Xanthene\([n]\)arenes: Exceptionally Large, Bowl-Shaped Macrocyclic Building Blocks Suitable for Self-Assembly
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Abstract: A new class of macrocycles denoted as “xanthene\([n]\)arenes” was synthesized. In contrast to most other macrocycles, they feature a conformationally restricted bowl shape due to the attached alkyl groups at the linking methylene units. This facilitates the synthesis of cavitands and the self-assembly to molecular capsules via noncovalent interactions. The derivatization potential of the novel macrocycles was demonstrated on the xanthene\([3]\)arene scaffold. Besides a deep cavitand and an oxygen-embedded zigzag hydrocarbon belt\([12]\)arene, a modified macrocycle was synthesized that self-assembles into a hydrogen-bonded tetrameric capsule, demonstrating the potential of xanthene\([n]\)arenes as a new set of macrocyclic building blocks.
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Macrocycles have been a cornerstone in supramolecular chemistry since its beginning, which was marked by the discovery of crown ethers.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^10\) A wide variety of macrocycles featuring different degrees of conformational freedom and sizes has been developed and explored intensively ever since.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^10\) An important subset of these macrocycles is comprised of conformationally restricted bowl-shaped derivatives, which are required for the construction of cavitands and closed host structures like (hemi)carcerands.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^17\) Moreover, the self-assembly of molecular capsules via noncovalent interactions depends on such bowl-shaped macrocycles.\(^18\)\(^-\)\(^24\)

Macrocycles utilized for self-assembly mainly comprise phenol-based systems like the calixarene family of compounds,\(^25\)\(^-\)\(^27\) with the two widely used members resorcinarene (1, Figure 1a) and pyrogallolarene (2).\(^28\) The key features of these bowl-shaped molecules are (1) the crown conformation is highly favored due to the preference of the R substituents for the axial orientation and the hydrogen bond pattern of the free phenols;\(^29\)\(^-\)\(^31\) and (2) the R residues are essential for increasing the solubility of the free phenol compounds. This enhanced solubility facilitates self-assembly processes via hydrogen bonds and the facile derivatization of the macrocycles as low solubility is often a challenge for synthetic work.\(^32\)\(^-\)\(^34\) Naturally, it was attempted to broaden this specific subclass of macrocycles by replacing the benzene-based building blocks with larger ones, for instance, naphthalene-derived ones. Unfortunately, all attempts have failed to deliver size-extended bowl-shaped resorcinarene-like macrocycles as of yet.\(^30\)\(^-\)\(^33\) During the past decade, many new large phenol-based macrocycles, including 3–8 (Figure 1b),\(^34\)\(^-\)\(^41\) have been reported.\(^42\)\(^-\)\(^48\) Importantly, in contrast to 1 and 2, they do not feature R residues at the linking methylene units that conformationally restrict the crown conformation, and increase the solubility of the free phenol derivatives. The higher conformational freedom of the CH\(_2\)-linked macrocycles is revealed in the \(^1\)H NMR spectra. For instance, the calix\([n]\)carbazoles (6) and the fluororex33–90 (7) feature magnetically equivalent hydrogens at the methylene linkages. Such a high symmetry originates from a fast inversion of the macrocyclic ring. In contrast, macrocycles 1 and 2 feature a conformationally restricted bowl shape that does not invert due to the presence of the R substituents.

Studies on the resorcinarene framework have furthermore demonstrated that the synthetic access to methylene-linked systems is more facile than the R-substituted ones, giving more facile access to the unsubstituted larger penta-, hexa-, and heptameric resorcinarenes.\(^49\)\(^-\)\(^53\) This might explain the prevalence of methylene-linked macrocycles over R-substituted ones (Figure 1).

Herein, we report the synthesis of new, exceptionally large, conformationally restricted bowl-shaped macrocycles X-3 and X-4 (Figure 1c), composed of three or four xanthene units, respectively, and propose the name “xanthene\([n]\)arenes” (X-\(n\)). In contrast to the known large macrocycles (Figure 1b), they...
carry R residues on the linking methylene units and, therefore, feature the two main advantages mentioned in the introduction: (1) the conformationally restricted crown conformation and (2) the good solubility of the free phenol compounds. We demonstrate that they are well-suited for the construction of deeper cavitands, hydrocarbon belts, and the self-assembly of hydrogen bond-based molecular capsules.

The known xanthene 9 can easily be obtained on the decagram scale from acetone and resorcinol in the presence of the Lewis acid ZnCl₂ (Scheme 1). In analogy to the calixarene/resorcinarene syntheses, a direct macrocyclization of 9 and different aldehydes under acidic conditions was attempted. However, no traces of xanthene[n]arenes were detected via 1H NMR and ESI-MS analysis. Therefore, we decided to attempt macrocyclization in a head-to-tail manner from the prefunctionalized monomer 15 equipped with the side chain and the reactive benzylic alcohol moiety.

Compound 15 is accessible via a simple four-step procedure. After benzyl protection in high yield (92%), selective monoformylation was achieved using Vilsmeier–Haack conditions in excellent yield (96%). Subsequently, the benzyl groups were removed using TFA to deliver aldehyde 12 (62%). Finally, Grignard-addition yielded the desired benzylic alcohol 15 (90%). Extensive screening of cyclization conditions using different acids, solvents, and concentrations resulted in very low yields (<5%) of the macrocyclic products in most cases (see Supporting Information, Chapter 3). Gratifyingly, under optimized conditions (25 mM 15, 15 vol % TFA:CH₂Cl₂, 20 h, 0 °C to rt), the xanthene[3]arene 19 was obtained as the major macrocyclic product in 21% isolated yield. The even larger X-4 was only observed in traces via MALDI-MS. Attempts to increase the amount of X-4 by changing the reaction conditions failed. We speculated that the product

Figure 1. Xanthene[n]arenes extend the narrow field of conformationally restricted, bowl-shaped macrocycles as its largest representative.
distribution might be shifted toward the larger X-4 by the installation of larger R^1 groups that might prevent X-3 formation sterically. The ethyl- and n-propyl-xanthenes 10 and 11 were obtained on gram scale from resorcinol and the
corresponding ketone using hydrochloric acid instead of the zinc chloride utilized for 9. They were converted to the benzylic alcohols 17 and 18 in good yields utilizing the chemistry developed for 15. Indeed, utilizing the cyclization conditions optimized for the conversion of 15 to 19, conversion of 17 and 18 led to the tetrameric X-4 structures 21 and 22 as the only defined cyclization products, albeit in very low yields (<1%). Although the yield was terrible, the selective formation of X-4 over X-3 was promising. Furthermore, it was found that the product X-4 decomposes during the extended reaction time (20 h). After extensive optimizations, shorter and milder reaction conditions were found (64 mM 17/18, 1:9 TFA:DCE, 60 min, 0 °C) that yielded the X-4-derivatives 21 and 22 in at least useful yields of 7 and 4%, respectively (see Supporting Information, Chapter 4).

All macrocycles synthesized (19, 21, and 22) were characterized by 1H-, 13C-, and 2D-NMR spectroscopy as well as ESI-HRMS measurements. Additionally, the crystalline xanthene[3]arene derivative 20, featuring i-Bu instead of n-Dec feet, was synthesized via alcohol 16. Single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution of 20 in THF. The crystal structure analysis of 20 (space group: P4/n) confirms the crown conformation (C4v symmetry, Scheme 1). While the tetrahedral angles between two adjacent xanthene units remain at 109.4°, interestingly, the dihedral angles between the two aromatic units of each xanthene are 16.5°, induced by the strain of the macrocycle.

To demonstrate that these unusually large, conformationally restricted, bowl-shaped macrocycles indeed feature the same advantages as their much smaller resorcinarene (1) counterparts, several derivatives were prepared. We demonstrate that (1) they are a suitable platform to synthesize large and well-soluble cavitands, (2) derivatives of X-3 self-assemble to unusually large tetrameric supramolecular capsules, and (3) they enable the synthesis of a well-soluble oxygen-embedded zigzag hydrocarbon belt.

First, quinoxaline walls55 were introduced by nucleophilic aromatic substitution to deliver cavitant 23 in good yield (48%, 88% yield per substitution, Scheme 2). 23 displays a high selectivity for binding adamantanelmethanol (24) (see Supporting Information, Chapter 7.2). Interestingly, a series of other adamantane derivatives, ammonium salts, and fullerenes did not show uptake.

Second, derivatization at the upper rim of X-3 was conducted in analogy to a literature procedure on resorcinar-ene.56 After NBS-bromination (89%) and subsequent permethylation (88%), the aryl bromides were converted into phenolic moieties via halogen/lithium exchange, followed by quenching with trimethyl borate and subsequent oxidation. Product 25 was obtained in good yield considering that six positions were functionalized (27%, 80% yield per function-ality). Macrocycle 25 was characterized by 1H-, 13C-, and 2D-NMR spectroscopy as well as ESI-HRMS measurements. In apolar solvents such as chloroform and toluene, 25 self-assembles to defined larger structures. Interestingly, this is in contrast to the unmodified X-3 and X-4 that did not form assemblies in apolar solvents. Whereas the signals of the 1H NMR spectra are broad at room temperature, the signals sharpen upon cooling (Figure 2). At 228 K, the phenol signals resonate as sharp peaks at 12.9 and 8.8 ppm, while the signals for the aromatic C–H groups and the methoxy groups split into two sharp singlets each.

The geometric requirements of the C3-symmetric macrocycle 25 would, in principle, enable the self-assembly to dimeric, tetrameric, and octameric structures. These options can be differentiated via DOSY-NMR measurements. DOSY-NMR has been established as a reliable tool for the size determination of supramolecular assemblies.57 This technique is very valuable as well-soluble derivatives usually do not crystallize well to enable X-ray structure determination. Moreover, the in-solution analysis is of high interest, as the crystal packing in the solid-state may change the assembly size and shape. DOSY-NMR experiments at 298 K in toluene-d8 provided a diffusion value of $D = 0.23 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2\text{s}^{-1}$. According to a well-established procedure involving the modified Stokes–Einstein equation,58 this value corresponds to a hydrodynamic radius of $r_h = 1.7$ nm for the supramolecular assembly (see Supporting Information, Chapter 5.2). The hydrodynamic radius determined from the respective diffusion coefficient only correlates well with the molecular model of a tetrameric assembly ($r_{\text{dim}} = 1.30$ nm, $r_{\text{tetramer}} = 1.6$ nm, $r_{\text{octamer}} = 2.10$ nm, see Supporting Information, Chapter 5.3). Accordingly, the dimeric and octameric assembly can be reliably excluded. Additionally, substrate uptake studies with C60 and C70 fullerene provided further convincing evidence for the tetrameric size.

Molecular modeling clearly indicated that the dimeric capsule would be too small for uptake of either fullerene, while the tetrameric assembly would accommodate one C60 very well (see Supporting Information, Chapter 7.4). The octameric capsule on the other hand would easily accommodate several fullerenes at once. The experiments revealed (1) a selective uptake of C60 where no interactions with C70 were observed, and (2) that uptake was limited to 1 equiv of C60 (Figure 3, Supporting Information, 7.4). DOSY-NMR experiments further provided a diffusion value of $D = 0.24 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ for the host–guest complex, confirming the structural stability of assembly I upon guest uptake. The binding constant $K_b$ was found to be approximately $7 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (see Supporting Information, Chapter 7.4). Accordingly, the dimeric and octameric assembly can be reliably excluded based not only on the analysis of the diffusion value but also on this guest uptake study.

With an internal cavity volume of approximately 1400 Å³, this tetrameric capsule I (Scheme 2b) is more than five times
larger than the largest tetrameric hydrogen bond-based supramolecular capsule reported so far (270 Å). Further guest uptake studies with alkyl ammonium guests indicated that the assembly displays a similar uptake behavior as the pyrogallol[4]arene (2) capsule with these guests. With Et₄NBr, a dimeric precipitate was observed. Dimeric assemblies of pyrogallolarene (2) containing ammonium species were previously observed to form in solid-state and as precipitates from solution. The addition of larger ammonium, terpene, and polyaromatic guests did not interfere with the formation of the tetrameric capsule. However, no host–guest complexes could be observed (see Supporting Information, Chapter 7.3).

Lastly, macrocycle 19 was converted to the O₆-belt[12]arene 26 (Scheme 2c). This O₆-beltarene is 1.5 times wider than any previously reported O-derivative. The conversion was achieved using conditions reported recently on the resorcinarene (1) framework. After triflation (99%), a partial hydrolysis followed by aromatic substitution under basic reaction conditions yielded the O₆-belt[12]arene 26 in 76% isolated yield. The photophysical properties of 26 were investigated (Scheme 2c). The UV–vis absorption spectrum in CH₂Cl₂ at 293 K shows two bands with maxima at 227 and 275 nm (ε ≈ 2500–6000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), which we attribute to spin-allowed transitions into singlet excited states. Furthermore, a broad (and considerably weaker) absorption feature between 300 and 375 nm is observed and is tentatively attributed to a spin-forbidden transition into a triplet excited state. When a CH₂Cl₂ solution of O₆-belt 26 is excited at 293 K at 275 nm, a broad, featureless emission band with a maximum λₑ需要用到em at 350 nm and a luminescence lifetime τ of 1.6 ns is observed. Emission measurements at 77 K in 2-methyl-THF with excitation at 275 nm reveal some fine structure of this emission band, including progressions in a ~1600 cm⁻¹ mode (Scheme 2c, right inset). Following excitation at 350 nm, a weak emission band with a maximum at 462 nm, which we attribute to phosphorescence, was observed (see Supporting Information, Chapter 8 for details). In cyclic voltammetry, no reduction or oxidation within the potential window between −2.2 and 1.9 V vs Fc⁺/Fc was detectable. This is in contrast to related O₆-belt[8]arenes which have been shown to undergo irreversible oxidation at a potential of 1.3 V vs Fc⁺/Fc.

In summary, we have developed a novel class of exceptionally large, conformationally restricted, bowl-shaped macrocycles denoted xanthene[n]arenes. The selective synthesis of xanthene[3]arenes or xanthene[4]arenes was achieved through modulation of the steric hindrance at the C9-position of the monomer (methyl, ethyl, n-propyl). The macrocycles are amenable to further modifications as demonstrated for X-3. Cavitand 23, hydrocarbon belt 26, and macrocycle 25, which is able to self-assemble to a tetrameric capsule, were synthesized in one, two, and three steps, respectively. Both supramolecular hosts displayed guest binding properties. Macrocycles with an intrinsic curvature are essential for the construction of closed container molecules like molecular capsules. Only very few suitable building blocks are available as of yet. Importantly, all available macrocycles are rather small with a diameter of approximately 7 Å. In contrast, the developed macrocycles X-3 and X-4 feature a diameter of 9.6 and even 14 Å, respectively. Conformationally restricted, bowl-shaped macrocycles of this size are unprecedented. Considering the current interest directed to the synthesis of novel macrocyclic hosts and self-assembling systems, we are convinced that they will serve as valuable platforms for the construction of a wide range of host structures, far beyond the examples we report in this Letter.
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