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Adrenal Ganglioneuroma: Presentation, Radiology, Histopathology, and Management
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ABSTRACT

Aim and objective: Ganglioneuromas (GNs) are rare, benign tumors arising at peripheral autonomic ganglion sites. Their diagnosis is challenging and typically reliant on a histopathological diagnosis. We present two case studies of adrenal GN managed within our department alongside a review of the literature concerning the diagnosis and management of these unusual entities.

Materials and methods: We documented the presentation, investigation, and management of two patients with adrenal GN. Additionally, we conducted a literature review based on searching PubMed utilizing the term “adrenal ganglioneuroma”.

Results: Both patients presented with an incidental indeterminate adrenal mass on imaging and underwent further laboratory investigations, abdominal ultrasound, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging. In both cases, laparoscopic adrenalectomy was performed with specimens demonstrating adrenal GN on histopathological analysis. Our literature review further demonstrated the infrequency of these lesions and the difficulty involved in their diagnosis.

Conclusion: Ganglioneuroma occurs rarely in the adrenal gland usually without preceding clinical symptoms. Diagnosis is challenging and care must be taken to exclude malignant neuroblastoma and ganglioblastoma.
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INTRODUCTION

Ganglioneuromas (GNs) are rare, benign tumors arising from neural crest cells at peripheral autonomic ganglion sites. Ganglioneuromas are typically found in the paravertebral sympathetic chains of the posterior mediastinum or retroperitoneum (79% of cases). Twenty-one percent are found in the adrenal gland with the remainder in the neck. The true incidence of adrenal GN is unknown and available literature is limited to the few available case reports. Current literature demonstrates increasing prevalence with age with diagnosis uncommon below the age of 30. Adrenal GNs are rarely symptomatic and the majority of these tumors are detected incidentally. Although not without exception, adrenal GNs are typically non-functioning. An absence of laboratory detected abnormalities in conjunction with varying radiological findings adds to the difficulty in detecting and diagnosing GN. Currently, histopathological examination of tissue is required for diagnosis. We present two patients with adrenal GN and discuss current literature regarding its presentation, investigation, and management.

CASE DESCRIPTIONS

Case 1

A 46-year-old woman was investigated for left iliac fossa pain. Physical examination, observations, and routine laboratory tests were normal. Abdominal ultrasound was requested which demonstrated a large echopoor mass with echogenic foci measuring 8 × 4.3 cm in size. Endocrine investigations including ACTH, DHEA, aldosterone, renin, plasma metadrenaline, androgen hormones, 24-hour urine metadrenaline, 24-hour normetadrenaline, and tumor markers (AFP, CA125, CEA, CA19-9) were normal. Abdominal CT demonstrated a well-defined lesion containing foci of calcification at LUQ lying between the stomach and left kidney measuring 77 × 58 mm in the coronal plane. The lesion had an average density of 32 HU on portal venous phase imaging (Fig. 1).

Magnetic resonance imaging demonstrated a 7.5 × 7.5 × 5.5 cm mass arising from the left adrenal. The walls of this mass were well defined with non-invasive contact with the left spleen and kidney and were without cystic changes or hemorrhage. There was no macroscopic or intracellular lipid seen in the adrenal lesion. Contrast demonstrated delayed and progressive enhancement. Out of phase images did not show any significant signal dropout (Fig. 2).

Due to the size of the tumor and uncertain diagnosis, laparoscopic adrenalectomy was performed. Macroscopic examination revealed a left adrenal mass measuring 100 × 70 × 30 mm. The cut surface of the lesion appeared whitish and lobulated. Histological examination revealed sheets of lesional spindle cells with intervening aggregates of ganglion cells. The intervening stroma showed foci of calcification and thick-walled blood vessels. There was no significant atypia, mitosis, or necrosis present. The lesional spindle cells expressed positivity for NFP, S100, synaptophysin (patchy), and GFAP (patchy) (Fig. 3).
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They were negative for chromogranin, CD 99, CD 45, AE1/AE3, desmin, EMA, myogenin, HMB45, and WT1. The final histological diagnosis was that of GN. The patient recovered well from surgery without complication.

Case 2
A 51-year-old man was investigated for hematuria with a CT urogram which produced the incidental finding of a 5 cm right adrenal mass. Physical examination was without positive findings.
Past medical history was significant for non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and paraplegia secondary to previous cervical spinal injury. The mass was non-functioning with normal routine blood tests and endocrine investigations including ACTH, DHEA, aldosterone, renin, plasma metadrenaline, and androgen hormones.

The CT urogram performed for hematuria had reported an indeterminate $5 \times 3.2$ cm mass in the body of the right adrenal gland (Fig. 4). Contrast CT did not demonstrate significant enhancement of the lesion (Fig. 4). No cause for the hematuria was identified. Laparoscopic right adrenalectomy was performed and the patient recovered well from this without complication (Fig. 4).
Macroscopic examination revealed a yellow-brown tumor within the right adrenal gland. The cut surface of the lesion revealed a white whorled appearance with a yellow rim and measuring 50 mm in its maximum diameter. Histological examination revealed bland spindle cells in trabeculae, fat and mature ganglion cells. There was no necrosis or cytological atypia. The lesional spindle cells expressed positivity for S100 and negativity for desmin. The histological diagnosis was that of benign GN.

**DISCUSSION**

Ganglioneuromas are rare benign tumors of the sympathetic nervous system. They join the family of peripheral neuroblastic tumors which include malignant neuroblastoma and ganglioblastoma. Although GN is a benign tumor, there are case reports of GN presenting with differentiated metastases. This phenomenon is explained through spontaneous cytomaturation of neuroblastoma. Neuroblastoma is noted for its ability to spontaneously regress or mature to a benign form.

In addition to the neuroblastoma tumor family, the differential diagnosis for adrenal GN includes the adrenal solid masses; pheochromocytoma, adenoma, and carcinomas. While case reports describing endocrinologically active GN’s can be found, the majority of adrenal GNs are hormonally inactive and without clinical symptoms. Elevated urinary and plasma catecholamines are more typical of neuroblastoma or ganglioblastoma as opposed to the benign GN. With the exception of a reported association with MEN 2b and neurofibromatosis type I, there are no known risk factors. Although usually clinically silent, patients presenting with symptoms typically present with non-specific abdominal symptoms.

Radiological diagnosis is challenging and studies report high rates of misdiagnosis. Adrenal GN is typically described as a well-circumscribed tumor with a lobular shape and low attenuation. Radiological features on CT/MRI may be similar to that of adenocortical cancer and pheochromocytoma. Ultrasound findings are typically that of a well-defined, hypoechoic homogeneous mass. MRI and CT currently represent the method of choice for imaging ganglioblastomas.

It is not possible to fully differentiate between the neuroblastomas radiologically as they typically involve similar features. As stated earlier, while the presence of metastases would not be seen with GN, there are rare exceptions to this.

Neuroblastic tumors typically appear on CT as well-circumscribed smooth masses ranging from round to lobular in shape and containing calcifications. They are hypoattenuating to muscle and may show delayed heterogeneous contrast uptake typically proportionate to the presence of myxoid stroma. GN’s typically surround major blood vessels without invasion or compression. Utilizing MRI GNs are homogeneous with low/intermediate signal intensity on T1 and heterogeneous with intermediate/high signal intensity on T2. There is typically no change in signal intensity on chemical shift imaging. Apparent diffusion coefficient values are typically higher in GN than in neuroblastoma.

131-Iodine-metaiodobenzylguanidine (131 I-MIBG) scan can be useful in functioning masses but is typically more useful in cases of neuroblastoma or ganglioblastoma.

Diagnosis remains histological. Ganglioneuroma is well-differentiated and consists microscopically of ganglion cells, Schwann cells, and fibrous tissue. Grossly, these lesions are typically large and encapsulated with a homogeneous gray/white appearance. Ganglioneuromas are characterized by reactivity for S100, vimentin, synaptophysin, and neuronal markers.

To conclude, adrenal GN is a rare and challenging diagnosis usually dependent on histopathological findings. These rare case reports demonstrate adrenal GN presenting incidentally and as a cause of non-specific abdominal symptoms and the extent of investigations required in its diagnosis and treatment. Care must be taken to exclude the malignant neuroblastoma and ganglioblastoma.
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