Retention behavior of transition metals on a bifunctional ion-exchange column with oxalic acid as eluent
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Abstract

The common eluents used with a bifunctional ion-exchange column (IonPac CS5A) for separating transition metals are pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid and oxalic acid (Ox). When Ox is used, cadmium and manganese co-elute. Although much research has been done to overcome the Cd\(^{2+}\)–Mn\(^{2+}\) co-elution problem, the role of lithium hydroxide in separating the transition metals has received little attention. In this study, it is found that when the Ox concentration is higher than 35 mM, Cu\(^{2+}\) elutes after Pb\(^{2+}\) and Ox plays a predominant role in the retention behavior of the seven metals. When Ox concentration is lower than 35 mM especially when its concentration (25 mM) is half of the usually used standard concentration (50 mM), Cu\(^{2+}\) elutes before Pb\(^{2+}\), and at the same time, Mn\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) can also be baseline separated. Lithium hydroxide plays a predominant role in the separation of the metals separated by cation exchange. So, lithium hydroxide is used to adjust the pH of the eluent. The use of an isocratic elution (25 mM Ox/LiOH/2 mM Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), pH 3.88) allows the separation of seven metals (Cu\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\)) in a single run. The effects of inorganic modifiers such as NaNO\(_3\), Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and Na\(_3\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\) on retention behavior of the metals are also investigated. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

At present, a bifunctional ion-exchange column (IonPac CS5A) is the most effective analytical column for separating transition metals. The common eluents advised by the column manufacturer are pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid (PDCA) and oxalic acid (Ox). “Optimum” eluents are also recommended along with the column. However, these “optimum” conditions are indeed very good for the standard ions set for which the conditions were developed, they are not appropriate if samples contain more or less ions [1]. For example, cadmium and manganese co-elute under the optimum Ox eluent conditions (80 mM Ox–100 mM tetramethylammonium hydroxide–50 mM potassium hydroxide, pH 4.7±0.1 [2] or 50 mM Ox–95 mM lithium hydroxide [3]). The elution order is Pb\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\). Therefore, some research dealing with the Cd\(^{2+}\)–Mn\(^{2+}\) co-elution problem has been done and the retention behavior is discussed accordingly [4–6].

Cardellicchio et al. [4] used gradient elution (from 28 mM Ox–45 mM sodium chloride–116 mM sodium nitrate–40 mM hydrochloric acid to 28 mM
nitrate±40 mM sodium nitrate–40 mM hydrochloric acid in 15 min) to obtain a satisfactory separation of nine metals in a single run. The elution order was Cu$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$. Chloride is a weak ligand compared with oxalate and thus has little effect on the chromatography, but it plays a key role in the elution of iron, due probably to a mixed oxalate–chloride complexation of iron species. In general, Pb$^{2+}$ is separated by cation exchange due to its weak complex with Ox. However, in this study, the retention of Pb$^{2+}$ was thought to be primarily anion exchange, and the complex, Pb(Ox)$^{2-}$ behaved as a polarizable anionic complex. For metals which forms stable complexes with Ox, mainly Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$, anion exchange dominates with elution order being inversely related to the stability constant. Lu et al. [5] described two kinds of gradient elution system. One allowed the same nine metals to be well separated in the same order in a single run (from 19.6 mM Ox–31.5 mM sodium chloride–80.5 mM sodium nitrate–28 mM hydrochloric acid to 28 mM Ox–45 mM sodium chloride–265 mM sodium nitrate–40 mM hydrochloric acid in 23 min); the separation selectivity was superior to that of Ref. [4]. The other allowed for the simultaneous separation and determination of seven transition metals (from 15 mM Ox–75 mM sodium chloride to 20 mM Ox–200 mM sodium chloride in 30 min). The elution order was Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$. Chloride ions could form complexes with some metals. Since all these metals had different conditional formation constants and distribution of species, they could be well separated by cation- and anion exchange components. Further detailed discussions were not given.

Shawi and Dahl [6] exploited a gradient concentration of Ox and sodium chloride (12.5 mM Ox and 150 mM) to achieve the separation of seven transition metals in 15 min. The elution order was Cu$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$. They also found that the retention time was proportional mainly to the chloride ion concentration in the eluent, and thus chloride ions were introduced to improve the selectivity of the column. Increasing the chloride ion concentration caused a sharp decrease in the retention time of all seven cations. Cu$^{2+}$, however, was eluted near the solvent front and variation of chloride concentration did not affect its retention time significantly, which can be explained by the strong complexes formed between Cu$^{2+}$ and chloride ions. In contrast, increasing the Ox concentration while keeping the chloride ion concentration constant did not affect retention time. A gradient elution (from 35 mM Ox–0.15 M NaCl to 5 mM Ox–0.45 M NaCl in 15 min) was also required to elute the retained Fe$^{3+}$ on the column by decreasing the Ox concentration and increasing the chloride ion concentration.

The above-mentioned methods all added auxiliary ligand–chloride ions to the Ox eluent to help elute the transition metals and at the same time to solve the Cd$^{2+}$–Mn$^{2+}$ co-elution problem. In addition, it is obvious that decreasing the Ox concentration and increasing the chloride ion concentration accordingly is very advantageous for the separation of transition metals. When the Ox concentration is reduced to a certain extent, the auxiliary complexing effect of the chloride ions plays a key role in separating transition metals and solving the Cd$^{2+}$–Mn$^{2+}$ co-elution problem. Since the composition of the eluent provide a great flexibility for manipulating an analyte retention in order to achieve the desired separation [7] and the above-mentioned methods all concentrated on this aspect, So, there may be another simple way to solve the Cd$^{2+}$–Mn$^{2+}$ co-elution problem.

Based on our previous work in this laboratory [5,8–10], our present work still uses Ox as the eluent and we try to solve the Cd$^{2+}$–Mn$^{2+}$ co-elution problem in an isocratic elution when the weak ligand–chloride ions are not added to the eluent. Another purpose of the present work is to present a more detailed study of the key parameters affecting the retention behavior of transition metals with the chromatographic system chosen in a mixed bed exchanger (IonPac CS5A). It is found that when the Ox concentration (25 mM) is half of the usually used standard concentration (50 mM), lithium hydroxide plays a key role in separating the transition metals. The use of an isocratic elution (25 mM Ox–LiOH–2 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$, pH 3.88) allows for the good separation of seven transition metals in a single run. The elution order is Cu$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$. Several inorganic modifiers such as NaNO$_3$, Na$_2$SO$_4$ and Na$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ are used to evaluate the retention behavior of transition metals and then
the interesting phenomena will be explained from these results.

2. Experiment

2.1. Instrumentation

Chromatographic analysis is performed on a metal-free Dionex-4000i ion chromatography (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) system, which includes one advanced gradient pump (AGP), one pneumatic controller for post-column reagent addition (RDM), one eluent degas module (EDM) and a VDM-2 variable-wavelength absorbance detector at 565 nm. A Dionex IonPac CG5A guard column (50×4 mm I.D.) and an IonPac CS5A analytical column (250×4 mm I.D., 9 μm bead diameter, ethylvinylbenzene-functionalized with both quaternary ammonium and sulfonate functional groups) are used to separate the transition metals. A 118 μl injection loop is used. The experiment is made at room temperature (26±2°C).

Data collection and the operation of all components in the system are controlled by Dionex AI-450 chromatographic software interfaced via an ACI-2 advanced computer interface to a 80486 based computer.

2.2. Reagents and standards

All reagents are analytical grade unless specified otherwise. All solutions are prepared with deionized water throughout. Lithium hydroxide monohydrate, oxalic acid, sodium hydroxide, sodium sulfate anhydrous, aminoacetic acid, 2-(5-bromo-2-pyridyl)-azo-5-diethylaminophenol (5-Br-PADAP), ethanol (Peking Chemical works, Peking, China), sodium chloride (Peking Shuanghuan Reagent Factory, Peking, China), sodium nitrate, (Peking Hongxing Chemical Works, Peking, China), sodium pyrophosphate (Peking Xingjin Chemical works, Peking, China), Triton X-100 (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany).

Post-column reagent: 0.3 mM 5-Br-PADAP–0.95% (w/v) Triton X-100–0.066 M aminoacetic acid–0.067 M sodium hydroxide–0.12 M NaCl (pH 12). Post-column reagent flow-rate: 0.45 ml/min.

Working standard solutions are prepared by serial dilution of stock standard solutions of each metal containing 1000 mg l⁻¹ (National Research Center for Certified Reference Materials, Peking, China). All standards and samples are stored in acid washed (10% nitric acid) polyethylene bottles.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effect of Ox concentration on retention behavior of transition metals

Ox concentration controls the column selectivity and contributes to peak efficiency. So, first of all, the dependence of retention behavior of transition metals on Ox concentration at constant pH (3.84, adjusted by LiOH) and inorganic modifier concentration (3 mM NaNO₃) is examined. It was found that reducing the concentration of Ox is favorable for the separation of transition metals, especially Cd²⁺ and Mn²⁺ can be baseline separated when Ox concentration is lower than 28 mM. However, since the present work will not use the auxiliary ligand–chloride ions, Ox concentration cannot be too low to elute the transition metals. When the Ox concentration is reduced to 21 mM, the eluent power of Ox becomes so weak that Ni²⁺ cannot be eluted from the column. The increase of Ox concentration up to 30 mM would worsen the resolution. So, 25 mM, which happens to be half of the recommended standard concentration (50 mM), is chosen as the optimum Ox concentration.

Ox is a moderate complexing reagent. In general, complexing of metal ion by oxalate can be considered as a two-step reaction [11]:

\[ \text{M}^{2+} + \text{Ox}^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \text{MOx} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ \text{MOx} + \text{Ox}^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \text{MOx}_2^{2-} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

If oxalate is in considerable excess over the metal ion concentration, the species MOx₂⁻ will be favored and the equilibrium may be written:

\[ \text{M}^{2+} + 2\text{Ox}^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \text{MOx}_2^{2-} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Then, the retention behavior mainly depends on the total complexation constant for reaction (3). Pb²⁺,
Cd\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) form relatively weak complexes with oxalate (\(\log \beta_{\text{Cd-Ox}} = 5.8, \log \beta_{\text{Mn-Ox}} = 4.4\) [5]) and, as a general rule, are separated by cation exchange. Cu\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\) form relatively stable complexes with oxalate (\(\log \beta_{\text{Cu-Ox}} = 9.2, \log \beta_{\text{Co-Ox}} = 5.6, \log \beta_{\text{Zn-Ox}} = 6.4, \log \beta_{\text{Ni-Ox}} = 7.6\) [6]) and are separated by anion exchange.

3.2. Effect of inorganic modifier on capacity factor of transition metals

Now that Ox concentration was reduced to 25 mM, some inorganic anions that did not have complexing abilities should be used to help elute the transition metals separated by anion exchange. In order to study the retention behavior of transition metals on IonPac CS5A column, NO\(_3\)\(^-\), SO\(_4\)\(^2-\) with no complexing ability and P\(_2\)O\(_5\)\(^4-\) with complexing ability were added to the eluent, respectively.

As can be seen from Fig. 1a–c, increasing all the three inorganic modifiers’ concentrations caused a sharp decrease in the capacity factor of the seven metals, which illustrated that the addition of inorganic modifiers increases the Ox eluent power. Cu\(^{2+}\), however, was eluted first and variation of the above inorganic modifier’s concentration did not affect its retention time significantly, which can be explained by the strong complexes formed between Cu\(^{2+}\) and Ox [Cu (Ox)\(_2\)]\(^{2-}\). NO\(_3\) as monovalent eluting anion is the weakest to elute the anionic complexes. The optimum concentration of NO\(_3\) was 3 mM as showed in Fig. 1a. SO\(_4\)\(^2-\), as divalent eluting anion, is stronger than NO\(_3\) to elute the anionic complexes. The optimum concentration of SO\(_4\)\(^2-\) was 2 mM as illustrated in Fig. 1b. What is different with NO\(_3\) and SO\(_4\)\(^2-\) is that P\(_2\)O\(_5\)\(^4-\) has a complexing ability with transition metals. When the pH of the eluent is 3.80, the mainly existed form is H\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_5\)\(^-\), for H\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_5\), has four prototropic dissociations: \(pK_{a1} = 1.52, pK_{a2} = 2.36, pK_{a3} = 6.6\) and \(pK_{a4} = 9.25\). Pb\(^{2+}\) can form stable complex with P\(_2\)O\(_5\)\(^4-\) (\(\beta = 10.15\)) when pH is high enough, which will prevent Pb\(^{2+}\) from complexing with the post-column reagent—5-Br-PADAP, and thus its detection sensitivity will reduced. So, the concentration of Na\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_5\) in the eluent should not be too high. The optimum concentration is 1 mM. 

NaNO\(_3\), Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and Na\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_5\) in the eluent could reduce the tailing of the Pb\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\) peaks and improve the peak shapes. However, Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) was found to be the best. All the above three kinds of eluent system can well separate the seven transition metals in an isocratic elution under each optimum eluent conditions as illustrated in Fig. 2a, b and c, respectively. In consideration of peak shape, run time and detection sensitivity, the 25 mM Ox–2 mM Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)–LiOH (pH 3.88) eluent system was found to be the optimum and was chosen for the following experiments.

Since Fe\(^{3+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) often existed in the eluent and could not be eluted from the column due to their
Na₂₃PO₄ were added to the eluent while 25 mM Ox and pH 3.90 (adjusted with LiOH) kept constant. It was found that the retention times of Cu²⁺ and Pb²⁺ did not vary significantly which further illustrated that Pb²⁺ was separated by cation exchange while Cu²⁺ was separated by anion exchange due to the strong complex formed between Cu²⁺ and Ox.

3.3. Effect of lithium hydroxide on capacity factor of transition metals

Among the alkali metals, Li⁺ is the weakest ion to retain on the cation exchange resin with low capacity. When it is used as the eluting ion, the capacity of the transition metals can get the highest. So, the effect of lithium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide on retention behavior of transition metals should be different when transition metals are separated by cation exchange. From Section 3.2, Pb²⁺ is known to be separated by cation exchange while Cu²⁺ is separated by anion exchange and the Cu²⁺ peak elutes before the Pb²⁺ peak. Fig. 3a shows an interesting phenomenon: when the Ox concentration is lower than 35 mM, Cu²⁺ will elute before Pb²⁺; when the Ox concentration exceeds 35 mM, Cu²⁺ will elute after Pb²⁺. However, this phenomenon is not observed when sodium hydroxide is used to adjust the pH of the eluent as shown in Fig. 3b. It is obvious that both Ox concentration and Li⁺ ions play a key role in the separation of Cu²⁺ and Pb²⁺ especially in the elution order of Cu²⁺ and Pb²⁺. Ox plays a predominant role in the retention behavior of the seven metals when its concentration is higher
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Fig. 2. Standard chromatograms of seven transition metals under three different kinds of optimum eluent system. (a) 25 mM Ox−3 mM NaNO₃−LiOH, pH 3.96. (b) 25 mM Ox−2 mM Na₂SO₄−LiOH, pH 3.88. (c) 25 mM Ox−1 mM Na₂₃PO₄−LiOH, pH 3.80. Peaks: 1=unknown, 2=Cu²⁺ (0.1 mg/l), 3=Pb²⁺ (2 mg/l), 4=Co²⁺ (0.2 mg/l), 5=Mn²⁺ (0.3 mg/l), 6=Cd²⁺ (0.5 mg/l), 7=Zn²⁺ (0.2 mg/l), 8=Ni²⁺ (2 mg/l). The other chromatographic conditions are the same as in Fig. 1a.
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Fig. 3. Effect of Ox concentration on capacity factors of Cu²⁺ and Pb²⁺. 2 mM Na₃PO₄ and pH 3.88 remain unchanged. (a) LiOH is used to adjust the pH of the eluent. (b) NaOH is used to adjust the pH of the eluent.
than 35 mM, however, it has been demonstrated in Section 3.1 that increasing the Ox concentration could worsen the separation of Cu$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$; Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$. When Ox concentration is lower than 35 mM especially when its concentration is 25 mM, things would be different. Li$^+$ ions play a predominant role in the retention behavior of the metals separated by cation exchange. So, the retention behavior of Pb$^{2+}$ can be significantly influenced while the retention behavior of Cu$^{2+}$ (separated by anion exchange) was not influenced at all as showed in Fig. 3a and b, respectively. As a result, Cu$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ can be well separated with the preferred elution order by using LiOH to adjust the eluent pH.

Our previous work [9] has tested that under the PDCA eluent conditions, Pb$^{2+}$ elutes first and is close to the negative peak of water, which limits the volume of a loop used in real sample analysis. The use of a large loop can lower the detection limits. Unfortunately, when the loop exceeded 200 μl, only the Pb$^{2+}$ peak fell into the large negative peak of water, and thus influencing the quantitative analysis of Pb$^{2+}$. However, Pb$^{2+}$ is of considerable concern in our daily life and its accurate determination is important from an ecotoxicological point of view. So, Pb$^{2+}$ being eluted in a short time and being far away from the negative peak of water is always desired for the quantitative analysis of Pb$^{2+}$. The present established optimum eluent system (25 mM Ox–2 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$–LiOH (pH 3.88)) could well meet this demand.

3.4. Effect of eluent pH on capacity factors of transition metals

Because weak organic acids are effective chelating agents only when ionized, eluent pH has a dramatic effect on the separation and retention of the metals. Ox has two dissociation constants: $pK_{a1} = 1.0$ and $pK_{a2} = 3.8$, respectively. The eluent pH must exceed 3.8 to ensure the formation of metal–oxalate, which could be retained on the anion exchange site on the IonPac CS5A column. With an increase in pH, the eluting ability of Ox increases and thus results in a decrease of the capacity factors. However, Cu$^{2+}$–Pb$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$–Mn$^{2+}$ will not be baseline separated. As Fig. 4 shows, the best separation of the metals can be achieved using an eluent of pH 3.88. The separation under the optimum conditions is shown in Fig. 2b.

4. Conclusions

Ox eluent can offer good selectivity and resolution. Different additives to the eluent can make different interactions between the eluent ions and the stationary phase, and thus result in different elution orders of transition metals. The Cd$^{2+}$–Mn$^{2+}$ co-elution problem is solved accordingly. The retention behavior of transition metals on IonPac CS5A column is greatly dependent on the eluent composition. Under the present newly established eluent system—25 mM Ox–2 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$–LiOH (pH 3.88), the Cd$^{2+}$–Mn$^{2+}$ co-elution problem is overcome.
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