Visual disturbances in patients with pituitary tumors and early improvement of visual acuity and visual field defects after resection of pituitary tumors.

Hamid Akbar Shaikh¹, Safdar Hussain Arain², Lal Rehman³, Abdul Sattar M Hashmi⁴

ABSTRACT… Objective: The aim behind this study was to determine the frequency of visual field and visual acuity disturbances before resection and improvement after resection of pituitary tumors in patients. Study Design: Observational study. Setting: Neurosurgery Department of Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Center, Karachi. Period: August 2015 to August 2016. Material & Methods: A total of 30 patients presented with headache and visual deterioration and diagnosed as pituitary adenoma at neurosurgery OPD, ward and ER were included through a consecutive purposive sampling technique. The detailed visual and ocular analysis (visual acuity, visual field, and extra ocular movements) carried out at time of admission and postoperatively. Tumor was removed by consultant neurosurgeon through trans-sphenoidal approach. SPSS version 17.0 was used to analyze the collected data. Results: A total of 30 cases of pituitary adenoma were included. The average age of the patients was 34.5 ± 10.8 years and among them 17 (56.7%) were males and 13 (43.3%) were females. Pre-operative assessment of the mean deviation of visual function was -8.21 ± 6.10 dB which is significantly improved to -4.6 ± 3.9 dB post-operatively (P < 0.0001). While pre-operative Visual Acuity (logMAR) was 0.3 ± 0.26 and post-operative 0.21 ± 0.2 (P <0.0001). Conclusion: Scientific observation from our study has shown that more than 90% of the patients suffering from pituitary adenomas experience visual impairment which can be improved to significant extent if treated with trans-sphenoidal surgical resection of the tumor.
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INTRODUCTION

Epidemiological studies have reported worldwide burden of pituitary adenomas around 16%. Incidental pituitary tumors are found in approximately 15% of autopsies. Based on the classification pituitary adenomas are most often benign and with time they may involve and metastasize to the surrounding tissues including visual pathway and leading to the development of visual impairment.¹⁻³

Patients who are suffering from Adenomas of pituitary glands showed varying range in clinical presentation from decrease in visual acuity to no vision at all. Variation can be seen in such patients in the prevalence raging from 37% to 96%.⁴ Most of the conducted studies have shown that bitemporal hemianopia is the most prevalent visual field defect observed in patients with pituitary adenomas.¹⁻⁵⁻⁶

Despite advances in radiation therapy and pharmacological treatment, surgery remains the first-line treatment, except for prolactinomas.⁷ The presence of visual abnormalities is one of the main indications for surgical resection and chiasmatic decompression. International guidelines recommend surgery is the most reliable treatment with very high success rate.

MATERIAL & METHODS

A prospective observational study has been conducted through convenient purposive sampling technique in a department of Neurosurgery Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre Karachi between the periods of one year
from 21\textsuperscript{th} August 2015 to 20\textsuperscript{th} August 2016. All the patients of both gender and age ranged from 18 years to 71 years those who presented with complain of headache and disturbance in visual field in neurosurgery OPD, ward, or Emergency which were diagnosed as a case of pituitary adenoma were included in this study after getting their informed and written consent.

A detailed history of patients was taken along with detailed visual and ocular examination including visual acuity, visual field, and extra ocular movements were carried out at the time of admission and after performing operation.

To evaluate the size and the invasion of the adenoma, all patients underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), with and without administration of the intravenous contrast agent prior to surgery. Tumor size was classified in three categories according to tumor diameter: microadenoma (<10 mm); macroadenomas (>10 mm); and giant adenoma (>40 mm).

Patients stayed two days prior to operation and 5-7 days postoperatively, depending upon the stability and recovery. Trans-sphenoidal approach was taken for the removal of pituitary gland tumor. Cure was defined as a resolution of the clinical symptoms and signs and, where appropriate, normalization or improvement of the underlying preoperative in visual impairment.

We have used Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16 for data entry and its analysis. Continuous variables like age and visual parameters were analyzed as mean ± Standard deviation.

**RESULTS**

A total of 30 cases of pituitary adenoma were included in this study presented with headache and visual symptoms. Mean ± SD of age was 34.5 ± 10.8 years age ranged from 18 – 71 years. Majority of cases were cases belonged to age between 21 – 40 years, (N = 20, 66.7%). Figure-1 (56.7%) were males and 13 (43.3%) were females. Figure-2

Majority 27 (90%) of cases presented with visual disturbance followed by headache 23 (76.7%) and 3 (10%) cases were presented ophthlamoplegia. Figure-3

Pre-operative visual acuity is presented in Figure-4. A total of 29 (48.3%) cases had visual acuity 6/60 - NPL while 19 (31.7%) cases had visual acuity 6/18 to 6/36 and 12 (20%) cases had visual acuity 6/6 to 6/12.

Before the operation, an experienced ophthalmologist was assigned to record the data relevant to the study objects. Comparison was performed before the operation visual function and after that. A significant improvement has been observed when data were compared preoperatively with post-operative visual functions, -8.21 ± 6.10 dB and -4.6 ± 3.9 dB (P <0.0001), respectively. While pre-operative Visual Acuity (log MAR) was 0.3 ± 0.26 and post-operative 0.21 ± 0.2 (P<0.0001), Table-I.

Pre-operative abnormal visual field presented in Table-II. Out of 60 eyes of 30 cases, 32 (53.3%) eyes with bitemporal hemianopia (BTH), Three quadrant Field defects was found in 14 (23.3%) cases, 10 (16.7%) cases with hemianopia and 4 (6.7%) cases with atypical field defect.

The results of visual acuity, after the procedure showed that significant number 23 (38.3%, p-0.03) of patients had visual acuity 6/6 to 6/12, and 30 (50%, p-0.04) patients had visual acuity 6/18 to 6/36, while only 7 (11.7%) patients having visual acuity 6/60 – NPL. Overall improvement in visual acuity was 43.3%. Table-III

Regarding post-operatively visual field defects, 35 (58.3%) eyes were improved and 25 (41.7%) of eyes were unchanged Table-IV.
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Table-I. Pre and postoperative visual function.

| Parameter                  | Pre-Operatively | Post-Operatively | P-Values |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|
| Mean Deviation (dB)        | -8.21±6.10      | -4.6±3.9         | <0.0001  |
| Visual Acuity (logMAR)     | 0.3±0.26        | 0.21±0.2         | <0.0001  |

dB = Decibel
logMAR = Logarithm of the Minimum Angle of Resolution.

Table-II. Pre-operative abnormal visual field. N=60 eyes

|                  | Number of Eyes | Percentages |
|------------------|----------------|-------------|
| BTH              | 32             | 53.3%       |
| Three quadrant field defects | 14             | 23.3%       |
| Hemianopia       | 10             | 16.7%       |
| Atypical field defect | 4              | 6.7%        |

BTH: Bi-temporal hemianopia.

Table-III. Comparison of Pre-operative visual acuity. N=60 eyes

|                  | Pre-Operatively | Post-Operatively | P-Values |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|
| 6/6 – 6/12       | 12 (20%)        | 27 (45%)         | 0.1      |
| 6/18 – 6/36      | 19 (31.7%)      | 30 (50%)         | 0.04     |
| 6/60 - NPL       | 29 (48.3%)      | 3 (5%)           | <0.0001  |

NPL = No Perception of Light.

Figure-1. Age Distribution n=30

Figure-2. Gender wise distribution of the study subjects.

Figure-3. Presenting symptoms n=30

Figure-4. Pre-operative visual acuity n=30 (60 Eyes)
DISCUSSION

Variety of visual presentations of pituitary tumors have been reported because of its slow growing nature, including absence of clinical symptoms or deterioration of visual acuity, visual field affection, and partial or complete ophthlamoplegia and optic atrophy. The surgical procedure which we have chosen in our study was amongst the most reliable for the resection of pituitary tumors through sphenoidal surgical resection. After surgical removal of the tumor the complete recovery takes some times from initial improvement of the symptoms to finally remyelination of the decompressed optic pathways. Worldwide most of the surgeons chose trans-sphenoidal approach to treat such cases because of its lower complication rates and higher success rate.8-11

In this study, 30 patients of pituitary adenoma were studied presented with headache and visual symptoms. Mean ±SD of age of patients was 34.5±10.8 year with male: female was 1.3: 1. A study from India studied eighteen patients with pituitary adenomas underwent ophthalmic and VF assessment before and after trans-sphenoidal surgical resection, they reported mean age 35.1±9.9 years and M: F was 1.25: 1 which is similar to this study.12 Another study from Bangladesh compared pre and postoperative visual parameters of 30 cases of Pituitary adenoma underwent transsphenoidal surgery, they reported average age 36.2 ± 13.7 years with M:F was 1.1:1 which are in agreement with this study.13

In this study 90%of patients presenting with visual symptoms of pituitary adenoma while 76.7% cases presented with headache and 10% cases were presented with ophthlamoplegia Elgamal et al.14 Found 17% eyes presented with loss of vision due to pituitary adenomas. Dallapiazza RF and colleagues15 reported that, more than 50% of patients presented with visual disturbance.

In this study visual function parameters were recorded pre and post operatively. Pre-operative mean deviation (dB) was -8.21 ± 6.10 dB which is significantly improved to -4.6 ± 3.9 dB post-operatively (P < 0.0001). While pre-operative Visual Acuity (logMAR) was 0.3 ± 0.26 and post-operative 0.21 ± 0.2 (P <0.0001). Previously conducted Indian study has shown significant improvement of visual functions from -14.28 dB to -11.32 dB after the patients were treated with trans-sphenoidal procedure.12

Regarding improvement in visual acuity present study reported overall improvement in visual acuity was 43.3% and 56.7% remaining unchanged. Bangladeshi study reported improvement in visual acuity was 40% and 55% eyes remained stable.12 Postoperatively, visual field defects improved 35 eyes (58.3%) leading to normal fields. Rest of the patients showed relative improvement or remained unchanged after surgery. An Indian study reported postoperative visual field defects improved 50% eyes while 50% eyes showed relative improvement after surgery.12

In summary, the main parameter for visual outcomes in patient with pituitary adenoma is better preoperative visual status. If patients have better preoperative ophthlamological status then their visual outcome would be better after surgery in pituitary adenoma.

CONCLUSION

Our study has shown that more than 90% of the patients suffering from pituitary adenomas experience visual impairment that can be improve to significant extent if treated with trans-sphenoidal surgical resection of the tumor.
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