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Abstract

**Background:** Utilization of long-lasting insecticide treated net (LLITN) is one of the main vector control activities. It has a killing, repellent and physical barrier effects against mosquito. Even if priority is given for pregnant women, not all nets owned by household have been utilized by pregnant women. The objective of the study was to assess utilization of Long-lasting insecticidal net and associated factors among pregnant women in malarious kebeles, Awabel woreda, North-West Ethiopia.

**Methods:** A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted from May 1 to June 30, 2017. A systematic random sampling was used to select 422 households. Two days training was given for data collectors and supervisors. Collected data were coded and entered using epi-data version 3.1, then it was exported to Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 20. After bivariate logistic regression analysis, all variables with a p-value less than or equal to 0.25 was entered into multivariable logistic regression and p value < 0.05 considered as significantly associated with the outcome variable.

**Results:** Utilization of LLITN was 33.6%. Factors such as attending antenatal care [adjusted odd ratio (AOR) =1.89; 95% CI; 1.04-3.44], traveling <1 hour to reach health facility AOR=4.41; 95% CI; 2.06-9.43], age [AOR= 3.67; 95% CI; 1.36-9.95], knowledge[AOR=11.68; 95% CI; 5.96-22.89] and having positive attitude [AOR=3.12; 95 CI; 1.66-5.88] were significantly associated with utilization of LLITN.

**Conclusion:** This study showed that low utilization of LLITN. Attending antenatal care (ANC), traveling <1 hour to reach health facility, age , knowledge and attitude had positive association with LLITN utilization. Every concerned body should focus on reducing distance barrier by giving outreach services and increasing ANC, the attitude and knowledge of pregnant women toward malaria and LLITN which increase utilization of LLITN. Key words : LLITN utilization, pregnant women, malarious kebeles, Awable, Ethiopia

**Introduction**

World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that all risk persons, particularly pregnant women and infants, sleep under Long lasting insecticide treated nets (LLINs) during the night [1] because it
reduces miscarriages/stillbirths by one third compared with newborn babies of mothers with no protection, use of LLINs during first or second pregnancies was estimated to provide a protective efficacy of 18% against neonatal mortality and of 21% against low birth weight [2]. Women who used LLINs were less likely to have acute malaria, anemia and babies with low birth weight than women who did not use LLINs [3]. Long lasting insecticide treated nets (LLINs) are also effective tools to reduce illness and death due to malaria [4].

Ethiopian national policy aims to provide one LLINs for every sleeping space in malaria-endemic areas <2,000m [6]. According to this policy, more than 64 million nets were distributed between 2005 and 2014 by Federal Ministry of health [7]. In early 2015, approximately 4.3 million LLITNs were also distributed to malaria risk area district in Oromia, Amhara and Tigray region [7].

In Africa, malaria causes 10% of direct and indirect maternal death, 35% of preventable low birth weight and 75,000–200,000 infant deaths in each year [2]. Pregnant women are four times as likely to get sick from malaria and twice as likely to die from the disease if they are not using LLINs [1].

In Sub-Saharan Africa, about 23 million pregnant women were unprotected by an insecticide treated net [8]. In this region, educational level, household income, malaria and ITN knowledge and urban residence were the major factors of LLINs utilization [9].

In Ethiopia, despite of giving special emphasis and priority to ITN use among pregnant women [7] not all mosquito nets owned by households are being properly utilized by pregnant women [10]. The gap between ownership and use remains high [2]. The previous studies mainly focused on household and under five utilizations of LLITN but this study specifically focusing on utilization of LLITNs and associated factors among pregnant women.

Methods
A community based cross-sectional study was conducted among a total of 422 pregnant women from May 1 to June 30, 2017 in malarious kebeles, Awabel district, North- West of Ethiopia. The district was located at an altitude of 1623 - 2570 meter above sea level with the annual rainfall of 1100-1400 and temperature lies 15- 21 Degree Centigrade [11].
The required sample size was calculated using a formula for single population proportion. It was calculated considering the utilization of LLIN was 48.4% among pregnant women in Amhararegion [12]. \( n = \frac{Z^2P(1-P)}{d^2} \) The total sample size was 422. The total sample size was proportionally allocated for the nine kebeles based on the number pregnant women who were residing in the study sites. Eligible women in each kebele were selected by systematic random sampling during the study period.

Structured questionnaire was prepared in English and was translated into Amharic language for data collection and translated back into English to check its consistency. Interviewer administered technique was used for data collection by trained data collectors. Training was given for data collectors and supervisors for 2 days on how to collect data. Pre-test was done on 22 pregnant women before actual data collection.

Collected data were coded and entered by epi data version 3.1, and then exported to SPSS version 20 for analysis. Descriptive, bivariate and multivariate analysis was done. Tables, charts and text were used to present the result of the analyzed data. Independent variables with P-value of <0.25 in bivariate analysis was considered for multivariate logistic regressions. P-value less than 0.05 were used as cut off point for presence of statistical significance.

Results

Among the total, 417(98.8%) of participants were participated in the study. The median age of the respondent was 29.00±7.089 SD years. About 408 (97.8%) were married. Two hundred sixty-nine (64.5%) of the respondent were unable to read and write. Three hundred seventy-three (89.4%) of the respondents were farmer. The median income of the respondent was 1294.00±769.49 SD Ethiopian birr. Regarding to the family size, 161 (55.3%) and 102 (24.5%) of the respondents had 5–6 and 1–2 respectively (Table 1).
Two hundred eighty-three (67.9%) of respondents’ current pregnancy has been three and above.

About 257 (61.6%) of the respondents’ current pregnancy was between 4 and 6 months, 235 (56.4%) of the respondent had antenatal care follow up for the current pregnancy, 117 (49.8%) of them had two times, 39 (16.6%) of them had three times antenatal care follow up.

Among the total 287 (68.8%) of the respondents had low level of awareness about malaria and LLITN.

Attitude of the respondents was measured by Likert scale. Among the total, 215 (51.6%) of the respondents had negative attitude towards malaria and LLIN.

One hundred seventy-nine (42.9%) and 133 (31.1%) of the respondents have lived in house having two and three living rooms respectively. Three hundred sixteen (75.8%) of the respondents have lived in the household not having functional radio. Majority 341 (81.8%) of pregnant women have lived in the household having LLITN, 159 (46.6%) of them have lived in the household having two LLITNs. More than half 191 (56.0%) of pregnant women have lived in household not hanging any sleeping place. 81 (42.4%) of respondent had had the reason not hanging was being torn of LLITN. Almost half 214 (51.3%) were travelled more than one hour.

Among the total 140 (33.6%) of the respondent utilize LLITN preceding night of the survey.
81(29.2%), 75(27.1%) and 64(23.1%) of the respondents had the reason not utilizing LLITN was inconvenient to heat, no mosquito and cause of skin itching respectively (Figure 1).

In multivariate analysis age, ANC follow up of current pregnancy, time taken to reach health facility, knowledge, attitude and age were statistically significance with LLITN utilization among pregnant women (Table 2).

| Characteristics                  | LLITN Utilization | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                  | Yes   | No   | 1         | 1         |
| **Age group in year**            |       |      |           |           |
| 15-24                            | 37    | 82   | 1         | 1         |
| 25-34                            | 49    | 119  | 0.91(0.55-1.52) | 1.89 (0.09-3.65) |
| 35-49                            | 54    | 76   | 1.58(0.93-2.65) | 3.67 (1.02-12.76) |
| **Educational level**            |       |      |           |           |
| Unable to read and write         | 78    | 191  | 1         | 1         |
| Able to read and write but have no formal education | 27 | 63 | 1.05(0.62-1.77) | 1.88(0.96-3.70) |
| Primary                          | 23    | 18   | 3.13(1.60-6.12) | 1.43 (0.07-2.90) |
| Secondary                        | 12    | 5    | 5.88(2.00-17.24) | 2.87 (0.42-17.88) |
| **Family size**                  |       |      |           |           |
| 1-3                              | 43    | 59   | 1.67(0.87-3.18) | 1.19 (0.14-10.24) |
| 4                                | 31    | 54   | 1.31(0.67-2.58) | 1.38 (0.13-15.47) |
| 5-6                              | 45    | 116  | 0.89(0.48-1.64) | 0.67 (0.08-5.63) |
| 7                                | 21    | 48   | 1.44(0.77-2.71) | 1.00 (0.10-9.56) |
| **ANC follow up**                |       |      |           |           |
| No                               | 42    | 140  | 1         | 1         |
| Yes                              | 98    | 137  | 2.38(1.55-3.67) | 1.89 (1.30-2.73) |
| **Time taken to reach health facility** | | | | |
| 1 hour                           | 59    | 62   | 1.58(1.00-2.51) | 3.32 (1.42-7.68) |
| <1 hour                          | 49    | 33   | 1.56(0.89-2.75) | 4.41 (2.10-9.30) |
| >1 hour                          | 182   | 32   | 0.19(0.11-0.31) | 1.00 (1.00-1.01) |
| **Knowledge**                    |       |      |           |           |
| Low                              | 42    | 245  | 1         | 1         |
| High                             | 98    | 32   | 11.68(5.96-22.89) | 11.68 (2.30-57.96) |
| **Attitude**                     |       |      |           |           |
| Negative                        | 32    | 183  | 1         | 1         |
| Positive                        | 108   | 94   | 6.57(4.12-10.47) | 3.12 (1.17-8.36) |

**Discussion**

In this study, utilization of LLINs among pregnant women was 33.6% [95% CI: 28.8%-38.8%]. This is consistent with the study conducted in Ethiopia at national level (35.3%) and Tigray region 33.3% [12], Lagos state and Southern Nigeria 37.5%, 33.3% [21, 22] and Uganda 35% [23]. This is lower than the study conducted in Southern Ethiopia (48%) [13], Northern Ethiopia (58.4%), (57.3%) [14], and 2011 MIS in Ethiopia Benshangul Gumez, and Gambella (47.5%), SNNPR (45.1%), Amhara region (48.4%) [12], Kenya (>82%) [9], Ghana 42.5% [15], Nigeria 68% [16], Ile-Ife, Osun State 44% [17], at a tertiary hospital in Nigeria 43.7% [18] and Uganda 73%, 58.6% [19, 20]. In this study, utilization of LLITNs is greater than the study conducted in Afar 24.7%, Somali 24.7%, and Oromia region 26.7% [12] and at tertiary hospital in Nigeria 24.6% [24]. The difference might be due to socio demographic, and seasonal variation.

Moreover, this study also identified factors that have association with utilization LLINs among pregnant women and found that being knowledgeable, ANC follow up, travelling less than one hour to
reach health facility, being age 35 years or above and having favorable attitude toward LLINs utilization were factors that had significantly associated with LLINs utilization.

Being knowledgeable on malaria and LLITNs in this study, compared to not being knowledgeable was associated with utilization of LLITNs, which increases the odds of using LLINs during pregnancy by twelve times [AOR = 11.68; 95% CI; 5.96–22.89]. This is consistent with the study conducted in Sub-Saharan Africa [9] Northern Uganda [23], Nigeria [25, 26], Adama woreda and selected malaria prone area in Ethiopia [27, 28]. This might be due to knowledgeable pregnant women may know the consequences of malaria in pregnancy if they did not use LLINs. This might impose to use LLINs.

Pregnant women who had ANC follow up for the current pregnancy was almost 2 times more likely to utilize LLITNs than those who had no ANC follow up for current pregnancy [ AOR = 1.89; 95% CI; 1.04–3.44]. This is almost congruent with the study conducted in Uganda [23]. This might lead to increase the opportunity of getting information on how to use LLINs [2].

In this study, pregnant women who travelled less than one hour to reach health facility were almost 4 times more likely to utilize LLITNs than those who travelled more than one hour [AOR = 4.41; 95% CI; 2.06–9.43]. This is almost consistent with the study conducted in Uganda [23]. This might increase the health facility visits which may about malaria and LLITNs.

Pregnant woman whose age were 35 and above were almost 4 times more likely to utilize LLITNs than those whose age was between 15 and 24[AOR = 3.67; 95% CI; 1.36–9.95]. This result is almost similar with the study conducted in South –East Nigeria [35]. This might be due to as age increase the probability of getting repeated information about malaria and LLITNs may increase which might impose to utilization of LLINs.

Furthermore, having favorable attitude toward LLINs utilization was more than fifth-fold increases in the odds of LLITNs utilization [AOR = 3.12; 95 CI; 1.66–5.88] as compared with having unfavorable attitude. This is consistent with the study conducted in Southern Ethiopia [13].

**Conclusion**

Utilization of LLITNs among pregnant women was low. Utilization among pregnant women were positively associated with attending antenatal care in current pregnancy, knowledge of pregnant
women about malaria and LLITNs, travelling less than one hour to reach health facility, age greater than 35 year and positive attitude towards malaria and LLITNs. Therefore, every concerned body should focus on reducing the barrier of distance by giving outreach services and increasing ANC follow up, the attitude and knowledge of pregnant women about malaria and LLITNs which increase utilization of LLITNs.

**Limitation of the study**
Including all pregnant women in a household survey was difficult because some women did not know that they were pregnant. This study did not show how often pregnant women utilize LLITN because data were collected at single point of time.

**Acronyms And Abbreviations**
ANC: Antenatal Care, AOR: Adjusted Odd Ratio, CI: Confidence Interval, COR: Crude Odd Ratio, LLIN: Long Lasting Insecticide Treated Net, MIS: Malaria Indicator Survey, SNNPR: South Nation Nationality and People Region, SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Science
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