Structural and Biochemical Studies Reveal a Putative FtsZ Recognition Site on the Z-ring Stabilizer ZapD
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FtsZ, a tubulin homologue, is an essential protein of the Z-ring assembly in bacterial cell division. It consists of two domains, the N-terminal and C-terminal core domains, and has a conserved C-terminal tail region. Lateral interactions between FtsZ protofilaments and several Z-ring associated proteins (Zaps) are necessary for modulating Z-ring formation. ZapD, one of the positive regulators of Z-ring assembly, directly binds to the C-terminal tail of FtsZ and promotes stable Z-ring formation during cytokinesis. To gain structural and functional insights into how ZapD interacts with the C-terminal tail of FtsZ, we solved two crystal structures of ZapD proteins from *Salmonella typhimurium* (StZapD) and *Escherichia coli* (EcZapD) at a 2.6 and 3.1 Å resolution, respectively. Several conserved residues are clustered on the concave sides of the StZapD and EcZapD dimers, the suggested FtsZ binding site. Modeled structures of EcZapD-EcFtsZ and subsequent binding studies using bio-layer interferometry also identified the EcFtsZ binding site on EcZapD. The structural insights and the results of bio-layer interferometry assays suggest that the two FtsZ binding sites of ZapD dimer might be responsible for the binding of ZapD dimer to two protofilaments to hold them together.

INTRODUCTION

In bacterial cell division, cytokinesis is performed by a multi-protein complex called the divisome, which is composed of at least nine proteins (FtsA, FtsI, FtsK, FtsL, FtsN, FtsQ, FtsW, FtsZ, and ZipA) (Margolin, 2000). FtsZ, a tubulin homolog, has a significant role in initiating divisome assembly by forming an organized polymer called the FtsZ ring (Z-ring), which then recruits other division proteins (Pichoff and Lutkenhaus, 2002).

The Z-ring can be mobilized by FtsA or ZipA to the membrane and is located midcell (Adams and Errington, 2009). FtsA interacts with the C-terminal domain of FtsZ, which enhances initial assembly and stability of the Z-ring (Adams and Errington, 2008; Lowe and van den Ent, 2001), while ZipA, a bitopic integral inner membrane protein, interacts with the C-terminal tail of FtsZ and anchors the complex to the membrane (Hale and de Boer, 1997; Ma et al., 1997). After adhering the Z-ring to the membrane, other division proteins, FtsI, FtsK, FtsW, FtsQ, FtsL, and FtsB, form the premature divisome. Then, the divisome matures through the adhesion of several proteins (PBP1B, LpoB, MtA, and EnvC) and binds to peptidoglycans and the outer membrane (Egan and Vollmer, 2013). The complete matured divisome is constricted by bending force and polymerization of FtsZ filaments (Osawa et al., 2009).

FtsZ is highly conserved in most bacteria such as eubacteria and archaea, and is also seen in chloroplasts and some primitive mitochondria (Erickson, 2009). The N-terminal domain of FtsZ is a guanosine triphosphate hydrolase (GTPase), responsible for polymerization through the head-to-tail interaction between the guanosine triphosphate (GTP) binding pocket and the C-terminal T7 loop (de Boer et al., 1992; Egan and Vollmer, 2013). FtsZ polymerization is highly regulated by several proteins, which are classified as positive or negative regulators depending on their effects. Positive regulators of FtsZ are crucial for tethering FtsZ polymers to the membrane and encouraging the formation of stable lateral interactions to assemble a mature Z-ring (Huang et al., 2013). Negative regulators of FtsZ have a critical role in positioning FtsZ at the prospective site of division and for sustaining Z-ring dynamics by regulating the process of FtsZ polymer assembly and disassembly (Huang et al., 2013).

Zaps, which are FtsZ positive regulators, include ZapA, ZapB, ZapC, and ZapD and they are structurally characterized (Ebersbach et al., 2008; Low et al., 2004; Ortiz et al., 2015; Roach et al., 2016). ZapA is well known as a positive regulator of Z-ring formation, which can bind to FtsZ at the globular core directly (Galli and Gerdes, 2012). ZapC also interacts with FtsZ at the globular core and increases Z-ring stability (Huang et al., 2013). Recently, the crystal structure of ZapC from *E. coli* showed that ZapC is a monomer composed of two domains and it binds the FtsZ globular core rather than C-terminal tail (Schumacher et al., 2016). ZapD deletion in cells resulted in no significant change in cell viability, however, decreased viability was observed in the polymerization-defective FtsZ84 (Ts) cells,
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suggesting that ZapD is a positive regulator of FtsZ protofilament formation (Durand-Heredia et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2013). ZapD was shown to directly bind the C-terminal tail region of FtsZ as a positive regulator (Durand-Heredia et al., 2012).

Despite extensive previous studies on the architecture of the Z-ring, the molecular mechanism of how FtsZ protofilaments are assembled to form a mature Z-ring by Zap proteins is still poorly understood. Towards such an understanding, we sought to characterize the structure and function of ZapD to elucidate how ZapD promotes the assembly of FtsZ protofilaments and acts as a positive regulator of Z-ring formation. Here, we report the crystal structures of two ZapD proteins from *S. typhimurium* and *E. coli*, which share 89% amino-acid sequence identity. Several conserved residues are clustered on the concave surface of the EcZapD or StZapD dimer, suggesting that ZapD is a positive regulator of FtsZ protofilaments to hold them together.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cloning and protein preparation**

The *S. typhimurium* ZapD1-247 gene was amplified with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from its genomic DNA. The forward and reverse oligonucleotide primers were 5'-C G ATA TAT G GA TCC G AA A AC C TGA TTT CAG ATG CAT ACC CAG GTC GTA TAT TTT CAG GCC ATG CAC ACC CAG GTC CTA TAT G-3' and 5'-G' CTA ATT CAG GTC CTA ATT CTC GAG TTA GCA ACA GCC GGC TTC AAA AT-3', respectively. The bases in bold represent the BamH1 and XhoI restriction enzyme cleavage sites, and underlined sequences indicate the additional Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease recognition site. The amplified DNA was digested using BamH1 and XhoI and then introduced into BamH1/XhoI digested pRSFduet-1 (Novagen) expression vector.

**Methods for expression and purification of EcZapD protein**

(residues 1-247) are similar to previously published methods (Son and Lee, 2015). The EcZapD WT and mutants (residues 1-247, E8A, E22R, H140R, or R176A) were cloned into a pHis-dest expression vector (Beckman Instruments, USA) pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer. Initially, the column was washed extensively with elution buffer A, after which the protein was eluted with elution buffer B (150 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 15 mM reduced glutathione). Further purification was performed by gel filtration on a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 75 pre-grade column (GE Healthcare), which was equilibrated with buffer A.

**Crystallization, structure determination, and refinement**

The crystal structures of two ZapD proteins from *S. typhimurium* (EcZapD) and *E. coli* (StZapD) were determined by the molecular replacement method using the DUF1342 model (Son and Lee, 2015). The structure of EcZapD was solved by the molecular replacement method using the DUF1342 model from *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (unknown function, PDB ID: 2OEZ) as the probe. Crystals of the StZapD (residues 1-247) were grown at 293 K using the sitting drop method by mixing 1 µl of the StZapD solution at 2 mg/ml in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 200 mM NaCl, and 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) with 1 µl of the reservoir solution consisting of 1.1M sodium malonate, 100 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), and 0.5% (v/v) Jeffamin ED-2001 (pH 7.0). Data sets were collected at 100 K in 1° oscillations at the BL38B1 beamline of SPring-8. Crystals of StZapD dimer were grown to a resolution of 2.6 Å (Table 1), and the diffraction data were processed and scaled using the HKL2000 software package (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). The structure was solved using the molecular replacement method using the DUF1342 model as a probe. The crystal belonged to space group P6 322 with unit cell parameters of a = 84.2 Å, b = 84.2 Å, and c = 150.4 Å. The cross-rotational search followed by a translational search was performed using the PHASER program (McCoy et al., 2007). Subsequent manual model building was performed using the COOT program (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) and restrained refinement was carried out using the REFMAC5 program (Murshudov et al., 1997). Several rounds of model building, simulated annealing, positional refinement, and individual B-factor refinement were performed using the COOT and REFMAC5 programs. As the test data for the calculation of Rfree, 5% of the data were randomly set aside (Brünger, 1992). The coordinates and structure factors for StZapD and EcZapD have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession numbers 5GNP and 5IMJ, respectively.

**Size exclusion chromatography with multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS)**

SEC-MALS experiments for EcZapD and StZapD were performed using a FPLC system (GE Healthcare) connected to a Wyatt MiniDAWN TREOS MALS instrument and a Wyatt Op-
Bio-layer interferometry (BLI) measurement

BLI measurements were carried out to demonstrate binding between EcZapD (WT or mutants) and EcFtsZ (GST-FtsZ367-383, GST-FtsZ372-383, or GST-FtsZ367-379) using a BLItz system (ForteBio, Menlo Park, CA). EcFtsZ proteins (GST-FtsZ367-383, GST-FtsZ372-383, K380D, GST-FtsZ367-383, or GST-FtsZ367-379) were immobilized on an AR2G biosensor chip surface using amine coupling in 20 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.0). The surface was equilibrated with buffer A. To determine whether interactions occur between EcZapD (WT, E22R, and H140R) and EcFtsZ (GST-FtsZ367-383, GST-FtsZ367-383 K380D, GST-FtsZ372-383, or GST-FtsZ367-379), the prepared AR2G biosensor chip was dipped in 35 μM protein solution in running buffer. Associations between EcZapD (WT, E22R, and H140R) and EcFtsZ (GST-FtsZ367-383, GST-FtsZ367-383 K380D, GST-FtsZ372-383, or GST-FtsZ367-379) were measured for 150 s, and dissociations were measured for 150 s.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structure determination of EcZapD and StZapD

We have determined the crystal structures of EcZapD (residues 2-247) and StZapD (1-247) by the molecular replacement method using the DUF1342 model from V. parahaemolyticus (unknown function, PDB ID: 2OEZ) as the probe. To facilitate crystallization, the N-terminus of EcZapD (residue number 1) was truncated. Two monomers of EcZapD belong to the space group P6_5_2, with unit cell parameters of \( a = 109.5 \) Å, and \( c = 106.7 \) Å. The model of EcZapD has been refined to crystallographic R factors and Rfree values of 22.7% and 28.3% for the 35.4-3.1 Å data (Table 1). The refined EcZapD model has one dimer in an asymmetric unit with 492 residues, 5 sulfate ions, and 41 water molecules, respectively. Ramachandran plot analysis for the model showed that 86.3% and 10.2% of the non-glycine residues were in the most favored and allowed regions, and 3.5% of them were in disallowed regions (Table 1). The outlier residues are located in regions of fairly poor density.

One monomers of StZapD belong to the space group P6_5_2, with unit cell parameters of \( a = 84.2 \) Å, and \( c = 150.0 \) Å. The model of StZapD has been refined to crystallographic R factors and Rfree values of 24.8% and 27.7% for the 50-2.8 Å data (Table 1). The refined StZapD model has one monomer in an asymmetric unit with 245 residues, 1 malonate ion, and 36 water molecules. Ramachandran plot analysis for the model showed that 95.9% and 3.7% of the non-glycine residues were in the most favored and allowed regions, and 0.4% of them were in disallowed regions (Table 1). The outlier residues are located in regions of fairly poor density.

Overall structure of StZapD and its oligomeric state in solution

The StZapD monomer is composed of two domains; the N-terminal \( \alpha \)-helices domain (NTD) and the C-terminal \( \beta \)-sheet domain (CTD) (Figs. 1 and 2). The NTD consists of eight \( \alpha \)-helices, while the CTD consists of seven \( \beta \) strands (Figs. 1 and 2). The CTD is composed of two antiparallel \( \beta \)-sheets (\( \beta_1-\beta_4 \) and \( \beta_5-\beta_6-\beta_3 \), respectively) facing each other (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, the N-terminal \( \beta_1 \) is part of the C-terminal \( \beta \)-sheet. The asymmetric crystallization unit contains one monomer, and its dimeric assembly with approximate dimensions of 90 Å × 60 terminal \( \alpha \)-helices domain (NTD) and the C-terminal \( \beta \)-sheet domain (CTD) (Figs. 1 and 2). The NTD consists of eight \( \alpha \)-helices, while the CTD consists of seven \( \beta \) strands (Figs. 1 and 2). The CTD is composed of two antiparallel \( \beta \)-sheets (\( \beta_1-\beta_4-\beta_7 \) and \( \beta_5-\beta_6-\beta_3 \), respectively) facing each other (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, the N-terminal \( \beta_1 \) is part of the C-terminal \( \beta \)-sheet.
Fig. 1. Overall structure of StZapD and oligomeric state in solution. (A) Stereo ribbon diagram of monomer structure of StZapD. The NTD (N-terminal domain) and CTD (C-terminal domain) are shown in light blue and gold, respectively. Loops are drawn in gray. Right panel indicates domain architectures of EcZapD and EcFtsZ (Huang et al., 2016). EcFtsZ constructs used in biochemical analysis are shown in bold line. C-terminal constant region and C-terminal variable region are denoted as CTC and CTV, respectively. (B) Ribbon diagram of StZapD dimer structure. (C) The molecular weights of EcZapD and StZapD in solution were analyzed by SEC-MALS. The thick lines represent the measured molecular weight.

Fig. 2. Sequence alignments of StZapD with other ZapD proteins. Multi-alignment of E. coli (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession number J7QWY2) against ZapD from S. typhimurium ZapD (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession number P67693), Salmonella choleraesuis (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession number Q57TB7), Shigella sonnei (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession number Q3Z5Q7), Shigella flexneri (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession number Q83MF4), Yersinia pestis (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession number Q8ZBH9), Vibrio parahaemolyticus (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession number Q83LT3), Vibrio vulnificus (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession number P67695), Vibrio cholerae serotype O1 (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession number P67694), and Vibrio fischeri (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot accession number Q5E2R1). Secondary structure elements were assigned by PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, http://www.pymol.org) and every twentieth residue is marked by a black dot. Strictly (100%) and semi-conserved residues (80% and 60%) are highlighted in green, cyan, and yellow, respectively. Arrows and cylinders above the sequences denote α-helices and β-strands, respectively. Blue triangles indicate the mutation sites for EcZapD-EcFtsZ binding study.
Å × 30 Å presents twofold symmetry (Fig. 1B). To unveil overall structural similarities with other known structures from PDB, a search using the DALI program was performed (Holm and Rosenstrm, 2010). It showed several structurally similar proteins including (i) division protein ZapD from E. coli (5DKO, Z-score 30) (Roach et al., 2016), (ii) the DUF1342 protein (unknown function) from V. parahaemolyticus (2OEZ, Z-score 27), and (iii) Integron cassette protein from V. paracholerae (3JRT, Z-score 8.2) (Sureshan et al., 2013). When we compared our StZapD structure with the high Z-score structures (PDB codes 5DKO, 2OEZ, and 3JRT, respectively (Fig. 1C), indicating that the functional oligomeric state of StZapD and EcZapD in solution is dimer. It is interesting to note that other structurally characterized Zap proteins (ZapA, ZapB, and ZapC) also exist as dimer in solution, even though ZapA exists in a dimer-tetramer equilibrium in concentration-dependent manner (Ebersbach et al., 2008; Low et al., 2004; Ortiz et al., 2015).

The binding activity of EcZapD to the C-terminal peptide of FtsZ

The C-terminal peptide of FtsZ consists of highly conserved residues and interacts with diverse FtsZ-interacting proteins, including FtsZ, ZipA, SepF, EzrA, and ZapD (Huang et al., 2013; Son and Lee, 2013). A previous report showed that the C-terminal peptide of FtsZ could be divided into two parts—the C-terminal constant region (CTC, 367KEPDYLDIPAFLR379 in EcZapD) consisting of conserved residues, and a C-terminal variable region (CTV, 380KQAD383 in EcZapD) consisting of sequences diverse in length and composition (Huang et al., 2013). To confirm the predicted function of EcZapD as an FtsZ binding protein, we attempted to measure the catalytic activity of FtsZ binding by bio-layer interferometry (BLI) experiments. BLI assays were performed by attaching C-terminal FtsZ peptides from E. coli (residues 367-383, 372-383, or 367-379) with GST tags (GST-FtsZ367-383, GST-FtsZ372-383, or GST-FtsZ367-379) onto an AR2G biosensor chip to check whether EcZapD (WT or mutants) contains peptide binding activity (Fig. 3). To ensure that the EcZapD proteins did not bind to the GST protein, but to the C-terminal FtsZ peptide specifically, a control chip containing GST only without the C-terminal FtsZ peptide was utilized. Indeed, EcZapD bound tightly to the GST-FtsZ367-383 but not to the GST protein only (Figs. 3A and 3B), indicating that the interaction between EcZapD and the C-terminal FtsZ peptide is specific. Thus, the FtsZ binding activity suggests that EcZapD is a direct modulator of FtsZ protofilaments. To check whether the CTV region is essential for EcZapD binding, we attempted to remove the five N-terminal residues from FtsZ peptides (residues 367-379) and measured its binding affinity with EcZapD (Fig. 3B). In addition, to check whether the CTV region is essential for EcZapD binding, we removed the CTV part (residues 380-383) and measured its binding affinity with EcZapD (Fig. 3B).
of FtsZ, K380QAD, is essential for binding to EcZapD (Fig. 3B). In recent study, the importance of K380 of FtsZ in the CTV region was reported (Huang et al., 2016). Thus, we performed another BLItz assay between EcFtsZ (367-383) K380D mutant and EcZapD and measured its binding affinity (Kd = 4.7 ± 0.5 μM) (Supplementary Fig. S1A). This showed similar binding affinity with EcFtsZ WT (367-383) (Fig. 3B), suggesting that the mutation of K380D in EcFtsZ (367-383) is not enough to abolish the interaction with EcZapD.

Putative FtsZ binding sites on EcZapD and modeled structure of EcZapD2-247-FtsZ367-383 complex

When the molecular surface of EcZapD was drawn, two deep clefts between two monomers were found on the concave surface of EcZapD (Fig. 3). The calculated volumes of each cavity using the CASTp server (Dundas et al., 2006) were 166 Å³ and 98 Å³, respectively. Along this cleft, several conserved residues are clustered, including Glu8, Glu22, His140, and Arg176 (Fig. 3A). This cleft appeared to be the site of FtsZ binding, thus we tried to solve the crystal structure of EcZapD2-247-FtsZ367-383 complex despite of various screening trials. Thus, using the FlexPepDock server (London et al., 2011), we have modeled the complex of EcZapD2-247 with FtsZ367-383 to identify the FtsZ367-383 binding site on EcZapD2-247 (Fig. 3A). As expected, the deep clefts between the two monomers, which were suggested to be the FtsZ binding site, were indeed responsible for FtsZ367-383 binding. Along with the FtsZ367-383 binding site, there were two strictly conserved residues (Glu22 and His140). Therefore, to validate this model, EcZapD mutants (E22R and H140R) were tested to determine if the mutations weaken the FtsZ binding affinity for EcZapD. Indeed, mutations of Glu22 and His140 to Arg led to slightly lower binding (Kd = 68 ± 2.2 μM and 16 ± 1 μM, respectively) than that with WT EcZapD (4.4 ± 0.5 μM), suggesting the contributions of the two conserved residues to the binding between EcZapD and EcFtsZ (Fig. 3B). We could not measure the binding affinities with the E8A and R176A mutants of FtsZ367-383, because these proteins were expressed in insoluble forms (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Based on these results, we speculated that both Glu22 and His140 contribute to the binding of EcZapD to FtsZ directly or indirectly. Although much remains to be learned about the structural architecture of FtsZ-ZapD complex and the mechanism of FtsZ protofilaments stabilization by EcZapD, the results and model presented here provide one foothold for furthering such an understanding.

Note: Supplementary information is available on the Molecules and Cells website (www.molcells.org).
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