A COMBINATORIAL DERIVATION OF THE RACAH-SPEISER ALGORITHM FOR GROMOV-WITTEN INVARIANTS
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ABSTRACT. Using a finite-dimensional Clifford algebra a new combinatorial product formula for the small quantum cohomology ring of the complex Grassmannian is presented. In particular, Gromov-Witten invariants can be expressed through certain elements in the Clifford algebra, this leads to a \( q \)-deformation of the Racah-Speiser algorithm allowing for their computation in terms of Kostka numbers. The second main result is a simple and explicit combinatorial formula for projecting product expansions in the quantum cohomology ring onto the \( \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n) \) Verlinde algebra. This projection is non-trivial and amounts to an identity between numbers of rational curves intersecting Schubert varieties and dimensions of moduli spaces of generalised \( \theta \)-functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In representation theory the Racah-Speiser algorithm \([15], [20]\) (see also \([7, Exercise\ 25.31]\)) computes multiplicities in the tensor product decomposition of irreducible modules of semi-simple Lie algebras in terms of weight multiplicities. For \( \mathfrak{sl}(n) \) the tensor product multiplicities coincide with the celebrated Littlewood-Richardson coefficients and the weight multiplicities with the Kostka numbers, both of which can be defined combinatorially by counting Littlewood-Richardson and semi-standard tableaux, respectively (see e.g. \([6]\) for details). Alternatively, one can interpret the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients as intersection numbers of Schubert varieties, i.e. as structure constants of the cohomology ring \( H^*(\text{Gr}_{n,n+k}) \) of the complex Grassmannian \( \text{Gr}_{n,n+k} \) of \( n \)-dimensional subspaces in \( \mathbb{C}^{n+k} \).

Denote by \( qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,n+k}) \) the small quantum cohomology ring which is a particular \( q \)-deformation of \( H^*(\text{Gr}_{n,n+k}) \) and whose structure constants are 3 point genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants; details will be given in the text. Employing the Clifford algebra (or free fermion) formulation of \( qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,n+k}) \) given in \([12, Part\ II]\) a new combinatorial product formula for the quantum cohomology ring is presented. As a consequence one obtains a modified, ‘quantum version’ of the Racah-Speiser algorithm which allows one to compute also Gromov-Witten invariants in terms of Kostka numbers. Several explicit examples are provided and comparison is made with alternative methods such as the rim-hook algorithm of Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine and Fulton \([3]\).

In the second part of the paper we discuss the \( \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)_k \) fusion ring of the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) model, also known as the Verlinde algebra. This is a non-trivial quotient of the quantum cohomology ring; see Theorem 2.1 below.
and [12] for details. In this article an alternative description of the quotient is presented by proving a simple combinatorial identity between the structure constants of both rings; see (1.13) in the text. This identity between Gromov-Witten invariants and fusion coefficients (the structure constants of the fusion ring) amounts to equating the number of rational curves intersecting Schubert varieties with the dimension of moduli spaces of generalised $\theta$-functions. Whether a geometric interpretation of this result exists is currently an open problem. Exploiting the identity between the structure constants of both rings one can project the ‘quantum Racah-Speiser algorithm’ from the quantum cohomology ring onto the $\mathfrak{sl}(n)_k$ fusion ring and compare the result with what is known as Kac-Walton formula for fusion coefficients [9, 23, 8]. In contrast to this known extension of the Racah-Speiser algorithm’ from the quantum cohomology ring onto the quantum Racah-Speiser algorithm which employs the Racah-Speiser algorithm’ from the quantum cohomology ring onto the quantum Racah-Speiser algorithm which employs the quantum cohomology algorithm which employs the affine Weyl group, the present algorithm only uses the finite Weyl group, i.e. the symmetric group, and the outer Dynkin diagram automorphism of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$. Finally, we make contact with the combinatorial description of the fusion ring contained in [12, Part I] by demonstrating that it constitutes yet another algorithm which is ‘dual’ to the one obtained by projection from the quantum cohomology ring.

1.1. Free fermion formulation of quantum cohomology. I shall summarize the main results deferring proofs to Section 3. Fix $N = n + k$ in $\mathbb{N}$. Here $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the dimension and $k = N - n$ the co-dimension, both of which are allowed to vary in the interval $[0, N]$ in what follows. Recall the following bijection between 01-words and partitions: denote by

$$W_{n,N} = \left\{ w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_N \mid |w| = \sum_i w_i = n , \ w_i \in \{0,1\} \right\}$$

the set of 01-words of length $N$ which contain $n$ one-letters. Denote their positions from right to left by $\ell_1 > \cdots > \ell_n$ with $1 \leq \ell_i \leq N$. Then one has the following bijection $\mathcal{P}_{\leq n,k} \to W_{n,N}$

$$\lambda \mapsto w(\lambda) = 0 \cdots 010 \cdots 010 \cdots 0, \quad \ell_i(\lambda) = \lambda_i + n + 1 - i .$$

We shall denote the image of the inverse of this map by $\lambda(w)$. This correspondence can be easily understood graphically: the Young diagram of the partition $\lambda$ traces out a path in the $n \times k$ rectangle which is encoded in $w$. Starting from the left bottom corner in the $n \times k$ rectangle go one box right for each letter 0 and one box up for each letter 1; see Figure 1.1 for an example.

Consider the vector space

$$\mathfrak{F}_N = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathfrak{F}_{n,N}, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{n,N} = \mathbb{C} W_{n,N},$$

where we set $\mathfrak{F}_0 = \mathbb{C}\{00\cdots 0\} = \mathbb{C}$ and refer to the zero-word $w = 00\cdots 0$ as the vacuum vector $\varnothing$. Define the integers $n_i(w) = w_1 + \cdots + w_i$ which count the number of 1-letters lying in the closed interval $[1, i]$. For $1 \leq i \leq N$ define the linear maps $\psi_i^+, \psi_i : \mathfrak{F}_{n,N} \to \mathfrak{F}_{n\pm 1,N}$ [12],

$$\psi_i^+(w) := \begin{cases} (-1)^{n_{i-1}(w)} w', & w_i = 0 \text{ and } w'_j = w_j + \delta_{i,j} \\ 0, & w_i = 1 \end{cases},$$

$$\psi_i(w) := \begin{cases} (-1)^{n_{i-1}(w)} w', & w_i = 1 \text{ and } w'_j = w_j - \delta_{i,j} \\ 0, & w_i = 0. \end{cases}$$
Figure 1.1. Graphical depiction of a fermion configuration with \( n = 5 \) particles, \( k = 4 \) holes on a circle with \( n + k = 9 \) sites. Below are the corresponding 01-word \( w \), partition \( \lambda = \lambda(w) \) and its Young diagram.

That is, up to a sign factor \( \psi_i^* \) adds a 1-letter in \( w \) at position \( i \). If that is not possible (since \( w_i = 1 \)) then it sends \( w \) to zero. Similarly, \( \psi_i \) adds a zero letter at position \( i \) if allowed. In terms of partitions \( \psi_i^* \) is the map which adds to a Young diagram of a partition \( \mu \) its top row (thereby increasing its height) and then subtracts a boundary ribbon starting in the \( (i-n) \)-diagonal and ending in the top row. Similarly, \( \psi_i \) subtracts the top row of a Young diagram and adds a boundary ribbon.

Example 1.1. To visualize the action of \( \psi_i^* \) consider the special case \( n = k = 4 \) and \( \mu = (4,3,3,1) \): \( \psi_3^* \mu \) is depicted in the figure below, where the entries in the diagram label the diagonals. The \( (3-n) = -1 \)-diagonal determines the start of the boundary ribbon (the shaded boxes) which has to be subtracted:

\[
\psi_3^* \mu = -
\]

\[
w = 010110011 \quad \lambda = (4,4,2,2,1) = \\
\]

For comparison, the action of \( \psi_2 \) on \( \mu \) is

\[
\psi_2 \mu = -
\]

\[
w = 01001101 \quad w' = 01101101
\]

where the shaded boxes now indicate the boundary ribbon which is added to obtain \( \psi_2^* (\mu) = (5,4,4) \).
The physical interpretation of these maps is the creation and annihilation of a (quantum) particle at site \(i\), respectively. Because we imposed the Pauli exclusion principle, only one particle per site is allowed, we refer to these particles as fermions. Henceforth, we shall interpret \(\psi_i, \psi_i^*\) as elements in \(\text{End} \mathfrak{F}_N\).

**Proposition 1.2.** The above endomorphisms \(\psi_i, \psi_i^*\) yield an irreducible representation of the Clifford algebra with relations

\[
\psi_i \psi_j + \psi_j \psi_i = \psi_i^* \psi_j^* + \psi_j^* \psi_i^* = 0, \quad \psi_i \psi_j^* + \psi_j^* \psi_i = \delta_{ij}.
\]

Introducing the scalar product \(\langle w, w' \rangle = \prod_{i} \delta_{w_i, w'_i}\) (anti-linear in the first factor) one has the relation \(\langle \psi_i^* w, w' \rangle = \langle w, \psi_i w' \rangle\) for any pair \(w, w' \in \mathfrak{F}_N\).

The proof is straightforward and can be found in [12]. We are now ready to state the fermion description of \(qH^* (\text{Gr}_{n,N})\). Let \(q\) be the deformation parameter of the quantum cohomology ring and extend the state space as follows, \(\mathfrak{F}_N[q] = \mathbb{C}[q] \otimes \mathfrak{F}_N\). Given any pair \(w, w' \in \mathfrak{F}_{n,N}[q]\) of 01-words let \(\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n,N-n}\) be the corresponding partitions under the bijection \([12]\). Define the following product

\[
\star : \mathfrak{F}_{n,N}[q] \times \mathfrak{F}_{n,N}[q] \to \mathfrak{F}_{n,N}[q],
\]

\[
\lambda \star \mu := \sum_T \psi_{\lambda(n(\mu) + t_n \psi_{\lambda(n-1(\mu))}} + \psi_{t_{n-1} \psi_{\lambda(n-2(\mu))}} + \cdots \circ \mathfrak{F},
\]

where the sum runs over all semi-standard tableaux \(T = T(\lambda)\) of shape \(\lambda\) with \(t_i\) being the number of entries \(i\) in \(T\). The indices of the fermion creation operators in \([1.3]\) can be greater than \(N\); we set \(\tilde{\psi}_i = \psi_i^*\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, N\)

\[
\psi_i^* + N = (-1)^{n+1} q^{\psi_i^*}, \quad \tilde{\psi}_i^* + N = (-1)^{n} q^{\tilde{\psi}_i^*},
\]

where \(n = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{\psi}_i^* \psi_i\) is the ‘particle number operator’.

**Theorem 1.3** (Fermion presentation of quantum cohomology).

(i) \((\mathfrak{F}_{n,N}[q], \star)\) is a commutative, associative and unital algebra.

(ii) Restrict \((\mathfrak{F}_{n,N}[q], \star)\) to \(\mathbb{Z}[q]\), then \((\mathfrak{F}_{n,N}[q], \star) \cong qH^* (\text{Gr}_{n,N})\). In particular, the structure constants \(C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu, d}\) in the product expansion

\[
\lambda \star \mu = \sum_d \sum_{\nu} q^d C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu, d} \nu
\]

are the Gromov-Witten invariants with \(C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu, d} = 0\) unless \(|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu| = Nd\). The partitions \(\nu\) correspond via \([12]\) to the 01-words obtained by acting with the fermion creation operators in \([1.3]\) on the vacuum.

Denote by \(K_{\lambda, \alpha}\) the number of semi-standard Young tableaux of shape \(\lambda\) and weight \(\alpha\), i.e. when \(\alpha\) is a partition \(K_{\lambda, \alpha}\) is the Kostka number. Kostka numbers appear as multiplicities in the representation theory of the symmetric group; see e.g. [6]. Recall that \(K_{\lambda, \alpha} = K_{\lambda, \alpha'}\) for any permutation \(\alpha'\) of \(\alpha\).

**Corollary 1.4** (Quantum Racah-Speiser Algorithm). Let \(\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n,k}\). Given a permutation \(\pi \in S_n\) set

\[
\alpha_i(\pi) = (\ell_i(\nu) - \ell_{\pi(i)}(\mu)) \mod N \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d(\pi) = \# \{ i \mid \ell_i(\nu) - \ell_{\pi(i)}(\mu) < 0 \}
\]

Then one has the following identity for Gromov-Witten invariants,

\[
C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu, d} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n \atop d(\pi) = d} (-1)^{d(\pi) + (n-1)d} K_{\lambda, \alpha(\pi)}.
\]
Setting \( q = 0 \) only the structure constants with \( d = 0 \) survive and the formula (1.7) specialises to the following expression for Littlewood-Richardson coefficients,

\[
(1.8) \quad c_{\lambda \mu}^\nu = \sum_{\pi \in S_k} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\pi)}}{\epsilon(\nu) - \epsilon(\lambda) - \epsilon(\mu)} \cdot
\]

Recall that the Kostka number \( K_{\lambda, \mu} \) gives the multiplicity of the weight \( \mu \) in the \( \mathfrak{sl}(n) \)-representation \( V(\lambda) \) of highest weight \( \lambda \), while the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients coincide with the multiplicity of the highest weight representation \( V(\nu) \) in the tensor product decomposition of \( V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu) \). Thus, this result can be interpreted as a combinatorial derivation of the Racah-Speiser algorithm (also known as Weyl’s method of characters) and we shall therefore refer to (1.7) as ‘quantum Racah-Speiser algorithm’.

In light of the identity (1.7), recall that Kostka numbers can be computed either by Konstant’s multiplicity formula (see e.g. [7]) or recursively (see e.g. [13]),

\[
(1.9) \quad K_{\lambda, (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell, 0, \ldots)} = \sum_{\mu} K_{\lambda - \mu, (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell-1}, 0, \ldots)},
\]

where the sum runs over all compositions \( \mu \) with \( \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that \( \sum \mu_i = \alpha_\ell \) and \( \lambda_i - \mu_i \geq \lambda_{i+1} \). The analogous result in representation theory is known as Freudenthal recursion formula.

1.2. Projection onto the \( \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}_k \) Verlinde algebra. The small quantum cohomology ring can be identified with the fusion ring or Verlinde algebra of the \( \widehat{\mathfrak{u}}(n) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(n) \) WZNW model (at level \((k,n)) \) when specialising to \( q = 1 \); see [24] and references therein. The latter model is a topological field theory. Here we are interested in the Verlinde algebra of the \( \widehat{\mathfrak{u}}(n)_k \cong \mathfrak{sl}(n)_k \) WZNW model, which is a conformal field theory. Because one has the decomposition \( \widehat{\mathfrak{u}}(n) \cong \widehat{\mathfrak{u}}(n) \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{u}}(1) \) one also expects in this case a close relationship, albeit somewhat less trivial, between \( qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,n+k}) \) and the \( \mathfrak{sl}(n)_k \) Verlinde algebra. In [12] this relationship has been made precise (see Theorem 2.1 below) using an explicit combinatorial description of both rings in terms of noncommutative Schur polynomials. Here we shall give an alternative formulation in terms of the structure constants of both rings using a simple combinatorial recipe. Again, only the main results are summarized here, the proofs will be presented in Section 3.

Recall the definition of the \( \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}_k \) Verlinde algebra: denote by

\[
(1.10) \quad P_k^+ = \left\{ \lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} m_i \hat{\omega}_i \mid \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} m_i = k, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}
\]

the set of all dominant integral weights of level \( k \), where the \( \hat{\omega}_i \)'s denote the fundamental affine weights of the affine Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(n) \); see [9] for details. Consider the free abelian group (with respect to addition) generated by \( P_k^+ \) and introduce the so-called fusion product

\[
(1.11) \quad \lambda * \mu = \sum_{\nu \in P_k^+} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda \mu}^{(k) \nu} \nu,
\]
where the structure constants $\lambda^{(k)}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, called fusion coefficients, can be explicitly computed from the Verlinde formula \cite{V} (see equation (1.3) below) and equal the dimension of certain moduli spaces of generalised $\theta$-functions; see \cite{1} and references therein for details. We shall denote the resulting unital, commutative and associative algebra by $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n); \mathbb{C})$ and refer to $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ as the fusion ring.

Product expansions in the quantum cohomology ring $qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,n+k})$ can be projected on to product expansions in the fusion ring. For this one needs to identify basis elements in both rings which requires the notion of column and row reduction injected on to product expansions in the fusion ring. For this one needs to identify

(1.12) \[ \lambda \mapsto \lambda', \quad \mu \mapsto \mu', \quad \nu \mapsto \nu', \]

where $\lambda'$ is the partition obtained by removing all columns of maximal height (here $n$) from the Young diagram of $\lambda$ and $\lambda''$ is the partition obtained after deleting all rows of maximal length (here $k$). Obviously, we have $(\lambda')' = (\lambda'')''$.

Furthermore, we observe that the set $P_k^+$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the partitions $\mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1,k}$ whose Young diagram fits into the $(n-1) \times k$ bounding box. Namely, one defines a bijection $P_k^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1,k}$ by setting

(1.13) \[ \lambda \mapsto (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0, 0, \ldots) \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = m_i, \]

where $m_i$ is the so-called Dynkin label, i.e. the coefficient of the $i$th fundamental weight in (1.10). Vice versa, given a partition $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1,k}$ we shall denote by $\lambda$ the corresponding affine weight in $P_k^+$.

**Proposition 1.5 (Projection of Gromov-Witten invariants).** Let $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n,k}$ and denote by $\lambda', \mu', \nu' \in P_k^+$ the inverse images of $\lambda', \mu', \nu' \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1,k}$ under the bijection (1.13). Then one has the following identity between the associated Gromov-Witten invariant and fusion coefficient

(1.14) \[ C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{\nu',d} = \gamma^{(k)}_{\lambda'\mu'} \]

where $\text{rot} : P_k^+ \rightarrow P_k^+$ is the $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$-Dynkin diagram automorphism of order $n$. Employing the second map in (1.13), the analogous equality holds for the $\mathfrak{sl}(k)$-fusion coefficient.

Thus, according to formula (1.14) we can compute from the product expansion of $\lambda \ast \mu$ in the quantum cohomology ring the product expansion of $\lambda' \ast \mu'$ in the fusion ring by simply deleting columns of height $n$ in the associated Young diagrams and then ‘rotating’ each term in the expansion with the Dynkin diagram automorphism $\text{rot}$; see Example 1.3 in the text. Note that this is a genuine projection as products of partitions in $qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,n+k})$ which differ only by $n$-columns are mapped onto the same products in the fusion ring. In fact, $\dim qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,n+k}) = \binom{n+k}{n}$, while $\dim V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n); \mathbb{Z}) = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1}$. Moreover, the identity (1.14) implies via (1.5) and (1.7) a modified Fermion product formula for $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ and an expression for the fusion coefficients in terms of Kostka numbers.

The article is organized as follows: for the benefit of the reader Section 2 reviews the definition of the quantum cohomology ring and states the precise relationship with the Verlinde algebra presented as a quotient in the ring of symmetric functions.
Sections contain the proof of the new product formula (1.5), i.e., Theorem (1.3). We discuss explicit examples where we compare with the rim hook and other known algorithms in the literature. In Section 4 we derive the projection formula (1.14) using the Bertram-Vafa-Intrilligator and Verlinde formula for Gromov-Witten invariants and fusion coefficients, respectively. We also discuss how product expansions in the fusion ring can be ‘lifted’ to the quantum cohomology ring. First ‘lifting’ and then projecting we demonstrate how recursion formulae for Gromov-Witten invariants derived in [12] lead to analogous relations for the recursive computation of fusion coefficients. Again explicit examples are presented to illustrate the general formulæ.
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2. Reminder: Quantum Cohomology and Gromov-Witten Invariants

Starting with the non-deformed cohomology ring we briefly recall the definition of the quantum cohomology ring; for details and references see e.g. [2], [4], [21]. Fix a standard flag, \( C^1 \subset C^2 \subset \cdots \subset C^N \), then a basis of \( H^*(Gr_{n,k}) \) is given in terms of Schubert classes \( [\Omega_\lambda] \) which are the fundamental cohomology classes of the Schubert varieties

\[
\Omega_\lambda = \{ V \in Gr_{n,k} \mid \dim(V \cap C^{k+i-\lambda_i}) \geq i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \},
\]

where \( \lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n) \) is a partition whose associated Young diagram fits into a \( n \times k \) rectangle with \( k = N - n \) as before. We shall identify partitions with their Young diagrams and denote this set by \( \mathcal{P}_{\leq n,k} \). Within the basis of Schubert classes the multiplication in \( H^*(Gr_{n,k}) \) is determined through the product expansion

\[
[\Omega_\lambda] \cup [\Omega_\mu] = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq n,k}} c^\nu_{\mu\lambda}[\Omega_\nu], \quad c^\nu_{\mu\lambda} := \langle \Omega_\lambda, \Omega_\mu, \Omega_\nu \rangle,
\]

where the structure constants are the intersection numbers of the corresponding Schubert varieties \( \Omega_\lambda, \Omega_\mu, \Omega_\nu \) and \( \nu^c \) denotes the complement \( (k - \nu_n, \ldots, k - \nu_1) \) of \( \nu \) in the \( n \times k \) rectangle. The non-negative integers \( c^\nu_{\mu\lambda} \) coincide with the celebrated Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. In particular, the map \( [\Omega_\lambda] \mapsto s_\lambda \), where \( s_\lambda \) is the Schur polynomial in the ring of symmetric functions, provides a ring isomorphism

\[
H^*(Gr_{n,k}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[e_1, \ldots, e_n]/\langle h_{k+1}, \ldots, h_{n+k-1} \rangle
\]

with \( e_r = s_{(1^r)} \) and \( h_r = s_{(r)} \) denoting the elementary and complete symmetric polynomial of degree \( r \); see e.g. [13] for the definitions of the mentioned symmetric functions. The quotient condition \( h_{k+1} = \cdots = h_{n+k-1} = 0 \) ensures that \( s_\nu = 0 \) if \( \nu \notin \mathcal{P}_{\leq n,k} \). Further details can be found in e.g. [6].

The (small) quantum cohomology ring \( qH^*(Gr_{n,k}) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^*(Gr_{n,k}) \) as a \( \mathbb{Z}[q] \)-module, where \( q \) is a variable of degree \( N = n + k \). Set \( \sigma_\lambda = 1 \otimes [\Omega_\lambda] \) and define the ring structure now with respect to the ‘\( q \)-deformed’ product

\[
\sigma_\lambda \star \sigma_\mu = \sum_d \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq n,k}} q^d C^\nu_{\lambda\mu} \sigma_\nu,
\]
where $C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu,d} = \langle \Omega_{\lambda}, \Omega_{\mu}, \Omega_{\nu} \rangle_d$ are the three-point, genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants which count the number of rational curves of finite degree $d$ intersecting generic translates of the Schubert varieties specified by the partitions $\lambda, \mu$ and $\nu$. One can show that $C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu,d} = 0$ unless $|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu| = dN$. As in the non-deformed case there exists also here a presentation in the ring of symmetric functions which is due to Siebert and Tian [18].

\[(2.5) \quad qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,N}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[e_1, \ldots, e_n]) / (h_{k+1}, \ldots, h_{n+k-1}, h_{n+k} + (-1)^n q) .\]

Again one identifies $\sigma_\lambda \mapsto s_\lambda$ under this isomorphism. Setting $q = 0$ one recovers the non-deformed cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Gr}_{n,N})$, i.e. the Gromov-Witten invariants specialise for $d = 0$ to the intersection numbers of the respective Schubert varieties.

There is a close relationship between the quantum cohomology ring and the $\mathfrak{sl}(n)_k$-Verlinde algebra which can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 2.1.** Employing the presentation (2.5) of the quantum cohomology ring and $qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,N}) \cong qH^*(\mathfrak{sl}(k)_N)$ one has the ring isomorphisms [12, Theorem 1.3],

\[(2.6) \quad V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n); \mathbb{Z}) \cong qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,N+k}) / (e_n - 1, q - h_k) \]
\[(2.7) \quad \cong qH^*(\text{Gr}_{k,n+k}) / (h_n - 1, q - e_k) .\]

In Section 4 we will relate these isomorphisms to concrete algorithms for the computation of fusion coefficients. While the isomorphism (2.6) has not explicitly been stated in [12] it is implicit in the results therein; we will discuss its proof also in Section 4.

### 3. PROOF AND EXAMPLE OF THE FERMION PRODUCT FORMULA

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is straightforward, however, it requires several known results which are recalled first.

For $N \geq 2$ define the following $N$-letter noncommutative alphabet $\subset \text{End } \mathfrak{F}_N[q]$,\n
\[(3.1) \quad u_i = \psi_{i+1}^* \psi_i , \quad i = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_N = (-1)^{n-1} q \psi_1^* \psi_N ,\]

then one has the following result [12, Proposition 9.1]:

**Proposition 3.1.** The (noncommutative) subalgebra in $\text{End } \mathfrak{F}_N[q]$ generated by the $u_i$’s provides a faithful representation of the affine nil-Temperley-Lieb algebra. That is, the following relations hold

\[(3.2) \quad u_i^2 = u_i u_{i+1} u_i = u_{i+1} u_i u_i = 0 , \quad u_i u_j = u_j u_i \quad \text{if} |i - j| > 1 \text{ mod } N ,\]

where all indices are understood modulo $N$.

We now introduce special commuting elements in $\text{End } \mathfrak{F}_N[q]$ which correspond to the elementary and complete symmetric functions in the noncommutative alphabet $U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_N\}$; compare with [13] and [12, Definition 9.4].

**Definition 3.2** (noncommutative symmetric polynomials). For $r = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1$ let

\[(3.3) \quad e_r = \sum_{|I| = r} \prod_{i \in I} u_i \quad \text{and} \quad h_r = \sum_{|I| = r} \prod_{i \in I} u_i ,\]

where $\prod_{i \in I} u_i$ is the clockwise ordered product of the letters $u_i$ such that if $i, i+1 \in I$ the letter $u_{i+1}$ appears before $u_i$. The counterclockwise product $\prod_{i \in I} u_i$ is obtained
by reversing the previous cyclic order. We also set $h_N = (-1)^{n-1}q$ and $e_N|_{\mathcal{F}_{n,N}[q]} = 0$ except when $n = N$, where $e_N|_{\mathcal{F}_{N,N}[q]} = q$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.3 we will make use of the following Proposition and Theorem which originally are due to Postnikov [14]. An alternative proof of these facts using the particle picture and the associated Clifford algebra can be found in [12, Part II].

**Proposition 3.3.** The elements in the set $\{e_r, h_s\}$ pairwise commute. Thus, the noncommutative Schur polynomials defined via the (equivalent) determinant formulae

$$s_\lambda = \det(e_{\lambda_i-i+j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N} = \det(h_{\lambda_i-i+j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}.$$  

satisfy all the familiar relations from the ring of commutative symmetric functions. In particular, one has the specialisations $s_{1^r} = e_r$ and $s_{r} = h_r$.

**Theorem 3.4 (Combinatorial quantum cohomology ring).** Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and consider the $n$-particle subspace $\mathfrak{F}_{n,N}[q] \subset \mathfrak{F}_{N,N}[q]$. The assignment

$$\lambda, \mu \rightarrow \lambda \star \mu := s_{\lambda \mu}$$

for basis elements $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n,k}$ turns $\mathfrak{F}_{n,N}[q]$ into a commutative, associative and unital $\mathbb{C}[q]$-algebra whose integral form is isomorphic to the quantum cohomology ring $qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,N})$. In particular, its structure constants are given by the matrix elements of the noncommutative Schur polynomial, $\langle \nu, s_{\lambda \mu} \rangle = C_{\nu,d}^{\lambda \mu} q^d$.

**Remark 3.5.** Comparing (3.5) with (1.5) one can convince oneself that the latter product formulation presents a simplification. For instance, choosing $n = 4$, $k = 3$ and $\lambda = (2, 2, 1, 0)$ according to (3.5) one first needs to compute the determinant in (3.4), $s_\lambda = e_2 e_3 - e_1 e_4$, and then multiply out the elementary symmetric polynomials in the noncommutative alphabet $\{u_1, \ldots, u_7\}$ before acting with the individual monomial terms in the expansion on the diagram $\mu$. Below we see the simplified computation in terms of (1.5). However, the product description (3.5) in terms of noncommutative Schur polynomials is more convenient when proving associativity; see [12].

As explained in [12, Section 11] the main advantage of the fermion formalism is that it allows to relate products in different quantum cohomology rings and, thus, to successively create all rings $qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,N})$ for $n = 0, \ldots, N$. The crucial result is the following commutation relation of fermion creation and annihilation operators and noncommutative Schur functions [12, Proposition 11.4].

**Proposition 3.6.** The following commutation relations hold true,

$$s_\lambda \psi^*_i = \sum_{r=0}^{\lambda_1} \psi^*_{i+r} \sum_{\lambda/\mu = (r)} \bar{s}_\mu,$$

where $\bar{s}_\mu$ denotes the noncommutative Schur polynomial (3.4) with $q$ replaced by $-q$ and we impose again the quasi-periodic boundary conditions $\psi^*_{i+N} = (-1)^{n-1}q^{\psi^*_i}$.

We now have collected all the necessary ingredients to prove the main result.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3 and derivation of (1.5).** Given any pair $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n,k}$ denote by $w, w'$ the associated 01-words in $\mathfrak{F}_{n,N}[q]$. Any word can be written in the form
\[ w = \psi_{t_n}^* \cdots \psi_{t_2}^* \psi_{t_1}^* \emptyset \] with \( 1 \leq \ell_n < \ell_{n-1} < \cdots < \ell_1 \leq N \). Thus, repeated application of the above commutation relation (3.6) yields

\[
\lambda \ast \mu = s_{\lambda \mu} = s_{\lambda \mu} \psi_{\ell_n(\mu)}^* \cdots \psi_{\ell_2(\mu)}^* \psi_{\ell_1(\mu)}^* \emptyset = \sum_{\rho_{n-1}} \psi_{\ell_n(\mu)+|\lambda/\rho_{n-1}|}^* s_{\rho_{n-1}} \psi_{\ell_{n-1}(\mu)}^* \cdots \psi_{\ell_1(\mu)}^* \emptyset \\
= \sum_{\rho_{n-2} \rho_{n-1}} \psi_{\ell_{n-1}(\mu)+|\lambda/\rho_{n-1}|}^* s_{\rho_{n-2}} s_{\rho_{n-1}} \psi_{\ell_{n-2}(\mu)}^* \psi_{\ell_{n-3}(\mu)}^* \cdots \psi_{\ell_1(\mu)}^* \emptyset \\
\vdots
\]

where the sums run over all partitions \( \rho_i \) such that \( \rho_n = \lambda, \rho_0 = \emptyset \) and \( \rho_{n-1}/\rho_{n-i} \) is a horizontal strip. The constraint \( \rho_0 = \emptyset \) simply follows from the fact that \( s_\mu \emptyset \) is only nonzero for \( \rho = \emptyset \). Such a sequence of partitions is equivalent to a (semi-standard) tableau \( T \), where \( \rho_i \) is obtained by taking the shape of \( T \) after deleting all boxes with entries \( > i \). Hence, the assertion (1.5) now follows from Theorem 3.4. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.7.** In [12] Proposition 11.4] a second commutation relation for the fermion annihilation operators and the noncommutative Schur polynomials has been derived. The latter leads to a product formula analogous to (1.5) where one replaces the product of the fermion creation operators acting on \( w = 00 \cdots 0 \) by a product in the \( \psi_i \)’s acting on the word \( w = 11 \cdots 1 \). Since this formula can be easily obtained by applying the parity and particle-hole duality transformations discussed in [12] Section 8.3] we omit it here.

**Proof of Corollary 1.4** The proof is immediate as the product formula (1.5) implies that the structure constants are given by the following sum over matrix elements (‘vacuum expectation values’) in the Clifford algebra,

\[
C_{\lambda \nu}^{\omega \mu} = \sum_{T=[\lambda]} (-1)^{d(n-1)} \langle \emptyset, \psi_{\ell_1(\nu)}^* \cdots \psi_{\ell_n(\nu)}^* \psi_{\ell_n(\mu)+t_n}^* \cdots \psi_{\ell_1(\mu)+t_1}^* \emptyset \rangle,
\]

where all indices are now understood modulo \( N \) and \( d = \# \{ i \mid \ell_i(\mu) + t_i > N \} \) because of (1.6). For fixed weight vector \( \alpha = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \) the same matrix elements appears \( K_{\lambda, \alpha} \) times by definition of the Kostka numbers. The resulting particle positions \( \ell_i(\mu) + t_i \) must up to a permutation \( \pi \) coincide with those of the partition \( \nu \). Since the fermion operators anticommute the sign factor \( (-1)^{\ell(\pi)} \) follows and with the asserted identity. \( \square \)

**Example 3.8.** Set \( N = 7 \) and \( k = N - n = 4 \). Consider the partitions \( \lambda = (2, 2, 1, 0) \) and \( \mu = (3, 3, 2, 1) \). Converting \( \mu \) into a 01-word we find for the positions of the 1-letters \( \ell(\mu) = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_4) = (7, 6, 4, 2) \). Writing down all Young tableau of shape \( \lambda \) with weight vectors \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_4) \) one finds that the non-trivial contributions come from

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
2 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
3 & 4 & & & & & & & \\
(9, 7, 3, 3) & (9, 7, 3, 3) & (9, 8, 4, 3) & (9, 6, 5, 4) & (8, 7, 6, 3) & (7, 8, 6, 3) & (8, 7, 5, 4) & (8, 7, 5, 4) & (7, 8, 5, 4) \\
\end{array}
\]
Below each tableau we have listed the resulting ‘particle positions’ \( \ell_i' = \ell_i(\mu) + t_i \) appearing in (1.3) as indices of the fermion creation operators \( \psi_i^* \). We have dropped all those tableaux from the list for which two positions coincide. For instance, the not listed Young tableau

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 \\
2 & 2 \\
3 &
\end{array}
\]

yields after applying (1.6) the following product of fermion creation operators,

\[
\psi_{\ell_4}^* \psi_{\ell_3+1}^* \psi_{\ell_2+2}^* \psi_{\ell_1+2}^* = -q^2 \psi_2^* \psi_5^* \psi_1^* \psi_2^* = 0 .
\]

The latter vanishes because of the Clifford algebra relations (1.4), which imply

\[ (\psi_i^*)^2 = 0. \]

In contrast the last three tableaux listed above,

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 3 \\
2 & 4 \\
4 &
\end{array}
, \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 \\
3 & 4 \\
4 &
\end{array}
, \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 \\
3 & 4 \\
4 &
\end{array}
, \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & 7 & 5 \\
7 & 8 & 5 \\
4 &
\end{array}
, \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & 7 & 5 \\
7 & 8 & 5 \\
4 &
\end{array}
, \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & 7 & 5 \\
7 & 8 & 5 \\
4 &
\end{array}
, \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & 7 & 5 \\
7 & 8 & 5 \\
4 &
\end{array}

yield the same 01-word \( w = 1001101 \) (\( \lambda(w) = (3, 2, 1, 1, 1) \)) but with changing sign,

\[
\psi_{\ell_4+2}^* \psi_{\ell_3+1}^* \psi_{\ell_2+1}^* \psi_{\ell_1+1}^* \Theta = \psi_{\ell_4+2}^* \psi_{\ell_3+1}^* \psi_{\ell_2+1}^* \psi_{\ell_1+1}^* \Theta = -\psi_{\ell_4+2}^* \psi_{\ell_3+1}^* \psi_{\ell_2+1}^* \psi_{\ell_1+1}^* \Theta = q^2 \psi_1^* \psi_4^* \psi_5^* \psi_7^* \Theta .
\]

The relevant Kostka numbers are \( K_{\lambda, (2, 1, 1, 1)} = 2 \) and \( K_{\lambda, (2, 2, 1, 0)} = 1 \). Converting the other tableaux in the same manner into 01-words paying attention to the quasi-periodic boundary conditions (1.6) we obtain the product expansion

\[
(3.8) \quad \star = q + 2q + q + q + q^2 \Theta .
\]

3.1. **Comparison with the rim-hook algorithm.** Bertram, Ciocan-Fontanine and Fulton gave the following expression for Gromov-Witten invariants in terms of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients [3, p735],

\[
(3.9) \quad C_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu, d} = \sum_{\rho} \varepsilon(\rho/\nu) c_{\lambda \mu}^{\rho} , \quad \varepsilon(\rho/\nu) = \prod_i (-1)^{n - \text{width}(r_i)} ,
\]

where the sum runs over all Young diagrams \( \rho \) which are obtained from \( \nu \) by adding \( d \) rim hooks \( r_i \) each consisting of \( N \) boxes and starting in the first column. The integer \( \text{width}(r_i) \) is the number of columns the rim hook \( r_i \) occupies; see [3] for details.

Since Kostka numbers can be viewed as special cases of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients [11],

\[
(3.10) \quad K_{\lambda, \alpha} = c_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu(\alpha)} \quad \text{with} \quad \mu_j(\alpha) := \sum_{j \geq i} \alpha_j , \quad \nu_i(\alpha) := \sum_{j \geq i} \alpha_j ,
\]

one might ask whether the expression (1.7) for Gromov-Witten invariants coincides with the known expression from the rim-hook algorithm.
Example 3.9. To illustrate the algorithm we adopt Example 1 from [3, p735]. Set $k = n = 5$ and $\lambda = (5,4,4,2,2), \mu = (3,2,1), \nu = (2,1)$. Then $d = (|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu|)/N = (17 + 6 - 3)/10 = 2$ and
\[
C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu,d} = c_{\lambda\mu}^{(5,4,4,3,2,2,2)} - c_{\lambda\mu}^{(5,4,4,3,2,2,2,1)} = 2 - 1 = 1.
\]
In contrast let us determine the expression (1.7) in terms of Kostka numbers. For convenience we swap the roles of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ exploiting that the product is commutative. Converting $\lambda$ and $\nu$ into 01-words we find the following 1-letter positions $\ell(\lambda) = (10, 8, 7, 4, 3)$ and $\ell(\nu) = (7, 5, 3, 2, 1)$. Since the weight vector $\alpha$ must obey the constraints $|\alpha| = |\mu| = 6$ and $\alpha_i \leq \mu_i = 3$, there is only one possibility: $\alpha = (2,3,0,1,0)$ with Young tableau
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 2 \\
4 &
\end{array}
\]
Thus, we find the identity
\[
C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu,d} = c_{\lambda\mu}^{(5,4,4,3,2,2,2)} - c_{\lambda\mu}^{(5,4,4,3,2,2,2,1)} = K_{\mu,(3,2,1)} = c_{(3,2,1),(3,1)} = 1.
\]
Remark 3.10. Equating expressions (1.7) and (3.9) we obtain in general non-trivial identities between Littlewood-Richardson coefficients by employing the identity (3.10).

There exists a ‘dual rim hook algorithm’ [3] for which a simplified version has been stated; see [16], [19] and [4] for details. In the present context we wish to connect it with the free fermion formulation of the quantum cohomology ring and therefore briefly outline its derivation employing (2.5).

3.2. Comparison with the ‘dual rim hook algorithm’. Given two partitions $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi_{\leq n,k}$ one exploits (2.5) to identify the Schubert classes $\sigma_\lambda, \sigma_\mu$ with the Schur polynomials $s_\lambda, s_\mu$. In order to compute the product in $qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,N})$, one first performs the standard Littlewood-Richardson algorithm to obtain the (non-modified) expansion $s_\lambda s_\mu = \sum C_{\lambda\nu}^{\mu} s_\nu$, where $C_{\lambda\nu}^{\mu}$ are again the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. Then one imposes the quotient condition in (2.5) by discarding all terms $s_\nu$ with partitions $\nu$ which contain more than $n$ nonzero parts and by replacing all the remaining Schur polynomials with the polynomials $(-1)^q^d s_{\nu(\nu)}$, $Nd = |\lambda| - |\nu|$ where $\nu(\nu)$ is the unique set of integers such that
\[
v_i(\nu) = v_i \mod N \quad \text{and} \quad i - n \leq v_i(\nu) < i + k.
\]
Recall that Schur polynomials can be defined for arbitrary vectors $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ exploiting the relations [13]
\[
s_{\ldots,b\ldots} = -s_{\ldots,b-1\ldots} \quad \text{and} \quad s_{\ldots,a\ldots} = 0.
\]
Collecting terms the resulting coefficients in the expansion are the Gromov-Witten invariants.

Derivation of the algorithm in the free fermion picture. As the $q$-dependence is trivially deduced from the degree of the Gromov-Witten invariant we set $q = 1$ for simplicity. Let $\mathcal{I} = \langle h_{k+1}, \ldots, h_{n+k}, h_{n+k} + (-1)^n \rangle$ be the two-sided ideal specified in (2.5) and consider the extension of $qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,n+k})$ to the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Similar as in [12] Proof of Theorem 6.20 one shows that $\mathcal{I}$ is radical. Recall from [12 Section 10] that the affine variety $V(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ determined by
this ideal is as a set equal to the solutions of the following system of equations,\[ y_i^{n+k} = (-1)^{n-1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \] which are the free fermion Bethe Ansatz equations and can be solved explicitly. Employing Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz, namely that \[ I \] equals the ideal of polynomials which vanish on \( \mathbb{V}(I) \), one then shows that two functions \( f, g \in \mathbb{C}[e_1, \ldots, e_n]/I \) coincide if and only if they have equal values on the set of solutions of the Bethe Ansatz equations. Thus, since there are only \( n \) variables, \( s_\nu(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = 0 \) for partitions of length \( \ell(\nu) > n \). Given a Schur polynomial \( s_\nu \) with \( \nu > k \) in the Littlewood-Richardson expansion one may write
\[
(3.14) \quad s_\nu(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \pi \left( y_1^{\nu_1} \cdots y_n^{\nu_n} \prod_{i<j} \frac{1}{1-y_j/y_i} \right) = (-1)^{n-1} s_{(\nu_1-n-k, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n)}(y_1, \ldots, y_n),
\]
where the first equality is a standard identity which can be found in e.g. \([13]\). The second identity has used the Bethe Ansatz equations. Repeating this procedure where the first equality is a standard identity which can be found in e.g. \([13]\). The ideal is as a set equal to the solutions of the following system of equations,\[
(4.1) \quad \zeta_i = \exp \frac{2\pi i}{k+n} \]
and can be solved explicitly. Employing Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz, namely that \( \mathbb{V}(I) \) equals the ideal of polynomials which vanish on \( \mathbb{V}(I) \), one then shows that two functions \( f, g \in \mathbb{C}[e_1, \ldots, e_n]/I \) coincide if and only if they have equal values on the set of solutions of the Bethe Ansatz equations. Thus, since there are only \( n \) variables, \( s_\nu(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = 0 \) for partitions of length \( \ell(\nu) > n \). Given a Schur polynomial \( s_\nu \)

4. Proof and Examples of the Projection Formula

As a preliminary step to the proof we again need to recall some technical results first. Throughout this section set \( \zeta = \exp \frac{2\pi i}{k+n} \) and (following \([17]\) define a map \( I: \mathbb{Q}_{\leq n,k} \to \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \right)^n \) through
\[
(4.1) \quad \sigma \mapsto I(\sigma) = (\frac{n+1}{2} + \sigma_n - n, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{2} + \sigma_1 - 1).
\]
By abuse of notation we use the same symbol to denote the analogous map where \( k \) and \( n \) are interchanged, i.e. for \( \sigma^t \), the transpose of \( \sigma \), we set
\[
(4.2) \quad I(\sigma^t) = (\frac{k+1}{2} + \sigma_k^t - k, \ldots, \frac{k+1}{2} + \sigma^t_1 - 1).
\]
Lemma 4.1 (Rietsch). Let $s_\lambda$ denote the Schur polynomial associated with the partition $\lambda$, then one has the identity

$$s_\lambda(\zeta^I) = s_{\lambda'}(\zeta^{-I'}) \quad .$$

Recall the bijection $P^+_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1,k}$ defined in (1.13) for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)_k$ weights, i.e. each $\hat{\lambda} \in P^+_k$ uniquely corresponds to a partition $\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1,k}$ whose associated Young diagram has at most $n-1$ rows and $k$ columns. Likewise there exists a bijection between the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(k)_n$ weights, which we denote by $\widehat{P}^+_n$, and $\mathfrak{P}_{\leq k-1,n}$. Employing these two bijections we shall henceforth label the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)_k$-fusion coefficients $\mathcal{N}$ and the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(k)_n$-fusion coefficients $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ by the respective partitions rather than the affine weights to unburden the notation, e.g.

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{N}}_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu, \quad \hat{\lambda},\hat{\mu},\hat{\nu} \in P^+_k \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda,\mu,\nu \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1,k} \quad .$$

Given a weight $\hat{\lambda} = \sum_i m_i \hat{\omega}_i$ in $P^+_k$ the map rot : $P^+_k \rightarrow P^+_k$ defined via

$$\hat{\lambda} \rightarrow \text{rot} \hat{\lambda} := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} m_{i+1} \hat{\omega}_i$$

is the Dynkin diagram automorphism of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$ of order $n$. We denote by rot its $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(k)$ counterpart. Because of the bijection between weights and partitions respectively Young diagrams the automorphism (4.5) induces a map rot : $P^+_k \rightarrow P^+_k$ which acts by adding a top row of width $k$ and then deleting all columns of height $n$ in the resulting diagram. In the course of our discussion we will also need the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n+k)$ automorphism Rot which we interpret as the following map $\mathfrak{P}_{\leq n,k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n,k}$,

$$\text{Rot}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
(\lambda_1 - 1, \ldots, \lambda_k - 1), & \text{if } w_1(\lambda) = 0 \\
(n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}), & \text{if } w_1(\lambda) = 1 
\end{cases} \quad .$$

To prove the identity (1.14) we also employ the known expressions for the Gromov-Witten invariants and fusion coefficients in terms of the Bertram-Vafa-Intrilligator (see [17] for this particular explicit presentation),

$$C_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu,d} = \frac{1}{(k+n)^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n,k}} \frac{s_{\lambda}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)})s_{\mu}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)})s_{\nu}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)})}{\prod_{i<j}|\zeta^{I(\sigma)} - \zeta^{I_j(\sigma)}|^{-2}} \quad ,$$

and the Verlinde formula for the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)_k$-WZNW fusion ring (see e.g. [5]),

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu,d} = \frac{1}{n(k+n)^{n-1}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n,k}} \frac{s_{\lambda}(\zeta^{|\sigma|} \zeta^{-I(\sigma)})s_{\mu}(\zeta^{|\sigma|} \zeta^{-I(\sigma)})s_{\nu}(\zeta^{-|\sigma|} \zeta^{I(\sigma)})}{\prod_{i<j}|\zeta^{I(\sigma)} - \zeta^{I_j(\sigma)}|^{-2}} \quad ,$$

respectively. Here we have used in (1.13) the Kac-Peterson formula for the modular S-matrix [10]. Both expressions can be derived in a purely combinatorial setting; see [12].

We split the proof of the formula (1.14) into two parts. First we show the following:

Claim 1. Let $\lambda,\mu,\nu \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n,k}$ then the Gromov-Witten invariant $C_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu,d}$ can be written as the following sum of two fusion coefficients

$$C_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu,d} = \frac{n}{k+n} \hat{\mathcal{N}}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\text{rot}^d(\nu')} + \frac{k}{k+n} \hat{\mathcal{N}}_{\hat{\lambda},\mu}^{\text{rot}^d(\nu')} \quad ,$$
where \( \text{rot, } \tilde{\text{rot}} \) are the Dynkin diagram automorphisms introduced earlier.

**Proof.** To derive (4.9) we start by writing the Bertram-Vafa-Intriligator formula (4.7) for \( C_{\lambda \mu}^{r,d} \) as two separate sums,

\[
C_{\lambda \mu}^{r,d} = \frac{1}{(k + n)^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1,k}} \frac{s_{\lambda}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) s_{\mu}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) s_{\nu}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)})}{\prod_{i<j} |\zeta^{I(\sigma)} - \zeta^{I(\sigma)}|^{-2}}
+ \frac{1}{(k + n)^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n, k}/\mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1, k}} \frac{s_{\lambda}(\zeta^{I(\sigma')}) s_{\mu}(\zeta^{I(\sigma')}) s_{\nu}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma')})}{\prod_{i<j} |\zeta^{I(\sigma')} - \zeta^{I(\sigma')}|^{-2}},
\]

i.e. the first sum runs over all partitions \( \sigma \) in the bounding box of height \( n - 1 \) and width \( k \) and the second sum over all \( \sigma \) which have \( n \) rows and at most \( k \) columns.

We are now rewriting the first sum with \( \sigma \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1,k} \) as a fusion formula. Observe that the Pieri-formula for Schur polynomials \([13]\) implies that multiplying \( s_{\nu'}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}) \) with \( c_{\nu}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}) = \zeta^{|\sigma|} \) yields the Schur polynomial with the partition \( \nu' \) plus an additional \( n \)-column. Hence, \( s_{\nu'}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}) = \zeta^{|\sigma|} s_{\nu}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}) \), where \( m_{n}(\nu) \) is the number of \( n \)-columns in \( \nu \). Similarly, it follows from the second Pieri formula for Schur polynomials that multiplying \( s_{\nu'}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}) \) with

\[
h_k(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}) = s_{(k)}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}) = s_{(1^k)}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) = e_k(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) = \zeta^{-|\sigma|}
\]

corresponds to adding a row of width \( k \) to \( \nu' \). Here we have used Lemma 4.1 to rewrite the \( k \)th complete symmetric polynomial. Both formulae then allow us to describe the action of the Dynkin diagram automorphism \( \text{rot} \) (adding a \( k \)-row and then removing all columns with \( n \)-boxes),

\[
s_{\text{rot}^d(\nu)}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}) = \zeta^{-|\sigma| d - (m_{n-1}(\nu) + \cdots + m_{n-d}(\nu)) |\sigma| s_{\nu'}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)})
= \zeta^{-\frac{k+n}{n} |\sigma| d - \frac{|\nu'| - |\text{rot}^d(\nu')|}{n} |\sigma| s_{\nu'}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}),
\]

where

\[
|\text{rot}^d(\nu)| = |\nu| + kd - n(m_{n-1}(\nu) + \cdots + m_{n-d}(\nu))
= |\nu'| + kd - n(m_{n-1}(\nu) + \cdots + m_{n-d}(\nu)).
\]

Therefore, we may rewrite the product of Schur functions in the first sum of (4.10) as

\[
s_{\lambda}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) s_{\mu}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) s_{\nu}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}) =
\zeta^{-\frac{k+n}{n} |\sigma| d - \frac{|\nu| - |\text{rot}^d(\nu')|}{n} |\sigma| s_{\lambda}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) s_{\mu}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) s_{\text{rot}^d(\nu')}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)})
= \zeta^{-\frac{|\lambda| + |\nu| - |\text{rot}^d(\nu')|}{n} |\sigma| s_{\lambda}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) s_{\mu}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) s_{\text{rot}^d(\nu')}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)})
= \zeta^{-\frac{|\lambda| + |\nu| - |\text{rot}^d(\nu')|}{n} |\sigma| s_{\lambda}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) s_{\mu}(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)}) s_{\text{rot}^d(\nu')}(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}).
\]
Thus, the first sum in the decomposition \((4.10)\) becomes

\[
\frac{1}{(k+n)^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq n-1,k}} \frac{s_\lambda(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)})s_\mu(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)})s_\nu(\zeta^{I(\sigma)})}{\prod_{i<j}^n |\zeta^{I_i(\sigma)} - \zeta^{I_j(\sigma)}|^{-2}} =
\]

\[
\frac{1}{(k+n)^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq n-1,k}} \zeta^{(\lambda^{\prime} + \mu^{\prime} - \nu^{\prime})} \frac{s_\lambda(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)})s_\mu(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)})s_\nu(\zeta^{I(\sigma)})}{\prod_{i<j}^n |\zeta^{I_i(\sigma)} - \zeta^{I_j(\sigma)}|^{-2}} =
\]

\[
\frac{1}{k+n} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq k-1,n}} \frac{s_{\lambda^{\prime}}(\zeta^{I(\tilde{\sigma})})s_{\mu^{\prime}}(\zeta^{I(\tilde{\sigma})})s_{\nu^{\prime}}(\zeta^{-I(\tilde{\sigma})})}{\prod_{i<j}^n |\zeta^{I_i(\tilde{\sigma})} - \zeta^{I_j(\tilde{\sigma})}|^{-2}},
\]

where we have used that

\[
\frac{1}{k+n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} |\zeta^{I_i(\sigma)} - \zeta^{I_j(\sigma)}|^2 = \frac{1}{k+n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\zeta^{I_i(\sigma')} - \zeta^{I_j(\sigma')}|^2
\]

as well as

\[
s_\lambda(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)})s_\mu(\zeta^{-I(\sigma)})s_\nu(\zeta^{I(\sigma)}) = s_{\lambda^{\prime}}(\zeta^{-I(\tilde{\sigma})})s_{\mu^{\prime}}(\zeta^{I(\tilde{\sigma})})s_{\nu^{\prime}}(\zeta^{-I(\tilde{\sigma})}).
\]

The second identity is true because of Lemma 4.1 and because \(|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu| = 0 \mod N\). Recall that the Gromov-Witten invariant is only nonzero provided this last condition holds. Hence, we see that the second sum in \((4.9)\) is of the same form as the first sum upon interchanging \(n\) and \(k\) and taking the complex conjugate. Thus, running through exactly the same computation as before with \(k\) and \(n\) interchanged we arrive at \((4.9)\) by exploiting the reality of the fusion coefficients, \(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\lambda \mu} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mu \lambda}^{\text{rot}}\). The latter follows here from the definition \((1.1)\) of the fusion ring where we identified the structure constants with dimensions of certain moduli spaces, but it can also be proved combinatorially; see [12].

The identity \((4.9)\) between Gromov-Witten invariants and fusion coefficients can be further simplified to obtain \((1.14)\) by exploiting rotation invariance and the known level-rank duality between the fusion coefficients of the \(\mathfrak{sl}(n)\) and \(\mathfrak{sl}(k)\)-Verlinde algebras.

**Proposition 4.2** (rotation invariance & level-rank duality). One has the following equalities:

(i) \(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda \mu}^{\text{rot}} = \mathcal{N}_{\mu \lambda}^{\text{rot}} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda \mu}^{\text{rot}^{-1}}\)

(ii) Let \(\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq n-1,k}\) and \((\lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq k-1,n}\) be the \(k\)-column reductions of the transposed partitions, then

\[
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda \mu} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}}^{\text{rot}^{-1}}, \quad \hat{d} = \frac{|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu|}{n}.
\]
We shall accept these results here without proof. Their derivations can be found in textbooks, see e.g. [5].

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Given the previous result (4.9), the identity (1.14) follows from Prop 4.2 (ii) provided we can show that

\[ N_{\alpha \beta}^{\text{rot}(\nu')} = \tilde{N}_{(\lambda')^t(\mu')^t}^{\text{rot}(\nu'')} \]

Let \( m_n(\nu) \) denote the multiplicity of \( n \)-columns in the Young diagram of \( \nu \). Then we have the identity

\[ (4.11) \]

\[ P \leq k - 1, n - 1 \ni ((\nu')^t)' = \tilde{\rot} - m_n(\nu)(\nu'')^t, \]

where the first prime indicates removal of all \( n \)-columns and the second one removal of all \( k \)-columns. If \( m_n(\nu) = 0 \) this identity is obvious. For \( m_n(\nu) > 0 \) the removal of a column of \( n \)-boxes in the Young diagram of \( \nu \) obviously corresponds to subtracting a row of \( n \)-boxes in the transpose diagram. The latter corresponds to the action of \( \tilde{\rot}^{-1} \). This generalizes to the relationship

\[ (4.12) \]

\[ \sigma := ((\text{rot}^d(\nu')^t)' = \tilde{\rot} - m_n(\nu) - \cdots - m_n(d(\nu))(\nu'')^t, \]

because each action of the automorphism \( \text{rot} \) involves a column reduction after adding a row of \( k \)-boxes. Observing that

\[ m_n(\nu) + \cdots + m_n(d(\nu)) = \frac{k}{n} d + \frac{\| \nu \| - \| \text{rot}^d(\nu') \|}{n} \]

\[ = \hat{d}' + m_n(\lambda) + m_n(\mu) - d \]

with

\[ \hat{d}' = \frac{\| \lambda' \| + \| \mu' \| - \| \text{rot}^d(\nu') \|}{n} \]

and

\[ d = \frac{\| \lambda \| + \| \mu \| - \| \nu \|}{k + n} \]

we find by employing (4.11), Proposition 4.2 and then (4.12)

\[ (4.13) \]

\[ N_{\alpha \beta}^{\text{rot}(\nu')} = \tilde{N}_{\text{rot}^{-m_n(\lambda)}(\lambda')^t(\mu')^t}^{\text{rot}^{-m_n(\nu')} (\nu'')^t} \]

the desired relation. \( \square \)

Example 4.3. Consider the product expansion (3.8) in the quantum cohomology ring from the previous Example 3.8. Applying the reduction formula (1.14) for \( \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)_k \) we find that \( \lambda' = (2, 2, 1, 0) = \mu = \mu' \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{rot} &+ 2 \text{rot} &+ \text{rot} &+ \text{rot} &+ \text{rot}^2(\emptyset) = \\
\text{rot} &+ 2 &+ &+ &+ \\
\end{align*}
\]
On the other hand if we apply instead the reduction to the $\mathfrak{sl}(k)_n$ fusion product with $\lambda \rightarrow (\lambda^n)^t = (2,1)$ and $\mu \rightarrow (\mu')^t = (3,2)$ the product expansion becomes

\[
\yng(2) \times \yng(3) = \yng(2,2,2) + 2 \yng(3,3) + \yng(3,3,1) + \yng(4,2) + \yng(4,3) + \yng(5,3).
\]

Implicit in the projection formula (14) of Gromov-Witten invariants onto fusion coefficients is the statement that there exist identities between different $C_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu,d}$. Namely, for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq n,k}$ the product expansions of $\sigma_\lambda \star \sigma_\mu$, $\sigma_\lambda \star \sigma_\mu'$, $\sigma_\lambda \star \sigma_\mu$ and $\sigma_\lambda \star \sigma_\mu'$ in $qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,n+k})$ all get mapped onto the same fusion product expansion in $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n);\mathbb{Z})$, because according to (14) there must exist $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_4$ and $d_1, \ldots, d_4$ such that

\[
C_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu_1,d_1} = C_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu_2,d_2} = C_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu_3,d_3} = C_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu_4,d_4} = N_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu},
\]

where $\nu = \text{rot}^{d_i}(\nu_i')$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$. In fact, using rotation invariance of the Gromov-Witten invariants [12 Prop 11.1 (3)], $C_{\text{Rot}(\lambda),\mu}^{\nu,d'} = C_{\lambda,\text{Rot}(\mu)}^{\nu,d'}$ it is easy to show that

\[
\nu_2 = \text{Rot}^{m_n(\lambda)}(\nu_1), \quad \nu_3 = \text{Rot}^{m_n(\mu)}(\nu_1), \quad \nu_4 = \text{Rot}^{m_n(\lambda)+m_n(\mu)}(\nu_1),
\]

where $m_n(\lambda), m_n(\mu)$ are the number of $n$-columns in $\lambda, \mu$ and the respective degrees $d_i$ can be computed according to the formula $|\text{Rot}^{\varphi}(\lambda)| = |\lambda| + N n(\lambda) - an$ and $Nd_i = |\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu_1|$. The reduction formula (14) can be inverted.

**Corollary 4.4** (‘Lifting’ of fusion coefficients). Let $\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu} \in P^n_k$ and denote by $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq n,k}$ the images under the bijection (13). Then one has the following ‘inverse relation’ to (14),

\[
N_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu} = C_{\lambda \mu}^{\text{Rot}^{-d}(\nu),d}, \quad \hat{d} = \frac{|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu|}{n},
\]

where $\text{Rot} : \mathcal{P}_{\leq n,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\leq n,k}$ is the $\mathfrak{sl}(n+k)$-Dynkin diagram automorphism of order $n+k$ and

\[
d = n - n_{\text{Rot}^{-d}(\nu)} = n_{d+1}(\nu').
\]

In particular, adopting the same conventions as in Theorem 1.5 we can write the fusion coefficient as the following ‘vacuum expectation value’ of the Clifford algebra,

\[
N_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu} = \sum_T (-1)^{d(n+1)} \langle \mathcal{O}, \psi_{\ell_n(\nu)+d} \cdots \psi_{\ell_1(\nu)+d} \psi_{\ell_1(\mu)+d_n} \cdots \psi_{\ell_n(\mu)+\ell} \mathcal{O} \rangle,
\]

where all indices are understood modulo $N$.

**Proof.** Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq n,k}$. First we observe that the column reduction $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$ can be expressed in terms of the Dynkin diagram automorphism $\text{Rot}$ of order $N$, $\sigma' = \text{Rot}^{\ell_n(\sigma) - 1}(\sigma)$. Each application of the automorphism rot of order $n$ corresponds to applying Rot followed by a column reduction. Hence, for any $a = 1, \ldots, n-1$ we have

\[
\text{rot}^a(\sigma') = \text{Rot}^{\ell_n-a(\sigma) - 1}(\sigma)
\]

Setting $a = d = \frac{|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\sigma|}{N}$ and $\hat{d} := \ell_{n-d}(\sigma) - 1$ we find that

\[
\nu = \text{rot}^d(\sigma') = \text{Rot}^{\ell_n-a(\sigma) - 1}(\sigma)
\]
and, hence,
\[ C^d_{\lambda \mu} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda \mu}^{\text{rot}^{-\hat{d}} (\sigma')} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda \mu}^{\text{rot}^{-\hat{d}} (\nu'}, = C^{\text{rot}^{-\hat{d}} (\nu'), \hat{d}}_{\lambda \mu}. \]

To derive the equality (4.17) for the degree \( \hat{d} \) observe that
\[
|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu| = |\lambda| + |\mu| - \text{Rot}^{\lambda - \hat{d} (\sigma) - 1 (\sigma)} |
\]
\[
= |\lambda| + |\mu| - |\sigma| - N_{\rho} (\sigma) + n \hat{d}
\]
\[
= |\lambda| + |\mu| - |\sigma| - N d + n \hat{d}
\]
\[
= n \hat{d},
\]
where we have used the generally valid formula \( |\text{Rot}^a (\sigma)| = |\sigma| + N n_a (\sigma) - n a \) and the trivial observation that \( n_{\epsilon_n - \hat{d} (\sigma) - 1 (\sigma)} = d \) which is immediate from the definition of \( n_a (\sigma) \).

### 4.1. Recursion formulae for fusion coefficients.

The inductive algorithm presented in [12] Section 11] for successively computing product expansions in the ring \( qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n,N}) \) from those in \( qH^*(\text{Gr}_{n+1,N}) \) can be projected onto the respective fusion rings \( V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n); \mathbb{Z}) \) and \( V_{k+1}(\mathfrak{sl}(n \mp 1); \mathbb{Z}) \). In particular, one obtains recursion formulae expressing \( \mathcal{N}_{A_k}^{\nu} (n, k) \) as a sum of the fusion coefficients \( \mathcal{N}_{A_k}^{\nu, \hat{d}} (n \mp 1, k \pm 1) \).

**Corollary 4.5.** Given \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq n-1,k} \) define \( d, \hat{d} \) as in Corollary 4.4 and set \( \sigma = \text{Rot}^{-\hat{d}} \nu \). Then one has the relations
\[
\mathcal{N}_{A_k}^{\nu} (n, k) = \sum_{r=0}^{\lambda} (-1)^{d + n_{j - 1} (\mu) + n_{j - r - 1} (\sigma)} \sum_{\lambda/\rho = (1^r)} \mathcal{N}_{A_k}^{\text{rot}^{-\hat{d}} (\psi^*_{j - r} \sigma)} (n + 1, k - 1),
\]
\[
\mathcal{N}_{A_k}^{\nu} (n, k) = \sum_{r=0}^{\lambda} (-1)^{d + n_{j - 1} (\mu) + n_{j + r - 1} (\sigma)} \sum_{\lambda/\rho = (1^r)} \mathcal{N}_{A_k}^{\text{rot}^{-\hat{d}} (\psi^*_{j + r} \sigma)} (n - 1, k + 1),
\]
where \( d_r = d \) if \( j < r \) and \( d_r = d - 1 \) else. Similarly, \( d'_r = d \) if \( j + r \leq N \) and \( d'_r = d - 1 \) else.

**Proof.** Exploiting (4.16) we rewrite the fusion coefficient as Gromov-Witten invariant. Employing the recursion relations for Gromov-Witten invariants [12 Corollory 11.7] and subsequent application of (4.14) proves the assertion.

Successive application of the second recursion formula allows one to reduce the computation of \( \mathfrak{sl}(n)_k \)-fusion coefficients to the computation of \( \mathfrak{sl}(2)_{k+n-2} \)-fusion coefficients which are explicitly known (see e.g. [5]),
\[
(4.21) \quad n = 2: \mathcal{N}_{\lambda (\nu)}^{(\lambda (\nu)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & |\lambda - \mu| \leq \nu \leq \min\{\lambda + \mu, 2k - \lambda - \mu\} \\
0, & \text{else} \end{array} \right. \}
\]

**Example 4.6.** We consider the previous example with \( n = 3, k = 4, \lambda = (3, 1), \mu = (3, 2) \) and \( \nu = (2, 1) \). Since \( w(\mu) = 1001010 \) only the diagrams \( \psi_{j \mu} \) with \( j = 1, 4, 6 \) are nonvanishing. Let us choose \( j = 4 \) with \( \psi_{4 \mu} = -(4) \). Then converting \( \nu \) into a 01-word, \( w(\nu) = 1010100 \), we find that acting with \( \psi_{j+r} \) on \( \text{Rot}^{-\hat{d}} \nu = (4, 3, 2) \) yields a only a nonzero result for the values \( r = 1, 3 \). One then
calculates,
\[ N_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu} (3, 4) = C_{\lambda \mu}^{(4,3,2)} (3, 4) \]
\[ = (-1)^{d+n_3(\mu)+n_4(4,3,2)} \left\{ C_{\psi_1(4,3,2)} (2, 5) + C_{\psi_4(4,3,2)} (3, 0, \psi_4(\mu)) (2, 5) \right\} \]
\[ + (-1)^{d+n_3(\mu)+n_4(4,3,2)} C_{\psi_2(4,3,2)} (2, 5) \]
\[ = C_{(5,2)} (2, 5) + C_{(5,2)} (3, 0, (4,0)) (2, 5) - C_{(3,2)} (1,0, (4,0)) (2, 5) \]
\[ = N_{(1,4)}^{(3)} (2, 5) + N_{(3,4)}^{(3)} (2, 5) - N_{(1,4)}^{(1)} (2, 5) = 1 + 1 - 0 = 2, \]
which is in accordance with our previous result from Example 3.11 exploiting (4.16).

4.2. **Racah-Speiser algorithms for fusion coefficients.** We are now projecting the quantum Racah-Speiser algorithm from the quantum cohomology ring onto the fusion ring and then compare with various other algorithms for computing fusion coefficients.

**Corollary 4.7.** Given \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{P}_{\leq n-1,k} \) define \( d, \hat{d} \) as in Corollary 4.2. For a given permutation \( \pi \in S_n \) introduce the weight vector
\[ \hat{\alpha}_i(\pi) = (\ell_i(\nu) - \ell_{\pi(i)}(\mu) + \hat{d}) \mod N \geq 0 \]
and
\[ d(\pi) = \# \{i \mid (\ell_i(\nu) + \hat{d}) \mod N < \ell_{\pi(i)}(\mu) \}. \]
Then one has the following combinatorial expression for the fusion coefficients,
\[ N_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n, d(\pi) = d} (-1)^{\ell(\pi) + (n+1)d} K_{\lambda, \hat{\alpha}(\pi)}. \]

**Proof.** The assertion immediately follows from (4.19) and (1.7).

4.3. **Comparison with the Kac-Walton formula.** The Kac-Walton formula \[9\], \[23\], \[8\] can be stated as follows: denote by \( \hat{W} \) the affine Weyl group, then
\[ N_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu} = \sum_{w \in \hat{W}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \cdot c_{\lambda \mu}^{(w \cdot \hat{\nu})}, \]
where \( w \cdot \hat{\nu} = w(\hat{\nu} + \hat{\rho}) - \hat{\rho} \) is the shifted Weyl group action with \( \hat{\rho} = \sum_i \hat{\omega}_i \) being the affine Weyl vector and \( \sigma(w \cdot \hat{\nu}) \) is the partition obtained by adding \( m_0(\hat{\nu}) \) (the zeroth Dynkin label) \( n \)-columns to the Young diagram of the image of \( w \cdot \hat{\nu} \) under the bijection (1.13).

**Example 4.8.** Setting once more \( n = 3 \) and \( k = 4 \) consider the affine weights \( \hat{\lambda} = \hat{\omega}_0 + 2 \hat{\omega}_1 + \hat{\omega}_2, \hat{\mu} = \hat{\omega}_0 + \hat{\omega}_1 + 2 \hat{\omega}_2 \) in \( P^+_k \). The corresponding partitions under (1.13) are \( \lambda = (3,1) \) and \( \mu = (3,2) \). We already stated the result of the Littlewood-Richardson rule in Example 3.11 see (3.15). Removing all \( n = 3 \)-columns from the partitions \( \nu \) we obtain the \( \mathfrak{sl}(n) \) tensor product decomposition
\[ \lambda \otimes \mu = (6,3) \oplus (5,1) \oplus (5,4) \oplus 2(4,2) \oplus (3,0) \oplus (3,3) \oplus 2(2,1) \oplus (0,0). \]
Here we have identified by abuse of notation highest weight modules with the corresponding partitions. We wish to consider the fusion coefficient of the affine weight \( \hat{\nu} = 2 \hat{\omega}_1 + 2 \hat{\omega}_2 \) with partition \( \nu = (4,2) \). From (1.23) we then find
\[ N_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu} = c_{\lambda \mu}^{(4,2)} - c_{\lambda \mu}^{(6,3)} = 2 - 1 = 1, \]
since $s_0 \cdot \hat{\omega} = s_0 \cdot (-2\hat{\omega}_0 + 3\hat{\omega}_1 + 3\hat{\omega}_2) = \hat{\nu}$ with $s_0$ denoting the affine Weyl reflection. In fact, the entire fusion product expansion is computed to
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In order to compare this result with the formulae (1.7) we compare with the corresponding product in the quantum cohomology ring of Example 3.11. From which we read off

\[\mathcal{N}_{\lambda \mu}^\nu = C_{\lambda \mu}^{\text{Rot}^{-1} \nu, 1} = C_{\lambda \mu}^{(2, 0, 0), 1} = K_{\lambda, (2, 1, 1)} - K_{\lambda, (3, 1, 0)} = c_{\lambda (2, 1)}^{(4, 2, 1)} - c_{\lambda (1, 0, 0)}^{(4, 1, 0)} = 2 - 1 = 1.\]

The rim-hook algorithm (3.9) yields

\[\mathcal{N}_{\lambda \mu}^\nu = C_{\lambda \mu}^{\text{Rot}^{-1} \nu, 1} = c_{\lambda (3, 1, 0), (3, 2, 0, 0)}^{(4, 3, 1, 1)} = 1.\]

**Remark 4.9.** Note that as pointed out in the introduction the projected ‘quantum Racah-Speiser algorithm’ does not use the affine Weyl group but only the symmetric group. Moreover, the summands in the expression for the fusion coefficients obtained via the reduction formula (1.14) from either (1.7) or (3.9) in general differ from the Kac-Walton formula (4.23), thus, leading to non-trivial identities between Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.

Both isomorphisms (2.6) and (2.7) of Theorem 2.1 give rise to algorithms for the computation of fusion coefficients. The first isomorphism (2.6) leads simply to the projection of the dual rim hook algorithm for the quantum cohomology ring discussed in Section 3.2 and Example 3.14.

### 4.4. Projection of the dual rim hook algorithm.

1. Compute via the Littlewood-Richardson algorithm the expansion $s_\lambda s_{\mu} = \sum_\rho c_{\lambda \mu}^\rho s_\rho$. Discard all terms for which the partition $\rho$ has length $> n$.
2. Replace each Schur polynomial $s_\rho$ with $s_{\rho'}$, i.e. remove all $n$-columns in the Young diagram associated with $\rho$. (This is allowed since $e_n = 1$ according to (2.6))
3. Among the set of remaining Schur polynomials make for each $\rho'$ with $\rho'_1 > k$ the replacement $s_{\rho'} = (-1)^d(n-1)h^d_{\rho'} s_{v(\rho')}$, where $v(\rho')$ is the integer vector defined in (3.12) and $|\rho'| - |v(\rho')| = Nd$. Use the straightening rules (3.13) for Schur polynomials to express $s_{\rho'}$ in terms of a Schur polynomial $s_\sigma$ with $\sigma$ being a partition. Then collect terms noting that $h^d_{\rho'} s_\sigma = s_{\text{rot}^{-1}(\sigma)}$ according to the Pieri-rule for Schur polynomials. The resulting coefficients are the fusion coefficients $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda \mu}^\nu$ with $\nu = \text{rot}^d(\sigma)$.

Since the isomorphism (2.6) has not been explicitly stated previously, we briefly outline its derivation which closely parallels the one for the second isomorphism (2.7) which is described in detail in [12] Proof of Theorem 6.20]. The above algorithm then follows along the same lines as in the case of the dual rim hook algorithm discussed previously.

**Proof of the isomorphism (2.6) and derivation of the algorithm.** Consider the complexification $\Lambda^{(n)} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^{(n)}$ of $\Lambda^{(n)} = \mathbb{Z}[e_1, \ldots, e_n]$. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the ideal in (2.6). Along the same lines as in [12] one shows that the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ is radical. Again let...
Let \( V(\mathcal{J}) \) be the set of \( n \)-tuples \( y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \) for which \( f \in \mathcal{J} \) vanishes. The latter is identical with the solutions of the following system of equations

\[
y_i^{n+k} = \cdots = y_n^{n+k} = (-1)^{n-1} h_k(y_1, \ldots, y_n).
\]

Using the same arguments as in [12, Theorem 6.4] one shows that there exists a bijection between the set of partitions \( P_{\leq n-1,k} \) and the set of solutions (up to permutation of the \( y_i \)'s),

\[
\rho \in P_{\leq n-1,k} \ni \sigma \mapsto y_\sigma = \zeta^{I_1(\sigma)} \cdots \zeta^{I_n(\sigma)},
\]

where \( \zeta = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n}) \) and \( I = I(\sigma) \) is the tuple of half-integers defined previously in (4.1). According to Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz it then follows that two elements \( f, g \in \Lambda_0(\mathcal{J}) \) are identical if and only if they coincide on the solution set (4.26). This result together with Lemma 4.1 implies the desired isomorphism, since one can now send \( \lambda \in P_k^+ \) to \( s_\lambda(y_\sigma) = \zeta^{|\sigma|_\lambda} s_\lambda^*(\zeta^{-I(\sigma^t)}) \) and then apply the second isomorphism (2.7); see [12, Proof of Theorem 6.20].

In order to derive the algorithm we now exploit (4.25) and proceed analogously to the derivation of the dual rim hook algorithm detailed in Section 3.2.

**Remark 4.10.** As we have not made use of (1.14) in the derivation of the algorithm, the above line of argument provides an alternative proof of Proposition 1.5.

### 4.5. A dual Racah-Speiser algorithm for the fusion ring

The second isomorphism (2.7) in Theorem 4.1 provides a dual algorithm in terms of the transposed partitions:

1. Compute via the Littlewood-Richardson algorithm the expansion \( s_\lambda^* s_\mu^t = \sum_\rho c_{\lambda\mu}^{\rho} s_\rho^t \); note that \( c_{\lambda\mu}^{\rho} = c_{\lambda^t\mu^t}^{\rho} \). Discard all terms for which the partition \( \rho^t \) has length \( k > h \).
2. For each of the remaining terms with \( \rho_1^t > n \) make the replacement \( s_\rho^t = (-1)^{d(k-1)} e_k^{d} s_\nu(\sigma^t) \) with \( dN = |\rho| - |\nu(\sigma^t)| \) and \( v_i(\nu^t) = \rho_i^t \), \( i - k \leq v_i(\nu^t) < i + n \).
3. Remove all rows of length \( n \) in \( \nu^t \) to obtain \( (\nu^t)^{\prime\prime} = (\nu^t)^t \); this is allowed because \( h_n = 1 \). Collecting terms one obtains the fusion coefficient \( N_{\lambda^t\mu^t}^{\nu^t} \).

**Derivation of the algorithm.** The proof is completely analogous to the one considered above, hence we omit the details. The only important information needed is that the zero set of the ideal in (2.7) is given by the solutions to the Bethe Ansatz equations of the phase model (see [12, Proposition 6.1 and Lemma 6.3]),

\[
x_1^{n+k} = \cdots = x_k^{n+k} = (-1)^{k-1} e_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k).
\]

Using the same presentation of the Schur polynomial as in (3.14) one successively replaces powers \( > n \) in the variable \( x_i \) via the Bethe Ansatz equations (4.27) to arrive at the above replacement rule.
Example 4.11. Exploiting that $c^s_{\lambda \mu} = c^t_{\lambda \mu}$ we consider once more the Littlewood-Richardson expansion from Example 3.11 with $n = 3$, $k = 4$, $\lambda^t = (2, 1, 1, 0)$ and $\mu^t = (2, 2, 1, 0)$. After taking the transpose partitions we discard $\rho^t = (2, 2, 1, 1, 1)$, $(3, 2, 1, 1, 1), (2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$, $(3, 2, 1, 1, 1)$, $(3, 3, 1, 1, 1)$ and $(4, 2, 1, 1, 1)$ from (3.17). We are left with three partitions $\rho^t$ for which $\rho^t_1 > n$, namely $(4, 2, 2, 1)$, $(4, 3, 1, 1)$, $(4, 3, 2, 0)$. Employing the above algorithm we calculate
\[
s((4, 2, 2, 1)) = s((2, 2, 1, 1)), \quad s((4, 3, 1, 1)) = s((3, 1, 1, 1)), \quad s((4, 3, 2, 0)) = s((3, 2, 0, 1)) = 0.
\]
Removing all rows of length $n = 3$ and collecting terms one finds after taking the transpose partitions the previous expansion (4.24).
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