Productivity differences between southern and northern slopes of Southern Carpathians (Romania) for Norway spruce, silver fir, birch and black alder
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Abstract

Forest vegetation across Southern Carpathians is distributed in altitudinal layers. The aim of this study was to highlight the productivity differences between the southern and northern slopes of the Southern Carpathians for Norway spruce, silver fir, birch and black alder. Data from 45 forest management plans (46,329 stands from the southern slopes and 32,787 stands from the northern slopes) were used. For each stand, the mean diameter, mean height, age, standing volume, current volume increment and production class were assessed. Elementary statistical methods were used to identify the factors influencing productivity. Significant differences between the southern and northern slopes were recorded for silver fir. The volume and the current volume increment were higher on the northern slopes. In the case of birch and black alder, the same two parameters recorded higher values on the southern slopes. As regards Norway spruce, insignificant differences were recorded between the two slopes. The correlation between structure type and stand volume was positive and statistically significant in the case of Norway spruce, silver fir and birch, but it was negative in the case of alder. Analysing the correlation between stand volume and the main stand characteristics also revealed a statistically significant positive correlation between age and stand volume for all analysed species. The results of this study are especially interested for the forest managers and forest owners whose aim is to obtain a higher productivity for the studied species.
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Introduction

Carpathians account for a total area of 158,000 km², of which 52.9% is distributed across Romania. Southern Carpathians, also called the Alps of Transylvania due to their similarities with the Alps in terms of relief (De Martonne, 1981), represent the highest Carpathians in Romania. Located in the south of the Transylvanian Depression, they are bordered on the east by Prahova Valley and on the west by Timiș-Cernău Corridor (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Southern Carpathians

This mountain range includes the highest peaks in Romania: Moldoveanu (2,544 m a.s.l.) and Negoiu (2,535 m a.s.l.), both situated in Făgărăș Mountains, and Parâng (2,519 m a.s.l.), Retezat (2,509 m a.s.l.) and Bucegi (2,505 m a.s.l.). The massif with the highest altitudes (i.e. Făgărăș) has the most important inherited glacial relief in the Southern Carpathians (Voiculescu, 2008).

Southern Carpathians are characterised by a temperate-mountain climate, ranging from a middle-mountain climate (650-800 m a.s.l. towards 1850-1900 m a.s.l.) to a high-mountain climate (beyond 1850-1900 m a.s.l.). The mean air temperature ranges from +7°C to -2°C at altitudes of over 2100 m a.s.l. Rainfalls are influenced by the relief, with significant differences between the western and north-western slopes as well as between the eastern and south-eastern slopes. As such, rainfalls are heavier on the north-western slopes, recording average values of 800 mm/year on the southern border and approximately 1200 mm at higher elevations (Mihai et al., 2007).

The forest vegetation within this mountain range is distributed in altitudinal layers, starting with the deciduous forest layer, composed by common beech (Fagus sylvatica L.), hornbeam (Carpinus betulus L.), European white birch (Betula pendula L.), elm (Ulmus spp.), ash (Fraxinus spp.), and poplar (Populus spp.) and continuing with the mixed beech-coniferous species layer, the coniferous stands layer, composed by Norway spruce [Picea abies (L.) H. Karst] and silver fir (Abies alba Mill.), the subalpine layer, composed by common juniper (Juniperus communis L.) and bilberry (Vaccinium spp.) and the alpine layer (Grodzińska et al., 2004; Mihai et al., 2007). Based on the existing climate conditions, vegetation distribution and species dynamic, a number of vegetation belts were determined. As such, Southern Carpathians are characterised by deciduous species, mainly as a result of the warm climate. However, at the highest altitudes, coniferous forests are more predominant. Most of the Romanian Carpathians are characterised by the presence of deciduous forests, which are replaced by mixed and coniferous forests at higher altitudes (Grodzińska et al., 2004). Along the Southern Carpathians, several virgin forests were recently identified, some of which being the subject of research aimed at assessing the structural patterns and dynamics (Petrițan et al., 2017; Cântar et al., 2019).

In the past two decades, the health of forests across Southern Carpathians was good (Oneață et al., 2011; Badea et al., 2012). The productivity (increment) of forest stands in mountain areas, however, may be
influenced by the climate (Grace et al., 2002; Lindner et al., 2010; Way, 2010), soil (Theurillat and Guisan, 2001; Spârchez et al., 2011; Lebourgeois et al., 2013; Moscatelli et al., 2017) or forest management (Mäkelä et al., 2000; Fürstenau et al., 2007; Liira et al., 2007; Bowes and Krutilla, 2014).

The studied area is characterised by, inter alia, a treeline shift into the alpine area (Dincă et al., 2017). Forest migration into the alpine area was reported in other regions across the globe (Gehring-Fasel et al., 2007; Hofgaard et al., 2013; Schickhoff et al., 2015).

In particular, beech forests across Iezer Mountains are considered to be the most dynamic. This conclusion results from the comparison with spruce forests (6.3 km²), mixed forests (3.4 km²) and wasteland areas and secondary meadows (together amounting to 3.6 km²). A similar situation has been encountered in mountain areas (Mihai et al., 2007), and it can be correlated with the natural regeneration of beech from deforested slopes.

In Parâng Mountains, the forests in the upper part are dominated by coniferous species, mainly Norway spruce and silver fir. At lower altitudes, mixed conifer-hardwood forests occur, the main species being the common beech, sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus L.) and rowan (Sorbus aucuparia L.). Alders, mainly black alder (Alnus glutinosa (L.) Gaertn.) and grey alder (Alnus incana (L.) Moench.), and birches, especially European white birch are mainly distributed along the avalanche corridors, fixing the slopes with their roots (Pop et al., 2016).

In Retezat Mountains, the treeline is situated at approximately 1740 - 1800 m a.s.l. During the late-glacial period, the vegetation was mainly represented by the European larch (Larix decidua Mill.), mugo pine (Pinus mugo Turra) and Norway spruce (Magyari et al., 2012). Nowadays, the vegetation above this line is represented by alpine meadows and shrubs with mugo pine, extending up to 2200 m a.s.l. (Vespremeanu-Stroe et al., 2012). Coniferous forests do not exist in certain areas on the mountain’s southern slopes, where they are replaced by mixed and deciduous forests. Sometimes these forests can even reach alpine meadows (Grodzińska et al., 2004). A number of dissimilarities between the northern and southern slopes can be observed in terms of the dynamics of treeline ecotones. The diverse lake elevations also cause an elevation of vegetation boundaries. Regardless of this aspect, between certain local events and the composition of species across the treeline ecotone several differences were noticed. For example, European larch is present in the area of Brazi Lake since the early Holocene stage, while silver fir is present on the northern slopes. As such, the local climate plays an essential role in the changes occurring in the area’s treeline. Other local factors, however, also play an important role, especially in modulating local climatic patterns. Topography, moisture availability, microclimatic dissimilarities and the soil’s capacity for retaining water are among the factors with the highest influence (Orbán, 2018; Oneț et al., 2019).

The aim of this study was to highlight the productivity differences between the southern and northern slopes of the Southern Carpathians (Romania) for Norway spruce, silver fir, European white birch and black alder, respectively.

Materials and Methods

Field registration method

Data from 45 forest management plans issued between 1980 and 2008 were used.

The mean diameter was measured for each stand, using a caliper, with a tolerance of +/- 10%, as follows:
- in stands younger than 60 years old, for two to four trees for every two to three sampling plots;
- in even-aged stands over 60 years old, for three to five trees for every three to four sampling plots;
- in uneven-aged stands, for four to eight trees for every three to five sampling plots.

The mean height was measured for each stand for average trees, normally conformed, from the mean diameter category, with a tolerance of +/- 5%.
The age was determined for each stand, with a tolerance of +/- 10%, by considering the data from the forest management plans or forest district regarding the year when the regeneration occurred and by adding the number of annual rings from the fresh stumps to the number of years required to achieve the height of the stem at which the determination was made. The age determined for each stand was rounded from 5 to 5 years for high-forest-regenerated stands and from 2 to 3 years for coppice-regenerated stands.

The standing volume was determined for each stand as follows:
- for stands that will be harvested in the first 10 years (stands with a difference of 10 years between the harvesting age and the current age), the volume was determined by statistical or integral inventories, with a tolerance of +/- 10% at a probability of 90%;
- for the rest of the stands, the volume was determined by simplified procedures (by taking into account the simplified survey-based production tables), with a tolerance of +/- 12-15% at a probability of 80%.

The current volume increment (m$^3$/year/ha) was determined for each stand by using the production tables and by considering the canopy cover, the current increment of the total production at the current age and the production class.

The production class was determined for each stand by means of height variation graphs in relation to the age or tables for the determination of the production classes.

Forest site conditions were described when forest planning works occurred, by conducting a medium-scale site study (1:50,000). The determination of the forest site types was based on the soil studies and other factors that determine the characteristics of the respective forest sites, such as physical-geographic data, the rock type, the characteristics of flora and the natural forest type (Târziu et al., 2004; Spârchez et al., 2013).

Data from 46,329 stands from the southern side of the mountains, managed by 23 forest districts and occupying an area of 488,811 hectares, and 32,787 stands from the northern side of the mountains, managed by 23 forest districts and accounting for 317,960 hectares, were analysed.

In the case of Norway spruce, only pure stands (composition 100% Norway spruce) were considered because this species forms pure stands and the goal was to eliminate the influence of other species on characteristics of interest. As regards the other three species, namely alder, birch and silver fir, all stands were considered, regardless of their composition, including the stands where these species were poorly represented, accounting for even less than 10% of the stand composition. Pure birch or silver fir stands are extremely rare.

Data and statistical analysis

The values were stratified by age (from 5 to 5 years, as the data are structured into the Romanian forest management plans) because the increments were differentiated according to this parameter.

The average values for volume (m$^3$), current volume increment (m$^3$/year/ha) and production class, differentiated between the two areas (north and south), were then calculated.

In order to determine the area occupied by each species, the area of the plot where each species appeared was multiplied by the percentage of participation of the species in the composition of the stand. For example, in a 10-ha forest stand with the composition 4Mo4Br2Me, Norway spruce (ro. molid, abbrev. Mo) and silver fir (ro. brad, abbrev. Br) occupy 4 ha, while birch (ro. mesteacăn, abbrev. Me) occupies 2 ha.

The influence of the site factors (relief, terrain configuration, exposition, slope, altitude, soil type, flora, forest site type and type of forest) and the influence of the stand characteristics (age, structure, consistency) on the volume of the stand were also considered.

Afterwards, the data (tens of thousands of values) were centralized by using Microsoft Office Excel and the analyses were carried out by the aid of Tibco Statistica software package, version 13.3/2018. Pearson type correlation, stepwise regression and Multifactorial analysis of variance (MANOVA) were used in order to determine the relation between the volume of the stands, the studied variables and the two locations (northern and southern slopes) for the four species.
Results

Areas occupied by various forest species in the Southern Carpathians

According to the data from the forest management plans issued around the year 2000, the total area of the forest across Southern Carpathians accounts for 806,771 ha (Table 1).

| Species          | Area of Southern Carpathians on the Northern slope | Area of Southern Carpathians on the Southern slope | Total area of Southern Carpathians |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                  | Hectares | %     | Hectares | %     | Hectares | %     |
| Norway spruce    | 131,412  | 41.3  | 159,522  | 32.6  | 290,934  | 36.1  |
| Silver fir       | 17,038   | 5.4   | 27,986   | 5.7   | 45,024   | 5.6   |
| Birch            | 6,206    | 2.0   | 12,815   | 2.6   | 19,021   | 2.3   |
| Alder            | 568      | 0.2   | 2,726    | 0.6   | 3,294    | 0.4   |
| Hornbeam         | 8,023    | 2.5   | 5,430    | 1.1   | 13,453   | 1.7   |
| Beech            | 120,196  | 37.8  | 236,613  | 48.4  | 356,809  | 44.2  |
| Sessile oak      | 13,853   | 4.4   | 14,503   | 3.0   | 28,356   | 3.5   |
| European larch   | 1,251    | 0.4   | 3,459    | 0.7   | 4,710    | 0.6   |
| Sycamore maple   | 1,682    | 0.5   | 1,424    | 0.3   | 3,106    | 0.4   |
| Pine             | 5,841    | 1.8   | 5,876    | 1.2   | 11,717   | 1.4   |
| Others           | 11,890   | 3.7   | 18,457   | 3.8   | 30,347   | 3.8   |
| Total            | 317,960  | 3.7   | 488,811  | 3.8   | 806,771  | 3.8   |

On the northern slopes of Southern Carpathians, as regards the percentages of participation in the composition of the forest stands, Norway spruce has a larger share, while the common beech has a lower one in comparison with the situation recorded on the southern slopes of these mountains. These two species occupy the largest area, accounting for 80% of the total area of Southern Carpathians, while the other species were less represented.

Productivity differences between stands on the northern and southern sides of Southern Carpathians

In the case of Norway spruce, 6,974 stands originating from the southern slopes and belonging to 23 forest districts and 5,032 stands originating from the northern slopes and managed by 23 forest districts were analysed. The average values for volume and production class are presented in Table 2 and the distribution of the mean values for current volume increment is given Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Productivity differences of Norway spruce stands between northern and southern slopes](image-url)
Table 2. Productivity differences of Norway spruce stands between northern and southern sides

| Age (years) | Volume (m$^3$) | Production class |
|-------------|----------------|------------------|
|             | N   | S   | N   | S   |
| 20          | 50  | 52  | 3.39| 3.41|
| 25          | 73  | 97  | 3.48| 3.27|
| 30          | 121 | 136 | 3.39| 3.29|
| 35          | 171 | 165 | 3.34| 3.39|
| 40          | 145 | 207 | 3.44| 3.31|
| 45          | 241 | 235 | 3.35| 3.39|
| 50          | 275 | 278 | 3.41| 3.35|
| 55          | 321 | 333 | 3.21| 3.17|
| 60          | 363 | 362 | 3.14| 3.10|
| 65          | 393 | 378 | 3.14| 3.05|
| 70          | 368 | 393 | 3.35| 3.04|
| 75          | 407 | 405 | 3.12| 3.05|
| 80          | 397 | 405 | 3.33| 3.31|
| 85          | 446 | 431 | 3.14| 3.22|
| 90          | 391 | 390 | 3.54| 3.57|
| 95          | 462 | 429 | 3.24| 3.32|
| 100         | 396 | 401 | 3.56| 3.58|
| 105         | 411 | 374 | 3.43| 3.75|
| 110         | 362 | 373 | 3.78| 3.87|
| 120         | 342 | 368 | 3.94| 4.05|
| 130         | 361 | 374 | 3.89| 3.95|
| 140         | 435 | 386 | 3.33| 3.91|

In the case of Norway spruce, the differences between the northern and southern slopes were insignificant in terms of the set of values recorded for the volume ($t = -0.368874$, $df = 21$, $p = 0.715915$), the growth rate ($t = -1.68440$, $df = 21$, $p = 0.106911$) and the production class ($t = -0.492751$, $df = 21$, $p = 0.627301$).

As regards silver fir, 9,498 stands originating from the southern slope and belonging to 23 forest districts and 6,664 stands originating from the northern slope and managed by 22 forest districts were analysed. The mean values for current volume increment and production class are presented in Table 3 and the distribution of the average values for volume is given Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Productivity differences of silver fir stands between northern and southern slopes](image-url)
Table 3. Productivity differences of silver fir stands between northern and southern sides

| Age (years) | Current volume increment (m³/year/ha) | Production class |
|------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
|            | N (years)                            | S                |
| 20         | 0.86                                 | 0.79             |
| 25         | 1.49                                 | 0.79             |
| 30         | 1.66                                 | 0.79             |
| 35         | 2.41                                 | 0.79             |
| 40         | 2.21                                 | 0.79             |
| 45         | 2.30                                 | 0.79             |
| 50         | 2.11                                 | 0.79             |
| 55         | 2.35                                 | 0.79             |
| 60         | 2.19                                 | 0.79             |
| 65         | 2.55                                 | 0.79             |
| 70         | 1.96                                 | 0.79             |
| 75         | 2.07                                 | 0.79             |
| 80         | 1.74                                 | 0.79             |
| 85         | 1.91                                 | 0.79             |
| 90         | 1.65                                 | 0.79             |
| 95         | 1.70                                 | 0.79             |
| 100        | 1.48                                 | 0.79             |
| 105        | 1.42                                 | 0.79             |
| 110        | 1.26                                 | 0.79             |
| 115        | 1.22                                 | 0.79             |
| 120        | 1.09                                 | 0.79             |
| 130        | 1.08                                 | 0.79             |
| 140        | 1.09                                 | 0.79             |
| 150        | 1.06                                 | 0.79             |
| 160        | 0.89                                 | 0.79             |
| 170        | 0.70                                 | 0.79             |

Significant differences between the two slopes were found. The volume ($t = 4.716522$, $df = 25$, $p = 0.000078$) and the current volume increment ($t = -4.092134$, $df = 25$, $p = 0.000391$) were higher on the northern side, while the production class recorded higher values on the southern slope ($t = -4.17415$, $df = 25$, $p = 0.000316$).

In the case of birch, 4.349 stands originating from the southern slope and belonging to 22 forest districts and 2.737 stands originating from the northern slope and managed by 20 forest districts were analysed. The values for volume ($t = -2.68868$, $df = 15$, $p = 0.016837$) and current volume increment ($t = -4.65340$, $df = 15$, $p = 0.000312$) were higher on the southern slope, while the values for production class ($t = -3.296975$, $df = 15$, $p = 0.004889$) were higher on the northern side. The differences in terms of current volume increment are given in Figure 4.

As regards black alder, 2.352 stands originating from the southern slope and belonging to 22 forest districts and 614 stands originating from the northern slope and managed by 16 forest districts were analysed.

In the case of alder, the statistical analysis revealed that the volume and the current volume increment were higher on the southern slope, while the production class was higher on the northern slope. Figure 5 highlights the differences as regards the volume recorded between the two slopes.
Factors that influence the increment of trees

The influence of the forest site factors (relief-Rlf, configuration of the ground-Cnf, exposition-Exp, inclination of the ground-Inc, altitude-Alt, soil type-Sol, indicator flora-Flr, site type-ST, forest type-FT) and stand characteristics (age-Age, stand structure-Str, crown density-Cds) on the volume of the stand was studied.

Influence of the forest site factors and stand characteristics on the volume of the forest stands on the northern slopes

For all four species, the correlations between forest stand volume, the site and stand factors on the northern slope of Southern Carpathians are presented in Table 4 and the regression for silver fir in Table 5.

Analysing the correlation between forest stand volume and the main stand characteristics revealed a statistically significant positive correlation between age and stand volume for all analysed species. This correlation was strongest for Norway spruce and weakest for silver fir.

Furthermore, a positive forest type influence on stand volume was also observed. Soil type, meanwhile, had a negative correlation with stand volume in the case of Norway spruce and a positive correlation in the case of birch. The influence of exposition type and relief was statistically non-significant for most of the analysed species.
Table 4. Correlations between the stand volume and the site and stand factors on the northern slope

| Species | Rlf | Cnf | Exp | Inc | Alt | Sol | Flr | ST | FT | Age | Str | Cds |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Mo      | 0.018 | 0.139 | 0.012 | -     | -     | 0.068 | 0.238 | 0.104 | 0.657 | 0.070 | 0.290 |
| Br      | 0.013 | 0.555 | 0.165 | -     | -     | 0.113 | 0.144 | 0.061 | 0.009 | 0.045 | 0.069 | 0.383 |
| Me      | 0.058 | 0.003 | 0.017 | 0.022 | 0.005 | 0.056 | 0.062 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 0.498 | 0.190 | 0.001 |
| An      | 0.284 | 0.238 | 0.301 | 0.347 | 0.268 | 0.372 | 0.013 | 0.082 | 0.041 | 0.311 | 0.045 | 0.005 |
| p       | 0.177 | 0.000 | 0.296 | 0.075 | 0.221 | 0.152 | 0.026 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 5. Regression between stand volume, the site and stand factors on the northern slope for fir

| Factor | b | Std. Err. of b | t (9476) | p-value |
|--------|---|----------------|----------|---------|
| Age    | 0.692866 | 0.012061 | 1.128 | 0.0196 | 57.4485 | 0.000000 |
| Rlf    | 0.028079 | 0.008465 | 1.658 | 0.4997 | 3.3171 | 0.000913 |
| Cnf    | 0.009826 | 0.008872 | 2.160 | 1.9505 | 1.1075 | 0.268088 |
| Exp    | -0.005957 | 0.008662 | -0.077 | 0.1115 | -0.6877 | 0.491654 |
| Inc    | -0.191318 | 0.009238 | -1.857 | 0.0897 | -20.7097 | 0.000000 |
| Alt    | -0.090721 | 0.008719 | -0.041 | 0.0393 | -10.4051 | 0.000000 |
| Sol    | -0.011345 | 0.008802 | -0.001 | 0.0007 | -1.2888 | 0.197485 |
| Flr    | -0.023547 | 0.008732 | -0.427 | 0.1584 | -2.6968 | 0.007014 |
| ST     | -0.016832 | 0.011106 | -0.004 | 0.0025 | -1.5156 | 0.12966 |
| FT     | -0.081967 | 0.010990 | -0.007 | 0.0009 | -7.4582 | 0.000000 |
| Str    | -0.138264 | 0.011723 | -11.337 | 0.9612 | -11.7942 | 0.000000 |
| Cds    | 0.162291 | 0.009258 | 103.346 | 5.8954 | 17.5298 | 0.000000 |

Abbreviations: Rlf-relief, Cnf-configuration of the ground, Exp-exposition, Inc-inclination of the ground, Alt-altitude, Sol-soil type, Flr-indicator flora, ST-site type, FT-forest type, Age-age, Str-stand structure, Cds-crown density.

Influence of forest site factors and stand characteristics on the volume of the forest stands on the southern slope

For all four species, the correlations between the stand volume, site and stand factors on the southern slope of Southern Carpathians are given in Table 6 and the regression for Norway spruce in Table 7.

In the case of the southern slope of Southern Carpathians, it was observed that age and site type had a positive effect on stand volume. Structure type recorded a statistically significant positive correlation with stand volume for silver fir and birch and a negative correlation in the case of black alder. Relief type recorded a negative correlation for black alder and a positive correlation for silver fir and European white birch. From the perspective of altitude, the correlations were negative for all the analysed species. As altitude increased, stand volume decreased.

Influence of the forest site factors and stand characteristics on the volume of the forest stands across Southern Carpathians

The correlations between the stand volume, site and stand factors across Southern Carpathians are presented in Table 8.
A statistically significant correlation between soil type and stand volume was observed in the case of black alder. Meanwhile, a negative correlation between soil type and stand volume was observed in the case of Norway spruce, silver fir and European white birch. In addition, inclination and forest type had a negative effect on stand volume. In the case of the southern slope of Southern Carpathians, it was observed that exposition and crown density had a positive effect on stand volume for black alder. Norway spruce, silver fir and European white birch did not record positive correlations between the stand volume and exposition. Ground configuration and flora indicator had a negative effect on stand volume for all studied species.

**Table 6.** Correlations between the stand volume, site and stand factors on the southern slope

| Species | Rlf | Cnf | Exp | Inc | Alt | Sol | Flr | ST | FT | Age | Str | Cds |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Mo      | .002 | -.053 | .037 | .016 | -.060 | -.102 | .043 | .029 | .007 | .756 | .064 | .264 |
| p       | .842 | .000 | .002 | .169 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .015 | .516 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| Br      | .024 | -.031 | .010 | -.156 | -.022 | -.062 | -.051 | -.109 | -.132 | .518 | .289 | -.052 |
| p       | .018 | .002 | .313 | 0.00 | .025 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Me      | .101 | .0107 | .0132 | -.004 | -.098 | .0205 | .036 | .018 | .011 | .511 | .152 | -.145 |
| p       | .000 | .048 | .385 | .756 | 0.00 | .176 | .015 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| An      | -.305 | -.095 | -.324 | -.395 | -.267 | -.166 | -.236 | -.229 | -.447 | -.270 | -.232 | -.002 |
| p       | .000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.917 |

**Table 7.** Regression between the stand volume, site and stand factors on the southern slope for spruce

| Factor | b     | Std. Err. of b | t (9476) | p-value |
|--------|-------|----------------|----------|---------|
| Age    | 0.806598 | 0.008725 | 4.0074   | 0.000000 |
| Rlf    | -0.048663 | 0.009266 | -3.0361  | 0.000000 |
| Cnf    | -0.025411 | 0.009785 | -3.0361  | 0.000000 |
| Exp    | 0.001276 | 0.010374 | 0.0181   | 0.902114 |
| Inc    | -0.034433 | 0.009562 | -0.5100  | 0.1474   |
| Alt    | -0.034433 | 0.013005 | -0.1217  | 0.2892   |
| Sol    | -0.017722 | 0.008840 | -0.0033  | 0.902114 |
| Flr    | -0.047415 | 0.012130 | -0.9390  | 0.3611   |
| ST     | 0.171243 | 0.015298 | 0.0389   | 0.902114 |
| FT     | 0.054379 | 0.013081 | -0.0107  | 0.902114 |
| Str    | 0.005675 | 0.008777 | 1.8161   | 0.000000 |
| Cds    | 0.386125 | 0.009611 | 427.5480 | 0.000000 |

Abbreviations: Rlf-relief, Cnf-configuration of the ground, Exp-exposition, Inc-inclination of the ground, Alt-altitude, Sol-soil type, Flr-indicator flora, ST-site type, FT-forest type, Age-age, Str-stand structure, Cds-crown density.

**Table 8.** Correlations between the stand volume, site and stand factors on the Southern Carpathians

| Species | Rlf | Cnf | Exp | Inc | Alt | Sol | Flr | ST | FT | Age | Str | Cds |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Mo      | .034 | -.011 | .013 | -.053 | .160 | -.003 | -.201 | -.164 | -.167 | .469 | -.047 | .085 |
| p       | .000 | .005 | .002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | .440 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Br      | .007 | .004 | .025 | -.118 | -.050 | -.068 | -.061 | -.087 | -.109 | .479 | .214 | -.051 |
| p       | .318 | .572 | .001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | .000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Me      | .135 | .002 | .039 | -.060 | -.067 | -.098 | .071 | .041 | .047 | .612 | .156 | -.090 |
| p       | .000 | .918 | .054 | .003 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| An      | -.298 | -.055 | -.317 | -.371 | -.149 | .200 | -.192 | .201 | .421 | .265 | -.202 | .0119 |
| p       | .000 | .003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.518 |

Abbreviations: Rlf-relief, Cnf-configuration of the ground, Exp-exposition, Inc-inclination of the ground, Alt-altitude, Sol-soil type, Flr-indicator flora, ST-site type, FT-forest type, Age-age, Str-stand structure, Cds-crown density.
Discussion

All four species are better represented on the southern slope than on the northern one. This phenomenon is explained by the fact that the northern area of Southern Carpathians is narrower (the slopes are steeper), while the mountains in the southern area have a lower inclination and a much longer length, allowing for a larger number of large trees stands. The larger distribution range of Norway spruce and the lower distribution of beech forests across the northern slopes as compared to the southern slopes are closely correlated with climatic characteristics that are more favourable to Norway spruce in the north and more favourable to common beech in the south (Wiser et al., 1997; Hertel and Schöling, 2011; Sharma et al., 2012).

According to Avery and Burkhart (2002), the site index describes the potential for forest trees to grow at a particular site. It is used to measure the productivity of the site and the management options for that site and reports the height of dominant and co-dominant trees in a stand at a base age. A lower value for site index (i.e. a higher stand quality) indicates higher volumes and growth, as it was the case of silver fir on the northern slopes and European white birch and black alder on the southern side, respectively.

In the case of silver fir, higher volumes and current volume increments were recorded on the northern slopes. This could be explained by the ecology of the species. Silver fir is a very shade-tolerant species (Toromani et al., 2011; Mauri et al., 2016) that is well adapted to lower temperatures (Pinto et al., 2008), frosts (Lebourgeois, 2007), and, in particular, higher levels of precipitation (Rolland et al., 1999). It was also reported that the highest temperatures recorded in August positively influence the growth of the silver fir (Lebourgeois, 2014).

In comparison with silver fir, European white birch and black alder, two pioneer species (Beck et al., 2016; Houston Durrant et al., 2016), prefer higher temperatures (Weih and Karlsson, 2001; Hesslerová et al., 2013) and they are adapted to a slightly lower level of humidity (Parts et al., 2013; Rosenvald et al., 2014). This is why their productivity is higher on the southern slopes of the studied mountain range. In the case of black alder, temperature is the climatic factor with the greatest influence on increment. The species grows mainly along the valleys of the rivers, where higher temperatures and sufficient humidity exist.

As regards Norway spruce, no differences between the northern and southern slopes were recorded, probably because this species has a great ecological amplitude (Williams and Liebhold, 2000; Pretzsch and Dursky, 2002), Picea abies being more resistant to drought and frost than silver fir, and its presence decreases with an increase in altitude (Lebourgeois, 2010; Lebourgeois, 2013). In an analysis of 40 stands along a transect of over 500 km ranging from central Finland to the Arctic spruce treeline, Makine et al. (2000) observed that the standard deviation of the ring-width series increased from south to north and that the most pronounced differences in the regional increment chronologies were found between the southernmost and northernmost stands.

Conclusions

Since four fifths of the total forested area of Southern Carpathians are represented by two species, namely Norway spruce and common beech, on one hand, and by taking into account the higher productivity recorded for silver fir on the northern side and the insignificant difference between the two slopes in the case of Norway spruce’s productivity, on another hand, future forest planning measures should increase the share of silver fir in the mixed forests or even in pure Norway spruce forests across northern side of Southern Carpathians. Introducing silver fir in various shares in pure Norway spruce forests will increase their stability against windfalls.

As regards the influence of forest site factors and stand characteristics on stand volume, it was observed that altitude influenced stand volume, which decreased as altitude increased. The relief type did not correlate
significantly with stand volume for Norway spruce but recorded negative values for black alder and positive values for silver fir and European white birch. It was observed that age and site type had a positive effect on stand volume on the southern slope of the Southern Carpathians. The correlation between structure type and stand volume was positive and statistically significant in the case of Norway spruce, silver fir and European white birch, but it was negative in the case of black alder. The relief type did not significantly correlate with volume in the case of Norway spruce but it recorded a negative correlation for black alder and a positive correlation for silver fir and European white birch. The correlations were negative for all analysed species from the perspective of altitude, with stand volume decreasing as altitude increased.

Analysing the correlation between stand volume and the main stand characteristics also revealed a statistically significant positive correlation between age and stand volume for all analysed species. This correlation was strongest for Norway spruce and weakest for silver fir.

In conclusion, this study provides important results regarding the productivity of four of the most common tree species across Southern Carpathians. These results are especially interested for the forest managers and forest owners whose targets consist in obtaining higher timber volumes, that means additional income obtained from selling the wood, as in the case of Norway spruce and silver fir. Moreover, as regards the species that are not valued from economic perspectives, such as European white birch and black alder, higher productivity means higher quantities of carbon stored that could be exploited on the international market.
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