Critical effects and scaling at meniscus osculation transitions
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We propose a simple scaling theory describing critical effects at rounded meniscus osculation transitions which occur when the Laplace radius of a condensed macroscopic drop of liquid coincides with the local radius of curvature $R_w$ in a confining parabolic geometry. We argue that the exponent $\beta_{osc}$ characterising the scale of the interfacial height $l_0 \propto R_{osc}^{\beta_{osc}}$ at osculation, for large $R_w$, falls into two regimes representing fluctuation-dominated and mean-field like behaviour, respectively. These two regimes are separated by an upper critical dimension, which is determined here explicitly and which depends on the range of the intermolecular forces. In the fluctuation-dominated regime, representing the universality class of systems with short-ranged forces, the exponent is related to the value of the interfacial wandering exponent $\zeta$ by $\beta_{osc} = 3\zeta/(4 - \zeta)$. In contrast, in the mean-field regime, which has not been previously identified, and which occurs for systems with longer ranged forces (and higher dimensions), the exponent $\beta_{osc}$ takes the same value as the exponent $\beta_{co}$ for complete wetting which is determined directly by the intermolecular forces. The prediction $\beta_{osc} = 3/7$ in $d = 2$ for systems with short-ranged forces (corresponding to $\zeta = 1/2$) is confirmed using an interfacial Hamiltonian model which determines the exact scaling form for the decay of the interfacial height probability distribution function. A numerical study in $d = 3$, based on a microscopic model Density Functional Theory, determines that $\beta_{osc} \approx \beta_{co} \approx 0.326$ close to the predicted value $1/3$ appropriate to the mean-field regime for dispersion forces.

I. INTRODUCTION

It has long been recognised that fluids adsorbed at solid substrates display a wealth of new physical phenomena that are not present in the bulk. These include wetting and prewetting transitions at planar walls [1–3] and capillary condensation or evaporation for confinement in pores and between parallel plates [4], which have received extensive theoretical and experimental attention. By sculpting the solid surface, which is now possible in the laboratory, many more examples of surface phase transitions can be induced even in rather simple geometries. For example, wedge filling is an example of an interfacial phase transition that is distinct from wetting [5–13]. Also, by merely capping a capillary the ensuing condensation can be changed from first order to continuous [14–28]. As well as being of interest to the fundamental statistical mechanical theory of inhomogeneous fluids and surface phase transitions, these studies are also of relevance to microfluidics, for example.

A particularly simple example of a sculpted surface is one which is completely wet (corresponding to zero contact angle) and contoured to the shape of a paraboloid or parabolic groove. Previous theoretical [29, 30] and experimental [31, 32] studies of adsorption isotherms on this substrate have focused on the geometry dominated growth which occurs as the bulk pressure is increased towards saturation. However, in a recent paper [33] we pointed out that an additional rounded phase transition which we termed meniscus osculation occurs when the pressure is tuned so that the radius of curvature of the meniscus coincides with the geometrical radius of curvature of the parabola. This marks the value of the pressure at which the adsorption changes from being microscopic, determined by intermolecular forces or interfacial fluctuations, to being macroscopic due to the local condensation of a liquid drop. Meniscus osculation offers another example of fluid interfacial behaviour showing non-trivial scaling and critical effects which is related to but distinct from wetting, filling and capillary condensation.

In this paper we develop a comprehensive scaling theory for critical effects occurring at meniscus osculation and, in particular, determine the value of the upper critical dimension which distinguishes a mean-field regime from a fluctuation-dominated one. The scaling properties which characterise the adsorption are very different in these two regimes and are related to the underlying wetting properties via distinct critical exponent identities. This improves upon our earlier analysis which did not identify the upper critical dimension or the mean-field regime. Two explicit calculations, one mesoscopic and the other microscopic, are presented which determine
the value of the oscillation critical exponent and verify that there are indeed two separate fluctuation regimes. More specifically, we show that analogous to the theory of complete wetting \[33\] \[36\], meniscus oscillation shows two scaling regimes; one, which is fluctuation-dominated, characterised by universal critical exponents that are related to the value of the wandering exponent \(\zeta\), which characterises the scaling relation

\[
\xi_\perp \propto \xi_\parallel^\zeta,
\]

(1)

between the perpendicular and parallel correlation length for planar interfaces \[36\]. There is also a mean-field regime where the exponents are sensitive to the range of the intermolecular forces where fluctuation effects are negligible. The values of the critical exponents in the fluctuation-dominated regime, its dependence on \(\zeta\), and also the value of the upper critical dimension, are different to that of the complete wetting transition. A central result of our paper is that the value of the upper critical dimension for meniscus oscillation is given by

\[
d_{osc}^c = 3 - \frac{8}{3r + 4},
\]

(2)

where \(r = 2\) corresponds to dispersion forces and \(r = \infty\) corresponds to short range forces. Our predictions are supported in two dimensions \((d = 2)\) using a droplet model treatment of an effective interfacial Hamiltonian \[33\]. This determines explicitly the tail of the probability distribution for the interfacial height above the meniscus groove bottom and identifies that the oscillation critical exponent takes the value \(\beta_{osc} = 3/7\) in the fluctuation-dominated regime – confirming an earlier scaling prediction, which is understood to be valid only in the fluctuation-dominated regime \[33\]. In three dimensions \((d = 3)\) numerical studies based on a microscopic Density Functional Theory (DFT) with dispersion forces determines that \(\beta_{osc} \approx 0.326\) which is close to the expectation of our scaling theory, \(\beta_{osc} = \beta_{sco}^c = 1/3\), within the mean-field regime.

Our paper is arranged as follows. We begin with a recap of the scaling theory of the fluctuation regimes and the critical singularities for complete wetting transitions at planar walls before developing a crossover scaling theory which identifies the relevant length scales and critical singularities at meniscus oscillation. A general scaling theory is presented which, similar to complete wetting, separates critical singularities into fluctuation-dominated and mean-field regimes. Explicit examples which confirm these predictions in \(d = 2\) and \(d = 3\) are presented. We finish our paper with a brief summary and discussion of possible further work.

**II. SCALING THEORY FOR COMPLETE WETTING**

To begin, we recall some details of the well developed fluctuation theory of complete wetting \[1\] \[33\] \[36\] which
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\caption{a) Schematic illustration of the equilibrium interfacial thickness \(\ell_\pi\) \(\propto \delta p^{-\beta_{sco}^c}\), parallel correlation length \(\xi_\parallel \propto \delta p^{-\beta_{sco}^c}\) and interfacial roughness \(\xi_\perp \propto \xi_\parallel^\zeta\) for complete wetting by liquid (blue) at a planar wall-gas interface. b) Illustration of a droplet configuration in \(d = 2\) constrained to pass through a point at height \(\ell \gg \ell_s\), i.e. one a scale much larger than the length scales shown in Fig. 1a), far above the wall. The free-energy cost \(\Delta F(\ell) \propto \delta p^{1/2}\ell^{3/2}\) of the droplet determines the asymptotic scaling form of the interfacial height probability distribution function \(P(\ell)\), identifying explicitly that for systems with short-ranged forces \(\beta_{sco}^c = 1/3\). The droplet area \(S\), interfacial length \(\ell_m\) and the length of contact with the wall \(\ell_w\) are shown.}
\end{figure}

we will need in our analysis of meniscus oscillation. The complete wetting transition refers to the divergence in the adsorption, \(\Gamma\), of liquid at a planar wall-gas interface (say), as the pressure \(p\) (or chemical potential \(\mu\)) is increased to saturation \(p_{sat}\), above a wetting temperature, i.e. when the macroscopic contact angle \(\theta = 0\). As \(\delta p = p_{sat} - p \rightarrow 0\), a number of length scales diverge, in particular

\[
\ell_\pi \propto \delta p^{-\beta_{sco}^c}, \quad \xi_\parallel \propto \delta p^{-\beta_{sco}^c}. \quad (3)
\]

Here, \(\ell_\pi\) is the wetting layer thickness which is related to the adsorption, \(\Gamma = \Delta \rho \ell_\pi\), where \(\Delta \rho\) is the difference between bulk liquid and gas densities, and \(\xi_\parallel\) is the parallel correlation length arising from the build-up of capillary-wave-like fluctuations near the unbinding liquid-gas interface which leads also to the divergence of the interfacial roughness \(\xi_\perp\) – see Fig. 1b. For pure systems, as pertinent to wall-fluid interfaces, it is well established that the wandering exponent \(\zeta = (3 - d)/2\) for dimension \(d < 3\), (with \(\xi_\perp^2 \propto \ln \xi_\parallel\) in \(d = 3\) corresponding...
to $\zeta = 0$) with its value also known for impure systems (most commonly, random-bond and random-field disorder) [36]. For complete wetting, an exact sum-rule determines that $\partial \Gamma / \partial \mu \propto \xi^2$, leading to the exact exponent relation [39, 41]

$$1 + \beta_{s}^{co} = 2 \nu_{\parallel}^{co}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The values of the critical exponents can be determined, quite generally, from analysis of the simple interfacial model [34–35]

$$H[\ell] = \int dx \left[ \frac{\gamma}{2} (\nabla \ell)^2 + W(\ell) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\ell(x)$ is the interfacial coordinate (measuring the local height of the wetting layer at the position $x$ along the wall), $\gamma$ is the surface tension which resists interfacial fluctuations and

$$W(\ell) = \delta p \ell + \frac{A}{\ell^s} + \cdots$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

is the binding potential which includes the effect of intermolecular forces characterised by the exponent $s$ (with $A$ a Hamaker constant), which maybe derived from more microscopic theory [2]. Heuristic scaling arguments suggest that the interfacial wandering leads to an effective entropic repulsion, decaying as $\ell^{-\tau}$ where $\tau = 2(1-\zeta)/\zeta$, which competes with the direct intermolecular contribution in $W(\ell)$, leading to two scaling regimes:

**Fluctuation-dominated regime.** For $r > 2$, fluctuations dominate leading to scaling behaviour, characterised by $\ell_s \propto \xi_\perp \propto \xi_\parallel^s$, with universal non-classical critical exponents [34]

$$\beta_{s}^{co} = \frac{2 - \zeta}{2 - \zeta}, \hspace{1cm} \nu_{\parallel}^{co} = \frac{2 - \zeta}{2 - \zeta}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The dependence on the wandering exponent $\zeta$ here is quite general and applies also to impure systems. Thus in $d = 2$ the critical exponent $\beta_{s}^{co} = 1/3$ for pure systems ($\zeta = 1/2$), while $\beta_{s}^{co} = 1/2$ for systems with random-bond disorder ($\zeta = 2/3$).

**Mean-field regime.** For $r < 2$, on the other hand, the intermolecular forces dominate leading to mean-field-like critical behaviour for which $\ell_s \gg \xi_\perp$ with critical exponents

$$\beta_{s}^{co} = \frac{1}{1 + r}, \hspace{1cm} \nu_{\parallel}^{co} = \frac{2 + r}{2(1 + r)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

which follow from simple minimization of the binding potential.

For fixed $r$, and systems with just thermal disorder, these regimes determine the upper critical dimension

$$d^* = 3 - \frac{4}{r + 2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

below which fluctuations dominate and above which they are negligible [34]. In $d = 3$ and with dispersion forces $(r = 2)$ this implies $\beta_{s}^{co} = 1/3$ (and $\nu_{\parallel}^{co} = 2/3$), as predicted many years ago by Derjaguin and which has been confirmed exhaustively in numerous experiments [1, 3].

In $d = 2$ these heuristic expectations are also fully confirmed using discrete and continuum interfacial Hamiltonians [35, 42]. The partition function for the interfacial model [5] can be determined exactly using continuum transfer matrix methods, equivalent to solving the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the Schrodinger-like equation

$$-\frac{1}{2\beta^2 \gamma} \psi''(\ell) + W(\ell) \psi_n(\ell) = E_n \psi_n(\ell),$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$ which we hereafter set to unity. This elegant transfer matrix method determines, for example, that the probability distribution function (PDF) of finding the interface at height $\ell$ is $P(\ell) = |\psi_0(\ell)|^2$, which determines both $\ell_s$ and $\xi_\perp$, and also identifies that $\xi_\parallel = 1/(E_1 - E_0)$. For the systems with short-ranged forces (representing the scaling regime for $r > 2$), the
eigenfunctions are given by \( \psi_n(\ell) \propto \text{Ai}((2\gamma\delta p)^{1/3}\ell + \lambda_n) \), where the \( \lambda_n \) are the zeros of the Airy function \( \text{Ai}(x) \) with corresponding eigenvalues \( E_n = 2^{-1/3}|\lambda_n|(|\delta p|^{2/3} \). The power-law dependence on \( \delta p \) within \( P(\ell) \) immediately determines that \( \beta_{\text{osc}} = 1/3 \), consistent with \( \beta \) on setting \( \zeta = 1/2 \) as appropriate to \( d = 2 \). The decay of the Airy function then determines that far from the wall the PDF decays as

\[
P(\ell) \propto \frac{1}{\ell^{1/2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{4}{3}(2\gamma\delta p)^{1/2}\ell^{3/2} \right],
\]

which, of course, still reveals the value of the complete wetting exponent \( \beta_{\text{osc}} \). This asymptotic behaviour is completely consistent with the droplet model expectation that the PDF decays as \( \delta p \) approaches zero

\[
P(\ell) \propto e^{-\Delta F(\ell)},
\]

where \( \Delta F(\ell) \) is the free-energy cost, in units of \( k_B T \), of forming a constrained droplet of liquid which rises above the wall forming a cusp at height \( \ell \) (see Fig. 1b). For large \( \ell \) this free-energy cost is macroscopic and is simply given by

\[
\Delta F(\ell) = \delta pS + \gamma(\ell_m - \ell_w),
\]

where \( S \) is the area of the droplet, \( \ell_m \) is the interfacial length and \( \ell_w \) the length of contact with the wall (see Fig. 1b). Either side of the cusp the droplet has a parabolic shape with curvature \( \delta p/\gamma \) and a very simple calculation shows that both the area and interfacial length contributions to the free-energy cost are the same determining that

\[
\Delta F(\ell) = \frac{1}{2}(2\gamma\delta p)^{1/2}\ell^{3/2},
\]

in precise agreement with the transfer-matrix analysis \( \Delta \). The algebraic pre-factor in \( \Delta \) is related to the interfacial wandering at the points of contact of the droplet with the wall, similar to discussions of the magnetization profile in the semi-infinite Ising model \( \Delta \). We shall use this droplet model trick later in application to the meniscus oscillation transition.

III. SCALING THEORY FOR MENISCUS OSCULATION

We now turn our attention to the adsorption of fluid near a completely wet wall which has the shape of a parabolic groove (or parabolic pit) of cross-section

\[
Z(x) = \frac{x^2}{2R_w},
\]

where \( R_w \) is the geometrical radius of curvature at the bottom. The adsorption falls into two regimes depending on the deviation from bulk coexistence \( \delta p \). Close to coexistence, when \( R > R_w \) where \( R = \gamma/\delta p \) is the Laplace radius, the groove induces the local condensation of a macroscopic liquid drop near the bottom. The drop is characterised by a circular meniscus of radius \( R \) that meets the walls tangentially (since \( \theta = 0 \)) – see Fig. 2a. The size of this drop is determined trivially. For example, the local height \( \ell_0 \) and lateral extension \( x_0 \) of the drop are given by

\[
\ell_0 = \frac{(R - R_w)^2}{2R_w}, \quad (15)
\]

and

\[
x_0 = \sqrt{R^2 - R_w^2} \chi_{\text{osc}} \left( \frac{R - R_w}{\lambda_{\text{osc}}} \right), \quad (16)
\]

respectively. As we approach coexistence these diverge as \( \ell_0 \propto R^2 \) and \( x_0 \propto R \) which is the expected geometry-dependent behaviour for the adsorption in a parabola \( \Delta \). However, these results also indicate that these length scales vanish as the pressure is reduced and \( R \rightarrow R_w \) and hence that far away from coexistence, corresponding to pressures such that \( R < R_w \), there is no local condensation and the adsorption of fluid is microscopic. We refer to the vanishing of the meniscus at \( R = R_w \) as meniscus oscillation. At a macroscopic level this is a continuous surface phase transition associated with a singular contribution to the surface free-energy which vanishes as \( F_{\text{sing}} \propto (R - R_w)^{7/2} \). Microscopic considerations meniscus oscillation must correspond to a rounded phase transition since there must still be some residual microscopic adsorption in the pressure regime \( \delta p > \gamma/R_w \). The rounding at meniscus oscillation leads to novel scaling behaviour characterising the influence of the geometry on the fluid adsorption at the borderline of the macroscopic and microscopic regimes. Consider, for example, the height, \( \ell_0 \), of the fluid interface above the groove bottom exactly at oscillation \( R = R_w \). Since the wall is completely wet, \( \ell_0 \) must increase with \( R_w \) (maintaining the condition that \( R_w = R \)) allowing us to define an oscillation exponent \( \beta_{\text{osc}} \):

\[
\ell_0 \propto R_w^{\beta_{\text{osc}}}, \quad (17)
\]

which characterises the local divergence of the film thickness as we flatten the groove and recover the infinite adsorption of a wet planar wall.

To determine the value of this exponent we suppose that the macroscopic oscillation transition is rounded over a microscopic scale \( \lambda \ll R \) to be determined. It is natural to speculate that this must be related to length scales which characterise the underlying complete wetting phenomena discussed above. Crossover scaling then suggests that, in the vicinity of the phase boundary \( R \approx R_w \), the macroscopic results \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta \) are modified as

\[
\ell_0 = \frac{(R - R_w)^2}{2R_w \lambda_{\text{osc}}} \chi_{\text{osc}} \left( \frac{R - R_w}{\lambda_{\text{osc}}} \right), \quad (18)
\]

and

\[
x_0 = \sqrt{R^2 - R_w^2} \chi_{\text{osc}} \left( \frac{R - R_w}{\lambda_{\text{osc}}} \right), \quad (19)
\]
where $L_{osc}(x)$ and $X_{osc}(x)$ are scaling functions of the dimensionless variable $x = (R - R_w)/\lambda$. Note that the microscopic length scale $\lambda$ is still allowed to diverge as bulk coexistence is approached but we require that is always much smaller than the purely macroscopic length-scale $R$. We require that both scaling functions tend to unity as $x \to \infty$ and that both vanish as $x \to -\infty$ in order to recover the macroscopic results. The crossover length scale determines the values of $\ell_0$ and $x_0$ at the macroscopic phase boundary $R = R_w$. In order that these are finite and non-vanishing we require that $L_{osc} \sim |x|^{-2}$ and $X_{osc} \sim |x|^{-1/2}$ as $x \to 0$, which identifies that

$$\ell_0 \propto \frac{\lambda^2}{R}, \quad x_0 \propto \sqrt{RX}; \quad R = R_w. \quad (20)$$

From these we can immediately rule out that $\lambda$ is similar to the planar wetting layer thickness since in that case $\ell_0$ does not diverge with $R_w$ as required. In Ref. [33] we argued there were likely two possibilities. The simplest, and perhaps most natural, hypothesis is that $\lambda \sim \xi_\parallel$. This is indeed the length scale which controls the crossover scaling and rounding at meniscus depinning transitions [33, 44] and also wetting on rough surfaces (where it is sometimes referred to as the healing length [44]). With this ansatz it follows from (11) and (20) that $\ell_0 \propto \ell_\pi$ so that $\beta_{osc} = \beta_{sco}$, i.e. the parabola does not significantly enhance the film thickness compared to that at a planar wall, although it is likely to be a multiple of it. However, there is an alternate possibility that is also justifiable, which is that deep in the pre-oscillation regime ($R \ll R_w$) the influence of the geometry on the film thickness is to shift and reduce the effective pressure from $\delta p$ to $\delta p - \gamma/R_w$. This geometrically induced shift would be consistent with the effective increase in the pressure, which is known for wetting on the outside of a sphere or cylinder [37, 47, 50]. This means that as $R_w \to \infty$ the local height tends to $\ell_0 \propto (1/R - 1/R_w)^{-\beta_{sco}}$, which is only compatible with the scaling hypothesis [18] if $\lambda^{2+\beta_{sco}} \propto R_w^{\beta_{sco} + \beta_{sco} + 3}$. With this identification for the rounding length scale it follows from (20) that the value of $\ell_0$ is much larger than $\ell_\pi$ and diverges on approaching coexistence with exponent $\beta_{osc} = 3\beta_{sco}/(2 + \beta_{sco})$, which is larger than $\beta_{sco}$.

Here, we argue that both these possibilities are realised and that they are characteristic of the rounding occurring in two different scaling regimes demarcated by an upper critical dimension. Consider, for example, the rounding and scaling resulting from the assertion that the substrate curvature decreases the effective pressure $\delta p$ to $\delta p - \gamma/R_w$. It is natural to assume that this purely geometrical consideration occurs for systems with sufficiently short-ranged forces where the influence of intermolecular forces can be neglected. This is somewhat analogous to the “wedge covariance” known for wetting and filling phenomena in systems with short-ranged forces (in both pure and impure systems) which exactly relates thermodynamic observables in a wedge (with tilt angle $\alpha$) to that at a planar wall via an effective shift in the contact angle $\theta \to \theta - \alpha$ [51]. Combining the exponent relation $\beta_{osc} = 3\beta_{sco}/(2 + \beta_{sco})$ with the result $\beta_{sco} = \zeta/(2-\zeta)$ for short-ranged complete wetting leads to the explicit identification $\beta_{osc} = 3\zeta/(4 - \zeta)$ for meniscus oscillation. This is greater than the corresponding value $\beta_{sco} = \zeta/(2 - \zeta)$ for complete wetting for all $\zeta < 1$ – that is, for all dimensions above the lower critical dimension for bulk phase separation. Nevertheless, this is precisely what we should expect if we assume that the phenomena arise from interfacial fluctuations, since in that case we can also anticipate that $\ell_0 \propto x_0^{\zeta}$ – that is the wandering exponent is unchanged by the geometry. Combining this expectation with the crossover scaling result (20) identifies that at osculation, $\alpha \propto R^{(2+\zeta)/(4-\zeta)}$, which consistently and independently identifies that $\beta_{osc} = 3\zeta/(4 - \zeta)$. This, we conjecture, is the appropriate rounding length-scale and value of the oscillation exponent for systems with sufficiently short-ranged forces. However, this scaling cannot apply universally. As we increase the dimensionality the value of $\zeta$ decreases and eventually the oscillation critical exponent reaches the value $\beta_{osc} = 1/(r+1)$ implying that $\ell_0 \propto \ell_\pi$ and $\beta_{osc} \propto \ell_\pi$. Since $\beta_{osc}$ cannot take a smaller value than the corresponding value of $\beta_{sco}$ (the confining geometry cannot diminish the adsorption) it is natural to assume that this scaling applies also in all higher dimensions. Thus, analogous to complete wetting we conjecture that meniscus oscillation falls into one of two scaling regimes:

**Fluctuation-dominated regime.** For $r > 4(1 - \zeta)/3\zeta$, fluctuations dominate and the oscillation exponent takes the universal value

$$\beta_{osc} = \frac{3\zeta}{4 - \zeta}. \quad (21)$$

In this scaling regime $\ell_0 \sim x_0^{\zeta}$, implying that the geometry significantly enhances the adsorption, such that $\ell_0 \gg \ell_\pi$. The crossover scaling and rounding of the meniscus oscillation transition is controlled by a length scale $\lambda \sim R^{(2+\zeta)/(4-\zeta)}$, which is larger than the corresponding value of $\xi_\parallel$ (at this pressure). Thus in $d = 2$ we predict that the meniscus oscillation is characterized by the exponent $\beta_{osc} = 3/7$ for pure systems ($\zeta = 1/2$) and $\beta_{osc} = 3/5$ for random-bond disorder ($\zeta = 2/3$). These contrast with the corresponding prediction for complete wetting $\beta_{sco} = 1/3$ (for $\zeta = 1/2$) and $\beta_{sco} = 1/2$ (for $\zeta = 2/3$).

**Mean-field regime.** For $r < 4(1 - \zeta)/3\zeta$, the intermolecular forces dominate and the oscillation exponent takes the value

$$\beta_{osc} = \frac{1}{r+1}, \quad (22)$$

which is identical to the value of $\beta_{sco}$. This implies that the local interfacial height scales with the wetting layer thickness, i.e. $\ell_0 \propto \ell_\pi$. We anticipate that in general the constant of proportionality is greater than unity, so that the geometry still enhances the local adsorption of fluid.
The rounding of the phase transition in this regime is controlled by a crossover length scale $\lambda \propto \xi_\perp$.

For fixed value of $r$, these two scaling regimes identify that for pure systems that the upper critical dimension is

$$d^*_\text{osc} = 3 - \frac{8}{3r + 4},$$

(23)

which is larger than the upper critical dimension for complete wetting, except for systems with purely short-ranged forces, for which $d^* = d^*_\text{osc} = 3$.

IV. MODEL CALCULATIONS

To finish our article, we test these predictions for the two cases that are most relevant to experiments and studies of microscopic models: $d = 2$ with short-ranged forces ($r = \infty$) and $d = 3$ with dispersion forces ($r = 2$). In both these cases the complete wetting exponents are identical with $\beta^c_\perp = 1/3$ and $\nu^c_\perp = 2/3$ – although these correspond to distinct fluctuation and mean-field regimes respectively. The predictions of the scaling theory developed above are that in $d = 2$ the oscillation exponent $\beta_\text{osc} = 3/7$, different to that for complete wetting, while in $d = 3$ it remains $\beta_\text{osc} = \beta^c_\perp = 1/3$.

A. $d = 2$, short-ranged forces

In $d = 2$ we may study meniscus osculation using a continuum interfacial Hamiltonian adopting the same droplet model method described earlier for complete wetting. This, we anticipate, will exactly determine the free-energy cost of this droplet fluctuation, which we may expand keeping terms of quartic order

$$\ell(x) = \ell - \frac{\xi^2}{2R} - \frac{\xi^4}{8R^3} + \frac{(x - \xi)^2}{2R} + \frac{(x - \xi)^4}{8R^3},$$

(27)

which is the order required to determine the scaling behaviour. We now sit exactly at osculation $R = R_w$ and define reduced variables $\tilde{\ell} = \ell/R$, $\xi = \xi/R$ and $\tilde{x}_0 = x_0/R$. Matching the interface and wall heights, $\ell(\tilde{x}_0) = Z(\tilde{x}_0)$, and derivatives $\ell'(\tilde{x}_0) = Z'(\tilde{x}_0)$ determines that

$$\tilde{x}_0 = \tilde{\xi} + (2\tilde{\xi})^{1/3}$$

(28)

and

$$\tilde{\ell} = \frac{3}{8} \xi^4/3 + \tilde{\xi}^2 + \tilde{\xi}^4.$$

(29)

Using these it is a straightforward matter to determine the interfacial area $S = 2 \int_0^{\tilde{\xi}} \ell(x) = \Psi(x) \, dx$, yielding

$$S = \frac{3}{10} (2\tilde{\xi})^{5/3} + \frac{3}{16} (2\tilde{\xi})^{7/3} + \cdots,$$

(30)

where the higher-order terms are of $O(\tilde{\xi}^3)$ which may be neglected. Similarly, the surface terms, relating to the excess length of the droplet, $\ell_m - \ell_w = 2 \int_{\tilde{x}_0}^{\ell_m} \, dx (\sqrt{1 + \ell'^2} - \sqrt{1 + \phi''(x)^2})$ follow as

$$\frac{\ell_m - \ell_w}{R} = - \frac{3}{10} (2\tilde{\xi})^{5/3} - \frac{1}{8} (2\tilde{\xi})^{7/3} + \cdots,$$

(31)

where the higher-order terms are also $O(\tilde{\xi}^4)$. The leading-order terms in the area and length contributions cancel implying that, exactly at osculation, the free-energy cost of the drop scales with the local height as

$$\Delta F_\text{osc} = \frac{\gamma}{4} R^{-2} \left( \frac{8\tilde{\xi}}{3} \right)^{3/5}.$$

(32)

Substitution to (24) then immediately determines that the oscillation exponent takes the predicted value

$$\beta_\text{osc} = \frac{3}{7}.$$

(33)

Fluctuations are important at this rounded phase transition so that, for example, the interfacial roughness also scales as $\xi_\perp \propto R_w^{4/7}$. We anticipate that in Eq. (24) there is also an algebraic pre-factor associated with the interfacial wandering of the points of contact, similar to the droplet model for complete wetting, although this is not relevant to the scaling behaviour and the identification of $\beta_\text{osc}$.

B. $d = 3$, long-ranged forces

To study meniscus osculation in $d = 3$ we employ a fully microscopic, classical DFT which is based on the
where the integration domain is the volume of the wall.

The wall is formed of atoms which are distributed uniformly with a density \( \rho \) over the whole space below its cross-section along the \( x \)-axis. The wall atoms interact with the fluid atoms via a purely repulsive potential, \( \phi \), 

\[
\phi = 4 \varepsilon (\sigma / r)^6,
\]

dehiving parabolic geometry. We have argued that the exponent \( \beta_{osc} \) characterising the scale of the interfacial height \( \ell_0 \propto R_{osc} \) at osculation, falls into one of two regimes representing fluctuation-dominated and mean-field like behaviour. In the fluctuation-dominated regime, representing the universality class of systems with short-ranged forces, the exponent is related to the value of wandering exponent by \( \beta_{osc} = 3 \zeta / (4 - \zeta) \) which is different to the relation \( \beta^{osc} = 2/(2 - \zeta) \) pertinent for complete wetting. This exponent relation can be understood to arise by virtue of the \( \beta_{osc} \) exponent which we estimate as

\[
\beta_{osc} \approx 0.326 \text{ with corresponding amplitude ratio } \beta_{osc}^{sat} \approx 0.326
\]

minimisation of a grand potential functional \( \Omega[\rho] \) with respect to the density distribution of the fluid particles \( \rho(r) \) [52]:

\[
\Omega[\rho] = F[\rho] + \int \! d \rho(r) [V(r) - \mu] .
\]  (34)

Here, \( F[\rho] \) is the Helmholtz free energy functional which contains all the information about the fluid interactions, while \( V(r) \) is the potential of the parabolic wall whose cross-section along the \( x-z \) plane is given by Eq. [14]. The wall is formed of atoms which are distributed uniformly with a density \( \rho_w \) over the whole space below its surface demarcated by the curve [14] assuming translation invariance along the \( y \)-axis. The wall atoms interact with the fluid atoms via a purely repulsive potential, \( \phi = 4 \varepsilon (\sigma / r)^6 \), hence the net wall potential is

\[
V(r) = \rho_w \int \! \phi(|r - \mathbf{r}|)d\mathbf{r},
\]  (35)

where the integration domain is the volume of the wall. Here, \( \varepsilon \) is the strength of the potential, while \( \sigma \) is molecular radius. The repulsive tail of the wall potential models dispersion interactions which, within the mesoscopic interfacial model [3], generate a binding potential decaying asymptotically according to a power-law with \( r = 2 \). However, we note that in this context, because the inter-molecular interaction is purely repulsive, we consider the analogous drying phenomena when the repulsive wall is brought in contact with bulk liquid. An advantage of this is that the drying layer of gas does not exhibit volume exclusion effects allowing us to access a greater range of \( R_w \) values.

The fluid-fluid interaction is modelled by a (short-ranged) truncated Lennard-Jones potential (of strength \( \varepsilon \)) and its contribution to the free energy functional is described by a combination of Rosenfeld’s fundamental measure theory [53] (approximating the repulsive part of the interaction) and a simple mean-field treatment of the attractive part of the interaction. More details about the construction of the approximative \( F[\rho] \) and the numerical details of minimization of \( \Omega[\rho] \) can be found in Ref. [33] where the same fluid model has been adopted.

In order to determine the exponent \( \beta_{osc} \), we first found the equilibrium density profiles for various parabolic walls with different curvatures with fixed chemical potential, \( \mu_{osc} = \mu_{sat} + \gamma / (R_w \Delta \rho) \), ensuring that we sit right at the osculation transition (see Fig. 3). From each density profile we determined the interfacial height above the groove bottom \( \ell_0 \) using the mid-density rule. In Fig. 4 we display the log-log dependence of \( \ell_0 \) with \( \delta \mu_{osc} \) (with \( \delta \mu_{osc} \equiv \mu_{osc} - \mu_{sat} \)) comparing it also with the corresponding divergence of the planar wetting thickness \( \ell_\pi \) for the same range of chemical potentials. This shows convincingly that \( \ell_0 \) and \( \ell_\pi \) diverge with the same critical exponent which we estimate as \( \beta_{osc} \approx 0.326 \) in excellent agreement with the predicted value of \( \beta_{osc} = 1/3 \). Our results indicate that the ratio \( \ell_0 / \ell_\pi \approx 2 \) showing that at meniscus oscillation within this mean-field regime the geometry increases the amplitude of the local adsorption but not the critical exponent.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper we have developed a simple scaling theory for critical effects which arise from the rounding of the meniscus oscillation transition occurring when the Laplace pressure of a condensed macroscopic drop of liquid coincides with local radius of curvature \( R_w \) in a confining parabolic geometry. We have argued that the exponent \( \beta_{osc} \) characterising the scale of the interfacial height \( \ell_0 \propto R_{osc} \) at osculation, falls into one of two regimes representing fluctuation-dominated and mean-field like behaviour. In the fluctuation-dominated regime, representing the universality class of systems with short-ranged forces, the exponent is related to the value of wandering exponent by \( \beta_{osc} = 3 \zeta / (4 - \zeta) \) which is different to the relation \( \beta^{osc} = 2/(2 - \zeta) \) pertinent for complete wetting. This exponent relation can be understood to arise...
in two equivalent ways – either by assuming that when fluctuations dominate the height \( \ell_0 \) and lateral size \( x_0 \) of the adsorbed layer scale as \( \ell_0 \sim x_0^z \) or by enforcing a condition on the crossover scaling function that in the pre-oscillation regime the geometry serves to lower the effective partial pressure \( \delta p \rightarrow \delta p - \gamma / R_w \). These simple scaling considerations do not apply if the forces are sufficiently long-ranged in which case the midpoint interfacial height \( \ell_0 \propto \ell_{s} \) and rounding length scale \( \lambda \approx \xi_{\|} \) are more directly and simply related to wetting length-scales. Our prediction that in \( d = 2 \) and for short-ranged forces the meniscus osculation exponent takes the value \( \beta_{osc} = 3/7 \) is confirmed by a droplet model calculation based on an interfacial Hamiltonian which determines the scaling form of the asymptotic decay of the PDF for the local interfacial height. Future studies could seek to extend this to and determine, for example, the whole PDF including the short-distance expansion near the wall which we anticipate can be related to exact sum-rules similar to studies of continuous wetting at planar walls [54]. In \( d = 3 \) our DFT study indicates that in the mean-field regime with dispersion forces the ratio of the interfacial heights \( \ell_0 / \ell_{s} \approx 2 \). It would be interesting to see if the value of this amplitude can be understood using simple interfacial Hamiltonian models, which also allow for the presence of long-ranged forces [55]. This would have implications for understanding adsorption on other types of surface [29, 39]. Finally, the adsorption of fluids in substrates with parabolic pits has been considered experimentally previously [31, 32] although the meniscus osculation was not addressed. We hope that the present work stimulates such studies.
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