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Abstract – The purpose of this note is to clarify the group field theory vertex and propagators corresponding to the EPRL/FK spin foam models and to detail the subtraction of leading divergences of the model.

Introduction. – Group field theories (GFTs) (see [1–3]) are the higher-dimensional generalization of random matrix models. Like in matrix models, the Feynman graphs of group field theory are dual to triangulations (gluing of simplices). The combinatorics of a Feynman graph encodes the topology of the gluing while its amplitude encodes a sum over metrics compatible with a fixed gluing. The correlation functions of GFTs sum over both metrics and topologies giving rise to a new fundamental approach for quantum gravity.

In [4], a locality requirement\(^1\) was proposed for GFTs, namely to restrict their vertices to simple products of \(\delta\)-functions which identify group elements in strands crossing the vertex. In dimension \(D\) the simplest and most natural vertex with this locality property represents \(D + 1\) subsimplices of dimension \(D - 1\) bounding a \(D\)-dimensional simplex (hence connected through \(D(D + 1)/2\) such \(\delta\)-functions). The fields are functions on \(SO(D)^D\), and the \(D\)-stranded propagators represent the gluing of \(D\)-dimensional simplices along \((D - 1)\)-dimensional subsimplices. Using as propagator a diagonal \(SO(D)\) gauge averaging projection \(T\) (ensuring flatness of the holonomies), the amplitude of a Feynman graph equals the partition function of a BF theory discretized on the dual gluing of simplices. Recently such models have received increased attention and various partial power counting results have been established, either for generic three-dimensional models [5,6] or for colored and linearized models [7–9].

Besides, gravity can be seen as a constrained version of BF theory. In line with this approach, new spin foam rules have been proposed to implement the so-called Plebanski simplicity constraints and reproduce the partition function of fully fledged 4D gravity [10–12]. These new models (referred to as EPRL/FK in this paper) mix the left and right part of \(SO(4) \simeq SU(2) \times SU(2)\) in a novel way and give a central rôle to the Immirzi parameter. Amplitudes of particular spin foams in the EPRL/FK models, revealing improved UV behavior, have been derived in [13] and recovered in [4].

But, as spin foams are only Feynman graphs of the GFT, one still needs to identify an appropriate GFT propagator which generates the EPRL/FK spin foam amplitudes. A first GFT formulation of the EPRL/FK propagator was given in the coherent-state representation basis in [11]. A second step has been performed in [4], were the propagator (written still in terms of coherent states) was computed as a product of gauge \((T)\) and simplicity \((S)\) projection operators, \(C = TST\) (see footnote \(^2\)). Note that \(C\) has a non trivial spectrum, hence is suited for a Renormalization Group (RG) analysis. Here we propose another equivalent formulation, free of explicit sums over coherent states, and which might lead to a transparent saddle point analysis for estimating graph amplitudes.

In this paper we obtain the EPRL/FK propagator in group space and consequently more compact formulas for both the propagator and the Feynman amplitudes of the GFT underlying EPRL/FK spin foams, trading the coherent-states integrals for integrals over group
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elements (while the discrete sums over spin indices remain unchanged). Our formulas are well defined for irrational values of the Immirzi parameter and constitute a better starting point for slicing the propagator according to its spectrum (and subsequently a fully fledged RG analysis). Furthermore, using this direct space formulation, we show that the leading divergence of the mass kind can be extracted and reproduces previous results [4,13].

Note that with the definition of locality we use, the GFT vertex corresponds to an abstract simplex, and not to a geometric simplex. If one were to incorporate a gauge averaging operator in the vertex, one would enforce the flatness of the wedges (the portion of the dual faces inside each simplex) and thus of the geometric $D$ simplices. Although we lose the interpretation of the vertex as a geometric simplex, the definition of locality we use has many advantages.

First, it is independent of the particular classical theory one models (BF theory, EPRL/FK models, etc.). Second, it separates the topological and metric information at the level of the GFT action: the vertex encodes only topological data, and all the geometrical data is encoded in the propagator kernel (i.e. in the gluing of simplices). Third, it allows arbitrary quantum fluctuations of the GFT field, even if they do not correspond to geometric simplices (in the BF and EPRL/FK models such fluctuations do not propagate). Fourth, as we will see in the sequel, this definition of locality leads to a well-defined and simple prescription to identify divergences.

This paper is organized as follows: the second section details the simplicity projector $S$ in direct space in terms of characters and the third section presents the EPRL/FK propagator and computes the Feynman amplitudes of arbitrary graphs. Finally, the fourth section explains the subtraction of leading divergences.

The simplicity projector $S$. – In [11] (and subsequently in [4]), the kernel of the EPRL/FK simplicity projector $S$ is taken to be

$$S = \sum_{j^+,j^-} \delta^j_{d_j} d_{j^+} - d_{j^-} \int \text{d}n \left[ j^+, n \right] \otimes \left[ j^-, n \right] \langle j^+, n \rangle \otimes \langle j^-, n \rangle,$$

where $d_j := 2J + 1$, $\delta^j_j := \delta_1^{-\gamma} \delta_{+\gamma}^{j+\gamma} = \langle j^+, n \rangle \otimes \langle j^-, n \rangle$, $\gamma$ is the Immirzi parameter and, given the spin $j$ representation space of $SU(2)$, $H_j = \{ [j, m], |m| \leq j \}$, $SU(2)$ coherent states [14] are indexed by a normal vector $\vec{n}$ of the sphere $S^2$, and write

$$| j, n \rangle = \sum_p D_{pj}^j (\alpha, \beta, 0) | j, p \rangle,$$

with the Wigner matrix element $D_{pj}^j (\alpha, \beta, 0) = \langle j, p | g | j, 0 \rangle = e^{-i\alpha p} e^{-i\beta q}$ representing a $SU(2)$ group element $g$ in terms of its Euler angles $(\alpha, \beta, \psi)$ in the $z - y - z$ order.

Although, as $S$ is a projector, $S^2 = S$, the square of eq. (1) is

$$S = S^2 = \sum_{j^+,j^-} \delta^j_{d_j} d_{j^+} - d_{j^-} \int \text{d}n \left[ j^+, n \right] \otimes \left[ j^-, n \right] \times \langle j^+, n \rangle \otimes \langle j^-, n \rangle,$$

which looks quite different. This discrepancy is explained by the over completeness of the coherent-states basis. It the sequel, we choose the representation provided in eq. (3) as it is better suited for explicit computations.

Remark that the $\delta^j_j$ does not really make sense (e.g., if $\gamma$ is irrational) but should be understood in an asymptotic sense as $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$. This will be detailed later, and the formulas we will derive for the amplitudes of the theory ultimately make sense for any $\gamma$.

It is important to realize that eq. (3) is in fact only a shortened (and somewhat confusing) notation. The operator $S$ acts on functions defined on $SO(4)$ which decompose in Fourier modes as $f(\vec{g}) = \sum_{\vec{p}, \vec{m}} D_{\vec{p}, \vec{m}}^\gamma (\vec{g})$. Matrix elements of the operator $S$ therefore join a $D_{\vec{p}, \vec{m}}^\gamma (\vec{g})$ to a $D_{\vec{p}, \vec{m}}^\gamma (\vec{g})$, hence have two groups of indices $j_1, j_2, m_1, m_2$. To make matters worse, over $SO(4) \simeq SU(2) \times SU(2)$ each of the above six indices is in fact a double index, $j_1 = (j_{11}, j_{12})$, corresponding, respectively, to each of the two copies of $SU(2)$. In full detail S writes

$$S_{\vec{p}_1, \vec{m}_1; \vec{p}_2, \vec{m}_2}^{j_1, j_2} = \delta_{j_1, j_2} \delta_{\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2} \delta_{\vec{m}_1, \vec{m}_2} \times \int \text{d}n'/ \langle j_1', \vec{m}_1 \rangle \langle [j_1^+, n] \otimes [j_1^-, n] \rangle \times \langle j_2^+, n \rangle \times [j_2^+, n] \otimes [j_1^-, n],$$

where $\langle j, m \rangle = \langle j^+, m^+ \rangle \otimes \langle j^-, m^- \rangle$. Denoting the matrix elements of unitary representations of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ as $D_{\vec{p}, \vec{m}}^\gamma (\vec{g}) := D_{\vec{p}, \vec{m}}^{\gamma+}(g^+) D_{\vec{p}, \vec{m}}^{\gamma-}(g^-)$, we find in the direct (group) space

$$S_{\vec{p}_1, \vec{m}_1; \vec{p}_2, \vec{m}_2}^{j_1, j_2} = \sum d_{j_1, j_2} d_{\vec{p}_1, \vec{m}_1; \vec{p}_2, \vec{m}_2}^{j_1, j_2} \times D_{\vec{p}_1, \vec{m}_1}^{\gamma-} (\vec{g}_1) D_{\vec{p}_2, \vec{m}_2}^{\gamma+} (\vec{g}_2).$$

Substituting (4) in eq. (5), and summing over $\vec{p}_2$ and $\vec{m}_2$ (and renaming $j_1 = j$), we get

$$S_{\vec{p}_1, \vec{m}_1; \vec{p}_2, \vec{m}_2}^{j_1, j_2} = \sum d_{j, \vec{p}_2} d_{\vec{m}_2, \vec{m}_2}^{\gamma-} (\vec{g}_1) \times D_{\vec{p}_1, \vec{m}_1}^{\gamma-} (\vec{g}_1) T_{\vec{j}, \vec{m}_1, \vec{m}_2},$$

In order to conclude that $S$ is a projector, in [4] one proves that $S^3 = S^2$, rather than proving $S^2 = S$. 60008-p2
The integral $I(j, m_1, m_2)$ is evaluated as follows. Substituting the definition of coherent states eq. (2) in eq. (7), then inserting judiciously phases in the new fictitious variables such that $D^I_{pj}(\phi, \psi, 0)e^{-i\psi} = D^I_{pj}(\phi, \psi, \chi)$, one is able to translate integrals over the sphere $f \, dn$ to $SU(2)$ (Haar) group integrals $\int dg$. Then $I(j, m_1, m_2)$ (7) can be rewritten as

$$I(j, m_1, m_2) = \sum_{r} \int dg d^I_{r;j^-+j^+} (g) D^I_{m_1 - j^-} (g) D^I_{m_2 - j^+} (g') D^I_{r;j^-+j^+} (g') .$$

(8)

Using the Hermitian conjugation of the Wigner matrices, $D^0_{m\alpha}(g^{-1}) = D^0_{m\alpha}(g) = (-1)^{m-n} D^j_{m-n\alpha}(g)$, the following holds:

$$I(j, m_1, m_2) = \sum_{r} (-1)^{r+j^-+j^+} \chi_{j^-} \chi_{j^+} D^I_{r;j^-+j^+} \chi_{m_1 - j^-} \chi_{m_2 - j^+} .$$

(9)

The group integrals of products of three Wigner matrices compute in terms of Wigner 3j symbols [15], thus (using symmetry properties of these symbols)

$$I(j, m_1, m_2) = \sum_{r} (-1)^{r+m_2^-+m_2^+} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j^- & j^+ + j^- \\ j & j^- & j^+ + j^- \end{array} \right) \chi_{j^-} \chi_{j^+} \chi_{m_1 - j^-} \chi_{m_2 - j^+} \chi_{r} .$$

(10)

Taking into account the evaluation of particular 3j symbols according to [15],

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j^- & j^+ + j^- \\ j & j^- & j^+ + j^- \end{array} \right) = \frac{(-1)^{2j^+}}{2(2j^++j^-)+1} ,$$

(11)

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j^- & j^+ + j^- \\ m_2^- & m_2^+ & j^+ + j^- \end{array} \right) = (-1)^{2r} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j^- & j^+ + j^- \\ m_2^- & m_2^+ & j^+ + j^- \end{array} \right) ,$$

(12)

and by the selection rules, the 3j symbol is zero unless $m_2^+ + m_2^- - r = 0$, hence we get

$$I(j, m_1, m_2) = \frac{1}{d_{j^++j^-}} \sum_{r} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j^+ & j^- & j^+ + j^- \\ m_1^+ & m_1^- & r \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j^+ & j^- & j^+ + j^- \\ m_2^+ & m_2^- & r \end{array} \right) ,$$

(13)

which can be finally rewritten as an integral over the group

$$I(j, m_1, m_2) = \frac{1}{d_{j^++j^-}} \int d{j^+} \int d{m_2^+} \int d{m_2^-} (h) D^I_{j^++j^-} (h) D^I_{m_2^+} (h) D^I_{m_2^-} (h) .$$

(14)

Substituting (14) in eq. (6) yields

$$S(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{j^+,j^-} \sum_{m_1,m_2} \left( D^I_{j^+} (g_1) D^I_{j^-} (g_2) \right) \left( D^I_{m_1} (g_1) D^I_{m_2} (g_2) \right) \left( D^I_{j^+} (g_1) D^I_{j^-} (g_2) \right) .$$

(15)

where the integral in the last line is performed over only one group element $h \in SU(2)$. Summing over $m_1, m_2$ and $r$ in eq. (15), we infer the compact expression

$$S(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{j^+,j^-} \sum_{m_1,m_2} \left( D^I_{j^+} (g_1) D^I_{j^-} (g_2) \right) \left( D^I_{m_1} (g_1) D^I_{m_2} (g_2) \right) \left( D^I_{j^+} (g_1) D^I_{j^-} (g_2) \right) .$$

(16)

with $\chi^I(h) = Tr_j(g) = \sum_k D^I_{kk}(g)$ the character of $g$ in the representation $j$. Using $\chi(h) = \chi^I(h^1)$ and the orthogonality of characters, one can check directly using eq. (16) that $S$ is a projector. Note now that eq. (16) makes sense for any value of $\gamma$ by virtue of the property $\chi^I(g) = \sin[(j+1/2)\theta]/[\sin(\theta/2)]$ is well defined for all values of $j$, half integer or not.

The simplicity projector $S$ admits several limiting cases: 1) $\gamma = 1$ sets $j^+ = 0$, leading to a BF theory for the + copy of $SU(2)$. 2) Ignoring both $\delta^I_{j,j^+} \delta_{j^-+j^-}$ we recover the $SO(4)$ BF theory. 3) Finally, $\gamma \to 0$ leads to $j^+ = j^-$, which is the EPR/L SO(4) propagator model [10].

The EPR/L SO(4) propagator amplitude. – To build the EPR/L SO(4) propagator one needs to compose four simplicity projectors, one for each strand of the 4D GFT line, with two gauge invariance projectors, common to all four strands. The ordinary $SO(4)$ gauge invariance projector, $T$, corresponding to “diagonal right” invariant fields i.e. fields satisfying $\phi(g_1 h, g_2 h, g_3 h, g_4 h) = \phi(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4), \ h \in SO(4)$, has
kernel

\[ T(\{g_s\}, \{g'_s\}) = \int \prod_{s=1}^{N} d\delta T_{\delta}(\{g_s\}, \{g'_s\}) = \prod_{s} \delta(g_s^+ h^+(g'_s)^-) \delta(g_s^- h^-(g'_s)^-), \]

(17)

where \( \{g_s\} \) denotes a collection of four group elements associated to the strands. The pair of integration variables \( (h^+, h^-) \) is common to all four strands of a line. The propagator writes

\[
C(\{g_s\}, \{g'_s\}) = \prod_s (du_s dv_s) T(\{g_s\}, \{u_s\}) \times \prod_s S(u_s, v_s) T(\{v_s\}, \{g'_s\}),
\]

(18)

or in detail, defining \( \delta J = \delta_{J^+ J^+ J^-} \) and \( h_{in}^\pm, h_{out}^\pm \) the dummy variables corresponding to the two \( T \) operators

\[
C(\{g_s\}, \{g'_s\}) = \sum_{j^+, j^-} d_{j^+} d_{j^-} \delta_{j^+} \delta_{j^-} \times \int \prod_s (du^+_s dv^+_s) \prod_s \delta(g^+_s h^+_s (u^+_s)^-) \delta(g^-_s h^-_s (u^-_s)^-),
\]

\[
\times \prod_s \chi^{j^+}(u^+_s h^+_s (u^+_s)^-) \chi^{j^-}(u^-_s h^-_s (u^-_s)^-) \times \prod_s \delta(v^+_s h^-_s (g'^+_s)^-) \delta(v^-_s h^+_s (g'^-_s)^-). \tag{19}
\]

Integrating over \( u^+_s, v^+_s \), we get

\[
C(\{g_s\}, \{g'_s\}) = \sum_{j^+, j^-} d_{j^+} d_{j^-} \delta_{j^+} \delta_{j^-} \times \int d\delta_{in} d\delta_{out} \int \prod_s d\delta
\]

\[
\times \prod_s \chi^{j^+}(g^+_s h^+_s h^-_s (g'^+_s)^-) \chi^{j^-}(g^-_s h^-_s h^+_s (g'^-_s)^-), \tag{20}
\]

A EPRL/FK GFT line is represented together with all its associated group elements in fig. 1.

A Feynman graph of the EPRL/FK group field theory is made of propagators (eq. (20)) and vertices made of trivial conservation \( \delta \)-functions. The integrand is usually factored into contributions associated either to closed strands (called faces) or to open (external) strands.

To write the full amplitude of a graph \( \mathcal{G} \) we introduce some notations. We denote the two couples of “in” and “out” variables of a line \( l \) by \( h_{in,l}^\pm \) and \( h_{out,l}^\pm \). We denote \( \partial f \) the set of lines of the boundary of the face \( f \) and \( |\partial f| \).

its cardinal. For each line \( l \in \partial f \) we have a variable \( h_{in,f} \) (corresponding to \( h_s \) in eq. (20)). Furthermore, we denote \( \epsilon_{lf} \) the incidence matrix of lines within faces [4,8], which is 0 if \( l \in \partial f \) and 1 (or -1) if \( l \in \partial f \) and the orientations of \( l \) and \( f \) coincide (or not). Finally, denoting \( \mathcal{L} \) the set of lines and \( \mathcal{F} \) the set of faces of \( \mathcal{G} \), the amplitude writes

\[
A_{\mathcal{G}}(\{g^+_s\}, \{g^-_s\}) = \sum_{j^+, j^-} \left( \prod_{(l, f) \in \mathcal{L}} d_{j^+} d_{j^-} \delta_{j^+} \delta_{j^-} \prod_{l \in \partial f} h_{in,f} \delta_{J^+ J^- J^+ J^-} \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}} \left( \prod_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \chi^{j^+}_l \left( \prod_{l \in \partial f} (h_{in,f} h_{out,f})^{\epsilon_{lf}} \right) \right) \right), \tag{21}
\]

where for external, open faces, with group elements at the endpoints \( g^+_s \) and \( g^-_s \), the argument in the last line is replaced by

\[
\chi^{j^+}_l \left( g^+_s \right)^{\epsilon_{lf}} \left( \prod_{l \in \partial f} (h_{in,f} h_{out,f})^{\epsilon_{lf}} \right) \left( g^-_s \right)^{\epsilon_{lf}}, \tag{22}
\]

with \( \epsilon_{lf} \) the incidence matrix of external points with faces.

Subtraction, locality, and all that. – Starting from the Feynman amplitude of a graph (21), one can address the subtraction of divergences in this theory following the definition of locality proposed in [4]. Take the example of a two point function. The amplitude of a connected graph writes in terms of the amplitude of the amputated graph as

\[
A_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi) = \int d\phi \phi(\{g_s\}) A_{\mathcal{G}}(\{g_s\}, \{g'_s\}). \tag{23}
\]
The leading (“mass”) divergence is immediately identified by Taylor developing “at zeroth order” the field \( \phi(\{g_s'\}) \) around \( \{g_s'\} = \{g_s\} \)
\[
\mu_G = \int dg_s' \; A_G(\{g_s\}, \{g_s'\}) .
\] (24)

Taking into account eq. (22), the integration over the external field \( g_j^{\pm} \) fixes all \( j, j' \) and \( J_{ij} \) to 0 and the external strand contribution drops out of eq. (21). In general, the leading divergence of any graph \( G \) is therefore obtained by integrating eq. (21) ignoring the external strands.

Take the example of the graph \( G \) drawn schematically in fig. 2. All lines have parallel strands, and are oriented from left to right. We denote the lines 1 to 4 (which can be interpreted as colors in a colored model [16]), and the face by the couple of labels of the lines composing them. The set of internal faces of this graph is therefore \( f = \{f_{12}, f_{13}, f_{14}, f_{23}, f_{24}, f_{34}\} \).

The mass divergence of \( G \) writes
\[
\delta \mu_G = \sum_{J_{12}, J_{13}, J_{14}, J_{23}, J_{24}, J_{34}} \left( d_{J_{12}}^+ d_{J_{13}}^- d_{J_{14}}^- d_{J_{23}}^+ d_{J_{24}}^- d_{J_{34}}^- \right) \ldots
\]
\[
\times \int dh_{\text{in},1}^+ dh_{\text{out},1}^+ dh_{\text{in},2}^+ dh_{\text{out},2}^+ \ldots
\]
\[
\times \int dh_{\text{in},12}^+ dh_{\text{out},12}^+ \chi^{J_{12}}(h_{\text{in},12}, h_{\text{out},12}) \chi^{J_{12}}(h_{\text{in},12}, h_{\text{out},12}) \ldots
\]
\[
\times \chi^{J_{12}}(h_{\text{in},12}, h_{\text{out},12}) \chi^{J_{12}}(h_{\text{in},12}, h_{\text{out},12}) \ldots
\] (25)

In eq. (25) we have 6 independent sums, 16 integrals over line \( h_{\text{in, out}}^{\pm} \) variables, 12 integrations over \( h_{i,j}^{\pm} \) strand variables of a product of 24 characters.

Divergences arise for large values of the spin labels \( j^+, j \), thus we cutoff all the sums by some sharp cutoff \( \Lambda \). Each \( d_{J}^+ \), \( d_J^- \) factor will bring a factor \( \Lambda \). Using the parametrization of a \( SU(2) \) group element as \( g = e^{i \frac{\theta}{2} \hat{\sigma}} \), where \( \hat{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z) \) are Pauli matrices, the \( SU(2) \) Haar measure admits the representation \( dg = (1/2\pi) d\theta \sin^2(\theta/2) d\mathbf{k} \), with \( \theta \in [0, 4\pi] \) and \( \mathbf{k} \in S^2 \). From this point, the integrals over the characters are of the form
\[
\int \prod_{j=1}^n \! \! d\theta_j \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\theta_j}{2}}{2} \right)^2 \int_{S^2} \! \! d\mathbf{k} \; F(\Lambda, \theta_j, q_j) .
\]

The integrals over the normals \( q_j \) are bounded by 1 and will be ignored. The integrals over \( \theta_j \) will be evaluated by some saddle point approximation. The saddle point equations are \( \theta_j = \theta_j^s \) with
\[
\theta_j^s = 0 \; \forall \; p \leq k , \quad \theta_j^s \neq 0 \; \forall \; p > k .
\] (27)

The behavior of eq. (26) is strongly dependent of \( k \). In fact, when translating at the saddle point \( x_j = \theta_j - \theta_j^s \), and performing the rescaling \( x_j = u_j/\sqrt{\Lambda} \) close to the saddle point, eq. (26) writes
\[
\int \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \sin \frac{u_j}{2 \sqrt{\Lambda}} \right)^2 \frac{du_j}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \prod_{j=k+1}^n \left( \sin \frac{u_j + \theta_j^s}{2} \right)^2
\]
\[
\times \frac{du_j}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} F(\Lambda, \frac{u_j}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} + \theta_j) \approx \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \right)^k \int \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{u_j^2}{4} \; du_j
\]
\[
\times \prod_{j=k+1}^n \left( \sin \frac{\theta_j}{2} \right)^2 \frac{du_j}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} F(\Lambda, \frac{u_j}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} + \theta_j) ,
\] (28)
and the remaining integral gives no extra scaling in \( \Lambda \). Therefore the scaling of eq. (26) is fixed by \( n \) (the number of integration variables) and \( k \) (the number of directions with saddle point equation \( \theta_j = 0 \)).

For the graph of fig. 2, we change variables to
\[
(\tilde{h}_{\text{in},2})^{-1} = h_{\text{in},1} h_{\text{in},12} h_{\text{out},2}^{-1} h_{\text{out},2}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1},
\]
\[
(\tilde{h}_{\text{in},3})^{-1} = h_{\text{in},1} h_{\text{in},13} h_{\text{out},3}^{-1} h_{\text{out},3}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1},
\]
\[
(\tilde{h}_{\text{in},4})^{-1} = h_{\text{in},1} h_{\text{in},14} h_{\text{out},4}^{-1} h_{\text{out},4}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1},
\] (29)
and similarly for the “minus” variables. This brings the contribution of the faces \( f_{12}, f_{13}, f_{14} \) into the form
\[
\chi^{J_{12}}((\tilde{h}_{\text{in},2})^{-1}) \chi^{J_{13}}((\tilde{h}_{\text{in},3})^{-1}) \chi^{J_{14}}((\tilde{h}_{\text{in},4})^{-1})
\]
\[
\times \chi^{J_{23}}((\tilde{h}_{\text{in},2})^{-1}) \chi^{J_{34}}((\tilde{h}_{\text{in},3})^{-1}) \chi^{J_{41}}((\tilde{h}_{\text{in},4})^{-1}) ,\]
(30)
while the (+ part) contribution of the face \( f_{23} \) becomes
\[
\tilde{h}_{\text{out},2} h_{\text{in},1} h_{\text{in},12} h_{\text{out},2}^{-1} h_{\text{out},2}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1},
\]
\[
h_{2,23} h_{\text{out},2}^{-1} h_{\text{out},3}^{-1} h_{\text{out},3}^{-1} h_{\text{out},4}^{-1} h_{\text{out},4}^{-1},
\]
\[
h_{3,13} h_{\text{out},3}^{-1} h_{\text{out},4}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1} h_{\text{out},1}^{-1},
\] (31)
and similarly for the faces \( f_{24} \) and \( f_{34} \). Note that all the remaining variables, \( h_{\text{in},1} \) and \( h_{\text{out},2}^{-1}, h_{\text{out},3}^{-1}, h_{\text{out},4}^{-1} \) appear always in pairs \( h, h^{-1} \).

The integration variables \( h_{i,f} \) and \( h \) appear explicitly as arguments of some character \( \chi^{J}(h)F(h, \ldots) \). For all these

---

As always, sub leading divergences are more difficult to extract (one needs to push further the Taylor development of the external fields), and is deferred for further work.
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variables, and the associated $\theta^*_h \neq 0$ as
\[
\int \! dh \, \chi^j(h) F(h) = \\
\int \! d\theta_h \, \frac{\theta_h}{2} \sin \left(\frac{2j+1}{2} \theta_h \right) F(\theta_h, \ldots),
\] (32)
the integrand is exactly zero at $\theta_h = 0$. It is easy to check that the remaining group elements, as they appear only in pairs $h, h^{-1}$ have $\theta_h = 0$ at the saddle. We therefore have $12 \times h_{12} + 3 \times h^+ + 3 \times h^-$ variables with $\theta^*_h \neq 0$ and $5 \times h^+ + 5 \times h^-$ variables with $\theta^*_h = 0$. The scaling at the saddle point is, according to eq. (28), $1/\left[\sqrt{\Lambda^{3 \times 10}} \, \sqrt{\Lambda^{18}}\right] = \Lambda^{-24}$. In eq. (25) we count 6 independent sums and 24 factor $d^+_j, d^-_j$ and $d_J$, hence
\[
\delta \mu_G \approx \sum_{6 \times} \Lambda^{24} \Lambda^{-24} \approx \Lambda^6,
\] (33)
which coincides with the results of [4,13].

Using a similar power counting argument, for the same graph $G$ for the BF model with $SU(2)$ group ($\gamma = 1$), taking into account that “minus” variables are absent and we have $n = 20$, $k = 5$ and we recover the well-known scaling $\sum_{6 \times} \Lambda^{18}/\left[\sqrt{\Lambda^{3 \times 5}} \, \sqrt{\Lambda^{15}}\right] = \Lambda^6$. For an arbitrary graph the saddle point analysis becomes more involved, and the scaling is influenced both by the position of the saddle point in the $\theta$ space and by the presence of degenerate directions. A precise analysis is in progress [17].
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