Factors Related to Marital Satisfaction in Women with Major Depressive Disorder
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Objective: Major depressive disorder (MDD) is one of the most common psychiatric disorders which affects married couples frequently. The present study aims to explain the role of family processes, social support and demographic factors in marital satisfaction of women with Major Depressive Disorder (MDD).

Method: In this cross-sectional study, 188 women with MDD were randomly selected among the patients who visited Bozorgmehr Clinic of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. The sample selection was carried out through structured psychiatric interviews based on DSM-TV-TR criteria. Data were collected using Index of Marital Satisfaction (IMS), Family Process Scale (FPS) and Norbeck Social Support Questionnaire (NSSQ). The Mann Whitney U, Multivariate and ANOVA tests were used to analyze the data.

Results: No relationship was observed between age, educational level, age difference of couples and number of children with family processes and marital satisfaction (p≥0.05). The patients with low educational level reported less social support (p≥0.05). Marital satisfaction and family coherence were lower when the husband had a psychiatric disorder (P≤0.01). The family processes (family coherence, problem-solving skills, communication skills and religious beliefs) and social support positively predicted marital satisfaction, while the husband’s psychiatric disorders negatively predicted marital satisfaction.

Conclusion: The findings highlight the significance of family processes, social support and husband’s psychiatric disorders in marital satisfaction of women with MDD.
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enjoy one's life (the two significant symptoms of depression). The social support is reported to have a critical role in decreasing the symptoms of depression (17). It also promotes marital satisfaction (18).

Considering the high rate of depression among women, along with the important role of women in family life and marital satisfaction, it is absolutely necessary to investigate and propose interference methods to solve the problems of women with MDD. However, it is critical to use the concepts and structures compatible with the dominant culture in the study population, bearing in mind that the theoretical basis of marital processes along with social support are rich enough to explain psychological interference. Fortunately, the family processes indices in the Family Process Scale (FPS) are compatible with Iranian culture (9). The current study is the first of its kind in Iran which takes into account the function of family processes and social support in predicting marital satisfaction. Moreover, the other concern of this study was finding out the relationship between the demographic characteristics of women with MDD with family processes, social support and their marital satisfaction.

Materials and Method

Patients and Procedure

One hundred and eighty eight women who suffered from MDD were selected from outpatients who visited the Bozorgmehr Psychiatric Clinic of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences during 2011 - 2012 following a convenience method. The inclusion criteria were the diagnosis of MDD according to the criteria of DSM-IV-TR, age above 18 and being married. A written consent was obtained from all the participants. Uneducated patients, patients with additional psychiatric disorders (other than MDD) or a serious medical condition (epilepsy, cardio vascular disease, physical disabilities etc.) were excluded as well as those that submitted in complete questionnaires. Patients were asked to complete the questionnaires within the first or second psychiatric visit. The demographic information including age, educational level, the spouse's age, and number of children were collected in the same interview. Any previously diagnosed psychiatric disorder in the husbands was evaluated through the psychiatric interview with the patients. Monthly income was estimated by a simple close ended question.

Instruments

Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-TV-TR (SCID-IV): SCID is based on DSM-TV-TR criteria and is a diagnostic interview to determine psychiatric disorders on Axis I and II. This is the most common and widely used diagnostic instrument in psychiatry. Previous studies have confirmed the validity and reliability of the Persian version of SCID-IV (19). The test has a fair to good diagnostic agreement with most of the diagnostic categories (kappa = 0.55) (19).

Index of Marital Satisfaction (IMS): Index of Marital Satisfaction is a 25-item questionnaire used to measure the intensity/ scope of the women or men with problems in their marital relationship. It is based on 7 point Lickert scale (7 = All of the time and 1 = none of the time). The individual's total score is considered as his/her marital satisfaction index. IMS enjoys a high validity of 96% (20). The reliability of the Persian version of IMS was measured as 0.96 using the test-retest method (21).

Family Process Scale (FPS): Samani Family Process questionnaire has been developed in Iran (9) on the basis of family conflicts (8), family processes and family content theories which is compatible with the Iranian culture. FPS includes 43 items designated to measure the following: 1) factors of family processes (family capabilities and skills adaptable to different conditions); 2) family contents (family potentialities such as income, physical and psychological health, and educational level); 3) the social context of the family (the value and belief system of the family). According to the theoretical basis of this questionnaire, these three family factors can be measured by the means of five family performance factors which are: 1) religious beliefs; 2) coherence and mutual respect among family members; 3) confrontation skills; 4) decision-making and problem-solving skills; and 5) communication skills (Samani, 2008). The Lickert scale is used to score FPS (1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree). Samani (9) reports the reliability for each subscale to be +0.81 (Cronbach α) and +0.71 (using test-retest method in a two-week interval). In the current study, the reliability for each subscale among Cronbach α was measured as <0.77-0.93.<

Norbeck Social Support Questionnaire (NSSQ): It is a nine-item Questionnaire which measures various dimensions of social support in which the respondents are asked to list the people who show supportive behavior to them (e.g., spouse, friend, family member). The first eight questions are scored on the basis of 5 point Lickert scale (1 = very little, 5 = very much) and the item number 9 is a Yes/No question. Gigliotti (23) confirms the content validity of NSSQ. In this study, the reliability of NSSQ was estimated to be 0.87 using Cronbach α.

Data Analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 17) was used for analyzing the data. The data are reported as descriptive statistics (as Mean± Standard Deviation and Frequency percentage). The Mann Whitney U, Multivariate and ANOVA tests were used to estimate the relationship between the demographic information and the marital satisfaction, family processes and social support. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to determine the relationship between the family processes and social support and the marital satisfaction of women with MDD which were followed by stepwise regression analysis to find
out the predicting factors of the marital satisfaction. The statistical tests were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$.

**Results**

A total of 188 married women with MDD completed the study. Their Mean age was 34.80± 6.48. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the study sample. The score of marital satisfaction was 117.79 ± 40.51 by IMS.

As illustrated in table 2, no significant difference was observed between the mean scores of marital satisfaction, family processes and social support of patients and different age groups, age difference of couples and different monthly income ($P > 0.05$). Results of MANOVA indicated no significant difference between the mean scores of marital satisfaction and family processes for patients with different educational levels ($P > 0.05$). However, the mean scores of social support was not the same for different educational levels ($F (2,185) = 3.80, P = 0.02$); and the Post–Hoc test showed that undergraduates had lower support compared to patients with higher education ($P = 0.02$).

**Table 1. Characteristics of the study sample**

| Age range | Number | Percentage |
|-----------|--------|------------|
| <30       | 58     | 30.9       |
| 31-40     | 83     | 44.1       |
| 40-50     | 47     | 25.0       |
| Educational level |        |            |
| Under graduated | 50   | 26.6       |
| Graduated | 92     | 48.9       |
| Higher education | 46   | 24.5       |
| Month family income |        |            |
| < 5 million Rials | 62   | 33.0       |
| 5-10 million Rials | 95   | 50.5       |
| >10 million Rials | 31   | 16.5       |
| Psychiatric condition of husbands |        |            |
| Major depressive disorder | 14   | 7.4        |
| Bipolar I disorder | 3    | 1.6        |
| Anxiety disorders | 15    | 8.0        |
| Schizophrenia | 1     | 0.5        |
| No major problem | 155  | 82.4       |
| Age difference between couples |        |            |
| <5 years | 89     | 47.3       |
| 6-10 years | 69   | 36.7       |
| 11-13 years | 30   | 16.0       |
| Number of children |        |            |
| None | 22     | 11.7       |
| 1-3 | 133    | 70.7       |
| >3 | 33     | 17.6       |

**Table 2. Scores of marital satisfaction, family processes and social support and the relationship to demographic characteristics**

| Variables | Age | Age difference | Educational level | No. of Children | Monthly Income | Disorder in husband | Marital Satisfaction |
|-----------|-----|----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|            | $F$ | $F$            | $F$              | $F$             | $F$            | $Z$                 | $r$                 |
| Marital Satisfaction | 1.47 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 1.34 | -3.98* | 1 |
| Social support | 0.37 | 0.50 | 3.80* | 2.68* | 0.46 | -0.06 | 0.45** |
| Family problem solving | 0.26 | 0.75 | 1.78 | 0.43 | 1.73 | -1.88 | 0.63** |
| Family communication | 1.65 | 0.73 | 0.30 | 0.84 | 2.38 | -1.93 | 0.54** |
| Family coherence | 2.35 | 1.72 | 1.42 | 1.81 | 1.96 | -0.49** | 0.71** |
| Family religious beliefs | 0.75 | 1.73 | 0.42 | 0.60 | 0.46 | -1.30 | 0.66** |
| Family communication | 0.76 | 1.84 | 2.43 | 1.05 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.38** |

* $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$; * $F$ = $F$ score in Multivariate analysis of variance; $Z$ = $Z$ score in Mann Whitney U test; $r$ = Pearson coefficient correlation; PD=Psychiatric Disorder

**Table 3. Stepwise multiple regression analysis in Predictive factors of marital satisfaction**

| Variables in 6th step | $R^2$ | Adjusted $R^2$ | $B$ | $\beta$ | P-Value |
|-----------------------|-------|----------------|-----|---------|---------|
| Family coherence      | 0.51  | 0.51           | 1.40 | 0.26   | <0.001  |
| Problem solving skills| 0.57  | 0.57           | 1.19 | 0.23   | <0.001  |
| Communication skills  | 0.59  | 0.58           | 1.71 | 0.21   | <0.01   |
| Husband disorder history | 0.61  | 0.61           | -18.31 | -0.17 | <0.001  |
| Social support        | 0.63  | 0.62           | 1.03 | 0.15   | <0.01   |
| Religious beliefs     | 0.64  | 0.63           | 0.83 | 0.11   | <0.05   |
The mean score of marital satisfaction was lower when a psychiatric disorder was diagnosed for the husband (P<0.01). According to the Pearson correlation coefficient, a positive correlation was observed between marital satisfaction, family processes and social support (P<0.01).

**Discussion**

The present study aimed to investigate the family processes and social support as the predictors of the marital satisfaction among women with MDD; it also evaluated the relationship between the patients’ demographic properties and family processes, social support and marital satisfaction. The results of this study showed no relationship between the patients’ age and marital satisfaction, family processes and social support. However, some reports indicate a contradictory relationship (6). A study (24) reported a positive relationship between age and sexual satisfaction among the couples while another study showed a negative relationship between age and social support among the diabetic patients (25). This contradiction is probably due to the difference of study populations and focus on the different aspects of marital satisfaction.

In the current sample, no relationship was found between age difference of couples and marital satisfaction, family processes and social support. The maximum age difference between couples was 13 which seem to be culturally acceptable in Iran. Thus, age difference cannot be considered as an effective factor in the patients’ marital satisfaction, family processes and social support in this population. Likewise, the findings showed that the educational level of women with MDD had no effect on their marital satisfaction and family processes. However, low scores of social support was reported for the patients with low level of education in the present study which is compatible with the study on diabetic patients (25). Given the fact that higher education contributes to one’s promotion of knowledge, this state enhances the use of different sources of social support. According to the findings of the present study, no relationship was found between the number of children and marital satisfaction and family processes of women with MDD. However, the results of another study (24) showed a positive relationship between the number of the children and the normal couple’s sexual satisfaction and the marital adaptation. In other words, the more the number of children, the more the sexual satisfaction between them.

On the other hand, having several kids of young age may cause individual and family problems for the patients with psychiatric disorders. The results of this study indicate that patients with no children enjoyed higher scores of social support compared to those with more than three children. As the women with just one child are probably younger than those with several children, the findings of the present study validates a previous report which shows a weak correlation (r=0.3) between age and social support (25). Thus, the lack of relationship between age and social support may be explained by the weak correlation between these two variables.

The monthly income of family was not a significant factor in the current study which does not support the findings of a previous study where a high level of marital satisfaction was reported for average-income compared to low-income families (26).

Another finding of this study indicates that a psychiatric disorder in husbands of women with MDD negative lypredicated their marital satisfaction. Stress in either husband or wife was reported to result in low marital satisfaction and close relationship between them (27). According to Bodenmann et al.'Stress-Divorce model(6), the psychological problems among the couples reduce their emotional relationships and decreases the time spent together which in turn leads to mutual alienation and marital dissatisfaction.

The present study (9) refers to emotional compromise, mutual respect and life enjoyment as the factors contributing to family coherence and calls family coherence the most important and the strongest predicator of marital satisfaction. Likewise, another study (28) emphasized the role of compromise in marital quality. Thus, the higher the emotional compromise and marital life enjoyment, the higher the marital satisfaction of women with MDD.

As for the previous studies, the present study found a relationship between family communication skills and marital satisfaction (29). Therefore, providing training for couples to improve their communication skills is effective in reducing their marital conflicts (30). However, hostility has a negative effect on the couple’s marital satisfaction (31, 32). It seems that possessing listening and speaking skills help couples to express their emotions explicitly and leads to pleasant emotions and marital satisfaction. This is reflected in the results of previous studies which show a relationship between communication skills and satisfaction with the couple's relationship (33).

The family problem solving skills are reported as a predicator of marital satisfaction (34). A study on married university students reports that teaching problem-solving skills decreases marital dissatisfaction (35). Another study shows that satisfied couples tend to use constructive problem-solving strategies (dialogue and loyalty) (36). They rarely use destructive strategies like escalation of conflict or withdrawal. Apparently, efficient use of problem-solving skills provides the couples with the opportunity to discover the effective and compatible strategies to solve their life problems (37). Solving family problems acts as a confrontation strategy decreasing life tension on the one hand and increasing marital satisfaction on the other.

A relationship is reported between religious beliefs and marital satisfaction (38). However, according to stepwise regression analysis of the current study religious beliefs are not among the predicated factors for marital satisfaction. It seems that the religious
beliefs provide an appropriate condition by organizing the effective confrontation strategies in solving the problems. This is compatible with finding of a study (13) in which religious beliefs affected the symptoms of stress and depression. Moreover, religious beliefs not only contribute to the formation and maintenance of the emotional relationship but they can also affect the marital quality (39).

On the basis of stress-marital satisfaction relationship theory, stress affects couple’s performance (time of being together, communication and well-being of both partners), and it leads to low satisfaction within the relationship and may lead to divorce (6). Thus, by promoting one’s confrontation skills against life problems, s/he would be able to cope with them and solve them in a proper manner.

The results of the present study was compatible with previous reports (18) in which a relationship between social support and the depressed patients’ marital satisfaction is identified. In a study (41) referring to the relationship between spousal support satisfaction and physiological responses to marital conflicts, perceived communication patterns contributed to the neuroendocrine responses to marital conflict (42). It might be related to the fact that social support (17) contributes to interpersonal empathy and the formation of the marital satisfaction by organizing interpersonal excitement and decreasing depression symptoms. The spouse’s psychological disorder history is reported as a predictor of marital satisfaction (43). Apparently, wife/husband's psychological problems affect all family members by affecting the action/reaction system causing a decrease in marital satisfaction.

The present study focused on women with MDD attending a referral clinic and the results may not be generalized to all patients with MDD, but the results may still have clinical significance. The main reason for this selection was the breakout of depression among Iranian women and the need to promote their marital satisfaction by designing family training courses. Another limitation of this study pertains to the age range of the sample (<50). Thus, the findings of the present study cannot be generalized to the differences observed in family processes and marital satisfaction in participants over 50 years of age. Furthermore, in this study the maximum age difference between wife and husband was 13. A greater difference may affect their marital satisfaction. The only reference for the amount of monthly income was patients’ answers and these results may be biased as we did not have any tools to check the accuracy of these claims. This study did not include the age of children who could help us to have a better understanding of the findings. Moreover, the study was designated as a correlation study and lacks a control group. Further studies can overcome these limitations.

Conclusion

Women’s depression can cause various problems for a family and may cause marital satisfaction. Thus, along with treating depression, the complementary teaching courses are needed to promote marital satisfaction. The results of the present study showed a positive correlation between all family processes and social support with the depressed patients’ marital satisfaction. Family processes including (family coherence, problem-solving skills, communication skill, and religious beliefs) and social support as well as the husbands’ psychiatric disorder explain 2/3 of marital satisfaction variance. The primary findings of this study indicate that family processes are a proper domain for psychological intervention along with medical treatments to promote marital satisfaction of women with MDD.
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