Plant cation-chloride cotransporters (CCCs) have been implicated in conferring salt tolerance. They are predicted to improve shoot salt exclusion by directly catalyzing the retrieval of sodium (Na\(^+\)) and chloride (Cl\(^-\)) ions from the root xylem. We investigated whether grapevine (Vitis vinifera [Vvi]) CCC has a role in salt tolerance by cloning and functionally characterizing the gene from the cultivar Cabernet Sauvignon. Amino acid sequence analysis revealed that VviCCC shares a high degree of similarity with other plant CCCs. A VviCCC-yellow fluorescent protein translational fusion protein localized to the Golgi and the trans-Golgi network and not the plasma membrane when expressed transiently in tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) leaves and Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) mesophyll protoplasts. AtCCC-green fluorescent protein from Arabidopsis also localized to the Golgi and the trans-Golgi network. In Xenopus laevis oocytes, VviCCC targeted to the plasma membrane, where it catalyzed bumetanide-sensitive 36Cl\(^-\) uptake, suggesting that VviCCC (like AtCCC) belongs to the Na\(^+\)-K\(^+\)-2Cl\(^-\) cotransporter class of CCCs. Expression of VviCCC in an Arabidopsis ccc knockout mutant abolished the mutant’s stunted growth phenotypes and reduced shoot Cl\(^-\) and Na\(^+\) content to wild-type levels after growing plants in 50 mM NaCl. In grapevine roots, VviCCC transcript abundance was not regulated by Cl\(^-\) treatment and was present at similar levels in both the root stele and cortex of three Vitis spp. genotypes that exhibit differential shoot salt exclusion. Our findings indicate that CCC function is conserved between grapevine and Arabidopsis, but neither protein is likely to directly mediate ion transfer with the xylem or have a direct role in salt tolerance.

Plant salinity stress impacts negatively on crop growth and is a significant limiting factor for agriculture, particularly in arid and semiarid regions, with an estimated cost of U.S. $27 billion because of lost crop production per year (Qadir et al., 2014; Munns and Gilliham, 2015). It has been estimated that irrigated agriculture, which produces 40% of the world’s calories, has one-fifth of its soils salt affected (FAO, 2002). The extent of this salt-affected agricultural land has been estimated to increase by 4% every year (FAO, 2002; Pimentel et al., 2004). Significant groundwater depletion of the world’s major aquifers through drought, climate change, and mismanagement concentrates dissolved solutes within the groundwater, compounding potential salinity issues for agriculture (Famiglietti, 2014). It is generally recognized that sodium chloride (NaCl) imposes an initial osmotic effect of slowing growth followed by a secondary ionic effect, where sodium (Na\(^+\)) and chloride (Cl\(^-\)) accumulate to levels that inhibit essential cellular processes (Munns and Tester, 2008).

Grapevine (Vitis vinifera [Vvi]; Grimplet et al., 2014) is irrigated in many parts of the world and considered moderately sensitive to salinity stress (Maas and Hoffman, 1977). Effects of salt stress on grapevine include a reduced rate of shoot growth and CO\(_2\) fixation (Downton, 1977).
and reduced yield (Walker et al., 2002). Furthermore, fermentation of grapes that accumulate high concentrations of salt can be prolonged or is prone to stalling before reaching completion (Donkin et al., 2010). The resulting wines from such fermenters retain high salt concentrations, can be unpalatable (Walker et al., 2003), and can exceed limits for trade or domestic markets (e.g. NaCl at 1,000 mg L⁻¹ in Australia and 60 mg L⁻¹ free Na⁺ as recommended by the International Organization of Vine and Wine [Leske et al., 1997]).

Salt tolerance in grapevine as in other woody perennials, such as Citrus spp. and avocado (Persea americana), is correlated with Cl⁻ exclusion from the shoot (Ehlig, 1960; Storey and Walker, 1999; Teakle and Tyerman, 2010). This contrasts with other important crops, such as rice (Oryza sativa), wheat (Triticum aestivum), and the model plant Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), where salt tolerance predominantly correlates with shoot Na⁺ exclusion (Munns et al., 2006, 2012; Jha et al., 2010; Shavrukov et al., 2010). A limited number of studies has highlighted the negative impact of Na⁺ on grapevine physiology (Shani and Ben-Gal, 2005; Stevens et al., 2011), and although its impact should not be discounted in this species, the major research effort for maintaining viticultural productivity under saline conditions has concentrated on improving shoot Cl⁻ exclusion (Tregeagle et al., 2006; Fort et al., 2015). A key step in accelerating the selection of grapevine germplasm with improved salt tolerance would be to identify the proteins involved in shoot Cl⁻ exclusion (Henderson et al., 2014).

To overcome excessive Cl⁻ accumulation in the field, grapevine is typically grown on Cl⁻ excluding rootstocks. These are usually a hybrid with a complex background of various Vitis spp. For instance, rootstock 140 Ruggeri (Vitis berlandieri ‘Resseguir #2’ × Vitis rupestris ‘St. George’) is known for its ability to limit shoot Cl⁻ accumulation (Gong et al., 2010), whereas rootstock K51-40 (Vitis champini ‘Dogridge’ × Vitis riparia ‘Gloire’) is a poor shoot Cl⁻ emitter, even compared with own rooted grapevines (Tregeagle et al., 2010; Abbaspour et al., 2013). However, the molecular mechanisms that underlie differences in shoot Cl⁻ accumulation between grapevine rootstocks—or between plants of any species—have yet to be determined. Numerous studies have suggested that net shoot Cl⁻ accumulation is regulated by membrane-localized anion transporters that mediate Cl⁻ uptake into roots or control the rate of root to shoot Cl⁻ transport at the root xylem interface (Gilliam and Tester, 2005; Brumós et al., 2009, 2010; Gong et al., 2011; Henderson et al., 2014). Grapevine, with its well-characterized differences in shoot Cl⁻ accumulation, therefore serves as a good model from which to characterize candidate transporters for these processes in plants.

Cation-chloride cotransporters (CCCs) have been proposed to be prime candidates for directly regulating ion concentration in the root xylem (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007; Flowers and Colmer, 2008; Brumós et al., 2009; Barbier-Brygoo et al., 2011; Shabala, 2013; Wegner, 2014; Fricke, 2015). CCCs from mammals and fish have been relatively well characterized; they transport the cations K⁺ and/or Na⁺ with the anion Cl⁻ in a 1:1 ratio, and hence, facilitate electroneutral transport (Gamba, 2005). One of the main roles of mammalian CCCs is the reabsorption of electrolytes and water by the kidney. An example is an Na⁺-K⁺-2Cl⁻ cotransporter (NKCC) from rat (Rattus norvegicus) that functions in the thick ascending limb of the loop of Henle of the nephron (Gamba et al., 1994). Given this role of some NKCCs, compounds that show inhibitory activity against CCC proteins are named loop diuretics. There are three types of CCCs classified based on their transport properties. NKCCs are typically inhibited by the loop diuretic bumetanide (Gamba et al., 1993) along with some K⁺-Cl⁻ cotransporters (Mercado et al., 2000), whereas Na⁺-Cl⁻ cotransporters are not (Gamba et al., 1993).

The first plant CCC identified was auxin-independent growth protein1 (AX11) from Nicotiana tabacum, which was originally thought to trigger auxin-independent growth of protoplasts (Harling et al., 1997), but these findings were not reproducible (Schell et al., 1999). Later, Colmenero-Flores et al. (2007) identified the Arabidopsis AtCCC as a bumetanide-sensitive NKCC cotransporter when expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes. Knockout of Atccc led to greater Na⁺ and Cl⁻ accumulation in Arabidopsis shoots compared with wild-type plants when treated with high concentrations of K⁺, Na⁺, and Cl⁻, and promoter GUS fusions of AtCCC in planta displayed strong expression in the vasculature at the xylem/symplast boundary (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007). GFP fusions of OsCCC from rice (spp. japonica) were reported to localize to the plasma membrane (PM) of onion (Allium cepa) epidermal cells and rice root cells (Kong et al., 2011). Although these data are consistent with the proposed role for plant CCCs—of net xylem loading and/or retrieval of Na⁺, K⁺, and Cl⁻ through the PM of root stelar cells (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007)—this hypothesis was questioned by Teakle and Tyerman (2010). Teakle and Tyerman (2010) argued that the calculated Cl⁻ gradients required for CCCs to function in ion retrieval from the xylem into xylem parenchyma cells would be atypical for plant root cells; instead, Teakle and Tyerman (2010) suggested a potential role of AtCCC in compartmentation of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ in roots if it functioned on the vacuole, which would also reduce net xylem loading of these ions. Knockout of Atccc also led to a major growth phenotype, even under standard conditions (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007), which is unlikely to result from the observed small elevation in shoot salt concentration under these conditions. Therefore, further investigations are needed to clarify the putative role of CCCs in conferring shoot Cl⁻ (and Na⁺) exclusion and salt tolerance in plants.

We identified and functionally characterized the grapevine CCC. We selected Cabernet Sauvignon as the cultivar from which to isolate VoITCC, because it has been used extensively for previous molecular investigations (Cramer et al., 2007; Tattersall et al., 2007; Vincent et al., 2007; Shelden et al., 2009).
RESULTS

Identification of VviCCC

Multiple putative grapevine CCC mRNA sequences exist in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank Database, including truncated versions from Cabernet Sauvignon (accession no. GQ161924.1) and another cultivar, Merlot (accession no. GQ161925.1). Using the amino acid sequence of AtCCC as a query in a BLASTP analysis against the 12× International Grape Genome Program grapevine genome at EnsemblPlants, we identified a single predicted full-length grapevine homolog of the previously characterized Arabidopsis CCC protein. The VviCCC protein was predicted to contain 982 amino acids and encoded by a single-gene locus on chromosome 10 (VIT10s0003g04530). This annotated CCC isoform in Cabernet Sauvignon roots was subsequently confirmed as correct by isolating and sequencing the corresponding full-length coding sequence. Multiple protein sequence alignment showed that VviCCC displays a high degree of similarity with other previously characterized or identified plant CCCs (Fig. 1A). Phylogenetic analysis showed that the most closely related known protein to VviCCC is the Citrus clementina CCC identified by Brumós et al. (2010), which is another woody perennial crop species (Fig. 1B). The VviCCC protein sequence was analyzed by five different transmembrane topology prediction programs (for list, see Supplemental Table S1). The identification of putative transmembrane domains suggested that VviCCC shared membrane transporter function with AtCCC, and therefore, we characterized the protein accordingly.

VviCCC and AtCCC Localize to the Golgi and Trans-Golgi Network

Although AtCCC has been functionally characterized as a membrane transporter (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007), its subcellular localization has not been shown previously. We therefore aimed to ascertain the subcellular localizations of both AtCCC and VviCCC in planta. We queried the Subcellular Localization of Proteins in Arabidopsis3 (SUBA3) Database (Tanz et al., 2013) as a starting point to determine AtCCC localization. SUBA3 showed various predicted localizations of AtCCC, including the PM, cytosol, and mitochondria. SUBA3 also summarized four proteomic studies that identified AtCCC peptides in the Golgi, the trans-Golgi network (TGN; Drakakaki et al., 2012; Nikolovski et al., 2012), PM (Benschop et al., 2007), and tonoplast (Whiteman et al., 2008b). Two additional studies have identified AtCCC peptides in the TGN (Sadowski et al., 2008; Groen et al., 2014). Collectively, these studies and the methods that they used to identify AtCCC are summarized in Table I.

Because of the wide range of putative subcellular localizations of AtCCC, we used fluorescent tagging

Figure 1. VviCCC is closely related to CCCs from other plant species. A, Multiple protein sequence alignment of plant CCC. Putative transmembrane (TM) domains of VviCCC and amino acid similarity scores are shown as indicated. Protein accession numbers are reported in Supplemental Table S2, and the full alignment is detailed in Supplemental Figure S7. B, Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of plant CCC proteins used in A showing bootstrap values from 5,000 iterations.
to establish AtCCC and VviCCC localizations. We constructed a yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) fusion of VviCCC at the carboxy terminus and transiently expressed this protein in tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana*) leaves combined with various subcellular markers fused to red fluorescent protein (RFP) or mCherry by agroinfiltration. Confocal laser-scanning microscopy detected a mobile punctate VviCCC-YFP signal (Supplemental Video S1) that did not overlap with the plasma membrane intrinsic protein 2a (PIP2a) PM or HDEL endoplasmic reticulum (ER) markers (Nelson et al., 2007; Fig. 2, A and B). Partial colocalization was observed between the signals of VviCCC-YFP and either the mannosidase I (Man1)-RFP Golgi marker (Supplemental Fig. S1A) or the ER marker (Supplemental Fig. S1B). Instead, like VviCCC, the AtCCC-GFP signal overlapped partially with the Golgi marker (Supplemental Fig. S1C) and the TGN marker (Supplemental Fig. S1D).

To further assess the subcellular localization of VviCCC and AtCCC, YFP fusions of these CCC proteins were transiently expressed in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts and imaged by confocal laser-scanning microscopy. YFP signals did not overlap with the PM marker Rho of plants1 (ROP11) fused to enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (eCFP; Molendijk et al., 2008; Supplemental Fig. S2, A and B). However, when colocalization was performed using the teal fluorescent Wave 13T marker (Geldner et al., 2009) encoding the TGN protein vesicle transport v-SNARE12 (AtVTI12), overlap between the VviCCC-YFP or AtCCC-YFP and the TGN-TFP signals was observed (Supplemental Fig. S2, C and D). These data in tobacco epidermal cells and Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts suggest that VviCCC and AtCCC are localized to the Golgi and TGN in plants.

**VviCCC Transcript Analysis**

To evaluate the possible function of VviCCC, the tissue specificity of VviCCC transcript was investigated in Cabernet Sauvignon. The flower, tendril, green berry, petiole, leaf, and root were harvested from pot-grown vines and, reverse transcription-PCR was used to detect transcript in these organs. VviCCC was detected in all tissue types analyzed (Fig. 3A).

Next, we aimed to elucidate changes in VviCCC transcript abundance in grapevine root tissue in response to salt stress and between *Vitis* spp. rootstocks of contrasting Cl\(^{-}\) exclusion capacity. Grapevine cultivars were established as rooted leaves and grown hydroponically using the method by Schachtman and Thomas (2003). CCC expression was compared between the roots of Cabernet Sauvignon, the salt-tolerant rootstock 140 Ruggeri, and the salt-sensitive rootstock K51-40 by real-time quantitative reverse transcription (qRT)-PCR. No significant difference in the relative transcript abundance between varieties was observed under nonstressed conditions (Fig. 3B). In addition, salt stress applied as 50 mM Cl\(^{-}\) did not significantly alter the transcript abundance within varieties (Fig. 3B). Between varieties, salt stress conditions induced an approximate 50% increase in CCC transcript levels in K51-40 compared with Cabernet Sauvignon (Fig. 3B), but there was no significant difference between the rootstocks in CCC expression under salt treatment. The Cl\(^{-}\) content of the roots, leaves, and petioles of this material was measured and described previously (see fig. 1 in Henderson et al., 2014). The expected Cl\(^{-}\) compartmentation pattern was found, and K51-40 had greater Cl\(^{-}\) in the leaf but lower Cl\(^{-}\) in the root than in the corresponding tissues of 140 Ruggeri.

To further characterize CCC expression, the stele and epidermis/cortex of grapevine roots were separated by hand, resulting in samples that were enriched in cell types from these compartments (Henderson et al., 2014). RNA was extracted, and expression levels were determined by qRT-PCR. The poor Cl\(^{-}\) excluder K51-40 had significantly greater expression of CCC in the root stele under 50 mM Cl\(^{-}\) stress (Fig. 3C). The other varieties displayed no significant difference between these two tissue types (Fig. 3C).

### Table 1. Summary of organellar proteomic studies identifying the subcellular localization of AtCCC by mass spectrometry

| Subcellular Localization of AtCCC | Tissue Type | Ecotype | Method Used | Reference |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| TGN                              | Roots       | Col-0   | (1) Immunopurification of VHAa1-GFP fraction and (2) LOPIT proteomics | Groen et al. (2014) |
| TGN                              | Callus      | Col-0   | LOPIT proteomics | Nikolovski et al. (2012) |
| Golgi/TGN                        | Leaves      | Col-0   | Immunopurification of SY61-CFP vesicles, nanoliquid chromatography/mass spectrometry | Drakakaki et al. (2012) |
| Golgi                            | Leaves      | Col-0   | LOPIT proteomics | Sadowski et al. (2008) |
| Tonoplast                        | Leaves      | Col-0   | Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry analysis of tonoplast-enriched fraction | Whiteman et al. (2008b) |
| PM                               | Suspension cells | Col-0 | Nanoscale HPLC-mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry of PM fraction isolated by two-phase partitioning | Benschop et al. (2007) |
Sequence Analysis of CCC between Contrasting *Vitis* spp.

Although related, Cabernet Sauvignon, 140 Ruggeri, and K51-40 are different *Vitis* spp. Therefore, it would be possible that nucleotide sequence differences and subsequent amino acid changes in CCC proteins between species could have functional implications that might contribute to differential Cl⁻ exclusion capacity. To address this, we amplified the coding sequences of *Vitis* spp. CCCs from all three grapevine varieties and sequenced them. Some heterozygosity could be observed as overlapping peaks on the electrophoretogram. Only one of these heterozygous regions had the potential for an amino acid substitution (N123I). The heterozygosity that could bring about this potential substitution was identical in the CCC complementary DNA (cDNA) from both 140 Ruggeri and K51-40, and therefore, we concluded that there was no difference in the CCC gene sequence that could contribute to differential Cl⁻ exclusion between the grapevine varieties examined in this study. The cDNA sequences are shown in Supplemental Figure S3.

Figure 2. *VviCCC-YFP* localizes to the Golgi and TGN. Transient coexpression of *VviCCC-YFP* with various subcellular markers in epidermal cells of tobacco. Tobacco leaves were coinfiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* strains harboring *VviCCC-YFP* and PM marker AtPIP2a-mCherry (A), ER marker HDEL-mCherry (B), Golgi marker Manl-RFP (C), or TGN marker RFP-SYP61 (D). E, *A. tumefaciens* harboring free GFP without *VviCCC-YFP* was infiltrated as a control. Leaf sections were imaged by confocal laser-scanning microscopy. Chlorophyll autofluorescence and mCherry and RFP signals are shown in magenta in left. GFP and YFP signals are shown in green in center. Colocalization of green and magenta signals appears in white in right. Bar = 10 μm.
VviCCC Transports Sodium, Potassium, and Chloride

AtCCC is a member of the NKCC family (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007). We therefore investigated the transport properties of VviCCC in X. laevis oocytes. Because VviCCC is localized to the Golgi and TGN in plant cells, we first examined whether VviCCC targeted to the PM of oocytes, which is a common end point in oocytes for proteins that are targeted to plant endomembranes (Maurel et al., 1993). Injection of capped RNA (cRNA) encoding VviCCC-YFP into oocytes resulted in detectable fluorescence at the PM, and this fluorescence was not detectable in water-injected control oocytes (Fig. 4A). Given that VviCCC-YFP was PM localized in X. laevis oocytes, we assayed the uptake in oocytes of the radiotracers $^{22}$Na$^+$, $^{86}$Rb$^+$, and $^{36}$Cl$^-$ after injection with untagged VviCCC cRNA to determine its transport properties. Compared with water-injected control oocytes, VviCCC mediated significant uptake of $^{22}$Na$^+$ (Fig. 4B), $^{86}$Rb$^+$ (a K$^+$ tracer; Fig. 4C; Supplemental Fig. S4), and $^{36}$Cl$^-$ (Fig. 4D), suggesting that VviCCC is able to transport Na$^+$, K$^+$, and Cl$^-$. Furthermore, radiotracer uptake by VviCCC-injected oocytes was significantly inhibited by 100 $\mu$M NKCC-specific loop diuretic bumetanide (Fig. 4, B–D). The reduced uptake of $^{86}$Rb$^+$ and $^{36}$Cl$^-$ after bumetanide treatment in water-injected oocytes (Fig. 4, C and D) is likely caused by the activity of endogenous NKCC of X. laevis oocytes (Suvitayavat et al., 1994). This suggests that VviCCC functions as a bumetanide-sensitive NKCC transporter.

Expression of VviCCC Complements the Arabidopsis ccc Mutant

Two previously characterized Arabidopsis transfer DNA (T-DNA) insertion mutants with reduced expression of AtCCC (ccc1 and ccc2) display a stunted growth phenotype with late flowering and bushy inflorescences (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007). We used the ccc2 mutant (Salk_145300) for complementation analysis. Salk_145300 is not part of the homozygous collection, and therefore, it was self-fertilized; the presence of a homozygous T-DNA insertion was confirmed by PCR in subsequent generations (Supplemental Fig. S5). Salk_145300 was transformed with VviCCC under the constitutive Cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter, and two independent nonsegregating T3 individuals were obtained. Semi-qRT-PCR showed that the AtCCC transcript was only detectable in the Columbia-0 (Col-0) wild-type plant and absent from ccc2 and both transgenic lines made in this study.
The presence of varietyCCC transcript was seen in the two independent varietyCCC-expressing lines (Fig. 5A). Using real-time quantitative PCR performed on whole-plant samples, we determined that the varietyCCC-expressing line number 1 had approximately 2-fold greater expression of varietyCCC than line number 2 (Fig. 5B).

The stunted growth phenotype of ccc was fully reversed in varietyCCC-expressing line number 1 and partially...
ameliorated in line number 2. The rosette size of both 
lines more closely resembled that of wild-type Col-0 (Fig. 6A). Line 2 displayed bushy and slightly shorter inflorescences compared with the wild type and line 1, and this was more evident after 6 weeks of growth in long-day conditions (Fig. 6A; Supplemental Fig. S6). After 5 weeks in hydroponics under short-day conditions, the two independent VviCCC-expressing lines showed full complementation of a root and shoot fresh weight phenotype of the ccc mutant (Fig. 6B). Silique development was restored to normal in both VviCCC-expressing lines (Fig. 6C).

Compared with wild-type Col-0, the ccc mutant showed a reduced root length phenotype during the first 2 weeks of growth in vitro (Fig. 7A). Expression of VviCCC in the mutant background could complement this phenotype (Fig. 7A). We also investigated whether this phenotype was exacerbated by salt stress. When seedlings were transferred to one-half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium (MS) plates supplemented with 100 mM NaCl for 10 d, a significant reduction in root length was observed in all lines compared with control conditions (Fig. 7A). There was also a significant difference between the root length of the wild type and ccc when grown on 100 mM NaCl, and this was complemented by VviCCC expression in two independent transformants (Fig. 7). VviCCC expression in the mutant ccc did not improve growth above wild-type levels in any conditions tested.

**VviCCC Mediates Sodium and Chloride Homeostasis in the Arabidopsis ccc Mutant**

Arabidopsis CCC is implicated in controlling long-distance Cl⁻ transport from the root to shoot. Two Atccc mutant lines accumulated more Cl⁻ in aerial tissues and less in root tissue, and these differences were exacerbated by both the duration and strength of Cl⁻ treatment (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007). To investigate whether VviCCC has a similar role in Cl⁻ homeostasis in planta, we used inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) to compare the shoot ion concentration of hydroponically grown wild-type, mutant, and VviCCC-expressing Arabidopsis lines exposed to 0 and 50 mM NaCl. The Cl⁻ concentration of the ccc mutant was significantly greater under control conditions compared with wild-type and VviCCC-expressing lines (Fig. 8A). This was exacerbated by salt treatment, with all lines accumulating more shoot Cl⁻ (Fig. 8A). The Arabidopsis ccc mutant also accumulated more shoot Na⁺ than the wild type, and this mutant phenotype was reversed by expression of VviCCC in the two independent ccc lines (Fig. 8B). Salt treatment led to greater shoot Na⁺ accumulation, and this was reversed in VviCCC-expressing line 1, whereas the weaker complemented line number 2 shoot Na⁺ concentration was slightly higher (Fig. 8B). K⁺ concentration was unchanged between mutant, wild-type, and VviCCC-expressing lines under control and salt-stress conditions (Fig. 8C).

**Figure 5.** Two independent transformants of the Arabidopsis ccc mutant show variation in the expression level of VviCCC. A, Semi-qRT-PCR of the Arabidopsis ccc (Salk_145300) wild type (Col-0) and two independently transformed lines of ccc complemented with VviCCC. Thirty cycles of PCR were performed. Negative control is water template. B, Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of the expression level of VviCCC in whole ccc plants of two independent transformants. Data are the means of four biological replicates ± SEM. Data are relative to the biological replicate with the greatest transcript abundance in line number 1. *, Significant difference (P < 0.05; Student’s t test).
DISCUSSION

CCCs have been extensively studied in mammalian systems because of their vital role in renal function (Gamba, 2005). Comparatively few studies have been conducted in plants, and the role of plant CCCs has yet to be fully established. We have isolated and functionally characterized \textit{VviCCC}—a gene encoding a CCC from grapevine. Radioactive tracer uptake studies in \textit{X. laevis} oocytes revealed that \textit{VviCCC} mediates Na\textsuperscript{+}, Rb\textsuperscript{+} (a K\textsuperscript{+} tracer), and Cl\textsuperscript{−} uptake. Radioisotope uptake was inhibited by bumetanide, a loop diuretic that targets NKCCs, indicating that \textit{VviCCC} belongs to the NKCC class of CCC. This is supported by the observation that \textit{VviCCC}, when expressed in an Arabidopsis ccc mutant devoid of its native NKCC, complemented phenotypes relating to both growth and ion accumulation. Phenotypic complementation included reduced levels of Na\textsuperscript{+} and Cl\textsuperscript{−} in shoots. This provides evidence that \textit{VviCCC} is involved in Na\textsuperscript{−} and Cl\textsuperscript{−} transport in planta.

Suggestions have been made that AtCCC may actively retrieve Cl\textsuperscript{−} from the root xylem (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007) and that orthologous proteins might therefore mediate shoot Cl\textsuperscript{−} exclusion and salt tolerance in Cl\textsuperscript{−}-sensitive plant species, such as grapevine and citrus (Brumós et al., 2010). For \textit{VviCCC} to directly mediate Cl\textsuperscript{−} (and Na\textsuperscript{+} and K\textsuperscript{+}) efflux to or retrieval from the root xylem, it must be embedded within the PM. In our study, both GFP-tagged AtCCC and YFP-tagged \textit{VviCCC} were absent from the PM when expressed in tobacco leaves and Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts. Instead, CCC fluorescence was detected in the Golgi and TGN. This contrasts with the described localization of OsCCC, where PM localization was reported to be present in onion epidermis and rice root cells (Kong et al., 2011). Yet, in agreement with our findings, AtCCC peptides have been identified in the Golgi and TGN in four independent proteomic studies (Sadowski et al., 2008; Drakakaki et al., 2012; Nikolovski et al., 2012; Groen et al., 2014; Table I).

Figure 6. Expression of \textit{VviCCC} complements a growth phenotype of the ccc mutant. A, Dwarf phenotype of the Arabidopsis ccc mutant is rescued by constitutive expression of \textit{VviCCC} in two independent lines; 4-, 5-, and 6-week-old soil-grown plants under nonstress conditions are shown. B, Root and shoot fresh weights of 6-week-old hydroponically grown wild-type, mutant, and \textit{VviCCC}-expressing lines. Bars are means ± SEM of at least 17 individuals from two independent experiments. *, Significant difference from the wild type (ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test; \(P < 0.05\)). C, Although the ccc mutant line shows undeveloped siliques at 6 weeks of age, expression of \textit{VviCCC} in both independent complemented lines results in normal silique development, reminiscent of wild-type Col-0.
This shows that plant CCCs localize to these subcellular compartments in planta. In particular, one of these studies identified AtCCC in TGN vesicles that were immuno-purified using antibodies against SYP61 (Drakakaki et al., 2012). In our study, RFP-SYP61 colocalized with VviCCC-YFP (Fig. 2D) and AtCCC-GFP in tobacco cells (Supplemental Fig. S1D). AtCCC was previously identified in a tonoplast-enriched fraction of Arabidopsis (Whiteman et al., 2008b). However, when the same technique was applied to rice, OsCCC was not identified in the tonoplast or PM (Whiteman et al., 2008a). In another study, AtCCC was found in PM-enriched preparations, but a small number of potential contaminants was also observed (Benschop et al., 2007). Using localization of organelle proteins by isotope tagging (LOPIT), Groen et al. (2014) were able to determine the steady-state positions of TGN proteins in Arabidopsis roots and discriminate between contaminants and cargo proteins in transit from full-time residents of this organelle. The steady-state location of AtCCC was determined to be the TGN.

Based on our data and the salt-related phenotypes of other plants misexpressing proteins localized to Golgi and TGN membranes, it is increasingly clear that these compartments have an important role in plant salt tolerance (Munns and Gilliham, 2015). For instance, double knockouts of TGN-localized Na⁺-H⁺ antiporters, nhx5/nhx6, are hypersensitive to moderate salinity and disrupt vesicle trafficking to the vacuole (Basil et al., 2011). Furthermore, both a phosphate transporter in Arabidopsis (AtPHT4;6) and a monosaccharide transporter from rice (OsGMST1) are Golgi localized, and reduced expression of either transporter reduces salt tolerance of plants (Cubero et al., 2009; Cao et al., 2011). Many vesicle trafficking-related proteins, such as RAB GTPases, and soluble N-ethyl-maleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors (SNARE) also localize to the Golgi and TGN, and knockout of these factors also results in altered salt sensitivity (Kim and Bassham, 2011; Asaoka et al., 2013). The role of endosomal-localized transporters in the control of shoot salt exclusion is unclear but might involve sensing cytoplasmic salt concentrations or regulating luminal pH or ion homeostasis, which is required for normal Golgi and TGN function. Disrupted endosomal function could result in the misprocessing of other transport proteins important in shoot salt exclusion. Alternatively, vesicle trafficking, which may improve salt tolerance by removal of salts from the cytoplasm to the apoplast through exocytosis or the secretion of salts into the vacuole, could be disrupted in plants lacking the full complement of Golgi/TGN transporters. This is clearly an area of growing research interest that warrants further attention.

We localized AtCCC and VviCCC to the Golgi and TGN; however, it is impossible to fully rule out alternative localizations for these proteins under different conditions considering the role of the Golgi in delivering proteins to different compartments. Another membrane protein, Arabidopsis thaliana Phosphate1 (AtPHT1), and also, its mammalian homolog, Mus musculus Xenotropic Polytropic Virus Receptor1 (MmXPR1), localize to the plant Golgi and TGN under normal conditions but somehow mediate inorganic phosphate release to the extracellular space (Árpat et al., 2012; Wege and Poirier, 2014). In these studies, it was hypothesized that phosphate export proteins might be present in too low abundance at the PM for detection by fluorophore tagging or alternatively, that phosphate might be loaded into vesicles for exocytosis followed by rapid recycling away from the PM (Árpat et al., 2012; Wege and Poirier, 2014). Iron-regulated transporter1 (IRT1), which mediates iron and divalent metal uptake from the soil by root hairs, was also localized to the TGN and early endosome in Arabidopsis (Barberon et al., 2011). IRT1 could also be observed at the PM but only when endocytosis was chemically disrupted or monoubiquitination was prevented by mutation of key amino acids, and this resulted in toxicity (Barberon et al., 2011). It could be envisaged that the localization of plant CCCs and how they affect...
shoot ion concentration might be regulated by similar mechanisms. However, examination of concentration gradients that determine the direction of net flux through NKCC indicated that influx from xylem vessels to xylem parenchyma cells would only occur through a PM-localized CCC if the xylem parenchyma had very low cytosolic concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻, below the normal physiological range of plant root cells (Teakle and Tyerman, 2010). These observations and our data showing that VviCCC and AtCCC are localized at the TGN and not other membranes cast serious doubt on the hypothesis that plant CCCs are directly involved in ion reabsorption from the xylem across the PM of xylem-associated cells.

Additionally, our analyses of VviCCC transcript did not support a direct role of this gene in grapevine shoot chloride exclusion. Promoter GUS studies in Arabidopsis have shown that AtCCC is expressed in the root and shoot vasculature, suggesting a possible role in long-distance ion transport (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007). In our study, quantitative PCR on RNA isolated from stele and cortex root fractions revealed that Vitis spp. CCC transcripts were equally abundant in the root stele and cortex of three grapevine genotypes. Expression of CCC in roots was also not regulated by a physiologically relevant (50 mM) Cl⁻ stress in Cabernet Sauvignon or two grapevine rootstocks of contrasting Cl⁻ exclusion capacity. Similarly, AtCCC transcript in Arabidopsis roots was not responsive to applications of 50 or 100 mM NaCl (Supplemental Fig. S7). Although transcript levels might not correspond to protein levels, expression data indicate no primary role of VviCCC or AtCCC in chloride exclusion.

Overexpression or ectopic expression of genes that are important for salt tolerance in plants can often improve plant growth under salt stress (for example, high affinity potassium transporters [HKTs; Plett et al., 2010; Munns et al., 2012], glutathione S-transferase [GST; Roxas et al., 1997], and chloride channels [CLCs; Wei et al., 2013]). Expression of VviCCC restored growth of the Atccc mutant to wild-type levels under salt stress but did not enhance growth beyond that of wild-type Col-0. The severe growth phenotype of Atccc occurs in the absence of salt, and it is possible that the salt sensitivity of this mutant is an exacerbation of the existing phenotype. Insertional mutagenesis of the AtCCC gene has been linked with abnormal pollen grain development and reduced fertility (Johnson et al., 2004), highlighting other roles of plant CCCs that are unrelated to salt tolerance. It is clear, however, that plant CCCs are crucial for maintaining the balance of inorganic ions in shoot tissues. Interestingly, Zeuthen and MacAulay (2012) observed bumetanide-sensitive water and Rb fluxes in X. laevis oocytes expressing mouse NKCC1 but only Rb fluxes when human NKCC2 was expressed. Based on this finding, it could be speculated that plant

**Figure 8.** VviCCC is involved in Na⁺ and Cl⁻ homeostasis in planta but does not enhance shoot Cl⁻ exclusion in ccc under saline conditions. Shoot ion concentration of hydroponically grown Arabidopsis wild type, ccc mutant, and two independent ccc lines expressing VviCCC under normal and 50 mM NaCl conditions. A, Shoot Cl concentration. B, Shoot Na concentration. C, Shoot K concentration. One-way ANOVA with Fisher’s LSD post hoc test (P < 0.01) was used to compare means within treatments. DW, Dry weight.

Grapevine Cation-Chloride Cotransporter
CCC transports Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), and Cl\(^-\) to generate osmotic gradients for water to follow through aquaporins or the CCC pore itself, thereby contributing to compartmental volume regulation.

The data presented here indicate that VviCCC and AtCCC are TGN-localized NKCCs and that plant CCC function is conserved across these two species. Our data do not support the hypothesis that AtCCC and VviCCC directly control the flux of Na\(^+\), Cl\(^-\), and K\(^+\) from the xylem through the PM of xylem parenchyma cells. Furthermore, we suggest that CCC proteins perform a function in the Golgi and TGN that heavily impacts plant performance, which indirectly influences the ability of plants to tolerate high salinity. Future structure-function investigations of plant CCC proteins and further studies of their ion transport profiles, such as ion dependencies, will help identify the mechanisms of CCC regulation and further clarify their function in plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Gene Identification

The Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) CCC protein sequence (NCBI accession no. NP_849732.1) was used as the basis for BLASTP searches of the 12× IGP grapevine (Vitis vinifera) genome at EnsemblePlants (http://plants.ensembl.org/). Multiple sequence alignments were performed using ClustalW2 (Larkin et al., 2007) and edited by visual inspection using Geneious, version 5.1.7. Shading and final alignment were generated with T-Coffee (Notredame et al., 2000) by the neighbor-joining method with 5,000 bootstrap iterations and default settings. The resulting branch lengths were set to be proportional to the amount of inferred evolutionary change. Putative transmembrane domains were identified by running the TMHMM genomic acid sequence through various topology prediction algorithms (Supplemental Table S1).

Rooted Leaf Preparation and Salt Treatment

Rooted leaves of 140 Ruggieri, K51-40, and Cabernet Sauvignon were established as outlined by Gong et al. (2011). After pretreatment, the rooted leaves were subjected to 50 mM Ca\(^2+\), 50 mM NaCl, and 50 mM Mg\(^2+\) in nutrient solution for 4 d. A nutrient solution control without 50 mM Cl\(^-\) (Na\(^+\)-Ca\(^2+\)-Mg\(^2+\) [6:1:1]) was included for comparison. There were four biological replicates, each consisting of four rooted leaves, for each cultivar in each treatment. Roots were removed from rooted leaves and rinsed free of nutrient solution with the surface water removed, and then, total roots were used for RNA extraction. When stated, the stele and cortex were first separated before RNA extraction; lateral roots were removed from main roots by hand, and then, the cortex was stripped from stele using fine tweezers. All samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

RNA Extraction

RNA was isolated from either total roots or root fractions enriched in stele or cortical tissue. Root tissue was ground to a fine powder under liquid nitrogen in a mortar and pestle. RNA was isolated using the Spectrum Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma) following the manufacturer’s procedures. RNA was treated with DNase using Turbo DNA-Free (Ambion) for 1 h at 37°C; then, it was ethanol precipitated and resuspended in water. An RNA quality threshold was set for 260/280 and 260/230 absorbance ratios at >1.8.

cDNA Synthesis

One microgram of total RNA was reverse transcribed in a 20-μL reaction using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad) following the manufacturer’s procedures with the following modifications: before synthesis, RNA was heated at 65°C for 5 min and then, placed on ice for 1 min to prevent secondary structure formation; cDNA synthesis was carried out at 42°C for 1 h.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Each biological replicate consisted of roots from four pooled individuals. For each primer pair, a fragment was amplified from cDNA, recombined into pcR8/GW/TOPO (Life Technologies), and sequenced to confirm amplification of the correct target. The subsequent plasmid was linearized by restriction digest, and a 10-fold dilution series was prepared over seven orders of magnitude and used as a template for qRT-PCR in duplicate. iCycler IQ Optical System software, version 3.1 (Bio-Rad) was used to generate standard curves. The reaction efficiency (E) of each primer pair was determined using the formula:

$$E = 10^{-\Delta C_{\text{act}}}/2$$

The primers used for qRT-PCR are detailed in Supplemental Table S3. Real-time PCR reactions (20 μL) were performed in 96-well plates with an iCycler IQ Thermocycler (Bio-Rad). Reactions consisted of 250 nM forward and reverse primer, 1× KAPA SYBR Fast qRT-PCR Master Mix (KAPA Biosystems), and 2 μL of cDNA template (diluted 1:5). Reactions were performed in triplicate with 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 55°C for 20 s (plus data acquisition). To ensure single-product amplification, melt curve analysis was performed by heating the PCR products for 40 cycles starting at 52°C and increasing by 0.5°C per cycle with continuous fluorescence detection. To facilitate comparison between plates, an internal control was loaded on each plate in duplicate. Relative transcript abundances were quantified using the E−ΔΔCt method (Pfaffl, 2001) and normalized to the geometric mean of Actin, Ubiquitin, and Elongation Factor 1a (Vandesompele et al., 2002). Statistical analyses were performed using Prism, version 5.01 (GraphPad Software Inc.).

For measuring AtCCC expression levels, Arabidopsis ecotype Col-0 was grown hydroponically as described previously (Conn et al., 2013). After 5 weeks, plants were transferred to a nutrient solution containing 50 mM NaCl and treated for 7 d. Whole roots from three biological replicates were used for RNA extraction. cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR were carried out as described previously (Conn et al., 2011).

Cloning

The coding sequence of VviCCC was obtained by PCR amplified from root cDNA of grapevine ‘Cabernet Sauvignon’ and the corresponding gene from grapevine rootstocks 140 Ruggieri and K51-40. Phusion High-Fidelity Polymerase (Finnzymes) was used in a 20-μL final volume. PCR products were purified using the ISOLATE PCR and Gel Kit (Bioline) and sequenced for comparison and to ensure consistency with the available sequence at NCBI (accession no. QG161924.1). Purified PCR products were A-tailed for 1 h at 70°C with Taq Polymerase (New England Biolabs) and recombined into pCR8/GW/TOPO (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer’s procedures.

Subcellular Localization

For transient expression of fluorescent fusion proteins in tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana), the VviCCC-YFP coding sequence was recombined into pmDC32 using LR recombination (Life Technologies). AtCCC without a stop codon was recombined into pmDC83 to generate C-terminal YFP fusion. For colocalization studies, the following subcellular markers were used: PM AtPIP2a-mCherry, ER HDEL-Golgi ManI-RFP, and TGN AtSYP61-mCherry. All constructs were purified from cDNA, recombined into pCR8/GW/TOPO (Subramanian et al., 2006) by LR recombination (Life Technologies) to create C-terminal YFP translational fusions. Plasmids were isolated from Escherichia coli using the GenElute HP Plasmid Maxiprep Kit (Sigma) and ethanol precipitated to increase the concentration to 1 μg μL\(^{-1}\). Mesophyll protoplasts were isolated from 5-week-old plants. Overexpression cultures of N. benthamiana harboring the fluorescently tagged CCC, the subcellular marker of interest, and the P19 viral suppressor of gene silencing (Voinnet et al., 2003) were resuspended in buffer containing 10 mM MgCl\(_2\), 150 μM acetoxyisringonyline, and 10 mM MES (pH 5.6). Cultures were mixed with 200 mM acetosyringone, and 10 mM MES (pH 5.6). Cultures were mixed together to achieve a final OD\(_{600}\) of 0.1 (P19) to 0.5 (VviCCC-YFP) and infiltrated into the abaxial side of tobacco leaves with a 1-mL syringe. After 2 to 3 d, leaf sections were imaged using a Nikon A1R Confocal Laser-Scanning Microscope equipped with a 63× water objective lens and NIS-Elements C software (Nikon Corporation). Excitation/emission conditions were YFP and GFP (488 nm/500–550 nm) and RFP and mCherry (561 nm/570–620 nm).

For localization in Arabidopsis protoplasts, VviCCC and AtCCC coding sequences without stop codons were recombined into pBArfYFP (Subramanian et al., 2006) by LR recombination (Life Technologies) to create C-terminal YFP translational fusions. Plasmids were isolated from Escherichia coli using the GenElute HP Plasmid Maxiprep Kit (Sigma) and ethanol precipitated to increase the concentration to 1 μg μL\(^{-1}\). Mesophyll protoplasts were isolated from 5-week-old plants.
hydroponically grown Arabidopsis following the method by Wu et al. (2009). Protoplasts were transfected with 10 μg of plasmid DNA by the polyethylene glycol method. After overnight incubation, transgenic protoplasts were visualized using a Leica SPS Spectral Scanning Confocal Microscope. Fluorescence images were captured sequentially and merged using LEICA SPS software (Leica Microsystems). Excitation/emission conditions were YFP (514 nm/527–532 nm) and chlorophyll autofluorescence (488 nm/664–696 nm).

**Xenopus laevis Expression Studies**

The VviCCC coding region was recombined into the Gateway-enabled X. laevis expression vector pGEMHE-BEST (Shelden et al., 2009) by LR recombination (Life Technologies). For localization of VviCCC in X. laevis oocytes, the VviCCC-YFP translational fusion from pBS Atr-YFP was amplified by PCR and recombined into pCR8/GW/TOPO before LR recombination. Plasmids were linearized with restriction endonuclease NheI and used as templates to synthesize cRNA in vitro with the mMessage mMACHINE T7 Kit (Ambion). After synthesis, cRNA was purified by phenol/chloroform extraction followed by ethanol precipitation and elution in water.

Stages IV and V oocytes were injected with 25 to 32 ng of cRNA in a final volume of 42 nL or with sterile water using a Nanoject II Injector (Drummond Scientific Company). Oocytes were analyzed 2 to 3 d post injection. For YFP imaging, oocytes were incubated in calcium Ringers solution (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.6 mM CaCl2, 5 mM HEPES, 5% [v/v] horse serum, and 500 mM HEPES) and visualized with a Leica SP5 Spectral Scanning Confocal Laser-Scanning Microscope equipped with an argon laser. YFP excitation/emission conditions were 514 nm/523 to 600 nm.

For radiotracer studies, oocytes were incubated in an isotonic (220 mM OsM kg−1) CT free ND96 solution (96 mM Na-glucenate, 2 mM K-glucenate, 1.8 mM Ca-glucenate, 1 mM Mg-glucenate, and 5 mM HEPES [pH 7.4]) adjusted with 1 M KCl and 500 mM HEPES at pH 7.4 adjusted with 1 M Tris) for 2 to 16 h before the experiment. This is known to increase the driving force for radiotracer uptake (Gamba et al., 1994). Oocytes were then transferred to 500 μL of one of three possible uptake buffers: (1) standard ND96 (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, and 5 mM HEPES adjusted to pH 7.4 with 1 M Tris) supplemented with 1 mM ouabain and HCl (1 μCi mL−1; American) (2) same as buffer 1 but without HCl (replaced with 100 μCi mL−1 [3H]-ouabain) at 8 μCi mL−1 from a 16.16 mCi mg−1 stock); or (3) Na uptake buffer (40 mM NaCl, 56 mM Na-methyl-d-glucamine-Cl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, and 5 mM HEPES/Tris [pH 7.4] plus 1 mM ouabain and 2 μCi mL−1 NaCl from a 1 μCi mL−1 stock). Ouabain was added to prevent tracer uptake by the Na+-K+-ATPase. Each buffer was prepared with and without the addition of 100 μM bumetanide. Uptake was allowed to proceed for 1 h. Oocytes were then washed three times with ice cold uptake buffer without isotope and incubated overnight in 200 μL of 10% [w/v] SDS to dissolve the membrane and release radionuclides. Oocytes were transferred to 4 mL of scintillation cocktail (IRGA SAFIPL; PerkinElmer). Tracer uptake was measured by β-scintillation counting on a Tri-Carb 2500 TR Liquid Scintillation Counter (PerkinElmer), and total uptake per oocyte was calculated using the concentration of unlabel Na+, CT− or K+ (for Rb+) in the uptake buffer; 200 μL of 10% (w/v) SDS was used to measure the background, and 20 μL of uptake buffer was measured to calculate the specific activity of the uptake buffer.

**Arabidopsis Complementation Studies**

Seed was obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Centre, and all lines used in this study were from a Col-0 background. An Arabidopsis (Salk_145300) line homozygous for a T-DNA insertion in AtCCC (At1g30450) was used for complementation studies, because this has been published previously (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007). Confirmation of a homozygous T-DNA insertion was performed by PCR using gene-specific primers and the pROK2 T-DNA-specific primer LBA1. Col-0 was used as the wild type.

VviCCC in pCR8/GW/TOPO was recombined into the constitutive expression vector pMDC32 (Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003) by LR recombination (Life Technologies). A. thaliana strain Agl-1 was transformed with 35S:VviCCC by the freeze-thaw method and then used to transform Arabidopsis by floral dipping (Clough and Bent, 1998). Transformed (T1) seed were selected on hygromycin plates and measuring the segregation ratios (Supplemental Fig. S9).

**Supplemental Data**

The following supplemental materials are available.

**Supplemental Figure S1.** Transient coexpression of AtCCC-GFP with various subcellular markers in epidermal cells of tobacco.

**Supplemental Figure S2.** Subcellular localization of VviCCC and AtCCC in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts.

**Supplemental Figure S3.** Comparison of cDNA coding sequences of VviCCC from rootstock KS1-40 and 140-Ruggeri.

**Supplemental Figure S4.** 86Rb uptake by X. laevis oocytes expressing VviCCC in high-potassium buffer.

**Supplemental Figure S5.** Confirmation of a homozygous T-DNA insertion in the Arabidopsis mutant line Salk_145300.

**Supplemental Figure S6.** Complementation of the ccc phenotype with VviCCC.

**Supplemental Figure S7.** AtCCC transcript is not regulated by salt stress in Arabidopsis roots.

**Supplemental Figure S8.** Multiple sequence alignment of six plant CCC family members.

**Supplemental Figure S9.** Identification of T-DNA copy number in complemented Arabidopsis mutants.

**Supplemental Table S1.** Summary of outputs generated from different trans-membrane prediction algorithms used to analyze the VviCCC full-length amino acid sequence.

**Supplemental Table S2.** Accession numbers of plant CCC genes used for multiple sequence alignment.

**Supplemental Table S3.** Primers used in this study.

**Supplemental Video S1.** VviCCC-YFP forms mobile, punctate structures when transiently expressed in epidermal cells of tobacco.

**Supplemental Video S2.** AtCCC-GFP forms mobile, punctate structures when transiently expressed in epidermal cells of tobacco.
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