Mechanism for hydrogen evolution from water splitting based on a MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterojunction photocatalyst: a first-principle study
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In this study, density functional theory and hybrid functional theory are used to calculate the work function and energy band structure of MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$, as well as the binding energy, work function, energy band structure, density of states, charge density difference, energy band alignment, Bader charge, and H adsorption free energy of MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$. The difference in work function led to the formation of a built-in electric field from WSe$_2$ to MoS$_2$, and the energy band alignment indicated that the redox reactions were located on the MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ semiconductors, respectively. The binding energy of MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ indicated that the thermodynamic properties of the heterogeneous structure were stable. MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ gathered electrons and holes, respectively, and redistributed them under the action of the built-in electric field. The photogenerated electrons and holes were enriched on the surface of WSe$_2$ and MoS$_2$, which greatly improved the efficiency of hydrogen production by photocatalytic water splitting.

Introduction

To further develop high-energy green hydrogen, the production of hydrogen using photocatalytic water splitting (WS) has attracted significant interest from both academia and industry.$^1$ During light irradiation, electrons in photocatalyst valence bands (VB) absorb photon energy and then become excited from the VB to the conduction bands (CB). This enables them to participate in the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). The holes left in the VB can then participate in the oxygen evolution reaction (OER). This is another reaction for WS that is more difficult than the HER.$^{2,3}$

After Fujishima and Honda used the photocatalyst TiO$_2$ for WS to successfully produce hydrogen in 1972,$^4$ researchers became committed to developing better photocatalysts for producing hydrogen.$^3$ Photocatalytic hydrogen generation by WS must meet two photocatalyst requirements under light conditions. The first requirement is that the energy to excite electrons from the VB of the photocatalytic to their CB must be greater than the WS voltage and the semiconductor bandgap. The second requirement is the CB potential in the energy band needs to be more negative than the hydrogen reduction potential, and the VB potential must be more positive than the oxidation potential.$^5$–$^7$ According to the equation $\lambda = 1240/E_g (\lambda$ (nm), $E_g$ represent the optical wavelength and semiconductor band gap), appropriately reducing the semiconductor band gap in excess of that required for WS (theoretically 1.23 eV) can reduce the requirements for the energy that the electron absorbs from light, broaden the light absorption range, and improve the efficiency of hydrogen evolution.$^8$

Since the method of exfoliating graphene was discovered,$^9$ two-dimensional (2D) materials research has been developing rapidly, including transition metal dichalcogenides (TMD), especially for the monolayer TMD,$^{10}$ which has excellent optoelectronic properties compared with bulk TMD, such as superconductivity and a semiconducting behavior.$^{11,12}$ According to Voiry's research, TMDs, when used as photocatalysts, can broaden the range (≈420 nm) of light absorption of visible light, but its stability and activity is not satisfactory.$^{13}$ The atomic-thickness monolayer MoS$_2$, which features good low light detection,$^{14}$ high photosensitivity, and high carrier mobility, has high light absorption efficiency and generates a large number of photogenerated electrons and hole pairs under light conditions that are crucial to HER and OER,$^{15}$ respectively, giving it the potential to be a photocatalytic material. Hinne mann studied hydrogen release using MoS$_2$ nanoparticles supported on graphite as a catalyst for water electrolysis, and he found that the binding free energy of atomic hydrogen to the catalyst was close to zero ($\Delta G_H \equiv 0.1$ eV).$^{16}$ WSe$_2$ is also considered to be one of the most promising optoelectronic device materials for the future.$^{17}$ For example, the photocells based on WSe$_2$ have high efficiency and thermal stability because of its high charge carrier mobility and mechanical
flexibility. Kumar studied the synthesis of heterojunctions using WSe₂ as the substrate and found that MoSe₂/WSe₂ showed decent new photoelectric characteristics (enhanced photoluminescence, smaller electron effective masses and high electron mobility). McKone investigated the solar energy conversion efficiency of WSe₂ photocatalytic hydrogen production (67%) using Pt/Ru as a co-catalyst (60%). Meng-Yen Tsai et al. found that the Fermi energy levels moved towards VBM and CBM when WSe₂ were P and N doped, respectively, which was favorable for changing the photocatalytic activity of WSe₂.

Photocatalytic heterojunctions based on TMD synthesis have been preliminarily studied. For example, Charlie Tsai et al. studied hydrogen release with MoSe₂/WSe₂ heterojunctions based on the density function theory (DFT) because MoSe₂ and WSe₂ nanofilms and nanosheets have been shown to be active for electrochemical H₂ evolution. They found that enhanced activity was located at the edges of MoSe₂ and WSe₂. Wang et al. studied the photocatalytic performance of the direct Z-scheme MoSe₂/SnS₂ van der Waals heterojunction. They found that the light absorption wavelength was widened to 600 nm, but the oxidation potential could be further increased to produce hydrogen more efficiently. Ho et al. deposited MoS₂ on CdS to form a CdS/MoS₂ heterojunction and used it as a photoanode to study its photocatalytic activity. They found that heterojunction formation contributed to increased carrier concentration and conductivity.

Results and discussion

TMD exists in several structural phases produced by the coordination of transition metal atoms and different VIA atoms. This study adopted the ABA-stacked trigonal (2H) structure of common structural features. As shown in Fig. 1, the monolayer TMD can be viewed as a sandwich structure consisting of two layers of VIA atoms (A and A′) embedded with one layer of transition metal elements (B and B′). We constructed and optimized the monolayer MoS₂ and monolayer WSe₂ models. The bond lengths of Mo–S and W–Se were 2.412 Å and 2.542 Å, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2(a). Then, we constructed the heterostructure with MoS₂ and WSe₂ model structure particles. After full relaxation as shown in Fig. 2(c), the bond lengths of Mo–S and W–Se were 2.412 Å and 2.542 Å, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2(c), both the semiconductors layer distance was d = 3.70 Å, indicating the heterojunction was a van der Waals heterojunction. The van der Waals effect enabled the tight bonding of different 2D materials (MoS₂ and WSe₂), and the van der Waals heterojunctions with ultrafast carrier migration accelerated the charge migration. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the lattice volumes of MoS₂,

Methodology

In this paper, we performed the initial calculations using first principles based on DFT and performed the single point calculations with a hybrid functional theory (HSE (06)). We carried out both calculations using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP). The exchange correlation function used the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerh (PBE) function of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA). We set the plane wave cutoff energy at 500 eV. The effective band structure (EBS) proposed by Zunger et al. could transform the band structure of a supercell into the Brillouin region of a primitive cell, which provided favorable conditions for the correct analysis of the supercell energy band. Therefore, we calculated the effective band structure of the supercell by the energy band unfolding method. The structure relaxation energy convergence criterion was 1 × 10⁻⁶ eV. The force convergence criterion was −2 × 10⁻² eV Å⁻². The Brillouin region was divided into 6 × 6 × 1 and 4 × 4 × 1 for the hexagonal crystalline MoS₂ and WSe₂ and MoS₂/WSe₂, respectively. The Brillouin regions are shown in Fig. 2(b) and (d), and the calculated path is I → M → K → I. We set the large vacuum space of 20 Å along the perpendicular direction to the 2D plane to minimize the interactions between the adjacent heterostructures. When performing first principles calculations, we introduced HSE (06) to accurately characterize the correct energy band structure and electronic properties of the material. The study used the mixing parameter, α = 0.25, for accurate hybrid generalization calculations, because the HSE (06) hybrid generalization results provided more accurate calculations, including those for band structure, density of states (DOS), electronic properties, and work function, compared with PBE functional. Therefore, the research in this article is based on the results of HSE (06) hybrid functional calculations.

![Fig. 1](image) 2(H) MoS₂ (a) and 2(H) WSe₂ (b) structures: green (A) spheres, indigo (B) spheres, cyan (A’) spheres and pink (B’) spheres represent S atoms, Mo atoms, Se atoms and W atoms, respectively.
The plane average charge density \( d_i \) is obtained from the work function \( \Phi \), vacuum level \( E_{\text{vac}} \), and Fermi level \( E_i \) as:

\[
\Phi = E_{\text{vac}} - E_i
\]  

where \( \Phi, E_{\text{vac}}, \) and \( E_i \) represent work function, vacuum level, and Fermi level, respectively.

\[
\Delta \rho_{\text{pavg}}(z) = \int \Delta \rho(r) \, dx 
\]  

where \( \Delta \rho_{\text{pavg}} \) is the plane average charge density difference.

\[
\Delta \rho = \rho_{\text{MoS}_2/\text{WSe}_2} - \rho_{\text{MoS}_2} - \rho_{\text{WSe}_2}
\]

where \( \rho_{\text{MoS}_2/\text{WSe}_2}, \rho_{\text{MoS}_2}, \) and \( \rho_{\text{WSe}_2} \) are the charge density of \( \text{MoS}_2/\text{WSe}_2, \text{MoS}_2, \) and \( \text{WSe}_2 \), respectively.

As shown in Table 1, the work functions of \( \text{MoS}_2, \text{WSe}_2, \) and \( \text{MoS}_2/\text{WSe}_2 \) are 5.25 eV, 4.36 eV, and 4.80 eV, respectively, which is consistent with the PBE functional.\(^{33} \) The work functions of \( \text{MoS}_2, \text{WSe}_2, \) and \( \text{MoS}_2/\text{WSe}_2 \) are 5.63 eV, 5.08 eV, and 5.22 eV, respectively under the HSE (06) hybrid functional condition.

The Fermi energy level of MoS2 was lower than that of WSe2, and the electrons flowed spontaneously from WSe2 to MoS2 until the Fermi levels on both sides reached the same potential. Thus, the WSe2 side was positively charged, whereas the MoS2 side was negatively charged at the interface. Hence, energy band bending would occur and a built-in electric field would be formed at the junction of the MoS2 surface and WSe2 surface interface. The formation of a built-in electric field promoted the separation and transport of photogenerated electrons and holes, inhibited the recombination of photogenerated electrons and holes, and prolonged the life of photogenerated electrons and holes, better promoting photogenerated carrier transfers to the HER and OER sites of the MoS2/WSe2 heterojunction, and increasing photocatalytic reaction efficiency.

Fig. 3(d) quantitatively depicts the plane averaged charge density difference along the lattice c direction. It is evident that the negative charge accumulated mainly on the MoS2, whereas positive charge accumulated on the WSe2. The amount of the charge accumulation and transformation was zero on both sides of the heterojunction, which verified that the thickness of the heterojunction vacuum layer was perfectly suitable to avoid errors in the analytical calculations because of an insufficient vacuum layer thickness. Fig. 3(e) depicts the redistribution of negative charge and positive charge in heterojunctions, with cyan representing the negative charge accumulation region and yellow representing the negative charge depletion region. The negative charge was concentrated mainly on the surface of MoS2 and around the Mo atoms, and a small amount of negative charge aggregation appeared inside WSe2 between W and Se atoms. Electron depletion occurred on the WSe2 semiconductor. The MoS2 semiconductor had a small amount of charge migration between the Mo and S atoms. Fig. 3(d) and (e) showed the redistribution of negative and positive charge on different semiconductors resulting from the built-in electric field directed from the WSe2 surface toward the MoS2 surface. The redistribution of charge was in agreement with the formation of a built-in electric field and the work function, which favored the photocatalytic reaction.\(^{36} \)

Under illumination, the electrons on photocatalyst VB absorbed photon energy and then became excited from the VB to CB, and the photogenerated holes remained on the VB. For
direct bandgap semiconductors, the electrons on the VB with energy greater than or equal to the bandgap jumped directly from the VB to the CB at the bandgap. To study the photocatalytic properties of the photocatalyst, we first calculated the energy bands of MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ by the PBE function to prepare the HSE (06) hybrid function calculations. The CBM
and the VBM were displayed at the $K$ point in the Brillouin region. The results, under the PBE functional, showed that the bandgap (1.68 eV) of the monolayer MoS$_2$ was consistent with Manzeli’s experimental results (1.71 eV), and the bandgap (1.60 eV) of the monolayer WSe$_2$ was consistent with Lee’s experimental results (1.66 eV).$^{47,58,59}$ The energy band structures of MoS$_2$, WSe$_2$, and MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ were further calculated by HSE (06) hybrid function. Thus, a more precise analysis of the efficiency of heterojunction photocatalytic hydrogen production is shown in Fig. 4. In Fig. 4(a), the CBM and VBM of monolayer MoS$_2$ lie in the $K$ point in the Brillouin region. So, the monolayer MoS$_2$ was a direct bandgap, which was consistent with the conclusion that the direct bandgap $E_g$ was 2.16 eV, and the previous calculations were in agreement with the experimental results of Manzeli (2.16 eV) and Hill (2.16 ± 0.04 eV).$^{47,60}$

Fig. 4(b) shows the energy band structure of the monolayer WSe$_2$, with both its CBM and VBM appearing at the $K$ point (direct bandgap 2.08 eV), which was consistent with the experimental results of Zribi (2.08 eV), and Chiu (2.08 ± 0.1 eV).$^{61,62}$ This result demonstrated that the calculation method was correct. Fig. 4(c) shows both the heterojunction CBM and VBM obtained at the $K$ point, which can broaden the light absorption range. In addition, the CBM and VBM of WSe$_2$ were contributed to primarily by Mo atoms. The CBM and VBM of MoS$_2$ were contributed to primarily by W atoms with a small contribution from Se atoms, whereas the VB was contributed to primarily by Se atoms. The MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterojunction CBM was contributed to primarily by the Mo atoms, and the VBM was contributed to primarily by W atoms.

To quantitatively investigate the interface electronic structure and identification of the nature of the orbitals, we calculated the projected density of state (PDOS) of the MoS$_2$, WSe$_2$, and the MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterostructure, and the total density of state (TDOS) of the MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterostructure in Fig. 5. Fig. 5(a) indicates that the VBM and CBM of the MoS$_2$ were occupied by the Mo(d) atomic orbitals of MoS$_2$. Fig. 5(b) indicates that the VBM and CBM of the WSe$_2$ were occupied by the W(d) atomic orbitals of WSe$_2$. Fig. 5(c) indicates that the VBM of the MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterostructure was occupied by the atomic orbitals of WSe$_2$. The CBM of the MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterostructure was occupied primarily by the atomic orbitals of MoS$_2$, and the atomic orbitals of WSe$_2$ were higher than those of WSe$_2$. Fig. 5(d)–(f) show that the VBM and CBM of the MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterostructure were occupied by the W atoms and Mo atoms, respectively. Fig. 5(e) and (f) further show that the W(s) and W(p) orbital contributions of WSe$_2$ were smaller than those of W(d) orbits, and the Mo(s) and Mo(p) orbital contributions of MoS$_2$, which were significantly smaller than those of the Mo(d) orbits. Most importantly, this analysis of DOS was in agreement with the results of the band structure, as shown in Fig. 4(a)–(c).

Combining the analysis of the work function and the Fermi level in Fig. 3, we studied the mechanism of hydrogen production by photocatalytic WS of MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$. As shown on the left side of Fig. 6(a), the Fermi level of MoS$_2$ was lower than that of WSe$_2$. An electrostatic potential difference formed because of the difference between Fermi levels when MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ were in contact, and the electrons flowed spontaneously from the high Fermi level to the low Fermi level under the drive of the potential difference until the Fermi levels reach equilibrium. Hence, the positive charge and negative charge accumulated mainly on the WSe$_2$ side and MoS$_2$ sides at the interface,
respectively. Then a built-in electric field from WSe$_2$ to MoS$_2$ formed at the junction of the MoS$_2$ surface and WSe$_2$ surface interface, and the VB of WSe$_2$ and CB of MoS$_2$ occurred by bending upward and downward, respectively, as shown in Fig. 6(a) (right). The photocatalytic reaction mechanism and transfer of photogenerated electrons and holes of the MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterostructure are illustrated in Fig. 6(b). Under illumination, electrons absorbed photon energy and then became excited from the VB of MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ to their CB; simultaneously, photoexcited holes were left in their VB. The built-in
electric field from WSe$_2$ surface to MoS$_2$ surface accelerated the transfer of photoexcited electrons from the CB of MoS$_2$ to the VB of WSe$_2$, and resulted in the recombination of electrons and holes in the VB of WSe$_2$. Simultaneously, the built-in electric field also restrained the photoexcited electrons in the CB of WSe$_2$ to flow into the CB of MoS$_2$ and photoexcited holes in the VB of MoS$_2$ to transfer to the VB of WSe$_2$. Therefore, under the built-in electric field driving, photoexcited electrons in the CB of MoS$_2$ and holes in the VB of WSe$_2$ were consumed. Conversely, the photoexcited electrons and holes naturally accumulated in the CB of WSe$_2$ and VB of MoS$_2$, respectively. The separation of photoexcited electrons and holes in space prolonged their lifetime and increased the probability of the photoexcited electrons and holes participating in the HER and OER on the surface, respectively, which effectively improved the photocatalytic activity of the MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterostructure. This analysis indicated that MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterostructure was a direct Z-scheme photocatalyst.

As shown in Fig. 6(b), the photoexcited electrons of direct Z-scheme MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterostructure accumulated in the CB of WSe$_2$, and the CB edge potential of WSe$_2$ was $-0.421$ eV (vs. NHE), which was more negative than that of H$^+$/H$_2$ (0 eV), and had enough ability to reduce H$^+$ to H$_2$. Additionally, the photoexcited holes accumulated at the VB of MoS$_2$, Table 2 The amount of atomic charge before the semiconductor material (MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$) forms a heterojunction

| Elements | Charge ($\pm$e) | Elements | Charge ($\pm$e) |
|----------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| S1       | $-0.95046$     | W1       | $0.96352$      |
| S2       | $-0.95027$     | W2       | $0.96713$      |
| S3       | $-0.95041$     | W3       | $0.96713$      |
| S4       | $-0.95024$     | W4       | $0.97074$      |
| S5       | $-0.93441$     | Se1      | $-0.45907$     |
| S6       | $-0.93408$     | Se2      | $-0.45907$     |
| S7       | $-0.93441$     | Se3      | $-0.45907$     |
| S8       | $-0.93401$     | Se4      | $-0.45907$     |
| Mo1      | 1.88457        | Se5      | $-0.50806$     |
| Mo2      | 1.88457        | Se6      | $-0.50806$     |
| Mo3      | 1.88457        | Se7      | $-0.50806$     |
| Mo4      | 1.88457        | Se8      | $-0.50806$     |

Table 3 The amount of atomic charge MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterojunction

| Elements | Charge ($\pm$e) | Elements | Charge ($\pm$e) |
|----------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| S1       | $-0.58967$     | W1       | $0.92161$      |
| S2       | $-0.58968$     | W2       | $0.92161$      |
| S3       | $-0.58953$     | W3       | $0.92163$      |
| S4       | $-0.58969$     | W4       | $0.92138$      |
| S5       | $-0.58667$     | Se1      | $-0.4471$      |
| S6       | $-0.58668$     | Se2      | $-0.44709$     |
| S7       | $-0.58668$     | Se3      | $-0.44712$     |
| S8       | $-0.58669$     | Se4      | $-0.44712$     |
| Mo1      | 1.17307        | Se5      | $-0.47121$     |
| Mo2      | 1.17307        | Se6      | $-0.47121$     |
| Mo3      | 1.17306        | Se7      | $-0.47098$     |
| Mo4      | 1.17293        | Se8      | $-0.47124$     |
and the corresponding VB edge potential of MoS₂ was 2.256 eV, which was more positive than that of O₂/H₂O (1.23 eV) and had sufficient ability to produce O₂. Therefore, the direct Z-scheme MoS₂/WSe₂ heterostructure exhibited better photocatalytic performance than either MoS₂ or WSe₂ alone.

The charge transfer of the photocatalyst determined the hydrogen production efficiency of the photocatalyst, and we calculated the Bader charge to study the charge transformation in the catalyst.⁴⁴,⁴⁵

As shown in Fig. 7, we calculated the Bader charge of MoS₂, WSe₂, and MoS₂/WSe₂ heterostructure and plotted Tables 2 and 3 based on the number of charges carried by each atom. Mo and S atoms are more charged than W and Se atoms, respectively. Transition metal atoms are twice as charged as S-group atoms.

Combining Tables 2 and 3, it is found that the charge of all elements in the heterojunction decreases when MoS₂ forms a heterojunction with WSe₂, especially the charge of Mo, S atoms decreases more than that of W, Se atoms, which indicates that part of the electrons of MoS₂ move to WSe₂, which is consistent with the results of the previous analysis, and indicates that the charge of MoS₂ and WSe₂ is partially free in the heterojunction as free electrons and does not participate in atomic bonding, which helps to improve the hydrogen production efficiency of the photocatalyst.

As shown in Fig. 8, to characterize the efficiency of photocatalytic WS for hydrogen production, we constructed a hydrogen adsorption model for the heterojunction and calculated the adsorption free energy for hydrogen at the different sites. The adsorption free energy data are shown in Table 4.

\[ E_F = E_{H_2} - E_{H_2} - E_{H_2} + 0.24 \] (eqn 5)

As shown in Table 4, the free energies of Se₁, Se₂, Se₃, and Se₄ atoms for hydrogen were 0.26 eV, 0.25 eV, 0.25 eV, and 0.25 eV, respectively. They all were close to 0 eV, which indicated that the heterojunction did not have the adsorption effect on hydrogen after the generation of hydrogen, and the generated hydrogen could actively diffuse and failed to form hydrogen molecules clustered around the active site.⁶⁷

**Conclusions**

In this study, we designed a MoS₂/WSe₂ van der Waals heterojunction to improve the efficiency of photocatalytic WS for hydrogen evolution. We performed all computations, including structure relaxation, energy band, DOS, electronic properties, and work function, based on DFT and HSE (06). Before performing the HSE (06) calculations, we used DFT based on the first principles for the initial calculations. We analyzed all results based on calculations of HSE (06) hybrid functional theory. The energy calculation results showed that the formation energy of the MoS₂/WSe₂ heterojunction was negative, so the thermodynamic properties of the heterojunction were

![Fig. 8](image-url)
stable. The work function calculations showed that the electrons flowed spontaneously from WSe$_2$ to MoS$_2$ until a potential equilibrium was reached on both semiconductors after the formation of the heterojunction, which resulted in an accumulation of a charge on one side of the heterojunction. Hence, a built-in electric field was established within the heterojunction that prevented the flow of photogenerated electrons from the CB of WSe$_2$ to that of MoS$_2$, stopped the flow of holes from the VB of MoS$_2$ to WSe$_2$, and facilitated the flow of photogenerated electrons from the MoS$_2$ CB to recombine with the holes in the VB of WSe$_2$. In this way, photogenerated electrons and holes accumulated in the CB of WSe$_2$ and the VB of MoS$_2$, respectively. This meant that reduction reactions proceeded at WSe$_2$ and oxidation reactions proceeded at MoS$_2$. The energy band calculations showed that the monolayer MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ both had direct bandgaps. When MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ were in contact, the CB potential of WSe$_2$ was more negative than that of MoS$_2$, and the VB potential of MoS$_2$ was more positive than the VBM of WSe$_2$. The orbital contributions of each element in MoS$_2$, WSe$_2$, and MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ to VBM and CBM in combination with the DOS-assisted energy band structures were also analyzed. All of the results indicated that MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ was a direct Z-scheme heterojunction. Photocatalytic HER and OER occurred on the CB of WSe$_2$ and the VB of MoS$_2$. Finally, we calculated the Bader charges of MoS$_2$, WSe$_2$, and MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ and analyzed the charge transfer characterization active sites. We constructed the heterojunction hydrogen adsorption model to analyze the adsorption performance of heterojunction on hydrogen after hydrogen generation. All of the results showed that MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$, with great thermodynamic stability and strong redox ability, could be used as a WS catalyst for hydrogen production.
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