Body weight trajectories and risk of oesophageal and gastric cardia adenocarcinomas: a pooled analysis of NIH-AARP and PLCO Studies
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Background: Elevated body mass index (BMI, kg m⁻²) has been consistently associated with oesophageal adenocarcinoma (EA) and gastric cardia adenocarcinoma (GCA) incidence. However, effects of adiposity over the life course in relation to EA/GCA have not been thoroughly explored.

Methods: We pooled two prospective cohort studies: NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study and Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian (PLCO) Cancer Screening Trial, with data on 409 796 individuals (633 EA, 415 GCA). At baseline, participants reported their height and weight at ages 20 and 50 years, and current. Body mass index trajectories were determined using latent class analysis. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated using proportional hazards regression.

Results: Compared with individuals with a BMI < 25 kg m⁻² at all time points, exceeding a BMI of 25 kg m⁻² at age 20 was associated with increased risks of EA (HR = 1.76, 95% CI: 1.35–2.29) and GCA (HR = 1.62, 95% CI: 1.16–2.25). Similarly, a BMI trajectory of overweight (≥ 25–<30 kg m⁻²) at age 20 progressing to obesity (≥30 kg m⁻²) by age 50 was associated with increased risks of EA (HR = 2.90, 95% CI: 1.67–5.04) and GCA (HR = 4.07, 95% CI: 2.32–7.15), compared with individuals with a normal weight (≥ 18.5–<25 kg m⁻²) trajectory. Weight gain of ≥20 kg between age 20 and baseline was also associated with a two times increased risk of EA (HR = 1.97, 95% CI: 1.43–2.73) and more modestly with GCA (HR = 1.40, 95% CI: 0.96–2.05).

Conclusions: Being overweight in early adulthood and weight gain later in life were each associated with increased risks of EA and GCA. This underscores the potential of weight control programs for reducing EA and GCA risk.

Over the last two decades, oesophageal and gastric cardia adenocarcinomas (EA/GCA) have been among the most rapidly increasing cancer types in the USA and in other Western countries (Devesa et al, 1998; Simard et al, 2012). Oesophageal adenocarcinoma and GCA have overlapping pathogeneses, they are both glandular epithelial cancers originating in or near the gastroesophageal junction, and they have similar risk factor profiles and 5-year survival rates of ~26% (Wijnhoven et al, 1999). Increasing rates of obesity in the general population have generally paralleled the increasing rates of EA/GCA. Elevated body mass index (BMI, kg m⁻²) has consistently been shown to be associated with incidence of EA and GCA (Hoyo et al, 2012). Although the underlying causal mechanisms that result in altered cancer risks are unclear, leading hypotheses include the ideas that high levels of adiposity may promote gastroesophageal reflux disease (Wild and Hardie, 2003) and/or metabolic sequelae (Reid et al, 2003; Ryan et al, 2011).

Meta-analyses have reported associations between BMI, waist circumference, and waist-to-hip ratio and increased EA/GCA risk (Hoyo et al, 2012; Singh et al, 2013). However, few studies to date
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have examined early adulthood body weight (Chow et al, 1998; Lagergren et al, 1999; Cheng et al, 2006; Wu et al, 2001; Merry et al, 2007) and body weight change (Chow et al, 1998; Merry et al, 2007); all reported increased risks of EA/GCA with early adulthood obesity and weight gain. One study examined the association between trajectories of body shape, but not BMI, and EA risk. There was a suggestive association between the trajectories where individuals reported being lean in early life and heavy in later life or remaining heavy throughout their life and increased EA risk. However, this study had a small EA sample size (n = 98) and did not examine GCA (Song et al, 2015). As the prevalence of obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg m⁻²) is expected to continue to increase (Finkelstein et al, 2012), it is vital to understand the timing of obesity and weight gains in relation to EA and GCA outcomes. This may provide mechanistic insight and inform the time window of when interventions may be successfully implemented to reduce the incidence of these highly lethal cancers.

In the current study, we pooled data from the National Institutes of Health (NIH)-AARP Diet and Health Study with the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian (PLCO) Cancer Screening Trial to examine the relationship between early adulthood BMI, weight change, average BMI, and BMI trajectories and risk of EA/GCA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population. We utilised data from two study populations – the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study, and the PLCO Cancer Screening Trial. These studies were combined because the inclusion criteria and available data were very similar. Thus, data variables could be harmonised, allowing for a larger sample size to examine the rare outcomes of EA and GCA. Both study protocols were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Cancer Institute.

The NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study is a prospective cohort study conducted in six states (California, Florida, Louisiana, New Jersey, North Carolina, and Pennsylvania) and two metropolitan areas (Atlanta, Georgia and Detroit, Michigan; Schatzkin et al, 2001). Eligible participants were male and female AARP members, aged 50–71 years at the time of study recruitment. In 1995–1996, eligible members were mailed a baseline questionnaire, which assessed current anthropometric measurements, demographics, diet, and medical history. Six months later, participants who completed the baseline questionnaire and did not self-report colon, breast, or prostate cancer were mailed a risk factor questionnaire (RFQ), which asked about additional exposures including recalled anthropometric measurements. Participants who completed the RFQ were more likely to be female, white, and have a college degree, compared to individuals whom completed only the baseline questionnaire (Supplementary Table S1). Of the 337,071 participants who completed the RFQ, individuals who died or moved (n = 547) before the RFQ was scanned were excluded. In addition, individuals with a proxy report on baseline or RFQ (n = 10,383), cancer diagnosis prior to RFQ (n = 18,721), zero follow-up time (n = 30), or death report only of cancer (n = 2,451) were excluded from our analysis. Finally, we excluded individuals with a missing value for BMI at age 18, 50, or baseline (n = 28,686) or with implausible BMI values (<15 or >60 kg m⁻², n = 3,363). Our final analytic cohort from the NIH-AARP Study consisted of 271,271 individuals.

The PLCO Cancer Screening Trial is a randomised controlled trial conducted at 10 study sites (Washington, DC; Detroit, Michigan; Marshfield, Wisconsin; Honolulu, Hawaii; Birmingham, Alabama; Aurora, Colorado; Minneapolis, Minnesota; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Boise, Idaho; and St Louis, Missouri; Kramer et al, 1993). Eligible participants were men and women, aged 50–79 years at the time of study recruitment. In 1993–2001, eligible participants completed a self-administered baseline questionnaire, which assessed anthropometric measurements, demographics, and medical history. Of the 149,943 participants who completed the baseline questionnaire, individuals with a prior cancer diagnosis (n = 6,907), self or death report of cancer only (n = 192), zero follow-up time (n = 795), or age < 50 years (n = 1) were excluded from our analysis. In addition, we excluded individuals with a missing value for BMI at age 20, 50, or baseline (n = 3,462) or with implausible BMI values (<15 or >60 kg m⁻², n = 61). Our final analytic cohort from the PLCO Cancer Screening Trial consisted of 138,525 individuals.

Outcomes. Study participants were followed for incident primary diagnoses of histologically verified EA or GCA through 31 December 2011, for NIH-AARP (Schatzkin et al, 2001) and 31 December 2009, for PLCO (Kramer et al, 1993). Oesophageal cancer (defined as International Classification of Disease for Oncology, 3rd edition [ICD-O-3] diagnostic code C15.0–C15.9) and gastric cardia cancer (ICD-O-3 diagnostic code C16.0) for NIH-AARP participants was ascertained by linkage to state cancer registries (including states of participant recruitment and Arizona, Nevada, and Texas), which is estimated to capture ~90% of all cancer cases (Michaud et al, 2005), and for PLCO participants was ascertained through self-report and subsequent medical records verification. Cases were then classified as adenocarcinoma (ICD-O-3 histology codes of 8140–8575). The current analysis included 633 EA cases, 415 GCA cases, and 408,748 non-cases.

Exposures. Both studies asked participants to report their current height and weight at baseline. Participants also reported recalled weight at ages 18 (NIH-AARP) or 20 (PLCO) years – for simplicity we will refer to this as age 20 for both studies – and 50 years. The NIH-AARP questionnaire additionally asked participants to report maximal weight. Body mass index, calculated as weight in kilograms (kg) divided by height in metres (m) squared (kg m⁻²), was examined as a continuous metric and as a categorical metric, the latter according to World Health Organisation definitions: underweight (<18.5), normal weight (18.5–24.9), overweight (25.0–29.9), and obese (≥30.0; WHO, 2000).

Body mass index was assessed at discrete age points – age 20 years, age 50 years, and age at baseline. We also examined age when BMI first exceeded 25 kg m⁻² (Adams et al, 2014). In addition, we examined both average BMI, between these age points, and maximal BMI as predictors. Weight change between these ages was defined as ≤ 2, > 2 to ≤ 5 (stable weight, referent), 5 to <15, and ≥15 kg (de Mutsert et al, 2014). To further explore excess weight gain, we examined an additional model where the highest category of weight change was defined as ≥ 20 kg. Thus, the categories were defined as ≤2, 2–<5, 5–<15, 15–<20, and ≥20 kg.

Body mass index trajectories were determined utilising latent class group-based mixture model analysis (PROC TRAJ, SAS 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) (Jones and Nagin, 2007). This allowed us to group individuals with similar BMI patterns between the ages of 20, 50, and baseline. We allowed 3–5 trajectory categories as well as linear or quadratic patterns of BMI change. The optimal number of categories and model pattern was selected using the change in Bayesian Information Criterion and the mean posterior probability of each trajectory, where each trajectory grouping was required to include at least 1% of participants. Thus, we selected four trajectory categories (stable normal BMI, normal BMI to overweight, normal BMI to obese, and overweight to obese), with quadratic patterns of BMI change.

Statistical analysis. Cox proportional hazard regression analysis was used to calculate adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) and 95%
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confidence intervals (CIs) for the association of adiposity with EA and GCA, with age as the underlying time metric. Follow-up of the analytic cohort occurred from time at completion of RFQ questionnaire (NIH-AARP) or baseline questionnaire (PLCO) until an event (i.e., incident EA or GCA) or right censoring (i.e., other cancer diagnosis, death, loss to follow-up, or last date of follow-up), whichever occurred first. In models examining GCA as the primary outcome, EA was a censored event, and vice versa. The proportional hazards assumption was tested by examining interactions between BMI (defined as continuous and categorical) and log (time) in models that included confounders; no violation of this assumption was observed ($P > 0.05$).

Effect modification by sex, cigarette smoking, diabetes, physical activity, and study was assessed using likelihood ratio tests that compared regression models with and without a multiplicative term (Kleinbaum, 2002). Potential confounders (Rothman et al, 2008) included alcohol consumption, aspirin and NSAID use, diabetes, education, fruit/vegetable intake, marital status, physical activity, race/ethnicity, red/white meat intake, smoking, and total energy intake. Variables remained in the adjusted model if they were associated with the exposure and outcome, and variable elimination changed the log HR by $\geq 10\%$ (Rothman et al, 2008); sex, race (non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, other), smoking (never/current/former, and categorised cigarettes per day (0, 1–10, 11–20, 21–30, 31–40, 41–60, 61 +)), and education (high school or less, technical school or some college, college graduate, postgraduate) met this criterion and were included in all final models. We adjusted for study in all models. Models for weight were additionally adjusted for height. Analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.3. Tests of linear trend were conducted using continuous variables. All $P$-values are two-sided.

**RESULTS**

Demographic characteristics of EA and GCA cases, and non-cases are shown in Table 1. Compared with non-cases, individuals who developed EA or GCA were more likely to be older, male, non-Hispanic white, and have ever-smoked cigarettes.

Table 2 presents associations between BMI metrics – that is BMI at ages 20 and 50 years, age at baseline, maximal, and time at which BMI first exceeded the threshold of 25 kg m$^{-2}$ – in relation to risks of EA and GCA. Overweight at age 20 was associated with increased risks of EA ($HR_{overweight} = 1.31$, 95% CI: 1.06–1.63) and GCA ($HR_{overweight} = 1.39$, 95% CI: 1.05–1.83), but obesity was not associated with a significantly increased risk of EA ($HR_{obese} = 1.44$, 95% CI: 0.85–2.46) or GCA ($HR_{obese} = 1.02$, 95% CI: 0.45–2.29). However, this could be due to small sample size in the obese category at age 20, as the trend is still significant. The associations between overweight and obesity at age 50 years and EA ($HR_{overweight} = 1.34$, 95% CI: 1.11–1.61; $HR_{obese} = 2.09$, 95% CI: 1.66–2.65) and GCA ($HR_{overweight} = 1.39$, 95% CI: 1.11–1.75; $HR_{obese} = 1.84$, 95% CI: 1.29–2.65) were stronger than at age 20.

**Table 1. Characteristics of participants in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study, and PLCO Cancer Screening Trial by case status**

| Table 1. Characteristics of participants in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study, and PLCO Cancer Screening Trial by case status | Non-cases ($N = 408,748$) | Oesophageal adenocarcinoma ($N = 633$) | Gastric cardia adenocarcinoma ($N = 415$) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Person-Years | 4,674,144 | 4,682 | 3,056 |
| Age, mean (s.d.) | 62.7 (5.3) | 64.0 (5.0) | 63.9 (4.9) |
| Sex | Male | 234,210 (57.3) | 592 (93.5) | 366 (88.2) |
| | Female | 174,538 (42.7) | 41 (6.5) | 49 (11.8) |
| Race | Non-Hispanic White | 374,685 (92.3) | 613 (97.3) | 390 (94.4) |
| | Non-Hispanic Black | 14,854 (3.7) | 6 (1.0) | 11 (2.7) |
| | Other | 16,392 (4.0) | 11 (1.7) | 12 (2.9) |
| | Missing | 2817 | 3 | 2 |
| Education | High school or less | 101,825 (25.3) | 151 (24.3) | 129 (31.9) |
| | Some college/vocational | 137,201 (34.1) | 230 (37.0) | 124 (30.7) |
| | College degree | 78,096 (19.4) | 132 (21.2) | 75 (18.6) |
| | Graduate degree | 85,162 (21.2) | 109 (17.5) | 76 (18.8) |
| | Missing | 6464 | 11 | 11 |
| Cigarette smoking status | Never smoker | 159,739 (39.9) | 117 (19.0) | 73 (18.4) |
| | Current smoker | 151,488 (37.9) | 334 (54.1) | 220 (55.6) |
| | Former smoker | 88,946 (22.2) | 166 (26.9) | 103 (26.0) |
| | Missing | 8575 | 16 | 19 |
| Cigarette smoking intensity, cigarettes per day | Never smoker | 159,739 (39.9) | 117 (19.0) | 73 (18.4) |
| | 1–10 | 59,967 (15.0) | 67 (10.9) | 45 (11.4) |
| | 11–20 | 81,094 (20.3) | 149 (24.1) | 111 (28.0) |
| | 21–30 | 48,295 (12.1) | 141 (22.9) | 76 (19.2) |
| | 31–40 | 29,337 (7.3) | 80 (13.0) | 63 (15.9) |
| | 51–60 | 17,102 (4.3) | 47 (7.6) | 22 (5.6) |
| | 61 + | 4498 (1.1) | 16 (2.6) | 6 (1.5) |
| | Missing | 8716 | 16 | 19 |

Abbreviation: PLCO = Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial.
Overweight or obese average BMI across all time points was associated with an increased risk of both cancer types (Table 4). Average lifetime BMI between age 20 and baseline of 30 kg m\(^{-2}\) or more was most strongly associated with EA risk (HR = 2.51, 95% CI: 1.91–3.31), whereas a two times increased risk was seen at each time point for GCA risk.

In the BMI trajectory analysis, compared with individuals who remained at a normal BMI level throughout their lifetime (BMI\(_{20}\) = 20.1, BMI\(_{50}\) = 22.6, BMI\(_{baseline}\) = 23.4), individuals who progressed from overweight to obese (BMI\(_{20}\) = 27.0, BMI\(_{50}\) = 40.3, BMI\(_{baseline}\) = 42.6) had a three times or more increased risk of EA and GCA (HR = 2.90, 95% CI: 1.67–5.04; HR = 4.07, 95% CI: 2.32–7.15, respectively). Increased risk of EA and GCA was also noted for groups of participants that increased from a normal BMI to overweight or obese (Figure 1 and Table 5).

We found little evidence of effect measure modification (P > 0.05) by sex, cigarette smoking, diabetes, physical activity, or study. However, analyses stratified by sex and study are provided in Supplementary Tables S2–S17, respectively.

### Table 2. Adjusted HR\(^2\) and 95% CI for associations between age-specific BMI, and oesophageal and gastric cardia adenocarcinoma incidence, NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study, and PLCO Cancer Screening Trial

| BMI, age 20 | Oesophageal adenocarcinoma | Gastric cardia adenocarcinoma |
|------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|            | Non-cases (n) | Cases (n) | HR (95% CI) | Cases (n) | HR (95% CI) |
| <18.5 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 49,308 | 55 | 0.76 (0.58, 1.01) | 270 | Referent |
| ≥18.5–<25 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 293,394 | 433 | Referent | 1.31 (1.06, 1.63) | 63 | 1.39 (1.05, 1.83) |
| ≥25–<30 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 42,758 | 102 | 1.44 (0.85, 2.46) | 6 | 1.02 (0.45, 2.29) |
| ≥30 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 6705 | 14 | Referent | 2.09 (1.66, 2.65) | 61 | 1.63 (1.19, 2.23) |
| Continuous, kg m\(^{-2}\) | | | 1.05 (1.03, 1.08) | 1.04 (1.01, 1.07) |
| P\(_{for trend}\) | <0.0001 | 0.01 |

| BMI, age 50 | Oesophageal adenocarcinoma | Gastric cardia adenocarcinoma |
|------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|            | Non-cases (n) | Cases (n) | HR (95% CI) | Cases (n) | HR (95% CI) |
| <18.5 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 2925 | 2 | Referent | 3 | Referent |
| ≥18.5–<25 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 182,400 | 183 | Referent | 1.34 (1.11, 1.61) | 197 | 1.39 (1.11, 1.75) |
| ≥25–<30 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 154,970 | 298 | 2.09 (1.66, 2.65) | 61 | 1.63 (1.19, 2.23) |
| ≥30 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 51,870 | 121 | Referent | 2.09 (1.66, 2.65) | 61 | 1.63 (1.19, 2.23) |
| Continuous, kg m\(^{-2}\) | | | 1.06 (1.04, 1.08) | 1.05 (1.02, 1.08) |
| P\(_{for trend}\) | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |

| BMI, baseline | Oesophageal adenocarcinoma | Gastric cardia adenocarcinoma |
|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| <18.5 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 2808 | 1 | Referent | 3 | Referent |
| ≥18.5–<25 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 136,082 | 126 | Referent | 1.32 (1.07, 1.63) | 176 | 1.22 (0.94, 1.58) |
| ≥25–<30 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 167,025 | 280 | 2.21 (1.76, 2.77) | 118 | 1.89 (1.43, 2.50) |
| ≥30 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 86,450 | 197 | Referent | 2.21 (1.76, 2.77) | 118 | 1.89 (1.43, 2.50) |
| Continuous, kg m\(^{-2}\) | | | 1.07 (1.05, 1.09) | 1.06 (1.04, 1.08) |
| P\(_{for trend}\) | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |

| BMI, maximum\(^1\) | Oesophageal adenocarcinoma | Gastric cardia adenocarcinoma |
|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| <18.5 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 1069 | 1 | Referent | 3 | Referent |
| ≥18.5–<25 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 53,686 | 50 | Referent | 1.17 (0.85, 1.60) | 124 | 0.97 (0.68, 1.38) |
| ≥25–<30 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 112,729 | 188 | 1.74 (1.27, 2.40) | 115 | 1.31 (0.91, 1.87) |
| ≥30 kg m\(^{-2}\) | 84,766 | 191 | Referent | 1.74 (1.27, 2.40) | 115 | 1.31 (0.91, 1.87) |
| Continuous, kg m\(^{-2}\) | | | 1.04 (1.03, 1.06) | 1.03 (1.01, 1.06) |
| P\(_{for trend}\) | <0.0001 | 0.004 |

| Time BMI first exceeded 25.0 kg m\(^{-2}\) | Oesophageal adenocarcinoma | Gastric cardia adenocarcinoma |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Never | 121,560 | 110 | Referent | 79 | Referent |
| Age 20 | 49,463 | 110 | 1.76 (1.35, 2.29) | 69 | 1.62 (1.16, 2.25) |
| Age 50 | 162,667 | 308 | 1.47 (1.18, 1.83) | 191 | 1.34 (1.03, 1.76) |
| Baseline age | 58,475 | 70 | 1.13 (0.83, 1.52) | 46 | 1.04 (0.72, 1.50) |

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; PLCO = Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial.

\(^*\)Adjusted for sex, race (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, other), smoking (never/current/former, and categorised cigarettes per day [0, 1–10, 11–20, 21–30, 31–40, 41–60, 61+]), education (high school or less, technical school or some college, college graduate, postgraduate), and study. HRs suppressed for cells with ≤5 cases.

\(^1\)Only asked of NIH-AARP participants.
DISCUSSION

In this pooled analysis of two large prospective studies, the results indicate that continued increases in excess weight across the life course, particularly when exposure begins by early adulthood, is associated with increased risks of EA and GCA. The strongest associations between adiposity and EA/GCA were observed for individuals who had a trajectory of overweight at the onset of adulthood and progressed to obesity in later life. Among this group of individuals, we found a three times or more increased risk of both EA and GCA. Similarly, individuals who first reported...
being overweight at age 20 had 60–70% increased risks of EA and GCA.

Our findings extend previous analyses, which have indicated that early adult weight or adult weight change are important in conferring increased risks for EA and GCA (Chow et al, 1998; Lagergren et al, 1999; Cheng et al, 2000; Wu et al, 2001; Merry et al, 2007; Cook et al, 2015) by using correct weight change model specification (Oldham, 1962; Tu and Gilthorpe, 2007) and trajectory modelling (Jones and Nagin, 2007). These approaches have enabled us to identify critical periods for obesity and weight gain, specifically early adult obesity and adult weight gain.

One previous study, utilising data from the Nurses’ Health Study and Health Professionals Follow-Up Study, reported a two times increased risk of EA associated with a body shape trajectory of heavy-stable/increasing. However, this study had a small sample size to examine EA \( n = 98 \), and did not consider GCA (Song et al, 2015).

We report that a weight gain of \( \geq 20 \text{ kg} \) between age 20 and baseline is associated with a 97% increased risk of EA, compared to stable weight. In contrast, a study utilising data from the Health Professionals Follow-up Study examined obesity-related cancers collectively (i.e., colorectal, renal, pancreatic, and oesophageal) and reported an 11% increase in risk of obesity-related cancer associated with \( \geq 15 \text{ kg} \) gained between age 21 and baseline assessment (de Mutsert et al, 2014). Although the sample size in this prior study was limited and EA could not be examined as a distinct outcome, our findings of a stronger association between weight change from age 20 to baseline and EA could indicate that gaining weight during adulthood is more important for EA than other obesity-related cancer types. Our results are in line with two previous studies that have examined EA and GCA as distinct outcomes conducted in The Netherlands (Merry et al, 2007) and the USA (Chow et al, 1998). The Netherlands cohort study reported a 3.4 and 2.1 times increased risk of EA and GCA, respectively, associated with an 8 \( \text{ kg m}^{-2} \) change in BMI between age 20 and cohort baseline (Merry et al, 2007). The US case-control study reported a 2.1 and 1.3 times higher risk of EA and GCA, respectively, for a \( \geq 21 \text{ kg} \) weight gain between the 20s and typical adult weight (Chow et al, 1998).

For the sensitivity analysis, we present models of weight change adjusted for average weight, as these are orthogonal variables (Oldham, 1962). Simply adjusting a model of weight change for baseline weight can produce spurious results, as they are not independent variables (Oldham, 1962; Tu and Gilthorpe, 2007).

### Table 4. Adjusted HR\(^2\) and 95% CI for associations between average BMI, and oesophageal and gastric cardia adenocarcinoma incidence, NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study, and PLCO Cancer Screening Trial

| Average BMI, kg m\(^{-2}\) | Non-cases (n) | Cases (n) | HR (95% CI) | Cases (n) | HR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| **Oesophageal adenocarcinoma** | | | | | |
| Age 20 and 50 | | | | | |
| <18.5 | 5243 | 4 | Referent | 2 | Referent |
| \(\geq 18.5\)–<25 | 276.661 | 357 | 1.45 (1.22, 1.72) | 105 | 1.11 (0.88, 1.39) |
| \(\geq 25\)–<30 | 93.555 | 208 | 1.80 (1.27, 2.56) | 29 | 2.21 (1.50, 3.26) |
| \(\geq 30\) | 16.706 | 35 | Referent | Referent | |
| Continuous, kg m\(^{-2}\) | | 1.08 (1.05, 1.10) | | 1.06 (1.03, 1.10) |
| \(p\) for trend | <0.0001 | | | 0.002 |
| Age 20 and baseline | | | | | |
| <18.5 | 3405 | 1 | Referent | 0 | Referent |
| \(\geq 18.5\)–<25 | 247.837 | 307 | 1.39 (1.17, 1.65) | 141 | 1.31 (1.06, 1.63) |
| \(\geq 25\)–<30 | 117.325 | 232 | 2.51 (1.91, 3.31) | 38 | 2.26 (1.59, 3.21) |
| \(\geq 30\) | 23.598 | 64 | Referent | Referent | |
| Continuous, kg m\(^{-2}\) | | 1.09 (1.07, 1.12) | | 1.08 (1.05, 1.11) |
| \(p\) for trend | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 |
| Age 50 and baseline | | | | | |
| <18.5 | 2064 | 0 | Referent | 1 | Referent |
| \(\geq 18.5\)–<25 | 156.220 | 146 | 1.40 (1.15, 1.71) | 201 | 1.41 (1.11, 1.80) |
| \(\geq 25\)–<30 | 168.461 | 301 | 2.38 (1.89, 3.00) | 81 | 1.81 (1.34, 2.43) |
| \(\geq 30\) | 65.420 | 157 | Referent | Referent | |
| Continuous, kg m\(^{-2}\) | | 1.08 (1.06, 1.10) | | 1.06 (1.04, 1.09) |
| \(p\) for trend | <0.0001 | | | <0.0001 |

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; PLCO = Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial.

*Adjusted for sex, race (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, other), smoking (never/current/former), and categorised cigarettes per day (0, 1–10, 11–20, 21–30, 31–40, 41–60, 61+); education (high school or less, technical school or some college, college graduate, postgraduate); and study. HRs suppressed for cells with \(<5\) cases.
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GCA (Adegboye and metabolic disorders may directly affect future risks of EA and GCA risks, but these trajectories are based on self-reported body weight and height. In addition, we utilised BMI as a proxy for obesity with gastroesophageal reflux disease (Malaty et al, 2009). Alternatively, dysfunctional adipose tissue may lead to metabolic sequelae, such as glucose intolerance, hypertension, or metabolic syndrome, which may have carcinogenic effects resulting in increased risks of EA or GCA (Reid et al, 2010; Ryan et al, 2011).

In this study, overweight and obesity at all time points was associated with an increased risk of EA and GCA. However, the increased risks of these cancers associated with overweight or obesity at age 20 years suggests that childhood and adolescent adiposity may be an important factor for these cancer types. Although we don’t have data on childhood and adolescence adiposity, a recent study reported that childhood obesity is associated with an increased risk of EA (Cook et al, 2015), which may be mediated through the moderate correlations with adult obesity (Singh et al, 2008; Macfarlane et al, 2011). Thus, it is possible that some of the associations reported herein are due to ‘tracking’ (correlation) of adiposity from childhood through early and mid-adulthood. Alternatively, associations of childhood obesity with gastroesophageal reflux disease (Malaty et al, 2009; Pashankar et al, 2009; Ruigomez et al, 2010; Koebnick et al, 2011) and metabolic disorders may directly affect future risks of EA and GCA (Adegboye et al, 2010; Abrams and Levitt Katz, 2011).

This is the first study to examine BMI trajectories in relation to EA and GCA risks, but these trajectories are based on self-reported and recalled age-specific weights. However, recalled weight has been shown to be highly correlated with measured weight that was assessed 20 years prior (r = 0.88; Dahl and Reynolds, 2013), and self-reported weight has been shown to be highly correlated with measured weight over repeated measurements (r = 0.97; Dahl et al, 2010). Although these correlations are high, misclassification can occur across BMI categories (Spencer et al, 2002). Therefore, caution is needed when interpreting studies with self-reported body weight and height. In addition, we utilised BMI as a proxy for body fatness, which may be an inaccurate measurement of body fatness for an individual. However, percentage body fatness and BMI are strongly correlated within sex-age groups (r = 0.72–0.84) (Flegal et al, 2009). Thus, BMI can be useful at the population level for distinguishing body fatness categories (Flegal et al, 2009). We also only had data at three time points – ages 20 and 50 years, and age at baseline. Future studies should prospectively collect height and weight at regular intervals for a thorough examination of the association between adiposity over the life course and cancer outcomes. In addition, these studies did not include information on some important risk factors for EA and GCA. Specifically, gastroesophageal reflux disease was not assessed, which may be an important stratification – some individuals’ risks of EA/GCA may be predominately mediated through gastroesophageal reflux as opposed to putative carcinogenic effects of metabolic disorders. Also, our study population predominately included participants of European ancestry. However, this is the group at highest risk of developing EA/GCA (Falk, 2009). Finally, the number of GCA cases may be underestimated, as a large proportion of gastric cancers with an overlapping or unknown location (i.e., C16.8-9) may be tumours of the cardia (Corley and Kubo, 2004).

This study utilised a pooled analysis to evaluate the association between adiposity and EA/GCA, which allowed for a larger sample size than an individual study alone. This allowed for examination of EA and GCA as distinct outcomes. However, even with a large sample size, we were limited in the number of BMI trajectories we could examine. If we had a larger sample size, we may be able to observe enough individuals who did not gain weight or even had weight loss over their lifetime. We were also limited in examination of subgroups, particularly stratifications by sex given the small number of female cases available for analysis. However, we present the stratifications by sex and study in Supplementary Material, as these stratifications are of interest due to the sex disparity in EA/GCA incidence and possible between-study heterogeneity. Although we did not run competing risk analyses, our primary aim was to estimate cause-specific relative risk, which does not require independence of the outcome and competing events to obtain valid relative risk estimates (Prentice et al, 1978). In addition, the current study includes older USA participants only, which reduces the generalisability of results.

In summary, this analysis of two large, mature US cohorts provide evidence that early adulthood overweight and obesity are strong risk factors for EA and GCA, and that large weight gains over the life course confer high risks for these malignancies. These results indicate that weight gain during adulthood should be avoided to reduce the risk of EA/GCA, and underscores the potential of weight control programs for reducing the incidence of these highly lethal cancers.

**Table 5. Adjusted HR$^a$ and 95% CI for associations between BMI trajectories, and oesophageal and gastric cardia adenocarcinoma incidence, NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study, and PLCO Cancer Screening Trial**

| BMI trajectory | %, Population | Non-cases (n) | Cases (n) | HR (95% CI) | Cases (n) | HR (95% CI) |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|
| Normal BMI     | 42.8         | 168 026       | 167      | Referent   | 114      | Referent   |
| Normal BMI to overweight | 43.4   | 170 289       | 306      | 1.39 (1.15, 1.69) | 203      | 1.42 (1.13, 1.79) |
| Normal BMI to obese | 11.7   | 45 902        | 117      | 2.42 (1.90, 3.08) | 54       | 1.69 (1.22, 2.35) |
| Overweight to obese | 2.0    | 7948          | 14       | 2.90 (1.67, 5.04) | 14       | 4.07 (2.32, 7.15) |

**Abbreviations:** BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; PLCO = Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial.

$^a$Adjusted for sex, race (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, other), smoking (never/current/former), and categorised cigarettes per day (0, 1–10, 11–20, 21–30, 31–40, 41–60, 61+), education (high school or less, technical school or some college, college graduate, postgraduate), and study.
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