Stem cells combined 3D electrospun nanofibrous and macrochannelled matrices: a preliminary approach in repair of rat cranial bones
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ABSTRACT
Repair of cranial bone defects is an important problem in the clinical area. The use of scaffolds combined with stem cells has become a focus in the reconstruction of critical-sized bone defects. Electrospinning became a very attracting method in the preparation of tissue engineering scaffolds in the last decade, due to the unique nanofibrous structure of the electrospun matrices. However, they have a limitation for three dimensional (3D) applications, due to their two-dimensional structure and pore size which is smaller than a cellular diameter which cannot allow cell migration within the structure. In this study, electrospun poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) membranes were spirally wounded to prepare 3D matrices composed of nanofibers and macrochannels. Mesenchymal stromal/stem cells were injected inside the scaffolds after the constructs were implanted in the cranial bone defects in rats. New bone formation, vascularisation and intramembranous ossification of the critical size calvarial defect were accelerated by using mesenchymal stem cells combined 3D spiral-wounded electrospun matrices.

Introduction
Bone is an active and vascularized connective tissue, which supports and protects the remaining systems and organs of the body. Even though having self-healing ability, the body cannot repair the large bone defects caused by aging, bone fractures, traumas, tumors, surgery and genetic diseases, which makes the bone second most transplanted tissue globally. Thus, millions of bone grafts and substitute materials are transplanted in surgical operations to the patients for the treatment of bone defects every year [1–3].

Autologous, allogeneic and xenogeneic bone grafts are commonly used for bone healing in clinical practice. Nevertheless, there are still lots of limitations such as the risk of donor area morbidity, multiple surgical operations, immunogenic responses, infection and pathogen transmission risks [4,5]. To overcome the obstacles of the conventional bone grafts, alternative bone graft materials obtained via bone tissue engineering, which is a multidisciplinary field aiming to regenerate damaged or lost tissues/organs through the association of cells, scaffolds and biological agents, have attracted considerable attention in last three decades [6–9].

Bone tissue engineering scaffolds are 3D structures that provide a proper environment for cell attachment, proliferation, differentiation, and new tissue regeneration [5]. Both synthetic and natural polymers possessing unique properties including biocompatibility, controlled biodegradation rate, non-toxic degradation products, large interconnected porosity, mechanical strength/durability, high surface-to-volume ratio, are good candidates for bone tissue engineering scaffolds [10,11]. Common natural polymers such as chitosan, chitin, cellulose, silk, alginate, gelatin, collagen, fibrinogen, elastin, and synthetic polymers including poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), poly(lactic acid) (PLA), copolymers of PLA and PGA (PLGA), poly-lactic acid (PLLA), poly(glycerol sebacate), poly(anhydrides, poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), polycarbonates, polyurethane (PU), polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), and polycaprolactone (PCL) are mainly used for fabricating scaffolds used in bone engineering applications [12–14].
Among the FDA-approved synthetic polymers, PCL is a well-reputed biodegradable and biocompatible polymer used as a scaffold in bone tissue engineering applications due to its unique mechanical and chemical properties such as low melting point (59–64 °C) and good solubility. The semi-crystalline nature and hydrophobicity of PCL decrease the degradation rate and under the stress, PCL has the ability to resist shear flow and strain linearly with time, which make it an excellent candidate for using as long-term implants and tissue engineering scaffolds [14,15].

A broad range of scaffolds has been prepared by using different methods including freeze drying, rapid prototyping, fiber bonding, solvent casting, gas foaming, thermally induce phase separation, melt moulding, wet spinning and electrospinning. Electrospinning is a simple, yet versatile fabrication technique in order to obtain matrices with interconnected pore structure having a diameter from nano- to micrometer scale by applying a high voltage between the solution and the collecting target. In the last two decades, electrospun scaffolds gained great interest globally due to its flexibility, cost-effectiveness, ease of use, ability to align structures and control the diameters of the fibers [7,16,17].

Many different cell types including primary human bone and periosteal cells and stem cells play a crucial role in the bone regeneration process. Especially, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), which have osteogenic differentiation capability, are promising candidates for bone regeneration therapies due to their easy isolation from different tissues, rapid proliferation, unique immunomodulatory properties [18–20]. Researchers have developed a range of MSCs-based electrospun scaffolds possessing highly interconnected pore architecture with the nano- and microscale size, which provide good interaction between the MSCs and fibrous scaffolds. Moreover, several studies showed that microporosity plays a significant role in improving the osteogenesis of the scaffolds in bone repair process [21–23].

In this study, we spiral-wound electrospun poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) membranes to obtain 3D scaffolds with nano-fibers and macrochannels. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells isolated from Sprague Dawley rats were injected into the scaffolds after implantation in the cranial bone defects in rats. New bone formation, vascularization and intramembranous ossification of the critical size calvarial defect were investigated by using stem cells combined 3D spiral-wound electrospun matrices.

Materials and methods

Preparation of 3D electrospun matrices

Poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) was synthesized from ε-caprolactone (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) in a glass reactor under a nitrogen atmosphere for 24 h at 120 °C [24]. Stannous octoate (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was used as a catalyst. The molar ratio of ε-caprolactone/stannous octoate was 1700:1 and 1700:3, for the synthesis of high- and low-molecular-weight polymers, respectively. The electrospinning solution containing high and low molecular PCL (20:80, wt) was prepared in a mixture of chloroform and dimethylformamide (50:50, v) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). The total polymer concentration in the solution was 40 g/100 ml. The polymer solution was electrospun by using a high-voltage power supply (Gamma HVPS-ES40, USA), with an applied electrical field of 15 kV. The tip to capillary distance was 10 cm. The electrospun membranes were spiral-wound to form cylindrically shaped scaffolds with a diameter of 8 mm, stuck using a tissue glue (G.R.F., MICROVAL, France) and cut into pieces with a height of 2 mm. The polymerization procedure, electrospinning system and the preparation of 3D matrices were described in detail in our previous study [16].

Preparation of rat bone marrow mesenchymal stromal/stem cells (MSC)

Under general anaesthesia, femur and tibia bones were obtained from Sprague Dawley rats. Bone samples were flushed and cells were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min and washed twice. Cells were plated in 75-cm² petri dishes at 2 × 10⁵ cells/cm² concentration in DMEM-LG, in 20% FBS (Fetal Bovine Serum) (Sigma, Germany), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM glutamine (Sigma, Germany) and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Medium changes were done in every 3–4 days. Confluent cells were detached by using 0.25% Trypsin/EDTA (Sigma, Germany and counted manually with trypan blue [25]. Centrifuged cells pelleted and suspended in PBS to a final concentration of 1 × 10⁶ cells per 50 μL and transferred to insulin injectors for in vivo experiments. Osteogenic differentiation capacity of cells was tested by the use of differentiation media consisting of DMEM-LG, FBS, dexamethasone (Sigma, Germany), beta-glycerophosphate (Sigma, Germany) and ascorbic acid (Sigma, UK). Osteogenic differentiation was confirmed by Alizarin Red S staining at 21 days of culture. Extracellular matrix calcification was evident by the appearance of calcium deposits in culture. Images were obtained by Olympus microscope CKX41 (Tokyo, Japan).

Animal model

This study was carried out after the animal research protocol was approved by the Animal Ethical Committee of Hacettepe University (Approval number: 2007/29–11, Approval date: 26.03.2007). A total of 30 adult Sprague Dawley rats (body weight: 250–300 g) were included in this study. The animals were housed in a temperature and humidity controlled rooms with a 12-h light/dark cycle. Animals had free access to food and water and fed with standard rodent chow diet, ad libitum. A sterile surgical technique was applied throughout the study. The 3D electrospun spiral-wound matrices were sterilized by ethylene oxide sterilization. Animals were anaesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of ketamine HCl (Parke Davis, 50 mg/ml, Taiwan) and Rompun (Bayer, 2%, 50 ml, Germany). The implantation region of the test animal was shaved and disinfected with Baticon solution (Droksan, 10%, Turkey). The periosteum on the cranial surface was carefully cut and pulled to one side of the defect. 8 mm diameter of the cranial bone was removed by using a circular
Histology and histomorphometry

Bones were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin at room temperature and 180-day specimens sent to microcomputed tomography. After microCT imaging, all specimens were decalcified in De Castro solution (chloral hydrate, nitric acid, distilled water), dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol and embedded in paraffin by using an automated tissue processor with vacuum. From each specimen block, 4- to 5-μm-thick serial sections were obtained using a sliding microtome (HM 430; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Munich, Germany). Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and Masson's trichrome (MT) and evaluated for bone defect healing and tissue response to graft. Note that MT produces high contrast images with red (bone), green (osteoid-cartilage) and purple (cell cytoplasm). Stained sections were examined in a random and blinded manner by two investigators using a light microscope (Leica DMR) attached with a computerized digital camera (Model DFC 480, Leica Westlar Germany). Bright-field images were captured and analyzed quantitatively by image processing program (Qwin Plus, Leica Inc. Westlar Germany). The number of pixels corresponding to a new trabecular bone area in each image was quantified, divided by the total number of pixels corresponding to the total defect area and converted to μm² in each specimen. Osteoblasts were quantified based on their morphology on HE-stained sections for a length of their linear apposition along osteoid-new bone surfaces relative to total new bone-osteoid surface length for 3 randomly selected high power fields (200×) and are reported as a fraction (%) average for each sample. New blood vessels within the defect were similarly digitally counted at four randomly selected high power fields (200×) and are reported as average for each sample [28,29]. A total tissue response score was given to each specimen regarding the presence of fibrous connective tissue formation and inflammatory cellular infiltration (Table 1) [16].

MicroCT analysis

Analyses were performed on cell-scaffold samples on day 180, using X-ray microcomputed tomography (μ–CT, Skyscan1174, Belgium) in order to quantify mineralized matrix formation within the defect area, as an indicator of new bone formation [30–34]. The scanning conditions were 50 kV, 800 μA, a pixel size of 33 μm, beam hardening correction of 20%, a smoothing of 1, and ring artifact correction of 3. Scanning was performed by 360° rotation, exposure time of 2500 ms, a rotation step of 0.7°, and frame averaging of 4. In all scans, flat field correction, geometric correction and random movement correction were performed [35–38]. The screening procedure took approximately 50 min per sample. Digital data were reconstructed using the NRecon software (Bruker micro-CT). CTan software (Bruker micro-CT) was used for quantitative measurements of samples. Total defect and new bone volumes (mm³) were measured and new bone was calculated for the total bone defect. Defect volume three-dimensional visualization and qualitative evaluation were performed with CTVol software (Bruker micro-CT).

Statistical analysis

Independent variables were the groups and the dependent variables were the histology and microCT parameters. The
normality of distribution and the homogeneity of variances of the sample were established using the Shapiro–Wilk test. All parameters were analyzed by nonparametric tests, Kruskal–Wallis was used for multiple comparisons and Mann–Whitney U as post hoc test. Correlation between the histologic and microCT measurements was assessed by using Spearman test. Descriptive statistical values were expressed as median, minimum and maximum. The difference was considered significant if \( p < .05 \).

Results and discussion

Scaffold properties

PCL with two molecular weights (high and low) was successfully electrospun from its solution (Figure 2(A)). One of the drawbacks of electrospun membranes is their two-dimensional structure which is a limitation for 3D applications and pore size in nanometer scale which is lower than a cellular size that cannot allow cell migration. In order to overcome these drawbacks, we spiral-wounded electrospun membranes to prepare 3D matrices with macrochannels that would guide bone regeneration (Figure 2(B)), still including nanofibers (Figure 2(C)) that biomimic the structure of the connective tissues. 3D electrospun nanofibrous matrices with macrochannels were combined with stem cells and used in an approach for regeneration of cranial bone defects.

Histological evaluation

Micrographs of calvarial defect area bounded by compact bone are presented in Figure 3. New bone formation is observed in the macrochannels of the electrospun 3D scaffold. On day 90, the intramembranous ossification area with vascularity was observed in the center of the cavity (Figure 3(E)). Bone spicule was observed in the center of the cavity on day 180 (Figure 3(F)).

New bone per total defect area ratio was significantly higher in scaffold-stem cell implanted region comparing to the defects without any implantation at all time periods (day30, 90 and 180, \( p < .05 \)) (Figure 4(A)). Stem cell-scaffold implanted regions had higher new blood vessel number near the implant compared to the defect area without implantation at all time points (\( p < .05 \)) (Figure 4(B)). New bone trabecules lined by active bone-forming cells (osteoblasts) were higher in the scaffold-stem cell implanted region compared to the empty cavities without implantation at all time points (\( p < .05 \)) (Figure 4(C)). New bone/total cavity ratio, osteoblast-lined active bone length and blood vessel density increased with time. According to this data new bone formation and vascularisation were accelerated in scaffold-stem cell implanted defects at all time points.

Tissue response scores remained low with a mild tissue response to the implant (Figure 4(D)). Within its empty channels, the polymer allowed a guided new bone formation from

| Category                      | Parameters                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tissue response               | Fibrous connective tissue formation severe deposition of dense collagenous     |
|                               | connective tissue around the implant.                                        |
|                               | Disruption of normal tissue architecture and the presence of moderately dense |
|                               | fibrous connective tissue                                                    |
|                               | Presence of moderate connective tissue                                       |
|                               | Presence of delicate spindle-shaped cells or mild fibroplasia                |
|                               | No difference from normal control tissue at or around the implant site       |
| Inflammatory cellular infiltration | Severe cellular infiltrate response to an implant or tissue necrosis at or   |
|                               | around the site                                                             |
|                               | Presence of large numbers of lymphocytes, macrophages and foreign body giant |
|                               | cells, also a notable presence of eosinophils and neutrophils               |
|                               | For the presence of several lymphocytes, macrophages with a few foreign body |
|                               | giant cells and small foci of neutrophils                                    |
|                               | Presence of a few lymphocytes or macrophages, no presence of foreign body    |
|                               | giant cells, eosinophil or neutrophils                                        |
|                               | No difference from normal control tissue at or around the implant site       |

Table 1. Tissue response scores.

Figure 2. (A) Macroscopical image of PCL membrane, (B) SEM image showing the macrochannels of the spiral wounded scaffold, (C) SEM image showing the nano-fibrous structure of the PCL scaffold.
both peripheric and central regions of the cavity. The scaffold-stem cell combined biomaterial was biocompatible, since neither fibrosis (scar tissue), nor necrosis, nor foreign body reaction were detected in any of the samples at any time point. Polymer started to degrade minimally peripherally towards the center on day 30. However, the electrospun 3D matrice kept its circular shape and continue to guide the remodeling of new bone trabecules in its channels until day 180. None of the cavities were totally ossified on day 180. According to this data, the electrospun 3D matrice was biocompatible and scaffold-stem cell combined biomaterial accelerated intramembranous ossification of the critical size calvarial defect.

Figure 3. Representative photomicrographs of the scaffold-stem cell groups demonstrating the defect area surrounded by compact bone. Left column (A, D), middle column (B, E) and right column (C, F) show 30, 90 and 180 days, respectively. F inset shows the bone spicule (indicated by a star) at the center of the cavity. CB: Compact bone; NB: New bone; BD: Connective tissue; S: Scaffold; Bv: Blood vessel; HE: Haematoxylin & Eosin; MT: Masson’s Trichrome.

Figure 4. (A) New bone per total defect area ratio, (B) new blood vessel number, (C) osteoblast lining, (D) tissue response scores.
MicroCT results

Mineralized matrix formation within the defect area, as an indicator of new bone formation, was quantified by microCT. Total defect and new bone volumes (mm$^3$) were measured, and new bone to total defect volume was calculated (Table 2). According to the results, the average new bone/total defect volume was calculated as 8.45 ± 2.38%. This result was compared to the result of histologic analysis (new bone/total cavity percentage was 40.05 ± 12.01%).

New bone/total cavity measured by microCT was found to be lower than the measured value by histology. Because, only mineralized bone tissue could be measured by microCT, and the histological measurement included both the mineralized bone tissue and bone progenitors that did not mineralize yet. However, the result of microCT was parallel to histology analysis, in demonstrating the ossification in the macro-channels of the 3D electrospun scaffold starting from the center (Figure 5).

Conclusion

The cranial region is composed of bones, which are non-load bearing and have membranous bone histology. Besides, calvarial bones have a biological microenvironment with high osteogenic potential, since they are surrounded by perist on the upper side and dura mater on the lower side. The osteoblastic activity is supposed to be at the optimum level in this biologic microenvironment. In clinics, the expectation of self-healing of the cranial bone defects over a critical size is very low. Beside insufficiency of the vascularization level, inadequate cell and signal pathways that play a role in the osteoblastic phase are claimed to be reasons of low self-healing capacity of defected cranial bones. Calvarial bone defects of paediatric patients heal spontaneously if the size of the defect is lower than a critical size. However, the same sized calvarial defects of geriatric patients can not heal spontaneously. These clinical observations/findings prompted the researchers to search the effects of stem cells combined scaffolds on the induction of bone regeneration. The scaffold that has similar properties with the original tissue and the cells which have functional properties play an important role in the repair of bone defects. Growing research focused on the design of scaffolds that simulate the natural bone from nano to micrometer scale to enhance the interaction between scaffold, cells and the microenvironment. Stem cells within the other source of cells are known to have better regeneration capacity and they differentiate into various type of cells, leading to being used in bone tissue engineering. In this study, macrochanneled scaffolds including nanofibers in their structure were combined with mesenchymal stem cells in order to repair cranial bone defects. Mineralization was observed in the macro channelled nanofibrous scaffold. It was not only observed in the peripheral region of the scaffold, but also observed in the centre. New bone formation and vascularization was observed in the cavity. The synergy of the morphologic structure of the scaffold and the mesenchymal stem cells may have resulted in the new bone formation in the cranial defect. This study reports a preliminary approach to develop an efficacious stem cell-scaffold material which can be used in cranial bone tissue engineering applications with compatible physical characteristics of natural bone, including nanofibers and macrochannels.
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