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Abstract

Analyzing compound nouns is one of the crucial issues for natural language processing systems, in particular for those systems that aim at a wide coverage of domains. In this paper, we propose a method to analyze structures of Japanese compound nouns by using both word collocations statistics and a thesaurus. An experiment is conducted with 160,000 word collocations to analyze compound nouns of with an average length of 4.9 characters. The accuracy of this method is about 80%.

1 Introduction

Analyzing compound nouns is one of the crucial issues for natural language processing systems, in particular for those systems that aim at a wide coverage of domains. Registering all compound nouns in a dictionary is an impractical approach, since we can create a new compound noun by combining nouns. Therefore, a mechanism to analyze the structure of a compound noun from the individual nouns is necessary.

In order to identify structures of a compound noun, we must first find a set of words that compose the compound noun. This task is trivial for languages such as English, where words are separated by spaces. The situation is worse, however, in Japanese where no spaces are placed between words. The process to identify word boundaries is usually called segmentation. In processing languages such as Japanese, ambiguities in segmentation should be resolved at the same time as analyzing structure. For instance, the Japanese compound noun “SinGataKanse-tuZei” (new indirect tax), produces 16 (= 2^4) segmentations possibilities for this case. (By consulting a Japanese dictionary, we would filter out some.) In this case, we have two remaining possibilities: “Sin (new)/Gata (type)/Kansetu (indirect)/Zei(tax)” and “SinGata (new)/Kansetu (indirect)/Zei (tax).” We must choose the correct segmentation, “SinGata (new)/Kansetu (indirect)/Zei (tax)” and analyze structure.

Segmentation of Japanese is difficult only when using syntactic knowledge. Therefore, we could not always expect a sequence of correctly segmented words as an input to structure analysis. The information of structures is also expected to improve segmentation accuracy.

There are several researches that are attacking this problem. Fuzisaki et al. applied the HMM model to segmentation and probabilistic CFG to analyzing the structure of compound nouns 3. They improve the accuracy of their method is 73% in identifying correct structures of kanzu character sequences with average length is 4.2 characters. In their approach, word boundaries are identified through purely statistical information (the HMM model) without regarding such linguistic knowledge, as dictionaries. Therefore, the HMM model may suggest an improper character sequence as a word. Furthermore, since nonterminal symbols of CFG are derived from a statistical analysis of word collocations, their number tends to be large and so the number of CFG rules are also large. They assumed compound nouns consist of only one character words and two character words. It is questionable whether this method can be extended to handle cases that include more than two character words without lowering accuracy.

1 Here “/” denotes a boundary of words.
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In this paper, we propose a method to analyze structures of Japanese compound nouns by using word collocational information and a thesaurus. The collocational information is acquired from a corpus of four kanzi character words. The outline of procedures to acquire the collocational information is as follows:

- extract collocations of nouns from a corpus of four kanzi character words
- replace each noun in the collocations with thesaurus categories, to obtain the collocations of thesaurus categories
- count occurrence frequencies for each collocational pattern of thesaurus categories

For each possible structure of a compound noun, the preference is calculated based on this collocational information and the structure with the highest score wins.

Hindle and Rooth also used collocational information to solve ambiguities of pp-attachment in English [5]. Ambiguities are resolved by comparing the strength of associativity between a preposition and a verb and the preposition and a nominal head. The strength of associativity is calculated on the basis of occurrence frequencies of word collocations in a corpus. Besides the word collocations information, we also use semantic knowledge, namely, a thesaurus.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 explains the knowledge for structure analysis of compound nouns and the procedures to acquire it from a corpus, Section 3 describes the analysis algorithm, and Section 4 describes the experiments that are conducted to evaluate the performance of our method, and Section 5 summarizes the paper and discusses future research directions.

2 Collocational Information for Analyzing Compound Nouns

This section describes procedures to acquire collocational information for analyzing compound nouns from a corpus of four kanzi character words. What we need is occurrence frequencies of all word collocations. It is not realistic, however, to collect all word collocations. We use collocations from thesaurus categories that are word abstractions.

The procedures consist of the following four steps:

1. collect four kanzi character words (section 2.1)
2. divide the above words in the middle to produce pairs of two kanzi character words; if one is not in the thesaurus, this four kanzi character word is discarded (section 2.1)
3. assign thesaurus categories to both two kanzi character word (section 2.2)
4. count occurrence frequencies of category collocations (section 2.3)

2.1 Collecting Word Collocations

We use a corpus of four kanzi character words as the knowledge source of collocational information. The reasons are as follows:

- In Japanese, kanzi character sequences longer than three are usually compound nouns. This tendency is confirmed by comparing the occurrence frequencies of kanzi character words in texts and those headwords in dictionaries. We investigated the tendency by using sample texts from newspaper articles and encyclopedias, and Bunrui Goi Hyou (BGH for short), which is a standard Japanese thesaurus. The sample texts include about 220,000 sentences. We found that three character words and longer represent 4% in the thesaurus, but 71% in the sample texts. Therefore a collection of four kanzi character words would be used as a corpus of compound nouns.

- There is a fairly large corpus of four kanzi character words created by Prof. Tanaka Yasuhito at Aiti Syukutoku college. The corpus was manually created from newspaper articles and includes about 160,000 words.

2.2 Assigning Thesaurus Categories

After collecting word collocations, we must assign a thesaurus category to each word. This is a difficult task because some words are assigned multiple categories. In such cases, we have several category collocations from a single word collocation, some of which are incorrect. The choices are as follows:

1. use word collocations with all words is assigned a single category.
2. equally distribute frequency of word collocations to all possible category collocations
(3) calculate the probability of each category collocation and distribute frequency based on these probabilities; the probability of collocations are calculated by using method (2) \[4\]

(4) determine the correct category collocation by using statistical methods other than word collocations \[2 \] [3] [4] [5]

Fortunately, there are few words that are assigned multiple categories in BGH. Therefore, we use method (1). Word collocations containing words with multiple categories represent about 1/3 of the corpus. If we used other thesauruses, which assign multiple categories to more words, we would need to use method (2), (3), or (4).

2.3 Counting Occurrence of Category Collocations

After assigning the thesaurus categories to words, we count occurrence frequencies of category collocations as follows:

1. collect word collocations, at this time we collect only patterns of word collocations, but we do not care about occurrence frequencies of the patterns
2. replace thesaurus categories with words to produce category collocation patterns
3. count the number of category collocation patterns

Note: we do not care about frequencies of word collocations prior to replacing words with thesaurus categories.

3 Algorithm

The analysis consists of three steps:

1. enumerate possible segmentations of an input compound noun by consulting headwords of the thesaurus (BGH)
2. assign thesaurus categories to all words
3. calculate the preferences of every structure of the compound noun according to the frequencies of category collocations

We assume that a structure of a compound noun can be expressed by a binary tree. We also assume that the category of the right branch of a (sub)tree represents the category of the (sub)tree itself. This assumption exists because Japanese is a head-final language, a modifier is on the left of its modificee. With these assumptions, a preference value of a structure is calculated by recursive function \( p \) as follows:

\[
p(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } t \text{ is leaf} \\
p(l(t)) \cdot p(r(t)) \cdot cv(cat(l(t)), cat(r(t))) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

where function \( l \) and \( r \) return the left and right subtree of the tree respectively, \( cat \) returns thesaurus categories of the argument. If the argument of \( cat \) is a tree, \( cat \) returns the category of the rightmost leaf of the tree. Function \( cv \) returns an associativity measure of two categories, which is calculated from the frequency of category collocation described in the previous section. We would use two measures for \( cv \): \( P(cat_1, cat_2) \) returns the relative frequency of collation \( cat_1 \), which appears on the left side and \( cat_2 \), which appears on the right.

Probability: 
\[
cv_1 = P(cat_1, cat_2)
\]

Modified mutual information statistics (MIS):
\[
cv_2 = \frac{P(cat_1, cat_2)}{P(cat_1, \ast) \cdot P(\ast, cat_2)}
\]

where \( \ast \) means don’t care.

MIS is similar to mutual information used by Church to calculate semantic dependencies between words [1]. MIS is different from mutual information because MIS takes account of the position of the word (left/right).

Let us consider an example “SinGataKanse tuZei”.

Segmentation: two possibilities, 
(1) “SinGata (new)/Kan setu (indirect)/Zei (tax)” and 
(2) “Sin (new)/Gata (type)/Kan setu (indirect)/Zei (tax)” remain as mentioned in section [4]

Category assignment: assigning thesaurus categories provides
(1)’ “SinGata [118]/Kan setu [311]/Zei [137]” and 
(2)’ “Sin [316]/Gata [118:141:111]/Kan setu [311]/Zei [137],”
A three-digit number stands for a thesaurus category. A colon “:” separates multiple categories assigned to a word.

Preference calculation: For the case (1)’, the possible structures are
[[[118, 311], 137] and [118, 311, 137]].
We represent a tree with a list notation. For the case (2)’, there is an ambiguity with the category “Sin” [118:141:111]. We expand the ambiguity to 15 possible structures. Preferences are calculated for 17 cases. For example,
the preference of structure \([[[118, 311], 137]]\) is calculated as follows:

\[
p([[[118, 311], 137]]) = p([[118, 311]]) \cdot p(137) \cdot cv(311, 137) \\
= p(118) \cdot p(311) \cdot cv(118, 311) \cdot cv(311, 137) \\
= cv(118, 311) \cdot cv(311, 137)
\]

4 Experiments

4.1 Data and Analysis

We extract kanzi character sequences from newspaper editorials and columns and encyclopedia text, which has no overlap with the training corpus: 954 compound nouns consisting of four kanzi characters, 710 compound nouns consisting of five kanzi characters, and 786 compound nouns consisting of six kanzi characters are manually extracted from the set of the above kanzi character sequences. These three collections of compound nouns are used for test data.

We use a thesaurus BGH, which is a standard machine readable Japanese thesaurus. BGH is structured as a tree with six hierarchical levels. Table 1 shows the number of categories at all levels. In this experiment, we use the categories at level 3. If we have more compound nouns as knowledge, we could use a finer hierarchy level.

| Level | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| No. of Cat. | 1 | 3 | 13 | 94 | 510 | 833 | 6023 |

As mentioned in Section 3, we create a set of collocations of thesaurus categories from a corpus of four kanzi character sequences and BGH. We analyze the test data according to the procedures described in Section 4. In segmentation, we use a heuristic “minimizing the number of content words” in order to prune the search space. This heuristics is commonly used in the Japanese morphological analysis. The correct structures of the test data manually created in advance.

4.2 Results and Discussions

Table 2 shows the result of the analysis for four, five, and six kanzi character sequences. “\(\sim n\)” means that the correct answer was not obtained because the heuristics is segmentation filtered out from the correct segmentation. The first row shows the percentage of cases where the correct answer is uniquely identified, no tie. The rows, denoted “\(\sim n\)” shows the percentage of correct answers in the n-th rank.

Table 2 Accuracy of analysis [%]

| rank | 4 kanzi | 5 kanzi | 6 kanzi |
|------|---------|---------|---------|
| \(\sim 1\) | 96 | 96 | 63 | 59 | 48 | 53 |
| \(\sim 2\) | 97 | 96 | 71 | 68 | 54 | 68 |
| \(\sim 3\) | 99 | 99 | 91 | 91 | 89 | 93 |
| \(\sim 4\) | 99 | 99 | 92 | 92 | 91 | 94 |
| \(\infty\) | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 |

Regardless, more than 90% of the correct answers are within the second rank. The probabilistic measure \(cv_1\) provides better accuracy than the mutual information measure \(cv_2\) for five kanzi character compound nouns, but the result is reversed for six kanzi character compound nouns. The results for four kanzi character words are almost equal. In order to judge which measure is better, we need further experiments with longer words.

We could not obtain correct segmentation for 11 out of 954 cases for four kanzi character words, 39 out of 710 cases for five kanzi character words, and 15 out of 787 cases for six kanzi character words. Therefore, the accuracy of segmentation candidates are 99% (943/954), 94.5% (671/710) and 98.1% (772/787) respectively. Segmentation failure is due to words missing from the dictionary and the heuristics we adopted.

As mentioned in Section 1, it is difficult to correct segmentation by using only syntactic knowledge. We used the heuristics to reduce ambiguities in segmentation, but ambiguities may remain. In these experiments, there are 75 cases where ambiguities can not be solved by the heuristics. There are 11 such cases for four kanzi character words, 35 such cases for five kanzi character words, and 29 cases for six kanzi character words. For such cases, the correct segmentation can be uniquely identified by applying the structure analysis for 7, 19, and 17 cases, and the correct structure can be uniquely identified for 7, 10, and 8 cases for all collections of test data by using \(cv_1\). On the other hand, 4, 18, and 21 cases correctly segmented and 7, 11, and 8 cases correctly analyzed their structures for all collections by using \(cv_2\).

For a sequence of segmented words, there are several possible structures. Table 3 shows possible structures for four words sequence and their occurrence in all data collections. Since a compound noun of our test data consists of four, five, and six characters, there could be cases with a compound noun consisting of four, five, or six words. In the current data collections, however, there are no such cases.
In Table 3, we find significant deviation over occurrences of structures. This deviation has strong correlation with the distance between modifers and modifiees. The rightmost column (labeled $\Sigma d$) shows sums of distances between modifers and modifiee contained in the structure. The distance is measured based on the number of words between a modifier and a modifiee. For instance, the distance is one, if a modifier and a modifiee are immediately adjacent.

The correlation between the distance and the occurrence of structures tells us that a modifier tends to modify a closer modifiee. This tendency has been experimentally proven by Maruyama [7]. The tendency is expressed by the formula that follows:

$$q(d) = 0.54 \cdot d^{-1.896}$$

where $d$ is the distance between two words and $q(d)$ is the probability when two words of said distance is $d$ and have a modification relation.

We redifined $cv$ by taking this tendency as the formula that follows:

$$cv' = cv \cdot q(d)$$

where $cv'$ is redifined $cv$. Table 3 shows the result by using new $cv$s. We obtained significant improvement in 5 kanzi and 6 kanzi collection.

**Table 3** Table of possible structures

| structure | 5 kanzi | 6 kanzi | $\Sigma d$ |
|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|
| $[w_1]$  | 0      | 1      | 0         |
| $[w_1, w_2]$ | 268   | 85     | 1         |
| $[w_1, w_2, w_3]$ | 269   | 405    | 2         |
| $[w_1, [w_2, w_3]]$ | 96     | 166    | 3         |
| $[w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4]$ | 16     | 48     | 3         |
| $[w_1, w_2, [w_3, w_4]]$ | 13     | 45     | 4         |
| $[w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4]$ | 2      | 3      | 6         |
| $[w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4]$ | 3      | 8      | 5         |
| $[w_1, [w_2, w_3, w_4]]$ | 4      | 11     | 4         |

**Table 4** Accuracy of analysis [%]

| rank | 4 kanzi | 5 kanzi | 6 kanzi |
|------|--------|--------|--------|
|      | $cv_1$ | $cv_2$ | $cv_1$ | $cv_2$ | $cv_1$ | $cv_2$ |
| 1    | 96     | 97     | 73     | 79     | 62     | 70     |
| $\sim 1$ | 97     | 97     | 73     | 79     | 62     | 71     |
| $\sim 2$ | 99     | 99     | 91     | 92     | 90     | 94     |
| $\sim 3$ | 99     | 99     | 93     | 93     | 92     | 96     |
| $\sim 4$ | 0.1    | 0.1    | 2      | 2      | 5      | 3      |
| $\infty$ | 1      | 1      | 5      | 5      | 1      | 1      |

We assumed that the thesaurus category of a tree be represented by the category of its right branch subtree because Japanese is a head-final language. However, when a right subtree is a word such as suffixes, this assumption does not always hold true.

Since our ultimate aim is to analyze semantic structures of compound nouns, then dealing with only the grammatical head is not enough. We should take semantic heads into consideration. In order to do so, however, we need knowledge to judge which subtree represents the semantic features of the tree. This knowledge may be extracted from corpora and machine readable dictionaries.

A certain class of Japanese nouns (called *Saiken meisi*) may behave like verbs. Actually, we can make verbs from these nouns by adding a special verb “-suru.” These nouns have case frames just like ordinary verbs. With compound nouns including such nouns, we could use case frames and selectional restrictions to analyze structures. This process would be almost the same as analyzing ordinary sentences.

## 5 Concluding Remarks

We propose a method to analyze Japanese compound nouns using collocational information and a thesaurus. We also describe a method to acquire the collocational information from a corpus of four kanzi character words. The method to acquire collocational information is dependent on the Japanese character, but the method to calculate preferences of structures is applicable to any language with compound nouns.

The experiments show that when the method analyzes compound nouns with an average length 4.9, it produces an accuracy rate of about 83%.

We are considering those future works that follow:

- incorporate other syntactic information, such as affixes knowledge
- use another semantic information as well as thesauruses, such as selectional restriction
- apply this method to disambiguate other syntactic structures such as dependency relations
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