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Abstract: Prediction of lung cancer at early stages is essential for diagnosing and prescribing the correct treatment. With the continuous development of medical data in healthcare services, Lung cancer prediction is the most concerning area of interest. Therefore, early prediction of cancer helps in reducing the mortality rate of humans. The existing techniques are time-consuming and have very low accuracy. The proposed work introduces a novel technique called Target Projection Feature Matched Deep Artificial Neural Network with LSTM (TPFMDANN-LSTM) for accurate lung cancer prediction with minimum time consumption. The proposed deep learning model consists of multiple layers to learn the given input patient data. Different processes are carried out at each layer to predict lung cancer at an earlier stage. The input layer of the deep neural network receives the data and associated features and sends them to the hidden layer. The first hidden layer performs the feature selection process using Target Projection matching to identify the relevant features for accurate disease prediction with minimum time consumption. Hidden layer 2 performs the patient Data Classification based on Czekanowski's dice similarity coefficient with the selected relevant features from the previous layer to predict lung cancer. The factors considered for performance evaluation of the proposed technique with the existing state of the art approaches include prediction accuracy, false-positive rate and prediction time. Lunar 16 Lung Cancer dataset consisting of patient data is used for evaluation. The obtained results show that the proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM technique achieves higher prediction accuracy with minimum time consumption and less false positive rate than the state-of-the-art methods. The experimental results reveal that the TPFMDANN-LSTM technique performs better with a 6% improvement in prediction accuracy, 36% reduction of false positives, and 16% faster prediction time for lung cancer detection compared to existing works.
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1 Introduction

Lung cancer is a significant type of cancer, which directs to a high death rate. One of the crucial causes of lung cancer in developing countries is the increasing usage of cigarette smoking in the current epoch. With the rapid growth of people suffering from disease, the healthcare industry provides the doctors’ sets of quality of services for accurate analysis of patient medical data. Healthcare services are becoming more popular for solving various problems such as early disease prediction, patient care, and community services. Accurate prediction of Lung Cancer Disease is a significant process to provide appropriate treatment to the patients. A Lung Cancer Prediction Convolutional Neural Network (LCP-CNN) was developed in [1] for the early diagnosis of diseased patients to identify the benign nodules with a high degree of accuracy. But the accurate disease diagnosis did not perform with lesser time consumption. A k-Nearest-Neighbors (k-NN) technique was introduced in [2] for diagnosing the stage of patients’ diseases. The designed algorithm uses the genetic algorithm for effective feature selection to minimize the dataset dimensions. But the false positive rate was not minimized during the classification. A novel fuzzy soft expert system was introduced in [3] to predict lung cancer disease. But the machine learning approach was not applied for accurate prediction. A deep neural network (DNN) was introduced in [4] for lung cancer screening with high precision. But the designed network failed to collect more training data with higher robustness. A high-dimensional logistic regression was developed in [5] for lung cancer prediction with higher accuracy. But, the feature selection process was not carried out with a lesser prediction time. An Enhanced Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) was introduced in [6] to obtain better accuracy for automated diagnosis of a lung tumor. But the performance of lung tumor diagnosing time was not minimized. A Weight Optimized Neural Network with Maximum Likelihood Boosting (WONN-MLB) technique was introduced in [7] for lung cancer detection with big data. Though the technique improves the accuracy, the huge amounts of data points were not considered. A Markov decision process was developed in [8] to concurrently optimize lung cancer recognition with higher specificity. However, the higher classification performance in detecting malignant pulmonary nodules was not obtained. An integration of binary classification and Multiclass Support Vector Machine classification with threshold method was introduced in [9] to classify malignant or benign nodules. But, the designed method failed to improve the efficiency of classifying the stages. A cross-validated Bayesian network model was developed in [10] for predicting local lung cancer. However, the model failed to validate the lung cancer prediction with larger external data set. In [11], integration of platelet features and platelet-rich plasma were extracted for lung cancer identification. But the feature analysis was not done to improve the accuracy of lung cancer detection. A logistic regression prediction model was developed in [12] for classifying the tumor clinical stage. The designed model increases the accuracy and recall rate of the prediction, but the time consumption of prediction was not minimized. In order to minimize the time consumption for cancer prediction, an Elephant Herding Optimization algorithm was developed in [13]. But the algorithm failed to handle the complex datasets to obtain improved results.

The various classification techniques were introduced in [14] to make it possible for a better diagnosis of cancer survivability rate. However, the designed techniques failed to predict the entire stages of lung cancer. The fuzzy-based systems and the evolutionary genetic algorithms were delivered in [15] for the diagnosis of lung cancer. The algorithms were not efficient to improve the accuracy of lung cancer identification. A random forests (RF) machine-learning algorithm was designed in [16] for improving the lung cancer prediction. However, the additional machine learning approaches were not employed to enhance the prediction accuracy. A meta-heuristic optimized neural network was developed in [17] to analyze patient data for predicting lung disease with maximum accuracy. But the designed network large volume of the dataset was not taken to analyze critical disease. The double Normalization-based Multi-Aggregation (DNMA) model was introduced in [18] to find the important factors of early screening of lung cancer. However, the accuracy of lung cancer detection was not improved. The impact of combining delta
features with conventional (non-delta) features was considered in [19] for diagnostic discrimination and lung cancer incidence prediction. However, it failed to apply deep learning for accurately identifying lung cancer. A multi-parameterized artificial neural network (ANN) was developed in [20] to predict lung cancer risk with high sensitivity and specificity. However, the designed neural network failed to perform the lung cancer risk prediction.

1.1 Contribution of the Paper

- The general contribution of the proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM is listed as follows,
- The TPFMDANN-LSTM technique is introduced based on feature selection and classification to improve lung cancer prediction accuracy.
- The Deep Artificial feed-forward neural network uses the Target Projection matching pursuit in the first hidden layer to find the significant features based on the Gaussian disruptive function. It helps to minimize the time consumption of lung cancer disease prediction.
- Next, patient data classification is performed with the selected relevant features at hidden layer 2 using LSTM to predict lung cancer based on Czekanowski's dice similarity measure. The Heaviside step activation function analyzes the similarity value and classifies the patient data into different classes. It helps to improve the prediction accuracy and minimize the false positive rate.
- The performance analysis of the proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM technique has measured along with conventional prediction methods based on various performance metrics through the series of experiments.

1.2 Organization of Paper

The paper is organized into different sections. Section 2 briefly describes the proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM technique for lung cancer prediction with a neat diagram. Section 3 provides information on the experimental settings with the lung cancer dataset. In Section 4, the test outcomes and comparative analysis are presented using various parameters. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

2 Target Projection Feature Matched Deep Artificial Neural Network with LSTM for Lung Cancer Prediction

Lung cancer is a dangerous disease that causes a large number of deaths worldwide. The ancient prediction of lung cancer is essential to decline the death rate of patients. Thus, it is a great challenge encountered by physicians to identify lung cancer. With extensive development in healthcare communities, patient data analysis is used for early disease prediction. Due to the large volume of patient data, accurate disease prediction is a challenging task. Since the machine learning techniques use the entire feature for classification therefore it is infeasible and inaccurate. Hence, the dimensionality of the dataset needs to minimize for accurate disease prediction with minimum complexity. Based on this motivation, a TPFMDANN-LSTM is introduced. The TPFMDANN-LSTM considers the Qos metrics as time and the dimensionality (i.e., memory space). This contribution is achieved through the relevant feature selection. The architecture of the TPFMDANN-LSTM is shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1 demonstrates the architecture of the proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM technique to predict lung cancer disease at an earlier stage with high accuracy lesser time consumption. Initially, the lung cancer dataset is considered for predictive analytics. The number of features $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_n$ and the patient data $pd_1, pd_2, pd_3, \ldots, pd_n$ are gathered from the dataset. After that, the relevant feature selection process is carried out using Target projection matching pursuit. The relevant features are patient id, age, gender, smoking, chest_pain, weight_loss, wheezing, clubbing_of_finger_nails, frequent_cold, dry_cough,
snoring, and level. The selected relevant features are used for classification to predict lung disease. The process of feature selection and classification is explained in the following subsections.

**Figure 1:** Architecture of proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM technique

Fig. 2 illustrates the schematic construction of the deep artificial feed-forward neural Network. The proposed deep learning concept uses the feed-forward neural network that the input data processed in the forward direction where feature selection and classification is carried out. A multi perceptron network is also a named feed-forward network. The network consists of numerous layers such as one input layer, two hidden layers, and one output layer. The multi-layer perception is referred to a feed-forward network made up of fully connected layers. The nodes between one layer and another layer are connected in a feed-forward manner with adjustable weights to form the entire network. The network consists of numerous layers such as one input layer, two hidden layers, and one output layer. The input layer receives features $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots a_n$ and the patient data $p_d_1, p_d_2, p_d_3, \ldots p_d_n$. The input layer provides the input into the hidden layer for calculations and manipulations. Then the hidden layers process such as feature selection, classification the given input, and it forwards to the output layer which produces the classification results. Based on the classification results, in the output layer, the patient data is correctly recognized. Therefore, a deep artificial feed-forward neural Network is used to identify the patient data for enhancing accuracy.

The activity of the neuron at the input layer ‘$i(t)$’ is expressed as given below,

$$i(t) = r + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(t) * p_0$$

(1)

where, $r$ denotes a bias stored the value is ‘1’, $a_i(t)$ indicates features, $p_0$ symbolizes the weight at the input layer. Then the input is transferred into the first hidden layer where the relevant feature selection is performed.

The proposed technique uses the target projection matching pursuit to find the “best matching” features from the possible projections in the multidimensional dataset. The target projection matching pursuit is a statistical model for finding the more relevant features related to the objective. In the matching process, the Gaussian distributive function is applied for finding the best matching features.
where, $M$ denotes a matching output, $a_i$ indicates the number of features, $O_j$ denotes an objective i.e., lung cancer detection, ‘$d$’ indicates a deviation from the objective. The Gaussian distributive function measures the distance similarity between $a_i$ and $O_j$ using Euclidean distance i.e., $||a_i - O_j||^2$. Based on the distance measure, the feature closer to the objectives is chosen and distant features are removed from the dataset. Finally, the relevant features are selected for the classification to increase the accuracy and minimize the computation time of disease prediction. Then the selected features are transferred into the next hidden layer where the LSTM is applied for the classification of patient data. The proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM technique is used a deep artificial feed-forward neural network. In the next hidden layer, LSTM is used in the field of deep learning for processing the entire sequences of data with different time steps.

Fig. 3 illustrates the architecture of the LSTM construction consists of the cell, an input gate, an output gate and a forget gate. The input gate receives the input (i.e., selected features with data) from the previous layer. Then, the forget gate uses the activation function for removing the significant data from the cell state. Then the forget gate is also used to create a decision to display the output in a particular time step. Then the output gate displays the output results.

The process of forget gate is expressed as given below,

$$F(t) = \beta (\alpha_f * x_t + \varphi_f * h_{t-1} + r)$$

where, $F(t)$ indicates an output of the forget gate at a time ‘$t$’, $\beta$ represents the activation function, $\alpha_f$, $\varphi_f$ indicates weights between the connections, $x_t$ symbolizes an input data (pd1, pd2, pd3, ... pdn), $h_{t-1}$ represents a previous layer output. The given inputs ‘$x_t$’ are multiplied by the weight matrices ($\alpha_f$, $\varphi_f$) and a bias ($r$) is added. In Eq. (3), the symbol ‘$*$’ denotes a convolution operator. The Heaviside step activation function is used to analyze the given input patient data with the testing data using Czekanowski's dice index. Czekanowski's dice index is used to measure the similarity between the input patient data and testing data as expressed as follows,
\[ S = 1 - 2 \times \left[ \frac{pd_i \cap pd_c}{\sum pd_i + \sum pd_c - pd_i \cap pd_c} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where ‘\( S \)’ indicates a Czekanowski's dice similarity coefficient, \( pd_i \) indicates patient data, \( pd_c \) denotes a testing class data, the intersection symbol ‘\( \cap \)’ designates a mutual dependence. The similarity coefficient (\( S \)) offers the integer value in the range from 0 to 1. The coefficient returns high similarity and then patient data and testing class data are matched.

The activation function is responsible for making a decision on which values to be stored or discarded from the cell state. The activation function provides the output ‘\( 1 \)’ that indicates forget gate remembers the results at that particular time step. The activation function provides the output ‘\( 0 \)’ to forget the particular value in the cell state. In other words, the forget gate displays the particular class results and forgets the other class results at a particular time.

\[
\beta = \begin{cases} 
1; & \text{if } (\text{arg max} \ S), \text{ then display the classification results} \\
0; & \text{otherwise, then other class results} 
\end{cases} \hspace{1cm} (5)
\]

where \( \beta \) denotes an activation function results, \( \text{arg max} \ S \) denotes an argument of maximum similarity function (i.e., Czekanowski's dice similarity). ‘\( S \)’ represents Czekanowski's dice similarity coefficient. The classification results are obtained at the output layer. In this way, all the patient data are correctly classified. Based on the classification results, an accurate lung cancer prediction is performed. The algorithmic process of the proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM is described as given below,

**Algorithm 1: Target Projection Feature Matched Deep Artificial Neural Network with LSTM**

**Input:** Dataset, Number of features \( a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n \) and the patient data \( pd_1, pd_2, pd_3, \ldots, pd_n \)

**Output:** Increase the lung cancer prediction accuracy

**Begin**

1. **Number of features** \( a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n \) taken as input at the input layer
2. **For each** feature \( a_i \) // **hidden layer 1**
   3. Perform the matching ‘\( M \)’ between features \( a_i \) and objective \( O_j \)
   4. Project the relevant features
   5. **else**
   6. Project the irreverent features
7. **End if**

---

**Figure 3:** Architecture of the LSTM
8. Select the relevant features
9. Remove the irreverent features
10. **End for**
11. **For** each patient data ‘pd,’ with extracted features // **hidden layer 2**
12. Compute similarity ‘S’
13. **For each** time step ‘t’
14. Process the input patient data at forget gate \( F(t) \)
15. **If** (\( \beta = 1 \)) **then**
16. Displays the classification results from the current cell state
17. **else**
18. Forget the other class results from the current cell state
19. **end if**
20. Attain the classification results at the output layer
21. **end for**
22. **end for**

**End**

Algorithm 1 explains the step-by-step process of Target Projection Feature Matched Deep Artificial Neural Network with LSTM to increase the lung cancer prediction accuracy with minimal time consumption. Initially, the number of features and patient data is collected from the dataset. Then the collected data are sent to the input layer. Then the target projection matching pursuit is applied for finding the more relevant features from the dataset based on the Gaussian distributive function. The feature which is more relevant to the objective is selected and other features are removed. Then the selected features with the patient data are given to the second hidden layer using LSTM. The LSTM uses Czekanowski’s dice coefficient to measure the similarity between patient data and their respective class. Based on the similarity measure, the activation function categorizes the patient data. Finally, the output layer displays the classification results to improve the lung cancer prediction accuracy.

### 3 Experimental Setup

An experimental evaluation is conducted for proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM and existing methods namely LCP-CNN [1] and k-NN [2] are carried out using Java language. For the experimental consideration, lung cancer data is used and taken from https://data.world/cancerdatahp/lung-cancer-data. The patient data are collected from this dataset to perform the lung cancer prediction through feature selection and classification. The dataset consists of 25 attributes. The attributes as a patient id, age, gender, air pollution, alcohol use, dust allergy, Occupational Hazards, genetic_risk, chronic_lung_disease, balanced_diet, obesity, smoking, passive_smoker, chest_pain, coughing_of_blood, fatigue, weight_loss, shortness_of_breath, wheezing, swallowing_difficulty, clubbing_of_finger_nails, frequent_cold, dry_cough, snoring and level. The dataset comprises 1000 patients for constructing a patient file. The patient is nothing but a dataset instance. The dataset includes 1000 instances. The attribute characteristics are integer and string. The associated task performed by the dataset is classification.
4 Comparison Analysis

In this section, the performance evaluation of TPFMDANN-LSTM and existing LCP-CNN [1] and k-NN [2] are compared with certain parameters such as prediction accuracy, False-positive rate, and prediction time. The results of three different techniques are discussed with the aid of tables and graphical representation.

Prediction accuracy: It is defined as the ratio of numbers of patient data that are correctly classified into different classes to the total number of patient data. The prediction accuracy is formulated as given below,

\[
PA = \left[ \frac{NPDCC}{n} \right] \times 100
\]  

where \(PA\) symbolizes the prediction accuracy, \(NPDCC\) indicates a number of patient data correctly classified, \(n\) is the number of patient data. The prediction accuracy is measured in terms of percentage (%). The performance result of lung cancer prediction accuracy is shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4 shows the performance analysis of disease prediction accuracy along with the number of patient data in the ranges from 100 to 1000. The input patient data are taken in the horizontal direction and the lung cancer prediction accuracy is observed at the vertical axis. As shown in the graphical chart, there are three various colors of lines such as green, blue and red indicates the prediction accuracy of three techniques namely TPFMDANN-LSTM, LCP-CNN [1] and k-NN [2] respectively. Among the three methods, the proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM has the ability for increasing prediction accuracy. This is because of the application of Deep Artificial Neural Network with LSTM to predict lung cancer. Followed by, Czekanowski's dice similarity coefficient is used for analyzing the training data and testing disease class data. Based on the similarity values, the patient data are correctly classified with higher accuracy. According to the observed results, the introduced TPFMDANN-LSTM effectively achieves higher prediction accuracy than the different classification schemes. Let us considers 100 patient data for conducting the experiments in the first iteration. By applying the TPFMDANN-LSTM, 90 data are correctly classified and the accuracy is 90% whereas the accuracy percentage of the existing [1] and [2] are 87% and 84% respectively. Followed by, various performance results are observed for each method. For each method, ten different results are observed. The performance of the proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM is compared to other existing methods. Finally, the average of ten comparison results designates that the lung cancer prediction accuracy of the proposed technique is increased by 4% when compared to [1] and 8% when compared to [2].
**False-positive rate:** It is defined as the ratio of numbers of patient data that are incorrectly classified into different classes from the number of patient data taken as input. The false-positive rate is expressed as given below,

$$ Rate_{FP} = \left[ \frac{NPDIC}{n} \right] \times 100 $$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $Rate_{FP}$ symbolizes the false positive rate, $NPDIC$ specifies the number of patient data incorrectly classified, 'n' denotes a total number of patient data. The false-positive rate is measured in terms of percentage (%). The graphical representation of false positive rate is shown in Fig. 5. The obtained results illustrate that the false positive rate of the lung cancer prediction using the TPFMDANN-LSTM technique is minimal than the other methods. This is quantitatively confirmed that by considering the 100-patient data. From the input, 10 data are wrongly categorized into different classes and the false positive rate is 10% using the TPFMDANN-LSTM technique. Similarly, 13 and 16 patient data are incorrectly classified and the false-positive rates are 13% and 16% using LCP-CNN [1] and k-NN [2]. The obtained results of the proposed technique are compared to conventional methods.

![Figure 5: Performance analysis of the false positive rate](image)

**Prediction time:** It is defined as the amount of time consumed by the algorithm to predict lung cancer based on the patient data classification. The overall prediction time is measured as follows

$$ time_{DP} = n \times time \text{ [predict single pd]} $$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $time_{DP}$ denotes a prediction time, ‘n’ denotes a total number of patient data, $pd$ denotes a patient data. The overall prediction time is measured in terms of milliseconds (ms).
The performance analysis of lung cancer prediction time along with the number of patient data is shown in Fig. 6. The time taken for predicting lung cancer is significantly reduced using the TPFMDANN-LSTM than the other two conventional classification methods. Let us consider ‘100’ patient data for experimentation, the time consumed by TPFMDANN-LSTM to predict the lung cancer is 12 ms, whereas 15 ms and 17 ms of time consumed by existing techniques LCP-CNN [1] and k-NN [2]. As revealed in the chart, the disease prediction time is gradually increased for all three classification methods while raising the number of patient data since the counts of data get increased for each run. In other words, the prediction time of each method gets increased while increasing the number of patient data.

![Figure 6: Performance analysis of prediction time](image)

From the observed results, it is clear that the prediction time is minimized using the introduced TPFMDANN-LSTM technique. The TPFMDANN-LSTM technique uses the target projection matching pursuit for finding the more significant features to the objective from the dataset based on the Gaussian distributive function. The relevant feature is selected and other features are removed. Then the selected features are used for predicting the disease using LSTM and hence it reduces the prediction time. Therefore, the overall results of the proposed technique are compared to other classification techniques. The average of ten results shows that the lung cancer prediction time is significantly reduced by 12% and 19% than the state-of-the-art methods.

### 5 Conclusion

Several machine-learning methods have been available in the literature whose performances rely on various aspects. This work proposes a hybrid deep-learning method called TPFMDANN-LSTM with a feature selection and classification. First, the TPFMDANN-LSTM technique performs the feature selection using Target Projection Matching to identify the relevant features for accurate detection. The next step performs the classification based on the relevant features using the LSTM technique. The LSTM network analyzes the training and testing data using Czekanowski’s dice similarity coefficient. Based on the similarity measure, the activation function returns the output results with higher accuracy. A comprehensive experimental evaluation compares the proposed TPFMDANN-LSTM with the existing classification approaches. The obtained result indicates that the proposed approach achieves higher lung cancer prediction accuracy, lesser false positive rate, and reduced time consumption.
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