Case Report

Late Complication of Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy
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Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) is gaining popularity for the treatment of morbid obesity. It is a simple, low-cost procedure resulting in significant weight loss within a short period of time. LSG is a safe procedure with a low complication rate. The complications encountered nevertheless can result in morbidity and even mortality. The most significant complications are staple-line bleeding, strictures, and staple-line leak. The purpose of this paper is to present a patient who suffered from a staple-line leak presenting 16 months after LSG. Review of the current literature regarding this complication as well as outline of a strategy for the management of post-LSG gastric leaks is suggested.

1. Introduction

Morbid obesity has become a common epidemic in the western cultures and is slowly spreading to the rest of the world. By year 2025, it is estimated that 40% of American society will be morbidly obese [1]. Although many dietary therapies are available, patients seem to be most responsive to surgical intervention.

Current surgical strategies consist of laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB), laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG), laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (LRYGBP), and laparoscopic biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch (LBPD-DS) [1].

LSG has become popular due to its simplicity and low complication rate. LSG was first performed in 2000, by Gagner and Patterson, as part of a duodenal switch procedure [2]. Regan et al. suggested sleeve gastrectomy as the first step in gastric bypass surgery as an alternative procedure in high-risk obese patients to decrease mortality and morbidity [3]. Currently, many surgeons are considering LSG as a stand-alone procedure that offers a substantial weight loss for the obese patient [4, 5]. It has been shown to be as effective as reducing excess weight by 60–70% within 3 years [5].

The physiological and anatomical reasoning supporting the efficacy of LSG is attributed to the reduction of total gastric capacity, illustrating a restrictive effect [4, 6–8]. In addition, an orexigenic/anorexigenic hormonal modification is evident due to the removal of fundal ghrelin-producing cells [4, 6].

LSG is a simple surgical procedure resulting in low complication rate with insignificant long-term nutritional deficiencies, especially when compared to the other alternative, more aggressive bariatric procedures. Its complications consist mainly of staple-line bleeding, strictures (usually located at the middle or distal portion of the residual stomach), and the most severe, dangerous complication being staple-line leaks [9]. The reported gastric leak rates from the sleeve staple line are 1.4–2.5% for primary sleeve gastrectomies and 16–20% for reoperative surgery where a previous gastric operation has been performed [10–13].

The aim of this paper is to present a unique presentation of late gastric leak and to provide a review of current approach to management and treatment of gastric leaks after LSG.

2. Case Report

2.1. Surgical Technique. Previous publications have meticulously outlined in detail the procedure of LSG [14–16]. This procedure started with administration of 15 mmHg within
Figure 1: CT scan detected an abscess with dimensions of $4.9 \times 9.0$ cm located along the subdiaphragmatic border near the gastroesophageal junction. CT identified no gastric leak. The abscess was drained with a 7-French drainage tube (arrow).

The drainage tube imitating a “gastrografin fistulograph” (tubogram) image, and it successfully illustrated the gastric leak (Figure 3). On upper endoscopy with methylene blue test, the fistula orifice was clearly identified and located 2 cm distal to squamocolumnar junction (Z-line) (Figure 4). The fistula was hermetically sealed by deployment of a newly designed 10 mm over-the-scope metallic clip (Ovesco’s product, Ovesco Endoscopy GmbH, Tuebingen, Germany) (Figure 5).

Three weeks later, patient returned with a presentation of slight left flank pain. CT imaging revealed clips in place with no evidence of recurrence of leak. Drain was subsequently removed upon same visit.

3. Discussion

LSG is becoming a very popular stand-alone surgical procedure in providing treatment for morbid obesity. Of the few complications, most common and important are staple-line bleeding, strictures (usually located at the middle or distal portion of the residual stomach), and the most severe, dangerous complication being staple-line leaks [9, 16–18]. Reports of gastric leak after LSG have been within the range of 0.7% to 5.3% (mean 2.3%) [17–24]. Gastric leak is mostly likely to occur along the proximal third of the stomach, close to the gastroesophageal junction due to high intragastric pressure with impaired peristaltic activity and ischemia [16, 25].

Csendes et al. have developed a system of classification for gastric leaks based on three parameters: time of appearance after surgery, magnitude or severity, and location. The three categories are early leaks that appear 1–4 days after surgery, intermediate leaks that appear 5–9 days after surgery, and late leaks that appear at day 10 or later after surgery [9]. This case report is unique in the fact that it represents a rare long-term presentation of gastric leak after LSG. It shows that the followup for LSG complications should be prolonged, especially in patients with increased risk factors. The severity of gastric leaks is divided into type I: subclinical...
Figure 3: Gastrografin fluoroscopy performed through the drainage tube imitating a "gastrografin fistulography" (tubogram) image, successfully illustrating the gastric leak (arrow).

Figure 4: On upper endoscopy with MB test, the fistula orifice was clearly identified and located 2 cm distal to squamocolumnar junction (Z-line) (arrow).

Figure 5: The fistula was hermetically sealed by deployment of a newly designed 10 mm over-the-scope metallic clip.

appearing as a local leak without spillage or dissemination and type II: leaks resulting in dissemination or diffusion into the abdominal or pleural cavity [9]. It has been noted that extraluminal gastric leaks, if not treated promptly and correctly, may lead to gastric-cutaneous fistula, peritonitis, abscess, sepsis, organ failure, and death [26].

The cause of a gastric leak is indicative of some abnormality or failure of normal healing process of tissue. There is a general agreement that local risk factors contributing to a leak are impaired suture line healing due to staple dehiscence, poor blood flow, and infection. These risk factors contribute to decrease in oxygen and subsequent ischemia to the tissue [9, 16, 25, 27]. Csendes et al. state direct doubt that staple line dehiscence is a likely risk factor due the efficiency of the ENDOGIA apparatus, which lays 3 lines of staples [9]. Some claim that the actual etiology of these leaks is due to some form of thermal damage upon tissue from the laparoscopic tools such as the endostaple or electrocautery devices. Baker suggests two main category of leaks: classic ischemic leak that tend to appear between 5-6 days after surgery and mechanical tissular that tend to appear within 2 days after surgery [28]. In current case presentation of a gastric leak 16 months after LSG the exact mechanism is obscure. Diagnosis of a gastric leak can be difficult, as the presentation can vary from asymptomatic to severe septic shock. Usual symptoms may be of the septic nature: fever, tachycardia, tachypnea, leukocytosis, abdominal pain, and peritonitis. Burgos et al. report that the initial sign of early leak was tachycardia in a series of 7 leaks in 214 patients (3.3%) [16]. In another series of 9 leaks in 210 patients, Hamilton et al. claim that tachycardia >120 beats per minute (bpm) may be the most diagnostic sign of a gastric leak [29]. Csendes et al. reported that fever was the most important and clinical indicator of gastric leaks [9]. In their series of 16 gastric leaks in 343 patients (4.66%), consistent recording of fever was apparent in all 3 categories of leak: early, intermediate, and late. More interestingly, fever was the most common sign as well as earliest to be recognized, even before the confirmation of the presence of a leak through radiological technique. In the presented case, fever was the first and most consistent symptom noted throughout, adding to the notion that initial apparent symptoms are particularly of importance when reaching diagnosis.

There are currently no protocol shows that how to manage and treat a gastric leak. However, from the literature, there is a collective agreement among the authors that timing of diagnosis plays an important role in deciding the invasive- ness and urgency of treatment. Early diagnosis (<3 days) has been shown to have a better prognosis when treated immediately surgically: either laparoscopic or open washout, drainage placement, and resuturing of leak if tissue is still in early stages of inflammation. Late diagnosis can be treated more conservatively: placement of drain, enteral nutrition, NPO, high-dose proton pump inhibitor, and broad-spectrum antibiotics [9, 16, 25, 27, 30]. Serial fluoroscopic testing is recommended weekly to ensure proper healing as well as to indicate if more invasive treatment is required.

According to the First International Consensus Summit for Sleeve Gastrectomy, treatment of leak included early over- sewing, drainage (CAT or open), endoscopic clipping, and
persisting fistula requiring fibrin glue, stents, Roux-loop, and even total gastrectomy [5]. Nguyen et al. have shown success in treatment of gastric leaks with endoscopic stenting. Given that the stent can only provide proper sealing in proximal and mid-aspect gastric sleeve leaks, it should be considered as an option in treatment [31]. In most recent study, Bege et al. have shown success and suggested an approach to endoscopic management of postbariatric fistula complications. It consists of three stages: lavage and drainage of the perianastomotic fluid (natural endoscopic transluminal endoscopic surgery “NOTES”), fistula diversion by placement of covered stent, and finally closure of fistula by clips or glue (either fibrin or cyanoacrylate) [32]. Bege et al. illustrated a safe and effective treatment modality towards complications of postbariatric procedures that encourages the initial treatment to be by endoscopic techniques and to avoid unnecessary surgery intervention.

A main point that needs to be addressed is how can these leaks be avoided? Since the exact etiology of the majority of leaks cannot be defined confidently, surgery techniques should be considered as an area open to improvement. It is agreed upon that thermal damage induced by the laparoscopic devices may be a contributing factor to the development of gastric leaks. According to Baker and Armstrong, among many others, it is advisable to carefully compress the tissue being manipulated and to sustain the position in order to allow sufficient time for fluids to exit and for the staples to be placed with ease. A consensus of gentle compression for approximately 10 seconds should be enough time to reduce the trauma level to the tissue [28, 33].

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, LSG has been popular as a stand-alone treatment of choice for morbid obesity. It has been shown to be extremely successful in decreasing excess weight in patients within a short time. In addition, a short list of complications contributes to its attractiveness as a treatment. Among them, gastric leaks after LSG procedures can be a very serious, life-threatening complication that needs immediate attention. Currently, the literature has yet to define an absolute algorithm as to how to manage and treat gastric leaks; however, there is a consensus that timing of diagnosis, severity, and location all play a role in constructing a treatment plan.
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