BRAUER GROUPS AND QUOTIENT STACKS
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ABSTRACT. A natural question is to determine which algebraic stacks are quotient stacks. In this paper we give some partial answers and relate it to the old question of whether, for a scheme $X$, the natural map from the Brauer group (equivalence classes of Azumaya algebras) to the cohomological Brauer group (the torsion subgroup of $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$) is surjective.

1. Introduction

Quotients of varieties by algebraic groups arise in many situations, for instance in the theory of moduli, where moduli spaces are often naturally constructed as quotients of parameter spaces by linear algebraic groups. The quotient of a scheme by a group need not exist as a scheme (or even as an algebraic space), and even when a quotient exists, the quotient morphism may not have expected properties. For example, if $Z$ and $G$ are smooth, then the morphism $Z \to Z/G$ need not be smooth.

To overcome this difficulty, it is often helpful to consider quotients as stacks, rather than as schemes or algebraic spaces. If $G$ is a flat group scheme acting on an algebraic space $Z$ ($G$ must be separated and finitely presented over some base scheme, with the space $Z$ and the action map defined over this base), then a quotient $[Z/G]$ always exists as a stack, and this stack is algebraic. Knowing that an algebraic stack has a presentation as a quotient $[Z/G]$ (with $G$ a linear algebraic group, say) can make the stack easier to study, for then the geometry of the stack is the $G$-equivariant geometry on the space $Z$.

A natural question is to determine which algebraic stacks are quotient stacks. In this paper we give some partial answers to this question and relate it to the old question of whether, for a scheme $X$, the natural map from the Brauer group (classes of Azumaya algebras modulo an equivalence relation) to the cohomological Brauer group (the torsion subgroup of étale $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$) is surjective.
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Some quick answers to this natural question are (the first two are folklore):
(i) all orbifolds are quotient stacks (Theorem 2.18); (ii) all regular Deligne-Mumford stacks of dimension $\leq 2$ are quotient stacks (Example 2.17); (iii) there exists a Deligne-Mumford stack, normal and of finite type over the complex numbers (but singular and nonseparated) which is not a quotient stack (Example 2.21).

In fact, the example in (iii) is a stack with stabilizer group $\mathbb{Z}/2$ at every point; it is a gerbe over a normal (but nonseparated) scheme, of dimension 2 over the complex numbers. Theorem 3.6 says such a stack is a quotient stack if and only if a certain class in the cohomological Brauer group associated with it lies in the image of the map from the Brauer group. So, (iii) yields an example, of independent interest, of non-surjectivity of the Brauer map for a finite-type, normal, but nonseparated scheme (Corollary 3.11). This stands in contrast with the recent result of S. Schröer [Sch], which says that the Brauer map is surjective for any separated geometrically normal algebraic surface.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we review the definition of algebraic stacks and state accompanying results relative to quotient stacks. Additional results concern finite covers of stacks by schemes. In Section 3 we review gerbes and Brauer groups and state the result relating the Brauer map to gerbes being quotient stacks. Finally in Section 4 we give proofs.
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## 2. Stacks and quotient stacks

### 2.1. Stacks.

Here we give a brief review of stacks. Some references are [D-M], [Vi] and [L-MB].

Stacks are categories fibered in groupoids satisfying descent-type axioms; the stacks of interest to us will be algebraic and hence admit descriptions in the form of groupoid schemes. First, recall that a groupoid is a small category $C$ in which all arrows are isomorphisms. Write $R = \text{Hom}(C)$ and $X = \text{Obj}(C)$. There are two maps $s, t: R \to X$ sending a morphism to its source and target, respectively; a map $e: X \to R$ taking an object to the identity morphism of itself; a map $i: R \to R$ taking a morphism to its inverse; and a map $m: R \times_{t, X, s} R \to R$ taking a pair of composable morphisms to their composition. Write $j = (t, s): R \to X \times X$. There are obvious compatibilities between these maps.

A groupoid scheme consists of schemes $R$ and $X$ defined over a fixed base scheme $L$, together with maps $s, t, e, i, m$ satisfying the same compatibility conditions as above. A groupoid scheme is called étale (respectively smooth, respectively flat) if the maps $s$ and $t$ are étale (resp. smooth, resp. faithfully flat and locally of finite presentation). The stabilizer of a groupoid scheme is
the scheme $S = j^{-1}(\Delta_X)$ (here $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$ is the diagonal). This is a group scheme over $X$.

Let $L$ be a fixed ground scheme and let $F$ be a category together with a functor $p: F \to \text{Sch}/L$. For a fixed $L$-scheme $B$, let $F(B)$ denote the subcategory of $F$ consisting of objects mapping to $B$ and morphisms mapping to $1_B$. Roughly, a category fibered in groupoids (over $L$) is a pair consisting of a category $F$ and a functor $p: F \to \text{Sch}/L$, such that:

(i) For all $L$-schemes $B$, $F(B)$ is a groupoid.
(ii) For any morphism of $L$-schemes $f: B' \to B$ and any object $x \in F(B)$, there is an object $f^*x$ in $F(B')$, unique up to canonical isomorphism, together with a morphism $f^*x \to x$ lying over $f$. For the precise definition see, e.g., [D-M, Sec. 4].

A morphism of categories fibered in groupoids is simply a functor commuting with the projection functors to $\text{Sch}/L$. An isomorphism of categories fibered in groupoids is a morphism which is an equivalence of categories.

Any contravariant functor $\text{Sch}/L \to \text{sets}$ determines a category fibered in groupoids. We say that a category fibered in groupoids over $L$ is represented by a scheme (resp. algebraic space) if it is equivalent to the functor of points of a scheme (resp. algebraic space).

An important construction is the fiber product. Given morphisms $f_1: F_1 \to F$ and $f_2: F_2 \to F$, the fiber product $F_1 \times_F F_2$ is the category fibered in groupoids defined as follows: Objects are triples $(x_1, x_2, \psi)$ where $x_1$ is an object of $F_1$, $x_2$ is an object of $F_2$, and $\psi: f_1(x_1) \to f_2(x_2)$ is an isomorphism, lying over an identity morphism of $\text{Sch}/L$. A morphism is specified by a pair of morphisms compatible with the induced isomorphism in $F$.

**Definition 2.1.** A category fibered in groupoids $(F, p)$ is a stack if it satisfies two descent properties.

1. For objects $x, y$ in $F(B)$ the functor $\text{Iso}_B(x, y): \text{Sch}/B \to \text{sets}$ assigning to a $B$-scheme $f: B' \to B$ the set of isomorphisms between $f^*x$ and $f^*y$ is a sheaf for the étale topology.
2. $F$ has effective descent for étale morphisms.

**Definition 2.2.** A morphism of stacks is representable if for any morphism of an algebraic space $B \to F$, the fiber product $B \times_F F'$ is represented by an algebraic space. A morphism is strongly representable if for any morphism of a scheme $B \to F$, the fiber product $B \times_F F'$ is represented by a scheme.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a property of morphism of schemes which is preserved by base change and is local for the smooth topology. A representable morphism $F' \to F$ has property $\mathcal{P}$ if for all morphisms $B \to F$ of algebraic spaces, the induced morphism $B \times_F F' \to B$ has property $\mathcal{P}$.

Stein factorization holds for algebraic spaces and implies [Kn, II.6.15] that if $f: X \to Y$ is a separated quasi-finite morphism of algebraic spaces, and if $Y$
is a scheme, then $X$ is a scheme. Hence, a representable separated quasi-finite morphism is always strongly representable.

**Definition 2.3.** A stack $F$ is *algebraic*, or is an *Artin stack*, if

1. There exists a representable smooth surjective morphism $X \to F$ from a scheme.
2. The diagonal morphism $F \to F \times_L F$ is representable, quasi-compact, and separated.

**Remark 2.4.** The representability of the diagonal implies that any morphism from an algebraic space is representable. For stacks with quasi-finite diagonal, any morphism from a scheme is strongly representable.

**Remark 2.5.** A stack $F$ is called a *Deligne-Mumford stack* if there exists an étale cover of $F$ by a scheme. By [L-MB, 8.1], this happens if and only if the diagonal $F \to F \times_L F$ is unramified. A Deligne-Mumford stack has, in particular, quasi-finite diagonal. The geometric fibers of the diagonal are group schemes, so if all the residue fields of $L$ have characteristic 0 then conversely, any algebraic stack with quasi-finite diagonal is a Deligne-Mumford stack.

Finally, we describe very briefly groupoid presentations (or atlases) of algebraic stacks: see [L-MB] for a full treatment. By definition, any algebraic stack $F$ admits a smooth surjective map from a scheme $X$; $X \to F$ is called a *smooth atlas*. In this case, the fiber product $R = X \times_F X$ is an algebraic space. However, for stacks with quasi-finite diagonal, the diagonal is strongly representable, so $R$ is in fact a scheme. The smooth groupoid scheme $R \rightrightarrows X$ is called a *presentation* for $F$. Conversely, any smooth groupoid scheme $R \rightrightarrows X$ with separated, finite-type relative diagonal $R \to X \times X$ determines an algebraic stack $[R \rightrightarrows X]$. A theorem of Artin (cf. [L-MB, 10.1]) says that any faithfully flat groupoid scheme $R \rightrightarrows X$ (with separated, finite-type relative diagonal) determines an algebraic stack. In this case the groupoid scheme $R \rightrightarrows X$ is called a *faithfully flat presentation* for $F$. By Remark 2.5, an algebraic stack is a Deligne-Mumford stack if and only if it has an étale presentation. If $F$ is an algebraic stack with quasi-finite diagonal, then it is relatively straightforward ([K-M, Lemma 3.3]) to show that $F$ has a quasi-finite faithfully flat atlas of schemes.

If the group scheme $G$ acts on the algebraic space $Z$ (we assume $G$ flat, separated, and of finite presentation over the ground scheme $L$; the space $Z$ should be an $L$-space and the action map $Z \times_L G \to Z$ an $L$-morphism), then the action determines a groupoid $Z \times_F G \rightrightarrows Z$. This will be a flat atlas for the stack whose fiber over any $L$-scheme $T$ is the category of principal $G$-bundles.

---

1 As explained in [L-MB, 4.2], ‘unramified’ should be understood to mean ‘locally of finite type and formally unramified’.
$E \to T$ together with $G$-equivariant morphisms $E \to Z$. This is an algebraic stack, denoted $[Z/G].$

As noted above, any algebraic space is an algebraic stack; the following result says when the converse holds.

**Proposition 2.6.** ([L-MB, 2.4.1.1 and 10.1]) Let $F$ be an algebraic stack, and let $s, t: R \rightrightarrows X$ be a faithfully flat presentation for $F$. Then $F$ is an algebraic space if and only if the map $R \to X \times X$ is a monomorphism. If we set $S = (t \times s)^{-1}(\Delta_X)$ then this is equivalent to $S \to X$ being an isomorphism by either $s$ or $t$.

We call attention to the map $S \to X$ of Proposition 2.6. The fiber product of the diagonal $F \to F \times F$ with itself is an algebraic stack $I_F := F \times_{F \times F} F$. The projection (to either factor) $I_F \to F$ is the stabilizer map, and is represented by the stabilizer $S \to X$ of the groupoid space $R \rightrightarrows X$, for any atlas $X$.

### 2.2. Results on stacks.

The first theorem states that stacks with quasi-finite diagonal are finitely parametrized; i.e., admit finite covers by schemes. This is the strongest possible result since any finitely parametrized stack must have quasi-finite diagonal. This result extends results of Vistoli [Vi] and Laumon and Moret-Bailly [L-MB] for Deligne-Mumford stacks. The first result of this form of which the authors are aware is due to Seshadri [Se, Theorem 6.1] in the context of group actions on varieties. In fact, the use of Lemma 4.1 was inspired by reading his paper.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let $F$ be an algebraic stack of finite type over a Noetherian ground scheme $L$. Then the diagonal $\delta: F \to F \times_L F$ is quasi-finite if and only if there exists a finite surjective morphism $X \to F$ from a (not necessarily separated) scheme $X$.

**Remark 2.8.** Existence of finite scheme covers is an important ingredient in intersection theory on Deligne-Mumford stacks. It is used, for instance, to define proper pushforward for nonrepresentable morphisms (of cycles modulo rational equivalence with $\mathbb{Q}$ coefficients). General intersection-theoretic machinery has recently been developed for Artin stacks whose geometric stabilizers are affine groups [Kr]. All of intersection theory on Deligne-Mumford stacks, as in [Gi] and [Vi], generalizes to Artin stacks with quasi-finite diagonal, where Theorem 2.7 is used to provide nonrepresentable proper pushforwards.

**Definition 2.9.** Let $F$ be a stack, of finite type over a Noetherian base scheme $L$. We say $F$ is a quotient stack if $F$ is isomorphic to a stack of the form $[Z/G]$ where $Z$ is an algebraic space, of finite type over $L$, and $G$ is a subgroup scheme of the general linear group scheme $GL_n, L$ for some $n$, with $G$ flat over $L$. 
Remark 2.10. Every affine group scheme of finite type over a field is a subgroup scheme of $GL_n$, so the condition on $G$ in Definition 2.9 is the natural notion of linear algebraic group over a general Noetherian base.

Remark 2.11. The quotient $Z' := Z \times_L GL_{n,L}/G$ (where $G$ acts on $Z$ and acts by translation on $GL_{n,L}$) exists as an algebraic space, and $[Z'/GL_n] \simeq [Z/G]$. So every quotient stack is a quotient by $GL_n$ for some $n$.

We state two foundational results, followed by two results giving sufficient conditions for a stack to be a quotient stack. Recall that $f: E \to F$ is a projective morphism if and only if $f$ factors, up to 2-isomorphism, as a closed immersion followed by projection $E \to P(E) \to F$, where $E$ is a finite-type quasi-coherent sheaf on $F$ and $P(E)$ denotes its projectivization.

Lemma 2.12. Let $F$ be an algebraic stack of finite type over a Noetherian scheme. The following are equivalent.

(i) $F$ is a quotient stack.
(ii) There exists a vector bundle $V \to F$ such that at every geometric point, the stabilizer action on the fiber is faithful.
(iii) There exists a vector bundle $V \to F$ and a locally closed substack $V^0 \subset V$ such that $V^0$ is representable and $V^0$ surjects onto $F$.

Lemma 2.13. Let $\pi: E \to F$ be a flat projective map of stacks (of finite type over a Noetherian base scheme) which is surjective. If $E$ is a quotient stack, then so is $F$.

Theorem 2.14. Let $F$ be an algebraic stack of finite type over a Noetherian scheme, and let $f: X \to F$ be a finite cover by a scheme or algebraic space. If the coherent sheaf $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is the quotient of a locally free coherent sheaf then $F \simeq [Z/GL_n]$ where $Z$ is an algebraic space. In particular, if every coherent sheaf on $F$ is the quotient of a locally free coherent sheaf, then $F$ is a quotient stack.

Remark 2.15. If the ground scheme $L$ is normal and separated, and has the property that every coherent sheaf on $L$ is the quotient of a locally free sheaf (e.g., if $L$ is affine, or regular) and if $F = [Z/GL_n]$ where $Z$ is a scheme equivariantly embedded in a regular Noetherian separated scheme, then the equivariant resolution theorem of [11] implies that every coherent sheaf on $F$ is the quotient of a locally free coherent sheaf on $F$.

Corollary 2.16. Let $F$ be an algebraic stack of finite type over a Noetherian scheme. If $F$ has a finite flat cover by an algebraic space then $F$ is a quotient stack. In particular, if $F$ is regular and has a finite cover by a Cohen-Macaulay algebraic space then $F$ is a quotient stack.
**Example 2.17.** Assume the base scheme is a field (or more generally, any universally Japanese scheme, for instance \(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}\)). Any regular stack of dimension \(\leq 2\) with quasi-finite diagonal is a quotient stack.

We emphasize the second statement of Corollary 2.16 because the Deligne-Mumford stacks considered by Mumford in [Mu] satisfy (ii). In particular they are quotient stacks, and the intersection product he constructs is a special case of the intersection product of \([F,C]_\cdot\).

Finally, if \(F\) is Deligne-Mumford then we have the following result which we obtained based on conversations with Bill Graham. In the characteristic zero setting, this result is familiar from the study of orbifolds.

**Theorem 2.18.** If \(F\) is a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack of finite type over the Noetherian base scheme such that the automorphism group of a general geometric point of \(F\) is trivial, then \(F\) is a quotient stack.

Thus any stack which admits a representable morphism to a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack with trivial generic stabilizers, also, is a quotient stack.

**Corollary 2.19.** Let \(F\) be a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack of finite type over a Noetherian base scheme. Assume \(F\) has finite stabilizer, and suppose the automorphism group of a general geometric point of \(F\) has trivial center. Then \(F\) is a quotient stack.

Recall that an algebraic space \(Q\) has quotient singularities if locally in the étale topology \(Q\) is isomorphic to quotients \(U/H\), where \(H\) is a finite group and \(U\) is smooth. By [V, Proposition 2.8], any separated scheme of finite type over a field of characteristic zero with quotient singularities is a moduli space for a smooth stack \(F\) which has generically trivial stabilizer, so we have the following consequence.

**Corollary 2.20.** Any separated scheme of finite type over a field of characteristic 0 which has at worst quotient singularities is a quotient \(Q = Z/G\) where \(Z\) is a smooth algebraic space and \(G\) is a linear algebraic group.

Lastly, as promised, not every Deligne-Mumford stack is a quotient stack.

**Example 2.21.** Let \(Y\) be the scheme \(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)\), whose nonsingular locus is \(Y^{\text{reg}} = Y \setminus \{0\}\). There is a unique (up to 2-isomorphism) nontrivial involution of \(Y^{\text{reg}} \times B(\mathbb{Z}/2)\) which commutes with the projection map to \(Y^{\text{reg}}\). Let \(F\) be the stack gotten by glueing two copies of \(Y \times B(\mathbb{Z}/2)\) via this involution. Then \(F\) is not isomorphic to \([Z/G]\) for any algebraic space \(Z\) and algebraic group \(G\).
3. Gerbes and Brauer groups

In this section we give a brief review of gerbes and Brauer groups and state our accompanying results. References for gerbes are [Mi] and [L-M B]. For Brauer groups, see [Gr] and [Mi].

3.1. Gerbes. In what follows we fix a base scheme $X$, assumed Noetherian, and we take $G$ to be a group scheme, flat, separated, and of finite type over $X$. The gerbes that arise in the theorem that relates gerbes to Brauer groups (Theorem 3.6) have $G$ equal to the algebraic torus $\mathbb{G}_m$ or a group of roots of unity $\mu_n$. We only discuss gerbes that are modeled on some group scheme $G$ over the base.

**Definition 3.1.** A $G$-gerbe over $X$ is a morphism $F \to X$, with $F$ an algebraic stack, such that there exists a faithfully flat map, locally of finite presentation, $X' \to X$, such that $F \times_X X' \cong BG \times_X X'$. We say the $G$-gerbe $F \to X$ is trivial if $F \cong BG$. Note that a gerbe $F \to X$ which admits a section $x \in F(X)$ satisfies $F \cong B\text{Aut}_F(x)$ where $\text{Aut}_F(x)$ is group scheme (or group space) $\text{Iso}_F(x, x)$ (such a gerbe is called neutral). Nontrivial gerbes are easy to construct, much the way one constructs nontrivial vector bundles, or torsors. For instance, one can glue two copies of $\mathbb{A}^1 \times B(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ along a nontrivial involution of $(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \times B(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ to obtain a nontrivial $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-gerbe over $\mathbb{P}^1$.

**Definition 3.2.** Let $G$ and $H$ be two group schemes over $X$. The sheaf of band isomorphisms, denoted $\text{Band}(G, H)$, is the sheafification of the quotient of the sheaf of group isomorphisms $\text{Iso}(G, H)$ by the conjugation action of $H$. Nontrivial gerbes are easy to construct, much the way one constructs nontrivial vector bundles, or torsors. For instance, one can glue two copies of $\mathbb{A}^1 \times B(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ along a nontrivial involution of $(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \times B(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ to obtain a nontrivial $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$-gerbe over $\mathbb{P}^1$.

**Definition 3.3.** Given a $G$-gerbe $F \to X$, the associated torsor of outer automorphisms is the sheaf $P$ over $X$ defined as follows. Let $T$ be an $X$-scheme. If there exists an object $t \in F(T)$, then we define $P(T)$ to be $\text{Band}(\text{Aut}_F(t), G \times_X T)$. One checks that if $\tilde{t}$ denotes another object in $F(T)$, then there is a canonical element $\text{Band}(\text{Aut}_F(t), \text{Aut}_F(\tilde{t}))$ obtained by choosing local isomorphisms of $t$ with $\tilde{t}$; this canonically identifies $\text{Band}(\text{Aut}_F(t), G \times_X T)$ with $\text{Band}(\text{Aut}_F(\tilde{t}), G \times_X T)$. In general, $P(T)$ is defined as the difference kernel $P(T') \Rightarrow P(T' \times_T T')$ with respect to any flat cover $T' \to T$ such that $t' \in F(T')$ exists. Elements of $P(T)$ pull back in the obvious fashion.

There is an obvious action of $\text{Out}(G)$ on $P$, making it into a torsor. This torsor is classified by some $\beta \in H^1(X, \text{Out}(G))$. This is the first obstruction to triviality of $F$. 
For the remainder of this section, we assume that $\text{Out}(G)$ is a finite flat group scheme over $X$. This is the case when (i) $G$ is finite group (viewed as a group scheme over $\text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ and hence over any base); (ii) $G = \mu_n$ for any positive integer $n$; (iii) $G = \mathbb{G}_m$. Now there are two ways to remove the first obstruction to triviality for a gerbe. First, one can hope that $\beta$ is in the image of $H^1(X, \text{Aut}(G)) \to H^1(X, \text{Out}(G))$, and then use the $\text{Aut}(G)$-cocycle to substitute, in place of $G$, a new group scheme $G'$, locally isomorphic to $G$. For instance, if the symmetric group $S_3$ acts on $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ by $\sigma \cdot z = \text{sgn}(\sigma)z$, then $F := \left[\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}/S_3\right]$ is nontrivial as a $(\mathbb{Z}/3)$-gerbe over $X := \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$. Its first obstruction class is the nontrivial element of $H^1(X, \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/3)) = H^1(X, \text{Out}(\mathbb{Z}/3))$. Twisting, we obtain a group scheme $G'$ over $X$, and we find in this example that $F \simeq \mathbb{B}G'$.

The second method, which doesn’t require hoping, is to pull back to the total space of the $\text{Out}(G)$-torsor. So, the first obstruction to triviality vanishes upon finite flat pullback.

Assume our $G$-gerbe has trivial first obstruction, and let a trivialization of $P$ be fixed. If the center of $G$ is trivial, then one can use the stack axioms to glue local sections of $F \to X$ to get a section defined over $X$; the cocycle condition will automatically be satisfied. In general, the obstruction is a 2-cocycle with values in the center $Z$ of $G$. The class $\alpha \in H^2(X, Z)$ is the second obstruction to triviality of $F$ (this depends on the choice of trivialization of $P$; a different choice will differ by a global section $\gamma$ of $\text{Out}(G)$, and the class in $H^2(X, Z)$ resulting from the new section is the result of $\gamma$ applied to $\alpha$ by the obvious action of $\text{Out}(G)$ on $Z$).

**Remark 3.4.** A gerbe $F$ is said to be banded (it is becoming standard to translate as band the French verb lier) by $G$ if the gerbe is endowed with a global section of the associated torsor of outer automorphisms. When $G$ is abelian, to say that $F$ is banded by $G$ is equivalent to saying that for every $X$-scheme $U$ and object $u \in F(U)$, there is chosen an isomorphism $G(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}_F(u)$, compatible with pullbacks.

If $G$ is abelian, then by cohomological machinery, the set of isomorphism classes of gerbes on $X$ banded by $G$ is in bijection with $H^2(X, G)$ ([[M], §IV.2]). For $G$ finite and flat with flat center $Z$ let $F \to X$ be a gerbe banded by $G$, with second obstruction $\alpha \in H^2(X, Z)$, then the $Z$-gerbe $E \to X$ associated with $\alpha$ admits a finite flat representable morphism to $F$. So, such a $G$-gerbe is covered by a gerbe banded by $G$, which in turn is covered by a gerbe banded by the center of $G$.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let $G$ be a finite flat group scheme over $X$. Assume that the center, $Z$, and the sheaf of outer automorphisms $\text{Out}(G)$ are finite and flat as well. Let $F \to X$ be a $G$-gerbe. Then there exists an $\text{Out}(G)$-torsor $Y \to X$.
and a gerbe $E \to Y$ banded by $Z$, such that $E$ admits a finite flat representable surjective morphism to $F$.

3.2. Brauer groups. Let $X$ be a Noetherian scheme. The Brauer group $Br(X)$ is the group of Azumaya algebras (sheaves of algebras, étale-locally isomorphic to endomorphism algebras of vector bundles), modulo the equivalence relation $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{E}'$ if $\mathcal{E} \oplus \operatorname{End}(V) \simeq \mathcal{E}' \oplus \operatorname{End}(V')$ for some pair of vector bundles $V$ and $V'$ on $X$. By the Skolem-Noether theorem, the rank $n^2$ Azumaya algebras on $X$ are classified by $H^1(X, \operatorname{PGL}_n)$. The exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{G}_m \to \operatorname{GL}_n \to \operatorname{PGL}_n \to 1,$$

identifies the obstruction to a rank $n^2$ Azumaya algebra being the endomorphism algebra of a vector bundle as an element – in fact, an $n$-torsion element – of the étale cohomology group $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. There is thus determined a homomorphism

$$Br(X) \to H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

It is a fact that this homomorphism is always injective [Mi, IV Th. 2.5].

The cohomological Brauer group, denoted $Br'(X)$, is defined to be the torsion subgroup of $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. When $X$ is regular, the map $Br'(X) \to Br'(k(X))$ is injective, where $k(X)$ denotes the generic point of $X$. For a field, $Br$, $Br'$ and the full second cohomology group agree. It is only in the presence of singularities that the cohomological Brauer group may differ from the full cohomology group $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

The Brauer map is the injective group homomorphism

$$Br(X) \to Br'(X).$$

A major question in the study of Brauer groups is: for which schemes $X$ is the Brauer map an isomorphism? The article [Ho] identifies some classes of schemes for which this is known. The Brauer map is known to be an isomorphism for abelian varieties, low-dimensional varieties (general varieties of dimension 1 and regular varieties of dimension 2), affine varieties, and separated unions of two affine varieties. Recently the Brauer map has been shown to be an isomorphism for separated geometrically normal algebraic surfaces [Sch]. Also known in general is that if $\alpha \in Br'(X)$ is trivialized by a finite flat cover, then $\alpha$ lies in the image of the Brauer map.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $X$ be a Noetherian scheme. Let $\beta$ be an element of $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. The following are equivalent.

(i) $\beta$ lies in the image of the Brauer map.

(ii) There exists a flat projective morphism of schemes $\pi: Y \to X$, surjective, such that $\pi^*\beta = 0$ in $H^2(Y, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

(iii) The $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe with classifying element $\beta$ is a quotient stack.
Furthermore, if $n\beta = 0$ and $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mu_n)$ is a pre-image of $\beta$ under the map of cohomology coming from the Kummer sequence, then conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) are equivalent to

(iv) The $\mu_n$-gerbe with classifying element $\alpha$ is a quotient stack.

Remark 3.7. Here we are writing ‘$G$-gerbe with classifying element $\alpha$’ (for $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ or $\mu_n$) to refer to a gerbe, banded by $G$, whose second obstruction to triviality is $\alpha \in H^2(X, G)$. Such a gerbe is defined uniquely up to isomorphism, hence the abusive terminology ‘the $G$-gerbe...’.

Remark 3.8. In characteristic $p > 0$ (or in mixed characteristic) the cohomology groups above are flat cohomology groups. By [Gr, III.11], sheaf cohomology with values in $\mathbb{G}_m$, or in $\mu_n$ when $n$ in invertible, is the same in the étale and flat topologies.

Remark 3.9. Statements (i) and (ii) do not involve stacks, so the implications (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) have independent interest. One direction, (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), is well-known: if $\beta$ is in the image of the boundary homomorphism $H^1(X, PGL_{m+1}) \to H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ then pullback to the associated $\mathbb{P}^m$-bundle trivializes $\beta$; the $\mathbb{P}^m$-bundle is the famous Brauer-Severi scheme. The other direction, (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), seems to have been known only as folklore, until recently. The result now appears in the Ph.D. thesis of A. Caldararu [Ca, Prop. 3.3.4].

Remark 3.10. The question of whether a general Deligne-Mumford stack is a quotient stack is hard (even with strong hypothesis such as smooth and proper over a field). But for gerbes over schemes over a field of characteristic zero, Lemma 2.13 can be used, in conjunction with Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, to reduce the question to the case of $\mu_n$-gerbes. Indeed, by Proposition 3.3, any $G$-gerbe has a finite flat representable cover by an abelian group gerbe, which in turn admits a closed immersion to a product of cyclic group gerbes.

Example 2.21 then tells us:

Corollary 3.11. Let $X$ be the union of two copies of $\text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)$, glued along the nonsingular locus. Then the Brauer map $\text{Br}(X) \to \text{Br}'(X)$ is not surjective. So the nonseparated union of two affine schemes need not have surjective Brauer map.

Going the other way, Theorem 3.6 provides an example of a stack with affine (but not quasi-finite) diagonal of finite type over a field which is not a quotient stack. (Note that the stack in Example 2.21 only has quasi-affine diagonal.)

---

2R. Hoobler has pointed out that it is possible to verify directly, using cohomological methods, that the scheme $X$ in this statement satisfies $\text{Br}(X) = 0$ and $\text{Br}'(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. 

Example 3.12. Let $X$ be a normal separated surface over a field (if one wishes, $\mathbb{C}$) such that $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ contains a non-torsion element $\beta$ [Gr, II.1.11.b]. Then the $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe $F$ classified by $\beta$ has affine diagonal and is not a quotient stack.

4. Proofs of results

4.1. Finite parametrization of stacks. Here we prove Theorem 2.7, which states that that every stack with quasi-finite diagonal has a finite cover by a scheme. We begin with an easy, but very useful lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $p_1: F_1 \to F$ and $p_2: F_2 \to F$ are representable (respectively strongly representable) morphisms. Assume that $F$ is covered by open substacks $U_1, U_2$ such that the fiber products $U_1 \times_F F_2$ and $F_1 \times_F U_2$ are representable by algebraic spaces (resp. schemes). Then the fiber product is $F_1 \times_F F_2$ is also represented by an algebraic space (resp. scheme).

Proof. The inverse images of $U_1 \times_F F_2$ and $F_1 \times_F U_2$ in $F_1 \times_F F_2$ are represented by algebraic spaces (resp. schemes), because $p_1$ and $p_2$ are representable (resp. strongly representable). But these inverse images are open substacks which cover $F_1 \times_F F_2$. \hfill $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Since $F$ is finitely presented over the ground scheme, we may assume that $F$ is obtained by base change from a stack of finite type over $\text{Spec} \, \mathbb{Z}$. Hence to obtain a cover we may assume that $F$ is of finite type over $\text{Spec} \, \mathbb{Z}$. Also, since the morphism $F_{\text{red}} \to F$ is finite and surjective we can assume $F$ is reduced. By working with each irreducible component separately we can assume $F$ is integral. Finally by normalizing we can assume that $F$ is normal.

Suppose that $F$ has an open cover $F^1, \ldots, F^k$ such that $F^i$ has a finite cover by a scheme $Z^i$. The composite morphism $Z^i \to F^i \hookrightarrow F$ is quasi-finite. Thus, by Zariski’s Main Theorem [L-M13, Theorem 16.5] the morphism $Z^i \to F$ factors as an open immersion followed by a finite representable map $Z^i \hookrightarrow Z^i \to F$. Since $F$ is assumed to be irreducible, the finite representable morphism $Z_i \to F$ has dense image so it must be surjective. Set $Z = Z_1 \times_F Z_2 \ldots \times_F Z_k$. The induced map $Z \to F$ is finite, representable and has dense image, so it is surjective. Since any finite representable morphism is strongly representable, we can, by applying the Lemma, conclude that $Z$ is a scheme.

Thus, to prove the theorem it suffices to prove that $F$ has a cover by open substacks which admit finite covers by schemes. By [K-M, Lemma 3.3.1], $F$ has a quasi-finite flat cover by a scheme $V$. Let $V_i$ be an irreducible component of $V$. Once again applying Zariski’s Main Theorem, the quasi-finite morphism $V_i \to F$ factors as $V_i \hookrightarrow F' \to F$, where the first map is an open immersion and the second map is finite (and by density surjective). Replacing $F$ by $F'$
we may therefore assume that $F$ is generically a scheme. In particular, we can assume that $F$ has a generic point $\text{Spec } K$.

Let $s, t: R \to X$ be a smooth presentation for $F$. Since we are working locally we can assume that $X$ is a normal variety. By [K-M, Lemma 3.3.1], the smooth cover can be refined to a quasi-finite flat cover by a scheme $V$ and the morphism $V \to X$ is the composition of a closed immersion and an étale morphism. Again since we are working locally we may assume that $V$ is irreducible. In particular we may also assume that $V$ is normal.

Since the morphism $V \to F$ is quasi-finite, it is open. Replacing $F$ by an open substack, we may assume that $V \to F$ is surjective. Now we construct a finite cover of $F$ by a scheme. The map $V \to F$ is generically finite, so $K(V)$ is a finite extension of $K$ (recall that $\text{Spec } K$ is the generic point of $F$). Let $K'$ be a normal extension of $K$ containing $K(V)$. Then $K'$ is Galois over a field $K''$ which is a purely inseparable extension of $K$. Let $F'$ be the normalization of $F$ in $K'$. Let $U_1$ be the pre-image of $V$ in $F'$, and for $\alpha \in \text{Gal}(K'/K'')$ let $U_\alpha$ be the translate of $U_1$ under the action of $\alpha$. Each $U_\alpha$ is a scheme. Since normalization commutes with smooth pullback ([L-M, Lemma 16.2.1]), we may invoke [Bour, Prop. V2.3.6] to deduce that $\text{Gal}(K'/K'')$ acts transitively on the fibers of $F'/F$. Hence the $U_\alpha$ cover $F'$, so $F'$ is a scheme which is a finite cover of $F$.

As a corollary of independent interest, we obtain Chow’s Lemma for stacks with finite diagonal, extending [D-M, Theorem 4.12] (a stack with quasi-finite diagonal is separated if and only if the diagonal is finite).

**Corollary 4.2.** Let $F$ be an algebraic stack of finite type over a Noetherian ground scheme. If the diagonal of $F$ is finite, then $F$ admits a proper, surjective, generically finite morphism from a quasi-projective scheme.

**4.2. Stacks which are quotient stacks.** In this section we give proofs of Lemmas 2.12 and 2.13, and from these deduce Theorems 2.14 and 2.18.

In Lemma 2.12, the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is well-known: if $F \cong [X/G]$, let $G$ act linearly on some affine space $\mathbb{A}^m$, freely on some open $U \subset \mathbb{A}^m$ such that the structure map from $U$ to the base scheme is surjective. Now we take $V^0 \subset V$ to be $[X \times U/G] \subset [X \times \mathbb{A}^m/G]$ with the diagonal $G$-action. Clearly, (iii) implies (ii). If $V \to F$ is a vector bundle of rank $n$ such that at every geometric point, the stabilizer action is faithful on the fiber, then the stabilizer action on frames is free at every geometric point, hence the associated frame bundle $P$ is an algebraic space (Proposition 2.6), and $F \cong [P/GL_n]$. This establishes (ii) implies (i), and we have proved Lemma 2.12.

To prove Lemma 2.13, let $E$ and $F$ be finite-type stacks over a Noetherian ground scheme, and let $\pi: E \to F$ be a flat, projective morphism. Let $\mathcal{O}(1)$ denote a relatively ample invertible sheaf on $E$, and for a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on
$E$, we let $\mathcal{E}(k)$ denote $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(k)$. We know that for $k$ sufficiently large, we have $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{E}(k) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and hence $\pi_* \mathcal{E}(k)$ locally free (these are local assertions, and for schemes this is well known).

Suppose $E$ is a quotient stack. Then there is a locally free coherent sheaf $E$ on $E$, such that the geometric stabilizer group actions on fibers are faithul. Replacing $E$ by $E \oplus \mathcal{O}_E$ if necessary, the stabilizer actions on fibers of $E(k)$ for each $k$ will be faithful as well. Choose $k$ such that $R^i \pi_\ast E(k) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and such that the natural map of sheaves $\pi^*(\pi_\ast E(k)) \to E(k)$ is surjective. We may also suppose $E(k)$ is very ample when restricted to the fibers of $\pi$. If we let $F := \pi_\ast E(k)$, then $F$ is a locally free coherent sheaf on $F$ such that the stabilizer group actions on fibers are faithful. Indeed, if $p : \text{Spec } \Omega \to F$ is a geometric point, with stabilizer group $\Gamma$, then $Y := E \times_F \text{Spec } \Omega$ is a projective scheme with very ample coherent sheaf $E(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ that is generated by global sections, and since $\Gamma$ acts faithfully on the fibers of $E(k)$ it follows that $\Gamma$ acts faithfully on $H^0(Y, E(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y)$. Lemma 2.13 is proved.

Now Theorem 2.14 is proved as follows. Let $f : X \to F$ be a finite cover of $F$ by a scheme (or algebraic space). By assumption there is a surjection of sheaves $E \to f_* \mathcal{O}_X$. Let $V$ be the vector bundle associated with $E$. Then there is a closed immersion of $X$ into the stack $V$. Since $X$ is representable and $X \to F$ is surjective, $F$ is a quotient stack by Lemma 2.12.

Remark 4.3. If, in the situation of Theorem 2.14, the stack $F$ admits a finite map to a scheme $Q$ (this occurs exactly when $F$ has finite stabilizer and hence has a moduli space $[K-M]$, and the moduli space is a scheme) then $Z$ (the algebraic space for which we have $F \simeq [Z/\text{GL}_n]$) is in fact a scheme. The reason that $Z$ is a scheme is as follows: Let $Y \to F$ be a finite cover of $F$ by a scheme. Then, since $Z \to F$ is affine, the fiber product $Z \times_F Y$ is an affine $Q$-scheme. Thus, by Chevalley’s theorem for algebraic spaces $[Ku, III.4.1]$ it follows that $Z$ is an affine $Q$-scheme as well.

Finally, Theorem 2.13 is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.12, provided we know that the tangent bundles and higher jet bundles of smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks enjoy faithful actions by the stabilizers of geometric points.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $s, t : R \to X$ be an étale presentation of a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack $F$. Let $\varphi : S \to X$ be the stabilizer group scheme. Assume that no component of $S \setminus e(X)$ dominates a component of $X$. Then for some $k > 0$, $S$ acts faithfully on the bundle of $k$-jets in $X$.

**Proof.** Let $x$ be a point in $X$. Replacing $X$ by an étale cover if necessary, we may assume the points of $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ all have residue field equal to the residue field of $x$. Then, for any $r \in \varphi^{-1}(x)$, $r \neq e(x)$, the induced maps $s^#, t^# : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \to \mathcal{O}_{R,r}$
are isomorphisms. Thus the composite
\[ \hat{O}_{X,x} \xrightarrow{s^\#} \hat{O}_{R,r} \xrightarrow{(t^\#)^{-1}} \hat{O}_{X,x} \]
gives an automorphism of the completed local ring \( \hat{O}_{X,x} \). By assumption on \( S \), \( s \neq t \) in a neighborhood of \( r \in R \) so the automorphism is nontrivial. Thus, \( r \) must act nontrivially on the vector space \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/m_x^k \) for some \( k > 0 \). Then, there exists \( k \) such that the stabilizer group \( \varphi^{-1}(x) \) acts faithfully on the space of \( k \)-jets at \( x \).

By Noetherian induction on \( X \), there is a \( k \) for which the stabilizer action on \( k \)-jets is faithful at all points of \( X \).

**Example 4.5.** Let \( k \) be a field of characteristic \( p > 0 \). The map \( z \mapsto z^p - z \) realizes \( C = \mathbb{P}^1 \) as a cyclic cover of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), of degree \( p \), branched only over infinity. So, \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) is the coarse moduli space of the stack \( F = [C/(\mathbb{Z}/p)] \), where a generator of \( \mathbb{Z}/p \) acts on \( C \) by \( [z : w] \mapsto [z + w : w] \). The stabilizer of \( F \) acts faithfully on the tangent bundle everywhere except at the point over infinity. For \( n \geq 2 \), the action on \( n \)-jets is faithful at all points.

Finally, Corollary 2.13 follows from the following construction. Let \( F \) be a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack with finite stabilizer \( I_F \to F \). There is an open dense substack \( F_0 \) on which the restriction \( I_0 \to F_0 \) of the stabilizer map is étale. Let \( J_0 \) be the closure of \( I_0 \) in \( I_F \); then \( \pi_0 : J_0 \to F \) is étale, since any finite unramified morphism from a scheme to a normal Noetherian scheme, such that every component dominates the target, is étale. Then \( E := \pi_0^* \mathcal{O}_{J_0} \) is a locally free coherent sheaf \( F \). We claim that the total space of the associated vector bundle has trivial generic stabilizers, from which it follows (since \( F \) embeds in any vector bundle as the zero section) that \( F \) is a quotient stack.

Let \( p : \text{Spec} \, \Omega \to F \) be a general geometric point of \( F \), with automorphism group \( G \). Then the fiber of \( \pi_0 \) over \( p \) is canonically isomorphic to \( G \), and the action of \( G \) over this fiber is by conjugation. Since \( G \), by hypothesis, has trivial center, the generic action on fibers of \( \pi_0^* \mathcal{O}_{J_0} \) is faithful.

**4.3. A nonquotient stack.** We work out Example 2.21. By Lemma 2.12, if we can show the stack \( F \) of Example 2.21 has no nontrivial vector bundles, it follows that \( F \) is not a quotient stack.

Let \( Y = \text{Spec} \, \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2) \), with nonsingular locus \( Y_{\text{reg}} \). The nontrivial involution \( i \) of \( Y_{\text{reg}} \times B(\mathbb{Z}/2) \) is specified by (it suffices to say how \( i \) acts on pairs consisting of map \( T \to Y_{\text{reg}} \) and trivial \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \)-torsor on \( T \))
\[ i(T \xrightarrow{f} Y_{\text{reg}}, T \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \to T) = (T \xrightarrow{f} Y_{\text{reg}}, f^*(\mathbb{A}^2 \smallsetminus \{0\} \to Y_{\text{reg}})). \]
The stack \( F \) is the union of two copies of \( Y \times B(\mathbb{Z}/2) \), glued via \( i \).

By [M-P] the scheme \( Y \) has no nontrivial vector bundles (this fact holds more generally for any affine toric variety [Gu]), and since \( Y \) is normal and
the glueing is over a locus whose complement has codimension 2, the scheme $Y \cap Y' \subseteq Y$ (this is the scheme over which $F$ is a gerbe) also has no nontrivial vector bundles. Every vector bundle on $F$ splits into $(+1)$- and $(-1)$-eigenbundles for the stabilizer action, so we deduce that the $(+1)$-eigenbundle is trivial.

We claim the $(-1)$-eigenbundle is zero. Let $F$ be a locally free coherent sheaf over $F$ such that the stabilizer action is multiplication by $-1$ on sections, and let $F_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) denote the restriction of $F$ over the $i$-th copy of $Y$. Then there is a given isomorphism

$$F_1|_{F_{\text{reg}}} \cong i^*(F_2|_{F_{\text{reg}}}).$$

Let $L$ denote the (pullback to $F_{\text{reg}}$ of the) unique 2-torsion invertible sheaf on $Y_{\text{reg}}$; for any locally free coherent sheaf $F$ on $F_{\text{reg}}$ such that the stabilizer acts by $(-1)$ we have $i^*F \cong L \otimes F$. Hence

$$F_1|_{Y_{\text{reg}}} \cong L \otimes (F_2|_{Y_{\text{reg}}}).$$

But this is impossible unless $F_1 = F_2 = 0$, for otherwise $F_i|_{Y}$ ($i = 1, 2$) is free of some rank $m \geq 1$, and hence we have $L^\otimes m \cong O^\otimes m$ on $Y_{\text{reg}}$. But $Y_{\text{reg}}$ sits inside $[A^2/(Z/2)]$ with complement of codimension 2, so this implies an isomorphism on $[A^2/(Z/2)]$ between a free coherent sheaf and a nontrivial locally free coherent sheaf.

4.4. Gerbes and the Brauer group. Here we prove Theorem 3.6. For (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), let $\gamma \in H^1(X, PGL_n)$ be the class of an Azumaya algebra representing a given cohomological Brauer group element $\beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. If $P \to X$ is the $PGL_n$-bundle associated with $\gamma$, then by the definition of the boundary map in nonabelian cohomology, the gerbe represented by $\beta$ is $[P/GL_n]$.

For (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), we note that if $F$ is the $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe associated with $\beta$, then a vector bundle $B$ on $F$ decomposes into eigenbundles indexed by characters in $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$. Given a faithful stabilizer action on fibers, the characters whose eigenbundles are nonzero must generate $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$; then the decomposition of $B^\otimes r \otimes (B^\vee)^{\otimes s}$ for suitable integers $r$ and $s$ has nonzero eigenbundle $B_1 \to F$ for the unit character. The complement of the zero section of $B_1$ is a Brauer-Severi scheme over $X$, and the associated Azumaya algebra represents $\beta$.

As we have remarked, (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is well-known. The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.13.

Finally, suppose $\beta$ is $n$-torsion with pre-image $\alpha$ in $H^2(X, \mu_n)$, and let us show (iii) $\iff$ (iv). Let $F$ be the $\mathbb{G}_m$-gerbe associated with $\beta$, and let $F'$ be the $\mu_n$-gerbe associated with $\alpha$. There is a natural representable morphism $\pi: F' \to F$, hence (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). For the reverse implication, let $E$ be a locally free coherent sheaf on $F'$ such that the stabilizer action on sections is faithful. Consider the quasi-coherent sheaf $F := \pi_*E$ with its decomposition into eigensheaves $F = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m} F_{\lambda}$. We claim each $F_{\lambda}$ is locally free of finite type, and $F_{\lambda} \neq 0$ if and only if the eigensheaf of $E$ corresponding to the restriction
of \( \lambda \) to \( \mu_n \) is nonzero. Indeed, it suffices to verify the claims étale locally, and the claims hold in the case of trivial gerbes. We can choose a finite set \( S \) of characters which generates \( \mathbb{G}_m \) such that \( \mathcal{F}_\lambda \neq 0 \) for every \( \lambda \in S \). Then \( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in S} \mathcal{F}_\lambda \) is a locally free coherent sheaf on \( F \), such that the stabilizer action on sections is faithful.
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