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ABSTRACT
This paper explores the place of the school playground in the lives of children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). We discuss the physical environment, school systems and practices that support the development of social competence and increased peer interactions for children with ASD in their school playground. Highlighted issues include the importance of play, and the value of the school playground as a curriculum resource with the potential to build a bridge to inclusion for children who experience difficulty developing skills in social interaction, verbal and nonverbal communication and play. Inclusion is more than just placement in regular classrooms. It is about feelings of belonging in the whole school community and that includes being able to participate in the school playground without feelings of anxiety, isolation, or vulnerability.
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INTRODUCTION
Have you spent time simply observing what is happening in your school playground? Perhaps you will see children participating, contributing and joining in activities with their peers, even playing traditional games that require them to follow rules and manage their behaviour when they are ‘out’ or feel angry. You may see and hear conflict, teasing, name calling, and students left out, wandering, or sitting on a bench. There may also be opportunities for children to make choices as they think through a challenge. You will possibly hear children communicating their needs, thoughts and feelings, and listening to others as they express theirs. You may see children relating to others, taking turns, joining in to get a job done, helping others, developing skills in cooperation and friendship - the ‘Key Competencies’ of the New Zealand Curriculum in action.

Take another look at your playground. You may see a child alone, flicking a leaf, continually touching a fence, running around the perimeter of the field, wandering or performing some obsessive behaviour while being watched or shadowed by an adult. You may be looking at the daily playground experience of a child with Autism Spectrum Disorder.

Play: What is it and Why is it so Important?
Play supports children’s brain development in unique ways (Perry, Hogan & Marlin, 2000). These researchers state that play, more than any other activity, fuels the healthy development of children of all ages and abilities. It is a natural learning tool that develops coordination with both fine and gross motor skills and because it is often fun, it helps to develop emotional wellbeing and stability. According to Pellegrini and Bjorklund (1996) play benefits the ability of all children to pay attention and learn. There is no basis to support assumptions that play should be confined to the preschool years, or that children with ASD do not need to play. Key characteristics of play are that it is fun and also a foundation for building friendships (Doll & Brehm, 2010). But play is actually hard to define (Sutton-Smith, 2001). Every child knows what it is to play, but the rest of us can merely speculate because spontaneous play is what children do, not what adults organise them to do. Sometimes it is hard to find in school playgrounds. One reason is that some educators need convincing that time playing outside in the school playground matters as much as classroom instruction. Marginalising break-times by reducing and over-regulating time for play, especially for children with some form of disability, is limiting an opportunity for interaction with their peer group (Blatchford & Sharp, 1994). The decision is usually based on an assumption that negative behaviour will decrease or that the expanding curriculum requires more teaching and learning in the classroom. Little mention is made of the possibly detrimental effect this is having on developing skills in social competence, health and wellbeing, especially for those with difficulties with these
skills such as children with ASD. One of the other opportunities for daily social interactions between children is the walk to school but this is perhaps being taken away for many children by the car ride to and from school (Blatchford, 1998; Pellegrini, 1995). Many children no longer engage in street games or visit parks without adult supervision, limiting another opportunity for peer-initiated interactions. Decisions that devalue time spent playing in the playground do not recognise this as an important time for contact with peers and the social curriculum that exists (Hurni, 2001).

Play often involves physical exercise with benefits that may be more important that just improving physical fitness. Physical exercise interventions have been shown to decrease stereotypy, aggression, off-task behaviour, development, and increase on-task behaviour, academic-responding and appropriate motor behaviour (Lang et al., 2010). Play, physical exercise and leisure activities have benefits which all children, including those with ASD, are entitled to enjoy (Brewster & Coleyshaw, 2010). The most commonly mentioned activities by children with ASD tended to be those confined to the home; watching television, playing in the garden, computer games and PlayStation. Safety issues arose when activities were suggested that required the children to be away from their parents. Many children played alone yet most said they wanted a friend and wanted to play with friends outside school hours (Brewster & Coleyshaw, 2010).

Inclusion in leisure activities parallels the situation in education. Inclusion “means more than simply placing a disabled person in a mainstream school and providing extra support. Inclusion demands major changes within society itself and should not be viewed in a vacuum” (Frecy & Swain, 2004, p.169). Improved access to leisure activities for children with ASD and their families would help young people reach their potential as adults. Future research is needed to document the benefits of play and also raise the profile of this aspect of children’s lives (Brewster & Coleyshaw, 2010).

**Children with ASD**

In recent years more students with ASD are being educated in mainstream settings rather than segregated environments (White, Scarhill, Klin, Koenig & Volkmar, 2007). In the UK between 1997 and 2001 there was a 16% increase of children with ASD attending mainstream schools (Keen & Ward, 2004). By 2010, the number had increased to 70% of children in England with ASD attending mainstream schools (Education, 2010). The expectation is for increased opportunities for social interaction and play between children with ASD and their typically-developing peers (Anderson, Moore, Godfrey & Fletcher-Flinn, 2004). Despite the increased numbers of children with ASD attending mainstream schools very little research has investigated the experiences of children with ASD and their time in their playgrounds (Ingram, Dickerson-Mayes, Troxell & Calhoun, 2007). Neither has mainstream playground design or equipment been seen as having the potential to increase the frequency of group play and overall social interactions (Yuill, et al., 2007).

Play for children experiencing typical development usually involves some form of interaction with a friend as well as the ability to initiate activities, to share objects and to follow some simple agreed rules without adult intervention. All of these are challenging for children with ASD and unfortunately can often result in social withdrawal, atypical behaviours or one-sided conversations (Marks et al., 1999). The skills to play the simplest game that requires interaction with a peer in the school playground often fill the child with ASD with fear and anxiety (Palmer, 2007). There are many decisions to be made about what to play, how to play, with whom to play and where to play. Active participation in this aspect of the school day is not easy for children with ASD (Brewster & Coleyshaw, 2010).

Children with ASD experience social difficulties, communicative limitations and a restricted range of interests and behaviours. Social rules such as how and when to look at others, how long to wait when responding to a question, making eye contact, greeting or using appropriate language in different contexts present endless challenges (Ingram et al., 2007; Watson Holton & Andrew, 1998). Communication difficulties include delay or absence of spoken language as approximately 25% of children with ASD fail to develop functional or socially appropriate speech (Osterling, Dawson & McPartland, 2001).

Many researchers remind us that children with ASD are often victims of bullying and the playground is frequently the setting for this unacceptable aggressive behaviour (e.g., Doll & Brehn, 2010; Rowley et al., 2012). When incidents of negativity are reduced, competent social interactions are prompted, peer acceptance is increased, and friendships form and are maintained. This enables vulnerable students to avoid isolation and loneliness so that they can cope and defend themselves and others from intimidating behaviours. Having friends and siblings around may be the biggest deterrent to becoming a target for bullies according to MacArthur and Gaffney.
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Play and Children with ASD
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The physical environment of the school playground
provides valuable steps in a child’s development
by contact with nature and with peers (Robinson
& Browning, 2011). Educators are reminded of the
importance of activities that allow children to climb
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waving, bouncing and spinning structures that are
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The mainstream playground should be a positive learning place for play. Children with ASD need time in the playground as this is where many aspects of language are acquired including non-verbal cues, turn-taking, joint attention and the regulation of behaviours through requesting and other social behaviours (Terpstra, Higgins & Pierce, 2002). More intensive instructional support may be needed but there is evidence that children with ASD can be taught to interact socially with their peers (Campbell, Schopler, Cueva & Hallin, 1996; Green, 1996; Kohler, Anthony, Steighne & Hoyson, 2001; Lovaas & Smith, 1989). In their mainstream school playground they should engage in more adaptive play behaviour, learn through modelling, imitation and observation than in any other setting (Lovaas & Buch, 1997).

Instruction in play skills for children with ASD can encourage social interactions and improve language, social skills and cognitive functioning (Bates, 1979; Dauphin, Kinney & Strommer 2004; Sigman & Ungerer, 1984). The developmental readiness of the child needs to be considered when choosing age-appropriate play activities (Lifter, Sulzer-Azaroff, Anderson & Cowdery, 1993).

A few simple strategies will help inclusion occur naturally:

- The skills to play simple games are taught prior to time in the playground.
- Some specific skills that are required to play popular games that allow interaction with peers are included in Individual Educational Plans.
- Teachers seek opportunities to prepare peers to include the child with ASD in their playground activities (buddy programmes, Circle of Friends, mentoring programmes).
- Forward planning occurs providing for some quiet time activities for the child with ASD who may need solitude as well as social contact.
- Teacher-aides and teachers have shared goals around student independence so that teacher-aides do not get in the way of friendship or interaction opportunities with peers.

Some research has shown that higher levels of play such as symbolic play can be learned. A variety of behavioural techniques have established, increased and improved play skills in children with ASD. Two prominent methods are Pivotal Response Training and Video Modelling (Lydon et al., 2011; Stahmer, Ingersoll & Carter, 2003). Improvements in spontaneous communication and play during free time was noted by Carr and Felce (2007) after only 15 hours of Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) training. Not only were there changes in the interactions between the students but also their teachers who decreased their pre-emptive communications, increasing opportunities for children to initiate communication. Play with toys and joint attention improved during free play sessions as well as increased social interactions with peers at the schools (Kravits, Kamps & Kemmerer, 2002). Schoen & Bullard (2002) found that seven students with autism who functioned in isolation during the whole recess period noticed the social interaction skills of their peers when teachers prompted them by simply asking ‘What are the other children doing?’ Group games were taught to all students including same-aged peers. This research concludes that interaction between children with ASD and their same-aged peers in the mainstream is essential in developing and fostering social interactions (Schoen & Bullard, 2002). It also confirms that children with ASD, like many other children, can learn to play.

CONCLUSION

School playgrounds have been around since the mid-1800s but they have never been as important in the lives of children as they are today. Play and places to play, physical exercise and socialisation are fundamental for the health, wellbeing and development of all children. The school playground can still provide all children including those with ASD with a unique opportunity to experience feelings of belonging, friendship, acceptance and inclusion. Not only is the school playground a valuable curriculum resource but also the natural context where children, with a little help from their friends, can build a bridge to inclusion. Time in the school playground can seriously test inclusion. Take another look at your playground - does it pass or are there opportunities for development and support?
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