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Abstract

Objective Two interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs), the QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT-GIT) and T-SPOT.TB (T-SPOT), are commercially available. The agreement between the two IGRAs in the screening of healthcare workers (HCWs) for latent tuberculosis is not well known.

Methods The QFT-GIT and T-SPOT tests were performed for the baseline tuberculosis screening of 654 HCWs who worked at Mie University Hospital in Japan. The results of the two tests were directly compared.

Results Nineteen (2.9%), 28 (4.3%) and 33 (5.0%) of the 654 HCWs were found to be positive by the QFT-GIT, T-SPOT, and the QFT-GIT and/or T-SPOT methods using cut-off values of 0.35 IU/mL (QFT-GIT) and 6 spots (T-SPOT). After excluding 4 cases with indeterminate results, there were 14 concordant positive (2.2%), 618 concordant negative (95.1%), and 18 discordant (2.8%) results using the cut-off values of 0.35 IU/mL (QFT-GIT) and 6 spots (T-SPOT). The agreement of the two IGRAs was 97.2% (κ=0.595). When cut-off values of 0.35 IU/mL (QFT-GIT) and 8 spots (T-SPOT) were applied, there were 11 concordant positive (1.7%), 626 concordant negative (96.3%), and 13 discordant (2.0%) results, with 98.0% agreement (κ=0.618).

Conclusion When standard cut-off values were used, the agreement between the two IGRAs in the tuberculosis screening of Japanese HCWs was moderate to high. Importantly, some HCWs showed discordant results, especially those whose results were in the borderline zones.
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Introduction

The diagnosis and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) are important components of tuberculosis (TB) control (1). Historically, the tuberculin skin test (TST) has been the only method for detecting LTBI; however, the TST is associated with several limitations, including a lack of specificity among bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG)-vaccinated individuals, the need for two clinic visits to complete the test, and inaccuracy and bias in the reading of the TST results (2). Interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs), which measure the interferon-gamma release in response to antigens representing TB, were developed to overcome the limitations of the TST.

Healthcare workers (HCWs) have an increased risk of being infected with TB through occupational exposure, and are an important group for targeted LTBI testing. The TB
screening of HCWs may be beneficial for healthcare institutions, both in terms of nosocomial infection control and from the viewpoint of occupational health. There are numerous reports from several countries about the TB screening of HCWs using IGRAs (3-9).

In Japan, where BCG vaccination is routine, IGRAs have been used in place of the TST for applications such as contact investigations and the TB screening of HCWs (9-11). Two IGRAs, the QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT-GIT; Cellestis, Carnegie, VIC, Australia) and the T-SPOT.TB (T-SPOT; Oxford Immunotec, Abingdon, UK), are currently commercially available (12). The QFT-GIT uses whole blood and an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay method, whereas the T-SPOT uses purified peripheral blood mononuclear cells and an ELISpot method to detect interferon-gamma release. Although the methodologies of the two IGRAs are different, both methods show high sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of TB infection.

However, there are several issues that need to be addressed, including discordant results when the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT are simultaneously performed, and the interpretation of borderline results (2, 13-15). The T-SPOT results are calculated from the highest spot count difference(s) between Panel A and/or Panel B, and the Nil Control. In Japan and other countries, spot count differences of 5-7 are defined as borderline, and should be followed by retesting. For this secondary analysis, a cut-off of 6 spots was used to reclassify borderline results (positive, a spot count difference ≥6; negative, both spot count differences are ≤5) (14). In Japan, the criteria for the interpretation of the QFT-GIT differ from those in other countries in that a second cut-off is employed. A TB antigen level of 0.1-0.35 IU/mL above the Nil response is classified as borderline (intermediate), and is classified as a suspected positive result (16, 17).

The equivocality of the above-mentioned IGRAs makes it difficult to select an IGRA and to interpret the results when IGRAs are applied to the TB screening of HCWs. As there is no gold standard for the diagnosis of LTBI, a direct comparison is necessary to ascertain the differences between the two IGRAs. Accordingly, this study aimed to evaluate the agreement between the two IGRAs when they were applied to HCWs in a nation with a moderate prevalence of TB (the incidence of TB in Japan in 2014 was 15.4 per 100,000 people, with a total of 19,615 newly registered cases) (18-20).

Materials and Methods

Study setting

This study was conducted at Mie University Hospital, a 685-bed educational hospital, in Japan. Our hospital is not designated as a medical intuition for treating TB patients and does not have a TB ward. Over the past decade, there have been 9.2 outpatient cases and 3.6 inpatient cases of active TB infection per year (detected using a polymerase chain reaction-based assay and/or culture) in this hospital.

Study design and ethics approval

In 2014, a large-scale contact investigation (n=312) was performed at Mie University Hospital, and the Infection Control Committee decided to perform baseline TB screening, using IGRA tests, for all HCWs. During the contact investigation using QFT-GIT, some of the contacts with a borderline baseline results (QFT-GIT: 0.10 to ≤0.35 IU/mL) underwent follow-up testing with the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT at 2-3 months after the first test. Consequently, discordant results between two IGRAs were observed. We therefore decided to conduct both QFT-GIT and T-SPOT tests in the baseline TB screening of HCWs.

As HCWs who were newly hired within the past five years had already undergone IGRA testing, current HCWs who had worked at Mie University Hospital for more than five years were selected for baseline TB screening using the two IGRAs and a questionnaire from June to November in 2015.

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Mie University Graduate School of Medicine (No.1467). All of the participants in the study provided their written informed consent.

Interferon-gamma release assay testing

The QFT-GIT and T-SPOT tests were performed in parallel. Twelve milliliters of blood were obtained at the hospital for use in the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT tests; the samples were then transported to an external laboratory (Bio Medical Laboratories (BML), Saitama, Japan), where they were analyzed according to the manufacturers’ instructions. After the blood was drawn, the tubes were stored and transported in a designated box at 22°C using a controlled thermal storage pack. The QFT-GIT test tubes were incubated at 37°C; incubation was started in the transit laboratory on the same day (within 13 hours after venipuncture). After 16 hours of incubation, the tubes were centrifuged, and transported to the main laboratory at 2-8°C for the assay. The T-SPOT test tubes were directly transported to the main laboratory; the T-Cell Xtend reagent was added just after arrival (within 17 hours after venipuncture). The assay was started 6 hours after addition of the T-Cell Xtend reagent. The temperature was continuously measured using thermometers that passed quality checks. The QFT-GIT and T-SPOT assays were carried out according to the procedure of the package insert. The quality of both the QFT-GIT and the T-SPOT was evaluated by measuring the positive/negative controls for each of the test specimens.

BML, which performed the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT tests, is certified the College of American Pathologists for the QFT-GIT and the United Kingdom National External Quality Assessment Service (UK NEQAS) for the interferon gamma release assays that were used for the T-SPOT. The quality controls of the procedures, from transportation to the assay, were thoroughly consolidated.
**Table 1. Description of the Study Population by Questionnaire.**

| Group                        | All     | IGRA positive | IGRA negative | *p value |
|------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------------|----------|
|                              | n       | (QFT-GIT≥0.35 and/or T-SPOT≥6 spots) | (other than positive) |          |
| symptom previous             | 654     | 33 (5.0%)     | 621 (95.0%)   | NS       |
| previous abnormalities of chest X-ray and/or IGRAs | 16 (2.4%) | 1 (3.0%)  | 15 (2.4%)  | NS       |
| history of TB treatment      | 26 (4.0%) | 11 (33.3%) | 15 (2.4%) | p<0.05  |
| co-morbidity                 | 17 (2.6%) | 10 (30.3%)  | 6 (1.0%)   | p<0.05  |
| history of TB exposure within 2 years | 19 (2.9%) | 1 (3.0%)  | 18 (2.9%) | NS       |

Categorical variables were compared by the Pearson’s χ-squared test.
*p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. NS: not significant

A QFT-GIT result was considered to be positive if the interferon-gamma response in the TB antigen tube was ≥0.35 IU/mL after subtracting the response in the Nil tube. The T-SPOT result was considered positive if (antigen panel A minus Nil) and/or (antigen panel B minus Nil) yielded ≥6 spots. Negative and indeterminate results were also interpreted according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The cut-off values of the above-noted IGRAs (without borderline zones) were used for the management of IGRA-positive HCWs, who were assumed to have an LTBI. In addition, another T-SPOT cut-off value (≥8 spots) and borderline zone, which were based on the Japanese borderline criterion for the QFT-GIT (0.1 to <0.35 IU/mL), and the above-mentioned borderline zone for the T-SPOT (the highest of the antigen panel A or panel B minus the Nil spot count =5-7) were assessed (12, 13, 15).

**Questionnaire**

The demographic data, symptoms (fever, cough, sputum), previous chest X-ray and/or IGRA abnormalities, a history of TB treatment, the presence of any immunodeficiency-associated comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, immunodeficiency disorder, treatment with steroids or immunosuppressive agents, dialysis), and a history of TB exposure within two years were obtained from the subjects’ questionnaire responses.

**The management of the positive results**

HCWs with positive QFT-GIT (≥0.35 IU/mL) and/or T-SPOT (≥6 spots) results were considered to be IGRA-positive, and referred for clinical to a pulmonologist for evaluation. When appropriate, LTBI treatment was recommended by the pulmonologist.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software program (version 22; SPSS Benelux, Gorinchem, The Netherlands). Continuous variables were presented as the mean±standard deviation. Categorical variables were compared using Pearson’s χ-squared test. The concordance between the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT results was assessed using κ coefficients. p values of <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

**Results**

**The characteristics of the study population**

Among the 655 enrolled HCWs, 654 (210 physicians, 348 nurses, and 96 others) completed the IGRA tests and questionnaire; one HCW who declined to participate was excluded from the study. The mean age of the 654 participants was 42.7±9.7 years. Four hundred thirteen (63.1%) participants were women. The questionnaire responses indicated that 16 (2.4%) respondents had symptoms, 26 (4.0%) had previous chest X-ray and/or IGRA abnormalities, 16 (2.4%) had a history of TB treatment, 17 (2.6%) had an immunodeficiency-associated comorbidity, and 19 (2.9%) had a history of TB exposure (Table 1).

**The Rates of overall diagnosis with the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT results (with the exclusion of borderline criteria)**

According to the QFT-GIT results, 19 of the 654 (2.9%) respondents were positive, 633 (96.8%) were negative, and two were indeterminate. According to the T-SPOT results, 28 of the 654 (4.3%) respondents were positive, 624 (95.4%) were negative, and two were indeterminate. The four cases with an indeterminate IGRA result were not immunocompromised, and no cases showed indeterminate results on both IGRA tests.

There were 33 cases (5.0%) that were positive according to the QFT-GIT test (≥0.35 IU/mL) and/or the T-SPOT test (≥6 spots). The IGRA-positive group (n=33) contained a significantly higher number of subjects with previous chest X-ray and/or IGRA abnormalities, and subjects with a history of TB treatment than the IGRA-negative group (Table 1). The proportion of IGRA-positive HCWs differed according to their age group and occupation. The IGRA-positive group included significantly higher numbers of older HCWs and
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Discussion
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| Group | All | IGRA positive (QFT-GIT≥0.35 and/or T-SPOT≥6 spots) | IGRA negative (other than positive) | \( \* \)p value |
|-------|-----|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| total | 654 | 33 (5.0%)                                     | 621 (95.0%)                      |         |
| Age   |     |                                               |                                 |         |
| 20-30 years | 58 | 3 (5.2%)                                     | 55 (94.8%)                       |         |
| 30-40 years | 205 | 3 (1.5%)                                     | 202 (98.5%)                      | p<0.05  |
| 40-50 years | 231 | 12 (5.2%)                                    | 219 (94.8%)                      |         |
| 50-60 years | 117 | 10 (8.5%)                                    | 107 (91.5%)                      |         |
| 60-70 years | 43  | 5 (11.6%)                                    | 38 (88.4%)                       |         |
| Gender |     |                                               |                                 |         |
| Female | 413 | 18 (4.4%)                                    | 395 (95.6%)                      | NS      |
| Male   | 241 | 15 (6.2%)                                    | 226 (93.8%)                      |         |
| Profession |     |                                               |                                 |         |
| Physician | 210 | 17 (8.1%)                                    | 193 (91.9%)                      | p<0.05  |
| Nurse  | 348 | 15 (4.3%)                                    | 333 (95.7%)                      |         |
| Other  | 96  | 1 (1.0%)                                     | 95 (99.0%)                       |         |

Table 2. Proportion of Latent TB Infection (IGRA Positive) among Healthcare Workers by Age, Gender, and Profession.

Categorical variables were compared by the Pearson’s \( \chi^2 \)-squared test.
\( \* \) p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. NS: not significant

This study compared the two commercially available IGRA tests (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT) in a cohort of HCWs in a nation with moderate TB prevalence. The results from this study showed that the baseline prevalence of positive IGRA results among the HCWs was 2.9% by the QFT-GIT, 4.1% by the T-SPOT, and 5.0% by either methods, and that older HCWs and physicians were thought to be at high risk. The agreement of the two IGRA tests when they were used for TB screening was relatively high; however, discordant results were observed, especially in borderline cases.

The statistical data from The Tuberculosis Surveillance Center in Japan demonstrated that the age-specific incidence of TB per 100,000 individuals in 2014 was as follows: 9.2 (20-29 years), 7.7 (30-39 years), 7.8 (40-49 years), 9.8 (50-59 years), and 14.3 (60-69 years). As shown in Table 2, the cohort of the present study demonstrated a similar age-specific morbidity distribution. The rates of LTBI positivity (IGRA-positive) among physicians (8.1%) and nurses (4.3%) were much higher than those among other HCWs (1.0%, mainly pharmacists and radiation technologists) in the present study. It may be important to consider these risk factors for LTBI (age and profession) when planning TB screening programs among HCWs.

The absence of a true gold standard test for LTBI presents a major challenge for determining the accuracy of LTBI tests (21). Thus, it is not clear which of the IGRA tests is better for detecting LTBI and the level of agreement between the two IGRA tests has not been fully determined. Thus, the two IGRA tests were performed in parallel. The direct comparison of the two IGRA tests using cut-off values of ≥0.35 IU/mL (QFT-GIT) and ≥6 spots (T-SPOT), showed moderate agreement (97.2% with a \( \kappa \) value of 0.595) in this cohort. When

Physicians (Table 2).

Thirteen of the 33 IGRA-positive HCWs were considered to have had a previous TB infection after treatment. The other 20 IGRA-positive HCWs were referred for a clinical evaluation by a pulmonologist; 9 were started on LTBI treatment after further examination and counseling.

Comparison of the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT results (with the exclusion of borderline criteria)

After excluding the four cases with indeterminate results (QFT-GIT, \( n=2; \) T-SPOT, \( n=2 \)), the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT results (positive or negative) were directly compared. There were 14 concordant positive (2.2%), 618 concordant negative (95.1%), and 18 discordant results (2.8%) using cut-off values of 0.35 IU/mL (QFT-GIT) and 6 spots (T-SPOT). The agreement between the two IGRA tests was 97.2%, with a \( \kappa \) value of 0.595 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.41-0.78] (Figure and Table 3A).

When cut-off values of 0.35 IU/mL (QFT-GIT) and 8 spots (T-SPOT) were used, there were 11 concordant positive (1.7%), 626 concordant negative (96.3%), and 13 discordant (2.0%) with 98.0% agreement between the two tests (\( \kappa =0.618 \)) (95% CI, 0.41 to 0.82) (Table 3B).

Comparison of the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT results (with the inclusion of borderline criteria)

After excluding the 4 cases with indeterminate results (QFT-GIT, \( n=2; \) T-SPOT, \( n=2 \)), the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT results (positive, borderline, negative) were directly compared. There were 11 concordant positive (1.7%), 11 concordant borderline (1.7%), 586 concordant negative (96.3%), and 42 discordant results (6.5%). The agreement between the two methods was 93.5%, with a \( \kappa \) value of 0.538 (95% CI, 0.40 to 0.67) (Table 4).

Discussion

This study compared the two commercially available IGRA tests (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT) in a cohort of HCWs in a nation with moderate TB prevalence. The results from this study showed that the baseline prevalence of positive IGRA results among the HCWs was 2.9% by the QFT-GIT, 4.1% by the T-SPOT, and 5.0% by either methods, and that older HCWs and physicians were thought to be at high risk. The agreement of the two IGRA tests when they were used for TB screening was relatively high; however, discordant results were observed, especially in borderline cases.

The statistical data from The Tuberculosis Surveillance Center in Japan demonstrated that the age-specific incidence of TB per 100,000 individuals in 2014 was as follows: 9.2 (20-29 years), 7.7 (30-39 years), 7.8 (40-49 years), 9.8 (50-59 years), and 14.3 (60-69 years). As shown in Table 2, the cohort of the present study demonstrated a similar age-specific morbidity distribution. The rates of LTBI positivity (IGRA-positive) among physicians (8.1%) and nurses (4.3%) were much higher than those among other HCWs (1.0%, mainly pharmacists and radiation technologists) in the present study. It may be important to consider these risk factors for LTBI (age and profession) when planning TB screening programs among HCWs.

The absence of a true gold standard test for LTBI presents a major challenge for determining the accuracy of LTBI tests (21). Thus, it is not clear which of the IGRA tests is better for detecting LTBI and the level of agreement between the two IGRA tests has not been fully determined. Thus, the two IGRA tests were performed in parallel. The direct comparison of the two IGRA tests using cut-off values of ≥0.35 IU/mL (QFT-GIT) and ≥6 spots (T-SPOT), showed moderate agreement (97.2% with a \( \kappa \) value of 0.595) in this cohort. When
The QFT-GIT result was considered positive if the interferon-gamma response in the TB antigen tube after subtracting the response in the Nil tube was ≥0.35 IU/mL, and the T-SPOT result was considered positive if (antigen panel A minus Nil) and/or (antigen panel B minus Nil) ≥6. The QFT-GIT result was considered negative if the interferon-gamma response in the TB antigen tube after subtracting the response in the Nil tube was <0.35 IU/mL, and the T-SPOT result was considered negative if (antigen panel A minus Nil) and/or (antigen panel B minus Nil) was ≤5.

A cut-off value of 8 spots (T-SPOT) was used instead of 6 spots, the agreement between the two methods was higher (98.0% with a κ value of 0.618). A similar comparison performed in 111 healthy subjects (IGRA-positive rate, 1%) demonstrated 100% agreement between the two IGRA’s (12); however, this report might have overestimated the concordance rate as there was only one positive case. The other direct comparison between the two IGRA’s, which was performed in the contact investigation (n=812, QFT-GIT positive rate of 30.2%, and T-SPOT positive rate of 28.7%), demonstrated 93.9% agreement with a κ value of 0.852 using cut-off values of 0.35 IU/mL (QFT-GIT) and 6 spots (T-SPOT) with the exclusion of the borderline zone.

![Graph showing QFT-GIT and T-SPOT results for 650 HCWs after excluding indeterminate cases using cut-off values of 0.35 IU/mL (QFT-GIT) and 6 spots (T-SPOT) (with the exclusion of the borderline criteria).](image-url)
Table 4. Comparison of QFT-GIT and T-SPOT Results for 650 HCWs after Excluding Indeterminate Cases Using Cut-off with the Inclusion of Borderline Zone.

|                | T-SPOT          |                |                | Total |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
|                | Positive (≥ 8 spot) | Borderline (5,6,7 spot) | Negative (≤ 4 spot) |       |
| Positive (≥ 0.35 IU/mL) | 11              | 6              | 2              | 19    |
| Borderline (0.1 to < 0.35 IU/mL) | 4              | 11             | 24             | 39    |
| Negative (<0.1 IU/mL) | 1              | 5              | 586            | 592   |
| Total          | 16              | 22             | 612            | 650   |

The QFT-GIT result was considered positive if the interferon-gamma response in the TB antigen tube after subtracting the response in the Nil tube was ≥0.35 IU/mL, and the T-SPOT result was considered positive if (antigen panel A minus Nil) and/or (antigen panel B minus Nil) ≥8. The QFT-GIT result was considered borderline if the interferon-gamma response in the TB antigen tube after subtracting the response in the Nil tube was (0.1 to <0.35 IU/mL), and the T-SPOT result was considered borderline if (antigen pane A minus Nil) and/or (antigen panel B minus Nil) was 6, 7. The QFT-GIT result was considered negative if the interferon-gamma response in the TB antigen tube after subtracting the response in the Nil tube was <0.1 IU/mL, and the T-SPOT result was considered negative if (antigen panel A minus Nil) and/or (antigen panel B minus Nil) was ≤4.

SPOT) and 93.6% agreement with a κ value of 0.843 using cut-off values of 0.35 IU/mL (QFT-GIT) and 8 spots (T-SPOT) (15). These results suggest that the agreement between the two IGRA s using the standard cut-off values is likely to be moderate to high under several circumstances.

In contrast, if the borderline cases were taken into consideration, the agreement between the two IGRA s decreased to 93.5% with a κ value of 0.538 in the present study. Only 10.3% (4 of 39) of the HCWs with borderline QFT-GIT (0.1 to <0.35 IU/mL) showed positive T-SPOT results in the present study. In a previous study comparing the two IGRA s among 111 healthy subjects, none of the 14 subjects with borderline QFT-GIT results were found to be positive by the T-SPOT (12). According to the Japanese guidelines for QFT-GIT, this intermediate range represents a buffer zone and assists with the comprehensive diagnosis. For example, a person with an intermediate test result who has been in close contact with a smear-positive TB patient is at a high risk for infection. This is therefore considered to be equivalent to a positive result, thus the patient is indicated for LTBI treatment (17). Accordingly, the QFT-GIT results in the borderline cases should be considered to be negative when they are applied to baseline TB screening.

Six of the 22 (27.3%) HCWs with borderline T-SPOT results (5-7 spots) were found to be positive by the QFT-GIT in this study. In Japan, similarly to the United States, borderline T-SPOT results should be followed by retesting, and reclassified with a cut-off value of 6 spots. T-SPOT retesting was not performed in this study, but an alternative cut-off of 6 spots for a positive T-SPOT result and the QFT-GIT result were used for the clinical evaluation. King et al. reported that 79.8% of the borderline T-SPOT results obtained from the routine TB screening of HCWs (n=465 pairs) became clearly positive or negative on retesting, with 23% progressing to a positive result (14). Thus, the T-SPOT results in the borderline zone should be followed by retesting, or alternatively, by the addition of a QFT-GIT test.

Four of the 654 (0.6%) tested cases had indeterminate results (QFT-GIT, n=2; T-SPOT, n=2) in the present study. Fortunately, none of the cases showed indeterminate results on both tests.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated moderate to high agreement between the two IGRA s when they were applied to the baseline TB screening of HCWs, which suggests that the two IGRA s could be equivalent in the diagnosis of LTBI in this context. However, the borderline zones of the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT tended to yield inconsistent results; thus, caution is needed when interpreting the results, and the inclusion of the other IGRA test could be an option for improving the accuracy of the clinical evaluation of cases with borderline or indeterminate results.
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