Introduction.- Studies about the motion of bacteria and flagella in a fluid, about the dynamics of blood cells and other small suspended objects such as polymers in simple flows are currently of central interest and count to one of the major issues of microfluidics [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. According to the short spatial scales involved in these cases the fluid motion surrounding the particles can be described in the small Reynolds numbers limit [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. The linear motion surrounding the particles can be described in the small Reynolds numbers limit [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], by the linear Stokes equation [1].

The hydrodynamic interaction (HI) between neighboring blood cells, swimming bacteria, different segments of a polymer or between neighboring polymers is of nonlinear nature even in the limit of low Reynolds numbers [14]. Moreover, this nonlinear behavior may cause dynamical effects, such as the periodic motion of small sedimenting spheres [15, 16] or the synchronization effects between rotating strings and between cilia [17, 18], or it may cause a hydrodynamic coupling of particles in optical vortices [19]. The HI may also amplify thermal fluctuations of bound particles in low Reynolds number flow has its origin in the hydrodynamic interaction.

Model.- Three beads are fixed in a shear flow by linear springs with a spring constant \( k \). Furthermore, polymers fixed at one end in a plug flow are also a major issue [21] where one finds in this case significant hydrodynamic interaction effects both for the static as well as dynamic properties of the tethered polymers [21, 22, 23]. Tethered polymers in shear flow have been studied but so far only a single polymer fixed with one end at a wall was considered [24, 25, 24, 27]. Recently, investigations have been started in order to analyze the behavior of several flexible polymers fixed with their ends at the top of neighboring pillars [28] and exposed to a linear shear flow. So the interesting question arises quite naturally: what is the dynamics of neighboring tethered polymers in shear flow and which role plays the hydrodynamic interaction?

We mimic a situation of interacting tethered polymers with spheres anchored by springs and neglect in a first approach thermal fluctuations. To the best of our knowledge this is the first example where an oscillatory motion of bound particles in low Reynolds number flow has its origin in the hydrodynamic interaction.

### Model

Three beads are fixed in a shear flow by linear springs with a spring constant \( k \) as shown in Fig. 1. The locations of the minima of the harmonic potentials of the beads. The flow induced sphere displacements are indicated by the dashed lines. \( h \) measures the shift of the lower side of the triangle from the center of the shear flow, \( u(0) = 0 \).

The dynamics of small spheres, which are held by linear springs in a low Reynolds number shear flow at neighboring locations is investigated. The flow elongates the beads and the interplay of the shear gradient with the nonlinear behavior of the hydrodynamic interaction among the spheres causes in a large range of parameters a bifurcation to a surprising oscillatory bead motion. The parameter ranges, wherein this bifurcation is either super- or subcritical, are determined.
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A fixed particle causes a perturbation of the shear flow at the location of the other beads and vice versa. This so-called hydrodynamic interaction (HI) is described for a Stokes flow by the Rotne-Prager tensor \[ \Omega^{RP}(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi \eta r} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{2a^2}{3r^2} \right) I + \left( 1 - \frac{a^2}{r^2} \right) \frac{rr}{r^2} \right), \] (3)

which describes together with the harmonic forces \( k \delta \) the fourth contribution in Eq. (2). \( I_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \) is the unity matrix. The shear flow induces sphere rotations, which alter the flow field and therefore its action onto other spheres, as described by the third term in Eq. (2)

\[ u_3(r) = \left( -\frac{5}{2} \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^3 + \frac{20}{3} \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^5 \right) \frac{r \cdot E \cdot r}{r^2}, \] (4)

where \( E_{ij} = \frac{\gamma}{2} (\delta_{ij} \delta_{xy} + \delta_{yx} \delta_{jx}) \) if the particle can rotate freely and \( E_{ij} = \frac{\gamma}{2} \delta_{xy} \delta_{jx} \) if an external torque prevents the rotation. With the relaxation time \( \tau = \frac{\zeta}{k} \) and the effective bead radius \( a \) one may rescale time \( t \rightarrow \tau t' \), space \( r \rightarrow \alpha r' \) and the shear rate \( \gamma' \rightarrow \gamma \) and the results in this work are most conveniently presented in terms of these dimensionless units, as for instance the sphere displacement \( r_{d,1} = r_1' - R_1' = (x_{d,1}, y_{d,1}, z_{d,1}) \).

Stationary displacement of the spheres. The stationary solutions of the nonlinear equations (2), i.e. \( \dot{r}_i = 0 \), with the displacements \( r_{d,i} := r_i^0 - R_i' = (x_{d,i}, y_{d,i}, z_{d,i}) \) are determined numerically by a Newton algorithm. \( r_{d,1}^0 \) of a single bead increases according to the Stokes drag force \( F = 6\pi \eta a u \) and the linear spring force linearly with the flow velocity \( u_0 \). However, by virtue of the nonlinear nature of the HI between the beads the elongation of the linear springs change nonlinearly as a function of the flow velocity, which itself varies linearly with the height \( h/a \), as depicted in Fig. 2 for \( \tau \gamma = 2.6 \).

For \( h = 0 \) the flow velocity vanishes at the positions of bead 2 and 3 and with a finite shear gradient \( \gamma \) only the upper bead 1 is displaced. The flow perturbation caused by bead 1 shifts beads 2 and 3 slightly downward and pushes both away in \( z \)-direction with \( z_{d,2}^0 = -\frac{\pi}{6} z_{d,1}^0 \).

For finite \( h \) bead 2 and 3 are exposed to a finite velocity \( u_0(r_{2,3}) \) and excite also flow perturbations pointing both downward at bead 1. This involves \( y_{d,1}^0 \) to become negative as well and since both perturbations act downwards one has \( y_{d,1}^0 < y_{d,2}^0 \) at intermediate values of \( h \), cf. Fig. 2. In \( z \)-direction both disturbances compensate each other, so that \( z_{d,1}^0 = 0 \) is left unchanged. According to this stronger displacement \( y_{d,1}^0 \) at intermediate values of \( h \) the relative distance between the upper and the two lower beads is reduced and therefore the flow perturbations caused by bead 1 are enhanced, and so are the values of \( |y_{d,3}^0| \) and \( z_{d,3}^0 = -2z_{d,2}^0 \) as a function of \( h \).

It is very surprising that all these displacements reach extrema, as shown in Fig. 2 and become smaller again for large values of \( h \). An explanation of this behavior may be offered by inspecting the bead positions at large values of \( h/a \). In this case the triangle built by the bead positions is again nearly parallel to the \( y-z \) plane and accordingly the flow perturbations and the related forces caused at the neighboring beads are nearly vanishing compared to their external force. In this limit, however, the height \( H \) of the triangle is smaller and the distance between the beads 2 and 3 is larger than for a vanishing fluid velocity. The latter behavior is a consequence of a complex balance between the spring forces and the nonlinear forces due to the flow disturbances. Correspondingly there is hitherto no simple qualitative picture for both, the deformed triangle built by the beads and the decreasing behavior of the elongation beyond their extrema.

Threshold of the Hopf bifurcation. Slightly beyond the extrema in Fig. 2 the stationary bead displacements become unstable and one finds by numerically integrating Eqs. (2) using a standard method a bifurcation to oscillatory bead motions. The threshold of this bifurcation may be determined by a linear stability analysis of the
stationary elongation \( r_i^0 \), with respect to small perturbations \( \delta r_i(t) \). Using the ansatz \( r_i = r_i^0 + \delta r_i(t) \), a linearization of Eqs. (2) leads to a set of 9 linear differential equations with constant coefficients

\[
\dot{Y} = L(r^0)Y \quad \text{with} \quad Y(t) = (\delta r_1, \delta r_2, \delta r_3), \quad (5)
\]
governing the linear dynamics of the perturbations \( \delta r_i(t) \). Eq. (5) is solved by \( Y = \exp(\tau \dot{\gamma} \pm i \omega t)Y_0 \), which transforms Eq. (5) into an eigenvalue problem. The eigenvalue with the largest real part \( \sigma(h) \) has also a finite imaginary part \( \omega \) and is positive within a finite range of \( h/a \) as shown in Fig. 4 for three different values of the dimensionless shear rate \( \dot{\gamma} \). The whole range of a positive \( \sigma(h) \) in the \( \tau \dot{\gamma} - h/a \) plane is given by the shaded range in Fig. 4. The occurrence of oscillatory bead motion is rather robust with respect to changes of the anchor points of the linear springs which adhere the beads. We have tested this by changing the anchor point of bead 1 and 2 in all three spatial directions. With such modifications the three anchor points build either no equilateral triangle or the equilateral triangle is inclined and not anymore perpendicular to the flow direction. The major trends include the following ones. Bringing anchor points closer together enhances the hydrodynamic interaction which favors the Hopf bifurcation in a larger parameter range and it then takes also place at smaller shear rates and \( h \).

**Nonlinear behavior of the bead oscillations.** A typical example for the three dimensional oscillatory motion of the beads is given by a projection onto the \( x \)-axis in Fig. 5). Here the deviations \( r_{e,i} = (x_{e,i}, y_{e,i}, z_{e,i}) \) from the center of mass of the stationary solutions \( r_{em} = \sum_{i=1,2,3} r_i^0/3 \) are displayed. Two characteristic features can be recognized. Bead 2 and 3 oscillate with a phase shift of \( \pi \) and bead 1 oscillates along the \( x \)-direction with twice the frequency of the other two beads. The double frequency of bead 1 is an effect of the projection onto the \( x \)-axis, as can be seen from the phase portrait in Fig. 5b). Similar phase portraits can be obtained in the \( x - y \) and the \( y - z \) plane as well. Bead 1 performs a three dimensional motion and accordingly beads 2 and 3 are pushed away by a phase shift of \( \pi \) as indicated in Fig. 5b).

The Hopf bifurcation is supercritical along the solid line bounding the grey range in Fig. 4). It is subcritical along the dashed one and the range of hysteresis is indicated by the striped range. The oscillation amplitude \( \delta r_i^2 \) of bead 1 is shown in Fig. 5a) as a function of \( h/a \) at the shear rate \( \dot{\gamma} = 2.6 \). It indicates the supercritical behavior at the lower threshold and the hysteresis at the upper one. Close to the supercritical Hopf bifurcation the oscillations are harmonic. Further away from this threshold and in the parameter range with hysteresis in Fig. 4) the periodic motion becomes rather anharmonic.

**Conclusions.** We found in this work a Hopf bifurcation of three bounded spheres in a low Reynolds number linear shear flow, which is induced by the interplay of the nonlinear behavior of hydrodynamic interaction between the spheres and the shear gradient. To the best of our knowledge it is the first description of oscillations of bounded
and hydrodynamically interacting particles in a Stokes flow. Most of the results are obtained for three beads anchored by linear springs at the corner of an equilateral triangle which is perpendicularly oriented with respect to the flow direction. The phenomenon is very robust against various variations of the anchor points. For two beads we did not find oscillations.

Our results may also guide investigations on hydrodynamically interacting polymers fixed at small spheres and held by laser tweezers or anchored at boundaries in shear flow as well as for polymers that are fixed in shear flow close to boundaries at the top of pillars. It is also an interesting question to be addressed, whether a recently discussed cyclic motion for grafted polymers is related to the Hopf bifurcation discussed here. We expect that several modifications of our model favor oscillatory motion too. For instance nonlinear spring constants (which may mimic tethered polymers), different spring constants in different directions or an exposition of the three beads to a Poiseuille flow with its spatially dependent shear rate. The effects of these and other extensions are the subject of forthcoming work.
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