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1. Introduction

*Rhodiola tibetica* is a plant of *Rhodiola* L., a perennial herbaceous plant unique to China, distributed in the Tibetan Plateau of Tibet Autonomous Region.[1] *Rhodiola tibetica* is recorded in the Tibetan medicine book more than 1,200 years in “Tibetan Medicine History”. Plant endophyte is a microorganism including bacteria, actinomycete and fungi, which spends the whole or part of its life cycle colonizing inter- and/or intra-cellularly inside the healthy tissues of the host plant, typically causing no apparent symptoms of disease.[2] As an important part of the plant microecosystem, the special living environment of this type of microorganism determines the structure and activity diversity of its secondary metabolites. Therefore, plant endophytes are an important source of new structural compounds with biological activity.[3] This paper reported the isolation and structural elucidation of five new polyketone metabolites (1–6) and seven known polyketone compounds (7–13) from the *Rhodiola tibetica* endophytic fungus *Alternaria* sp. HJT-Y7. The inhibition tests and cytotoxicity tests of the Compounds 1–11 and 13 against SARS-CoV-2 virus were evaluated. The average EC50 (50% effective concentration) and C50 (50% cytotoxic concentration) values were recorded. On the basis of the comparison with control group on the SI index (SI = CC50/average EC50), the results showed that compounds 1, 3, 6, 8 and 9 had inhibitory effect on the virus. Furthermore, 6 and 8 showed the significant inhibitory effect. These 5 compounds had potential druggability.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Separation and identification of compounds

The fermentation product of *Alternaria* sp. HJT-Y7 were extracted with methanol. Column chromatography and preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) were used for the separation and further purification of six new polyketone metabolites (1–6) and seven known polyketone compounds (7–13) (Fig. 1).

*Alternaria* A (1) was obtained as light yellow powder (MeOH), [α]D20 —20 (c 0.2, MeOH). The molecular formulas of 1 was C63H80O10 on the basis of highresolution electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (HRESIMS) data [M + Na]+ ion peak at m/z 623.2248 and was confirmed by the 13C NMR, 1H NMR spectroscopic (Table 1). The 13C NMR and HMOC of 1 showed thirteen sp2 quaternary carbons (δc 103.0, 104.2, 125.0, 126.5, 127.9, 131.2, 134.3, 136.7, 141.1, 151.9, 154.0, 155.9, 173.0), twelve sp2 methine carbons (δc 113.6, 114.7, 115.0, 120.2, 122.0, 127.1, 127.5, 128.5, 129.0, 131.2, 131.2, 131.2), four sp3 methylene carbons (δc 28.0, 34.7, 56.6, 57.0), two methyl groups (δc 15.9, 16.4), one oxymethyl carbon (δc 51.7). The 1H NMR spectrum of 1 showed signals attributable to three vicinal sp2 spin networks (δ 6.79 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.85(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.11(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)), (δ 6.36(1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz), 6.61(1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz)), (δ 6.63(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.74(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.00(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)). The heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) experiments (Fig. 2) showed the three aromatic moieties of 1 and the connectivity between group and carbons. The whole structure was divided into three fragments (Fig. 3)
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according to the aromatic moieties, and each aromatic moiety possessed a phenolic OH. HMBC correlations about fragment 1-a from H-4 [6.79 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-2 (126.5) and C-6 (122.0), H-5 [7.11 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-3 (154.0) and C-7 (136.7), H-1 [a4.38 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz), b4.95 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz), H-6 [a5.85 (1H, d, J = 6.0 Hz), 7.09 (1H, d, 7.00 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)], H-9 [6.46 (1H, d, 6.77 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)], H-11 [3.95 (1H, m)] to C-10 (103.8), C-11 (66.7), H-12 [a4.29 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz), b4.95 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz)] to C-12 (127.2) and C-6 (122.0), H-13 (28.4) determined the absolute configuration of compound 1. The absolute configuration of 1 was determined by comparison of the experimental and simulated circular dichroism spectra. The result showed that the experimental CD spectrum of 1 and the calculated CD spectrum have similar positive cotton effects in the region 225–275 nm (Fig. 4), which suggest that the absolute configuration of 1 was as shown in Fig. 5.

Alternaria B (2) was obtained as light yellow powder (MeOH), [α]D20
—48 (c 0.125, MeOH). The molecular formulas of 2 was C26H30O8 on the basis of the 13C NMR, 1H NMR spectroscopic (Table 2) and HRESIMS data [M + Na]+ ion peak at m/z 481.1838. The 13C NMR and HMOC of 2 showed eight sp2 methine carbons (δc 125.6, 127.2, 136.6, 144.3, 152.1, 154.0), three sp3 methylene carbons (δc 36.6, 56.70.6), two sp2 methine carbons (δc 68.0, 69.1), two sp2 quaternary carbons (δc 97.2, 99.6), two methyl groups (δc 15.1, 20.1), one oxyethyl carbon (δc 48.0). The 1H NMR spectrum of 2 showed signals attributable to two vicinal sp2 spin networks (δ 6.77 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.80 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.08 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) and δ 6.63 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.75 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.07 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz). The HMBC experiments (Fig. 6) only showed the connectivity of partial structures. The whole structure was divided into two fragments as fragment 2-a and fragment 2-b (Fig. 7). HMBC data clearly showed one of the aromatic moieties of 2 and the connectivity between group and carbons. HMBC correlations from H-4 [6.77 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-2 (127.2) and C-6 (122.0), from
Table 1

| position | δH | δC | position | δH | δC |
|----------|----|----|----------|----|----|
| 1        | 4.38(1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz) | 56.6 | 1'       | 4.29(1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz) | 57.0 |
| 2        | 6.79(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)  | 115.0 | 2'       | 6.61(1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz)  | 114.7 |
| 3        | 7.11(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)  | 128.5 | 3'       | 6.46(1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz)  | 127.1 |
| 4        | 6.85(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)  | 122.0 | 4'       | 6.79(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 131.2 |
| 5        | 6.68(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)  | 136.7 | 5'       | 7.09(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 134.3 |
| 6        | 5.85(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 131.2 | 6'       | 5.91(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 131.2 |
| 7        | 3.95(1H, m)              | 103.8 | 7'       | 3.88(1H, d, J = 6.5 Hz)  | 104.7 |
| 8        | 0.91(3H, d, J = 6.0 Hz)  | 16.4  | 8'       | 0.75(1H, d, J = 6.5 Hz)  | 15.9  |
| 9        | 1.01(3H, m)              | 66.7  | 9'       | 3.95(2H, s)              | 28.4  |
| 10       | 13.5 Hz)                 | 125.0 | 10'      | 6.63(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)  | 120.2 |
| 11       | 7.93(1H, s)              | 159.5 | 11'      | 6.71(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 141.1 |

Fig. 2. HMBC correlations of compound 1.

H-5 [7.08(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-3 (154.0) and C-7 (136.6), from H-1 [4.79(1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz), 3.97 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz)] to C-7 (136.6) and C-10 (99.6), from H-8 [6.56(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz)] to C-2 (127.2) and C-10 (99.6), from H-9 [5.65(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz)] to C-7 (136.6) and C-10 (99.6), from H-12 [0.74(3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz)] to C-10 (99.6) and C-11 (68.0) confirmed the structure of fragment 2-a. HMBC experiments showed the part of the correlation of fragment 2-b. We combined part of the HMBC data from H-9' [1.94(2H, t, J = 5.5 Hz)] to C-7' (144.3), C-8' (81.7) and C-10' (69.1), from H-12' [3.15(3H, s)] to C-11' (97.2), from H-13' [1.15(1H, s)] to C-10' (69.1) and C-11' (97.2) and the data described in this document[4] and finally determined the structure of fragment 2-b. There were two connectable points on the fragment 2-a, C-10 and C-11. According to the chemical shift law, we further deduced that the fragment 2-b was connected to the C-10 through an oxygen bridge.

Alternaria C (3) was obtained as light yellow powder (MeOH). The molecular formulas of 3 was C11H12O4 on the basis of the 13C NMR, 1H NMR spectroscopic (Table 3) and HREIMS data [M+Na]+ ion peak at m/z 233.0791. The 13C NMR and HMQC of 3 showed four sp2 quaternary carbons (δC 122.6, 142.9, 156.4, 174.5), three sp3 methine carbons (δC 113.6, 120.1, 129.2), three sp3 methylene carbons (δC 27.9, 35.9, 64.9), one oxyymethyl carbon (δC 57.7). The 1H NMR spectrum of 3 showed signals attributable to a vinacial sp3 spin network (δ 6.65 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.68 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.04(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)). The HMBC experiments (Fig. 6) of 3 showed 3 possessed a phenolic OH related with C-3 156.4. The HMBC correlations date from H-4 [6.68(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)] and H-6 [6.65(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-2 (122.6) and from H-5 [7.04(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-7 (142.9) and C-3 (156.4) further confirmed the aromatic moiety of 3. The determination of the structures ultimately depended on the HMBC data apart from the aromatic moiety on the basis of the HMBC correlations from H-1 [4.43(2H, s)] to C-7 (142.9), C-2 (122.6), C-3 (156.4) and C-11 (57.7), from H-11 [3.17(3H, s)] to C-1 (64.9), from H-8 [2.84(2H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-7 (142.9), C-6 (120.1) and C-10 (174.5), from H-9 [2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-7 (142.9) and C-10 (174.5).

Alternaria D (4) was obtained as brown powder (MeOH), [α]20D 21 (c 0.94, MeOH), which molecular formula was C19H20O4 on the basis of the 13C NMR and 1H NMR spectroscopic data (Table 4) and was confirmed by the HREIMS data [M+Na]+ the ion peak at m/z 231.0644. The 13C NMR and HMQC of 4 showed four sp2 quaternary carbons (δC 125.3, 143.1, 152.1, 171.2), three sp3 methine carbons (δC 112.1, 114.7, 129.5), two sp3 methylene carbons (δC 41.4, 70.0), one sp3 methine carbon (δC 80.7), one oxyymethyl carbon (δC 51.9). The 1H NMR spectrum showed signals attributable to a vinacial sp3 spin network (δ 6.69 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.71 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.10 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)). The HMBC experiments (Fig. 9) showed the aromatic moiety of 4 and the connectivity between group and carbons. HMBC correlations about aromatic moiety from H-4 [6.69(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)] and H-6 [6.71(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-2 (125.3), H-5 [7.10(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-3 (152.1) and C-7 (143.1) were observed. The OH group signal was confirmed to be a phenolic OH located at C-3 (152.1). The remaining HMBC signals showed the connectivity of partial structures from H-1 [4.95(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz), b4.86(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz)] to C-2 (125.3), C-8 (80.7), from H-9 [a2.90(1H, dd, J = 15.5,3.5 Hz), b2.55(1H, dd, J = 15.5,3.5 Hz)] to C-7 (143.1), C-8 (80.7) and C-10 (171.2), from H-11 [3.63(3H, s)] to C-10 (171.2). The above 13C NMR and HMBC data suggested compound 4 possesses a aromatic ring and a furan ring.

Alternaria E (5) was obtained as light yellow amorphous powder (MeOH). The molecular formula of compound 5 was C13H16O4, which was determined by 13C NMR and 1H NMR spectroscopic data (Table 5) and was confirmed by the HREIMS data [M+Na]+ ion peak at m/z 227.0684. The 13C NMR and HMQC of 5 showed five sp2 quaternary carbons (δC 122.4, 142.9, 153.2 155.3 and 195.5), four sp3 methine carbons (δC 108.2, 114.6, 119.3 and 129.8), two sp3 methylene carbons of the HMBC data of Compound 1 in DMSO-d6.

Fig. 3. Structures of fragment 1-a, fragment 1-b and fragment 1-c.
(δC 30.6, 63.2), one methyl group (δC 26.2). The 1H NMR spectrum showed signals attributable to a vicinal sp2 spin network [δ 6.78 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.07 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.60 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)]. The HMBC experiments (Fig. 10) showed the connectivity of partial structures. The aromatic moiety of 5 was further confirmed on the basis of HMBC correlations from H-4 [6.78 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)] and H-6 [6.61 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-2 (122.4) and from H-5 [7.07 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-3 (155.3) and C-7 (142.9). HMBC correlations from H-1 [5.27

---

**Table 2**

| position | δH  | δC  |
|----------|-----|-----|
| 1        | 4.79(1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz), 5.97(1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz) | 65.0 |
| 2        | 5.65(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 144.3 |
| 3        | 5.65(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 113.6 |
| 4        | 5.65(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 113.0 |
| 6        | 5.65(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 96.9 |
| 7        | 5.65(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 3.98(1H, m) |
| 8        | 5.65(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 3.98(1H, m) |
| 9        | 5.65(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 3.98(1H, m) |
| 10       | 5.65(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 3.98(1H, m) |

---

**Table 3**

| position | δH  | δC  |
|----------|-----|-----|
| 1        | 4.43(2H, s) | 64.9 |
| 2        | 2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 122.6 |
| 3        | 2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 156.4 |
| 4        | 2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 113.6 |
| 5        | 2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 129.2 |
| 6        | 2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 120.1 |
| 7        | 2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 142.9 |
| 8        | 2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 27.9 |
| 9        | 2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 35.9 |
| 10       | 2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 174.5 |
| 11       | 2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 57.7 |
Table 4

| Position | \( \delta_{H} \) | \( \delta_{C} \) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1        | 4.95(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 122.6 |
| 2        | 6.86(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz) | 114.7 |
| 3        | 7.10(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 129.5 |
| 4        | 6.86(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz) | 112.1 |
| 5        | 6.61(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz) | 125.3 |
| 6        | 2.56(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 143.1 |
| 7        | 2.90(1H, d, J = 12.5 Hz) | 171.2 |
| 8        | 3.63(1H, s) | 51.8 |
| 9        | 3.59(1H, s) | 57.7 |
| 10       | 3.23(1H, s) | 57.7 |
| 11       | 3.23(1H, s) | 57.7 |

Fig. 8. HMBC correlations of compound 3.

Fig. 9. HMBC correlations of compound 4.

Table 5

| Position | \( \delta_{H} \) | \( \delta_{C} \) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1        | 5.27(2H, s) | 63.2 |
| 2        | 6.68(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz) | 114.6 |
| 3        | 7.06(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 129.8 |
| 4        | 6.61(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz) | 119.3 |
| 5        | 3.63(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz) | 142.9 |
| 6        | 5.85(1H, d, J = 10.0 Hz) | 108.2 |
| 7        | 5.85(1H, d, J = 10.0 Hz) | 153.2 |
| 8        | 2.85(2H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 195.5 |
| 9        | 2.16(3H, s) | 26.2 |

Fig. 10. HMBC correlations of compound 5.

Table 6

| Position | \( \delta_{H} \) | \( \delta_{C} \) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1        | 4.42(2H, s) | 64.9 |
| 2        | 122.6 |
| 3        | 156.6 |
| 4        | 9.46(1H, brs) | 113.7 |
| 5        | 7.09(1H, J = 8.0 Hz) | 129.3 |
| 6        | 6.64(1H, J = 8.0 Hz) | 120.1 |
| 7        | 142.6 |
| 8        | 2.85(2H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) | 27.8 |
| 9        | 2.57(1H, J = 8.0 Hz) | 35.5 |
| 10       | 3.59(3H, s) | 51.8 |
| 11       | 3.23(3H, s) | 57.7 |
| 12       | 3.23(3H, s) | 57.7 |

Fig. 11. HMBC correlations of compound 6.

were also observed. The OH group signal was hence thought to be connected with C-3 (155.3) as the form of a phenolic OH.

Alternate F (6) was obtained as white powder (MeOH). The molecular formulas of 6 was C_{22}H_{36}O_{12} on the basis of the \(^{13}C\)NMR, \(^{1}H\)NMR spectroscopic (Table 6) and HREIMS data [M + Na]\(^{+}\) ion peak at \(m/z\) 247.0953. The \(^{13}C\) NMR and HMQC of 6 showed four sp\(^{2}\) quaternary carbons (\(\delta_C\) 122.6, 142.6, 156.8, 173.3), three sp\(^{3}\) methyl carbons (\(\delta_C\) 113.7, 120.1, 129.3), three sp\(^{3}\) methylene carbons (\(\delta_C\) 27.8, 35.5, 64.9), two oxymethyl carbons (\(\delta_C\) 51.8, 57.7). The \(^{1}H\) NMR spectrum of 6 showed signals attributable to a vicinal sp\(^{2}\) spin network (\(\delta\) 6.64 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz) 6.69 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) 7.03 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)). The HMBC experiments (Fig. 11) of 6 showed possessed a phenolic OH related with C-3 156.8. The HMBC correlations date from H-6 [6.64(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-2 (122.6) and C-4 (117.7), from H-5 [7.04(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-7 (142.9) and C-3 (156.4) further confirmed the aromatic moiety of 6. The determination of the structures ultimately depended on the HMBC data apart from the aromatic moiety on the basis of the HMBC correlations from H-1 [4.42 (2H, s)] to C-7 (142.6), C-2 (122.6), C-3 (156.6) and C-12 (57.7), from H-8 [2.86(2H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-7 (142.6), C-6 (120.1) and C-10 (173.3), from H-9 [2.57(1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz)] to C-7 (142.6) and C-10 (173.3), from H-11 [5.39(3H, s)] to C-10 (173.3).

Compounds 7–13 were known as Pestalospirane A (7) [5], Pestalospirane B (8) [5], a benzo[c]oxepins (9) [6], Benzophomopsin A (10) [7], Xylarinol A (12) [8], Heptacyclosordariolone (13) [9].

2.2. Inhibitory effect on SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus

We used Huh7 cells as host cells, explored the inhibitory effect of compounds 1–11 and 13 (the amount of 12 was not enough to be tested) on SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus and their toxicity to the host cells. At the same time, we used Chloroquine Phosphate as a positive control. SI index was used to judge the inhibitory effect: SI greater than 3 was effective (SI = CC\(_{50}\)/average EC\(_{50}\)). The results (Fig. 12) showed that compounds 1, 3, 6, 8 and 9 had inhibitory effect on the virus, but their SI indexes were different (Fig. 12). Compound 3 (SI = 3.0), compound 6 (SI = 3.7) and compound 1 (SI = 5.9) showed good antiviral effect, compound 8 (SI = 12.7) and compound 9 (SI = 15.2) showed significant antiviral effect. They all had potential druggability.

On the basis of the connection between the structures and inhibition results, we speculated that non-polar substitution of the C-1 was
Fig. 12. Inhibition results.
beneficial to improve the SI index; formation of a seven-membered ring or ring-opened product was beneficial to improve the SI index; non-polar substitution of side chains of heptacyclic product was beneficial to improve the SI index. For the dimers, they showed higher SI index when their aromatic hydroxyl groups on the same side but it was accompanied by adverse impacts on cells. It also showed higher SI index when the aromatic hydroxyl groups were on the opposite side but a bulky substituent group connected with one side.

3. Discussion

3.1. Biosynthetic pathway analysis

The core of compounds 3, 4, 6, 11 and 12 constituted by five C₂ units. The six-membered ring was formed by aldol condensation of C-2 and C-7, then it turned into benzene ring through enolization via AA-MA pathway (acetic acid-malonic acid pathway). Finally compounds 3, 6 and 11 constituted by methylation at different position, compounds 4 and 12 constituted by cyclization at different positions.

The core of compounds 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 13 constituted by six C₂ units. The synthetic pathway of aromatic ring was same as above. Compound 5 was speculated to be finally formed by the C-9 enolization of the seven-membered oxygen-containing ring and C-1 dehydration. Compound 9 was finally formed by the double bond migration. Compound 10 and 13 were finally formed by epoxidation at different positions. Compound 7 and 8 were finally formed by bimolecular condensation. Compound 1 was finally formed by isoelectric replacement between compound 11 and compound 7. Compound 2 was finally formed by condensation between hemiketal and the second molecule.

4. Experimental section

4.1. General experimental procedures

Highresolution electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry data were measured using an AB Sciex QTOF 4600 mass spectrometer. Using
DMSO-d6 as solvent, the nuclear magnetic spectrum (1H NMR, 13C NMR, HMBC, HMQC, NOESY, etc.) of the separated compound samples were measured by Bruker AvanceII500 M nuclear magnetic resonance instrument. CD spectra were measured by an J-810-150S spectropolarimeter (JASCO Corporation, Japan). HPLC separation experiments were performed using a system composed of Agilent 1260 (XB C18, 10 μm × 250 mm, 5 μm) and Shimadzu LC-20AR (XB C18, 10 μm × 250 mm, 5 μm) liquid chromatograph and the UV detection wavelength was 210 nm. For column chromatography (CC), gel LH-20 (Sephadex, Sweden) and silica gel 100–200 mesh and 200–300 mesh (Qingdao Ocean Chemical Co. Ltd, China) were used. Precasted silica gel GF254 (Qingdao Marine Chemistry Co. Ltd, China) plates was used for TLC and PTLC. TLC results were showed at UV wavelengths of 254 nm and 365 nm. The organic reagents used in the general extraction and separation experiments were analytically pure, the organic reagents used in HPLC separation and purification were HPLC grade, and the water is ultrapure water. The sample was concentrated and extracted by rotary evaporator IKA RV8/HB 10(IKA, Germany). In inhibition rate test, Huh7 cells incubated in CO2 incubator (SIM, America). Roche Cu-13TCID50/mL, added 50 μl of the sample to be tested into holes B2-B13. Gently pipetted the liquid in hole B2-B11 6 μl/hole of medium to the remaining holes. For compound 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, 4 μl of the liquid broth and mycelium were inoculated into solid medium made by rice complete medium to 1.3 × 10⁸ TCID50/mL, added 50 μl to each hole in lines.

4.2. Materials

Plant material was collected from Tibet. The plant endophytic fungus strain used in this experiment was isolated from the leaf part of *Rhodiola tibetica*. It has been identified as Alternaria sp. by molecular biology and morphology and 16sRNA sequencing results. Now it is preserved in the School of Life Science and Technology, Dalian University at Dalian City, China. Huh7 cells and SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus used in inhibition rate test were from National Institutes for Food and Drug Control, Beijing, China.

4.3. Extraction and isolation of compounds.

The strain HJT-Y7 was inoculated in fungus medium fermentation broth with shaking culture, and then the shaking cultured fermentation broth and mycelium were inoculated into solid medium made by rice and purified water for static fermentation culture 40 days, the culture temperature was 28°C. The solid fermentation product was extracted with methanol. The crude extract (207 g) was obtained by concentrating the fermentation product under low pressure until dryness with a rotary evaporator. A part of crude extract (200 g) was chromatographed on silica gel column eluting with a gradient (100%, 70%, 50%, 30%, 10%, 1% and 0%, CH2Cl2/Methanol) to obtain 12 fractions. Compound 5 (47.7 mg) precipitated from Fr. 3 (1%-9% CH2Cl2/Methanol). Fraction 4 (obtained from 1% CH2Cl2/Methanol, 6.2 g) was chromatographed on silica gel column eluting with a gradient (90%, 80%, 50%, 30%, 10%, 0% and 0%, PE 60-90/EtOAc) to obtain 16 fractions. Compound 4 (2.0 mg) obtained by PTLC (CHCl3/Methanol-9:1) from Fr. 4–2. Fraction 4–2 was chromatographed on gel column and eluted with CH2Cl2/Methanol-1:1 to obtain 6 fractions. Fraction 4–2–2 was purified by using preparative HPLC (47% MeOH-H2O, flow rate 3 mL/min, wavelength 210 nm) to obtain compound 6 (1.2 mg, retention time 23 min). Fraction 4–11 was chromatographed on gel column and eluted with CH2Cl2/Methanol-1:1 to obtain 5 fractions. Fraction 4–11–3 was purified by using preparative HPLC (48% MeOH-H2O, flow rate 3 mL/min, wavelength 210 nm) to obtain compound 5 (26.9 mg, retention time 11 min). Fraction 4–12 was chromatographed on gel column and eluted with CH2Cl2/Methanol-1:1 to obtain 5 fractions. Fraction 4–12–4 was purified by using preparative HPLC (70% MeOH-H2O, flow rate 3 mL/min, wavelength 210 nm) to obtain compound 2 (0.7 mg, retention time 16 min) and compound 1 (1.3 mg, retention time 37 min).

4.3.1. Compound 1

A light yellow powder; 1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz) and 13C NMR (DMSO-d6, 125 MHz) in Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 623.2248 [M + Na]+ (cald for C35H36O2Na, 623.2257).

4.3.2. Compound 2

A brown powder; 1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz) and 13C NMR (DMSO-d6, 125 MHz) in Table 2; HRESIMS m/z 481.1838 [M + Na]+ (calcd for C25H30O2Na, 481.1838).

4.3.3. Compound 3

A light yellow powder; 1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz) and 13C NMR (DMSO-d6, 125 MHz) in Table 3; HRESIMS m/z 233.0791 [M + Na]+ (calcd for C11H14O2Na, 233.0790).

4.3.4. Compound 4

A light yellow powder; 1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz) and 13C NMR (DMSO-d6, 125 MHz) in Table 4; HRESIMS m/z 231.0644 [M + Na]+ (calcd for C11H12O2Na, 231.0633).

4.3.5. Compound 5

A light yellow powder; 1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz) and 13C NMR (DMSO-d6, 125 MHz) in Table 5; HRESIMS m/z 227.0684 [M + Na]+ (calcd for C12H10O2Na, 227.0684).

4.3.6. Compound 6

A white powder; 1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz) and 13C NMR (DMSO-d6, 125 MHz) in Table 6; HRESIMS m/z 247.0953 [M + Na]+ (calcd for C12H10O2Na, 247.0946).

4.4. Inhibition rate test

Dissolved the compounds and adjusted the concentration to 10 mg/mL. The initial gradient was a 30-fold dilution, followed by a 3-fold dilution, seven consecutive gradients. Added 100 μl/hole of DMEM complete medium to the virus control (VC), added 150 μl/hole of DMEM complete medium to the cell control (CC), added 142.5 μl/hole to holes B2-B13, and added 100 μl/hole of medium to the remaining holes. Added 7.5 μl of the sample to be tested into holes B2-B13. Gently pipetted the liquid in hole B2-B11 6-8 times, then transferred 50 μl of liquid to the corresponding hole C2-C13, and then all holes will be three times diluted. Diluted the SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus with DMEM complete medium to 1.3 × 10⁵ TCID50/mL, added 50 μl to each hole in lines.
1–13. Put the above 96 hole plate in a cell incubator (37°C, 5% CO₂) and incubated for 1 h. After incubating for 30 min, started digesting HuH7 cells and diluted the cell concentration to 2 × 10⁵ cells/mL. After the incubation, added 100 μl of cells to each hole to make 2 × 10⁴ cells per hole. Put them in a 37°C, 5% CO₂ cell incubator and incubated for 24 h. After incubation, discarded 150 μl of supernatant and added 100 μl of liquid to the blank plate. Used PerkinElmer EnSight multi-function imaging microplate reader to read the luminescence value (RLU). The cytotoxicity test process was the same as above, but no pseudovirus was added to each hole to judge the effect of the compounds on cell viability. Calculated the drug EC₅₀ and CC₅₀ values.
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