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Abstract

Leaders of organizations need to lead and manage effectively to succeed. Thus, women entrepreneurs who are becoming more prominent in the business arena, have to be good leaders and managers. This study aims to identify the relationship of leadership styles which are charismatic and transformational and management techniques with organizational performance of women entrepreneurs in Malaysia. Questionnaires were sent to women entrepreneurs registered under NAWEM (National Association of Women Entrepreneurs of Malaysia). Correlation analysis and regression analysis were used to test the data. The result showed although both charismatic and transformational leadership and management techniques are utilized by the women entrepreneurs, however, the significant predictor of organizational performance is charismatic leadership.
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Introduction

Historically, entrepreneurship has been a male-dominated pursuit, but many of today’s most memorable and inspirational entrepreneurs are women (Anonymous). Helms explains that women often start their own business for “three types of personal gains: personal freedom, security, and/or satisfaction” (Helms, 1997). In addition, Moore (2003) mentions women business owners cite a number of reasons for becoming entrepreneurs which are:

- Challenges/attractions of entrepreneurship;
- Self-determination/autonomy;
- Family concerns – balancing career and family;
- Lack of career advancement/discrimination; and
- Organisational dynamics-power/politics

Women entrepreneurs in Malaysia have increased due to the emphasis on industrialisation. According to Maimunah (1996a, 1996b), Malaysian women have been increasingly involved in various enterprises which were formerly male-dominated. It was reported more than 82,000 or 16% were women owned companies with 89.5% of them involved in the services sector, 7.5% in manufacturing and 3.0% in the agricultural sector (The Department of Statistics of Malaysia, 2005). Furthermore, the support from the Malaysian government also provides the platform for women to become more involved in entrepreneurship. NAWEM
The National Association of Women Entrepreneurs of Malaysia was formed by the government with an objective to create an association that would assist women to develop and grow their businesses. Its mission is to provide the leadership and inspiration to act as a means of expression to the transformation of the role of women in business into a dynamic force (http:// nawem.org.my/vision.htm). Other supportive ministries include Ministry of Entrepreneur and Cooperative Development (MECD) in May 1995 and the Ministry of Women, Family and Community Development (MWFCD) in 2001. Together, these ministries oversee the growth and development of existing and potential women entrepreneurs in the country. In addition, the women entrepreneurs are also given government grants and funds through the Small and Medium Industries Development Corporation (SMIDEC). Between August 1999 and August 2006, 523 women-owned companies were granted soft loans by SMIDEC worth a total of RM51.97 million. (Teoh, W.M.Y., & Chong, S.C., 2007a).

The increasing importance of women entrepreneurs has raised an argument that their leadership style is different from their counterpart, male entrepreneurs. In comparing the management styles of women and men entrepreneurs, Bruni, Gherardi and Poggio (2004) explain that women display distinctive features and abilities, "transformational leadership". This type of leadership/management style encourages positive interactions and trust-based relationships with subordinates with whom they also share power and information. Gundry, Ben-Yousef and Posig (2002) describe this as the "relational" practices engaged by women entrepreneurs. This would include collaborative, decentralized decision-making and an empowered team atmosphere. Their management style emphasizes open communication and "their business goals reflect a concern for the communities in which their businesses resided" (Gundry, Ben-Yousef and Posig, 2002).

Leadership

Leadership is and has been described as the "process of social influence in which one person can enlist the aid and support of others in the accomplishment of a common task" Chemers, M. M. (2002). A definition more inclusive of followers comes from Alan Keith of Genentech who said "Leadership is ultimately about creating a way for people to contribute to making something extraordinary happen."(Kouzes, J., & Posner, B. 2007). Successful leaders and entrepreneurs typically show a high internal locus of control (Lee, 2001).

Charismatic Leadership Characteristics

Charisma and charismatic leadership has been defined in various ways; a devotion to the specific and exceptional sanctity, heroism, or exemplary character of an individual person, or the normative patterns revealed or ordained by that person; endowment with the gift or divine grace; the process of influencing major changes in the attitudes and assumptions
of organization members, and building commitment for the organization's objectives; leadership that has magnetic effect on people (Dubrin, 1995). Robert W. Rowden (2000) defines charismatic leadership as the behavioral tendencies and personal characteristics of leaders that create an exceptionally strong relationship between them and their followers. There are three personal characteristics of the charismatic leaders, which are extremely high confidence, dominance and strong convictions in his or her beliefs (Robbins & Coulter, 2003; House, 1992).

Charismatic leaders demonstrate determination, optimism, and confidence in themselves and the collective ability to accomplish the mission and realize the vision (House and Shamir 1993). Charismatic leaders engender trust and taking personal risks in the name of their beliefs. They will show great confidence in their followers. They are very persuasive and make very effective use of body language as well as verbal language. Charismatic leaders will often focus strongly on making the group very clear and distinct, separating it from other groups. (Conger & Kanungo 1998). Charismatic leadership is mostly found in a new and struggling organization or in a failing organization, than in a stable and successful organization (Bass, 1985). Conger & Kanungo (1998) describe five behavioral attributes of Charismatic Leaders that indicate a more transformational viewpoint:

- Vision and articulation;
- Sensitivity to the environment;
- Sensitivity to member needs;
- Personal risk taking;
- Performing unconventional behavior.

Charismatic leadership, characterized by extraordinary leaders who usually emerge in a context of crisis or major change, this concept derives from individualized influence of transformational leadership (Yukl, 1994).

In short, charismatic leaders will be those managers or leaders who are able to spark the sense of belonging towards the leader and the organization, and inspire their followers or employees towards achieving the organizational goals and objectives (Schermmerhorn, 2005; Rowden, 2000).

**Transformational Leadership Characteristics**

Tucker and Russell (2004) stated that transformational leaders provide change and movement in their organizations. Such leaders seek to alter the existing structure and influence people to buy into a new vision and new possibilities. Excellent transformational leaders use authority and power to inspire and motivate people to trust and follow their example. Schuster (1994) stated that transformational leadership appeals to higher motivation and adds to the quality of life in the people and the organization. Transformational leadership provides energy-producing characteristics that generate new changes for the organization.

Transformational leaders elevate people from low levels of need, focused on survival (following Mallow's hierarchy), to higher levels (Kelly, 2003; Yukl, 1989). They may also motivate followers to transcend their own interests for some other collective purpose but typically help followers satisfy as many of their individual human needs as possible, appealing notably to higher order needs (e.g. to love, to learn, and to leave a legacy) (Feinberg, Strophe & Burke, 2005). Dixon (1998) discovered inner characteristics of transformational leaders that produce effective behaviors. He indicated that self-confidence ('I can make a difference'), inner integrity, honesty, and personal values influence the leader's behavior. A key ingredient in effective performance is for the leader to connect his or her life experiences with transformational behaviors (Avoid, 1994). This inner connection and resulting behavior lead to external behaviors that transform the organization. For example, a sense of personal involvement produces a vision for the group (Schuster, 1994). The leader's external and visible behavior has an impact on the organization (Avoid, 1994; Finkelstein & Hambrecht, 1996). There is a 'cascading effect' from higher-level leaders to lower-level leaders due to
modeling effective behaviors, hiring others with similar behaviors, and behaviors being reinforced by the organization (Bass, Waldman, Avoid, & Babb, 1987). The leader's behavior motivates and creates an impression that the leader has the competence and vision to achieve success (Keller, 1992). Changes in behavior are necessary to change culture (Trahant, Burke, & Ounce, 1997).

Management Techniques of Women Entrepreneurs

According to Lowden (1987), there are four areas that an entrepreneur needs to develop in order to become a good manager: planning, organizing, leading, and controlling. The entrepreneur through leading implements decisions, provides good organizational climate, ensures proper communication and develops the staff through training. In assessing the management practices of entrepreneurs, variations exist on how much emphasis an entrepreneur places on each of the skills. However, what skills are emphasized most or least by the entrepreneurs have yet to be identified with regards to women entrepreneurs.

In order for women entrepreneurs to be successful, they have to look at the important aspects of managerial tasks, conflict handling and giving feedback to subordinates (Kouzes and Posner, 2002). Whetten et al. (2000) emphasized the importance of intrapersonal skills for effective management. This means in their perspective developing self-awareness on the basis of a thorough analysis of one's strengths and weaknesses. Understanding the interaction between people's preferences and their day-to-day workplace behavior is crucial for designing and implementing effective individual development efforts (Berr et al., 2000; Riding and Rayners, 1998).

Buttner (2001) reports that the management styles of women entrepreneurs was best described using relational dimensions such as mutual empowering, collaboration, sharing of information, empathy and nurturing. Importantly, these dimensions, which have also been associated with women in different professional occupations, were deemed to be associated with firm performance. According to Heffernan (2003), female negotiating styles have been shown to be different and it has been demonstrated that they are significantly more beneficial to long term business success. The most recent study that explored a genetic basis for special attributes of women in social ability and empathy imply a better performance of companies created and run by women because of their ability to communicate better with employees, suppliers and customers (Valencia, 2006).

Characteristics of Successful Company Performance

The studies done by Kaplan and Norton (1992, 1993, and 1996) and also Ventakataraman and Ramanujam (1986) found that organisational performance is a multidimensional construct tapping financial, operational, and customer related performance domains. Non-financial measures are found in the study by Fiorito and LaForge (1986), Chaganti, Chaganti and Mahajan, (1989). This method of measuring performance is adopted following suggestions of Gupta and Govindarajan (1984) and Dess and Robinson (1984) due to the problems and limitations associated with financial data in measuring performance of small firms. The owners’ satisfaction with their organisation’s performance is found to be used as one of the most important indicators of success (Luk, 1996). It is defined that the success of the small business as a level of performance equal to or exceeding the expectations of the firm’s owner. One of the indicators used by Thompson and Strickland (1993) to measure performance are annual earnings, profitability, and return on investment. This study used the perception towards on achievement of profit, financial return as a measure of performance.

Methodology

The data was collected through the survey method. Questionnaire was used as the research instrument in collecting data. The
questions were adopted from Dubrin A.J. (1995). The primary data was obtained from NAWEM (National Association of Women Entrepreneurs of Malaysia) members. A total of 100 questionnaires were sent to women entrepreneurs and 46 questionnaires were collected. Although a larger sample size would be preferable however, due to the nature of the difficulty to get the respondents the research was carried on with the available respondents. Besides, the Cronbach Alpha results show the reliability scale to be at an acceptable rate.

Table 1: Reliability Results

|                          | Alpha Value |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Charismatic Characteristics | 0.824       |
| Transformational Characteristics | 0.662       |
| Management Techniques     | 0.838       |
| Organizational Performances | 0.743       |

Results and Discussion

The respondents mainly were aged from 41 to 45 (43.5%), followed by those below 30 (28%), 31-40 (15%) and 46 and above (13%). There is a well spread in terms of marital status with 50% being married while 45% are single and around 4% are divorcee. Most of the respondents are graduates (80%). Business orientation of the respondents is mostly related to soft business such as service and retailing (63%). The women entrepreneurs business ownership consist of full ownership (17.4%), share with family (32.6%), share with partner (30.4%) and share with husband (19.6%). Their business size is small where it is mostly less than 50 employees (87%). The age of the business is mostly less than 10 years old which is around 78.3%. Slightly more than half of the respondents have working experience (52.2%). However, majority of them do not have business experience previously (78.3%).

Factors analysis was conducted and charismatic characteristics were divided into 3 groups (Ch1, Ch2, and Ch3), transformational characteristics into 2 groups (Tr1 and Tr2) and managerial techniques were divided into 6 groups (Mt1, Mt2, Mt3, Mt4, Mt5 and Mt6). Organizational performance is represented by 1 group (f1). Correlation analysis was conducted to identify the relationship of charismatic, transformational and management techniques with organizational performance. The following tables present the correlation analysis result accordingly.

Table 2: Results of Correlation Analysis between Charismatic Characteristics, Transformational Characteristics and Organizational Performance

|         | r    | Sig  |
|---------|------|------|
| Ch1     | 0.363* | 0.013 |
| Ch2     | 0.309* | 0.037 |
| Ch3     | 0.592** | 0.000 |
| Tr1     | 0.395** | 0.007 |
| Mt2     | 0.340* | 0.021 |
| Mt3     | 0.391** | 0.007 |
| Mt5     | 0.370* | 0.011 |
| Mt6     | 0.316* | 0.032 |

* Significant at 0.005 level  
** Significant at 0.001 level
There is a significant relationship between charismatic characteristics and organizational performance. All the characteristics tested were found to have significant relationship with performance. They are being visionary, being expressive to employees, and willingness to take risk, good communication skills, inspiring trust, believe in employees' capability, being energetic and ready for action, having strategies to solve problems, can handle crisis and is able to self promote personality. This finding is line with previous studies; having leadership that has magnetic effect on people (Dubrin, 1995) trust, risk taking, visionary, persuasive (Conger and Kanungo, 1998), and projecting self confidence (Bass, 1985). Transformational characteristics are also found to have significant relationship with organizational performance. The characteristics are assisting raising employee's awareness, helping employee looking beyond self interest and commitment towards achievement. As Bass, Waldman, Avoid and Babb (1987) states there is a 'cascading effect' from higher-level leaders to lower-level leaders due to modeling effective behaviors and behaviors being reinforced by the organization. The leader's behavior motivates and creates an impression that the leader has the competence and vision to achieve success (Keller, 1992). The results above indicated managerial techniques are also significantly related to organizational performance Among the techniques are put emphasis of respect, giving and receiving feedback, involve in employees' progress, encourage employee participation in decision making, set high standard for employees, implement suggestion from employees, managing conflict benefiting both sides and maintain a friendly working environment. The results are line with the study by Kouzes and Posner (2002) who mentions on aspects of managerial tasks, conflict handling and giving feedback to subordinates, Whetten et al (2000) who found importance of intrapersonal skills for effective management and understanding the interaction between people's preferences and their day-to-day workplace behavior (Berry et al., 2000; Riding and Rayners, 1998).

Next, the results of the relationship of demographic characteristics and organizational performance were presented.

Table 3: Results of Correlation Analysis between Demographic Characteristics and Organizational Performance

| f1    | r     | Sig |
|-------|-------|-----|
| Education | -0.292* | 0.049 |
| Size   | 0.356** | 0.015 |

* Significant at 0.005 level
** Significant at 0.001 level

Only education and size of business have found to have a relationship with organizational performance. The result indicated the bigger the size of business the better the performance of the business is. This could be because of the availability of more resources in bigger business. However, the negative correlation between education and organizational performance meant the non graduate business women entrepreneur are performing better.

Independent t-test was carried out to identify the difference in performance for those with employment experience and business experience. No significant difference was found between those with employment experience and those without in terms of performance. Similar results were obtained for business experience.
Next, regression analysis was used to identify the predictor of organizational performance. The result is presented below.

Table 4: Results of Regression Analysis between Charismatic Characteristics, Transformational Characteristics, Demographic Characteristics and Organizational Performance

|     | R square | Sig  |
|-----|----------|------|
| Ch3 | 0.384    | 0.000|

Dependent variable: f1

Significant predictors are Charismatic characteristics (Ch3) which is having strategies to solve problems, able to handle crisis and is able to self promote personality. This contributes 38% towards organizational performance.

Conclusion

Charismatic leadership style is found to be more utilized by women entrepreneurs when associated with organizational performance. This could be because women are more in service oriented in business which requires charismatic style and also the nature of the gender which has advantage in persuasive skills (Conger & Kanungo 1998). In addition, Conger & Kanungo (1998) describe being sensitive to the environment and member needs are attributes of charismatic style. Transformational leadership is more associated with change and thus, would probably be used if the women entrepreneurs decide to make major changes in their organization. Nevertheless, leadership styles do have an impact on organizational performance. Therefore, a suitable style should be used to ensure success in organizational performance. As part of the government initiative to upgrade women entrepreneurs, leadership and management techniques should be emphasized in the training module.

This study had been able to show the leadership styles and management techniques of the women entrepreneurs. Nevertheless, a limitation of this study is the sample size. A larger sample can be used in future studies, in addition to combining manufacturing and service industry. Another area of future study is to identify the difference between male and female entrepreneurs focusing on these two leadership styles.
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