Study on the relationship between functional characteristics and environmental factors in karst plant communities
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Abstract
Environmental factors drive changes in plant functional traits, which in turn promote community recovery. The environmental conditions of the community are different at different recovery stages. Changing environmental factors may drive the changes in plant functional traits at the community level and affect species adaptation. We studied plant communities in five different recovery stages (herb, grass and shrub, shrub, tree and shrub, and tree) in the karst plateau of Zhenning, Guizhou (The vegetation in the study area has undergone a gradual natural recovery process after the forests were deforested in 1958–1960). We studied functional traits and their links to environmental factors. The main results include the following. (1) Over time, plant height, leaf dry matter content, leaf nitrogen content and leaf phosphorus content increased significantly in the tree stage, while leaf thickness and specific leaf area decreased significantly in the tree stage. (2) Soil organic carbon, soil N content, soil P content, soil C:P and soil C:K showed an increasing trend, and were significantly higher in tree stage than in other stages. Soil potassium content fluctuated and soil bulk density decreased gradually, reaching the lowest value in the tree stage, but the difference was not significant. (3) During the restoration process, the functional characteristics changed from a combination of plant communities with high specific leaf area and low dry matter content with a short plant height to plant communities with low specific leaf area and high dry matter content with a tall plant height. (4) As recovery proceeded, the study area gradually changed from a soil nutrient-poor environment to a nutrient-rich environment. Overall, the environmental factors vary greatly during the recovery of plant communities in karst areas. The plant community shifts from an aggressive (resource acquisition) to a conservative (environmental barrenness resistance) ecological strategy. The soil phosphorus content and soil C:K are the main environmental factors affecting the changes in functional traits during the restoration of karst plant communities in Zhenning.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Plant functional traits are expressions of plant function and morphology under different environmental conditions (Laughlin, 2014; Li et al., 2015; Poorter et al., 2018). They are often used to predict community structure and ecosystem functions (Diaz et al., 2007; Garnier et al., 2004), they influence plant survival, growth, and reproduction (Liu & Ma, 2015; Meng et al., 2007; Violle et al., 2007), and provide insights into ecological mechanisms such as biodiversity maintenance (Cadotte et al., 2015; Funk et al., 2016; Liu, Bai, et al., 2020b; Liu, Yu, et al., 2020a; Poorter et al., 2008). Leaf functional traits are closely related to the acquisition and utilization of plant resources and are sensitive to changes in environmental factors such as water, temperature, and light (Yao et al., 2014).

The study of functional traits can reveal the driving forces of community recovery following disturbance (Kahmen & Poschlod, 2010). At present, research on plant functional traits mainly explores the "static changes" of mature plants at a specific time (Liu et al., 2021). Soil factors affect the recovery of species and are considered to be strong influences on the functional traits of plants (Bu et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016). Environmental factors act as a "sieve" that determines which species or traits will be retained in a community. For example, specific leaf area decreases with increasing annual precipitation; leaf organic matter increases with increasing mean annual temperature (Shi et al., 2008); plant leaf N and P increase with elevation; and plant leaf N and organic carbon content increase with increasing soil water content (Liu & Ma, 2012). This variability in species’ adaptations to the environment affects competitive advantages and leads to changes in community structure. Related studies have shown that as restoration proceeds, soil nutrients and water availability increase, there is a more complex community structure and reduced light resources in the lower forest canopy (Xu et al., 2015), and species that have lower resource acquisition capacity but higher resilience become more common (Castro et al., 2010; Muscarella et al., 2016). This can be reflected in trait shifts, such as decreasing specific leaf area and leaf nitrogen content (Bonal et al., 2007; Cortez et al., 2007), although this pattern can vary depending on the species and system (Craven et al., 2015; Reich et al., 2003).

The high rate of rock exposure, poor and discontinuous soils, and harsh ecological conditions have led karst forests to become fragile ecosystems (Yu et al., 2002a). The development of karst forests is influenced by the physiological ecology of plants, migration and population dynamics of animals, community succession, soil texture evolution and disturbance. Therefore, its distribution pattern is the result of multiple ecological processes. Multiple ecological processes are a general term for the flow and transformation of materials, energy and information within and between ecosystems in a region (or watershed) (Song et al., 2015). Previous studies have shown how plant composition is determined by habitat characteristics, such as the shallow karst soil layer, high soil water infiltration, and longer dry seasons, and thus plants may exhibit a combination of traits, such as low specific leaf area and high leaf dry matter content (Jiang et al., 2016; Liu & Ma, 2015; Wang et al., 2003; Xi et al., 2011).

Studies on functional features in karst regions tend to concentrate on species-level comparisons and less frequently take recovery sequences into account. In this study, we examined natural forests at different recovery stages in the Zhenneng Karst plateau area to see how plant functional traits vary and link the variation to environmental changes. We focus on the following two questions: (1) What are the patterns of plant functional traits and ecological strategies of karst plant communities at different recovery stages? (2) What are the relationships between plant functional traits and environmental factors?

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study area

The study area was a typical karst plateau in central Guizhou, which is located in Zhenneng County, Anshun City, China (Figure 1). Zhenneng County is located at 105°35′–106°01′E and 25°25′–26°11′N. The topography of the area is high in the north and low in the south, and the slope varies greatly (altitude of 447–2177 m). The region has a subtropical humid monsoon climate, with an annual average temperature of 16.2°C, the coldest month (January) with an average temperature of 6.5°C, and the hottest month (July) with an average temperature of 23.7°C. The annual frost-free period is 297–345 days, the annual sunshine duration is 1142 h, and the annual average precipitation is 1277 mm. The parent rock of soil layer is limestone and the soil type is limestone. After deforestation in the study area from 1958 to 1960, the vegetation recovered gradually. In order to better analyze the relationship between plant functional characters and environmental factors in each restoration stage, five different restoration stages were selected as sample points. The five stages are herbage recovery stage, herbage and shrub recovery stage, shrub recovery stage, tree and shrub recovery stage and tree recovery stage. The dominant species in the herbaceous layer were Imperata cylindrica, Carex capilliformis, and Miscanthus sinensis; the dominant species in the shrub layer were Pittosporum tobira, Pyracantha fortuneana, Rosa cymosa, and Coriaria nepalensis; and the dominant species in the tree layer were Platycladus strobilacea, Carpinus pubescens, Cyclobalanopsis argyrosperma, and Celtis sinensis.
2.2 Sample plot, sample square setting, and community survey

This paper adopts a "space substitution for time" approach (Mueller-Dombois & Ellenberg, 1974). First of all, it takes a long time for plants to grow. Secondly, when studying communities, it is difficult for us to have enough time to study the whole stage from grass to tree. Therefore, we can only select the existing plant communities at different stages for study, so that we can shorten the time of our plant survey as much as possible. In the study area, typical sample sites with similar elevation, slope, and slope directions were selected to set up sample plots. We sampled each plot only once. Sample plots were observed from May–June 2019 to identify sample plots; sample plots were sampled from September–November 2019. The sample plots were set up in the TR stage and TS stage with an area of $20 \times 20$ m; in the SH stage and HS stage with an area of $10 \times 10$ m; and in the HE stage with a sample plot of $5 \times 5$ m. Three replicate sample plots were set up in each stage. To verify the rationality of sample site selection, we performed K-means clustering analysis on each sample site. We clustered the environmental factors of each restoration stage as variables and the sample sites of the restoration stage as cases. If the variability of TS clustering results is not significant, it indicates that our sample site selection is reasonable, and vice versa. The clustering results showed (Table 1) that three sample sites were selected in the TS stage, two of which were consistent with the SH stage and TR stage, with one sample site consistent with the HE and HS stage. No new category appeared in the TS stage, all of which could be clustered with other restoration stages. The reason for our inconsistent sample size at different stages is that we refer to previous studies. In the previous study, to obtain the minimum sample size for each stage, the researchers calculated a "species-area curve" for this area to determine the minimum sample area for this area (Feng et al., 2011).

We divided each standard sample plot into different sampling squares, and each squares was surveyed for tree layer, shrub layer, and herb layer. In the TR stage and TS stage, we divided four tree layer sampling squares with an area of $10 \times 10$ m. In each of the tree sampling squares, we selected a shrub sampling squares with an area of $5 \times 5$ m, and in each shrub sample square with an area of $1 \times 1$ m. In the HE stage and SH stage, we divided four shrub layer sampling squares with an area of $5 \times 5$ m, and in each of these shrub layer sampling squares, we selected an herbaceous sampling squares with an area of $1 \times 1$ m. In the HE stage, we randomly selected 10 sampling squares with an area of $1 \times 1$ m. Therefore, we surveyed 24 tree...
by the shadow length of the tree and then divided by the shadow length of the pole. The breast diameter of a plant is measured by measuring its chest circumference with a tape measure about 1.3 m above the ground. The method of measuring the ground diameter is to measure the ground diameter of the plant with a tape measure of about 0.1 m from the ground. The width of the crown is measured by measuring the shaded parts of the plant’s leaves and branches that are cast vertically on the ground. The height under the branch is measured with a ruler when the plant grows its first branch. The count of the number of plants in the sample plot is called the number of plants. Average height is a measure of the average plant height of herbaceous plants. Coverage is estimated as a percentage of the distribution area of herbaceous plants in the sample plot. In total, we surveyed and measured 146 plant species. Ten functional traits were selected: plant height (PLH), leaf thickness (LT), leaf dry matter content (LDMC), leaf area (LA), specific leaf area (SLA), leaf nitrogen content (LNC), leaf phosphorus content (LPC), leaf N:P (RLNP), leaf organic carbon content (LCC), and leaf C:P (RLCP). The measurements followed the New Global Manual for Standardized Measurement of Plant Functional Traits (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2013). The top three plants (dominant species) in terms of importance at each stage were selected as sampling plants, and the branches in the southeast and northwest directions of the canopy of the sampling plants were cut with high pruning shears. About 20 healthy and disease-free leaves were picked from each branch, and mix the dominant species in a sample plot into one sample. Significance value of tree layer = (relative abundance + relative frequency + relative dominance based on diameter at breast height)/3; significance value of shrub and grass layer = (relative abundance + relative frequency + relative cover)/3. We used these two equations to determine the dominant species of trees, shrubs and herbs. The fresh weight of the leaves of each plant sample was weighed. The samples were baked at 60°C for 72 h until they reached a constant weight. The dry weight of the leaves was weighed. LDMC = dry weight of the leaves/fresh weight of the leaves.

The determination of blade thickness (LT) was made with electronic vernier calipers (Deli DL91150, Production country: China). Leaf length and leaf area (LA) were scanned and calculated by scanner combined with Photoshop software (Brand: HP; Model: HPScanJetN92120; Production country: China). SLA = Leaf area/leaf dry weight. Using the “S” sampling method (Long et al., 2004), the “S” sampling method is shown in Figure 2. Five soil samples were collected at depths of 0–30 cm (Liu, Bai, et al., 2020b; Liu, Yu, et al., 2020a). The steps for collecting soil samples are as follows: First, remove the debris from the soil surface, and then remove the plants and roots. Secondly, we use a ring knife to sample the soil at a depth of 0–30 cm, so as to get a soil sample. We store the bags with the plants and soil in a freezer in order not to destroy the samples. Soil samples were brought to the laboratory to measure soil bulk density (BD) and soil organic carbon (SOC), soil nitrogen content (TN), soil phosphorus content (TP), and soil potassium content (TK). The leaves were brought back to the laboratory for measurement of leaf carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus content. The soil organic

---

### TABLE 1 Clustering results of sample sites in the restoration stages of the study area.

| Case number | Sample  | Clustering | Center distance |
|-------------|---------|------------|-----------------|
| 1           | HE1     | 1          | 12.936          |
| 2           | HE2     | 1          | 3.222           |
| 3           | HE3     | 1          | 29.924          |
| 4           | HS1     | 1          | 7.218           |
| 5           | HS2     | 1          | 11.727          |
| 6           | HS3     | 1          | 7.921           |
| 7           | SH1     | 2          | 4.194           |
| 8           | SH2     | 2          | 12.756          |
| 9           | SH3     | 2          | 12.012          |
| 10          | TS1     | 2          | 10.476          |
| 11          | TS2     | 1          | 15.904          |
| 12          | TS3     | 2          | 17.001          |
| 13          | TR1     | 2          | 29.147          |
| 14          | TR2     | 2          | 12.941          |
| 15          | TR3     | 2          | 25.632          |

Note: The numbers following these abbreviated letters represent duplicate sample sites. In the Clustering list, the same number represents the same category. In the Center Distance list, the value represents the distance from the K-means clustering to the center point.

Abbreviations: HE, herbage recovery stage; HS, herbage and shrub recovery stage; SH, shrub recovery stage; TR, tree recovery stage; TS, tree and shrub recovery stage.

---

### 2.3 Selection of plant functional traits and determination of soil factors

Then, we recorded tree species, plant height (PLH), diameter at breast height, crown width and height under branches; shrub species, plant height and ground diameter; herb species, plant number, average height and cover. Environmental factors including elevation and slope direction were measured. The basic information of the dominant species in the sample site is shown in Table 2. We photographed and recorded the characteristic traits of the species, such as leaves, flowers, and fruits, and then compared the botanical histories to find out the names of the species. For trees under 5 m, we can directly use a tape measure to measure the height of the plant. For trees above 5 m, a pole of known length is set up on the ground according to the sun’s irradiation, and the shadow length of the tree and the shadow length of the pole are measured separately, and then obtained according to the proportional relationship (the height of the tree is equal to the height of the pole multiplied

---

**TABLE 1** Clustering results of sample sites in the restoration stages of the study area.
carbon and leaf organic carbon content were determined by the potassium dichromate oxidation-external heating method (Bao, 2005). The leaf samples were decocted by \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4-\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) method, and the determination of leaf nitrogen content was sampled by indophenol blue colorimetric method and leaf phosphorus content was determined by molybdenum antimony anti-colorimetric method (NY/T2017-2011, 2011); soil nitrogen content was determined by Kjeldahl method and soil phosphorus content was determined by NaOH fusion-molybdenum antimony anti-colorimetric method (Bao, 2005; LY/T1228-2015, 2015).

### 2.4 Data processing

Excel 2019 was used to organize trait data and soil factor data, and the FD package (Laliberté & Legendre, 2010) in R4.0.3 was used to calculate the community level functional trait values. One-way analysis of variance and multiple comparisons (Tukey HSD) were used to analyze the environmental factors and functional traits of the communities at different recovery stages (Ryan, 2013). A Pearson correlation analysis was used to determine the correlation between functional traits (Wei & Viliam, 2021). The “Vegan” package was used to perform RDA analysis of environmental factors and plant functional traits (Lai et al., 2022). The “heir.part” package was used to perform the hierarchical partitioning analysis of environmental factors and functional traits (Ralph & Christopher, 2004). The statistical analysis and graphing were carried out in R4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020).

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Functional trait characteristics of plants in different recovery stages

As shown by the change of plant functional properties at different recovery stages (Figure 3). With the plant community recovered, PLH gradually increased and showed significant differences at each recovery stage.
stage, with TR stage (3.35 m) significantly higher ($p < .001$) than TS stage (1.62 m) (Figure 3a); LNC showed an increasing trend, and the TR stage (9.64 g/kg) having significantly higher ($p < .001$) nitrogen content than the previous four stages, with HS stage (7.53 g/kg) being significantly higher ($p < .001$) than HE (5.65 g/kg) and SH (4.13 g/kg) stages, and the SH stage having the lowest nitrogen content (Figure 3f); LPC showed an increasing trend, and the TR stage (1.35 g/kg) was significantly higher than the rest of the stages ($p < .001$) (Figure 3g). LDMC in the TR stage (0.29) was significantly higher than in other stages ($p = .03$) (Figure 3d). LA showed a decreasing trend and was significantly higher in the HE (20.15 cm²) and HS (19.41 cm²) stages than in the SH (13.88 cm²) and TR (18.50 cm²) stages ($p < .01$) (Figure 3b). LT and SLA decreased gradually during recovery, and LT and SLA in HE stage were significantly higher than those in other stages, and LT (0.21 mm, $p = .02$), SLA (148.86 cm²/g, $p < .001$) in the TR stage was significantly lower than in the rest of the stages ($p < .001$) (Figure 3c,e). The RLCP gradually decreased and was significantly higher in the HE stage (1055.82) and lower in the TR stage (580.63) than in the rest of the stages ($p < .001$) (Figure 3h); the RLNP showed fluctuating changes, and was significantly higher in the HE stage (12.82), HS (13.57) and TS (14.51) stages than in the SH (8.90) and TR (8.82) stage ($p < .001$) (Figure 3i).

**FIGURE 3** Change of plant functional properties at different recovery stages. In statistics, one-way ANOVA is used for comparative analysis, when $p < .05$, it is statistically significant; Different lowercase letters between (a, b, c, d) represent significant differences. Bar graphs show mean (±95% confidence interval, CI); The error bar is the standard error (SE). Three individuals were measured per replicate, and three replicates were performed for each recovery stage. (a–i) The change characteristics of different plant functional traits with different recovery stages. The Y-axis of each vignette represents the plant functional traits, and the X-axis represents the recovery stage. The abbreviations of HE, HS, SH, TS and TR refer to Figure 1. LA, leaf area; LDMC, leaf dry matter content; LNC, leaf nitrogen content; LPC, leaf phosphorus content; LT, leaf thickness; PLH, plant height; RLCP, leaf C:P; RLNP, leaf N:P; SLA, specific leaf area.
3.2 | Characteristics of environmental factor changes in different recovery stages

As shown by the Change of environmental factors between different recovery stages (Figure 4). With the plant community recovered, SOC, TN, TP, SOC.TP, and SOC.TK showed an increasing trend, all showing significantly lower in the HE stage than in the rest of the stages. SOC (107.77 g/kg, \( p < .001 \)) (Figure 4a), TN (7.35 g/kg, \( p < .001 \)) (Figure 4b), and SOC.TP (86.52, \( p < .001 \)) (Figure 4g) were significantly higher in the TR stage than in the rest of the stages. TP and SOC.TK did not differ significantly in the three intermediate stages (HS, SH, TS) and the tree stage was significantly higher than the remaining stages (\( p < .001 \)) (Figure 4b). TK (\( p = .13 \)), BD (\( p = .15 \)), and SOC.TN (\( p = .23 \)) in each stage were not significantly different (Figure 4d-f), TK was highest in SH stage (11.78 g/kg), BD was lowest in the TR stage (0.83 g/cm\(^3\)), and SOC.TK was highest in the TR stage (13.97).

3.3 | Correlation between functional traits

As shown by the correlation of functional characteristics of plant communities in the study area (Figure 5). With the plant community recovered, LDMC has a significant positive correlation with LNC, PLH, LPC, and a significant negative correlation with RLCP, LT, SLA; LNC had a significant positive correlation with PLH and LPC, and a significant negative correlation with RLCP and SLA; PLH had a significant positive correlation with LPC, and a significant negative correlation with RLCP, LT, and SLA; LPC is significantly negatively correlated with RLCP, LT, SLA, and RLNP. There was a significant positive correlation between RLCP and LT, SLA, and RLNP. There was a significant positive correlation between LT and SLA and a significant positive correlation between RLNP and both SLA and LA.

3.4 | Effects of environmental factors on functional traits

As shown by the RDA analysis (Figure 6a), the overall percent of variance explained of environmental factors for plant functional traits in different recovery stages reached 92.72%, and the explanation rate of the first two axes of RDA reached 97.24%. TP, SOC.TK, SOC, SOC.TN, and BD were the main environmental factors affecting the changes in functional traits (Figure 6b). PLH and LPC were positively correlated with SOC.TN, SOC.TK, TP, SOC.TP, SOC and TN. LA, RLNP, and LNC were positively correlated with SOC.TN, SOC.TK, and TP, and had a negative correlation with TK. RLCP was positively correlated with TK and BD. The HE stage and HS stage were mainly affected by high soil BD and relatively low nutrients; the SH stage and TS stage were mainly affected by high soil BD and TK. The TR stage was mainly affected by relatively high soil SOC, TN, and TP.

As shown by the functional traits are explained by environmental factors (Figure 7). PLH was mainly influenced by TP and SOC.TK; LA was mainly affected by TN and TK; LT was mainly influenced by SOC and TP; LDMC was mainly influenced by SOC.TK and SOC.TN; SLA was mainly influenced by TP and SOC. The influence factors of LNC, LPC, and RLCP are similar, mainly affected by TP and SOCK. TK, LNC is also influenced by TK. RLNP was mainly influenced by TN, TP, and SOC.

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Sensitivity of plant functional traits to environmental factors

Plant functional traits can reveal plant adaptation strategies and resource allocation strategies (Guittar et al., 2016). In this recovery study, PLH, LDMC, LNC, and LPC of plant community in the study area increased, LA, LT and SLA decreased gradually, and RLNP and RLCP had a tendency to decrease. SLA can reflect the plant’s acquisition of light and water, and reflect the plant’s ability to use resources (Cheng et al., 2019; Garnier et al., 2001; Pang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016). LDMC can reflect the degree of plant adaptation to environmental stress (Li et al., 2021). The combination of traits with low SLA and high LDMC indicated the stronger ability of plant communities to utilize environmental resources (Liu, Bai, et al., 2020b; Liu, Yu, et al., 2020a). The study area was a typical karst plateau, a rocky landscape with relatively scarce soil and water resources. Over time, plant leaves have improved water use efficiency via reducing transpiration. Plant communities enhanced their suitability for the environment by improving nutrient use and water conservation capacity, which is consistent with previous findings (Li et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2019).

During the recovery process, PLH increased significantly, indicating that the competitiveness, productivity, and recovery ability of vegetation after disturbance gradually increased. LT gradually decreases, indicating that plant leaves have a strategy to store water in the early stage of recovery. Later, as LA decreased (Figure 3), the light penetration decreased and plants fully captured light resources by decreasing LT (Zhang, 2014). In this study, it was found that LNC and LPC increased at later stages which may be related to the nutrient content of the soil—these trends were consistent with the changes of soil TN and TP (Figure 6a). This further indicates that soil nutrients have a regulatory effect on leaf functional traits. The traits of the karst plant community changed through the various stages of restoration. The transformation process of plant traits is from high SLA and low LDMC traits to low SLA and high LDMC traits. At the beginning of the restoration stage, soil moisture and nutrients are low and the community has the ability to acquire resources quickly. We call this change an ecologically open strategy; at the later stage of the restoration stage, soil moisture and nutrients gradually increase, the community structure is complex, and the efficiency of the community in using water and nutrients is enhanced. We call this change an ecologically conservative strategy. The ecological strategy also changed from an active strategy to a conservative strategy,
which was similar to the conclusions drawn by Garnier et al. (2004), Xi et al. (2011), and Wright et al. (2004).

Leaf N and P content is a direct reflection of plant nutrient supply and growth rate (Elser et al., 2003), and leaf N: P can be diagnosed as a limiting element during plant growth. A ratio less than 14 is limited by N, greater than 16 is limited by P, and between 14 and 16 is limited by both N and P (Koerselman & Meuleman, 1996). In this study, the N:P values of plant leaves in SH and TR stages were far less than 14, indicating that plant leaves in these two recovery stages were significantly limited by N. In addition, N:P of plant leaves in HE, HS and TS stages was about 14 (13.85, 13.28, 14.33), indicating that plant leaves in these three stages were restricted by N and P.

4.2 Correlations of plant functional traits to environmental factors at different recovery stages

The results of this study showed that TP, SOC.TK, SOC, SOC.TN, and BD were the main soil factors that influenced the changes in functional traits (Figure 6b). At the early stage of recovery (HE and HS stage), under low soil nutrients, the dominant species were Imperata cylindrica, Miscanthus sinensis, Rosa cymosa, Carex capilliformis, and other fast-growing annual or biennial herbs and shrubs. This stage is mainly characterized by a combination of traits with high SLA and low LDMC, as well as a higher ability to acquire resources. The environmental factors in the middle recovery period (SH and TS stages)
are mainly influenced by the TK, and the plant nutrient content is limited by the combination of N and P. It was a community with *Platycarya strobilacea* and *Cyclobalanopsis argyrotricha* as the dominant species with a conservative strategy and a combination of high PLH, LDMC, LNC, and LPC, and low SLA and LT. With the increase of soil P, plant leaf P content increased significantly and adapted to the strong light and poor soil environment by reducing water transpiration and increasing water use efficiency (Ackerly et al., 2002).
Under the influence of environmental heterogeneity, there are great differences in leaf characters between species and within species (Jiang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2015; Xi et al., 2011), but they generally show a combination of low specific SLA, LA and high LDMC. These trait combinations imply that plants tend to develop a combination of drought resistant traits to acclimate to habitat characteristics such as shallow karst soils layer and high soil water seepage (Li et al., 2021).

In general, soil bulk density can reflect soil water content. Soil water content can promote plant cell division and growth (Pang et al., 2019), and soil organic carbon can affect soil fertility and plant growth (Liu, 1995). The main soil impact factors in this study were TP > SOC.TK > SOC. It is speculated this is because the soil layer in karst areas is shallow and water and soil loss are high. There are often convergent or divergent ecological strategies among different communities, and functional traits will respond to the environment (Vile et al., 2006). The study area is a typical karst landscape development, with complex habitat structure, rich microhabitat composition, and obvious habitat heterogeneity. However, with the restoration of plant communities, the habitats in karst areas gradually transition from heterogeneity to homogeneity (Yu et al., 2002b). From the variance explained by environmental factors on functional traits (Figure 6), it can be seen that soil TP and SOC.TK had a greater influence on PLH, LNC, LPC, and RLCP of plants in the process of karst recovery. Combined with the results in Figure 4, it can be seen that in the early recovery stage, the environmental factors regulating plant functional traits were mainly soil BD; in the late recovery stage, it was shown that multiple environmental factors jointly regulated plant functional traits, especially in the TR stage showed more prominent performance. This also indicates that environmental factors have a stronger shaping effect on functional traits in the late recovery stage of karst plant communities. Therefore, with the process of change from heterogeneity to homogeneity in karst habitats, soil factors show a change from soil bulk density to comaintenance of multiple soil nutrient elements. To accommodate the change in soil factor, the functional traits also changed from the previous active type combination to the conservative type combination.

5 | CONCLUSION

Based on the results of our study, the following conclusions were obtained.

1. In the process of natural recovery of karst plant communities, plant functional traits changed from an active ecological strategy to a conservative ecological strategy.

2. Environmental factors varied in different recovery stages of karst plant communities, and soil phosphorus content and soil C:K were the main influencing factors of functional trait changes in the study area.
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