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I. INTRODUCTION

Scattering parameters provide tools to analyze reflection and transmission at discontinuities in electromagnetic systems or media. However, it is well known that there may be inconsistencies when port impedances are complex or there is gain or loss. These arise from the fact that while amplitudes are linear quantities, powers are not. Conventional definitions may then result in anomalies, such as a reflection coefficient being apparently greater than unity even for a passive load. These difficulties were resolved for transmission-line systems by Kurokawa and others. For input voltages and currents $v_i$ and $i_i$ into a set of ports of impedance $z_i$, the new quantities $a_i = (v_i + z_i i_i)/\left\{2\sqrt{\text{Re}(z_i)}\right\}$ and $b_i = (v_i - z_i^* i_i)/\left\{2\sqrt{\text{Re}(z_i)}\right\}$ are introduced, where $^*$ denotes the complex conjugate. Since $\frac{1}{2}\left\{|a_i|^2 - |b_i|^2\right\} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(v_i i_i^*)$, forward and backward power flow may then be separately described using these “power waves” by the terms $\frac{1}{2}|a_i|^2$ and $\frac{1}{2}|b_i|^2$. This allowed a consistent definition of scattering parameters, for example, for a two-port device as $S_{11} = b_1/a_1$, $S_{12} = b_1/a_2$, $S_{21} = b_2/a_1$, and $S_{22} = b_2/a_2$.

While debate continues, real impedance and low loss render these distinctions largely unimportant for conventional systems. However, they assume much greater significance for metamaterials, which, due to their periodic arrangement, often have complex impedance and support lossy, band-limited propagation. Here, we demonstrate the application of power waves to magneto-inductive (MI) systems, metamaterials based on chains of magnetically coupled LC resonators. These waves are shown to satisfy the discrete power conservation equation for MI waves and are used to calculate scattering parameters for multi-port MI devices without the anomalous predictions of conventional methods. The results will allow correct evaluation of internal scattering parameters in MI systems.
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ABSTRACT

Difficulties arise in the definition of power flow in transmission-line systems with a complex propagation constant. These were resolved by Kurokawa using quantities known as “power waves,” which contain both voltage and current terms and correctly separate power flow into forward- and backward-traveling components. Similar difficulties must arise for electromagnetic metamaterials since any discrete, periodic structure leads to band-limited propagation, with a complex propagation constant both inside and outside the bands due to loss and cutoff, respectively. Here, discrete power waves are defined for magneto-inductive (MI) systems, metamaterials based on chains of magnetically coupled LC resonators. These waves are shown to satisfy the discrete power conservation equation for MI waves and are used to calculate scattering parameters for multi-port MI devices without the anomalous predictions of conventional methods. The results will allow correct evaluation of internal scattering parameters in MI systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Scattering parameters provide tools to analyze reflection and transmission at discontinuities in electromagnetic systems or media. However, it is well known that there may be inconsistencies when port impedances are complex or there is gain or loss. These arise from the fact that while amplitudes are linear quantities, powers are not. Conventional definitions may then result in anomalies, such as a reflection coefficient being apparently greater than unity even for a passive load. These difficulties were resolved for transmission-line systems by Kurokawa and others. For input voltages and currents $v_i$ and $i_i$ into a set of ports of impedance $z_i$, the new quantities $a_i = (v_i + z_i i_i)/\left\{2\sqrt{\text{Re}(z_i)}\right\}$ and $b_i = (v_i - z_i^* i_i)/\left\{2\sqrt{\text{Re}(z_i)}\right\}$ are introduced, where $^*$ denotes the complex conjugate. Since $\frac{1}{2}\left\{|a_i|^2 - |b_i|^2\right\} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}(v_i i_i^*)$, forward and backward power flow may then be separately described using these “power waves” by the terms $\frac{1}{2}|a_i|^2$ and $\frac{1}{2}|b_i|^2$. This allowed a consistent definition of scattering parameters, for example, for a two-port device as $S_{11} = b_1/a_1$, $S_{12} = b_1/a_2$, $S_{21} = b_2/a_1$, and $S_{22} = b_2/a_2$.

While debate continues, real impedance and low loss render these distinctions largely unimportant for conventional systems. However, they assume much greater significance for metamaterials, which, due to their periodic arrangement, often have complex impedance and support lossy, band-limited propagation. Here, we demonstrate the application of power waves to magneto-inductive (MI) waveguides, metamaterials based on chains of magnetically coupled LC resonators. MI waves have been described at frequencies from RF to optical. Passive devices have been proposed, and applications are demonstrated in power transfer, communications, and sensing. However, low-loss and in-band propagation has often been assumed. Although MI systems will almost certainly be terminated using real impedance, they are becoming increasingly connected internally, and effective design then requires that intermediate scattering parameters be correctly evaluated.

The aim of this paper is to develop a power wave formulation for a periodic system supporting current waves that can be used to obtain scattering parameters at junctions between media in addition to terminations. Important differences from Kurokawa’s work are introduced by the discrete nature of the system and lack of voltages at internal elements. In Sec. II, we derive the power conservation relation for MI waves. In Sec. III, we prove that discrete variants of power waves satisfy this relation and show how they may be used to find scattering parameters in MI systems. In Sec. IV,
we illustrate the process with simple examples for N-port MI devices where conventional methods break down. Conclusions are drawn in Sec. V.

II. POWER CONSERVATION IN MAGNETO-INDUCTIVE SYSTEMS

We begin by considering an infinite MI waveguide formed from magnetically coupled, lossy resonant loops, as shown in Fig. 1.

At a low frequency, the elements may be modeled as lumped circuits of inductance $L$ (with associated resistance $R$) and capacitance $C$, coupled by mutual inductance $M$. For nearest-neighbor coupling, the equation relating the currents $I_n$ in adjacent loops at angular frequency $\omega$ is

$$\begin{align*}
(R + j\omega L + 1/j\omega C)I_n + j\omega M(I_{n-1} + I_{n+1}) &= 0 .
\end{align*}$$

(1)

The assumption of forward-going traveling wave solutions in the form $I_n = I_F \exp(-jna)$, where $I_F$ is the current amplitude, $a$ is the propagation constant, and $\kappa$ is the angular frequency, yields the well-known dispersion equation$^{12,13}$

$$R + j\omega L + 1/j\omega C + 2j\omega M \cos(\kappa a) = 0 .$$

(2)

This result may be expressed alternatively in the normalized form as

$$1 - \omega_0^2/\omega^2 - j\omega_0/\omega + \kappa \cos(\kappa a) = 0 .$$

(3)

Here, $\omega_0 = 1/\sqrt{LC}$ is the resonant frequency, $Q = \omega_0 L/R$ is the quality factor, and $\kappa = 2M/L$ is the coupling coefficient. Lossless systems are band-limited to a frequency range determined by $\kappa$ (which may be positive or negative), namely,

$$1/\sqrt{(1 + |\kappa|)} \leq \omega/\omega_0 \leq 1/\sqrt{(1 - |\kappa|)} .$$

(4)

Within this band, $\kappa$ is real, with $\kappa a = \pi/2$ at resonance. Outside it, $\kappa$ abruptly becomes imaginary. It is also well known$^{12}$ that the characteristic impedance is

$$Z_0 = j\omega M \exp(-jka) .$$

(5)

In general, $Z_0$ is complex. However, when $\kappa$ is real, we can obtain

$$\text{Re}(Z_0) = \omega M \sin(\kappa a) .$$

(6)

In this case, $\text{Re}(Z_0)$ reduces to $\omega_0 M$ at resonance and to zero at the band edges. Lossy systems have a complex propagation constant $\kappa = \kappa' - j\kappa''$ and allow propagation out-of-band. Figure 2 shows the frequency dependence of $\kappa'$ and $\kappa'' a$, for example, parameters of $\kappa = 0.6, Q = 10 000$. Here, the black dotted lines show the cutoff frequencies, highlighting the band-limited nature of propagation in low-loss systems.

![FIG. 1. MI waveguide showing the direction of power flow for type 1 power waves.](image)

![FIG. 2. Frequency dependence of $\kappa'$ and $\kappa'' a$ for an MI waveguide with $\kappa = 0.6, Q = 10 000$. Dotted lines indicate the lossless band edges.](image)

Figure 3 shows the corresponding variation of $Z_0$; the wide variations in both the real and imaginary parts make broad-band impedance matching difficult.

We now consider the flow of power. Multiplying (1) by $I_n^*$, we get

$$\begin{align*}
(R + j\omega L + 1/j\omega C)I_n I_n^* + j\omega M(I_{n-1} I_n^* + I_n I_{n+1}^*) &= 0 .
\end{align*}$$

(7)

Adding (7) to its own complex conjugate, dividing by 4, and re-arranging, we then get

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}RI_n I_n^* + \left(\frac{j\omega M}{4}\right)(I_{n-1} I_n^* + I_n I_{n+1}^*) &= \left(\frac{j\omega M}{4}\right)(I_{n-1} I_n - I_n I_{n+1}^*) .
\end{align*}$$

(8)
Since the first term above is the power $P_d$ dissipated in element $n$, (8) is a power conservation relation, with the second and third terms representing the powers $P_{n+1}$ and $P_n$ flowing into element $n + 1$ from element $n$ and into $n$ from $n - 1$, respectively. Figure 4 shows variations of $P_n$, $P_{n+1}$, and $P_{n+2}$ with $n$ for a very lossy MI waveguide with parameters $k = 0.6$ and $Q = 10$, assuming that $L = 100$ nH, $f_0 = 1$ GHz, and $\omega/\omega_0 = 1$. Figure 4(a) shows the results obtained when there is only a forward-going wave present so that $I_n = I_F \exp(-jka)$, with $I_F = 1$ mA. In this case, all three variations decrease exponentially with $n$ as expected. Figure 4(b) shows results when both forward- and backward-going waves are present so that $I_n = I_F \exp(-jka) + I_B \exp(jka)$, with $I_F = I_B = 1$ mA. In this case, $P_n$, $P_{n+1}$, and $P_{n+2}$ are not (as might initially be expected) sums or differences of exponentials; there is an additional oscillation caused by beating. In both cases, we have verified that the power conservation relation (8) is satisfied.

III. DISCRETE POWER WAVES AND SCATTERING PARAMETERS

We now seek to express power flow for the discrete current waves that exist in MI systems. To do so, we introduce a discrete form of power wave. We will need two types. For lines extending to the left, we define type 1 amplitudes $A_{1n}$ and $B_{1n}$ at element $n$ as

$$A_{1n} = (-j\omega M_{1n} + Z_0 I_n) / 2\sqrt{\text{Re}(Z_0)};$$

$$B_{1n} = (-j\omega M_{1n} - Z_0 I_n) / 2\sqrt{\text{Re}(Z_0)}.\tag{9}$$

Note that these expressions contain no voltages. However, analogies with Kurokawa’s $a$ and $b$ coefficients follow from the fact that $-j\omega M_{1n-1}$ is the voltage induced in element $n$ by element $n - 1$. Multiplying out, we get

$$|A_{1n}|^2 = \left\{ \omega^2 M^2 I_{n-1} I_n^* + j\omega M Z_0 I_{n-1}^* + j\omega M Z_0 I_{n-1} I_n^* + \text{Re}(Z_0) \right\} / 2\sqrt{\text{Re}(Z_0)};$$

$$|B_{1n}|^2 = \left\{ \omega^2 M^2 I_{n-1} I_n^* - j\omega M Z_0 I_{n-1}^* + j\omega M Z_0 I_{n-1} I_n^* + \text{Re}(Z_0) \right\} / 2\sqrt{\text{Re}(Z_0)}.$$

Subtracting these equations from each other and dividing by 2, we then get

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ |A_{1n+1}|^2 - |B_{1n}|^2 \right\} = \left\{ j\omega M / 4 \right\} (I_{n+1}^* I_n - I_{n-1}^* I_n).\tag{10}$$

In a similar way, we can obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ |A_{1n+1}|^2 - |B_{1n+2}|^2 \right\} = \left\{ j\omega M / 4 \right\} (I_{n+1}^* I_n - I_{n-1}^* I_n).\tag{12}$$

Equations (11) and (12) may then be recognized as $P_n$ and $P_{n+1}$, respectively. Substituting into (8) and re-arranging the equation so that all terms are positive, the result is

$$\frac{1}{2} R |I_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |A_{1n}|^2 + |B_{1n+1}|^2 \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |A_{1n}|^2 + |B_{1n+1}|^2 \right\}.$$

Equation (13) then allows the direction of the separate power flows carried by each wave power to be identified as shown in Fig. 1.

Before proceeding, we briefly consider some properties of these waves when $k$ is real and the current consists of the sum of a forward-going wave of amplitude $I_F$ and a backward wave of amplitude $I_B$ so that $I_n$ can be written as

$$I_n = I_F \exp(-jka) + I_B \exp(jka).\tag{14}$$

Direct substitution shows that

$$A_{1n} = +\sqrt{\text{Re}(Z_0)} I_F \exp(-jka),$$

$$B_{1n} = -\sqrt{\text{Re}(Z_0)} I_B \exp(jka).$$

Clearly, $A_{1n}$ is only dependent on $I_F$ in this case, while $B_{1n}$ is only dependent on $I_B$. The forward and backward power flows are then

$$\frac{1}{2} |A_{1n}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(Z_0) |I_F|^2;$$

$$\frac{1}{2} |B_{1n}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(Z_0) |I_B|^2.$$

These results imply that for real $k$, there will be no difference between calculations based on power waves and on the moduli squared of the separate current amplitudes. However, differences are to be expected when $k$ is complex.

We now consider the second type of discrete power wave for lines extending to the right. For consistency with Kurokawa’s direction of current flow as "inward," we define type 2 amplitudes $A_{2n}$ and $B_{2n}$ at element $n$ as

$$A_{2n} = \left\{ j\omega M I_{n+1} - Z_0 I_n \right\} / \left\{ 2\sqrt{\text{Re}(Z_0)} \right\};$$

$$B_{2n} = \left\{ j\omega M I_{n+1} + Z_0 I_n \right\} / \left\{ 2\sqrt{\text{Re}(Z_0)} \right\}.$$

FIG. 4. Variation of $P_d$, $P_n$, and $P_{n+1}$ with $n$ for an MI waveguide with $k = 0.6$, $Q = 10$, assuming $L = 100$ nH, $f_0 = 1$ GHz, and $\omega/\omega_0 = 1$, and (a) $I_F = 1$ mA, $I_B = 0$ and (b) $I_F = I_B = 1$ mA.
As expected, these expressions are analogous to Kurokawa’s scattering method. Figure 6(a) shows the arrangement of a two-port lossless systems, the conjugate impedance is equal and (c) unequal port impedance.

These results may therefore be written as (18) for all elements except these, where

\[ (R + jωL + 1/jωC)I_{1-} + jωM(I_{2} - μI_0) = 0, \]

\[ (R + jωL + 1/jωC)I_0 + jωM(μI_1 - I_1) = 0. \]  

We begin with the case shown in Fig. 6(b), namely, an MI waveguide discontinuity caused by a local variation in the mutual inductance from \( M \) to \( M' = \mu M \) between two elements. We follow the approach used in Ref. 24 of finding the reflection and transmission coefficients at the junction. The governing equations are as (1) using conventional methods, first involving a two-port device with equal and unequal port impedances and then a three-port device.

**A. Two-port device, equal port impedances**

We illustrate the use of the discrete power waves with examples chosen to demonstrate anomalous predictions of increasing severity using conventional methods, first involving a two-port device with equal and unequal port impedances and then a three-port device.

**IV. EXAMPLES**

Here, \( jωM_{n+1} \) is the voltage induced in element \( n \) by element \( n + 1 \). Carrying out similar manipulations, it is simple to show that

\[ \frac{1}{2} R|I_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( |A_{2n-1}|^2 + |B_{2n}|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |A_{2n}|^2 + |B_{2n-1}|^2 \right). \]  

\[ (18) \]

This power conservation relation is in a similar form to (13), but the terms are different. The two types of discrete power waves allow sources at different locations, and both are needed to compute the full set of S-parameters. For example, Fig. 5 shows a two-port MI device extending from element \( m \) to element \( n \); here, the scattering parameters are

\[ S_{11} = B_{1m}/A_{1m}, \quad S_{12} = B_{1m}/A_{2n}, \]

\[ S_{21} = B_{2n}/A_{1m}, \quad S_{22} = B_{2n}/A_{2n}. \]  

(19)

As expected, these expressions are analogous to Kurokawa’s scattering parameters. Simple conclusions may be drawn immediately. For example, if \( k \) is purely imaginary, which occurs out-of-band in lossless systems, the conjugate impedance is \( Z_{0} = -Z_{0} \). In this case, \( B_{1m} = A_{1m} \) and \( B_{2n} = A_{2n} \), so \( S_{11} \) and \( S_{22} \) are unity for any \( k \).

Before presenting examples, we show how Kurokawa’s scattering parameters can be found for terminated MI circuits; we term this the circuit method. Figure 6(a) shows the arrangement of a two-port device using his notation for voltages \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) and currents \( i_1 \) and \( i_2 \) at ports 1 and 2.

In this case, the power wave scattering parameters are

\[ S_{11} = \frac{v_1 - z_{1}i_1}{v_1 + z_{1}i_1}, \]

\[ S_{21} = \sqrt{\frac{Re(z_1)Re(z_2)}{v_1 - z_{1}i_1}v_2 - z_{2}i_2}/v_1 + z_{1}i_1. \]  

(20)

If \( v_1 \) is derived from a source at port 1 with voltage \( v_{01} \), \( v_1 = v_{01} - z_{1}i_1 \). Similarly, in the absence of a source at port 2, \( v_2 = -z_{2}i_2 \). These results may therefore be written as

\[ S_{11} = 1 - 2Re(z_1)i_1/v_{01}, \]

\[ S_{21} = -2\sqrt{Re(z_1)Re(z_2)}i_2/v_{01}. \]  

(21)

These expressions allow the power wave scattering parameters to be found from a numerical solution of the circuit equations, which can yield \( i_1 \) and \( i_2 \) for a given \( v_{01} \).

**A. Two-port device, equal port impedances**

We begin with the case shown in Fig. 6(b), namely, an MI waveguide discontinuity caused by a local variation in the mutual inductance from \( M \) to \( M' = \mu M \) between two elements. We follow the approach used in Ref. 24 of finding the reflection and transmission coefficients at the junction. The governing equations are as (1) using conventional methods, first involving a two-port device with equal and unequal port impedances and then a three-port device.

\[ (R + jωL + 1/jωC)I_{1-} + jωM(I_{2} - μI_0) = 0, \]

\[ (R + jωL + 1/jωC)I_0 + jωM(μI_1 - I_1) = 0. \]

Solutions may be found for incident from \( n = -∞ \) by assuming incident and reflected waves before the discontinuity and a transmitted wave after it so that

\[ I_n = I_l \exp(-j\kappa a) + I_r \exp(j\kappa a) \quad \text{for } n < 0, \]

\[ I_n = I_l \exp(-j\kappa a) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \]  

(23)

Away from the discontinuity, these solutions satisfy Eq. (1). Substitution into (22) allows the reflection and transmission coefficients \( Γ = I_r/I_l \) and \( T = I_l/I_l \) to be found as

\[ Γ = \frac{(μ^2 - 1) \exp(j\kappa a)}{\{\exp(j\kappa a) - μ^2 \exp(-j\kappa a)\}}, \]

\[ T = \frac{μ \exp(j\kappa a) - \exp(-j\kappa a)}{\exp(j\kappa a) - μ^2 \exp(-j\kappa a)}. \]  

(24)

As expected, \( Γ = 0 \) and \( T = 1 \) when \( μ = 1 \). For lossless systems and in-band operation, these expressions allow calculation of \( |S_{11}|^2 \) and \( |S_{21}|^2 \) as \( |Γ|^2 \) and \( |T|^2 \); we refer to this approach as the “modulus square” method. However, for lossy systems and/or out-of-band operation, the results can be anomalous. We illustrate this by comparison with the results obtained by (a) using the circuit method (21) with an equivalent circuit model of the system in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) substituting (24) into expressions (9) and (17) for discrete MI power waves and then evaluating the scattering parameters using (19). In each case, the ports are effectively located at elements \(-1\) and \(0\).

As a numerical example, we first assume parameters of \( κ = 0.6, Q = 10.000, \) and \( μ = 1.1 \), defining a weak reflector in a strongly coupled system with unfeasibly low loss. Figure 7 shows the variations with frequency of \( |S_{11}|^2 \) and \( |S_{21}|^2 \) on a dB scale obtained using the three methods. The results are qualitatively similar in each case. All three methods agree in-band, where there is high transmission. The circuit and power wave methods agree out-of-band and show high reflection in this range. However, the modulus square method predicts a reflection coefficient greater than unity here.
Figure 8 shows similar results for $|S_{11}|^2$ obtained with more realistic losses ($Q = 100$). The circuit and power wave calculations again agree exactly over the whole range. However, the predictions of the modulus square method are now incorrect in-band as well as out-of-band.

B. Two-port device, unequal port impedances

We now consider the case shown in Fig. 6(c), namely, a discontinuity caused by a global variation in the mutual inductance from $M$ to $M' + \mu M$ between elements $-1$ and 0 and thereafter, so the system consists of two MI waveguides with different propagation constants $k_1$ and $k_2$ connected together. There are now two dispersion equations,

\begin{align}
R + \omega L + 1/\omega C + 2\omega M \cos(k_1a) &= 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n < -1, \\
R + \omega L + 1/\omega C + 2\omega \mu M \cos(k_2a) &= 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0.
\end{align}

These equations lead to characteristic impedances $Z_{11} = j\omega L \exp(-jk_1a)$ and $Z_{22} = j\omega \mu M \exp(-jk_2a)$ for the two lines. At the junction, the governing equations are

\begin{align}
(R + \omega L + 1/\omega C)I_1 + \omega M(I_{-2} + \mu I_0) &= 0, \\
(R + \omega L + 1/\omega C)I_0 + j\omega \mu M(I_{-1} + I_1) &= 0. 
\end{align}

Assuming a solution in the form of incident, reflected, and transmitted waves, namely,

\begin{align}
I_n &= I_1 \exp(-nk_1a) + I_R \exp(\text{jk}_1a) \quad \text{for} \quad n < 0, \\
I_n &= I_T \exp(-nk_2a) \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0.
\end{align}

The reflection and transmission coefficients may be found as

\begin{align}
\Gamma &= \frac{\mu \exp(jk_2a) - \exp(jk_1a)}{\exp(jk_2a) - \mu \exp(jk_1a)}, \\
T &= \frac{\exp(jk_1a) - \exp(\text{jk}_2a)}{\exp(jk_2a) - \mu \exp(jk_1a)}.
\end{align}

Once again, as expected, $\Gamma = 0$ and $T = 1$ when $\mu = 1$ and $k_1 = k_2$.

Scattering parameters for ports at elements $-1$ and 0 may again be calculated by all three methods. For example, we again assume the parameters $\kappa = 0.6$ and $Q = 100$ and take $\mu = 1.1$ so that the right-hand side line has a larger bandwidth than the left-hand side line and $|Z_{02}| > |Z_{01}|$. Figure 9 shows the frequency dependence of $|S_{11}|^2$ and $|S_{21}|^2$ calculated by all three methods on a dB scale, with the cutoff frequencies of the two lossless bands marked using black dotted and dotted-dashed lines. Once again, the circuit and power wave methods agree exactly. The modulus square method agrees reasonably inside the smaller band, when $k_1$ and $k_2$ are both approximately real. However, it predicts unphysical results when either or both of $k_1$ and $k_2$ have significant imaginary parts.

C. Three-port device, equal port impedances

We now consider the three-port splitter shown in Fig. 10 and formed from three MI waveguides with identical characteristics. These support currents $I_{in}$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Line 1 extends from $n = -\infty$ to $n = -1$, and lines 2 and 3 extend from $n = 1$ to $n = +\infty$. The three lines are coupled together at element 0, which has mutual inductance $M_1 = \mu M$ to line 1. Elsewhere, the three lines have
parameters \( R, L, C, \) and \( M, \) and the dispersion equation is analogous to (1).

The equations that must be solved at the junction are

\[
\begin{align*}
(R + jωL + 1/jωC)I_{1,1-1} + jωM(I_{1,1-2} + μ_1I_0) &= 0, \\
(R + jωL + 1/jωC)I_0 + jωM(μ_1I_{1,1-1} + μ_2I_{2,1} + μ_3I_{3,1}) &= 0, \\
(R + jωL + 1/jωC)I_{2,1} + jωM(I_{2,2} + μ_2I_0) &= 0, \\
(R + jωL + 1/jωC)I_{3,1} + jωM(I_{3,2} + μ_3I_0) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(29)

Here, \( I_0 \) is the current at the connecting loop, a separate unknown. For incidence from line 1, solutions are assumed as

\[
I_{1,n} = I_1 \exp\(-jka\) + \( I_2 \) \exp\(+jka) \text{ for } n < 0, \\
I_{2,n} = I_2 \exp\(-jka) \text{ for } n > 0, \\
I_{3,n} = I_3 \exp\(-jka) \text{ for } n > 0.
\]

(30)

Substituting and making use of the dispersion equation, solutions can be obtained as

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma &= \frac{I_2}{I_1} \left( 1 - μ_1^2 \right) \exp\(+jka\) - \left( μ_2^2 + μ_3^2 - 1 \right) \exp\(-jka\), \\
T_2 &= \frac{I_2}{I_1} \left( μ_2 \exp\(-jka\) - \exp\(+jka\) \right), \\
T_3 &= \frac{I_3}{I_1} \left( μ_3 \exp\(-jka\) - \exp\(+jka\) \right).
\end{align*}
\]

(31)

Here, we focus on the case when \( μ_1 = 1 \) and \( μ_2^2 + μ_3^2 = 1 \) and (31) reduces to \( \Gamma = 0, \) \( T_2 = μ_2, \) and \( T_3 = μ_3, \) implying that the splitter is matched and has a constant splitting ratio. Using the circuit method, equations are solved for the four loops, assuming a voltage source with output impedance \( Z_0 \) at port 1 and terminations at ports 2 and 3, as shown in Fig. 10(a). Using discrete power waves, \( A \) and \( B \) coefficients are found for each line in turn. Figure 11 shows the frequency dependence on a dB scale of \(|S_{11}|^2\) and \(|S_{21}|^2\); thus obtained for a splitter with parameters \( κ = 0.6, Q = 100, μ_1 = 1, \) and \( μ_2 = μ_3 = 1/\sqrt{2}, \) so the device is a 3dB splitter. As usual, the black dotted lines show the lossless band edges. The predictions of the modulus square method are entirely incorrect for \(|S_{11}|^2\) since this trace is absent. Although the predictions for \(|S_{21}|^2\) (and \(|S_{31}|^2\), since this is identical) are qualitatively correct in-band, only the circuit and power wave methods show the expected band-limited performance.

These results highlight the need to obtain realistic estimates of power flow when there is loss and/or when propagation is out-of-band. For terminated ports, the circuit method of Eq. (21) gives
numerical results that are universally equivalent to those obtained using Kurokawa’s method. However, the discrete power wave method also allows scattering parameters to be found when there is no immediately adjacent port, for example, at junctions between semi-infinite media. As we have shown numerically, this allows direct exploitation of the solutions of boundary matching problems. Although these are not presented here due to their length, we have also used known results for \( \Gamma \) and \( T \) to construct complete analytic expressions for the S-parameters themselves.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A discrete formulation of power waves has been developed for magneto-inductive waveguides. These waves are analogous to the continuous power waves introduced by Kurokawa for transmission-line systems, satisfy the power conservation relation, and avoid the physical anomalies seen in scattering parameters found using modulus square methods for passive MI systems. They are applicable to a system supporting pure current waves and allow determination of intermediate scattering parameters, for example, at junctions between semi-infinite media.

The importance of the power wave approach has been demonstrated using examples involving multi-port MI devices, which show how analytic solutions can be correctly converted to scattering parameters. Consequently, this approach should assist in designing systems that involve connected MI devices (for example, containing splitting or filtering components as well as simple propagation pathways). Since an analogous power conservation relation may be constructed for MI waves in 2D, assuming mutual inductances \( M \) and \( M_\pi \) in the two perpendicular directions, it may be useful for calculating power flow in devices based on metasurfaces. Finally, it is likely to be relevant to other periodic metamaterials, such as electro-inductive waveguides, for which discrete power waves may also be constructed.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article.
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