Observation of high-order quantum resonances in the kicked rotor

J.F. Kanem1,2, S. Maneshi1,2, M. Partlow1,2, M. Spanner1,3 and A.M. Steinberg1,2

1Centre for Quantum Information & Quantum Control
2Institute for Optical Sciences & Department of Physics, University of Toronto, 60 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A7 and
3Chemical Physics Theory Group, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 3H6

(Dated: April 7, 2006)

Quantum resonances in the kicked rotor are characterized by a dramatically increased energy absorption rate, in stark contrast to the momentum localization generally observed. These resonances occur when the scaled Planck’s constant \( \hbar = \frac{\pi}{r} \cdot 4\pi \), for any integers \( r \) and \( s \). However only the \( \hbar = \frac{\pi}{s} \cdot 4\pi \) resonances are easily observable. We have observed high-order quantum resonances \((s > 2)\) utilizing a sample of low temperature, non-condensed atoms and a pulsed optical standing wave. Resonances are observed for \( \hbar = \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot 4\pi \) for integers \( r = 2 – 6 \). Quantum numerical simulations suggest that our observation of high-order resonances indicates a larger coherence length than expected from an initially thermal atomic sample.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Mt, 32.80.Pj, 32.80.Lg

A rotor subjected to a periodically pulsed sinusoidal potential (“kicked rotor”) is one of the most widely studied paradigms of chaotic dynamics. Ever since the qualitative differences between the classical kicked rotor and the quantum kicked rotor (QKR) became evident [1], the QKR has proven to be a rich system for studying quantum-classical correspondence, decoherence, and quantum dynamics in general. To this day the study of the standard QKR as well as alternative kicked rotor Hamiltonians [2, 3] is an actively pursued field. Much of the early work was done through theoretical and numerical analysis, with one of the more important discoveries being the realization that momentum localization in the QKR can be thought of as a form of Anderson localization [4]. An experimental breakthrough in the field came when laser cooling and optical trapping of atoms allowed the use of optical lattices as a linear momentum analogue of the QKR. This led to the observation of some of the theoretical predictions such as momentum localization [5] as well as studies of decoherence [6] and interesting results arising from modifications to the Hamiltonian of the QKR [7, 8].

Quantum resonances [2, 9] are another aspect of the QKR which have been of experimental interest recently: for certain parameters, heating is greatly enhanced in contrast to the momentum localization usually present in the quantum kicked rotor. In the presence of gravity or other linear potentials one sees accelerator modes [10], similar to quantum resonances except that there is an increase in average momentum as well as momentum spread. Like other aspects of the quantum kicked rotor, quantum resonances are useful for studying quantum-classical correspondence. Work has gone into studying the effect in the presence of noise and the competition with momentum localization and the resonances [11].

Here we present experimental observation of quantum resonances utilizing a sample of cold thermal rubidium atoms in an optical lattice. Specifically, we report our observation of high-order quantum resonances. There have been studies of high-order accelerator modes previously [12] as well as a concurrent observation of high-order resonances in a Bose-Einstein condensate [13]. In all previous experiments with nondegenerate atoms, the higher-order resonances were absent.

The Hamiltonian for the atom optics realization of the delta kicked rotor is

\[
\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{U_0}{2}(1 + \cos(2k_Lx)) \sum_n \delta \left( \frac{t}{T} - n \right)
\]

(1)

where \( U_0 \) is the strength of the sinusoidal kick, \( 2k_L \) is the reciprocal lattice vector of the potential and \( T \) is the period of the train of delta kicks. It is convenient to express this as a scaled dimensionless Hamiltonian:

\[
\hat{\tilde{H}} = \frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2} + \chi (1 + \cos(\theta)) \sum_n \delta (\tilde{t} - n)
\]

(2)

where \( \tilde{p} = 2Tk_Lp/m \), \( \theta = 2k_Lx \), \( \tilde{t} = t/T \), \( \chi = 2U_0k_L^2T^2/m \), and \( \hat{\tilde{H}} = \hbar^2T^2k_L^2/m \).

The scaled quantum Schrödinger’s equation is

\[
\hat{\tilde{H}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} \psi = -\frac{\hat{\tilde{p}}^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi + \chi (1 + \cos(\theta)) \sum_n \delta (\tilde{t} - n) \psi
\]

(3)

where \( \tilde{\hbar} = 4Tk_L^2\hbar/m \) is the scaled Planck’s constant. This effective Planck’s constant is a measure of the magnitude of the quantized momentum transfer due to the lattice (2\( \hbar k_L \)), relative to the momentum required to move one lattice spacing in one kick period, \( T \). Its value determines how quantum-mechanically the system behaves and whether or not quantum resonances are observed.

Quantum resonances occur when \( \tilde{\hbar} = \frac{\pi}{r} \cdot 4\pi \), where \( r \) and \( s \) are integers. Note that for a given experimental setup \( \tilde{\hbar} \) is only sensitive to the kick period, \( T \),
which can be controlled with great precision. These resonances can be thought of as a rephasing of the momentum states coupled by the lattice potential, whose momenta differ by a multiple of $2\hbar k_L$. Indeed, in the delta kick limit, the condition above can be found by setting the phase between two states accumulated between successive delta kicks to some integer multiple of $2\pi$: $\Delta \phi_n - \Delta \phi_0 = (a^2 - b^2) 2\hbar k_L^2 \frac{t}{m} = (a^2 - b^2) \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} = q \cdot 2\pi$, or $\hbar = \frac{a^2 - b^2}{\lambda} \pi = \frac{\pi}{\lambda} \cdot \sigma$, recognizing that for any integers $a$ and $b$, $(a^2 - b^2)$ is also an integer. When this is satisfied for $s = 1$, all coupled momentum states rephase. These first order quantum resonances are related to the revivals of the wavepackets; if the time between kicks is a multiple of the revival time, all the kicks add coherently. This leads to a linear growth in the width of the momentum distribution and quadratic energy growth with kick number, $n[14]$. This is in contrast to the chaotic situation which arises when the position of the particle for successive kicks is essentially uncorrelated and the energy growth is linear. For the case of $s > 1$, the resulting high-order resonances are a manifestation of the fractional revivals, in which some but not all of the eigenstates rephase, and the wavepacket recoalesces, split into $s$ identical copies.

In the experimental realization the delta kick train is replaced with a train of square pulses of finite width $t_p$: $\Delta_n (t) = \Theta (t - n) - \Theta (t - \frac{t_p}{2} - n)$, where $\Theta (t)$ is the Heaviside step function. Equation (3) then becomes

$$i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta^2} + \kappa \frac{T}{t_p} (1 + \cos \theta) \sum_n \Delta_n (t) \psi \tag{4}$$

where $\kappa = 2T k_L^2 V_0 t_p/m$ is the stochasticity parameter and $V_0$ is the energy depth of the lattice potential. In the classical kicked rotor this stochasticity parameter completely defines how chaotic the behavior of the system is.

There are also classical resonances which result in increased energy absorption of the system. A key difference is the condition required; $\kappa = \sqrt{(n \cdot 2\pi)^2 + 16}$ for integer $n > 0[15][16]$, showing a dependence not solely on the kick period, but also on the strength of the kicks, indicating a Newtonian effect completely independent of $\hbar$.

Our optical lattice is formed in the vertical direction by two laser beams of wavelength $\lambda = 780 \text{ nm}$ intersecting at an angle of $\gamma = 49.0^\circ \pm 0.2^\circ$ resulting in a laser intensity interference pattern of the form $I (x) = I_0 \cos^2 \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\gamma x \sin (\gamma/2) \right)$. The lattice is detuned by $\Delta \approx 2\pi \cdot 20 \text{ GHz}$ from the $F = 3 \Rightarrow F' = 4$ D2 trapping line of $^{85}\text{Rb}$, far enough to be treated as a conservative potential. The spatial lattice period is $l = \frac{\lambda}{2 \sin (\gamma/2)} = 0.940 \mu\text{m} \pm 0.004 \mu\text{m}$. The resulting light shift on the atoms produces a potential $V (x) = I (x) \frac{\hbar^2 \mu^2}{m}$, where $\Delta$ is the detuning of the laser from the atomic resonance and $\Gamma$ and $I_0$ are the natural linewidth and saturation intensity, respectively, of the atom. In accordance with the kicked rotor model this potential can be expressed as $V (\theta) = V_0 (1 + \cos \theta)$ where $V_0 = \frac{I_0}{\lambda^2} \frac{\hbar^2 \mu^2}{m}$, $\theta = 2k_L x$ and $k_L = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \sin (\gamma/2)$.

We prepare for our experiment by loading a shallow optical lattice from a magneto-optical trap (MOT) of rubidium. While the MOT is still present the lattice laser beams are turned on so that subsequent molasses cooling is done in the presence of the lattice, leading to a higher loading efficiency[17]. This initial lattice is tailored to have a depth of $\sim 19 E_r = k_B \cdot 605 \text{ nK}$ where $E_r = \frac{\hbar^2 k_L^2}{2m} \approx k_B \cdot 32 \text{ nK}$ is the effective recoil energy. For our lattice geometry this depth supports two bound states. Because of the vertical orientation of the lattice any unbound atoms will fall out of the interaction region due to gravity. After $\geq 10 \text{ ns}$ only atoms in the two bound states remain, at kinetic temperatures of $(\Delta p)^2 / k_B \sim 120 \text{ nK}$ and $\sim 360 \text{ nK}$ for the ground and first excited states respectively, making for a very cold sample of atoms ($\sim 240 \text{ nK}$). Time-of-flight measurements are limited by the time it takes for the atomic sample to fall out of the observation region. As a result, this $\sim 240 \text{ nK}$ is the upper bound which we can place experimentally on the initial atom cloud temperature. This low temperature is to be contrasted with the experiments of most previous work on the subject of quantum resonances which have a molasses-cooled atomic cloud of temperature on the order of several microKelvins. Since the coherence length in a molasses, from which our lattice is loaded, is smaller than an optical wavelength, we do not expect any coherence between lattice wells despite this low temperature. Within each well there is an incoherent mixture of the two bound states. In other words the bands of the lattice are expected to be completely and incoherently filled.

The presence of gravity also adds a tilt to the potential of $3.0 E_r$ per spatial lattice period. This tilt would completely alter the dynamics of the kicked rotor in a manner which is interesting[11] but quite different from the theory outlined above. To overcome this we accelerate the lattice downward at $g$, putting the whole experiment in free fall, thus getting rid of the potential tilt. This is done by frequency-shifting each of the lattice beams by acousto-optic modulators (AOMs). The frequency of the radiofrequency (RF) power driving each AOM is independently controlled by a programmable function generator. By linearly ramping the relative frequency difference between each RF signal, we can accelerate the lattice. The relative frequency difference actually undergoes discrete frequency jumps of $105 \text{ Hz}$ every $10 \mu\text{s}$. Trial reductions of the granularity by reducing the time between frequency jumps to $5 \mu\text{s}$ and then $1 \mu\text{s}$ had no effect on the results. The uncertainty in the lattice angle between lattice beams translates to an uncertainty in the acceleration being applied to the lattice of $0.07 \text{ m/s}^2$.

The temporal modulation of the lattice potential for the pulse train is done by modulating the laser intensity,
also controlled with the AOMs. The RF signals to the AOMs are sent through RF switches, which are also controlled with a programmable function generator, allowing for a rise/fall time of the lattice intensity of $\sim 200$ ns. The width of the kicks, $t_p$, ranges from 5 to 10 $\mu$s and is used as a control for the kick strength, $k = \kappa/\hbar = \frac{1}{2\pi}$.

Simulations show a very small difference between using true delta pulses and the relatively wide pulses we use for the actual experiment, while the experiment itself has confirmed that there is little effect of varying the pulse width but keeping $k$ constant.

After a train of kicks is applied to the atomic ensemble we perform a time-of-flight measurement. First the ensemble undergoes 32 ns of free expansion, and then an image is recorded by flashing resonant light onto the sample and collecting the scattered light on a CCD camera. From this image the thermal energy of the sample is extracted.

Figure 1a shows a typical graph of the average energy of the atoms after 16 kicks versus kick period and effective Planck’s constant. The depth of the kicking potential in this case was $V_0 = 98E_r$ with a pulse width of $t_p = 6 \mu$s resulting in a kick strength of $k = \frac{V_0t_p}{2\hbar} = 1.23$. Figure 1b is a similar graph but with $t_p = 8 \mu$s resulting in a kick strength of $k = 1.64$.

The general background trend is a kick strength effect independent of the high-order resonances and can be modeled by the quantum diffusion parameter

$$D(k, \hbar) = \frac{k^2\hbar^2}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - J_2(d) - J_4^2(d) + J_2^2(d) + J_4^2(d) \right]$$

where $J_n$ are $n$th order Bessel functions and $d \equiv 2k \sin (\hbar/2) [18]$. The energy increase due to this diffusion is given by $\frac{m}{4k^2\hbar^2 T} D(k, \hbar)$. The result is shown in the inset of figure 2b. This equation does not model the decreasing stochasticity ($\kappa = k\hbar$) as $\hbar \to 0$, explaining its failure to reproduce the vanishing heating rate seen in the experiment.

The experiment was performed for several different parameters in order to verify that the position of the resonances is solely a function of the effective Planck’s constant. The kick strength was varied by adjusting the temporal width of the pulses from 6 to 14 $\mu$s, leading to kick strengths from $k = 1.23$ to $k = 2.86$. We see that an increase by more than a factor of two, which would displace classical resonances by the same factor, leaves the high order resonance structure in place to within our experimental error of about $\pm 2 \mu$s. These resonances lie at an average period of $T = 45.2 \pm 0.9, 69.0 \pm 1.0, 96.0 \pm 1.4, 120.0 \pm 1.4, 147.5 \pm 1.0 \mu$s. These correspond to values of $\hbar/\pi = 0.47 \pm 0.01, 0.72 \pm 0.01, 1, 1.25 \pm 0.02, 1.54 \pm 0.02$. (The position of the central resonance is defined to be precisely 1 because it itself is our most accurate calibration of $\hbar$.) We identify these as the high order resonances occurring for $\hbar = \frac{r}{s} \cdot 4\pi$, for $r = 2 - 6$.

We also examined the characteristics of the resonances as a function of kick number. The primary trend noted is the clarity of the resonances. Figure 2 shows peak height in energy (over the baseline) of the $\hbar = \frac{3}{4}\pi$ resonance as a function of kick number. Solid and open circles indicate different sets of experimental data.

![Figure 1: Experimental graphs showing energy in recoil energies, $E_r$, versus $\hbar/\pi$, and period, $T$, both with a kick number of 16 (a) Kick strength of $k = 1.23$. High order resonances are seen for $r = 2 - 6$. (b) Same as (a) but with a kick strength of $k = 1.64$. Background trend is from a general kick strength dependent quantum diffusion rate and is modeled by equation (5) which is shown in figure in the inset for $k = 1.6$.](image1)

![Figure 2: Magnitude of the $\hbar = \frac{3}{4}\pi$ resonance as a function of kick number. Solid and open circles indicate different sets of experimental data.](image2)
The initial wavefunction is a Gaussian with a spatial distribution width of $\mu x_{\mathrm{rms}}$. Each individual Gaussian has a large effect on the relative strengths of the various high-order resonances. Figure 3b shows the results of two numerical simulations, each having a velocity distribution of $\mu v_{\mathrm{rms}}$. The initial distribution with a coherence length spanning the overall Gaussian that each individual “cloud” is weighted by. The black line is a single Gaussian with a velocity distribution of $\mu v_{\mathrm{rms}}$ making for a constant phase from wavefunctions in adjacent wells. There is no range coherence put in by hand for the simulation shown in grey, while the extremely low initial momentum spread of the simulation shown in black makes for a long spatial coherence.

It is also worth noting that many small higher-order resonances exist and appear in figure 3a none of them dominating the others. But when the initial distribution has coherence throughout several wells the $\hbar = \frac{1}{16} \cdot 4\pi$ resonances seen in figures 3b grow several times stronger than the others, in qualitative agreement with the experimental observations shown in figures 1a,b. The fact that the numerical simulations do not show clear high-order resonances unless there is long-range coherence suggests that our initial atomic state actually has some phase-coherence between adjacent wells; we are currently investigating this possibility experimentally and theoretically.

In summary we have observed clear high-order resonances in an optical-lattice implementation of the kicked rotor using thermal atoms. Our technique of selecting an extremely cold sample of atoms may explain the fact that we observe these resonances, not previously seen in thermal clouds. We have verified, in accordance with theory, that only the value of the effective Planck’s constant determines the positions of the resonances. In addition our results suggest that there may be long range coherence across the optical lattice, which was loaded from an ensemble of thermal atoms. Future studies will examine the role of inter- and intra-well coherence in the kicked rotor as well as the various mechanisms for such coherence to be developed. Our findings also suggest that the QKR may be of interest as a probe of such coherence.
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