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Abstract

Environmental food and nutritional protection primarily depend on pollination from bees. Historically, beekeeping has been performed in different locations as part of the local food community. Beekeeping is increasing rapidly these days due to the high demand for honey and farmers are taking various forms of beekeeping methods to achieve high yield. Honey production also depends on different types of environmental factors. The main principle of this study is to show the analysis results of various types of environmental factors for three different bee farms by the linear regression model to figure out the best farm among all three farms. To improve the production of honey, farmers have to consider different types of environmental factors and this is the elevated time to support farmers by technology. This study analyzed different types of environmental factors like farm outside temperature, farm inside temperature, farm humidity for three different smart bee farms by using a linear regression model to know about their environmental conditions. The performance of prediction models is measured by $R^2$ error, Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Standard Error values (SE), and Mean Absolute Error (MAE). Based on the outcome, it is observed that the best results giving farm is farm 3 that has been able to give $R^2$ value 0.95,0.95, and 0.72 for the farm outside temperature, inside temperature, and farm humidity.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, beekeeping is one of the top fields for increasing employment and income for people in the world. The key component of beekeeping is honey and also one of the most popular sweet liquids made by bees using the nectar from flowers. Beekeeping has historically been carried out in different areas as part of the local culture and also as part of the production of pollinators. Beekeeping is so much popular in several countries all over the world. For example, honey is an essential component of food culture in countries such as Korea, Japan, Bangladesh, India, etc. [1]. Beekeeping and the processing of honey can also
make a huge contribution to the development of the food community. This is high time to support beekeepers by technology for getting a high profit and technology-based farming called smart farming. Smart farming is an evolving notion that utilizes technology such as IoT, robots, drones, and AI to control farms to increase the quantity and efficiency of goods while optimizing the human labor needed by manufacturing [2].

Beekeeping contributes to the survival of forest societies according to Nongjeonhoiyo [3]. Korea has a long history of beekeeping, more than 2000 years ago beekeeping began in Korea [4]. During the Chosun dynasty (1392–1910), around half of the regions on the Korean peninsula engaged in beekeeping [5]. Exotic bees accounted for 83 % of beehives in the year 2002 in South Korea and now exotic bees are prevalent there. Typically, indigenous species are kept deep in the mountains, while exotic species are kept at the outskirts of mountain areas, including agricultural areas [6][7].

This study focused on the analysis of the environmental issues of smart bee farms using the linear regression model. Related works can be found in section 2, section 3 describes data, methods, and metrics to evaluate the linear regression model, section 4 describes findings and discussion, and finally, section 5 suggested the conclusion of this paper.

2. Related works

The survival of an apiculture environment depends on continuous access to high-quality bee forage supplies to maintain stable and productive colonies. Many beekeepers, such as those in Europe and the United States of America, migrate their hives to obtain forage resources after honey flows through public and private property [8]. Environmental relationships among plants and pollinators are strongly affected by Spatio-temporal changes in plant flora and pollinator population dynamics. To understand plant-pollinator linkages in the local climate, the flowering phenology of plant species, and the population patterns of major pollinators, appropriate spatial and temporal gradients should be analyzed [9]. Incompetence rates for honey bee colonies have risen significantly throughout much of North America and Europe, drawing emphasis on the need to better understand the growth and development period of the bee colony, as well as the causes that may cause colony collapse. A basic design of the dynamics of a honey bee colony as a method for exploring which variables have the largest influence on colony development and survival [10].

3. Materials and methods

In this study, the researchers used smart bee farm environmental data for every minute of three smart bee farms from March 2020 to April 2020 which is consists of 53826 rows and 6 columns.

The data set is gained from three ecological smart bee farms at Gwangyang is a city in South Jeolla Province, South Korea. In this smart bee farm data, we have “farm outside temperature”, “farm inside temperature”, and “farm humidity” for three different smart bee farms. For every farm outside temperature, inside temperature, and humidity are also different. [Figure 1] shows the actual values of the outside temperature for every day of three farms in box plots. From the figures, we can see the maximum, minimum, and average line of farms outside temperature. [Figure 2] shows the actual values of inside temperature for every day of three farms in box plots. From the figures, we can see the maximum, minimum, and average line of farms inside temperature. [Figure 3] shows the actual values of the inside humidity of three farms for every day in box plots. From the figures, we can see the maximum, minimum, and average line of farm humidity.
Figure 1. The diagram shows three farms outside temperatures separately for every day.

Figure 2. The diagram shows three farms inside temperatures separately for every day.

Figure 3. The diagram shows three farms humidity separately for every day.
3.1. Linear Regression

A method of modeling to evaluate the relationship between a scalar dependent variable y and one or more independent variables X is called Linear regression. The case of one explanatory variable is known to be a simple linear regression. The approach of two or more explanatory variables is called multiple linear regression [11].

We assume a linear regression, multivariate model,

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X + \ldots + e \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In this, Y for the dependent variable, X for the explanatory variable, \( \beta_0 \) and \( \beta_1 \) considered for two unknown constants to represent the intercept and slope, and for error e.

3.2. Performance evaluation indices

To determine the best prediction results we consider R2 values, Standard Error values (SE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute Error (MAE). The ranges of R2 values from 0.0 - 1.0 and higher values represent a stronger deal [12]. So, if the R2 value is close to one means it gives good prediction results. In the goodness-of-fit portion of most statistical performance, we consider the standard regression error, also known as the standard estimate error, near R-squared [13]. So, if standard error values are less and also near to R-squared then the result is good.

The average model prediction error is expressed by both MAE and RMSE in units of the interest variable. The two metrics will vary from 0 to \( \infty \) and are oblivious to the error direction. They are negatively driven reviews, which suggests that lower values are good [14].

4. Result and discussion

For three smart bee farms, we analyze outside temperature, inside temperature, and humidity in the result portion by using the linear regression model to find the best prediction results among three smart bee farms. [Figure 4] shows the comparison of the actual curve for Farm 1, Farm 2, and Farm 3 outside temperature with the linear regression fitted line separately.

![Figure 4](image)

Figure 4. The diagram shows three farms outside the temperature curve with the LM line separately for everyday
The blue line represents the real value in [Figure 4] and the red line shows the predictive value of the outside temperature for Farm 1, Farm 2, and Farm 3 separately. From the figure, we can see the prediction line very close to the actual line.

[Figure 5] shows the comparison of the actual curve of Farm 1, Farm 2, and Farm 3 inside temperature with the linear regression fitted line separately. The blue line represents the real value in [Figure 5] and the red line shows the predictive value of the inside temperature for Farm 1, Farm 2, and Farm 3 separately. From the figure, we can see the prediction line very close to the actual line. [Figure 6] shows the comparison of the actual curve of Farm 1, Farm 2, and Farm 3 humidity with the linear regression fitted line separately.

Figure 5. The diagram shows three farms inside the temperature curve with the LM line separately for everyday

Figure 6. The diagram shows three farms humidity curve with the LM line separately for everyday
The blue line represents the real value in [Figure 6], and the red line shows the predictive value of humidity for Farm 1, Farm 2, and Farm 3 separately. From the figure, we can see the prediction line very close to the actual line.

In quintessence, all analyses and results are come up with an acuteness for the environmental factors prediction curve. From [Table 1] we can easily find out the best prediction results among three smart bee farms. [Table 1] accumulates all $R^2$ values, Standard Error values (SE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute Error (MAE) for three smart bee farms outside temperature, inside temperature, and humidity to make a comparison among three smart bee farms.

| Farm     | Farm Outside Temperature | Farm Inside Temperature | Farm inside Humidity |
|----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
|          | $R^2$ Value  | SE | MAE | RMSE | $R^2$ Value  | SE | MAE | RMSE | $R^2$ Value  | SE | MAE | RMSE |
| Farm 1   | 0.93          | 1.32 | 1.04 | 1.33 | 0.89          | 1.62 | 1.27 | 1.62 | 0.50          | 7.92 | 6.4 | 8.76 |
| Farm 2   | 0.92          | 1.41 | 1.05 | 1.41 | 0.90          | 1.77 | 1.23 | 1.57 | 0.66          | 8.77 | 6.33 | 7.91 |
| Farm 3   | 0.95          | 1.13 | 0.91 | 1.13 | 0.95          | 1.09 | 0.88 | 1.09 | 0.72          | 6.39 | 6.15 | 7.36 |

[Table 1] shows us the $R^2$ value and standard error for outside temperature, inside temperature, and also humidity among three smart bee farms by using the Linear Regression Model (LM). From table 1 we can easily find out the best prediction results giving smart bee farms based on environmental factors. After analyzing the outside temperature, inside temperature, and humidity of three smart bee farms we find out the best prediction results giving farm is Farm 3 based on $R^2$ values, RMSE, MAE, and SE. $R^2$ values of Farm 3, for outside temperature, inside temperature, and humidity are 0.95, 0.95, and 0.72. RMSE values for Farm 3, for outside temperature, inside temperature, and humidity are 1.13, 1.09, and 7.36. RMSE values for Farm 3, for outside temperature, inside temperature, and humidity are 0.91, 0.88, and 6.15. Standard error values of Farm 3, for outside temperature, inside temperature, and humidity are 1.13, 1.09, and 6.39.

5. Conclusions

The bee has various natural habitats, such as agricultural crop pollination and direct sources of income from the beekeeping industry like honey, bee venom, propolis, pollen, and wax. This study focused on finding out the environmental factor’s prediction of three smart bee farms by using the linear regression model. Also, it is intended to find the best smart bee farm among three smart bee farms for getting good prediction results. All results and analysis give us acuteness between environmental factors predictions and smart bee farms. Results are about three different types of environmental factors for three different farms and from the results, we find out that Farm 3 gives us the best result among the three smart bee farms. So, after analyzing all environmental factors we can say Farm 3 is better than the other two farms for getting good production.
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