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Genetic and Functional Diversification of Small RNA Pathways in Plants
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Multicellular eukaryotes produce small RNA molecules (approximately 21–24 nucleotides) of two general types, microRNA (miRNA) and short interfering RNA (siRNA). They collectively function as sequence-specific guides to silence or regulate genes, transposons, and viruses and to modify chromatin and genome structure. Formation or activity of small RNAs requires factors belonging to gene families that encode DICER (or DICER-LIKE (DCL)) and ARGONAUTE proteins and, in the case of some siRNAs, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDR) proteins. Unlike many animals, plants encode multiple DCL and RDR proteins. Using a series of insertion mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana, unique functions for three DCL proteins in miRNA (DCL1), endogenous siRNA (DCL3), and viral siRNA (DCL2) biogenesis were identified. One RDR protein (RDR2) was required for all endogenous siRNAs analyzed. The loss of endogenous siRNA in dcl3 and rdr2 mutants was associated with loss of heterochromatic marks and increased transcript accumulation at some loci. Defects in siRNA-generation activity in response to turnip crinkle virus in dcl2 mutant plants correlated with increased virus susceptibility. We conclude that proliferation and diversification of DCL and RDR genes during evolution of plants contributed to specialization of small RNA-directed pathways for development, chromatin structure, and defense.

Introduction

Eukaryotic small RNAs of approximately 21–24 nucleotides function as guide molecules in a remarkably wide range of biological processes, including developmental timing and patterning, formation of heterochromatin, genome rearrangement, and antiviral defense (Carrington and Ambros 2003; Finnegan and Matzke 2003; Lai 2003). They belong to at least two general classes, microRNA (miRNA) and short interfering RNA (siRNA). miRNAs (approximately 21–22 nucleotides) are found in plants and animals and are often phylogenetically conserved within their respective kingdoms. They arise from non-protein-coding genes through formation of a precursor transcript followed by one or more nucleolytic processing steps (Lai 2003). Part of the precursor adopts a fold-back structure that interacts with a multi-domain RNAseII-like enzyme termed DICER or DICER-LIKE (DCL1 in Arabidopsis), which catalyzes accurate excision of the mature miRNA (Denli and Hannon 2003). The miRNAs then associate with ribonucleoprotein complexes that function to negatively regulate target genes controlling a range of developmental events, such as timing of cell fate decisions, stem cell maintenance, apoptosis, organ morphogenesis and identity, and polarity (Ambros 2003; Carrington and Ambros 2003).

siRNAs are chemically similar to miRNAs, although in plants they typically range in size between 21 and 24 nucleotides (Hamilton et al. 2002; Llave et al. 2002a; Tang et al. 2003). They are associated with both post-transcriptional forms of RNA interference (RNAi) and transcriptional silencing involving chromatin modification (Finnegan and Matzke 2003). siRNAs are processed from precursors containing extensive or exclusive double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) structure, such as transcripts containing inverted repeats or intermediates formed during virus RNA replication (Hannon 2002). siRNA precursors can also be formed by the activity of one or more cellular RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RdRp), as was shown genetically in several screens for RNA silencing-defective mutants (Cogoni and Macino 1999; Dalmay et al. 2000; Mourrain et al. 2000; Smardon et al. 2000; Volpe et al. 2002). Arabidopsis plants contain at least three active RdRp genes, termed RDR1, RDR2, and RDR6 (also known as SDE1/SGS2) (Dalmay et al. 2000; Mourrain et al. 2000; Yu et al. 2003). RDR6 is necessary for sense transgene-mediated RNAi, but not for silencing of constructs that encode transcripts with hairpins containing extensive dsRNAi structure (Dalmay et al. 2000; Mourrain et al. 2000; Beclin et al. 2002). In many animals, both miRNAs and siRNAs are formed by the activity of the same DICER enzyme (Grishok et al. 2001; Hutvagner et al. 2001; Ketting et al. 2001; Knight and
activities (Finnegan et al. 2003).

Bass 2001; Provost et al. 2002; Zhang et al. 2002; Myers et al. 2003), although in plants they are formed by distinct DCL activities (Finnegan et al. 2003). *Arabidopsis* contains four DCL genes (DCL1 to DCL4), only one of which (DCL1) has been assigned a definitive function in small RNA biogenesis (Park et al. 2002; Reinhart et al. 2002; Schauer et al. 2002). Biochemical data indicate, however, that multiple DCL activities or pathways catalyze formation of siRNAs of small-sized (approximately 21 nucleotides) and large-sized (approximately 24 nucleotides) classes (Tang et al. 2003).

Endogenous siRNAs in plants arise from many types of retroelements and transposons, other highly repeated sequences, pseudogenes, intergenic regions (IGRs), and a few expressed genes (Hamilton et al. 2002; Llave et al. 2002a; Mette et al. 2002). Exogenous siRNAs can arise from both sense and hairpin transcript-forming transgenes and by viruses (Hamilton and Baulcombe 1999; Mette et al. 2000).

Both siRNAs and miRNAs function post-translationally to suppress or inactivate target RNAs. siRNAs guide sequence-specific nucleolytic activity of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) to complementary target sequences (Hannon 2002). Among other proteins, RISCs contain ARGONAUTE (AGO) family members that likely bind siRNAs or target sequences (Carmell et al. 2002). In plants and insects, post-transcriptional RNAi serves as an adaptive antiviral defense response (Waterhouse et al. 2001; Li et al. 2002). miRNAs are fully competent to guide nucleolytic function of RISC, provided that a target sequence with sufficient complementarity is available (Hutvágner and Zamore 2002; Doench et al. 2003; Tang et al. 2003). Many plant miRNAs function as negative regulators through this cleavage-type mechanism (Llave et al. 2002b; Rhoades et al. 2002; Emery et al. 2003; Kasschau et al. 2003; Palatnik et al. 2003; Tang et al. 2003; Xie et al. 2003). In animals, the level of complementarity between target and miRNA sequences is generally low, which inhibits nucleolytic activity. Animal miRNAs suppress translation of target mRNAs (Olsen and Ambros 1999; Reinhart et al. 2000). Some plant miRNAs may also function as translational suppressors (Aukerman and Sakai 2003; Chen 2003).

siRNAs also guide chromatin-based events that result in transcriptional silencing. Two lines of evidence support this view. First, in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Arabidopsis*, endogenous siRNAs from repeated sequences corresponding to centromeres, transposons, and retroelements are relatively abundant (Llave et al. 2002a; Mette et al. 2002; Reinhart and Bartel 2002). RNAi-related factors (DICER, RdRp, and AGO proteins) are required to maintain *S. pombe* centromeric repeats and nearby sequences in a transcriptionally inactive, heterochromatic state (Hall et al. 2002; Volpe et al. 2002). Mutants that lose RNAi component activities lose heterochromatic marks, such as histone H3 methylation at the K9 position (H3K9), as well as centromere function (Hall et al. 2002; Volpe et al. 2002, 2003). In plants, AGO4 is necessary to maintain transcriptionally silent epialleles of *SUPERMAN*. The ago4 mutants lose both cytosine methylation, particularly at non-CpG positions, and H3K9 methylation at *SUPERMAN* and other constitutive heterochromatic sites (the *Arabidopsis thaliana* short interspersed element 1 [AtSNE1] locus) (Zilberman et al. 2003). And, second, heterochromatin formation of nuclear DNA can be triggered, in a sequence-specific manner, by post-transcriptional silencing of cytoplasmic RNAs (Jones et al. 1999; Aufsatz et al. 2002; Schramke and Allshire 2003).

The RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) signal transmitted from the cytoplasm to the nucleus is most likely siRNA. The prevailing view states that chromatin-based silencing guided by siRNAs serves, among other purposes, as a genome defense system to suppress mobile genetic elements or invasive DNA (Dawe 2003; Schramke and Allshire 2003).

Using a genetic approach, we show here the existence of three small RNA-generating pathways with unique requirements in *Arabidopsis*. Plants with point mutations or insertions in several members of the DCL and RDR gene families were examined. The data indicate that plants genetically diversified several factors involved in formation of functionally distinct small RNAs.

### Results

#### Genetic Requirements for miRNA Formation

At least two factors, DCL1 and HEN1 (HUA ENHANCER1), are involved in *Arabidopsis* miRNA formation. As shown for miR-171, miR-159 (Figure 1A), and several other miRNAs (Park et al. 2002; Reinhart et al. 2002), mutants with *dcl1* loss-of-function alleles lose most of their miRNA populations (Figure 1B). Plants with mutant *hen1* alleles either lose miRNAs or the apparent size of miRNAs is increased by one or more nucleotides (Park et al. 2002; Boutet et al. 2003) (Figure 1B). miRNA function to suppress target miRNAs is diminished in both *dcl1* and *hen1* mutants (Boutet et al. 2003; Kasschau et al. 2003; Xie et al. 2003). To determine whether other DCL or RDR proteins are required for miRNA formation in *Arabidopsis*, miR-171 and miR-159 were analyzed in four new mutants. The *dcl2-1* and *dcl3-1* mutants contained T-DNA insertions in *DCL2* (At3g03300) and *DCL3* (At3g43920) genes, respectively (Figure S1). In wild-type plants, *DCL2* and *DCL3* transcripts accumulated to detectable levels in inflorescence tissues, but not in leaves. The mutant *dcl2-1* and *dcl3-1* transcripts were not detected in either tissue type (Figure S1). The *rdr1-1* and *rdr2-1* mutants contained T-DNA insertions in *RDR1* (At1g14790) and *RDR2* (At4g11130), respectively (Figure S1). *RDR1* and *RDR2* transcripts accumulated in inflorescence tissue, but not leaves, of untreated wild-type plants (Figure S1). The *RDR1* transcript levels were elevated in salicylic acid (SA)-treated leaves, as shown previously (Yu et al. 2003), but *RDR2* transcript levels were not affected by SA (Figure S1). Both *rdr1-1* and *rdr2-1* transcripts were below the detection limit in the corresponding mutant plants. In addition, a mutant containing an insertion in the *RDR6* gene (also known as *SDE1/SGS2, At3g49500*) was analyzed in parallel with the *rdr1* and *rdr2* mutants. This *rdr6-1* mutant displayed a weak virus-susceptibility phenotype that was consistent with previously reported *sde1* and *sgs2* mutants (Mourrain et al. 2000; Dalmay et al. 2001). However, no differences in *RDR6* transcript levels were detected between wild-type and *rdr6-1* mutant plants (data not shown).

Accumulation of miR-171 and miR-159 was unaffected in the *dcl2* and *dcl3* mutants (see Figure 1B). This was in contrast to the low level or shifted mobility of miR-171 and miR-159 in *dcl1-7* and *hen1-1*, respectively (see Figure 1B). Similarly, accumulation of miR-171 and miR-159 was unaffected in *rdr1* and *rdr2* mutants.
Composition of Endogenous siRNA Populations

A library of cloned small RNAs from inflorescence tissues of Col-0 ecotype plants was partially sequenced and analyzed. Initial characterization of 125 of these sequences revealed that most of the clones corresponded to siRNA-like sequences (Llave et al. 2002a). A total of 1,368 distinct small RNAs, ranging in size between 20 and 26 nucleotides, were provisionally categorized here as siRNAs, with 24 nucleotides representing the most common size (Figure 2A; all sequences are available to view or download at http://cgrb.orst.edu/smallRNA/db/). The siRNA sequences were identified at 5,299 genomic loci (Table S1). Approximately 27% of endogenous siRNAs derived from transposon or retroelement sequences in the sense or antisense polarity (Figure 2B). Centromeric and pericentromeric siRNAs were common, which was partly due to the prevalence of transposons and retroelements at these sites. Forty-five small RNAs of sense and antisense polarity arose from highly repeated 5S, 18S, and 25S rDNA. While it is likely that some rDNA-derived sequences resulted from nonspecific breakdown of highly abundant tRNAs, some had specific genetic requirements and properties that were consistent with functional siRNAs (see below). Thirty-one siRNAs came from sequences annotated as pseudogenes and 147 from hypothetical or predicted genes (Figure 2B). Only 28 were identified as originating from genes that are known to be expressed (Figure 2B). The remaining 816 sequences mapped to loci that were collectively labeled as an IGR sequence. The IGR-derived siRNAs arose from unique sequences adjacent to known genes, inverted duplications, satellites, and other repeated sequences, although many of these may actually correspond to transposon or retroelement sequences that were not recognized by the search programs.

The frequency of unique siRNAs arising from highly repeated sequences (mainly transposons and retroelements),
5S rRNA repeats, and nonrepetitive sequence was calculated (Figure 2C). siRNAs in the library occurred at a frequency of 2.42 per 100 kb repetitive DNA, which was approximately 2.4-fold higher that the frequency of siRNAs from nonrepetitive sequence (1.02 per 100 kb). Based on the number of repeats in the most current version of the Arabidopsis genome sequence, unique siRNAs corresponding to 5S rDNA were identified at a frequency of 7.55 per 100 kb. These data indicate that siRNAs arise more frequently from highly repeat genome sequences.

Genetic Requirements for Endogenous siRNA Formation

A set of four siRNAs or siRNA populations, representing the major categories identified in the library, were selected for genetic analysis. Twenty-six siRNAs corresponded to SINE retroelements, one of which (AtSNI) was selected for detailed analysis. AtSNI-derived siRNA formation requires AGO4 (Zilberman et al. 2003) and SDE4 (Hamilton et al. 2002). One siRNA (siRNA1003) originating from 5S rDNA was selected. The 5S rRNA genes occur in tandem arrays in chromosomes III, IV, and V, with the typical repeat unit (approximately 500 nucleotides) being composed of transcribed sequence (120 nucleotides) and flanking spacer sequences (Cloix et al. 2002; Mathieu et al. 2003). The siRNA1003 sequence was identified in the sense orientation within the spacer sequence in 202 repeats in chromosome III and four repeats in chromosome V (see Figure 1A). The cluster2 siRNA population from a 125-nucleotide IGR segment in chromosome I was represented by seven unique siRNAs in the library (see Figure 1A). Finally, the siRNA02 sequence corresponded to two loci separated by approximately 2.1 kb in chromosome V. One locus occurred in an IGR sequence, and the other within a hypothetical gene (At5g56070) of unknown function. The two siRNA02 loci occur in sequences that correspond to arms of an inverted duplication (see Figure 1A) (Llave et al. 2002a). The AtSNI, cluster2, and siRNA02 probes detected populations that accumulated as 24-nucleotide RNAs, while the siRNA1003 probe detected a population containing 21- to 24-nucleotide species (see Figure 1B).

The abundance of each siRNA population was decreased in the del3-1 mutant, but not in the del1-7 or del2-1 mutants (see Figure 1B). This was in strict contrast to miR-171, miR-159 (see Figure 1B), and several other miRNAs tested (data not shown), which depended specifically on DCL1. Interestingly, weak signals corresponding to siRNA02, AtSNI siRNAs, and cluster2 siRNAs were detected in faster-migrating positions in the del3-1 mutant (see Figure 1B). This may have resulted from exposure of siRNA precursors to alternate DCL activities in the absence of DCL3. Notably, both small and large siRNAs detected by the 5S rDNA-derived siRNA1003 probe were diminished in del3-1 plants.

Each siRNA population was eliminated in the rdr2-1 mutant, but not in the rdr1-1 mutant (see Figure 1B). In preliminary experiments, each siRNA population was unaffected by the rdr6-1 mutation, although these data should be interpreted cautiously because of the possibility that the rdr6-1 allele is weak (data not shown). The endogenous siRNA requirement for RDR2 contrasted with the miRNAs, which exhibited complete insensitivity to each of the rdr mutations tested (see Figure 1B). These data genetically identify DCL3 and RDR2 as components of an endogenous siRNA generating system that differs functionally from the miRNA-generating apparatus.

The HEN1 protein was implicated in post-transcriptional silencing of sense-, but not hairpin-forming, transgenes (Boutet et al. 2003). We tested the requirement of HEN1 for endogenous siRNA formation using the hen1-1 mutant. Two of the siRNA populations, siRNA1003 and the AtSNI siRNAs, were reduced to undetectable levels in hen1-1 plants (see Figure 1B). The siRNA02 and cluster2 siRNAs, on the other hand, reproducibly accumulated to higher levels in hen1-1 plants compared to wild-type Lao-σ plants. Thus, each type of endogenous siRNA tested requires DCL3 and RDR2, but only the highly repeated 5S rDNA and retroelement-derived siRNAs require HEN1. In fact, the requirement for, or independence from, HEN1 was precisely the same as AGO4 at each of these loci (D. Zilberman and S. Jacobsen, unpublished data).

Function of the Endogenous siRNA-Generating System

Two previous studies showed that SDE4 and AGO4 are required for AtSNI siRNA accumulation and methylation of
cytosine positions at the AtSN1 locus (Hamilton et al. 2002; Zilberman et al. 2003). In an ago-1 mutant, loss of AtSN1 siRNA is associated with decreased histone H3K9 methylation (Zilberman et al. 2003). Cytosine methylation and increased histone H3K9 methylation are hallmarks of transcriptionally silent and heterochromatic DNA in plants and other organisms, and siRNAs may recruit chromatin modification complexes to specific loci (Grewal and Moazed 2003). To determine whether DCL3 and RDR2 catalyze formation of siRNAs that functionally interact with chromatin, cytosine methylation at AtSN1 and 5S rDNA loci and methylation of H3K9 and H3K4 positions in AtSN1 were examined in wild-type, dcl3-1, and rdr2-1 plants. We also analyzed AtSN1-derived transcript levels to determine whether the mutations affected expression of the locus.

Consistent with previous reports (Hamilton et al. 2002; Zilberman et al. 2003), bisulfite sequencing of AtSN1 genomic DNA revealed extensive CpG (72.0%), CpNpG (43.1%), and asymmetric CpHpH (16.3%) methylation in Col-0 wild-type plants (Figure 3A; Table S2). In the rdr2-1 mutant, CpNpG and CpHpH methylation was reduced to 24.6% and 4.5%, respectively. Only a slight reduction in CpG methylation was detected in Cmt3-7 plants (Figure 3A). This methylation pattern was similar to that detected in mutants lacking CHROMOMETHYLASE3 (cmt3-7; Figure 3A), which is necessary for efficient methylation of AtSN1 at non-CpG sites, and in a mutant lacking AGO4 (Zilberman et al. 2003). In the dcl3-1 mutant, however, cytosine methylation was decreased only at asymmetric sites, while CpG and CpHpH methylation was similar to that of wild-type plants (Figure 3A).

Because of the number of 5S rDNA repeats, analysis of cytosine methylation was done using restriction enzymes HpaII orMspI and DNA blot assays. Sensitivity to HpaII indicates lack of methylation at CpG or CpNpG sites (or both), whereas sensitivity to MspI indicates lack of methylation at only CpNpG sites. In wild-type Col-0 and La-er plants, 5S rDNA loci were heavily methylated at CpG+CpHpH sites, as shown by detection of only high molecular weight forms using HpaII, and partially methylated at CpNpG as shown using MspI (Figure 3B). In the rdr2-1 plants, methylation was partially lost at CpNpG sites (increased MspI sensitivity; Figure 3B, lanes 15–16), although to a lesser degree than in cmt3-7 plants (Figure 3B). Methylated detected by HpaII sensitivity was partially lost in the rdr2-1 mutant (Figure 3B, lanes 3–4), which was most likely due to loss of CpG methylation. Loss of only CpNpG methylation in rdr2-1 plants would not account for the increased sensitivity to HpaII, as HpaII sensitivity in cmt3-7 plants (lacking nearly all CpNpG methylation) was unaffected (Figure 3B, lanes 9–10). Sensitivity of 5S rDNA sites to HpaII and MspI in dcl3-1 plants was only slightly increased (Figure 3B, lanes 5–6 and 17–18). In the ago-1 mutant, CpG methylation was partially lost as revealed by increased sensitivity to HpaII (Figure 3B, lanes 11–12).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were used
to detect changes in H3K4 and H3K9 methylation at AtSN1 in rdr2-1 and del3-1 mutant lines. Loci containing genes encoding a retrotransposon reverse transcriptase and phosphofructokinase β subunit (PFK) were used as positive controls for sequences associated primarily with K9- and K4-methylated histone H3, respectively (Gendrel et al. 2002). At AtSN1, decreased levels of histone H3K9 methylation were detected in both rdr2-1 and del3-1 mutants (see Figure 3C). This was accompanied by a slight increase in H3K4 methylation (see Figure 3C). The extent to which H3 methylation changed was greater in rdr2-1 relative to del3-1 plants. Little or no change in H3K4 and H3K9 methylation was detected at the control loci. In addition, no changes in H3K4 or H3K9 methylation were detected at AtSN1 in cmt3-7 plants (data not shown). The changes in H3 methylation shown here are similar to those at several heterochromatic or silenced loci in ago4 mutant plants (Zilberman et al. 2003).

The level of AtSN1-derived transcripts was measured in rdr2-1 and del3-1 mutant plants and compared against the level of PFK transcript using semiquantitative RT-PCR. As shown in Figure 3D, relatively low levels of AtSN1 transcripts were detected in wild-type Col-0 plants. However, the normalized level of AtSN1 transcripts was over 8- and 3-fold higher in rdr2-1 and del3-1 mutant plants, respectively, compared to wild-type plants. Therefore, loss of siRNA-forming capability correlated with loss of heterochromatic marks and elevated transcript levels at an endogenous locus that is normally silenced at the chromatin level.

Given that RDR2, DCL3, and AGO4 are involved in chromatin-associated events and that HEN1 is required for accumulation of certain endogenous siRNAs associated with chromatin modification, it was hypothesized that each of these proteins accumulates in the nucleus. The presence of nuclear transport signals in each protein was tested by transient expression and analysis of green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusions in a heterologous plant, Nicotiana benthamiana, using an Agrobacterium infiltration assay. Subcellular accumulation sites for these proteins were compared to those of β-glucuronidase (GUS)–GFP (cytosolic control) and nuclear inclusion a protein (Nla)–GFP (nuclear control). The DCL3–GFP, HEN1–GFP, and GFP–AGO4 fusion proteins were detected exclusively in the nucleus (Figure 4; Figure S2), indicating that DCL3, HEN1, and AGO4 possess independent nuclear transport capability. Subcellular localization experiments with RDR2–GFP and GFP–RDR2 fusion proteins, however, were inconclusive due to low expression levels and protein instability (data not shown).

Genetic Requirements for Virus-Derived siRNA Formation

The involvement of DCL1, DCL2, and DCL3 in siRNA formation in response to infection by three dissimilar RNA viruses was tested using the delt mutant series. Two of the viruses, a GFP-tagged version of turnip mosaic virus (TuMV–GFP) and turnip crinkle virus (TCV), infect Arabidopsis systemically and cause moderate to severe disease symptoms. The third virus, cucumber mosaic virus strain Y (CMV-Y), infects plants systemically, but causes only mild symptoms. Wild-type (Col-0 and La-er) and mutant plants were inoculated on rosette leaves, and upper, noninoculated tissue (cauline leaves and inflorescences) was analyzed for virus-specific siRNAs at 7 and 14 dpi post-inoculation (dpi).

Viral siRNAs were detected in systemic tissues from wild-type plants at both timepoints (Figure 5A–5C, lanes 3, 5, 10, and 13), with siRNA levels generally higher at 14 dpi. In TuMV- and CMV-infected delt1-7, delt2-1, and delt3-1 mutant plants, siRNAs accumulated to levels that were similar to those in infected wild-type plants at 7 and 14 dpi (Figures 5A and 5B). TuMV and CMV titers and symptom phenotypes in the three mutants were indistinguishable from those in their respective parents (data not shown). Similarly, in TCV-infected delt1-7 and delt3-1 plants, viral siRNA levels, virus titer, and symptom severity were essentially the same as in wild-type plants (Figure 5C; Figure 6A and 6B; data not shown).

In contrast, TCV-derived siRNAs accumulated to levels that were 5-fold lower in delt2-1 plants compared to wild-type plants at 7 dpi (see Figure 5C, lanes 10–11). This was a transient deficit, as TCV siRNA levels rebounded to near wild-type levels by 14 dpi (see Figure 5C, lanes 13–14). The slow accumulation of siRNAs was not due to lack of TCV replication or movement in the tissues analyzed, as TCV titer in the delt2-1 mutant was similar to (7 dpi) or significantly
higher than \((p < 0.05, 14 \text{ dpi})\) the titers in wild-type plants (Figure 6B). Additionally, TCV-induced disease was more severe in \(dcl2-1\) plants, as plant height, fresh weight of bolts, and number of flowers in infected \(dcl2-1\) plants were each significantly \((p < 0.01 \text{ for plant height and flower number}; p < 0.05 \text{ for weight of bolts})\) lower compared to infected wild-type plants, which exhibited delayed viral siRNA accumulation and increased susceptibility and sensitivity. However, there are several reasons to suspect that multiple antiviral, siRNA-generating systems exist. siRNAs triggered by TCV were not eliminated in \(dcl2-1\) plants, but rather siRNA accumulation was delayed. Although this could be due to incomplete loss of DCL2 function in the mutant, it could also reflect the existence of secondary or redundant DCL activities. Among the three viruses tested, two were unaffected by the \(dcl2-1\) mutation. This strongly implies the existence of one or more other siRNA-generating activities with unique or redundant antiviral specificity. Further, the DCL2-dependent system may have functions in addition to those associated with antiviral defense. The DCL2–GFP fusion protein was detected primarily in the nucleus, whereas TCV replicates and accumulates outside of the nucleus. Experiments to determine the genetic requirements for RDR1 and RDR2 during antiviral silencing against the three viruses were inconclusive, again possibly the result of functional redundancies or the presence of confounding viral RdRp activities (Ahlquist 2002). Mourrain et al. (2000), on the other hand, showed that \(rdr6\) (\(sel1\)) mutants were deficient in CMV-induced silencing. Additionally, Yu et al. (2003) showed that \(RDR1\) contributed to defense against tobramoviruses.

Tang et al. (2003) identified two siRNA-generating DCL activities in wheat-germ extracts. These were detected using dsRNA as a substrate. Although monocots contain a DCL gene family, the members do not correlate one-for-one with those in Arabidopsis (Z. Xie and J. Carrington, unpublished data). Further study is required to correlate the DCL activities from wheat germ with those in Arabidopsis.

The degree of genetic diversification of the DCL family in plants is in contrast to the situation in animals. Caenorhabditis elegans and human, for example, contain only one DICER (Grishok et al. 2001; Ketting et al. 2001; Knight and Bass 2001; Provost et al. 2002; Zhang et al. 2002), even though both tested. In principle, there should be no requirement for an RDR activity during miRNA biogenesis, as the DCL1 substrate is formed directly as a result of DNA-based transcription. DCL1 likely functions in the nucleus (Papp et al. 2003). It also functions, either directly or indirectly, with HEN1, which may confer substrate specificity, processing accuracy, or catalytic function.

The second system requires DCL3 and RDR2 and generates endogenous siRNAs primarily of the large-sized (approximately 24 nucleotides) class. While DCL3 undoubtedly functions as the ribonuclease to process dsRNA precursors, RDR2 presumably functions as a polymerase to form dsRNA molecules de novo using templates resulting from transcription of DNA. At some loci, however, RDR2 may be unnecessary as a catalytic subunit, but rather contribute to the formation or stability of a complex that contains active DCL3. This could be the case at some sites, such as the siRNA02 locus, that contain inverted duplications and that may form transcripts with extensive dsRNA structure. Interestingly, accumulation of siRNAs specific to a hairpin construct was shown to be RdRp dependent in fission yeast (Schramke and Allshire 2003). At some loci, this system appears to interface with AGO4, HEN1, and SDE4.

The third system functions in antiviral defense and involves DCL2. Loss of this system was specifically detected in TCV-infected \(dcl2-1\) plants, which exhibited delayed viral siRNA accumulation and increased susceptibility and sensitivity. Further study is required to correlate the DCL activities from wheat-germ extracts. These were detected using dsRNA as a substrate. Although monocots contain a DCL gene family, the members do not correlate one-for-one with those in Arabidopsis (Z. Xie and J. Carrington, unpublished data). Further study is required to correlate the DCL activities from wheat germ with those in Arabidopsis.
Roles of Endogenous siRNA-Generating Systems in Plants

Both DCL3 and RDR2 cooperate with AGO4, and possibly also with SDE4 and HEN1, at the AtSN1 locus to initiate or maintain a heterochromatic state (Hamilton et al. 2002; Zilberman et al. 2003). Loss of DCL3, RDR2, and AGO4 factors correlates with loss of DNA methylation and histone H3K9 methylation. Interestingly, these factors are also necessary for silencing triggered de novo during the transformation process using transgenic FWA (Chan et al. 2004). Silencing of FWA is due to cytosine methylation of a region in the promoter that contains direct repeats (Soppe et al. 2000). The effect of the rdr2-1 mutation on chromatin structure and gene silencing of AtSN1 and FWA was generally stronger than the effect of the dcl3-1 mutation. This may be explained by the presence of residual siRNAs formed by another DCL activity in the dcl3 mutant (see Figure 1B). The picture that emerges from these and other results shows that DCL3 and RDR2 function as components of an endogenous siRNA-generating system and that the resulting siRNAs may guide chromatin modification events through effector complexes containing AGO4. Given that AGO proteins are components of RISCs that catalyze sequence-specific RNA degradation (Carmell et al. 2002) and that different AGO proteins have DNA- or RNA-binding activities (Lingel et al. 2003; Song et al. 2003; Yan et al. 2003), it seems reasonable to speculate that AGO4 engages a chromatin-associated RISC-like complex and interacts with nuclear siRNAs or target sequences. But unlike RNAi events in the cytoplasm, chromatin-associated complexes likely interact with DNA methyltransferase and histone methyltransferase systems. RdDM can occur at CpG and non-CpG sites, but maintenance of non-CpG methylation after DNA replication may generally require the continued activity of the siRNA-guided complex (Luff et al. 1999; Jones et al. 2001; Aufsatz et al. 2002). Methylation at CpG sites, in contrast, can be maintained by template-driven methylation on hemimethylated products of DNA replication, which explains why CpG methylation frequently persists in subsequent generations after one or more silencing factors or trigger loci are lost.

Accumulation of siRNA from endogenous loci and transgenes does not necessarily require AGO4 (D. Zilberman and S. Jacobsen, unpublished data), suggesting that AGO4 acts downstream of siRNA formation to direct DNA methylation. Losses of AGO4 and HEN1 have nearly identical effects on all siRNAs tested, possibly because HEN1 and AGO4 affect a similar point in the pathway. If AGO4 and HEN1 function downstream of siRNA formation, why do siRNAs derived from some sites (AtSN1 and 5S rDNA) accumulate to such low levels in ago4 and hen1 mutants? One possibility is that heterochromatic marks (DNA and H3K9 methylation) and associated factors serve to recruit RDR2, DCL3, or both to specific sites on chromatin, thus establishing a reinforcement loop. Loss of heterochromatin in an ago4 mutant, for example, would result in failure to recruit the siRNA-generating enzymes to transcripts originating from a target locus and, therefore, the absence of siRNAs. This hypothesis, however, does not hold for some other siRNA-generating sites, such as those that yield cluster2 siRNAs and siRNA02. Accumulation of siRNAs from these sites is unaffected or even enhanced in ago4 and hen1 mutants. In wild-type plants, these loci are both hypomethylated at CpG and non-CpG sites and are associated with histone H3 that largely lacks K9 methylation (data not shown). The siRNAs formed from these loci clearly require RDR2 and DCL3, but they appear not to affect chromatin structure. These siRNAs may be sequestered elsewhere in the cell and unable to interact with chromatin or chromatin-associated factors.

The spectrum of naturally occurring siRNAs in Arabidopsis is informative about the roles of these molecules in genome maintenance, genome expression, and defense. The fact that...
siRNAs from highly repeated sequences, largely retroelements and transposons, are overrepresented compared to unique genome sequences suggests that sequence duplication events are sensed and dealt with through RNA-guided formation of heterochromatin. This is frequently discussed within the context of genome defense, whereby suppression of mobile DNA promotes genome stability (Plasterk 2002; Dawe 2003). Indeed, loss of heterochromatin is often associated with increased activity of transposons and retroelements (Hirochika et al. 2000; Miura et al. 2001; Singer et al. 2001; Gendrel et al. 2002). However, it should be appreciated that these and other repeated sequences might also serve as cis-active, epigenetic regulatory modules if positioned near or within functional genes (Kinosita et al. 2004). The rapidly expanding number of examples, such as vernalization (Bastow and Dean 2003), of cellular memory conditioned by epigenetic events hint that siRNA-directed processes may be embedded broadly as a regulatory mechanism during growth and development (Goodrich and Tweedie 2002).

Materials and Methods

Plant materials. All plants were grown under standard greenhouse conditions. The del1-7, hen1-1, cm3-7, and ag01-1 mutant lines were described previously (Cao and Jacobsen 2002; Golden et al. 2002; Park et al. 2002b; Zilberman et al. 2003). Other mutant lines, obtained from the Salk Institute Genome Analysis Laboratory (SGNAL, La Jolla, California, United States) and Torrey Mesa Research Institute (now a subsidiary of Syngenta, Basel, Switzerland), del2-1 has a T-DNA insertion within predicted intron 9 (after nucleotide 2,842 from ATG of the genomic DNA) of DCL2 (At3g03300), del3-1 has a T-DNA insertion within predicted exon 7 of DCL3 (At3g45920) at a point 2,136 nucleotides beyond the ATG in genomic DNA. This introduces four codons after the serine 288 codon, followed by a premature stop codon. rdr1-1 has a T-DNA insertion within predicted exon 1 after nucleotide 2,396 beyond the ATG of RDR1 (At1g14790), rdr2-7 has a T-DNA insertion within predicted exon 1 (in front of nucleotide 316 from the ATG) of RDR2 (At4g11130). rdr6-1 has a T-DNA insertion within predicted exon 2 (in front of nucleotide 3,977 from ATG of the genomic DNA) of RDR6 (also known as SDE1/SGS2, At3g19500). Each insertion line was backcrossed twice to Col-0 and brought to the wild-type control. For analysis of each insertion mutant, Col-0 was the wild-type control plant. For del1-7, hen1-1, ag01-1, and cm3-7 mutants, La-er was the wild-type control.

RNA blot analysis. Extraction of low- and high-molecular weight RNAs and blot assays were done as described previously (Llave et al. 2002a). Low-molecular weight RNA (20 μg) from Arabidopsis inflorescence tissue was used for miRNA and endogenous siRNA analysis. Probes for mir-171 and AtSN1-siRNA analysis were described previously (Llave et al. 2002b; Zilberman et al. 2003). mir-159 was detected using an end-labeled DNA oligonucleotide AS-02 (5′-GTTGACCAGTCCGCCAGCCGAT-3′) and AS-1003 (5′-GTTGTATAAGTT-3′), used as gene-specific probes. RNA samples from SA-treated leaf hybridized with a tubulin-specific probe (Kasschau et al. 2003). Mutant Lines

(A) Exon (bars)/intron (lines) organization of the Arabidopsis DCL and RDR genes and location of T-DNA insertion sites in mutant lines. (B) A RNA blot analysis (20 μg of total RNA) for DCL2 and DCL3 mRNA in Col-0 and the respective mutants. DNA fragments corresponding to nucleotides 2,652–3,292 of the DCL2 open reading frame and nucleotides 2,805–3,571 of the DCL3 open reading frame were used as hybridization probes. As a control, the blots were stripped and hybridized with a β-tubulin-specific probe (Kasschau et al. 2003).

(C) RNA blot analysis (10 μg of total RNA) for RDR1 and RDR2 mRNA in Col-0 and the respective mutants. DNA fragments corresponding to nucleotides 2,900–3,300 of the RDR1 open reading frame and nucleotides 10–271 of the RDR2 open reading frame were used as gene-specific probes. RNA samples from SA-treated leaf tissues were also included in the analysis.

Found at DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020104.s002 (5.9 MB EPS).

Figure S2. Immunoblot Analysis of GFP Fusion Proteins

The 35S promoter-driven GFP fusion constructs were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana using an Agrobacterium-injection procedure. Leaf tissue from injected zones was excised at 2 dpi for immunoblot assay using a monoclonal antibody against GFP (Roche, Basel, Switzerland).

Supporting Information

Table S1. Cloned siRNA Loci in the Arabidopsis Genome

Found at DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020104.s004 (25 KB DOC).

Table S2. Cytosine Methylation of Arabidopsis AtSN1

Found at DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020104.s002 (24 KB DOC).

Accession Numbers

The GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/) accession numbers for the entities discussed in this paper are AtIg14790
(NM_101348), At3g03000 (NM_111290), At3g49020 (NM_114260), At3g49500 (NM_114810), At4g11130 (NM_117183), chromosome I (NC_003070.3), chromosome III (NC_003074.4), and siRNA02 (AF501743).
The SAIL (formerly Garlic) (http://signal.salk.edu/cgi-bin/tdnaexpress) accession numbers for the T-DNA insertion lines discussed in this paper are: rdr6-1 (SAIL_1277H08), rdr2-1 (SAIL_1277H08), and rdr6-1 (SAIL_388H03).
The SIGnAL database (http://signal.salk.edu) accession numbers for the T-DNA insertion lines discussed in the paper are delt2-1 (SALK_064027) and delt3-1 (SALK_005512).
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