A rare osteolytic lesion in the mandible: A diagnostic dilemma
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Case Report

INTRODUCTION

Odontogenic myxofibroma (MF) is a seldom benign and locally aggressive neoplasm, which was first described by Virchow in 1863. It is considered as the variant of odontogenic myxoma.[1] Odontogenic myxoma belongs to a rare group of odontogenic neoplasms involving the jawbones. They originate from the embryonic mesenchymal tissue. The debate on the pathogenesis of these tumours continues to entice pathologists until today. The World Health Organisation (WHO) in 2005 classified odontogenic myxoma as tumours of ectomesenchymal origin with or without the odontogenic epithelium.[2] However, later in 2017, WHO classified odontogenic myxoma under the category of mesenchymal odontogenic tumours as central and peripheral variants. The peripheral variants are comparatively less aggressive and encapsulated. Contrarily, central odontogenic myxomas are generally non-encapsulated tumours with infiltrative capacity into the adjacent medullary bone.[3] Histologically, myxofibroma exhibits delicate fibrous to loose mucoid stroma; this is because of the presence of undifferentiated mesenchymal cells showing fibroblastic differentiation in the myxomatous background.[3] Here, we are presenting a rare case of odontogenic myxofibroma involving the posterior mandibular region in a middle-aged female without any alarming symptoms.

CASE REPORT

A 45-year-old female reported to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery with a chief complaint of...
pain and swelling over the right angle of the mandible for 10 days. The pain was initially dull and intermittent, but for the past 3 days, it was sharpshooting and interfering with the mastication. The swelling was reported to be insidious in onset and progressively increased in size. The patient gave a history of extraction of 43, 46, 47, and 48 four years ago. Her past medical history was non-contributory.

On extra-oral examination, ovoid swelling was present on the lower posterior right side of the face, measuring approximately 3 cm × 2 cm, and the overlying skin appeared normal with no pus discharge. On palpation, it was tender, afebrile, and firm to hard in consistency with an expansion of the buccal cortical plate. A tingling sensation was present on the lower half of the right side of the lips, which was not crossing the mid-line. Right sub-mandibular lymph nodes were tender on palpation. They were soft to firm in consistency on palpation.

On intra-oral examination, a well-defined swelling measuring 2 × 1 cm was palpated along with the buccal cortical plate in the mandibular posterior region with slight lingual expansion. It was extending from the right lower first premolar region to the retromolar pad of the mandible [Figure 1]. The swelling was tender and firm to hard in consistency with the overlying mucosa pink in colour. There was no pus discharge and no bleeding.

On radiographic examination, OPG (orthopantomogram) revealed multi-locular radiolucency with a soap bubble appearance involving the right side of the mandible, extending from the apex of the right lateral incisor to the right sigmoid notch [Figure 2]. There was an additional finding in the OPG, which revealed root-canal-treated 44 and 45 and one impacted 13. 43 was missing. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) revealed a well-defined osteolytic lesion, which showed multi-locular homogeneously hypodense radiolucency with a radio-opaque sclerotic margin in the right mandibular arch involving 44 and 45. The radiolucency was extending from the right para-symphysisal region until the sigmoid notch involving the body, angle, and ramus of the mandible [Figures 3-7]. In the coronal view, buccal cortical plate expansion was seen on the right side of the mandible [Figure 4]. Correlating clinically and radiographically, a provisional diagnosis of ameloblastoma was made. Blood investigations were found to be within normal limits.

Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) was performed, but the result was inconclusive. Incisional biopsy was performed and sent for histopathological examination to the Department of Oral Pathology. The Department of Oral Pathology received multiple bits of the soft tissue specimen, measuring 0.9 × 0.8 cm in size, which was soft to firm in consistency. On histopathological examination, haematoxylin and eosin-stained sections revealed fine fibrillar collagen fibres and stellate fibroblasts. At places few foci of odontogenic epithelial rest cells [Figure 8c] were present with clusters of inflammatory cells. Occasional foci of ossification and areas of budding capillaries were also seen [Figure 8a-c]. Based on the histopathology report, a final diagnosis of odontogenic myxofibroma was given.

Because of the aggressive behaviour and destructive nature of the lesion, hemi-mandibulectomy under general anaesthesia of the tumour was performed. One-month follow-up was performed, and the patient was completely asymptomatic.

DISCUSSION

Odontogenic myxofibroma (MF) is a seldom benign intra-osseous neoplasm, which is called ‘locally malignant’ on account of its exceptionally high local aggressiveness, high recurrence rate, and non-metastasizing nature. Table 1 highlights the number of cases reported in the literature from the past ten years. On the basis of the data mentioned in Table 1, the mandible is the most common site involved, and marked female predilection can be seen. It is common between the third and the fifth decade, and most of the cases are asymptomatic. On comparing the tabulated data with our present case, we also found similar findings.
Out of all odontogenic tumours, 2.3% to 17.7% cases of myxoma were reported, among which only a few cases of MF were found. As reported by Meleti M et al. (2015), only 24 specific cases of MF have been reported and described in detail in the English literature since 1950. Approximately 0.05 new cases per million population per year were reported for MF. Most of the cases reported were diagnosed between the second and fourth decades of life with a peak incidence in the third decade.

The histogenesis of myxoid tumours is still a topic of debate to date. Although Thoma et al. in 1947 theorize that because the myxoma is derived from degeneration of a connective tissue tumour, the myxoma of the jaw...
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Table 1: Review of literature of odontogenic myxofibroma

| Author                 | Year | Age/Sex | Site Involved | Number of Cases | Clinical Features          | Radiological Features                                      | Provisional Diagnosis          |
|------------------------|------|---------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Hadidy A et al.[20]    | 2010 | 15 years/ Male | Mandible       | 1               | Swelling and pain          | Multi-locular (honeycomb) radiolucent lesion               | TMJ Infection                 |
| Infante-Cossio P et al.[6] | 2011 | 32 years/ Female | Maxilla      | 1               | Swelling and pain          | Multi-locular radiolucent lesion and root resorption       |                               |
| Monica Mehendiratta et al.[7] | 2012 | 33 years/ Male | Mandible       | 1               | Pain and exophytic growth  | Ill-defined unilocular radiolucency                        | Fibroma                       |
| Naresh N et al.[8]     | 2015 | 63 years/ Female | Mandible       | 1               | Swelling and no pain       | OPG showed no bony involvement                            |                               |
| Sayeda F et al.[14]    | 2015 | 52 years/ Female | Maxilla        | 1               | Swelling and no pain       | Large expansile radiolucency without any trabeculations   | Ossifying fibroma             |
| Pamolango VT[10]       | 2016 | 15 years/ Female | Mandible       | 1               | Swelling                   | Multi-locular radiolucency                                | Benign odontogenic tumour     |
| SATO Hitoshi et al.[9] | 2017 | 8 years/ Male | Mandible       | 1               | Swelling and no pain       | Well-defined uni-locular radiolucency                     |                               |
| Poudel P et al.[1]     | 2016 | 53 years/ Female | Mandible       | 1               | Swelling and no pain       | Multi-locular radiolucency with sclerotic margins         | Odontogenic keratocyst        |
| Cankaya Ab et al.[5]   | 2017 | 39 years/ Female | Maxilla        | 1               | Swelling and no pain       | Uni-locular radiolucency and displacement of teeth         |                               |
| Avalos N et al.[12]    | 2019 | 41 years/ Male | Mandible       | 1               | Swelling and no pain       | Uni-locular radiolucency and displacement of roots of the involved tooth. |                               |
| Premara JR[13]         | 2020 | 40 years/ Female | Mandible       | 1               | Swelling and dull pain     | A radiolucent lesion with a mixed radiodensity            |                               |
| Yilmaz, Yetkin et al.[11] | 2021 | 35 years/ Female | Mandible       | 1               | Swelling and pain          | Multi-locular radiolucency                                |                               |

Figure 6: Medium field of view CBCT scan of the mandibular arch reveals a multi-locular hypodense region extending from the right para-symphseal region until the coronoid process involving the body and ramus of the mandible

Figure 7: CBCT in the cross-section plane: Multi-radicular radiolucency can be seen extending from the mandibular right lateral incisor to the ramus of the mandible

is, in reality, an odontogenic fibroma that has undergone myxomatous degeneration. Bruce and Royer, however, believe that the tumour can arise by the proliferation of mesenchymal rests within the alveolar bone. They
postulated that it is possible for embryonic mesenchymal rests located in this area to proliferate and form a myxofibroma.[13]

Myxomas have two different sites of occurrence: (1) facial bone: myxomas derived from the facial bone are further divided into true osteogenic myxoma and odontogenic myxomas and (2) soft-tissue myxomas of the larynx, parotid, and ear. Clinically, patients afflicted with a myxofibroma generally comprise a painless and slowly enlarging expansion of the jaw as in our case. However, the pain might be there because of the involvement of neural structures. The premolar and molar regions of the mandible are the most common sites of occurrence for MF. Patients with posteriorly located tumours had a late diagnosis and bigger lesions when compared to those with anteriorly located tumours because disfigurement gets noticed first in the anterior portion. In the present case, the tumour was locally aggressive, involving more than half of the mandible in a very short span of time, which made this case unique.

Radiologically, MF can mimic various lesions of the jaw, which often leads to a mis-diagnosis. They should be differentiated from ameloblastoma, central giant-cell granulomas, and intra-osseous haemangiomas, odontogenic keratocysts, aneurysmal bone cysts,[17] as mentioned in Table 2. Usually, the compartments of ameloblastoma are round-like rather than the square or triangular spaces of odontogenic fibromas. Compared with MF, the margin and the cortical boundary of ameloblastoma are more corticated, and ameloblastoma does not invade soft tissues or interrupt the cortical continuity. The differentiating features of MF include the following points: (1) fine and straight septa separating the lesion into triangular, square, or rectangular spaces, which appear as “tennis racket” or “honeycomb” patterns; (2) septa that are frequently scattered to the borders of lesions and appeared perpendicular to the margins; (3) MF that was noted to tend to involve the alveolar process, scallop between the roots, and affect the integrity of the alveolar ridge; and (4) the cortex of MF that appeared normally perforated and the edge of the cortex expanded into the soft tissue.[17]

![Figure 8](image)

**Table 2: Differential diagnosis of odontogenic myxoma**

| Clinical features                              | Radiological feature                                      | Histopathology                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Ameloblastoma**                              | Painless intra-oral swelling because of expansion of cortical plates. Eggshell crackling/crepitus sound. | Odontogenic epithelial islands which consist of tall columnar ameloblast-like cells, with nuclei of reverse polarity. Micro-cysts are present within the epithelial islands. Numerous multi-nucleated giant cells within the mesenchymal stroma. Proliferation of numerous plump endothelial cells. Well-defined vascular spaces are evident. |
| **Central giant cell granuloma**               | Common in the anterior mandible crossing the mid-line. Rare lesion. Intra-oral swelling and numbness in the posterior region of the jaw. |                                                                                  |
| **Intra-osseous haemangioma**                  |                                                            |                                                                                  |
| **Odontogenic keratocyst**                     | Swelling Expansion of cortical plates. Parathesia Parathesia of the lower lip. Rare lesion. An asymptomatic expansile lesion in the condyle and coronoid. Pathologic fracture. Perforation of the cortex. | Corrugated para-keratinized/ortho-keratinized luminal epithelium and prominent basal layer. Multiple sinusoidal blood-filled spaces. Multi-nucleated giant cells are present. Osteoid formation. |
| **Aneurysmal bone cyst**                       |                                                            |                                                                                  |
Histopathologically, the MF consists of a huge amount of inter-cellular substance rich in acid mucopolysaccharides and made up of fibroblasts and myofibroblasts dispersed in loose myxomatous connective tissues. A few areas may show mild pleomorphism that does not correlate with the rate of recurrence of these tumours.\(^\text{[14]}\) The amount of collagen and mucoid material determines whether it can be called myxofibroma (MF) or fibromyxoma.\(^\text{[15]}\)

Odontogenic myxomas express three varieties of cells as follows: spindle cells, stellate cells, and hyaline cells. Stellate cells are actively positive for transferrin, alpha 1-AT, S-100 protein, and vimentin. Hyaline cells combine with alpha 1-ACT and alpha 1-AT.\(^\text{[16]}\)

Treatment options may vary from a conservative approach to enucleation of the lesion or curettage of the cavity to radical surgery. Recurrence is usually observed during the first 2 years after the first treatment. MF shows a recurrence rate between 25% and 43%.\(^\text{[19,20]}\)

**CONCLUSION**

MF is a benign, locally aggressive, painless, slow-growing tumour with a high recurrence rate. Based on clinical and radiological features, they are often mis-interpreted. Therefore, it is highly recommended that the patient must undergo radiographic investigation and biopsy to improve the outcome of treatment. To avoid recurrence, in-depth histopathology knowledge about the tumour of myxomatous origin is recommended.
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