Hybrid GSA-ANN Methods to Forecast Sheath Current of High Voltage Underground Cable Lines
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Abstract: Electrical safety is major issue for electric networks, so high voltage underground cable lines have been used instead of overhead line recently in city center and neighborhood. However, sheath current generates on metallic sheath of high voltage underground cable, and sheath current causes major cable faults and electroshock. Single point bonding, solid bonding and cross bonding are used to reduce sheath current and voltage. If sheath current is determined before high voltage underground cable line is installed, the most suitable method can be used to reduce sheath current and voltage. Hence, cable faults and electroshock can be prevented. There are many factors in formation of sheath current. Thus, formulation of sheath current is very complex and difficult. In this case forecasting methods can be used to determine sheath current, and artificial neural network (ANN) is a powerful method for forecasting studies. In this study, Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) and artificial neural network (ANN) is used to reduce training error, and hybrid GSA-ANN method is obtained. It is seen at the end of this study that errors of hybrid GSA-ANN method are less than errors of classic ANN.
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1. Introduction

Overhead and high voltage underground cable lines are used for transmission and distribution of electrical energy, and overhead lines are generally used for transmission and distribution of electrical energy because its cost is less than cost of high voltage underground cable lines. However, electrical safety is important issue for electrical networks, and high voltage underground cable line is more safety than overhead lines because high voltage underground cables have an insulation layer. Recently, overhead lines have been converted to high voltage underground cable lines in city center and crowded areas. XLPE insulation is generally used in underground cables, and metallic sheath is used to protect XLPE insulation. High voltage cable is shown in Fig. 1 [1].

Fig. 1. High voltage underground cable.
However, sheath current generates on metallic sheath of high voltage underground cable. The sheath current increases cable temperature and the sheath voltage of cable, so cable ampacity reduces, and electro shock risk occurs for human due to high sheath voltage. Also, the sheath current causes major insulation faults. Thus, bonding methods are used to reduce sheath voltage and current. Single point bonding, solid bonding and cross bonding methods are used as bonding methods in IEEE 575-1988 standard. These bonding methods are shown in Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.

Sheath current does not generate in single point bonding method because sheath circuit is open. However, single point bonding method should not be used in long high voltage underground lines because high voltage occurs on cable sheath due to long length. Solid bonding and cross bonding methods are used for long high voltage underground cable lines. Also, sheath voltage and current can be reduced by using solid bonding and cross bonding method. Sheath current of solid bonding method is less than sheath current of cross bonding method. Also, sheath current of cross bonding is less than sheath current of solid bonding [2]-[6]. Namely, these methods can be used according to problem. If the sheath current is important problem, cross bonding can be used. If the sheath voltage is important problem, solid bonding can be used. However, primarily the sheath current must be determined to solve problems.

If sheath current of high voltage underground cable line is determined before this line is installed, the most
suitable method can be selected to reduce sheath current and voltage. Thus, cable faults and electroshock risks are prevented; also cable performance can be increased. The sheath current of high voltage underground cable line can be determined by using forecasting method before this line is installed. Artificial neural network (ANN) is powerful forecasting method, and it is used different studies in electrical engineering [7]-[9]. If sheath current data can be obtained, artificial neural network is trained by using these data, so sheath current of new high voltage underground cable line is determined by ANN. Primarily, formation conditions of sheath current should be examined, so sheath current data can be obtained to train ANN.

Unbalanced phase is major factor in formation of the sheath current. If unbalanced phase current amount between phases increases, the sheath current increases [10]. Cable configuration of phases is another factor in formation of the sheath current [11]. Cable configurations are trefoil and flat formations [12]. These configurations are shown in Fig. 5.

Magnetic flux density is effect to formation sheath current [13]. It is seen that there are many factors in formation sheath current. Thus, formulation of the sheath current is very complex and difficult process. Namely, usage of ANN can be advantage for this study. In ANN, training error is major issue, and it should be made minimum value. In literature, hybrid ANN methods are used for minimization of training error of ANN. In this study, Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) is used for hybrid ANN. The sheath current data for training of hybrid ANN are generated in PSCAD/EMTDC simulation program. Hence, sheath current of high voltage underground cable line is determined before this line is installed, so the most suitable bonding method can be selected to prevent cable faults and electroshock risks.

2. Material and Method

Electromagnetic transient programs are generally used in high voltage underground cable studies [14]. In this study, primarily high voltage cable is modeled in PSCAD/EMTDC simulation program. The modeled cable is shown in Fig. 6.

---

Fig. 5. Cable configurations.

Fig. 6. The modeled high voltage underground cable.
It is seen at the end of the simulation studies that cable length \(L\), distance of between phases \(d\), unbalanced phase current \(I_{UB}\) and grounding resistance \(R_g\) are effective in formation of the sheath current of the high voltage underground cable lines. These factors are used to generate different high voltage cable lines, and simulations of these cable lines are made in PSCAD/EMTDC to obtain sheath currents of these lines. Thus, the real values of sheath current of different lines are used for training process of ANN.

Working principle of ANN is based on mathematical model of human learning, and the basic element of ANN is neuron. Also ANN occurs from input layer, hidden layer and output layer. The basic schema of ANN is shown in Fig. 7.

Fig. 7. The basic schema of ANN.

Neurons work as a transfer function. This transfer function is shown in Equation (1), and a neuron working schema is shown in Fig. 8.

\[
y_i = f_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} x_j + b_i \right)
\]  

(1)

where, \(x_j\) is input, \(w_{ij}\) is weight, \(b_i\) is bias, \(f_i\) is transfer function, and \(y_i\) is output of the neuron.

Fig. 8. Neuron working schema.

Activation functions can be sorted as step function, linear function, log-sigmoid function, tan-sigmoid function, softmax function, and gaussian function. Primarily, training process is implemented in ANN, and training error is calculated. Mean square error (MSE) method is used in calculation of training error. MSE is shown in Equation (2).
\[ E(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p(i) - o(i))^2 \]  

where, \( E(t) \) is estimation error at \( t \)th iteration, \( p(i) \) is the desired value for \( i \)th output, \( o(i) \) is the actual value for \( i \)th output.

Weights of ANN should be updated to reduce training error, so Equation (3) is used to update weights in classic ANN.

\[ w_i(t+1) = w_i(t) + \Delta w_i(t) \]  

In hybrid ANN, Equation (3) is not used to update weights, and optimization methods are used instead of Equation (3) to update weights of ANN. Hybrid ANN working schema is shown in Fig. 9, and genetic algorithm is used as optimization methods in this study.

2.1. Gravitational Search Algorithm

GSA is based on Gravitational law which was found by Newton. Each particle which is defined in Gravitational law is defined as agent in GSA. According to gravitational law, the other particles are attracted by gravitational force of each particle. Gravitational force is affected by particles masses. The particle which has heavier mass attracts the other particles, and the other particles move towards the particle. In GSA, if agent has heavier mass, fitness value of agent is higher value, and it is near to optimum solution. Each agent has four features. These feature are position \( (X_i) \), inertial mass \( (M_i) \), active gravitational mass \( (M_{ai}) \) and passive gravitational mass \( (M_{pi}) \). \( M_{ai}=M_{pi}=M_i \) is calculated with Eq. (4) [15].

\[ n_i(t) = \frac{fit(t) - worst(t)}{best(t) - worst(t)} \]  

Fig. 9. Hybrid ANN working schema.
\[ M_i(t) = \frac{m_i(t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j(t)} \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N \] (5)

If agent number is \( N \) in search space, each agent position can be defined by Eq. (6).

\[ X_i = (x_i^1, x_i^d, ..., x_i^n) \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N \] (6)

\( n \) is agent dimension, and agents occur particles. Namely, is defined as variable of agent. \( F \) is gravitational force between \( i \)th agent and \( j \)th agent at the \( t \) time. Gravitational force is shown in Eq. (7).

\[ x_i^d(t + 1) = x_i^d(t) + v_i^d(t + 1) \] (7)

\( M_i \) is mass of \( i \)th agent, \( M_j \) is mass of \( j \)th agent, \( R_{ij}(t) \) is the Euclidian distance between \( i \)th agent and \( j \)th agent, and it is defined Eq. (8). \( G(t) \) is gravitational constant and is defined Eq. (9).

\[ R_{ij}(t) = \| X_i(t), X_j(t) \| \] (8)

\[ G(t) = G_0 e^{-\beta t/t_{\text{max}}} \] (9)

\( G_0 \) is an initial value, \( \beta \) is constant value, \( t \) is the current iteration number, \( t_{\text{max}} \) is maximum iteration number, and total force on \( i \)th agent is defined with Eq. (10) at \( t \) time.

\[ F_{ij}^d(t) = \sum_{j=K_{\text{best}}, j \neq i}^{N} \text{rand}_j F_{ij}^d(t) \] (10)

\( \text{rand}_j \) is random number between 0 and 1, \( K_{\text{best}} \) is the first agents which have the best fitness value. Acceleration of agent is calculated with Eq. (11). Velocity and position are updated with Eq. (12) and Eq. (13) according to acceleration of agent at the \( t \) time.

\[ a_i^d(t) = \frac{F_i^d(t)}{M_i(t)} \] (11)

\[ v_i^d(t + 1) = \text{rand}_i v_i^d(t) + a_i^d(t) \] (12)

\[ x_i^d(t + 1) = x_i^d(t) + v_i^d(t + 1) \] (13)

\( x_i^d(t) \) is position of \( i \)th agent, \( v_i^d(t) \) is velocity of agent, and \( \text{rand}_i \) is random number between 0 and 1 at the \( t \) time. Working principle of GSA as follows [14]:

- Initial population is occurred, and positions of agents are determined as randomly.
- Fitness values of agents are calculated.
- Best and worst agents are selected in population, and \( G \) is updated.
- \( M \) and \( a \) of agents are calculated, and velocity and position of agents are updated.

After these steps are implemented, fitness values of the updated agents are calculated. If optimum value
is found, or the maximum iteration number is reached, program is stopped. Otherwise, the steps which are between 2 and 4 are continuously implemented.

3. Experimental Results

| Line | \(I_{UB}\) (A) | L (m) | d (m) | \(R_G\) (\(\Omega\)) | \(I_{SA}\) (A) |
|------|----------------|------|------|------------------|-------------|
| 1    | 464.120        | 700  | 0.1  | 40               | 3.3293      |
| 2    | 464.120        | 700  | 0.1  | 50               | 2.6640      |
| 3    | 464.120        | 500  | 0.5  | 25               | 3.5544      |
| 4    | 464.120        | 500  | 0.5  | 30               | 2.9630      |
| 5    | 464.120        | 500  | 0.5  | 35               | 2.5402      |
| 6    | 464.120        | 500  | 0.5  | 40               | 1.9762      |
| 7    | 464.120        | 500  | 0.5  | 50               | 1.7787      |
| 8    | 464.120        | 1000 | 0.5  | 40               | 4.3609      |
| 9    | 464.120        | 1000 | 0.5  | 50               | 3.4897      |
| 10   | 224.630        | 1000 | 0.1  | 30               | 2.8493      |
| 11   | 224.630        | 1000 | 0.1  | 40               | 2.1388      |
| 12   | 224.630        | 1000 | 0.1  | 50               | 1.7116      |

| Line | \(I_{SA}\) (A) | \(I_{SF}\) (A) |
|------|----------------|----------------|
| 1    | 3.3293         | 4.5406         |
| 2    | 2.6640         | 4.5406         |
| 3    | 3.5544         | 4.5407         |
| 4    | 2.9630         | 4.5406         |
| 5    | 2.5402         | 4.5406         |
| 6    | 1.9762         | 4.5406         |
| 7    | 1.7787         | 4.5406         |
| 8    | 4.3609         | 4.5407         |
| 9    | 3.4897         | 4.5407         |
| 10   | 2.8493         | 4.5413         |
| 11   | 2.1388         | 4.5407         |
| 12   | 1.7116         | 4.5407         |

In this study, hybrid GSA-ANN method is used to determine the sheath currents for new high voltage underground cable lines. Iteration number is 500, and population size is 10 for GSA. Primarily, training process is made for hybrid GSA-ANN and classic ANN. In training process, different 59 high voltage underground cable line are generated by PSCAD/EMTDC, and sheath current of these lines are measured in PSCAD/EMTDC. Hence, 59x5 data matrix is generated for training process. \(I_{UB}\), L, d and \(R_G\) are used as input parameter. The forecasted sheath current is used output parameter, and training error is calculated by using actual sheath current and the forecasted sheath current. Training error of hybrid GSA-ANN is 0.2381, and training error of classic ANN is 1.8166. Feed forward backpropagation neural network architecture is used in classic ANN. The best weights which make minimum MSE value are obtained at the end of training process, and the best weights are used to forecast sheath current in forecasting process. In this study,
forecasting data which are shown in Table 1 are used to forecast sheath current. In Table 1, cable length (L),
distance of between phases (d), unbalanced phase current (I_{UB}) and grounding resistance (Rg) are shown.
Namely, different 12 high voltage cable lines are designed, and real sheath current values of the designed
high voltage underground cable lines are measured in PSCAD/EMTDC. Also, real values of sheath currents
(I_{SA}) are shown in Table 1.
Forecasting error of hybrid GSA-ANN is 0.2852, and forecasting error of classic ANN is 1.7610. The
forecasted sheath currents (I_{SF}) of hybrid GSA-ANN and classic ANN are shown in Table 2. Also ISA is shown
in Table 2. It is seen that training and forecasting errors of hybrid GSA-ANN are less than training and
forecasting errors of classic ANN.

4. Conclusion
The sheath current causes major insulation faults and electroshock risks in high voltage underground
lines. Single point bonding, solid bonding and cross bonding are used to reduce the sheath current and
voltage. The sheath current should be determined to select the most suitable method. Also if sheath current
can be determined before high voltage underground cable line is installed, the most suitable method is
selected to reduce sheath current and voltage, so cable faults and electroshock risks can be prevented early.
ANN can be used to forecast the sheath current of high voltage underground cable line. However, iteration
number and training data should be increased to reduce training and forecasting errors of classic ANN, so
computational time increases. In this study, hybrid GSA-ANN method is used to forecast sheath current of
high voltage underground cable line which will be installed as a new line. It is seen that computational time,
training error and forecasting error of hybrid GSA-ANN is less than training and forecasting errors of classic
ANN.
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