INTRODUCTION

Ventral hernia is one of the most common and challenging abdominal wall defects. We are reporting the first case utilizing BTA as an adjunct for surgical hernia repair in Saudi Arabia. Although promising, safety, efficacy, and feasibility, BTA would need to be further evaluated before its use becomes widespread.

Ventral/incisional hernia is one of the most common and challenging abdominal wall defects faced by surgeons. Surgical techniques like component separation (CST) were developed to treat difficult ventral hernias, but those are not without complications; wound infection and lateral abdominal wall weakness are some of the associated surgical morbidities.

Complex hernias may be challenging and need experienced hernia surgeons who are familiar with dealing with multiple forms of abdominal defects especially cases with multiple comorbidities, laparotomies, previous repairs or loss of domain that would need restoring the integrity of the abdominal wall. This is because after laparotomy, there is a disturbance of the dynamic forces of the abdominal wall, most notably lateral traction and migration of midline structures which enhances the hernia defect.

Surgical repair of abdominal wall hernias alongside the injection of Botulinum toxin A (BTA) to lateral abdominal musculature is a new therapeutic concept that may preclude the need for CST for the repair of large abdominal wall defects. The goal was to perform a tension-free closure, with abdominal wall dynamic stability while optimizing aesthetic appearance. We are reporting the first case utilizing BTA as an adjunct for surgical hernia repair in Saudi Arabia performed in January 2017.

CASE PRESENTATION

Our patient is a 19-year-old girl, with a long surgical history of multiple complicated abdominal surgeries. She was diagnosed with Primary Hyperoxalosis for which she underwent same setting liver and kidney transplant. A few years afterward, she was diagnosed with intestinal type diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. After that, she had an ileocecal mass that obstructs the bowel lumen and required oncological resection...
with right hemicolecction and anastomosis which was further complicated by anastomotic leakage, wound dehiscence and intra-abdominal sepsis thus requiring emergency exploratory laparotomy and Hartmann’s procedure with end ileostomy. The patient then underwent laparotomy, Hartmann’s revision and ileostomy site closure. For the purpose of graft preservation, the patient was on long-term immunosuppressants.

After more than a year from the last surgery, she developed a central abdominal bulge at the site of her laparotomy scar; she had midline incisional hernia. Due to the long history of laparotomies and multiple surgical procedures, a multidisciplinary approach was necessary, and a surgical treatment plan was set.

2.1 | Investigations

An enhanced CT scan was performed and showed thinning of the anterior abdominal wall muscles with severe atrophy of the left rectus abdominis muscle with rectus diastasis of around 10 cm distance (Figure 1).

2.2 | Treatment

2.2.1 | Botox injection

The injection was performed by the interventional radiologist with the patient in supine position under ultrasound guidance (Figure 2). Injection sites were marked at the anterior axillary line between the costal margin and anterior superior iliac spine according to the technique described by Smoot et al. The area was prepped and draped in a sterile technique which was followed by application of local anesthesia in the form of 1% lidocaine at the skin of injection sites. Under ultrasound guidance, BTA was injected at the three sites on either side of the abdomen. The patient received a total of 300 units of BTA diluted in 150 mL of 0.9% saline with a concentration of 2 units/mL. Each of the six injection sites received a volume of 25 mL. Each of the three injection sites on either side of abdomen were used to target the external oblique, internal oblique, and transversus abdominis muscles. After the procedure, the patient recovered smoothly and was discharged home the next day to return for surgery after 3 weeks.

2.2.2 | Injection sites

1. Above the iliac crest, transversus abdominis at anterior axillary line.
2. Mid abdomen, internal oblique at mid axillary line.
3. Below costal margin, external oblique at anterior axillary line.

2.2.3 | Surgery

Patient went for surgery 3 weeks after Botox injections. The procedure started by infiltrating normal saline for subcutaneous hydro-dissection followed by removing the old scar at the midline. De-epithelization was continued just beneath the skin to raise it above the adherent bowel underneath until reaching the normal fascia on both sides of the abdomen. Then, the abdominal flap was raised in the subscarpal plane above the fascia. Closure of the defect and plication of the
recti were done followed by placement of sized on-lay fully resorbable monofilament mesh (Figure 3).

To further relax the lateral abdominal muscles, a total of 200 units of BTA diluted in 8 mL of normal saline were infiltrated at the same previously injected sites (Figure 4). Drains were placed bilaterally above the mesh. Subcutaneous tissue was approximated with interrupted sutures in two layers. Skin was closed with 4-0 Monocryl in subcuticular fashion (Figure 5). Patient had no complications and was followed up for the next 18 months with no recurrence.

**FIGURE 3**  Mesh cut to size and fixed in place using interrupter sutures

**FIGURE 4**  A, B. 300 U of Botox injected on both sides at the anterior axillary line on different levels

3 | DISCUSSION

The component separation technique (CST) was first developed by Ramirez in 1991, to facilitate primary closure of large midline abdominal wall defects, such as ventral hernias or resection defects. Component separation is based on medialization of the rectus abdominis complex without denervating or devascularizing of the musculature by bilateral division of the external oblique aponeurosis at a point lateral to the linea semilunaris. It has been stated that the medial advancement of the epigastrium was up to 5 cm per side, 10 cm at the waistline, and 3 cm in the suprapubic area. While effective, this technique necessitates large subcutaneous flaps and is associated with significant wound complications including infection, intra-abdominal abscess, enter cutaneous fistula, and ventral hernia formation. Although these complications could be reduced by providing tension in the midline with negative pressure dressing to offset the traction created by the lateral abdominal wall, ventral hernia formation rates were still substantial due to the invasiveness
of the technique.9,10 The use of minimally invasive CST presented a promising option for decreasing complications11; however, it was also associated with high recurrence rates.12

Botulinum toxin A (BTA) is a neurotoxic protein produced by Clostridium botulinum, which causes reversible (Temporary) muscular paralysis by inhibiting the release of acetylcholine at the neuromuscular junction.4 It is given intramuscularly with maximum effect seen within 2 weeks of administration and lasts for about 2.5 months.13 Presently, it is used in a wide range of clinical conditions such as skin wrinkles, spastic muscle disorders, hyperhidrosis, and bladder dysfunction and has various other cosmetic14 and non-cosmetic15 uses. It's now evident that its flaccid paralysis inducing properties could provide a temporary decreased midline abdominal wall tension. This allows for a pharmacologically safe, reversible alternative for CST while avoiding permanent division to aponeurotic tissue to perform primary fascial closure.16

The potential use of BTA as an adjunct for abdominal wall closure was first theorized in 2006 when a study on rats successfully increased intra-abdominal volume and decreased pressure by paralyzing abdominal wall muscles, thus diminishing transverse hernia defect.17 The attempted use of novel technique involving the application of BTA before abdominal wall hernia reconstruction was first reported in 2009, in a study involving 12 patients.18 The technique proved useful as the paralysis of lateral muscles and hernia defect reduction (mean of 5.25 ± 2.32 cm) allowed a lower tension closure 4 weeks after BTA application. No recurrence was noted at 9-month follow-up. Another prospective study done in Australia studied the effect of preoperative BTA injections on eight patients before attempting surgical repair of recurrent abdominal wall hernias, by injecting 50 unites of BTA at three sites bilaterally (Total of 300 U) to lateral abdominal muscles 2 weeks pre op. Results showed all patients had successful reduction of hernias with no complications and with no early recurrence.19 Furthermore, the use of BTA was found to significantly decrease the need for opioid analgesia postoperatively compared to controls, with no difference in complication rates, hospital stay, and recurrence rate in a later study.20

Several studies have subsequently been done in order to assess efficacy, complications, and ideal dosage and technique, but none are randomized control trials. The cohorts are investigated in a systemic review involving 133 patients receiving BTA as an adjunct to surgical repair of abdominal incisional hernias.4 The studies varied in BTA doses from 300 to 500 U, and injection sites of 3 and 5 per laterality. In total, 83.5% of the patients achieved primary fascial closure, CST was still necessary in 24.1% of patients. Only two patients developed hernia recurrence, no complications were attributed to the use of BTA. However, current evidence was not sufficient to determine optimal dosing, timing, and patient selection as well as to predict when CST would need to be used. The authors conclude that although promising, safety, efficacy, and feasibility, BTA would need to be further evaluated before its use becomes wide spread.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST
None declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION
TH: involved in data collection and manuscript writing. AA: involved in data collection, manuscript writing, and review. AA and GA: reviewed the literature. FH: involved in study design, manuscript review, and case performance. SA: wrote and reviewed the manuscript. MA: involved in study design, manuscript review, and case performance.

ORCID
Abdullah AlShammari https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4889-2605

REFERENCES
1. Althubaiti G, Butler C. Abdominal wall and chest wall reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2014;133(5):688e-701e.
2. Ramirez O, Ruas E, Dellon A. “Components separation” method for closure of abdominal-wall defects. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1990;86(3):519-526.
3. Levine J, Karp N. Restoration of abdominal wall integrity as a salvage procedure in difficult recurrent abdominal wall hernias using a method of wide myofascial release. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2001;107(3):717-718.

4. Soltanizadeh S, Helgstrand F, Jorgensen L. Botulinum toxin A as an adjunct to abdominal wall reconstruction for incisional hernia. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2017;5(6):e1358.

5. Balentine C, Subramanian A, Palacio C, Sangsiray S, Berger D, Awad S. AVAS Best clinical resident award (Tied): management and outcomes of the open abdomen in nontrauma patients. *Am J Surg*. 2009;198(5):588-592.

6. Smoot D, Zielinski M, Jenkins D, Schiller H. Botox A injection for pain after laparoscopic ventral hernia: a case report. *Pain Med*. 2011;12(7):1121-1123.

7. Cheatham M, Safcsak K. Longterm impact of abdominal decompression: a prospective comparative analysis. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2008;207(4):573-579.

8. Barker D, Kaufman H, Smith L, Ciraulo D, Richart C, Burns R. Vacuum pack technique of temporary abdominal closure: a 7-year experience with 112 patients. *J Trauma*. 2000;48(2):201-207.

9. Switzer N, Dykstra M, Gill R, et al. Endoscopic versus open component separation: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc*. 2014;28(4):787-795.

10. van Ruler O, Mahler C, Boer K, et al. Comparison of on-demand vs planned relaparotomy strategy in patients with severe peritonitis. *JAMA*. 2007;298(8):865.

11. Ghali S, Turza K, Baumann D, Butler C. Minimally invasive component separation results in fewer wound-healing complications than open component separation for large ventral hernia repairs. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2012;214(6):981-989.

12. Eriksson A, Rosenberg J, Bisgaard T. Surgical treatment for giant incisional hernia: a qualitative systematic review. *Hernia*. 2013;18(1):31-38.

13. Dressler D. Clinical applications of botulinum toxin. *Curr Opin Microbiol*. 2012;15(3):325-336.

14. Carruthers A, Kane M, Flynn T, et al. The convergence of medicine and neurotoxins: a focus on botulinum toxin type A and its application in aesthetic medicine—a global, evidence-based botulinum toxin consensus education initiative. *Dermatol Surg*. 2013;39(3pt2):493-509.

15. Forbat E, Ali F, Al-Niaimi F. Non-cosmetic dermatological uses of botulinum neurotoxin. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2016;30(12):2023-2029.

16. Motz B, Schlosser K, Heniford B. Chemical components separation. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2018;142:58S-63S.

17. Çakmak M, Caglayan F, Somuncu S, et al. Effect of paralysis of the abdominal wall muscles by botulinum A toxin to intraabdominal pressure: an experimental study. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2006;41(4):821-825.

18. Bueno-Lledó J, Torregrosa A, Ballester N, et al. Preoperative progressive pneumoperitoneum and botulinum toxin A in patients with large incisional hernia. *Hernia*. 2017;21(2):233-243.

19. Farooque F, Jacombs A, Roussos E, et al. Preoperative abdominal muscle elongation with botulinum toxin A for complex incisional ventral hernia repair. *ANZ J Surg*. 2015;86(1-2):79-83.

20. Zendejas B, Khasawneh M, Srvantstyan B, Jenkins D, Schiller H, Zielinski M. Outcomes of chemical component paralysis using botulinum toxin for incisional hernia repairs. *World J Surg*. 2013;37(12):2830-2837.

How to cite this article: Hijji T, AlShammari A, AlHammad A, et al. Incisional hernia repair with plication and utilization of Botox injections: First case report from Saudi Arabia for a 19-year-old female. *Clin Case Rep*. 2019;7:311–315. https://doi.org/10.1002/ccr3.1984