WRKY Transcription Factors Involved in Activation of SA Biosynthesis Genes

Marcel C van Verk, John F Bol and Huub JM Linthorst*

Abstract

Background: Increased defense against a variety of pathogens in plants is achieved through activation of a mechanism known as systemic acquired resistance (SAR). The broad-spectrum resistance brought about by SAR is mediated through salicylic acid (SA). An important step in SA biosynthesis in Arabidopsis is the conversion of chorismate to isochorismate through the action of isochorismate synthase, encoded by the ICS1 gene. Also AVRPPHB SUSCEPTIBLE 3 (PBS3) plays an important role in SA metabolism, as pbs3 mutants accumulate drastically reduced levels of SA-glucoside, a putative storage form of SA. Bioinformatics analysis previously performed by us identified WRKY28 and WRKY46 as possible regulators of ICS1 and PBS3.

Results: Expression studies with ICS1 promoter::β-glucuronidase (GUS) genes in Arabidopsis thaliana protoplasts cotransfected with 35S::WRKY28 showed that over expression of WRKY28 resulted in a strong increase in GUS expression. Moreover, qRT-PCR analyses indicated that the endogenous ICS1 and PBS3 genes were highly expressed in protoplasts overexpressing WRKY28 or WRKY46, respectively. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays identified potential WRKY28 binding sites in the ICS1 promoter, positioned -445 and -460 base pairs upstream of the transcription start site. Mutation of these sites in protoplast transactivation assays showed that these binding sites are functionally important for activation of the ICS1 promoter. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays with haemagglutinin-epitope-tagged WRKY28 showed that the region of the ICS1 promoter containing the binding sites at -445 and -460 was highly enriched in the immunoprecipitated DNA.

Conclusions: The results obtained here confirm results from our multiple microarray co-expression analyses indicating that WRKY28 and WRKY46 are transcriptional activators of ICS1 and PBS3, respectively, and support this in silico screening as a powerful tool for identifying new components of stress signaling pathways.
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Background

Because of their sessile nature, plants have evolved very sophisticated mechanisms to actively cope with different sorts of stresses. The various defense mechanisms are controlled by signaling molecules like salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), and ethylene, or by combinations of these signal compounds. SA accumulates locally in infected leaves, as well as in non-infected systemic leaves after infection with biotrophic pathogens and mediates the induced expression of defense genes, resulting in an enhanced state of defense known as systemic acquired resistance (SAR) [1-5]. SAR is a long-lasting broad-spectrum resistance against a variety of pathogenic fungi, bacteria and viruses [6,7]. Also exogenous application of SA results in induced expression of defense related genes [8,9]. Among the genes that are induced during SAR is a set of genes collectively known as PR (pathogenesis-related) genes, with members encoding anti-fungal β-1,3-glucanases (PR-2), chitinases (PR-3, PR-4) and PR-1, which are often used as molecular markers for SAR [7,9-11].

Genetic studies have revealed important components of the SA signal transduction pathway, briefly outlined as follows: After perception of pathogen attack by cytoplasmic TIR-NB-LRR receptors, several genes are involved in initiation of the defense response. One of these genes is ENHANCED DISEASE SUSCEPTIBILITY 1 (EDS1), which is probably activated after elicitor...
perception [12]. EDS1 heterodimerizes with PHYTO-LEXIN DEFICIENT 4 (PAD4) and their nuclear localization is important for subsequent steps in the signaling pathway [13,14]. Both EDS1 and PAD4 are induced by pathogen infection and SA application. Another enhanced disease susceptibility gene (EDS5) that is also situated upstream of SA biosynthesis is expressed at high levels upon pathogen infection in an EDS1- and PAD4-dependent manner [15]. The eds5 mutant plants are no longer able to accumulate high levels of SA upon pathogen infection and are unable to initiate the SAR response [16].

Biosynthesis of SA can occur via two different pathways, the pathway that synthesizes SA from phenylalanine [17], and the isochorismate pathway. Inhibition of the phenylalanine pathway still allows accumulation of SA [18,19]. An important step in the isochorismate pathway is the conversion of chorismate to isochorismate (ICS). Expression of a bacterial ICS gene in plants causes accumulation of SA, constitutive expression of PR genes and constitutive SAR [20], whereas the sid2 mutant corresponding with a defective ICS1 gene, is compromised in accumulation of SA and unable to mount SAR [16,21]. Expression of the ICS1 gene is rapidly induced after infection [21]. AVRPPHB SUSCEPTIBLE 3 (PBS3), of which the pathogen-induced expression is highly correlated with expression of ICS1, is acting downstream of SA. In the pbs3 mutant, accumulation of SA-glucoside and expression of PR-J are drastically reduced. The PBS3 gene product is a member of the auxin-responsive GH3 family of acyl-adenylate/thioester forming enzymes of which some have been shown to catalyze hormone-amino acid conjugation, like the protein encoded by the JAR1 gene that catalyzes the formation of JA-isoleucine. However, the observation that PBS3 is not active on SA, INA and chorismate leads to the hypothesis that PBS3 must be placed upstream of SA [22-24].

Although many mutants have been reported to affect SA accumulation, no direct transcriptional regulators of genes like ICS1 or PBS3 have been identified. For ICS1 the presence of many TGAC core sequences, as present in the binding sites for WRKY transcription factors, has been hypothesized to be important for transcriptional regulation of ICS1 gene expression [25]. Here we describe two WRKY transcription factors that were previously identified in our group via a bioinformatics analysis to be closely co-expressed with ICS1 and PBS3. Co-expression analyses in protoplasts showed that WRKY28 and WRKY46 positively regulated the expression of ICS1 and PBS3, respectively. In addition, the binding sites for WRKY28 in the ICS1 promoter were identified.

Our results indicate that WRKY28 and WRKY46, which themselves are both rapidly induced by pathogen elicitors [26,26], link pathogen-triggered defense gene expression to the accumulation of SA via induction of ICS1 and PBS3 gene expression.

**Results**

**WRKY28 Activates ICS1::GUS Gene Expression in Arabidopsis Protoplasts**

The co-expression analysis from van Verk et al. [28] indicated that WRKY28 and WRKY46 could play a role in regulation of ICS1 and PBS3. To verify that WRKY28 and WRKY46 can act as positive transcriptional regulators of ICS1 and/or PBS3 gene expression we performed transactivation assays in Arabidopsis protoplasts. Protoplasts were cotransfected with plasmids containing either the WRKY28 or WRKY46 coding region behind the 3SS promoter, together with a plasmid containing the GUS reporter gene cloned behind the 1 kb promoter region of ICS1 or of PBS3. As controls, the promoter::GUS fusions were cotransfected with an “empty” plasmid lacking the WRKY28 or WRKY46 coding region. The results of these transactivation assays are shown in Figure 1. ICS1 promoter-directed GUS expression is increased approximately 4-fold by WRKY28 in comparison to the empty vector control. No increase is observed after cotransfection with the WRKY46 plasmid. In the
case of PBS3 promoter-directed GUS expression, neither WRKY28 nor WRKY46 positively stimulated gene expression.

To analyze the effect of WRKY28 and WRKY46 on expression of endogenous ICS1 and PBS3 genes, Arabidopsis protoplasts were transfected with 35S::WRKY28 or 35S::WRKY46 plasmids and incubated overnight, after which total RNA was isolated for qRT-PCR analysis of the expression of the endogenous ICS1 and PBS3 genes. Often, WRKYs positively regulate their own expression [29] and therefore expression of the endogenous WRKY28 and WRKY46 genes was also investigated. The constitutive housekeeping genes Actin3, Actin7, Actin8 and β-Tubulin were used as controls. The results of the qRT-PCR analyses are shown in Figure 2. WRKY28 overexpression resulted in a 4.5 fold increase of ICS1 mRNA. This suggests the presence of WRKY28 responsive elements in the ICS1 promoter, at least part of which are present in the 1 kb fragment analyzed in Figure 1. WRKY28 did not increase expression of the PBS3 gene. Apparently, neither the 1 kb fragment of the PBS3 promoter (Figure 1) nor the full-length promoter contains WRKY28 responsive elements. Overexpression of WRKY46 had no effect on expression of the ICS1 gene, indicating that the full-length promoter of this gene does not contain WRKY46 responsive elements. However, WRKY46 overexpression resulted in a 4-fold increase of PBS3 mRNA accumulation. This suggests that the PBS3 promoter contains WRKY46 responsive elements, located more than 1 kb upstream of the transcription start site. Obviously, there is no positive effect of WRKY28 or WRKY46 on the expression of the corresponding endogenous WRKY genes, but both WRKYs did have a slightly negative effect on the expression of the endogenous WRKY28 gene.

Characterization of the WRKY28 Binding Sites in the ICS1 Promoter

WRKY proteins are generally considered to bind to the consensus W-box sequence TTGAC(C/T) [30]. The 1 kb ICS1 promoter does not contain a true W-box, although a number of TGAC core sequences is present (positions -725, -648, -460, -445 and -278). Furthermore, a WK-like box (TTTTCCA) that resembles the WK-box TTTTCCAC identified by van Verk et al. [31] is present at position -844. As a first step towards the characterization of WRKY28 binding sites in the ICS1 promoter, we prepared 30-bp promoter fragments that contained a TGAC core sequence or the WK-like box in the center. (The two inverted TGAC sequences at positions -445 and -460 were present in one 30-bp fragment.) After labeling, the fragments were assayed for their ability to bind to a purified glutathione S-transferase (GST)/WRKY28 fusion protein expressed in E. coli, using electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs). The results of EMSAs with these fragments as probes are shown in Figure 3A. The shifted band in Lane 4 indicates that the 30-bp fragment containing the two cores at -445 and -460 was bound to the GST/WRKY28 fusion protein. With none of the other WK-like or W-box core sequences a shift was observed (Figure 3A, Lanes 2, 6, 8, 10). To verify the binding specificity of the 30-bp fragment containing the TGAC cores at positions -445 and -460, competition experiments were done with 50-
250-fold excess unlabelled fragments (Figure 3B). Evidently, addition of a 250-fold excess unlabelled fragment completely outcompeted the binding to the probe (Figure 3B, Lane 4), indicating that this ICS1 promoter fragment specifically interacted with WRKY28.

We speculated that the two TGAC core sequences at -445 and -460 could be binding sites for WRKY28 and set out to further investigate which site is responsible for the observed shift. Therefore, a scanning analysis was performed with a series of annealed complementary oligonucleotide probes in which the core sequences were changed to CCGG (Figure 4B, m1, m2 and m1+2). The results of EMSAs with these fragments are shown in Figure 4A, Lanes 1 to 8. Mutation of either the core at -460 (m1) or at -445 (m2) does not abolish binding of WRKY28 to the fragment (Figure 4A, compare Lanes 2, 4 and 6). However, mutation of both cores in mutant m1+2 disrupts binding (Figure 4A, Lane 8). This suggests that both binding sites are equally important.

To further analyze the requirements for binding of WRKY28, pairwise mutations of the sequence around the core at -445 were scanned in an m1 background (Figure 4B). The results are shown in Figure 4A, Lanes 9 to 24. Mutations m2.1 and m2.4 show binding to WRKY28 (Figure 4A, Lanes 10 and 16). As expected, mutations within the core sequence completely abolished binding of WRKY28 (m2.2 and m2.3, Figure 4A, Lanes 12 and 14). Since the TGAC core at -460 has TC upstream of the core and the inverted core at -445 has a CT in this position, we checked to which extent the T or C nucleotides are important for binding. Changing CT to TC resulted in a binding of WRKY28 that was as strong as to the wild type sequence (m2.5, Figure 4A, Lane 18). Changing CT to TT significantly lowered binding (m2.6, Figure 4A, Lane 20), suggesting that the presence of a C at either position -1 or -2 from the core is important for binding WRKY28. We further analyzed the effect of mutations at positions -3/-4 and +3/+4 from the core. Pairwise mutation of nucleotides at -3/-4 did not alter the binding of WRKY28 (m2.8, Figure 4A, Lane 24), however no shift was observed when the nucleotides at +3/+4 were mutated, indicating that this flanking sequence is important for binding of WRKY28 (m2.7, Figure 4A, Lane 22).

To summarize the results of the EMSAs, Figure 5A shows the 960 bp ICS1 promoter with the characterized WRKY28 binding sites indicated against a grey background. A schematic representation of the fragments tested in EMSAs for binding WRKY28 is given in Figure 5B. Figure 5C shows the consensus binding sequence with an essential C at either the -1 or -2 position, which was generated using the program WebLogo [32] by combination of the characterized binding sites and the results of the mutational analysis of the binding site at -445.
Mutational Analysis of WRKY28-Mediated Activation of 
ICS1::GUS Gene Expression in Arabidopsis Protoplasts

The results from the transactivation assays, qRT-PCR and EMSA experiments indicate that WRKY28 plays a role in inducible ICS1 gene expression. To more directly demonstrate that the binding sites at positions -460 and -445 are involved in WRKY28 activation of ICS1 gene expression, Arabidopsis protoplasts were cotransfected with a WRKY28 expression plasmid together with a plasmid containing the GUS reporter gene cloned either behind the 960 bp wild-type ICS1 promoter or behind ICS1 promoters with the m1, m2 and m1+2 mutations as indicated in Figure 4B. Combination of m1 and m2 (m1+2) did not lower GUS expression more than the single mutations (Figure 6). This result supports the notion that WRKY28 activates ICS1 expression through specific binding sites in the promoter at -445 and -460 bp upstream of the transcription start site.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Analysis

The transactivation experiments in protoplasts and the in vitro binding studies described above support a role for WRKY28 as a transcriptional activator of ICS1. To check if WRKY28 is able to bind to the ICS1 promoter in vivo, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were set up using Arabidopsis protoplasts, as described by [33]. The WRKY28 coding sequence was fused to a haemagglutinin (HA) tag and expressed in Arabidopsis.
protoplasts. The resulting WRKY28-HA fusion protein was able to induce GUS expression when cotransfected with an ICS1 promoter::GUS construct, indicating that the HA tag did not interfere with WRKY28’s functionality (Results not shown).

For ChIP analysis WRKY28-HA or unfused HA were expressed in protoplasts. After 24 h incubation, chromatin complexes were cross-linked using formaldehyde. Upon exhaustive shearing by sonication, the fragmented chromatin was incubated with monoclonal anti-HA antibodies overnight, after which immunoprecipitated complexes were captured using magnetic protein G beads. DNA eluted from the beads was analyzed by qPCR with primer sets corresponding to overlapping regions of the ICS1 promoter (Figure 7A). qPCRs with primers corresponding to the coding region of PR1 and the promoter region of PDF1.2 were included as controls. The results are shown in Figure 7B. With the primer sets corresponding to PR1 and PDF1.2 no specific products were amplified, indicating that these sequences were absent from the immunoprecipitated chromatin. While no specific PCR products were amplified with primer sets A, B, D, E and F, it is evident that the region corresponding to the ICS1 promoter bordered by primers C was highly enriched in the immunoprecipitated chromatin from the WRKY28-HA transfected protoplasts (25-fold in comparison to the control). This region contains the two WRKY28 binding sites at -445 and -460 upstream of the transcription start site.

Figure 5 Summary of Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays with WRKY28. The indentified WRKY28 binding sites are indicated against a grey background in the sequence of the 960 bp ICS1 promoter (A). Schematic representation of the ICS1 promoter fragments analyzed by EMSA (B). Plus-signs in the right column indicate fragments that produced band shifts; minus-signs, fragments that did not produce a band shift. The position of the WK-like sequence or TGAC core sequences is indicated by vertical lines. Consensus WRKY28 binding sequence deduced from the EMSAs (C).
Discussion

WRKY28 and WRKY46 Activate Expression of ICS1 and PBS3, Respectively

Our in silico co-expression analysis of Arabidopsis transcription factor genes and genes involved in stress signaling suggested many putative new components of the signal transduction pathways [28]. Among the genes resulting from this screening were two encoding WRKY transcription factors linked to genes involved in SA metabolism. The gene encoding the WRKY type II member WRKY28 was found to be closely co-regulated with the ICS1 gene involved in SA biosynthesis, whereas the type III WRKY46 gene linked to PBS3. Based on this finding we decided to investigate the effects of these WRKYS on transcriptional activation of ICS1 and PBS3. Indeed, overexpression of WRKY28 in Arabidopsis protoplasts led to enhanced GUS activity from a co-expressed GUS reporter gene under control of a 1 kb ICS1 promoter, and also expression of the endogenous ICS1 gene was increased (Figures 1 and 2). Likewise, overexpression of WRKY46 resulted in increased accumulation of PBS3 mRNA, supporting the notion that...
WRKY46 is a transcriptional activator of PBS3 (Figure 2). GUS activity was not enhanced from a co-expressed 1 kb PBS3 promoter::GUS gene. This suggests that WRKY46 may activate the PBS3 gene by binding at a position in the promoter further upstream than 1 kb. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the 1 kb promoter used for the construction of the reporter construct and which was derived from curated genome sequence data by The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR), is not the actual PBS3 promoter. A detailed analysis of the region upstream of the coding sequence in the Arabidopsis genome shows that the intron of almost 1 kb suggested to be present in the 5′-UTR of PBS3 contains several putative binding sites for transcription factors like WRKYs and TGAs. It will be interesting to investigate if the suggested “intron” is the actual PBS3 promoter.

Functional analysis that would further support the important role of WRKY28 in ICS1 gene expression were hampered by the lack of WRKY28 knock-out mutants or T-DNA insertion lines, while our efforts to achieve silencing of WRKY28 through Agrobacterium-mediated transformation with pHANNIBAL constructs via flower dip only resulted in seedlings that died shortly after germination. These findings suggest that WRKY28 also plays an essential role during early plant development.

DNA Binding Site of WRKY28

Several studies on DNA binding characteristics of WRKY transcription factors have led to the generally accepted consensus binding sequence TTGAC[C/T], commonly referred to as the W-box [25,30,34-39]. Recently, we identified a variant binding site for the tobacco NtWRKY12 transcription factor [31]. NtWRKY12 binds to a WK-box (T TT TCCAC), which deviates significantly from the W-box consensus sequence.

In this study we have characterized two sites in the ICS1 promoter that have a high affinity for WRKY28. The consensus WRKY28 binding site that emerged from this analysis has some characteristics that differ from the W-box consensus (Figure 5C). We found that, unlike the consensus W-box, a C may be present at position -1 in front of the TGAC core, and although a T is also allowed at -1, a C is then required at -2. Similarly, for the sequence after the core, in one of the binding sites an A is present at +1, which in the W-box is usually either a C or a T.

To disable binding of WRKY28 to the 30-bp EMSA probe harboring the binding sites at -460 and -445, mutation of both these sites was necessary. With only one site intact, binding was still possible (Figure 4A, Lanes 4 and 6). Nevertheless, with the 1 kb promoter, mutation of only one of the sites had a severe effect on reporter gene expression and expression was not further reduced when both sites were mutated. Apparently, for transcriptional activation both sites are required. Possibly, activation requires that WRKY28 binds as a dimer, similar to WRKYs 18, 40 and 60, which were found to form functionally relevant homo- and heterodimers [40].

The transactivation experiments also showed that mutation of the sites at -460 (m1) and -445 (m2) did not completely knock out reporter gene expression. In comparison to the GUS activity obtained with the wild type construct, approximately 20% remained. Furthermore, the reduction in basal expression levels seen with the mutant ICS1 promoters in the absence of overexpressed WRKY28 indicates that also endogenous factors binding to the sites at -460 and -445 contribute to the expression level. qRT-PCR has shown that the WRKY28 gene is much higher expressed in protoplasts than in suspension cells from which the protoplasts were made (Results not shown), suggesting that possibly these factors include endogenous WRKY28. Besides the direct activation of ICS1 gene expression, WRKY28 might also indirectly effect the ICS1 gene via transcriptional activation of genes encoding other transcription factors acting on the ICS1 promoter. Moreover, the residual GUS expression remaining with the m1, m2 and m1+2 mutant promoters could indicate that other sites in the ICS1 promoter are still able to bind WRKY28, although the existence of such sites was not supported by the results of the ChIP analysis.

Conclusions

Integrated Model for Regulation of SA Biosynthesis by WRKY28 and WRKY46

The combined results of the work described here, lead us to propose the following model for the induction of SA biosynthesis upon pathogen attack. Induction of the basal defense response starts with the detection of a pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP), like in the case of flagellin, which is perceived by the FLS receptor. The activated FLS receptor triggers a MAP kinase cascade (MAPKKK/MEKK1?, MKK4/5, MPK3/6), which leads to transcriptional activation of the WRKY28 gene [26]. Transcription factor WRKY28 subsequently activates directly, and likely also indirectly via yet unknown transcription factors, expression of the ICS1 gene, through binding the promoter at the two binding sites at -460 and -445 and possibly at other sites, resulting in synthesis of ICS that catalyzes SA production. How the activated MAP kinase induces WRKY28 gene expression remains a matter of speculation. The activated MAPK could activate an as of yet unknown transcription factor on standby or release one from a repressor complex, or it may function itself as activator of WRKY28 expression.
Less is known about the role of the product of the PBS3 gene. It is rapidly induced in plants recognizing pathogens carrying virulence factors, like in the case of Pseudomonas syringae containing AVR4 [27]. A function in SA metabolism has been suggested based on its effect on SA-glucoside accumulation and its similarity to phytohormone-amino acylases [22,23]. PBS3 gene expression is repressed by high levels of SA, indicating that it is more likely that PBS3 functions early in the defense response before SA levels start to rise [24]. Similarly, WRKY46 expression is rapidly induced upon infection and our finding that it enhances PBS3 gene expression suggests an early role in R-gene-mediated defense. Figure 8 shows the placement of the two WRKYs in the SA-signaling pathways.

Methods

Protoplast Preparation, Transfection and Analysis

For transactivation and qRT-PCR experiments, protoplasts were prepared from cell suspensions of Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Col-0, according to van Verk et al. [31].

For transactivation experiments protoplasts were co-transfected with 2 μg of plasmids carrying reporter gene

Figure 8 Model for regulation of SA biosynthesis by WRKY28 and WRKY46. Upon infection with a pathogen expressing flagellin (Flg22) or avirulence genes (RPP2/4 or AVR4), WRKY28 or WRKY46 are rapidly induced. Activation of FLS2 receptor by Flg22 results in activation of a MAPK cascade, which leads to induction of WRKY28 expression, which subsequently activates directly and likely also indirectly via yet unknown transcription factors (?), ICS1 gene expression leading to SA production. Avirulence factors like AVR4 trigger SA production through a pathway involving genes PAD4, EDS1, CPR1/5/6, EDS5 and ICS1. WRKY46 is rapidly synthesized and either directly or indirectly positively regulates PBS3 gene expression, having a positive influence on SA metabolism.
forms with pGEX-KG constructs containing the open (dI-dC) x poly(dIdC) (Promega) in a total volume of 14 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM DTT, 250 μM chromatinography (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech). unincorporated label was removed by Autoseq G-50 col-
tation File 1. The reactions were analyzed using a BioRad Chromo4 μl reaction.

Electrophoretic Shift Assays
Protein for EMSAs was purified from E. coli transformed with pGEX-KG constructs containing the open reading frame of WRKY28 cloned in frame behind the GST open reading frame, according to van Verk et al. [31].

EMSAs were performed essentially as described by Green et al. [42]. DNA probes for the EMSA assays were obtained by slowly cooling down mixtures of equi-
molar amounts of complementary oligonucleotides with a 5′-GGG overhangs from 95°C to room temperature. Annealed oligonucleotides were subsequently end-filled using Klenow fragment and [α-32P]-dCTP, after which unincorporated label was removed by Autoseq G-50 column chromatography (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech). EMSA reaction mixtures contained 0.5 μg purified protein, 3 μL 5× gel shift binding buffer [20% glycerol, 5 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM DTT, 250 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.25 mg mL⁻¹ poly (dl-dC) x poly(dIdC) (Promega)] in a total volume of 14 μL. After 10-min incubation at room temperature, 1 μL containing 30,000 cpm of labeled probe, representing approximately 0.01 pmol, was added and incubation was continued for 20 min at room temperature. Fifty- and 250-fold molar excess of unlabelled annealed oligonucleotides were added insome reactions as competitor.

The total mixtures were loaded onto a 5% polyacryla-
mide gel in Tris-borate buffer and electrophoresed. After electrophoresis, the gel was dried, autoradiographed, and analyzed using X-ray film.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation
For ChIP assays, protoplasts were prepared as described above and transfected with 6 μg of 35S::WRKY28-HA or 35S::HA constructs in plasmid pRT101. After 24 h, protoplasts were harvested and ChIP assays were conducted as described by [33], with minor modifications. After formaldehyde fixation, the chromatin of the protoplasts was isolated and extensively sheared by sonication to obtain fragment sizes between 300–400 bp. Rat anti-HA monoclonal antibodies (clone 3F10, Roche) and

The total mixtures were loaded onto a 5% polyacryla-
mide gel in Tris-borate buffer and electrophoresed. After electrophoresis, the gel was dried, autoradiographed, and analyzed using X-ray film.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation
For ChIP assays, protoplasts were prepared as described above and transfected with 6 μg of 35S::WRKY28-HA or 35S::HA constructs in plasmid pRT101. After 24 h, pro-
toplasts were harvested and ChIP assays were conducted as described by [33], with minor modifications. After formaldehyde fixation, the chromatin of the protoplasts was isolated and extensively sheared by sonication to obtain fragment sizes between 300–400 bp. Rat anti-HA monoclonal antibodies (clone 3F10, Roche) and

| Table 1 Oligonucleotides used for qRT-PCR and ChIPqPCR analysis |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| qPCR-Actn 3     | 5′-CCCTCATGCCACCTCCCGTCT-3′ |
| qPCR-Actn 7     | 5′-AGGTGTCGTACACCGTTGTG-3′ |
| qPCR-Actn 8     | 5′-AGGGAGAGGTCTTCTAACC-3′ |
| qPCR-β-Tubulin  | 5′-GGGAAAGGTCAGTAAAGAC-3′ |
| qPCR-ICS1       | 5′-GGGACAGTGATCCTGATCGAATC-3′ |
| qPCR-PBS3       | 5′-CGTGAGCTGTCACTCGATCTCT-3′ |
| qPCR-WRK28      | 5′-CAAGAGCTTGGATCTACGATG-3′ |
| qPCR-WRK46      | 5′-CAAGCAGACTTGCTTCTCTC-3′ |
| ChIP-A          | 5′-GTCGAAGCTCCGAGAGACCGAAGTCTG-3′ |
| ChIP-B          | 5′-GTCGAAGCTCCGAGAGACCGAAGTCTG-3′ |
| ChIP-C          | 5′-GTCGAAGCTCCGAGAGACCGAAGTCTG-3′ |
| ChIP-D          | 5′-GTCGAAGCTCCGAGAGACCGAAGTCTG-3′ |
| ChIP-E          | 5′-GTCGAAGCTCCGAGAGACCGAAGTCTG-3′ |
| ChIP-F          | 5′-GTCGAAGCTCCGAGAGACCGAAGTCTG-3′ |
| ChIP-PR1        | 5′-TGTTGGATGGTCCTTCTC-3′ |
Dynabeads Protein G magnetic beads (Invitrogen) were used to immunoprecipitate the genomic fragments. qPCRs were performed on the immunoprecipitated DNA using primer sets corresponding to six overlapping regions of the ICS1 promoter as shown in Figure 8A, and were corrected for their individual PCR amplification efficiencies. qPCRs with primers specific for the coding region of the PRI1 gene and the promoter of PDF1.2 gene of Arabidopsis were used as controls. The primers used for the ChIP assays are listed in Table 1.

Additional material
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