Brain structure associations with phonemic and semantic fluency in typically-developing children
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ABSTRACT

Verbal fluency is the ability to retrieve lexical knowledge quickly and efficiently and develops during childhood and adolescence. Few studies have investigated associations between verbal fluency performance and brain structural variation in children. Here we examined associations of verbal fluency performance with structural measures of frontal and temporal language-related brain regions and their connections in 73 typically-developing children aged 7–13 years. Tract-based spatial statistics was used to extract fractional anisotropy (FA) from the superior longitudinal fasciculus/arcuate fasciculus (SLF/AF), and the white matter underlying frontal and temporal language-related regions. FreeSurfer was used to extract cortical thickness and surface area. Better semantic and phonemic fluency performance was associated with higher right SLF/AF FA, and phonemic fluency was also modestly associated with lower left SLF/AF FA. Explorative voxelwise analyses for semantic fluency suggested associations with FA in other fiber tracts, including corpus callosum and right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus. Overall, our results suggest that verbal fluency performance in children may rely on right hemisphere structures, possibly involving both language and executive function networks, and less on solely left hemisphere structures as often is observed in adults. Longitudinal studies are needed to clarify whether these associations are mediated by maturational processes, stable characteristics and/or experience.

1. Introduction

Verbal fluency is an important language skill that develops during childhood, with performance reaching maturity in late adolescence (Kavé, 2006; Kavé and Knafo-Noam, 2015; Korkman et al., 2001). Verbal fluency tasks commonly require participants to generate as many words as possible in one minute, either given a specific category (e.g., animals) for semantic fluency, or a specific letter (e.g., letter ‘s’) for phonemic fluency. Phonemic and semantic fluency rely on both common and distinct cognitive processes. Successful performance on verbal fluency tasks requires access to language functions, such as lexical knowledge and reading (Nation and Snowling, 2004; Shao et al., 2014), as well as executive functions, such as cognitive flexibility, inhibition, and working memory (Aita et al., 2019; Amunts et al., 2020; Koren et al., 2005; Prigatano et al., 2008; Stolwyk et al., 2015; Welsh et al., 1991). In terms of cognitive strategies, phonemic fluency is thought to rely more on strategic search and switching, to produce words that are not semantically associated, while semantic fluency is thought to depend more on being able to engage underlying semantic networks, and to some extent switching (Sauzéon et al., 2004; Troyer, 2000). Studies
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suggest phonemic fluency develops more slowly than semantic fluency during childhood because phonemic fluency relies on search strategies that require development of frontal lobe executive functions, while semantic fluency may require lexico-semantic skills dependent on temporal regions (Hurks et al., 2006; Korkman et al., 2001; Riva et al., 2000). Deficits in verbal fluency performance have been reported for children in different clinical populations, including children born pre-term or with low birth weight (Aarnoudse-Moens et al., 2009), or diagnosed with Down Syndrome (Nash and Snowling, 2008), attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (Pineda et al., 1999), or specific language impairment (Henry et al., 2012). Thus, assessment of verbal fluency during childhood may provide useful information about both language and executive function development.

While verbal fluency develops throughout childhood and adolescence in conjunction with ongoing continuous brain maturation (Jernigan et al., 2016; Wierenga et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2015), only a few studies have examined the neural correlates of verbal fluency performance in children, and most of the information about the neural correlates of verbal fluency stems from adult studies. Adult lesion studies have found that phonemic fluency performance was most severely impaired with damage to left frontal lobe regions involved in phonetic processing, while impairment in semantic fluency has been associated with damage to left temporal brain regions thought to support mapping of meaning (Baldo et al., 2006, 2001; Bullock and Toribio, 2009; Chavavati et al., 2019; Jurado et al., 2000). However, another adult lesion study detected anatomical overlap in the left inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) and left insula for semantic and phonemic fluency performance (Biesbroek et al., 2016).

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies on semantic and phonemic fluency in healthy populations have also reported associations with both shared and distinct brain regions, most consistently in fronto-temporal areas important for executive function and language skills (Costafreda et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2014). In healthy adults, activation has been reported in the left IFG for both semantic and phonological word retrieval tasks (Birn et al., 2010; Costafreda et al., 2006; Gold and Buckner, 2002; Heim et al., 2008; Katzev et al., 2013; Li et al., 2017; Wagner et al., 2014), although activation in the right hemisphere for semantic fluency has also been reported (Donnelly et al., 2011). FMRI studies using semantic and phonemic fluency tasks report that children show activation in similar frontal brain regions as adults, specifically the left IFG (Gaillard et al., 2000, 2003), although in children more widespread activation across the cortex, including right hemisphere regions such as the IFG, has also been reported for phonemic fluency (Gaillard et al., 2003).

Similarly, MRI studies investigating the structural correlates of verbal fluency also report of both shared and distinct brain regions in association with semantic and phonemic performance. In elderly adults, phonemic fluency and semantic fluency were both associated with increased cortical thickness in bilateral inferior frontal and superior temporal regions, with semantic fluency also showing more widespread associations within bilateral frontal, temporal and parietal regions (Vonk et al., 2019). In another study in adults, better phonemic fluency performance was associated with increased cortical thickness in the left superior temporal gyrus (STG) and pre- and postcentral gyrus (Phillips et al., 2011). In children and adolescents, better phonemic fluency performance has been associated with decreased cortical thickness in language-related frontal and temporal regions, i.e. left IFG and STG, as well as regions related to performance monitoring, sustained attention and working memory (Porter et al., 2011). As recent evidence indicates that the cortex undergoes apparent thinning during childhood and adolescence (Amlien et al., 2016; Jernigan et al., 2016; Walhovd et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2015), decreased cortical thickness within this age range may reflect increased maturation of the cortex. In contrast, surface area exhibits regional-specific curvilinear maturation trajectories, with patterns of increasing surface area during childhood that peak around the age of 10–12 years, after which the surface area undergoes minor decreases during adolescence (Jernigan et al., 2016; Raznahan et al., 2011; Wierenga et al., 2014).

Several studies have examined verbal fluency in relation to white matter microstructure using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). Common DTI measures are fractional anisotropy (FA), axial diffusivity (AD), and radial diffusivity (RD). Particularly FA and RD have been shown to be sensitive to maturational processes, with FA increasing and RD decreasing during childhood and adolescence in various white matter tracts (Beaulieu, 2009; Lebel et al., 2008; Lebel and Beaulieu, 2011). There are only a few studies that have reported associations of verbal fluency with white matter microstructure of language-related fiber tracts in healthy populations. In adults, better phonemic fluency performance has been associated with higher left superior longitudinal fasciculus/arcuate fasciculus (SLF/AF) AD (Phillips et al., 2011). The SLF and AF are overlapping anatomical structures on MRI, connecting the IFG with parietal and temporal regions (Dick and Tremblay, 2012). In children and adolescents, better phonemic fluency was associated with higher bilateral SLF/AF FA (Peters et al., 2012). However, it is unknown if this is also true for semantic fluency in children since white matter associations with semantic fluency in children have not been previously examined.

In the current study, we investigated to what extent individual differences in phonemic and semantic fluency performance were associated with variability in language-related brain regions in typically-developing children. First, we tested our main hypotheses that better phonemic and semantic fluency performance would be associated with higher left and right SLF/AF FA. Second, we examined if better performance would also be associated with higher FA in the white matter underlying the left frontal and temporal language-related cortices, i.e., IFG, STG and middle temporal gyrus, as well as with larger surface area and thinner apparent cortical thickness of these cortices. Lastly, we explored whether age moderated any potential relationships between brain regions and phonemic and semantic fluency to determine whether these associations differed across the age range of 7–13 years.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

The present study included 73 typically-developing native Danish-speaking children (43 girls, 30 boys) aged 7–13 years (mean (SD) = 10.2 (1.6)). All children were enrolled in the longitudinal HUBU project designed to trace brain and behavioral developmental changes. The HUBU cohort includes 95 typically-developing children (55 girls, 40 boys) recruited from three elementary schools in the Copenhagen suburban area in 2007, when they were between seven and 13 years of age. All children, who volunteered for the HUBU project were included, except those with a known history of neurological or psychiatric disorders or significant brain injury. Prior to participation, informed written consent was obtained from the parents of all participants, and all children assented to partake in the study. The study was approved by the Ethical Committees of the Capital Region of Denmark (H-KF-01/131/03) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants in the HUBU cohort have been assessed up to 13 times, with six months intervals for the first 10 visits. The present study included data from the baseline of the HUBU project. After the MR images were evaluated for incidental clinical findings and image quality, 22 participants (12 girls) were excluded due to poor MR-image quality (n = 12), incomplete scanning sessions (n = 4), incidental clinical findings on the MRI (n = 1), the verbal fluency task not being administered due to time constraints (n = 4), or technical problems (n = 1). The sample included two sibling pairs (female siblings aged 8.7 and 12.5 years; male-female siblings aged 10.3 and 11.8 years). According to the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (EHI), 62 participants were right-handed (EHI score ≥ 40, range: 50–100), 10 participants were left-handed (EHI score ≤ -40, range: -40 - -100), and one participant was
ambidextrous (EHI score between -40 and 40). Highest level of maternal and paternal educational degree was acquired for all participants and translated into years of education using national norms. In Denmark, the number of educational years for all primary and secondary education, and all occupations, including professional and trade careers have a standardized length of time to completion. The years of education were then calculated based on the Danish government standards for years of education for compulsory primary and lower secondary education (9 years), plus the number of standard years to complete their highest degree (e.g., plus 3 years for high school, plus 3 years for a Bachelor’s, plus 2 years for a Masters). Standard years for degree completion by occupation can be found in ‘The Education Guide’ by the Ministry of Children and Education in Denmark (www.ug.dk). Average years of parental education, or years of education from one parent if unavailable for both parents, (mean (SD) = 13.5 (2.1), range = 9–18.5) were used in the statistical analyses. Data from the HUBU cohort has previously been used in other cross-sectional (Angstmann et al., 2016; Klarborg et al., 2013; Madsen et al., 2010, 2011, 2018; Vestergaard et al., 2011) and longitudinal (Madsen et al., 2020) studies examining the relationship between behavioral functions and brain microstructural characteristics.

2.2. Verbal fluency assessment

Children were asked to name as many different words as possible in the category of animals (semantic fluency) and words starting with the letter S in the Danish language. Repetitions and responses that did not meet the criteria were excluded from the total score for both semantic and phonemic fluency.

2.3. Image acquisition

On the same day as the verbal fluency assessment, children underwent structural MRI on a 3T Siemens Magnetom Trio MR scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using an eight-channel head coil (Invivo, FL, USA). Two T1-weighted images were acquired using a 3D MPRAGE sequence (TR =1550 ms, TE =3.04 ms, matrix = 256 × 256, 192 sagittal slices, 1 × 1 × 1 mm³ voxels, acquisition time = 6:38). A T2-weighted image was acquired using a 3D turbo spin echo sequence (TR =3000 ms, TE =354 ms, FOV = 282 × 216, matrix = 256 × 192, 192 sagittal slices, 1 × 1 × 1 mm³ voxels, acquisition time = 8:29). Whole brain diffusion-weighted images were acquired using a twice-refocused balanced spin echo sequence that minimized eddy current distortion (Reese et al., 2003) including ten non-diffusion-weighted images (b = 0) and 61 diffusion-weighted images (b = 1200s/mm²) encoded along independent collinear diffusion gradient orientations (TR =8200 ms, TE =100 ms, FOV = 220 × 220, matrix = 96 × 96, GRAPPA: factor = 2, 48 lines, 61 transverse slices with no gap, 2.3 × 2.3 × 2.3 mm³ voxels, acquisition time = 9:50). To correct for B0 field distortions, a gradient echo field map was acquired (TR =530 ms, TE[1] =5.19 ms and TE[2] =7.65 ms, FOV = 256 × 256, matrix = 128 × 128, 47 transverse slices with no gap, voxel size = 2 × 2 × 3 mm³, acquisition time = 2:18).

2.4. Image evaluation

All baseline MRI scans were evaluated by an experienced neuroradiologist for incidental findings and all, but one, were deemed without significant clinical pathology. Prior to analysis and blind to the behavioral data, all raw MR-images were visually inspected to assure sufficient image quality. Based on this inspection, 12 datasets were excluded from further analyses due to poor image quality (see Section 2.1. “Participants”).

2.5. Diffusion-weighted imaging processing

Diffusion-weighted images were preprocessed as described in Klarborg et al., (2012) and Vestergaard et al. (2011). Preprocessing pipelines were implemented in Matlab using mainly SPM5 coregistration and realignment routines and the batch functionality. The diffusion-weighted images were oriented to the MNI coordinate system by rigidly coregistering the mean b0 image to the T2-weighted image, after which all diffusion-weighted images were coregistered (no reslicing) to the mean b0 image. All co-registered DWI images were then corrected for geometric distortions using a voxel displacement map based on both the acquired b0 field map (Andersson et al., 2001) and a scanner specific map of gradient non-linearities (Jovicich et al., 2006). Subsequently, all transformations and voxel displacement maps were concatenated, and all images were resliced using trilinear interpolation in one interpolation step. The diffusion gradient orientations were adjusted to account for any applied rotation during the registration. The diffusion tensor was fitted with the RESTORE algorithm using a noise standard deviation of 30 (Chang et al., 2005), implemented in Camino (Cook et al., 2006) to calculate FA, AD and RD. Finally, brain masks based on the T2-weighted images were created using segmentation routines and morphological operations implemented in the VBM5 toolbox (http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm/vbm5-forspm5/) in SPM5. Brain masks were visually inspected, and manually edited if necessary, before being applied to the FA and diffusivity images.

Tract-based spatial statistics (Smith et al., 2006), part of FSL 4.1.0, was used to spatially normalize and align fiber tracts across all subjects. The FA images of all subjects were aligned into a common space using the non-linear registration tool FNIRT. A study-specific target, i.e., the group’s most representative FA image, was identified by non-linearly registering all subjects’ FA images to the FA images of every other subject. Using affine registration, the target was aligned to MNI space and subsequently the entire dataset was aligned to this image and transformed into 1 mm³ MNI space. A mean FA image of the entire cohort was created and thinned to generate the mean FA skeleton, representing the centers of all tracts common to the group. All warped FA images were visually inspected to ensure sufficient quality and correct alignment to the mean FA skeleton. The mean FA skeleton was thresholded at FA > 0.25 and contained 103,588 1 mm³ interpolated voxels. All subjects’ aligned FA data were then projected onto the skeleton by locating the voxels with the highest local FA value in the direction perpendicular to the skeleton tracts and assigning this value to the skeleton. In addition, the nonlinear warps, and skeleton projections were applied to the AD and RD data.

2.6. White matter regions-of-interest (ROIs)

To test our hypotheses, five ROIs were delineated on the mean FA skeleton overlayed on the target FA map using FSLview (Fig. 1). Specifically, ROIs were delineated in the SLF/AF and in the white matter underlying the left pars triangularis, left pars opercularis, left planum temporale (PT), and left middle temporal gyrus (MTG). Similar ROIs were delineated in the right hemisphere. Descriptions of the ROI delineations can be found in the Supplementary methods. Mean FA, AD and RD were extracted from each of the ROIs.

2.7. FreeSurfer analysis and cortical ROIs

All T1-weighted and T2-weighted images were processed using tools available in the FreeSurfer (version 6.0) software suite (Dale et al., 1999; Dale and Sereno, 1993; Desikan et al., 2006). Cortical surface reconstruction was done by applying the following procedures: skull stripping, non-uniformity correction, white matter segmentation, creation of initial mesh, correction of topological defects, and creation of optimal white and pial surfaces (Dale et al., 1999; Dale and Sereno, 1993; Desikan et al., 2006). Cortical grey matter parcellations were based on surface-based nonlinear registration to the Desikan-Killiany atlas based on gyral and sulcal patterns and Bayesian classification rules (Desikan et al., 2006). Apparent cortical thickness was calculated as a measure of the shortest distance between the white and pial surfaces. For each
cortical parcel, average thickness and white surface area were calculated. To quality check the FreeSurfer outputs, we used a quality control approach similar to that used in Enigma (http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/protocols/imaging-protocols/), i.e., statistical detection of outliers for the average thickness and surface area of each cortical parcel, which were then visually inspected. The statistical detection on outliers was performed on the age and sex adjusted residuals, to account for age and sex difference in the brain measures. Furthermore, to conform Enigma protocol, we did not perform manual editing on the FreeSurfer 6 outputs, and discarded data of questionable quality. To test our hypothesis, we selected the cortical ROIs in the pars opercularis, pars triangularis, superior temporal gyrus (STG), and middle temporal gyrus (MTG).

2.8. Statistical analyses

All statistical modeling was completed using R (version 3.4.4) and R Studio (version 1.1.463). All verbal fluency and ROI measures were examined for associations with age, age², sex, age-by-sex, handedness, and parental education using multiple linear regression analyses. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine that the residuals from the multiple linear regression models did not significantly deviate from the normal distribution. All assumptions for linear regressions were met. To test our primary hypotheses, we used hierarchical regression models. The first step was a reduced model that included age and sex as the only predictor variables of semantic or phonemic fluency. In the second step, we extended the model by entering both left and right SLF/AF FA as additional predictors of semantic or phonemic fluency. A likelihood-ratio chi-square test was used to determine whether the full model explained significantly more of the variance in semantic or phonemic fluency as compared to the reduced model (i.e., $R^2$ change). We applied a Bonferroni correction for our primary hypotheses for two tests, i.e., semantic and phonemic fluency, i.e., all p-values (ps) less than 0.025 were considered significant. Finally, exploratory analyses were conducted to investigate age by SLF/AF FA interaction effects on semantic or phonemic fluency, to investigate if the relationship between verbal fluency and SLF/AF FA varied with age.

Contingent on a significant $R^2$ change for the extended models with left and right SLF/AF FA, several planned follow-up models were conducted. First, we tested the individual contribution of left and right SLF/AF FA in separate follow-up models. Next, we tested for anatomical specificity by including whole skeleton FA as an additional predictor, while simultaneously also correcting for handedness and parental education. Finally, to obtain further information about the nature of observed FA findings, we investigated AD and RD, as higher FA can be due to decreased RD and/or increased AD. This was tested by replacing SLF/AF FA with either AD or RD in the models where SLF/AF FA differed with age.

Our secondary hypotheses regarding associations between verbal fluency performance and FA, apparent cortical thickness or surface area of the left pars opercularis, pars triangularis, STG, and MTG ROIs were also tested using hierarchical regression models. The first step included age and sex as the only predictor variables of semantic or phonemic fluency. The second step included the brain structural measures (FA, cortical thickness or surface area) for the four left secondary ROIs as simultaneous predictors of semantic or phonemic fluency. The significance of the $R^2$ change was determined as described above. For the hierarchical models with surface area, to investigate the regionalized effect of the ROIs, the first step also included total surface area, since total surface area is correlated with regional surface area. We applied a Bonferroni correction for eight tests to our secondary hypotheses, i.e., associations of phonemic or semantic fluency with three types of brain measures (FA, thickness and surface area), plus the two primary hypotheses tests, i.e., $R^2$ changes between full
and reduced models with p-values less than 0.0065 were considered significant. Finally, in exploratory analyses, we examined associations between semantic or phonemic fluency and structural measures in the right ROIs using similar hierarchical models as for the left ROIs.

2.8.1. Effect size maps

The present study was designed to test specific anatomical hypotheses about the relationship between fronto-temporal language regions and variability in verbal fluency performance in children. However, since TBSS can produce estimates of the effect sizes at each skeleton voxel, we generated voxelwise maps to provide further information about semantic or phonemic fluency associations with FA values across the white matter skeleton, controlling for age and sex. The maps were generated using the Monte Carlo permutation test with 10,000 permutations implemented in the randomise program within FSL (Winkler et al., 2014). We applied the Threshold-Free Cluster Enhancement (TFCE) method using the –T2 option with default parameters, which is optimized for the mainly 2D structure of the TBSS skeleton. To correct for multiple comparisons, FWE correction was applied to the TFCE map. The unthresholded t-maps as well as corrected p-map (for semantic fluency only, see Section 3.5.) have been uploaded to NeuroVault.org (Gorgolewski et al., 2015) and are available at https://neurovault.org/collections/8632/.

Finally, exploratory vertex-wise maps were created to visualize the distribution of associations of surface area across the brain with semantic or phonemic fluency. Surface area was mapped into standardized spherical atlas space (Fischl et al., 1999), with a Jacobian correction to account for the stretching or compression of the registration to atlas at each point on the cortical surface. Iterative smoothing was performed on the cortical surface, after resampling to atlas-space, with a full-width half max filter size of 20 mm (Hagler et al., 2006). Vertex-wise surface-area maps were produced using a general linear model with each vertex as a dependent measure, and either semantic or phonemic fluency as a predictor variable, adjusted for age and sex.

3. Results

3.1. Effects of age, sex, handedness and parental education on verbal fluency performance

Phonemic fluency performance averaged to 9.01 (SD = 3.79; range: 0–19) words, while semantic fluency performance averaged to 18.41 (SD = 6.16; range: 5–34) words. As expected, performance on both verbal fluency measures increased significantly with age (ps ≤ 0.001, Fig. 2), while there were no significant age² (ps ≥ 0.08), sex (p ≥ 0.25), or age-by-sex interaction effects (ps > 0.11). Higher parental education showed a modest positive association with verbal fluency (phonemic: p = 0.04; semantic: p = 0.11). No significant associations were observed between verbal fluency and handedness (ps ≥ 0.50).

3.2. Effects of age, sex, handedness and parental education on white matter ROI FA

FA increased significantly with age in the left and right SLF/AF, as well as in the left pars opercularis, right pars triangularis, and right PT white matter ROIs (ps ≤ 0.007), but not in the remaining ROIs (ps ≥ 0.06). Females had higher FA in the left SLF/AF relative to males (p = 0.016), while males had higher FA in the left pars triangularis relative to females (p = 0.009). There were no significant sex effects on FA in the remaining ROIs (p ≥ 0.93). There were no significant age-by-sex interactions (ps ≥ 0.19) or age² effects (ps ≥ 0.34) on any of the ROI measures. There was an effect of handedness on left SLF/AF FA, such that left-handed participants had higher left SLF/AF FA compared to right-handed participants (p = 0.036). We did not observe any other significant associations between ROI FA and handedness (ps > 0.06) or parental education (ps ≥ 0.10).

3.3. Associations between verbal fluency and SLF/AF microstructure

The hierarchical regression models showed that both semantic and phonemic fluency performance were significantly associated with SLF/
AF FA, after controlling for age and sex (Table 1; Fig. 3). Inspecting the pattern of the regression coefficients of the left and right SLF/AF ROIs revealed that better semantic fluency performance appeared to be associated with higher right SLF/AF FA, but not with left SLF/AF FA (Table 1; Fig. 3a), while better phonemic fluency performance appeared to be associated with higher right and lower left SLF/AF FA (Table 1; Fig. 3b). No significant interactions of age by FA in left or right SLF/AF on semantic or phonemic fluency (ps > 0.30) were observed, suggesting that the relationship between verbal fluency and SLF/AF FA did not differ with age.

Follow-up analyses of the contributions of left and right SLF/AF FA individually confirmed that better semantic fluency performance was associated with higher right SLF/AF FA but not with left SLF/AF FA (Table 1). Thus, follow-up models for semantic fluency were only conducted with the right SLF/AF. The follow-up model with right SLF/AF FA still significantly explained more variance in semantic fluency than the reduced model when additionally controlling for whole skeleton FA, parental education and handedness (R² change = 0.07, p = 0.038).

For phonemic fluency, analyses of the contributions of left and right SLF/AF FA individually, showed that right SLF/AF FA was modestly associated with performance while left SLF/AF FA was no longer significant (Table 1). Since having both left and right SLF/AF FA in the model explained more variance in phonemic fluency, follow-up models included both the left and right SLF/AF. These remained significant in explaining more variance in phonemic fluency than the reduced model when additionally controlling for whole skeleton FA, parental education and handedness (R² change = 0.08, p = 0.035).

Finally, in follow-up analyses examining the contribution of RD and AD for the apparent positive association for right SLF/AF FA with semantic fluency, and for the apparent association of higher relative to left SLF/AF FA with phonemic fluency. Better semantic fluency performance was associated with lower RD (p = 0.006) but not with higher AD (p = 0.083) in the right SLF/AF. Better phonemic fluency performance was associated with higher RD in left (p = 0.004) and lower RD in right (p = 0.006) SLF/AF, and to a lesser extent with higher right SLF/AF AD (p = 0.021), but not with left SLF/AF AD (p = 0.858). Together, these findings suggest that the observed FA associations for semantic and phonemic fluency were mainly driven by variability in RD.

3.4. Associations between verbal fluency and FA in frontal and temporal white matter ROIs

No significant associations were observed between left paras opercularis, pars triangularis, PT, or MTG white matter FA with either semantic fluency or phonemic fluency performance (Table 2). Further, there were no significant interactions of age by FA in any of the left frontal and temporal ROIs for semantic or phonemic fluency (ps > 0.27).

3.5. Voxelwise maps of the association between verbal fluency and white matter FA

Voxelwise analyses were conducted to further explore the distribution of semantic or phonemic fluency performance associations with FA across the white matter skeleton, adjusted for age and sex. The full uncorrected t-maps as well as the FWE corrected TFCE p-map for semantic fluency can be downloaded from https://neurovault.org/collections/8632/

Better semantic fluency performance was associated with higher FA (FWE corrected p ≤ 0.025, equivalent to a two-tailed p ≤ 0.05) in multiple locations across the white matter skeleton (Fig. 4). These clusters included the white matter underlying the bilateral superior parietal cortex (52), bilateral corticospinal tract (52), right SLF/AF (35, 32, 26, 22), left SLF/AF (32), body of corpus callosum (35, 32, 22, 21, 16), bilateral superior corona radiata (35, 32, 22), bilateral posterior corona radiata (32, 26, 22), bilateral external/extreme capsule (16, 3), right anterior (9, 3) and bilateral posterior (9, 3, -4) limb of the internal capsule, right posterior thalamic radiation (9), right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (9, 3, -4, -8, -11), and the anterior comissure (-4, -8).

No significant associations were observed between phonemic fluency and FA across the white matter skeleton after FWE correction of the TFCE map. However, to inform future studies an effect size map of the uncorrected t-values has been provided in the Supplementary material (Supplementary Fig. 1).

3.6. Surface area

3.6.1. Effects of age, sex, handedness and parental education on surface area

There were no significant associations of age (ps ≥ 0.20), age² (ps ≥ 0.37), nor age-by-sex (ps ≥ 0.05) with total or left ROI surface area. Males showed significantly larger total surface area, and larger surface area in the left PT and MTG compared to females (ps ≤ 0.001), but not in...
Fig. 3. Partial regression plots of the associations between (a) semantic fluency or (b) phonemic fluency and left and right superior longitudinal fasciculus/arcuate fasciculus (SLF/AF) fractional anisotropy (FA) modelled simultaneously, controlling for age, sex, with shaded 95% confidence intervals.

| Table 2 |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Results for the hierarchical linear regression models for fractional anisotropy (FA) and cortical grey matter measures in the left frontal and temporal ROIs in association with semantic or phonemic fluency performance. |
|                            | Age               | Sex               | Left Pars Opercularis | Left Pars Triangularis | Left PT            | Left MTG          | Whole brain       |
|                            | $R^2$             | $\beta$           | $p$                 | $\beta$           | $p$               | $\beta$           | $p$               |
| **White Matter FA**        |                   |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| **Semantic Fluency**       |                   |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Reduced Model              | 0.32              | 0.57              | <0.001              | -0.16             | 0.43              | -                   | -                   |
| Full Model                 | 0.34              | 0.55              | <0.001              | 0.01              | 0.97              | 0.04               | 0.70              |
| Model comparison           | $\Delta R^2 = 0.03; \chi^2 (4, N = 70) = 4.5, p = 0.143$ |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| **Phonemic Fluency**       |                   |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Reduced Model              | 0.27              | 0.53              | <0.001              | 0.23              | 0.25              | -                   | -                   |
| Full Model                 | 0.28              | 0.59              | <0.001              | 0.37              | 0.17              | 0.13               | 0.25              |
| Model comparison           | $\Delta R^2 = 0.01; \chi^2 (4, N = 70) = 3.5, p = 0.300$ |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| **Surface Area**           |                   |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| **Semantic Fluency**       |                   |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Reduced Model              | 0.37              | 0.57              | <0.001              | 0.16              | 0.50              | -                   | -                   |
| Full Model                 | 0.35              | 0.56              | <0.001              | 0.15              | 0.59              | 0.001              | 0.99              |
| Model comparison           | $\Delta R^2 = 0.02; \chi^2 (4, N = 69) = 0.92, p = 0.85$ |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| **Phonemic Fluency**       |                   |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Reduced Model              | 0.35              | 0.55              | <0.001              | 0.57              | 0.02              | -                   | -                   |
| Full Model                 | 0.34              | 0.55              | <0.001              | 0.53              | 0.03              | -0.21               | 0.123             |
| Model comparison           | $\Delta R^2 = 0.01; \chi^2 (4, N = 69) = 2.5, p = 0.85$ |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| **Mean Cortical Thickness**|                   |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| **Semantic Fluency**       |                   |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Reduced Model              | 0.31              | 0.59              | <0.001              | -0.20             | 0.35              | -                   | -                   |
| Full Model                 | 0.32              | 0.60              | <0.001              | -0.19             | 0.38              | -0.10              | 0.42              |
| Model comparison           | $\Delta R^2 = 0.01; \chi^2 (4, N = 69) = 3.1, p = 0.360$ |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| **Phonemic Fluency**       |                   |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Reduced Model              | 0.30              | 0.57              | <0.001              | 0.25              | 0.23              | -                   | -                   |
| Full Model                 | 0.34              | 0.56              | <0.001              | 0.18              | 0.38              | 0.06               | 0.631             |
| Model comparison           | $\Delta R^2 = 0.04; \chi^2 (4, N = 69) = 5.6, p = 0.07$ |                   |                     |                   |                   |                   |                   |

For each brain measure, we show model comparisons of a full model (ROIs + covariates) relative to the reduced model (covariates only) for both semantic fluency and phonemic fluency. The results of the likelihood-ratio chi-square tests of the $R^2$ change between the full and reduced models are shown below each model coefficients. Abbreviations: MTG = middle temporal gyrus, PT = planum temporale.
the remaining left ROIs ($p \geq 0.05$). Parental education was significantly positively associated with larger total surface area and in the left MTG surface area ($p < 0.01$), but not with any other ROIs ($p \geq 0.17$). There were no significant associations with handedness in any of the ROIs ($p \geq 0.23$).

### 3.6.2. Associations between verbal fluency and surface area

The results of the hierarchical regression models are shown in Table 2. For semantic and phonemic fluency, we did not observe any significant associations with surface area of the left frontal and temporal ROIs. While total surface area was positively associated with semantic fluency and to a lesser extent with phonemic fluency, these associations would not survive correction for multiple comparisons at the individual ROI level. Moreover, there were no significant interactions of age by surface area in any of the left frontal and temporal ROIs for semantic or phonemic fluency ($p > 0.08$). Likewise, exploratory models investigating the right frontal and temporal ROIs were not statistically significant for semantic nor phonemic fluency (see Supplementary Table 1).

### 3.6.3. Effect size maps of the association between verbal fluency and surface area

Exploratory vertex-wise effect size maps, displaying the uncorrected $-\log_{10}(p)$-values for surface area associations with semantic fluency and phonemic fluency, controlling for age and sex, are shown in respectively Fig. 5a and b. Apparent positive associations with semantic fluency were seen in the left superior frontal cortex, left mid-cingulate cortex, right middle frontal gyrus and pars orbitalis, right ventromedial prefrontal cortex, and right anterior cingulate cortex (Fig. 5a). For phonemic fluency, apparent positive associations were seen in the left superior frontal gyrus, left middle frontal gyrus, left dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, right middle frontal gyrus and pars orbitalis, and the frontal pole bilaterally (Fig. 5b).

### 3.7. Apparent cortical thickness

#### 3.7.1. Effects of age, sex, handedness and parental education on apparent cortical thickness

There were no significant age ($p \geq 0.20$), age$^2$ ($p \geq 0.42$), sex ($p \geq 0.21$), or age-by-sex interaction ($p \geq 0.50$) effects on apparent cortical thickness in left pars opercularis, pars triangularis, PT, or MTG, nor with parental education or handedness ($p \geq 0.21$).

#### 3.7.2. Associations between verbal fluency and apparent cortical thickness

Cortical thickness in the left frontal and temporal ROIs was not significantly associated with semantic or phonemic fluency, over and beyond age and sex (Table 2). Furthermore, there were no significant interactions of age by cortical thickness in any of the left frontal and temporal ROIs for semantic or phonemic fluency ($p > 0.05$). The exploratory model investigating the right frontal and temporal ROIs revealed an association between phonemic fluency and cortical thickness.
thickness of the right ROIs ($p = 0.01$), but this association did not survive correction for multiple comparisons (see Supplementary Table 1). Furthermore, no significant associations were observed between semantic fluency and the right ROIs.

4. Discussion

We examined associations of both phonemic and semantic fluency with measures of white and grey matter structure in language-related brain regions in typically-developing children. Better semantic and phonemic fluency performance were associated with higher right SLF/AF FA, while better phonemic fluency was also modestly associated with lower left SLF/AF FA. Importantly, these associations remained after controlling for whole skeleton FA, suggesting that the associations of verbal fluency with SLF/AF FA were not due to global differences in white matter FA. Moreover, we found no evidence that the relationship between verbal fluency and brain structural measures differed with age. Associations between verbal fluency measures and language-related frontal and temporal ROI cortical thickness, surface area or white matter FA were not significant.

Our study is the first, to the best of our knowledge, to report an association between SLF/AF and semantic fluency in children. Furthermore, our results corroborate previous findings on the importance of the SLF/AF for phonemic fluency (Peters et al., 2012; Phillips et al., 2011). For phonemic fluency, including both right and left SLF/AF FA explained significantly more variance in performance, than age and sex alone, even after additionally correcting for several confounders. However, it was unexpected to find that better phonemic fluency performance was associated with higher right SLF/AF FA, but with lower left SLF/AF FA. Nevertheless, follow-up analyses suggested that phonemic fluency, like semantic fluency, was more strongly related to right SLF/AF FA. Our findings differ in laterality from the associations reported by the few other DTI studies on phonemic fluency. Better phonemic fluency performance has been associated with higher bilateral SLF/AF FA in a cohort of 8–21-year-olds and with higher left SLF/AF AD in adults (Phillips et al., 2011). It is unclear if these apparent discrepancies are related to differences in the specific age ranges investigated, i.e., 7–13-years in the present study. In support of such notion, a recent fMRI study reported that while children, similar to adults, showed left-lateralized language activation, a large proportion of the youngest children also exhibited significant right-lateralized language activation in notably the IFG and STG (Olulade et al., 2020). Interestingly, this right-lateralized language activation decreased with age. Though we did not find evidence that relationship between verbal fluency and SLF/AF FA differed with age in the present study of children aged 7–13 years, it may be that a wider age range and/or longitudinal designs are needed to detect possible differences in the verbal fluency-SLF/AF relationship across age. Observed discrepancies could also be related to methodological differences, e.g., we used TBSS to extract DTI measures from SLF/AF ROIs, while the previous studies used tractography or voxel-based TBSS (Peters et al., 2012; Phillips et al., 2011). Future studies, preferably longitudinal in design, should investigate if and how the associations between SLF/AF and phonemic fluency, as well as semantic fluency, differ or change across childhood, adolescence and adulthood.

Despite the discrepancies discussed above, there are some lesion and fMRI studies supporting right hemisphere involvement in verbal fluency. Lesion studies have found that semantic fluency, but not phonemic fluency, was related to the IFG specifically in the right hemisphere (Biesbroek et al., 2016; Loring et al., 1994; Martin et al., 1990; Perret, 1974), which projects to the right SLF/AF. Early studies in children using fMRI reported associations of phonemic and semantic fluency with bilateral frontal language regions, i.e., the IFG (Gaillard et al., 2000, 2003). Moreover, a recent fMRI study in children and adolescents found that higher right hemisphere activation in regions homologous to left hemisphere language-related regions was associated with better semantic fluency performance (Bartha-Doering et al., 2018), as well as better performance on other language tasks such as verb generation tasks (Holland et al., 2001; Lidzba et al., 2011; Yeatman et al., 2010). Together, these findings suggest that in children, verbal fluency performance may not only rely on left, but also on right hemisphere language networks, for which homologous language-related regions such as the right SLF/AF have been associated with reading comprehension and semantic processing in children (Horowitz-Kraus et al., 2015). Furthermore, these findings are consistent with behavioral studies that find deficits in verbal fluency performance among children with specific language impairment and dyslexia, who also show difficulties in reading skills (Cohen et al., 1999; Henry et al., 2012; Weckerly et al., 2001). Moreover, in lesion studies, damage to the right hemisphere has been linked to deficits in language skills such as discourse, inferential processes and non-literal language, i.e., in applications that would support meaning or comprehension of language (Johns et al., 2008). While the left hemisphere may play a more dominant role in speech processing, the right hemisphere is important in activation and processing of broader
suggest that in the age range of 7–13 years, phonemic and semantic fluency are also both associated with white matter connections in the dorsal stream (i.e., SLF/AF). Recent studies have reported associations between semantic fluency in association with anatomical structures of the ventral stream of language processing (i.e., inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, IFOF), thought to map sound to speech production (Hickok and Poeppel, 2004). Our findings suggest that in the age range of 7–13 years, phonemic and semantic fluency are also both associated with white matter connections in the dorsal stream (i.e., SLF/AF). Recent studies have reported associations between semantic fluency in association with anatomical structures of the ventral stream of language processing (i.e., inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, IFOF), thought to map sound to speech production (Hickok and Poeppel, 2004).

Our findings suggest the in the age range of 7–13 years, phonemic and semantic fluency are also both associated with white matter connections in the dorsal stream (i.e., SLF/AF). Recent studies have reported associations between semantic fluency in association with anatomical structures of the ventral stream of language processing (i.e., inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, IFOF), thought to map sound to speech production (Hickok and Poeppel, 2004).

The associations may reflect phase differences in structural maturation, suggesting that interhemispheric integration may also be important for semantic fluency performance in children. This appears to be supported by findings from a recent study in children aged 6–12 years, in which better semantic fluency performance was associated with larger posterior corpus callosum volume (Bartha-Doering et al., 2021). Future studies, preferably longitudinal in design, should investigate both dorsal and ventral pathways, as well as the corpus callosum, in relation to verbal fluency during childhood and whether these relationships differ or change across childhood, adolescence and adulthood.

Alternatively, as verbal fluency performance is also closely linked with executive function performance, it is also plausible that the involvement of right hemisphere regions, such as the right SLF/AF, may reflect recruitment of executive function processes, such as inhibition, monitoring, and working memory (Aita et al., 2019; Stolwyk et al., 2015; Welsh et al., 1991), to sustain better verbal fluency performance in children. Notably, in the same cohort included in the present study, we previously found that higher right SLF/AF FA was associated with better sustained attention performance, an executive function skill that requires selective attention and working memory (Klarborg et al., 2013). Thus, it is plausible that in children verbal fluency performance is supported by either right-sided language networks or executive networks, or by both. Overall, our findings suggest the SLF/AF in the right hemisphere may be important for both phonemic and semantic fluency in children, indicating there may be shared neural networks and possibly also cognitive processes supporting phonemic and semantic fluency in children. However, we cannot determine whether they correspond specifically to executive function or language skills, or both. Future studies should investigate to which extent verbal fluency and other language and executive functions show associations in overlapping brain regions, including the right SLF, during childhood.

Contrary to our expectations, we did not observe any significant associations between phonemic or semantic fluency and apparent cortical thickness nor surface area in the fronto-temporal language regions in our cohort of 7–13-year-old children. Studies investigating associations between structural grey matter measures and verbal fluency in children are very sparse. One study found that better phonemic fluency performance was associated with decreased cortical thickness in the left fronto-temporal language regions, the bilateral precentral and left cingulate cortex in children and adolescents aged 9–23 years (Porter et al., 2011). While we did not observe significant associations in our hypothesized regions, the provided exploratory voxel- and vertex-wise maps suggest that associations of semantic and phonemic fluency may be distributed across the brain.

It is important to note that while we report associations that are age-adjusted, it is unclear what these age-independent associations reflect. The associations may reflect phase differences in structural maturation, i.e., children of similar age may have less or more mature brain structure that supports relatively poorer or better verbal fluency performance. Alternatively, the associations may reflect stable individual differences in the underlying neural architecture that arise earlier in childhood and remain stable, despite superimposed ongoing maturation. Finally, these age-independent verbal fluency associations may reflect differences in experience-related brain plasticity, with frequent practice supporting better verbal fluency performance.

4.1. Limitations and strengths

We tested specific a priori hypotheses about associations of semantic and phonemic fluency with structural measures of frontal and temporal language-related brain regions and their connections. We found significant effects confirming our main hypothesis about the relationship between individual variability in semantic and phonemic fluency performance and white matter microstructural properties in language-related white matter fiber tracts (SLF/AF). Although, our sample size is comparable to other studies on white matter and verbal fluency (Peters et al., 2012; Phillips et al., 2011), given the possible limitations of small sample size studies in susceptibility to low replicability and inflated effect sizes (Button et al., 2013), our hypothesis driven findings should be replicated in larger samples. Moreover, novel methodologies for whole-brain analyses suggest that variability in behavioral phenotypes, such as verbal fluency, may be associated with distributed patterns of effects across the brain (Zhao et al., 2020), beyond specific associations between a phenotype and an ROI. While we cannot infer statistical significance from our white matter and surface area uncorrected effect size maps (Jernigan et al., 2003), they can inform future studies that aim to investigate such associations of global brain patterns and individual variability in verbal fluency performance. Finally, while we have controlled for factors that might influence the observed associations, we cannot exclude that there might be other latent variables influencing the observed associations.

5. Conclusion

In typically-developing children, better semantic and phonemic fluency performance was associated with higher right SLF/AF FA, while better phonemic fluency performance was also modestly associated with lower left SLF/AF FA. Overall, our results suggest that right hemisphere structures may be particularly important for verbal fluency performance during childhood, with contribution of brain regions related to language and/or executive functions. Given verbal fluency is related to both language and executive function skills, understanding the underlying neural pathways in relation to verbal fluency may further our understanding of the shared neural architecture that may support both language and executive function skills during childhood. Future studies examining the neural and developmental correlates of verbal fluency during childhood and adolescence should assess if and how the associations between SLF/AF and verbal fluency change across childhood, adolescence and adulthood.
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