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**Abstract:** Thermites or composite energetic materials are mixtures made of fuel and oxidizer particles at micron-scale. Thermite reactions are characterized by high adiabatic flame temperatures (>1000 °C) and high heats of reaction (>kJ/cm³), sometimes combined with gas generation. These properties strongly depend on the chemical nature of the couple of components implemented. The present work focuses on the use of indium (III) oxide nanoparticles as oxidizer in the elaboration of nanothermites. Mixed with an aluminum nanopowder, heat of reaction of the resulting Al/In₂O₃ energetic nanocomposite was calculated and its reactive performance (sensitivity thresholds regarding different stimuli (impact, friction, and electrostatic discharge) and combustion velocity examined. The Al/In₂O₃ nanothermite, whose heat of reaction was determined of about 11.75 kJ/cm³, was defined as insensitive and moderately sensitive to impact and friction stimuli and extreme sensitive to spark with values >100 N, 324 N, and 0.31 mJ, respectively. The spark sensitivity was decreased by increasing In₂O₃ oxidizer (27.71 mJ). The combustion speed in confined geometries experiments was established near 500 m/s. The nature of the oxidizer implemented herein within a thermite formulation is reported for the first time.
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**1. Introduction**

Thermites are described as highly exothermic reactions between a reducing metal and an oxide ceramic (both at the micron scale) to form a more stable oxide associated with the metal derived from the original ceramic. An illustration of this kind energetic mixture is the reaction (1) involving aluminum and iron (II, III) oxide for welding railway rails [1].

\[
8\text{Al(s)} + 3\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(s) \rightarrow 4\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(s) + 9\text{Fe(s)} + \Delta H
\]

with \(\Delta H\) the energy release during the reaction determined close to \(-15.68\) kJ/cm³ \((-3.68\) kJ/g).

Over the past two decades these composite materials have attracted growing interest in pyrotechnics, with the elaboration of systems using nanoscale components to form what researchers call metastable intermolecular composites (MICs) or nanothermites. These energetic nano-systems have the advantage, on their micron scale counterparts, of reducing ignition delays (up to three order of magnitude) and increasing combustion speeds (<100 m/s vs. 100–1000 m/s) and reproducibility [2]. As a reducing metal, aluminum (Al) is often chosen instead of magnesium (Mg), zirconium (Zr), and boron (B) because of a high heat of combustion of 31 kJ/g, its native alumina layer which makes handling safe, its low melting temperature (T = 660 °C) leading to low ignition temperature, its high boiling temperature (T = 2520 °C) allowing high reaction temperatures, and its availability. In combination with Al, a wide variety of oxide ceramics have been tabulated by Fischer and Grubelich [1] and many of them have been studied including molybdenum (VI) oxide.
(MoO$_3$), tungsten (VI) oxide (WO$_3$), iron (III) oxide (Fe$_2$O$_3$), copper (CuO) oxide, bismuth (III) oxide (Bi$_2$O$_3$), and tin (IV) oxide (SnO$_2$) [3–27]. Surprisingly, to our knowledge, indium (III) oxide (In$_2$O$_3$) has not yet been reviewed in the literature. However, In$_2$O$_3$ has an oxygen content of 60 atomic %, an ability to release oxygen at 700 °C during the formation of indium (I) oxide (In$_2$O), and a high density of 7.18 g/cm$^3$, making it an interesting potential candidate as an oxidizing agent in aluminum thermites [28,29]. Moreover, as In$_2$O$_3$ is an n-type semiconductor, explaining the literature on promising applications as gas sensors [30–35], it could prevent accidental ignition/combustion of Al/In$_2$O$_3$ energetic composite materials during handling, by conduction of the electrical charges that can emanate from a human body. Indeed, the human body has the capacity to generate energy of a couple of tens of millijoules [36,37] whereas, at the same time, thermitic composites have an extremely low spark sensitivity with a defined threshold value of less than 1.5 mJ [38]. Therefore, important precautions must be taken by operators to avoid dramatic accidents during handling, such as the use of grounded equipment (table, shoes, strap . . . ). This sensitive aspect has become a research topic in the energetic materials community. The idea that emerges from this line of research is the addition of a third component within thermites to easily and quickly evacuate electrostatic charges. Carbon microstructures, metals, and intrinsically conducting polymers have been proposed [10,39–50].

In this paper, semiconductor indium (III) oxide material (In$_2$O$_3$) was tested as an oxidizing component in highly reactive aluminothermal reactions. For that, a In$_2$O$_3$ powder was mixed with an aluminum nanopowder in stoichiometric conditions, and the performance of the resulting energetic mixture was studied in detail. The thermal, physicochemical, electrical, and sensitivity properties as well as the combustion behavior were investigated. In$_2$O$_3$ powders at the micro and nanometric scale were used for comparison purposes.

2. Materials and Methods

Aluminum nanopowder (Al, specific surface area (SSA) = 24 m$^2$/g, 65.5 wt. % of active aluminum content) was purchased from QNA Intrinsic Materials Inc. (Rochester, NY, USA). Indium (III) oxide micron powder (In$_2$O$_3$ µm, SSA = 0.8 m$^2$/g, 99.99%) and acetonitrile (CH$_3$CN, 99%) were provided by Sigma Aldrich (Saint-Louis, MO, USA). Indium (III) oxide nanopowder (In$_2$O$_3$ nm, apparent particle size (APS) = 20–70 nm, SSA = 9 m$^2$/g, 99.995%) was obtained from US Research Nanomaterials Inc. (Houston, TX, USA). Chemical reagents were used as received and without any further purification.

2.1. Preparation of the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ Energetic Composites

By analogy with the work of Fischer and Grubelich [1], listing a plethora of fuel and oxidizer ingredients may consist of thermites composites, the likely exothermal stoichiometric reaction between aluminum and indium (III) oxide can be written as follows:

$$2\text{Al}(s) + \text{In}_2\text{O}_3(s) \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(s) + 2\text{In}(s)$$

with formation of alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) and indium metal (In, melting and boiling temperatures of 156.5 °C and 2072 °C, respectively, and density of 7.31 g/cm$^3$) as combustion products. Generally, in pyrotechnics, the preparation of energetic thermites formulations calls on to the equivalence ratio ($\phi$) concept whose formula is given in Equation (3):

$$\phi = \left( \frac{F}{O} \right)_{\text{exp.}} / \left( \frac{F}{O} \right)_{\text{st.}}$$

with F and O the masses of pure fuel (Al) and oxidizer (In$_2$O$_3$) reactants, and the sub-
scripts exp. and st. the experimental and stoichiometric conditions. For example, while a stoichiometric mixture will exhibit an equivalence ratio of 1, fuel-rich and lean-fuel (or oxidizer-rich) mixtures will present $\phi$ ratio >1 and <1, respectively.
Hence, firstly, compositions of Al/In$_2$O$_3$ with equivalence ratio of 1 were prepared implementing either the In$_2$O$_3$ nano- or micron powder. This equivalence ratio was determined to lead to the highest reactive properties (ignition capacity and burning rate) of the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ energetic composite material when investigating $\phi$ ranging from 0.8 to 1.6 (each 0.2). The different masses of Al and In$_2$O$_3$ powders (500 mg of mixture in total) were suspended in an acetonitrile solution (100 mL), magnetically stirred (1 h) and sonicated (3 × 5 min) in order to obtain a homogeneous and intimate mixing of the powders. The composites were dried at 80 °C under reduced pressure (200 mbar) and then placed in an oven (80 °C, 4 h). The resulting powdered thermites were identified as Al/In$_2$O$_3$-nm and Al/In$_2$O$_3$-µm owing to the use of the nano or micrometric indium (III) oxide material, respectively.

2.2. Characterization Techniques

The non-energetic properties of the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ thermites were determined by using the usual laboratory characterization techniques as the X-ray diffraction (XRD), the scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and the nitrogen physisorption measurements.

X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the energetic composites were recorded on a D8 Advance diffractometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) equipped with a Cu-K radiation and a Lynxeye detector and operating at 40 kV–40 mA. The diffractograms were collected between 10–80° with a step size of 0.01°. The microstructures of the composites were observed by using a Nova Nano-SEM 450 microscope (FEI, Tokyo, Japan) working with a 10 kV current. Prior to the analysis, the samples were sputtered with a 7–8 nm layer of gold. The electron diffusion scattering (EDS) was used to establish chemical elements maps (Al and In) of both energetic mixtures. Nitrogen physisorption measurements, carried out on an ASAP 2020 apparatus (Micromeritics, Norcross, CA, USA), were performed to determine the specific surface area (SSA) of the different powders consisting of the energetic composites. The powders were previously outgassed at 200 °C for 6 h under vacuum. The SSAs were determined according to the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) theory in the 0.05–0.20 relative pressure zone. The electrical conductivity measurements were achieved according to the two-point probing method described in [49]. Briefly, samples (50 mg) were poured in an insulating plastic mold and two copper electrodes, connected to a 2010 multimeter (Keithley, Beaverton, OR, USA), were placed to each end. A 2.5 MPa pressure was applied during 60 s, before recording the resistance values. The electrical conductivity ($\sigma$ n Siemens per meter, S/cm) was determined by applying the equation below:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{R} \times \frac{e}{S}$$

where $R$, $e$, and $S$ correspond to the resistance (in Ohms, $\Omega$), thickness (in centimeter), and section surface (in square centimeter), respectively, of the material thought by the current.

In regard to safety principles, for example, to assure the safe handling of the matter by an operator, the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ energetic composites were subjected to diverse stresses as mechanical (impact and friction) and electrostatic discharge (ESD) tests. The sensitivities investigation was performed on a fall-hammer, a Julius Peter BAM, and an ESD 2008A device, respectively. The different principles governed by these tests are described in [10,27,42,44,49,50]. Briefly, the impact test consists of crushing matter between two metal cylinders in which the top one is bumped by a weight fall of a defined height. Regarding the friction test, the matter is scrapped between a stick and a plate, both in ceramic, and the intensity of the applied force is determined both by a weight and its position on the lever carrying the stick. Regarding the ESD test, the matter is submitted to the crossing of a stark created between two electrodes (distant of 1 mm) and whose intensity is defined by the high voltage and capacity of a condensator used. The sensitivity threshold values of the material considered toward each test, expressed in joules (J), newton (N), and millijoules (mJ), respectively, correspond to six negative consecutive tests (no reaction).
Finally, the reactive properties of the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ energetic composites were determined through a thermal analysis and the determination of the combustion velocity.

The ignition ability of the different Al/In$_2$O$_3$ formulations were determined by using an optical flash device delivering an energy density of 0.11 J/cm$^2$ [51]. For that, 10 mg of energetic composite material was put on a glass substrate (1 mm thickness) and placed on top of the device. A Photron high-speed camera working at 10,000 frames per second was used to take videos of the combustion events. For the most reactive systems, the combustion velocities were determined according to the schematic reported in [10]. The thermites were loaded in polymethylmetacrylate tubes (PMMA, inner diameter = 4 mm, length = 150 mm) until the powder was flush with the opening, taking care to have a constant loading density all over the tubes. In respect to the second criteria, multiple 50 mg-powder loadings were operated in controlling the filling height. The tube was placed horizontally in a combustion tank and a laboratory-made chemical igniter (as a replacement for the optical flash device) was placed at approximately 1 mm in front of one end. To record the combustion events, the Photron high-speed camera was set at 50,000 frames per second. From the video recordings, the combustion speeds were determined by the position of the reaction front (forward-most luminous pixel) with respect to time. The combustion velocities of the energetic formulations were averaged on four combustion experiments.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ Energetic Composite Materials

As the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ energetic formulation has never been investigated before, some intrinsic properties were determined, and the calculations were given. Some physico-chemical data of the components involved in this equation are gathered in Table 1.

| Material | Molar Mass (g/mol) | Density (g/cm$^3$) | $\Delta H_f$ (298 K, kJ/mol) |
|----------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Al       | 26.98              | 2.70               | 0                            |
| In$_2$O$_3$ | 7.18              | 7.18               | $-925.8$                     |
| Al$_2$O$_3$ | 3.05              | 3.05               | 1675.7                       |
| In       | 7.31               | 7.31               | 0                            |

The theoretical maximum density (TMD) of the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ energetic mixture can be calculated from the Formula (5) described below:

$$TMD = 1/\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_i}{\rho_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $X$ and $\rho$ characterize the mass fraction and density, respectively, of the chemical $i$. Assuming as ingredients within the considered reactive material are pure aluminum (16.3 wt. %), and indium (III) oxide (In$_2$O$_3$, 83.7 wt.%) powders, the TMD was calculated equal to 5.65 g/cm$^3$ for stoichiometric conditions (equivalence ratio ($\phi$) of 1).

The heat of reaction of the Equation (2), considered total at 298 K, was calculated according to the Hess law given in (6):

$$\Delta H^0_{\text{reaction}} = \sum \Delta H^0_f(\text{Products, 298 K}) - \sum \Delta H^0_f(\text{Reactants, 298 K})$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\Delta H^0_f$ are the standard enthalpy of formation of the different compounds participating to the reaction. From the different thermochemical data gathered in Table 1, combined with the chemical Equation (1), a heat of reaction at 298 K ($\Delta H^0$ reaction) of $-749.9$ kJ was then calculated for the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ energetic composite materials. Taking into account the mass and density of the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ mixture, the heat of reaction was established at $-2.26$ kJ/g and $-12.77$ kJ/cm$^3$, respectively.
Figure 1 shows the microstructure of the two Al/In2O3 composite materials. Based on microscopic electron analyses of the individual powders (Al, In2O3_nm and In2O3_µm—Supporting Information S1), the Al/In2O3_µm thermite (Figure 1A) can be described as a coating of aluminum particles on indium (III) oxide agglomerates, since spherical particles are clearly observed on the surface of highly faceted micro sized agglomerates. In contrast, for the Al/In2O3_nm thermite (Figure 1B), the two components appear to be homogeneously mixed because at every point in the image, spherical, and undefined-shape particles (both at nanoscale), identified as Al and In2O3, respectively, are observed. Consequently, in this formulation the contact surface area between the two ingredients is better than for the Al/In2O3_µm material (Figure 1A). The textural properties of these composite materials, more particularly the specific surface area (S(composite)), were determined from krypton physisorption measurements at 77 K. Specific surface areas values of 3.5 m²/g and 5.6 m²/g were then determined for the Al/In2O3_µm and Al/In2O3_nm composite materials, respectively.

![Figure 1](image-url) SEM images of the (A) Al/In2O3_µm and (B) Al/In2O3_nm energetic formulations, respectively.

Further characterizations of the Al/In2O3 energetic composites were performed in term of electrical conductivity because of the semiconducting character of the indium (III) oxide material [35]. For comparison purposes, the electrical properties of the diverse powders constituting the mixtures were also determined. The principle was based on a two-point method as described previously. Table 2 compiles the conductivity data of the samples with the corresponding density. As the density is similar for samples and energetic mixtures of similar chemical composition, the conductivity values can be compared.

| Material           | σ (S/cm)   | Density (TMD %) |
|--------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Al                 | 4.44 × 10⁻⁸| 43.5            |
| In2O3_µm           | 2.55 × 10⁻²| 41.5            |
| In2O3_nm           | 7.15 × 10⁻³| 35.4            |
| Al/In2O3_µm        | 1.19 × 10⁻¹| 46.1            |
| Al/In2O3_nm        | 7.11 × 10⁻³| 41.8            |

As expected, both indium (III) oxide powders exhibit typical semiconductor properties with conductivities between 10⁻³ and 10⁻² S/cm. In contrast, the aluminum metal nanopowder is characterized by an extremely low conductivity (10⁻⁸ S/cm), which is characteristic of insulating materials [51]. This result can be explained by the microstructure of the Al particle, which is described by a core-shell structure, i.e., a metallic Al core covered with an amorphous alumina native layer (Al2O3). Al2O3 is known as to be an insulating material.
material with a resistivity value $> 10^{12} \ \Omega \cdot m$ [52]. Now, concerning the two thermite materials, two main important facts can be emphasized. The first one is the conductive character of both energetic systems with conductivity values in the same level of magnitude than indium (III) oxide powders that may be explained by the volume predominance of $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ over Al (57 vs. 43 vol. %, respectively, according to an equivalence ratio of 1). Then, the second point is the high value of conductivity of the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$-$\mu m$ composite compared to the micron sized $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ powder consisting of it. This result may be supported by a possible crushing of the Al particles during the pressurization step for the conductivity measurements ($P = 2.5 \ \text{MPa}$), leading to crackling alumina layer and consequently exposing the highly electrical conductive aluminum core ($\sigma_{\text{th.}} = 10^6 \ \text{S/m}$).

3.2. Sensitivity Properties of the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ Energetic Composite Materials

The sensitivity thresholds of the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ thermites, as a function of the oxidizer particle size, are gathered in Table 3. It should be noted the upper mathematical sign means that the value noted is the highest value that can be determined from our mechanical test’s apparatus.

| Sensitivity Test | Impact (J) | Friction (N) | ESD (mJ) |
|------------------|------------|--------------|----------|
| Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$-$\mu m$ | $>100$ | $>360$ | 27.71 |
| Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{nm}$ | $>100$ | 324 | 0.31 |

As can be seen, the two energetic mixtures show similar data, especially for mechanical stimuli with high threshold values, i.e., greater than 100 J and at least 324 N for the impact and friction tests, respectively. In comparison with the sensitivity classes established by NATO for energetic materials [53,54], the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$-$\mu m$ formulation can be classified as mechanically insensitive with values significantly higher than the standards 40 J and 360 N. Concerning the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{nm}$ mixture, while it is insensitive to impact test, it is ranked as moderately sensitive to friction test since the threshold value obtained is in the range 80–360 N. Now, with regard to electrostatic discharge (ESD), taking into consideration the discharge capacity of a human body estimated at a few tens of millijoules [36,37], the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$-$\mu m$ composite is safer to handle than its nanometric size counterpart with a value of 27.17 mJ as opposed to 0.31 mJ. The latter value characterizes a composite that is extremely sensitive to spark. This result can be directly supported by the electrical conductivity values previously determined for energetic formulations. Indeed, micron-sized Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ composite materials have a higher current conduction ability than their nano-sized counterpart. This capacity makes it easier to dissipate electric charges in the powder, thus avoiding an accumulation or a higher energy density at the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ interphase level which could lead to an accidental ignition.

3.3. Ignition Ability of the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ Energetic Composite Materials

The ignition ability of both composite materials was evaluated by means of an optical flash igniter (density energy of 0.11 J/cm$^2$) and representative sequences of ignition/combustion images, in unconfined mode, are shown in Figure 2.

Obviously, the reactive behaviors of the two Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ energetic materials were very different. Indeed, while the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$-$\mu m$ formulation burns slowly and in an incomplete way, since reactive components were found as residues on the glass substrate after the ignition experiment, the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{nm}$ energetic material burns violently and only traces of combustion products were found on the glass substrate. In addition, the appearance of the flame is totally different with successive projections of incandescent particles (crackling) for the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$-$\mu m$ system, and the formation of a fireball moving over the top of the device and accompanied by a loud noise for the Al/$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{nm}$ system. Conse-
sequently, the Al/In$_2$O$_3$$_{\text{ nm}}$ material exhibits a higher ignition/combustion ability than the Al/In$_2$O$_3$$_{\text{ µm}}$ composite. To explain such a result, the differences in textural properties and homogeneity of the two energetic materials can be suggested. Considering equivalent densities for the two formulations (5–10% of the TMD [3]), the specific surface area developed by the mixtures ($a_{\text{s(composite)}}$) defines the available surface area of the mixture where the combustion reaction can take place [55]. Therefore, the higher the $a_{\text{s(composite)}}$ is, the easier the ignition is. As a reminder, the $a_{\text{s(composite)}}$ of Al/In$_2$O$_3$$_{\text{ nm}}$ has been determined higher than the $a_{\text{s(composite)}}$ of Al/In$_2$O$_3$$_{\text{ µm}}$ (5.6 vs. 3.5 m$^2$/g). Moreover, as it is generally accepted, the low scale homogeneity of a given medium is greater when chemical species of same dimension (and morphology) are used compared to particles of different sizes. However, the homogeneity of a medium is one of the parameters that makes the propagation of the combustion flame easier and faster.

![Figure 2. Sequences of combustion images of the (A) Al/In$_2$O$_3$$_{\text{ µm}}$ (time interval between images of 10 ms) and (B) Al/In$_2$O$_3$$_{\text{ nm}}$ energetic formulations, respectively with an interval of time between images of 10 ms and 1 ms, respectively. The white scale bar (on top on first images) represents 20 mm.](image)

In a second step, the combustion products of the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ reacting pair were characterized to validate the Equation (2). Figure 3 displays a photograph and an XRD pattern of the combustion residues coated on the glass substrate after the burn experiment of the Al/In$_2$O$_3$$_{\text{ nm}}$ energetic system. The macroscopic view in insert of Figure 3 shows a combustion zone embedded in the glass substrate with a grey-brownish star shape. The branches of the star clearly indicate that the exothermic reaction is violent and spreads in all directions in space.

![Figure 3. XRD pattern and photograph (insert) of the combustion products of the Al/In$_2$O$_3$$_{\text{ nm}}$ energetic composite material.](image)
With regard to the X-ray diffraction pattern (Figure 3), which was obtained directly from the substrate, the diffraction peaks have been indexed, for the most intense ones, by the metal indium (In) described by a body-centered tetragonal crystal lattice with the I4/mmm space group (JCPDS card No. 85-1409) and then by the alumina phase (γ-Al₂O₃) defined by a cubic structure and the Fd-3m space group (JCPDS card No. 029-0063). The broad peak located at 2θ theta ranging from 15° to 40° was attributed to the glass substrate. The identification of the products is consistent with the combustion reaction suggested in the experimental section for the Al/In₂O₃ energetic thermite system.

3.4. Combustion Velocity of the Al/In₂O₃_nm Energetic Composite Material

Based on the previous result on ignition, the combustion rate of the Al/In₂O₃ energetic system, in a confined environment, was only determined for the nanoscale formulation since the micron system does not lead to complete combustion. For this purpose, a burning tube experiment was carried out as described in Section 2.2. A series of four tests was performed to average the combustion speed. The average loading density of the tubes filling with the stoichiometric Al/In₂O₃_nm composition was calculated to be 0.73 ± 0.01 g/cm³ (Supporting Information S2). The low standard deviation indicates a reliable and reproducible filling method. From Equation (5), and taking into account the fact that the Al nanopowders is really made of pure aluminum (Al, 67.75 wt. %, 2.70 g/cm³) and amorphous alumina (Al₂O₃, 32.25 wt. %, 3.05 g/cm³), the TMD was recalculated equal to 5.29 g/cm³. Thus, the current experimental loading density corresponds to 13.8% of this TMD, thus characterizing a loose powder [3]. Figure 4 displays a sequence of images of burning experiments of the Al/In₂O₃_nm composite material. The reaction front, materialized by the brightest pixels, can be followed by the displacement of this luminescent signal along the entire length of the tube. As can be seen, the movement of the combustion front can be divided into two stages: an initial short transient of about 0.12–0.16 ms (Figure 4-images 1 to 4) characterizing an ignition phase, followed by a second stage where the flame front moves continuously as a function of time, reflecting a self-propagating combustion reaction. From the distance travelled as a function of time (Supporting Information S3) and by applying a linear regression over a defined period of time where the distance/time ratio appears to be constant (distance travelled through the tube of approximately 100 mm), an average combustion rate of 481 ± 12 m/s was thus determined for the Al/In₂O₃_nm energetic material, formulated from an equivalence ratio (ϕ) of 1.

Figure 4. Images captured from the combustion of the Al/In₂O₃_nm energetic composite material. The equivalence ratio (ϕ) was fixed at 1. The elapsed time between images was 40 µs. The white scale bar (at left on first image) represents the tube length (150 mm).

In an attempt at positioning the Al/In₂O₃ energetic composite material within the class of energetic formulations, its characteristics and performance were compared with some nanothermites (Al/Fe₂O₃, Al/WO₃, Al/CuO, Al/Bi₂O₃, Al/SnO₂, and Al/MoO₃) [27]. It is important to keep in mind that performance of nanothermites can depend on various
parameters (equivalence ratio, granulometry of the components, experimental procedure); this comparison is for information purposes only. With a heat of reaction of $-12.77 \text{ kJ/cm}^3$, the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ reactive mixture is less exothermic than the above-mentioned compositions whose heats releases are approximately around $-15$ to $-21 \text{ kJ/cm}^3$. Regarding the sensitivity properties, the composite nanomaterial investigated in this work is identical in some points with these composites, in particular due to an insensitivity to impact stimulus, and a very low sensitivity threshold to electrostatic discharges. It can be noted that it is less sensitive to friction test than Al/CuO and Al/Bi$_2$O$_3$ materials. With respect to the ESD sensitivity, the storage of Al/In$_2$O$_3$ energetic formulation must be done via conductive cells and the material must be handled by grounded operators. Finally, concerning the reactive properties, the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ material exhibits a burning speed of about 500 m/s which is slightly below to the other MICs mentioned above (i.e., combustion velocities between 500–1000 m/s) [27]. With a regard to the combustion products, metallic indium presents intrinsic properties that could prove complementary or even more interesting properties compared to those of tin, iron, molybdenum, and tungsten metals, depending on the targeted applications. Some physical data of these metals have been collected in Table 4 [56]. For example, indium metal has a very low melting point of 156.6 °C, compared to its counterparts (T$_m$ ranging from 231.9 to 3422 °C), associated with a high boiling point which gives it a very wide liquid range (1800 °C) and especially starting at very low temperatures. Fluid indium can therefore be used for filling interstices or pores in materials which cannot be exposed to very high temperatures over very long periods of time. Applications may be the realization of short-circuits or, on the contrary, ensuring current or heat through due to conductivity properties which characterize indium, that are similar to other metals expected for such applications (Table 4). Finally, indium is known as a material of interest in the sealing of metal-to-metal or metal-to-non-metal surfaces.

Table 4. Physical properties of some metals (M) produced by aluminum/metal oxide (MO) energetic composite materials.

| Metals   | Density (g/cm$^3$) | Melting Point (T$_m$, °C) | Boiling Point (T$_b$, °C) | Electrical Conductivity (S/m) | Thermal Conductivity (W/m/K) |
|----------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Indium (In) | 7.31              | 156.6                    | 2072                      | 11.6                          | 81.6                          |
| Tin (Sn)  | 7.29              | 231.9                    | 2602                      | 9.17                          | 66.6                          |
| Iron (Fe) | 7.84              | 1538                     | 2861                      | 9.93                          | 80.2                          |
| Molybdenum (Mo) | 10.22          | 2623                     | 4639                      | 18.7                          | 138                           |
| Tungsten (W) | 19.3             | 3422                     | 5355                      | 8.9                           | 174                           |

4. Conclusions

This investigation demonstrates the successful use of indium (III) oxide ceramic (In$_2$O$_3$) as an oxidizer in metastable intermolecular composites. This was achieved by mixing In$_2$O$_3$ material with an aluminum nanopowder under stoichiometric conditions. Theoretical (density, and heat of reaction) and experimental (sensitivity properties and combustion rate) data were determined. A heat release of $-2.26 \text{ kJ/g} (-11.75 \text{ kJ/cm}^3)$ was calculated. With the use of In$_2$O$_3$ micron powder, the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ energetic composite material does not show a promising reactive behavior owing to incomplete reaction was observed in open burn experiments. In contrast, an impressive combustion reaction, with a reaction front propagation of 500 m/s (determined in confined mode), was observed for the Al/In$_2$O$_3$ composite nanomaterial. Regarding sensitivities properties, impact (>100 J), friction (324 N), and spark (0.31 mJ) tests revealed an Al/In$_2$O$_3$ nanothermite that is insensitive to mechanical stimuli and extremely sensitive to the electrostatic discharge test. A future work would be the preparation of a spark-desensitized Al/In$_2$O$_3$ reactive composition. For that, the use of a micrometer-sized indium (III) oxide fabric elaborated from an assembly of nanoparticles could be interesting. Indeed, Al/In$_2$O$_3$ thermite prepared from micrometer oxidizer showed a much lower spark sensitivity (27.71 mJ vs. 0.31 mJ) than its Al/In$_2$O$_3_{\text{nm}}$ counterpart. Thus, the nanostructured oxidizer fabric, mixed with nanoscale
aluminum, would allow the formulation of a thermite combining the best properties of sensitivity and reactive behavior, associated with the micrometer and nanometer size of In$_2$O$_3$, respectively.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at [https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/jcs5070166/s1](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/jcs5070166/s1), Figure S1: Microstructure of the individual powders (A) aluminum (Al) and indium (III) oxide (B) micro, and (C) nanopowders, respectively. Figure S2: Filling up height as function of mass added for the thermite Al/In$_2$O$_3$ nm energetic composite ($\phi = 1$, series of 4 tubes). For the sake of clarity, lines are plotted. Figure S3: Filling up height as function of mass added for the thermite Al/In$_2$O$_3$ nm energetic composite ($\phi = 1$, series of 4 tubes). For the sake of clarity, lines are plotted.
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