Introduction

Iran has experienced a dramatic fertility decline in recent decades. The total fertility rate decreased from 7.7 in 1966 to 1.8 in 2011 (1). In fact, over three decades (the 1980s–2000s), Iran has transformed from a high-fertility country to a low-fertility one.

Based on the report regarding the provincial-level fertility in 2011, the total fertility rate in 22 out of the 31 provinces of Iran was below the replacement level (2). Currently, rising fertility to above replacement level has become the main concern of demographers and policymakers in Iran.

There is evidence of a change in family-related behaviors in many parts of the world (3,4), suggesting that changing attitudes about family life plays a crucial role in demographic changes (5,6). Fertility ideals are considered as an important dimension of attained fertility. Although ideal parity is not an accurate predictor of completed fertility, it has an important role in identifying the fertility process.

In recent years, fertility intentions and ideals, as part of the reproductive decision-making process, have become important for gaining insight into future changes in actual fertility levels. Therefore, this paper aimed to examine women’s fertility ideal in Iran. This gives rise to the consideration of how childbearing attitudes have changed in Iran in recent decades and how Iranians have perceived the ideal family size.

As far as data on ideal fertility ideals is concerned, DHS (Demographic and Health Surveys) data includes questions about fertility preferences, but this data on fertility preferences are used for evaluating various aspects of family planning programs and estimating unwanted fertility. More precisely, the lack of questioning the respondents about their fertility ideal was one of the important shortcomings of the national study of fertility in Iran.

Some empirical studies examined several aspects of fertility preferences in Iran. Although these studies provide valuable information on fertility preferences in one special population, they do not offer an understanding of the dynamics of fertility preferences. In addition, they tend to focus on women’s perspectives at the city level or a particular region and ethnic group. Further, most of these studies are published in Persian demographic journals. To expand the knowledge on ideal family size in Iran,
the present study reviewed relevant literature on fertility ideals found in Persian and English electronic databases. Accordingly, a systematic review and meta-analysis was performed on all published studies (from January 2000 to February 2018) to estimate the mean ideal number of children, as well as an overall prevalence of childlessness, one child, two children, and three children and more as the ideal number of children in Iran. In the meta-analysis, the sample size increases due to the combination of studies, thus it provides better statistical results (7). Meta-analysis can also extract the observed heterogeneity among the results of separate studies (8).

This study is important because it provides evidence on the ideal fertility in Iran. To the best of our knowledge, it is also the first study to examine the ideal fertility by meta-analysis. The awareness of couples’ fertility preferences and ideals would provide evidence for designing relevant policies to increase fertility and/or at least to stop further fertility decline.

Materials and Methods

A systematic review and meta-analysis of all published studies was conducted to estimate the mean ideal number of children. The overall prevalence of childlessness, 1 child, 2 children, and 3 children and more as the ideal number of children in Iran was evaluated as well. For this purpose, a search of all published literature (from 2000 until February 2018) on the ideal number of children was conducted using Persian and English electronic databases such as Web of Science, PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, as well as national electronic databases such as SID, Magiran, and NOORMAGS. The Persian and English keywords and the possible combination of basic words were probed in this search. The study was conducted using keywords such as “ideal fertility”, “desired fertility”, “fertility preferences”, “Iran/Iranian”, along with the name of the provinces. The Farsi keywords were equivalent to their English word and all possible combinations were searched as well. This search was conducted during 2017-2018.

In the first phase, 58 studies were found, including published articles, student theses, research projects, and more, followed by extracting the entire text or a summary of all searched articles, documents, and reports. The full-texts of relevant articles and national surveys were carefully studied after reviewing and studying the titles of documents and removing irrelevant articles. Finally, all Persian and English studies were included, which estimated the mean ideal number of children, as well as an overall prevalence of childlessness, 1 child, 2 children, and 3 children and more as the ideal number of children among women in Iran while articles, documents, and reports that were conducted using a qualitative method were excluded from the review. To assess the quality of the documents, eight questions (one score for every question) were considered about the aim, sample size, the year of publication, data collection tool, the method of the study, and publication status.

Studies that received a positive code in all questions received the highest score (eight) while the minimum score was considered five. Accordingly, the studies that obtained the minimum score were selected for the review. Finally, 37 qualified papers and two national surveys were selected with a 37,079 sample size (Figure 1). In 4 studies (9-12), the sample of the research was selected from
different groups or provinces. In this paper, each group or province was considered as a separate study. Thus, a total of 45 studies were selected to estimate the ideal fertility in Iran (Table 1).

The obtained data were analyzed using MedCalc 17 software. Additionally, the pooled estimates of the ideal number of children and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using the random effects model. In addition, statistical heterogeneity among the studies was assessed by the Cochrane Q test and $I^2$ statistic. For the Cochrane Q test, $P < 0.10$ was considered statistically significant for heterogeneity. The $I^2$ statistic indicates the percentage of the total variation across studies due to heterogeneity rather than chance, and can

| ID | First Author | Location of Study | Published Year | Sample | Sample Size | Mean Age |
|----|--------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|-------------|----------|
| 1  | Motlagh (15) | Iran              | 2016           | Women in reproductive age, ethnic groups | 2114 | 37.6 |
| 2  | Kazemipor (10) | Iran            | 2014           | Married women | 6243 | 30 |
| 3  | Kazemipor (10) | Iran            | 2015           | Pre-marriage women | 6407 | 23 |
| 4  | Firoz rad (16) | Tabriz          | 2016           | Married women | 460 | 33 |
| 5  | Khedive Zade (17) | Mashhad      | 2013           | Women on the threshold of marriage | 450 | 22.7 |
| 6  | Frotan (18) | Neka             | 2016           | Ever married women | 743 | 30 |
| 7  | Hosseini (19) | Oromyeh         | 2009           | Kord and Turk married women | 739 | - |
| 8  | Farrokh Eslamo (20) | Oromyeh     | 2013           | Pre-marriage women | 210 | 22.4 |
| 9  | Mahmodian (21) | Kermanshah     | 2013           | Ever married women | 200 | 24.3 |
| 10 | Akaberri (22) | West Azarbayjan | 2008           | Ever married women | 1300 | 32.6 |
| 11 | Yazdani (23) | Najaf Abad       | 2012           | Ever married women | 100 | - |
| 12 | Dorahaki (24) | NasimShahr      | 2013           | Married women | 304 | 31 |
| 13 | Sorosh (25) | Shiraz           | 2012           | Married women | 400 | 40.82 |
| 14 | Azmodeh (26) | Torbat Heydarye | 2015           | Women in child-bearing age | 241 | 31.14 |
| 15 | Abbasi-Shavazi (9) | Gilan         | 2002           | Married women | 1277 | 33.97 |
| 16 | Abbasi-Shavazi (9) | Yazd         | 2002           | Married women | 1249 | 33.6 |
| 17 | Abbasi-Shavazi (9) | West Azarbayjan | 2002           | Married women | 1368 | 33.88 |
| 18 | Abbasi-Shavazi (9) | Sistan ...    | 2002           | Married women | 1296 | 32.41 |
| 19 | Askari Nadoshun (27) | Sannandaj | 2013           | Married women | 525 | 34.5 |
| 20 | Bagheri (28) | Semnan           | 2017           | Married women | 389 | 32.3 |
| 21 | Razeghi Nasrabad (12) | Kohgiloye .. | 2013           | Married women 35-44 aged | 381 | 39.88 |
| 22 | Razeghi Nasrabad (12) | Hormozgan  | 2013           | Married women 35-44 | 371 | 39.71 |
| 23 | Razeghi Nasrabad (12) | Semnan        | 2013           | Married women 35-44 | 397 | 39.98 |
| 24 | Khalaj Abadi (11) | Tehran       | 2013           | One child women | 571 | 27.6 |
| 25 | Kazemnejad (29) | Tehran        | 2015           | Married police personal | 181 | 34 |
| 26 | Saei Gharenaz (30) | Tehran   | 2016           | Employed women | 200 | 37.55 |
| 27 | Fallah Zade (31) | Yazd         | 2013           | Ever married women aged 15-49 years | 400 | 32.4 |
| 28 | Moshefegh (32) | Tehran       | 2012           | Married women | 600 | 38.6 |
| 29 | Abbasi-Shavazi (33) | Yazd        | 2005           | Married women | 1249 | - |
| 30 | Lotfi (34) | Karaj            | 2017           | Women on the threshold of marriage | 300 | 24.8 |
| 31 | Abbasi-Shavazi (35) | Sannandaj | 2013           | Ever married women | 534 | 37 |
| 32 | Erfani (36) | Tehran          | 2009           | Married women | 1889 | - |
| 33 | Chamani (37) | Tehran         | 2016           | Married women | 300 | - |
| 34 | Rajabi (38) | Shiraz           | 2013           | Married women | 406 | 35.15 |
| 35 | Sadeghi (39) | Tehran          | 2016           | Mothers | 360 | - |
| 36 | Hosseini (40) | Kamean           | 2016           | Kurdish women in rural areas | 500 | 32.57 |
| 37 | Hosseini (41) | Hamedan         | 2014           | Married women | 273 | 31.2 |
| 38 | Hosseini (42) | Mahabad         | 2013           | Kurdish women | 700 | - |
| 39 | Mohammadi (43) | Kerman         | 2016           | Employed women | 342 | 32.26 |
| 40 | Mobasher (44) | Shahre kord    | 2013           | Single child and without child women | 180 | 28.11 |
| 41 | Sargolaie (45) | Zahedan       | 2015           | Married women | 160 | 26.6 |
| 42 | Asadi Sarvestani (46) | Shiraz | 2017           | Married women | 626 | - |
| 43 | Khalaj Abadi Farahni (11) | Tehran | 2013           | Single child women | 428 | 25.2 |
| 44 | Tavosi (47) | Tehran          | 2016           | Married women | 600 | - |
| 45 | Piltan (48) | Jahrom           | 2016           | Women 25-45 aged | 176 | 33.2 |
be calculated according to the following formula:

\[ I^2 = 100\% \times \frac{Q - df}{Q} \]

where \( I^2 \) is the Cochrane statistic.

Another objective of this meta-analysis was to explore the correlation between social economic factors and the ideal fertility in studies in Iran. The degree of correlation between ideal fertility and variables was calculated using Spearman correlation coefficient, Pearson’s correlation coefficient, and \( r \). If the article did not report the value of the correlation coefficient \( r \) directly, \( r \) value was calculated based on some formulae as follows (13, 22):

\[ r = \sqrt{I^2/df} \]

Then, the data including the correlation coefficient, sample size, and impact direction were entered the software. The effect of the independent variable was accepted if the \( P \)-value was significant. Cohen presented the rules for interpreting these effect sizes, which shows that an \( r \) of 0.1, 0.3, and 0.5 demonstrate small, medium, and large effect sizes, respectively (13).

**Results**

In this research, the mean ideal fertility in Iran was analyzed based on statistical procedures. In total, 42 studies were entered the software. According to the results (Figure 2), the mean ideal number of children in Iran is 2.2533 (95% CI = 2.1181 to 2.3885).

The result of the Shapiro-Wilk test for normal distribution showed that \( P \) value is more than 0.05, (W = 0.9546, \( P = 0.0948 \)) and thus the hypothesis is accepted regarding the normality of the distribution of the observations in the sample.

In addition, the pooled mean ideal fertility by the random effect is 2.253 (95% CI: 2.233 to 2.274). The results of the Cochran test and \( I^2 \) statistics represented significant heterogeneity for the prevalence of the ideal number of children (Q = 1722.0911, df = 41, \( P < 0.0001 \), and \( I^2 = 97.62\% \)). As shown in Figure 3, among the included studies, the highest value for ideal fertility belongs to the study by Abbasi Shavazi et al (9) with 3.4 children in Sistan and Baluchestan while the lowest value (1.32) is related to the study by Mohammadi and Seifori (43) conducted among employed women in Kerman.

In addition to the estimation of the mean ideal number of children, this meta-analysis was implemented to estimate a fairly accurate level of childlessness, one child, two children, and three children and more as the ideal number of children in Iran. In this session, 34 studies with a 32,300 sample size were entered the software. The results are shown in Table 3 and Figures 4-7. The results of Cochran’s Q test and \( I^2 \) statistics demonstrated considerable heterogeneity among the included studies for childlessness (Q = 1000.5125, \( P < 0.001 \), and \( I^2 = 96.70\% \)), 1 child (Q = 2620.0202, \( P < 0.001 \), and \( I^2 = 98.74\% \)), 2 children (Q = 1749.7591, \( P < 0.001 \), and \( I^2 = 98.11\% \)), and 3 children and more (Q = 5016.5475, \( P < 0.001 \), and \( I^2 = 99.34\% \)).

According to the random effects model, the proportions of childlessness, one child, two children, and three children and more as the ideal number of children were 0.83, 15.99, 56.092, and 22.26, respectively. As shown, the highest prevalence of childlessness as ideal fertility was reported by Asadi Sarvestani et al (46) in Shiraz (15.81%) and Mohammadi and Seifori (43) in Kerman (11.1%), respectively.

In addition, the highest prevalence of one child as ideal fertility was reported by Saei Gharenaz et al. (30) in Tehran (45.90%) and Mohammadi and Seifori (43) in Kerman (45.90%). Most of the studies showed a considerable gap between actual fertility and ideal fertility in Iran. For example, according to the study of Kazemipour (10) conducted under the auspices of the Statistical Centre of Iran, the mean actual number of children among married
women aged 15–49 was 1.7, but the mean ideal number of children was 2.8 children. Despite the differences in the actual fertility level in various regions of the country, the predominant pattern in the number of desired children is two children.

Table 2 presents the results for the subgroup analysis based on the correlation coefficient value between ideal fertility and explanatory variables. Any study showing the correlation coefficients between ideal fertility and the other variable was eligible. The criterion for testing the hypotheses in the meta-analysis was their repetition in at least five studies, therefore, hypotheses with less than five repetitions were deleted and the rest entered the software (49,50).

To enter the data in the software, all the statistics were first converted to the correlation coefficient through the corresponding formulas due to the variety of used methods and the variety of statistics. Then, the transformed statistical scores were pooled and back-transformed to the pooled correlation coefficients.

The correlations of ideal fertility with the education level and residence were frequently studied (n = 10; pooled correlation coefficient = -0.131 & 0.130). The results of the heterogeneity test for education, the value of children, gender preference, age, income, and the place of residence were significant. Therefore, the random model was preferred over the fixed model. The fixed model is preferable considering the value of the actual number of children, the employment status, and the economic costs of the children. According to the P-value of the model, the influence of other independent variables on the ideal number of the children is accepted except for the residence place. Based on the level of pooled correlation coefficients, the age, actual fertility, and economic cost may be among the most important predictor variables as the ideal fertility and the effect size of age on the mean ideal fertility is moderate. The effect size of other variables is at a low level.
The proportions of childlessness, one child, two children, and three children and more as the ideal number of children were 0.83, 15.99, 56.092, and 22.26, respectively. Despite the differences in the actual fertility level in various regions of the country, the predominant pattern in the number of desired children was two children. These results imply a convergence in fertility ideals in Iran. It is also worth noting that even those with many children considered two children as the ideal number of children for a family. These facts indicate the diffusion of low fertility values and norms and convergence in the fertility behavior and ideals of Iranian women. This result is consistent with the findings of Abbasi Shavazi (9), which demonstrated the convergence of fertility behaviors and ideals toward two children in Iran.

The results of this meta-analysis showed that the highest value of mean ideal fertility belongs to the study by Abbasi-Shavazi et al (9) with 3.4 children in Sistan and Baluchestan. According to this study, only 40 to 45% of each marriage cohort considered two children as ideal while 40% believed that 4 children or more would be ideal for a couple. Even for the most recent marriage cohort, fertility preferences in Sistan and Baluchestan remain considerably higher than the other three provinces (Gilan, Yazd and West Azarbaijan), suggesting that the higher fertility in that province is likely to remain evident for some time.

The mean effect size indicated the moderate effect size of age on the mean ideal fertility. Although in the most recent birth cohort, fertility ideal was considerably lower than the other cohort, norms against large families (four children and more) were reinforced in all birth cohorts. The results of the present study are in line with the findings obtained by the following studies.

For instance, Hosseini and Abbasi Shavazi (19) concluded that the two-child norm and declining tendencies toward big families are in accord with the assessment of the benefit and cost associated with having children.

Furthermore, based on the studies conducted by Razeghi Nasrabad and Saraei (51), the older cohort who themselves had very high fertility also supported the small size of the family. This confirms that there was an extensive ideational change in the small family size norms.

The results revealed that only 0.8% of Iranian women considered childlessness as an ideal reproductive dimension. These findings also corroborate with those of other studies. Razeghi Nasrabad et al (52) used data from 2011 Iran Demographic and Health Survey, as well as the 1996 and 2011 censuses and estimated the level
of childlessness in Iran and found that voluntary and involuntary childlessness were 10.1 and 3.6%, respectively in 2011. However, their results demonstrated that Iranian women are progressively likely to plan children and 0.3% of them had no intention for childbearing in the future.

The proportion of one child as the fertility ideal was 15.99. This is relatively high and its trend is also increasing and may have implications for the future of fertility in Iran. Khalajabadi Farahani (11) investigated the intention for one child and its determinants among men and women in Tehran. The results showed that the intention for a single child among men was 1.79 times more than women. Concurrently, the intention for a single child significantly increased among both men and women with an increase in the level of education, individualism, and the lack of religious adherence. Among men, unemployment increases the intention for a single child more than seven times. Razeghi Nasrabad et al (58) reported that the one-child survival of the couples is the result of delayed marriage and childbearing, avoiding dangers and social harm, feeling the lack of family and social support, the difficulty of balancing work and family, as well as the attitudinal changes in the values and goals of childbearing.

Moreover, pooled correlation coefficients showed that the economic cost of children is an important predictor variable for the ideal fertility. In eight studies, the ideal fertility was linked to a high economic cost of children, unfavorable economic conditions, along with job and economic insecurity (12,35,58).

The correlations between fertility ideal and education level were studied frequently. In several studies, improvement in women's educational attainment was known as an effective factor in low fertility ideal in Iran. In addition, 11 studies reported the value of correlation coefficient r directly or indirectly. Additionally, the pooled correlation coefficient confirmed this correlation and the effect size was at a low level.

In nine studies, the ideal fertility was associated with women's employment and women's aspiration for paid work (23,33,46). Pooled correlation coefficients between women's employment and ideal fertility were confirmed as well. This can be greatly related to the high conflict between work and family (23,54).

In summary, from the review literature in Iran, the main causes of low fertility ideal can be deduced to factors such as improvement in women's educational attainment (19), women's aspiration for paid work (43), the high economic cost of children, unfavorable economic conditions, job and economic insecurity (38), the conflict between work and family (23,58), and less normative pressure for having more children (12,36,40).

These are serious obstacles for policymakers who pursue policies for increasing population growth. On the other hand, the high prevalence of marriage, little preference toward zero parity, religious and cultural norms, and the emotional value of children are the characteristics of the Iranian family. Fertility is maintained at the replacement level if desirable conditions for childbearing are provided for young couples. The pooled mean ideal fertility by random effect was also 2.25.

Although most Iranian couples want two children, a significant percentage of couples are single-child or childless. According to previous research (52,59), an increase in childlessness and one-child family in Iran is partly due to tempo effects related to delaying marriage and childbearing.

Delaying childbearing can be risky. More precisely, fecundity decreases with age (53,54). The limitation of biological time to fertility or the "biological clock" is one of the main constraints of women in this regard. According to Morgan and Hagewen (59) and Schröder-Butterfill and Kreager (60), among women who used no contraception, the percent of women who remain childless varies by age at marriage and childbearing, namely, 2%, 10%, 15%, 60%, and 100% for women married at the age of 15-19, 25-29, 30-34, 40-44, and 45-49, respectively. However, women’s knowledge regarding fertility, infertility risk factors, and the consequences of the postponement of childbearing may be low (55-57). Thus, it is important that medical professionals educate young couples and work to raise their awareness about the effects of aging on fertility and pregnancy, along with the biological clock for childbearing and reproductive outcomes in later life since these factors are essential for improving the reproductive health of women.

This meta-analysis study failed to include numerous studies that reported no correlation coefficients or could not be calculated based on some statistics. This might have had some effects on the results of our study.
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