Additive Solution to Diastema Closure by a Combination of Direct and Indirect Techniques
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Introduction

Smile design in restorative dentistry embraces facial esthetics, gingival esthetics, the relationship between soft and hard tissues and dental aesthetics [1,2]. Smile design requires assessment of facial soft tissues and skeleton, followed by intraoral examination of the teeth and their relationship with lips and soft tissues [3]. A facial analysis is performed to determine how lips and soft tissue frame the smile in different positions of speech and laughter and establishes the localization of the facial midline and its position with respect to the dental midline. Smile parameters include: gingival marginal levels tooth size, including tooth-tooth proportion and tooth width: length ratio; tooth position; tooth shape; incisal embrasures; connector spaces, where adjacent teeth appear to touch; axial inclinations; and the shade of maxillary teeth [2].

Studies on the attractiveness of smiles as a function of their variation from esthetic norms have shown the presence of a diastemato be a decisive negative factor and a strong candidate for treatment [4,5]. Adiastema is a black space between adjacent teeth that are separated from each other, with no presence of a contact area. Possible origins of this defect include an excessively wide dental arch, anomalous tooth size, congenital tooth absence and gingival frenum hypertrophy. First, it is important to differentiate diastemata from pathologic tooth migration pathologic tooth migration that have developed over time and may indicate a lack of stability in tooth position [6]. A decision must then be taken whether to treat the patient with a multidisciplinary approach or simply to close the spaces by means of direct and/or indirect restorative therapy [7].

A spaced dentition also can be due to various reasons such as hypodontia, tooth size discrepancy and impeded eruption. The dilemma for clinicians is whether to close, open or redistribute the space [8]. Two principles govern the prosthodontic management of dentitions that are compromised by missing teeth or by size or shape alterations: the first principle is to obtain appropriate spaces for the optimal replacement of missing teeth with teeth of suitable size or shape; and the second is for existing teeth to be optimally positioned within the dental arch [9]. The ideal space size for restoration in these cases is established by measuring the contralateral tooth; if this is present in correct proportions, Bolton tooth-size analysis (or similar) is performed, determining average width: height ratios of the teeth and preparing an orthodontic setup or diagnostic wax-up [9].

If the teeth are correctly positioned and anomalous tooth size is the origin, the clinician can choose between restoration with porcelain or composite resin, which have different properties and imply distinct clinical procedures [10]. A direct or indirect approach can be used with the latter, but the use of porcelain requires an indirect technique. The decision to use one or the other depends on the characteristics of each case. If the diastema results from tooth malposition, orthodontic treatment can avoid the need for a restorative approach [11]. When there is a congenital absence of teeth or the presence of gingival frenum hypertrophy, surgery may be required [12,13].

The aim of this case report is to show how to solve a problem of black spaces preserving the major possible quantity of sound teeth by means of combining different materials with excellent esthetic results

Case Report

A healthy 27-year-old man presented to the clinic due to interdental spaces (Figure 1). He expressed satisfaction with the color of his teeth and a desire to avoid additional orthodontic treatment. His wide smile showed a high upper lip line. Incisal edges of anterior teeth were well-positioned in relation to gingival margins. The patient had no carious lesions or history of restorative treatment. He had a thin gingival biotype and fairly symmetrical gingival architecture.

Temporomandibular joints were normal, with no history of dysfunction. Although the patient’s visits to the dentist had been infrequent, clinical examination evidenced good periodontal health, with no probing depths >3 mm and periapical radiography studies showed adequate bone levels. Microdontia of the upper incisors produced diastemata in the esthetic zone.

Among the different possible approaches to this case, we selected the most conservative because of the good periodontal status of the patient and his desire to improve his appearance.

Before the treatment, his medical and dental history was reviewed, preoperative radiographs and photographs were taken and periodontal charting was done. Diagnostic impressions were made and study models were mounted on an articulator. Smile analysis was performed by digitally tracing over a photograph (1:2 proportion). A diagnostic wax-up with modeling-wax (Eco–Cera Dental S. L. Monachil. Granada. Spain) of the proposed ideal was made for the creation of a mock-up, using silicone key (Poliloxsilane Lab-Putty, ColteneWhaledent. Altstätten/Switzerland) (Figure 2) and filling it with Prottemp 3 Garant

Figure 1: Patient’s interdental spaces.
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A3 shade (3M ESPE Dental Products, St. Paul, MN, USA). This model also served to create a putty index to enable the preservation of as much of the dental structure as possible in the preparation (Figure 3). Tooth shade evaluation of his natural teeth indicated the use of Vitapan Classical B1 shade (VITA Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany) with white horizontal lines.

In the preparation of the right lateral incisor, the silicon index was used to ensure adequate removal of tooth structure for the ceramic layering. The orientation of the teeth in the arch indicated a porcelain width of 1.2 mm at the facial aspect and 1.3 mm at the incisal edge. Because the cervical margin was subgingival, Ultrapak #000 and #00 retraction cords (Ultradent Products, South Jordan UT, USA) were packed to obtain a profile of gradual emergence that ended in a slight chamfer. A minimal vestibular reduction of 0.1 mm was needed to regularize the surface. No removal of dental tissue was necessary to produce a space in the incisal third of the tooth.

The left lateral incisor required a minimal preparation of around 0.5 mm in the mesial area of the buccal aspect and 0.3 mm in the distal area of the buccal aspect. An incisal preparation of 0.5 mm was sufficient to create internal characteristics in the porcelain that mimicked the natural tooth. The interproximal preparation was transferred in a lingual direction to prepare the interdental porcelain extension with a profile of progressive emergence in both lateral incisors (Figure 4). Free-hand composite resin enamel plus HFO (Micerium, Avegno, Italy) was used to create direct temporary restorations.

When the veneers were received from the laboratory, their fit was tested in the model, verifying the presence of adequate contact points with the adjacent teeth and performing an in-mouth color test with temporary Variolink Veneer try-In glycerin-based cements. (IvoclarVivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein). Veneers were etched with 10% hydrofluoric acid (IvoclarVivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) for 90 seconds and the residue was then removed by immersion in an ultrasonic cleaner with 95% alcohol. Next, two coats of Silane Modifier kit (Kerr Corporation, Orange, CA 92866, USA) were used to ensure adequate removal of tooth structure for the ceramic layering. The orientation of the teeth in the arch indicated a porcelain width of 1.2 mm at the facial aspect and 1.3 mm at the incisal edge.
the central incisors. This final shade was then covered with gel-oxalate to complete the polymerization.

After analyzing the photographic studies, the patient was seen for modification of the form and shape of the direct veneers. Final polishing with Sof-Lex burs and discs (3M ESPE Dental Products, St. Paul, MN, USA) from larger to smaller grain was done to obtain a satin-like finish on facial composite surfaces. Secondary and tertiary anatomies were reproduced with a fine grain conical diamond burr. Composite polishing pastes Enamel plus shiny 3 and 1 micron diamond pastes and Enamel plus shiny C1 micron aluminum oxide (Micerium, Avegno, Italy) were used on Felt disc Shiny FD 5, (Micerium, Avegno, Italy) to achieve a high gloss finish (Figure 6). the patient’s smile satisfied the patient’s demands (Figure 7). 18-Month follow-up image depicts the harmonious integration of form and color achieved (Figure 8).

After treatment was a total integration of the restorations in the mouth of the patient. The new appearance of the patient’s smile satisfied the patient’s demands (Figure 7). 18-Month follow-up image depicts the harmonious integration of form and color achieved (Figure 8).

Discussion

The clinician primarily assessed this case from an esthetic viewpoint, achieving a natural outcome despite the use of different materials on adjacent teeth. Numerous authors have demonstrated that diagnosis efforts and the study of patients’ individual characteristics are key to a successful esthetic outcome [14,15] and a diagnostic wax-up and corresponding template can be used to evaluate the result in the patient’s mouth before beginning the treatment [16,17].

The patient’s perception of cosmetic improvement is not significantly affected by the selection of the material (direct composite resin or porcelain) for maxillary anterior veneers, although a more conservative option of composite veneers may be preferred by patients given the choice after receiving adequate information [18]. In the present case, the clinician offered the patient an additive solution for his teeth, adding cosmetic materials and avoiding reduction of his dental structure.

A silicon index of the additive wax-up is used as a reference for tooth reduction [19], allowing a minimal reduction and therefore the optimal outcome. In the present patient, the silicon index showed that more space was available for a restoration in the central incisors than in the central incisors.

Pascal Magne [20] described the importance of an extensive reduction in order to close diastemata, with an interproximal preparation in lingual and subgingival directions to prepare an interdental extension of the porcelain with a profile of progressive emergence. This entails an aggressive reduction of the tooth. In the present patient, the lateral incisors could be readily restored without aggressive reduction due to the cone shape of the teeth, allowing a minimal reduction and restoration with porcelain veneers. Hence, this was the best treatment option for the lateral incisors in our patient. Other authors [19,21] have reported a similar approach, resolving cases with porcelain materials and minimal tooth reduction, allowing the indirect restoration of laterals. The main advantage of an indirect method is that it offers absolute control over the emergence profile and considerable control over the contact area.

An anterior tooth can be restored with either direct or indirect adhesive techniques, which offer different advantages and disadvantages according to the specific clinical situation. An indirect technique involves a less conservative approach. Thus, if we had treated this patient with porcelain alone, the lateral incisor would have been prepared with a minimal reduction due to its small size, but the central incisors would have required extensive reduction. For this reason, the decision was taken to use resin composites in the central incisors, allowing restoration with minimal reduction of the enamel using a medium grit diamond bur or aluminum oxide sandblasting. Furthermore, central incisors only require minimal preparation in the interproximal area. Excellent outcomes have been reported by numerous authors who used this procedure [10,22,23].

In the present case, the predictability of the direct technique was enhanced by producing a lingual incisal silicone index to allow the creation of a stratified restoration in the mouth of the patient with the same form as a previous wax-up. This technique has been reported by numerous authors [24-26].

Different performances can be expected from the distinct materials employed in this case, which were all selected to provide long-term function and esthetics. Some studies have confirmed the long-term survival of porcelain restoration, with no change in color match or surface smoothness at 10 years [27]. Although bonded porcelain veneers deliver excellent esthetics, they have traditionally required more tooth preparation in comparison to direct composite restorations; they have shown the best overall survival [28], although their life-long survival has not been demonstrated [24]. Resin composite is less stable
and may require more visits for maintenance. In direct composite restorations, selection of the appropriate composite materials and application techniques is critical [24]. However, composite resin can be successfully repaired, unlike porcelain [29,30].

It was difficult to maintain a correct proportion of the teeth in this patient solely using a restorative approach. Most authors have generally concluded that the width of a central incisor should be roughly 80% of its length [29,31]. Various methods have been proposed to determine the appropriate width of central incisors, e.g., by calculating one-seventh of the interpupilar distance [14], by multiplying the width of the lower central incisor by 1.62 [32], or by adding the width of a lower central incisor to one half of the width of the lower lateral incisor. Standard tooth measurements are also widely used to determine the width, ideally considered to be 8.0–8.5 mm for the maxillary central incisor [3,9]. The length can be determined by multiplying the width by 1.33 (maximum possible length) or 1.25 (minimum possible length).

An important additional factor determining the length of a restoration is the maxillary incisor exposure at rest, reported to be a mean of 1.91 mm in males and 3.40 mm in females, with greater exposure in younger individuals and variations among ethnic groups [3,33]. When an ideal tooth proportion is not clinically feasible, attempts can be made to create the illusion of a more correct ratio by modifying the angles, which are clearly defined by the light reflective surface [34]. Changes in the orientation and arrangement of transition lines can increase or decrease the area of light reflection, thereby affecting the observer’s perception of the size of the tooth. In the present case, this procedure was necessary due to the large width of the incisors [35,36].

Conclusion

The conservative restorative approach adopted in this case satisfied the patient’s demands. Dentists should fully inform patients of all possible risks, benefits and alternative options before initiating treatment. In the present patient, a substantial improvement was achieved through an interdisciplinary treatment planning. Principles, design, implementation. Chicago, USA 343–365.
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