Interference dissociation in the presence of dual atrioventricular nodal physiology
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Introduction

Dual atrioventricular nodal physiology (DAVNP) is present in 10% to 35% of the general population and is known to regress with aging.1 It usually manifests as a critical AH jump on electrophysiologic testing or on surface electrocardiography as a change in PR interval with subsequent tachycardia. Preferential choice of pathway engagement (slow vs fast) is dependent upon refractory periods of the pathways and conduction velocity. We present a rare manifestation of DAVNP on surface electrocardiography with alternating engagement and disengagement of the 2 pathways masquerading as AV dissociation.

Case report

A 60-year-old woman with no significant past medical history, in her usual state of health, presented to her ophthalmologist’s office for a scheduled procedure of cataract surgery. Prior to the procedure an electrocardiogram (ECG) (Figure 1) was performed, owing to an irregular pulse. The ECG was interpreted as abnormal by her physician and subsequently led to deferral of her procedure. She was referred to our hospital, where she was admitted for further assessment of her abnormal ECG. The patient denied any previous symptoms of palpitations, syncopal episodes, chest pain, or lightheadedness. She does not take any medications and her physical examination including her vital signs were all within normal limits.

Figure 1 demonstrates her presenting ECG at her ophthalmologist’s office upon the ophthalmologist’s noticing her pulse to be “irregular.” Upon admission, alternating or “grouped beating” and intervals of progressive PR shortening were noted on telemetry. There was absence of symptom rhythm correlation. Transthoracic echocardiography demonstrated absence of structural heart disease and normal left ventricular ejection fraction. Exercise treadmill testing demonstrated shortening of her PR interval, chronotropic competence, and achievement of her maximum predicted heart rate.

Upon return to her room a continuous rhythm strip was performed (Figure 2), which again illustrated progressive PR interval shortening, as previously seen on telemetry. Close inspection of a repeat ECG (Figure 3) demonstrates abrupt shortening and lengthening of the PR interval (“PR alternans”) with engagement and disengagement of the slow and/or fast pathway. Her QRS complex was narrow, suggesting brisk infranodal conduction. Her ECG normalized prior to discharge with 1:1 conduction down the fast pathway. Given that she was asymptomatic, she was followed as an outpatient.

Discussion

Dual AV nodal physiology indicates the presence of 2 distinct electrophysiologic pathways with different conduction velocities and refractory periods. The shorter PR interval represents conduction over the fast pathway and the longer PR interval represents conduction over the slow pathway. The shift in conduction from fast to slow pathway can occur spontaneously or can be provoked or terminated by an atrial premature complex, atrial tachycardia, interpolated junctional premature complexes, or a ventricular premature complex. Findings compatible with simultaneous conduction along 2 pathways in response to a ventricular premature complex were noted in our patient’s ECG (Figure 3), indicating presence of underlying dual AV nodal physiology.

Dual AV nodal physiology can manifest itself as normal sinus rhythm, spontaneous shortening or lengthening of the PR interval persisting for varying periods of time, PR interval alternans,2–7 PR interval alternans with Wenckebach sequence of the slowly and rapidly conducting pathways, and conduction along both pathways in response to a single sinus impulse.8–12 In the presence of sinus rhythm the presenting ECG (Figure 1) and continuous rhythm strip (Figure 2) illustrate an unusual presentation masquerading as dual AV nodal physiology. These 2 ECGs show interference dissociation with progressive PR interval shortening in parallel with the influence of autonomic activity accelerating the heart rate. This causes the P waves to approach the QRS and allows a ventricular capture, which establishes restoration of normal sinus rhythm.
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Concealed conduction is a phenomenon that describes partial penetration of an impulse into a given tissue (e.g., the AV node) but can only be inferred by the behavior of the subsequent impulse that conducts through the same tissue.\(^\text{13}\)

**Conclusion**

This case illustrates a rare manifestation of DAVNP on surface electrocardiography. Perhaps increased familiarity with the 12-lead ECG manifestations of this physiologic
Figure 2  Continuous rhythm strip.
phenomenon may alter a differential diagnosis to prevent unnecessary admissions or pacemaker implantation.
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