Inflammation-Based Prognostic Scores in Patients with Hepatitis B Virus-Related Hepatocellular Carcinoma After Liver Transplantation
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Background: Inflammation-based prognostic scores including systemic immune-inflammation index (SII), platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR) and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) have prognostic value in various cancers. We investigated the prognostic value of SII, PLR and NLR in patients who underwent liver transplantation (LT) for HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed the records of 189 patients who underwent LT for HBV-related HCC. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to determine the optimal SII, PLR and NLR cut-off value. Overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) following LT were calculated. The Kaplan–Meier method and the Cox proportional hazards model were used to evaluate the prognostic value of SII, PLR and NLR.

Results: The 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS rates were significantly lower in the high SII group (74.1%, 34.2%, and 32.3%, respectively) than in the low SII group (78.5%, 66.9%, and 59.9%, respectively; p = 0.000). The 1-, 3-, and 5-year RFS rates were, respectively, 75.9%, 59.7%, and 49.4% in the high SII group and 93.3%, 80.2%, and 73.7% in the low SII group (p = 0.000). Finally, OS curves were plotted by the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the Log rank test. High PLR and NLR scores were also associated with poor OS (p = 0.000 and p = 0.003) and poor RFS (p = 0.000 and p = 0.000). The multivariate analysis demonstrated that AFP ≥400 ng/mL, high MELD score, largest tumor size ≥5cm, SII ≥449.61, NLR ≥5.29, and PLR ≥98.52 were independent prognostic factors for OS.

Conclusion: High SII, PLR and NLR are significantly poor prognostic factors for overall survival and recurrence-free survival in patients with HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplantation.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common cancers and cause of cancer-related death, and the incidence and mortality have been increasing in European and North America.¹ HBV infection is the leading etiology of HCC, up to 400 million individuals are infected with HBV worldwide, and most of cases found in Asia and Africa.² Liver transplantation (LT) is considered to be the best choice for oncologic cure. However, selection of suitable patients for liver transplantation remains controversial, especially facing global organ shortage. The efficacy of LT is limited by the risk of HCC recurrence, which negatively affects patient survival. The HCC
recurrence after LT has been reported to be 30% approximately. The selection of LT is mainly based on the Milan criteria, which is dependent upon tumor size and number. Recent studies have shown that predictors of HCC recurrence post LT have emerged, including AFP, microvascular invasion, platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR), lymphocyte to monocyte ratio (LMR), neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and systemic Immuno-Inflammation Index (SII).4,6

There is increasing evidence that correlates preoperative immunological statuses with not only postoperative complications but also long-term outcomes of patients with certain tumors, which is associated with poorer cancer-specific survival in patients with malignant tumors.7–9 In addition, a growing number of studies have shown that circulating immune inflammatory cells such as platelets, neutrophils and lymphocytes play an important role in promoting the proliferation, invasion and migration of cancer cells by changing the tumor microenvironment.10 Recently, several inflammation-based scores, mainly including SII, NLR and PLR, have been served as useful prognostic biomarkers for multiple solid tumors.11,12 A novel indicator known as the SII was developed recently and has been demonstrated to be an effective and powerful prognostic indicator for several types of tumors, which combines lymphocyte, neutrophil, and platelet counts.13,14 However, the relationship between preoperative SII and prognosis in patients with HBV-related HCC after LT remains unclear. The aim of this study was to investigate the prognostic value of inflammation-based prognostic scores such as SII, PLR and NLR in patients undergoing LT for HBV-related HCC.

Methods
The records of 189 patients with HBV-related HCC who received LT at The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University (Guangzhou, China) from 2010 to 2015 were retrospectively reviewed. The diagnosis was confirmed by medical imaging and pathological examination of tissue specimens. Data extracted from the medical records included the recipient age and sex, model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score, hepatitis B virus (HBV) pre-operative laboratory results (total bilirubin, albumin, prothrombin time, international normalized ratio [INR], lymphocyte, neutrophil, platelet counts and AFP), imaging features (number and volume of tumor nodules, vascular invasion, ascites), pathologic diagnosis, and pretransplant treatments. The follow-up data extracted included death, cause of death, HCC recurrence or date of last follow-up. Patients were followed monthly for the first 6 months, peripheral blood was tested for tumor markers such as AFP and ultrasonography and enhanced CT were performed every 6 months.

All tumor patients including HCC on the waiting list were evaluated of extrahepatic metastasis by PET-CT, and cardiopulmonary function and general condition of the patients were evaluated by relevant examination. For tumor patients, after laparotomy, abdominal exploration will be performed routinely and then further operation will be performed. If the exploration finds that the condition is poor, the operation may be stopped. Patients with an expected waiting list time of over 6 months could have been treated with transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), ablation as a bridge to LT.

All data are presented as n (%) or median (IQR). Independent χ2 tests were used to compare categorical variables. Continuous variables were compared using t-tests. Survival curves were analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the Log rank test. The Cox proportional hazards model was used for univariate and multivariate analyses. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed, and the area under the ROC curve (AUC), sensitivity, and specificity were calculated to examine the predictive value of the proposed model. A cut-off value was derived from the AUC based on the highest Youden index. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19.0 statistical software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

All organs came from voluntary donations from citizens; no organs from executed prisoners (even with his/her consent) were used. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Istanbul. All protocols conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Helsinki Declaration. All patients signed informed consent before liver transplantation for their data to be used for research.

Results
A total of 189 consecutive adult liver transplant patients with HBV-related HCC who met the inclusion criteria were included in the analysis. Patient demographic and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The diagnosis was confirmed by medical imaging, seropositivity for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), and pathological examination of tissue specimens. Immunosuppressive therapy for all patients after LT was individualized therapy, and was
mainly based on Simulect and tacrolimus, combined with other immunosuppressive agents. Drug dosages were adjusted based on drug blood concentrations. Only a subset of patients received TACE and ablation for pre-transplant locoregional therapy.

The 189 patients in the study were 175 (92.59%) male and 14 (7.41%) female. Median age was 52 (interquartile range (IQR) 45–59) years. During a median follow-up of 41 months, 96 patients died and 57 were confirmed to have a tumor recurrence. The 1-, 3- and 5-year OS rates were 77.3%, 57.8%, and 52.2%, respectively; the 1-, 3- and 5-year RFS rates were 88.4%, 74.6%, and 67.0%, respectively.

We plotted a ROC curve to determine the optimal cut-off of SII, PLR and NLR for predicting survival after LT for HCC. Table 2 shows the AUC for survival with significant associations of inflammation cut-off scores of 449.61 for SII (AUC=0.629), 98.52 for PLR (AUC=0.636) and 5.29 for NLR (AUC=0.587).

The 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS rates were significantly lower in the high SII group (74.1%, 34.2%, and 32.3%, respectively) than in the low SII group (78.5%, 66.9%, and 59.9%, respectively; p = 0.000)(Figure 1A). The 1-, 3-, and 5-year RFS rates were, respectively, 75.9%, 59.7%, and 49.4% in the high SII group and 93.3%, 80.2%, and 73.7% in the low SII group (p = 0.000)(Figure 1B). Finally, OS curves were plotted by the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the Log rank test. High PLR and NLR scores were also associated with poor OS (p = 0.000 and p = 0.003; Figure 1C) and poor RFS (p = 0.000 and p = 0.000; Figure 1D and F).

Univariate analysis showed that AFP ≥400 ng/mL, high MELD Score, largest tumor size ≥400 cm, tumor number ≥2 and microvascular invasion were associated with poor OS (Table 3). To avoid multicollinearity, we conducted multivariate analysis using three models separately. Each multivariate model included only one immune-inflammatory indicator (SII, PLR, or NLR) or other significant predictor identified in univariate analysis. The multivariate analysis demonstrated that AFP ≥400 ng/mL, high MELD Score, largest tumor size ≥5 cm, SII ≥449.61, NLR ≥5.29, PLR ≥98.52 were independent prognostic factors for OS (Table 4).

Discussion

HCC is a highly angiogenic tumor which is in the setting of chronic inflammation and cirrhosis. LT is an ideal option for well-selected HCC, especially the Milan criteria was introduced. Since then, several expanded criteria were introduced in clinical practice. Most of these

Table 1: Clinical and Demographic Characteristics of the Patients with HBV-Related Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)

| Characteristics                  | Values |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Gender, n (%)                    |        |
| Male                             | 175 (92.59) |
| Female                           | 14 (7.41) |
| Age (years)                      | 52 (45–59) |
| Child-Pugh Class, n (%)          |        |
| A                                | 25 (13.22) |
| B                                | 127 (67.20) |
| C                                | 37 (19.58) |
| Tumor Number, n (%)              |        |
| Multiple                         | 90 (47.62) |
| Single                           | 99 (52.38) |
| Largest Tumor Size (cm)          | 3.9 (2.5–6.2) |
| Tumor Differentiation, n (%)     |        |
| Well                             | 3 (1.59) |
| Moderate                         | 181 (95.77) |
| Poor                             | 5 (2.64) |
| HCC Recurrence, n (%)            | 57 (30.16) |
| Median Follow-up (Months)        | 41 (15–61) |
| PLR≥98.52, n (%)                 | 79 (41.80) |
| NLR≥5.29, n (%)                  | 50 (26.46) |
| SII≥449.61, n (%)                | 53 (28.04) |
| AFP (ng/mL)                      | 71.5 (8.72–1330) |
| Microvascular Invasion, n (%)    |        |
| Yes                              | 33 (17.46) |
| No                               | 156 (82.54) |
| Milan Criteria, n (%)            |        |
| Yes                              | 81 (42.86) |
| No                               | 108 (57.14) |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 23.26 (20.98–24.65) |
| MELD Score                       | 10 (7–16) |
| Pre-LT Treatment, n (%)          |        |
| Surgical Resection               | 16 (8.47) |
| TACE                             | 63 (33.33) |
| Ablation                         | 17 (8.99) |

Table 2: Comparisons of the Areas Under the Curve (AUC) Values and the Cut-Off Values Among the Inflammatory Markers

|                         | AUC Cut-Off | SE 95% CI | P    |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|------|
| PLR                     | 0.636       | 98.52     | 0.040| 0.557–0.715 | 0.040 |
| NLR                     | 0.587       | 5.29      | 0.041| 0.505–0.668 | 0.041 |
| SII                     | 0.629       | 449.61    | 0.040| 0.550–0.708 | 0.002 |
criteria are based on tumor number, size, and macrovascular invasion. However, the tumor biological behavior such as histological differentiation and micro-vascular invasion cannot be evaluated preoperatively, which are strongly related to tumor recurrence after LT.\textsuperscript{18,19} So we need to identify other predictors of HCC recurrence after LT.

Recently, systemic inflammation has been recognised to play an important role in the tumorigenesis of HCC, most cases developing as a consequence of chronic liver disease progressing to fibrosis and ultimately malignancy.\textsuperscript{20} Moreover, numerous inflammation-related features have been identified in the peripheral blood of HCC patients, which include thrombocytosis, leukocytosis, hypoproteinemiam and relative lymphopaenia.\textsuperscript{21-23} A growing number of studies support the use of a combination of various acute phase proteins to develop composite, inflammation-based prognostic scores, which include the systemic Immune-Inflammation Index (SII), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), the platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR). Systemic inflammatory response as measured by SII, NLR and PLR have been shown to be good predictors of HCC prognosis. The exact mechanism is still unclear, but several hypotheses have been put forward. Platelets can be activated by tumor cells, and then form tumor bolus with tumor cells through the adhesion molecules on their surface to protect tumor cells from the killing effect of the immune system and promote tumor cell metastasis.\textsuperscript{24,25} And both basic and clinical studies have confirmed that antiplatelet therapy can promote tumor cell apoptosis and inhibit tumor metastasis, thereby reducing the risk of tumor recurrence and improving the prognosis of patients.\textsuperscript{26} Neutrophils can induce tumor proliferation and angiogenesis, as well as enhance the migration and metastasis of cancer cells. In addition, HCC cells induce neutrophils to release hepatocyte growth factor, which makes cancer cells become more aggressive.\textsuperscript{27} Lymphocytes play an important role in anti-tumor immunity, which can directly kill tumor cells and secrete a series of cytokines to activate

Figure 1 Relationship of (A and B) systemic Immune-Inflammation Index (SII), (C and D) platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR), (E and F) neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) to overall survival (OS), as well as recurrence-free survival (RFS).
Table 3 Univariate Analysis of Factors Associated with Mortality by Cox Proportional Hazards Model

| Variables                          | Univariate Analysis | 95% CI | P value |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------|---------|
| Gender (M/F)                      | 2.229               | 0.818–6.068 | 0.117   |
| Age (≥60<60) (years)              | 0.985               | 0.965–1.005 | 0.145   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                       | 0.989               | 0.937–1.043 | 0.678   |
| Child-Pugh Class (A/B/C)          | 0.797               | 0.487–1.304 | 0.367   |
| AFP (≥400<400) (ng/mL)            | 2.881               | 1.914–3.430 | 0.000   |
| MELD Score                        | 1.021               | 1.003–1.039 | 0.024   |
| Tumor Number (Single/Multiple)    | 0.644               | 0.430–0.965 | 0.033   |
| Largest Tumor Size (≥5<5) (cm)    | 1.912               | 1.278–2.859 | 0.002   |
| Differentiation (W/M/P)           | 0.567               | 0.179–1.798 | 0.335   |
| Pre-LT treatment                  | 1.157               | 0.774–1.729 | 0.478   |
| Microvascular Invasion            | 2.144               | 1.346–3.415 | 0.001   |
| PLR (≥98.52<98.52)                | 2.072               | 1.381–3.108 | 0.000   |
| NLR (≥5.29<5.29)                  | 1.866               | 1.229–2.832 | 0.003   |
| SII (≥449.61<449.61)              | 2.292               | 1.523–3.451 | 0.000   |

Table 4 Multivariate Analysis of Factors Associated with Mortality by Cox Proportional Hazards Model

| Variables                          | Multivariate Analysis | 95% CI | P value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|---------|
| AFP (≥400<400) (ng/mL)            | 2.067                 | 1.320–3.238 | 0.002   |
| MELD Score                        | 1.024                 | 1.005–1.044 | 0.014   |
| Tumor Number (Single/Multiple)    | 0.707                 | 0.466–1.074 | 0.104   |
| Largest Tumor Size (≥5<5) (cm)    | 1.673                 | 1.045–2.679 | 0.032   |
| Microvascular Invasion            | 1.409                 | 0.834–2.832 | 0.200   |
| PLR (≥98.52<98.52)                | 2.009                 | 1.317–3.065 | 0.001   |
| NLR (≥5.29<5.29)                  | 1.736                 | 1.138–2.650 | 0.011   |
| SII (≥449.61<449.61)              | 1.878                 | 1.229–2.871 | 0.004   |

In conclusion, our study identified that elevated pre-transplant SII, PLR and NLR were associated with poor OS and RFS, which could be used as prognostic factors for patients with HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplantation.
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