Abstract

Background  Cancer associated cachexia affects the majority of cancer patients during the course of the disease and thought to be directly responsible for about a quarter of all cancer deaths. Current evidence suggests that a pro-inflammatory state may be associated with this syndrome although the molecular mechanisms responsible for the development of cachexia are poorly understood. The purpose of this work was the identification of key drivers of cancer cachexia that could provide a potential point of intervention for the treatment and/or prevention of this syndrome.

Methods  Genetically engineered and xenograft tumour models were used to dissect the molecular mechanisms driving cancer cachexia. Cytokine profiling from the plasma of cachectic and non-cachectic cancer patients and mouse models was utilized to correlate circulating cytokine levels with the cachexia phenotype.

Results  Utilizing engineered tumour models we identified MAP3K11/GDF15 pathway activation as a potent inducer of cancer cachexia. Increased expression and high circulating levels of GDF15 acted as a key mediator of this process. In animal models, tumour-produced GDF15 was sufficient to trigger the cachexia phenotype. Elevated GDF15 circulating levels correlated with the onset and progression of cachexia in animal models and in patients with cancer. Inhibition of GDF15 biological activity with a specific antibody reversed body weight loss and restored muscle and fat tissue mass in several cachectic animal models regardless of their complex secreted cytokine profile.

Conclusions  The combination of correlative observations, gain of function, and loss of function experiments validated GDF15 as a key driver of cancer cachexia and as a potential therapeutic target for the treatment and/or prevention of this syndrome.
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Introduction

One of the most debilitating and lethal effects of cancer is the development of cancer-associated anorexia and cachexia syndrome (also known as wasting syndrome). It affects up to 80% of advanced cancer patients and is thought to be responsible for about 20–30% of all cancer deaths.\(^1\)–\(^3\) Cancer cachexia is a complex metabolic syndrome defined by the presence of involuntary weight loss that is primarily due to the loss of muscle and fat tissues. The weight loss is thought to result from an energy imbalance caused by reduced food intake and the shift from anabolic to catabolic metabolic processes driven by inflammation.\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\)

The molecular mechanisms responsible for the development of cancer-associated cachexia are poorly understood. It is postulated that constantly evolving tumours eventually...
acquire genetic changes that trigger the cachexia phenotype, potentially in combination with host susceptibility factors. Key to this phenotype is the increased synthesis of secreted effector molecules (cytokines and/or other pro-cachectic factors such as IL-1, IL-6, TNFα, and IFNγ) that, by acting directly or indirectly on host tissues, elicit metabolic changes that generate an imbalance in energy homeostasis. The direct or indirect actions of these pro-cachectic factors on the central nervous system cause reduced food intake, resulting in anorexia. On muscle tissues, these factors suppress the synthesis of muscle proteins and activate the ubiquitin-mediated proteolytic system, leading to increased muscle protein degradation, hypercatabolism, and ultimately, muscle atrophy. Muscle protein degradation results in the generation of amino acids and oligopeptides, providing building blocks for synthetic processes. The effect on adipose tissues is the reduction of adipogenesis and the induction of lipolysis, leading to elevated free fatty acid levels in circulation. Cancer cachexia cannot be reversed by conventional nutritional support. Ideally, an early intervention would prevent the cascade of cachalism and worsening of symptoms. However, the lack of a detailed molecular understanding of the underlying mechanism(s) of cancer cachexia has thus far prevented the development of effective therapies.

In the past two decades, the pro-inflammatory molecules tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNFα) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) have emerged as potential key mediators of cachexia, largely based on their ability to induce cachexia when injected into animals. Subsequent clinical trials with inhibitors of these molecules have shown no clinical benefit in preventing or reversing the effect of cachexia on lean body mass, suggesting that neither of these molecules is a critical driver of the syndrome. Recently, negative regulators of muscle homeostasis such as myostatin (GDF8) and Activin A have attracted attention based on their ability to induce muscle atrophy. Although the exact role of these molecules in inducing cancer-associated cachexia is not known, several agents targeting this pathway have entered clinical development. A related molecule, growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), a member of the TGFβ ligand family, has also been implicated in cancer cachexia. Serum levels of GDF15 correlate with body weight loss in patients with prostate cancer, and tumours engineered to overexpress GDF15 can induce cachexia in mice.

In an effort to identify tumour maintenance genes we tested the ability of members of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling pathway to drive tumour growth in vivo. MAPK pathways play a central role of mediating the effects of a variety of extracellular stimuli and they regulate gene expression, metabolism, cell division, morphology, and survival. We demonstrated that MAP3K11/MLK3 is a potent tumour maintenance gene. Surprisingly, we also found that MAP3K11 pathway activation in tumours triggered the induction of a genetic programme that caused severe cachexia of the host. The purpose of the study was to identify the essential mediator(s) of the MAP3K11 induced cachexia phenotype. We identified GDF15 as a key driver of this genetic programme. Furthermore, we also demonstrated that GDF15 acted as the key driver of cachexia in a variety of naturally occurring cachectic tumour models, and its plasma levels strongly correlated with cachexia in cancer patients representing different tumour types.

Results

MAP3K11 overexpression in tumours leads to weight loss in the host

We assessed the ability of potential tumour maintenance genes to drive tumour growth in vivo using a directed complementation (DC) approach. This assay involves testing the ability of an introduced gene to maintain tumour growth when expression of the original driving oncogene is turned off. We tested the ability of candidate tumour maintenance genes, MAP3K7/TAK1 or MAP3K11/MLK3, to form tumours in vivo in a genetically engineered model originally driven by a doxycycline-inducible HER2(V659E) oncogene. In this system, the expression and activity of HER2 were suppressed by doxycycline withdrawal and followed by the introduction of genes encoding MAP3K7, MAP3K11, or EGFR(L858R) and HER2(V659E) as positive controls. We implanted the transduced tumour cells in mice and assessed the ability of the introduced genes to drive tumour growth in the absence of the original HER2(V659E) oncogene. As shown in Figure 1A, cells infected with the empty vector were not able to form tumours, while 100% of the animals implanted with tumour cells expressing the positive control HER2(V659E) or EGFR (L858R) oncogenes developed tumours by day 18 post-implantation. All animals implanted with MAP3K11-expressing tumour cells developed tumours by day 25 post-injection, while only 40% of the animals injected with MAP3K7-expressing tumour cells developed tumours by day 30. Thus, the expression of MAP3K11 and to a lesser extent, MAP3K7, was able to complement the missing oncogenic function of HER2(V659E) and drive tumour growth.

Close inspection of mice bearing MAP3K11-driven tumours revealed severe weight loss (Figure 1B and 1C). Body weight loss was only observed in mice bearing with MAP3K11 but not with MAP3K7 or the positive control HER2(V659E) or EGFR(L858R)-complemented tumours (data not shown). This phenotype was reminiscent of cancer cachexia and was maintained upon the propagation of the MAP3K11-driven tumours in naive animals, validating that the phenotype was triggered by the tumour and not by the host.
Identification of secreted proteins induced by MAP3K11 overexpression

Cancer cachexia is thought to be a complex syndrome triggered, at least in part, by tumour-derived secreted factors that alter the metabolic balance of the host, resulting in severe body weight loss primarily because of the loss of skeletal muscle and adipose tissues.\(^{10,17,11}\) We hypothesized that activation of the MAP3K11 signalling pathway results in the elevated expression and increased secretion of effector proteins whose action on host tissues elicits metabolic changes resulting in body weight loss.

To identify secreted proteins whose expression was selectively elevated in the cachexia-inducing MAP3K11-driven DC tumours, we took advantage of a panel of closely related control, non-cachectic DC tumours generated through DC in the same tumour background with the overexpression of MAP3K7, HER3, FAK1, FGFR2αIIIb, FGFR2αIIIc, HER2(V659E), PIP5K1, RON, RON plus MSP, RON.160 variant, RSK2, and TNK2 genes. We compared the gene expression profile of 70 secreted proteins in these different tumour models. Also, we analysed the levels of 25 murine cytokines in the plasma of the animals carrying the non-cachectic HER2(V659E) and EGFR(L858R) and cachexia-inducing MAP3K11-driven tumours.

Transcript profiling revealed the selective upregulation of cytokines eotaxin/CCL11, CCL17, CCL24, the chemokine CXCL14, interleukins IL-11 and IL-1\(\beta\), and the TGF\(\beta\) family members INHBA/Activin A, BMP2, and GDF15 in the cachectic MAP3K11-driven tumour models (Figure 2A).

Protein profiling identified elevated levels of GDF15 and Activin A in the plasma of the cachectic animals. GDF15 was not detectable in the plasma of the naïve or non-cachectic hosts but significantly elevated in the plasma of cachectic animals (average plasma concentration 2 ng/mL). Activin A levels were also significantly higher in the cachectic animals (23 ng/mL, \(p=0.05\)) compared with the levels seen in the control non-cachectic (3 ng/mL) or naïve animals (0.3 ng/mL) (Figure 2B). Eotaxin and IL-1\(\beta\) plasma levels were not elevated in the cachectic animals while BMP2 was undetectable (data not shown). Assays specific to CCL17, CCL24, CXCL14, and IL-11 were not included in the panel. In addition, we detected elevated plasma levels of IL-6 (35 pg/mL vs. 12 pg/mL, \(p=0.045\)) in the tumour bearing animals vs. non-tumour bearing controls, but its circulating levels were not significantly higher in the MAP3K11 vs. the control EGFR(L858R) and HER2(V659E) models (Figure 2B).

We extended the blood protein analysis to animals carrying the well-known cachectic murine tumour model, the C26 colorectal carcinoma. Of the proteins analysed, GDF15...
was the most significantly increased in the circulation of animals carrying C26 tumours (13 ng/mL), compared with non-tumour-bearing (NTB) controls (undetectable). In addition to GDF15, Activin A, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), IL-1β, IL-4, IL-6, MCP-1/CCL2, and keratinocyte chemoattractant (KC) levels were also significantly increased (Figure 2C).

Taken together, we identified a number of secreted proteins with increased intratumoural expression (eotaxin/CCL11, CCL17, CCL24, CXCL14, IL-11, IL-1β), INHBA/Activin A, BMP-2, and GDF15) and elevated plasma levels (GDF15, Activin A, G-CSF, IL-1β, IL-4, IL-6, KC, and MCP-1) in cachectic tumour models as potential effectors of cancer-induced cachexia. Several of these proteins have already been implicated in the development of cachexia (IL-6, Activin A, and GDF15).12,20 Of the proteins examined, GDF15 and Activin A demonstrated a similar profile: their intratumoural expression and circulating levels were selectively upregulated in the cachectic MAP3K11-driven system and also in the plasma of animals carrying the cachectic C26 tumours.
Identification of secreted plasma proteins associated with cachexia in cancer patients

The experiments described above prompted us to examine if any of the cytokines whose elevated circulating levels correlated with the cachexia phenotype in mouse tumour models are also elevated in the plasma of cachetic cancer patients. To this end, we profiled the levels of 29 circulating human proteins using the Luminox human cytokine panel and ELISA assays (see Materials and methods and Supplementary Table S1) in the plasma of 43 non-cachetic and 142 cachetic cancer patients representing different tumour types. The cachetic patients were further classified according to the stage of cachexia, using the classification proposed by Fearon and colleagues14 as described by Vigano et al.24–26: body mass (weight change, lean, and fat mass), food intake, changes in metabolism and inflammatory markers, and disease progression were among some of the variable analysed for the classification. Twenty eight patients were classified as pre-cachetic, 49 as cachetic, and 65 as suffering from refractory cachexia.

As shown in Table 1, the analysis revealed that the plasma levels of GDF15, Activin A, IL-6, IL-8, and interferon gamma-induced protein 10 (IP-10) were significantly elevated in cachectic cancer patients as compared with non-cachetic controls. The levels of GDF15 and Activin A were increased over two-fold in the cachectic patients vs. controls (5.42 ng/mL vs. 2.67 ng/mL, \( p < 0.0001 \) for GDF15; 1.27 ng/mL vs. 0.62 ng/mL, \( p = 0.0002 \) for Activin A). Smaller but highly significant increases in IL-6 (32.2 pg/mL vs. 21.9 pg/mL, \( p = 0.0002 \)), IL-8 (55.6 pg/mL vs. 41.7 pg/mL, \( p = 0.007 \)), and IP-10 (1.2 ng/mL vs. 1.0 ng/mL, \( p = 0.04 \)) were detected in cachetic patients.

In patients classified as pre-cachetic only Activin A, GDF15, and IL-6 were significantly elevated while in cachetic cancer patients Activin A, GDF15, and RANTES/CCL5 levels were increased with significance. In the plasma of patients classified with refractory cachexia significant elevation of Activin A, GDF15, IL-6, IL-8, IP-10, vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) and platelet-derived growth factor BB (PDGF-BB) levels were detected (Table 1).

Notably, GDF15 plasma levels were significantly increased in pre-cachetic patients (5.44 ng/mL) and remained relatively

| Cytokine | Mean levels | P value |
|----------|-------------|---------|
| GDF15 A | No-Ca (n = 43) | Pre-Ca (n = 28) | Ca (n = 49) | Ref-Ca (n = 65) | Ca (n = 142) |
|         | No-Ca vs. Pre-Ca | No-Ca vs. Ca | No-Ca vs. Ref-Ca | No-Ca vs. Ca [all] |
| GDF15 A | 2.67 | 5.44 | 5.02 | 5.70 | 5.42 |
| ACTIVIN A | 0.62 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.53 | 1.27 |
| IL-6 | 21.89 | 27.29 | 30.39 | 35.61 | 32.23 |
| IL-8 | 41.74 | 47.56 | 48.94 | 63.77 | 55.58 |
| IP-10 | 985 | 1832 | 1040 | 1131 | 1232 |
| MIP-1β | 51.56 | 54.76 | 61.03 | 58.95 | 58.88 |
| VEGF | 36.40 | 50.88 | 49.43 | 51.21 | 50.53 |
| IL-15 | 3.15 | 4.04 | 10.12 | 5.86 | 6.99 |
| RANTES | 1284 | 1540 | 2265 | 1332 | 1694 |
| IL-10 | 16.99 | 55.68 | 20.59 | 32.00 | 32.52 |
| PDGF-BB | 685 | 879 | 1167 | 933 | 1004 |
| IL-2 | 12.91 | 13.91 | 20.81 | 18.08 | 18.24 |
| IL-12 P70 | 42.88 | 88.49 | 71.26 | 65.80 | 71.97 |
| GM-CSF | 6.22 | 1.27 | 14.20 | 8.17 | 8.95 |
| IL-1Ra | 282.9 | 300.7 | 369.4 | 419.2 | 379.6 |
| TNFα | 105.8 | 108.8 | 126.0 | 150.0 | 133.9 |
| IL-17 | 46.57 | 44.78 | 62.90 | 51.21 | 54.10 |
| IL-13 | 14.78 | 51.31 | 16.90 | 14.49 | 22.27 |
| IL-4 | 8.82 | 8.73 | 9.20 | 10.84 | 9.87 |
| MCP-1 | 34.43 | 43.35 | 40.80 | 39.82 | 40.82 |
| IL-1β | 6.82 | 6.83 | 7.13 | 8.79 | 7.85 |
| IFNγ | 211.5 | 206.7 | 218.7 | 296.6 | 252.4 |
| EOTAXIN | 102.8 | 111.7 | 223.1 | 130.7 | 159.1 |
| MIP-1α | 9.05 | 8.40 | 9.73 | 10.11 | 9.65 |
| IL-7 | 21.34 | 33.21 | 22.31 | 23.92 | 25.12 |
| G-CSF | 236.21 | 176.57 | 184.29 | 196.30 | 188.42 |
| IL-9 | 40.44 | 159.65 | 300.74 | 76.41 | 169.71 |
| bFGF | 50.33 | 43.08 | 62.49 | 52.10 | 53.99 |
| IL-5 | 8.29 | 18.76 | 8.87 | 8.90 | 10.75 |

\( ^{a} \)Levels of markers expressed as ng/mL of plasma; otherwise pg/mL of plasma.
flat in patients with a more severe form of cachexia (cachectic 5.03 ng/mL, refractory 5.7 ng/mL), while there was a ~50% increase in Activin A levels in refractory (1.53 ng/mL) vs. pre-cachectic (1.03 ng/mL) and cachectic (1.05 ng/mL) patients.

Furthermore, high (above median) IL-8 (hazard ratio [HR]:2.3, \( p = 1.55E-6 \)), GDF15 (HR:2.3, \( p = 4.93E-6 \)), IL-6 (HR:2.0, \( p = 5.56E-5 \)), Activin A (HR:1.9, \( p = 1.45E-4 \)), and IP-10 (HR:1.4, \( p = 3.4E-2 \)) levels correlated with increased probability of death in a multivariate analysis adjusted for patient age, tumour stage, and 6-month body weight loss (Supplementary Table SII).

**Ability of cachexia-associated secreted proteins to induce cachexia in mice**

Transcript and plasma/serum profiling experiments consistently correlated increased GDF15 and Activin A levels with cachexia in human cancer patients and mouse models. To test the ability of these proteins, along with IL-6 and IL-8, to induce cachexia in mice we have developed a modification of the DC platform called Double Directed Complementation (DDC), as none of these proteins can drive tumour growth when overexpressed in the DC system (data not shown). In the DDC system, the gene of interest (such as GDF15, Activin A, IL-6, or IL-8) is transcriptionally linked to the HER2(V659E) oncogene. The introduction of these fusion constructs into doxycycline-inducible murine mammary tumour cells ensures the in vivo growth of the transduced tumour cells in the absence of doxycycline because of the expression of HER2 (V659E). The complemented tumours also express the gene of interest because its expression is linked to that of HER2 (V659E).

Using the DDC approach, we generated tumours programmed to express human and murine GDF15 (hGDF15 and mGDF15), Activin A (human and murine 100% identical), human and murine IL-6 (hIL-6 and mIL-6), human IL-8, and its murine orthologue KC. Tumours complemented with only HER2(V659E) and the human STC1 gene encoding the secreted stanniocalcin1 protein were used as negative controls. We measured the body weight of tumour-bearing animals over time until the animals were euthanized either because of large tumour burden (1500–2000 mm³ with the Activin A, human and murine IL-6, human IL-8, murine KC, human STC1, and control HER2(V659E) tumour-bearing mice) or excessive body weight loss observed with the human and murine GDF15-expressing tumour-bearing mice (>20%).

No weight loss was detected in the negative control HER2 (V659E) and STC1-expressing tumour-bearing animals (Figure 2D). Similarly, we did not observe any weight loss in animals with tumours engineered to produce IL-8 or KC, although average plasma IL-8 levels reached 1 ng/mL, which is 15–18 times higher than the average plasma concentration seen in cachectic cancer patients (Figure 2D and Table 1). We observed moderate body weight loss (~10–15%) in mice carrying tumours secreting Activin A and the human and murine forms of IL-6. Average Activin A plasma concentration reached 3–5 ng/mL in the animals, a level that is 3–5 times higher than the average seen in cachectic cancer patients (Table 1). hIL-6 plasma levels reached an average concentration of 20 ng/mL, which is 500–600 times higher than that observed in cachectic human patients, while mIL-6 levels reached an average of 7 ng/mL, which is significantly higher (150 to 200 times) than levels observed in cachectic human patients (Table 1).

The overexpression of either human or murine GDF15 resulted in ~25% weight loss of the animals. hGDF15 and mGDF15 serum levels reached 7.5 ng/mL and 6 ng/mL, respectively, which is almost identical to what is seen in cachectic cancer patients (Figure 2D and Table 1).

**Inhibition of GDF15 reverses body weight loss induced by human tumours**

We identified several human tumour xenograft models with the ability to induce severe body weight loss in mice. These included prostate (LNCaP), ovarian (TOV-21G, EFO-21), colon (LS1034), breast (MFM-223), leukaemia (K562), and fibrosarcoma (HT-1080) models. We profiled the levels of the same 29 human plasma proteins used for the profiling of human plasma samples (Table 1) in the serum of mice carrying these cachectic tumours as well as in non-cachectic controls (PC3, RPMI7951, and Chago).

Of the proteins examined, hGDF15 was consistently elevated (>2 ng/mL) in mice carrying cachectic tumours and was very low or undetectable in the non-cachectic controls (Figure 3A). The cachectic and non-cachectic tumours also secreted varying amounts of Activin A and hIL-6; levels of these proteins were particularly elevated in the TOV-21G model.

As shown on Figure 3B–3F, 5A as well as in Supplementary Figure S1, all seven cachectic tumour models were able to induce significant body weight loss. Treatment with hGDF15-neutralizing antibody reversed the weight loss phenotype in all seven cachectic models at doses as low as 2 mg/kg. hGDF15 inhibition reversed body weight loss in animals carrying TOV-21G tumours even when they had already lost 20% of their weight prior to treatment (Figure 3C). The reversal of weight loss was complete despite the tumors producing high serum concentrations of hIL-6 (0.6 ng/mL) and Activin A (1 ng/mL) (Figure 3A) at the start of the experiment (day 1). Likewise, we observed complete reversal of weight loss in the MFM-223 (Figure 3D) and HT-1080 (Figure 5A) models although both tumour lines secreted high serum concentrations of Activin A (0.6 ng/mL and 0.8 ng/mL, respectively) at day 1 of the experiment. hGDF15 inhibition
also completely reversed body weight loss in the presence of high serum concentrations of hGDF15 (25 ng/mL) in the LNCaP (Figure 3E) tumour model as well as in the K562 tumour model (Figure 3F), LS-1034, and EFO-21 tumour models (Supplementary Figure S1).

In none of the models the inhibition of GDF15 function affected tumour growth kinetics or proliferation rate suggesting that GDF15 does not have direct role promoting tumour growth (data not shown).

Inhibition of GDF15 reverses body weight loss induced by MAP3K11-driven murine breast tumours

The experiments described above demonstrated that GDF15 is an important effector of cancer-induced body weight loss. In the cachectic, MAP3K11-driven murine breast DC tumours we identified overexpression of GDF15 along with CCL11, CCL17, CCL24, CXCL14, IL-11, IL-1β, INHBA/Activin A, and
Figure 4  Inhibition of GDF15 reverses body weight loss induced by murine cachectic tumours. (A). MAP3K11-driven DC tumour model. Mice bearing MAP3K11 DC tumours (n = 10/group) were administered a single dose of murine GDF15-specific rabbit monoclonal antibody (R-23) or IgG1 control (10 mg/kg each) at the time of ~7% body weight loss. Total weight of the animals was monitored daily. Paired t-test was applied, **P < 0.01. (B). C26 colorectal carcinoma model. Mice bearing C26 (n = 10/group) were administered a single dose of murine GDF15-specific rabbit monoclonal antibody (R-23) or IgG1 control (10 mg/kg each) at the time of ~7% body weight loss. Total weight of the animals was monitored daily. Paired t-test was applied, **P < 0.01.

Figure 5  HT-1080 tumour-induced muscle and fat loss completely reversed by GDF15 inhibition. (A). HT-1080 tumour-bearing mice were treated with a single dose of GDF15 inhibitory antibody (mAb01G06) or IgG1 control (10mg/kg each) when ~7% of their body weight was lost. Body weight was monitored daily. Animals were sacrificed on day 7, and muscle and fat tissues were collected (n = 10/group). Total weight of the animals was monitored daily. Paired t-test was applied, ***P < 0.001. (B). Weight of isolated gastrocnemius and soleus muscles at day 1 for baseline group (7% weight loss) and day 7 for IgG1 control and GDF15 inhibitory antibody-treated groups (n = 10/group). Statistical difference vs. IgG1 in post hoc testing is signified as *P < 0.05. (C). Weight of isolated inguinal, perirenal, gonadal, and subscapular white fat pads at day 1 for baseline group (7% body weight loss) and day 7 for IgG1 control and GDF15 inhibitory antibody-treated groups (n = 10/group). Statistical difference vs. IgG1 in post hoc testing is signified as ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.001.
As described above, we identified a number of human and murine tumour models capable of inducing body weight loss in mice. Although these tumours express and secrete a diverse set of cytokines, the inhibition of GDF15 alone was sufficient to reverse body weight loss. We further characterized the effect of cancer-induced cachexia on host tissues and organs as well as the effect of GDF15 inhibition on body composition.

In the experiment shown in Figure 5, HT-1080 tumour-bearing mice were treated with a single dose of the hGDF15 inhibitory antibody (mAb01G06, 10 mg/kg) or control murine immunoglobulin G1 (mIgG1, 10 mg/kg) when the animals lost an average of 7% of their weight. Treatment with the GDF15 inhibitory antibody rapidly reversed body weight loss, while the mIgG1-treated animals continued to lose weight. The experiment was terminated 7 days post-dosing when the control mIgG1-treated animals lost ~17% of their total body weight. A separate cohort of animals with ~7% of body weight loss on day 1 (baseline) were also euthanized; muscle and fat tissues were collected and weighted from both treatments and baseline groups (Figure 5A).

The weights of the gonadal, perirenal, and inguinal subscapular white fat tissues, as well as of the gastrocnemius and soleus muscles, were significantly reduced in the mIgG1 control group, compared with those in the baseline controls (Figures 5B and 5C). The weights of the fat and muscle tissues in the mAb01G06-treated group were similar to those in the baseline group, suggesting that treatment with the GDF15 inhibitory antibody either arrests fat and muscle tissue loss or stimulates de novo fat and muscle tissue creation.

To distinguish between these possibilities we performed an identical experiment in which the body fat and muscle weights of the treated animals were compared with both baseline controls and age-matched, NTB sham control animals. Animals treated with the GDF15 inhibitory antibody regained their normal weight to levels slightly above the weight of the sham control animals. The marginally higher weight of these animals over the NTB sham controls is attributed to tumour weight. Gonadal fat and gastrocnemius muscle mass recovered to the levels seen in the NTB sham control animals and above the baseline controls, demonstrating that GDF15 inhibition not only prevents additional fat and muscle tissue loss in cachectic animals but results in de novo muscle and adipose tissue synthesis (Figure 6). In HT-1080 tumour-bearing cachectic animals there was also a statistically significant reduction in the size of the liver, heart, and spleen, an effect reversed by the GDF15 inhibitory antibody. Moreover, the reversal of body composition changes also correlated with significant prolongation of survival (measured as time to euthanasia) compared with mice treated with IgG control that experienced significant body weight loss (>20%) and were sacrificed (Figure 6D).

Taken together, the HT-1080 tumour-induced wasting phenotype recapitulates all body composition changes associated with cancer cachexia. Inhibition of GDF15 is not only sufficient to restore normal body composition but also prolong survival. Similar observations were made in the K562 and TOV-21G models.

**Metabolic characterization of the cancer cachexia phenotype**

In order to characterize the underlying metabolic changes driving cancer cachexia, we performed whole-animal indirect calorimetry experiments in a comprehensive laboratory animal monitoring system (CLAMS) using the cachectic HT-1080 tumour model and NTB sham control mice (Figure 7). By days 9–11 following tumour implantation, the tumour-bearing animals had significantly reduced food intake, locomotor activity, energy expenditure (EE, measured as oxygen consumption) and resting energy expenditure (REE) during both diurnal and nocturnal periods compared with NTB mice. The combined effect of these metabolic changes was reduction of body weight in the tumour-bearing animals.

The tumour-bearing mice received two doses of GDF15 inhibitory antibody or human IgG1 (hlgG1) (10 mg/kg each) on day 11 (when they had lost ~15% of their total body weight) and on day 13. Within two days (days 11–13) following administration of the GDF15 inhibitory antibody, the treated mice increased food intake and regained body weight similar to that of the NTB sham control animals. These animals also displayed increased locomotor activity, EE, and REE, while the IgG1 control-treated mice continued to experience declines in body weight, locomotor activity, and EE. The respiratory exchange ratio (RER) of the GDF15 inhibitor-treated mice also dramatically increased (values >1) in this period, indicating increased anabolic activity, with carbohydrates the preferred source of energy. During this period the GDF15
inhibitor-treated cachectic mice recovered their body weight and body composition.

After the body weight recovery period (days 13–15 after tumour inoculation), the metabolic profile (body weight, EE, REE, RER) of the GDF15 inhibitor-treated animals became similar to that of the normal NTB controls. The partial recovery of locomotor activity might be because of the large tumours interfering with the mobility of the animals. The increased EE in these animals might be due increased food intake and anabolic activities (as indicated by shift in RER values). GDF15 inhibition resulted in similar metabolic changes in cachectic animals carrying murine renal cancer cell (RENCA) tumours.

This experiment demonstrated that GDF15 inhibition immediately shifts the metabolic profile of the cachectic animals from catabolism to anabolism, leading to the recovery of normal body weight and restoration of normal body composition (i.e. recovery of muscle, fat, and organ sizes).

Concomitant inhibition of cachexia and tumour growth leads to increased survival

Next we investigated the effect of the combination of tivozanib, a potent angiogenesis inhibitor and anti-tumour agent, with a GDF15 inhibitory antibody (mAb14F11) on survival in the LNCaP tumour model.27 LNCaP tumours produce high levels of GDF15 (>25 ng/mL) and induce severe body weight loss that can be reversed by GDF15 inhibition (Figure 3E). Tivozanib treatment results in the stabilization of tumour growth. In this experiment, tumours were grown to ~500 mm³ before treatment with either tivozanib alone (5 mg/kg) or the combination of tivozanib and mAb14F11 (20 mg/kg). As compared with controls, tivozanib treatment either alone or in combination prevented further tumour growth and led to the stabilization of tumour size around 500 mm³ while in control treated animals the mean tumour volume exceeded 1500 mm³ (Figure 8A). Despite tivozanib's tumour growth inhibitory activity, animals
treated with tivozanib alone continued to lose weight, while animals treated with the combination of tivozanib and the GDF15 inhibitory antibody quickly reversed body weight loss and gained weight (Figure 8B). The inhibition of tumour growth by tivozanib and the reversal of body weight loss by GDF15 inhibition resulted in improved survival over control or animals treated with tivozanib monotherapy (HR: 0.13, \( P = 0.023 \) and HR: 0.14, \( P = 0.045 \), respectively) (Figure 8C).

This experiment demonstrated that simultaneous inhibition or stabilization of tumour growth and the suppression of the effects of tumour-induced cachexia can significantly extend life span.

**Discussion**

The exact molecular mechanisms responsible for the induction of cancer cachexia are currently unknown. Here we demonstrated that MAP3K11/MLK3 pathway activation in genetically engineered tumour models elicited a genetic
programme resulting in the wasting of the host. This effect was specific to MAP3K11 signalling. While both MAP3K11 and MAP3K7/TAK1 overexpression could drive tumour growth, only MAP3K11 pathway activation was able to induce cachexia. This was likely to be the consequence of signalling differences between MAP3K11 and MAP3K7 that are currently not well understood but could be identified by careful proteomic mapping of signalling molecules in the closely related MAP3K7- and MAP3K11-driven murine mammary DC tumours. Nevertheless, induction of MAP3K11 signalling represents one mechanism in tumours that can trigger cachexia although the upstream oncogenic events that lead to the activation of the pathway are yet to be identified.

Engineered MAP3K11 driven or naturally occurring cachectic murine and human tumours expressed and secreted a diverse set of cytokines representing potential mediators of cachexia. Of these, IL-6, Activin A and GDF15 have been implicated in the development of cachexia. Elevated circulating levels of GDF15 (along with Activin A, IL-6, IL-8, IP-10, VEGFA, RANTES/CCL5, and PDGF-BB) were also correlated with cachexia in human patients, where it was also predictive of overall survival. Circulating levels of GDF15 have been shown to be associated with cancer morbidity and predict poor survival in endometrial and gastric cancers but not in esophago-gastric cancers. Circulating levels of GDF15 also robustly correlated with survival in patients with congestive heart failure, in whom it is an independent predictor of mortality as well as in end-stage renal disease.

The strong association of GDF15 with the cachexia phenotype in tumour models and in human cancer patients prompted the validation of GDF15 as a potential driver of cachexia in gain of function and loss of function experiments in a variety of tumour models. These experiments distinguished GDF15 as a potent cachexia inducing factor whose inhibition triggered metabolic changes that resulted in the complete reversal of body weight loss and restoration of normal body composition independent of the complex secreted cytokine profile of the tumour.
Recently, it has been shown in preclinical models that inhibition of IL-6, GDF8, Activin receptor IIB (ActRIIB), and tumour-derived parathyroid hormone-related protein (PThrP) can reverse cachexia. These observations suggest that different mechanisms might drive cachexia in different tumour contexts. The authors performed experiments with mouse monoclonal antibodies against human GDF15 activity. Among these, R-23 is a rabbit anti-mouse GDF15 monoclonal antibody. It binds (KD > 10-11) and neutralizes GDF15 activity in vivo. All these antibodies were developed by AVEO Oncology Inc. (Cambridge, MA, USA).

AF957 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) is a goat anti-human GDF15 polyclonal antibody that neutralizes human GDF15 activity.

**ActRIIB, PThrP, and IL-6 neutralizing antibodies**

Neutralizing antibodies to ActRIIB, PThrP, and IL-6 were produced at AVEO Oncology using sequence information from US Patents No. 20100272734, 7906117, and 8029793.

**DC and DDC tumour generation, gene expression profiling**

Directed complemented (DC) tumours were engineered as previously described. Briefly, tumour cells derived from doxycycline-inducible HER2(V659)-driven murine breast tumours were infected in vitro for 48h with pBDG-1 replication-defective retroviral vectors, which directed the expression of either MAP3K7 or MAP3K11 and HER2(V659E), EGFR(L858R) positive controls; or with the empty vector alone as a negative control. The infected cells were then injected subcutaneously into the flank of 9-week-old ICR-SCID female mice in the absence of doxycycline, and tumour latency and penetrance were monitored three times a week. Tumours of ~500 mm³ were excised, and the expression of the transduced genes (MAP3K7, MAP3K11, HER2(V659E), and EGFR(L858R)) was verified by real-time polymerase chain reaction. Tumors expressing the target genes and lacking the expression of the original doxycycline-controlled HER2(V659E) oncogenes were further propagated in mice.

For the generation of double-directed complemented (DDC) tumours a modified version of the pBDG-1 vector was used in which the gene of interest was transcriptionally linked to the HER2(V659E) oncogene via an internal ribosome entry site.

**Gene expression profiling**

Total RNA isolated from frozen tumour tissues was converted into fluorescence-labelled cRNA that was hybridized to DNA oligonucleotide microarrays via the GeneChip® Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Array (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Universal mouse reference RNA from Stratagene was used as the reference and hybridized. Microarray data has been deposited in NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus database (accession no. GSE68162). After Robust Multi-array Average normalization, p value < 0.05 and the fold-change threshold > 1.5 were identified to be statistically significant alterations.

**Material and methods**

**Cell cultures**

HT-1080, LNCaP, TOV-21G, Chago, RPMI795, PC3, K562, LS1034, and EFO-21 cancer cell lines were acquired from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). MFM-223 and endometrial cancer cell lines were obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures. All cancer cell lines were cultured according to the suppliers’ recommendations. C26 was a generous gift from Dr. Dario Coletti, University of Rome, Italy.

**GDF15 inhibitory antibodies**

mAb01G06 and mAb14F11 are mouse monoclonal anti-human GDF15 inhibitory antibodies. AV-380 and Hu01G06-127 are humanized forms of the murine monoclonal antibodies. The generation and characterization of these antibodies will be described elsewhere. Briefly, all these antibodies bind to human GDF15 with high affinity (KD > 10-11) and neutralize GDF15 activity in vivo cachetic models.

R-23 is a rabbit anti-mouse GDF15 monoclonal antibody. It binds (KD > 10-11) and inhibits the activities of murine GDF15 in vivo. All these antibodies were developed by AVEO Oncology Inc. (Cambridge, MA, USA).

AF957 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) is a goat anti-human GDF15 polyclonal antibody that neutralizes human GDF15 activity.

AF957 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) is a goat anti-human GDF15 polyclonal antibody that neutralizes human GDF15 activity.
Plasma cytokine profiling in tumour-bearing mice

Bio-Plex Pro™ Murine Cytokine 23-Plex Assay from Bio-Rad® (Hercules, CA, USA) was used to measure the circulating levels of murine cytokines including eotaxin; fibroblast growth factor basic; G-CSF; GDF15; granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF); interferon gamma (IFN-γ); interleukins IL-10, IL-12p40, IL-12p70, IL-13, IL-17, IL-15, IL-1α, IL-1β, interleukin-1 receptor a (IL-1Ra), IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, and IL-9; KC; IFN-γ-induced protein 10 (IP-10 or CXCL10); monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1 or CCL2); macrophage inflammatory protein-1 alpha (MIP-1α or CCL3); macrophage inflammatory protein-1 beta (MIP-1β or CCL4); PDGF-BB; regulated on activation, normal t cell expressed and secreted (RANTES or CCL5); TNFα; and VEGFA. For the profiling of circulating levels of human cytokines Bio-Plex Pro™ Human Cytokine 27-Plex Assay from Bio-Rad® (Lumexin®, magnetic beads, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) was used. Human and murine Activin A and GDF15 were measured using murine or human-specific ELISA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Plasma cytokine profiling in cancer patients

This retrospective study received ethical approval from the McGill University Institutional Review Board and is in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. One hundred and eighty five patients provided written informed consent and were all assessed at the hospital bedside, as described in Vigano AL, et al. 2014. Patient recruitment and data collection took place between March 2006 and November 2007. Classification of the cachectic patients was described by Vigano AL, et al. 2012. Plasma cytokines were profiled as described above. Patient characteristics and individual cytokine values are summarized in (Supplementary Table SI).

Analysis of cachexia phenotype in vivo

In the TOV-21G, K562 in vivo studies, 8-week-old female C.B-17-SCID mice (Taconic Biosciences, Hudson, NY, USA) were inoculated subcutaneously (s.c.) into the flank with 5 × 10⁶ cells in 1:1 RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Grand Island, NY, USA)/Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). For the MFM-223 in vivo studies, 5-week-old female NCR Nude mice (Taconic) were implanted under isoflurane anesthesia, with a Troca needle, s.c. on the left flank with 0.72 mg of 90-day-release 17β-estradiol pellets (Innovative Research, Novi, MI, USA) and inoculated s.c. into the right flank with 5 × 10⁶ cells in 1:1 RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen)/Matrigel (BD Biosciences). For the LS1034 and LNCaP in vivo studies, 8-week-old female NCr Nude mice (Taconic) were implanted s.c. into the right flank with 5 × 10⁶ cells in 1:1 EMEM (ATCC)/Matrigel.

Body weight measurements were made daily at the same time of the day. When body weight dropped by the desired percentage, mice were randomized based on percentage body weight dropped and tumour volume into groups of 10 animals each and received GDF15 inhibitory antibody (2–20 mg/kg) or a control IgG1 at (2–20 mg/kg) by intraperitoneal injection.

At the end of study, mice were sacrificed and tissues (gastrocnemius and soleus muscle, inguinal, subcapsular, perirenal, and gonadal fat pads) were surgically excised and weighed by necropsy. Survival was defined as time to euthanasia, i.e. animals with tumours >2000 mm³ in size or with >20% of body weight loss and/or with moribund health were sacrificed as required by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol.

Metabolic characterization of cancer-associated cachexia

Whole-body energy metabolism was evaluated using a CLAMS (Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Carbon dioxide and oxygen data were collected every 24 min for each mouse and were normalized to total body weight. Metabolic measurements (oxygen consumption, CO₂ production) were measured every 24 min for each mouse. Food intake and locomotor activity were obtained continuously using a CLAMS (OxyMax, Columbus Instruments) open-circuit indirect calorimetry system. EE and RER were calculated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. REE was calculated from metabolic data per subject per light–dark period by considering only EE measurements, which occurred during the lowest 25% of activity for that period.45,46

Ethics Committee approval

Animal work was carried out in accordance with AVEO’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines and with the policies and certification of the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care. All mice were treated in accordance with the Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare Public Health Service Policy on Human Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Institute for Laboratory Animal Research Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Mice were received from Taconic (Cambridge City, IN) and acclimatized to the animal care facility (ACF) for at least 1 week prior to study start. The room which housed the study animals was maintained on a 12:12 h/h light cycle with lights on at 7:00AM. Room temperature was maintained at 70°F, and humidity was set at 35%. Cage changes and health checks were performed on all animals by animal care staff as per Standard Operating Procedures.
Mice were housed, 5 per cage, on a ventilated Innorack® IVC v. 3.5 mouse caging system (San Diego, CA). Cage bottoms were filled with paper twist enriched corn cob bedding. For additional enrichment, Innodome™ huts were added to each cage. For the duration of the study, mice were maintained on Irradiated Purina Lab Diet 5V75 and Innovive Aquavive® water bottles. Food and water were available ad libitum for the duration of the study.

Statistics

Parameters are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean. Tests were carried out two-tailed with α = 0.05 using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, CA, USA). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett test adjustments for multiple comparisons and multiple ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test were used where applicable in group comparisons. Survival analysis was performed using log-rank Mantel–Cox test.
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Figure S1. Reversal of body weight loss induced by cachectic tumour models by GDF15 inhibition. LS1034 colon carcinoma and EFO-21 ovarian carcinoma models. Mice were dosed at the indicated time with a GDF15 inhibitory antibody or IgG control antibody (10 mg/kg each). Total body weight was monitored daily (n = 10/group). Total weight of the animals was monitored daily. Paired t-test was applied **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Table SII. Association between IL-8, GDF15, IL-6, Activin A, and IP-10 plasma levels and overall survival in cancer patients. Multivariate analysis using the Cox Proportional Hazard Model adjusted by age, stage (locally advanced, metastatic, recurrent), and 6-month weight loss. Median was used as a cutoff for each cytokine. Top 5 (ranked by P value) out of 29 cytokines analysed (n = 188 cancer patients).
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