Insufficient education is a key factor of incorrect interpretation of HIV self-test results by female sex workers in Democratic Republic of the Congo: A multicenter cross-sectional study
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Abstract
We report on field interpretation of HIV self-testing among female sex workers (FSWs) and non-FSWs living in Democratic Republic of the Congo.

Two hundred and eight participants [76 (36.5%) FSWs; 132 (63.5%) non-FSWs] were enrolled in Kisangani and Bunia to evaluate their ability to read and interpret the results of a prototype HIV self-test (Exacto Test HIV, Biosynex, Strasbourg, France), according to WHO recommendations. Thirteen standardized tests (6 positive, 5 negative, 2 invalid) were proposed after successive random selection.

Two thousand seven hundred and four standardized tests (1248 positive, 1040 negative, 416 invalid) were interpreted; 2435 (90.1%) were correctly interpreted, whereas 269 (9.9%) were misinterpreted. In FSWs and non-FSWs, the test results were similarly correctly interpreted in 87.4% (984/1108) and 91.6% (1571/1716), respectively. In multivariate logistic regression analysis, only the variable "educational level" remained strongly associated with the interpretation of positive, negative, and invalid HIV self-test results, but not the variables "commercial sex work" and "language chosen for instructions for use." Incorrect interpretation was significantly higher in participants with insufficient educational level than in those with sufficient education level for positive (13.1% vs 2.6%; adjusted OR: 4.5), negative (22.3% vs 2.6%; adjusted OR: 5.3), and invalid test results (23.8% vs 6.4%; adjusted OR: 3.6).

Incorrect interpretation of HIV self-test was as common in FSWs and non-FSWs. The lower was the educational level, the greater was the difficulty to interpret results correctly. These observations point that insufficient education level, rather than commercial sex work by itself, constitutes a key factor of incorrect interpretation of HIV self-test.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, DRC = Democratic Republic of the Congo, FSWs = female sex worker, HIV = human immunodeficiency virus, non-FSWs = general female population not practicing commercial sex work, NPV = negative predictive value, OR = odd ratio, PPV = positive predictive value, WHO = World Health Organization.
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1. Introduction
HIV self-testing is a novel strategy that can enable early HIV testing, prevention interventions, and care in key populations at high risk of HIV infection, such as female sex workers (FSWs).\textsuperscript{1,2} However, the ability of individuals to make a correct interpretation of test results remains under debate.\textsuperscript{3-8} Recently, HIV self-test result’s interpretation was found common among FSWs living in Kampala, Uganda.\textsuperscript{9}

We report on field experience of the interpretation of HIV self-test results among FSWs and a general female population not practicing commercial sex work (non-FSWs) living in Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC).

2. Methods
The CE IVD, lateral flow, immunochromatographic HIV rapid test (Exacto PRO Test HIV, Biosynex, Strasbourg, France) was adapted as CE IVD, finger-stick whole-blood HIV self-test (Exacto Test HIV, Biosynex).\textsuperscript{10} The test uses a combination of a specific antibody binding protein that is conjugated to colloidal gold dye particles and synthetic antigens (gp41, gp16) able to detect antibodies against HIV-1 or HIV-2 in whole-blood, serum or plasma, which are bound to the solid-phase membrane. The Exacto Test HIV fulfilled the following criteria:
As proposed.

Pearson (Chicago, IL). The results were presented as a 95% confidence interval (CI) using the Wilson score bounds. The Pearson’s χ² test was used for comparison of the frequencies, while Fisher’s exact test was used when the validity conditions of the latter test were not verified. The concordance between the results read by participants in connection with the expected results or as read by operators was estimated by Cohen’s k coefficient. The degree of agreement was determined as ranked by Landis and Koch. To delineate and control possible confounders within the study variables and determine the independent predictors of the incorrect interpretation of the HIV self-test results, multivariable logistic regression analysis using significant variables from the bivariate analysis, which were arbitrarily taken as references for analyses, as previously described. The variable “educational level” included two categories according to the educational system of the DRC:

- “insufficient” [low (unschooled and primary schooled) and
- “sufficient” [middle (college or technical school), and high (undergraduate degree and graduate degree)]. The P-value <.05 was considered as statistically significant. Finally, the sensitivity and specificity of the Exacto Test HIV as read by FSW and non-FSW were calculated according to the expected results. The positive predictive values (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) were calculated by taking into account the reported HIV prevalence in each population in DRC. Note that the VPP and VPN of the Exacto Test HIV self-test in the hands of observers could be estimated to 99.2% and 100%, respectively, using Bayes’ formulae and HIV prevalence of 1.2% in health care workers in DRC.

Ethical was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the School of Public Health of the University of Kinshasa. Informed consent was obtained from all volunteers in writing.

3. Results

A total of 208 participants, including 76 (36.5%) FSWs and 132 (63.5%) non-FSWs, were enrolled. Note that 17 participants were excluded because they were minors (n = 14), and considered noncompliant (n = 3). The majority (71.6%, 149/208; 75.0% in FSWs and 69.7% in non-FSWs; P = .43) of participants were below 30 years of age, and self-reported the ability to read and write (77.9%, 162/208; 77.6% in FSWs and 78.0% in non-FSWs; P = .54). Insufficient educational level was observed in 57.7% (60.5% in FSWs and 56.1% in non-FSWs; P = .53). Only a minority had been previously tested for HIV (20.2%, 42/208; 18.4% in FSWs and 21.2% in non-FSWs; P = .23). The instructions for use were chosen in French by 30.3% (20.1% in FSWs and 35.6% in non-FSWs; P = .03), in Lingala by 30.8% (34.2% in FSWs and 28.8% in non-FSWs; P = .41), and in Swahili by 38.9% (44.7% in FSWs and 35.6% in non-FSWs; P = .19).

This study evaluated the ability of participants to read and interpret the HIV self-test results from a panel of 13 standardized tests drawn successively (Fig. 1; Table 1). A total of 2704 standardized tests (including 1248 positive tests [comprising 624 tests with strong test bands and 624 positive tests with weak test bands], 1040 negative tests, 416 invalid tests) were read and interpreted by the 208 participants; 2435 (90.1%; 95%CI: 88.9–91.2) tests were correctly interpreted, whereas 269 (9.9%; 95% CI: 8.8–11.1) tests were misinterpreted. Misinterpretation occurred in 3.4% of positive tests (2.6% of positive tests with weak test bands comprising 2.1% incorrectly interpreted as negative and 0.5% as invalid, and in 0.8% of positive tests with strong test bands comprising 0.6% incorrectly interpreted as negative and 0.2% as invalid); in 14.9% of negative tests (including 12.9% incorrectly interpreted as positive and 2.0% as invalid); and in 17.3% of invalid tests (including 3.4% of tests falsely interpreted as positive and 13.9% as negative). The Cohen’s k coefficient between the interpretations of the HIV self-test results made by the participants and the expected results was 0.79, demonstrating excellent concordance.

Among the subgroups of FSWs, the results were correctly interpreted in 87.4% (864/988) of cases. Among the positive, negative, and invalid self-tests, misinterpretation occurred in 2.6% (0.4% positive tests with strong test bands and 2.2% positive tests with weak test bands), 18.4%, and 27.4% of cases, respectively (P < .001). The Cohen’s k coefficient was 0.79. FSWs-interpreted HIV self-test sensitivity was 88.8% (95% CI: 86.5%–90.7%) and specificity was 86.1% (95% CI: 83.6–
88.3%), which is also the percentage of participants that correctly interpreted the positive (including tests with strong or weak test bands) and negative HIV self-test results, respectively. HIV prevalence among our study participants may be estimated at 7.6%,[15] which translates into a FSW-interpreted HIV self-test positive predictive value (PPV) of 34.4% (95%CI: 31.3%–37.6%) and negative predictive value (NPV) of 98.9% (95%CI: 97.9%–99.4%).

Among the subgroups of non-FSWs, the results were correctly interpreted in 91.6% (1571/1716) of cases. Among the positive, negative, and invalid self-tests, misinterpretation occurred in 3.8% (0.9% positive tests with strong test bands, and 2.9% positive tests with weak test bands), 12.9%, and 11.4% of cases, respectively (P < .001). The Cohen’s κ coefficient was 0.79. Non-FSWs-interpreted HIV self-test sensitivity was 96.9% (95%CI: 95.9%–97.7%) and specificity was 87.3% (95%CI: 85.5%–88.9%). HIV prevalence among study Non-FSW participants may be considered similar to that of the general adult population in Bunia and Kisangani at 1.6%,[15] which translates into a Non-FSW-interpreted HIV self-test PPV of 11.0% (95%CI: 9.5%–12.7%) and NPV of 99.9% (95%CI: 99.5%–100.0%).

Finally, there was an inverse correlation between the education level and percentage of misinterpretation of results among FSW and non-FSW: FSWs with insufficient educational level incorrectly interpreted the self-test compared to those with sufficient educational level (4.3% vs 0.4%, P = .005 for positive tests, 28.3% vs 3.3%, P < .001 for negative tests, and 41.3% vs 6.7%, P < .001 for invalid tests). Among non-FSWs, no test (positive, negative or invalid) was incorrectly interpreted by participants with sufficient educational level (Fig. 2).

In bivariate analysis, the variables “commercial sex work,” “language used for the instructions for use,” and “educational level,” were factors associated with the interpretation of HIV self-test results (Table 1). In multivariate logistic regression analysis, the variables “commercial sex work” and “language used for the instructions for use” remained no more associated with the interpretation of HIV self-test results. The variable “educational level” remained associated with the interpretation of positive, negative, and invalid test results: Incorrect interpretation was higher in participants with insufficient education level than in those with sufficient education level for positive (13.1% vs 2.6%, P < .001; adjusted OR: 4.5 [95%CI: 1.8–15.8], P = .09), negative (22.3% vs 2.6%, P < .001; adjusted OR: 5.3 [95%CI: 2.8–18.0], P = .02), and invalid test results (23.8% vs 6.4%, P < .001; adjusted OR: 3.6 [95%CI: 1.8–6.6], P = .004).

4. Discussion

We herein report on our original and recent experience of interpretation of HIV self-test results among female adult volunteers living in Kisangani and Bunia in the DRC, using a finger-stick whole-blood HIV self-test (Exacto Test HIV,
The participants were divided as FSWs and non-FSWs according to their statement of practicing commercial sex work. The majority of items in the group of FSWs were similarly observed in the control group of non-FSWs, except instructions for use in French more frequently used in Non FSWs than FSWs, assessing high comparability between groups. In substance, the unique characteristics of FSWs could not explain by themselves the lower HIV self-test performance measurements in our study, although FSWs may have lower levels of health knowledge and higher consumption of alcohol and other psychoactive substances.

In contrast, incorrect interpretation of HIV self-test results was strongly associated with low educational levels. The lower was the educational level; the greater was the difficulty to interpret the test correctly, despite frequently used notice for instructions in vernacular language (s).

Our results confirm those reported by Ortblad and colleagues on FSWs in Uganda,[9] in the DRC, a French-speaking African country, which has its own specific cultural, economic, and societal characteristics, possibly different to those of an English-speaking country. First, incorrect interpretation of HIV self-test results was common among FSWs living in Kisangani and Bunia, since around 1 out of 9 test results were misinterpreted by FSWs in our series. As in Ortblad’s report,[9] the FSWs-interpreted HIV self-test sensitivity and specificity measurements were below 90%, which is far lower than those measured in most of the previous HIV self-testing performance studies reported in sub-Saharan Africa (≥94% sensitivity and >98% specificity).[1,3,17] Application of the observed sensitivity and specificity to a hypothetical group of 1000 FSWs living in the DRC with 20% of HIV prevalence[19] would result in 22 HIV-positive FSWs being missed, and 28 HIV-negative FSWs being misidentified with a reactive test result. Furthermore, the calculated PPV in Bunia and Kisangani was very low (34.4%), indicating risk of misdiagnosis of two-thirds of tests interpreted as positive. Second, misinterpretation of HIV self-test occurred with each of the three test result possibilities, at seemingly high frequency (12.6%) in FSWs. The high frequency of misinterpretation in our study population differed greatly from that reported by Prazuck and colleagues in a non-trained general population living in France in which the vast majority of participants succeeded, with only 2.9% of the participants making errors, mostly when reading the ambiguous band. Thus, misinterpretation of inconclusive self-test results was the highest, in around 1 out 4 FSWs, as in Ortblad’s report,[9] but such results are rare in real-world settings.[13] Incorrect HIV self-test results interpretation of negative tests in our series occurred in around 1 out of 5 FSWs, at similar frequency as in FSWs living in Uganda.[9] For HIV positive test results, misinterpretation was more common with tests showing weak rather than strong bands, but at the difference to Ortblad’s observations,[9] the frequency of misinterpretation of HIV positive test (with weak or strong bands) was much lower in our series. These findings indicate that incorrect interpretation may occur for HIV oral fluid-based self-test as well as for capillary-based HIV self-test, and suggest that the ability to understand and correctly follow HIV self-test kit instructions for use may differ between both HIV self-tests. Finally, the development of an HIV self-test with the less as possible ambiguity to a low literacy public needs to be explored in sub-Saharan Africa.

In conclusion, our observations point that insufficient education level, rather than commercial sex work by itself, constitutes a key factor of incorrect interpretation of HIV self-test.

### Table 1

| Characteristic | Total FSWs (N = 1248) | Total non-FSWs (N = 1040) | P | \( \text{OR} \) | 95% CI |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---|-----------|-------|
| **Self-test test results** | | | | | |
| Positive | 456 | 920 | 0.014 | 1.9 | [1.9, 2.9] |
| Negative | 792 | 1,020 | 0.010 | 0.9 | [0.8, 0.9] |
| Invalid | 140 | 80 | 0.001 | 2.2 | [1.2, 3.9] |

*Note:* The strength of statistical associations was measured by adjusted odds ratios (aOR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). aOR was calculated using Pearson’s chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test.

The two-sided P-value was based on the educational system of the Democratic Republic of the Congo,[1] as follows: (i) insufficient: uneducated or attending primary school; (ii) sufficient: attending college, technical school, and Bachelor’s or graduate degree. Educational level was categorized based on the educational system of the Democratic Republic of the Congo.[1]
Female sex workers were more likely to have insufficiency in educational level compared to non-FSWs. Percentages of misinterpretation of test results were higher among FSWs with insufficient educational level compared to those with sufficient educational level for positive (4.3% vs 0.4%, \( P < 0.005 \)), negative (28.3% vs 3.3%, \( P < 0.001 \)), and invalid (41.3% vs 6.7%, \( P < 0.001 \)) test results. Percentages of misinterpretation of test results were higher among non-FSWs with insufficient educational level compared to those with sufficient educational level for positive (23% vs 0%, \( P < 0.001 \)), negative (23% vs 0%, \( P < 0.001 \)), and invalid (20.3% vs 0%, \( P < 0.001 \)) test results.
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