FYCO1 protein. Second, it is the first of the longest known deletion reported so far for FYCO1. It is interesting to find that this longest deletion is not leading to the truncation of the protein. However, it is leading to loss of the necessary protein conformation as shown by the MD simulations with fewer RMSF fluctuations in the mutant as compared to wild-type. It means that this novel variation caused to increase overall compactness of the mutant protein structure as shown in Figure 4b,c. These results suggest

### TABLE 1 Frequency of synonymous/noncoding variants in Pakistani families

| Exon | Variant SNP ref as; cDNA position | Amino acid change: Homo/Het | Clinical sig: Frequency out of 25 %age |
|------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| E_4  | rs4682801 c.267C>A                | Hom/Het                     | Benign 21 84%                         |
| E_8–1| rs13071283 c.819A>G               | Heterozygous                | Benign 10 40%                         |
| E_8–2| rs3796376 c.1335G>A               | Hom/Het                     | Benign 14 56%                         |
| E_8–5| rs13079869 c.2739C>T              | Hom/Het                     | Benign 9 36%                          |
| E_13 | rs41289618 c.3789A>G              | Heterozygous                | Likely benign 1 4%                    |
| E_14 | rs1463680 c.3924C>T               | Hom/Het                     | Benign 24 96%                         |
| E_5  | rs751552 c.289-14T>A              | Heterozygous                | Benign 16 64%                         |
| E_6  | rs41289622 c.539+35A>C            | Heterozygous                | Benign 6 24%                          |
| E_12 | rs13069079 c.3587+15C>T           | Hom/Het                     | Benign 11 44%                         |
|      | rs1532071 c.3438–29C>T            | Hom/Het                     | Benign 15 60%                         |

Note: Nucleotide and amino acid designations are based on Refseq (NM_024513.3) and (NP_078789). An updated version of (NM_024513.3) may be found as (NM_024513.4).

### TABLE 2 Frequency of non-synonymous variants in Pakistani families

| Exon | Variant SNP ref as; cDNA position | Amino acid change: Homo/Het | Clinical sig: Frequency out of 25 %age |
|------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| E_8–1| rs4683158 rs3733100 c.749G>A      | Hom/Het                     | Benign 22 85%                         |
|      | rs4683158 c.962G>C               | Hom/Het                     | Benign 14 56%                         |
| E_8–2| rs33910087 c.1339C>T             | Hom/Het                     | Benign 9 36%                          |
| E_8–3| rs3796375 c.2036C>T              | Hom/Het                     | Benign 9 36%                          |
| E_8–5| rs13059238 rs13079478 c.3001A>G  | Hom/Het                     | Benign 9 36%                          |
|      | rs13059238 c.3003C>A             | Hom/Het                     | Benign 8 32%                          |
| E_11 | rs41289620 rs13069079 c.3419G>A  | Heterozygous                | Benign 1 4%                           |
| E_16 | rs387906965 c.4127T>C            | Hom                          | pathogenic 4 16%                      |
the importance of the necessary protein confirmation required for FYCO1 to act as an adapter molecule for autophagy. The Znf domain in the protein interacts with DNA, RNA, or proteins. The binding affinities depend upon the sequence of the domain and its proper conformation. In FYCO1, the Znf domain believes to interact with cytoskeleton proteins along with the GOLD and RUN domain. If we look into the evolutionary conservation of the FYCO1 protein sequence via CLUSTAL W, the scores show 81% alignment between Humans, dogs, and Cows and about 78% between humans and mice (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2009). This high rate of conservation shows the significance of the protein and its functional implications at a large scale.

The correlation of autophagy and the role of FYCO1 in the development of cataracts has been confirmed by Pankiv et al. (2010). His experiments with FYCO1 knockout mice suggested that the absence of FYCO1 protein resulted in the accumulation of perinuclear autophagosomes thus relating its key involvement in autophagy involves the formation of double membranous autophagosomes which engulf the damaged cellular components and direct them to lysosomes for further recycling thus maintaining the lens transparency (Yang & Klionsky, 2010). The absence of the Znf domain may lead to failure of this transportation and accumulation of vesicles. Autophagy has been one of the most extensively under investigation pathways since the 19th century but its involvement remains still unclear and controversial. However, it has been widely shown that autophagy plays an important role as intracellular quality control, but its implications in the development of CC and age-related cataract is still a lot more to be worked out (Morishita & Mizushima, 2016).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we report here a novel splice site deletion (NM_024513.3: c.3151-29_3151-7del) in the exon 10 of FYCO1 gene, resulting in congenital cataract in family 1. As a result of this mutation FYCO1 protein fails to adapt its necessary confirmation needed to perform its role in one of the key pathway autophagy for maintaining lens transparency. In addition, we also emphasize the presence of a higher frequency of mutations in the FYCO1 gene in the cases reported for congenital cataract with a Pakistani origin thus increasing an overall frequency to 20% (5/25) which was previously 15% (Iqbal et al., 2020) and 13.8% (Chen et al., 2017). These findings propose considering the FYCO1 mutation spectrum to be included in genetic testing platform particularly for cataract cases with Pakistani origin. Also, we propose there is a need for more functional and domain analysis studies for this protein and its implications in autophagy and lens development to help out in therapeutic applications.
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