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Abstract

Today large and mid-size companies have more complex operations which involve different types of risk and are difficult to identify the risk. To extract these risks and analyze them scientifically, a professional risk assessment tool namely ANN is most appropriate and flexible network technology. This paper briefly presents Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs), universal and highly flexible functions, approximations for any data as an application to assess risk in cement industries, for instance HOLCIM CEMENT BANGLADESH LIMITED has been selected to assess risks. To assess the risks a threelayer feed forward architecture of 10 risk factors which are independent and their strength of relationship was used as relative weight of input variables. Six neurons of hidden layer and two neurons of output layer with back propagation algorithm were designed using Neural Network Toolbox of MATLAB. The input data normalized to the range 0–0.9 and initial weights were randomly selected. The algorithm propagates the weights backwards and then controls the weights. The factors were inputted to a MATLAB-based application program and the number of iterations were set 9000. After implementation the overall process the adjustment weights were trained and by using adjusted weights actual output was found 0.63 in which predicted value was 0.66 with 95.45% prediction success, the results very promising.
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Introduction

Risk assessment provides a mechanism for identifying possible risks and opportunities which represent potential pitfalls that could affect the achievement of organizational objectives, positively or negatively. While organizations have been conducting risk assessments for years, many still find it difficult to remove their real value [1]. The linkage of risk assessment to drivers of shareholder value and key objectives has sometimes been lost. A large amount of the manufacturing industries in Bangladesh don't follow correct procedure to assess the potential risk. Most time they fail to identify the potential risk as well as their qualitative or quantitative analysis. As a result organization has been failed to meet its objectives within due time and it causes of losing big amount of money [2]. So it is important factor to identify the potential risk in the industry and assess their real value to avoid any unexpected event and to take necessary steps against the potential risk that can hamper to achieve organizations objectives. A robust risk assessment process, applied consistently throughout the organization, empowers management to better identify, evaluate, and exploit the right risks for their business, all while maintaining the appropriate controls to ensure effective and efficient operations and regulatory compliance [3]. In this paper work to assess the potential risk ANN process, which is called computational or innovative tool, most widely used in manufacturing industry.

In this paper we developed this method to study risk management process and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) process as well as this proposed method also helps to figure out the risk variables and assess risk in cement industries in Bangladesh through applications of ANN.

In this paper first section represent literature review, second section represent a ANN tool for accessing risk, third section represent methodology, fourth section represent result, fifth section represent project success and failure, finally shows discussion, conclusion and references.

Literature Review

In this section describe risk, defining risk assessment, a process for capturing and analyzing risks, purpose and applicability, neural network in risk assessment on concept of Risk Assessment, Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) for determining risk. Source of information were obtained from books, journals, reports. The history of neural networks started in mid twentieth century when simple neural network with limited capabilities were conceived. They never got into the mainstream applications at that time due to poor generalization capabilities and lack of specificity with high memory loads. After two decades, the whole concept of neural networks changed when the multi-layer neural networks with back propagation learning algorithm was presented. From that time, many different researchers studied the area of artificial neural networks, which led to a vast range of different neural architectures applied to a plethora of different problems. At present, neural networks are used as principal solutions for various problems like grouping and classification, pattern recognition, approximation, prediction, cauterization and memory simulation. Neural networks may initially seem complex and computer intensive, but actually may integrate well with a clinical environment. It has been used widely in research and for practical applications since the early 80's. Most of the work used fixed ANN topologies and standard off the shelf learning algorithms like back propagation (BP). The learning algorithm generally only trains the connections weights and unit bias. The problem of designing a near optimal ANN architecture for an application is still largely done on a
trial and error basis. However it is an interesting issue there is strong biological evidence that the information processing capabilities of an ANN are determined by its architecture [2].

Although often considered a relatively new phenomenon initial attempts at artificial neural networks date back to the early 20th century. In 1943 Warren McCulloch and Walter Pitts co-authored a paper outlining a model of a simple neural network with electronic circuits. Later, as computers emerged in the 1950s, several researchers attempted to utilize the new technology to create better neural networks. Over the next decade or so these physiologists, psychologists and computer engineers contributed greatly to the development of artificial neural networks. One of these early pioneers was Frank Rosenblatt, a neurobiologist at Cornell that was researching vision in flies. The neural processing that occurred within the eye itself particularly intrigued Rosenblatt and formed the basis of his “Perceptron” neural network. The Perceptron and other models showed great promise with many initial successes.

Unfortunately, the early successes of artificial neural networks caused a great deal of hype within the media. This eventually led to disappointment as earlier claims were left unfulfilled. In part this was due to the very limited computing power available at the time. However, there were also conceptual limitations to progress. In 1969 Marvin Minsky and Seymour Papert published a book in which they discussed some of the limitations of the Perceptron model. The effect of these problems was to limit much of the funding available for research into artificial neural networks.

Although funding was minimal several scientists continued to develop neural network models. Paul Werbos worked to improve the earlier Perceptron model and created the now popular back-propagation network (though, it wasn’t until it was rediscovered in 1986 by Rumelhart and McClelland that it became widely used). Other researchers such as Steve Grossberg, Teuvo Kohonen, and Henry Klopf also created new models. However, it was not until John Hopfield of Caltech presented a paper to the national Academy of Sciences that also created new models. However, it was not until 1986 by Rumelhart and McClelland that it became widely used.

The Perceptron and other models showed great promise with many initial successes.

The initial value of weight factor is to be chosen randomly which should be (-0.3 and +0.3). In the input layer, the state of each neuron is determined by the input variable.

\[ \text{net}_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{ij} \times x_i \]

Where, \( W_{ij} \) is the weight factor of input layer to hidden layer and \( x_i \) is the input variable. The activity of neuron is calculated by a sigmoid function:

\[ O_j = \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{-\text{net}_j}} \]

Where, \( O_j \) is the output of input layer.

Evaluation of output of hidden layer to output layer: The output of input layer is represented the input of hidden layer. The total weight of hidden layer to output layer is equal to \( W = (n+1) \times O \)

Where, \( n=\)Total no of hidden layer, \( O=\)Total no of output layer. In hidden layer the state of each neuron is determined by:

\[ \text{net}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{O} W_{jk} \times o_j \]

Where, \( W_{jk} \) is the weight factor of hidden layer to input layer and \( O_j \) is the output of input layer.

The activity of neuron in the hidden layer is calculated by the following function.

\[ O_k = \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{-\text{net}_k}} \]

Where, \( O_k \) is represented the output of output layer.

b. Evaluation of error: The error, E is measured from the desired value, \( d_i \) and the actual output value, \( O_i \). The training, performed, on a represented data set, runs until the sum of squared errors (SSE) is minimized:

\[ \text{SSE} = \frac{1}{2} (d_i - O_i)^2 \]

Where, SSE is the sum of squared errors. \( d_i= \)desired value and \( O_i= \)actual output value.

B. Back-propagation to the output layer

The back-propagation path from the output of the network up to the output unit \( k \) is shown as below:

From this path we can collect by simple inspection all the multiplicative terms which define the back-propagated error \( \delta_k \). Therefore

\[ \delta_k = \left( O_k - 1 - O_k \right) \left( d_i - O_i \right) \]

Where, \( d_i= \)desired value of Output layer, \( O_i= \)Output of Output layer value.

C. Back-propagation to the hidden layer: The back-propagation to the hidden layer shown as below:

The FF-ANN tool for accessing risk

ANN can be used as a prediction tool to access risk for minimizing error where error is defined as the difference between desired value and output value. The process of minimizing error can be executed by back propagation algorithm which comprises the following steps [5].

A. Feed forward propagation: Feed forward propagation comprises the following steps:

a. Evaluation of output of input layer to hidden layer
b. Evaluation of output of hidden layer to output layer
c. Evaluation of Error

a. Evaluation of output of input layer to hidden layer: To evaluate output of input layer at first the weight factor of each input variable is calculated. The total weight of input layer to hidden layer is equal to

\[ W = (m+1) \times n \]

Where, \( W=\)Total weight of input layer, \( m=\)Total no of input variable and \( n=\)Total no of hidden layer.

The initial value of weight factor is to be chosen randomly which
Therefore the back-propagated error for hidden layer is \( \delta_{j} \) and represent by
\[
\delta_{j} = o_{j}(1-o_{j}) \sum_{i} \delta_{i} \times w_{ji}
\]

**Weight update**: Adjustment of weight, layer by layer, is calculated from the output layer back to input layer. The correction is made by:
\[
\Delta w_{ji} = LR \times \delta_{j} \times f(\text{net}_{i})
\]
\[
\Delta w_{kj} = LR \times \delta_{k} \times f(\text{net}_{j})
\]

Where, \( \Delta w_{ji} \) is the adjustment of weight between neuron \( j \) and neuron \( i \) from the previous layer. \( f(\text{net}_{i}) \) is the output of neuron \( i \) and LR is the learning rate and \( \delta_{j} \) depends on the layer [6].

**Methodology**

The whole process how artificial neural network works are used to assess in the cement industry: Holcim cement Bangladesh Ltd. Flow chart used for the design of ANN (Figure 1).

A. Identify potential risk factor

Data Collection and Set Table 1 for training the neural network in the below input data table, data are collected with the help of experience persons in cement industries in Bangladesh and according to the previous work in the field. The "overall assessed risk" are calculated with discussing managerial persons in cement industries. Actually this value depends on people guessing power and they feel how much impact on the objectives for the corresponding risk factors, Table 2. Every risk factor treat as an independent variable and range is (0-9) where 0 means no risk and 9 means maximum risk. Risk value can be measured by qualitative analysis chart where range (0-3) indicates Low impact; range (4-6) indicates Moderate impact and range (7-9) indicates High impact Figure 2.

**Output of learning of network In MATLAB**: From the input data set we used (12*11) input matrix for calculating overall assessed risk. Since ANN can't receive the value more than 1 so every data table set value has been divided by 9. Our assessment's risk value range is (0-9) and also added one additional column of complement of overall risk assessed.

**Input Matrix**: Table 3.

**Result**

According to the above algorithm (article 3.4) the graph shows

| Risk factor | Symbol |
|-------------|--------|
| Machine failure (Bearing failure, failure of fan impeller) | X1 |
| Electrical failure (power supply) | X2 |
| Uncertain occurrence of hazard | X3 |
| Lack of standard operating procedure | X4 |
| Improper chemical composition | X5 |
| Wrong vendor selection | X6 |
| Blockage | X7 |
| Leakage | X8 |
| Insufficient storage | X9 |
| Lacking knowledge of machine labor | X10 |

**Table 1**: The risk factors which were collected from the above cement industry are given below.

| X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 | X8 | X9 | X10 | Overall assessed risk |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----------------------|
| 2  | 5  | 4  | 8  | 9  | 5  | 9  | 8  | 5  | 6  | 6                   |
| 0  | 8  | 9  | 0  | 7  | 4  | 8  | 9  | 0  | 3  | 5                   |
| 4  | 0  | 5  | 4  | 8  | 3  | 5  | 6  | 9  | 5  | 5.2                 |
| 5  | 2  | 0  | 5  | 8  | 6  | 1  | 6  | 5  | 4.5                 |
| 1  | 6  | 7  | 4  | 4  | 8  | 7  | 3  | 6  | 4  | 5                   |
| 3  | 3  | 4  | 2  | 4  | 6  | 1  | 7  | 3  | 4  |                     |
| 7  | 6  | 0  | 5  | 0  | 6  | 5  | 9  | 6  | 4  | 4.8                 |
| 3  | 5  | 6  | 9  | 0  | 4  | 1  | 2  | 5  | 5  | 4                   |
| 6  | 2  | 0  | 7  | 2  | 5  | 2  | 7  | 4  | 1  | 3.5                 |
| 2  | 5  | 6  | 9  | 3  | 4  | 1  | 2  | 5  | 5  | 4.2                 |
| 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1                   |
| 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0                   |

**Table 2**: Different Risk Factors for Ten Years.
that learning of the design network is correct because error rate is continuously decreasing and it lowest value trend is 0.002. So it can be expect that trained network can predict good assessed value of risk.

Figure 3 learning graph of trained network.

| X1  | X2  | X3  | X4  | X5  | X6  | X7  | X8  | X9  | X10 | Overall assessed risk | Complement of Overall risk assessed |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.22| 0.55| 0.44| 0.88| 1   | 0.55| 1   | 0.88| 0.55| 0.66| 0.66                  | 0.34                              |
| 0   | 0.88| 1   | 0   | 0.77| 0.44| 0.88| 1   | 0   | 0.33| 0.55                  | 0.44                              |
| 0.44| 0   | 0.55| 0.44| 0.88| 0.88| 0.33| 0.55| 0.66| 1   | 0.64                  | 0.36                              |
| 0.55| 0.22| 0   | 0.55| 0.88| 0.66| 0.11| 0.66| 0.65| 0.55| 0.5                   | 0.5                               |
| 0.11| 0.66| 0.77| 0.44| 0.44| 0.88| 0.77| 0.33| 0.66| 0.44| 0.55                  | 0.45                              |
| 0.33| 0.44| 0.44| 0.22| 0   | 0.44| 0.66| 0.77| 0.33| 0.48| 0.5                  | 0.52                              |
| 0.77| 0.66| 0   | 0.55| 0   | 0.66| 0.55| 1   | 0.66| 0.44| 0.53                  | 0.47                              |
| 0.33| 0.55| 0.66| 1   | 0   | 0.44| 0.11| 0.22| 0.55| 0.55| 0.44                  | 0.56                              |
| 0.66| 0.22| 0.77| 0.22| 0.55| 0.22| 0.77| 0.11| 0.38| 0.38| 0.62                  |                                   |
| 0.22| 0.55| 0.66| 1   | 0.33| 0.44| 0.11| 0.22| 0.55| 0.11| 0.46                  | 0.54                              |
| 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 0                    | 1                                 |

Table 3: Input Matrix of Artificial Neural Network.

| .3158| .7620| -.7977| .7258| .4915| -.5284| -.4229| -.2853| 1.0591| 1.1836 |
| -.8431| .9754| .9021| .0351| .6908| -.7786| -.1092| .7035| .4898| .9822 |
| .3371| -.8625| .8272| .8225| .3676| .5237| .3307| .3539| .1609| -.8542 |
| .3115| -.1065| -.4413| -.6269| -.7731| .6594| .4260| .4592| .7442| -.8528 |
| -.1929| -.3175| .3970| .7494| -.1083| -.042| -.1198| .1171| .9259| .9560 |
| -.1685| .8732| .5315| -.2101| -.9157| .1850| 1.0158| -.3566| .1692| -.5154 |
| .3561| -.3855| -.6804| -.2459| 1.2578| .4759| -.366| -.722| -.2219| .4929 |
| .3798| -.1098| .8697| .0619| .8585| -.300| -.6088| -.4978| .2321| -.0534 |

Table 4: Standard Weight Factors.

| X1  | X2  | X3  | X4  | X5  | X6  | X7  | X8  | X9  | X10 | Overall assessed risk | Complement of Overall assessed risk |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.22| 0.55| 0.44| 0.88| 1   | 0.55| 1   | 0.88| 0.55| 0.66| 0.66                  | 0.34                              |

Table 5: Input Table.

- Standard weight factor table
- The standard weight factors were found from the MATLAB program are given in Table 3
- Standard weight factors Table 4.
- Sample calculation: Here only one input matrix (1*12) is used to calculate the hidden layer input Table 5. Calculation of input value for j-th neuron (net) as:

\[
net_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{ji} \times x_i
\]

Where, net is the net input of neuron j, x_i is the output value of neuron i of previous layer; W_{ji} is the weight factor of the connection between neuron i and j.

A. Input layer to hidden layer
For hidden layer 1 Evaluation the state of the signal from the input layer
Where, net$_1$ is the net input of neuron 1.

Now, the activity of neuron is determined by using sigmoid functions i.e.

\[ f(\text{net}_j) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\text{net}_j}} \]

According to the above calculation we get overall signal net$_1$, net$_2$, ..., net$_6$ and activity neuron f (net$_1$), f (net$_2$), ..., f (net$_6$) are shown in Table 6. The Figure 4 shows in below the hidden layer to output layer. Here .790, .821, .450, ... 1 are the input of hidden layer respectively. After iteration we get the desired output which is shown in Table 6 output of output layer shown in Table 7. Comparison of prediction accuracy between desired and output value shown in Table 8.

| Output 1 | Output 2 |
|----------|----------|
| net$_1$  | .51      | -.59     |
| f(net$_1$)| .63      | .37      |

Table 7: Output of Output Layer.

| Desired value | Output value |
|---------------|--------------|
| Overall assessed risk | 0.66 | 0.34 |
| Complement of overall assessed risk | 0.63 | 0.37 |
| % of Correct Prediction | 95.45% | |
| Error | 0.00045 | |

Table 8: Comparison of Prediction Accuracy between Desired and Output Value.

Project Success and Failure

The prediction percentage for iterations are represented in the following Figure 5 Performance percentage Vs Iterations as a function of the training. From the Figure 5 we can see that initially the performance percentage increase very quickly where for 500 and 1000 iterations the performance percentage is respectively 76.59% and 86.42%. The performance percentage became fluctuate from 1500 to 6500 iterations. After that the performance percentage became stable and the highest performance percentage was found for 9000 iterations which is 95.45% [6].

Discussion, Conclusion and Limitations

In this paper a new approach for evaluating risk based risk factors derived from an ANN model was proposed. The risk factor to assess risk was collected from a renewed company Holcim cement Bangladesh limited. The ANN model was implemented by MATLAB based on the risk factors and achieved a good performance. Here the learning graph showed that the error was minimized with respect to iterations and also showed the lowest possible error was 0.00045. The performance percentage graph showed the highest performance percentage obtained after 9000 iterations and the performance percentage was 95.45%. The good performance of ANN suggests that ANN is most flexible and useful tool for predicting risk in any industry.

Today large and mid-size companies have more complex operations which involve different types of risk and are difficult to identify the risk.
To extract these risks and analyze it scientifically, a professional risk assessment tool namely ANN is most appropriate and flexible network technology.
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