HAUSP, a novel deubiquitinase for Rb – MDM2 the critical regulator
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Tumor suppressor retinoblastoma-associated protein (Rb) is an important cell cycle regulator, arresting cells in early G1. It is commonly inactivated in cancers and its level is maintained during the cell cycle. Rb is regulated by various post-translational modifications such as phosphorylation, acetylation, ubiquitination and so on. Several E3 ligases including murine double minute 2 (MDM2) promote the degradation of Rb. This study focuses on the role of HAUSP (herpes virus associated ubiquitin specific protease) on Rb. Here, we show that HAUSP colocalizes and interacts with Rb to stabilize it from proteasomal degradation by removing wild-type and K48-linked ubiquitin chains in human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells. HAUSP deubiquitinites Rb in vivo and in vitro, leading to an increased cell population in the G1 phase. Hence, HAUSP is a novel deubiquitinase for Rb. Immunohistochemistry, western blotting and cell-based assays show that HAUSP is overexpressed in glioma and contributes towards glioma progression. However, HAUSP activity on Rb is abrogated in glioma (cancer), where these two proteins show an inverse relationship. MDM2 (a known substrate of HAUSP) serves as a better target for HAUSP-mediated deubiquitination in cancer cells, facilitating degradation of Rb and oncogenic progression. This novel regulatory axis is proteasome mediated, p53 independent, and the level of MDM2 is critical. The shift in equilibrium by differential deubiquitination in regulation of Rb explains a subtle difference existing between normal and cancer cells. This leads to speculation about a new possibility for distinguishing cancer cells from normal cells at the molecular level, which may be investigated for therapeutic intervention in the future.

Structured digital abstract
- HAUSP and Rb colocalize by fluorescence microscopy (View interaction)
- HAUSP binds to Rb by pull down (View interaction)
- HAUSP physically interacts with Rb by anti bait cop (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)
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Introduction

Retinoblastoma-associated protein (Rb) was the first reported tumor suppressor [1] and is a nuclear phosphoprotein [2]. It restricts the cell cycle in normal cells and its function is often impaired in several cancers. Rb is a multifunctional protein exhibiting distinct roles in growth suppression, differentiation and apoptosis [3,4]. Rb is conserved in flies and mammals and knockout mice show embryonic lethality, emphasizing its role in development and cancer [5]. Inactivation of the Rb pathway by deletion or mutation in the RB gene or deregulation of its upstream regulators – cyclin D1, cdk4 or p16 in cancer cells – partially accounts for their capacity for uncontrolled growth [6]. Rb expression is maintained throughout the cell cycle and the variation in its activity is mainly due to changes in its phosphorylation state [7]. Under genotoxic stress, p38 mitogen activated protein kinase (p38-MAPK) preferentially phosphorylates Rb in a cell cycle independent manner, facilitating Rb–murine double minute 2 (MDM2) interaction and subsequent Rb degradation [8]. Apart from phosphorylation, Rb activity is modulated by a number of other post-translational modifications such as acetylation [9], methylation [10], sumoylation [11] and ubiquitination [12].

The process of ubiquitination is extremely dynamic and regulated. A new class of proteins, the deubiquitinating enzymes, can reverse the action of E3 ligases by specifically removing the ubiquitin (Ub) tags from proteins. The importance of these enzymes lies in the fact that these are critical factors, maintaining the overall cellular signaling [13]. Rb degradation is associated with E3 ligases such as human papilloma virus E7, Epstein–Barr virus nuclear antigen 3C, human cytomegalovirus pp71, hepatocellular carcinoma associated protein gankyrin, human T-lymphotropic virus I Tax and MDM2 [12]. MDM2 degrades Rb via both Ub-dependent as well as Ub-independent mechanisms. In addition to stabilization of MDM2 by HAUSP, it might be possible that HAUSP reverses Rb ubiquitination by MDM2 in normal cells but is overwhelmed by abundant MDM2 in the case of tumor tissues or cancer cells. Clinical reports suggest deregulated Rb pathways in glioma: Rb deletions in low-grade gliomas (including oligodendroglioma and ependymoma), Rb1 mutation in high-grade astrocytomas (~ 25%), loss of heterozygosity in Rb1 in malignant glioma (54%) [21,22], amplification of CDK4 (134%) and CDK6 (2%) [23] or p16 loss-of-function [24] in ~ 15% high-grade gliomas. These indicate Rb inactivation to be an early genetic event responsible for the development and progression of glioma [25,26] and also that inactivation of the Rb pathway is essential for glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), although it may not serve as the sole strategy to block cell cycle and proliferation. MDM2 is also known to be amplified and overexpressed (both gene and protein) in GBM [27] and is associated with short-term survival of patients [28]. Certain MDM2 splice variants are found in some GBM cases [29]. Here, we show that HAUSP is upregulated in glioma and its regulation of Rb is MDM2 dependent. This indicates the tumorigenic potential of HAUSP, which is partially fulfilled by decrease in Rb levels in cancer cells due to stabilization of MDM2. This may be yet another mechanism for Rb loss-of-function in the context of glioma.

Results

HAUSP stabilizes Rb protein levels

To find the role of HAUSP in Rb stabilization, HAUSP was exogenously expressed in HEK293 cells. Upon HAUSP overexpression, there was an increase in the Rb protein level (Fig. 1A). Similar data were also found in the normal monkey kidney cell line COS-7 (Fig. 1B). As Rb is a member of the pocket protein family with the other two members, p107 and p130 [30], we analyzed whether HAUSP had any effect on the other Rb family proteins. Under similar conditions, we examined the levels of p107 and p130 and biological processes ranging from genome stability, epigenetic regulation, cell cycle and apoptosis to viral infection, immunity and even stem cell maintenance and hence emerges as a very important candidate with implications in cancer and other pathologies [20]. In this study, we report for the first time that HAUSP stabilizes Rb in human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells by deubiquitination, but this activity is abrogated in glioma cells. MDM2 directs Rb degradation via Ub-dependent as well as Ub-independent mechanisms. In addition to stabilization of MDM2 by HAUSP, it might be possible that HAUSP reverses Rb ubiquitination by MDM2 in normal cells but is overwhelmed by abundant MDM2 in the case of tumor tissues or cancer cells. Clinical reports suggest deregulated Rb pathways in glioma: Rb deletions in low-grade gliomas (including oligodendroglioma and ependymoma), Rb1 mutation in high-grade astrocytomas (~ 25%), loss of heterozygosity in Rb1 in malignant glioma (54%) [21,22], amplification of CDK4 (134%) and CDK6 (2%) [23] or p16 loss-of-function [24] in ~ 15% high-grade gliomas. These indicate Rb inactivation to be an early genetic event responsible for the development and progression of glioma [25,26] and also that inactivation of the Rb pathway is essential for glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), although it may not serve as the sole strategy to block cell cycle and proliferation. MDM2 is also known to be amplified and overexpressed (both gene and protein) in GBM [27] and is associated with short-term survival of patients [28]. Certain MDM2 splice variants are found in some GBM cases [29]. Here, we show that HAUSP is upregulated in glioma and its regulation of Rb is MDM2 dependent. This indicates the tumorigenic potential of HAUSP, which is partially fulfilled by decrease in Rb levels in cancer cells due to stabilization of MDM2. This may be yet another mechanism for Rb loss-of-function in the context of glioma.
their levels were found to remain unaltered (Fig. 1A). Additionally, there was no significant change found in Rb transcript levels (Fig. 1C).

Partial knockdown of HAUSP using specific small interfering RNA (siRNA) (Fig. 1D) or small hairpin RNA (shRNA) (Fig. 1E,F) resulted in decreased Rb protein levels. Again, upon gene knockdown, no significant change was observed in the Rb transcript level (Fig. 1G). To verify whether the effect of HAUSP knockdown was specific, we performed a rescue experiment by overexpressing HAUSP in the knockdown background. It was found that Rb expression was significantly rescued (Fig. 1H) proving the effect to be specific with respect to HAUSP activity.

When protein synthesis was blocked using cycloheximide (CHX) in either the absence or presence of HAUSP for the indicated time points, it was found that HAUSP stabilized Rb (Fig. 1I). The assessment of Rb turnover showed that HAUSP indeed increased the Rb protein half-life (Fig. 1J). Altogether these results clearly indicate that HAUSP stabilizes the Rb protein level.

HAUSP and Rb colocalize and physically interact with each other

As HAUSP is a deubiquitinating enzyme, we speculated that the stabilization of Rb could be an outcome of HAUSP-mediated deubiquitination for which interaction is necessary. First, we performed immunocytochemical (ICC) analysis to verify whether the two proteins colocalize within the cell or not. Confocal images from ICC in HEK293 cells show abundant yellow spots revealing that indeed HAUSP and Rb colocalize (Fig. 2A, upper panel). Again, similar data were found when ICC was done in COS-7 cells (Fig. 2A, lower panel).

Second, we analyzed the protein sequence of Rb which revealed a number of putative HAUSP binding motifs (P/AxxS) [31] (Fig. 2B) and, to examine whether the two proteins physically interact, immunoprecipitation (IP) assays were performed. HAUSP is a nuclear protein present in nuclear promyelocytic leukemia (PML) bodies [32] and Rb is also a nuclear protein; hence the IPs were done with the nuclear lysates obtained from HEK293 cells. IP with antibody against HAUSP showed endogenous Rb to co-immunoprecipitate while the reverse IP with antibody against Rb revealed that endogenous HAUSP was pulled down (Fig. 2C). Therefore, HAUSP and Rb were found to interact with each other in endogenous conditions.

Third, to further confirm interactions of these two proteins in an overexpressed system, HAUSP was transiently expressed in HEK293 cells and the lysate was subjected to IP using antibodies against both HAUSP and Rb; again, HAUSP and Rb were found to co-immunoprecipitate (Fig. 2D). A similar exogenous system was obtained by transient transfection of Rb in HEK293 cells and IP with antibody against HAUSP and reverse IP with antibody against Rb revealed similar results to those above (Fig. 2E). Therefore, exogenously expressed proteins were also capable of interacting with endogenous proteins.

In an in vitro set-up, purified His-tagged Rb was pulled down with purified GST-HAUSP but not with glutathione S-transferase (GST) (Fig. 2F, left panel, purified Rb; middle panel, purified GST and GST-HAUSP; right panel, in vitro interaction), indicating that the proteins interact in vitro (the GST purified protein is seen as multiple bands because of degradation due to its large size ~ 155 kDa). Hence, HAUSP and Rb colocalize and physically interact with each other.

HAUSP deubiquitinates Rb

The obvious question that arises at this point is whether this interaction leads to HAUSP-mediated Rb deubiquitination. To verify the phenomenon, HAUSP (wild-type, WT) or its catalytically inactive mutant C223S (CI) [33] were cotransfected with Ub-WT in HEK293 cells. Western blotting (WB) showed Rb deubiquitination in the presence of WT but not with CI HAUSP (Fig. 3A, WB, left panel; graphical representation, right panel). An in vivo deubiquitination assay in the presence of Ub-WT showed a distinct decrease in Ub laddering of Rb with WT but not CI HAUSP, proving HAUSP to be a deubiquitinase for Rb (Fig. 3B, left panel). This is the first report suggesting Rb to be a novel target for HAUSP-mediated deubiquitination.

As lysine 48 ubiquitin (K48) linkage is implicated in proteasome-mediated degradation [34], to evaluate the role of HAUSP in removing K48-linked Ub chains the above experiment was repeated with Ub-K48 (all the Lys residues except K48 are mutated to Arg) instead of Ub-WT. The results clearly showed that HAUSP could also remove K48-linked Ub chains, rescuing Rb from proteasomal degradation (Fig. 3B, middle panel). Both sets of data were represented graphically (Fig. 3B, right panel). Hence, deubiquitination by HAUSP accounts for the stabilization of Rb. An in vitro deubiquitination assay was next carried out in a cell-free system, where it was found that in the presence of purified GST-HAUSP poly-Ub Rb becomes deubiquitinated, while the presence of the inhibitor N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) inhibits...
HAUSP enzyme activity (Fig. 3C, WB, left panel; graphical representation, right panel).

The net effect of Rb stabilization by HAUSP was further assessed by a flow cytometric analysis (FACS) of the cell cycle profile. To emphasize the regulation of Rb specifically, Rb was overexpressed, which led to an increase in the G1 population as reported earlier [35].

Upon cotransfection with HAUSP, increase in the G1 population was much more prominent (Fig. 3D). The distribution of cells in the different phases of the cell cycle is represented in Fig. 3E and the statistical significance of the changes in G1 phase population is shown in Fig. 3F. Therefore, HAUSP-mediated deubiquitination of Rb leads to its stabilization manifested by an
increased cell population in the G1 phase of the cell cycle.

Role of HAUSP in glioma

The Rb pathway is generally inactivated in most gliomas as discussed earlier in the Introduction section. As HAUSP stabilizes Rb and increases its half-life in HEK293 cells, we sought to identify the role of HAUSP in regulating Rb in the context of glioma. For this purpose, we perturbed the HAUSP levels in glioma cells to investigate first the role of HAUSP in glioma. Two pairs of stable cell lines, overexpression and knockdown systems, were generated as described in Materials and methods. Interestingly, when we monitored the rate of cell proliferation by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay in the knockdown stable cell lines, the data revealed reduced proliferation upon HAUSP knockdown (sh-H2) (Fig. 4A). Supporting these data, similar results were obtained when a scratch assay was performed and the HAUSP knockdown cells again showed significantly slower wound healing capacity (Fig. 4B). In a colony formation assay, the number of colonies formed significantly increased in the constitutively HAUSP overexpressing cell line (Neo-H) (Fig. 4C), while HAUSP knockdown decreased the number of colonies formed (Fig. 4D). Neo-H showed larger sized colonies compared with Neo-V (Fig. 4E) and knockdown of HAUSP resulted in highly impaired growth (Fig. 4F). The average diameter of sh-H2 colonies was ~ 55 μm, which was five times smaller than the sh-Scr colonies with an average diameter of ~ 265 μm. The maximum diameter of sh-H2 colonies recorded was 200 μm. All the above data suggest an oncogenic role of HAUSP in glioma cell proliferation.

HAUSP and Rb status in glioma

Earlier reports suggest that there are profound changes in the HAUSP expression levels in various cancers [36] and that HAUSP acts both as a tumor suppressor and as an oncogenic protein in a context-specific manner by exerting its deubiquitinating activity on several proteins such as p53 [33], MDM2 [37], PTEN [38], FOXO4 [39] and so on. To validate the role of HAUSP in the proliferation and progression of glioma that we found in the glioma stable cell lines with the status in human patients, we procured formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sections from human glioma patients (n = 38) and analyzed them by immunohistochemical studies (IHC). Corroborating the earlier data, HAUSP was found to be overexpressed in glioma patient samples (Fig. 5A). But, if HAUSP expression is increased in glioma, what would be the Rb status? So, to study the expression of Rb, a subset of these patient samples (n = 15) was analyzed by IHC using Rb antibody. Also, its E3 ligase MDM2, which is mostly upregulated in glioma, was analyzed in the same set of samples. The results indicated that the overall Rb expression was low, while there was varied expression of MDM2, although the level of expression remained mostly high (Fig. 5B). Both results were found to be similar to previously established facts. The comparative analysis of the expression patterns of HAUSP, MDM2 and Rb was represented using the

---

**Fig. 1.** HAUSP stabilizes Rb. (A) GFP-HAUSP was overexpressed in HEK293 cells and Rb, p107 and p130 protein levels were determined by WB. Lamin B serves as the loading control (HAUSP, upper band in lane 2 indicates GFP-tagged HAUSP; Rb, two bands may represent differentially phosphorylated forms; p130, lowermost band at 130 kDa represents the specific form). (B) GFP-HAUSP was overexpressed in COS-7 cells and Rb protein levels were determined by WB. Actin serves as the loading control. (C) Rb transcript levels were quantified by real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) upon HAUSP overexpression. Data represent the mean ± SEM from three biological repeats in triplicate; P = 0.96 (ns). (D) WB was done to verify the effect of siRNA-mediated partial knockdown of HAUSP on Rb protein expression using specific siRNAs for 72 h. (E) WB was performed to verify the effect of shRNA-mediated HAUSP partial knockdown on Rb protein expression. Two rounds of transfections were performed and cells were harvested after 72 h. (F) WB was performed to verify HAUSP knockdown and the effect on Rb protein expression using two different shRNAs. The values have been estimated by densitometry and normalized against the loading control actin (left panel). Graphical representation of HAUSP and Rb expression levels in HAUSP knockdown conditions from two independent repeats; P = 0.0073 (**), 0.0226 (*), 0.9 (ns) and 0.0222 (*) (right panel). (G) Under similar conditions of HAUSP knockdown, Rb transcripts were quantified by qRT-PCR. Data represent mean ± SEM from three biological repeats in triplicate; P = 0.56 (ns). (H) For the rescue experiment, specific siRNA was used to knockdown HAUSP and the protein level of Rb was estimated by WB (left panel). Under similar conditions HAUSP was exogenously overexpressed and Rb level was estimated by WB (middle panel). The values have been estimated by densitometry and normalized against the loading control actin. Densitometric analysis of protein levels from three repeats of the rescue experiment show significant decrease in Rb level with knockdown of HAUSP [P = 0.004 (**)] while overexpression of HAUSP leads to rescue of Rb levels [P = 0.057 (ns)] (right panel). (I) Cells were transfected either with empty vector (left panel) or GFP-HAUSP (right panel) and treated with CHX (50 μg⋅mL⁻¹) for a CHX chase assay to estimate the Rb protein levels in the presence or absence of HAUSP for the indicated time points in hours. (J) Graph showing Rb half-life in the absence or presence of HAUSP. Data represent mean ± SD from three independent experiments, ns, not significant; *, significant; **, very significant; ***, extremely significant.
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mean H-scores obtained from each of the 15 samples (Fig. 5C). To re-validate the results found from the analysis of samples from human glioma patients in glioma cell lines, the three protein levels were compared in normal rat astrocytes (NRA) versus C6 rat glioma and human GBM cell lines by WB (Fig. 5D). These data were further supported by ICC studies in U87MG (Fig. 5E, upper panel) and DBTRG-05MG (Fig. 5E, lower panel) cells, where it is clearly observed that cells showing higher HAUSP expression have reduced Rb expression levels and vice versa. The results indicate, first, an increase in HAUSP expression in glioma with respect to NRA; second, that the Rb level is low while expression of both HAUSP and MDM2 is higher in glioma; and third, that there was a negative correlation in the expression patterns of HAUSP and Rb.

**Differential regulation of Rb in glioma**

HAUSP stabilizes Rb in normal (HEK293, COS-7) cells, but in human glioma samples and cancer cells there is a negative correlation between the two proteins. Also HAUSP is important for glioma cell proliferation and progression. This raises a number of questions. Is Rb level enhanced upon HAUSP overexpression in glioma, leading to G1 cell cycle arrest? What would be the fate of cancer cells in such a scenario? What are the factors determining the effect? and so on. To answer these questions, HAUSP was overexpressed in the human GBM cell line U87MG and, surprisingly, we found a decrease in Rb level. By blocking the proteasome machinery using the inhibitor MG132, Rb level was restored (Fig. 6A), while knockdown of HAUSP using specific siRNA resulted in increased Rb levels (Fig. 6B). An *in vivo* deubiquitination assay in U87MG cells showed increased ubiquitination of Rb in the presence of WT compared with CI HAUSP (Fig. 6C). Therefore, although HAUSP overexpression in normal cells stabilizes Rb, it cannot do so in glioma cells, where Rb becomes ubiquitinated and degraded. This clearly indicates the involvement of other players in a context-dependent manner.

**Does p53 have any role to play?**

The previous reports, MDM2 degrades Rb [14,15], MDM2 itself is stabilized/destabilized by HAUSP in a context-dependent manner [40], and Rb exists in a trimeric complex with p53 and MDM2 wherein Rb regulates the p53 function [41]; when considered in this context provide several possible mechanistic explanations. This difference observed in cancer cells compared with HEK293 could be because of p53, MDM2 or several other factors, including those yet unknown. To verify the involvement of p53, the experiments were repeated in the p53 null cell line H1299 (Fig. 6D) and the results were found to be similar to those for U87MG cells. Further ICC studies were performed in a panel of cells with varied p53 backgrounds (H1299, p53 null; HCT116, p53 WT; HT29, p53 mutant), as shown in Fig. 6E, and also shown earlier in the human glioma cell lines U87MG (p53 WT) and DBTRG-05MG (p53 mutant) in Fig. 5E. All the data show the inverse relationship between HAUSP and Rb expression patterns in cancer cell lines irrespective of the p53 background. Thus, this regulation of Rb by HAUSP is proteasome dependent and p53 independent.

**MDM2 is the critical regulator**

To explore the role of MDM2, the other common node in this axis, the available cellular level of MDM2 was increased by ectopic expression of MDM2 using transient transfection in HEK293 cells to simulate a situation similar to cancer cells. We found enhanced Rb ubiquitination even when HAUSP was present (Fig. 6F). GFP-Rb (with green fluorescent protein tag) was cotransfected along with only HAUSP or together with MDM2 and the fluorescence emission of GFP-Rb was monitored by FACS (Fig. 6G) and fluorimetry (Fig. 6H) to determine the changes in the expression...
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levels of Rb. In both experiments, an increase in the fluorescence emission of GFP-Rb was recorded in the case when HAUSP alone was overexpressed while there was a significant decrease in the fluorescence emission when MDM2 was cotransfected along with HAUSP. To corroborate these data, we repeated the FACS experiment shown earlier (Fig. 3D,E) by incorporating one more parameter, i.e. cotransfection of MDM2 along with Rb and HAUSP. It was found that there was a significant decrease in the G1 population (Fig. 6I, graphical representation of the distribution of cells in various phases of the cell cycle; Fig. 3F, last bar, change in G1 population). This indicates that, in the presence of an increased amount of MDM2, HAUSP can no longer rescue Rb. When MDM2 activity was inhibited using a specific inhibitor, upon HAUSP overexpression the Rb protein level was regained in U87MG cells (Fig. 6J, left panel). Again, when HAUSP was overexpressed under MDM2 knockdown conditions (using a specific siRNA), the Rb level was restored (Fig. 6J, right panel). All these data suggest that the level of MDM2 in the cell is a critical factor involved in regulating HAUSP activity on Rb (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

Cell division is an outcome of the coordinated activity of several cell cycle regulators. These exhibit an oscillatory pattern which is determined by the protein turnover, maintained by the ubiquitin proteasome system, restricting their activity to specific phases of the cell cycle where it is essential. Rb activity in cell cycle regulation is unique, as its level is maintained throughout the various phases of the cell cycle and, depending on the stimuli, can be reactivated or deactivated to regulate the cell cycle [5]. Association of Rb with several E3 Ub ligases [12] indicates that the protein is degraded, but there is a huge lacunae in information for proteins involved in Rb stabilization to maintain the required equilibrium in cells. As discussed earlier, recent findings show that lamin A and Pax8 are involved in stabilizing Rb. USP4 has been reported to be associated with Rb and the other pocket proteins [42], although no specific role in deubiquitination has been demonstrated as an outcome of such interactions. Here, HAUSP has been identified as a novel deubiquitinase for Rb and to our knowledge this is the first report of any deubiquitinase involved in Rb stability. Here we also find a specificity of HAUSP for Rb and not the other two pocket proteins, which can be attributed to two facts. First, Rb shares only 25% sequence homology to p107 and p130 and, second, although they share a conserved pocket domain and have similar regulation of the cell cycle by binding to distinct E2F family members, yet they have non-overlapping and discrete roles in the perspective of cellular physiology [5,43].

HAUSP interacts with Rb and stabilizes it from K48-linked ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation, increasing its half-life in normal (HEK293) cells. The HAUSP-mediated stabilization of Rb leads to changes in the cell cycle by increasing the G1 population. As different Ub linkages are implicated in various cellular activities [44] it would be intriguing to explore the role of HAUSP in deconjugating any other types of Ub linkages found on Rb and investigating what could be the possible physiological relevance of the process.

Contrary to normal cells, deubiquitinating activity of HAUSP on Rb is abrogated in glioma cell lines, where Rb undergoes degradation. This effect is independent of several cell cycle regulators. These exhibit an oscillatory pattern which is determined by the protein turnover, maintained by the ubiquitin proteasome system, restricting their activity to specific phases of the cell cycle where it is essential. Rb activity in cell cycle regulation is unique, as its level is maintained throughout the various phases of the cell cycle and, depending on the stimuli, can be reactivated or deactivated to regulate the cell cycle [5]. Association of Rb with several E3 Ub ligases [12] indicates that the protein is degraded, but there is a huge lacunae in information for proteins involved in Rb stabilization to maintain the required equilibrium in cells. As discussed earlier, recent findings show that lamin A and Pax8 are involved in stabilizing Rb. USP4 has been reported to be associated with Rb and the other pocket proteins [42], although no specific role in deubiquitination has been demonstrated as an outcome of such interactions. Here, HAUSP has been identified as a novel deubiquitinase for Rb and to our knowledge this is the first report of any deubiquitinase involved in Rb stability. Here we also find a specificity of HAUSP for Rb and not the other two pocket proteins, which can be attributed to two facts. First, Rb shares only 25% sequence homology to p107 and p130 and, second, although they share a conserved pocket domain and have similar regulation of the cell cycle by binding to distinct E2F family members, yet they have non-overlapping and discrete roles in the perspective of cellular physiology [5,43].

HAUSP interacts with Rb and stabilizes it from K48-linked ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation, increasing its half-life in normal (HEK293) cells. The HAUSP-mediated stabilization of Rb leads to changes in the cell cycle by increasing the G1 population. As different Ub linkages are implicated in various cellular activities [44] it would be intriguing to explore the role of HAUSP in deconjugating any other types of Ub linkages found on Rb and investigating what could be the possible physiological relevance of the process.

Contrary to normal cells, deubiquitinating activity of HAUSP on Rb is abrogated in glioma cell lines, where Rb undergoes degradation. This effect is independent of several cell cycle regulators. These exhibit an oscillatory pattern which is determined by the protein turnover, maintained by the ubiquitin proteasome system, restricting their activity to specific phases of the cell cycle where it is essential. Rb activity in cell cycle regulation is unique, as its level is maintained throughout the various phases of the cell cycle and, depending on the stimuli, can be reactivated or deactivated to regulate the cell cycle [5]. Association of Rb with several E3 Ub ligases [12] indicates that the protein is degraded, but there is a huge lacunae in information for proteins involved in Rb stabilization to maintain the required equilibrium in cells. As discussed earlier, recent findings show that lamin A and Pax8 are involved in stabilizing Rb. USP4 has been reported to be associated with Rb and the other pocket proteins [42], although no specific role in deubiquitination has been demonstrated as an outcome of such interactions. Here, HAUSP has been identified as a novel deubiquitinase for Rb and to our knowledge this is the first report of any deubiquitinase involved in Rb stability. Here we also find a specificity of HAUSP for Rb and not the other two pocket proteins, which can be attributed to two facts. First, Rb shares only 25% sequence homology to p107 and p130 and, second, although they share a conserved pocket domain and have similar regulation of the cell cycle by binding to distinct E2F family members, yet they have non-overlapping and discrete roles in the perspective of cellular physiology [5,43].

HAUSP interacts with Rb and stabilizes it from K48-linked ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation, increasing its half-life in normal (HEK293) cells. The HAUSP-mediated stabilization of Rb leads to changes in the cell cycle by increasing the G1 population. As different Ub linkages are implicated in various cellular activities [44] it would be intriguing to explore the role of HAUSP in deconjugating any other types of Ub linkages found on Rb and investigating what could be the possible physiological relevance of the process.

Contrary to normal cells, deubiquitinating activity of HAUSP on Rb is abrogated in glioma cell lines, where Rb undergoes degradation. This effect is independent of several cell cycle regulators. These exhibit an oscillatory pattern which is determined by the protein turnover, maintained by the ubiquitin proteasome system, restricting their activity to specific phases of the cell cycle where it is essential. Rb activity in cell cycle regulation is unique, as its level is maintained throughout the various phases of the cell cycle and, depending on the stimuli, can be reactivated or deactivated to regulate the cell cycle [5]. Association of Rb with several E3 Ub ligases [12] indicates that the protein is degraded, but there is a huge lacunae in information for proteins involved in Rb stabilization to maintain the required equilibrium in cells. As discussed earlier, recent findings show that lamin A and Pax8 are involved in stabilizing Rb. USP4 has been reported to be associated with Rb and the other pocket proteins [42], although no specific role in deubiquitination has been demonstrated as an outcome of such interactions. Here, HAUSP has been identified as a novel deubiquitinase for Rb and to our knowledge this is the first report of any deubiquitinase involved in Rb stability. Here we also find a specificity of HAUSP for Rb and not the other two pocket proteins, which can be attributed to two facts. First, Rb shares only 25% sequence homology to p107 and p130 and, second, although they share a conserved pocket domain and have similar regulation of the cell cycle by binding to distinct E2F family members, yet they have non-overlapping and discrete roles in the perspective of cellular physiology [5,43].

Fig. 3. HAUSP deubiquitinates Rb both in vivo and in vitro, leading to increased cell population in G1 in HEK293 cells. (A) WB of Rb cotransfected with WT or CI HAUSP in the presence or absence of Ub-WT (left panel). Graphical representation of data obtained from WB (right panel). Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments; P values are 0.05 (*), 0.02 (*), 0.3 (ns) and 0.007 (**) respectively. (B) In vivo deubiquitination assay. IP was done with Rb antibody from lysates of HEK293 cells cotransfected with either WT or CI HAUSP along with Ub-WT (left panel) or Ub-K48 (middle panel). WB with Rb and Ub antibodies show HAUSP-mediated deubiquitination of Rb. Graphical representation of the WB data (right panel). Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments; P values are 0.0198 (*), 0.0007 (**), 0.006 (**) and 0.023 (*) respectively. (C) In vitro deubiquitination assay. Poly-Ub Rb (substrate) in the presence of 20 µM GST-HAUSP (purified enzyme) in lane 1 is deubiquitinated compared with lane 2, when inhibitor NEM (10 mM) is present, or lane 4, in the absence of purified enzyme. Lane 3 shows the input. Poly-Ub Rb was obtained from HEK293 cell lysates cotransfected with Rb and Ub-WT by IP with Rb antibody. Purified HAUSP was obtained from E. coli BL21 cells. The deubiquitination reaction was set up with the beads bound to the substrate for 1 h at 37 °C. The ubiquitination pattern was analyzed by WB with Ub antibody. IgG-Hc indicates equal pull-down in all the lanes and lamin B in the input lane alone indicates the absence of any non-specific pull-down (left panel). Graphical representation showing comparison of lanes 1 and 2 from the WB data (right panel). Data represent mean ± SEM from three independent experiments; P = 0.0316 (*). (D) FACS analysis of cells cotransfected with Rb and empty GFP vector (middle panel) or GFP-HAUSP (right panel) compared with only vector transfected negative control (left panel). Data represent one of three separate experiments. (E) Graphical representation of cells distributed in the various phases of the cell cycle as shown in (D). (F) Graphical representation showing the changes in the G1 population. Data represent mean ± SEM from three separate experiments; P = 0.0145 (*), 0.0001 (**) and 0.028 (*) respectively.
of p53, and the level of MDM2, which is often found to be high in GBM, is the crucial factor in this regulation. Hence, inhibiting MDM2 could be devised as a strategy for therapy.

Several studies indicate the role of phosphorylation in the degradation of Rb. Some suggest phosphorylated Rb [8], while others suggest that hypo-phosphorylated Rb [45] can interact with MDM2. We know that hypo-phosphorylated Rb is the active form and that there is extensive crosstalk between phosphorylation, ubiquitination/deubiquitination and other post-translational modifications [46]. Hence, it could be interesting to dissect out whether the HAUSP-mediated deubiquitination also has any preference for the differentially phosphorylated forms of Rb in different contexts in the future.

Our IHC data from glioma patient samples as well as in vitro data from cell lines go hand in hand corroborating each other and the results demonstrate that HAUSP is highly upregulated in glioma, as also shown recently in patient samples from a Chinese population [47]. This facilitates glioma progression and cellular proliferation, emphasizing the oncogenic role of HAUSP. This is an indication that targeting HAUSP could prove to be a potential strategy for glioma therapy. Several pharmacological inhibitors, peptides and broad spectrum cysteine protease inhibitors [48,49] and very recently a natural pyrrole alkaloid [50] have been the focus of research. However, with the present treatment modalities and taking into consideration the potential problems with GBM, the most deadly brain cancer, which is associated with low survival rate and poor prognosis, recurrence, surgical inadequacies and difficulties in targeting drugs to the brain across the blood–brain barrier, research has to be streamlined more towards small molecules and newer technologies, and a major break-through is yet to come.

Here we have shown that in normal HEK293 cells HAUSP deubiquitinates and stabilizes Rb, while in cancer cells HAUSP and Rb protein expression show a negative correlation. Hence, a major difference in activity of HAUSP with respect to Rb regulation exists in normal versus cancer cells. This has important physiological relevance and can be used as a potential molecular tool to distinguish cancer cells from normal
cells. Mechanistic elucidation of further details could provide ideas for reactivating Rb to restore its tumor suppressive activity to arrest the growth of fast dividing cancer cells or to trigger apoptosis. It would also be very interesting to check for Rb regulation by HAUSP in brain tumor stem cells which are quiescent in nature, and this could provide new insights into targeting these cells [51]. These ideas open up several future directions of research on mechanisms of cellular transformation, tumorigenesis and cancer progression, and for targeting HAUSP in cancer therapy, in a context-specific manner.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture reagents
Human cell lines GBM, U87MG and DBTRG-05MG; lung adenocarcinoma H1299 (p53 null); colon carcinoma HT29 (p53 mutated) and HCT116 (p53 WT); normal embryonic

Fig. 5. Differential regulation of Rb in glioma. (A) IHC of human glioma patient samples for HAUSP expression. Data show representative images of each grade at 10 × optical magnification (n = 38). (B) IHC of a subset of the above glioma samples for Rb and MDM2 expression. Data show representative images at 10 × optical magnification (n = 15). (C) Dot plot representation of the mean H-scores calculated for each sample to estimate the overall levels of expression of HAUSP, MDM2 and Rb (n = 15). (D) WB of HAUSP, MDM2 and Rb in NRA versus C6 rat glioma and other human glioma cell lines. (E) Confocal images of GBM cell lines U87MG (upper panel) and DBTRG-05MG (lower panel) (from ICC) showing a comparison of HAUSP and Rb expression. Images were taken at 60 × optical magnification and scale bars are marked.
kidney HEK293; rat glioma cell line C6; and monkey normal kidney cell line COS-7 were procured from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were cultured in McCoy’s 5A, RPMI-1640, DMEM or MEM as required, supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 2000 units L⁻¹ penicillin, 2 mg L⁻¹ streptomycin and gentamycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). NRA were isolated from 0-day-old rat pups and cultured for 14 days. All cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humid incubator with 5% CO₂. HEK293 cells were transfected by calcium phosphate, GBM cell lines with Attractene reagent (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and other cell lines with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For siRNA-mediated knockdown experiments Lipofectamine RNAi MAX (Invitrogen) was used. For shRNA-mediated knockdown experiments two rounds

Fig. 6. Mechanism of Rb regulation in glioma. (A) WB of Rb upon HAUSP overexpression in U87MG cells either with (right panel) or without (left panel) MG132 treatment (10 μM) for 14 h. (B) WB was performed to verify HAUSP knockdown and the effect on Rb protein expression using specific siRNA in U87MG cells. Actin serves as the loading control. (C) In vivo deubiquitination assay in U87MG cells in the presence of WT or CI HAUSP; IP was done with Rb and WB with Rb and Ub. (D) WB of Rb in H1299 (p53 null) cells upon HAUSP (WT or CI) overexpression with Ub cotransfected. (E) ICC for HAUSP and Rb in a panel of cancer cells with varied p53 background. H1299, p53 null (top); HCT116, p53 WT (middle); HT29, p53 mutant (lower). (F) WB of Rb in HEK293 cells cotransfected with HAUSP and MDM2. (H) Fluorimetric estimation of Rb expression in the presence of HAUSP alone or cotransfected with MDM2, represented as relative fold change with respect to GFP-Rb alone. P = 0.02 (*) and 0.007 (**). (G) Fluorescence emission spectra of cells transfected with either GFP-Rb alone (red graph, red line represents the mean value) or along with HAUSP (blue graph, blue line represents the mean value) or by increasing the load of MDM2 transiently (green graph, green line represents the mean value) keeping a negative control without GFP transfection (grey graph, grey line represents the mean value). (I) Graphical representation of cells distributed in the various phases of the cell cycle from FACS analysis (extended from the experiment shown in Fig. 3D,E,F). (J) WB of Rb upon HAUSP overexpression in U87MG cells with or without MDM2 inhibitor (10 μM) for 24 h (left panel) and in the absence or presence of siMDM2 (right panel). The values in (A), (F) and (J) have been estimated by densitometry and normalized against the loading control actin/GAPDH.
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of transfection were performed. To generate HAUSP overexpressing stable cell lines, C6 cells were transfected either with vector (Neo-V) or HAUSP (Neo-H) and selected with 1 mg/mL G418 (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany). For knockdown stable cells, scrambled (sh-Scr) or HAUSP (sh-H2) shRNAs were transfected and selected with 1 lg/mL puromycin (Calbiochem).

DNA constructs, cloning and quantitative real-time PCR

Human HAUSP and Rb genes were cloned according to the procedure described earlier [52]. HAUSP was subcloned in pGZ-21dx vector (a gift from K. N. Yamada, Bethesda, MD, USA) with N-terminal GFP tag (GFP-HAUSP) and pGEX-4T1 vector (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) with N-terminal GST tag (GST-HAUSP). Rb was subcloned in pcDNA3.1-Myc/His B (Invitrogen) (Rb) and pGZ-21dx (GFP-Rb). Catalytically inactive mutant of HAUSP (CI) was generated by a point mutation of the residue 223 from Cys to Ser using the Quik Change II XL Kit (Stratagene, Stockport, Cheshire, UK) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. pMKO.1-Puro p53 shRNA1 (10671), pRK5-HA-Ub-WT (17608), pRK5-HA-Ub-K48 (17605), pcl-Neo-USP7 (16655) and pcDNA3-MDM2-WT (16233) plasmids were obtained from Addgene (Cambridge, MA, USA). Two different shRNAs targeting HAUSP and a control (scrambled) shRNA were subcloned in pMKO.1-Puro p53 shRNA1 according to Addgene’s protocol. All shRNAs were tested for knockdown and the best one (shRNA2, referred to as sh-H2) was used for all experiments. All constructs were verified by sequencing. qRT-PCR was done using SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, ABI) on a 7500 Fast machine (ABI) [53]. All primers and synthetic oligonucleotides were synthesized from IDT (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA) and the sequences are available in Table 1.

Western blotting (WB) and immunoprecipitation (IP)

Whole cell and nuclear lysates were prepared as described earlier [54]. For IP experiments, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer with 2 × protease inhibitor cocktail (PIC from Calbiochem). Lysates were pre-cleared by incubating with protein A Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) for 1 h at 4 °C. Equal amounts of lysates were then incubated with specific antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The immunocomplexes were pulled down using protein A Sepharose beads for 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were washed twice with RIPA buffer. All samples were separated on SDS/PAGE and transferred onto poly(vinylidene difluoride) membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Membranes were blocked with 5% BSA in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20, incubated with specific antibodies and detected by ECL reagent (GE Healthcare).

Antibodies and other reagents

Antibodies against HAUSP, Ub and horseradish peroxidase-tagged secondary antibodies against rabbit and mouse antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). GFP, Rb, p107, p130, HAUSP, actin, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), lamin B and α-tubulin antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Horseradish peroxidase-tagged secondary antibody against goat antibodies was purchased from Sigma (Gillingham, Dorset, UK). IHC specific antibodies for HAUSP and MDM2 were obtained from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). Alexa Fluor-tagged secondary antibodies AF488 or AF594 were obtained from Molecular Probes (Invitrogen). Control, HAUSP and MDM2 siRNAs were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. NEM, MG132 and MDM2 inhibitor II were purchased from Calbiochem and CHX from Sigma.

Rescue experiment

Two sets of cells (HEK293) were transfected with either control or HAUSP siRNAs on day 1 using Lipofectamine RNAi MAX (Invitrogen). On day 3, 48 h post transfection...
the second set of cells was transfected with GFP-HAUSP using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen). All cells were harvested on day 4 after 72 h post siRNA transfection and 24 h post gene transfection. Set 1 served as the control set for HAUSP knockdown and set 2 showed the specific rescue by HAUSP.

**In vivo deubiquitination assay**

WT or CI HAUSP was cotransfected with Ub-WT or Ub-K48 and cells were treated with MG132 for 14 h before harvesting. Lysates were immunoprecipitated with antibody against Rb as described above and subjected to WB (protocol based on the work of Mahul-Mellier et al. [55]).

**Protein purification using GST tag and glutathione HiCap matrix**

Protein purification was done according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Qiagen). Briefly, competent *Escherichia coli* BL21 cells were transformed with either vector control (GST) or GST-HAUSP and cultured until mid-log phase, followed by isopropyl thio-β-D-galactoside (IPTG) induction (1 mM) at 22 °C for about 4–6 h. Cells were pelleted, washed with Tris-buffered saline, incubated in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA) with 1% Triton-X-100 and lysozyme, 1 mg/mL for 30 min, and frozen overnight at −80 °C. Mild sonication was performed and the supernatant was used for further purification processes. Glutathione HiCap Matrix (Qiagen) beads were pre-equilibrated in GST binding buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA), added to the lysate and left for binding at 4 °C for 2 h, followed by two washes in wash buffer. GST tagged protein was eluted with elution buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0; 5% glycerol; 10 mM reduced glutathione; 1 mM EDTA).

Lysates were analyzed on SDS/PAGE followed by Coomassie staining.

**Protein purification using His tag and Ni–nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) system**

Protein purification was done according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Invitrogen and Qiagen). Briefly, HEK293 cells were transfected with Myc/His-Rb in pcDNA 3.1 B (−) and cells were harvested 48 h post transfection. Cell lystate was incubated with Ni–NTA beads for 1 h at room temperature and washed with increasing amounts of imidazole and finally eluted in buffer containing 250 mM imidazole. Lysates were analyzed on SDS/PAGE followed by Coomassie staining.

**In vitro deubiquitination assay**

Polyubiquitinated substrate (poly-Ub Rb) was obtained by IP using Rb antibody from lysates of HEK293 cells cotransfected with Rb and Ub-WT as described above. Deubiquitination reaction was set up with the beads bound to the substrate and 20 nM of purified enzyme (GST-HAUSP), in either the absence or the presence of the inhibitor NEM (10 mM) in deubiquitination buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA) for 1 h at 37 °C as described earlier [55,56]. The ubiquitination pattern was analyzed by WB with Ub antibody.

**In vitro protein–protein interaction**

Purified Rb was obtained as described above and 1 μg was incubated with 1 μg of either purified GST or GST-HAUSP overnight at 4 °C in GST binding buffer. The complex was captured using Glutathione HiCap Matrix (Qiagen) beads as described above.
FACS analysis

Cells were harvested by trypsinization, washed with phosphate-buffered saline, resuspended in chilled 70% ethanol for fixation and kept on ice for 30 min. After two washes in phosphate-buffered saline, cells were resuspended in PI/RNase staining buffer (Becton Dickinson, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and incubated in the dark for 15 min at 37 °C. Cell cycle distribution was examined using FACSVerse (BD Biosciences) and analyzed by BD FACSUITE software (BD Biosciences). For fluorimetric analysis, cells were harvested similarly but FACS was performed without PI/RNase staining using the 488 laser on LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using the softwares BD FACSDIVA version 6.2 (BD Biosciences) and FCS EXPRESS version 4 (De Novo Software, Los Angeles, CA, USA).

Fluorimetry

Cell lysates were prepared as described above and the fluorescence emission of GFP-Rb was monitored by Varioskan Flash Spectral Scanning Multimode Reader, Thermo Scientific (West Palm Beach, FL, USA). Emission from GFP-Rb only transfected cells was considered as unity and the rest were estimated as relative fold changes. The results were analyzed by SKANIT software (Thermo Scientific).

Immunohistochemistry and immunocytochemistry

IHC analysis and H-scoring of the FFPE tissue sections was performed as described earlier [57]. Images were captured using an Olympus BX61 microscope using IMAGE PRO PLUS software (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA) at 10 × and 20 × optical magnifications. The human samples contained a collection of grade II, III and IV tumor sections (n = 38) with adjacent normal portions wherever possible.

For ICC, cells were seeded on coverslips and processed as described earlier [52]. Epifluorescence images were captured using an Olympus BX61 microscope and IMAGE PRO PLUS software (Olympus) and confocal images with an Andor spinning disc confocal microscope (Andor Technology, Belfast, UK) and Andor iXon 897 EMCCD camera using ANDOR IQ2 software, both at 60 × optical magnification.

Colony formation and scratch assay

For colony formation in soft agar 2 × 10^3 cells were suspended in the top agar and grown for 2 weeks and for scratch assay 2 × 10^3 cells were seeded and maintained up to 24 h in 35 mm culture dishes, as described earlier [52].

Proliferation assay

Cells were plated at a concentration of 10^4 per well in a 96-well plate in triplicate sets and allowed to grow to ~ 80% confluency. The MTT assay was performed as described earlier [58]. The results were obtained by statistical analysis from three separate experiments.

Statistical analysis

At least three independent experiments were performed to calculate means, standard deviations and standard errors of the mean. Statistical significance was analyzed by unpaired Student’s t test. Two-tailed P values were calculated and values < 0.05 were considered significant. All statistical calculations were done using GRAPHPAD (QuickCales, http://www.graphpad.com/quickcales/index.cfm) calculator.
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