Perceived and Actual Knowledge of Over the Counter Anti-inflammatory Medications Among Athletic Trainers

S Andrew C1,2*, Brandon W2,3, Diana G1, David M3 and Marc E3

1University of Texas at Tyler, USA
2University of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
3Grand Canyon University, USA

Introduction

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have been recommended for reducing the inflammatory process, decreasing pain, and decreasing fever [1-4]. By acting on various chemical receptor sites, these medications inhibit two isoforms of cyclooxygenase, specifically COX-1 and COX-2 [1,2]. By inhibiting COX-2, NSAIDs reduce inflammation, pain, and fever [1,2]. According to previous studies, NSAIDs are used regularly by high performance athletes [3,4]. Additionally, over the counter NSAIDs are commonly administered by athletic trainers during their clinical practice [5,6]. While previous studies have been published suggesting that athletic trainers are uncomfortable with their knowledge of opioid medications, there do not appear to be any studies published on the perceived and actual knowledge of over the counter NSAIDs among athletic trainers [7].

Considering this lack of research on athletic trainers’ knowledge of over the counter NSAIDs, the widespread use of the medications among athletes may present potential issues. Previous research suggests that athletes in some sports may be using NSAIDs incorrectly, or not using them for their intended use [6,8]. Furthermore, NCAA Division I football players reported getting over a quarter of the over the counter NSAIDs they ingested from their athletic trainer [6]. Previously surveyed athletic trainers felt they had a role in the use of
medications with their student-athletes [7]. If this is the case, it is important to ensure that athletic trainers have an appropriate level of knowledge regarding the medications they are administering. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the perceived and actual knowledge of over the counter NSAIDs among athletic trainers.

**Methods**

**Design**

This study was conducted using a cross-sectional design utilizing an internet-based survey for data collection.

**Participants**

Participants were recruited for this study by emailing head athletic trainers at colleges and universities in the United States, program directors for accredited athletic training programs, and athletic trainers in local professional organizations. A total of 96 participants (age= 43 ± 13 years, certified experience = 19 ± 12 years) opened the survey link and completed the survey in its entirety. Demographic information about the participants is presented in Table 1. All participants were informed of the survey’s purpose as part of the beginning of the survey, at which point informed consent was obtained.

**Table 1:** Totals and percentage for participant demographic information.

| Demographic Factor         | Criteria                          | Responses |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Sex                        | Male                              | 51, 53.1% |
|                            | Female                            | 45, 46.9% |
| Clinical Practice Setting  | College/University                | 74, 77.1% |
|                            | Secondary School                  | 17, 17.7% |
|                            | Clinic/Hospital                    | 4, 4.2%   |
|                            | Emerging Settings                 | 1, 1.0%   |
| Highest Degree Earned      | Professional Bachelor's            | 9, 9.4%   |
|                            | Professional Master's             | 10, 10.4% |
|                            | Post-Professional Masters (in Athletic Training) | 17, 17.7% |
|                            | Post-Professional Master's (not in Athletic Training) | 38, 39.6% |
|                            | Post-Professional Clinical Doctorate | 5, 5.2%   |
|                            | Academic Doctorate                | 17, 17.7% |

**Data collection**

An email was sent to the heads of athletic training departments at the universities and colleges in the United States, asking them to forward the email to their athletic training staff. The same email was also sent to program directors of accredited athletic training programs and the members of local athletic training organizations. The message was then forwarded to all prospective participants inviting them to participate in an electronic survey via a hyperlink from a web-based server (Qualtrics Inc., Provo, UT) in July and August 2020. The inviting message contained information about the researchers, the purpose of the study, the nature of the survey, and assurances that the participants could opt to not complete the survey at any time. A follow-up email was sent a week after the initial email, and the survey was left open for an additional week prior to the survey being closed for statistical analysis.

**Instrument**

After the informed consent and demographics section, the survey included questions addressing formal education and training regarding cupping therapy, as well as the frequency of usage for cupping therapy during typical clinical practice. Participants were also asked to provide information about the equipment they used to apply cupping therapy.

To create the questions assessing actual knowledge of cupping therapy, a review of recent literature of published studies and textbooks was performed to inform the content [5-9]. This content was designed to be similar to questions given to athletic training students in an accredited athletic training course. These questions were developed by a researcher with content expertise and reviewed by a researcher with experience instructing pharmacology courses for athletic trainers.

Ultimately, the survey consisted of 35 questions. These questions included: one question regarding informed consent, four multiple choice and two fill in the blank questions on demographics, seven multiple choice and one multiple answer question on education and current usage of over the counter medications, 10 Likert-scale items that assessed participants’ perceived knowledge, and 10 multiple choice and two multiple answer questions that evaluated participants’ actual knowledge.

**Statistical analysis**

Data from participant responses was downloaded and analyzed using a commercially-available statistics package (SPSS Version 26, IBM, Armonk, NY). 79 completed responses were included in the data analysis. Measures of central tendency (means, standard deviations, frequencies) were calculated where appropriate. The
researchers reverse-scored four items from the perceived knowledge portion of the questionnaire and calculated a mean score for the 10 items. Additionally, the researchers calculated a total correct score per participant on the actual knowledge assessment. A Pearson correlation was calculated for the perceived knowledge mean score and the actual knowledge total score to identify a knowledge gap between what participants believed they knew and what they actually knew. Significance was set at P < .05 a priori.

**Results**

**Education**

The majority of athletic trainers stated that they had attended a continuing education presentation on over-the-counter medication within the past two years (57.3%, n% = 55). However, only 38.5% of athletic trainers reported attending a continuing education presentation on over-the-counter NSAIDs within the past two years (n = 37).

**Discussion**

Emphasizing education on over-the-counter NSAIDs within athletic training facilities was cetirizine hydrochloride (14.6%, n = 14), aspirin (26.0%, n = 25), and dextromethorphan (26.0%, n = 25).

**Clinical usage**

The majority of athletic trainers reported administering over-the-counter medications to patients at least once a week (75.0%, n = 72). These numbers mirrored athletic trainers’ reported administration of over-the-counter NSAIDs at least once a week (75.0%, n = 72). The most common medications kept in athletic training facilities were ibuprofen (95.8%, n = 92), acetaminophen (94.8%, n = 91), naproxen (59.4%, 57), and diphenhydramine (58.3%, n = 56). The least common medications kept in athletic training facilities were ibuprofen (95.8%, n = 92), acetaminophen (94.8%, n = 91), naproxen (59.4%, 57), and diphenhydramine (58.3%, n = 56).

**Correlation between perceived and actual knowledge**

We identified a weak positive relationship between perceived knowledge mean scores and actual knowledge total correct sum scores (r = 0.241, P = .018). This suggested that as perceived knowledge of cupping therapy increased, actual knowledge of cupping therapy increased as well. However, actual knowledge was 7.44 ± 1.68 out of 10. This indicated that actual knowledge of over-the-counter NSAIDs was lower than 75%.

**Table 2: Perceived Knowledge assessment most common response.**

| Item                                                                 | Most Common Response |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| I could explain the benefits of over the counter NSAIDs to a patient | Agree (n=43)         |
| I could assess a patient for indications, contraindications, and precautions for over the counter NSAIDs | Agree (n=46)         |
| I could explain the physiological effects and theoretical foundations of over the counter NSAIDs | Agree (n=34)         |
| I could describe the expected outcomes and adverse reactions of an over the counter NSAID | Agree (n=42)         |
| I could select the proper over the counter dose for an NSAID | Strongly Agree (n=40) |
| I could explain the difference between ibuprofen and acetaminophen | Strongly Agree (n=50) |
| I could explain the sensations a patient should experience when taking an over the counter NSAID | Agree (n=37)         |
| I do not feel very knowledgeable about over the counter NSAIDs | Disagree (n=42)      |
| I am skilled and proficient in the appropriate administration of over the counter NSAIDs based off my state practice act | Agree (n=43)         |
| I am likely to pursue continuing education to improve my knowledge and skills related to over the counter NSAIDs | Somewhat Agree (n=30) |

**Perceived education**

The participants had a mean sum of the perceived knowledge items (n = 10) of 5.77 ± 0.81 out of 7. This finding suggested that most participants were above mid-range of perceived knowledge of over-the-counter NSAIDs. Frequency count of the most common correct responses is provided in Table 2.
months [13]. Athletic trainers are more likely to administer over the counter NSAIDs on a weekly basis compared to cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Still, there is a potential for knowledge and skill deterioration if the modality is not used regularly. In the absence of clinical practice, continuing education has been shown to be a valuable method of knowledge transfer and retention [11,12].

These findings may suggest a need to include more extensive information about the theory and concepts related to over the counter NSAIDs within athletic training curriculums. In the event that proves difficult for athletic training education programs, continuing education programming should be considered for knowledge transfer of the definition, modes of action, indications, and contraindications of over the counter NSAIDs. When these continuing education presentations are created, they should be assessed and reassessed to make sure that they are effective and efficient at improving knowledge of over the counter NSAIDs among athletic trainers.

A possible limitation of this study was the number of participants. However, this is a similar limitation that other survey-based studies on athletic trainers have dealt with. That being said, this limitation may affect the generalizability of the results when looking to analyze across the profession [11,12]. Additionally, the response and completion rate was higher than some survey-based studies on athletic trainers [11,12].

The findings of this study support those of previous research that suggest a need for further research on the perceived knowledge of clinical skills and tasks among athletic trainers. To the authors’ knowledge, the first study to examine the perceived and actual knowledge of over the counter NSAIDs among athletic trainers. Future research should also be directed towards knowledge transfer and retention related to over the counter NSAIDs and other commonly administered over the counter medications.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the counter NSAIDs are frequently administered by athletic trainers. However, athletic trainers averaged lower than 75% when actual knowledge of over the counter NSAIDs was assessed. This demonstrates the need for education on over the counter NSAIDs that are frequently used by athletic trainers. Given that continuing education has been suggested as a means of knowledge acquisition and retention, clinicians might benefit from creation of more extensive continuing education programming on over the counter NSAIDs.
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