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Different to the core: The pre-supernova structures of massive single and binary-stripped stars
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ABSTRACT

The majority of massive stars live in binary or multiple systems and will interact with a companion during their lifetimes, which helps to explain the observed diversity of core-collapse supernovae. Donor stars in binary systems can lose most of their hydrogen-rich envelopes through mass transfer. As a result, not only are the surface properties affected, but so is the core structure. However, most calculations of the core-collapse properties of massive stars rely on single-star models. We present a systematic study of the difference between the pre-supernova structures of single stars and stars of the same initial mass (11–21 M⊙) that have been stripped due to stable post-main-sequence mass transfer at solar metallicity. We present the pre-supernova core composition with novel diagrams that give an intuitive representation of the isotope distribution. As shown in previous studies, at the edge of the carbon-oxygen core, the binary-stripped star models contain an extended gradient of carbon, oxygen, and neon. This layer remains until core collapse and is more extended in mass for higher initial stellar masses. It originates from the receding of the convective helium core during core helium burning in binary-stripped stars, which does not occur in single-star models. We find that this same evolutionary phase leads to systematic differences in the final density and nuclear energy generation profiles. Binary-stripped star models have systematically higher total masses of carbon at the moment of core collapse compared to single-star models, which likely results in systematically different supernova yields. In about half of our models, the silicon-burning and oxygen-rich layers merge after core silicon burning. We discuss the implications of our findings for the “explodability”, supernova observations, and nucleosynthesis of these stars. Our models are publicly available and can be readily used as input for detailed supernova simulations.
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1. Introduction

The question of how massive stars end their lives is one of the most important in stellar astrophysics. Recent developments in supernova simulations, through the inclusion of more sophisticated physics and advancements in computational capabilities, have produced the first successful three-dimensional explosions of stars by independent groups (e.g., Takiwaki et al. 2012; Lentz et al. 2015; Melson et al. 2015; Müller 2015; Roberts et al. 2016; Kuroda et al. 2018; Ott et al. 2018; Summa et al. 2018; Müller et al. 2019; Vartanyan et al. 2019; Burrows et al. 2020), though the debate is still open regarding which components are essential (for a recent review, see Burrows & Vartanyan 2021). On the observational side, the rise of robotic transient surveys, which are revealing an unprecedented number and diversity of supernovae, is giving us exceptional samples to compare with theoretical predictions. Examples of these facilities include the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF, Bellm et al. 2019), the Vera Rubin Observatory (Ivezic et al. 2008), the DLT40 survey telescope PROMPT (Tartaglia et al. 2018), and the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN, Kochanek et al. 2017). For both stellar explosion models and the interpretation of supernova data, robust stellar models that accurately reflect our current understanding of massive star evolution are required.

Most massive stars live in multiple systems and will interact with a companion during their lifetime (e.g., Sana et al. 2012). As a result of these interactions, stars can transfer their hydrogen-rich envelope to a companion star before core collapse, leading to supernovae that appear different from those that would be produced if all massive stars were single (Wheeler & Levreault 1985; Podsiadlowski et al. 1992). Transient observations have revealed a diverse population of explosions that resemble the supernovae expected from such binary-stripped stars (for a review see, e.g., Modjaz et al. 2019). Stars that are stripped by binary interactions before they reach core collapse are also important in forming the observed population of compact-object binaries from isolated binaries (see, e.g., Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991; Dewi & Pols 2003; Podsiadlowski et al. 2004; Dewi et al. 2006). Therefore,
understanding stripped-envelope stars, and the outcomes of their supernovae, is crucial for understanding the formation of stellar-mass gravitational-wave merger sources (see, e.g., Abbott et al. 2016, 2017).

Pioneering work revealed differences between single and binary-stripped stellar structures, namely, systematically less massive final cores (Kippenhahn & Weigert 1967; Habets 1986), except for the mass range in which a second dredge-up decreases the mass of the helium core in single stars (Podsiadlowski et al. 2004; Poelarends et al. 2008). Langer (1989a, 1991a) and Woosley et al. (1993) found that wind mass loss in pure helium stars leads to a shrinking convective core that affects the final core mass and composition. Subsequent work investigated the conditions for which envelope loss alters the final core structure enough to change whether a massive star of a given initial mass would form a neutron star or black hole at core collapse (for early studies see, e.g., Brown et al. 1996, 2001, Wellstein & Langer 1999, and Pols & Dewi 2002).

However, despite the importance of massive stripped-envelope stars and the potential effects of envelope loss on the evolution and structure of the core, the majority of detailed stellar structures at the onset of core collapse are computed for single stars. Studies that follow the binary interaction in detail are rare. A common assumption is that the structures of binary progenitors can be adequately approximated with pure helium star models (e.g., Woosley 2019). An alternative simplifying approximation is that the outcomes following mass transfer in a binary system are equivalent to mass loss over an assumed timescale until a certain surface composition has been reached (e.g., Schneider et al. 2021).

Few calculations of binary stellar models self-consistently capture changes in the composition and interior structure through the final hydrostatic burning phases of massive stars. Instead, stellar evolution calculations of binaries are commonly stopped at earlier stages, such as the end of core carbon burning (e.g., Eldridge & Vink 2006; Yoon et al. 2010, 2017; Eldridge et al. 2018; Gilkis et al. 2019). Models of core-collapse progenitors often use a simple nuclear reaction network that only approximately captures the late phases of nuclear burning (Timmes 1999; Timmes et al. 2000; recent examples include Aguilera-Dena et al. 2020 and Schneider et al. 2021). In reality, after a silicon-rich core has been formed in massive stars, leptonic losses due to electron-capture processes significantly change the interior stellar structure and composition (Hix & Thielemann 1996). Such electron-capture processes can also be important in earlier phases, notably for massive stars that develop a partially degenerate core before the end of oxygen burning (Thielemann & Arnett 1985; Jones et al. 2013). Farmer et al. (2016) demonstrated the potential impact of the size of the nuclear reaction network and of the numerical resolution on the structure of pre-core-collapse models. However, appropriately extensive nuclear reaction networks are time- and memory-intensive, and thus relatively computationally expensive. Therefore, few suitably detailed models are available (Woosley et al. 2002; Woosley & Heger 2007; Renzo et al. 2017). This lack of detailed progenitor models matters because even small differences in stellar structure can have large consequences for the outcomes of simulations of stellar core collapse (e.g., the location of the silicon-oxygen interface; see Vartanyan et al. 2018).

To better understand the impact of binary interaction on the stellar structure at core collapse, a systematic comparison between the pre-supernova core structures of single stars and of stars stripped in binary systems is needed. Recent studies have presented pre-core-collapse models of stars that have lost their hydrogen-rich envelopes (Marchant et al. 2019; Woosley 2019; Schneider et al. 2021) and even their helium-rich layers (Tauris et al. 2015; Kruckow et al. 2018).

Independent groups have also explored the “explodability” of stars and the distribution of remnant compact object masses, using the evolution of carbon-oxygen cores with varying carbon to oxygen mass fractions (e.g., Patton & Sukhbold 2020) or prescriptions based on the masses of carbon-oxygen cores and helium shells (Fryer et al. 2012; Ertl et al. 2016, 2020; Mandel & Müller 2020; Mandel et al. 2021). A limitation of these studies is their assumed homogeneous composition distributions, at either the start or end of core helium burning, which may not accurately capture the complex structure revealed by more detailed stellar evolution models.

In this study we systematically compare the evolution and pre-supernova structures of single stars and donor stars in binary systems. We present models of stars at solar metallicity with initial masses of 11–21 $M_\odot$ using Farmer et al. (2016), we employ a sufficiently high spatial and temporal resolution to ensure a converged final helium core mass. We compare the composition structures using novel diagrams that represent the stellar structure on a two-dimensional surface and enable the visualization of the full isotope distribution. We investigate the origin of the systematic differences in structure and composition by studying details of the late-time evolution. We first review the general effect of stable mass transfer in a binary system and the differences that arise compared to the evolution of single-star models of the same initial mass in Sect. 3. We present our main findings on the systematic differences in the pre-supernova density and composition structure of single and binary-stripped star models with the same core mass in Sect. 4. In Sect. 5 we investigate the origin of these differences. We discuss the implications and limitations of our findings in Sect. 6 and conclude in Sect. 7.

2. Method

We employed the MESA stellar evolution code (version 10398; Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2019) to compute the stellar structure of massive stars from the beginning of core hydrogen burning until the onset of core collapse. We calculated two sets of 11 stellar models with the same initial masses of 11–21 $M_\odot$. The first set follows the evolution of single massive stars, while the second models the evolution of stars with the same initial masses but in a close binary system.

For the binary models we used the same setup as in Laplace et al. (2020), in which we simplified the computation of the binary interaction by approximating the initially less massive companion star (the secondary) as a point mass with initially 80% of the mass of the primary star. We assumed mass transfer occurs conservatively such that no mass is lost during Roche-lobe overflow. We followed the time-dependent mass transfer evolution, which depends on the radial and orbital evolution of our models, computed self-consistently. We focused on binary systems undergoing the most common type of mass transfer, known as stable case B mass transfer (de Mink et al. 2008). This term designates mass exchange initiated by the primary star filling its Roche lobe after expanding during the hydrogen-shell burning phase that follows the end of core-hydrogen burning.
(Kippenhahn & Weigert 1967; Tutukov et al. 1973). We chose initial orbital periods between 25 and 35 d. This is not done systematically, but we believe the evolutionary stage at the beginning of mass transfer is sufficiently similar so as to not significantly affect our results (Göttberg et al. 2017; Laplace et al. 2020). For these choices of binary parameters at solar metallicity, stars of 11 MS and above do not interact again with their companion after the first phase of mass transfer for these choices of physics and binary parameters (Yoon et al. 2017; Laplace et al. 2020). Thus, after the primary star reached core helium depletion (defined as the moment when the central helium mass fraction in the core decreases below 10−4), we only followed the evolution of the primary star.

For both the single stars and the primary stars in binary systems, we used the same choice of physical assumptions, explained in detail below.

2.1. Starting and stopping conditions

We defined the starting point of the evolution as the moment when the abundance of helium in the center increases by 5%. From this point on, we evolved the models until the onset of core collapse, which we defined as the moment when the infall velocity of any point within the boundary of the iron-rich core reaches 1000 km s−1 (Woosley et al. 2002). Here, “iron” includes all species for which the mass number is higher than 46. Throughout this work, we define the core boundaries as the mass coordinate where the mass fraction of the depleted element (for example 28Si in the case of the iron core) decreases below 0.01 and the mass fraction of the most abundant element (for example iron) increases above 0.1. We did not take rotation into account for the evolution of single stars nor the binary stars.

2.2. Metallicity and opacities

The models were computed at solar metallicity (Z = 0.0142, where Z is the mass fraction of elements heavier than helium; Asplund et al. 2009), and we employed the opacity tables from Ferguson et al. (2005) and from OPAL (Iglesias & Rogers 1993, 1996). We assumed an initial helium mass fraction of Y = Z = 0.24 and an initial hydrogen mass fraction of X = 1 − Y − Z, following Tout et al. (1996) and Pols et al. (1998).

2.3. Nuclear reaction network

To obtain accurate information for the interior composition profile at the onset of core collapse, a large nuclear reaction network is needed. It allows all electron-capture processes that become significant after core-oxygen depletion and that affect the core structure through lepton losses to be followed. Farmer et al. (2016) showed that only models computed with nuclear reaction networks containing at least 127 isotopes do not exhibit significant variations in their pre-supernova structure (e.g., the mass of the iron core) compared to models with larger networks. Therefore, after core-oxygen depletion, we employed a nuclear reaction network consisting of 128 isotopes (mesa128; Timmes 1999; Timmes et al. 2000; Paxton et al. 2011). MESA solves the fully coupled stellar structure and composition equations simultaneously using a single reaction network (Paxton et al. 2011, 2015). This enables a self-consistent calculation of all quantities, including but not limited to the energy generation rate, the electron fraction, and the composition. We computed nuclear reactions in the stellar interior until the end of core oxygen burning with an alpha-chain network containing the 21 most important isotopes for these evolutionary phases (approx21; Timmes et al. 2000; Paxton et al. 2011). We note two imperfections introduced by our use of the approximate alpha-chain network in these early burning phases. The first is a consequence of approx21 not containing any isotopes of neon aside from 20Ne. To approximate the burning of 14N to 22Ne, the network adopts the construction 14N(γ, γ)20Ne (for which, see Pols et al. 1995). This inevitably leads to a small systematic error in the electron fraction (Ye) after helium burning, and also affects the later isotope distribution. This applies to all our models, but we consider that the eventual overall effect is small.

We demonstrate the size of the effect in Appendix A, in which we compare representative models that use our standard method to more computationally expensive models that use the full network for the whole evolution (see also, e.g., the comparisons in Sukhbold et al. 2018). We have indicated in figure captions where regions labeled as 20Ne are substituting for 22Ne, which occurs when the neon formed in helium-rich zones. The second issue is potentially more significant, but only for the lower-mass models in our grid. The alpha-chain network approx21 neglects some electron-capture reactions that become important at high densities, including before the end of oxygen burning (see, e.g., Thielemann & Arnett 1985 and Jones et al. 2013). This is a common approximation for core-collapse models with similarly low masses as those we study (see, e.g., Schneider et al. 2021), but is a source of systematic error. As a guide to the range in which this may be important for our results, we note that Woosley (2019) adopted a full nuclear network for helium stars with initial masses below 4.5 M⊙ for this reason, which roughly corresponds to our three (two) lowest-mass stripped (single) stars. We consider that this would not significantly affect the main direct paired comparisons we present, nor our main conclusions.

We chose to perform a single switch of nuclear network, rather than gradually increasing the number of isotopes taken into account for distinct evolutionary steps. This allowed us to minimize numerical artifacts that can be introduced by these switches (Renzo 2015; Renzo et al. 2017). We visually inspected our models to confirm that switching networks did not introduce obvious discontinuities in the evolution or artificial features in the structures. We present examples of this with Kippenhahn diagrams in Appendix F (see also Appendix A). We employed values from Angulo et al. (1999) for the 12C(α, γ)16O rate.

2.4. Mixing

For convective mixing we used the mixing length theory (MLT) approximation (Böhm-Vitense 1958) with a mixing length parameter αMLT = 1.5. We adopt the Ledoux criterion for evaluating stability against convective mixing and assume efficient semi-convection, with a semi-convection parameter αsc = 1.0 (Langer 1991b). Due to numerical issues in the outer layers of the most massive stellar models, we treated convection using the MLT+ scheme of MESA (Paxton et al. 2013) before core oxygen depletion. This method artificially increases the energy flux in radiation-dominated convectively inefficient layers (cf. Jiang et al. 2015, 2018). We took into account convective overshooting above the core and shells by using a step overshooting parameter of 0.335 pressure scale heights, appropriate for stars in our mass range (see Brott et al. 2011). We did not assume any undershooting.
2.5. Winds

For the stellar winds, we used the “Dutch” scheme of MESA (de Jager et al. 1988; Nugis & Lamers 2000; Vink et al. 2001). For the wind mass loss of binary-stripped stars, we employed the extrapolated empirical prescription of Nugis & Lamers (2000) (for more details, see Laplace et al. 2020). The timing and amount of wind mass loss has a significant impact on the pre-collapse core structure of massive stars (Renzo et al. 2017; Giliks et al. 2019), but we expect the binary interactions to produce a larger effect (because of the shorter timescale and higher mass-loss rates). We investigate the effect of varying the wind mass-loss rate in Appendix D.

2.6. Resolution

Numerical spatial resolution, that is, the choice of the number and minimum step between mass shells, can affect the presupernova structure of stellar models (Farmer et al. 2016). Testing showed that converged values of stellar parameters (e.g., the helium core mass) could be obtained by choosing at least 1000 mass cells in each model (with an average of 5000 throughout the evolution) and ensuring that about one thousandth of the total mass be contained in each cell (max dq = 10−3). Our models typically contain 40,000 time steps until core oxygen depletion, and 200,000 more until core collapse. Further details can be found in our MESA inlists and models available online.

The analysis was performed with the following open-source codes: mesaplot (Farmer 2019), matplotlib (Hunter 2007), numpy (van der Walt et al. 2011), ipython/jupyter (Perez & Granger 2007) and TULIPS (Laplace 2021).

3. Comparison of an example single and binary evolutionary model

In this section we review generic differences in evolution between single-star models and binary models of the same initial mass. To this end, we compare the evolution of two representative models that start their evolution with the same initial mass of 11 M⊙. In Fig. 1, we present the evolution of these models. In the bottom panels, we display evolutionary tracks on the Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram and on the central density – central temperature (log ρc – log Tc) plane. We also show the evolution of the compactness parameter ξM, commonly used to characterize the core structure of stars (see also Sect. 5.4), defined as follows (O’Connor & Ott 2011):

\[ \xi_M = \frac{M}{R(M)/1000 \text{ km}} \]  

Here, we evaluate the compactness parameter at \( M = 2.5 M_{\odot} \), which approximately corresponds to the boundary between black hole and neutron star masses (Ugliano et al. 2012). We further show composition structure diagrams at specific times of the evolution. Since these diagrams\(^2\) differ from standard representations of the stellar composition found in the literature, we briefly describe them here. Each color in the composition diagrams represents a different isotope. The center and edge of the shaded circles in each of the composition diagrams correspond to the center and surface of each star, respectively, and rings about the center represent intermediate mass coordinates. Specifically, the radius of each ring about the center is proportional to the square root of the Lagrangian mass coordinate. The fraction of each ring that is shaded in a particular color indicates the mass fraction of the corresponding isotope at that mass coordinate. This combination means that the total area of any color in the plot is proportional to the total mass of the corresponding isotope in the stellar model. At each mass coordinate, the isotopes are ordered counterclockwise, by increasing atomic number (and, for isotopes with identical atomic number, by increasing mass number). Nuclear fusion typically causes the mass fraction of the dominant, low atomic number, species to decrease. Hence in regions of nuclear fusion, evolutionary composition changes typically cause color patterns to move clockwise on the composition diagram. To aid the comparison between different diagrams, we show dashed red (blue) circles that indicate the total mass of the corresponding binary (single)-star model.

In the sections that follow we describe the example single and binary models at key phases of the evolution, referring to the phases labeled A–F in Fig. 1.

3.1. Early evolution until mass transfer in binary models (A – B)

The first part of the evolution, starting from the zero-age main-sequence (labeled point A in Fig. 1) is identical for the single-star model and the primary star in the binary model. Tides and rotational effects due to the binary evolution have a negligible impact at this stage. The stars begin their evolution with a solar metallicity composition, that is, abundances of 0.7174, 0.2684, and 0.0142 for hydrogen, helium, and heavier elements, respectively (Asplund et al. 2009), as shown in the composition diagrams.

After the end of core hydrogen burning, the stars burn hydrogen in a shell and expand, as can be observed on the HR diagram in Fig. 1, in which the diagonal lines show loci at constant radii. At point B, the binary star fills its Roche lobe and starts to transfer matter to its companion, leading to a divergence of the evolutionary tracks on the HR diagram. At this point, the stars still have an identical chemical structure (see point B in the composition diagrams of Fig. 1), with a pristine composition in the outer layers and a core that is composed mainly of helium, with small mass fractions (less than 0.01) of nitrogen, which has been produced by the CNO cycle. The spiral structure visible in the center of the composition diagram (point B in Fig. 1) reflects the chemical gradient developed above the helium core. This is the result of the recession in mass coordinate of the convective core during core hydrogen burning. This can also be seen in the evolutionary tracks on the log ρc – log Tc plane in Fig. 1, where the tracks of the single and binary star are indistinguishable between point A and B. The same is true for the compactness parameter.

3.2. Development of key differences until the end of core helium burning (B – D)

The donor star in the binary transfers matter to its companion and loses nearly all its outer hydrogen envelope (B – C), becoming a “binary-stripped” star and leading to a dramatic change in chemical structure and surface properties (for a detailed description, see, e.g., Götberg et al. 2017; Laplace et al. 2020). This change is apparent on the composition diagrams at point C of Fig. 1.

---

1. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4596803
2. The composition diagrams are constructed using the python package TULIPS that is being prepared for release as open-source software (Laplace 2021).
3. A movie showing the changes in composition can be found at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4596803.
Fig. 1. Evolution of example single (blue) and binary-stripped star (red) models with the same initial mass of 11 $M_\odot$ until core oxygen depletion. Key moments of the evolution, discussed in Sect. 3, are marked with letters A to F. Upper panels: chemical structure of the single star (top) and the donor star in a binary system (binary-stripped star, bottom) with the same initial mass of 11 $M_\odot$ at key moments of the evolution. The radial direction is proportional to the square root of the total mass of the model (see text). The total mass is given below each model. Colors indicate the local mass fraction of each isotope. The surface area spanned by each element is proportional to its total mass. The diagrams are divided into concentric rings. Each ring is a pie chart of the most abundant isotopes in the models. From the outside moving inward, gray circles mark regions containing 100, 75, 50, and 25 percent of the total mass, respectively. Lines are placed at equal intervals of one-eighth of the total fraction of isotopes. To aid the comparison, dashed blue or red circles indicate the total mass of the alternate model (single or binary-stripped) at the same stage. The neon isotope labeled in panel C would be $^{22}$Ne in reality and is only $^{20}$Ne as an artifact of the approx21 network. Likewise, for these relatively low-mass models, the isotope distribution at the end of core oxygen burning (panel F) is significantly affected by the simplifications of approx21. Bottom panels: evolution of the single (blue) and binary-stripped (red) models in the HR diagram (left) and the central density – central temperature (center) plane. Circles and diamonds mark important evolutionary steps for the single and binary-stripped star model, respectively. We also show the evolution of the compactness parameter (right) as a function of the time until core oxygen depletion. Vertical dashed lines mark important evolutionary steps.
(see also the dashed colored circles giving the total mass of the alternate model). Meanwhile, the single star continues to expand and cool while burning hydrogen in a shell. At point C, both models have fused roughly half of the helium inside their cores. This is the moment when the central temperature and density conditions of the stars start to diverge (see the log $\rho_c$ − log $T_c$ diagram and compactness parameter evolution in Fig. 1). At the same evolutionary stage (C), the binary-striped star has a slightly denser and cooler core than the single star, because from this point on, the binary-striped star behaves, to a first approximation, like the core of a star with a lower initial mass (cf. Kippenhahn & Weigert 1967).

At the end of core-helium burning (D, defined as the moment when the central helium mass fraction drops below $10^{-4}$), the helium core mass of the single-star model is larger than that of the binary-striped star (see the dashed circle on the composition diagram at point D in Fig. 1). This can be explained by two effects: (1) the helium core mass of single stars increases due to the creation of helium by the hydrogen-burning shell (e.g., Woosley 2019); and (2) the binary-striped star loses mass due to winds, leading to a decrease in the helium core mass (see also Appendix B). At core helium depletion, the core composition diverges significantly between the models (see the composition diagrams at point D in Fig. 1). While the cores of both the single and the binary-striped star model are composed of the same products of core helium burning (namely carbon, oxygen, and neon), the relative ratios of these elements are different. The mass fraction of carbon is larger in the binary-striped star with an abundance of 0.38 compared to 0.33 for the single star. In contrast, the mass fraction of oxygen is smaller for the binary-striped star model, 0.60 compared to 0.65 for the single star. This is caused by differences in the mass and density of their cores and by the distinct behavior of their convective cores during core helium burning (cf. Langer 1989a; Woosley et al. 1993; Brown et al. 2001). Higher core masses and lower densities, together with a growth of the convective helium-burning core, favor a more efficient destruction of carbon through alpha captures in single-star models and leads to the observed differences in central carbon and oxygen mass fractions (Woosley et al. 1993; Brown et al. 2001, see Sect. 5.1 for more details).

The binary-striped star model develops an extended carbon-oxygen gradient at the edge of the core (visible on the composition diagram as the lime-colored outer “arm” at the bottom of the dark green region at point D in Fig. 1). This is due to the convective core shrinking during core helium burning as a result of wind mass loss (Langer 1989a; Woosley et al. 1993, for more details, see Sect. 5.1 and Appendix D). At core helium depletion, the compactness parameter reaches a value of 0.03 for both the single and binary-striped star models.

3.3. Evolution after core helium depletion (D − F)

After the end of core helium burning, both stars expand again while burning helium in a shell (labeled D − E in Fig. 1) and reach their final location on the HR diagram. The stars enter the final burning stages of heavier elements, starting with core carbon burning, and the evolution accelerates due to neutrino losses (e.g., Fraley 1968). During this phase, a large difference in the evolution of the compactness parameter can be observed in Fig. 1. The compactness value of the binary-striped star model decreases, while it increases for the single-star model. This reflects the change in radius evaluated at the same mass of $2.5\, M_\odot$.

The previously built-up chemical composition differences remain until the end of core carbon burning (labeled E in Fig. 1, which marks the moment when the central mass fraction of carbon drops below $10^{-4}$). The binary-striped star model is less abundant in oxygen and more abundant in neon and magnesium than the single star. This can be attributed to the different composition at the beginning of core carbon burning and to the different burning conditions during core carbon burning as shown in log $\rho_c$ − log $T_c$ diagram in Fig. 1. The compactness parameter of the single star shows two prominent maxima, after each of which the compactness parameter decreases significantly. These maxima are coincident with instances of off-center carbon ignition (as shown in Appendix F). Such off-center burning has previously been found to be important for compactness evolution (cf. Fig. 7 of Renzo et al. 2017, see also Sukhbold & Woosley 2014).

The composition profiles become increasingly different during this phase of the evolution (E − F, where F we define as the moment when the central oxygen mass fraction drops below $10^{-4}$). During this stage, the stars develop a central core mainly composed of silicon, sulfur, and calcium. In the single-star model, the mass fraction of silicon is lower than in the binary-striped model. In contrast, the mass fraction of sulfur and calcium is larger in the single-star model compared to the binary-striped star model.

When massive stars reach oxygen depletion (labeled F in Fig. 1), they have less than a few days left to live (e.g., Woosley et al. 2002). This is the moment when oxygen-shell burning followed by core and then shell silicon burning, occur. Immediately after, the iron-rich core begins to collapse. At this point, we stop our models, since the high density and extremely neutron-rich material requires a nuclear equation of state and detailed treatments of the neutrino physics. Kippenhahn diagrams that show the entire evolution of the stellar structure for these two example models can be found in Fig. F.1.

4. Differences between single and binary-striped models at core collapse

In the previous section we compared single and binary-striped star models with the same initial mass. In this section we compare the properties of models with similar core masses. This is because the explosion properties are mainly determined by the mass of their carbon-oxygen cores (e.g., Woosley et al. 2002; Farmer et al. 2019). We define the reference core mass as the mass of the helium core at the end of central helium burning. The boundary of the helium core is set as the mass at which the mass fraction of hydrogen decreases below 0.01 and the mass fraction of helium is larger than 0.1. We discuss the robustness of this definition in Appendix B.

4.1. Composition at core collapse

In Fig. 2 we show the interior composition at core collapse of selected single-star models (top row) and binary-striped star models (bottom row). We select four pairs of models with similar reference core masses of $M_{\text{ref}}^{\text{He-core}} \approx 4.3, 5.4, 5.7, 6.3\, M_\odot$ (see also Table 1). We focus on the composition inside the helium core. The radius of each diagram is proportional to the total helium core mass. The hydrogen-rich outer layers in the single-star model decreases, while it increases for the single-star model. This reflects the change in radius evaluated at the same mass of $2.5\, M_\odot$.

The previously built-up chemical composition differences remain until the end of core carbon burning (labeled E in Fig. 1, which marks the moment when the central mass fraction of carbon drops below $10^{-4}$). The binary-striped star model is less abundant in oxygen and more abundant in neon and magnesium than the single star. This can be attributed to the different composition at the beginning of core carbon burning and to the different burning conditions during core carbon burning as shown in log $\rho_c$ − log $T_c$ diagram in Fig. 1. The compactness parameter of the single star shows two prominent maxima, after each of which the compactness parameter decreases significantly. These maxima are coincident with instances of off-center carbon ignition (as shown in Appendix F). Such off-center burning has previously been found to be important for compactness evolution (cf. Fig. 7 of Renzo et al. 2017, see also Sukhbold & Woosley 2014).

The composition profiles become increasingly different during this phase of the evolution (E − F, where F we define as the moment when the central oxygen mass fraction drops below $10^{-4}$). During this stage, the stars develop a central core mainly composed of silicon, sulfur, and calcium. In the single-star model, the mass fraction of silicon is lower than in the binary-striped model. In contrast, the mass fraction of sulfur and calcium is larger in the single-star model compared to the binary-striped star model.

When massive stars reach oxygen depletion (labeled F in Fig. 1), they have less than a few days left to live (e.g., Woosley et al. 2002). This is the moment when oxygen-shell burning followed by core and then shell silicon burning, occur. Immediately after, the iron-rich core begins to collapse. At this point, we stop our models, since the high density and extremely neutron-rich material requires a nuclear equation of state and detailed treatments of the neutrino physics. Kippenhahn diagrams that show the entire evolution of the stellar structure for these two example models can be found in Fig. F.1.

4. Differences between single and binary-striped models at core collapse

In the previous section we compared single and binary-striped star models with the same initial mass. In this section we compare the properties of models with similar core masses. This is because the explosion properties are mainly determined by the mass of their carbon-oxygen cores (e.g., Woosley et al. 2002; Farmer et al. 2019). We define the reference core mass as the mass of the helium core at the end of central helium burning. The boundary of the helium core is set as the mass at which the mass fraction of hydrogen decreases below 0.01 and the mass fraction of helium is larger than 0.1. We discuss the robustness of this definition in Appendix B.

4.1. Composition at core collapse

In Fig. 2 we show the interior composition at core collapse of selected single-star models (top row) and binary-striped star models (bottom row). We select four pairs of models with similar reference core masses of $M_{\text{ref}}^{\text{He-core}} \approx 4.3, 5.4, 5.7, 6.3\, M_\odot$ (see also Table 1). We focus on the composition inside the helium core. The radius of each diagram is proportional to the total helium core mass. The hydrogen-rich outer layers in the single-star
models are not shown for clarity. These diagrams naturally bring into focus three distinct regions that contain a significant fraction of the total mass of the star, given below.

The first region is the helium-rich layer (I). This region is mainly composed of $^4$He. At the outer edge, the ashes of the CNO cycle can be observed with mass fractions of 0.99 for $^4$He, and up to 0.01 for $^{14}$N. Moving inward, $^4$He still makes up the largest mass fraction of the region, up to 0.75. The rest of the mass is contained in the products of helium burning, namely $^{12}$C, $^{16}$O, and $^{20}$Ne, in order of decreasing mass fraction. At the inner edge of this region, binary-stripped stars exhibit a composition gradient that is not present in single stars due to the shrinking of the convective core during helium burning (see Sect. 5.1).

The second is the oxygen-rich layer (II). This region is mainly composed of $^{16}$O, but also $^{20}$Ne, $^{24}$Mg, and $^{28}$Si with typical mass fractions of about 0.6, 0.2, 0.06, and 0.02, respectively. The exact distribution differs between single and binary-stripped star models with similar reference core masses. In the models with reference core masses of 4.3 $M_\odot$ (leftmost column in Fig. 2), isotopes such as $^{36}$Ar and $^{40}$Ca have been mixed outward into this region (due to shell mergers, see Sect. 4.2).

The third is the iron-rich region (III). This is the center-most region, mainly composed of iron-group isotopes (with atomic mass numbers from 52 to 62). The composition diagrams show a clear, smooth, spiral pattern in the center (Fig. 2). This is because isotopes with higher mass numbers (for example $^{58}$Fe) are more abundant in the inner-most layers, while light iron-group isotopes (for example $^{54}$Fe) are more abundant at the outer edge of the core. We also note the presence of $^4$He (in blue) produced by late photodisintegration. At the edge of this region, a small fraction of $^{28}$Si and products of incomplete $^{28}$Si burning such as $^{32}$S, $^{36}$Ar, and $^{40}$Ca is present. It can be identified as a narrow ring around the iron-rich region in the composition diagrams. This ring is more extended in mass for binary-stripped star models than for single stars, indicating binary-stripped stars have higher mass fractions of $^{28}$Si and its burning products at the edge of the iron-rich core than their single star counterparts.

Not all the material shown at the moment captured in Fig. 2 will be ejected during the supernova. Almost all of region III becomes enclosed in the compact object that forms in the center. The layers above the iron-rich core are mixed and reprocessed by the supernova shock, creating new isotopes through supernova nucleosynthesis, in particular almost all iron-group elements ejected.

In Table 1, we give detailed values of core masses and masses of the most important isotopes present at the onset of core collapse. Composition diagrams for the full set of models at the moment of core collapse are shown in Appendix C.

### 4.2. Shell mergers

About half of our models experience “shell merger” events, where a convective burning shell merges with the layers above. In our models, we find that the silicon-burning shell merges with the oxygen-rich layers above in the final day before core collapse. This has been found in some three-dimensional core-collapse calculations (e.g., Couch & Ott 2013; Collins et al. 2018; Yoshida et al. 2021; Andrassy et al. 2020; Yadav et al. 2020; Fields & Couch 2020; McNeill & Müller 2020, for a discussion of the uncertainties, see Sect. 6.5). The result is that a
high-temperature layer containing silicon and its burning products is mixed into a lower-temperature region mainly composed of un-burned oxygen, neon, and magnesium isotopes. This produces alpha particles that, in turn, lead to enhanced alpha-capture products that are mixed into a lower-temperature region mainly composed of silicon and its burning products. We find that shell mergers occur mainly for models with reference core masses in the range of 1.5 to 2.5 solar masses. We show the profiles of single and binary-stripped star evolution integrated throughout the star as a function of the reference core mass. After the explosion, some of the isotopes will be reprocessed by the supernova shock or become part of the compact object that will form in the center, but the exterior layers (where, $\rho \leq 10^6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$) will leave the star relatively unaffected by the explosion.

### 4.3. Final total masses of isotopes for single and binary-stripped stars

Differences in composition at the onset of core collapse are important indicators for differences in chemical yields. In Fig. 5, we show the total mass of selected isotopes at the end of the evolution integrated throughout the star as a function of the reference core mass. After the explosion, some of the isotopes will be reprocessed by the supernova shock or become part of the compact object that will form in the center, but the exterior layers will leave the star relatively unaffected by the explosion.
4.3.1. Final total mass of helium outside the iron-rich core
The amount of $^4\text{He}$ outside the iron-rich core is systematically lower in binary stripped stars compared to single stars (on average, $3 \, M_\odot$ for single stars and compared to $1 \, M_\odot$ for binary-stripped star models). This is the combined effect of the quenched H-burning shell, which does not produce as many ashes in the binary-stripped models, and the stellar winds, which tap directly into the helium-rich material for the binary-stripped stars with core mass larger than $7 \, M_\odot$.

4.3.2. Final total mass of carbon outside the iron core
Binary-stripped star models in our grid tend to have higher masses of $^{12}\text{C}$ at the end of their life than the single-star models (see top right panel of Fig. 5). Below reference core masses of $4.5 \, M_\odot$, binary-stripped stars and single stars contain similar masses of $^{12}\text{C}$ above the iron core. For higher masses, the total amount of $^{12}\text{C}$ in binary-stripped star models is significantly higher than their single star counterparts, and the difference increases for more massive models, where the binary models have more than twice as much $^{12}\text{C}$. We discuss the origin of this difference, the retreat of the convective helium-burning core due to wind-mass loss in binary-stripped stars, in Sect. 5.2.

4.3.3. Final total mass of oxygen outside the iron core
The final total mass of $^{16}\text{O}$ is similar for the single and binary-stripped star models (see bottom left panel of Fig. 5). This is because, even though the reactions involved in the creation and destruction of $^{16}\text{O}$ are fractionally different in single and binary-stripped stars, they compensate each other in a similar way. We discuss this further in Sect. 5.2. The total mass of $^{16}\text{O}$ increases linearly with the reference core mass and does not depend on the occurrence of shell mergers.

4.3.4. Final iron core mass
Overall, the iron core mass is similar for all models, with masses from 1.25 to $1.65 \, M_\odot$. Here, “iron” includes all species for which the mass number is higher than 46, and the core boundary is computed with respect to $^{28}\text{Si}$. The iron core mass increases slightly
with an increasing reference core mass, except for the most massive single-star model. Binary-stripped and single stellar models have similar iron core masses that range from 1.3 and 1.63 $M_\odot$.

4.4. Density profiles

We find systematic differences in the final density and mean molecular weight profiles of single and binary-stripped star models with similar reference core masses. These differences are of particular importance because even small differences in the density profile have been shown to have a large impact on the explodability of stars (e.g., Vartanyan et al. 2019). In Fig. 6, we show the density and mean molecular weight profiles at the onset of core collapse as a function of the mass coordinate for the same example models as in Fig. 2.

Overall, the density profiles span similar values for single and binary-stripped star models with the same reference core mass, with central densities of about $10^{10}$ g cm$^{-3}$, dropping by almost 20 orders of magnitude throughout the star. However, binary-stripped stars have steeper density profiles at the inner edge of the helium-rich region (at the boundary of regions 0 and I) compared to single-star models due to the absence of a hydrogen-rich layer in the binary-stripped stars. In addition, we find that binary-stripped star models have a systematically higher density in the helium-rich region (I) compared to the single-star models. At the same mass coordinates, we also find large differences in the mean molecular weight profiles: binary-stripped star models display a shallow drop of the mean molecular weight, whereas single-star models contain sharp drops in the mean molecular weight profile. The difference in the mean molecular weight profiles can be attributed to the presence of a carbon-oxygen gradient at the edge of the oxygen-rich region (see Sect. 5.1).

In the oxygen-dominated layers (II), no such trends can be found. Instead, we find that single and binary-stripped star models have large differences in density. At the inner edge of this region, the mean molecular weight reaches a small peak that is linked to the presence of a small silicon-rich layer at this location (see also Fig. 2). In the inner-most iron-rich region (III), the density profiles show similar trends. At the surface of the single-star models, the mean molecular weight increases due to recombination of elements.

4.5. Properties of the helium core

We present final properties of the helium core in Fig. 7. For the same reference core masses, the radius of the helium core is systematically larger for the binary-stripped star models (1.3 to 11.6 $R_\odot$) compared to the single-star models (0.6 to 1.2 $R_\odot$). This trend in radius remains for different definitions of the helium core mass (see Appendix B). For both the single and binary-stripped star models, the helium core radii decrease with increasing reference core mass. For binary-stripped stars, this trend in radius at solar metallicity is well known (Yoon et al. 2010, 2017).

The final mass of the helium core increases linearly with the reference core mass (i.e., the mass of the helium core at the end of core helium burning) for both the single and binary-stripped star models. A small offset can be observed between the highest-mass binary-stripped star models and the single-star models. These are due to the effect of wind mass loss for the binary-stripped stars and to the growth of the helium core after core helium depletion for the single stars (see also Sect. 3.2 and the evolution of the helium core mass shown in Appendix B.1).
Fig. 8. Evolution of the convective core mass of a single and a binary-stripped star model with the same reference core mass of $6.3\ M_{\odot}$. During core helium burning, the convective core grows in the single-star model, while it decreases in the binary-stripped star model due to the effect of wind mass loss.

5. Origins of differences between single and binary-stripped star progenitors

In the previous sections we showed that binary-stripped star models develop systematically smaller helium core masses than single stars with the same initial mass. Since interior properties of stars strongly depend on the core mass, internal differences between these models are expected. What can appear surprising, however, is that the final core properties of single and binary-stripped stars are also different when comparing models with similar helium core masses. The origin of these differences is mainly linked to the rate and timing of mass loss, which cannot easily be modeled starting from a naked helium core. We explore these origins mainly with two models, an initially $16\ M_{\odot}$ single star and a star with an initial mass of $20\ M_{\odot}$ that is stripped in a binary system before core helium depletion. Both develop a reference core mass of $6.3\ M_{\odot}$ at the end of core helium burning, and as such, are well suited for a comparison.

5.1. The chemical gradient around the helium-depleted core

Helium burning proceeds differently in the cores of binary-stripped stars and those of single stars, even in cores of similar mass (cf. Woosley et al. 1995). Helium is mainly destroyed through two channels: (1) the triple-alpha process (2) alpha captures onto carbon that create oxygen. The reaction rates of both reactions have a different dependence on the density $\rho$ and on the abundance of $^{4}$He nuclei, $X_{He}$. For the triple-alpha process, the reaction rate $r_{3\alpha}$ scales with the density cubed:

$$r_{3\alpha} \propto X_{He}^{3}$$

while the rate of alpha captures onto carbon, $r_{Ca}$, is proportional to the density squared:

$$r_{Ca} \propto X_{C}X_{He}^{2},$$

where $X_{C}$ is the abundance of $^{12}$C (e.g., Burbidge et al. 1957).

As we show in Fig. 8, convective helium-burning cores grow in mass during core helium burning for single stars due to hydrogen-shell burning (see Sect. 3.2), while they decrease in mass for binary-stripped stars due to wind mass loss (Langer 1989a, 1991a; Woosley et al. 1993). For single stars, the growth of the convective helium-burning core brings an additional supply of helium that favors the destruction of carbon through alpha captures (see Eq. (3)). As a result, the mass fraction of oxygen is higher in the cores of single stars compared to the cores of binary-stripped stars, at the expense of carbon, even for the same reference core mass. The exact fraction of carbon and oxygen is subject to the still uncertain rate of the alpha-capture reaction onto carbon (Weaver & Woosley 1993; Brown et al. 1996; Farmer et al. 2020).

This is illustrated in Fig. 9, where we show a subset of composition diagrams at the moment of core helium depletion (when the central mass fraction of helium decreases below $10^{-4}$) for single and binary-stripped star models in our grid. We highlight the differences in the central mass fractions of carbon and oxygen, which are indicated on each composition diagram. Models with the same initial mass are shown in each column. We indicate two sets of single and binary-stripped models, $S_{1}$ and $B_{1}$, respectively, that reach similar reference core masses at the end of core helium burning (marked with the same background color). For both sets, the central carbon mass fractions are smaller in the single-star models (0.31 and 0.28, for $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, respectively) compared to the binary-stripped star models (0.35 and 0.31, for $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, respectively) for the same reference core mass. The opposite occurs for the oxygen mass fractions (0.67 and 0.7 for the single-star models compared to 0.63 and 0.68 for the binary-stripped star models with a similar reference core mass). This confirms the finding of systematic differences in the chemical structure of single and binary-stripped stars from independent groups (see also Sect. 6.3).

The composition diagrams in Fig. 9 emphasize the trend in central carbon and oxygen mass fractions with increasing initial mass. For both the single (top row) and binary-stripped star models (bottom row), the central carbon mass fraction decreases with increasing initial mass (from 0.31 to 0.24 for the single-star models, and from 0.37 to 0.30 in the models of stars stripped in binaries, for the same initial masses of 12 to 21 $M_{\odot}$) at the expense of the central oxygen fraction (from 0.67 to 0.74 for the single-star models, and from 0.61 to 0.68 in the binary-stripped star models).

The mass dependence of the central mass fractions can be naturally understood as a consequence of the two main nuclear reactions involved in the burning of helium having rates with different density dependences (see Eqs. (2) and (3)). Stars with higher reference core masses have lower core densities and higher temperatures, and this favors alpha-captures onto carbon.
Thus, carbon is destroyed more efficiently in the cores of more massive stars during core helium burning (cf. Woosley et al. 1995; Brown et al. 2001).

Figure 9 also shows the presence of a composition gradient of carbon and oxygen around the helium-depleted core. The composition gradient is left behind by the convective helium-burning core, which recedes in the binary-stripped star models due to the effect of wind mass loss. This is the red region just outside the helium-depleted core (indicated with a yellow dashed circle in the composition diagrams of Fig. 9). The carbon-oxygen gradient around the helium-depleted core is not visible in the cores of single stars because the helium-burning core grows in mass instead of receding, leading to a steep composition gradient at the edge. The composition gradient of binary-stripped stars becomes more pronounced and more extended in mass as the total mass of the model increases. This can be seen by the growth of the red and purple rings (highlighting \( ^{12}\text{C} \) and \( ^{16}\text{O} \), respectively) just outside the helium-depleted core in Fig. 9. Higher-mass binary-stripped star models experience stronger wind mass loss, which leads to a faster and more pronounced retreat of the convective helium-burning core and to the observed effect on the carbon-oxygen gradient (see also Appendix D). The chemical gradient at the edge of the helium core brings a different chemical environment at the location helium-burning shell in binary-stripped stars, ultimately leading to different total masses of carbon compared to single stars (see also Sect. 5.2).

The differences in chemical structure also have consequences for the interior density structure. In Fig. 10 we compare the density structure and mean molecular weight at core helium depletion of two models with the same reference core mass. To highlight the differences, we show the interpolated ratio of the density in binary-stripped and single-star models in the bottom panels. Approximate locations of the oxygen-rich, helium-rich, and hydrogen-rich regions are indicated with colors as in Fig. 6. The density of the innermost, oxygen-rich core (II, up to \( m = 4.5 M_\odot \)) is the same for the single and binary-stripped star model. At the boundary between the oxygen-rich and helium-rich layers (shaded regions II and I in Fig. 10, respectively), the density drops in both models, though this decrease is slower for the binary-stripped star model. At the same mass coordinate, we observe a sharp drop in mean molecular weight for the single-star model, while the binary-stripped star model shows a shallower profile. This difference is due to the extended carbon-oxygen gradient at the edge of the helium-depleted core in the binary-stripped star model. Because the gradient contains some \( ^{22}\text{Ne} \), the value of the mean molecular weight is different from the value of 1.33 expected for a layer entirely composed of \( ^{4}\text{He}, ^{12}\text{C}, ^{16}\text{O}, \) or \( ^{20}\text{Ne} \).

At the outer edge of the CO-enhanced region (\( m \approx 5 M_\odot \) in Fig. 10), both models reach the same values for the density and mean molecular weight, 1.34. However, at the outer edge of the helium-rich layer, differences are visible. The single-star model has a higher density than the binary-stripped star model, as can be observed in the lower panel. This is because the single star contains a hydrogen-burning shell at the outer edge of the helium-rich region and a hydrogen-rich envelope. In contrast, the binary-stripped star model has lost its hydrogen envelope at this point, and the outer edge of the helium-rich layer (shaded region I in Fig. 10) corresponds to the surface of the star.

5.2. Origin of distinct final total isotopic masses: The importance of the composition gradient

In Sect. 4.3 we showed that binary-stripped star models end their lives with higher total masses of \( ^{12}\text{C} \) compared to single-star models (see Fig. 5), while the total masses of \( ^{16}\text{O} \) remain similar. Here we discuss how these differences in composition arise. In Fig. 11, we present the evolution of the total mass of \( ^{12}\text{C}, ^{16}\text{O}, \) and \( ^{20}\text{Ne} \) as a function of time until core oxygen depletion for the example binary-stripped and single-star models with a reference core mass of \( 6.3 M_\odot \) (with initial masses of \( 20 M_\odot \) and \( 16 M_\odot \), respectively). During the helium-shell burning phase (first half of D–E), the total mass of carbon decreases slightly in the binary-stripped star, while it increases in the single-star model. In contrast, the total mass of oxygen increases in the binary-stripped star model, while it remains unchanged in the single-star model.
At the end of core oxygen burning (label F in Fig. 11), the interior $^{12}$C mass of both models (triangles) is similar. However, the total $^{12}$C mass, shown with circles, is higher for the binary-stripped star model due to the mass of $^{12}$C outside the helium-depleted core. The interior $^{16}$O mass is lower for the binary-stripped star model than for the single-star model since helium burning through the triple-alpha process is favored over alpha-captures onto $^{12}$C (see Sect. 5.1). Despite the differences, the total mass of $^{16}$O is similar in the single and binary-stripped star models. Although $^{16}$O is created during helium-shell burning and more actively during neon burning in the binary-stripped star model compared to the single-star model, oxygen is also destroyed more rapidly during carbon core and shell burning. These effects compensate each other and create a similar final total mass of $^{16}$O for the single and binary-stripped star model.

5.3. Differences in shell burning

In Sect. 4.4 we found a systematic difference between the density profiles of single and binary-stripped stars with same reference core mass. Specifically, the He-rich layer of binary-stripped stars is systematically denser than that of single star. This impacts the subsequent shell burning phases and thus the pre-collapse structure.

In Fig. 12 we show the evolution of density profiles at notable moments of the evolution (marked with the same labels as in Fig. 1) for our example pair composed of a single and a binary-stripped star model that both reach a similar reference core mass of 6.3 $M_\odot$ at the end of core helium burning. We show the profiles from the onset of core hydrogen burning (A) to core collapse (G).
We focus on the inner 7 $M_\odot$ of the stellar structures. The evolution of the density profile is similar for both models until core helium depletion (label D). As the star evolves, the density of the inner core (up to 5 $M_\odot$) increases monotonically. At core helium depletion (point D), the density profile of the binary-stripped star shows a sharp drop at 6.3 $M_\odot$, corresponding to the surface of the star.

The change in density between the single and binary-stripped star in the helium-rich layer (5 $M_\odot \lesssim m \lesssim 6 M_\odot$) happens between core helium depletion and the end of core carbon burning (D–E). While the density of this layer increases for the binary-stripped star model, it decreases for the single-star model. This phase of the evolution is marked by the ignition of the helium shell and the start of core carbon burning.

We show the nuclear burning profiles for the specific points of the evolution in Fig. 13. We represent the single and binary-stripped stellar model pair as half circles (left: single-star models, right: binary-stripped star models), where the size of the circle is directly proportional to the mass coordinate. The nuclear energy generation rate throughout the stellar structures is shown with colors.

At the moment of core helium depletion (panel D in Fig. 13), we find various differences in the burning structure of the example single and binary-stripped star model. The single-star model contains a hydrogen-burning shell, which is absent in the binary-stripped star. In addition, it has a helium-burning shell ($m = 4.5 M_\odot$ in Fig. 13). In contrast, at the same mass coordinate, the binary-stripped star model has a specific energy generation rate that is two orders of magnitude smaller.

Between core helium depletion and core carbon depletion (points D and E in Fig. 13), a large change occurs in the burning structures. In the single star, the specific energy generation rate in the hydrogen-burning shell decreases significantly, while it increases in the helium-burning shell. This decline of the output from the hydrogen-burning shell is linked to the change in density in the helium-rich region.

We also note large differences between the helium-shell burning of single and binary-stripped star model from this point on until the end of the evolution (points E to G in Fig. 13). In the binary-stripped star model, the helium-shell burning region is more extended ($4.2 M_\odot < m < 5.5 M_\odot$). The single-star model has a higher maximum energy generation rate (up to $\epsilon_{\text{nuc}} = 10^8 \text{erg g}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, compared to $10^7 \text{erg g}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ for the binary-stripped star model). However, for the binary-stripped star model, a high specific energy generation rate is found in the entire helium-burning region, while for the single-star model, it drops quickly outside of the region with the maximum value. These differences can be understood by the differences in composition at the location of the helium-burning shell at the end of core helium burning. Because of the extended chemical gradient at the edge of the helium-depleted core, the binary-stripped star model contains a significantly higher mass fraction of carbon next to the helium-burning shell, while the single-star model contains very little carbon near the burning shell at the beginning of helium-shell burning. This means, just as in the case of central helium burning (see Sect. 5.1), that there are differences in the relative contributions of nuclear reactions involved in helium-shell burning. For helium-shell burning, the situation is reversed from core helium burning: more alpha captures onto carbon occur in the binary-stripped star model than in the single-star model (see also the change in total carbon mass and total oxygen mass between point D and E in Fig. 11).
Fig. 13. Comparison of the nuclear burning structures of a single (left half-circle) and binary-stripped (right half-circle) star model with the same reference core mass of $6.3 \, M_\odot$. Each stellar model is represented as a multitude of two-dimensional half-circles, where the radius of each circle is directly proportional to the mass coordinate. The nuclear energy generation rate is indicated with colors. All models are compared for the same evolutionary steps ($D$: core helium depletion; $E$: core carbon depletion; $F$: core oxygen depletion; $G$: onset of core collapse). In panel $G$ (onset of core collapse), we give the locations of the mass boundary above the compact object that forms in the center (defined as the mass coordinate where the specific entropy drops below a value of $4 \, \text{erg g}^{-1} \, \text{K}^{-1}$; Ertl et al. 2016) with a dashed blue line (single) and red line (binary-stripped). The binary-stripped star model forms a more massive compact object in its core than the single-star model ($1.90 \, M_\odot$ compared to $1.83 \, M_\odot$).

![Diagram of nuclear burning structures](image)

After the end of core carbon burning (panel $F$ in Fig. 13), additional changes arise in the density and nuclear burning structure. The stars ignite carbon in a shell around the core. However, the location of this shell is significantly different between the single and binary-stripped star models. In the single-star model, the carbon shell ignites at $m \approx 1.7 \, M_\odot$, while in the binary-stripped star, it ignites further out, at $m \approx 2.1 \, M_\odot$. This can be explained by the differences in carbon mass fractions at the end of core helium burning (Chieffi & Limongi 2020). The binary-stripped star has a higher core carbon abundance and the carbon shell ignites further out from the center than in the single-star model with the same reference core mass. However, the extent (in mass) of convective core oxygen burning is similar (up to $m \approx 1.2 \, M_\odot$). We also give the location of the mass boundary expected for the compact object created during the explosion.

We set this boundary at the mass coordinate where the specific entropy drops below a value of $4 \, \text{erg g}^{-1} \, \text{K}^{-1}$ (Ertl et al. 2016). For the same reference helium core mass, the binary-stripped star model creates a compact object that is more massive than for the single-star model ($1.90 \, M_\odot$ compared to $1.83 \, M_\odot$).

5.4. Compactness evolution

The compactness parameter is often used to characterize the core structure of stars. It has been shown to correlate with the explosability with one-dimensional parametric supernova explosion models (O’Connor & Ott 2011; Ugliano et al. 2012), although studies of three-dimensional explosion of stars have questioned this (e.g., Ott et al. 2018; Kuroda et al. 2018). Nevertheless, it can be used to predict the type of compact object resulting
from a supernova explosion (typically, neutron stars for low values of the compactness and black holes for higher values).

Other measures of explodability have been proposed from one-dimensional parametric simulations (for a recent example, see Ertl et al. 2020) or multidimensional simulations.

We show the evolution of the compactness parameter for the full grid of models in Fig. 14 at three moments of the evolution: D core helium depletion, F core oxygen depletion, and G the onset of core collapse. In addition, we give general properties of the models at the moment of core collapse in Table 2. We also evaluate the compactness at mass coordinates 2 and 3 \( M_\odot \), shown as a blue (red) band in Fig. 14 for the single star (binary-stripped) models. We find that trends in compactness are robust against variations in the mass coordinate at which the compactness is evaluated for all moments of the evolution presented here (Ugliano et al. 2012). In the left panels, we show the compactness as a function of initial mass, and in the right panels, as a function of the reference core mass.

At core helium depletion, the compactness parameter is similar for models of all initial masses, with a value of about 0.03. Only the three lowest-mass models show differences between single and binary-stripped models. At that epoch, binary-stripped star models with initial masses smaller than 13.5 \( M_\odot \) have a slightly smaller compactness than their single star counterparts. This is because the mass coordinate at which the compactness is evaluated lies outside of the oxygen core in these models, which means the compactness parameter captures the relative expansion of the helium-rich envelope compared to the single stars (see also Table 1).

By the time of core oxygen depletion (panels marked F in Fig. 14), the value of the compactness parameter changes significantly between all models (Renzo et al. 2017). Higher-mass models have higher compactness. Binary-stripped star models have systematically lower values of the compactness (0.01 to 0.18) than single-star models of the same initial mass (0.05 to 0.21). However, when comparing models with the same reference core mass (defined as the helium core mass at core helium depletion) the compactness is similar between single and binary-stripped star models, except for the two most massive binary-stripped star models. The difference for the highest-mass models could be due to the transition to radiative core carbon burning around this mass range for binary-stripped stars that changes the compactness of the core (Brown et al. 1996, 1999, 2001; Sukhbold & Woosley 2014; Woosley 2019; Schneider et al. 2021).

At the onset of core collapse (panel G in Fig. 14), the differences between the compactness of single and binary-stripped star models of the same initial mass increases even further. The compactness of binary-stripped star models remains systematically smaller than that of single-star models. For the same reference core mass, we find similar values between single and
Table 2. Core properties of single and binary-stripped star models at the onset of core collapse.

| Minit [M⊙] | MCC [M⊙] | Mref core [M⊙] | ξ_{2.5} | Y_e | Shell merger |
|-------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|-----|-------------|
|             |           |                 |        |     |             |
| Binary stripped stars | | | | | |
| 11.0  | 3.11  | 3.16  | 0.01 | 0.446 | True |
| 12.1  | 3.52  | 3.59  | 0.02 | 0.446 | True |
| 13.0  | 3.87  | 3.93  | 0.06 | 0.446 | True |
| 14.0  | 4.23  | 4.29  | 0.08 | 0.446 | True |
| 14.6  | 4.48  | 4.53  | 0.09 | 0.447 | True |
| 15.0  | 4.61  | 4.66  | 0.11 | 0.447 | True |
| 16.0  | 4.97  | 5.02  | 0.10 | 0.448 | True |
| 17.0  | 5.31  | 5.36  | 0.13 | 0.452 | False |
| 18.0  | 5.61  | 5.66  | 0.13 | 0.452 | False |
| 20.0  | 6.28  | 6.34  | 0.25 | 0.453 | False |
| 21.0  | 6.62  | 6.67  | 0.21 | 0.453 | False |

| Single stars | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|-----|-------------|
| 11.0  | 9.32  | 3.78  | 0.04 | 0.446 | True |
| 12.1  | 9.73  | 4.32  | 0.05 | 0.444 | True |
| 13.0  | 9.97  | 4.78  | 0.10 | 0.448 | False |
| 14.0  | 10.12 | 5.26  | 0.14 | 0.452 | False |
| 14.6  | 10.15 | 5.62  | 0.18 | 0.453 | False |
| 15.0  | 10.16 | 5.80  | 0.15 | 0.449 | False |
| 16.0  | 10.05 | 6.32  | 0.15 | 0.452 | False |
| 17.0  | 9.88  | 6.85  | 0.29 | 0.454 | True |
| 18.0  | 10.13 | 7.30  | 0.31 | 0.455 | False |
| 19.0  | 10.58 | 7.41  | 0.39 | 0.455 | True |
| 20.0  | 11.66 | 7.57  | 0.38 | 0.455 | True |
| 21.0  | 10.40 | 8.19  | 0.20 | 0.448 | True |

Binary-stripped star models. Changes in the compactness are qualitatively similar to those from similar recent studies (e.g., Woosley 2019; Chieffi & Limongi 2020; Patton & Sukhbold 2020; Schneider et al. 2021). We do not find clear differences in compactness for models that experience shell mergers before core collapse (marked with open symbols in the lower panels of Fig. 14). This is because the Si/O shell mergers observed in this study typically occur at mass coordinates of m ≈ 1.3 M⊙, below the mass range we chose to evaluate the compactness and the merged convective shells do not extend beyond the mass cuts chosen for the compactness.

When comparing the electron fraction Y_e in Table 2, we also find different values for single and binary-stripped star models. Different values of the electron fraction indicate that the late nuclear burning conditions in the cores of single and binary-stripped stars are different.

6. Discussion

6.1. Implications for explodability

For the same initial mass, binary-stripped star models have a smaller reference core mass, a smaller compactness, and a different distribution of isotopes compared to single stars. Both the smaller mass and compactness suggest that binary-stripped stars will explode more easily than if they had not interacted with a companion (though exploded might be better described with more sophisticated means; e.g., Ertl et al. 2016, 2020). Multidimensional calculations indicate that the explosion depends on details of the stellar structure, especially the density profile (e.g., Vartanyan et al. 2018). Müller et al. (2019) did not find significant differences between the explosions of low-mass single and binary-stripped stars (based on naked helium core models from Tauris et al. 2015) of the same core mass. However, even for the same reference core mass, we find systematic differences in the density structure of single and binary-stripped stars we computed. In the helium-rich region binary-stripped stars have higher densities than single stars, and at the edge of the helium-rich region the density drops more sharply in the binary-stripped star models. In addition, we find distinct electron fractions in the cores of single and binary-stripped stars, which imply differences in the late nuclear burning conditions. These differences in structure can affect the accretion rates during core collapse and the explosion dynamics. This could have a significant impact for population synthesis models of compact objects. We explore detailed explosion models and implications for the supernova explodability of single and binary-stripped stars in a companion paper (Vartanyan et al. 2021).

6.2. Implications for nucleosynthesis and nebular lines

Our models reveal that the composition structures of stellar cores at the moment of core collapse is complex. We identified three main regions, the helium-rich, oxygen-rich, and iron-rich layers. The distribution of isotopes in the cores of binary-stripped stars is different from the homogeneous distribution often assumed for the evolution of naked carbon-oxygen cores (e.g., Patton & Sukhbold 2020). For instance, stars stripped in binaries contain an extended gradient of carbon, oxygen, and neon above the helium-depleted core that is not present in single stellar structures. This extended composition gradient leads to changes in the final density, mean molecular weight, and composition profiles. This difference is first induced by changes during the central helium burning phase and is further accentuated in the subsequent nuclear burning stages.

These differences imply that the supernova nucleosynthesis expected from single and binary-stripped stars might be systematically different. In particular, we expect 12C and 16O yields to remain different after the supernova explosion. This is because during supernova nucleosynthesis, part of the remaining carbon will be synthesized into oxygen. Large consequences can be expected by this difference in yields because it would affect nebular oxygen lines, which are currently widely used to infer the progenitor masses of core-collapse supernova explosions. In addition, a systematic difference in the nucleosynthesis from binary-stripped and single stars would have implications for the interpretation of galaxy chemical evolution models. We explore the differences in nucleosynthesis for 12C in Farmer et al. (2021).

6.3. Comparison with models in the literature

Our models show a consistent trend of systematically higher central carbon mass fraction for binary-stripped star models compared to single-star models, as do other models in the literature. We show the central carbon mass fraction as a function of the mass of the carbon-oxygen core evaluated at the moment of core helium depletion in Fig. 15 and compare our models to single-star models from Sukhbold et al. (2018) and pure helium star models from Woosley (2019) (as shown in Fig. 6 of Patton & Sukhbold 2020). These models were computed with the KEPLER stellar evolution code with similar physical assumptions to each other. We also compare our models to single star and case B mass transfer binary models from Schneider et al. (2021), computed with MESA. The physical assumptions are similar.
There is a good agreement between our models and the values reported in Schneider et al. (2021) for both the single and binary-stripped star models. Especially for the binary-stripped star models, we barely find any differences in central mass fraction, except at the lowest-mass end. This agreement originates from the similar physical assumptions regarding the choice of wind mass loss, overshooting, and of the $^{12}$C(α,γ)$^{16}$O rate. We expect that the small differences at the lowest-mass end are linked to the treatment of mass transfer. Schneider et al. (2021) parameterize mass loss on a thermal timescale until an arbitrary surface composition is reached, while in our models the binary mass-transfer phase is computed self-consistently. Our single-star models have systematically higher core carbon abundances than the models from Schneider et al. (2021), despite similar assumptions regarding core overshooting. These differences can be attributed to different choices for the wind mass-loss rate. Much larger differences are found between our work and models computed with the KEPLER code. These models have core carbon abundances that are a factor of 1.7 lower than in our models. These differences probably stem from different physical assumptions, such as the choice for overshooting, but also from the value used for the uncertain carbon alpha-capture reaction rate. The models shown in Patton & Sukhbold (2020) have a $^{12}$C(α,γ)$^{16}$O rate that is a factor of 1.2 higher than what we assume.

To obtain pre-supernova structures of binary-stripped stars at the onset of core collapse, we modeled donor stars in binary systems. These stars are often modeled as “naked” helium stars, with or without mass loss (e.g., Woosley 2019). It is expected that binary-stripped stars at low metallicity retain a substantial hydrogen-rich layer after mass transfer, sufficient to change their future evolution, and so for those case helium stars are not a good approximation (Götberg et al. 2017; Yoon et al. 2017; Laplace et al. 2020). However, we are not aware of systematic work that has studied which results from the helium-star approximation significantly differ, in modeling supernova progenitors, from otherwise identical calculations using binary-stripped stars. We encourage a careful investigation to determine with which parts of the parameter space, and for which questions, these stars can be adequately approximated with helium-star models.

### 6.4. Wind mass loss

Wind mass-loss rates remain a major uncertainty in stellar astrophysics, and are particularly poorly constrained for stripped stars in the mass range of our models (Yoon et al. 2010; Yoon 2015; Götberg et al. 2017). Very few such stars have been observed so far, and the best observational constraint on the relevant mass-loss rates to date comes from a single system, the quasi Wolf-Rayet star in the system HD 45166 (e.g., Groh et al. 2008). Radiative transfer models have just begun to make mass-loss predictions for stripped stars in this mass range, and a large parameter space remains to be explored (Vink 2017; Sander & Vink 2020).

Wind mass loss plays a crucial role in the evolution, future interactions, and on the supernova properties of binary-stripped stars (Yoon et al. 2010; Yoon 2015; Gilkis et al. 2019). The extended gradient of the carbon and oxygen composition above the helium-depleted core of binary-stripped stars is a consequence of the receding outer edge of the convective core during central helium burning due to wind mass-loss (Langer 1989a, 1991a; Woosley et al. 1995).

We find a non-negligible effect of wind mass loss on the core structure of binary-stripped stars at solar metallicity. Appendix D presents tests adopting a large range of possible wind mass-loss rates and their effect on the core structure of binary-stripped stars, spanning from low mass-loss rates predicted from the Monte Carlo radiative transfer models of Vink (2017) to the wind mass-loss rates inferred from observations of WC and WO stars (Tramper et al. 2016). We confirm that our main conclusions would still be apparent for lower wind mass-loss rates than we assume, especially at the higher-mass end, though they would be less pronounced. The wind mass-loss rate employed in our models is close to, but slightly smaller, than the wind mass loss inferred from HD 45166. Tramper et al. (2016) found that the wind mass-loss rate of WC and WO stars is higher than the mass-loss rate from Nugis & Lamers (2000) assumed for our default model. If binary-stripped stars have similar stellar winds to those inferred by Tramper et al. (2016), the differences between single- and binary-stripped stars in the core composition and density structure could be even larger in reality than those we describe.

The vast majority of rapid population synthesis models rely on stellar structures for binary-stripped stars that were computed without mass loss (Hurley et al. 2000, 2002; Pols et al. 1998) and are based on calculations from single stars or naked helium stars only. In addition, these models are typically stopped at the end of core carbon burning. This means the core structures used in those rapid stellar population calculations are similar to those of single stars, and the core mass distribution is different from more detailed stellar models. This directly affects the number and properties of compact objects predicted from such calculations.

### 6.5. Convection modeling and shell mergers

Our calculations model convection with the MLT approximation (Böhm-Vitense 1958, using the form from Cox et al. 1968), with composition mixing treated as a diffusion process. These are common assumptions, even though they are imperfect approximations (Renzini 1987), because MLT has not yet been replaced by a widely accepted practical alternative (see, e.g., Arnett et al. 2015). This simplification in the modeling necessarily introduces uncertainties in some aspects of our results, especially during late phases in which mixing and burning occur on similar timescales (e.g., Bazán & Arnett 1998). In particular, this
leads to caution regarding the models in which material from the oxygen-rich layer is mixed down into the convective silicon-burning shell. The details of the predictions for such shell mergers may well change with a more sophisticated theory of convection (see also the discussion in Collins et al. 2018, who found merging oxygen and neon shells in many of their single-star models). Nonetheless, mixing downward into convective burning shells has also been seen in multidimensional hydrodynamic models of late burning stages (e.g., Bazan & Arnett 1994; Bazán & Arnett 1998; Andrassy et al. 2020; Yadav et al. 2020; McNeill & Müller 2020).

These shell mergers, if they occur in real stars as they do in our models, produce distinctly different distributions of alpha-chain elements in the oxygen-rich layer at the time of the explosion. A consequence of these shell mergers is a potentially observable abundance signature that allows for the occurrence of such shell mergers to be tested observationally. Potential implications of late-stage shell mergers for stellar nucleosynthesis may well change with a more sophisticated theory of burning shell. The details of the predictions for such shell mergers are donor stars in binary systems (binary-stripped stars). In the binary models, the stars transfer the majority of their hydrogen-enriched envelopes to a companion right after the end of core hydrogen burning (early case B mass transfer). We computed models at solar metallicity and, to obtain accurate information for the composition profile at the onset of core collapse, used a large, fully coupled nuclear network of 128 isotopes after core oxygen burning. We focused on the systematic differences in the core density and composition structure at the moment of core collapse. Overall, we confirm that binary-striped stars in this mass range end their lives with systematically less massive helium cores than single stars with the same initial mass.

Our main findings regarding the core composition structure are:

- Our composition diagrams confirm that the composition profiles are described by three main regions: (I) a helium-rich region; (II) an oxygen-rich region that contains high abundances of oxygen, neon, and magnesium; and (III) an iron-rich region that contains various isotopes of iron-group elements. These structures do not contain a clearly distinct carbon-rich layer, in contrast to simplified depictions of pre-supernova structures.

- Binary-striped and single-star models have distinct chemical profiles at the onset of core collapse (see Fig. 2), even for helium cores of similar mass. In agreement with previous studies, we find that stars stripped in binaries develop an extended gradient of carbon and oxygen at the edge of the helium-depleted core that does not exist in single stars. We show that this layer remains until core collapse, and is more extended in mass for higher initial stellar masses due to the mass dependence of winds (see Fig. 9).

- We find that this extended chemical gradient is responsible for a systematically larger total mass of carbon in binary-striped star models than comparable single-star models at the onset of core collapse (see Figs. 11 and 5). In contrast, the total mass of oxygen remains similar (see Figs. 11 and 13).

- The difference in total carbon mass will probably affect the chemical yield predictions, pending possible alterations as the supernova shock propagates through the interior, which we have not modeled here (but are presented in Farmer et al. 2021).

- Shortly before core collapse, about half of our models experience a merging of the convective silicon-burning shell with the oxygen-rich layer, which results in an enrichment of heavier alpha elements (mainly $^{26}$Si, $^{32}$S, $^{36}$Ar, and $^{40}$Ca) in the outer oxygen-rich regions. Although their physical accuracy in one-dimensional models is unclear, similar effects are observed in multidimensional simulations. If shell mergers do occur in nature, these peculiar abundances could be observationally testable.

In addition, we investigate systematic differences in the core density structure and come to the following conclusions:

- For the same reference helium core mass, stars stripped in binaries reach systematically larger helium core radii at the onset of core collapse than single stars (see Fig. 7).

- Systematic differences can be observed in the core density structure of single and binary-striped stars at the onset of core collapse (see Fig. 6). In the helium-rich region, binary-striped stars have higher final densities than single stars, and at the edge of the helium-rich region the density drops more sharply in the binary-striped star models. The core density structures begin to diverge during core helium burning (see Figs. 12 and 13).

- We find that, for the same initial mass, the compactness of binary-striped stars is systematically lower than that of single stars. For the same reference core mass, the compactness is similar.

The differences in composition structures may lead to a systematically different creation of isotopes from the supernovae of single stars and binary-striped stars. We expect the distinct density and shell-burning structures to have a significant impact on the dynamics following core collapse, on the propagation of the supernova shock through the stellar structure, and on the nature of the compact remnant. Multidimensional supernova simulations, based on stellar models similar to those presented here, are needed to quantify these effects and to compare them with supernova observations.
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Appendix A: Impact of the nuclear network

Toward the end of the evolution of massive stars, the core is composed by a multitude of synthesized isotopes, many of which are short-lived and neutron-rich. The properties of the stellar core are sensitive to weak interaction processes that can only be accounted for by tracking the many electron and positron captures, and $\beta$-decays from rare and unstable isotopes. This usually requires the quasi-statistical nuclear equilibrium approximation approach (Hix & Thielemann 1996) or to keep track of a large number of isotopes in the nuclear network (e.g., Farmer et al. 2016). In this work, we present simulations where we simultaneously solve for the structure and nuclear burning using a large nuclear network of 128 isotopes. Farmer et al. (2016) showed that a nuclear network with at least 127 isotopes is required to obtain resolved core structures after the end of core oxygen burning. This is what we adopt in our models. For the prior evolution up to and including central oxygen burning, we employ an $\alpha$-chain nuclear network containing 21 isotopes, which is considered adequate to capture the energy generation rate during earlier nuclear burning phases. However, the accuracy of an $\alpha$-chain network decreases with the evolution, especially during core oxygen burning phases. Here, we test the impact of computing the evolution of stars from birth to core oxygen depletion with a nuclear network that contains 128 isotopes compared to our default setup. We perform this test for two stellar models (1) the single-star model with an initial mass of 16 $M_\odot$ (2) a binary-stripped model with an initial mass of 20 $M_\odot$. Both models reach almost exactly the same core mass of 6.3 $M_\odot$ at the end of core helium burning. In Fig. A.1, we compare these models on the central density-central temperature plane when using a large nuclear network with 128 isotopes. Until central carbon depletion, the models have almost exactly the same evolution independently from the size of the nuclear networks. However, after this moment, differences start to appear. The models with a larger network reach higher densities. This is true for both the single and the binary-stripped star model. After this moment, differences in the binary-stripped model become more prominent.

The central temperatures and densities between the models do vary with the use of a larger nuclear network in the late evolutionary phases. However, the relative differences between the single and binary-stripped stellar models remain similar.

In Fig. A.2 we show the evolution of the electron fraction $Y_e$ for the same models with and without a large nuclear network from the beginning of the simulation. Generally, the evolution is similar between all models, and final values agree within 2%. The largest difference can be observed after core oxygen depletion, where both the single and binary-stripped models with a large nuclear network from the start display smaller values than the alternate models.

We conclude that models with larger nuclear networks throughout the evolution, though expensive, are desirable for the future, but we expect that our conclusions about the relative differences between single and binary-stripped star models are not significantly affected.

Fig. A.1. Comparison of stellar models on the central temperature, central density plane when using a large nuclear network with 128 isotopes throughout the evolution versus switching from a nuclear network with 21 isotopes to a nuclear network with 128 isotopes after core oxygen depletion. To better show the differences between the models, we have rescaled the horizontal axis by the dependence expected for homologous contraction of an ideal gas.

Fig. A.2. Comparison of the electron fraction, $Y_e$, evolution when using a large nuclear network with 128 isotopes throughout the evolution versus switching from a nuclear network with 21 isotopes to a nuclear network with 128 isotopes after core oxygen depletion. Vertical lines mark the moment of core oxygen depletion for each model, which is when the switch between networks occurs for the models with the default network.
Appendix B: Reference core mass

Giving an exact definition of the boundary between the core and the envelope of a star is not trivial and varies between different studies (Sukhbold & Woosley 2014). That is because, while a drop in density of several orders of magnitude, together with a larger change in composition, can typically be observed between the edge of the inner core and the envelope, there is a gradient in composition and density at this edge that make it difficult to define an exact boundary. In addition, details of semi-convection and core overshoot can have a large effect on the stellar core mass and on the core edge and complicate this definition even further (e.g., Schootemeijer et al. 2019). For this study, we compare the late properties of stellar models as a function of their reference core mass, which we define as the mass of the helium core (i.e., the mass coordinate where the mass fraction of hydrogen decreases below 0.01) at the moment of core helium depletion (when the central mass fraction of helium decreases below $10^{-4}$). Here, we investigate the impact of this definition on our conclusions. We discuss the sensitivity to the evolutionary stage for which we define the reference core mass in Sect. B.1 and to the exact choice of the helium core mass boundary in Sect. B.2.

B.1. Evolution of the helium core mass

In Fig. B.1, we present the reference core mass as a function of initial mass for both single and binary models. We verify that donor stars in early case B mass-transfer binaries have systematically less massive cores at the end of their lives than single stars with the same initial mass (cf. Podsiadlowski et al. 1992). For both the single and binary-stripped models, the reference core mass increases as a function of initial mass. The highest-mass single-star models deviate from the linear trend due to mass loss.

We present the evolution of the helium core mass as a function of time for all of the single (full lines) and binary-stripped (dashed lines) models in Fig. B.2. We indicate the initial mass of the models with colors and mark key evolutionary steps with symbols. All single-star models show the same trend of an increasing helium core mass with time. In contrast, the helium core mass of binary-stripped star models first increases, then reaches a plateau, and then decreases toward the end of their life. This is because single stars undergo hydrogen-shell burning, which leads to an increase of about $1 M_\odot$ in helium core mass from the beginning of core helium burning onward (circles in Fig. B.2) until core oxygen depletion (squares in Fig. B.2). In contrast, binary-stripped stars at this metallicity stop hydrogen-shell burning shortly after mass transfer. Eventually, most of their hydrogen-rich envelope is removed due to the solar-metallicity wind mass-loss rate assumed here (we discuss the impact of the wind mass-loss rate in Appendix D). For lower-metallicity stars, a hydrogen-rich layer is retained because the stripping process is less effective (Götberg et al. 2017; Yoon et al. 2017; Laplace et al. 2020). Halfway through core helium burning (central helium fraction less than 0.5, indicated by star markers in Fig. B.2), the helium core mass of the binary-stripped stars reaches a plateau for all masses considered. For all the binary-stripped models, this happens after the end of mass transfer (triangle markers in Fig. B.2). At this moment, hydrogen-shell burning stops, and only a small hydrogen layer remains on the stellar surface. Soon after, the helium core mass begins to decrease for all models due to the effect of winds that remove the outer layers. This effect is mass dependent (cf. Langer 1989b). For the stellar wind mass-loss rate we assume in this study, the decrease in mass due to wind mass loss in binary-stripped stars ranges from 0.1 $M_\odot$ for the lowest-mass model (with an initial mass of 11 $M_\odot$) to 1.2 $M_\odot$ for the highest-mass model (with an initial mass of 21 $M_\odot$).

After core helium depletion, the lifetime of stars is so short that winds have a negligible impact on the helium core mass, as shown by the overlapping markers after this moment (diamonds, squares, and crosses in B.2). The strongest effect we observe is a decrease in the helium core mass by 0.1 $M_\odot$ for the highest-mass binary-stripped star model.

B.2. Helium core boundary

Various definitions are possible for the helium core mass, as illustrated in Fig. B.3. We discuss whether the choice of the core definition impacts our conclusions, in particular regarding structural differences between the helium cores of single and binary-stripped stars (see Sect. 4.5).

In Fig. B.3 we show the interior helium and hydrogen abundances of an example single-star model with an initial mass of 20 $M_\odot$ at core helium depletion. Our definition of the helium core mass (1) is shown as the mass coordinate where the hydrogen mass fraction $X_H < 0.01$ and the helium mass fraction $X_{He}$ > 0.1 and corresponds to the edge of the helium-rich region at 7.5 $M_\odot$. The definition employed in Sukhbold & Woosley (2014) is somewhat further out and corresponds to the mass where $X_H < 0.2$ (2). The definition where $X_{He}$ > 0.5 leads to an even higher helium core mass and coincides with the beginning of a helium-enriched layer due to hydrogen-shell burning (corresponding to the peak of the nuclear energy generation rate around 7.6 $M_\odot$). Another possible definition is the mass coordinate where the mass fraction of hydrogen is strictly larger than the mass fraction of helium (4). This boundary is however set outside the hydrogen-burning shell and does therefore not follow the growth of the helium-rich core due to shell burning. We show how the radius of the helium core changes when we change the definition of the helium core mass boundary in Fig. B.4. The figure presents the radial coordinate of each stellar model (top: single; bottom: binary-stripped) as a function of
more massive (shorter life)                       less massive (longer life)

Fig. B.2. Evolution of the helium core mass of every model as a function of time. Full lines correspond to single stars and dashed lines to binary-stripped stars. Symbols indicate key evolutionary stages for the development of the helium core, and colors give the initial mass of the stars. Because massive stars live shorter lives, the more massive models are located on the left side and the less massive models on the right side of the plot.

Fig. B.3. Mass fraction of \(^{1}\text{H}\) (blue line) and \(^{4}\text{He}\) (orange line) as a function of mass coordinate for an example single-star model with an initial mass of 20\(M_\odot\) at core helium depletion. Vertical lines mark different choices of the helium core mass definition. In red, we indicate the specific nuclear energy generation rate throughout the model. The first peak in the nuclear energy generation rate around 5.8\(M_\odot\) corresponds to helium-shell burning and the second peak around 7.6\(M_\odot\) to hydrogen-shell burning.

The initial stellar mass. Every model in our grid is shown as a rectangle whose height corresponds to the surface radius of the model. The width of every rectangle is set as 1\(M_\odot\). We indicate the mass fraction of helium at each radius coordinate by color shading it. For the binary-stripped models at this metallicity, all helium core definitions correspond to the surface of the star and are thus identical. The choice of the helium core boundary is thus only relevant for the single-star models.

For the single-star models, the helium core radius changes depending on the core boundary chosen. With definition 3, the boundary of the helium core corresponds to the boundary between the helium-rich region and the hydrogen-rich envelope for all models, except for the highest-mass model. This is because for the highest-mass model, some helium has been mixed in the hydrogen-rich envelope. This means the core-mass definition is not consistent across all masses. Furthermore, this boundary lies above the hydrogen-burning shell and can therefore not account for the growth in core mass due to shell burning. The other two definitions give similar trends to each other. Definition 2 from (Sukhbold & Woosley 2014) results in systematically larger helium core radii, of about 0.5\(R_\odot\) larger than for definition 1. However, this difference is negligible compared with the difference to the helium core radii of the binary-stripped models. The helium core mass does not change significantly after core helium depletion, and our findings on the differences in helium core radii are robust against sensible variations in the core mass definition. We conclude that the reference core mass we chose is robust for our purpose.
Fig. B.4. Radial coordinate as a function of the initial mass for the single-star models (top) and the binary-stripped star models (bottom) at the onset of core collapse. Colors indicate the mass fraction of $^4$He at each radial coordinate. Four different helium core mass definitions are indicated with horizontal lines.
Appendix C: All final composition diagrams

In Sect. 4 we discuss general trends in final chemical structure and compare single and binary-striped star models with similar values of the reference core masses. In Fig. C.1, we present composition diagrams at the moment of core collapse for the full set of models. The figure is divided into two sets of two rows that contain single (top) and binary-striped (bottom) stellar models with the same initial masses. The binary-striped model with an initial mass of 19 $M_\odot$ is not shown because it did not reach core collapse due to numerical issues. Below each model we indicate its reference core mass.

![Composition diagrams showing the core composition inside the helium core at the onset of core collapse for the entire grid of models. The two pairs of rows show single and binary-striped star models with the same initial masses. All models are scaled such that the radius of the diagram is proportional to the square root of the helium core mass (the helium core mass is indicated at the bottom of each diagram). The binary-striped model with an initial mass of 19 $M_\odot$ is not shown because it did not reach core collapse. Colors represent the same isotopes as in Fig. 2.](image-url)
Appendix D: Effect of winds on the cores of binary-stripped stars

How much mass massive stars lose to winds is one of the biggest open questions in stellar astrophysics (Smith 2014). The choice of stellar winds assumed for stellar evolution models greatly impacts the evolution of massive stars and in particular their core structure (Renzo et al. 2017). Stellar winds of binary-stripped stars are among the most unconstrained (Götberg et al. 2017; Vink 2017) and can have a large impact on their evolution, future interactions, and on their supernova properties (Yoon et al. 2010; Yoon 2015; Gilkis et al. 2019), though efforts are being made to better constrain these with new-generation stellar atmosphere models (e.g., Sander & Vink 2020). Here, we investigate the effect of changing the adopted wind mass-loss rate for binary-stripped stars on the core properties. To this end, we simulated models (e.g., Sander & Vink 2020). Here, we investigate the impact on the stellar properties and wind mass-loss rates halfway through core helium depletion, strongly depends on the wind mass-loss rate, as shown in Fig. D.1. In the top panel of Fig. D.1, we show the models with an initial mass of 11 M⊙ (top panel) and 20 M⊙ (bottom panel). Gray lines show variations in the density profile for the binary-stripped star when we vary the wind mass-loss rate after Roche-lobe overflow by the factor indicated in the legend.

The luminosity of the model, its wind mass-loss rate is similar to the mass-loss rates we obtain when increasing the wind mass-loss rate by a factor of between 1.25 or 2. For the binary-stripped star model with an initial mass of 20 M⊙, the values for the highest wind mass-loss rates (wind factors 2 and 3) are similar to the wind mass-loss rates derived from observations of WC and WO stars (log10 M/ (M⊙ yr−1) = −5 for log10 (L/L⊙) = 5, Tramper et al. 2016).

Varying the wind mass-loss rate factor has a systematic impact on the stellar structure. The total mass of the binary-stripped star, and with it its density profile in the outer layers at core helium depletion, strongly depends on the wind mass-loss rate, as shown in Fig. D.1. In the top panel of Fig. D.1, we show the models with an initial mass of 11 M⊙, and in the bottom panel, the models with an initial mass of 20 M⊙. Independently of the initial mass, we find that models with increased wind mass-loss rates have more centrally concentrated density profiles, a lower-mass core and a lower total mass. In contrast, models with decreased wind mass-loss rates have higher total masses and achieve smaller densities at the surface. The models with the smallest wind mass-loss rates have density profiles with a similar shape as for the single-star profiles because they retain a hydrogen-rich layer above the helium core. The 20 M⊙ binary-stripped model (bottom panel of Fig. D.1) with the lowest wind mass-loss rate achieves a helium core mass that is retained in the binary-stripped model (bottom panel of Fig. D.1) with the lowest wind mass-loss rate.

Table D.1. Effect of a modified wind mass loss factor after mass transfer on the stellar properties of binary-stripped stars

| Wind factor | M (M⊙) | log10 (T/ K) | log10 (L/L⊙) | log10 (M/[M⊙ yr−1]) |
|-------------|--------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|
| **Binary-stripped star model: M = 11 M⊙** | | | | |
| 0.10 | 3.50 | 4.84 | 4.19 | -7.79 |
| 0.33 | 3.46 | 4.85 | 4.17 | -7.28 |
| 0.50 | 3.43 | 4.85 | 4.15 | -7.11 |
| 0.75 | 3.39 | 4.86 | 4.14 | -6.94 |
| 1.00 | 3.36 | 4.86 | 4.12 | -6.82 |
| 1.25 | 3.32 | 4.87 | 4.11 | -6.73 |
| 2.00 | 3.22 | 4.89 | 4.08 | -6.52 |
| 3.00 | 3.08 | 4.92 | 4.03 | -6.27 |
| **Binary-stripped star model: M = 20 M⊙** | | | | |
| 0.10 | 8.10 | 4.92 | 5.04 | -6.68 |
| 0.33 | 7.94 | 4.95 | 5.02 | -6.17 |
| 0.50 | 7.84 | 4.97 | 5.00 | -5.98 |
| 0.75 | 7.68 | 4.99 | 4.99 | -5.80 |
| 1.00 | 7.52 | 5.02 | 4.97 | -5.62 |
| 1.25 | 7.34 | 5.04 | 4.95 | -5.46 |
| 2.00 | 6.81 | 5.03 | 4.88 | -5.34 |
| 3.00 | 6.22 | 5.02 | 4.80 | -5.27 |

Notes. The values are taken halfway through central helium burning (defined as the moment when Xhe = 0.5).

In the bottom panel of Fig. D.1, we show the models with an initial mass of 20 M⊙, and in the bottom panel, the models with an initial mass of 20 M⊙. Independently of the initial mass, we find that models with increased wind mass-loss rates have more centrally concentrated density profiles, a lower-mass core and a lower total mass. In contrast, models with decreased wind mass-loss rates have higher total masses and achieve smaller densities at the surface. The models with the smallest wind mass-loss rates have density profiles with a similar shape as for the single-star profiles because they retain a hydrogen-rich layer above the helium core. The 20 M⊙ binary-stripped model (bottom panel of Fig. D.1) with the lowest wind mass-loss rate achieves a helium core mass that is...
larger than that of the single-star model with the same initial mass.

In Fig. D.2 we show that the central mass fraction of $^{12}$C and $^{16}$O at the moment of core helium depletion is also systematically affected by a changing wind mass-loss rate. The higher the wind mass loss, the lower (higher) the central mass fraction of $^{12}$C ($^{16}$O). For models with low wind mass-loss rates, we find a more pronounced effect on the central mass fraction.

The wind mass-loss rate affects the extent of the carbon-rich gradient above the helium-depleted core, as we show in the composition profiles in Fig. D.3. Models with high wind mass-loss rates have a more extended carbon-rich layer. This can be understood as the consequence of a more pronounced retreat in mass of the convective core during central helium burning (see also 5.1), which leaves helium burning products behind. Even for lower wind mass-loss rates, the carbon-rich layer is still present in the binary-stripped stars and more extended than for single-star models. Models with reduced wind mass-loss rates retain a hydrogen-rich layer (as shown by the dashed lines in Fig. D.3).

For the example binary-stripped star model with an initial mass of 20 $M_\odot$, the effect of winds is more pronounced than for the 11 $M_\odot$ model, due to the indirect mass dependence of winds. In the two models with the highest wind mass-loss rates (a factor of two and three larger, respectively), the winds are so strong that even the helium-rich layer is removed, and the carbon-rich layer reaches the surface of the star (indicated with a circle in the bottom panel of Fig. D.3). Observationally, such stars would likely be observed as carbon- or oxygen-rich Wolf-Rayet stars (type WC/WO) and a supernova resulting from such stars would most likely be of type Ic.

We conclude that variations in the wind mass-loss rate have a systematic effect on the core structure of binary-stripped stars. The extent of the carbon-rich layer above the helium-depleted core strongly depends on the wind mass-loss rate. However, the mass extent of the carbon-rich layer and the central fraction of carbon remains larger for binary-stripped star models than for single-star models with the same initial mass, even for the lowest wind mass-loss rates (a factor of ten weaker, and similar to the wind mass loss inferred by Vink 2017).
**Fig. D.3.** Impact of binary-stripped star wind variations on the composition profiles at the moment of core helium depletion as a function of the mass coordinate. We show our reference single (blue) and binary-stripped (red) star models with the same initial masses of 11 M$_\odot$ (top panel) and 20 M$_\odot$ (bottom panel). In gray, we show how the profiles of the binary-stripped star models change when we vary the wind mass-loss rates by the factor indicated in the legend. Dashed and full lines indicate the mass fractions of $^1$H and $^{12}$C, respectively. The mass extent of the carbon-rich layer increases with higher wind mass-loss rates. The surface of the stellar models is indicated with a colored circle. In the top panel, we limit the mass range for clarity and do not show the entire hydrogen layer of the single-star model.
Appendix E: Shell mergers

About half of the models in our grid experience the merging of silicon-burning shells with oxygen-rich layers (e.g., Couch & Ott 2013; Yoshida et al. 2019; Andrassy et al. 2020; Fields & Couch 2020). They occur in both single and binary-stripped models. In this section we discuss how these shell mergers arise and how the composition is modified. To this end, we focus on an example model, the single-star model with an initial mass of 11 M⊙.

In Fig. E.1 we show the evolution of the composition structure at selected points in the evolution. The star burns silicon in a convective core (labels 1 to 3 in Fig. E.1), before igniting silicon in a convective shell (label 4 in Fig. E.1). Shortly after the ignition of this shell, the burning shell moves upward in mass coordinate. It then reaches the oxygen-rich region above and becomes mixed in (labels 4 to 5 in Fig. E.1). The merger leads to a more luminous and extended burning of silicon. Because silicon now burns in a different composition environment, different branching rates of the burning reactions occur. The ingestion of oxygen helps boost alpha-chain reactions and leads to an increased abundance of 28Si, 32S, 36Ar, 40Ca, and 54Fe in the oxygen-rich layer. From point 6 to 9, the silicon-rich outer layers turn into iron-group elements.

Appendix F: Kippenhahn diagrams

For completeness, we show the Kippenhahn diagrams for our single and binary-stripped star models with initial masses of 11 M⊙ in this section.

In Fig. F.1 we show the evolution of the composition structure of a single-star model with an initial mass of 11 M⊙, after core oxygen depletion. From diagrams 1 to 3, core silicon burning occurs, leading to the creation of 54Fe. The silicon-burning shell of the star merges with the oxygen-rich layers above, between points 4 and 5, leading to the creation of 28Si, 32S, 36Ar, 40Ca, and 54Fe in the oxygen-rich layer. From points 6 to 9, the outer layers of the silicon-rich region turn into iron-group elements.