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Abstract. Evolution algebras are a new type of non-associative algebras which are inspired from biological phenomena. A special class of such algebras, called Markov evolution algebras, is strongly related to the theory of discrete time Markov chains. The winning of this relation is that many results coming from Probability Theory may be stated in the context of Abstract Algebra.

In this paper we explore the connection between evolution algebras, random walks and graphs. More precisely, we study the relationships between the evolution algebra induced by a random walk on a graph and the evolution algebra determined by the same graph. Given that any Markov chain may be seen as a random walk on a graph we believe that our results may add a new landscape in the study of Markov evolution algebras.

1. Introduction

Evolution algebras are a special class of non-associative algebras introduced by \[10, 12\] as an algebraic way to mimic the self-reproduction of alleles in non-Mendelian genetics. More than ten years have passed since the first papers on this topic appeared in Algebra literature, and a lot of research effort has been devoted to explore the connections between this abstract object and concepts of other fields. We refer the reader to \[1, 2, 3, 4, 10\] for a survey of properties and results of general evolution algebras; to \[5, 13, 14\] for a connection between evolution algebras and graphs; and to \[6, 8, 9, 12, 16\] for a review of results with relevance in genetics and other applications.

An evolution algebra is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let \(A := (A, \cdot)\) be an algebra over a field \(K\). We say that \(A\) is an evolution algebra if it admits a countable basis \(S := \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, \ldots\}\), such that

\[e_i \cdot e_i = \sum_k c_{ik} e_k, \quad \text{for any} \; i,\]

\[e_i \cdot e_j = 0, \quad \text{if} \; i \neq j.\]  \hfill (1)

The scalars \(c_{ik} \in K\) are called the structure constants of \(A\) relative to \(S\).

A basis \(S\) satisfying (1) is called natural basis of \(A\). \(A\) is real if \(K = \mathbb{R}\), and it is nonnegative if it is real and the structure constants \(c_{ik}\) are nonnegative. In addition, if \(0 \leq c_{ik} \leq 1\), and

\[\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{ik} = 1,\]

for any \(i, k\), then \(A\) is called Markov evolution algebra. The name is due to there is an interesting one-to-one correspondence between \(A\) and a discrete time Markov chain \((X_n)_{n \geq 0}\) with state space \(\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots\}\) and transition probabilities given by \((c_{ik})_{i,k \geq 1}\), i.e., for \(i, k \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}\):

\[c_{ik} = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = x_k | X_n = x_i),\]

for any \(n \geq 0\). Notice that each state of the Markov chain is identified with a generator of \(S\). We refer the reader to \[3, 12\] for a review of Markov chains.

Perhaps the main contribution of the correspondence between Markov chains and evolution algebras is that many problems coming from applied sciences, which may be currently solved by...
mean of probabilistic methods, i.e., stochastic processes; can be interpreted through techniques of non-associative algebras. The bridge between these two fields is established and explored by Tian in the only book of this beautiful subject, [10], where the author formulates theorems of Markov chain theory in the context of evolution algebras and related operators. The book also includes a review of examples and applications, as well as different open problems in this area of research. One of the open questions is what is the relationship between the evolution algebra induced by a random walk on a graph, which is a special type of Markov chain, and the evolution algebra determined by the same graph. The purpose of our work is to answer this question by looking for the existence of isomorphisms between these structures. As far as we know, this question has not been addressed yet (see [11]). Our results cover a wide range of finite and infinite graphs, including the families of finite graphs that were recently considered by [14].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to preliminary definitions and examples. Section 3 includes the main results of our work, which are subdivided into three parts. The first part is related to the existence of isomorphisms between the evolution algebras of interest, when the underlying graph is a regular or a complete bipartite graph. In the second part we show some examples of graphs where the only homomorphism is the null map. This is the case of most path, friendship, and wheel graphs. Finally we discuss the case of complete $n$-partite graphs, which may be an interesting issue of further research.

2. Evolution algebras, random walks and graphs

At first we consider the definition of evolution algebra associated to a graph introduced by [10], and studied recently by [13, 14]. Then, we consider the evolution algebra of a symmetric random walk on a graph. As the random walk is a special type of discrete time Markov chain the induced algebra is just the associated Markov evolution algebra.

2.1. Evolution algebra of a graph. Let’s start with some notation regarding graph theory. A graph $G$ with $n$ vertices ($n$ may be infinite) is a pair $(V, E)$ where $V := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is the set of vertices and $E := \{(i, j) \in V \times V : i \leq j\}$ is the set of edges. If $(i, j) \in E$ we say that $i$ and $j$ are neighbors. In the notation above we assume $i \leq j$ for the sake of simplicity; this means that we are considering undirected, or simple, graphs and the existence of loops (i.e., if $i = j$). However, we point out that our results may be extended to directed graphs without further work. In addition, we let $A = (a_{ij})$ the adjacency matrix of $G$, i.e.

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (i, j) \in E \text{ or } (j, i) \in E, \\ 0, & \text{other case.} \end{cases}$$

As we consider undirected graphs we have $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, for $i, j \in V$. Note that two vertices $i$ and $j$ are neighbors if $a_{ij} = 1$. In what follows we shall consider locally finite graphs, i.e., the number of neighbors of any vertex is finite. This assumption is important when considering the random walk on such graph.

The evolution algebra of a graph $G$ is defined by [10, Section 6.1] as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $G = (V, E)$ a graph with adjacency matrix given by $A = (a_{ij})$. The evolution algebra associated to $G$ is the evolution algebra $A(G)$ with natural basis $S = \{e_i : i \in V\}$, and relations

$$e_i \cdot e_j = \sum_{k \in V} a_{ik} e_k, \quad \text{for } i \in V,$$

and $e_i \cdot e_j = 0$, if $i \neq j$.

**Example 2.1.** The complete graph is an undirected graph in which every pair of different vertices is connected by a unique edge (see Figure 2.1).
Let $K_n$ be the complete graph with $n$ vertices. Then $\mathcal{A}(K_n)$ is the evolution algebra with set of generators $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ and relations

$$e_i^2 = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n e_j, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\},$$

and $e_i \cdot e_j = 0$, for $i \neq j$.

**Example 2.2.** The $d$-dimensional homogeneous tree $T_d$ is an undirected infinite graph in which every vertex has degree $d + 1$, and every pair of different vertices is connected by a unique path, i.e., a sequence of neighbors vertices (see Figure 2.2(a)).

![Diagram of a 2-dimensional homogeneous tree](image)

(a) First three levels of $T_2$

![Lexicographical order of vertices of $T_2$](image)

(b) Lexicographical order of vertices of $T_2$.

In this case, it is more fruitful to use the lexicographical order to label the vertices: we use $0$ for a vertex usually identified as the root of the tree, and we imagine the tree as growing upwards away from its root. We let $01, 02, \ldots, 0d$ those vertices connected through an edge to the root; $011, 012, \ldots, 01d$ are the vertices connected to the vertex $01$, which are further from the root, and so on (see Figure 2.2(b)). Then $\mathcal{A}(T_d)$ is the evolution algebra with the infinite set of generators $\{e_0, e_{01}, e_{02}, e_{03}, e_{011}, e_{012}, e_{021}, \ldots\}$ and relations:

$$e_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k}^2 = e_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k} + \sum_{j=1}^d e_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k j}, \quad \text{for } j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\},$$

and $e_{\sigma} \cdot e_{\nu} = 0$, for $\sigma, \nu \in S := \{0, 01, 02, \ldots, 0d, \ldots\}$ such that $\sigma \neq \nu$.

We refer the reader to [13, 14] for a review of evolution algebras associated to some well-known families of finite graphs.
2.2. Evolution algebra of a random walk on a graph. The symmetric random walk on $G = (V, E)$ is a discrete time Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with state space given by $V$ and transition probabilities given by
\[ p_{ik} = \frac{a_{ik}}{k_i}, \]
where $i, k \in V$ and
\[ k_i := \sum_{k \in V} a_{ik}, \]
is the number of neighbors of vertex $i$. In other words, the sequence of random variables $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ denotes the set of positions of a particle walking around the vertices of $G$, where each new position is selected at random from the set of neighbors of the current position. As a random walk is a Markov chain, we can define its related Markov evolution algebra.

**Definition 2.2.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with adjacency matrix given by $A = (a_{ij})$. We define the evolution algebra associated to the symmetric random walk on $G$ as the evolution algebra $A_{RW}(G)$ with natural basis $S = \{e_i : i \in V\}$, and relations given by
\[ e_i \cdot e_i = \sum_{k \in V} \left( \frac{a_{ik}}{k_i} \right) e_k, \quad \text{for } i \in V, \]
and $e_i \cdot e_j = 0$, if $i \neq j$.

**Example 2.3.** Let $K_n$ be the complete graph with $n$ vertices considered in Example 2.1. Then $A_{RW}(K_n)$ is the evolution algebra with set of generators $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ and relations:
\[ e_i^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n e_j, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, \]
and $e_i \cdot e_j = 0$, for $i \neq j$.

**Example 2.4.** Let $T_d$ be the $d$-dimensional homogeneous tree considered in Example 2.2. Then $A_{RW}(T_d)$ is the evolution algebra with set of generators $\{e_0, e_{01}, e_{02}, e_{03}, e_{11}, e_{012}, \ldots\}$ and relations:
\[ e_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k}^2 = \frac{1}{d + 1} \left( e_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{k-1}} + \sum_{j=1}^d e_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{k-1} j} \right), \quad \text{for } j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}, \]
and $e_{\sigma} \cdot e_{\nu} = 0$, for $\sigma, \nu \in S := \{0, 01, 02, \ldots, 0d, \ldots\}$ such that $\sigma \neq \nu$.

3. On the existence of isomorphisms between $A(G)$ and $A_{RW}(G)$

The purpose of this work is to explore the connection between the algebras $A(G)$ and $A_{RW}(G)$, for a given graph $G$. As mentioned in the Introduction, this is one of the open problems stated in [10, Chapter 6], and more recently in [11]. In order to do it, we consider the following definition given by [10, Section 3.1].

**Definition 3.1.** Let $A$ and $\tilde{A}$ be $K$-evolution algebras and $S = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, \ldots\}$ a natural basis for $A$. We say that a $K$-linear map $g : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ is an homomorphism of evolution algebras if it is an algebraic map and if the set $\{g(e_1), \ldots, g(e_n)\}$ can be complemented to a natural basis of $\tilde{A}$. In addition, if $g$ is bijective, then we say that it is an isomorphism.

3.1. Regular and complete bipartite graphs. Our first result states that the evolution algebra induced by the random walk on a graph and the evolution algebra determined by the same graph are isomorphic as evolution algebras provided the graph is well-behaved in some sense. We shall consider first the case of regular graphs, i.e., any vertex has exactly the same number of neighbors. Notice that a complete graph and an homogeneous tree are examples of regular graphs, see Examples 2.1 and 2.2. Next we analyze the case of a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$, where the set of vertices can be partitioned into two subsets, of sizes $m$ and $n$, such that there is no edge
Figure 3.1. Complete bipartite graph $K_{6,4}$.

connecting two vertices in the same subset, and every possible edge that could connect vertices in
different subsets is part of the graph, see Figure 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** $\mathcal{A}(G)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{RW}(G)$ are isomorphic as evolution algebras in the following cases.

i. $G = G_d$ is a $d$-regular graph, for $d \geq 2$;

ii. $G = K_{m,n}$ is the complete bipartite graph with partitions of sizes $m$ and $n$, for $m, n \geq 1$.

**Proof.** i. Assume that $G_d = (V, E)$ is a $d$-regular graph, i.e. $k_i = d$, for any $i \in V$. The induced evolution algebras $\mathcal{A}(G_d)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{RW}(G_d)$ are obtained by considering the set of generators $\{e_i, i \in V\}$ and relations:

$\mathcal{A}(G_d) : \begin{cases} 
    e_i^2 = \sum_{j \in V} a_{ij} e_j, & \text{for } i \in V, \\
    e_i \cdot e_j = 0, & \text{for } i \neq j,
\end{cases}$

and

$\mathcal{A}_{RW}(G_d) : \begin{cases} 
    e_i^2 = \sum_{j \in V} \frac{a_{ij}}{d} e_j, & \text{for } i \in V, \\
    e_i \cdot e_j = 0, & \text{for } i \neq j.
\end{cases}$

Consider the $R$-linear transformation $g : \mathcal{A}(G) \to \mathcal{A}_{RW}(G)$ defined by $g(e_i) = d e_i$ for $i \in V$. Note that, for any $i$, it holds

$g(e_i^2) = g \left( \sum_{j \in V} a_{ij} e_j \right) = d^2 \left( \sum_{j \in V} \frac{a_{ij}}{d} e_j \right),$

and

$g(e_i) \cdot g(e_i) = (d e_i) \cdot (d e_i) = d^2 \left( \sum_{j \in V} \frac{a_{ij}}{d} e_j \right).$

On the other hand, for $i \neq j$, $g(e_i \cdot e_j) = g(0) = 0$ and $g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j) = d^2 (e_i \cdot e_j) = 0$. Therefore, $g$ is an evolution homomorphism and, since $g$ send a basis of $\mathcal{A}(G_d)$ into a basis of $\mathcal{A}_{RW}(G_d)$, it is an evolution isomorphism.

ii. Let $G = K_{m,n}$, for $m, n \geq 1$ be a complete bipartite graph with partitions of sizes $m$ and $n$. In other words, the set of vertices of $K_{m,n}$ can be partitioned into two subsets, say $V_1 := \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $V_2 := \{m + 1, \ldots, m + n\}$, such that there is no edge connecting two vertices in the same subset, and every possible edge that could connect vertices in different subsets is part of the graph. The resulting evolution algebras associated to $K_{m,n}$ are given by the set of generators $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m, e_{m+1}, \ldots e_{m+n}\}$ and relations:
It is not difficult to see that $g$ and $i$ all send a basis of $A(K_{m,n})$ into a basis of $A_{RW}(K_{m,n})$, it is an evolution isomorphism.

Let $g : A(K_{m,n}) \to A_{RW}(K_{m,n})$ be a $\mathbb{R}$-linear transformation defined by
\[
g(e_i) = \begin{cases} m^{1/3}n^{2/3}e_i, & \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}; \\
m^{2/3}n^{1/3}e_i, & \text{for } i \in \{m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, m + n\}. \end{cases}
\]
It is not difficult to see that $g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j) = g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j)$ for $i \neq j$. Additionally, for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, we have
\[
g(e_i^2) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g(e_{m+j}) = m^{2/3}n^{1/3} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{m+j},
\]
and
\[
g(e_i) \cdot g(e_i) = \left(m^{1/3}n^{2/3}e_i\right) \cdot \left(m^{1/3}n^{2/3}e_i\right) = \left(m^{1/3}n^{2/3}\right)^2 e_i^2 = \frac{\left(m^{2/3}n^{1/3}\right)^2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{m+j},
\]
which implies $g(e_i^2) = g(e_i) \cdot g(e_i)$. Similarly, we can check $g(e_i^2) = g(e_i) \cdot g(e_i)$, for $i \in \{m + 1, \ldots, m + n\}$. Indeed, we have
\[
g(e_i^2) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g(e_j) = m^{1/3}n^{2/3} \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j,
\]
and
\[
g(e_i) \cdot g(e_i) = \left(m^{2/3}n^{1/3}e_i\right) \cdot \left(m^{2/3}n^{1/3}e_i\right) = \left(m^{2/3}n^{1/3}\right)^2 e_i^2 = \frac{\left(m^{4/3}n^{2/3}\right)}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j.
\]
Thus $g$ is an evolution homomorphism and, since $g$ send a basis of $A(K_{m,n})$ into a basis of $A_{RW}(K_{m,n})$, it is an evolution isomorphism.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 3.2** holds for any regular graph, finite or infinite, including snark and Petersen graphs whose evolution algebras where introduced by [14]. **Theorem 3.2** is also true whenever we consider a complete bipartite graph, like a star graph $(K_{1,n})$ or a utility graph $(K_{3,3})$.

### 3.2. Path, friendship and wheel graphs.

In this section we list some graphs for which $A(G)$ and $A_{RW}(G)$ are not isomorphic as evolution algebras. Further, our results are stronger in the sense that we shall prove that the only evolution homomorphism between these algebras is the null map. First we need the following auxiliary lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $(a_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence, finite or infinite, of real numbers such that $a_i a_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Then $a_k = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, or there exists at most one $k \geq 1$ such that $a_k \neq 0$ and $a_j = 0$ for all $j \neq k$. 

Proof. If $a_i \neq 0$ and $a_j \neq 0$ for some $i, j$, with $i \neq j$, then it should be $a_i a_j \neq 0$, which contradicts our assumption. □

Consider as underlying graph the path graph with $n$ vertices, denoted by $P_n$, where each vertex $i$ is connected to $i - 1$ and $i + 1$, for $i \in \{2, \ldots, n - 1\}$, and 1 is connected only to 2 while $n$ is connected only to $n - 1$ (see Figure 3.2).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{path_graph.png}
\caption{Path graph $P_{10}$.}
\end{figure}

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2(ii) we have that $\mathcal{A}(P_3) \cong \mathcal{A}_{RW}(P_3)$ as evolution algebras. Indeed, notice that $P_3 \cong K_{1,2}$ as graphs. We shall show that $\mathcal{A}(P_n) \not\cong \mathcal{A}_{RW}(P_n)$ as evolution algebras, for $n > 3$.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $P_n$ be a path graph, with $n > 3$. Then, the only evolution homomorphism between $\mathcal{A}(P_n)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{RW}(P_n)$ is the null map. In particular, $\mathcal{A}(P_n) \not\cong \mathcal{A}_{RW}(P_n)$ as evolution algebras.

**Proof.** Consider the evolution algebras induced by $P_n$, and by the random walk on $P_n$, respectively. That is, consider the evolution algebras whose set of generators is $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ and relations are:

$$\mathcal{A}(P_n) : \begin{cases} e_1^2 = e_2, \\
e_1^2 = e_{i-1} + e_{i+1}, & \text{for } i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}, \\
e_2^2 = e_{n-1}, \\
e_i \cdot e_j = 0, & \text{for } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{RW}(P_n) : \begin{cases} e_1^2 = e_2, \\
e_1^2 = 1/2 e_{i-1} + 1/2 e_{i+1}, & \text{for } i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}, \\
e_2^2 = e_{n-1}, \\
e_i \cdot e_j = 0, & \text{for } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Now assume that there exists an evolution homomorphism $g : \mathcal{A}(P_n) \to \mathcal{A}_{RW}(P_n)$, such that

$$g(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_{ik} e_k, \quad \text{for any } i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\},$$

where the $t_{ik}$’s are scalars. Then $g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j) = 0$ for any $i \neq j$, which implies

$$t_{ik} t_{jk} = 0, \quad \text{for any } i, j, k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \text{ with } i \neq j.$$

This in turns implies, by Lemma 3.3, that for any $k$, $t_{ik} \neq 0$ for at most one of the values of $i$. In other words, if the map $g$ exists, then it must be defined as

$$g(e_i) = \alpha_i e_{\pi(i)},$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, where the $\alpha'_i$’s are scalars and $\pi$ is an element of the symmetric group $S_n$. Again, since $g$ is an evolution homomorphism we have $g(e_i^2) = g(e_i) \cdot g(e_i)$, for any $i$. In particular, $g(e_1^2) = g(e_1) \cdot g(e_1)$; it follows that

$$g(e_1^2) = g(e_2) = \alpha_2 e_{\pi(2)},$$

is equal to

$$g(e_1) \cdot g(e_1) = \alpha_1^2 e_{\pi(1)}.$$
Hence $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1^2$, and $\pi(1) \in \{1, n\}$. Analogously, $g(e_n^2) = g(e_n) \cdot g(e_n)$ and then
\[ g(e_n^2) = g(e_{n-1}) = \alpha_{n-1} e_{\pi(n-1)}, \]
is equal to
\[ g(e_n) \cdot g(e_n) = \alpha_n^2 e_{\pi(n)}, \]
and we obtain $\alpha_{n-1} = \alpha_n^2$, and $\pi(n) \in \{1, n\}$. For $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$ ($\pi(i) \notin \{1, n\}$) we have on one hand
\[ g(e_i) \cdot g(e_i) = \alpha_i^2 e_{\pi(i)} = \alpha_i^2 (e_{\pi(i)-1} + e_{\pi(i)+1}), \]
and, on the other hand
\[ g(e_i^2) = g(e_{i-1} + e_{i+1}) = \alpha_i e_{\pi(i-1)} + \alpha_{i+1} e_{\pi(i+1)}. \]
Thus, $g(e_i^2) = g(e_i) \cdot g(e_i)$ implies
\[ \frac{\alpha_i^2}{2} (e_{\pi(i)-1} + e_{\pi(i)+1}) = \alpha_i e_{\pi(i-1)} + \alpha_{i+1} e_{\pi(i+1)}. \]
As a consequence of the previous identities we have the relations:
\[ \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2, \quad \alpha_i = \frac{\alpha_i^2}{2}, \quad \alpha_{i+1} \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n-1\}. \]
In particular, for $i \in \{2, 3\}$ we have
\[ \alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2} = \alpha_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{\alpha_3^2}{2} = \alpha_4, \]
then
\[ \alpha_2 = \frac{\alpha_3^2}{2} = \frac{(\frac{\alpha_2^2}{2})^2}{2} = \frac{\alpha_4^2}{8}. \]
Note that $\alpha_2 = 0$ is a solution of the equation above. In this case we obtain $\alpha_i = 0$, for any $i$, and therefore $g$ is the null homomorphism. If $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, it should be positive, and then we obtain $\alpha_2 = 2$. Finally, note that as $\alpha_2^2 = \alpha_2$ it should be $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{2}$, but $\alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2} = 2$ and we get a contradiction. Therefore the only evolution homomorphism from $A(P_n)$ to $A_{RW}(P_n)$ is the null map and $A(P_n) \not\cong A_{RW}(P_n)$ as evolution algebras. Observe that our proof assumes $n > 3$. □

**Remark 3.1.** It is not difficult to see that Proposition 3.4 is also true for a semi-infinite path with vertices $\{1, 2, \ldots\}$. The proof follows the same lines as before, but in this case we can assure that $\pi(1) = 1$. This is an example of infinite graph such that $A(G) \not\cong A_{RW}(G)$.

In the sequel we consider the friendship graph, usually denoted by $F_n$, which is a finite graph with $2n+1$ vertices, $3n$ edges, constructed by joining $n$ copies of the triangle graph with a common vertex (see Figure 3.3).

**Figure 3.3.** Friendship graph.

We will slightly abuse the notation, and write $\tilde{F}_n$ to the friendship graph with $n$ vertices, i.e. $\tilde{F}_n := F_{(n-1)/2}$, for $n \in \{2k + 1, k \geq 1\}$. By Theorem 3.2 we have $A(F_3) \cong A_{RW}(F_3)$. Here we consider $n > 4$. 
Proposition 3.5. Let $\tilde{F}_n$ be a friendship graph, with $n > 4$. Then the only evolution homomorphism between $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{F}_n)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{RW}(\tilde{F}_n)$ is the null map. Thus $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{F}_n) \not\cong \mathcal{A}_{RW}(\tilde{F}_n)$ as evolution algebras.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{F}_n)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{RW}(\tilde{F}_n)$ are the evolution algebras induced by $\tilde{F}_n$ and by the random walk on $\tilde{F}_n$, respectively. That is, take the set of generators $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$, and the relations:

$$\mathcal{A}(\tilde{F}_n): \begin{cases} 
\ell^2_i = e_{i+1} + e_n, & \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ such that } i \text{ is odd}, \\
\ell^2_i = e_{i-1} + e_n, & \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ such that } i \text{ is even}, \\
e_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i, \\
e_i \cdot e_j = 0, & \text{for } i \neq j,
\end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{RW}(\tilde{F}_n): \begin{cases} 
\ell^2_i = \frac{1}{2}(e_{i+1} + e_n), & \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ such that } i \text{ is odd}, \\
\ell^2_i = \frac{1}{2}(e_{i-1} + e_n), & \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ such that } i \text{ is even}, \\
e_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i, \\
e_i \cdot e_j = 0, & \text{for } i \neq j.
\end{cases}$$

Let $g : \mathcal{A}(\tilde{F}_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{RW}(\tilde{F}_n)$ be an evolution homomorphism such that

$$g(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{ik} e_k, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\},$$

where the $t_{ik}$’s are scalars. Thus $g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. But

$$g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{ik} t_{jk} \ell^2_k = \left( \frac{t_{i \ell} t_{\ell j}}{2} + \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} \right) e_1 + \left( \frac{t_{i \ell} t_{\ell j}}{2} + \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} \right) e_2 + \cdots + \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_{ik} t_{jk} \right) e_n.$$ 

Then the coefficients of $e_{\ell}$ are zero for $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. According to the above remark, we have

$$\frac{t_{i(\ell+1)} t_{j(\ell+1)}}{2} + \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} = 0, \text{ for } \ell \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ such that } \ell \text{ is odd}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{t_{i(\ell-1)} t_{j(\ell-1)}}{2} + \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} = 0, \text{ for } \ell \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ such that } \ell \text{ is even}, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_{ik} t_{jk} = 0, \text{ for } \ell = n. \quad (4)$$

Adding the equations (2) and (3), for $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, we get

$$\left( \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} t_{\ell \ell} \right) + (n-1) \left( \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} \right) = 0.$$
which, using (4), implies
\[ t_{in}t_{jn} = 0, \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \text{ and } i \neq j. \]
This in turn implies, by (2) and (3), that
\[ t_{ik}t_{jk} = 0, \text{ for } i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \text{ and } i \neq j. \]

By Lemma 4 we obtain that \( t_{ik} \neq 0 \) for at most one of the values of \( i \), and for any \( k \). These means that if \( g \) exists, it should be defined as
\[ g(e_i) = \alpha_i e_{\pi(i)}, \]
for \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \), where the \( \alpha_i \)'s are scalars and \( \pi \in S_n \).

Again, by our assumption we have
\[ g(e_i^2) = g(e_i) \cdot g(e_i) = \alpha_i^2 e_{\pi(i)}^2, \text{ for } i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, \]
and
\[ g(e_i^2) = g(e_{i+t} + e_n) = \alpha_i e_{\pi(i+t)} + \alpha_n e_{\pi(n)}, \text{ for } i \neq n, \]
where
\[ t := t(i) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ -1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \]

Thus
\[ \alpha_i^2 e_{\pi(i)}^2 = \alpha_i e_{\pi(i+t)} + \alpha_n e_{\pi(n)}, \text{ for } i \neq n. \]

If \( \pi(n) \neq n \), then \( \pi(i+t) = n \) and
\[ \alpha_i^2 e_{\pi(i)}^2 = \alpha_i e_{\pi(i+t)} + \alpha_n e_{\pi(n)}, \]
with \( \pi(i), \pi(n) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\} \). As \( e_{\pi(i+t)} = e_n \) we obtain
\[ g(e_{\pi(i+t)}^2) = g(e_n^2) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i e_{\pi(i)} \right), \]
and also
\[ g(e_{\pi(i+t)}^2) = g(e_{\pi(i+t)}) \cdot g(e_{\pi(n)}) = \alpha_n^2 e_{\pi(n)}^2 = \frac{\alpha_n^2}{2} (e_{\pi(n)+t} + e_n). \]
This gives \( \alpha_n^2 = 0 \), thus \( \alpha_n = 0 \) and then \( \alpha_i = 0 \) for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \). We conclude that \( g \) is a null homomorphism.

On the other hand, if \( \pi(n) = n \) then, for \( i \neq n \), we have
\[ g(e_i^2) = g(e_{i+t} + e_n) = \alpha_i e_{\pi(i+t)} + \alpha_n e_n, \]
where \( t := t(i) \) is defined by (5) and
\[ \alpha_i^2 e_{\pi(i)}^2 = \alpha_i e_{\pi(i+t)} + \alpha_n e_n. \]

But also
\[ \alpha_i^2 e_{\pi(i)}^2 = \frac{\alpha_i^2}{2} (e_{\pi(i)+t} + \alpha_n e_n), \text{ with } \pi(i), \pi(i) + t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}. \]

Then
\[ \frac{\alpha_i}{2} (e_{\pi(i)+t} + e_n) = \alpha_i e_{\pi(i)+t} + \alpha_n e_n, \]
\( \alpha_n = \alpha_i = \alpha_i^2/2 \) for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \). Therefore \( \alpha_i = \alpha_j \) for \( i \neq j \) and \( i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). It follows that \( \alpha_i^2/2 = \alpha_i \). Note that if \( \alpha_i = 0 \) then \( g = 0 \). Lets assume \( \alpha_i \neq 0 \). Hence \( \alpha_i = 2 \) for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \),
\[ g(e_n^2) = \alpha_n^2 e_n^2 = 4 e_n^2 = \frac{4}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i \right), \]
and
\[ g(e_n^2) = g \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i \right) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{\pi(i)}. \]
Therefore $4/(n - 1) = 2$ and this is possible only if $n = 3$. By hypothesis $n > 4$, and this implies that the only evolution homomorphism from $A(\tilde{F}_n)$ to $A_{RW}(\tilde{F}_n)$ is the null map. Therefore $A(\tilde{F}_n) \not\cong A_{RW}(\tilde{F}_n)$ as evolution algebras.

Now we consider the wheel graph $W_n$, which is a graph with $n$ vertices, $n \geq 4$, formed by connecting a single vertex, called center, to all the vertices of an $(n - 1)$-cycle (see Figure 3.4). Since $W_4$ is a 3-regular graph we know that $A(W_4) \cong A_{RW}(W_4)$ (see Theorem 3.2(i)).

![Figure 3.4. Wheel graphs.](image)

**Proposition 3.6.** Let $W_n$ be a wheel graph, with $n > 4$. Then the only evolution homomorphism between $A(W_n)$ and $A_{RW}(W_n)$ is the null map. In particular, $A(W_n) \not\cong A_{RW}(W_n)$ as evolution algebras.

**Proof.** In order to define the evolution algebras induced by $W_n$ and by the random walk on $W_n$, respectively, we consider the set of generators $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$, and the relations given by

$$A(W_n) : \begin{cases} e_1^2 = e_{n-1} + e_2 + e_n, \\
e_2^2 = e_{i-1} + e_{i+1} + e_n, \quad \text{for } i \in \{2, \ldots, n-2\}, \\
e_{n-1}^2 = e_1 + e_{n-2} + e_n, \\
e_n^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_j, \\
e_i \cdot e_j = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and

$$A_{RW}(W_n) : \begin{cases} e_1^2 = \frac{1}{3}(e_{n-1} + e_2 + e_n), \\
e_2^2 = \frac{1}{3}(e_{i-1} + e_{i+1} + e_n), \quad \text{for } i \in \{2, \ldots, n-2\}, \\
e_{n-1}^2 = \frac{1}{3}(e_1 + e_{n-2} + e_n), \\
e_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_j \right), \\
e_i \cdot e_j = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Assume that there exists an evolution homomorphism $g : A(W_n) \rightarrow A_{RW}(W_n)$, such that for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$

$$g(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{ik} e_k,$$

where the $t'_{ik}$s are scalars. Thus defined, we have $g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j) = 0$ for any $i \neq j$, and also
\[
g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{ik} t_{jk} e_k^2
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{t_{i2} t_{j2}}{3} + \frac{t_{i(n-1)} t_{j(n-1)}}{3} + \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} \right) e_1
\]
\[
+ \sum_{\ell=2}^{n-2} \left( \frac{t_{i(\ell-1)} t_{j(\ell-1)}}{3} + \frac{t_{i(\ell+1)} t_{j(\ell+1)}}{3} + \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} \right) e_\ell
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{t_{i1} t_{j1}}{3} + \frac{t_{i(n-2)} t_{j(n-2)}}{3} + \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} \right) e_{n-1} + \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} t_{i\ell} t_{j\ell} \right) e_n,
\]

which implies that:
\[
\frac{t_{i2} t_{j2}}{3} + \frac{t_{i(n-1)} t_{j(n-1)}}{3} + \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} = 0,
\]
\[
\frac{t_{i(\ell-1)} t_{j(\ell-1)}}{3} + \frac{t_{i(\ell+1)} t_{j(\ell+1)}}{3} + \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} = 0, \quad \text{for } \ell \in \{2, \ldots, n-2\},
\]
\[
\frac{t_{i1} t_{j1}}{3} + \frac{t_{i(n-2)} t_{j(n-2)}}{3} + \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} = 0,
\]
\[
\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} t_{i\ell} t_{j\ell} = 0.
\]
Adding the equalities \(7\), \(8\) for \(\ell \in \{2, \ldots, n-2\}\), and \(9\) we obtain
\[
t_{in} t_{jn} + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} t_{i\ell} t_{j\ell} = 0.
\]
So we conclude by \(10\) that
\[
t_{in} t_{jn} = 0, \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \quad \text{and} \quad i \neq j.
\]
On the other hand,
\[
g(e_i^2) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
g(e_{\ell_1(i)} + e_{\ell_2(i)} + e_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (t_{\ell_1(i)k} + t_{\ell_2(i)k} + t_{nk}) e_k, & \text{for } i \neq n, \\
g \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (t_{1k} + t_{2k} + \cdots + t_{(n-1)k}) e_k, & \text{for } i = n,
\end{array} \right.
\]
where \(\ell_1(i)\) and \(\ell_2(i)\) are the neighbors of the vertex \(i\), i.e.,
\[
\ell_1(i) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
i - 1, & \text{for } i \in \{2, n-1\}, \\
n - 1, & \text{for } i = 1,
\end{array} \right.
\]
and
\[
\ell_2(i) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
i + 1, & \text{for } i \in \{1, n-2\}, \\
1, & \text{for } i = n - 1.
\end{array} \right.
\]
Therefore using \(6\) we know that the \(n\)th-coordinate of \(g(e_i^2)\) in the natural basis \(\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}\) is
\[
\frac{t_{i1}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{i2}^2}{3} + \cdots + \frac{t_{i(n-1)}^2}{3}, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}.
\]
Then

On the other hand, by (6), (12) and (16), we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} = 0, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\},
\]
(13)
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{2} = t_{11} + t_{22} + \cdots + t_{(n-1)(n-1)},
\]
(14)
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{2} = t_{11} + t_{22} + \cdots + t_{(n-1)(n-1)}.
\]
(15)
In what follows we shall assume \( t_{nn} \neq 0 \). In such case, by (11) and Lemma 3.3 we have that \( t_{nn} = 0 \) for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \). This, together with Equation (14) implies
\[
t_{n1} = t_{n2} = \cdots = t_{n(n-1)} = 0.
\]
(15)
Adding the equalities of (13), for \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\} \), and using (15) we obtain that
\[
\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} t_{ij}^{2} = (n-1)t_{nn}.
\]
Substituting (15) in (6) and (12) and adding the \( n-1 \) first coordinates of \( g(e_{i}^{2}) \), for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \), we obtain the following \( n-1 \) equalities
\[
2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} t_{i\ell}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (t_{k1} + t_{(n-1)k}),
\]
(16)
\[
2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} t_{i\ell}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (t_{2k} + t_{(n-1)k}),
\]
\[
2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} t_{i\ell}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (t_{3k} + t_{1k}),
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} t_{i\ell}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (t_{1k} + t_{(n-2)k}).
\]
Adding the previous equalities we have
\[
\frac{2}{3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (t_{i\ell}^{2} + t_{i2\ell} + \cdots + t_{i(n-1)\ell}) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} (t_{k1} + t_{2k} + \cdots + t_{(n-1)k}).
\]
By repeating the above procedure for \( i = n \) we obtain
\[
t_{nn}^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} t_{ij}.
\]
Therefore we get
\[
3(n-1)t_{nn} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} (t_{i\ell}^{2} + t_{i2\ell} + \cdots + t_{i(n-1)\ell}) = 3 \sum_{k=1}^{n} (t_{k1} + t_{2k} + \cdots + t_{(n-1)k}) = 3t_{nn}^{2},
\]
which implies \( t_{nn} > 0 \), and as a consequence
\[
t_{nn} = (n-1).
\]
(16)
But, by (13) and (16),
\[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} t^2_\ell = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} t^2_{\ell_3} = 3t_{nn} = 3(n-1), \]
and by (8), for \( \ell = 2 \), we have
\[ \sum_{i<\ell} (t_{1i}t_{1\ell} + t_{13}t_{\ell_3}) = 0. \]
Finally, putting all together, it must be \( 3(n-1) = 2(n-1)^2 \), which is impossible for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). This implies that \( t_{nn} = 0 \).

Notice that if \( t_{nn} = 0 \) and \( t_{in} = 0 \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \) then \( q = 0 \). In the other case, if \( t_{nn} = 0 \) and there exists \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \) such that \( t_{jn} \neq 0 \) then, by (13), \( t_{j1} = t_{j2} = \cdots = t_{j(n-1)} = 0 \) so \( g(e_i) = t_{in}e_n \). Since \( n > 4 \) there exists \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) such that \( j \) is not a neighbor of \( i \), \( t_{jn} \neq 0 \), and then, again by (13), \( t_{j1} = t_{j2} = \cdots = t_{j(n-1)} = 0 \). Therefore \( g(e_j) = t_{jn}e_n \), so
\[ 0 = g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j) = \frac{t_{in} t_{jn}}{n-1} (e_1 + \cdots + e_{n-1}), \]
which is a contradiction because \( t_{in} t_{jn} \neq 0 \). We conclude that the only evolution homomorphism between \( \mathcal{A}(W_n) \) and \( \mathcal{A}_{RW}(W_n) \), for \( n > 4 \), is the null map. In particular \( \mathcal{A}(W_n) \not\cong \mathcal{A}_{RW}(W_n) \).

3.3. Complete \( n \)-partite graphs. A natural generalization of the complete bipartite graph is the complete \( n \)-partite graph, for \( n \geq 2 \), with partitions of sizes \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \), where \( a_i \geq 1 \) for \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). This graph, which we denote by \( K_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n} \), has a set of vertices partitioned into \( n \) disjoint sets of sizes \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \), respectively, in such a way that there is no edge connecting two vertices in the same subset, and every possible edge that could connect vertices in different subsets is part of the graph.

The resulting evolution algebra associated to the graph \( \mathcal{A}(K_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n}) \) is given by the generator set \( \{e_1, e_{a_1+1}, e_{a_1+a_2}, \ldots, e_{a_1+\cdots+a_n}\} \) and the relations:

\[ e_1^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{a_2+\cdots+a_n} e_{a_1+j}, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, a_1\}, \]

\[ e_{a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_{t-1}+i}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{a_{t+1}+\cdots+a_n} e_j + \sum_{j=1}^{a_1+\cdots+a_{t-1}+j} e_{a_1+\cdots+a_{t-1}+j}, \quad \text{for } t \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ and } i \in \{1, \ldots, a_t\}, \]

\[ e_{a_1+\cdots+a_{n-1}+i}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{a_{n+1}+\cdots+a_n} e_j, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, a_n\}, \]

\[ e_i \cdot e_j = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \]

On the other hand, if we let \( s = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \), the evolution algebra associated to the symmetric random walk on the graph, denoted by \( \mathcal{A}_{RW}(K_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n}) \), is given by the same set of generators as before and the relations:
\[ e_i^2 = \frac{1}{s - a_1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a_2 + \cdots + a_n} e_{a_1 + j} \right), \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, a_1\}, \]

\[ e_{a_1 + \cdots + a_t + 1 + i}^2 = \frac{1}{s - a_t} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{t-1}} e_j + \sum_{j=1}^{a_{t+1} + \cdots + a_n} e_{a_1 + \cdots + a_{t-1} + j} \right), \quad \text{for } t \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ and } i \in \{1, \ldots, a_t\}, \]

\[ e_{a_1 + \cdots + a_n - 1 + i}^2 = \frac{1}{s - a_n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1}} e_j \right), \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, a_n\}, \]

\[ e_i \cdot e_j = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \]

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2 (i) we have that \( A(K_{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n}) \cong A_{RW}(K_{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n}) \) as evolution algebras, provided \( a_i = d \) for any \( i \), where \( d \geq 2 \) is a given constant. On the other hand, we arrive at a similar conclusion for \( n = 2 \) and any value of \( a_i \) by Theorem 3.2 (ii). As we show in the next example, this is not true in general.

**Example 3.1.** Let \( K_{1,1,2} \) be the complete 3-partite graph, with partitions of sizes 1,1 and 2, see Figure 3.5.

![Figure 3.5. Complete 3-partite graph \( K_{1,1,2} \).](image)

The resulting evolution algebras associated to \( K_{1,1,2} \) are given by the set of generators \( \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \) and relations

\[ A(K_{1,1,2}) : \begin{cases} 
  e_1^2 = e_2 + e_3 + e_4, \\
  e_2^2 = e_1 + e_3 + e_4, \\
  e_i^2 = e_1 + e_2, & \text{for } i \in \{3,4\}, \\
  e_i \cdot e_j = 0, & \text{for } i \neq j.
\end{cases} \]

and

\[ A_{RW}(K_{1,1,2}) : \begin{cases} 
  e_1^2 = \frac{1}{3} (e_2 + e_3 + e_4), \\
  e_2^2 = \frac{1}{3} (e_1 + e_3 + e_4), \\
  e_i^2 = \frac{1}{2} (e_1 + e_2), & \text{for } i \in \{3,4\}, \\
  e_i \cdot e_j = 0, & \text{for } i \neq j.
\end{cases} \]
Let \( g : A(K_{1,1,2}) \to A_{\text{RW}}(K_{1,1,2}) \) be an evolution homomorphism such that for any \( i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \)
\[
g(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} t_{ik}e_k,
\]
where the \( t_{ik} \)'s are scalars. Then
\[
g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j) = \left( \frac{t_{i2}t_{j2}}{3} + \frac{t_{i3}t_{j3}}{2} + \frac{t_{i4}t_{j4}}{2} \right) e_1 + \left( \frac{t_{i1}t_{j1}}{3} + \frac{t_{i3}t_{j3}}{2} + \frac{t_{i4}t_{j4}}{2} \right) e_2 + \left( \frac{t_{i1}t_{j1}}{3} + \frac{t_{i2}t_{j2}}{3} \right) e_3 + \left( \frac{t_{i1}t_{j1}}{3} + \frac{t_{i2}t_{j2}}{3} \right) e_4.
\]
As \( g \) is an evolution homomorphism, we have \( g(e_i) \cdot g(e_j) = 0 \) for any \( i \neq j \). This implies
\[
\begin{align*}
t_{i2}t_{j2} + t_{i3}t_{j3} + t_{i4}t_{j4} &= 0, \quad (17) \\
t_{i1}t_{j1} + t_{i3}t_{j3} + t_{i4}t_{j4} &= 0, \quad (18) \\
t_{i1}t_{j1} + t_{i2}t_{j2} &= 0. \quad (19)
\end{align*}
\]
Adding (17) and (18) and using (19) we can assert that
\[
t_{i2}t_{j2} = 0 \text{ and } t_{i1}t_{j1} = 0, \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \text{ and } i \neq j.
\]

Also we have
\[
g(e_1) \cdot g(e_1) = \left( \frac{t_{12}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{13}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{14}^2}{2} \right) e_1 + \left( \frac{t_{12}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{13}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{14}^2}{2} \right) e_2 + \left( \frac{t_{11}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{13}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{14}^2}{2} \right) e_3 + \left( \frac{t_{11}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{12}^2}{2} \right) e_4.
\]
and
\[
g(e_1^2) = g(e_2 + e_3 + e_4) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} (t_{2k} + t_{3k} + t_{4k})e_k.
\]
Then
\[
\begin{align*}
t_{21} + t_{31} + t_{41} &= \frac{t_{12}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{13}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{14}^2}{2}, \quad (21) \\
t_{22} + t_{32} + t_{42} &= \frac{t_{11}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{13}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{14}^2}{2}, \quad (22) \\
t_{23} + t_{33} + t_{43} &= t_{24} + t_{34} + t_{44} = \frac{t_{11}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{12}^2}{3}. \quad (23)
\end{align*}
\]
By applying the same reasoning to \( g(e_i^2) \) for \( i \in \{2, 3, 4\} \), we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
t_{11} + t_{31} + t_{41} &= \frac{t_{22}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{23}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{24}^2}{2}, \quad (24) \\
t_{12} + t_{32} + t_{42} &= \frac{t_{21}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{23}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{24}^2}{2}, \quad (25) \\
t_{11} + t_{21} &= \frac{t_{32}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{33}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{34}^2}{2} = \frac{t_{42}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{43}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{44}^2}{2}, \quad (26) \\
t_{12} + t_{22} &= \frac{t_{31}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{33}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{34}^2}{2} = \frac{t_{41}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{43}^2}{2} + \frac{t_{44}^2}{2}, \quad (27) \\
t_{14} + t_{24} &= t_{13} + t_{23} = \frac{t_{31}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{32}^2}{3} = \frac{t_{31}^2}{3} + \frac{t_{32}^2}{3}. \quad (28)
\end{align*}
\]
where Equations (24) and (25) are coming from \(g(e_2)\), while Equations (26)–(28) are coming from 
\(g(e_3)\) and \(g(e_4)\). By (20) and Lemma 3.3, we have four possible cases:

**Case 1:** \(t_{11} = t_{21} = t_{31} = t_{41} = 0\). In this case, the left side of Equations (21) and (24) 
are both equal to zero, and \(g(e_1) = g(e_2) = 0\). This together with (21) implies \(g = 0\), i.e. \(g\) is the null map.

**Case 2:** \(t_{31} \neq 0\) or \(t_{41} \neq 0\). In this case the left side of Equations (21) and (24) 
are not zero. Then \(t_{11} = t_{21} = 0\) and by (20)

\[
t_{32} = t_{33} = t_{34} = t_{42} = t_{43} = t_{44} = 0,
\]

which implies by (27) \(t_{31}^2 = t_{41}^2\). Therefore, by (20), \(t_{31} = t_{41} = 0\) and we get a contradiction. So it should be \(t_{31} = t_{41} = 0\).

**Case 3:** \(t_{11} \neq 0\) and \(t_{21} = t_{31} = t_{41} = 0\). Now, the left side of Equation (21) is zero while the 
left side of Equation (24) is not zero. Then by (21)

\[
t_{12} = t_{13} = t_{14} = 0,
\]

and \(t_{32}^2 = t_{34}^2\) by (25). Therefore, by (24),

\[
t_{32} = t_{42} = 0,
\]

and by (25), we get \(t_{23} = t_{24} = 0\). As we are assuming \(t_{11} \neq 0\) then, by (22), \(t_{22} \neq 0\). This in 
(24) and (21) implies

\[
t_{22} = \frac{t_{21}^2}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{11} = \frac{t_{22}^2}{3},
\]

so \(t_{11} = t_{22} = 3\). By (20)

\[
t_{23}^2 + t_{24}^2 = t_{33}^2 + t_{34}^2 = 6,
\]

and then

\[
(t_{33}^2 + t_{34}^2) + (t_{43}^2 + t_{44}^2) = 12.
\]

On the other hand, by (25)

\[
t_{33} + t_{34} = t_{33} + t_{44} = 3,
\]

thus \(t_{33}^2 + 2t_{33}t_{43} + t_{43}^2 = 36, t_{34}^2 + 2t_{34}t_{44} + t_{44}^2 = 9\), and adding terms we get

\[
(t_{33}^2 + t_{34}^2) + (t_{43}^2 + t_{44}^2) + 2(t_{33}t_{43} + t_{34}t_{44}) = 18.
\]

We know, for \(i = 3\) and \(j = 4\) in (17) and (20), that \(t_{33}t_{43} + t_{34}t_{44} = 0\), hence

\[
(t_{33}^2 + t_{34}^2) + (t_{33}^2 + t_{44}^2) = 18,
\]

and we get a contradiction. Therefore, it should be \(t_{11} = 0\).

**Case 4:** \(t_{21} \neq 0\) and \(t_{11} = t_{31} = t_{41} = 0\). The computations in this case follows as in the Case 
3, but now the left side of Equation (24) is zero while the left side of Equation (21) is not zero. 
By proceeding as before we can conclude that it should be \(t_{21} = 0\).

Finally, we conclude that the only possible case is the Case 1, and therefore the only 
evolution homomorphism between \(A(K_{1,1,2})\) and \(A_{RW}(K_{1,1,2})\) is the null map. This in turns implies 
\(A(K_{1,1,2}) \cong A_{RW}(K_{1,1,2})\) as evolution algebras.

Although we believe that a necessary and sufficient condition for \(A(K_{a_1,a_2,...,a_n}) \cong A_{RW}(K_{a_1,a_2,...,a_n})\) 
is \(n = 2\) or \(a_i\)’s to be equal; a proof for it seems to require more work, and therefore it remains as 
an interesting open problem for future investigation.
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