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It has recently been found that bosonic excitations of ordered media, such as phonons or spinons, can exhibit topologically nontrivial band structures. Of particular interest are magnon and triplon excitations in quantum magnets, as they can easily be manipulated by an applied field. Here we study triplon excitations in an S=1/2 quantum spin ladder and show that they exhibit nontrivial topology, even in the quantum-disordered paramagnetic phase. Our analysis reveals that the paramagnetic phase actually consists of two separate regions with topologically distinct triplon excitations. We demonstrate that the topological transition between these two regions can be tuned by an external magnetic field. The winding number that characterizes the topology of the triplons is derived and evaluated. By the bulk-boundary correspondence, we find that non-zero winding number imply the presence of localized triplon end states. Experimental signatures and possible physical realizations of the topological paramagnetic phase are discussed.

The last decade has witnessed tremendous progress in understanding and classifying topological band structures of fermions [?]. Soon after the discovery of fermionic topological insulators [?], it was recognized that bosonic excitations of ordered media can as well exhibit topologically nontrivial bands [?]. Such bosonic topological bands have been observed not long ago for photons in dielectric superlattices [?]. Theoretical proposals of topological states in polaritonic systems have been made in many cases, some of which have been observed experimentally [?]. Besides these examples, bosonic band structures are also realized by elementary excitations of quantum spin systems, e.g., by magnons in (anti)ferromagnets or by triplons in dimerized quantum magnets.

The study of these collective spin excitations is enjoying growing interest, due to potential applications for magnonic devices and spintronics [?]. Because magnetic excitations are charge neutral, they are weakly interacting, and therefore exhibit good coherence and support nearly dissipationless spin transport. Moreover, the properties of spin excitations are easily tunable by magnetic fields of moderate strength, as the magnetic interaction scale is in most cases relatively small. Of particular interest are magnetic excitations with nontrivial band structure topology, since they exhibit protected magnon or triplon edges states. This was recently studied for triplons in the ordered phase of the Shastry-Sutherland model [?] and for magnons in an ordered pyrochlore antiferromagnet [?] as well as in an ordered honeycomb ferromagnet [?]. However, the development of a comprehensive topological band theory for magnetic excitations is still in its infancy. Specifically, it has remained unclear whether topological spin excitations can exist also in quantum disordered paramagnets.

In this Letter, we address this question by considering, as a prototypical example, the paramagnetic phase of an S=1/2 quantum spin ladder with strong spin-orbit coupling. The considered spin ladder model describes a large class of well studied compounds, called coupled-dimer magnets [?], which have two antiferromagnetically coupled spins per crystallographic unit cell (see Fig. 1). Due to the strong antiferromagnetic exchange coupling within each unit cell, the magnetic ground state of these compounds is a dimer quantum paramagnet, where the two spins in each unit cell form a spin singlet. Examples of S=1/2 spin ladder materials include NaV$_2$O$_5$ [?], Bi(Cu$_{1−x}$Zn$_x$)$_2$PO$_6$ [?], and the cuprates SrCu$_2$O$_3$ [?], CaCu$_2$O$_3$ [?], BiCu$_2$PO$_6$ [?], and LaCuO$_{2.5}$ [?]. Particularly interesting among these is BiCu$_2$PO$_6$, since it exhibits strong spin-orbit couplings, which lead to spin-anisotropic even-parity exchange couplings as well as odd-parity Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interactions. As we will show, the latter gives rise to topologically nontrivial triplon excitations.

The elementary low-energy excitations of coupled-dimer magnets correspond to breaking a singlet dimer into a spin-1 triplet state. These excitations are called triplons and can be viewed as bosonic quasiparticles with S=1. In the absence of spin-orbit coupling the three triplet states are degenerate, due to bosonic quasiparticles with S=1. The spins are shown as black circles, blue lines represent intra-dimer exchange (J), and red lines inter-dimer interactions (K). The DM interaction (D), indicated in green, points in the y-direction into the plane of the ladder. In addition, the model exhibits an even-parity spin-anisotropic interaction (Γ), which arises along with the odd-parity DM interaction due to spin-orbit coupling.

FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the exchange interactions in the quantum spin ladder described by Eq. (1). The spins are shown as black circles, blue lines represent intra-dimer exchange (J), and red lines inter-dimer interactions (K). The DM interaction (D), indicated in green, points in the y-direction into the plane of the ladder. In addition, the model exhibits an even-parity spin-anisotropic interaction (Γ), which arises along with the odd-parity DM interaction due to spin-orbit coupling.
nontrivial phase, which we call **topological quantum paramagnet**, the spin-ladder exhibits triplon end-states with fractional particle number (see Figs. 3 and 4). We show that these end-states are protected by a nonzero winding number and determine their experimental signatures in heat-transport and neutron-scattering measurements.

**Spin model and triplon description.**—We consider a spin-1/2 frustrated quantum spin ladder, whose lattice geometry and interactions are illustrated in Fig. 1. The corresponding Hamiltonian is given by

\[
\mathcal{H} = J \sum_i \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} + K \sum_i [\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} + \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} + \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1}]
+ D \sum_i [S^x_i S^x_{i+1} - S^y_i S^y_{i+1} + S^z_i S^z_{i+1} - S^z_i S^z_{i+1}]
+ \Gamma \sum_i [S^z_i S^z_{i+1} + S^z_i S^z_{i+1} + S^z_i S^z_{i+1} + S^z_i S^z_{i+1}]
+ h_y \sum_i [S^y_i + S^y_i],
\]

where, \(i\) denotes the dimer site, \(1, 2\) label the two legs of the ladder, \(J\) is the antiferromagnetic intra-dimer coupling, and \(K\) is the inter-dimer Heisenberg interaction. Spin-orbit coupling gives rise to the odd-parity DM interaction \(D\) and the even-parity spin-anisotropic inter-dimer coupling \(\Gamma\). We assume that the two legs of the ladder are equivalent by symmetry. Likewise, all the rungs are taken to be equivalent. Therefore, the only allowed DM term is the inter-dimer DM interaction in the \(y\)-direction between the spins along the legs of the ladder [?]. The even-parity spin-anisotropic interaction \(\Gamma\) is of similar form as the DM term, but its direction is not fixed by lattice symmetries. For simplicity, we assume that the \(\Gamma\) term points in the same direction as the DM interaction; in the Supplemental Material we consider the case where the \(\Gamma\) term points along the \(z\) direction. In Eq. (1) we have also included a small magnetic field \(h_y\) perpendicular to the ladder plane, which provides a handle to induce a topological transition.

For dominant \(J > 0\), the spins within each unit cell of the spin ladder form a singlet and a dimer-quantum-paramagnet is realized. Throughout this paper we shall be interested in this phase only. This phase has three gapped excitations corresponding to the three possible spin-1 triplet excited states on each dimer. To describe these elementary triplon excitations, we employ the bond-operator formalism [? ], which allows us to represent the spin operators in Eq. (1) in terms of triplon creation and annihilation operators \(t^\dagger_1\) and \(t_1\) (\(\gamma = x, y, z\)) [? ]. For a given dimer these triplon operators are defined as

\[
t_1^\dagger|t_0\rangle = |t_\gamma\rangle (\gamma = x, y, z), \text{ where } |t_0\rangle = (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}
\]

is the singlet state, while \(|t_x\rangle = -(|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}, |t_y\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle]/\sqrt{2}\) and \(|t_z\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}\) are the spin-1 triplet states. Rewriting Eq. (1) in terms of \(t_x, t_y, t_z\) yields an interacting bosonic Hamiltonian describing the dynamics of the triplons [? ]. For simplicity, we consider here only the bilinear part of this triplon Hamiltonian. This is known as the harmonic approximation [? ]. As it turns out, at the harmonic level the \(t_y\) triplon mode is decoupled from the other two triplons. We therefore focus only on the \(t_x\) and \(t_z\) excitations, whose dynamics in momentum space is given by [? ]

\[
\mathcal{H}_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \Psi_k^\dagger \mathcal{M}_k \Psi_k,
\]

with the spinor \(\Psi_k = (t_{kx}, t_{kz}, t_{1,kx}^\dagger, t_{1,kz}^\dagger)^T\) and the \(4 \times 4\) matrix

\[
\mathcal{M}_k = \begin{bmatrix} H_1(k) & H_2(k) \\ H_1^T(k) & H_2^T(-k) \end{bmatrix}.
\]

The diagonal and off-diagonal parts of \(\mathcal{M}_k\) read

\[
H_1(k) = (J + K \cos(k)) \mathbb{1} + \vec{d} \cdot \vec{\sigma},
\]

\[
H_2(k) = - K e^{-i k} \mathbb{1} - \vec{d} \cdot \vec{\sigma},
\]

with the vectors

\[
\vec{d} \equiv \{d_1, d_2, d_3\} = \{\cos(k), -D \sin(k) - h_y, 0\},
\]

\[
\vec{\sigma} \equiv \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} = \{\cos(k), -D \sin(k), 0\},
\]

where \(\mathbb{1}\) is the \(2 \times 2\) identity matrix, \(\iota = \sqrt{-1}\) and \(\vec{d} \equiv \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}\) are the three Pauli matrices.

**Triplon bands and protected end states.**—The triplon bands of Hamiltonian (2) are obtained by use of a bosonic Bogoliubov transformation [? ? ? ], which amounts to diagonalizing the non-Hermitian matrix \(\Sigma \mathcal{M}_k\), where \(\Sigma = \text{diag}(1, -1)\). In Fig. 2 we show the typical triplon dispersions for different values of the tuning parameter \(h_y\). Both triplon modes are gapped in the entire dimer-quantum-paramagnetic phase. Moreover, the two triplons do not touch each other, except at \(h_y = \pm D,\)

\[
\frac{D}{J} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad K/J = 0.1.
\]
where they touch linearly. This observation suggest that at $h_y = \pm D$ there occurs a topological phase transition, which separates a trivial phase from a topological one.

To confirm this conjecture, we study the edge states of Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_k$, whose presence indicates the topological character of the triplon bands. For that purpose we determine the eigenenergies and eigenmodes of $\mathcal{H}_k$ in real space with open boundary conditions. Figure 4 displays the so-obtained spectrum as a function of $h_y$. We also compute the energy-integrated local density of states (LDOS) of $\mathcal{H}_k$, by adding the contributions from the lower triplon band and from the end states with energies in between the two triplon bands. To reveal the existence of end states we subtract the LDOS of $\mathcal{H}_k$ with periodic boundary conditions $\rho_0$ from the LDOS with open boundary conditions $\rho$. The resulting triplon end-state density profile $\rho - \rho_0$ is plotted in Fig. 4 for different values of $h_y$. From Fig. 3 we clearly see that for $|h_y| < D$ the spectrum contains, besides the bulk triplon bands (red and blue), an additional state (green) with energy in between the two triplons. Figure 4 shows that this in-gap state is exponentially localized at the two ends of the spin ladder. Hence, we conclude that the paramagnetic phase of $S=1/2$ quantum spin ladders is subdivided into a trivial phase ($|h_y| > D$) and a topological phase ($|h_y| < D$) [6]. We call the latter a topological quantum paramagnet [8], which is characterized by a non-zero winding number, as we will show below.

But before doing so, let us examine the area under the peaks in the triplon end-state density profile of Fig. 4. We find that it is zero in the trivial phase, while in the topological phase it takes on the fractional value $1/2$. This fractional value is reminiscent of the charge $e/2$ end states in the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model [8] and is intimately connected to the nontrivial topology of the system [8]. Physically, the fractional value hints towards a fractionalized nature of the triplon end states. However, unlike the SSH model, here we are dealing with bosons and it is not straightforward to establish this connection. This will be addressed in future work.

Winding number. We now show that the topological quantum paramagnetic phase is characterized by a non-zero winding number. Although the problem at hand is seemingly similar to a one-dimensional fermionic topological insulator, we find that the calculation of the winding number proceeds along quite different lines than in the fermionic case. Recall that in order to compute the winding number of fermionic systems, one first needs to identify the chiral symmetry operator and transform the Hamiltonian to a basis wherein the chiral symmetry operator is diagonal. This results in a block off-diagonal Hamiltonian, which is then used to calculate the winding number [7?]. For our bosonic model, we find that Eq. (2) can be deformed into a chiral symmetric Hamiltonian, i.e., for $K = 0$ we have $\{ \mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma_y, \mathcal{M}_k - J \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \} = 0$, since $\sigma_y$ anti-commutes with $H_1 - (J + K \cos(k)) \mathbb{1}$ and with $H_2 + K e^{-i \kappa} \mathbb{1}$. However, this observation is not very helpful for two reasons: (i) the symmetry operator is already diagonal and (ii) the eigenmodes of our model are not given by $\mathcal{M}_k$, but rather by $\Sigma \mathcal{M}_k$.

Hence, we need to find another way to bring $\Sigma \mathcal{M}_k$ into block off-diagonal from. To that end, let us consider the transformation with the unitary matrix

$$ U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. $$

Under the action of $U$, the relevant matrix $\Sigma \mathcal{M}_k$ transforms as

$$ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_k = U^\dagger (\Sigma \mathcal{M}_k) U = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_k & \mathcal{D}_{1k} \\ \mathcal{D}_{2k} & \mathcal{A}_k \end{bmatrix}, $$

where

$$ \mathcal{A}_k = \begin{bmatrix} J + K \cos(k) & -K e^{-i k} \\ K e^{i k} & -J - K \cos(k) \end{bmatrix}, $$

and the off-diagonal blocks are given by

$$ \mathcal{D}_{1k} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + i(x_2 - h_y) & -x_1 - i x_2 \\ x_1 + i x_2 & -x_1 - i (x_2 + h_y) \end{bmatrix}, $$

$$ \mathcal{D}_{2k} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - i(x_2 - h_y) & -x_1 + i x_2 \\ x_1 - i x_2 & -x_1 + i (x_2 + h_y) \end{bmatrix}. $$

Although $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_k$ is not block off-diagonal, note that the diagonal block $\mathcal{A}_k$ only leads to an overall energy shift (same for both modes) and small variations in the shape of the modes, but does not alter the topological properties. This is most easily seen by noting that the difference in the triplon energy spectrum with or without the anomalous terms $H_2(k)$ is negligible. So let us focus on $\mathcal{D}_{1k}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2k}$. In a way similar to the fermionic case, we can define the winding number as

$$ W = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{BZ} dk \, T \tau \left[ D^{-1} \partial_k D - (D^\dagger)^{-1} \partial_k D^\dagger \right], $$

where $D = (\mathcal{D}_{1k} + \mathcal{D}_{2k})/2$. We note that the factor $1/2$ in Eq. (6) is due to the prefactor $1/2$ in Eq. (4). The winding number $W$ is quantized to integer values and evaluates to $W = -1$ in the topological quantum paramagnetic phase $|h_y| < D$, see Fig. 4. By the bulk-boundary correspondence, the non-zero winding number leads to the protection of the triplon end-states of Fig. 4.
FIG. 5. Winding number $W$, Eq. (6), as a function of applied field $h_y$. In the topological paramagnetic phase, $|h_y| < D$, $W$ evaluates to $-1$, which, by the bulk-boundary correspondence, leads to the appearance of triplon end states, cf. Figs. 3 and 4. Parameters used are the same as in Fig. 2.

Conclusions and implications for experiments.– We have studied topological properties of $S=1/2$ quantum spin ladders with strong spin-orbit coupling and have shown that the quantum-disordered paramagnetic state of these spin ladders subdivides into a trivial and a topological phase. The latter is, what we call, a topological quantum paramagnet, since it exhibits topologically non-trivial triplon excitations. It should be noted that there is no qualitative difference between the ground states in the two phases. The topological aspects feature only in the triplon excitation modes. The phase transition between the topological and the trivial quantum paramagnet can be tuned by an applied field and occurs when two triplon modes touch, forming a Dirac point. The topological quantum paramagnet has a non-trivial winding number, which leads to protected triplon end states with fractional particle number $1/2$.

We expect that the topological quantum paramagnetic phase exists in many spin ladder compounds, even for relatively weak spin-orbit interactions [? ]. The quantum dimer model of Eq. (11) is just one example of a large class of Hamiltonians that all exhibit the same topological phase. It is always possible to add small perturbations to Hamiltonian (11) without changing its topological properties. Based on these considerations we expect that topological triplon bands are quite ubiquitous. A particularly promising candidate material for observing topological triplons is the strongly spin-orbit coupled spin ladder BiCu$_2$PO$_6$, for which field induced phase transitions have recently been investigated [? ? ]. To experimentally study the topological phase transition and the evolution of the triplon band structure as a function of applied field one may use neutron scattering experiments. It may even be possible to directly observe the triplon end states using small-angle neutron scattering (SANS). In fact, our calculations show that the local dynamic spin structure factor exhibits a sharp peak at the triplon end-state energy (see [? ]), which should be observable in SANS. Another possibility is to use specific heat measurements to look for the residual $\ln 2$ entropy contributed by the triplon end states.

The triplon interaction terms, arising beyond the harmonic approximation, can in principle result in the intrinsic zero-temperature damping of the triplon modes [? ]. This could in particular also apply to the localized end states in the topological phase [? ]. However, as long as the gap is greater than $0.5J$, because of energy-momentum constraints, the end states will not decay spontaneously. This is in contrast to the topological edge excitations of ordered magnets [? ? ], which can decay by coupling to the Goldstone modes.

Our findings represent the first step towards the development of a comprehensive topological band theory for triplons. Indeed, we expect the topological quantum paramagnet to be a rather commonly occurring phase, which may exist even in two- and three-dimensional quantum magnets. One possible generalization of our work are two-dimensional magnets composed of coupled spin-ladders, which may exhibit dispersing triplon edge modes carrying dissipationless spin current. Besides this, other interesting questions for further study are: (i) the fate of the topological quantum paramagnet at finite temperature and (ii) the study of phase transitions between topological quantum paramagnets and quantum spin liquids.
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