Sex-specific association of hair cortisol concentration with stress-related psychological factors in healthy young adults
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Abstract

Background: Hair cortisol concentration (HCC) has received attention as a useful marker of stress, but evidence on associations between psychological factors and cortisol concentration is inconsistent. The purpose of this study was to investigate the sex differences in the relationship between cortisol concentration and psychological factors in healthy young adults.

Methods: A total of 205 (103 females, 102 males) healthy young adults participated. HCC and various stress-related psychological measures were compared between sexes. Multiple linear regression analyses were performed to assess associations between HCC and stress-related psychological measures for all participants and for each sex.

Results: The difference in HCC according to sex was not significant. The reported number of stressful life events in the past year, stress perception, depressive and anxiety-related symptoms, and emotion dysregulation were not different between sexes, either. The association between HCC and emotion dysregulation was significant in females but not males.

Conclusion: We observed a sex-specific association between HCC and psychological factors. Our findings may imply that HCC could be a useful biomarker of stress and stress-related emotion dysregulation in healthy young women.

Highlights

- Associations between hair cortisol level and psychological factors have been inconclusive.
- In healthy young adults, the hair cortisol concentration was not significantly different between sexes.
- The association between emotion dysregulation and hair cortisol was only found in women, but not in men.
- The role of sex should be considered in utilizing hair cortisol as a biomarker for psychological stress response.
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by diurnal variations [1]. HCC is also less invasive than other methods. These advantages make HCC more suitable for studying chronic stress than plasma- or saliva-based measurement.

Despite numerous attempts to utilize HCC as a stress biomarker, the relationship between cortisol concentration and psychological factors remains unclear [2]. This could be due to other possible moderating factors such as sex. Several sex-related differences regarding cortisol have been reported, such as higher baseline cortisol concentrations in women [3, 4]. Cortisol is the end-product of hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis responses to stress. It is downregulated by inhibiting glucocorticoid receptors in the hypothalamus and pituitary or the mineralocorticoid receptors in the hippocampus [5, 6] which is called negative feedback loop. Negative feedback of cortisol was found to be slower in women than men (for review, see [7]). Studies in rodents have found that there are fewer glucocorticoid receptors in female [8] which could also explain this sex difference in negative feedback of cortisol in human. Following psychological stress-inducing tasks, elevation and normalization of cortisol concentrations were faster in men [9]. Therefore, the relationship between HCC and psychological factors may also differ between sexes, but a possible sex-dependent relationship between HCC and psychological factors remains uncovered.

Another reason for the lack of clarity in this relationship could be that various psychological measures were not comprehensively examined. Various psychological factors have been shown to contribute differently to an individual’s cortisol response; while there was no significant association between cortisol and self-reported stress perception [2], cortisol was affected by depression and anxiety [10] and emotion regulation [11].

Since psychiatric conditions affect baseline cortisol levels or cortisol response system function [10], we performed HCC analyses in mentally healthy subjects to eliminate this confounding effect. In addition, limiting the participants’ age to young adulthood of age 18 years to 30 years old would rule out confounding effects of age on HPA axis activity [12]. The present study aimed to investigate whether sex and various stress-related psychological measures are associated with HCC in healthy young individuals. We hypothesized that there would be a sex difference in HCC, even in the absence of differences in stress-related psychological factors. Furthermore, we expected that the association between HCC and stress-related psychological factors would be sex dependent.

Methods

Participants

A total of 205 subjects (102 males and 103 females) were recruited from November 2016 to July 2018 via posters or internet job advertisements at Severance Hospital of the Yonsei University Health System, Seoul, Korea. Participant ages ranged from 19 to 30 years. Each individual was assessed using the Structured Clinical Interview in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IV to rule out major psychiatric illness. Individuals were excluded if they had a history of any of the following: (a) neurological illness; (b) head trauma accompanied by loss of consciousness; (c) a medical or surgical condition requiring hospitalization; (d) discharge from a hospital in the past 6 months; (e) use of glucocorticoid medication, oral contraceptives, or hormone replacement therapy; or (f) current pregnancy or breastfeeding.

Measures

Stress-related psychological measures

Multiple psychological measures were used to assess different aspects of stress. Major stressful life events over the last year were identified with The Korean version of the Social Readjustment Rating Scale (K-SRRS) [13]. For each stressful event, the participants reported its frequency in the past 12 months, and the weighted sum over all events was calculated to produce a total score. The Cronbach's alpha measure of internal consistency for the K-SRRS was 0.717 for the present study. The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) [14] was used to quantify participants’ thoughts or feelings after a stressful event or encounter (range 10–50). The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [15] and the State–Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) [16] were also used, although participants with major psychiatric disorders were excluded a priori. The BDI (range 0–63) and STAI (range 20–80 for each State and Trait Anxiety Inventory) scales assessed the participants’ emotional states including depression and anxiety symptoms. The Difficulties in Emotional Regulation Scale (DERS) [17] was used to investigate the participants’ emotion dysregulation, which is the ability to regulate one’s emotions. The survey inquires how the participant behaves under negative emotions, and if they understand and accept these emotional changes. Each DERS item was scored on a five-point Likert scale, with a higher score indicating trouble accepting or regulating emotions (range 36–180).

HCC measurement

Approximately 10 strands or 10 mg of hair were cut with scissors from the participants’ posterior vertex. The 3 cm of hair closest to the scalp was used for cortisol
measurement for each strand, which represents the average cortisol level of the preceding 3 months assuming an average growth rate of 1 cm/month. The hair samples were stored in a plastic bag at room temperature for less than 12 months. The hair protein was then extracted using a Minute™ Protein extraction kit (Invent Biotechnologies, Plymouth, MN, USA). The normalization of total amount of protein in each sample was done using a Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Enzyme-linked immunoassays were performed to detect the cortisol concentration with a detection limit of 1.14 ng/mL (lower 95% confidence limit). Most previous studies have used hair weight as reference value for HCC measurements [2]; however, hair weight can be influenced by hair characteristics, such as density or diameter [18]. Therefore, we calculated the relative HCC value by dividing the cortisol concentration by hair protein concentration [19]. The final HCC value was recorded as pg hair cortisol/μg hair protein. The mean intra-assay coefficient of variation was 3.47%.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS Version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). For parametric data including age, PSS, SAI, TAI, and DERS, independent t-test was used for comparisons of sexes. For non-parametric data including HCC, K-SRRS, and BDI, Mann–Whitney U-test was used to compare between sexes. Chi-square test was used for the comparison of level of education between sexes. In order to produce a normal distribution of responses before multiple linear regression, logarithmic, fourth root, and square root transformations were applied to the HCC, K-SRRS, and BDI scores, respectively. All variables had skewness levels that were acceptable for statistical analysis (< 1.0) after transformation. Multiple linear regression models were developed to assess associations between HCC and stress-related psychological measures for all participants and for men and women separately. Interaction effects were examined between the significant independent variables by adding interaction terms to the analysis. Participants with missing data were not included in the corresponding regression analyses. The significance threshold was p < 0.05 for all analyses.

Results

Study participants

The baseline characteristics, HCC levels, and stress-related measures of study participants are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in age, level of education, or stress-related psychological measures

Table 1  Participant demographic characteristics

| Variable | Total (n = 205) | Men (n = 102) | Women (n = 103) | p<sup>d</sup> |
|----------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|
| Age, mean (SD), years | 23.0 (2.6) | 23.4 (2.5) | 22.7 (2.6) | 0.053 |
| Education, n | | | | |
| High school graduation | 9 | 3 | 6 | 0.105 |
| College education (some) | 141 | 78 | 63 | |
| College graduation | 46 | 19 | 27 | |
| Graduate school education (some) | 6 | 2 | 4 | |
| Graduate school graduation | 3 | 0 | 3 | |
| HCC, median (IQR), pg/μg | 5578.2 (6093.8) | 5256.3 (5985.3) | 5787.8 (5963.7) | 0.286 |
| Stress-related psychological measures | | | | |
| K-SRRS<sup>a</sup>, median (IQR) | 316.5 (420.5) | 298.5 (412.8) | 333.5 (403.0) | 0.371 |
| PSS<sup>b</sup>, mean (SD) | 25.6 (5.7) | 25.1 (5.6) | 26.0 (5.8) | 0.243 |
| BDF<sup>c</sup>, median (IQR) | 3.0 (7.0) | 3.0 (7.0) | 4.0 (6.8) | 0.098 |
| SAI<sup>c</sup>, mean (SD) | 36.6 (9.1) | 36.9 (9.4) | 36.3 (8.8) | 0.654 |
| TAI<sup>c</sup>, mean (SD) | 41.0 (7.3) | 41.1 (7.7) | 40.9 (7.0) | 0.824 |
| DERS<sup>c</sup>, mean (SD) | 73.3 (18.0) | 72.6 (16.9) | 73.9 (19.0) | 0.623 |

<sup>a</sup> K-SRRS data were available for 100 men and 102 women
<sup>b</sup> PSS, SAI, TAI, and DERS data were available for 101 men and all women
<sup>c</sup> BDI data were available for all men and 102 women
<sup>d</sup> p-value was calculated by comparing sexes using independent t-test for age, PSS, SAI, TAI, and DERS, Mann–Whitney U test for HCC, K-SRRS, and BDI, and Chi-square test for level of education

BDI Beck Depression Inventory, DERS Difficulty in Emotion Regulation Scale, HCC hair cortisol concentration, IQR interquartile range, K-SRRS Korean version of the Social Readjustment Rating Scale, PSS Perceived Stress Scale, SAI State Anxiety Inventory, SD standard deviation, TAI Trait Anxiety Inventory
between sexes. HCC was not significantly different between sexes.

**Associations between HCC and stress-related psychological measures**

For all participants, sex ($B = 0.248$, $p = 0.039$) and emotion dysregulation ($B = 0.012$, $p = 0.006$) were significantly associated with HCC in the regression model ($R^2 = 0.079$; $p = 0.041$). The multiple linear regression results are shown in Table 2.

### Table 2  Multiple linear regression results of HCC for all participants

| Independent variable | $B$   | 95% confidence interval | $p$   |
|----------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| (Constant)           | 7.471 | [5.942, 9.000]           | <0.001|
| Sex                  | 0.248 | [0.013, 0.484]           | 0.039 |
| Age                  | 0.008 | [-0.038, 0.054]          | 0.721 |
| K-SRRS               | 0.042 | [-0.040, 0.123]          | 0.314 |
| PSS                  | -0.024| [-0.051, 0.003]          | 0.086 |
| BDI                  | -0.023| [-0.145, 0.098]          | 0.704 |
| SAI                  | 0.017 | [-0.002, 0.037]          | 0.074 |
| TAI                  | -0.013| [-0.040, 0.014]          | 0.353 |
| DERS                 | 0.012 | [0.003, 0.021]           | 0.006 |

BDI Beck Depression Inventory, DERS Difficulty in Emotion Regulation Scale, HCC hair cortisol concentration, K-SRRS Korean version of the Social Readjustment Rating Scale, PSS Perceived Stress Scale, SAI State Anxiety Inventory, TAI Trait Anxiety Inventory

**Sex-specific association between HCC and emotion dysregulation**

When participants were grouped by sex, emotion dysregulation ($B = 0.023$, $p = 0.001$) was significantly associated with HCC only for females ($R^2 = 0.158$; $p = 0.021$). None of the independent variables were significantly associated with HCC in males. The multiple linear regression results for each sex are shown in Table 3. When multiple linear regression analysis was performed with the sex*DERS interaction term, it was significantly associated with HCC ($B = 0.017$, $p = 0.010$) after adjusting for other variables. The relationships between HCC and DERS among males and females are depicted in Fig. 1.

### Table 3  Multiple linear regression results of HCC for men and women

| Sex         | Independent variable | $B$   | 95% confidence interval | $p$   |
|-------------|----------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| Men ($n = 102$) | (Constant)           | 9.107 | [7.295, 10.920]          | <0.001|
|             | Age                  | -0.020| [-0.080, 0.040]         | 0.514 |
|             | K-SRRS               | 0.014 | [-0.091, 0.120]         | 0.787 |
|             | PSS                  | -0.027| [-0.066, 0.013]         | 0.182 |
|             | BDI                  | 0.018 | [-0.137, 0.174]         | 0.817 |
|             | SAI                  | -0.020| [-0.007, 0.046]         | 0.139 |
|             | TAI                  | -0.007| [-0.041, 0.026]         | 0.666 |
|             | DERS                 | -0.001| [-0.013, 0.011]         | 0.898 |
| Women ($n = 103$) | (Constant)           | 6.324 | [4.049, 8.599]          | <0.001|
|             | Age                  | 0.048 | [-0.023, 0.119]         | 0.182 |
|             | K-SRRS               | 0.080 | [-0.048, 0.209]         | 0.218 |
|             | PSS                  | -0.019| [-0.058, 0.020]         | 0.338 |
|             | BDI                  | -0.076| [-0.271, 0.118]         | 0.439 |
|             | SAI                  | 0.019 | [-0.010, 0.048]         | 0.206 |
|             | TAI                  | -0.019| [-0.064, 0.026]         | 0.935 |
|             | DERS                 | 0.023 | [0.010, 0.035]          | 0.001 |

BDI Beck Depression Inventory, DERS Difficulty in Emotion Regulation Scale, HCC hair cortisol concentration, K-SRRS Korean version of the Social Readjustment Rating Scale, PSS Perceived Stress Scale, SAI State Anxiety Inventory, TAI Trait Anxiety Inventory

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate sex-dependent associations between HCC and stress-related psychological factors in a cohort of healthy young adults. In the analysis including participants of both sexes, emotion dysregulation and sex were associated with HCC levels. An association between HCC and emotion dysregulation was only observed in women, suggesting that there was a sex-specific association of HCC with stress-related psychological factors and that the association between HCC and emotion dysregulation in all participants was driven by female participants.

Stress perception, emotional states, and emotion regulation were not different between males and females in this cohort. Contrary to our original hypothesis, there was not a significant difference in HCC between males
and females. Consistent with our results, several previous studies [20–22] also reported no significant differences in HCC between males and females. However, according to a recent meta-analysis [2] several studies reported higher HCC in men. Because HCC increases more in aging males than females [12], the distribution of HCC should be interpreted while also considering the influence of age. Participants in our study were young adults with a mean age of 23, which is younger than the studies which reported a sex difference in HCC (mean age of 70 in Abell et al. [23] and 42 in Wells et al. [24]). Because there is limited data on HCC in younger adults, further studies may be needed to clarify the baseline characteristics of HCC in healthy young adults.

Stratified analyses regarding sex, along with the interaction effect of sex and DERS on HCC in all participants, revealed that the association between HCC and emotion dysregulation was only significant for women. Previous studies demonstrated that the inhibition of HPA axis to downregulate cortisol is faster in men [9] possibly because they have higher glucocorticoid receptor expression in the central nervous system [7, 8]. Given that an elevated cortisol level is normalized more rapidly in males [9], the cortisol response related to emotion dysregulation may not have been reflected to higher HCC in men since HCC represents chronic cortisol elevation in preceding months [1].

Regarding relationships between HCC and various psychological factors, only emotion dysregulation was associated with HCC, and this was only observed in women.

A previous study of adolescents [11] found a moderating effect of emotion regulation on HCC and stress. Our findings also underscore the importance of screening for emotion dysregulation when estimating the physiological response to stress. On the other hand, subjective stress perception measured by the PSS was not associated with HCC. This is consistent with a previous report of no significant associations between HCC and self-reported stress [2]. The K-SRRS score, which represents the past year’s major stressful life events, was not associated with HCC in our analysis. In other words, the amount of stressful events or subjective perceptions of them could have less influence on the physiological response to stress than expected. Neither symptoms of anxiety nor depression were associated with HCC in our regression model. Conversely, a previous study found that exaggerated and blunted salivary cortisol responses were associated with depression and anxiety, respectively [10]. This may indicate that associations between HCC and psychological symptoms such as depression and anxiety are moderated by other unrecognized factors. Even when anxiety and depressive symptoms or stress perception are not prominent, interventions to promote emotion regulation and coping could be more beneficial in lowering physiological responses to stress.

Our study has a few limitations. First, the data were cross-sectional, which precluded the determination of causality between variables; future longitudinal studies would be beneficial. Second, detailed medical factors or anthropometric factors, such as body mass index [2], were not considered in the analysis, although participants with severe medical diseases were excluded. Our participants were healthy young adults, and the effect of those factors would be negligible; even so, including medical or anthropometric data in the analysis might eliminate potential confounding effects. Third, the exact time scales of HCC measurement and psychological factor assessments were different. For example, K-SRRS scores represent the amount of stressful events of past year, while the cortisol level represented by 3 cm of hair roughly corresponds to the average of the past 3 months. Finally, although we excluded the potential confounding effects of race, Axis 1 psychiatric disorders, and oral glucocorticoid medication, accounting for other factors that could influence HCC could enhance our understanding, such as hair treatment or washing frequency [2], exposure to ultraviolet radiation [25], and non-oral glucocorticoid medication [26].

**Perspective and significance**

Among various stress-related psychological factors, emotion dysregulation was associated with HCC in healthy young adults, particularly in females. Therefore, HCC
might be a useful biomarker for stress-related psychological factors in women, especially difficulty in emotion regulation. Future studies should consider the role of sex when using HCC in analyses since the baseline level and its relationship with stress-related psychological factors were different in women compared to men.
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