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Abstract
This study examined the impact of a live, interactive electronic field trip (EFT), called Scientist Online: The Science of Mosquitoes via the Streaming Science platform and Skype in the Classroom network for connecting university entomologists with middle and high school youth around the world. More than 150 students viewed the program, and 60 answered post-quantitative and qualitative questions regarding their perceptions of the EFT and knowledge related to mosquitoes. Students reported an increased knowledge about mosquitoes, mosquito-borne illness, mosquito-borne illness prevention and protection, entomology and related careers, and the mosquito life-cycle. Future research should determine how interactive, synchronous video programs with scientists can impact participants’ behavior intentions, specifically for making lifestyle choices based in science, as well as decision-making for improving their health and the planet.

Keywords
Electronic field trip, Skype in the Classroom, science communication, solutions-focused communication, STEM careers

1. Ashley McLeod-Morin, Communications Coordinator, UF/IFAS Center for Public Issues Education in Agriculture and Natural Resources, Doctoral Student, University of Florida, 1408 Sabal Palm Drive 2nd Floor, PO Box 110320 Gainesville, FL 32611, ashleynmcleod@ufl.edu, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8649-9783
2. Peyton Beattie, Doctoral Candidate, University of Florida, PO Box 110540, Gainesville, FL 32611, pbeattie@ufl.edu, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0677-4600
3. Whitney Stone, Graduate Assistant, University of Florida, PO Box 110540, Gainesville, FL 32611, whitney.stone@ufl.edu, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3090-5751
4. Kevin Kent, Doctoral Candidate, University of Florida, PO Box 110540, Gainesville, FL 32611, kevin.kent@ufl.edu, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0239-6817
5. Jamie Loizzo, Assistant Professor, University of Florida, PO Box 112060, Gainesville, FL 32611, jloizzo@ufl.edu, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5575-2918
6. Ricky Telg, Professor, Director of UF/IFAS Center for Public Issues Education in Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Florida, PO Box 110320 Gainesville, FL 32611, rwtelg@ufl.edu, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8729-1634
Introduction and Problem Statement

The mosquito has played an instrumental role in shaping human history, the global economy, and world health (Winegard, 2019). Mosquitoes can transmit several dangerous and, sometimes, deadly diseases, such as malaria (Ruckert et al., 2017). In addition to the negative impacts on human and animal health, the diseases also cause significant economic impacts. It is estimated that the 2016 Zika outbreak cost between $7 billion and $18 billion for affected countries (United Nation Development Programme, 2017).

To address the severe implications caused by mosquitoes, health agencies are working to increase public education and awareness initiatives through science communication campaigns (Porse et al., 2015). The public can informally learn about topics related to science through science communication, which should engage individuals in a way that will lead to a more informed society about science issues and topics (Dijkstra & Gutteling, 2012; Kleinman & Powell, 2010). As the public engages more with topics related to science, such as mosquitoes, they become better equipped to make informed choices concerning policy, personal health, and family finances and can participate in discussions on ecological, economical, and social issues (Nelkin, 1995; Treise & Weigold, 2002).

As public health agencies aim to broaden their engagement with a variety of diverse audiences to increase awareness and mobilize behavior change around mosquitoes and related topics, it is imperative youth audiences are included and reached in interactive and educational ways. Electronic field trips (EFTs) are a proven delivery model for introducing PK-12 classrooms to remote locations, topics, and careers and have the potential to include entomology content (McCrea, 2012). The diffusion of EFTs should be further explored to determine how this innovation can impact agricultural education and communication.

Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

Scientists today have more opportunities than ever before to engage in two-way communication through online media, such as video conferencing, that can reach diverse audiences around the globe and impact individual’s science literacy (Davies & Hara, 2017; Lundy et al., 2006). EFTs are an example of a two-way online channel for connection and dialogue for live, synchronous, interactive video for science engagement (Cassady et al., 2008; Loizzo et al., 2019; Tuthill & Klemm, 2002). EFTs have increased in popularity in recent years, as schools have cut travel budgets and teachers have identified constraining logistical barriers such as time, transportation, and academic testing demands that prevent them from taking physical class field trips to external locations (Adedokun et al., 2011; Parker et al., 2010; Stoddard, 2009). EFTs straddle the formal and non-formal education because they include an out-of-school location connecting with students inside a typical classroom environment (Adedokun et al., 2012a; Adedokun et al., 2012b; Tuthill & Klemm, 2002; Loizzo et al., 2019; NRC, 2015).
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The Microsoft Educator *Skype in the Classroom* network includes thousands of educators from around the world in an online community to connect their learners with guest speakers, including scientists (Skype, 2020). Teachers who have participated in Skype in the Classroom have indicated youth are excited, engaged, and can ask a variety of questions of experts through Skype (Foote, 2008; McCrea, 2012; Morgan, 2013). Participating students are often encouraged to ask questions of the experts throughout the Skype sessions. Exposing youth to insects, such as mosquitoes, fosters an appreciation and interest that may not otherwise be encouraged (Shipley & Bixler, 2019). However, most youth are not exposed to insects beyond the life cycle.

A combination of theory and concepts guided this study, including solutions-focused science communication, Social Cognitive Theory (SCT), vicarious learning, and Social Cognitive Career Theory (SCCT) guided this study. SCCT and vicarious learning informed the design choice of connecting youth with scientists vicariously through *Skype in the Classroom*. SCCT guided the researchers’ conceptualization of impacts of the EFT on participants’ STEM topical and career interest, while solutions-focused communication informed the program content development and assessment for examining specific learning goals of mosquito-borne illness prevention and protection.

Roots of solutions-focused communication were first established by Chalmers (1959) as he studied journalists who brought attention to corruption and explained how solutions-focused journalists did not simply provide information, but also recommended solutions to problems. Gyldensted (2015) furthered the notion of solutions-focused communication, as she established the need for journalists to report on news beyond crises, but also on news that brings attention to advancements in society.

Through SCT, Bandura (1977, 1986) proposed an individual’s personal factors, environment, and a person’s learning or behavior have a direct, relationship to each other (Bandura, 1986; 2001). Vicarious learning through mass media permits audiences to learn a vast amount of information about behaviors and social norms, ultimately offering new ways of thinking and approaching behavior (Bandura, 2001). Moreover, individuals’ motivation to perform the observed learned behavior hinges on if the individuals value the outcome to a behavior (Bandura, 2001).

SCCT (Lent et al., 1994) is founded in the work of Bandura’s SCT (1977) and Hackett and Betz’s (1981) theory of translation work and examines human agency in career development with understudied populations (Lent & Brown, 2019). SCCT in STEM-related research can help better understand students’ goal orientation toward STEM careers (Dutta et al., 2015).

**Purpose**

The purpose of this study was to examine the impacts of a live, interactive EFT called *Scientist Online: The Science of Mosquitoes* via the *Streaming Science* platform and *Skype in the Classroom*. 
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Classroom network for connecting university entomologists with middle and high school youth around the world. The objectives that guided this study included the following:

1. Describe students’ perceptions of the mosquito EFT.
2. Describe students’ perceived knowledge before and after the mosquito EFT.

Methods

The Science of Mosquitoes EFT program was streamed via Skype in the Classroom and lasted 35-45 minutes (Beattie et al., 2020). This project was a part of a larger grant project funded by the Florida Department of Health (FDOH) to the University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences Center for Public Issues Education in Agriculture and Natural Resources (PIE Center). The purpose of the larger grant project was to increase public awareness related to mosquitoes, mosquito-borne illnesses, and mosquito control. The learning objectives were for students to (a) describe the mosquito life cycle, habitats, and physical traits; (b) list mosquito-borne diseases; and (c) summarize mosquito-borne illness prevention and protection/control methods. Researchers and Skype in the Classroom promoted the program broadly and recruited approximately 150 students in grades from 4th to 7th, 11th to 12th, and adult learners participated in the EFT: Florida (1 classroom), Pennsylvania (2 classrooms), Canada (2 classrooms), and Pakistan (an all-male college-aged boarding school).

A retrospective post-survey was used to collect individual answers from students about their perceived knowledge before and after the EFT, as well as their personal perceptions of the program. The population of interest for this study were all students who participated in The Science of Mosquitoes EFT program. A total of 60 students across the six classrooms completed the survey, approximately a 40% response rate.

The first section of the questionnaire asked the students to Please share your thoughts on ‘The Science of Mosquitoes’ Skype in the Classroom call given five points (i.e., 1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree). The second section of the questionnaire addressed students’ level of knowledge retrospectively about mosquito related topics given four points (i.e., 1 = none, 4 = high). The open-ended section of the questionnaire asked the students to type/write a response to the question prompt. An example of one open-ended prompt is what are the top three things you learned from the program? The questionnaire was administered through the teachers of the participating students either via the online, Qualtrics software or by paper, depending on computer accessibility.

The data from the two fixed response sections was analyzed through descriptive statistics. We calculated individual item means and standard deviations and reported answers for the two question sets. The following real limits of the scale were used to interpret the data answering the question Please share your thoughts on ‘The Science of Mosquitoes’ Skype in the Classroom call: 1.00 - 1.49 = strongly disagree, 1.50 - 2.49 = disagree, 2.50 - 3.49 = neutral, 3.50 - 4.49 = agree, 4.50 - 5.00 = strongly agree. The real limits of the scale used to answer the retrospective
before and after knowledge question were as follows: $1.00 - 1.49 = \text{none}$, $1.50 - 2.49 = \text{low}$, $2.50 - 3.49 = \text{medium}$, $3.50 - 4.00 = \text{high}$.

We analyzed the open-ended responses through a deductive coding process, based on the learning objectives of *The Science of Mosquitoes* EFT program. For instance, codes included lifecycle, prevention, protection, and careers. We used the predetermined codes to categorize the student responses and calculated the frequency of the code’s appearance in the students’ answers.

A limitation of this study is the small sample size. The exploratory nature of this study does not allow for the results to be generalized beyond the sample of this study. The age of participants also varied widely, ranging from fourth grade to young adult. This variation may result in limitations in the data.

**Findings**

The student respondents reported the highest means for the items *The scientist did a good job answering questions* ($M = 4.35$, $SD = .78$), *The scientist was knowledgeable about the topic* ($M = 4.33$, $SD = .93$), *I would recommend the program to other classes* ($M = 4.27$, $SD = .86$), and *It is important to learn about mosquito-borne illnesses* ($M = 4.25$, $SD = .80$), respectively (see Table 1). The students rated *I learned about careers in entomology from the scientist* ($M = 3.22$, $SD = 1.03$) and *I like entomology* ($M = 2.78$, $SD = 1.03$) the lowest according to mean scores.

**Table 1**

*Students’ perceptions of the mosquito EFT*

| Item                                                                 | $M$  | $SD$ |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|
| The scientist did a good job answering questions.                   | 4.35 | .78  |
| The scientist was knowledgeable about the topic.                    | 4.33 | .93  |
| I would recommend this program to other classes.                    | 4.27 | .86  |
| It is important to learn about mosquito-borne illnesses.            | 4.25 | .80  |
| The scientist communicated at a level that I understood.            | 4.10 | .78  |
| The scientist gave an interesting demonstration to explain mosquito research. | 4.05 | 1.00 |
| The scientist was interesting.                                      | 3.92 | .77  |
| The program was easy to see.                                        | 3.85 | .92  |
| The scientist talked about something I did not already know.        | 3.80 | 1.07 |
| The program was easy to hear.                                       | 3.78 | .89  |
| I thought the topic was interesting.                                | 3.75 | .84  |
| It is important that we learn about mosquitoes.                     | 3.68 | .93  |
| I learned about careers in entomology from the scientist.          | 3.22 | 1.03 |
| I like entomology.                                                  | 2.78 | 1.03 |

*Note.* Real limits of the scale: $1.00 - 1.49 = \text{strongly disagree}$, $1.50 - 2.49 = \text{disagree}$, $2.50 - 3.49 = \text{neutral}$, $3.50 - 4.49 = \text{agree}$, $4.50 - 5.00 = \text{strongly agree}$
Students rated their knowledge about mosquitoes before *The Science of Mosquitoes* EFT to be the highest ($M = 2.44, SD = .74$). See Table 2. After *The Science of Mosquitoes* EFT, the students ranked their knowledge of the mosquito life cycle the highest ($M = 3.57, SD = .57$). The students reported their knowledge of entomology careers to be the lowest both before ($M = 1.41, SD = .71$) and after ($M = 2.55, SD = .99$) *The Science of Mosquitoes* EFT.

### Table 2

*Students’ perceived knowledge before and after the mosquito EFT*

| My knowledge before/after the ‘The Science of Mosquitoes’… | Before | SD  | After | SD  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----|-------|-----|
| Mosquitoes                                                | 2.44   | .74 | 3.43  | .57 |
| Mosquito life cycle                                       | 2.20   | 1.07| 3.57  | .57 |
| Mosquito-borne illness prevention and protection          | 1.93   | .99 | 3.04  | .84 |
| Mosquito-borne illness                                    | 1.91   | .96 | 3.06  | .67 |
| Entomology                                                | 1.51   | .85 | 2.80  | .97 |
| Entomology careers                                        | 1.41   | .71 | 2.55  | .99 |

*Note.* Real limits of the scale: 1.00 – 1.49 = *none*, 1.50 – 2.49 = *low*, 2.50 – 3.49 = *medium*, 3.50 – 4.00 = *high*

When asked to provide their own typed/written answers to the question *What are the top three things you learned from the program?*, students discussed mosquito-borne diseases/illnesses, mosquito control, the life cycle or breeding of mosquitoes, types/species of mosquitoes, entomology/entomology careers, mosquitoes biting or sucking blood, the mosquito habitat, mosquito’s diet, and how mosquitoes are dangerous/not dangerous. The topics students discussed the most for the item *List the top three things you learned from the program* were the life cycle or breeding of mosquitoes ($n = 35$), mosquito-borne diseases/illnesses ($n = 34$), and types/species of mosquitoes ($n = 21$). For the question *Where do mosquitoes live?*, the students discussed water-related habitats, all around the world, grass, and warm/hot places. The answer most frequently provided was water-related habitats ($n = 36$), followed by all around the world ($n = 7$), warm/hot places ($n = 5$), and grass ($n = 2$).

Students provided answers to the question *What are two actions you can do to protect yourself from mosquito-borne illness?* The students most frequently reported bug spray or repellent ($n = 40$), followed by using window screens or mosquito nets in your home ($n = 12$), getting rid of standing water or keeping mosquitoes from breeding ($n = 11$), and wearing longer clothing ($n = 10$).

### Conclusions, Discussion, and Recommendations

Exploring students’ perceptions and perceived knowledge gain through the participation of an EFT program provides greater understanding of the impacts EFT participation can have on student education. Students who participated in *The Science of Mosquitoes* EFT answered questions about their personal perceptions and knowledge. In this study, students reported improved perceived knowledge in almost all topics that were elements of the EFT program,
including entomology careers and the mosquito life-cycle. These findings align with previous literature that posited EFTs can culminate excitement and interest in science-related topics, such as entomology (Foote, 2008; McCrea, 2012; Morgan, 2013). The EFT content was solutions-focused in nature, which could have also aided the students’ engagement (Gyldensted, 2015).

While statistical significance of knowledge gain cannot be determined, it can be noted that students had some level of increased knowledge of mosquitoes, the mosquito life cycle, mosquito-borne illness, mosquito-borne illness prevention and protection, entomology, and entomology careers from their reported self-perceived knowledge before and after the EFT. The only topic students indicated having a high level of knowledge of after the EFT was the mosquito life-cycle. The mosquito life-cycle and breeding were the answer students reported most frequently learning from the EFT program in the open-ended question. The scientists used toy-like replica models of the mosquito life cycle to teach students about the life-cycle. This was the only portion of the program that used replica models and possibly could have enhanced student learning. Shipley and Bixler (2019) determined that most elementary and secondary education curriculum commonly included insect life cycles, which might have aided student comprehension in this study since it was likely they had been exposed to that information previously.

Recommendations for future research include continued study of EFT impacts on youth and adult science literacy and knowledge. Additional research questions in the future should include how interactive, synchronous video programs with scientists can impact participants’ behavior intentions, specifically for making lifestyle choices based in science, as well as decision-making for improving their health and the planet.

As results revealed that life cycle portion and learning objective of the program, which featured physical insect props, was the only portion that students indicated a high level of knowledge, future EFTs should continue to show some photos and videos, as well as real life props, including handheld, physical demonstrations to explain a concept. This method appears to be more favorable for youth online audiences. Hence, live EFTs should have a live demonstration and not rely on videos or multimedia material, which appeared to be less engaging.

SCCT was included in the guiding framework for this study. However, according to data analysis, entomology careers appeared to be students’ least interested/engaged portion of the program. Future programs should more specifically and seamlessly incorporate detailed, targeted moments and content to more intentionally create excitement about career pathways, as well as show a variety of careers and role models from diverse backgrounds. Future researchers should then explore how different career content and role models might impact students’ career interest. Additionally, future EFT programs should more directly investigate vicarious learning impacts on students’ STEM interest in self-efficacy.
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