Clinical Experience of Nusinersen in a Broad Spectrum of Spinal Muscular Atrophy: A Retrospective Study
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Abstract

Background: Nusinersen has recently been approved and more widely used as first-line treatment of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA). This study aimed to evaluate the real-world experience of nusinersen use for patients with a broad spectrum of SMA. Methods: We reviewed consecutive patients with SMA treated with nusinersen from April 2018 to April 2020. Data collected included clinical and diagnostic characteristics, molecular genetics, functional motor outcomes, and adverse events. Results: Seven patients including four adolescents, child, nusinersen, spinal muscular atrophy
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INTRODUCTION

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a common fatal autosomal recessive disorder that occurs 1 in 6000–10,000 people.[1] It is characterized by motor neuron loss in the spinal cord and lower brainstem and is the leading genetic cause of infant death.[2] SMA is caused by the deletion or mutation of survival motor neuron 1 (SMN1), resulting in the degeneration of alpha motor neurons and loss of anterior horn cells in the brainstem and spinal cord because of low levels of full-length survival motor neuron (SMN) protein.[3] This progressive degeneration causes weakness of the bulbar, trunk, and limb muscles, resulting in respiratory failure and death.[4,5] Because of a deficiency in the functional SMN1 gene, the patient relies on its paralogous gene, called survival motor neuron 2 (SMN2), which encodes approximately 10% of the full-length SMN protein. Thus, the number of SMN2 gene copies strongly correlates with the severity of SMA.[6] SMA is classified into four major phenotypes based on the onset and clinical symptoms of the disease.[7] SMA type I is the most severe life-threatening form of SMA. Children with SMA type I produce very little SMN protein. Hence, they are unable to sit without support and generally do not survive past the age of 2 years because of respiratory failure. Individuals with later-onset SMA types 2 and 3 produce greater amounts of SMN protein and have less severe but still clinically significant forms of SMA,[1,6] whereas SMA type 4 is the adult-onset form.[6]

In December 2016, nusinersen (Spinraza) gained approval from the US Food and Drug Administration as the first pharmacologic treatment for SMA.[8–11] Since April 2019, it has been commercially available in Korea for patients with SMA who develop symptoms before 3 years of age. Nusinersen is an antisense oligonucleotide that promotes SMN2 exon 7 inclusion, resulting in increased production of full-length SMN protein.[8–12] It has been reported to improve motor function in infant and late-onset SMA, who are in the early and middle symptomatic phases.[13,14] In addition, results from a phase-2 clinical trial demonstrated the potential benefit of treatment initiation in presymptomatic infants who were genetically diagnosed with SMA.[15] Recent real-world data demonstrated
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the efficacy of nusinersen in a heterogenic patient population of SMA type 1, 2, and 3.\[16\] An Italian group showed 12 months' changes after treatment with nusinersen in a cohort of type 1 patients having a much wider range of age and severity than those in the clinical trial.\[17\] However, there is a lack of research on the real-world effectiveness of nusinersen in SMA patients who have a more severe phenotype, such as scoliosis, contracture, or respiratory difficulty. Therefore, we report our clinical experience using nusinersen for the treatment of patients with SMA types 1 and 2 with a broad spectrum of disease.

**Methods**

We retrospectively analyzed the data of SMA patients treated with nusinersen at Kyungpook National University Hospital in Korea. At the hospital, a dedicated team of health professionals, including a pediatric neurologist, adult neurologist, rehabilitation doctor, orthopedic surgeon, neurosurgeon, radiologist, and nutritionists, routinely worked together in the care of SMA patients. Throughout their treatment, all patients were managed according to the standard-of-care consensus guideline on SMA.\[18,19\] Intrathecal injections of nusinersen were administered using a loading dose (dosing on days 1, 15, 29, and 64) followed by a maintenance dose once every 4 months thereafter. Topical local anesthesia was used in all patients before the injections. Motor function and motor milestone assessment were performed during the pretreatment period, on day 64, and at every maintenance dosing by a pediatric neurologist (L.Y.J.) and a rehabilitation physician (K.A.R.). Evaluators were not blinded to the treatment with nusinersen. SMA type 1 patients were assessed using the Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders (CHOP INTEND), which includes 16 items with a total score between 0 and 64,\[20\] and the Hammersmith Infant Neuromuscular Examination (HINE) section 2 motor milestones, which is composed of the following eight milestones: head control, sitting, voluntary grasp, ability to kick, rolling, crawling, standing, and walking.\[21\] Patients with later-onset SMA were assessed using the Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale Expanded (HFMSE),\[22\] which includes 33 items with a total score between 0 and 66; the Revised Upper Limb Module (RULM),\[23\] which is used to investigate upper-limb abilities in SMA patients and includes 19 items with a maximum score of 37; and the World Health Organization (WHO) motor milestone criteria. The following data were collected: age at diagnosis and at initial nusinersen injection, SMA type, SMN2 copy number, presence of scoliosis, ventilator support (modality, number, and hours/ day), pulmonary function test findings, method of delivery of nusinersen, adverse events, and pre and posttreatment functional assessment including CHOP INTEND, HFMSE, RULM, and HINE section 2 and WHO motor milestone criteria. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyungpook National University Hospital (No. 2020-03-16). Informed consent was obtained from the patients and their parents in the study.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

Seven patients, including four with SMA type 1 and three with SMA type 2 (median age, 44.7 months; range: 1.1 months to 22 years 1 month), received nusinersen injection during a median follow-up duration of 6.1 months (range: 2.1–22.1 months). The median duration of the disease (from symptom onset to initial injection) was 37.0 months (range: 0.5–254 months). Five patients were previously diagnosed and two were newly diagnosed with SMA. The baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. All patients had homozygous SMN1 deletion. Four SMA type 1 patients had two copies of SMN2, and three SMA type 2 patients had three copies of SMN2. Scoliosis was observed in two patients (patients 4 and 7; Figure 1), hip dislocation in two (patients 6 and 7), and elbow, knee, and ankle joint contractures in three patients (patients 4, 6, and 7). None of our patients underwent scoliosis or orthopedic surgery prior to treatment. Two patients with SMA type 1 (patients 3 and 4) had been receiving 24-h invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) via tracheostomy and feeding via gastrostomy before the initial injection. Nusinersen was used in two patients with SMA type 1 (patients 3 and 4) by expanded access program before it was commercially available. Seven patients, including four with SMA type 1 and three with SMA type 2 (median age, 44.7 months; range: 1.1 months to 22 years 1 month), received nusinersen injection during a median follow-up duration of 6.1 months (range: 2.1–22.1 months). The median duration of the disease (from symptom onset to initial injection) was 37.0 months (range: 0.5–254 months). Five patients were previously diagnosed and two were newly diagnosed with SMA. The baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. All patients had homozygous SMN1 deletion. Four SMA type 1 patients had two copies of SMN2, and three SMA type 2 patients had three copies of SMN2. Scoliosis was observed in two patients (patients 4 and 7; Figure 1), hip dislocation in two (patients 6 and 7), and elbow, knee, and ankle joint contractures in three patients (patients 4, 6, and 7). None of our patients underwent scoliosis or orthopedic surgery prior to treatment. Two patients with SMA type 1 (patients 3 and 4) had been receiving 24-h invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) via tracheostomy and feeding via gastrostomy before the initial injection. Nusinersen was used in two patients with SMA type 1 (patients 3 and 4) by expanded access program before it was commercially available.
available in Korea. Two patients newly diagnosed with SMA received nusinersen injection within 1 month after symptom onset (patients 1 and 2).

**Administration of nusinersen**

A total of 41 lumbar punctures (LPs) were performed in seven patients. All seven patients completed the loading regimen of the treatment. Two patients received injection via the fluoroscopy-guided approach (patient 4 and 7). One patient received the conventional LP approach at loading dose despite scoliosis; however, we changed the conventional approach to a fluoroscopy-guided approach after recurrent failures [Figure 1a and b]. The other patients with severe scoliosis received the fluoroscopy-guided approach after an initial injection [Figure 1c and d]. A total seven fluoroscopy-guided LPs were technically successful without sedation for these patients. Two patients required mild sedation because they feared the procedures. There were no reports of any adverse events after LPs, including headache, infection, site pain, hemorrhage, and leg pains. We documented stable vital signs before and after intrathecal administration of the drug in all patients. Treatment was not discontinued in any of the patients.

**Functional outcome**

The functional outcome is summarized in Figure 2 and Table 2. Among the four patients with SMA type 1, three patients showed an increase of at least four points from baseline in the CHOP INTEND. They showed improvement in ankle dorsiflexion strength, knee and elbow movement, and handgrip. Although the CHOP INTEND score did not change in one patient (patient 4) who had 0 points at the baseline assessment, there was a subtle change in the hip external/internal rotation movement and loud vocalization, which was not included in the scoring system. Two SMA type 1 patients (patients 1 and 2), who started treatment within 1 month from symptom onset, had much better improvement as compared with the other patients [Figure 2]. All patients with SMA type 2 showed an increased at least 2 points from baseline in the HFMSE. They had a longer time to sit independently. Patient 5 in particular showed improvement in rollover and propping on forearms with head up by the time of the fourth injection. Similar to SMA type 1 patients, SMA type 2 patients with shorter disease duration (patients 5 and 6) tended to have higher scores on the motor function assessment.

The respiratory status of most patients remained stable. Two patients with SMA type 1 (patients 1 and 2) using noninvasive ventilation (NIV) (<8 h/day) to prevent respiratory failure and chest wall distortion showed a reduction of required hours of NIV to 6 or less hours/day by the time of the fourth injection. For two SMA type 1 patients using IMV, ventilator support could not be reduced but remained stable. A follow-up pulmonary function test was performed in one SMA type 2 patient (patient 7) and showed no change before and after 6 months of treatment. With the exception of two type 1 patients with enteral feeding via gastrostomy, all patients

---

**Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of SMA patients**

| Pt No | Sex/age (Year) | SMA type | SMN2 copy | Age at symptom onset | Age at diagnosis (month) | Disease duration (month) | Scoliosis | Hip dislocation | Joint contracture | Respiratory status | Nutrition |
|-------|----------------|----------|-----------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1     | F/0.2          | 1        | 2         | 15 d                 | 1                        | 0.5                      | -         | -              | -                | NIV <8 h/d       | OF        |
| 2     | F/0.3          | 1        | 2         | 7 d                  | 1.4                      | 1.0                      | -         | -              | -                | NIV <8 h/d       | OF        |
| 3     | M/3.1          | 1        | 2         | 5 m                  | 6                        | 14                       | -         | -              | -                | 24-h IMV         | EF        |
| 4     | M/5.5          | 1        | 2         | 1 m                  | 3                        | 43                       | +         | -              | +                | 24-h IMV         | EF        |
| 5     | F/4.6          | 2        | 3         | 9 m                  | 24                       | 37                       | -         | -              | -                | SB             | OF        |
| 6     | M/9.3          | 2        | 3         | 8 m                  | 8                        | 98                       | -         | +              | +                | SB             | OF        |
| 7     | M/22.6         | 2        | 3         | 15 m                 | 23                       | 254                      | +         | +              | +                | SB             | OF        |

EF–enteral feeding; NIV–noninvasive ventilation; IMV–invasive mechanical ventilation; OF–oral feeding; SB–spontaneous breathing; SMA–spinal muscular atrophy; SMN2–survival motor neuron 2

---

**Table 2:** Functional assessments after nusinersen injection. (a) CHOP INTEND score in spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) type 1 patients and (b) Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale Expanded score in SMA type 2
Table 2: Functional outcome of SMA patients

| Pt No | Age at first LP (month) | Motor functions score* | Motor milestone score† | Respiratory status | Feeding | Adverse reactions | Extra admission (n) | Follow-up duration (month) | Numbers of injection (n) |
|-------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
|       |                         | Before first dose      | Last follow-up         | Before first dose  | Last follow-up | NIV <6 h/d | OF | N | - | 2.1/4 |
| 1     | 1.1                     | 8                      | 22                     | N                 | Improved head control (wobble) | Kicks leg horizontally |                                                     |
| 2     | 1.4                     | 10                     | 24                     | N                 | Voluntary grasp using whole hand | NIV <6 h/d | OF | N | - | 2.1/4 |
| 3     | 15.1                    | 4                      | 8                      | N                 | Voluntary grasp using whole hand | 24-h IMV | EF | N | 3: pneumonia | 21.9/9 |
| 4     | 44.7                    | 0                      | 0                      | N                 | 24-h IMV | EF | N | 1: febrile illness | 22.1/9 |

*SMA types 1 and 2 patients were assessed using CHOP INTEND score and HFSME, respectively. †SMA types 1 and 2 patients were assessed using HINE section 2 and WHO motor milestone, respectively. ‡CHOP INTEND score. §SB–spontaneous breathing; OF–oral feeding; NIV–noninvasive ventilation; IMV–invasive mechanical ventilation; LP–last follow-up; n–none; NIV–noninvasive ventilation; OF–oral feeding; SB–spontaneous breathing.

were on oral feeding without swallowing difficulty. Three patients had a history of hospitalization during treatment due to pneumonia, acute gastroenteritis, and febrile illness, which did not need intensive care.

**Discussion**

Since it was approved as the first therapeutic agent for SMA, nusinersen has been used to treat patients worldwide. However, there are only limited studies of the real-world experience of nusinersen use in older patients with chronic phenotypes such as joint contracture, scoliosis, and muscle atrophy.[16,17,24-26] This study aimed to share the clinical experience of nusinersen treatment in SMA type 1 and type 2 patients within a broad spectrum of disease from April 2018 to April 2020 and to analyze its safety and efficacy.

Our study revealed that all patients had varying degrees of improvement in motor function, although one patient with a score of 0 at baseline did not demonstrate an increase in motor function assessment. SMA type 1 patients showed improvements in voluntary grasp and kicking, and SMA type 2 patients showed improvement in sitting endurance. In addition, most patients showed improvements that were not included in the rating scale, such as louder vocalization, endurance, and more effective coughing. These findings are consistent with a previous report suggesting that patients benefit from nusinersen even at a later stage of the disease, as demonstrated in 8-year-old patients with SMA type 1.[24]

Similarly, a recent preliminary study reported significant improvement in CHOP INTEND and HINE-2 assessment scores after 12 months of nusinersen treatment in 85 SMA type 1 patients between 2 months and 15 years of age.[17] In a study with a heterogenous patient cohort of SMA type 1, 2, and 3, nusinersen showed similar efficacy and safety throughout all ages and severity of the diseases, as seen in the clinical trials.[16] Because patients with more than 16 h of ventilation dependency or with tracheostomy cannula were excluded from the treatment and the proportions of three copies of SMN2 gene in the type 2 group were relatively high (30%) in this study group, it is possible that less severe type 1 patients were included and their functional outcomes could be better than those in our patients. However, in SMA patients with a long disease duration, there is a lack of studies on the clinically meaningful changes in the functional assessment score indicating an effect on activities of daily living and quality of life. Even if the HFMSE score is low in SMA patients with severe phenotype, better upper limb function might be related to better activities of daily living. Therefore, the efficacy of nusinersen in older patients should be measured not only using a motor function assessment but also using other measures such
as caregiver burden, activity of daily living, and self-reported quality of life.\textsuperscript{[27]}

Nevertheless, in the case of patients 1 and 2, who began treatment right after diagnosis, the improvement of motor function was apparent within 3 months [Figure 2]. This result is consistent with previous findings indicating that greater improvements in motor function after nusinersen treatment were observed in those with relatively shorter duration of disease at treatment initiation.\textsuperscript{[13,14]} In the interim analysis from the phase-2 clinical trial, many infants with SMA treated during the presymptomatic period achieved motor milestones closer to normal development.\textsuperscript{[15]} These findings emphasize the need for early identification of SMA patients and immediate treatment with nusinersen.

LPs were performed successfully in all cases, even in patients with severe scoliosis, and no adverse events occurred. Unlike our experience, a recent study reported that 81.5\% of their patients had at least one adverse event, including headache, back pain, nausea, constipation, and dizziness in 28 adult SMA patients.\textsuperscript{[28]} This difference can be explained by the limitation of communication in young SMA type 1 patients, as in patients 1 and 2. Nevertheless, our data provide further evidence for the safety and tolerability of intrathecal injection using the fluoroscopy-guided approach in chronic patients with scoliosis and joint contracture.\textsuperscript{[25,28]} However, because nusinersen is administered over a long term, young patients, who receive treatment via fluoroscopy or computed tomography-guided injection may be exposed to radiation for a long period, resulting in higher lifetime accumulation of radiation.\textsuperscript{[29]}

Therefore, radiation exposure should be monitored to identify organs at risk for this potentially lifelong therapy.

The duration of NIV use was shown to be decreasing in SMA type 1 patients (patients 1 and 2). However, follow-up data analysis will be required, because the therapeutic effect of nusinersen on respiratory function has not been well established. We were unable to observe objective respiratory improvement in chronic patients on IMV (patients 3 and 4). This result is in line with a recent study reporting that none of the SMA type 1 patients on IMV ($n = 46$) showed improvement at 10 months after treatment.\textsuperscript{[30]} Generally, in qualitative interviews with parents and caregivers, respiratory stability was perceived as a subjective improvement after treatment.\textsuperscript{[30]}

Moreover, there is a report of deterioration of respiratory ability after nusinersen treatment.\textsuperscript{[24]} Further longitudinal follow-up data on the effect of nusinersen on respiratory function should be provided.

Our results confirmed the clinical benefit of nusinersen treatment in SMA patients of various ages and disease spectrum. Not only infants and children but also older patients with severe phenotypes showed improvements in motor function. Our results provide additional data that will be helpful for predicting the effectiveness of nusinersen based on disease severity. This study has limitations, including the small number of patients and its retrospective nature. Future long-term prospective studies including many patients with a broad spectrum of disease should investigate the maximum therapeutic effect and the impact of nusinersen on the quality of life of both patients and caregivers. However, we believe that the results of this study will play a positive role in other institutions and countries by sharing the experience and therapeutic results of the actual clinical application of nusinersen in SMA patients.
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