Effects of fulvic acid addition on laying performance, biochemical indices, and gut microbiota of aged hens
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The purpose of this study was to appraise the effect of fulvic acid on production, biochemical indices, and gut microbiota of laying hens. A total of 252 Dawu Golden Phoenix laying hens (55-week-old) were allotted to two treatments randomly, each with six replicates and 21 hens per replicate, including the control group (CG) and fulvic acid (500 mg/kg) group (FA). The trial period was 8 weeks. Adding FA raised egg weight ($P$ = 0.03), shell-breaking strength ($P$ = 0.03), and reduced egg breaking rate ($P < 0.01$), compared with CG. There was no difference in eggshell thickness and egg shape index between the two treatments; however, the FA group increased egg production by 1.45% and reduced the feed-to-egg ratio by 0.09. Moreover, dietary FA decreased the aspartate aminotransferase levels in serum ($P = 0.04$), and glutathione peroxidase and total antioxidant capacity were increased ($P = 0.02$ and 0.04, respectively). Despite this, the two groups had no differences in the alpha diversity indices (PD_whole trees, Shannon, Ace, Simpson, Chao1, and goods_coverage). Obviously, at the phylum level, the abundances of Firmicutes were improved ($P < 0.01$), Actinobacteriota ($P < 0.01$), and Proteobacteria ($P < 0.01$) were reduced by dietary FA. Supplementation with FA could improve the abundances of Megamonas ($P < 0.01$) and reduce Enterobacter ($P < 0.01$) at the genus level. To sum up, this study showed the addition of 500 mg/kg FA may boost production and egg quality and modulate the cecal microflora abundance and serum biochemical indices of laying hens.
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Introduction

In the late laying stage (after 40 weeks), the production and eggshell quality of laying hens reduced as they became older (1). Furthermore, thinner shells, higher breakage rates, and inferior egg quality have all been linked to ill-health among older hens (2).
Fulvic acid (FA) is an organic compound extracted from peat and various forms of coal, such as lignite and worn coal, which is created as a result of the breakdown of microbial matter, animal and plant leftovers, as well as the build-up of processes (3). FA is a low-molecular-weight molecule with high biological activity dissolved in alkali and acid liquids (4). In addition, FA has been shown to be compatible with all aquatic creatures (5). Numerous reactive functional groups are included in fulvic acids, such as hydroxyl, quinone, phenol, and carboxyl groups (6), which confer the following beneficial activities on FA: antioxidant activity (7), antiviral and anti-inflammatory effects (8), stimulation of immunity (9), and metal chelation (10). Mao et al. (11) appraised dietary fulvic acid on production, oxidative, and immunological parameters in broilers and observed that FA supplementation resulted in higher weight gain in broilers than controls. Semjon et al. (12) studied the hemic compounds on meat quality and found that dietary 1% humic can significantly reduce broiler chicken thigh meat’s cooking water loss rate. Moreover, FA could improve production and meat quality in growing-finishing pigs (4). Chang et al. (9) confirmed that FA positively affects growth performance and immunity in growing pigs. However, there are still few studies about the effects of FA on performance, serum biochemical indexes, and gut microbes of aged hens. This work aimed to investigate the effects of dietary FA on production, biochemical indicators, and gut microflora at the late stage of hens.

Materials and methods

Birds, diets, and experimental design

A total of 252 Da Wu Golden Phoenix egg-laying hens, at 55 weeks of age were, randomly placed into two treatments of six replicates each, with 21 hens per treatment. The control group was fed a basal diet, and the fulvic acid group was fed a basal diet supplemented with 500 mg/kg of FA purchased from Beijing Sloan Biotechnology Co., Ltd. The study began on the 55th week and continued for 8 weeks. The basal diet was created according to the nutritional needs of laying hens (2012), and the feed ingredients and nutritional composition are shown in Table 1. The hens were enclosed in cages that resembled the letter A when viewed from the side and shared a room that was cleaned and disinfected daily at 26–27°C, 60–65% humidity and a light cycle for 16 h. 100 g of feed every day at 6 a.m. and 1 p.m., and collect eggs every day at 6 p.m. All hens were given fresh water, fed, eggs collected and weighed daily.

Productive performance and egg quality

During the 55–62 week period, feed intake, egg number, and egg breaking were all documented daily. The number of broken eggs was used to compute the egg breaking rate. The average egg weight, feed/egg, and egg production rate were calculated weekly. During the last week of the test, 36 eggs were randomly chosen from each group (six eggs per replication). The 72 eggs were tested for shell-breaking strength (Egg Force Reader, Orka Food Technology Ltd), Haugh units (Egg Analyzer, Orka Food Technology Ltd), and shell thickness (Eggshell Thickness Gauge, Orka Food Technology Ltd). The egg shape index was calculated using the width-length ratio (%).

Serum biochemical indices and antioxidant parameters

In the final experiment, every replicate had one bird randomly selected; blood samples were obtained after a 12 h meal fast. Pterygoid vein blood samples were collected, and serum was centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C after 2 h of quiescence. We used Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute (Nanjing, Jiangsu, China) kits to determine serum triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total bilirubin, and alanine aminotransferase (ALT). Parameters related to antioxidants included glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), malondialdehyde (MDA), full antioxidant capacity (T-AOC), and total superoxide dismutase (T-SOD) and were also examined according to the kits (Nanjing, Jiangsu, China). Following the blood collection, the hens were slaughtered and bled via cervical dislocation. Aseptically collected left and right cecum of each chicken was placed in sealed vials and frozen in liquid nitrogen within minutes. After that, the specimens were stored at −80°C to make further analyses possible.

| Feed ingredients | Nutrient composition |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Corn              | ME (kcal/kg)         |
| Soybean meal      | CP                   |
| Limestone         | Calcium              |
| Soybean oil       | Phosphorus           |
| Calcium bicarbonate | Salt                 |
| Lysine            | Lysine               |
| DL-Methionine     | DL-Methionine        |
| Threonine         |                      |
| 1% premix         | 1.00                 |
| Total             | 100                  |

1% premix includes the following nutrients: vitamin A, 12,500 IU; vitamin D3, 4,500 IU; vitamin E, 25 mg; vitamin B, 2 mg; vitamin K, 3 mg; vitamin B2, 30 mg; vitamin B12, 1 mg; niacin 3 g; choline, 1,500 mg; pantothenic acid 700 mg; folic acid, 600 mg; Fe, 9 mg; Cu, 9 mg; Mn, 9 mg; I, 43 mg; Se, 30 mg; biotin, 0.2 mg.

TABLE 1 Ingredients in the basic diets and nutritional composition.
Cecal digesta DNA extraction and 16S rRNA sequencing analysis

After the investigation, the cecal microbiota of the six chosen chickens per group was assessed. After removing the cecal contents aseptically, the digest was snap-dried in liquid nitrogen, cooled to −80°C, and total genome DNA was extracted using the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide technique. DNA content and purity were determined by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis. Amplification of the V3/V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was achieved by using primers 341F (5′-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3′) and 804R (5′-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3′) (13). Fast Length Adjustment of Short Reads (V1.2.7) was used in a quality control pipeline to filter the raw sequences and identify quality segments (14). Clusters of high-quality sequences have been categorized as operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on a similarity of 97 percent, and QIIME (Quantitative Insight into Microbial Ecology) was used in a quality control pipeline to filter the raw sequences and identify quality segments (14). The alpha diversity was calculated with the vegan package. The beta diversity was analyzed with a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis distance was used. STAMP was used in a quality control pipeline to filter the raw sequences and identify quality segments (15). Two groups were visualized using a Venn diagram to show shared and distinct OTUs. The alpha diversity was calculated with the vegan package. For beta-diversity analysis, a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis distance was used. STAMP was used in a quality control pipeline to filter the raw sequences and identify quality segments (15).

Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as means ± SD, using an independent samples t-test with SPSS 25.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL), including fixed effects treatments in the model, and presented using GraphPad Prism version 9 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). A P-value < 0.05 was considered significant (P < 0.05, P < 0.01), while 0.05 < P-value < 0.10 was considered tendencies.

Results

Production and egg quality

In this work, compared with the control group, shell-breaking strength (P = 0.03) and egg weight (P = 0.03) were improved by FA (Table 2). The egg breaking rate of FA was reduced by 0.23% (P < 0.01; Table 2). However, eggshell thickness and the egg shape index between the two treatments were different, but the FA group increased egg production by 1.45% and reduced the feed-to-egg ratio by 0.09.

Blood biochemical parameters

The AST of the treatment group was lower than that of the control group (P = 0.04; Table 3). Compared with the control group, the total bilirubin in the FA tended to decrease (P = 0.06; Table 3). The T-AOC and GSH-Px of the FA were increased (P < 0.05; Table 3).

| TABLE 2 Egg productive performance and quality. | CG | FA | SEM | P-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Productive performance** | | | | |
| Egg production rate, % | 81.92 ± 5.28 | 83.37 ± 4.38 | 1.21 | 0.59 |
| Egg weight, g | 60.79 ± 0.29 | 61.08 ± 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| Feed-to-egg ratio, g/g | 2.03 ± 0.14 | 1.94 ± 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.27 |
| Egg breaking rate, % | 0.65 ± 0.15 | 0.42 ± 0.12 | 0.35 | <0.01 |
| **Egg quality** | | | | |
| Egg shape index | 1.31 ± 0.01 | 1.30 ± 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.16 |
| Shell breaking strength, kg/cm² | 3.81 ± 0.08 | 3.92 ± 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Shell thickness, mm | 0.38 ± 0.02 | 0.39 ± 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.20 |
| Haugh units | 71.83 ± 7.34 | 77.60 ± 4.26 | 1.73 | 0.13 |

CG, control group; FA, fulvic acid.

| TABLE 3 Serum biochemical indices and antioxidant parameters. | CG | FA | SEM | P-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Serum biochemical indices** | | | | |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L | 2.42 ± 0.64 | 3.49 ± 0.54 | 0.17 | 0.22 |
| Total bilirubin, µmol/L | 112.21 ± 14.5 | 82.66 ± 13.5 | 4.05 | 0.06 |
| High-density lipoprotein, mmol/L | 5.18 ± 0.86 | 5.67 ± 0.78 | 0.24 | 0.33 |
| Low-density lipoprotein, mmol/L | 0.32 ± 0.10 | 0.26 ± 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L | 3.30 ± 1.06 | 4.85 ± 0.66 | 0.25 | 0.30 |
| AST, U/L | 28.27 ± 6.68 | 19.80 ± 5.25 | 1.74 | 0.04 |
| ALT, U/L | 12.85 ± 3.25 | 10.48 ± 2.65 | 0.86 | 0.20 |
| **Antioxidant parameters** | | | | |
| T-AOC, U/ml | 6.67 ± 1.68 | 4.40 ± 1.75 | 0.50 | 0.04 |
| MDA, nmol/ml | 5.65 ± 1.38 | 5.83 ± 1.65 | 0.41 | 0.70 |
| GSH-Px, U/ml | 594.36 ± 56.67 | 698.34 ± 71.34 | 18.59 | 0.02 |
| T-SOD, U/ml | 73.38 ± 7.42 | 82.97 ± 3.41 | 1.67 | 0.12 |

CG, control group; FA, fulvic acid; T-AOC, total antioxidant capacity; MDA, malondialdehyde; GSH-Px, glutathione peroxidase; T-SOD, total superoxide dismutase.
Cecal contents microbial composition

The two groups received 2,542 OTUs, with 457 and 1,044 OTUs found only in the FA and control groups, respectively (Figure 1A). FA supplementation did not affect the alpha diversity indices (Chao1, Shannon, Ace, Simpson, PD_whole trees, and goods_coverage) (Table 4). PCoA analysis was performed using the Bray-Curtis similarity technique. The first principal component (PCoA1) and second (PCoA2) explained 64.74 and 15.7% of the variation in microbial diversity, respectively (Figure 1B). The samples in the control and FA groups were tightly packed and not far apart, as shown in the primary coordinate analysis diagram. According to the taxonomic study, the structure of the cecal flora did not alter following FA therapy. Phylum-wise, Bacteroidetes (>37%) and Firmicutes (>32%) rank first and second, respectively (Figure 1C). Compared with the control group, Firmicutes were elevated by FA (P < 0.01; Figure 1E). There was a reduction in Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria in the FA group (P < 0.01; Figure 1E). In addition, Bacteroidota dominates (>19%), followed by Ruminococcus_torques_group (>4%), Faecalibacterium (>4%), and Rikenellaceae_RC9_gut_group (>4%); the rest of the genera listed were all below 2% at the genus level (Figure 1D). Supplementation with FA at genus levels elevated Megamonas and reduced Enterobacter (P < 0.01; Figure 1E).
TABLE 4  Alpha diversity indexes of cecal microbiota.

| Items            | CG    | FA    | SEM   | P-value |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Ace              | 1012.25 ± 129.18 | 961.09 ± 80.34 | 31.05  | 0.43    |
| Chao1            | 994.57 ± 115.81 | 956.56 ± 89.28 | 29.85  | 0.54    |
| Shannon          | 6.84 ± 0.50      | 6.72 ± 0.25     | 0.11   | 0.61    |
| Simpson          | 0.97 ± 0.03      | 0.97 ± 0.01     | 0.01   | 0.74    |
| Goods_coverage   | 1.00 ± 0.01      | 1.00 ± 0.01     | 0.01   | 0.23    |
| PD_whole tree    | 65.80 ± 10.09    | 60.06 ± 5.70    | 2.37   | 0.25    |

CG, control group; FA, fulvic acid.

Discussions

Dawu Golden Phoenix laying hens will be culled after 72 weeks when the egg production rate is below 80% (17). In the layer industry, the most pressing economic issues are production and egg quality. Shell thickness and shell strength are essential egg qualities. Eggshell strength affects egg breaking rate and compression resistance, making the eggs convenient to transport and store over long distances. An et al. (18) test proved that adding 0.5 g/kg fulvic acid to the feed of laying hens can increase the egg production rate and average egg weight by 5.20 and 7.40%, respectively, and reduce the feed-to-egg ratio, broken egg rate, and total egg weight. Wang et al. (19) found that adding 0.048% fulvic acid could increase the activity of plasma superoxide dismutase in 42-day-old broilers and reduce the content of malondialdehyde. In addition, Bi (20) administered 5 g/kg fulvic acid and sodium fulvic acid to mice to investigate the acute toxicity of both, and none of the experimental mice died, indicating that the oral toxicity of fulvic acid is extremely low. This explains why the fulvic acid dose chosen for this study was 500 mg/kg. In this work, the addition of FA increased the egg production rate by 1.45%, while the feed-to-egg ratio decreased by 0.09, compared with the control group, but there was no statistically significant difference. Hudák et al. (21) showed that dietary supplementation with natural and acidified humus did not improve broiler body weight and feed conversion ratio. Interestingly, Domínguez-Negrete et al. (22) found different dietary doses of humic acid did not significantly change the daily gain and feed conversion ratio. In the Prokešová et al. (23) study, the humic substances (HS) incorporated into the diet (HS0–6) did not affect the production of Clarias gariepinus. However, broilers fed with FA gained significantly more body weight than those fed with control diets, and their FCR was also lower than those fed with control diets (11). Few studies have been conducted on fulvic acid in late-laying hens. The egg production for humate and probiotic-fed hens was not different, but both groups produced more eggs than the control-fed hens (24). Our study observed increased egg weight and eggshell strength with dietary FA. Nevertheless, there is still relatively low knowledge of different FA sources and products. Dosage, synthetic form of FA, and animal species may also contribute to inconsistent results.

The existence of serum enzymes and their levels in the blood can help determine the extent of organ or tissue damage. Because they are synthesized in the liver, ALT, AST, and total bilirubin are essential indices for monitoring the liver function of chickens. Diets containing potassium humate had lower AST values than diets containing 17.5% canola meal (25). In this experiment, we found that fulvic acid was able to reduce serum AST levels and tended to decrease total bilirubin. This may indicate that humic acid can protect the liver by reducing free radicals produced during liver metabolism (26). Nevertheless, in Prokešová et al. (23) study, the activity of ALT, AST, and lactate dehydrogenase enzymes were within the species-specific optimal physiological range, and no differences were observed between the Clarias gariepinus tested groups after 28 and 56 days of HS feeding. Different results may be attributed to animal species, dosage, synthetic form, etc.

Antioxidant mechanisms in animals are essential for their health, growth, productivity, and economic rewards. In organisms, GSH-Px and T-SOD are vital antioxidant enzymes in which superoxide radicals and peroxides are scavenged, and the generation of hydroxyl radicals is reduced. A measure of lipid peroxidation mediated by oxygen free radicals is MDA content, and T-AOC measures the body’s antioxidant capacity. The broilers fed FA-containing diets were more active at T-SOD and GSH-Px, and their MDA levels were lower than those involved in control diets, but when administered at a high level of FA (1 g kg⁻¹), T-SOD and GSH-Px activities did not increase further, and the MDA level decreased in comparison to the moderate group (0.5 g kg⁻¹) (11). High doses of fulvic acid may not necessarily benefit the animal body. Dietary FA improved the activities of GSH-Px and T-SOD in L. vannamei (27). In this study, FA increased the serum level of T-AOC and GSH-Px, suggesting FA may be able to protect laying hens against oxidation damage more effectively. It could be attributed to the antioxidant properties of FA (7).

There is mounting evidence suggesting that feed supplementation affects gut microbiota regulation and, thus, animal performance (28). Recent studies have indicated the intestinal flora acts as the main barrier against infection and colonization by pathogenic bacteria, implying the importance of its role in the treatment and prevention of diseases (29). Studies on the effects of FA supplementation on laying hens’ cecal microbiota are limited. Our study showed that intestinal microflora diversity was not significantly different between the FA and control groups. Interestingly, dietary FA could increase the relative abundance of Firmicutes and Megamonas and reduce Proteobacteria, Actinobacteriota, and Enterobacter. Firmicutes facilitate cellulose digestion in the gut, so their higher abundance during growth and development...
aids in meeting animals' nutritional and energetic needs (30). Furthermore, Firmicutes is composed of a variety of gram-positive bacteria, some of which help prevent pathogen intrusion and balance microflora in the intestine (31). In addition, Actinobacteria can easily transfer synergy between themselves and a partner or host into pathogenic interactions (32). In diarrheal goats, the relative abundance of Actinobacteria was dramatically increased (33). As a group, proteobacteria are primarily composed of gram-negative bacteria, such as Escherichia coli, Salmonella, Helicobacter pylori, and Vibrio cholerae. Animals could suffer from diarrhea, gastritis, vomiting, gastrointestinal ulcers, and even death from the bacteria, posing a serious health threat (34). Megamonas is a prominent member of the Veillonellaceae family. This genus produces acetic and propionic acids using fermentable fibers as their substrate (35). Infections of the urinary tract, lower respiratory tract, bloodstream, and damage to soft tissues are caused by Enterobacter (36). Therefore, in this experiment, FA supplementation increased the abundance of beneficial bacteria and reduced the abundance of harmful bacteria in the gut. FA has good anti-inflammatory, hemostasis, antiviral, and other effects. As a feed additive, it can improve the immunity of chickens without toxic or side effects. It should be noted that FA can improve the production performance and egg quality of laying hens in various ways, but it may be mainly achieved by regulating the body's antioxidant capacity and intestinal flora. However, the specific impact mechanism still needs to be further explored. Further research needs to be conducted on the role of fulvic acid in eggshell calcium deposition.

Conclusions

According to this study, the addition of 500 mg/kg of fulvic acid enhanced eggshell quality, egg weight, and serum antioxidant parameters and reduced the egg-breaking rate in hens. Although fulvic acid supplementation had no influence on the alpha diversity index in the cecal microbiota, it did increase the relative abundance of Firmicutes and Megamonas while decreasing the Actinobacteriota, Enterobacter, and Proteobacteria. The findings shed light on how FA can be utilized to improve the laying hen's production cycle and egg quality, which has significant implications for the industry's long-term health. However, more research on the mechanism and ideal FA ratio is required.
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