Late $G_1$ accumulation after 2 Gy of $\gamma$-irradiation is related to endogenous Raf-1 protein expression and intrinsic radiosensitivity in human cells
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Summary We have previously reported a correlation between high endogenous expression of the protein product of the $RAF-1$ proto-oncogene, intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity and rapid exit from a $G_2/M$ delay induced by 2 Gy of $\gamma$-irradiation. Raf-1 is a positive serine/threonine kinase signal transduction factor that relays signals from the cell membrane to the MAP kinase system further downstream and is believed to be involved in an ionizing radiation signal transduction pathway modulating the $G_1/S$ checkpoint. We therefore extended our flow cytometric studies to investigate relationships between radiosensitivity, endogenous expression of the Raf-1 protein and perturbation of cell cycle checkpoints, leading to alterations in the $G_1$, $S$ and $G_2/M$ populations after 2 Gy of $\gamma$-irradiation. Differences in intrinsic radiosensitivity after modulation of the $G_1/S$ checkpoint have generally been understood to involve p53 function up to the present time. A role for dominant oncogenes in control of $G_1/S$ transit in radiation-treated cells has not been identified previously. Here, we show in 12 human in vitro cancer cell lines that late $G_1$ accumulation after 2 Gy of radiation is related to both Raf-1 expression ($r = 0.91, P = 0.0001$) and the radiosensitivity parameter $SF_2$ ($r = -0.71, P = 0.009$).
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Intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity is now widely recognized as a significant factor influencing the clinical response of tumours (Fertil and Malaise, 1981; Deacon et al, 1984) and normal tissues (Burnet et al, 1992; Wurm et al, 1995) to ionizing radiation. Certain radiobiological parameters have been implicated as important determinants of the degree of intrinsic radiosensitivity shown by different cells. These include the initial damage induced by the radiation as reflected in the incidence of double-strand breaks (dsbs) in the DNA (Kelland et al, 1988; Schwartz et al, 1991), the residual damage remaining in the DNA after cellular rejoining of dsbs (Nunez et al, 1995; Whitaker et al, 1995) and the fidelity of DNA repair (Powell and McMillan, 1994). More recently, it has become increasingly apparent that, in addition to DNA damage and repair, perturbation of progress through the cell cycle consequent upon exposure to radiation may also play an important role in determining the degree of intrinsic radiosensitivity exhibited by mammalian cells.

After exposure to ionizing radiation, cell cycle progression has been observed to arrest at discrete transition points described as checkpoints (reviewed by Maity et al, 1994). These predominantly occur between $G_1$ and S-phase, during S-phase and at $G_2/M$. It has been suggested that delay in transit through cell cycle checkpoints after exposure to DNA-damaging agents allows time for repair of the DNA damage (Tobey, 1975). The degree of radiation-induced cell cycle arrest at checkpoints might thus be anticipated to influence sensitivity to cytotoxic drugs and radiation. This putative relationship has been most studied for the $G_2/M$ checkpoint. In individual cell lines, shortening of the length of post-radiation $G_1$ delay as a result of mutations in genes, including the ATM (Meyn, 1995) and rad9 gene (Scheistl et al, 1989), or by the drugs caffeine (Busse and Bose, 1978) and pentoxifylline (Kim et al, 1992) can be shown to be related to increased radiosensitivity. Also transfection of normal cell lines with dominant oncogenes, such as myc and ras (McKenna et al, 1991), or SV40 (Su and Little, 1993) has resulted in increased radioresistance and a concomitant increase in $G_1$ delay even in the absence of detectable changes in the rate of dsb induction (Iliakis et al, 1990). However, a general relationship between $G_1$ delay and intrinsic radiosensitivity has not been convincingly demonstrated across the wide range of cell lines described in the literature (Warenius et al, 1996a).

$G_1$ delay, after exposure to ionizing radiation, has also been implicated as an important measure of cell cycle perturbation, which correlates with relative radiation sensitivity (Kastan et al, 1991; McLlwrath et al, 1994; Siles et al, 1996).

We have previously reported that high endogenous expression of Raf-1, the protein product of the $RAF-1$ proto-oncogene was significantly related to intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity in a series of 19 human in vitro cell lines (Warenius et al, 1994b). The Raf-1 protein was found to be strongly related to radiosensitivity and rapid exit from a radiation-induced $G_1/S$ block in a subset of 7 of the 19 cell lines (Warenius et al, 1996a). Raf-1 is a serine/threonine kinase-positive signal transduction factor that relays signals from the cell membrane to the MAP kinase system further downstream. It is believed to undergo oligomerization by 14.3.3 proteins (Shengfeng et al, 1995) and binding to Ras by an interaction involving an arg89 residue in the N-terminal regulatory region of
the protein (Barnard et al, 1995). The 14.3.3/Raf1/Ras complex may localize at the inner cell membrane where activation is completed by phosphorylation of a Tyr 340/341 residue in Raf1 by tyrosine kinases, such as Src (Fabian et al, 1993). Mek, the first member of the Mek/Erk kinase cascade binds to the C-terminal region of activated Raf1 and is phosphorylated at the ATP binding site at residue lys375 in the kinase region. The Raf1/Mek/Erk pathway is strongly implicated in the regulation of growth and differentiation of different cell types, and activation of this pathway has been shown to fully induce cyclin D1 (Lavoie et al, 1996), which in combination with Cdk4 or Cdk6 controls the start point in mid G1. Raf1 has also been shown to be tyrosine phosphorylated and activated after exposure to 15 Gy of 137Cs γ-irradiation and to be involved in an ionizing radiation signal transduction pathway (Kasid et al, 1996). This pathway might potentially influence the response of the G1/S checkpoint to ionizing radiation. Having previously demonstrated a potential role for Raf1 in control of post-irradiation exit from G1/M, we now investigate its possible relationship to post-irradiation perturbation in the G1 and S-phases of the cell cycle in a panel of 12 human in vitro cell lines.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell lines and culture conditions**

All of the 12 human cell lines described here (Table 1) are well established, many having been growing in vitro for several years. Tissue culture requirements, intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity (as defined by clonogenic cell survival assay) and level of the Raf1 protein (as measured by Western blotting and photodensitometry) have already been described for the 12 cell lines (Warenius et al, 1994a and b). Cell lines were either donations or purchased by our laboratories. On receipt all cell lines were grown for five passages to provide sufficient cells for batch storage in liquid nitrogen. During this period, contamination was excluded by least one passage in antibiotic-free medium, and mycoplasma testing was carried out on all lines. For clonogenic assays and preparation of lysates for quantitative Western blotting, cells were taken from a designated primary liquid nitrogen batch and grown for three to six passages until there were sufficient well-growing cells. Further batches from these cells were frozen in liquid nitrogen. The cell lines used for flow cytometry studies of perturbation in cell cycle progress after 2 Gy of γ-irradiation, described here, were freshly grown from these original batches. Two of the cell lines, OAW42 and 2780, were originally explanted from clinical ovarian carcinomas; MGHU1 and RT112 were from bladder cancers. H417 and H322 were from small-cell lung cancers, and HT29 and COLO320 from adenocarcinomas of the colon. HT29 was derived from an adenocarcinoma of the rectum, HEP2 from a squamous carcinoma of the larynx, RPM1 from a malignant melanoma of skin and 1407 was originally derived from normal embryonic intestinal epithelium but is now aneuploid.

**Effect of γ-irradiation on cell cycle progress**

Perturbation in transit through the G1/S and G2/M checkpoints after exposure to 2 Gy of γ-irradiation was followed by flow cytometry (FCM). Clonogenic cell survival parameters are from previous data on cells from the same liquid nitrogen batch fitted to the linear–quadratic model as previously described (Warenius et al, 1994a) to yield alpha (the initial slope of the radiation cell survival curve) + 1 s.e.m. and SF2 (the surviving fraction after 2 Gy of radiation) derived by interpolation of the respective fitted survival curves for each cell line. All FCM experiments were carried out on asynchronous, exponentially growing cultures as previously described (Warenius et al, 1996a). We chose to study perturbation of progress through G1/S and G2/M after the relatively low radiation dose of 2 Gy because we were interested in the relative effects of radiation at clinically relevant doses at which we had already demonstrated the Raf1 protein level to be related to intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity (Warenius et al, 1994b). Also, in the 12 cell lines described here, a radiation dose of 2 Gy gave a mean clonogenic cell survival value of around 50%. Irradiation was carried out on healthy, mycoplasma-free, exponentially growing, adherent cell cultures.

**Flow cytometry**

Cells were plated at a density of between 1.5 × 10^6 and 2 × 10^6 cells in 25 cm² flasks (Costar) in 8 ml of normal medium the day before irradiation. Aliquots of cells were plated in pairs of flasks for each time point. Once the cells had attached, the flasks were completely filled with medium containing 10% FCS and 20 mm HEPES and incubated at 37°C overnight. One of each pair of flasks was either sham irradiated or exposed to 2 Gy of γ-irradiation from a 137Cs GammaCell unit at 4 Gy min⁻¹. Care was taken to minimize any falls in temperature during this stage. After irradiation, the flasks were returned to the incubator and subsequently harvested by trypsinization at 2-h intervals, washed once in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), fixed in 70% ethanol and stored at 4°C. For DNA content analysis, fixed cells were pelleted and washed once by centrifugation followed by resuspension in PBS containing 20 μg ml⁻¹ propidium iodide (PI) and 100 μg ml⁻¹ RNAase A. Samples were incubated for at least 30 min before being filtered through a 41-μm nylon filter (Spectrum, Texas) and then analysed on a Becton Dickinson FACS 420 flow cytometer using 488 nm illumination and a 620 nm long-pass filter. Collected list mode data were analysed using the ModFit cell cycle analysis software (Verity, Maine). This enabled the relative distribution of cells in the G1, S and G2/M phases of the cell cycle to be determined.

Three experimental runs, each recording 2-h time points for 24 h for both irradiated cells and sham-irradiated controls, were carried out for each cell line. At each 2-h time point for each run, values for G1, S and G2/M obtained for sham-irradiated cells were subtracted from those for the irradiated sample. The mean of three independent runs + 1 s.e.m. was then calculated for each 2-h time point.

Data were plotted and linear regression analyses carried out using a Graphpad 2 Prism program (Graphpad, 10855 Sorrento Valley Road, San Diego, CA 92121, USA).

**Western blotting**

Western blotting for the Raf1 protein was carried out as previously described (Warenius et al, 1994b). Myc and Ras proteins were also measured because they are dominant oncogenes that have been implicated in changes in radiosensitivity and G1 delay in transfected cell lines (McKenna et al, 1991). Cdk4 and cyclin D1 proteins were measured because they are pivotal molecules in the control of the start component of the G1/S checkpoint. Actin
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provided a non-cell proliferation-related, control protein. Then, 3 x 10^6 cells were plated in 162 cm^2 tissue culture flasks (Costar, High Wycombe, Bucks) and allowed to grow under exponential conditions for 5 days, at which time they were preconfluent and still growing exponentially as confirmed by visual observation and flow cytometry. Cells were then removed by trypsinization, resuspended in complete medium + 10% FCS to inactivate trypsin and washed three times by serial centrifugation and resuspension in PBS without serum. Approximately 1–3 x 10^6 viable cells were then pelleted by centrifugation and the pellet resuspended at a concentration of 3x10^6 cells per 1.0 ml of lysate buffer [stock solution: 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) 10 ml, 0.5 M Tris, pH 8.6, glycerol 10 ml, double distilled water 62 ml; to 10 ml of stock solution were added 100 ml of 10 mM Leupeptin + 10 ml of 100 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF)]. Protein estimations were performed and the final concentration of the lysates adjusted to 150 mg of total cellular protein per 100 ml.

Raf1, Myc, Ras, Cdk4, Cyclin D1 and Actin proteins were analysed by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis as previously described (Warenius et al, 1994b, 1996b). Two independent Western immunoblottings using separately prepared lysates for each cell line loaded in pairs on each electrophoretic gel were carried out. Conditions were optimized for each protein measured, and linearity studies at different protein loadings were made to confirm quantitation on Western blotting (Warenius et al, 1996b; Browning, 1997). Then, 50–150 mg of total cellular protein in 50 ml of lysate buffer were added per lane well to 7.5–15% Laemmli separating gels as appropriate for each of the relevant proteins, and electrophoresis was carried out at 16°C using 60 V over 16 h and a constant current of 500 mA. Electrophoretic transfer of peptide bands to nitrocellulose was performed at a constant current of 500 mA for 3–6 h. The nitrocellulose blots were washed in Tris-buffered saline with 0.5% fetal calf serum (FCS) and then exposed to the following antisera: Raf1, ERK, UP 2653 (a gift from Dr U Rapp, Wurtzburg, Germany) at 1:750; Myc, E10 at 1:1000 (a gift from Dr G Evan, ICRF, London); pan Ras, OP22 at 1:200 (Oncogene Science, NY, USA); Actin at 1:750 000 (ICN Biomedicals, High Wycombe, UK); Cdk4, a rabbit polyclonal antisera at 1:250 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA) and Cyclin D1, a mouse IgG1 MAb to mammalian cyclins D1, D2, D3 at 2 mg ml^-1 (G124–259.5, Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA). The immunoblots were thoroughly rinsed and then incubated with rabbit anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibodies as appropriate (Dako, UK) at 1:1000 in Tris-buffered saline plus 5% FCS for 1 h at room temperature in darkness and developed in alkaline phosphatase buffer containing nitroblue tetrazolium and 5-bromo-4-choro-3-indoly phosphate (Sigma, Poole, Dorset, UK) (50 mg ml^-1 in dimethylformamide). Quantitation of proteins was carried out by measurement of optical density on a Schimadzu scanning densitometer with tungsten light and expressed as OD units per 150 mg of total cellular protein. In order to compare different protein levels between the cell lines, the mean OD value was calculated, and the relative OD for the relevant protein in each individual cell line was normalized to its mean OD and multiplied by an arbitrary value of 5.0.

**RESULTS**

The time courses of change in the G1, S- and G2/M phases of the cell cycle measured serially at 2-h intervals after 2 Gy of γ-irradiation for each of the 12 human in vitro cell lines are shown in Figures 1
Figure 1  Percentages of cells in the G₁, S- and G₂/M phases of the cell cycle over 24 h obtained by analysis of serial PI histograms, after exposure to 2 Gy of γ-irradiation (at time 0). For each 2-h time point, the value of the sham-irradiated cells was subtracted from that of its irradiated counterpart and the mean ± 1 s.e.m. of a minimum of three consecutive experiments was calculated. ●, Cells in G₁ phase; ○, cells in S-phase; □, cells in G₂ phase.
Figure 2 Percentages of cells in the G1, S- and G2/M phases of the cell cycle over 24 h obtained by analysis of serial PI histograms, after exposure to 2 Gy of γ-irradiation (at time 0). For each 2-h time point, the value of the sham-irradiated cells was subtracted from that of its irradiated counterpart and the mean ± s.e.m. of a minimum of three consecutive experiments was calculated. ●, Cells in G1 phase; ○, cells in S-phase; ○, cells in G2 phase.
and 2. The cell lines showed individual variations in the timing and degree of post-irradiation cell cycle perturbation in each cell cycle phase. While 10 out of 12 cell lines showed clear post-radiation changes in all cell cycle phases, there was almost no measurable alteration in the G<sub>1</sub>-S-phase or G<sub>2</sub>/M populations in RPMI 7951 or H322 at the radiation dose of 2 Gy used in these experiments. In order to observe general trends in the overall patterns of sequential change in each of the three cell cycle phases, data for the 24-h post-irradiation time courses for all 12 cell lines have been pooled. The results are presented in Figure 3. Here, it can be seen that the most immediate cell cycle change that could be detected after radiation was an increase in the S-phase populations followed by an increase in G<sub>1</sub>/M. The increase in S-phase was slight in HT 29 and 2780, moderate in I407, MGHU-1, RT112 and COLO320 and marked in H417 (Figures 1 and 2). The observed populations within any cell cycle phase reflect the balance between passage of cells from the preceding phase and transit of cells into the next phase. The early post-irradiation increase in S-phase observed here, however, is more likely to be due to a radiation-induced block in S/G<sub>1</sub> transit than an increased inflow of cells from G<sub>1</sub> to S-phase. The post-irradiation increase of cells in S-phase diminished by 8–10 h in the majority of cell lines and was followed by a nadir occurring between 10 and 22 h. The S-phase nadir most probably, at least in part, reflected a G<sub>2</sub>/S block that occurred at a later time after irradiation than the S-phase block. The most marked perturbation in cell cycle phase distribution after 2 Gy of γ-irradiation was accumulation in G<sub>2</sub>/M, which could be detected shortly after the early S-phase accumulation and reached a peak between 10 and 14 h after radiation exposure. Changes in the G<sub>1</sub> population initially mirrored the G<sub>2</sub>/M block, with a fall in the percentage of cells in G<sub>1</sub> from the earliest time points to a nadir between 4 and 12 h after irradiation. Subsequently, the G<sub>1</sub> population increased to reach peak levels between 12 and 22 h after irradiation. The highest post-irradiation G<sub>1</sub> levels occurred after the G<sub>2</sub>/M peak in all 12 cell lines (mean 6.5 h, range 2–10 h) and after the S-phase nadir in 10 out of 12 of the cell lines (mean 2.4 h, range −4 to 4 h). The G<sub>1</sub> peak will thus be referred to here as ‘late G<sub>1</sub> accumulation’ to distinguish it from the post-irradiation block at the G<sub>2</sub>/S interface reflected in the earlier diminution of cells in S-phase.

Peak and nadir values for each phase of the cell cycle and the time at which they occurred were measured in each cell line. In addition, the rates of exit from G<sub>2</sub>/M were calculated as the time taken for the percentage of cells in that phase to fall to 50% of the final highest peak value, according to the method of Cheong et al (1992). This value was designated as the T<sub>r50</sub> as previously reported (Warenius et al, 1996a). The same method was used to calculate the rates of recovery from the G<sub>1</sub> and S-phase nadirs.
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Table 2 Correlation coefficients for relationships between cell cycle parameters and radiosensitivity/gene expression parameters

|       | Alpha | SF₂ | Raf-1 | C-Myc | pan Ras | Cyclin D1 | Cdk4 | Actin |
|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|---------|-----------|------|-------|
|       | r     |     |       |       |         |           |      |       |
| G₁ peak (%) | 0.732 | -0.713 | 0.906 | -0.053 | 0.165 | -0.121 | 0.311 | 0.614 |
| r-value | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.0001 | 0.892 | 0.671 | 0.708 | 0.416 | 0.079 |
| G₁ nadir (%) | 0.158 | -0.098 | -0.086 | -0.217 | -0.067 | 0.692 | -0.033 | -0.235 |
| r-value | 0.623 | 0.761 | 0.790 | 0.575 | 0.863 | 0.013 | 0.934 | 0.542 |
| S peak (%) | -0.277 | 0.073 | 0.156 | 0.451 | -0.148 | -0.405 | -0.462 | -0.138 |
| r-value | 0.384 | 0.821 | 0.628 | 0.223 | 0.705 | 0.191 | 0.211 | 0.724 |
| S nadir (%) | -0.513 | 0.534 | -0.437 | -0.592 | -0.580 | 0.118 | -0.199 | 0.043 |
| r-value | 0.088 | 0.074 | 0.156 | 0.093 | 0.102 | 0.715 | 0.607 | 0.913 |
| G₂/M peak (%) | -0.178 | 0.187 | -0.281 | 0.530 | 0.282 | -0.357 | 0.078 | -0.236 |
| r-value | 0.580 | 0.560 | 0.376 | 0.142 | 0.463 | 0.255 | 0.842 | 0.540 |
| Tₚₙ G₁ nadir | -0.497 | 0.395 | -0.709 | -0.046 | 0.115 | 0.190 | -0.178 | 0.252 |
| r-value | 0.100 | 0.204 | 0.010 | 0.907 | 0.768 | 0.555 | 0.646 | 0.548 |
| Tₚₚ S nadir | 0.321 | -0.298 | -0.302 | -0.732 | -0.616 | 0.123 | -0.130 | 0.249 |
| r-value | 0.336 | 0.373 | 0.996 | 0.039 | 0.104 | 0.718 | 0.759 | 0.553 |
| Tₚₚ G₂/M peak | -0.221 | 0.017 | -0.221 | -0.053 | 0.211 | 0.243 | -0.352 | -0.363 |
| r-value | 0.491 | 0.958 | 0.489 | 0.893 | 0.585 | 0.446 | 0.353 | 0.337 |

A relationship between increased G₁ delay after irradiation and intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity has previously been reported in a number of human in vitro cell lines (McIlwraith et al, 1994; Siles et al, 1996). We therefore questioned whether a similar relationship was present in the 12 human in vitro cell lines described here or whether their intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity was related to the rate of G₂/M exit as previously identified by ourselves in 6 of the 12 in vitro cell lines (Warenius et al, 1996a). Delay in G₁/S transit after irradiation would be expected to be reflected in the degree of fall in S-phase cells as measured by the S-phase nadir. However, only a trend between the depth of the S-phase nadir and the radiosensitivity parameters α and SF₂, which did not reach significance was observed (Table 2). Post-irradiation delay in passage through the G₁/S checkpoint would also be expected to cause an accumulation of cells in G₁. The first change, however, was a fall in the percentage of cells in the G₁ population after radiation, concomitant with a contemporaneous increase in cells in G₂/M. Recovery from the early G₁ nadir subsequently occurred, rising to a peak at different levels in each cell line at a range of times after irradiation. The height of the late G₁ accumulation peak (between 12 and 22 h after radiation) was significantly related to intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity (r = 0.71, P = 0.009) (Figure 4A). There was no relationship between other post-irradiation cell cycle parameters and radiosensitivity. In particular, neither the extent of the G₂/M peak nor the Tₚₚ for G₂/M delay correlated with the relative radiosensitivity of different cell lines. In addition, there was no relationship between the degree of G₁ and G₂ arrest (r = -0.35, P = 0.26). These observations are consistent with those reported by both McIlwraith et al (1994) and Siles et al (1996).

Levels of the Raf1 protein product of the RAS-1 proto-oncogene were also compared to intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity and to perturbation of each of the cell cycle phases in each cell line after exposure to 2 Gy of γ-irradiation. There was a highly significant positive correlation between Raf1 protein and the late G₁ peak (r = 0.91, P = 0.0001) (Figure 4B), although there was no relationship between Raf1 protein and the S-phase nadir (r = -0.44, P = 0.16). In addition, the rate of recovery from the G₁ nadir (Tₚₚ G₁), which in part reflects the rate of re-entry of cells into G₁ after release of the G₂/M block induced by 2 Gy of γ-irradiation, was significantly related to Raf1 protein level (Figure 4C, Table 2). There was, however, no relationship between Tₚₚ G₁ and intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity. The depth of the G₁ nadir was found to correlate with the level of cyclin D1 protein and the rate of exit from the S-phase nadir with Myc protein levels, but interestingly no relationship was detectable between Myc, Ras, cyclin D1 or Cdk4 and intrinsic radiosensitivity (Table 2).
DISCUSSION

The relative role of radiation-induced blocks at the G1/S and G2/M checkpoints in determining the intrinsic cellular sensitivity of human cells is still unclear. In some studies, expression of dominant oncogenes has been related to the duration of the G1/M block and the degree of radiosensitivity as measured by clonogenic assay after radiation exposure (McKenna et al., 1991; Su and Little, 1993; Jung and Dritschilo, 1994; Warenius et al., 1996a). In other cases, modulation of the radiation-induced G1/S block (Fan et al., 1994; McIlwrath et al., 1994; Unger et al., 1994; Kawashima et al., 1995; Siles et al., 1996) or the induction of apoptosis (Lotem and Sachs, 1993; Lowe et al., 1994; Zhen et al., 1995) have been observed to correlate with differences in intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity.

The molecular mechanism of post-irradiation G1 delay is understood to require, at least in part, p53 functionality (Kastan et al., 1991; Lee and Bernstein, 1993) and to act through transcriptional activation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 WAF1/CIP1 (Bae et al., 1995). To our knowledge, the expression of dominant oncogenes or their proto-oncogene parents has not previously been reported in relation to delay in the G1 phase of the cell cycle after exposure to ionizing radiation. Here, we show that endogenous expression of the protein product of the RAF-1 proto-oncogene in a series of 12 human in vitro cell lines is strongly related to the degree of late G1 accumulation after a single fraction of radiotherapy within the range commonly used in clinical practice. Moreover, the relative intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity of the 12 cell lines is also significantly related to both Rafl protein levels and late G1 accumulation. For the cell lines we examined, the correlations for late G1 accumulation and intrinsic radiosensitivity were specific for the Rafl protein, being absent with regard to expression of the c-Myc, pan-Ras, Cdk4, cyclin D1 or actin proteins.

A number of studies have compared intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity with perturbation in passage through cell cycle checkpoints after high single radiation doses that caused clonogenic cell death in greater than 90% of the cell population. Cell cycle parameters recorded after such high radiation doses would only have been expected to detect changes inevitably occurring in doomed cells, rather than critical changes related to whether cells survive or die. Such critical changes would be more likely to be found in cells in which there is around a 50% probability of clonogenic survival, as happened in the cell lines described here after 2 Gy of γ-irradiation. For the cell lines that we examined after exposure to 2 Gy of γ-irradiation, late G1 accumulation but not G2/M delay was found to be related to intrinsic radiosensitivity as well as to Rafl levels. These results are consistent with two previous reports comparing radiation sensitivity and post-irradiation G1 and G2/M blocks in human cell lines (McIlwrath et al., 1994; Siles et al., 1996). It is, however, important to note that the timing of peak G1 accumulation after irradiation makes it unlikely that the late G1 accumulation that we measured in the 12 cell lines investigated here simply reflects a block in progress across the G1/S boundary of cells that were in G1 at the time of irradiation. This conclusion is supported by the lack of relationship between the late G1 peak and the depth of the S-phase nadir, another measure of the degree of block of cell cycle progression through G1/S checkpoints (r = 0.34, P = 0.29, calculated from data in Table 2). Moreover, the significant relationship between intrinsic cellular radiosensitivity and the height of the late G1 peak was not detectable for the S-phase nadir (Figure 4B). Siles et al. (1996) have described a strong statistical correlation between radiosensitivity and G1 arrest due to modifications in the cell cycle G1 checkpoint.

From their published data, however, the maximal G1 arrest that they described can be calculated to have peaked at 29.5 h (range 20–44 h) after exposure to 6 Gy of γ-irradiation from a 60Co source. This peak of G1 arrest occurred at a mean time interval of 16.75 h (range 12–26 h) after the G1/M block induced by the same radiation dose. Allowing for the greater cell cycle delay that would be expected after exposure to 6 Gy compared with the 2 Gy used in our experiments, their results are consistent with those reported here. Similarly, McIlwrath et al. (1994) described a maximal G1 arrest, as measured by diminished bromodeoxyuridine incorporation into S-phase cells, 24 h after doses of radiation that gave equal degrees of clonogenic killing in each of 14 human in vitro cell lines.

The late G1 accumulation observed by McIlwrath et al. (1994), Siles et al. (1996) and ourselves would thus be likely to include cells that had already escaped from an earlier radiation-induced G1/M block. We have previously shown in a subset of six of the cell lines described here that high expression of Rafl protein was strongly related to relative radiosensitivity and a rapid rate of exit from a G1/M block (G1, t0) induced by 2 Gy of γ-irradiation. It is therefore possible that Rafl directly influences the accumulation of cells in late G1 by accelerating exit from G1. However, late G1 accumulation may also be a result of failure of cells that have exited from a G1/M radiation-induced block to progress to a second S-phase, as detected by the failure of cells 24 h after irradiation to incorporate BrdU in the study of McIlwrath et al. (1994). There may potentially be Rafl-1-mediated events occurring within the G1/M block that indirectly determine the subsequent fate of the cell after it has progressed from G1/M to G0. For example, in synchronized HeLa cells, shortening of radiation-induced G1 delay by incubation with 2 mM staurosporine has been demonstrated to result in increased apoptosis after the cells have exited from a G1/M block (Bernhard et al., 1996). Rafl may therefore not only be a further gene product, in addition to p53, now found to influence the degree of post-irradiation G1 delay, but may possibly accomplish this through earlier activity in G1/M. It has been reported that p53 may also function at the G1/M checkpoint as well as at G1/S (Guillouf et al., 1995; Stewart et al., 1995), although not necessarily through transcriptional activation of p21/WAF1/CIP1 (Levedakou et al., 1995). We have recently determined the p53 mutational status of the 12 lines described here. In six of these lines we detected mutations at the RNA level that would lead to the expression of abnormal p53 protein (Warenius et al., unpublished data). cDNA from the other six cell lines codes for wild-type p53. The relationship of Rafl protein to late G1 accumulation does not appear to be dependent on p53 mutational status, although further experiments are being conducted to elucidate the respective roles of Rafl and p53 in cell cycle checkpoint control and the determination of radiosensitivity.

Human in vitro cell lines can provide appropriate models of clinical differences in radiosensitivity (Fertil and Malaise, 1981; Deacon et al., 1984). They may also be useful in detecting otherwise unsuspected gene activities or interactions in human cancer. Their use, however, can only provide correlations between gene expression and radiation response parameters rather than identify mechanisms. In addition, such correlations are necessarily made against heterogeneous genetic backgrounds. While the relationships identified here are sufficiently strong to implicate Rafl as a potential determinant of post-irradiation late G1 accumulation and intrinsic radiosensitivity, further studies are now being undertaken in transfected, isogenic cell lines to determine the mechanisms by which Rafl may modulate cell cycle checkpoints and radiosensitivity in irradiated cells and whether these may be influenced by p53 function.
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