Spatially resolved dust emission of extremely metal poor galaxies
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\section*{ABSTRACT}
We present infrared (IR) spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of individual star-forming regions in four extremely metal poor (EMP) galaxies with metallicity $Z \lsim Z_\odot/10$ as observed by the Herschel Space Observatory. With the good wavelength coverage of the SED, it is found that these EMP star-forming regions show distinct SED shapes as compared to those of grand design Spirals and higher metallicity dwarfs: they have on average much higher $f_{70\mu m}/f_{160\mu m}$ ratios at a given $f_{160\mu m}/f_{250\mu m}$ ratio; single modified black-body (MBB) fittings to the SED at $\lambda \geq 100$ $\mu$m still reveal higher dust temperatures and lower emissivity indices compared to that of Spirals, while two MBB fittings to the full SED with a fixed emissivity index ($\beta = 2$) show that even at 100 $\mu$m about half of the emission comes from warm (50 K) dust, in contrast to the cold ($\sim$20 K) dust component. Our spatially resolved images further reveal that the far-IR colors including $f_{70\mu m}/f_{160\mu m}$, $f_{160\mu m}/f_{250\mu m}$ and $f_{250\mu m}/f_{350\mu m}$ are all related to the surface densities of young stars as traced by far-UV, 24 $\mu$m and SFRs, but not to the stellar mass surface densities. This suggests that the dust emitting at wavelengths from 70 $\mu$m to 350 $\mu$m is primarily heated by radiation from young stars.
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\section*{1 INTRODUCTION}
Stars born within primordial galaxies in the early universe form out of gas with no or little metals. These distant galaxies are, however, difficult to detect. Nearby extremely metal poor (EMP) star-forming galaxies are chemically unevolved, and thus offer important astrophysical laboratories for our understandings of the interstellar medium (ISM) and star formation in the low metallicity environments (Kunth & Oumltslin 2000; Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2013; Shi et al. 2014, 2015). Dust grains play a vital role in galaxy formation and evolution. Characterizing the dust properties by investigating the infrared (IR) emission is a powerful way to understanding the ISM and evolution of dwarf galaxies (Feldmann 2015).

The integrated dust properties of dwarfs have been investigated with Spitzer Space Telescope. It is found that aromatic features are absent in the metal poor galaxies. Engelbracht et al. (2005) pointed out that the ratio $f_{3.6\mu m}/f_{24\mu m}$ depends strongly on the metallicity (where $f_\lambda$ is the flux density at wavelength $\lambda$), with significantly lower values (mean ratio 0.08 $\pm$ 0.04) for galaxies below one-third of the Solar metallicity than those at higher metallicities (mean ratio 0.70 $\pm$ 0.53). Draine & Li (2007) confirmed no polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) emission in low-metallicity galaxies, as well as other works (e.g. Wu et al. 2006; Rosenberg et al. 2008). The HI-to-dust mass ratio as studied by Engelbracht et al. (2008) is shown to increase with the decreasing metallicity to 12+log(O/H)$\sim$8, as

\begin{thebibliography}{9}
\bibitem{Engelbracht2005} Engelbracht et al. (2005) adopted Solar metallicity as 12 + log(O/H) = 8.7 (Allende Prieto et al. 2001). Here in this paper, we define Solar metallicity to be 8.7 as well. Thus one-third of the Solar metallicity is around 8.2.
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~Z_{-2.5}^2$, and flattens out at lower oxygen abundances. They also found an anti-correlation between dust temperature and metallicity up to $12+\log(O/H)\sim8$, from $T\sim23$ K near the Solar metallicity to $T\sim40$ K at lower metallicity, but a positive relation at lower metallicities. The total gas-to-dust ratio as revealed by Herschel increases the decreasing metallicity. The slope of the relationship is -1 at higher metallicity ($12+\log(O/H) > 8$) and becomes even steeper at the lower metallicity end (Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2014). It is also found that the metal content may not be the only factor affecting the dust properties of dwarfs. For example, the dust to stellar mass ratio of I Zw 18 and SBS 0335-052, which have similar metallicities to the other EMP galaxies in Table 1, are very different ($10^{-6}$ to $10^{-5}$, and $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-2}$, respectively) as estimated by Fisher et al. (2014) and Hunt et al. (2014), respectively. The ratio for I Zw 18 is extremely low, while that for SBS 0335-052 is comparable to that found for normal Spiral galaxies (Hunt et al. 2014).

While significant progresses have been made in understanding the integrated dust properties of EMP galaxies, spatially resolved investigations of dust properties of these galaxies are still rare, and thus the dependence of dust properties on the local condition is largely unconstrained in the EMP galaxies. In this study, we aim to benefit from the high spatial resolution of the Herschel Space Observatory to investigate the IR emission of individual star-forming regions of four EMP galaxies, with focus on the IR SED shapes and their relations to the local conditions.

### 2 SAMPLE, OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

#### 2.1 The Sample

Our sample of EMP galaxies contains four objects including Sextans A, ESO 146-G14, DDO 68 and Holmberg II (Ho II). Sextans A is a dwarf irregular at 1.4 Mpc with $12+\log(O/H)=7.49$ based on the direct method (Kniazev et al. 2005). ESO 146-G14 with a direct method based $12+\log(O/H)=7.61$ (Bergvall & Rönnback 1995) is a blue low surface brightness galaxy at a distance of 21.4 Mpc. DDO 68 with a direct method based $12+\log(O/H)=7.21$ (Pustilnik et al. 2005) is a blue compact dwarf at a distance of 5.9 Mpc. Ho II is a Magellanic irregular dwarf at a distance of 3.3 Mpc (McCull et al. 2012), with a direct method based $12+\log(O/H)=7.92$ (Croxall et al. 2009).

We also compared our results to the integrated measurements of the KINGFISH sample (Kennicutt et al. 2011), the DGS (Dwarf Galaxy Survey) sample (Madden et al. 2013), and other two EMP galaxies (I Zw 18 & SBS 0335-052). These properties are listed in Table 1.

#### 2.2 Observations

Herschel broad-band images (PI: Y. Shi, PID: OT2_yshi_3) of Sextans A, ESO 146-G14 and DDO 68 were taken at 70 and 160 $\mu$m through the scan map modes of PACS (Poglitsch et al. 2010), and 250, 350 and 500 $\mu$m through the small map modes with SPIRE (Griffin et al. 2010). The exposure time (excluding overheads) in the two PACS bands

---

2 Temperatures are derived from modified blackbody, with the emissivity index $\beta$ fixed to be 2.
Table 2. Exposure time of Herschel data used in this paper.

| Name          | PACS   | SPIRE          |
|---------------|--------|----------------|
| SextansA      | 1.9 hr | 6 min          |
| ESO146-G14    | 1.6 hr | 6 min          |
| DDO 68        | 2.7 hr | 10 min         |

is 1.9 hr, 1.6 hr and 2.7 hr for Sextans A, ESO 146-G14 and DDO 68, respectively, and in the three SPIRE bands is 6 min, 6 min and 10 min, respectively. The data of Sextans A and ESO 146-G14 have been partly published in Shi et al. (2014) where the detailed data reduction was given, while the data of DDO 68 is newly presented here. DDO 68 has low S/N, which may cause large uncertainties on the color (§ 3). The PACS and SPIRE integration times of the observations are also listed in Table 2. The Herschel data of Ho II was taken from the KINGFISH project (Kennicutt et al. 2011).

In addition to the Herschel data, the Spitzer IR data at 24 µm and GALEX far-UV data at 1516 Å were also retrieved from the archive.

2.3 Photometric Measurements

As detailed in Shi et al. (2014), the star-forming disk of each galaxy is defined as an ellipse/circle to closely follow the 10σ contour of the far-UV emission, as shown in Fig. 1. Note that for DDO 68 we excluded the tidal tail to better focus on the main disk, although the tail is formally above the 10σ detection threshold. Individual dusty star-forming clumps within a star-forming disk were identified as circle regions with elevated far-UV and 160 µm IR emission which is 3σ above the fluctuations of the disk emission. The defined star-forming regions are listed in Table 3. For the flux measurements, the underlying sky emission is estimated within a sky annuli between 1.1 and 1.5 times the disk aperture. For flux error estimates, the Herschel flux uncertainty is given by the following sources: the first is the uncertainty of the photon noise within the aperture we defined; the second is the uncertainty from the sky background subtraction; the third represents the uncertainty introduced by the offset from the accurate PSF position when we defined the aperture for each source; and the system uncertainty is also included. For more details please see Shi et al. (2014). The measurements of fluxes at other wavelengths basically follow the same procedure. The photometric results of these star-forming regions are listed in Table 3.

In order to compare images among different resolutions, aperture corrections are performed on all the star forming clumps based on their PSFs. We have tested that the aperture correction method has almost the same effect as convolving images to the same resolution when fitting SEDs (e.g., see Casasola et al. 2015). Pixel sizes and resolutions of images at each wavelength are listed in Table 4.

Figure 2. The distribution of EMP star-forming regions, and integrated measurements of galaxies from DGS and KINGFISH in the $f_{70\mu m}/f_{160\mu m}$ vs. $f_{160\mu m}/f_{250\mu m}$ color-color diagram. The grid is a single modified black-body with a range of temperatures and emissivity indices. The solid line represents a constant emissivity index, $\beta$, with the temperature ranging from 10 to 100 K in a decrement of 5 K from the left-bottom to the upper-right. Dashed lines represent constant temperature with $\beta$ ranging from 0.0 to 3.0 in a step of 0.5 from the left-bottom to the upper-right. The brown line is the trend of star forming galaxies predicted by the model of Dale et al. (2014).

Figure 3. The same as Fig. 2 but using $f_{160\mu m}/f_{250\mu m}$ vs. $f_{250\mu m}/f_{350\mu m}$. MNRAS 000, 1–9 (2015)
Table 3. Photometry Of Individual Star-forming Regions In Metal Poor Galaxies

| Region | Position (J2000) | m_a x m_b | f_{70} | f_{160} | f_{250} | f_{70} | f_{160} | f_{250} | f_{70} | f_{160} | f_{250} | f_{70} | f_{160} | f_{250} | f_{70} | f_{160} | f_{250} |
|--------|----------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Sextana/A/sf-1 | 10:10:56.9 ± 0.04 | 20-27 | 960 ± 0.4 | 1.64 ± 0.009 | 1.05 ± 0.008 | 1.06 ± 0.14 | 41 ± 2 | 56 ± 2 | 55 ± 3 | 32 |
| Sextana/A/sf-2 | 10:11:10.0 ± 0.04 | 24-44.5 | 660 ± 0.4 | 1.65 ± 0.009 | 1.36 ± 0.008 | 3.32 ± 0.33 | 72 ± 3 | 147 ± 14 | 111 ± 4 | 52 |
| Sextana/A/sf-3 | 10:11:6.20 ± 0.04 | 22-22 | 4300 ± 0.6 | 2.78 ± 0.013 | 2.20 ± 0.011 | 6.30 ± 0.64 | 267 ± 4 | 297 ± 22 | 164 ± 5 | 89 |
| Sextana/A/sf-4 | 10:10:55.5 ± 0.04 | 22-22 | 260 ± 0.4 | 1.14 ± 0.009 | 0.69 ± 0.008 | 0.94 ± 0.13 | 21 ± 2 | 69 ± 2 | 62 ± 3 | 34 |
| ESO146-G14/sf-1 | 22:13.6.0 ± 0.02 | 32-35 | 10.10 | 0.33 ± 0.003 | 0.24 ± 0.003 | 1.02 ± 0.16 | 24 ± 4 | 31 ± 4 | 29 ± 2 | 16 |
| ESO146-G14/sf-2 | 22:13.2.7 ± 0.02 | 32-35 | 10.10 | 0.30 ± 0.003 | 0.28 ± 0.003 | 1.27 ± 0.18 | 36 ± 5 | 52 ± 3 | 52 ± 5 | 34 |
| ESO146-G14/sf-3 | 22:12:59.0 ± 0.02 | 32-35 | 10.10 | 0.73 ± 0.003 | 0.50 ± 0.003 | 0.93 ± 0.16 | 15 ± 2 | 57 ± 9 | 49 ± 3 | 31 |
| DDO68/sf-a | 09:56:46.6 ± 0.01 | 25-16.6 | 340 ± 0.1 | 0.08 ± 0.004 | 0.08 ± 0.006 | 0.71 ± 0.08 | 11 ± 1 | <2 | <2 | <5 |
| DDO68/sf-b | 09:56:46.2 ± 0.01 | 25-16.6 | 370 ± 0.1 | 0.04 ± 0.004 | 0.02 ± 0.006 | 0.36 ± 0.05 | 10 ± 1 | 2 ± 1 | <5 | <5 |
| DDO68/sf-c | 09:56:45.3 ± 0.01 | 25-16.6 | 350 ± 0.1 | 0.06 ± 0.004 | 0.02 ± 0.006 | 0.33 ± 0.05 | 10 ± 1 | 2 ± 1 | <5 | <5 |
| Hol/I/sf-1 | 08:18:45.8 ± 0.01 | 25-44.0 | 880 ± 0.5 | 1.34 ± 0.008 | 1.09 ± 0.012 | 16.69 ± 1.67 | 31 ± 6 | 165 ± 5 | 144 ± 14 | 79 ± 4 | 51 |
| Hol/I/sf-2 | 08:19:13.3 ± 0.01 | 25-42.5 | 260 ± 0.5 | 2.01 ± 0.008 | 1.49 ± 0.012 | 46.32 ± 1.24 | 471 ± 17 | 497 ± 14 | 205 ± 14 | 103 |
| Hol/I/sf-3 | 08:18:57.2 ± 0.01 | 25-42.5 | 246 ± 0.6 | 4740 ± 0.8 | 12 ± 1 | 0.014 | 8.490 ± 0.2 | 17 ± 1 | 895 ± 11 | 751 ± 8 | 630 ± 11 | 345 ± 8 |
| Hol/I/sf-4 | 08:19:26.9 ± 0.01 | 25-42.5 | 43 ± 3 | 4780 ± 0.7 | 10.44 ± 0.013 | 7.992 ± 0.18 | 6318 ± 16 | 765 ± 7 | 892 ± 16 | 387 ± 16 | 247 ± 16 | 105 |

*Star-forming regions we defined in our EMP galaxies;  
RA & DEC of the center of each region;  
Major axis and minor axis of each region in arcsecond;  
Flux density at far-UV of each region, the same with f_{70}, f_{160}, f_{250}.

Table 4. Pixel sizes of Herschel data used in this paper.

| Instrument | Wavelength (µm) | Pixel size | Resolution |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Herschel/PACS | 70, 160 | 1''/2 | 2''/13'' |
| Herschel/SPIRE | 250, 350, 500 | 4'', 6'', 8'' | 18'', 25'', 36'' |
| Spitzer/IRAC | 3.6, 4.5 | 0.075'' | 0.75'' |
| Spitzer/MIPS | 24µm | 1.5'' | 6'' |
| GALEX/FUV | 1516A | 1.5'' | 4.5'' |

*The pixel size of the 3.6µm image of ESO146-G14 is 0.6''.

3 THE FAR-IR SEDS

3.1 The color-color diagrams

Fig. 2 shows the f_{70}/f_{160} vs f_{160}/f_{250} color-color diagram of our individual EMP regions along with those integrated in the Kingfish and DGs galaxies.

The predictions of single modified black-body (MBB) models are overlaid as grids where the solid line represents a large fraction of our sample has f_{70}/f_{160} > f_{250}/f_{160} and the latter shows larger values with those in the literature of Dale et al. 2013. While the sample seems to be the extreme case in which about half of our sample has f_{70}/f_{160} < f_{250}/f_{160} higher than the black-body curve for a given f_{160}/f_{250} color.

Similar to Fig. 2, Fig. 3 presents another color-color plot that is f_{70}/f_{160} vs f_{160}/f_{250}. Again, our EMP regions occupy a different area of the diagram, when compared with the other two sample. The Kingfish Spirals and DGs galaxies span a similar range of f_{250}/f_{160} but the latter shows larger f_{160}/f_{250} at given f_{250}/f_{160} compared to the former. In contrast, our EMP regions mainly occupy the locus toward the bottom-left corner with smaller f_{160}/f_{250} and f_{250}/f_{160}. In the figure there is one object (UM 461) that deviates significantly from the trend. It is difficult to explain such a strange SED. We double checked the photometric measurements and obtained consistent values with those in the literature of Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2013 as used in the figure. But we did notice that the centroids of the 250 and 350 um images are significantly offset (14'') from those of the 70 and 160 µm, indicating possible significant contamination by a background source at these two wavelengths.

3.2 Modified black-body fitting

To further characterize the SED shape and understand the dust emission of EMP star-forming regions, the MBB fittings are carried out. A single MBB model fitting to the full SED is not appropriate as a single photon heating dust component may contaminate significantly the 70 µm or even the 100 µm emission. We first performed a single MBB fitting to the photometry ≥ 100 µm by assuming at these longer wavelengths the contribution from the hot dust component was negligible. The MBB model determined the dust temperature T...
and the dust emissivity index $\beta$ from $S_\nu = A \cdot B_\nu(T) \lambda^{-\beta}$, where $S_\nu$ was the flux density at frequency $\nu$, $A$ was a constant that is related to the column density of the dust, and $B_\nu(T)$ was the Planck function. This method assumed an optically thin condition at the observed far-IR wavelengths with the dust mass derived from $M_{\text{dust}} = \frac{S_\nu D^2}{\kappa_\nu}$. $\kappa_\nu$ was the dust opacity, and $D$ was the distance to the galaxy. We took $\kappa_\nu = 1.9 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ at $\lambda = 350 \mu\text{m}$, and calculated the dust mass at $\lambda=350 \mu\text{m}$ as this band was less sensitive to temperature than shorter wavelengths, and had lower uncertainties than those at longer wavelengths. As listed in Table 5, the single MBB fitting gave $\beta \sim 1$ and $T < 20$-50 K. The temperature may be underestimated for Sextans A and ESO 146-G14 as there is no 100 $\mu\text{m}$ photometry.

The two-MBB fitting is more reasonable by taking the advantage of the full SED, which is carried out with the equation $S_\nu = A_w \cdot B_{\nu,w}(T_w) \lambda^{-\beta_w} + A_c \cdot B_{\nu,c}(T_c) \lambda^{-\beta_c}$, where $A_w$, $T_w$, and $\beta_w$ describe the hot component of the dust emission while $A_c$, $T_c$, and $\beta_c$ are for the cold component. Here we fixed $\beta_w = 1$ to represent the hot small grains and $\beta_c = 2$ for the cold large grains, similar to the study of Zhu et al. (2009). The results are shown in Fig. 4 and listed in Table 6. The dust mass is derived in the same way as the single MBB fitting. If the cold component dust indices $\beta_c$ decrease from 2.0 to 1.7, 1.5 and 1.3, the derived $T_c$ increases and the derived dust mass drops by 10%, 25% and 50%, respectively.

Our two MBB fits give cold dust with $T_c \sim 15$-20 K and warm dust with $T_w \sim 50$-60 K. Fig. 4 indicates that the warm dust emission is significant for these EMP star-forming regions, in contrast to those of Spirals (Galametz et al. 2012). To further characterize the importance of the hot dust emission, we performed similar fittings to the integrated SEDs of the DGS sample (Remy-Ruyer et al. 2013) and investigate the fraction of the emission from the warm dust MBB at 100 $\mu\text{m}$ ($f_{\text{warm}}/f_{\text{total}}(100 \mu\text{m})$) as a function of the oxygen abundance. The result is shown in Figure 5. It indicates that the fraction ($f_{\text{warm}}/f_{\text{total}}(100 \mu\text{m})$) increases on average with decreasing metallicities; around the Solar abundance, the warm dust emission is small (< 20%) but reaches above 50% below one tenth of the Solar metallicity. Such a high warm dust contribution cautions the single MBB fitting to the photometry for EMP galaxies when the data at $\lambda < 100$ $\mu\text{m}$ are included. As shown in Figure 4, our two-MBB fittings with $\beta=2$ for the cold component also provide good fittings, while the single MBB requires a lower $\beta$. This suggests that the result about $\beta$ is sensitive to the way how the MBB fitting is performed, and the $\beta$ of metal poor galaxies can be underestimated as a result of a large warm dust contribution up to 100 $\mu\text{m}$.

![Figure 4](image1.png)

**Figure 4.** The two modified black-body fitting to the SEDs. Red dots are the flux density at different wavelength within each star-forming region. Black solid lines are the 2T MMB best fits with blue dotted lines the best fits of the warm dust components and blue dashed lines the best fits of the cold dust components.

![Figure 5](image2.png)

**Figure 5.** The fraction of warm dust emission at 100 $\mu\text{m}$ as a function of the Oxygen abundance based on the two modified black-body fitting. The red diamonds are the EMP star-forming regions, and blue circles are for the integrated dwarf galaxies from the DGS.

Table 5. Results of Single MBB Fits to the SEDs at $\geq 100 \mu\text{m}$

| Region         | $T$ [K] | $\beta$ | $M_{\text{dust}}$ [M$_\odot$] |
|----------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|
| SextansA/sf-1  | 19 ± 8  | 0.99±1.22 | $1.34 \times 10^3$          |
| SextansA/sf-2  | 25 ± 9  | 1.02±0.78 | $3.1 \times 10^2$           |
| SextansA/sf-3  | 37 ± 17 | 0.59±0.58 | $9.93 \times 10^3$          |
| SextansA/sf-4  | 21 ± 9  | 1.09±1.12 | $1.21 \times 10^2$          |
| ESO146-G14/sf-1| 23 ± 22 | 0.90±2.24 | $1.00 \times 10^2$          |
| ESO146-G14/sf-2| 30 ± 5  | 1.14±0.64 | $4.51 \times 10^4$          |
| ESO146-G14/sf-3| 22 ± 16 | 0.80±1.94 | $2.11 \times 10^5$          |
| Ho/I/sf-1       | 47 ± 13 | 0.07±0.44 | 1.98$\times 10^3$           |
| Ho/I/sf-2       | 37 ± 4  | 0.70±0.24 | 6.03$\times 10^3$           |
| Ho/I/sf-3       | 33 ± 1  | 0.82±0.06 | 1.30$\times 10^4$           |
| Ho/I/sf-4       | 41 ± 1  | 0.95±0.06 | 5.42$\times 10^2$           |

http://www.astro.princeton.edu/~draine/dust/dustmix.html, (Milky Way, $R_V = 3.1$), Li & Draine (2001)
Table 6. Results of two MBB Fits to the Photometry

| Region            | T_{cold} [K] | T_{warm} [K] | M_{warm} [M_⊙] | M_{cold} [M_⊙] | M_{warm}/M_{cold} | f_{warm}/f_{total}(100\,\mu m) | L_{\nu-100\,\mu m} [L_⊙] |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| SextansA/sf-1     | 13±2         | 49±2         | 2.22\times10^4 | 3.33\times10^3 | 6.7\times10^{-3} | 0.82                          | 1.93\times10^5           |
| SextansA/sf-2     | 16±2         | 54±3         | 2.49\times10^4 | 3.00\times10^3 | 8.3\times10^{-3} | 0.49                          | 4.09\times10^5           |
| SextansA/sf-3     | 17±3         | 49±2         | 1.43\times10^3 | 3.72\times10^3 | 3.8\times10^{-3} | 0.74                          | 1.11\times10^6           |
| SextansA/sf-4     | 15±1         | 53±3         | 7.74\times10^0 | 2.62\times10^3 | 3.0\times10^{-3} | 0.45                          | 1.53\times10^3           |
| ESO146-G14/sf-1   | 15±2         | 52±1         | 2.78\times10^3 | 2.60\times10^3 | 1.0\times10^{-2} | 0.74                          | 2.98\times10^6           |
| ESO146-G14/sf-2   | 20±4         | 53±5         | 2.50\times10^4 | 8.64\times10^4 | 2.9\times10^{-4} | 0.42                          | 3.77\times10^7           |
| ESO146-G14/sf-3   | 14±2         | 56±3         | 1.00\times10^3 | 5.35\times10^3 | 1.9\times10^{-4} | 0.42                          | 2.66\times10^7           |
| HoII/sf-1         | 13±3         | 56±2         | 4.19\times10^2 | 2.39\times10^4 | 1.8\times10^{-2} | 0.97                          | 5.46\times10^6           |
| HoII/sf-2         | 19±3         | 50±3         | 6.19\times10^2 | 1.69\times10^4 | 3.4\times10^{-3} | 0.64                          | 1.21\times10^6           |
| HoII/sf-3         | 19±3         | 53±3         | 7.68\times10^2 | 3.04\times10^4 | 2.5\times10^{-2} | 0.45                          | 1.17\times10^6           |
| HoII/sf-4         | 16±4         | 57±2         | 1.36\times10^3 | 2.11\times10^4 | 6.4\times10^{-2} | 0.92                          | 1.88\times10^6           |

Figure 6. The IR colors as functions far-UV surface brightness, 24 \,\mu m surface brightness, SFR surface densities and stellar mass surface densities from left to right.
3.3 Spatial variations of SEDs And Dust Heating Mechanism

Spatially resolved IR data allow to investigate the spatial variation of the IR SEDs among different star-forming regions in our EMP galaxy sample. As shown in Fig. 2, the variation in the color $f_{70\mu m}/f_{160\mu m}$ among different EMP regions for a given galaxy seems to be smaller as compared to the overall scatter of the integrated color. The $f_{70\mu m}/f_{160\mu m}$ spans an overall range from about 0.2 to 2.5. In contrast, four EMP regions in Sextans A have $f_{70\mu m}/f_{160\mu m}$ between 0.5 and 1.0, four regions of Ho II span the color range from 0.7 to 2.3, and three regions of ESO 146-G14 show the color from 0.2 to 0.7. As shown in Fig. 3, the variation in the color $f_{160\mu m}/f_{250\mu m}$ among different EMP regions within a given galaxy is also smaller than the overall scatter among integrated quantities of galaxies.

With the spatially resolved images, we can further study the color as a function of local conditions in the 2-D. In Fig. 6, the far-IR color of individual EMP regions is investigated as a function of far-UV surface brightness, 24 $\mu$m surface brightness, SFRs and stellar masses\(^4\). All surface brightness/densities as listed in Table. 7 are inclination corrected with angles of 0°, 50°, 54° and 30° for Sextans A, ESO 146-G14, DDO 68 and Ho II, respectively. As indicated by the figure, the far-UV surface brightness of our EMP regions are between 0.01 and 0.1 MJy/sr, which is within the range of Spirals (Gil de Paz et al. 2007; Shi et al. 2011). The SFR surface densities of our EMP regions are between $10^{-3.5}$ and $10^{-2}$ $M_{\odot}$/yr/kpc\(^2\). This is also within the range of star-forming regions of local Spirals (Bigiel et al. 2008). The stellar mass surface densities of EMP regions are between 5 and 15 $M_{\odot}$/kpc\(^2\), which is several times lower than those of Spirals (e.g. Shi et al. 2011).

Fig. 6 shows that all three IR colors including $f_{70\mu m}/f_{160\mu m}$, $f_{160\mu m}/f_{250\mu m}$ and $f_{250\mu m}/f_{350\mu m}$ increase on average with the increasing far-UV surface brightness, 24$\mu$m surface brightness and SFR surface densities, with the best relationships with the SFR surface densities. While all these three surface brightness/densities are associated with young stars, the SFR derived here includes both the unobscured component as traced by the far-UV and the obscured one as traced by the 24 $\mu$m. Fig. 6 further shows that there are no trends between the IR colors and the stellar mass surface densities. Unlike the SFR, the stellar mass is better related to old stars. Investigations of the relationships between the far-IR colors and the tracers can be used to constrain if the dust is mainly heated by the radiation from the young stars or the interstellar radiation field from the old stars. As argued by Bendo et al. (2015), such investigations show many advantages in understanding the dust heating mechanism as compared to other methods such as the dust SED fitting and radiative transfer that relies on assumptions of dust grain properties, SED shapes of heating source etc. The results of Fig. 6 thus support that young stars are the main heating source of dust radiating at wavelengths from 70 $\mu$m to $\geq 250$ $\mu$m in EMP star-forming regions. Investigations of the heating source of dust in Spirals by Bendo et al. (2015) found that in only 3 out of 24 galaxies, the $f_{160\mu m}/f_{250\mu m}$ and $f_{250\mu m}/f_{350\mu m}$ are better related to the SFRs than old stars while in the remaining the $f_{250\mu m}/f_{350\mu m}$ color is driven by both.

The above result suggests that the dust of emission at 70 $\mu$m up to 350 $\mu$m in EMP regions is heated by young stars instead of diffuse stellar radiation from old stars. The underlying cause for this needs further investigations. As discussed above, the SFR surface densities of our EMP regions are not that different from those in Spirals, indicating the radiation fields from young stars are not enhanced in EMP regions compared to star-forming regions in Spirals. But the SFR relative to the stellar mass, i.e. the specific SFR (sSFR), in these EMP regions is enhanced, with the median of log(sSFR[Gyr\(^{-1}\)]) around -0.82 and a standard deviation of 0.36. In contrast, the star-forming regions of 12 Spirals in Shi et al. (2011) have the median log(sSFR[Gyr\(^{-1}\)]) of -1.28 and a standard deviation of 0.32. Compared to the sSFRs of dwarf galaxies as studied by Hunt et al. (2015), our galaxies lie below their trend, but still within the scatter (0.01 – 30 Gyr\(^{-1}\), around metallicities of our galaxies). This difference could be due to the fact that our galaxies are mostly dwarf irregulars and low-surface-brightness galaxies, while the sample of Hunt et al. (2015) contains many blue compact dwarf galaxies that are known to be compact with enhanced SFRs and have higher sSFRs due to interactions.

The properties of dust grains in EMP galaxies may be systematically different from those in Spirals, making the heating from young stars important to the dust emission all the way up to 350 $\mu$m. For example, if small dust grains in EMP galaxies are abundant, they could be heated to higher temperatures without requiring an enhanced radiation field. It is observationally difficult to quantify the size of dust grains. Studies of extinction curves point out that metal-poor dwarfs in the local group, SMC and LMC, show more steeply rising extinction at UV wavelengths, suggesting smaller dust grains in these two galaxies (for a review, see Li et al. 2015). A simple extrapolation of this result into the EMP regime would naturally expect the dust in EMP galaxies to be smaller due to the lack of raw material for grain growth. Small grains could offer more surface area for the formation of molecular hydrogen that is likely abundant in EMP galaxies as indicated by several tracers including dust (e.g. Shi et al. 2014), warm H$_2$ (e.g. Hunt et al. 2010) and [CII] 158 $\mu$m (e.g. Madden et al. 1997, 2013) although CO is very weak (e.g. Shi et al. 2015). However, it is impossible with current facilities to obtain the measurements of the extinction curve for these relatively distant EMP galaxies, and thus to conclude the size of dust grains in EMP galaxies.

4 DUST-TO-STEMLLAR MASS RATIO

In Fig. 7, the dust-to-stellar mass ratio of our EMP regions are plotted against the oxygen abundance, 12+log(O/H),
along with the integrated quantities from the DGS. The dust masses of both samples are measured using the same method, i.e. the two MBB fitting (see §3.2), which could avoid the artificial effects of different dust mass estimates on the relationship. As shown in the figure, there does not seem to exist any correlation between these two quantities. Hunt et al. (2014) also found that two EMP galaxies (IZw 18 and SBS 0335-052) show very different dust-to-stellar mass ratio although both are similarly very metal poor, and their ratios lie within the overall scatter of spiral and other dwarfs at higher metallicities. Our significantly increased number of the data-points in the EMP regime as compared to the work at higher metallicities. Our significantly increased number of the data-points in the EMP regime as compared to the work (2015). Fig. 7 indicates that although a sharp drop may occur in the dust-to-gas mass ratio, a similar drop in the trend of the dust-to-stellar ratio as a function of metallicity is not seen. The model argues that the dust content in EMP galaxies is mainly regulated by the galactic outflow, whose efficiency may be overestimated so that too much dust is removed relative to the stellar content. Our results further suggest that if outflows regulate the dust-to-stellar mass ratio, they must vary greatly on scales of 100 – 1000 pc.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We present IR SEDs of individual star-forming regions in four EMP galaxies observed by Herschel. The main conclusions are:

(1) As compared to spirals and higher metallicity dwarfs, EMP star-forming regions have on average much higher $f_{100\mu m}/f_{160\mu m}$ ratios at given $f_{160\mu m}/f_{250\mu m}$ ratios. In addition, single MBB fits to the SED at $\lambda \geq 100 \mu m$ show higher dust temperatures and lower emissivity indices, while two-MBB fits with a fixed emissivity index show that even at $100 \mu m$ about half of the emission comes from warm ($\sim 50$ K) dust, unlike that seen in Solar metallicity spiral galaxies.

(2) Our spatially resolved images further reveal that the far-IR colors including $f_{100\mu m}/f_{160\mu m}$, $f_{160\mu m}/f_{250\mu m}$ and $f_{250\mu m}/f_{500\mu m}$ are all related to the surface densities of young stars (far-UV, 24 $\mu m$ and SFRs), but not with the stellar mass surface densities. This suggests that the dust emitting at wavelengths from 70 $\mu m$ all the way up to the 350 $\mu m$ is heated by radiation from young stars instead of old stars.

(3) Our EMP regions cover a large range in the dust-to-stellar mass ratio, indicating the importance of local conditions, such as outflows etc., in regulating the dust content.
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Table 7. Measurements of 2-D Densities of EMP Star-Forming Regions

| Region          | $\Sigma_{dust}$ [M$_\odot$/kpc$^2$] | $\Sigma_{star}$ [M$_\odot$/pc$^2$] |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Sextans A/sf-1  | $(2.69\pm0.03\times10^{-2})$      | $(2.97\pm0.39\times10^{-2})$     |
| Sextans A/sf-2  | $(1.65\pm0.02\times10^{-2})$      | $(9.09\pm0.91\times10^{-3})$     |
| Sextans A/sf-3  | $(5.69\pm0.01\times10^{-2})$      | $(8.33\pm0.85\times10^{-3})$     |
| Sextans A/sf-4  | $(7.27\pm0.68\times10^{-3})$      | $(2.63\pm0.36\times10^{-2})$     |
| ESO146-G14/sf-1 | $(1.31\pm0.05\times10^{-2})$      | $(8.88\pm0.22\times10^{-3})$     |
| ESO146-G14/sf-2 | $(2.62\pm0.03\times10^{-2})$      | $(1.07\pm0.24\times10^{-1})$     |
| ESO146-G14/sf-3 | $(7.83\pm0.06\times10^{-3})$      | $(7.92\pm0.22\times10^{-2})$     |
| Hol/2/sf-1      | $(1.32\pm0.05\times10^{-2})$      | $(2.50\pm0.29\times10^{-1})$     |
| Hol/2/sf-2      | $(3.90\pm0.08\times10^{-2})$      | $(6.93\pm0.80\times10^{-3})$     |
| Hol/2/sf-3      | $(2.62\pm0.07\times10^{-2})$      | $(9.93\pm0.12\times10^{-2})$     |
| Hol/2/sf-4      | $(3.03\pm0.06\times10^{-2})$      | $(4.91\pm0.46\times10^{-1})$     |