Apicomplexan perforin-like proteins
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Numerous perforin-like proteins are encoded in the genomes of apicomplexan parasites, where they are expressed in various life-cycle stages and play critical roles in pathogenesis and life-cycle progression. These ApiPLPs are characterized by the presence of a MACPF domain, responsible for pore-formation in target membranes in a number of systems, including many bacterial pathogens and effectors of the immune response. ApiPLP MACPF domains maintain the critical structural elements but are often present in new and intriguing domain arrangements. Recent work in Toxoplasma and Plasmodium has shown that ApiPLPs are important for breaching membranes during parasite egress and cell traversal. Here we present an overview of this important protein family from a structural, functional and phylogenetic perspective across the Apicomplexa.

Introduction

Pore-forming proteins are an ancient component of the arsenal of both sides in the molecular struggle between host and pathogen. One example of this has been illustrated by recent work on a family of Membrane Attack Complex/Perforin (MACPF) domain proteins in the phylum Apicomplexa. Comprised of obligately intracellular pathogens, apicomplexan parasites are responsible for numerous diseases of medical (malaria, cryptosporidiosis, toxoplasmosis) and veterinary (coccidiosis, babesiosis, theileriosis) importance.

Originally characterized in the pore-forming effector proteins of the mammalian immune response (complement components C6-C9, perforin), MACPF-domain proteins bind the surface of target cells and oligomerize to form large pre-pore complexes. These pre-pores undergo a molecular rearrangement and insert into target membranes to form large pores (~100 Å diameter). Exciting work over the past couple of years has provided additional insight into their molecular mechanism of action by revealing that the MACPF fold is shared with that of the cholesterol-dependent cytolysins (CDCs), an extensively studied family of bacterial pore-forming virulence factors.

Here we present an overview of the Apicomplexan Perforin-Like Proteins (ApiPLPs) that have been identified in parasites for which genome sequence is available. It should be noted that apicomplexan genome sequencing efforts differ greatly in terms of completion, sequence coverage and quality of the gene predictions. Furthermore, the availability of supporting data sets such as stage specific expressing of varies greatly from the microarray, proteomics and expansive EST data available for Plasmodium to only a limited number of Babesia ESTs sequenced. While genomic sequences and gene predictions are available for six species of Plasmodium (falciparum, vivax, chabaudi, knowlesi, yoelii and berghei) and two species of Theileria (annulata and parva), intra-genus orthology is very high both with respect to sequence identity and the number of MACPF-domain proteins predicted for each species within these genera. For this reason the ApiPLPs of Plasmodium and Theileria will be discussed on the genus level using the P. falciparum and T. annulata gene predictions as representatives.

Conservation & Expression

The MACPF domain is an ancient fold found in every domain of the tree of life with the exception of Archaea (Pfam ID: PF01823, ). Among the Protista, MACPF proteins are not limited to the apicomplexa but are also expressed by free-living predatory organisms such as Paramecium and Tetrahymena.

The number of encoded MACPF domains (Fig. 1) varies across the major clades of the phylum with none in Cryptosporidium, two or three in the Coccidiae (Toxoplasma, Neospora, Eimeria), five in the Haemosporidiae (Plasmodium) and nine in the Piroplasmidae (Theileria and Babesia). The expansion of ApiPLPs in Plasmodium, Theileria and Babesia may be driven by the need to adapt the functions of PLPs to both their mammalian and arthropod hosts, while the apicomplexans with fewer PLPs appear to be limited to mammalian hosts. Indeed several of the malarial PLPs are expressed in the insect stages (Table 1). Relatively little work has been done to characterize global gene expression of the tick-borne stages of either Theileria or Babesia, which may explain why the expression evidence is limited to only a few PLPs, all expressed in the mammalian, blood-borne stages.

As Cryptosporidium represents a low-branching clade in the apicomplexa it is difficult to assess whether its PLP genes were lost over time or whether it branched off before the MACPF domain was acquired. Given the presence of MACPF domains...
The four ApiPLPs characterized to date (TgPLP1, PPLP1/SPECT1, PPLP3/MAOP, PPLP5) all share similar domain architectures with a N-terminal region of variable length followed by a centrally located MACPF domain and ending in a β-pleated sheet-rich domain unique to the apicomplexa (Fig. 1).

Structure

The canonical domain architecture of MACPF/CDC proteins combines the pore-forming domain with a variety of different β-pleated sheet-rich C-terminal domains that typically mediate binding of target membranes. The four ApiPLPs characterized to date (TgPLP1, PPLP1/SPECT1, PPLP3/MAOP, PPLP5) all share similar domain architectures with a N-terminal region of variable length followed by a centrally located MACPF domain and ending in a β-pleated sheet-rich domain unique to the apicomplexa (Fig. 1).

The three ApiPLPs for which intracellular localization was determined (TgPLP1, PPLP1/SPECT2, PPLP3/MAOP) all localize to the micronemes, which are specialized secretory organelles that play critical roles in parasite invasion, egress in non-apicomplexan alveolates, their ubiquity in the remaining apicomplexa and the streamlined genome of Cryptosporidium, it seems more likely that Cryptosporidium initially possessed a gene(s) encoding the MACPF domain and later lost it.
and motility. Microneme secretion is a carefully controlled and directed event that is calcium-regulated and occurs only at the apical end of the parasite. This is analogous to the calcium-mediated and directed release of perforin from secretory lysosomes of natural killer cells and cytotoxic T lymphocytes and represents an effective mechanism to achieve a high local concentration of PLP monomers delivered to a limited area on the target membrane. The majority of uncharacterized ApiPLPs are also likely to be secreted based on the presence of a secretory signal sequence (Fig. 1). Given the difficult task of accurately predicting the first exon it may well be that virtually all ApiPLP2 are secreted.

The remainder of the N-terminal region of ApiPLPs preceding the MACPF is not conserved across the phylum, varying considerably in both length and sequence, suggesting that they make unique contributions to the function of each ApiPLP. TgPLP1 is proteolytically processed within this region during trafficking (Kafasck BFC and Carruthers VB, unpublished). Since TgPLP1 contains a predicted transmembrane signal anchor near its N-terminus, this processing untethers TgPLP1 from the membrane and may also be a necessary prerequisite for membrane-lytic activity.

The characteristic MACPF structural elements of a twisted β-pleated sheet sitting atop two helical clusters, appear to be well conserved in the ApiPLPs, based multiple sequence alignments and a structural homology model of TgPLP1. During pore-formation these helical clusters convert into amphipathic β-hairpins and insert into the target membrane where they form the lower lining of the pore’s barrel. As expected the helical clusters of ApiPLP MACPF domains exhibit the pattern of alternating hydrophobic and hydrophilic amino acids necessary for this conversion.

A Hidden-Markov sequence conservation model based on an alignment of ApiPLP MACPF domains reveals a signature pattern of W-x(2)-[FL]-[FI]-x(2)-[FY]-G-T-H-x(7)-G-G, similar in spacing and amino acid usage to the canonical MACPF signature (PROSITE ID: PDOC00251). Interestingly, the ApiPLP MACPF domains contain a conserved insertion comprised of a pair of anti-parallel α-helices, which is absent from canonical MACPFs. This insertion is modeled to reside on what would be the external face of the ApiPLP barrel in a spatial region that contains important residues for oligomerization of membrane-bound perforin monomers.

A second feature unique to ApiPLP is the presence of two highly conserved pairs of cysteine residues MACPF domains, whereas the canonical MACPF domains are devoid of disulfide bridges. The first pair likely stabilizes the two anti-parallel α-helices of the above-mentioned insertion, while the second might pin together two strands of the central twisted β-pleated sheet near the bend region.

In a novel arrangement, both Theileria and Babesia are predicted to encode multiple MACPF domains within a single protein. Such an increase in valency might reduce the number of PLP monomers required to form a pore, potentially lowering the effective monomer concentration necessary for pore-formation. However, as indicated above, the gene predictions should be interpreted with some caution and it will be necessary to validate that these multiple domains are indeed in a single protein rather than in separate proteins encoded in adjacent genes.

The pore-forming MACPF-domain proteins and the CDCs allpair the MACPF/CDC domain with one or more C-terminal domains responsible for binding to target membranes via lipid or protein receptors. These C-terminal domains vary in sequence and structure, but are consistently comprised almost entirely of β-pleated sheets, as in the case of the EGF-like and C2 domains of perforin; the β-prism domains of the bacterial PluMACPF, and the β-sandwich Ig domain of the CDCs.

Similarly, the C-termini of ApiPLPs are generally occupied by multiple copies of a predicted domain comprised of five β-strands, which we have termed ApiPLP C-terminal domains. This is based on sequence homology and pattern searches, the ~55aa APC-β domain is unique to the Apicomplexa and only found in association with ApiPLPs. While definitive studies are necessary, the APC-β domains are likely responsible for the initial binding of ApiPLPs to their target membranes. Given that these membranes can vary widely in their origin as well as lipid and proteins composition ranging from arthopod mid-gut epithelium to the parasitophorous vacuolar membrane of parasitized mammalian cells, it will be interesting to see if this novel domain binds a ubiquitous receptor such as cholesterol or has been adapted to specific receptors depending on the host species and target cells. As the MACPF + APC-β pairing is found across the phylum it appears likely that this union occurred early in the evolutionary history of the Apicomplexa.

### Table 1. ApiPLP stage-specific expression

| Genus     | Gene     | Stage expressed | Evidence |
|-----------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| Toxoplasma| TgPLP1   | Tachyzoites     | Protein (11) |
| Neospora  | NcPLP1   | Tachyzoites     | EST (38)  |
|           | NcPLP2   | Tachyzoites     | EST (38)  |
|           | NcPLP3   | Tachyzoites     | EST (38)  |
| Eimeria   | EtPLP1   | Sporozoites     | EST (39)  |
|           | EtPLP2   | Sporozoites     | EST (39)  |
| Plasmodium| PPLP1    | Sporozoites     | Protein (12) |
|           | PPLP2    | IDC*            | Proteomic (29) |
|           | PPLP3    | Ookinete        | Protein (14) |
|           | PPLP4    | Ookinete        | Proteomic (41)/EST (42) |
|           | PPLPS    | Ookinete/Sporozoites/Gameetocyte | Protein (15) Proteomic (29) |
| Theileria | TpPLP1   | Piroplasm       | EST (8)   |
|           | TpPLP5   | Schizont        | EST (9)   |
| Babesia   | BbPLP1   | Intraerythrocytic | EST (7)   |

*Intra-erythrocytic development cycle.*
The APC-β domain is generally present in three tandem copies, although some of the Theileria and Babesia PLPs are predicted to have fewer copies, which may be due in part to the difficulty of correctly predicting the last exon. Alternatively, PLPs lacking the APC-β domain altogether may interact with a second protein, which mediates the initial membrane interaction and facilitates oligomerization similar to the two-component system used by the perforin-like pleurotolysin of the edible oyster mushroom.

Lastly, several ApiPLPs (TgPLP1, NePLP1, PPLP3/MAOP, PPLP4) contain a patch of four or more positively charged amino acids near the C-terminus, which may facilitate interaction with negatively charged head groups of phospholipids on target membranes, perhaps in a fashion similar to the interaction of a basic patch in the HIV gag protein that facilitates for viral assembly by binding to PI(4,5)P₂ in the host cell plasma membrane.

**Function**

Pore formation by MACPF/CDC proteins is a multi-step process that initiates with the secretion of monomers, which bind to their cognate receptor on the target membrane. This is followed by oligomerization into a large circular pre-pore by lateral interactions of the monomers followed by conformational change of the central MACPF domain, resulting in membrane insertion and formation of a large β-barrel pore of ~100 Å diameter. Functions of these pores include: (1) killing target cells by disrupting their outer membrane as is the case for many bacterial CDCs as well as components of the membrane attack complex (C6-C9); (2) delivering other effector proteins across membranes as in the delivery of granzyme by perforin; or (3) facilitating pathogen traversal of a membrane barrier as in the case of Listeriolysin O mediated release from the phagosome. While pore formation by proteins containing the MACPF fold has long been described, the Apicomplexa have retooled this domain to accomplish some novel and interesting tasks. The PPLP gene family was first characterized was PPLP3/MAOP, which localizes to secretory organelles of the Plasmodium ookinete stage. The ookinete forms after fertilization in the midgut of the mosquito host and migrates from the blood meal across the midgut epithelium before forming a PV during cell entry. This suggests that PPLP1 is a critical determining factor of whether or not a PV is generated during cell entry.

Recent work in our lab has implicated TgPLP1 in the egress of Toxoplasma tachyzoites from the host cell. Following treatment with a calcium ionophore TgPLP1 knockout tachyzoites became motile but were significantly delayed in their exit from host cells and often remaining trapped within spherical structures comprised of the parasitophorous vacuolar membrane (PVM) and host cell remnants. Further experiments revealed that TgPLP1 was necessary for the permeabilization of the PVM, following ionophore treatment. The important role of TgPLP1 was underscored by a substantial attenuation of virulence in mice compared to wild-type control.

While the characterized functions of the PPLP all implicate a role in cell traversal of either the mosquito midgut epithelium by ookinetes or Kupffer cells by sporozoites, a role for PPLPs in Plasmodium egress remains possible. Proteomic studies indicate the expression of both PPLP1 and PPLP2 in Plasmodium infected hepatocytes, in addition to ookinetes from the schizont is crucial for progression of the life cycle. Similarly, one or more PPLP may act during the transition from the liver stage to the erythrocytic cycle where a large number of merozoites escape the PVM with in the infected hepatocyte and bud off into the liver vasculature in large vesicles termed merozoites, which subsequently rupture within pulmonary capillary beds. Thus, PPLPs may contribute both to the PVM escape and eventual release from the merozoite.

Unlike Plasmodium and Toxoplasma, the Piroplasmidae (Theileria and Babesia) escape their PVM shortly after invasion and replicate within the host cell cytoplasm. In addition to facilitating their exit from the cell following replication, PLPs could also play a role in this early escape, analogous to the action of Listeriolysin O in the escape of L. monocytogenes from the phagosome.

While ApiPLPs have been shown to be necessary for rapid membrane disruption and appear to have all the necessary structural features, the sufficiency of ApiPLPs for pore formation has not been directly demonstrated. Further biochemical analyses will be necessary to determine not only whether ApiPLPs form pores but also to determine their cognate receptors on target
membranes. The targets of the characterized PPLPs are the extracellular plasma membrane face of either mosquito midgut epithelium or a variety of mammalian cells in the skin and liver. TgPLP1 can act on both the internal as well as the cytoplasmic face of the PVM and may also act on the cytoplasmic face of the host cell plasma membrane.11 As their name indicates, cholesterol is a common receptor of the bacterial CDCs,18 and use of lipid receptor may explain how ApiPLPs can act on such diverse membranes.

Recent work by Chandramohanadas et al. showed that the host proteases calpain-1 and calpain-2 are important to egress in both Plasmodium and Toxoplasma and their depletion in cells infected with Toxoplasma lead to the same spherical structures of trapped parasites observed in the TgPLP1 knockout.32 Intriguingly, these proteases are activated by spikes in the local calcium concentration19 and the Toxoplasma PVM is in tight association with the host endoplasmic reticulum, a major sites of calcium storage.34 Secretion of TgPLP1 during egress may not only permeabilize the PVM but also act on the nearby host ER, liberating calcium locally to activate host calpains. Alternatively, ApiPLPs may directly destabilize membrane barriers to egress or act as gateways for additional effector proteins already present in the PV or released during egress, such as the SERA proteases that are activated by the protease PfSUB1 during Plasmodium merozoite egress.35 These effectors, once released into the host cytoplasm may then lead to the activation of the host calpains or breakdown of egress barriers.

The activity of pore-forming proteins must be carefully controlled to avoid inadvertent damage to non-target membranes. This is often achieved by one or more mechanisms such as regulated secretion (perforin),3 porelytic activation (perforin),3,25 receptor specificity to target membranes (intermedilysin)36 or the presence of immunity proteins.37 How apicomplex parasites prevent autolysis by ApiPLPs following secretion remains an interesting area deserving of additional work.

The ApiPLPs studied to date are involved in two apparently distinct mechanisms: cell traversal from outside of cells and egress from within. Yet both mechanisms begin by disrupting the HPM or the PVM, itself initially derived from the HPM and topologically analogous, and end with the zoite crossing the HPM to exit the cell. A possible, unifying view of ApiPLP function is that they disrupt the PVM in both cases, either following replication during egress or immediately after its formation during invasion. The decision between traversal and invasion may be controlled by the amount of ApiPLPs secreted, with a stable PVM only forming once the amount of secreted ApiPLPs is sufficiently low. Hence, early host cell contacts of salivary gland Plasmodium sporozoites, packed with micronemes, result in large bursts of PPLP1 secretion and disruption of the nascent PVM leading to cell traversal. As available micronemes become depleted with each subsequent traversal, the amount of secreted PPLP1 drops until it fails to disrupt the newly forming PVM and leads to productive invasion. This is consistent with both the multiple cell traversal events prior to invasion and the observation of Amino et al.27 that the absence of PPLP1 heavily skews the kinetics of sporozoite invasion away from cell traversal and toward formation of a PV. For Toxoplasma tachyzoites, which feature far fewer micronemes, the depletion of micronemes during egress may be sufficient to drop the amount of secreted TgPLP1 to below the threshold for membrane traversal, thus explaining the failure to observe cell wound-

Work in Plasmodium and Toxoplasma is beginning to reveal the importance of ApiPLPs in the life-cycle of apicomplexan parasites, thereby opening the door for a wealth of new and intriguing questions regarding ApiPLP structure and function.

Central to these efforts will be demonstrating pore-formation by ApiPLP proteins directly and defining the requirements such as the receptor for membrane binding, optimal pH, and the role of metal co-factors. Answers to these questions will also shed light in how apicomplexan parasites protect themselves from deleterious pore-formation during ApiPLP trafficking and secretion. The unusual domain arrangements potentially present in some Theileria and Babesia ApiPLPs, such as multiple MACPF domains or the lack of APC-β domains, may present a new structural twist in utilizing the MACPF/CDC fold. Similarly, work towards determining the function and fold of the uniquely apicomplexan APC-β domain may provide insight into how they direct the MACPF domain to the target membrane.

From a functional perspective, it remains unclear how the ApiPLPs enable parasites to cross the target membranes. Does insertion of ApiPLPs alone sufficiently weaken the target membranes for parasites to cross or do the pores act as gateways for additional effectors which in turn responsible for the breakdown of these barriers? Additionally, it remains unclear if ApiPLPs are only responsible for disrupting the initial target membrane or whether they also form pores in subsequent membranes such as host organelles or HPM upon parasite exit from the cell.

Finally, it will be intriguing to see what roles the many yet uncharacterized ApiPLPs play and whether these extend beyond egress and cell traversal, such as escape of Theileria and Babesia into the host cytoplasm. Similarly, looking beyond the Apicomplexa, the presence of MACPF proteins in free-living, predatory protists such as Paramecium and Tetrahymena may shed light on the structural and functional evolution this important and fascinating protein family.
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