Association Between Eating Habits and Risk of Obstructive Sleep Apnea: A Population-Based Study
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Objective: The effect of dietary factors on OSA remains unclear. This study was to investigate the association of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) with individual and overall effects of eating habits among adult Chinese.

Methods: A total of 9733 participants were selected from the baseline survey of the Guangzhou Heart Study. OSA was ascertained by Berlin Questionnaire. Food consumption was collected using a food frequency questionnaire, and eating patterns were extracted by principal component analysis. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated by the logistic regression model.

Results: After adjustment for confounders, fried food intake with ≥1/week was associated with an increased risk of OSA (OR: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.37–2.27), while fruit consumption of ≥1/day was associated with a 26% reduced risk of OSA (OR: 0.74, 95% CI: 0.62–0.88). Three eating patterns were defined and labeled as pattern I, II, and III. Eating pattern III which had higher factor loadings of animal innards, fried food, salted food, carbonate, and non-carbonated beverages was associated with an increased risk of OSA (OR: 1.30, 95% CI: 1.09–1.55) when comparing the highest with the lowest tertile of pattern score, after adjusting for confounders; every 1-unit increment of pattern III score was associated with an increased risk of OSA (OR: 1.09, 95% CI: 1.04–1.14). Neither pattern I nor pattern II was observed to be associated with OSA risk.

Conclusion: A higher frequency intake of fruits was associated with a reduced OSA risk and a diet with higher levels of consumption of animal innards, fried food, salted food, carbonated beverages, and non-carbonated beverages may increase the risk of OSA.
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Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a common sleep disorder in clinical practice, characterized by recurrent episodes of the partial or complete collapse of the upper airway during sleep, resulting in reduced or absent airflow lasting for at least 10 seconds and associated with either cortical arousal or a fall in blood oxygen saturation.1 OSA has caused a large disease burden worldwide,2 approximately one billion people were estimated to have OSA,1,3 and the number of OSA patients was already up to 176 million in China.3,4 OSA was a major cause of daytime sleepiness, contributing to diminished life quality, impaired work performance, and increased motor vehicle crash risk.5 OSA has also constituted a major treatable risk factor for hypertension, atrial fibrillation, heart failure, coronary heart disease, stroke, and death.6,7
The enormous human and financial costs that accompany OSA, and the challenge of treating it effectively once it has developed, make it an appropriate target for prevention. Nowadays increasing evidence has highlighted the benefit of dietary control. Researchers found that favoring high-fat foods or disliking high-fiber foods would be associated with increased OSA severity. A cross-sectional study in Greece revealed that OSA patients with the highest quartile of total red meat intake were three times more likely to have severe OSA than those with the lowest quartile. Consistently, several epidemiological studies found that low-energy diet, low carbohydrate diet, and Mediterranean diet were associated with a decreased risk of OSA severity, while the intake of red meat, unprocessed red meat, and proarteriosclerotic diet (high in calories, fat, and simple carbohydrates and low in fish, fresh fruits, and vegetables) could contribute to OSA severity. Although some evidence supported the protective effect of certain healthy dietary elements in treating OSA, only one study examined the association between dietary factors and sleep apnea risk. In a cross-section study based on the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA), Reid et al reported that the moderate-to-more severe OSA was negatively associated with dietary quality and whole grain intake, and positively with high red/processed meat.

Some researchers suggested that independent of obesity, the dysregulation of appetite hormones and changes in central nervous system responses to food stimuli might link to sleep disorders. Besides, the consumption of food rich in antioxidants and phytochemicals can help to reduce reactive oxygen production and improve antioxidant status, which in turn can slow the development of systemic oxidative damage, and then have an important function in the prevention of OSA. Also, eating food containing high levels of advanced glycation end products may prompt the development of OSA by inducing oxidative stress and inflammation. However, there lacks epidemiological evidence of the association between dietary factors and the risk of OSA. Thus, this study was to examine the association between eating habits and risk of OSA through a population-based study, addressing the effect of both individual dietary components and overall dietary profile.

Methods
Study Setting and Subjects
The subjects in this study were recruited from the baseline survey of the Guangzhou Heart Study, which was performed between July 2015 and August 2017, and a total of 12,013 permanent residents aged 35 years or above were successfully interviewed. The detailed information of the Guangzhou Heart Study can be seen in our previous reports. Subjects who were permanent residents registered in the Guangzhou Household Register System, aged ≥35 years old, and have lived in selected communities for six months or more were included in this study. Those were excluded if they were aged 75 years old or above, lacked OSA-related information, had lung diseases including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chest pain, had heart diseases including heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and myocardial infarction, had mental and cognitive disorders including dementia, deaf mutters, and disturbance of understanding, had difficulty in mobility, such as leg pain and high paraplegia, had a history of malignant tumors, and were pregnant or lactating women. Ultimately, 9733 (81%) subjects were included for further analysis. No significant difference was observed in age and gender between subjects included and not included.

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Guangdong Provincial People’s Hospital and by the Ethical Review Committee for Biomedical Research, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and written informed consent was obtained from each subject.

OSA Ascertainment
OSA was assessed with Berlin Questionnaire, which was used to identify OSA patients in the community population and was proved to have good validity and reliability among Chinese. Berlin Questionnaire was consisted of ten questions in three categories, referring to snoring (category I), daytime somnolence (category II), body mass index (BMI), and hypertension (category III). If the results of category I and category II showed frequent symptoms (>3–4 times/week), the scores of these two categories were given positive score; if there was a history of hypertension or a BMI of more than 30 kg/m², the category III was given positive score. A subject with a positive score on two or more categories was evaluated as having high risk and classified into the OSA group, otherwise as having low risk and classified into the non-OSA group.
The 22 food groups in this FFQ included wheat and its products (noodles, bread, steamed buns, biscuits, etc.), rice and its products (rice noodles, rice flour, rice cakes, etc.), coarse grain (corn, millet, purple rice, sorghum, oats, buckwheat, etc.), beans and soy products (soybeans, miscellaneous beans, tofu, dried bean curd sticks, soy milk, etc.), red meat (beef, lamb, pork, etc.), poultry meat (chicken, duck, goose, pigeon, etc.), fish and aquatic products (fish, shrimp, crab, shellfish, squid, etc.), eggs (eggs of duck, goose, quail, etc.), animal innards (pig liver/belly intestines, chicken gizzards, foie gras, etc.), fried food (barbecue, fried dough sticks, fried cakes, French fries, etc.), salted food (sauce/preserved mustard, fermented bean curd, salted fish/meat, salted products, etc.), fresh vegetables (potatoes, Chinese cabbage, cabbage, rape, lettuce, pepper, broccoli, cauliflower, loofah, white radish, mustard, eggplant, tomato, carrots, etc.), nuts (melon seeds, chestnuts, peanuts, walnuts, cashews, pistachios, etc.), non-fermented milk (liquid milk, milk powder, etc.), fermented milk (yogurt, cheese, etc.), fresh fruits (apple, banana, pear, peach, orange, tangerine, grape, litchi, longan, watermelon, melon, kiwi, etc.), carbonated beverages (Cola, Sprite, Fanta, etc.), non-carbonated beverages (fruit and vegetable drinks, tea drinks, lactic acid drinks, orange, green tea, black tea, yogurt, etc.), vitamin supplements (vitamin C, B, K, D and multivitamin, etc.), mineral supplements (calcium, iron, zinc, etc.), healthcare products (traditional Chinese medicine, health products, etc.), and fish oil (fish oil, cod liver oil, etc.). The specific foods contained in each food group were shown in the Supplementary Table S1. A series of food pictures were provided to each subject to help recall food intake information when the survey was conducted. Subjects were asked to answer whether consumed a certain food group over the past twelve months. If their response was yes, their intake frequency of this certain food group further needed to be provided. Five defined options of the intake frequency were provided in advance (“<1 time per month”, “1 to 3 times per month”, “1 to 3 times per week”, “4 to 6 times per week”, “≥1 time per day”).

Potential Covariates Evaluation

A structured questionnaire was used to collect a wide range of information including social-demographic factors (age, gender, marital status, education level, and retirement), history of disease, cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption. Leisure-time physical activity was assessed by the modified Global Physical Activity Questionnaire, and the total volume of leisure-time physical activity was described by metabolic equivalent-hours per week (MET-hours/week); the details of the assessment of leisure-time physical activity has been shown in our latest report. In addition, each subject received a health examination according to examination protocols. Weight and height were measured to calculate body mass index (kg/m²); neck circumference, waist circumference, and hip circumference were measured and the latter two were used to calculate the waist–hip ratio. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were measured three times, and then the mean of three measurements was calculated; a Subject whose systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg was considered as having hypertension. Fasting blood sample of each subject was collected, and serum concentrations of fasting blood glucose, cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglyceride were detected. A participant who self-reported physician-diagnosed diabetes or whose fasting blood glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L was considered to have diabetes. A participant who self-reported physician-diagnosed dyslipidemia or whose serum cholesterol of ≥5.2 mmol/L, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol of ≥3.4 mmol/L, or triglyceride of ≥1.7 mmol/L was defined as having dyslipidemia.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R-software (version 3.1.3). P-value was derived from two-sided tests and of less than 0.05 was deemed to be statistically significant. Chi-square tests or t-tests were used to examine the difference of covariates between the OSA group and the non-OSA group. When analyzing the effect of a specific food group on OSA risk, a category of intake frequency was combined to an adjacent category, if this sample size in this category was small.

The eating patterns which represented the whole profile of the diet were then extracted using the principal components analysis (PCA) with varimax-rotated transformation, based on 22 food groups mentioned above. PCA uses the correlation matrix of food intake variables to identify common patterns of food consumption within the data in order to account for the largest amount of variation in diet. The varimax rotation is a statistical technique used to clarify the relationship among factors; it simplifies the loadings of items by removing the middle ground and more specifically identifying the factor upon which data load. In order to derive the eating patterns, a simple score was assigned to each category of the intake frequency of each food group: 1 score = “<1 time
per month”, 2 scores = “1 to 3 times per month”, 3 scores = “1 to 3 times per week”, 4 scores = “4 to 6 times per week”, 5 scores = “≥1 time per day”. Dietary groups with absolute rotated factor loadings of ≥0.40 were referred to as “dominant components” hereafter. The eating pattern score of each subject was calculated using the regression method. A positive loading for a food group showed a direct association with the pattern, while a negative loading indicated that this food group inversely contributed to the pattern. Three eating patterns were successfully defined (Supplementary Table S2) and labeled as pattern I, pattern II and pattern III. The pattern I was characterized with a higher loading of beans and soy products, coarse grain, eggs, nuts, non-fermented milk, fermented milk, vitamin supplement, mineral supplement, healthcare products, and fish oil, explaining about 40.8% of total variance; Pattern II was characterized with a higher loading of red meat, poultry meat, and fish and aquatic product, explaining about 29.8% of the total variance; Pattern III was characterized with a higher loading of animal inners, fried food, salted food, carbonated beverages, and non-carbonated beverages, explaining about 29.5% of the total variance. The scores of patterns were then transformed into categorical variables using the tertile method.

Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated via the logistic regression model to assess the association of OSA risk with individual food groups and with eating patterns. In the multivariable models, we firstly only adjusted for the potential confounders, and then adjusted for both confounders and BMI, and finally further adjusted for three eating patterns and all confounders. In addition, the categories of each food group or medians of categories of each eating pattern score were included as a numerical variable in the logistic model to test for a linear trend. Potential nonlinear relationships were examined using restricted cubic splines. Stratified analyses were performed based on gender (male, female) and mean age (≤55, >55). The multiplicative interactions of each eating pattern with sex, and with age were examined, respectively, by using the likelihood ratio test, with a comparison of the likelihood scores of the two models with and without the interaction terms; however, no interaction was observed.

Results

A total of 1258 (13%) participants were classified into the OSA group and 8475 (87%) into the non-OSA group according to the Berlin Questionnaire (Table 1). The means of age, body mass index, waist–hip ratio, and neck circumference in the OSA group were all larger than those in the non-OSA group (all \( P < 0.001 \)). More participants in the non-OSA group than in the OSA group were non-smokers, non-drinkers, and did not have hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia (all \( P < 0.001 \)). The mean of the eating pattern I score was significantly higher in the non-OSA group (\( P < 0.001 \)), whereas the mean of eating pattern III score was significantly higher in the OSA group (\( P < 0.001 \)); the non-OSA group and the OSA group had a similar score of eating pattern II (Figure 1).

The association between intake frequency of each dietary group and risk of OSA is presented in Table 2. In comparison to the consumption of ≤3/week, the consumption of the fruits of ≥1/day was associated with a 26% reduced risk of OSA (OR: 0.74, 95% CI: 0.62–0.88, \( P\)-trend < 0.001) after adjustment for covariates. When compared with the fried food intake of less than once per month, the fried food intake of once or more per week was associated with an increased risk of OSA (OR: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.37–2.27, \( P\)-trend < 0.001) after adjustment for covariates. Other 20 groups were not observed to be associated with the risk of OSA. Further adjustment for BMI and covariates did get consistent results.

In the age-stratified analysis (Supplementary Table S3), similar results were observed among groups of both ≤55 years and >55 years. But in the gender-stratified analysis (Supplementary Table S4), consistent results were only observed in fried food intake rather than fruit intake. When comparing the intake of ≥1/day with of <3/week, a significant association of fruit intake with OSA risk was observed in males (OR: 0.58, 95% CI: 0.45–0.75) rather than in females (OR: 0.87, 95% CI: 0.68–1.12).

Table 3 presents the association between three eating patterns and OSA risk. In comparison to those within the lowest tertile of pattern III score, participants within the highest tertile were associated with a 1.30-fold risk of OSA (OR: 1.30, 95% CI: 1.09–1.55, \( P\)-trend < 0.016) after adjustment for potential confounders. Every 1-unit increment of score of the Pattern III was associated with a 9% increased risk of OSA (OR: 1.09, 95% CI: 1.00–1.20). Neither pattern I nor Pattern II was observed to be related to the risk of OSA. The analysis by further adjustment for BMI and other two patterns also yield similar consistent results. Potential nonlinear relationships were examined using restricted cubic splines (Supplementary Figure S1); however, the nonlinear trend was not observed for any pattern.

In stratified analysis by age (Supplementary Table S5), when comparing the highest with lowest tertiles of pattern score, we obtained the consistent results that pattern III
was positively associated with OSA risk in participants within ≤55 years group (OR: 1.45, 95% CI = 1.07–1.97) and >55 years group (OR: 1.15, 95% CI = 1.01–1.45). In stratified analysis by gender, we also yielded similar results (Supplementary Table S6); when comparing the highest with lowest tertiles of pattern scores among males, pattern III was associated with a 42% (OR: 1.42, 95% CI = 1.07–1.88) increased risk with OSA; every 1 score increment of pattern III score was associated a 12% (OR: 1.12, 95% CI = 1.02–1.29) increased risk of OSA in females.

Discussion

Our results showed that fried food and eating pattern III, characterized as having higher factor loadings of animal innards, fried food, salted food, carbonated beverages, and non-carbonated beverages, were positively associated with risk of OSA, whereas higher frequency intake of fruits intake was associated with lower OSA risk. No significant association was observed for the remaining two eating patterns and other dietary groups.

In this study, fruit consumption more than once per day was found to be related to a decreased OSA risk, which was consistent with the results from a randomized trial in Greece that adherence to the Mediterranean diet, one of the characteristics of which was a higher proportion of fruit consumption, was effective in reducing the AHI and lessening the severity of OSA. Fruit also played a protective effect on OSA-related diseases, such as obesity, diabetes, and metabolic syndrome.
Several potential mechanisms were underlying the impact of fruit consumption on the risk of OSA. First, OSA was associated with oxidative stress. Fruits are abundant in antioxidants, such as vitamins C and E, Mg, K, folic acid, and phytochemicals. A higher intake of antioxidants can reduce reactive oxygen species and improve antioxidant status, which in turn can slow the development of systemic oxidative damage causing the overproduction of reactive oxygen species, and then have an important role in the prevention of OSA. Second, increased fruit consumption may raise the dietary fiber consumption and reduce fat intake, and this diet structure has been shown to be significantly associated with weight loss, and further to prevent fat deposition in the parapharyngeal fat pads and pharyngeal muscles. The stratified analysis by gender did get similar results, indicating the robustness of our results. However, the association of OSA risk with fruit intake was significant in males but not in females. Why the negative association is not significant for the female is not very clear. We guess this may be linked to gender differences. As we know, the male sex is a risk factor for OSA, possible explanations for the male predominance include hormonal effects on upper airway muscles and collapsibility, gender differences in body fat distribution, and differences in pharyngeal anatomy and function. Usually, female patients with OSA are older with less severe OSA and worse sleep quality than men, presenting more comorbidity, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, thyroid disease, and asthma, as well as higher symptoms of depression and insomnia. Thus, women may present different OSA phenotypes, which may explain the lower association between dietary patterns and OSA risk in females. Besides, this may also be related to the difference of OSA-related hormones between men and women. Progesterone in females can promote the ventilation function of the upper airway, especially when associated with estrogen. Moreover, upper airways in females are less collapsible and more stable during sleep than in males, through a variety of mechanisms that involve sex hormones but are not limited to them.

For fried food, the process of frying modified the nutritional content of foods as well as the frying medium and led to an increase in trans fats and a decrease in unsaturated fats in foods. Our study found that fried food consumption more than once per week was associated with an increased risk of OSA. Stratified analysis by gender and age yielded consistent results. Several epidemiological studies also reported a positive association between fried food intake and overweight, obesity, waist circumference, or weight gain, all of which were risk factors for OSA.
| Food & Dietary Supplements | N (Non-OSA/OSA)* | Crude OR (95% CI)† | Adjusted OR (95% CI)‡ | Adjusted OR (95% CI)§ |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Rice                      |                  |                    |                       |                       |
| ≤3/week                   | 362/65           | 1.00               | 1.00                  | 1.00                  |
| 4–6/week                  | 285/55           | 1.07 (0.73, 1.59)  | 1.05 (0.66, 1.69)     | 1.12 (0.69, 1.81)     |
| ≥1/day                    | 7828/1138        | 0.81 (0.62, 1.07)  | 0.87 (0.63, 1.21)     | 0.87 (0.62, 1.21)     |
| P for trend               |                  | 0.036              | 0.244                 | 0.198                 |
| Vegetables                |                  |                    |                       |                       |
| ≤3/week                   | 126/29           | 1.00               | 1.00                  | 1.00                  |
| 4–6/week                  | 172/26           | 0.66 (0.37, 1.17)  | 0.95 (0.47, 1.92)     | 0.97 (0.48, 1.98)     |
| ≥1/day                    | 8177/1203        | 0.64 (0.43, 0.98)  | 0.79 (0.48, 1.34)     | 0.76 (0.45, 1.29)     |
| P for trend               |                  | 0.053              | 0.258                 | 0.172                 |
| Fruits                    |                  |                    |                       |                       |
| ≤3/week                   | 1664/326         | 1.00               | 1.00                  | 1.00                  |
| 4–6/week                  | 1304/204         | 0.80 (0.66, 0.96)  | 0.81 (0.64, 1.01)     | 0.79 (0.63, 1.00)     |
| ≥1/day                    | 5507/728         | 0.67 (0.59, 0.78)  | 0.74 (0.62, 0.88)     | 0.72 (0.60, 0.86)     |
| P for trend               |                  | < 0.001            | 0.001                 | 0.001                 |
| Fried food                |                  |                    |                       |                       |
| <1/month                  | 5666/769         | 1.00               | 1.00                  | 1.00                  |
| 1–3/month                 | 2227/348         | 1.15 (1.00, 1.32)  | 1.16 (0.99, 1.37)     | 1.12 (0.95, 1.32)     |
| ≥1/week                   | 582/141          | 1.79 (1.46, 2.17)  | 1.77 (1.37, 2.27)     | 1.63 (1.25, 2.11)     |
| P for trend               |                  | < 0.001            | < 0.001               | < 0.001               |
| Wheat and its products    |                  |                    |                       |                       |
| <1/week                   | 1755/247         | 1.00               | 1.00                  | 1.00                  |
| 1–3/week                  | 2786/414         | 1.06 (0.89, 1.25)  | 1.10 (0.90, 1.34)     | 1.07 (0.87, 1.31)     |
| ≥4/week                   | 3934/597         | 1.08 (0.92, 1.27)  | 1.10 (0.91, 1.33)     | 1.11 (0.91, 1.35)     |
| P for trend               |                  | 0.369              | 0.409                 | 0.294                 |
| Coarse grain              |                  |                    |                       |                       |
| <1/week                   | 3800/627         | 1.00               | 1.00                  | 1.00                  |
| 1–3/week                  | 3013/419         | 0.84 (0.74, 0.96)  | 0.96 (0.82, 1.13)     | 0.95 (0.81, 1.12)     |
| ≥4/week                   | 1662/212         | 0.77 (0.65, 0.91)  | 0.98 (0.81, 1.20)     | 1.00 (0.81, 1.23)     |
| P for trend               |                  | 0.001              | 0.787                 | 0.865                 |
| Beans and soy products    |                  |                    |                       |                       |
| <1/week                   | 5024/791         | 1.00               | 1.00                  | 1.00                  |
| 1–3/week                  | 2739/374         | 0.87 (0.76, 0.99)  | 0.98 (0.84, 1.15)     | 0.99 (0.84, 1.17)     |
| ≥4/week                   | 712/93           | 0.83 (0.66, 1.04)  | 1.09 (0.83, 1.43)     | 1.12 (0.84, 1.47)     |
| P for trend               |                  | 0.018              | 0.754                 | 0.609                 |
| Red meat                  |                  |                    |                       |                       |
| <1/week                   | 426/55           | 1.00               | 1.00                  | 1.00                  |
| 1–3/week                  | 1452/219         | 1.17 (0.86, 1.61)  | 1.38 (0.96, 2.00)     | 1.44 (0.99, 2.12)     |
| ≥4/week                   | 6597/984         | 1.16 (0.87, 1.56)  | 1.26 (0.90, 1.78)     | 1.34 (0.95, 1.91)     |
| P for trend               |                  | 0.530              | 0.681                 | 0.455                 |
| Poultry meat              |                  |                    |                       |                       |
| <1/week                   | 1125/172         | 1.00               | 1.00                  | 1.00                  |
| 1–3/week                  | 3636/510         | 0.92 (0.76, 1.11)  | 0.99 (0.80, 1.24)     | 1.01 (0.81, 1.26)     |
| ≥4/week                   | 3714/576         | 1.01 (0.85, 1.22)  | 1.02 (0.82, 1.27)     | 1.03 (0.83, 1.29)     |
| P for trend               |                  | 0.454              | 0.797                 | 0.729                 |

(Continued)
| Food & Dietary Supplements | N (Non-OSA/OSA)* | Crude OR (95% CI)† | Adjusted OR (95% CI)‡ | Adjusted OR (95% CI)§ |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Fish and aquatic product   |                  |                    |                      |                      |
| <1/week                    | 882/125          | 1.00               | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| 1–3/week                   | 3078/430         | 0.99 (0.80, 1.22)  | 0.91 (0.71, 1.16)    | 0.94 (0.73, 1.22)    |
| ≥4/week                    | 4515/703         | 1.10 (0.90, 1.35)  | 0.95 (0.75, 1.21)    | 0.96 (0.76, 1.23)    |
| P for trend                |                  | 0.130              | 0.968                | 0.956                |
| Eggs                       |                  |                    |                      |                      |
| <1/week                    | 1713/287         | 1.00               | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| 1–3/week                   | 3631/526         | 0.86 (0.74, 1.01)  | 0.99 (0.83, 1.19)    | 1.02 (0.84, 1.23)    |
| ≥4/week                    | 3131/445         | 0.85 (0.72, 1.00)  | 1.02 (0.84, 1.24)    | 1.04 (0.85, 1.26)    |
| P for trend                |                  | 0.062              | 0.781                | 0.720                |
| Animal innards             |                  |                    |                      |                      |
| <1/week                    | 7732/1139        | 1.00               | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| 1–3/week                   | 639/98           | 1.04 (0.83, 1.29)  | 1.17 (0.89, 1.53)    | 1.24 (0.94, 1.62)    |
| ≥4/week                    | 104/21           | 1.37 (0.83, 2.15)  | 1.06 (0.58, 1.87)    | 1.09 (0.60, 1.92)    |
| P for trend                |                  | 0.272              | 0.343                | 0.208                |
| Salted food                |                  |                    |                      |                      |
| <1/week                    | 7543/1075        | 1.00               | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| 1–3/week                   | 734/136          | 1.30 (1.07, 1.57)  | 1.32 (1.04, 1.66)    | 1.21 (0.95, 1.53)    |
| ≥4/week                    | 198/47           | 1.67 (1.19, 2.28)  | 1.17 (0.78, 1.74)    | 1.13 (0.74, 1.71)    |
| P for trend                |                  | <0.001             | 0.046                | 0.164                |
| Nuts                       |                  |                    |                      |                      |
| <1/week                    | 5009/741         | 1.00               | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| 1–3/week                   | 2237/336         | 1.02 (0.88, 1.16)  | 1.02 (0.86, 1.20)    | 1.01 (0.85, 1.20)    |
| ≥4/week                    | 1229/181         | 1.00 (0.83, 1.18)  | 1.01 (0.82, 1.24)    | 1.00 (0.81, 1.24)    |
| P for trend                |                  | 0.969              | 0.869                | 0.960                |
| Non-fermented milk         |                  |                    |                      |                      |
| <1/week                    | 4989/831         | 1.00               | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| 1–3/week                   | 1659/209         | 0.76 (0.64, 0.89)  | 0.98 (0.81, 1.19)    | 1.02 (0.84, 1.25)    |
| ≥4/week                    | 1827/218         | 0.72 (0.61, 0.84)  | 0.89 (0.73, 1.08)    | 0.93 (0.76, 1.13)    |
| P for trend                |                  | <0.001             | 0.267                | 0.540                |
| Fermented milk             |                  |                    |                      |                      |
| <1/week                    | 6006/974         | 1.00               | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| 1–3/week                   | 1509/175         | 0.72 (0.60, 0.85)  | 0.87 (0.71, 1.06)    | 0.87 (0.70, 1.07)    |
| ≥4/week                    | 960/109          | 0.70 (0.57, 0.86)  | 0.87 (0.68, 1.12)    | 0.86 (0.66, 1.10)    |
| P for trend                |                  | <0.001             | 0.143                | 0.121                |
| Carbonated beverages       |                  |                    |                      |                      |
| <1/week                    | 8087/1174        | 1.00               | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| 1–3/week                   | 295/61           | 1.42 (1.06, 1.88)  | 1.34 (0.93, 1.90)    | 1.25 (0.86, 1.79)    |
| ≥4/week                    | 93/23            | 1.70 (1.05, 2.65)  | 1.42 (0.78, 2.50)    | 1.47 (0.79, 2.63)    |
| P for trend                |                  | 0.001              | 0.058                | 0.090                |
| Non-carbonated beverages   |                  |                    |                      |                      |
| <1/week                    | 7676/1122        | 1.00               | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| 1–3/week                   | 383/59           | 1.05 (0.79, 1.38)  | 1.06 (0.75, 1.49)    | 1.08 (0.75, 1.52)    |
| ≥4/week                    | 416/77           | 1.27 (0.98, 1.62)  | 1.31 (0.96, 1.78)    | 1.26 (0.91, 1.73)    |
| P for trend                |                  | 0.071              | 0.093                | 0.147                |

(Continued)
There are several possible explanations for the associations of fried foods with OSA, although the detailed mechanisms are not well understood. Frying could make food lose water and absorb fats, which in turn would increase the energy density of the food. Frying could also lead to excess energy intake by making food more aromatic and appealing in texture, thus improving food palatability.

Additionally, frying deteriorates oils through the process of oxidation and hydrogenation, leading to a loss of unsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic acid and an increase in the corresponding trans-fatty acids such as trans-linoleic acid. Higher intakes of saturated and trans-fatty acids could increase the expression and secretion of inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 and CRP. Another possibility is the higher levels of dietary advanced glycation end products as a result of frying, which could have an important role in the development of OSA, mainly through induction of oxidative stress and inflammation.

Using a single-food approach is limited by the multicollinearity of different dietary components and the inability to detect small effect. However, the cumulative effects of multiple dietary components included in a dietary pattern may be sufficiently large to be detectable. Our study used principal component analysis to define eating patterns and found that pattern III, which had higher factor loadings of animal innards, fried food, salted food, carbonated beverages, and non-carbonated beverages, was positively associated with OSA risk, no matter the pattern score was considered as a categorical variable or as a continuous variable. The stratified analysis also yielded similar results. Similar results can be seen in Cao's research conducted in Changsha, China, which found that snack pattern (mainly intake of sugary beverages, coffee, and snacks) and oily pattern (mainly intake of meat, fried food, and pickled vegetables) increase the risk of sleep disorders.

Table 2 (Continued).

| Food & Dietary Supplements | N (Non-OSA/OSA)* | Crude OR† (95% CI)‡ | Adjusted OR§ (95% CI)§ | Adjusted OR¶ (95% CI)¶ |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Vitamin supplement         |                  |                     |                        |                        |
| <1/week                    | 7780/1179        | 1.00                | 1.00                   | 1.00                   |
| 1–3/week                   | 222/28           | 0.83 (0.55, 1.22)   | 1.01 (0.62, 1.59)      | 1.04 (0.64, 1.65)      |
| ≥4/week                    | 473/51           | 0.71 (0.52, 0.95)   | 0.83 (0.58, 1.16)      | 0.85 (0.59, 1.20)      |
| P for trend                |                  | 0.016               | 0.317                  | 0.419                  |
| Mineral supplement         |                  |                     |                        |                        |
| <1/week                    | 7352/1118        | 1.00                | 1.00                   | 1.00                   |
| 1–3/week                   | 342/49           | 0.94 (0.69, 1.27)   | 1.14 (0.79, 1.61)      | 1.16 (0.80, 1.66)      |
| ≥4/week                    | 781/91           | 0.77 (0.61, 0.96)   | 0.91 (0.69, 1.17)      | 0.93 (0.71, 1.22)      |
| P for trend                |                  | 0.022               | 0.611                  | 0.793                  |
| Healthcare products        |                  |                     |                        |                        |
| <1/week                    | 7837/1164        | 1.00                | 1.00                   | 1.00                   |
| 1–3/week                   | 190/21           | 0.74 (0.46, 1.14)   | 1.11 (0.63, 1.86)      | 1.17 (0.66, 1.99)      |
| ≥4/week                    | 448/73           | 1.10 (0.84, 1.41)   | 1.14 (0.83, 1.53)      | 1.18 (0.86, 1.60)      |
| P for trend                |                  | 0.745               | 0.388                  | 0.264                  |
| Fish oil                   |                  |                     |                        |                        |
| <1/week                    | 7651/1129        | 1.00                | 1.00                   | 1.00                   |
| 1–3/week                   | 202/32           | 1.07 (0.72, 1.54)   | 1.34 (0.85, 2.08)      | 1.31 (0.81, 2.04)      |
| ≥4/week                    | 622/97           | 1.06 (0.84, 1.31)   | 1.16 (0.89, 1.51)      | 1.17 (0.89, 1.53)      |
| P for trend                |                  | 0.579               | 0.177                  | 0.182                  |

Notes: *N represents the sample size for non-OSA group or OSA group. †OR₁, without any adjustment. ‡OR₂, adjustment for age, sex, marital status, educational status, retirement, smoking, drinking, leisure-time physical activity, neck circumference, waist-hip ratio, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes. §OR₃, adjustment for body mass index as well as covariates in OR₂. ¶OR₄, adjustment for age, sex, marital status, educational status, retirement, smoking, drinking, leisure-time physical activity, neck circumference, waist-hip ratio, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes.
### Table 3: The Association of Eating Patterns with Risk of OSA

| Pattern | N (Non-OSA/OSA)* | Crude OR<sub>1</sub> (95% CI)<sup>†</sup> | Adjusted OR<sub>2</sub> (95% CI)<sup>‡</sup> | Adjusted OR<sub>3</sub> (95% CI)<sup>§</sup> | Adjusted OR<sub>4</sub> (95% CI)<sup>§</sup> |
|---------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Pattern I | | | | | |
| Tertile 1 | 2748/497 | 1.00 | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00  |
| Tertile 2 | 2852/397 | 0.77 (0.67, 0.89) | 0.98 (0.82, 1.16)  | 0.98 (0.82, 1.17)  | 0.97 (0.81, 1.17)  |
| Tertile 3 | 2875/364 | 0.70 (0.61, 0.81) | 0.96 (0.79, 1.16)  | 0.99 (0.82, 1.21)  | 0.98 (0.79, 1.21)  |
| P for trend | 0.001 | 0.653 | 0.970  | 0.849  | 0.443  |
| Every-1 score increment | 0.95 (0.93, 0.97) | 0.99 (0.96, 1.02)  | 0.99 (0.97, 1.02)  | 0.98 (0.93, 1.03)  |  |
| Pattern II | | | | | |
| Tertile 1 | 2834/422 | 1.00 | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00  |
| Tertile 2 | 2810/444 | 1.06 (0.92, 1.22) | 1.08 (0.91, 1.28)  | 1.07 (0.90, 1.27)  | 1.05 (0.88, 1.25)  |
| Tertile 3 | 2831/392 | 0.93 (0.80, 1.08) | 0.95 (0.80, 1.13)  | 0.95 (0.79, 1.14)  | 0.92 (0.76, 1.11)  |
| P for trend | 0.222 | 0.409 | 0.443  | 0.271  |  |
| Every-1 score increment | 0.97 (0.94, 1.00) | 0.98 (0.95, 1.02)  | 0.98 (0.95, 1.02)  | 0.98 (0.91, 1.05)  |  |
| Pattern III | | | | | |
| Tertile 1 | 2867/396 | 1.00 | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00  |
| Tertile 2 | 2862/384 | 0.97 (0.84, 1.13) | 1.10 (0.92, 1.31)  | 1.07 (0.89, 1.28)  | 1.08 (0.90, 1.29)  |
| Tertile 3 | 2746/478 | 1.26 (1.09, 1.45) | 1.30 (1.09, 1.55)  | 1.22 (1.02, 1.46)  | 1.25 (1.03, 1.50)  |
| P for trend | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.003  | 0.016  |  |
| Every-1 score increment | 1.08 (1.04, 1.12) | 1.09 (1.04, 1.14)  | 1.07 (1.02, 1.12)  | 1.09 (1.00, 1.20)  |  |

**Notes:** *N* represents the sample size for non-OSA group or OSA group. ^1^OR<sub>1</sub>, without any adjustment. ^2^OR<sub>2</sub>, adjustment for age, sex, marital status, educational status, retirement, smoking, drinking, leisure-time physical activity, neck circumference, waist–hip ratio, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes. ^3^OR<sub>3</sub>, adjustment for covariates in OR<sub>2</sub> as well as body mass index. ^4^OR<sub>4</sub>, adjustment for covariables in OR<sub>2</sub> as well as three patterns.

Dietary factors can both inhibit and promote this undesirable change. Eating some food containing high levels of antioxidants and phytochemicals can help to reduce reactive oxygen production and improve antioxidant status, which in turn can slow the development of systemic oxidative damage, and then is beneficial to prevent OSA.

To our best knowledge, this is the first study to examine the association of OSA risk with both individual food groups and eating patterns among the Chinese adult population. The strengths of the present study are shown as follows. First, the subjects were selected by using the multistage sampling method, which can to some degree ensure the representativeness of samples and help to avoid selection bias. Moreover, a relatively large sample size and adjustment for a wide range of confounding factors also help to increase statistical power and minimize confounding bias. Second, dietary patterns extracted by the principal component analysis comprehensively reflected the overall effect of food groups on OSA risk and can help to overcome issues of the multicollinearity of food, nutrients, and dietary supplements. Third, the questionnaire survey is carried out face to face by highly trained investigators, which can to some degree to reduce the information bias.
However, there are some limitations. Firstly, information on eating habits over the past 12 months was collected through the food frequency questionnaire, which might lead to recall bias inevitably. Besides, the intake amount of each food group was not collected, so we cannot calculate the total energy intake. However, in this study, we took leisure-time physical activity as a confounder and further adjusted BMI when did sensitivity analysis, these can be taken as an indirect alternative approach. Secondly, multiple tests for the 22 food groups or supplements might lead to false associations, but the results for individual food groups prompted us to conduct dietary pattern analysis to overcome the defects of methods based on a single component. Thirdly, we used Berlin Questionnaire to assess OSA but not polysomnography; however, polysomnography is unsuitable for large-scale epidemiological investigation due to the high cost and inconvenience, and Berlin Questionnaire is widely used in a community-based epidemiological study. Last, this study was a cross-sectional study, which had great limitations on causal inference. Our further study with follow-up will address these limitations.

In conclusion, a higher frequency intake of fruits was associated with a reduced OSA risk, while a diet with higher-level consumption of animal innards, fried food, salted food, carbonated beverages, and non-carbonated beverages may increase the risk of OSA in adult Chinese. Results from this study indicate that preventive strategies for OSA should consider both single dietary components and complex dietary patterns.
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