On Dirichlet biquadratic fields

par ÉTIENNE FOUVRY et PETER KOYMANS

Résumé. Nous prouvons l’existence d’un sous–ensemble, de densité positive, d’entiers \( n > 0 \) sans facteur carré, tels que le 4–rang du groupe de classes d’idéaux de \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n}, \sqrt{n}) \) vaut \( \omega_3(n) - 1 \). On a désigné par \( \omega_3(n) \) le nombre de diviseurs premiers de l’entier \( n \) qui sont congrus à 3 modulo 4. Rappelons que, pour les groupes de classes associés à \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \) et \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n}) \), un sous–ensemble analogue d’entiers \( n \) n’existe pas.

Abstract. We prove the existence of a subset, with positive natural density, of squarefree integers \( n > 0 \) such that the 4–rank of the ideal class group of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n}, \sqrt{n}) \) is \( \omega_3(n) - 1 \), where \( \omega_3(n) \) is the number of prime divisors of \( n \) that are 3 modulo 4. Recall that for the class groups associated to \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \) or \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n}) \) an analogous subset of \( n \) does not exist.

1. Introduction

The Cohen–Lenstra heuristics [2] predict the distribution of the \( p \)-parts of class groups for the family of imaginary quadratic and real quadratic fields, where \( p \) is an odd prime. These heuristics have been extended to \( p = 2 \) by Gerth [10], and have recently been proven by Smith [17, Theorem 1.4] in a major breakthrough in the context of imaginary quadratic fields. The last paragraph of the introduction of [13] now explains how to develop Smith’s method in the case of real quadratic fields.

The Cohen–Lenstra heuristics have been extended to more general families of fields by Cohen and Martinet [3]. However, their work only deals with the \( p \)-part with \( p \) coprime to the degree of the number field. In this work we explore some of the interesting features when one considers the 2-part of class groups of biquadratic fields. To the best of our knowledge, there are no heuristics in this setting and it would be an interesting task to develop such heuristics. The odd part of the class group is much better understood, since it is known to be isomorphic to the direct product of the odd parts of the class groups of its quadratic subfields (about this isomorphism, see [14, p. 246] for instance).
To present our results, we will use the following notations

- if $K$ is a number field, $\mathcal{O}_K$ is the ring of integers of $K$, $\text{Cl}(K)$ is the ordinary class group of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and $\text{Cl}^+(K)$ is the corresponding narrow class group,
- throughout this paper, $n$ is a squarefree integer greater than 1 and $K_n$ is the biquadratic field defined by $K_n := \mathbb{Q} (\sqrt{n}, \sqrt{-n})$. The fields $K_n$ were first studied by Dirichlet and are called Dirichlet biquadratic fields,
- if $G$ is a finite abelian group and $k \geq 1$ is an integer, the $2^k$–rank of $G$ is by definition $\text{rk}_{2^k}(G) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(2^{k-1}G) / (2^kG)$,
- the letters $p, p_1, \ldots, p'$ are reserved to prime numbers. The total number of prime divisors of $n \geq 1$ is denoted by $\Omega(n)$. The number of distinct prime divisors of $n$ is denoted by $\omega(n)$ and the number of distinct prime divisors congruent to 1 and 3 modulo 4 are denoted by $\omega_1(n)$ and $\omega_3(n)$ respectively,
- we denote by $E$ the following exceptional set $E := \{n > 3 : n$ squarefree and there exist $c, e \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $c^2 - ne^2 = \pm 2\}$.

Considerations on the prime divisors of $n$ and classical sieve techniques imply that $E$ has $O(x(\log x)^{-1/2})$ elements $n \leq x$. We can now state

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $n > 3$ be a squarefree integer satisfying the equalities

$$
\text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})) = \text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})) = 0.
$$

We then have the equality

$$
\text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}(K_n) = \omega_3(n) + \delta(n) + \epsilon(n) - 1,
$$

where $\epsilon(n) = 1$ if $n \in E$ and 0 otherwise, and $\delta(n)$ is defined as follows

$$
\delta(n) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \omega_3(n) = 0 \text{ and } \exists p \mid n, p \equiv 5 \text{ mod } 8, \\
0 & \text{if } \omega_3(n) = 0 \text{ and } \forall p \mid n \Rightarrow p \not\equiv 5 \text{ mod } 8, \\
0 & \text{if } \omega_3(n) \geq 1 \text{ and } \exists p \mid n, p \equiv 5 \text{ mod } 8, \\
-1 & \text{if } \omega_3(n) \geq 1 \text{ and } \forall p \mid n \Rightarrow p \not\equiv 5 \text{ mod } 8.
\end{cases}
$$

Let us remark that the set of $n$ satisfying (1.1) represents about 28% of the set of squarefree integers, see Proposition 2.11. Theorem 1.1 shows that $\text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}(K_n) = \omega_3(n) - 1$ for the set of $n < x$ satisfying equation (1.1) with at most $O(x/(\log x)^{1/4})$ exceptions. This gives a non-trivial constraint that any future heuristic regarding $\text{Cl}(K_n)$ must take into account.

Our results ultimately follow from a class number formula due to Dirichlet. Here the assumption that $n$ satisfies equation (1.1) is vital, since it ensures that the 8-rank of $\text{Cl}(K_n)$ vanishes. Then the class number formula
allows us to express \( \text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}(K_n) \) in terms of the Hasse unit index, \( \text{rk}_2 \text{Cl}(K_n) \), \( \text{rk}_2 \text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})) \) and \( \text{rk}_2 \text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})) \). The latter three quantities are computed using genus theory.

After publication of this work, the authors have shown in joint work with Pagano [9] that the 4-rank of \( \text{Cl}(K_n) \) equals \( \omega_3(n) - 1 \) for 100\% of the odd, squarefree integers \( n \), which in turn has been extended to even \( n \) in [12]. The results in [9, 12] require more advanced analytic techniques than this work, and furthermore do not offer any control over the exceptional set (here exceptional means not satisfying \( \text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}(K_n) = \omega_3(n) - 1 \)) unlike our Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.2.** For every squarefree integer \( n > 3 \) satisfying (1.1) we have the inequalities
\[
\max(\omega_3(n) - 2, 0) \leq \text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}(K_n) \leq \omega_3(n) + 1.
\]

Corollary 1.2 gives a rather precise formula for the function \( \text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}(K_n) \) provided that \( n \) satisfies (1.1). Furthermore, a classical result of analytic number theory asserts that most of the integers \( n \) are such that \( \omega(n) \) is very close to its average value \( \log\log n \). The same concentration phenomenon holds for the function \( \omega_3(n) \) around the value \( (1/2) \log\log n \) (see Proposition 2.15 below). Combining these facts with Corollary 1.2, we deduce the following.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be given. Then, for \( x > x_0(\varepsilon) \) we have that
\[
\frac{|\{3 \leq n \leq x : n \text{ squarefree}, |\text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}(K_n) - (1/2) \log\log n| \leq \varepsilon \log\log n\}|}{|\{3 \leq n \leq x : n \text{ squarefree}\}|}
\]
is at least 0.28.

This corollary shows that a positive proportion of squarefree \( n \) are such that the associated Dirichlet biquadratic field has a large 4-rank. Since the function \( \log\log n \) tends to infinity with \( n \), we see that the situation is completely different for the case of the quadratic fields \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \) and \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n}) \), where the 4-rank has an average tendency to be much smaller. For a precise statement, see Proposition 2.9 below.

2. Notations, lemmas and propositions

2.1. Notations. We complete the notations given in the introduction by the following

- to shorten notations, we write \( \text{Cl}(n) \) and \( \text{Cl}^+(n) \) for \( \text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})) \) and \( \text{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})) \) respectively. Their cardinalities are denoted by \( h(n) \) and \( h^+(n) \),
- the subset of invertible elements of \( \mathcal{O}_K \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{O}_K^* \),
• we also introduce the following set
\[ \mathcal{D} := \{ D : D \text{ fundamental discriminant} \} , \]
and its two subsets \( \mathcal{D}^+ \) and \( \mathcal{D}^- \) containing the fundamental \( D > 0 \)
and \( D < 0 \) respectively, and finally
\[ \mathcal{N} := \{ n \geq 3 \text{ squarefree} : \text{rk}_4(\mathcal{C}l(n)) = \text{rk}_4(\mathcal{C}l(-n)) = 0 \} , \]
• for every integer \( r \geq 0 \), the function \( \eta_r : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is defined by
\[ \eta_r(t) := \prod_{j=1}^r (1 - t^{-j}) . \]

2.2. The 2–rank of class groups in the case of quadratic fields. The first lemma is famous and was proved by Gauss in the context of binary quadratic forms.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( D \) be an element of \( \mathcal{D} \). Then we have
\[ \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{C}l^+(D)) = \omega(|D|) - 1 . \]

If \( D \) is negative, the two class groups \( \mathcal{C}l^+(D) \) and \( \mathcal{C}l(D) \) coincide. This may be not the case when \( D > 0 \). However we have

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( D \) be an element of \( \mathcal{D}^+ \). We have

(i) \( \mathcal{C}l(D) \) is a factor group of \( \mathcal{C}l^+(D) \) with index \( i(D) \in \{1, 2\} \), in particular we have the inequalities
\[ \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{C}l^+(D)) - 1 \leq \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{C}l(D)) \leq \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{C}l^+(D)) , \]

(ii) \( i(D) \) is equal to 2 if and only if the fundamental unit of \( \mathcal{O}^{*}_\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \) has norm 1,

(iii) we have the equality
\[ \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{C}l(D)) = \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{C}l^+(D)) - 1 \]
if and only if \( D \) is divisible by some \( p \equiv 3 \mod 4 \).

For comments on this last item, see [7, Lemma 1]. From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we deduce the following statement.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( n \geq 3 \) be a squarefree integer. Then we have

\[ \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{C}l(n)) = \begin{cases} 
\omega(n) - 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \mod 4 \text{ and } \omega_3(n) \geq 1, \\
\omega(n) - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \mod 4 \text{ and } \omega_3(n) = 0, \\
\omega(n) - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \mod 4, 
\end{cases} \]

and also

\[ \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{C}l(-n)) = \begin{cases} 
\omega(n) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\
\omega(n) - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4. 
\end{cases} \]
Proof. It is based on the fact that the discriminant of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm n})$ is either $\pm n$ or $\pm 4n$ according to the congruence class of $\pm n \mod 4$. □

We gather (2.1) and (2.2) of Lemma 2.3 in the following statement which will be useful in Section 3.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $n \geq 3$ be a squarefree integer. We then have the equality

$$\operatorname{rk}_2(\text{Cl}(n)) + \operatorname{rk}_2(\text{Cl}(-n)) = \begin{cases} 2\omega(n) - 1 - 1_{n \equiv 2 \mod 4} & \text{if } \omega_3(n) = 0, \\ 2\omega(n) - 2 - 1_{n \equiv 2 \mod 4} & \text{if } \omega_3(n) \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

**2.3. The 2–rank of class groups in the case of Dirichlet biquadratic fields.** We will prove the following

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $n \geq 3$ be a squarefree integer. We have the equality

$$\operatorname{rk}_2(\text{Cl}(K_n)) = \begin{cases} 2\omega_1(n) + \omega_3(n) - 1 + 1_{n \equiv 2 \mod 4} & \text{if } \forall p \mid n \Rightarrow p \not\equiv 5 \mod 8, \\ 2\omega_1(n) + \omega_3(n) - 2 + 1_{n \equiv 2 \mod 4} & \text{if } \exists p \mid n, p \equiv 5 \mod 8. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma plays a central role.

**Lemma 2.6.** For all squarefree integers $n \geq 3$ we have the equality

$$(2.3) \quad 2^{\text{rk}_2(\text{Cl}(K_n))} = \left| \left\{ \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i] : \beta \mid n, \beta \equiv \pm 1 \mod 4 \right\} \right| / 2^{2-1_{n \equiv 2 \mod 4}}.$$

**Proof.** Since $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is a PID, we see that the action of $\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q}(i))$ on $\text{Cl}(K_n)$ is by inversion. In particular, we see that any unramified, abelian extension $L$ of $K_n$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. Furthermore, the exact sequence

$$1 \to \text{Gal}(L/K_n) \to \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(i)) \to \text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q}(i)) \to 1$$

is split. Indeed, using once more that $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is a PID, we see that $\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q}(i))$ on $\text{Cl}(K_n)$ is by inversion. In particular, we see that any unramified, abelian extension $L$ of $K_n$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$.

By straightforward ramification considerations we see that $K_n(\sqrt{\beta})/K_n$ is unramified at all odd places if and only if $\beta \mid n$. For now suppose that $n$ is odd and take $\beta \mid n$. Then a local computation at $2$ shows that $K_n(\sqrt{\beta})/K_n$ is unramified at all primes above $2$ if and only if $\beta \equiv \pm 1 \mod 4$. For $\alpha \in K_n^*$, let $\chi_\alpha$ be the continuous group homomorphism $G_{K_n} \to \{\pm 1\}$ given by

$$\sigma \mapsto \chi_\alpha(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{\alpha})}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.$$

Call an element $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ odd if it is coprime to $1+i$. If $I$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ coprime to $1+i$, then it has a unique generator that is congruent to $1 \mod 2+2i$. This allows us to define the $\gcd$ of two odd elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. 


to be the unique generator of the ideal \((\alpha) + (\beta)\) that is congruent to 1 mod \(2 + 2i\). Consider the abelian group
\[
\{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i] : \beta \mid n, \beta \text{ odd}\},
\]
where we define the group law \(\beta \ast \beta'\) to be
\[
\frac{\beta\beta'}{\gcd(\beta, \beta')^2}.
\]
We have now shown that, for \(n\) odd, there is a surjective group homomorphism
\[
\{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i] : \beta \mid n, \beta \equiv \pm 1 \text{ mod } 4\} \to \text{Cl}(K_n)^\vee[2]
\]
by sending \(\beta\) to \(\chi_\beta\). Since the kernel is generated by \(-1\) and \(n\), the lemma follows in case \(n\) is odd.

Now suppose that \(n\) is even and take \(\beta \mid n\). In this case \(K_n(\sqrt{\beta})/K_n\) is unramified at all primes above 2 if and only if \(\beta \equiv 1, 2, 3, 2i \text{ mod } 4\). Therefore the characters \(\chi_\beta\) with \(\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i], \beta \mid n\) and \(\beta \equiv 1, 2, 3, 2i \text{ mod } 4\) generate the group of characters \(\text{Cl}(K_n)^\vee[2]\). Thus we get a surjection
\[
\{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i] : \beta \mid n, \beta \equiv 1, 2, 3, 2i \text{ mod } 4\} \to \text{Cl}(K_n)^\vee[2].
\]
Since \(2i = (1 + i)^2\), we see that the map is still surjective if we impose that \(2 \nmid \beta\). Hence we get a surjective group homomorphism
\[
\{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i] : \beta \mid n, \beta \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4\} \to \text{Cl}(K_n)^\vee[2].
\]
In this case the kernel is generated by \(-1\), which completes the proof of the lemma also in this case. \(\Box\)

It remains to count the cardinality, denoted by \(F(n)\), of the set of divisors \(\beta\) appearing in the right-hand side of (2.3). We have

**Lemma 2.7.** Let \(n \geq 3\) be a squarefree integer. We then have the equality
\[
F(n) = \begin{cases} 
2^{\omega_1(n)} + \omega_3(n) + 1 & \text{if } \forall \ p \mid n \Rightarrow p \not\equiv 5 \text{ mod } 8, \\
2^{\omega_1(n)} + \omega_3(n) & \text{if } \exists \ p \mid n, p \equiv 5 \text{ mod } 8.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\phi_n : \{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i] : \beta \mid n, \beta \text{ odd}\} \to (\mathbb{Z}[i]/4\mathbb{Z}[i])^*\) be the morphism of abelian groups given by reducing \(\beta\) modulo 4. Then \(F(n) = |\phi_n^{-1}(\{1, -1\})|\). Observe that
\[
|\{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i] : \beta \mid n, \beta \text{ odd}\}| = 2^{\omega_1(n)} + \omega_3(n) + 2.
\]
Now the lemma follows immediately if we can prove that
\[
\text{im}(\phi_n) = \begin{cases} 
\{1, -1, i, -i\} & \text{if } \forall \ p \mid n \Rightarrow p \not\equiv 5 \text{ mod } 8, \\
(\mathbb{Z}[i]/4\mathbb{Z}[i])^* & \text{if } \exists \ p \mid n, p \equiv 5 \text{ mod } 8.
\end{cases}
\]
Clearly, \( \{1, -1, i, -i\} \subseteq \text{im}(\phi_n) \). Furthermore, simple considerations of congruences modulo 8 imply that
\[
\phi_n(\beta) \not\in \{1, -1, i, -i\} \iff N_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \equiv 5 \mod 8.
\]
This concludes the proof. \( \square \)

2.4. The 4–rank of class groups in the case of quadratic fields.

We recall a weaker form of the results of Fouvry and Klüners ([5, 6]) giving strong evidence for the truth of the Cohen–Lenstra–Gerth heuristics (see Section 1).

**Lemma 2.8.** For every integer \( r \geq 0 \), there exist two constants \( \alpha_r^+ > 0 \) and \( \alpha_r^- > 0 \), satisfying the equalities
\[
\sum_{r \geq 0} \alpha_r^+ = 1,
\]
and such that, as \( X \to +\infty \), one has
\[
|\{D \in D^\pm : 0 < \pm D < X, \text{rk}_4(\text{Cl}(D)) = r\}| \sim \alpha_r^+|\{D \in D^\pm : 0 < \pm D < X\}|.
\]
Similar statements hold with the following three congruence restrictions on the fundamental \( D \):
\[
D \equiv 1 \mod 4, \ D \equiv 4 \mod 8, \ D \equiv 0 \mod 8.
\]

The constants \( \alpha_r^\pm \) do not depend on the three congruences above and they can be expressed in terms of the \( \eta_r \)–function. From the remark that a squarefree integer \( n \geq 3 \) is either a fundamental discriminant (when \( n \equiv 1 \mod 4 \)) or one quarter of a fundamental discriminant (when \( n \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4 \)) we deduce from Lemma 2.8 the following

**Proposition 2.9.** Let \( \psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) be an increasing function tending to infinity. Then as \( X \) tends to infinity, we have
\[
|\{n : 1 \leq n \leq X, n \text{ squarefree, } \text{rk}_4(\text{Cl}(n)) \geq \psi(n)\}| = o(X).
\]
A similar statement holds for negative \( n \).

We will appeal to another result of Fouvry and Klüners concerning the values of the pair \((\text{rk}_4(\text{Cl}(n)), \text{rk}_4(\text{Cl}(-n)))\). We have

**Lemma 2.10.** [8, Theorem 1.8] For any integer \( r \geq 0 \) we have
\[
\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\{D \in D^+: D \equiv 1 \mod 4, D \leq X, \text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}^+(D) = \text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}(-D) = r\}|}{|\{D \in D^+: D \equiv 1 \mod 4, D \leq X\}|}
\]
\[
= 2^{-r^2-r}(1 - 2^{-(r+1)})\eta_\infty(2)\eta_r(2)^{-1}\eta_{r+1}(2)^{-1}.
\]
A similar statement holds true when the congruence \( D \equiv 1 \mod 4 \) is replaced by \( D \equiv 4 \mod 8 \) or \( D \equiv 0 \mod 8 \).
Apply this lemma with $r = 0$. Since $n$ or $4n$ is a fundamental discriminant and computing numerically the value of $\eta_{\infty}(2)$, we deduce

**Proposition 2.11.** We have

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\{1 \leq n \leq X : n \in \mathcal{N}\}|}{|\{1 \leq n \leq X : n \text{ squarefree}\}|} = \eta_{\infty}(2) = 0.2887880950 \ldots$$

**2.5. The 8–rank of some Dirichlet biquadratic fields.**

**Proposition 2.12.** For all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ we have

$$\text{rk}_8(\text{Cl}(K_n)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* This is a particular case of Lemma 3.2 of [1]. □

**2.6. A formula due to Dirichlet.**

**Proposition 2.13.** Let $n \geq 3$ be a squarefree integer. Then we have the equality

$$\frac{1}{2}h(n)h(-n)Q(n) = |\text{Cl}(K_n)|,$$

where $Q(n)$ takes the values 1 or 2. More precisely $Q(n)$ is the Hasse unit index

$$Q(n) := \left[\mathcal{O}_{K_n}^* : \mathcal{O}_{Q(\sqrt{n})}^*\mathbb{Z}[i]^*\right].$$

This formula, in the context of quadratic forms, was first discovered by Dirichlet, who derived it from his class number formula, see [4]. It can for instance be found in the famous Zahlbericht of Hilbert [11, Theorem 115 p. 153].

**2.7. Study of the Hasse unit index.**

**Proposition 2.14.** Let $n > 3$ be a squarefree integer. Then we have $Q(n) = 2$ if and only if $n \in \mathcal{E}$.

*Proof.* We apply [15, Theorem 1(ii)] with $m = 2$, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{n})$. It follows that $Q(n) = 2$ if and only if 2 ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$ and the unique prime $t$ above 2 is principal. Hence it suffices to show that the latter condition is equivalent to $n \in \mathcal{E}$.

First suppose that 2 ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$ and that $t$ is principal. Choose a generator $c + e\sqrt{n}$ of $t$. Computing the norm of $c + e\sqrt{n}$, we see that $n \in \mathcal{E}$. Conversely, suppose $n \in \mathcal{E}$. Looking at the equation

$$c^2 - ne^2 = \pm 2$$

modulo 4, we deduce that $n \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4$, so 2 ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$. Furthermore, the unique prime $t$ above 2 is generated by $c + e\sqrt{n}$, and therefore principal. □
2.8. Distribution of the function $\omega_3(n)$. It is well known that the function $\Omega(n)$ concentrates around its average value $\log\log n$, see for instance [16, Theorem 7.20]. The extension of this result to the function $\omega(n)$ is easy. The case of the function $\omega_3(n)$ is straightforward, since we have a good knowledge of the set of primes congruent to 3 mod 4. We have

**Proposition 2.15.** For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $c(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, uniformly for $x \geq 2$, one has the inequalities

$$|\{n \leq x : \omega_3(n) \leq (1/2 - \varepsilon) \log\log x\}| \ll x(\log x)^{-c(\varepsilon)},$$

and

$$|\{n \leq x : \omega_3(n) \geq (1/2 + \varepsilon) \log\log x\}| \ll x(\log x)^{-c(\varepsilon)},$$

We could even be more precise in the description of $\omega_3(n)$ around its average value by adapting the Erdős–Kac Theorem, see for instance [16, Theorem 7.21].

3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof is a straightforward application of the propositions contained in Section 2. We start from (2.4). Taking the $2^k$-parts of both sides of this equality, and taking the logarithm in basis 2, we deduce the following equality

$$(3.1) \quad \log_2 Q(n) - 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \text{rk}_{2^k}(\text{Cl}(n)) + \text{rk}_{2^k}(\text{Cl}(-n)) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{rk}_{2^k}(\text{Cl}(K_n)),$$

which is true for any squarefree integer $n > 3$. If $n$ is such that

$$\text{rk}_4(\text{Cl}(n)) = \text{rk}_4(\text{Cl}(-n)) = 0,$$

then trivially, we have

$$\text{rk}_{2^k}(\text{Cl}(n)) = \text{rk}_{2^k}(\text{Cl}(-n)) = 0 \quad (k \geq 3)$$

and also thanks to Proposition 2.12, the equalities $\text{rk}_{2^k}(\text{Cl}(K_n)) = 0$ ($k \geq 3$). These remarks simplify (3.1) into

$$(3.2) \quad \text{rk}_4(\text{Cl}(K_n)) = \left( \text{rk}_2(\text{Cl}(n)) + \text{rk}_2(\text{Cl}(-n)) \right) - \text{rk}_2(\text{Cl}(K_n)) + \log_2 Q(n) - 1.$$

Propositions 2.4 and 2.5 give the values of each of the two first terms on the right-hand side of the equality (3.2) in terms of the number of prime divisors of $n$ satisfying some congruence conditions. Proposition 2.14 gives the value of $Q(n)$. Then a simple case distinction completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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