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SUMMARY

During development, region-specific patterns of regulatory gene expression are controlled by signaling centers that release morphogens providing positional information to surrounding cells. Regulation of signaling centers themselves is therefore critical. The size and the influence of a Shh-producing forebrain organizer, the zona limitans intrathalamica (ZLI), are limited by Pax6. By studying mouse chimeras, we find that Pax6 acts cell autonomously to block Shh expression in cells around the ZLI. Immunoprecipitation and luciferase assays indicate that Pax6 can bind the Shh promoter and repress its function. An analysis of chimeras suggests that many of the regional gene expression pattern defects that occur in Pax6−/− diencephalic cells result from a non-cell-autonomous position-dependent defect of local intercellular signaling. Blocking Shh signaling in Pax6−/− mutants reverses major diencephalic patterning defects. We conclude that Pax6’s cell-autonomous repression of Shh expression around the ZLI is critical for many aspects of normal diencephalic patterning.

INTRODUCTION

Our understanding of the mechanisms that regulate the organization of developing tissues is based on the idea that cells gain information determining their fates by monitoring the levels of morphogens released by discrete signaling centers, or organizers, in their vicinity (Rogers and Schier, 2011). Much less is known about the mechanisms that regulate the organizers. One possibility is that feedback from transcription factors whose expression is regulated by morphogens contributes to the control of the organizers and their morphogen production. The identification of such mechanisms is particularly interesting because they are likely to play a major role in enhancing the precision, stability, and robustness of gene expression patterns in the developing embryo (Sokolowski et al., 2012). Here, we tested whether feedback via the transcription factor Pax6 regulates the size and function of a forebrain organizer, the zona limitans intrathalamica (ZLI).

The diencephalon is the caudalmost component of the forebrain and contains the thalamus. During development, interactions between genes expressed around and within the thalamic anlage establish regions with different identities and fates along the embryonic rostral-caudal axis. The transcription factors Fez1 and Fez2 specify a rostral diencephalic domain (the future prethalamus; Hirata et al., 2006; Jeong et al., 2007), whereas the transcription factors Otx2 and Irx1 specify a caudal diencephalic domain (the future thalamus; Hirata et al., 2006). The ZLI forms as a thin strip of tissue in the progenitor cell layer at the interface between these domains. It contributes to the organization of the regions around it mainly through its expression of the diffusible morphogen Shh (Hashimoto-Torii et al., 2003; Jeong et al., 2011; Kiecker and Lumsden, 2004; Scholpp et al., 2006; Scholpp and Lumsden, 2010; Zhou et al., 2004; Robertshaw et al., 2013). The ZLI forms at around embryonic days 9–10 (E9–E10) in the mouse. It appears as a thin spike of Shh-expressing tissue extending from basal plate through alar plate toward roof plate (Shimamura et al., 1995). Immediately caudal to it, a small rostral region of thalamic progenitors exposed to relatively high levels of Shh, expresses Ascl1 and Nkx2.2 and generates mostly GABAergic neurons that contribute to the ventral lateral geniculate (vLG) nucleus (Inamura et al., 2011; Suzuki-Hirano et al., 2011; Vue et al., 2007; Robertsaw et al., 2013). A larger region of thalamic progenitors caudal to pTh-R, called pTh-C (Figure 1B), expresses Ngn2 and Olig2 rather than Ascl1 and Nkx2.2 and generates glutamatergic neurons that innervate cortex (Vue et al., 2007; Robertsaw et al., 2013).

Forebrain expression of Pax6 is dynamic. It starts in the neural plate and is initially throughout the entire alar forebrain neuroepithelium (Mastick et al., 1997). From around E9–E10, Pax6 is repressed in the ZLI by developing Shh expression (Ericson et al., 1997; Macdonald et al., 1995; Robertsaw et al., 2013). Pax6 is retained by prethalamic progenitors and postmitotic cells and by thalamic progenitors; the latter express it in a gradient, with Pax6 levels increasing with distance from the ZLI. Mutant mice lacking Pax6 show progressive defects of diencephalic...
size and patterning (Grindley et al., 1997; Pratt et al., 2000, 2002; Warren and Price, 1997).

In the past, it has been considered that Pax6 functions down-stream of Shh, which represses Pax6 (Ericson et al., 1997; MacDonald et al., 1995; Robertshaw et al., 2013), but it has also been reported that loss of Pax6 increases the size of the Shh-producing ZLI (Grindley et al., 1997; Pratt et al., 2000; Chatterjee et al., 2014), suggesting that Pax6 might somehow regulate Shh. We examined the influence of Pax6 on diencephalic patterning and identified an important cell-autonomous action of Pax6 on the expression of Shh. Our findings indicate a crucial role for mutual repression between Pax6 and Shh in diencephalic cell specification.

RESULTS

In normal E12.5 mice, Pax6 expression is highest in the progenitor and postmitotic layers of the prethalamus, absent along the thalamic/prethalamic border, and distributed in a gradient through the progenitor layer of the thalamus (lowest near to the ZLI) (Figure 1A). We examined the consequences of Pax6 absence for patterning in each of these regions (Figure 1B).

Figure 1. Cells of the Prethalamus Require Pax6 Cell Autonomously for Gsx2 Expression

(A) Pax6 expression at E12.5. Th, thalamus; PTh, prethalamus.

(B) Normal expression patterns of genes studied here. Expression in the ZLI is examined later.

(C and D) Gax2 and Islet1 expression at E13.5. Asterisk in (D) points to the position of prethalamus.

(E and F) Expression of Sox2, Nkx2.2, and a conditional GFP reporter (cGFP) in E15.5 embryos carrying a Gsx2cre transgene. Arrow in (E) points to the vLG. Double arrow in (F) points to the expansion of Nkx2.2 expression. Asterisk in (F) indicates the position of prethalamus. (C)–(F) are stained with DAPI.

(G–M) Chimeras immunoreacted for Gsx2 and Islet1 containing either (G and H) a high or (I–M) a low proportion of mutant cells. Arrows point to the same cell in (I) and (M).

Scale bars, 400 μm (A), 200 μm (C–F), and 100 μm (H, I, L, and M). See also Figure S1.
Pax6 Is Required Cell Autonomously for Normal Prethalamic Identity

A marker of prethalamic progenitors, Gsx2, was undetectable in Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> diencephalon (Figures 1C and 1D), and numbers of Islet1+ cells were greatly reduced in prethalamic postmitotic cells (asterisk in Figure 1D). The absence of Gsx2-expressing lineages was shown using a cre recombinase transgene controlled by the Gsx2 promoter (Kessaris et al., 2006) with a floxed-stop-GFP reporter (Miyoshi et al., 2010). In controls, most prethalamic cells expressed GFP (Figure 1E), consistent with their descent from Gsx2+ prethalamic progenitors, but no GFP+ cells were detected in an equivalent region of Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> mutants (asterisk in Figure 1F).

To test whether Pax6 is required cell autonomously for expression of Gsx2 by prethalamic cells, we generated Pax6<sup>/−</sup>→Pax6<sup>+/−</sup> chimeras. The contributions of Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> cells to each chimera varied (nine chimeras were analyzed), allowing us to analyze situations in which mutant cells were surrounded by much larger numbers of wild-type cells and those where the opposite was the case. In all, Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> cells contributed to the prethalamus.

In chimeras with a high contribution of mutant cells, none of these cells expressed Gsx2, whereas even small clusters of wild-type prethalamic progenitors embedded among them retained Gsx2 expression (Figure 1H). Similarly, in chimeras with a low contribution of mutant cells, all Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> progenitors were Gsx2−, even those in very small isolated groups (Figures 1I–1M), whereas all wild-type progenitors, even individually isolated ones, were Gsx2+ (Figures 1L and 1M). In low-contribution chimeras, Islet1 was expressed by most Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> cells (as it was by most wild-type cells) exiting the progenitor layer (Figure 1M), but in high-contribution chimeras, it was expressed by a smaller proportion (about 50%) of differentiating cells (Figure 1G).

These results indicate that cells in the prethalamus have an absolute cell-autonomous requirement for Pax6 in order to express Gsx2. Pax6 is not required for prethalamic Islet1 expression, although the observation that proportions of Islet1+ cells are reduced when large proportions of prethalamic cells are Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> suggests a non-cell-autonomous effect of extensive Pax6 loss on prethalamic Islet1 expression.

Loss of Pax6 Causes Expansion of Domains around the Prethalamic/Thalamic Border

In normal diencephalic development, Nkx2.2 expression marks a thin strip of cells around the prethalamic/thalamic border, including progenitors around the ZLI that coexpress Olig2 (Figure 2A) and postmitotic cells extending through the neuroepithelial wall to the developing VLG (Figures 1E and 2A; Kitamura et al., 1997; Schlopp and Lumsden, 2010; Vue et al., 2007). In Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> diencephalon, this domain was greatly expanded (double arrow in Figure 1F; Figure 2B); it still reached a collection of superficially located Nkx2.2+ cells in the same relative position as the VLG of normal embryos (arrowheads in Figure 2B). The domains of Lhx1 and Lhx5 expression in postmitotic cells around the prethalamic/thalamic border were also greatly enlarged in Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> embryos (Figures 2C–2F, S1A, and S1B).

We tested whether the mechanism of expansion of these domains of expression involved incorrect cellular specification, causing an abnormally broad swath of cells, of many of which would normally have adopted distinct thalamic or prethalamic identities, to take on the identities of cells normally located very close to the prethalamic/thalamic border. Ngn2+ lineage cells, which populate the thalamus of wild-type and Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> embryos (Figures 2I and 2J), were labeled using a transgene expressing a tamoxifen-inducible cre recombinase, creER, under the control of the Ngn2 promoter (Zirlinger et al., 2002) and a floxed-stop-GFP reporter allele (Miyoshi et al., 2010). Pregnant females received tamoxifen at E10.5 so as to induce reporter activation by E12.5 (Kessaris et al., 2006), and embryos were collected at E14.5. In E14.5 controls, GFP+ cells were present throughout the body of the thalamus, and their axons could be seen exiting through the prethalamus; Ngn2 lineage cells close to the thalamic/prethalamic border did not express Lhx1/Lhx5 (Figures 2I and 2K). In E14.5 Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> embryos, about 75% of the GFP+ cells located in the rostral part of the thalamus were double labeled for Lhx1/Lhx5 (Figures 2J and 2L; cells were counted in three sections from each of three embryos), providing evidence for the mis specification of many postmitotic cells in this region.

Examination of the thalamus in Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> embryos showed an overall reduction in its size (as marked by Sox2, Gbx2, and Ngn2 expression: Figures 1E, 1F, 2C, 2D, and S1C–S1F) but an expansion of the part of its progenitor domain closest to the ZLI at E12.3–E13.5 (Figures 2E–2H, 2M, 2N, S1G, S1H, and S2). This region, known as pTh-R (Inamura et al., 2011; Suzuki-Hirano et al., 2011; Vue et al., 2007; Jeong et al., 2011), expresses Ascl1, Gsx1, and Nkx2.2 but only low levels of Ngn2, and its expansion in Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> embryos coincides with a reduction in the size of the pTh-C, the caudal region of thalamic progenitors, which expresses Ngn2.

Previous studies showed that pTh-R contributes GABAergic neurons (Vue et al., 2007). Our analysis of postmitotic GABAergic neurons (VGAT and Gad67) and glutamatergic neurons (mGlur1) confirmed shrinkage of the glutamatergic population in caudal thalamus and expansion of the GABAergic population in rostral thalamus (Figures S3A–S3I). Expression of Six3 in a small group of very rostral postmitotic thalamic cells was also expanded in Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> mutants (Figures S3J–S3L, arrows). These findings indicate that the absence of Pax6 results in expansion of both pTh-R and the GABAergic population of cells that it generates at the expense of the glutamatergic pTh-C-derived population.

Mis-Patterning of Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> Cells in Rostral Thalamus Is Conditional

The fact that Pax6 is expressed more strongly in pTh-C than pTh-R progenitors and that rostral territory expands if Pax6 is lost suggests that Pax6 in pTh-C normally suppresses the molecular phenotype associated with the rostral thalamus. To begin addressing how Pax6 suppresses the rostral thalamic phenotype, we tested whether its requirement is cell autonomous by examining the expression of rostral thalamic markers in Pax6<sup>−/−</sup>→Pax6<sup>+/−</sup> chimeras.

Figure 3 shows examples of chimeras containing high or low proportions of Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> (GFP+) cells. Regardless of the balance of wild-type and mutant cells, the boundary region between
Figure 2. Expansion of Domains Close to the Thalamic/Prethalamic Border due to Cellular Misspecification

(A–H) Immunohistochemistry at E13.5. Insets in (A) and (B) show higher-magnification views of boxed areas. Arrowheads in (A) point to examples of double-labeled cells. Bracket in (B) indicates position of expanded thalamic/prethalamic border region. Arrowheads in (B) point to the equivalent of vLG. Broken double arrows point to the position of ZLI. Solid double arrows point to the position of pTh-R in (E), (F), and (H).

(I–L) Immunohistochemistry at E14.5 for Lhx1/Lhx5 and GFP expression (induced in Ngn2creER embryos by tamoxifen at E10.5). Hyp, hypothalamus. (K) and (L) are enlargements of the boxed areas in (I) and (J).

(M and N) Gsx7 expressed in pTh-R (arrowhead/solid double arrow) and Ngn2 in the ZLI (arrow/broken arrow) at E12.5.

Scale bars, 100 μm (A–D, G, and H), 50 μm (E, F, K, and L), 200 μm (I and J), and 75 μm (M and N). See also Figure S2.
the prethalamus and thalamus was always identifiable. Its progenitor layer contained cells that were both Olig3+ and Nkx2.2+ (Figures 3A, 3B, 3D, and 3E), and the overlying mantle zone contained Nkx2.2+ cells (Figures 3A and 3D) and Lhx1/Lhx5+ cells (Figure 3F), whose expression overlapped slightly with that of thalamic Sox2 expression as occurs in wild-type embryos (Figures 3G and 3H). Both wild-type and Pax6/−/− cells contributed to this boundary region, which was broader in those chimeras that contained a high proportion of mutant cells (marked by double-headed arrows in Figure 3A).

Nkx2.2, Lhx1/Lhx5, and Ascl1 are not normally expressed through the body of the thalamus, but they were expressed by some thalamic Pax6/−/− cells in chimeras. Examples are shown in Figures 3A, 3C, 3F, 3I, 3L, and 3N–3Q. Interestingly, the mutant thalamic cells that showed abnormal expression of Nkx2.2, Lhx1/Lhx5, and Ascl1, which their surrounding wild-type neighbors did not, were all located relatively close to the thalamic/prethalamic border (within about 100–200 μm) in clusters of 100–200 cells or more (Figures 3A, 3C, 3F, 3I, 3L, and 3N–3Q). Even large clusters of Pax6/−/− cells more distant from the border expressed the same markers as their wild-type neighbors (Figures 3A, 3F, 3I, 3L, and 3N). Smaller clusters of Pax6/−/− cells always showed the same expression as their wild-type neighbors (Figures 3D, 3J, 3K, and 3R–3V). These findings indicate that absence of Pax6 does not cause a straightforward cell-autonomous upregulation of the rostral thalamic phenotype (Nkx2.2+, Lhx1/Lhx5+, and Ascl1+) mutants. The pattern of Pax6/−/− cells in (T) is indicated lightly on (U) and (V). Those in the thalamus (arrows) are Ngn2+ Ascl1−, as are their wild-type neighbors.

Figure 3. Mis-Patterning of Pax6−/− Cells in Chimeras Depends on Their Number and Location

Sections are from E13.5 chimeras comprising Pax6−/− cells (GFP+) mixed with Pax6+/+ cells (except H). (A–C, F–I, and L–Q) Chimeras with high contributions of mutant cells. (H) A nonchimeric wild-type section is shown. Arrows in (G) and (H) point to double-labeled cells. Inset in (I) shows Pax6−/− thalamic cells labeled with Lhx1/Lhx5 and Sox2. Inset in (M) shows that, close to the ZLI, Pax6−/− cells express Ngn2, and abnormally large numbers of wild-type cells express Ascl1.

(D, E, J, K, and R–V) Chimeras with low contributions of mutant cells. Boxed areas are shown in higher-magnification panels marked beside each. Double-headed arrows in (A) point to the extent of Olig3 and Nkx2.2 coexpression corresponding to expanded ZLI and pTh-R of Pax6−/− mutants. The pattern of Pax6−/− cells in (T) is indicated lightly on (U) and (V). Those in the thalamus (arrows) are Ngn2+ Ascl1−, as are their wild-type neighbors.

Scale bars, 150 μm (A, D, F, J, L, and R), 50 μm (B, I, K, M, N, and T–V), 25 μm (C, G, H, P, Q, and S), 10 μm (E), and 40 μm (O). See also Figure S4.

Another revealing result was that in chimeras containing a high contribution of mutant cells, we observed expanded Ascl1 domains immediately caudal to the expanded Pax6−/− ZLI (i.e., corresponding to expanded pTh-R) that comprised wild-type cells, providing clear evidence for a non-cell-autonomous upregulation of Ascl1 and loss of Ngn2 around the expanded mutant ZLI (Figures 3L and 3M). In summary, our findings strongly suggest that a major underlying cause of abnormal thalamic
patterning in the absence of Pax6 is a position-dependent defect of local intercellular signaling. This contrasts with the absolute cell-autonomous requirement for Pax6 for Gax2 expression in prethalamus described above.

Expansion of the ZLI and Its Subdivisions in Pax6−/− Mutants

Position-dependent defects of signaling among cells around the thalamic/prethalamic border might be caused by defects of the ZLI. We compared the expression of markers of the ZLI in control and Pax6−/− embryos using in situ hybridization and immunofluorescence at E12.5–E13.5. The wild-type E12.5 ZLI is a thin Shh-expressing wedge-shaped structure in the alar plate (Figure 4A). Close to its tip, it appears as a single line of cells expressing both Shh and Ngn2 (Vue et al., 2007). We found, however, that as it widens toward its base (e.g., at the level of the broken line in Figure 4A), only its caudal part expresses both Ngn2 and Shh (Figure 4C; solid double-headed arrow in Figure 4E). Its rostral part expresses Dbx1 (broken double-headed arrow in Figure 4G), and a central domain is both Dbx1+ and Ngn2+ (arrow in Figure 4J; summarized in Figure 4K).

In E12.5 Pax6−/− mutants, there was a large rostrocaudal expansion of Shh expression in the alar plate in a location equivalent to that of the ZLI (Figures 4B, 4D, 4F, 4H, 4J, and 4L). This was divisible into an enlarged caudal domain expressing both Shh and Ngn2 (solid double-headed arrow in Figure 4F) and an enlarged rostral domain expressing both Shh and Dbx1 (broken double-headed arrow in Figure 4H) with an enlarged central domain expressing Dbx1 and Ngn2+ (double-headed arrow in Figure 4J). These results indicate that, in the absence of Pax6, the ZLI and its subdivisions, identified by expression/coexpression of Shh, Ngn2, and Dbx1, are enlarged, as summarized in Figures 4K and 4L. The Pitx2-expressing mantle zone immediately superficial to the ZLI is also expanded in Pax6−/− mutants (Figures S3M–S3O).

Expansion of the ZLI Is Caused by Misspecification of Cells around the Thalamic/Prethalamic Boundary

We then tested whether the expansion of the ZLI in Pax6−/− mutants results from the misspecification of cells flanking the normal position of the ZLI (e.g., rather than an overproliferation of correctly specified ZLI progenitors). The normal ZLI develops from E9.5–E10.5 in the mouse at the interface between a rostral diencephalic FezF1+ domain (Figure 4M) and a caudal diencephalic Irx1+ domain (Figure 4O) (Hirata et al., 2006). It comprises Shh+ cells sandwiched between Pax6+ domains rostral and caudal to it (Figures 4Q and 4U). We tested whether expansion of the ZLI in Pax6−/− embryos involves the erroneous activation of Shh by flanking cells expressing the Pax6 gene. We used two approaches to identify the Pax6+ cells in Pax6−/− mutants. In the first, they were labeled by GFP produced from a Pax6-yeast artificial chromosome-reporter transgene that expresses GFP in Pax6-expressing cells regardless of whether they are Pax6−/− or not (Pax6-GFP; Tyas et al., 2006). In the second, we identified Pax6+ cells by in situ hybridization. In E10.5 Pax6−/− embryos, the boundary between FezF1 and Inx1 expression was as clear as in controls (arrows in Figures 4M–4P), indicating that Pax6 is not required for this early patterning and allowing us to identify the position of ZLI formation.

We examined expression of Shh and Pax6-GFP at this position in E10.5 Pax6−/− embryos. In complete contrast to controls (Figures 4Q and 4U), we observed a relatively large block of tissue whose cells coexpressed high levels of Pax6-GFP and Shh (Figures 4R and 4V). This tissue was largely caudal to the position marked for ZLI development by the interface of FezF1+ and Inx1+ territories (compare Figures 4N and 4P with Figures 4R and 4V).

Normally, the difference in Pax6 expression between prethalamus (high) and thalamus (low) becomes greater by E12.5, as shown by expression of Pax6-GFP in Figures 4S and 4W. As at E10.5, in E12.5 Pax6−/− embryos (Figures 4T and 4X), the caudal part of the abnormally broad Shh+ domain extended abnormally far into the low Pax6-GFP-expressing thalamic progenitor layer (double-headed arrow in Figure 4X). The rostral part of the abnormally broad Shh+ domain overlapped the region of high Pax6-GFP prethalamic expression (broken double-headed arrow in Figure 4X), with cells in this region being double positive for Shh and Pax6-GFP expression. At E12.5, we also observed abnormal coexpression of Pax6 and Ngn2 mRNAs in this rostrally extended part of the expanded Pax6−/− ZLI (Figures 4Y–4BB). Whereas Ngn2 and Pax6 expression is normally complementary at E12.5 (with Ngn2 in the ZLI and high Pax6 rostral to it; Figures 4Y and 4AA), in Pax6−/− embryos, many Ngn2+ cells in the expanded ZLI coexpress high levels of Pax6 (Figures 4Z and 4BB). These findings indicate that Pax6−/− progenitor cells rostral and caudal to the position of normal ZLI formation are misspecified.

Misregulation of Shh Expression by Cells around the ZLI Is Cell Autonomous

The failure of cells around the thalamic/prethalamic border to repress Shh expression in Pax6−/− embryos might provide an explanation for aspects of the mis-patterning of this region in terms of its abnormal marker-gene expression in Pax6−/− embryos.
Figure 5. Cell-Autonomous Upregulation of Shh in the Pax6<sup>+/−</sup> Diencephalon

(A–E) E13.5 chimeras. Pax6<sup>−/−</sup> cells are GFP+. Shh mRNA expression is shown by in situ hybridization. Arrow in (B) and double-headed arrow in (D) and (E) point to clusters of mutant cells expressing Shh. (C) is (A) and (B) combined.
embryos and chimeras. To test this, we first examined chimeras to discover whether the abnormal upregulation of Shh in Pax6−/− cells around the thalamic/prethalamic border is cell autonomous. We focused on chimeric embryos in which small minorities of Pax6−/− cells were surrounded by vast majorities of wild-type cells, in which influences from outside Pax6−/− cell groups should be relatively normal.

In chimeras, small clusters of Pax6−/− (GFP+) cells throughout the diencephalon all expressed Shh regardless of their size and whether they were in the ZLI, thalamus, or prethalamus (Figures 5A–5E). There was systematic variation in their levels of Shh. Cells embedded in the ZLI expressed Shh at levels that were indistinguishable from those of their Shh-expressing wild-type neighbors (Figures 5A–5C). Outside the ZLI, Pax6−/− cells achieved levels of Shh expression that, although raised above those of their wild-type neighbors, were lower than those of cells in the ZLI (e.g., horizontal arrow in Figure 5B, and double-headed arrows in Figures 5D and 5E). We measured the relative intensities of Shh labeling across the diencephalon of wild-type and Pax6−/− embryos and in the clusters of Pax6−/− cells in chimeras. The relationship between intensity and distance from the ZLI was very similar in Pax6−/− mutants and in Pax6−/− cells in chimeras (Figure 5F).

In control chimeras comprising a mixture of Pax6+/+ and Pax6+/− GFP-expressing cells, the GFP+ cells were not clustered but were scattered throughout the diencephalon, the in situ hybridization staining for Shh appeared normal, and there was no evidence that levels of Shh depended on whether cells were GFP+ or not (Figures S4A–S4C).

These findings indicate that Pax6−/− diencephalic cells activate Shh cell autonomously because activation occurred even when mutant cells were embedded in an environment comprising a large majority of wild-type cells. Moreover, the results suggest that the mechanism that sets the level of activation with reference to distance from the ZLI in the absence of Pax6 acts cell autonomously because the relationship between the locations of the Pax6−/− patches and their levels of Shh expression reflected the relationship in Pax6−/− embryos. These results have the potential to explain the observed mis-patterning of those Pax6−/− cells close to the thalamic/prethalamic border in Pax6−/− mutants and chimeras. They might also explain the expanded Ascl1 domain affecting wild-type cells close to the border (Figures 3L and 3M) and the lack of repatterning among wild-type cells further from the border. We do not exclude the possibility that factors other than levels of Shh expression might also be important in region-specific repatterning.

**Pax6 Regulates Diencephalic Patterning by Controlling Shh Signaling**

We then tested whether abnormalities of diencephalic patterning in Pax6−/− embryos result from abnormally high Shh signaling around the ZLI, by administering vismodegib (GDC-0449) to inhibit Shh signaling in Pax6−/− embryos. We examined the
effects of Shh blockade on markers whose expression is altered at or around the ZLI and the thalamic/prethalamic border in Pax6/−/− mutants (Dbx1, Ngn2, Lhx5, Nkx2.2, and Ascl1).

In wild-types,Dbx1 is expressed in the ZLI and in a gradient through the thalamus (Figures 4G, 4I, 4K, and 6A), whereas in Pax6/−/− mutants, its expression is expanded at the ZLI and greatly reduced in the thalamus (Figures 4H, 4J, 4L, and 6D). Its expression at the ZLI was abolished by administration of vismodegib, both in wild-types and Pax6/−/− embryos (Figures 6B, 6C, 6E, and 6F), indicating that its expression/expanded expression in this region is dependent on Shh.

In wild-types, the intensity of staining for Lhx5 expression around the thalamic/prethalamic border declined with increasing doses of vismodegib, but otherwise, the pattern of expression appeared unaffected (Figures 6G–6I). In Pax6/−/− mutants, however, the region of low Ngn2 expression at the pTh-R was no longer visible after vismodegib treatment (Figures 6J–6N). Ascl1 has a complementary expression pattern to that of Ngn2: wild-types show high expression in pTh-R and prethalamus and low expression in the ZLI and thalamus (Figures 2G and S6A), whereas Pax6/−/− mutants show expanded expression at the pTh-R (Figures 2H and S6B). Vismodegib had no obvious effect on the Ascl1

Figure 6. Shh Blockade Affects Pax6/−/− Diencephalic Patterning
(A–X) Expression of Dbx1 (A–F), Lhx5 (G–N), Ngn2 (O–S), and Nkx2.2 (T–X) mRNAs in wild-type and Pax6/−/− E12.5 embryos treated with vismodegib (doses on each panel).
(J–M) The position of expanded mutant ZLI is indicated by analysis of Shh expression in adjacent sections (arrows). Shh expression is not altered by vismodegib; Ptch1 expression is (Figure S5).
Scale bars, 250 μm (A–N) and 200 μm (O–X). See also Figure S6.
expression pattern in wild-types (Figure S6C) but caused a marked loss of expression at the pTh-R of Pax6+/− mutants (Figure S6D), reducing both the area containing Ascl1+ cells and their density (from 20.5 ± 1.9 SEM, n = 3, to 9.78 ± 1.8, n = 3, cells per 0.005 mm²; p < 0.05, Student’s t test). Vismodegib had little effect on Nkx2.2 expression in wild-types (Figures 6T, 6U, and 6V), but it reduced substantially the expanded expression pattern in Pax6+/− mutants (Figure S6C) but caused a slight increase in Shh signaling in the expanded effect on Shh in the diencephalon and is repressed by Shh at the ZLI. Shh derepresses Smo (Taipale et al., 2002), thereby activating Dbx1 and Lhx5 and having a biphasic effect on Ptch1 expression. Pax6 cell autonomously activates Gax2 in prethalamus. (E) When Pax6 is absent, Shh expression is upregulated, thereby increasing Nkx2.2 expression, decreasing Ascl1 expression in pTh-R, and causing a complementary decrease of Ngn2 in this region.

**Figure 7. Effects of Pax6 on Shh and Diencephalic Patterning**

(A) Results of luciferase assays (firefly relative to Renilla luciferase activity). Activity of the Shh promoter is repressed by Pax6 (n = 5 independent assays; Student’s t test).

(B) The results of quantitative ChiP to test for enrichment of Shh or Syt8 (Pax6 nonbound control) promoter fragments. Mean ± SEM is shown (n = 3) of fold enrichments with Pax6 antibody relative to IgG control (Student’s t test).

(C and D) A model to explain our data. Pax6 represses Shh in the diencephalon and is repressed by Shh at the ZLI. Shh derepresses Smo (Taipale et al., 2002), thereby activating Dbx1 and Lhx5 and having a biphasic effect on Ptch1 expression. Pax6 cell autonomously activates Gax2 in prethalamus.

We also tested whether an indirect mechanism might contribute to the upregulation of Shh in Pax6+/− diencephalic cells. It was possible that Pax6 loss might increase Wnt signaling around the ZLI, and this might cause upregulation of Shh because early Wnt expression in the ZLI permits the induction of Shh (Martinez-Ferre et al., 2013). We used a β-galactosidase transgenic reporter (BAT-gal) (Maretto et al., 2003) to show strong Wnt/β-catenin signaling around the ZLI whose domain expands in Pax6+/− mutants (Figures S7A–S7F). In chimeras, however, we found no evidence that clusters of Pax6+/− cells expressed increased levels of Axin2 and Left1 (Figures S7G–S7L), which are readouts of levels of Wnt signaling (Hsu et al., 1998; Jho et al., 2002), indicating that increased Wnt signaling is unlikely to be a cause of changes in Shh expression by Pax6+/− diencephalic cells.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies showed that loss of Pax6 results in diencephalic patterning defects including expansion of the ZLI (Grindley et al., 1997; Pratt et al., 2000; Chatterjee et al., 2014), but...
the mechanisms were unclear. We find that a combination of cell-autonomous and non-cell-autonomous effects is responsible. Pax6 is required cell autonomously to repress diencephalic Shh expression. Some of the major patterning defects that occur around the ZLI when Pax6 is absent are caused by enhanced Shh activity. We show that expansion of the ZLI and territories around the thalamic/prefrontal border is caused by cells acquiring abnormal molecular identities. Our main findings are summarized in Figures 7C–7E.

Theoretical studies by others have indicated that the involvement in control systems of elements that mutually repress each other, such as Shh and Pax6, can enhance the system's robustness by buffering it against stochastic, interindividual fluctuations or temporal changes in morphogen levels and can generate bistability (Sokolowski et al., 2012). These properties are exactly those required within the diencephalon, which is a relatively small but intricately patterned structure, to maintain its cells in either a ZLI or a non-ZLI state with a sharp, reproducibly positioned transition between them. We propose that direct repression of Shh by Pax6 creates a feedback loop that is critical for the precision of normal diencephalic patterning by ensuring extremely tight, robust control of the size and influence of the ZLI.

Several aspects of our findings highlight the importance of the context within which interactions between regulatory molecules occur for their outcomes. Although previous studies have shown that Shh can repress Pax6 expression, including at the ZLI (Ericson et al., 1997; Klecker and Lumsden, 2004; Macdonald et al., 1995), our results show that this does not always happen. We found no evidence for repression of the Pax6 gene expression in diencephalic regions surrounding the normal position of the ZLI in Pax6−/− mutants. In these areas, expanded Shh expression caused an anticipated increase in the expression of its targets Ptch1 and Gli1, but it did not prevent Pax6 transcription, as shown by the abnormal double labeling of cells around the ZLI for both Shh and the Pax6 reporter or Pax6 mRNA. This suggests that, whereas Shh may normally contribute to the absence of Pax6 at the ZLI, elsewhere, the potential repressive effects of Shh on Pax6 expression are likely moderated by other factors. Another example of context dependency is seen in the prethalamus, where Pax6 is required for Gsx2 expression, whereas in the telencephalon, loss of Pax6 results in upregulation of Gsx2 in cortex, where it would not normally be expressed (Rallu et al., 2002; Toresson et al., 2000; Yun et al., 2001). The way in which a transcription factor affects its target genes probably depends mainly on the nature of the cofactors that are available for it to interact with.

Another important aspect of our findings concerns the interaction between Shh and Ptch1. Many studies have shown that Shh can drive expression of Ptch1 (Bai et al., 2002, 2004; Balaskas et al., 2012; Goodrich et al., 1996; Lee et al., 1997; Marigo and Tabin, 1996; Wiggerde et al., 2002). This explains the expression of Ptch1 by cells adjacent to the ZLI in normal embryos and by Pax6−/− cells more widely throughout the diencephalon in mutants and chimeras, but it leaves unexplained the paradoxical observation that Ptch1 is not expressed within the ZLI itself. Ptch1 and Gli1 are downregulated in regions expressing the highest levels of Shh not only at the ZLI but also along the neural tube (Marigo and Tabin, 1996), where cells become progressively refractory to Shh (Ribes et al., 2010), and in the expanded ZLI of Pax6−/− mutants. This suggests a biphasic response of Ptch1 and Gli1 to Shh, with very high levels of Shh repressing their expression (Figure 7C). Our findings using a Shh inhibitor suggest that this is indeed the case. They indicate that administration of Shh inhibitor to mutant embryos caused Ptch1 upregulation at the expanded ZLI, where Shh levels were blocking Ptch1 production, while simultaneously reducing Ptch1 expression in cells outside the expanded ZLI, where lower levels of Shh were activating Ptch1.

In our model, Shh activates Dbx1 in the ZLI’s ventricular zone and enhances Lhx5 expression in the overlying differentiating zone. Because the Shh receptor Ptch1 is not expressed at the ZLI, it is likely that this activation and enhancement involve double repression, i.e., Ptch1 receptor activation likely represses Dbx1 and Lhx5, and so loss of Ptch1 in the ventricular zone at the ZLI would allow expression of Dbx1 by progenitors and Lhx5 by their progeny. Other factors must also be involved in fine-tuning the expression of Dbx1 because Dbx1 is not expressed throughout all of the Shh-rich ZLI, but only in its rostral part. Lineage analysis has shown that progeny from the ZLI are incorporated into the rostral vLG, a nucleus that comprises GABAergic neurons whose axons do not project to the cortex (Suzuki-Hirano et al., 2011; Vue et al., 2007, 2009). It is possible that there are subtle differences in the vLG neurons derived from the different types of ZLI progenitor.

In summary, we found that mutual antagonism between Pax6 and Shh, involving cell-autonomous repression of Shh by Pax6, constrains the development of the ZLI, its production of Shh, and its influence on surrounding diencephalon. Pax6 is likely to have similar effects on Shh in other regions of the CNS, including the cortex (present findings and Mi et al., 2013) and the spinal cord, where its misexpression has been shown to reduce Shh expression (Lek et al., 2010). The functional importance of this repression outside the diencephalon is currently unclear; we show here that inhibition of Shh signaling in Pax6−/− cortex does not prevent abnormal cortical patterning. In the diencephalon, however, we conclude that Pax6 plays many of its essential roles in patterning by cell autonomously regulating Shh expression at the ZLI.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Animals

Animals were bred in-house following Home Office (UK) regulations. The Pax6 null allele used was Pax6Lox/+; Pax6-reporter mice were DTY54 (Tyas et al., 2006). Gax2cre mice were from Kessaris et al. (2008), and Ngn2creERT2 mice were from Zbringer et al. (2002). BAT-gal transgenic mice are described in Marrott et al. (2003).

Chimera Production

Pax6−/− and wild-type embryonic stem (ES) cells were stably transfected with tau-GFP expression construct pTP6 (Pratt et al., 2000). Chimeric embryos were produced by injection of Pax6−/− tau-GFP or Pax6+/- tau-GFP ES cells into blastocysts.

Immunofluorescence

Antibodies used were mouse anti-Islet1 (39.4D5), mouse anti-Lhx1/Lhx5 (4F2), and mouse anti-Hhox2.2 (74.5A5) obtained from the Developmental Studies
Hybridoma Bank (University of Iowa), mouse anti-Ascl1 (BD Biosciences), mouse anti-Ngn2 (clone 7G4; Lo et al., 2002), rabbit anti-Olig3 (Chemicon), rabbit anti-Sox2 (Ab5603; Chemicon), goat and rabbit anti-GFP (Abcam), and mouse anti-GFP (Roche).

**In Situ Hybridization**

Probes were labeled with digoxigenin, fluorescein, or dinitrophenol (DNP). For fluorescence double in situ hybridization, the probes were detected sequentially, and the slides were incubated in 10 mM HCl before detection of the second probe.

**Vismodegib Treatment**

We administrated GDC-0449 (Selleckchem) at different concentrations by oral gavage at E9.5. Suspensions of GDC-0449 powder were prepared in methylcellulose-Tween vehicle (MCT) (Lipinski et al., 2010). Controls were given MCT vehicle.

**Luciferase Assays**

Shh promoter sequence (Table S1) was cloned into pGLA4.10 promoterless firefly luciferase reporter vector (Promega). Pax6 was expressed using the pCMV-Pax6 construct (Mi et al., 2013). The Renilla luciferase vector was firefly luciferase reporter vector (Promega). Pax6 was expressed using the gavage at E9.5. Suspensions of GDC-0449 powder were prepared in methylcellulose-Tween vehicle (MCT) (Lipinski et al., 2010). Controls were given MCT vehicle.

Quantitative ChIP

DNA-protein complexes were precipitated with anti-Pax6 antibody (Covance) or with anti-immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody (Abcam) (Sansom et al., 2009). Primer pairs for quantitative PCR (qPCR) are given in Table S1. The amount of qPCR product obtained with anti-Pax6 antibody was expressed relative to that obtained with anti-IgG antibody.

Luciferase Assays

Shh promoter sequence (Table S1) was cloned into pGLA4.10 promoterless firefly luciferase reporter vector (Promega). Pax6 was expressed using the pCMV-Pax6 construct (Mi et al., 2013). The Renilla luciferase vector was pRLSV40 (Promega). Human embryonic kidney 293 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), harvested 48 hr after transfection, and analyzed with the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System using the GloMax luminometer (both Promega).
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