Changes in the glaze characteristics and moderate antibacterial activity of ceramic tile glazes with the addition of ZnO
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ABSTRACT
Zinc glaze forms a glossy surface or exhibits the characteristics of a crystalline glaze owing to the precipitation of fan shaped crystals, depending on the ZnO content. In this study, we fabricated tile specimens by adding 5–20 wt.% ZnO to commercial tile glaze and analyzed the changes in their surface characteristics, crystalline phase, microstructure, and ion dissolution. The effects of such changes on the antibacterial activity of the glaze were also analyzed. When the ZnO content exceeded 10 wt.%, the gloss of the glaze decreased, whereas the surface roughness and contact angle increased because the willemite crystalline phase generated in the glaze grew as it was exposed to the surface. In the glazes, reactive oxygen species (ROS) were not detected, irrespective of the ZnO content. As the ZnO content increased, the Zn ion dissolution increased, causing a change in the total dissolved ion concentration of the glaze. Furthermore, apart from the changes in the other characteristics of the analyzed glaze specimens, the antibacterial activity against \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{E. coli} was found to increase with the Zn ion dissolution. As reported previously, zinc ion dissolution is apparently the major cause of the antibacterial activity exhibited by these glazes.

1. Introduction
Glazes take various forms and colors, according to the added components and the heat treatment process, and their names or applications are determined by these factors. ZnO in glazes behaves similarly to other alkaline earth oxides, but dissolves at a higher rate at low temperatures. Therefore, when a small amount of ZnO is added to a high-fire porcelain glaze, it acts as a flux that promotes the melting of other oxides, thus improving the surface roughness and gloss of the glaze\cite{1,2}. In contrast, when a large amount of ZnO is added, it reacts with the other components of the glaze to form gehmite (ZnAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}) or willemite (Zn\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4}) crystals that create a matte surface. Zinc-based crystalline glazes, one of the representative crystalline glazes, are produced by generating fan shaped crystals during the cooling process by saturating the glaze with ZnO.

A glaze specimen with micro/nano morphology due to crystallization has different physicochemical characteristics than a smooth glaze in the amorphous state. The synergistic effect of hydrophobicity and antibacterial activity has been reported by implementing micro/nano hybrid structures on the surface of glazes\cite{3–6}. Glaze surfaces modified with β-Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} crystals exhibit a contact angle of ~155° and reduce bacterial population > 99% for gram positive and gram negative bacteria. Antibacterial activity has also been noted for the glazes modified with copper oxide and copper.

Recently, various attempts to produce antibacterial surfaces by coating products with ZnO or using ZnO as a component have been reported\cite{7–14}. ZnO powders, which exhibit photochemical properties, have been found to exert antibacterial activity by generating reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydroxyl radicals (•OH) under UV irradiation\cite{7}. Furthermore, nano-sized ZnO powders have been reported to produce hydroxyl radicals (•OH) upon reacting with water\cite{8}. Glasses doped with ZnO show antibacterial activity because the eluted Zn ions destroy bacterial membranes\cite{11–13}. Willemite crystals, which are generated on the glaze surface by the reaction of ZnO with SiO\textsubscript{2}, have been found to limit the colonization of bacteria by improving the surface hydrophobicity\cite{14}.

Studies have been conducted to apply glaze with antifouling or antibacterial activities to the surfaces of ceramic products to improve the convenience of usage\cite{15–17}. Cu metal and copper oxide used as coloring materials in glazes have high effective antibacterial activities, but their characteristic colors limit the product design\cite{18,19}. Moreover, silver sol coating has limited applications due to the difficult heat treatment process and low durability of silver coatings\cite{20}. Therefore, it is necessary to develop antibacterial materials that can be applied to glazes without any major changes in the existing manufacturing process or the implementation of various glazes.
In this study, we added ZnO to commercial tile glazes and analyzed the changes in their physical characteristics and antibacterial activity after heat treatment. The changes in the surface characteristics, crystalline phase, microstructure, and ion dissolution of glazes following the addition of different amounts of ZnO, and their correlations with the antibacterial activity were analyzed. Thus, the applicability of general glazes containing ZnO as an antibacterial coating was evaluated, and a universal antibacterial glaze composition and processing technology based on ZnO addition were examined.

2. Materials and methods

Different amounts (5, 10, 15, and 20 wt.%) of ZnO (D_50 = 0.74 μm, Daejong Chemicals, Korea) were added to a glaze procured from a tile manufacturer (Daedong Tiles Co., Ltd., Cheonan, Korea), and mixed by a ball mill for 24 h. The chemical compositions of the glazes are shown in Table 1. The glaze received from the manufacturer already contained a small amount of ZnO as a flux and zircon as an opacifier. The four types of as-prepared glazes with different ZnO contents were applied to porcelain tiles of 50 mm × 50 mm dimensions and sintered in an oxidizing atmosphere. Each glaze was sprayed on to the tiles for 10 s under a pressure of 45 psi using a spray gun (W-3, Daewon Co., Korea) with 1.0 mm nozzle diameter. The tiles coated with the glaze were subsequently dried at 90°C for 4 h in an oven. For comparison, specimens coated with the as-received wall tile glaze from the manufacturer were also fabricated in an oxidizing atmosphere. For heat treatment, the specimens were heated at the rate of 3°C/min, maintained at 1100°C for 1 h, and then cooled naturally. Figure 1 summarizes the specimens used in this study.

The specular reflection at 60° was measured to evaluate the gloss of the glaze (Glossmeter, micro-TRI-gloss, BYK Gardner, Germany). The line roughness of glaze (R_a) was measured five times using a surface roughness tester (SurfTest SJ-410, Mitutoyo, Japan) and the average value is reported. The water contact angle of the glaze surface was measured using a droplet of distilled water (SmartDrop, Femtobiomed, Korea). The surface free energy of the glaze was calculated using Neumann’s equation based on the water advancing contact angle and the surface tension of distilled water [21]. After soaking the glaze specimens in a 10 mM NaCl solution, into which monitoring particles were injected, the zeta potential of the glaze surface was measured using a flat-surface zeta cell unit (ELSZ, Otsuka Electronics, Japan).

X-ray diffraction (XRD) of the glaze surface was performed using a Dmax-2500 diffractometer (Rigaku, Japan) to analyze the crystalline phase. The XRD patterns were recorded at the scan speed of 10°/min in the diffraction angle range of 10° ≤ 2θ ≤ 80°. After coating the glaze surface with platinum, the microstructure was observed by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; JSM-7610 F Plus, JEOL, Japan) and the composition was analyzed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, Oxford Instruments, UK). Polished cross-sections of glazed samples were also analyzed by SEM-EDS.

| Wt.%  | SiO₂ | ZnO  | Al₂O₃ | B₂O₃ | Na₂O | K₂O | CaO | MgO | ZnO |
|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Zn0   | 54.11| 6.94 | 7.46  | 7.61 | 2.06 | 4.19| 9.78| 2.47| 5.39|
| Zn5   | 51.38| 6.59 | 7.08  | 7.22 | 1.95 | 3.98| 9.29| 2.34| 10.15|
| Zn10  | 48.66| 6.24 | 6.71  | 6.84 | 1.85 | 3.77| 8.80| 2.22| 14.92|
| Zn15  | 45.94| 5.89 | 6.33  | 6.46 | 1.75 | 3.56| 8.31| 2.10| 19.67|
| Zn20  | 43.22| 5.55 | 5.96  | 6.08 | 1.64 | 3.35| 7.81| 1.97| 24.43|

Figure 1. Porcelain tiles coated with commercial wall tile glazes with extra ZnO added.
Cup-like specimens were fabricated to analyze the dissolution characteristics of the glaze. In a typical experiment, 45 g of distilled water was stored in three replicate specimens for each condition for 24 h, and then the compositions of various specimens were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (Optima 5300DV, Perkin Elmer, USA). The ROS generated on the glaze surface were analyzed using a terephthalic acid solution (TA) [22,23]. TA is known to generate fluorescent 2-hydroxyterephthalate by reacting with -OH. A solution obtained by dissolving 2 mM TA and 5 mM NaOH was placed in the cup-shaped specimens, and the setup was stored in a black box for 24 h. Then, the emission peak of 2-hydroxyterephthalate at 425 nm was analyzed under 312 nm excitation using a fluorescence analyzer (FP-8500, Jasco, Japan).

The antibacterial activity of the glaze was evaluated in accordance with the JIS Z 2081/ISO 22196 standard. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538P (S. aureus, Gram positive) and Escherichia coli ATCC 8739 (E. coli, gram negative) were inoculated on separate glaze surfaces, and then microbial growth inhibition and bactericidal properties of the surfaces were evaluated. Test microorganisms were prepared by growing them in a liquid culture medium. The control and test surfaces were inoculated three times with the microorganisms, and then covered with a thin sterile film. The antibacterial activity was calculated using Eq. (1).

\[
R = \frac{[\log(B/A) - \log(C/A)]}{[\log(B/A) - \log(A/A)]} \tag{1}
\]

where \( R \) represents the antibacterial activity, \( A \) is the average number of viable bacterial cells immediately after inoculation on the untreated test specimen, \( B \) is the average number of viable bacterial cells on the untreated test specimen after 24 h, \( C \) is the average number of viable bacterial cells on the antimicrobial test specimen after 24 h.

When the \( R \) value was > 2, the antibacterial activity was deemed to be 99.9%. Under each condition, six tile specimens were analyzed and the average value for each is reported.

3. Results

The glaze specimens are displayed in Figure 1. The specimens Zn0, Zn5, and Zn10 have the properties of an opaque gloss glaze. In the cases of Zn15 and Zn20, the formation of crystalline phase on the glaze surface could be observed with the naked eye. Zn15-OM shows the crystalline phase observed under a stereomicroscope.

Table 2 lists the surface roughness, gloss, contact angle, surface free energy, and zeta-potential values of the glazes. All the glazes exhibited low surface roughness (below 1 μm); however, the surface roughness increased with increasing ZnO content, and a significantly higher roughness was observed at a ZnO content of 15 wt.% or higher. The specimens showed the characteristic gloss values of glossy glaze (74–89 GU) up to a ZnO content of 10 wt.%; the gloss decreased below 20 GU at ZnO content of 15 wt.% or higher. The surface contact angles of all the glazes were smaller than 50°, indicating their hydrophilic nature. The surface free energy was found to generally increase with increasing ZnO content. The zeta potentials of all the glazes were higher than −10 mV. As the ZnO content increased, the zeta potential decreased at first and then reached a plateau.

XRD patterns of the ZnO-added glazes are shown in Figure 2. The Zn0 specimen provided peaks of zircon (ZrSiO₄) and diopside (MgCaSi₂O₆) crystalline phases, in addition to an amorphous halo. Zn5 and Zn10 provided the peaks of the zircon phase, whereas Zn15 and Zn20 provided the peaks of the willemite (Zn₂SiO₄) crystalline phase, in addition to those of the zircon phase. Thus, the crystalline phases in the samples appear to be affected by the composition (i.e. ZnO content) of the glaze. Particularly, the peaks of the crystalline ZnO phase did not appear under any condition. Apparently, the added ZnO dissolved to form Zn ions, or formed willemite phase by reacting with the SiO₂ melt. It has been reported that ZnO is converted to willemite crystals over a dwell time of 5 min at 1100°C [14]. The zircon used for the opacification of glaze prevailed without dissolving in all of the specimens, owing to its high thermal stability.

The SEM images of the glaze surfaces (Figure 3) revealed that Zn0 comprises irregular cuboid crystals exposed on the glass matrix surface. EDS mapping of this sample revealed that diopside crystals were formed. For Zn5 and Zn10, needle-shaped zircon crystals were observed inside the glass matrix, while for Zn15 and Zn20, longer needle-shaped crystals grew along the surface. EDS mapping images of the surfaces of Zn15 and Zn20 indicated the formation of the willemite phase. The results of SEM-EDS analyses were consistent with the XRD results. The glass matrix of

| Properties                      | Zn0          | Zn5          | Zn10         | Zn15         | Zn20         |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Roughness (μm)                  | 0.35 ± 0.10  | 0.12 ± 0.07  | 0.23 ± 0.08  | 0.71 ± 0.06  | 0.69 ± 0.07  |
| Gloss (GU)                      | 84.4 ± 4.0   | 89.4 ± 1.3   | 74.2 ± 3.6   | 14.6 ± 3.1   | 16.1 ± 1.7   |
| Contact Angle (°)               | 36.4 ± 8.4   | 27.0 ± 7.5   | 31.4 ± 3.5   | 47.1 ± 2.5   | 46.6 ± 2.2   |
| Surface Free Energy (mJ/m²)     | 4.5 ± 0.6    | 3.0 ± 0.2    | 4.5 ± 0.3    | 5.3 ± 0.2    | 5.8 ± 0.5    |
| Zeta-Potential (mV)             | −14.72 ± 0.6 | −10.72 ± 0.7 | −10.09 ± 0.8 | −10.66 ± 0.7 | −11.98 ± 0.4 |
every glaze was found to be an aluminosilicate glass containing alkali metal ions, alkaline earth metal ions, and Zn$^{2+}$ ions.

Figure 4 shows the micrographs of the polished-cross sections of glazes. The thickness of the glaze ranged from 98 $\mu$m (Zn10, Zn20) to 228 $\mu$m (Zn0). In the cases of Zn0, Zn5, and Zn10 specimens, the crystals were embedded within the glaze layer, while in the cases of Zn15 and Zn20, crystals were found both within the matrix and on the surface of the glaze layer. EDS mapping of the glaze indicated that Zn ions were uniformly distributed in the glaze layer.

The ion dissolution behaviors of the glaze specimens are presented in Table 3. Distilled water mixed with diluted nutrients was used for cultivating bacteria in the antibacterial tests, and different nutritional compositions were used for the two types of bacteria tested. Although the compositions of the two bacterial media used for ion dissolution measurements were different, the dissolution environment of the glaze can be considered equivalent because the bacterial medium was designed to provide only bare minimum nutrients to the bacteria during antibacterial test and the nutrient concentration was maintained at a very low level ($1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ g/mL).

The total dissolved ion concentration decreased as the ZnO content increased to 10 wt.%, and increased again when the ZnO content increased further. Zn0 had the highest dissolved Ca ion content (0.102 mg/L), whereas Zn15 had the highest dissolved Zn ion content (0.076 mg/L) among the samples. In general, the dissolution quantity was the highest for Na$^+$, followed by those of K$^+$ and Zn$^{2+}$.

The results of the ROS analysis are summarized in Figure 5. Regardless of the ZnO content, •OH was not found in any specimen. Although not shown here, the characteristic fluorescence of 2-hydroxyterephthalate at 425 nm did not appear in the preliminary experiment conducted with 5, 10, and 50 mM of TA for

![Figure 2. XRD patterns of ceramic tile glazes with added ZnO.](image-url)
Figure 3. Micrographs of glaze surfaces and the corresponding EDS mapping images: (a) Zn0, (b) Zn5, (c) Zn10, (d) Zn15, and (e) Zn20.

Figure 3. (Continued)
concentration optimization. It was therefore inferred that ROS was not generated on the glaze surface, regardless of the ZnO content.

Table 4 summarizes the antibacterial properties of the different glazes. Zn0 showed an antibacterial activity of 83.4% against *S. aureus*, but ZnS showed...
a reduced antibacterial activity of 27.5%. As the ZnO content increased, the antibacterial activity of Zn20 against S. aureus increased to 89.4%. The antibacterial activity of the glaze against E. coli increased with increasing ZnO content. The antibacterial activity of Zn10 was higher than 73%, while that of Zn20 was close to 90%.

4. Discussion

XRD and SEM-EDS studies suggested that irregular cuboid crystals of diopside were formed on the glaze surface at a low ZnO concentration (Zn0), while needle-shaped willemite crystals were formed at a relatively high ZnO concentration (Zn15, Zn20). At intermediate ZnO concentrations (Zn5 and Zn10), crystals were observed on the glaze surface, only zircon crystals were present within the glaze layer.

Figure 6 correlates the ZnO content, surface roughness, and contact angle of the glazes. The surface roughness and contact angle of the glaze increased with the addition of ZnO. The willemite phase appearing on the surface of the glaze at ZnO contents exceeding 15 wt.% formed nano- or micro-sized crystals, leading to increased surface roughness. The water contact angle of the glaze surface with nano- or micro-sized structures increased possibly because of the so-called lotus effect.
Figure 4. (Continued)
Figure 7 shows the correlation between the surface roughness, gloss, and contact angle of the glaze. As the surface roughness increased from 0.354 to 0.687 μm, the gloss decreased from 84.4 to 16.1 GU and the contact angle increased from 36.4 to 46.6°. The diopside and willemite crystals precipitated on the surface scatter light, thus lowering the gloss value [24]. Furthermore, nano- or micro-sized features on the surface increase the hydrophobic property of the surface by inducing the lotus effect. Consequently, the increase in surface roughness due to the crystallization of certain phases led to increased contact angle [25,26].

Figure 8 shows that the antibacterial activity increases with increasing contact angle of the glaze. However, considering the results of previous studies on the origin of antibacterial activity, a surface with a high contact angle apparently provides an environment that is disadvantageous for the survival of bacteria rather than being a direct cause of killing bacteria. A study on the adhesion of bacteria to glass surfaces indicated that bacterial adhesion hardly depended on the surface charge of glass, but significantly on the...
contact angle (i.e. hydrophobicity) [27]. In other words, a relatively rough surface with increased contact angle facilitates the adhesion of bacteria to the glaze surface, which provides an environment that suppresses the growth and proliferation of bacteria.

Figure 9 shows the ion dissolution behavior of the glaze at different ZnO contents. When the ZnO content increased, both the dissolved Zn ion concentration and the total dissolved ion concentration increased. However, when the dissolution of ions other than Zn ions was examined, the increase in Zn ion dissolution appeared to cause the increase in the total concentration of dissolved ions. The dissolution property of glaze is speculated to be strongly related to the composition and structural change of the glassy phase that appears when the ZnO content increases, rather than with the crystalline phase generated on the surface.

In a previous study that comparing the dissolution rates of glass and crystalline phases of the same composition, a significant effect of the atomic ordering and structure on solid reactivity was observed [28,29]. In other words, the glass phase, which does not have a long-range structure, showed a higher dissolution rate than the ordered crystalline phase. As the ZnO content increased, the content of non-bridging oxygen in the glaze increased, which in turn enhanced the depolymerization of the glassy network [30,31]. Therefore, the glass phase formed with the dissolution of ZnO in the glaze matrix appears to contribute to the increase in the dissolved Zn ion concentration more than the crystalline phases formed on the surface upon ZnO addition.

Figure 10 shows that, as the dissolved Zn ion concentration increased, the antibacterial activity against both S. aureus and E. coli increased with a similar trend. The dissolved Zn ions show antibacterial activity by destroying the bacterial membranes [7–9]. However, the dissolved concentration of the Zn ion, which shows antibacterial activity, in the specimens studied herein is considerably lower than the concentration reported in earlier studies. The Zn ion concentration required for 80% or higher antibacterial activity against S. aureus and E. coli is 0.65–6.54 mg/L [32]. Therefore, certain factors other than Zn ion dissolution are responsible for the antibacterial properties of the ZnO-added glaze, which shows significant antibacterial activity even at low dissolved Zn ion concentration. Vincent et al. claimed that cell surfaces are damaged by even trace amounts of ions that dissolve when the bacteria are in contact with the surface [33]. Therefore, the increased contact angle, which was previously identified as an indirect factor is considered to facilitate the adhesion of bacteria to the glaze surface, and the Zn ions dissolved from the surface have a antibacterial effect by directly destroying the bacterial membranes.
5. Conclusions

The effect of the change in the characteristics of a commercial wall tile glaze with ZnO addition (5–20 wt.%) on the antibacterial activity of the glaze surface was analyzed. As the ZnO content increased, the gloss of the ceramic tile decreased, whereas the surface roughness and contact angle increased. XRD and SEM-EDS analyses indicated that, when the ZnO content exceeded 15 wt.%, willemite crystalline phase was formed inside the glaze and was exposed on the surface, where it grew. The crystalline phases exposed on the surface appeared to increase the surface roughness and contact angle, while reducing the gloss. Furthermore, as the ZnO content increased, the dissolved Zn ion concentration increased, in turn increasing the total dissolved ion concentration of the glaze. The dissolved concentration of other ions, excluding Zn ions, was maintained at similar levels, regardless of the ZnO content.

To determine the cause of the antibacterial activity exhibited by the glaze, the relationship between the glaze properties and antibacterial activity was analyzed. As the contact angle and the dissolved Zn ion concentration of the glaze increased, the antibacterial activities against both S. aureus and E. coli increased to 89% with a similar trend. Considering the results of previous studies on the antibacterial mechanism of Zn-containing glazes, the dissolved Zn ions are apparently the major cause of the antibacterial activity.
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