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Abstract
Objectives. Latinos are disproportionately vulnerable to severe COVID-19 due to workplace exposure, multigenerational households, and existing health disparities. Rolling out COVID-19 vaccines among vulnerable Latinos is critical to address disparities. This study explores vaccine perceptions of Latino families to inform culturally centered strategies for vaccine dissemination. Method. Semistructured telephone interviews with Latino families (22 mothers and 24 youth, 13–18 years old) explored COVID-19 vaccine perceptions including (1) sources of information, (2) trust of vaccine effectiveness and willingness to get vaccinated, and (3) access to the vaccine distribution. We identified thematic patterns using immersion–crystallization. Results. We found that (1) 41% expressed optimism and willingness to receive the vaccine coupled with concerns about side effects; (2) 45% expressed hesitancy or would refuse vaccination based on mistrust, myths, fear of being used as “guinea pigs,” and the perceived role of politics in vaccine development; (3) families “digested” information gathered from social media, the news, and radio through intergenerational communication; and (4) participants called for community-led advocacy and “leading by example” to dispel fear and misinformation. Optimistic participants saw the vaccine as a way to protect their families, allowing youth to return to schools and providing safer conditions for frontline essential workers. Conclusions. Culturally centered vaccine promotion campaigns may consider the Latino family unit as their target audience by providing information that can be discussed among parents and youth, engaging a range of health providers and advocates that includes traditional practitioners and community health workers, and disseminating information at key venues, such as schools, churches, and supermarkets.
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The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated health disparities among Latinos and further restricted access to basic needs, including work, education, food, and housing (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2020). Latinos represent 13.4% of the population of Oregon, but they account for 27% of COVID-19 infections (Oregon Health Authority, 2021b; U.S. Census Bureau, 2020), and bear a higher prevalence of underlying conditions, such as type 2 diabetes and obesity, that place them at a higher risk for severe COVID-19 disease (Baquero et al., 2020; Davis et al., 2017; Poulson et al., 2021). Latino families with larger, multigenerational households are more vulnerable to infection, especially when family members are exposed to the virus as frontline essential workers in the food industry, factories, construction, and other essential services (Baquero et al., 2020; CDC, 2020; Dooling et al., 2021; Hall et al., 2019; Stokes & Patterson, 2020). Although they are disproportionately vulnerable, Latinos “account for only 5% of the vaccinations administered” as of April 9, 2021 (Oregon Health Authority, 2021a, p. 30).
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These biological, social, and structural determinants of COVID-19 health disparities resulted in policy conversations about how to make the national response accessible to and culturally appropriate for people of color (POC), including Latino communities. According to the CDC, “reports of high incidence and outbreaks within multiple critical infrastructure sectors illustrate the COVID-19 risk in these populations and the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on workers who belong to racial and ethnic minority groups” (Dooling et al., 2021, p. 1658). As the CDC and state health departments strategize to distribute authorized COVID-19 vaccines, engaging vulnerable Latino communities in vaccine uptake requires accurate, trustworthy, and culturally resonant communication (CDC Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, 2020a, 2020b).

Mistrust and fear of institutions challenge the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines among Latinos (Ojikutu et al., 2021). Historical experiences with structural discrimination may drive Latino communities to believe that the medical system will deny them quality health care. Among Latino Oregonians, medical mistrust and perceived discrimination were associated with dissatisfaction with the health care system (López-Cevallos et al., 2014; Oakley et al., 2019). Immigration laws and “public charge” policies may discourage recent migrants from seeking the health and social services they need (Lee et al., 2020). Effective community engagement and dissemination strategies are necessary to dispel mistrust.

This study explored vaccine perceptions among Latino mothers and youth to inform culturally centered strategies that engage the Latino family as a unit for disseminating accurate and culturally appropriate information about vaccines. This approach was guided by familismo—a concept used in health promotion to describe the family’s centrality in defining obligation, providing social support, and making decisions (Sabogal et al., 1987). Research among Latinos on hepatitis C treatment (Davila et al., 2011), adolescent mental health (Piña-Watson et al., 2013), and breast cancer treatment (Sheppard et al., 2008) indicates the effectiveness of promoting health seeking as a way to protect the family. In Davila et al. (2011, p. e70), participants emphasized “commitment, loyalty, and obligation to family . . . as the underlying principles” guiding “health-related decision making and subsequent health behaviors.”

Emphasizing the vaccine as a way to protect the family’s well-being may effectively tap into collectivist social values (Smith-Morris et al., 2013). Dissemination campaigns may strongly consider the role of parent–youth communication and messaging that upholds the value of the family. We describe perceptions driving hesitancy for emerging COVID-19 vaccines among families and discuss family-centered strategies for dissemination.

**Method**

From July 2020 through January 2021, we conducted community-engaged research (Comfort et al., 2018) to address social isolation among Latino youth participating in 4-H guided by a 45-person community advisory board (CAB) of Latino youth, parents, and health providers/educators. As part of this study, we conducted multimethod telephone interviews with Latino youth and parents, which included questions about their willingness to receive COVID-19 vaccines. Perspectives about vaccination were collected after emergency use authorization was granted for Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna vaccines. Table 1 describes interview domains, sequencing, and timeline. The procedures used in this study were approved by the institutional review board at Oregon State University.

**Participants**

We recruited a community-driven convenience sample (Valerio et al., 2016) of Latino families by contacting parents through Oregon State University Extension and 4-H listservs. Flyers in English and Spanish mentioned the inclusion criteria. For youth, the criteria were to be 13 years of age and older, in Grades 9 to 12, self-identified as Latinx/Latino/Hispanic, and to be able to speak, read, and understand English. For parents, the criteria were to be parents of self-identified Latinx/Latino/Hispanic youth and to be able to speak, read, and understand either Spanish or English. In total, we distributed invitations to 140 parents, and 39 expressed interest in participating: 12 were ineligible; five decided not to participate; and 22 agreed to participate with their children; two parents enrolled two of their children (for a total of 24 children enrolled). Before they provided verbal consent and enrolled, we emailed parents the consent form, verbally explained the document, and assessed their understanding of the study. Children were invited and verbally assented to participate after their parents consented. Parents received a $100 Amazon gift certificate as compensation for their time after the parent and child had completed their interviews.

**Procedures**

We conducted 90-minute semistructured telephone interviews with 22 mothers; 60-minute semistructured interviews with
Table 1. Sequence of Multimethod Community-Engaged Approach.

| Instrument | Domain/questions | Participants | Timeline |
|------------|------------------|--------------|----------|
| Community Advisory Board (CAB) member consultation | Discuss instrument about responses to COVID-19 pandemic. Consultation on interview domains, format, interpretation, and analysis. | CAB of Latino youth, parents, and health providers/educators | July 2020–January 2021 |
| Multimethods telephone interview | Part 1: Coronavirus Health Impact Survey (Merikangas et al., 2020) | 22 mothers | August–December 2020 |
| | Part 2: Open-ended qualitative interview | 19 interviewed in Spanish and three in English | December 2020–January 2021 (after Moderna and Pfizer-BioNTech vaccines received emergency use authorization) |
| | Experiences with social isolation, family communication about health and COVID-19; self-esteem, allyship, community and civic engagement; and strategies to cope with COVID-19 | 24 youth | December 2020–January 2021 |

Participants: 24 youth; and a follow-up conversation with mothers about vaccination perspectives (see Table 1). All closed- and open-ended responses were typed verbatim into Qualtrics during telephone interviews by the study coordinator (NV), a Latinx, young public health professional (State COVID-19 Emergency Line Specialist and community vaccine advisor) with close ties to several Latino community organizations that serve farmworkers and migrants in Oregon.

Data Analysis

We used immersion–crystallization to identify salient patterns in the data (Borkan, 1999). Data organization and coding were assisted by Dedoose software. We began this focused analysis on perceptions of emerging COVID-19 vaccines by structurally coding by interview questions and extracting responses about vaccines and related probes from interview transcripts. Next, the co–first authors (JG and NV) generated and agreed on a list of emergent subcodes, including the following: (1) vaccine knowledge, (2) positive perceptions, (3) mistrust/desconfianza/myths, (4) side effects/risk, (5) sources of information, (6) “feeling like the experiment”/“guinea pigs,” (7) proposed alternative, (8) prioritizing POC, (9) access, and (10) proposed solutions to promote vaccination. Analysis was grouped by type of participant (i.e., mothers and youth) rather than dyadically in tandem. Codes were applied by one coder (JG) to the data collected from each group. Next, we engaged in several cycles of individual review of code reports, created analytic memos for each group by thematic code to explore within-group patterns, and held team meetings to compare these patterns across both groups. CAB members were engaged in interpreting results and as coauthors, contributing to the trustworthiness of our approach. We contextualized quotes by including age, occupation, and household size (HS).

Results

All participating families were Mexican or multiethnic Mexican. The mean HS was 5.23 (SD = 1.72), and 59% lived with frontline essential workers. Table 2 describes sociodemographic characteristics to contextualize our sample.

Through immersion–crystallization, our data were distilled into four main themes: (1) tempered optimism for vaccines to protect family well-being, (2) vaccine hesitancy rooted in mistrust of medical and political institutions, (3) intergenerational communication and informational support, and (4) meaningful community engagement to convey trustworthy information.

Tempered Optimism for Vaccines as a Way to Protect Family Well-Being

Several participants perceived vaccines as “a really good option” that will “help save people;” to “stop all of these
Hesitancy Rooted in Mistrust

Vaccine hesitancy was rooted in an interrelated lack of information about side effects and mistrust for the medical establishment. Several mothers expressed these concerns:

They tell me to try it [vaccine] out, and if I die? In terms of the vaccine, I wouldn’t take it. I don’t trust it (desconfio). I need to read the information in terms of how it will help us, and the side effects. I would decide after. (39 years old, farmworker, HS 7)

I think there are consequences where some people will get bad side effects . . . We would probably wait before taking them . . . I’m a little worried, I think I need to make sure they are 100% safe. Like with flu shots, they gave me the vaccine, and I got the flu even worse. (45 years old, waitress, HS 5)

I am not very convinced. There is not enough information that is valid . . . they need to be honest about the side effects. Don’t lie to us. (47 years old, farmworker, HS 5)

As shown in Table 3, 31.8% (7) did not trust doctors and 13.6% (3) named fear of encountering law enforcement/immigration as barriers to accessing health care. Several mothers worried that public health efforts to prioritize vaccination among Latino communities would be using them as “the guinea pigs (Conejillos de Indias).”

If Oregon gives vaccines to Latino people, I think it’s good, but the people will think, “I have heard that we are the experiment, guinea pigs (Conejillos de Indias).” (48 years old, housekeeper, HS 6)

We always get the flu vaccination. I don’t want to be the first one to get the COVID vaccination, but I will eventually get it for me and my son. I don’t want to be an experiment. (50 years old, agricultural worker, HS 2)

A 54-year-old mother was “worried” because she believed that vaccines “didn’t go through an extensive approval process. I didn’t hear about them testing on animals or humans; I think we are the first ones.” This reminded her of when she was a young girl:

The [Mexican] government sent Mazapanes [sweets] to give to kids. The kids were worried because the adults said, “don’t eat the Mazapanes because they want to sterilize the people”—that the government wanted people to have fewer kids to address poverty. We believed it because there was a lot of propaganda; our families were big, like 8–10 kids, and no help at all for the economic situation. (Cleans offices, HS 5)

This fear that vaccines would lead to sterilization was echoed by other participants. Another mother relates mistrust of the COVID-19 vaccine to “myths” about sterilization that she heard when the HPV vaccine was released.

For the HPV vaccine, when they started, they said girls wouldn’t have babies anymore; these are myths, things that people get stuck in their heads. (48 years old, housekeeper, HS 6)

Fears of sterilization may need to be addressed among Latino migrants who carry this historical trauma from their experiences with population control in Latin America.
Table 2. Sample Characteristics of 22 Latino Families in Oregon, 2020–2021.

| Characteristic                                      | n (%) or M (SD) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Mother age (years), a M (SD)                        | 43.68 (4.63)    |
| Mother marital status, a n (%)                      |                 |
| Married and living with spouse                      | 16 (72.73)      |
| Divorced                                            | 3 (13.64)       |
| Single                                              | 3 (13.64)       |
| Mother education level, a n (%)                     |                 |
| Elementary school                                   | 2 (9.09)        |
| GED (General Educational Development)               | 3 (13.64)       |
| Some high school                                    | 6 (27.27)       |
| High school graduate                                | 4 (18.18)       |
| Some college                                        | 2 (9.09)        |
| Technical/vocational training                       | 1 (4.55)        |
| 4-year degree                                       | 3 (13.63)       |
| Graduate degree                                     | 2 (9.09)        |
| Youth age (years), b M (SD)                         | 15.92 (1.18)    |
| Youth grade, b n (%)                                |                 |
| Grade 9                                             | 5 (20.83)       |
| Grade 10                                            | 6 (25)          |
| Grade 11                                            | 9 (37.5)        |
| Grade 12                                            | 4 (16.67)       |
| Youth gender identity, b n (%)                      |                 |
| Woman                                               | 12 (50)         |
| Man                                                 | 7 (29.17)       |
| Nonbinary or trans or nonconforming gender expression| 5 (20.83)       |
| Family income ($), a n (%)                          |                 |
| <10,000                                             | 1 (4.55)        |
| 10,000–19,999                                       | 1 (4.55)        |
| 20,000–29,999                                       | 3 (13.64)       |
| 30,000–39,999                                       | 5 (22.73)       |
| 40,000–49,999                                       | 3 (13.64)       |
| 50,000–59,999                                       | 1 (4.55)        |
| 60,000–69,999                                       | 2 (9.09)        |
| 90,000–99,999                                       | 1 (4.55)        |
| 100,000–149,999                                     | 1 (4.55)        |
| I don’t know                                        | 4 (18.18)       |
| Family city of residence population, c n (%)        |                 |
| 250,000+                                            | 1 (4.55)        |
| 100,000–249,999                                     | 4 (18.18)       |
| 50,000–99,999                                       | 14 (63.64)      |
| 5,000–49,999                                        | 3 (13.64)       |
| Family housing situation, a n (%)                   |                 |
| I rent or own an apartment/house but live with other families or individuals | 2 (9.09) |
| I rent an apartment with my family only              | 11 (50)         |
| I own a home with my family only                     | 8 (36.36)       |
| Other: Temporary living situation/transnational family | 1 (4.55)     |
| Household size, a M (SD)                            | 5.23 (1.72)     |
| Number of children, a M (SD)                        | 3.50 (1.44)     |
| Essential workers living in the home, a n (%)       |                 |
| Yes                                                 | 13 (59.09)      |
| No                                                  | 9 (40.91)       |
| Parents born outside the United States, a n (%)     |                 |
| Years living in the United States, M (SD)           | 19.42 (5.77)    |
| Mexican identity, n (%)                             | 21 (95.45)      |
| Multiethnic Mexican family (Mexican and White), n (%)| 1 (4.55)       |

Note. Total N = 46 (mothers n = 22; youth n = 24).

*Item from data collected from mother. †Item from data collected from youth. ‡Participants reported specific cities, which were aggregated according to population size to protect confidentiality of small sample.
Table 3. Health Access and Mother–Child Health Communication Among 22 Latino Families in Oregon, 2020–2021.

| Variable                                                                 | n  | (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----|
| **Insurance status**<sup>a</sup>                                         |    |     |
| Insurance from work/employer                                            | 5  | (22.73) |
| Medicaid (OHP or other state health insurance, Medi-Cal)                 | 6  | (27.27) |
| Other (emergency coverage programs, including community-based sliding scales) | 6  | (27.27) |
| No health insurance                                                     | 5  | (22.73) |
| **Where health accessed in Oregon**<sup>a</sup>                          |    |     |
| Medical doctors (PCP)/clinics                                           | 21 | (95.45) |
| Emergency room                                                           | 14 | (63.64) |
| Urgent care                                                              | 12 | (54.54) |
| Dentist                                                                 | 21 | (95.45) |
| Hueseros (traditional bonesetter)                                       | 4  | (18.18) |
| Herbalist                                                                | 3  | (13.64) |
| Sobadores (traditional masseuse)                                        | 7  | (31.82) |
| Chiropractor                                                            | 4  | (18.18) |
| Massage                                                                  | 2  | (9.09)  |
| Physical therapy                                                        | 1  | (4.55)   |
| **Ranked barriers to access health care**<sup>a</sup>                    |    |     |
| Lack of insurance                                                       | 11 | (50) |
| Takes too long to get appointment                                       | 11 | (50)   |
| Waiting room time too long                                              | 11 | (50) |
| Cost of care                                                            | 10 | (45.45) |
| Difficulty with referral                                                | 7  | (31.82) |
| Do not trust doctor                                                     | 7  | (31.82) |
| Medication has too many side effects                                    | 7  | (31.82) |
| Language barrier                                                        | 7  | (31.82) |
| Do not want to ask employer for time off                                 | 6  | (27.27) |
| Do not want to miss work and lose income                                 | 6  | (27.27) |
| Doctor or clinic has discriminated me                                   | 4  | (18.18) |
| Hard to find curanderos/hierberos/hueseros (alternative care providers) | 4  | (18.18) |
| Lack of transportation                                                  | 4  | (18.18) |
| I get better on my own                                                  | 4  | (18.18) |
| Fear of encountering law enforcement/immigration                        | 3  | (13.64) |
| Child care                                                              | 3  | (13.64) |
| Employer will not give time off                                         | 3  | (13.64) |
| Loss of employment                                                      | 3  | (13.64) |
| Unsure of where to go                                                   | 2  | (9.09)  |
| Distance to care                                                        | 1  | (4.55)   |
| No difficulty receiving care                                            | 1  | (4.55)   |
| **If the vaccine were offered to you today, would you take it?**<sup>b</sup> |    |     |
| Willing to receive immediately/only positive                            | 9  | (40.91) |
| Hesitant/mixed                                                          | 9  | (40.91) |
| Unwilling to receive/only negative                                      | 1  | (4.55)   |
| No response                                                             | 3  | (13.63) |
| **Discussed health with parent in past week**                           |    |     |
| Minutes spent discussing health with parent past week<sup>b</sup>        | 19 | (79.17) |
| **How comfortable talking to parent about health**<sup>b</sup>           |    |     |
| Extremely uncomfortable                                                | 0  | (0) |
| Somewhat uncomfortable                                                  | 0  | (0) |
| Neither comfortable nor comfortable                                     | 0  | (0) |
| Somewhat comfortable                                                    | 7  | (29.17) |
| Extremely comfortable                                                   | 17 | (70.83) |
| **How often did your child ask questions or talk about COVID-19?**<sup>b</sup> |    |     |
| Never                                                                   | 0  | (0) |
| Rarely                                                                  | 2  | (9.09) |
| Occasionally                                                            | 7  | (31.82) |
| Often                                                                   | 5  | (22.73) |
| Most of the time                                                        | 8  | (36.36) |

Note. PCP = primary care physician; OHP = Oregon Health Plan.

<sup>a</sup>Item from data collected from mother. <sup>b</sup>Item from data collected from youth.
Concerns about being test subjects stemmed from a lack of access to culturally resonant information explaining Phase 3 clinical trials and vaccine safety, as well as from the historically entrenched mistrust of government that was exacerbated by the complicated relationship between politics and science. As this 39-year-old mother explained,

> When hearing about the plan to prioritize minorities, I’m afraid. We never know if Trump is involved. I think we need much more information and understand why we should be first [to receive the vaccine]. We are very resistant people. The OHA (Oregon Health Authority) are trying to help us be priority. Their intentions are good. But we have doubts about this President; we think about how Trump is. (Farmworker, HS 7)

This mistrust in the government was echoed by several youth concerned about conspiracy theories their parents heard through social media, including the misconception that a “tracking device” or “microchip” would be implanted with the vaccine.

> I have had a conversation with my parents: so, it’s kind of a weird situation she started talking about the bad part of Facebook and the microchips they put inside of you with the vaccine. (15 years old, HS 10)

*Las Noticias* (Spanish news) and Facebook is where my mom receives the news. I think the information is somewhat accurate. Honestly, sometimes people say fake news stuff, so sometimes she sees that. (14 years old, HS 6)

### Intergenerational Communication and Informational Social Support

Intergenerational communication about vaccines within the family was central to “digesting” information, determining its trustworthiness, and decision making. In the past week, 79% of the youth had discussed health with their families for an average of 50 minutes (see Table 3).

> My children are the ones that follow the news, they tell me things as they come out. I just want them to tell me the good news. My children knew that it started in China before it got worse here. They told me about it, and we didn’t believe, and now it’s a global pandemic. (39 years old, farmworker, HS 7)

I am a source of information for them; they don’t even know how to work their phones. (17 years old, HS 5)

> I have had that conversation about the vaccination with my kids . . . I have a daughter that works in nursing homes, and she’s getting it this month, they test her frequently; she lives with us. She’s the meter for our family; that’s how we know if we are infected. (46 years old, agricultural worker, HS 5)

> My parents get their info from TV like Telemundo, Univision, all the Mexican news, on their phone . . . on Facebook, on YouTube. (Farmworker, HS 7)

I give them information from what I see, and from what I read, and they do pay attention to it. (15 years old, HS 5)

Three sets of participants had family members (i.e., children, siblings, and cousins) who worked or were studying to work in health care and could therefore explain emerging information.

> I talked about it [vaccine] with my parents, like that when it comes out, when they should get it. My sister told them; she’s a pre-med student; they know the info she gets is reliable; they believe her more than me. My parents were suspicious of the government and conspiracies about the COVID vaccine that it doesn’t help or if it’s a scam. I don’t know, it’s hard to convince Latino parents because they are so stubborn. (15 years old, HS 4)

Some lower resource families depended on the information their youth gathered from the internet and schools, as this 16-year-old explains.

> We don’t have cable, we [my brother and I] browse through the internet and our school provides weekly information, our only source of information for us is our family. We are keeping updated with news. Sometimes our parents don’t believe us, we say this is from a credible doctor or university. (HS 7)

#### Trustworthy Information From Extrafamilial Community Engagement

To dispel mistrust, participants suggested informational campaigns that target venues with cultural significance, feature trusted community advocates, and acknowledge historical trauma.

> I need to know why they want to vaccinate me first. They should explain this on television or radio. In stores, where we shop, on a poster explain why. If they did a vaccine clinic, I think we should do it at libraries or in one of the Catholic churches nearby. I think seeing health care workers getting it will help me. My mom would say the same: They should lead by example. It helps people like me who are afraid. (39 years old, farmworker, HS 7)

> I think we should have informational conversations (charlas informativas) about vaccines so that there is less fear and to educate parents. It’s dangerous that when we need to decide, we stay quiet. Fear paralyzes. (48 years old, housekeeper, HS 6)

The locations listed for effective dissemination of information about vaccines included schools, district welcome centers (*Centro de Bienvenida*), churches, public parks, large supermarkets, and Latino community health clinics. Table 3 shows the variety of providers where families accessed care, including *sobadores* and *hueseros* (traditional masseuse/bonesetters). To improve trust, participants believed that information should be disseminated through well-known Latino leaders, advocacy groups, and community health workers (CHWs).
I think to most people you need to set an example; you need to bear witness (testimonios). Have leadership say, “it’s good,” I think that’s best, get people to give testimonios. I do think that prioritizing minority people will cause mistrust. I’ve heard that in the community. I’m a community leader. I do think it’s good for us as minorities, but it will worry people. (46 years old, agricultural worker, HS 5).

I think they need to advertise that organizations are advocating starting now, don’t wait. They should tell people about the vaccination committees, and who is in the committee, and what they are fighting for. It should be equitable . . . I think so many people will be more suspicious if they start with Latinos, an effect of mistrust. To encourage people to vaccinate, I think they need people from the community to do campaigns, people that are already involved, and we know they are working for the good of the community. (45 years old, cleaner, HS 3)

These narratives emphasized the need for Latino advocates, and they warned against prioritizing Latinos without clarity about why they are being prioritized. Interpreting these findings, a 24-year-old Mexican American CHW in our CAB stated,

CHWs build relationships with people in the community and make systems navigation more accessible, thus building trust and familiarity. For vaccine education and outreach, CHWs can find answers to the community’s concerns, and then explain information, reducing language and academic barriers. Personal connections and stories are important. I find that when I talk about my experience being vaccinated, people who are wary about vaccines are more willing to seek the vaccine. In a world full of misinformation and government surveillance, the community, especially the undocumented population, relies on trusted CHWs and community advisors to find resources. In a global pandemic where access to health care is not guaranteed, it is understandable that there is vaccine hesitancy in historically marginalized groups.

Discussion

Our study indicates that the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines among Latino communities may be challenged by historical mistrust, suspicion of the unprecedented speed of vaccine development, and the range of information and misinformation they receive. Key gaps in health literacy included (1) knowledge about the function of Phase 3 clinical trials to directly address misconception that POC are being used as “guinea pigs,” (2) interpretation of vaccine efficacy and safety to gain a realistic understanding of side effects and adverse events, (3) reflection on the relationship between politics and the production of scientific discovery, and (4) acknowledgement of racism and historical trauma (Stern, 2005). Similar mistrust was expressed in previous studies on human papillomavirus vaccines (HPV), suggesting the importance of addressing medical mistrust as a root barrier to vaccine acceptance among Latinos (Jaiswal, 2019; Katz et al., 2016; Kolar et al., 2015).

Participants identified several locations (e.g., schools, churches, Latino clinics, supermarkets), sources (e.g., radio, Facebook), and providers (e.g., sobabores/hueseros, Latino clinics) where accurate information may be disseminated. Churches and faith-based organizations have been used to deliver health services among Latinos (Allen et al., 2020), such as cancer screening (Allen et al., 2014) and obesity prevention (Derose et al., 2019), which indicates their potential as trusted venues for COVID-19 vaccination efforts. Interventions that provide materials for families to critically discuss vaccines may dispel the COVID-19 infodemic of misinformation and myths (Webb Hooper et al., 2021). Research indicates that youth can influence their parents’ access to technology and digital media and reduce digital inequalities driven by gender, age, and socioeconomic disparities (Correa, 2015). Whole-family learning that draws on cultural capital (e.g., games, heritage, cultural idioms) has been effective in intergenerational financial education programs (Robles, 2014). Similarly, culturally centered vaccine literacy may regard intergenerational communication in Latino families as a source of informational social support.

Our study reflects past findings about intergenerational solidarity and caregiver responsibility as key components of familismo (Ruiz & Ransford, 2012). An extensive literature describes the key role of Latino youth as language and cultural (Corona et al., 2012; Roche et al., 2015; Weisskirch & Alva, 2002) as well as data brokers (Vaca, 2019) who help their families navigate social services, institutions, and technology. Our study also suggests expanding the concept of familism by considering ethnic enclaves and community advisors as part of an extended kinship network (Smith-Morris et al., 2013). This supports the role of Latino advocates and CHWs for improving vaccine implementation and access. As suggested by research on HPV vaccination completion, a Latina mother–child education program coupled with CHW navigation may improve vaccination completion (Parra-Medina et al., 2015).

Participatory approaches that engage Latino families, lay health workers, and advocates can help address these gaps in health literacy, while repairing trust in both medical and government institutions (Peretz et al., 2020; Pérez-Escamilla et al., 2010; Schoch-Spana et al., 2020). In other areas of health education, prevention literacy based in critical consciousness theory advanced the adoption of the HIV prevention toolkit by drawing on cultural idioms and dismantling the top-down dynamic of information exchange that dominates health education (Parker et al., 2016). Rather than internalizing blame for vaccine hesitancy, as some participants did by calling Latinos “stubborn” and “resistant,” the responsibility lies with inadequate engagement systems. Community-owned vaccine literacy that draws on familial and extrafamilial social connections to interpret information, build trust, and make decisions may improve equitable access to COVID-19 vaccines (Ojikutu et al., 2021; Parra-Medina et al., 2015).
Limitations

This study does not include a representative sample of the Latino population. Self-reported data were collected to describe the range of perceptions about COVID-19 vaccines. Although mothers and fathers were invited, we successfully enrolled only mothers. Social desirability bias (Larsen et al., 2020) during telephone interviews may have led to underreported mistrust for medical institutions because the interviewer was a known public health worker.

Conclusion

Meaningful community engagement of stakeholders in decision making and disseminating information will be central to dispelling mistrust and realizing the promise of COVID-19 vaccines for those most affected by the pandemic (Ojikutu et al., 2021). Interventions may target families for a learning exchange and authentic dialogue rather than a top-down exchange of information. By describing how information is organically processed in Latino families, we may develop culturally centered and trustworthy public health messaging about vaccines.
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