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Abstract
Differential gene expression (DGE) studies often suffer from poor interpretability of their primary results, i.e., thousands of differentially expressed genes. This has led to the introduction of gene set analysis (GSA) methods that aim at identifying interpretable global effects by grouping genes into sets of common context, such as, molecular pathways, biological function or tissue localization. In practice, GSA often results in hundreds of differentially regulated gene sets. Similar to the genes they contain, gene sets are often regulated in a correlative fashion because they share many of their genes or they describe related processes. Using these kind of neighborhood information to construct networks of gene sets allows to identify highly connected sub-networks as well as poorly connected islands or singletons. We show here how topological information and other network features can be used to filter and prioritize gene sets in routine DGE studies. Community detection in combination with automatic labeling and the network representation of gene set clusters further constitute an appealing and intuitive visualization of GSA results. The RICHNET workflow described here does not require human intervention and can thus be conveniently incorporated in automated analysis pipelines.
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**Introduction**

Interpretation of whole-transcriptome differential expression studies is often difficult because the sheer volume of the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) can be overwhelming. It is common place in designed experiments with more than just a marginal biological effect to find several thousands of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). One way to handle the vast numbers and to identify the biological consequences of gene expression changes is to associate them with overarching processes involving a whole set of genes, such as GO terms or KEGG pathways.

Curated genesets have been designed or discovered for a wide range of common contexts, such as, a biological process, molecular pathway, or tissue localization\(^{1}\). They have been introduced in the past not only to reduce complexity and to improve interpretability but also to increase statistical power by reducing the number of performed tests. As it turns out, this often results in finding hundreds of differentially regulated pathways\(^{1}\).

As with co-expressed genes, many of the pathways exhibit strong mutual correlation because they contain a large proportion of shared genes which is in turn a result of the fact that many of them describe closely related aspects of an overarching biological theme. Therefore, to further increase interpretability of differential geneset regulation and to capture the global change of a biological phenotype, it would be desirable to identify possibly existing umbrella organizations among genesets.

Networks are ideal to model dependencies, interactions, and similarities among individuals\(^{3-5}\), be it people, computers, genes, or genesets. The degree of connectivity between them can have an influence on information flow and defines communities or *cliques*, i.e., clusters of highly connected nodes within and infrequent connections between them.

In order to construct a geneset network, a similarity measure is required and can be defined as the fraction of common genes, also called the Jaccard index\(^{4}\). Other ways to measure similarity among genesets include, for instance, coexpression strength as implemented in WGCNA\(^{7,8}\).

Community detection based on network topology is a standard problem in the analysis of social networks\(^{9,10}\). Well-established algorithms allow for computationally efficient clustering of genesets and can be used to identify highly connected sub-networks. There is no unique or optimal method available but many options exist. Popular methods to define clusters include the *edge-betweenness* criterion, the *Infomap* or the *Louvain* algorithm (*igraph*), as well as hierarchical or kmeans clustering.

Once geneset clusters are defined they can be characterized by their size and connectivity and thus prioritized and ranked. In particular, the clusters can be categorized as singletons, doublets, medium and large or dense and loose clusters.

Network analysis not only allows for detection of clusters and performance of measurements on them, networks are also straightforward and appealing visualizations of similarities among genesets. There are a couple of interactive visualization software tools available, of which Cytoscape is probably the most popular\(^{11}\). In some cases interactivity is useful but the emphasis here is to provide some of Cytoscape’s features without any human intervention for easy integration into automatic analysis pipelines. For instance, automatic labeling of communities using the n most frequent terms was adopted here, similar as in Kucera *et al.*\(^{12}\).

The purpose of this step-by-step workflow is to provide a fully automated and reproducible procedure for downstream analysis and visualization of differential geneset analysis results in R\(^{13}\). The focus is on supporting scientists in result interpretation by bringing order into the list of differentially regulated genesets based on biological rather than pure statistical arguments. The workflow is suitable for any kind of geneset library including new or custom sets and any kind of geneset analysis method.

Starting with differential expression analysis of a model dataset, geneset analysis is performed based on the MSigDB library. A geneset network is constructed to identify isolated genesets (singletons) and geneset pairs (doublets). Larger connected sub-networks are then split into smaller clusters of closely related genesets describing similar processes. The effect of each modification step on the network topology is visually documented in Figure 1–Figure 4. Using the most frequently occurring terms in the geneset names of a cluster, an attempt to automatically assign cluster labels is made. Finally, all labeled clusters of genesets are plotted to provide a one page overview of the results.

---

\(^{1}\)The terms *geneset* and *pathway* are used interchangeably throughout this document and refer to a set of genes.
Preparations
The packages required for this workflow provide plotting functions (ggplot2 and relatives), network functions igraph and Gally, text analytics functions (wordcloud, etc.) and gene expression analysis functions DESeq2, limma, and org.Hs.eg.db.

```r
lby = c("RColorBrewer", "ggplot2", "gplots", "cowplot",
    "ggrepel", "reshape2", "knitr", "kableExtra",
    "igraph", "Gally",
    "DESeq2", "limma", "org.Hs.eg.db",
    "wordcloud", "tm", "SnowballC")
tmp = lapply(lby, require, character.only=T, warn.conflicts=F, quietly=T)
```

In addition to and often based on igraph, several R packages for network visualization are available and described in the form of tutorials.

Example data
We are using the popular airway data set and perform a simple differential expression analysis.

```r
library(airway)
data(airway)
dds = DESeqDataSetFromMatrix(countData = assay(airway),
    colData = colData(airway),
    design = ~ cell + dex)
dds$dex = relevel(dds$dex, "untrt")
dds = DESeq(dds, betaPrior = T)
res = results(dds, contrast = c("dex", "trt", "untrt"))
```

Mapping Ensembl IDs to ENTREZ IDs
We are using the popular org.Hs.eg.db package based on the UCSC annotation database and keep only genes with a unique mapping.

```r
res$entrezgene = unname(mapIds(org.Hs.eg.db, keys = rownames(res),
    column = "ENTREZID", keytype = "ENSEMBL"))
res = subset(res, subset = !is.na(res$entrezgene) & !is.na(res$stat))
res = res[-which(duplicated(res$entrezgene)), ]
```

Gene set enrichment analysis
We are using the popular KEGG, Reactome, and Biocarta pathways from the MSigDB gene set library C2. The following chunk guarantees that the gene set library list object is called gset.

```r
url = "http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/software/MSigDB/human_c2_v5p2.rdata"
temp.space = new.env()
bar = load(url(url), temp.space)
gset = get(bar, temp.space)
rm(temp.space)
gs.libs = sapply(names(gset), function(x) strsplit(x, ".")[[1]][1])
gset = gset[which(gs.libs %in% c("KEGG", "REACTOME", "BIOCARTA"))]
```

Competitive gene set enrichment analysis is performed using the function camera() from the limma package. We include uni-directional and bi-directional enrichment by using both the test statistics ("up" or "down") and its modulus ("mixed") for gene set testing. We limit the following network analysis to gene sets with a FDR < 0.05.

```r
idx = ids2indices(gene.sets = gset, identifiers = res$entrezgene)
dat = cameraPR(res$stat, idx, sort = F)
dat$PValue.Mixed = cameraPR(abs(res$stat), idx, sort = F)$PValue
dat$FDR.Mixed = p.adjust(dat$PValue.Mixed, method = "BH")
dat$name = rownames(dat)
```

```r
dat$Direction = as.character(dat$Direction)
dat$Direction[dat$FDR > 0.05] = "Mixed"
```
Starting from 1077 gene sets, 264 are found to be differentially regulated. Many of them are expected to describe similar processes and to be highly correlated.

**Network construction**

We construct a gene set network based on the proportion of common genes as the inverse distance measure. The nodes are gene sets which are connected by edges if the Jaccard index

\[ J = \frac{\text{Number of common genes}}{\text{Number of all genes}} \]

is larger than a preset threshold, \( J > 0.2 \). While this threshold is somewhat arbitrary it has proven to be a reasonable one in many projects. Nevertheless, it is strongly recommended to investigate its effect on the quality of the results.

```r
data$Direction[data$Direction == "Mixed" & data$FDR.Mixed > 0.05] = "NOT"
data$Direction = factor(data$Direction, levels=c("NOT", "Up", "Down", "Mixed"))

idx = which(data$Direction == "Mixed")
if(length(idx) > 0) data$FDR[idx] = data$FDR.Mixed[idx]
data = data[-grep("\.".Mixed", names(data))]
data$Direction = factor(data$Direction, levels=c("Up", "Down", "Mixed"))
```

# only keep gene sets present in the data
id.keep = which(names(gset) %in% data$name)
gset = gset[id.keep]

# adjacency matrix
m.adj = sapply(gset, function(x)
sapply(gset, function(y)
  length(intersect(unlist(x), unlist(y))))
)
diag(m.adj) = 0

# Jaccard index matrix
NGenes = sapply(gset, length)
m.union = outer(NGenes, NGenes, "+") - m.adj
m.jacc = m.adj / m.union

The Jaccard matrix, or adjacency matrix, can be conveniently used to construct a network object using the function `igraph::graph_from_adjacency_matrix()`. In this example geneset similarity is measured using all member genes irrespective of whether they were detected and present in the data. Alternatively, one could include only genes present in the data depending on whether the current data seem more relevant and trustworthy or the prior information given by the geneset definition. Graphical display is achieved here using `gnet::gnet2()` (Figure 1).

```r
# choose node colors
palette = brewer.pal(9, "Set1")[c(1,2,9)]
names(palette) = c("Up", "Down", "Mixed")
# apply cutoff to Jaccard matrix
m.adj1 = m.adj * (m.jacc > 0.2)
# construct network object
net = graph_from_adjacency_matrix(m.adj1, "upper", diag = F, weighted = T)
# add vertex features
V(net)$size = data$NGenes
V(net)$color = palette[data$Direction]
V(net)$Direction = as.character(data$Direction)
# plot
gnet2(net, size = 2, color = "Direction", palette = palette, edge.size = 1, edge.color = "#99CC33")
```
Network modifications

In the following, components of the network for which network analysis does not improve interpretability are identified and put to aside. This includes singletons, i.e., genesets not connected to any other geneset, and doublets, also termed binary systems or dumbbells, i.e., pairs of genesets connected with each other but isolated from the rest.

**Identify singletons**

```r
singletons = which(igraph::degree(net) == 0)
net1 = delete_vertices(net, singletons)
in.single = which(dat$name %in% V(net)$name[singletons])
tab = dat[in.single, ]
tab$FDR = signif(tab$FDR, 2)
tab$name = gsub("_", " ", tab$name)
tab = kable(tab[,c("name", "NGenes", "Direction", "FDR")],
            row.names = F, format = "latex",
            caption = "List of all singletons, i.e., genesets without sufficient overlap with any other geneset.")
kable_styling(tab, latex_options = "scale_down", font_size = 8)
```

In total, 49 singletons were identified and excluded from further analysis (Table 1). It is important to note that these genesets, while down-prioritized for the time being, may still be worthwhile investigating later.

```r
ggnet2(net1, size = "size", max_size = 4, color = palette[V(net1)$Direction],
        size.cut = 4, edge.size = 1, edge.color = 
```

**Figure 2** shows the remaining network clusters, with the size of the nodes representing the number of genes in the set.
Table 1. List of all singletons, i.e., genesets without sufficient overlap with any other geneset.

| name                                                   | NGenes | Direction | FDR     |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| KEGG GLYCOLYSIS GLUCONEOGENESIS                        | 58     | Mixed     | 0.04900 |
| KEGG GLYCINE SERINE AND THREONINE METABOLISM           | 29     | Mixed     | 0.00020 |
| KEGG ARGinine AND PROline METABOLISM                   | 52     | Up        | 0.04100 |
| KEGG GLUTATHIONE METABOLISM                            | 43     | Mixed     | 0.00210 |
| KEGG O GLYCAN BIOSYNTHESIS                             | 26     | Mixed     | 0.01300 |
| KEGG ARACHIDONIC ACID METABOLISM                       | 48     | Mixed     | 0.04300 |
| KEGG NICOTINATE AND NICOTINAMIDE METABOLISM            | 23     | Mixed     | 0.00290 |
| KEGG CHEMOKINE SIGNALING PATHWAY                       | 160    | Mixed     | 0.02700 |
| KEGG P53 SIGNALING PATHWAY                             | 67     | Mixed     | 0.01400 |
| KEGG APOPTOSIS                                         | 78     | Mixed     | 0.02800 |
| KEGG TGF BETA SIGNALING PATHWAY                        | 82     | Mixed     | 0.00140 |
| KEGG ADHERENS JUNCTION                                 | 72     | Up        | 0.02900 |
| KEGG LEUKOCYTE TRANSENDOTHELIAL MIGRATION              | 99     | Mixed     | 0.00360 |
| KEGG PROGESTERONE MEDIATED OOCYTE MATURATION           | 80     | Mixed     | 0.04900 |
| KEGG ADIPOCYTOKINE SIGNALING PATHWAY                   | 62     | Up        | 0.00180 |
| KEGG PATHOGENIC ESCHERICHIA COLI INFECTION             | 53     | Mixed     | 0.04000 |
| BIOPACARTA AGR PATHWAY                                 | 33     | Mixed     | 0.01300 |
| BIOPACARTA ATM PATHWAY                                 | 20     | Mixed     | 0.00790 |
| BIOPACARTA BCELLSURVIVAL PATHWAY                       | 15     | Up        | 0.03400 |
| BIOPACARTA LAIR PATHWAY                                | 14     | Mixed     | 0.00910 |
| BIOPACARTA EPONFKB PATHWAY                             | 11     | Mixed     | 0.01300 |
| BIOPACARTA GABA PATHWAY                                | 6      | Mixed     | 0.04800 |
| BIOPACARTA P53 HYPOXIA PATHWAY                         | 22     | Mixed     | 0.02600 |
| BIOPACARTA EGFR SMRTE PATHWAY                          | 11     | Mixed     | 0.01600 |
| BIOPACARTA PPARA PATHWAY                               | 52     | Mixed     | 0.00091 |
| BIOPACARTA RAC1 PATHWAY                                | 20     | Mixed     | 0.00440 |
| BIOPACARTA NKCELLS PATHWAY                             | 14     | Mixed     | 0.02800 |
| REACTOME METABOLISM OF VITAMINS AND COFACTORS          | 50     | Mixed     | 0.03100 |
| REACTOME IL 7 SIGNALING                                 | 10     | Mixed     | 0.00062 |
| REACTOME SULFUR AMINO ACID METABOLISM                  | 24     | Up        | 0.00530 |
| REACTOME SPHINGOLIPID DE NOVO BIOSYNTHESIS             | 30     | Mixed     | 0.00970 |
| REACTOME SIGNALING BY HIPPO                            | 20     | Mixed     | 0.00110 |
| REACTOME GASTRIN CREB SIGNALLING PATHWAY VIA PKC AND MAPK | 171   | Mixed     | 0.05000 |
| REACTOME PLATELET ADHESION TO EXPOSED COLLAGEN         | 10     | Mixed     | 0.00910 |
| REACTOME VEGF LIGAND RECEPTOR INTERACTIONS             | 10     | Mixed     | 0.05000 |
| REACTOME METABOLISM OF AMINO ACIDS AND DERIVATIVES     | 182    | Mixed     | 0.03100 |
| REACTOME TRANSMISSION ACROSS CHEMICAL SYNAPSES         | 161    | Mixed     | 0.01900 |
| REACTOME INTEGRATION OF ENERGY METABOLISM              | 104    | Mixed     | 0.02900 |
| REACTOME CYTOSOLIC TRNA AMINOACYLATION                 | 24     | Down      | 0.03000 |
| REACTOME OLFATORY SIGNALING PATHWAY                    | 65     | Mixed     | 0.00220 |
| REACTOME SEMA3A PLEXIN REPULSION SIGNALING BY INHIBITING INTEGRIN ADHESION | 13     | Mixed     | 0.04000 |
| REACTOME NA CL DEPENDENT NEUROTRANSMITTER TRANSPORTERS | 12     | Down      | 0.03700 |
Identify binary systems (2 sets)

Next we also want to separate clusters with less than 3 gene sets. To do so, we separate disjoint subnets as individual objects, count their members, and delete all vertices belonging to clusters of size smaller than 3.

```r
clu1 = igraph::components(net1)
clu.lt3 = which(sizes(clu1) < 3)
v.clu.lt3 = which(clu1$membership %in% clu.lt3)
net2 = delete_vertices(net1, v.clu.lt3)
clu2 = igraph::components(net2)
in.clu.lt3 = which(dat$name %in% V(net1)$name[v.clu.lt3])
tab = dat[in.clu.lt3, ]
tab$FDR = signif(tab$FDR, 2)
cludp = clu1$membership[v.clu.lt3]
cludp = data.frame(name = names(cludp), id = as.numeric(cludp))
tab = merge(tab, cludp)
tab$name = gsub("_", " ", tab$name)
tab = kable(tab[order(tab$id), c("id", "name", "NGenes", "Direction", "FDR")], row.names=F, format = "latex",
caption = "List of binary clusters as indicated by the id column."")
kable_styling(tab, latex_options = "scale_down", font_size = 8)
```

Figure 2. Gene set network with singletons removed. The color scheme is the same as above. The node size corresponds to the number of genes in a set.
In **Table 2**, consecutively listed gene sets with the same *id* belong to the same binary cluster. Often these are gene sets from different libraries describing the same biological process or phenotype. In total, 16 binary clusters were identified, for which network analysis would not be useful.

```r
set.seed(16)
nodecol = colorRampPalette(brewer.pal(9, "Set1"))[sample(9)](max(clu2$membership))
ggnet2(net2, size = "size", max_size = 4, color = nodecol[clu2$membership],
      size.cut = 4, edge.size = 1, edge.color = "grey")
```

**Figure 3.** Gene set network with singletons and binary clusters removed. Colored according to disjoint subnetworks.

**Table 2.** List of binary clusters as indicated by the id column.

| id | name                                                  | NGenes | Direction | FDR    |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| 3  | KEGG ALANINE ASPARATE AND GLUTAMATE METABOLISM       | 28     | Mixed     | 4.9e-03|
| 3  | REACTOME AMINO ACID SYNTHESIS AND INTERCONVERSION     | 16     | Mixed     | 3.6e-04|
|    | TRANSMINATION                                        |        |           |        |
| 6  | KEGG INOSITOL PHOSPHATE METABOLISM                    | 49     | Mixed     | 2.0e-04|
| 6  | KEGG PHOSPHATIDYLINOSITOL SIGNALING SYSTEM            | 69     | Mixed     | 1.5e-04|
| 17 | BIOCARPA ARF PATHWAY                                  | 16     | Mixed     | 1.2e-02|
| 17 | BIOCARPA CTCF PATHWAY                                 | 22     | Mixed     | 3.8e-04|
| 18 | REACTOME PLATELET ACTIVATION SIGNALING AND AGGREGATION| 178    | Mixed     | 2.9e-03|
| 18 | REACTOME RESPONSE TO ELEVATED PLATELET CYTOSOLIC CA2 | 72     | Mixed     | 3.9e-02|
| 19 | REACTOME NEUROTRANSMITTER RELEASE CYCLE               | 28     | Up        | 1.4e-02|
| 19 | REACTOME NOREPINEPHRINE NEUROTRANSMITTER RELEASE CYCLE| 10     | Up        | 4.5e-02|
| 20 | REACTOME AMINO ACID AND OLGOLIPID SLC TRANSPORTERS   | 40     | Mixed     | 2.0e-02|
| 20 | REACTOME AMINO ACID TRANSPORT ACROSS THE PLASMA MEMBRANE| 29     | Mixed     | 8.7e-03|
| 22 | REACTOME MUSCLE CONTRACTION                           | 42     | Up        | 3.4e-05|
| 22 | REACTOME SMOOTH MUSCLE CONTRACTION                    | 23     | Up        | 0.0e+00|
| 23 | REACTOME ACTIVATION OF GENES BY ATF4                  | 24     | Mixed     | 7.8e-03|
| 23 | REACTOME PERK REGULATED GENE EXPRESSION               | 27     | Mixed     | 2.0e-02|
Without singletons and binary clusters, we are left with larger disjoint subnets (Figure 3).

**Detect communities (sub-networks)**
The larger disjoint clusters may consist of so-called communities, i.e., sub-networks of highly inter-connected nodes that stick together by only one or a few edges. We are using the popular edge betweenness property to identify these community-connecting edges and remove them in order to split large clusters into smaller ones.

```r
net2 = delete_edge_attr(net2, "weight")
clu3 = cluster_edge_betweenness(net2)
# delete edges between communities
net3 = delete_edges(net2, which(as.vector(crossing(clu3, net2))))
# remove clusters of size <3
small_cluster_ids = which(sizes(clu3) < 3)
small_cl_v = which(clu3$membership %in% small_cluster_ids)
net3 = delete_vertices(net3, small_cl_v)
clu3 = igraph::components(net3)
nodecol = c(brewer.pal(9, "Paired"), brewer.pal(9, "Set3"))
nodecol = colorRampPalette(nodecol)(max(clu3$membership))
ggnet2(net3, size = 0, color = nodecol[clu3$membership],
     edge.size = 1.0, edge.color = "grey") +
geom_point(size = 2, color = "black") +
geom_point(aes(color = color), size = 1)
```

The result of this network-based clustering is shown in **Figure 4**.
Automatic annotation of gene set clusters

In analogy to the popular interactive network visualization tool cytoscape\textsuperscript{10}, we attempt to generate automatic labels for gene set clusters. Gene set names are split into individual words and counted within each cluster. The four most frequent terms occurring at least twice are used as labels. The function \texttt{clust\_head()} is defined for this purpose and contains an exclusion list of words not used.

\texttt{t.rW = c("cell", "process", "regulation", "negative", "positive", "signaling", "response", "stimulus", "signal", "activity", "protein", "involved", "component", "level", "effector", "event", "projection", "organismal", "cellular", "modification", "pathway", "mediated", "dependent", "organization", "group", "target", "biocarta", "kegg", "reactome")}

\texttt{clust\_head = function(x){
  text = unlist(strsplit(x, \"\_\"))
  text = Corpus(VectorSource(text))
  text = tm\_map(text, PlainTextDocument)
  text = tm\_map(text, removePunctuation)
  text = tm\_map(text, removeNumbers)
  text = tm\_map(text, content\_transformer(tolower))
  text = tm\_map(text, removeWords, c(t.rW, stopwords("english")))
  tdm = TermDocumentMatrix(text)
  m = as.matrix(tdm)
  word\_freqs = sort(rowSums(m), decreasing=TRUE)
  word\_freqs = word\_freqs[word\_freqs>1]
  word\_freqs = paste(names(word\_freqs)[1:4], collapse=\"")
  gsub("\[[:space:]]\?NA\[[:space:]]\?", "", word\_freqs)
}}

Lattice of annotated networks

There are many possibilities to visualize geneset clusters and often a compromise between information content and crowding has to be found. Here, we are producing a lattice of network plots, one for each sub-net, with the automatic annotation as title (Figure 5). We begin by generating the cluster titles using the \texttt{clust\_head()} function followed by cleaning up and ordering by cluster size.

\texttt{clust = data\_frame(cl = clu3\$membership)
  rownames(clust) = names(V(net3))
  # generate cluster titles
  cl3.lab.txt = as.character(tapply(rownames(clust), clust\$cl, clust\_head))
  # remove NAs
  cl3.lab.txt = gsub("[[[:space:]]?NA[[[:space:]]]?", "", cl3.lab.txt)
  cl3 = igraph::components(net3)
  clu3 = order(clu3\$size, decreasing = T)
  clu3\$mem = match(clu3\$membership, clu.order)
}

Then we generate a list of ggplot objects, one for each cluster or sub-net. For smaller sub-nets, the nodes are labelled with the first 4 words of their names; the first word was removed before as it is usually the name of the geneset library. For larger sub-nets, this is not feasible without overprinting. Titles are missing if none of the words from the geneset names occurred more than once.

\texttt{# generate a list of ggplots
  g = list(max(clu3\$membership))
  set.seed(7042016)
  for (ii in 1:max(clu3\$membership)) {
    subgf = induced\_subgraph(net3, which(clu3\$mem == ii))
    # generate titles with one optional line break
    title = substr(toupper(cl3.lab.txt[clu.order][ii]), 1, 60)
    if (nchar(title) > 25) {
      title = sub("\(^{\cdot}(10,30)\)\[[:space:]]\", "\\1\\\n", title)
    }
    # generate node labels using word 2-5 of the geneset name
    v.label = names(V(subgf))
    v.label = lapply(v.label, function(x) strsplit(x, \"\")[[1]])
    v.label = sapply(v.label, function(x) paste(x[2:min(5, length(x))], collapse = \"\")
  }}
# clean up geneset names
v.label = gsub("_PATHWAY", "", v.label)
v.label = gsub("_SIGNALLING", "", v.label)

# introduce line breaks
v.label = gsub("_", "\n", v.label)

# remove node labels for large clusters
if (length(v.label) > 5) v.label = rep(NA, length(v.label))
g[[ii]] = ggnet2(subgf, edge.size = 1, edge.color = "#99CC33",
label = F, size=V(subgf)$size, max_size = 3,
size.cut = 4, color = palette(V(subgf)$Direction)) +
theme(legend.position="none", plot.title = element_text(size=6),
panel.grid = element_blank()) +
geom_label_repel(label = v.label, size=1.2,
box.padding = 0.1, label.padding = 0.1) +
gtitle(title) 

nr.cols = min(4, max(clu3$membership))
nr.rows = ceiling(max(clu3$membership) / nr.cols)
width = sapply(g, function(x) nrow(x$data))
ggrid.arrange = getFromNamespace("grid.arrange", asNamespace("gridExtra"))
ggrid.arrange(grobs = g[seq(16)], ncol = nr.cols)

Figure 5. Geneset cluster with machine-generated titles. Only the first 16 connected subnets are shown. Geneset labels are omitted for clusters with more than 5 members.
Discussion
We have presented an automated workflow based on a small number of R packages for prioritization and visualization of gene set analysis results using networks, which we call RICHNET. We demonstrated how community detection facilitates categorization of differentially regulated gene sets into singletons and clusters of different size ranges. Automated label generation allowed to associate these clusters with biological themes or processes of which the member gene sets are part of.

The RICHNET workflow could be altered or extended quite naturally in a number of ways but the version presented here is the one we typically apply in our research service projects. One advantage over other approaches is that it does not depend on a particular geneset library. Specific hierarchically constructed genesets, such as GO terms, would offer a straightforward way to arrive at a more global process description using higher levels in their tree structure. A second advantage is that it does not depend on the existence of a good quality gene or protein interaction network for the particular organism or disease state which is often not feasible. Only very few genesets are network-based (e.g. KEGG pathways) and would thus offer a straight-forward way to use an a priori network topology. Thirdly, similar as in reference 8, a geneset similarity network could be constructed in the form of a co-enrichment network from GSA enrichment scores\(^{20}\) using weighted co-expression network analysis (WGCNA)\(^2\). However, this approach relies on a relatively large sample size whereas the sample size requirement of RICHNET is not more than the GSA it relies on.

As an alternative to the networks of genesets described here, networks of genes could be created in a reciprocal way. The underlying similarity metric between genes could be defined as the proportion of common genesets among all genesets they are part of. This approach would be equivalent to a STRING-DB network with “databases” as the only interaction allowed\(^21\).

One possible future extension of the RICHNET workflow could be the introduction of a consensus similarity metric from multiple initial networks and different community detection or cluster algorithms to improve stability against noise. A second avenue forward could be the introduction of interactive graphics in 2D or 3D\(^7\) to allow moving, pulling, rotation or zoom and display of node specific or edge specific information.

Some may argue in favor of encapsulating the RICHNET workflow in an R or Bioconductor package. However, it is our strong believe that for the sake of transparency and given the straightforward nature of the code it serves better to publish it openly. This way we encourage the users to adapt it to their specific requirements, to improve and expand on it.

Data availability
The data used in this workflow is included in the airway R-package\(^9\).

Software availability
The R markdown file for this workflow is available at: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2539163\(^{13}\).

License: Creative Commons CC BY license.

Packages used
This workflow depends on various packages from version 3.7 of the Bioconductor project, running on R version 3.5.0 or higher. A complete list of the packages used for this workflow is shown below:

```r
sessionInfo()
```

```
R version 3.5.1 (2018-07-02)
Platform: x86_64-w64-mingw32/x64 (64-bit)
Running under: Windows 10 x64 (build 17134)

Matrix products: default
locale:
[1] LC_COLLATE=English_United Kingdom.1252
[2] LC_CTYPE=English_United Kingdom.1252
[3] LC_MONETARY=English_United Kingdom.1252
```
attached base packages:
[1] parallel stats4 stats graphics grDevices utils datasets
[8] methods base

other attached packages:
[1] airway_1.2.0 SnowballC_0.5.1
[3] tm_0.7-5 NLP_0.2-0
[5] wordcloud_2.6 org.Hs.eg.db_3.7.0
[7] AnnotationDbi_1.44.0 limma_3.38.2
[9] DESeq2_1.22.1 SummarizedExperiment_1.12.0
[11] DelayedArray_0.8.0 BiocParallel_1.16.1
[13] matrixStats_0.54.0 Biobase_2.42.0
[15] GenomicRanges_1.34.0 GenomeInfoDb_1.18.1
[17] IRanges_2.16.0 S4Vectors_0.20.1
[19] BiocGenerics_0.28.0 igraph_1.2.2
[21] GGally_1.4.0 kableExtra_0.9.0
[23] knitr_1.20 reshape2_1.4.3
[25] ggrepel_0.8.0 cowplot_0.9.3
[27] gplots_3.0.1 ggplot2_3.1.0
[29] RColorBrewer_1.1-2

loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
[1] colorspace_1.3-2 rprojroot_1.3-2
[3] htmlTable_1.12 XVector_0.22.0
[5] base64enc_0.1-3 fs_1.2.6
[7] rstudioapi_0.8 remotes_2.0.2
[9] bit64_0.9-7 xml2_1.2.0
[11] codetools_0.2-15 splines_3.5.1
[13] geneplotter_1.60.0 pkgload_1.0.2
[15] Formula_1.2-3 annotate_1.60.0
[17] cluster_2.0.7-1 intergraph_2.0-2
[19] readr_1.2.1 compiler_3.5.1
[21] httr_1.3.1 backports_1.1.2
[23] assertthat_0.2.0 Matrix_1.2-15
[25] lazyeval_0.2.1 cli_1.0.1
[27] acepack_1.4.1 htmltools_0.3.6
[29] prettyunits_1.0.2 tools_3.5.1
[31] bindrcpp_0.2.2 codai_0.19-2
[33] tibble_2.0.2 glue_1.3.0
[35] GenomeInfoDbData_1.2.0 dplyr_0.7.8
[37] BiocWorkflowTools_1.8.0 Rcpp_1.0.0
[39] slam_0.1-43 statnet.common_4.1.4
[41] xfun_0.4
[43] stringr_1.3.1 network_1.13.0.1
[45] ps_1.2.1 testthat_2.0.1
[47] rvest_0.3.2 gtools_3.8.1
[49] devtools_2.0.1 XML_3.98-1.16
[51] zlibbioc_1.28.0 scales_1.0.0
[53] hms_0.4.2 yaml_2.2.0
[55] memoise_1.1.0 gridExtra_2.3
[57] rpart_4.1-13 RSQLite_2.1.1
[59] reshape_0.8.8 latticeExtra_0.6-28
[61] stringi_1.2.4 genefilter_1.64.0
[63] desc_1.2.0 checkmate_1.8.5
[65] catTools_1.17.1.1 pkgbuild_1.0.2
[67] rlang_0.3.0.1 pkgconfig_2.0.2
[69] bitops_1.0-6 evaluate_0.12
Author contributions
MP conceptualized the content, developed the method, performed the analysis and wrote the manuscript.

Grant information
The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgments
The author would like to thank all members of NEXUS and in particular Daniel Stekhoven for fruitful discussions as well as Beate Sick (UZH) and Phil Cheng (USZ) for critically reading the manuscript.

References

1. Rouillard AD, Gundersen GW, Fernandez NF, et al. The harmonizome: a collection of processed datasets gathered to serve and mine knowledge about genes and proteins. Database (Oxford). 2016; 2016: pii: baw100. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
2. Liberzon A, Subramanian A, Pinchback R, et al.: Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) 3.0. Bioinformatics. 2011; 27(12): 1739–1740. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
3. Barabasi AL, Oltvai ZN: Network biology: understanding the cell's functional organization. Nat Rev Genet. 2004; 5(2): 101–113. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
4. Vidal M, Csick ME, Barabasi AL: Interactome networks and human disease. Cell. 2011; 144(6): 986–998. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
5. Ideker T, Krogan NJ: Differential network biology. Mol Syst Biol. 2012; 8(1): 665. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
6. Merico D, Isserlin R, Stueker O, et al.: Enrichment map: a network-based method for gene-set enrichment visualization and interpretation. PLoS One. 2010; 5(11): e13994. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
7. Langfelder P, Horvath S: WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis, BMC Bioinformatics. 2008; 9(1): 559. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
8. Thorsson V, Gibbs DL, Brown SD, et al.: The Immune Landscape of Cancer. Immunity. 2018; 48(4): 812–830.e14. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
9. Gorin M, Newman ME: Community structure in social and biological networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99(12): 7821–6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
10. Butte P, Sharma C: Community detection in social networks. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery. 2016; 6(3): 115–135. Publisher Full Text
11. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, et al.: Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res. 2003; 13(11): 2498–504. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
12. Kucer M, Isserlin R, Arkhangorodsky A, et al.: AutoAnnotate: A Cytoscape app for summarizing networks with semantic annotations [version 1: referees: 2 approved]. F1000Res. 2016; 5: 1717. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
13. Michael P: Enhancing gene set enrichment using networks. zenodo: 2019. http://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2539163
14. Csanadi G, Nepusz T: The igraph software package for complex network research. Inter.Journal. Complex Sys:1695, 2006. Reference Source
15. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S: Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014; 15(12): 550. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
16. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, et al.: limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43(7): e47. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
17. Ogryzkoova K: Static and dynamic network visualization with R. 2015. Reference Source
18. Tyner S, Briatte F, Hofmann H: Network Visualization with ggplot2. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2017; 9(1): 27–59. Reference Source
profiling identifies CRISPLD2 as a glucocorticoid responsive gene that modulates cytokine function in airway smooth muscle cells. PLoS One. 2014; 9(6): e99625.

20. Hänzelmann S, Castelo R, Guinney J: GSVA: gene set variation analysis for microarray and RNA-seq data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2013; 14(1): 7.

21. Szklarczyk D, Morris JH, Cook H, et al.: The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled protein-protein association networks, made broadly accessible. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017; 45(D1): D362–D368.
The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com