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Abstract

We discuss various square-free and radical factorizations and existence of some divisors in monoids in the context of: atomicity, ascending chain condition for principal ideals, a pre-Schreier property, a greatest common divisor property and a greatest common divisor for sets property.

1 Introduction

Let \( \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots \} \) and \( \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots \} \).

Throughout this paper by a monoid we mean a commutative cancellative monoid.

Let \( H \) be a monoid. We denote by \( H^* \) the group of all invertible elements of \( H \).

Let \( a, b \in H \) are relatively primes in \( H \), i.e. do not have a common invertible divisor of \( H \), then we write \( a \text{ rpr } b \). Therefore, if \( M \) be a submonoid of \( H \) and elements \( a, b \in M \) are relatively primes in \( M \), then we write \( a \text{ rpr}_M b \).
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If $a, b \in H$ satisfying the condition $a = ub$, where $u \in H^*$, then we write $a \sim b$.

The set of all irreducible elements (atoms) of $H$ will be denoted by $\text{Irr } H$. Recall that an element $a \in H$ is called square-free if it cannot be presented in the form $a = b^2c$, where $b, c \in H$ and $b \notin H^*$. The set of all square-free elements of $H$ we will denote by $\text{Sqf } H$.

In [2] Theorem 5.1, with co-authors P. Jedrzejewicz, M. Marciniak and J. Zieliński, we presented a full characterization of submonoids $M$ of the factorial monoid $H$ satisfying the condition

(1) $\text{Sqf } M \subset \text{Sqf } H$ assuming $M^* = H^*$.

The equivalence of (1) and

(2) for every $a \in H, b \in \text{Sqf } H$, if $a^2b \in M$, then $a, b \in M$

in [3] has been extended to the equivalence of 8 conditions. Two of these conditions represent a closure with respect to the 1s and 3s factorization (See section [3], while the closure with respect to 3s was obtained at an earlier stage of the research and published in [3].

In addition, we received a full description of such submonoids (of factorial monoid) satisfying the condition (1). They are (with an accuracy to the invertible elements) free submonoids generated by any set of pairs of relatively prime non-invertible square-free elements.

It also turned out that the condition

(3) $\text{Irr } M \subset \text{Sqf } H$

is equivalent to the conjunction of (1) and the sentence:

(4) for every $a, b \in M$, if $a \text{ rpr}_M b$, then $a \text{ rpr}_H b$.

We have a transparent answer to the question of when the condition (1) be equivalent to the condition (3).

A very important step in the conducted research was finding a factorial condition implicating the condition (3):

(5) for every $a \in H, b \in \text{Sqf } H$, if $a^2b \in M$, then $a, ab \in M$.  

A natural question arose, is it a necessary condition. The answer is negative – a counterexample was found ([2], Example 4.2). The factorial condition to (3) is interesting, five equivalent conditions were obtained ([2], Theorem 4.3), including closure with respect to the factorization of 2s (see section 3).

Conditions (1) and (3) are related to the assumption found in the famous Jacobian conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic 0. For every polynomials $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with $n > 1$, if

$$\text{Jac}(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n) \in k \setminus \{0\},$$

then

$$k[f_1, \ldots, f_n] = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n].$$

Recall a generalization of the Jacobian conjecture formulated in [5].

Conjecture 1.2. Let $k$ be a field characteristic 0. For every polynomials $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_r \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with $n > 1$ and $r \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$, if

$$\gcd(\text{Jac}_{x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \ldots, x_{j_r}} f_1 f_2 \cdots f_r, 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_r \leq n) \in k \setminus \{0\},$$

then

$$k[f_1, \ldots, f_r]$$ is algebraically closed in $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Under the assumption that $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_r$ are algebraically independent over $k$, the generalized Jacobian condition (assumption of Conjecture 1.2) is equivalent to any of the following ones ([5]):

(6) every irreducible of $k[f_1, \ldots, f_r]$ is square-free in $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$,

(7) every square-free of $k[f_1, \ldots, f_r]$ is square-free in $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Conditions (1) and (3) are a generalization of conditions (6) and (7) and therefore we call them the analogs of the Jacobian conditions.

A side effect of the presented approach was a natural question about general relationships between square-free factorizations in different classes of monoids. Of course, these factorizations for rings of polynomials are commonly known, and it is clear that their existence and uniqueness occur in domains with uniqueness of distribution, so e.g. certain properties hold in GCD-domains. However, these relationships have not been studied so far.
In this paper we will consider pre-Schreier monoids, GCD-monoids, GCDs-monoids, ACCP-monoids, atomic monoids.

Recall that a monoid is called GCD-monoid, if for any two elements there is a greatest common divisor. A monoid $H$ is called GCDs-monoid, if there is greatest common divisor for any subset of $H$. A monoid $H$ is called a pre-Schreier monoid, if for any $a \in H$ the condition is met, that for any $b, c \in H$ such that $a \mid bc$ there are $a_1, a_2 \in H$ such that $a = a_1a_2$, $a_1 \mid b$ and $a_2 \mid c$. A monoid $H$ is called atomic, if every non-invertible element $a \in H$ be a finite product of irreducibles (atoms). A monoid $H$ is called ACCP-monoid any ascending sequence principal ideals of $H$ stabilizes.

In section 4 we examine the dependencies between square-free factorizations, conditions of existence of certain square-free divisors, and between square-free factorizations and conditions of existence of certain square-free divisors. The conditions for the existence of certain square-free divisors result from the appropriate factorization, and the condition for the existence of a square-free divisor in a square plays an important role in reasoning about the inclusions (1) and (3).

In this context, the concept of a radical generator is very important introduced by A. Reinhart in 2012 in [7]. The element of monoid is called radical if the principal ideal is generated by this element be a radical ideal. The set of all radical generators of a monoid $H$ will be denoted by $Gpr H$. Reinhart’s explores the properties of radically factorial monoids, i.e. such that each element is a product of radical generators. He does not consider various types of radical factorization, nor relationships with square-free factorization. Let us add that the property of the radical generator (although the author does not use this name) appeared in the work of G. Angermüller published in 2017 in the Grauert-Remmert normality criterion ([1], Proposition 31).

The radical generator is square-free, so radical factorizations are square-free factorizations. Therefore, in the section 4 we also study general relationships between radical factorizations, conditions of existence of certain radical divisors, as well as between factorizations and conditions of existence of some divisors (square-free or radical).

In [2] the relationship between the four square-free factorizations and two conditions for the existence of square-free divisors was investigated. In this paper, I present the latest results, which include the dependencies binding
the next three conditions for the existence of square-free divisors and a total
of nine factorization and conditions for the existence of radical divisors.

Let’s define another class of monoid. A monoid \( H \) is called SR-monoid,
if \( \text{Gpr} \ H = \text{Sqf} \ H \).

It turns out that considering the obtained dependencies, we can consider
various ways of classifying monoids due to square-free and radical factoriza-
tion and due to the conditions of existence of certain square-free or radical
divisors. The potential number of cases is: 7, 11, 26, 57, 324, 2708, 2960.
These numbers depend on the given monoid property (GCDs, GCD, pre-
Schreier, SR, atomicity, ACCP, general, respectively). The results of these
studies are described in section 7.

2 Auxiliary statements

In this section we present the Lemmas that we will need later in the next
paper.

Lemma 2.1. Let \( H \) be a monoid.
(a) Let \( a \in \text{Sqf} \ H \) and \( b \in H \). If \( b \mid a \) then \( b \in \text{Sqf} \ H \).
(b) Let \( a \in \text{Gpr} \ H \) and \( b \in H \). If \( b \mid a \) then \( b \in \text{Gpr} \ H \).

Proof. (a) Suppose \( b \notin \text{Sqf} \ H \). Then there exists \( d \in H \setminus H^* \) such that \( d^2 \mid b \).
Hence \( d^2 \mid a \). A contradiction.
(b) \cite{2}, Lemma 6.2. \( \square \)

Lemma 2.2. Let \( H \) be a monoid. If \( a \in \text{Sqf} \ H \) and \( a = b_1 b_2 \ldots b_n \), then
\( b_i \text{ rpr } b_j \) for \( i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j \).

Proof. \cite{2}, Lemma 3.1. \( \square \)

Lemma 2.3. Let \( H \) be a pre-Schreier monoid.
(a) Let \( a, b, c, d \in H \). If \( ab = cd \), a rpr c and b rpr d, then \( a \sim d \) and \( b \sim c \).
(b) Let \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b \in H \). If \( a_i \text{ rpr } b \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), then \( a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n \text{ rpr } b \).
(c) Let \( a, b \in H \). If \( a \text{ rpr } b \), then \( a^k \text{ rpr } b^l \) for any \( k, l \in \mathbb{N} \).
(d) Let \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in H \). If \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \text{Sqf} \ H \) and \( a_i \text{ rpr } a_j \) for \( i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j \), then \( a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n \in \text{Sqf} \ H \).
(e) Let \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \text{Sqf} \ H, b \in H \). If \( a_i \text{ rpr } a_j \) for \( i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \),
\( i \neq j \) and \( a_i \mid b \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), then \( a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n \mid b \).
Proof. (a), (d) The proof is similar to [4], Lemma 2 (b), (e).
(b), (e) [2], Lemma 6.3 (b), (d).
(c) Let $a, b \in H$. Assume $a \text{ rpr } b$. Then by (b) we get $a^k \text{ rpr } b$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. And again by (b) we have $a^k \text{ rpr } b^l$ for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following Proposition we have a very important property in a pre-Schreier monoid.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $H$ be a pre-Schreier monoid. Then

$$Gpr H = Sqf H.$$  

Proof. [2], Proposition 6.4.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $H$ be a GCDs-monoid and $a \in H$. Let $X \subset H$ be any non-empty subset of set of divisors of $a$. Then there is GCD($X$).

Proof. Let $Y = \{d \in H : \exists c \in X : a = cd\}$. Denote by $e$ a greatest common divisor of the set $Y$. Then $e$ divides every element of the set $Y$, so by definition of $Y$ we get $e \mid a$. We have $a = ef$, where $f \in H$. We will show $f = \text{GCD}(X)$.

First we prove that $f$ is least common multiple of elements of the set $X$. Consider any element $c \in X$. Since $c \mid a$, then $a = cd$, where $d \in H$. We have $d \in Y$, so $d = eg$, where $g \in H$. Thus, since $d = eg$, then $cd = ceg$, and since $ef = a = cd$, then $ef = ceg$. Then $f = cg$, so $c \mid f$.

Now, we will show that every least common multiple of elements of $X$ is the multiple of element $f$. Consider any element $c \in X$ such that $a = cd, d \in Y$. We know that $c \mid h$, so $cd \mid hd$, hence $a \mid hd$. Let $Z = \{bh, b \in Y\}$. Then we have GCD($Z$) = $h \text{ GCD}(Y) = he$. Since $a \mid hl$, then $a \mid eh$. We know $a = ef$, hence $ef \mid eh$, so $f \mid h$.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $H$ be a monoid and $X \subset Gpr H$. Assume that there is GCD($X$). Then LCM($X$) $\in Gpr H$.

Proof. Denote $l = \text{LCM}(X)$. Consider any element $b \in H$ such that $l \mid b^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $l$ is the least common multiple of set $X$, then for any $c \in X$ we have $c \mid l$. Then $c \mid b^n$. Because $c \in Gpr H$, so $c \mid b$. Then $l \mid b$. 
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3 Types of factorization and square-free or radical extraction

In this chapter we consider the relationship between square-free and radical factorizations and the conditions for the existence of some square-free or radical divisors in some monoids.

The following properties of the monoid $H$ are paired: the square-free version and the radical version, for example in $\text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$ we read that for property (0s) we have $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \in \text{Sqf } H$, and for property (0r) we have $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \in \text{Gpr } H$. In some Lemmas we also have a similar formulation in two variants denoted by $\text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$ and we read in the same way that the Lemma was formulated for square-free elements or for radical generators.

Let $H$ be a monoid. Consider the following conditions:

1. For any $a \in H$ there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \in \text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$ such that $a = s_1s_2 \ldots s_n$.
2. For any $a \in H$ there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \in \text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$ satisfying the condition $s_i \mid s_{i+1}$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, $i \neq j$ such that $a = s_1s_2^2s_3^3 \ldots s_n^n$.
3. For any $a \in H$ there are $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n \in \text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$ such that $a = s_0s_1^2s_2^2 \ldots s_n^2$.
4. For any $a \in H$ there are $b \in H$ and $c \in \text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$ satisfying the condition $b \mid c$ such that $a = bc$ and there is $d \in \text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$ such that $d^2 \mid b$ and $b \mid d^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
4’s / 4’r for any $a \in H$ there are $b \in H$ and $c \in \text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$ satisfying the condition $b rpr c$ such that

$$a = bc$$

and for any $d \in \text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$, if $d \mid b$ then $d^2 \mid b$,

5’s / 5’r for any $a \in H$ there are $b \in H$ and $c \in \text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$ such that

$$a = bc$$

and $a \mid c^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

6’s / 6’r for any $a \in H$ there are $b \in H$ and $c \in \text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$ such that

$$a = bc$$

and for any $d \in \text{Sqf } H/\text{Gpr } H$, if $d \mid a$ then $d \mid c$,

4 Relationships between factorizations

Proposition 4.1. Let $H$ be a monoid.

(a) The following implications holds:

(b) The following implications holds:
(c) The following implications hold:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0r & 1r & 2r & 3r & 4r & 5r & 6r \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0s & 1s & 2s & 3s & 4s & 5s & 6s \\
\end{array}
\]

Proof. (a) \(2s \Rightarrow 1s\) Proposition 1, (a), (iv) \(\Rightarrow\) (vi).

\(2s \Rightarrow 3s\) From \(2s \Rightarrow 1s\) we can present an element \(a\) as \(a = u_1u_2u_3\ldots u_n\), where \(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \text{Sqf } H / \text{Gpr } H\) satisfying the condition \(u_i \text{ rpr } u_j\) for \(i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\), \(i \neq j\), where \(s_{n-i+1} = s_{n-i}u_i\) for \(i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}\) and \(u_n = s_1\). Then

\[
\prod_{k=1}^{n} u_k^i = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{r} c_i^{(k)} q_i^j = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{r} u_k^{c_i^{(k)} q_i^j} = \prod_{k=1}^{r} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{n} u_k^{c_i^{(k)}} \right)^{q_i^j}.
\]

Denote \(t_i = \prod_{k=1}^{n} u_k^{c_i^{(k)}}\) for \(i = 0, 1, \ldots, r\). Because \(u_i \text{ rpr } u_j\) for \(i \neq j\), so from Lemma 2.2 we have \(t_i \in \text{Sqf } H\). Therefore \(a = t_0t_1t_2^2\ldots t_r^2\), where \(k = \sum_{i=0}^{r} c_i^{(k)} q_i^j\) for \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\) and \(c_i^{(k)} \in \{0, 1\}\).

\(2s \Rightarrow 5s\) \(\text{[2], Proposition 3.4, (ii)} \Rightarrow (v)\).

\(3s \Rightarrow 6s\) Obvious.

(b) \(4r \Rightarrow 4r\) Let \(e \in \text{Gpr } H\) be such that \(e \mid b\). By assumption we have \(b \mid d^n\), hence \(e \mid d^n\), because \(e \mid b\). But \(e \in \text{Gpr } H\), so from the fact that \(e \mid d^n\) we have \(e \mid d\), thus \(e^2 \mid d^2\). By assumption we have \(d^2 \mid b\), so \(e^2 \mid b\).

\(5r \Rightarrow 4r\) Let \(a = bc\), where \(b \in H, c \in \text{Gpr } H\) such that \(a \mid c^m\) for some \(m \in \mathbb{N}\). By assumption we can \(b\) presented in the form \(b = de\), where \(d \in H, e \in \text{Gpr } H\) such that \(b \mid e^k\) for some \(k \in \mathbb{N}\).

Since \(e \mid b, b \mid a\) and \(a \mid c^m\), then \(e \mid c^m\). But \(e \in \text{Gpr } H\), so \(e \mid c\) by definition. Then \(c = ef\), where \(f \in H\). By Lemma 2.1 we refer that \(f \in \text{Gpr } H\), and from Lemma 2.2 we have \(e \text{ rpr } f\). From equation \(b = de\) we have \(be = de^2\). We get \(a = bef\), where \(e^2 \mid be\) and \(e \mid e^{k+1}\).

Now we will prove that \(be \text{ rpr } f\). From divisibilities \(d \mid be, be \mid e^{k+1}\) and \(e^{k+1} \mid c^{k+1}\) we have \(d \mid c^{k+1}\) and \(f \mid c, c \mid c^{k+1}\), so \(f \mid c^{k+1}\). In other
hand we have $df | bef$, $bef | a$ and $a | c^l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, so $df | c^l$. Hence since $d | c^k$, $f | c^l$, $df | c^l$, then $d \operatorname{rpr} f$. And since $e \operatorname{rpr} f$, then $be \operatorname{rpr} f$.

\[5r \Rightarrow 5' \Rightarrow \]

Let $d \in \operatorname{Gpr} H$ be such that $d | a$. Since $d | a$ and by assumption $a | c^a$, then $d | c^a$. Because $d \in \operatorname{Gpr} H$, so $d | c$.

(c) The proof comes from the fact that any radical generator is a square-free element.

\[\checkmark\]

Recall that in a SR-monoid the concept of a square-free element coincides with the concept of a radical generator, therefore it is enough to consider square-free properties.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $H$ be a SR-monoid. Then

(a) the following implications hold:

\[0s \Rightarrow 1s \Rightarrow 2s \Rightarrow 3s \Rightarrow 4s \Rightarrow 5s \Rightarrow 6s\]

(b) the following equivalences hold:

\[0r \Leftrightarrow 1r \Leftrightarrow 2r \Leftrightarrow 3r \Leftrightarrow 4r \Leftrightarrow 5r \Leftrightarrow 6r\]

\[0s \Leftrightarrow 1s \Leftrightarrow 2s \Leftrightarrow 3s \Leftrightarrow 4s \Leftrightarrow 5s \Leftrightarrow 6s\]

**Proof.** (a) Since $H$ is a SR-monoid, so every implications from Proposition 4.1 (b) hold.

(b) Obvious.

\[\square\]
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Since in pre-Schreier monoids, GCD-monoids and GCDs-monoids the SR property holds, therefore in the following three Propositions it is enough to consider square-free dependencies.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $H$ be a pre-Schreier monoid. Then

(a) the following implications and equivalences hold:

```
0s ⇔ 1s ⇔ 2s ⇔ 3s
  ↓    ↓    ↓    ↓
 4s ⇔ 5s ⇔ 6s
```

(b) if the condition $2s$ holds, then $H$ be GCD-monoid.

**Proof.** (a) $1s \Rightarrow 2s$. [1], Proposition 1, (b), (vi) $\Rightarrow (iv)$.

1) $1s \Rightarrow 4s$. Put $b = s_2^2 s_3^3 \ldots s_n^n$ and $c = s_1$. From the fact that $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$ are pairwise relatively prime results $b \rpr c$ from Lemma [2,3] (d). Moreover for $d = s_2 s_3 \ldots s_n$ we have $d^2 \mid b, b \mid d^n$. Because $s_i \rpr s_j$ for $i, j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j$, so from Lemma [2.3] (e) we have $d \in \text{Sqf} H$.

2) $4s \Rightarrow 5s$. Assume $a = bc$, where $b \in H, c \in \text{Sqf} H$ such that $b \rpr c$ and $b = d^2 c, b \mid d^m$, where $d \in \text{Sqf} H$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a = d^2 ec = (de)(cd)$. Since $d \mid b, b \rpr c$, then $d \rpr c$, so $cd \in \text{Sqf} H$ by Lemma [2.3] (d). We get also that since $b \mid d^m$, then $bc \mid d^mc$, and because $d^mc \mid (cd)^m$, so $a \mid (cd)^m$.

The other implications hold from Proposition [4.1].

(b) [2], Reviewer’s remark, p. 865.
**Proposition 4.4.** Let $H$ be a GCD-monoid. Then the following implications and equivalences hold:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0s & \iff & 1s & \iff & 2s & \iff & 3s \\
& & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
& & 4s & \iff & 5s & \iff & 6s \\
& & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
& & 4's & \iff & 5's & & \\
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** \(1s \iff 2s \iff 3s\) \[4\], Proposition 1 (b).

\(0s \Rightarrow 2s\) \[2\], Reviewer’s remark, p. 854.

The other implications and equivalences hold from Proposition 4.3.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $H$ be a GCDs-monoid. Then the condition \(5's\) holds.

**Proof.** Let $a \in H$ and $X = \{d \in \text{Sqf } H; d \mid a\}$. From Lemma 2.5 there exists $\text{LCM}(X)$. Let $c = \text{LCM}(X)$. By Lemma 2.6 we get that $c \in \text{Sqf } H$. Since every element belonging to $X$ divides $a$, the $c \mid a$. Hence $a = bc$ for some $b \in H$. Consider any $d \in \text{Sqf } H$ such that $d \mid a$. But $d \in X$, hence $d \mid c$, because $c = \text{LCM}(X)$.

Note that in an atomic monoid the \(1s\) property holds.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let $H$ be an ACCP-monoid. Then

(a) the conditions \(0s\), \(3s\) and \(6s\) hold,

(b) the following implicatios and equivalences hold:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0r & \iff & 1r & \iff & 2r & \iff & 3r & \iff & 6r & \iff & 5r & \Rightarrow & 4r \\
& & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
& & 1s & \iff & 2s & & \Rightarrow & & 5s & \iff & 4s \\
& & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \\
& & 5's & & & & & & 3's & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]
Proof. (a) \cite{4}, Proposition 1 (c), (i), (iii).

(b) Consider any element \( a \in H \). We can presented element \( a \) in the form \( a = b_1c_1 \), where \( b_1 \in H \), \( c_1 \in \text{Sqf} \ H \) and for every \( d \in \text{Sqf} \ H \), if \( d \mid a \), then \( d \mid c \).

We can presented element \( b_1 \) in the form \( b_1 = b_2c_2 \), where \( b_2 \in H \), \( c_2 \in \text{Sqf} \ H \) and for every \( d \in \text{Sqf} \ H \), if \( d \mid b_1 \), then \( d \mid c_2 \).

An element \( b_2 \) we can presented in the form \( b_2 = b_3c_3 \), where \( b_3 \in H \), \( c_3 \in \text{Sqf} \ H \) and for every \( d \in \text{Sqf} \ H \), if \( d \mid b_2 \), then \( d \mid c_3 \).

Continuing, we get an ascending sequence of principal ideals

\[
(b_1) \subset (b_2) \subset (b_3) \subset \ldots
\]

Then by ACCP condition there exists \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
(b_n) = (b_{n+1}) = (b_{n+2}) \ldots
\]

In particular \( (b_k) = (b_{k+1}) \), so \( b_k \sim b_{k+1} \). Because \( b_k = b_{k+1}c_{k+1} \), hence \( c_{k+1} \in H^* \). we know that for any element \( d \in \text{Sqf} \ H \), if \( d \mid b_k \), then \( d \mid c_{k+1} \). But \( c_{k+1} \in H^* \), hence since \( d \mid b_k \), then \( d \in H^* \).

We have

\[
a = b_1c_1 = b_2c_2c_1 = \cdots = b_kc_kc_{k-1} \cdots c_1 = c_kc_{k-1} \cdots c_1,
\]

because \( b_k \in H^* \). We show that for every \( i = 2, 3, \ldots k \) the divisibility \( c_i \mid c_{i-1} \) holds. For \( i = 2 \) we have \( c_2 \mid b_1 \), because \( b_1 = b_2c_2 \). Since \( c_2 \mid b_1 \), then \( c_2 \mid a \). Then by the assumption \( c_2 \mid c_1 \). For \( i = 3, 4, \ldots \) we know that for every element \( b_{i-1} \) we can presented in the form \( b_{i-1} = b_ic_i \), hence \( c_i \mid b_{i-1} \). We also know that \( b_{i-1} \mid b_{i-2} \). And hence \( c_i \mid b_{i-2} \). By the assumption we have for any element \( d \in \text{Sqf} \ H \), if \( d \mid b_{i-2} \), then \( d \mid c_{i-1} \), so since \( c_i \mid b_{i-2} \), then \( c_i \mid c_{i-1} \), because \( c_i \in \text{Sqf} \ H \).

(6s)⇒(3s) Consider any element \( a \in H \). The element \( a \) can be presented in the form \( a = b_1^2c_1 \), where \( b_1 \in H \), \( c_1 \in \text{Sqf} \ H / \text{Gpr} \ H \).

An element \( b_1 \) can be presented in the form \( b_1 = b_2^2c_2 \), where \( b_2 \in H \), \( c_2 \in \text{Sqf} \ H / \text{Gpr} \ H \). Similarly, we can presented an element \( b_2 \) in the form \( b_2 = b_3^2c_3 \), where \( b_3 \in H \), \( c_3 \in \text{Sqf} \ H / \text{Gpr} \ H \).
By continuing this process, we obtain an ascending sequence of principal ideals

\((b_1) \subset (b_2) \subset (b_3) \subset \ldots\)

By ACCP condition there exists \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(b_k \sim b_{k+1}\). And because \(b_k = b_{k+1}^2 c_{k+1}\), hence \(b_{k+1}, c_{k+1} \in H^*\). Since \(b_k \sim b_{k+1}\) and \(b_{k+1} \in H^*\), then \(b_k \in H^*\).

Then

\[ a = b_1^2 c_1 = b_2^2 c_2^2 c_1 = b_3^2 c_3^2 c_2^2 c_1 = \cdots = b_k^2 c_k^2 c_{k-1}^2 c_{k-2}^2 \cdots c_1^2 = s_0 s_1^2 s_2^2 \cdots s_n^2, \]

where \(s_0 = c_1, s_1 = c_2, s_2 = c_3, \ldots, s_{n-1} = c_k, s_n = b_k\).

(c) \(3r \Rightarrow 2r\)

Consider any element \(a \in H\). We can introduced the element \(a\) in the form \(a = b_1 c_1\), where \(b_1 \in H, c_1 \in \text{Gpr} H\) and \(a \mid c_1^{n_1}\) holds for some \(n_1 \in \mathbb{N}\).

An element \(b_1\) can be presented in the form \(b_1 = b_2 c_2\), where \(b_2 \in H, c_2 \in \text{Gpr} H\) and \(b_1 \mid c_2^{n_2}\) holds form some \(n_2 \in \mathbb{N}\).

An element \(b_2\) can be presented in the form \(b_2 = b_3 c_3\), where \(b_3 \in H, c_3 \in \text{Gpr} H\) and \(b_2 \mid c_3^{n_3}\) holds for some \(n_3 \in \mathbb{N}\).

Continuing our reasoning we get an increasing sequence of principal ideals

\((b_1) \subset (b_2) \subset (b_3) \subset \ldots\)

By ACCP condition there exists \(n\) such that

\((b_n) = (b_{n+1}) = (b_{n+2}) = \ldots\)

In particular \((b_n) = (b_{n+1})\), so \(b_n \sim b_{n+1}\). And because \(b_n = b_{n+1} c_{n+1}\), so \(c_{n+1} \in H^*\). There is also divisibility \(b_n \mid c_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}}\), hence \(b_n \in H^*\).

Then we get

\[ a = b_1 c_1 = b_2 c_2 c_1 = b_3 c_3 c_2 c_1 = \cdots = b_n c_n c_{n-1} \cdots c_2 c_1 = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n, \]

where \(s_1 = b_n c_n, s_2 = c_{n-1}, s_3 = c_{n-2}, \ldots, s_n = c_1\).
It remained to prove that for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \) the condition \( c_{i+1} \mid c_i \) holds. For \( i = 1 \) we have divisibilities \( c_2 \mid b_1, b_1 \mid a, a \mid c_1^{m_1} \), hence \( c_2 \mid c_1 \), because \( c_2 \in \text{Gpr} \, H \). For \( i > 1 \) divisibilities \( c_{i+1} \mid b_i, b_i \mid b_{i-1}, b_{i-1} \mid c_i^{m_i} \) holds, and hence \( c_{i+1} \mid c_i \). Since \( c_{i+1} \in \text{Gpr} \, H \), then \( c_{i+1} \mid c_i \).

\( \subseteq \Rightarrow \) Consider any element \( a \in H \). An element \( a \in H \) can be presented in the form \( a = b_1^2 c_1 \), where \( b_1 \in H, c_1 \in \text{Gpr} \, H \).

An element \( b_1 c_1 \) can be presented in the form \( b_1 c_1 = b_2^2 c_2 \), where \( b_2 \in H, c_2 \in \text{Gpr} \, H \). Similarly, we can presented an element \( b_2 c_2 \) in the form \( b_2 c_2 = b_3^2 c_3 \), where \( b_3 \in H, c_3 \in \text{Gpr} \, H \).

By repeating the process, we obtain the following ascending sequence of principal ideals

\[
(b_1 c_1) \subset (b_2 c_2) \subset (b_3 c_3) \ldots
\]

By ACCP condition there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
(b_k c_k) = (b_{k+1} c_{k+1}) = (b_{k+2} c_{k+2}) \ldots
\]

In particular \( (b_k c_k) = (b_{k+1} c_{k+1}) \), so \( b_k c_k \sim b_{k+1} c_{k+1} \). From the equation \( b_k c_k = b_{k+1}^2 c_{k+1} \) and from \( b_k c_k \sim b_{k+1} c_{k+1} \) we get \( b_{k+1} \in H^* \).

We have the following divisibility:

\[
c_{k+1} \mid b_k c_k, b_k c_k \mid b_{k-1} c_{k-1}, \ldots, b_2 c_2 \mid b_1 c_1, b_1 c_1 \mid a.
\]

Therefore, since \( a = b_1^2 c_1 \), then \( a \mid (b_1 c_1)^2 \). Since \( b_1 c_1 = b_2^2 c_2 \), then \( b_1 c_1 \mid (b_2 c_2)^2 \). Generally for \( i = 2, 3, \ldots, k \) we have \( b_{k-i} c_{k-i} \mid (b_k c_k)^2 \).

Hence \( a \mid (b_k c_k)^{2k} \). Since \( b_k c_k \sim c_{k+1} \), then \( a \mid c_{k+1}^{2k} \).

The other implications hold from Proposition 4.1.

\( \square \)

### 5 Unique representation

In this section, we present the unique presentation of the factorization and the conditions of existence of square-free and radical divisors.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \( H \) be a monoid.

Consider any elements \( a, c \in H, b, d \in \text{Gpr} \, H \), such that for any \( e \in \text{Gpr} \, H \) implications hold: if \( e \mid ab \), then \( e \mid b \) and if \( e \mid cd \), then \( e \mid d \). If

\[
ab \sim cd,
\]

then \( a \sim c \) and \( b \sim d \).
Proof. Assume \( ab \sim cd \). We see that \( b \in \text{Gpr } H \) and \( b \mid cd \), so \( b \mid d \) by assumption. Similarly, we justify divisibility \( d \mid b \). Hence \( b \sim d \), and then \( a \sim c \). \(\square\)

The uniques of \( \{2r\}, \{5r\} \) was proved in [2], Proposition 6.5 (a), (b).
The uniques of \( \{1s\} \) was proved in [2], Proposition 6.6.

Proposition 5.2. Let \( H \) be a \( \text{GCD-monoid} \).

(a) Consider any elements \( a, c \in H \), \( b, d \in \text{Sqf } H \), such that \( a \text{ rpr } b \), \( c \text{ rpr } d \) and for some elements \( e, f \in \text{Sqf } H \) and \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) divisibilities \( e^2 \mid a \), \( a \mid e^m \) and \( f^2 \mid c \), \( c \mid f^n \) hold. If

\[
ab \sim cd,
\]
then \( a \sim c \), \( b \sim d \).

(b) Consider any elements \( a, c \in H \), \( b, d \in \text{Sqf } H \), such that \( a \text{ rpr } b \), \( c \text{ rpr } d \) and for any \( g \in \text{Sqf } H \) the implication holds: if \( g \mid a \), then \( g^2 \mid a \). If

\[
ab \sim cd,
\]
then \( a \sim c \), \( b \sim d \).

(c) Consider any elements \( a, c \in H \) and \( b, d \in \text{Sqf } H \). If

\[
a^2b = c^2d,
\]
then \( a \sim c \) and \( b \sim d \).

(d) Consider any elements \( s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n \in \text{Sqf } H \) and \( t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \text{Sqf } H \). If

\[
s_n^2s_{n-1}^2 \ldots s_1^2s_0 = t_n^2t_{n-1}^2 \ldots t_1^2t_0,
\]
then \( s_i \sim t_i \) for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \).

Proof. (a) Assume \( ab \sim cd \). Put \( g = \text{GCD}(d, e) \). Since \( d \in \text{Sqf } H \), then by Lemma 2.1 we have \( g \in \text{Sqf } H \), because \( g \mid d \). Since \( g \mid e \), then \( g^2 \mid e^2 \), and hence \( g^2 \mid a \), because \( e^2 \mid a \). Since \( g^2 \mid a \) and \( a \mid cd \), so \( g^2 \mid cd \). Let us remind \( g \mid d \), then \( g^2 \mid d^2 \). Since \( g^2 \mid cd \), \( g^2 \mid d^2 \) and \( c \text{ rpr } d \), hence by Lemma we refer \( g^2 \mid \text{GCD}(cd, d^2) \), so \( g^2 \mid d \). Because \( d \in \text{Sqf } H \), so \( g \in H^* \). Then \( d \text{ rpr } e \), because \( g \) is their greatest common divisor. Therefore by Lemma 2.3 (c) we refer \( d \text{ rpr } e^m \), and hence \( d \text{ rpr } a \), because \( a \mid e^m \). Similarly, we justify that \( b \text{ rpr } c \) putting \( h = \text{GCD}(b, f) \) and we repeat the reasoning. Then by Lemma 2.3 (a) we have \( a \sim c \), \( b \sim d \).
(b) Assume \( ab \sim cd \). Put \( g = \text{GCD}(a, d) \). Since \( d \in \text{Sfq } H \), then by Lemma 2.1 we have \( g \in \text{Sfq } H \), because \( g \mid d \). Since \( g \mid a \), then \( g^2 \mid a \) by the assumption. Hence \( g^2 \mid cd \). Let us remind \( g \mid d \), then \( g^2 \mid d^2 \). Since \( g^2 \mid cd, g^2 \mid d^2 \) and \( c \text{rpr } d \), hence we refer \( g^2 \mid \text{GCD}(cd, d^2) \), so \( g^2 \mid d \). Because \( d \in \text{Sfq } H \), so \( g \in H^* \). Then \( a \text{rpr } d \), because \( g \) is their greatest common divisor. Because \( d \mid ab \), hence \( d \mid b \). Similarly, we justify that \( b \text{rpr } c \) putting \( h = \text{GCD}(b, c) \) and we repeat the reasoning. Then by Lemma 2.3 (a) we have \( a \sim c, b \sim d \).

(c), (d) The uniques of \( 6s, 2s \) was proved in [4], Proposition 2 (i), (ii).

6 Some examples

Example 6.1. Let

\[ H = \mathbb{N}_{\geq k} \cup \{0\}. \]

\( H \) be a GCD-monoid. All conditions are met from \[ 3 \].

Example 6.2. For the established \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( H = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq k} \cup \{0\} \). A monoid \( H \) does not meet all the conditions in \[ 3 \].

Example 6.3. Let \( H = \mathbb{N}_0^2 \) with addition action. \( H \) be an ACCP-monoid. A monoid \( H \) satisfies the conditions: \( 0s, 1s, 2s, 3s, 4s, 4'r, 5s, 5'r, 6s \). \( H \) does not meet the conditions: \( 0r, 1r, 2r, 3r, 4r, 4's, 5r, 5's, 6r \). The others are not met.

Example 6.4. Let \( H \) be a monoid, not a group such that every element of \( H \) be a square. In particular \( \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \) and \( \langle \frac{1}{2^n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \). The monoid \( H \) satisfies the conditions: \( 4's, 4'r, 5's, 5'r, 6s, 6r \). The others are not met.

Example 6.5. Consider a submonoid of free monoid

\[ H = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, y_1, y_2, \ldots \mid y_i = x_{i+1}y_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \ldots \rangle \]

for any \( p, q \in \mathbb{N} \). \( H \) be a GCD-monoid, not ACCP-monoid. Consider the special cases of the monoid \( H \).

(1) Let

\[ H = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, y_1, y_2, \ldots \mid y_i = x_{i+1}y_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \ldots \rangle. \]

All conditions are met from \[ 3 \].
(2) Let \( q = 2r \). Then 
\[
H = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, y_1, y_2, \ldots | y_i = x_{i+1}^p y_{i+1}^{2r}, i = 1, 2, \ldots \rangle.
\]

The monoid \( H \) satisfies the conditions: 4s, 4’s, 5s, 5’s. The others are not met.

(3) Let \( q = 2t + 1 \). Then 
\[
H = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, y_1, y_2, \ldots | y_i = x_{i+1}^p y_{i+1}^{2t}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, p \neq 1, t \neq 0 \rangle.
\]

The monoid \( H \) satisfies the conditions: 4s, 4’s, 5s, 5’s. The others are not met.

Example 6.6. Let 
\[
H = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, y_1, y_2, \ldots | x_{i+1} = x_i^2 y_i, y_{i+1} = y_i z_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots \rangle.
\]

A monoid \( H \) does not satisfies 1s, 1r, 2s, 2r. Other conditions have not been investigated.

7 Classifications of monoids with respect to square-free and radical factorizations

In section \( \S \) determined 18 properties of monoids:

0s, 0r, 1s, 1r, 2s, 2r, 3s, 3r, 4s, 4r, 4’s, 4’r, 5s, 5r, 5’s, 5’r, 6s, 6r.

We can treat these properties as propositional forms defined on the class of monoids.

To simplify the reasoning, it is worth considering the following pairs:

\[
0sr=(0s,0r), 1sr=(1s,1r), 2sr=(2s,2r), 3sr=(3s,3r), 4sr=(4s,4r), 5sr=(5s,5r), 6sr=(6s,6r).
\]

For \( A= 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \) as the logical value of the pair \( Asr \) we take the sum of the logical values of the forms \( As \) and \( Ar \):

\[
v(Asr) = v(As) + v(Ar),
\]
where \( v \) be the logical value of the monoid property.

Note that there is an implication of \( A_r \Rightarrow A_s \), so \( v(A_{sr}) \) uniquely defines a pair of values \( (v(A_s), v(A_r)) \).

In the tables below, we present the logical values of the entered sentence form pairs.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
   v(0s) & v(0r) & v(0sr) \\
   1 & 1 & 2 \\
   1 & 0 & 1 \\
   0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
   v(1s) & v(1r) & v(1sr) \\
   1 & 1 & 2 \\
   1 & 0 & 1 \\
   0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
   v(6s) & v(6r) & v(6sr) \\
   1 & 1 & 2 \\
   1 & 0 & 1 \\
   0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

It seems that the implications of \( 4'r \Rightarrow 4's, 4's \Rightarrow 4'r, 5'r \Rightarrow 5's, 5's \Rightarrow 5'r \) generally do not apply, so the value pair is not uniquely defined by the sum of the values. It is very important in this situation to find counterexamples.

We will often use the following simple observation:

\[ p \Rightarrow q \text{ is exactly where } v(p) \leq v(q). \]

**Lemma 7.1.** For \( A, B \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 6\} \) such that \( A \neq B \), system of implications

\[
\begin{array}{c}
   A_r \Rightarrow B_r \\
   \Downarrow \quad \Downarrow \\
   A_s \Rightarrow B_s
\end{array}
\]

is exactly where \( v(A_{sr}) \leq v(B_{sr}) \).

We will determine all possible systems of logical values in the class of all monoids considering the dependencies collected in the Proposition 4.1.

We will start by determining all possible values of logical properties of square-free and radical factorization:

\( 0s, 0r, 1s, 1r, 2s, 2r, 3s, 3r \).

The above dependencies are presented in the diagram below.
Note that by Lemma 7.1 the above implications apply exactly when

\[ v(2sr) \leq v(1sr) \leq v(0sr) \]
\[ v(2sr) \leq v(3sr) \leq v(0sr) \]

We print out all possible values \( v(1sr) \) and \( v(3sr) \) depending on \( v(0sr) \) and \( v(2sr) \).

| \( v(0sr) \) | \( v(2sr) \) | \( v(1sr) \) | \( v(3sr) \) |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2        | 2         | 2         | 2         |
| 2        | 1         | 2,1       | 2,1       |
| 2        | 0         | 2,1,0     | 2,1,0     |
| 1        | 1         | 1         | 1         |
| 1        | 0         | 1,0       | 1,0       |
| 0        | 0         | 0         | 0         |

Table 4.1: Possible values 0sr, 1sr, 2sr, 3sr

All possible relationships between properties

\( 2s, 2r, 4s, 4r, 4'r, 5s, 5r, 5'r \)

shows the following diagram.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
5'r \\
\Rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
2r \\
\Rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
5r \\
\Rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
4r \\
\Rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
2s \\
\Rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
5s \\
\Rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
4s \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

Let’s start with the relationship between 4sr and 4’r. Note that if \( v(4r) = 0 \) then \( v(4'r) \) can be any value, and if \( v(4r) = 1 \) then \( v(4'r) = 1 \). We present this relationship in the table:

| \( v(4sr) \) | \( v(4'r) \) |
|-----------|-----------|
| 2         | 1         |
| 1         | 1,0       |
| 0         | 1,0       |

Table 4.2: Possible values 4’r for the given value 4sr.

Let’s move on to the relationship between 5sr, 5’r and 4sr. Note that if \( v(5r) = 0 \) then \( v(5'r) \) and \( v(4r) \) can be of any value, and if \( v(5r) = 1 \) then \( v(5'r) = 1 \) and \( v(4r) = 1 \). We present these relationships in the table:
Table 4.3: Possible values 5’, 4sr for the given value 5sr.

Note that the implications between 2s, 2r, 5s, 5r are exactly when \( v(2sr) \leq v(5sr) \) (Lemma 7.1), so we present these relationships in the table below:

| \( v(5sr) \) | \( v(5r) \) | \( v(4sr) \) |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2            | 1           | 2           |
| 1            | 1,0         | 2,1,0       |
| 0            | 1,0         | 2,1,0       |

Table 4.4: Possible values 5sr for the given value 2sr.

Tables 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 can be combined into one table. Let \( L_1 \) denote the number of possible systems of values 4sr, 4r, 5sr, 5r for the given value of 2sr.

| \( v(2sr) \) | \( v(5sr) \) | \( v(5r) \) | \( v(4sr) \) | \( v(4r) \) | \( L_1 \) |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| 2            | 2           | 1           | 2           | 1           | 1        |
| 1            | 2           | 1,0         | 2           | 1           | 1        |
| 0            | 1,0         | 2           | 1           | 1,0         | 1        |

Table 4.5: Possible values 5sr, 5r’, 4sr, 4r’ for the given 2sr.

All possible relationships between properties

3s, 3r, 6s, 6r

shows the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
3r \\
\downarrow \\
3s \Rightarrow \ 6r \\
\downarrow \\
6s
\end{array}
\]

From the Lemma 7.1 we know that the above implications apply exactly when \( v(3sr) \leq v(6sr) \), so we present these dependencies in the table below. Let \( L_2 \) denote the number of possible systems of values 6sr for a given value of 3sr.
In Proposition 4.1 there are no 4’s and 5’s relationships. 4’s and 5’s can take any value.

Table 4.7: Possible values 4’s and 5’s.

Based on the tables 4.1, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 we can now determine the number of possible systems of values 1sr, 3sr, 4sr, 4’s, 4’r, 5sr, 5’s, 5’r, 6sr for a given system of values 0sr, 2sr. Let us denote this number by L3.

Table 4.8: Numbers of possible systems values 1sr, 3sr, 4sr, 4’s, 4’r, 5sr, 5’s, 5’r, 6sr for a given system of values 0sr, 2sr.

All the values in the L3 column were multiplied by 4 because we included the 4’s and 5’s properties, which are independent of the other values (Table 4.7). Summing up all the values of L3, we get 2960 of possible sets of values.

Recall that in an atomic monoid the condition 0s (Proposition ??) is satisfied. Then \( v(0sr) \) can be either 2 or 1. Therefore, from table 4.8, discard those monoids for which \( v(0sr) = 0 \) (last row). These dependencies are presented in the table:
Table 4.9: Numbers of possible systems of values 1sr, 3sr, 4sr, 4’s, 4’r, 5sr, 5’s, 5’r, 6sr for a given system of values 0sr, 2sr in atomic monoids.

Thus, all possible systems of values in an atomic monoid, there is 2708.

Similarly, we consider all possible systems of values for ACCP-monoids, SR-monoids, pre-Schreier monoids, GCD-monoids, GCD`s-monoids. The final tables are presented below.

Table 4.10: Numbers of possible systems of values 1sr, 3sr, 4sr, 4’s, 4’r, 5sr, 5’s, 5’r, 6sr for a given values 0sr, 2sr in ACCP-monoids.

All the values in L3 were multiplied by 2 because we included 4’s which is independent of the other values. Summing up all the values of L3, we get 324 of possible ACCP-monoid systems.

In SR-monoids, pre-Schreier monoids, GCD-monoids, GCD`s-monoids the concept of a square-free element is equivalent to a radical generator. Therefore, instead of Asr, we will consider As for A = 1, 2, 3, 4, 4’, 5, 5’, 6. We will determine all possible logical values of dependencies collected in the Proposition 4.2.

Table 4.11: Numbers of possible systems of values 1s, 3s, 4s, 4’s, 5s, 5’s, 6s for a given system of values 0s, 2s in SR-monoids.
Summing up all the values of $L_3$, we get $57$ of possible systems of values in a SR-monoids.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
v(0s) & v(2s) & L_1 & v(1s) & v(3s) & L_3 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ [2] & 15 \\
0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Table 4.12: Number of possible systems of values $0s$, $3s$, $4s$, $4's$, $5s$, $5's$, $6s$ for a given system of values $1s$, $2s$ in pre-Schreier monoids.

Summing up all the values of $L_3$, we get $26$ of possible systems of values in a pre-Schreier monoids.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
v(0s) & v(2s) & L_1 & v(1s) & v(3s) & L_3 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Table 4.13: Number of possible systems of values $4s$, $4's$, $5s$, $5's$, $6s$ for a given system of values $0s$, $1s$, $2s$, $3s$ in a GCD-monoids.

Summing up all the values of $L_3$, we get $11$ of possible systems of values in a GCD-monoids.

We know that any GCDs-monoid satisfies the $5's$ condition (Proposition 4.5). Therefore, the same relationships apply as for the GCD-monoids, but $v(5's) = 1$ should be included.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
v(0s) & v(2s) & L_1 & v(1s) & v(3s) & L_3 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Table 4.14: Numbers of possible systems of values $4s$, $4's$, $5s$, $5's$, $6s$ for a given system of values $0s$, $1s$, $2s$, $3s$.

Summing up all the values of $L_3$, we get $7$ of possible systems of values in a GCDs-monoids.
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