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Abstract

**Background:** In Cameroon, the decrease in MMR (Maternal Mortality Ratio) from PPH (Postpartum Haemorrhage) despite reported use of the Active Management of the Third Stage of Labour (AMTSL) is slower than required to achieve the Third Sustainable Development Goal (SDG3) hence the need to question obstetric caregivers’ competence in AMTSL, as well as the factors hindering its proper use.

We therefore aimed to assess obstetric caregivers’ knowledge about AMTSL, as well as the determinants and barriers of AMTSL in selected hospitals in Fako Division, Cameroon.

**Methods:** This was a hospital-based cross-sectional study of 150 participants recruited in 27 health facilities in Buea, Limbe and Tiko health districts from January 15, 2020, to March 31, 2020. Participants’ socio-demographic and qualification characteristics, knowledge and challenges, and the references guiding their practice of AMTSL were collected using a structured questionnaire. AMTSL knowledge was categorized as poor or good and the determinants of good AMTSL knowledge were evaluated. The data was analyzed in SPSS version 25.0.

**Results:** Of the 150 caregivers interviewed, only 48.7% had good knowledge of AMTSL. In logistic models, participants’ use of AMTSL increased Good knowledge of AMTSL (AOR: 12.96, CI: 1.12 - 150.3, \( p = 0.04 \)). Unavailability of drugs and/or equipment, insufficient staff coverage and lack of knowledge and training of the staff were the major challenges reported.

**Conclusion:** Obstetric caregivers in Fako division have knowledge gaps and face numerous challenges in AMTSL use, which could account for the consistently high MMR from PPH. Filling this knowledge gap and mitigating the challenges of these caregivers would certainly accelerate progress towards the achievement of SDG3.

**Background**

Despite the great role played by the Active Management of the Third Stage of Labour (AMTSL) over the years to reduce the burden of Postpartum Haemorrhage (PPH) and Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) [1], the MMRs in many low and middle-income countries are still quite high. For example, in Nigeria, it is 814 per 100,000 live births, Tanzania (398 per 100,000 live births), Ethiopia (353 per 100,000 live births), Ghana (319 per 100,000 live births) in 2015 [2] and Cameroon (467 per 100,000 live births) in 2018 [3]. This high MMR in Cameroon concurs with the consistently high burden of PPH despite the utilization of AMTSL as demonstrated by studies conducted at the Douala General Hospital and the University Teaching Hospital Yaoundé in 2008 and 2013 that reported prevalences of primary PPH of 1.68% and 4.1% respectively [4]. A prevalence of primary PPH of 13.9% was reported at the University Teaching Hospital Yaoundé in 2014 [5], and 23.6% in the Bonassama District Hospital in 2015 [4, 6], which are quite high. Nevertheless, Cameroon has shown great improvement in their MMRs over the years as reported in the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS); from 784 per 100,000 live births in 2014 [7] to 467 per 100,000 live births in 2018 [3]. However, despite this great improvement, this consistently high MMR and
prevalence's of PPH displays slow progress towards achieving the Third Sustainable Development Goal (SDG3).

However, it has been shown that the AMTSL practice of obstetric caregivers in Cameroon and other low and middle-income countries is not consistent with the recommendations of the International Federation of Obstetrics and Gynaecology (FIGO), especially keeping in mind that a satisfactory level of knowledge and skills, a critical judgment and access to good equipment are mandatory for every birth attendant to perform AMTSL [1]. Moreover, studies conducted in Ethiopia, Nigeria and Ghana highlighted inadequate knowledge, lack of training, communication difficulties between more- and less-experienced caregivers, inadequate staff coverage and other socio-demographic factors as some of the causes of these lapses [8–10]. This, together with the high MMR and prevalence of PPH in Cameroon despite reported AMTSL use, therefore, raised the argument that AMTSL may not be properly done by the obstetric caregivers. We thus hypothesized that the knowledge and practice of AMTSL by caregivers is low while the challenges are numerous in selected hospitals in Fako Division, Cameroon. This highlighted the necessity to study AMTSL knowledge, practice and challenges of obstetric caregivers in some selected hospitals in Fako Division, Cameroon.

This study aimed to assess obstetric caregivers’ knowledge about AMTSL, as well as the determinants and barriers of AMTSL in selected hospitals in Fako Division, Cameroon

**Materials And Methods**

This was a hospital-based cross-sectional study carried out from January 15, 2020, to March 31, 2020. Obstetric caregivers in selected health facilities in Buea, Tiko and Limbe health districts were enrolled in the study. The selection criteria were as follows; health facilities with a maternity unit, health facilities with registered information at the District Health Offices and health facilities with greater numbers of obstetric caregivers.

Those who gave written consent filled a self - administered semi-structured questionnaire to collect data on their knowledge of AMTSL, the challenges they faced concerning its use, the recommendations they had for better AMTSL practice and the references guiding their practice of AMTSL. The questionnaire was adapted from similar studies carried out in Nigeria (2015, 2018) and Ethiopia (2015, 2018) [9–13] and the standardized KAP (Knowledge, Attitude and Practice) questionnaire from KAP manual published in 2014 by Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) [14]. The criteria for scoring obstetric caregivers’ knowledge of AMTSL was adapted from a similar study in Ethiopia [10]. The maximum score was 25, and the knowledge was categorized as good or poor. (Table 1)

| Table 1: Criteria for scoring obstetric caregivers’ knowledge of AMTSL |
Data collected was entered in CSPro version 7.3 and analyzed using SPSS version 25. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages, while continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviations. Chi-square or Fisher Exact test was used to compare categorical variables where appropriate and Logistic regression was used to identify factors independently associated with knowledge of AMTSL. \( P \) values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The present study included 150 obstetric caregivers recruited from 27 health facilities in Buea, Limbe and Tiko health districts, of whom, 62 (41.3%) were nurses, 56 (37.3%) midwives, 26 (17.3%) general medical practitioners and 6 (4%) were obstetricians (Fig 1).
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Participants’ age ranged from 21 to 67 years with a mean age of 34.19 ±9.27 years. Most of the participants, 76 (50.7%) were in the age group 21 to 30 years. A great majority of the participants were females, 121 (80.6%). The mean work experience was 7.77 (±7.52) years with 50 (33.3%) caregivers having between one to two years of work experience and 43 (28.7%) having more than 10 years of work experience. Furthermore, a majority of the caregivers, 91 (60.7%) worked in unclassified healthcare facilities (Health centres), 46 (30.7%) in Primary healthcare facilities (District hospitals) and 13 (8.7%) in a (Secondary healthcare facilities) Regional Hospitals. (Table 2)

| Table 2: Sociodemographic and characteristics of the study population (n=150) |
| --- |
| Also, the majority of caregivers, knew about AMTSL, 146 (97.3%), reported using AMTSL, 141 (94.0%) and had received training on AMTSL, 126 (84.0%) notably with 73 (58.9%) at the Medical/nursing/midwifery School and 38 (30.6%) at job training workshops (Table 3) |

| Table 3: Training information of participants on AMTSL |
| --- |
| Globally, only 73 (48.7%) caregivers had good knowledge of AMTSL (Fig 2), of whom 22.7% (34/150) were midwives, 12% (18/150) were general medical practitioners, 12% (18/150) were nurses and 2% (3/6) were Obstetricians (Fig 3). |
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Only 45.3% of the caregivers knew all the three components of AMTSL (66.7% of obstetricians, 55.4% of midwives, 46.2% of general medical practitioners and 33.9% of nurses). However, up to 94.6% of the
caregivers knew of oxytocin as the first line uterotonic drug recommended for AMTSL, 91.1% knew that the recommended dose of the uterotonic of choice for AMTSL was 10 IU (of oxytocin) and 77.9% of them reporting IM route as the recommended route to administer the drug during AMTSL (Table 4).

**Table 4: Knowledge of Caregivers on AMTSL (MCQs and Likert scale) (n=150)**

Caregivers who reported using AMTSL were 13 times more likely to have good knowledge of AMTSL compared to those who reported not using it (AOR: 12.96, 95%CI: 1.12 - 150.3, \( p=0.04 \)). The profession and training on AMTSL were confounders (Table 5).

**Table 5: Determinants of good knowledge of AMTSL (n=150)**

Insufficient staff coverage, 31 (22.8%), unavailability of drugs and/or equipment, 23 (19.9%) and lack of knowledge and training of the staff, 17 (12.5%) were the major challenges reported. Furthermore, the challenges varied significantly between caregivers (\( p=0.013 \)) (Table 6).

**Table 6: Challenges of caregivers to AMTSL practice (n=136)**

Organization of training programs, seminars and workshops on AMTSL following the standard and updated guidelines was the major recommendation proposed by caregivers, 61 (45.9%). Provision of an adequate supply of oxytocin and other delivery equipment, 21 (15.8%) as well as improvement in staff coverage, 21 (15.8%) were both greatly recommended too (Table 7).

**Table 7: Recommendations to improve AMTSL practice (n=133)**

The use of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), charts and/or posters on AMTSL, 76 (69.7%) pasted on the walls in the maternity ward was the main reference guiding the caregivers’ practice of AMTSL. Only six per cent of caregivers reported using WHO or evidence-based practice guidelines to guard their practice of AMTSL. That notwithstanding up to 12 (11%) of respondents did not have any reference guide of their practice of AMTSL (Table 8).

**Table 8: Reference guide of AMTSL practice (n=109)**

**Discussion**

Knowledge of caregivers on AMTSL
In our study, we observed an overall good knowledge level on AMTSL in 48.7% of caregivers. This was very high compared to 7.0% and 10% of caregivers reported in separate studies in Tanzania and 37.7% reported in Ethiopia [10,11,13]. Our finding was, however, lower than the 51.5% reported in Ethiopia and 57.8% and 66.7% reported in studies carried out in Nigeria [9,10,15]. In that line, midwives were the most knowledgeable group with 22.7% of them with good knowledge of AMTSL. They were followed by general medical practitioners (12%), nurses (12%) and lastly obstetricians (2%). Despite having comparable MMRs to, and better MMRs than some of the countries in the studies aforementioned, this low knowledge level of caregivers on AMTSL is worrisome indicating that AMTSL practice may not be adequate. A possible explanation could be the lack of workshops on AMTSL and/or inadequate pre-service and/or in-service training on AMTSL. Also, the studies carried out in Nigeria, Ethiopia and Tanzania [9,10,11,13,15] principally assessed midwives and nurses, meanwhile our study assessed physicians, midwives and nurses. Besides, physicians in the studied health facilities are usually called up to manage complicated third stages of labour and hence take less part in uncomplicated deliveries [16].

When assessing the caregivers’ knowledge of the components of AMTSL, we observed that less than half of the caregivers (45.3%) knew all the three main components of AMTSL. Our finding was higher than that reported in South Africa (36.0%) [17] but was however very low compared to findings in Tanzania (70.1%), Ethiopia (63.2% and 58.0%) and Lesotho (62.2%) [10,11,16,18]. The majority of respondents were able to state at most 2 of the components correctly. A possible explanation could lie in the difference in the questionnaire used in our respective studies. Ours had open-ended questions while theirs had multiple choice questions for one to select the right answer. This reduced the chance of guess work.

The Guideline Development Group (GDG) of WHO considered the use of uterotonics as the main intervention within AMTSL, and, in our study, administration of uterotonics was the most frequently reported AMTSL component by the caregivers. This shows that despite not knowing all the components of AMTSL, many knew the most important component.

**Determinants of knowledge of caregivers on AMTSL**

The fact that caregivers’ use of AMTSL was the only factor independently associated with good knowledge of AMTSL in our study contrasted with a similar study carried out in Ethiopia [16] where the profession of the caregivers was the only independently associated factor to a good knowledge of AMTSL. Caregivers who reported using AMTSL were more likely to have good knowledge on it as compared to those who reported not using it. This can be explained by the saying practice makes perfect, as their regular use of AMTSL has urged them to know all about it to ensure adequate practice and thus has improved their knowledge on the subject.

**Challenges to AMTSL utilization**

Insufficient staff coverage (22.8%) was the major challenge to the use of AMTSL faced by caregivers. This challenge was also reported by caregivers interviewed in similar studies carried out in Ghana and
Tanzania [8,11]. Unavailability of drugs and equipment, as well as lack of knowledge and training on AMTSL, were also major challenges reported in Tanzania, Ghana and Nigeria [8,11,15].

The recommendations for better practice of AMTSL proposed by the caregivers under study were in line with the challenges they reported; with the organization of training programs, seminars and workshops on AMTSL following the standard and updated guidelines, provision of an adequate supply of oxytocin and other delivery equipment as well as improvement of staff coverage being the major recommendations they proposed.

Our study also revealed that 11% of caregivers did not have any reference guiding their practice of AMTSL. Moreover, only six per cent of the caregivers reported using guidelines from international bodies like WHO or evidence-based practice to guide their practice of AMTSL. The Majority of them used standard operating procedures (SOPs), charts and/or posters on AMTSL (69.7%) pasted on the walls in the maternity ward as the main reference guiding their practice of AMTSL confirming the hypotheses that most caregivers rely more on standard operating procedures (SOPs) (usually pasted on the walls of maternity units) rather than actual (updated) guidelines or directives on AMTSL [19]. Therefore, there was no scientific backing of their practice [20].

**Strengths and limitations**

A qualitative arm of this study could enlighten us more on the challenges faced by caregivers on the practice of AMTSL.

Our study included physicians (obstetricians and general medical practitioners), which only a few studies in sub-Saharan Africa have done.

Finally, our study was the first to assess obstetric caregivers’ knowledge on AMTSL, the challenges they face in its use and the determinants of good knowledge on AMTSL in Cameroon.

**Conclusion**

There is a knowledge gap in AMTSL among obstetric caregivers in Buea, Limbe and Tiko health districts with less than half having good knowledge.

Caregivers’ use of AMTSL was the only determinant of good knowledge of AMTSL identified in these health districts.

Challenges reported by the caregivers in the practice of AMTSL included the lack of training and workshops, insufficient staff coverage and the unavailability of drugs and/or equipment.

These challenges could account for the high MMR from PPH in Cameroon despite AMTSL use as well as the slow progress towards achieving SDG3.
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### Tables

**Table 1: Criteria for scoring obstetric caregivers’ knowledge on AMTSL [10]**

| Caregivers’ Knowledge | Scoring(N) | Aggregate score (%) |
|-----------------------|------------|---------------------|
| Poor                  | <20        | <80                 |
| Good                  | 20-25      | ≥80                 |
Table 2: Socio-demographic characteristics of the study population (n=150)

| Variables                     | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| **Age groups**                |           |                |
| 21-30 years                  | 76        | 50.7           |
| 31-40 years                  | 40        | 26.7           |
| 41-50 years                  | 25        | 16.7           |
| >50 years                    | 9         | 6.0            |
| Mean (±SD) years             | 34.19 (±9.27) |    |
| **Sex**                      |           |                |
| Female                       | 121       | 80.7           |
| Male                         | 29        | 19.3           |
| **Marital status**           |           |                |
| Married                      | 74        | 49.3           |
| Single                       | 76        | 50.7           |
| **Profession**               |           |                |
| General medical practitioner | 26        | 17.3           |
| Midwife                      | 56        | 37.3           |
| Nurse                        | 62        | 41.3           |
| Obstetrician                 | 6         | 4.0            |
| **Work experience (years)**  |           |                |
| 1-2 years                    | 50        | 33.3           |
| 3-5 years                    | 32        | 21.3           |
| 6-10 years                   | 25        | 16.7           |
| >10 years                    | 43        | 28.7           |
| Mean (±SD) years             | 7.77 (±7.519) |    |
| **Workplace**                |           |                |
| Health centre (Unclassified) | 91        | 60.7           |
| District hospital (Primary care centre) | 46 | 30.7 |
| Regional hospital (Secondary care centre) | 13 | 8.7 |

AMTSL: Active Management of the Third Stage of Labour  SD: Standard deviation,

Table 3: Training information of Participants on AMTSL
| Variables                                      | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| **Do you know AMTSL**                          |           |                |
| No                                             | 4         | 2.7            |
| Yes                                            | 146       | 97.3           |
| **Have you ever received training on AMTSL**   |           |                |
| No                                             | 24        | 16.0           |
| Yes                                            | 126       | 84.0           |
| **If yes where (n=124)**                       |           |                |
| At Jobsite training workshop                   | 38        | 30.6           |
| When observing my colleague performing it       | 7         | 5.6            |
| From job aid references                        | 6         | 4.8            |
| At medical/nursing/midwifery school            | 73        | 58.9           |
| **Do you use AMTSL**                           |           |                |
| No                                             | 9         | 6.0            |
| Yes                                            | 141       | 94.0           |

**AMTSL**: Active Management of the Third Stage of Labour

**Table 4**: Knowledge of Caregivers on AMTSL (MCQs and Likert scale) (n=150)
| Variable                                                                 | General medical practitioner n (%) | Midwife n (%) | Nurse n (%) | Obstetrician n (%) | Total n (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| **The first line uterotonic recommended for AMTSL is (n=149)**          |                                     |               |             |                    |             |
| Others                                                                  | 1 (4.0)                            | 2 (3.6)       | 5 (8.1)     | 0 (0.0)            | 8 (5.4)     |
| Oxytocin**                                                              | 24 (96.0)                          | 54 (96.4)     | 57 (91.9)   | 6 (100.0)          | 141 (94.6)  |
| **The recommended dose of that drug during AMTSL is (n=146)**            |                                     |               |             |                    |             |
| Others                                                                  | 5 (19.2)                           | 2 (3.6)       | 5 (8.6)     | 1 (16.7)           | 13 (8.9)    |
| 10 IU**                                                                 | 21 (80.8)                          | 54 (96.4)     | 53 (91.4)   | 5 (83.3)           | 133 (91.1)  |
| **The recommended route to give that drug during AMTSL is (n=149)**      |                                     |               |             |                    |             |
| Others                                                                  | 4 (15.4)                           | 12 (21.4)     | 15 (24.6)   | 2 (33.3)           | 33 (22.1)   |
| Intramuscular**                                                         | 22 (84.6)                          | 44 (78.6)     | 46 (75.4)   | 4 (66.7)           | 116 (77.9)  |
| **Three main components of AMTSL (n=150)**                              |                                     |               |             |                    |             |
| Not aware                                                               | 14 (53.8)                          | 25 (44.6)     | 41 (66.1)   | 2 (33.3)           | 82 (54.7)   |
| Aware**                                                                 | 12 (46.2)                          | 31 (55.4)     | 21 (33.9)   | 4 (66.7)           | 68 (45.3)   |
| **Within how long should AMTSL be completed (n=134)**                   |                                     |               |             |                    |             |
| Others (<5mins and >10mins)                                             | 3 (13.0)                           | 17 (30.8)     | 15 (28.9)   | 2 (50.0)           | 37 (27.6)   |
| 5 to 10 minutes**                                                       | 20 (87.0)                          | 38 (69.1)     | 37 (71.2)   | 2 (50.0)           | 97 (72.4)   |
| **The main goal of AMTSL is to (n=145)**                                |                                     |               |             |                    |             |
| Increase uterine contractility                                          | 0 (0.0)                            | 2 (3.7)       | 1 (1.6)     | 0 (0.0)            | 3 (2.1)     |
| Facilitate placental separation                                         | 1 (4.2)                            | 3 (5.6)       | 6 (9.8)     | 1 (16.7)           | 11 (7.6)    |
| Prevent PPH                                                             | 4 (16.7)                           | 14 (25.9)     | 16 (26.2)   | 3 (50.0)           | 37 (25.5)   |
| All**                                                                   | 19 (79.2)                          | 35 (64.8)     | 38 (62.3)   | 2 (33.3)           | 94 (64.8)   |
| **Administer 10 units of IM oxytocin after delivery of the anterior shoulder (n=143)** |                                     |               |             |                    |             |
| Disagree                                                                | 20 (76.9)                          | 27 (50.9)     | 37 (63.8)   | 4 (66.7)           | 88 (61.6)   |
| Agree**                                                                 | 6 (23.1)                           | 26 (49.1)     | 21 (36.2)   | 2 (33.3)           | 55 (38.5)   |
| **Administer 10 units of IM oxytocin immediately after delivery of the placenta (n=140)** |                                     |               |             |                    |             |
| Agree                                                                   | 9 (36.0)                           | 13 (24.5)     | 21 (37.5)   | 1 (16.7)           | 44 (31.4)   |
| Disagree**                                                              | 16 (64.0)                          | 40 (75.5)     | 35 (62.5)   | 5 (83.3)           | 96 (68.6)   |
| **If oxytocin is not available, administer 0.5 mg of Ergometrine IM (n=131)** |                                     |               |             |                    |             |
| Agree                                                                   | 19 (82.6)                          | 50 (92.6)     | 42 (87.5)   | 4 (66.7)           | 115 (87.8)  |
| Disagree**                                                              | 4 (17.4)                           | 4 (7.4)       | 6 (12.5)    | 2 (33.3)           | 16 (12.2)   |
| **If oxytocin is not available, administer 600 micrograms of Misoprostol (PO) (n=123)** |                                     |               |             |                    |             |
| Disagree                                                                | 12 (54.6)                          | 14 (28.0)     | 15 (32.6)   | 3 (60.0)           | 44 (35.8)   |
| Agree**                                                                 | 10 (45.4)                          | 36 (72.0)     | 31 (67.4)   | 2 (40.0)           | 79 (64.2)   |
| Statement                                                                 | Disagree | Agree** |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| Clamp and cut the cord after 1-3 minutes following delivery of the baby   | 7 (28.0)| 18 (72.0)|
| (n=139)                                                                   | 9 (16.4)| 46 (83.6)|
|                                                                           | 12 (22.2)| 42 (77.8)|
|                                                                           | 1 (20.0)| 4 (80.0)|
|                                                                           | 29 (20.9)| 104 (79.1)|
| Wait for a strong uterine contraction (2-3 minutes) before delivering the | 2 (7.7) | 24 (92.3)|
| placenta (n=143)                                                          | 17 (32.0)| 36 (68.0)|
|                                                                           | 9 (15.5)| 49 (84.5)|
|                                                                           | 1 (16.7)| 5 (83.3)|
|                                                                           | 29 (20.2)| 114 (79.8)|
| Wait for a gush of blood before applying controlled cord traction CCT     | 6 (25.0)| 18 (75.0)|
| (n=140)                                                                   | 14 (26.0)| 40 (74.0)|
|                                                                           | 22 (39.3)| 34 (60.7)|
|                                                                           | 3 (50.0)| 3 (50.0)|
|                                                                           | 45 (32.3)| 95 (67.9)|
| Controlled cord traction (CCT) is done during the contraction (n=139)      | 6 (24.0)| 19 (76.0)|
|                                                                           | 16 (19.7)| 38 (70.3)|
|                                                                           | 16 (29.6)| 38 (70.4)|
|                                                                           | 0 (0.0)| 6 (100.0)|
|                                                                           | 38 (27.3)| 101 (72.7)|
| Uterine massage is done immediately after delivery of the placenta (n=145)| 2 (8.0)| 23 (92.0)|
|                                                                           | 1 (1.8)| 54 (98.2)|
|                                                                           | 5 (8.5)| 54 (91.5)|
|                                                                           | 0 (0.0)| 6 (100.0)|
|                                                                           | 8 (5.5)| 137 (94.5)|
| Uterine massage is done every 15 mins in the first hour, then every 30    | 6 (26.0)| 15 (74.0)|
| mins in the next hour following delivery of the placenta (n=139)          | 18 (33.3)| 36 (66.7)|
|                                                                           | 10 (17.2)| 48 (82.8)|
|                                                                           | 1 (25.0)| 3 (75.0)|
|                                                                           | 35 (25.2)| 104 (74.8)|

**: Correct response; AMTSL: Active Management of the Third Stage of Labour; PPH: Postpartum Haemorrhage

Table 5: Determinants of good knowledge on AMTSL (n=150)
| Variables | Knowledge on AMTSL n (%) | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|           | Poor | Good | Total | p-value | AOR (95% CI) | p-value |
| Prof (n=150) | | | | | | |
| General practitioner | | | | | | |
| Medical | 8 (10.4) | 18 (24.7) | 26 (17.3) | | 0.28 (0.04-1.85) | 0.187 |
| Midwife | 22 (28.6) | 34 (46.6) | 56 (37.3) | <0.001 | 0.65 (0.12-3.50) | 0.611 |
| Nurse | 44 (57.1) | 18 (24.7) | 62 (41.3) | | 2.17 (0.39-12.03) | 0.374 |
| Obstetrician | 3 (3.9) | 3 (4.1) | 6 (4.1) | | | |
| Have you ever received training on AMTSL (n=150) | | | | | | |
| Yes | 60 (77.9) | 66 (90.4) | 126 (84.0) | 0.037 | 1.05 (0.34-3.26) | 0.932 |
| No | 17 (22.1) | 7 (9.6) | 24 (16.0) | | | |
| Do you use AMTSL (n=150) | | | | | | |
| Yes | 69 (89.6) | 72 (98.6) | 141 (94.0) | 0.034 | 12.96 (1.12-150.30) | 0.040 |
| No | 8 (10.4) | 1 (1.4) | 9 (6.0) | | | |

**AMTSL**: Active Management of the Third Stage of Labour, **AOR**: Adjusted Odds ratio; **CI**: Confidence interval,

**Table 6: Barriers of caregivers to AMTSL practice (n=136)**
### Challenges on the implementation of AMTSL (n=136)

| Variables                                      | General medical practitioner n (%) | Midwife n (%) | Nurse n (%) | Obstetrician n (%) | Total n (%) | p-value |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|
| Unavailability of drugs/equipment              | 5 (21.7)                           | 11 (20.8)     | 5 (9.3)     | 2 (33.3)           | 23 (16.9)   | 0.013   |
| Mother's refusal to cooperate                   | 0 (0.0)                            | 5 (9.4)       | 6 (11.1)    | 0 (0.0)            | 11 (8.1)    |         |
| Placenta accreta/retention                      | 0 (0.0)                            | 9 (17.0)      | 8 (14.8)    | 0 (0.0)            | 17 (12.5)   |         |
| Insufficient staff coverage                     | 7 (30.4)                           | 9 (17.0)      | 15 (27.8)   | 0 (0.0)            | 31 (22.8)   |         |
| Complications such as bleeding                  | 1 (4.3)                            | 1 (1.9)       | 5 (9.3)     | 0 (0.0)            | 7 (5.1)     |         |
| Lack of knowledge and training of staff         | 6 (26.2)                           | 2 (3.8)       | 6 (11.1)    | 3 (50.0)           | 17 (12.5)   |         |
| No challenge                                    | 4 (17.4)                           | 16 (30.2)     | 9 (16.7)    | 1 (16.7)           | 30 (22.1)   |         |

**Bold,** Statistically significant, **AMTSL,** Active Management of the Third Stage of Labour

p-values from Chi-square and Fisher Exact test

### Table 7: Recommendations to improve AMTSL practice (n=133)

| Variable                                                                 | General medical practitioner n (%) | Midwife n (%) | Nurse n (%) | Obstetrician n (%) | Total n (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Suggestions for reinforcement of AMTSL (n=133)                            | 1 (4.0)                            | 1 (2.0)       | 1 (1.9)     | 0 (0.0)            | 3 (2.3)     |
| Perform abdominal massage and controlled cord traction                   | 0 (0.0)                            | 4 (8.2)       | 5 (9.4)     | 0 (0.0)            | 9 (6.8)     |
| Proper health education and adequate assessment of women before delivery |                                    |               |             |                    |             |
| Trainings//Workshops and seminars/Update of information                  | 17 (68.0)                          | 22 (44.9)     | 17 (32.1)   | 5 (83.3)           | 61 (45.9)   |
| Adequate supply of oxytocin and other delivery equipment                 | 4 (16.0)                           | 8 (16.3)      | 9 (17.0)    | 0 (0.0)            | 21 (15.8)   |
| Improve staff coverage                                                   | 2 (8.0)                            | 6 (12.3)      | 13 (24.5)   | 0 (0.0)            | 21 (15.8)   |
| None                                                                     | 1 (4.0)                            | 8 (16.3)      | 8 (15.1)    | 1 (16.7)           | 18 (13.4)   |
Table 8: References guiding AMTSL practice (n=109)

| Variable                                              | General medical practitioner n (%) | Midwife n (%) | Nurse n (%) | Obstetrician n (%) | Total n (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Reference at workplace on how to perform AMTSL (n=109) |                                    |               |             |                    |             |
| Use of partograph                                      | 1 (4.3)                            | 7 (16.3)      | 2 (5.3)     | 0 (0.0)            | 10 (9.2)    |
| Presence of charts, SOPs and posters in the maternity   | 18 (78.4)                          | 28 (65.1)     | 25 (65.7)   | 5 (100.0)          | 76 (69.7)   |
| WHO/Evidence based practice                            | 3 (13.0)                           | 1 (2.3)       | 2 (5.3)     | 0 (0.0)            | 6 (5.5)     |
| From experienced staff                                 | 0 (0.0)                            | 0 (0.0)       | 2 (5.3)     | 0 (0.0)            | 2 (1.8)     |
| Capacity building programs and hospital meetings        | 0 (0.0)                            | 3 (7.0)       | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)            | 3 (2.8)     |
| None                                                   | 1 (4.3)                            | 4 (9.3)       | 7 (18.4)    | 0 (0.0)            | 12 (11.0)   |

**AMTSL, Active Management of the Third Stage of Labour, SOPs, Standard Operating Procedures**

**Figures**
27 health facilities in Buea, Limbe and Tiko health districts were visited

184 obstetric caregivers in total were approached in the various health facilities

34 (18.5%) obstetric caregivers were excluded from the study due to non-response (One participant due to incomplete filling of the questionnaire)

150 obstetric caregivers were recruited and completed study

6 Obstetricians
26 General practitioners
56 Midwives
62 Nurses

Figure 1
Study Consort
Figure 2

Global knowledge level on AMTSL
Figure 3

Distribution of good knowledge level on AMTSL per profession