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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Adult intussusception is rare, and 90% are due to a lead point secondary to a pathologic condition. Lymphangioma is an uncommon tumor of the lymphatic system and is rarely found within the small bowel. Small bowel lymphangioma causing intussusception in an adult is a rare occurrence, with three very distinct rare pathologies occurring simultaneously.

Case description: A 70-year-old male patient with multiple pre-existing pathologies such as advanced ADPKD, multiple persistent tubulovillous colon polyps and colon cancer in situ, was hospitalized due to rapid weight loss of 20 lbs, hematemesis, and abdominal pain. He was subsequently found to have jejunal intussusception caused by two lymphangiomas of the small bowel. The portion of intusscepted jejunum was resected and final diagnosis on pathology was two jejunal lymphangiomas.

Discussion: Lymphangiomas of the small bowel are rare, but increasing in incidence due to the accessibility of endoscopic evaluations. A hypothetical connection between lymphangioma and ADPKD is unknown, but both diseases are built on a foundation of cystogenesis. There is little known about the effect ADPKD on cystogenesis and tumor formation extra-renally, and there is a possible correlation between genetic mutations in polycystin and cystic tumors such as lymphangiomas.

Conclusion: Lymphangioma, although rare in the small bowel, is a possible cause of intussusception and should be considered on the differential of abdominal pain in adults. The pathogenesis of polycystic kidney disease has implications that could predispose to cystic development beyond the kidney, and more research into the genetic mechanism behind the disease is necessary to support or deny this claim.

1. Introduction

Intussusception is a rare pathology in adults and 90% is secondary to a GI pathology. This GI pathology is typically adhesions or underlying carcinoma, which provide a lead point for the telescoping of bowel segments [1,7]. Lymphangioma is an uncommon tumor of the lymphatic system and is rarely found within the small bowel. Small bowel lymphangioma causing intussusception in an adult is a rare occurrence, with three very distinct rare pathologies occurring simultaneously.

Lymphangiomas are benign tumors comprised of congenitally dilated lymphatic channels and occur most often in the axilla, head and neck [1–3]. Lymphangioma of the GI tract comprises only 1% of lymphangioma diagnoses, but with increased utilization of endoscopic procedures the incidental discovery of these tumors in GI tract is increasing, leading us to believe the are more common than previously reported [2,4].

It is important to distinguish lymphangioma from lymphangiectasia. Lymphangiomas are congenital dilated spaces that have a covering of endothelium smooth muscle, whereas lymphangiectasia is dilation due to lymphatic obstruction of changes in flow with only a thin incomplete endothelial covering [2].

This case report is reported in line with the SCARE criteria, as outlined in the 2016 article by Agha et al.

2. Case presentation

70 year old male with past medical history significant for ADPKD, repeat high grade dysplasia and tubulovillous polyps of the colon, CHF post AICD placement, valvular cardiomyopathy, iron deficiency
anemia, chronic atrial fibrillation, Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), Peptic ulcer disease (PUD) and Hypertension (HTN) presented to the emergency room with several days of colicky, aching epigastric pain, nausea and cyclic vomiting with hematemesis and a 20 pound weight loss. His medications were apixaban, lanoxin, prednisone, Toprol, lisinopril, esomeprazole and atorvastatin. He had an allergy to iron. There was no family history of kidney disease, or cancers of any kind. He had a history of alcohol abuse, which ended at age 50, and a long former smoking history. The patient denied recent melena or hematochezia. The patient was distressed by his abdominal pain and inability to eat due to nausea, and emesis.

On admission he was cachetic and had tenderness to palpation without signs of acute abdomen. The Computer Tomography (CT) scan on the day of admission was significant for large polycystic kidneys and moderate ascites (Fig. 1). Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) performed next day showed stomach mucosa oozing heme, distorted, large friable rugae and congestion with ulceration across the entire stomach mucosa. Several biopsies revealed intestinal metaplasia but no evidence of malignancy. Three days later a second EGD with deeper biopsy into the muscularis revealed an infiltrating gastric adenocarcinoma. Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) was found to 534. Upon re-review of the CT scan, intussusception was discovered (Figs. 1–4) including a “target sign” (Figs. 2 and 3) proving telescoping of the jejunum with a 2.5 cm large lead point of unknown pathology was reported. The re-reviewed CT additionally showed diffuse thickening of the stomach mucosa in both curvatures and enlarged perigastric lymph nodes.

Dr. Giannotti took him to surgery and the segment of small bowel was removed and a Jejunostomy-tube was placed. Intra-operatively an erythematous and indurated portion of bowel determined to be the lead point was removed. Surgical pathology revealed a single mass comprised of two lymphangiomas. There were additional masses along the surface of the peritoneum which were sampled and determined to be metastatic peritoneal carcinomatosis showing signet rings caused by metastatic adenocarcinoma of the stomach. Sampling of the stomach tissue and perigastric lymph nodes were positive for malignancy. The patient was determined to be too high risk for extensive surgical debulking. Postoperatively he was supported via enteral feedings, and considered for chemotherapy, radiation, and possible surgery if medically stable at later visits. The patient agreed to stabilize before pursuing further treatment. A few months later the patient died of complications due to the multiple cancers and he had remained too cachectic to pursue curative intervention.

3. Discussion

Intussusception is classified by its location along the gastrointestinal
tract. Our report involved only the jejunum and therefore is classified as entero-enteric [5,6]. The most common cause of this entero-enteric type of intussusception is postoperative adhesions, while malignancy is more often associated with colonic intussusception [1,7]. Lymphangioma is a rare cause of intussusception, and even less common in adults.

Lymphangiomas are classified into 3 types, microcystic, macrocystic, and mixed according to the size of their cysts [7]. It is possible to hypothesize a connection between ADPKD and lymphangiomas, because they are both primarily cystic pathologies rooted in genetic and congenital processes [8]. There has been little studied about the relationship between the two, and the documentation of lymphangiomas outside of the head and neck has been uncommon until recently. The diagnosis of lymphangiomas has been increasing recently due to availability of endoscopic evaluations. Historically, lymphangiomas of the GI tract were discovered on surgical pathology, rather than imaging or procedural evaluation. The number of lymphangiomas of the gastrointestinal tract although seemingly rare, could be higher than we realize simply due to lack of complications occurring with them.

Our patient provides an example in which multiple cystic pathologies occurred together. This provides the potential for these pathologies to be considered together, and further implications for ADPKD and it’s impact on extra-renal cystogenesis. The simultaneous existence of all of this patient’s pathologies could have clinical and medical significance for the effect of ADPKD on cyst and tumor formation. The extra-renal manifestations of ADPKD are relatively well known but its influence on the development of other solid tumors is poorly understood. Although there has been evidence that ADPKD predisposes to renal cancer, there is new evidence that it could predispose to other types of cancer, specifically along the GI tract or in the liver [9–12]. Gene mutations could predispose individuals to tumor formation, through signaling pathway disruptions, specifically suppression of the apoptotic pathway [3,11,13,14]. The signaling derangements, specifically signaling inhibitors, found in ADPKD and solid tumors are strikingly similar [15]. The dominant gene mutation in ADPKD is PKD1 which codes for polycystin-1. Zheng et al., in 2016 found an association between overexpression of polycystin-1 and potential tumor suppressor through apoptosis of cancer cells. This introduced the idea that with a mutated form of this gene, a person is may be more susceptible to tumor development. Conversely, Thivierge et al., 2006 found that either overexpression or ablation of polycystin resulted in rapid cystogenesis, showing the mutation found in ADPKD could present with either [16]. This raises the question whether polycystin and it’s relation with ADPKD could increase the potential for even further development of cysts and tumors outside of the renal system. If this evidence is true, our patient demonstrates a case of widespread tumor and cyst formation, such as lymphangiomas and his multiple GI cancers, which could be explained by his advanced ADPKD.

4. Conclusion

This case demonstrates that although rare in adults, intussusception should be considered on the differential diagnosis for adult patients who present with colicky abdominal pain. Lymphangioma, although rare in the small bowel, can act as a possible lead point and should be considered through imaging and thorough evaluation. The pathogenesis of polycystic kidney disease has implications that could predispose to cystic and tumor development beyond the kidney, and more research into the mechanism behind the disease is necessary to support or deny this claim.
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