Effect of Chemical-Hydrothermal Pretreatments on Compositional and Morphological Changes of Spruce Wood Exploited in Biogas Systems
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Abstract
Agricultural crops and forest residues are of valuable resources to produce biofuel due to anaerobic digestion. But, the recalcitrance nature of these lignocellulose residues limits its enzymatic degradation and decreases biogas production. Therefore, efficient pretreatments prior to anaerobic digestion are essential. In present study, hydrothermal-chemical pretreatments using Phenol (Ph), Sodium Hydroxide (SH) and Phosphoric Acid (PA), and combined pretreatments included Ph + SH and Ph + PA as chemical pretreatments were used for spruce wood. For hydrothermal pretreatments, the samples were put into the autoclave at 134 ºC for 20 min. Acid hydrolysis, FTIR and SEM analyses were carried out. The results indicated that all pretreatments were effective lignin removal having the highest value for Ph (42.362%). Adding Ph to PA, caused to increase lignin removal from 1.580-6.112%. Mixing Ph to SH represented the same trend in changing structure of spruce wood as compared to individually use of SH. All results proposed that when Ph contributed in binary pretreatment with SH and PA, it could be more effective on the morphological changes of spruce wood. In general, Ph was more effective on changing the crystalline structure of spruce wood than the others. After that, Ph + SH was more effective compared to Ph + PA on structural changes of spruce wood. In comparison between alkali and acidic pretreatments, SH represented more structural change in spruce wood than PA one. To have an intermediate state, it is recommended to use the combination of Ph with SH as infectious pretreatment instead of individually Ph. Because, this increases the biodegradation power of SH while the toxicity of Ph decreases. The obtained results are very important in biogas production systems.

Introduction
With appearing new technological advancements particularly in developing countries, energy demands are continuously growing up. Currently, the world’s largest source of energy is fossil fuels which have some disadvantages such as resource limitation, rising prices and environmental pollution. Accordingly, many attentions have been concentrated in the world to access alternative energy sources which are both economically and environmentally acceptable (Zilouei and Taheridanak, 2015). Among different types of renewable energy resources, bioenergy plays an
important role as fourth largest energy resource in the world (Rajput and Visvanathan, 2018). So far, three generations of biofuels have been introduced around the world, including: edible parts of plants, non-edible parts of plants and microalgae. Among these three generations, the second one, especially the lignocellulose biomass, is of the greatest interest, due to lack of human nutritional value, low cost and abundance of resources. In addition, by converting these materials into fuel, the large amount of agricultural and forest residues produced annually, could be managed. It is noteworthy, in most developing countries, such residues are burnt by farmers inside the farm, which in turn causes environmental risks through greenhouse emissions. Lignocellulose materials such as stems and crop residues, forest residues and energy crops are common organic materials that have great potential for biogas production (Celiktas et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2014; Taherdanak et al. 2016). Biogas extracted from lignocellulose biomass provided that could be produced by anaerobic digestion and properly recovered, capable of achieving sustainable energy functions without contaminating the environment (Chojnacka et al. 2015). In the other words, anaerobic digestion is an environmentally friendly technology for the production and recovery of degradable organic wastes (Venturin et al. 2019). But, there is one major limitation in the degradation phase of such materials. The primary chemical composition of lignocellulose biomass consists of three types of polymers called cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Cellulose is the major component of biomass and many cellulose properties depend on the crystalline chain properties or its degree of polymerization (Monlau et al. 2013). The previous studies showed that decrease the crystallinity causes to increase the accessibility of cellulose to enzymatic attack and improve the yield of subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis (Mirahmadi et al. 2010). Hemicelluloses are present in almost all plant cell walls with cellulose (Per 1993), which binds between lignin and cellulose fibers. Short side chains containing different sugars can be easily hydrolyzed (Nimz 1984). Lignin is the most abundant polymer in nature after cellulose and hemicellulose (Liqian 2011) and creates a strong mechanical layer for plants (Dollhofer et al. 2018). Lignin has a very strong binding to cellulose and hemicellulose and it is very difficult to break down. Holocelluloses (cellulose and hemicellulose) can decompose to methane by anaerobic germs (Chen et al. 2014), but lignin is hydrophobic and resistant to attack by germs. Therefore, it reduces the
availability of holocellulose to anaerobic microbes and so limits its rate of degradation (Rajput and Visvanathan 2018) and results lower biogas performance.

The available solution is to select and apply effective pre-treatments prior to anaerobic digestion in order to break the bond between polysaccharides and lignin. This allows more cellulose and hemicellulose access to the bacterium (He et al. 2008). Various pretreatment methods have been introduced to increase the anaerobic digestibility of lignocellulose biomass including physical, mechanical, chemical, biological and hybrid methods. Appropriate pretreatment assignment is one of the most important steps to increase digestibility and biogas production for a special substrate. Because, the relative amounts of lignocellulose compounds are different in different types of agricultural biomass. On the other hand, due to the high variability of pretreatments results, depending on biomass type, the same pretreatment need to be tested on other lignocellulose biomass with different chemical and structural composition (Sambusiti 2013). However, many researchers have studied on optimal pretreatments for lignocellulose materials, more research is still needed to find the optimal conditions for applying different pretreatments. According to the aforementioned, the present study investigates the effect of different chemicals on the amount of de-lignin process and structural changes of spruce wood waste that is one of the largest forest wastes.

The main innovation of the present study is to investigate Hybrid pretreatments for lignocellulose biomass instead of individual one. In other words, the present study surveys whether the hybrid pretreatments could be effective in increasing lignin removal, structural changes or reducing crystallization.

Material And Methods
Raw material and pretreatments
The raw material used as lignocellulose biomass was spruce wood from forest residues. These materials were made from milled wood waste used in the wood industry. Therefore, the first pretreatment applied to this material was mechanical grinding and size reduction, which were screened through meshes with 2 mm diameter to equalize their size.

The chemical pretreatments used in the study were Phenol (Ph), Sodium Hydroxide (SH), Phosphoric
Acid (PA), and binary pretreatments included Ph + SH and Ph + PA. Each sample of milled wood (20 g) were pretreated with 100 ml (1% v/v) of these solutions. The prepared mixtures were kept at ambient temperature (26 ºC) for 30 min, then transferred to an autoclave. The samples were put into the autoclave at 134 ºC for 20 min. Afterwards, the samples were get out the autoclave and cooled to the room temperature. Then, the samples were washed with distilled water for several times to neutralize them. They were placed into an oven at temperature of 105 ºC for 24 h. The samples were stored at 4 ºC in the refrigerator for subsequent analysis.

Experimental Analysis
Wood lignin was analyzed using NREL standard method (Ehrman 1994; Mirahmadi et al. 2010), in which acid hydrolysis of cellulose and hemicellulose were used to break down the sugar polymers into sugar-forming units. Acid insoluble lignin content was determined by weight changes before and after acid hydrolysis. The percent of lignin removal was calculated according to Eq. 1 (Gao et al. 2014).

\[
\text{Lignin removal (\%) = 1 - \frac{\% \text{Lignin in solid after one step acid hydrolysis}}{\% \text{Lignin in raw biomass}} \times \text{Solid yield}} \quad (1)
\]

The amount of acid soluble lignin was also calculated by UV-Vis spectroscopy and its absorption intensity at 205 nm. The surface chemistry of the treated and untreated woods was determined using Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometer (FTIR) (JASCO 4700, International Co). In this method, the absorption spectra of treated and untreated woods ranging from 500 to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) were investigated. The crystallinity was determined by three methods including Cross-Linked Lignin ratio (CLL), Lateral Order Index (LOI) and Hydrogen Bond Intensity (HBI). The cross-linked structure is a characteristic feature of the concentration in guaiacyl. To evaluate the proportion of lignin with condensed and cross-linked structures (CLL), the ratio between band intensities at 1600 and 1508 cm\(^{-1}\) were calculated (Auxenfans et al. 2017; Mann et al. 2009).

The ratio of 1427/897 was used to estimate LOI (correspond to a CH\(_2\) bending vibrations) (Khedkar et al. 2018) which is related to the amount of the crystalline structure of cellulose (Nelson and O’Connor 1964). HBI is calculated from the ratio of intensities at 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) (O–H stretching, H-bonds between
molecules) and 1320 cm\(^{-1}\) (CH rocking vibration of the glucose ring). It is defined the degree of intermolecular regularity, i.e. crystallinity as well as the amount of bound water (Auxenfans et al. 2017; Oh et al. 2005).

In order to evaluate the influence of pretreatment on the morphology of wood, Scanning Electron Microscopy analysis (SEM) was performed using a high resolution SEM FEI Quanta 200. The dry material was coated a thin layer of gold.

Results And Discussion

In present study, the combined hydrothermal-chemical pretreatments were used for increasing lignin removal and accelerating the process of sugars digestion and the biogas production which are done by microorganisms. The chemical solutions used were SH, PA, Ph, Ph + SH and Ph + PA solutions that their effects on chemical structure of spruce wood were investigated.

Effect Of Pretreatments On Composition Of Spruce Wood

The amounts of lignin content for treated and untreated spruce woods are summarized in Table 1. As observed, Acid Insoluble Lignin (AIL) with 30.366% is the main part of lignin in spruce wood. The amount of AIL increased after using all of applied pretreatments, with the lowest and highest values for PA (31.756%) and Ph (48.878%) solutions, respectively. Acid Soluble Lignin (ASL) decreased by SH, Ph and SH + Ph pretreatments and increased by PA and PA + Ph pretreatments (Table 1).

Forasmuch as reduction in lignin content is a key outcome of an efficient pretreatment (Hendriks and Zeeman 2009), lignin removal was calculated for each solution in order to more clarify (Table 1).

| Solution type | ASL (%) | AIL (%) | Lignin removal (%) |
|---------------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| Ph            | 0.475   | 48.878  | 42.362            |
| SH            | 0.493   | 35.364  | 12.487            |
| Ph + SH       | 0.480   | 34.645  | 10.186            |
| PA            | 0.559   | 31.756  | 1.580             |
| Ph + PA       | 0.551   | 33.345  | 6.112             |
| Untreated     | 0.530   | 30.366  | -                 |

According Table 1, all of the pretreatments positively affected the lignin removal and their variation range was between 1.580 (PA) and 42.362% (Ph). As can be deduced, the effect of PA solution on lignin removal alone was not too much (1.580%). But, combining two solutions of PA and Ph, caused to enhance their effect on lignin removal up to 6.112%. This demonstrates that adding Ph solution improves the performance of PA in terms of lignin removal. However, addition of Ph to SH lead to the
opposite results so that the lignin removal slightly decreased. As observed, among the studied pretreatments, the effect of Ph on lignin removal was more than the others.

It should be mentioned that most studies performed on lignocellulose materials attempted to focus on the pretreatments which could accelerate the hydrolysis of cellulosic parts and break the bonds between the sugars. Reason for ignoring lignin removal is that lignin content in lignocellulose materials generally could not be effectively removed in those studies. The results obtained from present study indicate the good effect of the selected pretreatments on lignin removal of spruce wood. In a research studied on spruce wood by Mirahmadi et al. (2010), it was approximately observed no destruction of lignin content using SH pretreatment in mild condition, while SH pretreatment in present study could remove lignin up to 12.487%.

The closely research with present study performed by Mohsenzadeh et al. (2012) who investigated the effect of alkali pretreatment on spruce woods. Their lignin removals were between 11.1 and 23.4% in all of used pretreatments. In their research, the highest value of lignin removal was obtained for mixing SH with Polyethylene glycol at temperature of 22 °C. While the highest lignin removal of 42.362% was obtained using Ph pretreatment in present research.

**Compositional And Morphological Analysis**

Using FTIR spectroscopic technique, the changes in hemicellulose and cellulose structures before and after the pretreatments were investigated. Table 2 shows the FTIR peaks for the following samples: untreated spruce wood, Ph, SH, SH + Ph, PA, and PA + Ph. FTIR spectra of untreated wood shows a band at 897 cm$^{-1}$, which represent β-(1-4) glycosidic linkages of cellulose and it is attributed to amorphous cellulose. For all treated spruce woods compared to the untreated, absorbance of amorphous cellulose has increased, except Ph. However, the absorbance is higher for SH and PA + Ph pretreatments compared to SH + Ph and PA. This data proposes maximum structure changed and enhancement of cellulose content for SH and PA + Ph treatments (Khedkar et al. 2018; Pal et al. 2016). According to the previous study by Li et al. (2016) on *M. lutaria riparius* samples, steam explosion increased peak intensity at 897 cm$^{-1}$ and also they suggested the augmentation of cellulose content after pretreatment. This is consistent with results of the current study.

Table 2
| Band no. | Band Region in Wave number (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Assignment(s) | Relative absorbance |
|---------|----------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
|         |                                        |                | Untreated | Ph | SH | SH + Ph | PA | PA + Ph |
| 1       | ~835                                   | C-H out of plane vibration in lignin | 0.010     | 0.008 | 0.018 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.020 |
| 2       | ~897                                   | C-H deformation in cellulose | 0.011     | 0.009 | 0.023 | 0.017 | 0.018 | 0.021 |
| 3       | 1040-1060                              | C-O stretch in cellulose and hemicellulose | 0.037     | 0.031 | 0.066 | 0.039 | 0.060 | 0.055 |
| 4       | 1160-1170                              | C-O-C vibration in cellulose and hemicellulose | 0.013     | 0.011 | 0.026 | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.026 |
| 5       | 1240-1260                              | Guaiacyl ring breathing, C-O stretch in lignin | 0.014     | 0.011 | 0.016 | 0.014 | 0.020 | 0.024 |
| 6       | 1320-1330                              | Syringyl ring breathing in lignin | 0.009     | 0.007 | 0.019 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.020 |
| 7       | 1370-1380                              | C-H deformation in cellulose and hemicellulose | 0.008     | 0.006 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.020 |
| 8       | 1420-1430                              | Aromatic skeleton vibration (methyl) in lignin combined with C-H plane deformation in carbohydrates | 0.007     | 0.006 | 0.016 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.015 |
| 9       | 1450-1460                              | Aromatic C-H deformation; asymmetric in CH\(_3\) and CH\(_2\) | 0.006     | 0.005 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.013 |
| 10      | 1510-1520                              | Aromatic C = C stretch from aromatic lignin | 0.004     | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.009 |
| 11      | 1600-1610                              | Aromatic skeletal vibration plus C = O stretch | 0.006     | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.012 |
| 12      | 1630-1640                              | Absorbed O-H, Conjugate C = O, ketone | 0.005     | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.008 |
| 13      | ~1705                                  | C = O stretch unconjugate | 0.002     | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.005 |
The peak intensities of 1000–1250 cm\(^{-1}\) can be recognized to contributions of cellulose and hemicellulose having maxima at 1040 cm\(^{-1}\), due to C-O stretching and 1165 cm\(^{-1}\) due to the asymmetrical C-O-C stretching. The band absorption at 1247 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to C-O stretching and this absorption region show feature of hemicellulose and lignin (Fig. 1). The band at 1247 cm\(^{-1}\) indicates elimination of hemicellulose as compared to untreated wood. The band intensity for Ph pretreatment had the lowest value. FTIR analysis indicates that maximum solubilization of hemicellulose and lignin is allocated to Ph pretreatment.

The region of 1400–1460 cm\(^{-1}\) reveals aromatic skeleton C-H plane deformations in lignin. For, 1500–1650 cm\(^{-1}\) is similarly included aromatic skeletal vibrations. The lowest absorbance values are reported for Ph pretreatment. In the wavenumber of 1500 cm\(^{-1}\), the lowest value allocated to Ph pretreatment as compared to the others. According the results, it is suggested that addition of Ph to spruce wood causes more lignin changes as compared to the other pretreatments. This results are consistent to the results obtained from acid hydrolysis (Table 1) in which the lignin removal had the highest value for Ph pretreatment.

The crystallinity index is one of the key parameters to be considered during enzymatic hydrolysis. Hence, the crystallinity index was evaluated based on CLL, LOI, and HBI. Generally, increased CLL, LOI and HBI values represent the highest degree of crystallinity and a more ordered cellulose structure. While decreasing these values designate the amorphous structure of cellulose (Khedkar et al. 2018). Table 3 summarizes the values of different crystallinity ratios (CLL, LOI and HBI).
Table 3
Infrared crystallinity ratio of untreated and treated samples of spruce wood.

| Index | Relative absorbance |
|-------|---------------------|
|       | Untreated | Ph | SH | SH + Ph | PA | PA + Ph |
| CLL   | 0.72       | 0.98 | 1.09 | 0.56 | 1.12 | 0.81 |
| LOI   | 0.64       | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.70 |
| HBI   | 0.85       | 0.53 | 0.73 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.78 |

CLL value of the sample treated with SH + Ph decreased as compared to untreated sample. In reverse, for other pretreatments, CLL values were higher than untreated sample. This reflects an abundance in lignin with condensed and cross-linked G-type lignin structures. One explanation could be that lignin is solubilized during the pretreatment and then repolymerized/recondensed (Auxenfans et al. 2017). Auxenfans et al. (2017) used steam explosion pretreatment combined with dilute sulfuric acid on spruce wood. Their results showed that CLL values of the treated spruce samples were not statistically different compared to the untreated ones. According Table 3, the lowest and highest LOI values were obtained for Ph and PA + Ph, respectively. HBI of the all pretreated samples were lower than untreated sample (except SH + Ph which was equal to it). This indicates decreased crystallinity and increased amorphous cellulose structure of spruce wood which increases the enzymatic efficiency.

SEM Analysis
The morphological changes of the untreated and treated spruce woods in two magnitudes of 200 and 20 μm were evaluated using SEM analysis (Fig. 2). The SEM image of untreated sample indicated an intact surface with well-arranged structure of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin (Fig. 2a). After treating with Ph, SH and SH + Ph, the surface layer of spruce wood was destructed (Fig. 2b, c and d). That’s reason is that the pretreatment removes the amorphous cellulose and hemicellulose from inner part. According SEM image, SH + Ph pretreatment caused the lignin re-deposition on the biomass surface. This created a corrugated surface for the wood and could result to accelerate in the enzymatic hydrolysis process. The result of CLL concurs with SEM analysis for SH + Ph so that CLL value for this pretreatment had the lowest value (0.560). Moreover, in Fig. 2e, when using PA pretreatment, the morphology of wood did not change. But, using PA + Ph, the morphology of wood demonstrated tiny destruction (Fig. 2f). This result is also consistence with conformational analysis (Table 1) and CLL. As given in Table 1, the lignin removal for Ph and PA were 42.362 and 1.580%,
respectively. When Ph is mixed with PA, caused to arise lignin removal from 1.580 to 6.112% for spruce sample. In addition, from Table 3 is inferred that with mixing Ph (CLL = 0.976) and PA (CLL = 1.118), the CLL of the sample reduces to 0.813.

Summarizing the results of performed analysis in this research demonstrate that among five different pretreatments, Ph represented the best effect on restructuring the spruce wood. Generally, for restructuring lignocellulose materials, in previous researches were focused on the effect of alkali, acid and oxidizer chemicals as pretreatments. Ph used as an oxidizer pretreatment in those studies has environmental hazards because of its toxicity. In this study, in order to reducing Ph toxicity, the effect of the combinations of Ph with alkali and acidic pretreatments on structural change of spruce wood compared to single pretreatments was investigated. The results obtained from LOI and CLL showed that mixing Ph to SH caused to increase the structural change of spruce wood as compared to individually use of SH. It can be make easier for enzymes to attack and diffuse into the cellulosic structure of spruce wood. The results of SEM analysis confirmed these results. In mixing Ph with acidic pretreatment was obtained similar results. Combining Ph with PA led to an increase in PA power in changing structure of spruce wood. This is also well inferred from the results of SEM analysis. After Ph, comparing the obtained results indicates that the combination of Ph with SH is more effective compared to the mix of Ph and PA in terms of changing structure of spruce wood. In addition, between two alkali (SH) and acidic (PA) pretreatments studied in this research, SH pretreatment represents more structural change in spruce wood.

Conclusions

Due to the severe nature of lignocellulose biomass, their biodegradability and consequently biogas production is always difficult. In present study, hydrothermal-chemical pretreatments were applied to achieve an efficient lignin removal and structural changes of spruce wood. Alkali, acidic and oxidizer pretreatments were used individually as well as the combination of oxidizer with acidic pretreatment and oxidizer with alkali pretreatment. The results were summarized as follows:

All chemical pretreatments including: Ph, SH, PA, Ph + SH and Ph + PA change the wood structure and prepare them for digestion.
Among all of the investigated pretreatments, Ph is more effective on changing the crystalline structure of spruce wood than the others. The amount of lignin removal obtained by Ph was 42.362% that is very high compared to the other chemicals not only in current study but also previous researches.

Combining Ph and PA, causes to rise their effect on lignin removal from 1.580% to 6.112%. Moreover, combining Ph and SH represents the same trend in changing structure of spruce wood as compared to individually use of SH. In other words, adding Ph improves the biodegradability power of PA and SH. After Ph, pretreatment of Ph+SH is more effective compared to the Ph+PA on structural changes of spruce wood.

Alkali pretreatment (SH) represents more structural change in spruce wood than acidic (PA) one. According the results of current research, it is recommended to use the combination of Ph with SH as infectious pretreatment instead of Ph. Because, this increases the biodegradation power of SH while the toxicity of Ph decreases.
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| ASL       | Acid Soluble Lignin                                                      |
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Figures

FTIR spectrum of untreated spruce wood (-1) and treated with Ph (-2), SH (-3), Ph + SH (-4), PA (-5) and PA + Ph (-6)
Figure 2

SEM images of spruce woods in two magnitudes of 200 (left) and 20 μm (right). (a1,2) untreated sample, (b1,2) treated using Ph, (c1,2) treated using SH, (d1,2) treated using SH+Ph, (e1,2) treated using PA+Ph
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