Newer report of Rugose Spiraling Whitefly, *Aleurodicus rugioperculatus* Martin (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in India
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**Abstract:** The rugose spiraling whitefly (RSW), *Aleurodicus rugioperculatus* was first described by Martin from Belize in Central America in 2004 based on puparium collected from the leaves of coconut. This whitefly was first documented as a pest in Florida in urban landscapes in Miami Dade County in March 2009, but little is known about its biology. The RSW is highly polyphagous with more than 118 hosts belonging to 43 plant families, including several economically important crops in the United States. Division of Plant Industry host record frequency data from 2009 to 2012 proved that 22% of RSW affected palm species, 16% gumbo limbo, 10% on *Callophyllum* spp., 9% avocado, 3% mango and within the Areacaceae (palms), 44% coconut. Initially, this whitefly was observed in several coconut farms in the Pollachi area of Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu and Palakkad from Kerala during July–August 2016. A total of 17 plant species under 11 families were recorded as preferred hosts of *A. rugioperculatus*. The infestation was found very severe on lower leaves compared to that of the middle and upper young leaves in dwarf and hybrid coconut palms which are about 4 to 6 years old. The population of nymphs ranged between 18 to 37 nymphs/cm² of leaflet. Natural enemies to recorded were RSW viz., *Encarsia guadeloupae* Vigiani, *E. noyesi*, *Alieroctonus vitatus*, *Nephaspis oculata*. Among these *E. guadeloupae* potential biocontrol agent against RSW as 50 to 60% natural parasitization.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Whiteflies are very important pests of numerous agricultural crops. Whiteflies belong to the order Hemiptera and comprise a single superfamily, Aleyrodoidea, within the suborder Sternorrhyncha. They are all placed in a single family, Aleyrodidae, and are small sap-sucking, usually inconspicuous insects. They cause the highest economic damage on vegetable and ornamental plant species. Currently, there are 442 species of whiteflies belonging to 63 genera known from India. Recently, rugose spiraling whitefly (RSW), *Aleurodicus rugioperculatus* Martin (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), was observed on coconut palm (*Cocos nucifera* L). The etymology of rugose (i.e. fluted / irregularly corrugate) appearance of the puparial operculum. The origin of RSW was first described from Belize in Central America in 2004 (Martin, 2004). It was first documented as a pest in Florida in urban landscapes in Miami Dade County in March 2009. The RSW is highly polyphagous with more than 118 hosts belonging to 43 plant families, including several economically important crops in the United States (Francis et al., 2016). Division of Plant Industry host record frequency data from 2009 to 2012 proved that 22% of RSW affected palm species, 16% gumbo limbo, 10% on *Callophyllum* spp., 9% avocado, 3% mango and within the Areacaceae (palms), 44% coconut.

**Invasion of rugose whitefly in India**

Initially, this whitefly was observed in several coconut farms in the Pollachi area of Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu and first reported in Kottayam from Kerala during July–August 2016. Based on the puparia of *A. rugioperculatus* collected by the junior author and by Mr. John Mahesh, Deejay Coconut Nursery Farm in Pollachi (intensive coconut cultivation), Coimbatore, India which were received by the senior author for identification. RSW was described from Belize by Martin on coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L) by Martin (2004) illustration of the entire puparium was identified.
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(Sundararaj and Selvaraj, 2017). RSW was noticed on Coconut palms, mango and guava at Changanassery, Kottayam District, Kerala in India through accidental introduction. A total of 17 plant species under 11 families were recorded as preferred hosts of *A. rugioperulatus* (Shanas et al., 2016) (Table 1).

### Table 1. Host plants of *A. rugioperulatus* in Kerala

| S. No. | Common name     | Scientific name             | Family                  |
|--------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1      | Coconut         | *Cocos nucifera* L.         | Areaceae                |
| 2      | Banana          | *Musa* sp.                   | Musaceae                |
| 3      | Wild jack fruit | *Artocarpus hirsutus* Lam.  | Moraceae                |
| 4      | Jack fruit      | *Artocarpus heterophyllus* Lam. | Moraceae               |
| 5      | Brahma’s banyan | *Ficus exasperate* Vahl.    | Moraceae                |
| 6      | Mango           | *Mangifera indica* L.       | Anacardiaceae           |
| 7      | Guava           | *Psidium guajava* L.        | Myrtaceae               |
| 8      | Brown salwood   | *Acacia mangium* Willd.     | Fabaceae                |
| 9      | Malabar tamarind| *Garcinia gummi-gutta* (L.) | Clusiaceae              |
| 10     | Portia tree     | *Thespesia populnea* (L.)   | Malvaceae               |
| 11     | Wire weed       | *Sida acuta* Burm. f.       | Malvaceae               |
| 12     | Indian Almond   | *Terminalia catappa* L.     | Combretaceae            |
| 13     | Rangoon creeper | *Combretum indicum* (L.)    | Combretaceae            |
| 14     | Golden trumpet  | *Allamanda cathartaca* L.   | Apocynaceae             |
| 15     | Oleander        | *Nerium oleander* L.        | Apocynaceae             |
| 16     | Garden croton   | *Codiaeum verigatum* (L.)   | Euphorbiaceae           |
| 17     | Crown of thorns | *Euphorbia milii* Des Moul. | Euphorbiaceae           |

* New host records

### Damage symptom

The infestation was found very severe on lower leaves compared to that of the middle and upper young leaves in dwarf and hybrid coconut palms which are about 4 to 6 years old. The population of nymphs ranged between 18 to 37 nymphs / cm$^2$ of leaflet. RSW excretes a sticky, glistening liquid substance (honeydew), which provides an excellent substrate for growth of sooty molds, which turn the shiny liquid into a black-colored viscous liquid. The layers of sooty mold on leaves may disrupt the photosynthesis process in the host leading to physiological disorders (Stocks and Hodges 2012) (Plate 1).

**Plate 1.** Damage symptom of *A. rugioperulatus* on coconut, banana

### Biology

**Eggs:** Females lay eggs on the underside of leaves in a concentric circular or spiral pattern and cover it with white waxy matter. Eggs are elliptical and creamy egg on non–plant surfaces such as cars, windows and walls (Plate 2).

**Plate 2.** Biology of *A. rugioperulatus*
Immature stages:

Rugose spiraling whitefly has five developmental stages. The first instar, known as the crawler stage (because it is the only mobile immature stage) hatches out of the egg, and looks for a place to begin feeding with its needle-like mouth parts used to suck plant sap. Crawlers molt into immature stages that are immobile, oval and flat initially but become more convex with the progression of its life cycle (Mannion, 2010). Nymphs are about 1.1 – 1.5 mm long but many vary in size depending on instars. The nymphs are light to golden yellow in colour, and will produce a dense, cottony wax as well as long, thin waxy filaments which get denser over time (Stocks and Hodges, 2012). The puparium of this species is used for taxonomic identification.

2. Puparium

Colonies seen by the author were in generally poor condition, with groups of woolly-wax puparia untidily grouped under the leaves of the host. Margin. Outline oval, 0.97–1.22 mm long, 0.66–0.88 mm wide, generally widest at abdominal segment III (n=35). Margin smooth, often down-curved in slide-mounted specimens. Dorsum. Cuticle pale or with variably developed dusky coloration, especially submedially. Longitudinal and transverse moulting sutures reaching puparial margin; transverse moulting sutures apically curving slightly anteriorly, terminating opposite median part of metathorax.

Dorsal disc with subtle reticulate patterning often visible across the cephalothorax (Plate 4) and in abdominal subdorsum, and extremely fine spinulosity present along median line of abdomen. Abdominal segment VII medially reduced to less than half length of segment VI. Vasiform orifice broadly cordate, slightly emarginated to either side of lingula; operculum broadly elliptical except for its anterior edge, ventro-basally spinulose and dorsally characteristically rugose, with a pair of ventro-median fine setae; lingula head protruding beyond vasiform orifice, finely spinulose, apically acute, its four stout setae situated close to apex, which almost reaches puparial margin (Martin, 2004).

Table 2. Distinguishing puparial characters of Rugose spiraling whitefly and spiralling whitefly (Shanas et al., 2016)

| S. No. | Puparial character | A. rugioperculatus | A. dispersus |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 1      | Cuticle on dorsum   | Reticulated        | Smooth       |
| 2      | Compound pores on abdominal segments VII and VIII | Present | Absent |
| 3      | Corrugations / rugosity on the surface of operculum | Present | Absent |
| 4      | Shape of the apex of lingula | Acute | Oval   |

Adult:

Rugose spiraling whitefly adults are about three times larger (approx. 2.5mm) than the commonly found whiteflies and are lethargic by nature. Although taxonomic identification is required for species confirmation, rugose spiraling whitefly adults can be distinguished by their large size and the presence of a pair of irregular light brown bands across the wings (Stocks and Hodges, 2012). Males have long pincer-like structures at the end of their abdomen (Plate 3).

Plate 3. Rugose spiraling whitefly, A. rugioperculatus, a. Male, b. Female
VI. Management

Natural enemies to recorded were RSW viz., Encarsia guadeloupae Viggiani, E. noyesi, Alueroctonus vittatus, Nephaspis oculata. Among these E. guadeloupae potential biocontrol agent against RSW as 50 to 60 % natural parasitization.

Plate 4. Life stages of Nephaspis oculata. a) A single beetle’s egg (arrow) laid adjacent to a row of rugose spiraling whitefly eggs. Rugose spiraling whitefly eggs become darker as they age as can be seen here. The wax on the eggs was removed in order to take this photo. b) Larva feeding on a rugose spiraling whitefly egg. c) An adult male feeding on a 4th instar of rugose spiraling whitefly. d) A hole (arrow) created by the feeding activity of a beetle. The hole looks similar to an exit-hole created by parasitoids (Taravati et al., 2016)

Plate 5. Natural enemies of rugose spiraling whitefly, Encarsia guadeloupae, E. noyesi and Alueroctonus vittatus

Chemical control

Application of 1 % starch solution on leaflets to flake out the sooty moulds. In severe case, spray neem oil 0.5 %. Neonicotinoid insecticides can be applied with foliar, soil or trunk applications. Soil applications include drenching with water using granular formulations on the soil surface, or burying pellets. Trunk application includes basal bark sprays and trunk injection Systemic insecticides are used viz., Acetamiprid, Clothianidin, Dinotefuran and Imidacloprid (Mannion, 2010).

3. CONCLUSION

The rugose spiraling whitefly, Aleurodicus rugioperculatus Martin is a polyphagous pest that has caused significant damage in the India. Various plants have been identified as primary hosts in affected areas. Rugose spiraling whitefly was confirmed in 22 counties, and surveys for biological control agents have found several important natural enemies and other species that collectively provide appreciable control of this whitefly pest.
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