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Common Variants in the Adiponectin Gene (ADIPOQ) Associated With Plasma Adiponectin Levels, Type 2 Diabetes, and Diabetes-Related Quantitative Traits

The Framingham Offspring Study

Marie-France Hivert,1,2 Alisa K. Manning,3 Jarred B. McAteer,4,5 Jose C. Florez,2,4,5 Josée Dupuis,3 Caroline S. Fox,6,7 Christopher J. O’Donnell,2,7 L. Adrienne Cupples,3 and James B. Meigs1,2

OBJECTIVE—Variants in ADIPOQ have been inconsistently associated with adiponectin levels or diabetes. Using comprehensive linkage disequilibrium mapping, we genotyped single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in ADIPOQ to evaluate the association of common variants with adiponectin levels and risk of diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—Participants in the Framingham Offspring Study (n = 2,543, 53% women) were measured for glycemic phenotypes and incident diabetes over 28 years of follow-up; adiponectin levels were quantified at exam 7. We genotyped 22 tag SNPs that captured common (minor allele frequency > 0.05) variation at r² > 0.8 across ADIPOQ plus 20 kb 5’ and 10 kb 3’ of the gene. We used linear mixed effects models to test additive associations of each SNP with adiponectin levels and glycemic phenotypes. Hazard ratios (HRs) for incident diabetes were estimated using an adjusted Cox proportional hazards model.

RESULTS—Two promoter SNPs in strong linkage disequilibrium with each other (r² = 0.80) were associated with adiponectin levels (rs17300539; Pnominal[Pr] = 2.6 × 10⁻⁸, Pempire[Pr] = 0.0005 and rs822387; P = 3.8 × 10⁻⁸, P = 0.001). A 3’ untranslated region (3’UTR) SNP (rs8773857) was associated with adiponectin levels (P = 4.4 × 10⁻⁴; P = 0.005). A nonsynonymous coding SNP (rs17366743, Y111H) was confirmed to be associated with diabetes incidence (HR 1.94 [95% CI 1.16–3.25] for the minor C allele; P = 0.01) and with higher mean fasting glucose over 28 years of follow-up (P = 0.0004; P = 0.004). No other significant associations were found with other adiposity and metabolic phenotypes.

CONCLUSIONS—Adiponectin levels are associated with SNPs in two different regulatory regions (5’ promoter and 3’UTR), whereas diabetes incidence and time-averaged fasting glucose are associated with a missense SNP of ADIPOQ. Diabetes 57: 3353–3359, 2008

Adiponectin is an adipokine produced by adipocytes that has drawn attention over the past few years for its potential role in diabetes physiology (1). Adiponectin is believed to have anti-inflammatory and insulin-sensitizing properties. High levels of circulating adiponectin have been associated with lower diabetes incidence in many prospective studies (1).

Adiponectin is encoded by the gene ADIPOQ located in the chromosomal region 3q27. ADIPOQ spans 16 kb and contains three exons. Previous genome-wide linkage scans have identified 3q27 as a susceptibility locus for diabetes (2,3). Various single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in ADIPOQ have been reported to be associated with adiponectin levels and/or diabetes but with inconsistent results. A recent comprehensive review (4) showed that a few ADIPOQ SNPs were associated with adiponectin levels and insulin resistance, but none was consistently associated with diabetes or with adiposity as measured by BMI. As underlined by Menzaghi et al. (4), the lack of consistent findings emphasizes the need for comprehensive characterization of the genetic variation in and around the ADIPOQ gene. They also emphasized the need to address the issue that some ADIPOQ SNPs seem to be associated with adiponectin levels, whereas others seem to be associated with insulin resistance and diabetes-related metabolic traits.

With this background in mind, we conducted a fine-mapping study of ADIPOQ, including regulatory regions upstream and downstream of the gene. We studied participants of the Framingham Offspring Study (FOS), a large representative community-based sample that has been followed prospectively for cardiovascular risk factors, including intermediate metabolic traits and diabetes. Our goals were to confirm the associations of SNPs reported previously, to identify SNPs that might have stronger adiponectin or diabetes association signals than those reported, and to seek new associations with adiponectin levels or diabetes incidence using comprehensive characterization of the gene and detailed metabolic phenotyping.
over a long follow-up, in a large population sample to ensure adequate power.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Participants include 2,543 individuals from the FOS. This cohort, composed almost exclusively of individuals from European descent, was commenced in 1971 and has received periodic examinations, including fasting blood tests (5,6). This analysis includes 28 years of follow-up from exam 1 (1971–1974) through exam 7 (1998–2002) for diabetes and cross-sectional data from exam 7. The study was approved by the institutional review board at Boston University, and informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Fasting total adiponectin was measured by ELISA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Characteristics at entry and at exam 7 of 2,543 FOS participants genotyped for ADIPOQ variants

| n | Mean ± SD |
|---|-----------|
| Total individuals genotyped | 2,543 |
| Unrelated | 1,448 |
| Pedigrees | 282 |
| Sibpairs | 994 |
| Avuncular pairs | 66 |
| Cousins | 653 |
| Sex (% women) | 2,543 |
| Age at entry (exam 1) (years) | 3,543 |
| BMI at entry (exam 1) (kg/m²) | 25.43 |
| Cases of diabetes over 28 years | 244 |
| Mean glucose from exams 1–7 (mg/dl) | 2,543 |

HOMA-IR, homeostasis model insulin resistance calculated by [fasting glucose × fasting insulin]/22.5. hyperglycemia (defined as fasting blood glucose >100 mg/dl on two exams during follow-up).

Statistical significance was determined using an empirical P value obtained by a simulation strategy, which generated a null distribution of minimum P values. We simulated a trait for our sample using SIMQTL in SOLAR (11). The heritability of the trait was 35%, although similar null distributions were obtained for heritabilities of 15 and 50%. The simulated trait was analyzed in the same manner as the trait residuals, using LME models implemented in SOLAR, and the minimum P value observed over all of the SNPs was recorded. Ten thousand traits were generated to create an empirical distribution of minimum P values. This strategy provides correction for correlation among the SNPs but does not correct for the multiple traits being tested. We report both nominal (P₀) and empiric (Pₑ) P values.

Multiple SNP models. To assess whether association signals were due to linkage disequilibrium among the SNPs or were independent, we sequentially added SNPs to LME models. If the signals were independent, we expected that they would each remain significant in these models. Alternatively, if any became nonsignificant, we would conclude that the positive associations were due to the tag SNP remaining significant in the multi-SNP model.

BMI stratification and interaction models. As exploratory analyses, we assessed the effects of BMI on the associations between all SNPs and the main traits of interest (adiponectin levels, mean glucose over a long follow-up, and diabetes incidence) by testing the associations stratified by obesity status (nonobese, i.e., BMI <30 kg/m², and obese, i.e., BMI ≥30 kg/m²). We also tested the presence of interactions between BMI and each SNP for the same traits.

RESULTS

The clinical characteristics of study participants are presented in Table 1. Mean adiponectin levels were 7.4 ± 4.5 µg/ml for men and 12.4 ± 6.4 µg/ml for women. Characteristics at exam 5, including the OGTT results, are presented in supplementary Table 1, and exam 7 adiposity measures by computed tomography are in supplementary Table 2, both of which are available in the online appendix. Details of the genotyped SNPs are presented in Table 2. The tag SNPs captured 100% of SNPs passing quality control with an r² ≥0.80 and an MAF >0.05. The details of all SNPs captured by our tagging approach are available in supplementary Table 3 in the online appendix. The SNPs span 45,852 bp, with an average inter-SNP distance of 1,706 bp. A nonsynonymous coding SNP located in exon 3 (rs17366743 [Y111H]) had an MAF of 0.036 in the FOS but
Adiponectin levels were available in 2,018 genotyped participants. Figure 1 illustrates the association of SNPs with adiponectin levels and the strength of their association (expressed as −log P) adjusted for sex, age, and age² or for sex, age, age², and BMI. The minor A allele (MAF = 0.10) at SNP rs17300539 (−11391G/A), located in the promoter region, showed the strongest association with higher adiponectin levels under an additive model ($P_n = 2.6 \times 10^{-8}$, $P_e = 0.0005$). Also in the 5'-linkage disequilibrium region, the minor C allele at rs822387 (MAF = 0.10) was strongly associated with higher adiponectin levels ($P_n = 3.8 \times 10^{-5}$, $P_e = 0.001$) and was in strong linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 = 0.794$ in unrelated members of the FOS) with rs17366743 (Y111H), which is a nonsynonymous SNP.

In the 3'UTR, the minor A allele at rs6773957 (MAF = 0.41) showed the strongest association with higher adiponectin levels ($P_n = 4.4 \times 10^{-5}$, $P_e = 0.005$). Located further downstream in the 3' region, rs6444175 showed a nominal trend of association with adiponectin levels that did not remain significant after multiple testing correction ($P_n = 0.017$; $P_e = 0.2$); however, further adjustment for BMI seemed to strengthen the association ($P_n = 0.003$; $P_e = 0.04$). Also, in the second linkage disequilibrium region, rs1501299 (+276G/T; located in intron 2) showed a nominal association with adiponectin levels once adjusted for BMI ($P_n = 0.007$), but the empiric $P$ value was not significant ($P_e = 0.10$). The two latter SNPs (rs6444175 and rs1501299) are in strong linkage disequilibrium with each other ($r^2 = 0.92$) and in moderate linkage disequilibrium with rs6773957 ($r^2 = 0.5$). A few other SNPs had nominal associations with adiponectin levels; all of the details for each SNP association with adiponectin levels (without and with adjustment for BMI) are shown in Table 3.

Diabetes incidence was associated with only one SNP, rs17366743 (Y111H), which is a nonsynonymous SNP on chromosome 3 (NCBI 35) Relation to gene Call rate HWE $P$ value Major allele Minor allele MAF

| SNP         | Previous name in the literature | Location on chromosome 3 (NCBI 35) | Relation to gene | Call rate | HWE $P$ value | Major allele | Minor allele | MAF |
|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------|
| rs10937273  |                                 | 188032397 5' of promoter           |                  | 0.951     | 0.013         | G            | A            | 0.43 |
| rs864265    |                                 | 188036994 5' of promoter           |                  | 0.976     | 0.071         | G            | T            | 0.14 |
| rs822387    |                                 | 188038739 5' of promoter           |                  | 0.995     | 0.816         | T            | C            | 0.10 |
| rs16861194  | −11426A/G                       | 188042127 Promoter                 |                  | 0.991     | 0.290         | A            | G            | 0.07 |
| rs17300539  | −11391G/A                       | 188042162 Promoter                 |                  | 0.984     | 0.816         | G            | A            | 0.10 |
| rs266729    | −11377C/G                       | 188042176 Promoter                 |                  | 0.980     | 0.055         | C            | G            | 0.27 |
| rs182052    |                                 | 188043484 Intron 1                 |                  | 0.993     | 0.0002        | G            | A            | 0.33 |
| rs822391    |                                 | 188046505 Intron 1                 |                  | 0.963     | 0.686         | T            | C            | 0.19 |
| rs822396    |                                 | 188049579 Intron 1                 |                  | 0.995     | 0.784         | A            | G            | 0.19 |
| rs12495941  |                                 | 188050882 Intron 1                 |                  | 0.951     | 0.781         | G            | T            | 0.35 |
| rs7649121   |                                 | 188051487 Intron 1                 |                  | 0.994     | 0.893         | A            | T            | 0.19 |
| rs17366568  |                                 | 188053155 Intron 1                 |                  | 0.977     | 1.000         | G            | A            | 0.11 |
| rs2241766   | +45T/G                          | 188053594 Exon 2 coding synonymous|                  | 0.748     | 0.182         | T            | G            | 0.15 |
| rs1501299   | +276G/T                         | 188053825 Intron 2                 |                  | 0.727     | 0.623         | T            | C            | 0.26 |
| rs3821799   |                                 | 188054188 Intron 2                 |                  | 0.994     | 0.802         | C            | T            | 0.46 |
| rs3774262   |                                 | 188054516 Intron 2                 |                  | 0.994     | 0.597         | G            | A            | 0.14 |
| rs17366743  | Y111H                           | 188054791 Exon 3 coding nonsynonymous|              | 0.996     | 1.000         | T            | C            | 0.04 |
| rs6773957   |                                 | 188056407 3' UTR                    |                  | 0.988     | 0.796         | G            | A            | 0.41 |
| rs6444175   |                                 | 188062446 3' of 3'UTR              |                  | 0.982     | 0.459         | G            | A            | 0.27 |
| rs13085499  |                                 | 188063542 3' of 3'UTR              |                  | 0.982     | 0.933         | A            | G            | 0.47 |
| rs7628649   |                                 | 188068083 3' of 3'UTR              |                  | 0.990     | 0.113         | C            | T            | 0.14 |
| rs17374144  |                                 | 188068229 3' of 3'UTR              |                  | 0.979     | 0.186         | C            | T            | 0.13 |

Call rate, success rate of genotyping each SNP in the FOS sample; HWE $P$ value, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test $P$ values, with significance set at $<0.0001$. MAF, MAF in the FOS, calculated from a subsample of 1,491 unrelated participants.
coding for a Y→H change at codon 111 in exon 3 of *ADIPOQ*. In age-sex-adjusted analyses, those carrying the minor C allele (MAF = 0.036) were associated with a hazard ratio (HR) of 1.94 for incident diabetes (95% CI 1.16–3.25; *P* = 0.01). rs17306743 also was associated with 28-year time-averaged mean FPG (*P* = 0.0004; *P* = 0.004); consistent with our diabetes survival analysis, the minor C allele was associated with higher glucose levels. We had limited power to see smaller effects (HR 1.1–1.4) on diabetes incidence, even with higher MAF (supplementary Table 9). Figure 2 summarizes the associations of SNPs in *ADIPOQ* with diabetes incidence and mean FPG. A few SNPs also showed trends of associations with other glycemic quantitative traits, but none remained statistically significant after empiric correction (supplementary Tables 4 and 5, available in the online appendix). Subsidiary analysis did not show any SNP to be significantly associated with incidence of hyperglycemia (affecting 52.7% of the FOS) (supplementary Table 10, available in the online appendix).

Concerning adiposity, we did not find an association between any SNPs in *ADIPOQ* and adiposity measurements, including BMI, waist circumference, or visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue volumes (supplementary Tables 4–6). In the obesity-stratified analyses, our main findings seemed to have a similar effect on adiponectin levels in both nonobese and obese strata; whereas two SNPs (rs17306588 and rs864265) appeared to have a stronger effect in the obese population. There was no significant interaction between any of the SNPs and BMI on diabetes incidence. All of the details for each SNP association with adiponectin levels, mean glucose, and diabetes incidence stratified by obesity status and tested for interaction with BMI are presented in supplementary Table 8, available in the online appendix.

In the 5′–linkage disequilibrium region–multiple SNPs model, both rs17300539 and rs822387 remained significantly associated with adiponectin levels, indicating that despite their strong linkage disequilibrium, they may represent independent signals. In the 3′–linkage disequilibrium region, including rs6773957, rs6444175, and rs78058083, associations with adiponectin levels were observed.

---

**TABLE 3**

Adiponectin levels for each SNP in and around *ADIPOQ* in the FOS

| SNP          | Position on chromosome 3 (NCBI 35) | n   | Alleles M/M | M/M | M/m | m/m | Empiric P value* | Empiric P value† |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-----------------|
| rs10937273   | 188032397                         | 1.870 | G/A        | 10.07 ± 6.39 | 10.11 ± 6.1 | 9.53 ± 5.53 | 0.01         | 1.0            |
| rs864265     | 188036994                         | 1.885 | G/T        | 10.14 ± 6.17 | 9.74 ± 6.03 | 9.05 ± 4.83 | 0.09         | 0.71          |
| rs822387     | 188038739                         | 1.949 | T/C        | 9.81 ± 6.09  | 10.9 ± 6.1  | 11.52 ± 4.97 | 3.77 ± 10^-5 | 0.001          |
| rs16861194   | 188042127                         | 1.942 | A/G        | 10.02 ± 5.98 | 9.8 ± 6.76  | 7.7 ± 5.08  | 0.06         | 0.57          |
| rs17300539   | 188042162                         | 1.929 | G/A        | 9.71 ± 6.06  | 11.5 ± 6.21 | 11.56 ± 5.25 | 2.64 ± 10^-8 | 0.0005         |
| rs266729     | 188042176                         | 1.921 | C/G        | 9.96 ± 5.9  | 9.96 ± 6.28 | 10.43 ± 6.58 | 0.46         | 1.0           |
| rs182052     | 188043484                         | 1.949 | G/A        | 10.01 ± 5.69 | 9.56 ± 3.88 | 10.2 ± 6.64 | 0.12         | 0.83          |
| rs822391     | 188045605                         | 1.898 | T/C        | 9.98 ± 6.09  | 9.99 ± 6.14 | 9.24 ± 5.84 | 0.02         | 1.0           |
| rs822396     | 188045879                         | 1.947 | A/G        | 10 ± 6.08   | 10.07 ± 6.11| 9.17 ± 6.11 | 1.0          | 0.92          |
| rs12489541   | 188050882                         | 1.876 | G/T        | 10.09 ± 6.28 | 10.1 ± 6.16 | 9.35 ± 5.51 | 1.0          | 0.53          |
| rs7649181    | 188051997                         | 1.947 | A/T        | 9.76 ± 5.34  | 10.14 ± 6.24| 9.99 ± 5.89 | 0.09         | 1.0           |
| rs17366568   | 188053155                         | 1.917 | G/A        | 10.07 ± 6.02 | 9.61 ± 6.41 | 10.6 ± 5.8  | 0.03         | 0.38          |
| rs2241766    | 188053594                         | 1.499 | T/G        | 9.9 ± 6.07  | 10.4 ± 6.45 | 9.88 ± 4.66 | 0.13         | 0.85          |
| rs1501299    | 188053825                         | 1.459 | A/G        | 9.93 ± 6.42  | 10.01 ± 5.69| 10.39 ± 5.49 | 0.05         | 0.50          |
| rs3821790    | 188054186                         | 1.947 | C/T        | 9.97 ± 6.29  | 10.11 ± 6.15| 10.05 ± 5.55 | 0.10         | 0.75          |
| rs3774262    | 188054516                         | 1.947 | G/A        | 9.86 ± 6.03  | 10.49 ± 6.29| 10.35 ± 6.14 | 0.11         | 0.81          |
| rs17366743   | 188054791                         | 1.952 | C/T        | 9.99 ± 6.07  | 10.23 ± 6.32| NA ± NA     | 0.54         | 1.0           |
| rs6773957    | 188056407                         | 1.933 | G/A        | 9.58 ± 6.23  | 10.24 ± 6.16| 10.21 ± 5.35 | 0.0004       | 0.005         |
| rs6444175    | 188062446                         | 1.926 | G/A        | 9.84 ± 6.34  | 10.19 ± 5.88| 10.12 ± 5.7  | 0.03         | 0.21          |
| rs1305499    | 188063542                         | 1.928 | G/A        | 9.91 ± 6.33  | 10.08 ± 6.12| 9.93 ± 5.75  | 0.32         | 0.99          |
| rs7628649    | 188068083                         | 1.938 | C/T        | 9.94 ± 6.09  | 10.2 ± 6.03 | 10.4 ± 6.26 | 0.65         | 1.0           |
| rs17374414   | 188068229                         | 1.924 | C/T        | 9.84 ± 6.01  | 10.64 ± 6.51| 8.99 ± 5.25  | 0.03         | 0.32          |

Data are means ± SD. M, Major allele; m, minor allele. *Empiric P value calculated by simulation strategy form the P values adjusted for sex, age, and age^2. †Empiric P value calculated by simulation strategy form the P values adjusted for sex, age, age^2, and BMI.

---

**FIG. 2.** Negative log base 10 of the *P* value for genetic associations with diabetes survival (circles) and mean glucose over 29 years of follow-up (diamonds) under the additive model (left *y*-axis), graphed versus SNPs in the *ADIPOQ* region arranged by chromosomal position (*x*-axis). The continuous line marked by the right *y*-axis indicates the recombination rate. The *ADIPOQ* gene is shown by the horizontal arrow at the bottom of the plot. Open symbols indicate traits adjusted for sex and age; closed symbols indicate additional adjustment for BMI.
and rs1501299 in the same model, there was a loss of significance for all three SNPs, indicating that they probably represent the same signal, with rs6773957 having the strongest individual effect. Finally, we examined a model with all SNPs associated with adiponectin levels. Only the two SNPs in the promoter region (rs17300539 and rs822387) remained significant in the model.

We performed subsidiary analyses using recessive and dominant genetic models for the main traits of interest. The results are presented in supplementary Table 7, available in the online appendix. In brief, dominant model results were very similar to the additive model, whereas recessive models showed similar direction of effect but no significant association. Some trends for SNPs other than the ones observed in the additive models were seen, but we consider those findings exploratory.

**DISCUSSION**

In the community-based FOS, we found that adiponectin levels were associated with SNPs located in two distinct regulatory regions around the *ADIPOQ* gene. SNPs in the promoter region associated with adiponectin levels confirm previous reports (rs822387 and rs17300539) (4,14). Our detailed mapping allowed us to detect that adiponectin levels were also associated with previously unreported SNPs in or near the 3′UTR (rs6773957 and rs4444175). Given their linkage disequilibrium pattern, one of these novel SNPs might account for the previously reported association between rs1501299 (+276G/T) and adiponectin levels (4). Diabetes incidence and time-averaged mean glucose were associated with rs17366743, a nonsynonymous coding SNP, confirming previous reports (15–17).

**Adiponectin levels and SNPs in the 5′-promoter region.** We found that the minor C allele rs822387 was associated with higher adiponectin levels, confirming the report of Heid et al. (14). As reported in both studies, rs822387 is in strong linkage disequilibrium with the promoter SNP rs17300539, but both SNPs remained statistically significant when considered jointly in the LME model. This suggests that they may both have an independent effect on adiponectin levels, but it would be difficult to affirm this with certitude because of their very strong mutual linkage disequilibrium.

Two SNPs in the promoter region have been frequently genotyped in *ADIPOQ* association studies: rs17300539 (−11391G/A) and rs266729 (−11377C/G). Our findings are consistent with Menzaghi et al. (4), by whom the minor A allele at rs17300539 was reported to be associated with higher adiponectin levels in a meta-analysis of >2,000 individuals. Furthermore, rs17300539 was shown to have a functional role in in vitro data: The A allele seemed to enhance the *ADIPOQ* promoter activity and increased transcriptional activity (18). rs266729 did not meet the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis of Menzaghi et al. (4). Some studies have reported that rs266729 was associated with adiponectin levels (16), whereas others did not (19); it seemed that the level of obesity of the population studied might have played a role in revealing the association (17,19). Cauchi et al. (20) also suggest that obesity status contributes to the association between rs266729 and diabetes. We did not find a BMI × genotype interaction in the association between rs266729 and adiponectin levels or diabetes incidence. Our negative results are consistent with either false-positive findings in the initial reports or lack of power in the current study.

**Adiponectin levels and SNPs in the 3′UTR region.** Another SNP often genotyped in *ADIPOQ* studies was rs1501299 (+276G/T). In our analysis, the minor T allele was nominally associated with higher adiponectin levels, consistent with Menzaghi et al. (4). However, in vitro data has not supported a functional role for rs1501299 in adiponectin expression (18). It has been proposed that rs1501299 might be a marker, through linkage disequilibrium, of a functional variant in the 3′UTR region (4). A novel 3′UTR SNP, rs6773957, showed the strongest signal in this region of *ADIPOQ*. Others have reported other SNPs in the 3′UTR nominally associated with adiponectin levels (14,19). At this time, we could not find any published functional data directly addressing SNPs in the 3′UTR to confirm that any of these SNPs might be the cause of change in adiponectin levels. More in vitro studies are needed to discover the functional role of one or many of the variants in the 3′UTR.

By extending our mapping up to 10 kb downstream to the *ADIPOQ* in the 3′ region, we found that minor A allele at rs6444175 was associated with higher adiponectin levels. This newly reported SNP is in moderate linkage disequilibrium with rs6773957 (r² = 0.51 in the FOS) and in strong linkage disequilibrium with rs1501299 (r² = 0.92). Using genetic association alone, we cannot distinguish which of the three SNPs (rs6773957, rs1501299 or rs6444175) is driving the association signal; the results of multiple regression analysis indicate that the effect of these three SNPs were not independent. Also, the multiple SNPs analysis including all SNPs associated with adiponectin levels in our data showed that only the promoter SNPs remained significantly associated in the model. This might be because the association signal in the 3′ region may be driven by the association stemming from the promoter SNPs, despite the high recombination rate and the low linkage disequilibrium between the two regions (r² = 0.12 for rs17300539 with rs6773957).

**Diabetes and glycemic traits.** Diabetes incidence over 28 years was nominally associated with rs17366743 (Y111H). This amino acid change from a Tyr (T allele) into a His (C allele) in position 111 of the adiponectin protein is located at the hinge between the collagen and the globular domains of adiponectin. It has been hypothesized that such a change might alter the spatial organization and the function of the protein by hindering the complexation of collagenous homotrimers in bundles (16). Directed functional changes in coding sequence have not shown that Y111H alters the high molecular weight formation of adiponectin, in contrast to other coding mutants (21); rather, Y111H is believed to disrupt the exonic splicing enhancer, which could influence alternative splicing of the message (http://fastsnp.ibms.sinica.edu.tw). Mutations in the exonic splicing enhancer have been shown in other examples of increased genetic risk for diseases, including the *BRCA1* gene in breast cancer (22). Therefore, even if rs17366743 does not influence the level of the circulating adiponectin, it could influence the functionality of the protein and so decrease the insulin-sensitizing role of adiponectin, putting carrier individuals at higher risk of diabetes. The minor C allele of rs17366743 is relatively rare in Framingham (3.6%) but somewhat more frequent in HapMap CEU subjects (7.5%). Our findings are consistent with the report by Vasseur et al. (16) and Ukkola et al. (15) but in contrast to the findings of Gu et al. (23). We accessed the DIAGRAM dataset (http://www.well.ox.ac.uk/DIAGRAM/) to look for other replications. Unfortu-
nately, because rs17366743 is a rare SNP, it was not genotyped directly, and its best proxy in HapMap European descent (rs7649121) offered low linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 \approx 0.17$), but the effect was in the same direction ($P = 0.009$).

The association of rs17366743 with time-averaged FPG in our data increases our confidence that this is a true finding and not a result of type 1 error. In the HERITAGE Family Study, the minor C allele was associated with a lower sensitivity index and a higher acute insulin response to glucose during an intravenous glucose tolerance test but not with the disposition index, suggesting higher insulin resistance and a compensatory response in individuals carrying the risk allele (15). In contrast, Heid et al. (14) did not find an association between Y111H and any of the parameters of the metabolic syndrome. In fact, despite extensive mapping and detailed phenotyping in their large sample, none of the SNPs genotyped by Heid et al. (14) was reported to be significantly associated with parameters of the metabolic syndrome. Similarly, very few SNPs other than rs17366743 were nominally associated with metabolic traits in our dataset. The reasons for such sparse findings might be related to the fact that glycemic and metabolic traits are influenced by so many genetic and environmental factors that the contribution of ADIPOQ variants is difficult to capture.

**Strengths and limitations.** Strengths of our study include high-quality genotyping, comprehensive coverage of ADIPOQ and its flanking regions, the statistical power afforded by a large number of participants in a general community sample including a family-based component, and standardized phenotyping over 28 years of follow-up. Nevertheless, this study has a few limitations. We measured total adiponectin and not the high molecular weight fraction, which has been proposed to have a stronger correlation with insulin resistance compared with total adiponectin (24). Also, adiponectin levels were measured at exam 7 (the last follow-up examination); results might have been different if adiponectin was measured earlier during the follow-up. If adiponectin truly lies on the causal pathway of diabetes development, measurements of adiponectin levels at the beginning of follow-up might have revealed associations between the relevant SNPs and both protein levels and diabetes incidence, in line with the concept of Mendelian randomization. We had limited power to test this concept: for example, for promoter SNP rs17300539 (our strongest signal associated with adiponectin levels), according to an additive model, each minor allele (MAF = 0.10) increases adiponectin levels by 1.63 μg/ml, and assuming that each 1 μg/ml increase in adiponectin levels decreases diabetes risk incidence by 8.1% (24), we had <10% power to detect the effect of rs17300539 on diabetes incidence. Some previous associations could not be replicated; whether this is due to a false-positive original result or insufficient power to detect it in our samples is not clear. Also, novel associations need independent replication before we can confidently claim they represent true findings. Our sample is of European origin, and our findings may not be generalizable to populations with different linkage disequilibrium patterns. Finally, genetic associations do not prove that the SNP is the direct cause of the defect; fine-mapping and functional studies are needed to identify the true causal variants.

**Conclusions.** We confirmed significant associations between adiponectin levels and ADIPOQ SNPs in the 5'-promoter region (rs17300539 and rs822587) while unmasking a new association in the downstream 3'UTR region (rs6773957 and rs6444175). On the basis of linkage disequilibrium measures, we believe those two regions represent distinct association signals, and thus, adiponectin levels seem to be influenced by both regulatory segments. In addition, diabetes survival and mean glucose were associated with the nonsynonymous SNP rs17366743 (Y111H), which might influence alternative splicing. According to the hypothesis of Mendelian randomization, a causal variation in the genome should influence both the levels of a biomarker and the risk of disease. Our findings do not preclude the existence of the concept of Mendelian randomization but underline that it might not be always straightforward to demonstrate it, particularly when both effect sizes (that of genotype on the intermediate phenotype and that of the intermediate phenotype on the clinical endpoint) are modest. Our results suggest that the alteration in adiponectin function induced by SNP rs17366743 (Y111H) may have stronger effects than the change in levels induced by SNPs in the regulatory regions; thus, different variants in the same gene might be related to biomarker levels but not sufficiently to bring about associated disease states, and vice versa.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

M.-F.H. has received support from the Centre de Recherche Medicale de l’Universite de Sherbrooke and a Canadian Institute of Health Research Fellowships Health Professional Award. J.C.F. has received National Institutes of Health (NIH) Research Career Award K23-DK-65978-04. J.B.M. has received NIDDK Grant K24-DK-080140, an American Diabetes Association Career Development Award, and a research grant from Sanofi-Aventis. This work was supported by National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute’s Framingham Heart Study Contract N01-HC-25195; by NIH, National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), General Clinical Research Centers Program Grant M01-RR-01066; and by the Boston University Linux Cluster for Genetic Analysis funded by NIH NCRR Shared Instrumentation Grant 1S10-RR-163736-01A1.

**REFERENCES**

1. Scherer PE: Adipose tissue: from lipid storage compartment to endocrine organ. *Diabetes* 55:1537–1545, 2006
2. Mori Y, Otabe S, Dina C, Yasuda K, Populaire C, Lecoeur C, Vatin V, Durand E, Hara K, Okada T, Toke B, Boutin P, Kadowaki T, Froguel P: Genome-wide search for type 2 diabetes in Japanese affected sib-pairs confirms susceptibility genes on 3q, 15q, and 20q and identifies two new candidate loci on 1p and 11p. *Diabetes* 51:1247–1252, 2002
3. Vionnet N, Hani EH, Dupont S, Gallina S, Francese S, Dote S, De Matos F, Durand E, Lepretre F, Lecoeur C, Gallina P, Zekiri I, Dina C, Froguel P, Hani EH: Genomewide search for type 2 diabetes-susceptibility genes in French whites: evidence for a novel susceptibility locus for early-onset diabetes on chromosome 3q27-qter and independent replication of a type 2 diabetes locus on chromosome 1q21–q24. *Am J Hum Genet* 67:1470–1480, 2000
4. Menzaghi C, Trischitta V, Doria A: Genetic influences of adiponectin on insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes* 56:1198–1209, 2007
5. Kannel WB, Feinleib M, McNamara PM, Garrison RJ, Castelli WP: An investigation of coronary heart disease in families: the Framingham Offspring Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 110:281–290, 1979
6. Meigs JB, Mittleman MA, Nathan DM, Toffler GH, Singer DE, Murphy-Sheehy PM, Lipinska I, D’Agostino RB, Wilson PW: Hyperinsulinemia, hyperglycemia, and impaired hemostasis: the Framingham Offspring Study. *JAMA* 283:221–228, 2006
7. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC: Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell func-
tion from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 28:412–419, 1985

8. Gutt M, Davis CL, Spitzer SB, Labre MM, Kumar M, Czarnecki EM, Schneiderman N, Skyler JS, Marks JB: Validation of the insulin sensitivity index (ISI(0,120)): comparison with other measures. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 47:177–184, 2000

9. Maurovich-Horvat P, Massaro J, Fox CS, Moselewski F, O’Donnell CJ, Hoffmann U: Comparison of anthropometric, area- and volume-based assessment of abdominal subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue volumes using multi-detector computed tomography. *Int J Obes* 31:500–506, 2007

10. Meigs JB, Dupuis J, Herbert AG, Liu C, Wilson PWF, Cupples LA: The insulin gene variable number tandem repeat and risk of type 2 diabetes in a population-based sample of families and unrelated men and women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 90:1137–1143, 2005

11. Almasy L, Blangero J: Multipoint quantitative-trait linkage analysis in general pedigrees. *Am J Hum Genet* 62:1198–1211, 1998

12. Therneau TM, Grambsch P: *Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model*. New York, Springer-Verlag, 2001

13. Therneau TM, Grambsch PM, Pankratz VS: Penalized survival models and frailty. *J Comput Graph Stat* 12:156–175, 2003

14. Heid IM, Wagner SA, Gohlke H, Iglseder B, Mueller JC, Cip P, Ladurner G, Reiter R, Stadlmayr A, Mackevics V, Illig T, Kronenberg F, Paulweber B: Genetic architecture of the APM1 gene and its influence on adiponectin plasma levels and parameters of the metabolic syndrome in 1,727 healthy Caucasians. *Diabetes* 55:375–384, 2006

15. Ukkola O, Santaniemi M, Kankinen T, Leon AS, Skinner JS, Wilmore JH, Bergman R, Kesaniemi YA, Bouchard C: Adiponectin polymorphisms, adiposity and insulin metabolism: HERITAGE family study and Oulu diabetic study. *Ann Med* 37:141–150, 2005

16. Vasseur F, Helbecque N, Dina C, Lobbens S, Delannoy V, Galet S, Boutin P, Vaxillaire M, Lepretre F, Dupont S, Harra K, Clemen K, Bihain B, Kadowaki T, Froguel P: Single-nucleotide polymorphism haplotypes in the both proximal promoter and exon 3 of the APM1 gene modulate adipocyte-secreted adiponectin hormone levels and contribute to the genetic risk for type 2 diabetes in French Caucasians. *Hum Mol Genet* 11:2607–2614, 2002

17. Vasseur F, Helbecque N, Lobbens S, Vasseur-Delannoy V, Dina C, Clement K, Boutin P, Kadowaki T, Scherer PE, Froguel P: Hypoadiponectinemia and high risk of type 2 diabetes are associated with adiponectin-encoding (ACDC) gene promoter variants in morbid obesity: evidence for a role of ACDC in diabetes. *Diabetologia* 48:892–899, 2005

18. Bouatia-Naji N, Meyre D, Lobbens S, Seron K, Fumeron F, Balkau B, Heude B, Jouret B, Scherer PE, Dina C, Weill J, Froguel P: ACDC/adiponectin polymorphisms are associated with severe childhood and adult obesity. *Diabetes* 55:545–550, 2006

19. Pollin TI, Tanner K, O’Connell JR, Ott SH, Damcott CM, Shuldiner AR, McLenihan JC, Mitchell BD: Linkage of plasma adiponectin levels to 3q27 explained by association with variation in the APM1 gene. *Diabetes* 54:268–274, 2005

20. Cauchi S, Nead KT, Choquet H, Horber F, Potoczna N, Balkau B, Harre M, Charpentier G, Froguel P, Meyre D: The genetic susceptibility to type 2 diabetes may be modulated by obesity status: implications for association studies. *BMC Med Genet* 9:45, 2008

21. Waki H, Yamaguchi T, Kamon J, Ito Y, Uchida S, Kita S, Hara K, Hada Y, Vasseur F, Froguel P, Kimura S, Nagai R, Kadowaki T: Impaired multimerization of human adiponectin mutants associated with diabetes: molecular structure and multimer formation of adiponectin. *J Biol Chem* 278:40352–40363, 2003

22. Liu HX, Cartegni L, Zhang MQ, Krainer AR: A mechanism for exon skipping caused by nonsense or missense mutations in BRCA1 and other genes. *Nat Genet* 37:55–58, 2001

23. Gu HF, Abulaiti A, Ostenson C-G, Humphreys K, Wahlestedt C, Brooks AJ, Efendic S: Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the proximal promoter region of the adiponectin (APM1) gene are associated with type 2 diabetes in Swedish Caucasians. *Diabetes* 53 (Suppl. 1):S31–S35, 2004

24. Hara K, Horikoshi M, Yamaguchi T, Yago H, Miyazaki O, Ebinuma H, Imai Y, Nagai R, Kadowaki T: Measurement of the high-molecular weight form of adiponectin in plasma is useful for the prediction of insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 29:1357–1362, 2006