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Abstract

Background: A pain-free and stress-free post-operative period helps in early mobilization and recovery, thereby reducing morbidity and mortality. Accomplishing the subarachnoid block with local anaesthetic alone has a drawback of analgesia of shorter duration in postoperative period. Addition of opioids to local anaesthetic solution used for conduct of has subarachnoid block been found safe, devoid of any nerve toxicity and in addition it increases the quality as well as the duration of the block. Nalbuphine is a semisynthetic opioid having agonist and antagonist effects on opioid receptors and devoid of other opioid like side effects.

Aim: The purpose of our study was to establish the effectiveness of intrathecal nalbuphine as an adjuvant and also the efficacy of nalbuphine for post-operative analgesia and its side effects if any.

Subjects and Methods: The study conducted on 60 patients of ASA grade I and II, age group between 18-60 years, scheduled for elective lower abdominal, perineal and lower limb surgeries. Patients were randomised into two equal groups of 30 each, group I (Nalbuphine group) received 3cc of hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% + 0.8 cc injection nalbuphine preservative free (0.8mg) intrathecally, Group II(controlled group) received 3cc of hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% + 0.8 cc of injection normal saline intrathecally. Statistical analysis was done using appropriate tests.

Results: The mean time of post-operative analgesia in nalbuphine group (Group-I) was found to be highly significant (P<0.001) than control group (Group-II), no patient developed any side effects in our study.

Conclusion: Nalbuphine hydrochloride provides better quality of blockade as well as prolongs the post-operative analgesia when used as adjuvant with bupivacaine in spinal anesthesia.
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Introduction

One of the major problems that patient suffers in post-operative period is pain. A pain-free and stress-free post-operative period absolutely helps in early mobilization and recovery, thereby reducing morbidity and mortality.[1] Subarachnoid block is a routine anaesthesia procedure for abdominal, perineal and lower limb surgeries. Achieving the subarachnoid block with local anaesthetic alone has a drawback of analgesia of shorter duration in postoperative period. Addition of opioids to local anaesthetic solution used for conduct of subarachnoid block has been found safe, devoid of any nerve toxicity and in addition it increases the quality as well as the duration of the block.

Nalbuphine is a semisynthetic opioid having agonist and antagonist effects on opioid receptors. Side effects are less; as this drug does not have significant activity on delta and sigma receptor responsible for respiratory depression and other opioid like side effects.[2]

Hence the present study is designed to quantitatively examine the effects of adding nalbuphine to hyperbaric bupivacaine hydrochloride with bupivacaine alone in spinal anaesthesia, to evaluate the efficacy, to know the duration of pain relief, to know the incidence of any side effects and complications.

Subjects and Methods

After clearance from the Institutional Ethics Committee, we conducted the study on 60 patients of ASA grade I and II, age group between 18-60 years, scheduled for elective lower abdominal surgeries.Selected patients were properly informed about the study and a written informed consent was obtained.

Patients were randomised into two equal groups of 30 each, group I (Nalbuphine group) received 3cc of hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% + 0.8 cc injection nalbuphine hydrochloride preservative free (0.8mg) intrathecally, Group II(controlled group) received 3cc of hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5%+ 0.8 cc of injection normal saline intrathecally.

All the patients fastened for 6-8 hours .After securing IV line, each patients were reloaded with 15ml/kg ringer’s lactate solution.Patients were put in sitting position leaning...
forwards for injection. After complete asepsis, dural puncture was performed at L4-L5 interspace or L3-L4 interspace with a 25 gauge Quincke spinal needle.

Assessment of motor and sensory blockade was done by bromage scale and pinprick method. HR, NIBP, R.R & SpO2 were recorded before intrathecal injection and after intrathecal injection at after 5min,15mins, 30 mins, 45 mins, 60 mins then 30 min interval till 4 hours and then every hourly till 7 hours. Intensity of pain was measured using Visual analog scale (VAS) which ranged from 0 indicating no pain till 10 indicating severe intolerable pain. Level of Sedation was assessed preoperatively, intraoperatively and postoperatively using 1-6 points Ramsay sedation score.

Statistical analysis was done using appropriate tests. Results were described as numbers (%) and mean+/− SD. P value <0.05 was taken to be significant.

Duration of study: one year.
Place: R.dgardi medical college, Ujjain.

Results

Table 1: Demographic variables
| VARIABLE            | GROUP I       | GROUP II      | P VALUE |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Age(years)          | 40.13±14.09   | 46.90±15.88   | 0.086   |
| Weight(kg)          | 58.23±9.68    | 59.27±6.98    | 0.637   |
| Gender(M:F)         | 21:9          | 23:7          | 0.873   |
| ASA Grade(I:II)     | 23:7          | 17:13         | 0.170   |

Table 2: Vital parameters

| Parameters          | Group I (Mean±SD) | Group II (Mean±SD) | t value | P value |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| HR                  | 84.12±10.36       | 74.6±7.6           |         | NS      |
| SBP                 | 125.84±11.32      | 110±3.0            |         |         |
| DBP                 | 75±7.4            | 64±5.2             |         |         |

There was no significant difference in hemodynamic parameters like heart rate, mean, systolic and diastolic BP, and remained within normal limits [Table 2].

Table 3: Onset and duration of sensory block

| Parameter            | Bupivacaine Group (Mean±SD) | Nalbuphine Group (Mean±SD) | ’t’ value | P value |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Onset of sensory block | 2.01±0.46                  | 1.89±0.34                | 1.099, df=58 | 0.276, NS |
| Duration of sensory block | 271.63±36.43               | 337.47±31.63             | -7.473, df=58 | 0.000*  |

A total of 60 patients took part in the study and the demographic variables like age, weight, sex ratio and ASA grade were comparable in two groups [P>0.05] and statistically insignificant [Table 1].

The onset of sensory block is comparable in two groups (P>0.05), while duration of sensory block is significantly longer in the Nalbuphine group in comparison to the bupivacaine group (P<0.05).

Table 4: Onset and duration of motor block

| Parameter            | Bupivacaine Group (Mean±SD) | Nalbuphine Group (Mean±SD) | ’t’ value | P value |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Onset of motor block | 4.43±0.58                   | 4.33±0.24                 | 0.682, df=58 | 0.498, NS |
| Duration of motor block | 241.10±31.36               | 369.30±30.66             | -16.012, df=58 | 0.000* |

Onset of motor block is comparable in nalbuphine group and bupivacaine group (P>0.05), while duration of motor block is significantly longer in the Nalbuphine group in comparison to the bupivacaine group (P<0.05).

Table 5: Duration of analgesia

| Parameter            | Bupivacaine Group (Mean±SD) | Nalbuphine Group (Mean±SD) | ‘t’ value | P value |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Duration of analgesia | 4.61±0.57                   | 7.35±0.47                 | -20.437, df=58 | 0.000* |

The duration of analgesia is significantly longer in the
Nalbuphine group in comparison to the bupivacaine group (P<0.05).

### Table 6: Sedation score

| Parameter       | Bupivacaine Group (Mean±SD) | Nalbuphine Group (Mean±SD) | ‘t’ value | P value |
|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Sedation score   | 2.93 ± 0.25                  | 2.97 ± 0.18                 | -0.584, df=58 | 0.561, NS |

![Figure 4: Comparison of Sedation Score](image)

The sedation score is comparable between the two groups (P>0.05).

### Discussion

This study was planned to evaluate the quality of block, duration of pain relief and incidence of adverse effects and complications if any, when 0.8mg of intrathecalnalbuphine is added to hyperbaric 0.5% bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia.

We observed that the post-operative regression of sensory and motor block was significantly delayed in Group N than in Group B, and duration of analgesia in Group N (7.35 ± 0.47 hrs) was significantly prolonged than in Group B(4.61 ± 0.57hrs).These results are in accordance with the study done by Mukherjee et al,[3] demonstrated that the longest duration of post-operative analgesia was the group in which 0.8 mg nalbuphine was used as an adjuvant as compared to lower doses of nalbuphine, i.e., 0.2 and 0.4 mg.

Tiwari et al 2013,[4] concluded that the duration of sensory block and duration of analgesia was prolonged with nalbuphine without complications.

Verma et al 2013,[5] concluded that addition of nalbuphine to hyperbaric bupivacaine was effective in prolonging the duration of sensorimotor block and enhancing the postoperative analgesia following lower limb orthopedic surgery.

Ahmed et al 2016,[6] concluded that the combination of intrathecal bupivacaine with nalbuphine significantly prolonged postoperative analgesia as compared to control group and a 1.6 mg dose showed the best results.

In our study the mean sedation score was 2.93 ± 0.38. Eveline Faure et al,[7] found that nalbuphine led to slightly increased sedation, Mosta aet al,[8] observed that there were minimal side effects with sedation score comparable between the groups.

None of patient had respiratory depression (respiratory rate below 10 bpm, SPO2 <90%). Nalbuphine exhibits ceiling effect for respiratory depression. This is proved in studies done by Romagnoli and Keats,[9] Parveen et al.[10] Since respiratory depression is predominantly receptor-mediated and nalbuphine is a receptor antagonist, respiratory depression effect is expected to be attenuated by nalbuphine. Thus from our study dose of nalbuphine 0.8 mg appears adequate as an adjuvant to bupivacaine in subarachnoid block and can safely be used as adjuvant to enhance duration post-operative analgesia without increasing the side effects or complication.

The shortcoming or discrepancies found in our study can be attributed to the fact that it comprised of small sample size. The results can be better established if large sample size studies are undertaken.

### Conclusion

On the basis of this study addition of nalbuphine hydrochloride in dosage of 0.8mg to intrathecal bupivacaine 0.5% prolongs the duration of sensory block provides excellent and longer duration of post operative analgesia, desirable sedation, stable hemodynamic without any significant side effects.

Thus we conclude that intrathecalnalbuphine at dosage of 0.8 mg can be used as an effective adjuvant along with intrathecal hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% to have a good post operative analgesia.
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