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Abstract

In this paper we refine a version of bivariant $K$-theory developed by Cuntz to define symmetric spectra representing the $KK$-theory of $C^*$-categories and discrete groupoid $C^*$-algebras. In both cases, the Kasparov product can be expressed as a smash product of spectra.

1 Introduction

In [4], J.Cuntz developed $KK$-theory for locally convex algebras in order to look at versions of the Chern character for bivariant theories. This approach, using the thesis of A.B.Thom, was simplified in [2]. In an unpublished preprint, M.Joachim and S.Stolz have used Cuntz’s approach to $KK$-theory to define symmetric $KK$-theory spectra for $C^*$-algebras.
The purpose of this article is to go in a slightly different direction with the $KK$-theory machinery by looking at the $KK$-theory of $C^*$-categories and of discrete groupoid $C^*$-algebras. In both of these cases, the theory can naturally be expressed in terms of symmetric spectra, and the Kasparov product can be realised at the level of spectra.

Thus, for $C^*$-categories $A$ and $B$ (or as a special case $C^*$-algebras), we have a symmetric spectrum $\text{KK}(A, B)$ representing $KK$-theory. If we are working over the complex numbers, this spectrum is a symmetric $\text{KK}(C, C)$-module spectrum. Over the real numbers, we have a $\text{KK}(R, R)$-module spectrum. In the special case that $A = B$, the spectrum $\text{KK}(A, A)$ is a symmetric ring spectrum.

Similar results hold in the equivariant case. To be precise, if $G$ is a discrete groupoid (or as a special case, a discrete group), and $A$ and $B$ are $G$-$C^*$-algebras, then we have a symmetric spectrum $\text{KK}_G(A, B)$ representing equivariant $KK$-theory. This spectrum is a symmetric $\text{KK}_G(C, C)$-module spectrum in the complex case, and a symmetric $\text{KK}_G(R, R)$-module spectrum in the real case. The spectrum $\text{KK}_G(A, A)$ is a symmetric ring spectrum.

There are several potential applications of the new machinery. The constructions in this article are both simpler and have more structure than the $KK$-theory spectra constructed in \cite{15, 16}, where $KK$-theory spectra for $C^*$-categories are developed in order to examine analytic assembly maps. The extra structure present should be useful when homotopy-theoretic arguments involving $KK$-theory are applied, for example (see \cite{17}) in the proof that the Baum-Connes conjecture implies the stable Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg conjecture.

## 2 $C^*$-categories

Let $F$ denote either the field of real numbers or the field of complex numbers. Recall (see for example \cite{12}) that a small category $\mathcal{A}$ is called an unital algebroid (over the field $F$) if each morphism set $\text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{A}$ is a vector space over the field $F$ and composition of morphisms is bilinear.

An involution on a unital algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ is a collection of maps

$$\text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(b, a)_\mathcal{A}$$

written $x \mapsto x^*$ such that:

- $(\alpha x + \beta y)^* = \overline{\alpha} x^* + \overline{\beta} y^*$ for all scalars $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and morphisms $x, y \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{A}$.
- $(xy)^* = y^* x^*$ for all composable morphisms $x$ and $y$.
- $(x^*)^* = x$ for every morphism $x$.

Given unital algebroids with involution, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, we call a functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a $*$-functor if each map $F: \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F(a), F(b))_\mathcal{B}$ is linear, and $F(x^*) = F(x)^*$ for each morphism $x$ in the category $\mathcal{A}$. 
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A non-unital algebroid with involution is a collection of objects, morphisms, and maps similar to the above, except that there need not exist identity morphisms \(1 \in \text{Hom}(a, a)_A\). Thus, a non-unital algebroid with involution is no longer a category, but rather a slightly more general object which could be termed a non-unital category. We can similarly define \(\ast\)-functors between non-unital algebroids with involution.

In general, when we talk about \(\ast\)-algebroids and \(\ast\)-functors, we need to allow the possibility that they may be non-unital.

**Definition 2.1** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) be an algebroid with involution. Then we call \(\mathcal{A}\) a pre-\(C^\ast\)-category if each morphism set is a normed vector space and the following three axioms hold:

- Let \(x\) and \(y\) be composable morphisms in \(\mathcal{A}\). Then \(\|xy\| \leq \|x\|\|y\|\).
- Let \(x \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_A\). Then the product \(x^*x\) is a positive element of the algebra \(\text{Hom}(a, a)_A\).
- The \(C^\ast\)-identity \(\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2\) holds for any morphism, \(x\), in the category \(\mathcal{A}\).

A collection of norms on the morphism sets of an algebroid with involution that turns it into a pre-\(C^\ast\)-category is called a \(C^\ast\)-norm. There is a corresponding definition of a \(C^\ast\)-seminorm. A pre-\(C^\ast\)-category is called a \(C^\ast\)-category if every morphism set is complete.

In the above definition, a pre-\(C^\ast\)-category or \(C^\ast\)-category could be non-unital (and thus no longer, strictly speaking, a category). Such \(C^\ast\)-categories are referred to in [10] as \(C^\ast\)-categorioids; we will not use this terminology here.

A \(C^\ast\)-algebra can be regarded as a \(C^\ast\)-category with only one object. Conversely, \(C^\ast\)-categories and \(\ast\)-functors have a number of useful properties similar to those of \(C^\ast\)-algebras and \(\ast\)-homomorphisms. For example, we have the following.

**Proposition 2.2** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) and \(\mathcal{B}\) be \(C^\ast\)-categories. Let \(F: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}\) be a \(\ast\)-functor. Then:

- Each map \(F: \text{Hom}(a, b)_A \to \text{Hom}(F(a), F(b))_B\) is norm-decreasing.
- Each map \(F: \text{Hom}(a, b)_A \to \text{Hom}(F(a), F(b))_B\) has closed image.
- Suppose that the \(\ast\)-functor \(F\) is faithful. Then each map \(F: \text{Hom}(a, b)_A \to \text{Hom}(F(a), F(b))_B\) is an isometry.

Perhaps the most important elementary result on \(C^\ast\)-categories is the following; see [6] or [14] for further details.

\[\text{That is to say the spectrum is a subset of the positive real numbers.}\]
Theorem 2.3 Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( C^\ast \)-category. Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be the \( C^\ast \)-category of all Hilbert spaces and bounded linear maps. Then there exists a faithful \( \ast \)-functor \( \rho: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L} \).

\[
\text{A \( \ast \)-functor \( \rho: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L} \) is called a representation of \( \mathcal{A} \). We write \( \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2) \) to denote the Banach space of all bounded linear maps from a Hilbert space \( H_1 \) to a Hilbert space \( H_2 \).}
\]

Although most of the time, we will be looking at \( \ast \)-functors between \( C^\ast \)-categories, occasionally we need a slightly weaker notion.

Definition 2.4 Let \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) be \( C^\ast \)-categories. Then a completely positive map \( q: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \) consists of a map \( q: \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}) \to \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B}) \) along with a collection of linear maps \( q: \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{A} \to \text{Hom}(q(a), q(b))_\mathcal{B} \) such that the sum
\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^n y_i^* q(x_i^* x_j) y_j
\]
is a positive element of the \( C^\ast \)-algebra \( \text{Hom}(q(a), q(a))_\mathcal{B} \) for all morphisms \( x_i \in \text{Hom}(b, c)_\mathcal{A} \) and \( y_j \in \text{Hom}(q(a), q(b))_\mathcal{B} \).

Any \( \ast \)-functor is clearly a completely positive map. However, in general, a completely positive map is not even a functor.

We have a version of Stinespring’s theorem (see for example chapter 3 of [7]) in the world of \( C^\ast \)-categories.

Theorem 2.5 Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a unital \( C^\ast \)-category, and for each object \( a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}) \), let \( H_a \) be an associated Hilbert space.

Then a set of unit-preserving linear maps \( q: \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L}(H_a, H_b) \) is completely positive if and only if we have:

- A unital representation \( \rho: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L} \).
- A Hilbert space isometry \( V_a: H_a \to \rho(a) \) for each object \( a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}) \).

such that \( q(x) = V_a^* \rho(x)V_a \) for all \( x \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{A} \).

Proof: Suppose we have a representation \( \rho \) and isometries \( V_a \) as described above. Let \( x_i \in \text{Hom}(b, c)_\mathcal{A} \) and \( y_j \in \mathcal{L}(H_a, H_b) \). Then
\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^n y_i^* q(x_i^* x_j) y_j = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i V_b y_i \right)^* \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i V_b y_i \right)
\]
so the set of maps \( q \) forms a completely positive map.

\[\text{Strictly speaking, the category \( \mathcal{L} \) is not a \( C^\ast \)-category since it is not small. This problem does not matter to us since we will not be doing constructions directly involving the category \( \mathcal{L} \); we can always pick a small full subcategory.}\]
Conversely, let $q$ be completely positive. On the algebraic tensor product of vector spaces $\otimes_{a \in Ob(A)} \text{Hom}(a, b) \otimes H_b$, define a sesquilinear form by the formula

$$\langle \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes v_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j \otimes w_j \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} (v_i, q(x_i^* y_j) w_j)$$

Since $q$ is completely positive, the above sesquilinear form is positive definite. We can therefore take the quotient by the set of tensors $\eta$ such that $\langle \eta, \eta \rangle = 0$ to form an inner product space, and complete to form a Hilbert space $\tilde{H}_a$. Let us write $[x \otimes v]$ to denote the equivalence class in this space of a tensor $x \otimes v$.

Define a representation $\rho: A \to \mathcal{L}$ by writing $\rho(a) = \tilde{H}_a$ for each object $a \in Ob(A)$, and

$$\rho(x)[y \otimes v] = [xy \otimes v]$$

where $x \in \text{Hom}(b, c)_A$, $y \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_A$, and $v \in H_b$.

Define a Hilbert space isometry $V_b: H_b \to \tilde{H}_b$ by the formula $V_b(v) = [1_b \otimes v]$. Observe that, for $x \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_A$ and $v \in H_a$, we have

$$\rho(x)V_b(v) = [x \otimes v]$$

and, for $w \in H_b$:

$$\langle w, q(x)v \rangle = \langle [x \otimes v], [1 \otimes w] \rangle$$

Hence $V_b^*[x \otimes v] = q(x)v$. We see that

$$V_b^* \rho(x)V_a = q(x)$$

and we are done.

\[ \square \]

3 Short Exact Sequences and Tensor Products

**Definition 3.1** A sequence

$$I \overset{i}{\to} E \overset{j}{\to} B$$

of $C^*$-categories and $*$-functors is termed a short exact sequence if:

- The categories $I$, $E$, and $B$ have the same set of objects, and the functors $i$ and $j$ act as the identity map on the set of objects.

- Each sequence of vector spaces

$$0 \to \text{Hom}(a, b)_I \overset{i}{\to} \text{Hom}(a, b)_E \overset{j}{\to} \text{Hom}(a, b)_B \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence.
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We will generally write
\[ 0 \to I \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{j} B \to 0 \]
when we have a short exact sequence. A short exact sequence is termed *split exact* if it comes equipped with a \(*\)-functor \( s: B \to E \) such that \( j \circ s = 1_B \). We term the short exact sequence *semi-split* if there is a norm-decreasing completely positive map \( q: A \to B \) such that \( q \circ s = 1_{\text{Hom}(a,b)_B} \).

The above \(*\)-functor or completely positive map \( s: B \to E \) is called a splitting in the first case, and a *completely positive splitting* in the second case.

**Definition 3.2** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a C*-category. Then we define the category \( \mathcal{A}[0,1] \) to be the C*-category with the same set of objects as \( \mathcal{A} \), where the morphism set \( \text{Hom}(a,b)_{\mathcal{A}[0,1]} \) consists of all continuous functions \( f: [0,1] \to \text{Hom}(a,b)_{\mathcal{A}} \).

The norm on the space \( \text{Hom}(a,b)_{\mathcal{A}[0,1]} \) is the supremum norm.

We define the *cone*, \( \Sigma \mathcal{A} \), to be the subcategory of \( \mathcal{A}[0,1] \) with the same set of objects, and morphism sets
\[
\text{Hom}(a,b)_{\Sigma \mathcal{A}} = \{ f \in \text{Hom}(a,b)_{\mathcal{A}[0,1]} \mid f(0) = 0 \}
\]

The *suspension*, \( \Sigma \mathcal{A} \) is the subcategory of \( \Sigma \mathcal{A} \) with the same set of objects, and morphism sets
\[
\text{Hom}(a,b)_{\Sigma \mathcal{A}} = \{ f \in \text{Hom}(a,b)_{\Sigma \mathcal{A}} \mid f(1) = 0 \}
\]

We have a canonical inclusion \(*\)-functor \( i: \Sigma \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \). There is also a \(*\)-functor \( j: \mathcal{C} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \), defined to be the identity on the set of objects, and by the formula \( j(f) = f(1) \) for each morphism \( f \in \text{Hom}(a,b)_{\Sigma \mathcal{A}} \). It is easy to check that we have a short exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \Sigma \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \to 0 \]

The above short exact sequence is semi-split; we have a completely positive splitting \( s: \mathcal{A} \to \Sigma \mathcal{A} \), defined to be the identity the set of objects, and by the formula
\[ s(x)(t) = tx \quad t \in [0,1], \ x \in \text{Hom}(a,b)_{\mathcal{A}} \]

Further, the above semi-split short exact sequence is natural in the sense that the assignments \( \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{C} \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{A} \mapsto \Sigma \mathcal{A} \) are \(*\)-functors depending functorially on the C*-category \( \mathcal{A} \), and the maps \( i, j, \text{and} \ s \) are natural transformations. Given a \(*\)-functor \( \alpha: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \), we write \( \Sigma \alpha: \Sigma \mathcal{A} \to \Sigma \mathcal{B} \) to denote the induced \(*\)-functor.

**Definition 3.3** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) be C*-categories. Then we define the *algebraic tensor product* \( \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \) to be the category with the set of objects
\[
\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) = \{ a \otimes b \mid a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}), b \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B}) \}
\]

where the morphism set \( \text{Hom}(a \otimes b, a' \otimes b')_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}} \) is the algebraic tensor product of vector spaces \( \text{Hom}(a,b)_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \text{Hom}(a',b')_{\mathcal{B}} \).
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By theorem 2.3 we can find faithful \(\ast\)-functors \(\rho_A: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L}\) and \(\rho_B: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L}\). By considering the tensor product of Hilbert spaces, we obtain a \(\ast\)-functor \(\rho_A \otimes \rho_B: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{L}\). We define the spatial tensor product, \(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}\), of the \(C^*\)-categories \(\mathcal{A}\) and \(\mathcal{B}\) to be the completion of the algebraic tensor product with respect to the norm \(\|u\| := \|\rho_A \otimes \rho_B(u)\|\).

The spatial tensor product is well-defined, and does not depend on the choice of faithful representation; for more details, see [14].

For any \(C^*\)-category \(\mathcal{A}\), the tensor product \(C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A}\) is naturally isomorphic to the category \(\mathcal{A}[0, 1]\). The proof is the same as that of the corresponding result for \(C^*\)-algebras; see for example appendix T of [18]. The following result for cones and suspensions follows.

**Proposition 3.4** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) and \(\mathcal{B}\) be \(C^*\)-categories. Then we have natural isomorphisms

\[
C(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \cong \mathcal{A} \otimes CB \cong (C\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}
\]

and

\[
\Sigma(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \cong \mathcal{A} \otimes \Sigma \mathcal{B} \cong (\Sigma \mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}
\]

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.5** Let \(q: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}\) be a completely positive map, and let \(\mathcal{C}\) be a \(C^*\)-category. Then the obvious map

\(q \otimes 1: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}\)

is completely positive.

**Proof:** By choosing representations and adjoining units if necessary, we can assume that \(\mathcal{B}\) and \(\mathcal{C}\) are unital subcategories of \(\mathcal{L}\), and the completely positive map \(q\) is unit-preserving.

Let \(a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})\), and write \(q(a) = H_a\). Then by Stinespring’s theorem, we have a unital *-functor \(\rho: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L}\), and Hilbert space isometries \(V_a: H_a \to \rho(a)\) such that

\[q(x) = V^*_a \rho(x) V_a\]

for all \(x \in \text{Hom}(a, a'), \mathcal{A}\).

Consider a Hilbert space \(H_c \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})\). Then we have a unital *-functor \(\hat{\rho}: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{L}\) defined by writing

\[\hat{\rho}(a \otimes H_c) \quad a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}), \ H_c \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})\]

and

\[\hat{\rho}(x \otimes y) = \rho(x) \otimes y \quad x \in \text{Hom}(a, a') \mathcal{A}, \ y \in \text{Hom}(H_c, H_c') \mathcal{C}\]

Define isometries \(\hat{V}_{a \otimes H_c}: H_a \otimes H_c \to \rho(a) \otimes H_c\) by the formula

\[\hat{V}_{a \otimes H_c}(v \otimes w) = V_a(v) \otimes w\]

The proof works for any sensible tensor product of \(C^*\)-algebras since the \(C^*\)-algebra \(C[0, 1]\) is commutative and therefore nuclear.
Then we have, for \( x \in \text{Hom}(a, a')_A \) and \( y \in \text{Hom}(H_c, H'_c)_C \)

\[
\tilde{V}_{a',H'_c}^* \tilde{\rho}(x \otimes y) V_{a,H_c} = q(x) \otimes y
\]

Therefore, by Stinespring’s theorem, the collection \( q \otimes 1 \) is a completely positive map, as required.

Note that the above proof relies on the fact that we are using the spatial tensor product.

**Theorem 3.6** Let

\[
0 \to I \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{j} B \to 0
\]

be a semi-split exact sequence. Let \( C \) be any \( C^* \)-category. Then we have a semi-split exact sequence

\[
0 \to I \otimes C \xrightarrow{i \otimes 1} E \otimes C \xrightarrow{j \otimes 1} B \otimes C \to 0
\]

**Proof:** Let \( q: B \to E \) be a completely positive map such that \( j \circ q = 1_B \). Then by the above lemma, we have a completely positive map \( q \otimes 1: B \otimes C \to E \otimes C \) such that \((j \otimes 1)(q \otimes 1) = 1_{B \otimes C}\).

The map \( i \otimes 1 \) is certainly injective, and by the above, the map \( j \otimes 1 \) is surjective. We know that \( \text{im } i = \ker j \). Hence \( ij = 0 \), and \((i \otimes 1)(j \otimes 1) = 0 \). It follows that \( \text{im } (i \otimes 1) \subseteq \ker (j \otimes 1) \).

Again using the fact that \( \text{im } i = \ker j \), we see that each morphism set of the image \( \text{im } (i \otimes 1) \) is a dense subset of the corresponding morphism set of the \( C^* \)-category \( \ker (j \otimes 1) \). But \( i \otimes 1 \) is a \(*\)-functor, so by proposition 2.21 each morphism set of the image \( \text{im } (i \otimes 1) \) is closed. It follows that \( \text{im } (i \otimes 1) = \ker (j \otimes 1) \), and the sequence

\[
0 \to I \otimes C \xrightarrow{i \otimes 1} E \otimes C \xrightarrow{j \otimes 1} B \otimes C \to 0
\]

is exact. The equation \((j \otimes 1)(q \otimes 1) = 1_{B \otimes C}\) now tells us that the above short exact sequence is semi-split, as required.

**Definition 3.7** Let \( A \) be a \( C^* \)-category. Given objects \( a, b \in \text{Ob}(A) \), let us define

\[
\text{Hom}(a, b)_A^{(k+1)} = \bigoplus_{c_i \in \text{Ob}(A)} \text{Hom}(a, c_1) \circ \text{Hom}(c_1, c_2) \circ \cdots \circ \text{Hom}(c_k, b)
\]

The tensor algebroid, \( T_{\text{alg}} A \), is the algebroid with the same set of objects as the \( C^* \)-category \( A \) and morphism sets

\[
\text{Hom}(a, b)_{T_{\text{alg}} A} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Hom}(a, b)_A^{(k+1)}
\]

Here the the space \( \text{Hom}(a, b)_A^{(1)} \) is simply the morphism set \( \text{Hom}(a, b)_A \). Composition of morphisms in the tensor algebroid is defined by concatenation of tensors.
We have a canonical set of linear maps \( \sigma: \mathcal{A} \to T_{\text{alg}}\mathcal{A} \) defined by mapping each morphism set of the category \( \mathcal{A} \) onto the first summand. This collection of linear maps is not compatible with the composition defined in the two categories, and so does not define a functor. The following result is easy to check.

**Proposition 3.8** The tensor algebroid, \( T_{\text{alg}}\mathcal{A} \), can be equipped with a \( C^* \)-norm given by defining the norm, \( \|u\| \), of a morphism \( u \) in the tensor algebroid to be the supremum of all values \( p(\varphi(u)) \) where \( \varphi: T_{\text{alg}}\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \) is a \( * \)-functor into a \( C^* \)-category \( \mathcal{B} \) such that the composition \( \varphi \circ \sigma: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \) is completely positive and norm-decreasing, and \( p \) is a \( C^* \)-seminorm on the \( C^* \)-category \( \mathcal{B} \). \( \square \)

We define the tensor \( C^* \)-category, \( T\mathcal{A} \), to be the completion of the tensor algebroid \( T_{\text{alg}}\mathcal{A} \) with respect to the above norm. Formation of the tensor \( C^* \)-category defines a functor from the category of \( C^* \)-categories and \( * \)-functors to itself.

We have a natural \( * \)-functor \( \pi: T\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \) defined to be the identity on the set of objects, and by the formula

\[
\varphi(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = x_n \cdots x_1
\]

for morphisms \( x_i \in Hom(c_i, c_{i+1}) \). It follows that there is a \( C^* \)-category \( J\mathcal{A} \) with the same objects as the category \( \mathcal{A} \), and morphism sets

\[
Hom(a, b)_{J\mathcal{A}} = \ker \pi: Hom(a, b)_{T\mathcal{A}} \to Hom(a, b)_{\mathcal{A}}
\]

This category fits into a natural short exact sequence

\[
0 \to J\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow T\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{A} \to 0
\]

Given a \( * \)-functor \( \alpha: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \), we write \( J\alpha: J\mathcal{A} \to J\mathcal{B} \) to denote the induced \( * \)-functor.

**Proposition 3.9** The above short exact sequence has a completely positive splitting \( \sigma: \mathcal{A} \to T\mathcal{A} \) defined by mapping each morphism set of the category \( \mathcal{A} \) onto the first summand.

**Proof:** It is obvious that \( \sigma \circ \pi = 1_{\mathcal{A}} \), and that the map \( \sigma \) is compatible with the involution. The result now follows by the \( C^* \)-category axiom that says that the product \( x^*x \) is positive for any morphism \( x \) in a \( C^* \)-category. \( \square \)

The following now follows from theorem 3.6.

**Corollary 3.10** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{C} \) be \( C^* \)-categories. Then we have a semi-split exact sequence

\[
0 \to (J\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{C} \to (T\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes 1} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C} \to 0
\]

\( \square \)
We refer to the following result as the universal property of the tensor $C^*$-category.

**Proposition 3.11** Let $\alpha: A \to B$ be a norm-decreasing collection of completely positive linear maps between $C^*$-categories. Then there is a unique $*$-functor $\varphi: T_A \to B$ such that $\alpha = \varphi \circ \sigma$.

**Proof:** We can define a $*$-functor $\varphi: T_{\text{alg}}A \to B$ by writing $\varphi(a) = \alpha(a)$ for each object $a \in Ob(A)$, and

$$\varphi(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = \alpha(x_n) \ldots \alpha(x_1)$$

for morphisms $x_i \in Hom(C_i, C_{i+1})$. It is easy to see that $\varphi$ is the unique $*$-functor with the property that $\alpha = \varphi \circ \sigma$.

By definition of the norm on the tensor algebroid, $T_{\text{alg}}A$, the $*$-functor $\varphi$ is norm-decreasing on each morphism set in the tensor algebroid, and therefore extends to a $*$-functor $\varphi: T_A \to B$ by continuity. \hfill $\square$

**Proposition 3.12** Let

$$0 \to I \to E \to B \to 0$$

be a semi-split short exact sequence of $C^*$-categories. Let $\alpha: A \to B$ be a $*$-functor. Then there are $*$-functors $\tau: T_A \to E$ and $\gamma: J_A \to I$ such that we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & JA & \to & TA & \to & A & \to & 0 \\
0 & \to & I & \to & E & \to & B & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
$$

**Proof:** Let $s: B \to E$ be a completely positive splitting. Then by the universal property of the tensor $C^*$-category we have a unique $*$-functor $\tau: T_A \to E$ such that $s \circ \alpha = \tau \circ \sigma$. It follows that the homomorphism $\tau$ fits into the above diagram. The homomorphism $\gamma$ is defined by restriction of $\tau$. \hfill $\square$

**Definition 3.13** The homomorphism $\gamma$ is called the classifying map of the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
A & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & I & \to & E & \to & B & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
$$

4 $C^*$-algebras associated to $C^*$-categories

In [9], Joachim defined the $K$-theory spectrum of a $C^*$-category by associating a certain $C^*$-algebra to a $C^*$-category and then defining the $K$-theory of the
\( C^* \)-category to be the \( K \)-theory of the associated \( C^* \)-algebra. In this section, we make a similar construction in order to look at \( KK \)-theory spectra. However, our \( C^* \)-algebra will be based on constructions of \( K \)-theory spectra in [13] rather than on Joachim’s \( C^* \)-algebra.

**Definition 4.1** Let \( A \) be a \( C^* \)-category. Then we define the additive completion, \( A_\oplus \), to be the algebroid in which the objects are formal sums \( a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_m \), where \( a_i \in \text{Ob}(A) \). For natural numbers \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), the morphism set \( \text{Hom}(a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_m, b_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus b_n) \) is the set of matrices

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,m} \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  x_{n,1} & \cdots & x_{n,m}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad x_{i,j} \in \text{Hom}(a_j, b_i)_A
\]

Composition of matrices is defined by matrix multiplication. The involution is defined by the formula

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,m} \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  x_{n,1} & \cdots & x_{n,m}
\end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix}
  x_{1,1}^* & \cdots & x_{n,1}^* \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  x_{1,m}^* & \cdots & x_{n,m}^*
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Given objects \( a = a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_m \) and \( b = b_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus b_n \), we define

\[ a \oplus b = a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_m \oplus b_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus b_n \]

As a special case, we define 0 to be the formal sum of no objects. We have morphism sets \( \text{Hom}(0, a)_A = \{0\} \) and \( \text{Hom}(a, 0)_A = \{0\} \) for each object \( a \in \text{Ob}(A_\oplus) \).

It is clear that the additive completion of a \( C^* \)-category is a category. Given a \( * \)-functor \( \alpha: A \to B \) where the \( C^* \)-category \( B \) is additive, there is an obvious induced additive functor \( \alpha: A_\oplus \to B \). In particular, given a faithful representation \( \rho: A \to L \), there is an induced faithful representation \( \rho_\oplus: A_\oplus \to L \).

We can define a \( C^* \)-norm on the category \( A_\oplus \) by deeming the induced representation \( \rho_\oplus \) to be an isometry. The category \( A \) is a \( C^* \)-category with respect to this norm. Further, the norm does not depend on the representation \( \rho \). Thus the additive completion is a functor from the category of \( C^* \)-categories and \( * \)-functors to the category of additive \( C^* \)-categories and additive \( * \)-functors.

Further details of this construction and proofs of the above statements can be found in [13]. The following result is easy to check.

**Proposition 4.2** Let \( A \) be a \( C^* \)-category. Then we have natural isomorphisms

\[
(\Sigma A)_\oplus \cong \Sigma (A_\oplus), \quad (J A)_\oplus \cong J (A_\oplus), \quad (C A)_\oplus \cong C (A_\oplus)
\]
Given a \( C^* \)-category \( \mathcal{A} \), we would like to define an associated \( C^* \)-algebra that, roughly speaking, carries the same information as the \( C^* \)-category \( \mathcal{A} \). The naive way to do this is to simply form the completion of the union

\[
\bigcup_{a_i \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})} \text{Hom}(a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n, a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n)_{A_{**}}
\]

with respect to the inclusions

\[
\text{Hom}(a \oplus c, a \oplus c)_{A_{**}} \to \text{Hom}(a \oplus b \oplus c, a \oplus b \oplus c)_{A_{**}}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y & 0 & z \end{pmatrix}
\]

Unfortunately, this construction is not functorial. We can, however, replace it by an equivalent functorial construction.

**Definition 4.3** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( C^* \)-category. Then we define \( \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \) to be the category in which the objects are all compositions of inclusions of the above form.

A morphism set between two inclusions has precisely one element if the inclusions are composable; otherwise, it is empty.

We define a functor, \( H_\mathcal{A} \), from the category \( \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \) to the category of \( C^* \)-algebras by associating the \( C^* \)-algebra \( \text{Hom}(a \oplus c, a \oplus c)_{A_{**}} \) to the inclusion \( \text{Hom}(a \oplus b \oplus c, a \oplus b \oplus c)_{A_{**}} \). If \( i \) and \( j \) are composable inclusions, then the one morphism in the set \( \text{Hom}(i, j)_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A}} \) is mapped to the inclusion \( i \) itself.

It is a well-known fact (see for example appendix L of [18]) that the category of \( C^* \)-algebras is closed under the formation of direct limits. The following definition therefore makes sense.

**Definition 4.4** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( C^* \)-category. Then we define the \( C^* \)-algebra \( A^H \) to be the colimit of the functor \( H_\mathcal{A} \).

By construction, the assignment \( \mathcal{A} \mapsto A^H \) is a functor from the category of \( C^* \)-categories and \(*\)-functors to the category of \( C^* \)-algebras. There is an obvious natural faithful \(*\)-functor \( H: A_{**} \to A^H \).

**Proposition 4.5** Let \( A \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra. Then the \( C^* \)-algebra \( A^H \) is naturally isomorphic to the tensor product \( A \otimes K \), where \( K \) is the \( C^* \)-algebra of compact operators on a separable Hilbert space.

**Proof:** Let \( \mathcal{O}'_\mathcal{A} \) be the full subcategory of the category \( \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \) in which the set of objects consists of all inclusions of the form

\[
x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
Then the restriction of the functor $H_A$ to the category $O'_A$ has colimit $A \otimes K$ (see for example [19], appendix L).

But the categories $O_A$ and $O'_A$ are directed systems, and the category $O'_A$ is cofinal in the category $O_A$. The result now follows. 

\begin{corollary}
Let $A$ be a $C^*$-category. Then the $C^*$-algebras $A^H$ and $(A^H)^H$ are naturally isomorphic.
\end{corollary}

\begin{proof}
By the above proposition, it suffices to show that the $C^*$-algebra $A^H$ is stable, that is to say there is a natural isomorphism $A^H \cong A^H \otimes K$.

The tensor product $A^H \otimes K$ can be viewed as the direct limit of the sequence of matrix $C^*$-algebras, $M_n(A^H)$, under the inclusions

\[ x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

By the universal property of direct limits and definition of the $C^*$-algebra $A^H$, the above $C^*$-algebra is isomorphic to the $C^*$-algebra $A^H$, and we are done.

The following result is easy to check.

\begin{proposition}
Let $A$ be a $C^*$-category. Then we have natural isomorphisms

\[
(\Sigma A)^H \cong \Sigma (A^H) \quad (JA)^H \cong J(A^H) \quad (CA)^H \cong C(A^H)
\]

\end{proposition}

Because of the above result, we will not worry about distinguishing between the $C^*$-categories $(\Sigma A)^H$ and $\Sigma (A^H)$, or between the $C^*$-categories $(JA)^H$ and $J(A^H)$.

\section{The $KK$-theory spectrum}

Recall that at the most basic level, a spectrum, $E$, is a sequence of topological spaces, $E_n$, each of which is equipped with a basepoint, together with continuous maps $\epsilon: E_n \to \Omega E_{n+1}$. The book [11] can be consulted for further details.

\begin{definition}
Let $A$ and $B$ be $C^*$-categories. Then we define $F(A, B)$ to be the space of all $*$-functors $A \to B^H$. This function space is equipped with the compact open topology.

The above metric is well-defined, since any $*$-functor between $C^*$-categories is norm-decreasing.

Let $A$ be a fixed $C^*$-category. Then the assignment $B \mapsto F(A, B)$ is a covariant functor from the category of $C^*$-categories and $*$-functors to the category of
topological spaces with basepoint. Given a fixed $C^*$-category $\mathcal{B}$, the assignment $\mathcal{A} \mapsto F(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a contravariant functor from the category of $C^*$-categories to the category of topological spaces with basepoint.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define a $C^*$-category $J^k \mathcal{A}$ by writing

$$J^0 \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \quad J^{k+1} \mathcal{A} = J(J^k \mathcal{A})$$

using the functor $J$ from section 2.

Consider a $*$-functor $\alpha: J^k \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}^H$. Then by functoriality of the construction $\mathcal{B} \mapsto \mathcal{B}^H$ and proposition 4.7, we have a semi-split exact sequence

$$0 \to \Sigma \mathcal{B}^H \to C \mathcal{B}^H \to \mathcal{B}^H \to 0$$

Therefore, by proposition 3.12, we have a functorial classifying map

$$\eta(\alpha): J^{k+1} \mathcal{A} \to \Sigma \mathcal{B}^H$$

**Definition 5.2** We define $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ to be the spectrum with sequence of spaces $(F(J^{2n} \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n \mathcal{B}))$. The structure map

$$\epsilon: F(J^{2n} \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n \mathcal{B}) \to \Omega F(J^{2n+2} \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^{n+1} \mathcal{B}) \cong F(J^{2n+2} \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^{n+2} \mathcal{B})$$

is defined by applying the above classifying map construction twice, that is to say writing $\epsilon(\alpha) = \eta(\eta(\alpha))$ whenever $\alpha \in F(J^{2n} \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n \mathcal{B})$.

We would like to be able to define certain products at the level of spectra. In order to do this, we need to have some extra structure.

The following definition comes from [8].

**Definition 5.3** A spectrum, $E$, is called a symmetric spectrum if each space $E_n$ is equipped with an action of the symmetric group $S_n$, and the iterated structure map $\epsilon^k: E_n \to \Omega^k E_{n+k}$ is $S_n \times S_k$-equivariant in the obvious sense.

**Proposition 5.4** The spectrum $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a symmetric spectrum.

**Proof:** The $C^*$-category $\Sigma^n \mathcal{B}$ can be viewed as the tensor product $C_0(0, 1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_0(0, 1) \otimes \mathcal{B}$, where there are $n$ copies of the $C^*$-algebra $C_0(0, 1)$. There is therefore a canonical action of the permutation group $S_n$ on the space $(F(J^{2n} \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n \mathcal{B}))$ defined by permuting the copies of the $C^*$-algebra $C_0(0, 1)$.

By naturality of the classifying map construction, the iterated structure map $\epsilon^k: F(J^{2n} \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n \mathcal{B}) \to \Omega^k F(J^{2n+2k} \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^{n+k} \mathcal{B})$ is $S_n \times S_k$-equivariant, and so we have a symmetric spectrum as required. \( \square \)

Let $E$, $F$, and $G$ be symmetric spectra, with spaces $E_n$, $F_n$, and $G_n$ respectively. Then there is a notion of a smash product of symmetric spectra $E \wedge F$. A collection of continuous basepoint-preserving $S_m \times S_n$-equivariant maps $E_m \wedge F_n \to G_{m+n}$ which commute with the structure maps of the spectra define a map of spectra $E \wedge F \to G$. 
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Proposition 5.5 Let \( A \) be a \( C^\ast \)-category, and let \( k \) and \( l \) be natural numbers. Then there is a natural *-functor \( s: J^k \Sigma^l A \to \Sigma^l J^k A \).

Proof: The classifying map of the diagram
\[
\Sigma A \\
\downarrow \\
0 \to \Sigma J A \to \Sigma T A \to \Sigma A \to 0
\]
is a natural *-functor \( J \Sigma A \to \Sigma J A \). The *-functor \( s \) is defined by iterating the above construction.

The following definition now makes sense by proposition 4.7 and corollary 4.6.

Definition 5.6 Let \( A, B, \) and \( C \) be \( C^\ast \)-categories. Let \( \alpha \in F(J^{2m} A, \Sigma^m B) \) and \( \beta \in F(J^{2n} B, \Sigma^n C) \). Then we define the product \( \alpha \Box \beta \) to be the composition
\[
J^{2m+2n} A \xrightarrow{\beta \Box^2 \alpha} J^{2n} \Sigma^m B^H \xrightarrow{\Sigma^m \beta^H} \Sigma^m J^{2n} B^H \xrightarrow{\Sigma^m \beta^H} \Sigma^{m+n}((C^H)^H) \cong \Sigma^{m+n} C^H
\]

Theorem 5.7 Let \( A, B, \) and \( C \) be \( C^\ast \)-categories. Then there is a natural map of spectra
\[
\mathbb{K}(A, B) \wedge \mathbb{K}(B, C) \to \mathbb{K}(A, C)
\]
defined by the formula
\[
\alpha \wedge \beta \mapsto \alpha \Box \beta \quad \alpha \in F(J^m A, B), \beta \in F(J^n B, C)
\]

Further, the above product is associative. To be precise, let \( \alpha \in F(J^m A, B), \beta \in F(J^n B, C), \) and \( \gamma \in F(J^p C, D) \). Then \( (\alpha \Box \beta) \Box \gamma = \alpha \Box (\beta \Box \gamma) \).

Proof: Our construction gives us a natural continuous \( S_m \times S_n \)-equivariant map \( F(J^{2m} A, \Sigma^m B) \wedge F(J^{2n} B, \Sigma^n C) \to F(J^{2m+2n} A, \Sigma^{m+n} C) \). Compatibility with the structure maps follows since naturality of the classifying map construction gives us a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
J^{2m+2n+2} A & \xrightarrow{J^{2n} \eta^2(\alpha)} & J^{2n+2} \Sigma^m B^H \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
J^{2m+2n+2} A & \xrightarrow{J^{2n} \eta^2(\alpha)} & J^{2n} \Sigma^m + 2 B^H \\
\end{array}
\]

where the non-trivial vertical maps come from iterating the classifying map of the diagram
\[
\Sigma B^H \\
\downarrow \\
0 \to \Sigma B^H \to CB^H \to B^H \to 0
\]

We now need to check the statement concerning associativity. Consider *-functors
\[
\alpha: J^{2m} A \to \Sigma^m B^H \quad \beta: J^{2n} B \to \Sigma^n C^H \quad \gamma: J^{2p} C \to \Sigma^p D^H
\]
Then we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
J^{2m+2n+2p}A = J^{2m+2n+2p}A \\
\downarrow \\
J^{2n+2p}B^H = J^{2n+2p}B^H \\
\downarrow \\
J^{2p}C^H \to \Sigma^m J^{2n+2p}B^H \\
\downarrow \\
\Sigma^m J^{2p+n}C^H \\
\downarrow \\
\Sigma^m J^{2p+D^H} = \Sigma^m J^{2p+D^H} \\
\downarrow \\
\Sigma^m+nJ^{2p+D^H} = \Sigma^m+nJ^{2p+D^H} \\
\downarrow \\
\Sigma^m+n+pD^H = \Sigma^m+n+pD^H
\end{array}
\]

But the column on the left is the product \((\alpha\sharp\beta)\sharp\gamma\) and the column on the right is the product \(\alpha\sharp(\beta\sharp\gamma)\) so associativity of the product follows.

By definition of our product, the following result holds.

**Proposition 5.8** Let \(\alpha: A \to B\) and \(\beta: B \to C\) be \(*\)-functors. Then \(\alpha\sharp\beta = \beta \circ \alpha\).

**Proposition 5.9** Let \(A, B,\) and \(C\) be \(C^\ast\)-categories. Then there is a map \(\Delta: KK(A, B) \to KK(A \otimes C, B \otimes C)\). This map is compatible with the product in the sense that we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
KK(A, B) \wedge KK(B, C) \to KK(A, C) \\
\downarrow \\
KK(A \otimes D, B \otimes D) \wedge KK(B \otimes D, C \otimes D) \to KK(A \otimes D, C \otimes D)
\end{array}
\]

where the horizontal maps are defined by the product, and the vertical maps are copies of the map \(\Delta\).

**Proof:** Let \(\alpha: J^{2n}A \to \Sigma^nB^H\) be a \(*\)-functor. Then, since \(\Sigma B^H = C_0(0, 1) \otimes B^H\), we have a naturally induced \(*\)-functor \(\alpha \otimes 1: (J^{2n}A) \otimes C \to \Sigma^n(B^H \otimes C)\).

There is an obvious natural \(*\)-functor \(\beta: B^H \otimes C \to (B \otimes C)^H\). By corollary \[\text{[5.10]}\] there is a short exact sequence

\[
0 \to (J.A) \otimes C \to (T.A) \otimes C \to A \otimes C \to 0
\]

with a completely positive splitting. We thus obtain a natural \(*\)-functor \(\gamma: J(A \otimes C) \to (J.A) \otimes C\) as the classifying map of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \otimes C \\
\downarrow \\
0 \to (J.A) \otimes C \to (T.A) \otimes C \to A \otimes C \to 0
\end{array}
\]

We now define the map \(\Delta\) by writing \(\Delta(\alpha) = \beta \circ (\alpha \otimes 1) \circ \gamma^n\). Compatibility with the product is easy to check. \(\square\)
6 Ring and Module Structure

A symmetric monoidal category is a category with a sensible idea of a product of objects, $\wedge$, along with a unit object $e$ equipped with isomorphisms $e \wedge X \rightarrow X$ and $X \wedge e \rightarrow X$ for any object $X$ in the category. Any standard book on category theory, for example [11], can be consulted for further details. It is proven in [8] that the category of symmetric spectra is a symmetric monoidal category. The product is the smash product of spectra. The unit is the sequence of spaces $e = (S^0, \ast, \ast, \ldots)$ where $\ast$ is the one point topological space, and $S^0$ is the disjoint union of two points. By definition of the smash product in the category of symmetric spectra, there is a unique natural isomorphism between the objects $e \wedge E$, $E \wedge e$, and $E$ for any spectrum $E$.

Definition 6.1 A symmetric ring spectrum is a monoid in the category of symmetric spectra.

To be more precise, a symmetric spectrum $R$ is called a symmetric ring spectrum if it is equipped with an associative product $\mu: R \wedge R \rightarrow R$ and a unit map $\eta: e \rightarrow R$ such that we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
e \wedge R & \xrightarrow{\eta \wedge 1} & R \wedge e \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \\
R & = & R \wedge e
\end{array}
$$

Here the central vertical map is the product $\mu$. The vertical maps on the left and right are the isomorphisms associated with the unit $e$.

Theorem 6.2 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^\ast$-category. Then the spectrum $\mathbb{KK}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ is a symmetric ring spectrum.

Proof: By theorem 5.7 we have an associative product

$$
\mu: \mathbb{KK}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \wedge \mathbb{KK}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{KK}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})
$$

Recall that the unit, $e$, is the sequence of spaces $(S^0, \ast, \ast, \ldots)$. Thus there is only one point in the 0-th space that is not a basepoint. We can define a unit map $\eta: e \rightarrow \mathbb{KK}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ by mapping the base point of the $n$-th space of the spectrum $e$ to the base point of the $n$-th space of the spectrum $\mathbb{KK}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, and mapping the point in $S^0$ which is not a basepoint to the point in the space $F(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ arising from the identity $\ast$-functor $1: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Commutativity of the diagram involving the unit follows from proposition 5.8.

The following definition comes from applying a definition for symmetric monoidal categories to the category of symmetric spectra.
Definition 6.3 Let \( R \) be a symmetric ring spectrum equipped with multiplication \( \mu \). Then a symmetric spectrum \( M \) is called a symmetric (left) \( R \)-module spectrum if it comes equipped with a multiplication \( \mu ': R \wedge M \to M \) such that we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R \wedge R \wedge M & \xrightarrow{\mu \wedge 1} & R \wedge M \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
R \wedge M & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & M 
\end{array}
\]

Here the vertical map on the left is the product \( 1 \wedge \mu' \) and the vertical map on the right is the product \( \mu' \).

Theorem 6.4 Let \( A \) and \( B \) be \( C^* \)-categories. Then the spectrum \( \mathbb{K}K(A, B) \) is a symmetric \( \mathbb{K}K(F, F) \)-module spectrum.

Proof: By theorem \( 5.7 \) and proposition \( 5.9 \) we can define a suitable product

\[
\mathbb{K}K(F, F) \wedge \mathbb{K}K(A, B) \xrightarrow{\Delta \wedge 1} \mathbb{K}K(A, A) \wedge \mathbb{K}K(A, B) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{K}K(A, B)
\]

\Box

7 The Equivariant Case

Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a discrete groupoid. We will regard \( \mathcal{G} \) as a small category in which every morphism is invertible. Taking this point of view, we define a \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra to be a functor from the category \( \mathcal{G} \) to the category of algebras and homomorphisms. Similarly (see \( 16 \)) a \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebra is a functor from the category \( \mathcal{G} \) to the category of \( C^* \)-algebras and \( * \)-homomorphisms.

Thus, if \( A \) is a \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebra, then for each object \( a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G}) \) we have a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A(a) \). A morphism \( g \in Hom(a, b)_{\mathcal{G}} \) induces a homomorphism \( g: A(a) \to A(b) \).

We can regard an ordinary \( C^* \)-algebra \( C \) as a \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebra by writing \( C(a) = C \) for each object \( a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G}) \) and saying that each morphism in the groupoid \( \mathcal{G} \) acts as the identity map.

A \( \mathcal{G} \)-equivariant map (or more simply equivariant map, when we do not need to emphasize the groupoid \( \mathcal{G} \)) between \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebras \( A \) and \( B \) is a natural transformation from the functor \( A \) to the functor \( B \). We can similarly talk about equivariant completely positive maps.

A short exact sequence of \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebras is a sequence of \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebras and equivariant maps

\[
A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C
\]

such that the sequence

\[
0 \to A(a) \xrightarrow{f} B(a) \xrightarrow{g} C(a) \to 0
\]
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is exact for each object \( a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G}) \). A splitting of a short exact sequence is defined in the obvious way, as is a completely positive splitting. As before, we refer to split exact sequences and semi-split exact sequences respectively.

To define such a KK-theory spectrum for \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebras, we need variations of the various constructions defined earlier for \( C^* \)-categories.

**Definition 7.1** Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a discrete groupoid, and let \( A \) be a \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebra. Then we define \( A[0,1] \) to be the \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebra where the algebra \( A[0,1](a) \) consists of all continuous functions \( f: [0,1] \to \text{Hom}_A(a) \). The \( \mathcal{G} \)-action is defined by the formula

\[
(\xi \cdot f)(t) = \xi(f(t)) \quad g \in \text{Hom}(a,b)_G, \quad f: [0,1] \to A(a) \quad t \in [0,1]
\]

We define the cone, \( CA \) to be the \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebra where

\[
CA(a) = \{ f \in A[0,1](a) \mid f(0) = 0 \} \quad a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G})
\]

and the \( \mathcal{G} \)-action is as defined above. The suspension, \( \Sigma A \) is defined similarly by writing

\[
\Sigma A(a) = \{ f \in CA(a) \mid f(1) = 0 \} \quad a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G})
\]

There is an obvious natural equivariant map \( i: \Sigma A \to CA \) defined by inclusion. There is also an equivariant map \( j: CA \to A \), defined by the formula \( j(f) = f(1) \), where \( f \in CA(a) \). It is easy to check that we have a short exact sequence

\[
0 \to \Sigma A \to CA \to A \to 0
\]

The above exact sequence has a natural completely positive splitting \( s: A \to CA \) defined by the formula

\[
s(x)(t) = tx \quad t \in [0,1], \quad x \in A(a)
\]

**Definition 7.2** Let \( A \) and \( B \) be \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebras. Then we define the tensor product \( A \otimes B \) to be the \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebra where \( (A \otimes B)(a) = A(a) \otimes B(a) \), and the \( \mathcal{G} \)-action is defined by writing \( g(x \otimes y) = g(x) \otimes g(y) \) whenever \( g \in \text{Hom}(a,b)_G \), \( x \in A(a) \), and \( y \in B(a) \).

We define the algebraic tensor product \( A \odot B \) to be the \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra where \( (A \odot B)(a) = A(a) \odot B(a) \), and the \( \mathcal{G} \)-action is defined as above.

We define the direct sum \( A \oplus B \) to be the \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebra where \( (A \oplus B)(a) \) is the direct sum \( A(a) \oplus B(a) \) for each object \( a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G}) \) and the \( \mathcal{G} \)-action is defined by writing \( g(x \oplus y) = g(x) \oplus g(y) \) whenever \( g \in \text{Hom}(a,b)_G \), \( x \in A(a) \), and \( y \in B(a) \).

The above tensor product of \( C^* \)-algebras can be assumed to be the spatial tensor product.
Definition 7.3 Let $A$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebra. Then we define $A^\otimes k$ to be the algebraic tensor product of $A$ with itself $k$ times. We define the equivariant algebraic tensor algebra, $T_{\text{alg}} A$, to be the completion of the iterated direct sum

$$T_{\text{alg}} A = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} A^\otimes k$$

Multiplication in the algebra $T_{\text{alg}} A$ is given by concatenation of tensors.

We have a canonical set of linear maps $\sigma: A \to T_{\text{alg}} A$ defined by mapping each element of the algebra $A$ onto the first summand. The following result is easy to check.

Proposition 7.4 The equivariant tensor algebra, $T_{\text{alg}} A$, can be equipped with a $C^*$-norm given by defining the norm, $\|u\|$, of an element $u$ in the equivariant tensor algebra to be the supremum of all values $p(\varphi(u))$ where $\varphi: T_{\text{alg}} A \to B$ is an equivariant completely positive map into a $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebra $B$ such that the composition $\varphi \circ \sigma: A \to B$ is completely positive and norm-decreasing, and $p$ is a $C^*$-seminorm on the $C^*$-algebra $B$.

We define the equivariant tensor $C^*$-algebra, $T A$, to be the completion of the equivariant tensor algebra $T_{\text{alg}} A$ with respect to the above norm. Formation of the equivariant tensor algebra defines a functor from the category of $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebras and equivariant maps to itself. Further, just as we showed in the non-equivariant case, we have a universal property.

Proposition 7.5 Let $A$ and $B$ be $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebras. Let $\alpha: A \to B$ be a completely positive equivariant map. Then there is a unique equivariant map $\varphi: T A \to B$ such that $\alpha = \varphi \circ \sigma$.

There is a natural equivariant map $\pi: T A \to A$ defined by the formula

$$\varphi(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = x_n \cdots x_1 \quad x_i \in A(a), \ a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$$

We can thus define a $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebra $J A$ by writing

$$J A(a) = \ker \pi: T A(a) \to A(a)$$

for each object $a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$. The $\mathcal{G}$-action is inherited from the equivariant tensor algebra. There is a natural semi-split short exact sequence

$$0 \to J A \hookrightarrow T A \xrightarrow{\pi} A \to 0$$

with completely positive splitting $\sigma: A \to T A$. The following result is proved in the same way as proposition 3.12.

Proposition 7.6 Let

$$0 \to I \to E \to B \to 0$$
be a semi-split exact sequence of $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebras. Let $\alpha: A \to B$ be an equivariant map. Then there are equivariant maps $\tau: TA \to E$ and $\gamma: JA \to I$ such that we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & JA & \to & TA & \to & A & \to & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & I & \to & E & \to & B & \to & 0
\end{array}
$$

\[\square\]

**Definition 7.7** The homomorphism $\gamma$ is called the *classifying map* of the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
A \\
\downarrow \\
0 \to I \to E \to B \to 0
\end{array}
$$

**Definition 7.8** Let $A$ and $B$ be $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebras. Then we define $F_{\mathcal{G}}(A, B)$ to be the space of all equivariant maps $A \to B \otimes K$. The topology on this function space is the compact open topology.

The above metric is well-defined, since any $*$-homomorphism between $C^*$-algebras is norm-decreasing.

Consider an equivariant map $\alpha \in F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^k A, B)$. Then we can check (for example, by looking at matrices and then taking direct limits) that we have a semi-split exact sequence

$$
0 \to \Sigma B \otimes K \to CB \otimes K \to B \otimes K \to 0
$$

and so, by proposition 7.6, a classifying map $\eta(\alpha): J^{k+1} A \to \Sigma B \otimes K$.

**Definition 7.9** We define $K_{\mathcal{G}}(A, B)$ to be the symmetric spectrum with sequence of spaces $(F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^{2n} A, \Sigma^n B))$ with $S_n$-action defined by permuting the order in which the suspensions are made. The classifying map $\epsilon: F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^{2n} A, \Sigma^n B) \to \Omega F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^{2n+2} A, \Sigma^{n+1} B) \cong F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^{2n+2} A, \Sigma^{n+2} B)$ is defined by applying the above classifying map construction twice, that is to say writing $\epsilon(\alpha) = \eta(\eta(\alpha))$ whenever $\alpha \in F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^{2n} A, \Sigma^n B)$.

Just as in proposition 5.5, for any $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebra $A$, there is a natural equivariant map $s: J^k \Sigma^l A \to \Sigma^l J^k A$.

**Definition 7.10** Let $A$, $B$, and $C$ be $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebras. Let $\alpha \in F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^{2m} A, \Sigma^n B)$ and $\beta \in F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^{2n} B, \Sigma^n C)$. Then we define the product $\alpha \sharp \beta$ to be the composition

$$
J^{2m+2n} A \xrightarrow{J^{2n}} J^{2n} \Sigma^m (B \otimes K) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Sigma^m J^{2n} (B \otimes K) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^n (\beta \otimes 1)} \Sigma^{m+n} C \otimes K
$$
The following result is proved in exactly the same way as theorem 5.7.

**Theorem 7.11** Let $A$, $B$, and $C$ be $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebras. Then there is a natural map of spectra

$$KK_{\mathcal{G}}(A, B) \wedge KK_{\mathcal{G}}(B, C) \to KK_{\mathcal{G}}(A, C)$$

defined by the formula

$$\alpha \wedge \beta \mapsto \alpha \sharp \beta \quad \alpha \in F^0(J^m A, B), \ \beta \in F^0(J^n B, C)$$

Further, the above product is associative. To be precise, let $\alpha \in F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^m A, B)$, $\beta \in F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^n B, C)$, and $\gamma \in F_{\mathcal{G}}(J^p C, D)$. Then $(\alpha \sharp \beta) \sharp \gamma = \alpha \sharp (\beta \sharp \gamma)$. \qed

As before, the following result is obvious.

**Proposition 7.12** Let $\alpha: A \to B$ and $\beta: B \to C$ be equivariant maps. Then $\alpha \sharp \beta = \beta \circ \alpha$. \qed

The following result is proved in exactly the same way as theorems 6.2 and 6.4.

**Theorem 7.13** Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a discrete groupoid, and let $A$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebra. Then the spectrum $KK_{\mathcal{G}}(A, A)$ is a symmetric ring spectrum.

Let $B$ be another $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebra. Then the spectrum $KK_{\mathcal{G}}(A, B)$ is a symmetric $KK_{\mathcal{G}}(F, F)$-module spectrum. \qed

Let $\theta: \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a functor between groupoids, and let $A$ be an $\mathcal{H}$-$C^*$-algebra. Abusing notation, we can also regard $A$ as a $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebra; we write $A(a) = A(\theta(a))$ for each object $a \in Ob(\mathcal{G})$, and define a homomorphism $g = \theta(g): A(\theta(a)) \to A(\theta(b))$ for each morphism $g \in Hom(a, b)_\mathcal{G}$.

If $A$ and $B$ are $\mathcal{H}$-$C^*$-algebras, then we have an induced map $\theta^*: F_{\mathcal{H}}(A, B) \to F_{\mathcal{G}}(A, B)$ defined by the observation that any $\mathcal{H}$-equivariant map between $A$ and $B \otimes K$ is also $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant. This induced map is natural in the variables $A$ and $B$. Going slightly further, we have the following easy to check result.

**Proposition 7.14** Let $\theta: \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a functor between groupoids, and let $A$ and $B$ be $\mathcal{H}$-$C^*$-algebras. Then there is an induced map of spectra $\theta^*: KK_{\mathcal{H}}(A, B) \to KK_{\mathcal{G}}(A, B)$. This induced map is compatible with the product in the sense that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
KK_{\mathcal{H}}(A, B) \wedge KK_{\mathcal{H}}(B, C) & \to & KK_{\mathcal{H}}(A, C) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
KK_{\mathcal{G}}(A, B) \wedge KK_{\mathcal{G}}(B, C) & \to & KK_{\mathcal{G}}(A, C)
\end{array}$$

where the horizontal map is defined by the product and the vertical maps are restriction maps. \qed

The above map $f^*$ is called the restriction map.
8 Descent

Apart from the last theorem, the definitions and results in this section come from [10].

Let \( G \) be a discrete groupoid, and let \( A \) be a \( G \)-\( C^* \)-algebra. Then we define the convolution algebroid \( A G \) to be the algebroid with the same set of objects as the groupoid \( G \), and morphism sets

\[
\text{Hom}(a, b)_{AG} = \{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i g_i \mid x_i \in A(b), g_i \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_G, m \in \mathbb{N} \}
\]

Composition of morphisms is defined by the formula

\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i g_i \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j h_i \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} x_i g_i (y_j) g_i h_j
\]

Further, we have an involution

\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i g_i \right)^* = \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i^{-1} (x_i^*) g_i^{-1}
\]

Recall that we write \( L \) to denote the category of all Hilbert spaces and bounded linear maps. We write \( L(H) \) to denote the \( C^* \)-algebra of all bounded linear maps on a Hilbert space \( H \).

**Definition 8.1** Let \( G \) be a discrete groupoid. Then a unitary representation of \( G \) is a functor \( \rho: G \to L \) such that \( \rho(g^{-1}) = \rho(g)^* \) for each morphism \( g \) in the groupoid \( G \).

Let \( A \) be a \( G \)-\( C^* \)-algebra. Then a covariant representation of \( A \) is a pair \((\rho, \pi)\), where \( \rho \) is a unitary representation of the groupoid \( G \), and \( \pi \) is a set of representations \( \pi: A(a) \to L(\rho(a)) \), where \( a \in \text{Ob}(G) \), such that

\[
\rho(g) \pi(x) = \pi(gx) \rho(g)
\]

for each element \( x \in A(a) \) and morphism \( g \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_G \).

Given a covariant representation \((\rho, \pi)\), we have a \( C^* \)-functor \( (\rho, \pi)_*: AG \to L \) defined by mapping the object \( a \in \text{Ob}(G) \) to the Hilbert space \( \rho(a) \), and the morphism \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i g_i \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_G \) to the bounded linear map \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \pi(x_i) \rho(g_i): \rho(a) \to \rho(b) \).

A proof of the following result can be found in [10].

**Proposition 8.2** Let \( A \) be a \( G \)-\( C^* \)-algebra. Then any \( C^* \)-functor \( AG \to L \) takes the form \((\rho, \pi)_* \) for some covariant representation \((\rho, \pi)\). \( \square \)
Let $A$ be a $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebra. Fix an object $a \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$, and choose a representation $\alpha: A(a) \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ on some Hilbert space $H$. For each object $b \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$, let $l^2(a, b)$ be the Hilbert space consisting of all sequences $(\eta_g)_{g \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{G}}$ in the space $H$ such that the series $\sum_{g \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{G}} \|\eta_g\|^2$ converges.

We can define a unitary representation of the groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ by mapping the object $b \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{G})$ to the Hilbert space $l^2(a, b)$, and the morphism $g \in \text{Hom}(b, c)_\mathcal{G}$ to the operator $\rho(g): l^2(a, b) \to l^2(a, c)$ defined by translation.

There are corresponding representations $\pi: A(b) \to \mathcal{L}(l^2(a, b))$ defined by writing

$$\pi(x)((\eta_g)_{g \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{G}}) = (\alpha(g^{-1}(x))\eta_g)_{g \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{G}}$$

It is straightforward to verify that the pair $(\rho, \pi)$ is a covariant representation of $A$.

**Definition 8.3** A covariant representation of the type described above is called a *regular representation*.

It is shown in [16] that we can define $C^*$-norms $\| \cdot \|_\text{max}$ and $\| \cdot \|_r$ on the algebroid $A\mathcal{G}$ by writing

$$\|\mu\|_\text{max} = \text{sup}\{\langle (\rho, \pi)_\ast(\mu) | (\rho, \pi) \text{ is a covariant representation of } A \}$$

and

$$\|\mu\|_r = \text{sup}\{\langle (\rho, \pi)_\ast(\mu) | (\rho, \pi) \text{ is a regular representation of } A \}$$

respectively for any morphism $\mu$ in the category $A\mathcal{G}$.

**Definition 8.4** The *full crossed product*, $A \rtimes \mathcal{G}$ is the $C^*$-category defined by completion of the algebroid $A\mathcal{G}$ with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|_\text{max}$.

The *reduced crossed product*, $A \ltimes_r \mathcal{G}$ is the $C^*$-category defined by completion of the algebroid $A\mathcal{G}$ with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|_r$.

As a special case of the above construction, for any groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, we can define (see [5] and [14]) the full and reduced $C^*$-categories $C^*\mathcal{G} = C \rtimes \mathcal{G}$ and $C^*\mathcal{G} = C \ltimes_r \mathcal{G}$ respectively.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid, and let $\alpha: A \to B$ be an equivariant map between $\mathcal{G}$-$C^*$-algebras. Then we have an induced $C^*$-functor $\alpha_*: \mathcal{G} \to B\mathcal{G}$ defined to be the identity on the set of objects, and by the formula

$$f_\ast \left( \sum_{i=1}^m x_i g_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha(x_i) g_i, \quad x_i \in A(b), g_i \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{G}$$

on morphism sets. This functor is continuous with respect to either norm.

Let $f: \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a functor between groupoids, and let $A$ be a $\mathcal{H}$-$C^*$-algebra. Then we have an induced $C^*$-functor $f_*: A\mathcal{G} \to A\mathcal{H}$ defined to be the functor $f$ on the set of objects, and by the formula

$$f_\ast \left( \sum_{i=1}^m x_i g_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i f(g_i), \quad x_i \in A(b), g_i \in \text{Hom}(a, b)_\mathcal{G}$$
on morphism sets.

This functor is continuous with respect to the norm \( \| - \|_{\text{max}} \), and continuous with respect to the norm \( \| - \|_r \) if the functor is faithful. We thus have the following result.

**Proposition 8.5** Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a groupoid. Then the assignments \( A \mapsto A \rtimes_r \mathcal{G} \) and \( A \mapsto A \rtimes \mathcal{G} \) are functors from the category of \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebras and equivariant maps to the category of \( C^* \)-categories and \( C^* \)-functors.

Let \( f: \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H} \) be a functor between groupoids, and let \( A \) be an \( \mathcal{H} \)-\( C^* \)-algebra. Then we have a functorially induced \( C^* \)-functor \( f^*: A \rtimes \mathcal{H} \to A \rtimes \mathcal{G} \).

**Theorem 8.6** Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a groupoid, and let \( A \) and \( B \) be \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebras. Then there are maps

\[
D: \operatorname{KK}_\mathcal{G}(A, B) \to \operatorname{KK}(A \rtimes \mathcal{G}, B \rtimes \mathcal{G}) \quad D_r: \operatorname{KK}_\mathcal{G}(A, B) \to \operatorname{KK}(A \rtimes_r \mathcal{G}, B \rtimes_r \mathcal{G})
\]

which compatible with the product in the sense that we have commutative diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{KK}_\mathcal{G}(A, B) \wedge \operatorname{KK}_\mathcal{G}(B, C) & \to & \operatorname{KK}(A, C) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\operatorname{KK}(A \rtimes \mathcal{G}, B \rtimes \mathcal{G}) \wedge \operatorname{KK}(B \rtimes \mathcal{G}, C \rtimes \mathcal{G}) & \to & \operatorname{KK}(A \rtimes \mathcal{G}, C \rtimes \mathcal{G})
\end{array}
\]

and

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{KK}_\mathcal{G}(A, B) \wedge \operatorname{KK}_\mathcal{G}(B, C) & \to & \operatorname{KK}(A, C) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\operatorname{KK}(A \rtimes_r \mathcal{G}, B \rtimes_r \mathcal{G}) \wedge \operatorname{KK}(B \rtimes_r \mathcal{G}, C \rtimes_r \mathcal{G}) & \to & \operatorname{KK}(A \rtimes_r \mathcal{G}, C \rtimes_r \mathcal{G})
\end{array}
\]

where the horizontal maps are defined by the product, and the vertical maps are copies of the map \( D \) or \( D_r \) respectively.

**Proof:** As in theorem 3.8, we can show that we have a semi-split exact sequence

\[
0 \to (JA) \rtimes_r \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{i} (TA) \rtimes_r \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rtimes_r \mathcal{G} \to 0
\]

We therefore have a natural \( C^* \)-functor \( \gamma: J(A \rtimes_r \mathcal{G}) \to (JA) \rtimes_r \mathcal{G} \) defined as the classifying map of the diagram

\[
A \rtimes_r \mathcal{G}
\]

\[
0 \to (JA) \rtimes_r \mathcal{G} \quad (TA) \rtimes_r \mathcal{G} \quad A \rtimes_r \mathcal{G} \quad 0
\]

Viewing the suspension of a \( \mathcal{G} \)-\( C^* \)-algebra or \( C^* \)-category as the tensor product with the \( C^* \)-algebra \( C_0(0, 1) \), there is an obvious \( C^* \)-functor \( \beta: (\Sigma^n B \otimes K) \mathcal{G} \to \Sigma^n (B \rtimes_r \mathcal{G}) \otimes K \).
Let \( C \) be any \( C^\ast \)-category. Then the tensor product \( C \otimes K \) is a direct limit of \( C^\ast \)-categories of matrices with elements the morphisms of the category \( C \). It follows that we have a natural homomorphism \( \delta : C \otimes K \to C^H \).

Let \( \alpha : J^{2n}A \to \Sigma^n B \otimes K \) be an equivariant map. Then by the above proposition we have a functorially induced homomorphism \( \alpha^* : (J^{2n}A) \to (\Sigma^n B) \otimes G \). We can define a map \( D : KK_G(A, B) \to KK_G(A \otimes G, B \otimes G) \) by writing \( D(\alpha) = \delta \circ \beta \circ \alpha^* \circ \gamma^n \). The relevant naturality properties are easy to check.

The argument for the result when considering the maximal crossed product, \( \rtimes_{\text{max}} \), is identical to the above.

Corollary 8.7 Let \( G \) be a discrete groupoid, and let \( A \) and \( B \) be \( G \)-\( C^\ast \)-algebras. Then the spectra \( KK_G(A \rtimes G, B \rtimes G) \) and \( KK_G(A \rtimes G, B \rtimes G) \) are symmetric \( KK_G(F, F) \)-module spectra.

9 Comparison with \( C^\ast \)-algebra \( K \)-theory

The spectra defined in this article are based on the spaces used to construct \( KK \)-theory groups in the articles [4, 2, 3]. The fact that our spectra can be used to define the usual Kasparov \( KK \)-theory for \( C^\ast \)-algebras is therefore no surprise. To be specific, the following result holds.

Theorem 9.1 Let \( A \) and \( B \) be \( C^\ast \)-algebras. Then the stable homotopy group \( \pi_n KK(A, B) \) is naturally isomorphic to the group \( KK^{-n}(A, B) \). If \( C \) is another \( C^\ast \)-algebra, the smash product of spectra

\[ KK(A, B) \wedge KK(B, C) \to KK(A, C) \]

induces the Kasparov product.

Proof: By proposition 4.3, the \( k \)-th space of the spectrum \( KK(A, B) \) is the spaces of all \( \ast \)-homomorphisms \( J^{2k}A \to \Sigma^kB \otimes K \). Let \( [C, D] \) denote the set of homotopy classes of \( \ast \)-homomorphisms between \( C^\ast \)-algebras \( C \) and \( D \). Then for all \( p \in \mathbb{N}, \pi_p[C, D] = [C, \Sigma^p D] \), and the stable homotopy group \( \pi_n KK(A, B) \) is thus the direct limit

\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} [J^{2n+2k}A, \Sigma^n B \otimes K] \]

The result now follows by proposition 3.1 in [3].

A similar result also holds in the equivariant case, and also follows from the arguments of [3]. To be precise, we have the following.
**Theorem 9.2** Let $G$ be a discrete group, and let $A$ and $B$ be $G$-$C^*$-algebras. Then the stable homotopy group $\pi_n KK_G(A, B)$ is naturally isomorphic to the group $KK^{-n}_G(A, B)$. If $C$ is another $G$-$C^*$-algebra, the smash product of spectra

$$KK_G(A, B) \wedge KK_G(B, C) \to KK_G(A, C)$$

induces the Kasparov product. \qed

In order to apply the above two theorems to spectra for $C^*$-categories and groupoid $C^*$-algebras, we need further comparison results.

**Definition 9.3** Let $A$ and $B$ be unital $C^*$-categories. Two $*$-functors $\alpha, \beta: A \to B$ are termed equivalent if there are elements $u_a \in Hom(\alpha(a), \beta(a))_{B}$ for each object $a \in Ob(A)$ such that:

- $u_a^* u_a = 1_{\alpha(a)}$ and $u_a u_a^* = 1_{\beta(a)}$ for all objects $a \in Ob(A)$.
- Let $x \in Hom(a, b)_A$. Then $\beta(x) u_a = u_b \alpha(x)$.

Two unital $C^*$-categories $A$ and $B$ are called equivalent if there are $*$-functors $\alpha: A \to B$ and $\beta: A \to B$ such that the compositions $\alpha \circ \beta$ and $\beta \circ \alpha$ are equivalent to identity $*$-functors.

**Lemma 9.4** Let $\alpha, \beta: A \to B$ be equivalent $*$-functors. Then $\alpha$ and $\beta$ lie in the same path-component of the space $F(A, B)$.

**Proof:** In the space $F(A, B)$, the $*$-functors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the same as the $*$-functors $\alpha': A \to B_\oplus$ and $\beta': A \to B_\oplus$ defined by writing

$$\alpha'(a) = \alpha(a) \oplus \beta(a), \quad \beta'(a) = \alpha(a) \oplus -\beta(a)$$

and

$$\alpha'(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \beta'(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x \in Hom(a, b)_A$.

Since the $*$-functors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are equivalent, we can find morphisms $u_a \in Hom(\alpha(a), \beta(a))_{B}$ for each object $a \in Ob(A)$ such that $u_a^* u_a = 1_{\alpha(a)}$, $u_a u_a^* = 1_{\beta(a)}$, and $\beta(x) u_a = u_b \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in Hom(a, b)_A$.

Let $t \in [0, \pi/2]$. Define

$$r_a(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & u_a^* \sin \theta \\ -u_a \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \in Hom(\alpha(a) \oplus \beta(a))_{B} \quad t \in [0, \pi/2]$$

Then we have a path of $*$-functors, $F_t: A \to B_\oplus$, from $\alpha'$ to $\beta'$, defined by the formula

$$F_t(a) = \alpha(a) \oplus \beta(a) \quad F_t(x) = r_a(t) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} r_a(t)^*$$

where $x \in Hom(a, b)_A$. \qed
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Theorem 9.5  Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ be equivalent $C^*$-categories. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be another $C^*$-category. Then the spectra $\mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B})$ are homotopy-equivalent, and the spectra $\mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$ and $\mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}')$ are homotopy-equivalent.

Proof:  Since the $C^*$-categories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are equivalent, by the above lemma we can find elements $\alpha \in F(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ and $\beta \in F(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A})$ along with a path $\gamma_t \in F(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ such that $\gamma_0 = \beta \circ \alpha$ and $\gamma_1$ is the identity.

There are thus induced maps

$$\alpha^*: \mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B}) \quad \beta^*: \mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

defined by the product with the elements $\alpha$ and $\beta$ respectively such that the map $\gamma^*: \mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a homotopy between the composite $\alpha' \circ \alpha$ and the identity map.

Similarly, the composite $\beta \circ \alpha$ is homotopic to the identity map. It follows that the spectra $\mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\mathbb{K}K(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B})$ are homotopy-equivalent, and we have proved the first of the statements in the theorem.

The proof of the second statement in the theorem is almost identical. \qed

The above result along with theorem [72] can be used to prove certain formal properties involving the $KK$-theory of $C^*$-categories that are equivalent to $C^*$-algebras, which covers most examples found in geometric applications.

Theorem 9.6  Let $\theta: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be an equivalence of discrete groupoids. Let $A$ and $B$ be unital $\mathcal{H}$-$C^*$-algebras. Then the restriction map $\theta^*: \mathbb{K}K_{\mathcal{H}}(A,B) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}K_{\mathcal{G}}(A,B)$ is a homeomorphism of spectra.

Proof:  Since the functor $\theta$ is an equivalence, there is a functor $\phi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ along with natural isomorphisms $G: \phi \circ \theta: 1_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $H: \theta \circ \phi: 1_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Thus, for each object $a \in Ob(\mathcal{G})$, there is a morphism $G_a \in Hom(\phi \theta(a), a)_{\mathcal{G}}$. Let $\alpha: A \rightarrow B \otimes K$ be an $\mathcal{H}$-equivariant map. Then the map $\alpha$ can be defined in terms of the restriction $\phi^* \theta^* \alpha: A \rightarrow B \otimes K$ by the formula

$$\alpha(x) = \phi^* \theta^* \alpha(H(a)^{-1} x H(a)) \quad a \in Ob(A)$$

Thus the equivariant map $\alpha$ is determined by the restriction $\phi^* \theta^* \alpha$. The natural homomorphism $H$ therefore induces a homeomorphism of spectra $H_*: \mathbb{K}K_{\mathcal{H}}(A,B) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}K_{\mathcal{H}}(A,B)$ such that $H_* \circ \phi^* \circ \theta^* = 1_{\mathbb{K}K_{\mathcal{H}}(A,B)}$. There is similarly a homeomorphism $G_*: \mathbb{K}K_{\mathcal{G}}(A,B) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}K_{\mathcal{G}}(A,B)$ such that $G_* \circ \theta^* \circ \phi^* = 1_{\mathbb{K}K_{\mathcal{G}}(A,B)}$.

It follows that the map $\theta^*$ is a homeomorphism, and we are done. \qed
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