A platform for functional assessment of large variant libraries in mammalian cells
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ABSTRACT

Sequencing-based, massively parallel genetic assays have revolutionized our ability to quantify the relationship between many genotypes and a phenotype of interest. Unfortunately, variant library expression platforms in mammalian cells are far from ideal, hindering the study of human gene variants in their physiologically relevant cellular contexts. Here, we describe a platform for phenotyping variant libraries in transfectable mammalian cell lines in two steps. First, a landing pad cell line with a genomically integrated, Tet-inducible cassette containing a Bxb1 recombination site is created. Second, a single variant from a library of transfected, promoter-less plasmids is recombined into the landing pad in each cell. Thus, every cell in the recombined pool expresses a single variant, allowing for parallel, sequencing-based assessment of variant effect. We describe a method for incorporating a single landing pad into a defined site of a cell line of interest, and show that our approach can be used generate more than 20 000 recombinant cells in a single experiment. Finally, we use our platform in combination with a sequencing-based assay to explore the N-end rule by simultaneously measuring the effects of all possible N-terminal amino acids on protein expression.

INTRODUCTION

Massively parallel genetic assays have revolutionized our ability to quantitively the relationship between genotype and phenotype (1). In a massively parallel genetic assay, tens or hundreds of thousands of variants are introduced into a model system, a selection pressure is applied, and high-throughput sequencing is used to score each variant based on changes in frequency during selection. Using this approach, we can now measure the effect of all possible gene deletions in a genome (2,3) or all possible single mutants of a protein (4). Massively parallel genetic assays require that each cell or organism contain a defined genetic alteration, which must remain stable throughout the experiment. In some experimental systems, meeting these requirements is relatively simple. For example, bacteria and yeast can be transformed with a single plasmid per cell. However, these models are not ideal for one of the main applications of massively parallel genetic assays: understanding the effects of genetic variation on humans.

Cultured human cells are preferable, but no existing method of introducing variants yields a single, stable variant per cell at the required scale. The simplest option, plasmid transfection, results in the unstable introduction of hundreds or thousands of plasmids into each cell. Lentiviral transduction at low multiplicities of infection is a better choice, resulting in stable integration of a single transgene in some cells (5). However, the random nature of viral integration results in widely varying expression levels (6) that increase noise and confound comparisons. Furthermore, lentiviral vectors are pseudo-diploid, exhibiting significant recombination prior to integration (7). They are thus incompatible with strategies using short barcode identifiers to represent larger sequences of interest, and instead rely on sequencing the entire variable region that was introduced (8,9). CRISPR/Cas9 based approaches avoid these problems, but are limited by the efficiency and precision of the host DNA repair machinery, the inability to barcode variants or finely control expression, and reliance on existing haploid sequences within cells (10). Furthermore, neither lentiviral transduction nor CRISPR/Cas9 knock-in are suitable for the insertion of large transgenes: lentiviral vector transgenic payloads are limited to a few kilobases due to decreased titer stemming from viral packaging limits (11) while homology directed repair is inefficient for large inserts (12). Thus, a new experimental framework is needed to realize the potential of massively parallel genetic assays in human cells.

Site-specific recombinases provide an attractive means for expressing genomically integrated, single copies of transgenes in cultured human cells. Recombinase-based approaches are not limited by the size of the transgenic payload; in fact, a recent study demonstrated single-copy genomic insertion of a 27 kb synthetic gene circuit in HEK...
293T cells (6). Commercially available Flp-In and Jump-In recombination platforms use the Flp and R4 recombinases, respectively, and have been used to genomically insert transgenes for over a decade (13). Unfortunately, these commercially available recombination systems have low recombination rates (6), necessitating the use of antibiotic selections to recover the rare recombinant cells (Supplementary Table S1) (14,15). Furthermore, tyrosine recombinases like Flp are reversible, leading to repeated cycles of recombination and excision. However, serine recombinases are promising because only a single recombination event can occur for a given site, which cannot be reversed in the absence of exogenously provided directionality factors (16). Bxb1 is an ideal serine recombinase due to its high recombination rate and junction fidelity (14,15). Furthermore, the human genome reportedly lacks Bxb1 recombination sites (17), so human-derived cells (6,18,19). Thus, a Bxb1 recombinase-based system can readily be engineered to contain a single Bxb1 site at a defined locus. In fact, Bxb1 has been used to recombine single transgenes or small libraries into cultured human-derived cells (6,18,19). Thus, a Bxb1 recombinase-based approach could be used to express large transgene libraries in human-derived cells, improving massively parallel genetic assays.

Here, we describe a Bxb1-based platform that can be used to express libraries of tens of thousands of transgenes in a transfectable cell line. Our platform is specifically tailored to the requirements of deep mutational scanning, a massively parallel genetic assay for protein variants (4). We designed a Bxb1-based ‘landing pad,’ which prevents expression of randomly integrated or incorrectly recombined transgenes. Furthermore, the landing pad enables facile recovery of cells harboring correctly recombined transgenes. We engineered cell lines harboring a single copy of the Bxb1 landing pad. We used a series of validation steps we developed to ensure these lines express only a single variant per cell, a feature required for massively parallel genetic assays. We demonstrated that Bxb1 landing pad cells can be used to generate large libraries harboring over twenty thousand unique transgenes, ~1000-fold more than previous efforts. We also show that transgene frequency can be accurately quantified with high-throughput sequencing. Finally, we use our platform to study the N-end rule in HEK 293T cells, measuring the relative effects on expression of all possible codons at the N-terminus of GFP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of genome engineering and landing pad expression plasmids

The dAAVS1–TetBxb1BFP plasmid was created by using the plasmid backbone and homology arms from AAV–CAGGS–EGFP (Addgene #22212), rTA3G transactivator from pLenti–CMV–rTA3-Blast (Addgene #26429), mTagBFP2 sequence from mTagBFP2–Actin-7 (Addgene #55273), and the Tet-responsive promoter from pLVX–TRE3G–mCherry (Clontech). Deletion of internal sequences from dAAVS1–TetBxb1BFP was used to create the dAAVS1–rTA3G and dAAVS1-Dummy plasmids. The dAAVS1–TetBxb1BFP–BC plasmids were created by adding 15 degenerate nucleotides between the Tet-responsive promoter and the upstream BGH terminator. AAVS1 genomic disruption to generate HEK 293T candidate landing pad clones was performed either with TALENs (Addgene #59025 and #59026) or pSpCas9-2A-GFP (Addgene #48138) and AAVS1 gRNA T2 (Addgene #41818). The Bxb1 expression vector pCAG–NLS–HA–Bxb1 (Addgene #51271) was used to express Bxb1 and stimulate recombination. The attB-mCherry, attB-EGFP, attB-Ub3GiM and attB-3kGiM recombination plasmids were created using coding sequences from pattIPC (Addgene #51547), pRES2-DsRed-Express (Clontech), and AAV–CAGGS–EGFP. Other recombinant DNA elements were generated by oligonucleotide synthesis (Integrated DNA Technologies). All molecular cloning steps were performed with Gibson assembly (20). All primer and plasmid sequences can be found in Supplementary Table S2 and Supplementary Text 1.

Cell culture, plasmid transfection and landing pad clone generation

All cell culture reagents were purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific unless otherwise noted. HEK 293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin. Cells were passaged by detachment with Trypsin–EDTA 0.25%. To generate landing pad candidate clones 1 through 24, we transfected cells with equal amounts of AAVS1 TALEN expression plasmids, dAAVS1–TetBxb1BFP, dAAVS1–rTA3G (unbarcoded) and dAAVS1-Dummy. To generate landing pad candidate clones 25 through 48 we transfected cells with equal amounts of pSpCas9-2A–GFP, AAVS1 gRNA T2, dAAVS1–TetBxb1BFP–BC (barcoded), and dAAVS1–Dummy. Clone 4 passed all validation tests aside from internal barcode sequencing, since it was created with unbarcoded landing pad repair template. We refer to clone 4, which was used for the bulk of experiments, as HEK 293T TetBxb1BFP.

Long-term passage of HEK 293T TetBxb1BFP cells was performed with media supplemented with 2 µg/ml doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were switched to doxycycline-free media at least one day before recombination with attB plasmid. For the recombination optimization experiments shown in Figure 3, 1.5 × 10^5 cells were transfected with 1 µg plasmid DNA using 2 µl Fugene6 (VWR) in a 12-well plate. In all other cases, recombination reactions were performed by transfecting 5 × 10^5 cells with 3 µg plasmid DNA using 6 µl of Fugene6 in a six-well plate.

PCR validation of landing pad insertion

Genotyping PCR was performed in a 20 µl total reaction volume, with 10 µl HiFi Hotstart ReadyMix (Kapa Biosystems), 0.5 µM each primer, and 25–100 ng of genomic DNA. Reactions conditions: 95°C 3’, 98°C 20”, 65°C 15”, 72°C 30” to 3’, repeat 34 times, 72°C 6’, 4°C hold. Reactions products were visualized using SYBR-Safe (ThermoFisher Scientific) on 1% TBE/agarose gels. Primers can be found in Supplementary Table S2.
Barcode library generation, two-step amplification, sequencing and data analysis

To generate the degenerate 18-nucleotide barcode library, a double stranded barcoding oligo was first created by filling in JJS_BC_F1.1 bound to JJS_BC_R primer using Klenow polymerase (New England Biolabs). This double-stranded DNA was ligated into the kanamycin-resistant plasmid attB_GPIM upstream of the IRES element using directional cloning with the EcoRI-HF and SauII restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs). The ligated product was cleaned with a Zymo clean and concentrator kit (Zymo Research), and electroporated into NEB10-beta Electrocompetent Escherichia coli (New England Biolabs), yielding an estimated 2 million unique transformants as determined by dilution plating and colony count. The library was grown in LB supplemented with 50 ng/µl kanamycin, and plasmid DNA was extracted with a Qiagen Midiprep Kit. The resulting plasmid was labeled attB-GPIM-N18-2M.

HEK 293T TetBxb1BFP cells were transfected with pCAG-NLS-HA-Bxb1, followed the next day by attB-GPIM-N18-2M. Transfected cells were induced with 2 µg/ml doxycycline, and recombinant BFP-/mCherry+ cells were recovered by flow sorting 11 days later. Approximately 2.5% of the cells transfected with attB-GPIM-N18-2M were BFP-/mCherry+ after 11 days. 27 500 BFP-/mCherry positive cells were sorted, and allowed to expand for a week before harvesting. Genomic DNA was extracted from these cells using a DNeasy Kit (Qiagen). 50 µl first-round PCR reactions were each prepared with a final concentration of ~50 ng/µl input genomic DNA, 1× Kapa Hifi ReadyMix, and 0.25 µM of the KAM499/JJS_S01a primers. The reaction conditions were 95° C 5', 98° C 20', 65° C 15", 72° C 90", repeat seven times, 72° C 2", 4° C hold.

Two of these reactions were combined to form the 5 µg samples shown in Figure 4C, and eight reactions were combined to form the 20 µg samples. The resulting amplified DNA was bound to AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter), cleaned, and eluted with 35 µl or 140 µl water for the 5 and 20 µg reactions, respectively. Forty percent of the eluate was mixed with 2× Kapa Hifi ReadyMix and a final concentration of 125 nM JJS_seqF and 125 nM of one of the indexed reverse primers, JJS_seqR1a through JJS_seqR12a. Reaction conditions for the second round PCR were 95° C 5', 98° C 20', 65° C 15", 72° C 90", repeat 14 times, 72° C 2", 4° C hold.

Reactions were cleaned with a Zymo Clean and Concentrator Kit (Zymo Research), and amplicons were extracted after separation on a 1% TBE/agarose gel using a Quantum Prep Freeze ‘N Squeeze DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Bio-Rad). Extracted amplicons were quantified using a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies) and sequenced on a NextSeq using a NextSeq 500/550 High Output v2 75 cycle kit (Illumina), using primers JJS_read1, JJS_index1, and JJS_read2.

Paired sequencing reads were de-multiplexed with bcl2fastq and joined using the fastq-jointool within the ea-utils package (http://expressionanalysis.github.io/ea-utils/). Enrich2 was used to count barcodes that contained no Ns and that had minimum Phred-scaled quality score of at least 20 at each base (http://github.com/FowlerLab/Enrich2). The Enrich2 configuration file is provided as Supplementary File 1. An R Markdown file containing subsequent analyses is provided as Supplementary File 2. We established a sample-specific cutoff for removing barcode sequences arising from sequencing error. Our cutoff was based on the read depth of the most frequently observed barcodes and an assumed error rate of ~1%, which is a conservatively high estimation. For each sample, we determined the median read depth of the most frequently sequenced 5% of barcodes. For example, in replicate A1 of the 20 µg sample, the high-frequency barcodes had a median read depth of 143. At a 1% error rate, the median high-frequency, 18 nucleotide barcode in this sample is expected to produce ~26 erroneous barcode sequences. Assuming that mutations are spread uniformly across the 54 possible single nucleotide mutations that could occur, each erroneous barcode sequence arising from a high-frequency barcode in this sample is expected to be present less than once. We required that barcodes must have been present two full counts above this sample-specific estimate to be included in our analysis. We repeated this procedure for all six samples and the minimum read cutoffs were two, three and three reads for the A1, A2 and B technical replicates for the 5 µg input, and two, three and two reads for the A1, A2 and B technical replicates for the 20 µg input. We note that this analysis is conservative because the average per-base quality score was much higher than 20, and thus likely that the error rate was <1% error rate used in our analysis. Raw barcode counts are reported in Supplementary Data 1.

Simultaneous measurement of all possible N-terminal codons on EGFP expression

The EGFP N-terminal degenerate codon library plasmid was created by amplifying attB-Ub3kGiM plasmid with primers KAM1077 and KAM1078, and ligating the overlapping terminal sequences with Gibson assembly. Colony counting following electroporation revealed an estimated ~25 000 unique transformants. The resulting plasmid library was labeled attB-Ub3kGiM-N3. HEK 293T TetBxb1BFP cells were transfected with pCAG–NLS–HA–Bxb1, followed the next day by the attB–Ub3kGiM–N3 plasmid library. Seven days later, recombinant BFP–mCherry+ cells from three independent transfections were sorted (N ≥ 5300 cells each) on an Aria III FACS machine. Seven days later, the recombinant cells were sorted into four equally populated bins based on EGFP/mCherry fluorescence ratio (see Supplementary Table S3). Cells in each bin were expanded separately for an additional seven days. Genomic DNA was extracted from the expanded cells in each bin using a DNeasy Kit (Qiagen). High throughput sequencing compatible amplicons were prepared by amplifying 4 µg of genomic DNA in a 50 µl reaction with 25 µl Kapa Hifi Hotstart ReadyMix, 1 µM KAM1016 forward primer and 1 µM reverse indexing primer (KAM1017 through KAM1024). Reaction conditions: 95° C 3', 98° C 20", 65° C 15", 72° C 15", repeat 24 times, 72° C 6", 4° C hold. Amplicons were extracted after separation on 1% TBE/agarose gel using a Quantum Prep Freeze ‘N Squeeze DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Bio-Rad). Extracted amplicons were quantified using a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies) and sequenced on a NextSeq using a NextSeq
Flow cytometry and fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS)

Cells were detached with trypsin, and resuspended in PBS containing 5% serum. Cells were fixed by pelleting at 300 × g, followed by resuspension in PBS containing 4% formaldehyde at 4°C for 10 min. Analytical flow cytometry was performed with a BD LSRII flow cytometer. BFP was excited with a 405 nm laser, and emitted light was collected after passing through a 450/50 nm band pass filter. EGFP was excited with a 488 nm laser, and emitted light was collected after passing through 505 nm long pass and 530 nm band pass filters. mCherry was excited with a 561 nm laser, and emitted light was collected after passing through a 505 nm long pass filter. mCherry fluorescence ratio to four for the highest est ratio. Sequencing counts are reported in Supplementary Data 2. Mean expression scores and 95% confidence intervals derived from the four replicates are reported in Supplementary Data 3.

Analysis of ubiquitin cleavage by western blotting

HEK 293T TetBbx1BFP cells were transfected with the pCAG-NLS-HA-Bbx1 expression vector and attB–Ub3kGIM plasmids encoding the indicated ubiquitin-fused EGFP N-terminal variants. Two days after transfection, the cells were switched to Dox-containing media. BFP/mCherry+ recombinant cells were obtained by flow sorting ten days after transfection, and allowed to expand for an additional seven days. These recombinant cells were then incubated with lysis buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich)) for 10 min at 4°C, and pelleted at 21 000 × g for 5 min. The supernatant was collected, and protein concentration was determined by DC Protein assay (Bio-Rad) against a standard curve of bovine serum albumin. 18 μg of protein was loaded per well of a NuPAGE 4–12% Bis–Tris gel (Invitrogen) in MOPS buffer, using Spectra Multicolor Broad Range Protein Ladder (ThermoFisher Scientific) for size comparison, and transferred to a PVDF membrane. Western blotting was performed using a 1:4000 dilution of anti-GFP antibody (11814460001; Roche), followed by detection with a 1:10 000 dilution of anti-mouse–HRP (NA931V; GE Healthcare), or a 1:5000 dilution of anti-beta-actin–HRP (ab8224; Abcam).

RESULTS

Generation of Cells with Single Landing Pads

We developed an efficient workflow for generating and validating Bbx1 landing pad cell lines. First, the landing pad is inserted at the desired locus using Cas9- or TALEN-mediated homology directed repair (Figure 1A). The landing pad encodes a self-contained Tet-inducible system, with a 3’ CMV promoter driving transcription of a rTA3G Tet-inducible coding element (Figure 1B). Upstream, a Tet-inducible promoter drives expression of an mTagBFP2 blue fluorescent protein (BFP) reporter. A Bbx1 attP recombinase site is located between the Tet inducible promoter and the BFP reporter, allowing for subsequent site-specific recombination of a transgenic cassette whose expression is driven by the Tet-inducible promoter after recombination. A key advantage of this arrangement is that the transgene is only expressed when correctly recombined into the landing pad. Additionally, recombinant cells are marked by loss of BFP expression.

To create landing pad cell lines, we transfected HEK 293T cells with AAVS1-disrupting and landing pad repair template plasmids (see Materials and Methods). Transfected cells were passaged in the presence of doxycycline to induce BFP reporter expression and monitor decay of the repair template plasmid over time. After ~2 weeks, the fraction of BFP positive cells was <1%, suggesting that most transfected plasmid had been diluted or degraded (Figure 1C). Thus, we surmised that the remaining BFP positive cells likely harbored stably integrated landing pads. We waited an additional week, and sorted single BFP positive cells into individual wells of a 96-well plate to isolate clonal integrants. In a separate experiment, we found that a series of sorts enriching for BFP positive cells during plasmid dilution (~1 and 2 weeks after transfection) yielded a larger proportion of BFP positive cells (~5%) at three weeks (Figure 1D).

Massively parallel genetic assays require a strict linkage between genotype and phenotype. Thus, identifying a clonal cell line harboring only a single copy of the landing pad at the intended locus is critical. Clones with multiple
Figure 1. A Bxb1 recombinase-based platform for transgene library expression. (A) Disruption of the AAVS1 locus on chromosome 19 stimulates genomic landing pad insertion through homology directed repair. (B) The genomically integrated landing pad is subsequently used to efficiently integrate a transgenic cassette using the site-specific Bxb1 recombinase. (C) Depletion of BFP+ cells over time after transfection of the landing pad plasmid shown in panel B, as determined by flow cytometry. Cells were considered BFP+ if their BFP fluorescence was greater than that of untransfected cells. Loss of BFP signal over time is largely reflective of dilution or degradation of transfected plasmid. Error bars reflect standard error (N = 3). (D) After three weeks of post-transfection growth with additional sorts for BFP+ cells on days 5 and 13, BFP fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry. Cells within the box are likely to contain genomic landing pad insertions. Color indicates point density from low (blue) to high (red).
EGFP+/mCherry+ cells than expected (Figure 2D; top, bottom). Thus, our landing pad insertion workflow can be used to generate and validate clonal cell lines containing a single landing pad at the desired locus. We used Clone 4, referred to as HEK 293T TetBxb1BFP, hereafter.

Optimization of recombination efficiency and expression at the landing pad

Massively parallel genetic assays require generating tens of thousands of genetically altered cells and can demand high expression to maximize signal. Thus, we determined the optimal conditions for recombination of plasmids bearing a Bxb1 attB site at the landing pad, and for induction of integrated transgene expression. Recombination of a plasmid bearing an attB sequence requires the catalytic activity of the Bxb1 recombinase. Previous Bxb1 recombination protocols call for simultaneous transfection of Bxb1 expression and attB recombination plasmids (6,18,19). We suspected that expression of Bxb1 recombinase prior to recombination plasmid transfection could enhance recombination efficiency, facilitating increased library size.

To test this hypothesis, we transfected HEK 293T Tet-Bxb1BFP cells with Bxb1 expression plasmid prior to transfection with either the attB-mCherry recombination plasmid alone or a mixture of the attB-mCherry recombination and Bxb1 expression plasmids (Figure 3A). We found that cells concomitantly transfected with a mixture of Bxb1 expression and attB-mCherry recombination plasmids yielded ∼4% recombined, BFP+/mCherry+ cells regardless of whether Bxb1 expression plasmid had been transfected beforehand (Figure 3B). However, when the Bxb1 expression plasmid was transfected a day before, the attB-mCherry recombination plasmid efficiency doubled to ∼8%.

Having optimized recombination efficiency, we next assessed the expression kinetics of the Tet-inducible promoter in HEK 293T TetBxb1BFP cells. We found that it took two days after induction of expression with doxycycline for most cells to become BFP+ (Supplementary Figure S2A). Upon removing doxycycline, we found that it took approximately five days for the existing BFP transcript and protein to decay, rendering most cells BFP– (Supplementary Figure S2B). Thus, cells should be analyzed for reporter fluorescence roughly two days after induction or five days after shutoff.

A key feature of our platform is the absence of a promoter on the transgene recombination plasmid. This arrangement should prevent transgene expression in the absence of correct recombination at the landing pad. To test this assumption, we transfected the attB-mCherry recombination plasmid in the absence of the Bxb1 expression plasmid. Although the attB-mCherry plasmid lacks a promoter, we still observed low-level mCherry expression (Figure 3C, left). However, none of these modestly mCherry+ cells were BFP+ because they retained an intact BFP reporter at the landing pad. On the other hand, recombinant cells generated by transfecting Bxb1 expression and attB-mCherry plasmids had high levels of mCherry expression and also lost BFP expression (Figure 3C, right). Furthermore, the low level of plasmid-derived mCherry fluorescence observed in the absence of Bxb1 decays approximately five days after transfection whereas the high level of mCherry fluorescence observed after recombination is stable for at least twenty days (Figure 3D). Thus, our landing pad platform is capable of producing recombinant cells with high levels of transgene expression that are stable over several weeks. An important caveat is that expression of transgenes with significant fitness costs would likely not be stable over long periods of time.

Generating and quantifying a large library of recombinant cells

To determine whether we could create a large library of recombinant cells and measure the frequency of each library member accurately, we created an attB recombination plasmid harboring a short, degenerate barcode. We recombined this plasmid into HEK 293T TetBxb1BFP cells and collected ∼25 000 BFP+/mCherry+ recombinant cells.

Accurately determining the frequency of each member of a large library using deep sequencing can be technically challenging. The transfection procedure we used to generate the recombinant cells introduces thousands of plasmids into each transfected cell (22), which decay over a period of weeks (Figure 1C). Accordingly, amplification using primers specific to the transfected recombination plasmid, even weeks after transfection, would likely result in significant background arising from residual transfected plasmid. Thus, we employed a nested two-step amplification strategy where selective amplification for genomically integrated plasmids using a primer pair spanning the recombination junction was followed by amplification of a smaller Illumina-compatible amplicon (Figure 4A). Importantly, the second amplification is selective for the first amplification product because it relies on primer hybridization to a novel sequence introduced during the first amplification.

High throughput sequencing of amplicons from our recombinant library produced using this procedure revealed nearly 21 000 unique barcodes (Figure 4B and C). We required that each barcode be observed multiple times in both replicates, placing a conservative lower bound on the number of unique barcodes in the library. PCR amplification can introduce significant bias, particularly when template concentrations are low (23), so we compared barcode counts between replicate amplifications. As expected, increasing input template DNA yielded an increase in the number of barcodes that were observed in both technical replicates (Figure 4C). Increasing input template also resulted in greater correlation of barcode counts between replicates. We conclude that this increase in reproducibility occurred during the first amplification, because barcode frequencies in replicates of the second amplification were highly correlated at both template concentrations (Figure 4D). Thus, our landing pad can be used to rapidly and efficiently create large, stable libraries of recombinant cells, which can be accurately quantified using high throughput sequencing.

Simultaneous measurement of all possible N-terminal codons on protein stability

To demonstrate the strong, single-cell genotype–phenotype link forged by our platform, we characterized the effects of
all possible N-terminal codons on steady state protein expression in mammalian cells. The N-end rule is an evolutionarily conserved pattern of intracellular protein degradation dictated by the identity of the N-terminal amino acid (24). While most proteins retain their N-terminal methionine, a subset of proteins are cleaved or processed to reveal new N-terminal amino acids. Destabilizing N-terminal amino acids are recognized by N-recognin proteins, which target the protein for degradation. In eukaryotes, the N-end rule has two branches. In the classic Arg/N-end rule, N-terminally arginylated amino acids, as well as a subset of unmodified amino acids, are targeted. In the more recently discovered Ac/N-end rule, small uncharged amino acids that have been co-translationally acetylated are targeted (25).

The effects of different N-terminal amino acids have been measured using pulse-chase experiments where the rate of disappearance of a query protein is quantified by radiolabeling or western blot (26). However, these commonly employed methods cannot be readily parallelized within intact cells. We developed a parallizable N-end rule assay based on an N-terminal ubiquitin fusion to a fluorescent protein. Here, with the exception of proline, co-translational removal of ubiquitin by deubiquitinating enzymes efficiently exposes a new N-terminal amino acid (27) that dictates the steady state expression level of the fluorescent protein. We designed an attB recombination plasmid carrying this ubiquitin fusion assay cassette. Here, ubiquitin was N-terminally fused to EGFP upstream of an IRES–mCherry sequence. mCherry serves as a marker of recombination and a reference reporter to control for cell-to-cell variation in expression (Figure 5A). A library of N-terminal EGFP variants could be flow sorted based on their EGFP/mCherry fluorescence ratio and then sequenced to reveal the steady state expression of each variant.

We confirmed that ubiquitin was removed from EGFP by western blotting, which revealed a single band at the expected size (Supplementary Figure S3A). Next, we determined whether the ubiquitin fusion assay could recapitulate known N-terminal amino acid effects: leucine and arginine are profoundly destabilizing, threonine is moderately destabilizing and glycine has no effect (24,26). We created these N-terminal EGFP variants in our ubiquitin fusion assay cassette and assayed them using flow cytometry. The presence of either leucine or arginine at the N-terminal position reduced steady state EGFP expression to a level approaching that of a premature stop codon (Figure 5B). Insertion of threonine only slightly diminished steady state EGFP levels compared to glycine. The loss of steady state expression we observed was not due to disruption of EGFP folding or function, because these amino acid insertions had minimal effects when ubiquitin was replaced by a conventional initiating methionine (Supplementary Figure S3B).

Next, we created a library of plasmids containing a degenerate NNN codon between the ubiquitin and EGFP coding sequences, thus producing all possible N-terminal amino acids upon ubiquitin removal. Recombinant HEK 293T TetBxb1 BFP cells containing this library were sorted into four equally populated bins spanning the spectrum of observed EGFP/mCherry fluorescence ratios (Figure 5C). Genomic DNA was harvested, amplified as described above and subjected to high throughput sequencing (Supplementary Table S3). The number of reads for each codon within a bin was highly reproducible between technical replicates (Supplementary Figure S3C). For leucine, arginine, threo-
Figure 3. Optimization of plasmid transfection and transgene expression. (A) A timeline of plasmid transfection, Tet promoter induction, and fluorescent reporter analysis by flow cytometry is shown. (B) HEK 293T Tet-Bxb1BFP cells were transfected with Bxb1 expression plasmid one or two days before transfection with either attB-mCherry recombination plasmid alone (blue) or a 1:1 mixture of attB-mCherry and Bxb1 expression plasmids (red). Two days after attB-mCherry transfection, expression was induced with doxycycline. Three days later, flow cytometry was used to assess the fraction of BFP–/mCherry+ cells. Boxplots represent the results of seven replicates. (C) A representative scatterplot of red and blue fluorescence of HEK 293T Tet-Bxb1BFP cells transfected with attB-mCherry, either with or without the Bxb1 expression plasmid, is shown. Black boxes denote cells likely exhibiting leaky plasmid expression or expression following random transgene integration behind a promoter. Red boxes denote BFP–/mCherry+ cells where the attB-mCherry plasmid has successfully recombined into the landing pad. (D) The percentage of BFP–/mCherry+ HEK 293T Tet-Bxb1BFP cells after transfection with the attB-mCherry recombination plasmid as measured by flow cytometry is shown. Cells were transfected in the presence (red lines) or absence (gray lines) of previously transfected Bxb1 recombination expression vector. Lines represent BFP–/mCherry+ percentages over time for seven replicates.
Figure 4. Creating and quantifying a large library of recombinants using the landing pad. (A) A schematic of the sequential PCR strategy used to selectively amplify a recombinated barcode and generate a sequencing-compatible amplicon is shown (CG: Illumina cluster generating sequences, attR: recombination junction). (B) A schematic of technical replicate amplifications performed to characterize precision in preparation and sequencing of recombinated barcodes is shown. Sample intermediates are denoted by an asterisk. (C) High throughput sequencing of amplicons generated with either 5 or 20 μg of input DNA revealed the number of unique barcodes observed multiple times only in full technical replicate A, only in full technical replicate B, or in both replicates (left). (D) Scatterplots of the counts of the unique barcodes appearing in both full technical replicates (center) or both second round replicates (right) are shown. $R^2$ values represent squared Pearson correlation coefficients.

may be helpful for cell lines that are more difficult to engineer. Conveniently, in cases where cell type is not critical, the HEK 293T TetBxb1BFP cells we developed and validated are ready for use.

In this work, we engineered the landing pad into the AAVS1 locus. We chose this site because it is present in most human-derived cells, generally transcriptionally active, and easy to amplify and sequence. Thus, it is a convenient and easy-to-work with site for manipulation and transgene expression. However, the landing pad could be integrated at other defined sites using the approach we outline. Alternatively, the landing pad could be introduced using a lentiviral vector, which would result in random incorporation in the genome.

Our platform employs Bxb1 recombinase to insert a transgenic plasmid library into the engineered landing pad. Of the known site-specific DNA recombinases, Bxb1 has the highest efficiency and fidelity in mammalian cells (6,14). Bxb1 has been described as having no observed pseudo-site insertion in HEK 293T cells (17), although only low-resolution methods like karyotyping have been used to validate this assertion. Nevertheless, our experimental workflow is robust to off-target integration because transgene expression is dependent on correct recombination at the landing pad. Additionally, we employ a dual fluorescent reporter system that facilitates removal of cells expressing the transgene in the absence of correct recombination. Indeed, our N-end rule experiment demonstrates that our platform yields highly reproducible results, proving that it can be used to express a single transgene variant per cell.

Bxb1 recombination inserts the entire attB-containing plasmid into the landing pad, thus retaining transgene-adjacent sequences including bacterial sequences necessary for plasmid propagation. These sequences might impact transgene expression. Dual integrase cassette exchange, in which two serine integrases with different specificities precisely define the inserted sequence, might overcome this problem (18). We attempted to employ this strategy, but it was too inefficient to produce large libraries of transgenic cells. Regardless, the presence of plasmid DNA does not appear to affect transgene expression, which was largely stable in our HEK 293T TetBxb1BFP cells. However, we did observe a small decrease in the fraction of cells expressing an mCherry transgene from the landing pad over a period of three weeks. This decrease could be due to silencing arising from plasmid sequence or be due to a slight fitness cost associated with transgene expression. Future versions of the landing pad could incorporate insulators (29) or use minicircle recombination templates (30) in place of a large insertion plasmid to further stabilize expression.

A challenging aspect of massively parallel genetic assays in mammalian cells is quantitative amplification and sequencing of single copy insertions of transgenic sequences in a sea of genomic and transfected plasmid DNA. Using the selective amplification strategy we developed, quantification of moderately-sized libraries like our N-end rule library is exceptionally reproducible. Importantly, we also achieved high reproducibility for a library of over 20,000 unique variants. Here, using large amounts of input template DNA circumvented stochastic effects stemming from inefficient amplification. Alternative amplification protocols, such as the use of unique molecular identifiers (31), will also likely increase quantification accuracy.

Our N-end rule experiment demonstrates some of the advantages of using our landing pad platform for making parallel measurements. Individual assessment of the 64 possible N-terminal codons would be unwieldy. Accordingly, this is the first time that all 64 codons representing the 20 possible
amino acids have been simultaneously tested for their contributions to the N-end rule in human-derived cells. Parallel assessment is preferable because it facilitates accurate comparisons between all samples. Our results are mostly consistent with previous measurements of the effect of N-terminal amino acids. However, we find that alanine and threonine, which are Ac/N-end rule substrates thought to be inert, are moderately destabilizing. The details of Ac/N-end rule in the mammalian cell cytosol are not well understood (32), and it remains to be seen why these two amino acids are destabilizing.

We also find that histidine and isoleucine, which are Arg/N-end rule substrates thought to be highly destabilizing, have only a moderate effect. The moderate effect of histidine relative to arginine and lysine might be explained by the lower affinity of the UBR recognition domain of the N-recognins UBR1 and UBR2 for histidine (33). The moderate effect of isoleucine might also be related to N-recognin substrate recognition. The N-domains of eukaryotic UBR1 and UBR2 are responsible for recognizing type 2 N-degrons including isoleucine (34). These domains are structurally related to the bacterial ClpS protein, which only recognizes N-terminal tyrosine, tryptophan, phenylalanine and leucine. Interestingly, a point mutation in the binding pocket of ClpS extends recognition to terminal isoleucine and valine residues (35). Thus, the ancestral binding pocket architecture is less accommodating of isoleucine, but can evolve to recognize it. The lack of isoleucine recognition for ClpS might be related to the modest effect of isoleucine in our assay. In conclusion, the differences in destabilization...
we observe reflect the nuances of substrate recognition in the different N-end rule pathways, and are highlighted by our parallel measurements.

Compared to other recombinase-based systems, our platform is more efficient, more portable, inducible, and allows single-variant expression through irreversible and directional transgene integration while preventing expression of unintegrated transgenes (Supplementary Table S1). Commercially available Flip-in and Jump-in cell lines suffer from low recombination rates, and require recombination plasmids to have their own inducible promoters, necessitating prolonged cell passages to allow transfected plasmids to disappear. Indeed, a recent study using Flip-In T-REx cells to study a library of several thousand variants required roughly 200 million cells on 45 large plates and multiple weeks of passaging (36). Our platform could produce the same library using a few hundred thousand cells on one small plate in less than a week. PhiC31-based platforms solve some of these problems, but have much lower recombination rates than Bxb1. The use of PhiC31-based platforms in massively parallel genetic assays is also complicated by the existence of endogenous PhiC31 pseudo-attP sites in the human genome that can result in the integration of multiple transgenes per cell. Existing Bxb1-based systems lack the inducible expression and cytometry-optimized features necessary for many massively parallel genetic assays like deep mutational scanning. Lastly, the additional tools we provide make our platform portable to other cell types and ready-to-use for high-throughput, sequencing-based phenotypic interrogation of variant libraries.

In summary, we improve massively parallel genetic assays in mammalian cells by offering an alternative to lentiviral or homology-directed repair-mediated library generation. Specifically, our platform enables facile generation of large libraries of transgenic cells with controllable, homogenous transgene expression from a user-defined locus. We provide a series of assays that can be used to vet candidate landing pad cell lines; in theory, our platform could be used to study any transgenic sequence of interest in any transfectable cell line. Once a cell line has been created and vetted using the tools we describe, it is compatible with almost any fluorescence- or growth-based phenotypic assay where each variant can be scored simultaneously with high throughput sequencing. Each phenotypic assay requires appropriate controls to demonstrate, as we did in for our N-end rule assay, that variants of known effect produce the expected phenotype (for discussion of massively parallel genetic assay design see (37–39)). Our platform represents an advance for massively parallel genetic assays ranging from the comprehensive study of variation of a nucleotide or protein sequence to the simultaneous investigation of diverse elements such as cDNA expression screening. Our platform could eventually enable many cellular phenotypes to be quantified for each variant in a large library, thus facilitating a deeper understanding of the relationship between genotype and phenotype.
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