Sero-Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors of Q Fever in Cattle and Buffaloes Managed at Institutional Dairy Farms
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The present study was conducted to determine the sero-prevalence of Q fever and associated risk factors in institutional dairy farms in Punjab, Pakistan. A total 11 dairy farms were investigated from different areas of Punjab province. Out of these farms, a total of 827 animals (cows n=419 and buffaloes n=408) were investigated. Presence of anti-Coxiella burnetii antibodies was determined by indirect ELISA. The overall prevalence in dairy animals (cattle and buffaloes) was 6.1% (95% CI: 4.5-7.9). In cattle prevalence was higher (7.6%; 95% CI: 5.3-10.6) than in buffaloes (4.4%; 95% CI: 2.6-6.9). The probability of occurrence of disease in cattle was higher (7.63%; OR=1.9, 95% CI: 0.99-3.25) than in buffalo (1.00%; OR=1.00) The difference in sero-prevalence between the two-dairy species was significant (p=0.052, χ² = 3.786). Stratification of Q fever as per the breed of cattle indicated that the sero-prevalence was the highest (13%) in Cholistani followed by Sahiwal (7.5%) and cross bred (2.6%). Animals age between 2.1 to 5 year (OR=2.56, 95% CI 1.05, 6.20), contact with small ruminants (OR=1.43, 95% CI 0.63, 3.25), tick infestations (OR=4.91, 95% CI 2.40, 10.00), dry-dusty environment (OR=1.55, 95% CI 0.54, 4.43), retained fetal membranes (OR=1.68, 95% CI 0.94, 5.73) and abortion history (OR=2.17, 95% CI 0.88, 5.35) were risk factors for the seropositivity of Q fever. The results of the study indicated that Q fever is prevalent in cattle and buffalo managed at institutional dairy farms in Punjab.

INTRODUCTION

Q fever (Query fever) or coxiellosis is ubiquitous zoonotic disease except in New Zealand (Hechemy, 2012). It is caused by a highly contagious Gram’s negative obligate and intracellular bacterium Coxiiella burnetii. The etiologic agent has erstwhile been classified as a rickettsial organism, however, currently, it is classified as an individual group (Seshadri et al., 2003). A bewildering variety of animal species are affected by C. burnetii. Nonetheless, dairy animals including cattle, buffaloes, goats as well as sheep are considered as the primary reservoirs of this pathogen (Radostitis et al., 2007).

In most infected hosts, Q fever is usually an asymptomatic disease. Clinical manifestations of Q fever in animals are mostly referable to involvement of reproductive system and the disease may cause abortion, stillbirth, retained placenta, infertility and birth of weak newborn etc. Mastitis could be observed in dairy animals. Different animal species show different semiology (i.e. clinical picture). In cattle, sporadic abortions, low birth weights, and infertility are more common than in sheep and goats. Abortion in sheep and goats occurs in last trimester of pregnancy (Radostitis et al., 2007). Humans exhibit a flu-like illness (characterized by fever, headache, chills and fatigue), followed by a typical pneumonia in acute cases, while chronic cases develop endocarditis and hepatitis (Agerholm, 2013).

Q fever is a zoonotic disease, which mainly affects the domestic animals. Affected animals get infection by biting of infected ticks and inhaling the Q fever causative organism from contaminated environment (de Valk, 2012). Q fever infection is often an occupational disease. Animal health personnel are exposed directly or indirectly...
to infection during surgery and physical examination of animals (Meadows et al., 2017). Ever since its discovery, Q fever has been considered one of the important human and animal diseases. Humans having occupational contacts with animals have a higher risk of sero-positivity to C. burnetii (Hechenny, 2012; Meadows et al., 2017).

Q fever is endemic in many countries of the world. A survey conducted in 51 countries including Pakistan confirmed the prevalence of Q fever (Kaplan and Bertagna, 1955). The prevalence of Q fever in animals has also been reported from countries neighboring Pakistan i.e. Iran (Nokhdian et al., 2017), India (Yadev and Sethi, 1979) and China (Li et al., 2018). Reports on the prevalence of Q fever in Pakistan dairy animals are extremely sparse and limited only to 4 studies thus far over the decade of 63 years (Ahmad, 1987; Shabbir et al., 2016; Zahid et al., 2016). Although Q fever is a ubiquitous zoonotic disease, so far it has been neglected in Pakistan. The present study was planned to determine the sero-prevalence of Q fever and associated risk factors in cows and buffaloes managed at institutional dairy farms in Punjab, Pakistan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study locales and herd structure profiles: A total of 11 institutional dairy farms under the administered control of Livestock and Dairy Development (L&DD) Department, Government of the Punjab and University of Agriculture, Faisalabad were selected for sero-prevalence of Q fever in cows and buffaloes from different areas of the Punjab province, Pakistan. These farms were selected on the basis of their geographical distribution and number of animals managed. Farms that managed less than 70 dairy animals were not included in the research on these livestock farms. Dairy animals were identified by ear tag.

Sample size estimation and collection of samples: Sample size was estimated by considering an unknown status of Q fever in dairy animals in Punjab province. Number of animals to be sampled was calculated by keeping the expected prevalence as 50% with 95% confidence interval (CI) and 5% desired absolute precision (Thrusfield, 2007). Sampling period spanned over 6 and embraced 3 seasons (spring, rainy and winter). Data regarding herds were recorded on a pre-designed proforma.

Four ml blood was drawn from the jugular vein of each animal with a 16 G (3.81 cm long) needle into commercially available blood collecting vials with vacuum and clot activating gel. Sera were harvested by spinning the blood collecting vials in centrifuge machine (Hettich® Zentrifugen, Germany) at 6000 rpm for 15 minutes, and stored in freezer at -80 °C till analysis.

Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) for determination of prevalence of C. burnetii antibodies. The sera were tested for the presence of anti-C. burnetii antibodies using a commercially available enzyme linked immunosorbent assay kit (LSI Vet®, France) following the instructions given by the manufacturer. Briefly, the reagents of ELISA kit were placed at room temperature prior to test. First two wells (A1 and B1) were filled with positive control serum while next two wells (i.e. C1 and D1) were inoculated with negative control serum. Optical density (OD) of ELISA plates were read at the wavelength of 450 nm in ELISA reader (BioTeck®, BioTeck Instruments, Inc., USA). Sample positive percentage (SP%) for each serum sample was determined using the following formula:

\[
\frac{\text{OD}_{\text{sample}} - \text{OD}_{\text{negative}}}{\text{OD}_{\text{positive}} - \text{OD}_{\text{negative}}} \times 100
\]

The interpretation of SP values described by manufacturer is as follows: ≤40%=negative; ≥40% SP ≤50%=doubtful; >50%=positive.

Associated risk factors: Associated risk factors viz. age, species (cattle and buffalo), sex, breed, feeding pattern, type of herd, contact with small ruminants, tick infestation, breeding methods, farm environment, reproductive disorders and abortion history were recorded through pre-designed proforma.

Statistical analysis: Prevalence (%), 95% confidence interval (CI) and Chi-square testing were performed to find out significant difference among sex, age and associated factors. Univariate analysis was performed to calculate Odds ratio (OR) for different determinants of disease. The associations between the outcome response variables (sero-prevalence) and explanatory variables were determined using binary logistic regression for prediction of sero-prevalence of Q fever were calculated using IBM SPSS Statistics 17.0 for Windows®, IBM Corporation, New York, USA.

RESULTS

ELISA based sero-prevalence of Q fever: A total of 827 cows (n=419) and buffaloes (n=408) were investigated for the sero-prevalence of Q fever. The overall sero-prevalence of Q fever in cows and buffaloes was 6.1% (95% CI: 4.5-7.9). Ten of 11 farms (90.90%) were found as positive for Q fever antibodies in ELISA. The percent Q fever prevalence on the farms ranged from 0% to 13%. The sero-prevalence of Q fever in GLF-J was the highest (13%) followed by LPRI (12.9%), BRI (7.1%), LES-KB (5.3%), GLF-F (4.4%), LES-UA (3.9%), LES-H (2.9), LES-JA (2.4%), GLF-K (1.3%) and LES-RG (1.1%). None of the animal from LES-CW was seropositive for Q fever (Fig. 1). The optical density (OD) values of ELISA positive animals were ranged from (40.207 to 207.230).

Stratification of Q fever sero-prevalence as per the breed of cattle indicated that the sero-prevalence was the highest (13%) followed by Sahiwal (7.5%) and cross bred (2.6%). All buffaloes (n=408) belonged to Nili-Ravi breed. Sero-prevalence of Q fever in buffalo was 4.4% (18 out of 408 animals, 95% CI: 2.6-6.9). Sero-prevalence of Q fever was the highest (7.8%) in age group 2 (2.1–5 year old) followed by group 2 (<5 year old; 3.2%) and 1 (≥2 years old; 5.1%). Only female animals tested positive for Q fever with sero-prevalence of 6.5% (95% CI: 4.8-8.4). None of male animal (0%; 95% CI: 0-6.7) was positive for the antibodies against C. burnetii. The sex specific variable was non-significant P=0.067.
Univariable analysis was performed to evaluate the relationship between individual and herd level variables and sero-prevalence. A total of 12 variables, viz., age, species (cattle and buffalo), sex, breed, feeding pattern, type of herd, contact with small ruminants, tick infestation, breeding methods, farm environment, reproductive disorders and abortion history were analyzed. Sero-prevalence in cattle and buffalo was influenced by age, species/breed, geographical location, reproductive disorders and environment (Table 1).

Briefly, animals between 2.1 and 5 years of age (OR=2.56, 95% CI 1.05, 6.20) and above 5 years of age (OR=1.61, 95% CI 0.58, 4.44) were more likely to test positive as compared to young (OR=1.00; less than equal to 2 years) animals. The Cholistani (OR=5.55, 95% CI 0.64, 48.30), Sahiwal (OR=2.98, 95% CI 0.39, 22.67)
breeds of cattle were more likely to be seropositive as compared to crossbred cattle. Moreover, the chances of being seropositive were higher in animals belonging to dairy herds (OR=3.94, 95% CI 1.21, 12.81) as compared to mixed herds. The cattle and buffaloes having contact with small ruminants (OR=1.43, 95% CI 0.63, 3.25), tick infested animals (OR=4.91, 95% CI 2.40, 10.00) and the animals bred using artificial insemination (AI) were more likely to test positive (OR=1.68, 95% CI 0.59, 4.77). Farms with dry and hot (OR=3.71, 95% CI 0.99, 13.86) and dry and dusty environment (OR=1.55, 95% CI 0.54, 4.43) were more likely to test positive as compared to dry and clean (OR=1.00).

Animals suffering from reproductive problems including retained fetal membranes (OR=1.68, 95% CI 0.94, 5.73), ovarian disorders (OR=1.79, 95% CI 0.22, 14.37), infertility (OR=3.59, 95% CI 0.41, 31.46), uterine disorders (OR=5.98, 95% CI 1.17, 30.59), premature birth / repeat breeders (OR=8.98, 95% CI 2.17, 37.21) and history of abortion (OR=2.17, 95% CI 0.88, 5.35) were more likely to test positive.

For the multivariate analysis and all variables with p ≤ 0.20 found in bivariable analysis were used in a binary logistic regression model (Table 2). Animals between 2.1 to 5 years of age (OR=3.87, 95% CI 1.49, 10.10), single dairy herds (OR=8.64, 95% CI 2.45, 30.47) and tick infestation (OR=6.43, 95% CI 2.96, 13.97) retention of fetal membrane (OR=1.20, 95% CI 2.40, 52.24), ovarian problems (OR=12.22, 95% CI 2.14, 69.69) and infertility (OR=3.76, 95% CI 0.37, 38.57) were the risk factors for the sero-positivity of Q fever at institutional dairy farms.

**DISCUSSION**

Q fever is endemic in many countries of the world. A perusal of literature indicated that so far, only 4 reports have documented the prevalence of Q fever in Pakistan (Kaplan and Bertagna, 1955; Ahmad, 1987; Zahid et al., 2016; Shabbir et al., 2016). These studies have limitations in study design. To address this void, the present study was designed to investigate the sero-prevalence and was carried out. A total of 827 animals (n=419 cows and n=408 buffaloes) were investigated. Sero-prevalence of Q fever was determined in two species viz. cow and buffalo. In cattle prevalence of Q fever was highest (7.63%) than in buffaloes (4.4%). The overall sero-prevalence of Q fever was 6.1%. With the exception of HS, the overall susceptibility of buffalo to infectious diseases is lower than those in cattle (Dua, 2003). The reports on prevalence of Q fever in water buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) are by far fewer than those on cow. The prevalence of Q fever was ranged from 4.8% to 17.5% in water buffalo (Kaplan and Bertagna, 1955; Perugini et al., 2009; Gunaydin and Pekkaya, 2016; Klemmer et al., 2018). Results of present study are in agreement with those of an Indian study (cattle=24.29%, buffalo=16.02%; Yadav and Sethi, 1979) and Egyptian study (cattle=19.3%, Buffalo=11.2%; Klemmar et al., 2018) that sero-prevalence of Q fever in cattle was higher than buffaloes. A lower susceptibility of dairy buffalo than that of cow is not limited to Q fever only. Compared with cow, buffalo is less susceptible to severe other infectious diseases like mastitis (Muhammad et al., 2010).

Ten of 11 farms (90.90%) tested positive for Q fever antibodies in ELISA. The percent Q fever prevalence on the 11 dairy farms ranged from 0 to 13%. Sero-prevalence was higher in those areas where herd mix with small ruminants. The difference among 11 dairy farms can be attributed to dry and dusty weather, low vegetation, unusual dusty winds, harsh environment, poor management, un-planned construction of dairy sheds and un-hygienic practices. These associated factors were noted on pre-designed questionnaire during the sampling. These findings are in agreement with those of previous studies that transmission of *C. burnetii* is associated with dry environment (van der Hoek et al., 2011). The difference among the herds might be associated with difference in management and hygienic conditions.

Breed specific sero-prevalence of Q fever was determined. The sero-prevalence of Q fever among the Cholistani cows was the highest (13%) and lowest in cross bred cow (2.6%). Cholistani breed was raised in livestock experimental station, Jogaipen, Bahawalpur. It was situated near desert and desert having dusty environment due to low rainfall. This kind of environment is associated with aerosol transmission. Transmission of the organism through contaminated dust in animals is very common (Tissot-Dupont et al., 2004).

In a present study, age related seropositivity was not-significant (P=0.070). However, sero-prevalence of Q fever was increased with age i.e. highest in 5-year (7.8%). These findings are in line with the findings of Astobiza et al. (2012). This might be due to the more exposure of healthy animals to infected animals and animals remain sero-positive for many years after exposure (McQuiston and Childs, 2002).

Q fever is reportedly an important cause of abortion in cattle (Lee et al., 2018), buffalo (Vaidya et al., 2010) and goats (Lee et al., 2018). Q fever as a cause of abortion has not yet caught the imagination of Pakistani investigators. Paradoxically, however, in present study, 11.5% of Q fever sero-positive animals had a history of...
abortion during 1 year, whereas the overall sero-prevalence was 6.1%. The higher percentile of sero-positive animals with a history of abortion (11.5%) than the overall prevalence (6.1%) is probably a reflection of an association between Q fever and odds of abortion in the study population. However, linking Q fever with abortion requires execution of case-control and longitudinal epidemiologic studies (Thrusfield, 2007). Further Q fever studies on Pakistani dairy animals may address these important pitfalls of the present maiden study on coxiellosis in institutional dairy herds. The results of present study are also in line with Rahman et al. (2016) that abortion in cattle was evident. However, abortion in cattle due to Q fever was reportedly less (To, 1998).

Among all samples tested sera from animals, females were more sero-positive (6.5%) than male (0%). These findings are in line with the previous results documenting the higher prevalence in females than males. The higher sero-activity in females might be caused by an increased susceptibility of pregnant cow/buffalo and constant shedding of organism into the environments following normal parturition or abortion through placenta, amniotic fluid, vaginal discharge, fetal membranes and milk (Sakhae and Khalili, 2010).

Statistically, a significant association (P=0.001) was recorded between the presence of C. burnetii specific antibodies and tick infestations. These findings are in line with the results of previous researchers (Angelakis and Roult, 2010) who documented the significant role of ticks in transmission and maintenance of the disease among animals and humans. In sum, Q fever is present on institutional dairy farms in Punjab province, Pakistan. As far as could be ascertained from the available literature, the present study is maiden attempt to document the sero-prevalence of Q fever in institutional dairy animals (cow and buffalo) in Punjab province Pakistan. Ticks, presence of sheep and goat along with dairy animals, unhygienic conditions, and environment are the main associated factors. Reproductive problems are also associated with the Q fever.
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