The mitochondrial transcription machinery genes are upregulated in acute myeloid leukemia and associated with poor clinical outcome
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1. Background

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a myeloproliferative disease of hematopoietic cells characterized by the production of excess immature and abnormal myeloid blasts [1]. One of the difficulties in the treatment of AML lies in the heterogeneous nature of this disease with several subtypes diverging into different cytogenetic abnormalities, clinical features, and molecular risk [1]. While a specific mitochondrial genetic cause of AML has not been identified, dysfunctions including mutations in mitochondrially encoded genes have been implicated in the disease [2–4].

Mitochondria contain their own mitochondrial genome (mtDNA). However, mtDNA does not contain sufficient information for the mitochondria to live independently. Although mitochondria contain the requisite genetic instructions for the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) pathway involved in the provision of cellular energy, they lack the means to organize mtDNA and regulate gene transcription [5]. The components of the mitochondrial transcription machinery (MTM) are encoded in the nuclear genome [6]. Approximately 1500 mitochondrial proteins are encoded in the nucleus, including mitochondrial transcription genes POLRMT, TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and several MTERF isoforms [7,8]. The transcription of the MTM genes is regulated by nuclear respiratory factors (NRF-1 and NRF-2) that induce mitochondrial biogenesis [9].

During the initiation of mtDNA transcription, transcriptional machinery components begin assembly once heavy or light-strand promoters are produced [8]. The heavy or light-strand promoter regions contain upstream binding sites for mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) to bind and regulate transcription initiation [7]. Transcription factors B1 and/or B2 (TFB1/2M) interact with
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| Abbreviation | Definition |
|--------------|------------|
| AML          | Acute Myeloid Leukemia |
| MTM          | Mitochondrial transcriptional machinery |
| ETC          | Electron Transport Chain |
| OXPHOS       | Oxidative Phosphorylation |
| MtDNA        | Mitochondrial DNA |
| mtrNA        | Mitochondrial RNA |
| CN-AML       | Cytogenetically Normal Acute Myeloid Leukemia |
| CA-AML       | Cytogenetically Abnormal Acute Myeloid Leukemia |

mRNA polymerase (POLRMT) in the presence of mtDNA and then bind to promoter-bound transcription factor A at the C-terminal tail [10]. Transcription factor A is critical for promoter recognition in vitro as is the simultaneous presence of B1/2 with mRNA polymerase at the promoter site for transcription initiation to occur [11]. Once the transcript is produced, termination factor isoforms (mTERF1-3) collectively facilitate transcriptional termination through an unknown inhibitory mechanism [7]. Reports on the structure and function of the MTM system have advanced our understanding of the steps during mitochondrial transcription, with several studies highlighting transcription factors A, B2, and mRNAPolymerase as basal transcription regulators [7,8,12]. However, it is unknown what downstream effects develop when MTM factors are deregulated and if these alterations are associated with clinical effects in hematological malignancies. Previously, the expression of NRF-1 and TFAM were found to be significantly increased in breast cancer tissues and associated with worse clinical outcome [13]. Likewise, the expression levels of TFAM and TFAM were associated with higher tumor grades in all astrocytomas [12], but their roles in other malignancies have yet to be explained.

Recently, we found mutations in mitochondrial-encoded ETC genes were associated with worse overall survival in patients with AML [2]. It has also been reported that mitochondria are preferentially dependent on the translation of respiratory components that, when inhibited, were specifically cytotoxic to AML cells [14]. AML cells have high reactive oxygen species due to defective OXPHOS activity, increased mitochondrial mass and low spare reserve capacity [15]. Larger mitochondrial mass suggests an increased cellular requirement for ATP synthesis, which also necessitates enhanced transcription and translation of the nuclear genes involved in mtDNA regulation. Metabolism is also deregulated in leukemic stem cells [16]. This makes a subset of AML cells with higher mitochondrial biogenesis susceptible to agents that target mitochondrial function. Presumably, the inhibition of mitochondrial transcription genes may present a therapeutic target in AML when overexpressed in order to satisfy the augmented energy demands from the cell. Therefore, we speculate that genes involved in the mitochondrial transcription machinery are upregulated in AML compared with normal cells. Here we aim to test this hypothesis and characterize the upregulation of these genes and their association with patients’ clinical and molecular characteristics and clinical outcome.

2. Methods

2.1. Patient data from public datasets

Molecular and clinical patient data were retrieved from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) provisional dataset of AML from cBioPortal. We identified 173 patients with AML (median age 58 years; range 18–88). These patients were diagnosed and received treatment according to National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines between November 2001 and March 2010. The diagnosis of AML as well as risk group stratification were according to NCCN guidelines. Patient’s classifications according to the French–American–British (FAB) classifications were also available. The patients included in the study were assessed for gene expression as well as somatic mutations frequently found in AML, such as FLT3, NPM1, IDH1/2, TET, etc. Expression values (mRNA and Z-scores) were used to dichotomize patients into two groups based on MTM genes (TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT) expression data $Z \geq 1$ and $Z < 1$. Other datasets were downloaded from Oncomine.

To analyze differential expression for the MTM genes in normal tissue vs AML we utilized the Andersson Leukemia dataset (GSE7186) [17] with 6 samples from healthy bone marrow and 23 samples from patients with AML, the Haferlach Leukemia dataset (GSE13159) [18] with 74 samples from healthy donor peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and 542 samples from patients with AML and the Valk Leukemia dataset (GSE1159) [19] with 5 samples from healthy donor bone marrow, 3 samples from CD34+ PBMC and 285 samples from patients with AML. To validate the association between upregulation of the MTM genes and overall survival in other datasets we used two Metzeler datasets (GSE12417 [20] n = 79 and n = 163), Bullinger (GSE425 [21] n = 119), Raponi (GSE8970 [22] n = 34) and Heuser (GSE4137 [23] n = 35) Leukemia datasets. All datasets were downloaded from Oncomine.

2.2. Statistical analyses

The time between diagnosis and removal from study due to lack of complete remission, relapse, or death was defined as disease free survival (DFS). The time between diagnosis and death due to any reason was defined as overall survival (OS). Kaplan–Meier survival curves were generated for the comparison of overall and disease-free survival between patients with $Z \geq 1$ and $Z < 1$ for TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT expression. To determine associations between TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT expression levels and patient clinical/molecular characteristics, Mann–Whitney U’s non-parametric and Fisher’s exact test were used for continuous and categorical variables, respectively, using STATA 12.0 SE. Figures were generated using GraphPad Prism software package (ver. 5.0; GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The Cox Proportional Hazards Model was used to assess the association between TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT expression and OS after adjusting for other clinical factors and excluding M3 patients. For survival analysis, 173 patients total and 157 excluding M3 patients with complete clinical and molecular data were included in this analysis. A statistical cut-off of $p < 0.05$ was used for inclusion of variables from univariate analysis to multivariate analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Genes of the mitochondrial transcription machinery (MTM) are upregulated in AML

To assess whether TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT are upregulated in AML blasts compared with healthy cells, we compared the median expression levels of these genes in AML and healthy cells from three leukemia datasets (Andersson, Haferlach and Valk). We observed significant upregulation of TFB1M in AML compared with healthy cells in the Valk Leukemia dataset (Reporter: 219169_s_at; 1.31-fold increase; $p$-value: 0.0493; Fig. 1A) but not the Haferlach dataset (Fig. S1A); additionally, the Andersson dataset did not include expression data for TFB1M. Significant
upregulation of TFB2M in AML compared with healthy cells was observed across all three datasets: Andersson (Reporter: 218605_s_at; 2.26-fold increase; p-value: <0.0001; Fig. 1B), Haferlach (Reporter: 897576; 1.61-fold increase; p-value: <0.0001; Fig. 1C) and Valk (Reporter: 218605_s_at; 1.60-fold increase; p-value: 0.0287; Fig. 1D). Similarly, we also observed significant upregulation of POLRMT in all three datasets: Andersson (Reporter: 504826; 1.38-fold increase; p-value: 0.0064; Fig. 1H) and Haferlach (Reporter: 203176_s_at; 1.21-fold increase; p-value: <0.0001; Fig. 1I) but not the Valk Leukemia dataset (Fig. S1B).

Nuclear respiratory factors NRF-1 and NRF-2 are known to regulate the MTM genes, thus, we also assessed the differential expression of these genes in healthy donors vs patients with AML in the same three datasets. In general, there was a trend towards decreased median NRF-1 expression in patients with AML compared with that in healthy donors: Andersson (1.40-fold decrease; p-value: 0.0225) (Fig. S2a), Haferlach (Reporter: 203782_s_at; 1.24-fold decrease; p-value: <0.0001) (Fig. S2b) and Valk (1.34-fold decrease; p-value: 0.043) (Fig. S2c). Whereas, there was a trend towards increased NRF-2 expression in patients with
AML compared with that in healthy donors: Andersson (Reporter: 260325 | 1.23-fold increase; p-value: 0.142) (Fig. S2d), Haferlach (Reporter: 210188_at | mean: 1.08-fold increase; p-value: 0.0003) (Fig. S2e) and Valk (mean: 1.19-fold increase; p-value: 0.0571) (Fig. S2f).

We also explored the expression levels of the MTM genes in the TCGA dataset. We dichotomized patients into high and low expression based on Z-score. Dotplots depicting the distribution of the Z-score data are shown in Figs. S3A–D. Based on the distribution of the Z-scores, cut-offs of Z ≥ 1 and Z ≥ 2 were used for further analysis.

3.2. Characterization of the expression of MTM genes according to patients’ clinical characteristics

We assessed the association between upregulation of MTM genes and primary patient characteristics in 173 patients with AML from the TCGA dataset. High expression (Z ≥ 1) of any or all of the four MTM genes was significantly associated with higher median percentage of peripheral blood blasts (median %: 52.5 vs 22%; p-value: 0.002) and normal cytogenetic status (median %: 56.5 vs 39.4; p-value: 0.027) but reversely with good molecular risk (%: 10.1 vs 25.0; p-value: 0.018) compared with MTM genes low expression (Z < 1 for all MTM genes) (Table 1).

When each gene was analyzed individually, we found that high TFB1M expression (Z ≥ 1) was significantly associated with intermediate risk status (%: 72.4 vs 49.3; p-value: 0.021) and normal cytogenetic status (%: 72.4 vs 41.0; p-value: 0.002) but reversely with high white blood cell count (median: 45 vs 15.2; p-value: 0.004), higher percentage of peripheral blood blasts (median %: 50 vs 34; p-value: <0.001) and normal cytogenetic status (%: 70.6 vs 43.6; p-value: 0.033, Table S1). Conversely, high TFAM expression (Z ≥ 1) was not significantly associated with any primary patient characteristics (Table S2). High POLRMT expression (Z ≥ 1) was significantly associated with poor molecular risk (%: 45.5 vs 23.2; p-value: 0.039) (Table S4). Upregulation of the MTM genes was not associated with age.

3.3. Characterization of the expression of MTM genes according to patients’ molecular characteristics

Upregulation of TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and/or POLRMT (Z ≥ 1) was significantly associated with the presence of NPM1 mutation (%: 39.1 vs 20.2; p-value: 0.009; Table 2). Frequencies of NPM1 mutation dichotomized by high expression of TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and/or POLRMT (Z ≥ 1) are displayed in Fig. 2a. When each gene was analyzed individually, we found that upregulation of TFB1M (Z ≥ 1) was significantly associated with increased frequencies of FLT3 mutation (%: 55.2 vs 22.9; p-value: 0.001), DNMT3A mutation (%: 44.8 vs 20.8; p-value: 0.010) and NPM1 mutation (%: 58.6 vs 21.5; p-value: <0.001) (Table S5). Upregulation of TFB2M was significantly associated with higher frequency of NPM1 mutation (%: 64.7 vs 23.7; p-value: 0.001, Table S6). But upregulation of TFAM and POLRMT were not significantly associated with any molecular characteristics (Tables S7–8).

We compared log2-transformed median-centered mRNA expression of TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT individually, in patients with and without NPM1 mutations. Median mRNA expression of TFB1M (median: 7.54 vs 7.30; p-value: 0.023) and TFB2M (median: 8.80 vs 8.48; p-value: 0.010) were significantly higher in patients with NPM1 mutation than in patients with NPM1 wild-type (Fig. 2B–C). However, there was no difference in TFAM or POLRMT expression in patients with or without NPM1 mutation (Fig. 2D–E).

Additionally, we assessed if mRNA expression levels of the MTM genes were correlated with the expression of NPM1. We found a significant and positive correlation between NPM1 and TFB1M (Spearman: 0.155; p-value: 0.0499) (Fig. S4A), TFB2M (Spearman: 0.115; p-value: 0.042) (Fig. S4B) and TFAM (Spearman: 0.181; p-value: 0.011) (Fig. S4C). The correlation between NPM1 and POLRMT was not statistically significant (Spearman: 0.019; p-value: 0.816) (Fig. S4D). We also assessed differential NPM1 expression in patients with high MTM gene expression (Z ≥ 1) and low MTM gene expression (Z < 1) in patients with and without NPM1 mutation. We found a trend towards increased log2-transformed median-centered mRNA expression of NPM1 in patients with or without NPM1 mutation that have higher MTM gene expression (Z ≥ 1), but this increase was not statistically significant (Figs. S4E–F).

### Table 1
Clinical characteristics of patients with AML in the TCGA dataset with available information on TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT expression (Z ≥ 1). P-values calculated using non-parametric Mann-Whitney U or Fisher’s Exact test.

| Characteristic | Z < 1 (n = 104) | Z ≥ 1 (n = 69) | p-value |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Age, median (years) | 60 | 56 | 0.193 |
| Young (≤60) | 50 (48.1%) | 41 (59.4%) | 0.163 |
| Old (≥60) | 54 (51.9%) | 28 (40.6%) | |
| Sex | | | >0.999 |
| Female (n, %) | 49 (47.1%) | 32 (46.4%) | |
| Male (n, %) | 55 (52.9%) | 37 (53.6%) | |
| FAB | | | |
| M0 (n, %) | 8 (7.7%) | 8 (11.6%) | 0.427 |
| M1 (n, %) | 23 (21.2%) | 21 (30.4%) | 0.217 |
| M2 (n, %) | 19 (18.3%) | 19 (27.5%) | 0.188 |
| M3 (n, %) | 11 (12.5%) | 3 (4.3%) | 0.106 |
| M4 (n, %) | 25 (24.0%) | 9 (13.0%) | 0.082 |
| M5 (n, %) | 12 (11.5%) | 6 (8.70%) | 0.619 |
| M6 (n, %) | 2 (1.9%) | 0 | 0.518 |
| M7 (n, %) | 1 (1.0%) | 2 (2.90%) | 0.564 |
| WB Count, median | 11.95 | 27.6 | 0.240 |
| % BM Blast, median | 72 | 74 | 0.120 |
| % PB Blast, median | 22 | 52.5 | 0.002 |
| Molecular Risk Status | | | |
| Poor (n, %) | 26 (25.0%) | 19 (27.5%) | 0.723 |
| Intermediate (n, %) | 51 (49.0%) | 41 (59.4%) | 0.158 |
| Good (n, %) | 26 (25.0%) | 7 (10.1%) | 0.018 |
| Cytogenetic Status | | | |
| Normal (n, %) | 41 (39.4%) | 39 (56.5%) | |
| Abnormal (n, %) | 62 (59.6%) | 28 (40.6%) | |
| No (n, %) | 64 (61.5%) | 36 (52.2%) | 0.271 |
| Yes (n, %) | 40 (38.5%) | 33 (47.8%) | |

### Table 2
Molecular characteristics of patients with AML in the TCGA dataset with available information on TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT expression (Z ≥ 1). P-values calculated using Fisher’s Exact test.

| Gene | Z < 1 (n = 104) | Z ≥ 1 (n = 69) | p-value |
|------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| FLT3 | 27 (26.0%) | 22 (31.9%) | 0.491 |
| TP53 | 8 (7.69%) | 6 (8.70%) | >0.999 |
| DNMT3A | 21 (20.2%) | 22 (31.9%) | 0.106 |
| CEBPA | 5 (4.81%) | 8 (11.6%) | 0.140 |
| NRAS | 9 (8.65%) | 3 (4.35%) | 0.367 |
| TET2 | 10 (9.62%) | 7 (5.72%) | 0.784 |
| IDH1 | 10 (9.62%) | 6 (8.70%) | >0.999 |
| IDH2 | 14 (13.5%) | 3 (4.35%) | 0.067 |
| RUNX1 | 12 (11.5%) | 4 (5.80%) | 0.285 |
| NPM1 | 21 (20.2%) | 27 (39.1%) | 0.009 |
| WTI | 4 (3.85%) | 6 (8.70%) | 0.200 |
| mtDNA ETC | 10 (9.62%) | 5 (7.25%) | 0.784 |
Patients with upregulation of TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT (Z ≥ 1) had significantly worse overall survival (median: 11.8 vs 24.1 months; p-value: 0.027) than patients with Z < 1 (Fig. 3A). There was also a trend towards worse disease-free survival in patients with MTM gene upregulation (Z ≥ 1) that did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 3B). Because patients with FAB M3 classification or t(15;17) translocation have better clinical outcome and receive different treatment, we excluded these patients and reanalyzed the cohort for overall survival. Consistently, we found that patients with high expression of TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and/or POLRMT (Z ≥ 1) had significantly shorter median overall survival (median: 11.5 vs 20.5 months; p-value: 0.044) than patients with Z < 1 (Fig. 3C). There was a similar trend in disease-free survival that did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 3D).

Since high TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT expression (Z ≥ 1) was associated with cytogenetic status, we performed overall survival analysis in cytogenetically normal (CN-AML) patients and found that upregulation (Z ≥ 1) had a trend towards worse overall survival (median: 14.5 vs 24.1 months; p-value: 0.057) (Fig. 4A). But there was no observed trend towards worse overall survival in cytogenetically abnormal patients (CA-AML) (Fig. 4B). Due to the association between high expression of MTM genes and the presence of NPM1 mutation, we also assessed the association between MTM gene upregulation with overall survival among patients with NPM1 mutations. We found that among patients with NPM1 mutation (who generally have better outcome), patients with high expression of TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and/or POLRMT (Z ≥ 1) had significantly worse overall survival (median: 10.2 vs 24.8 months; p-value: 0.036) (Fig. 5).

When we considered a Z ≥ 2 for any of the MTM genes, we found that patients with increased expression (Z ≥ 2) had worse overall survival compared with patients with all MTM genes (Z < 2) (median OS including M3 patients: 8.2 vs 21.5 months; p-value: 0.037; median OS excluding M3: 8.2 vs 18.5 months; p-value: 0.097; Figs. S5A and C). However, there was no significant association with disease-free survival (Figs. S5B and D).

When considering each gene individually, upregulation of TFB1M expression (Z ≥ 1) was associated with worse overall survival (median: 8.1 vs 18.1; p-value: 0.033) and worse disease-free survival (median: 8.5 vs 16.6 months; p-value: 0.020) (Figs. S6A–B). Neither TFB2M (Z ≥ 1), TFAM (Z ≥ 1) nor POLRMT (Z ≥ 1) showed an association with overall or disease-free survival (Figs. S6C–H).

In multivariable survival analysis using the Cox Proportional Hazards model, we adjusted for various risk factors such as age, molecular risk status and transplant status and found that upregulation of TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT (Z ≥ 1) was significantly associated with worse overall survival after exclusion of M3 patients (n = 153, HR: 1.82(1.22–2.70); p-value: 0.003) (Table 3). Upregulation of TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and/or POLRMT expression (Z ≥ 2) was also associated with worse overall survival after exclusion of M3 patients but did not reach statistical significance (n = 153, HR: 1.55(0.94–2.55); p-value: 0.083) (Table S9).

Additionally, we also analyzed survival outcome in four other data sets downloaded from Oncomine: two Metzeler, Heuser and Bullinger. We did not find an association between high TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and/or POLRMT expression—regardless of our cut-offs for mRNA expression—and worse overall survival. However, after stratification by quartiles (top 25% vs bottom 75%) for POLRMT expression,
expression, we found a worse overall survival in patients with high expression (top 25%) of POLRMT in the Metzeler (median: 240 vs 432 days; p-value: 0.022) and the Bullinger (median: 291 vs 570; p-value: 0.016) datasets compared with the rest of the patients in each data set (Figs. S7A–B).

4. Discussion

Aberrant mitochondrial biogenesis and gene mutations are common features of malignant cells, and there have been recent advancements linking altered mitochondrial metabolism to AML [16]. In this study, we report significant upregulation of mitochondrial transcription machinery genes TFAM, TFB1M, TFB2M and POLRMT in AML. We also establish that this overexpression is associated with shorter overall survival. Together, these findings highlight the importance of mitochondrial metabolic regulation and its association with clinical outcome in leukemia.

The transcriptional machinery in the mitochondria has several important basal regulators, TFAM, POLRMT and TFB1M/TFB2M, for transcription initiation [7]. POLRMT has no effect on nuclear gene expression, but rather, remains exclusive to the mitochondria for the transcription of mtDNA [24]. Conditional knockdown of POLRMT was found to be lethal in OCI-AML2 cells, decreasing OXPHOS, assembly of the ETC complex, and cell growth and viability [25]. We found that the deregulation of the transcription factors, particularly TFB1M, contributed significantly more than POLRMT to overall survival of patients with AML in the TCGA data. TFB1M was not, however, significantly upregulated in AML compared with normal healthy hematopoietic cells in all datasets we analyzed. Consistent with our results, a previous study outlined an ~6-fold excess of POLRMT to mtDNA and ~3-fold more TFB2M than TFB1M at steady state in HeLa cells [26]. This observation suggests a relative abundance of the human mitochondrial transcription machinery and distinct roles for TFB1M and TFB2M in mitochondrial biogenesis and gene expression [26].

NRF-1 activates the expression of select genes in maintaining mitochondrial respiratory function and has recognition sites on TFAM promoter [27]. This observation describes an ability to communicate changes in nuclear gene expression from the mitochondria, especially when transcriptional machinery is upregulated [28]. We observed lower levels of NRF-1 but not NRF-2 in AML compared with healthy cells, further suggesting the dysfunctional communication signaling between the mitochondria and the nucleus in AML.

NPM1 mutations found in 45–60% of CN-AML patients are associated with a greater chance of complete remission and overall survival outcomes, especially in the absence of FLT3-ITD mutations [29]. Interestingly, we found an association between upregulated MTM genes and the presence of NPM1 mutations. Mitochondrial proteins encoded within nuclear DNA reach their destination in the mitochondria to perform their roles in transcription and translation. About 99% of mitochondrial proteins are synthesized on cytosolic ribosomes and require transport mechanisms to translocate through the outer and inner mitochondrial membrane [30]. NPM1 was found to prevent p53 localization to the mitochondria to initiate apoptosis [31]. Whether NPM1 may play a role in the transport of MTM proteins to the mitochondria remains to be examined. Future studies are necessary to better elucidate the role of mutant NPM1 on the regulation of MTM proteins and to assess whether higher levels of translocated MTM genes to the mitochondria is present in NPM1 mutants compared with wildtype cells.

Mitochondrial gene expression requires proper ribosomal biogenesis. Several post-transcriptional RNA modifications must occur for ribosome assembly, such as the methylation of two adenine residues in a highly conserved stem-loop region of small
subunit rRNAs [26]. Transcription factors B1 and B2 are dual-function proteins in that they are both involved in mitochondrial transcription and methylation of rRNA, yet these roles have been reported to be independent of each other [26]. A point mutation in TFB1M did not affect its ability to stimulate transcription but did eliminate its ability to stimulate rRNA methyltransferase activity [11]. In this context, it is important to consider the different functionalities of the B1/2 proteins to determine if the methyltransferase function explains the role of their upregulation in AML.

Notably, TFB2M was associated with the highest rate of overexpression and the strongest association with poor outcome, which could market the gene in AML as a possible therapeutic target. Transcription factor B2 is responsible for transcription initiation and forming a stable complex during mtRNA transcript formation [8] and was found upregulated in renal cancers, lymphomas, and astrocytomas [12,32].

5. Conclusions

In sum, the mitochondrial transcriptional machinery is upregulated in AML and associated with poor clinical outcome and the presence of NPM1 mutations. Altogether, our study highlights the potentially important role of this system in AML and suggests the need to further investigate each component of the MTM to establish whether a therapeutic approach in targeting MTM genes is viable.
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| Variables       | Hazard Ratio | 95% CI     | p-value |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|---------|
| Age             | 1.02         | 1.00 1.04  | 0.013   |
| Molecular Risk Status | 3.23         | 1.36 7.66  | 0.008   |
| Poor            | 7.19         | 2.88 18.0  | <0.001  |
| Transplant Status| 0.37         | 0.23 0.59  | <0.001  |
| MTM genes       | 1.82         | 1.22 2.70  | 0.003   |

Fig. 4. Survival Analysis of patients with MTM gene upregulation in patients with CN-AML and CA-AML. Kaplan-Meier Survival Curves. Overall Survival (OS) in (A) 79 CN-AML and (B) 75 CA-AML patients stratified by TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT expression (Z ≥ 1 and Z<1).

Fig. 5. Association of high MTM gene expression (Z≥1) with overall survival in NPM1-mutated patients. Overall Survival (OS) in 48 patients with NPM1 mutation stratified by TFB1M, TFB2M, TFAM and POLRMT expression (Z ≥ 1 and Z<1).
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