Silicon (Si) is one of the most prevalent elements in the soil. It is beneficial for plant growth and development, and it contributes to plant defense against different stresses. The Lsi1 gene encodes a Si transporter that was identified in a mutant Japonica rice variety. This gene was not identified in fourteen Malaysian rice varieties during screening. Then, a mutant version of Lsi1 was substituted for the native version in the three most common Malaysian rice varieties, MR219, MR220, and MR276, to evaluate the function of the transgene. Real-time PCR was used to explore the differential expression of Lsi1 in the three transgenic rice varieties. Silicon concentrations in the roots and leaves of transgenic plants were significantly higher than in wild-type plants. Transgenic varieties showed significant increases in the activities of the enzymes SOD, POD, APX, and CAT; photosynthesis; and chlorophyll content; however, the highest chlorophyll A and B levels were observed in transgenic MR276. Transgenic varieties have shown a stronger root and leaf structure, as well as hairier roots, compared to the wild-type plants. This suggests that Lsi1 plays a key role in rice, increasing the absorption and accumulation of Si, then alters antioxidant activities, and improves morphological properties.

1. Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most important food crops worldwide, and it is cultivated in a particular type of artificial wetland. Due to population increases worldwide, rice production is expected to increase by over 40% by 2030. Hence, the production of different rice varieties with greater yield stability is essential for overcoming reductions in grain yield as well as the limitations of arable lands [1–3]. Silicon (Si) is one of the most plentiful macroelements in the soil and performs an important function in healing plants under various environmental stresses. Si is applied to plants to induce resistance against various stresses, including both disease and pests. Moreover, Si can improve the condition of the soil, which may contain toxic levels of heavy metals along with other chemical elements [4]. Silicon among all plant nutrient elements inside the soil carries a distinguished role in plant formation especially under harsh environment and poor nutrient condition. The role of Si is not limited to plant growth only, but also it helps plant to cope with variety of abiotic and biotic stresses [4, 5].
Many insect pests and epidemic diseases affect different parts of the rice plant, resulting in severe rice production losses [6]. Rice yield stability is affected by various biotic and abiotic stresses. Blast and sheath blight fungi, bacterial blight, root-knot (caused by nematodes), and rice yellow mottle virus cause the majority of losses in the annual rice grain yield [7]. The brown plant hopper (BPH, Nilaparvata lugens), an important insect pest of cultivated rice, has interfered with the production of rice since the 1960s [8]. The plant cell wall is a barrier between host plants and pathogens, but the fungus Magnaporthe oryzae uses 30 and 44 different enzymes to degrade cellulose and hemicellulose, respectively, in plant cell walls [9,10].

On the other hand, the release of chlorofluorocarbon gases due to the increased solar ultraviolet-B radiation on the surface of the earth has reduced the stratospheric ozone (O) layer [11]. Depletion of the O layer decreases plant height and aboveground biomass, and it also increases DNA damage. In this context, it has been shown that increased UV-B radiation has the same effect on plants grown in the Arctic, Antarctic, and lower latitudes [12]. Therefore, it is crucial to introduce effective ways of improving plant growth and reducing such damage. The application of various chemical fertilizers should affect the resistance as well as the tolerance of rice plants to biotic stresses such as the brown plant hopper (BPH) [13]. It has also been shown that the risk of BPH outbreaks in hybrid rice could be increased through the application of high levels of nitrogen fertilizer [8,14].

Silicon (Si) is one of the most prevalent elements in the soil. Si is beneficial for plant growth and contributes to plant defense mechanisms against multiple biotic and abiotic stresses, including tolerance to salt, drought, and toxic metals [15]. Silicon enhancement stimulates plants to produce phytoalexins and phenolics, consequently increasing the plants’ capacity to defend against stress factors [16]. Si may reduce oxidative damage in plants encountering environmental stresses [17], and it plays an important role in enhancing plants’ tolerance to biotic stresses, such as fungal diseases. This increased tolerance is partly attributed to increases in the defense capacity of plants due to Si-induced increases in antioxidants. A Si-cuticle double layer is produced due to Si deposition beneath the cuticle and acts as a barrier to physically prevent the penetration of fungi [15]. Si can improve soil conditions in the presence of toxic heavy metals; minimize the toxicity of Mn, Al, and Fe; and enhance P availability [15,18]. The Si concentration depends on the plant genotype, as it does in other organisms. Therefore, plants’ metabolic activities and physiological mechanisms might be affected by different amounts of Si used in fertilizers [15,19,20].

Rice, which can accumulate Si up to 10.0% of the shoot dry weight, shows the most effective Si accumulation among plants. Stable production and healthy growth of rice are highly affected by the Si content of the shoots. The high Si accumulation in rice shoots is attributed to the ability of rice roots to take up large amounts of Si [21]. The addition of Si to a susceptible rice variety infected by Magnaporthe grisea was associated with the accumulation of momilactone phytoalexins [22] and the upregulation of peroxidase, PR-1 transcripts, and glucanase [23].

Silicon can increase the tolerance of plants to UV-B stress and increase the amounts of chlorophyll a and b [24,25]. The amount of Si on the adaxial surface of rice-leaves increases tolerance to UV-B radiation, suggesting that silicic accumulation may help reduce the physical stress caused by UV radiation [26]. It has been reported that Si supplementation during the hydroponic culture of rice enhances UV-B resistance, which might be attributed to increases in phenolic compounds in the plant epidermis [27].

Silicon acid is the form of Si that is absorbable by plant roots; it translocates to the shoots through the transpiration stream and is later polymerized and accumulated as silica in the shoot tissues [28,29]. Si uptake in rice is controlled by at least two transporters, Lsil (influx) and Lsi2 (efflux), but the accumulation of Si is mediated only by Lsil [30]. Therefore, it is hypothesized that the transformation of Lsil and its expression or overexpression in Indica rice varieties may improve the resistance of the plant to stress factors. In this study, we screened the Lsil gene in fourteen Malaysian rice varieties. Three common Malaysian rice varieties were selected for genetic manipulation and showed morphological improvements due to greater Si accumulation.

2. Experimental Procedures

2.1. Plant Material. Fourteen important Malaysian rice varieties (MR219, MR276, MR220, MR211, MR263, MR269, MR185, MR159, MRQ74, MRQ50, Mashuri, Jaya, Masria, and Milyang) were provided by the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI).

2.2. Screening of the Lsil Gene. Rice seeds were soaked in water for three days at 25°C and germinated in Petri dishes using Whatman filter paper (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) moistened with a 0.5 mM CaCl₂ solution. Subsequently, seeds with a bud length of 1-2 mm were selected, transferred into a hydroponic culture solution [21], and kept in a glasshouse at 25–30°C under fluorescent white light with a photoperiod of 16 hours light/8 hours dark for three weeks. Throughout the experiment, the pH of the solution was maintained at 5.7. The nutrient solution was renewed every 3 days. The root tissues of all varieties were sampled, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then kept at −80°C for total RNA isolation.

2.3. RNA Extraction and Semiquantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA from the roots of the fourteen varieties was isolated separately using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, USA). For all samples, DNase I (Qiagen, USA) was used to digest contaminating genomic DNA. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from each sample using SuperScript III (Invitrogen, USA). The Taq DNA polymerase kit (Fermentas, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) was used with the following program: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, annealing (55°C, 56°C, 57°C, 58°C, 59°C, 60°C, 61°C, 62°C, 63°C, 64°C, 65°C, and 66°C) for 45 sec, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension for 10 min at 72°C. The PCR products were isolated on 1.5% agarose gels and stained with Midori Green (Nippon Genetics, Germany). Two sets of specific primers
Table 1: Primers for the Lsi1 gene used for screening via semiquantitative RT-PCR.

| Gene | Forward primer (5'-3') | Reverse primer (5'-3') | Expected PCR product size |
|------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Lsi1 (I) | CCAGGGCGCACTAATTCGCAACGA | GCTTGGTTGCTTGGTGGTTCG | 1066 bp |
| Lsi1 (II) | ATGGGCACCAACAATCCG | TCACACCTTGATGTTCTC | 897 bp |

Figure 1: The CDS of Lsi1 with one pair of anchors (required to make the attB PCR product) at the 5' and 3' ends (nucleotides shown in red).

were designed for the Lsi1 gene based on the sequence available in GenBank using Primer Premier 6 (Table 1).

2.4. Construction of the Expression Clone

2.4.1. Preparation of the attB PCR Product. The CDS of the Lsi1 gene includes 897 bp (GenBank: AB222272.1). One pair of adaptors (anchors) was designed according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer of the Gateway® Technology with Clonase™ II (Invitrogen, USA) kit based on the structure of the pDONOR/Zeo vector. The kit and vector were provided by First BASE Laboratories Sdn Bhd, Malaysia (Figure 1).

The template sequence was amplified using the following specific primers:

Forward primer: 5’GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAGTG3’
Reverse primer: 5’GACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTT3’

The following PCR (KAPA HiFi Hot Start DNA polymerase kit, USA) program was performed: 95°C for 3 min and 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 62°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec, followed by a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. The PCR product was isolated on a 1.5% agarose gel and stained with Midori Green (Nippon Genetics, Germany). The expected 958-bp band was purified using a QIAprep Spin miniprep kit (Qiagen, Germany) and submitted for sequencing.

The Gateway Technology kit (Invitrogen, USA) was used to run the BP and LR recombination reactions according to the manufacturer’s procedures using the expression vector pFast G02 [32].

2.4.2. Transformation of Competent Cells. Transformation was performed using the E. coli strain TOP10, which lacks the ccdA gene and is not sensitive to the effects of the ccdB gene. The ccdB gene, which is found in the structure of the pDONOR/Zeo vector, functions as a negative selectable marker. Zeocin was used for bacterial selection in low-salt LB agar. The transformation process was performed according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer of the PCR Cloning Plus Kit (Qiagen, Germany).

2.4.3. Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation. The Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain LBA4404 was used to transfer the expression clone containing the CDS of the Lsi1 gene to the calli of three selected varieties, MR219, MR220, and MR276, via the freeze-thaw method.

2.5. In Vitro Studies, Part One. The mature dry seeds of elite Indica rice varieties (MR219, MR220, and MR276) selected from the screening were used. The hulled seeds were sterilized using 70% alcohol and shaken at 120 rpm for 20 min in a 40% solution of sodium hypochlorite containing three drops of polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate using an orbital shaker. The seeds were rinsed five times with autoclaved distilled water. Finally, the seeds were dried on autoclaved filter paper and transferred to the culture room. Ten sterile seeds of each variety were placed separately in two Petri dishes (100 x 15 mm) containing MS-2,4-D medium, pH 5.8. The cultured seeds were then incubated at 25°C in the dark for three weeks.
plates were incubated at 28°C under light conditions (with a 16-hour photoperiod) for 20 days; root production in rooting medium (solid cocultivation medium). Finally, the three calli of all three varieties were then transferred to N6-AS medium (solid cocultivation medium). Then, embryogenic calli from three varieties were placed in the MS-2,4-D + cefotaxime at 25°C for 3 weeks under dark conditions; proliferation of transformed calli in MSKN regeneration medium at 27°C for 20 days; selection of transformed calli using MS-2,4-D + cefotaxime + BASTA at 25°C for 2 weeks; selection of transformed calli using MS-2,4-D + cefotaxime + BASTA (second selection) at 25°C for 2 weeks; selection of transformed calli using MS-2,4-D + cefotaxime + BASTA (first selection) at 25°C for 2 weeks; shoot production from surviving and healthy embryogenic calli in MSKN regeneration medium at 25°C for 20 days; plantlet regeneration in regeneration medium (MSKN) at 27°C under light conditions (with a 16-hour photoperiod) for 20 days; root production in rooting medium (MSO) at 27°C under light conditions (with a 16-hour photoperiod) for 20 days.

2.5.1. Transformation of MR219, MR220, and MR276 Embryogenic Calli with Agrobacterium Containing the pFast G02 Vector. The OD of an Agrobacterium suspension culture was adjusted to 0.8 using N6-AS medium. Then, embryogenic calli from three varieties were placed in the Agrobacterium suspension for 30 min in three different Petri dishes. Subsequently, bacterial suspension from each Petri dish was pipetted out, and the excess Agrobacterium infection medium was absorbed with autoclaved filter paper. The transformed calli of all three varieties were then transferred to N6-AS medium (solid cocultivation medium). Finally, the three plates were incubated at 28°C for 72 hours in the dark.

Transgenic plants were generated using the following steps [3]: production of embryogenic calli in MS-2,4-D at 25°C for 3 weeks under dark conditions; proliferation of transformed calli in MS-2,4-D + cefotaxime at 25°C for 10 days under dark conditions; selection of transformed calli using MS-2,4-D + cefotaxime + BASTA (first selection) at 25°C for 2 weeks; selection of transformed calli using MS-2,4-D + cefotaxime + BASTA (second selection) at 25°C for 2 weeks; selection of transformed calli using MS-2,4-D + cefotaxime + BASTA (third selection) at 25°C for 2 weeks; shoot production from surviving and healthy embryogenic calli in MSKN regeneration medium at 25°C for 20 days under dark conditions; plantlet regeneration in regeneration medium (MSKN) at 27°C under light conditions (with a 16-hour photoperiod) for 20 days; root production in rooting medium (MSO) at 27°C under light conditions (with a 16-hour photoperiod) for 20 days.

2.5.2. Transfer of Transgenic MR219, MR220, and MR276 Plants to the Soil. The culture medium from the roots of the plantlets was gently removed after two weeks, when the root system was well developed. Then, the plantlets were placed in Yoshida culture solution and transferred to a transgenic greenhouse with 95% relative humidity and a 14-hour photoperiod (160 μmol/m²/s) and grown at 29°C for three weeks. Then, plants with dynamic root systems were put into pots containing paddy soil, water (initially 1 L per pot), and fertilizers. All pots received a basal application of 50 kg N ha⁻¹, 70 kg P ha⁻¹, and 70 kg K ha⁻¹. The sources for N, P, and K were urea (60% N), KH₂PO₄ (28.6% K and 22.7% P), and muriate of potash (MoP) (50% K), respectively. N fertilizer top dressing (50 kg N ha⁻¹ N) was performed at the tillering and flowering stages. When the color of the grains (almost 85%) turned straw gold, panicles from each variety were harvested.

2.5.3. Analysis of Transgenic MR219, MR220, and MR276 Plants. The seeds of transformed plants kept in the transgenic greenhouse were harvested after full maturation. The putative transgenic plants were analyzed using the 3G plant PCR kit, KAPA (South Africa), with the following program: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, annealing (54°C for Lsi1 and 60°C for CaMV35S) for 45 sec, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR amplification was performed using two sets of specific primers (Table 2).

2.5.4. GFP Monitoring of MR219, MR220, and MR276 Transgenic Seeds. GFP expression was detected in the transgenic rice seeds obtained from the T₀ and T₁ generations using a fluorescence microscope (Leica MZFLIII) adjusted with a GFP2 filter. Images of transgenic seeds were captured using the fluorescence microscope with the Leica DC 200 system and its related software (Leica DC Viewer).

2.6. In Vitro Studies, Part Two. Mature dry seeds of transgenic rice varieties (MR219, MR220, and MR276) were used. The procedure described in part one of the in vitro study was followed. Three sterile seeds of each variety were placed separately in three glass vials containing MS media supplemented with 2 mg L⁻¹ 2,4-D and 3.5 mM K₂SiO₃, pH 5.8. Three replicates of each glass were measured; thus, a total of 9 glass vials were examined. The cultured seeds were then incubated at 25°C in the dark for three weeks until a yellowish white embryogenic callus appeared on the scutellar surface. When the regeneration steps of the shoots and roots of the transgenic plants were completed following the steps described in part one of the in vitro study, the transgenic plants were transferred to the transgenic greenhouse.

2.6.1. Expression Analysis of the Lsi1 Gene in T2 Transgenic Plants Using Real-Time PCR. Total RNA was extracted from both wild-type and transformed plants using TRIzol. Real-time qRT-PCR (KAPA SYBR FAST One-Step qRT-PCR, USA) was performed to assess the expression level of the candidate gene (Lsi1) in wild-type and transgenic plants (MR219, MR220, and MR276). The following program was used for real-time qRT-PCR: 42°C for 5 min, inactivation of RT at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 3 sec, 60°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 3 sec. The data were obtained from three independent biological replicates. Amplicon specificity was controlled using a melting curve analysis through increasing the temperature from 60°C to 95°C after 40 cycles. Lsi1 and two internal reference genes (18S rRNA and α-Tubulin) were amplified using specific primers (Table 3). The REST software (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was hired to

| Gene   | Forward primer (5'-3')                     | Reverse primer (5'-3')                                         | PCR product size |
|--------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Lsi1   | ATGCCACGCAACAACTCG                          | TCACACTTGGATGTTCCTC                                           | 897 bp          |
| CaMV35S| CCGACAGTGGTCCCCAAAGAT                      | TACTCATTTTACTTCTCG                                            | 1198 bp         |

Table 2: Primers used to verify the transgenic MR219, MR220, and MR276 plants.
analyze the results of the qRT-PCR and all data were normalized using ΔΔCT method. The 18S rRNA and α-Tubulin reference genes of O. sativa were used as expression reference genes for normalization. The manufacturer’s instruction was followed to quantify the relative gene expression.

2.6.2. Estimation of Antioxidant Enzyme Activity. Regenerated root and leaf tissues (100 mg) from each transgenic variety were homogenized separately in phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) containing polyvinylpyrrolidone (1.0 mM), Triton X-100 (0.05%), EDTA (1.0 mM), and ascorbate (1.0 mM). Then, the homogenate was centrifuged at 13500 rpm for 15 min. Next, the activities of antioxidant enzymes were measured using the supernatant. The activity levels of superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and catalase (CAT) were determined using previously published methods [33].

2.6.3. Chlorophyll Content. The leaves of wild-type and transgenic plants were collected separately for each cultivar. The chlorophyll contents (a, b, and total) were estimated using the method of Arnon [34]. Approximately 0.5 g of plantlet leaves was homogenized in liquid nitrogen and then solubilized in 80% acetone. Then, the mixture was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min. Finally, the supernatant was collected, and the absorbance at 665 and 645 nm was measured using a scanning spectrophotometer (AL800, Aqualytic, Germany). The chlorophyll contents were measured as mg/g of sample.

2.6.4. Analysis of Photosynthesis. The LI-6400XT portable photosynthesis system (LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) was used to measure and compare the photosynthesis of wild-type and transgenic varieties after they were transferred to the soil.

2.6.5. Silicon Concentration. Determination of the amount of Si in each of the three transgenic varieties compared to the wild-type plants was conducted following the method described by Korndörfer et al. [35]. The leaves and roots of three transgenic varieties (T1) were dried at 60°C for 72 hours using a ventilated oven. When the samples reached a constant weight, they were ground separately. For the digestion step, 0.1 mg of dried and ground sample was placed in a polyethylene tube, followed by the addition of 2.0 mL H2O2 and 3.0 mL of NaOH (25 mol L−1) and incubation at 123°C and 0.15 MPa for 1 hour using an autoclave. After the tubes were cooled, deionized water was added to fill each tube. Finally, an aliquot (1.0 mL) of this extract was taken, and 20 mL of deionized water was added to monitor the Si concentration using a spectrophotometric method. Silicon reacts with the ammonium molybdate in the HCl medium, resulting in the formation of molybdosilicic acid and the emission of a yellow color that can be detected by spectrophotometry at 410 nm.

2.6.6. Si Observations under Scanning Electron Microscopy. This part of the experiment was performed using the calli and roots of both wild-type and transgenic rice varieties. Calli of wild-type and transgenic varieties cultured in 1 μM-silicate medium (MS medium + K2SiO3) for 45 days were dehydrated by filtration through an ethanol series and then freeze-dried (JFD-300, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Similarly, the roots of wild-type and transgenic rice varieties obtained from hydroponic cultures supplemented with 1.5 mM SiO2 were dehydrated and dried using a critical point dryer (CPD2, Pelco, CA, USA). Then, the dried samples were coated with gold on aluminum stubs using a sputter coater (SC7640, Polaron, Sussex, UK). Samples were observed under scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (LEO 1455 VPSEM, New England). Images were obtained at 20.00 kV and at magnifications of 350, 400, and 500x.

2.6.7. Morphological Characteristics of Transgenic Varieties. In this part of the experiment, we used transgenic varieties and wild-type rice varieties in dry seeding and wet seeding. Seven basic characteristics, root length, root dry weight at the maximum tillering stage, root dry weight at the panicle initiation stage, root dry weight at the flowering stage, shoot dry weight at the maximum tillering stage, shoot dry weight at the panicle initiation stage, and shoot dry weight at the flowering stage, were measured for comparisons of transgenic and control plants.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. The experiments were repeated three times for each transgenic variety and wild-type parent. The normalized data were statistically analyzed (ANOVA and LSD multiple test) using SAS software (version 9.1, USA).

3. Results of Screening and Expression of the Lsi1 Transgene

3.1. Lsi1 Screening. The screening of the Lsi1 between Malaysian rice cultivars showed that this gene is not existed in the 14 wild-type varieties using two sets of specific primers with a series of annealing temperatures.

3.2. Analysis of T1 Transgenic MR219, MR220, and MR276 Rice Varieties. Three and ten plants of MR219, five and nine plants of MR220, and four and eight plants of MR276 were identified as the T0 and T1 transgenic generations, respectively. Three transgenic varieties, MR219, MR220, and MR276, were submitted to NCBI (KR673322, KT284742, and KT284741). The regenerated plant seeds (T1) continued

### Table 3: Primers used for real-time qRT-PCR of Lsi1 and two reference genes (18S rRNA and α-Tubulin).

| Gene       | Forward 5′ → 3′                  | Reverse 5′ → 3′               |
|------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Lsi1       | ATGGCCAGCAACAAACTCG               | TCACACTTTGATGTTCCTC            |
| 18S rRNA   | ATGATAACCTCGACGGATGC               | CTTGGATGTTGATGCCC               |
| α-Tubulin  | GGAATACATGGCTTGCCTT               | TCTCTCGTCTTGTGATGTTGCA          |

Gene Forward

Lsi1

18SrRNA

α-Tubulin

Gene Reverse

TCACACTTTGATGTTCCTC

CTTGGATGTTGATGCCC

TCTCTCGTCTTGTGATGTTGCA

Transgene

MR219

MR220

MR276
to grow through in vitro culture and after planting in the soil in the transgenic greenhouse (refer to Figure 2 in the methodology, part two of the in vitro study).

The results obtained from the analysis of each T<sub>1</sub> transgenic MR219, MR220, and MR276 plant gave two specific bands of 897 and 1198 bp (Figure 3).

3.3. GFP Monitoring of the T<sub>0</sub> Transgenic Calli and T<sub>1</sub> Transgenic Seeds of MR219, MR220, and MR276. The seeds harvested from plants of the T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> generations (Figure 4(a)) and calli obtained from the T<sub>1</sub> transgenic plants (Figure 4(b)) were monitored under a fluorescence microscope to record gfp expression. The seeds of the T<sub>0</sub> generation expressing gfp were selected and used to obtain the T<sub>1</sub> generation, followed by the selection of T<sub>1</sub> seeds expressing gfp for further analysis. Meanwhile, some of the calli obtained from T<sub>1</sub> transgenic plants expressing gfp were transferred to culture in a medium supplemented with K<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>.

3.4. Differential Expression of the Lsi1 Gene in Transgenic Plants. The real-time qRT-PCR analysis indicated that the expression level of the Lsi1 gene was higher in all transgenic varieties compared to their related wild-type or untransformed plants. To avoid positional effects of transgenesis, the expression level of Lsi1 was considered using a constitutive promoter (CaMV35S) and the same tissue (roots) in all transgenic varieties. Differences among transgenic varieties were statistically significant (P < 0.01). The standard curve showed high correlation coefficient of R<sup>2</sup> = 0.994, 0.995, and 0.997, presenting that the Ct values were proportional to the copy numbers of transgene for all three varieties. The amplification efficiencies of transgene in three varieties were 97.3, 98.4, and 98.7%. The standard curve of transgene for each variety was within the acceptable range (amplification efficiency of 90–110% and R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.985). The upregulation of the Lsi1 gene in transgenic MR276 was higher than that in the transgenic MR219 and MR220 plants (Figure 5).

3.5. Si Concentration and Observation under Scanning Electron Microscopy. Si concentrations in the roots and leaves were significantly higher in transgenic plants than in wild-type plants (Table 4). The Si concentrations in the roots and leaves of transgenic MR276 were higher than those in the transgenic MR219 and MR220 varieties. The MR220 variety, among all the transgenic plants, showed the smallest changes in Si concentration extracted from the leaves and roots. These results strongly confirmed the results obtained from real-time qRT-PCR (Figure 6), suggesting that the transgenic MR276 variety, with a higher expression level of the Lsi1 gene, absorbed more Si from the culture medium as well as from the hydroponic culture. The bar graph shows that all of the wild-type varieties absorbed some amount of Si from the culture medium or hydroponic culture, confirming the ability of rice plants to absorb Si. Moreover, these results demonstrate that the wild-type forms of these three varieties also differed from each other with respect to Si absorption. It can be concluded that regardless of the presence of the transgene, the MR276 plants absorbed more Si than did the corresponding MR219 and MR220 plants.

Electron microscopy images of the roots and calli of the transgenic varieties showed that the Si concentration was higher in all transgenic varieties compared to wild-type varieties, although the level differed between transgenic varieties (Figures 7 and 8). Roots and calli obtained from T<sub>1</sub> transgenic MR276 absorbed more Si than did those of the transgenic MR220 and MR219 varieties. The images in Figure 8 strongly confirm the results obtained from examining the Si concentration in the roots of plants, shown in Figure 6. Electron microscopy images show that the MR276 variety (both wild-type and transgenic forms) has a higher capacity for Si absorption from the media or hydroponic culture than the other two varieties, with MR220 showing the next-highest level of Si absorption.

3.6. Antioxidant Enzyme Activities, Chlorophyll Content, and Photosynthesis Analysis. The transgenic varieties showed significant increases in the activities of the enzymes SOD, POD, APX, and CAT; the chlorophyll content; and photosynthesis compared with the wild-type plants (Figure 9, Table 4). Superoxide dismutase, catalase, and peroxidase activities in all three transgenic varieties showed the same changes. However, the transgenic MR276 had the highest ascorbate peroxidase activity of the transgenic varieties.

The total chlorophyll content in the wild-type MR276 was the same as that in the wild-type MR220, and the total chlorophyll content in the wild-type MR219 was higher than that in the wild-type MR220. However, all three transgenic varieties showed the same increase in total chlorophyll content. Chlorophyll A and B levels were higher in transgenic MR276 than in transgenic MR219 and MR220. Chlorophyll A in transgenic MR219 was higher than that in transgenic
Figure 3: Detection of T₁ putative transgenic plants of MR219, MR220, and MR276 ((a) using specific primers, (b) using CaMV35S primers). M: 1 kb DNA ladder; lanes 2-3: the Lsi1 gene in transgenic MR219, MR220, and MR276 plants.

Table 4: Comparisons of various physiological and morphological traits in transgenic and wild-type rice varieties.

| SOV      | df | Total Chl. Co. (mg/gr) | Chl. A | Chl. B | Photo. Anal. | SOD | POD | APX | CAT | Si% (leaf) |
|----------|----|------------------------|--------|--------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|
| Varieties| 5  | 12.8**                 | 7.6**  | 11.3** | 0.89**       | 0.5**| 1.2**| 64.2**| 0.81**| 0.007**    |
| Replicate| 2  | 0.10ns                 | 0.11ns | 0.05ns | 0.61ns       | 0.0002ns | 0.01ns | 0.19ns | 0.003ns | 0.00001ns |
| Error    | 10 | 0.37                   | 0.11  | 0.33  | 0.18         | 0.0003 | 0.006 | 0.1   | 0.004 | 0.00003    |
| Total    | 17 | —                      | —     | —     | —            | —   | —   | —    | —    | —          |
| CV       | —  | 4.1                    | 7.1   | 8.3   | 12.42        | 3.9 | 4.55 | 2.1  | 3.6  | 15.6       |

| SOV      | df | Si% (root) | Root length (cm) | RDW (g/plant) MT | RDW (g/plant) PI | RDW (g/plant) flowering stage | SDW (g/plant) MT | SDW (g/plant) PI | SDW (g/plant) flowering stage |
|----------|----|------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Varieties| 5  | 0.05**     | 3.34**           | 0.071**          | 4.40**           | 1.6**                         | 2.7**            | 17.2**           | 17.0**                        |
| Replicate| 2  | 0.001ns    | 0.15**           | 0.015**          | 0.08ns           | 0.001ns                      | 0.003ns         | 3.75ns           | 3.49ns                        |
| Error    | 10 | 0.003      | 0.13             | 0.12             | 0.81             | 0.007                        | 0.02             | 1.5              | 1.3                           |
| Total    | 17 | —          | —                | —                | —                | —                            | —                | —                | —                             |
| CV       | —  | 9.3        | 5.8              | 8.9              | 6.13             | 1.47                         | 2.8              | 11.1             | 10.3                          |

ns and ** indicate not significant and significance level of 1%, respectively. Total Chl. Co = total chlorophyll content, Chl. A = chlorophyll A, Chl. B = chlorophyll B, Photo. Anal. = photosynthesis analysis, SOD = superoxide dismutase, POD = peroxidase, APX = ascorbate peroxidase, CAT = catalase, Si% (leaf) = silicon percentage in leaves, Si% (root) = silicon percentage in roots, RDW (g/plant) MT = root dry weight at maximum tillering stage, RDW (g/plant) PI = root dry weight at panicle initiation stage, RDW (g/plant) flowering stage = root dry weight at flowering stage, SDW (g/plant) MT = shoot dry weight at maximum tillering stage, SDW (g/plant) PI = shoot dry weight at panicle initiation stage, and SDW (g/plant) flowering stage = shoot dry weight at flowering stage.
Figure 4: Expression of gfp among harvested seeds (a) and calli (b) obtained from T<sub>1</sub> transgenic MR219, MR220, and MR276 varieties. The green color observed under fluorescence microscopy is related to expression of green fluorescent protein (GFP), confirming the presence of the transgene. Bars = 5 mm.

Figure 5: Relative expression levels of the Lsi<sub>1</sub> gene were calibrated using quantitative real-time PCR of two reference genes, 18S rRNA and α-Tubulin, in wild-type and transgenic varieties. Expression of Lsi<sub>1</sub> in the transgenic cultivar MR276 was significantly higher than in the transgenic cultivars MR219 and MR220.

Figure 6: Comparison of Si concentrations in transgenic and wild-type rice varieties. T: transgenic. C: control.

MR220; however, in both transgenic varieties, chlorophyll B showed the same changes compared to wild-type plants. The photosynthetic changes in both transgenic MR276 and transgenic MR219 were the same and represented greater changes in photosynthesis than in transgenic MR220.

3.7. Morphological Characteristics. The data presented in Figure 10 and Table 4 demonstrate that the root length, root dry weight at the maximum tillering stage (RDW (g/plant) MT), root dry weight at the panicle initiation stage (RDW (g/plant) PI), shoot dry weight at the maximum tillering stage (SDW (g/plant) MT), shoot dry weight at the panicle initiation stage (SDW (g/plant) PI), and shoot dry weight at the flowering stage (SDW (g/plant)) were significantly different between transgenic and wild-type varieties. The root dry weight at the panicle initiation stage (RDW (g/plant) PI) recorded for all transgenic varieties was similar, whereas the transgenic MR276 variety had a markedly higher value for the other six morphological traits. The values of root length, root dry weight at the panicle initiation stage (RDW (g/plant)), root dry weight at the flowering stage (RDW (g/plant)), shoot dry weight at the maximum tillering stage (SDW (g/plant) MT), and shoot dry weight at the flowering stage (SDW (g/plant)) for transgenic MR219 were higher than
Figure 7: Scanning electron microscopy images of wild-type and transgenic calli. (a) Callus of wild-type MR219. (b) Callus of transgenic MR219. (c) Callus of wild-type MR220. (d) Callus of transgenic MR220. (e) Callus of wild-type MR276. (f) Callus of transgenic MR276. White trace marked by red arrows: silicon. Bars = 100 μm.

Figure 8: Scanning electron microscopy images of wild-type and transgenic rice roots. (a) Roots of wild-type MR219. (b) Roots of transgenic MR219. (c) Roots of wild-type MR220. (d) Roots of transgenic MR220. (e) Roots of wild-type MR276. (f) Roots of transgenic MR276. White spot marked by red circle: silicon. Bars = 100 μm.
gene is not present in fourteen wild-type Malaysian rice varieties. Our screening assessment revealed that the morphological and physiological properties in Indica rice varieties dismutase. POD: peroxidase. APX: ascorbate peroxidase. CAT: catalase. T: transgenic. C: wild-type (control).

Discussion

transgenic MR219 and MR276 varieties. The MR220 variety had hairier but shorter roots compared to the wild-type plants (Figure 11). The transgenic MR220 variety and the highest values were recorded for transgenic MR276 variety. Transgenic varieties had a stronger root and leaf structure, as well as hairier roots, compared to the wild-type plants (Figure 11). The transgenic MR220 variety had hairier but shorter roots compared to the transgenic MR219 and MR276 varieties.

Overall, the lowest values were recorded for the transgenic MR220. However, the shoot dry weight at the flowering stage did not differ between the transgenic MR219 and MR220 varieties. Overall, the lowest values were recorded for the transgenic MR220 variety, and the highest values were recorded for the transgenic MR276 variety. Transgenic varieties had a stronger root and leaf structure, as well as hairier roots, compared to the wild-type plants (Figure 11). The transgenic MR220 variety had hairier but shorter roots compared to the transgenic MR219 and MR276 varieties.

4. Discussion

This is the first study to illustrate the effect of Lsi1 expression on morphological and physiological properties in Indica rice varieties. Our screening assessment revealed that the Lsi1 gene is not present in fourteen wild-type Malaysian rice varieties. However, previous studies have identified the Lsi1 gene in the mutant Japonica rice variety and one Indica rice cultivar (Dular) [21, 36]. The Lsi1 gene has been identified as a Si transporter in rice, although we could not detect this gene among these fourteen varieties in wild-type form. However, our evaluation confirmed the accumulation of Si among the wild-type Malaysian rice varieties, which may be due to the expression of an unknown gene family. Alternatively, it can be hypothesized that the Lsi1 gene is only expressed in rice under specific treatments, such as sodium azide [21], which explains why we did not observe this gene in wild-type rice varieties. In that case we have evaluated the overexpression of the Lsi1 gene in the Indica rice variety. It should be noted that Lsi1 plays an important role in Si accumulation in transgenic varieties of rice, although the effective expression level of this gene was different between all transgenic rice varieties. Moreover, the effects of this gene were not entirely limited to increasing Si in the roots and leaves of transgenic varieties. In vitro studies of the roles of Si in plant tissue culture concluded that Si can significantly increase root length, chlorophyll content, fresh and dry weights of roots and shoots, and plant height [37–39]. The ability of Si to enhance plant growth and development is based on alterations in antioxidant enzyme activities [37]. In this regard, it has been reported that Si treatment considerably affects antioxidant enzyme activities in various plants [40, 41]. Although most plant scientists believe that Si is not essential for plant growth and development and do not consider Si to be a plant nutrient, the results obtained from this study strongly emphasize the crucial role of Si in plant growth and development.

In our study, the expression of the Lsi1 gene in transgenic rice varieties helped these plants to absorb more Si compared to the wild-type plants, as confirmed by morphological analysis. The transgenic plants with more accumulated Si had higher antioxidant enzyme activities and improved morphological traits. The morphological analysis of transgenic rice varieties provided results that strongly confirm the results of previous studies. We hypothesized that the low Si uptake in wild-type Malaysian rice varieties may be due to either the absence or the low expression of the Lsi1 gene. Our
screening observations and the studies of transgenic varieties confirmed this hypothesis.

Genetic modification is a new technique that has been used by researchers to increase plant yields via trait improvement [42]. Instead of using Si as a fertilizer or as a culture medium supplement in vitro studies, we tried to genetically manipulate plants to improve their Si uptake. Such transgenic plants should be able to absorb more Si through an improved root system. We observed a positive correlation between Si accumulation and photosynthesis components, as well as consequent improvements in morphological characteristics, in transgenic rice plants.

Indeed, increases in chlorophyll content and photosynthesis are typical responses of plants supplemented with Si [24, 43]. There are many explanations as to how Si accumulation leads to alterations in antioxidant enzyme activities, increases in chlorophyll content and photosynthesis, and improvements in morphological properties. In one study, higher levels of Si in rice suppressed drought stress by improving the plant’s water status, mineral nutrient uptake, and photosynthesis [44]. Silicon enhanced resistance to freezing stress in wheat, which is likely attributable to the protective effects of higher antioxidant levels and lower lipid peroxidation via water preservation in leaf tissues [45]. An assessment of the effect of Si on antioxidant enzymes in cotton showed that Si altered the activities of guaiacol peroxidase (GPOX), catalase (CAT), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) in roots and leaves, whereas lipid peroxidase activity was not affected. These authors reported that enzymatic activities in the roots and leaves of plants were changed in parallel with silicon supplementation [46].

Quantitative real-time PCR is a versatile technique for sensitive, reliable, precise, and high-throughput detection and quantification of a target sequence in different samples [47]. Although the expression of Lsil in transgenic plants leads to improved growth and development of transgenic varieties based on the results of real-time qRT-PCR, it can be concluded that this gene is differentially expressed in different transgenic varieties. Therefore, the positive results obtained from the expression of the Lsil gene among three Indica rice varieties cannot be predicted or expected in other plant species, and this phenomenon needs to be examined for individual plant species. In this study, we performed qRT-PCR of the Si transporter response gene (Lsil) to evaluate its expression levels in the transgenic forms of three commonly grown local rice varieties. Initially, it seemed that all transgenic varieties showed the same effects, whereas the results of qRT-PCR showed that the transgenic MR276 rice variety had highest Lsil expression level. We could not find any reasons for these differences between the transgenic varieties. We believe that the results obtained from further experiments, which demonstrated that transgenic MR276 showed high levels of antioxidant enzyme activity and improved morphological properties, are not directly due to the expression of Lsil. The transgenic MR276 variety absorbed more Si than the other transgenic varieties, so it is likely that other properties of this transgenic variety are related to increased accumulation of Si in the roots and leaves of the plant. However, the expression of only one target gene cannot be effective in creating diverse traits. It must be mentioned that the activation of the Lsil gene at the same time promoted Si accumulation and may have affected antioxidant enzyme activities by producing plant tonoplast intrinsic proteins (TIPs). Various members of the TIP family, including alpha (seed), Rt (root), gamma, and Wsi (water stress induced), may be effective in altering antioxidant enzyme activities. It has also been predicted that these protein family members may allow the diffusion of different amino acids, peptides, and water from the tonoplast center to the cytoplasm. On the other hand, stimulating the diffusion of some amino acids, such as serine and proline, should be more effective for biosilica formation by plants [48].

Our results suggest that the transformation of the Lsil gene into Indica rice varieties (overexpression or as a foreign gene) is more effective than supplementing the culture medium or soil with Si. Changes in antioxidant enzyme activities, chlorophyll content, photosynthesis, and morphological properties were significantly beneficial in transgenic varieties, while the wild-type varieties were also treated with the same source and amount of Si in the culture medium and the hydroponic culture solution. Although the transgenic varieties did not all show the same variation, the transgenic MR220 variety, which showed the smallest changes, still showed better characteristics than any of the wild-type varieties.

Finally, based on the function of the Lsil protein in the mechanism of diffusion of various amino acids from the tonoplast interior to the cytoplasm and on the results of our previous study, which confirmed the role of serine-rich protein in Si accumulation by Arabidopsis thaliana as a model plant [48], a future study comparing the roles of Lsil and serine/proline-rich protein in Si uptake by plants is needed.

5. Conclusion

Silicon plays an important role in plant growth and development. Although Si is plentiful in the soil and does not need to be applied as fertilizer, different plant species differ in Si uptake from the soil. The Lsil gene has been identified as a Si transporter gene in the Japonica rice variety. Our screening did not detect the Lsil gene among Indica rice varieties. Based on the important role of this gene in Si uptake by plants and the crucial role of Si in plant growth and development, we tried to increase Si absorption and accumulation inside the Indica rice varieties via genetic manipulation rather than using additional different sources of Si in the culture medium or soil. The rice variety MR276 showed a more positive response to genetic manipulation than the other varieties, which will aid in future analyses. For instance, because it has been reported that Si can alleviate various stresses such as drought, salinity, and pathogen attack in plants, the transgenic MR276 developed here should be more stable under such harsh environmental conditions. In conclusion, if we can provide genetically manipulated plants that are capable of taking up more Si from the soil, their improved morphological traits and improved resistance against various biotic and abiotic stresses will improve their yields.
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