Proteasomal proteotranscriptomic measurements of E6-associated protein (E6AP) targets in DU145 prostate cancer cells*
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Prostate cancer is a common cause of cancer-related death in men. E6AP (E6-Associated Protein), an E3 ubiquitin ligase and a transcription cofactor, is elevated in a subset of prostate cancer patients. Genetic manipulations of E6AP in prostate cancer cells expose a role of E6AP in promoting growth and survival of prostate cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. However, the effect of E6AP on prostate cancer cells is broad and it cannot be explained fully by previously identified tumor suppressor targets of E6AP, promyelocytic leukemia protein and p27. To explore additional players that are regulated downstream of E6AP, we combined a transcriptomic and proteomic approach. We identified and quantified 16,130 transcripts and 7,209 proteins in castration resistant prostate cancer cell line, DU145. A total of 2,763 transcripts and 308 proteins were significantly altered on knockdown of E6AP. Pathway analyses supported the known phenotypic effects of E6AP knockdown in prostate cancer cells and in parallel exposed novel potential links of E6AP with cancer metabolism, DNA damage repair and immune response. Changes in expression of the top candidates were confirmed using real-time polymerase chain reaction. Of these, clusterin, a stress-induced chaperone protein, commonly deregulated in prostate cancer, was pursued further. Knockdown of E6AP resulted in increased clusterin transcript and protein levels in vitro and in vivo. Concomitant knockdown of E6AP and clusterin supported the contribution of clusterin to the phenotype induced by E6AP. Overall, results from this study provide insight into the potential biological pathways controlled by E6AP in prostate cancer cells and identifies clusterin as a novel target of E6AP. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 17: 10.1074/mcp.RA117.000504, 1170–1183, 2018.

Prostate cancer (PC) is one of the leading causes of cancer-related mortality in men, with one in five men newly diagnosed with prostate cancer presenting locally advanced or metastatic form of the disease (1). Treatment options for both metastatic and castration resistant (CRPC) forms of PC are still limited. Clinical trials with proteasomal inhibitor Bortezomib alone or in combination with docetaxel for treatment of CRPC have shown promising results (as reviewed in (2)). However, lack of specificity of Bortezomib leads to patients suffering from significant side-effects (3, 4). Inhibition of specific proteasome degradation pathways by defining key E3 ligases that promote PC can lead to the development of more effective PC therapies.

E6-associated protein (E6AP; UBE3A) is the founding member of the HECT (Homologous to E6AP Carboxyl Terminus) domain E3 ligases (5). E6AP was initially identified in the context of high risk human papillomavirus (HPV)-related cancers, where E6AP in association with viral oncoprotein E6 ubiquitinates and degrades the tumor suppressor p53 (6). Recent work from our group and others reveal roles of E6AP in HPV-independent cancers, including lung and blood cancer (7, 8). E6AP functions as an E3 ligase and as a transcriptional cofactor (9). The functions of E6AP, mostly attributed to its ligase activity include cellular growth, cell cycle, apoptosis, cellular senescence, cellular stress response and proteasomal regulation (10–16). Independent of its E3 ligase activity, E6AP also co-activates transcription by the steroid hormone receptors and by E2F1 (7, 9, 17).

E6AP plays an important part in prostate gland development and growth. E6AP-null mice have reduced wet weight of prostate glands. Consistently, mice over-expressing E6AP develop enlarged prostate glands and show prostatic intra-
epithelial neoplasia (18, 19). Moreover, knockdown of E6AP in PC cells attenuates cellular growth in vitro and in vivo (20), further supporting an oncogenic function of E6AP in PC. We have recently demonstrated that this is partially explained by the effect of E6AP on the key tumor suppressors, promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML; (20)) and p27 (17). This in vitro and in vivo work is further supported by tissue microarray data demonstrating that patients with localized PC expressing high levels of E6AP and low levels of PML have the shortest clinical relapse-free survival and this correlation is a significant predictor of prostate cancer-associated death (21). Additionally, a subset of PC patients with elevated Gleason’s score express high levels of E6AP and low levels of p27 (17), suggesting that high E6AP/low p27 correlation is associated with late stage PC. However, there are subsets of patients that do not present an inverse correlation between E6AP/PML (62%; (21)) or E6AP/p27 (68%; (17)) protein levels. As E6AP regulates a plethora of functions in PC cells, we hypothesize that other targets of E6AP are likely to contribute to its oncogenic activities. This study aims to identify novel targets of E6AP by using transcriptomic and proteomic approaches. Pathway analyses exposed novel links of E6AP with cancer metabolism, DNA damage repair and immune response. Moreover, we identify clusterin, a stress-induced chaperon protein, as a novel tumor suppressor whose expression is regulated by E6AP.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture**—DU145, PC3 and HEK293T cells were maintained in DMEM (Thermo Fisher, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Sigma-Aldrich, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia) and 0.1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). All cell lines were acquired from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia). Cell counts were performed using the Coulter cell counter (Beckman, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia).

1 The abbreviations used are: PC, prostate cancer; CRPC, castration resistant PC; E6AP, E6-Associated Protein; PML, Promyelocytic Leukaemia; SILAC, stable isotope labelling with amino acids in culture; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; HECT, Homologous to E6AP Carboxyl Terminus; HPV, human papillomavirus; DMEM, Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium; FCS, fetal calf serum; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry; FDR, false discovery rate; shRNA, short hairpin RNA against E6AP; shControl, short hairpin control; dox, doxycycline; GO, Gene Ontology; CLU, clusterin; SDC, sodium deoxycholate; TCEP, tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride; CAA, chloroacetamide; FA, formic acid; SD, standard deviation; SEM, standard error of mean; ANOVA, analysis of variance; dox, doxycycline; GFP, green fluorescent protein; SUDD2, sushi domain-containing protein 2; CDK6, cyclin-dependent kinase 6; SMARC1, SWI/SNF complex subunit SMARC1; PYGL, glycogen phosphorylase, liver form; PDE12, 2’,5’-phosphodiesterase 12; ppm, parts per million; HSP60, heat shock protein 60; HA, haemagglutinin; MDC1, mediator of DNA damage checkpoint protein 1; AP1, Activator Protein 1; HSF, heat shock factor; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; TLR, toll-like receptor; TC-NER, transcription-coupled nuclear excision repair; AR, androgen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor; ER, estrogen receptor; C/EBPα, CCAAT/Enhancer Binding Protein Alpha.

For SILAC labeling, DU145 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated dialyzed FCS (Thermo Fisher), 0.1% penicillin/streptomycin, 4 mM L-glutamine (Thermo Fisher), 84 mg/L L-arginine and 146 mg/L L-lysine. DU145 shControl cells were cultured in ‘light’ SILAC media containing naturally abundance L-arginine (15C6, 15N2; Sigma-Aldrich) and L-lysine (15C6, 15N2; Sigma-Aldrich). DU145 shE6AP cells were cultured in ‘heavy’ SILAC media containing heavy isotope L-arginine (15C6, 15N2; Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc, MA) and L-lysine (15C6, 15N2; Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc). Incorporation of SILAC label and arginine-to-proline conversion in the cells was verified by mass spectrometry prior to experimentation.

**Plasmid and Lentivirus Generation**—The sequences for lentiviruses expressing shRNA against E6AP (shE6AP) and its control (shControl) and methodology for viral production and infection have previously been described (20). The sequence of lentivirus expressing shRNA against clusterin (shCLU) was F 5’-TCCCGCTCAAGACACTAGAA-GAATTCAAGAGATTCTTCTAGG TTGCTGAGCTTTTTC-3’ and R 5’-TCAAGAAAAAGCTCAGCAACCTAGAATCTGTGCTTCTCTCTCTT-AGGTGGTGAGG-3’ and a control against clusterin (shControl-CLU) was F 5’-TCCCGCTCAAGCTAGAA-GAATTCAAGAGATTCTTCTAGG TTGCTGAGCTTTTTC-3’ and R 5’-TCAAGAAAAAGCTCAGCAACCTAGAATCTGTGCTTCTCTCTCTT-AGGTGGTGAGG-3’. Knockdown of shRNA was induced with 0.2 μg/ml doxycycline (dox; Sigma-Aldrich) in DU145 and 0.05 μg/ml dox in PC3 cells. HA-E6AP and HA-E6AP-C820A plasmids were kindly provided by Zafar Nawaz, Baylor College, Houston, TX. Clusterin plasmid was a kind gift from Saverio Bettuzzi, University of Parma, Parma, Italy (22).

**Experimental Design and Statistical Rationale**—PC cell line DU145 was chosen for the discovery-based approach as the phenotypic effects of E6AP knockdown both in vitro and in vivo have been explored in DU145 cells in our laboratory (17, 20). Triplicate samples of DU145 cells, transduced with shRNA against E6AP (shE6AP) or control (shControl), were treated with 0.2 μg/ml dox for 2.5 days to induce knockdown of E6AP. We chose this time point because it maximizes E6AP knockdown before any observed growth inhibition (20). The changes in the global transcriptome and proteome were assessed using RNA-seq and SILAC-based proteomics. Data was normalized and mRNA and protein identification and quantitation performed. GO cellular component and Reactome pathway enrichment analysis was performed on significantly (p value < 0.05) altered (±5 1.5-fold change) transcripts and proteins before validation (Fig. 1).

**Protein Preparation for Mass Spectrometry**—Proteins were extracted from cell pellets with 1% sodium deoxycholate (SDC) in 50 mM HEPES pH 8.0. The lysates were boiled at 95 °C for 5 min before probe sonication. Equal amount of protein (250 μg) from SILAC-labeled DU145 shE6AP and DU145 shControl cells was mixed. Proteins were reduced with 10 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP) and alkylated with 40 mM chloroacetamide (CAA) by boiling at 95 °C for 5 min. Lysates were digested with sequencing grade modified trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI) with an enzyme-to-substrate ratio of 1:100 overnight at 37 °C. The trypsin was inactivated by acidification with formic acid (FA; Sigma-Aldrich) and SDC was removed from the tryptic digest by two extractions with ethyl acetate (Sigma-Aldrich). Peptides were reverse-phase fractionated as previously described (23). The lyophilized peptides were resuspended in 2% ACN/0.1% FA, aided by sonication (Ultrasonic Bath XUBA3, Grant Instruments Ltd, Cambridge, UK) before MS analysis.

**LC-MS/MS**—Data-dependent acquisition was performed on a QExactive™ Plus Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled to an UltiMate® 3000 Ultra High Performance Liquid Chromatography (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with an Acclaim PepMap RSLC column (75 μm × 50 cm, nanoViper, C18, 2 μm, 100
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**Fig. 1.** Experimental design for omics approach. DU145 cells transduced with shE6AP and shControl were treated with 0.2 μg/ml dox for 2.5 days. Changes in mRNA and protein expression between triplicate samples of DU145 shE6AP + dox and shControl + dox cells were assessed by HiSeq 2500 and SILAC-based LC-MS/MS analysis. Significantly altered transcripts and proteins were subjected to pathway analysis. Top regulated candidates altered at both transcript and protein levels were validated using qRT-PCR.

Â Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a 155 mm gradient. The precursor MS scan, which covered a range of 375–1600 m/z at a resolution of 70,000, was followed by up to 10 subsequent MS/MS scans measured at a resolution of 17,500. Only the most intense multiple charged precursors were selected for higher energy collision induced dissociation fragmentation. The automatic gain control targets were set to 1E6 for the MS scans and 5E4 for the MS/MS scans. Dynamic exclusion was applied for 20 s.

**Peptide and Protein Identification—**MaxQuant (version 1.5.2.8; (24)) was used for peptide and protein identification using the human proteome database downloaded from Uniprot (UP000005640) in February 2015. The number of entries in the database searched in the MS search parameters were 89,824. The parameters for MaxQuant searches were as follows: precursor mass tolerance was set to 20 ppm (parts per million) for the first search and 4.5 ppm for the main search. Carbamidomethylation of cysteines was entered as a fixed modification. Arg10 and Lys8 were used to specify heavy labeled amino acids, a maximum labeling of three amino acids was allowed and minimum peptide length was set to 7. Oxidation of methionines and acetylation of protein N termini were considered as variable modifications. Enzyme digestion was set to trypsin with a maximum number of two missed cleavages. Using the target-decoy approach, the false discovery rate (FDR) for peptide, modification site and protein identifications was set to 1%. Match between runs was performed with a 2 min retention time window. Only unmodified and razor peptides were used for quantification with the option to quantify. Mass spectrometry data was deposited to PRIDE using the ProteomeXchange consortium guidelines (25) with the dataset identifier PXD008743 ([http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset?ID=PXDO008743](http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset?ID=PXDO008743)).

The ProteinGroups file obtained from MaxQuant was further analyzed with Perseus (version 1.5.2.6; (26)). Proteins that were identified as “only-by-site”, reverse hits and potential contaminants were removed. Outlier significance score for protein subsets was generated by intensity binning, called significance B, with a p value < 0.05 (24). Based on this statistical test, proteins could be significant in 1, 2 or 3 replicates. Proteins meeting all the following three criteria in at least 2 replicates: significance, percentage of peptide quantitative variation of < 40% (as determined by MaxQuant in the ProteinGroups file) and ≥1/≤ 1.5-fold difference were considered significant.

**Next Generation RNA Sequencing—**RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quality was determined using Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 Expert (Agilent) and quantitation of nuclear staining was performed on at least 600 cells using Metamorph® (version7.10.1.161; Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA). The experiment was performed on three biological replicates with technical duplicates.

**Quantitative Real-time PCR—**cDNA synthesis and quantitative real-time PCR were performed as previously described (17). Primers used are listed in supplemental Table S1. All experiments were performed in technical triplicates in three independent biological samples. Gene expression was calculated using 2^ΔΔCt and statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA). The unpaired student’s t test was used for comparison of ^3^Ct and p values < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Immunoblotting—**Immunoblotting was performed as previously described (20). Primary antibodies against E6AP (E6AP-330, Sigma-Aldrich), clusterin (41D, Millipore, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia), CDK6 (C21, Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX), HSP60 (H-300, Santa Cruz), HA (3F10, Roche, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia) and GFP (B2, Santa Cruz) were used. Secondary antibodies used were goat anti-mouse IgG, anti-rabbit IgG (Thermo Fisher) or anti-rat IgG (Dako, Santa Clara, CA).

**Immunofluorescence—**Immunofluorescence was performed as previously described (17). Antibody against MDC1 (Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568 (Thermo Fisher) were used. Cells were imaged on Olympus BX-51 (Olympus, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia) and quantitation of nuclear staining was performed on at least 600 cells using Metamorph® (version7.10.1.161; Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA). The experiment was performed on three biological replicates with technical duplicates.
Flow Cytometry—Flow cytometry was performed as previously described (31), except non-permeabilized cells were resuspended in 2% FCS/0.1% NaN3/PBS and stained with antibody against SUSD2 (Novus Biological, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia) and anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568. Flow cytometry analysis was assessed by BD FACScantill (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) cytometer and gated to exclude cellular debris. Percentage of cells double positive for GFP and Alexa Fluor 568 were calculated. The experiment was performed on two biologically independent samples with technical triplicates.

Xenografts Study—DU145 xenografts experiments were performed as explained in (20). The animal experiments were performed with the ethics approval of the Peter MacCallum Institute Animal Experimentation Committee.

Statistical Analysis—Statistical analysis for cell culture studies was performed using GraphPad Prism 7. All experiments were performed in technical replicates in at least two biological replicates. Data is presented as mean ± standard deviation (S.D.) for technical replicates. Data is presented as mean ± standard error of mean (S.E.) for biological replicates. Unpaired student t test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine significance and was represented as * for p value < 0.05, ** for p value < 0.01, *** for p value < 0.001 or **** for p value < 0.0001.

RESULTS

Exploring the Transcripts Altered on E6AP Knockdown in PC Cells—We recently demonstrated that E6AP plays an oncogenic role in PC (17, 20, 21). Here we aimed to identify novel downstream effectors of E6AP that mediate its oncogenic functions in PC. PC cell line DU145 was chosen for this discovery-based approach as the phenotypic effects of E6AP knockdown both in vitro and in vivo have been explored in DU145 cells in our laboratory (17, 20). DU145 cells, transduced with shRNA against E6AP (shE6AP) or control (shControl), were treated with 0.2 μg/ml dox for 2.5 days to induce knockdown of E6AP. We chose this time point because it maximizes E6AP knockdown before any observed growth inhibition (20). Because E6AP acts as a transcriptional cofactor (32), we explored the transcripts altered on E6AP knockdown in PC cells by RNA-seq. This led to the identification and quantification of 16,130 transcripts across triplicates of the two conditions are well separated in the first dimension, suggesting that a distinct transcriptional signature was demonstrated a binomial distribution (supplemental Fig. S1A). A histogram of the total number of transcripts against the log2 of fold change demonstrated a binomial distribution (supplemental Fig. S1B). The change in mRNA expression induced following knockdown of E6AP was reproducible between replicates, supported by a 4% median coefficient of variation between the samples (supplemental Fig. S1C). Of the total transcripts identified, 2763 transcripts were significantly (p value < 0.05) altered by at least 1.5-fold, with increased expression of 1139 transcripts and decreased expression of 1624 transcripts (supplemental Table S3). Expectedly, the expression of E6AP mRNA was reduced by 4-fold (Fig. 2A). Transcripts significantly altered on E6AP knockdown were enriched in GO cellular components including axonal initial segment, clathrin-coated phagocytic vesicle membrane, clathrin coat of endocytic vesicle and node of Ranvier (supplemental Fig. S1D). Reactome pathways associated with up-regulated transcripts were G1/S-specific transcription, E2F mediated regulation of DNA replication, RNA polymerase I promoter opening and DNA methylation (Fig. 2B). The biological pathways linked with down-regulated transcripts were mostly related to metabolism: metabolism of lipids and lipoproteins, peroxisomal lipid metabolism, β-oxidation of very long fatty acids and nephrin interactions (Fig. 2C).

Exploring the Proteins Altered on E6AP Knockdown in PC Cells—E6AP is an established E3 ubiquitin ligase. Multiple E6AP targets have been identified in the context of viral-independent cancers (7, 8), however only a limited number of targets have been described to modulate the effect of E6AP in prostate tumorigenesis (17, 20). Analysis of proteins in DU145 cells led to identification and quantification of 7209 proteins (supplemental Table S4). These proteins were identified by two or more unique peptides and were quantified with more than one shE6AP/shControl ratio between the triplicate samples. A strong Pearson’s correlation (average of 0.75; supplemental Fig. S2A) and a less than 20% median coefficient of variation (supplemental Fig. S2B) between three independent biological replicates demonstrated that the replicates produce similar results and the identified proteins showed a normal distribution (supplemental Fig. S2C). Of the total identified proteins, 309 were significantly altered with up-regulation of 138 proteins and down-regulation of 171 proteins on E6AP knockdown (supplemental Table S5). Expectedly, E6AP abundance was reduced by 4-fold (Fig. 3A). GO analysis of proteins significantly altered in DU145 cells following E6AP knockdown revealed enrichment in the focal adhesion, actin cytoskeleton, mitochondrial pyruvate dehydrogenase complex and mitochondrial endopeptidase Clp complex (supplemental Fig. S2D). Endosomal/vacuolar pathway, antigen processing-cross presentation, ER-phagosome pathway and trafficking and processing of endosomal TLR, pathways associated with the immune system, were associated with significantly up-regulated proteins on E6AP knockdown (Fig. 3B). The biological pathways linked with proteins down-regulated following E6AP knockdown were metabolism, tRNA aminoacylation, metabolism of amino acids and derivatives and cytosolic tRNA aminoacylation (Fig. 3C). The pathways associated with aminoacylation indicate a role of E6AP in protein metabolism, particularly, with translation of proteins (33), which has been shown to be relevant in the context of prostate cancer pathogenesis (34).

Biological Pathways Shared Between Significantly Altered Transcripts and Proteins on E6AP Knockdown—Subsequently, we compared significant biological pathways associated with only up-regulated or only down-regulated transcripts and proteins following E6AP knockdown. Of all the
statistically significant biological pathways associated with the up-regulated transcripts and proteins, 6 biological pathways were common between them following E6AP knockdown (supplemental Fig. S3A). Notably, the pathways "cell cycle, mitotic" and "cell cycle" were associated with up-regulated transcripts and proteins. Similarly, of all the significant biological pathways associated with the down-regulated transcripts and proteins, 13 biological pathways were common between them following E6AP knockdown (supplemental Fig. S3B). Interestingly, most of the pathways associated with transcripts and proteins down-regulated on E6AP knockdown were related to various metabolic pathways including, but not limited to, fatty acids, lipids, lipoproteins and vitamins. This analysis suggests a correlation between E6AP and metabolism of prostate cancer cells.

Integration of the Transcriptomics and Proteomics Screens—To gain further insight into the levels at which E6AP affects its candidate targets, we compared the results of the significantly altered transcripts and proteins following E6AP knockdown in DU145 cells (Fig. 4A). Most of the identified transcripts were altered only at the mRNA level on E6AP knockdown in DU145 cells with up-regulation of 1080 transcripts and down-regulation of 1541 transcripts. Conversely, 79 and 88 proteins were observed to be only post-transcriptionally up- and down-regulated respectively, without any accompanying changes of their mRNA transcript levels. A total of 142 SILAC-labeled proteins were also significantly changed at their mRNA level in DU145 cells on E6AP knockdown. The change in expression of these transcripts was consistently in the same direction as the proteins (59 up-regulated and 83 down-regulated) on knockdown of E6AP (supplemental Fig. S4) and this positive correlation was further supported by a Spearman’s correlation of 0.83 (p value < 0.0001; Fig. 4B). The most predominant pathways associated with these proteins were interferon gamma signaling, interferon signaling, cytokine signaling in immune system and formation of transcription-coupled nuclear excision repair (TC-NER) pre-incision complex (Fig. 4C). The identification of these pathways raises a novel potential link of E6AP to the immune system and DNA damage repair. The pathway signature of candidates that are altered at transcript and protein level was surprisingly distinct from the biological pathways.

**Fig. 2. Transcripts altered on E6AP knockdown in DU145 cells.** Triplet samples of DU145 shE6AP and shControl cells were treated with 0.2 μg/ml dox for 2.5 days before extraction of mRNA and RNA sequencing. A, Volcano plot visualizing transcriptomic alterations where the observed log2 fold changes of each transcript are plotted against their -log10 p values. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to p value < 0.05 and the vertical dashed line corresponds to a 1.5-fold decrease or increase. B, Significant biological pathways (-log10 p value; orange bars) and percentage of transcripts (blue dots) associated with significantly up-regulated transcripts on knockdown of E6AP. C, Significant biological pathways (-log10 p value; orange bars) and percentage of transcripts (blue dots) associated with significantly down-regulated transcripts following knockdown of E6AP.
altered by E6AP candidates changing only transcriptionally (supplemental Fig. S5A) or only post-transcriptionally (supplemental Fig. S5B).

Validation of Selected Candidates Altered on Knockdown of E6AP—To validate the results of the screen, we selected seven top-regulated candidates that were altered on knockdown of E6AP (Fig. 5A). These included cell growth regulators such as, clusterin (CLU), cyclin dependent kinase 6 (CDK6), sush domain-containing protein 2 (SUSD2) and SWI/SNF complex subunit, SMARCC1; enzymes such as glycogen phosphorylase, liver form (PYGL) and 2',5'-phosphodiesterase 12 (PDE12); as well as the DNA damage repair protein, mediator of DNA damage checkpoint 1 (MDC1). The expression of candidates that were altered at both mRNA and protein level following E6AP knockdown was confirmed using qRT-PCR. Validating the RNA-seq data, we observed increased expression of CLU, CDK6 and SUSD2 and decreased expression of SMARCC1, PYGL and PDE12 on E6AP knockdown (Fig. 5B–5G). The protein abundance of clusterin, CDK6 and SUSD2 was increased on knockdown of E6AP, corroborating the results of qRT-PCR and SILAC data (supplemental Fig. S6). In addition, a reduction in MDC1 protein expression, as identified by SILAC, was confirmed using immunofluorescence (Fig. 5H and supplemental Fig. S6). Taken together, these results validate selected candidates from the transcriptomic and proteomic screens.

Clusterin Expression is Elevated on E6AP Knockdown in Vitro and in Vivo—Consistent with results in Fig. 5B and (supplemental Fig. S6A), clusterin expression was increased at both mRNA and protein level on E6AP knockdown using a different shRNA targeting E6AP, discarding the possibility that the observed changes are off-target effects of the short hairpin used in the screen (supplemental Fig. S7). To study whether the inverse correlation between E6AP and clusterin was restricted to DU145 cells or a more general characteristic of PC cells, we analyzed the effect of down-regulation of E6AP on clusterin levels in the castration resistant and metastatic PC cell line, PC3. E6AP knockdown in PC3 cells resulted in a significant increase in the abundance of clusterin mRNA (Fig. 6A) and protein level (Fig. 6B). In addition, we
evaluated the effect of E6AP knockdown on clusterin mRNA and protein levels in an in vivo setting, using DU145 xenograft model previously described (20). qRT-PCR and immunoblotting of tumors collected at the ethical end point (1500 mm³) demonstrated that knockdown of E6AP increased clusterin mRNA (Fig. 6C) and protein (Fig. 6D) expression compared with control tumors. Of note, the different molecular masses of clusterin protein represent different stages of clusterin glycosylation (35). Taken together, our data strongly demonstrates that down-regulation of E6AP is associated with increased levels of clusterin mRNA and protein in PC in vitro as well as in vivo.

E6AP Regulates Clusterin also at the Post-transcriptional Level—To test the possibility that E6AP also regulates clusterin post-transcriptionally, we measured the effect of E6AP on the steady-state levels of clusterin. To achieve this, we examined the expression of clusterin in presence of a HA-tagged wild-type (WT) form of E6AP (E6AP-HA) or a catalytically inactive mutant E6AP-C820A-HA. Forty-eight hours post transfection, cells were harvested and total protein lysates were subjected to immunoblotting. As shown in Fig. 7A, transfection of increasing amounts of E6AP-HA resulted in a reduction of clusterin protein levels. GFP expression was used to control for the transfection efficiency. Consistently, there was an increase in clusterin levels with increasing concentrations of E6AP-C820A-HA. This suggested that the overexpressed catalytically mutant E6AP had a dominant negative effect on the endogenous E6AP. Overall, these results indicate that the catalytic activity of E6AP is required for the reduction in clusterin protein levels.

Subsequently, we determined whether the increase in clusterin protein on knockdown of E6AP was because of reduced protein degradation of clusterin. For this purpose, we examined clusterin protein stability by measuring the effect of E6AP on the half-life of clusterin in DU145 cells. The half-life of clusterin was prolonged (~90 min) on knockdown of E6AP compared with a half-life of clusterin of ~50 min in the presence of E6AP (Fig. 7B). In contrast, the half-life of clusterin remained unchanged in control cells (supplemental Fig. S8), demonstrating dependence
Overall, these results demonstrate that knockdown of E6AP prolongs the half-life of clusterin protein.

Concomitant Knockdown of Clusterin and E6AP Partially Restores Cell Growth—To determine the contribution of clusterin to the effect of E6AP on PC cell proliferation, we concomitantly knocked down clusterin along with E6AP. To achieve this, PC3 shE6AP or shControl cells were transduced with a short hairpin against clusterin (shCLU) or a control for clusterin (shControl-CLU) in the dox-inducible expression system described previously. The experiment was performed for 6 days as a significant effect on cell growth following knockdown of E6AP was observed at this time point (20).

Following treatment of cells with dox for 6 days, simultaneous reduction in E6AP and clusterin protein levels was observed (Fig. 8). Knockdown of clusterin in PC3 cells increased cell growth. As we previously demonstrated (17), there was a 51% reduction in cell numbers following knockdown of E6AP in PC3 cells. Notably, a concomitant knockdown of E6AP and clusterin partially restored cell growth by 23% (Fig. 8B), supporting the contribution of clusterin to the effect of E6AP on the proliferation of PC cells.

**DISCUSSION**

Although E6AP has historically been studied in the context of HPV E6-associated cancers, the functional significance of E6AP in promoting cancer in an E6-independent manner recently attracted attention. In the current study, we identify novel E6AP-regulated transcripts and proteins by performing a combined transcriptomics and proteomics approach. Our results support the recent work undertaken in our laboratory,

| Protein name                          | Gene ID | Uniprot ID | RNA-seq     | SILAC      |
|---------------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|
|                                      |         |            | FC | p-value | FC | Sig B^ | Variability (%) |
| E6-associated protein                 | UBE3A   | Q05086     | -3.86 | 1.64E-29 | -4.64 | 2/3 | 49          |
| Clusterin                             | CLU     | P10909     | 2.71  | 2.65E-33 | 1.98  | 2/3 | 18          |
| Cyclin dependent kinase 6             | CDK6    | Q00534     | 4.02  | 4.17E-26 | 2.61  | 3/3 | 9           |
| Sushi domain-containing protein 2     | SUSD2   | Q00534     | 3.11  | 3.58E-27 | 4.85  | 2/3 | 27          |
| SWI/SNF complex subunit SMARC1        | SMARC1  | Q092922    | -2.57 | 1.59E-28 | -1.89 | 3/3 | 13          |
| Glycogen phosphorylase, liver form    | PYGL    | P06737     | -2.32 | 2.97E-32 | -2.20 | 2/3 | 23          |
| 2,5'-phosphodiesterase 12             | PDE12   | Q6L8G7     | -2.89 | 2.90E-29 | -2.32 | 3/3 | 11          |
| Mediator of DNA damage checkpoint protein 1 | MDC1    | Q14676     | -1  | -       | -1.95 | 2/3 | 34          |

**Fig. 5. Validation of E6AP candidates.** A. Table depicting fold change (FC) and p value of top-regulated candidates from the transcriptomic and proteomic screens on knockdown of E6AP in DU145 cells. ^ Sig B represents the Significance B criteria was used to determine significance of proteins (24). B–H, Three independent samples of DU145 shE6AP and shControl cells were treated with 0.2 μg/ml dox for 3 days. CLU (B), CDK6 (C), SUSD2 (D), SMARC1 (E), PYGL (F) and PDE12 (G) mRNA level was normalized to RLP37A and mRNA expression in shE6AP cells was expressed relative to shControl. Data represents mean ± standard deviation of technical triplicates of one biological replicate, p value was determined using unpaired Student’s t test with ** p value < 0.01; *** p value < 0.001; **** p value < 0.0001. H, Representative immunofluorescence staining of MDC1 protein in DU145 cells on E6AP knockdown.
particularly on how E6AP expression interplays with cell cycle regulators beyond the known link with p27 (17). Moreover, pathway analyses corroborate the phenotypic effect of E6AP knockdown observed in DU145 cells, namely, decreased cell growth, increased cell death and increased sensitivity to DNA damage (20).

To date, limited numbers of transcriptional targets of E6AP have been identified. We observe a greater number of E6AP-regulated transcripts compared with E6AP-regulated proteins in the current study. There are several possible explanations for this. Firstly, E6AP co-activates top layer transcription factors, such as E2F1 (7, 17), SP1, PR, ER, and GR (9), resulting in a potentially broad transcriptional cascade via activation of secondary response genes (36). Secondly, RNA sequencing is much more sensitive compared with the limited dynamic range of current mass spectrometers, contributing to the disparity in number of transcripts versus proteins identified (37). Thirdly, cellular mRNA and protein abundances are controlled by transcription rate, mRNA stability and mRNA splicing in addition to translation rate and protein degradation (38, 39). This suggests that variation in protein abundances may be partially predicted by mRNA expression (40). Lastly, marginal changes in mRNA expression may lead to substantial changes in protein expression over time (41), suggesting that the time at which changes at mRNA versus protein expression are measured can be important.

To filter out the most dominant changes related to E6AP knockdown, we carried out our analysis on candidates that were significantly changed at both mRNA and protein level. The modes of regulation of these candidates by E6AP could be either as (1) a transcription cofactor, as ~25–30% of the variation in protein abundance can be explained by mRNA expression (38); (2) an E3 ligase for a transcription factor such as C/EBPα (42, 43), thereby indirectly affecting the regulation of candidates downstream of C/EBPα; or (3) a transcription cofactor and an E3 ligase as in the case of p27, AR and amplified in breast cancer 1 (11, 17, 19, 44). The perturbation of the steady state level of a candidate by E6AP as both a transcription cofactor and an E3 ligase in part reflects the different kinetics of the two distinct functions of E6AP. Therefore, it is conceivable that the distinct biological pathways associated with candidates altered only at the transcriptional level versus those altered only post-transcriptionally could be attributed to these different

---

**Fig. 6.** Increased clusterin mRNA and protein expression on knockdown of E6AP in vitro and in vivo. qRT-PCR (A) and immunoblotting (B) of clusterin mRNA and protein levels in PC3 shE6AP and Control (parental) cells treated with 0.05 μg/ml dox for 3 days. mRNA data representative of mean ± S.D. of technical triplicates of one of three independent experiments. Immunoblot represents three independent experiments. DU145 shE6AP and shControl cells were injected subcutaneously in NSG mice and treated with dox as detailed under “Experimental Procedures.” End point tumor samples were analyzed for clusterin mRNA and protein levels by qRT-PCR (C) and immunoblotting (D). mRNA data represents mean ± S.E. of three independent mice. For all qRT-PCR, CLU mRNA expression was normalized to housekeeping gene hRLP37A and data is expressed relative to shControl or Control. p value is calculated by unpaired Student t test * p value < 0.05; **** p value < 0.0001.
functions of E6AP as a transcription cofactor and an E3 ligase.

Consistent with previous work undertaken in our laboratory (15, 20), pathway analyses revealed a functional link between E6AP and DNA damage response. The current study builds on this link between E6AP and DNA damage response by associating it with a candidate target, MDC1. MDC1, in conjunction with the MRE complex, is recruited to damaged DNA to induce homologous DNA repair and is essential for intra-S and G2/M cell cycle checkpoints (45, 46). There is evidence that MDC1 also plays a role in non-homologous end joining repair (NHEJ) (47) and nucleotide excision repair pathways (48, 49). Recent work by Wang et al. demonstrated that MDC1 co-actives AR transcription, underlining the importance of MDC1 in PC (50). This raises several questions including how E6AP regulates MDC1,
Proteotranscriptomic Profiling of Potential E6AP Targets

which DNA damage repair pathways are altered by E6AP and whether there is a possible therapeutic opportunity with E6AP inhibitors (51) and PARP inhibitors (52) for the treatment of PC.

Jensen et al. previously demonstrated a role of E6AP in Drosophila metabolism; however, no candidate was associated with this link (53). Our study is the first to show a potential link between E6AP and cellular metabolism in the context of cancer. PYGL, the liver form of glycogen phosphorylase, is important for glycogen breakdown and is associated with tumor progression (54). Functionally, knockdown of PYGL leads to glycogen accumulation, reduction in cell growth, increased reactive oxygen species and premature senescence under hypoxic conditions (54). Similarly, an inverse correlation between glycogen accumulation and cell growth was also observed in PC cell lines in the presence of androgen (55). These results raise the possibility that modulation of PYGL by E6AP may explain the phenotypic effects of E6AP knockdown in PC cells.

Our results demonstrate a novel putative link between E6AP and cytokine signaling in the immune system. Interferon signaling suppresses PC cell growth (56). Indeed, overexpression of mitochondrial nuclease, PDE12 prevents interferon induced cell death in PC cells (57) and suppression of PDE12 imparts resistance to and spread of viral infection (57, 58). Blocking PDE12 using inhibitors would promote the anti-proliferative and antiviral response induced by interferon (57, 58). Therefore, reduction in PDE12 expression on E6AP knockdown may act as a feedback loop in promoting interferon induced cell death.

Regulation of cell cycle proteins by E6AP is extensively studied (14, 59–61). However, to date p27 has been the only E6AP target identified in PC (17). Our study further expands on this by identifying novel cell cycle targets of E6AP, including CDK6, SUSD2 and SMARCC1. CDK6 is responsible for G1/S transition in the cell cycle (62). Several studies demonstrated a tumor suppressive function of CDK6 (63–65). Independent of its kinase activity, CDK6 regulates transcription of p16, leading to cell cycle inhibition (82). This poses an interesting possibility that E6AP may modulate p16 expression via CDK6, as an alternative pathway to E2F1 described previously (7). Alternatively, E6AP may mediate CDK6-dependent transcription of AR in an androgen-dependent context (66). SUSD2 is a type I membrane protein containing somatomedin B, adhesion-associated domain present in MUC4 and other proteins (AMOP), von Willebrand factor type D, and Sushi domains, important for cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesion (67). SUSD2 expression is decreased in lung and kidney (67). Furthermore, the role of SUSD2 in reducing cell proliferation and colony formation and promoting apoptosis is well documented (67), highlighting the potential modulation of cell growth by E6AP in PC cells via SUSD2. SMARCC1 is a core component of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex that induces replicative senescence in colon and ovarian cell lines (68). SMARCC1 is deregulated in PC (69, 70), however, the correlation between SMARCC1 expression and PC progression is not consistent between the two studies and these studies do not define the functional significance of SMARCC1 deregulation in PC. Our laboratory has recently demonstrated that E6AP knockdown induces cellular senescence in PC xenografts models (20), suggesting a potential role of SMARCC1 in modulating cellular senescence in PC cells.

Clusterin, a stress-induced chaperon protein (71), is involved in cell signaling (72), apoptosis (73), cellular migration (74), metastasis (75), DNA repair (76, 77), lipid accumulation (78), and lipid transport (79). Clusterin was selected as a candidate to study further as it ranked as one of the top regulated candidates of E6AP and some of its functions are related to the biology of E6AP. Moreover, several lines of evidence support the role of clusterin as a tumor suppressor in PC (22, 35, 80–82). Clusterin transcript and protein expression are decreased in epithelial cells of low- and high-grade PC samples from patients that underwent androgen deprivation therapy followed by radical prostatectomy (83, 84). Moreover, significant reduction in clusterin transcript expression was correlated with negative prognosis (defined by increased PSA levels following surgery, distant metastasis and/or lymph node involvement) in these PC patients (84). Bettuzzi et al. demonstrated that 67% of CLU homozygous KO mouse developed PINs and 34% develop well-differentiated carcinomas (85). Clusterin overexpression in immortalized human prostate epithelial cells and PC cell lines reduced colony formation, cellular proliferation, induced cell cycle arrest and increased cell death (22, 81, 82). Consistent with these studies, our results demonstrate a tumor suppressive role of clusterin in PC3 cells. Further, concomitant knockdown of clusterin together with E6AP partially restores the effect of E6AP on the proliferation of PC3 cells. These results support the contribution of clusterin to the promotion of PC cell proliferation by E6AP (Fig. 9).

Clusterin is regulated by various transcription factors, including, AR, cFOS, cMYC, Activator Protein 1 (AP1), ERG1, heat shock factor (HSF)1-HSF2 heterocomplex and HIF-1α (86–90). The mechanism by which E6AP transcriptionally regulates clusterin remains to be investigated. Clusterin is a poly-ubiquitinated protein that is degraded by the ubiquitin proteasome system (80). Until now, endoplasmic reticulum-associated ubiquitin ligase Hrd1 is the only ubiquitin ligase that has been shown to bind and ubiquitinate clusterin (71). Our results suggest that E6AP may be an E3 ubiquitin ligase of clusterin.

In conclusion, this study enhances our understanding of the biology of E6AP in prostate cancer. The combined transcriptomic and proteomic discovery approach exposed novel biological pathways and candidates that are affected by modulating E6AP expression in PC cells. Some of the candidates identified have not been linked to E6AP previously, expanding
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