Management of Men with a Suspicion of Prostate Cancer after Negative Initial Prostate Biopsy Results
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\textbf{Abstract}
Introduction: For men with elevated prostate-specific antigen (PSA), appropriate management after negative prostate biopsy remains controversial. After determining PSA kinetics, subsequent follow-up was considered. Patients and Methods: A total of 115 cases with negative repeat biopsy were followed by evaluating PSA kinetics and ratio of percent free PSA (F/T) and by performing second repeat biopsy. Results: Eighteen cancer cases were diagnosed. Shorter PSA doubling times and faster velocities were found in cancer cases compared with cases without cancer. We observed a clear decrease in F/T among cancer cases. Conclusions: To avoid unnecessary repeat biopsies, cases with a suspicion of cancer after negative biopsy can be divided into two groups: one that requires additional biopsies and one with an average change in PSA of $<1$ ng/ml/year and no change in F/T, which is recommended for surveillance as stable disease without biopsy over a specified time period.

\textbf{Introduction}
Early prostate cancer detection is achieved by obtaining small tissue samples for histological examination by needle biopsy via the perineal or rectal routes. Candidates for this examination include men with elevated total serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) in the presence or absence of an abnormal digital rectal examination finding. A negative result for the initial biopsy may affect the subsequent management of the candidates. Repeat biopsy after appropriate time intervals constitutes a general strategy, because more than one fourth of all prostate cancers are missed during the first biopsy \cite{1}. A repeat biopsy is then planned, but the selection of suitable cases and the appropriate time period between biopsies remain controversial.

Several markers are significantly correlated with the detection of prostate cancerous tissues at repeat biopsy. These markers include initial PSA, PSA kinetics, the ratio of percent free PSA (F/T) and prostate volume \cite{2}. However, individual markers do not identify cancerous tissues at a high rate, most likely because cancer and cancer-free cases have overlapping ranges of values for individual markers. Previously, we reported that men with a PSA doubling time exceeding 100 months did not have can-
cerous tissues in repeat biopsy [3]. This result suggests to select one group that may be observed more for a specified time period without aggressive examination including repeat biopsy. The present study aimed to reduce the number of unnecessary repeat biopsies in cases with gray zone or slightly elevated PSA values.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patients**

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Asahi General Hospital. Between 2004 and 2008, 2,380 cases who presented with 4–20 ng/ml of total PSA at a local health care examination asked the Asahi General Hospital to perform prostate biopsy regardless of any abnormal observations in the prostate glands, and cancer was detected in 1,002 cases (42%). Of the 1,378 cancer-free cases, 193 men without advanced age or serious diseases who intended to undergo further examination were included in the surveillance group and underwent the first repeat biopsy after 1–3 years. Cancer was detected in 78 of 193 men (40%). The remaining 115 negative cases underwent a second repeat biopsy 2 years later. The present study discusses these 115 cases.

The second repeat biopsies of the 115 men were performed via the perineal route with ≥12 biopsy cores. Whole prostate volumes and transition zone (TZ) volumes were measured with an ultrasound apparatus (ALOKA, SSD-5500, Chiba, Japan). PSA density and PSA TZ density were calculated based on the total PSA level divided by the respective volumes. Cases with high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia or atypical small acinar proliferation were excluded from the study because these lesions are considered precancerous [4, 5].

**Measurement of PSA and PSA Kinetics**

Total and free PSA were assayed with the PSA Dainapack (Abbott, Chiba, Japan). To determine PSA doubling time and PSA velocity, three or more PSA values were assayed at an interval of 3 months or more. The points were depicted as ln PSA (ln 2/slope for doubling time) or PSA (linear regression for velocity) according to the least squares method and the values were obtained [6]. Differences in F/T were calculated by subtracting the value at each point from that at the initial biopsy.

**Statistical Analyses**

Mann-Whitney test, Student’s t test and χ² test were used, and p ≤ 0.05 was considered to be significant. All calculations were performed with the SPSS (IBM, Tokyo, Japan) and KaleidaGraph (Hulinks, Tokyo, Japan) software programs.

**Results**

The characteristics of the 115 cases at the first examination are shown in table 1. These cases showed negative results in the initial and first repeat biopsies, and 18 cancer-positive patients (16%) were identified at the second repeat biopsy. Cancerous tissues were revealed in 1–3 biopsy cores with Gleason scores of 6 (23%), 7 (66%) and 8 (11%), respectively. The clinical stages were T1c (73%) and T2 (27%). Subjects with and without cancer had similar total and free PSA values. A greater PSA density and PSA TZ density were observed in the cancer cases when compared with those without cancer, because slight prostate volume differences might have partially influenced the results.

PSA doubling times in excess of 100 months indicate almost no change in PSA levels during the observation time period, therefore the data of 13 cancer-free cases with values >100 months were omitted when comparing PSA doubling times. There were no cases over this cut-off value in the cancer group. The total and free PSA dou-
bling times showed decreasing trends in the cancer group compared with the cancer-free group (fig. 1). Similarly the trends for PSA velocity also differed between groups, as subjects with cancer tended to have a faster velocity (fig. 2). The PSA parameters are shown in table 2. In the cancer group, all PSA doubling times were <72 months and all PSA velocities were ≥1 ng/ml/year over the observation period. However, the two groups had overlapping ranges of values, indicating that the presence of cancer in these cases could not be separately distinguished by PSA doubling time or PSA velocity.

The change in the rate of total PSA was greater than that of free PSA in cancer and cancer-free cases. Thus, change in F/T over time included decreases, no change, and increases in 94, 0 and 6% of cases in the cancer group and in 56, 25 and 19% of cases in the cancer-free group, respectively (table 2; fig. 3). Most of the changes in F/T in the cancer cases were decreases, whereas those in the cancer-free group varied to be dispersed irregularly among the cases.

To determine whether PSA kinetics might be used to select a subgroup of cancer-free cases among the cases who underwent a negative repeat biopsy, the changes in total PSA and F/T were examined (table 3). Cases with a yearly change in total PSA of <1 ng/ml and no decrease in F/T may not have cancerous tissues, so they might represent positively a cancer-free subgroup in the negative repeat biopsy population.

### Table 2. PSA doubling time, velocity and change in F/T

|                      | Cancer (n = 18) | Cancer-free (n = 84) | p     |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------|
| Total PSA doubling time, months | 28.0±17.6    | 49.9±21.5<sup>a</sup> | 0.0001 |
| Free PSA doubling time, months  | 32.0±18.0    | 56.5±22.6<sup>a</sup> | 0.0002 |
| Total PSA velocity, ng/ml/year | 2.2±1.1     | 1.2±1.1<sup>a</sup>   | 0.002  |
| Free PSA velocity, ng/ml/year  | 0.5±0.5      | 0.2±0.5<sup>a</sup>   | ns    |
| Decrease in F/T per year<sup>b</sup> | −1.83±2.43  | −0.43±1.92            | 0.05  |

<sup>a</sup>Data without increase are excluded. <sup>b</sup>Subtract each value from that at initial biopsy. Data show 100 times different ratio of F/T.

### Table 3. Detection of cancer in cases with negative initial and first repeat biopsy

| Cases No. | Average change in total PSA, ng/ml/year | Change in F/T | Percent of cancer |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
|           |                                        | decrease      | no change        | increase        |
| 54        | ≤1                                     | 0             | 0                | 1                | 2                |
| 20        | >1–2                                   | 5             | 0                | 0                | 25               |
| 28        | >2                                     | 12            | 0                | 0                | 43               |

Discussion

Prostate cancer screening of the general population has been performed in European countries. In some studies, men without prostate cancer who had PSA values <3 ng/ml showed a metastatic disease rate of 0.86% over
a 12-year period following the initial examination [7, 8]. In contrast, men with PSA values >4 ng/ml and a negative biopsy might have an increased prevalence of advanced cancer later, making it necessary to plan their subsequent management. The interval between the initial and repeat biopsies is controversial, and the detection rate may be influenced by the length of this interval. Reports have described a repeat biopsy intervals of 6 weeks [9] to a few years after the initial biopsy [10–12]. Cancers that are detected by repeat biopsy are often smaller and less likely to be high-grade [13]. In the present study the interval between biopsies was set at mostly every 2 years, and several measurements of PSA were scheduled during the intervening period, based on reports of active surveillance [14]. Men who had a yearly PSA fluctuation of <1 ng/ml and a stable F/T (table 3) did not present with prostate cancer over the approximately 4-year period following the initial biopsy. These data indicated that the interval between biopsies for cases with stable conditions can be set at >4 years, unless an extraordinary change in the markers is observed. No advanced disease was detected in the cancer group during the study period.

To improve the cancer detection rate in repeat biopsies, the recommendation is to collect an increased number of cores up to saturation biopsy [15–17]. Since a limited number of cores might not decrease the chance of obtaining the small foci and it was desirable to avoid adverse effects, in the present study the biopsies were performed via the perineal route, collecting ≥12 biopsy cores, depending on prostate volume [18]. The perineal route is advantageous compared to the rectal route because it reduces the likelihood of missing some foci [19]. All methods of repeat biopsy, however, show similar detection rates after a negative initial biopsy [20]. Transurethral prostate resection in men with high or increased PSA is used to manage a negative repeat biopsy [21].

Since the use of a single marker might not allow the detection of all cancer patients, the respective markers are discussed for their utility. Increased total PSA alone correlates with cancer detection rate, and total PSA is therefore a prominent marker [22, 23]. As prostate volume influences PSA levels, prostate volume and its derivatives, including PSA density and PSA TZ density, facilitate separating cancerous tissues from normal tissues [24].

The PSA that is produced by prostate cancer cells appears to escape proteolytic processing, and as a result cancer cases exhibit a great amount of complex PSA in proportion to total PSA. Consequently prostate cancer cases have a lower F/T when compared with cancer-free cases [25]. In approximately 20–30% F/T allows the separation of cancerous and benign tissues [9, 26, 27]. A combination of F/T and PSA TZ density was chosen from several possible markers and demonstrated an increased detection rate [28]. In addition, family history, prostate volume and previous biopsy status are important factors for reducing unnecessary testing [29]. PSA-related markers can fluctuate, and even cases with a decreasing trend in PSA derivatives may have cancerous tissues [30]. Moreover, prostate infection alters PSA values [31–33].

The combined use of multiple predictive factors has been designed and nomograms for repeat biopsy were reported, including many weighted markers such as age, digital rectal examination, PSA, prostate volume, PSA density, PSA TZ density, F/T, family history and initial biopsy findings [10, 11, 34, 35]. Using these nomograms, the accuracy of cancer detection was approximately 70–80%. In the present study, calculations with the reported nomograms did not completely separate cancer cases from cancer-free cases.

Radiological examinations, such as magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography, have been introduced in the findings of cancer, but their use in the detection of small foci in prostate cancer is limited [36, 37].

Prostate markers that show specificity for identifying cancer have been investigated. During the maturation of PSA after biosynthesis, several isoforms are produced by splicing. The amount of one isoform, [-2]proPSA, was shown to be higher in cancer cases compared with controls, then it was assayed to support the findings of PSA values [38]. Prostate cancer antigen 3 in urine is a new marker that shows greater sensitivity than serum PSA values [39]. Additionally, TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusion is a prostate cancer-specific event and an assay for its measurement in urine has been developed [40], however all of the prostate cancerous tissues did not contain the fused DNA. These new markers are currently being examined in clinical trials.

Together with these results, the detection of prostate cancer with any markers at one time point may not clearly distinguish cancer cases, because each marker has ranges that overlap in cancer and cancer-free cases.

The growth rate of cancerous tissues is higher than that of benign tissues, therefore PSA velocity and doubling time have been considered in the detection of cancer [41]. The PSA velocity is reported as 0.4–0.7 ng/ml/year in cancer cases [42–44], although in another study it was not an independent predictor [45]. Similarly, the change in PSA from baseline was a distinguishing factor, and an annual 3% change was reported as a cut-off value [46]. PSA doubling time has been described as a useful marker for...
screening. A PSA doubling time of 3–5 years has been considered as a cut-off value for identifying stable condition [47–49]. Changes in F/T over time were emphasized for the detection of cancer [50]. Although PSA doubling time and velocity showed potential to act as differentiating factors, the results obtained using PSA velocity-related values indicated that they cannot clearly identify cancer cases.

All cancer cases may not be detected using a one time point examination in men with a suspicion of cancer. Serial examinations over an extended time period may be advised for the management of cases with elevated PSA levels [51]. To avoid unnecessary repeat biopsies, candidates with negative biopsy results can be separated into two groups. The first group has stable disease, which is distinguished by the course of total PSA and the F/T, and they may be observed regularly over a specified time interval without early repeat prostate biopsy. The second group is followed according to the routine strategy including subsequent biopsy. In the future, the development and use of new biomarkers could reduce the number of multiple repeat biopsies in men with a suspicion of prostate cancer.

Conclusion

The rate of change in total PSA over time after a negative biopsy differed from the change in free PSA, and consequently the F/T differed thereafter. The trend of changes in total PSA, free PSA and F/T varied constantly among cancer cases when compared with cancer-free cases. These observations might be useful for determining the subsequent management of cases after negative biopsy.

It is important to detect early prostate cancer and to avoid unnecessary repeat biopsies. Since the characteristics of men with negative initial prostate biopsies are unequally distributed, a group with stable disease may be separated and managed under surveillance with periodic examination of total PSA and F/T except early repeat prostate biopsy over a specified time period. Further development on cancer-specific markers is awaited.
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