Magnetic properties of cobalt doped ZrO₂ nanoparticles: Evidence of Co segregation
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Abstract

We synthesized pure and Co-doped (6.25%–12.5% at.) ZrO₂ nanopowders in order to study their magnetic properties. We analyzed magnetic behavior as a function of the amount of Co and the oxygenation, which was controlled by low pressure thermal treatments. As prepared pure and Co-doped samples are diamagnetic and paramagnetic respectively. Ferromagnetism can be induced by performing low pressure thermal treatments, which becomes stronger as the dwell time of the thermal treatment is increased. This behavior can be reversed, recovering the initial diamagnetic or paramagnetic behavior, by performing reoxidizing thermal treatments. Also, a cumulative increase can be observed in the saturation of the magnetization with the number of low pressure thermal treatments performed. We believe that this phenomenon indicates that cobalt segregation induced by the thermal treatments is the responsible for the magnetic properties of the ZrO₂-Co system.

1. Introduction

The development of spintronics has promoted the study of materials in which magnetism and electrical transport are correlated. Since the theoretical proposal of Dietl et al. of room temperature ferromagnetism in Mn doped ZnO [1], a significant amount of work has been devoted to the study of the magnetic properties of doped and undoped oxides such as ZnO, TiO₂, SnO₂, HfO₂ and CeO₂ [2–5]. Among them is ZrO₂, for which room temperature ferromagnetism has been predicted in Mn doped ZrO₂ by ab initio calculations [6]. Since that, several works appeared exploring ZrO₂ as a candidate for a diluted magnetic oxide (DMO).

In its pure state, the ZrO₂ system is generally diamagnetic or paramagnetic, but when doped with magnetic elements (mostly Mn and Fe) the compound can behave as a paramagnet or a ferromagnet [7–12]. Also, the absence of magnetic behavior was reported [13, 14]. Even more, the appearance of ferromagnetism in ZrO₂, was shown to be superimposed with a resistive switching induced by the application of electric pulses [15]. That broad spectrum of results shows that, even though DMO has been studied in detail, a complete understanding of their magnetic properties, in particular for the ZrO₂ system, are far from being clear. Also, despite numerous claims of successful growth of DMOs, few works have convincingly demonstrated the synthesis of real, intrinsic DMO and the question of their real utility remains open.

The presence of oxygen vacancies has been ascribed to play a significant influence on the magnetic properties of several DMO [4, 10, 13]. For that reason, much recently, research of ZrO₂-based DMOs has focused on the study of nanostructures [16–21] with large surface/volume ratio and thus a proper environment for oxygen vacancies.
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In several of those studies, oxide segregation is mentioned as responsible for the magnetic behavior but an analysis of this problem is only performed in few of them [21, 22]. This is due to the typically small magnetic signals of DMOs, which can arise by an amount of segregated magnetic element below the detection limits of the typical laboratory techniques [23], making very difficult to identify segregation through the use of X-ray diffraction or adsorption techniques.

Magnetization, on the other hand, is extremely sensitive to small amounts of magnetic impurities, being its drawback that we are dealing with a bulk technique. However, as we will show, the use of systematic experiments varying oxygenation of the samples, can be used to discard the presence of impurities and also to evidence the influence of segregation.

In this work we study the magnetic properties of ZrO$_2$ nanoparticles doped with Cobalt as a function of doping and the relative concentration of oxygen vacancies. We have chosen the Co-ZrO$_2$ system because is one of the less explored DMO. We use nanoparticles instead of thin films for two main reasons: First, the typically low magnetic signals of these systems can be easily enhanced just by increasing the amount of sample. Second, as mentioned above, the surface to volume ratio of the system can be adjusted simply by performing adequate thermal treatments, which is particularly convenient as the surface is usually the preferred location of point defects such as oxygen vacancies.

We will contribute to answer on whether magnetism is an intrinsic property of the oxide or if it is due to segregation of the dopant, as there is still controversy regarding this aspect. To discriminate the origin of the observed magnetic signal, we performed a series of systematic experiments. The experiments are combinations of series of low pressure thermal treatments and thermal treatments in air. This was done, as in other systems [5, 24, 25], in order to study the relative influence of oxygenation on the magnetic properties of the samples, and also to test reversibility against oxygen incorporation. The choice to rely mainly on magnetization data instead of other techniques, is due to the fact that the amount of segregated material that could explain the small magnetic signals observed in DMOs is typically below the detection limit of the most common laboratory techniques. The systematic experiments allowed us to discriminate among intrinsic and extrinsic (impurities, segregation) contributions.

Our results indicate that magnetism is increased in de-oxygenated samples and it can be reversed by performing thermal treatments in an oxidizing atmosphere. On the other hand, the application of cumulative thermal treatments at low pressure and air, results in an increase of the ferromagnetic signal, thus indicating that segregation is the responsible for the ferromagnetic behavior.

2. Experimental

Pure and Co-doped ZrO$_2$ (6.25%, 9% and 12.5% at.) powders were synthesized by the liquid-mix method [26] using ZrO(NO$_3$)$_2$$\cdot$2H$_2$O (Alfa Aesar Puratronic 99.994%) and Cobalt(II) nitrate hexahydrate (Merck pro analysis) as reagents. Nitrates were dissolved in milli-Q water and citric acid (Merck p. a.) and ethylene glycol (Anedra p. a.) were added to the solution. This solution was then mixed in a heated plate until a foam was obtained. All samples were dried at 120 °C for 72 h, then ground manually with an agate mortar until a fine powder was obtained and annealed in air at 500 °C for 2 h to form the final oxide.

Given that the presence of defects, in particular oxygen vacancies, is considered to play a key role in the magnetic behavior of DMOs, we performed a series of low pressure thermal treatments (LPTT) at approx. 3.10$^{-2}$ mbar. The degree of de-oxygenation was qualitatively controlled by varying the dwell time (dwt) of the LPTT. Partially removing oxygen from the oxide should reduce Zr and Co ions, promoting the appearance of unpaired electrons, which could give rise to a magnetic signal. We also performed thermal treatments in air (TTA) in order to oxidize the samples. Cycles consisting of sequences of LPTT and TTA treatments were used in order to study cumulative effects. Both treatments were performed at 450 °C, which is lower than the synthesis temperature, in order to avoid changes in the crystallite size.

X-ray powder diffraction patterns were obtained using a PANalytical EMPYREAN diffractometer. Pure ZrO$_2$ showed the formation of a tetragonal phase with a small fraction of a monoclinic one. In Co-doped samples, however, just the tetragonal structure was observed with no appreciable secondary phases associated with the dopant. Crystallite sizes of around 7 nm were obtained for the whole batch by means of the Scherrer equation. No changes in the composition or the crystallite size were observed upon performing the LPTT’s, as verified by EDS (supplementary information is available online at stacks.iop.org/MRX/5/066103/mmedia) and x-ray diffraction respectively.

Magnetic measurements of powders, were performed in a Versalab$^{\text{TM}}$ Vibrating Sample Magnetometer from Quantum Design at 300 K. The signal corresponding to the sample holder was always measured and subtracted from the results.
3. Results and discussion

In figure 1 we show the XRD data for the undoped ZrO$_2$. Results for samples thermally treated at low pressure for 0, 4, 8 and 12 h. are presented. The presence of a mainly tetragonal phase has been identified, with a minor contribution of a monoclinic phase. The stabilization of these phases is usually observed in nanostructured systems [27–29]. No significant difference is observed for the samples subjected to low pressure thermal treatments.

The XRD data corresponding to ZrO$_2$ doped with Co ($x \approx 0\%$–$12.5\%$) is presented in figure 2(a). From these data, the presence of ZrO$_2$ is clear, and no evidence of segregation of dopant nor secondary phases can be observed within the detection limit of XRD. This indicates that most part of the Co ions have entered into the crystalline structure of the oxide although further studies should be performed to elucidate if substitutional or interstitial doping occurs [30]. We performed similar low pressure thermal treatments to the doped samples, as those presented in figure 1 for the pure ZrO$_2$ compound. We can see in figure 2(b) that no structural changes are induced in this case also (shown for the sample doped with 12.5\% of Co).

In table 1 we present the crystallite size for several samples as obtained using the Scherrer ($K = 0.9$) equation. We can see that no significant changes can be observed both on doping and with the low pressure...
thermal treatments, even though a reduction of the crystallite diameter is observed with doping above 9% of Co. Particle sizes obtained by XRD were confirmed by Scanning Electron Microscopy (see supplementary information).

In figure 3 we show the magnetization ($M$) as a function of the magnetic field at room temperature, for samples synthesized in air, with $x = 0, 6.25, 9$ and 12.5%. We see that the behavior ranges from diamagnetic for the non doped sample, to paramagnetic for the doped ones, with a progressively increased paramagnetic susceptibility while increasing the concentration of the dopant.

In the inset of figure 3 we show the values of $M$ for 2 T, extracted from the $M$ vs $H$ data and displayed as a function of doping. We see an almost linear increase of the magnetization with a slight tendency towards saturation suggesting a solubility limit reached at around 12.5% of Co concentration.

To evidence the role played by oxygen on the magnetic properties of the system, we have performed a series of thermal treatments in vacuum to de-oxygenate the samples. The color of the samples changed upon performing the LPTT’s. We observed a darkening that strengthened when increasing the dwell time of the treatment, indicating changes in the optical band-gap and therefore in the electronic structure of the system.

High field magnetic susceptibility data (the paramagnetic contribution) was analyzed with the Curie Law, corresponding to a paramagnetic material (equation (1)) [31].

$$\chi = \frac{N (\mu_B p)^2}{V \cdot 3 k_B T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton and $p$ is the effective number of Bohr magnetons. Assuming that $N/V$ is the number of Co atoms per unit volume, we obtained $p$ by fitting the magnetic susceptibility data and is presented in table 2. The increment in the values of $p$ are consistent with an increase in the oxidation state of Co, taking into account that the spin only contribution (high spin) of Co$^{3+}$, Co$^{4+}$ and Co$^{4+}$ are 3.87, 4.9 and 5.92, respectively.

The magnetization as a function of magnetic field for de-oxygenated samples at 300 K is shown in figure 4. When subjected to a LPTT of 4 h, magnetization measurements show little change for undoped and low doped samples with respect to the as-prepared ones but hysteresis loops have been observed for 9% and 12.5% of Co doping. We can see a progressive enhancement of the ferromagnetic behavior while increasing Co concentration. However, it is worth to note that a paramagnetic contribution is present in all samples (for $x > 6.25\%$, it is superimposed to the FM contribution). This component varies on doping in a similar way as that presented by the as-prepared ones. The values of $p$ obtained for the samples subjected to LPTT display an

| $\tau$ (h) | 6.25% of Co | 9% of Co | 12.5% of Co |
|-----------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| 0         | 8 ± 1 nm     | 6.2 ± 0.5 nm | 6.2 ± 0.7 nm |
| 4         | 8 ± 1 nm     | 6.2 ± 0.5 nm | 6.2 ± 0.8 nm |
| 12        | 8 ± 1 nm     | 6.5 ± 0.7 nm | 6.5 ± 0.7 nm |

Figure 3. Magnetization as a function of magnetic field for the as-prepared samples. Doping is indicated in the figure. Inset: Magnetization evaluated at $H = 2$ Tesla as a function of doping.
increase that suggests a slight tendency of Co towards the Co$^{2+}$ oxidation state (see table 2). The formation of Zr$^{3+}$, would also lead to an increase of the value of p, however this is far less likely than the partial reduction of Co ions.

The saturation value of the FM component of the magnetization ($M_{\text{SAT}}$) increases both with doping (see figure 4) and with the dwell time of the LPTT, as shown in figure 5. It also can be seen that is independent of temperature. The observed increment of $M_{\text{SAT}}$ vs. dwt is clearly correlated with de-oxygenation, thus qualitatively supporting the idea that an increase in the concentration of oxygen vacancies is essential to explain the appearance of ferromagnetism. However, it is insufficient to discard other sources of FM, such as clustering of Co (either as metallic or as a magnetic oxide).

Table 2. Effective number of Bohr magnetons for samples doped with 6.25, 9 and 12.5% of Co, extracted from magnetic susceptibility data.

|        | 6.25%   | 9%     | 12.5%  |
|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| p (as prepared) | 4.62 ± 0.02 | 4.6 ± 0.1 | 4.47 ± 0.05 |
| p (LPTT 4 h)    | 4.8 ± 0.1  | 4.8 ± 0.1 | 4.8 ± 0.2  |
| p (LPTT 12 h)   | 5.0 ± 0.2  | 5.7 ± 0.5 | 6 ± 1     |

Figure 4. FM hysteresis loops observed for the samples after performing a low pressure thermal treatment of 4 h.

Figure 5. Saturation value of the magnetization ($M_{\text{SAT}}$) as a function of the dwell time of the low pressure thermal treatment for samples with 9% and 12.5% doping. Inset: $M_{\text{SAT}}$ as a function of temperature for the sample with $x = 12.5\%$ subjected to low pressure thermal treatments of 4, 8 and 12 h.
In figure 6(a) we show that the paramagnetic susceptibility ($\chi_{\text{PM}}$) for large magnetic field (extracted from the data of figures 3 and 4), displays an increment with doping. We can see that the paramagnetic contribution of the as-prepared sample and the de-oxygenated one (LPTT of 4 h) show a similar trend as a function of doping until 9%. Thus, this component is not significantly affected by oxygenation, at least for low doping. However, for $x = 12.5\%$, we can see several interesting features: (1) The as-prepared sample displays lower $\chi_{\text{PM}}$ that the one subjected to an LPTT of 4 h, (2) $\chi_{\text{PM}}$ of the sample that was previously subjected to an LPTT is reduced if it is then oxygenated (up triangle, indicated as LPTT + oxy), reaching almost the same value as the as-prepared one and (3) if a subsequent LPTT is applied, $\chi_{\text{PM}}$ recovers its initial value (down triangle, indicated as LPTT + oxy + LPTT in figure 6(a)).

In figure 6(b) we show the value of the saturation of the magnetization ($M_{\text{SAT}}$) of the samples subjected to a LPTT of 4 h at 450 °C as a function of doping (the PM contribution was previously subtracted). A monotonous increase can be observed on doping. This dependence, along with the aforementioned change in color of the de-oxygenated samples, evidences a correlation between a change in the electronic structure and the appearance of ferromagnetism. Both changes undoubtedly arise due to the same kind of de-oxygenation process.

Thus, in the case of low doped samples, the LPTT mainly affects ferromagnetism, while for high doping, where segregation is more likely to occur, the paramagnetic contribution is also affected. This non trivial
behavior could be related with an enhanced segregation in the highly doped samples. In that case, the presence of different cobalt oxides with lower $p$ values is possible, as cobalt ions can be in intermediate situations between non-interacting (PM), in a weak AFM interaction or strongly interacting (FM).

Inspired by the reversible behavior of the susceptibility of the sample doped with 12.5% of Co, shown in figure 6(a), we performed a sequence of M vs. H loops in the following sequence: (1) as prepared, (2) as prepared + subjected to an LPTT of 4 h and (3) as prepared + subjected to an LPTT of 4 h + further oxygenated. In figure 7 we show the M vs H measurements obtained for that sequence. High field slopes are similar for all measurements, the most significant difference being the appearance of ferromagnetism after the LPTT is performed. However (as anticipated in figure 6(a)) the paramagnetic component, which is superimposed to the ferromagnetic hysteresis cycle, presents a slight increase from the as-prepared sample to the one that is subjected to an LPTT.

The most significant effect is a switching behavior against reduction/oxidation of the samples, in which an initially PM turns into FM and then goes back to PM. This finding is similar to what can be observed in systems like Co doped ZnO [25] and CeO$_2$ [5], showing that FM behavior is not the result of the accidental incorporation of spurious magnetic impurities during thermal treatments. We observed that both the appearance of the hysteresis loop and the change in color are reverted when re-oxidizing the sample. We also see that the increase of the paramagnetic susceptibility mentioned in the previous paragraph is reversed.

Within this scenario, two possibilities arise to explain our results:

- FM is an intrinsic property of a homogeneous compound which depends on the amount of oxygen vacancies generated during the LPTT.
- FM is the result of segregation of magnetic material induced by the LPTT.

The first possibility is clear and in the second, the obtained values of saturation magnetization could correspond to the presence of an amount of a magnetic compound of cobalt, so small that it cannot be detected in an XRD analysis, as has been shown in other systems [32, 33].

To validate the ‘segregation’ possibility, we performed a number of successive reducing/oxidizing LPTT-TTA cycles on the 12.5% doped sample. It is clear that, if ferromagnetism is an intrinsic feature or it arises from (static) segregation induced during the synthesis procedure, the situation will resemble the data of figure 5, in the

![Figure 8.](image)
sense that after each LPTT the magnetization should go ‘back and forth’ between the PM and the FM state. If, on the other hand, segregation is assisted by the thermal treatments, a cumulative behavior should be observed after each LPTT-TTA cycle is performed.

In figure 8(a), we present M vs. H curves measured after performing 1, 2, 3 and 4 LPTT. We can see that the saturation of the FM part of the magnetization measured increases as a function of the number of LPTTs. Each LPTT was followed by a TTA, after which the paramagnetic behavior was recovered as shown in figure 8(b). In figure 8(c) we see that after each cycle, $M_{\text{SAT}}$ increases exponentially, growing almost two orders of magnitude after 4 thermal treatments.

To clarify our image: before the LPTT the sample is in its ‘as-prepared’ state, ferromagnetism is not observed because the segregated phase (if present) can be as a non-magnetic Co oxide (Co$_3$O$_4$ for example). This oxide tends towards metallic Co upon reduction and consequently, the FM behavior appears after each LPTT. Our results also show that after each LPTT-TTA cycle, the system evolves, as clearly seen in figure 8(a). This cumulative result suggests that the observed ferromagnetic behavior is a consequence of a segregated phase, promoted in principle by both (LPTT and TTA) thermal treatments.

Segregation can be induced in the whole LPTT-TTA cycle but, as (close to) metallic Cobalt is needed for FM behavior, ferromagnetism is only to be expected when measuring the sample after an LPTT is performed, as shown by our results.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have shown that magnetic behavior can be induced by introducing Co in the ZrO$_2$ oxide. In the as-prepared samples, only paramagnetism can be observed, while ferromagnetism appears if they are subjected to low pressure thermal treatments.

We performed experiments in which we alternated low pressure and ambient pressure thermal treatments, that showed that the origin of the ferromagnetic behavior is more likely to be related with cobalt segregation induced during those thermal treatments, thus indicating that ferromagnetism in the ZrO$_2$-Co system is due to Co segregation. We showed that DC magnetization, although it does not give a microscopic picture, it is capable to identify very small contributions that are undetectable by typical laboratory techniques, and its results can be useful if systematic studies are performed.

Even though ferromagnetism is not an intrinsic property of the oxide, the study of the magnetic properties of doped ZrO$_2$ still deserves attention as a heterogeneous insulator with magnetic aggregates.

An interesting theoretical proposal that, to the best of our knowledge, hasn’t been experimentally tested yet is to induce magnetism in ZrO$_2$ by doping with non magnetic impurities such as K and Na [34]. Also, an experimental study on K doped TiO$_2$ [35] show, however, that weak paramagnetism is observed but no long-range ferromagnetic order. Another interesting aspect, is the analysis of the magnetic behavior in N-doped ZrO$_2$, as in very recent theoretical studies, ferromagnetism is suggested to appear in certain conditions [36], while its absence at room temperature is also claimed [37].

Besides, as small Co or Co oxide precipitates form part of this system, the dynamic behavior can be also a subject that deserves to be studied. In fact, superparamagnetic or spin glass-like behavior was observed in Fe doped ZrO$_2$ [19], which can be a consequence of the presence of magnetic clusters within a non-magnetic matrix.

Therefore, further research on ferromagnetism in ZrO$_2$ based systems still deserves attention both from the basic and the technological point of view.
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