High tumour islet macrophage infiltration correlates with improved patient survival but not with EGFR mutations, gene copy number or protein expression in resected non-small cell lung cancer
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The purpose of this study was to investigate the prognostic value of tumour-associated macrophages with a focus on micro-anatomical localisation and determine whether molecular changes of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) are related to macrophage infiltration in resected non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). One hundred and forty-four patients were included in this study. Immunohistochemistry was used to identify CD68+ macrophages in the tumour islet and surrounding stroma. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations were studied by direct sequencing. The EGFR gene copy number and protein expression were analysed by fluorescence in situ hybridisation and immunohistochemistry. Patients with a high tumour islet macrophage density survived longer than did the patient with a low tumour islet macrophage density (5-year overall survival rate was 63.9 vs 38.9%, P = 0.0002). A multivariate Cox proportional hazard analysis revealed that the tumour islet macrophage count was an independent prognostic factor for survival (hazard ratio 0.471, 95% confidence interval 0.300–0.740). However, EGFR mutations, gene copy number, and protein expression were not related to the macrophage infiltration. In conclusion, tumour islet macrophage infiltration was identified as a strong favourable independent prognostic marker for survival but not correlated with the molecular changes of the EGFR in patients with resected NSCLC.
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It is well known that macrophages infiltrate into tumour tissue (Svennevig and Svaar, 1979). Generally, macrophages were thought to have a tumour cell killing function through secretion of cytotoxic molecules such as tumour necrosis factor-α (Bonta and Ben-Efraim, 1993) and nitrogen oxide (Cui et al, 1994). However, several experimental findings have supported a role for macrophages in enhancing tumour progression through production of growth and angiogenic factors including the epidermal growth factor, transforming growth factor-β, and vascular endothelial growth factor (Xiong et al, 1998; Leek and Harris, 2002).

The studies with clinical samples to date also have shown contradictory results. Some studies have shown that macrophage infiltration was associated with a poor prognosis in breast (Leek et al, 1996), prostate (Lissbrant et al, 2000), and bladder cancer (Hanada et al, 2000). However, other studies have shown that macrophage infiltration was associated with good prognosis in prostate (Shimura et al, 2000) and colon cancer (Khorana et al, 2003).

For the prediction of prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients, the results have also been contradictory. Two studies showed that macrophage infiltration was associated with a poor prognosis (Takanami et al, 1999; Chen et al, 2003), whereas two other studies showed that macrophage infiltration was not related with prognosis (Johnson et al, 2000; Toomey et al, 2003). Recently, Welsh et al (2005) reported the result of an immuno-histochemical study with a focus on the micro-anatomical localisation of macrophages. They showed that the presence of tumour islet macrophages was related with a good prognosis, whereas stromal macrophage infiltration was associated with a poor prognosis in patients with NSCLC. These findings are consistent with the suggested dual function of macrophages identified in prior experimental studies. In addition, clinical studies on gastric, and colon cancer, which analysed macrophage infiltration with a focus on micro-anatomical localisation, reported
that tumour islet or tumour-front infiltrating macrophages were associated with a good prognosis (Ohno et al., 2003; Forsell et al., 2007).

Because of the contradictory findings especially with NSCLC, our goal was to clarify the function of macrophages with regard to their micro-anatomical localisation in patients with NSCLC. Besides, to date, it is unclear which factors are important for macrophage infiltration. The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) plays a key role in tumour growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis in NSCLC. In a study on breast cancer specimens, it was reported that macrophage infiltration was associated with EGFR protein expression (Leek et al., 2000). In addition, there was experimental evidence that both macrophages and tumour cells were necessary and sufficient for chemotaxis and invasion by a colony-stimulation factor-1/EGFR paracrine loop (Goswami et al., 2005). Based on these findings, we investigated whether the macrophage infiltration profile, in NSCLC, was associated with EGFR mutations, gene copy number or protein expression.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the prognostic value of tumour-associated macrophages with a focus on the micro-anatomical localisation and to determine whether molecular changes in EGFR are associated with macrophage infiltration in patients with resected NSCLC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical samples

Consecutive patients who underwent surgery including lobectomy, bilobectomy or pneumonectomy for NSCLC between January 1997 and December 1998 at Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea and with adequate samples for analyses were included in this study. Patients with squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, adenosquamous cell carcinoma, and large cell carcinoma were eligible, but small cell lung cancer, sarcomas, and carcinoid tumours were excluded. A thorough medical record review for data including clinical and pathological characteristics such as age, gender, smoking history, histological subtypes, pathological stage, recurrence of NSCLC, and survival information was carried out. Patients who had smoked more than 100 cigarettes during their lives were defined as smokers.

This study was conducted in compliance with a study protocol that was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital.

Immunohistochemistry for CD68 + macrophages

The specimens for immunohistochemical analysis were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded. We used blocks containing only the advancing edge of the primary tumour. One 4 μm section from each patient was cut onto glass slides. Briefly, the slides were dewaxed, rehydrated, and subjected to microwave retrieval in a Tris/EDTA buffer (pH 9.0); they were then immersed in 3% H2O2. Anti-CD68 antibody (M0876, Dako, Denmark; 1 : 100) was used and immunoexpression was detected using a peroxidase-labelled streptavidin–biotin complex according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Meyer’s hematoxylin counterstaining was performed on the histology slides.

Evaluation of macrophage infiltration

The number of CD68 + macrophages was analysed as previously described (Welsh et al., 2005). Briefly, the five most representative high-power fields (× 400) per slide were selected using an Olympus BX51TRF microscope (Olympus, Japan). The area of the tumour islet and surrounding stroma were measured using LEICA IM50 image analysis software (Version 4.0, LEICA Microsystems Imaging Solutions, Cambridge, UK). The number of CD68 + nucleated cells was counted manually and expressed as cells mm−2. For further analysis, the data were divided into two groups with values above the median or below. The median was chosen as a cutoff value because the median was the best fitting cutoff when we compared with different cutoffs including the mean or the presence/absence of countable macrophages. To evaluate the validity of the analysis, the area measurement and counting were repeated 3 weeks later with 20 analyses. All analyses were performed blind with respect to the clinical outcomes.

DNA sequencing for detection of EGFR mutations

Mutation analysis of EGFR exons 18, 19 and 21 was performed as previously described (Han et al., 2005). The frequency of EGFR mutations and their correlation with survival in this study subjects was previously described in part (Lee et al., 2007). Briefly, DNA was extracted from five 5-μm paraffin sections, containing a representative portion of each tumour block, using QIAamp DNA Mini kits (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). One hundred nanograms (ng) of DNA were amplified in a 20 μl reaction solution containing 2 μl of 10 × buffer (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), 1.5 μl of MgCl2, 0.3 μM of each complementary primer, 250 μM of deoxynucleoside triphosphate, and 2.5 units of DNA polymerase (Roche). Amplifications were performed using a 5 min initial denaturation at 94°C; followed by 30 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C; and a 10 min final extension at 72°C. Polymerase chain reaction products were purified using a QIAgen gel extraction kit (Qiagen) and DNA sequenced using an ABI-PRISM BigDye Terminator v3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA, USA) with both forward and reverse sequence-specific primers. Sequence data were generated using an ABI PRISM 3100 DNA Analyser (Applied Biosystems), and sequences were analysed using Sequencer 3.1.1 software (Applied Biosystems) to compare variations.

Tissue microarray construction

For evaluation of the EGFR gene copy number and protein expression, we constructed a tissue microarray. Slides of tumour samples stained with hematoxylin and eosin were reviewed by two pathologists independently (HSM and DHC) and representative areas were marked. Core tissue biopsy specimens (2 mm in diameter) in duplicate were obtained from individual paraffin-embedded samples (donor blocks) and arranged in a new recipient paraffin block (tissue array block) using a trephine apparatus (SuperBioChips, Seoul, Korea). Serial sections from the tissue microarray block were analysed for EGFR gene copy number and protein expression.

Fluorescence in situ hybridisation

The EGFR gene copy number was analysed using fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH). A dual-probe hybridisation was performed on 3-μm-thick sections from tissue microarray blocks using the LSI EGFR SpectrumOrange/CEN7 SpectrumGreen probe set (Vysis, IL, USA) as described elsewhere (Cappuzzo et al., 2005). Fluorescence in situ hybridisation signals for each locus-specific FISH probe were assessed using an Olympus BX51TRF microscope (Olympus, Japan) equipped with a triple-pass filter (DAPI/Green/Orange; Vysis, IL, USA). The entire area of the tissue microarray core was evaluated in each case, and as many nonoverlapping nuclei as possible were assessed for an orange colour (marker) and green (reference) signals by a single pathologist (HSM) blinded to any information about the patients. The EGFR gene copy number was classified into six categories as described previously (Cappuzzo et al., 2005): (1) disomy (≤ 2 copies in >90% of cells); (2) low trisomy (≤ 2 copies in ≥ 40% of cells, three copies in 10–40% of the cells, ≥ 4 copies in < 10% of cells); (3) high trisomy

© 2008 Cancer Research UK

British Journal of Cancer (2008) 98(6), 1118–1124
Immunohistochemistry for EGFR protein expression
Epidermal growth factor receptor protein expression was evaluated by immunohistochemistry (IHC) on 4-μm-thick sections from tissue microarray blocks using mouse anti-human EGFR, clone 31G7 monoclonal antibody (Zymed laboratories, CA, USA) was used with the labelled streptavidin-biotin complex staining method (LSAB kit, DAKO, CA, USA). Antigen retrieval was achieved by proteinase K digestion for 10 min, and the primary antibody was applied at a dilution of 1:50. Two trained pathologists (HSM and DHC), without knowledge of patient clinical data, scored the tumour staining. Each cell was scored as 0, 1, 2, or 3, which corresponded to negative, weak, moderate and strong staining intensities. The percent stained cells were determined and a final score was calculated as a product of the staining intensities (0–3) and their distributions (0–100%). The H-scores ranged from 0 to 300. For statistical analysis, patients were classified into two groups, EGFR-IHC positive (H-score; 151–300) or EGFR–FISH negative (disomy, low trisomy, high trisomy, and low polysomy).

Statistical analysis
The statistical analyses of categorical variables were done using the Pearson’s χ² test or Fisher’s exact test where appropriate. The Spearman’s rank correlation method was used to test for correlations between paired variables. The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was used to estimate the overall survival (Kaplan and Meier, 1958), and comparisons between groups were done with the log-rank test. Multivariate logistic regression was carried out using a stepwise Cox regression model for overall survival to identify independent variables and to adjust for baseline characteristics. Two-sided P < 0.05 was considered significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS Version 12.0 for Windows software package (SPSS Inc., IL, USA).

RESULTS
Patient characteristics
There were 144 patients included in this study; their clinical and pathological characteristics are summarised in Table 1. The mean patient age was 60.4 years (± 8.9) and 74 percent of patients were male. Fifty-five percent of patients had stage I disease, 17 percent stage II, 29 percent stage IIIA, and 9 percent stage IIIB or IV. Squamous cell carcinoma histology was present in 51 percent of patients. Complete resection was performed in 94 percent of patients. Twenty percent of patients received adjuvant treatments including radiation (17%) and chemotherapy (3%). The overall 5-year survival rate was 51%.

CD68+ macrophage expression
IHC demonstrated CD68+ macrophages clearly as dark brown-stained nucleated cells in both the tumour islet and stroma (Figure 1). The median number of macrophages in the tumour islet was 28 cells mm⁻³ (range, 0–1218). When we repeated the macrophage count in 20 cases to test for validity of the initial counts, good correlations were found for both the tumour islet (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient 0.962, P < 0.0001) and the stroma (correlation coefficient 0.962, P < 0.0001).

EGFR mutation, gene copy number, and protein expression
Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations were found in 29 patients (20.1%), including one patient with exon 18 (E709V) mutations, 23 with exon 19 (deletion) mutations, and six with exon 21 (L858R) mutations. One patient had exon 18 and 21 mutations simultaneously. Twenty-six (44%) of the adenocarcinoma patients had EGFR mutations, whereas only three (3.5%) nonadenocarcinoma patients had EGFR mutations. Only one patient received palliative gefitinib treatment after disease recurrence and had a partial response: this patient had a below median number of tumour islet macrophages.

Twenty-seven (19%) patients had EGFR – FISH positive tumours and 31 (22%) had EGFR-IHC positive tumours. The frequency of EGFR–FISH positive cases was higher in EGFR mutation positive (P = 0.008) or EGFR–IHC positive tumours (P < 0.0001). However, a correlation between the EGFR mutation frequency and the EGFR–IHC status was not significant (P = 0.110).

Correlation of macrophage infiltration with clinical and pathological variables and EGFR status
An analysis of a correlation between the tumour islet or stromal macrophage counts and other clinical and pathological variables
revealed no significant associations. The EGFR mutations, gene copy number, and protein expression were not associated with the number of macrophages (Table 2).

**Survival analysis according to the macrophage counts**

Patients with high tumour islet macrophage density survived longer compared to the patients with low tumour islet macrophage density group (5-year overall survival rate was 63.9%, for high tumour islet macrophage vs 38.9% for low tumour islet macrophage, \( P = 0.0002 \), Figure 2A). The stromal macrophage counts were not found to be significantly associated with survival (\( P = 0.6306 \), Figure 2B). However, when we analysed survival according to both the tumour islet and the stromal macrophage count, high stromal macrophage counts were associated with poor survival within high or low tumour islet macrophage group (\( P = 0.0011 \), Figure 3A). The total (tumour islet plus stromal) macrophage count was not significantly correlated with survival (\( P = 0.7521 \), Figure 3B). When we divided the patient groups into adenocarcinoma and non-adenocarcinoma cases, the tumour islet macrophage count was a significant prognostic factor for both patient groups (\( P = 0.0217, 0.0033 \), respectively).

**Survival analysis according to the clinical and pathological variables**

Among the clinical and pathological variables, the TNM stage, and complete resection status were significant prognostic factors (\( P < 0.0001 \) and \( P = 0.0118 \), respectively, Figure 4A and B). However, the histology group (adenocarcinoma vs nonadenocarcinoma), gender (male vs female), age (<60 years vs \( \geq 61 \) years), and smoking status (smoker vs nonsmoker) were not significantly associated with survival. In addition, EGFR mutations, gene copy number, and protein expression showed no significant correlation with survival.

**Multivariate analysis for independent prognostic factor**

The multivariate Cox proportional hazard analysis, with the variables significant on the univariate analysis (tumour islet macrophage counts, TNM stage group, and complete resection status), revealed that the tumour islet macrophage count was an independent favourable prognostic factor (hazard ratio 0.471, 95%

---

**Table 2** Association of macrophage counts with clinicopathologic and EGFR status

| Characteristics              | Tumour islet macrophage | Stromal macrophage |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
|                             | < Median | Median | P   | < Median | Median | P   |
| Total patients (N=144)      | 72       | 72     |     | 72       | 72     |     |
| Sex                         |           |        |     |           |        |     |
| Male                        | 51        | 55     | 0.285 | 48       | 58     | 0.088 |
| Female                      | 21        | 17     | 0.24  | 24       | 14     | 0.14  |
| Age                         |           |        |     |           |        |     |
| <60                         | 31        | 38     | 0.158 | 39       | 30     | 0.182 |
| >60                         | 41        | 34     | 0.33  | 33       | 42     | 0.45  |
| Histologic type             |           |        |     |           |        |     |
| Adenocarcinoma              | 34        | 25     | 0.175 | 32       | 27     | 0.498 |
| Nonadenocarcinoma           | 38        | 47     | 0.007 | 40       | 45     | 0.007 |
| TNM stage                   |           |        |     |           |        |     |
| Stage I                     | 35        | 44     | 0.264 | 37       | 42     | 0.685 |
| Stage II                    | 13        | 12     | 0.12  | 13       | 12     | 0.12  |
| Stage III/IV                | 24        | 16     | 0.22  | 22       | 18     | 0.34  |
| Resection                   |           |        |     |           |        |     |
| Complete                    | 65        | 71     | 0.063 | 69       | 67     | 0.719 |
| Incomplete                  | 7         | 1      | 0.35  | 3        | 5      | 0.71  |
| Smoking status              |           |        |     |           |        |     |
| Nonsmoker                   | 22        | 24     | 0.858 | 25       | 21     | 0.592 |
| Smoker                      | 50        | 48     | 0.47  | 47       | 51     | 0.617 |
| EGFR mutation               |           |        |     |           |        |     |
| Absent                      | 56        | 59     | 0.678 | 57       | 58     | 1.000 |
| Present                     | 16        | 13     | 0.15  | 15       | 14     | 0.35  |
| EGFR FISH                   |           |        |     |           |        |     |
| Negative                    | 50        | 57     | 0.410 | 51       | 56     | 0.617 |
| Positive                    | 16        | 11     | 0.15  | 15       | 12     | 0.35  |
| No data                     | 6         | 4      | 0.64  | 6        | 4      | 0.64  |
| EGFR IHC                    |           |        |     |           |        |     |
| Negative                    | 55        | 55     | 0.833 | 57       | 53     | 0.681 |
| Positive                    | 15        | 16     | 0.17  | 14       | 17     | 0.17  |
| No data                     | 2         | 1      | 1     | 1        | 2      | 1     |

Abbreviations: EGFR = epidermal growth factor receptor; FISH = fluorescence in situ hybridization; IHC = immunohistochemistry.
confidence interval 0.300–0.740, \( P = 0.001 \)). In addition, the TNM stage was also an independent prognostic factor (\( P < 0.0001 \)); however, the complete resection status was not (Table 3). The multivariate analysis that incorporated additional covariates including age, gender, smoking status, and stromal macrophage count also showed that the tumour islet macrophage count was an independent favourable prognostic factor (hazard ratio 0.401, \( P < 0.0001 \)).
on cell-to-cell contact (Forsell et al., 2007). To improve our knowledge of immunohistochemical findings on clinical samples, future functional studies with tumour-associated macrophages are needed.

In an effort to discern the molecular factors that influence macrophage distribution, we tested the relationship between the EGFR mutations, gene copy number, and protein expression with macrophage counts. However, we could not demonstrate any correlation between the EGFR variables studied and macrophage distribution. Preclinical evidence has suggested that EGFR mutations might be related to macrophage migration (Goswami et al., 2005). However, based on our study results, EGFR mutations, gene copy number, and protein expression does not appear to be associated with the distribution of tumour-associated macrophages.

The result of this study suggested that the tumour islet macrophage count is an important clinical determinant of patient prognosis after surgical resection of NSCLC, independent of the TNM stage. Therefore, decisions with regard to adjuvant chemotherapy, especially in the early stage of disease, should include tumour islet macrophage counts. However, for this approach to be used in the clinical setting, the standardisation of a counting method and a clinically practical cutoff value other than a median number should be established.

In conclusion, tumour islet macrophage infiltration was identified as a strong favourable independent prognostic marker for survival in patients with resected NSCLC. However, EGFR mutations, gene copy number, and protein expression did not correlate with macrophage infiltration in our patient group. Future functional studies with tumour-associated macrophages and clinical studies evaluating tumour islet macrophage counts are warranted.
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