Growth and Optical Applications of Centimeter-Long ZnO Nanocombs
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ABSTRACT
Ultralong ZnO nanocombs have been synthesized on silicon substrates with a high growth rate of ~7 μm/s using a simple “thermal evaporation and condensation” method promoted by Cu catalysts. The lengths of the ZnO nanocombs range from several millimeters to more than one centimeter and the diameters of the branches are about 300 nm. The growth mechanism of the ultralong nanocombs and the catalytic behavior of the copper are discussed. The nanocombs were readily separated and their applications as optical polarizer and grating were investigated. The results show that the ultralong ZnO nanocombs can act as effective optical components in miniaturized integrated optics systems.
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Introduction
In order to take full advantage of the unique properties of nanostructures in a macroscale environment, one challenging idea is to controllably fabricate functional nanostructures having macroscopic dimensions. Zinc oxide (ZnO), one of the most important functional semiconductor materials with a direct wide band gap of 3.37 eV and a large exciton binding energy of 60 meV, has high mechanical strength, thermal stability, and chemical stability [1]. Recently, ZnO comb-like structures, in which nanowires are distributed in an ordered manner on one or both sides of the backbone nanobelt, have attracted considerable attention because their unique geometry is particularly promising for making nanodevices. ZnO nanocombs have been used to make nanocantilevers [2], UV laser arrays [3], optical gratings [4], and other devices. However, the majority of previous studies have focused on the synthesis and applications of ZnO nanocombs of microscale length and studies of nanocombs with macroscale lengths have rarely been reported. Therefore, there has been great interest in creating ultralong, continuous nanostructures for applications where their properties coupled with their extended lengths will enable new technological developments. In recent years, ultralong carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and ZnO nanobelts have been successfully prepared and applied in many fields.
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such as mechanics and electronics [5–7]. Here we report the synthesis of centimeter-long ZnO nanocombs, in large yields, with a high growth rate of ~7 μm/s using a simple one-step “thermal evaporation and condensation” method promoted by Cu catalysts. The lengths of the ZnO nanocombs range from several millimeters to more than one centimeter and the diameters of the branches are 200–400 nanometers. The macro-dimensional size of the nanocombs makes the separation of a single nanocomb a relatively easy task. Furthermore, optical experiments demonstrate that the ultralong nanocombs can be used to make effective optical polarizers, optical gratings, and other components.

1. Experimental

Nanocomb growth was performed in a conventional horizontal quartz tube furnace at atmospheric pressure. The diameter and length of the tube were 4 cm and 140 cm, respectively. In the first step, a suitable background for growth of macroscopic ZnO nanostructures was prepared by heating graphite powder (purity 99.99%) and CuO powder (purity 99.9%) at 900 °C for about 3 h under flowing Ar (0.6 L/min). This process was repeated many times until the downstream of the tube wall became claret-red (the color of Cu). A reactant mixture of ZnO powder, graphite powder, and CuO powder with mass ratio of 2:3:1 was then placed at the end of a long quartz boat. In the same boat, a clean Si substrate was placed 10 cm downstream from the reactant mixture in order to collect the products. The entire assembly was placed in the center of a preheated tube furnace at 900 °C. After reaction for 40 min under a constant high purity Ar flow with a rate of 0.6 L/min, the quartz boat with the Si substrate was taken out from the furnace and cooled down to room temperature. A large quantity of centimeter-long wool-like ZnO was found deposited on the Si substrate. The same product could also be seen on the walls of the quartz tube.

2. Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows typical optical photographs of the ZnO products. It can be seen that a large quantity of wool-like ZnO material had grown quasi-perpendicular to the Si substrate, with lengths from several millimeters to more than one centimeter. An individual 1.1 cm long ZnO sample, which was separated with the assistance of a needle, is shown in the inset of Fig. 1. The longest observed nanocomb was longer than 2 cm, corresponding to a growth rate of more than 8 μm/s. It was found that the longer products became curved while the shorter ones were relatively straight with high structural quality. Figures 2(a)–(d) show scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the ZnO samples. Many ZnO comb-like structures with dense nanowire branches grown on one side of the nanoribbons were observed (Fig. 2(a)). The widths of the nanoribbons are 20–40 μm and the lengths of the nanowire branches are in the range of 30–60 μm. Figure 2(b) shows part of a single ultralong ZnO nanocomb placed on the Si wafer. High magnification SEM images (Figs. 2(c), (d)) reveal that the nanocomb contains several tens of very straight, perfectly aligned and evenly spaced nanowires. The diameters of the nanowires generally range from 300 to 400 nm and the spacings are 200–500 nm, which corresponds to a periodicity of 500–900 nm and a wire density of 1100–2000 wires/mm.

The composition of a nanocomb on the Si wafer was examined using EDX attached to the SEM. Figure 2(e) shows the Zn, O, and Cu elemental mapping of the surface of the nanocomb. It can be clearly seen that the two elements Zn and O are
uniformly distributed over the whole nanocomb, with the content of O being a little higher than that of Zn. Cu is randomly distributed on the surface of the ZnO nanocombs with a much lower content. The relatively high O content may be a result of the combined contributions of O in the surface oxide layer of the Si substrate and that in copper oxide nanoparticles, together with diffusion and adsorption of oxygen on the nanocomb after exposure to air.

Figure 3 shows TEM images of the ultralong ZnO nanocombs. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) display the initially grown and the perfectly formed ZnO nanocombs, respectively. The diameter of the branches of the nanocombs is about 350 nm, which is in good agreement with the SEM results. From the TEM images, it can be seen that there are some catalyst particles present on the surface of the nanocombs (marked by arrows). These catalyst particles can be assumed to play an important role in the growth of the nanocombs. Figures 3(c) and (d) respectively show high-resolution TEM images taken in areas a and b (see Fig. 3(b)) on a branch of the nanocomb. Figure 3(c) clearly shows the (0001) atomic planes (with a separation of 0.52 nm) perpendicular to the nanowire branch axis and parallel to the comb ribbon long axis, confirming ⟨0001⟩ and ⟨1110⟩ to be the preferred growth direction for the wurtzite ZnO nanowires and ribbons, respectively, as well as the single crystalline nature of the entire comb structure. Figure 3(d) suggests that Cu2O nanoparticles ~7 nm in size are adsorbed on the surface of the branch of the ZnO nanocombs since the set of regular lattice spacings of ca. 0.31 nm corresponds to the separation between two (110) planes of cubic Cu2O.

The morphology of the products was affected by several experimental parameters including the composition of the reaction mixture, the vapor pressure of Zn, and the growth temperature. By adjusting the experimental parameters, several types of ZnO nanostructures with different macroscopic appearance, such as ultralong ZnO nanobelts, fractal ZnO dendrites, and hierarchical ZnO hairbrushes were synthesized, as shown in Fig. 4.

A variety of mechanisms for the growth of ZnO nanocombs have been proposed [2, 8]. Here, we mainly discuss the reasons why the ZnO nanocombs can grow to macroscopic size. Comparing the growth conditions in previous experiments that produced shorter nanocombs with those in our experiments, we find that the carrier gas, temperature, and...
other experimental parameters are similar to those employed elsewhere. The only significant difference is in the reactants. In our experiments, CuO powder was added to the mixture of ZnO and graphite powder, whilst most previous workers used ZnO powder [8], Zn powder [9] or a mixture of ZnO and carbon powder [10] as the reactants. This strongly suggests that adding CuO to the reaction mixture is of critical importance in the formation of the ultralong ZnO nanostructures observed in our experiments.

At high reaction temperatures, CuO powder is first reduced to metallic Cu particles by graphite powder. A similar thermal carbon reduction also occurs with ZnO powder. The following chemical reactions take place during the thermal evaporation process: CuO + C = Cu + CO; ZnO + C = Zn + CO. According to the Cu–Zn binary phase diagram, Zn has a large solubility in Cu as a solid solution. This ensures that the reduced Zn atoms can easily dissolve in the Cu particles to form a Cu–Zn eutectic phase which has a higher melting point than the pure zinc phase. Therefore, fewer Zn atoms are available to evaporate to form a layer of ZnO nuclei on the clean silicon substrate in the initial stages of deposition. The magnitude and density of ZnO nuclei on the silicon substrate are therefore significantly reduced compared with the situation without added CuO powder. As the reaction proceeds, more Zn atoms are reduced and dissolve into the Cu particles, and the percentage of Zn in the Cu–Zn binary phase increases resulting in a significant drop in the melting point of the Cu–Zn eutectic phase. As a result, the Cu–Zn eutectic phase evaporates generating the corresponding ZnO nanostructures.

Figure 3  TEM and high-resolution TEM images of the ZnO nanocombs. (a) The initially grown, and (b) the perfectly formed ZnO nanocombs, with some catalyst particles present on the surface of the nanocombs (indicated by the arrows). (c) A high-resolution TEM image recorded in area a of the nanocombs. (d) A high-resolution TEM image of Cu2O nanoparticles adsorbed on the surface of the nanocombs branch in area b of the nanocombs.

Figure 4  SEM images of the ZnO nanostructures with macroscopic appearance: (a) ultralong ZnO nanobelts; (b) fractal ZnO dendrites; (c) hierarchical ZnO hairbrushes
Zn vapor with a small quantity of Cu vapor. During the transition period, the oxygen originating from the residual oxygen in the quartz tube condenses together with the generated Zn vapor in the low-temperature region and forms liquid droplets of the Zn–Cu–O eutectic phase. After supersaturation of elemental Zn and O, ZnO nanoarchitectures begin to form on the ZnO nuclei, and then gradually develop into ultralong ZnO nanostructures. The residual Cu adheres to the surface of the ultralong ZnO nanostructures in the form of nanoparticles. Cu can be considered as a catalyst for the growth of the ultralong ZnO nanostructures. Similar studies using Cu as a catalyst in the preparation of semiconductor nanostructures and ultralong single-walled carbon nanotubes have been reported [11–13]. Figure 5 shows a schematic illustration of the growth processes of the ZnO nanostructures in the presence and absence of CuO in the reactant mixture.

On the basis of the growth process outlined above, there are three factors that determine the growth mechanism of the ultralong ZnO nanostructures. First of all, the formation of the Cu–Zn eutectic phase controls the release of Zn and significantly decreases the magnitude and density of ZnO nuclei on the silicon substrate in the very early stages. Secondly, only when the concentration of Zn reaches a certain percentage, can Zn vapor and a small amount of Cu vapor be generated. Adding CuO powder to the reactant mixture has a marked effect on the release of Zn atoms and for this reason, Zn vapor can be evenly transported to the substrate in a stable manner. Lastly, with the formation of liquid droplets of the Zn–Cu–O eutectic phase, it becomes much easier to absorb and accumulate Zn vapor. The HRTEM and EDX analyses of the samples indicate that some elemental Cu exists on the surface of the ZnO ultralong nanostructures, which supports our proposal of the existence of the Cu–Zn eutectic phase and the liquid droplets of the Zn–Cu–O eutectic phase during the growth process.

The Cu (110) and Cu (001) surfaces show a strong tendency to reconstruct under the chemisorption of oxygen, and a “missing row” model, has been proposed and confirmed by different techniques [14–16]. Thus, it can be proposed that the (110) and (001) surfaces of the Cu nanoparticle catalyst have undergone a missing-row-type reconstruction induced by oxygen chemisorption, and this finally
leads to the formation of Cu$_2$O nanoparticles on the surface of the ZnO nanocombs, in agreement with the HRTEM observations.

In order to confirm that it is indeed Cu that acts as the catalyst, we undertook many careful investigations. Firstly, we used new quartz tubes for the Cu-catalyzed experiments in order to avoid contamination. Secondly, without adding CuO powder to the reaction mixture, we repeatedly did a series of experiments involving adjusting the experimental parameters, and did not find any trace of the formation of ultralong ZnO nanostructures. Finally, we carried out parallel experiments using a small amount of Cu (99.999\%\) powder instead of CuO powder and found that ZnO nanostructures with a macroscopic appearance were still formed. From all the above results, we can be confident that it is indeed the Cu that catalyzes the growth of the ultralong ZnO nanocombs.

The nanocombs can be regarded as potential optical components in miniaturized integrated optics systems, such as polarizers and diffraction gratings. As an example, we constructed a single ZnO nanocomb UV polarizer. The intensity of the 325 nm line of a He-Cd laser was recorded by a Si charge coupled detector as shown in Fig. 6. The polarized laser light ($P_{\text{Laser}}$) was focused on the surface of an Si wafer and reflected back, passing through the ZnO nanocomb polarizer twice before being collected by a CCD detector. When a beam of light passes through the polarizer, photons with polarization direction parallel to the axis of the branches of the nanocomb are absorbed, whereas those with perpendicular polarization pass through it. The upper right inset of Fig. 6 shows the normalized intensity plotted against the angle between the polarization direction of the laser light at 325 nm and the ZnO nanocomb polarizer, which is in good agreement with Malus’s law ($I = I_0 \cos^2 \theta$, dotted line).

A schematic diagram of the ZnO nanocomb diffraction measurement system is shown in Fig. 7(a). An Olympus microscope was used to focus the incident laser light to a spot approximately 5–8 $\mu$m in diameter, and lasers of different wavelength (a He-Ne laser at 632.8 nm (red light) and a GaN laser at 407 nm (blue light)) were employed to acquire diffraction images with different resolution ability. The laser entered the microscope through the back port and was directed onto the ZnO combs, which were supported on a glass cover slip, by a 50× objective lens. The use of the microscope permitted accurate alignment of the laser spot on the nanowire array of the comb. A screen was positioned at a known distance below the sample stage in order to observe the diffracted beam and to allow for measurement of the separation between the central and diffracted spots. Figures 7(c) and (d) show the diffraction patterns from a single ZnO nanocomb with a period of ~800 nm (~300 nm in width, with a spacing of ~500 nm), as estimated from the SEM image in Fig. 7(b), using the lasers of different wavelength. It can be seen that the incident laser beam was diffracted into one zero-order central beam and two first-order side beams with approximately equal intensity, showing a strong diffraction effect.

Since the incident light is perpendicular to the comb surface, the diffraction behavior of the nanocomb grating can be
3. Conclusions

In summary, we present a simple method to produce centimeter-long nanocombs on a Si substrate. The capability to grow ultralong nanocombs represents a major step forward in the synthesis of macroscopic sized nanostructures. The successful fabrication of a ZnO nanocomb polarizer and grating suggests promising potential applications of the material in macroscopic photoelectric functional devices.
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