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Abstract

In this paper, we study the localization phenomena in a slender cylinder composed of an incompressible hyperelastic material subjected to axial tension. We aim to construct the analytical solutions based on a three-dimensional setting and use the analytical results to describe the key features observed in the experiments by others. Using a novel approach of coupled series-asymptotic expansions, we derive the normal form equation of the original governing nonlinear partial differential equations. By writing the normal form equation into a first-order dynamical system and with the help of the phase plane, we manage to solve two boundary-value problems analytically. The explicit solution expressions (in terms of integrals) are obtained. By analyzing the solutions, we find that the width of the localization zone depends on the material parameters but remains almost unchanged for the same material in the post-peak region. Also, it is found that when the radius-length ratio is relatively small there is a snap-back phenomenon. These results are well in agreement with the experimental observations. Through an energy analysis, we also deduce the preferred configuration and give a prediction when a snap-through can happen. Finally, based on the maximum-energy-distortion theory, an analytical criterion for the onset of material failure is provided.
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1 Introduction

The field of fracture mechanics is becoming extremely broad with the occurrence of unexpected failure of weapons, buildings, bridges, ships, trains, airplanes, and various machines. There are two fundamental fracture criterions: the strain energy release rate (i.e., G Theory) and the stress intensity factor (i.e., K Theory); see Arthur and Richard (2002). The experimentally determined stress intensity depends on the specimen size, and the fracture is accompanied by energy localization and concentration.

Localization is manifested by degradation of material properties with localized large deformations, and this feature often results in formation and propagation of macrocracks through engineering structures. Due to the importance of localization phenomena in structural safety assessment, much research has been conducted to resolve experimental, theoretical and computational issues associated with localization problems, as reviewed by Chen and Schreyer (1994) and Chen and Sulsky (1995). For hyperelastic materials, important progress has been made based on the gradient approach; see Aifantis (1984) and Triantafyllidis and Aifantis (1986). However, there is still a lack of analytical results for three-dimensional boundary-value problems. In this paper, hence, we study the localization in a slender cylinder composed of an incompressible hyperelastic material subjected to tension, based on an analytical approach to solve the three-dimensional governing equations. We also intend to provide mathematical descriptions for some interesting phenomena as observed in experiments.

Jansen and Shah (1997) conducted careful experiments on concrete cylinders by using the feedback-control method. From two test series, the typical stress-displacement behavior for different height-diameter ratios with normal strength and high strength was obtained. It appears that the pre-peak segment of the stress-displacement curves agrees well with the pre-peak part of the stress-strain curves, but the post-peak segment shows a strong dependence on the geometric size (i.e., the radius-length ratio). More specifically, the longer the specimen is, the steeper the post-peak part of the stress-displacement curves becomes. Also, they found that the width of the localization zone changes with the specimen size. In the experiment by Gopalaratnam and Shah (1985), it was found that the tangent value in the ascending part of the stress-strain curves seemed to be independent of the specimen size but in the post-peak part there was a softening region and no unique stress-strain relation. Schreyer and Chen (1986) studied the softening phenomena analytically based on a one-dimensional model. Their results indicate that if the size of the softening zone is small enough (in a relative sense), the behavior of displacement-prescribed loading is unstable, and the softening curves are steeper than those with a larger size of the softening region. Here, we shall provide the three-dimensional analytical solutions to capture all the localized features mentioned above.
Another purpose of this research is to provide a method judging the onset of failure in a slender cylinder subjected to tension. Here, we use the maximum-distortion-energy theory (the Huber-Hencky-Von Mises theory; see Riley et al. 2007), which depicts there are two portions of the strain energy intensity. One is the portion producing volume change which is ineffective in causing failure by yielding, and the other is that producing the change of shape which is completely responsible for the material failure by yielding.

By constructing the analytical solutions for localizations, it is possible to get the point-wise energy distribution. Then, an expression for the maximum value of the strain energy can be obtained. With the Huber-Hencky-Von Mises theory, we can then establish an analytical criterion for identifying the onset of failure.

Mathematically, to deduce the analytical solutions for localizations in a three-dimensional setting is a very difficult task. One needs to deal with coupled nonlinear partial differential equations together with complicated boundary conditions. Further, the existence of multiple solutions (corresponding to no unique stress-strain relation) makes the problem even harder to solve. Here, the analysis is carried out by a novel method developed earlier (Dai and Huo, 2002; Dai and Fan, 2004; Dai and Cai, 2006), which is capable of treating the bifurcations of nonlinear partial differential equations. Our results yield the analytical forms of the strain and stress fields, total elongation, the potential energy distribution and the strain energy distribution, which are characterized by localization phenomena. In particular, it is found that once the localization is formed its width does not change with the further increase of the total elongation, which is in agreement with the experimental observations. We also provide a description for the snap-through phenomenon.

The remaining parts of the paper are arranged as follows. In section 2, we formulate the three-dimensional governing equations for the axisymmetric deformations of a circular cylinder. We nondimensionalize them in section 3 to identify the key small variable and key small parameters. Then, in section 4, a novel method of coupled series-asymptotic expansions is used to derive the normal form equation of the original system. By the variational principle, in section 5, we derive the same equation by considering the energy. In section 6, with the help of the phase plane, we solve the boundary-value problems for a given external axial force and a given elongation, respectively. In section 7, through an energy analysis, we determine the most preferred configurations and give a description of the snap-through phenomenon. Also, an analytical criterion for identifying material failure based on the Huber-Hencky-Von Mises theory is discussed. Finally, concluding remarks and future tasks are given in section 8.
2 Three-dimensional governing equations

We consider the axisymmetric deformations of a slender cylinder subjected to a static axial force at one plane end with the other plane end fixed. The radius of the cylinder is $a$ and the length is $l$. We take a cylindrical coordinate system, and denote $(R, \Theta, Z)$ and $(r, \theta, z)$ as the coordinates of a material point of the cylinder in the reference and current configurations, respectively. The radial and axial displacements can be written as

$$u(R, Z) = r(R, Z) - R, w(R, Z) = z(R, Z) - Z.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We suppose that the cylinder is composed of an incompressible hyperelastic material, for which the strain energy density $\Phi$ is a function of the first two invariants $I_1$ and $I_2$ of the left Cauchy-Green strain tensor, i.e., $\Phi = \Phi(I_1, I_2)$. Moreover the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor $\Sigma$ is given by

$$\Sigma^T = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial F} - pF^{-T},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $F$ is the deformation gradient and $p$ is the indeterminate pressure. If the strains are small, it is possible to expand the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress components in terms of the strains up to any order. The expressions for the stress components are very lengthy, and due to the complexity of calculations, we shall only work up to the third-order material nonlinearity. The formula containing terms up to the third-order material nonlinearity has been provided by Fu and Ogden (1999):

$$\Sigma_{ji} = a^1_{jilk}\eta_{kl} + \frac{1}{2}a^2_{jiklmn}\eta_{kl}\eta_{mn} + \frac{1}{6}a^3_{jiklmnpq}\eta_{kl}\eta_{mn}\eta_{pq}$$

$$+ p_0(\eta_{ji} - \eta_{jk}\eta_{ki} + \eta_{jk}\eta_{kl}) - p^* (\delta_{ji} - \eta_{ji} + \eta_{jk}\eta_{ki}) + O(|\eta_{ij}|^4),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where

$$\eta = F - I = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial R} & 0 & \frac{\partial u}{\partial Z} \\ 0 & u & 0 \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial R} & 0 & \frac{\partial w}{\partial Z} \end{pmatrix},$$

$p_0$ is the pressure value in Eq. (2) corresponding to zero strains, $p^*$ is the incremental pressure, and $a^1_{jilk}, a^2_{jiklmn},$ and $a^3_{jiklmnpq}$ are incremental elastic
moduli defined by
\[ a^1_{jilk} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl}} |_{F=I}, \quad a^2_{jilkmn} = \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl} \partial F_{mn}} |_{F=I}, \]
\[ a^3_{jilknmqp} = \frac{\partial^4 \Phi}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl} \partial F_{mn} \partial F_{pq}} |_{F=I}. \]  

It can be found that
\[ p_0 = 4\Phi_{01} + 2\Phi_{10}, \]
where \( \Phi_{01} \) denotes the first-order partial derivative of \( \Phi \) with respect to the invariant \( I_2 \) at \( F = I \), \( \Phi_{10} \) denotes the first-order partial derivative of \( \Phi \) with respect to the invariant \( I_1 \) at \( F = I \). In the following derivations, we shall also use \( \Phi_{ij} \) to denote the \( i \)-th order and the \( j \)-th order partial derivative of \( \Phi \) with respect to the invariants \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) at \( F = I \). All the coefficients in Eq. (4) can be expressed in terms of \( \Phi_{10}, \Phi_{20}, \Phi_{01}, \Phi_{02}, \) and \( \Phi_{11} \), and here for brevity the expressions are omitted.

The equilibrium equations for a static and axisymmetric problem are given by
\[ \frac{\partial \Sigma_{rZ}}{\partial Z} + \frac{\partial \Sigma_{Zr}}{\partial R} + \Sigma_{rZ} = 0, \]  
\[ \frac{\partial \Sigma_{Zr}}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial \Sigma_{rZ}}{\partial Z} + \frac{\Sigma_{rR} - \Sigma_{\theta\theta}}{R} = 0. \]  

The incompressibility condition yields that
\[ \eta_{ii} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{mn} \eta_{nm} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{ii}^2 - \text{det}(\eta_{mn}). \]  

We consider the case where the lateral surface of the cylinder is traction-free, then the stress components \( \Sigma_{rR} \) and \( \Sigma_{rZ} \) should vanish on the lateral surface. Thus, we have the boundary conditions:
\[ \Sigma_{rR}|_{R=a} = 0, \quad \Sigma_{rZ}|_{R=a} = 0. \]  

Eqs. (5)–(7) together with Eq. (3) provide three governing equations for three unknowns \( u, w \) and \( p^* \). The former two are very complicated nonlinear partial differential equations (PDE’s) and the boundary conditions (8) are also complicated nonlinear relations (cf. (11)–(15)). To describe the localization, one needs to study the bifurcation of this complicated system of nonlinear PDE’s. As far as we know, there is no available mathematical method. Here, we shall adapt a novel approach involving coupled series-asymptotic expansions to tackle this bifurcation problem. A similar methodology has been developed to study nonlinear waves and phase transitions (Dai and Huo, 2002; Dai and Fan, 2004; Dai and Cai, 2006). First, we shall nondimensionalize this system to identify the relevant small variable and small parameters.
3 Non-dimensionalized Equations

We introduce the dimensionless quantities through the following scales:
\[ s = l^2 \tilde{s}, \quad Z = l \tilde{z}, \quad w = h \tilde{w}, \quad v = \frac{h}{l} \tilde{v}, \quad \frac{p^*}{\mu} = \frac{h}{l} \tilde{p}^*, \]
(9)

where \( l \) is the length of the cylinder, \( h \) is a characteristic axial displacement and \( \mu \) is the material shear modulus, with a transformation being defined by
\[ u = vR, \quad s = R^2. \]
(10)

Substituting Eqs. (2), (9) and (10) into Eqs. (5)–(7), we obtain
\[ -p^*_z + b_{14}v_z + 4b_{10}w_s + b_2w_{zz} + s(b_{14}v_{sz} + 4b_{10}w_{ss}) + \cdots = 0, \]
(11)

\[ -2p^*_s + 8b_2v_s + b_{10}v_{zz} + b_{14}w_{sz} + s4b_2v_{ss} + \cdots = 0, \]
(12)

\[ 2v + w_z + 2sv_s + \varepsilon[v^2 + 2vw_z + 2s(vv_s - v_z w_s) + v_3 w_z] + \varepsilon^2[v^2 w_z + 2sv(v_3 w_z - v_z w_s)] = 0, \]
(13)

where \( \varepsilon = \frac{h}{l} \) is regarded as a small parameter. For convenience, we have replaced \( \tilde{s}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{p}^* \) by \( s, v, w, z, p^* \) in the non-dimensionalized equations. Here and thereafter, a subscript letter is used to represent the corresponding partial derivative (i.e., \( v_z = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \)). The full forms of (11) and (12) are very lengthy and we do not write out the nonlinear terms explicitly for brevity.

Substituting (9) and (10) into the traction-free boundary conditions (8), we have
\[ -p^* + b_{14}v + 2b_1w_z + \nu 2b_2v_s + \varepsilon[p^* v + b_{46}v^2 + b_{39}vw_z + b_6w^2_z] + \nu(2p^* v_s + b_{15}vv_s + 3b_4v^2_z - 2b_{11}v_z w_s + 12b_4w^2_s + 4b_6v_s w_z)
+ \nu^2 4b_5v^2_z] + \varepsilon^2[-p^* v^2 + 3b_47v^3 + 30b_7v^2w_z + b_{16}vw^2_z + 4b_8w^3_z
+ \nu(-2p^* (2v v_s + w_z w_s) + b_{22}v^2 v_s + 7b_9vw^2_z + b_{23}w_z w_s + 28b_9w^2_z + 72b_{12}v v_s w_z + 2b_{19}v^2_z w_z + 2b_8v_z w_s w_z + 8b_{19}w^2_z w_z
+ b_{18}v_s w^2_z) + \nu^2(-4p^* v^2 + 3b_{31}v^2 v_s + 4b_{19}v v^2_z + 8b_{13}v_s v_z w_s
+ 16b_{19}v_s w^2_z + b_{20}v^2_z w_z) + \nu^3 b_{21}v^3_s|_{s=\nu} = 0, \]
(14)
\[ b_{10}(v_z + 2w_s) + \varepsilon[p^*v_z - b_{41}vv_z + 2b_{17}vw_s - b_{11}v_zw_z] \\
+ 12b_{4}w_s w_z + \nu(-2b_{11}v_z + 4b_{14}v_w) + \varepsilon^2[-p^*(vv_z + v_zw_z)] \\
+ b_{44}v^2v_z + 2b_{14}v^2w_s + b_{30}v^2v_zw_z + b_{32}vw_s w_z + b_{13}v_zw_z^2 \\
+ b_{33}w_z w_z^2 + \nu(-2p^*v_z + b_{23}v_z + b_{3}v_z^2 + 56b_{3}v_z w_s \\
+ 2b_{11}v_z^2w_s - 12b_{3}v_z w_s^2 + 16b_{5}w_s^3 + 2b_{8}v_z w_s w_z \\
+ 16b_{19}v_z w_s w_z) + \nu^2(4b_{13}v_z^2v_z + 16b_{14}v_z^2w_s)] \bigg|_{s=\nu} = 0, \tag{15} \]

where \( \nu = \frac{a^2}{L^2} \) is a small parameter for a slender cylinder.

Then, Eqs. (11)–(15) compose a new system of complicated nonlinear PDE’s with complicated boundary conditions, which is still very difficult to solve exactly. However, it is characterized by a small variable \( s \) and two small parameters (\( \varepsilon \) and \( \nu \)), which permit us to use expansion methods to proceed further.

**Remark:** The coefficients \( b_1, b_2, \cdots \) in Eqs. (11)–(15) can be expressed in terms of \( \Phi_{10}, \Phi_{20}, \Phi_{01}, \Phi_{02}, \) and \( \Phi_{11} \), and for brevity we omit their expressions.

### 4 Coupled Series-Asymptotic Expansions

We note that \( s \) is also a small variable as \( 0 \leq s \leq \nu \). An important feature of the system (11)–(15) is that the unknowns \( w, v, \) and \( p^* \) become the functions of the variable \( z \), the small variable \( s \) and the small parameters \( \varepsilon \) and \( \nu \), i.e.,

\[ w = w(z, s; \varepsilon, \nu), \ v = v(z, s; \varepsilon, \nu), \ p^* = p^*(z, s; \varepsilon, \nu). \tag{16} \]

To go further, we assume that \( w, v, p^* \) have the following Taylor expansions in the neighborhood of the small variable \( s = 0 \):

\[ p^* = P_0(z; \varepsilon, \nu) + sP_1(z; \varepsilon, \nu) + s^2P_2(z; \varepsilon, \nu) + \cdots, \tag{17} \]
\[ v = V_0(z; \varepsilon, \nu) + sV_1(z; \varepsilon, \nu) + s^2V_2(z; \varepsilon, \nu) + \cdots, \tag{18} \]
\[ w = W_0(z; \varepsilon, \nu) + sW_1(z; \varepsilon, \nu) + s^2W_2(z; \varepsilon, \nu) + \cdots. \tag{19} \]
Substituting Eqs. (17)–(19) into Eq. (12) and equating the coefficient of $s^0$ to be zero yields that

$$
-2P_1 + 8b_2V_1 + b_{10}V_{0z} + b_{14}W_{1z} + \varepsilon(2P_1V_0 + 2P_0W_1 + +2P_0(4V_1 + W_{1z})
$$

$$
+ b_{18}W_1^2 - 8b_{11}V_0W_1 + b_{24}V_{0z}^2 + 8b_6V_1W_{0z} + 6b_4V_{0zz}W_{0z} + 2b_{11}W_{0zz}W_{1z} + 6b_4V_0W_{0zz}
$$

$$
+ b_{20}W_{0z}W_{1z} + 4b_{15}V_0 + b_{17}V_0V_{0zz} + b_{25}V_0W_{1z} + \varepsilon^2H_1(V_0, V_1, W_0, W_1, P_0) = 0.
$$

Similarly, substituting Eqs. (17)–(19) into Eq. (11) and setting the coefficients of $s^0$ and $s^1$ to be zero, we obtain

$$
-P_0z + 4b_{10}W_1 + b_{14}V_{0z} + b_2W_{0zz} + \varepsilon(P_0zW_0 + P_0(2V_0 + W_{0zz}) + 4b_{17}V_0W_1 + b_{26}V_0V_0
$$

$$
+ 2b_6V_0W_{0zz} + 24b_4W_1W_{0z} + b_{20}V_0W_{0z} + 2b_{27}W_0W_{0zz}) + \varepsilon^2H_2(V_0, V_1, W_0, W_1, P_0) = 0,
$$

$$
-P_1z + 16b_{10}W_2 + 2b_{14}W_{1z} + b_2W_{1zz} + \varepsilon(P_1zW_0 + P_1(4V_0 + W_{0zz}) + P_0W_{1z}
$$

$$
+ P_0(4V_{1z} + W_{1zz}) - 2b_{11}W_1V_{0zz} + 6b_4V_{0z}V_0 + 96b_4W_2W_{0z} + 2b_{20}V_{1z}W_{0z} + 72b_4W_1W_{1z}
$$

$$
+ V_1(16b_7W_1 - b_{64}V_0 + 8b_6W_{0zz}) - b_{65}V_0W_{1z} + 2b_3(W_0W_{1z}) = 0)
$$

$$
+ V_0(16b_{17}W_2 + 2b_{26}W_{1z} + 4b_6W_{1zz}) + \varepsilon^2H_3(V_0, V_1, W_0, W_1, P_0, P_1) = 0.
$$

The expressions of $H_1, H_2$ and $H_3$ are very lengthy, whose expressions are omitted for brevity. From the incompressibility condition (13), the vanishing of the coefficients of $s^0$ and $s^1$ leads to the following two equations:

$$
2V_0 + W_{0z} + \varepsilon V_0(V_0 + 2W_0) + \varepsilon^2V_0^2W_0 = 0,
$$

$$
4V_1 + W_{1z} + \varepsilon(-2W_1V_0 + 4V_1(V_0 + W_0) + 2V_0W_{1z})
$$

$$
+ \varepsilon^2V_0(-2W_1V_0 + 4V_1W_0 + V_0W_{1z}) = 0.
$$

Substituting Eqs. (17)–(19) into the traction-free boundary conditions (14) and (15), and neglecting the terms higher than $O(\nu \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2)$, we obtain

$$
-P_0 + b_{14}V_0 + 2b_{1}W_{0z} + \nu(-P_1 + b_{70}V_1 + 2b_{1}W_{1z})
$$

$$
+ \varepsilon(P_0V_0 + b_{46}V_0^2 + b_{39}V_0W_0 + b_6W_0^2)
$$

$$
+ \varepsilon^2H_4(V_0, W_0, P_0) + \nu\varepsilon H_5(V_0, V_1, W_0, W_1, P_0, P_1) = 0,
$$
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\[ b_{10}(2W_1 + V_{0z}) + \nu b_{10}(V_{1z} + 4W_2) + \varepsilon(P_0 V_{0z} + V_0(\nu b_{41} V_{0z}) + 2b_{17} W_1) + W_{0z}(-b_{11} V_{0z} + 12b_4 W_1)) + \varepsilon^2 H_6(V_0, W_0, W_1, P_0) + \nu \varepsilon H_7(V_0, V_1, W_0, W_1, W_2, P_0, P_1) = 0, \]

where the lengthy expressions for \( H_4 - H_7 \) are omitted for brevity. Eqs. (20)–(26) are seven nonlinear ordinary differential equations, which are the governing equations for the seven unknowns \( P_0, P_1, V_0, V_1, W_0, W_1 \) and \( W_2 \). Mathematically, it is still very difficult to solve them directly. To go further, we shall use the smallness of the parameter \( \varepsilon \). From Eq. (23), we obtain

\[ W_{0z} = -V_0(2 + \varepsilon V_0)/(1 + \varepsilon V_0)^2 = -2V_0 + 3V_0^2 \varepsilon - 4V_0^3 \varepsilon^2 + \cdots. \] (27)

Using the above equation in Eq. (24), we can express \( V_1 \) in terms of \( V_0 \) and \( W_1 \), and then from Eqs. (20) and (22), we can express \( P_1 \) and \( W_2 \) in terms of \( V_0, W_1 \) and \( P_0 \). Substituting the expressions for \( W_0, V_1, P_1 \) and \( W_2 \) into Eq. (21), \( P_0 \) can be expressed in terms of \( V_0 \) and \( W_1 \). Then, from Eq. (25), \( P_0 \) can be expressed in terms of \( V_0 \) and \( W_1 \). Finally, from Eqs. (21) and (26), we obtain

\[ 4b_{10}(W_1 - V_{0z}) + \varepsilon V_0 b_{81}/2(5V_{0z} - 2W_1) + \nu b_{10}/2(V_{0zzz} + W_{1zz}) + \varepsilon^2 V_0^2(b_{104} V_{0z} - b_{92} W_1) + \nu \varepsilon (8b_{27} W_1 W_{1z} + b_{28} V_{0z}(V_{0zz} + 2W_1) - b_{10} W_1 V_{0zz} + b_{29} V_0 W_{1zz} + b_{105}/2 V_0 V_{0zzz}) = 0, \] (28)

\[ b_{10}(2W_1 + V_{0z}) + \varepsilon V_0 (b_{105} V_{0z} + b_{81}/2 W_1) + \nu b_{10}/2(V_{0zzz} - 6W_{1zz}) - \varepsilon^2 V_0^2(b_{106} V_{0z} + b_{92}/2 W_1) + \nu \varepsilon (-b_{81}/8 W_1 W_{1z} - b_{30} V_0 W_{1zz} + 3/2 b_{10} W_1 V_{0zz} - b_{31} V_0 W_{0zzz} - b_{32} V_0 W_{1zz} + b_{105}/8 V_0 V_{0zzz}) = 0. \] (29)

We note that the above two equations come from the axial equilibrium equation (the coefficient of \( s^0 \)) and the zero tangential force at the lateral surface, the two most important relations for tension problems in a slender cylinder. By eliminating \( W_{1zz} \) from Eqs. (28) and (29) and then expressing \( W_1 \) in terms of \( V_0 \), finally we obtain an equation for the single unknown \( V_0 \):

\[ 3b_{10} V_{0z} - 3\varepsilon b_{28} V_0 V_{0z} + 3\varepsilon^2 b_{110} V_0^2 V_{0z} - 3\nu b_{10} V_{0zzz} + \nu \varepsilon b_{34}(V_0 V_{0zz} + V_0 V_{0zzz}) = 0. \] (30)
By further using Eq. (27), we obtain the following equation for the axial strain $W_{0z}$:

$$3b_{10}W_{0zz} - \varepsilon b_{100}W_{0z}W_{0zz} + 3\varepsilon^2 b_{110}W_{0z}^2W_{0zz}$$

$$- \frac{3}{4} \nu b_{10}W_{0zzz} + \nu \varepsilon b_{35}(4W_{0zz}W_{0zzz} + 2W_{0z}W_{0zzzz}) = 0.$$  \hfill (31)

Integrating Eq. (31) once, we obtain

$$3b_{10}W_{0z} - \frac{\varepsilon b_{100}}{2}W_{0z}^2 + \varepsilon^2 b_{110}W_{0z}^3 - \frac{3}{4} \nu b_{10}W_{0zzz} + \nu \varepsilon b_{35}(W_{0zz}^2 + 2W_{0z}W_{0zzz}) = C,$$  \hfill (32)

where $C$ is an integration constant. To find the physical meaning of $C$, we consider the resultant force $T$ acting on the material cross section that is planar and perpendicular to the cylinder axis in the reference configuration, and the formula is

$$T = \int_0^a \int_0^\pi \Sigma_{zz} RdRd\Theta.$$  \hfill (33)

After expressing $\Sigma_{zz}$ in terms of $W_{0z}$ by using the results obtained above, the integration can be carried out, and as a result we find that

$$T = 8\pi a^2 \mu \varepsilon (3b_{10}W_{0z} - \frac{\varepsilon b_{100}}{2}W_{0z}^2 + \varepsilon^2 b_{110}W_{0z}^3$$

$$- \frac{3}{4} \nu b_{10}W_{0zzz} + \nu \varepsilon b_{35}(W_{0zz}^2 + 2W_{0z}W_{0zzz})).$$  \hfill (34)

Comparing Eqs. (32) and (34), we have $C = \frac{T}{8\pi a^2 \mu \varepsilon}$. Thus, we can rewrite Eq. (34) as

$$3b_{10}W_{0z} - \frac{\varepsilon b_{100}}{2} (\varepsilon W_{0z})^2 + b_{110}(\varepsilon W_{0z})^3 - \frac{3}{4} \nu \varepsilon b_{10}W_{0zzz}$$

$$+ \nu \varepsilon^2 b_{35}(W_{0zz}^2 + 2W_{0z}W_{0zzz}) = \frac{T}{8\pi a^2 \mu}.$$  \hfill (35)

If we retain the original dimensional variable and let $V = W_{0Z} = \varepsilon W_{0z}$, we have

$$V + D_1 V^2 + D_2 V^3 + \alpha^2 (-\frac{1}{4} V_{ZZ} + D_3 V_Z^2 + 2D_3 V V_{ZZ}) = \gamma.$$  \hfill (36)
where

\[ D_1 = -\frac{b_{100}}{6b_{10}}, \quad D_2 = \frac{b_{110}}{3b_{10}}, \quad D_3 = \frac{b_{35}}{3b_{10}}, \quad \gamma = \frac{T}{24b_{10}a^2\mu}. \] (37)

Since Eq. (36) is derived from the three-dimensional field equations, once its solution is found, the three-dimensional strain and stress fields can also be found. Also, it contains all the required terms to yield the leading-order behavior of the original system. Therefore, we refer Eq. (36) as the normal form equation of the system of nonlinear PDE’s (11)–(13) together with boundary conditions (14) and (15) under a given axial resultant.

5 The Euler-Lagrange Equation

It is also possible to deduce the equation for \( V = \varepsilon W_{0z} \) by considering the total potential energy and then using the variational principle. By using the expansions obtained in section 4, we can express the two principal invariants \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) in terms of \( W_{0z} \). The results are

\[ I_1 - 3 = 3\varepsilon^2 W_{0z}^2 - 2\varepsilon^3 W_{0z}^3 + 2\varepsilon^4 W_{0z}^4 + s[\varepsilon^2 \left( \frac{81}{64} W_{0z}^2 - \frac{15}{8} W_{0z} W_{0zz} \right) + \varepsilon^3 \left( -\frac{3(13\Phi_{01} + 22\Phi_{10})}{32(\Phi_{01} + \Phi_{10})} W_{0z} W_{0zz} + \frac{3(20\Phi_{01} + 11\Phi_{10})}{8(\Phi_{01} + \Phi_{10})} W_{0z}^2 W_{0zzz} \right) + \varepsilon^4 \left( \frac{53\Phi_{01} + 71\Phi_{10}}{64(\Phi_{01} + \Phi_{10})} W_{0z} W_{0zz} + \frac{3(25\Phi_{01} + 16\Phi_{10})}{8(\Phi_{01} + \Phi_{10})} W_{0z}^2 W_{0zzz} \right)] + \cdots. \] (38)

From Eqs. (38), we know the first terms in the right-hand sides of Eqs. (38) are second-order nonlinear. To be consistent with the third-order material nonlinearity of the stress components, the strain energy \( \Phi \) should be expanded up to the fourth-order nonlinear terms. So, according to the Taylor’s expansion, we have

\[
\Phi = \Phi_{10}(I_1 - 3) + \Phi_{01}(I_2 - 3) + \frac{1}{2}[(I_1 - 3)^2\Phi_{20} + 2(I_1 - 3)(I_2 - 3)\Phi_{11} + (I_2 - 3)^2\Phi_{02}] + \cdots.
\] (39)
In Eq. (39) it is sufficient to keep the second-order terms of \( I_1 - 3 \) and \( I_2 - 3 \). Substituting the expressions of \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) in Eqs. (38) into Eq. (39), we have

\[
\Phi = \varepsilon^2 \mu (6b_{10}W_{0z}^2 - \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon b_{100}W_{0z}^3 + \varepsilon^2 b_{110}W_{0z}^4) + \varepsilon^2 \mu [\frac{81}{64} b_{10}W_{0zz}^2 - \frac{15}{64} b_{10}W_{0z}W_{0zz} + \varepsilon (F_1 W_{0z}W_{0zz}^2 + F_2 W_{0z}^2 W_{0zz})].
\]  

(40)

The stored energy per unit length is given by

\[
\Psi = \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi RdRd\Theta.
\]  

(41)

Substituting Eq. (40) into Eq. (41) and carrying out the integration, we obtain the average stored energy over a cross section:

\[
\bar{\Psi} = \frac{\Psi}{\pi a^2} = 2\varepsilon^2 \mu (6b_{10}W_{0z}^2 - \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon b_{100}W_{0z}^3 + \varepsilon^2 b_{110}W_{0z}^4) + 2a^2 \varepsilon^2 \mu [\frac{81}{64} b_{10}W_{0zz}^2 - \frac{15}{64} b_{10}W_{0z}W_{0zz} + \varepsilon (F_1 W_{0z}W_{0zz}^2 + F_2 W_{0z}^2 W_{0zz})].
\]  

(42)

Letting \( V = W_{0z} = \varepsilon W_{0z} \), we can further rewrite the above equation as

\[
\bar{\Psi} = E \left[ \frac{1}{2} V^2 + \frac{1}{3} D_1 V^3 + \frac{1}{4} D_2 V^4 + a^2 (\frac{27}{256} V_Z^2 - \frac{5}{256} VV_{ZZ} + F_1 VV_Z^2 + F_2 V^2 V_{ZZ}) \right],
\]  

(43)

where \( E = 24 \mu b_{10} \) is the Young’s modulus, \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are constants related to material parameters.

The total potential energy for a force-controlled problem is given by

\[
L = \pi a^2 \int_0^1 \bar{\Psi} dZ - E \int_0^1 \gamma V dZ
\]

\[
= \pi a^2 E \int_0^1 (-\gamma V + \frac{1}{2} V^2 + \frac{1}{3} D_1 V^3 + \frac{1}{4} D_2 V^4 + a^2 (\frac{27}{256} V_Z^2 - \frac{5}{256} VV_{ZZ} + F_1 VV_Z^2 + F_2 V^2 V_{ZZ})) dZ.
\]  

(44)

By the variational principle, we have the following Euler-Lagrange equation:

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial V} - \frac{d}{dZ} \frac{\partial L}{\partial V_Z} + \frac{d^2}{dZ^2} \frac{\partial L}{\partial V_{ZZ}} = 0,
\]  

(45)
which yields that

\[ V + D_1 V^2 + D_2 V^3 + a^2 (D_3 V_Z^2 - \frac{1}{4} V_{ZZ} + 2 D_3 V V_{ZZ}) = \gamma, \]  

(46)

which is just Eq. (36). This shows that the normal form equation (36) obeys the variational principle for energy.

If we multiply \( V_Z \) to both sides of Eq. (46), it can be integrated once to yield that

\[ \frac{1}{2} V^2 + \frac{1}{3} D_1 V^3 + \frac{1}{4} D_2 V^4 - a^2 (\frac{1}{8} V_Z^2 - D_3 V V_Z^2) = \gamma V + K, \]  

(47)

where \( K \) is an integration constant.

In the following section, we shall discuss the solutions for two boundary-value problems based on Eqs. (46) and (47), and reveal their main characteristics.

6 Solutions for two boundary-value problems

We rewrite Eq. (46) as a first-order system as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_Z &= y, \\
y_Z &= \frac{-\gamma + V + D_1 V^2 + D_2 V^3 + a^2 D_3 y^2}{a^2(\frac{1}{4} - 2 D_3 V)}. \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(48)

Without loss of generality, we take the length \( l \) of the cylinder to be 1, then \( a \) is equivalent to the radius-length ratio. We suppose that the two plane ends of the cylinder are attached to rigid bodies. Then we have

\[ z = 0 \quad (or \ constant), \quad \text{at} \ Z = 0, 1, \]  

(49)

and

\[ r = R, \quad \text{at} \ Z = 0, 1. \]  

(50)

We point out that although Eq. (46) is one-dimensional, it is derived from the three-dimensional governing equations, and as a result we can also derive the proper boundary conditions by considering the condition in the other (radial) dimension such as Eq. (50). If one directly introduces a one-dimensional model (say, using a gradient theory), such an option is not available. So, this is
another advantage of Eq. \((46)\).

From Eqs. \((49)\) and \((50)\), we have

\[
    w_R = 0 \quad (i.e., z_R = 0), \quad \text{and} \quad u_R = 0 \quad (i.e., r_R = 1) \quad \text{at} \quad Z = 0, 1. \tag{51}
\]

Substituting Eq. \((51)\) into Eq. \((7)\) and integrating with respect to \(R\) once, we obtain

\[
    w_z = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad Z = 0, 1. \tag{52}
\]

Thus, the proper boundary conditions for Eq. \((46)\) are

\[
    V = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad Z = 0, 1. \tag{53}
\]

To solve this boundary-value problem of the first-order system \((48)\) under Eq. \((53)\), we shall conduct a phase-plane analysis with the engineering stress as the bifurcation parameter. The critical points of this system are given by

\[
    y = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad V + D_1 V^2 + D_2 V^3 = \gamma. \tag{54}
\]

Here we shall consider a class of strain energy functions \(\Phi(I_1, I_2)\) such that the \(\gamma - V\) plot based on Eq. \((54)\) has one peak, and this requires that

\[
    D_1 < 0, \quad D_2 > 0, \quad D_1^2 > 4D_2.
\]

The \(\gamma - V\) curves corresponding to Eq. \((54)\) are plotted in Fig. 1.

In this figure, \(\gamma_m\) is the local maximum of the stress and \(V_m\) is the corresponding strain value, and they are given by \(V_m = \frac{-D_1 + \sqrt{D_1^2 - 3D_2}}{3D_2}, \quad \gamma_m = \frac{-2D_1 + 2(D_1^2 - 3D_2)^{3/2} - 9D_1 D_2}{27D_2^2}\). When we take \(D_1 = -9.45, D_2 = 22\) and \(D_3 = -2, V_m = 0.07;\) when we take \(D_1 = -6.65, D_2 = 11\) and \(D_3 = -2, V_m = 0.1;\) when we take \(D_1 = -5.53, D_2 = 7.6\) and \(D_3 = -2, V_m = 0.12.\) The three curves in Fig. 1 correspond to these values of \(D_1, D_2\) and \(D_3\), respectively.
In the following discussions we consider the tension case so that $\gamma > 0$. Similar analysis can be made for the compression case, which will not be discussed here. Equation (47) can be rewritten as

$$V_Z^2 = \frac{-K - \gamma V + \frac{1}{2}V^2 + \frac{1}{3}D_1V^3 + \frac{1}{4}D_2V^4}{a^2(\frac{1}{8} - D_3V)}. \quad (55)$$

In this paper, we consider the case of $D_3 \leq 0$. New phenomena can arise for $D_3 > 0$ and the results will be reported elsewhere. For the present case, the phase plane always has a saddle point and a center point as $\gamma$ varies, which is shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2. The phase plane](image)

In this figure, $(V_s, 0)$ and $(V_c, 0)$ are a saddle point and a center point, respectively. There are two solutions for the same stress $\gamma$, which are represented by the curve 1 and the curve 2 in Fig. 2, respectively. For curve 1, the right hand of Eq. (55) have four real roots, which we label in an increasing order by $\alpha_1, g_1, g_2$ and $\alpha_2$. We note that the smallest root $\alpha_1$ is smaller than $V_s$. So, from Eq. (55) we obtain the following expression:

$$V_Z = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2D_2}}{a\sqrt{1 - 8D_3V}} \sqrt{(V - \alpha_1)(V - g_1)(V - g_2)(V - \alpha_2)}. \quad (56)$$

Then, an integration leads to

$$Z = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{2D_2}} \int_{V}^{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{1 - 8D_3t}{(t - \alpha_1)(t - g_1)(t - g_2)(t - \alpha_2)}} \, dt, Z \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\
\frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{\sqrt{2D_2}} \int_{V}^{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{1 - 8D_3t}{(t - \alpha_1)(t - g_1)(t - g_2)(t - \alpha_2)}} \, dt, Z \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. 
\end{cases} \quad (57)$$
By Eq. (53), \( \alpha_1 \) can be determined by the following two equations:

\[
\frac{1}{2} = a \sqrt{-D_3} \int_0^{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{t - \frac{1}{8}D_3}{-K - \gamma t + \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{3}D_1 t^3 + \frac{1}{4}D_2 t^4}}, \tag{58}
\]

\[
K = -\gamma \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1^2 + \frac{1}{3}D_1 \alpha_1^3 + \frac{1}{4}D_2 \alpha_1^4. \tag{59}
\]

Once \( \alpha_1 \) is found, the solution corresponding to curve 1 can be obtained from Eq. (57) by numerical integration. In Fig. 3, we have plotted the solution curves for three different values of the engineering stress \( \gamma \).

From this figure, we find there is nearly a uniform extension in the middle part, but there are two boundary-layer regions near the two ends of the cylinder in order to satisfy the boundary conditions.

There is another solution which is represented by curve 2 in Fig. 2, and we denote the point as \( \alpha \) at which \( V/Z = 0 \). Then, Eq. (55) can be rewritten as

\[
V_Z = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2D_2}}{a \sqrt{1 - 8D_3 V}} \sqrt{(\alpha - V)(\beta - V)[(V - m)^2 + n^2]}, \tag{60}
\]

where \( \beta \) is another real root of the right-hand of Eq. (55), and \( m = -\frac{4D_1 + 3(\alpha + \beta)D_2}{6D_2} \) and \( n^2 = \frac{-16D_1^2 + 24(\alpha + \beta)D_1 D_2 + 9D_2(8 + (3\alpha^2 + 2\alpha\beta + 3\beta^2)D_2)}{36D_2^2} \).

Then, we obtain

\[
Z = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{2D_2}} \int_v^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{1 - 8D_3 t}{(\alpha - t)(\beta - t) \left[ (t - m)^2 + n^2 \right]}} \, dt, & Z \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\
\frac{1}{2} + \frac{a}{\sqrt{2D_2}} \int_v^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{1 - 8D_3 t}{(\alpha - t)(\beta - t) \left[ (t - m)^2 + n^2 \right]}} \, dt, & Z \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1].
\end{cases} \tag{61}
\]
By Eq. (53), $\alpha$ can be determined by

$$\frac{1}{2} = a \sqrt{-D_3} \int_0^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{t - \frac{1}{8}D_3}{-K - \gamma t + \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{3}D_1t^3 + \frac{1}{4}D_2t^4}},$$

(62)

$$K = -\gamma \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{3}D_1\alpha^3 + \frac{1}{4}D_2\alpha^4.$$  

(63)

By numerical integration, we can get $\alpha$ from Eqs. (63) and (62). Then the solution corresponding to curve 2 can be obtained from (61) by numerical integration. In Fig. 4, we have plotted the solution curves for three different values of the engineering stress $\gamma$.

In this figure, there is a sharp-change region in the middle of the slender cylinder, that represents the localization and energy concentration. Moreover, the tip is sharper when the engineering stress is smaller. From Eq. (61), one can see that the localization solution depends on $Z$ through the form $(Z - \frac{1}{2})/a$, and this implies that the localization zone width is proportional to $a$ for a fixed length; see Jansen and Shah (1997).

The solutions obtained above are for a given $\gamma$. To obtain the solutions for a displacement-controlled problem, we follow the idea in Dai and Bi (2006). For that purpose, we need to get the engineering stress-strain curve. The total elongation is given by

$$W_0|_{Z=1} - W_0|_{Z=0} = \int_0^1 VdZ = \Delta,$$

(64)

where the total elongation $\Delta$ is actually the engineering strain since we have taken the length of the cylinder to be 1. According to the symmetric phase plane and Eqs. (57) and (61), $V$ is a function of $Z$, so we can get the total elongation by numerical integrations. In Fig. 5, we have plotted the curves
between the total elongation \( \Delta \) and the engineering stress \( \gamma \) with different material coefficients corresponding to Fig. 1.

Segment 1 corresponds to the solution given by Eq. (57) (we call it as Solution 1), and segment 2 corresponds to the solution given by Eq. (61) (we call it as Solution 2). For a displacement-controlled problem (i.e., given \( \Delta \)), from Fig. 5, we can get the corresponding \( \gamma \) value(s), then the solution(s) is given by Eq. (57) or Eq. (61).

From Eqs. (1), (9), (10), (18) and (19), we can get the shapes of the cylinder corresponding to Eq. (61) under different material coefficients for a given \( \Delta \), which are shown in Fig. 6, where we take \( D_3 = -0.5, F_2 = -4 \), and \( a = 0.04 \).
In this figure, the width $\delta$ of the localization is defined as $1 - 2\overline{Z}$, where $\overline{Z}$ is the point where the rate of the slope of the surface radial displacement is the maximum. From the above figure, one can see that for different material coefficients the localization widths are different and the localization width is almost the same for the same material coefficients with different loads of engineering stress. That is to say, for different materials the localizations have different widths, but for the same material, the localization width is almost uniform during the loading process.

Here and thereafter, we fix the material constants to be $D_1 = -6.65$, $D_2 = 11$, and $D_3 = -2$. By the same way, we can get the relations between the total elongation $\Delta$ and the engineering stress $\gamma$ with different radius-length ratios, which are shown in Fig. 7.
In this figure, we observe that there is a snap-back for a relatively small value of $a$. We also see that the point $c$ (across which there are multiple values for $\gamma$ for a given $\Delta$) moves up and toward right as the value of $a$ increases. For example, when $a = 0.03$, $\gamma_c = 0.0339$, and when $a = 0.04$, $\gamma_c = 0.0399$. The post-peak curves show very significant changes. There is no unique stress-displacement relationship in the post-peak region. The thinner the specimen is, the steeper the curve becomes, which is in agreement with the experimental results by Jansen and Shah (1997). From this figure, we see that for $a = 0.03$, unstable behavior is predicted for a displacement-controlled loading whereas larger values of $a$ yield results that are stable. Similar conclusions follow from the examples given by Schreyer and Chen (1986).

As to $a = 0.045$, there is a stable relation between the total elongation $\Delta$ and the engineering stress $\gamma$, which is in agreement with the experiment result by Gopalaratnam and Shah (1985), who conducted tensile tests on concrete under carefully controlled loading conditions and with refined measuring techniques. We note that for a displacement-controlled problem, after the elongation $\Delta \geq \Delta_c$ (cf. Fig. 7) there are bifurcations from one solution to two solutions (at $\Delta = \Delta_c$), to three solutions ($\Delta_c < \Delta < \Delta_p$), to two solutions ($\Delta = \Delta_p$), and to one solution ($\Delta > \Delta_p$). The shapes of the cylinder corresponding to these solutions are shown in Fig. 8 for $F_2 = -4$, and $a = 0.03$. 

Fig. 7. The engineering stress-strain curves for different $\alpha$
The above figure also manifests that the middle of the cylinder becomes thinner than the two ends as we pull the slender cylinder. The middle part is thinner as the engineering stress decreases for a given $\Delta$, which agrees well with the experimental results.
7 Energy analysis and failure criterion

As discussed in the previous section, for a relatively small \( a \), there are multiple solutions for \( \Delta \geq \Delta_c \). Of course, in reality only one solution can be observed at one instant. In this section, we shall further consider the energy values for these solutions to deduce which one is most preferred.

From Eq. (47), we have

\[
V_Z^2 = \frac{2(12K + 12\gamma V - 6V^2 - 4D_1V^3 - 3D_2V^4)}{3a^2(-1 + 8D_3V)}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (65)

Substituting Eq. (65) into Eq. (46), we obtain

\[
V_{ZZ} = -\frac{4}{3(-1 + 8D_3V)^2}(3\gamma + 24KD_3 - 3V - 3D_1V^2 - 3D_2V^3 + 12D_3V^2 + 16D_1D_3V^3 + 18D_2D_3V^4).
\] \hspace{1cm} (66)

Then by using Eq. (44), we can express the potential energy (for a given \( \gamma \)) in terms of \( V \) (scaled by \( \pi a^2 E \)):

\[
P = -\frac{1}{384(-1 + 8D_3V)^2}(2D_1V^3(-118 + 15a^2 - 8192D_3^2V^2 - 256(4 + 3a^2)F_2V + 16D_3V(123 - 5a^2 + 256(2 + a^2)F_2V))
+3(D_2V^4(-59 + 10a^2 - 4096D_3^2V^2 - 512(1 + a^2)F_2V + 4D_3V(246 - 15a^2 + 256(4 + 3a^2)F_2V)) + 2(54K - 59V^2 + 5a^2V^2 + 118\gamma V - 5a^2\gamma V + 4096V^2(K - V(V - 2\gamma))D_3^2
+256V(4K + V(-(2 + a^2)V + (4 + a^2)\gamma))F_2
+4D_3V(-2(118 + 5a^2)K + V(246V - 5a^2V - 492\gamma)
+256V(2(-4 + a^2)K + V((4 + a^2)V - 8\gamma))F_2)))\). \hspace{1cm} (67)

The stored energy is given by

\[
G = P + \gamma V.
\] \hspace{1cm} (68)

Then from Eqs. (58), (61), (67) and (68), one can calculate the energy distributions for a given elongation. The stored energy curves corresponding to those values of \( \Delta \) in Fig. 8 are plotted in Fig. 9. In this figure, labels 1, 2 and
3 correspond to different values of $\gamma$ (in a decreasing order).

![Graph](image)

Fig. 9. The stored energy distribution curves

$(\text{a}) \quad \Delta = \Delta c = 0.0849051$

$(\text{b}) \quad \Delta c < \Delta = 0.086133 < \Delta p$

$(\text{c}) \quad \Delta = \Delta p = 0.0927046$

$(\text{d}) \quad \Delta = 0.0927053 > \Delta p$

In Fig.9(a), the total stored energy values for curve 1 and curve 2 are respectively $G^t_1 = 0.00241013$ and $G^t_2 = 0.00206265$. Thus for a displacement-controlled problem, as $G^t_2 < G^t_1$, the shape in the right of Fig. 8(a) represents a preferred configuration, and at $\Delta = \Delta_c$ there could be a bifurcation from Solution 1 to Solution 2 (a localization solution). Correspondingly, there is a snap-through in the engineering stress-strain curve at $\Delta = \Delta_c$.

In Fig.9(b), the total stored energy values for curve 1, curve 2 and curve 3 are respectively $G^t_1 = 0.00247747$, $G^t_2 = 0.00230487$ and $G^t_3 = 0.00186959$. 
For a displacement-controlled problem, as $G_t^3$ is the smallest, the shape in the bottom of Fig. 8(b) represents a preferred configuration.

In Fig. 9(c), the total stored energy value for curve 1 and curve 2 are respectively $G_t^1 = 0.00275441$ and $G_t^2 = 0.00170114$. For a displacement-controlled problem, as $G_t^2 < G_t^1$, the shape in the right of Fig. 8(c) represents a preferred configuration.

In Fig. 10, we have plotted the engineering stress-strain curve corresponding to the preferred configuration for a displacement-controlled problem. We see that a snap-through takes place at $\Delta = \Delta_c$, which leads to the localization (as represented by Solution 2).

![Fig. 10. The engineering stress-strain curve corresponds to the preferred configuration](image)

Once the localization happens, there is a high concentration of energy around the middle of the cylinder. It is known that if the strain energy density reaches a critical value there will be the material failure. The analytical results obtained here can be used to calculate the stored energy at any material point. The largest energy value is attained at $(R, Z) = (a, \frac{1}{2})$ at which $V = \alpha$ (cf. Eqs. (61)–(63)). From Eqs. (40), (65), and (66), we can express the energy value at this point in terms of $\alpha$, and the result is

$$G_m = -\frac{1}{384(-1+8D_3\alpha)}\left(2D_1\alpha^3(-118 + 15a^2 - 8192D_3^2\alpha^2)ight.$$

$$-256(4 + 3a^2)F_2\alpha + 16D_3\alpha(123 - 5a^2 + 256(2 + a^2)F_2\alpha))$$

$$+3(D_2\alpha^4(-59 + 10a^2 - 4096D_3^2\alpha^2 - 512(1 + a^2)F_2\alpha)$$

$$+4D_3\alpha(246 - 15a^2 + 256(4 + 3a^2)F_2\alpha)) + 2(54K - 59a^2)$$

$$+5a^2\alpha^2 + 118\gamma\alpha - 5a^2\gamma\alpha + 4096\alpha^2(K - \alpha(\alpha - 2\gamma))D_3^2$$

$$+256\alpha(4K + \alpha((-2 + a^2)\alpha + (4 + a^2)\gamma))F_2$$

$$+4D_3\alpha(-2(118 + 5a^2)K + \alpha(246\alpha - 5a^2\alpha - 492\gamma)$$

$$+256\alpha(2(-4 + a^2)K + \alpha((4 + a^2)\alpha - 8\gamma)F_2))) + \gamma\alpha,$$

(69)

The values of $G_m$ corresponding to those values of $\Delta$ (in an increasing order) in Fig. 8 for preferred configurations are respectively $G_m = 0.00722628$, $G_m =$
Based on the maximum-distortion-energy theory (the Huber-Hencky-Von Mises theory; see Riley et al. 2007), there are two portions of the strain energy intensity: one for volume change and the other for shape change. In the present work, we consider an incompressible material, so there is no strain energy intensity corresponding to the volume change. Then the strain energy is only due to distortion. On the other hand, the strain energy intensity attains its maximum value at the material point \((a, \frac{1}{2})\). Thus, we can give the failure criterion

\[
G_m = G_f,
\]

(70)

where \(G_f\) is the failure value of the strain energy intensity for a given material. Fracture will occur whenever the energy by Eq. (69) exceeds the limiting value \(G_f\).

8 Concluding Remarks and Future Tasks

To study the localization phenomenon, a phenomenological approach is employed to formulate a three-dimensional boundary value problem with an incompressible hyperelastic constitutive law. A coupled series-asymptotic expansion procedure is developed to solve the non-dimensionalized system of governing differential equations with given boundary data for a slender cylinder subjected to axial tension. With the assumptions appropriate for the slender cylinder, analytical solutions have been obtained for the axisymmetric boundary value problem, which demonstrate the essential features of localization problems and are consistent with the experimental data available. Specifically, the width of localization zone depends on the material parameters, and it remains unchanged for the same material in the post-peak regime. Also, the snap-back and snap-through phenomena could be predicted with the analytical approach, and a preferred configuration in the post-peak regime could be identified via an energy analysis. Due to the lack of three-dimensional analytical results available in the open literature, the analytical work presented in this paper could complement the analytical, experimental and numerical efforts made by the research community for the localization problems over the last several decades.

As indicated by Buehler et al. (2003), the hyperelasticity is crucial for understanding and predicting the dynamics of brittle fracture. Especially, the effect of hyperelasticity is important for understanding the failure evolution in nanoscale materials. Since localization identifies the onset of material failure, future work will focus on the identification of the parameters proposed in
the current phenomenological model, and on the linkage between the continuum and fracture mechanics approaches, via multiscale analysis.
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