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Abstract

Objective: This review determined and reports the prevalence of urinary tract infections and aetiological agents common in most sub-Saharan African countries. Methods: A literature search involved Springer, Hindawi, PubMed, Medcrave, Google Scholar, BioMed, and Elsevier databases to identify the urinary tract infection articles published between 2000 and 2021. Results: Of 111 articles obtained from databases, 22 met the qualities to be included in the study. Overall, the prevalence of UTI was 32.12% with Escherichia coli being the most commonly isolated bacteria accounting for 86.4%. Conclusion: The findings of this study provide useful information for the effective intervention of urinary tract infections. Further, it is advised women wipe from front to back whenever they attend the call of nature and or during bathing.
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INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the most common infectious diseases diagnosed around the globe, particularly in developing countries. It is one of the most common microbial diseases encountered in medical practice. School children, students in higher learning institutions, and any of the population, especially females living in communal camps/institutions from developing and middle-income countries, monotonously hear of the disease whenever they visit health facilities. Worldwide, UTIs’ prevalence is estimated at around 150 million people per year. The disease spares no community provided dwells in risky areas. The infection occurs when micro-organisms usually Gram-negative bacteria such as Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli, the two most common causative agents of UTI, are transferred from the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) to the urethral opening and begin to multiply. Treatment of Gram-negative bacteria such as E. coli is challenged by antimicrobial resistance to antibiotics. Also, Staphylococcus aureus, a Gram-positive round-shaped bacterium, usually a member of the microbiota of the body frequently found in the upper respiratory tract and on the skin, is rarely isolated as the pathogen of UTI. Other bacterial isolates include Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Proteus mirabilis, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli are mainly from the external genitalia, vagina, genital tract, and GIT.

Factors for urinary tract infection vary with country and geographical location. Personal hygiene, prostate problems, compromised immunity, sex, diabetes, and use of spermicidal contraception are some of the factors for UTIs. In the case of sex, women’s genital urinary anatomy, particularly the short urethra with proximity to the perianal area, increases the chances of UTI than it is in men.
Also, the prevalence of UTIs in Africa varies from country to country and geographical location. For instance, in Ghana, the prevalence rate is 15.9%, in Senegal 4.5%, and 12.3% in Nigeria. *Escherichia coli* is the most predominant isolated uropathogen in most studies with 46.4%. Despite this fact and the increasing development of microbial drug-resistant genes, the overall prevalence and the most common aetiology of UTI across people of various age groups in sub-Saharan Africa is required to guide in diagnosis and, therefore, proper treatment. This systematic review was conducted to determine the overall prevalence and the most common aetiology of urinary tract infection across various age groups in sub-Saharan Africa for sustainable informed management of the condition.

**METHODS**

This review was done by searching articles published between 2000 to 2021 in various databases including Springer, Hindawi, PubMed, Medcrave, Google Scholar, Elsevier, and BioMed Central (BMC). The key search terms were: “Urinary Tract Infection”, “sub-Saharan Africa countries (Fig. 2)”, “Prevalence” or “aetiology”, or “risk factors” and diagnosis. We excluded studies with abstracts only, UTI cases in studies other than sub-Saharan African countries, studies with incomplete data, and articles in languages other than English. Records on the authors, specific country, the population involved in the study, total participants of a particular study, study design, method of diagnosis, and the most prevalent etiological agent concerning the particular study were recorded (Table 1).

The methodological quality of selected prevalence studies was evaluated by using a quality assessment checklist adapted from The Joanna Briggs Institute Prevalence Critical Appraisal tool. The risk of bias was assessed using eight domains: target population, study participants, sample size, study subjects and setting, mode of data analysis, objective and standard criteria used for measurement, reliability of condition, and statistical analysis. A descriptive analysis using Microsoft Excel 2013 was employed.

**Table 1: The characteristics of studies included in the systematic review by country, design and population**

| No | Reference | Country   | Design                        | Population                  | Sample size | Prevalence (%) | Diagnosis                      | Etiological Agents  |
|----|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. | [12]      | Nigeria   | Cross-sectional study         | Febrile children 0-5 years  | 165         | 13.95          | Urinalysis, microscopy culture | *Staphylococcus aureus* 34.8% |
| 2. | [13]      | Ghana     | Cross-sectional study         | Patients aged from 1 day    | 422         | 22.5           | Kirby-Bauer’s disk diffusion method | *Escherichia coli* 37.89% |
| 3. | [14]      | Ethiopia  | Cross-sectional study         | Pregnant women              | 100         | 15.0           | Urine culture                  | *Escherichia coli* 39.2% |
| 4. | [15]      | Kenya     | Cross-sectional study         | Pregnant women              | 150         | 26.7           | microscopy culture              | *Escherichia coli* 40%  |
| 5. | [16]      | Kenya     | Cross-sectional study         | pregnant women              | 1020        | 21.5           | microscopy culture              | *Escherichia coli* 38.8% |
| 6. | [17]      | Kenya     | Cross-sectional study         | Children 2-month -5 year    | 260         | 11.9           | Dipstick tests, microscopy and culture | *Escherichia coli* 64.5% |
| 7. | [18]      | Uganda    | Cross-sectional study         | patients aged 8 months to 95 years | 267      | 32.2           | Laboratory tests                | *Escherichia coli* 41.9% |
| 8. | [19]      | Zambia    | Cross-sectional study         | pregnant women above 18 years | 203      | 60.0           | Urine culture                  | *Escherichia coli* 59%  |
| 9. | [20]      | Zambia    | Retrospective review of medical records | HIV infected pregnant women | 380      | 16.5           |                                 | *Escherichia coli* |
| 10. | [21]    | Tanzania  | Cross-sectional study         | Children below 5 years      | 382         | 16.8           | urinalysis, urine culture       | *Escherichia coli* 39.1% |
| 11. | [22]     | Ethiopia  | Cross-sectional study         | Diabetic patients           | 250         | 9.8            | urine culture                  | *Escherichia coli* 63.6% |
### RESULTS

A total of 111 records were retrieved. After screening, 22 studies were finally included in the review (Fig. 1). Out of 22 studies, two were retrospective cross-sectional, and 20 were prospective cross-sectional studies (Figure 1). Characterization of studies based on the region of study (country), design of the study, the population studied, reported prevalence, how the prevalence was obtained, and the reported aetiological agent of UTI are narrated (Table 1). The map showing the nine (9) sub-Saharan countries with published articles on urinary tract infections that qualified for this systematic review is presented in figure 2. Three species of microorganisms were revealed led by *Escherichia coli* which formed 86.36% of the causative agents of UTI, followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (10.53%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (5.26%) (Figure 3). On average, the overall prevalence of UTIs in the nine countries of sub-Saharan Africa was 32.12% with South Africa ranking high (67.6%); followed by Nigeria (43.65%); Zambia (38.25); Uganda (35.66%); Ethiopia (37.47%); Tanzania (23.7%); Ghana (19.2%); Kenya (18.53%); and Senegal (5.1%) (Figure 4).

| No | Reference | Country | Design | Population | Sample size | Prevalence (%) | Diagnosis | Etiological Agents |
|----|-----------|---------|--------|------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 12 | [23]      | Uganda  | Cross- sectional study | Adults     | 139         | 39.13          | urine culture | *Escherichia coli* 50% |
| 13 | [24]      | Tanzania| Cross- sectional study | Children 2 -60 months | 370         | 39.7           | urine culture | *Escherichia coli* 43.5% |
| 14 | [25]      | Tanzania| Cross- sectional study | pregnant women | 247         | 14.6           | midstream urine culture | *Escherichia coli* 42.7% |
| 15 | [26]      | Kenya   | Cross- sectional study | Children   | 186         | 14.0           | urine culture | *Escherichia coli* 50% |
| 16 | [10]      | Ghana   | Cross- sectional study | In and out-patients | 705         | 15.9           | urine culture | *Escherichia coli* |
| 17 | [1]       | Ethiopia| Cross- sectional study | Out patients | 384         | 90.1           | urine culture | *Escherichia coli* 39.3% |
| 18 | [27]      | Ethiopia| Cross-sectional study | Pregnant women | 400         | 35.0           | urine culture | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 37.4% |
| 19 | [28]      | Nigeria | Cross- sectional study | Pregnant women | 300         | 61.0           | urine culture | *Escherichia coli* 31.7% |
| 20 | [29]      | Nigeria | Cross- sectional study | Pregnant women | 200         | 56.0           | urine culture | *Escherichia coli* 26% |
| 21 | [30]      | South Africa | Cross- sectional study | women      | 712         | 67.6           | urine culture | *Escherichia coli* 57.6% |
| 22 | [31]      | Senegal | Retrospective study    | Hospitalized patients | 1922       | 5.1            | Standard microbiological procedure | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 29.1% |
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**Figure 1.** PRISMA flow chart illustrating literature selection and inclusion process.

**Figure 2.** Map showing Sub-Saharan countries with published articles on urinary tract infections included in the systematic review.

**Figure 3.** Aetiological agents identified in various studies
DISCUSSION

This systematic review reports the overall prevalence and aetiological agents of UTIs in sub-Saharan countries. Our results included studies from nine countries with retrievable published research articles on UTIs out of the forty countries in the region. The overall prevalence of UTI in sub-Saharan Africa is 32.12%. The highest prevalence (67.6%) was recorded in South Africa, followed by Nigeria (43.65%) and Zambia (38.25%). The South African prevalence came from only one study that involved 712 women and the diagnosis was by urine culture. Also, in Senegal (5.1%), a retrospective study of 1922 hospitalized patients that Klebsiella pneumoniae was the leading cause of UTI.

UTIs are challenged by a diagnosis that is not always straightforward and necessitates blind and so, risking antimicrobial resistances. Most UTIs are diagnosed by performing a urinalysis. In this review, urine culture for bacteria accounted for about 59.1% of all studies reviewed (Table 1). The bacterial culture is necessary for the determination of the pathogen and, therefore, antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Although bacteria, especially E. coli, remain the main causes of UTIs, the presence of other disease-causing organisms such as fungus (Candida species as opportunistic pathogens), immunocompetent opportunistic virus (e.g. BK virus, adenovirus, and cytomegalovirus) and protozoans (Balantidium coli) challenge diagnosis of UTIs and so, proper treatment.

Escherichia coli were the most predominant microorganism isolated and were more prevalent among the studies which involved female patients (pregnant women). This could be due to the proximity of the vagina to the anus and the short urethra and the likelihood of pregnant women visiting clinics. Of the 22 studies, E. coli accounted for about 86.4% as the most isolated pathogen in UTIs. It was followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae (9.09%) and Staphylococcus aureus (4.54%). The presence of D-serine and fimbrial appendages on the surface of many E. coli strains necessitates virulence because the appendages enable them to attach to the uroepithelium cells and interfere with the normal wash out of bacteria. The same characteristics allow for growth and tissue invasion resulting in invasive infection and pyelonephritis during pregnancy.
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*Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* followed by far as causative agents for UTIs. According to Oana et al., *K. pneumoniae* is the most relevant human pathogen within the genus Klebsiella. The pathogen is involved in many nosocomial infections, long-term care facilities, and communities’ worldwide infections such as lung, urinary tract, abdominal cavity, surgical sites, and soft tissue infections, including bacteremia. Elsewhere, in a clinical review on urinary tract infections among community-dwelling older women, *E. coli* (51.4%) was the most predominant pathogen for UTIs, followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (4.1%), *Proteus mirabilis* (3.3%), and *Enterococcus faecalis* (2.5%)40.

**CONCLUSION**

*Escherichia coli* is the most predominant cause of UTI in sub-Saharan Africa. It is more exacerbated by the proximity between the anus and vaginal regions and the commensal nature of infecting organisms around those regions. However, the reported prevalence of UTIs under this review might not represent the population of the countries where retrievable papers formed this study. All the twenty-two (22) studies were from special groups example “pregnant women”, “hospitalized patients”, “women”, “febrile children 0-5 years”, “patients aged from 1 day”, “children 2-month -5 year”, “patients aged 8 months to 95years”, “HIV infected pregnant women”, “Diabetic patients”, “In and out-patients”.

The transmission dynamics of UTIs go in hand with unhygienic conditions. Since UTIs are associated with gastrointestinal bacterial infection and women are mostly affected, we advise women to wipe from front to back after attending the call of nature and during bathing. Proper knowledge of aetiological agents and proper diagnosis shall help clinicians to a specific regime of treatments to avoid the ever-continuing development of antimicrobial resistance.
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