Increasing Risks of Suicide Attempt and Suicidal Drug Overdose After Head Trauma in Patients With Sleep-Disordered Breathing: A Population-Based Study
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Objective: To determine the risks of suicide attempt (SA) and suicidal drug overdose (SDO) after head trauma in patients with sleep-disordered breathing (SDB) by using the National Health Insurance Research Database of Taiwan.

Methods: We analyzed the data of patients aged ≥20 years who were diagnosed with SDB between 2000 and 2012. We further divided them into two cohorts [with admission for head injury (SBI) and without (SBN)], and we compared them against sex-, age-, comorbidity-, and index-date-matched healthy individuals. The adjusted hazard ratios (aHRs) and 95% confidence intervals of SA and SDO were calculated with adjustment of age, sex, and comorbidities.

Results: Approximately 0.61% of patients among the overall 142,063 patients with SDB had SA, with 535 and 335 patients included in the SBN and SBI cohorts, respectively. Compared with patients with SBN, a significantly higher risk of SA was observed in patients with SBI (aHR = 2.22), especially in those aged under 50 years (aHR = 2.48). Notably, a SDO incidence of 1.20% was noted in patients with SDB, and the SBI cohort had a 1.81-fold higher risk for SDO when compared with the SBN cohort.

Conclusion: The risks of subsequent SA and SDO are proportionally increased by the effects of head trauma with a moderating role of SDB, especially in those aged <50 years. SDB and head trauma can increase suicide behaviors individually and synergistically.
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INTRODUCTION

More than 800,000 people die because of suicide per year worldwide, with a progressive increase over the past few decades (1). In most countries, the rates of suicide attempt (SA) increase with age. Generally, men have a lower rate of SA than women; however, men exhibit higher lethality of suicide than women (1). A study conducted in European countries has estimated the rates of suicidal lethality in men compared with women (13.9 vs. 4.1%) (2). The primary reason for this sex difference is the difference in suicidal methods adopted by men and women. Among various suicidal methods, self-intoxication is the most frequently chosen method in women (3). We believe that identifying individuals with a possibly higher risk of SA and interrupting their suicidal behaviors are the significant challenges of suicide prevention.

Sleep disorders manifesting as dyssomnia or insomnia are common health problems, which affect not only the quality but also the longevity of a patient’s life (4, 5). Sleep disorders can be associated with various medical and psychological disorders (5). Among the different types of sleep disorders, sleep apnea or sleep-disordered breathing (SDB) is a significant cause of excessive daytime sleepiness and driving accidents, leading to accidental injuries in patients’ daily lives (6). In addition to that, SDB can cause other disorders or traumatic brain insult (TBI) (6, 7); ~2.9% of patients with SDB were reported to have suicidal ideation or suicide planning (8).

Accidental head trauma with TBI would typically result in paralysis, impaired consciousness, cognitive problems, and psychotraumatic stress disorder in addition to causing death. Once patients are discharged after admission for head trauma, they might experience long-term or even lifelong physical and psychological consequences (9–11). These physical and psychological disabilities could interact with the mental disturbances caused by SDB, thereby increasing suicidality in these patients. However, the magnitude of increase in the incidence and risk of SA is ambiguous after head trauma in patients with SDB. Therefore, in this study, we used the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) in Taiwan to determine the incidence and risk of SA after head trauma in patients with SDB.

METHODS

Data Source

The NHIRD has included all the medical records of insurants covered by the single-payer National Health Insurance (NHI) program of Taiwan since 1995. The purpose of creating NHIRD was to use research results as a reference for medical and health policies and enhance medical research resources. NHIRD contains all medical information, including ambulatory and inpatient services, of more than 99% of the population in Taiwan (12). We used the hospitalization files from the NHIRD to conduct this study with high validity. Diagnoses and outcomes were coded by physician specialists as per the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). The identification number of every study subject was re-coded before the database was released by the government, and all the information of patients was encrypted for personal privacy. The Research Ethics Committee of China Medical University and Hospital in Taiwan approved this study (CMUH104-REC2-115-CR4).

Taiwan is located in East Asia and has identical mores to that of China and most of the Southeast Asian societies (13). Therefore, our study results could be beneficial for the medical care system in both Taiwan and other Asian countries.

Study Population

We enrolled patients who were ≥20 years old to clarify the association between SDB, head trauma, and the risk of SA. We defined the following four cohorts in this study: patients with SDB (ICD-9-CM code 780.5; SDB cohort), patients with SDB who had head trauma with subsequent hospital admission (ICD-9-CM codes 850–854 and 959.01; SBI cohort), patients with SDB without head trauma (SBN cohort) during 2000–2010, as well as a comparison cohort of individuals without SDB and head trauma. Patients with head trauma were defined as those who had moderate or severe head trauma with TBI, because the NHI program does not provide insurance services to patients who have head trauma but clear consciousness and no intracranial hemorrhage or brain contusion in brain imaging studies. The date of head trauma diagnosis or SDB diagnosis was defined as index dates in the SBI or SBN cohorts. The comparison cohort was frequency matched by sex, age, and index year (Figure 1).

Outcome Measurement

In this study, the primary outcome was the occurrence of SA (ICD-9-CM codes E950-E959). Suicidal drug overdose (SDO, also called self-poisoning) and SA were individually analyzed in these cohorts to further interpret the risk of SA and the safety of long-term prescription in patients with SDB. Patients with SDO were enrolled for analysis if they were ever hospitalized with the ICD-9-CM codes 960–979 but without E codes. We excluded patients who were younger than 20 years and those who ever had SA or SDO before the index date. Because of their association with SA and SDO, the following comorbidities were evaluated as potential confounders in each cohort: schizophrenia (ICD-9-CM code 295), alcohol-related illness (ICD-9-CM codes 303.0, 303.9, 305.0, 790.3, 980, and E860), anxiety (ICD-9-CM codes 300, 309.24, and 293.84), mental disorder (ICD-9-CM codes 293, 294.0, 294.8, 294.9, and 297), diabetes mellitus (ICD-9-CM code 250), hypertension (ICD-9-CM code 416), hyperlipidemia (ICD-9-CM code 272.4), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD, ICD-9-CM codes 491, 492, 494, and 496), coronary artery disease (CAD, ICD-9-CM codes 410-413, 414.01–414.05, 414.8, and 414.9), stroke (ICD-9-CM codes 430-438), and cirrhosis (ICD-9-CM codes 571.2, 571.5, and 571.6). These comorbidities were considered confounders for multivariate adjustment in the analysis. All study subjects started follow-up from the index date to the date of SA or SDO.

Abbreviations: aHR, adjusted hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; NHIRD, National Health Insurance Research Database; ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification.
occurrence, death, withdrawal from the NHIRD, or December 31, 2013, whichever occurred first.

**Statistical Analysis**

Distribution comparisons of categorical variables, such as sex, age, monthly income, urbanization level, occupation, and comorbidities, were performed using univariate analysis with chi-square testing. For continuous variables such as average age, Student's t-test was performed to compare the difference between the SDB and comparison cohorts. The urbanization level was divided into four levels based on the population density of the residential area, wherein level 1 was the most urbanized and level 4 was the least urbanized. Occupation was categorized into office worker, laborer, and others (those were defined as primarily retired, unemployed, or from low-income populations). Cox proportional hazard regression models adjusted for age, sex, monthly income, urbanization level, occupation, and comorbidities were applied to assess the risks of SA and SDO in the SDB, SBN, and SBI cohorts relative to the comparison cohort. This study also used Cox regression to compare the risks of SA and SDO between the SBN and SBI cohorts. Hazard ratio (HR), adjusted hazard ratio (aHR), and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated to evaluate the risks of SA and SDO. Statistical analyses were conducted with type I error $\alpha = 0.05$ using the statistical software package, SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

**RESULTS**

The baseline demographic characteristics for these cohorts are shown in **Table 1**. SDB was diagnosed in 142,063 patients between January 1, 2000, and December 31, 2010. Of these, 23,996 (0.17%) patients had SDB and subsequent admission for head trauma, and the remaining 118,067 patients had SDB but without head trauma. The comparison cohort included 284,126 subjects without SDB and head trauma. Among the cohorts, parameters such as male sex, age $<50$ years, monthly income of NTD 15,000–19,999, living in a level 2 urbanization area, and occupation of office worker were dominant. Notably, patients with SDB demonstrated higher risks of various comorbidities, including schizophrenia, alcohol-related illness, anxiety, mental disorder, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, COPD, CAD, stroke, and cirrhosis (**Table 1**).

**Table 2** reveals that SA was observed in 215 (0.08%) subjects of the 284,126 individuals in the comparison cohort. Moreover, 870 (0.61%) patients among the 142,063 patients in the SDB cohort had a diagnosis of SA during the 14-year follow-up period, and 535 and 335 patients in the SBN and SBI cohorts developed SA,
TABLE 1 | Distribution of sex, age, monthly income, urbanization level, occupation, and comorbidities between the SDB, SBN, SBI, and comparison cohorts.

|                | SDB          | SBN          | SBI          | Comparison    |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
|                | N = 142,063  | N = 118,067  | N = 23,996   | N = 284,126   |
| Sex            |              |              |              |               |
| Female         | 64,589 (45.5)| 65,975 (46.6)| 9,614 (40.1) | 129,178 (45.5)|
| Male           | 77,474 (54.5)| 63,092 (53.4)| 14,382 (59.9)| 154,948 (54.5)|
| Age (years)    |              |              |              |               |
| ≤49            | 53,912 (38.0)| 44,320 (37.5)| 9,592 (40.0) | 107,824 (38.0)|
| 50–64          | 36,348 (25.6)| 30,919 (26.2)| 5,429 (22.6) | 72,696 (25.6) |
| ≥65            | 51,803 (36.5)| 42,828 (36.3)| 8,975 (37.4) | 103,606 (36.5)|
| Age, mean ± SD | 46.7 ± 19.3  | 46.7 ± 19.3  | 46.0 ± 18.1  | 46.5 ± 19.3  |
| Monthly income |              |              |              |               |
| <15,000        | 41,472 (29.2)| 33,883 (28.7)| 7,589 (31.6) | 77,352 (27.2) |
| 15,000–19,999  | 69,224 (48.7)| 56,416 (47.8)| 12,808 (53.4)| 129,450 (45.6)|
| ≥20,000        | 31,367 (22.1)| 27,768 (23.5)| 3,599 (15.0) | 77,324 (27.2) |
| Urbanization level |       |              |              |               |
| 1 (highest)    | 32,994 (23.2)| 29,195 (24.7)| 3,799 (15.8) | 82,186 (28.9) |
| 2              | 42,879 (30.2)| 35,824 (30.3)| 7,055 (29.4) | 84,297 (29.7) |
| 3              | 23,466 (16.5)| 19,382 (16.4)| 4,084 (17.0) | 47,795 (16.8) |
| 4 (lowest)     | 42,724 (30.1)| 33,866 (28.5)| 9,058 (37.8) | 69,848 (24.6) |
| Occupation category |          |              |              |               |
| Office worker  | 61,192 (43.1)| 52,903 (44.8)| 8,289 (34.5) | 143,935 (50.7)|
| Laborer        | 59,901 (42.2)| 48,432 (41.0)| 11,463 (47.8)| 106,993 (37.7) |
| Other          | 20,970 (14.8)| 16,726 (14.2)| 4,244 (17.7) | 33,198 (11.7) |
| Comorbidity    |              |              |              |               |
| Schizophrenia  | 3079 (2.17)  | 2,656 (2.25) | 423 (1.76)   | 1,232 (0.43)  |
| Alcohol-related illness | 8,161 (5.74)| 4,777 (4.05)| 3,384 (14.1) | 1,168 (0.41)  |
| Anxiety        | 9,909 (6.98) | 7,860 (6.67)| 2,029 (8.46) | 965 (0.34)    |
| Mental disorders| 37,717 (26.6)| 28,382 (24.0)| 9,335 (38.9) | 6,909 (2.43)  |
| Diabetes mellitus| 25,061 (17.6)| 19,757 (16.7)| 5,304 (22.1) | 18,276 (6.43) |
| Hypertension   | 54,100 (38.1)| 43,960 (37.2)| 10,140 (42.3)| 34,659 (12.2) |
| Hyperlipidemia | 18,198 (12.8)| 14,199 (12.0)| 3,990 (16.6) | 8,387 (2.95)  |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 23,784 (16.7)| 18,332 (15.5)| 5,452 (22.7)| 10,795 (3.80) |
| Coronary artery disease | 26,217 (18.5)| 20,724 (17.6)| 5,493 (22.9)| 16,090 (5.66) |
| Stroke         | 25,440 (17.9)| 19,557 (16.6)| 5,883 (24.5) | 14,181 (4.99) |
| Cirrhosis      | 19,962 (14.1)| 14,005 (11.9)| 5,967 (24.8) | 8,137 (2.86)  |

Chi-square test; § t-test; a Total SDB cohort vs. comparison cohort; † New Taiwan Dollar (NTD), 1 NTD is equal to 0.03 USD; ‡ The urbanization level was divided based on the population density of the residential area into four levels, wherein level 1 was the most urbanized and level 4 was the least urbanized; & Other occupation categories included those who were primarily retired, unemployed, and from low-income populations.

respectively. Patients <50 years of age in the SDB, SBN, and SBI cohorts had a specifically higher risk of SA when compared with the comparison cohort (aHR = 8.18, 95% CI = 6.09–11.0; aHR = 6.66, 95% CI = 4.93–9.00; aHR = 16.6, 95% CI = 12.0–22.9, respectively). Regarding sex stratification, the SBI cohort specifically had a higher risk of SA compared with the comparison cohort (aHR = 7.18, 95% CI = 5.45–9.46 for men; aHR = 9.96, 95% CI = 7.44–13.3 for women). Patients with SBI and monthly income below NTD15,000 and with NTD15,000–19,999 also specifically had a higher risk of SA (aHR = 8.76, 95% CI = 6.14–12.5, aHR = 8.81, 95% CI = 6.70–11.6, respectively), compared with comparisons. Compared with the comparison cohort, the SDB cohort generally had a higher risk of SA across different urbanization levels, occupations, and with or without comorbidities. However, patients with SBI specifically had a higher risk of SA irrespective of the urbanization level (aHR = 10.3, 9.76, 8.82, and 6.61 for levels 1 to 4) or occupation categories (aHR = 10.5, 7.42, and 6.84 for office worker, laborer, and others, respectively) (Table 2).

Table 3 presents the incidence and HR of SA between the SBN and SBI cohorts. Compared with patients with SBN, a significantly higher risk of SA was observed in patients with SBI (aHR = 2.22), especially in those aged under 50 years (aHR = 2.48). Generally, patients with SBI who were in all difference
| Occupation category | Comparison | SDB | SBN | SBI |
|---------------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|
|                     | N = 284,126 | 142,063 | 118,067 | 23,996 |
| Event (N)          | Rate (%)  | Event (N) | Rate (%)  | Event (N) | Rate (%)  |
| All                | 215       | 1.65 | 870 | 14.8 | 4.75 (4.02, 5.62)*** | 535 | 11.1 | 4.09 (3.44, 4.86)*** |
| Age, years         |           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| ≤49                | 58        | 1.11 | 497 | 19.9 | 8.18 (6.09, 11.0)*** | 264 | 13.2 | 6.66 (4.93, 9.00)*** |
| 50–64              | 43        | 1.27 | 174 | 11.3 | 4.68 (3.21, 6.82)*** | 120 | 9.34 | 4.27 (2.91, 6.27)*** |
| ≥65                | 114       | 2.58 | 199 | 10.7 | 2.84 (2.17, 3.71)*** | 151 | 9.78 | 2.69 (2.04, 3.55)*** |
| P for interaction  | < 0.001   | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Sex                |           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Female             | 94        | 1.59 | 447 | 16.3 | 5.52 (4.31, 7.08)*** | 294 | 12.8 | 4.76 (3.69, 6.14)*** |
| Male               | 121       | 1.70 | 423 | 13.4 | 4.11 (3.27, 5.17)*** | 241 | 9.48 | 3.53 (2.79, 4.48)*** |
| P for interaction  | 0.08      | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.35 |
| Monthly income     |           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| <15,000            | 68        | 1.96 | 268 | 16.1 | 4.63 (3.43, 6.26)*** | 160 | 11.9 | 3.92 (2.87, 5.35)*** |
| 15,000–19,999      | 106       | 1.79 | 496 | 17.1 | 5.30 (4.20, 6.69)*** | 308 | 13.3 | 4.62 (3.64, 5.87)*** |
| ≥20,000            | 41        | 1.13 | 106 | 7.90 | 3.13 (2.05, 4.79)*** | 67 | 5.70 | 2.75 (1.77, 4.26)*** |
| P for interaction  | 0.94      | 0.18 | 0.94 | 0.18 | 0.94 | 0.18 | 0.94 | 0.18 |
| Urbanization level |           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 1 (highest)        | 34        | 0.90 | 141 | 10.4 | 5.52 (3.83, 8.38)*** | 98 | 8.23 | 4.97 (3.24, 7.60)*** |
| 2                  | 62        | 1.60 | 272 | 15.3 | 5.65 (4.15, 7.69)*** | 174 | 12.0 | 4.96 (3.63, 6.62)*** |
| 3                  | 33        | 1.51 | 140 | 14.1 | 4.52 (2.95, 6.92)*** | 81 | 9.98 | 3.76 (2.42, 5.85)*** |
| 4 (lowest)         | 86        | 2.68 | 317 | 17.9 | 3.79 (2.89, 4.96)*** | 182 | 13.3 | 3.16 (2.38, 4.18)*** |
| P for interaction  | 0.008     | < 0.001 | 0.008 | < 0.001 | 0.008 | < 0.001 | 0.008 | < 0.001 |
| Occupation category|           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Office worker      | 77        | 1.16 | 319 | 12.4 | 5.77 (4.38, 7.61)*** | 211 | 9.58 | 5.12 (3.86, 6.79)*** |
| Laborer            | 99        | 2.01 | 402 | 16.2 | 4.57 (3.41, 5.60)*** | 242 | 12.3 | 3.72 (2.88, 4.81)*** |
| Other              | 39        | 2.64 | 149 | 17.8 | 3.57 (2.38, 5.35)*** | 82 | 28.7 | 2.92 (1.91, 4.44)*** |
| P for interaction  | 0.02      | 0.006 | 0.02 | 0.006 | 0.02 | 0.006 | 0.02 | 0.006 |
| Comorbidity        |           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| None               | 117       | 1.08 | 149 | 7.16 | 6.59 (5.13, 8.46)*** | 105 | 5.79 | 5.41 (4.13, 7.09)*** |
| With any one       | 98        | 4.46 | 721 | 18.9 | 2.97 (2.39, 3.69)*** | 430 | 14.3 | 2.37 (1.89, 2.96)*** |
| P for interaction  | 0.009     | < 0.001 | 0.009 | < 0.001 | 0.009 | < 0.001 | 0.009 | < 0.001 |

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; PY, person-years; †Incidence rate per 10,000 person-years; ‡Multivariable analysis included age, monthly income, urbanization level, and comorbidity of schizophrenia, alcohol-related illness, anxiety, mental disorders, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, coronary artery disease, stroke, and cirrhosis; ††New Taiwan Dollar (NTD), 1 NTD is equal to 0.03 USD; †‡The urbanization level was divided based on the population density of the residential area into four levels, wherein level 1 was the most urbanized and level 4 was the least urbanized; †§Other occupation categories included those who were primarily retired, unemployed, and from low-income populations; †‖Individuals with schizophrenia, depression, alcohol-related illness, anxiety, mental disorders, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, coronary artery disease, stroke, and cirrhosis were classified into the comorbidity group; **p < 0.001.

stratified had a significantly higher risk of SA when compared with patients with SBN (Table 3).

Table 4 demonstrates the overall incidence of SDO in the comparison cohort and the SDB, SBN, and SBI cohorts. Of 142,063 patients with SDB, 1,121 patients with SBN and 585 patients with SBI were diagnosed with SDO, with a total incidence of 1.20%. By contrast, 596 patients had SDO among the 284,126 individuals in the comparison cohort, with an incidence of 0.21%. Compared with the comparison cohort, the SDB cohort (aHR = 3.38, 95% CI = 3.04–3.76), SBN cohort (aHR = 2.99, 95% CI = 2.68–3.34), and SBI cohort (aHR = 5.36, 95% CI = 4.69–6.12) had a significantly higher risk of SDO after adjusting for confounders. Upon comparing the SBI and SBN cohorts, the SBI cohort had a significantly higher risk of SDO, with aHR of 1.81 (95% CI = 1.63–2.02) (Table 4).

Table 5 presents the incidence and HRs of SDO with drug or medicinal substance, SDO with benzodiazepine-based tranquilizers, or SDO with unknown others for the SDB, SBN, and SBI cohorts. Among the categories of SDO, drug or medicinal substance was the method of choice for 293 patients—57 among 284,126 individuals of the comparison cohort, 137 among 118,067 patients in the SBN cohort, and 99 among 23,996
TABLE 3 | Comparison of incidence and hazard ratio for suicide attempt stratified by age, sex, and comorbidities between patients with SDB with and without head trauma.

| Variable                  | SBN (N = 118,067) | SBI (N = 23,996) |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
|                           | Adjusted HR* (95% CI) | Adjusted HR* (95% CI) |
| Age, years                |                   |                  |
| ≤49                       | 1.00              | 2.22 (1.92, 2.56)**** |
| 50–64                     | 1.00              | 2.48 (2.06, 3.00)**** |
| >65                       | 1.00              | 1.83 (1.31, 2.56)**** |
| P for interaction         | <0.001            |                  |
| Sex                       |                   |                  |
| Female                    | 1.00              | 2.10 (1.72, 2.58)**** |
| Male                      | 1.00              | 2.06 (1.68, 2.54)**** |
| P for interaction         | 0.26              |                  |
| Monthly income            |                   |                  |
| <15,000                   | 1.00              | 2.29 (1.76, 2.96)**** |
| 15,000–19,999             | 1.00              | 1.90 (1.57, 2.30)**** |
| ≥20,000                   | 1.00              | 2.47 (1.61, 3.79)**** |
| P for interaction         | 0.20              |                  |
| Urbanization level        |                   |                  |
| 1 (highest)               | 1.00              | 2.10 (1.44, 3.07)**** |
| 2                         | 1.00              | 1.98 (1.52, 2.57)**** |
| 3                         | 1.00              | 2.35 (1.64, 3.35)**** |
| 4 (lowest)                | 1.00              | 2.06 (1.63, 2.60)**** |
| P for interaction         | 0.42              |                  |
| Occupation category       |                   |                  |
| Office worker             | 1.00              | 2.09 (1.63, 2.67)**** |
| Laborer                   | 1.00              | 1.97 (1.60, 2.43)**** |
| Other                     | 1.00              | 2.39 (1.70, 3.37)**** |
| P for interaction         | 0.79              |                  |
| Comorbidity               |                   |                  |
| None                      | 1.00              | 2.63 (1.84, 3.77)**** |
| With any one              | 1.00              | 2.25 (1.94, 2.63)**** |
| P for interaction         | 0.50              |                  |

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; *Multivariable analysis included age, monthly income, urbanization level, and comorbidities of schizophrenia, alcohol-related illness, anxiety, mental disorders, insomnia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, coronary artery disease, stroke, and cirrhosis. **p < 0.001.

patients in the SBI cohort. The SDB, SBN, and SBI cohorts had higher risks of drug or medicinal substance overdose than the comparison cohort (aHR = 4.16, 95% CI = 3.00–5.78; aHR = 3.45, 95% CI = 2.46–4.83; aHR = 8.14, 95% CI = 5.56–11.9, respectively). Of the 520 patients who used benzodiazepine-based tranquillizers, 111 were from the comparison cohort, 288 were from the SBN cohort, and 121 were from the SBI cohort, with aHRs of 4.75 (95% CI = 3.75–6.01), 4.42 (95% CI = 3.48–5.62), and 6.55 (95% CI = 4.87–8.80) in SDB, SBN, and SBI cohorts, respectively. Further, the SBI cohort did exhibit a significantly increased risk of SDO with drug or medicinal substance and benzodiazepine-based tranquilizers when compared with the SBN cohort (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

Despite recognizing the importance of reducing suicidal deaths worldwide, several developed countries have not yet invested sufficient resources in research and prevention of SA (3). Notably, there was dissociation between knowing suicidal ideation and attempt in patients with emotional or physical distress to prevent their SA efficiently (14). We observed that in the comparison cohort and the cohort of SDB without head trauma, the respective incidence of SA was 1.11 and 13.2 per 10,000 person-years, 1.27 and 9.43 per 10,000 person-years, and 2.58 and 9.78 per 10,000 person-years for individuals <50 years old, 50–64 years old, and ≥65 years old, respectively. Contrary to the general belief that older people with more somatic disorders would develop a higher risk of SA, patients younger than 50 years with SDB were observed to have a much higher risk of SA in this study. Moreover, no apparent different risks for SA were observed between male and female patients in this study.

SDO or self-intoxication is currently the frequently chosen suicidal method in Europe. Approximately 71% of female registered SAs are because of SDO, whereas 50% of male registered SAs are SDO (3). Fortunately, in Europe, more than 95% of people who attempt SDO would eventually survive (2). Although SDO is a non-violent suicidal method with a low mortality risk than the suicides through violent means, SA with SDO should be effectively prevented before a patient even attempts it, especially in Asian countries (15, 16). This
TABLE 5 | Incidence of different ways of suicidal drug overdose (per 10,000 person-years) and hazard ratios estimated through the Cox method in patients with SDB with or without head trauma.

| Variable | Comparison | SDB | SBN | SBI |
|----------|------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Event, n | 57         | 236 | 137 | 99  |
| Rate     | 0.44       | 4.02| 2.84| 9.34|
| Crude HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 9.06 (6.79, 12.1)*** | 6.38 (4.68, 8.69)*** | 21.5 (15.6, 29.8)*** |
| Adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 4.16 (3.00, 5.78)*** | 3.45 (2.46, 4.83)*** | 8.14 (5.56, 11.9)*** |
| Crude HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 3.38 (2.61, 4.38)*** | 2.39 (1.82, 3.14)*** |
| Adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 2.92 (2.57, 3.33)*** | 2.57 (2.25, 2.94)*** | 4.64 (3.94, 5.46)*** |

Poisoning by unspecified drug or medicinal substance

| Event, n | 111        | 409 | 288 | 121 |
| Rate     | 0.85       | 6.96| 5.98| 11.4|
| Crude HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 8.07 (6.55, 9.96)*** | 6.90 (5.54, 8.59)*** | 13.5 (10.4, 17.5)*** |
| Adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 4.75 (3.75, 6.01)*** | 4.42 (3.48, 5.62)*** | 6.55 (4.87, 8.80)*** |
| Crude HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1.96 (1.58, 4.22)*** | 1.48 (1.19, 1.86)*** |
| Adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1.83 (1.60, 2.09)*** |  |

Poisoning by benzodiazepine-based tranquilizers

| Event, n | 428        | 1061| 696 | 365 |
| Rate     | 3.28       | 18.1| 14.5| 34.4|
| Crude HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 5.44 (4.86, 6.08)*** | 4.32 (3.83, 4.88)*** | 10.6 (9.19, 12.2)*** |
| Adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 2.92 (2.57, 3.33)*** | 2.57 (2.25, 2.94)*** | 4.64 (3.94, 5.46)*** |
| Crude HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 2.48 (2.18, 2.81)*** |  |
| Adjusted HR (95% CI) | 1 (Reference) | 1 (Reference) | 1.83 (1.60, 2.09)*** |  |

Nonetheless, the actual mechanisms of the effects of SDB and admission for head trauma on suicidality and how these mechanisms together increase the risks of SA and SDO warrant further investigational studies. Considering the neuro-psychological pathology of psychotrauma and TBI, there were biological evidences that brain insult could increase the neuro-inflammation reactions involving the blood–brain barrier, glutamate regulation, microglia activation, and autoimmune response (18, 19), which can affect patients’ physical endurance, sleep, chronic cognition, and associated psychosocial sequelae through the dysregulation of cortical reactivity (20–22). These pathologies would lead to a pre- and post-TBI gap in re-engaging patients’ desired lives after head trauma, thereby contributing to suicidality. However, the relationship between SDB and suicidality is a topic of debate as per current studies. Oxidative stress because of intermittent hypoxemia of SDB (23) and decreased serotonin synthesis owing to the effects of hypoxemia on tryptophan hydroxylase can probably cause suicidality (24). To the best of our knowledge, only one study specifically examined the relationship between SDB, trauma, and suicidality (25). This study revealed a notably bidirectional relationship between SDB severity in posttraumatic patients and their suicidal ideation, but without any information regarding SA in patients.
Our study has documented that the risks of subsequent SA and SDO are proportionally increased by the effects of head trauma with a moderating role of SDB. The study is the first to analyze SA and SDO associated with SDB and head trauma. However, there were several limitations in this study. First of all, this was a retrospective study using the NHI inpatient data related to head trauma and SDB. We identified SA, SDO, head trauma, and SDB based on the inpatient records of the ICD-9-CM coding system. The accuracy of NHI claims data is assured by the severe penalty if fraud and false claiming is found. However, a rare chance of minor SA, SDO, and head trauma in patients with SDB not being enrolled for exists, which can result in little underestimation of the risks of SA and SDO. Second, we could not directly contact patients to understand the details of their disease severity of SDB and head trauma, their suicidal ideation, or treatment for their disorders because patients’ identities were anonymized in the NHIRD. These details could be confounding to the individual severity of personal stress and suicidality. Finally, even though the study design controlled numerous confounders as we have already known, some unknown confounders may not be measured. However, this large-scale, unselective study has helped us to understand more about the risks and correlated risk factors for SA and SDO in patients with SDB with and without head trauma, thereby helping to build a more effective prevention system in the future.

CONCLUSIONS

The risks of subsequent SA and SDO are proportionally increased by the effects of head trauma with a moderating role of SDB, especially in those aged <50 years. SDB and head trauma would raise SA and SDO individually and synergistically. Furthermore, our findings provide crucial information that long-term medical substances or tranquilizers in patients with SDB and head trauma should be prescribed with prudence in the future.
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