ABSTRACT: The transformation of agrochemicals into herbicidal ionic liquids (HILs) has been suggested as a solution to problems associated with commercial forms of herbicides. The aim of this review was to summarize the latest progress in the field of HILs, including their synthesis as well as physicochemical and biological properties, and to address the areas that require further research in order to ensure their safe commercialization (e.g., data regarding biodegradability, toxicity, and environmental fate). The first part of the review provides an in-depth summary of the current state of knowledge regarding HILs, particularly the anions and cations used for their synthesis. The second part highlights the employed synthesis methods and elucidates their respective advantages and limitations. The third section is focused on the characterization of HILs with emphasis on the methods and factors that are significant in terms of their practical application. Subsequently, the issues associated with the biodegradation and toxic effects of HILs are discussed based on the relevant literature reports. All sections include comprehensively tabulated data in order to enable rapid comparison of utilized approaches. Finally, all the findings are critically analyzed in terms of crucial disadvantages (especially the lack of standardization), which allowed us to establish future recommendations and basic guidelines that are presented in the last section.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The use of pesticides in modern agriculture is an absolute necessity in terms of mass and, above all, cheap production of food. This results from the fact that maintaining high productivity of crops is at risk without providing proper crop protection during the growing period as well as storage. Hence, in order to avoid a notable decrease of yield, various crop protection products are applied. Only in 1940, 140 t of various pesticides were used, while in 2016 the total amount of pesticides employed for pest control worldwide reached a value of over 4 million metric tons, 35% of which were employed in China alone.1,3 Herbicides are a group of plant protection agrochemicals used for the control of weeds that compete with crop plants.2 The precise mechanism of action of auxins was discovered in the 1930s, and as a result, new active ingredients such as 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) and MCPA (2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid) revolutionized the field of crop protection in the 1940s.1,2 Afterward, in 1967, dicamba (3,6-dichloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid) was introduced, followed by glyphosate (N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine) in the 1970s and many others not mentioned here. Hence, herbicides started to be commercially applied on a mass scale, and the recently growing use of genetically modified crops (GMO crops) has favored their extensive usage. However, despite various structures of herbicides, the mode of action of all formulations available on the market is based on a limited number of mechanisms.6

During the period of expansion in the herbicide industry, several notable disadvantages associated with these agrochemicals have been discovered. These substances may be susceptible to volatilization, leaching, and runoff, as well as accumulation in soils, waters, and tissues of organisms; they may also exhibit toxicity toward nontargeted organisms. Additionally, the half-lives of herbicides in various environmental compartments vary greatly, from substances that decompose after days with innocuous degradation products to those that are relatively persistent in the environment.7 Moreover, due to the extensive use of various herbicides, plants exhibit growing resistance toward these formulations.1 This process occurs as a part of natural selection, as well as deliberate introduction of resistance in GMO crops. Introduction of genetically modified organisms that possess genes responsible for herbicide resistance is associated with the risk of horizontal gene transfer to nontarget organisms. Herbicide-resistant weed species can expand rapidly in number and areal coverage and colonize new niches. At present,
approximately 250 herbicide-resistant species have been reported, among which at least 34 are resistant to glyphosate only. Furthermore, the majority of commercially used herbicidal products include adjuvants in order to improve water solubility of the active ingredient, reduce droplet volatility, increase the adhesion of these formulations to the plant surface, and, as a result, enhance the penetration of the product into plant tissues. However, adjuvants (e.g., ethoxylated etheralkylamine, solvent naphtha) are often more hazardous compared to herbicides as they may increase the cytotoxicity of the formulation as much as 1000 times. Nevertheless, in contrast to herbicidally active substances, adjuvants are subjected to less restrictive registration control. Due to the above-mentioned issues, modern research in the field of agrochemistry should focus on the minimization of the negative impact of herbicidal formulations and simultaneous maximization of their efficiency. As a result, a novel approach was proposed in 2011, namely, the application of ionic liquids composed of organic cations and herbicidal anions, called herbicidal ionic liquids (HILs).

Ionic liquids (ILs) are defined as salts composed of discrete ions that occur in the liquid state below 100 °C. They possess unique properties and various applications. On this basis, ILs were divided into different generations. The first generation consists of ILs with unique, tunable physical properties. The second includes ILs with targeted chemical properties (e.g., reactivity, electrochemical window, flammability, chirality, blocking UV rays, or oxygen balance) combined with selected physical properties (such as hydrophobic/hydrophilic character, refractive index, viscosity, density, or thermal stability). The third generation applies to ILs with targeted biological properties combined with selected physical and chemical properties. The initial attempt was to incorporate active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) into ILs and therefore tune their biological functions. However, it was later discovered that it is possible to apply ILs in agroprotection due to the tunability of these compounds. Hence, ILs based on herbicidal formulations (herbicidal ionic liquids, HILs) with additional surface-active and pesticidal properties (originating from the counterion) were successfully synthesized. The proposed compounds exhibited reduced drift and volatility as well as adjustable water solubility and therefore decreased mobility in soils and waters. Additionally, because HILs exhibit surface active properties, the necessity to use adjuvants is eliminated, and they can be applied at lower doses, which results in reduced environmental toxicity.

Furthermore, some HILs may be characterized by prolonged interaction between the plant and the active substance, possibly due to the continuous slow release of active ingredients. Particularly, “esterquat” HILs, comprising the herbicide in the cation bonded via an ester group, are sensitive to hydrolysis, which can result in controlled release of active ingredient similarly to the case of polymers based on a coumarin and the 2,4-D herbicide. These discoveries were a starting point for further research on HILs, as an alternative for commercially used herbicides.

During recent years, the attention of the scientific community was mostly focused on the synthesis and
Table 1. Overview of Cations Used in HILs

| Cation                              | Structure                | Justification/Advantages                                                                 | Weak points                                                                 | Reference |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| alkylammonium                       | alkyl-NH₃⁺                | lead to protic HILs; commercially available; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; thermally stable; provide liquefaction of active ingredient | some exhibit toxicity towards mammals                                       | 33,32,34  |
| [2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethyl] ammonium | H₂N⁺—CH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OH     | commercially available; provide liquefaction of active ingredient                      | not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity towards mammals; their antimicrobial activity may influence autochthonic soil communities may exhibit volatility and pose a threat to the environment and human | 39        |
| bis(3-aminopropyl)ammonium          | (NH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂N(CH₃)⁺   | lead to protic HILs; commercially available; provide liquefaction of active ingredient |                                                                              | 39        |
| 2-benzothiazolammonium              |                          | lead to protic HILs, commercially available as reagents for syntheses of mixed-ligand complexes; thermally stable | expensive; not readily biodegradable; may exhibit toxicity                    | 27        |
| 1-methylmorpholinium                |                          | leads to protic HILs; its high soil adsorption reduces the leaching risk to groundwater | unstable during storage                                                      | 23,24     |
| 1-methylpiperidinium                |                          | leads to protic HILs; its high soil adsorption reduces the leaching risk to groundwater | expensive; not readily biodegradable                                         | 50        |
| 1-methyl-3H-imidazolium             |                          | leads to protic HILs; its high soil adsorption reduces the leaching risk to groundwater | expensive; not readily biodegradable                                         | 50        |
| 1-[4-(chlorophenyl)-4,4-dimethyl-3-(1H,1,2,4-triazol-1- ylmethyl)pentan-3-ol (tebucaronazole)] | | commercial fungicide; due to large scale production it is cheap; combined with herbicidal anions leads to bifunctional protic HILs | generally, the fungicidal and herbicidal treatments are performed at different periods of time | 40        |
| 1-[2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4-propyl-1,3-dioxolan-2- yl)methyl]-1,2,4-triazole (propiconazolo) | | commercial fungicide; due to large scale production it is cheap; combined with herbicidal anions leads to bifunctional protic HILs | generally, the fungicidal and herbicidal treatments are performed at different periods of time | 40        |
| 8-hydroxyquinolinium                |                          | leads to protic HILs; commercially available; thermally stable                         | expensive; its antimicrobial activity may influence autochthonic soil communities | 26        |
| (3-aminopropyl)bis(ammonium)        |                          | leads to bis(ammonium) protic HILs; commercially available                              | may exhibit volatility and pose a threat to the environment and human       | 39        |
| 1,4-dimethylpiperazinium            |                          | lead to bis(ammonium) protic HILs; decreases volatility of herbicides; its hydrophobicity minimizes the risk of leaching into groundwater | expensive; not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity towards mammals | 41        |
| Cation                          | Structure                                      | Justification/Advantages                                                                 | Weak points                                                                                           | Reference |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| tetraalkylammonium             | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure)    | commercially available as surfactants or ionic softeners; due to large scale production their price is low; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; thermally stable; provide liquefaction of active ingredient; their hydrophobicity minimizes the risk of leaching into groundwater | not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals; their antimicrobial activity may influence autochthonic soil communities | 10.3, 30.27, 33.2, 32, 35 |
| tetraalkylphosphonium           | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure)    | commercially available; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; thermally stable; provide liquefaction of active ingredient; their hydrophobicity minimizes the risk of leaching into groundwater | not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals; more expensive than quaternary ammonium cations | 18, 28, 29, 52 |
| trialkylsulfonium               | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure)    | commercially available as compound with three methyl groups; provide liquefaction of active ingredient; not acutely toxic by the inhalation, oral and dermal routes of exposure | difficult to synthesize; their high hydrophilicity may pose a threat of leaching into groundwater; commercially available cation does not possess good surface activity | 54, 55 |
| alklylcylohexyldimethylammonium | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure)    | their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; thermally stable; provide liquefaction of active ingredient hydrophobicity; minimizes the risk of leaching into groundwater | not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals; their antimicrobial activity may influence autochthonic soil communities | 10, 44, 46, 56 |
| 2-chloroethyltrimethylammonium  | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure)    | commercially available as plant growth inhibitor; due to large scale production it is cheap, combined with herbicidal anions leads to bifunctional HILs | unstable in alkaline environment – chloroethyl group decomposes to vinyl | |
| 2-hydroxyethyltrimethylammonium (choline) | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure) | occurring naturally in the environment; due to large scale production it is cheap; exhibit low toxicity and high biodegradability; thermally stable | highly hygroscopic; may lead to products with melting points higher than 100 °C | 8, 26, 29, 33, 41, 04, 57 |
| alkyl-2-hydroxyethyltrimethylammonium | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure) | derivatives of naturally occurring choline; thermally stable; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy | expensive; not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals; their antimicrobial activity may influence autochthonic soil communities | 39, 58 |
| alkyl[(2-O-hydroxyethoxymethyl)dimethylammonium] | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure) | thermally stable; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy | expensive; not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals; their antimicrobial activity may influence autochthonic soil communities | 35, 58 |
| quaternary ammonium derivatives of D-glucose | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure) | derivatives of naturally occurring D-glucose; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; thermally stable | expensive; difficult multistep synthesis that require the use of some toxic chemicals | 59 |
| Cation                                      | Structure                              | Justification/Advantages                                                                 | Weak points                                                                 | Reference |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| alkylbetaine (n-ethoxylated/methylammonium) | \((\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}\) | commercially available as surfactants or ion softeners; due to large scale production their price is low; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; thermally stable; provide liquefaction of active ingredient; their hydrophobicity minimizes the risk of leaching into groundwater | not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals; their antimicrobial activity may influence autochthonic soil communities | 26,28,31,33,39,42,46,51,57,60 |
| trimethylglycine (betaine)                  | \(\text{CH}_3\text{N}^+\text{CH}_2\text{OH}\) | naturally occurring in the environment and living organisms; due to large scale production it is cheap, exhibits low toxicity and high biodegradability | proton present in cation is liable which makes some HILs (e.g., comprising sulfonylureas as anions) chemically unstable | 61        |
| N-alkylbetaine                              | \(\text{CH}_3\text{O}^+\text{CH}_2\text{N}^+\text{CH}_2\text{OH}\) | commercially available amphoteric surfactants; due to large scale production their price is low; derivatives of substances naturally occurring in the environment and living organisms; exhibits low toxicity and high biodegradability; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy | proton present in cation prevents from obtaining some HILs (e.g., comprising sulfonylureas) | 33,61     |
| 3-hydroxy-4-(trimethylammonio)-butanoic acid (carnitine) | \(\text{HO}^-\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CO}^-\text{N}^+\text{CH}_3\) | naturally occurring in the environment and living organisms; exhibits low toxicity and high biodegradability | expensive; proton present in cation prevents from obtaining some HILs (e.g., comprising sulfonylureas) | 61        |
| acetylcholine                               | \(\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-\text{CH}_3\text{N}^+\text{CH}_2\text{OH}\) | ester qua occurring naturally in the environment; exhibits low toxicity and high biodegradability; thermally stable | expensive; unstable in alkaline environment—hydrolysis of ester bond | 62        |
| [2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl]trimethylammonium, [2-(acryloyloxy)ethyl]trimethylammonium | \(\text{CH}_3\text{C}\equiv\text{C}^-\text{O}^-\text{CH}_2\text{N}^+\text{CH}_3\) | ester qua; derivatives of naturally occurring choline; commercially available as cheap surfactants; industrially cleaning processes; exhibit low toxicity and high biodegradability ester qua; commercially available as ionic softeners; due to large scale production their price is low; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; thermally stable; provide liquefaction of active ingredient; their hydrophobicity minimizes the risk of leaching into groundwater | unstable in alkaline environment—hydrolysis of ester bond generates toxic methacrylic or acrylic acids | 63        |
| diaalkoxyethyl dimethylammonium             | \(\text{R}: \text{H or CH}_3\) \(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O}^-\text{CO}(\text{alkyl})\) | ester qua; derivatives of naturally occurring choline; commercially available as cheap surfactants; industrially cleaning processes; exhibit low toxicity and high biodegradability ester qua; commercially available as ionic softeners; due to large scale production their price is low; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; thermally stable; provide liquefaction of active ingredient; their hydrophobicity minimizes the risk of leaching into groundwater | unstable in alkaline environment—hydrolysis of ester bond | 24,33,63  |
| derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetoxyethyldecyltrimethylammonium | \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{N}^+\text{Cl}^-\text{O}^-\text{R}^1\text{R}^2\) | derivatives of naturally occurring choline; herbicidal activity introduced into cation; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; synergistic effect originating from biological activity of both cation and anion | expensive; difficult multistep synthesis that require the use of some toxic chemicals | 21        |
| 1,1-dialkylpyrrolidinium                     | \(\text{N}\) \(\text{alkyl}\) \(\text{alkyl}\) | commercially available; thermally stable | expensive; not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals | 16,25,36,39,46 |
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Table 1. continued

| Cation                        | Structure                                                                 | Justification/Advantages                                                                 | Weak points                                                                 | Reference |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium       | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure1.png)                          | exhibit low toxicity; thermally stable; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy | expensive; majority of them are not readily biodegradable                     | 4,29,33,31 |
| 1,1-dialkylpiperidinium        | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure2.png)                          | thermally stable; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy       | expensive; not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals    | 25,29,49,54-56 |
| 1-alkyl-4-hydroxy-1-methylpiperidinium | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure3.png) | thermally stable; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy       | expensive; not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals     | 39         |
| quaternized 1-alkyl-1-azonia-4-azabicyle(2,2,2)-octane (DABCO) | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure4.png) | characterized by bactericidal and bacteriostatic as well as antiviral properties; thermally stable; combined with herbicidal anions leads to bifunctional HILs | expensive; not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals; their antimicrobial activity may influence autochthonic soil communities | 34,37     |
| 1,3-dialkylimidazolium         | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure5.png)                          | commercially available; thermally stable; provide liquefaction of active ingredient     | expensive, majority of them are not readily biodegradable; some may exhibit toxicity toward mammals | 16,24,28,31,32,46 |
| 1-alkyl/pyridinium             | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure6.png)                          | commercially available; due to large scale production some of them are cheap, thermally stable; their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; provide liquefaction of active ingredient | unstable during storage; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals                  | 16,23,24,26,29,32,34,46 |
| bis(aminium)                  | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure7.png)                          | their surface activity leads to increase in herbicidal efficacy; thermally stable; provide liquefaction of active ingredient | not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals; their antimicrobial activity may influence autochthonic soil communities | 41,47     |
| heksamethyl-1,6-bis(3-methylimidazolium) | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure8.png) | decrease in volatility of herbicide; their hydrophobicity minimizes the risk of leaching into groundwater | expensive; not readily biodegradable; some exhibit toxicity toward mammals; their antimicrobial activity may influence autochthonic soil communities | 41        |
| bis(aminium) derivatives of tebuconazole | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure9.png) | commercial fungicide; due to large scale production it is cheap; combined with herbicidal anions leads to bifunctional apotic HILs; enables introduction of two different counterions into HIL | generally, the fungicidal and herbicidal treatments are performed at different periods of time; requires performing difficult and time-consuming quantisation | 41        |

*See ref 69.*
advantages of novel HIL formulations. However, no article has dealt with the issue of description of this new group of ILs in general or wider discussion regarding the discoveries in this field. Hence, the purpose of this review is to summarize current literature data concerning herbicidal ionic liquids: their synthesis, chemical and biological characteristics, herbicidal efficacy, toxicity, and biodegradability. Additionally, the review presents a critical summary of current limitations regarding understudied areas (e.g., toxicity and biodegradation) that lack environmentally relevant analyses. Finally, future considerations and perspectives are presented.

2. CURRENT STATE OF KNOWLEDGE

During the initial development of HILs, it was observed that many synthetic auxins occur in the form of anions. Therefore, the idea of combining herbicidal anions with functional cations arose, and soon the first HILs were synthesized. Various classes of chemical compounds can be applied as the source of anion (Figure 1), for instance, phenoxycarboxylates, benzoic acid derivatives, sulfonyleurea derivatives, glyphosate, anions of natural origin, or other anions. It is worth noting that most herbicidally active substances (e.g., glyphosate, phenoxyacids, and benzoic or nicotinic acid derivatives) are available on the market in the anionic form, as their introduction into formulations is generally easier than in the case of organic cations. Moreover, herbicides available in the cationic form are known to exhibit different modes of action than commercial formulations in the anionic form. It was also established that herbicidal anions are more effective in selective control of broadleaf weeds. Despite the fact that substances such as paraquat or diquat are currently under research regarding their transformation into novel forms, their use is not recommended due to the harmful environmental effects. Interestingly, although paraquat and diquat possess the same mode-of-action, they vary greatly in terms of toxicity. As a result, the European Union withdrew paraquat from its market in July 2007 and recommended the use of less harmful substances.

In order to form HILs, the above-mentioned herbicidal anions are typically paired with cations improving wetting properties, for example, quaternary ammonium, imidazolium, morpholinium, phosphonium, piperidinium, pyridinium, pyrrolidinium, quinolinium, or, more recently, DABCO (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane). The overview of cations used in HIL syntheses are presented in Table 1. According to the data presented in Table 1, the majority of studied HILs were based on ammonium cations, whereas phosphonium and sulfonium cations were scarcely used. This may be explained by the fact that quaternary ammonium halides and amines are cheap, readily available, and commercially used as surfactants, disinfectants, or softeners, which makes them attractive candidates for production on a large scale. It should also be emphasized that phosphonium cations are resistant to biodegradation. This phenomenon can be caused by formation of phosphine oxides, toxic metabolites that inhibit the biodegradation process and, as a result, may become a potential threat to the environment. Proper selection of both cations and anions results in an almost unlimited number of herbicides with designable properties. Development in this field was started by pairing various quaternary ammonium cations with herbicidal anions, MCPA, 2,4-D, 2-(4-chloro-2-methylphenoxy)propionic acid (MCPP), and dicamba, in order to reduce the volatility and dosage of commercially used herbicidal forms as well as to improve their wetting properties. Consequently, due to the possibility to introduce various active compounds into the structure of ILs, experiments have been performed in order to evaluate the relevance of dual function ionic liquids. Hence, the focus was shifted to ILs with chloromequat chloride (2-chloroethoxytrimethylammonium chloride, CCC) as the source of cation, with the aim of successful combination of both the herbicidal properties of the anion and the ability to regulate plant growth of the cation. Additionally, the use of...
fungicides as cations was under research, namely commercially used tebuconazole and propiconazole, as well as morpholine derivatives, often present in various pesticides due to their surface active properties.\textsuperscript{10,70} Afterward, esterquat, quaternary ammonium surfactants frequently applied in the industry as fabric softeners, were proposed as the cation source. Their function, depending on the study, was either associated with the improvement of surface properties or introduction of herbicidal activity in the cation.\textsuperscript{69} Another approach was the use of double salt herbicidal ionic liquids (DSHILs), in which two herbicidal anions were used in a single compound.\textsuperscript{42,58} More recently, the field of bio-HILs was investigated, by searching for renewable or biodegradable cations (e.g., betaine, carnitine, α-glucose, choline, acetylcholine),\textsuperscript{44,45,59,61,62,74,75} as well as anions (e.g., pelargonate).\textsuperscript{53,54,55,76,77} Simultaneously, testing of various combinations of herbicidal anions and ammonium-based cations was in progress, resulting in numerous groups of their sources (Figure 2).

3. SYNTHESIS METHODS

The synthesis of HILs is typically conducted via a one- or two-step procedure; however, there are also cases of multistep syntheses. The development of HILs revealed advantages of utilizing cations derived from natural sources, such as betaine, carnitine, α-glucose, choline, acetylcholine,\textsuperscript{44,45,59,61,62,74,75} as well as anions (e.g., pelargonate).\textsuperscript{53,54,55,76,77} Simultaneously, testing of various combinations of herbicidal anions and ammonium-based cations was in progress, resulting in numerous groups of their sources (Figure 2).

3.1. One-Step Synthesis. One-step synthesis is a reaction of an amine (or heterocyclic compounds with tertiary nitrogen atom) with a herbicide in the form of an acid (Figure 3).

The reaction is usually conducted homogeneously in methanol\textsuperscript{13,28,39,40} or chloroform\textsuperscript{75} but also heterogeneously in water\textsuperscript{27} with further evaporation of the solvent. When necessary, the final product is rinsed with anhydrous hexane\textsuperscript{40} or anhydrous diethyl ether.\textsuperscript{61} Using this approach, tebuconazole- and propiconazole-based ILs with MCPA, MCP, 2,4-D, and dicamba as anions can be obtained.\textsuperscript{40} Furthermore, protic HILs originating from primary, secondary, and tertiary amines or heterocyclic compounds with tertiary nitrogen atom (e.g., 1-methylimidazoide, isoquinoline) may be synthesized using this method, with cloyzyradil,\textsuperscript{27} dicamba,\textsuperscript{30} bromoxynil,\textsuperscript{23} 2,4-D,\textsuperscript{41} picloram,\textsuperscript{38} mesotrione,\textsuperscript{38} 2,2′-thioacetate, and 2,2′-thiodiacetate\textsuperscript{78} as the source of anion. The reported yields of one-step syntheses were in the range of 87–99%. It should be emphasized that to date there is a lack of data regarding the possible byproducts. This aspect is of high importance for future research in order to avoid potential environmental risks, which occurred in the case of 2,4-D and dioxins, for example.

3.2. Two-Step Synthesis. In the first step of this protocol, quaternary chloride or ammonium bromide is obtained as a result of the reaction of tertiary amine (phosphine or heterocyclic compound with tertiary nitrogen atom) with a proper quaternizing agent. In case of alkyl halides, the reaction occurs via the S_N2 mechanism and no rearrangement takes place, whereas in the case of other reagents (e.g., chloromethylalkyl ethers), the reaction occurs according to the S_N1 mechanism, which is associated with additional hazards related to the formation of byproducts (e.g., increased susceptibility to oxidation or hydrolysis). Subsequently, the halide is substituted with the anion characterized by herbicidal activity through ion exchange. The ion exchange can be carried out either via the metathesis reaction (i.e., double replacement reaction) with sodium or potassium salt of the selected herbicide or via an intermediate quaternary ammonium hydroxide with the herbicide in the form of an acid. Quaternary ammonium hydroxide is obtained using a corresponding quaternary ammonium halide as a product of the reaction of potassium hydroxide with quaternary ammonium halide in anhydrous methanol (or ethanol) or with the use of an ion-exchange resin (Figure 4).

The metathesis reaction in aqueous conditions is well suited for obtaining HILs with cations comprising long chain alkyl substituents. This type of IL with a large hydrophobic cation (e.g., didecyldimethylammonium) and large organic anion (e.g., MCPA)\textsuperscript{16} can be extracted easily with the use of water-immiscible nonpolar solvents, for example, dichloromethane or chloroform. Multiple rinsing of the organic phase with water results in the elution of sodium halide formed in the reaction as
well as the potentially unreacted substrates. However, sometimes it is also possible to elute the IL to a high extent during this step. In such cases, it is necessary to select a different method of product isolation from the postreaction mixture or even a different synthesis method. Another technique of product isolation from the postreaction mixture is extraction using chloroform and its complete evaporation and, afterward, dissolution of the product in anhydrous acetone. Then, the product present in acetone should only be filtered from the residues of inorganic salt and evaporated. This procedure was used to obtain ILs with phenoxy carboxylic anions and 1-alkyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium or 1-alkoxymethyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium cations.

These compounds, despite their long alkyl substituents (10 or even 12 carbon atoms), exhibited high hydrophilicity and were almost entirely eluted during rinsing of the chloroform phase with water. The described procedure of product isolation from the postreaction mixture (without water rinsing but with anhydrous acetone extraction) provided satisfactory results, as the compounds were obtained with yields exceeding 85% and a cation-active substance content above 91%.

An alternative approach is to conduct the metathesis reaction in methanol instead of water. In this case, potassium chloride or bromide precipitates almost instantly while the IL remains in the organic solvent. However, potassium iodide dissolves in methanol very well. Due to the partial or complete solubility of the inorganic salt in methanol, the IL should be purified after complete evaporation of the alcohol. For this purpose, the crude product needs to be dissolved in acetone or in a mixture of methanol and acetone or acetonitrile when working with substances that exhibit limited solubility (or insolubility) in acetone. Then, the KCl or KBr residues and possible residues of potassium salt forms of the herbicides should be filtered off. Finally, the solvent should be evaporated, and in the final step, the product should be dried. The latter may be challenging, as some HILs are characterized by hygroscopic properties; therefore the drying should be carried out under vacuum and increased temperature conditions.

It was observed that ILs with the glyphosate anion could not be obtained via the metathesis reaction, regardless of the alkyl chain length in the cation. This most likely results from the fact that glyphosate is a synthetic amino acid that occurs in a stable zwitterion form in the presence of NaOH. In this case, a novel synthesis method was applied, which is also useful for obtaining various HILs with shorter alkyl substituents in cations and different herbicidal anions, for example, MCPA.

In this method, quaternary ammonium halide is obtained via reaction of potassium halide with quaternary ammonium halide in acetonitrile. This quaternary ammonium halide reacts with the herbicide in the acidic form, which results in the formation of HIL and water. In this case, the selection of the solvent is an important factor, as it enables the synthesis of

| anion                  | type of reaction                                               | yield (%) | refs                          |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| MCPA                   | metathesis                                                    | 86−99     | 16,25,43,45,50,55,56,58,59,63,73,79 |
| 2,4-D                  | quaternary ammonium hydroxide resulting from KOH in methanol  | 86−99     | 37,47,55,60,67,68              |
|                       | metathesis                                                    | 82−99     | 25,45,46,48,59,63,73           |
|                       | quaternary ammonium hydroxide resulting from KOH in methanol  | 89−99     | 47,67                         |
|                       | quaternary ammonium hydroxide with the use of ion-exchange resin from commercially available choline hydroxide | 90−92     | 41                            |
|                       | quaternary ammonium hydroxide with the use of ion-exchange resin | 82−99     | 46                            |
|                       | metathesis                                                    | 97        | 44                            |
| dicamba                | quaternary ammonium hydroxide resulting from KOH in methanol  | 90−99     | 26,39,45,51,58,63,73          |
|                       | quaternary ammonium hydroxide with the use of ion-exchange resin | 90−98     | 47,67                         |
|                       | metathesis                                                    | 74−99     | 26,51                         |
| fomesafen              | metathesis                                                    | 91−98     | 29                            |
|                       | quaternary ammonium hydroxide resulting from KOH in methanol  | 90−99     | 29                            |
|                       | metathesis                                                    | 81−98     | 32                            |
| MCPP                   | metathesis                                                    | 90−98     | 25,45,51,63,73                |
|                       | quaternary ammonium hydroxide with the use of ion-exchange resin | 92−97     | 47,67                         |
|                       | metathesis                                                    | 99−97     | 51,66                         |
| metsulfuron-methyl (MSM)| metathesis                                                    | 91−98     | 29                            |
|                       | quaternary ammonium hydroxide resulting from KOH in methanol  | 90−99     | 29                            |
|                       | metathesis                                                    | 98        | 77                            |
| diclofop               | metathesis                                                    | 89−98     | 62                            |
| clodinafop             | metathesis                                                    | 89−98     | 31,42                         |
| bentazone              | metathesis                                                    | 85−91     | 25                            |
| clopyralid             | metathesis                                                    | 94−99     | 23                            |
| 4-CPA                  | metathesis                                                    | 96−99     | 34                            |
| bromoxynil             | metathesis                                                    | 98        | 77                            |
| pelargonate            | quaternary ammonium hydroxide resulting from KOH in methanol  | 88−98     | 62                            |
|                       | from commercially available choline hydroxide                | 90−98     | 31,42                         |
|                       | metathesis                                                    | 89−95     | 57                            |
|                       | quaternary ammonium hydroxide with the use of ion-exchange resin | 91−98     | 65                            |
|                       | metathesis                                                    | 86−93     | 30                            |
| niclosulfuron          | quaternary ammonium hydroxide resulting from KOH in methanol  | 96−96     | 64                            |
|                       | metathesis                                                    | 87−95     | 38                            |
| mesotrione             | quaternary ammonium hydroxide resulting from KOH in methanol  | 98−99     | 34                            |
quaternary hydroxide because the byproduct (potassium chloride or bromide) precipitates from the solvent. However, the resulting KCl or KBr is partially dissolved in methanol, and hence, an additional purifying procedure with the use of anhydrous acetone extraction is required.\textsuperscript{39,47,55,60,66} For HILs not soluble in acetone, anhydrous acetonitrile\textsuperscript{37} or isopropanol\textsuperscript{31,42} are used as solvents in the extraction procedure.

In the case of HILs composed of glyphosate, it was possible to obtain mono- and dicationic salts depending on the molar ratio of reagents.\textsuperscript{31}

It should be noted that not all HILs can be synthesized with the use of the above presented two-step procedure; namely, it is not valid when their precursors undergo other reactions with strong bases. For instance, esterquats undergo basic hydrolysis, betaine hydrochlorides undergo saponification with simultaneous formation of a zwitterion, and CCC (2-chloroethyltrimethylammonium chloride) undergoes elimination of hydrogen chloride with formation of trimethylvinyl chloride.\textsuperscript{40,56,63}

Another variant of the method in which the herbicide in an acidic form reacts with quaternary ammonium hydroxide is the application of ion-exchange resin. The advantage of this approach is that the resulting ILs do not require further purification from the inorganic salt. Water is preferred solvent for reactions with the ion-exchange resin;\textsuperscript{26,46,51,64,66} however synthesis in ethanol was also reported.\textsuperscript{55} After reaction of the quaternary ammonium hydroxide with the herbicide in an acidic form, the solvent is evaporated, and the product is dried. The disadvantage of this method includes excessive foaming of product during vacuum evaporation when compounds with long chain substituents (with 10–12 carbon atoms in alkyl chain) and water as a solvent are used.

The anions used in synthesis of various HILs are presented in Table 2. However, it should be emphasized that the number of herbicides transformed into HILs is relatively low compared to the list of 337 of herbicidally active substances registered in EU Pesticides database (https://ec.europa.eu/food/plant/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database/public/?event=activesubstance.selection&language=EN, accessed 2020-02-04). This is mainly due to the low availability of the majority of herbicides in the form of pure substances suitable for synthesis. As a result, only the active ingredients that are the most popular and common in the USA, Europe, and Asia (particularly glyphosate, dicamba, phenoxyacids (2,4-D and MCPA), metsulfuron-methyl, and bentazone) were transformed into HILs. Moreover, it should be noted that successful conversion of a herbicidally active substance into a HIL is often a difficult task. Generally, the herbicide should be able to form a stable ion (cation or anion), which is associated with the presence of specific functional groups, in order to perform the desired reaction (alkylation, metathesis, or neutralization). Nevertheless, it is certain that the list of herbicides successfully transformed into HILs, provided in Table 3, will be extended by new substances. Taking into consideration the above-mentioned requirements, it can be assumed that the most promising candidates for transformation into HILs in the near future are paraquat (CAS number 1910-42-5), pendimethalin (CAS number 40487-42-1), trifluralin (CAS number 1582-09-8), imazethapyr (CAS number 81335-77-5), metam sodium (CAS number 137-42-8), glufosinate (CAS number 51276-47-2), and chloramben (CAS number 133-90-4).

Double salt herbicidal ionic liquids (DSHILs)\textsuperscript{80} can also be obtained via metathesis or reaction with KOH in methanol. In this case, proper molar ratio of the herbicide mixture should be selected (Figure 5). DSHILs with MCPA and dicamba anions\textsuperscript{58,61} were obtained via metathesis, with the use of KOH in methanol in the case of glyphosate and dicamba anions,\textsuperscript{42} as well as glyphosate and MCPA.\textsuperscript{42}

### 3.3. Multistep Synthesis.

Synthesis of HILs with oligomeric anions (Figure 6) requires an additional third step. In this case, the previously obtained HIL is subjected to a reaction with a second herbicide in an acidic form, which forms a hydrogen bond with the existing HIL. However, it should be considered that the reaction presented in Figure 6 may result in a mixture of products existing in a dynamic equilibrium, consisting of three compounds: two "symmetrical" ones and one with mixed ligands. Further experiments are required to clarify whether the term "oligomeric" is appropriate for such combinations.

Syntheses were performed in chloroform\textsuperscript{43} or methanol.\textsuperscript{42,61} After mixing the substrates, the solvent was completely evaporated, and the product was rinsed with isopropanol when necessary\textsuperscript{42} and finally dried under vacuum. With the use of this method, ILs with MCPA anion and dicamba as acid,\textsuperscript{43,61} as well as glyphosate anion and MCPA as acid\textsuperscript{42} were synthesized.

Another multistep synthesis of HILs required a series of reactions, which result in the formation of HILs with two active herbidical centers. An example of this method is the synthesis of herbidical esterquats with anions of herbidical mode of action,\textsuperscript{21} in which the cation contained an ester substituent originating from phenoxyacid (2,4-D, MCPA, MCPP, 4-CPA), while the counterion was a different herbicide from the phenoxyacid group or from a different group (e.g., dicamba, a derivative of benzoic acid, or clopyralid, a derivative of picolinic acid). The synthesis was a 5-step process and required the synthesis of phenoxyacid chloride. Then, aminoester hydrochlorides were obtained as a result of reaction of acid chlorides with 2-dimethylaminoethanol and "freed" from the hydrochloride in subsequent reaction with trimethylamine. The resulting aminoester was subjected to the quaternization reaction with decyl bromide, and finally the bromide anion was substituted with herbidical anion via metathesis reaction.

Since the first report regarding HILs in 2011,\textsuperscript{16} a rapid, progressive evolution in the design and optimization of their chemical structure as well as the development of their synthesis routes can be observed. Recently, the scientific community focused their efforts not only on utilization of naturally occurring cations but also on the elaboration of efficient, environmentally friendly methods of HIL preparation. Therefore, initially the most common path of IL synthesis was based on ion exchange in water, followed by product isolation via two-phase extraction using toxic chloroform or dichloromethane, which was finally replaced by acid—base reaction in alcoholic medium. The progress in conducting synthesis via metathesis was based on the replacement of water for low chain alcohol as a reaction medium. This solution allowed substantially shortening of the overall time of synthesis as well as minimization of the difficulties that often appear in two-phase extraction from an aqueous environment, such as the issues with the separation of phases as well as foam formation during evaporation of the solvent.
Table 3. Physicochemical Properties of HILs

| anion | cation | appearance at 25 °C | thermal stability and volatility | solubility in water | surface tension at CMC (mN/m) | adsorption in soil | K_CW | refs |
|-------|--------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|
| MCDA | tebuconazole | liquid | 174 °C (T_{m}) | insoluble | d | d | d | 40 |
| MCDA | propiconazole | liquid | 259 °C (T_{m}) | insoluble | d | d | d | 40 |
| MCDA | tetraalkylammonium | solids (T_m = 57–90 °C) (alkyltrimethylammonium); solids (T_m = 90 °C) or liquid (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 190–212 °C (T_{m}) (alkyltrimethylammonium); 205–210 °C (T_{m}) (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 3.3–10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) (alkyltrimethylammonium); limited (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 28.0–37.6 (alkyltrimethylammonium); 26.2–28.0 (dialkyldimethylammonium) | d | d | 16,47,49,50 |
| MCDA | tetraalkylphosphonium | liquid | 305 °C (T_{m}) | soluble | d | d | d | 16 |
| MCDA | alkylcyclohexyl-dimethylammonium | liquids or waxes | 150–214 °C (T_{m}) | d | 31.2–39.4 | d | d | 55 |
| MCDA | 2-chloroethyl-trimethylammonium | solid (T_m = 94–96 °C) | 198 °C (T_{m}) | soluble | 40.0 | d | d | 16,56 |
| MCDA | allyl[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethyl]dimethylammonium | liquids | 187–205 °C (T_{m}) | >10% (w/v) | 30.7–36.9 | d | −1.00 to 1.38 | 58 |
| MCDA | quaternary ammonium derivatives of D-glucose | liquids or waxes | 164–224 °C (T_{m}) | d | 32.6–34.4 | d | d | 59 |
| MCDA | propiconazole | liquids or waxes | 225–230 °C (T_{m}) | limited | 34.0–38.5 | d | d | 60 |
| MCDA | betainium | solid (T_m = 64–66 °C) | 234 °C (T_{m}) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 61 |
| MCDA | N-allyl-betainium | solid (T_m = 37–40 °C) or liquid | 159–230 °C (T_{m}) | <3.3% (w/v) | 31.6–03.18 | d | d | 45 |
| MCDA | carnitinium | liquid | 190 °C (T_{m}) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 61 |
| MCDA | acetylcholine | solid (T_m = 90–91 °C) | 183 °C (T_{m}) | >10% (w/v) | 33.4 | d | −1.38 | 62 |
| MCDA | [2-(methacyrloyloxy)ethyl]trimethylammonium | liquids | 195–200 °C (T_{m}) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 63 |
| MCDA | dialkanoxyethyl-dimethylammonium | wax | 208 °C (T_{m}) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 63 |
| MCDA | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetonylhexanamide | liquids | d | d | d | d | d | 21 |
| MCDA | 1,1-dialkylpyrrolinium | liquids | 128–210 °C (T_{m}) | >10% (w/v) | 27.4–33.5 | d | d | 25 |
| MCDA | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium | solid (T_m = 77–79 °C) | 220 °C (T_{m}) | soluble | d | d | d | 16 |
| MCDA | 1,1-dialkylpyridinium | solid (T_m = 39–42 °C) | 230 °C (T_{m}) | soluble | d | d | d | 16 |
| MCDA | quaternized DABCO | waxes | 215–281 °C (T_{m}) | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) | 35.6–38.9 | d | d | 37 |
| MCDA | 1-alkylypyridinium | liquids | 200 °C (T_{m}) | limited | d | d | d | 16 |
| MCDA | bis (ammonium) | waxes | 205–210 °C (T_{m}) | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 67 |
| MCDA | bis (ammonium) derivatives of tebuconazole | waxes | 209–223 °C (T_{m}) | d | d | d | 68 |
| MCDA | 2,4-D | tebuconazole | wax | 204 °C (T_{m}) | insoluble | d | d | d | 40 |
| MCDA | 2,4-D | propiconazole | liquid | 239 °C (T_{m}) | insoluble | d | d | d | 40 |
| MCDA | 2,4-D | 1,4-dimethylpiperazinium | solid (T_m = 98–100 °C) | 3.0% (V) | <30–70% (pH = 5); 70–100% (pH = 7); <30% (pH = 9) | 50.6 | d | 3.08 | 41 |
| anion | cation | appearance at 25 ℃ | thermal stability and volatility | solubility in water | surface tension at CMC (mN/m) | adsorption in soil (%) | K_CW | refs |
|-------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------|------|
| 2,4-D | tetraalkylammonium | solids (T_m = 55–74 °C) or waxes (alkyltrimethylammonium); liquids or waxes (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 170–218 °C (T_m) (alkyltrimethylammonium); 204–219 °C (T_m) (dialkyldimethylammonium) | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) (alkyltrimethylammonium); limited (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 28.9–36.8 (alkyltrimethylammonium); 26.6–31.2 (dialkyldimethylammonium) | d | d | 44,46–48,52 |
| 2,4-D | tetraalkylphosphonium | liquid | 260 °C (T_m) | soluble | d | d | d | 16 |
| 2,4-D | 2-chloroethyltrimethylammonium | solid (T_m = 92–96 °C) | 198 °C (T_m) | soluble | d | d | d | 44,48 |
| 2,4-D | alkyl-2-hydroxyethyl-dimethylammonium | solid (T_m = 86–88 °C) or wax | d | limited or soluble | d | d | d | 46 |
| 2,4-D | quaternary ammonium derivatives of n-glucose | waxes | 201–221 °C (T_m) | d | d | d | d | 59 |
| 2,4-D | alkylbis(n-ethoxylated)methylammonium | solids (T_m = 49–71 °C) or liquids | 222–228 °C (T_m) | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) | 30.4–37.2 | d | d | 44,46,52,60 |
| 2,4-D | betainium | solid (T_m = 70–72 °C) or liquids or waxes | 237 °C (T_m) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 61 |
| 2,4-D | N-allylbetainium | solid (T_m = 64–66 °C) or wax | 171–228 °C (T_m) | <3.3% (w/v) | 31.5–31.7 | d | d | 45 |
| 2,4-D | carnitinium | wax | 165 °C (T_m) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 61 |
| 2,4-D | acetylcholine | solid (T_m = 95–97 °C) | 189 °C (T_m) | <3.3% (w/v) | 33.0 | d | −1.36 | 62 |
| 2,4-D | [2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl]trimethylammonium; [2-(acryloyloxy)ethyl]trimethylammonium | liquids | d | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 63 |
| 2,4-D | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetoxyethyl-decyldimethylammonium | liquids | d | d | d | d | d | 21 |
| 2,4-D | 1,1-dialklypyrrolidinium | liquids | 126–208 °C (T_m) | >10% (w/v) | 28.4–33.2 | d | d | 25,46 |
| 2,4-D | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium | liquids or waxes | d | >10% (w/v) or limited or soluble | d | d | d | 46,73 |
| 2,4-D | 1,1-dialklypiperidinium | liquids or waxes | d | soluble | d | d | d | 46 |
| 2,4-D | 1,3-dialklyimidazolium | liquids or waxes | d | soluble | d | d | d | 46 |
| 2,4-D | 1-alklylyridinium | wax | d | soluble | d | d | d | 46 |
| 2,4-D | bis (ammonium) | waxes | 210–219 °C (T_m) | 3.3–10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 67 |
| 2,4-D | hexamethylene-1,6-bis(3-methylimidazolium) | wax (T_m = 82–84 °C) | 1.9% (V) | <30–70% (pH = 5); freely (pH = 7); 70–100% (pH = 9) | 36.8 | d | 4.21 | 41 |
| MCPP | tebuconazole | liquid | 200 °C (T_m) | insoluble | | | | |
| MCPP | propiconazole | liquid | 245 °C (T_m) | insoluble | | | | |
| MCPP | tetrabutylammonium | liquids or waxes (alkyltrimethylammonium); liquids (dialkyldimethylammonium); d (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 186–194 °C (T_m) (alkyltrimethylammonium); 190–200 °C (T_m) (dialkyldimethylammonium) | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) (alkyltrimethylammonium); >10% (w/v) (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 31.0–38.7 (alkyltrimethylammonium); 36.8–38.7 (dialkyldimethylammonium) | d | d | 16,47,51 |
| MCPP | tetraalkylphosphonium | liquid | 307 °C (T_m) | d | d | d | d | 16 |
| MCPP | betainium | liquid | 218 °C (T_m) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 61 |
| MCPP | N-allylbetainium | liquids | 185–219 °C (T_m) | 3.3–10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) | 31.6–31.7 | d | d | 45 |
| MCPP | carnitinium | liquid | 181 °C (T_m) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 61 |
| MCPP | acetylcholine | liquid | 180 °C (T_m) | <3.3% (w/v) | 33.2 | d | −0.81 | 62 |
| MCPP | [2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl]trimethylammonium; [2-(acryloyloxy)ethyl]trimethylammonium | liquids | 190–200 °C (T_m) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 63 |
Table 3. continued

| anion cation | appearance at 25 °C | thermal stability and volatility | solubility in water | surface tension at CMC (mN/m) | adsorption in soil (%) | Kow refs |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| methyltrimethylenammonium | wax | 200 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 63 |
| MCPP dialkanoyloxyethyl-dimethylammonium | liquids | d | d | d | d | 21 |
| MCPP derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetonylhexyl-dimethylammonium | liquids | d | d | d | d | 21 |
| MCPP 1,1-dialkylpyrrolidinium | liquids | 125–200 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | 28.0–34.4 | d | d | 25 |
| MCPP 1,1-dialkylpiperidinium | waxes | 192–198 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | 3.3–10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 66 |
| MCPP 1,3-dialkylimidazolium | liquid | 252 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | soluble | d | d | d | 16 |
| MCPP bis(ammonium) | waxes | 203–205 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | 3.3–10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 67 |
| dicamba [2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethyl] ammonium | liquid | 0.5% (V); 183 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | lack of CMC | d | d | 39 |
| dicamba bis(3-aminopropyl)ammonium | liquid | 1.0% (V); 184 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | lack of CMC | d | d | 39 |
| dicamba tebuconazole | liquid | 218 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | insoluble | d | d | d | 40 |
| dicamba propiconazole | liquid | 193 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | insoluble | d | d | d | 40 |
| dicamba 8-hydroxyquinolinium | glass | 190 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | d | d | d | d | 26 |
| dicamba 8-(3-aminopropyl)bis(ammonium) | solid (T<sub>m</sub> = 76–78 °C) | 185–187 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) (alkyltrimethylammonium); 178 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) (dialkyldimethylammonium) | <3.3% (w/v) (alkyltrimethylammonium); >10% (w/v) (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 36.3–37.1 (alkyltrimethylammonium); >10% (w/v) (dialkyldimethylammonium) | d | d | 26,47,51 |
| dicamba alkyl-2-hydroxyethyl-dimethylammonium | liquids or waxes | d | d | d | d | 26 |
| dicamba alkyl[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)]ethyl(dimethylammonium) | liquids or waxes | 0.4% (V); 146–185 °C (T<sub>m</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | 30.8–38.6 | d | −2.04 to 0.98 | 39,58 |
| dicamba alkylbis(ethoxylated) methylvammonium | liquids or waxes | 189–200 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | <3.3% (w/v) | 36.5 | d | d | 26,39 |
| dicamba N-alkylbetainium | solid (T<sub>m</sub> = 28–30 °C) | 184–200 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | <3.3% (w/v) | 30.4–32.7 | d | d | 45 |
| dicamba acetycholine | liquid | 178 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | 3.3–10% (w/v) | 33.8 | d | 0.67 | 62 |
| dicamba [2-(methylcroyloxy)-ethyl]trimethylammonium, [2-(acroyloxy)-ethyl]trimethylammonium | liquids | 187–192 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 63 |
| dicamba dialkanoyloxyethyl-dimethylammonium | wax | d | d | d | d | 26 |
| dicamba derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetonylhexyl-dimethylammonium | liquids | d | d | d | d | 21 |
| dicamba 1,1-dialkylpyrrolidinium | liquid | 185 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | d | d | d | d | 26 |
| dicamba 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium | liquids or waxes | 185 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | d | d | d | d | 26,73 |
| dicamba 1,1-dialkylpiperdinum | liquids or waxes | 188 °C (T<sub>wo</sub>) | d | d | d | d | 26 |
| anion | cation | appearance at 25 °C | thermal stability and volatility | solubility in water | surface tension at CMC (mN/m) | adsorption in soil (%) | $K_{MW}$ | refs |
|-------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------|------|
| dicamba | 1-alkyl-4-hydroxy-1-methylpiperidinium | solids ($T_m = 91–98^\circ$C) or liquids | 0.2–0.3% (V); 186–192 °C ($T_m$) | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) | 31.6–38.4 | d | d | 39 |
| dicamba | 1,3-dialkylimidazolium | liquids | d | d | d | d | d | 26 |
| dicamba | 1-alkylpyridinium | liquid | 187 °C ($T_m$) | d | d | d | d | 26 |
| dicamba | bis (ammonium) | waxes | 184–195 °C ($T_m$) | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 67 |
| dicamba | bis (ammonium) derivatives of tebuconazole | noncrystalline solids | 201–219 °C ($T_m$) | d | d | d | d | 68 |
| 2,4-DP | tetraalkylammonium | liquids (alkyltrimethyl-ammonium); liquids (dialkyldimethyl-ammonium); wax (tetraethylammonium) | <3.3% (w/v) (alkyltrimethylammonium); <3.3% (w/v) (dialkyldimethylammonium); >10% (w/v) (tetraethylammonium) | 28.0–28.7 (alkyltrimethylammonium); 27.1–28.9 (dialkyldimethylammonium); 29.2 (tetraethylammonium) | d | d | 0.73–0.98 (alkyltrimethylammonium); 0.65–0.82 (dialkyldimethylammonium) 0.35 (tetraethylammonium) | 6 |
| 4-CPA | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetonylhexyl-2-dimethylammonium | liquids | 123–209 °C ($T_m$) | <3.3% (w/v) | 27.5–35.6 | d | d | 25 |
| clopyralid | alkylammonium | liquids | 0.70–49.6% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.41–63.3% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.72 to 4.56% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 29.7–58.3 | 21.6–35.6 | d | d | 6 |
| clopyralid | 2-benothiazolium | liquid | 0.90% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.79% (w/v) (pH = 7); 10.3% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 54.7 | 34.8 | d | d | 27 |
| clopyralid | imidazolium | liquid | 4.00% (w/v) (pH = 5); 44.0% (w/v) (pH = 7); 4.54% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 61.0 | 32.2 | d | d | 27 |
| clopyralid | tetraalkylammonium | liquid | 6.0% (w/v) (pH = 5); 11.3% (w/v) (pH = 7); 10.5% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 65.5 | 35.7 | d | d | 27 |
| clopyralid | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetonylhexyl-2-dimethylammonium | liquids | d | d | d | d | d | 21 |
| pelargonate | tetraalkylammonium | waxes (alkyltrimethylammonium); waxes (dialkyldimethylammonium); liquid (tetraethylammonium) | 171–193 °C ($T_m$) (alkyltrimethylammonium); 150–180 °C ($T_m$) (dialkyldimethylammonium); 155 °C ($T_m$) (tetraethylammonium) | >10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) (alkyltrimethylammonium); >10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) (dialkyldimethylammonium); >10% (w/v) (tetraethylammonium) | d | d | d | d | 33 |
| pelargonate | choline | wax | 186 °C ($T_m$) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 33 |
| pelargonate | alkylbis(-ethylxylated) methylammonium | wax | 196 °C ($T_m$) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 33 |
| pelargonate | N-alkylbetainium | wax | 146 °C ($T_m$) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 33 |
| pelargonate | acetylcholine | liquid | 188 °C ($T_m$) | >10% (w/v) | 26.8 | d | 1.11 | 62 |
| pelargonate | dialkanoylethyl-2-dimethylammonium | wax | 156 °C ($T_m$) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 33 |
| pelargonate | quaternized DABCO | liquids | d | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) | 26.1–29.7 | d | d | 34 |
| anion            | cation                  | appearance at 25 °C<sup>b</sup> | thermal stability and volatility<sup>c</sup> | solubility in water | surface tension at CMC (mN/m) | adsorption in soil (%<sup>5</sup>) | K<sub>CMC</sub> | refs |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------|
| bentazone        | tetraalkylammonium      | liquid (alkyltrimethyl-ammonium); wax (dialkyldimethylammonium) | d (alkyltrimethylammonium); d (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 0.22% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.23% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.22% (w/v) (pH = 9) (alkyltrimethylammonium); 3.32% (w/v) (pH = 5); 3.55% (w/v) (pH = 7); 3.48% (w/v) (pH = 9) (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 31.3 (alkyltrimethylammonium); 34.8 (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 12.8 (alkyltrimethylammonium); 10.3 (dialkyldimethylammonium) | d                | 24   |
| bentazone        | 1,3-dialkylimidazolium  | liquid                           | d                                             | 8.59% (w/v) (pH = 5); 8.64% (w/v (pH = 7); 9.00% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 34.3              | 8.7                            | d                   |                |      |
| bentazone        | 1-alkylpyridinium       | liquid                           | d                                             | 0.09% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.09% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.08% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 30.8              | 10.9                            | d                   |                |      |
| bromoxynil       | alkylammonium           | wax (T<sub>m</sub> = 97–99 °C)   | 0.3% (V)                                      | 0.01% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.02% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.01% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 36.4              | d                               | 1.03               | 23   |
| bromoxynil       | 4-methylmorpholinum     | liquid                           | 5.4% (V)                                      | 3.63% (w/v) (pH = 5); 3.52% (w/v) (pH = 7); 4.02% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 67.9              | d                               | 0.59               | 23   |
| bromoxynil       | 1-methyl-3H-imidazolium | wax (T<sub>m</sub> = 63–65 °C)   | 4.0% (V)                                      | 0.87% (w/v) (pH = 5); 1.34% (w/v) (pH = 7); 2.76% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 65.4              | d                               | 0.45               | 23   |
| bromoxynil       | 1-alkylpyridinium       | wax (T<sub>m</sub> = 89–91 °C)   | 0.2% (V)                                      | 0.06% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.05% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.03% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 32.7              | d                               | 0.97               | 23   |
| clodinafop       | choline                 | d (product not isolated from postreaction mixture) | d                                             | d                  | d                             | d                   | d                |      |
| diclofop         | choline                 | d (product not isolated from postreaction mixture) | d                                             | d                  | d                             | d                   | d                |      |
| fomesafen        | tetraalkylammonium      | solid (T<sub>m</sub> = 86–87 °C) (alkyltrimethylammonium); liquid (dialkyldimethylammonium) | d (alkyltrimethylammonium); d (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 0.001% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.002% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.002% (w/v) (pH = 9) (alkyltrimethylammonium); 0.34% (w/v) (pH = 9) (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 29.3 (alkyltrimethylammonium); 37.8 (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 15.3 (alkyltrimethylammonium); 13.8 (dialkyldimethylammonium) | 2.88 (alkyltrimethylammonium); 0.64 (dialkyldimethylammonium) |      |
| fomesafen        | 1,3-dialkylimidazolium  | liquid                           | d                                             | 0.85% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.97% (w/v) (pH = 7); 1.20% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 34.2              | 13.6                            | 0.75               |      |
| fomesafen        | 1-alkylpyridinium       | wax                              | d                                             | 0.008% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.009% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.008% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 31.2              | 14.1                            | 2.49               |      |
| glyphosate       | tetraalkylammonium      | solids (T<sub>m</sub> = 45 °C) or liquids or waxes | 148–196 °C (T<sub>m</sub>) | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) | 6.7               | d                               | d                  |      |
| glyphosate       | trialkylsulfonium       | liquids                          | d                                             | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) | d | d | 84 |
| glyphosate       | alkylbis(n-ethoxylated)methylammonium | liquids or waxes | 155–208 °C (T<sub>m</sub>) | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) | d | d | 31 |
| glyphosate       | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium | acetylcholine        | 140 °C (T<sub>m</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | 31 |
| glyphosate       | isodioxuron-methyl      | acetylcholine        | 177 °C (T<sub>m</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | 31 |
| MCPB             | 1,1-dialkylpiperidinium | liquids or waxes (T<sub>m</sub> = 40–64 °C) | 154–215 °C (T<sub>m</sub>) | >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) | 33.5–42.9 | d | d | 64 |
| mesotrione       | alkylammonium           | solids (T<sub>m</sub> = 68–97 °C) or liquids or waxes | 140–179 °C (T<sub>m</sub>) | 0.13–0.87% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.51–1.70% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.59–1.60% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 43.7–57.9 | 4.5–8.0 | 0.92–0.97 | 38 |
| mesotrione       | 1-methylpiperidinium    | solid (T<sub>m</sub> = 91 °C) | 165 °C (T<sub>m</sub>) | 1.31% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.69% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.88% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 43.2 | 10.0 | 1.39 | 38 |
| mesotrione       | 1-methyl-3H-imidazolium | solid (T<sub>m</sub> = 96 °C) | 153 °C (T<sub>m</sub>) | 1.24% (w/v) (pH = 5); 2.21% (w/v) (pH = 7); 1.83% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 44.6 | 7.7 | 1.42 | 38 |
| Anion/Cation | Appearance at 25 °C<sup>b</sup> | Thermal Stability and Volatility<sup>c</sup> | Solubility in Water<sup>d</sup> | Surface Tension at CMC (mN/m) | Adsorption in Soil (%) | K<sub>OW</sub> refs |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Mesotrione tetraalkylammonium | Liquids or waxes (T<sub>m</sub> = 81–90 °C) | 180–219 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | 0.18–2.42% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.68–4.20% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.93–4.01% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 22.8–35.4 | 7.4–21.6 | 1.17–2.37 | 38 |
| Mesotrione 1-alkylpyridinium | Solid (T<sub>m</sub> = 85 °C) | 202 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | 0.17% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.45% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.49% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 27.5 | 22.6 | 2.43 | 38 |
| Metsulfuron methyl tetraalkylphosphonium | Liquids | 194–195 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 29 |
| Metsulfuron methyl cholinel | Liquid | 150 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 29 |
| Metsulfuron methyl alkyl(≥n-ethoxylated) methylammonium | Liquid | 180 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 29 |
| Metsulfuron methyl alkyl(≥n-ethoxylated) methylammonium | Liquid | 167 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 29 |
| Metsulfuron methyl 1,1-dialkylpyrrolidinium | Solid (T<sub>m</sub> = 62–64 °C) | 196 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 29 |
| Metsulfuron methyl 1,1-dialkylpyrrolidinium | Liquid | 176 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 29 |
| Metsulfuron methyl 1,3-dialkylimidazolium | Liquids | 182–190 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 29 |
| Metsulfuron methyl 1-alkylpyridinium | Liquid | 195 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | 3.3–10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 29 |
| Nicosulfuron tetraalkylammonium | Liquids or waxes | d | 0.003–0.059% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.012–0.064% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.023–0.083% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 14.4–43.9 | d | 0.59–1.13 | 30 |
| Nicosulfuron choline | Liquid | d | 0.062% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.046% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.051% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 41.2 | d | 0.78 | 30 |
| Nicosulfuron 1-alkylpyridinium | Liquid | d | 0.004% (w/v) (pH = 5); 0.003% (w/v) (pH = 7); 0.015% (w/v) (pH = 9) | 19.7 | d | 1.06 | 30 |
| MCPA/dicamba (oligomeric) alkyl(≥n-ethoxylated) methylammonium | Liquids or waxes | d | d | d | d | d | 43 |
| MCPA/dicamba (oligomeric) betainium | Wax | 202 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | <3.3% (w/v) | d | d | d | 61 |
| MCPA/glufosinate (oligomeric) tetraalkylammonium | Wax | 200 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 42 |
| MCPA/glufosinate (oligomeric) alkyl(≥n-ethoxylated) methylammonium | Wax | 218 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 42 |
| MCPA/dicamba (DSHIL) alkyl[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethyl]dimethylammonium | Liquids | 183–191 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | 29.6–37.7 | d | −1.57 to 1.13 | 58 |
| MCPA/glufosinate (DSHIL) tetraalkylammonium | Solid (T<sub>m</sub> = 15, 40 °C) or wax (alkyltrimethylammonium); Liquids (dialkyl(dimethyl)ammonium) | 150–155 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) (alkyltrimethylammonium); 159–160 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) (dialkyl(dimethyl)ammonium) | >10% (w/v) or <3.3% (w/v) (alkyltrimethylammonium); >10% (w/v) or 3.3–10% (w/v) (dialkyl(dimethyl)ammonium) | d | d | d | 42 |
| MCPA/glufosinate (DSHIL) alkyl(≥n-ethoxylated) methylammonium wax | 215 °C (T<sub>50</sub>) | >10% (w/v) | d | d | d | 42 |
4. CHARACTERIZATION OF HILs

4.1. Physicochemical Tests. The general characterization of HILs consists of spectral analysis (e.g., $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR, UV, IR) in order to confirm their structure. This is followed by thermal analysis (DSC, differential scanning calorimetry) for determination of thermal transitions and melting points and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) for establishing the decomposition temperatures and confirmation of thermal stability, which, in turn, leads to the volatility tests, ideally demonstrating the low volatility of HILs due to the presence of an ionic bond.26

It should be emphasized that the issue of volatility of various herbicidal formulations has been studied extensively since the 1970s.41 The so-called "vapor drift", caused by volatilization of the utilized herbicide after application, may lead to the presence of such chemicals in neighboring areas, severely damaging nontargeted plants as well as trees. Interestingly, reports from 2017 present the drift of a new formulation of dicamba in USA (in the states of Mississippi, Tennessee, and Missouri), advertised as "nonvolatile", which caused substantial losses in neighboring cultivated plants, particularly in soybeans, as well as tomatoes.42 This case clearly demonstrates that minimizing the potential for off-site movement of many plant protection products is still a significant challenge for modern agriculture. The literature survey provides data demonstrating the volatility of HILs comprising dicamba,26 2,4-D,41 and bromoxynil.43 The volatility of samples was determined by thermogravimetric analysis, wherein the percentage of mass loss of samples was assessed after heating them for 12 h at 75 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. These studies revealed that transformation of herbicides into HILs can reduce the volatilization rate by up to 20 times compared to the respective herbicide in nonionic form. Therefore, in the case of bromoxynil and 2,4-D, the mass loss of herbicide exceeding 10% was lowered to less than 1%, which underlines the beneficial influence of the utilized quaternary ammonium cations. Additionally, the tested HILs proved to be less volatile than salts comprising tertiary ammonium (protic) cations that are usually applied in commercial formulations.43 This observation may be explained by the fact that in case of the quaternary cations, the risk of deprotonation and subsequent volatilization of both amine and acid does not exist.44 Furthermore, the molecular mass of HILs should also be considered as a factor influencing their volatility. To date, it has been established that the increase in molecular mass of the active ingredient (by combination of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid with amines substituted with different length of alkyls) decreased its volatility by reducing its saturated vapor pressure.41

Another important factor is determination of water and halide residues, which notably affect the physicochemical properties of HILs, as they are strictly connected to the synthesis efficiency. HILs are also subjected to additional experiments, for example, testing of their viscosity, density, and refractive index to identify their purity.72 The purity of ILs is generally determined using elemental analysis or the two-phase titration method, coupled with spectral methods, such as NMR, IR, and UV. Nevertheless, these techniques are not appropriate for a precise quantitative determination of the purity of HILs. Therefore, it is recommended to employ chromatographic techniques (e.g., HPLC) in future studies, which proved to be effective in the case of other ILs.43

Table 3. continued

| cation                | anion                      | surfactant                      | surface tension at CMC (mN/m) | adsorption in soil | solubility in water | thermal stability and volatility | refractive index to identify their purity.55 | decomposition temperature of 5% sample | volatility rate at 75 °C after 12 h.41 |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| dicamba               | dicamba                   | liquid                          | 188 °C (T_m)                  | 180% (w/w)         | >10% (w/v)           | >10% (w/v)                       | >10% (w/v)                               | >10% (w/v)                             | >10% (w/v)                               |
| dicamba               | dicamba                   | liquid                          | 177 °C (T_m)                  | 180% (w/w)         | <3% (w/v)            | >10% (w/v)                       | >10% (w/v)                               | >10% (w/v)                             | >10% (w/v)                               |
| dicamba               | dicamba                   | liquid                          | 213 °C (T_m)                  | 180% (w/w)         | >10% (w/v)           | >10% (w/v)                       | >10% (w/v)                               | >10% (w/v)                             | >10% (w/v)                               |

*Herbicides have been ordered in decreasing number of manuscripts. Table does not include salts with melting points greater than 100 °C. Tetraalkylammonium solid (TAA) 188 °C (T_m) melting point. 5% decomposition temperature of 5% sample; volatility rate at 75 °C after 12 h. 41 Not tested.

The volatility of HILs comprising dicamba,26 2,4-D,41 and bromoxynil.43 The volatility of samples was determined by thermogravimetric analysis, wherein the percentage of mass loss of samples was assessed after heating them for 12 h at 75 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. These studies revealed that transformation of herbicides into HILs can reduce the volatilization rate by up to 20 times compared to the respective herbicide in nonionic form. Therefore, in the case of bromoxynil and 2,4-D, the mass loss of herbicide exceeding 10% was lowered to less than 1%, which underlines the beneficial influence of the utilized quaternary ammonium cations. Additionally, the tested HILs proved to be less volatile than salts comprising tertiary ammonium (protic) cations that are usually applied in commercial formulations.43 This observation may be explained by the fact that in case of the quaternary cations, the risk of deprotonation and subsequent volatilization of both amine and acid does not exist.44 Furthermore, the molecular mass of HILs should also be considered as a factor influencing their volatility. To date, it has been established that the increase in molecular mass of the active ingredient (by combination of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid with amines substituted with different length of alkyls) decreased its volatility by reducing its saturated vapor pressure.41

Another important factor is determination of water and halide residues, which notably affect the physicochemical properties of HILs, as they are strictly connected to the synthesis efficiency. HILs are also subjected to additional experiments, for example, testing of their viscosity, density, and refractive index to identify their purity.72 The purity of ILs is generally determined using elemental analysis or the two-phase titration method, coupled with spectral methods, such as NMR, IR, and UV. Nevertheless, these techniques are not appropriate for a precise quantitative determination of the purity of HILs. Therefore, it is recommended to employ chromatographic techniques (e.g., HPLC) in future studies, which proved to be effective in the case of other ILs.43
The crucial variable concerning HILs is their surface tension (surface activity), measured, for example, with the use of a drop shape analyzer. The results give valuable information concerning HIL wetting properties, since high surface activity indicates enhanced wetting of plants and therefore the possibility of complete reduction of adjuvant use. Solubility tests enable proper solvent selection for analytical purposes as well as optimization of the most effective synthesis method. Additionally, since ILs are known for their tunability, which allows regulation of many factors, the octanol–water partition coefficient is also determined to assess the hydrophobicity of HILs. This factor gives valuable information concerning the environmental risk of these formulations, since its high value illustrates the hazard of bioaccumulation, while low values correspond with the possibility of substance permeation into watercourses. The mobility of HILs was tested for the first time in 2015 by Wang et al. with the use of soil thin layer chromatography (soil TLC) and was carried out later by other research teams. Additionally, absorption of HILs by soil was analyzed with high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), as it is strictly associated with the bioaccumulation of these compounds and essential for further biological tests. The obtained results indicated that the highly lipophilic HILs, comprising long alkyl or cyclic substituents, interacted with organic matter in soils and sediments, thus being rather immobile. On the other hand, the weakly lipophilic HILs were relatively mobile in the soil, which creates the possibility of their permeation into groundwater. HILs with longer chains were found to be easily adsorbed by soil and their adsorption percentage was positively correlated with the length of the carbon substituent. Thus, their high adsorption capacity in soil may be associated with lower risk to algae, snail, and other aquatic organisms compared to commercially available forms of herbicides. However, researchers should also consider the risk of bioaccumulation of lipophilic compounds in soil. This particularly important issue has not been thoroughly considered thus far. Therefore, experiments on HIL soil adsorption should be coupled with biodegradability tests demonstrating whether such compound is fully degraded or mineralized in soil. Otherwise, despite lower risk of contamination of watercourses, the possible soil pollution may influence the community structure of autochthonic bacteria present in soil or even pose a threat to other living organisms, including humans.

Many years of extensive research on HILs revealed their most desirable physicochemical characteristics in terms of their successful commercialization:

(a) HILs should remain in a liquid state at room temperature; thus difficulties associated with the solid state of the majority of produced pesticides (including polymorphic conversion, tendency of the amorphous forms to crystallize spontaneously, low solubility or bioavailability) lead to a decrease or even loss of desired activity.

(b) HIL viscosity should be relatively low, as it would facilitate their synthesis. Additionally, the adsorption of active ingredient as a liquid would be enhanced compared to conventional forms, which may lead to the formation of solid deposits on the surface of leaves.

(c) HILs should be characterized by extremely low volatility, which minimizes the risk of atmospheric pollution as well as poisoning by vapors of chemical substances. However, standardized protocols for analysis of HIL vaporization should be proposed. Additionally, HILs should also be examined in terms of their physical drift from spray solutions.

(d) HILs should exhibit moderate solubility in water. Too high solubility may increase the risk of permeation to groundwaters, while too low affinity toward water may provide difficulties with development of effective formulation and may hinder HIL biodegradation.

(e) Their high surface activity results in enhanced efficiency and allows the required dose of active ingredient per hectare to be significantly reduced, which is directly
Table 4. Overview of Greenhouse and Field Studies Conducted on HILs

| anion cation | amount of AI applied (g/ha) | greenhouse studies | field studies |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|              |                             | fresh weight reduction |               |
|              |                             | 170               |              |
| MCPA         | tebuconazole                | common lambsquarters, 17% | cornflower, 0–38%; shepherd’s purse, 46–51% |
|              | 170                         | white mustard, 45% |              |
|              | 170                         | common lambsquarters, 31% |              |
|              | 170                         | white mustard, 41% |              |
| MCPA         | propiconazole               | 400               |              |
|              |                             | common lambsquarters, 51–82% | field pennycress, 75–100%; larkspur, 54–93%; mayweed, 40–78%; rapeseed, 86–100% |
| MCPA         | tebuconazole                | 400               |              |
|              |                             | common lambsquarters, 41%–60%; cornflower, 39–69%; white mustard, 15–37% | field pansy, field pennycress and rapeseed, results similar to the mixture of MCPA-salt and CCC |
| MCPA         | quaternary ammonium derivatives of D-glucose | 400 |              |
| MCPA         | alkyl[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)-ethyl] dimethylammonium | 400 |              |
| MCPA         | betainium                   | 400               |              |
| MCPA         | carminium                   | 400               |              |
| MCPA         | acetylcholine               | 400               |              |
| MCPA         | [2-{(methacryloyloxy)ethyl}-trimethylammonium]-ethyl | 400 |              |
| MCPA         | dialkanoyloxyethyl-dimethyl- ammonium | 400 |              |
| MCPA         | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetoxyethyl-decyldimethylammonium | 400 |              |
| MCPA         | 1,1-dialkylpyrrolidinium     | 400               |              |
| MCPA         | quaternized DABCO           | 400               |              |
| MCPA         | bis(ammonium)               | 400               |              |
| MCPA         | bis(ammonium) derivatives of tebuconazole | 400 |              |
| 2,4-D        | tebuconazole                | 170               |              |
| 2,4-D        | propiconazole               | 170               |              |
| 2,4-D        | 1,4-dimethylpiperazine       | 400               |              |

\[ https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c02894 \]
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| anion | cation | amount of AI applied (g/ha) | greenhouse studies | field studies |
|-------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
|       |        |                             | fresh weight reduction | herbicidal activity ref |
| 2,4-D | tetraalkylammonium | 400 | cornflower, 80%; field poppy, 44%; flaxweed, 51%, rapeseed, 12% | common lambsquarters, ~98%; rapeseed, ~95% |
| 2,4-D | tetraalkylammonium | 400 | common lambsquarters, 33–42%; flaxweed, 42–72% | common lambsquarters, ~85–95%; field pennycress, ~80–98% |
| 2,4-D | tetraalkylammonium | c | | ~ |
| 2,4-D | tetraalkylammonium | c | | common lambsquarters, 85–100%; field pennycress, 81–100%; shepherd's purse, 81–100% |
|       | tetraalkylammonium | c | | cornflower, 75–79%; field pennycress, 74–78%; field poppy, 73–79%; rapeseed, 80–82%; scentless chamomile, 56–57%; shepherd's purse, 79–82% |
| 2,4-D | 2-chloroethyl-trimethylammonium | 400 | common lambsquarters, 33–42%; flixweed, 42–72% | common lambsquarters, ~38%; rapeseed, ~35% |
| 2,4-D | 2-chloroethyl-trimethylammonium | 220–440 (2,4-D), 1.58–316 (CCC) | white mustard, 50–75% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | quaternary ammonium derivatives of D-glucose | 400 | cornflower, 23–66%; white mustard, 39–71% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | alkyldi((n-ethoxylated) methylammonium | 400 | cornflower, 75%, field poppy, 37%, flaxweed, 47%, rapeseed, 14% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | alkyldi((n-ethoxylated) methylammonium | c | | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | alkyldi((n-ethoxylated) methylammonium | c | | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | betainium | 400 | common lambsquarters, 27%; white mustard, 32% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | N-alkylbetainium | 400 | common lambsquarters, ~83–90%; cornflower, ~99–100%; rapeseed, ~84–97% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | carnitinium | 400 | common lambsquarters, 7%; white mustard, 32% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | acetylcholine | 400 | rapeseed, 21.12% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | [2-[methacyrloyloxy]-ethyltrimethylammonium | 400 | white mustard efficacy compared to commercial formulation set as 100%, ~72–87% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetoxyethyl-dimethylammonium | 400 | white mustard efficacy compared to commercial formulation set as 100%, ~72–87% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | bis(ammonium) | 400 | germination index of cornflower, 4.82–7.49% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| 2,4-D | hexamethylene-1,6-bis(3-methylimidazolium) | 400 | root length, 4.14–6.33 cm | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| MCPP | tebuconazole | 170 | common lambsquarters, 21%; white mustard, 19% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| MCPP | propiconazole | 170 | common lambsquarters, 24%; white mustard, 13% | common lambsquarters, 72–95%; cornflower, 94% |
| anion - cation | amount of AI applied (g/ha) | fresh weight reduction | amount of AI applied (g/ha) | field studies |
|---------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| MCPP tetraalkylammonium | 400 | 38–68% | common lambsquarters, 38–68% | 400 | common lambsquarters, ~87–95%; field pennycress, ~90–99% |
| MCPP betainium | 400 | 22% | white mustard, 9% | 400 | white mustard, 9% |
| MCPP N-alkylbetainium | 400 | 50–55% | common lambsquarters, 50–55% | 400 | white mustard, 50–55% |
| MCPP carnitinium | 400 | 19% | common lambsquarters, 19% | 400 | white mustard, 19% |
| MCPP acetylcholine | 400 | 0% | white mustard, 0% | 400 | white mustard, 0% |
| MCPP [2-(methacryloyloxy)oxy]-trimethylammonium | 400 | 87–95% | common lambsquarters, 87–95% | 400 | common lambsquarters, 87–95% |
| MCPP dialkanoxyethyl(dimethylammonium) | 400 | 88–93% | common lambsquarters, 88–93% | 400 | common lambsquarters, 88–93% |
| MCPP 1,1-dialkylpyrrolidinium | 400 | 104% | common lambsquarters, 104% | 400 | common lambsquarters, 104% |
| MCPP 1,1-dialkylpiperidinium | 400 | 8% | white mustard, 8% | 400 | white mustard, 8% |
| MCPP bis(ammonium) | 400 | 22% | white mustard, 22% | 400 | white mustard, 22% |
| dicamba [2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethyl]dimethylammonium | 200 | 67% | common lambsquarters, 67% | 200 | common lambsquarters, 67% |
| dicamba bis(3-aminopropyl)ammonium | 200 | 35% | common lambsquarters, 35% | 200 | common lambsquarters, 35% |
| dicamba tebuconazole | 200 | 23% | white mustard, 23% | 200 | white mustard, 23% |
| dicamba tetraalkylammonium | 0.002–0.004 mol/L | 45.7% | white mustard, 45.7% | 200 | common lambsquarters, 45.7% |
| dicamba alkyl[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)-ethyl]dimethylammonium | 200 | 78–88% | common lambsquarters, 78–88% | 200 | common lambsquarters, 78–88% |
| dicamba alkyl[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)-ethyl]dimethylammonium | 200 | 88–91% | common lambsquarters, 88–91% | 200 | common lambsquarters, 88–91% |
| dicamba alkylbis(n-ethoxylated)-methylammonium | 200 | 55% | common lambsquarters, 55% | 200 | common lambsquarters, 55% |
| dicamba N-alkylbetainium | 200 | 95% | common lambsquarters, 95% | 200 | common lambsquarters, 95% |
| anion                | cation                                                                 | amount of AI applied (g/ha) | greenhouse studies | field studies |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| dicamba             | acetylcholine                                                         | 200                         | rapeseed, −4.46%   |              |
| dicamba             | [2-(methacryloyloxy)-ethyl]-trimethylammonium, [2-(acryloyloxy)-ethyl]trimethylammonium | 200                         | white mustard efficacy compared to commercial formulation set as 100%, ∼101–112% |              |
| dicamba             | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetoxyethyldecyl-dimethylammonium           | 200                         | germination index of cornflower, 5.99–22.39%; shoot length, 12.33–21.75 cm; root length, 9.00–14.89 cm |              |
| dicamba             | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium                                               | c                           |                    |              |
| dicamba             | 1,1-dialkylpiperidinium                                               | c                           |                    |              |
| dicamba             | 1-alkyl-4-hydroxy-1-methylpiperidinium                                 | 200                         | common amaranth, ∼70–90%; common lambsquarters, ∼54–89%; cornflower, ∼71–82% |              |
| dicamba             | bis (ammonium)                                                       | 200                         | common lambsquarters, 32–95%; cornflower, 54%; rapeseed, 54%; white mustard, 9–33% |              |
| dicamba             | bis (ammonium) derivatives of tebuconazole                            | 200                         | common lambsquarters, 58–85%; rapeseed, 10–38% |              |
| 2,4-DP              | tetrazylammonium                                                      | 300                         | common lambsquarters, ∼20–40%; cornflower, ∼25–77% |              |
| 2,4-DP              | alkylbis(1-ethoxylated)-methylammonium                                 | 300                         | common lambsquarters, ∼17%; cornflower, ∼58% |              |
| 2,4-DP              | acetylcholine                                                         | 400                         | rapeseed, 36.28%   |              |
| 2,4-DP              | 1,1-dialkylpiperidinium                                               | 400                         | common lambsquarters, 20–90%; cornflower, 20–60%; rapeseed, 20–91% |              |
| 4-CPA               | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetoxyethyldecyl-dimethylammonium          | 400                         | germination index of cornflower, 5.32–19.46%; shoot length, 7.50–17.00 cm; root length, 2.71–4.25 cm |              |
| 4-CPA               | 1,1-dialkylpyrrolidinium                                              | 400                         | common lambsquarters, 53–65% |              |
| clopyralid          | alkylammonium                                                        | c                           |                    |              |
| clopyralid          | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetoxyethyldecyl-dimethylammonium         | c                           | germination index of cornflower, 3.16–32.77%; shoot length, 7.50–17.00 cm; root length, 2.71–4.63 cm |              |
| pelargonate         | tetrazylammonium                                                      | 2720                        | common lambsquarters, ∼18–50%; cornflower, 53%; rapeseed, ∼4–45%; white mustard, 33%; winter wheat, 29% |              |
| pelargonate         | choline                                                              | 2720                        | common lambsquarters, approx −28%; rapeseed, approx −8% |              |
| pelargonate         | alkylbis(1-ethoxylated) methylammonium                                | 2720                        | cornflower, 37%; rapeseed, ∼18%; white mustard, 16%; winter wheat, 5% |              |
| pelargonate         | N-alkylbetainium                                                     | 2720                        | common lambsquarters, ∼20% |              |
| pelargonate         | acetylcholine                                                        | 3000                        | rapeseed, 24.58%   |              |
| pelargonate         | dialkanoxypropyl-dimethylammonium                                    | 2720                        | rapeseed, ∼10%     |              |
| pelargonate         | quaternized DABCO                                                    | 5440–8160                   | common lambsquarters, 36.60–93.48%; rapeseed, 20.70–95.95% |              |

*Ref* indicates a published reference.
| anion          | cation                        | amount of AI applied (g/ha) | greenhouse studies | field studies | ref |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----|
| bentazone     | 1-alkylpyridinium             |                             |                    |              |     |
| bromoxynil    | alkylammonium                 | 90–360                      | common amaranth, ~17–82% |              |     |
| bromoxynil    | 1-alkylpyridinium             | 90–360                      | common amaranth, ~20–84% |              |     |
| clodinafop    | choline                       |                             |                    |              |     |
| fomesafen     | tetrazalkylammonium           |                             |                    |              |     |
| glyphosate     | tetrazalkylammonium           | 22.5–720                    | cornflower, ~8–88%; winter wheat, ~10–84% |              |     |
| glyphosate     | alkylbis(1-ethoxylated) methyl-ammonium | 22.5–720 | cornflower, ~10–92%; winter wheat, ~2–56% |              |     |
| glyphosate     | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium       | 22.5–720                    | cornflower, ~10–92%; winter wheat, ~2–56% |              |     |
| glyphosate     | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium       | 180–360                     | common lambsquarters, 81–94%; cornflower, 17–88%; white mustard, 75–91% |              |     |
| MCPB           | 1,1-dialkylpiperidinium       | 600                          | common lambsquarters, 71–88%; rapeseed, 70–95% |              |     |
| mesotrione     | 1-alkylpyridinium             |                             |                    |              |     |
| metsulfuron-methyl | tetrazalkylphosphonium     | 4                            | common lambsquarters, 93–94%; rapeseed, 44–67% |              |     |
| metsulfuron-methyl | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium     | 4                            | common lambsquarters, 71%; rapeseed, 54% |              |     |
| metsulfuron-methyl | 1,1-dialkylpiperidinium      | 4                            | common lambsquarters, 21%; rapeseed, 34% |              |     |
| metsulfuron-methyl | 1,3-dialkylimidazolium       | 4                            | common lambsquarters, 67–80%; rapeseed, 26–60% |              |     |
| nicosulfuron  | tetrazalkylammonium           | 0.5–20 mg/L                 | common amaranth, 37.68–65.11% |              |     |
| nicosulfuron  | choline                       | 0.5–20 mg/L                 | common amaranth, 46.7±61.73% |              |     |
| MCPA/dicamba (oligomeric) | alkylbis(1-ethoxylated) methyl-ammonium | 0.5–20 mg/L | common amaranth, 59.4–81.43% |              |     |
| MCPA/glyphosate (oligomeric) | alkylbis(1-ethoxylated) methyl-ammonium | 0.5–20 mg/L | common amaranth, 59.4–81.43% |              |     |
| MCPA/glyphosate (oligomeric) | tetrazalkylammonium          | 360 (glyphosate), 73.9 (MCPA) | common amaranth, 9%; common lambsquarters, 66%; white mustard, 94%; winter wheat, 91% |              |     |

| herbicidal activity | ref |
|---------------------|-----|
| brachyotus sowthistle, common lambsquarters, creeping woodsoer, ~30–91% | 24 |
| complete inhibition of weed grass after 15 days | 8 |
| creeping woodsoer, annual fleabane herb, dandelion, ~49–88% | 32 |
| couchgrass, 94–100% | 31 |
| couchgrass regrowth from rhizome buds, 7–16% | 31 |
| couchgrass, 99–100% | 31 |
| couchgrass regrowth from rhizome buds, 26% | 31 |
| common lambsquarters, common amaranth, field bindweed, field sowthistle, ~20–98% | 38 |
| chickweed, 100%; field forget-me-not, 100%; field pansy, 93–100%; field poppy, 74%; hemp nettle, 100%; mayweed, 95–100%; persian speedwell, 65%; shepherd’s purse, 96%; wild buckwheat, 97% | 29 |
| chickweed, 100%; field forget-me-not, 96–100%; field pansy, 89–100%; field poppy, 68%; hemp nettle, 100%; mayweed, 89–99%; persian speedwell, 78%; shepherd’s purse, 96%; wild buckwheat, 97% | 29 |
| chickweed, 99–100%; field forget-me-not, 87–100%; field pansy, 90–100%; field poppy, 85%; hemp nettle, 100%; mayweed, 92–100%; persian speedwell, 71%; shepherd’s purse, 94%; wild buckwheat, 95% | 29 |
| chickweed, 100%; field forget-me-not, 92–100%; field pansy, 85–100%; field poppy, 66–76%; hemp nettle, 100%; mayweed, 88–100%; persian speedwell, 66–76%; shepherd’s purse, 95–96%; wild buckwheat, 97% | 29 |
| common lambsquarters, ~22–90%; green bristlegrass, ~23–92% | 30 |
| black bindweed, ~59–92%; common lambsquarters, ~98–100%; rapeseed, ~95–98% | 43 |
| black bindweed, ~62%; common lambsquarters, ~98–99%; rapeseed, ~65–100% | 43 |
Table 4. continued

| anion                  | cation                                             | amount of AI applied (g/ha) | greenhouse studies                                                                 | field studies                                      | ref |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----|
| MCPA/glyphosate (DSHIL) | alkyl[(n-ethoxylated)]-methylammonium              | 360 ( glyphosate ), 73.9 (MCPA) | common amaranth, 96%; common lambsquarters, 68%; white mustard, 88%; winter wheat, 89% | cornflower, ~96—99%; poppy field, ~90—93%; winter wheat, ~96—100% | 42  |
| MCPA/dicamba (DSHIL)   | alkyl[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)-ethyl]-dimethylammonium | 300 (MCPA), 40 (dicamba)    | common lambsquarters, 36.91—53.67%; cornflower, 45.47—55.30%; rapeseed, 6.54—16.63% |                                                   | 58  |
| MCPA/glyphosate (DSHIL) | tetraalkylammonium                                  | 360 ( glyphosate ), 73.9 (MCPA) | common amaranth, 91—96%; common lambsquarters, 45—70%; white mustard, 74—89%; winter wheat, 86—89% |                                                   | 42  |
| MCPA/glyphosate (DSHIL) | alkyl[(n-ethoxylated)]-methylammonium              | 360 ( glyphosate ), 73.9 (MCPA) | common amaranth, 94%; common lambsquarters, 48%; white mustard, 88%; winter wheat, 89% |                                                   | 42  |
| dicamba/glyphosate (DSHIL) | tetraalkylammonium                              | 360 ( glyphosate ), 83.7 (dicamba) | common amaranth, 96%; common lambsquarters, 68%; white mustard, 90%; winter wheat, 90% |                                                   | 42  |
| dicamba/glyphosate (DSHIL) | tetraalkylphosphonium                             | 360 ( glyphosate ), 83.7 (dicamba) | common amaranth, 96%; common lambsquarters, 78%; white mustard, 84%; winter wheat, 93% |                                                   | 42  |
| dicamba/glyphosate (DSHIL) | alkyl[n-ethoxylated]-methylammonium              | 360 ( glyphosate ), 83.7 (dicamba) | common amaranth, 92%; common lambsquarters, 59%; white mustard, 92%; winter wheat, 89% |                                                   | 42  |

Table does not include salts with melting points greater than 100 °C. Unless stated otherwise, Not tested.
associated with lower environmental impact of the utilized chemical.

(f) Relatively high adsorption in soil reduces the mobility in soil and enables rapid mineralization, which improves the protection of groundwaters.

(g) The hydrophobicity of HILs, characterized by the value of the logarithm of the octanol–water partition coefficient (log $K_{OW}$), should vary between 0 and 3. Negative values of the log $K_{OW}$ may result in increased risk of pollution of the hydrosphere; some pesticides can be easily leached from the soil into watercourses. On the other hand, higher $K_{OW}$ values (log $K_{OW}$ > 3) increase the possibility of HIL bioaccumulation in soils, which may pose a threat to the environment or lead to enhanced absorption of harmful pesticides in the interior of cultivated plants.

(h) Multifunctional properties of HILs should result in a reduction of the number of treatments, lowering the costs of protection against pests.

On the basis of the above-mentioned recommendations, the most important physicochemical parameters of HILs known in the literature are listed in Table 3.

4.2. Biological Tests. In most studies, the biological experiments comprise only a few issues. In almost every work concerning HIL synthesis, field experiments are conducted in order to evaluate the herbicidal activity of obtained compounds against weeds, most commonly during 2-year trials with visually evaluated weed control after applying the herbicide. During field studies, crop safety is also assessed visually for injury symptoms. Additionally, biological activity of HILs is evaluated via greenhouse experiments, which can be carried out in both conservatories and growth chambers under controlled conditions. These tests, compiled in Table 4, seem to be the most interesting for HIL designers as they provide valuable information concerning the efficacy of synthesized compounds; however, these alone are insufficient in terms of environmental safety of ILs. Moreover, as it can be clearly seen, plants that were under evaluation, as well as doses of herbicides, vary greatly and do not allow for a direct comparison of the obtained results.

On the other hand, it should be noted that some of the biological tests were not environmentally relevant and mainly focused on model cases, which is especially troubling when considering the toxicity and biodegradability of HILs, since these studies were performed rarely (Tables 5 and 6). Moreover, research concerning the impact of these formulations on various organisms was not performed in accordance with any regulatory framework or standard procedures but rather results from individual scientific interests. It is an especially unsettling issue, since this new class of ILs is targeted to be commercialized in the future and hence should be carefully examined.

4.2.1. Biodegradation Study. In order to further study the fate of HILs in the environment, biodegradation studies are conducted (Table 5), but unfortunately, they are not employed routinely with the use of well-established standard procedures and rather occur as inconsistent and often incomparable tests. Primary biodegradation efficiencies of cation and anion were evaluated for the first time in 2014 by HPLC-MS procedure; further followed by Ławniczak et al. and Pęziak-Kowalska et al. Subsequently, standard OECD 301 F tests (manometric respirometry) with the use of activated sludge were applied for the assessment of ultimate biodegradability. However, in reality, the majority of herbicides are not going to have contact with activated sludge but rather with microorganisms in soils. This is because activated sludge is typically used in wastewater treatment plants, while potential biodegradation of herbicides in the environment will use significantly different microbiota. This, in turn, may present quite different biodegradation results than the model ones, and hence the scientific significance of OECD tests is rather limited. These tests only indicate general trends and do not provide data regarding the mineralization nature, that is, whether the cation or anion mineralized or both of them.

As a solution to these drawbacks, some works have already used more environmentally relevant biodegradation tests. For instance, Ławniczak et al. evaluated ultimate biodegradation of selected HILs based on a modified OECD 301 F test, using microbiota isolated from particular environmental niches. Furthermore, Czarny et al. assessed the biodegradation of HILs after anaerobic digestion, where the batch reactor was inoculated with microbiota originating from an active agricultural biogas plant. On the other hand, Pęziak-Kowalska et al. attempted to apply electrochemical removal of herbicide via both direct electrochemical oxidation and electro-Fenton processes. Aside from classical HPLC biodegradation efficiency evaluation, the COD (chemical oxygen demand), BOD$_3$ (biochemical oxygen demand), and TOC (total organic carbon) values were also determined. The study was continued in 2019, when Pęziak-Kowalska et al. evaluated the biodegradation of HILs via both a standard respirometric study and BOD$_5$/COD ratio. These reports clearly indicate the incidental nature of research. Standard procedures are still lacking, and hence it is not possible to compare HIL biodegradation under the same conditions. Moreover, the knowledge regarding cation–anion interactions is extremely limited and incomplete, and the impact of these interactions on biodegradation is unknown. This, in turn, might be one of the most important issues, which can be a starting point for future extensive research and understanding of IL behavior in the environment.

4.2.2. Toxicity. After the introduction of any xenobiotic into the ecosystem, it is plausible to expect adverse effects on various organisms. Hence, toxicity studies should be applied in order to assess the possible interactions between HILs and the environment. Unfortunately, to date there are no standards concerning such analyses, and only incidental studies are performed. This problem also applies to classical herbicides available on the market: only the main herbicidal compounds are subjected to toxicity tests, while at the same time adjuvants are proven to be much more toxic than the herbicides themselves. Moreover, the interactions between herbicides and adjuvants are mostly omitted in tests, and hence the nature of toxicity is unknown, whether it is a sum of the toxic effects of all components or the most toxic compound is responsible for overall toxicity.

Nowadays, it is possible to assess the toxicity of HILs as whole compounds and hence to obtain the environmentally relevant outcome for specific formulations. These tests might be divided into analyses on microorganisms or on more developed organisms. The EC$_{50}$ (half maximal effective concentration) is the most commonly conducted test, with its varieties such as the LD$_{50}$ (median lethal dose). EC$_{50}$ tests were applied to green algae (Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata), water flea (Daphnia magna), and weeds (gallant soldier
Table 5. Overview of Biodegradation Studies on HILs

| anion                  | cation                  | biodgradation                                                                 | ref  |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| MCPA                   | tebuconazole            | OECD 301 F test: cation, 88 ± 4%; anion, 0 ± 0%                               | 40   |
| MCPA                   | propiconazole           | OECD 301 F test: cation, 58 ± 3%; anion, 3 ± 0%                               | 40   |
| MCPA                   | tetraalkylammonium      | BOD₅/COD ratio (t = 0–360 min, 0.4 = limit of biodegradability): electrochemical oxidation process, 0.25–0.35; electro-Fenton process, 0.35–0.65 | 49   |
| MCPA                   | tetraalkylammonium      | electrochemically treated, 28–57%; nontreated, 0–8%                          | 50   |
| MCPA                   | betainium               | OECD 301 F test, 69%                                                         | 61   |
| MCPA                   | N-alkylbetainium        | OECD 301 F test, 59–62%                                                      | 45   |
| MCPA                   | carnitinium             | OECD 301 F test, 59%                                                         | 61   |
| MCPA                   | acetylcholine           | OECD 301 F test, 80%                                                         | 62   |
| MCPA                   | [2-(methacryloyl)-ethyl]trimethylammonium | OECD 301 F test, 29–37%                                                      | 63   |
| MCPA                   | dialkanoyloxyethyl-dimethylammonium | OECD 301 F test, 63%                                                          | 63   |
| 2,4-D                  | tebuconazole            | OECD 301 F test: cation, 94 ± 3%; anion, 0 ± 0%                               | 40   |
| 2,4-D                  | propiconazole           | OECD 301 F test: cation, 65 ± 3%; anion, 0 ± 1%                               | 40   |
| 2,4-D                  | betainium               | OECD 301 F test, 87%                                                         | 61   |
| 2,4-D                  | N-alkylbetainium        | OECD 301 F test, 72–73%                                                      | 45   |
| 2,4-D                  | carnitinium             | OECD 301 F test, 76%                                                         | 61   |
| 2,4-D                  | acetylcholine           | OECD 301 F test, 70%                                                         | 62   |
| 2,4-D                  | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium | OECD 301 F test with microbiota isolated from different environmental niches: river sludge, 9–10%; garden soil, 13–19%; agricultural runoff stream, 18–25%; agricultural soil, 14–24%; waste repository, 20–31% | 73   |
| dicamba                | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium | Primary biodegradation for microbiota isolated from different environmental niches: river sludge, cation 52–58%; anion 9–11%; garden soil, cation 74–77%, anion 23–31%; agricultural runoff stream, cation 87–90%, anion 60–61%; agricultural soil, cation 88–92%, anion 60%; waste repository, cation 91–94%, anion 51–55% | 73   |
| MCPB                   | tebuconazole            | OECD 301 F test: cation, 89 ± 4%; anion, 0 ± 1%                               | 40   |
| MCPB                   | propiconazole           | OECD 301 F test: cation, 68 ± 3%; anion, 0 ± 0%                               | 40   |
| MCPB                   | betainium               | OECD 301 F test, 57%                                                         | 61   |
| MCPB                   | N-alkylbetainium        | OECD 301 F test, 51–55%                                                      | 45   |
| MCPB                   | carnitinium             | OECD 301 F test, 49%                                                         | 61   |
| dicamba                | tebuconazole            | OECD 301 F test: cation, 100 ± 5%; anion, 44 ± 2%                             | 40   |
| dicamba                | propiconazole           | OECD 301 F test: cation, 56 ± 2%; anion, 40 ± 2%                              | 45   |
| dicamba                | acetylcholine           | OECD 301 F test, 90%                                                         | 62   |
| dicamba                | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium | OECD 301 F test with microbiota isolated from different environmental niches: river sludge, 0%; garden soil, 0–1%; agricultural runoff stream, 1–2%; agricultural soil, 2%; waste repository, 2% | 73   |
| dicamba                | 4,4-dialkylmorpholinium | Primary biodegradation for microbiota isolated from different environmental niches: river sludge, cation 38–55%, anion 0%; garden soil, cation 56–77%, anion 0%; agricultural runoff stream, cation 77–81%, anion 32%; agricultural soil, cation 75–79%, anion 29–35%; waste repository, cation 83–86%, anion 34–36% | 73   |
| pelargonate            | tetraalkylammonium      | OECD 301 F test, 0–83%                                                       | 33   |
| pelargonate            | alkylbis-(ethoxylated) methy lammonium | OECD 301 F test, 68%                                                          | 33   |
| pelargonate            | N-alkylbetainium        | OECD 301 F test, 85%                                                         | 33   |
| pelargonate            | dialkanoyloxyethyl-dimethylammonium | OECD 301 F test, 52%                                                          | 33   |
| MCPA/dicamba           | (oligomeric)            | OECD 301 F test, 0–6.6%                                                      | 43   |
| MCPA/dicamba           | betainium               | OECD 301 F test, 65–66%                                                      | 61   |
| MCPA/glyphosate         | (oligomeric)            | OECD 301 F test, 0%                                                          | 42   |
Galinsoga parviflora Cav., common sorrel (Rumex acetosa L.), and white goosefoot (Chenopodium album L.), as well as plants [spring barley (Hordeum vulgare) and common radish (Raphanus sativus L. radicula Pers.)]. These analyses were also conducted on microbial communities, for example, on microorganisms isolated from particular environmental niches and specific bacteria (Pseudomonas putida mt-2). Acute oral toxicity studies (LD₅₀) were conducted on rats and rainbow trout. Additionally, Piotrowska et al. performed toxicity studies on eggs and embryos of zebrafish (Danio rerio) in order to assess the possible adverse effects of HILs on aquatic organisms. The impact of ionic liquids on phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) composition was examined, showing changes in lipid composition of cellular membranes. The results indicated that the ammonium-based halides affected the membrane fluidity depending on their hydrophobicity, which was proven to be strictly connected with mortality. This, in turn, may be a useful tool for HIL toxicity assessment.

As for the less standard tests, Syguda et al. provided phytotoxicity analyses on common wheat (Triticum aestivum) with the use of oxidative stress markers (glutathione S-transferase and glutathione in the oxidized and reduced form). Furthermore, Balczewski et al. investigated the phytotoxicity according to the OECD/OCDE 208 test on spring barley (Hordeum vulgare) and common radish (Raphanus sativus L. radicula Pers.). Additionally, lately analyses such as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), or even minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) were carried out.

To date, the results of toxicity studies seem to be inconclusive (Table 6). With the absence of standards to be followed, it is impossible to evaluate the actual environmental effects of these formulations. The findings demonstrate the whole range of incomparable results, since despite various procedures applied, different cations and anions are studied in each work. These issues altogether make it impossible to obtain full toxicity assessment just for a single formulation, not to mention dozens. Hence, in order to fully understand the environmental effects of HILs, standardized procedures for toxicity evaluation have to be developed, along with appropriate regulatory frameworks.

4.2.3. Impact of HILs on Microbial Biodiversity. The impact of HILs on the structure of bacterial populations in soil and aqueous environments is an interesting issue. The technique known as 16S rRNA sequencing is widely accepted as a gold standard for purposes of identification and classification of bacteria and archaea. Sequencing of the 16S gene allows for employment of NGS (Next Generation Sequencing) techniques, which are well-known for their high throughput (unlike DNA–DNA hybridization or phenotypic tests). This is especially important because it is well established that soil-borne bacteria form complex molecular relations with plants, affecting both their growth and well-being. Due to this reason, many attempts have been made to understand these interactions, and potentially employ them to enhance agricultural production. However, there is little research regarding IL biodegradation and their effects on soil microbiome community diversity in general.

Per contra, research on ammonium- and phosphonium-based ILs by Sydow et al. may be treated as guidepost for further experiments. Their studies established that ionic liquids are persistent in tested soils and change the structure.
| anion                  | cation                  | toxicity and impact on desired plants                                                                 | ref |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| MCPA                  | tebuconazole            | at 10 ppm, fungistic activity against *F. culmorum* and *M. nivale*; no damage toward winter wheat (AI 170 g/ha) | 40  |
| MCPA                  | propiconazole           | at 10 ppm, strong inhibition of *F. culmorum*, *M. nivale*, *B. cinerea*, and *S. sclerotiorum* mycelia growth; no damage toward winter wheat (AI 170 g/ha) | 40  |
| MCPA                  | tetraethylammonium      | acute oral LD₅₀ for rats, 300 to >2000 mg/kg; AI 200−500 g/ha, winter wheat 7.88−8.28 t/ha (untreated control, 7.40 t/ha) | 16  |
| MCPA                  | 2-chloroethyl-trimethylammonium | acute oral LD₅₀ for rats, 300−2000 mg/kg; shortening of wheat stems, increased resistance to lodging | 16  |
| MCPA                  | 2-chloroethyl-trimethylammonium | growth inhibition of winter wheat, 2−6%                                                                 | 56  |
| MCPA                  | betainium               | acute oral LD₅₀ for rats, 300−2000 mg/kg                                                                 | 61  |
| MCPA                  | N-allyl-betainium       | acute oral LD₅₀ for rats, 300−2000 mg/kg                                                                 | 45  |
| MCPA                  | carnitinium             | acute oral LD₅₀ for rats, 300−2000 mg/kg                                                                 | 61  |
| MCPA                  | diakylamylpropyl(dimethyl)ammonium | acute oral toxicity LD₅₀ for rats, >2000 mg/kg, acute toxicity 96 h LD₅₀ for rainbow trout, 7−17 mg/L; acute toxicity 72 h ErC₅₀ for green algae, 1.6−19 mg/L; immobilisation 48 h EC₅₀ of water flea, 0.2−0.5 mg/L | 63  |
| MCPA                  | quaternized DABCO       | S. aureus MIC (2−138 μM), MBC (2−138 μM); S. epidermidis MIC (1−138 μM), MBC (1−138 μM); B. subtilis MIC (4−138 μM), MBC (4−138 μM); E. faecalis MIC (7−1104 μM), MBC (7−1104 μM); M. luteus MIC (1−18 μM), MBC (1−18 μM); P. aeruginosa MIC (246−2207 μM), MBC (246 to >2207 μM); S. marcescens MIC (142 to >2207 μM), MBC (488 to >2207 μM); P. vulgaris MIC (28 to >2207 μM), MBC (28 to >2207 μM); M. catharoides MIC (1−138 μM), MBC (1−138 μM); E. coli MIC (39−2207 μM); B. rubra MIC (4−1404 μM), MFC (4−2207 μM); C. albicans MIC (4−2207 μM), MFC (4−2207 μM) | 37  |
| MCPA                  | bis(ammonium) derivatives of tebuconazole | fungicidal activity at 10 ppm, S. sclerotiorum 28.3−38.4%, B. cinerea 39.5−81.5%, F. oxysporum 97.8−100.0%, Colletotrichum sp. 92.6−100.0%, M. nivale 91.3−93.8%; fungicidal activity at 100 ppm, S. sclerotiorum 98.2−100.0%, B. cinerea 100.0%, F. culmorum 90.6−100.0%, F. oxysporum 97.8−100.0%, Colletotrichum sp. 95.7%, M. nivale 52.5−66.5%; fungicidal activity at 1000 ppm, S. sclerotiorum 99.3−100.0%, B. cinerea 100.0%, F. oxysporum 98.6−100.0%, F. oxysporum 100.0%, Colletotrichum sp. 100.0%, M. nivale 91.3−93.8% | 68  |
| 2,4-D                 | tebuconazole            | at 10 ppm, fungistic activity against *F. culmorum* and *M. nivale*; no damage toward winter wheat (170 g of AI/ha) | 40  |
| 2,4-D                 | propiconazole           | at 10 ppm, strong inhibition of *F. culmorum*, *M. nivale*, *B. cinerea*, and *S. sclerotiorum* mycelia growth; no damage toward winter wheat (AI 170 g/ha) | 40  |
| 2,4-D                 | tetraethylammonium      | AI 450 g/ha, winter wheat, 4.98−10.50 t/ha (untreated control, 4.84−9.32 t/ha); AI 1200 g/ha, winter wheat, 3.45−7.63 t/ha (untreated control, 3.67−6.83 t/ha); crop injury, 16−38% | 52  |
| 2,4-D                 | allyl(dimethylamyl)-methylammonium | AI 450 g/ha, winter wheat, 5.76−10.26 t/ha (untreated control, 4.84−9.32 t/ha); AI 1200 g/ha: winter wheat, 3.41−7.86 t/ha (untreated control, 3.67−6.83 t/ha); crop injury, 13−21% | 52  |
| 2,4-D                 | betainium               | acute oral LD₅₀ for rats, 300−2000 mg/kg                                                                 | 61  |
| 2,4-D                 | 4,4-dialkyl-morpholinium | EC₅₀ of microbiota isolated from different environmental niches: river sludge, 104−148 mg/L; garden soil, 113−184 mg/L; agricultural runoff stream, 195−227 mg/L; agricultural soil, 211−260 mg/L; waste repository, 222−277 mg/L | 73  |
| MCPB                  | tebuconazole            | at 10 ppm, fungistic activity against *F. culmorum* and *M. nivale*; no damage toward winter wheat (AI 170 g/ha) | 40  |
| MCPB                  | propiconazole           | at 10 ppm, inhibition of *F. culmorum*, *M. nivale*, *B. cinerea*, and *S. sclerotiorum* mycelia growth; no damage toward winter wheat (AI 170 g/ha) | 40  |
| MCPB                  | tetraethylammonium      | EC₅₀ for *P. putida*, 0.3−8.0 mM; cis−trans 50%Ñ 0.1−11.0 mM | 51  |
| MCPB                  | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetoxyethyl-decyl-dimethylammonium | enzymatic activity (GST, SOD, APX, CAT) and quantitative analysis of nonenzymatic factors (GSH and chlorophyll a and b), mutagenic activity of *S. typhimurium* | 21  |
| dicamba               | tebuconazole            | at 10 ppm, fungistic activity against *F. culmorum* and *M. nivale*; no damage toward winter wheat (AI 170 g/ha) | 40  |
| dicamba               | propiconazole           | at 10 ppm, strong inhibition of *F. culmorum*, *M. nivale*, *B. cinerea*, and *S. sclerotiorum* mycelia growth; no damage toward winter wheat (AI 170 g/ha) | 40  |
| dicamba               | tetraethylammonium      | EC₅₀ for *P. putida*, 0.1−9.8 mM; cis−trans 50%Ñ 0.1−15.2 mM | 51  |
| dicamba               | 4,4-dialkyl-morpholinium | EC₅₀ of microbiota isolated from different environmental niches: river sludge, >500 mg/L; garden soil, >500 mg/L; agricultural runoff stream, >500 mg/L; agricultural soil, >500 mg/L; waste repository, >500 mg/L | 73  |
| dicamba               | bis(ammonium) derivatives of tebuconazole | fungicidal activity at 10 ppm, S. sclerotiorum 90.9−98.6%, B. cinerea 31.5−50.4%, F. culmorum 58.7−72.5%, F. oxysporum 59.1−67.4%, Colletotrichum sp. 54.7−63.0%, M. nivale 0.0−46.7%, fungicidal activity at 100 ppm, S. sclerotiorum 97.8−100.0%, B. cinerea 100.0%, F. oxysporum 97.8−100.0%, Colletotrichum sp. 95.7−97.8%, M. nivale 63.8−92.0% fungicidal activity at 1000 ppm, S. sclerotiorum 98.6−100.0%, F. oxysporum 100.0%, F. oxysporum 100.0%, Colletotrichum sp. 100.0%, M. nivale 92.0−95.7% | 68  |
| clopyralid            | derivatives of phenoxy-2-acetoxyethyl-decyl-dimethylammonium | enzymatic activity (GST, SOD, APX, CAT) and quantitative analysis of nonenzymatic factors (GSH and chlorophyll a and b), mutagenic activity of *S. typhimurium* | 21  |
Table 6. continued

| anion cation | toxicity and impact on desired plants | ref |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| pelargonate tetraalkylammonium | S. aureus MIC <0.02−2.0 mM, MBC <0.04−7.8 mM; E. coli MIC 0.1−5.6 mM, MBC <0.04 to >5.6 mM; P. aeruginosa MIC 0.6−5.0 mM, MBC 1.2−7.8 mM; C. albicans MIC <0.04−3.2 mM, MFC <0.04 to >7.8 mM; acute oral toxicity LD₅₀ for rats, 300 to >2000 mg/kg; acute toxicity toward rainbow trout (96 h) LC₅₀ 7.27 mg/L, LC₁₀₀ 10.58 mg/L, LC₁₀0 16 mg/L; growth inhibition of green algae (72 h) EcC₅₀ 0.02 mg/L, EcC₅₀ 0.03 mg/L, EcC₅₀ 0.06 mg/L; immobilization of water flea (48 h) Ec₀ 0.125 mg/L, Ec₅₀ 0.284 mg/L, Ec₁₀₀ 0.5 mg/L | 33 |
| pelargonate alkylbis(n-ethoxylated) methylammonium | S. aureus MIC <0.03 mM, MBC <0.03 mM; E. coli MIC 1.1 mM, MBC 4.3 mM; P. aeruginosa MIC >4.3 mM, MBC >4.3 mM; C. albicans MIC <0.03 mM, MFC <0.03 mM; acute oral toxicity LD₅₀ for rats, 300−2000 mg/kg | 33 |
| pelargonate N-alkylbetainium | S. aureus MIC 0.3 mM, MBC 2.6 mM; E. coli MIC 1.3 mM, MBC >5.2 mM; P. aeruginosa MIC 5.2 mM, MBC >5.2 mM; C. albicans MIC 0.6 mM, MFC 0.6 mM | 33 |
| pelargonate dialkanoyloxyethyl-dimethylammonium | S. aureus MIC 2.8 mM, MBC >2.8 mM; E. coli MIC >2.8 mM, MBC >2.8 mM; P. aeruginosa MIC >2.8 mM, MBC >2.8 mM; C. albicans MIC >2.8 mM; MFC >2.8 mM | 33 |
| pelargonate quaternized DABCO | feeding-deterrent activity (Tₜₐ): adults granary weevil Tₜₐ = 110.2−190.0; adults confused flour beetle Tₜₐ = 93.3−98.4; larvae khapra beetle Tₜₐ = 44.5−175.2; larvae confused flour beetle Tₜₐ = 110−110.5 | 34 |
| MCPA/dicamba (oligomeric) alkylbis(n-ethoxylated) methylammonium | next generation sequencing, no significant changes in soil microbial diversity | 43 |

"Table does not include salts with melting points greater than 100 °C. AI, active ingredient; LD₅₀, concentration resulting in 50% lethality; ErC₅₀, concentration resulting in 50% reduction in growth; EC₅₀, half maximal effective concentration; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MBC, minimum bactericidal concentration; MFC, minimum fungicidal concentration; EyC₅₀, concentration resulting in 50% reduction in yield. S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus; S. epidermidis, Staphylococcus epidermidis; B. subtilis, Bacillus subtilis; E. faecalis, Enterococcus faecalis; M. luteus, Micrococcus luteus; P. aeruginosa, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; S. marcescens, Serratia marcescens; P. vulgaris, Proteus vulgaris; M. catarrhalis, Moraxella catarrhalis; E. coli, Escherichia coli; Rh. rubra, Rhodotorula rubra; C. albicans, Candida albicans; S. sclerotiorum, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum; B. cinerea, Botrytis cinerea; F. culmorum, Fusarium culmorum; M. niveae, Microdochium niveae; F. oxysporum, Fusarium oxysporum; P. putida, Pseudomonas putida; S. typhimurium, Salmonella typhimurium. cis/trans 50%, concentration that caused an increase in the trans/cis ratio of unsaturated fatty acids to 50% of the maximum trans/cis level reached at saturating concentrations of the toxicant. Tₜₐ the total coefficient of deterrence (151−200, very good deterrents; 101−150, good deterrents; 51−100, medium deterrents; <50, weak deterrents; negative values, attractants)."
of the microbial community. These results showed a significant loss of biodiversity; Shannon’s index (diversity index) decreased from 1.75 to 0.74 and OTUs (operational taxonomic units) decreased from 1399 down to 965. However, the analyses were carried out at sublethal concentrations, and authors suggest that more tests should be applied on environmentally relevant concentrations. Nevertheless, an abundance of hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria such as Sphingomonas was shown to be present in IL-treated soil, which were assumed to degrade ILs or their primary metabolites. To date, these types of tests regarding HILs have been conducted only by Ławniczak et al. and Czarny et al. described changes in structure of the anaerobic microbial community compared to soil treated with commercially available herbicides. However, in terms of overall biodiversity, these changes were deemed as statistically insignificant. Additionally, Czarny et al. described changes in structure of the anaerobic microbe of biogas-producing microbial community. However, they were attributed mainly to lack of genes responsible for degradation of 2,4-D and MCPA. Therefore, the changes in structure were considered to happen due to accumulation of herbicides rather than increased toxicity of HILs compared to classic herbicides.

Furthermore, it is important to point out the fact that not a single test (to the best knowledge of the authors) regarding HILs was performed in terms of their toxicity to fungi. Fungi are a very important part of soil microbiome, as they also form complex interactions with both plants and other soil-borne microbes. These relationships, such as enhancing nutrient uptake or increasing plants’ natural immunity, are well-known among the scientific community, and there are numerous attempts to employ fungi in agriculture.

This alteration in the composition of soil microbial communities should be taken into account when introduction of HILs to agriculture is considered.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES FOR THE FUTURE

Hericidal ionic liquids are specific compounds intended for agricultural use; however the conclusions of this particular review can be expanded to the whole group of ionic liquids. HILs are characterized by well-established synthesis methods, which allow for obtaining both high yield and high purity. Moreover, their tunability also enables the adjustment of hydrophobicity and volatility, which may be beneficial when applied in agriculture. Additionally, the problem associated with the use of hazardous adjuvants is eliminated, which is advantageous when taking into account their overall toxicity. There are, however, still areas that need further development assuming the commercial use of HILs. To date, research is focused mainly on inconsistent and incidental experiments, which seems to be the problem of not only HILs but ionic liquids in general. It is impossible to properly assess their environmental impact based on biological experiments that lack proper standards. Moreover, these studies mainly concern model cases and do not correspond to the actual conditions of their proposed use in agriculture.

Taking into account these drawbacks, future recommendations have been prepared. Although the synthesis and physicochemical tests are well-established, their greatest weakness relates to lack of standardization. All new substances should be thoroughly tested in order to provide at least the most important basic parameters, such as volatilization, solubility in water and surface activity, and adsorption in soil, as well as leaching into groundwater or bioaccumulation. It is worth noting that even though methods regarding the analysis of herbicide volatility that would reflect the field conditions are known, currently there are no reports confirming that these are still valid for HILs.

On the other hand, it of the utmost importance to focus on the biological part of experiments as well.

- First of all, the toxicity of HILs should be assessed toward soil bacteria due to their possible contact, since model organisms do not provide information regarding the real effect on soil microcosms. Additionally, the soil–plant interactions need to be studied.
- The degradation and mineralization of HILs in soil should be determined to understand their persistence in farmland soil. Additionally, since it has been already confirmed that the biodegradability of anions and cations depends on the test system (aqueous or terrestrial), they need to be considered separately. Moreover, as the standard activated sludge procedure is insufficient to fully understand the behavior of ionic liquids in the environment, bacteria isolated from agriculture soils should be used in further experiments.
- In order to assess the microbial community response to the presence of this type of xenobiotic, techniques such as NGS should be applied to determine how the whole population of bacteria reacts during field treatments.
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