Evaluation of Physicochemical Properties, Pharmacokinetics, Biodistribution, Toxicity, and Contrast-Enhanced Cancer MRI of a Cancer-Targeting Contrast Agent, MT218
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Objectives: Preclinical assessments were performed according to the US Food and Drug Administration guidelines to determine the physicochemical properties, pharmacokinetics, clearance, safety, and tumor-specific magnetic resonance (MR) imaging of MT218, a peptide gadolinium-based MR imaging agent targeting to extradomain B fibronectin for MR molecular imaging of aggressive tumors.

Materials and Methods: Relaxivity, chelation stability, binding affinity, safety-related target profiling, and effects on CYP450 enzymes and transporters were evaluated in vitro. Magnetic resonance imaging was performed with rats bearing prostate cancer xenografts, immunocompetent mice bearing murine pancreatic cancer allografts, and mice bearing lung cancer xenografts at different doses of MT218. Pharmacological effects on cardiovascular, respiratory, and central nervous systems were determined in rats and conscious beagle dogs. Pharmacokinetics were tested in rats and dogs. Biodistribution and excretion were studied in rats. Single and repeated dosing toxicity was evaluated in rats and dogs. In vitro and in vivo genotoxicity, in vitro hemolysis, and anaphylactic reactivity were also performed.

Results: At 1.4 T, the $r_1$ and $r_2$ relaxivities of MT218 were 5.43 and 7.40 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ in pure water, 6.58 and 8.87 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ in phosphate-buffered saline, and 6.54 and 8.70 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ in aqueous solution of human serum albumin, respectively. The binding affinity of MT218 to extradomain B fragment is 3.45 μM. MT218 exhibited no dissociation of the Gd(III) chelates under physiological conditions. The peptide degradation half-life ($t_{1/2}$) of MT218 was 1.63, 5.85, and 2.63 hours in rat, dog, and human plasma, respectively. It had little effect on CYP450 enzymes and transporters. MT218 produced up to 7-fold increase of contrast-to-noise ratios in the extradomain B fibronectin-rich tumors with a dose of 0.04 mmol/kg for at least 30 minutes. MT218 had little pharmacological effect on central nervous, cardiovascular, or respiratory systems. MT218 had a mean plasma elimination half-life ($t_{1/2}$) of 0.31 and 0.89 hours in rats and dogs at 0.1 mmol/kg, respectively. No detectable Gd deposition was observed in the brain at 6 hours postinjection of MT218 at 0.1 mmol/kg in rats. MT218 was not mutagenic and had no mortality or morbidity in the rats or dogs up to 1.39 and 0.70 mmol/kg, respectively. The no observed adverse effect level of MT218 in Sprague-Dawley rats was 1.39 mmol/kg for single dosing and 0.46 mmol/kg for repeated dosing. The no observed adverse effect level in dogs was 0.07 mmol/kg/d. MT218 exhibited no genotoxicity, hemolysis, and anaphylactic reactivity.

Conclusion: The preclinical assessments showed that the targeted contrast agent MT218 has high $r_1$ and $r_2$ relaxivities, satisfactory physicochemical properties, pharmacokinetic, and safety profiles and produces effective tumor enhancement in multiple cancer types in rats and mice at reduced doses.
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The Gd(III)-based contrast agents (GBCAs) commonly used in contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) pass through compromised blood-brain barriers, preferentially accumulating in and enhancing T1 MRI signal in growing brain tumors.1 The lack of a blood-tissue barrier in non-central nervous system (CNS) tissue has made the use of GBCA in the body more challenging. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI with GBCAs takes advantage of differences in tumor and tissue vascular permeability to characterize tumors in the body.2 It is thus an important component of multiparametric MRI (mpMRI) for diagnostic imaging and surgery planning of prostate cancer.3,4 Despite some specific clinical successes, multiparametric MRI and other GBCA-based MRI detection methods are the exception in the body owing to the lack of tumor specificity of the GBCA. There is thus an unmet need for targeted MRI contrast agents for accurate high-resolution detection and characterization of aggressive tumors to guide efficacious treatment at the earliest possible stage to improve the survival of cancer patients. An innovative design of a targeted MRI contrast agent specific to a cancer biomarker would have a significant impact to advance magnetic resonance molecular imaging (MRMI) for precision cancer imaging.

There have been continuous efforts to design and develop targeted MRI contrast agents since the clinical application of MRI in the early 1980s.5–9 Antibody targeted GBCAs were explored to target the biomarkers on cancer cell surfaces. Because of the low concentration of the cell-surface tumor markers (typically nM) and poor sensitivity of MRI for molecular imaging GBCA (typically μM), these targeted contrast agents have been unable to generate tumor-specific MRI detectable signal enhancement.5 Incorporation of tumor-specific antibodies and peptidases into nanoparticles loaded with GBCAs deliver a sufficient amount of the contrast agents in solid tumors and produces robust signal enhancement for effective MRMI of cancer in animal tumor models.10 However, the large size of these agents impedes rapid target accumulation and clearance of GBCAs demanded of clinically safe and useful agents.11 Therefore, despite the efficacy of the targeted GBCA-nanoparticles in animal tumor models, they have not advanced to clinical development.

We have recently hypothesized that the design and development of small molecular targeted GBCAs specific to abundant oncoproteins in tumor extracellular matrix (ECM) would overcome the current limitations of MRI for cancer molecular imaging and precision cancer diagnosis.12 Tumors have a unique microenvironment enriched with oncogenic ECM proteins, not present in normal tissues. Among various
ECM proteins in tumor tissues, extracellular matrix fibronectin (EDB-FN) is a promising target for designing clinically translatable targeted GBCA. Extracellular matrix fibronectin is an oncotelic isoform of FN and is highly expressed in the ECM of aggressive tumors. It is considered a marker of epithelial to mesenchymal transition which is a biological program associated with invasion, metastasis, and drug resistance of multiple malignancies. Elevated expression of EDB-FN is associated with epithelial to mesenchymal transition induction, cancer cell invasion, and metastasis. It is overexpressed in various aggressive human cancers and absent in normal tissues. Extracellular matrix fibronectin has been explored as an oncotelic target for developing molecular imaging technologies and targeted therapeutics for cancer diagnosis and therapy. For example, antibody fragment L19 specific to EDB-FN has been used to develop positron emission tomography probes and targeted therapeutics for cancer imaging and therapy in both preclinical and clinical studies. A nanobody has been developed to target EDB-FN for effective positron emission tomography imaging of different types of cancer in animal tumor models. An aptamer-like peptide has also been developed to target EDB-FN to deliver imaging agents and therapeutics for cancer imaging and therapy.

To encourage clinically and industrially acceptable properties, we used phage display against EDB fragment to develop a small peptide named ZD2 (Thr-Val-Arg-Thr-Ser-Ala-Asp) that has a moderate binding affinity to the EDB fragment. The peptide was conjugated to a clinical contrast agent gadoteridol (Gd(HP-DO3A) or ProHance) making ZD2-Gd(HP-DO3A) with a (CH2CH2O)2 spacer. The agent was effective for MRMI of aggressive tumor detection and characterization of aggressive prostate cancer and metastatic breast cancer in mouse models. The structure of the targeted contrast agent was further optimized to identify a lead targeted contrast agent ZD2-N2-Gd(HP-DO3A) (MT218, molecular weight of 1442.62 Da; Fig. 1) with an improved relaxivity and a straightforward synthetic procedure for clinical translation. MT218 produces robust specific magnetic resonance (MR) contrast enhancement at doses lower than those of the base GBCA in several types of aggressive tumors, including breast cancer, colorectal cancer, head and neck cancer, pancreatic cancer, and prostate cancer. The enhancement pattern closely reflects relative EDB-FN expression levels in these tissue types, which is known to reflect tumor aggressiveness. MT218 in MRMI therefore has the potential for characterizing the invasiveness of solid tumor and assessing tumor response to therapies as well as development of drug resistance. MT218 is also a small, water soluble peptidic monomer with practical industrialization potential and rapid animal pharmacokinetics as required for commercial contrast agents.

In this work, we further investigated the dosing effect of MT218 for MRI of prostate cancer xenografts in rats and its potential for detection of lung cancer and pancreatic cancer models at a low dose in mice. We also performed a comprehensive in vitro characterization and in vivo pharmacokinetics, biodistribution, and safety assessment of MT218 according to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidelines for preclinical development (https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/developing-medical-imaging-drug-and-biological-products-part-1-conducting-safety-assessments).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The MT218 active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) and MT218 injection were supplied by Jiangsu Ronghui Motek Pharmaceuticals, Inc (China). 1,4,7,10-Tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) and diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA) were purchased from Strem Chemicals. 10-(2-Hydroxypropyl)-1,4,7,10-Tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (HP-DO3A) was purchased from USP (Maryland). Human, rat, and dog plasma was obtained from Equitech-Bio, Inc (Kerrville, TX). Gadoteridol (ProHance, Ga(HP-DO3A)) was purchased from Bracco Diagnostics (Monroe Township, NJ). The pooled cryopreserved human primary hepatocytes, the CD1 mouse primary hepatocytes, the Sprague-Dawley (SD) rat primary hepatocytes, and the beagle dog primary hepatocytes were supplied by BIOIVT (Westbury, NY). The pooled human liver microsomes were purchased from BIOIVT Recombinant HEK293 cell lines stably expressing human transporters (OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OAT1, OAT3, OCT2, MATE1, and MATE2K), HEK293-MOCK cell line transfected with empty vector, human multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1) vesicles, and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) vesicles were supplied by GenoMembrane (Kanagawa, Japan). Human embryonic kidney cell line HEK-293 with stable expression of human ether-a-go-go related gene (hERG) was supplied by the Academy of Military Medical Sciences, China. Liver S9 microsomal fractions (S9) were provided by CHI Scientific (Mississippi). Histidine-deficient *Salmonella typhimurium*, TA97a, TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA102, were obtained from Moltox (Boone, NC). Chinese hamster lung cell (CHL) fibroblast was obtained from Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). Procedures carried out on the animals involved in the imaging experiments were performed according to an approved protocol by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Case Western Reserve University. All other animal studies were performed at Joinn Laboratories (Beijing, China) following the standard operating procedures and protocols approved by the IACUC and current Good Laboratory Practice (cGLP) non-GLP guidelines of Joinn Laboratories.

**Chelation Stability**

At pH 5 and 7.4, 0.25 mM MT218 was incubated with the same concentration of DOTA, DTPA, HP-DO3A, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), or 0.50 mM zinc chloride and at room temperature or 37.5°C over a period of 30 days. Acetate buffer (0.05 M, pH 5) and Tris buffer (0.05 M, pH 7.4) were used to maintain the pH. A high-performance liquid chromatography–ultraviolet method (Agilent 2200, Waters Symmetry C18 column, 4.6 × 150 mm, 5 μm, mobile phase 0.08% trifluoroacetic acid acetonitrile/water) was used to analyze the remaining MT218 in the solution.

**Relaxivity**

Solutions of MT218 were prepared at concentrations of 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 2 mM in human serum albumin aqueous solution (40 mg/mL), water, and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The $T_1$ and $T_2$ values of the MT218 solutions were measured at 1.4 T using
a Bruker Minispec Relaxometer (60 Hz, 37°C). The respective $T_1$ and $T_2$ values of MT218 solutions were also determined at 3 T using a 3 T MRS 3000 scanner (MR Solutions, Surrey, UK). $T_2$ values were measured using an inversion recovery--fast low angle shot sequence from MR Solutions. The $T_2$ values were obtained using a multiecho multislice sequence from MR Solutions. The following equation is used to calculate relaxivities ($r_1$, $r_2$): $1/T_1 = 1/T_1^0 + r_1C$ and $1/T_2 = 1/T_2^0 + r_2C$, where $T_2^0$ is the observed relaxation time in the presence of the contrast agent, $T_1^0$ is the relaxation time of pure water, and $C$ is the concentration of the MRI contrast agent. The subscripts indicate longitudinal ($i = 1$) or transverse ($i = 2$) relaxivities and relaxation times, respectively. The $r_1$ and $r_2$ relaxivities were calculated from the slopes of $1/T_1$ and $1/T_2$ versus MT218 concentration plots, respectively.

**Binding Affinity Study**

Plasmid DNA encoding EDB fragment was constructed with a T7 promoter and a decameric His-tag at the N-terminal. A Lac operon (lacO) was also incorporated to control EDB expression with Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) induction. The recombiant EDB expressing plasmid was transfomed into Escherichia coli strain BL21 (DE3)-T1, and EDB expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG at the midlog phase of bacterial growth and incubated for 3 hours. The bacteria were collected and lysed with lysozyme. Extradomain B was purified using a HitTalon SuperFlow cartridge and lyophilization. The size and purity of the extracted EDB protein were determined by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Extradomain B protein protein fragment (5 mg/mL) was further purified with a PD-10 desalting column using pH 7.4 10 mM PBS buffer as the mobile phase. The protein concentration was determined using surface plasmon resonance. Stock solution of MT218 (1.0 mM) was prepared with a running buffer (0.05% Tween-20 in 10 mM PBS, pH 7.4). The active and reference flow cells of a CM5 sensor chip (Cytiva, Sweden) were activated with amine coupling kit, and EDB protein (25 μg/mL) in 10 mM acetic buffer (pH 4.5) was injected into the active cell for 3 minutes at a rate of 10 μL/min to immobilize the protein at a level of 1500 RU. Then both the reference and flow cells were blocked with ethanalamine (pH 8.5) for 7 minutes. The system was then switched to running buffer, and varying concentrations of MT218 were injected for 120 seconds at 50 μL/min, with 5 minutes of dissociation. Binding affinity was determined using Biocore T200 Evaluation Software 3.1. Microsoft Office Excel (2019) was used for statistical analysis of data, including mean, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation (CV %).

**Plasma Protein Binding**

Nonspecific binding of MT218 to plasma proteins was determined by incubating with human serum albumin (40 mg/mL), human, dog, rat plasma, and PBS at 37°C for 3 minutes at concentrations of 50, 80, and 110 μM, respectively. For preliminary incubation, MT218 (and protein-degrading) [Gd]-containing degradants) was separated by centrifugation using a 10 kDa cut-off filter at the speed of 14,000g for 30 minutes. Filtrate (300 μL) from each sample tube was transferred to a 15-ml metal Eppendorf tube, and 9.7 ml of 3% nitric acid was then added. The mixture was mixed with methanol, followed by centrifugation, and then measured using analytic high-performance liquid chromatography (Agilent 1260 Infinity DAD LC, column Phenomenex C18, 4.6 × 250 mm, 5 μm; phase A: 0.08% TFA H₂O; phase B: 0.08% trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile; 0–13 minutes phase B, 0–13%). The percentage ratio of remaining MT218 was calculated.

**Metabolism**

In a series of non-GLP studies, metabolic stability, metabolite identification, and possible metabolic pathway of MT218 were investigated by incubation with hepatocytes from human, CD1 mouse, SD rat, beagle dog, and cynomolgus monkey following FDA guidance on in vitro drug interaction studies. Testosterone and midazolam (substrates of CYP3A4) and 7-hydroxycoyurn (substrate of uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase) were used as positive controls. The inhibition effect of MT218 on main members of cytochrome P450 (CYP450) family, including CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4, was evaluated using pooled human liver microsomes at the MT218 concentration from 0.01 to 100 μM. The final concentration of the human liver microsomes was 0.5 mg/mL. The induction potential of MT218 on human MDR1 and BCRP was assessed using membrane vesicles expressing MDR1 and BCRP, respectively, according to the FDA’s guidance (https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/vitro-drug-interaction-studies-cytochrome-p450-enzyme-and-transporter-mediated-drug-interactions). For all the other transporters, HEK293 cells expressing these transporter proteins were used. Cyclosporine A (20 μM), novobiocin (100 μM), rifampin (100 μM), quinidine (500 μM), probenecid (50 μM), and pyrimethamine (10 μM) were used as inhibitors, respectively. The MT218 concentrations were 100, 50, 10, 5, and 1 μM. The samples were analyzed by the Shimadzu LC20 liquid chromatography (Shimadzu Co, Ltd, Japan) system and API 4000 triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems Inc).

**Cell Culture**

LNCap and PC3 human prostate cancer cell lines and H1299 human lung cancer cell line were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA). The cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Waltham, MA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 100 units/mL penicillin/streptomycin. All the cells were grown at 37°C and 5% CO₂. KPC-K8484 (herein KPC) cells were generously provided by the lab of Dr Jordan Winter (University Hospitals of Cleveland and CWRU, Cleveland, OH). KPC cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

**Western Blot**

Total protein was extracted from cancer cells as previously described.16 Protein concentration was determined by Lowry assay. Equal amounts of protein extracts (40 μg) were loaded onto sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis for electrophoresis and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. The following primary antibodies (1:1000 dilution, overnight incubation at 4°C) were used: anti-β-Actin (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) and anti-EDB-FN (G4 clone, Absolute Antibody, UK). Following secondary antibody incubation (1:2000 dilution for 2 hours), the membranes were developed using Signal Fire Plus ECL Kit (Cell Signaling Technology) and imaged on ChemiDoc XRS+ Imager (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).
Rat Tumor Xenograft Mouse Models

Adult male NIH-Finn\textsuperscript{nu} nude rats were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA). The rats were housed in the Animal Facility at CWRU and all animal experiments were performed according to the protocols approved by IACUC. The lateral flanks of 10 rats were subcutaneously injected with either 4 \times 10\textsuperscript{5} PC3 or 8 \times 10\textsuperscript{5} LNCaP cells suspended in Matrigel-PBS mixture (1:1). Once the tumor volume exceeded 100 mm\textsuperscript{3}, rats were subjected to MRI. A total of 20 male rats were used to develop subcutaneous xenografts of human prostate cancer. Ten rats were subcutaneously implanted with either PC3 or LNCaP human prostate cancer cells. Six rats developed visible PC3 tumors within 5 weeks and only 3 rats developed LNCaP tumors within 6 weeks.

Mouse Tumor Xenograft Mouse Models

For the protein kinase C (PKC) pancreatic cancer model, C57BL/6 immunocompetent mice (6-week-old males and females) were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, MA). KPC-K8484 cells (1 \times 10\textsuperscript{6}) suspended in Matrigel-PBS mixture (1:1) were subcutaneously injected into the left flank of the B6 mice (100 \muL per mouse, 5 mice per group). Magnetic resonance molecular imaging with MT218 was performed at 1 and 5 weeks after tumor inoculation. For lung cancer model, nude athymic mice (6-week-old nu/nu males) were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. About 5-6 \times 10\textsuperscript{5} H1299 cells suspended in Matrigel-PBS mixture (1:1) were subcutaneously injected in the left flanks of the mice. The mice were housed and cared in the Animal Facility at CWRU.

MRI With MT218

Magnetic resonance imaging was performed on a 3 T MRS 3000 scanner (MR Solutions) with a mouse short quad coil or a rat short quad coil (MR Solutions) with respiratory gating. The animals were anesthetized with isoﬂurane and tail vein catheter was setup. For mouse MRI, \(T_{2}\)-weighted MR images were obtained before and after injection of MT218 at a pre-determined dose with axial fast spin echo sequence (repetition time, 305 milliseconds; echo time, 11 milliseconds; flip angle, 90 degrees; field of view, 40 mm \times 40 mm; slice thickness, 1 mm; slice number = 15; number of average, 2; matrix, 256 \times 256). For rat MRI, \(T_{2}\)-weighted MR images were acquired before and after injection of MT218 at doses of 0.04 or 0.02 mmol/kg, using a fast spin-echo sequence with respiratory gating (repetition time, 305 milliseconds; echo time, 11 milliseconds; field of view, 80 \times 80 mm; slice thickness, 1 mm; number of average, 2; matrix, 128 \times 128). The imaging protocol was repeated with the clinical agent ProFlance as a control at the clinical dose of 0.1 mmol Gd/kg. Because the contrast-enhanced MRI experiments were noninvasive, the same rats with tumor xenografts were used for testing different agents and doses. All the imaging studies applied the crossover design, with a washout period of at least 3 days. The experiments with MT218 at the dose of 0.04 mmol/kg were performed first, followed by 0.02 mmol/kg MT218 or gadosildil at 0.1 mmol/kg for the second or third MRI. All animals were euthanized immediately after the last scan.

Magnetic resonance data were exported into digital imaging and communication in medicine files and analyzed using FIJI software. The slice of the tumor was selected based on the contrast levels of the enhanced image. Circular regions of interest (ROIs) of 10 to 20 mm\textsuperscript{2} were drawn in the area of the muscle. Another ROI was drawn outlining the tumor. Mean signal intensity in the ROIs was measured in the images. The contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) in the tumors was calculated using the following equation, CNR = \frac{\text{Mean Intensity}_{\text{tumor}} - \text{Mean Intensity}_{\text{muscle}}}{\sigma_{\text{noise}}}, where \(\sigma_{\text{noise}}\) is the standard deviation of intensities from an ROI in the lower left corner of the image. The CNR of tumors was calculated before and at 10, 20, and 30 minutes postinjection.

Immunohistochemical Staining

After the last MR image acquisition, the animals were euthanized and tumor tissues were collected for histological and immunohistochemical analyses. Tissue fixation, sectioning, hematoxylin and eosin, and immunohistochemistry staining were performed at the tissue resource core of Case Western Reserve University (Cleveland, OH). Anti-EDG-8-FN antibody, G4 (Absolute Antibody, Boston, MA), diluted in PBS solution with Tween-20 (PBS-T, 1:100) was applied to the tissue and incubated for 1 hour. Primary antibody detection was performed with Rabbit on Rabbit HRP Polymer detection solution for 30 minutes (Biocare Medical, Pacheco, CA). Images were acquired using an Olympus Bx61VS slide scanner (Olympus America, Center Valley, PA) and processed in OlyVIA software.

The Safety Pharmacology

MT218 was evaluated by Eurofins Scientific (San Diego, CA) across a panel of in vitro SAFETyscan E/IC50 assays for potential biological activities in a screen of 78 common biological targets (ADORA2A, ADRA1A, ADRA2A, ADRB1, ADRB2, AVPR1A, CCKAR and CNR1 agonist and antagonist, etc.) over the concentration range of 0.005 to 100 \muM following Eurofins internal standard operating procedures. The assays were performed utilizing the PathHunter enzyme fragment complementation technology, FLIPR-based cellular screening assays, KINOMEscan kinase binding assays, and a variety of enzymatic assays. Positive reference compounds, including NECA and SCH 442416 for ADORA2A calcium flux agonist and antagonist, 61603 hydrobromide and tamulosin for ADRA1A calcium flux agonist and antagonist, and UK 14304 and yohimbine for cAMP agonist and antagonist, were assayed in parallel to verify acceptable performance of the assays.

GLP-compliant studies were performed to evaluate the effects of MT218 on the hERG currents, CNS functions in SD rats, and cardiovascular and respiratory system functions in conscious beagle dogs. For the hERG experiment, a Multi Clamp 700B manual patch clamp system (Axon Instruments) was used to induce hERG currents of human embryonic kidney cells HEK293 cell in whole-cell voltage clamp mode. MT218 at 1, 3, 10, 30, and 100 \muM or positive control terfenadine at 10 \muM was tested. The hERG channel tail currents and the peaks of the tail currents were recorded by Clampex 10.3, and the data were analyzed by Clampfit 10.3.

To evaluate the effects on CNS, 4 groups of (5/sex/group) female and male SD rats received single intravenous (IV) injection of 0, 0.1, 0.3, and 1 mmol/kg. Animals were tested by the functional observation battery assay at 0.25, 0.75, 1.5, and 4 hours after dosing, including home cage observations, hand-held observations, open-field observations, responses to a variety of stimuli, and measurements for grip strength (forelimb) and body temperature. The effects of MT218 injection on cardiovascular and respiratory system functions were explored in conscious beagle dogs following a single IV injection of saline control, MT218 at 0.07, 0.21, and 0.70 mmol/kg in a total of 9 dogs (4 males and 5 females). The dose volume of each animal was 3.5 mL/kg. Electrocardiographic parameters (heart rate, PR interval, QRS duration, QT and QTcF interval, QRS voltage, T wave, heart rhythm, and electrocardiogram [ECG] waveform analysis), blood pressure (systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and mean arterial blood pressure), respiratory frequency, and tidal volume were recorded, analyzed, and evaluated within 1 hour before dosing and at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 24 hours after dosing.

Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetics of MT218 was studied in SD rats and beagle dogs after single IV injection of 0.04, 0.1, and 0.2 mmol/kg of MT218 (3 animals/sex). For rats, blood samples were collected from jugular veins at predose and at 2, 5, 10, 15, 30, and 45 minutes and 1, 2, 3, and 4 hours after dosing from group 1 to 3. For dogs, blood samples were collected from fore limb veins at predose and at 5, 10, 15, 30, and 45 minutes and 1, 1.5, 2, 3, and 4 hours after dosing from group 1 to 3. A validated inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometric method (ICP-MS, Agilent 7800) was used for quantitation of Gd concentration in the blood plasma samples.
the plasma. Terbium (Tb) was used as internal standard. Plasma samples (50 μL) and 10 μL IS solution (Tb, 5 μg/mL) were mixed. Then ultrapure water-65% nitric acid-triton-X-100 (100:0.1:0.1, v/v/v) was added to dilute samples before analyzed by ICP-MS. Pharmacokinetic parameters, such as half-life $T_1/2$, concentration immediately after dosing ($C_0$), area under the curve (AUC), volume of distribution ($V_d$), clearance (CL), mean residence time, and AUC ratio, were calculated by a noncompartment model (noncompartmental analysis) using WinNonlin software (version 8.0).

### Biodistribution

For the biodistribution, 30 rats (3/sex/group) received a single IV injection of MT218 at 0.1 mmol/kg. Blood samples and tissues were collected before dosing and 10 and 30 minutes and 2, 6, and 48 hours after dosing. The tissues collected were heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, stomach, small intestine, gonads, brain, fat, muscle, submaxillary lymph nodes, prostate (males), skeleton and abdominal skin. Six animals from each group (groups 1–5) were used at each time point. The tissues were homogenized and then digested with nitric acid in Water Bath Constant Temperature Oscillator (Taicang Experimental Equipment Factory & Suzhou Peising Experimental Equipment Co Ltd). A validated ICP-MS method (Agilent 7800) was used for quantitation of Gd in the rat plasma and tissues. The metabolic parameters were calculated by the noncompartmental analysis using WinNonlin software (version 8.0).

### Excretion

The urine, feces, and bile excretion of MT218 was investigated in SD rats after IV injection of 0.1 mmol/kg in a non-GLP study. One group (3/sex) of rats was used for assessing the excretion of the Gd chelate into urine and feces. The feces and urine samples were collected before dosing (D-1) and at 0–4, 4–8, 8–24, 24–48 (D1–D3), 48–72 (D3–D4), 72–96 (D4–D5), 96–120 (D5–D6) and 120–144 (D6–D7) hours after dosing. The second group was used for assessing bile excretion using binary ciliary cannulation. The bile was collected 30 minutes predose and 0–1, 1–2, 2–4, 4–6, 4–6, and 6–8 hours after dosing. Gd content in the samples was determined by ICP-MS (Agilent 7800).

### Toxicity

Single and repeated toxicity was performed under GLP conditions in SD rats and beagle dogs. Rats were 6 to 7 weeks old and weighed 200 to 246 g for males and 175 to 224 g for females on day -1 (Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co, Ltd). Male dogs were 6 to 7 months old and weighed 7.34 to 10.58 kg. Female dogs were 6 to 7 months old and weighed 6.50 to 9.87 kg on day -1 (Marshall Biotechnology [Gu'an] Co, Ltd). The toxicity, toxicokinetic profile, and potential target organs’ toxicity and tissue distributions of MT218 were investigated after a single IV injection at a dose of 1.39 mmol/kg or daily IV injection for 2 weeks at doses of 0.15, 0.46, and 1.39 mmol/kg/d in rats; and at a single dose of 0.70 mmol/kg or daily injection at 0.21, and 0.70 mmol/kg/d for 2 weeks in dogs according to the study design listed in Table 1. Saline injection was used as a placebo control. The animals were observed during and after the injections for potential side effects. The reversibility of any potential toxicity was monitored during an additional 2-week recovery period. Parameters evaluated in the study included dose formulation analysis, mortality and moribundity, clinical observations, body weights, food consumption, body temperature, ophthalmoscopic examinations, hematology, coagulation, clinical chemistry, urinalysis, plasma drug concentration, and toxicokinetic analysis. At the end of the recovery period, the animals were euthanized, and tissues and organs were collected and weighed and then subjected to macroscopic and microscopic histological examinations for any sign of toxic side effects. Rat tissues of the bone (femur), skin (abdomen), liver, brain, muscle (quadriiceps femoris), lung, heart, kidney, spleen, large intestine (colon), and small intestine (jejunum) were collected at scheduled necropsy on day 29 for groups 1 to 4 and day 15 for single-dose group 5 to test the Gd remaining in the tissues using a well-established ICP-MS method. The no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) was determined as the highest dose at which there are no biologically significant increases in the frequency or severity of adverse effect between the test and control groups.

### Genotoxicity and Other Toxicity Studies

Genotoxicity of MT218 was evaluated using a S. typhimurium mutagenicity test, a chromosome aberration test in CBL fibroblasts, and in vivo, a micronucleus assay in Institute of Cancer Research (ICR) mice. The mutagenicity of MT218 injection was evaluated in TA97a, TA98, TA100, TA102, and TA1535 at the dose level of 15, 50, 150, 500, 1500, and 5000 μg/plate. The vehicle control (sodium chloride injection); positive controls of direct mutagens 9-aminoacridine (50 μg/plate), 2-nitrofluorene (10 μg/plate), sodium azide (6 μg/plate), and 4-nitroquinoline N-oxide (1 μg/plate); and indirect mutagens 2-aminoanthracene (3 μg/plate) and benzopyrene (5 μg/plate) were also included in the test. The potential genotoxicity of MT218 injection to induce chromosomal aberration in CBL fibroblast with or without rat liver S9 metabolic activation was evaluated at 2000, 1000, and 500 μg/mL for 4 or 27 hours. The vehicle control...
Animals were observed twice daily (AM and PM) during the study period. The group were challenged 21 days after last sensitization injection (day 26). Sensitization and doubled concentrations for challenge. Animals were challenged with MT218 21 days after last sensitization injection (day 26). MT218 was also significantly higher than that of the prototype agent. The binding affinity constant (Kd) of MT218 to the EDB fragment was measured in the range from 0.76 to 6.88 μM, with the average binding affinity of 3.45 μM (n = 4). The moderate binding affinity enables a rapid binding of the agent to the ECM oncoprotein at the clinically expected GBCA and EDB-FN concentrations and would allow reversible binding of the agent to facilitate rapid clearance from the body after imaging.

Chelation Stability

The Gd(III) chelation stability of MT218 was determined by challenging the chelate with different chelating ligands and Zn2+ ions. MT218 is highly stable, at least kinetically, at neutral pH 7.4 and no transmetallation or dissociation of MT218 was observed in the presence of DOTA, DTPA, HP-D03A, EDTA, and Zn(II) in rat plasma at 7.4 and the temperature of 25°C and 37.5°C for 1 month (Fig. 2A). At pH 5 and 25°C, MT218 also showed chelation stability for at least 30 days. MT218 was stable at pH 5 and 37.5°C in acetate buffer (Fig. 2B). Loss of MT218 was observed at pH 5 and 37.5°C in the presence of the free chelating ligands, DOTA, DTPA, HP-D03A, and EDTA, probably because of competitive acid-assisted dissociation of the Gd(III) from MT218 (Fig. 2C). Nevertheless, the presence of Zn(II) ions did not cause dissociation of MT218 at pH 5 and 37.5°C for at least 30 days (Fig. 2D).

Plasma Protein Binding and Stability

After a short incubation (3 minutes) of MT218 with rat, dog, and human plasma, the binding ratios of the agent to plasma proteins was determined by the concentration of the bound MT218 to the total concentration in the solutions. The binding ratios were 28.44%, 27.12%, and 25.86% in rat plasma, 29.10%, 26.91%, and 48.44% in dog plasma, and 47.31%, 45.15%, and 40.50% in human plasma at concentrations of 50, 80, and 110 μM, respectively (n = 4). To test the stability of the peptide in MT218, the agent was incubated in PBS and rat, dog, and human plasma at pH 7.4 for up to 48 hours. After incubating MT218 for 1, 3, 6, 28, and 48 hours, the average remaining percentage of intact MT218 was 74.87%, 20.54%, 47.81%, 11.23%, and 5.38% in dog plasma; and 83.47%, 48.79%, 12.87%, 5.21%, and 3.97% in human plasma at concentrations of 50, 80, and 110 μM, respectively (n = 4). The enzymatic degradation half-life (t1/2) of MT218 was 61.1%, 50.6%, 67.5%, 41.0%, and 82.9%, respectively, indicating that MT218 remained stable during incubation with hepatocytes. After 2 hours of incubation with the hepatocytes from human, CD1 mouse, SD rat, beagle dog, or cynomolgus monkey, the remaining MT218 was 61.1%, 50.6%, 67.5%, 41.0%, and 82.9%, respectively, indicating that MT218 was partially metabolized in hepatocytes from these species. The remaining MT218 in negative control was 100% after 2 hours of incubation. The remaining testosterone and 7-hydroxycoumarin in the positive control were 9.29% and 18.8%, respectively. The results indicate that the ZD2 peptide in MT218 undergoes enzymatic cleavage by peptidases in plasma. The peptide degraded more rapidly in rat plasma than dog and human plasma.

In Vitro Metabolism

A metabolic stability study was conducted to examine whether MT218 remained stable during incubation with hepatocytes. After 2 hours of incubation with the hepatocytes from human, CD1 mouse, SD rat, beagle dog, or cynomolgus monkey, the remaining MT218 was 61.1%, 50.6%, 67.5%, 41.0%, and 82.9%, respectively, indicating that MT218 was partially metabolized in hepatocytes from these species. The remaining MT218 in negative control was 100% after 2 hours of incubation. The remaining testosterone and 7-hydroxycoumarin in the positive control were 9.29% and 18.8%, respectively.

Two metabolites were identified after incubation with the above hepatocytes, both resulting from the hydrolysis of the peptide moiety. A metabolic pathway study was then carried out to assess whether CYP450 enzymes were involved in the metabolism of MT218 using human liver microsomes. In the systematic control, the remaining testosterone was 11.4% after incubating with the human liver microsomes for 120 minutes. The MT218 remaining was 105%, 117%, 101%, 98.8%, 105%, 109%, and 99.1% after incubating 1 μM MT218 with human liver microsomes for 2 hours for the CYP1A2, CYP2B6,

### TABLE 2. Relaxivities of MT218

| Contrast Agent | Magnetic Field | r1 Relaxivity, mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ | r2 Relaxivity, mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Gadoteridol    | 1.4 T³⁶         | 3.2 (H₂O)               | 3.2 (H₂O)               |
|                | 1.5 T³⁷         | 2.9 (H₂O)               | 3.2 (H₂O)               |
|                | 3.0 T³⁷         | 2.8 (H₂O)               | 3.4 (H₂O)               |
| MT218          | 1.4 T          | 5.34 (H₂O)              | 7.40 (H₂O)              |
|                | 6.58 (PBS)     | 8.87 (PBS)              | 8.87 (PBS)              |
|                | 6.54 (HSA)     | 8.70 (HSA)              | 8.70 (HSA)              |
|                | 3.0 T          | 6.25 (H₂O)              | 7.90 (H₂O)              |
|                | 6.16 (PBS)     | 7.91 (PBS)              | 7.91 (PBS)              |

PBS indicates phosphate-buffered saline; HSA, human serum albumin.
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CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 groups, respectively, suggesting that the CYP450 enzymes were not involved in the metabolism of MT218.

MT218’s potential inhibition effect on CYP450 enzymes was tested at the concentrations of 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 μM. In the positive control, the enzyme activities of CYP1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, and 3A4 were decreased to 25.2%, 10.8%, 20.0%, 12.6%, 15.1%, 8.85%, and 8.30% when incubated with the selective inhibitors of CYP450, which were α-naphthoflavone (for CYP1A2), thiotepa (for CYP2B6), montelukast (for CYP2C8), sulfaphenazole (for CYP2C9), ticlopidine (for CYP2C19), quinidine (for CYP2D6), and ketoconazole (for CYP3A4), respectively. After incubation with liver microsomes, the corresponding enzyme activities were decreased by 74.8%, 89.2%, 80.0%, 87.4%, 84.9%, 91.2%, and 91.7%, respectively, indicating that the test systems were qualified for this study. MT218 did not inhibit the activities of CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, or CYP3A4 from human liver microsomes. MT218 showed inhibition potential to CYP2C8, and the relative activities decreased to 52.5% of the negative controls at the highest test concentration, which suggested that the IC50 value was greater than 100 μM.

MT218’s inhibition of the human ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters and solute carrier (SLC) transporters was explored at the concentrations of 1, 5, 10, 50, and 100 μM. MT218 displayed no inhibition potential on the transporter activities of MDR1, BCRP, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OAT1, OAT3, OCT2, MATE1, and MATE2K. The positive controls inhibited 97.4% and 98.9% of MDR1 and BCRP transporter activities, respectively. The negative controls showed that the substrate uptake ratios by OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OAT1, OAT3, OCT2, MATE1, and MATE2K were between 78.5% and 126%, whereas substrate uptake ratios for MT218 were between 79.0% and 100%, and the uptake ratios for positive controls were between 0% and 16.3%.

In the study investigating the induction potential of MT218 on the enzyme activity and mRNA expression of CYP1A2, CYP2B6, and CYP3A4 using human primary hepatocytes, MT218 exhibited no induction on enzyme activity of CYP1A2, CYP2B6, and CYP3A4 at concentrations of 1, 10, and 100 μM. In the positive controls, omeprazole, phenobarbital, and rifampicin induced about 40-, 12-, and 15-fold increase of enzyme activity in comparison with vehicle control. MT218 did not induce the mRNA expression of CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 at concentrations of 1, 10, and 100 μM. In the positive controls, omeprazole, phenobarbital, and rifampicin induced about 62-, 14-, and 60-fold increase of mRNA expression in comparison with vehicle control. However, MT218 might have potential induction on the mRNA expression of CYP1A2 at the high concentration of 100 μM. The mRNA expression levels of CYP1A2 in the incubation system of human hepatocytes with MT218 was more than 2-fold (2.10-fold) of the vehicle controls at the test concentration of 100 μM from 1 of the 3 lots of cells.

Dosing Effect of MT218 for MRMI of Prostate Cancer in Rat Models

The dosing effect of MT218 was assessed in the rats bearing aggressive PC3 human prostate cancer xenografts with high EDB-FN expression and slow-growing LNCaP human prostate tumors with low EDB-FN expression. Figure 4 shows the T1-weighted 2D spin-echo MR images and CNR of the tumors before and at different time points.
FIGURE 4. Representative T$_1$-weighted spin-echo axial MR images (A) and average CNR (B) of PC3 (n = 6) and LNCaP (n = 3) prostate tumor xenografts in rats before and at 10, 20, and 30 minutes postinjection of MT218 at 0.02 mmol/kg (black, ▭) and 0.04 mmol/kg (red, ●) and gadoteridol (Pro) at 0.1 mmol/kg (gray, ▲) and immunohistochemical staining of EDB-FN (C) in the tumors (bar, 200 μm). The imaging experiment was initiated at 5 and 6 weeks after implantation of PC3 and LNCaP cells, respectively. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
after IV injection of MT218 at 0.04 or 0.02 mmol/kg and gadoteridol at 0.1 mmol/kg. MT218 produced strong signal enhancement in the PC3 tumors (CNR, 5.94 ± 1.68) at 10 minutes postinjection of 0.04 mmol Gd/kg. The strong tumor enhancement persisted for at least 30 minutes (CNR, 4.92 ± 0.79) even though MT218 had a short elimination half-life in rat plasma owing to fast degradation (Fig. 3). Substantial contrast enhancement was still observed in PC3 tumors with MT218 at 0.02 mmol Gd/kg at 10 minutes (CNR, 2.67 ± 1.05) and 30 minutes (1.97 ± 0.67) postinjection, respectively. Significantly more signal enhancement was also observed for MT218 at 0.02 mmol/kg than gadoteridol at 0.1 mmol/kg in PC3 at 10 minutes. The CNR with gadoteridol was 1.32 ± 0.58 at 10 minutes and 1.714 ± 0.69 at 30 minutes postinjection, respectively. MT218 at both doses resulted in little enhancement in LNCaP tumors of low EDB-FN expression, with a CNR of 1.13 ± 0.38 at the dose of 0.04 mmol/kg and 0.88 ± 0.06 at 0.02 mmol/kg. Interestingly, gadoteridol produced higher signal enhancement than MT218 in LNCaP tumors, with CNR of 1.79 ± 0.74, owing to its higher dose. The expression of EDB-FN in the tumors was determined by immunohistochemical staining. EDB-FN was significantly upregulated in the aggressive PC3 prostate tumors. In contrast, the slow-growing LNCaP
tumors exhibited little expression of EDB-FN (Fig. 4C). The results showed that MT218 produced robust tumor enhancement in the aggressive prostate tumors with high EDB-FN expression at substantially reduced doses and has a potential to differentiate invasive from noninvasive prostate tumors.

Dosing Effect of MT218 for MRI Murine Pancreatic Cancer in Mice

Pancreatic cancer generally has dense tumor stroma that is difficult to overcome to deliver molecular imaging agents for diagnostic imaging. In recent studies, it has been shown that MT218 is effective in producing robust contrast enhancement in both orthotopic and metastatic pancreatic cancer in mouse models at a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg.30,41 Here, we assessed the dosing effect of MT218 for MRMI of pancreatic cancer in immune competent mice bearing subcutaneous KPC murine tumors of different sizes or stages. Once primary tumors reached about 100 mm³ (~1 week), MRMI was performed before and after IV injection of MT218 at a dose of 0.1 or 0.04 mmol/kg. In both cases, the strongest tumor contrast enhancement was seen at 10 minutes postinjection. MT218 produced about 7-fold CNR increase over the precontrast CNR at 0.1 mmol/kg and a 3.3-fold increase at 0.04 mmol/kg (Fig. 5A, C). Strong tumor enhancement persisted for at least 30 minutes for both doses. At week 5, when tumors were larger and denser, MT218 still produced substantial enhancement across the tumor tissues at both doses when compared with precontrast tumor images. There was no significant difference in CNR enhancement between 2 doses at 10 mins (3.6-fold vs 2.8-fold). About a 4-fold CNR increase was observed in the tumors at 20 and 30 minutes postcontrast at 0.1 mmol/kg, significantly higher than the CNR increase at 0.04 mmol/kg (ca. 2.6) (Fig. 5B, D). The results demonstrate that MT218 is able to produce robust tumor enhancement in pancreatic cancer with significant

FIGURE 6. Representative T1-weighted spin-echo axial MR images (A) and average CNR (B) of H1299 lung tumor xenografts in nude mice before and at 10, 20, and 30 minutes postinjection of MT218 0.04 mmol/kg and western blots (C) of EDB-FN in the cultured H1299 cells (n = 6). **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

FIGURE 7. Logarithmic plasma concentration-time curves of MT218 in rats (A) and beagle dogs (B).
CNR increase at the reduced dose (0.04 mmol/kg) for potentially detecting pancreatic cancer.

MRMI of Lung Cancer With MT218 at a Reduced Dose

The efficacy of MT218 for MRMI of lung cancer was assessed in nude mice bearing H1299 human non–small cell lung cancer xenografts at 0.04 mmol Gd/kg. Strong enhancement was seen in the periphery of the tumors at 10 minutes postinjection and substantial signal enhancement was then observed in the inner tumor tissue at 20 and 30 minutes, indicating gradual diffusion of MT218 into the inner tumor tissues (Fig. 6A). An average of 3- to 4-fold CNR increase was measured in the tumor tissues from 10 to 30 minutes postinjection (Fig. 6B).

### TABLE 3. Pharmacokinetic Parameters of MT218 Based on Gd(III) Measurement in SD Rats and Beagle Dogs

| Dose, mmol/kg | Sex   | $T_{1/2}$, h | $C_0$, µg/mL | $\text{AUC}_{0-4h}$, h×µg/mL | $\text{AUC}_{\text{INF}}$, h×µg/mL | $V_z$, mL/kg | Cl, mL/h/kg | MRT, h | AUC Ratio |
|--------------|-------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------|-----------|
| Rat 0.04     | ♂     | 0.30        | 49.09        | 11.08                         | 243.09                           | 578.42        | 0.37        | 1      | 1         |
|              | ♀     | 0.28        | 75.09        | 10.94                         | 224.22                           | 568.10        | 0.29        | 1      | 1         |
|              | P     | 0.57        | 0.02*        | 0.93                          | 0.97                             | 0.29          | 0.08        | 0.13   |           |
| 0.1          | ♂     | 0.31        | 115.85       | 28.86                         | 290.01                           | 240.18        | 550.32      | 0.40   | 2.61      |
|              | ♀     | 0.32        | 158.07       | 27.84                         | 280.02                           | 273.35        | 575.39      | 0.34   | 2.55      |
|              | P     | 0.65        | 0.01*        | 0.81                          | 0.81                             | 0.60          | 0.78        | 0.28   |           |
| 0.2          | ♂     | 0.30        | 248.60       | 53.17                         | 53.23                            | 255.24        | 591.30      | 0.37   | 4.80      |
|              | ♀     | 0.32        | 209.22       | 58.60                         | 58.71                            | 256.40        | 552.59      | 0.43   | 5.36      |
|              | P     | 0.22        | 0.33         | 0.51                          | 0.51                             | 0.97          | 0.60        | 0.28   |           |
| Dog 0.04     | ♂     | 0.76        | 50.97        | 34.80                         | 34.94                            | 197.08        | 180.20      | 1.01   | 1         |
|              | ♀     | 0.74        | 59.33        | 38.16                         | 38.47                            | 175.99        | 164.04      | 0.97   | 1         |
|              | P     | 0.80        | 0.32         | 0.10                          | 0.10                             | 0.30          | 0.10        | 0.58   |           |
| 0.1          | ♂     | 0.70        | 149.33       | 89.52                         | 89.78                            | 177.73        | 175.72      | 0.95   | 2.57      |
|              | ♀     | 0.67        | 146.59       | 93.96                         | 94.17                            | 161.08        | 167.64      | 0.96   | 2.46      |
|              | P     | 0.33        | 0.93         | 0.46                          | 0.47                             | 0.10          | 0.47        | 0.85   |           |
| 0.2          | ♂     | 0.69        | 286.90       | 156.54                        | 156.90                           | 201.64        | 202.02      | 0.88   | 4.50      |
|              | ♀     | 0.63        | 268.10       | 138.99                        | 139.15                           | 205.93        | 226.54      | 0.79   | 3.64      |
|              | P     | 0.048*      | 0.64         | 0.18                          | 0.18                             | 0.18          | 0.18        | 0.20   |           |

$AUC_{\text{Ratio}} = AUC_{\text{middle, high dose}} / AUC_{\text{low dose}}$. For rats, the AUC is 0-4h, for dogs, the AUC is 0-6h. $P$ value: independent $t$ test, female vs male. $n = 3$ animals per dose per sex. Cl is clearance, whereas $V_z$ is volume of distribution.

SD indicates Sprague-Dawley; AUC, area under curve; MRT, mean residence time.

* $P < 0.05$: statistical difference between male and female animals.

FIGURE 8. Concentration of Gd in rat plasma and major tissues over the period of 48 hours after injection of 0.1 mmol/kg MT218. Kidney, plasma, skin and lung (A); lymph nodes, stomach, heart and gonads (B); intestine, prostate, muscle, and liver (C); skeleton, spleen, fat and brain (D). The Gd concentration in the tissues was determined by ICP-MS.
expression of EDB-FN protein was shown in H1299 cells by Western blotting (Fig. 6C). The results suggest that MT218 has a potential for MRMI of lung cancer.

**Safety Pharmacology**

In comparison with positive control, MT218 did not exhibit any notable target-specific secondary pharmacologic activity in an in vitro assay with a 78-target panel in the concentration range of 0.005 to 100 μM. MT218 showed some inhibition activity on targets of HRH1, CNR2, OPRD1, CAV1.2, GABAA, and MAOA, with inhibition rates of 17.77%, 12.4%, 11.08%, 15.58%, 24.25%, and 10.21%, respectively. The inhibition rate of all other 72 targets ranged from 0% to 8.2% with majority of zero inhibition.

At 30.69 and 103.54 μM, MT218 inhibited the hERG currents with an average inhibition of 36.87% ± 6.93% and 52.19% ± 0.82%, respectively, whereas the positive control terfenadine at 10 μM exhibited 98.40% ± 0.31% inhibition of the hERG currents. No abnormal ECG waveform or rhythm was observed during electrocardiographic analysis after MT218 dosing in beagle dogs. There was no MT218-related effect on parameters of CNS function was found after a single IV injection with MT218 at doses of 0.1, 0.3, and 1.0 mmol/kg in SD rats.

**Pharmacokinetics**

Figure 7 shows the logarithmic plasma concentration-time curves after IV administration of MT218 at 0.04, 0.1, and 0.2 mmol/kg in rats and dogs. Pharmacokinetic parameters in rats and dogs are listed in Table 3. MT218 was cleared from circulation with the mean plasma elimination half-life (t1/2) of 0.31 and 0.69 hours in rats and dogs at 0.1 mmol/kg, respectively. The elimination half-lives of MT218 were similar to those of gadoteridol, approximately 0.39 and 0.78 hours in rats and dogs, respectively. The decrease in dose did not affect the elimination half-life, clearance rate (Cl) and volume of distribution (Vd) in both species. In both rats and dogs, the area under curve (AUC) showed a dose-dependent linear increase. There was no significant difference in t1/2 between sexes in rats and low- and medium-dose dog groups, whereas a significant difference was found between male and female dogs at 0.2 mmol/kg (P < 0.05).

**Biodistribution**

The Gd concentration in 15 major tissues and plasma up to 48 hours after IV injection of 0.1 mmol/kg MT218 in SD rats is shown in Figure 8. Relatively high Gd concentration was found in the kidneys possibly because of the fact that the agent was mainly excreted via renal filtration. Low levels of Gd were detected near the lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ; 0.1 μg/mL) of ICP-MS in most organs at 48 hours, indicating MT218 cleared fast from other tissues and organs. The AUC and Cmax parameters are shown in Table 4. Gd was mainly distributed in the kidneys, followed by the plasma, submaxillary lymph nodes, small intestine, skin, lung, liver, gonads, spleen, and bone, with relatively low exposure in the stomach, prostate, body fat, heart, muscle, and brain. In the brain, the Gd concentration was the lowest among all organs and tissues and reached LLOQ at 2 hours and became undetectable at 6 hours post-injection.

**Excretion**

The percentage excretion of injected MT218 (% inj. Gd) in rat urine, feces, and bile at 6 days after a single IV injection of 0.1 mmol/kg MT218 is shown in Figure 9A. The contrast agent was mainly excreted via kidneys into urine, whereas a small amount was excreted by feces and bile. In urine, Gd excretion reached the maximum during the 8- to 24-hour period (Fig. 9B). The total excretion of injected Gd in bile, feces, and urine was 100.01% ± 10.85% of the injected dose within 6 days. There was no significant sex difference between the total excreted urine Gd (P > 0.05).

**TABLE 4. Summary of AUC and Cmax Parameters of MT218 Based on Gd Measurement in Rat Plasma and Major Tissues**

| Tissue      | Cmax μg/mL or μg/g | AUC0-48h or h×μg/g or h×μg/mL | AUCINF or h×μg/g or h×μg/mL |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Kidney      | 74.89              | 481.54                      | 2936.07                     |
| Plasma      | 44.96              | 34.06                       | 34.18                       |
| Skin        | 17.21              | 28.54                       | 30.96                       |
| Lymph nodes | 12.53              | 27.63                       | 51.39                       |
| Lung        | 14.28              | 17.81                       | 19.50                       |
| Liver       | 4.73               | 17.22                       | 27.86                       |
| Spleen      | 4.14               | 15.18                       | 35.21                       |
| Small intestine | 6.44             | 13.99                       | 19.76                       |
| Stomach     | 10.87              | 12.99                       | 15.13                       |
| Prostate    | 6.05               | 12.05                       | 13.12                       |
| Skeleton    | 4.58               | 11.83                       | 12.16                       |
| Gonads      | 7.22               | 11.78                       | 17.48                       |
| Heart       | 7.54               | 7.15                        | 7.56                        |
| Muscle      | 5.51               | 4.27                        | 4.29                        |
| Fat         | 4.07               | 3.18                        | 3.23                        |
| Brain       | 1.10               | 0.86                        | 0.87                        |

AUC indicates area under curve.

**FIGURE 9. Excretion of MT218 in rat urine, feces, and bile 6 days after IV injection of 0.1 mmol/kg (A). MT218 excretion in urine within 6 days after IV injection of 0.1 mmol/kg (B). The Gd concentration in the tissues was determined by ICP-MS.**
Single- and Repeated-Dose Toxicology

No mortality or morbidity was noted in the rats and dogs in any dose group during the dosing observations. No MT218-related changes were observed in clinical observations, including body weight, food consumption, body temperature, ophthalmoscopy, hematology, coagulation, clinical chemistry, and urinalysis at any dose level in rats and dogs. Sporadic symptoms of emesis, retching, and salivation were noted in the single- and repeated-medium and high-dose dog groups during the dosing period. The symptoms disappeared after dosing completion. Pathological investigation at the end of the experiments revealed that no MT218-related macroscopic and microscopic abnormality was noted in the rats that received a single dose (1.39 mmol/kg). In the rats that received repeated high doses (1.39 mmol/kg/d), vacuolation of tubular epithelial cells (proximal and distal tubules) with some degenerative changes was observed at the terminal euthanization (day 15). This histological change was still present at the end of recovery period (day 29). No such abnormality was observed in the rats in the low and medium repeated-dosing groups. In dogs, a mild bilateral tubule degeneration of proximal and distal tubules was found in the single-dose group (0.70 mmol/kg). These changes were no longer evident on day 15. For the dogs in the repeat-dose groups, there was reversible renal tubule degeneration in both sexes for medium dose (0.21 mmol/kg/d) and high dose (0.70 mmol/kg/d). No renal abnormality was found in the dogs that received repeated low doses (0.07 mmol/kg/d). The NOAEL of MT218 was 1.39 mmol/kg after a single dose and 0.46 mmol/kg/d after repeated dose in SD rats for 14 days. The NOAEL was considered to be 0.07 mmol/kg/d after repeated dose for 14 days in dogs. The toxicity study revealed that the dog is the more sensitive species.

After daily IV administration for 14 consecutive days, systemic exposure (AUC$_{0-24h}$ and C$_{0}$) of MT218 in SD rat plasma increased as the dose increased on D1 and D14, and there was no substantial sex difference. The accumulation ratios, AUC$_{0-24h}$ (D14)/AUC$_{0-24h}$ (D1), of Gd for the low, medium, and high dose on day 14 were 1.52, 1.49, and 1.31 for male rats and 1.41, 1.61, and 1.22 for female rats, respectively. For dogs, accumulation ratios, AUC$_{0-24h}$ (D14)/AUC$_{0-24h}$ (D1), of Gd for the low, medium, and high dose on days 14 and 14 were 0.92, 1.07, and 1.02 for male dogs and 0.91, 0.99, and 1.00 for female dogs, respectively. Thus, a minimal accumulation was observed in rats and dogs.

Gd level in rat tissues was determined after euthanization of the rats on day 15 after receiving a single dose and on day 29 in the repeated-dose groups. In the group that received a single dose of 1.39 mmol/kg, the highest Gd concentration was found in the kidneys of the rats, whereas other tissues exhibited lower Gd concentrations (<1/20 of the kidney concentration). Gd was not detected in the brain and muscles.

For the 3 repeated-dose groups, Gd tissue concentrations on day 29 were similar to those of the single-dose group on day 15. The Gd tissue concentration on day 29 is shown in Table 5.

### TABLE 5. Concentrations of Gd in Rat Tissues on Day 29 (After the 2-Week Recovery Period)

| Dose, mmol/kg/d | Tissue  | Male          | Female        |
|-----------------|---------|---------------|---------------|
| 0.15            | Bone    | Mean 0.28     | Mean 0.19     |
|                 | Brain   | Mean BQL      | Mean BQL      |
|                 | Colon   | Mean 0.18     | Mean 0.15     |
|                 | Heart   | Mean 0.14     | Mean 0.13     |
|                 | Jejunum | Mean 0.17     | Mean 0.23     |
|                 | Kidney  | Mean 15.15    | Mean 26.46    |
|                 | Liver   | Mean 0.22     | Mean 0.31     |
|                 | Lung    | Mean 0.22     | Mean 0.20     |
|                 | Muscle  | Mean BQL      | Mean 0.03     |
|                 | Skin    | Mean 0.13     | Mean 0.14     |
|                 | Spleen  | Mean 0.37     | Mean 0.32     |
| 0.46            | Bone    | Mean 0.70     | Mean 1.13     |
|                 | Brain   | Mean 0.07     | Mean 0.03     |
|                 | Colon   | Mean 0.79     | Mean 1.02     |
|                 | Heart   | Mean 1.72     | Mean 3.17     |
|                 | Jejunum | Mean 0.73     | Mean 0.73     |
|                 | Kidney  | Mean 70.70    | Mean 100.81   |
|                 | Liver   | Mean 1.96     | Mean 1.03     |
|                 | Muscle  | Mean 0.22     | Mean 1.27     |
|                 | Skin    | Mean 0.95     | Mean 1.26     |
|                 | Spleen  | Mean 1.12     | Mean 1.41     |
| 1.39            | Bone    | Mean 5.85     | Mean 4.90     |
|                 | Brain   | Mean 1.81     | Mean 0.40     |
|                 | Colon   | Mean 3.92     | Mean 3.44     |
|                 | Heart   | Mean 2.56     | Mean 1.85     |
|                 | Jejunum | Mean 3.72     | Mean 3.26     |
|                 | Kidney  | Mean 519.85   | Mean 346.00   |
|                 | Liver   | Mean 4.85     | Mean 4.11     |
|                 | Lung    | Mean 4.83     | Mean 3.86     |
|                 | Muscle  | Mean 1.15     | Mean 1.27     |
|                 | Skin    | Mean 2.31     | Mean 4.56     |
|                 | Spleen  | Mean 6.04     | Mean 4.69     |

Gd indicates gadolinium; BQL, below limit of quantitation; NA, not available.

Genotoxicity

No positive mutagenic response with MT218 was observed at dose levels of 15, 50, 150, 500, 1500, and 5000 μg/plate in any testing strains (ie, TA97a, TA98, TA100, TA102, and TA1535 strains of S. typhimurium), either in the presence or absence of S9 activation. No significant changes in chromosomal aberration ratios were observed in CHL fibroblasts as compared with the control group (P > 0.05) at MT218 concentrations of 2000, 1000, and 500 μg/mL after 4 hours of treatment either with or without metabolic activation or after treatment for 27 hours without metabolic activation. In MT218-treated ICR mice, there was no significant decrease (P > 0.05) in the ratio of polychromatic erythrocytes to total erythrocytes in the low-, mid-, and high-dose MT218 groups or positive control group, indicating no bone marrow toxicity. In comparison with the vehicle control group, there was no significant increase in the incidence of micronuclei in the MT218 groups, indicating no genotoxicity of MT218 to bone marrow when administered at dose levels of 1.39, 0.70, and 0.35 mmol/kg/d for 2 days.

Other Toxicology Studies

MT218 injection had no hemolytic or aggregative effect at a concentration of 0.2 M in the hemolysis test with RBCs of New Zealand rabbits. The anaphylactic reaction was negative in guinea pigs when MT218 was dosed via intraperitoneal injection for sensitization at 0.200 or 0.695 mmol/kg, once every other day for 3 total doses, and then challenged by IV injection at 14 days and 21 days after the last sensitization using dosages of 0.40 and 1.39 mmol/kg.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we have characterized the physicochemical properties, metabolism, dosing effects, and imaging effectiveness at low doses for MRMI in different tumor models, as well as pharmacokinetics, tissue retention, and safety of MT218 in preparation for clinical translation.
MT218 possesses high $r_1$ relaxivities at 1.4 and 3.0 T, which encompass the most commonly used magnetic field strength range for clinical MRI. It is a small molecule with a moderate binding affinity to the ECM oncoprotein, EDB-FN, which allows it to rapidly bind and penetrate into inner tumor tissue through reversible binding. As a result, MT218 produces robust $T_1$ contrast enhancement at substantially lower doses than the clinical GBCA throughout the EDB-FN-rich aggressive solid tumors for at least 30 minutes postinjection, which indicated a potential lower dose for clinical diagnostic MRI. The results are consistent with the previously reported results from different types of solid tumors.\textsuperscript{17,29-31,41}

MT218 shows chelating stability at physiological pH and only minor dissociation was observed exclusively at acidic pH and the presence of chelating agents, results similar to those of gadoteridol.\textsuperscript{6,43} The peptide in the contrast agent is susceptible to enzymatic degradation in the blood plasma. It degrades faster in rat plasma than in human plasma, slower in dog plasma. Nevertheless, the plasma degradation of the agent does not prevent rapid EDB-FN-specific tumor accumulation sufficient to demonstrate MRI contrast enhancement. Robust enhancement is still observed in the rat PC3 tumor model for at least 3 hours at both 0.04 and 0.02 mmol/kg after IV injection. MT218 is also subject to metabolism by hepatocytes owing to enzymatic degradation of the peptide. It does not show any notable inhibitory, inductive, or other pharmacologic activities with the commonly tested protein targets.

Rapid excretion and complete clearance of MRI contrast agents after diagnostic imaging are essential for their safe clinical use, especially considering the potential toxic side effects related to prolonged Gd tissue retention.\textsuperscript{44,45} The preclinical assessment has shown that MT218 possesses pharmacokinetics, excretion, and tissue retention similar to gadoteridol, one of the safest macrocyclic GBCAs, and other clinical macrocyclic GBCAs.\textsuperscript{46} Greater than 80% of the injected dose is excreted in urine within the first 8 hours postinjection in rats after a single dose. The biodistribution study in rats revealed that the Gd concentration decreased to 1.0 g/g or lower in the tested tissues besides the kidneys, the organ that the agent is mainly excreted through, and fell to undetectable limits by 48 hours in most tissues. The distribution and clearance of MT218 in the kidneys were comparable to other clinical macrocyclic GBCAs, including gadoteridol, gadobutrol and gadodate. Notably, MT218 brain levels were lowest among tissues tested, even with daily dosing for 2 weeks, similar to the results of the clinical macrocyclic GBCAs.\textsuperscript{37-49} There is thus every expectation for the results in human studies to mirror those of the clinical macrocyclic GBCAs in regard to Gd retention in brain, namely, insignificance.

Studies on GLP toxicity have shown that MT218 exhibits low toxicity in rats and dogs after single and repeated dosing at relatively high doses. Dose-related renal effects are observed after repeated daily administrations for 14 days. However, such effects have also been observed for other clinical GBCAs.\textsuperscript{50-53} The NOAEL from the most sensitive preclinical species (dog) was not established for single dosing and was 0.07 mmol/kg/d for repeated daily IV dosing. The targeted contrast agent is expected to be used clinically as a single dose for diagnostic MRI at 0.04 mmol/kg or lower based on the preclinical efficacy in multiple mouse and rat xenograft tumor models, lower than its repeated dosing NOAEL in dogs. Overall, the preclinical studies have shown that MT218 possesses an excellent safety profile for advancing to clinical evaluations.

Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis and brain Gd deposition related to the prolonged retention of GBCAs have raised safety concerns of their clinical use. In 2014, Kanda et al.\textsuperscript{54} reported elevated signal in the deep brain of patients with normal renal status who had experienced multiple doses (eg, 5–6) of linear GBCA. Many studies have been performed since, and most reported that (1) the elevated signal correlates with linear versus macrocyclic GBCA, mirroring the trend as observed in nephrogenic systemic fibrosis patients;\textsuperscript{55} (2) the trends were replicated in rodents, that Gd concentration measured by an absolute method (ICP-MS) is indeed correlated with MRI signal and geographically as determined in human cadavers that had had multiple GBCA doses in life;\textsuperscript{33} and (3) no neurologic toxicity nor symptomology has been recorded in cadavers or living patients that was associated with these Gd retention findings, even for the linear GBCA.\textsuperscript{36} The elevated signal that GBCA imparts to tissues generally requires a minimum, micromolar Gd concentration to visualize signal elevation in MRI. Linear GBCAs are well known to deliver much greater free Gd released from GBCA injections, which is retained longer and hence accumulates in bones, skin, and even in brain when multiple doses are administered. MT218 uses the macrocyclic gadoteridol chelate, having the lowest Gd retention in experimental rodents among the GBCA, and a single dose of MT218 is prescribed in phase 1 clinical trial. Notably, an animal biodistribution study of MT218 revealed no observed brain retention in rats in single- and repeated-dose studies where the LLOQ was 0.1 ppm. However, the contraindication for use among the most vulnerable patients with renal dysfunction is yet to be determined for MT218 in later-phase clinical studies. To protect subjects from this risk, subjects with signs of impaired renal function are always excluded for GBCA in early-phase clinical studies.

The success of this tumor-targeting MRI contrast agent in safety and efficacy will shed light on other products of the same series and imaging agents aiming at the ECM, whose content is known to be related to tumor aggressiveness. A number of preclinical attempts have been published that sought to use MRI to image malignant tumors using GBCA targeted to fibrin or FN.\textsuperscript{5,12,25-40} The target for these agents has sufficient Gd concentration to produce MRI signal with reasonable injected doses. The primary negative issue with these agents is the target itself (fibrin or untransformed FN), however, which is commonly distributed in non-tumor tissue. The target of MT218, EDB-FN retains a high concentration of fibrin/FN but is highly and specifically expressed only in aggressive malignancies, with little expression in normal tissues. Based on the preclinical investigations, MT218 has the potential to be used for early detection of multiple types of EDB-FN-rich aggressive solid tumors, including breast cancer, colon cancer, lung cancer, pancreatic cancer, prostate cancer, and others with high-resolution MRMI. Because EDB-FN expression is associated with invasiveness of cancer cells, MRMI of EDB-FN also has the potential for noninvasive characterization of aggressive tumors and monitoring disease progression and therapeutic response based on the EDB-FN expression levels.

This study was focused on the preclinical assessments of a peptide-targeted, small molecular GBCA for specific cancer MRI in clinical translation. The dose-dependent efficacy of MT218 for specific contrast enhancement of aggressive tumors was evaluated in tumor xenografts or allografts in rodents. The pharmacokinetics, biodistribution, and safety of the agent were investigated in a small number of animals of 2 species, including rats and dogs. The clinical studies are needed to demonstrate the pharmacokinetics, safety, and specific cancer MRI of MT218 in patients for clinical development. Currently, an investigational new drug application was approved by the FDA. A phase 1 clinical trial for safety assessments of MT218 is ongoing in healthy volunteers. The efficacy of MT218 in MRI of aggressive tumors will be evaluated in late-phase clinical trials once its safety is demonstrated in humans.

In conclusion, our study results demonstrate that the small molecular peptide targeted MRI contrast agent MT218 has a high $r_1$ relaxivity and produces robust contrast enhancement in EDB-FN-rich tumors in rodents at low doses. It shows chelating stability comparable with the base clinical macrocyclic contrast agent. The pharmacokinetics, excretion, and biodistribution data in animals indicate that MT218 behaves similarly to the clinical macrocyclic GBCAs with rapid clearance and minimal tissue retention, especially with no detectable long-term retention in the brain. The results of in vivo and in vitro safety and pharmacology studies demonstrate a satisfactory safety profile that warrants further clinical evaluations of the targeted contrast agent for cancer MRI.
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