Response of chemically induced primary colon tumours of the mouse to flavone acetic acid (NSC 347 512)
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Summary Flavone acetic acid (FAA) is a compound with proven activity against various transplantable colon cancers in mice. In this study it was evaluated against primary colon tumours, chemically induced by methylazoxymethanol in outbred CF1 mice. FAA was given i.v. at doses of 70 or 100 or 150 mg·kg⁻¹ every 7 days for 6 weeks. Only 4 out of 60 FAA treated mice died of toxicity. FAA reduced tumour number and tumour burden compared to control mice (P < 0.05 at least), with no apparent dose-response relationship. Anti-tumour activity of FAA was comparable to that of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) used as standard. Moreover, FAA was more effective than 5-FU against large tumours. FAA levels in plasma and different tissues (including colon neoplastic lesions) after a single i.v. dose of 150 mg·kg⁻¹ were investigated. Tumour FAA levels appear insufficient to be responsible for the antitumour activity based only on a direct FAA cytotoxic effect.

The results confirm clinical interest in FAA and suggest that mechanisms other than direct cytotoxicity may be involved in its activity.

Flavone acetic acid (FAA, NSC 347512, LM 975) has been recently identified as a potential new antitumour drug. It was selected largely on its activity against mouse colon adenocarcinoma 38, which responds weakly to available anticancer drugs (Plowman et al., 1986; O'Dwyer et al., 1987). Successively Corbett et al. (1986) demonstrated significant activity on a large spectrum of transplantable s.c. tumours of mouse, including various colon tumours. It is still questionable, however, whether these s.c. transplantable tumours are adequate models to predict the activity of drugs for human colon adenocarcinomas. Murine primary chemically induced colon tumours reproduce the clinical situation with respect to their natural history, their original tumour-host interaction and their low chemosensitivity to clinically used drugs. A methylazoxymethanol (MAM) induced primary colon adenocarcinoma model, suitable for chemotherapy studies (Pratesi & Deschner, 1984), has been recently described.

In the present study we evaluated the activity of FAA on MAM induced colon tumours and the drug distribution in tumour bearing mice.

Materials and methods

Animals

Outbred female CF1 mice, from Charles River Laboratories, USA, were used. They were received when 6–7 weeks old and maintained on chow and water ad libitum, in a controlled environment throughout the study.

Tumour induction

The experimental model has been described in detail elsewhere (Pratesi & Deschner, 1984). Briefly, the mice were injected s.c. once a week for 10 weeks with MAM (Janssen Chimica, Belgium), at a dose of 0.4 mg/mouse. After 20 weeks, a few randomly selected mice were killed weekly and their colonic mucosa was macroscopically inspected for lesions.

Drugs and treatment

Flavone acetic acid (FAA, received from NCI, USA, by courtesy of Dr M.K. Wolpert) was dissolved in 3% bicarbonate solution. 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU), clinical prep-
isotic acid system of 0.001 M phosphoric acid:acetonitrile:ethanol (60:30:10) at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹ with a C18 Bondapak column (Waters Assoc., New York, NY, USA). Recovery was ~95% and sensitivity was respectively 100 ng ml⁻¹ and 200 ng g⁻¹ for plasma and tissue samples. The curve was linear in the range 0.01–40 μg ml⁻¹; CV = 0.8%.

Statistical analysis

The Mann–Whitney rank test (two tailed) was used for statistical comparison of TTB and TN values in treated and control mice (Table I). The χ² test was used to compare the number of mice bearing <5 and ≥5 or more tumours in treated and in control groups (Table II).

Results

FAA significantly reduced TN and TTB at all three doses tested (Table I). In the range of doses used, no clear dose–response relationship was observed, TN and TTB values not being statistically different after 70, 100 or 150 mg kg⁻¹. At the doses administered, FAA did not cause severe toxicity, only 4% of 60 mice treated with the three doses died before the end of the experiment, and weight loss was not observed.

5-FU at its maximum tolerated dose of 52 mg kg⁻¹ (Pratesi et al., 1987) achieved 55% T/C for TN and 54% T/C for TTB (P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively). Tumour inhibition was comparable to that attained by FAA.

Table II shows, for each experimental group, the distribution of mice based on the number of tumours (less than or at least five) found at the end of the experiment. Both FAA and 5-FU treatments significantly reduced the number of tumours.

The distribution of tumours based on their volume is reported in Table III. In the control group or in 5-FU treated mice, 6 and 10% of tumours were >100 mm³ respectively, whereas in FAA treated mice they were only 1–3% of the total number. The low frequency of large tumours in each FAA treated group makes a statistical comparison with the control group difficult. However when tumours larger than 100 mm³ in FAA treated mice were pooled together regardless the dose (5 tumours out of 260) a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05 by χ² test) was achieved vs. control mice (10 out of 171) and vs. 5-FU treated mice (9 out of 94).

Table IV summarizes FAA levels in plasma and tissues at different intervals after an i.v. dose of 150 mg kg⁻¹. Drug levels were highest in liver and kidney, lower and similar in tumour, colon and spleen. Though obviously three points are not sufficient for an accurate estimate of pharmacokinetic parameters, FAA plasma half-life was ~90 min. The rates of disappearance from tissues and plasma were very similar.

Discussion

In the present study FAA reduced the number and burden of primary colonic tumours induced by MAM in mice. In previous in vivo studies evaluating the toxicity of FAA, the maximum cumulative tolerated dose was around 600 mg kg⁻¹ for a treatment period up to 20 days (Corbett et al., 1986). In this study, a higher total dose could be given to mice in a longer period: in fact FAA doses up to 900 mg kg⁻¹ (150 mg kg⁻¹ for 6 times, weekly) were very well tolerated by all animals.
In previous studies, the FAA doses that showed significant antitumour activity were very close to the toxic ones (Corbett et al., 1986). In contrast, in the experimental model we used, the antitumour effects of 70, 100 and 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) repeated doses were similar. Previous studies, however, employing single or low-dosed tumours, whereas we investigated FAA activity in chemically induced tumours which grow in the colonic mucosa. We wonder whether the high susceptibility of MAM-induced tumours even to doses much lower than the toxic ones, might depend on their localization. The pharmacokinetic outline obtained however, does not indicate a preferential drug distribution in the colon tumours, where the FAA concentration was similar to or lower than that in other, normal tissues. Moreover in vitro studies on colon carcinoma cell lines showed growth inhibition at FAA concentrations greater than 400 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\) for at least 24 hours (Bibby et al., 1987; Capolongo et al., 1987) and the FAA levels achieved in these primary tumours of mice after a dose of 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) appear to be too low to explain the observed tumour inhibition based only on a direct FAA cytotoxic effect. This reasoning is even more convincing when it is considered that doses of 70 and 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) were also effective against these tumours and presumably resulted in even lower FAA tumour concentrations.

Since the antitumour effects of FAA may be mediated by natural killer (NK) lymphocytes (Wiltzout, 1987; Ching & Baguley, 1987) and very high NK activity has been described in the murine gastrointestinal tract (Tagliabue et al., 1981), these reasons could explain the effects achieved by FAA against primary colon tumours. A site-dependent sensitivity of tumours to FAA effects has already been reported by Double et al. (1987) and Giavazzi et al. (1988). In the former paper, the murine colon MAC 15 tumour responded to FAA when growing s.c. and not when cells were injected i.v. or i.p. In the latter study, a human colon tumour xenograft, virtually insensitive when growing s.c., was extremely sensitive to FAA when growing in the liver after intrasplenic injection. No definitive explanations for these discrepancies have been reported by either authors.

In the present study, a lower number of large tumours was found in FAA-treated mice than in untreated control mice. Even though this observation should be supported by more detailed studies, FAA seems more effective against large than against small neoplastic lesions. This is unusual for an anticancer agent, but could be in keeping with the recent proposed hypothesis that FAA acts much the same way as tumour necrosis factor (TNF) (Smith et al., 1987) and, like TNF, may be more effective on more advanced tumours (Manda et al., 1987).

In conclusion, our study provides evidence that FAA is certainly effective against primary murine colon tumours, confirming the results against murine transplantable tumours. Moreover, mechanisms of action other than a direct cytotoxicity seem to be involved in its activity on murine models. Until now, however, no responses have yet been seen in early clinical investigation and this observation might reflect some fundamental difference between the way FAA acts in man and mouse. A better knowledge on mechanism of action of FAA might be needed as a basis for appropriate clinical investigations.
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