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Abstract. In [Ka], as evidence for his conjecture in birational log geometry,
Kawamata constructed a family of derived equivalences between toric orbifolds.
In [FLTZ2] we showed that the derived category of a toric orbifold is naturally
identified with a category of polyhedrally-constructible sheaves on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). In
this paper we investigate and reprove some of Kawamata’s results from this
perspective.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Coherent-constructible correspondence. The coherent-constructible correspondence (CCC), defined in [FLTZ1, TR] and first described by Bondal [Bo], is an equivalence between a category of coherent sheaves on a toric n-fold and a category of constructible sheaves on a compact n-torus \((S^1)^n\). An equivariant version of this correspondence can be viewed as a “categorification” of Morelli’s description of the equivariant K-theory of a toric variety in terms of a polytope algebra [Mo]. Generalizing the familiar correspondence in toric geometry between ample equivariant line bundles and moment polytopes, the equivariant CCC provides an equivalence between torus-equivariant coherent sheaves on a toric n-fold and constructible sheaves on \(\mathbb{R}^n\), the universal cover of \((S^1)^n\).

The CCC was extended to toric Deligne-Mumford (DM) stacks in [FLTZ2]. Toric DM stacks were defined by Borisov-Chen-Smith in terms of stacky fans [BCS]. In this paper we consider toric orbifolds, which are toric DM stacks with generically trivial stabilizers. Let \(X_{\Sigma}\) be a complete toric orbifold defined by a stacky fan \(\Sigma = (N, \Sigma, \beta)\), where \(N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n\), \(\Sigma\) is a simplicial fan in \(N_\mathbb{R} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^n\). It contains the torus \(T \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n\) as a dense open subset. The CCC for the toric orbifold \(X_{\Sigma}\) is the following quasi-equivalence of triangulated dg categories:

\[
\kappa_\Sigma : \text{Perf}_T(X_{\Sigma}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sh}_{cc}(M_\mathbb{R}; \Lambda_{\Sigma})
\]

where \(\text{Perf}_T(X_{\Sigma})\) is the category of \(T\)-equivariant perfect complexes on \(X_{\Sigma}\), and \(\text{Sh}_{cc}(M_\mathbb{R}; \Lambda_{\Sigma})\) is a category of constructible sheaves on \(M_\mathbb{R}\) (the dual space of \(N_\mathbb{R}\)) characterized by a conical Lagrangian \(\Lambda_{\Sigma} \subset T^*M_\mathbb{R}\) determined by the stacky fan \(\Sigma\).

The precise definitions of the categories in (1) will be given in Section 3. Moreover, the functor \(\kappa_\Sigma\) is monoidal with respect to the tensor product of coherent sheaves on \(X_{\Sigma}\) and the convolution product of constructible sheaves on \(M_\mathbb{R}\). Please note that since toric orbifolds are smooth DM stacks, the category \(\text{Perf}_T(X)\) is the same as the category \(\text{Coh}_T(X)\), and we will use both notations interchangeably throughout the paper.

1.2. Fourier-Mukai Transforms. The coarse moduli space of the toric orbifold \(X_{\Sigma}\) is the toric variety \(X_{\Sigma}\) defined by the simplicial fan \(\Sigma\). The toric orbifold \(X_{\Sigma}\) is the DM stack associated to a log pair \((X_{\Sigma}, B)\) in the sense of Kawamata [Ka, Definition 2.1]. Kawamata considered pairs \((X, B)\) of varieties and \(Q\)-divisors which have smooth local coverings. For such a pair he associated a DM stack \(X\), such that \(p^*(K_X + B) = K_X\), where \(p : X' \to X\) is the canonical map to the coarse moduli space. He conjectured that if there is an equivalence of log canonical divisors between birationally equivalent pairs, then there is an equivalence of derived categories of the associated DM stacks [Ka, Conjecture 2.2]. He proved his conjecture for quasi-smooth toroidal pairs. Here we briefly describe his results in the toric case; please see [Ka, Theorem 4.2] for the precise statements, in the toroidal case (which includes the toric case as a special case). Let \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) be toric orbifolds associated to projective toric log pairs \((X_1, B)\) and \((X_2, C)\), respectively. Suppose that \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) are \(K\)-equivalent [Wa] in the sense that there exists a toric orbifold \(W\) and proper birational morphisms \(\mu_i : W \to X_i\) of toric orbifolds such that \(\mu_1^* K_{X_1} = \mu_2^* K_{X_2}\). Then there is an equivalence of triangulated categories: \(D^b\text{Coh}(X_2) \cong D^b\text{Coh}(X_1)\). Indeed, Kawamata proved that if \(\mu_1^* K_{X_1} \geq \mu_2^* K_{X_2}\) and the birational map \(f : X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2\) is (1) the identity, (2) a divisorial contraction, (3) the inverse of a divisorial contraction, or (4) a flip, then the Fourier-Mukai functor
$F'_{12} = \mu_1 \circ \mu_2$ is fully faithful; it is an equivalence when $\mu_1^* K_{X_1} = \mu_2^* K_{X_2}$. The McKay correspondence for abelian quotient singularities is a special case of (2) or (3).

1.3. CCC and Fourier-Mukai transforms. It is natural to expect that Kawamata’s theorem [Ka, Theorem 4.2] holds in the equivariant setting, and that one can use CCC to give an elementary proof. The following square of functors commutes up to natural isomorphisms

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Perf}_T(X_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sh}_{cc}(M_R; \Lambda \Sigma_2) \\
\kappa_2 & & \downarrow F_{12} \\
\text{Perf}_T(X_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sh}_{cc}(M_R; \Lambda \Sigma_1)
\end{array}
\]

where $\kappa_i$ are quasi-equivalences. So it suffices to prove that $F_{12}$ is cohomologically full and faithful in various cases (1)–(4). In this paper, we provide such elementary proofs in cases (1), (2), and (3). We describe $F_{12}$ and $F'_{12}$ explicitly in terms of theta sheaves (see Section 3.3) which are building blocks of the CCC. Our proofs do not rely on the vanishing theorems in [KMM].

Note that $F_{12}$ and $F'_{12}$ do not preserve the monoidal structures.

Remark 1.1. Although we are restricting to toric orbifolds, i.e. toric DM stacks with a generically trivial stabilizer, Proposition 3.1 of [PLTZ2] shows that for any toric DM stack $X$, the dg category $\text{Perf}_T(X) \cong \text{Perf}_T(X^{\text{rig}})$ where $T$ is the DM torus acting on $X$, and the orbifold $X^{\text{rig}}$ is the rigidification of $X$. (See [FMN] for definitions.) Thus the result of this paper implies the functor $\text{Perf}_{T_1}(X_2) \to \text{Perf}_{T_1}(X_1)$ is a quasi-embedding in cases (1), (2), and (3).

1.4. A simple example: McKay correspondence for the $A_1$-singularity. $X_2 = \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is the $A_1$-singularity, $X_1 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$ is its crepant resolution, and $X'_1 = X_1$ and $X'_2 = [\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2]$ are the canonical toric orbifolds associated to $X_1$ and $X_2$ respectively. In this case both $F'_{12}$ and $F'_{21}$ are equivalences, as shown in Figure 1.

1.5. Outline. In Section 2 we give a brief introduction to toric orbifolds. In Section 3 we give a leisure exposition of the CCC of toric orbifolds; we also state the CCC for toric varieties. In Section 4 we elaborate Kawamata’s theorem in the equivariant setting from the perspective of constructible sheaves.

Acknowledgments. We thank H.-H. Tseng for bringing [Ka, Theorem 4.2] to our attention. We thank Y. Kawamata for his helpful comments on a draft of this paper.

2. Toric Orbifolds

In [BCS], Borisov-Chen-Smith introduced toric DM stacks. In this paper we will consider the case of toric orbifolds. A toric orbifold is a toric DM stack with trivial generic stabilizer.

\[\text{We use } F'_{12} \text{ instead of } F_{12} \text{ since the notation without prime is reserved for the induced functor on constructible sheaves.}\]
2.1. The Stacky Fan. Let \( N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \) be a free abelian group, and let \( \Sigma \) be a simplicial fan in \( N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \). The pair \((N, \Sigma)\) defines a simplicial toric variety \( X_\Sigma \) of dimension \( n \) (see [Fu]). Let \( \Sigma(1) = \{ \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r \} \) be the set of 1-dimensional cones in the fan \( \Sigma \), and let \( v_i \in N \) be the unique generator of the semigroup \( \rho_i \cap N \), so that \( \rho_i \cap N = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} v_i \).

A stacky fan \( \Sigma \) is defined as the data \((N, \Sigma, \beta)\), where
\[
\beta : \tilde{N} := \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z} \tilde{b}_i \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \rightarrow N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n
\]
is a group homomorphism sending \( \tilde{b}_i \) to \( b_i = n_i v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} v_i \). If \( n_i = 1 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \) then the corresponding map is denoted by \( \beta_{\text{can}} \). We assume that \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\} \) span \( N_{\mathbb{R}} \), which implies the cokernel of \( \beta : \tilde{N} \rightarrow N \) is finite.

**Example 2.1.** \( N = \mathbb{Z}^2, \tilde{N} = \mathbb{Z}^3 \). The fan \( \Sigma \) and the map \( \beta \) are shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** The stacky fan \( \Sigma = (N, \Sigma, \beta) \), with \( \Sigma \) and \( \beta \) shown above. The dots are \( b_1 = (1, 0), b_2 = (-1, -2), \) and \( b_3 = (0, 1) \).

We next consider a 1-dimensional example in which \( \beta \neq \beta_{\text{can}} \).

**Example 2.2.** \( N = \mathbb{Z}, \tilde{N} = \mathbb{Z} \). The fan \( \Sigma \) and the map \( \beta \) are shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3](image)
2.2. Construction of the Toric Orbifold. Let $M = \text{Hom}(N; \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual lattice of $N$, and let $\widetilde{M} = \text{Hom}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual lattice of $\widetilde{N}$. Since $\beta : \widetilde{N} \to N$ has a finite cokernel, the dual map $\beta^* : M \to \widetilde{M}$ is injective. Applying $\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{C}^*)$ to the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\beta^\vee} \text{coker}(\beta^*) \to 0,$$

we obtain another short exact sequence

$$1 \to G_{\Sigma} \to \widetilde{T} \to T \to 1,$$

where $G_{\Sigma} := \text{Hom}(\text{coker}(\beta^*), \mathbb{C}^*)$ is isomorphic to the direct product of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{r-n}$ and a finite abelian group, and

$$\widetilde{T} = \text{Hom}(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^r, \quad T = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C}^*) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.$$

The torus $\widetilde{T} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^r = \text{Spec}\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_r]$. Let $I_{\Sigma} \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_r]$ be the ideal generated by $\prod_{\rho \in \sigma} z_1^{\rho_1} \cdots z_r^{\rho_r}$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$. (Note that the ideal $I_{\Sigma}$ depends on $N$ and $\Sigma$ but not on $\beta$.) Let $Z(I_{\Sigma}) \subset \mathbb{C}^r$ be the closed subscheme defined by $I_{\Sigma}$, and let $U_{\Sigma} = \mathbb{C}^r - Z(I_{\Sigma})$, which is a Zariski open set of $\mathbb{C}^r$. We define $X_{\Sigma}$ to be the quotient stack:

$$X_{\Sigma} = [U_{\Sigma}/G_{\Sigma}].$$

The simplicial toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ defined by $\Sigma$ can be identified with the geometric quotient

$$X_{\Sigma} = U_{\Sigma}/G_{\Sigma}^{\text{can}},$$

where $\Sigma^{\text{can}} = (N, \Sigma, \beta^{\text{can}})$. We have a 2-cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U_{\Sigma} & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & U_{\Sigma} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_{\Sigma} & \xrightarrow{p} & X_{\Sigma},
\end{array}$$

where

$$(2) \quad \bar{p}(z_1, \ldots, z_r) = (z_1^{n_1}, \ldots, z_r^{n_r}).$$

We have the following properties regarding to $X_{\Sigma}$:

- $X_{\Sigma}$ is an orbifold, i.e. it is a smooth DM stack with generically trivial stabilizers.
- There is an open dense embedding $T = \widetilde{T}/G_{\Sigma} \hookrightarrow X_{\Sigma} = [U_{\Sigma}/G_{\Sigma}]$, and the action on $T$ on itself extends to a $T$-action on $X_{\Sigma}$.
- The coarse moduli space of the orbifold $X_{\Sigma}$ is the simplicial toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$. The projection $p : X_{\Sigma} \to X_{\Sigma}$ restricts to the identity map from $T$ to itself.
Example 2.3 \((\mathbb{P}(1,1,2))\): Example \textit{2.1} continued. The stacky fan \(\Sigma = (N, \Sigma, \beta)\) is defined as in Example \textit{2.1}. Taking \(\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{C}^*)\) of the following exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Z}^2)^* \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{N} = (\mathbb{Z}^3)^* \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Z}^2)^* \xrightarrow{\beta^\vee} (\mathbb{Z}^3)^* \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0.
\]

produces

\[
1 \to G_{\Sigma} = \mathbb{C}^* \to \hat{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \to T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \to 1.
\]

The map \(G_{\Sigma} \to \hat{T}\) is given by \(\lambda \mapsto (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda^2)\). Therefore, the toric orbifold \(X_{\Sigma}\) is defined as

\[X_{\Sigma} = [(\mathbb{C}^3 - \{(0,0,0)\})/\mathbb{C}^*] = \mathbb{P}(1,1,2),\]

where \(\mathbb{C}^*\) acts on \(\mathbb{C}^3\) by \(\lambda \cdot (z_1, z_2, z_3) = (\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2, \lambda^2 z_3)\). The corresponding coarse moduli space is the following simplicial toric variety

\[X_{\Sigma} = (\mathbb{C}^3 - \{(0,0,0)\})/\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{P}(1,1,2).\]

It has a unique singularity at \([0,0,1]\).

Example 2.4 \((\mathbb{P}(1,3))\): Example \textit{2.2} continued. The stacky fan \(\Sigma = (N, \Sigma, \beta)\) is defined as in Example \textit{2.2}. Then \(\beta_{\text{can}} = [1 - 1] : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}\). There is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G_{\Sigma} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{1} \\
& & \downarrow{\hat{\rho}} & & \downarrow{\hat{\pi}} & & \downarrow{\rho} & & \\
1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\text{can}}} & G_{\Sigma_{\text{can}}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\text{can}}} & (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\text{can}}} & T & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\text{can}}} & \mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{1}
\end{array}
\]

where the rows are short exact sequences of abelian groups. The arrows are group homomorphisms given explicitly as follows:

\[
\phi(\lambda) = (\lambda, \lambda^3) \quad \pi(\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2) = \tilde{t}_1^3\tilde{t}_2^{-1}, \quad \phi_{\text{can}}(\lambda) = (\lambda, \lambda) \quad \pi_{\text{can}}(\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2) = \tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_2^{-1},
\]

\[
\hat{\rho}(\lambda) = \lambda^3 \quad \hat{\rho}(\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2) = (\tilde{t}_1^3, \tilde{t}_2), \quad \rho(t) = t.
\]

The toric orbifold defined by \(\Sigma\) is a weighted projective line:

\[X_{\Sigma} = [(\mathbb{C}^2 - \{(0,0)\})/\mathbb{C}^*] = \mathbb{P}(1,3),\]

where \(\mathbb{C}^*\) acts on \(\mathbb{C}^2\) by \(\lambda \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\lambda z_1, \lambda^3 z_2)\). The coarse moduli space is the projective line:

\[X_{\Sigma} = (\mathbb{C}^2 - \{(0,0)\})/\mathbb{C}^*,\]

where \(\mathbb{C}^*\) acts on \(\mathbb{C}^2\) by \(\lambda \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2)\).

We say \(X_{\Sigma}\) is a complete toric orbifold if \(\Sigma\) is a complete fan in \(N_{\mathbb{R}}\), or equivalently, the coarse moduli space \(X_{\Sigma}\) is a complete toric variety. For example, \(\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)\) and \(\mathbb{P}(1,3)\) are complete toric orbifolds.
2.3. Divisors and line bundles. Let \( \tilde{D}_i \subset U_\Sigma \) be the divisor defined by \( z_i = 0 \), and let \( D_i \) and \( \bar{D}_i \) denote the corresponding \( T \)-divisors in \( X_\Sigma \) and \( \bar{X}_\Sigma \), respectively.

Let \( p : X_\Sigma \to X_\Sigma \) be the canonical map to the coarse moduli space. By (2),
\[
p^* D_i = n_i \bar{D}_i.
\]
In general, \( D_i \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier divisor: there exists some positive integer \( k \) such that \( \mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}(kD_i) \) is a line bundle on \( X_\Sigma \). On the other hand, \( \mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}(D_i) \) is always a line bundle on the toric orbifold \( X_\Sigma \). Indeed, any \( T \)-equivariant line bundle on \( X_\Sigma \) is of the form
\[
\mathcal{L}_c = \mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}(\sum_{i=1}^r c_i D_i), \quad \bar{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r.
\]

2.4. Relation to log pairs. In [Ka], Kawamata considers pairs of varieties with \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisors which have local covering by smooth varieties, and associates a Deligne-Mumford stack to such a pair [Ka, Definition 2.1]. We now relate toric orbifolds to the Deligne-Mumford stacks in [Ka, Definition 2.1].

Let \( X_\Sigma \) be the toric orbifold defined by a stacky fan \( \Sigma = (N, \Sigma, \beta) \), and let \( X_\Sigma \) be the simplicial toric variety defined by the simplicial fan \( \Sigma \subset N_\mathbb{R} \). Let \( p : X_\Sigma \to X_\Sigma \) be the canonical map to the coarse moduli space. Let \( n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) be defined as before.

Define a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor
\[
B = \sum_{i=1}^r (1 - \frac{1}{n_i}) D_i
\]
on \( X \). Then the pair \( (X_\Sigma, B) \) satisfies the condition in [Ka, Definition 2.1], and the associated Deligne-Mumford stack to this pair is exactly \( X_\Sigma \). Let \( K_{X_\Sigma} \) and \( K_{X_\Sigma} \) denote the canonical divisors on \( X_\Sigma \) and \( X_\Sigma \), respectively. We have the following identities:
\[
(4) \quad K_{X_\Sigma} = -\sum_{i=1}^r D_i, \quad K_{X_\Sigma} + B = -\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{n_i} D_i, \quad p^*(K_{X_\Sigma} + B) = -\sum_{i=1}^r D_i = K_{X_\Sigma}.
\]
In particular, when \( n_1 = \cdots = n_r = 1, B = 0 \), and the Deligne-Mumford associated to the pair \( (X_\Sigma, 0) \) is \( X_{\Sigma, \text{can}} \).

3. Coherent-Constructible Correspondence

The coherent-constructible correspondence relates equivariant coherent sheaves on a toric orbifold of dimension \( n \) to certain constructible sheaves on a real vector space of dimension \( n \). Before we give the precise statement of the coherent-constructible correspondence, we need to review some definitions.

We will use the language of dg categories throughout. If \( C \) is a dg category, then \( \text{hom}(x, y) \) denotes the chain complex of homomorphisms between objects \( x \) and \( y \) of \( C \). We will continue to use \( \text{Hom}(x, y) \) to denote hom sets in non-dg settings. We will regard the differentials in all chain complexes as having degree +1, i.e. \( d : K^i \to K^{i+1} \). If \( K \) is a chain complex (of vector spaces or sheaves, usually) then \( h^i(K) \) will denote its \( i \)th cohomology object. If \( C \) is a dg category, then \( \text{Tr}(C) \) denotes the triangulated dg category generated by \( C \), and \( D(C) \) denotes the cohomology category \( H(\text{Tr}(C)) \). The triangulated category \( H(\text{Tr}(C)) \) is sometimes called the derived category of \( C \).
3.1. **Coherent and quasicoherent sheaves on toric orbifolds.** We refer to [Vi, Definition 7.18] for the definitions of quasicoherent sheaves, coherent sheaves, and vector bundles on a general Deligne-Mumford stack. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack, let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X})^{naive}$ denote the dg category of bounded complexes of quasicoherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$, and let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X})$ denote the localization of this category with respect to acyclic complexes. We use $\text{Perf}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X})$ to denote the full dg subcategories consisting of perfect objects—that is, objects which are quasi-isomorphic to bounded complexes of vector bundles.

We now spell out the above definitions for a toric orbifold $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma = [U_\Sigma/G_\Sigma]$. By [Vi, Example 7.21], the category of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$ is equivalent to the category of $G_\Sigma$-equivariant coherent sheaves on $U_\Sigma$. Similarly, the category of quasicoherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$ is equivalent to the category of $G_\Sigma$-equivariant quasicoherent sheaves on $U_\Sigma$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma) = \mathcal{Q}_{G_\Sigma}(U_\Sigma), \quad \text{Perf}(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma) = \text{Perf}_{G_\Sigma}(U_\Sigma).$$

(5)

We define the category of $T$-equivariant coherent (resp. quasicoherent) sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ to be equivalent to the category of $T$-equivariant coherent (resp. quasicoherent) sheaves on $U$:

$$\mathcal{Q}_T(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma) = \mathcal{Q}_T(U_\Sigma), \quad \text{Perf}_T(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma) = \text{Perf}_T(U_\Sigma).$$

(6)

There is a monoidal product structure $\otimes$ on these various dg categories of sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$, simply given by the tensor product of quasi-coherent sheaves on $U_\Sigma$.

3.2. **Constructible sheaves.** We refer to [KS] for the microlocal theory of sheaves. If $X$ is a topological space we let $\text{Sh}(X)$ denote the dg category of chain complexes of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces on $X$, localized with respect to acyclic complexes (see [Dr] for localizations of dg categories). If $X$ is a real-analytic manifold, $\text{Sh}_c(X)$ denotes the full subcategory of $\text{Sh}(X)$ of objects whose cohomology sheaves are bounded and constructible with respect to a real-analytic stratification of $X$. Denote by $\text{Sh}_cc(X) \subset \text{Sh}_c(X)$ the full subcategory of objects which have compact support. We use $D_c(X)$ and $D_{cc}(X)$ to denote the derived categories $D(\text{Sh}_c(X))$ and $D(\text{Sh}_{cc}(X))$ respectively.

The standard constructible sheaf on the submanifold $i_Y : Y \hookrightarrow X$ is defined as the push-forward of the constant sheaf on $Y$, i.e. $i_Y^*\mathcal{C}_Y$, as an object in $\text{Sh}_c(X)$. The Verdier duality functor $\mathcal{D} : \text{Sh}_c^o(X) \to \text{Sh}_c(X)$ takes $i_Y^*\mathcal{C}_Y$ to the costandard constructible sheaf on $X$. We know $\mathcal{D}(i_Y^*\mathcal{C}_Y) = i_Y^!\omega_Y$. Here $\omega_Y = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}_Y) = \sigma_Y[\dim Y]$, where $\sigma_Y$ is the orientation sheaf of $Y$ (with respect to the base ring $\mathbb{C}$).

We denote the singular support of a complex of sheaves $F$ by $SS(F) \subset T^*X$. If $X$ is a real-analytic manifold and $\Lambda \subset T^*X$ is an $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-invariant Lagrangian subvariety, then $\text{Sh}_c(X; \Lambda)$ (resp. $\text{Sh}_{cc}(X; \Lambda)$) denotes the full subcategory of $\text{Sh}_c(X)$ (resp. $\text{Sh}_{cc}(X)$) whose objects have singular support in $\Lambda$. For any open subset $U \subset X$, the singular support of the associated standard and costandard sheaves are given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** (Schmid-Vilonen). 

$$SS(i_*\omega_U) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Gamma_{-\epsilon d\log m}, \quad SS(i_*\mathcal{C}_U) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \Gamma_{\epsilon d\log m},$$

where $m : M_R \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $m|_U > 0$, $m|_{\partial U} = 0$. 


Example 3.2. Let $U = (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Figure 4 depicts the standard Lagrangian on $U$ in $T^*\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$, while Figure 5 depicts the singular supports of standard and costandard constructible sheaves supported on this interval.

$$m = x(1 - x)$$

$$\log m = \log x + \log(1 - x)$$

$$d \log m = \frac{dx}{x} - \frac{dx}{1-x}$$

![Figure 4](image4.png)

**Figure 4.** The graphs of $m$, $\log m$ and $d \log m$. The graph of $d \log m$ is the standard Lagrangian over $U$.

$$SS(i_*C_U) \quad SS(i_!\omega_U)$$

![Figure 5](image5.png)

**Figure 5.** Singular supports of standard and costandard sheaves associated to $U = (0, 1)$.

Given a submanifold $Y \subset X$, let $T^*_Y X$ denote the conormal bundle of $Y$ in $X$. $T^*_Y X$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of $T^*X$.

Example 3.3 (open sets with smooth boundaries). Let $U$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and suppose that the boundary $\partial U$ is a smooth $n - 1$-dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$. (This includes Example 3.2 as a special case.)

Let $\nu : \partial U \to T^*_{\partial U} \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nowhere zero section such that $\nu_x(v_x) > 0$ if $v_x$ is an outward normal at $x \in \partial U$. Then

$$T^*_U \mathbb{R}^n = U \times \{0\} \subset T^*\mathbb{R}^n, \quad T^*_{\partial U} \mathbb{R}^n = \{(x, tv_x) \mid x \in \partial U, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$  

$$SS(i_*C_U) = T^*_U \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{(x, tv_x) \mid x \in \partial U, t \leq 0\},$$

$$SS(i_!\omega_U) = T^*_U \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{(x, tv_x) \mid x \in \partial U, t \geq 0\}.$$  

For example, let $D$ be an open disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and identify conormal vectors with normal vectors. The singular supports of $i_*C_D$ and $i_!\omega_D$ are depicted in Figure 6 below.

Example 3.4 (manifold with corners). We can also consider an open set $U$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that the closure $\overline{U}$ of $U$ is a manifold with corners. For example, an open square $R$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. 

---

---
There is a monoidal structure $\star$ on the dg category $\text{Sh}_c(X)$ when $X$ is an abelian group, given by the convolution product of constructible sheaves:

$$F \star G = \mathcal{a} \left( \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G} \right),$$

where $a : X \times X \to X$ is the addition map of the abelian group $X$. This product turns out to be a tensor product (commutative monoidal).

### 3.3. Theta sheaves

In [FLTZ2] we have introduced the concept of theta sheaves, as building blocks of coherent-constructible correspondence. Let $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$ be the toric orbifold defined by a stacky fan $\Sigma = (N, \Sigma, \beta)$.

#### 3.3.1. Quasicoherent theta sheaves

The quasicoherent theta sheaves are certain $T$-equivariant quasicoherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$ that arise in the Čech resolution with respect to an equivariant open cover of $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$. We first describe this open cover. Given a $d$-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let $z_\sigma = \prod_{i \in \partial \sigma} z_i$. Then

$$U_\sigma = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r \mid z_\sigma \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{C}^d \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{r-d}$$

is a Zariski open subset of

$$U_\Sigma = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} U_\sigma.$$

The open embedding $U_\sigma \hookrightarrow U_\Sigma$ descends to an open embedding of stacks:

$$j_\sigma : \mathcal{X}_\sigma := [U_\sigma / G_\Sigma] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\Sigma = [U_\Sigma / G_\Sigma].$$

Then $\{\mathcal{X}_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ is an open cover of $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma$.

We now describe $T$-equivariant line bundles on $\mathcal{X}_\sigma$, or equivalently, the $\mathcal{T}$-equivariant line bundles on $U_\sigma$. We first introduce some notation.

- Let $M_\mathbb{R} := M \otimes \mathbb{R}$ be the dual vector space of $N_\mathbb{R}$, and let $\langle - , - \rangle : M_\mathbb{R} \times N_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the natural pairing.
Given a $d$-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let $N_\sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$ be the subgroup generated by $\{ b_i \mid \rho_i \subset \sigma \}$, and $M_\sigma$ be the dual lattice $M_\sigma = \text{Hom}(N_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $N_\sigma$ and $M_\sigma$ are free abelian groups of rank $d$. Let $\langle -, - \rangle_\sigma : M_\sigma \times N_\sigma \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the natural pairing.

The $T$-equivariant line bundles on $\mathcal{X}_\sigma$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements in $M_\sigma$. Let $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}_\sigma(\chi)$ denote the $T$-equivariant line bundle on $\mathcal{X}_\sigma$ associated to $\chi \in M_\sigma$.

We define the quasicoherent theta sheaf $\Theta'((\sigma, \chi))$ to be the pushforward of $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}_\sigma(\chi)$ under the open embedding $j_\sigma : \mathcal{X}_\sigma \to \mathcal{A}_\Sigma$.

$$\Theta'((\sigma, \chi)) := j_\sigma \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}_\sigma(\chi).$$

3.3.2. **Constructible theta sheaves.** For a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and a character $\chi \in M_\sigma$, we fix the following notation:

$$\begin{align*}
\sigma_\chi^\vee &= \{ x \in M_\mathbb{R} \mid \langle x, v \rangle \geq \langle \chi, v \rangle_\sigma, \; v \in N_\sigma \cap \sigma \}, \\
(\sigma_\chi^\vee)\circ &= \{ x \in M_\mathbb{R} \mid \langle x, v \rangle > \langle \chi, v \rangle_\sigma, \; v \in N_\sigma \cap \sigma \}, \\
\sigma_\chi^+ &= \{ x \in M_\mathbb{R} \mid \langle x, v \rangle = \langle \chi, v \rangle_\sigma, \; v \in N_\sigma \cap \sigma \}.
\end{align*}$$

We define the constructible theta sheaf $\Theta(\sigma, \chi)$ to be the costandard constructible sheaf associated to the open set $(\sigma_\chi^\vee)\circ$ in $M_\mathbb{R}$.

$$\Theta(\sigma, \chi) := i_{(\sigma_\chi^\vee)\circ} \omega_{(\sigma_\chi^\vee)\circ} \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sh}_c(M_\mathbb{R})),$$

where $i_{(\sigma_\chi^\vee)\circ} : (\sigma_\chi^\vee)\circ \hookrightarrow M_\mathbb{R}$ is the inclusion.

3.4. **The coherent-constructible correspondence.** The theta sheaves are indexed by the set

$$\Gamma(\Sigma) = \{ (\sigma, \chi) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma, \chi \in M_\sigma \}.$$

We define a partial order on $\Gamma(\Sigma)$:

$$(\sigma_1, \chi_1) \leq (\sigma_2, \chi_2) \text{ if and only if } (\sigma_1)_x^\vee \subset (\sigma_2)_x^\vee.$$

The “linearized” dg category $\Gamma(\Sigma)_C$ consists of objects $(\sigma, \chi) \in \Gamma(\Sigma)$ and the following morphisms with obvious composition rules

$$\text{hom}((\sigma_1, \chi_1), (\sigma_2, \chi_2)) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{C}[0], & \text{if } (\sigma_1, \chi_1) \leq (\sigma_2, \chi_2); \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

It is proved in [FLTZ2] that

$$\text{hom}_{\Gamma(\Sigma)}(\Theta'(\sigma_1, \chi_1), \Theta'(\sigma_2, \chi_2))$$

for any $(\sigma_1, \chi_1), (\sigma_2, \chi_2) \in \Gamma(\Sigma)$.

Let $\langle \Theta \rangle_{\Sigma}$ (resp. $\langle \Theta' \rangle_{\Sigma}$) be the full triangulated subcategory of $\text{Sh}_c(M_\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\text{Q}_T(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma)$) generated by all $\Theta(\sigma, \chi)$ (resp. $\Theta'(\sigma, \chi)$). Then [G] implies that $\langle \Theta \rangle_{\Sigma}$ and $\langle \Theta' \rangle_{\Sigma}$ are quasi-equivalent as triangulated dg categories. We proved that this quasi-equivalence is monoidal:

**Theorem 3.5.** There is a quasi-equivalence monoidal functor $\kappa_{\Sigma} : \langle \Theta' \rangle_{\Sigma} \to \langle \Theta \rangle_{\Sigma}$, which sends $\Theta'(\sigma, \chi)$ to $\Theta(\sigma, \chi)$ for $(\sigma, \chi) \in \Gamma(\Sigma)$.
By Čech resolution, the dg category of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma)$ is a full subcategory of $\Theta^0_{\Sigma}$, as shown in [FLTZ2]. Restricted to $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma)$, the functor $\kappa_\Sigma$ is a quasi-embedding (full and faithful at the cohomology level). We have characterized the image $\kappa_\Sigma(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma))$ as a full subcategory of $(\Theta)_{\Sigma}$, as in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6** (coherent-constructible correspondence for toric orbifolds). Let $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma$ be a complete toric orbifold defined by a stacky fan $\Sigma = (N, \Sigma, \beta)$. Then there is a quasi-equivalence of monoidal triangulated dg categories:

$$\kappa_\Sigma : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma) \simrightarrow \mathcal{Sh}_{\Sigma}(M_R, \Lambda_\Sigma).$$

In the above theorem, the dg category $\mathcal{Sh}_{\Sigma}(M_R, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ is the full dg subcategory of $\mathcal{Sh}_{\Sigma}(M_R)$ on $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma$ whose objects have singular support inside $\Lambda_\Sigma$. It is closed under the monoidal product $\ast$. The conical Lagrangian ($\mathbb{R}_{>0}$-invariant Lagrangian in $T^*M_R$) is defined directly from the stacky fan $\Sigma = (N, \Sigma, \beta)$.

$$\Lambda_\Sigma = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma, \chi \in M_\sigma} \sigma^\perp_\chi \times (-\sigma) \subset M_R \times N_R = T^*M_R.$$ 

By definition $\Lambda_\Sigma$ is a conical Lagrangian in $T^*M_R$, and is invariant under $(x, y) \mapsto (x + m, y)$, $m \in M$.

**Remark 3.7.** It is particularly easy to describe what the functor $\kappa_\Sigma$ does to $\mathbb{Q}$-ample equivariant line bundles. Recall that any $T$-equivariant line bundle on $X = \mathcal{A}_\Sigma$ is of the form $L_c = \mathcal{O}_X(c_1 D_1 + \cdots + c_r D_r)$, where $D_i$ denotes the $T$-divisor associated to the ray $\rho_i \in \Sigma(1)$, and $c_1, \ldots, c_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ are integers. We say $L_c$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-ample if there is some positive integer $n$ such that $L_c^\otimes n$ is the pull back of an ample line bundle on the coarse moduli space. If $L_c$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-ample then

$$\Delta_c = \{ x \in M_R \mid \langle x, b_i \rangle \geq -c_i \}$$

is a convex polytope in $M_R$. The interior $\Delta^0_c$ of $\Delta_c$ is a bounded open set in $M_R$. Let $i : \Delta^0_c \rightarrow M_R$ be the inclusion. Then

$$\kappa_\Sigma(L_c) = i_{\ast} \omega_{\Delta^0_c}.$$ 

We have also proved a coherent-constructible correspondence for the coarse moduli space $X_\Sigma$ [FLTZ2]. Indeed, we prove the following for any complete (not necessarily simplicial) toric varieties:

**Theorem 3.8** (coherent-constructible-correspondence for toric varieties). Let $X_\Sigma$ be a complete toric variety defined by a fan $\Sigma \subset N_R$. Then there is a quasi-equivalence of monoidal triangulated dg categories:

$$\kappa_\Sigma : \mathcal{P}(X_\Sigma) \simrightarrow \mathcal{Sh}(M_R, \Lambda_\Sigma).$$

The category $\mathcal{Sh}(M_R; \Lambda_\Sigma)$ is similarly defined as the subcategory of $\mathcal{Sh}(M_R)$ whose objects have singular support in a conical Lagrangian

$$\Lambda_\Sigma := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma, \chi \in M} (\sigma^\perp + \chi) \times (-\sigma) \subset M_R \times N_R = T^*M_R,$$

where $\sigma^\perp = \{ x \in M_R \mid \langle x, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \sigma \}$.

The theorem above can be considered as a “categorification” of Morelli’s theorem [Mo]. Let $L_M(M_R)$ be the group of functions generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the indicator functions $1_P$ of convex lattice polyhedra $P$, and let $S_M(M_R)$ be the abelian group
and categories of functions in \( L_M(M_{\mathbb{R}}) \) at the origin (or any point in the lattice \( M \)). Let \( S_{\Sigma}(M_{\mathbb{R}}) \) be the subgroup of \( S_M(M_{\mathbb{R}}) \) generated by \( \{ \sigma^\vee | \sigma \in \Sigma \} \).

**Theorem 3.9** (Morelli). Let \( X_{\Sigma} \) be a smooth projective toric variety. Then there is a group isomorphism \( K_T(X_{\Sigma}) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{\Sigma}(M_{\mathbb{R}}) \), \( \mathcal{L}_x \mapsto 1_{\mathcal{L}_x} \), \( \mathcal{L}_x \) ample.

Bondal has also proved a similar relation between (non-equivariant) coherent sheaves and constructible sheaves \([10]\), characterized by a stratification.

**Theorem 3.10** (Bondal). Let \( X_{\Sigma} \) be a smooth projective variety defined by a fan \( \Sigma \), with some additional assumption on \( \Sigma \).

\[
D^b\text{Coh}(X_{\Sigma}) \cong D\text{Sh}_{c}(M_{\mathbb{R}}/M, S)
\]

where \( S \) is a stratification on the compact torus \( M_{\mathbb{R}}/M \cong (S^1)^n \) determined by \( \Sigma \).

**Example 3.11** (Example 2.3 continued). Let the stacky fan \( \Sigma \) be as in Example 2.4 which defines the toric orbifold \( \mathbb{P}(1, 3) \). The conical Lagrangians \( \Lambda_{\Sigma} \) and \( \Lambda_{\Sigma}^3 \) are shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8. The conical Lagrangians \( \Lambda_{\Sigma} \) and \( \Lambda_{\Sigma}^3 \) for \( \Sigma \) defined in Example 2.2. The horizontal direction is \( M_{\mathbb{R}} \) and the vertical direction is \( N_{\mathbb{R}} \).](image)

**Example 3.12** (Example 2.4 continued). (1) \( T \)-equivariant ample line bundle on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \): \( \mathcal{O}(c_1D_1 + c_2D_2), c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, c_1 + c_2 > 0 \).

\[
\Delta^0_{c_1, c_2} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > -c_1, -x > -c_2 \} = (-c_1, c_2)
\]

(2) \( T \)-equivariant \( \mathbb{Q} \)-ample line bundles on \( \mathbb{P}(1, 3) \): \( \mathcal{O}(c_1D_1 + c_2D_2), c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{c_1}{3} + c_2 > 0 \). Let \( p : \mathbb{P}(1, 3) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) be the projection to the coarse moduli space. Then \( p^*D_1 = 3D_1, p^*D_2 = D_2 \).

\[
\Delta^0_{c_1, c_2} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 3x > -c_1, -x > c_2 \} = (-c_1/3, c_2).
\]

4. **Fourier-Mukai Transformation: A Constructible Perspective**

In this section, \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are always simplicial toric varieties defined by simplicial fans \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \) in \( N_{\mathbb{R}} \), respectively; \( B \) and \( C \) are effective toric \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisors on \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \), respectively, such that \( (X_1, B) \) and \( (X_2, C) \) are toric log pairs as in Section 2.4. Let \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) be the toric orbifolds associated to the pairs \( (X_1, B) \) and \( (X_2, C) \), respectively. Assume there are proper birational morphisms \( \mu_1 : W \to X_1 \) and \( \mu_2 : W \to X_2 \) for some variety \( W \) such that \( \mu_1^*(K_{X_1} + B) \geq \mu_2^*(K_{X_2} + C) \). Kawamata conjectures that there exists a full and faithful functor of triangulated categories

\[
F_{12} = \mu_1^* \circ \mu_2^* : D^b\text{Coh}(X_2) \to D^b\text{Coh}(X_1)
\]
Passing Kawamata’s argument to the language of constructible sheaves via Theorem 3.6, this Fourier-Mukai fully faithful functor arises from intuitive combinatorial argument. This section elaborates Kawamata’s theorem from the perspective of constructible sheaves, proving some cases discussed in [Ka], in the equivariant and dg setting.

We introduce some notation:

1. The Fourier Mukai functors are $F_{12}' = \mu_1 \circ \mu_2^*$ and $F_{21}' = \mu_2 \circ \mu_1^*$.
2. Let $D_{1,i}$ (resp. $D_{2,i}$) denote the $T$-divisor on $X_1$ (resp. $X_2$) associated to the 1-dimensional cone $\rho_i \in \Sigma(1)$.
3. Let $D_{2,i}$ (resp. $D_{2,i}'$) denote the $T$-divisor on $X_2$ (resp. $W$) associated to the 1-dimensional cone $\rho_i \in \Sigma(1)$.
4. Let $p_i : X_i \to X_i$ be canonical map to the coarse moduli.

We have

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{l_1} (1 - \frac{1}{r_i}) D_{1,i}, \quad C = \sum_{i=1}^{l_2} (1 - \frac{1}{s_i}) D_{2,i},$$

where $r_i$, $s_i$ are positive integers. Then

$$p_i^* D_{1,i} = r_i D_{1,i}, \quad p_i^* D_{2,i} = s_i D_{2,i}.$$

Note that from the construction of $X_i$,

$$p_1^*(K_{X_1} + B) = K_{X_1}, \quad p_2^*(K_{X_2} + B) = K_{X_2}.$$

4.1. **Toric orbifolds with the same coarse moduli space.** In the first case of [Ka, Theorem 4.2], Kawamata shows that if $X_1 = X_2 = X$ and $K_{X_1} + B \geq K_{X_2} + C$ then the Fourier-Mukai functor

$$F_{12}' = \mu_1 \circ \mu_2^* : \text{Coh}(X_2) \to \text{Coh}(X_1)$$

is fully faithful.

Recall from Section 2 that $N = \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $\Sigma$ is a simplicial fan in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The 1-cones $\Sigma(1)$ consists of rays $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_l$, and the generating set of $\Sigma(1) \cap N$ is $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$. Let $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ to be maps (where $v_i$ are regarded as column vectors below)

$$\beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 v_1 & \cdots & r_l v_l \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{Z}^l \to \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad \beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 v_1 & \cdots & s_l v_l \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{Z}^l \to \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

From the stacky fans $\Sigma_i = (N, \Sigma, \beta_i)$ one defines two toric DM stacks $X_i = X_{\Sigma_i}$ and $X_2 = X_{\Sigma_2}$. They have the same coarse moduli space $X = X_{\Sigma}$ given by the fan $\Sigma$ as a toric variety.

Let $\mathcal{W} = X_1 \times_X X_2$. It is the toric orbifold defined by the stacky fan $\Sigma' = (N, \Sigma, \beta')$, where

$$\beta' = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 v_1 & \cdots & t_l v_l \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{Z}^l \to \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad t_i = \text{l.c.m.}(r_i, s_i).$$

---

2 Although not explicitly stated, Kawamata’s proof is essentially equivariant in [Ka].
We have the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{\mu_1} & \mathcal{W} \\
\downarrow{p_1} & & \uparrow{p_2} \\
\mathcal{X} & \xleftarrow{\mu_2} & \mathcal{X}_2
\end{array}
\]

where \(p_i\) is the morphism from \(\mathcal{X}_i\) to their common coarse moduli space \(\mathcal{X}\). Given a 1-dimensional cone \(\rho_i \in \Sigma(1)\) let \(D_{i}, D_{1,i}, D_{2,i}\), and \(D'_{i}\) denote the associated \(T\)-divisors on \(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2\), and \(\mathcal{W}\), respectively. Let \(m_i = \frac{r_i}{s_i} \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(n_i = \frac{r_i}{s_i} \in \mathbb{Z}\).

\[
p_1^* D_i = r_i D_{1,i}, \quad p_2^* D_i = s_i D_{2,i}, \quad \mu_1^* D_{1,i} = m_i D'_i, \quad \mu_2^* D_{2,i} = n_i D'_i.
\]

\[
K_X = -\sum_{i=1}^{l} D_i, \quad B = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (1 - \frac{1}{s_i}) D_i, \quad C = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (1 - \frac{1}{s_i}) D_i
\]

\[
p_1^*(K_X + B) = -\sum_{i=1}^{l} D_{1,i} = K_{\mathcal{X}_1}, \quad p_2^*(K_X + C) = -\sum_{i=1}^{l} D_{2,i} = K_{\mathcal{X}_2}
\]

\[
\mu_1^* K_{\mathcal{X}_1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i D'_i, \quad \mu_2^* K_{\mathcal{X}_2} = -\sum_{i=1}^{l} n_i D'_i.
\]

From the above calculations, we observe that:

**Lemma 4.1.**

\[
\mu_1^* K_{\mathcal{X}_1} \geq \mu_2^* K_{\mathcal{X}_2} \iff r_i \geq s_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, l \iff K_X + B \geq K_X + C.
\]

For any \(\sigma \in \Sigma(d)\), let \(\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_d}\} = \sigma \cap \{v_1, \ldots, v_l\}\). There are an injective group homomorphisms

\[
\mu_{1,\sigma} : N'_\sigma = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}(t_{ik} v_{ik}) \longrightarrow N_{1,\sigma} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}(r_{ik} v_{ik})
\]

\[
\mu_{2,\sigma} : N'_\sigma = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}(t_{ik} v_{ik}) \longrightarrow N_{2,\sigma} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}(s_{ik} v_{ik})
\]

and surjective group homomorphisms

\[
\mu_{1,\sigma}^* : M_{1,\sigma} := \text{Hom}(N_{1,\sigma}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow M'_\sigma := \text{Hom}(N'_\sigma, \mathbb{Z}), \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

We now introduce some notation. Given \(\sigma \in \Sigma\), let \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma} : M'_\sigma \times N'_\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\) and \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{i,\sigma} : M_{i,\sigma} \times N_{i,\sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\), \(i = 1, 2\), be the natural pairing. Given \(x \in \mathbb{R}\), define \([x] \in \mathbb{Z}\) by \([x] - 1 < x \leq [x]\). We define surjective maps (which is not a group homomorphism) \(\mu_{i,\sigma}^* : M'_\sigma \rightarrow M_{i,\sigma}, i = 1, 2\), by

\[
\langle \mu_{1,\sigma}^*(\chi), (r_{ik} v_{ik}) \rangle_{1,\sigma} = \lfloor \frac{1}{n_{ik}} \langle \chi, (t_{ik} v_{ik}) \rangle_{\sigma} \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}
\]

\[
\langle \mu_{2,\sigma}^*(\chi), (s_{ik} v_{ik}) \rangle_{2,\sigma} = \lfloor \frac{1}{n_{ik}} \langle \chi, (t_{ik} v_{ik}) \rangle_{\sigma} \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}
\]

where
\[ \chi \in M_\sigma, \quad \frac{1}{m_{ik}} \langle \chi, (t_{ik}v_{ik}) \rangle_\sigma \in \frac{1}{m_{ik}} \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{1}{n_{ik}} \langle \chi, (t_{ik}v_{ik}) \rangle_\sigma \in \frac{1}{n_{ik}} \mathbb{Z}, \]

For \( i = 1, 2 \), define (with an abuse of notation)
\[ \mu_\sigma^i : \Gamma(\Sigma_i) \to \Gamma(\Sigma'_i), \quad (\sigma, \chi) \mapsto (\sigma, \mu_\sigma^i \chi) \]
\[ \mu_\sigma : \Gamma(\Sigma') \to \Gamma(\Sigma_i), \quad (\sigma, \chi) \mapsto (\sigma, \mu_\sigma \chi) \]

Let \( \Theta_1(\sigma, \chi) \) (resp. \( \Theta_2(\sigma, \chi) \)) be the constructible theta sheaves on \( M_{1,\mathbb{R}} \) (resp. \( M_{2,\mathbb{R}} \)) for \( \sigma \in \Sigma_1 \) (resp. \( \Sigma'_1, \Sigma_2 \)) and \( \chi \in M_{1,\sigma} \) (resp. \( M'_{1,\sigma}, M'_{2,\sigma} \)). Similarly, let \( \Theta'_1(\sigma, \chi) \) (resp. \( \Theta'_2(\sigma, \chi) \)) be the quasi-coherent theta sheaves on \( \mathcal{X}'_1 \) (resp. \( \mathcal{X}_W, \mathcal{X}_2 \)) for \( \sigma \in \Sigma_1 \) (resp. \( \Sigma'_1, \Sigma_2 \)) and \( \chi \in M_{1,\sigma} \) (resp. \( M'_{1,\sigma}, M'_{2,\sigma} \)).

**Proposition 4.2.** For \( i = 1, 2 \), let \( \mu_\sigma^i : \mathcal{Q}_T(\mathcal{X}_i) \to \mathcal{Q}_T(\mathcal{W}) \) and \( \mu_\sigma : \mathcal{Q}_T(\mathcal{W}) \to \mathcal{Q}_T(\mathcal{X}_i) \) be the pullback and pushforward functors of equivariant quasicoherent sheaves. Then
\[ \mu_\sigma^i \Theta'_i(\sigma, \chi) = \Theta'_W(\mu_\sigma^i(\sigma, \chi)), \quad (\sigma, \chi) \in \Gamma(\Sigma_i), \]
\[ \mu_\sigma \Theta'_W(\sigma, \chi) = \Theta'_i(\mu_\sigma(\sigma, \chi)), \quad (\sigma, \chi) \in \Gamma(\Sigma'_i). \]

**Proof.** It suffices to consider the case \( i = 1 \). The first statement follows directly from the functoriality property of CCC [FLTZ2 Theorem 5.16]. For the second statement, the theta sheaf \( \Theta'_W(\sigma, \chi) \) is given by the module \( \mathbb{C}[\sigma'_\chi \cap M'_\sigma] \). The sections of the pushforward are the sections of \( \Theta'_W(\sigma, \chi) \) whose characters are in \( M_{1,\sigma} \). Thus \( \mu_1 \Theta'_W(\sigma, \chi) \) is given by the module \( \mathbb{C}[\sigma'_\chi \cap M_{1,\sigma}] \). Notice that \( \sigma'_\chi \cap M_{1,\sigma} = \sigma'_{\mu_1(\chi)} \cap M_{1,\sigma} \), and the result follows.

**Proposition 4.3.** If \( r_i \geq s_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, l \). Then
\[ F := \mu_\sigma \circ \mu_\sigma^2 : \Gamma(\Sigma_2) \to \Gamma(\Sigma_1) \]
is an injective map of posets:
\[ (\sigma, \chi) \leq (\sigma', \chi') \iff F(\sigma, \chi) \leq F(\sigma', \chi'). \]

**Proof.** For any \( \sigma \in \Sigma \), let \( F_\sigma = \mu_1,\sigma \ast \mu_2,\sigma : M_{2,\sigma} \to M_{1,\sigma} \). By definition,
\[ F(\sigma, \chi) = (\sigma, F_\sigma(\chi)), \quad F(\sigma', \chi') = (\sigma', F_\sigma(\chi')). \]
The statements (i) and (ii) below also follow from the definitions.

(i) Suppose that \( (\sigma, \chi), (\sigma', \chi') \in \Gamma(\Sigma_2) \). Then
\[ \sigma \supseteq \sigma' \text{ and } (\chi, s_i v_i)_{2,\sigma} \geq (\chi', s_i v_i)_{2,\sigma} \text{ for all } v_i \in \sigma' \cap \{v_1, \ldots, v_l\}. \]
\[ (\sigma, F_\sigma(\chi)) \leq (\sigma', F_\sigma(\chi)) \]
\[ \sigma \supseteq \sigma' \text{ and } (F_\sigma(\chi), r_i v_i)_{1,\sigma} \geq (F_\sigma(\chi'), r_i v_i)_{1,\sigma} \text{ for all } v_i \in \sigma' \cap \{v_1, \ldots, v_l\}. \]

(ii) If \( (\chi, \sigma) \in M_{2,\sigma} \) and \( v_i \in \sigma \cap \{v_1, \ldots, v_l\} \) then
\[ (F_\sigma(\chi), r_i v_i)_{1,\sigma} = \left[ \frac{r_i}{s_i} (\chi, s_i v_i)_{2,\sigma} \right]. \]
By (i) and (ii), it suffices to show that for any $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$k \geq k' \iff \left\lfloor \frac{r_i}{s_i} k \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{r_i}{s_i} k' \right\rfloor.$$  

Thus is always true, and $\leq$ is true if $\frac{r_i}{s_i} \geq 1$. 

**Theorem 4.4.** Suppose that $\mu_1^* K_{\mathcal{X}_1} \geq \mu_2^* K_{\mathcal{X}_2}$ (or equivalently, $r_i \geq s_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l$). Then the dg functor

$$F_{12}': \text{Coh}_T(\mathcal{X}_2) \to \text{Coh}_T(\mathcal{X}_1)$$

is cohomologically full and faithful.

**Proof.** The functor $F_{12}' : Q_T(\mathcal{X}_2) \to Q_T(\mathcal{X}_1)$, restricted on $\langle \Theta'_1 \rangle \subset Q_T(\mathcal{X}_2)$, is a functor to $\langle \Theta' \rangle$, since it sends any theta sheaf to a theta sheaf. Therefore $F_{12}'$ restricted on $\langle \Theta'_1 \rangle \subset Q_T(\mathcal{X}_2)$ is a full and faithful functor since $F_{12} := \kappa_1 \circ F_{12}' \circ \kappa_2^{-1}$ is full and faithful due to Lemma 4.1, Proposition 4.2, and Proposition 4.3. Further restricting this functor to coherent sheaves (i.e., perfect sheaves), we obtain a full and faithful functor $F_{12}' : \text{Coh}_T(\mathcal{X}_2) \to \text{Coh}_T(\mathcal{X}_1)$. 

**Example 4.5.** Set $N = \mathbb{Z}$, $\Sigma = \{\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^-\}$, $\beta_1 = [3 - 1]$ and $\beta_2 = [2 - 1]$. The stacks associated to $\Sigma_1 = (N, \Sigma, \beta_1)$ and $\Sigma_2 = (N, \Sigma, \beta_2)$ are

$$\mathcal{X}_1 = \mathbb{P}(1,3), \quad \mathcal{X}_2 = \mathbb{P}(1,2).$$

Both $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ have the same coarse moduli space $\mathbb{P}^1$. The fiber product $W = \mathbb{P}(1,6)$ is constructed from the stacky fan $(N, \Sigma, [6 - 1])$. Let $\rho_1 = \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\rho_2 = \mathbb{R}^-$. 

For $i = 1, 2$, let $D_i \subset X = \mathbb{P}^1$, $D_{1,i} \subset \mathcal{X}_1$, $D_{2,i} \subset \mathcal{X}_2$, and $D_i' \subset W$ be defined as above. In particular, $D_1$ and $D_2$ are the two torus fixed points in $\mathbb{P}^1$. Any equivariant line bundle on $\mathcal{X}_1 = \mathbb{P}(1,3)$ is of the form

$$L_{1,(c_1,c_2)} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,3)}(c_1 D_{1,1} + c_2 D_{1,2}) = p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\frac{c_1}{3} D_1 + c_2 D_2), \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

whereas any equivariant line bundle on $\mathcal{X}_2 = \mathbb{P}(1,2)$ is of the form

$$L_{2,(c_1,c_2)} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,2)}(c_1 D_{2,1} + c_2 D_{2,2}) = p_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\frac{c_1}{2} D_1 + c_2 D_2), \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The Fourier-Mukai functor $F = \mu_1* \circ \mu_2^*$ is given by

$$F_{12}' : L_{2,(c_1,c_2)} \mapsto L_{1,(\frac{c_1}{3},\frac{c_2}{3})}.$$

The line bundle $L_{2,(c_1,c_2)}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-ample if $\frac{c_1}{3} + c_2 > 0$. In this case, it corresponds to the costandard sheaf supported on the open interval $\left( -\frac{c_1}{3}, c_2 \right) \subset \mathbb{R}$. The constructible analogue of the Fourier-Mukai functor is

$$F_{12} : i((-\frac{c_1}{3},c_2))! \mathcal{O}_{\left( -\frac{c_1}{3},c_2 \right)}[1] \to \begin{cases} i_!(-\frac{c_1}{3} + \frac{1}{3},c_2) \mathcal{O}_{\left( -\frac{c_1}{3} + \frac{1}{3},c_2 \right)} & \text{if } c_1 \text{ is even,} \\ i_!(-\frac{c_1}{3} + \frac{1}{3},c_2) \mathcal{O}_{\left( -\frac{c_1}{3} + \frac{1}{3},c_2 \right)} & \text{if } c_1 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $i_U : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the embedding of the corresponding open subset. Note that $F_{12}'(L_{2,(c_1,c_2)})$ is also $\mathbb{Q}$-ample.
4.2. **Divisorial contraction: overview.** Let $N = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\sigma_{X_2} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a simplicial cone generated by rays $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n$. Let $v_i$ be the primitive generator of $\rho_i \cap N$, and $v_{n+1} = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$ for some $n' \leq n$ with all $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $v_{n+1} \in N$ is primitive. Define $\sigma_{X_i,i_0}$ be the $n$-dimensional cone generated by $v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ with $i \neq i_0$. Then

$$\sigma_{X_2} = \bigcup_{i_0=1}^{n+1} \sigma_{X_1,i_0}.$$ 

Let $\Sigma_2$ be the fan consisting of the top dimensional cone $\sigma_{X_2}$ and its faces, and let $\Sigma_1$ be the fan consisting of top dimension cones $\sigma_{X_1,i_0}$, $1 \leq i_0 \leq n+1$, and their faces. Then there is a morphism of fans $\Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$, which induces a toric morphism $f : X_1 = X_{\Sigma_1} \to X_2 = X_{\Sigma_2}$. Note that $X_2$ is an affine simplicial toric variety, and $f$ is a toric divisorial contraction.

Define

$$\beta_1 = \left[ r_1v_1 \cdots r_nv_n \ r_{n+1}v_{n+1} \right] : \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \to N = \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

$$\beta_2 = \left[ r_1v_1 \cdots r_nv_n \right] : \mathbb{Z}^n \to N = \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

For $i = 1, 2$, one associates a toric orbifold $X_i$ to the stacky fan $\Sigma_i = (N, \Sigma_i, \beta_i)$. Let $\rho_{n+1}$ be the ray $\mathbb{R}^+v_{n+1}$, and denote $r'_{n+1}v_{n+1}$ to be generator of $\mathbb{Z}v_{n+1} \cap N_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then

$$r'_{n+1}v_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a'_i(r_iv_i), \quad a'_i := \frac{r'_{n+1}a_i}{r_i} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Setting $b_i = r_iv_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$ as in Section 2, $\alpha_i = \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_i}a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n'$ and $\alpha_i = 0$ for $i = n'+1, n, n+1$, we have $b_{n+1} = \alpha_1b_1 + \cdots + \alpha_nb_n$. Let $b'_{n+1} = r'_nv_{n+1} = mb_{n+1}$, there is a similar relation $b'_{n+1} = \beta_1b_1 + \cdots + \beta'b_n$, where $\beta'_i = \alpha'_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Let $W$ be the toric orbifold given by the stacky fan

$$\Sigma' = (N, \Sigma_1, \beta' = \left[ r_1v_1 \cdots r_nv_n \ r'_{n+1}v_{n+1} \right]).$$

The identity map $N \to N$ defines morphisms of stacky fans $\Sigma' \to \Sigma_i$, $i = 1, 2$, which induce morphisms $\mu_i : W \to X_i$ of toric orbifolds. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let $D_{1,j}$, $D_{2,j}$, and $D_{1,j}'$ be $T$-divisors associated to $\rho_j$ in $X_1$, $X_2$, and $W$, respectively; let $D_{1,n+1}$ and $D_{n+1}'$ be the $T$-divisors associated to $\rho_{n+1}$ in $X_1$ and $W$, respectively. Then for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we have

$$\mu_i^*D_{1,i} = D'_i, \quad \mu_i^*D_{2,i} = D'_i + a'_iD'_{n+1},$$

where $a'_i = 0$ for $n' < i \leq n$. We also have

$$\mu_i^*D_{1,n+1} = \frac{r'_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}}D'_{n+1},$$

$$K_{X_1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} D_{1,i}, \quad \mu_1^*K_{X_1} = -\sum_{i=1}^n D'_i - \frac{r'_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}}D'_{n+1},$$

$$K_{X_2} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{2,i}, \quad \mu_2^*K_{X_2} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} D'_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n'} a'_i\right)D'_{n+1}.$$ 

**Lemma 4.6.**

(a) $\mu_1^*K_{X_1} \geq \mu_2^*K_{X_2} \iff \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a'_i \geq \frac{1}{r_{n+1}}.$
(b) \( \mu_1^* K_{X_1} \leq \mu_2^* K_{X_2} \iff \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_i / r_i \leq 1 / r_{n+1} \).

Proof. From the above computation,

\[
\mu_1^* K_{X_1} - \mu_2^* K_{X_2} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_i' - \frac{r'_{n+1}}{r_n} \right) D'_{n+1} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_i - \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} \right) (r'_{n+1} D'_{n+1}).
\]

\[ \square \]

Theorem 4.7. Let \( \mu_i : W \to X_i \) be defined as above, and let

\[ F'_{12} := \mu_1 \circ \mu_2^* : \Perf_T(X_2) \to \Perf_T(X_1) \]

\[ F'_{21} := \mu_2 \circ \mu_1^* : \Perf_T(X_1) \to \Perf_T(X_2) \]

be Fourier-Mukai functors.

(a) If \( \mu_1^* K_{X_1} \geq \mu_2^* K_{X_2} \) then \( F'_{12} \) is cohomologically full and faithful.
(b) If \( \mu_1^* K_{X_1} \leq \mu_2^* K_{X_2} \) then \( F'_{21} \) is cohomologically full and faithful.
(c) If \( \mu_1^* K_{X_1} = \mu_2^* K_{X_2} \) then \( F'_{12} \) and \( F'_{21} \) are quasi-equivalences.

In (c), \( F_{12} \) and \( F_{21} \) are not inverses of each other in general, as we will see in the following example.

Example 4.8. \( N = \mathbb{Z}^2 \),

\[
\beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

\( v_1 = (1, 0), \quad v_2 = (-1, -2), \quad v_3 = (0, -1), \)

\( r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 1, \quad r'_3 = 2, \quad a_1 = a_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad a'_1 = a'_2 = 1. \)

\( X_1 \) is the total space of \( O_{P_1}(-2) \), and \( X_2 = [\mathbb{C}^2 / \mathbb{Z}_2] \). Given \( c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \), we define

\[
\mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2,c_3)} = O_{X_1}(c_1 D_{1,1} + c_2 D_{1,2} + c_3 D_{1,3})
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{2,(c_1,c_2)} = O_{X_2}(c_1 D_{2,1} + c_2 D_{2,2}).
\]

Then

\[
F'_{12}(\mathcal{L}_{2,(c_1,c_2)}) = \begin{cases} 
\mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2, \frac{c_1+c_2}{2})}, & c_1 + c_2 \text{ is even,} \\
\mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2, \frac{c_1-c_2}{2})}, & c_1 + c_2 \text{ is odd.}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\begin{cases} 
F'_{21}(\mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2, \frac{c_1+c_2}{2})}) = \mathcal{L}_{2,(c_1,c_2)}, & c_1 + c_2 \text{ is even,} \\
F'_{21}(\mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2, \frac{c_1-c_2}{2})}) = \mathcal{L}_{2,(c_1+c_2)} & c_1 + c_2 \text{ is odd.}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\begin{cases} 
F_{12} \circ F'_{21}(\mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2, \frac{c_1+c_2}{2})}) = \mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2, \frac{c_1+c_2}{2})}, & c_1 + c_2 \text{ is even,} \\
F_{12} \circ F'_{21}(\mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2, \frac{c_1-c_2}{2})}) = \mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2, \frac{c_1+c_2}{2})} & c_1 + c_2 \text{ is odd.}
\end{cases}
\]

The corresponding functors for constructible sheaves are shown in Figure \[.]
4.3. Divisorial contraction: \( F'_{12} = \mu_1 \circ \mu_2^* \). Let \( \mu_1 : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{X}_1 \) be defined as in Section 4.2. Recall that there is a toric morphism \( f : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}_2 \) which is a divisorial contraction. In this section, we study the Fourier-Mukai functor \( F'_{12} = \mu_1 \circ \mu_2^* \) and the corresponding functor for constructible sheaves. We obtain an equivariant version of [Ka, Theorem 4.2 (2)].

The pullback map \( \mu_2^* \) has been studied in [FLTZ2]. The identity map \( id : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N} \) induces a morphism \( \Sigma' \to \Sigma_2 \) of stacky fans, which induces a morphism \( \mu_2 : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{X}_2 \) of toric orbifolds. The following square of functors commutes up to natural isomorphism by [FLTZ2, Theorem 5.16]:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle \Theta'_2 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} & \langle \Theta_2 \rangle \\
\mu_2^* \downarrow & & \downarrow id \\
\langle \Theta'_W \rangle & \xrightarrow{\kappa'} & \langle \Theta_W \rangle
\end{array}
\]

where \( \kappa_2 = \kappa_{\Sigma_2} \) and \( \kappa' = \kappa_{\Sigma'} \). Since \( id \) is the identity map and \( id \) is cohomologically full and faithful, \( \mu_2^* \) is also a cohomologically full and faithful functor.

The toric orbifolds \( \mathcal{W} \) and \( \mathcal{X}_1 \) have the same coarse moduli space \( \mathcal{X}_1 = X_{\Sigma_1} \). The pushforward functor \( \mu_1 \) was described in terms of theta sheaves in Section 4.1. We now describe the composition \( F'_{12} = \mu_1 \circ \mu_2^* \) and the corresponding functor \( F_{12} \) on constructible sheaves.
Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_2$ be a $d$-dimensional cone generated by the rays $\rho_{i_1}, \ldots, \rho_{i_k}$, $k = 1, \ldots, d$. Denote $t_k = (\chi_{v_k} v_k)_{2, \sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for a given $\chi \in N_{2, \sigma}$. The theta sheaf $\Theta_2(\sigma, \chi) \in \langle \Theta_2 \rangle$ is the costandard constructible sheaf supported on the submanifold given by

$$\langle \sigma, \chi \rangle = \{ x \in M_k : \langle x, v_k \rangle > \frac{t_k}{r_k}, \ k = 1, \ldots, d \}$$

where $\langle \, , \rangle : M_k \times N_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the natural pairing.

**Proposition 4.9.** The Fourier-Mukai functor $F_{12}$ takes a theta sheaf in $\langle \Theta_2 \rangle$ to $\langle \Theta_1 \rangle$. Moreover, if $v_{n+1} \in \sigma$, then the analogue constructible functor $F_{12} = \kappa_1 \circ F_{12} \circ \kappa_2 : \langle \Theta_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \Theta_1 \rangle$ takes $\Theta_2(\sigma, \chi)$ to the costandard constructible sheaf on

$$F(\sigma^\vee, \chi) = \{ x \in M_k : \langle x, v_k \rangle > \frac{t_k}{r_k}, \ k = 1, \ldots, d; \langle x, v_{n+1} \rangle > \frac{t_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}} \}$$

where

$$t_{n+1} = [r_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n'} \frac{a_k t_k}{r_k}] \in \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

Otherwise if $v_{n+1} \notin \sigma$ then $F_{12}(\Theta_2(\sigma, \chi)) = \Theta_1(\sigma, \chi)$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\sigma \in \Sigma_2(d)$ and $v_{n+1} \in \sigma$. Let $v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_d}$ be defined as above. Then we may assume $i_k = k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n'$, and

$$n' < i_{n'+1} < \cdots < i_d \leq n.$$ 

We have

$$\sigma = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n'} \sigma_k$$

where $\sigma_k \in \Sigma_1(d)$ is the cone generated by

$$v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}, v_{i_k+1}, \ldots, v_{i_{n'+1}}, \ldots, v_{i_d}, v_{n+1}.$$ 

For $1 \leq j_0 < \cdots < j_k \leq n'$, let $\sigma_{j_0 \cdots j_k} = \sigma_{j_0} \cap \cdots \cap \sigma_{j_k} \in \Sigma_1(d-k)$, and let $\chi_{j_0 \cdots j_k} \in M'_{\sigma_{j_0} \cdots \sigma_{j_k}}$ be the image of $\chi \in M_{2, \sigma}$ under the group homomorphism $M_{2, \sigma} \rightarrow M'_{\sigma_{j_0} \cdots \sigma_{j_k}}$. Let $P(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{n'}) \in Sh_c(M_k; A_{\Sigma_1})$ be the following cochain complex:

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq j_0 \leq n'} \Theta_\mathcal{W}(\sigma_{j_0}, \chi_{j_0}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq j_0 < i_1 \leq n'} \Theta_\mathcal{W}(\sigma_{j_0 i_1}, \chi_{j_0 i_1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Then $P(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{n'})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $j_{(\sigma^\vee, \chi)[C_{(\sigma^\vee, \chi)}][n]}$.

If $\tau, \tau' \in \Sigma_1$ and $\tau \subset \tau'$ then there are surjective group homomorphisms $f_{1, \tau' \tau}^*: M_{1, \tau'} \rightarrow M_{1, \tau}$ and $f_{\tau' \tau}^*: M_{\tau'} \rightarrow M_{\tau}$. Recall that the pushforward map $\mu_{\tau' \tau}$ is the pushforward map of the characters for a single cone defined in Section 4.1. These maps are compatible with the restriction map $f^*$:

$$\mu_{1, \tau} \circ f_{\tau' \tau}^* = f_{1, \tau' \tau}^* \circ \mu_{1, \tau' \tau}.$$ 

Let $\phi_{i_0 \cdots i_k} := \mu_{1, \sigma_{i_0 \cdots i_k}}(\chi_{i_0 \cdots i_k}) \in M_{1, \sigma_{i_0 \cdots i_k}}$, and let $P(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{n'}) \in Sh_c(M_k; A_{\Sigma_1})$ be the following cochain complex:

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_0 \leq n'} \Theta_1(\sigma_{i_0}, \phi_{i_0}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_0 < i_1 \leq n'} \Theta_1(\sigma_{i_0 i_1}, \phi_{i_0 i_1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

It remains to show that $P(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{n'})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $j_{F(\sigma^\vee, \chi)[C_{F(\sigma^\vee, \chi)}][n]}$. It suffices to prove the following two statements:
Proof. (1) The piecewise linear function \( \psi : \sigma \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by \( \phi_i \in M_{1,\sigma} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n' \) is convex:

\[
\psi(v_{n+1}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_i \psi(v_i).
\]

(ii) \( F(\sigma^\vee)^o = \{ x \in M_\mathbb{R} \mid \langle x, v \rangle > \psi(v) \text{ for any } v \in \sigma \subset N_\mathbb{R} \} \).

(i) and (ii) follow from:

\[
\psi(v_k) = \frac{t_k}{r_k}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n', i_1, \ldots, i_{d-n'}, n + 1
\]

\[
\frac{t_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_i t_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_i \frac{t_i}{r_i}.
\]

\( \square \)

Proposition 4.10. Suppose that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \frac{t_i}{r_i} \geq \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} \). We have the following statements involving the map \( F \):

(1) \( (\sigma^\vee)^o \subset (\sigma'^\vee)^o \Rightarrow F(\sigma^\vee)^o \subset F(\sigma'^\vee)^o \).

(2) \( (\sigma^\vee)^o \not\subset (\sigma'^\vee)^o \Rightarrow F(\sigma^\vee)^o \not\subset F(\sigma'^\vee)^o \) and \( F(\sigma^\vee)^o - F(\sigma'^\vee)^o \) is contractible.

Proof. (1) We only need to show the case \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \) both contain \( \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n'} \). It is obvious that \( \sigma \supset \sigma' \). Let \( v_1, \ldots, v_{i_d} \) be the generators of \( \sigma \), and \( v_1, \ldots, v_{i_{d'}} \) generate \( \sigma' \) where \( 1 \leq d' \leq d \). Similarly to the definition of \( t_k \), set \( t'_k = (\chi', r_k v_{i_k})_{2, \sigma} \), and

\[
t'_{n+1} = \left[ r_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_k t'_k \right].
\]

The inclusion \( (\sigma^\vee)^o \subset (\sigma'^\vee)^o \) gives \( t_k \geq t'_k \), and a straightforward calculation shows \( t_{n+1} \geq t'_{n+1} \). It follows that \( F(\sigma^\vee)^o \subset F(\sigma'^\vee)^o \) by definition.

(2) If \( \sigma \not\supset \sigma' \), the statement is trivial. In case that \( \sigma \supset \sigma' \), we must have some \( k_0 \) such that \( t_{i_{k_0}} < t'_{i_{k_0}} \), which followed by \( F(\sigma^\vee)^o \not\subset F(\sigma'^\vee)^o \). The only situation that \( F(\sigma^\vee)^o - F(\sigma'^\vee)^o \) is not contractible is that \( t_k > t'_k \) while \( t'_{n+1} = t_{n+1} \), but this is impossible since

\[
t'_{n+1} - t_{n+1} = \left[ r_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_k t'_k \right] - \left[ r_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_k t_k \right]
\]

\[
\geq \left[ r_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_k (t'_k - t_k) \right] \geq \left[ r_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_k \right] \geq [1] > 0.
\]

\( \square \)

Proposition 4.9 and Proposition 4.10 give the following theorem:

Theorem 4.11. If \( \mu^1_1 K_{X_1} \geq \mu^2_2 K_{X_2} \), or equivalently,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \frac{t_i}{r_i} \geq \frac{1}{r_{n+1}},
\]
then the Fourier-Mukai functor $F'_{12} = \mu_{12} \circ \mu_{2}^*: \langle \Theta_2' \rangle \to \langle \Theta_1' \rangle$ is a quasi-embedding. If restricted on the full dg subcategory $\text{Coh}_T(X_2)$, $F'_{12}$ is a quasi-embedding of $\text{Coh}_T(X_2)$ into $\text{Coh}_T(X_1)$.

**Proof.** Passing to constructible sheaves via CCC, it suffices to work on the constructible theta sheaves since they are generators. The theorem follows from the simple facts

$$\text{Ext}^*(\Theta_2(\sigma, \chi), \Theta_2(\sigma', \chi')) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[0] & \text{if } (\sigma_2')^o \subset (\sigma_1')^o, \\ 0 & \text{if } (\sigma_2')^o \not\subset (\sigma_1')^o, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{Ext}^*((F_{12})^*(\sigma_2), (F_{12})^*(\sigma_1)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[0] & \text{if } F(\sigma_2')^o \subset F(\sigma_1')^o, \\ 0 & \text{if } F(\sigma_2')^o \not\subset F(\sigma_1')^o \text{ and } F(\sigma_2')^o = F(\sigma_1')^o \text{ is contractible}. \end{cases}$$

$$\square$$

**Example 4.12.** $N = \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$\beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta' = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$X_2 = [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_2] \times \mathbb{C}$, $X_2 = \mathbb{C}^2$.

$v_1 = (1, 0), \quad v_2 = (0, 1), \quad v_3 = (1, 1), \quad
r_1 = 2, \quad r_2 = r_3 = 1, \quad r'_3 = 2, \quad a_1 = a'_1 = 1, \quad a_2 = 1, \quad a'_2 = 2.$

For $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2,c_3)} = \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(c_1D_{1,1} + c_2D_{1,2} + c_3D_{1,3})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2,(c_1,c_2)} = \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(c_1D_{2,1} + c_2D_{2,2}) = p_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(\frac{c_1}{2}D_{2,1} + D_{2,2})$$

Then

$$F'_{12}(\mathcal{L}_{2,(c_1,c_2)}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2,\frac{c_1}{2}+c_3)}, & \text{if } c_1 \text{ is even,} \\ \mathcal{L}_{1,(c_1,c_2,\frac{c_1-1}{2}+c_3)}, & \text{if } c_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
The corresponding functors for constructible sheaves are shown in Figure 10.

4.4. Divisorial contraction: \( F_{21}' = \mu_{2*} \circ \mu_1' \). Let \( \mu_i : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{X}_i \) be defined as in Section 4.2 and Section 4.3. In this section, we study the Fourier-Mukai functor \( F_{21}' = \mu_{2*} \circ \mu_1' \) and the corresponding functor for constructible sheaves. We obtain an equivariant version of [Ka, Theorem 4.2 (4)].

The pullback map \( \mu_1' \) has been studied in [FLTZ2]. The identity map \( id : N \to N \) induces a morphism \( \Sigma' = (N, \Sigma_1, \beta') \to \Sigma_1 = (N, \Sigma_1, \beta_1) \) of stacky fans, which induces a morphism \( \mu_1 : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{X}_1 \) of toric orbifolds. The following square of functors commutes up to natural isomorphism by [FLTZ2, Theorem 5.16]:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\Theta')_1 & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} & (\Theta_1) \\
\downarrow \mu_1^* & & \downarrow id \\
(\Theta'_W) & \xrightarrow{\kappa'} & (\Theta_W)
\end{array}
\]

where \( \kappa_1 = \kappa_{\Sigma_1} \) and \( \kappa' = \kappa_{\Sigma'} \). Since \( id \) is the identity map and \( id \) is cohomologically full and faithful, \( \mu_1' \) is also a cohomologically full and faithful functor.

It remains to study the pushforward map \( \mu_{2*} \). Generally speaking, the image of \( \mathcal{Q}_T(W) = (\Theta_W) \) under the pushforward map \( \mu_{2*} : \mathcal{Q}_T(W) \to \mathcal{Q}_T(X_2) \) is not contained in \( \mathcal{Q}_{T'}(X_2) = (\Theta_2) \).

4.4.1. Notation. By definition,

\[ N_{2,\sigma_{X_2}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}b_i \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}. \]

Define \( b_1^*, \ldots, b_n^* \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \) by \( (b_i^*, b_j) = \delta_{ij} \). Then the dual lattice of \( N_{2,\sigma_{X_2}} \) is given by

\[ M_{2,\sigma_{X_2}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}b_i^* \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}. \]

Recall from Section 4.2 that

\[ v_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} a_i v_i, \quad b_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} \alpha_i b_i, \quad b_{n+1}' = m b_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n'} \beta_i b_i, \]

where

\[ a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}, \quad \alpha_i = \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_i} a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \quad \beta_i = m \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, n' \). We fix the following notation:

- Let \( \bar{I} = \{1, \ldots, n+1\} \), \( I = \{1, \ldots, n\} \), \( I' = \{1, \ldots, n'\} \).
- Given a proper subset \( J \) of \( \bar{I} \), let \( \sigma_J \) denote the cone in \( N_{\mathbb{R}} \) generated by

\[ \{v_j \mid j \in J\}. \]

In particular, \( \sigma_\emptyset = \{0\} \), where \( \emptyset \) is the empty set.

With the above notation, we have

\[ \sigma_{X_2} = \sigma_I, \quad \sigma_{i_0} = \sigma_{I-(i_0)} \text{ if } i_0 \in I', \]

\[ \Sigma_1 = \{\sigma_J \mid I' \not\subset J \subset \bar{I}\}, \quad \Sigma_2 = \{\sigma_J \mid J \subset I\}. \]
We define a map \( \Lambda := \{ J \subset I \mid I' \not\subset J \} \to 2^I = \{ J' \subset I \} \), \( J \mapsto J' \), such that \( \sigma_{J'} \in \Sigma_2 \) is the intersection of all cones in \( \Sigma_2 \) which contains \( \sigma_J \in \Sigma_1 \). More explicitly,

\[
J' = \begin{cases} J & \text{if } n + 1 \notin J, \\ (J - \{ n + 1 \}) \cup I' & \text{if } n + 1 \in J. \end{cases}
\]

For \( J \in \Lambda \), define \( \mathcal{X}_{1,J} = \mathcal{X}_{1,\sigma_J} \) and \( \mathcal{W}_J = \mathcal{W}_{\sigma_J} \); for \( J \in 2^I \), define \( \mathcal{X}_{2,J} = \mathcal{X}_{2,\sigma_J} \).

**Lemma 4.13.** Suppose that \( J \in \Lambda \), so that \( \sigma_J \in \Sigma_1 \). If \( n + 1 \notin J \) then

\[
F_{21}^J \Theta_1^J(\sigma_J, \phi) = \Theta_2^J(\sigma_J, \phi)
\]

for any \( \phi \in M_{1,\sigma_J} = M_{2,\sigma_J} \).

**Proof.** If \( n + 1 \notin J \) then \( \mu_i : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{X}_i \) restricts to the identity map \( \mathcal{W}_J \to \mathcal{X}_{i,J} \), \( i = 1, 2 \). \( \square \)

We will consider the case \( n + 1 \in J \) later.

By definition, \( N_{1,\sigma_{i_0}} = \bigoplus_{i \in I - \{ i_0 \}} \mathbb{Z} \delta_i \). Since the cones \( \sigma_{X_2} \) and \( \sigma_{i_0} \) are \( n \)-dimensional, one may regard \( M_{2,\sigma_{X_2}} \) and \( M_{1,\sigma_{i_0}} \) as subsets in \( M_\mathbb{R} \), embedded in a canonical way. Straightforward calculations show that

**Lemma 4.14.**

\[
M_{1,\sigma_{i_0}} = \bigoplus_{i \in I' - \{ i_0 \}} \mathbb{Z}(b_i^* - \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{i_0}} b_{i_0}^*) + \bigoplus_{i \in I - I'} \mathbb{Z} b_i^* \bigoplus_{i \in I' - \{ i_0 \}} \mathbb{Z} b_i^*.
\]

\[
M'_{\sigma_{i_0}} = \bigoplus_{i \in I' - \{ i_0 \}} \mathbb{Z}(b_i^* - \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{i_0}} b_{i_0}^*) + \bigoplus_{i \in I - I'} \mathbb{Z} b_i^* \bigoplus_{i \in I' - \{ i_0 \}} \mathbb{Z} b_i^*.
\]

**4.4.2. Reduction.** We fix \( i_0 \in I' \). Define \( \mu_{1,i_0} := \mu_1|_{\mathcal{W}_{\sigma_{i_0}}} : \mathcal{W}_{\sigma_{i_0}} \to \mathcal{X}_{1,\sigma_{i_0}} \) and \( \mu_{2,i_0} := \mu_2|_{\mathcal{W}_{\sigma_{i_0}}} : \mathcal{W}_{\sigma_{i_0}} \to \mathcal{X}_{2,\sigma_{X_2}} = \mathcal{X}_2 \). Define \( F_{21,i_0}^J = \mu_{2,i_0} \circ \mu_{1,i_0}^J \). Suppose that \( J \in \Lambda \) and \( \sigma_J \subset \sigma_{i_0} \). Let \( j : \mathcal{X}_{1,J} \to \mathcal{X}_{1,\sigma_{i_0}} \) be the open embedding. For every \( \phi \in M_{1,\sigma_{i_0}} \), define \( \Theta_{i_0}^J(\sigma_J, \phi) := j_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{1,J}}(\phi) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{X}_2}^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{X}_{1,\sigma_{i_0}}) \). Then

\[
F_{21} \Theta_1^J(\sigma_J, \phi) = j_{\sigma_{i_0}}^* F_{21,i_0}^J \Theta_{i_0}^J(\sigma_J, \phi),
\]

where \( j_{\sigma_{i_0}} : \mathcal{X}_{1,\sigma_{i_0}} \to \mathcal{X}_1 \) is the embedding of \( \mathcal{X}_{1,\sigma_{i_0}} \). Every \( \sigma_J \in \Sigma_1 \) is contained in \( \sigma_{i_0} \) for some \( i_0 \in I' \), so it suffices to describe \( F_{21,i_0}^J \) for any \( i_0 \in I' \).

**4.4.3. Coordinate rings.** For some \( i_0 \in I' \), we define the following notations.

- For \( i \in I' - \{ i_0 \} \), define

\[
x_i = \chi b_i^* \in \mathbb{C}[M_{2,\sigma_{X_2}}], \quad y_i = \chi b_i^* - \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{i_0}} b_{i_0}^* \in \mathbb{C}[M_{1,\sigma_{i_0}}],
\]

where \( \chi b_i^* \) is defined as in [Fu, Section 1.3].

- Define

\[
y_{i_0} = \chi^{-\frac{b_i^*}{\alpha_{i_0}}} \in \mathbb{C}[M_{1,\sigma_{i_0}}], \quad z = \chi^{-\frac{b_i^*}{\alpha_{i_0}}} \in \mathbb{C}[M'_{\sigma_{i_0}}].
\]

In particular, \( y_{i_0} = z^m \).

- For \( i \in I - I' \), i.e. \( n' + 1 \leq i \leq n \), define \( y_i = \chi b_i^* \).
Define rings

\[ A_1 := \mathbb{C}[\sigma_{i_0}^\vee \cap M_1, \sigma_{i_0}] = \mathbb{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_n], \]
\[ A' := \mathbb{C}[\sigma_{i_0}^\vee \cap M'_{i_0}] = \mathbb{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_{i_0-1}, z, y_{i_0+1}, \ldots, y_n], \]
\[ A_2 := \mathbb{C}[\sigma_{i_0}^\vee \cap M_{i_0}] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n'}, y_{n'+1}, \ldots, y_n], \]

We define

\[ U_1 = \text{Spec} A_1, \quad U' = \text{Spec} A', \quad U_2 = \text{Spec} A_2. \]

Then \( U_1, U', \) and \( U_2 \) are isomorphic to \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Define

\[ \tilde{T}_1 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[M_1, \sigma_{i_0}], \quad \tilde{T}' = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[M'_{i_0}], \quad \tilde{T}_2 = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[M_{i_0}], \quad T = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[M]. \]

Then \( \tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}', \) and \( T \) are isomorphic to \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \). \( \tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}', \) and \( T \) act on \( U_1, U' \), and \( U_2 \), respectively.

There are short exact sequence of abelian groups

\[ 1 \to G_1 \to \tilde{T}_1 \to T \to 1, \quad 1 \to G' \to \tilde{T}' \to T \to 1, \quad 1 \to G_2 \to \tilde{T}_2 \to T \to 1. \]

where \( G_1, G' \), and \( G_2 \) are finite groups. We have

\[ X_{1, \sigma_{i_0}} = [U_1/G_2], \quad W_{\sigma_{i_0}} = [U'/G'], \quad X_2 = [U_2/G_2]. \]

The morphism \( \mu_{1,i_0} : W_{\sigma_{i_0}} \to X_{1, \sigma_{i_0}} \) lifts to \( g_1 : U' \to U_1 \), where

\[ g_1(y_1, \ldots, y_{i_0-1}, z, y_{i_0+1}, \ldots, y_n) = (y_1, \ldots, y_{i_0-1}, z^{\beta}, y_{i_0+1}, \ldots, y_n). \]

The morphism \( \mu_{2,i_0} : W_{\sigma_{i_0}} \to X_2 \) lifts to \( g_2 : U' \to U_2 \), where

\[ g_2(y_1, \ldots, y_{i_0-1}, z, y_{i_0+1}, \ldots, y_n) = (y_1 z^{\beta}, \ldots, y_{i_0-1} z^{\beta_{i_0-1}}, z^{\beta_{i_0}}, y_{i_0+1} z^{\beta_{i_0+1}}, \ldots, y_{n'} z^{\beta_{n'}}, y_{n'+1}, \ldots, y_n). \]

Suppose that \( J \subseteq \Lambda \). Then \( J \subseteq \sigma_{i_0} \) for some \( i_0 \in I' \). We fix \( i_0 \) and \( J \), and assume that \( n + 1 \in J \). Define

\[ K_1 = I - J - \{i_0\}, \quad K_2 = I - J'. \]

Define rings

\[ B_1 = A_1[y_i^{-1}]_{i \in K_1}, \quad B' = A'[y_i^{-1}]_{i \in K_1}, \quad B_2 = A_2[y_i^{-1}]_{i \in K_2}. \]

where \( A_1[y_i^{-1}]_{i \in K_1} \) is the ring \( A_1 \) adjoint with \( y_i^{-1} \) for all \( i \in K_1 \), etc.

We define

\[ V_1 = \text{Spec} B_1, \quad V' = \text{Spec} B', \quad V_2 = \text{Spec} B_2. \]

The inclusion \( A_1 \subset B_1, A' \subset B' \), and \( A_2 \subset B_2 \) induce open embeddings

\[ V_1 \subset U_1, \quad V' \subset U', \quad V_2 \subset U_2. \]

We have

\[ X_{1,J} = [V_1/G_1], \quad W_J = [V'/G'], \quad X_{2,J'} = [V_2/G_2]. \]
4.4.4. Sheaves and modules. \(\Theta_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi)\) corresponds to a \(\tilde{T}_1\)-equivariant quasicoherent sheaf \(\tilde{\Theta}_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi)\) on \(U_1\), and \(F_{21,i_0}\Theta_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi)\) corresponds to the \(\tilde{T}_2\)-equivariant quasicoherent sheaf \(g_2, g_1^* \tilde{\Theta}_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi)\) on \(U_2\). Let \(H\) be the kernel of \(\tilde{T}' \to \tilde{T}_2\). Define

\[
Q_1 = \Gamma(U_1, \tilde{\Theta}_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi)), \quad Q' = \Gamma(U', g_1^* \tilde{\Theta}_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi)),
\]
\[
Q_2 = \Gamma(U_2, g_2, g_1^* \tilde{\Theta}_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi)) = \Gamma(U', g_1^* \tilde{\Theta}_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi))^H,
\]

Then

1. \(\tilde{\Theta}_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi)\) is the \(\tilde{T}_1\)-equivariant quasicoherent sheaf on \(U_1\) defined by the \(\tilde{T}_1\)-equivariant \(A_1\)-module \(Q_1\).
2. \(g_1^* \tilde{\Theta}_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi)\) is the \(\tilde{T}'\)-equivariant quasicoherent sheaf on \(U'\) defined by the \(\tilde{T}'\)-equivariant \(A'\)-module \(Q'\).
3. \(g_2, g_1^* \tilde{\Theta}_{1,i_0}^\prime(\sigma_j, \phi)\) is the \(\tilde{T}_2\)-equivariant quasicoherent sheaf on \(U_2\) defined by the \(\tilde{T}_2\)-equivariant \(A_2\)-module \(Q_2\).

More explicitly, \(\phi \in M_{1,\sigma_j}\) is determined by \(c_i = \langle \phi, b_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in J\). We have

\[
Q_1 = \mathbb{C}[(\sigma_j) \cap M_{1,\sigma_{i_0}}] = y^{n+1}_{i_0} \cdot \prod_{j \in J - \{n+1\}} y_j^q \cdot B_1
\]
\[
Q' = \mathbb{C}[(\sigma_j) \cap M_{\sigma_{i_0}}] = z^{m+1} \cdot \prod_{j \in J - \{n+1\}} y_j^q \cdot B'
\]
\[
Q_2 = \mathbb{C}[(\sigma_j) \cap M_{\sigma_{i_0}}] \cap \mathbb{C}[M_{2,\sigma_{x_2}}]
\]
\[
= x^{\alpha_1}_{i_0} \cdot \prod_{j \in \mathcal{I} \cap I'} (x_j x_{i_0}^{-\alpha_j/\alpha_{i_0}})^{\epsilon_j} \cdot \prod_{j \in J' - I'} y_j^q \cdot g(B_1) \cap \mathbb{C}[M_{2,\sigma_{x_2}}]
\]

where

\[
g(B_1) = \mathbb{C}[x_j x_{i_0}^{-\alpha_j/\alpha_{i_0}}, y_{i_0}] \cap \mathbb{C}[x_j, x_{i_0}^{-\alpha_j/\alpha_{i_0}}, x_j^{-1} x_{i_0}^{-\alpha_j/\alpha_{i_0}}] \cap \mathbb{C}[y_j, y_j^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{C}[M_{2,\sigma_{x_2}}]
\]

Here we use \(z = x^{1/\alpha_{i_0}}_{i_0}\) and \(y_i = x_i x_{i_0}^{-\alpha_i/\alpha_{i_0}}\) for \(i \in I' - \{i_0\}\).

Finally, we remark that

1. \(Q_1\) is a free \(B_1\)-module of rank 1, and defines a line bundle \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}_i}(\phi)\) on \(\mathcal{V}_1 = \text{Spec} B_1\),
2. \(Q'\) is a free \(B'\)-module of rank 1, and defines a line bundle \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}'_i}(\phi)\) on \(\mathcal{V}' = \text{Spec} B'\),
3. \(Q_2\) is a \(B_2\)-module, and defines a quasicoherent sheaf on \(\mathcal{V}_2 = \text{Spec} B_2\).

4.4.5. Koszul resolution. \(Q_2\) is not finitely generated as a \(B_2\)-module. The goal of this section is to find a resolution of \(Q_2\) by free \(B_2\)-modules. The following observations are useful:

1. Let \(B = \mathbb{C}[x_{i_0}, y_{n'+1}, \ldots, y_n] \cap \mathbb{C}[y_j^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{C}[y_j] \cap \mathbb{C}[y_j^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{C}[y_j] \cap \mathbb{C}[M_{2,\sigma_{x_2}}]\). Then \(B\) is a subring of \(B_1\), so \(Q_2\) can be viewed as a \(B\)-module. We observe that \(Q_2\) is a free \(B\)-module.
(ii) $B_2 = B[x_j]_{j \in \Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\}}$ can be viewed as a $B$-module. We have the following exact sequence of $B$-modules (the Koszul complex):

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\}} x_j B_1 \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{i,j \in \Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\}, i<j} x_i x_j B_1 \to \bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\}} x_j B_1 \to B \to 0.$$ 

Note that

- The set $\Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\}$ is the disjoint union of $\Gamma' \cap J$ and $\Gamma' \cap K_1$.
- The set $J'$ is the disjoint union of $I'$ and $J' \setminus I'$.

For any $m = (m_i)_{i \in \Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\}}$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ if $i \in \Gamma' \cap J$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $i \in \Gamma' \cap K_1$, we define $\gamma(m) \in M_{2, \Gamma'}$ as follows.

$$\gamma(m) := \left[ \frac{c_{n+1}}{a_{i_0}} \right] - \frac{1}{a_{i_0}} \left( \sum_{i \in \Gamma' \cap J} \alpha_i (c_i + m_i) \right) + \sum_{i \in \Gamma' \cap K_1} \alpha_i m_i b^*_{i_0} + \sum_{i \in \Gamma' \cap J} (c_i + m_i) b^*_i + \sum_{i \in \Gamma' \setminus J} m_i b^*_i + \sum_{i \in \Gamma' \setminus J} c_i b^*_i.$$

Define

$$\Gamma := \{ \gamma(m) \mid m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ if } i \in \Gamma' \cap J; m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ if } i \in \Gamma' \cap K_1 \} \subset M_{2, \Gamma'}.$$

For any $\chi = \sum_{j \in J'} k_j b^*_j \in M_{2, \Gamma'}$, denote the monomial

$$f_\chi = \prod_{j \in \Gamma'} x_j^{k_j} \cdot \prod_{j \in \Gamma' \setminus J'} y_j^{k_j}.$$

Then $Q_2$ is a free $B$-module generated by $\{f_\chi \mid \chi \in \Gamma\}$:

$$Q_2 = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma} f_\chi B.$$

Multiplying the exact sequence in (ii) by $f_\chi$, and taking the direct sum over all $f_\chi$ for $\chi \in \Gamma$, one arrives at the following resolution of $Q_2$ by free $B_2$-modules:

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma} (\bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma'} \bigoplus_{i,j \in \Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\}, i<j} x_i x_j f_\chi B_2) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma} \bigoplus_{i,j \in \Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\}, i<j} x_i x_j f_\chi B_2 \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma} f_\chi B_2 \to Q_2 \to 0.$$

4.4.6. Resolution by theta sheaves.

**Lemma 4.15.** The Fourier-Mukai transformed sheaf $F_{21} \Theta'(\sigma_j, \phi)$ admits the following resolution

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma} \Theta'_2(\sigma_j, \chi + \sum_{i \in \Gamma'} b^*_i) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma} \bigoplus_{i,j \in \Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\}, i<j} \Theta'_2(\sigma_j, \chi + b^*_i + b^*_j) \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma} \Theta'_2(\sigma_j, \chi) \to F_{21} \Theta'_1(\sigma_j, \phi) \to 0.$$
Taking the coherent-constructible correspondence functor $\kappa$ to the resolution, we obtain a chain complex of constructible theta sheaves on $M_R$

\[ 0 \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma} \Theta_2(\sigma_j', \chi + \sum_{i \in I'} b_i^*) \to \ldots \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma, i,j \in I' \setminus \{i_0\}, i < j} \Theta_2(\sigma_j', \chi + b_i^* + b_j^*) \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma} \Theta_2(\sigma_j', \chi) \to . \]

Although this complex is not finitely-generated by $\Theta_2$-sheaves on $M_R$ since it involves countably-many direct sums, it is a constructible sheaf on $M_R$. Thus we have obtained a functor denoted by $F_{21} : (\Theta_1) \to Sh_c(M_R; A_{\Sigma_2})$.

For the given $\sigma_j$ and $\phi \in M_{1, \sigma_j}$, define the "Fourier-Mukai transformed set"

\[ F(\sigma_j)^\vee_{\phi} = \bigcup_{\chi \in \Gamma} (\sigma_j')^\vee_{\chi}, \]

while similarly we denote $F((\sigma_j)^\vee_0)$ to be the interior of the above set. (In case that $n + 1 \notin J$, we simply set $F(\sigma_j)^\vee_{\phi} = (\sigma_j)^\vee_\phi$). We have the following proposition characterizing $F_{21}(\Theta_1(\sigma_j, \phi))$.

**Proposition 4.16.**

\[ F_{21}(\Theta_1(\sigma_j, \phi)) \cong i_! \omega_{F((\sigma_j)^\vee_0)^c}, \]

where $i : (\sigma_j)^\vee_0 \hookrightarrow M_R$ is the embedding of the open subset, and $\omega_{F((\sigma_j)^\vee_0)}$ is the costandard constructible sheaf on this set.

**Proof.** In order to prove the resolution of $F_{21}(\Theta_1(\sigma_j, \phi))$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\omega_{F((\sigma_j)^\vee_0)}$, we only need to show that they are quasi-isomorphic at every stalk $p \in M_R$. If $p \notin F((\sigma_j)^\vee_0)$ the stalk of the costandard sheaf $(i_! \omega_{F((\sigma_j)^\vee_0)})_p = 0$, while the stalk $(F_{21}(\Theta_1(\sigma_j, \phi)))_p$ is also a zero complex. It remains to show that when $p \in F((\sigma_j)^\vee_0)$, the stalk $(F_{21}(\Theta_1(\sigma_j, \phi)))_p$ is quasi-isomorphic to $(i_! \omega_{F((\sigma_j)^\vee_0)})_p \cong \mathbb{C}[0]$.

Let $p = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i b_i^* \in M_R$, and $\Gamma(p) = \{ \chi \in \Gamma \mid p \in ((\sigma_j)^\vee_0) \}$. Set $m_0^i = [p_i] - 1 - c_i$ for $i \in I' \cap J$, and $m_0^i = [p_i] - 1$ if $i \in I' \cap K_1$. The character $\gamma^0 := \gamma(m_0^1, \ldots, m_0^0, m_0^0, m_0^0, \ldots, m_0^0)$ is the unique element in $\Gamma(p)$ such that $\gamma^0 - b_i^* \notin \Gamma(p)$, for any $i \in \Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\}$, for any $\chi \in \Gamma(p) \setminus \{\gamma^0\}$, denote

\[ I'_\chi = \{ i \in \Gamma' \setminus \{i_0\} \mid \chi - b_i^* \in \Gamma(p) \}. \]

With these notations, the last two terms of the stalk $(F_{21}(\Theta_1(\sigma_j, \phi)))_p$ is

\[ \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma(p) \setminus \{\gamma^0\}} \bigoplus_{i \in I'_\chi} \mathbb{C}_\chi \to \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma(p)} \mathbb{C}_\chi \to , \]

where $\mathbb{C}_\chi \cong \mathbb{C}$ is indexed by the character $\chi$. The image of the middle arrow is $\bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma(p) \setminus \{\gamma^0\}} \mathbb{C}_\chi$. Thus one defines a chain map $q : (F_{21}(\Theta_1(\sigma_j, \phi)))_p \to \mathbb{C}[0]$ where

\[ q_0 : \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Gamma(p)} \mathbb{C}_\chi \to \mathbb{C} \]

\[ (k_\chi)_{\chi \in \Gamma(p)} \mapsto \sum_{\chi \in \Gamma(p)} k_\chi, \]
and \( q_j = 0 \) for \( j \neq 0 \). This map induces the cohomology map \( H^*(q) = \text{id} : \mathbb{C}[0] \to \mathbb{C}[0] \), and it is a quasi-isomorphism.

**Remark 4.17.** Although the definition of \( F(\sigma_J)_{\phi}^\vee \) relies on the choice of some \( i_0 \in I' - J \), the above proposition shows it is the support of the cohomology sheaf of \( F_{I^2}(\Theta_J(\sigma_J, \phi)) \), which is independent of the choice of \( i_0 \).

4.4.7. Full and faithful functor. Let \( \sigma_{J_1}, \sigma_{J_2} \in \Sigma_1 \), \( \phi_1 \in M_{1, \sigma_{J_1}} \) and \( \phi_2 \in M_{1, \sigma_{J_2}} \), where \( J_1, J_2 \in \Lambda = \{J \subset I' \mid I' \not\subset J\} \). Define

\[
C_{1,i} = \begin{cases} (\phi_1, b_i)_{\sigma_{J_1}}, & i \in J_1, \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise}; \\
(\phi_2, b_i)_{\sigma_{J_2}}, & i \in J_2, \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Define the polyhedral set \( C(t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1}) \subset M_{\mathbb{R}} \) to be

\[
C(t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1}) := \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, b_i \rangle > t_i \}.
\]

In the remainder of this subsection, we let \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) denote \((c_1, 1, \ldots, c_1, n)\) and \((c_2, 1, \ldots, c_2, n)\), respectively, and write \( t \) for \((t_1, \ldots, t_n)\).

It is obvious that \( C(c_1, c_{n+1}) = ((\sigma_{J_1})_{\phi_1})^\vee \), and \( C(c_2, c_{n+1}) = ((\sigma_{J_2})_{\phi_2})^\vee \).

Furthermore, define \( D(t, t_{n+1}) \) to be

\[
D(t, t_{n+1}) := \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, b_i \rangle > t_i, \; i = 1, \ldots, n; \langle x, b_{n+1} \rangle > t_{n+1}\}.
\]

**Lemma 4.18.** If \( n+1 \in J_1 \), for any \( \phi_1 \in M_{1, \sigma_{J_1}} \) there is an \( s_1 \in [c_{n+1}, c_{n+1} + 1) \) such that \( D(c_1, s_1) \subset F((\sigma_{J_1})_{\phi_1})^\vee \). The same result holds for \( J_2 \) as well.

**Proof.** Let \( x = x_1 b_1^* + \cdots + x_{n+1} b_n^* \in D(c_1, s_1) \), for some \( s_1 = c_{n+1} + \epsilon \) where \( \epsilon > 0 \) will be determined below. Recall that in the definition of \( F((\sigma_{J_1})_{\phi_1})^\vee \), we have chosen an \( i_0 \in I' - J_1 \). Without the loss of generality, in this proof we assume \( i_0 = 1 \). Set

\[
m_i = \begin{cases} [x_i] - 1 - c_{1,i}, & i \in J_1 \cap I', \\
[x_i] - 1, & i \in I' - (J_1 \cup \{1\}).
\end{cases}
\]

and \( m = (m_2, \ldots, m_{n'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n'-1} \). It suffices to show that \( x \in ((\sigma_{J_1})_{\phi_1})^\vee \) after we specify a particular \( \epsilon \in (0, 1) \) (which depends on \( \phi_1 \) but not on \( x \)). The coordinate \( x_1 \) satisfies

\[
x_1 \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (s_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n'} \alpha_i x_i) \\
\geq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (s_1 - \sum_{i \in J_1 \cap I'} (m_i + c_{1,i} + 1) \alpha_i - \sum_{i \not\in I' - (J_1 \cup \{1\})} (m_i + 1) \alpha_i) \\
\geq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (c_{n+1} + (\epsilon - 1) + 1 - \sum_{i \in J_1 \cap I'} (m_i + c_{1,i} + 1) \alpha_i - \sum_{i \in I' - (J_1 \cup \{1\})} (m_i + 1) \alpha_i) \\
\geq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (c_{n+1} + (\epsilon - 1) + \alpha_1 - \sum_{i \in J_1 \cap I'} (m_i + c_{1,i}) \alpha_i - \sum_{i \in I' - (J_1 \cup \{1\})} m_i \alpha_i).
\]

The last inequality depends on the fact \( \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n'} \leq 1 \). For any \( m' = (m_2', \ldots, m_{n'}') \in \mathbb{Z}^{n'-1} \), define

\[
u(m') = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} (c_{1,n+1} - \sum_{i \in J_1 \cap I'} (m_i' + c_{1,i}) \alpha_i - \sum_{i \not\in I' - (J_1 \cup \{1\})} m_i' \alpha_i).
\]
Since $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are rational numbers, 

$$A(\phi_1) := \{u(m') + 1 - [u(m')] \mid m' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n'-1}\}$$

is a finite subset of $(0, 1]$. Define 

$$\varepsilon := 1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \min A(\phi_1) \in (0, 1).$$

Then 

$$x_1 \geq u(m) + 1 + \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\alpha_1} \geq [u(m)] + \frac{1}{2} \min A(\phi_1) > [u(m)],$$

which implies that $x \in ((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\gamma(m)})^\circ$. \hfill $\square$

The lemma above implies the relation $D(c_1, s_1) \subset F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ \subset C(c_1, c_1, n+1)$. Moreover, given 

$$x = x_1 b_{1}^* + \cdots + x_n b_{n}^* \in F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ - D(c_1, s_1)$$

and any $l \in \hat{I}$, there is a unique 

$$r_{J_1, \phi_1, l}(x) = x_1 b_{1}^* + \cdots + x_{l-1}b_{l-1}^* + \tilde{x}_l b_{l}^* + x_{l+1} b_{l+1}^* + \cdots + x_n b_{n}^*$$

such that $\langle r_{J_1, \phi_1, l}(x), b_{n+1} \rangle = s_1$, where $\tilde{x}_l \geq x_l$. Meanwhile, given 

$$x = x_1 b_{1}^* + \cdots + x_n b_{n}^* \in C(c_1, c_1, n+1) - F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ$$

and any $l \in \hat{I}$, there is also a unique 

$$r'_{J_1, \phi_1, l}(x) = x_1 b_{1}^* + \cdots + x_{l-1}b_{l-1}^* + \tilde{x}'_l b_{l}^* + x_{l+1} b_{l+1}^* + \cdots + x_n b_{n}^*$$

such that $\langle r'_{J_1, \phi_1, l}(x), b_{n+1} \rangle = c_{1, n+1}$, where $\tilde{x}'_l \leq x_l$.

**Proposition 4.19.** Let $J_1$ and $J_2$ be two proper subsets of $\hat{I}$ such that $n+1 \in J_1, J_2$. If $((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ \not\subset ((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ$, then $F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ \not\subset F((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ$, and $F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ - F((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ$ is a contractible set.

**Proof.** Since $((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ \not\subset ((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ$, we have some $c_{1, l} < c_{2, l}$ for some $l$. Recall that in the definition of $F(\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)$, we have chosen an $i_0 \in \hat{I} - J$. Here we fix $i_{1,0} \in \hat{I} - J$ and $i_{2,0} \in \hat{I} - J$. We prove the statement in the following two cases.

Case $l = n + 1$: Let $s_1 \in [c_{1, n+1}, c_{1, n+1} + 1)$ be as given in Lemma 4.18 so that $D(c_1, s_1) \subset F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ$. By definition, $F((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ \subset C(c_2, c_2, n+1)$. Therefore, the non-empty set 

$$D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, c_2, n+1) \subset F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ - F((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ.$$ 

We will show that there is a deformation retract 

$$h_t : (F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ - F((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ) \times [0, 1] \to F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ - F((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ,$$

such that $h_0 = id$ and the image of $h_1$ is inside $D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, c_2, n+1)$, while $h_1$ is the identity map on $D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, c_2, n+1)$. Given $x = x_1 b_{1}^* + \cdots + x_n b_{n}^* \in M_\mathbb{R}$, the retract $h_t$ is defined as 

$$h_t(x) = \begin{cases} 
  tx + (1-t)r_{J_1, \phi_1, i_{1,0}}(x), & \text{if } x \in F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ - (D(c_1, s_1) \cup F((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ) \\
  x, & \text{if } x \in (D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, c_2, n+1)) \\
  tx + (1-t)r'_{J_2, \phi_2, i_{2,0}}(x), & \text{if } x \in (C(c_2, c_2, n+1) - (F((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ) \cap F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ) 
\end{cases}$$

Since the closures of $D(c_1, s_1)$ and $C(c_2, c_2, n+1)$ are dual cones of toric cones in a fan, $D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, c_2, n+1)$ is contractible. Hence we conclude that $F((\sigma_{J_1}^\vee)_{\phi_1})^\circ - F((\sigma_{J_2}^\vee)_{\phi_2})^\circ$ is contractible.
Case \( l \neq n + 1 \): In this case, one may assume that \( c_{1,n+1} \geq c_{2,n+1} \) since otherwise we might let \( l \) to be \( n + 1 \) and goes back the the previous case. Similarly, we define a deformation retract
\[
h_t : (F((\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1})^o - F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o) \times [0, 1] \to F((\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1})^o - F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o,
\]
given as below.
\[
h_t(x) = \begin{cases} 
  tx + (1 - t)r_{J,\phi_1,i_2,s_0}(x), & \text{if } x \in F((\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1})^o - (D(c_1, s_1) \cup F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o); \\
  x, & \text{if } x \in D(c_1, s_1) - F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o.
\end{cases}
\]
Since \( C(c_2, s_2) \subset F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o \subset C(c_2, c_{2,n+1}) \), we have
\[
D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, c_{2,n+1}) \subset D(c_1, s_1) - F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o \subset D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, s_1).
\]
The fact that \( c_{1,n+1} \geq c_{2,n+1} \) implies \( s_1 \geq s_2 \geq c_{2,n+1} \). Therefore
\[
D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, c_{2,n+1}) = D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, s_2),
\]
and then
\[
D(c_1, s_1) - F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o = D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, c_{2,n+1})
\]
is a non-empty contractible set. The above deformation retract shows that \( F((\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1})^o - F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o \) is also a contractible set.

**Proposition 4.20.** If \( n + 1 \notin J_1 \) or \( n + 1 \notin J_2 \), then
\[
(\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1}^o \notin (\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2}^o \implies F(\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1}^o - F(\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2}^o \text{ is a non-empty contractible set.}
\]

**Proof.** The proof is similar to Proposition 4.19. There are three cases.

Case \( n + 1 \notin J_1 \), and \( n + 1 \in J_2 \): Notice that \( F((\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1})^o = C(c_1, c_{1,n+1}) \). Let \( i_{1,0} \in I' - J_1 \), since \( I' \notin J_1 \). Define the deformation retract between \( F((\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1})^o - F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o \) and \( C(c_1, c_{1,n+1}) - (C(c_2, c_{2,n+1}) \cup C(c_1, c_{1,n+1})) \) as
\[
h_t(x) = \begin{cases} 
  x, & \text{if } x \in C(c_1, c_{1,n+1}) - C(c_2, c_{2,n+1}) \\
  tx + (1 - t)r_{J_2,\phi_2,i_2,s_0}(x), & \text{if } x \in (C(c_2, c_{2,n+1}) - F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o) \cap C(c_1, c_{1,n+1}).
\end{cases}
\]

Case \( n + 1 \in J_1 \), and \( n + 1 \notin J_2 \): \( F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o = C(c_2, c_{2,n+1}) \). Let \( i_{2,0} \in I' - J_2 \). Define the deformation retract between \( F((\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1})^o - F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o \) and \( D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, c_{2,n+1}) \) as
\[
h_t(x) = \begin{cases} 
  tx + (1 - t)r_{J_1,\phi_1,i_2,s_0}(x), & \text{if } x \in F((\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1})^o - (D(c_1, s_1) \cup C(c_2, c_{2,n+1})); \\
  x, & \text{if } x \in D(c_1, s_1) - C(c_2, c_{2,n+1}).
\end{cases}
\]

Case \( n + 1 \notin J_1 \) and \( n + 1 \notin J_2 \): This is trivial since \( F((\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2})^o = C(c_2, c_{2,n+1}) \) and \( F((\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1})^o = C(c_1, c_{1,n+1}) \).

**Theorem 4.21.** The functors \( F_{21} \) and \( F_{21} \) are quasi-embeddings. If restricted on \( \text{Coh}_T(X_1) \), \( F_{21} \) is a quasi-embedding of \( \text{Coh}_T(X_1) \) into \( \text{Coh}_T(X_2) \).

**Proof.** One only needs to show that \( F_{21} \) is a cohomologically full and faithful functor. Since we have
\[
\text{Ext}^*(\Theta_1(\sigma_{1,J}, \phi_1), \Theta_1(\sigma_{2,J}, \phi_2)) = \begin{cases} 
  \mathbb{C}[0] & \text{if } (\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1}^o \subset (\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2}^o, \\
  0 & \text{if } (\sigma_{1,J})_{\phi_1}^o \notin (\sigma_{2,J})_{\phi_2}^o.
\end{cases}
\]
and

\[
\text{Ext}^*(i_F((\sigma_{J_1})_{\phi_1})^o, i_F((\sigma_{J_2})_{\phi_2})^o) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{C}[0] & \text{if } F((\sigma_{J_1})_{\phi_1})^o \subset F((\sigma_{J_2})_{\phi_2})^o, \\
0 & F((\sigma_{J_1})_{\phi_1})^o \not\subset F((\sigma_{J_2})_{\phi_2})^o \text{ and } F((\sigma_{J_1})_{\phi_1})^o - F((\sigma_{J_2})_{\phi_2})^o \text{ is contractible},
\end{cases}
\]

the desired result follows immediately from Proposition 4.4.6, Proposition 4.19 and Proposition 1.20, and the simple fact that

\[(\sigma_{J_1})_{\phi_1})^o \subset ((\sigma_{J_2})_{\phi_2})^o \implies F((\sigma_{J_1})_{\phi_1})^o \subset F((\sigma_{J_2})_{\phi_2})^o.
\]

\[\square\]

**Figure 11.** \(a\) and \(b\) are integers. The constructible sheaves are costandard sheaves over the shaded regions.

**Example 4.22.** \(N = \mathbb{Z}^2,\)

\[
\beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -m & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -m \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -m & -m \end{bmatrix}.
\]
\( \mathcal{X}_1 \) is the total space of \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-m) \), and \( \mathcal{X}_2 = [\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_m] \).

\[ v_1 = b_1 = (1, 0), \quad v_2 = b_2 = (-1, -m), \quad v_3 = b_3 = (0, -1), \]
\[ r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 1, \quad r'_3 = m, \quad a_1 = a_2 = a_1' = a_2' = 1, \quad a_1' = a_1' = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1. \]

\[ \frac{a_1}{r_1} + \frac{a_2}{r_2} \leq \frac{1}{r_3} \iff m \geq 2. \]

The Fourier-Mukai functors for constructible sheaves are shown in Figure 11.
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