The coordinated population redistribution between Bacillus subtilis submerged biofilm and liquid-air pellicle
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ABSTRACT

Bacillus subtilis is a widely used bacterial model to decipher biofilm formation, genetic determinants and their regulation. For several years, studies were conducted on colonies or pellicles formed at the interface with air, but more recent works showed that non-domesticated strains were able to form thick and structured biofilms on submerged surfaces. Taking advantage of time-lapse confocal laser scanning microscopy, we monitored bacterial colonization on the surface and observed an unexpected biphasic submerged biofilm development. Cells adhering to the surface firstly form elongated chains before being suddenly fragmented and released as free motile cells in the medium. This switching coincided with an oxygen depletion in the well which preceded the formation of the pellicle at the liquid-air interface. Residual bacteria still associated with the solid surface at the bottom of the well started to express matrix genes under anaerobic metabolism to build the typical biofilm protruding structures.

1. Introduction

In their natural habitat, bacteria mostly live in biofilms, associated with surfaces and embedded in a complex mixture of exopolymers [1]. These structures provide to their inhabitants a protective environment in which they can resist harsh conditions such as desiccation, nutrients starvation or the action of toxic compounds [2]. Microbial biofilms can be considered useful since they are involved in natural biogeochemical cycles and increasingly used in biotechnologies for wastewater treatments or the production of green energies [3]. However, biofilms also facilitate pathogen persistence despite antimicrobial treatments and thus have a severe negative impact in human health being involved in up to 80% of chronic and recurrent infections [4].

These infectious concerns have driven the international research efforts for more than 30 years to unravel the mechanisms of biofilm formation and their control [5-7]. Most of the pioneer studies in this field have been carried out with axenic biofilms of pathogenic strains, such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, grown in flow-cells and observed by in situ confocal imaging [8]. In the early 2000s, Bacillus subtilis emerged as a model of Gram-positive bacteria for the dissection of the genetic determinants of biofilm formation and their regulation [9,10]. Most of these studies used the strain NCIB3630, that has been shown to form spatially organized multicellular structures i.e. colony on agar, floating pellicle at the liquid-air interface, and submerged biofilm at the solid-liquid interface [9,11-13]. These experimental models have then been successfully used to dissect the complexity of B. subtilis multicellular- and their genetic circuits to identify the regulators controlling biofilm development, maturation and dispersion (e.g. Spo0A, SinR, SinI, AbdB, SrrB or SigB) [12,14-19]. In response to external signals, individual motile cells switch to sessile chains by inactivating expression of...
the motility genes (\textit{hag}, encoding the principal flagellar protein and \textit{lytABC} and \textit{lyf}, encoding the autolysins responsible for cell separation) and by activation of the matrix production genes [20–22]. This matrix of \textit{B. subtilis} biofilms is essentially composed of the polysaccharides synthesized by the products of the 15-genes operon \textit{epsA-O} (\textit{eps} operon), the amyloid-like protein \textit{TasA}, synthesized from the \textit{taspA-w-tasA} operon, and the amphiﬁlic protein \textit{BslA} [23–27].

Focusing on non-domesticated \textit{B. subtilis} strains isolated from food or from medical environments, we showed that some strains, especially the NDmed strain isolated from an endoscope washer-disinfector, were able to form thick and structured biofilms on submerged surfaces [28]. Moreover, NDmed was able to produce \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} from biocidal action in a submerged mixed-species biofilm [29]. The gene \textit{ypoP} (renamed \textit{spm} [30]) likely involved in the synthesis of polysaccharide, is inactivated in both the model strain \textit{NCIB3610} and the lab strain 168, and was identiﬁed as being responsible for these features of NDmed submerged bioﬁlms [13].

These works point out the importance of the submerged biofilm as a model of bioﬁlm in the study of \textit{B. subtilis} social behavior. Moreover \textit{B. subtilis} submerged biofilms are also considered to be representative of other \textit{B. subtilis} natural habitats such as soil and plant roots surface [31, 32]. Nevertheless, little is still known about the genetic pathways involved in the formation of \textit{B. subtilis} submerged bioﬁlms [28,23,34].

To better understand the molecular strategies that bacteria undergo to build bioﬁlms, we used 4D confocal laser scanning imaging (4D-CLSM) to visualize, \textit{in situ}, in time-lapse and at cell level, the bioﬁlm structural dynamics. By this approach, we have discovered that the transition from sessile cells to a highly structured biofilm of \textit{B. subtilis} on the submerged level involves an unexpected sudden and coordinated fragmentation of the sessile population to a motile one. The latter event has been shown to be closely connected with the pellicle formation at the liquid-air interface and with transition from aerobic to anaerobic metabolisms. This work points out sophisticated programs of cellular specialization and cell-cell communication within the microbial community.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The strains used during this study are listed in Table 1. \textit{B. subtilis} NDmed derivative strains were obtained by transformation with chromosomal DNA of various strains to introduce the corresponding suitable reporter fusion. Extraction of chromosomal DNA and transformation of \textit{B. subtilis} were performed as described previously [34]; transformants were selected on Luria-Bertani (LB, Sigma, France) plates supplemented with appropriate antibiotics at the following concentrations: spectinomycin (spec), 100 \textmu M; chloramphenicol (cm), 5 \textmu M kanamycin (kan), 8 \textmu M. The \textit{B. subtilis} strain GM2938 expressing mCherry was obtained by transforming spectinomycin resistance strain BSB168, a \textit{trp} + derivative of the reference strain 168 Marburg [37] with plasmid pIC630. This results in the integration by a double crossing over into the chromosome of \textit{amyE::Phag-gfp} promoter, an isoﬂopropyl \textit{\beta}-D-1-thiogalactopyranosidase (IPTG)-inducible promoter derived from the \textit{Escherichia coli lac} operon. Plasmid pIC630 was constructed by placing the \textit{mCherry} gene (codon-optimized for \textit{B. subtilis}) under the control of \textit{Ppholm} through cloning into pDR111 of a \textit{B. subtilis} strain NDmed (Domesticated strain) NDmed/DNA via transformation. Alternatively, we used ligation-independent cloning prior to integration into the chromosome of BSB168 in a non-mutagenic manner, resulting in strains BBA0184 and BBA9006, respectively [27,28]. Bacterial stock cultures were kept at –20°C in Tryptone Soy Broth (TSB, bioMerieux, France) containing 20% (vol/vol) glycerol. Prior to each experiment, frozen cells were sub-cultured twice in TSB at 30°C. The ﬁnal overnight culture was used as an inoculum for the growth of bioﬁlms.

| Strain | Relevant genotype or isolation source | Reference or construction† |
|--------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| \textit{B. subtilis} NDmed | Undomesticated, isolated from endoscope washer-disinfectors | [35] |
| \textit{B. subtilis} NDmed-GFP | NDmed \textit{amyE::Phag-gfp} (spec) | [29] |
| \textit{B. subtilis} GM2938 | BSB168 \textit{amyE::Phag-mCherry} (spec) | This work |
| \textit{B. subtilis} NDmed-mCherry | NDmed \textit{amyE::Phag-mCherry} | TF NDmed/DNA NDmed/GM2938 |
| \textit{B. subtilis} TMN547 | NCBI3610 \textit{amyE::Phag-gfp} (cm) \textit{ragB::mKate2 (kan)} | [36] |
| \textit{B. subtilis} NDmed547 | NDmed \textit{amyE::Phag-gfp} (cm) \textit{ragB::mKate2 (kan)} | TF NDmed/DNA TMN547 |
| \textit{B. subtilis} BBA0006 | BSB168 \textit{PgapB-gfp} (spec) | [37] |
| \textit{B. subtilis} GM3579 | BSB168 \textit{PgapB-gfp} (spec) | TF NDmed/DNA BBA0006 |
| \textit{B. subtilis} BBA0184 | BSB168 \textit{Pfpr-gfp} (spec) | [38] |
| \textit{B. subtilis} GM361 | BSB168 \textit{Pfpr-gfp} (spec) | TF NDmed/DNA BBA0184 |
| \textit{B. subtilis} 168 | \textit{trp} \textit{C2} (Domesticated strain) | Bacillus genetics Stock Center |
| \textit{B. subtilis} BS168 | \textit{trp} + derivative of 168 | [37] |
| \textit{B. subtilis} NCBI3610 | Less domesticated strain | [9] |
| \textit{B. subtilis} NDfood | Isolated from a dairy product | [28] |
| \textit{B. subtilis} BS168 | Isolated from a plant | [39] |
| \textit{B. subtilis} BS168 | Isolated from poutry | [40] |
| \textit{B. cereus} 407 | Isolated from flour | [41] |
| \textit{B. licheniformis} | Isolated from soil | [42] |
| \textit{B. amyloliquefaciens} 2096 | Isolated from lettuce | This work |

† TF NDmed/DNA stands for transformation of \textit{NDmed} by chromosomal DNA of indicated strains.

2.2. Biofilm development in 96 well microplates

Submerged bioﬁlms were grown on the surface of polystyrene 96-well microtiter plates with a \textit{iclear}® base (Greiner Bio-one, France) enabling high-resolution ﬂuorescence imaging [43]. 200 \textmu L of an overnight culture in TSB (adjusted to an OD 600 nm of 0.02) were added in each well. The microtiter plate was then incubated at 30°C for 90 min to allow the bacteria to adhere to the bottom of the wells. Wells were then rinsed with TSB to eliminate non-adherent bacteria and refilled with 200 \textmu L of sterile TSB. When appropriate, the medium was supplemented with 200 \textmu M IPTG to induce the expression of the ﬂuorescent reporters GFP or mCherry from the \textit{Ppholm} promoter. The vital stain FM4-64 (Invitrogen), added to the medium at a ﬁnal concentration of 1 \textmu g/mL, was used to label bacteria membranes when appropriate.

In order to acquire high resolution images of pellicle (Fig. 3B), floating bioﬁlms grown in a 12-well microtiter plate (Greiner bio-one, Germany) were detached by a pipet from the sides of the well and placed on a slide to be observed under confocal microscopy.

2.3. 4D-CLSM

After the initial adhesion and washing steps, the 96 well microtiter plate was mounted on the motorized stage of a Leica SP8 AOS inverted confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM, LEICA Microsystems, Germany) at the MIMA2 platform (www6.jouy.inra.fr/mima2_eng/).
Temperature was maintained at 30°C during all experiments. 4D (xyzt) acquisitions were performed with the following parameters: images of 246 × 246 μm were acquired at 600 Hz using a 63 × /1.2 N.A. with a z-step of 1 μm and a thickness of 120 μm at intervals of 15 min. To detect GFP, an argon laser at 488 nm set at 10% of the maximal intensity was used, and the emitted fluorescence was collected in the range 590–720 nm and 605–705 nm respectively, using hybrid detectors.

To visualize simultaneously submerged biofilm and liquid-air pellicle dynamic (Fig. 1), the control software was set to take xyzt series of 1.5 × 1.5 mm images scanned at 600 Hz using a low-resolution long range 10 × /0.3 N.A. air objective with a z-step of 5 μm and a thickness of 4.5 mm at intervals of 60 min. To detect mCherry emitted fluorescence, a 561 nm helium-neon laser set at 20% of the maximal intensity was used, and fluorescence was collected in the range 580–700 nm using hybrid detectors. High resolution imaging of 24h culture biofilms (Fig. 3B) was obtained with a HCX APO I 100×/0.80 WATER objective lens in well filled only 100 μL of growth media to reduce the distance between both interfaces.

2.4. CLSM image analysis

Projections of the biofilm structural dynamic were constructed from xyzt images series using IMARIS 9.3 (Bitplane, Switzerland). Individual cell length and numbers were extracted from 4D-CLSM with the ImageJ (v1.53) particle analysis function. Space-time kymograms were constructed with the BiofilmQ visualisation toolbox [44]. Local density color code was calculated with BiofilmQ after Otsu segmentation and visualized with Paraview 5.9 [45].

2.5. Temporal transcriptome analysis

Biofilms were grown as previously explained. Briefly, 200 μL of an overnight culture of NDmed in TSB (adjusted to an OD 600 nm of 0.02) were added in each well. The microtiter plate was then incubated at 30°C for 90 min to allow the bacteria to adhere to the bottom of the wells. Wells were then rinsed with TSB to eliminate non-adherent bacteria and refilled with 200 μL of sterile TSB. For each time point (1h, 3h, 4h, 5h, 7h, 24h and 48h) 96-well plates were prepared. At each time point, the content of the wells was recovered and put into contact with the same volume of killing buffer [46].

Then, RNA was extracted following the method described by [46]. The RNA concentration was measured using Nanodrop and RNA quality was determined using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Synthesis and Cy3-labelling of cDNA, and hybridization of the sample to the B. subtilis T3 2 × 400K tiling array (Agilent-044473) were performed following Agilent Technologies protocols, as previously described [47]. The microarray was scanned with Agilent Technologies Scanner, model G2505C. Grid: 044473_D_F_20121025. Protocol: GE1_107_Sep09. The tiling array data set is available from NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (accession number GSE190460).

2.6. Measurement of dissolved oxygen in the wells

A pO2 microelectrode (Lazard research laboratories, Inc) was used to measure over time oxygen concentration in the microtiter plate wells. Zero calibration was performed with a 2% sodium bisulphite solution and the results were expressed in ppm.

3. Results

1. Coexistence of submerged biofilms and floating pellicles in microplates wells

In order to confirm that a B. subtilis non-domesticated strain was able to grow both as submerged biofilms and floating pellicles in microscopic grade microplate wells, we have combined 4D-CLSM and a long-range objective to monitor both interfacial communities simultaneously. For this, we used a B. subtilis NDmed strain derivative constitutively expressing mCherry red fluorescent protein to monitor its growth during 24 h starting from a single layer of adherent cells (Fig. 1, movies S1 and S2 in the supplemental material).

We observed an increase of red fluorescence from the bottom of the well up to a couple of hundred micrometers which corresponds to the development of the submerged biofilm. Increase in fluorescence intensity at the liquid-air interface occurred 7–8 h after the incubation starting point of the adhering cells, corresponding to the formation of the pellicle. It can be seen in Fig. 1 that the distance between the surface and the floating pellicle was initially 4.5 mm, but slightly decreased over time corresponding to liquid evaporation in the well and thereby a consequent drop of the liquid-air interface. Our observations demonstrate that a submerged biofilm and a floating pellicle can form consecutively in the same system. In addition, the fluorescent cells observed in the space between the attached submerged biofilm and the floating pellicles are swimming free cells (see movies S1 and S2), suggesting the existence of an interplay between both communities.

2. A brutal and coordinated fragmentation of sessile elongating chains precede floating pellicle formation

Development of adherent B. subtilis NDmed GFP cells on the bottom of the microtiter plates wells was monitored by time-lapse confocal laser scanning microscopy (4D-CLSM) with images taken every 15 min during...
14 h (Fig. 2 and movies S3 and S4 in the supplemental material). Fig. 2A represents tile images from the movie S3 at specific time points illustrating the two stages in the surface colonization. In a first stage, sessile cells proliferate as a dense network of long filaments covering the surface. Between 2 and 4 h after they started to proliferate, elongated chains with an average length of around 9 μm suddenly fragment and liberate a cloud of shorter free-swimming cells with an average length of 3 μm (Fig. 2B and C, movie S4). This transition occurred reproducibly in less than 30 min, and the construction of the typical B. subtilis protruding structures of sessile cells was visible only in a second kinetic, after 7–9 h (Fig. 2A and B, movie S3).

Similar 4D-CLSM observations were acquired for other B. subtilis strains (movies S5-9 in the supplemental material), and strains of other related species (movies S10-12), using the vital FM4-64 fluorescent dye instead of GFP (see details of the strains in Table 1). The reference strains 168 and NCIB3610 displayed a similar behavior to that of the NDmed strain, as well as all other non-domesticated B. subtilis isolates tested (NDfood, BaN1 or BSF1). On the contrary, closely related but distinct Bacillus species strains such as Bacillus cereus 407, Bacillus licheniformis LMG7559 or Bacillus anioliquefaciens 20P6 showed a continuous monophasic colonization of the surface without any coordinated liberation of free motile cells (movies S10-12 in the supplemental material).

3. Temporal transcriptome analysis

To understand the mechanism behind sessile cells fragmentation into highly motile ones, a transcriptome analysis by tiling array was done for B. subtilis over a temporal scale. A global view of the results indicates that the genes encoding basic functions essential for cellular growth are expressed at a constant rate during the first hours (from 1h to 7h), with variation not exceeding at most a factor of 2 (see supplementary data S1). These ensure replication (DNA polymerase, primase, gyrase, topoisomerase, helicase, initiation/termination factors), transcription (RNA polymerase, sigma A factor, elongation/pause/termination factors), translation (ribosomal proteins, aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases, initiation elongation factors) or central carbon metabolism (enzymes of glycolysis and TCA cycle). Thus, this indicates that the process of fragmentation occurs while cells are growing at a constant rate. Results represented in Fig. S1 confirm the initial microscopic observations, where genes required for autolysis and motility start to be upregulated after 3 h to reach their maximum level after 4 h of incubation, the time in which elongated sessile chains fragment into motile short cells.

Nutrient or/oxygen depletion were hypothesized to be possible signals triggering the early fragmentation of the sessile chains cells. To investigate the carbon source depletion as a triggering signal, we have monitored expression of gapB, a gene encoding a Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate-Dehydrase derepressed only under gluconeogenic conditions (supplementary data S1) [48]. The gapB gene expression was extremely downregulated during the first 7 h of incubation, and appeared strongly upregulated only in the late samples from 24 h to 48 h, indicating that glycolytic carbon source limitation occurred much later than the fragmentation process.

For oxygen sensing and respiration we have monitored the hemAT gene (encoding a soluble chemotaxis receptor oxygen sensor protein) [49], the cydABCD operon (encoding cytochrome bd oxidase induced under low oxygen tensions, represented as cydA in Fig. S1), and the qoxABC operon (encoding cytochrome c3 quinol oxidase, the major oxidase in aerated cultures, represented as qoxA gene in Fig. S1) [50]. For efficient aerobic growth, cells require either CydABCD or QoxABC [50]. In the first hour only cydA appears to be upregulated (Fig. S1) and after 3 h none of the aerobic respiratory genes are expressed. Meanwhile, hemAT starts to be upregulated, in synchrony with the fragmentation of sessile cells to motile ones, to reach its maximum expression after 4 h. Aerobic respiration is regained and observed by the upregulation of qoxA. Shortly after, anaerobic respiration can be observed (Fig. S1) by upregulation of the self-regulated operon nark-fnr, encoding the Fnr transcriptional regulator for anaerobically induced genes, i.e. the narGHJI operon and the arfM gene encoding another anaerobic regulator. In addition, upregulation is also observed for the nasB operon (represented in Fig. S1 with nasD and nasF) encoding a nitrate and a nitrite reductase [51].

Then after 7 h of incubation, biofilm matrix genes (i.e. epsA, tassB, dhbA, yvcA, pgsB, and bslA) are upregulated, the time where the biofilms (submerged and pellicle) are in the process of formation and stabilization. Expression follows of late biofilm genes (i.e. yxaB, veg, ymcA, and

Fig. 2. The biphasic process of submerged biofilm formation by B. subtilis NDmed. A) 4D-CLSM of B. subtilis NDmed GFP on submerged surfaces. Imaris Easy 3D reconstructions (top) and sections views as an XZ projection (bottom) at specific time points of a representative experiment of three independent experiments. The shadow on the right represents a vertical (YZ) projection of the submerged biofilm (scale bars represent 20 μm). B) Space-time kymograph generated with BiofilmQ from 4D-CLSM series showing the brutal apparition of free cell in all the well 3h after biofilm initiation and the late initiation of submerged biofilm after 7h. dz represents the distance to the surface in μm and I(t) the GFP fluorescence intensity in relative arbitrary units. Representative of n = 3 independent biofilms. C) Individual cell length coordinately and brutally drop during chain fragmentation 2–3 h after biofilm initiation. Chains fragmentation is correlated with an increased number of detected individual objects in the medium. Mean cell length±SD calculated from n = 3 experiments.
for the complex architectural biofilm formation after 24 and 48 h, as well as genes related to sporulation.

4. In situ cell visualization for the fate switching with fluorescent reporters

Using the NDmed547 strain harboring two transcriptional reporter constructions, we could monitor both motility and matrix production (*Phag-gfp* reporting the expression of flagella genes in green and *PtapA-mKate2* reporting the expression of matrix genes in red). The submerged biofilm formation was monitored over 14 h (image every 15 min) for the spatio-temporal patterns of these two subpopulations of cell fate (Fig. 3A and movie S13 in the supplementary material). Promoter activities are illustrated in Fig. 4A and B, as a kymograph representing the fluorescence intensity as a function of time and altitude above. Moreover, the GM3361 strain allowed to report in the NDmed context expression of *fnr*, encoding a regulator of the global response to oxygen depletion (*Pfnr-gfpmut3*) (Fig. 4C).

Initially, a subpopulation of sessile chains of cells expressing *tapA* (red) coexists with a subpopulation of motile cells expressing *hag* (green). During the first 3 h of submerged biofilm formation, sessile chains have elongated and propagated, discern visually by the high fluorescent intensity recorded in Fig. 4B, while the fraction of cells expressing *hag* was less abundant (Figs. 3A and 4A). After 3 h, red cell chains (expressing *tapA*) suddenly and coordinately fragment into green individual motile cells (expressing *hag*), corresponding to the sudden change of the fluorescent intensity color observed in Fig. 4A—a more intense one. In parallel, a clear signal of fluorescence was detectable as early as 3–4 h with GM3361 (*Pfnr-gfpmut3*), indicating that oxygen limitation occurred in early stages of the biofilm development (Fig. 4C). This was confirmed by a direct and continuous measurement of oxygen concentration using a microelectrode (Fig. 4D). Oxygen concentration in the well strictly decreased below the detection limit as early as 4 h after incubation of adherent cells. This oxygen limitation was correlated to trigger the population of red elongated chains, expressing the *tapA* operon, to fragment and acquire motility (Fig. 4). The *tapA* expression was highly regained after ~7 h (Fig. S1 and Fig. 4B) to structure the typical surface-associated protruding submerged biofilm and initiate the floating pellicle of *B. subtilis*, which leads to two biofilms in a same well of static liquid culture (Fig. 3B).

4. Discussion

We observed previously that the non-domesticated *B. subtilis* NDmed strain was able to form robust submerged biofilms in the bottom of microtiter-plates under static conditions [28]. In the present work, using 4D-CLSM, we visualized the formation dynamics of these submerged biofilms, which surprisingly appeared to be a discontinuous process. After a first stage of development on the surface, and concomitantly with oxygen limitation, sessile chains suddenly fragment, liberating a
massive number of free motile cells. These planktonic cells partially migrate towards the liquid-air interface to initiate a floating pellicle. It is only in a second kinetics that the characteristic surface-associated protruding structures of \textit{B. subtilis} NDmed rise, along with strong expression of the \textit{tapA-sipW-tasA} matrix operon. To our knowledge, such biphasic biofilm formation has never been explored and when pellicles and submerged biofilms have been studied, it was frequently under different conditions. In other bacterial species like \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}, detachment from the surface and dispersion has been described as a possible end to the biofilm lifestyle cycle. The active cells scattering from biofilm to new habitats seem to be driven by limitations of resources or the emergence of stressful conditions in the cell microenvironment. Depletion in nutrient availability or the accumulation of waste metabolic products (such as acids issuing from fermentation in oxygen-depleted zones) have been demonstrated to induce biofilm dispersal \cite{52}. In the work presented here, we demonstrate that early oxygen limitation in the well is concomitant with massive liberation of planktonic cells from early submerged biofilm after approximately 4 h of development. In \textit{B. subtilis}, oxygen depletion has been shown previously to induce matrix production by increasing transcription of the \textit{tapA} operon in NCIB3610 colonies \cite{53}. In our submerged system, expression in NDmed of \textit{tapA} was also correlated with that of \textit{fnr}, a gene induced under deep oxygen depletion. These observations suggest that, after a first step of proliferation of sessile cells as filaments covering the surface, the limitation of oxygen triggered their fragmentation into motile cells able to migrate to the air-medium interface to form the pellicle. Indeed, a temporal transcriptome analysis of biofilm showed that genes involved in oxygen sensing, autolysis and motility were highly expressed after 4 h of development, which corresponded to the sudden transition between filaments and motile cells observed with 4D-CLSM. This is in accordance with a previous report identifying oxygen as a putative trigger for active movement towards the air–liquid interface of \textit{B. subtilis} NCIB3610 cells, since a \textit{ΔhemAT} mutant was outcompeted by the wild type during pellicle formation in static co-cultures \cite{54}. Similar behaviors were also described for the Gram-negative lake sediment bacterium \textit{Shewanella oneidensis} MR-1, as biofilms formed by this species showed a rapid detachment from the surface upon a sudden downshift in oxygen concentration \cite{55}.

Interestingly, all other \textit{B. subtilis} strains tested, including thick biofilm-forming isolates such as ND600, BsNS and BsP1, or weaker submerged biofilm-forming strains 168 and NCIB3610, showed a similar biphasic submerged biofilm dynamics (Movies S5-9). In contrast, other related species, such as \textit{B. cereus}, \textit{B. amyloliquefaciens} or \textit{B. licheniformis} exhibited a continuous colonization of the surface (Movies S10-12). Some of these Bacilli are also able to form chains of cells, but which did not fragment during the time the biofilm formation was monitored. \textit{B. subtilis} chain formation was first visualized in pellicles \cite{11}, however without observation of coordinated return to planktonic state in these conditions. The relation between submerged biofilm and liquid-air pellicle shown here could suggest an interplay, with co-metabolism between the populations of both interfaces: the population in contact with air could liberate metabolites used by the surface-associated population to grow in anaerobic condition. This is consistent with the

![Fig. 4. Space-time kymographs for reporters (A) hag, (B) tapA, (C) fnr transcription during submerged biofilm formation of \textit{B. subtilis} NDmed. Representative of \(n = 3\) independent biofilms for each reporter. Kymographs were constructed with BiofilmQ visualization toolbox from 4D-CLSM image sequences with fluorescent transcriptional fusions (NDmed547 [amyE::Phag-gfp sacA::PtapA-mKate2] and GM3361 [Pfnr-gfpmut3]). \(\rho\) represents the distance to the surface in \(\mu\)m and \(Ich1\) the fluorescent reporter intensity in relative arbitrary units. The graph in panel (D) represents the oxygen concentration measured in two wells with a microelectrode showing a sharp decrease of oxygen concentration that drops from around 185 ppm at \(t = 0\) below the probe detection limit after less than 5 h.](caption on next column)
described ability of B. subtilis to grow without oxygen, respiring nitrate or nitrite instead of oxygen as electrons acceptor [56]. Nevertheless, this potential relation between populations requires further investigation.

Understanding the triggers and effectors of this coordinated multi-cellular behavior could also contribute to identifying new actors involved in biofilm disruption. This has been largely studied in recent years because of its potential as an alternative treatment to promote interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence CRediT authorship contribution statement

between species, co-metabolism or cell-to-cell signaling.

The experimental approach proposed in this work allowed visualization, at the cell level, of the dynamic interactions between subpopulations in a B. subtilis community. The multidimensional description of spatio-temporal patterns of gene expression has been recently facilitated by the availability to biologists of advanced quantitative microscopic analysis tools [46]. The implementation of this system to more complex samples, such as multispecies biofilms, could provide an experimental approach for the study of spatial interactions between species, co-metabolism or cell-to-cell signaling.
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