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Abstract

Background: Moderate to severe psoriasis is a systemic inflammatory disease associated with insulin resistance, obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2DM). Sitagliptin is a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor that improves glycaemia and has a marketing authorisation for the treatment of T2DM. Non-immunosuppressive therapies that are effective for psoriasis and its associated comorbidities would be a significant advance in the treatment of this chronic disease.

Methods/Design: This is a single centre, 39-week, prospective, randomised, open label, clinical trial of oral sitagliptin (Januvia®) in psoriasis patients who are due to undergo a course of narrow-band ultraviolet-B (NB-UVB) phototherapy. We plan to enrol 120 participants and allocate participants on a random and 1:1 basis to receive sitagliptin 100 mg daily for 24 weeks combined with NB-UVB or NB-UVB monotherapy. Participants will be followed up for 12 weeks after sitagliptin therapy is discontinued. The primary endpoint is the change in Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) 24 weeks after treatment initiation. Secondary endpoints include cumulative NB-UVB dose, number of NB-UVB treatments required to clear psoriasis, proportions of participants who achieve PASI-50 (50 % reduction in PASI from baseline), PASI-75, PASI-90 and the proportion of participants who relapse in each group. We will also analyse changes in cardiovascular disease risk factors, serum cytokine and hormone levels and peripheral blood mononuclear expression of immune proteins at 24 and 36 weeks. A subgroup of participants will have skin biopsies taken and analysed for skin levels and expression of immune cells, receptors, hormones and immune proteins. The genetic or epigenetic profile that predicts best response to DPP-4 inhibitor therapy will be analysed. The safety endpoints include the rate and severity of adverse events.

Discussion: This is the first randomised clinical trial assessing dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibition therapy in psoriasis. We hypothesise that sitagliptin therapy in combination with NB-UVB improves psoriasis severity compared to NB-UVB monotherapy.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT02347501 (Date of registration: 27 January 2015).
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Background
Psoriasis is a common immune-mediated skin disease affecting 1.3–2.2% of the UK population [1] with chronic plaque psoriasis being the most common (90%) form [2]. The majority of patients have mild psoriasis although around 20–30% of patients have more severe involvement that warrants consideration of systemic therapy [3]. Treatment options for severe psoriasis include systemic immunosuppressant therapies such as methotrexate and fumaric acid esters which are effective but associated with potential toxicities. Biologic therapies have revolutionised the management of psoriasis but are expensive and are immunosuppressive. Psoriasis has a significant impact on quality of life and those patients with severe disease have an approximately 4-year reduction in life span [4]. Moderate to severe psoriasis is associated with smoking, alcohol excess, obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2DM) [5]. These comorbidities likely contribute to increased cardiovascular risk and premature mortality [6] as does the systemic inflammation associated with psoriasis [7]. An effective treatment for psoriasis that is non-immunosuppressive and treats comorbidities such as diabetes would, therefore, be very welcome.

Sitagliptin is a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor that has a marketing authorisation for T2DM. Sitagliptin has placebo-like tolerability and a good safety profile. Two case reports have shown that sitagliptin improved psoriasis severity [8, 9]. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 is expressed on keratinocytes and its activity is upregulated in psoriasis [10, 11]. The main site of DPP-4 activity is CD26. CD26 is a marker of T cell activation and is a key molecule in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases [12]. Agents used to treat psoriasis commonly target the underlying inflammation [13, 14]. C-reactive protein (CRP) is a sensitive, systemic marker of inflammation [15]. In people with T2DM DPP-4 inhibitor therapy decreases CRP concentrations [16–22]. Serum CRP concentrations correlate with psoriasis severity and interventions that decrease the CRP concentration may decrease also psoriasis severity [23–25].

We have shown previously, in psoriasis patients without T2DM (both lean and obese), that homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) values correlate with those of the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI, a measure of psoriasis severity: $r = 0.49$, $p < 0.001$) [26].

Medications that improve insulin action may also decrease systemic inflammation and improve psoriasis [27]. This study aims to assess the efficacy and safety of sitagliptin, a DPP-4 inhibitor, in patients with psoriasis without diabetes undergoing narrow-band ultraviolet-B (NB-UVB) phototherapy. We hypothesise that sitagliptin therapy improves psoriasis severity.

Methods/Design
Study design and study organisation
This will be a single centre, 39-week, prospective, randomised, open label, clinical trial of oral sitagliptin tablets (Januvia®) in psoriasis patients who are due to undergo a course of NB-UVB phototherapy. We plan to enrol 120 research participants in total. Participants will be allocated at random to receive either (a) 24 weeks of sitagliptin (Januvia®, 100 mg daily, or 50 mg daily for participants with moderate kidney disease) with NB-UVB phototherapy; or (b) NB-UVB phototherapy without any additional treatment. The primary outcome measure is the change in the psoriasis area and severity index ($\Delta$PASI) during 24 weeks of treatment. This parameter, along with secondary outcome measures, will be compared between the two groups. This study has been approved by the St Vincent’s University Hospital Ethics and Medical Research Committee and by the Health Products Regulatory Authority of Ireland.

This study will be carried out in compliance with the study protocol and in accordance with the sponsor/contract research organisations’ standard operating procedures. These are designed to ensure adherence to Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines, as described in the International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use Harmonised Tripartite Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice, 1996.

Trial registration
Ethical approval number: DPIP-2012-02
Date of approval: 19 June 2013
ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02347501
EudraCT: 2012-005483-10

Data handling
All data will be stored securely. Details of outcome measures and adverse events will be documented in hospital healthcare records, in individual research participant case report forms and in an encrypted electronic database.

The study investigators will adhere to hospital protocols pertaining to healthcare record use and storage. To protect the research participant’s identity, a unique identification code will be assigned by the investigator, or authorised designee, to each study participant and used in lieu of the participant’s name when the investigator reports adverse events and/or other study-related data are reported.

Participants
Potentially eligible research participants will be identified through use of a patient database and through review of healthcare records in St Vincent’s University Hospital. Potentially eligible research participants will be recruited...
in one of two ways by one of the study investigators or by a suitably qualified designee. One of these two ways will be during a clinic visit and the other will be by mailing a letter of invitation.

Psoriasis patients attending this centre who have a PASI greater than 7, and who are due to undergo NB-UVB phototherapy, will be considered potentially eligible research participants and will be invited to attend for a screening visit. Informed consent will be obtained from every participant in the trial. The PASI will be measured at every visit by a senior clinician, or suitably qualified designee. The PASI assessor will be blinded to the participant’s randomisation group. After a 3-week period, where research participants will not receive the investigational medicinal product, those research participants who meet all of the inclusion criteria and do not fall under any of the exclusion criteria (Table 1) will be allocated randomly, after stratification by glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) level (HbA1c <38 mmol/mol or ≥38 mmol/mol), body mass index level (BMI <30 kg/m² or ≥30 kg/m²) and previous response to NB-UVB (achieved remission within 25 exposures during most recent course of NB-UVB or not), either to arm A or to arm B. Those who have not been exposed previously to NB-UVB will be placed in the ‘did not achieve remission within 25 exposures’ group.

In order to achieve this we have prepared eight randomisation lists using a web-based random generator programme (http://www.randomization.com). For each participant the investigator, or authorised designee,

| Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Patients who have a diagnosis of generalised chronic plaque and/or guttate psoriasis | 1. Patients with photosensitive disorders |
| 2. Male and female patients aged between 18 and 75 years inclusive | 2. Patients with diabetes mellitus |
| 3. Patients with a PASI of 7 or greater at screening or baseline despite use of topical therapies | 3. Patients who are receiving medications that can cause photosensitivity |
| 4. Patients who are due to undergo NB-UVB light therapy | 4. Patient who are receiving GLP-1 analogue therapy |
| 5. Patients who have not required systemic psoriasis therapy during the past 8 weeks | 5. Patients who have conditions that could be made worse by phototherapy (cataracts, epilepsy, etc.) |
| 6. Patients who are unlikely to require systemic therapy for the duration of clinical trial involvement | 6. Patients with allergy or hypersensitivity to Januvia® |
| 7. Patients who have a negative pregnancy test at screening (women of child-bearing potential only) | 7. Patients with any of the following conditions: severe kidney disease (estimated glomerular filtration rate of less than 30 ml/min/1.73 m²) severe heart disease (left ventricular ejection fraction less than 35 %) severe liver disease (alanine aminotransferase greater than 150 IU/L) severe lung disease (forced expiratory volume in 1 second or a forced vital capacity that is known to be less than 50 % of that estimated for a person of that age and gender) |
| 8. Patients who are willing to sign voluntarily a statement of informed consent to participate in the study | 8. Patients who have received NB-UVB light recently (within 8 weeks) |
| 9. Patients who are receiving currently or have received systemic therapy for psoriasis recently (within 8 weeks) | 9. Patients who have received NB-UVB light recently (within 8 weeks) |
| 10. Patients who have any other contraindications to Januvia® as stated in its Summary of Product Characteristics | 10. Patients who have any other contraindications to Januvia® as stated in its Summary of Product Characteristics |
| 11. Female patients of child-bearing potential who are pregnant, breastfeeding, or unwilling to practice an acceptable barrier and/or hormonal method of contraception during participation in the study – abstinence will be permitted only if it is in keeping with a person’s lifestyle | 11. Female patients of child-bearing potential who are pregnant, breastfeeding, or unwilling to practice an acceptable barrier and/or hormonal method of contraception during participation in the study – abstinence will be permitted only if it is in keeping with a person’s lifestyle |
| 12. Patients with any clinically significant chronic disease that might, in the opinion of the investigator, interfere with the evaluations or preclude completion of the trial | 12. Patients with any clinically significant chronic disease that might, in the opinion of the investigator, interfere with the evaluations or preclude completion of the trial |
| 13. Patients with a current or recent (within the past 4 weeks) acute serious illness, acute psychiatric illness or severe uncontrolled/unstable illness | 13. Patients with a current or recent (within the past 4 weeks) acute serious illness, acute psychiatric illness or severe uncontrolled/unstable illness |
| 14. Patients who have been randomised into this study previously | 14. Patients who have been randomised into this study previously |
| 15. Patients who are participating in another clinical trial concurrently | 15. Patients who are participating in another clinical trial concurrently |
| 16. Patients who are participating in another clinical trial during the 12 weeks prior to study entry (i.e. screening visit) | 16. Patients who are participating in another clinical trial during the 12 weeks prior to study entry (i.e. screening visit) |

GLP-1 glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue, NB-UVB narrow-band ultraviolet-B phototherapy, PASI psoriasis area and severity index
will chose the appropriate list and will add the participant’s identifier to the list in chronological order. This list will be thereby used to determine the study treatment which the participant will receive. Random allocation will occur at visit 2 (baseline visit) once all screening procedures required at visit 1 (screening visit) have been completed, once it has been confirmed that the participant satisfies all inclusion and exclusion criteria and once the participant completes the 3-week run-in period. Identification numbers will be assigned chronologically in consecutive, ascending order.

**Study treatments**

Research participants allocated to arm A will receive a 26-week supply of Januvia® tablets (DPP-4 inhibitor) and will be instructed to ingest orally one 100 mg tablet once daily (or 50 mg once daily for participants with moderate kidney disease) for 24 weeks (Fig. 1). Research participants allocated to arm B will receive no treatment (aside from usual medications and phototherapy). Both the research participants and the investigators will be aware of the trial arm to which the research participant has been allocated randomly (open-label study).

All research participants will undergo NB-UVB phototherapy during the initial portion of study participation. This protocol involves whole-body NB-UVB using a Waldmann UV5001 cabinet incorporating 40 100-watt Philips TL-01 fluorescent lamps (emitting within the wavelength range of 311 nm to 313 nm) on Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays. Doses of NB-UVB are adjusted according to the minimal erythema dose (MED) and according to erythemal response to therapy [28]. The MED is established by exposing eight 1.5 x 1.5 cm sites of unaffected skin of the upper back to NB-UVB at various doses (50, 70, 100, 140, 200, 280, 390, 550, 770 and 1080 mJ/cm²) from a bank of four TL-01 fluorescent tubes. The MED is defined as the dose that causes barely perceptible erythema 24 hours after irradiation. The first dose is 70% of the MED and incremental increases are made at each visit to a maximum dose of 3833 mJ/cm². Patients are treated until psoriasis clears or until they have received 40 exposures. All psoriasis patients wear protective UV goggles and the men wear genital protection. Irradiance is measured each month using an IL1400A radiometer and SEL240/UVB-1/TD detector head that is calibrated annually against a reference standard.

Research participants are prohibited from using systemic psoriasis therapy for the duration of their trial involvement. Any other medications that are considered necessary for the participant’s welfare and will not interfere with the study medication will be given at the discretion of the investigator.

**Safety**

Comprehensive assessments of any apparent toxicity experienced by the research participant will be performed throughout the course of the study from the time of participant’s signature of informed consent. The safety of the investigational medicinal products will be assessed through the recording, reporting and analysing of baseline medical conditions, adverse events, vital signs and laboratory tests (full blood count, renal and liver blood tests). Information about all serious adverse events (SAEs) will be collected and recorded on the SAE Report Form. Each SAE must be reported by the investigator, or an authorised designee, to the sponsor within 24 hours of learning of its occurrence.

**Endpoints**

Efficacy and safety measures will be performed according to a schedule of assessments (Table 2).

The primary efficacy endpoint is the change in the PASI (ΔPASI) after 24 weeks.

The secondary endpoints will include the:

1. ΔPASI after 36 weeks
2. Change in validated quality of life scores (Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI), EuroQol 5 item questionnaire (EQ-5D), Hospital anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and Stanford Health

---

**Figure 1** Depiction of the flow of research participants through each stage of the study: randomisation of research participants to one of two study arms, stages of no treatment and of treatment, and the medications that will be received by participants at each stage of the study.
Assessment Questionnaire 8-Item Disability Scale (HAQ-8) after 24 and 36 weeks
3. NB-UVB light dosage and exposure number at 36 weeks
4. Proportion of patients who achieve a greater than 50% reduction in PASI from baseline (PASI-50) by 36 weeks
5. Proportion of participants who achieve PASI-75 and PASI-90 by 36 weeks
6. Proportion of participants who relapse (PASI greater than 50% of baseline value) by 36 weeks
7. Times taken to achieve PASI-50, PASI-75, PASI-90 and relapse
8. Changes in levels of cardiovascular disease risk factors (blood pressure, glycaemic measures lipid fractions, weight, etc.) after 24 and 36 weeks
9. Changes in serum concentrations of cytokines and hormones after 24 and 36 weeks

The tertiary efficacy endpoints, which will be determined only in a subgroup of willing clinical trial participants, are the:

1. Changes in skin levels and expression of cells, hormones, receptors, enzymes and immune proteins after 24 weeks; and
2. Genetic, and/or epigenetic, profile that predicts best response to phototherapy and to DPP-4 inhibitor therapy

---

**Table 2 Schedule of assessments**

| Visit number | Screening visit (week 3) | Baseline visit (day 0) | First treatment visit (week 3 ± 7 days) | Second treatment visit (week 6 ± 7 days) | Third treatment visit (week 12 ± 7 days) | Fourth treatment visit (week 24 ± 7 days) | First follow-up visit (week 30 ± 7 days) | End of study visit (week 36 ± 7 days) | Early withdrawal visit |
|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1             | X                        |                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        | X                     |
| Informed consent | X                        |                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        | X                     |
| Inclusion/exclusion criteria | X                        |                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        | X                     |
| Demographic data | X                        |                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        | X                     |
| Medical history | X                        |                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        | X                     |
| Quality of life assessments | X                        | X                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                     |
| Concomitant medication | X                        | X                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                     |
| Height | X                        |                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        | X                     |
| Weight | X                        | X                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                     |
| Blood pressure and heart rate | X                        | X                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                     |
| PASI assessment | X                        | X                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                     |
| Blood samples | X                        | X                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                     |
| Urinary pregnancy test (women of child-bearing potential only) | X                        | X                      |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        | X                     |
| Adverse event assessment | X                        | X                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                     |
| IMP dispensing (arm A) | X                        |                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                        | X                     |
| IMP accountability return and compliance check | X                        | X                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                                      | X                     |

*If applicable

**IMP** Investigational Medicinal Product, **PASI** Psoriasis Area and Severity Index
The safety endpoints will include:

1. Full blood count
2. Renal and liver blood tests
3. Skin reactions (erythema, pruritus, stinging and lesional blistering)
4. Reactivation of herpes simplex infection
5. Other adverse events

**Statistical methods and sample size**

Demographic and baseline clinical data will be summarised using descriptive statistics according to treatment group. The primary and secondary efficacy variables and the safety variables will be summarised using descriptive statistics according to treatment group.

Data from research participants who are not allocated to either arm of the study and who do not receive a supply of investigational medicinal product will be excluded from statistical analyses.

The analysis of the data will be based on an intention-to-treat approach. T tests (or the appropriate alternative for non-parametric data), using two-sided tests, will be used to determine whether significant differences between the sets of data exist (SPSS version 20.0, IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The independent samples T test will be used to assess for differences between the effects of the test product (Januvia®) compared to the effects of no additional treatment. Chi-square analyses will be used to test for significant differences in categorical variables between the sets of data obtained. Missing data will be dealt with using last observation carried forward.

Subgroup analyses will be performed on those research participants who:

1. Complete the visit 6 assessment
2. Have severe psoriasis
3. Have non-severe psoriasis
4. Are male
5. Are female
6. Are obese
7. Are older than 45 years

We plan to enrol 120 research participants in total. Kleinpenning et al. have determined previously the effect of two different NB-UVB phototherapy regimens on the decrease in PASI 3 months after completion of a course of NB-UVB phototherapy [29]. Three months after cessation of NB-UVB light therapy the decrease in the PASI in those receiving a high-dose regimen was 5.93 ± 4.1 compared to baseline. This decrease in PASI was significantly less in the group receiving a low-dose regimen (4.14 ± 2.96, \(p < 0.05\)).

Based on these data, and assuming a 20 \% dropout rate, we have calculated that we will require 60 research participants (in each randomisation arm) to detect a greater than 33 \% difference in the ΔPASI with 80 \% power and a 5 \% significance level.

**Quality control of the study**

A quality assurance audit may be conducted by the sponsor or its agent at any time during, or shortly after, the study. The investigator will permit an independent audit by an auditor mandated by the sponsor, after reasonable notice. The purpose of an audit is to confirm that the study is conducted as per protocol, Good Clinical Practice and applicable regulatory requirements, that the rights and well-being of the patients enrolled have been protected, and that the data relevant for the evaluation of the investigational medicinal product have been captured, processed and reported in compliance with the planned arrangements. The investigator will permit direct access to all study documents, drug accountability records, medical records and source data.

A future report will follow the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement.

**Discussion**

Psoriasis is characterised by keratinocyte hyperproliferation, by aberrant keratinocyte differentiation and by cutaneous inflammation [10].

The high concentration of DPP-4 expressed on keratinocytes and the fact that DPP-4 inhibition suppresses keratinocyte proliferation in vitro, and restores partially keratinocyte differentiation in vivo [30], support a potential role for DPP-4 inhibition therapy in the treatment of psoriasis. Two cases of DPP-4 inhibitor therapy improving psoriasis severity have been reported [8, 9]. One of these two cases was a woman in our department with severe psoriasis without diabetes who developed systemic B-cell lymphoma [9]. Treatment with methotrexate and acitretin were not tolerated or failed, and in the setting of the patient’s concomitant malignancy, sitagliptin was commenced in preference to an immunosuppressive therapy. After 8 weeks of oral sitagliptin treatment (100 mg once daily) the psoriasis body surface area (BSA) involvement decreased to less than 1 \%.

DPP-4 inhibitors also prevent the degradation of insulin secretagogues such as glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), thereby ameliorating hyperglycaemia without causing hypoglycaemia [31]. Because of these effects, DPP-4 inhibitors are licensed for the treatment of T2DM. Other interventions that increase GLP-1 receptor activation, such as roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery and GLP-1 analogue therapy, can also improve psoriasis severity [32–34].

We have previously reported a significant improvement in two patients with psoriasis and concomitant diabetes treated with the GLP-1 analogue liraglutide [33]. In a subsequent open study of seven patients with both psoriasis

The high concentration of DPP-4 expressed on keratinocytes and the fact that DPP-4 inhibition suppresses keratinocyte proliferation in vitro, and restores partially keratinocyte differentiation in vivo [30], support a potential role for DPP-4 inhibition therapy in the treatment of psoriasis. Two cases of DPP-4 inhibitor therapy improving psoriasis severity have been reported [8, 9]. One of these two cases was a woman in our department with severe psoriasis without diabetes who developed systemic B-cell lymphoma [9]. Treatment with methotrexate and acitretin were not tolerated or failed, and in the setting of the patient’s concomitant malignancy, sitagliptin was commenced in preference to an immunosuppressive therapy. After 8 weeks of oral sitagliptin treatment (100 mg once daily) the psoriasis body surface area (BSA) involvement decreased to less than 1 \%.

DPP-4 inhibitors also prevent the degradation of insulin secretagogues such as glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), thereby ameliorating hyperglycaemia without causing hypoglycaemia [31]. Because of these effects, DPP-4 inhibitors are licensed for the treatment of T2DM. Other interventions that increase GLP-1 receptor activation, such as roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery and GLP-1 analogue therapy, can also improve psoriasis severity [32–34].

We have previously reported a significant improvement in two patients with psoriasis and concomitant diabetes treated with the GLP-1 analogue liraglutide [33]. In a subsequent open study of seven patients with both psoriasis
and type 2 diabetes we reported a significant reduction in psoriasis severity and a significant improvement in quality of life following treatment with liraglutide [35]. The median PASI decreased from 4.8 to 3.0 ($p = 0.03$) and median DLQI from 6.0 to 2.0 ($p = 0.03$). The improvement in patients treated with liraglutide was associated with an increase in circulating invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cells, innate T cells implicated in psoriasis pathogenesis, and a relative decrease in iNKT cell number in psoriatic plaques. 

Another study evaluated seven patients treated with either exenatide or liraglutide for several months and found a significant reduction in PASI of 2.8 (from $12.0 \pm 5.9$ to $9.2 \pm 6.4$; $p = 0.04$) and a slight reduction in epidermal thickness (from $0.47 \pm 0.12$ to $0.40 \pm 0.15$ mm; $p = 0.06$). The decrease in PASI was associated with a decrease in Y6-T cell number from $6.7 \pm 4.5$ to $2.7 \pm 3.8$ % ($p = 0.05$) and IL-17 expression ($p > 0.05$), both of which are involved in the pathogenesis of psoriasis [36]. These improvements in psoriasis are noted before weight loss occurs [33, 34, 36] and are not associated with improved glycaemic control [33–35].

A randomised clinical trial evaluated liraglutide in 20 obese glucose-tolerant patients with psoriasis [37]. After 8 weeks of treatment there was no significant difference in the change in PASI between the liraglutide group and the placebo group. There was a significant change in PASI from baseline in the liraglutide group and not in the placebo group. This was a small study ($n = 20$) and the study duration of 8 weeks was short.

Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 is expressed as CD26 on T cells. One hypothesis of sitagliptin’s potential effect in psoriasis treatment is that inhibition of DPP-4 may inhibit T cell activation and improve psoriasis. While there is evidence that sitagliptin decreases systemic inflammation, which may account for the reason it may be beneficial in psoriasis, there is no evidence to suggest that sitgliptin therapy is immunosuppressive. In one cross-over study of 36 patients with T2DM treated with sitagliptin or placebo, molecular markers of inflammation were altered significantly in the sitagliptin group [21]. Sitagliptin therapy (100 mg daily for 6 weeks) reduced serum concentrations of the inflammatory markers CRP, IL-6, IL-18 and reduced concentrations of the soluble cell adhesion proteins, intercellular adhesion molecule 1 and E-selectin (molecules involved in the development of atherosclerosis) in patients with T2DM. The change in CRP was correlated inversely with a rise in GLP-1 levels supporting a role for GLP-1 release, as well as with improved glucose-insulin homeostasis, in the improvement in systemic inflammatory and endothelial markers. A reduction in CRP has been demonstrated in other studies of patients with T2DM treated with sitagliptin [16–22] although no change in CRP has also been reported with sitagliptin therapy [38, 39]. One of the studies demonstrating the anti-inflammatory action of sitagliptin involved 22 obese patients with T2DM who were allocated at random to receive sitagliptin 100 mg daily or placebo for 12 weeks [22]. In this study peripheral blood mononuclear cell expression of the inflammatory molecules CD26 and TNFα decreased with sitagliptin therapy as did serum concentrations of inflammatory markers. Expression of CD26 decreased after a single dose of sitagliptin and the authors suggested that sitagliptin therapy, in addition to inhibiting the action of DPP-4, may inhibit synthesis of DPP-4.

Sitagliptin monotherapy has demonstrated improvements in measures of pancreatic β cell function (insulin secretion) as determined by the homeostasis model assessment-β cell function (HOMA-β) in the majority of studies evaluating both sitagliptin as monotherapy [40–44] or sitagliptin as an adjunctive therapy [45–49]. Improvements in insulin resistance have shown varied results. The majority of studies have shown improvements in insulin resistance when sitagliptin is used as an adjunctive treatment [45, 46, 48–50] rather than as monotherapy [41–44, 51]. One study of sitagliptin monotherapy has demonstrated that insulin resistance improved [40]. A meta-analysis of seven studies comparing sitagliptin to metformin found that sitagliptin was inferior to metformin in improving insulin resistance ($p = 0.003$) [52]. The majority of these studies measured insulin resistance using HOMA-IR, which correlates well with the euglycaemic clamp, which is the ‘gold standard’ for measuring insulin resistance [53]. Limitations associated with the use of HOMA-IR include lack of consensus regarding cut-off values and decreased reliability in lean patients with T2DM (due to lower β cell function and higher fasting glucose levels).

Sitagliptin treatment has not demonstrated immunosuppressive effects in healthy individuals. One study evaluated sitagliptin 100 mg daily compared to placebo for 28 days in healthy volunteers and measured immune function [54]. There was no effect on cytokines measured including the immunosuppressive cytokine, transforming growth factor β, on major lymphocyte subsets and on numbers of regulatory T cells suggesting that sitagliptin lacks immunomodulatory effects in healthy patients. A non-sustained increase in CD26 levels in T cells and increase in memory CD8+ T cells was observed. It is possible that immune effects may differ in patients with psoriasis, a chronic immune-mediated disease, and this trial should provide further insight into the effects of sitagliptin in psoriasis on immune function.

There are several other factors in support of sitagliptin therapy being non-immunosuppressive. Clinical trial data show no increase in the incidence of viral infections or malignancy with sitagliptin therapy [55]. Twenty patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) who
did not have T2DM were treated with sitagliptin 100 mg daily or placebo for 24 weeks and CD4+ T cell count and plasma HIV RNA levels were not affected by sitagliptin treatment [56]. There was a reduction in stromal derived factor-1a levels (required to protect T cells from HIV entry) in the sitagliptin group but this did not affect immune or virology status. These data support the lack of a non-immunosuppressive effect with sitagliptin.

In addition to improvements in hyperglycaemia, sitagliptin treatment has demonstrated improvements in other cardiovascular risk factors relevant to psoriasis patients, although results are inconsistent. Sitagliptin therapy has demonstrated improvements in blood pressure measurements in some studies of patients with T2DM [57–61] although other studies have shown no change in blood pressure with sitagliptin treatment [38, 62, 63]. The possible improvement in blood pressure may be related to GLP-1-related natriuresis and vasodilation. Similarly, improvements in lipid parameters have been reported in most [38, 50, 60, 64–66], but not all [39], studies of sitagliptin therapy.

Sitagliptin may also improve cardiac function. Pre-clinical studies have supported the cardioprotective effect of DPP-4 inhibition therapy [67–70], which may be mediated by stromal cell-derived factor-1 [70] associated with increased stem cell mobilisation. Two clinical trials evaluating other DPP-4 inhibitors, saxagliptin [71] and alogliptin [72], did not, however, find a reduction in cardiovascular events in patients with increased cardiovascular risk. The Trial to Evaluate Cardiovascular Outcomes after Treatment with Sitagliptin will be informative – this is an ongoing large prospective study evaluating the cardiovascular outcomes of patients with T2DM treated with sitagliptin [73].

Psoriasis is associated with multiple metabolic comorbidities including cardiovascular disease. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, which reduce systemic inflammation and improve metabolic health, may improve psoriasis severity and may also provide an opportunity to treat, and prevent, major comorbidities. This study is the first prospective randomised clinical trial evaluating the potentially beneficial effects of DPP-4 inhibition in patients with psoriasis without diabetes.

**Trial status**

Recruitment began in November 2013 and is ongoing.
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ΔPASI: the change in psoriasis area and severity index; BMI: body mass index; CD26: cluster of differentiation 26; CRP: C-reactive protein; DLQI: Dermatology Life Quality Index; DPP-4: dipeptidyl peptidase-4; EQ-5D: EuroQol 5 item questionnaire; GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1; HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HAQ-d: Stanford Health Assessment Questionnaire 8-item Disability Scale; HbA1c: glycated haemoglobin; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; HOMA-β: homeostasis model assessment-β cell function; HOMA-IR: homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; IL: interleukin; IMP: investigational medicinal product; iNKT cells: invariant natural killer T cells; MED: minimal erythema dose; NB-UVB: narrow-band ultraviolet-B phototherapy; PASI: Psoriasis Area and Severity Index; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; Tnfα: tumour necrosis factor alpha.

**Competing interests**

Maevye Lynch is in receipt of a research grant from Merck-Sharp-Dohme and has acted as an advisory board member for Novartis.

In the last 3 years, Brian Kirby has been in receipt of research grants from Pfizer, Abbvie, and Janssen-Cilag. Brian Kirby is a principal investigator for clinical trials for Abbvie, Janssen-Cilag, Novartis and Merck-Sharp-Dohme. Brian Kirby has acted as a consultant/advisory board member for Pfizer, Abbvie, Janssen-Cilag, Celgene, Novartis and Roche.

Anne-Marie Tobin has acted as a consultant/advisory board member for Pfizer, Abbvie, Janssen-Cilag and Novartis.

**Authors’ contributions**

ML contributed to the conception of the study, participated in its design and coordination and drafted the manuscript. TA contributed to the conception of the study, participated in its design and coordination and reviewed the manuscript. BK contributed to the design of the study, and participated in the acquisition and analysis of data. CS contributed to the conception of the study, participated in its design, and participated in the analysis and interpretation of laboratory data. GK recorded the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index. RH recorded the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index. A-MT contributed to the conception of the study, participated in its design and coordination and reviewed the manuscript for intellectual content. DO’S contributed to the conception of the study, participated in its design and coordination, and reviewed the manuscript for intellectual content. BK contributed to the conception of the study, participated in its design and coordination, and reviewed the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgements**

This investigator-initiated study has received funding from Merck-Sharp-Dohme.

**Disclosures**

No part or whole of the manuscript has ever been published.

**Author details**

1. Dermatology Department, St Vincent’s University Hospital, Elm Park, Dublin 4, Ireland. 2. Endocrinology Department, St Vincent’s University Hospital, Elm Park, Dublin 4, Ireland. 3. Dermatology Department, Adelaide and Meath Hospital Incorporating the National Children’s Hospital, Tallaght, Dublin 24, Ireland.

**Received: 3 April 2015 Accepted: 6 January 2016**

**Published online: 15 January 2016**

**References**

1. Paris R, Symmons DP, Griffiths CE, Ashcroft DM. Identification and Management of Psoriasis and Associated Comorbidity (IMPACT) Project Team. Global epidemiology of psoriasis: a systematic review of incidence and prevalence. J Invest Dermatol. 2013;133(2):377–85.

2. Griffiths CE, Barker IN. Pathogenesis and clinical features of psoriasis. Lancet. 2007;370(9583):263–71.

3. Neumann AL, Shin DB, Wang X, Margolis DJ, Troxel AB, Gelfand JM. Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in patients with psoriasis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2006;55(5):829–35.

4. Gelfand JM, Troxel AB, Lewis JD, Kudr SK, Shin DB, Wang X, et al. The risk of mortality in patients with psoriasis: results from a population-based study. Arch Dermatol. 2007;143(12):1493–9.

5. Kimball AB, Leonardi C, Stahle M, Gulliver W, Chevrier M, Fakharzadeh S, et al. Demography, baseline disease characteristics and treatment history of patients with psoriasis enrolled in a multicentre, prospective, disease-based registry (PSOLAR). Br J Dermatol. 2014;171(1):137–47.

6. Mehta NN, Araf RS, Shin DB, Neumann AL, Troxel AB, Gelfand JM. Patients with severe psoriasis are at increased risk of cardiovascular mortality: cohort study using the General Practice Research Database. Eur Heart J. 2010;31(8):1000–6.

7. Pino M, Stingeri L, Vaudo G, Mannarino MR, Ministrini S, Vonella M, et al. Systemic inflammation and imbalance between endothelial injury and
repair in patients with psoriasis are associated with preclinical atherosclerosis. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2015;22(8):1027–35.

8. Nishihoka T, Shinohara M, Tanimoto N, Kumagai C, Hashimoto K. Sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitor, improves psoriasis. Dermatology. 2011.

9. Lynch M, Tobin A-M, Ahern T, O'Shea D, Kirby B. Sitagliptin for severe psoriasis. Clin Exp Dermatol (in press).

10. Novelli M, Savoia P, Fiero MT, Vernone A, Quaglini P, Benengo MG. Keratinocytes express dipeptidyl-peptidase IV (CD26) in benign and malignant skin diseases. Br J Dermatol. 1996;134(6):1052–6.

11. van Lingen RG, van de Kerkhof PC, Seyer MG, de Jong EM, van Rens DW, Poll MK, et al. CD26/dipeptidyl-peptidase IV in psoriatic skin: upregulation and topographical changes. Br J Dermatol. 2000;143(6):1264–72.

12. Obtuma K, Hsson O, Dang NH, Morimoto C. Dipeptidyl peptidase in autoimmune pathophysiology. Adv Clin Chem. 2011;53:51–84.

13. Heyndenhau VN, Spuls PI, Opmeer BC, de Borgie CA, Reitsma JB, Goldschmidt WM, et al. Metrotrexate versus cyclosporine in moderate-to-severe chronic plaque psoriasis. N Engl J Med. 2003;349(7):658–65.

14. Griffths CE, Strober BE, de van Kerkhof P, Ho V, Fidelus-Gort R, Yelling N, et al. Comparison of ustekinumab and etanercept for moderate-to-severe psoriasis. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(2):118–28.

15. Pepys MB, Hirschfield GM. C-reactive protein: a critical update. J Clin Invest. 2003;112(1):1805–12.

16. Hattori S. Sitagliptin reduces albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes. Endocr J. 2011;58(1):69–73.

17. Deroga G, Maffioli P, Salvadore SA, Ferrar I, Ragonesi PD, Querci F, et al. Effects of sitagliptin on metformin added to pioglitazone monotherapy in poorly controlled type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Metabolism. 2010;59(6):887–95.

18. Williams-Herman D, Engel SS, Round E, Rendell J, Johnson J, Golm GT, Guo H, et al. Safety and tolerability of sitagliptin in clinical studies: a pooled analysis of data from 10,246 patients with type 2 diabetes. BMC Endocr Disord. 2010;10:7.

19. Lamanna C, Monami M, Bartoli N, Zannoni S, Mannucci E. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and cardiovascular events: a protective effect? Diabetologia. 2011;54 Suppl 1:S109.

20. Sato-Tashihara N, Sasaki Y, Wada H, Toyohira M, Iguchi A, Nakagawachi R, et al. A dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, sitagliptin, exerts anti-inflammatory effects in type 2 diabetic patients. Metabolism. 2013;62(3):347–51.

21. Tremblay AJ, Lamarche B, Deacon CF, Weisnagel SJ, Couture P. Effects of topical narrowband ultraviolet B therapy in psoriasis: randomized, double-blind comparison of high-dose and low-dose irradiation regimens. Br J Dermatol. 2009;161(6):1351–6.

22. Thielke A, Revshold D, Vetter R, Bank U, Helmut MH, Hartle B, et al. Inhibitors of dipeptidyl peptidase IV and aminopeptidase N target major pathological steps in acute inanition. J Invest Dermatol. 2007;127(5):1042–51.

23. Drucker DJ, Sherman S, Gorelick FS, Bergstralh RM, Shrewin RS, Buse JB. Incretin-based therapies for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: evaluation of the risks and benefits. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(2):428–33.

24. Hossler EW, Maroon MS, Mowad CM. Gastric bypass surgery improves psoriasis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2011;65(1):198–200.

25. Hogan AE, Tobin AM, Ahern T, Corrigan MA, Gaoatswe G, Jackson R, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and the regulation of human infantile killer T cells: lessons from obesity, diabetes and psoriasis. Diabetologia. 2011;54(11):2746–54.

26. Faurchaux A, Knop FK, Thysen JP, Zachariae C, Slov L, Viltboe T. Improvement in psoriasis after treatment with the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist lixislateg. Acta Diabetol. 2014;51(1):147–50.

27. Ahern T, Tobin AM, Corrigan M, Hogan A, Sweeney C, Kirby B, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue therapy for psoriasis patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes: a prospective cohort study. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2013;27:1440–3.

28. Buysschaert M, Baeck M, Preumont V, Marot L, Hendrickx E, Van Belle A, et al. Improvement of psoriasis during glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue therapy in type 2 diabetes is associated with decreasing dermal gammadelta T-cell number: a prospective case-series study. Br J Dermatol. 2014;171(1):155–61.

29. Faurchaux A, Gyldenloven M, Rohde U, Thysen JP, Zachariae C, Slov L, et al. Lack of effect of the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist lixislateg on psoriasis in glucose-tolerant patients – a randomized placebo-controlled trial. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2014.

30. Koren S, Shemesh-Bar L, Tirosh A, Peleg RK, Berman S, Hamad RA, et al. The effect of sitagliptin vs. glimepiride on renal function, blood pressure, lipids, and inflammation in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2012;14:561–7.

31. Choe EY, Cho Y, Choi Y, Yun Y, Wang H, Kwon O, et al. The effect of DPP-4 inhibitors on metabolic parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Metab J. 2014;38:211–9.

32. Aschner P, Katzef HL, Guo H, Surga S, Williams-Herman D, Kaufman KD, et al. Efficacy and safety of monotherapy of sitagliptin compared with metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2010;12(9):525–61.

33. Raz I, Hanefeld M, Xu L, Caria C, Williams-Herman D, Khami H. Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin as monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Metabolism. 2006;49(11):2564–71.

34. Hanefeld M, Herman GA, Wu M, Mickel C, Sanchez M, Stein PP. Once-daily sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, for the treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes. Curr Med Res Opin. 2007;23(6):1329–39.

35. Scott R, Wu M, Sanchez M, Stein P. Efficacy and tolerability of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin as monotherapy over 12 weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes. Int J Clin Pract. 2007;61(1):171–80.

36. Onaka K, Kikukawa T, Sato A, Okuyama K, Fujimoto G, Kato N, et al. Efficacy and safety of sitagliptin monotherapy in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2008;10(2):291–8.

37. Deroga G, Carbone A, D’Angelo A, Querci F, Fagioli E, Cicero AF, et al. Variations in inflammatory biomarkers following the addition of sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes not controlled with metformin. Int Med. 2013;52(19):2179–87.

38. Deroga G, Carbone A, Franzetti I, Querci F, Fagioli E, Bianchi L, et al. Effects of a combination of sitagliptin plus metformin vs metformin monotherapy on glycemic control, beta-cell function and insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2012;98(1):151–60.

39. Wainstein J, Katz L, Engel SS, Xu L, Golm GT, Hussain S, et al. Initial therapy with the fixed-Rose combination of sitagliptin and metformin results in greater improvement in glycemic control compared with pioglitazone monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2012;14(5):409–18.

40. Deroga G, Cicero AF, Franzetti I, Querci F, Carbone A, Piccinni MN, et al. A randomized, double-blind, comparative therapy evaluating sitagliptin versus glimepiride in type 2 diabetes patients already treated with pioglitazone and metformin: a 3-year study. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2013;15(3):214–22.

41. Deroga G, Carbone A, D’Angelo A, Querci F, Fagioli E, Cicero AF, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial evaluating sitagliptin action on insulin resistance parameters and beta-cell function. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2012;13(7):2433–42.

42. Deroga G, Ragonesi PD, Fosciari E, Cicero AF, Bianchi L, Bonaventura A, et al. Sitagliptin added to previously taken antidiabetic agents on insulin resistance and lipid profile: a 2-year study evaluation. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. 2014;28(2):221–9.

43. Aschner P, Kipnes MS, Lunceford JK, Sanchez M, Mickel C, Williams-Herman DE. Effect of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin as monotherapy
on glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2006;29(12):2632–7.
52. Du Q, Wu B, Wang YJ, Yang S, Zhao YY, Liang YY. Comparative effects of sitagliptin and metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. Curr Med Res Opin. 2013;29(11):1487–94.
53. Bonora E, Tarberger G, Alberiche M, Bonadonna RC, Saggiani F, Zenere MB, et al. Homeostasis model assessment closely mirrors the glucose clamp technique in the assessment of insulin sensitivity: studies in subjects with various degrees of glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity. Diabetes Care. 2000;23(1):57–63.
54. Price JD, Linder G, Li WP, Zimmermann B, Rother CI, Malek R, et al. Effects of short-term sitagliptin treatment on immune parameters in healthy individuals, a randomized placebo-controlled study. Clin Exp Immunol. 2013;174(1):20–8.
55. Scheen AJ. Safety of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors for treating type 2 diabetes. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2015;1-20. Epub 2015/01/30.
56. Goodwin SR, Reeds DN, Royal M, Struthers H, Lacy E, Yarasheski KE. Dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibition does not adversely affect immune or virological status in HIV infected men and women: a pilot safety study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013;98(2):749–51.
57. Kawasaki I, Hiura Y, Tamai A, Yoshida Y, Yakuishi Y, Ikuno Y, et al. Sitagliptin reduces the urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio in type 2 diabetes through decreasing both blood pressure and estimated glomerular filtration rate 2. J Diabetes. 2015;7(1):41–6.
58. Sakamoto Y, Oyama J, Ikeda H, Kuroki S, Gondo S, Iwamoto T, et al. Effects of sitagliptin beyond glycemic control: focus on quality of life. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2013;12:35.
59. Ogawa S, Ishiki M, Nako K, Okamura M, Senda M, Mori T, et al. Sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, decreases systolic blood pressure in Japanese hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2011;223(2):133–5.
60. Kubota A, Maeda H, Kanamori A, Matoba K, Jin Y, Minagawa F, et al. Pleiotropic effects of sitagliptin in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. J Clin Med Res. 2012;4(5):309–13.
61. Mistry GC, Maes AL, Lasseter KC, Davies MJ, Gottesdiener KM, Wagner JA, et al. Effect of sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, on blood pressure in nondiabetic patients with mild to moderate hypertension. J Clin Pharmacol. 2008;48(5):592–8.
62. Barbieri M, Rizzo MR, Marella R, Boccardi V, Esposito A, Pansini A, et al. Decreased carotid atherosclerotic process by control of daily acute glucose fluctuations in diabetic patients treated by DPP-IV inhibitors. Atherosclerosis. 2013;227(2):349–54.
63. Yanai H, Adachi H, Hamasaki H, Masui Y, Yoshikawa R, Motiyama S, et al. Effects of 6-month sitagliptin treatment on glucose and lipid metabolism, blood pressure, body weight and renal function in type 2 diabetic patients: a chart-based analysis. J Clin Med Res. 2012;4(2):131–8.
64. Monami M, Lamanna C, Desideri GM, Mannucci E. DPP-4 inhibitors and lipids: systematic review and meta-analysis. Adv Ther. 2012;29(11):14–25.
65. Tremblay AJ, Lamarche B, Deacon CF, Weisnagel SJ, Couture P. Effect of sitagliptin therapy on postprandial lipoprotein levels in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2011;13(4):366–73.
66. Xiao C, Dash S, Morgantini C, Patterson BW, Lewis GF. Sitagliptin, a DPP-4 inhibitor, acutely inhibits intestinal lipoprotein particle secretion in healthy humans. Diabetes. 2014;63(7):2394–401.
67. Sauve M, Ban K, Momen MA, Zhou YQ, Henkelman RM, Husain M, et al. Genetic deletion or pharmacological inhibition of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 improves cardiovascular outcomes after myocardial infarction in mice. Diabetes. 2010;59(4):1063–73.  
68. Ye Y, Keyes KT, Zhang C, Perez-Polo JR, Lin Y, Birmbaum Y. The myocardial infarct size-limiting effect of sitagliptin is PKA-dependent, whereas the protective effect of pioglitazone is partially dependent on PKA. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2010;298(5):H1454–65.
69. Huisamen B, Genis A, Marais E, Lochner A. Pre-treatment with a DPP-4 inhibitor is infarct sparing in hearts from obese, pre-diabetic rats. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther. 2011;25(1):13–20.
70. Thiesen HD, Gross L, Vallaster M, David R, Brunner S, Brenner C, et al. Antidiabetic gliptins in combination with G-CSF enhances myocardial function and survival after acute myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol. 2013;168(4):3359–69.
71. Scirica BM, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, Steg PG, Davidson J, Hirshberg B, et al. Saxagliptin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(14):1317–26.
72. White WB, Cannon CP, Heller SR, Nissen SE, Bergenstal RM, Bakris GL, et al. Alogliptin after acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(14):1327–35.
73. Bethel MA, Green JB, Milton J, Tajar A, Engel SS, Califf RM, et al. Regional, age, and sex differences in baseline characteristics of patients enrolled in the Trial Evaluating Cardiovascular Outcomes with Sitagliptin (TECOS). Diabetes Obes Metab. 2015.