MODELING THE SAFE MOVEMENT OF HYDRAULIC MANIPULATORS DURING THEIR DESIGN

Abstract: In this paper, the following tasks were solved to determine the characteristics of the manipulator: in the kinematic case, the operating point of the manipulator was expressed by the trajectory of the parts, their elongation, contraction and deflection angle; when the trajectory of the operating point of the manipulator is set in a kinematic position, the relationship between the elongation, compression and the angle of rotation of the parts is determined; the differential equation of motion of the manipulator is constructed; the reaction forces generated in the support of the manipulator in static conditions are determined; typical tasks were solved for the design of working mechanisms and of mechanisms the manipulator; the results were demonstrated by animation using the Maple program.
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Introduction

Widespread use mechanisms of manipulator (or hydromanipulator) in modern automated industries, transportation, loading, loading, mining, and other industries is a necessity today. Because it is desirable to use perfect projects to achieve efficient and high-quality production in the industry. To do this, we need to manage advanced mechanisms using modern computers [1-6]. The issues addressed in this paper and their solutions will help to revisit some of these problems in line with the needs of the time and find the best solution.

Formulation of the problem. To study the safe behavior of mechanisms in manipulator in plane and space from a kinematic and dynamic point of view and make some recommendations for its practical application. In order to determine the kinematic and dynamic characteristics of the manipulators, solve the
The following tasks: a) In the kinematic state, the manipulator’s working point is represented by the elongation, contraction, and angle of movement of the parts; b) Determine the relation between the elongation, contraction, and the angle of deviation of parts of the manipulator’s working point when given a trajectory of motion; c) Determine and solve the differential equation of the manipulative motion in a dynamic state (Fig. 1) [2,4,5].

I. Studying the law of plane motion of the manipulator working point from a kinematic and dynamic point of view.

Schematic diagram of the arrangement of hydromanipulator mechanism parts is illustrated in Fig. 2. The manipulator consists of 6 parts: AC, MF, and EC pistons, OG, GD, and AD variable. These parts are fastened to the hinge at points M, G, F, E, D, C, K, A. The operating point of the manipulator A is pressed into $\vec{Q}$, and it moves under the influence of the $\vec{F}_1$, $\vec{F}_2$ and $\vec{F}_3$ forces on the piston.

Given:
- dimensions of parts:
  $OG = 2$; $GD = 2.6$; $DB = 2.8$;
  $MN = 1$; $EP = 0.95$; $GF = 0.5$;
  $GM = 1.3$; $ED = 1.3$; $DC = 0.5$ – in meters;
- mass of parts: masses of the homogeneous $OG, GD,$ and $AD$ parts $m_1, m_2$ and $m_3$, respectively; the masses of the $MF, EC$ and $AK$ pistons are not taken into account;
- the movement of the pistons in the time of $\tau$ is expressed by the $x_1(t), x_1(t), x_3(t)$ functions, here

\[
0 \leq x_1(t) \leq 0.75, \quad 0 \leq x_2(t) \leq 0.8
\]

\[
0 \leq x_3(t) \leq 4.4 \quad \text{when} \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau.
\]

In this case, the law of the plane motion of the operating point of the manipulator was studied in terms of kinematics and dynamics.

**The solution of the problem.**

1) from the point of view of the kinematics of the projection on the coordinate axes of the operating point A of the manipulator are written as follows (Fig. 3):
\[ x_A(t) = \frac{GD \cdot \cos \phi + (DB + x_1) \cdot \cos \psi}{2} , \quad y_A(t) = \frac{GD \cdot \sin \phi + (DB + x_1) \cdot \sin \psi + OG}{2} \]

(1)

here \( \phi \), \( \psi \) - the horizontal angles of the sections \( GD \) and \( AD \), respectively.

Fig. 3. Scheme for studying the law of plane motion of a manipulator from a kinematic and dynamic point of view.

Remember theorem of cosine for triangulates

\[ (MN + x_1)^2 = MG^2 + GF^2 - 2 \cdot MG \cdot GF \cdot \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + \phi \right) , \]

\[ (EP + x_2)^2 = ED^2 + DC^2 - 2 \cdot ED \cdot DC \cdot \cos(\pi - \phi + \psi) \]

\[ \sin \phi = \frac{(MN + x_1)^2 - MG^2 - GF^2}{2 \cdot MG \cdot GF} , \quad \cos(\phi - \psi) = \frac{(EP + x_2)^2 - ED^2 - DC^2}{2 \cdot ED \cdot DC} \]

(2)

(3)

\[ x_A(t) = 2.6 \cdot \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{(1 + x_1)^2 - 1.94}{1.3} \right)^2} + (2.8 + x_3) \cdot \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{(1 + x_1)^2 - 1.94}{1.3} \right)^2} \]

\[ y_A(t) = 2.6 \cdot \frac{(0.95 + x_2)^2 - 1.94}{1.3} + (2.8 + x_3) \cdot \frac{(0.95 + x_2)^2 - 1.94}{1.3} + \frac{(0.95 + x_2)^2 - 1.94}{1.3} \cdot \frac{(1 + x_1)^2 - 1.94}{1.3} \]

(4)

The plane motion of point \( A \) at time \( t \) is illustrated by the Maple mathematical package as follows (Fig. 4):

restart: with(plots): with(plottools):
OG := 2; GD := 2.6; DB := 2.8; MN := 1;
EP := 0.95; FG := 0.5;
MG := 1.3; ED := 1.3; DC := 0.5;
\[
\text{phi} := \text{arcsin}((\text{MN}+\text{X1})^2-\text{MG}^2-\text{FG}^2)/(2 \cdot \text{MG}^2 \cdot \text{FG});
\]
\[
\text{psi} := \text{arcsin}((\text{MN}+\text{X1})^2-\text{MG}^2-\text{FG}^2)/(2 \cdot \text{MG}^2 \cdot \text{FG}) + \text{arccos}((\text{EP}+\text{X2})^2-\text{ED}^2-\text{DC}^2)/(2 \cdot \text{ED} \cdot \text{DC});
\]
\[
\text{XA} := \text{GD} \cdot \cos(\text{phi}) + (\text{DB}+\text{X3}) \cdot \cos(\text{psi});
\]
\[
\text{YA} := \text{GD} \cdot \sin(\text{phi}) + (\text{DB}+\text{X3}) \cdot \sin(\text{psi}) + \text{OG};
\]
\[
\text{X2} := 0.8; \text{X3} := 4.4; \text{plot([XA, YA, X1 = 0 .. 5])};
\]
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2) The trajectory of the operating point of the manipulator is presented from the kinematic point of view in the form (Fig. 5):

\[
x_A(t) = 5.8 - 0.3t, \quad y_A(t) = 1.2 + 0.45t.
\]

(5)

Find a link between changes in parts

\[
x_A(t) = GD \cdot \cos \phi + (DB + x_3) \cdot \cos \psi, \\
y_A(t) = GD \cdot \sin \phi + (DB + x_3) \cdot \sin \psi + OG.
\]

Fig. 5. Scheme for studying the law of plane motion of a manipulator from a kinematic point of view.

From here we have

\[
2.6 \cos \phi + (2.8 + x_3) \cdot \cos \psi = 5.8 - 0.3t, \\
2.6 \sin \phi + (2.8 + x_3) \cdot \sin \psi + 2 = 1.2 + 0.45t.
\]

(6)

Then we have

\[
5.2 (1.5 \cos \phi + \sin \phi) + (2.8 + x_3) (3 \cos \psi + 2 \sin \psi) - 15.8 = 0
\]

The plane motion of point A at time \( t \) is illustrated by the Maple mathematical package as follows (Fig. 6):

\[
X1 := .15 \cdot t; \quad X2 := .16 \cdot t; \quad X3 := 4.4 \cdot \sin((1/5) \cdot \pi \cdot t);
\]

plot([XA, YA, t = 0 .. 5]);

b := line([0, 0], [x1, y1], color = red);
display(a);
end proc;
animate(ball, [XA, YA], t = 0 .. 5, scaling = constrained, frames = 100);

Fig. 4. Graphs showing the motion of point A on a plane in time \( \tau \).
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\[ \psi := \arcsin((M+N+X1)^2-MG^2-FG^2)/(2*MG*FG)) + \arccos((E+P+X2)^2-ED^2-DC^2)/(2*ED*DC) \]

\[ X_A := GD \cos(\phi) + (DB+X3) \cos(\psi) \]

\[ Y_A := GD \sin(\phi) + (DB+X3) \sin(\psi) + OG \]

\[ X_2 := 0.8 \]

\[ X_3 := 4.4 \]

\[\text{plot}([X_A, Y_A, X_1 = 0 .. 5]);\]

\[ X_1 := 0.25 + 0.1 * t; \]

\[ X_2 := 0.3 + 0.1 * t; \]

\[ X_3 := (15.8 - 5.2*(1.5 \cos(\phi) + \sin(\phi))/(3\cos(\psi) + 2\sin(\psi)) - 2.8; \]

\[\text{plot}([X_A, Y_A, t = 0 .. 5]);\]

\[\text{ball := proc}(x_1, y_1)\]

\[\text{local} \ a, b, y_1t; \]

\[ a := \text{plots}[\text{pointplot}]([[x_1, y_1]], \text{color} = \text{red}, \text{symbol} = \text{solidcircle}, \text{symbolsize} = 40); \]

\[ b := \text{line}([[0, 0], [x_1, y_1]], \text{color} = \text{red}); \]

\[\text{display}(a) \end{proc};\]

\[\text{animate}(%, [XA, YA], t = 0 .. 5, \text{scaling} = \text{constrained}, \text{frames} = 100);\]

3) We use second-order Lagrangian equations to derive the differential equations of motion of the system from a dynamic point of view:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_i} \right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_i} = Q_i, \]

here \( T \) – kinetic energy of the system, \( q_i \) – generalized coordinates of the system, \( i \) – degree of freedom of the system, \( Q_i - q_i \) generalized forces corresponding to generalized coordinates, and are determined by the formulas: \( Q_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial q_i} \). The degree of freedom of the manipulator mechanism is 3.

\[ F_1, F_2, F_3 - \text{gravity of the parts AD and GD, respectively} \]

\[ P_1, P_2, P_3 - \text{gravity of the parts AD, GD and OG, respectively} \]

\[ C_1, C_2 - \text{is the center of gravity of the parts AD and GD, respectively} \]

The Lagrangian equations are written as follows:
The kinetic energy of the system is the sum of the kinetic energies of the parts \( OG, GD, \) and \( AD, \) respectively:
\[
T = T_{OG} + T_{GD} + T_{AD},
\]
where
\[
T_{OG} = 0; \quad T_{GD} = \frac{m_3 \cdot GD^2}{6} \dot{\phi}^2; \quad T_{AD} = \frac{m_3}{6} \cdot AD^2 \cdot \psi^2 + \frac{m_3}{2} \cdot \{GD^2 \cdot \phi^2 + \frac{AD^2}{4} \cdot \psi^2 + \]
\[
+ \frac{x_3^2}{4} \cdot AD \cdot GD \cdot \phi \cdot \psi \cdot \cos(\phi - \psi) - GD \cdot \phi \cdot x_3 \cdot \sin(\phi - \psi)\}\right].
\]

The forces acting on the system are shown schematically in Fig. 8. Generalized coordinates \( \phi, \psi, x_3 \) we determine the generalized forces \( Q_{\phi}, Q_{\psi}, Q_{x_3}, \) as follows:
\[
Q_{\phi} = [0.5F_{x_3} + 2.6F_{2y} + 2.6F_{3y} - 2.6P_1 - 1.3P_2 - 2.6Q] \cos \phi - 0.5(F_{x_1} + 5.2F_{2x} + 5.2F_{3x}) \sin \phi;
\]
\[
Q_{\psi} = [-0.5F_{2x} - (2.8 + x_3)F_{3x}] \sin \psi + [F_{2y} - (2.8 + x_3)(0.5P_1 + Q - F_{3y})] \cos \psi;
\]
\[
Q_{x_3} = F_{3x} \cos \psi + (F_{3y} - \frac{1}{2}P_1 - Q) \sin \psi.
\]  

Inserting them to the Lagrange equation (7), we obtain the following system:
\[
9.14m_3 \ddot{\phi} + 1.3m_3 \cdot \{[2 \dot{x}_3 \psi + (2.8 + x_3)\dot{\psi}] \cos(\phi - \psi) - (\ddot{x}_3 + (2.8 + x_3)\ddot{\psi}) \sin(\phi - \psi)\} =
\]
\[
= [0.5F_{x_3} + 2.6F_{2y} + 2.6F_{3y} - 2.6P_1 - 1.3P_2 - 2.6Q] \cos \phi - 0.5(F_{x_1} + 5.2F_{2x} + 5.2F_{3x}) \sin \phi
\]
\[
+ 1.16m_3 \cdot (2.8 + x_3)\ddot{x}_3 \psi + 0.58m_3 \cdot (2.8 + x_3)^2 \dot{\psi} + m_3 \cdot (1.3(2.8 + x_3) \dot{\phi} \cos(\phi - \psi) - 1.3(2.8 + x_3) \cdot \phi^2 \sin(\phi - \psi))\]
\[
\cdot \sin(\phi - \psi)\} = [-0.5F_{2x} - (2.8 + x_3)F_{3x}] \sin \psi + [F_{2y} - (2.8 + x_3)(0.5P_1 + Q - F_{3y})] \cos \psi
\]
\[
+ 1.3m_3 \dot{\phi} \sin(\phi - \psi) - 0.25m_3 \ddot{x}_3 + 1.3m_3 \dot{\phi}^2 \cos(\phi - \psi) + 0.58m_3 (2.8 + x_3) \ddot{\psi}^2 = F_{3x} \cos \psi
\]
\[
+ (F_{3y} - \frac{1}{2}P_1 - Q) \sin \psi.
\]

This system of nonlinear ordinary second-order differential equations is solved by the approximate method in the selected initial conditions. The calculation result represents the law of motion of the manipulator mechanism. If the law of change is set, the force of action of the pistons on the mechanism, then we can determine the movement of the mechanism on the plane.

II. Studying the law in space motion of the manipulator working point from a kinematic and dynamic point of view.

The scheme arrangement of parts of the manipulator mechanism is shown in Fig. 9. The parameters of the manipulator mechanism, as in the previous task, also include the spatial angle \( \theta \).

In this case, the law of motion of the operating point of the manipulator in space was studied from a kinematic point of view.
The solution of the problem.

1) from the point of view of the kinematics of the projection on the coordinate axes of the operating point A of the manipulator are written as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_A(t) &= [GD \cdot \cos \phi + (DB + x_3) \cdot \cos \psi] \cdot \cos \theta, \\
y_A(t) &= GD \cdot \sin \phi + (DB + x_3) \cdot \sin \psi + OG, \\
z_A(t) &= [GD \cdot \cos \phi + (DB + x_3) \cdot \cos \psi] \cdot \sin \theta.
\end{align*}
\]

We apply the cosine theorem to the triangles \(MGF\) and \(EDC\), we obtain the following equalities:

\[
\phi = \arcsin\left(\frac{(1 + x_1)^2 -1.94}{1.3}\right), \quad \psi = \arcsin\left(\frac{(1 + x_1)^2 -1.94}{1.3}\right) + \arccos\left(\frac{0.95 + x_2}{1.3}\right)
\]

The space motion of point A at time \(\tau\) is illustrated by the Maple mathematical package as follows (Fig. 10):

restart: with(plots): with(plottools):
OG := 2; GD := 2.6; DB := 2.8; MN := 1; EP := .95; FG := .5;
MG:=1.3; ED:=1.3; DC:=0.5;
phi := arcsin(((MN+X1)^2-MG^2-FG^2)/(2*MG*FG));
psi := arcsin(((MN+X1)^2-MG^2-FG^2)/(2*MG*FG)+arccos(((EP+X2)^2-ED^2-DC^2)/(2*ED*DC));
U := GD*cos(phi)+(DB+X3)*cos(psi);
XA:=(U)*cos(theta);
YA := GD*sin(phi)+(DB+X3)*sin(psi)+OG;
ZA := U*sin(theta);
X1 := .15*t; X2 := .8; X3 := 4.4; theta := (1/5)*Pi*t;
XX := evalf(XA); YY := evalf(YA); ZZ := evalf(ZA);
LL := proc (x, y) local L; L := point[x, y], color = blue, symbol = box, symbolsize = 10); display(L, axes = boxed, view = [-10..15, -10..15, -10..15]); orientation = [125, 65]) end proc;
animate(LL, [ZZ, XX, YY], t = 0..5, scaling = constrained, trace = 50, frames = 100);

This animated result shows the trajectory of the spatial movement of the working point of the mechanism.

2) From a dynamic point of view, when the above problem is considered in the spatial state, the degree of freedom of the manipulator mechanism is 4. The generalized coordinates of the system are the variables \(\phi, \psi, \theta, x_3\). Lagrange equations can be used to derive differential equations of motion of a system.

The result is a system consisting of 4 simple nonlinear differential equations of the second order. This system can be solved in the selected initial conditions by some approximate method.
The result of the calculation represents the law of motion of the manipulator in space. If the law of the acting forces of the piston on the mechanism is given, then it is possible to determine the movement of the mechanism in space.

**Conclusion.** The mechanism of operation of the manipulator was studied on the basis of kinematic and dynamic equations: the geometric parameters of the manipulator parts were studied according to their law of motion, the differential equations of motion are derived and solved. It is advisable to design working machines, truck cranes, multi-purpose machines, hoisting devices, hydraulic manipulators, robot manipulators, crane manipulators and their mechanisms. It is possible to cooperate with existing industrial enterprises in the design of multi-purpose vehicles [1,3-12].
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