Immobilization engineering – How to design advanced sol–gel systems for biocatalysis?†
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An immobilization engineering approach using bioinformatics and experimental design tools was applied to improve the sol–gel enzyme entrapment methodology. This strategy was used for the immobilization of lipase B from Candida antarctica (CaLB), a versatile enzyme widely used even on the industrial scale. The optimized entrapment of CaLB in sol–gel matrices is reported by the response-surface methodology enabling efficient process development. The immobilized CaLBs characterized by functional efficiency and enhanced recovery provided economical and green options for flow chemistry. Various ternary mixtures of sol–gel precursors allowed the creation of tailored entrapment matrices best suited for the enzyme and its targeted substrate. The sol–gel-entrapped forms of CaLB were excellent biocatalysts in the kinetic resolutions of secondary alcohols and secondary amines with aromatic or aliphatic substituents both in batch and continuous-flow biotransformations.

Introduction

Biocatalysis, as an efficient and green tool for modern organic synthesis, is becoming increasingly important due to its high activity, high chemo-, regio-, and/or stereoselectivity under mild reaction conditions, and limited by-product formation.¹ Biocatalysts permit the transformation of multifunctional molecules with functional group selectivity, generally obviating functional group activation, protection, and deprotection steps required in traditional organic syntheses.² The advantages of reducing the number of synthetic steps – such as reduction of waste and hazards, improvement of the overall yield and cutting of costs – are obvious.

In spite of their benefits, the use of chemo enzymatic routes is limited because the relevant enzymes are often commercially not available, and the development of robust biocatalysts suitable for industrial processes is slow and expensive.³

Modern methods of synthetic biology allow unprecedented potential for engineering proteins possessing novel functions.⁴ These methods can help to fill deficiencies of the biocatalysis toolbox at the molecular level. Moreover, both natural and engineered enzymes are “naked” proteins which might be further decorated to endow them with additional properties to extend their scope of applications in various reactions in a green manner.

Lipases represent one of the most frequently used enzyme classes due to their ability to catalyze a wide range of reactions – such as esterification, transesterification, aminolysis, polymerization – in a mild and selective manner without external cofactors.⁵ Lipase-catalyzed reactions cover a wide range of applications ranging from producing biofuels,⁶,⁷ fragrances,⁸ and food ingredients⁹ to the enantioselective synthesis of enantiopure active pharmaceutical ingredients (API).¹⁰ Kinetic resolution (KR) of racemic mixtures is one of the most important applications of lipases. Lipase B from Candida antarctica (CaLB) is one of the most widely used biocatalysts¹¹,¹² lending itself as an ideal target for immobilization engineering.

Large scale applications of enzymes as green biocatalysts are only viable if economically sound. In this respect, stability, storability, and reusability are of prime importance.¹³ For improving on these features, immobilization is an adequate solution.¹⁴ Although the major criteria for process assessment
immobilized enzymes.17,19 Reports on sol-gel technology especially suitable to control the catalytic properties of the enzymes.19,20

The sol-gel process is initiated by the hydrolysis of tetraalkoxysilanes [Si(OR)]₄ followed by polycondensation in the presence of the enzyme to be trapped producing a dense silica gel polymeric network. Hydrolysis and condensation of the silane precursors are catalyzed by weak acids or bases, promoting cross-linking and simultaneous encapsulation of the protein by physical forces.17 Mass transfer limitations of the substrate influx and product efflux were found to be a challenging disadvantage while entrapping enzymes within an inert matrix.14,15

The substrate-active site flux was found to depend on the pore size and particle morphology.18 The significant activity enhancement of the immobilized lipases may be brought about when Si(OR)₄ is applied in an admixture with hydrophobic silanes [R′Si(OR)₃ or R′′Si(OR)₂] bearing various substituents (R′ being an alkyl or aryl group).19 By mixing of Si(OR)₄ with more hydrophobic silanes of type R′Si(OR)₃ or R′′Si(OR)₂, the hydrophobicity of the matrix can be fine-tuned resulting in an increase of lipase activity. The high variability of the precursors and entrapment conditions make the sol–gel technology especially suitable to control the catalytic properties of the immobilized enzymes.17,19 Reports on sol–gel immobilization, using binary or ternary mixtures of various organosilane precursors clearly showed that sol–gel matrices formed from appropriate precursor mixtures can substantially enhance the catalytic potential of the enzymes.19,20

An additional strategy for boosting enzyme activity during the entrapment procedure itself was the modification of the shape of the active site by molecular imprinting using the substrates or their analogues.21–23 In the case of CaLB, two aspects of this strategy were revealed: (i) stabilization of the active conformation of the enzyme; and (ii) supply of extra room, left after the removal of the good imprinting molecules, for the mobile lids covering the active site.25,26

The well-known methodology of experimental design – based on the statistical analysis of outcomes – permits the minimization of experimental efforts needed to optimize such a complex system. With the aid of response surface graphics based on a mixture model, the optimal component combination can be explored.27 There are several reports on the application of the mixture experimental design related to bio-transformations,28,29 but the mixture experimental design for sol–gel immobilization of enzymes is an unexplored field.

In this paper, our aim was to introduce a novel approach in the development of sol–gel immobilized forms of enzymes. This approach which may be called “immobilization engineering” represents a novel way of thinking to create efficient biocatalysts. In this study, it involves two steps: (i) bioinformatics-based selection of the precursors acting also as bioimprinting agents (BIAs) and (ii) experimental design (Fig. 1). This philosophy was now adapted to the rational design of suitable ternary silane mixtures for the entrapment of CaLB ranging from the laboratory to the pilot plant scale. The efficiency and robustness of the resulting novel, industrially applicable “green” catalysts could be beneficially applied in the kinetic resolution of several racemic alcohols and amines.

Results and discussion

Sol–gel entrapment can be regarded as a simple and robust physical immobilization method with no covalent bonding to the enzyme. The nature of organosilane precursors with different substituents (mostly alkyl and aryl groups) can significantly affect the properties of the entrapped enzyme and
the activity of the resulting biocatalysts.\(^{19}\) This phenomenon is due to the combined effects of the individual organosilane precursors. On one hand, the nature of precursors can influence the morphology, permeability, and hydrophobic/hydrophilic character of the resulting sol–gel network, and on the other hand – as recent studies have shown – organosilanes can exert an imprinting effect on the enzyme altering its catalytic properties.\(^{25,26}\) Thus, finding these organosilanes as components for experimental design which can exert a significant imprinting effect has become feasible by computational methods. The synergistic application of bioinformatics and experimental design tools permitted the rapid optimization of entrapment systems providing biocatalysts with improved properties (Fig. 1). By this novel “immobilization engineering” strategy, the development of improved sol–gel immobilized enzymes with lower environmental impact could be realized with concomitant saving of considerable time and money.

The biocatalytic properties and durability of our new preparations were evaluated in kinetic resolutions of two racemic alcohols (rac-1a,b) and two racemic amines (rac-1a,b) using acylating agents vinyl acetate and isopropyl 2-ethoxyacetate,\(^{20}\) respectively, both in batch and continuous-flow systems (Fig. 1).

### Selection of sol–gel precursor systems based on bioinformatics

To find organosilanes serving not only as entrapment matrix precursors but also as bioimprinting agents, binding poses of several partially hydrolyzed organosilanes were predicted within the open and closed lid structures of CaLB (PDB code: 5A6V; Table 1).\(^{31}\) Our previous modeling studies, concerning the active sites of the open- and closed-lid conformations of CaLB, could rationalize the bioimprinting effects of various BIA s for the following two reasons:\(^{26}\) the most effective BIA s are supposed (i) to conserve the reactive conformation of the active site and (ii) to provide enough space for the necessary lid movements at the active site. Thus, efficient BIA s, mimicking the substrate, exert favorable stabilizing interactions with residues in the active site.\(^{26}\)

In this study, a complex method was introduced to model the effects of BIA s. This protocol was based on our previous, exploratory method,\(^{26}\) by applying induced-fit docking and subsequent scoring with a modified version of the molecular mechanics-based generalized Born and surface area continuum solvation method (MM-GBSA, simply referred to as \(\Delta E_{\text{GB}}\)).

In the present study within the open-lid structure of CaLB each of the partially hydrolyzed silanes behaved similarly, in full agreement with our previous study.\(^{26}\) Within the open lid structure of CaLB, docking revealed only substrate mimicking poses. Every silane precursor occupied the active site and formed hydrogen bonds with the catalytic triad and its close proximity (structures are not shown, for binding energies see Table 1).

Within the closed-lid loop structure of CaLB docking revealed the already identified substrate mimicking poses.\(^{26}\) In addition, several partially hydrolyzed silanes could occupy multiple poses interacting directly with the lid-loop. These results reproduced our previous finding,\(^{26}\) albeit with modest differences, namely that certain silane precursors were capable not only to stabilize the active site but also to provide more room for lid-loop movements (Fig. 2).\(^{12}\)

Consequently, our screening was focused on selecting these particular precursors which can adopt both binding poses in the closed-lid form of CaLB with high affinities, but slightly preferring the lid-loop-interacting pose (\(\Delta \Delta E_{\text{b-ce}}\) in the range of \(-2\) to \(-6\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). Balanced affinity was important to manifest both effects with comparable frequency. The major enhancement in the biocatalyst’s activity, however, was expected from the enlarged space for the lid-loop movements after the entrapment of enzymes complexed with BIA s in lid-loop-interacting poses. In Table 1, binding energies of the partially hydrolyzed forms of the potential silane precursors are presented within the open- and closed-lid conformations of CaLB. As shown by us earlier,\(^{26}\) the binding affinity was higher for almost all of the silanes investigated within the closed-lid loop CaLB than those calculated for the open-lid variant.

On the basis of the above considerations, the four organosilanes resulting in partially hydrolyzed forms with \(\Delta \Delta E_{\text{b-ce}}\) in the range of \(-2\) to \(-6\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (tetraethoxysilane, phenyl-triethoxysilane, dimethyldiethoxysilane, and octyltriethoxysilane; TEOS, PTEOS, DMDEOS, and OTEOS, respectively) were selected for further investigations.

### Optimization of the entrapment of CaLB in ternary sol–gel systems by experimental design

In a mixture experiment, the independent variables are the proportions of the components.\(^{27}\) Because TEOS should be present as the major bulking component in all compositions, the four selected organosilane precursors define three possible ternary compositions for the sol–gel entrapment of CaLB.
Since the nature of the silane precursors, as well as their molar ratio affected the catalytic potency of the entrapped enzyme, experimental design for multi-component mixtures was applied to optimize the sol–gel systems of the selected ternary compositions.

CaLB biocatalysts entrapped in sol–gel matrices having compositions suggested by the experimental design were tested in kinetic resolutions (KR) of racemic alcohols (rac-1a,b; with vinyl acetate) and racemic amines (rac-3a,b; with isopropyl 2-ethoxyacetate) (Fig. 1 and 3). Stable matrix formation required the addition of a small amount of TEOS (min. 12 n/n%) (Fig. 3).

The productivity of the biocatalysts in batch systems as a dependent variable was characterized by the so-called specific reaction rate ($r_{\text{batch}}$). Normal probability plots and residuals vs. fitted value plots for the three ternary silane precursor systems (Fig. S7–S9 in the ESI†) showed that the residuals were good approximations for the normal distribution and no specific tendencies or effects caused by external factors were found. First, CaLB entrapment in the TEOS/OTEOS/PTEOS (TOP) precursor system was investigated (Fig. 3 and Table S7†). Notably, in the kinetic resolution (KR) of aromatic alcohol rac-1a, the best conversions and enantiomeric excess (ee) values were achieved by CaLB entrapped in the ternary compositions of sol–gel forming precursors (Table S7†: Point 10, $c_{\text{1a}} = 15.8$%; Point 13, $c_{\text{1a}} = 17.8$% and Point 14: $c_{\text{1a}} = 14.5$% with ee(H)-2a = 99.5% for each). With the aliphatic alcohol rac-1b, CaLB entrapped in a binary sol–gel with the highest octylsilane content was the most efficient (Table S7†: Point 2, $c_{\text{1b}} = 34.5$%, ee(H)-2b = 99.7%) while less of octylsilane in the composition decreased conversion rates. Thus, in KR of secondary alcohols rac-1a,b, an interesting phenomenon was observed. The predomina-

Fig. 2 Major docking poses of two partially hydrolyzed silane precursors. (A) PhSi(OEt)(OH)$_2$ (hydrolyzed form of PTEOS) and (B) CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_7$Si(OEt) (OH)$_2$ (hydrolyzed form of OTEOS) in CaLB with closed lid conformation (refined model of 5A6V: chain B). In both panels, the full structure with the bound molecule mimicking the pose of a substrate is in cyan, the loop in the model with the bound molecule in lid loop-interacting pose is in light brown, Ser130 is colored in red and His249 in blue. Images were created by Maestro.31

highest activity for the entrapped CaLB in KR of a phenyl substituted substrate (rac-1a, Fig. 3A), but CaLB entrapped in a matrix with the octyl-silane predominance was more active in the KR of the alcohol with aliphatic substituents (rac-1b, Fig. 3B). In the case of rac-1b, the TOP system (Fig. 3B) showed a special cubic character in which in addition to TEOS/OTEOS and OTEOS/PTEOS interactions, one involving the three precursors was found. KR of amines rac-3a,b showed a shift in activity maxima towards lower hydrophobicity values of the sol–gel matrix (higher TEOS content, see Point 7 in fig. panels 3C, 3D and in Table S7, † $x_{\text{OTEOS}} = 0.706$). Similar to the case of aliphatic alcohol rac-1b, a CaLB preparation entrapped in a sol–gel system with an aliphatic silane component (OTEOS) was optimal for high conversions in the KR of aliphatic amine rac-3b, although at higher hydrophilicity (lower proportion of OTEOS: Point 7, $x_{\text{OTEOS}} = 0.294$ in Fig. 3D for rac-3b compared to Point 2, $x_{\text{OTEOS}} = 0.880$ in Fig. 3B for rac-1b). Compositions of lower hydrophobicity in the TOP system were also the most productive for the aromatic amine rac-3a (Point 7, $x_{\text{OTEOS}} = 0.706$, Fig. 3C).

Models for rac-1b with the systems TEOS/DMDEOS/OTEOS (TDO, Fig. 3j) and TEOS/DMDEOS/PTEOS (TDP, Fig. 3f) were quadratic too. DMDEOS also had a significant effect for both alcohols rac-1a,b in the TDO (Fig. 3i and j) and TDP (Fig. 3e and f) systems, but similar to the TDP system, high hydrophobicity (high proportion of OTEOS) had an important impact on biocatalytic activity for rac-1b in the TDO system (Fig. 3j). In general, PTEOS with an aromatic substituent positively influenced the activity of CaLB in KR of the aromatic substrate rac-1a, while the effect of addition of OTEOS was most significant in the KR of the aliphatic substrate rac-1b.

As discussed for the KRs of amines rac-3a,b with the TOP system (Fig. 3c and d), a more hydrophilic composition
favored the conversion of the more polar amines with a larger acylating agent. This effect was not simply apparent in the case of fully cubic models such as for the amine with an aromatic substituent (rac-3a) in TOP (Fig. 3C) and TDO (Fig. 3K) systems (for the significant effects, see Tables S5A and S5C,† respectively; in the ESI†). For the KR of aromatic amine rac-3a with CaLB in the TDP system using the three least hydrophobic precursors, the model was quadratic (Fig. 3G; for component interactions, see Table S5B, in the ESI†).

With the amine having aliphatic substituents (rac-3b), the model was linear for the TDO system (Fig. 3L), where only OTEOS had a strong hydrophobic character and hence the most pronounced impact on biocatalytic activity (Table S6C, in the ESI†). On the other hand, for the TDP system, the model was fully cubic again (Fig. 3H; for significant interactions, see Table S6B, in the ESI†).

**Stability of sol–gel entrapped CaLB in batch mode**

Mechanical, thermal and chemical (operational) stabilities are of prime importance in biocatalysis. In stirred tank reactors – typical of batch reactions – the catalyst is under significant mechanical stress causing serious deactivation. This effect is mostly avoided using continuous-flow packed-bed reactors.33 Immobilization yield is an important factor bearing on the economic viability of the process.13,14 In a properly selected sol–gel entrapment matrix, the enzyme molecules remain fully fixed while the diffusion of the substrate and product molecules is barely hindered.17,18 Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analysis of our sol–gel-entrapped biocatalyst indicated no leakage of CaLB after immobilization (Fig. S10 in the ESI†).

The reusability of selected CaLB biocatalysts entrapped in three different sol–gel systems using different ternary compositions of organosilanes (Point 10 of the models TOP, TDP, and TDO, see Table S2† and Fig. 3) were compared with the commercially available immobilized versions of CaLB [Immobead-T2-150; covalently attached to polyacrylic resin (CV-T2-150) and Novozyme 435: CaLB adsorbed onto macroporous polyacrylic resin (N 435)] in multi-cycle KR of rac-1a (section 3.2. in the ESI†).

All three versions of the sol–gel entrapped CaLB preparations were more durable in recycling tests than the commercial formulations (Fig. 4). Particularly, TOP 10 CaLB proved to be quite durable in the recycling tests, retaining after 10 cycles more than 91% of its initial activity (Fig. 4). The polyacrylic bead-based CaLB biocatalysts CV-T2-150 and N 435 (particle size of 150–500 µm) were less stable, mainly due to disintegrating to less...
active smaller fragments (Fig. 4: residual activities were 20% for CV-T2-150 and 64% for N 435 after 10 cycles). Mie scattering of the sol–gel entrapped CaLBs after ultrasonication – compared to their initial states – proved that these CaLB biocatalysts comprised quite stable particles (with 10–100 µm particle size range, see section 7 and Fig. S13, in the ESI†).

Heat tolerance and operational stability of the biocatalyst are important features which can be much enhanced by immobilization. Heat tolerance of three selected CaLB biocatalysts (Point 10 of the models TOP, TDP, and TDO) and two commercially available immobilized CaLB forms, CV-T2-150 and N 435, were compared by KR of rac-1a over a wide range of temperatures (30–100 °C, Fig. 8). While up to 50 °C, all of them were thermostable, above this temperature the activity of the various CaLB forms decreased differently. TDO-10 CaLB retained its high activity up to 100 °C (limited to \( r_{\text{batch}} = 94.4 \, \text{U g}^{-1} \) due to reaching 50% conversion in the KR of rac-1a) (Fig. 5A) with excellent enantiomer selectivity (Fig. 5B, \( \text{ee(}R\text{-}2a > 99\% ) \)). Even the least durable of the new biocatalyst i.e. TOP-10 CaLB worked at acceptable activity at 100 °C (Fig. 5A, \( r_{\text{batch}} = 40.9 \, \text{U g}^{-1} \)) i.e. at >60% of the initial specific reaction rate (Fig. 8a) and \( \text{ee(}R\text{-}2a > 98\% ) \). At >80 °C, the performance of CaLB in both OTEOS-containing systems (TOP-10 and TDO-10 CaLB) was superior to that of the commercial CaLB forms regarding both activity and enantiomer selectivity (Fig. 5).

Scale up of the sol–gel immobilization process of CaLB

For industrial applications of biocatalysis, scale up of the immobilization procedure is a crucial issue where multiple technological and quality requirements must be satisfied. The conservation of catalytic activity, enantiomer selectivity and physical-chemical properties is critical.

In our study, the entrapment of CaLB in the TDP matrix (TDP-10 CaLB) was scaled up 100-fold from the usual laboratory scale (~250 mg). As the main parameters for comparison, the biocatalytic activity \( (U_B) \), enantiomeric excess of the product (\( \text{ee(}R\text{-}3a) \)), and average particle size \( (D_p) \) of the packing were chosen (Table 2).

In addition to the usual techniques, the particle size distribution and the congruency factor of the Raman-maps \( (R) \) for the lab scale and scaled up TDP-10 CaLB were also investigated.

Raman spectroscopy, a non-invasive technique, is specific to the chemical bonds and symmetry of molecules. Only few
examples exist about the examination of enzymes attached to electrodes by surface-enhanced resonance Raman spectroscopy. Sol–gel processes have also been characterized by Raman spectroscopy, but enzymes entrapped in sol–gel matrices have not been investigated. Raman-maps of the two preparations (section 8 and Fig. S12 in the ESI†) were compared by Pearson correlation analysis. The 0.9902 value of the Pearson correlation coefficient indicated high similarity of the two systems.

The particle size analysis of the lab scale and scaled up TDP-10 CaLB was also investigated by Mie scattering (section 9 in the ESI†). The particle size distribution of the scaled up TDP-10 CaLB was similar to that found for the lab scale TDP-10 CaLB (Fig. S14 in the ESI†).

FT-IR analysis of the fingerprint region (450–1500 cm−1) confirmed the presence of the alkyl or aryl functional groups of the precursors in the xerogels (section 11, Fig. S21–23 in the ESI†). However, similar to previous reports, FT-IR was not able to locate the enzyme.

Similar to our recent work on the sol–gel entrapment of a methanol tolerant thermophilic lipase, the specific surface area of the biocatalysts by N2 adsorption/desorption did not correlate with the activity of biocatalysts (section 10, Table S11 in the ESI†). N2 adsorption could provide the surface available for small and relatively nonpolar N2 molecules but not for larger molecules with higher polarity. Based on the SEM data however, the activity could be associated with the average length of the nanochannels, which was much shorter for a microstructured material than for a non-microstructured matrix. Scanning electron microscopy (Fig. 6 and Fig. S15–S18 in the ESI†) clearly indicated that TDP-10 CaLB formed as ~60 μm particles (Fig. S18F and 19F†, respectively) with a porous microstructured morphology due to sticking submicron particles together (Fig. 6C and Fig. S17F in the ESI†). Therefore, the length of nanochannels with diffusion limitations within TDP-10 CaLB (Fig. 6C) was significantly shorter than within the other, less porous matrices such as within the TEOS matrix (Fig. 6A) or the TEOS-PTEOS binary matrix (Fig. 6B).

According to the density measurements (Table S11 in the ESI†), the TEOS-based biocatalyst (e.g. TDP-1 CaLB) had the highest density, while the densities of the binary (TDP-5 CaLB) and ternary systems (TDP-10 CaLB) were lower. In contrast, the CaLB entrapped in the TEOS-based matrix had higher total volume of pores (0.22 cm3 g−1) than CaLB entrapped in binary and ternary systems (0.05–0.09 cm3 g−1, Table S11 in the ESI†). This deviation stemmed from the limitation of the BET method which could measure pores only up to 50 nm. However, according to the density data and the SEM images, larger pores were dominant within several binary and ternary preparations.

**Application of sol–gel entrapped CaLB in continuous-flow reactor systems**

Continuous-flow systems are widely applied to produce fine chemicals prompted by their obvious benefits among them easy control of reaction parameters, high productivity and good reproducibility. To explore the potential of the sol–gel entrapped CaLB biocatalysts in continuous-flow systems, we studied the catalytic performance of our best preparations in a
laboratory reactor system. The reactor equipped with stainless steel columns (CatCart) packed with the most effective CaLB biocatalysts enabled the precise temperature and flow rate control. This continuously operated bioreactor system with TOP-10, TDP-10, and TDO-10 CaLB columns was used for kinetic resolution of racemic alcohols rac-1a,b with vinyl acetate as the acylating agent, while TOP-11, TDP-11, and TDO-11 CaLB columns were used in KR of amines (rac-3a,b) using isopropyl 2-ethoxyacetate as the acylating agent.

In order to be able to study temperature effects in a continuous-flow system, the first series of experiments was directed to find the range of substrate concentrations where the effect could be well observed, i.e., conversions remaining in the linear kinetic range of 10–20% (see section 7.1 in the ESi†). Therefore, the solutions of rac-1a in toluene in the range of concentrations of 1–64 mg mL\(^{-1}\) were pumped through columns filled with TOP-10 CaLB, TDP-10 CaLB, and TDO-10 CaLB (Fig. 7A). On the basis of the results, 48 mg mL\(^{-1}\) concentration of rac-1a was selected to test thermal stability (Fig. 7A; for further details, see section 7.2 and Fig. S11 in the ESi†).

Temperature dependent behavior of CaLB biocatalysts (TOP-10, TDP-10, and TDO-10) was compared with those of commercial immobilized CaLB preparations CV-T2-150 and N 435 (Fig. 7). Thus, the solution of rac-1a (48 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) and vinyl acetate (2.76 equiv.) in toluene was pumped through the three packed-bed columns filled with the mentioned biocatalysts while raising the temperature from 30 to 100 °C in increments of 10 °C at a constant flow rate of 0.20 mL min\(^{-1}\). The productivity (\(r_{\text{flow}}\)) and enantiomeric excess (ee(\(R\)-2a)) values of the product (Fig. 7B) were plotted as a function of temperature. For all of the CaLB preparations, \(r_{\text{flow}}\) – temperature curves were of quite similar shape over the entire temperature range (30–100 °C). Between 30 and 60 °C, the specific reaction rate (\(r_{\text{flow}}\)) increased significantly peaking between 50 and 70 °C followed by a drop of 25–30% of apparent activity up to 100 °C (Fig. 7A). At 60 °C, two ternary sol–gel CaLB biocatalysts, TOP-10 and TDP-10, further N 435 were the most active surpassing the sol–gel type TDO-10 and the covalently attached form CV-T2-150.

The other crucial feature of enzyme catalyzed reactions is their stereoselectivity, permitting the production of chiral molecules in an enantiopure form. As it is shown in Fig. 7B, for all the five immobilized CaLB biocatalysts the enantiomeric excess (ee(\(R\)-2a)) of the product (\(R\)-2a) decreased with increasing temperature over the entire temperature range covered. In fact, remarkably high ee(\(R\)-2a) values could be attained with the three ternary sol–gel CaLB biocatalysts (TOP-10, TDP-10 and TDO-10) which remained up to 100 °C quite high (>99%), while ee(\(R\)-2a) with the commercial CaLB preparations dropped below 99% at 100 °C.

Finally, the long term operational stability of TDP-10 CaLB was tested in the kinetic resolution of the alcohol rac-1a and the amine rac-3a in a continuous-flow reactor at 60 °C using toluene as a solvent (Fig. 8). The operation of both KRs remained stable over 5 day-long continuous runs at this temperature, indicating the robustness of TDP-10 CaLB in continuous-flow mode biotransformations. After proper separation, the products were isolated in good yields (47%, for both) and high enantiomeric purity (ee 99.7%, and 99.9%), for (\(R\))-2a and (\(R\))-4a, respectively; for details of analysis on a small analytical scale, see section 6.2 and Table S10 in the ESi†).

Space time yield and specific enzyme productivity analysis revealed the applicability of the CaLB preparations entrapped
in rationally engineered ternary sol–gel matrices as green bio-catalysts (Table 3). Thus, assuming e.g. that the durability of the TDP-10 CaLB is independent of the flow rate, 1 g of native CaLB is applicable in its sol–gel entrapped form in 5 days to produce 2.2 kg of (R)-2a with an ee of 99.4% (from a KR with a conversion of 28.5%, at a flow rate of 0.6 ml min\(^{-1}\)) or 3.3 kg of (R)-4a with an ee of 99.8% (from a KR with a conversion of 28%, at a flow rate of 0.6 ml min\(^{-1}\)).

### Conclusion

Our study using “immobilization engineering” focused on the rational improvements of the sol–gel enzyme entrapment process with the aid of bioinformatics and experimental design tools.

**Molecular docking and modeling** was applied as a part of the immobilization engineering method. Modeling within the open-lid and closed-lid conformations of lipase B from *Candida antarctica* enabled efficient selection of organosilanes serving as components of ternary compositions to prepare sol–gel matrices for the entrapment of CaLB, an enzyme widely applied in various industrial processes.

Immobilization engineering was further aided by the **response surface methodology** leading to novel ways of CaLB immobilization with enhanced functional efficiency and reusability.

The novel biocatalysts were prepared by entrapment of CaLB in sol–gel matrices using three different ternary compositions of organosilanes. The systems were tested in kinetic resolution of two racemic alcohols and two racemic amines. The rational selection of the organosilane precursors enabled the preparation of highly efficient and enantioselective biocatalysts. We also found that there exists no single “best” silane precursor composition and each substrate requires individual optimization. Therefore, our approach, a combination of computational and experimental methods which can highly accelerate the optimization process, is of general importance and can significantly contribute to the dissemination of an inherently green methodology.

---

**Table 3** Space-time yield and specific productivity of CaLB entrapped in various sol–gel matrices for kinetic resolutions of the selected alcohol and amine substrates (rac-1a,b and rac-3a,b) in continuous-flow mode

| Substrate | Biocatalyst | \(Y_{s}/\text{kg L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}\) | \(U_{b}/\text{kg g}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}\) |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| rac-1a    | TDP-10 CaLB | 0.81            | 0.44            |
| rac-1b    | TOP-10 CaLB | 1.08            | 0.53            |
| rac-3a    | TDP-10 CaLB | 1.01            | 0.53            |
| rac-3b    | TDO-10 CaLB | 1.21            | 0.66            |

\(a\) Space time yield \(Y_{s}\). \(b\) Specific productivity of the enzyme \(U_{b}\).
In the kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols and secondary amine substituted with aromatic or aliphatic substituents, sol-gel-entrapped CaLBs were superior to the traditional polymer-based forms. They are characterized by enhanced thermal stability in organic media, improved recyclability and higher operational stability both in batch and continuous mode operations.

The space–time yield in the range of 0.81–1.21 kg L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) and the specific productivity in the range of 0.44–0.66 kg g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) observed with the various sol–gel entrapped forms of CaLB in continuous-mode KRs of selected alcohol and amine substrates as well as facile scaling up of the immobilization process (up to 100-fold from the lab scale) and the potential to produce more than 2.5 kg product per g native enzyme make our method a tunable and economical green option to produce biocatalysts for flow chemistry.
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