Correlation Among Psychological Resilience, Social Support, and Coping Style in Patients With Complicated Hepatolithiasis
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Objectives: To investigate the correlation between psychological resilience, social support, and coping styles in patients with complicated hepatolithiasis.

Methods: The objective sampling method was used in this study to select a total of 156 patients with complicated hepatolithiasis in a Third-class Grade A hospital in Changsha, Hunan Province from January to December 2019. Self-designed general data questionnaire, the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC), the Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS), and the Simplified Coping Style Questionnaire (SCSQ) were used to conduct a questionnaire investigation. Spearman correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between psychological resilience, social support, and coping styles in patients with complicated hepatolithiasis.

Results: Both the total score of psychological resilience (45.79 ± 16.28) and social support (35.71 ± 9.92) of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis were significantly lower than those of the domestic norm. The total score of psychological resilience of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis was positively correlated with the total score of social support (r = 0.570, p < 0.01). The total score of psychological resilience and its three dimensions were positively correlated with the positive coping (r = 0.682, 0.673, 0.663, 0.535, p < 0.01)and negatively correlated with negative coping (r = −0.240, −0.207, −0.221, −0.286, p < 0.01).

Conclusions: This study indicated that strengthening social support and improving the coping style of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis are helpful to improve their psychological resilience, which provided theory basis and reference for further intervention measures to improve social support system and coping styles.
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INTRODUCTION

With the continuous improvement of people’s living standard and change of dietary structure and lifestyle of residents, hepatolithiasis has become an important reason for the death of benign biliary tract diseases in China (Iwashita et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018). Hepatolithiasis, that is, primary hepatolithiasis, refers to the stone formation in the intrahepatic biliary system (Lorio et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2021). Global epidemiology shows that the incidence rate of hepatolithiasis is as high as
TABLE 1 | General information of research objects (n = 156, %).

| Variables                  | Category                        | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Gender                      | Males                           | 73        | 46.8           |
|                             | Females                         | 83        | 53.2           |
| Age                         | <45 years old                   | 39        | 25.0           |
|                             | 45<60 years old                 | 75        | 48.1           |
|                             | >60 years old                   | 42        | 26.9           |
| Religious belief            | Yes                             | 17        | 10.9           |
|                             | No                              | 139       | 89.1           |
| Family residence            | Village                         | 95        | 60.9           |
|                             | Cities and town                 | 61        | 39.1           |
| Marital status              | Unmarried                       | 13        | 8.3            |
|                             | Married                         | 123       | 78.9           |
|                             | Divorced or widowed             | 20        | 12.8           |
| Monthly household income (RMB) | <1,000                         | 35        | 22.4           |
|                             | 1,000<3,000                     | 50        | 32.1           |
|                             | 3,001<5,000                     | 41        | 26.3           |
|                             | >5,000                          | 30        | 19.2           |
| Educational level           | Primary school or below         | 39        | 25.0           |
|                             | Junior high school              | 40        | 25.6           |
|                             | High school/technical secondary school | 41    | 26.3           |
|                             | universities and colleges       | 19        | 12.2           |
|                             | Bachelor degree or above        | 17        | 10.9           |
| Payment ways                | Self-funded                     | 33        | 21.2           |
|                             | The new rural cooperative medical insurance | 49 | 31.4 |
|                             | Medical insurance for urban residents | 62 | 39.7 |
|                             | Other ways                      | 12        | 7.7            |
| Occupations                 | Worker                          | 19        | 12.2           |
|                             | Farmer                          | 54        | 34.6           |
|                             | Professional and technical personnel | 18 | 11.5 |
|                             | Cadre                           | 6         | 3.9            |
|                             | retiree                         | 22        | 14.1           |
|                             | Unemployed or other             | 37        | 23.7           |
| Disease course (years)      | <3                              | 51        | 32.7           |
|                             | 3<5                             | 54        | 34.6           |
|                             | 6<10                            | 23        | 14.8           |
|                             | >10                             | 28        | 17.9           |
| Operation time(s)           | ≤1                              | 29        | 18.6           |
|                             | 2<3                             | 104       | 66.7           |
|                             | ≥4                              | 23        | 14.7           |
| Postoperative catheter      | Yes                             | 145       | 92.9           |
|                             | No                              | 11        | 7.1            |
| Complicated with disease    | Yes                             | 81        | 51.9           |
|                             | No                              | 75        | 48.1           |
| Knowledge of the disease    | Know about all                  | 22        | 14.1           |
|                             | Know partially                  | 122       | 78.2           |
|                             | Know nothing                    | 12        | 7.7            |

30%–50% in Asian countries such as China, South Korea and Japan, but relatively low in other Western countries (Nakayama et al., 1986; Pausawasdi and Watanapa, 1997; Kayhan et al., 2007). At present, the central link of the treatment principles of hepatolithiasis is removing primary lesions and the main treatment method is surgical treatment (Ambreen et al., 2009). Patients with complicated hepatolithiasis suffer from progressive injury of the hepatobiliary duct due to repeated attacks of cholangitis. In addition, patients are complicated with terminal biliary diseases such as portal hypertension, biliary cirrhosis, and cholangiocarcinoma, which is a major problem in the research field of hepatobiliary surgery and liver transplantation (Suzuki et al., 2014). It has been reported a high residual rate and recurrence rate of hepatolithiasis patients after an operation with a long course of the disease and many complications, resulting in multiple surgical treatments of patients (Cheon et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2015).

Both physiological and psychological problems of patients caused by repeated surgery and surgical pain resulted in a great negative impact on the quality of life of patients (Badner et al., 1990; Kayabasi et al., 2021). Preoperative anxiety is one of the important causes of stress reaction, which can affect patients’ body health. A bad psychological status may have a negative effect on lowering immune function, promoting catabolism, inhibiting gastrointestinal peristalsis, and increasing cardiopulmonary burden, so as to greatly affects the normal recovery of patients (Jamison et al., 1987). In recent years, positive psychology has gradually developed. As a new breakthrough point, resilience has become a research hotspot in the field of mental health at home.
and abroad and has been widely used in various fields such as psychology, pedagogy, military affairs, cancer, and patients with chronic diseases (Barthelemy et al., 2021; Talubo et al., 2022). Resilience is one of the important reasons for maintaining mental health, which refers to the ability of individuals to confront and adapt to stress, adversity, or trauma (Southwick and Charney, 2012). It plays an important role in resisting major stress events, promoting individual psychological recovery, and improving their quality of life (Morote et al., 2017; McGowan et al., 2018).

Social support is the emotional and material help and support that people get from all walks of life, including families, relatives, friends, and other organizations, which is the external protection factor of mental resilience and can significantly promote psychological health (Cohen, 2004; Gariépy et al., 2016; Gong and Kim, 2017). Coping style refers to an individual’s cognitive and behavioral efforts to meet internal and external needs, which is classified into positive coping and negative coping (Ellis et al., 2016). Studies by Yu et al. (2013) show that patients’ anxiety, depression, and other bad psychological states can be improved by positive coping styles. Positive coping can reduce the negative impact of various stress events on patients’ psychology and cognition so as to improve their quality of life.

At present, the previous research mainly focuses on the perioperative nursing, treatment plan, and negative emotions of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis. However, this study investigates their psychological resilience from the perspective of positive psychology. In addition, several scholars have studied psychological resilience, social support, and coping style of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis, and there is no relevant report on the association among them. In this study, the correlations among psychological, social support, and coping styles of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis were researched and analyzed, which also provide a reference for the recovery and improvement of psychological resilience of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis.

**OBJECTIVE AND METHODS**

**Research Objects**

A total of 156 patients with complicated hepatolithiasis in a Third-class Grade A hospital in Changsha, Hunan Province from January to December 2019 were selected as the research objects.

**Inclusion Criteria**

1. Age ≥ 18 years old.
2. Patients with complicated hepatolithiasis who meet the diagnostic criteria.
3. Those who can complete the questionnaire independently or with the help of researchers.
4. Those who can communicate normally and voluntarily participate in this survey and sign the informed consent form.

**Exclusion Criteria**

1. Patients with malignant tumors other than the biliary system or acute and critical diseases such as severe cardiac, pulmonary, and renal insufficiency or patients with terminal diseases.
2. Those who encounter major life events within half a year.
3. Patients or their family members are unwilling to cooperate with the research and quit halfway.

**Research Methods**

This study is a descriptive study. A questionnaire survey was conducted on patients with complicated hepatolithiasis who met the inclusion criteria by means of objective sampling. The main research tools were used in this study: a general information questionnaire, the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC), the Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS), and the Simplified Coping Style Questionnaire (SCSQ).

**Survey Tools**

**General Information Questionnaire**

The general information questionnaire included the patient’s gender, age, religious belief, as well as the number of operations, postoperative catheter management, and other disease-related clinical data.

**Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC)**

American expert Connor and other experts (Connor and Davidson, 2003) designed it in 2003, which was translated and revised by Yu and Zhang (2007) according to the cultural background of China. The scale has good reliability and validity with Cronbach’s α = 0.91. It is divided into three dimensions and
25 items, namely, tenacity, strength, and optimism. The Likert 5-grade evaluation method was used, that is, “almost always like this”, “often like this”, “sometimes like this”, “rarely like this” and “not like this at all”. 4 points, 3 points, 2 points, 1 point, and 0 points were given respectively, with a total score of 0 – 100 points. The scores of each item were added up. The higher the cumulative score, the better the psychological resilience.

Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS)
This scale was designed based on Xiao’s (1994) research. Cronbach’s α coefficient is 0.94 with high test-retest reliability. It contains three dimensions, namely, objective support, subjective support, and support utilization. According to the score, it can be divided into three levels: 45–66 is a high level, 23–44 is a medium level, and ≤22 is a low level. The score indicates the high and low level of social support respectively.

Simplified Coping Style Questionnaire (SCSQ)
This scale is compiled by Xie (1998) combining Chinese cultural background and summarizing the research experience at home and abroad. The Cronbach’s α of this scale is 0.90 with good reliability and validity. There are 20 questions, including positive and negative dimensions. Comparing the items of the two aspects, high average score of the items indicates that the research subjects mainly adopt corresponding coping styles. This scale has been widely used in all kinds of people.

### Statistical Methods

SPSS 21.0 software was used for data collation and statistical analysis. T-test and variance analysis were used to analyze variables that conform to normal distribution. Spearman correlation analysis was used to analyze statistical data on the correlation between psychological resilience, social support, and coping style. P < 0.05 indicates that the difference is statistically significant.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, a total of 158 questionnaires were sent out, of which 156 were valid. The effective recovery rate was 98.7%. There are 73 males (46.8%) and 83 females (53.2%), with the average age of 52.98 ± 12.82 years old. General information of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis were shown in Table 1.

Table 2 showed that the total score of psychological resilience of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis is 45.79 ± 16.28. The scores of each dimension from high to low are tenacity (23.30 ± 9.19), strength (15.37 ± 5.35), and optimism (7.12 ± 2.69) respectively. Compared with the domestic norm, both the total score of psychological resilience and all dimensions were significantly lower, as shown in Table 3. The results are consistent with the previous report (Yu and Zhang, 2007), which suggested that clinical medical staff and patients’ families should pay attention to the intervention and improvement of resilience of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis.

As shown in Table 4, the total score of social support in patients with complicated hepatolithiasis is 35.71 ± 9.92, which is in the middle level. The scores of each dimension from high to low are subjective support (21.22 ± 5.53), objective support (8.26 ± 3.71), and degree for support utilization (7.12 ± 2.69).
As shown in Table 5, the total score of social support and scores of all dimensions are lower than the domestic norm.

Among the three dimensions of social support, the score of subjective support is the highest. Patients’ support is mainly provided by family members such as spouses, parents, and children, indicating that support from family members plays an important role in social support. The objective support score is low, indicating that patients receive very little practical help from the government, charitable organizations, and various welfare organizations. A low degree of support utilization indicates that patients cannot make full use of the surrounding resources when facing the problems caused by diseases and medical treatments. Therefore, we should pay more attention to the proper utilization of patients’ social support system and take active measures to provide practical help to patients in emotional and material aspects.

As shown in Table 6, scores of coping styles of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis are positive coping style (1.40 ± 0.63) and negative coping style (1.52 ± 0.57), respectively. The score of negative coping style is higher than that of the positive coping style, which indicates that patients with complicated hepatolithiasis tend to adopt negative coping style. Scholars’ research shows that long-term recurrence of chronic diseases can easily lead to patients’ negative coping styles and affect their self-care ability (Rechenberg et al., 2017), which is consistent with the result of Table 6. A study shows that the stronger a patient’s coping ability, the higher their quality of life, which has been proved to be able to predict patient’s self-care ability (Anderson et al., 2017). Therefore, medical staff should be more focused on the propagation of relevant medical knowledge and encourage patients to actively cooperate with all kinds of diagnosis and treatment nursing by dynamically evaluating their coping styles.

As shown in Table 7, Spearman correlation analysis shows that both total scores and all dimensions of psychological resilience of 156 patients with complicated hepatolithiasis are positively correlated with those of social support (p < 0.01), which indicated that the more social support patients receive, the higher their psychological resilience level. This is similar to the previous research results (Donnellan et al., 2015). Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the social support system of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis. According to their social support level and situation, family members and friends should be guided to give more spiritual and material support to patients. Encouraging patients to actively participate in social activities, broadening their circle of friends, and making better use of the social support system is helpful to improve their psychological resilience.

From Table 8, it can be seen that total scores and each dimension of psychological resilience are positively correlated with positive coping (p < 0.01) and negatively correlated with negative coping (p < 0.01), which is consistent with Wang et al.’s (2016) research. Results show that patients with better psychological resilience are more likely to adopt positive coping styles when facing difficulties. Some studies have also confirmed that positive coping styles can relieve mental stress and promote psychological resilience, while negative coping styles will aggravate stress and negatively affect psychological resilience (Manne et al., 2015). For patients with complicated hepatolithiasis, medical staff should give correct guidance and introduce more about the positive effects of optimistic and positive emotions on the disease to promote their recovery.

**CONCLUSION**

This study investigated the correlation between psychological resilience, social support, and coping styles of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis and expanded the research direction of the mental field of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis. Results indicated that strengthening social support and improving the coping style of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis are helpful to improve their psychological resilience. Additionally, this study arouses clinical medical staff’s attention to psychological resilience, social support and coping style of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis, which provides theoretical basis and references for further measures to improve psychological resilience level of patients with complicated hepatolithiasis and conduct corresponding intervention research.
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