Item Analysis of Heat Transfer Concept Using Rasch Model in Elementary School
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Abstract. This study aims to analyze test items of heat transfer instructional materials using the Rasch model to obtain information on the Wright map, item measure, item fit, and item reliability. This study applied a quantitative-descriptive research design. The subjects in this study were 75 fifth graders of State Elementary School 192 of Pekanbaru. The students were asked to do 11 essay items. Students' responses on the essay items were collected through Google Form. The collected data were then analyzed using the Rasch model on the Winstep® application version 3.73. Based on the data analysis, item 2 and 3 were the most difficult items, and item 10 was the easiest item. All items are at a moderate difficulty level. There was an invalid item of 11 items. The item reliability value was 0.75 which meant sufficient. In conclusion, the 10 test items (1 is invalid) are selected for inclusion in the achievement test to measure the students' understanding of heat transfer instructional materials.

1. Introduction

Natural Science is the sciences that study surrounding natural phenomena using scientific procedures to reach a conclusion [1]. Natural science is one of the main subjects in Indonesia's education curriculum [2]. In elementary school, natural science on the topic of heat transfer is learned in grade 5. The students learn temperature and heat, heat transfer around us, and the impacts of heat on life [3]. Heat transfer is transferring energy (heat) from one area to another due to the different temperatures in those areas. There are three heat transfer mechanisms: conduction, convection, and radiation [4]. Conduction is the process by which heat or electricity passes through a solid object. Convection is the flow of heat through a gas or a liquid, and radiation is the sending out of heat in waves.

Natural science is an exact science that is those sciences that admit of absolute precision in their results. By learning natural science, students develop their intellectual skills, critical thinking skills, and accuracy skills. Furthermore, students often ask to do experiments to improve students’ thinking skills and problem-solving skills. This is in line with the objectives of the 2013 curriculum, one of which is to produce students whose soft skills and hard skills are balanced [5]. In the 2013 curriculum, teachers are expected to develop practice questions that train students' thinking skills [6]. In developing practice questions, it is necessary to do item analysis to assess the quality of those items and the test as a whole [7].
Item analysis is a test question assessment to obtain a set of questions with good quality. Item analysis is a systematic procedure that provides precise information on the test items [8]. Item analysis is a systematic procedure that provides specific information about the analyzed items [9].

The purpose of item analysis is to improve the quality of test items and identify their shortcomings for learning improvement [10]. Test items can bring out information that can be used to produce higher-quality test items. The process of item analysis aims to examine and review each item by collecting information from students' responses to obtain high-quality test items before they are used [11]. This quantitative analysis includes the analysis of validity, reliability, difficulty, discrimination, and distraction of test items [12].

We saw that in practice item analysis are rarely conducted. That is why the materials, item construction, language, validity, reliability, difficulty, discrimination, and distraction are often considered low. In other words, there is no accountability in its quality. A validity test is a proofing process to the extent to which evidence and theory support the instrument being developed. Valid test items mean that they can be used to measure what they are supposed to measure. A reliable test item is a test item that produces the same data when it is used on the same objects at different times [13]. In other words, it does not make much difference in information. The item difficulty level is simply the percentage of students who answer an item correctly. This study provides information on the Wright Map, item measure, item fit, and item reliability of test items using the Rasch model. The Rasch model can immediately measure validity and reliability based on the probability principle [14].

Other advantages of using the Rasch model are predicting missing data based on a systematic response pattern, producing standard error measurement values for the instruments which can increase the accuracy of calculation, and performing three-way calibration: the measurement scale, respondents, and items [15]. The development of functional assessments based on Rasch measurement models is becoming a preferred method among rehabilitation professionals for constructing tests that traditional methods [16]. The test items investigated in this study had never been validated by any validator and had never been tested on students so that the quality of the test items was unknown. The researcher investigated the test items used to measure the students' understanding of heat transfer using the Rasch model to obtain information on the Wright map, item measure, item fit, and item reliability.

2. Method
This study applied a quantitative-descriptive research design that aims to describe events statistically. This study analyzed 11 essay items in thematic lessons. The subjects in this study were 75 fifth graders of State Elementary School 192 of Pekanbaru. The researcher collected the students' responses to the essay items using Google Forms. The collected data were then analyzed using the Rasch model on the Winstep® application version 3.73. The process of this study was divided into three phases: early phase, implementation phase, and final phase.

3. Results and Discussion
3.1. Style and spacing
AA Wright Map provides a picture of a test by placing the difficulty of the test items on the same measurement scale as the students' ability. Figure 2 is the Wright Map that compares the students and the test items. The Wright Map is organized as two vertical histograms. The left side of the map shows the distribution of the measured ability of the students from most able at the top (i.e., student 56, 57, and 71) to least able at the bottom (i.e., student 20). The items on the right side of the map are distributed from the most difficult at the top (i.e., item 2 and 3) to the least difficult at the bottom (i.e., item 10). The most difficult test items could be answered correctly by 60 students (80%). The student 2, 15, 65, and 68 are opposite to the item 2 and 3 on the map. It means the difficulty of the items and the students' ability were comparable, so the students had approximately a 50% probability of answering the items correctly. The items 10 was probably answered incorrectly by the student 9, 10, and 20 and was probably answered correctly or incorrectly by the student 12 and 18. Based on the
map, it can be concluded that the test items are generally not too difficult for the students. This means that the students understand the instructional materials of heat transfer. According to Herppich & Wittwer [17], the accuracy in assessing a learning process will have a strong impact on what students have learned in class. Therefore, in developing test items, it is necessary to do an item analysis to avoid students' misconceptions about the items [18].

Figure 1. The Wright Map.

3.2. Item Measure

Figure 2. Item Measure.
An item measure provides information on the difficulty level of each item. The column of entry number contains information on the items' numbers, and the numbers are sorted based on the difficulty level. The most difficult item was item 3, and the least difficult item was item 10. Weller [19] divide the difficulty level of test items into three categories: easy, moderate, and difficult. An item will be said to be easy if the measure score is \(-2.00 < b < -1.00\). An item will be said to be moderate if the measure score is \(-1.00 < b < +1.00\). An item will be said to be difficult if the measure score is \(+1.00 < b < +2.00\). Table 1 presents information on the difficulty level of each item based on the score interpretation.

| Test Items | Level of Difficulty | Category |
|------------|---------------------|----------|
| 3          | 0.55                | Moderate |
| 2          | 0.45                | Moderate |
| 11         | 0.35                | Moderate |
| 6          | 0.12                | Moderate |
| 1          | 0.01                | Moderate |
| 7          | -0.04               | Moderate |
| 5          | -0.17               | Moderate |
| 8          | -0.21               | Moderate |
| 4          | -0.26               | Moderate |
| 9          | -0.30               | Moderate |
| 10         | -0.50               | Moderate |

All items were at a moderate difficulty level. According to Widyaningsih et al. [20], a good question should have a moderate difficulty level. Similarly, Kurniasi et al. [21] state that good questions should not be too easy or difficult. Easy questions make it difficult for students to stimulate their critical thinking while difficult questions decrease their motivation because they are not able to do so easily. Furthermore, Susanto et al. [22] state that questions with a moderate difficulty level can be stored up and used to measure students' abilities in upcoming years. It can be concluded that the quality of the test items is good because they are at a moderate difficulty level.
3.3. Item Fit

Item fit explains whether an item functions normally to take measurements or not. The outfit means-square (MNSQ) and the infit z-standard (ZSTD) are the criteria used to see the item fit level. An item will be said to be fit if the MNSQ score is $0.5 < \text{MNSQ} < 1.5$, and the ZSTD score is $-2.0 < \text{ZSTD} < +2.0$. Based on the score interpretation, item 9 is not fit. According to Palimbong et al. [23], an item that does not meet the MNSQ and ZSTD criteria cannot be used to measure students' achievement. Furthermore, Marfu'i [24] state if there are items that do not fit, this indicates a student's misconception in answering the items. If there is an item that does not fit, the item needs to be revised or replaced. According to Weller et al [19], providing test items that meet the item fit criteria ensure that students' achievement is measured using good quality test items. Therefore, teachers are expected to provide good-quality test items so that the resulting scores show the real students' achievement.

3.4. Item Reliability

Reliability means the extent to which the results of a measurement can be trusted [25]. A measure must meet the criteria of reliability and validity. A measure is said to have high reliability if it produces similar results under consistent conditions. The summary statistics out table in figure 5 provides information on the reliability of the test items. Ishar [26] divide the reliability of a measure into five categories: weak, sufficient, good, very good, and exceptional. $<0.67$: Weak

| Item | MNSQ | ZSTD | Exact Match |
|------|------|------|-------------|
| 9    | 1.13 | -1.05 | 0.50        |
| 3    | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 6    | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 10   | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 1     | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 1     | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 3     | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 6     | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 10    | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 1     | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |

Figure 3. Item Fit.

| Item | MNSQ | ZSTD | Exact Match |
|------|------|------|-------------|
| 9    | 1.13 | -1.05 | 0.50        |
| 3    | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 6    | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 10   | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 1     | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 1     | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 3     | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 6     | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 10    | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |
| 1     | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50        |

Figure 4. Item Reliability.
0.67-0.80 : Sufficient
0.8-0.90 : Good
0.91-0.94 : Very Good
>0.94 : Exceptional

If the reliability value is in the sufficient category, it means a measure can yield the same results on repeated trials. Furthermore, Farradillah [27] states that test items used to measure students' achievement must have a minimum reliability coefficient of 0.70. Indrayani, Djuniadi, and Ridlo [28] also state that a measure is reliable if the reliability coefficient is above 0.70. Based on the summary statistics out table in figure 5, the reliability score was 0.75 which means a sufficient category. In conclusion, the test items are reliable enough and thus can be used to measure students' achievement.

4. Conclusion and Recommendation
This study aims to analyze 11 essay items of heat transfer instructional materials using the Rasch model. Based on the data analysis, item 2 and 3 were the most difficult items, and item 10 was the easiest item. All items are at a moderate difficulty level. There was an invalid item of 11 items. The item reliability value was 0.75 which meant sufficient. In conclusion, the 10 test items (1 is invalid) are selected for inclusion in the achievement test to measure the students' understanding of heat transfer instructional materials.
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