One Dimensional ZnO Nanostructures: Growth and Chemical Sensing Performances

Abderrahim Moumen, Navpreet Kaur, Nicola Poli, Dario Zappa and Elisabetta Comini *

Sensor Lab, Department of Information Engineering, University of Brescia, 25123 Brescia, Italy; a.moumen@unibs.it (A.M.); n.kaur001@unibs.it (N.K.); nicola.poli@unibs.it (N.P.); dario.zappa@unibs.it (D.Z.)
* Correspondence: elisabetta.comini@unibs.it; Tel.: +39-030-371-5877

Received: 21 August 2020; Accepted: 25 September 2020; Published: 29 September 2020

Abstract: Recently, one-dimensional (1D) nanostructures have attracted the scientific community attention as sensitive materials for conductometric chemical sensors. However, finding facile and low-cost techniques for their production, controlling the morphology and the aspect ratio of these nanostructures is still challenging. In this study, we report the vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) synthesis of one dimensional (1D) zinc oxide (ZnO) nanorods (NRs) and nanowires (NWs) by using different metal catalysts and their impact on the performances of conductometric chemical sensors. In VLS mechanism, catalysts are of great interest due to their role in the nucleation and the crystallization of 1D nanostructures. Here, Au, Pt, Ag and Cu nanoparticles (NPs) were used to grow 1D ZnO. Depending on catalyst nature, different morphology, geometry, size and nanowires/nanorods abundance were established. The mechanism leading to the VLS growth of 1D ZnO nanostructures and the transition from nanorods to nanowires have been interpreted. The formation of ZnO crystals exhibiting a hexagonal crystal structure was confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and ZnO composition was identified using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) mapping. The chemical sensing characteristics showed that 1D ZnO has good and fast response, good stability and selectivity. ZnO (Au) showed the best performances towards hydrogen (H₂). At the optimal working temperature of 350 °C, the measured response towards 500 ppm of H₂ was 300 for ZnO NWs and 50 for ZnO NRs. Moreover, a good selectivity to hydrogen was demonstrated over CO, acetone and ethanol.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, domestic or industrial accidents caused by dangerous chemical compounds and pollutants demonstrate the real need for early detection systems. As a result, these detection devices have many potential applications in significant fields such as transportation, environment, health, industry and agriculture [1–4]. Among different types of chemical sensors, conductometric sensors based on metal oxides (MOXs) materials have several advantages, such as easy integration (compatibility) with current electronics, low production cost and suitability for a potential miniaturization. Nonetheless, these sensors still have some limitations such as selectivity and sensitivity at low working temperatures [5]. To overcome these problems, various studies have been performed to get the optimal morphology and crystalline structure. Many of them improved the detection capability, either by optimizing the synthesis method by modifying the surface properties, decorating with other materials, adding dopants or manufacturing p-n junctions to improve sensitivity to target gases. An example of the latter is reported by Kaur et al. who have enhanced the NiO sensitivity and selectivity by synthesizing a NiO/ZnO heterojunction [6–8]. Moreover, hybrid carbon—metal oxide heterojunctions such as graphene oxide-ZnO and graphene oxide-SnO₂—have shown capability.
for selective room-temperature detection of low concentration volatile organic compounds [9,10].

Moreover, a new approach was provided by Hu-Jun Le et al. for designing versatile hydrogen sensors using alloy@oxide core-shell, such as PdAu alloy@ZnO core-shell, as sensing material with high response and excellent selectivity to hydrogen [11].

The rapid development of nanoscience and nanotechnology has greatly pushed the scientific community and industrial companies to explore new features of both conventional and novel materials at the nanoscale level. Thanks to nanotechnology, it becomes possible to develop materials by controlling their structure at atomic level, resulting in new properties for the material in order to be used in all applications fields and chemical sensors in particular. Recently, one-dimensional (1D) metal oxide nanostructures, such as nanowires and nanorods, are addressing this challenge, attracting new possibilities and offering considerable characteristics for the fabrication of various nanodevices. The controlled synthesis of one-dimensional nanomaterials, finding new strategies to enhance the performance of their practical applications such as chemical sensors, is a hot topic for the scientific committee in materials science field. The high crystallinity, density of states, 1D charge transport and high specific area are key features for the next generation of nano-conductometric sensors in near future [12].

Zinc oxide is a n-type semiconductor with a narrow band gap energy around 3.3 eV, high thermal and chemical stability, large exciton binding energy of 60 meV at room temperature, high electron mobility, non-toxic material and environmentally friendly. Those characteristics make ZnO a strong concurrent to substitute expensive materials such as In2O3 and WO3 in many applications.

The present work reports several deposition conditions and characteristics that allow the precise control over the shape, density, form and orientation of 1D ZnO nanostructures. For this purpose, vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) mechanism was selected, characterized by its simplicity, low cost, reproducibility and feasibility for the deposition of high quality 1D nanostructures over a large area [13]. The material to be deposited is evaporated, transported and condensed on top of catalyst clusters on substrates [14]. In particular, catalysts may be used to control the aspect ratio, shape and morphology, as reported previously in literature. Yang et al. studied the orientation, positional control, density and diameter of ZnO by dispersing Au clusters using different thin films thickness. A direct relation was extracted confirming the dependence of Au nanoparticles (NPs) size with nanowire’s diameter [15].

Yanagida et al. interpreted the effect of catalyst size on the adsorption and diffusion of surrounding atoms that comprises MgO vapor. A systematic study was performed, confirming that the diffusion ratio of atoms within the catalyst droplet decreased by increasing catalyst size [16]. On the other hand, Zappa et al. studied the effect of Pd, Au and Sn catalysts on SnO2 nanowires growth with VLS using different metals while keeping the growth temperature and the other experimental conditions constant. A surprising result was found: no morphology transition was observed and similar SnO2 nanowires were produced with the use of all catalyst, which means that catalyst nature did not have a huge impact on supersaturation and crystallization of nanowires [17]. Unfortunately, most of these studies lack an investigation of the catalyst’s effect on the nanostructure’s functional performances. In this study, the impact of catalyst nature on the structural, morphological and electrical properties of 1D ZnO nanostructures are studied, and the sensing properties towards reducing gases are evaluated in order to fabricate high performance and low cost conductometric sensors based on 1D ZnO.

The present work provides a new approach linking vapor liquid solid (VLS) mechanism with enhancing sensing performance. In fact, the double role of the metal catalyst is evidenced, namely controlling the growth mechanism of 1D ZnO and leading to superior sensing performance due to chemical (spillover) or electronic sensitization or even promoting bulk doping.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Material Preparation

Alumina (99.9% purity, 2 mm × 2 mm, Kyocera, Kyoto, Japan) and silicon substrates were used as a support for growing 1D ZnO nanostructures. Alumina was used for the fabrication of chemical sensors, whereas silicon was used for material characterization. Prior the deposition, samples were ultrasonically cleaned in acetone (Carlo Erba, Milano, Italy) solvent for 15 min and then dried in synthetic air to remove any dust or contamination. Magnetron sputtering was used to catalyze the substrates, coating them with ultrathin films of gold, platinum, silver and copper (Au, Pt, Ag, Cu) with thickness values between 2 and 7 nm, according to the experimental conditions reported in Table 1. To the best of our knowledge, the present work is the first study reporting the use of Cu as a catalyst for the synthesis of ZnO nanowires. Instead, Ag was used in few previous works [18,19], but the achieved NWs were not exploited for chemical sensing applications. In VLS growth mechanism, catalysts play a major role and behave as nucleation sites for ZnO growth, controlling the diameters of the nanostructures and moreover may enhance the sensing performances.

To synthetize the nanostructures, ZnO powder (99.9% purity, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was placed in the middle of alumina tube and heated at 1200 °C, keeping the pressure at 10 mbar to induce the powder evaporation. The ZnO vapor is then transported to a colder region of the furnace using a carrier gas flow (argon, 75 sccm) where it condenses on the substrates covered by catalysts. The deposition process was kept for 15 min. Each catalyst used for the 1D ZnO growth is active at a specific temperature, i.e., Au (500 and 600 °C) Pt (600 °C), Ag (350 °C) and Cu (400 °C), confirming the temperature role in supersaturation and growth of 1D ZnO nanostructures.

Table 1. Experimental parameters used in magnetron sputtering system for catalyst seed deposition.

| Catalyst  | Ar Flow (SCCM) | Pressure \(10^{-3} \text{ mbar}\) | Magnetron Power (W) | Deposition Time (s) |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Gold (Au) | 7              | 5                          | 75                  | 5                   |
| Platinum (Pt) | 7              | 5                          | 75                  | 2                   |
| Silver (Ag) | 7              | 5                          | 50                  | 5                   |
| Cooper (Cu) | 7              | 5                          | 50                  | 15                  |

2.2. Characterization

The structural properties of ZnO samples were extracted using X-Ray diffractometer (Empyrean; PANalytical, Almelo, The Netherland) with Cu-LFF (\(\lambda = 1.54 \text{ Å}\)) tube operated at 40 kV–40 mA. Morphological analysis was investigated using field scanning electron microscopy (LEO 1525 model; Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) operated at 10 KV and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) 200CX (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

2.3. Device Fabrication

Conductometric chemical sensors have been prepared by depositing a heater and electrical contacts on the substrates with the sensing material (ZnO), as shown in Figure 1. Firstly, TiW/Pt adhesion layer was deposited by means of DC magnetron sputtering (KENOSYSTECC SRL, Milano, Italy) (70 W argon plasma, 7 SCCM argon flow, \(5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mbar} \) pressure). Afterwards, platinum electrodes with interdigital geometry were deposited using the same conditions. Because metal oxides are generally thermally activated, DC magnetron sputtering was used to deposit a platinum heater on backside of alumina substrates (70 W argon plasma, \(5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mbar} \) pressure). Finally, alumina substrates were mounted on transistor outline (TO5) packages using electro-soldered gold wires [20,21].

The gas sensing tests were performed inside a stainless-steel chamber at the fixed temperature of 20 °C. Several gases such as hydrogen, CO, ethanol and acetone were tested at 50% relative humidity. The concentration (in ppm) of each gas was achieved by mixing the gas with the synthetic air. The flow
was fixed at 200 sccm and the electrical conductance was measured using picoammeter (Keithley, Solon, OH USA) by applying 1 V. The desired gas concentration has been injected for 30 min and then the synthetic air was injected for 1 h to restore the baseline of the electrical conductance. The samples were tested at different working temperature ranging from 200 to 500 °C. Three different samples were prepared for each catalyst in the same conditions. The presented results are the average of the measurements performed on all devices. The response of the ZnO sensor towards reducing gases was defined as \( \frac{G_{\text{gas}} - G_{\text{air}}}{G_{\text{air}}} \), where \( G_{\text{air}} \) and \( G_{\text{gas}} \) are the sensor conductance in the absence and presence of the reducing gas, respectively.

**Figure 1.** Design of conductometric sensor device.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Surface Morphological Analysis

In this work, the control over the form, shape and morphology of 1D ZnO nanostructure was explained by two mechanisms: catalyst’s composition and thermodynamic conditions. Each catalyst is active for nanostructure formation at specific temperature, depending on its specific melting point. Liquid droplets formation and supersaturation are crucial parameters for controlling the nanostructures morphology and explaining the different shapes obtained. In general, the mechanism leading the formation of 1D ZnO structures is based on the nucleation, diffusion and crystallization phenomena [22]. The temperature plays a crucial role in VLS mechanism. Firstly, atoms in the ZnO vapor adsorb on the substrate surface. The substrates were heated from 350 to 600 °C during 15 min deposition, increasing the energy of these atoms and thus enhancing their ability to diffuse onto the substrate surface. Due to the treatment at high temperature, the catalyst particles aggregates forming liquid clusters and promoting the condensation of ZnO vapor. The ZnO vapor molecules reach the droplet catalyst surface and are incorporated as adatoms. The adatoms diffuse into the cluster and, as the supersaturation of the droplet occurs, the segregation will start forming 1D nanostructures.

Figure 2 shows ZnO nanostructures grown using Au, Pt, Ag and Cu catalysts and their respective size distribution. While the length of all samples is tabulated in Table 2. The NWs obtained using Ag catalysts are dense and homogeneous, covering completely the alumina substrate with an average diameter around 46 nm with a 342 nm length. ZnO NWs obtained using Cu catalyst are quite different in morphology: a kind of ductility of the NWs was observed, with low density. Despite that, on average they are 4 micrometres long and 42 nm in diameter. A good density of small nanowires (≈38 nm diameter and ≈842 nm length) was achieved with Pt catalyst. ZnO NWs were obtained with Au
catalyst at low temperatures with a high aspect ratio and density ($\approx 25$ nm diameter and $\approx 771$ nm length). On the other hand, high temperature promotes nanorods formation, which may be explained by the high diffusion of ZnO vapor affecting the supersaturation of ZnO and leading to the formation of ZnO NRs with high quality. The catalyst NPs size controls the diameter of crystallized NRs ($\approx 84$ nm diameter and $\approx 442$ nm length). The morphology transition from NWs to NRs (Figure 3b,d) can be also explained by coalescence effect of the catalyst seeds. The final products consist of NPs with different size as shown in Figure 3a,c.

Figure 2. 1D ZnO nanostructures using Au, Pt, Ag and Cu catalysts and its size distribution.
3.2. Structural Properties

Figure 4 depicts the X-ray diffraction patterns of 1D ZnO synthetized using various catalysts. All peaks observed for the different ZnO nanostructures agree with standard ZnO (JCPDS 80-1916). The (011) (002) (010) and (012) peaks situated at 31.7°, 34.4°, 36.3° and 47.5°, respectively, show the formation of ZnO crystal belonging to hexagonal structure. The catalyst’s particles appear in their metallic or oxidized form, depending on the material. The appearance of gold (JCPDS no. b96-901-1614), platinum (JCPDS no. 98-002-1997) and silver (JCPDS no. 98-002-1958) in metallic form is confirmed by their peaks situated at 38.24°, 39.84° and 40.17°, respectively. They remain stable during the deposition even under pressure and high temperature. On the contrary, copper was oxidized into CuO (JCPDS no. 94-003-8482), as demonstrated by the peak situated at 35.56°. This is expected, considering the low chemical stability of copper. Similar results were observed by Zhang et al. [18], who studied the stability of catalyst nanoparticles before and after NWs growth. They confirmed the chemical stability of platinum and gold, while they observed and discussed the Ag oxidation to AgO, and the formation of Ag₄SiO₄ in case of silicon substrate. The crystallite size (D) was calculated (Table 2) using Debye Scherrer formula defined as \( D = \frac{0.94\lambda}{\text{FWHM Cos}\theta} \), where FWHM is the full width at half-maximum of an \((hkl)\) peak at \(\theta\) value, \(\theta\) is the half of the scattering angle and \(\lambda\) is X-Ray wavelength equals 0.154 nm. The crystallite size is 22 nm for ZnO (Au) NWs and NRs, which are smaller than the crystallite size of ZnO (Cu) NWs \((D = 24\ nm)\) ZnO (Pt) NWs \((D = 30\ nm)\) and ZnO (Ag) NWs \((D = 31\ nm)\).

**Figure 3.** Au seed layer annealed at 500 (a) and 600 °C (c) without nano- (wire/rod) growth; High magnification ZnO nanowires (b) and ZnO nanorods (d) after growth.
where (010) and (002) are both considered as preferential direction due to their almost identical TC values.

Table 3. The diffraction peak (002) is not only the most intense peak, but also the crystallites preferential orientation. As shown in Table 3, ZnO (Au) nanowires and nanorods, ZnO (Pt) nanowires and ZnO (Cu) nanowires polycrystalline structure have (002) as preferred orientation. It is clear that ZnO (Au) NWs samples possess the highest TC value.

The catalysts affect not only the shape and the morphology of the nanostructure, but also play a major role on the preferred orientation of crystallites. In this context, the texture coefficients of ZnO nanostructures were calculated using the equation below.

\[
TC(hkl) = \frac{I(hkl)}{I_0(hkl)} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I(hkl)}{I_0(hkl)} \right)
\]

where \((hkl)\) are Miller indices denotes the X-ray diffraction direction plan, \(I(hkl)\) is the intensity of CuO measured, \(I_0(hkl)\) is the standard intensity taken from the (JCPDS 80-1916) and \(n\) is reflection number. Using the texture coefficient, the preferred orientation of crystallites could be established. The texture coefficient values of 1D ZnO nanostructures using different catalysts are tabulated in Table 3. The diffraction peak \((hkl)\) with TC that comprises values between zero and one defines a lack in crystallites orientation \(hkl\). While, when the TC value exceeds one \((TC > 1)\), there is a majority of crystallites orientation in \((hkl)\) direction. As shown in Table 3, ZnO (Au) nanowires and nanorods, ZnO (Pt) nanowires and ZnO (Cu) nanowires polycrystalline structure have (002) as preferred orientation. It is clear that ZnO (Au) NWs samples possess the highest TC (2.18). These results show that the diffraction peak (002) is not only the most intense peak, but also the crystallites preferential direction. As a result, (002) is the predominant orientation. On the contrary, in ZnO (Ag) nanowires (010) and (002) are both considered as preferential direction of due to their almost identical TC values.

![Figure 4. X-ray diffraction patterns of 1-D ZnO synthetized using various catalysts.](image)

Table 2. The crystallite size and the average length of the 1D ZnO nanostructures using different catalysts.

| Sample            | Crystallite Size (nm) | Average ZnO Length (nm) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| ZnO (Au) NWs      | 22                    | 772 ± 47                |
| ZnO (Au) NRs      | 22                    | 442 ± 11                |
| ZnO (Pt) NWs      | 30                    | 840 ± 30                |
| ZnO (Ag) NWs      | 31                    | 342.1 ± 9.8             |
| ZnO (Cu) NWs      | 24                    | ≈4000                   |

The catalysts affect not only the shape and the morphology of the nanostructure, but also play a major role on the preferred orientation of crystallites. In this context, the texture coefficients of ZnO nanostructures were calculated using the equation below.

\[
TC(hkl) = \frac{I(hkl)}{I_0(hkl)} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I(hkl)}{I_0(hkl)} \right)
\]
Table 3. The texture coefficient values of 1D ZnO nanostructures using different catalysts.

| Sample          | Diffraction Peaks |
|-----------------|-------------------|
|                 | (010)  | (002)  | (011)  |
| ZnO (Au) NWs    | 0.41   | 2.18   | 0.39   |
| ZnO (Au) NRs    | 0.67   | 1.78   | 0.53   |
| ZnO (Pt) NWs    | 0.80   | 1.53   | -      |
| ZnO (Ag) NWs    | 1.18   | 1.17   | 0.64   |
| ZnO (Cu) NWs    | 0.75   | 1.67   | 0.57   |

3.3. Gas-Sensing Performance

3.3.1. Working Principle

Despite the simplicity of conductometric sensors design, the gas detection mechanism remains complex and not fully understood. The gas/MOXs surface reactions, that transduce the chemical signal into an electrical one, are shortly described hereby. Oxygen is the main reaction precursor involved in conductometric sensors. As air interacts with ZnO (Figure 5a), oxygen atoms adsorb onto its surface [23]. Oxygen adsorption in oxide semiconductor materials involves a carrier charge exchange. Oxygen starts with physical adsorption at low temperatures and ends with ionic adsorption (chemisorption), yielding in the final step an anion oxygen (O\(^{-}\)) at high temperatures as explained by Equations (2)–(4) [12,24]. The interaction with oxygen molecules (in air) leads to a change of the electrical conductance caused by electrons transfer from the semiconductor to oxygen ionsorbed due to its high electronic affinity.

\[
\text{O}_2 (\text{gas}) \rightarrow \text{O}_2 (\text{ads}) \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{O}_2 (\text{ads}) + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{O}_2^- (\text{ads}) \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{O}_2^- (\text{ads}) + \text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{O}^- (\text{ads}) \quad (4)
\]

During gas injection, various possible scenarios may occur, depending on the semiconducting—behavior of the material (n- or p-), the injected gases nature (reducing or oxidizing), electronic affinity, ionization energy and others. When a target gas is injected, electrons exchange according to different processes. In the present work, H\(_2\) and the other tested gases are reducing compounds. As shown in Figure 5b, hydrogen molecules react with the adsorbed oxygen to form water molecules, as described by the Equations (5) and (6) [25]. Thanks to their small ionization energy, reducing gases behave as electrons-donors. The released electrons generated by the reaction between the reducing gases and the adsorbed oxygen cause a decrease of the thickness of the depletion region (region free of electrons) at the surface of ZnO. As a result the electrical conductance of the sensor increases [12].

\[
2\text{H}_2 + \text{O}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e}^- \quad (5)
\]

\[
2\text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2^- \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e}^- \quad (6)
\]

Moreover, in specific thermodynamic conditions, hydrogen as a reducing gas is sometimes able to remove oxygen from the ZnO crystal, creating oxygen vacancies that play a crucial role in gas sensing mechanism (Equation (7)) [26]. However, a fraction of oxygen vacancies formed is able to ionize and release one or two electrons, enhancing the electrical conduction, as explained by Equations (8) and (9).

\[
\text{H}_2 + \text{O}_0 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{V}_0 \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{V}_0^+ + \text{e}^- \quad (8)
\]

\[
\text{V}_0 \rightarrow \text{V}_0^{++} + 2\text{e}^- \quad (9)
\]
3.3.2. Catalyst Effect on Sensing Characteristics

Despite the high quality of 1D nanostructures produced by VLS, there is a lack of understanding of the catalyst’s role especially on the functional properties. Researchers are trying to explain how the catalyst’s presence controls the VLS growth, making hypothesis about its distribution along NWs/NRs during VLS process. It may act as a doping agent, as reported by Chen et al. who have considered the catalysts as additives or contamination explaining its substitution within the crystal [27]. These catalyst’s particles may be distributed around/decorating the NWs sidewalls, as reported by Hannon et al. who have explained in detail the migration (by diffusion) of the catalyst particles from droplets to the sidewalls until the NWs growth is completely terminated [28]. In all cases, the catalyst has a crucial role in enhancing conductometric sensors characteristics. In the present work, we have analyzed all the possibilities, thanks to TEM mapping of ZnO grown by gold catalysts illustrated in Figure 6 and SEM image in the inset of Figure 7b. Au is decorating ZnO and the sensing response can be enhanced based on chemical sensitization as described in Figure 7. Gold distribution around the NWs improves gas sensing via spillover effect, by dissociating gas molecules and activating the chemical reaction on the MOX surface [29]. Gold, and in general noble metals, provides active sites for chemical adsorption, such as O$_2$ adsorption (Figure 7a). At the same time, H$_2$ is dissociated into fragments (atomic H) at specific temperature, and spills over ZnO surface to interact with pre-adsorbed oxygen, creating H$_2$O and therefore releasing electron back to ZnO, affecting its conductance (Figure 7b). Most important, spillover effect occurs without transferring electrons from gold to ZnO. Same principle may occur for Pt and Ag, even if the latter may oxidize during the gas testing at higher temperatures. Cu interaction with the gas phase, on the contrary, may described by electronic sensitization due to the formation of stable oxide CuO. More discussions about electronic sensitization are found in literature [30]. Moreover, spillover effect does not change the gas sensing mechanism, enhancing only the rate of the chemical interaction processes.

On the other hand, many reports investigated the metallic cluster decoration which can be highly beneficial for chemical sensors applications. Moreover, bimetallic clusters have been found to be even more effective than monometallic clusters. In this context, Bahariqushchi et al. investigated free carrier enhanced depletion in ZnO nanorods decorated with bimetallic AuPt nanoclusters [31]. In comparison to ZnO NRs, the mono- and bi-metallic decorated ZnO showed high sensitivity due to increase of free carriers depletion. Furthermore, the bimetallic effect leads to an enhancement of gas adsorption and causes a stronger electron spillover from the ZnO surface to the bimetallic nanoclusters. Chen et al. investigated Au/Pd-NPs decorated ZnO nanowires for NO$_2$ sensor [32]. Indeed, the enhanced sensing performance towards NO$_2$ is attributed to the oxygen vacancies that have been increased in Au/Pd@ZnO sample as well as the chemical sensitization that provides more active sites for NO$_2$ adsorption. Furthermore, the effect of metal decoration is well achieved also in other applications such as photocatalysts [33].
the reaction with reducing gases and a ZnO band gap energy, which can enhance the density of ionized oxygen in ZnO surface, reinforcing sensing properties is justified by the Fermi level shift due to the existence of deep donor levels within materials, in the present work. Instead, at low concentrations of additives, it may be a substitute in the host materials, affecting the charge carrier transfer while keeping the material the same. The impact on sensing properties is justified by the Fermi level shift due to the existence of deep donor levels within ZnO band gap energy, which can enhance the density of ionized oxygen in ZnO surface, reinforcing the reaction with reducing gases and affecting the charge depletion layer.

As mentioned before, the incorporation of gold into nanowires cannot be excluded, especially considering the solubility of Au in ZnO that may be achieved in specific conditions such as deposition at high pressure and growth temperature, annealing at high temperature and gold content [34,35]. However, the solubility and the chemical state of ZnO (Au) are still not clear according to the few reports in literature [35].

In some cases, it could form a ternary alloy if additive exceeds specific concentration (not expected in the present work). Instead, at low concentrations of additives, it may be a substitute in the host materials, affecting the charge carrier transfer while keeping the material the same. The impact on sensing properties is justified by the Fermi level shift due to the existence of deep donor levels within ZnO band gap energy, which can enhance the density of ionized oxygen in ZnO surface, reinforcing the reaction with reducing gases and affecting the charge depletion layer.

![Figure 6](image_url) 
Figure 6. (a) shows ZnO transmission electron microscopy (TEM) nanowire image. TEM-energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping image of (b) Zn, (c) O, (d) Au and (e) represents the EDS mapping of single ZnO nanowire.

![Figure 7](image_url) 
Figure 7. Schematic diagram exemplifying the chemical sensitization mechanism for ZnO/metal under (a) Oxygen; (b) H₂ gas.
3.3.3. Sensing Properties

In the present work, H$_2$ sensors based on 1D ZnO were investigated with particular attention to the sensing characteristics such as gas response, sensors kinetic, stability and selectivity. Figure 8 shows the response of ZnO nanostructures synthetized using different catalysts towards H$_2$ at several working temperatures: 200, 300, 350, 400 and 500 °C. For each ZnO sensor corresponding to specific catalyst, there is a precise temperature corresponding to the optimum response. High response was observed for H$_2$ at 350 °C for all samples, but ZnO (Au) nanowires and nanorods showed the best one. However, ZnO (Cu) and ZnO (Pt) showed higher response at 300 and 200 °C, respectively. ZnO (Ag), instead, gives an appreciable response to H$_2$ also at elevated temperatures (400 and 500 °C). The high sensitivity of ZnO towards H$_2$ was reported by Akash Katoch et al. who proposed a sensing mechanism that considers the surface metallization of ZnO to Zn in the presence of H$_2$ [36]. Indeed, the progressive ZnO to Zn transition at the surfaces of ZnO enhances electrons transport from the surface of metallic Zn to ZnO. This process affects the electrical conductance and improve the sensing properties.

Figure 9a,b reveal the dynamic response of ZnO (Au) nanowires and nanorods under exposure to 50, 200 and 500 ppm of H$_2$. ZnO has an n-semiconducting nature, which explain the observed increase of the conductance. As reported in Figure 9c, ZnO response improves with the increase of hydrogen concentrations, and a high sensors response of about 300 for ZnO (Au) NWs and 50 for ZnO (Au) NRs was observed. Moreover, the signal is stable and recovering perfectly to the baseline level. These results are very interesting, compared to some studies reported in Table 4. This high response of ZnO (Au) NWs is attributed to the expected high specific area compared to bulk material and other morphologies. The sensors speed (sensors kinetic) was discussed by extracting the response/recovery time (Supplementary Materials Figure S1) from the prepared samples. The response time is defined as the time required by the sensor to reach the 90% of final conductance variation in presence of the gas. Conversely, the recovery time is the time needed for a sensor to reach 10% of conductance variation during the recovery. The response time was found to be similar for ZnO NWs and NRs (about 1200 s), while ZnO NWs showed a short recovery time of about 100 s. Furthermore, the capability of detection of low concentration of H$_2$ (50 ppm) with stable baseline is verified. The selectivity of the sensors fabricated using all catalysts is displayed in Figure 10, showing that ZnO (Au) nanowires is a highly selective material toward H$_2$ over CO, ethanol and acetone. It has been shown that ZnO decorated with Au shows high response towards H$_2$ at high temperature (300 and 400 °C) while the sensing performance were poor at lower temperature which is maybe due to the high thermal energy that H$_2$ needs to react with the pre-adsorbed oxygen through Au [37]. Instead, Pt decorated ZnO has shown good sensing capability toward H$_2$ at 200 and 300 °C. These results are consistent with our work. Moreover, It has been reported previously that Ag oxidizes to Ag$_2$O with p-type conductivity after an annealing treatment at 500 °C [38]. Therefore, the high response observed for ZnO (Ag) at high temperature (500 °C) may be attributed to the p-Ag$_2$O/n-ZnO heterojunction formation at the interface. Similarly, p-CuO-n-ZnO heterojunction is formed in case of ZnO (Cu) sample, Cu catalyst was oxidized during the ZnO growth forming CuO. However, more elucidation is still needed to correlate the sensing performances with the nature of catalyst, since many factors such as the content (wt%) of catalyst on the surface (tip of the wire in our case), the shape, the aspect ratio and the possible oxidation of catalyst at high temperature should be taken into account [39].
Figure 8. Sensors response under hydrogen injection (500 ppm) of 1D ZnO nanostructures using different catalysts as a function of temperature. The relative humidity was 50%.

Figure 9. (a) Dynamic response ZnO NWs at 350 °C; (b) Dynamic response of ZnO NRs at 350 °C; (c) Calibration curves.
Table 4. Studies reporting Hydrogen sensor based on ZnO nanomaterial.

| Material                        | Technique                          | Temperature (°C) | Response/H₂ (ppm) | Ref.       |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 1D ZnO nano-assemblies          | PE-CVD                             | 400              | 13/5000           | [40]      |
| 1D ZnO NWs                      | VLS process                        | 400              | 90/300            | [41]      |
| ZnO Nanowires                   | Ultra-fast Microwave               | 250              | 0.95/500          | [42]      |
| Pd-decorated ZnO “nanosponge”   | Supersonic cluster beam deposition (SCBD) | UV illumination, 20 °C | 85/2%          | [43]      |
| ZnO nanobundles                 | nano-templating technique          | 350              | 20%/1000          | [44]      |
| ZnO nanowires                   | electrochemical anodization        | 400              | 11.26/1000        | [45]      |
| Vanadium-doped ZnO thin film    | Spray pyrolysis                    | 300              | 55/500            | [6]       |
| ZnO two-dimensional nanostructures | thermal oxidation                   | 175              | 5.37/200          | [46]      |
| Nanopillar ZnO                  | Two-step solution approach         | 350              | 28/2500           | [47]      |
| NPs-decorated networked ZnO NWs | Chemical vapor deposition (CVD)     | Room temperature | 4,6 (460%)/1000   | [48]      |
| ZnO NWs @ZIF-8                  | Vapor phase growth + Solvothermal facile one-pot galvanic-assisted technique | 300 | 1.44/50           | [49]      |
| ZnO nanorods                    | Room temperature                   | 33/2000          | 33/2000           | [26]      |
| p-n junction of ZnO thin films  | D.C. sputtering technique + CVD    | 400              | 1.2/1000          | [50]      |
| ZnO thin films                  | Magnetron sputtering               | 350              | 98%/200           | [51]      |
| ZnO thin films                  | e-beam evaporation                 | 400              | 59/40             | [52]      |
| Ni-doped ZnO thin film          | RF sputtering                      | 150              | ~69%/10,000       | [53]      |
| Co/ZnO nanorods                 | hydrothermal method                | 150              | 53.7%/3000        | [25]      |
| ZnO nanowires                   | VLS                                | 350              | (30,000%)/500     | This work |

Figure 10. Selectivity histogram of 1D ZnO nanostructures using different catalysts.

4. Conclusions

This work reports the catalyst effect in the vapor liquid solid (VLS) growth of one-dimensional ZnO (1D ZnO) together with its effect on chemical sensors performances. The 1D ZnO nanostructures were successfully prepared using a low-cost method and catalyst (Au, Pt and Ag and Cu) supported growth following VLS mechanism as described in detail. The morphological, structural and electrical properties of the 1D nanostructures were studied. Depending on catalyst nature, different form, geometry, size and nanowires/nanorods abundance of ZnO were obtained. A morphology transition from nanowires to nanorods was observed using Au catalyst by increasing the deposition temperature and explained by coalescence effect of the Au catalyst seeds. ZnO crystallizes in hexagonal phase, while catalyst particles were shown in its metallic (Au, Pt and Ag) or oxidized (CuO) form. ZnO (Au) nanowires and nanorods, ZnO (Pt) nanowires and ZnO (Cu) nanowires polycrystalline structure have
(002) as preferred orientation. Instead, for ZnO (Ag) nanowires both (010) and (002) are considered as preferential direction due to their almost identical TC values. The 1D ZnO nanostructures synthetized using different catalysts were tested under several reducing gases at several working temperatures: 200, 300, 350, 400 and 500 °C. ZnO (Au) nanowires and nanorods showed the best response to H\textsubscript{2} at 350 °C. ZnO (Au) showed high response, good stability and selectivity to H\textsubscript{2} with small response and recovery time, demonstrating their possible use for low-cost fabrication of high-performance chemical sensors. The signal was stable and recovered perfectly to the baseline level. Moreover, the ZnO (Au) nanowires was able to detect low H\textsubscript{2} concentration (50 ppm). The response time was found to be similar for ZnO NWs and NRs (about 1200 s), while ZnO NWs showed a short recovery time (100 s). Selectivity has been observed towards hydrogen over other reducing gases. Most importantly, the effect of catalysts (Au, Pt, Ag and Cu) used in VLS for the growth on gas sensor mechanism was discussed. Finally, a possible explanation of the catalyst’s role in enhancing the conductometric sensors characteristics was presented, i.e., the chemical sensitization (spillover effect) induced by gold nanoparticle on the ZnO NWs tip.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2079-4991/10/10/1940/s1. Figure S1: The kinetic of ZnO (Au) NWs and ZnO (Au) NRs sensors. (a) Response and (b) recovery time of ZnO NWs based hydrogen sensor.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.M., D.Z. and E.C.; methodology, A.M., N.P. and E.C.; validation, D.Z., and E.C.; formal analysis, A.M. and N.K.; investigation, A.M., D.Z. and E.C.; resources, E.C.; data curation, A.M. and D.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, A.M.; writing—review and editing, D.Z. and E.C.; visualization, A.M., D.Z. and E.C.; supervision, E.C.; project administration, E.C.; funding acquisition, E.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by NATO Science for Peace and Security Programme (SPS) under grant G5634 AMOXES—“Advanced Electro-Optical Chemical Sensors”. This work was partially funded by MIUR “Smart Cities and Communities and social innovation” project titled “SWaRM Net/Smart Water Resource Management—Neworks” and by Regione Lombardia “MoSoRe—Infrastrutture e Servizi per la Mobilità Sostenibile e Resiliente” project.

Acknowledgments: The TEM measurements were performed at INFIM Institute in Bucharest (Adrian Maraloiu) and supported by CERIC Consortium (proposal 20182054).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Fine, G.F.; Cavanagh, L.M.; Afonja, A.; Binions, R. Metal oxide semi-conductor gas sensors in environmental monitoring. Sensors 2010, 10, 5469–5502. [CrossRef]
2. Righettoni, M.; Amann, A.; Pratsinis, S.E. Breath analysis by nanostructured metal oxides as chemo-resistive gas sensors. Mater. Today 2015, 18, 163–171. [CrossRef]
3. Galstyan, V.; Bhandari, M.P.; Sberveglieri, V.; Sberveglieri, G.; Comini, E. Metal oxide nanostructures in food applications: Quality control and packaging. Chemosensors 2018, 6, 16. [CrossRef]
4. Luo, P.; Xie, M.; Luo, J.; Kan, H.; Wei, Q. Nitric oxide sensors using nanospiral ZnO thin film deposited by GLAD for application to exhaled human breath. RSC Adv. 2020, 10, 14877–14884. [CrossRef]
5. Neri, G. First fifty years of chemoresistive gas sensors. Chemosensors 2015, 3, 1–20. [CrossRef]
6. El khalidi, Z.; Comini, E.; Hartiti, B.; Moumen, A.; Munasinghe Arachchige, H.M.M.; Fadili, S.; Thevenin, P.; Kamal, A. Effect of vanadium doping on ZnO sensing properties synthesized by spray pyrolysis. Mater. Des. 2018, 139, 56–64. [CrossRef]
7. Zappa, D.; Comini, E.; Sberveglieri, G. Thermally oxidized zinc oxide nanowires for use as chemical sensors. Nanotechnology 2013, 24, 444008. [CrossRef]
8. Kaur, N.; Zappa, D.; Ferroni, M.; Poli, N.; Campanini, M.; Negrea, R.; Comini, E. Branch-like NiO/ZnO heterostructures for VOC sensing. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2018, 262, 477–485. [CrossRef]
9. Pargoletti, E.; Hossain, U.H.; Di Bernardo, I.; Chen, H.; Tran-Phu, T.; Lipton-Duffin, J.; Cappelletti, G.; Tricoli, A. Room-temperature photodetectors and VOC sensors based on graphene oxide-ZnO nano-heterojunctions. Nanoscale 2019, 11, 22932–22945. [CrossRef]
10. Pargoletti, E.; Hossain, U.H.; Di Bernardo, I.; Chen, H.; Tran-Phu, T.; Chiarello, G.L.; Lipton-Duffin, J.; Pifferi, V.; Tricoli, A.; Cappelletti, G. Engineering of SnO2-Graphene Oxide Nano-Heterojunctions for Selective Room-temperature Chemical Sensing and Optoelectronic Devices. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 39549–39560. [CrossRef]

11. Le, H.J.; Van Dao, D.; Yu, Y.T. Superfast and efficient hydrogen gas sensor using PdAuAlloy@ZnO core-shell nanoparticles. J. Mater. Chem. A 2020, 8, 12968–12974. [CrossRef]

12. Comini, E.; Baratto, C.; Faglia, G.; Ferroni, M.; Vomiero, A.; Sberveglieri, G. Quasi-one dimensional metal oxide semiconductors: Preparation, characterization and application as chemical sensors. Prog. Mater. Sci. 2009, 54, 1–67. [CrossRef]

13. Bertuna, A.; Faglia, G.; Ferroni, M.; Kaur, N.; Arachchige, H.M.M.; Sberveglieri, G.; Comini, E. Metal oxide nanowire preparation and their integration into chemical sensing devices at the SENSOR lab in Brescia. Sensors 2017, 17, 1000. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Wagner, R.S.; Ellis, W.C. Vapor-liquid-solid mechanism of single crystal growth. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1964, 4, 89–90. [CrossRef]

15. Yang, P.; Yan, H.; Mao, S.; Russo, R.; Johnson, J.; Saykally, R.; Morris, N.; Pham, J.; He, R.; Choi, H.J. Controlled growth of ZnO nanowires and their optical properties. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2002, 12, 323–331. [CrossRef]

16. Yanagida, T.; Nagashima, K.; Tanaka, H.; Kawai, T. Mechanism of critical catalyst size effect on MgO nanowire growth by pulsed laser deposition. Appl. Phys. 2008, 104, 102–105. [CrossRef]

17. Zappa, D.; Melloni, R.; Maraloiu, V.-A.; Poli, N.; Rizzoni, M.; Sberveglieri, V.; Sisman, O.; Soprani, M.; Comini, E. Influence of Metal Catalyst on SnO2 Nanowires Growth and Gas Sensing Performance. Proceedings 2017, 1, 460. [CrossRef]

18. Zhang, Z.; Wang, S.J.; Yu, T.; Wu, T. Controlling the growth mechanism of ZnO nanowires by selecting catalysts. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 17500–17505. [CrossRef]

19. Bruncko, J.; Michalka, M.; Kovac, J.; Andrej, J. A low-temperature limit for growth of ZnO nanowires by using of laser ablation processes. Appl. Phys. A 2020, 126, 1–7. [CrossRef]

20. El Khalidi, Z.; Haritti, B.; Siadat, M.; Comini, E.; Arachchige, H.M.M.; Fadili, S.; Thevenin, P. Acetone sensor based on Ni doped ZnO nanostructures: Growth and sensing capability. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 2019, 30, 7681–7690. [CrossRef]

21. Moumen, A.; Haritti, B.; Comini, E.; El Khalidi, Z.; Arachchige, H.M.M.; Fadili, S.; Thevenin, P. Preparation and characterization of nanostructured CuO thin films using spray pyrolysis technique. Superlattices Microstruct. 2019, 127, 2–10. [CrossRef]

22. Sangpour, P.; Roozbehi, M.; Akhavan, O.; Moshfegh, A. ZnO Nanowires from Nanopillars: Influence of Growth Time. Curr. Nanosci. 2012, 5, 479–484. [CrossRef]

23. Labidi, A.; Lambert-Mauriat, C.; Jacolin, C.; Bendahan, M.; Maaref, M.; Aguir, K. dc and ac characterizations of WO3 sensors under ethanol vapors. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2006, 119, 374–379. [CrossRef]

24. Comini, E. Metal oxide nano-crystals for gas sensing. Anal. Chim. Acta. 2006, 568, 28–40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Sett, D.; Basak, D. Highly enhanced H2 gas sensing characteristics of Co:ZnO nanorods and its mechanism. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2017, 243, 475–483. [CrossRef]

26. Choo, T.F.; Saidin, N.U.; Kok, K.Y. Hydrogen sensing enhancement of zinc oxide nanorods via voltage biasing. R. Soc. Open Sci. 2018, 5, 122372. [CrossRef]

27. Chen, L.Y.; Wu, S.H.; Yin, Y.T. Catalyst-free growth of vertical alignment ZnO nanowire arrays by a Two-Stage Process. J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 21572–21576. [CrossRef]

28. Hannon, J.B.; Kodambaka, S.; Ross, F.M.; Tromp, R.M. The influence of the surface migration of gold on the growth of silicon nanowires. Nature 2006, 440, 69–71. [CrossRef]

29. Tamaekong, N.; Liewhiran, C.; Wisitsoraat, A.; Phanichphant, S. Sensing characteristics of flame-spray-made Pt/ZnO thick films as H2 gas sensor. Sensors 2009, 9, 6652–6669. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Yamazoe, N.; Sakai, G.; Shimanoke, K. Oxide semiconductor gas sensors. Catal. Surv. Asia 2003, 7, 63–75. [CrossRef]

31. Bahariqushchi, R.; Cosentino, S.; Scuderi, M.; Dumons, E.; Tran-huu-hue, L.P. Nanoscale Free carrier enhanced depletion in ZnO nanorods decorated with bimetallic AuPt nanoclusters. Nanoscale 2020, 16. [CrossRef]

32. Chen, X.; Shen, Y.; Zhou, P.; Zhong, X.; Li, G.; Han, C.; Wei, D.; Li, S. Bimetallic Au/Pd nanoparticles decorated ZnO nanowires for NO2 detection. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2019, 289, 160–168. [CrossRef]
33. Liao, T.W.; Verbruggen, S.W.; Claes, N.; Yadav, A.; Grandjean, D.; Bals, S.; Lievens, P. TiO2 films modified with Au nanoclusters as self-cleaning surfaces under visible light. *Nanomaterials* 2018, 8, 30. [CrossRef]

34. Najim, S.A.; Jamil, N.Y.; Muhammed, K.M. Effect of Au dopant on the structural and optical properties of ZnO thin films prepared by CVD. *J. Nano Electron. Phys.* 2019, 11. [CrossRef]

35. Wang, H.; Xu, L.; Fan, J.; Ma, X.; Wei, Z.; Zou, Y.; Xu, Y. Study of solid solubility of gold doped in ZnO films and its effect on optical properties. In Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Optoelectronics and Microelectronics (ICOM), Changchun, China, 16–18 July 2015; pp. 407–410.

36. Katoch, A.; Choi, S.W.; Kim, H.W.; Kim, S.S. Highly sensitive and selective H2 sensing by ZnO nanofibers and the underlying sensing mechanism. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2015, 286, 229–235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Drobek, M.; Kim, J.H.; Bechelany, M.; Vallicari, C.; Julbe, A.; Kim, S.S. MOF-Based Membrane Encapsulated Nanoporous ZnO Nanowires for Enhanced Gas Sensor Selectivity. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2016, 8, 3823–3828. [CrossRef]

38. Baratto, C.; Rigoni, F.; Faglia, G.; Comini, E.; Zappa, D.; Sberveglieri, G. ZnO and SnO2 one-dimensional sensors for detection of hazardous gases. In Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE SENSORS, Glasgow, UK, 29 October–1 November 2017; pp. 1–3.

39. Qurashi, A.; Tabet, N.; Faiz, M.; Yamzaki, T. Ultra-fast microwave synthesis of ZnO nanowires and their dynamic response toward hydrogen gas. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2009, 4, 948–954. [CrossRef]

40. Zhao, M.; Wong, M.H.; Man, H.C.; Ong, C.W. Resistive hydrogen sensing response of Pd-decorated ZnO “nanosponge” film. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2015, 249, 624–631. [CrossRef]

41. Barreca, D.; Bekermann, D.; Comini, E.; Devi, A.; Fischer, R.A.; Gasparotto, A.; MacCato, C.; Sberveglieri, G.; Tondello, E. 1D ZnO nano-assemblies by Plasma-CVD as chemical sensors for flammable and toxic gases. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2010, 149, 1–7. [CrossRef]

42. Tonezzer, M.; Iannotta, S. H2 sensing properties of two-dimensional zinc oxide nanostructures. *Talanta* 2014, 122, 201–208. [CrossRef]

43. Bie, L.J.; Yan, X.N.; Yin, J.; Duan, Y.Q.; Yuan, Z.H. Nanopillar ZnO gas sensor for hydrogen and ethanol. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2007, 126, 604–608. [CrossRef]

44. Ren, S.; Fan, G.; Qu, S.; Wang, Q. Enhanced H2 sensitivity at room temperature of ZnO nanowires functionalized by Pd nanoparticles. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2011, 110, 084312. [CrossRef]

45. Drobek, M.; Kim, J.H.; Bechelany, M.; Vallicari, C.; Julbe, A.; Kim, S.S. MOF-Based Membrane Encapsulated ZnO Nanowires for Enhanced Gas Sensor Selectivity. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2016, 8, 3823–3828. [CrossRef]

46. Hazra, S.K.; Basu, S. Hydrogen sensitivity of ZnO p-n homojunctions. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2006, 117, 177–182. [CrossRef]

47. Al-Salman, H.S.; Abdullah, M.J.; Al-Hardan, N. ZnO thin film nanostructures for hydrogen gas sensing applications. *Ceram. Int.* 2013, 39, S447–S450. [CrossRef]

48. Teimoori, F.; Khojier, K.; Dehnavi, N.Z. On the Dependence of H2 Gas Sensitivity of ZnO Thin Films on Film Thickness. *Procedia Mater. Sci.* 2015, 11, 474–479. [CrossRef]

49. Bhatti, V.S.; Ranwa, S.; Fanetti, M.; Valant, M.; Kumar, M. Efficient hydrogen sensor based on Ni-doped ZnO nanostructures by RF sputtering. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2018, 255, 588–597. [CrossRef]

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).