Background: The number of patients with esophagogastric junction (EGJ) cancers has tended to increase. However, no clear consensus on the optimum treatment policy has yet been reached.

Methods: This study included patients diagnosed with adenocarcinoma of Sievert type II in whom resection was performed in our hospital. We performed a clinicopathological examination, and patients were divided into two groups by the tumor size: L group, tumor size ≥ 4 cm; and S group, tumor size < 4 cm. The clinical factors, such as nodal dissection and recurrence pattern, were then analyzed.

Results: A total of 48 patients were diagnosed with ECJ cancers. The average tumor size was 55.1 mm, and 32 cases (66.7%) had tumors ≥ 4 cm. Metastasis to the mediastinum was noted in 4 cases (12.5%) in the L group but none in the S group. Recurrence in the upper or middle mediastinum lymph nodes was noted in 3 cases (9.4%) in the L group. The 5-year overall survival rates were 49.7 and 83.9% in the L and S groups, respectively.

Conclusions: As the tumor grows large, it is difficult to accurately judge EGJ on the image, and as a result it is difficult to understand the exact esophageal invasion distance of the tumor. Therefore, lymph node dissection including the upper mediastinum is considered vital, regardless of the degree of esophageal invasion.

Keywords: Esophagogastric junction cancer, Surgical treatment, Tumor diameter, Lymph nodal dissection

Background
The rate of adenocarcinomas at the esophagogastric junction (EGJ) has been increasing rapidly for the past 20 years in certain areas, mainly in Europe and the United States. In contrast, in Japan and other Asian countries, including Korea and China, squamous cell carcinoma accounts for 90% of esophageal cancer cases [1]. Over the past 20 years, according to the Comprehensive Registry of Esophageal Cancer in Japan, the frequency of EGJ cancer in Japan has gradually increased from 5.5 to 6.9% [2]. In addition, the proportion of adenocarcinoma as the histological type among esophageal carcinomas is still increasing, rising from 3.1 to 5.3% in the same period. The Helicobacter pylori infection rate has decreased significantly in many Asian countries, including Japan, thanks to eradication efforts [3, 4]. However, H. pylori infection has been shown to inhibit the development of Barrett esophagus and Barrett esophageal cancer [5]. Of note, the occurrence of Barrett esophagus is associated with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) [6–8]. Furthermore, dietary habits in Asian countries are becoming increasingly Westernized, which will eventually lead to Westernization of the body shape and an associated increase in the risk of EGJ cancer.

Regarding treatment, surgery is considered the mainstay management method for EGJ cancer, regardless of the peri-operative adjuvant therapies performed. However, the ideal surgical operation for EGJ cancers varies markedly depending on the location site. In addition, there is no consensus concerning the operation, so surgical techniques at present differ among facilities, regions, and countries. EGJ carcinoma is classified into...
Sievert type I, type II, or type III depending on the position of the center of the tumor. In general, Sievert type I is considered to resemble esophageal cancer, while Sievert type III is often treated with a similar surgical procedure to gastric cancer. However, the appropriate surgical approach for EGJ cancers of Sievert type II, which are categorized as true junctional carcinomas, has yet to be conclusively decided.

In Japan, the Japanese Gastric Cancer Association (JGCA) and Japan Esophageal Society (JES) jointly established a working group and performed a retrospective study of optimal lymph node dissection of EGJ cancers [9]. In that study, it was judged that the center of the tumor could not be accurately identified anatomically when the tumor was large, so the study was limited to cases with a long diameter of < 4 cm. However, clinical studies on EGJ cancers performed thus far suggest that the median tumor size in such cancers is about 5 to 7 cm; tumors ≥ 4 cm in size therefore account for the majority of lesions, so their management needs to be addressed [10, 11]. However in the case of a large tumor size, it is difficult to accurately determine the position of the EGJ.

In the present study, we conducted a clinicopathological examination of EGJ cancers treated in our department and examined the ideal approach to lymph node dissection by dividing the tumor diameter into ≥4 cm and < 4 cm.

Methods

Patients

Forty-eight patients with EGJ cancers who underwent resection of the primary tumor from January 2006 to December 2017 were included in this study. EGJ cancers in this analysis were determined as tumors with an epicenter within 2 cm proximal or distal to the anatomical EGJ, according to the definition advocated by the JGCA [12] and JES [13, 14]. Tumor diameter was measured before formalin fixation after specimen removal.

Tumors diagnosed histologically as adenocarcinoma were chosen, and other histological types of tumor were excluded. Pathologic T, N, and M stages were based on the International Union Against Cancer tumor-node-metastasis (UICC) TNM staging system for EGJ cancer, 7th edition. Lymph node station numbers were determined according to the uniform definition established by the JGCA and JES. Over 5 years after surgery, CT scans for follow-up recurrence were performed at least once every 6 months. We also analyzed the correlation with histopathological factors after dividing the patients with a tumor diameter ≥ 4 cm into the L group and those with a tumor diameter < 4 cm into the S group.

Approval to conduct this study was obtained from the institutional ethics review board of the Chiba Cancer Center (H29–262).

Statistical analyses

All statistical calculations were performed using the JMP Pro 13 software program, (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) rates were calculated from the surgery date to the date of death due to any cause and first recurrence or death due to any cause, whichever came earlier, respectively. Survival curves were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method. P values less than 0.05 were considered to indicate a statistically significant difference in all analyses.

Results

Characteristics of the patients

There were 2225 and 331 cases in which curative resection was performed for gastric and esophageal cancer, respectively, in our hospital between January 2010 and December 2017. Among them, 48 cases underwent resection surgery for EGJ cancers (adenocarcinoma) (Table 1). There were 42 men and 6 women, with an average age of 66.1 years old and an average tumor diameter of 55.1 mm, which was relatively large. The mean tumor epicenter was +4.8 mm on the gastric side.

Among them, 11 cases (22.9%) were classified as pT1a or pT1b, 18 cases (37.5%) as T2, and 19 cases (39.6%) as T3 or T4. Regarding lymph node metastasis, 18 cases (37.5%) were negative, 15 cases (31.3%) were N1, 10 cases (20.8%) were N2, and 5 cases (10.4%) were N3. The mean number of lymph node metastases was 5.3. Lymphovascular invasion was negative in only 7 cases (14.6%), and the remaining 41 cases (85.4%) were positive. The transhiatal approach was the most frequently adopted route for approaching the mediastinum, being performed in 27 cases (55.3%); 17 cases (35.4%) underwent left thoracotomy, and only 4 (8.3%) underwent right thoracotomy. Regarding gastrectomy, 24 cases (50.0%) underwent total gastrectomy, and 24 (50.0%) underwent cardia-side gastrectomy (including stomach partial resection by gastric tube reconstruction). In the analysis of the prognosis, the 5-year OS was 60.7%, and the 5-year disease-free survival was 53.7% (Fig. 1).

Rate of dissection and metastasis of lymph node at mediastinum

The rates of lymph node dissection and metastasis are shown in Fig. 2. In this observational study, no cases showed metastasis to the superior mediastinum. Only one case showed metastasis to node no. 108 (middle paraesophageal lymph node), which is a mediastinal lymph node. In that case, the epicenter was +8 mm on the gastric side, but the maximum tumor diameter was 147 mm, indicating a huge tumor. In addition, there were 3 cases in which metastasis to the inferior mediastinum was found. In total,
only 4 cases (8.3%) had lymph node metastasis to the mediastinum (Table 2).

Site of lymph node recurrence
Recurrence developed in 16 cases (33.3%) in total (Table 3). Among them, 9 (18.8%) had lymph node metastasis recurrence, and 3 had lymph node recurrence to the mediastinum (1 case of lymph node recurrence to the upper mediastinum and 2 cases of lymph node recurrence to the middle mediastinum). Lymph node recurrence to the lower mediastinum was not observed. Most of the remaining cases of lymph node recurrence outside of the mediastinum were recurrence to the paraaortic lymph node.

An analysis of the recurrence pattern according to the tumor diameter
The 48 cases were divided into 2 groups based on the tumor diameter (L and S groups) and analyzed (Tables 2 and 4). There were no differences in baseline characteristics such as age and gender in each group. The L group included 32 cases (66.7%), while the S group included 16 cases (33.3%). All four cases of lymph node metastasis to the mediastinum were in the L group. In addition, 14 cases (43.8%) showed recurrence in the L group, whereas only 2 cases (12.5%) showed recurrence in the S group. Lymph node recurrence was noted in 7 patients (21.9%) in the L group, 3 of which were recurrence to the mediastinum. Even in S group, lymph node recurrence was observed in 2 cases. However, these lymph node recurrence cases were cases of paraaortic lymph node recurrence, neither of which was a case of lymph node recurrence in mediastinal site. The 5-year OS rates were 50.6 and 80.0% in the L group and S group, respectively (p = 0.043) (Fig. 3). The 5-year disease-free survival rates were 48.6 and 86.2% in the L group and S group, respectively (p = 0.038) (Fig. 3).

Discussion
A number of different surgical treatments are available for managing EGJ cancer. In recent years, it is thought that surgery under the laparoscopic or thoracoscopic procedures are increasing. With thoracoscopic surgery, which is described as minimal invasive surgery, it is possible to avoid thoracotomy. However, whether or not the invasiveness of thoracoscopic surgery is indeed reduced compared to open surgery remains controversial, and it has not yet been definitively concluded that it leads to a reduction in complications [15–20]. Therefore, criteria to determine the need for thoracic manipulation could be very beneficial to the patient.

A Dutch trial and the JCOG 9502 trial, which is a randomized controlled trial evaluating lymph node dissection of the middle and lower mediastinum for EGJ cancer, were conducted [11, 21]. In the Dutch trial, the outcomes of right thoracotomy versus hysterectomy were compared for Sievert types I and II, with significantly more respiratory-related complications noted in the thoracotomy group than in the transhiatal group (57% vs. 27%, p < 0.001). Regarding the long-term results, there were no marked differences in the 5-year survival rate between the thoracotomy group and hysterectomy group for Sievert type II (27% vs. 31%, NS). In the JCOG 9502 trial, the outcomes of inferior mediastinal lymph node dissection via left thoracotomy versus a transhiatal approach were compared for gastric cancer.
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(a) Overall survival (OS) curves of EGJ cancers,  
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Fig. 2  

Rates of lymph node dissection and metastasis. The light black color indicates the rates of dissection, and the dark black color indicates the rates of metastasis.
and EGJ cancers with an esophageal infiltration length ≤ 3 cm. However, the intermediate analysis showed that the incidence of postoperative pneumonia was higher in the left thoracotomy group than in the transhiatal group (13% vs. 4%, \( p = 0.048 \)), and the survival tended to be worse in the left thoracotomy group, so the trial was discontinued. Furthermore, in the final analysis after a long-term follow-up exceeding 10 years, the 5-year survival rate was 37% in the left thoracotomy group but 51% in the transhiatal group, showing a significantly better outcome than the left thoracotomy group.

While the results of the above-mentioned analyses are interesting [9], the subjects were limited to those with a tumor diameter of < 4 cm, and perhaps, the algorithm of dissecting range created based on this analysis will be applied less than half of patients with EGJ cancer. In actual clinical situations, the majority of tumors are considered to have a diameter ≥ 4 cm or an esophageal infiltration length ≥ 3 cm [10, 11]. Indeed, 66.6% of the patients in our study had a tumor size ≥ 4 cm. As shown in Fig. 1, it is difficult to identify an anatomically accurate EGJ in the case of huge EGJ cancer, and as a result it is impossible to confirm the exact esophageal invasion distance of the tumor (Fig. 1). Kurokawa et al. collected data on Sievert type II tumors from 7 centers and reported on 315 cases [10]. They conducted a multivariate analysis and investigated four variables of histological type (tumor diameter [≥ 5 cm], pathological T factor [T3 or T4], and esophageal invasion length [≥ 3 cm]) as factors related to mediastinal lymph node recurrence. However, only the esophageal invasion length was found to be a significant factor. However, in their report, cases from the old age of 1986 were collected, and furthermore, cases with huge tumors exceeding 10 cm were excluded. So, it is presumed that it is difficult to conclude the relation between EGJ cancers and lymph node recurrence from only their report. Indeed, 5 of our patients had huge tumors exceeding 10 cm in diameter in our study.

Our findings suggest that, for EGJ cancers without a long diameter (< 4 cm), mediastinal dissection might be necessary only for the lower mediastinum, similar to the approach for gastric cancer treatment according to the Japanese guidelines (ver. 5). In contrast, EGJ cancers with a tumor size ≥ 4 cm may need to undergo thorough surgery with prophylactic lymph node dissection including the upper mediastinum.

In this study, the tumor diameter was measured on the specimen after resection. It is well known that specimens shrink after resection. Therefore, we examined the tumor diameter obtained from preoperative imaging, but in this cohort, there was not a significant difference between the tumor diameter obtained from preoperative imaging and the tumor diameter measured after resection. (Average tumor size: 55.8 mm vs 54.9 mm). In addition, only one case was changed from S group to L group, but it did not significantly affect the overall analysis.

The limitation of this study is that it is a retrospective study targeting a few cases at a single institution. In

| **Table 2** Rates of lymph node metastasis. Lymph node metastasis is stratified into L (long) group and S (short) group and evaluated
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total (n = 48)** | **L group (32)** | **S group (16)** | **P value** |
| **Sex** | | | |
| Male | 42 (87.8%) | 29 (87.9) | 13 (81.3) | 0.643 |
| Female | 6 (12.2%) | 3 (12.1) | 3 (18.7) | |
| **Age** | 66.1 | 62.6 | 68 | 0.416 |
| **pN (+) total** | 30 (62.5%) | 22 (68.8) | 7 (43.8) | 0.55 |
| **pN (+) mediastinum** | 4 (8.3%) | 4 (12.5) | 0 (0) | 0.29 |
| upper mediastinum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.85 |
| middle mediastinum | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| lower mediastinum | 3 | 3 | 0 | |

| **Table 3** Rates of lymph node recurrence. Lymph node recurrence is stratified into L (long) group and S (short) group and evaluated
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total (n = 48)** | **L group (32)** | **S group (16)** | **P value** |
| **Recurrence** | 16 (33.3%) | 14 (43.8) | 2 (12.5) | 0.17 |
| lymph node metastasis | 9 (18.8%) | 7 (21.9) | 2 (12.5) | 0.79 |
| upper mediastinum | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.83 |
| middle mediastinum | 2 | 2 | 0 | |
| lower mediastinum | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| others | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0.65 |

| **Table 4** Baseline characteristics in L group and S group
| **Characteristics** | **L group (n = 32)** | **S group (n = 16)** | **P value** |
| **Sex** | | | |
| Male | 29 | 13 | 0.643 |
| Female | 3 | 3 | |
| **Age** | 62.6 | 68 | 0.416 |
order to determine the extent of lymph node dissection based on tumor diameter, which is the purpose of this study, it is considered that proof by multi-institutional prospective randomized trial is necessary.

Conclusion
To our knowledge, this is the first report to describe the relationship between the tumor size and lymph node dissection areas. As the tumor of EGJ develops and proliferates, the frequency of lymph node metastasis to the mediastinum seems to increase. Furthermore, as the tumor grows large, it is difficult to accurately judge EGJ on the image, and as a result it is difficult to understand the exact esophageal invasion distance of the tumor (Fig. 4). Therefore, in cases of large tumors involving the EGJ, lymph node dissection including the upper mediastinum is considered vital, regardless of the degree of esophageal invasion.
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