The Ability of Nuclease-Resistant RNA Aptamer against *Streptococcus suis* Serotype 2, Strain P1/7 to Reduce Biofilm Formation In Vitro
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Abstract: *Streptococcus suis*, a Gram-positive bacterium, is an important swine and human pathogen, with serotype 2 being the most prevalent strain found worldwide. Deafness, meningitis, and death (in severe cases) are observed in *S. suis*-infected cases. Development of the ligands that can bind to *S. suis* with high affinity and specificity could be beneficial for the diagnosis and treatment of *S. suis* infection. Herein, the nuclease-resistant RNA aptamers based on 2′-fluoropyrimidine modification against *S. suis* serotype 2, strain P1/7, were established using the cell-Systematic Evolution of Ligands by Exponential enrichment (SELEX) technique. One of the aptamers, R8-su12, could bind to the *S. suis* target strain as well as other *S. suis* serotypes, i.e., 1, 1/2, 9, and 14, but not to other bacteria tested, i.e., *S. pneumoniae* ATCC 49619, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853. Moreover, the R8-su12 RNA aptamer was also capable of inhibiting the biofilm formation of the *S. suis* target strain, making it potentially useful for the study of biofilm formation and the treatment of *S. suis* infection in humans and pigs in the future.
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1. Introduction

*Streptococcus suis* is a zoonotic pathogen causing infectious diseases in pigs and humans such as meningitis, pericarditis, and septic shock [1,2]. To date, *S. suis* has been classified into 29 serotypes (SS) based on the specific antigens that appear on the polysaccharide capsule [3,4]. *S. suis* SS 2 infection was the most prevalent human case in Thailand, especially in the northern region [5,6]. Conventional methods for the identification of *S. suis* are culture and biochemical tests [7], which are time-consuming and sometimes yield controversial results as other bacteria such as *S. pneumoniae*, *S. bovis*, enterococci, viridans streptococci or even *Listeria monocytogenes* [8]. This can lead to a delayed or incorrect diagnosis. One of the important virulence characteristics of *S. suis* is biofilm formation [9,10], which allows for the bacteria to colonize permanently, increases resistance to the host immune system and antibiotics, and promotes their survival and proliferation [11]. An understanding of the biofilm formation involved in *S. suis* pathogenesis is needed for the effective control of *S. suis* infection in humans and animals.

Different types of ligands could be generated to bind *S. suis* with high affinity and specificity for research, diagnostic, and therapeutic purposes. One example is aptamers, which are single-stranded DNA or RNA [12]. The aptamers are generated using the technique called “Systematic Evolution of Ligands by EXponential enrichment” or SELEX.
originally established in 1990 by Ellington and Tuerk [13,14]. In this study, the S. suis-specific RNA aptamers were developed because RNA has a greater ability to fold into more diverse three-dimensional conformations, which are capable of binding to target molecules, compared with DNA [15,16]. In addition, the RNA aptamers can be modified to become more resistant to the nuclease that could interfere with their functions. One of the modification methods is the substitution of the 2′-hydroxyl group of ribose sugar with the fluoro (-F), amino (-NH2), or methoxy (-OMe) groups [16,17].

In this study, nuclease-resistant RNA aptamers against S. suis SS 2, type strain P1/7 were successfully generated using the whole-cell SELEX approach. One of them, the R8-su12 RNA aptamer, could specifically recognize S. suis and inhibit biofilm formation, making it potentially useful for the study of biofilm formation and the treatment of S. suis infections in humans and pigs in the future.

2. Results

2.1. Nuclease-Resistant RNA Aptamer Selection Based on the Cell-SELEX Technique

To select the nuclease-resistant RNA aptamers specific to S. suis SS 2, P1/7, approximately 10^{14} random RNA library pool was produced. A total of 10 mg/mL baker’s yeast tRNA was also added to the library pool as a binding competitor to reduce non-specific RNA binding. Then, the random RNA library mixture was incubated with 10^{7} live S. suis SS 2, P1/7 cells. The cell-SELEX was performed with a total of eight rounds. The enrichment of aptamer pool during selection rounds 4 (R4), 6 (R6), and 8 (R8) were measured using real-time reverse transcription (RT) qPCR compared with the random RNA library (Lib). The fold change in the relative binding affinity of RNA aptamer was increased in the later round of cell-SELEX (data not shown). The latest R8 RNA aptamer pool was used to determine the relative binding affinity compared to the starting N40 Lib. After calculation, the % binding of R8 RNA aptamer pool was higher than % binding of Lib with statistical significance (p = 0.023), implying the enrichment of the R8 RNA aptamer pool against S. suis SS 2, P1/7 (Figure 1A). The 8th round cell-SELEX pool was also screened for specificity using target and non-target cells, which were S. pneumoniae and S. pyogenes. The R8 RNA aptamer pool tested with S. suis SS 2, P1/7 showed the highest % binding compared to the testing against S. pneumoniae and S. pyogenes, with statistical significance (p = 0.034 and p = 0.034, respectively) (Figure 1B). These results suggested that the R8 RNA aptamer pool was enriched and able to bind to S. suis SS 2, P1/7.
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Therefore, the aptamer pool from R8 was cloned and sequenced. According to the sequencing analysis of 26 clones, they can be classified into four distinct groups as shown in Table 1. In the first group contained seven clones of short 40-nt long, calculated as 26.9%. The second group was also 40-nt long, with two corresponding sequences (7.7%). The third group consisted of four clones (15.4%), which were 39-nt long. The last group, named “ungrouped”, comprised of 13 different clones with various sequences, counting as 50% of the total number of all sequences (Table S1, Supplementary Materials).

Table 1. Summarization of consensus randomized sequences of the RNA R8 aptamer pool.

| Group | Representative Clone | Consensus Randomized Sequences | Nucleotides | Frequency (%) |
|-------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| 1     | R8-su12              | CAUACUGAGUAAGAUCGGAAAUUCGGUGUAAGGCCACGG | 40          | 7/26 (26.9%)  |
| 2     | R8-su057             | UGGAUGUAUGGAACUUGCAGAUCUUAACUGCACGAAGCGU | 40          | 2/26 (7.7%)   |
| 3     | R8-su15              | ACACGUUGCUGAAACAUACCGAGUAACAUAAAGCGGGUG | 39          | 4/26 (15.4%)  |
| 4     | Ungrouped            | Different clones with various sequences | 40          | 13/26 (50%)   |

Thus, group 1 showed the highest consensus sequences frequency among the 26 clones, suggesting that they might have a high affinity toward S. suis SS2, P 1/7. The representative R8-su12 RNA aptamer from group 1 was then selected for the prediction of secondary structures; the folding temperature was set at 37 °C. The R8-su12 RNA aptamer had one predicted secondary structure with a folding energy (initial ΔG) equal to −24.30 kcal/mol. The predicted secondary structure consists of an external loop with four bases and one closing helices. Moreover, loops and stems were also found, as shown in Figure 2. Hence, the R8-su12 RNA aptamer was chosen for further characterization.

![Figure 2](image.png)

Figure 2. Predicted secondary structure of the R8-su12 RNA aptamer. The randomized region is 40-nt long and shown in the shaded area.

2.2. Specificity of R8-su12 RNA Aptamer

To test the specificity of the R8-su12 RNA aptamer, the target S. suis SS2, P1/7 and non-target cells including the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) strains of S. pneumoniae, S. aureus, E. coli, and P. aeruginosa, were evaluated. The negative control—L2 RNA aptamer (5′-GGGAGUCGACCGACCAAGAAGCUGCUUCAAAAUAAGAUCUACACUCACUAUAGCGAUAUGUGCGUCUAUCUAGACACAU-3′) was used in this experiment, instead of a random RNA Lib. The R8-su12 and L2 RNA aptamer were incubated with target
and non-target cells. As shown in Figure 3A, the R8-su12 RNA aptamer show statistically significant higher binding affinities to S. suis SS 2, P1/7 when compared with S. pneumoniae, S. aureus, E. coli, and P. aeruginosa. (p = 0.021, 0.020, 0.020, and 0.021, respectively).

![Figure 3. Specificity of the R8-su12 RNA aptamer. (A) The specificity of R8-su12 RNA aptamer was tested using target S. suis SS 2, P1/7 and non-target cells. (B) The specificity of R8-su12 RNA aptamer was tested using target S. suis SS 2, P1/7 and other S. suis serotypes. * indicates a statistically significant difference using a Mann–Whitney U test (p < 0.05).](image)

Moreover, the R8-su12 RNA aptamer was also tested with S. suis SS 1/2, 1, 9, and 14. These strains are closely related to the target cells and showed a high prevalence of S. suis infection strains in Thailand [18]. The R8-su12 RNA aptamer showed an ability to bind to these S. suis strains. Interestingly, the R8-su12 RNA aptamer showed the highest % binding when tested with S. suis SS 1/2, followed by S. suis SS 1, 2, 14, and 9, respectively (Figure 3B). In addition, the R8-su12 RNA aptamer showed a significant higher binding affinity against S. suis SS 1 (p = 0.021) compared to the target cells. On the other hand, a lower binding affinity against S. suis SS 9 (p = 0.021) was shown. No significant binding was found in S. suis SS 1/2 (p = 0.275) and S. suis SS 14 (p = 0.127) (Figure 3B).

2.3. The Effect of R8-su12 RNA Aptamer on S. suis SS 2, P1/7 Biofilm Formation

Biofilm formation is associated with the virulence of S. suis [11] and one biofilm-production-related molecule—polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA) is located on the cell surface [19]. Hence, we hypothesized that the derived aptamer that targeted the surface molecules on S. suis cells might affect the biofilm formation. As shown in the result, the biofilm formation of S. suis SS 2, P1/7 cultured with R8-su12 RNA aptamer, L2 RNA aptamer and baker’s yeast tRNA was significantly reduced by 61.2%, 12.8% and 12.9 %, respectively, when compared to control (p < 0.05). Noticeably, the influential activity that decreased biofilm formation was also observed in R8-su12 RNA aptamer treated S. suis SS 2, P1/7, showing 55.5% and 55.4% reductions when compared to L2 RNA aptamer (p < 0.001) and baker’s yeast tRNA (p < 0.001), respectively. While the presence of L2 RNA aptamer and baker’s yeast tRNA in the culture medium, biofilm formation showed no statistically significant difference (p = 0.988) (Figure 4). These results implied that the R8-su12 RNA aptamer can reduce the production of S. suis SS 2, P1/7 biofilm formation.
was used because the identification and production of unique proteins as selection targets was first established. RNA aptamers were chosen over DNA aptamers for their ability to fold into a more diverse, three-dimensional structures [15,16]. Moreover, they can be generated with 2′-fluoropyrimidines (2′-fluoro-dCTP and 2′-fluoro-dUTP) substitution to improve their stability and resistance to nucleases [20–22]. The whole cell-SELEX approach was used because the identification and production of unique proteins as selection targets are difficult, as the molecules on the cell surface show high levels of similarity [23]. This approach would also help to generate the aptamers that target multiple surface antigens, and would not require the isolation and purification of target proteins, thus avoiding the misfolding or denaturation of the target molecules [24]. In addition, we developed the electrophoretic separation technique to partition non-binding RNAs from the aptamers that bound to bacterial cells, and this was used in the last two rounds of selection.

This approach would also help to generate the aptamers that target multiple surface antigens, and would not require the isolation and purification of target proteins, thus avoiding the misfolding or denaturation of the target molecules [24]. In addition, we developed the electrophoretic separation technique to partition non-binding RNAs from the aptamers that bound to bacterial cells, and this was used in the last two rounds of selection.

The nucleic acid aptamers were chosen over DNA aptamers for their ability to fold into a more diverse, three-dimensional structures [15,16]. Moreover, they can be generated with 2′-fluoropyrimidines (2′-fluoro-dCTP and 2′-fluoro-dUTP) substitution to improve their stability and resistance to nucleases [20–22]. The whole cell-SELEX approach was used because the identification and production of unique proteins as selection targets are difficult, as the molecules on the cell surface show high levels of similarity [23]. This approach would also help to generate the aptamers that target multiple surface antigens, and would not require the isolation and purification of target proteins, thus avoiding the misfolding or denaturation of the target molecules [24]. In addition, we developed the electrophoretic separation technique to partition non-binding RNAs from the aptamers that bound to bacterial cells, and this was used in the last two rounds of selection.

Using this technique, non- or weak-binding RNAs were actively separated into the agarose gel under an electric field due to their negative charges, while the aptamers bound with high affinity to S. suis remained in the sample well with bacterial cells. Theoretically, the active separation using electrophoresis would remove non-binding RNAs from the target cells more efficiently than the washing separation, which relies on the passive dissociation of RNAs. Therefore, the stringency of selection was increased, resulting in the successful recovery of the high-affinity S. suis aptamers.

For the specificity determination, the data showed that the R8-su12 RNA aptamer bound to target cells, S. suis SS 2, P1/7, as well as the others S. suis serotypes, 1, 1/2, 2, and 14. Unfortunately, the target molecule of the aptamer was not determined in this study. We speculated that the potential targets of the R8-su12 RNA aptamer could be some...
components of capsular polysaccharides (CPSs) that are shared between these serotypes. There are several studies revealed that S. suis serotypes 1, 1/2, 2, and 14 contain sialic acid in their CPSs [9,25,26]. Therefore, this might be one of the candidate molecules to which R8-su12 RNA aptamer can bind. However, it would be helpful to identify the potential targets of this aptamer in further studies.

One of the important virulence characteristics of S. suis is biofilm formation. This leads to an increased resistance to host defenses and antimicrobial agents, making pathogens difficult or impossible to eliminate [10]. Therefore, the R8-su12 RNA aptamer was further tested for its effects on S. suis biofilm formation. We found that the derived aptamer can reduce the biofilm formation of S. suis SS 2, P1/7. The studies on the bacteria biofilm revealed that the regulatory mechanism can be divided into eight categories, depending on the different communication signaling molecules produced by bacteria [20]. These consist of: (1) bacterial death and dissolution mechanism, which plays an important role in the formation and development of bacterial biofilms; (2) extracellular polymeric substance matrix (EPS) that consists of some extracellular polysaccharides, DNA, proteins, and some other macromolecules; (3) two-component systems (TCS), which mainly involve receptor histidine protein kinases (HPK) and response regulators (RR); (4) extracytoplasmic function (ECF) signaling pathway that regulate the production of alginate, which is important for the biofilm structure; (5) intracellular second messenger cyclic diguanylate (c-di-GMP), which can induce the production of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) and surface adhesins, leading to the formation of bacterial biofilms; (6) small RNAs (sRNAs), which are involved in the regulation of expression or activity of important transcriptional regulators and components required for cell attachment and biofilm formation; (7) bacterial biofilm intracellular signal transduction system called bacterial quorum sensing (QS) system; (8) the polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA) which plays an important role in the bacterial aggregation stage of bacterial biofilm formation. Moreover, the study by Zhou [27] revealed that the reduction in O-acetylserine (thiol)-lyase B (CysM), a key enzymatic regulator of cysteine synthesis, can inhibit S. suis biofilm formation. The study also showed that the ability of the complementary mutant (CΔcysM) strain to form a biofilm may indirectly related to the QS system. It is possible that the R8-su12 RNA aptamer might bind to those molecules, indirectly or directly playing a role in biofilm formation. However, additional studies are required to investigate how the aptamer affects biofilm formation.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Bacteria Used and Culture Condition

The Streptococcus suis serotype 2, type strain P1/7 (S. suis SS 2, P1/7) (kindly provided by Division of Bacteriology, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University) was used as the target cell in this study. For specificity testing, other bacterial species, including Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29523, Streptococcus pneumoniae ATCC 49619, S. pyogenes ATCC 19615, S. suis serotype 1 DMST, S. suis serotype 1/2 DMST, S. suis serotype 14 DMST, and S. suis serotype 9 clinical isolates were used. S. suis SS 2, P1/7 was cultured onto 5% blood agar (BA) (Becton Dickinson, NJ, USA) and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for 18–24 h. For other bacterial species were incubated overnight for 18–24 h at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ on 5% BA (Becton Dickinson, NJ, USA) or trypticase soy agar (Becton Dickinson, NJ, USA) depending on their optimal medium. To prepare the target cells for the SELEX process, fresh colonies from BA (Becton Dickinson, NJ, USA) were harvested and washed thrice with 1 mL of Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS). Then, the bacterial cells were adjusted to reach at the desired OD₆₀₀ with a total of 1 mL of binding buffer before being loaded into a centrifugal filter (Ultrafree®-MC with Microporous Durapore® PVDF Membrane, pore size 0.45 μm, Merck, NJ, USA).
4.2. Cell-SELEX Technique

The starting 2′-F pyrimidine modified RNA Lib was prepared by in vitro transcription of a N40 DNA Lib containing a 40-nt random region and primer binding sites (5′-AGTAATACGACTCATATAGGGAGTCGACCGACCAGAA-N40-TATGTGCGTCTACATCTAGACTCAT-3′) using T7 R&D polymerase (50 U/µL) (Lucigen Corporation, WI, USA).

To obtain the practical diversity of the Lib about 10^{14} molecules, 100 pmol of random RNA Lib was used in the first round of cell-SELEX. The 2′-F random RNA Lib was thermally equilibrated for proper folding in a total volume of 100 µL binding buffer (0.05 M HEPES pH 7.4, 0.1 M NaCl, and 0.01 M MgCl_2) with 10 mg/mL baker’s yeast tRNA (Merck, NJ, USA) at 65 °C, followed by a cool-down at room temperature for 5 min. The freshly prepared 10^7 cells of S. suis SS 2, P1/7 were incubated with the equilibrated random RNA Lib at room temperature in the centrifugal filter for 60 min in rounds 1–7 and 50 min in round 8, with gentle rotation. The unbound or weakly bound aptamers were partitioned by washing in binding buffer for various specific volumes, numbers of washes, and incubation times on the rotator according to the selection protocol (Table 2). For rounds 7 and 8, the separation was performed using an electrophoresis technique to increase the selection stringency. In brief, after the binding step, the bacterial pellet was washed once with 100 µL of binding buffer for 1 min, and then resuspended with 50 µL of binding buffer. The suspension was transferred into a well of agarose gel (3% in 0.5× TAE). After that, 0.5× TAE was carefully added into an electrophoresis chamber. Gel electrophoresis was run using 100 V for 10 min. The target cells with bound aptamers were collected and washed thrice with 100 µL of binding buffer for 1 min each time before the elution step.

Table 2. The aptamer selection protocol for cell-SELEX using S. suis SS 2, P1/7 as target cells.

| SELEX Round | Input RNA (pmol) | S. suis SS 2, P1/7 (Cells) | Washing |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| 1           | 100             | 1.03 × 10^7              | 100 µL × 5 times, 1 min each |
| 2           | 50              | 1.02 × 10^7              | 100 µL × 5 times, 1 min each |
| 3           | 50              | 1.00 × 10^7              | 100 µL × 5 times, 3 min each |
| 4           | 25              | 1.11 × 10^7              | 100 µL × 5 times, 3 min each |
| 5           | 10              | 1.21 × 10^7              | 100 µL × 5 times, 3 min each |
| 6           | 10              | 1.29 × 10^7              | 100 µL × 5 times, 5 min each |
| 7           | 10              | 1.01 × 10^7              | 100 µL for 1 min, followed by electrophoretic separation |
| 8           | 10              | 1.07 × 10^7              | 100 µL for 1 min, followed by electrophoretic separation |

The bound RNAs were eluted using 100 µL of elution buffer (8 M urea, 5 mM EDTA pH 8.0), followed by ethanol precipitation. The RNA pool was reverse-transcribed using Superscript® II Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and 3′-N40 primer (5′-ATGAGTCTA GATGTAGACGCACATA-3′). Then, the cDNA was amplified with Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using 3′-N40 primer and 5′-primer containing T7 promoter (underlined) (5′-AGTAATACGACTCATATAG GGAGTCGACCGACCAGAA-3′). The PCR products were transcribed in vitro, purified using the Qiaquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and used for the next round of cell-SELEX. The cell-SELEX was performed until the 8th round (R8).

4.3. Evaluation of Relative Binding Affinity and Specificity of the RNA Aptamer

The enrichment of the aptamer pool in selected rounds (Rx), which were R4, R6, and R8, was quantified by relative binding affinity using the binding assay. One hundred picomoles of either the control RNA Lib or selected aptamer pool in the presence of baker’s yeast tRNA was incubated with approximately 10^7 cells of S. suis SS 2, P1/7. Both Lib and Rx were saved for approximately 10 µL as input RNA. After binding, washing, and elution steps, as described above, the amount of input and bound RNAs were quantified using real-time RT qPCR. The real-time master mix was generated in a total 10 µL per reaction comprising the final concentration of 1× SensiFAST SYBR® No-ROX Kit (Meridian Bioscience, TN, USA),
0.5 µM of each 3′-N40 primer and 5′-primer (5′-GGGAGTCGACCGACCAGAAG-3′), and 0.5 µL of cDNA from each RT reaction (Lib input, Lib elute, Rx input, Rx elute, NTC). Each sample was performed in triplicate. For non-template control (NTC), real-time PCR master mix and cDNA from NTC-RT reaction were used. The real-time RT qPCR was performed under the following conditions: 94 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, with a total of 45 cycles. The fluorescence signal was read at 60 °C and the association curve was set at 65 °C to 95 °C. The binding percentage was calculated using Formula (1):

\[
\% \text{ binding} = 2 - (Ct_{\text{elute}} - Ct_{\text{input}}),
\]

where \( Ct_{\text{elute}} \) refers to the cycle threshold of elute sample and \( Ct_{\text{input}} \) refers to the cycle threshold of input sample. The % binding of random RNA library (% binding\textsubscript{Lib}) and the % binding of Rx (% binding\textsubscript{Rx}) were then calculated into the fold change using Formula (2):

\[
\text{Fold change} = \frac{\% \text{ binding}_{\text{Rx}}}{\% \text{ binding}_{\text{Lib}}},
\]

For specificity testing, the R8 aptamer pool and representative R8-su12 RNA aptamers were screened toward \textit{S. suis} SS 2, P1/7 and non-target cells, including other species of \textit{Streptococcus} (\textit{S. pyogenes}, \textit{S. pneumoniae}), Gram-positive bacteria (\textit{S. aureus}), Gram-negative bacteria (\textit{E. coli}, \textit{P. aeruginosa}), and other \textit{S. suis} serotype (\textit{S. suis} SS1/2, 1, 9, and 14). One hundred picomole of the control (random RNA Lib pool or L2 aptamer) and the derived nuclease-resistant RNA aptamers were incubated with 10\textsuperscript{7} of target cells, \textit{S. suis} SS 2, P1/7 and non-target cells. Then, the cell-SELEX was processed until the eluate was obtained. After that, it was used as a template in the binding assay using real-time RT qPCR. The binding percentage and fold change were calculated as described above.

4.4. Aptamer Characterization

The aptamer pool from cell-SELEX round 8 was cloned using pTG19-T PCR cloning vector B (Vivantis, Selangor, Malaysia). Twenty-six clones were sequenced and analyzed for similarity using the Clustal Omega Multiple Sequence Alignment (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/ accessed on 11 November 2020). The selected RNA aptamer was also predicted for secondary structure using the mfold Web Server (http://www.unafold.org/mfold/applications/rna-folding-form.php accessed on 7 February 2021) to determine the binding sites between the aptamer and target cells.

4.5. Biofilm Formation Assay

To determine the effect of the RNA aptamer on the biofilm formation of \textit{S. suis} SS 2, P1/7, the selected R8-su12 RNA aptamer was tested. The determination of \textit{S. suis} SS 2, P1/7 biofilm formation was preliminarily tested based on the crystal violet biofilm assay [28]. To examine the RNA aptamer’s ability to inhibit biofilm formation, the detection of biofilm formation was carried out according to Meng et al. [28] with some modifications. In brief, 200 µL of \textit{S. suis} SS 2, P1/7 from the overnight culture at 37 °C was mixed with 100 µL of 4.76 ng/µL (176 nM) of R8-su12 RNA aptamer with 10 mg/mL baker’s yeast tRNA, negative control L2 RNA aptamer with 10 mg/mL baker’s yeast tRNA, and 10 mg/mL baker’s yeast tRNA alone. Then, the mixture was transferred into a 24-well polystyrene plate containing 1.8 mL of BHI broth. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 3 days without shaking. After staining with 0.1% crystal violet for 30 min, the OD\textsubscript{600} was measured. The BHI broth with the supplements was used as a negative control, and its OD\textsubscript{600} was set as blank. These blank absorbance values and cut off values (ODc) were used to calculate and interpret the biofilm formation result as described [28]. Each sample in the biofilm formation assay was performed in quadruplicate in three independent experiments.

4.6. Statistically Analysis

All samples were tested in triplicate with at least three independent experiments. The one-way analysis of variance and Mann–Whitney U test were used to determine the
statistically significant when \( p < 0.05 \) or 0.001. All the calculations were performed using the SPSS Statistics 6.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

5. Conclusions

Herein, the nuclease resistant RNA aptamer specific to \( S. \text{ suis} \) SS 2, strain P1/7, R8-su12, was successfully constructed. Moreover, the derived RNA aptamer revealed the ability to bind to other pathogenic \( S. \text{ suis} \) (serotype 1/2, 1, 9, and 14). Remarkably, the R8-su12 RNA aptamer can significantly reduce the biofilm formation, one of the \( S. \text{ suis} \) virulence factors. However, the target ligand of the aptamer on the \( S. \text{ suis} \) SS 2, strain P1/7 cell surface would be further identified. This might be useful for understanding the pathogenesis, leading to an early diagnostic, treatment, and effective control of \( S. \text{ suis} \) infection.
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