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Abstract

Background: Stress, which can be attributed by household and workplace stressors, is prevalent among nurses. However, this stressors’ attribution may differ between hospital and non-hospital nurses. It is also currently unknown whether there are significant differences in the sociodemographic and occupational characteristics between hospital and non-hospital nurses which may potentially influence the type and magnitude of stressors, and subsequently the stress status. Therefore, this study aims to estimate the prevalence of stress and compare the roles of sociodemographic characteristics, occupational profiles, and workplace and household stressors in determining the stress status between hospital and non-hospital female nurses in Malaysia.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted among randomly-selected 734 female nurses in Malaysia using pencil-and-paper self-reported questionnaires.

Results: The majority of participants were ever married (87.0%), having children (76.2%), and work in hospital setting (64.8%). The level of household stressors was generally similar between hospital and non-hospital nurses. However, hospital nurses significantly perceived higher level of workplace stressors. Shift work is significantly associated with higher level of household and workplace stressors among nurses in both groups. The level of stress was significantly higher among hospital nurses. Both household and workplace stressors explained about 40% of stress status in both hospital and non-hospital nurses.

Conclusion: Hospital nurses are at higher risk of having stressors and stress as compared to non-hospital nurses, probably due to higher proportion of them involved in shift work. Hospital nurses should be given high priority in mitigating stress among nurses.

Background

Nurses are among the main health workforce in Malaysia [1]. Nursing has been regarded as one of the most demanding and stressful occupation [2]. Nurses are occupationally exposed to physical, mental, temporal, and emotional demands which exert sustained physical and psychological effort [3–5]. Nurses, who mostly are women [6], are also more likely to be exposed to household/family demand such as childcare and household chores [7]. Both workplace and household demands use up resources and subsequently build up stressors which are associated with physiological and/or psychological costs and consequently cause stress [3, 8].

Stress is a psychological result of an imbalance between perceptions of external demands and internal resources [9]. In other words, the workplace or household demands are not necessarily cause stress; they become stressors if they exert excessive efforts that fail to be adequately recovered due to poor resilience or ineffective coping strategies [9–10]. Recent study among Australian nurses reported 41.2% stress prevalence; 24.51%, 10.8% and 5.88% of them were categorized as mild/moderate, severe, and extremely severe stress, respectively [11]. Among the predictive factors of stress include job satisfaction [11], high workloads [12], shift work [13], sleep quality [13], and nurse practice environment [14]. Stress could be harmful to the nurse’s health such as burnout [15] and healthcare services such as absenteeism or presenteeism [16].
This current study focusses on female nurses working at government health facilities because workplace stress is more likely among public service employee [17], while household stress is more common among women [7]. Women, as compared to men, is at higher risk of having stress as they perceive stressors to be more threatening [18–20]. Female nurses as working women exhibit multiple roles simultaneously in their routine life. These include the roles at home as a mother involving in childcare, as a wife in marriage relationship, as an informal care-giver to family members who need help such as parents, as a breadwinner to support financial needs in for self and/or family, and as a house member who mutually responsible to do household chores as well as ensuring safety of all members [21–24]. The other is occupational roles as a nurse who involved in documentation, education, medication administration, communication, patient care, and communication, as an employee who responsible in achieving the organization's goal, and as a colleague who work in team with other nurses, doctors, or other job titles [25–26]. In general, having multiple roles can create work-home and role conflict, leading to an increased risk of psychological distress such as stress [21, 24].

Given the same job title as a nurse, their exposure to home and work stressors may significantly differ between hospital and non-hospital nurses. For instance, hospital nurses are more commonly work in a shift schedule which may limits their time with family members, introduce conflict with family members, or trigger conflict with doctors or other nurses related to pass-over session [27–28]. Nurses in hospital also had relatively higher workload related to acute patients or complicated medical interventions and face multiple events related to death and dying [27–29]. In contrast, nurses at non-hospital setting which typically operate in non-shift schedule may have better staff support and less workplace conflict as they more likely to work in a same team every day [30]. They may also have better preparation to deal with their work as their work more likely involve non-acute patients and patient with long-term follow-up [30]. Due to non-acute nature of patients, non-hospital nurses also have higher autonomy as they are less likely to communicate their findings to the doctors [30–31].

Although the roles of stressors towards stress among nurses have been widely established, there is limited study that compare their relationship in different work condition, particularly hospital and non-hospital setting among nurses. It is unknown whether there are significant differences in the sociodemographic and occupational characteristics between both groups. As the background characteristic may potentially influence the type and magnitude of stressors, and subsequently the stress status, it is also unknown whether stressors and stress status are significantly differing between hospital and non-hospital nurses. The establishment of evidence on these differences is important to support the need of targeted intervention which may differ between the workplace setting. Therefore, this study aims to examine and compare the sociodemographic and occupational profiles, workplace and household stressors, and the stress status between hospital and non-hospital nurses. This study also aims to identify and compare the roles of sociodemographic characteristics, occupational profiles, and workplace and household stressors in determining the stress status between both groups.

Methods

Study design and sampling
This is a comparative analytic cross-sectional study conducted in year 2018 among registered nurses working at all government health facilities in the state of Selangor, Malaysia. The inclusion criteria are all registered Malaysian nurses from various position including matrons, sisters, staff nurses, midwives and community nurses who have been working at current workplace for at least 6 months. Those who are medically-diagnosed as having psychiatric illness or on psychiatric medication were excluded. Eligible nurses’ name was randomly chosen by using Microsoft Excel software. Based on the stress prevalence of 0.25 [32–33] and 0.49 [34] among Malaysian nurses, precision of 0.05, and power of 0.8, the minimum sample size required was 289 and 385. Since this is a comparative cross-sectional study, the sample size was doubled into 770 nurses.

**Study instruments**

Data on participants’ sociodemographic and occupational profile were collected by using a self-reported questionnaire containing 18 items that inquire on the age, marital status, number of children, work tenure, job position, workplace, schedule system and others.

Stress status was measured by using Malay version of Personal Stress Inventory: Sign and Symptoms of Stress questionnaire [35–36] by asking the frequency of signs and symptoms of stress experienced by the participants. The inventory consisted of 51 items with 11 subscales using a four-point Likert scale i.e. ‘never’ (0), ‘once or twice’ (1), ‘every week’ (2) and ‘nearly every day’ (3). A total score was obtained by adding the nurse’s responses to all 51 items, ranging from 0 to 153. Those who scored ≥36 was classified as stressed. Numerically, higher score indicates higher level of stress.

Household stressors were measured by using Malay version of Personal Stress Inventory: Pressures and Demands from Family and Household [35–36]. This was a brief instrument that assessed the degree to which the situation in the family and household perceived as a stressor for the respondents. The inventory consisted of 12 items which included ‘not enough money’, ‘conflict with spouse’, ‘conflicts over household tasks’, ‘problems or conflict with children’, ‘pressure from relatives or in-laws’, ‘fixing up of the house’, ‘not enough time to spend with family’, ‘sexual conflict or frustration’, ‘dangerous or stressful surroundings and neighbourhood’, ‘conflict with close friend or relatives’, ‘personal problem causing strain in family’ and ‘no babysitter’. This questionnaire used a four-point Likert-type scale i.e. ‘none at all’ (0), ‘a little’ (1), ‘some’ (2) and ‘a great deal’ (3). A total score was obtained by adding the nurse’s responses to all 12 questions. A total score ranged from 0 to 36. Higher scores indicated the higher level of household stressors.

Workplace stressors were measured by using Malay version of Nursing Stress Scale (NSS) [37–38]. It measures the perceived frequency of the occurrence of stress in the nursing environment. The scale consisted of 34 items with seven subscales, namely ‘workload’, ‘dealing with death and dying’, ‘conflict with doctors’, ‘uncertainty concerning treatment’, ‘lack of staff support’, ‘conflict with other nurses or supervisors’ and ‘inadequate preparation to deal with emotional needs of the patients and their families’. The NSS was scored on a four-point Likert-type scale from ‘never’ (0), ‘occasionally’ (1), ‘frequently’ (2) to ‘very frequently’ (3). All items were about potentially stressful situations in the nursing workplace. Scoring was conducted by adding up the individual item responses for each subscale. High scores indicated the frequent presence of a specific source of stress. Overall score was determined by adding up all 34-item responses. The total score represented the overall frequency of stress as experienced by a nurse which ranged from 0 to 102.
Data Collection

Participants were approached at their workplace and were given explanation on this study. They were given adequate time about one week to make decision. If they agree to participate, they were given a set of questionnaires. They were given another day, up to three days, to complete the questionnaires.

Data Analysis

Data was initially analyzed descriptively to demonstrate the representativeness of participants involved in this study. Bivariable analysis was then conducted to compare the sociodemographic and occupational characteristic, stressors profile, and stress status/level between the two groups. Next, multiple linear regression using enter method was conducted to determine the significant determinants of stressors for hospital and non-hospital nurses. Hierarchical regression was then conducted in four steps to determine the determinants associated with stress level. In the first step, sociodemographic variables i.e. age, marital status and children were entered. In the second step, occupational variables i.e. work tenure, job position, and work schedule were entered. In the third step, both workplace and household stressors were entered. In the fourth step, interaction term between workplace and household stressors were entered. Statistically significant result was set at p < 0.05.

Results

Participants characteristic

The response rate was 95.3% (n = 734). Participants generally in middle age with mean work tenure of 11.40 years (SD = 7.461). The majority of participants were married (84.1%) and have at least one child (76.2%). Most of them hold a position as community nurse or staff nurse (85.0%), work in hospital setting (64.8%) and involved in shift schedule (64.8%).

Group comparisons

Table 1 and Table 2 demonstrates the comparison of sociodemographic and occupational profiles, workplace and household stressors, and stress status between hospital and non-hospital nurses. There was no significant difference in the sociodemographic and occupational profiles between the two groups except for work schedule and job position. The proportion of participants working in shift and holding job position as community nurse or staff nurse were significantly higher among hospital nurses as compared to non-hospital nurses. There was no significant difference in the overall score of household stressor between hospital and non-hospital nurses. However, hospital nurses had significantly higher level of household stressors related to ‘not enough money’, ‘no time with family’ and ‘personal problem cause strain’. With regards to workplace stressors, hospital nurses had significantly higher overall score of workplace stressors and each of its component namely ‘workload’, ‘death and dying’, ‘inadequate preparation’, lack of staff support’, ‘uncertain treatment’, ‘conflict with doctors’, and ‘conflict with nurses’. The overall prevalence of stress among participants was 27.3%. Although the hospital nurses had significantly higher level of stress score as compared to non-hospital nurses, there is no significant difference in the prevalence of stress between both groups.
| Variables                        | Mean (SD) | Mean Difference | 95% CI     | t       | df | p   |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|---------|----|-----|
|                                 | Total     | Non-Hospital   | Hospital   |         |    |     |
|                                 | (n = 715) | (n = 252)      | (n = 463)  |         |    |     |
| **Sociodemographic profile**    |           |                |            |         |    |     |
| Age, in years                   | 34.63 (8.050) | 34.42 (7.718) | 34.74 (8.232) | -0.32 | -1.56, 0.92 | 713 | 0.61 |
| No. of children                 | 1.87 (1.497) | 1.84 (1.464) | 1.88 (1.516) | -0.04 | -0.27, 0.19 | 713 | 0.75 |
| Work tenure, in years           | 11.40 (7.461) | 11.11 (7.364) | 11.56 (7.517) | -0.45 | -1.60, 0.70 | 713 | 0.44 |
| **Household stressors**         |           |                |            |         |    |     |
| Not enough money                | 0.68 (0.794) | 0.62 (0.803) | 0.72 (0.788) | -0.11 | -0.23, 0.02 | 713 | 0.09 |
| Conflict with spouse            | 0.49 (0.689) | 0.48 (0.676) | 0.49 (0.696) | -0.02 | -0.12, 0.09 | 713 | 0.76 |
| Conflict over household task    | 0.48 (0.678) | 0.45 (0.675) | 0.50 (0.680) | -0.05 | -0.16, 0.05 | 713 | 0.32 |
| Conflict with children          | 0.37 (0.596) | 0.41 (0.653) | 0.34 (0.562) | 0.07  | -0.02, 0.17 | 1511 | 0.13 |
| Pressure from relatives         | 0.44 (0.712) | 0.49 (0.770) | 0.42 (0.678) | 0.07  | -0.04, 0.18 | 1231 | 0.22 |
| Fixing up house                 | 0.42 (0.672) | 0.48 (0.770) | 0.39 (0.611) | 0.09  | -0.03, 0.20 | 1517 | 0.13 |
| No time with family             | 1.08 (0.961) | 0.98 (0.972) | 1.13 (0.951) | -0.15 | -0.30, 0.00 | 1991 | 0.05 |
| Sexual conflict                 | 0.21 (0.510) | 0.23 (0.560) | 0.20 (0.482) | 0.03  | -0.04, 0.11 | 841  | 0.40 |
| Dangerous surroundings          | 0.43 (0.675) | 0.41 (0.683) | 0.44 (0.671) | -0.02 | -0.13, 0.08 | 446  | 0.66 |
| Conflict with close friends     | 0.40 (0.607) | 0.36 (0.578) | 0.42 (0.622) | -0.06 | -0.16, 0.03 | 1302 | 0.19 |
| Personal problem cause strain   | 0.41 (0.631) | 0.34 (0.559) | 0.44 (0.665) | -0.11 | -0.20, 0.01 | 2252 | 0.02 |
| No babysitter                   | 0.51 (0.793) | 0.48 (0.733) | 0.54 (0.823) | -0.06 | -0.18, 0.06 | 958  | 0.34 |
| Variables                  | Mean (SD)       | Mean Difference | 95% CI       | t    | df  | p   |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|------|-----|-----|
|                            | Total (n = 715) | Non-Hospital (n = 252) | Hospital (n = 463) |      |     |     |
| Workplace stressors        | 25.86 (13.384)  | 20.85 (11.983)  | 28.59 (13.330)  | -7.74 | -9.66, -5.82 | -7.924 | 563.98 | 0.00 |
| Workload                   | 8.36 (3.593)    | 7.63 (3.468)    | 8.75 (3.601)    | -1.13 | -1.67, -0.58 | -7.924 | 563.98 | 0.00 |
| Death and dying            | 4.35 (3.784)    | 2.44 (2.706)    | 5.39 (3.882)    | -2.95 | -3.44, -2.47 | -11.896 | 670.90 | 0.00 |
| Inadequate preparation     | 1.79 (1.570)    | 1.35 (1.405)    | 2.02 (1.605)    | -0.67 | -0.91, -0.43 | -5.570 | 713    | 0.00 |
| Lack of staff support      | 2.12 (1.895)    | 1.70 (1.734)    | 2.35 (1.941)    | -0.65 | -0.93, -0.36 | -4.406 | 713    | 0.00 |
| Uncertain treatment        | 3.20 (2.418)    | 2.51 (2.354)    | 3.57 (2.372)    | -1.06 | -1.42, -0.69 | -5.714 | 713    | 0.00 |
| Conflict with doctors      | 3.24 (2.493)    | 2.75 (2.412)    | 3.50 (2.499)    | -0.76 | -1.14, -0.38 | -3.918 | 713    | 0.00 |
| Conflict with nurses       | 2.81 (2.454)    | 2.47 (2.536)    | 3.00 (2.390)    | -0.53 | -0.90, -0.15 | -2.758 | 713    | 0.01 |
| Stress score               | 25.47 (20.704)  | 22.04 (18.472)  | 27.34 (21.613)  | -5.31 | -8.47, -2.15 | -3.296 | 713    | 0.00 |
### Table 2
Comparison of categorical variables using chi square test

|                          | n (%)† | n (%)‡ | χ²   | df | p    |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|------|----|------|
|                          | Total (n = 715) | Non-Hospital (n = 252) | Hospital (n = 463) | df | p    |
| Marital status           |        |        |      |    |      |
| Never married            | 93 (13.0) | 30 (32.3) | 63 (67.7) | 0.418 | 1 | 0.518 |
| Ever married             | 622 (87.0) | 222 (35.7) | 400 (64.3) | | | |
| Having children          |        |        |      |    |      |
| None                     | 170 (23.8) | 55 (32.4) | 115 (67.6) | 0.817 | 1 | 0.366 |
| At least one             | 545 (76.2) | 197 (36.1) | 348 (63.9) | | | |
| Work tenure              |        |        |      |    |      |
| Less than 10 years       | 332 (46.4) | 123 (37.0) | 209 (63.0) | 0.883 | 1 | 0.347 |
| 10 years and above       | 383 (53.6) | 129 (33.7) | 254 (66.3) | | | |
| Work schedule            |        |        |      |    |      |
| Non-shift                | 252 (35.2) | 176 (69.8) | 76 (30.2) | 204.090 | 1 | < 0.001 |
| Shift                    | 463 (64.8) | 76 (16.4) | 387 (83.6) | | | |
| Position                 |        |        |      |    |      |
| Staff nurse / community nurse | 608 (85.0) | 229 (37.7) | 379 (62.3) | 10.423 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Nurse manager            | 107 (15.0) | 23 (21.5) | 84 (78.5) | | | |
| Stress status            |        |        |      |    |      |
| Not stress               | 520 (72.7) | 194 (37.3) | 326 (62.7) | 3.555 | 1 | 0.059 |
| Stress                   | 195 (27.3) | 58 (29.7) | 137 (70.3) | | | |

† column percent; ‡ row percent

### Inter-correlation among the study measures in the two study groups

Table 3 shows the inter-correlation among sociodemographic profile (i.e. age, children), occupational profile (i.e. work tenure), stressors (i.e. workplace and household) and stress level. Age, number of children, and work tenure were negatively correlated with workplace stressors. In contrast, number of children and workplace stressors were positively correlated with household stressors. Both workplace and household stressors were moderately and positively correlated with stress score.
Table 3
Correlation among sociodemographic profile, occupational profile, stressors and stress score

| Variables          | Age | Children | Work tenure | Workplace stressors | Household stressors | Stress score |
|--------------------|-----|----------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Age                | 1   |          |             |                    |                     |              |
| Children           | .479** | 1        |             |                    |                     |              |
| Work tenure        | .949** | .481**   | 1           |                    |                     |              |
| Workplace stressors| -.110** | -.090*   | -.101**     | 1                  |                     |              |
| Household stressors| -.015  | .085*    | -.017       | .449**             | 1                   |              |
| Stress score       | -.059  | -.034    | -.076*      | .535**             | .561**             | 1            |

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Linear regression analysis predicting stressors among the two study groups

Table 4 demonstrates the determinants of household and workplace stressors. Marriage is associated with higher household stressors regardless of workplace. In contrast, job position of nurse manager and involvement in shift schedule are associated with higher workplace stressors only among hospital nurses. Working in shift is also associated with higher household stressors only among hospital nurses.
Table 4
Multiple linear regression to identify determinants of stressors

| Variables                     | Adj. β (95%CI)          |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                               | Household Stressors     | Workplace Stressors |
|                               | All (n = 715)           | Non-Hospital (n = 252) | Hospital (n = 463) | All (n = 715) | Non-Hospital (n = 252) | Hospital (n = 463) |
| Age                           | -0.029 (-0.187, 0.129)  | 0.041 (-0.257, 0.340)  | -0.052 (-0.239, 0.136) | -0.205 (-0.577, 0.167) | -0.132 (-0.756, 0.493) | -0.194 (-0.652, 0.264) |
| Marital status†               | 2.250 (0.905, 3.595)*** | 2.932 (0.421, 5.444)*** | 1.883 (0.280, 3.485) * | 0.585 (-2.581, 3.752)  | -1.222 (-6.479, 4.036) | 1.219 (-2.696, 5.134) |
| No. of children               | 0.266 (-0.057, 0.589)   | 0.154 (-0.431, 0.738)  | 0.319 (-0.618, 0.707)  | -0.384 (-1.145, 0.377) | 0.227 (-0.996, 1.449)  | -0.791 (-1.737, 0.156) |
| Work tenure                   | -0.031 (-0.204, 0.142)  | -0.009 (-0.319, 0.300) | -0.050 (-0.262, 0.163) | -0.049 (-0.457, 0.359) | -0.200 (-0.848, 0.447) | 0.046 (-0.473, 0.565)  |
| Job position‡                 | -0.122 (-1.497, 1.254)  | -1.589 (-4.571, 1.393) | 0.510 (-1.056, 2.076)  | 6.310 (3.070, 9.550)*** | 1.730 (-4.511, 7.971)  | 6.086 (2.260, 9.912) ** |
| Work schedule§                | 0.896 (0.056, 1.737) *  | 0.316 (-1.237, 1.869)  | 1.370 (0.020, 2.719) * | 7.470 (5.491, 9.449)*** | 0.759 (-2.491, 4.010)  | 8.647 (5.349, 11.944) *** |
| R²                            | 0.024 0.020 0.026 0.097 0.012 0.076 |

*** p < 0.001 (2-tailed); ** p < 0.01 (2-tailed); * p < 0.05 (2-tailed); † Marital status (0 = never married, 1 = ever married); ‡ Job position (0 = staff nurse/community nurse, 1 = nurse manager); § Work schedule (0 = non-shift, 1 = shift)
Hierarchical regression analysis predicting stress among the two study groups

Table 5 demonstrates the hierarchical linear regression analysis which aims to identify the determinants of stress level among hospital and non-hospital nurses. It was found that workplace and household stressors significantly explained about 38–40% variance of the stress level of all participants regardless of their workplace. The stress level is higher among those non-hospital nurses who are older age, junior, and had higher magnitude of workplace and household stressors. In contrast, the stress level is higher among nurse managers in hospital setting and those hospital nurses who had higher magnitude of workplace and household stressors. The interaction between workplace and household stressors did not significantly influence the stress level.
## Table 5
Hierarchical linear regression to identify determinants of stress level

| Variables         | All (n = 715) | Non-Hospital (n = 252) | Hospital (n = 463) |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|
|                   | β in step †   | Final β ‡             | β in step †       | Final β ‡             | β in step †       | Final β ‡             |
| **Step 1**        |               |                       |                    |                       |                    |                       |
| Age               | -0.157        | 0.477                 | 0.014              | 1.074                 | -0.249             | 0.212                 |
|                   | (-0.375, 0.062) | (0.014, 0.939) *      | (-0.326, 0.354)    | (0.337, 1.812) **     | (-0.527, 0.030)    | (-0.381, 0.804)       |
| Marital status§   | 1.966         | -1.300                | 7.537              | 3.138                 | -0.271             | -3.441                |
|                   | (-3.168, 7.100) | (-5.267, 2.667)       | (-0.436, 15.511)   | (-3.188, 9.464)       | (-6.828, 6.286)    | (-8.528, 1.646)       |
| Having children¶  | -0.268        | -0.327                | -0.710             | -0.823                | -0.086             | -0.106                |
|                   | (-1.500, 0.964) | (-1.276, 0.622)      | (-2.590, 1.169)    | (-2.267, 0.620)       | (-1.672, 1.500)    | (-1.343, 1.130)       |
| Δ R²              | 0.004         | 0.014                 | 0.010              |                       |                    |                       |
| Δ F               | 1.026         | 1.209                 | 1.530              |                       |                    |                       |
| **Step 2**        |               |                       |                    |                       |                    |                       |
| Work tenure       | -0.741        | -0.665                | -1.084             | -0.978                | -0.573             | -0.496                |
|                   | (-1.396, -0.085) * | (-1.172, -0.158) ** | (-2.084, -0.085) * | (-1.743, -0.214) *  | (-1.435, 0.289)    | (-1.167, 0.175)       |
| Job position† †   | 7.755         | 4.644                 | -1.574             | -0.128                | 10.192             | 6.156                 |
|                   | (2.553, 12.957) ** | (0.565, 8.723) *     | (-11.204, 8.056)   | (-7.542, 7.286)       | (3.840, 16.545) ** | (1.158, 11.155) *     |
| Work schedule‡‡   | 5.250         | -0.057                | -0.654             | -1.385                | 6.556              | -0.236                |
|                   | (2.073, 8.428) *** | (-2.612, 2.497)      | (-5.670, 4.362)    | (-5.223, 2.452)       | (1.081, 12.031) *  | (-4.617, 4.146)       |

*** p < 0.001 (2-tailed); ** p < 0.01 (2-tailed); * p < 0.05 (2-tailed); † β in step. = β of the particular step at which the variable initially entered the equation; ‡ Final β = β in the final (4th step); § Marital status (0 = never married, 1 = ever married); ¶ Having children (0 = none, 1 = at least one child); † † Job position (0 = staff nurse/community nurse, 1 = nurse manager); ‡‡ Work schedule (0 = non-shift, 1 = shift)
### Discussion

This study aims to compare the stress determinants between hospital and non-hospital nurses. The principal findings are: (1) not much difference in household stressors between both groups, (2) hospital nurses significantly had higher level of workplace stressors, (3) the level of stress were higher among hospital nurses, (4) shift work is associated with higher household and workplace stressors among hospital nurses, (5) nurse manager in hospital setting is associated with higher level of workplace stressors and stress, (6) marriage is associated with higher household stressors among nurses in both groups, (7) older age and junior are associated with higher stress level among non-hospital nurses, (8) both workplace and household stressors significantly associated with stress status with 40% explained variance. Overall, hospital nurses, were at higher risk of having workplace stressors, household stressors, and stress.
The hospital nurses had significantly higher stress level in spite of similar prevalence of stress status. This finding is consistent with the evidence from another geographical region such as Saudi Arabia [39] and Australia [40] which reported higher stress level among hospital nurses. It could be due to the higher level of all components of workplace stressors and several aspects of household stressors among hospital nurses in our study which explained 40% of variance in stress level. This is supported by previous finding which found that stress level was significantly and positively correlated with all components of workplace stressor among nurses [40]. Previous studies also reported that hospital nurses may face higher stressors related to workload, death and dying, and conflict with family members or colleague [27–29]. The stressors could also be implicated by shift work which could adversely impact social, personal, family and occupational life [41–42] and made complicated by marriage life [39, 42–43].

Shift work is significantly associated with higher stress level among nurses; however, the significant association is diminished when workplace setting is considered. This is consistent with study by Lin et al (2015) that reported higher stress level among nurses who work in shift [44]. The diminishing effect could be due to the differential level in proportion of nurses involved in shift work between two groups. The nature of nursing work in hospital around the clock exposed a higher proportion of them to shift work which is associated with stressors and stress. Our study further emphasize that shift schedule is associated with higher risk of having both household and workplace stressors among hospital nurses. This is supported by a study by Ferri et al. (2016) which concludes that shift work, particularly rotating shift work, is a potential stressor for nurses [45]. This finding imply that those involved in shift schedule, particularly hospital nurses, should be given high priority in stress intervention, and the intervention itself should include evaluation and improvement of shift schedule design. For instance, Lin et al. (2015) reported that two days-off after night shift will improved the stress level among nurses who involve in rotating shift work [44].

We also found that nurse managers in hospital setting is associated with higher level of workplace stressors and stress. This could be due to the heavier workload, inadequate resources, and role conflict in fulfilling the demands from their subordinate and superior [46–47]. In contrast, older age and junior are associated with higher stress level among non-hospital nurses. This is consistent with finding among community health nurses in China and Saudi Arabia [39, 43] which may be explained by low work ability and overstretched among older workers [48] and lower training or competency among junior workers [43]. Nevertheless, all these postulations need to be confirmed in future study as previous studies did not conduct a comparative study to enable statistical measurement of significant difference.

Our study extent the previous knowledge that prove differential in mental health status and its determinants between hospital and non-hospital nurses. For instance, a study by Dor et al. (2018) found that hospital nurses had a significantly higher level of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization as compared to community nurses [28]. Previous study by Starc (2018) found that nurses from secondary level of healthcare reported higher level of stressors related to dealing with death, working with difficult patients, exposure to infection, working at night, lack of personnel, and working hours as compared to nurses from primary care [49]. Our findings also suggest that working condition for all nurses is not similar, thus, necessary adjustment to accommodate the demands of hospital and non-hospital work should be carried out to ensure a healthy working condition and lower risk of stress.
The workplace stressors and stress level are significantly higher among hospital nurses. It is thus necessary to put high priority in intervening stress among hospital nurses. Intervention should be initially conducted by identifying the root causes of workplace and household stressors such as shift work which could affect work and family life. Further intervention such as schedule redesign should be initiated, and its efficacy should be tested. Apart from hospital nurses, targeted intervention should also focus on high risk group such as managerial nurse group in hospital setting and older workers and junior at non-hospital setting. Finally, the intervention should consider both aspect of household and workplace stressors as both can significantly influence the level of stress among nurses in both hospital and non-hospital setting.

This study has several limitations. First, the use of self-reported data exposes the result to common method bias [50] and social-desirability bias [51]. However, the use of validated questionnaire and guarantee in anonymity may reduce such biases [50–51]. Second, this study is limited to female nurses and thus cannot be generalized to the male nurses. Third, study was conducted in Malaysia and may not represent other geographical region which has different work system or social culture.

**Conclusion**

Hospital nurses perceived higher level of workplace stressors although not much difference in the household stressors. They also reported higher level of stress score and prevalence of stress status as compared to non-hospital nurses. More attention should be given to hospital nurses in managing stress, particularly those involved in shift system.
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