Cognition, Olfaction and Uric Acid in Early de novo Parkinson's Disease
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ABSTRACT

Objective Cognitive impairment is one of the nonmotor symptoms in Parkinson's disease (PD), and olfactory dysfunction is used as a marker to detect premotor stages of PD. Serum uric acid (sUA) levels have been found to be a risk factor for PD. Our objective in this study was to examine whether sUA levels are associated with cognitive changes and olfactory dysfunction in early de novo PD patients.

Methods The study participants included 196 de novo PD patients. We assessed cognitive function by the Korean versions of the Mini-Mental State Examination and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment and assessed olfactory function by the Korean version of the Sniffin' Sticks test.

Results The mean sUA level was 4.7 mg/dL and was significantly lower in women than in men. Cognitive scores were lower in women, suggesting that sUA levels were related to cognitive function. The olfactory functions were not related to sUA level but were clearly associated with cognitive scores. Olfactory threshold, odor discrimination, and odor identification were all significantly related to cognitive scores.

Conclusion We conclude that lower sUA levels were associated with cognitive impairment, not olfactory dysfunction, in de novo PD patients. This finding suggests that UA is neuroprotective as an antioxidant in the cognitive function of PD patients.
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MATERIALS & METHODS

Patients
Between January 2015 and December 2017, patients presenting to the PD clinic of the Sanggye Paik Hospital for de novo PD were screened for enrollment in the study. All PD patients met the criteria of the UK Parkinson's Disease Society Brain Bank.9 We excluded those patients with diagnoses of secondary or atypical parkinsonism according to the available clinical criteria10-12 and those patients diagnosed with major depression according to the fourth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. For effective evaluation of olfactory function, patients who were current smokers were also excluded. All enrolled patients were admitted, and we obtained detailed information from the patients' history and neurological examinations. On admission, we classified the progression of symptoms into stages according to the Hoehn and Yahr scale (HY),13 and evaluated motor disability using the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale III (UPDRS-III).14 Venous blood samples were drawn only at baseline in the morning after an overnight fast to evaluate sUA levels. For this reason, we also excluded patients using treatments with diuretics or sUA-modifying agents and those with a body mass index > 25 or < 19 to exclude both over- and underweight patients. All patients provided signed informed consent. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Sanggye Paik Hospital (IRB no. 201806008).

Neuropsychological assessment
All enrolled patients underwent a detailed neuropsychological assessment by a psychologist. Cognitive function was evaluated by means of the Korean versions of the Mini-Mental State Examination (K-MMSE) and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (K-MoCA). The current severity of any depressive episodes experienced by patients was evaluated using the Hamilton Depression Scale.

Korean version of the Sniffin’ Sticks test
An otorhinolaryngologist examined all patients and excluded some patients with secondary anosmia, including obstruction. Olfactory function was examined by an otorhinolaryngologist using the Korean version of the Sniffin’ Sticks test (KVSS II), which is a validated test instrument for Koreans.15 KVSS II consists of 16 different items with three components each, including olfactory threshold (i.e., detecting the lowest concentration of odor), odor discrimination (i.e., differentiating certain odors from several other odors), and odor identification (i.e., naming a certain odor). Using 16 pens, each filled with a different liquid odorant, the olfactory threshold and odor discrimination tests were carried out. Three pens (two with identical odors) were presented to each patient for odor discrimination. The odor identification test utilized all 16 odors. All patients were presented with the olfactory threshold test first, followed by the odor discrimination test and the odor identification test, with a break of 3 min between tests. The sums of the three tests are presented as threshold-discrimination-identification (TDI) scores. Total scores of 0 to 20 are defined as anosmia; 21 to 27, as hyposmia; and 28 to 48, as normosmia.15

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as the means (standard deviations) or numbers (percentages). Categorical data were examined by chi-square tests. Student's t-test was used to compare normally distributed data, and the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare non-normally distributed data. Pearson's and Spearman's correlation coefficients were calculated to evaluate the relationships between sUA levels and neuropsychological assessments. Multivariate linear regression models were used to analyze the association of significant univariate variables. Two-sided null hypotheses of no difference were rejected at p < 0.05. SPSS Statistics 20 for Windows (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS
During the trial, 286 patients were assessed, and 90 were excluded. Forty-six patients met exclusion criteria (including 32 patients with below middle school education and 5 using diuretics). Forty-four patients refused to participate in the study. Finally, a total of 196 participants met the inclusion criteria and were enrolled in this study (Figure 1). Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the enrolled patients. The mean age of the patients was 67.4 years, and 58% of the patients were women. The mean duration of disease at recruitment was 1.4 years. The mean HY stage was 1.7, and the mean total UPDRS
(I–III) score was 34. There were no significant differences in these characteristics between men and women. The mean sUA level was 4.7 mg/dL and was significantly lower in women than in men (4.3 vs. 5.2 mg/dL, \( p < 0.0001 \)). The mean scores for TDI, MMSE and MoCA were 24.4, 25.7 and 21.7, respectively. These scores were lower in women than in men (TDI 22.1 vs. 26.0, \( p = 0.006 \), MMSE 24.8 vs. 27, \( p < 0.0001 \) and MoCA 20.5 vs. 23.4, \( p < 0.0001 \)), suggesting that sUA was related to olfactory and cognitive function.

Table 2 shows the correlation analysis of sUA levels with the baseline characteristics. In all participants, sUA was significantly related to being female (\( r = -0.367 \)), to MMSE scores (\( r = 0.222 \)), and to MoCA scores (\( r = 0.215 \)). Although there was no significant difference, a trend toward lower olfactory function (TDI score) was related to decreased sUA levels (\( r = 0.141 \), \( p = 0.064 \)). sUA levels were clearly associated with MMSE and MoCA scores (\( p < 0.008 \)). TDI, olfactory threshold, odor discrimination, and odor identification scores were all significantly related to MMSE and MoCA scores (\( r > 0.150 \), \( p < 0.038 \)). Multiple linear regression analysis revealed that age and sUA were significantly associated with MMSE and MoCA scores in all participants, with age being the most influential factor (\( \beta \) coefficients of -0.369 and 0.179 for age and sUA, respectively). Figure 2 shows the age-adjusted scatterplots of the relationship between sUA levels and MMSE and MoCA scores. The sUA level was positively associated with MMSE and MoCA scores in patients with early de novo PD (\( r = 0.180 \), \( p = 0.017 \) for MMSE and \( r = 0.193 \), \( p = 0.010 \) for MoCA scores).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of enrolled patients

| Total (n=196) | Men (n=83) | Women (n=113) | p-value |
|---------------|------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (yr)      | 67.4 (9.07) | 66.2 (9.55)   | 68.4 (8.63) | 0.089 |
| Duration (yr) | 1.4 (1.24)  | 1.6 (1.46)    | 1.3 (1.05) | 0.193 |
| HY stage      | 1.7 (0.67)  | 1.7 (0.66)    | 1.7 (0.69) | 0.402 |
| UPDRS I–III   | 34.0 (16.34) | 35.9 (17.99) | 32.5 (15.97) | 0.360 |
| UPDRS-III     | 21.6 (11.52) | 21.5 (11.66) | 21.6 (11.47) | 0.979 |
| NMS           | 39.6 (40.23) | 37.2 (38.77) | 41.4 (41.38) | 0.480 |

Olfactory functions

| Threshold     | 6.7 (3.41) | 6.7 (3.64) | 6.7 (3.26) | 0.994 |
| Discrimination| 7.1 (3.15) | 7.0 (3.35) | 7.3 (3.01) | 0.539 |
| Identification| 6.7 (3.41) | 6.7 (3.64) | 6.7 (3.26) | 0.994 |
| TDI score (normosmia≥28) | 24.4 (9.91) | 26.0 (9.12) | 22.1 (10.55) | 0.006* |
| MMSE score    | 25.7 (3.91) | 27.0 (2.85) | 24.8 (4.30) | <0.0001* |
| MoCA score    | 21.7 (5.62) | 23.4 (4.41) | 20.5 (6.09) | <0.0001* |
| HAM-D          | 8.9 (6.87)  | 9.0 (7.08)   | 8.7 (6.74) | 0.802 |
| PDSS           | 92.7 (40.84) | 98.9 (36.71) | 88.1 (43.23) | 0.088 |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | 23.6 (3.04) | 23.6 (2.90) | 23.7 (3.14) | 0.911 |
| Serum uric acid (mg/dL) | 4.7 (1.16)  | 5.2 (1.22)   | 4.3 (0.94) | <0.0001* |

Data are presented as the mean (SD). *significant p-values. HY: Hoehn and Yahr, UPDRS: Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale, UPDRS-III: Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale Part III, NMS: Non-Motor Symptom Scale, TDI: threshold-discrimination-identification, MMSE: Mini-Mental State Examination, MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment, HAM-D: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, PDSS: Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale, BMI: body mass index.
sis that UA is not neurotoxic as a pro-oxidant but is neuroprotective as an antioxidant,16 as previous study results have suggested.8,17,18

Olfactory dysfunction and cognitive decline in early PD patients may be underestimated because there are many other causes of hyposmia and mild cognitive decline in elderly patients. Bohnen et al.19 first suggested a correlation between cognitive function and olfactory loss in PD patients, and since then,

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we explored the relationships among sUA levels, cognitive function, and olfactory dysfunction. Our study clearly demonstrated that lower sUA levels were associated with more cognitive impairment in patients with de novo PD. We found that olfactory dysfunction was also related to cognitive decline. These findings support the hypothesis that UA is not neurotoxic as a pro-oxidant but is neuroprotective as an antioxidant,16 as previous study results have suggested.8,17,18

Olfactory dysfunction and cognitive decline in early PD patients may be underestimated because there are many other causes of hyposmia and mild cognitive decline in elderly patients. Bohnen et al.19
several studies have shown that olfactory dysfunction is associated with cognitive impairment.\(^\text{20-22}\) Recently, Park et al.\(^\text{22}\) showed that PD with minimal cognitive impairment is more likely to be associated with severe olfactory impairment than PD without cognitive dysfunction.

UA levels are considered a risk factor for cerebrovascular disease. Using MRI findings, Han et al.\(^\text{23}\) also showed that sUA was associated with cerebral white matter hyperintensities in patients with acute lacunar infarction. In terms of cognition, sUA levels reflect opposite findings in PD patients and healthy patients,\(^\text{8,18}\) and high sUA levels are associated with cognitive deficits in a community of healthy individuals, excluding PD or Alzheimer disease (AD) patients. These results suggest that cerebrovascular disease causes cognitive decline in healthy people. However, low sUA levels have been observed in AD, vascular dementia, and PD patients with cognitive deficits. UA may play an important role in the pathophysiology of neurodegenerative disorders.

Based on this suggested mechanism, our study attempted to understand the relationship between sUA levels and olfactory dysfunction or cognitive dysfunction in PD patients. We found that sUA levels were positively related to cognitive function. A trend toward having lower olfactory function was related to decreased sUA levels in this study ($p=0.064$). Baba et al.\(^\text{20}\) showed that severe anosmia can be a prognostic marker of developing dementia in PD patients. Among the subtypes of olfactory tests, odor threshold and identification can be prodromal symptoms in patients with AD.\(^\text{24}\) Our finding that olfactory dysfunction was related to cognitive dysfunction in \textit{de novo} early PD patients supports these previous results, demonstrating the correlation between olfaction and cognition in PD. In addition to olfaction and cognition, sUA can be associated with apathy, but not with depression, in \textit{de novo} early PD patients.\(^\text{25,26}\) This result supported the mechanism that sUA levels and apathy are related to the loss of presynaptic dopaminergic innervation in the striatum in early \textit{de novo} PD patients.\(^\text{27,28}\) Although we did not evaluate apathy, we found that sUA levels were unrelated to depression.

Our study has some limitations. Although we obtained a large sample size, we evaluated cognition using K-MMSE and K-MoCA rather than the detailed Neuropsychological Assessment Battery. For this reason, we could not identify the relationships between sUA levels and the subtypes of cognitive function, such as attention/executive function or visuoconstructional abilities. Additionally, as all enrolled participants were drug-naïve patients, we were unable to measure alterations in cognition and olfaction after drug therapy, including the use of levodopa or anti-UA drugs.

Despite these issues that limit our results, we discovered some unique findings through this study. We confirmed that lower sUA levels were associated with cognitive impairment in \textit{de novo} PD patients. This finding suggests that UA is neuroprotective as an antioxidant for cognitive function in PD patients. However, we found no relationship between UA levels and olfactory function, despite the correlation between cognition and olfaction in PD patients. Therefore, the data from the current study support a relationship between sUA levels and cognition, but not between UA levels and olfaction or between UA levels and depression, in early \textit{de novo} PD patients. Replications of our observations based on studies by independent cohorts are needed to confirm our findings.
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