Oriented external electric fields (OEEFs) offer a unique chance to tune catalytic selectivity by orienting the alignment of the electric field along the axis of the activated bond for a specific chemical reaction; however, they remain a key experimental challenge. Here, we experimentally and theoretically investigated the OEEF-induced selective catalysis in a two-step cascade reaction of the Diels-Alder addition followed by an aromatization process. Characterized by the mechanically controllable break junction (MCBJ) technique in the nanogap and confirmed by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) in bottles, OEEFs are found to selectively catalyze the aromatization reaction by one order of magnitude owing to the alignment of the electric field on the reaction axis. Meanwhile, the Diels-Alder reaction remained unchanged since its reaction axis is orthogonal to the electric fields. This orientation-selective catalytic effect of OEEFs reveals that chemical reactions can be selectively manipulated through the elegant alignment between the electric fields and the reaction axis.

INTRODUCTION
Monitoring and controlling reactivity and selectivity of chemical reactions at the single-molecule scale are a long-standing goal and a challenge in chemistry (1–3). Recently, oriented external electric fields (OEEFs) have been found to provide such an efficient control (4–7) by reorganizing the electron distribution of molecules when subject to electric fields and in stabilizing them into charge-separating resonance forms, thus electrostatically catalyzing the chemical reactions. OEEFs have been theoretically investigated (4, 8–13) and experimentally proved to facilitate the Diels-Alder addition (14), bond cleavage of alkoxamine (15) and acetyl group (16), spin crossover molecular switch (17), carbon nanotube growth (18), and the activation of C1 compounds such as methane (19) or carbon dioxide (20). Nevertheless, the question of how to orient the direction of electric fields to align the energy on the chemical bond and reaction axis, achieving activation of a specific chemical reaction (4) and even enantioselectivity and stereoselectivity (6, 13), currently remains as theoretical predictions.

To explore the role of OEEFs in selective electrostatic catalysis, two major challenges remain: one is the applied highly oriented and intensive electric fields to target molecules and the other is the characterization technique to investigate the reactions at the single-molecule level. For the first challenge, the electric field needs to be high enough (107 to 108 V/m) to trigger the charge separation with a large dipole moment (5). What is more, flipping the polarization of the field leads to the acceleration or inhibition of chemical reactions (14), even different species of product emerge (8, 9, 18). Further theoretical predictions also suggested that enantioselectivity and stereoselectivity can be achieved by applying an electric field in different directions (6, 13), thus enabling the synthesis of pure enantiomers without further purification. For the second challenge, single-molecule electronics adopt nanoelectrodes to capture and investigate charge transport properties at the single-molecule scale (21–23), providing opportunities to apply an intense electric field with almost the same scale mentioned above on a single molecule. Recent advances in scanning tunneling microscope break junction (STM-BJ) (14, 24–26) and mechanically controllable break junction (MCBJ) (27–30) methods demonstrated the detections of the reactant and product during the chemical reactions (29, 31). Moreover, even the kinetics of chemical reactions at the single-molecule scale could be quantitatively extracted (29, 32–34). Therefore, by controlling the relative orientation between electric fields and the reaction axis of individual molecules bridged within the nanogaps, the selective reaction acceleration of OEEFs could be investigated.

Here, we investigate the selective electrostatic catalysis of OEEFs in different processes of a cascade reaction by tuning the relative orientation between OEEFs and the reaction axis at the single-molecule scale using the MCBJ method. A two-step cascade reaction at room temperature was selected, where compound a [3,6-di(4-pyridyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine] undergoes an inverse electron demand Diels-Alder (iEDDA) reaction with dihydrofuran to form b (35–37), and a subsequent aromatization reaction occurs to form c (Fig. 1A and left column of Fig. 1B) (38–40). The reaction axis of the Diels-Alder reaction (a→b) is orthogonal to the direction of OEEFs, while the subsequent aromatization process (b→c) presents a nonorthogonal configuration between the reaction axis and OEEFs (Fig. 1A, top panel), which offers a test bed for the evaluation of the electric field–induced selective catalysis of chemical reactions. The reaction kinetics of this cascade reaction are determined from simultaneous single-molecule conductance monitoring using the MCBJ technique and parallel nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements, and the role of OEEFs in the electric field–induced catalysis is further revealed by combined density functional theory (DFT) calculations.
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RESULTS

Reaction investigation using single-molecule conductance measurement and NMR

To better investigate the reaction kinetics, after selection of the appropriate solvent and concentration, we carried out the MCBJ experiment (Fig. 1A) in a mixed solvent of dichloromethane (DCM) and mesitylene (TMB) (1:4, v/v). From a solution with 1 mM concentration of a, a prominent peak at $10^{-4.45} G_0$ (where $G_0$ is the conductance quantum, which equals to $2e^2/h$) was observed in the conductance histogram (Fig. 1B, top right) constructed from 3000 individual conductance-distance traces (for typical traces presenting a clear plateau, see the inset). Then, 1 M 2,3-dihydrofuran solution was added to the solution of a to form compound b. The corresponding conductance histogram (Fig. 1B, middle right) of b, which was also constructed from 3000 individual conductance-distance traces (see typical traces in the inset), suggesting that the conductance of b ($10^{-3.91} G_0$) was distinguishably higher than that of a. Then, another peak occurred at a lower conductance (180 min in Fig. 1D), suggesting the isomerization of compound b to aromatic product c, and the peak of c was dominant and centered at $10^{-4.72} G_0$ (280 min in Fig. 1D, which can be confirmed in the bottom right panel of Fig. 1B through the measurement of pure compound c). This trend in conductance, $b > a > c$, is in analogy to previous experimental and theoretical results, where the molecular structure with the least aromaticity mostly prefers the formation of a quinoidal structure with the smallest stabilization energy ($23, 41–43$) to better couple the gold electrode.
and the central cyclic unit, thus causing the highest conductance. This trend also agrees with the charge transport calculation (see the “Structure-conductivity relationships” section in the Supplementary Materials). Additional two-dimensional (2D) conductance histograms (fig. S5, H and I) demonstrated that all the molecular structures during the whole reaction process show almost the same plateau length distributions, suggesting that the reaction only took place on the central ring, while the molecular backbone remained rigid, indicating that the conductance changes originate from the structural changes in the central core resulting from the chemical reaction.

To compare this process in single-molecule junctions and in the NMR tube, we performed in situ 1H NMR characterization in parallel under the same conditions (for better comparison, deuterated DCM was used in both conductance and NMR measurements). The NMR spectra shown in Fig. 1C (fig. S3A) indicate that this iEDDA reaction took place at room temperature to produce compound b (36, 38) on the same time scale as the reaction during the conductance measurements, which is also confirmed by the synchronous ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) adsorption spectra (fig. S2). The complete conversion to compound c, however, took more than 26 hours, which was much slower than the reaction in single-molecule junctions. Subsequently, more detailed spectroscopic characterizations of the final product were carried out and confirmed the generation of compound c (see fig. S1 and the “Characterization data” section in the Supplementary Materials) (38, 44). In Fig. 1D, which shows the single-molecule conductance evolution observed on the same time scale as the NMR measurements, each histogram was constructed from approximately 300 traces recorded every 5 min (fig. S6E). In agreement with the NMR results, we observed that the conductance peak separation during the conversion from a to b occurred on the same time scale, and both techniques suggested that it took approximately 110 min to complete the Diels-Alder addition. However, the conversion from b to c revealed by conductance measurements was complete at 280 min, which was notably faster than that characterized from NMR analysis, suggesting that the aromatization reaction was selectively accelerated under the single-molecule conductance measurement conditions.

**Reaction kinetics of the two-step cascade reaction**

To quantitatively determine the reaction kinetics under both conditions, the proportion of each component at each time scale was calculated through peak area integration of the conductance histograms (see Fig. 1D and the “In situ single-molecule conductance monitoring during the reaction” section in the Supplementary Materials) and NMR spectra (see Fig. 1C and the “Kinetic information extracted from the in situ 1H NMR spectra” section in the Supplementary Materials), as summarized in Fig. 2 (A and B) (solid circles for conductance and hollow circles for NMR) (29, 32, 33). Therefore, the reaction rates of this process for both measurements were fitted (in Fig. 2, A and B, the solid line denotes the conductance measurement and the dashed line denotes the NMR measurement). During the first stage, the reaction rate constant k in the conductance measurement is $3.59 \times 10^{-2}$ mM min$^{-1}$, which is comparable to the $k'$ value obtained from the NMR measurement (see Fig. 2A and the “Reaction kinetics of conductance measurements under different bias voltages” section in the Supplementary Materials). During the second stage, the fitted $k'$ value of 6.31 $\times 10^{-3}$ mM min$^{-1}$ in the conductance measurement is approximately 10-fold larger than the $k'$ value of 6.92 $\times 10^{-4}$ mM min$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 2B and the “Reaction kinetics of conductance measurements under different bias voltages” section in the Supplementary Materials). Therefore, the extracted quantitative reaction kinetics demonstrate a significant selective reaction acceleration effect of OEEFs on the aromatization.

To further confirm the selective catalytic effect of OEEFs, we carried out conductance measurements under different bias voltages to reveal the magnitude of the field intensity dependence of the reaction. When the bias voltage was set to 10, 30, 50, or 200 mV, the Diels-Alder reaction still proceeded completely within 110 min (see the “Reaction kinetics of conductance measurements under different bias voltages” section in the Supplementary Materials). In contrast, as the bias voltage increased, the subsequent aromatization was significantly accelerated, as presented in Fig. 2C and summarized in Fig. 2D, increasing $k_{10}$ to $0.71 \times 10^{-3}$ mM min$^{-1}$, $k_{30}$ to $5.11 \times 10^{-3}$ mM min$^{-1}$, $k_{50}$ to $5.65 \times 10^{-3}$ mM min$^{-1}$, $k_{100}$ to $6.31 \times 10^{-3}$ mM min$^{-1}$, and $k_{200}$ to $7.22 \times 10^{-3}$ mM min$^{-1}$. These results indicate a field intensity dependence of the reaction rate, which strongly suggests that the increased electric field selectively facilitates the aromatization reaction instead of the Diels-Alder addition.

**Catalytic selectivity mechanisms through the external electric fields**

DFT calculations were carried out to probe the role of OEEFs in the reaction selectivity. In the first process of Diels-Alder reaction, the reaction barrier changes little with the applied OEEFs (see the blue curve in Fig. 3A and fig. S9, A and B), which is consistent with the orthogonal orientation between the reaction axis of the Diels-Alder...
process and the direction of OEEFs. This finding highlights the importance of the relative orientation between the reaction axis and OEEFs, in which a parallel arrangement shows the catalytic activity of OEEFs (6, 14).

To understand the significantly accelerated aromatization process from b to c, we evaluated several reaction pathways. We found that the involvement of water molecules is the most favorable, while other mechanisms without water molecules are difficult to continue. This may be attributed to that when proton transfer is mediated by water molecules acting as a bridge of proton relay, the space distance of the proton directly shifting to the next site is shortened and the strain in the transition state (TS) is reduced, resulting in a lower reaction barrier in the electric field catalysis (see the “Calculation of reaction pathways” section in the Supplementary Materials). This mechanism was also confirmed by control experiments involving acid or base, both of which inhibited aromatization (fig. S4), indicating that the trace amounts of water present in the solvent play an important role in the aromatization process. The most energetically favorable route for the transformation from b to c goes through two intermediates (see Fig. 3B and the “Calculation of reaction pathways” section in the Supplementary Materials), and the water-assisted 1,3-hydrogen migration (45) shows much stronger sensitivity with the presence of an oriented electric field (see the reaction barriers in red relative to the ones without OEEFs in black in Fig. 3B). A decrease of ~2.8 kcal/mol in the barrier (28.57 kcal/mol at $F_z = 0$ V/nm and 25.77 kcal/mol at $F_z = 2.57$ V/nm) from the theoretical simulation is quantitatively consistent with the experimentally evaluated data (~1.3 kcal/mol) derived from the rate constant difference in the $b \rightarrow c$ reaction ($k$ of $6.92 \times 10^{-4}$ mM min$^{-1}$ from the NMR measurement and $k$ of $6.31 \times 10^{-3}$ mM min$^{-1}$ from the conductance measurement) according to the Arrhenius equation (see the “Calculation of reaction barrier difference from experimental data” section in the Supplementary Materials).
DISCUSSION

To conclude, we investigated the role of OEEFs in the selective electrostatic catalysis in different processes of a cascade tetrazine Diels–Alder addition and the subsequent aromatization. By comparing the chemical kinetics characterized using in situ NMR in the bulk phase and charge transport investigations at the single-molecule scale, the aromatization process was found to be nonorthogonal to OEEFs in single-molecule junctions. This rate was nearly an order of magnitude faster than in the bulk phase. However, the reaction kinetics of the Diels–Alder reaction, which was orthogonal to OEEFs, were confirmed to be similar in both techniques. This selective catalytic effect is verified through theoretical simulation, which shows that the applied external electric field, when oriented favorably against the reaction coordinate, can stabilize the TS and reduce the reaction barrier of the aromatization process. Our results demonstrate that an external electric field could offer a new opportunity to tune the reaction rates and selectivity of chemical reactions for efficient chemical synthesis and even green chemistry in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Synthesis and NMR
All the chemicals, reagents, and solvents from commercial sources were used as received without further purification unless otherwise noted. The synthesis of product c is provided in the “Materials and synthetic methods” section of the Supplementary Materials. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker AVIII-500 spectrometer (500 and 125 MHz, respectively) or a Bruker AVIII-850 spectrometer at 298 K (850 and 213 MHz, respectively). The spectra were referenced to residual proton-solvent references (1H: CD2Cl2, 5.32 ppm; 13C: CD2Cl2, 53.84 ppm). High-resolution mass spectra were recorded with a Bruker En Apex Ultra 7.0T FT-MS mass spectrometer. Synthesis and NMR characterization are provided in fig. S1.

Conductance measurements
The single-molecule conductance measurements were performed using the MCBJ technique with a homebuilt MCBJ setup at room temperature, as described in previous reports (29, 31). For further details, see the “Conductance measurements by MCBJ technique” section in the Supplementary Materials. To carry out the conductance measurement, the solution contained 1 mM target molecules in the mixture solvent of deuterated DCM/TMB (1:4, v/v). A blank experiment of solvent without the target molecule is presented in fig. S5 (C to E).

Reaction kinetics from conductance and NMR measurements
The in situ electrical and NMR characterizations proceeded at the same time scale during the reaction. In addition, using the same concentration of the reagents in the same DCM/TMB solution, the mixed solution was separated into two parts: one for 1H NMR characterizations and the other for conductance monitoring (both of these measurements started after the reaction occurred). The single-molecule conductance evolution was observed as the reaction time increased, and each histogram was constructed with about 300 traces recorded from each set of data. The 1D conductance histograms were fitted by Gaussian function with peak differentiation, and the corresponding peak areas were integrated to determine the proportions of each specific molecular species. Thus, we could determine the proportion of the products at each time scale for further reaction rate evaluation. For further details, see the “In situ single-molecule conductance monitoring during the reaction” section in the Supplementary Materials. For the NMR spectroscopies characterized at each time scale, the concentration ratio of product/reactant can also be derived from the area integration of the H peaks of each species. For further details, see the “Kinetic information extracted from the in situ 1H NMR spectra” section in the Supplementary Materials.

DFT calculation
The molecular geometries and TSs were optimized by the Gaussian 09 program suite based on the DFT. The equilibrium geometries, including reactants, TSs, intermediates, and products, were optimized at the hybrid meta exchange-correlation M06-2X functional with 6-31+G(d) basis set. The vibrational frequencies were calculated at the same level to identify the stationary points and TSs with zero and one imaginary vibrational mode, respectively. TSs were also verified by both examination of the normal mode and the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations. To explore the influence of OEEFs on the activation barrier, various field strengths (Ez) from E0 = −0.005 to E0 = +0.005 a.u. (about ±2.57 V/nm) with 0.001 a.u. increments were applied. The static electric field was set along the z reaction axis, where z is oriented along the N—N bond of the reactants. For further details, see the “Computational setup” section in the Supplementary Materials. The theoretical transport properties were obtained by first-principles calculations (Atomistix ToolKit software package, ATK2013) using the combination of the DFT treatment for the electronic structure and the nonequilibrium Green’s function (NEGF) formalism in simulation of coherent transport. A double-ζ plus polarization (DZP) basis set with a local density approximation was used for all atoms in the simulated device. All calculations used the polarizable continuum implicit solvation model (PCM) to account for the solvation effect of DCM/TMB mixed solution with a dielectric constant ε = 3.598. Further details are provided in the “Structure-conductivity relationships” section in the Supplementary Materials.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/5/6/eaaw3072/DC1

Section S1. Supplementary experimental methods
Section S2. Single-molecule charge transport by MCBJ technique
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