New Constraints on the Asteroid 298 Baptistina, the Alleged Family Member of the K/T Impactor
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ABSTRACT

In their study Bottke et al. (2007) suggest that a member of the Baptistina asteroid family was the probable source of the K/T impactor which ended the reign of the Dinosaurs 65 Myr ago. Knowledge of the physical and material properties pertaining to the Baptistina asteroid family are, however, not well constrained. In an effort to begin addressing the situation, data from an international collaboration of observatories were synthesized to determine the rotational period of the family’s largest member, asteroid 298 Baptistina ($P_R = 16.23 \pm 0.02$ hrs). Discussed here are aspects of the terrestrial impact delivery system, implications arising from the new constraints, and prospects for future work.

INTRODUCTION

Terrestrial impactors (asteroids and comets) have been suggested to play a major role in modulating the existence of life on Earth, as the dating of craters linked to kilometer-sized impactors at Popigai and Chesapeake Bay, Chicxulub (Hildebrand 1993), and Morokweng and Mjolnir strongly correlate in age with three of the last major global extinctions (late-Eogene, Cretaceous-Tertiary, and Jurassic-Cretaceous respectively). Indeed, readers can view images of the corresponding impact craters at the Earth Impact Database (http://www.unb.ca/pasc/ImpactDatabase/), which is maintained by the Planetary and Space Science Center at the University of New Brunswick.

One of the challenges, undoubtedly, is to explain how such impactors transition from otherwise benign orbits in the solar system to become near-Earth objects (NEOs). Historically, it has been suggested that the cause of such extinctions may be linked to an influx of comets by means of a perturbation of the Oort Cloud, a spherical zone of loosely-bound comets thought to encompass the periphery of the solar system. A litany of possible causes have been put forth as catalysts for such a perturbation, most notably density gradients (stars and the interstellar medium) encountered as the Sun oscillates vertically through the plane of the Milky Way during its revolution about the Galaxy, or interactions with a suspected substellar companion to the Sun (Nemesis). Certain ideas are advocated because they inherently assume a periodicity to mass extinction events, although unproven, rather than stochastic punctuations. A different impact delivery system revisited below is based primarily on orbital resonances and favours a reservoir of projectiles from the asteroid belt located between Mars and Jupiter, in addition to comets from the Kuiper Belt and Oort Cloud, the former extending beyond Neptune from 35 A.U. to 50+ A.U.
The region could then enter other planet-crossing orbits, allowing comets. Moreover, simulations confirm that comets from 2004), where Neptune plays a major role in scattering not noted in computational models of the Kuiper Belt (Majaess et al. 2007). In harmony with the delivery model revisited above, Bottke et al. (2007) postulate that the Baptistina asteroid family formed from the catastrophic breakup of its progenitor approximately 160 Myr ago, following which some debris entered a nearby resonance and eventually led to the ejection of what would inevitably become the K/T impactor. Their proposal is argued, based in part (the reader is also referred to the comprehensive supplemental texts that accompany the Bottke et al. (2007) paper), on the following lines of evidence: (i) the asteroid family is located near a resonance capable of delivering passing asteroids into planet-crossing orbits. (ii) The purported destruction of the parent body 160 Myr ago, an age inferred from sorting the asteroids into orbital parameter space according to the YE, created a prodigious supply of BAF members that inevitably populated the NEO demographic, consistent with an alleged increase in the terrestrial impact rate during the same era. It should be noted, however, that the terrestrial record of impacts suffers from poor statistics owing to the subsequent erosion of craters with time, complicating any statistical interpretation. (iii) The K/T impactor and BAF share a similar composition. Yet the results of Reddy et al. (2008) appear

**Resonances**

Large bodies can be delivered from both Belts into Earth-crossing orbits by means of resonances (secular and mean motion). Formally, a resonance occurs where the orbital periods of two bodies are commensurate (ratios of integers). For example, an asteroid that is near a 2:1 resonance with Mars will orbit the Sun once for each two orbits Mars completes. Most importantly, asteroids near resonances may experience periodic perturbations from a planet that could lead to an increasing eccentricity and a subsequent close encounter, resulting in the asteroid being gravitationally scattered. Observations confirm that areas in the Main Belt associated with strong resonances with the orbit of Jupiter (or Mars) are indeed devoid of asteroids (Kirkwood Gaps), securing the resonance phenomenon as a feasible mechanism for transporting objects from the Belt. Inevitably, a fraction of the asteroids depleted by orbital resonances become NEOs.

A distribution analogous to the Kirkwood Gaps is also noted in computational models of the Kuiper Belt (Majaess et al. 2004), where Neptune plays a major role in scattering comets. Moreover, simulations confirm that comets from the region could then enter other planet-crossing orbits, although the relevant impact probabilities are difficult to constrain because firm statistics on the Kuiper Belt’s comet population lie beyond present limits of solid observational data. The James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), scheduled for launch in ≃ 2014, and the ongoing Canada France Ecliptic Plane Survey (CFEPS) should place firmer constraints on the Kuiper Belt demographic. Indeed, many Canadian astronomers and institutions are active partners in JWST (i.e. John Hutchings, NRC-HIA, Renée Doyon, Université de Montréal) and CFEPS (i.e. JJ Kavelaars and Lynne Jones, NRC-HIA, Brett Gladman, UBC).

**The Yarkovsky Effect**

The Yarkovsky Effect (YE) is another component of the delivery system that can work to enhance the transport of asteroids (or comets) into resonances, essentially increasing the possibility that bodies not near resonances may eventually arrive at such locations. In its simplest form, the canonical YE arises from a temperature differential between the sunlit and dark sides of an object exposed to the Sun. Thermal energy from the object is therefore reradiated asymmetrically, causing the body to experience a thrust that may result in an outwards or inwards orbital migration, depending upon its sense of rotation and the direction of the resulting rocket force (see Rubincam (1998) for details).

The YE also allows constraints to be placed on the ages of asteroid families (Vokrouhlický et al. 2006)—which is used below to connect the Baptistina asteroid family to the K/T impactor—although such a framework is still in its scientific infancy. The force causes smaller asteroids to undergo a greater orbital migration in comparison with larger bodies, producing a characteristic distribution in semi-major axis space (see figure 1, Bottke et al. 2007). Computer simulations can then determine at what time after the fragmentation of a parent body the present day distribution of an asteroid family is reproduced satisfactorily. Such analyses depend on knowledge of several different parameters, which in the case of the Baptistina asteroid family (BAF) are not well established as discussed below. Lastly, it is noted that the YE has been invoked to describe the motion of asteroid 6489 Golevka (Chesley et al. 2003), and additional efforts to test and confirm the effect observationally are forthcoming.

**Summary**

In sum, a three-component terrestrial impact delivery system could begin in the Belt with the fragmentation of a parent body near a resonance by means of a collision that spawns hundreds of smaller asteroids, thereby augmenting the statistically probability and likelihood of a terrestrial impactor. After fragmentation, a particular asteroid could then enter a nearby resonance or drift there by means of the YE, where it may be scattered by a planet into an Earth-crossing orbit.

**THE ALLEGED BAPTISTINA/KT IMPACTOR CONNECTION**

In harmony with the delivery model revisited above, Bottke et al. (2007) postulate that the Baptistina asteroid family formed from the catastrophic breakup of its progenitor approximately 160 Myr ago, following which some debris entered a nearby resonance and eventually led to the ejection of what would inevitably become the K/T impactor. Their proposal is argued, based in part (the reader is also referred to the comprehensive supplemental texts that accompany the Bottke et al. (2007) paper), on the following lines of evidence: (i) the asteroid family is located near a resonance capable of delivering passing asteroids into planet-crossing orbits. (ii) The purported destruction of the parent body 160 Myr ago, an age inferred from sorting the asteroids into orbital parameter space according to the YE, created a prodigious supply of BAF members that inevitably populated the NEO demographic, consistent with an alleged increase in the terrestrial impact rate during the same era. It should be noted, however, that the terrestrial record of impacts suffers from poor statistics owing to the subsequent erosion of craters with time, complicating any statistical interpretation. (iii) The K/T impactor and BAF share a similar composition. Yet the results of Reddy et al. (2008) appear
to imply otherwise (at least vis-à-vis the family’s largest member, 298 Baptistina), and moreover, the suggested C-type composition of BAF members would not be unique; such asteroids are found throughout the Belt.

The final conclusions are based on statistical grounds, namely what is the probability that the impactor was a fragment from the creation of the BAF rather than a random C-type asteroid (or background population). Bottke et al. (2007) suggest that there is a 90% chance that the K/T impactor was a BAF member, or 1 ± 1 BAF members of size d ≥ 10 km impacted Earth in the past 160 Myr. Readers should be aware that contributions from the background population are difficult to assess. In addition, accurate modeling of the YE requires knowledge of both physical and material properties that are conducive to BAF members, sensitive parameters that are poorly constrained and require further research by the community at large. Indeed, the rotational period derived here for BAF member 298 Baptistina (PR = 16.23 ± 0.02 hrs, see below) is a factor of three greater than the value used in the simulations, although Bottke et al. (2007) adopted a value that may be consistent with smaller-sized BAF members (PR ≃ 6 ± 2 hours, Pravec & Harris 2000; Pravec et al. 2002). The difference in rotational periods noted above is sufficient to warrant additional investigations to confirm the mean rotational period and material properties conducive to kilometer-sized BAF members. Such work needs to be pursued in conjunction with increasing the number of known family members and reaffirming the family’s taxonomy. Efforts to secure such parameters will invariably lead to stronger constraints on the properties of family members and might permit a more confident evaluation of whether the source of the K/T impactor was indeed a ≃ 10 km sized BAF member. Lastly, and most importantly, irrespective of the conclusion regarding the putative source of the K/T impactor, the approach outlined by Bottke et al. (2007) provides the quantitative framework and a pertinent example needed to effectively characterize the terrestrial impact delivery system.

**OBSERVATIONS**

Asteroid 298 Baptistina was discovered over a century ago, in September 1890, by the French astronomer Auguste Charlois. The origin of the asteroid’s designation (Baptistina) is unknown, an uncertainty that is also representative of the asteroid’s rotational period, morphology, size, etc. A need to establish such parameters inspired the present study, especially in light of the asteroid’s reputed status. Asteroid 298 Baptistina was therefore observed throughout March and April 2008 from the Abbey Ridge Observatory (Halifax, Canada), the Hunter Hill Observatory (Canberra, Australia), and the Calvin-Rehoboth Observatory (New Mexico, USA). Details regarding the observatories can be found elsewhere in Lane (2007) & Majaess et al. (2008) (ARO), Higgins et al. (2006) (HHO), and Molnar & Haegert (2007) (CRO). Image pre-processing and differential photometry were performed using MPO Canopus (Warner 2006) and MAXIMDL (George 2007). The asteroid’s large proper motion required the selection of different reference stars on each night (Warner 2006; Henden & Kaitchuck 1998), consequently the FALC algorithm was employed to search both magnitude and temporal space for a period solution (Harris et al. 1989). The period analysis was carried out in the MPO Canopus (Warner 2006) and PERANSO (Vanmunster 2007) software environments.

A rotational period of PR = 16.23 ± 0.02 hours was determined for 298 Baptistina from the analysis, and the resulting phased light curve is presented in Figure 2. The light curve exhibits a peak to peak amplitude of ≃ 0.15 magnitude and displays complex characteristics that are likely
indicative of irregular surface features. Continued photometric observations are envisioned to refine the rotational period, and in conjunction with archival observations by Wisniewski et al. (1997) and Ditteon & Hawkins (2007), to model the asteroid’s shape and spin axis by light curve inversion (Molnar & Haegert 2008, Kaasalainen & Torppa 2001). The data will also permit a detailed study of the asteroid’s absolute magnitude and oppositional surge, fundamental for any subsequent research. Thermal imaging and spectroscopic follow-up would also be of value, permitting a precise determination of the asteroid’s diameter and confirmation of its taxonomical class (e.g., Reddy et al. 2008). This paper’s referee has estimated that 298 Baptistina may be approximately \( \approx 20 \) km in size, which follows from the standard formula utilizing albedo (Reddy et al. 2008) and the H-magnitude.

Lastly, the present study appears to reaffirm the importance of small telescopes in conducting pertinent scientific research (Percy 1980, Turner et al. 2005). Indeed, modest telescopes can even be mobilized to help address questions surrounding the extinction of the Dinosaurs.
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