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A B S T R A C T

A field experiment was conducted at Crop Research Centre of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.) during Rabi season of 2014-15 and 2015-16. To evaluate the effect of different tillage practices and irrigation scheduling in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under light textured soil of western Uttar Pradesh. Five tillage crop establishment methods, viz. zero tillage (ZT)-T1, reduced tillage (RT)-T2, rotavator tillage (ROT)-T3, furrow irrigated raised bed tillage (FIRB)-T4, conventional tillage (ZT)-T5, were kept in main plots and five irrigation scheduling viz. Irrigation at CRI- I1, CRI+50 mm CPE- I2, CRI+100 mm CPE- I3, CRI+150 mm CPE- I4 and CRI+200 mm CPE- I5 were allotted to sub-plots in a split-plot design and replicated thrice. The result showed that productive tiller’s m-2, number of grain spike-1 and test weight were significantly higher with wheat sown on wide raised beds than all other tillage practices except zero tillage plots. Similarly furrow irrigated raised beds increased the mean grain yield of wheat significantly over rest of the plots and grain yield increased by and 38.4% over I1 and 6.4 % over I4 irrigation schedule. Treatments under zero tillage (T1) had about 3.4% higher average soil bulk density than T4 plots and T4 FIRB also recorded highest infiltration rate; reduced tillage, and FIRB recorded significantly lower soil penetration resistance as compared to zero and rotavator tillage treatments. The maximum gross income was significantly higher in wide raised beds plots. Similarly the highest mean net profit (Rs 45906 ha-1) with mean B: C ratio of 1.55 was recorded with the application of irrigations at CRI+100mm CPE in wheat crop. The results suggest that ZT with irrigations at CRI+100mm CPE and FIRB irrigations at CRI+50mm CPE were optimum and sustainable strategy to achieve higher yield and also to improve water productivity and profitability on light textured soil of western Uttar Pradesh.
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Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), a major cereal crop is being cultivated in the country. The main reasons for its low productivity are poor crop establishment and improper scheduling of irrigation. Amongst the other agronomic practices proper crop establishment practices may considerably increase the production of wheat to some extent. It is also well known fact that water management is one of the major factors responsible for achieving better harvest in crop production. Both crop establishment practices and irrigation schedule are major causes of yield reduction in wheat, which also affect its water productivity and profitability. Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the most widely grown and consumed food crop and is the staple food for 35% of the world population (Rajaram et al., 2007). The irrigated wheat systems contribute over 40% of wheat production in the developing world (Rajaram et al., 2007). To meet the growing wheat demand, the global production need an 1.6 to 2.6% annual growth rate, which can be mainly achieved through improvement in input use efficiency (Rajaram et al., 2007). However, under the current production practices, crop productivity and input use efficiency has decreased/stagnated. In the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP), ground water is being depleted 13 to 17 km$^3$·yr$^{-1}$ (Rodell et al., 2009) coupled with diminishing factor productivity (Ladha et al., 2003), an accelerated growth in crop productivity needs an enhanced resource use efficiency to meet the future wheat demand in the region. The improvement of input use efficiency in wheat cropping systems can be achieved through two main strategies: by adopting precise and more efficient crop management practices (Reynolds et al., 2004).

Tillage plays a key role in changing the hydro-physical properties. Blanco-Canqui and Lal (2007) indicated that water infiltration and runoff are closely related to the physical condition of the upper layer of the soil profile. Shaver et al., (2002) reported that physical properties such as bulk density and porosity near the soil surface are most important for dictating the infiltration characteristics of the soil at the soil-water interface. Naresh et al., (2013) however, found that infiltration was more closely related to pore continuity than to porosity. Field experiments with zero tillage in wheat at several locations in the Indo-Gangetic plains have shown encouraging results (Jat et al., 2005; Saharawat et al., 2010). Farmers have found direct drilling of wheat into post rice systems without tillage feasible and beneficial at several locations. Wheat yields with zero tillage are either equal or even better than those obtained with conventional tillage because of timely planting of wheat, efficient use of fertilizers and weed control. In addition, zero tillage is fuel and energy efficient but also reduces greenhouse gas emissions (Hill et al., 1991). Zero tillage systems conserve the land resource and are cost effective and efficient. Moreover, this tillage system also avoids challenges with clod formation.

Raised bed planting systems has been used since time immemorial by farmers in many parts of the world (Govaerts et al., 2007). Their application has traditionally been associated with water management issues, to reduce the adverse impact of excess water on crop production or to irrigate crops in semi-arid and arid regions (Sayre, 2004) where water productivity is comparatively low. A widely used application of raised beds in many semi-arid and arid areas is to plant crops on the edges of beds or ridges that are formed between furrows that carry irrigation water. Monsefi et al., (2016) found that furrow-irrigated raised-bed planting system (FIRBS) is a form of tillage wherein sowing is done on raised-beds. This optimizes tillage operation, saves water, reduces lodging, and
ensures better fertilizer use. Ladha et al., (2009) found no-tillage and reduced tillage systems were most profitable due to saving of labour, time, water and energy costs. There are several reports showing savings in irrigation water, labour and production costs, and higher net economic returns in no tillage and reduced tillage compared with conventional tillage systems. Thus, proper irrigation strategies are essential for wheat to optimize water use without sacrificing the yield. This study investigated the effects of number and time of irrigation on growth and yield attributes, yield, and water productivity of four tillage crop establishment methods compared with conventional method with an objective of defining an appropriate irrigation schedule matching with particular planting technique.

Proper scheduling of irrigation (amount and timing) to crops is an important component of water saving technologies. There are numerous ways to schedule irrigations and estimate the required depth of water application (Campbell and Campbell, 1982). All irrigation scheduling methods consist of monitoring indicators that determine the need for irrigation. Prihar et al., (1974) suggested a simple approach based on meteorological parameters to schedule irrigation to crops based on the ratio between fixed depth (75 mm) of irrigation water (IW) and net cumulative pan evaporation since previous irrigation (PAN-E minus rainfall). Irrigating wheat using this approach (IW/PAN-E = 0.9) saves 2 irrigation compared to 5-6 irrigations at fixed growth stages without any yield loss (Prihar and Sandhu, 1987). To increase availability of irrigation water there is need to quantify the irrigation water by using improved irrigation method and proper scheduling of irrigation to obtain more yield and economic returns. The objective of this research was to evaluate the effects of irrigation schedules on wheat yield, water productivity, properties of soil and profitability under different sowing methods.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experimental site**

The field experiment was established in 2014 at Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut, U.P., India research farm (29° 04’, N latitude and 77° 42’ ‘E longitude a height of 237 m above mean sea level). The region has a semi-arid sub-tropical climate with an average annual temperature of 16.8°C. The hottest months are May and June, when the maximum temperature reaches 45 to 46°C, whereas, during December and January, the coldest months of the year, the temperature often drops below 5°C. The average annual rainfall is 765 mm, 75 to 80% of which is received through the Northwest monsoon during July to September. The predominant soil at the experimental site is classified as *Typic Ustochrept*. Soil samples for 0–20 cm depth at the site were collected and tested prior to applying treatments and the basic properties were non-saline (EC 0.42 dS m⁻¹) but mild alkaline in reaction (pH 7.98). The soil initially had 4.1 g kg⁻¹ of SOC and 1.29 g kg⁻¹ of total N (TN), 1.23 g kg⁻¹ of total phosphorus, 17.63 g kg⁻¹ of total potassium, 224 mg kg⁻¹ of available N, 4.0 mg kg⁻¹ of available phosphorus, and 97 mg kg⁻¹ of available potassium.

**Treatment details**

A detailed description of crop establishment methods are necessary to compare the influence of land configuration practices on environmental performance (Derpsch et al., 2014). The experiment was laid down in split plot design with three replications, keeping planting techniques as main plot and irrigation scheduling as sub-plots. The
treatments comprised of five main plots; 1) planting techniques viz. zero tillage (ZT)-T₁, reduced tillage (RT)-T₂, rotavator tillage (ROT)-T₃, furrow irrigated raised bed tillage (FIRB)-T₄, conventional tillage (ZT)-T₅, and five sub-main plots were of irrigation scheduling viz. Irrigation at CRI- I₁, CRI + 50 mm CPE- I₂, CRI + 100 mm CPE- I₃, CRI + 150 mm CPE- I₄ and CRI + 200 mm CPE- I₅.

Cultural practices

Zero-till (ZT) system of planting crops with minimum of soil disturbance was performed with Zero-till seed drill. By this equipment, seeds were placed directly into narrow slits 2-4 cm wide and 4-7 cm deep made with a drill fitted with chisel, inverted “T” without land preparation. In reduced tillage (RT) perse still exists, but numbers of preparatory tillage operations were reduced significantly. One ploughing with harrow followed by one round of cultivator was used before sowing with seed drill in rows 20 cm apart. Under rotavator tillage (ROT) soil was disturbed prior to planting by rotavator to prepare the seedbed and wheat was sown in zigzag way using a Roto-till-ferti seed drill. In case of furrow irrigated raised bed tillage (FIRB) soil was tilled by harrowing and ploughings followed by one field levelling with a wooden plank, and raised beds were made using a tractor-drawn multi crop raised bed planter with inclined plate seed metering devices. The dimension of the beds was 40 cm wide (top of the bed) x 12 cm height x 30 cm furrow width (at top) and the spacing from centre of the furrow to another centre of the furrow was kept at 70 cm. Three rows of wheat were sown on each raised bed keeping one row at centre and remaining two at both edges. Following the conventional practice (CT) of two harrowing, three ploughing (using a cultivator) thereafter planking (using a wooden plank) that followed pre-sowing irrigation and wheat was seeded in rows 20 cm apart using a seed drill with a dry-fertilizer attachment.

Fertilizers application and crop management

In order to raise ideal crop recommended dose of N: P: K (150:60:40) was applied in all treatments. The recommended basal dose of N @ 75 kg ha⁻¹, P₂O₅ @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ and K₂O @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ was applied uniformly as a basal dose using seed-cum-fertilizer drill at the time of seeding operation. N: P: K were applied through combination of Urea, DAP and MOP. Rest dose of N in form of urea was applied in two equal split doses at 25 and 55 DAS synchronizing with irrigation application. Wheat PBW-550 was sown as recommended timely sown variety for North-West-Plain Zone @ 100 kg ha⁻¹. For controlling weeds, pre-sowing spray was done manually by knapsack sprayer. Glyphosate a non selective pre-sowing herbicide was applied @ 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ and post emergence selective herbicide Sulfosulfuron 75 % was applied @ 25 a.i. g ha⁻¹+Metasulfuron 10 % @ 4 a.i. g ha⁻¹ used after 25 DAS with 420 l of water during both years of investigation. Harvesting was done manually by improved sickle. The border of individual plot was harvested and separated as a general crop. Thereafter the total biomass production of individual net plot (14.4 m²) was harvested and left in the field for 3 days under sun drying so as to keep the grain moisture level at optimum i.e. 12 %.

Water productivity

Soil moisture content was measured at seeding, before and after irrigation on the top of the ridge and furrow in furrow irrigated raised bed planting system and between the two rows in flat planting. Consumptive use was worked out from the loss in soil moisture, effective rainfall and potential evapotranspiration for 2 days following irrigation.
WUE was calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{WUE} = \frac{Y}{U}
\]

Where: \( Y \) = crop yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)); \( U \) = seasonal consumptive use (cm)

This study was to evaluate the water productivity of wheat. The unit plot size was 26 m\(^2\). Before applying irrigation the moisture content of the soil was measured using oven dry method and the amount of irrigation requirement was calculated. Irrigation schedule was done on CPE data observed on daily basis.

**Soil sampling and analysis**

The bulk density of soil was determined on volume and weight basis. Bulk density of soil was calculated by the following formula and expressed in mega gram per cubic meter (Mg/m\(^3\)) (Piper, 1966). The infiltration rate of water through soil was measured using a double-ring infiltrometer from two spots within each plot. Core index was measured to obtain soil penetration resistance (SPR) in the 0-0.05; 0.05-0.15 and 0.15-0.30 m soil depths. After recording the SPR value, soil samples from the same layer was collected with the help of a tube auger for determining gravimetric moisture content.

**Yield and yield attributes determination**

Yield attributes from shoots bearing spike at the time of harvesting was recorded by using a quadrate of one square meter in each plot. However, in case of FIRB one meter row length was chosen for recording yield attributes. After harvesting, the wheat crop was sun dried and then weight of net plot area harvested was recorded in kg and expressed as q ha\(^{-1}\). The produce from net plot was threshed separately by plot thresher and grain yield was recorded. Straw yield was computed from net area by subtracting the grain yield from the biological yield and later converted into q ha\(^{-1}\).

**Statistical analysis**

All the data recorded during the course of investigation were analyzed by analysis of variance technique (ANOVA) using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, 2001). The comparison of treatment means were made by the least significant difference (LSD) at 5% probability (\(p=0.05\)).

**Results and Discussion**

**Yield attributes and yield performance**

Data on various yields attributing characters viz. productive tiller’s m\(^{-2}\), number of grains spike\(^{-1}\), and test weight, as influenced by tillage-cum-crop establishment methods and different irrigation schedules are presented in (Table 1) revealed that T\(_4\) FIRB tillage crop establishment practices influenced significantly more productive tiller’s followed by ZT>RT>ROT>CT. The number of grains spike\(^{-1}\), and test weight higher with T4 FIRB as compare to remaining treatments. However, they followed closely by ZT thereafter RT>ROT>CT, respectively. Irrigation scheduling had a significant effect on yield attributes. Treatment I\(_1\), which received irrigation only at CRI, had significantly (\(p < 0.05\)) lower productive tillers, fewer grains spike\(^{-1}\) and lower test weight than all the other treatments. The lower count was due to no moisture supply after CRI stage. Treatment I\(_5\) had significantly lower productive tillers m\(^{-2}\), grains ear\(^{-1}\) and test weight than I\(_2\), I\(_3\) and I\(_4\) due to late moisture supply to the crop and a trend for lesser productive tillers, grains spike\(^{-1}\) and test weight (compared to I\(_2\), I\(_3\) with I\(_4\)) but, trend not continued in case of CRI+50 mm (I\(_2\)) compared with CRI+100 mm (I\(_3\)) which were statistically at par (Table 1). Stimulated
vegetative growth of wheat on account of adequate and prolonged supply of water in treatment manifested itself in increased productive tiller’s, number of grains spike$^{-1}$, and test weight (Maurya and singh 2008; Naresh et al., 2013).

Yield components i.e. grain, straw and biological yield of wheat were also influenced by sowing techniques (Table 1). Crop yield under T$_4$ FIRB and T$_1$ ZT were at par and significantly superior over other tillage crop establishment practices. However, T$_2$ RT was significantly superior over remaining treatments. T$_3$ and T$_5$ were at par and lowest yield was obtained under T$_5$ conventional tillage.

The higher grain yield in FIRB was mainly due to higher number of productive tiller’s and number of grains spike$^{-1}$ as compared with zero tillage. Bilalis et al., 2011 and Naresh et al., (2012) reported that the yield per hectare was primarily improved due to more moisture supply, less penetration resistance impedance which responsible for better root development and its beneficial effect on the per plant yield. The grain yield per plant improved with increased moisture supply mainly through improvement number of grains per spike, number of spikelet per spike and test weight.

The significant increase in number of effective tillers, number of grains per spike and test weight in I$_2$ over I$_1$ was observed during the experimentation. The more number of grains per spike were because of significant increase in spike length and number of spikelet per spike with subsequent increase in irrigation from I$_1$ to I$_4$ (Table 1). The harvest index per cent had shown no definite trend. However, treatments under T$_5$ conventional tillage and T$_3$ rotavator tillage practices performed higher values of harvest index.

### Water productivity

Water use efficiency (WUE) was similar to the tune of water applied in all irrigation treatments except I$_3$ and I$_4$ because assimilation and transpiration were affected approximately to the same degree by water stress. Water productivity of applied irrigation (WPI) and WPI+R (rainfall) increased with decrease in irrigation input. Table 2 also showed highest use efficiency under irrigation levels I$_3$ CRI+100 mm and I$_4$ CRI+150 mm. However, WUE was also different for treatments with the same irrigation amount but at different times, because grain production was affected by both the duration and the time of water stress. In less frequently irrigated treatments, a larger proportion of ET was supplied by residual soil moisture and rainfall, and thus WUE was less affected than WP (water productivity). Proper irrigation scheduled can be used to optimize crop yield at a given level of crop ET, leading to more yield per unit of ET. But, higher WP was of no value if associated with unacceptably low yield or unacceptably higher inputs. So it is necessary to schedule irrigations to develop a better and deeper root system to ensure that the crop extracts the maximum residual water from the soil profile, especially in wheat after rice where the subsoil contains a large amount of water.

### Changes in physical properties of soil

#### Bulk density

Among tillage crop establishment methods, plots under zero tillage (T$_1$) had about 3.4% higher average soil bulk density than T$_4$ plots the experimentation (Table 3). Tillage had greater impacts on soil bulk density. Plots under T$_2$ and T$_3$ had $\approx$3% less soil bulk density as compared with T$_1$ treated plots (Table 3). The bulk density did varied significantly due to seeding techniques and it
was significantly reduced under FIRB, reduced tillage and conventional tillage seeding techniques compared to zero tillage seeding. Similar findings were obtained by Jat et al., (2013); Naresh et al., (2013) suggests that wheat sown under no tillage was a viable and sustainable option over CT.

**Infiltration rate**

Among the various sowing techniques treatment T4 FIRB also recorded highest infiltration rate (71.00 mm hr⁻¹) was found significantly superior to all other treatments, except T2 during the experimentation (Table 3). The difference in infiltration rate due to tillage crop establishment treatments proved significant. Treatment T2 was significantly superior to the remaining treatments. T3 was also significant over T1 and T3 treatment. However, T1 recorded lowest infiltration rate (50.00 mm ha⁻¹), respectively. This trend leads to indicate the water saving tendency of ZT seeding technique.

**Cone index (soil penetration resistance)**

The cone index (soil penetration resistance) increased with the decreased moisture supply and depth up to 15 cm and their after a decreasing trend were observed (Table 3). The crop retained more moisture i.e. tillage crop establishment treatments T2 reduced tillage and T4 FIRB recorded significantly lower soil penetration resistance as compared to T1 zero tillage and T3 rotavator tillage treatments. Similar findings were observed by Cavalaris and Gemtos (2002) revealed that cone indices had a general tendency to increase with soil depth regardless of tillage practices.

### Table 1

| Treatments          | Productive tillers (m²) | Grains Spike⁻¹ | Test weight (g) | Grain Yield (q ha⁻¹) | Straw yield (q ha⁻¹) | Harvest Index (%) |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Tillage crop establishment methods                   |                          |                |                 |                      |                     |                   |
| T1 ZT               | 219                     | 54             | 36.15           | 43.55                | 65.35               | 39.96             |
| T2 RT               | 214                     | 53             | 34.98           | 41.49                | 64.58               | 39.21             |
| T3 ROT              | 212                     | 53             | 34.77           | 39.29                | 60.29               | 39.61             |
| T4 FIRB             | 224                     | 55             | 36.41           | 44.57                | 66.40               | 40.26             |
| T5 CT               | 210                     | 53             | 34.66           | 38.98                | 58.96               | 39.94             |
| SE m±               | 2.12                    | 0.7            | 0.39            | 0.27                 | 0.60                | 0.28              |
| CD P = 0.05         | 6.89                    | 2.4            | 1.27            | 0.87                 | 1.95                | 1.03              |
| Irrigation schedule |                          |                |                 |                      |                     |                   |
| I1 CRI              | 184                     | 46             | 32.59           | 34.78                | 52.69               | 39.97             |
| I2 CRI+50 mm        | 233                     | 61             | 38.39           | 48.51                | 74.78               | 39.32             |
| I3 CRI+100 mm       | 230                     | 59             | 37.51           | 46.64                | 70.02               | 39.91             |
| I1 CRI+150 mm       | 222                     | 54             | 35.16           | 41.11                | 6310                | 39.45             |
| I3 CRI+200 mm       | 210                     | 48             | 33.30           | 36.85                | 54.98               | 40.34             |
| SE m±               | 2.96                    | 0.8            | 0.39            | 0.21                 | 0.63                | 0.29              |
| CD P = 0.05         | 8.45                    | 2.2            | 1.11            | 0.60                 | 1.79                | 0.82              |
Table 2: Effect of planting techniques and irrigation pattern on consumptive use (cm) water use efficiency (kg m\(^{-3}\)) and water productivity of soil in Wheat crop

| Treatments                        | Consumptive use (cm) | Water use efficiency (q ha\(^{-1}\)cm) | Water productivity (kg grain m\(^{-3}\)) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| **Tillage crop establishment methods** |                      |                                        |                                        |
| T\(_1\) ZT                       | 18.70                | 2.33                                   | 1.30                                   |
| T\(_2\) RT                       | 19.45                | 2.13                                   | 1.12                                   |
| T\(_3\) ROT                      | 22.50                | 1.75                                   | 0.98                                   |
| T\(_4\) FIRB                     | 19.65                | 2.27                                   | 1.79                                   |
| T\(_5\) CT                       | 23.30                | 1.67                                   | 0.94                                   |
| **Mean**                         | 20.72                | 2.03                                   | 1.22                                   |
| **Irrigation schedule**          |                      |                                        |                                        |
| I\(_1\) CRI                      | 12.55                | 2.80                                   | 2.90                                   |
| I\(_2\) CRI+50 mm                | 26.95                | 1.80                                   | 0.82                                   |
| I\(_3\) CRI+100 mm               | 21.95                | 2.12                                   | 1.00                                   |
| I\(_4\) CRI+150 mm               | 18.00                | 2.28                                   | 1.15                                   |
| I\(_5\) CRI+200 mm               | 14.55                | 2.55                                   | 1.55                                   |
| **Mean**                         | 18.80                | 2.31                                   | 1.48                                   |

Table 3: Effect of tillage crop establishment methods on bulk density of soil under Wheat cultivation

| Treatments | Bulk Density (Mg m\(^{-3}\)) | Soil Penetration Resistance (M pa) | Infiltration Rate (mm hr\(^{-1}\)) |
|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|            | 0-5 cm | 5-10 cm | 10-20 cm | 20-30 cm | 0-5 cm | 5-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-5 cm | 5-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-5 cm | 5-15 cm | 15-30 cm |
| T\(_1\) (ZT) | 1.43  | 1.50    | 1.59    | 1.62    | 1.95  | 3.91    | 3.06    | 50.00  | 67.00  | 71.00    | 50.00  | 67.00  | 71.00    |
| T\(_2\) (RT) | 1.40  | 1.48    | 1.58    | 1.63    | 1.06  | 2.62    | 2.17    | 67.00  | 54.50  | 71.00    | 50.00  | 67.00  | 71.00    |
| T\(_3\) (ROT)| 1.38  | 1.47    | 1.59    | 1.66    | 1.57  | 3.52    | 2.97    | 54.50  | 71.00  | 60.00    | 50.00  | 67.00  | 71.00    |
| T\(_4\) (FIRB)| 1.34  | 1.44    | 1.54    | 1.62    | 1.27  | 3.16    | 3.31    | 71.00  | 60.00  | 60.00    | 50.00  | 67.00  | 71.00    |
| T\(_5\) (CT) | 1.37  | 1.46    | 1.57    | 1.65    | 1.58  | 3.85    | 3.40    | 60.00  | 60.00  | 60.00    | 50.00  | 67.00  | 71.00    |
| SE (m±)     | 0.02  | 0.03    | 0.04    | 0.04    | 0.02  | 0.03    | 0.03    | 2.81   |        |          |        |        |          |
| CD (P = 0.05)| 0.05  | 0.07    | 0.16    | 0.17    | 0.07  | 0.08    | 0.09    | 9.34   |        |          |        |        |          |
Table 4: Effect of crop establishment methods and irrigation scheduling on profitability

| Treatments | Cost of Cultivation (Rs ha⁻¹) | Gross income (Rs ha⁻¹) | Net return (Rs ha⁻¹) | B:C ratio |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| **Tillage crop establishment practices** |                             |                        |                     |           |
| T₁ -ZT     | 28330                         | 64989                  | 36659               | 1.29      |
| T₂ -RT     | 28802                         | 60739                  | 31937               | 1.11      |
| T₃ -ROT    | 29305                         | 57586                  | 28281               | 0.96      |
| T₄ -FIRB   | 30637                         | 67080                  | 36443               | 1.19      |
| T₅ -CT     | 32115                         | 56696                  | 24581               | 0.76      |
| **Irrigation schedule** |                             |                        |                     |           |
| I₁ -CRI    | 28344                         | 41286                  | 12942               | 0.46      |
| I₂ -CRI+50mm CPE | 33393                   | 77961                  | 44569               | 1.33      |
| I₃ -CRI+100mm CPE | 29605                  | 75511                  | 45906               | 1.55      |
| I₄ -CRI+150mm CPE | 29238                  | 62731                  | 33493               | 1.14      |
| I₅ -CRI+200mm CPE | 28612                  | 49573                  | 20962               | 0.73      |

Treatments T₁ zero tillage wheat under (ZT-DSR and ZT wheat system) had significantly higher bulk density as well as soil penetration resistance in the 0–5 and 5–10cm soil profile as compared to conventional tillage systems (rice under conventional puddled and wheat by conventional tillage practice). However, under 10–15 and 15–20-cm soil layers bulk density and soil penetration resistance were higher in T₅ conventional-tillage as compared to treatment T₁ zero tillage sown wheat (Jat et al., 2009). The linear trend line was much steeper for no-tillage than conventional tillage, meaning that CI of no-till soil increased more rapidly with depth (0-200 mm) than that of conventionally tilled soil. These results are in conformity with those reported earlier by Yang et al., (1999), Jat et al., (2009) and Govaerts et al., (2009).

Profitability

It was observed that sowing of wheat under FIRB was more beneficial than CT for improving productivity and profitability (pooled data of 2014-15 and 2015-16 in table 4). ZT and RT stands closely to FIRB in terms of B: C ratio. Similarly, though CRI+50 mm recorded maximum gross income whereas, CRI+100 mm result in higher net return as well as B: C ratio. Irrigation scheduled at I₄ CRI+150 mm was considered as viable option where irrigation water is a scarce resource. However, I₁ and I₅ could not shown beneficial result for wheat cultivation. Moreover, wheat was grown successfully under ZT condition as the productivity was nearly similar but, profitability was highest compared with other planting techniques. Although FIRB resulted in higher cost, this practice was particularly beneficial under intensive cropping system and might result in increasing food security. Among the irrigation practices, the maximum mean gross income (Rs. 77961ha⁻¹), and net income (Rs.45906ha⁻¹) and benefit: cost ratio (1.55) was recorded with irrigation levels I₂ followed I₃ during experimentation. This might be due to higher productivity of the wheat crop. These results are in conformity with the findings of Gathala et al., 2011; Jat et al., 2013; and Naresh et al., 2015.

In conclusion the study indicated an improvement in yield attributes and yield of wheat under FIRBS as compared to other
method of planting. In case of irrigation schedules, irrigation at \( I_2 \) CR1+50mm CPE (five irrigations) and under ZT seeding system and irrigation at \( I_3 \) CR1+100mm CPE (three irrigations) gave higher yield as compared to other irrigation schedules in subtropical climatic conditions of northern India i.e. western Uttar Pradesh condition. The same reason could be ascribed to this as well.
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