Numerical simulation of patient-specific endovascular stenting and coiling for intracranial aneurysm surgical planning
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Abstract

Background: In this study, we develop reliable and practical virtual coiling and stenting methods for intracranial aneurysm surgical planning. Since the purpose of deploying coils and stents is to provide device geometries for subsequent accurate post-treatment computational fluid dynamics analysis, we do not need to accurately capture all the details such as the stress and force distribution for the devices and vessel walls. Our philosophy for developing these methods is to balance accuracy and practicality.

Methods: We consider the mechanical properties of the devices and recapitulate the clinical practice using a finite element method (FEM) approach. At the same time, we apply some simplifications for FEM modeling to make our methods efficient. For the virtual coiling, the coils are modeled as 3D Euler–Bernoulli beam elements, which is computationally efficient and provides good geometry representation. During the stent deployment process, the stent–catheter system is transformed according to the centerline of the parent vessel since the final configuration of the stent is not dependent of the deployment history. The aneurysm and vessel walls are assumed to be rigid and are fully constrained during the simulation. All stent–catheter system and coil–catheter system are prepared and packaged as a library which contains all types of stents, coils and catheters, which improves the efficiency of surgical planning process.

Results: The stent was delivered to the suitable position during the clinical treatment, achieving good expansion and apposition of the stent to the arterial wall. The coil was deployed into the aneurysm sac and deformed to different shapes because of the stored strain energy during coil package process and the direction of the microcatheter.

Conclusions: The method which we develop here could become surgical planning for intracranial aneurysm treatment in the clinical workflow.
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high-porosity neuro-stent is deployed across the aneu-
rysrm to reduce coil herniation [5]. This treatment stra-
ategy is called stent-assisted coiling. Approximately
one-third of IAs use a stent to assist coiling. Though
increasing reports of successful endovascular interven-
tion, many events of failure to occlude of IAs [6] and per-
manent neurological procedure-related complications [7]
occur.

Moreover, prospective randomized multicenter trials
comparing clipping with coiling in both ruptured and unruptured IAs have demonstrated better outcomes with
endovascular therapy [4, 8, 9]. However, the major draw-
back for endovascular treatment remains high recanal-
ization (recurrence) rates (30%) [10–12] and the need for
re-treatment in coiled IAs [4]. Patients experiencing these
negative outcomes are subjected to increased risk of IA
rupture and complications from retreatment and gen-
erally have fewer treatment options available. Unfortu-
nately, there is no way for clinicians to predict outcome
of coiling intervention [9].

In this study, we develop reliable and practical methods
for virtual coiling and stenting. As the purpose of deploy-
ment of coils and stents is to provide the geometries for
accurate post-treatment computational fluid dynam-
ics (CFD) analysis, methods of this study do not require
capturing all the details such as the stress and force dis-
tribution for the devices and vessel walls. Our philosophy
for developing these methods is to balance accuracy and
practicality. In this study we develop reliable and practical
methods using simplified finite element method (FEM)
for virtual coiling and stenting. We consider the mecha-
nical properties of the devices and recapitulate the clini-
ical practice using a FEM approach. At the same time, we
apply some simplifications for FEM modeling to make
our methods efficient. Once these two methods are de-
veloped, standard CFD procedure can be applied to simulate
post-treatment hemodynamics in patient-specific IAs to
investigate the association and build a statistical predic-
tion model between the flow dynamics and clinical treat-
ment outcomes using large number of treated cases by
coiling and stenting in the future. This prediction model
will be able to help assess different treatment strategies
and choose the optimal treatment option.

Methods

Aneurysm model

Patients with intracranial aneurysms at Huashan Hos-
pital, an affiliate of Fudan University between January
2017 and December 2017 were enrolled in this study. An
internal carotid artery (ICA) aneurysm was used in this
study for demonstrating our method. 3D rotational angi-
ography images were obtained and 3D reconstruction in
surface-triangulation format and isolation of the region
of interest were performed using open source image tool-
vmtk (http://www.vmtk.org). Then 3D segmented geomet-
ries were cleared in Geomagic tool (Geomagic Inc.,
Morrisville, North Carolina) and ready for FEM analysis,
CFD meshing and simulation. Part of arterial wall with
aneurysm sac was isolated from its parent vessel in Geo-
magic for the insertion of a microcatheter for coil deploy-
ment. The volume of this aneurysm was measured to be
116.64 mm$^3$ as shown in Fig. 1.

Stent and coil models

Neuroform stent and Enterprise stent are two most
common types of stents used to assist coiling of the
intervention treatment for intracranial aneurysms. The
geometrical models were generated using SolidWorks (as
shown in Fig. 1a, b) and transformed into finite element
analysis (FEA) code ABAQUS for analysis.

According to previous work about a 3D parametric
equation [13] for generation of a coil, a centerline of the
coil was generated in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natwick,
MA). There were two types of coils, one is the helical coil
and another is the frame coil. For the efficiency of FEA
process, the shape of the coil was simplified by using a
centerline. The 3D shape of coils was assumed to be a
continuous cylindrical structure [13, 14] and swept to 3D
configuration when the coils were deployed in the aneu-
rysrm sac.

Library for packaged coils and crimped stents

Coils were pulled into microcatheter, with a length equal
to the length of coil, and the stents were compressed by a
cylinder to a diameter equal or less than the microcath-
eter. These packed coils and crimped stents with differ-
ent dimensions were prepared for the virtual stenting
and coiling. Building this library for packaged coils and
crimped stents will decrease the time for simulating coil
and stent deployment.

Structural simulations of stent deployment

Material property

Nitinol is a common material that used for the medical
industry to construct self-expandable stents for clinical
treatment of intracranial aneurysm and atherosclerosis
[15]. The material properties of nitinol were obtained
from previous work [16–18], as shown in the Table 1.

Workflow for stent deployment modeling

The FEA-based workflow for stent deployment modeling
was completed in ABAQUS/Explicit v6.14 (SIMULIA,
Providence, RI). The workflow consists of three steps:
delivery, pre-deployment and deployment.
Simulations of the stent deployment and coil delivery process were implemented via the general-purpose FEA software ABAQUS 6.14 in Abaqus/Explicit mode [19]. Simulations of the stent crimping, delivery and release processes were performed, in which the nitinol superelasticity material model were used. The virtual deployment of stent in terms of the delivery process through a path created with central points of the cross-sections of the blood vessel was implemented, which simulated the process of delivery of a stent during clinical treatment. The crimped stent was assembled in a solid tube in the global coordinate system and transformed to the aneurysm orifice. This process was used to make the deformation of stent according to the axes of the blood vessel across the aneurysm orifice (as shown in Fig. 1d, e). At last, the microcatheter was applied with displacement

---

**Table 1** SMA material properties for the Auricchio/Taylor superelasticity model [17, 18]

| Thermoelastic properties | $E^A$ | $E^M$ | $\nu^A$ | $\nu^M$ |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
|                          | 70 GPa| 70 GPa| 0.33    | 0.33    |

| Phase diagram properties | $\sigma_{M_s}$ | $\sigma_{M_s}$ | $\sigma_{M_s}$ | $\sigma_{M_s}$ | $\sigma_{M_s}$ | $\sigma_{M_s}$ | $\sigma_{M_s}$ | $\sigma_{M_s}$ | $T_0$ |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
|                          | 448 MPa        | 448 MPa        | 562 MPa        | 257 MPa        | 221 MPa        | 9.21 MPa/K     | 6.31 MPa/K     | 350 K          |

| Transformation strain properties | $H$ | $H_f$ |
|----------------------------------|-----|------|
|                                  | 4.7%| 4.7% |

---

Fig. 1 Flow chart for stent deployment: 3D geometry model of a Enterprise stent (4.5 mm × 14 mm) and b Neuroform stent (4.5 mm × 20 mm); c a patient-specific ICA aneurysm models used in this study; d delivery of stent near the aneurysm sac; e a crimped stent was delivered to the orifice of aneurysms in the parent vessel; f self-expansion of the stent and the configuration of a stent deployed in the lesion.
load along the radius direction; the cramped stent was expanded itself in order to simulation the self-expansion procedure during clinical treatment (as shown in Fig. 1f).

In simulations, a “general contact” algorithm in ABAQUS was utilized for the complex interactions involved in stent deployment and a friction coefficient value of 0.15 was used for the current study.

Stent deployment modeling with deformable arterial wall
For stent deployment modeling process, comparison of rigid wall between deformable arterial wall is essential for CFD study of virtual clinical planning. Thus, the cerebral arterial wall was modeled as Holzapfel–Gasser–Ogden (HGO) [20] material model, which was used to capture the bulk arterial material behavior. Due to the limited HGO parameter values for cerebral artery, a set of parameter values from carotid arterial wall or abdominal arterial wall were adopted, which the parameters were chosen as $\mu = 3.82 \times 10^{-3}$ MPa, $k_1 = 0.896$ MPa, $k_2 = 524$ MPa, $\kappa = 0.226$ and the collagen fibers angle is 49.9° [20–23]. The aneurysm vessel wall was model as membrane element with a thickness of 0.3 mm [24].

Structural simulations of coil deployment
The flow chart for coil deployment is shown in Fig. 2. The coil centerline was imported into the FEM code ABAQUS and meshed with an Euler–Bernoulli beam element type. In order to diminish coil-to-coil and coil-to-sac surface penetrations during deployment, the diameters of the coils were set equal to 1.5 times of the real size [13, 14], helical coil with 0.45 mm. A linearly-elastic constitutive mechanical model with isotropic material properties was adopted, with a Young’s modulus of 7500 MPa, Poisson’s ratio of 0.39 and density of 0.0213 g/mm³ [13].

After generating different types of coils, the coils were packaged into a virtual microcatheter, which was created as a 3D rigid shell extrusion, with a length equal to the length of coil and diameter of 0.6 mm. The other part of microcatheter was created along the center line and across the center of one cell of stent in order to mimick the surgical process after the microcatheter delivery to the sac. One end of coil was fixed to the proximal of microcatheter with a spring element in order to constrain the movement of the coil. The other end of coil was pulled forward into the microcatheter by applying a displacement of the length of microcatheter. The rest part of coil is under stress-free condition. During this process, the catheter and sac were set as a rigid body in ABAQUS without any movement. General contact algorithm in Abaqus/Explicit was implemented for the interaction between coil, catheter, with frictionless for the tangential direction and a “hard”-contact for the normal direction behavior. The next step after “package of coil into catheter” is “deploy coil into aneurysm”. At the beginning, the catheter and aneurysm sac were set as a rigid body fully constrained. By applying displacement on the proximal end of the coil, the coil was push forward into the sac with stress-free condition. A general contact algorithm as the previous step was defined for the interaction between coil and catheter and coil between sac, with friction coefficients of 0.2 for the tangential friction behavior [13, 14] and a “hard”-contact for the normal direction behavior.

The internal strain energy accumulated for the coil during the coil-package process was release after the coil was pushed into the sac, and the coil spring back to its previous shape within the aneurysm.

After all the coils have been deployed into the aneurysm sac, the coils were sweep to 3D solid model by using Abaqus/CAE with the real diameter of the device. To the end, the 3D representation of the coil can be used for the CFD analysis with a surface-based boundary condition.

Results

Library for packaged coils and crimped stents
The library for packaged coils and crimped stents are shown in Fig. 3a, b, which can be transformed to the position for the deployment. The packaged coils and cramped stents can be prepared at the beginning of the simulation which can be very efficient for the virtual treatment.

Virtual stenting results
According to the streamlined virtual stenting workflow, two types of stents were deployed in the same aneurysm; the configurations of stents are shown in Fig. 4. The cells of stent were distributed across the orifice of giant, wide-necked and fusiform aneurysms in the parent vessel in order to prohibit the coils out of the aneurysm sac. The stent was delivered to the suitable position during in the clinical treatment, achieving good expansion and apposition of the stent to the arterial wall.

Result of stent deployment modeling with deformable arterial wall
In order to find out the difference between the configurations of the aneurysm vessel wall when it is modeled as rigid wall and deformable wall, a HGO model was adopted to capture the aneurysm–vessel wall deformation. The stent–wall configuration is shown in Fig. 5a, which revealed that the shape of the arterial wall has small change that the wall expanded radially to about 0–0.45 mm, especially the area contacted by the head of cell of stent, as shown in Fig. 5b. However, overall the deformation of aneurysm and vessel was minimal after the stent devices were deployed as demonstrated in this study.
Fig. 2 Flow chart for coil deployment, including aneurysm stenting, coil package, coil deployment and merging deployed coils with vascular model.
Virtual coiling results
To illustrate the workflow of coil deployment, the final configurations of the coils are shown in Fig. 6. The coil was deployed into the aneurysm sac and deformed to different shapes because of the stored strain energy during coil package process and the direction of the microcatheter.

Discussion
In this paper, we developed a reliable and efficient surgical planning procedure for intracranial aneurysms. For virtual surgical planning of stent and coil deployment, though some simplifications were applied, the main feature of this process were captured, including the final configurations and positions of the stent and coil, and these results were sufficient for providing the geometries of coils and stents for subsequent accurate post-treatment CFD analysis. The steps to link these modeling techniques with ultimate surgical outcome includes: (1) develop modeling techniques from current study; (2) validate these modeling techniques; (3) once these two methods are developed and validated, standard CFD procedure can be applied to simulate post-treatment hemodynamics in large number of patient-specific IAs; (4) investigate the association and build a statistical prediction model between the flow dynamics and clinical treatment outcomes using large number of treated cases by coiling and stenting. This prediction model will be able to help assess different treatment strategies and choose the optimal treatment option.

Currently, both porous media methods [25, 26] and fast virtual methods such as dynamic path planning for coiling [27] and simplex mesh expansion for stenting [28] are available. They are fast; however, their accuracy
is greatly compromised. By representing coils [25, 29] and stents [26] as homogeneous porous media, they do not sufficiently capture specific flow interventions. Furthermore, it is tedious to determine patient-specific coefficients for porous media models. The existing expansion-based fast virtual stenting includes the collision detection process [28], which is inherently unstable, while the existing dynamic path planning method for fast virtual coiling [27], based on an artificial potential field, is not realistic as it does not take into account the coil pre-shape. The FEM has been applied to virtually deploy stents and coils [30, 31]. FEM-based techniques are accurate owing to explicit mechanical representation of device deployment. However, as they were used to capture all the details of the mechanical properties and behaviors of the devices and vessels, they are computationally expensive and time-consuming, and thus not practical. For example, a FEM-based HiFiVS technique for flow diverter (FD) deployment takes 100 h for single stent deployment [30]. Coils alter aneurysmal hemodynamics by reducing aneurysmal inflow, which initiates subsequent thrombosis within the aneurysm, leading to occlusion of the aneurysm and its eventual exclusion from the blood flow circulation. However, flow impingement acting on coil mass or on the aneurysm wall is believed to be responsible for the coil recanalization of coil-treated IAs. Therefore, knowledge about the impact of coils or stent-assisted coils on hemodynamics is critical for predicting coiling treatment outcomes. Image-based CFD analysis can provide detailed information on post-treatment hemodynamics, but it requires realistic representation of coils and stents in deployed states. This presents challenges to the numerical simulation of coil and stent implantation, as previous methods do not efficiently capture realistic coil and stent deployment.

This study focuses on generating accurate deployed coil and stent geometries for subsequent post-treatment flow simulation, taking no account of capturing all the details, i.e., the stress or force distribution. The simplifications used in this paper include: (1) coils are modeled under the assumptions of 3D Euler–Bernoulli beam elements as coils are slender in shape with the length dimension that is much larger than the diameter. It is computationally efficient and provides good geometry representation. The complex-shaped coils (free-stress status without strain energy) will firstly be “pulled” into the catheter to package them (with strain energy) and then “pushed” into the aneurysm sac via a catheter. Though the 3D configuration of coils may be different from that of the clinical treatment, the packing density will be almost the same. Morales et al. have demonstrated that the simulated flow field was independent of coil deployment, when packing density is greater than 22% [37]. (2) During the stent deployment process, we directly transform the stent–catheter system according to the centerline of the parent vessel since the final configuration of the stent is not dependent on the deployment history as the FD. (3) The aneurysm and vessel are assumed to be rigid and are fully constrained during the simulation, because the deformation of aneurysm and vessel is minimal after the stent devices were deployed as demonstrated in this study (Fig. 5b). Neuro-stents to assist coiling are self-expandable stents with small radial force, thus rigid wall assumption is sufficient. This is different from the balloon-expandable stent deployment for stenosis-like coronary artery disease, where the contact stress applied to the stenosis from the opening stent, and thus rigid wall assumption can’t capture the deformable arterial wall impacted by stent. Moreover, the angle of aneurysm vessel may change from a sharp-angle configuration to a straight line shape when the radial force applied to the vessel wall from the expansion of stent. This change will have a certain effect to the overall shear stress, compression stress and velocity of the blood flow when the CFD analysis is conducted [32].

Typically during FEM simulation procedures, packaging a coil into the catheter take around 1 h and crimping a stent could take up to 5 h. Building a library of packaged coils and crimped stents with different dimensions will significantly reduce the simulation time for future cases. After building the library, for virtual coiling we only need to simulate pushing the coil out of the catheter to deploy it into the aneurysm sac; while for virtual stenting, we will only need to transform the system and retract the catheter to release the stent. We expect both coiling and stenting simulation procedures to take around 1 h based on the library. Compared with the previous HiFiVS technique of FD deployment, which takes around 100 h [30], our proposed methods are very efficient and practical. Even compared with current fast virtual intervention
methods, which typically take minutes, our current methods are quite reasonable. Since post-treatment CFD simulations take up to hours for flow simulations even on computational clusters regardless of the device deployment methods used, the additional time needed for FEM-based over fast virtual deployment methods is insignificant. However, our simplified FEM-based coiling and stenting methods intrinsically capture the mechanical features and promise to more accurately recapitulate the device development.

Limitation
There are several limitations of this study. First, we did not conduct the validation of stent and coil deployment results in vitro and in vivo. We will conduct the validation in the future by both in vitro and in vivo data as follows:

1. Validate the flow field based on the deployed geometry using in vitro data:

   We will choose 12 patient-specific aneurysm 3D angiographic images for the algorithm validation based on different aneurysm types and locations. Two-thirds of the patients (8 aneurysms) have narrow-neck aneurysms treated by coiling alone, while the rest one-third of the patients (4 aneurysms) have wide-neck aneurysms treated by stent-assisted coiling to match the ratio of clinical coiling treatment strategies. These 3D IA images will be segmented to obtain the 3D geometries with STL files. From the STL files, we will build aneurysm phantoms made of elastomer as described [33] and deploy coil and stent devices as done in the real patients. We will connect the phantoms to a flow loop mimicking cerebral blood flow and use stereoscopic Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) to measure the post-treatment 3D pulsatile aneurysmal flow fields (at peak and end of diastole) for flow validation. Specifically, we will use PIV to measure the 3D velocity field only at the IA neck plane as the flow field inside the sac could not be measured by PIV due to the reflection of densely packaged coils. Measured PIV images will be automatically uploaded into the PIV post-processing system to generate in-plane velocity vectors, magnitude contour and out-of-plane velocity vectors. Flow patterns at the IA neck plane will be qualitatively compared for each case between PIV measurement and CFD simulation.

2. Validate the flow field based on the deployed geometry using in vivo data:

   For in vivo validation, we will generate virtual angiograms from the CFD results of these 12 cases by simulating scalar transport and contrast density projection [34, 35], and compare them with clinical angiograms. Comparison will be done both qualitatively (flow patterns including jets, recirculation zones) and quantitatively (contrast residence time).

   We expect that in vitro and in vivo testing will validate the accuracy of our simplified FEM-based virtual coiling and stenting methods in calculating hemodynamic parameters of patient-specific IAs. Especially for the primary coiling treatment (current study scope), coil packing density is always around 27–28% clinically [36]. Frangi et al. have demonstrated that the simulated flow field was independent of coil deployment, when packing density is greater than 22% [37].

Second, the material parameter values chosen for capturing deformable cerebral arterial wall was based on the values from carotid or abdominal arteries, which may be not appropriate for the cerebral artery. We will obtain the HGO parameter values from tensile tests of human cerebral arterial vessels from autopsy study by cooperating with hospitals in the future. We will then do the simulation by replacing the material parameter values from experiments. Third, we simulated the stent and coil deployment process to only one patient-specific aneurysm, which is not sufficient to cover the different shapes of aneurysms.

Conclusion
In this study, two types of surgical planning simulation were performed, the stent deployment and coiling. A simplified modeling approach to simulate and understand these processes were developed. In particular, the use of 3D Euler–Bernoulli beam elements for modeling coils and transformation according to the centerline of the parent vessel for the delivery of stent–catheter system were carried out. The aneurysm and vessel are assumed to be rigid and are fully constrained during the simulation, and all the stent–catheter systems and coil–catheter systems were prepared and packaged as a library which contained all types of stents and coils. It is computationally efficient and provides good geometry representation, which can provide the geometries for subsequent accurate post-treatment CFD analysis.

Abbreviations
CFD: computational fluid dynamics; FEM: finite element method; IA: intracranial aneurysms; ICA: internal carotid artery; HGO: Holzapfel–Gasser–Ogden; FD: flow diverter.
Acknowledgements
This work was supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (General Program, Nos. 81471167, 81371308, 81771242). This work was supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (General Program, Nos. 81471167, 81371308, 81771242). This work was supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (General Program, Nos. 81471167, 81371308, 81771242).

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials
Data are available upon request.

Consent for publication
No individual person’s data in any form were involved in this study.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Patients were consented for image data and clinical data acquisition per institutional review board (IRB)-approved protocol.

Funding
The National Natural Science Foundation of China (General Program, Nos. 81471167, 81371308, 81771242).

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 2 May 2018   Accepted: 7 July 2018
Published online: 21 July 2018

References
1. Rinkel GJ, Djibuti M, Algra A, van Gijn J. Prevalence and risk of rupture of intracranial aneurysms: a systematic review. Stroke. 1998;29:251–6.
2. Hop JW, Rinkel GJ, Algra A, van Gijn J. Case-fatality rates and functional outcome after subarachnoid hemorrhage: a systematic review. Stroke. 1997;28:660–4.
3. Guglielmli G. History of the genesis of detachable coils. A review. J Neurosurg. 2009;111:1–8.
4. Molyneux A, Kerr R, Stratton I, Sandercock P, Clarke M, Shrimpton J, Holman R, International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial (ISAT) Collaborative Group. International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial (ISAT) Collaborative Group. International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial (ISAT) of neurosurgical clipping versus endovascular coiling in 2143 patients with ruptured intracranial aneurysms: a randomised trial. Lancet. 2002;360:1267–74.
5. Blondi A, Janardhan V, Katz JM, Salvaggio K, Riina HA, Gobin YP, Neuroform stent-assisted coil embolization of wide-neck intracranial aneurysms: strategies in stent deployment and midterm follow-up. Neurosurgery. 2007;61:460–8 (discussion 468-469).
6. Gu D-Q, Zhang X, Luo B, Long X-A, Duan C-Z. The effect of Neuroform stent-assisted coil embolization of wide-neck intracranial aneurysms and clinical factors on progressive aneurysm occlusion on angiographic follow-up. J Clin Neurosci. 2013;20:244–7.
7. Piotin M, Blanc R, Spille J, Mouany C, Piantino R, Schmidt PJ, Moret J. Stent-assisted coiling of intracranial aneurysms: clinical and angiographic results in 216 consecutive aneurysms. Stroke. 2010;41(1):110–5.
8. International Study of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms Investigators. Unruptured intracranial aneurysms—risk of rupture and risks of surgical intervention. N Engl J Med. 1998;339(24):1725–33.
9. Wiebers DO, Whisnant JP, Huston J, Meissner I, Brown RD Jr, Pieper DS, Forbes GS, Thielken K, Nichols D, O’Fallon WM, Peacock J, Jaeger L, Kassell NF, Kogbe-Beckman GL, Torner JC. International Study of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms Investigators. Unruptured intracranial aneurysms: natural history, clinical outcome, and risks of surgical and endovascular treatment. Lancet. 2003;362(9378):103–10.
10. Raymond J, Guilbert F, Weill A, Georganos SA, Juravsky L, Lambert A, Lamoureux J, Chagnon M, Roy D. Long-term angiographic recurrences after selective endovascular treatment of aneurysms with detachable coils. Stroke. 2003;34:1398–403.
11. Murayama Y, Nien YL, Duckwiler G, Gobin YP, Jahan R, Fazek J, Martin N, Vinuela F. Guglielmli detachable coil embolization of cerebral aneurysms: 11 years’ experience. J Neurosurg. 2003;98:959–66.
12. Fenns SP, Steneberg MS, van Rooy WJ, Rinkel GJ, van Rijn JC, Bipat S, Sluzewski M, Majoe CB. Coiling of intracranial aneurysms: a systematic review on initial occlusion and reopening and rethrombosis rates. Stroke. 2009;40:e523–9.
13. Babiker MH, Chong B, Gonzalez LF, Cheema S, Frakes DH. Finite element modeling of embolic coil deployment: multifactor characterization of treatment effects on cerebral aneurysm hemodynamics. J Biomech. 2013;46:2809–16.
14. Damiano RJ, Ma D, Jiang X, Siddiqui AH, Snyder KV, Meng H. Finite element modeling of endovascular coiling and flow diversion enables hemodynamic prediction of complex treatment strategies for intracranial aneurysm. J Biomech. 2015;48:3332–40.
15. Wu W, Qi M, Liu X-P, Yang D-Z, Wang W-Q. Delivery and release of nitinol stent in carotid artery and their interactions: a finite element analysis. J Biomech. 2007;40:3034–40.
16. Reddell D, Daly S, Shaw J. Tension-Torsion experiments on superelastic shape memory alloy tubes. In: ASME 2012 Conference on Smart Materials, Adaptive Structures and Intelligent Systems. 2012, p. 213–22.
17. Auricchio F, Taylor RL. Shape-memory alloys: modelling and numerical simulations of the finite-strain superelastic behavior. Comput Methods Appl Mech Eng. 1997;143:175–94.
18. Zhu P, Brinson LC, Peraza-Hernandez E, Hart D, Stebner A. Comparison of three-dimensional shape memory alloy constitutive models: finite element analysis of actuation and superelastic responses of a shape memory alloy tube. In: ASME 2013 Conference on Smart Materials, Adaptive Structures and Intelligent Systems. 2013, p. 1–10.
19. ABAQUUS. Analysis user’s manual version 6.12. Providence: Dassault Systems Corp. 2013.
20. Gasser TC, Ogden RW, Holzapfel GA. Hyperelastic modelling of arterial layers with distributed collagen fibre orientations. J R Soc Interface. 2006;3:15–35.
21. Leng X, Chen X, Deng X, Sutton MA, Lessner SM. Modeling of experimental atherosclerotic plaque delamination. Ann Biomed Eng. 2015;43:2838–51.
22. Leng X, Davis LA, Deng X, Sutton MA, Lessner SM. Numerical modeling of experimental human fibrous cap delamination. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2016;59:322–36.
23. Leng X, Zhou B, Deng X, Davis L, Lessner SM, Sutton MA, Shazly T. Experimental and numerical studies of two arterial wall delamination modes. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2018;77:321–30.
24. Toth M, Brinson GL, Ventikos Y. The haemodynamics of endovascular aneurysm treatment: a computational modelling approach for estimating the influence of multiple coil deployment. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 2008;27:814–24.
25. Augsburger L, Reymond P, Rufenacht DA, Stergiopolis N. Intracranial stents being modeled as a porous medium: flow simulation in stented cerebral aneurysms. Ann Biomed Eng. 2011;39:850–63.
26. Morales HG, Larabide I, Geers AJ, San Roman L, Blasco J, Macho JM, Frangi AF. A virtual coiling technique for image-based aneurysm models by dynamic path planning. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 2013;32:119–29.
27. Larabide I, Kim M, Augsburger L, Villa-Urrio MC, Rufenacht D, Frangi AF. Fast virtual deployment of self-expandable stents: method and...
in vitro evaluation for intracranial aneurysmal stenting. Med Image Anal. 2012;16:721–30.
29. Muschenborn AD, Ortega JM, Szafron JM, Szafron DJ, Maitland DJ. Porous media properties of reticulated shape memory polymer foams and mock embolic coils for aneurysm treatment. Biomed Eng Online. 2013;12:103.
30. Ma D, Dargush GF, Natarajan SK, Levy EI, Siddiqui AH, Meng H. Computer modeling of deployment and mechanical expansion of neurovascular flow diverter in patient-specific intracranial aneurysms. J Biomech. 2012;45:2256–63.
31. Ma D, Dumont TM, Kosukegawa H, Ohta M, Yang X, Siddiqui AH, Meng H. High fidelity virtual stenting (HiFVS) for intracranial aneurysm flow diversion in vitro and in silico. Ann Biomed Eng. 2013;41:2143–56.
32. Xu J, Wu Z, Yu Y, Lv N, Wang S, Karmonik C, Liu JM, Huang Q. Combined effects of flow diverting strategies and parent artery curvature on aneurysmal hemodynamics: a CFD study. PLoS One. 2015;10(9):e0138648.
33. Hoi Y, Woodward SH, Kim M, Taulbee DB, Meng H. Validation of CFD simulations of cerebral aneurysms with implication of geometric variations. J Biomech Eng. 2006;128:844–51.
34. Larrabide I, Kim M, Augsburger L, Villa-Uniol MC, Rufenacht D, Frangi AF. Fast virtual deployment of self-expandable stents: method and in vitro evaluation for intracranial aneurysmal stenting. Med Image Anal. 2012;16:721–30.
35. Steinman DA, Milner JS, Norley CJ, Lownie SP, Holdsworth DW. Image-based computational simulation of flow dynamics in a giant intracranial aneurysm. Am J Neuroradiol. 2003;24:559–66.
36. Hong Y, Wang Y-J, Deng Z, Wu Q, Zhang J-M. Stent-assisted coiling versus coiling in treatment of intracranial aneurysm: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e82311.
37. Morales HG, Kim M, Vivas EE, Villa-Uniol M-C, Larrabide I, Sola T, Guimarãens L, Frangi AF. How do coil configuration and packing density influence intra-aneurysmal hemodynamics? Am J Neuroradiol. 2011;32:1935–41.