Mineral Composition of Herbaceous Species Seseli rigidum and Seseli pallasii: a Chemometric Approach
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Abstract

Nutrients play an essential role in many metabolic processes whose deficiency or excess can be harmful to the plant itself and through the food chain to both animals and humans. Medicinal plants used in the food and pharmaceutical industries can be contaminated with increased concentrations of heavy metals. The plant species Seseli rigidum and Seseli pallasii from the Balkan Peninsula are used in traditional medicine and spices in the diet, so it was necessary to determine the mineral composition to ensure their safe application. In this work, the mineral composition was determined in medicinal species of the genus Seseli using inductively coupled plasma with optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). Two multivariate statistic methods – principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) were applied to distinguish samples regarding their mineral composition. The mineral composition of both studied species is following the literature data. The results obtained using multivariate statistics methods agree and distinguish certain parts of the tested plants based on the highest content of micro, macro, or trace elements.
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1. Introduction

Almost all metals present in nature can be found in plants. They affect the life processes, anatomical and morphological structure, chemical composition, yield, and prevalence of certain plant species. According to plants’ presence, elements can be divided into macro elements, microelements, and trace elements.1 Macroelements are structural components of tissues; they have specific functions in the cells and basal metabolism and water and acidic-alkaline balance.2 Microelements are needed in much smaller quantities, less than 100 mg per day, making up less than 0.01% of body mass. Microelements are Zn, Fe, Si, Mn, Cu, Cr, fluorides, and iodides. Elements primarily present in low quantities (e.g., Pb, Cd, V) in plants, pose a significant threat to human health when consumed, causing adverse effects and hence, they are categorized as toxic to humans. Therefore, the determination of their content and action mechanism has become an area of particular interest and priority in different areas. This classification does not reflect their importance in plant metabolism; only their role is different. Unlike macro elements, microelements act catalytically at low concentrations and are strictly specific.

Medicinal plants of the genus Seseli have long been used in traditional medicine in the form of infusion and tinctures.5,6 They contain many compounds (essential oils, secondary metabolites) that can preserve good health due to their potential antioxidant, antimicrobial, hepatoprotective, anticancer, and anti-inflammatory activity.7 If medicinal plants are applied for pharmacological and veterinary purposes and in humans’ and animals’ diets, the increased content of individual heavy metals in plants can reduce their therapeutic activity or even be toxic to humans. Therefore, their use is limited. Consequently, the concentration of heavy metals in plants is strictly limited and defined by international standards.8
Regarding the preceding comments, the primary purpose of this research was to evaluate the contents of elements (Al, B, Ba, Ca, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, V, and Zn) in selected medicinal plants (*Seseli rigidum* Waldst. & Kit. and *Seseli pallasii* Basser), using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES).

### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Reagents

Analytical grade nitric acid (HNO₃) and 70% perchloric acid (HClO₄) supplied from Fischer scientific were used as reagents for the wet digestion of samples. Ultra-scientific (USA) ICP multi-element standard solutions of about 20.00 ± 0.10 mg L⁻¹ were used as a stock solution for calibration. The containers used for sample storage were cleaned to avoid contamination of the samples with traces of any metal. Containers were treated with 5% nitric acid and washed with ultra-pure water 18 MΩ cm (MicroMed highpurity watersystem, TKA Wasseraufbereitungs systeme GmbH).

#### 2.2 Instrumentation

All analyses were carried out on aniCAP 6000 inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ThermoScientific, Cambridge, United Kingdom), which uses an Echelle optical design and a Charge Injection Device (CID) solid-state-detector. The optimum instrumental conditions are listed in Table 1.

#### 2.3. The Selection of Analytical Lines

Before the analysis, spectral lines were selected, spectral interferences and matrix effect in both axial and radial view modes were checked for a total of 44 lines recommended by the ICP OES spectrometer library, which corresponded to 16 identified elements. The analytical lines were selected according to the ratio of the slope of the calibration curve and slope of the standard addition method line (Slopeuncture/Slopestandard).

#### 2.4. Validation

Based on the calibration curve of each metal, the selected wavelengths of the analyte lines, coefficient of determination, the limit of detection, and limit of quantification are shown in Table 2. The instrument was calibrated at a four-point calibration curve. The linearity of each element was tested, ranging from 0 ppm to 5 ppm. The calibration curve linearity for each element was evaluated by the coefficient of determination (R²). Samples were analyzed in triplicate.

The detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) limits were calculated with three and ten times of the blank’s standard deviation of the regression line (3σ and 10σ criterion), divided with a slope of the calibration curve.³

The spiking method was applied for the recovery test. To each plant sample, 2 ml of element standard solution (containing 62.5 mg L⁻¹ of Al, Ba, Ca, Fe, Mg, Na and 6.25 mg L⁻¹ of B, Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, V, Zn). The samples were prepared as is described in the section Sample preparation. All experiments were done in triplicate.

#### 2.5 Plant Material

*Seseli rigidum* Waldst. & Kit. was collected on rocky terrain on the Vidlič Mountain in southeast Serbia in July (the flowering stage) and in September (fruit phase) 2013, while *Seseli pallasii* Basser was collected in (fruit phase) August 2013 in the area of Kravlje, Serbia. Voucher specimen *S. rigidum* (No 16447) was deposited in the Herbarium of Botanical Garden “Jevremovac”, Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade, while voucher specimen of *S. pallasii* was deposited in Herbarium of Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science and Mathematics (HMN), University of Niš (No 7211).

#### 2.6 Sample Preparation

Before the analysis, root and aerial vegetative parts (leaf, flower, and fruit) were separated, dried at room temperature. The dried samples were powdered in a stainless steel mill, obtaining fine particles that passed through a 2 mm mesh and kept in polypropylene pouches for analysis. The wet digestion method of the dried samples was adopted to enable the measurement of the metal concentrations. The metal content in the plant material was determined after the acidic treatment. First, a volume of 10 mL concentrated HNO₃ was added to the sample (1 g), heated up in the open glass to a small volume (until red vapors originating from NO₂ are removed). Digestion was continued with 4 mL 70% HClO₄ and again evaporated to a low volume. Finally, the solutions were transferred to standard vessels and diluted to a volume of 25 mL.³

#### 2.7 Data Analysis

Chemometrics is an interdisciplinary scientific field, which includes multiparametric statistical analysis, math-
ematical modeling, computer methods, and analytical chemistry. Using mathematical, informational, and statistical methods, it is possible to efficiently and quickly classify compounds and samples into one of the categories.\textsuperscript{10,11}

To establish valid mathematical relations, it is necessary to convert all information into numerical ones and then model a mathematical pattern using the basic set of input data obtained experimentally (normalization).

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a technique of forming new variables representing combinations of source variables, which allows the extraction of important information and data from the original data sets. By applying PCA, the number of initial data is reduced, and as a result, new so-called variables are obtained—main components (Principal Components, PC).\textsuperscript{12}

There are different criteria for determining the required number of components. The Kaiser criterion is most commonly used, according to which all components whose eigenvalue is less than 1 are rejected.\textsuperscript{13} The number of principal components used for further calculations should explain at least 80\% of the total data variance. HCA is a clustering method that explores the organization of samples in groups and among groups depicting a hierarchy. The result of HCA is usually presented in a dendrogram—plot which shows the organization of samples and their relationships in a tree form. There are two main approaches to resolve the grouping problem in HCA, agglomerative or divisive.

In the first one, each sample is initially considered a cluster, and subsequently, pairs of clusters are merged. In a divisive approach algorithm start with one cluster including all samples, recursive splits are performed. Clustering is achieved using an appropriate metric of samples’ distance (Euclidean distance) and linkage criterion among groups. Complete, single, and average, and Ward’s linkage is the more common variants of linkage criteria. Based on the optimal value of a target function, Ward’s method is a common choice.\textsuperscript{12}

All statistical calculations were made using a statistical software package STATISTICA 8.0 (StatSoft, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA). The datasets were normalized and PCA and HCA were applied to analyze the obtained results. The following designations were used for the listed parts of plants \textit{S. rigidum} and \textit{S. pallasii} in dendrograms and diagrams: \textit{S.r L}—\textit{S. rigidum} Leaf, \textit{S.r Fl}—\textit{S. rigidum} Flower, \textit{S.r Fr}—\textit{S. rigidum} Fruit, \textit{S.r R}—\textit{S. rigidum} Root, \textit{S.p L}—\textit{S. pallasii} Leaf, \textit{S.p Fl}—\textit{S. pallasii} Flower, \textit{S.p Fr}—\textit{S. pallasii} Fruit and \textit{S.p R}—\textit{S. pallasii} Root.

### 3. Results

Contents of all analyzed metals (Al, B, Ba, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, V, Zn, Na, Mg, Ca, K, Cd, Cr, Ni, and Pb in ppm) in leaf, flower, fruit, and root of the plant species \textit{S. rigidum} and \textit{S. pallasii} are shown in Figure 1.

#### 3.1. Microelements (Al, B, Ba, V, Co, Fe, Cu, Mn, and Zn)

The concentration of aluminum in \textit{S. rigidum} ranges from 4.24 to 19.98 ppm and in \textit{S. pallasii} from 2.75–21.18 ppm.

The lowest concentration of boron was determined in the root of \textit{S. rigidum} (8.16 ppm), while the highest (13.09 ppm) was determined in the fruit. The concentration of barium in \textit{S. rigidum} ranges from 0.47 to 4.85 ppm, and in \textit{S. pallasii} from 0.96 to 11.1 ppm.

### Table 2. Analyte line selected with the ratio Slope\textsubscript{cal}/Slope\textsubscript{sam}, regression coefficient (R\textsuperscript{2}), LOD, LOQ of the calibration for each metal determination, and Recovery values for spiked samples. Plasma view mode: axial.

| Element | λ (nm) | Slope\textsubscript{cal}/Slope\textsubscript{sam} | R\textsuperscript{2} | LOD (μg/g) | LOQ (μg/g) | Recovery (%) |
|---------|--------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Al      | 396.152| 0.976                           | 0.99951        | 0.0850      | 0.2802      | 83.3         |
| B       | 208.959| 0.987                           | 0.99943        | 0.0014      | 0.0051      | 84.3         |
| Ba      | 455.403| 0.965                           | 0.99901        | 0.0272      | 0.0776      | 86.3         |
| Ca      | 317.933| 0.945                           | 0.99992        | 0.0752      | 0.2503      | 94.8         |
| Cd      | 228.802| 1.056                           | 0.99999        | 0.0226      | 0.0756      | 101.2        |
| Cr      | 267.716| 0.905                           | 0.99991        | 0.0610      | 0.2034      | 113.7        |
| Cu      | 224.700| 1.019                           | 0.99993        | 0.0532      | 0.1775      | 111.2        |
| Fe      | 259.940| 1.011                           | 0.99984        | 0.0248      | 0.0502      | 122.2        |
| K       | 766.490| 0.984                           | 0.99995        | 0.0215      | 0.0846      | 97.7         |
| Mg      | 202.583| 0.991                           | 0.99995        | 0.0584      | 0.1954      | 116.5        |
| Mn      | 257.610| 0.982                           | 0.99995        | 0.0422      | 0.1408      | 97.8         |
| Na      | 589.592| 1.011                           | 0.99997        | 0.0920      | 0.3530      | 112.3        |
| Ni      | 231.604| 0.983                           | 0.9998         | 0.0240      | 0.0678      | 106.5        |
| Pb      | 220.353| 0.958                           | 0.99998        | 0.0309      | 0.1030      | 115.9        |
| V       | 311.071| 0.899                           | 0.99904        | 0.0208      | 0.5213      | 97.5         |
| Zn      | 202.548| 0.981                           | 0.99997        | 0.0350      | 0.1168      | 109.7        |
ppm in the root to 2.21 ppm in the leaf. The highest concentrations of cobalt, copper and iron were determined in the root (5.55, 10.98, and 9.52 ppm, respectively). The lowest concentration was found in the leaf of *S. rigidum* (1.64; 3.99 and 2.30 ppm, respectively). Cobalt was determined at the highest level in *S. pallasii* root (7.14 ppm), while the amount in the root of *S. rigidum* was significantly lower (2.73 ppm). Vanadium was present in approximately the same concentration in all parts of the investigated plants. In *S. rigidum*, the highest concentration was determined in the root (1.58 ppm), the lowest in the fruit (1.49 ppm), while in *S. pallasii*, it ranges from 1.52 ppm in the leaf up to 1.68 ppm in the root. Zinc content was ranged from 17.80–35.25 ppm in *S. pallasii* and similarly in *S. rigidum* ranging from 10.3–37.2 ppm.

### 3.2 Macroelements (Na, Mg, Ca, and K)

The highest amount of calcium was determined in the leaf of *S. rigidum* (942.68 ppm), while a double lower quantity was determined in the root (467.78 ppm). The root of *S. rigidum*, compared with the other parts of the plant, contained deficient potassium and magnesium (775.39 and 958.90 ppm). In comparison, a significantly higher amount of potassium is determined in the fruit (2949 ppm). The highest concentration of magnesium was determined in the leaf (2284.74 ppm). The sodium content is significantly lower compared to other macroelements determined. An enormous amount of sodium was determined in the fruit and root (85.47 and 81.09 ppm), while the leaf and flower contain almost the same concentration of this element (52.51 and 53.16 ppm). The highest potassium content was determined in the fruit of *S. pallasii* (2279.26 ppm) and the lowest in the root 677.86 ppm. The highest sodium concentration was 172.30 ppm in the root and the smallest in the fruit (32.15 ppm). The lowest concentration of magnesium was determined in the root of *S. pallasii*, while in the flower of this plant, the amount of three times higher concentration was determined (15975.98 ppm). The highest concentration of calcium was determined in flower at 1189.86 ppm, while the root contains 460.41 ppm.
3. 3 Heavy Metals (Cd, Cr, Ni, and Pb)

The highest concentration of cadmium was determined at the root of *S. rigidum* (0.37 ppm), while in other parts; the concentration of this heavy metal was significantly lower. The cadmium content in the fruit of *S. pallasii* (0.23 ppm) is almost two and a half times higher than in the fruit of *S. rigidum* (0.10 ppm). The highest lead content is in the root (3.11 ppm) and the lowest in the flower of *S. rigidum* (1.87 ppm). The highest lead concentration was in flower (3.14 ppm), while it is the lowest in *S. pallasii* leaf (1.42 ppm). The highest chromium concentration was determined in the fruit (0.76 ppm) and the smallest in the leaf (0.40 ppm). The highest chromium concentration was determined in the *S. pallasii* flower (0.82 ppm), while in other parts of the plant, it was significantly lower. The content of nickel in the observed plant species is similar, although a certain amount of Ni in the fruit of *S. pallasii* (1.36 ppm) is almost twice as large as the fruit of *S. pallasii*, while the content of Ni in the root of both plant species is almost the same.

4. Discussion

The extent of aluminum concentration in analyzed plants of the genus Seseli is slightly lower than in medicinal plants from Serbia’s territory. The obtained results show that boron is mobile in the plant and accumulates mainly in the reproductive parts (fruit). The obtained boron concentrations are following 26 herbaceous species boron content from Serbia, ranged from 5.1–118.7 ppm. The barium content in the plants of the genus Seseli is in the lower concentration range than in the previous research of herbs from Serbia, Turkey, Spain, Africa, and Asia, as well as in the leaf of *Mentha piperitae* from Poland. Cobalt, copper, and iron are critical biogenic elements responsible for plant growth. Cobalt concentrations in the studied plants are above average concentrations (0.05–0.50 ppm) but still out of critical concentrations (30–40 ppm). The distribution of copper in vegetative parts of *S. pallasii* is contrary to the corresponding parts of *S. rigidum*. Average copper concentrations in the plant material are from 3–15 ppm, while the toxic concentration is 20 ppm. Based on the obtained results for *S. pallasii* and *S. rigidum*, it is evident that the content of the copper is in average concentrations, which is in line with previous studies of medicinal plants. The typical iron concentration in plants varies from 50–250 ppm, while concentrations above 500 ppm are toxic. Iron in the analyzed plant species is within a range of average concentrations. In species of the genus Seseli, lower iron content was registered compared to many medicinal and aromatic plants and green and black tea. The concentration of zinc in both plant species’ roots is approximately the same, while in the above-ground parts, it is lower (especially in the flower *S. rigidum*). Compared with the other observed metals in *S. pallasii*, zinc was present in higher concentrations. The flower of *S. pallasii* contained the highest concentrations of almost all determined elements compared to other plant parts.

Simultaneously, in *S. rigidum*, the situation is reversed: the highest concentrations of the specified metals are recorded in the root. Dudić et al. 2007 determined the content of Mg, Ca, Fe, Cr, and Ni in the root, stem, and leaf of *S. rigidum* from different regions, with serpentine (silicate) limestone substrate. The total content of magnesium was 14150 and 11280 ppm (silicate and limestone), while calcium concentrations were 13500 and 21110 ppm (silicates and limestone). Such a large amount of Ca and Mg was explained because the plant *S. rigidum* is tolerant to high concentrations of these metals in the substrate. The plant’s mineral composition depends on the leaves’ and roots’ morphological structure. However, in many cases, the substrate’s structure and composition make the results of different studies incomparable since plants are harvested from different geographical areas.

Ca and Mg concentrations determined in *S. pallasii* and *S. rigidum* ranged in approximately the same range of concentrations. However, in both plant species, the smallest amount of Ca and Mg were determined in the flower, while the highest concentration of these metals is determined in the above-ground parts and the flower. In all previous studies, the concentration of calcium was significantly higher than in the species of the genus Seseli, while the concentrations of Mg are comparable with these from the present study.

In addition to adverse impacts on plants, heavy metals pose a threat to human health due to their persistence in nature. Lead and cadmium are trace elements that are not essential, but they can accumulate in biological systems and become potential contaminants through the food chain. They are toxic for humans, even at low doses. Excessive concentrations of heavy metals inhibit physiological processes such as respiration, photosynthesis, transpiration rates, cell elongation, N-metabolism, mineral nutrition, and biomass decrease and, consequently, can cause plant death. Accordingly, it is necessary to monitor their even low concentrations in potential sources and, therefore, medicinal herbs. Comparing the obtained results for the heavy metal content (Cd and Pb) in *S. rigidum* and *S. pallasii* to the prescribed WHO values, the plants grew in an unpolluted environment are with no increased content of these heavy metals. A certain amount of cadmium and lead in *S. pallasii* is comparable with these metals' content from the unpolluted environment from Serbia’s territory.

Chromium, present in traces, is a necessary metal for a healthy metabolism, and its deficiency can cause various disorders both in the plant itself and in consumers. The known fact is that chromium enhances insulin activity. Chromium is relatively evenly distributed in all parts of *S. rigidum*. The concentration of Cr in *S. rigidum* and *S. pallasii* is within the average concentration of this element.
However, it is higher than chromium content in medicinal plants traditionally used in Serbia's alternative medicine.\textsuperscript{7} The amounts of nickel in traces can be helpful in the human organism, especially for enzyme activation, but it can be toxic at higher concentrations. Also, exposure to higher concentrations of nickel causes oxidative stress. The obtained results for both plant species show that the content of nickel is in average concentrations and comparable to the results of analyzed herbs' infusions.\textsuperscript{7,15}

4. 1. Statistical Comparison of the Mineral Composition of S. rigidum and S. pallasii

The multivariate analysis applied to the mineral composition of plants \textit{S. rigidum} and \textit{S. pallasii} includes analysis of the main components (PCA) and hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA).

By PCA analysis, the original variables are converted into new correlation variables, which are called the main components, wherein the first major component explains 81.91\% of the total variability of the mineral composition of \textit{S. rigidum} and \textit{S. pallasii}. The second principal component explains 11.36\%, while the third component covers 5.33\% of the total variability. PCA analysis of \textit{S.p} R and \textit{S.r} R variables are isolated concerning other variables, whose clustering is primarily due to aluminum and zinc content. In contrast, \textit{S.r} Fr is grouped based on the boron content.

The data treated using PCA analysis were subjected to hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA).

Application of HCA analysis to the results of microelements content in the leaf, flower, fruit, and root of the plant species \textit{S. rigidum} and \textit{S. pallasii} concerning the content of microelements (Al, B, Ba, V, Co, Fe, Cu, Mn, and Zn) in parts (leaf, flower, fruit, and root) of the studied plants are shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. PCA diagram of variables of the content of microelements (Al, B, Ba, V, Co, Fe, Cu, Mn, and Zn) in the leaf, flower, fruit, and root of plant species \textit{S. rigidum} and \textit{S. pallasii}](image)

![Figure 3. Dendrogram of the microelements content (Al, B, Ba, V, Co, Fe, Cu, Mn, and Zn) in the leaf, flower, fruit, and root of plant species \textit{S. rigidum} and \textit{S. pallasii}](image)

![Figure 4. PCA diagram of variables of the macroelements content (Na, Mg, Ca, and K) in the leaf, flower, fruit, and root of plant species \textit{S. rigidum} and \textit{S. pallasii}](image)

![Figure 5. Dendrogram of macroelements content (Mg, Ca, Na and K) in the leaf, flower, fruit, and root of plant species \textit{S. rigidum} and \textit{S. pallasii}](image)
Two statistically significant clusters were obtained based on the cluster analysis of individual parts of plants S. rigidum and S. pallasii (Figure 3).

Species are grouped because they have significantly higher wrinkle content than the roots of S. rigidum and S. pallasii; accordingly, the other cluster can be called a worm cluster.

The cluster analysis separates the underground parts of studied herbs from the above-ground parts based on microelements’ content, confirming that the microelements are present in higher concentrations in the root than in the above-ground parts.

The first major component explains 79.40% of the variance among variables, while the eigenvalue is 6.35. The second major component explains 19.19% of the total variance. Together, these two components explain 98.58% variances. PCA results are illustrated in Figure 4.

Data subjects of PCA analysis were subject to hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA).

Figure 5 shows a dendrogram of macromolecules content (Mg, Ca, Na, and K) in parts of the plants (leaf, flower, fruit, and root) S. rigidum and S. pallasii.

After cluster analysis, two clusters were obtained. S.p Fl is singled out separately and represents the first cluster, which is in accordance with the highest magnesium content, so the first cluster can be called a magnesium cluster.

Within the second cluster, there are two subclasses. The first subclass consists of two sub-clusters, one consisting of S.p L and S.r L (Euclid’s distance = 938), and the other S.p R and S.r R (Euclid’s distance = 407). In the second subcluster, the plants’ reproductive parts were isolated, respectively S.p Fr and S.r Fl (Euclid’s distance= 109), most similar in content macromolecules. The first subcluster is characterized by the vegetative parts of plants S. pallasii and S. rigidum that have increased magnesium and potassium content and higher calcium content than the reproductive parts of plants isolated in another subclause characterized by higher potassium content. In general, this cluster can be called potassium clusters.

PCA results are illustrated in Figure 6.

If HCA analysis is applied to the matrix of data used for PCA analysis, the obtained results can be presented with a dendrogram (Figure 7).

The HCA test results for the composition of the heavy metal content (Cd, Cr, Ni, and Pb) in the leaf, flower, fruit, and root of the plant species S. rigidum and S. pallasii are shown in Figure 7.

Based on cluster analysis, three statistically significant clusters were obtained. Within the first cluster, two sub-clusters were singled out. Within the first subclass, the S.p L is grouped, while in the second variant, S.p R, S.p L, S.r Fl, and S.r F. Variants S.r L and S.r Fl are most similar in heavy metals’ content (Euclid’s distance = 0.17). In S. rigidum’ fruit, the highest chromium amount was determined concerning other variables within the first cluster. In the second cluster, S.p Fl and S.r R (Euclid’s distance = 0.60) were isolated, grouped based on the most abundant lead content and the same cadmium, chromium, and nickel content. In the third cluster, S.p Fr is distinguished because of the higher content of nickel and lead compared to other examined parts of plants S. rigidum and S. pallasii.

The results obtained with PCA and HCA analysis are in excellent agreement. In the PCA analysis, S.r R was distinguished because it has the most abundant lead content, while on the opposite side of the diagram was S.p Fr because it has a high nickel content (which distinguishes it from other parts of plants), but also significantly lower chromium and cadmium content which was diagonally in the PCA diagram. In the cluster analysis of S.r R and S.p Fl, a flower of S. pallasii was found in the same subcluster due to the highest lead content, while S.p Fr was distinguished as a separate cluster due to the higher nickel content than in other examined parts of plants S. rigidum and S. pallasii.
5. Conclusion

The flower of *S. pallasii*, compared to the other parts of that plant, contains the highest concentrations of almost all of the specified metals, while in the case of *S. rigidum*, the situation of the different highest concentrations of the specified metals is recorded at the root. The results obtained for both plant species show that metals’ content is within ranges previously reported for the plants from the same area and in the acceptable amounts prescribed by WHO for human consumption.

Both multivariate statistics methods agree and distinguish certain parts of the investigated plants based on the highest content of micro-, macroelement, or heavy metals.
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Povzetek

Hranila igrajo bistveno vlogo v številnih metabolnih procesih, katerih pomanjkanje ali presežek lahko škoduje rastlini sami in prek prehranjevalne verige tudi živalim in ljudem. Zdravilne rastline, ki se uporabljajo v živilski in farmacevtski industriji, so lahko onesnažene z večjimi koncentracijami težkih kovin. Rastlinski vrsti *Seseli rigidum* in *Seseli pallasii* z Balkanskega polotoka se uporabljata v tradicionalni medicini in kot začimbi v prehrani, zato je potrebno določiti mineralno sestavo, da se zagotovi njuna varna uporaba. V tem delu smo mineralno sestavo določili pri zdravilnih vrstah rodu *Seseli* z uporabo induktivno sklopljene plazme z optično emisijsko spektrometrijo (ICP-OES). Za ločevanje vzorcev glede na njihovo mineralno sestavo sta bili uporabljeni dve multivariatni statistični metodi - analiza glavnih komponent (PCA) in hierarhična skupinska analiza (HCA). Mineralna sestava obeh preučevanih vrst sledi literaturnim podatkom. Rezultati, pridobljeni z uporabo multivariatnih statističnih metod, se ujemajo in omogočajo diskriminacijo nekaterih delov preizkušenih rastlin na podlagi največje vsebnosti mikroelementov, makroelementov ali elementov v sledovih.