Inhibition of MEK-ERK pathway enhances oncolytic vaccinia virus replication in doxorubicin-resistant ovarian cancer
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Oncolytic vaccinia virus (OVV) has been reported to induce cell death in various types of cancer; however, the oncolytic activity of OVV in drug-resistant ovarian cancer remains limited. In the present study, we established doxorubicin-resistant ovarian cancer cells (A2780-R) from the A2780 human ovarian cancer cell line. Both A2780 and A2780-R cells were infected with OVV to explore its anticancer effects. Interestingly, OVV-infected A2780-R cells showed reduced viral replication and cell death compared with A2780 cells, suggesting their resistance against OVV-induced oncolysis; to understand the mechanism underlying this resistance, we explored the involvement of protein kinases. Among protein kinase inhibitors, PD0325901, an MEK inhibitor, significantly augmented OVV replication and cell death in A2780-R cells. PD0325901 treatment increased the phosphorylation of STAT3 in A2780-R cells. Moreover, cryptotanshinone, a STAT3 inhibitor, abrogated PD0325901-stimulated OVV replication. Furthermore, trametinib, a clinically approved MEK inhibitor, increased OVV replication in A2780-R cells. Transcriptomic analysis showed that the MEK inhibitor promoted OVV replication via increasing STAT3 activation and downregulating the cytosolic DNA-sensing pathway. Combined treatment with OVV and trametinib attenuated A2780-R xenograft tumor growth. These results suggest that pharmacological inhibition of MEK reinforces the oncolytic efficacy of OVV in drug-resistant ovarian cancer.

INTRODUCTION
Ovarian cancer is one of the most common gynecological cancers and has the highest mortality rate.1 Most patients with ovarian cancer (70%-80%) are diagnosed at an advanced stage, and they experience frequent relapse despite appropriate treatment alternatives such as surgical debulking and first-line chemotherapy based on platinum and taxanex.2 Doxorubicin, a potent topoisomerase II inhibitor, exhibits antitumor effects by inducing DNA damage through DNA intercalation, reactive oxygen species generation by redox cycling of the quinone structure, and suppressing DNA synthesis through topoisomerase II inhibition.4 However, 50% of patients with ovarian cancer experience relapse within 12 months after front-line chemotherapy, and one-quarter of all relapses are incurred within 6 months, attributable to acquired drug resistance.5 Recurrent ovarian cancer originates from drug-resistant cancer cells that can develop by intrinsic or acquired causes via tumor heterogeneity after chemotherapy.7 Most drug-resistant cancer cells have multidrug resistance and can tolerate the extremely severe tumor microenvironment. Drug-resistant ovarian cancer is more aggressive toward cancer treatment, and such patients experience poor prognosis after recurrence. Therefore, patients with recurrent ovarian cancer need more effective and efficient treatment methods than conventional therapy.

Oncolytic vaccinia virus (OVV) has been developed to selectively induce cancer cell death, and its safety has been demonstrated in various clinical trials.8,9 There are several clinical benefits of OVV in cancer therapy. First, OVV has a large viral genome that allows it to tolerate large foreign DNA fragments10; second, the whole life cycle of OVV occurs in the cytoplasm of the host, thus preventing integration of the viral genome to the host genome11; and third, viral thymidine kinase (TK)-deleted OVV shows attenuated replication in normal cells.12 Viral TK differs structurally and biologically from the mammalian host enzyme; it plays a critical role in synthesizing DNA and maintaining a high nucleotide pool.13 The wild-type vaccinia virus has the TK gene induce cytoplasmic nucleotide pool in normal host cells, and they can rapidly replicate and induce damage of
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normal host cells. However, TK-deleted OVV cannot replicate efficiently in normal cells, having insufficient nucleotide pool to activate the viral factory for replication. However, TK-deleted OVV actively replicates in cancer cells due to sufficient cytoplasmic nucleotide pool, leading to cell death, presenting tumor selectivity of OVV. Therefore, the application of OVV in cancer therapy has many advantages.

Accumulating evidence suggests that OVV combined with chemotherapy or immunotherapy showed better clinical outcomes. OVV has been reported to inhibit the growth of tumor cells with multiple drug-resistant phenotypes in vivo and in vitro. Combined therapeutic strategies with OVV and various drugs, such as alkylating agents, immune checkpoint inhibitors, and protein kinase inhibitors, have been shown to enhance the oncolysis efficacy against tumor cells and circumvent tumor resistance mechanisms. Furthermore, OVV-based virotherapy could be enhanced by combination with rapamycin, cyclophosphamide, or gemcitabine. GLV-1h68 effectively killed sorafenib-resistant hepatocellular carcinoma cells. However, in a phase IIb clinical trial, OV V-based virotherapy using Pexa-Vec did not improve overall survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma when used as second-line therapy following sorafenib failure. Therefore, it is still unclear whether OVV monotherapy can eradicate drug-resistant cancer cells or if it is necessary to develop combination therapy of OV V to enhance its therapeutic effect in drug-resistant ovarian cancer.

Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the anticancer effects of OV V in doxorubicin-resistant ovarian cancer. We observed that inhibition of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MEK-ERK) signaling promoted replication and cytotoxicity of OV V in doxorubicin-resistant cancer cells. Combining OV V virotherapy with an MEK inhibitor can improve the therapeutic efficacy of OV V in patients with ovarian cancer.

RESULTS

Establishment of drug-resistant cells from A2780 ovarian cancer cells

To mimic drug-resistant cancer cells of patients with recurrent ovarian cancer in vitro, we generated drug-resistant ovarian cancer cells (A2780-R) by continuous treatment of the A2780 human ovarian cancer cell line with doxorubicin. During repeated passaging, A2780-R cells showed distinct morphology from their parent cells (Figure 1A). A previous report suggested that recurrent cancer cells acquire stem-like characteristics along with drug resistance. Therefore, we determined the expression levels of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) and multidrug-resistant ABC transporters (ABCB1 and ABCG2), which have been reported as cancer stem cell-related markers. The protein levels of ALDH1, ABCB1, and ABCG2 were significantly upregulated in A2780-R cells compared with those in A2780 cells (Figure 1B). Consistently, the enzyme activity of ALDH was greater in A2780-R cells than that in A2780 cells (Figure 1C). Moreover, the expression of cancer stem cell markers, CD44 and SOX2, in A2780-R cells was greater than that in A2780 cells (Figure S1). In addition, we found that A2780-R cells were more resistant to doxorubicin and cisplatin than their parental cells (Figure 1D). These results indicated successful preparation of drug-resistant cells from the A2780 ovarian cancer cell line in vitro.

OVV replication attenuation in A2780-R cells compared with A2780 cells

OVV is a recombinant vaccinia virus that was formed by deletion of the TK gene and insertion of the GFP gene into the TK locus of the wild-type vaccinia virus (Figure 2A). Consequently, OV V-infected cells expressed GFP in vitro. OV V has been reported to induce cell death in drug-resistant cancer cells. To evaluate the oncolytic effect of OV V in the drug-resistant ovarian cancer model, we infected ovarian cancer cells with OV V at 0.01 MOI and acquired green fluorescence images at the indicated time points (Figure 2B). Contrary to expectations that OV V would infect cancer cells regardless of being drug resistant, viral replication was significantly reduced in A2780-R cells. The flow cytometric analysis indicated significantly reduced viral replication and cell death by OV V infection in A2780-R cells compared with equally infected A2780 cells (Figures 2C and 2D). Measurement of viral titers using 10-fold serial dilutions of OV V exhibited reduced OV V expression in A2780-R cells compared with A2780 cells (Figure S2). To confirm these results, we established another doxorubicin-resistant ovarian cancer cell line (OVCAR3-R) from OVCAR3 cells, and the OVCAR3-R cells exhibited reduced OV V replication than their parental OVCAR3 cells (Figure S3). These results indicated that drug-resistant cancer cells attenuated viral replication compared with drug-sensitive cancer cells.

Increase in viral replication and OVV-mediated cell death by MEK inhibitor

For the enhanced oncolytic virotherapy of ovarian cancer, it is essential to abolish the reduced OV V replication of drug-resistant cancer cells. Previous reports suggested a crucial role of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), AKT, and ERK in viral replication and life cycle, as well as cancer malignancy. MEK signaling has been reported to regulate viral replication. Therefore, we examined the phosphorylation levels of protein kinases in A2780 and A2780-R cells. The phosphorylation levels of AKT and ERK proteins were observed to be significantly reduced in A2780-R cells (Figure 3A). To explore the role of the protein kinases on OV V replication, the effects of AMPK, AKT, or MEK inhibitors on OV V replication in A2780 and A2780-R cells were investigated. Interestingly, the AMPK inhibitor Compound C and the MEK inhibitor PD0325901 significantly increased OV V replication in A2780-R cells with more potent increase by PD0325901 (Figure 3B). To determine the optimal concentration of PD0325901, A2780-R cells were treated with increasing doses of PD0325901, and OV V infection and cell death were analyzed by flow cytometry. PD0325901 treatment dose-dependently increased the OV V infection and cell death in A2780-R cells (Figures 3C and 3D). Since PD0325901 treatment maximally increased cell death of A2780-R
cells at 2 μM concentration, 2 μM PD0325901 was used at subsequent experiments. Fluorescence imaging confirmed that PD0325901 treatment stimulated OVV-mediated GFP expression in both A2780 and A2780-R cells with more potent increase of OVV-derived GFP expression in A2780-R cells (Figure 3E). Moreover, the viral titer of OVV in A2780-R cells was more greatly enhanced by PD0325901 treatment than that in A2780 cells (Figure S4). These results suggest that MEK signaling pathways negatively regulate OVV replication in cancer cells.

Phosphorylation of STAT3 through MEK inhibition promotes OVV replication in drug-resistant A2780-R cells

To elucidate the molecular mechanism associated with PD0325901-stimulated viral replication, we compared the effects of PD0325901 on cell signaling in A2780 and A2780-R cells. The basal ERK phosphorylation level was greatly reduced in A2780-R cells compared with A2780 cells, and PD0325901 treatment further attenuated the ERK phosphorylation levels (Figure 4A). It has been previously reported that STAT3 plays a key role in vaccinia virus replication by promoting energy metabolism.35 In contrast to ERK phosphorylation, the basal STAT3 phosphorylation level was higher in A2780-R cells than in A2780 cells, and PD0325901 treatment further increased STAT3 phosphorylation in A2780-R cells (Figure 4A, right panel). To investigate whether STAT3 is involved in PD0325901-stimulated OVV replication, we examined the effects of cryptotanshinone, a STAT3 inhibitor, on OVV replication. Cryptotanshinone treatment completely abrogated PD0325901-stimulated OVV replication in A2780-R cells (Figures 4B and 4C). Moreover, the basal and PD0325901-stimulated GFP expression in A2780 cells was also attenuated by cryptotanshinone treatment. Consistently, PD0325901-stimulated oncolytic cell death of both A2780 and A2780-R cells was abolished by cryptotanshinone treatment (Figure 4D). These results suggest that STAT3 activation plays a pivotal role in MEK inhibitor-stimulated OVV replication and virotherapy.

Figure 1. Generation of drug-resistant ovarian cancer cells from A2780 human ovarian cancer cell line

(A) Bright-field images of A2780 cells and A2780-R cells. Scale bar, 200 μm. (B) The expression of indicated proteins in A2780 and A2780-R cell lysates as measured by western blot analysis. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (C) Flow cytometric analysis for measuring the ALDH enzymatic activity in A2780 and A2780-R cells. (D) Cell viability as determined by the MTT assay. A2780 and A2780-R cells were treated with doxorubicin or cisplatin at indicated concentrations for 48 h. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.
Trametinib, a Food and Drug Administration-approved MEK inhibitor, enhances the oncolytic effect of OVV

Several Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved MEK inhibitors, including trametinib, cobimetinib, binimetinib, selumetinib, and cobimetinib, have been developed for treatment of patients with melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, and thyroid cancer.1,2 To examine the effects of the FDA-approved MEK inhibitors on OVV-based virotherapy, we examined the effects of cobimetinib, trametinib, and sorafenib on OVV replication in A2780 and A2780-R cells. Both trametinib and cobimetinib significantly increased the OVV-mediated GFP expression in A2780 and A2780-R cells (Figure 5A). However, sorafenib exhibited no significant effect on OVV-mediated GFP expression in either cell type. Since the effect of trametinib on OVV-mediated GFP expression was more potent than that of cobimetinib, trametinib was used in the following experiments as an FDA-approved drug. Consistent with the A2780-R results, OVV replication in OVCAR3-R cells was also enhanced by trametinib treatment (Figure S5). To confirm whether trametinib-induced increased OVV replication was associated with STAT3 signaling, OVV-infected A2780 cells were treated with trametinib and cryptotanshinone. The OVV-derived GFP expression was increased after trametinib treatment, but completely abrogated by cryptotanshinone treatment in both A2780 and A2780-R cells (Figures 5B and 5C). In addition, trametinib treatment abrogated ERK phosphorylation but increased STAT3 phosphorylation levels, and cryptotanshinone treatment abolished the trametinib-stimulated STAT3 phosphorylation in A2780-R cells (Figure 5D). These results suggest that trametinib treatment promotes OVV replication and cell death through a STAT3-dependent mechanism in drug-resistant ovarian cancer cells.

MEK inhibition in A2780-R cells disturbs the expression of the cytoplasmic DNA-sensing genes

Since MEK inhibition in A2780-R cells accelerated the replication and oncolytic activity of OVV, we analyzed and compared the gene expression profiles in A2780-R cells treated with mock control or trametinib by mRNA sequencing (Figure 6A and Table S1). To elucidate the signaling pathways associated with MEK inhibition-stimulated OVV replication, we analyzed the genes through the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database (Table S1).27 We found that the expression of genes involved in the cytosolic DNA-sensing pathway (Entry: map04623), including interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) and DNA-directed RNA polymerase III subunits C, D, E, and K (POLR3C, D, E, K), were significantly downregulated in the trametinib-treated group (Figures 6B and S6). These results suggest that MEK inhibition enhances OVV replication in A2780-R cells by abrogating cytosolic DNA sensing and viral defense (Figure 6C).

Trametinib stimulates OVV-based virotherapy in the drug-resistant ovarian cancer xenograft model

To investigate whether trametinib stimulates OVV-based virotherapy in vivo, A2780-R cells were subcutaneously transplanted into nude mice, followed by combined treatment with OVV and trametinib (Figure 7A). OVV was administered intratumorally once and trametinib was injected daily into the intraperitoneal cavity for 1 week, and the volume of tumor xenograft was measured for the subsequent 24 days. Only slight attenuation of the growth of xenograft tumors was observed by monotherapy with either trametinib or OVV. However, combined treatment of OVV with trametinib synergistically inhibited the tumor growth compared with the monotherapy (Figures 7B and 7C). Consistently, the tumor weight derived from the mice in the combination group was the lowest among all groups (Figure 7D). Furthermore, we measured survival of A2780 and A2780-R xenograft transplantation mice models. In the A2780-R-transplanted mouse model, the combination of trametinib and OVV significantly increased survival of mice compared with the mice treated with OVV alone (Figure S7). These results suggest that trametinib treatment can potentiate OVV-based virotherapy of drug-resistant ovarian cancer in vivo.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we demonstrated that doxorubicin-resistant A2780-R cells exhibited resistance against OVV therapy and showed reduced OVV replication. We showed that the combination of OVV and MEK inhibition augmented death of A2780-R cells through increased viral replication in vitro. In addition, tumor growth was attenuated by combined treatment with OVV and the FDA-approved MEK inhibitor, trametinib, in an in vivo xenograft mouse model. Previous studies have reported that the activity of EGFR receptor-Ras-Raf-MEK-ERK signaling is essential for OVV replication.33,34 The inhibition of MEK signaling has been negatively or positively correlated with viral replication depending on the types of oncolytic viruses and cells. It has been previously reported that MEK inhibition suppressed vaccinia virus replication in human fibroblasts without affecting cell viability.35 However, it has been reported that MEK inhibition increased cancer cell apoptosis via enhancing oncolytic viral replication and melanoma-specific adaptive immune responses.38 MEK inhibition also enhanced oncolytic adenovirus replication and tumor cell death through upregulation of the coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor.39 On the contrary, viral replication-independent mechanisms underlying MEK inhibitor-stimulated virotherapy have also been reported. The combination treatment with oncolytic reovirus and the MEK inhibitor PD184352 increased cancer cell death due to endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced apoptosis regardless of viral replication.40 The replication-competent oncolytic herpes simplex mutant virus NV1066 played as a sensitizing agent for
conventional chemotherapy by downregulating the MEK/ERK pathway in triple-negative breast cancer. MEK inhibitor PD98059 reduced autophagy and increased glioma cell death without elevating oncolytic adenovirus replication. Therefore, it is likely that MEK inhibition affects oncolytic virotherapy depending on the types of oncolytic virus.

Trametinib is a type III allosteric, non-competitive, and highly selective MEK1/2 inhibitor. Trametinib has been reported to inhibit RAF-induced MEK activity and ERK phosphorylation, and it has been approved by the FDA to treat several cancers with BRAF V600E mutation, including metastatic non-small cell lung cancer, metastatic melanoma, and advanced or metastatic anaplastic thyroid cancer. Several reports suggested that the potential mechanism of MEK1/2 pathway inhibition by trametinib is highly effective for the treatment of high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma, KRAS-mutated ovarian cancer, and platinum-Taxol-resistant ovarian cancer. We demonstrated, for the first time, that the combination therapy with OVV and trametinib synergistically eradicated OVV/drug-resistant ovarian cancer in vitro and in vivo. Although trametinib has not yet been approved for use in patients with ovarian cancer, it will be interesting to explore whether the combination therapy of OVV with trametinib or cobicetinib can be applied in clinical trials for improved therapeutic efficacy.

The present study demonstrated that MEK inhibition led to increased STAT3 activation in A2780 cells. A2780-R cells exhibited higher levels of phospho-STAT3 than parental A2780 cells, and MEK inhibition further increased phospho-STAT3 levels, which were inversely correlated with phospho-ERK levels. Our results are consistent with previous studies reporting negative correlation between MEK/ERK signaling and STAT3 signaling in several cancer cells. However, according to a previous study, the effects of STAT3 activity on viral replication are widely dependent on viral strain. In this study, STAT3 inhibition abrogated the MEK inhibition-stimulated replication of OVV in A2780-R cells. Moreover,
STAT3 activation has been reported to promote oncolytic herpes simplex virus replication in glioma cells. STAT3 inhibitor has been identified to suppress vaccinia virus replication by screening compound library. These results suggest that STAT3 activation is responsible for the increased OVV replication induced by MEK inhibition.

Many cancer cells have defects in the type I interferon (IFN) signaling pathway, which makes cancer cells more permissive to oncolytic virus replication. Furthermore, IFN-α can antagonize oncolytic virus by suppressing replication and blocking virus-mediated apoptosis. Therefore, the present study demonstrated that doxorubicin-resistant ovarian cancer cells were resistant to OVV-based virotherapy. Moreover, we demonstrated that the inhibition of MEK signaling in doxorubicin-resistant ovarian cancer cells promoted viral replication and OVV-mediated oncolytic effect via STAT3 activation. Clinical applications of these findings may result in eradication of drug-resistant ovarian cancer cells and serve as the basis of future studies on overcoming recurrent ovarian cancer.
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|        | Mock  | Cobimetinib | Trametinib | Sorafenib |
|--------|-------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| A2780  |       |             |            |           |
|        | 33%   | 36%         | 62%        | 21%       |
| A2780-R|       |             |            |           |
|        | 7%    | 28%         | 29%        | 5%        |

B

|        | OVV   | Tra | Crypto |
|--------|-------|-----|--------|
| A2780  |       |     |        |
| A2780-R|       |     |        |

C

|        | OVV   | Tra | Crypto |
|--------|-------|-----|--------|
| A2780  |       |     |        |
| A2780-R|       |     |        |

D

| A2780-R | Tra | Crypto | ERK | p-ERK | STAT3 | p-STAT3 | GAPDH |
|---------|-----|--------|-----|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|         |     |        |     |       |       |         |       |
MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Materials**

RPMI1640 medium and trypsin-EDTA solution were purchased from Welgene (Gyeongsan, Gyeongsangbuk-do, Republic of Korea). Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS), fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin-streptomycin solution, and cell culture plates for adherent cells were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Waltham, MA). Doxorubicin, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), Na3P2O7⋅10H2O, NaF, Na3VO4, EGTA, EDTA, Tris, NaCl, Triton X-100, PD0325901, and cryptotanshinone were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). AKT inhibitor and Compound C were obtained from Cayman Chemical (San Diego, CA). Trametinib was purchased from Cayman Chemical Company (Ann Arbor, MI). Cobimetinib and Sorafenib were purchased from ApexBio Technology (Houston, TX). The ALDEFLUOR Kit was purchased from STEMCELL Technologies (Vancouver, BC, Canada). Trisulate was purchased from Meridian Bioscience (Memphis, TN). HelixCrt Thermo Reverse Transcriptase (with dNTP Mix) and HelixZyme RNase Inhibitor were purchased from NanoHelix (Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Republic of Korea). Antibodies against AMPK (#5832), p-AMPK (#2535), AKT(#9272), p-AKT (#9271), CD44 (#5640), ERK (#9102), p-ERK (#9101), STAT3 (#9139), and p-STAT3 (#9131) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). Antibodies against ABCG2 (ab3380) and SOX2 (ab97959) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). Antibodies against AMPK (#5832), p-AMPK (#2535), AKT(#9272), p-AKT (#9271), CD44 (#5640), ERK (#9102), p-ERK (#9101), STAT3 (#9139), and p-STAT3 (#9131) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA).

**Cell lines and virus**

A2780 and OVCAR3, the human ovarian cancer cell lines, were cultured in RPMI1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and penicillin-streptomycin solution (100 units/mL and 100 µg/mL, respectively). Doxorubicin-resistant A2780 (A2780-R) and OVCAR3 (OVCAR3-R) cells were established from A2780 or OVCAR3 by repeated subculturing in the presence of doxorubicin. To increase resistance against doxorubicin of these cells, we repeatedly doubled supplementing doxorubicin concentration from 1 nM up to 128 nM. Doxorubicin-resistant A2780 and A2780-R cells were plated in 96-well plates in 100 µL growth medium supplemented with doxorubicin at the indicated concentration per well. After removal of the culture medium at different time points, the cells were washed twice with HBSS and detached with trypsin-EDTA solution. Then, the cells were incubated in HBSS with or without 7-AAD for 10 min at 4°C. Cells labeled with virus-GFP or 7-AAD were analyzed using the Attune NxT Acoustic Focusing Cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Cytotoxicity assay**

To assess the viability of cells treated with doxorubicin, 5×10⁵ cells were plated in 96-well plates in 100 µL growth medium supplemented with doxorubicin at the indicated concentration per well. After removal of the culture medium at different time points, the cells were washed twice with HBSS and incubated with 100 µL of MTT solution (0.5 mg/mL) for 2 h at 37°C. After incubation, formazan granules generated by the cells were dissolved in 100 µL of DMSO, and the absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT). Data are represented as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Tra, trametinib; Crypto, cryptotanshinone.

**OVV infection**

Cells (2×10⁵/well) were seeded in 24-well plates 24 h before treatment with or without the indicated inhibitor. After 24 h, each cell line was infected with OVV at indicated MOI. After 24 to 48 h, 24-well plates were imaged under phase-contrast (bright field) or fluorescence microscopy using the EVOS M5000 Imaging System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or were analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Western blotting**

Cells were washed twice with HBSS and lysed using lysis buffer (30 mM Na3P2O7⋅10H2O, 20 mM NaF, 1 mM Na3VO4, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, and 1% Triton X-100; pH 7.4). The cell lysates were centrifuged for 15 min at 4°C, and the supernatants were used for western blotting. The protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes, and then stained with 0.1% Ponceau S solution (Sigma-Aldrich) to ensure equal loading of the samples. After blocking with 5% non-fat milk for 30 min, the membranes were incubated with the primary antibodies overnight, and the bound antibodies were visualized with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies using an enhanced chemiluminescence western blotting system (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ).

**Flow cytometry analysis**

ALDH activity was detected using the ALDEFLUOR Kit, as described by the manufacturer. Analysis of the fluorescence intensity of the stained cells was performed using CANTO II (BD Biosciences). The ALDH activity of the cells was determined based on fluorescence intensity beyond the threshold as defined by the reaction with diethylaminozobenzaldehyde. Labeled population was analyzed using the Attune Nxt Acoustic Focusing Cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
absorbance of the solution at 570 nm was determined using the Sun-
rise Absorbance Reader (Tecan Trading AG, Switzerland).

Cell viability (%) and half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) were
calculated as follows.

\[
\text{Cell viability(\%) = } \frac{\text{Experimental group(Drug)absorbance}}{\text{Control group(Mock)absorbance}}
\]

After calculating cell viability, we computed IC50 by conducting four-
parameter logistic curve-fitting on each cell line. Furthermore, we
compared IC50 values between wild-type and resistant cell lines using
t test.

**Virus titration**
We conducted a colorimetric-based tissue culture infective dose 50% (TCID50) assay to measure viral titer.61 First, we treated mock or
2 μM PD0325901 on A2780 and A2780-R cells for 24 h, and we in-
fected OVV at 0.01 MOI to two cell lines for 24 h. After washing cells,
we froze and heated cells three times using liquid nitrogen and a
warmed water bath, and these lysates were sonicated and serially
diluted by 5-fold after an initial 50-fold. We treated lysate to U2OS
cells for 72 h and calculated TCID_{50} by MTT assay. Cytotoxicity (%) was calculated as "100-cell viability value," and TCID_{50} was calculated with the same method as IC_{50} using cytotoxicity value. TCID_{50}/mL value was calculated as TCID_{50}/C_{2}(1 mL/treated volume).

Transcriptome sequencing and gene expression profile analysis

A2780 and A2780-R cells were treated with mock control or 2 μM trametinib for 24 h. For library construction, RNA extraction was performed with TRIzol reagent according to the product manual. After quality control, qualified samples were used for library construction. The sequencing library was prepared by random fragmentation of the sample, followed by 5' and 3' adapter ligation. Adapter-ligated fragments were then amplified using PCR and purified using gel extraction. The library was loaded into a flow cell for cluster generation, where fragments were captured on a lawn of surface-bound oligos complementary to the library adapters. Each fragment was then amplified into distinct clonal clusters by bridge amplification. When cluster generation was complete, the templates were sequenced on Illumina NovaSeq 6000 that generates raw images using sequencing control software for system control and base calling through an integrated primary analysis software called the Real Time Analysis. The binary base call (BCL) files were converted into FASTQ by using the Illumina package bcl2fastq.

The raw reads obtained through sequencing were preceded by quality control analysis. It produced basic statistics such as overall read quality, total bases, total reads, and guanine-cytosine (GC) content (%). In order to reduce the bias of the analysis result, it has low quality or goes through pre-processing, which removes artifacts such as adapter sequences, contaminant DNA, and PCR duplicates. For reads that had undergone pre-processing, we used the HISAT2 program that considers splice to map to the reference genome (hg19) and then generates aligned reads. Using the reference-based aligned read information, transcript assembly was performed through the StringTie program. The expression level obtained through transcript quantification of each sample was calculated as Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) value or Transcripts Per Million (TPM) value, and the expression profile was extracted using these normalized values.

To analyze the gene expression profile of the virus response, we used the gene ontology (GO) term "defense response to virus" (accession GO:0006954). Total annotations in this GO term were filtered by organism Homo sapiens. Among the filtered genes, a heatmap was generated with the genes that showed statistical significance between the mock control and the trametinib group. Gene set enrichment

Figure 7. Combination therapy of OVV with trametinib diminishes tumor growth in the A2780-R cell-derived xenograft model

(A) BALB/c-nu/nu mice were subcutaneously transplanted with A2780-R cells on day -12, intraperitoneally injected vehicle (0.4% DMSO) or trametinib (0.5 mg/kg) daily from day -1 to day 6, and intratumorally injected with vaccinia virus (1 × 10^6 PFU) on day 0. (B) Representative images of the xenograft mice (left side) and tumor tissues (right side). (C) Effects of the combined treatment with trametinib and OVV on in vivo tumor growth of A2780-R cells. Tumor volume was determined from day 0 to day 24. (D) The tumor tissues were isolated from the xenograft mice on day 24 for measurement of the tumor weights. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05; #p < 0.01; †p < 0.001.
analysis was performed with the Hallmark Gene Sets database using the GSEA software (4.1.0).

**Quantitative RT-PCR**

Sample preparation process was the same as the above transcriptome sequencing. After RNA extraction, reverse transcription was performed using the HelixCripsr Thermo Reverse Transcriptase (with dNTP Mix) product and RNase inhibitor according to the product manual. Quantitative PCR was conducted by ABI7500 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix according to the manufacturer’s manual.

**In vivo xenograft tumor transplantation model**

All animal studies were conducted according to the protocols approved by the Pusan National University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (PNU-2018-1816). A2780-R cells (1 × 105) were suspended in 100 µL of 50% Matrigel solution (diluted with growth medium) and injected subcutaneously into the right flanks of 6- to 8-week-old BALB/c-nu/nu mice. We then observed the mice transplanted with tumor cells twice a week for the appearance of tumor. We measured the length (mm) and width (mm) of the tumor masses using a digital caliper and calculated the tumor volume (mm³) as (length × width²)/2 twice a week. We administered intraperitoneal injection containing vehicle (0.4% DMSO) or trametinib (0.5 mg/kg) daily from day 11 to day 18 and intratumoral injection containing sterile water or vaccinia virus (1 × 10⁶ plaque-forming units [PFU]) on day 9. All mice were euthanized when a tumor volume reached 2000 mm³. Furthermore, we generated a Kaplan-Meier curve and executed a statistical test to compare survival among the experimental groups.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using the Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA), SigmaPlot 10.0 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA), and R 4.1.0 (The R Foundation) packages: gplots and tidyverse. The data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined using two-tailed Student’s t test or one-way ANOVA; n ≥ 3, unless stated otherwise.
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