A Phase 1 study of UCN-01 in combination with irinotecan in patients with resistant solid tumor malignancies
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Abstract

Purpose UCN-01 (7-hydroxystaurosporine) is a multi-targeted protein kinase inhibitor that exhibits synergistic activity with DNA-damaging agents in preclinical studies. We conducted a Phase I study to determine the maximum-tolerated dose (MTD), dose-limiting toxicity (DLT), pharmacokinetic, and pharmacodynamic effects of UCN-01 and irinotecan in patients with resistant solid tumors.

Experimental design Patients received irinotecan (75–125 mg/m² IV on days 1, 8, 15, 22) and UCN-01 (50–90 mg/m² IV on day 2 and 25–45 mg/m² on day 23 and subsequent doses) every 42 days. Blood for pharmacokinetics of UCN-01 and irinotecan, and blood, normal rectal mucosa, and tumor biopsies for pharmacodynamic studies were obtained.

Results Twenty-five patients enrolled to 5 dose levels. The MTD was irinotecan 125 mg/m² on days 1, 8, 15, 22 and UCN-01 70 mg/m² on day 2 and 35 mg/m² on day 23. DLTs included grade 3 diarrhea/dehydration and dyspnea. UCN-01 had a prolonged half-life and a low clearance rate. There was a significant reduction in SN-38 Cmax and aminopentanocarboxylic acid (APC) and SN-38 glucuronide half-lives. Phosphorylated ribosomal protein S6 was reduced in blood, normal rectal mucosa, and tumor biopsies at 24 h post-UCN-01. Two partial responses were observed in women with ER, PgR, and HER2-negative breast cancers (TNBC). Both tumors were defective for p53. Twelve patients had stable disease (mean duration 18 weeks, range 7–30 weeks).

Conclusion UCN-01 and irinotecan demonstrated acceptable toxicity and target inhibition. Anti-tumor activity was observed and a study of this combination in women with TNBC is underway.
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Introduction

Originally isolated as a protein kinase C inhibitor, UCN-01 has been shown to inhibit several protein kinases, including Chk1, PDK1, and the cell cycle regulatory kinases, Cdk2, Cdk4 and Cdk6 [7, 18, 46, 59–62]. As a single agent, UCN-01 is capable of inducing cell cycle arrest at the G1/S-border [3–6, 26, 39, 51, 66, 67] and has anti-tumor effects in several NCI human tumor cell lines and xenograft models [1, 3, 9, 27, 40, 49, 50]. When combined with DNA-damaging agents, UCN-01 is capable of abrogating S- and G2-checkpoints [6, 7, 13, 35, 67]. This is thought to be due to UCN-01’s ability to inhibit the Chk1 protein kinase, critical to the regulation of the S- and G2-checkpoints in response to DNA damage in cells lacking a G1 checkpoint due to TP53 mutations [25]. With S- and G2-checkpoint abrogation by Chk1 inhibition, p53-deficient cancer cells fail to arrest and undergo mitotic catastrophe and eventually apoptosis [6, 7, 18, 28, 36, 67, 68]. Inhibition of PDK1 may also be an important contributor to the anti-tumor activity of UCN-01 [46]. Breast cancer cell lines exposed to PDK1 inhibitors undergo cell death and exhibit reduced proliferation rates presumably through opposition of the PI3 K/AKT pathway [11, 31]. As a potent inhibitor of both Chk1 and PDK1, UCN-01 has the potential to target two important cellular processes that are frequently deregulated in cancer cells.

In Phase I clinical trials, as a single agent, UCN-01 has been evaluated using a 72 and 3 h infusion schedule [12, 47, 48]. Due to pharmacokinetic data demonstrating that UCN-01 binds tightly to α1-acid glycoprotein (AAG) resulting in a long half-life of several weeks, UCN-01 dosing was reduced by half after the first cycle in both schedules. This long plasma half-life in humans raised the question as to whether UCN-01 was actually bioavailable to tissue at the concentrations required for S/G2-checkpoint abrogation. In addition, UCN-01 was observed to have unusual DLTs including hyperglycemia with lactic acidosis, pulmonary toxicity (hypoxemia), nausea, vomiting and hypotension. Phase I studies of UCN-01 in combination with carboplatin, cisplatin, cytarabine, 5-fluorouracil, irinotecan, and topotecan have also been performed, and similar pharmacokinetics of UCN-01 and DLTs were observed [14, 19, 22, 30, 34, 41, 45].

We hypothesized that UCN-01 and irinotecan would be an effective regimen in a broad range of refractory malignancies. Irinotecan (CPT-11, Camptosar®), a semisynthetic analog of camptothecin, serves as a topoisomerase poison covalently binding with topoisomerase I in a cleavable complex with a single strand break in the DNA. In the presence of ongoing DNA replication, the drug-stabilized cleavable complex is converted into a double-strand break leading to severe DNA damage and eventual apoptosis. This anticancer agent has activity in several solid tumor malignancies [54]. Furthermore, synergism between camptothecin or SN-38, the active metabolite of irinotecan, and UCN-01 has been shown in multiple preclinical studies [23, 24, 36, 52, 65]. We envisioned that UCN-01 would both inhibit Chk1 to abrogate checkpoint responses induced by irinotecan and inhibit PDK1 to induce apoptosis. In this Phase I study, we set out to determine the MTD, assess the safety and toxicity, and conduct pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies to further understand the molecular basis of UCN-01 activity in combination with irinotecan.

Patients and methods

Patient eligibility

Patients at least 18 years of age were eligible for enrollment into the study if they had a histologically confirmed malignant solid tumor for which standard curative treatment did not exist or was no longer effective, measurable or evaluable disease, and an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0–2. Laboratory criteria included absolute neutrophil count ≥1,500/µl, platelet counts ≥100,000/µl, serum creatinine ≤1.5 × upper limit of normal (ULN), aspartate aminotransferase and alanine...
aminotransferase $\leq 3 \times$ ULN, and total bilirubin $\leq 1.5 \times$ ULN. Prior chemotherapy or radiotherapy must have been completed at least 4 weeks prior to treatment. Patients with brain metastasis, known sensitivity to UCN-01 or irinotecan, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus or uncontrolled intercurrent illness, diagnosis of Gilbert’s disease, or chronic unconjugated hyperbilirubinemia were excluded. Initially, all patients on this trial were required to have a DLCO $\geq 60\%$ and oxygen saturation $\geq 90\%$ on room air at rest and after a 6-min walk. However, to increase eligibility, the requirement to obtain a DLCO was removed. The Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program (P5582), Division of Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis, National Cancer Institute (CTEP, NCI) and the Washington University Human Research Protection Office approved this protocol. All patients provided written informed consent prior to study entry.

### Treatment plan and study design

Patients received irinotecan (Camptosar®, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY) as a 90-min intravenous infusion on days 1, 8, 15, and 22 and UCN-01 (Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Shizuka, Japan and supplied by CTEP, NCI) as a 3-h continuous intravenous infusion on days 2 and 23 every 42 days as proposed in the dose escalation schema in Table 1. The first dose of UCN-01 (day 1 of cycle 1) was twice that used in remaining doses due to the prolonged half-life of UCN-01.

Three to six patients were enrolled at each dose level. Toxicities were graded in accordance with the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI CTC) version 2.0. Dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) was determined by toxicity observed during cycle 1. DLT was defined as grade 4 neutropenia of any duration, febrile neutropenia, grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopenia, grade 3 and 4 non-hematologic toxicity including grade 3 diarrhea lasting more than 24 h despite optimal supportive medications, grade 4 vomiting despite optimal antiemetic therapy, and any toxicity causing a delay of $>14$ days. Grade 3 nausea, vomiting, and hyperglycemia were not considered a DLT. If a DLT occurred in one of three patients, up to 3 additional patients were treated at that dose level. If two patients at this dose level experienced a DLT, enrollment would be terminated. If only one of six patients experienced a DLT, dose escalation proceeded. The maximum-tolerated dose (MTD) was defined as one dose level lower than that with which at least two patients experienced a DLT.

Dose modifications for irinotecan and UCN-01 included dose delays and reductions. Treatment with irinotecan alone or in combination with UCN-01 was delayed until the following criteria were met: the absolute neutrophil count (ANC) $\geq 1,500$ cells/$\mu$L, platelets $\geq 100,000$ cells/$\mu$L, hemoglobin $\geq 10$, and all other treatment-related toxicities $\leq$grade 1. When treatment resumed, irinotecan was reduced by 25 mg/m² for grade 3 and 50 mg/m² for grade 4 hematologic and non-hematologic toxicities. For grade 2 hematologic and non-hematologic toxicities, irinotecan was reduced by 25 mg/m² in the current cycle of treatment and returned to normal dosage in subsequent cycles, provided the above criteria were met. For grade 2 hyperglycemia and grade 3 and 4 hyperbilirubinemia and nausea and vomiting, UCN-01 was also reduced by 10 mg/m² for all subsequent treatments. For irreversible grade 2 cardiopulmonary toxicity or grade 3 or 4 cardiopulmonary or hepatic toxicity, patients were removed from study. If irinotecan was delayed for toxicity on day 22 and subsequently administered on day 29, the UCN-01 was also delayed and given on day 30. Any treatment-related toxicity requiring a delay of $>14$ days in the first cycle was considered dose limiting. If, after the appropriate dose reductions, a treatment-related toxicity required a delay of $>14$ days in subsequent cycles, the patient was taken off study.

Antitumor response was evaluated by physical examination and/or imaging pre-study and every cycle. Responses were defined by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) [64].

### Pharmacokinetic monitoring

Plasma samples were obtained to determine the pharmacokinetics of total UCN-01 and irinotecan and its metabolites, SN-38, SN-38 glucuronide (SN-38G), and APC. Samples were obtained prior to UCN-01 infusion, 5 min before the end of the infusion, 20-26 h post-UCN-01 infusion, and days 8, 15, and 22 for patients in Dose Levels 1-3. Sampling was extended for patients in Dose Levels 4 and 5 to include the additional samples: 0.5, 1.5 h, and post-infusion at 0.5, 2, 4, 24, 48 h. Plasma samples were analyzed using modifications to previous analytical assays consisting of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorescence detection [12, 22]. UCN-01 plasma quantitative range was 0.2-60 µg/mL.

### Table 1 Dose escalation schema

| Dose level | No. of patients | Irinotecan (mg/m²) | UCN-01 (mg/m²)* | No. of DLTs |
|------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 1          | 3               | 75                 | 50              | 0           |
| 2          | 3               | 75                 | 70              | 0           |
| 3          | 6               | 100                | 70              | 1           |
| 4          | 8               | 125                | 70              | 1           |
| 5          | 5               | 125                | 90              | 3           |

*Subsequent doses of UCN-01 were half the dose of this first dose

* Two patients did not complete the first cycle
For irinotecan, plasma samples were obtained in cycle 1 prior to irinotecan infusion, and at 0.25, 1.5, 2.25, 3.0, 5.5, 8.5, 24 h (prior to the start of UCN-01), 27 h (prior to the end of the UCN-01 infusion), and 48 h after the start of the irinotecan infusion on the first and second weekly doses of irinotecan. Plasma samples were analyzed for irinotecan and its metabolites using a modified HPLC technique with fluorescence detection as previously described [43].

Pre-treatment AAG was determined using a nephelometric assay (Focus Diagnostics, Inc., Cypress, CA). The normal range of AAG using this assay is 36–126 mg/dl.

Individual plasma concentrations of UCN-01, irinotecan and metabolites were analyzed using non-compartmental methods as implemented in the computer software program WinNonlin version 5.0 (Pharsight, Inc., Mountain View, CA) [17, 22]. ANOVA was used to determine the association among patient demographics and UCN-01 and irinotecan exposure and worst grade of toxicity during course 1. The method of Tukey–Kramer was used to adjust for multiple comparisons of mean values. Statistical analysis was done using JMP Statistical Discovery Software version 3.2.6 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The a priori level of significance was \( P < 0.05 \).

Pharmacodynamic studies

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were collected at baseline (day 1), 24 h post-irinotecan but prior to UCN-01 (day 2), 24 h post-UCN-01 treatment (day 3) and on day 8 prior to the second irinotecan treatment during cycle 1 for 24 patients for Western blot analysis of phosphorylated ribosomal protein S6. Samples from patient #7 were excluded from analysis due to poor quality. The PBMC were lysed in loading buffer (10% glycerol, 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 0.0625 M Tris–HCl, pH 6.8 and 5% -mercaptoethanol), boiled for 10 min, sonicated for 5 min in a water bath sonicator, re-boiled for 5 min, and pelleted at 16,000g for 5 min. Supernatants were assayed for protein concentration, and 60 \( \mu \)g of total cellular protein was run on Criterion gels (Bio–Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) after adding bromophenol blue. Proteins were transferred to PVDF and probed with phospho-S6 ribosomal protein Ser240/244, S6 ribosomal protein (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danver, MA), and actin (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). Blots were developed using ECL detection reagent (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ), and proteins were quantitated using ImageJ [2]. The ratio of phosphorylated S6 to total S6 protein was determined for each sample.

Biopsies of normal rectal mucosa performed by colonoscopy were obtained at baseline and 24 h post-UCN-01 for immunohistochemistry (IHC) of phosphorylated S6 on patients from Dose Levels 1–3. However, due to slow accrual to this study, thought secondary to these biopsies, this study requirement was made optional for patients on Dose Levels 4 and 5. Skin punch biopsies (4 mm) from two women (patients 14 and 24) from Dose Level 4 with metastatic breast cancer to the skin of the chest wall were also obtained at baseline and 24 h post-UCN-01 for IHC of phosphorylated S6 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danver, MA), Cdc2p34 Tyr15 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA), Chk1 Ser317 and cleaved caspase 3 (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA), phosphohistone H3, and histone H2AX Ser139 (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA). Both rectal mucosa and tumor biopsies were fixed in formalin, processed for hematoxylin and eosin staining, and reviewed by a pathologist to confirm cellularity prior to IHC studies. Immunodetection was performed using the Histostain-Plus Kits (Zymed Laboratories Inc, South San Francisco, CA). Blocking solution and rabbit IgG were used as negative controls for the IHC. The intensity of staining was graded from 0 to 3, zero being no staining, (1) light, (2) intermediate, and (3) strong staining. The distribution of staining (percentage of tumor cells staining positive) was also assessed.

Tumor genomic DNA was isolated from three patients (patients 14, 22, and 24) with metastatic breast cancer (two from the baseline chest wall tumor biopsies and one from the archival primary breast tumor surgical specimen) and analyzed for \( TP53 \) mutation by direct nucleotide sequencing of polymerase chain products of exons 4–9. Due to the quality of tissue samples, \( TP53 \) sequencing was successful in only two (from patients 14 and 24) of the three specimens. IHC of p53 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) (1:200 dilution, overnight) was performed on the third patient sample (patient 22), using the same detection methodology described previously.

Tumor genomic DNA from the chest wall breast tumor biopsy of two patients was analyzed for \( TP53 \) mutations by direct nucleotide sequencing of polymerase chain products of each of the exons 4–9.

For Western blot analyses of pS6/S6 in PBMC, a natural log transformation of the pS6/S6 ratio was required for a normal distribution necessary for the application of standard parametric tests. After log transformation, a paired \( t \) test and one-way ANOVA were used to assess the differences among different time points (days 1, 2, 3, 8). Homogeneity of pS6/S6 ratio variance was assessed by Levene’s test, and the variances were found to be equal.

Results

Patient characteristics

Between January 2002 and January 2007, 25 patients with advanced resistant solid tumor malignancies were enrolled.
Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 2. The majority of patients had breast or colorectal cancer and had multiple prior therapies.

Treatment administration

Twenty-three of the 25 patients completed at least one cycle of treatment (range 1–5) for a total of 52 cycles. Two patients at Dose Level 4 did not complete the first cycle of therapy due to progressive disease. As per study design, three patients were treated at each dose level (Table 1). Patients at Dose Levels 1 and 2 were treated without a DLT. At Dose Level 3, one of the first three patients had grade 3 dyspnea, which was a DLT. Three additional patients were added with no further DLTs. At Dose Level 4, four patients (one patient did not complete a full cycle due to progressive disease) were treated without DLT. At Dose Level 5, one of the first 3 patients had a DLT consisting of grade 3 diarrhea with accompanied dehydration and grade 3 hypokalemia and neutropenia. Thus, two additional patients were added. One of these patients developed a DLT consisting of grade 3 diarrhea. The other patient developed grade 3 hypophosphatemia and grade 3 nausea and vomiting. As a result, no further patients were treated at Dose Level 5 and 4 additional patients were added to Dose Level 4. Of the 3 additional patients, one patient received one weekly treatment with irinotecan and UCN-01 and developed grade 4 vomiting and grade 3 dehydration (a DLT). This patient was taken off study due to progressive disease, and a fourth patient was treated without complication. Therefore, the MTD was considered Dose Level 4 (irinotecan 125 mg/m² on days 1, 8, 15, and 22 and UCN-01 70 mg/m² on day 2 and 35 mg/m² on day 23 and all subsequent doses on a 42-day cycle).

Toxicity

The most common hematologic toxicity was neutropenia with 48% of patients exhibiting grade 2 or 3 neutropenia during the first cycle (Table 3). One patient at Dose Level 3 had a 13-day delay due to grade 3 neutropenia. One patient at Dose Level 1, two patients each at Dose Levels 3 and 4, and 3 patients at Dose Level 5 had a 1-week delay due to grade 2 neutropenia. The most common non-hematologic toxicities were nausea/vomiting (60%), fatigue/malaise (48%), diarrhea (48%), and hyperglycemia (44%). Other toxicities included anorexia, dehydration (associated with nausea/vomiting or diarrhea), dyspnea, hypotension, abdominal pain or cramping, stomatitis (Table 3). UCN-01 C\text{max} was related to worst grade of hypotension during course 1 ($P = 0.04$), but there was no clear correlation of increased exposure to increased grade of toxicity. Irinotecan, and not the metabolite day 1 AUC, was related to the worst grade of diarrhea ($P = 0.02$) with increasing irinotecan exposure resulting in increased toxicity. Other measures of drug exposure for either irinotecan or UCN-01 were not correlated with other toxicities.

Pharmacokinetics

Blood sampling for pharmacokinetics was obtained on 25 patients for UCN-01 and on 23 patients for irinotecan.

A summary of the UCN-01 pharmacokinetic variables is presented in Table 4. At the MTD (Dose Level 4), the recommended dose level for this current study, the mean values for peak plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$), and area under the plasma concentration–time curve ($\text{AUC}_{(0\rightarrow\text{t})}$) were 15.4 μg/mL and 4,793 μg*h/mL, and values for these exposure variables varied 2.1- and 2.7-fold, respectively. These parameters are comparable to those reported from the Phase 1 study of 3-h infusion of UCN-01 as a single agent [12, 47]. Despite a statistically significant decrease in $C_{\text{max}}$ ($P = 0.01$) with increasing dose level, there was no difference in the $\text{AUC}_{(0\rightarrow\text{t})}$ ($P = 0.29$) or systemic clearance ($P = 0.10$) as a function of dose level.

The relationships between pre-treatment AAG and UCN-01 pharmacokinetic variables were assessed to elucidate clinical variables that may be related to UCN-01 exposure. Pre-treatment AAG was determined in all patients with median level of 119 mg/dL (range 35–287 mg/dL). There is a significant relationship between total UCN-01 clearance and AAG concentration and with increasing AAG

Table 2  Patient characteristics

| Characteristics                        | No. patients (n = 25) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Age (y)                                | 54 (19–75)            |
| Sex                                    | 15:10                 |
| ECOG performance status                |                       |
| 0                                      | 13                    |
| 1                                      | 8                     |
| 2                                      | 4                     |
| Tumor types                            |                       |
| Breast                                 | 10                    |
| Colorectal                             | 6                     |
| Unknown primary                        | 2                     |
| Other (anus, esophagus, head & neck,   | 7                     |
| osteosarcoma, ovary, pancreas, prostate)|                       |
| Prior therapy                          |                       |
| Chemotherapy                           | 25                    |
| Mean no. regimens (range)              | 3.5 (1–6)             |
| Radiotherapy                           | 16                    |
concentrations, clearance values decreased linearly ($R^2 = 0.362; P = 0.004$).

A summary of the irinotecan pharmacokinetic variables is presented in Table 4. When using a paired t-test to compare AUC, $C_{\text{max}}$, and $T_{1/2}$ on both day 1 and day 8, there was a significant increase in APC $C_{\text{max}}$ ($P = 0.05$) and reduction in APC $T_{1/2}$ ($P = 0.02$), SN-38 $C_{\text{max}}$ ($P = 0.006$), and SN-38 glucuronide $T_{1/2}$ ($P = 0.04$). This did not translate into significant alterations in APC, SN38, or SN-38 glucuronide AUC or metabolite/irinotecan AUC ratio ($P > 0.05$).

**Pharmacodynamics**

The avid binding of UCN-01 to serum AAG raised the concern of the tissue bioavailability of UCN-01 and potential target inhibition at clinically tolerable doses. Since a key downstream target of PDK1 is $p70S6\ K$, which phosphorylates $S6$ [37, 63], we hypothesized that if UCN-01 inhibited PDK1, we would observe reduced $pS6$.

Western blot analyses for $S6$ and $pS6$ were performed on the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) isolated from patient blood (Fig. 1). Representative Western blots of $pS6$ pre- and post-therapy are shown for three patients (one in Dose Level 4 and 2 in Dose Level 5) and in each case, treatment with UCN-01 (day 3) resulted in a reduction in $pS6$ but did not alter total levels of $S6$ (Fig. 1a). Results were analyzed for 23 patient samples (Fig. 1b-d). Importantly, a statistically significant decrease in $pS6$ was observed on day 3 (24 h following UCN-01 treatment) compared with pre-treatment samples ($P < 0.0001$). Irinotecan treatment alone did not significantly alter $pS6$ levels ($P = 0.29$). Interestingly, levels of $pS6$ rose in PBMC by day 8, six days after the first dose of UCN-01, and levels of $pS6$ between days 1 and 8 were no longer significantly different ($P = 0.015$). Taken together, these results indicate that UCN-01 is bioavailable for at least 24 h after the first dose but may not be available at therapeutic levels by day 8.

| Grade of adverse event | Dose level |
|------------------------|------------|
|                        | 1 (n = 3)  | 2 (n = 3)  | 3 (n = 6)  | 4 (n = 8)  | 5 (n = 5)  |
|                        | 1 2 3 4    | 1 2 3 4    | 1 2 3 4    | 1 2 3 4    | 1 2 3 4    |

| Blood/bone marrow      |            |            |            |            |            |
|------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Hemoglobin             |            |            |            |            |            |
| Neutrophils/granulocytes|            |            |            |            |            |
| Platelets              |            |            |            |            |            |

| Constitutional symptoms|            |            |            |            |            |
| Fatigue/malaise        |            |            |            |            |            |

| Cardiovascular         |            |            |            |            |            |
| Hypotension            |            |            |            |            |            |

| Gastrointestinal       |            |            |            |            |            |
| Anorexia               |            |            |            |            |            |
| Dehydration            |            |            |            |            |            |
| Diarrhea               |            |            |            |            |            |
| Nausea/vomiting        |            |            |            |            |            |
| Stomatitis             |            |            |            |            |            |

| Metabolic/laboratory   |            |            |            |            |            |
| Hyperglycemia          |            |            |            |            |            |
| Hypocalcemia           |            |            |            |            |            |
| Hypokalemia            |            |            |            |            |            |
| Hypophosphatemia       |            |            |            |            |            |
| Pain                   |            |            |            |            |            |
| Dyspnea                |            |            |            |            |            |

* Dose Level 3: One patient had grade 3 dyspnea (DLT) with grade 3 fatigue, anemia and neutropenia
** Dose Level 4: One patient had grade 3 dehydration (DLT) and grade 4 vomiting
Dose Level 5: * One patient had grade 3 diarrhea (DLT), # one patient had grade 3 diarrhea (DLT) with grade 3 dehydration, hypokalemia, and neutropenia, and ** one patient had grade 3 hypophosphatemia (DLT) and grade 3 nausea/vomiting
Table 4 UCN-01 and irinotecan pharmacokinetic variables during cycle 1

| Dose level | Dose (mg/m²) | C_max (ng/mL) | AUC₀₋₋ (ng h/mL) | T₁/₂ (h) | CL_avg (L/h) | Vss_avg (L) |
|------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| UCN-01     |              |               |                   |           |              |             |
| 1 (n = 3)  | 50           | 24.4 ± 2.8ₐ  | 7,984.8 ± 3,422.6 | 495.5 ± 141.8 | 0.015 ± 0.010 | 8.2 ± 2.6   |
| 2 (n = 3)  | 70           | 16.6 ± 5.9   | 6,932.4 ± 2,937.7 | 401.6 ± 111.4 | 0.023 ± 0.009 | 11.8 ± 1.9  |
| 3 (n = 6)  | 70           | 16.6 ± 3.1   | 7,902.4 ± 3,897.5ₐ| 603.9 ± 280.5ₐ| 0.020 ± 0.010ₐ| 14.8 ± 7.5ₐ |
| 4 (n = 8)  | 70           | 15.4 ± 4.3   | 4,793.0 ± 1,763ₐb| 302.3 ± 67.8ₐb| 0.032 ± 0.020b| 12.3 ± 3.4b |
| 5 (n = 5)  | 90           | 12.7 ± 3.1c  | 5,080.5 ± 1,749ₐc| 375.5 ± 91.1ₐc| 0.038 ± 0.014c| 16.8 ± 4.2c |
| Dose levels 2-4 (n = 17) | | 16.0 ± 4.0 | 6,424.2 ± 3,061.4d | 431.3 ± 217.34 | 0.026 ± 0.015d | 13.1 ± 4.9d |
| All dose levels (n = 25) | | 427.4 ± 184.5e | 6,424.2 ± 3,061.4d | 431.3 ± 217.34 | 0.026 ± 0.015e | 13.1 ± 5.0e |

| Dose level | Dose (mg/m²) | C_max (ng/mL) | AUC₀₋₋ (ng h/mL) | T₁/₂ (h) | Metabolite: irinotecan AUC ratio |
|------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Irinotecan |              |               |                   |           |                                 |
| 1 (n = 3)  | 75           | 707 ± 106     | 3,937 ± 614       | 11.6 ± 4.5 | 40.0 ± 17.5                    |
| 2 (n = 3)  | 75           | 960 ± 70.1    | 5,303 ± 715       | 16.9 ± 4.8 | –                               |
| 3 (n = 6)  | 100          | 1,139 ± 225   | 6,529 ± 2,323f    | 9.9 ± 5.0f | –                               |
| 4 (n = 7)  | 125          | 1,700 ± 485   | 9,412 ± 4,262     | 28.4 ± 45.2| –                               |
| 5 (n = 6)  | 125          | 1,422 ± 274   | 10,726 ± 7,184    | 50.6 ± 94.5| –                               |
| SN-38      |              |               |                   |           |                                 |
| 1 (n = 3)  | 75           | 18 ± 6.0      | 519 ± 222         | 44.0 ± 27.5| 0.13 ± 0.04                     |
| 2 (n = 3)  | 75           | 24 ± 8        | 687 ± 294         | 33.3 ± 13.7| 0.14 ± 0.08                     |
| 3 (n = 6)  | 100          | 13 ± 4        | 279 ± 114         | 57.5 ± 40.9| 0.08 ± 0.06f                    |
| 4 (n = 7)  | 125          | 24 ± 7        | 588 ± 235         | 43.1 ± 22.3| 0.10 ± 0.11                     |
| 5 (n = 6)  | 125          | 27 ± 10       | 781 ± 264         | 43.2 ± 32.6d| 0.07 ± 0.02                     |
| APC        |              |               |                   |           |                                 |
| 1 (n = 3)  | 75           | 127 ± 38      | 1,338 ± 495       | 9.7 ± 2.5  | –                               |
| 2 (n = 3)  | 75           | 59 ± 8        | 892 ± 106         | 16.9 ± 5.6 | –                               |
| 3 (n = 6)  | 100          | 133 ± 117     | 1,671 ± 1,203     | 16.2 ± 10.3| 0.19 ± 0.05f                    |
| 4 (n = 7)  | 125          | 190 ± 104     | 1,841 ± 1,106     | 11.4 ± 6.6 | 0.28 ± 0.14                     |
| 5 (n = 6)  | 125          | 175 ± 61      | 2,366 ± 1,468     | 33.2 ± 41.5a| 0.25 ± 0.34                     |
| SN-38G     |              |               |                   |           |                                 |
| 1 (n = 3)  | 75           | 283 ± 13      | 796 ± 233         | 12.7 ± 1.9 | 0.17 ± 0.04                     |
| 2 (n = 3)  | 75           | 57 ± 5        | 901 ± 104         | 21.6 ± 7.2 | 0.23 ± 0.03                     |
| 3 (n = 6)  | 100          | 68 ± 22       | 1,126 ± 405       | 17.2 ± 4.5 | 0.17 ± 0.05f                    |
| 4 (n = 7)  | 125          | 109 ± 32      | 1,397 ± 685       | 17.2 ± 4.3 | 0.15 ± 0.05                     |
| 5 (n = 6)  | 125          | 118 ± 51      | 2,369 ± 1,491     | 26.0 ± 19.3d| 0.25 ± 0.18                     |

* Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation; † C_l, systemic clearance; ‡ Vss, volume of distribution at steady state

ₐ n = 5, ₆n = 6, ₈n = 4, ₉n = 14, ₅n = 21, and ₇n = 5 due to incomplete PK profiles on patients
Immunohistochemistry (IHC) of phosphorylated S6 was performed on paired rectal biopsy samples (baseline and 24 h post-UCN-01) from 10 patients in Dose Levels 1–3. In most cases, the results were not interpretable since sections from paired specimens obtained pre- and post-therapy were often in different orientation in relationship to the intestinal crypts. However, in well-oriented crypts with visible lumens, cytoplasmic pS6 staining was reduced throughout the crypt post-therapy (data not shown). The limited data on pS6 in rectal mucosa support that UCN-01 may be bioavailable in tissue even at the dose levels below that of the MTD.

Serial tumor biopsies were obtained at baseline and 24 h post-UCN-01 (48 h after irinotecan treatment) from chest wall metastasis in two patients with metastatic breast cancer treated in Dose Level 4. One of these two patients had TNBC and experienced a partial response to therapy for 18 weeks, while the other patient had estrogen and progesterone receptor positive and HER2-negative disease that progressed after one cycle of therapy. As seen in Fig. 2, there was a significant reduction in pS6 staining in both tumor specimens post-treatment (a–d), and γH2AX staining revealed significantly more DNA double-strand breaks in both tumor samples following the combination treatment (e–h). These results demonstrate that both irinotecan and UCN-01 were bioavailable in the tumor. Interestingly, two patients with TNBC responded to therapy and both of their tumors were found to have defective p53. Sequencing revealed a missense mutation of CGA > TGA:R306X in one tumor sample (Fig. 2i) and IHC demonstrated nuclear accumulation of p53 in the second tumor sample (Fig. 2j). One patient with ER+ breast cancer progressed after one cycle of therapy, and her tumor was wild type for TP53 (data not shown). These results are consistent with the preclinical findings that UCN-01 works synergistically with DNA-damaging agents in TP53 mutated tumors [3–6, 26, 39, 51, 66, 67]. Immunohistochemical analyses of cleaved caspase 3 and phosphorylated Cdc2, Chk1, and histone H3 were performed but the staining was variable and inconclusive.

Efficacy

All patients, including the 10 patients with resistant, recurrent breast cancer, were evaluated for response (Supplemental Table 1). Two partial responses (8%) lasting 13 and 18 weeks were observed in women with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC, estrogen and progesterone receptor negative and HER-2 negative) with chest wall and lymph node recurrences previously treated with an anthracycline, taxane, and capecitabine. Figure 2k, l reveal pre- and post-study photos, respectively, of patient 22, demonstrating resolution of the chest wall lesion after 2 cycles of study treatment. Twelve patients with colorectal (5), breast (4 including 2 with TNBC), pancreatic (1), and prostate (1) cancers and adenocarcinoma of unknown...
origin (1) experienced stable disease with the mean duration of 18 weeks (range 7–30 weeks). Eleven patients including 4 with breast cancer (1 with TNBC) had progressive disease (PD).

Discussion

In this Phase 1 trial of UCN-01 and irinotecan in patients with resistant solid tumor malignancies, we determined the MTD and toxicity profile and examined the pharmacokinetic parameters and target specificity of UCN-01 in vivo. The MTD was defined as Dose Level 4 (irinotecan 125 mg/m² on days 1, 8, 15, and 22 and UCN-01 70 mg/m² on day 2 and 35 mg/m² on day 23 of a 42-day cycle). The total dose of irinotecan at this MTD was greater than the dose given at the MTD in another Phase 1 study with this combination [22]. In that study, irinotecan 60 mg/m² on days 1 and 8 and UCN-01 70 mg/m² on day 1 and 35 mg/m² on day 22 of a 21-day cycle was administered. In a 6-week time period at the MTD, patients would have received a total of irinotecan 500 mg/m² in the 42-day cycle and UCN-01 240 mg/m² in two 21-day cycles. While in our study, two patients were delayed 1 week and subsequently, dose reduced, these patients received a minimum of irinotecan 450 mg/m². In the study by Jimeno et al. [22], the DLTs were grade 3 hypophosphatemia, grade 4 hyperglycemia, and febrile neutropenia. In our study, the DLTs were grade 3 diarrhea in two patients, one of which had associated grade 3 dehydration, hypokalemia, and hypophosphatemia and grade 3 dyspnea in one patient. Though we observed hypophosphatemia and hyperglycemia, they were not DLTs.

The most common hematologic toxicity was neutropenia with 3 patients experiencing grade 3 neutropenia requiring a treatment delay of at least 1 week accompanied with a dose reduction. The most common non-hematologic toxicities included nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea often associated with dehydration, which were not unexpected. Diarrhea was the dose-limiting toxicity at Dose Level 5.

Fig. 2 UCN-01 decreases pS6 in tumor samples and causes a dramatic response of chest wall lesions to therapy. IHC analysis of pS6 on tumor specimens collected at baseline (a) and 24 h post-UCN-01 therapy (b) from Patient 14, whose tumor carried a somatic mutation in TP53 resulting in a change from CGA (Arginine) to TGA (stop codon) at amino acid 306 (i). Patient 14 had TNBC to the chest wall and lymph nodes and a partial response for 18 weeks. IHC analysis of pS6 on tumor specimens collected at baseline (c) and 24 h post-UCN-01 therapy (d) from Patient 24, whose tumor was ER+, PR+, HER2− and was wild type for TP53 by sequencing. Patient 24 had chest wall and lymph nodes metastasis and disease progression after one cycle of study therapy. Representative fields are shown for each specimen. Specimens from Patient 14 had pS6 intensity scores of 2 for both pre-treatment (a) and post-treatment (b), but the percentage of tumor cells positive for pS6 was significantly decreased, from 20% pre-therapy to 1% post-therapy. Similarly, specimens from Patient 24 had intensity scores of 3 both pre-treatment (c) and post-therapy (d), but the percentage of tumor cells positive for pS6 was significantly decreased, from 40% pre-therapy to 5% post-therapy. IHC analysis of γH2AX on tumor specimens collected at baseline (e) and 24 h post-UCN-01 therapy (f) from Patient 14 and at baseline (g) and 24 h post-UCN-01 therapy (h) from Patient 24 demonstrated more DNA strand double-strand breaks in both tumor samples following combination treatment. Photographs of metastatic breast cancer to the skin from Patient 22 taken at baseline (k) and following completion of 2 cycles of therapy (l). This tumor exhibited nuclear accumulation of p53 by IHC (j) indicative of mutant TP53. Patient 22 had metastatic TNBC to the skin and lymph nodes and experienced a PR for 13 weeks.
Other common toxicities were fatigue, anorexia, and abdominal pain/cramping. Pulmonary toxicity, which has been well documented in previous Phase I trials [47], was also noted in our study. Three patients experienced grade 2–3 dyspnea. The presumed mechanism for the pulmonary toxicity is currently unclear. According to Sausville et al. [47], it is thought that UCN-01 may alter V/Q ratios and possibly allow a functional right-to-left shunt to develop. Eleven patients experienced hyperglycemia, which was self-limiting in all but one patient. This patient, a diabetic on oral hypoglycemic medications, experienced grade 3 hyperglycemia and was hospitalized briefly for treatment and continued on study with closer glucose monitoring. This toxicity has been documented in other studies with this agent [47]. The presumed mechanism for hyperglycemia is thought to be related to inhibition of Akt by UCN-01 and resultant changes in glucose transport [29, 47].

There is potential for drug–drug interactions between UCN-01 and irinotecan. The unique pharmacological feature of UCN-01 is a high-affinity binding to human AAG [15, 16, 48]. UCN-01 appears to be eliminated in rats primarily by the liver although the metabolic fate has not been elucidated [32]. Irinotecan has a complex pharmacologic profile with metabolism by human carboxylesterases, cytochrome P450 enzymes, and UDP-glucuronosyltransferases and elimination by several drug-transporting proteins [55]. In addition, irinotecan and the active metabolite SN-38 are bound to albumin, AAG, and γ-globulins [10]. Similar to results from other Phase I studies, UCN-01 exhibited a long half-life (427 h), low clearance (0.026 L/h), and marked variability in AUC values (3-fold) [12, 22]. As previously observed, there was a correlation between UCN-01 clearance and AAG concentrations [58]. We also observed that UCN-01 exposure appeared to decline with increasing doses of irinotecan, although only Cmax reached statistical significance. This trend may be due to the saturation of protein binding [58] or a drug interaction at the protein binding level. Not unexpectedly, there were no correlations between increasing UCN-01 exposure and increasing grade of toxicity, which is consistent with previous reports [12, 22]. As was previously observed, there were alterations in the irinotecan pharmacokinetics albeit not the same pharmacokinetic parameters [22]. The mechanism behind this drug interaction is unknown.

Our correlative studies demonstrated decreases in pS6 in PBMC, rectal mucosa, and tumor biopsies after UCN-01 treatment. The number of rectal mucosa and tumor biopsy samples was very limited, and no conclusions could be made. However, the decrease in pS6 was significant in PBMC, indicating that UCN-01 is bioavailable and inhibits PDK1 at the MTD for at least 24 h after the first dose of UCN-01. Interestingly, this decrease in pS6 was no longer observed in PBMC at day 8 of the treatment. Under the treatment plan, irinotecan was administered on days 1, 8, 15 and 22 and UCN-01 was administered on days 2 and 23 of each 42-day cycle. The correlative studies indicate that UCN-01 may no longer be bioavailable in tumors during subsequent treatments with irinotecan (days 8, 15, and 22). Therefore, this treatment regimen may not be optimal for inducing checkpoint bypass in response to the DNA damage induced by irinotecan.

Previous Phase I studies, either with single agent UCN-01 or in combination with other agents, have shown minimal responses in patients with solid tumor malignancies. Partial responses were seen in a patient with melanoma [12, 48], a woman with adenocarcinoma of unknown primary with skin metastases (presumed to be TNBC primary, personal communication with P.N. Lara) [34], and one woman with ovarian cancer [19]. Interestingly, our study demonstrates two partial responses in women with TNBC and both of their tumors were defective in p53. Of the 12 patients with stable disease, four had breast cancer (2 with TNBC). Given that the prognosis for women with TNBC is poor due to the aggressive characteristics of their tumors and limited treatment options [20, 53], the development of more effective therapies is a high priority. TNBC typically falls into the basal-like subtype when examined by DNA microarray analysis [38]. Patients with basal-like breast cancer have a significantly shorter survival in comparison with patients with luminal (ER+) subtypes [38, 56, 57]. Interestingly, a much higher rate of TP53 mutations (44% in basal-like vs. 15% in luminal A subtype, P < 0.001) [8] and loss of PTEN (67% in ER-/PgR- vs. 23% in ER+/PgR+, P < 0.05) have been observed [11, 33, 42, 44]. Theoretically, agents such as UCN-01 that target proteins in both pathways may prove to be particularly effective in a dual TP53 and PTEN mutant tumor. Therefore, based on the preliminary results obtained in our small subset of women with TNBC, the NCI CTEP approved an extension of our study (http://clinicaltrials.gov, NCT00031681) to determine the efficacy and tolerability of this combination in these women after failure of anthracyclines and taxanes therapy. In addition, pharmacodynamic parameters including TP53 mutational status and cell cycle, checkpoint, and signaling proteins will be correlated with response.

The cellular targets of UCN-01 include protein kinase C isozymes, CDKs, Chk1, and PDK1. These targets likely account for the varied toxicities observed in clinical trials. Because of these toxicities, it is unclear whether UCN-01 will undergo further development. Nonetheless, more selective checkpoint kinase inhibitors are in clinical trials now. Over 33 patent applications have been filed from January 2006 through August 2008, claiming chemical matter in which Chk1 or Chk2 were stated as targets of inhibition [21]. Given the plethora of compounds that may
be placed into clinical trials, it is imperative that “proof of concept” trials be performed on this important class of compounds.
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