Green Synthesis of Oxoquinoline-1(2H)-Carboxamide as Antiproliferative and Antioxidant Agents: An Experimental and In-Silico Approach to High Altitude Related Disorders
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Abstract: At high altitudes, drops in oxygen concentration result in the creation of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS), which cause a variety of health concerns. We addressed these health concerns and reported the synthesis, characterization, and biological activities of a series of 10 oxoquinolines. N-Aryl-7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-oxoquinoline-1(2H)-carboxamides (5a–j) were accessed in two steps under ultrasonicated irradiation, as per the reported method. The anticancer activity was tested at 10 µM against a total of 5 dozen cancer cell lines obtained from nine distinct panels, as per the National Cancer Institute (NCI US) protocol. The compounds 5a (TK-10 (renal cancer); %GI = 82.90) and 5j (CCRF-CEM (Leukemia); %GI = 58.61) showed the most promising anticancer activity. Compound 5a also demonstrated promising DPPH free radical scavenging activity with an IC50 value of 14.16 ± 0.42 µM. The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and carbonic anhydrase (CA), two prospective cancer inhibitor targets, were used in the molecular docking studies. Molecular docking studies of ligand 5a (docking score = −8.839) against the active site of EGFR revealed two H-bond interactions with the residues Asp885 and Thr884, whereas ligand 5a (docking = −5.337) interacted with three H-bond with the residues Gln92, Gin67, and Thr200 against the active site CA. The reported compounds exhibited significant anticancer and antioxidant activities, as well as displayed significant inhibition against cancer targets, EGFR and CA, in the molecular docking studies. The current discovery may aid in the development of novel compounds for the treatment of cancer and oxidative stress, and other high altitude-related disorders.
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1. Introduction

At high altitude, the concentration of oxygen decreases, resulting in the formation of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS) [1]. High altitude RONS production causes lipid, protein, and DNA damage, as well as weakening of the enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant systems [2]. Excessive ROS production in particular has been linked to the development and augmentation of cardiovascular, pulmonary, neurodegenerative, and metabolic disorders, as well as cancer [3–7]. Furthermore, several ailments, including...
cancer, Alzheimer’s, and diabetes, as well as motion sickness, may occur as a result of high-altitude oxidative stress [8,9]. Aerobic respiration, apoptosis, cell growth and survival, nucleic acid synthesis, and oxidative stress are all controlled by mitochondrial signaling. Mitochondrial malfunction and the resulting mishandling of the reactive oxygen species (ROS) cascade have been linked to cancer progression [10,11]. Cancer, on the other hand, is one of the terrifying diseases that frighten scientists and researchers all over the world. In 2018, 18.1 million individuals were diagnosed with cancer, and 9.6 million died as a result of it; these numbers are expected to nearly double by 2040 [12]. Oxidative stress can potentially cause malignant cell growth [13].

The term “Green Chemistry” was coined in 1991 to describe the removal or reduction of hazardous compounds with the purpose of limiting chemical exposure to individuals and the environment [14]. As our globe faces the environmental concerns of the twenty-first century, the demand for green chemistry for the synthesis of compounds with therapeutic relevance is increasing. Green chemistry has been used for advanced technologies to minimize hazardous, unwanted waste, and environmental effects. Because of the advantages of green chemistry, such as reduced waste and cost, not only pharmaceutical companies but also other chemical industries, have begun to take steps toward it [15]. In today’s world, synthetic chemists in academia and industry are continually challenged to think of more environmentally friendly ways to generate the desired target molecules [16]. Ultrasound-mediated synthesis is a solution-based green synthesis, which offers a high conversion rate in a short amount of time. The ultrasound technique increased the reaction rate even under milder conditions as compared to traditional heating methods; it is also an effective energy-saving strategy [14,17–19]. Ultrasound causes acoustic cavitation during chemical reactions. High pressure (18,000 atomic pressures) and temperature (2000–5000 K) are generated by acoustic cavitation, which influence chemical transformations [20,21]. The use of ultrasonication in synthesis has grown in popularity in recent years [22–26].

Solvents have attracted a lot of attention in the context of green chemistry since they are a major source of contamination in organic chemical processes. As a result of the increasing environmental contamination caused by the exponential usage of volatile and poisonous organic solvents in chemical industries, chemists have been pushed to focus on alternative green solvents [27]. Solvent-free synthesis can help to reduce the dangers of volatile and poisonous organic solvents, although this is not a solution. Running a reaction in a solvent, on the other hand, is often desirable to enable mass and heat transfer. Furthermore, the correct solvent selection affects reaction rates, selectivity, and the location of chemical equilibria [28]. After all, water has numerous advantages because it is a low-cost, readily available, non-toxic, and non-flammable solvent that is appealing from both an economic and an environmental aspect [28]. Using water as a solvent, several research groups successfully synthesized quinoline, a bicyclic heterocycle [23,29–31]. We discussed the ultrasonic synthesis of quinolines in green solvent water, as well as their biological activity, in the current study.

Quinoline is an important scaffold found in many anticancer drugs, including bosutinib, topiraniib, pelitinib, neratinib, and others [32–34]. Some of the quinolines based anticancer agents and EGFR inhibitors and the target ligands (5a–j) are shown in Figure 1. The molecular docking scores of the title compounds (5a–j) were found to be greater than −7.944 Kcal/mol, indicating their efficient binding against the active site of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), one of the most appealing targets for anticancer agents. Three types of interactions (H-bond, π-π-Stacking and Halogen bond) were observed in molecular docking simulation. Some of the ligands showed H-bond interaction with the residue Met793. Many biomarkers are triggered as a result of oxidative stress, which induces inflammation and the transformation of normal cells into malignant cells. Some of the target compounds with promising anticancer activity were also evaluated for antioxidant activity. Similarly, the carbonic anhydrase (CA) isozyme IX in some of the cancer cases promotes the proliferation and metastasis of tumors; it has also been observed to be overexpressed in many malignancies due to hypoxia [35,36]. As a result, CA
could also be a promising anticancer treatment strategy. Some of the oxoquinolines bind to the CA very efficiently and display three sorts of interactions (H-bond, \(\pi-\pi\)-stacking and halogen bond).

Figure 1. Quinoline-based anticancer agents and EGFR inhibitors and ligands (5a–j).

2. Results

2.1. Synthesis

7-Hydroxy-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3) was initially prepared by ultrasonication from an equimolar mixture of resorcinol (0.05 mol; 5.505 g) and ethyl acetoacetate (0.05 mol; 6.505 g ~6.5 mL) in 10 mL tube adding a catalytic amount of anhydrous FeCl\(_3\) (0.0025 mol; 41 mg) for 20 min while immersed in cooling bath to obtain a precipitate as per the reported method [37,38]. The synthetic protocol is summarized in Scheme 1. In the subsequent step,
an equimolar mixture of 7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3) (1 mmol; 176 mg) and substituted phenyl urea (4a–j) (1 mmol) in 10 mL water was ultrasonicated for 20–25 min (20 KHz; 130 W) and the final precipitate was separated by vacuum filtration followed by washing with water and re-crystallized from absolute ethanol to obtain the target title compounds (5a–j). The substituted phenyl urea (4a–j) was prepared as per the reported synthetic protocol [39]. The synthetic protocol is summarized in Scheme 2. The synthetic strategy investigated here has the advantages of being easy to implement and having a high conversion rate with swift reaction times in green solvent under ultrasound irradiation, as seen in our previous work [40]. Infrared (IR), nuclear magnetic resonance (1H and 13C-NMR), and mass spectral data were used to confirm the structure of the final compounds (5a–j).

Scheme 1. Synthetic protocol of 7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3).

Scheme 2. Synthetic protocol of N-aryl-7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-oxoquinoline-1(2H)-carboxamides (5a–j).

2.2. Optimization of Reaction Conditions

The reaction conditions were optimized in various solvents, prior to synthesis of compound 5a, in order to choose a suitable solvent. An equimolar amount of compound 3 (1 mmol; 176 mg) and phenyl urea 4a (1 mmol; 136 mg) was subjected to ultrasound irradiation in different solvents and the yields were analyzed. The results of optimization of reaction condition are given in Table 1. The yields of the compound were found to be less in ethylacetate (34%; entry 1), acetonitrile (34%; entry 6), dioxane (36%; entry 5), toluene (44%; entry 4), and dimethyl sulfoxide (49%; entry 8). The yields were increased in the solvents like dichloromethane (59%; entry 1), ethanol (64%; entry 2), glacial acetic acid (65%; entry 9) and methanol (69%; entry 3). Except for yield variations, ultrasound-mediated synthesis was found to be uniform in all solvents. The solvent-free synthesis was found to be interesting (71%; entry 10) but it required an elevated temperature (200 °C) and 60 min to complete the reaction. The reaction time was increased to 4 h when the reaction mixture was refluxed in water, but the yield was found to be advantageous (82%; entry 11). Furthermore, when the same reaction was carried out in water under ultrasonication, the yield (91%; entry12) was increased, while the reaction time was lowered to 20 min.
Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions for the synthesis of N-phenyl-7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-oxoquinoline-1(2H)carboxamide (5a).

| Entry | Reflux/Fused | Solvent       | Reaction Time | Yield b (%) |
|-------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| 1     | )))))        | Ethylacetate  | 20 min        | 34          |
| 2     | )))))        | Methanol      | 20 min        | 60          |
| 3     | )))))        | Ethanol       | 20 min        | 67          |
| 4     | )))))        | Toluene       | 20 min        | 44          |
| 5     | )))))        | Dioxane       | 20 min        | 36          |
| 6     | )))))        | Acetonitrile  | 20 min        | 54          |
| 7     | )))))        | Dichloromethane | 20 min        | 59          |
| 8     | )))))        | Dimethyl sulfoxide | 20 min     | 49          |
| 9     | )))))        | Glacial acetic acid | 20 min    | 65          |
| 10    | Fused at 200 °C | Solvent free | 60 min        | 71          |
| 11    | Reflux       | Water         | 240 min       | 82          |
| 12    | )))))        | Water         | 20 min        | 91          |

Reaction condition: 7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3) (1 mmol; 176 mg) and phenyl urea (4a) (1 mmol; 136 mg); b Yield of final dried compounds.

2.3. Exploration of Methodology

As a result, we investigated and compared the scope of this synthetic method under optimize conditions in both at the elevated temperature (200 °C) in solvent free conditions, as well as under ultrasonicated modes in water as a green solvent. The physical constants and comparative yields are given in Table 2. The ultrasound-mediated reaction was found to be more beneficial than conventional heating.

Table 2. Physical constants and yields of the prepared oxoquinolines (5a–j).

| S. No. | Compound | R | Mp (°C) | R_i * | Yield a (Time in min) |
|--------|----------|---|---------|-------|-----------------------|
|        |          |   |         |       | Fused at 200 °C b | ))))) c |
| 1      | 5a       | H | 78–80   | 0.66  | 82% (60 min)        | 91% (20 min) |
| 2      | 5b       | 4-Cl | 160–162 | 0.62  | 72% (60 min)       | 90% (20 min) |
| 3      | 5c       | 4-CH_3 | 140–142 | 0.71  | 60% (60 min)       | 81% (5 min)  |
| 4      | 5d       | 4-OCH_3 | 128–130 | 0.69  | 58% (60 min)     | 80% (20 min) |
| 5      | 5e       | 4-CF_3 | 142–144 | 0.63  | 71% (60 min)      | 90% (20 min) |
| 6      | 5f       | 2-Cl | 152–154 | 0.68  | 62% (60 min)      | 91% (20 min) |
Table 2. Cont.

| S. No. | Compound | R   | Mp (ºC) | Rf* | Yield a (Time in min) |
|--------|----------|-----|---------|-----|----------------------|
| 7      | 5g       | 2-CH3 | 102–104 | 0.72 | 68% (60 min) 78% (20 min) |
| 8      | 5h       | 2-OCH3 | 110–112 | 0.78 | 69% (60 min) 80% (20 min) |
| 9      | 5i       | 2-OH-5-Cl | 156–158 | 0.74 | 56% (60 min) 70% (25 min) |
| 10     | 5j       | 3-Cl-4-F | 180–182 | 0.64 | 84% (60 min) 92% (20 min) |

* Benzen: acetone (9:1); a Yield of final dried compounds; b Reaction condition: 7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3) (1 mmol; 176 mg) and substituted phenyl urea (4a–j) (1 mmol); 200 ºC (fusion) [41]; c Reaction condition: 7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3) (1 mmol; 176 mg) and substituted phenyl urea (4a–j) (1 mmol); H2O 10 mL; Ultrasound (20 KHz; 130 W).

2.4. Antiproliferative Activity

All the synthetic compounds (5a–l) were evaluated for their antiproliferative activity in one dose assay against 60 NCI cancer cell line at 10 µM as per the NCI protocols [42–45]. The results of antiproliferative activity are given in Table 3. The compounds 5c, 5f, 5g, and 5h showed maximum sensitivity against UO-31 (renal cancer) with percent growth inhibitions (%GIs) of 17.96, 23.13, 19.94, and 11.38, respectively. The compounds 5b, 5d, 5e, and 5i displayed sensitivity against various cancer cell lines with %GIs of 12.64 (HCT116; colon cancer), 22.47 (HOP-92; non-small cell lung cancer), 18.48 (A498; renal cancer), and 27.21 (HOP-92; non small cell lung cancer), respectively. The compound 5a displayed maximum sensitivity against TK-10 (renal cancer), UO-31 (renal cancer), HOP-92 (non-small cell lung cancer), CAKI 1 (renal cancer) and HS 578T (breast cancer) with %GIs of 82.90, 23.10, 16.00, 12.39, and 11.50, respectively. Similarly, the compound 5j displayed CCRF-CEM (leukemia), UO-31 (renal cancer), HOP-92 (non-small cell lung cancer), A498 (renal cancer) and HOP-62 (non-small cell lung cancer) with %GIs of 58.61, 30.00, 27.44, 20.53, and 17.56, respectively. The maximum sensitivity was observed against TK-10 (with compound 5a) and CCRF-CEM (with compound 5j). The antiproliferative activity is represented in Figure 2.

Table 3. The antiproliferative activity of compounds 5a–j at 10 µM.

| Compound/NSC Code | Cancer Cell Lines Assay in Single Dose Assay 10 µM Concentration | The Most Sensitive Cell Lines | GP | %GI |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----|-----|
| 5a NSC 805519     | TK-10 (Renal Cancer)                                         | 17.10                          | 82.90 |
|                   | UO-31 (Renal Cancer)                                         | 76.90                          | 23.10 |
|                   | HOP-92 (Non Small Cell Lung Cancer)                          | 84.00                          | 16.00 |
|                   | CAKI 1 (Renal Cancer)                                        | 87.61                          | 12.39 |
|                   | HS 578T (Breast Cancer)                                      | 88.50                          | 11.50 |
| 5b NSC 805508     | HCT116 (Colon Cancer)                                        | 87.36                          | 12.64 |
|                   | UO-31 (Renal Cancer)                                         | 87.82                          | 12.18 |
|                   | K562 (Leukemia)                                              | 90.23                          | 9.77  |
|                   | SNB-75 (CNS Cancer)                                          | 91.49                          | 8.51  |
|                   | NCI-H23 (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer)                         | 92.81                          | 7.19  |
| 5c NSC 805512     | UO-31 (Renal Cancer)                                         | 82.04                          | 17.96 |
|                   | HOP-92 (Non Small Cell Lung Cancer)                          | 85.60                          | 14.40 |
|                   | OVCAR-5 (Ovarian Cancer)                                     | 87.13                          | 12.87 |
|                   | SF-539 (CNS Cancer)                                          | 89.28                          | 10.72 |
|                   | MALME-3M (Melanoma)                                          | 90.95                          | 9.05  |
Table 3. Cont.

| Compound/NSC Code | Cancer Cell Lines Assay in Single Dose Assay 10 μM Concentration | The Most Sensitive Cell Lines | GP  | %GI |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|
| **5d**<br>NSC 805516 | HOP-92 (Non Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 77.53 | 22.47 |
| | UO-31 (Renal Cancer) | 74.56 | 25.44 |
| | SNB-75 (CNS Cancer) | 86.10 | 13.90 |
| | MDA-MB-231/ATCC (Breast Cancer) | 86.25 | 13.75 |
| | NCI-H23 (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 87.17 | 12.83 |
| **5e**<br>NSC 805511 | A498 (Renal Cancer) | 81.52 | 18.48 |
| | UO-31 (Renal Cancer) | 87.76 | 12.24 |
| | CAKI-1 (Renal Cancer) | 89.97 | 10.03 |
| | SNB-75 (CNS Cancer) | 93.09 | 6.91 |
| | UACC-62 (Melanoma) | 94.90 | 5.10 |
| **5f**<br>NSC 805517 | UO-31 (Renal Cancer) | 76.87 | 23.13 |
| | HOP-92 (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 79.74 | 20.26 |
| | NCI-H322M (Non Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 88.75 | 10.79 |
| | HOP-62 (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 89.21 | 7.79 |
| | CAKI-1 (Renal Cancer) | 92.27 | 7.73 |
| **5g**<br>NSC 805513 | UO-31 (Renal Cancer) | 80.06 | 19.94 |
| | HOP-92 (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 89.43 | 10.57 |
| | MDA-MB-231/ATCC (Breast Cancer) | 90.02 | 9.98 |
| | CAKI-1 (Renal Cancer) | 92.87 | 7.13 |
| | HS 578T (Breast Cancer) | 94.23 | 5.77 |
| **5h**<br>NSC 805515 | UO-31 (Renal Cancer) | 88.62 | 11.38 |
| | HOP-92 (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 88.90 | 11.10 |
| | NCI-H226 (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 93.46 | 6.54 |
| | RXF 393 (Renal Cancer) | 94.83 | 5.17 |
| | HCC-2998 (Colon Cancer) | 95.92 | 4.08 |
| **5i**<br>NSC 805520 | HOP-92 (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 72.79 | 27.21 |
| | UO-31 (Renal Cancer) | 79.09 | 20.91 |
| | CCRF-CLEM (Leukemia) | 80.64 | 19.36 |
| | MALME-3M (Melanoma) | 87.21 | 12.79 |
| | NCI-H322M (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 88.16 | 11.84 |
| **5j**<br>NSC 805518 | CCRF-CLEM (Leukemia) | 41.39 | 58.61 |
| | UO-31 (Renal Cancer) | 70.00 | 30.00 |
| | HOP-92 (Non Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 72.56 | 27.44 |
| | A498 (Renal Cancer) | 79.47 | 20.53 |
| | HOP-62 (Non Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 82.44 | 17.56 |
| **Imatinib**<br>NSC 759854 | HT29 (Colon Cancer) | 52.9 | 47.1 |
| | HOP-92 (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) | 56.3 | 43.7 |
| | MDA-MB-468 (Breast Cancer) | 70.9 | 29.1 |
| | SF-539 (CNS Cancer) | 75.5 | 24.5 |
| | SK-MEL-5 (Melanoma) | 77.7 | 22.3 |

* The anticancer data of Imatinib was retrieved from National Cancer Institute database with NSC code 759854 [42].
Figure 2. Antiproliferative activity of compounds 5a–j and Imatinib at 10 μM in terms of %GIs.

2.5. Antioxidant Activity

The 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radicals (FR) scavenging activity was performed for some of the target compounds to evaluated antioxidant activity, as per reported protocol [46]. The compound 5a showed the most promising antioxidant activity with an IC$_{50}$ value of 14.16 ± 0.42 μM comparable to that of the standard ascorbic acid followed by the compound 5d with an IC$_{50}$ value of 22.90 ± 0.89 μM. The rest of the three compounds (5b, 5f, 5g, 5h and 5j) showed moderate antioxidant activity with IC$_{50}$ values ranging between 24.18 ± 0.41 and 54.45 ± 0.95 μM. The antioxidant activity of five compounds is shown in Table 4.

Table 4. The antioxidant activity of some of target compounds.

| S. No. | Compound | Free Radical Scavenging Activity IC$_{50}$ (μM) |
|--------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1      | 5a       | 14.16 ± 0.42                                  |
| 2      | 5b       | 24.18 ± 0.41                                  |
| 3      | 5d       | 22.90 ± 0.89                                  |
| 4      | 5f       | 36.32 ± 0.93                                  |
| 5      | 5g       | 54.45 ± 0.95                                  |
| 6      | 5h       | 24.52 ± 0.72                                  |
| 7      | 5j       | 31.11 ± 0.91                                  |
| 8      | Ascorbic acid | 13.99 ± 0.89                               |

2.6. Molecular Docking

The molecular docking studies of ligands 5a–j were carried out against EGFR (PDB ID: 3W2R) to identify the putative mechanism of action of these ligands against cancer [47,48]. The ligands showed different types of interaction the amino acid residues within the active site of EGFR include H-bond, π-π-stacking, π-cationic and halogen bond interaction, as shown in Figure 3. The various types of interaction with the amino acid residues are shown in Table 5. The ligands 5a and 5j were found to have significant antiproliferative activity. The ligand 5a showed a H-bond with the residue Met793 with the phenolic function of coumarin ring, while π-π-stacking was observed between the N-phenyl ring and the residues Leu788 and Ala743 (Figures 4 and 5). The ligand 5j showed two H-bonds, one between the phenolic function of coumarin and the residue Asp855 and another between the carbonyl function (of amide) and the residue Thr854. The ligand 5j also displayed a
π-π-stacking (of phenyl ring) with the residue Leu788 and a halogen bond interaction of 3-chloro function with the residue Asp855 (Figures 4 and 5). The docking images of the rest of the compounds are given in Figures S1 and S2 (Supplementary Materials).

Table 5. The molecular docking results of compounds 5a–j against EGFR.

| S. No. | Ligand | Docking Score | Types of Interaction                                      |
|--------|--------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1      | 5a     | -8.839        | H-bond (Met793), π-π-Stacking (Leu788 and Ala743)        |
| 2      | 5b     | -9.013        | H-bond (Met793), π-π-Stacking (Met793)                     |
| 3      | 5c     | -7.944        | H-bond (Asp855), π-π-Stacking (Thr854 and Phe856)         |
| 4      | 5d     | -8.216        | H-bond (Gln791), π-π-Stacking (Gln791 and Asp855)          |
| 5      | 5e     | -9.378        | H-bond (Met793), π-π-Stacking (Met793)                     |
| 6      | 5f     | -8.797        | H-bond (Gln791), Halogen bond (Ala743), π-π-Stacking (Gln791) |
| 7      | 5g     | -8.714        | H-bond (Asp855 and Thr854)                                |
| 8      | 5h     | -9.416        | H-bond (Met793, Gln791 and Thr854)                        |
| 9      | 5i     | -9.013        | H-bond (Asp855, Ala743 and Thr854)                        |
| 10     | 5j     | -8.305        | H-bond (Asp855 and Thr854), Halogen bond (Asp855), π-π-Stacking (Leu788) |

Figure 3. The molecular docking of ligands (5a–j) against EGFR (PDB ID: 3W2R) showing various types of interactions with the amino acid residues.
Figure 4. The 2D interactions of ligands 5a and 5j within the active site of EGFR (PDB ID: 3W2R).

Figure 5. Cont.
Similarly, CA was found to be linked with the proliferation of some of the cancer cells due to hypoxia [35,36]. Since the compounds showed anticancer as well as antioxidant activities, docking against CA isozyme IX (PDB ID: 3DC3) was also performed and the docking scores are given in Table S1 (Supplementary Materials) [49]. The ligands showed different types of interaction with the amino acid residues within the active site of CA include H-bond, π-π-stacking, π-cationic and halogen bond interaction. The ligand 5a showed efficient binding (docking score = −5.337) and displayed three H-bond interations with residues Gln67 (with phenolic function of coumarin), Gln92 (with carbonyl function) and Thr200 (with NH function, through the water molecule). Similarly, the ligand 5h (docking score = −5.643) displayed two H-bond interactions with residues Gln67 (with phenolic function of coumarin), and Gln92 (with carbonyl function). The 2D binding interactions of ligands 5a and 5h are shown in Figure 6, while the 2D binding interaction of ligand 5g is shown in Figure S3 (Supplementary Materials).
Figure 6. The 2D interactions of ligands 5a and 5h within the active site of CA IX (PDB ID: 3DC3).

2.7. ADME Studies

ADME studies are necessary for a drug’s successful absorption from the gastrointestinal system, as well as its oral bioavailability [50–54]. The physicochemical and pharmacokinetic parameters were studied by freely available ADME software [50]. All the compound 5a–j displayed a permissible number of H-bond acceptors (<10), H-bond donors (<5), rotatable bonds (<10) and log P (<5), as shown in Table 6. None of the compounds violated the Lipinski rule of 5, making them promising agents [55]. The most promising compounds (5a and 5j) displayed promising physicochemical and pharmacokinetic parameters in ADME prediction studies. These compounds (5a and 5j) displayed high gastrointestinal (GI) absorption (as seen in the radar plots) as well as their ability to cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB) (as seen in boiled egg diagram) (as shown in Figure 7) together with zero pan assay interference compounds (PAINS) prediction [56].

Table 6. The ADME studies of compounds 5a–j.

| Parameters                          | 5a  | 5b  | 5c  | 5d  | 5e  | 5f  | 5g  | 5h  | 5i  | 5j  |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| No. H-bond acceptor                | 3   | 3   | 3   | 4   | 6   | 3   | 3   | 4   | 4   | 4   |
| No. H-bond donor                   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 3   | 2   |
| LogP<sub>O/W</sub>(iLOGP)          | 1.82| 2.39| 2.02| 2.40| 2.58| 2.22| 2.15| 2.15| 2.00| 2.62|
| No. rotatable bonds                | 3   | 3   | 3   | 4   | 4   | 3   | 4   | 3   | 3   | 3   |
| TPSA                                | 71.33| 71.33| 71.33| 80.56| 71.33| 71.33| 80.56| 91.56| 71.33| 71.33|
| Log K<sub>P</sub> (skin permeation) | −6.50| −6.27| −6.33| −6.70| −6.29| −6.27| −6.33| −6.70| −6.61| −6.31|
| Lipinski’s rule violation           | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| Bioavailability score               | 0.55| 0.55| 0.55| 0.55| 0.55| 0.55| 0.55| 0.55| 0.55| 0.55|
| GI absorption                       | High| High| High| High| High| High| High| High| High| High|
| BBB permeation                      | Yes | Yes | Yes | No  | No  | Yes | No  | No  | No  | Yes |
| PAINS alerts                        | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| P-pg substrate                     | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
Figure 7. The bioavailability radar plots and boiled-egg graphs for the compounds 5a and 5j using Swiss ADME software.

3. Discussion

Quinolines are a class of bicyclic hetero-aromatic compound with a wide range of biological activities. Many of the anticancer quinolines are in clinical trials or are in the early stages of development [32]. In the current study, a green approach was used for the synthesis of oxoquinolines (5a–j). All of the quinoline analogues followed the Lipinski rule of five and ADME predictions, indicating that they could be used as oral drugs. There were three to four numbers of rotatable bonds, making the compound flexible enough to bind with molecular target. Compounds 5a and 5j were discovered to be capable of crossing the blood–brain barrier, as shown in the boiled egg representations and bioavailability radar plots (Figure 7). However, none of the compounds were recognized as PAINS materials in SWISS ADME prediction studies. All these compounds were synthesized using a green approach. We attempted the synthesis of oxoquinolines in several solvents, with the best results obtained in water. Water has various advantages because it is a low-cost, readily available, non-toxic, and non-flammable solvent that is both economically and environmentally acceptable [28]. Using water as a solvent, several research groups successfully synthesized quinoline, a bicyclic heterocycle [23,29–31]. Similarly, when compared to conventional synthesis, ultrasound-mediated synthesis is consistently found to be superior in terms of yields and reaction times [23,37].

The antiproliferative activity of the compounds (5a–j) was carried out at 10 µM on nearly five dozen cancer cell lines and the results were represented as GP as well as %GIs.
The GP and %GI are correlated as %GI = 100 − GP. In this study the compounds 5a and 5j showed promising anticancer activity with maximum sensitivity against TK-10 (%GI = 82.90) and CCRF-CEM (%GI = 58.61) cancer cell lines respectively. The 3-chloro-4-flouro substitution on N-phenyl ring of compound 5j demonstrated maximum antiproliferative activity. Similarly, the same function displayed halogen bond (of 3-chloro function) with the residue Asp855, apart from H-bond (Asp855 and Thr854) as well as π-π-stacking (Leu788) present in the active site of EGFR. The compound 5a displayed the most promising antiproliferative activity against TK-10 (renal cancer), displayed two types of interactions [H-bond (Met793), π-π-stacking (Leu788 and Ala743)] in molecular docking studies. The compound 5g displayed the most promising antiproliferative activity against TK-10 (renal cancer), displayed two types of interactions [H-bond (Met793), π-π-stacking (Leu788 and Ala743)] in molecular docking studies. The average GP of the compounds was compared in order to assess the structure-activity relationship (SAR). The chloro function at meta (5j) and ortho (5f) position displayed halogen bond interaction, while para (5b) substitution didn’t displayed halogen bond interaction. The order of antiproliferative activity followed with substitutions as 3-Cl-4-F ≥ H > 2-OH-5-Cl > 2-Cl > 4-OCH3 > 4-CH3 > 4-Cl > 4-CF3 > 2-CH3.

Compound 5a also demonstrated promising DPPH free radical scavenging activity with an IC50 value of 14.16 ± 0.42 µM, while compound 5d showed antioxidant activity with and IC50 value of 22.90 ± 0.89 µM and rest of the compounds showed moderate antioxidant activity. Antioxidant supplementation appears to be an essential step in preventing or reducing oxidative damage associated with high altitude exposure [57,58]. The literature survey revealed that acetazolamide (a specific inhibitor of carbonic anhydrase), is used to treat high altitude pulmonary oedema (HAPE) [59,60]. The ligands 5a, 5g, and 5h efficiently bind within the active site of CA.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Synthesis

An equimolar mixture of 7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3) (1 mmol; 176 mg) and substituted phenyl urea (4a–j) (1 mmol) in 10 mL water was ultrasonicated at 130 W for 20–45 min. After the total consumption of the reactants the resultant precipitate was filtered under vacuum washed with water and collected. The crude product was further re-crystallized with absolute ethanol to obtain N-substituted phenyl-7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-oxoquinoline-1(2H)carboxamides (5a–j) [40]. 7-Hydroxy-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3) and substituted phenyl urea (4a–j) was synthesized as per the reported methods [37,39]. The characterization data of synthesized compounds are given in the Supplementary Materials.

4.2. Antiproliferative Activity

The target compounds (5a–m) were tested at 10 µM for their antiproliferative activity against nine different panels of 60 cancer cell lines. It was measured at the National Cancer Institute using the Sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay (NCI US) [42–45].

4.3. Antioxidant Activity

The 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radicals (FR) scavenging activity was carried out according to the standard protocol reported to evaluate the antioxidant activity of the some of the target compounds [46].

4.4. Molecular Docking Studies

The molecular docking against EGFR was performed for the ligands, 5a–j. The EGFR (PDB: 3W2R) X-ray crystal structure with a resolution of 2.05 Å; R-value 0.220 (observed) was obtained from the protein data bank [47]. The ligands 5a–j were saved as a mol file and the docking was done as per the reported protocol [48]. Similarly, the molecular docking against CA was performed for the ligands, 5a–j. The CA (PDB: 3DC3) X-ray crystal structure with a resolution of 1.70 Å; R-value 0.141 (work)
was obtained from the protein data bank [49]. The ligands 5a–j were saved as a mol file and the docking was done as per the reported protocol [35].

4.5. ADME Studies

The pharmacokinetic and physicochemical parameters were studied by freely available ADME prediction software [50]. The various parameters include number of H-bond donors, number of H-bond acceptors, number of rotatable bonds, lipophilicity, bioavailability, GI absorption, BBB permeation, PAINS alert and P-pg substrate.

5. Conclusions

Following ultrasound irradiation, we successfully prepared oxoquiolines (5a–j) in high yield in green solvent water. All of these compounds adhered to the Lipinski rule of five, as well as physicochemical and pharmacokinetic parameters as measured by ADME software. The antiproliferative activity was carried out against 60 NCI cancer cell lines at 10 µM. Compounds 5a and 5j demonstrated the most promising activity against TK-10 (82.90% growth inhibition) and CCRF-CEM (58.61% growth inhibition) cell lines respectively. Compound 5d also demonstrated promising DPPH free radical scavenging activity with an IC_{50} value of 14.16 ± 0.42 µM. Three types of interactions were observed in molecular docking studies: H-bond, π-π-stacking, and halogen bond interactions. The reported work could be expanded through molecular modification, which would be extremely beneficial to researchers working on anticancer research programs. Since the compounds showed significant anticancer and antioxidant activities, the title compounds were further studied for their CA inhibitory activity. Some of the ligands (5a, 5g, and 5h) displayed efficient binding with CA in the in-silico studies. The antioxidant activity of compounds 5a and 5h were found to be promising.

Some of the compounds displayed promising anticancer and antioxidant activities. Furthermore, all the ligands showed efficient binding within the active sites of EGFR, while some of the ligands efficiently bind within the active site of the CA in-silico studies. Antioxidant supplementation appears to be an essential step in preventing or reducing oxidative damage associated with high altitude exposure. As a result, the current discovery could aid in the development of novel therapeutic compounds for the treatment of cancer, oxidative stress, and other high-altitude disorders.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials containing characterization of compounds (5a–j) are available online; Figure S1: The 2D interactions of ligands within the active site of EGFR; Figure S2: The 3D interactions of ligands within the active site of EGFR; Figure S3: The 3D interactions of ligand 5g within the active site of CA IX; Table S1: The molecular docking results of compounds 5a–j against CA IX (PDB ID: 3DC3).
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