Microwave assisted synthesis of MoS$_2$/nitrogen-doped carbon shell–core microspheres for Pt-free dye-sensitized solar cells
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In this work, novel MoS$_2$/nitrogen-doped carbon shell–core microspheres (MNCS) have been designed and prepared through the microwave-assisted method, and used as counter electrodes for platinum-free dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs). The results show that as-prepared shell–core composite microspheres possess low charge transfer resistance and high electrocatalytic activity. When used as a counter electrode for DSSCs, the powder conversion efficiency of an as-prepared cell with optimized MNCS reaches 6.2% under standard light illumination, which is comparable to cells with a conventional Pt counter electrode.

Introduction

In the development of clean energy, solar energy is a plentiful and environmentally safe energy source. In recent years, dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have attracted considerable attention as an alternative to conventional silicon based solar cells due to their low cost, easy fabrication and high power conversion efficiency. A typical DSSC is composed of a photoanode, an electrolyte, and a counter electrode. Among them, the counter electrode (CE) reduces the oxidized electrolyte, which is critical for high performance DSSCs. So far, platinum is still the most widely-used CE for DSSCs due to its excellent electrical conductivity and high catalytic activity. However, the use of noble Pt metal would be limited by the scarcity and high cost. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the low cost and Pt-free CEs to facilitate the industrial development of DSSCs.

As a typical layered transition metal sulfide, molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$) has attracted considerable attention and was proposed as an effective candidate counter electrode to replace Pt for DSSCs due to its analogous structure to graphene. However, the drawback of MoS$_2$ is that its active sites are limited to its edges, which decreases the DSSC’s performance. Recently, some works have demonstrated that MoS$_2$ incorporated with carbon composites could lower the charge transfer resistance and enhance the electrocatalytic activity, which can improve the photovoltaic performance. Tai et al. prepared multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs) and MoS$_2$ nanocomposites as counter electrodes for DSSCs, and a conversion efficiency of 6.41% was obtained. Liu et al. prepared MoS$_2$ and graphene composite by simply mixing graphene oxide nanosheets with MoS$_2$ as counter electrodes and a conversion efficiency of 6.04% was achieved. Yue et al. fabricated MoS$_2$/carbonaceous hybrid material by a hydrothermal route as CE materials for DSSCs, and showed a photovoltaic efficiency of 7.69%. Despite the above process has been achieved, the design and fabrication of MoS$_2$ incorporated with carbon material composites with high electrocatalytic activity for DSSCs are still very challenging.

In our previous work, the nitrogen-doped carbon microspheres (NCS) were prepared via a simple, rapid and effective microwave assisted method and used as CEs for DSSCs. To further expand the application of this method in DSSCs, herein we report the fabrication of novel MoS$_2$/nitrogen-doped carbon shell–core microspheres (MNCS) via all microwave assisted method for DSSCs. To our knowledge, there are rare research reports on enhanced photovoltaic performance of DSSCs based on the type of MoS$_2$/nitrogen-doped carbon shell–core microspheres CEs. It is found that as-prepared MNCS can lower the charge transfer resistance and enhance the electrocatalytic activity. When it serves as the CEs in DSSCs, a high conversion efficiency of 6.2% can be achieved under one sun illumination, which is comparable to the cell with conventional Pt counter electrode.

Experimental

NCS was fabricated via the microwave-assisted method reported in our previous work. Subsequently, the MNCS was fabricated...
via microwave-assisted method again. In brief, the appropriate amount of as-prepared NCS was dissolved in 100 ml of 20 mM trioxomolybdenum-phosphoric solution, and then followed by ultrasonication for 30 min. And then, 100 ml of 70 mM thiocacetamide was added into the reaction solution under stirring. After that, the above solution was heated at 160 °C with a microwave irradiation power of 100 W for 10 min using a microwave system (Explorer-48, CEM Co.). After being centrifuged and washed with deionized water, the resultant precipitate was dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for 12 h, and finally thermally treated at 800 °C for 2 h in an ammonia atmosphere. In this work, the amount of NCS was set as 53.3 mg, 80 mg, and 160 mg, and the corresponding obtained samples are denoted as MNCS-1, MNCS-2, and MNCS-3, respectively. The MNCS counter electrode was prepared by drop coating method on the cleaned F-doped SnO2 (FTO) glass. The MNCS-1, MNCS-2, and MNCS-3 samples are denoted as MNCS-1, MNCS-2, and MNCS-3, respectively. The MNCS counter electrode was prepared by the above mentioned electrochemical workstation under 100 mW cm−2 illumination at an applied potential from 0.5 to 1.2 V for the I3−/I− redox couple. Two pairs of oxidation and reduction peaks were observed at 1.12 and 1.2 V for the I3−/I− redox couple. The CV curves of the pure MoS2, various MNCSs and Pt counter electrodes under the potential from −0.5 to 1.2 V for the I3−/I− redox couple. Two pairs of oxidation and reduction peaks were observed at 1.12 and 1.2 V for the I3−/I− redox couple.

Results and discussion

The morphologies of the as-prepared NCS and MNCS were investigated by FESEM. Fig. 1a shows the morphology of the as-prepared NCS, and the surface of the microspheres. The results indicate that the shell–core structured MoS2/nitrogen-doped carbon microspheres were fabricated via microwave assisted method. The highly ordered hexagonal MoS2 lattice fringes can be ascribed to the (002) direction of the hexagonal MoS2. The HRTEM image of as-prepared MNCS. The lattice from MoS2 outerlayer can be observed clearly. The interlayer spacing of 0.62 nm observed from lattice fringes can be ascribed to the (002) direction of hexagonal MoS2.

Fig. 3 shows the N2 adsorption–desorption isotherms of as-prepared MoS2 and MNCS-2. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface area and mean pore diameter were determined and summarized in Table 1. As seen, the MNCS-2 exhibits a higher BET specific surface area (35.39 m² g⁻¹) and larger pore volume (16.02 nm) than MoS2 (2.64 m³ g⁻¹ and 9.52 nm), respectively. The greatly enhanced specific surface area provides more active plots to reduce I3−.

The phase structure of the as-synthesized pure MoS2 and MNCS composites with different NCS amounts was further characterized by using XRD. As shown in Fig. 4, all samples exhibit a hexagonal MoS2 crystal structure (JCPDS 75-1539). Moreover, the strong (002) diffraction peak at 14.4° can be observed in samples, indicating a well stacked layered structure of MoS2. The broad peaks at 24° corresponds to (002) diffraction mode of graphitic structure, which is characteristic of disordered carbon material. It is noted that the (002) diffraction peak gradually becomes weak with the decrease of NCS amount. The results further confirm that MoS2/nitrogen-doped carbon composite microspheres can be fabricated via this method.

CV measurements were carried out to analyze the electrochemical catalytic activity of as-prepared electrodes. Fig. 5 indicates the CV curves of the pure MoS2, various MNCSs and Pt counter electrodes under the potential from −0.5 to 1.2 V for the I3−/I− redox couple. Two pairs of oxidation and reduction peaks were observed at 1.12 and 1.2 V for the I3−/I− redox couple. Two pairs of oxidation and reduction peaks were observed at 1.12 and 1.2 V for the I3−/I− redox couple.
reduction peaks are observed, which are assigned to the following reactions:\(^{39,40}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
3I^- & \rightarrow I_3^- + 2e^- \\
2I_3^- & \rightarrow 3I_2 + 2e^-
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)  

(2)

The peak positions of the CV curves for as-prepared MNCS electrodes are similar to that of Pt, indicating that MNCS electrodes have a similar electrocatalytic function for the redox reaction to Pt electrode. Among these electrodes, MNCS-2 indicates higher current density than other electrodes based on MoS\(_2\), which could be due to the larger specific surface area and higher reactivity in MCNS-2 electrode for catalysis.\(^{41}\)

| Sample   | Specific surface area/m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) | Mean pore diameter/nm |
|----------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| MoS\(_2\) | 2.64                                   | 9.52                 |
| MNCS-2   | 35.39                                  | 16.02                |

Table 1 Specific surface area and mean pore diameter of as-prepared MoS\(_2\) and MNCS-2

Fig. 3 Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms of as-prepared MoS\(_2\) and MNCS-2.
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Fig. 6 displays the typical EIS spectra of the cells with various counter electrodes. Two semicircles, including a large one at high frequency and a small one at low frequency, can be observed in the Nyquist plots of EIS spectra. The left semicircle at high frequency represents the charge-transfer resistance \(R_{CT}\) and the corresponding capacitance \(CPE_1\) at the interface of the electrolyte/counter electrode. The right semicircle at low frequency represents the charge-transfer resistance \(R_w\) and corresponding capacitance \(CPE_2\) at the TiO\(_2\)/dye/electrolyte interface.\(^{42}\) As listed in Table 2, the fitted \(R_{CT}\) values of pure MoS\(_2\), MNCS-1 and MNCS-3 CEs are 20.1, 8.8 and 7.8 \(\Omega\), respectively. However, as for the MNCS-2 CE (6.1 \(\Omega\)) is lower than that of Pt CE (7.2 \(\Omega\)), indicating its high electrocatalytic performance. The low \(R_{CT}\) value indicates superior electrocatalytic activity for I\(_3^-\) reduction, which is beneficial to the fill factor and conversion efficiency.

The \(J–V\) curves of DSSCs with various CEs were measured under one sun illumination (100 mW cm\(^{-2}\), AM 1.5 G) and showed in Fig. 7. The corresponding photovoltaic parameters, such as the open circuit potential \(V_{oc}\), short circuit current density \(J_{sc}\), fill factor (FF), and conversion efficiency (\(\eta\)) are

![Fig. 4 XRD patterns of as-prepared pure MoS\(_2\) and MNCS composites.](image)

![Fig. 5 CV curves of the pure MoS\(_2\), various MNCSs and Pt counter electrodes.](image)

![Fig. 6 EIS spectra of the pure MoS\(_2\), various MNCSs and Pt counter electrodes, the inset is the corresponding equivalent circuit.](image)
Table 2 Photovoltaic parameters of the cells with various counter electrodes

| Sample   | $R_{ct}/\Omega$ | $J_{sc}/\text{mA cm}^{-2}$ | $V_{oc}/\text{V}$ | FF/% | $\eta/%$ |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------|---------|
| Pt       | 7.2             | 14.9                        | 0.72             | 65.2 | 7.0     |
| MoS$_2$  | 20.1            | 13.6                        | 0.72             | 50.8 | 5.0     |
| MNCS-1   | 8.8             | 14.0                        | 0.71             | 60.2 | 6.0     |
| MNCS-2   | 6.1             | 14.2                        | 0.71             | 61.3 | 6.2     |
| MNCS-3   | 7.8             | 13.8                        | 0.71             | 56.2 | 5.5     |

listed in Table 2. The $J_{sc}$ and FF of the cell with pure MoS$_2$ are 13.6 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 50.8%, respectively, resulting in a low conversion efficiency of 5.0%. When nitrogen-doped carbon microspheres are introduced, $J_{sc}$, FF and $\eta$ increase obviously and reach maximum values of 14.20 mA cm$^{-2}$, 61.3% and 6.2% for the cell with MNCS-2 CE, which is due to the high electrocatalytic activity and low charge transfer resistance resulting from the interaction between nitrogen-doped carbon microspheres and MoS$_2$. However, conversion efficiency will decrease with a further increase of nitrogen-doped carbon microspheres amount, which is ascribed to reduced electrocatalytic activity resulting from the excessive nitrogen-doped carbon microspheres. Despite the maximum $\eta$ of 6.2% for the cell with MNCS-2 CE is lower than that of Pt CE (7.0%). However, considering the low cost and superior chemical stability of MNCS composites, the MNCS CEs are still competitive to conventional Pt CE.

Conclusion

In summary, MoS$_2$/nitrogen-doped carbon shell–core microspheres have been synthesized through a microwave-assisted method and used as a Pt-free counter electrode in DSSCs. The results indicate that as-prepared composite counter electrodes exhibit high electrocatalytic activity and low charge transfer resistance resulting from the interaction between nitrogen-doped carbon microspheres and MoS$_2$. Under optimal conditions, a maximum photovoltaic conversion efficiency of 6.2% under one sun illumination is achieved for DSSCs with MNCS-2 CE, which is comparable to that for conventional Pt counter electrode. That suggests that as-prepared MNCS composite CEs show great potential as a low cost alternative to Pt for DSSCs.
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