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Abstract

In this research work, properties of graphene oxide (GO) based epoxy nanocomposites, prepared via the solution blending method, are elaborated. Different loadings (0.1–0.5 wt%) of GO were added into epoxy resin, and their effects were studied on their surface reaction, morphology, mechanical and thermal properties. It was found that a chemical modification, layer expansion and dispersion of filler within the epoxy matrix resulted in an improved interface bonding between the GO and epoxy matrix. The optimum amount of graphene nanostructures can be useful to improve the properties of epoxy nanocomposites for applications in adhesives to automotive.
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Introduction

Graphene, new carbon material, based nanocomposites have attracted considerable attention and have shown an immense interest due to their unique properties [1] It has a wide potential applications in energy related systems, mainly because of its unique atom-thick two dimensional structure [2, 3], high thermal conductivity [4, 5].
mechanical stiffness [6, 7], electronic transport properties [8, 9, 10, 11], optical and chemical performance [12] as well as multi layer hybrid nano structures [13]. However, the stronger hydration and easier dispersion of GO in water are observed; while GO was prepared by the Modified Hummers method [14, 15, 16]. GO prepared from graphite flakes can be used on a large scale for preparation of graphitic films and as a binder for carbon products [17]. Silva et al. [18] concluded from their experiment that the graphene/epoxy nanocomposite shows rise in working temperature and durability of composite and also observed improvement in mechanical properties. Sharmila et al. [19] reported that the combination of good processing properties with enhanced mechanical and dielectric properties makes GO great candidate to develop multifunctional polymer nanocomposites which has sought extensive application in electromagnetic interference shielding (EMI), conductive adhesives and for thermal conductivity enhancement. GO was also used as modifying agent and graphene layer also prepared by oxidation [20, 21]. Fracture toughness of carbon-graphene/epoxy hybrid nanocomposites has also been studied. Graphene has two dimensional structure can be used in its both forms, i.e. in its oxidized form and in its reduced form [22, 23]. As the oxidized form of graphene have functionality linked up and it provides insulating characteristics, while the reduced form of graphene contains no functionality or some amount of functionality [24, 25, 26]. Graphene can be synthesis by chemical vapor deposition and arc discharge method [27, 28]. This can provide to it conducting nature used for increasing conductivity of the matrix in which it is incorporated. It can be said that the graphene as nanofiller has an application from insulator to conductor [29, 30]. Graphene made of atomically thin carbon sheets also improves physical properties of host polymers [31, 32, 33, 34].

Epoxy resin is considered one of the most versatile thermoset materials, which has applications in several fields due to its excellent adhesion, electrical insulating, mechanical and thermal properties, as well as, chemical and heat resistance. However, epoxy resins are generally brittle which restrict their applications. Hawkins et al. [35, 36] studied the fracture toughness and strain energy behavior of carbon-graphene/epoxy hybrid nanocomposites. GO-epoxy based nanocomposites also possess the flexural behavior. [37]. Ribeiro et al. [38] studied the glass transition improvement in graphene-epoxy composites. Multi-nanostructured (clay and graphene platelets) reinforced epoxy nanocomposites have been also studied by Zaman et al. [39]. Mechanical and thermal
properties of epoxy composites containing GO and liquid crystalline epoxy have also been studied [40].

In the present work we have focused to reinforce the GO in epoxy resin by solution blending method using acetone as diluent for epoxy. The resulting epoxy nanocomposites were characterized by FESEM, FTIR, XRD, EDX and DSC. Different properties were studied to probe the effect of the nanofillers on the mechanical and thermal properties of the composites. The elemental mapping of the composites was done to understand the GO dispersion in epoxy.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Graphite powder (98%), from Lobel Chemical, Ortho-phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄, 85%) and Hydrogen Peroxide(H₂O₂, 30%) were purchased from Lobel chemical and Merck specialist Pvt. Ltd. Mumbai, India; Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 97%), Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄, 99.9%), petroleum ether, Hydrazine Hydrate, Acetone and Ethanol (AR grade) were purchased from Rankem Thane, Maharashtra, India. Reactive diluents RD-113, Epoxy resin grade YD128 of EEW-189.5, viscosity 11,000–14,000 cp were procured from Aditya Birla Groups Mumbai, India. Curing agent Diethylene Triamine (DETA purity) was purchased from s. d. Fine. Chemicals Limited, Mumbai, India.

**Synthesis**

**Preparation of GO**

Graphite powder (5.0 gm) was mixed into the solution of concentrated H₂SO₄ and H₃PO₄ taken in 9:1 ratio (600:66.66 mL). Sulfuric acid intercalated GO has also been prepared [23]. Meanwhile, 8–9 time weight equivalent KMnO₄ (30.0 gm) powder was also added to graphite mixed solution. This reaction mixture was then heated in three necked flask fitted with water cooled condenser having temperature below 50 °C and allowed to stir continuously for 12 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and then kept in ice bath after the addition of 30% H₂O₂. Resulting suspension was filtered through polyester fiber cloth and remaining filtrate was centrifuged at 4000 rpm. The supernatant solution was decanted and residual solid
material was washed with water, 30% HCl, distilled water and finally with ethanol. The solid material was coagulated with 200 mL of PET ether and vacuum-dried overnight at room temperature. In this manner several batches were carried out for bulk preparation of GO.

**Preparation of graphene-epoxy nanocomposites**

Solution blending method was used for nanocomposite preparation of graphene-epoxy nanocomposites. Graphene oxide was taken into varying amounts from 0.1 to 0.5 wt%. Total 55 g was the amount of all compositions of epoxy nanocomposites. Epoxy resin was taken into prescribed amount (Table 1) then acetone was added into the resin to lower down its viscosity. Then GO was sonicated for 30 min. The GO dispersion was mixed into the resin under high speed for 45 min and mixed with ethanol in the said ratio then kept it at 80 °C in an oil bath till the solvent evaporation. Then the mixture was sonicated for 30 min and then 10 phr DETA (5.56 g) was added to the mixture (Fig. 1). The mixture was poured into the mould, degassed for 1 h and then cured at room temperature for 2–3 days. Samples were cut for further mechanical and thermal testing.

Table 1

| Sr. No. | GO (wt.%) in resin nanocomposites | Epoxy resin (wt.%) in resin nanocomposites | Amount of GO (gm) | Amount of resin (gm) |
|---------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 1       | 0.1                              | 99.9                                     | 0.055             | 54.975              |
| 2       | 0.2                              | 99.8                                     | 0.110             | 54.890              |
| 3       | 0.3                              | 99.7                                     | 0.165             | 54.835              |
| 4       | 0.4                              | 99.6                                     | 0.220             | 54.780              |
| 5       | 0.5                              | 99.5                                     | 0.275             | 54.725              |
Fig. 1

Schematic diagram of preparation of graphene oxide and GO to epoxy/GO composite

**Characterization**

**Field emission-scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM)**

The surface morphology of the epoxy nanocomposites was examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (S4800, Type II, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) at an operation voltage of 10 keV and a pressure of 0.98 Torr. The epoxy nanocomposite specimens were coated with gold and mounted on the specimen stub before analysis.

**Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy**

Samples of the GO and epoxy nanocomposites were finely divided and dispersed in a KBr powder for analysis. FTIR spectrophotometer (8400, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) was used to obtain spectra and to comprehend the bond formation between filler and epoxy resin. Total 45 scans were taken for each nanocomposite sample recorded at 4000–400 cm$^{-1}$ with resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$ in the transmittance mode.

**Mechanical analysis**

Tensile tests were performed using a Universal Testing Machine (INSTRON 5582, Buckinghamshire, UK). The tensile tests were conducted according to ASTM D638. Testing was carried out at room temperature with the crosshead speed of 4 mm/min,
span length of 40 mm and a load of 500 kg. The mean value of total five specimens was taken to report tensile strength and tensile modulus.

**X-ray diffraction analysis**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was carried out by X-ray diffractometer, (BRUKERS D8, Coventry, Germany) within the 2θ range of 20°–80° with CuKα1 radiation (\(\lambda = 1.5404 \text{ Å}\)), operating voltage of 40 keV and a current of 40 mA. A dwell time was kept 2 s per step. The crystalline phases and their relative contents in the materials were quantitatively obtained by location and number of diffraction peak and relative intensity of XRD pattern.

**Thermal tests**

Perkin Elmer TGA-4000 was used for thermal analysis from room temperature to 800 °C at the rate of 5 °C/min, under a nitrogen flow rate of 20 ml/min. Thermo gravimetric analyzer was used to investigate the thermal decomposition behavior of composites. Tests were performed under nitrogen at a scan rate of 20 °C/min in a programmed temperature range of 20–800 °C. Approximately, 8 mg of sample was used for each run. The change in weight was recorded with respect to temperature. Derivative peak temperature (\(DTp\)) was considered as the maximum temperature acquired from the differentiation of the weight change as a function of time.

Phase transitions of nanocomposites were investigated on a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC60, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) over the temperature range of 35–450 °C at heating rate of 10 °C/min under nitrogen atmosphere.

**Results and discussion**

**FTIR spectra**

Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectra of epoxy-GO nanocomposites with GO loadings from 0.1 to 0.5 wt%. The FTIR analysis was performed to confirm the interaction of graphene oxide with epoxy.
The characteristic features in the FT-IR spectrum of GO are absorption bands corresponding to C=O carboxyl 1721 cm\(^{-1}\), the C–OH stretching at 1371 cm\(^{-1}\), and the C–O stretching at 1117, 3412 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to the stretching vibration of hydroxyl group. The absorption at 899 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to the epoxy group, It confirms that the surface of graphite oxide was successfully reinforced.

Compared to natural graphite, graphite oxide and graphene oxide exhibit two peaks at 1560 and 1719 cm\(^{-1}\), which are attributed to the benzene ring and carboxyl groups, and one peak at 1223 cm\(^{-1}\) is resulted from the C–O group and the peaks at 826, 934 and 1027 cm\(^{-1}\) are caused by the epoxide group. Since the raw graphite used in the study was treated with acid, strong absorptions of acid were expected. The characteristic
bands of raw graphite showed absorption at 2327 cm\(^{-1}\) and a band between 3304 and 3500 cm\(^{-1}\) attributed to the presence of \(-\text{OH}\) group; absorptions at 1650 and 873 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to the stretching vibration of \(-\text{C}=\text{O}\) and \(-\text{C}\cdots\text{O}\), respectively, which imply the existence of carboxyl group. The higher level of improvement offered by GO is attributed to the strong interaction of graphene sheets with epoxy because the covalent bonds between the carbon atoms of graphene sheets are much stronger than other layered nanomaterial such as silicon-based clay.

**Morphological properties**

Figure 3 shows FE-SEM micrographs of GO with formation of curved nanosheets. Scientists, nanotechnologists have reported the flexible, high strength, mechanically robust graphene with nanoscale dimensions.

![FE-SEM images](image)

**Fig. 3**

FE-SEM images of **a** GO, **b** virgin epoxy, **c** 0.2 wt% GO/E, **d** 0.3 wt% GO/E, **e** 0.4 wt% GO/E and **f** 0.5 wt% GO/E composites

Figure 3c–f shows GO based epoxy nanocomposites. To understand the influence of added GO on the mechanical properties of the epoxy nanocomposites, the fracture surfaces of the samples after impact tests were characterized with FESEM. A smooth surface (Fig. 3b) was observed in the virgin epoxy sheet. The fracture surfaces of the GO/epoxy nanocomposites appeared rougher and more varied in comparison to virgin
epoxy, indicating that higher fracture energy may require. However, the sample with excessive GO loading, (0.5 wt%), exhibited an agglomeration of GO and some defects in the matrix. SEM micrographs of the virgin epoxy, GO and their nanocomposites indicated a better dispersion of the filler. A large agglomeration of graphene, which leads to a disruption in the uniformity of the the epoxy nanocomposite. Morphologies also confirm that good adhesion was obtained between the graphene and the epoxy based nanocomposites. To understand the dispersion behavior, the elemental mapping was done by EDX. Table given in Fig. 4 shows the elemental contents of the different composites. It was observed from the results that 0.3 wt% of GO shows better dispersion amongst all composites.

Fig. 4
Carbon and oxygen mapping of GO: epoxy composites containing a 0.2 wt%, b 0.3 wt% and c 0.4 wt% GO

Crystallinity

Figure 5 shows the XRD patterns of GO based epoxy nanocomposites. Natural graphite exhibits a strong and sharp peak at 26.5°, indicating a highly ordered structure. The Virgin epoxy resin exhibits only one broad peak at 18.5°, reflecting its 65.6% amorphous feature (Table 2). Interestingly, the nanocomposite also has this peak only and does not exhibit any other peak, indicating an exfoliated feature of graphene oxide in epoxy matrix.

Fig. 5
XRD images of epoxy nanocomposites containing different weight % of (a) GO

Table 2
Change in enthalpy, degradation temperature of GO based Epoxy nanocomposites
Table 2 indicates % crystallinity of the GO based epoxy nanocomposites. It can be clearly seen that % crystallinity increases with increasing the GO in the epoxy nanocomposites. On comparison, the GO epoxy composites had maximum 52.3% crystallinity.

**Mechanical properties**

Figure 6 shows that Tensile strength of GO nanocomposites increases with increase in filler (GO) amount from 0.1 to 0.4 wt%. But tensile strength decreases in case of 0.5 wt% due to agglomeration of filler. The virgin epoxy sheets show the least (19 MPa) tensile strength, while highest (51 MPa) for the composites having 0.4 wt% of GO followed by 41 MPa for 0.5 wt% GO filled composites.

Fig. 6

Tensile strength And Young modulus of GO-Epoxy composites

| Epoxy nanocomposites (wt.% of GO) | Thermal analysis | XRD analysis |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
|                                  | Temperature (°C) | Change in enthalpy ΔH % (Heat in mJ) |
|                                  | Onset  | Endset | Peak | Degradation | Crystallinity |
| 0.5                              | 318.42 | 339.2  | 327.19 | 335–365 | 1.09 | 52.3 |
| 0.4                              | 315.88 | 335.88 | 326.38 | 330–355 | 4.18 | 45.6 |
| 0.3                              | 313.50 | 336.28 | 323.78 | 325–355 | 4.69 | 44.3 |
| 0.2                              | 309.63 | 333.81 | 318.46 | 320–345 | 1.38 | 43.6 |
| 0.1                              | 324.91 | 338.27 | 333.40 | 335–360 | 1.51 | 39  |
| 0.0                              | 325.9  | 342.69 | 333.9  | 340–390 | 1.33 | 34.4 |

Thermal analysis:
- Temperature (°C): The temperature ranges are provided for the onset, endset, peak, and degradation temperatures.
- Change in enthalpy ΔH %: The change in enthalpy is given in KJ/gm.
- Crystallinity: The % crystallinity values are shown in the last column.

XRD analysis:
- Temperature (°C): The temperature range for each set of GO in the epoxy nanocomposites is given.
- Change in enthalpy ΔH %: The change in enthalpy is given in KJ/gm.
- Crystallinity: The % crystallinity values are shown in the last column.
Like tensile strength, the values of Young's modulus were also recorded to increase up to 750 MPa at 0.4 wt% of GO in epoxy (Fig. 6). The composite with 0.5 wt% of GO shows 675 MPa, which is slightly less than the 0.4 wt% of GO composite. However, virgin epoxy shows only 367 MPa. Thus dimensional stability of the 0.4 wt% filled composite is approximately two times greater than the virgin epoxy.

On recording the % elongation of the composites, the 0.4 wt% filled composite illustrates least (2.94%) elongation (Fig. 7). A comparison of results gives that the % elongation of composites is found to drop significantly, at 0.4 wt% loading of GO.

Fig. 7

% Elongation of GO/Epoxy Composites at different wt% of GO

The addition of fillers (GO) to the epoxy matrix also affects the impact strength and hardness relative to the neat epoxy for the 0.0–0.5 wt% of GO-epoxy based nanocomposites. The impact strength and hardness of the composites are shown in Fig. 8. The results of the impact strength were found to increase up to 0.4 wt% addition of GO in epoxy having highest value as 89 J. The highest loading (0.5 wt%) of GO in epoxy showed only 79 J, which is less than the 0.4 wt% filled GO. On comprehensive comparison of the results, the epoxy without GO had least (67 J) amongst all compositions.

Fig. 8

Impact Strength and Hardness of GO/Epoxy Composites at different wt% of GO

Like other mechanical properties, the hardness was also found to increase with increase in filler content up to 0.4 wt% and decreased thereafter. The values of hardness are recorded as 75, 78, 79, 80, 84 and 79 for virgin epoxy 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 wt% GO filled composites respectively. Thus the 0.4 wt% is the optimum amount to get maximum improvement in the mechanical properties.

The reason behind improvement at 0.4 wt% is that the GO sheets dispersed uniformly intercalated within the epoxy chains. The mechanical properties (% elongation, tensile
strength, impact strength and young’s modulus) of the GO-epoxy nanocomposites possess a greater variability than those of the GO-epoxy nanocomposites up to certain loading level. This is likely due to the greater difficulty in uniformly dispersing the more graphene sheets throughout the epoxy matrix. It is already reported in the text that the addition of nanofillers to the epoxy matrix, up to 0.4 wt% GO exhibits highest mechanical properties. Further addition of GO results decrement in mechanical properties, which is due to the presence of large aggregates and some defects of the nanoreinforcements formed on the surface during sample preparation as well.

**Thermal properties**

DSC curves of the GO/epoxy mixtures are shown in Fig. 9 and Table 2 which show the thermal behavior of neat epoxy and epoxy nanocomposites with different GO contents. All samples showed a single exothermic peak at a temperature, more than 300 °C, originating from the decomposition of functional groups on GO in the epoxy-based composites. It is observed from the results that there were no much variations in onset, end set and peak temperatures of the GO based epoxy nanocomposites.
The main exothermic peaks of GO/epoxy nanocomposites were observed to be at a higher temperature than those for neat-epoxy composites. It was confirmed that this was due to the steric hindrance effect on the curing process with the addition of GO particles. The higher volume fraction of GO increased the surface area in contact with the epoxy matrix, which could anchor the chain mobility [38].

Representative DSC curves for the virgin epoxy and the nanocomposites with concentrations of 0.1 to 0.5 wt% GO are shown in Fig. 9. It was reported that the glass
transition region is larger for the composites than for the neat epoxy, which is associated with the filler effect of broader the segmental motion range. A clear increase in the Tg of 10–20 °C of the composites in respect to the neat epoxy was reported. Similar increases in the Tg were observed for the nanocomposites produced with an epoxy and different types of modified graphene. However, it is important to note that graphene fillers possessed a similar tendency to increase the glass transition temperature in the case of the materials [38, 39].

As reported earlier that the thermal stability of the composites is effected by the treatment and addition of fillers [41, 42, 43], the GO also effects the thermal decomposition behavior of the epoxy. The primary wt. loss of GO from 150 °C is mainly due to the decomposition of the oxygen-functional groups attached on the GO. However the results of thermogravimetric analysis indicate that the composites are much thermally stable than the GO; more over there is very less difference in degradation of all composites. However 0.4 wt% GO containing composite shows higher thermal stability amongst them; while cured virgin epoxy shows highest thermal stability depicting wt. loss from 350 °C onwards (Fig. 10). The early wt. loss in composites is due to the removal of moisture and remaining functional groups present in GO as mentioned earlier.

![Thermogravimetric analysis of epoxy: RGO composites](image)

**Fig. 10**

Thermogravimetric analysis of epoxy: RGO composites
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