Hydrogen sulfide at high pressure: a strongly-anharmonic phonon-mediated superconductor
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We use first principles calculations to study structural, vibrational and superconducting properties of H\textsubscript{2}S at pressures \( P \geq 200 \) GPa. The inclusion of zero point energy leads to two different possible dissociations of H\textsubscript{2}S, namely 3H\textsubscript{2}S \( \rightarrow \) 2H\textsubscript{2}S + S and 5H\textsubscript{2}S \( \rightarrow \) 3H\textsubscript{2}S + HS\textsubscript{2}, where both H\textsubscript{2}S and HS\textsubscript{2} are metallic. For H\textsubscript{2}S, we perform non-perturbative calculations of anharmonic effects within the self-consistent harmonic approximation and show that the harmonic approximation strongly overestimates the electron-phonon interaction (\( \lambda \approx 2.64 \) at 200 GPa) and \( T_c \). Anharmonicity hardens H–S bond-stretching modes and softens H–S bond-bending modes. As a result, the electron-phonon coupling is suppressed by 30% (\( \lambda \approx 1.84 \) at 200 GPa). Moreover, while at the harmonic level \( T_c \) decreases with increasing pressure, the inclusion of anharmonicity leads to a \( T_c \) that is almost independent of pressure. High pressure hydrogen sulfide is a strongly anharmonic superconductor.

Cuprates \cite{1} have for many years held the world record for the highest superconducting critical temperature (\( T_c = 133 \) K) \cite{2}. However, despite almost 30 years of intensive research, the physical mechanism responsible for such a high \( T_c \) is still elusive, although the general consensus is that it is highly non-conventional. The discovery by Drozdov \textit{et al.} \cite{3} of \( T_c = 190 \) K in a diamond anvil cell loaded with hydrogen sulfide (H\textsubscript{2}S) and compressed to about 200 GPa breaks the cuprates record and overturns the conventional wisdom that such a high \( T_c \) cannot be obtained via phonon-mediated pairing.

The claim that hydrogen at high pressure could be superconducting is not new \cite{4} and it was recently supported by first principles calculations based on the harmonic approximation applied to dense hydrogen \cite{5–8} and several hydrides \cite{9–15}. More recently, two theoretical papers predicted the occurrence of high \( T_c \) superconductivity in high-pressure sulfur-hydrides \cite{16, 17}. However, as shown in Refs. \cite{18, 19}, anharmonicity can be crucial in these systems. For example, in PdH, the electron-phonon coupling \( \lambda \) parameter is found to be 1.55 at the harmonic level, while a proper inclusion of anharmonic effects leads to \( \lambda = 0.40 \) \cite{18}, in better agreement with experiments. Thus, in hydrogen-based compounds, the phonon spectra are strongly affected by anharmonic effects.

Given the sensitivity of superconductivity to the physical and electronic structures, it is extremely important to identify the correct crystal structures (see, for example, the early discussion of superconductivity in silane in Ref. 15, and one of the first applications of first principles structure prediction in Ref. 21). Several first principles calculations \cite{16, 17, 20, 28, 29} suggested that decomposition of the H\textsubscript{2}S sample occurs within the diamond-anvil cell at high pressures. The high-\( T_c \) superconducting material is therefore very unlikely to be H\textsubscript{2}S, while H\textsubscript{3}S is the obvious candidate for the H-rich decomposition product.

Here we study the structural, vibrational and superconducting properties of H\textsubscript{2}S above 200 GPa, where the highest \( T_c \) occurs. We show that the inclusion of zero point motion in the convex hull at 200 and 250 GPa stabilizes two metallic structures, H\textsubscript{3}S and HS\textsubscript{2}. Finally, we show that, contrary to suggestions in previous work \cite{16, 20}, the harmonic approximation does not explain the measured \( T_c \) in H\textsubscript{3}S, and the inclusion of anharmonic effects is crucial.

As decomposition of H\textsubscript{2}S has been demonstrated in the experiments of Ref. \cite{3}, it is crucial to develop an understanding of the different H/S compounds that might be stable in the pressure range of interest. We therefore perform a search over 43 H/S stoichiometries, determining the stoichiometries at which stable structures exist, and the associated crystal structures. These searches were performed with the \textit{ab initio} random structure searching (AIRSS) method \cite{21, 22} and the CASTEP code \cite{23}, and
Having determined the most stable crystal structures at high pressure, we turn to the study of vibrational properties [30, 31]. As summarized in Table I, the superconducting $T_c$ values obtained within the harmonic approximation for the $C2/c$ HS$_2$, $C2/m$ HS$_2$, and $C2/m$ HS phases are in the range of 15 to 35 K (see Supplemental Material [26] for phonon spectra and details of the calculations), far from the observed extraordinary values. The measured $T_c$ of HS could not have occurred in any of these phases. Thus, we focus on the $Im\overline{3}m$ H$_3$S structure. In this structure each H atom is twofold coordinated and has 6 neighbors, 2 of which are S atoms while the other 4 are H atoms. The H vibrations can then be decomposed into HS bond-stretching modes (H$_I$), in which an H atom moves towards one of the two S atoms, and bond-bending modes (H$_T$), in which one H atom moves in the direction perpendicular to the H–S bond (see Fig. 1 in [26]). The harmonic phonon spectrum of H$_3$S at 200 GPa [36] is shown in Fig. 2 and overall shows a clear separation into H modes at high energy and S modes below 75 meV. To gain more insight we use Wannier interpolation [34, 35] of the electron-phonon matrix elements and evaluate the electron-phonon contribution to the phonon linewidth, as [37]:

$$\gamma_{\nu} = \frac{4\pi\omega_{\nu}}{N_k} \sum_{k,n,m} |g_{nm}^{\nu}(k,k+q)|^2 \delta(\epsilon_{kn}) \delta(\epsilon_{k+qm}) . \quad (1)$$

Here $\omega_{\nu}$ are the phonon frequencies, $N_k$ the number of electron-momentum points in the grid, $g_{nm}^{\nu}(k,k+q) = (\langle k|\delta V_{KS}/\delta u_{\nu}|k+qm\rangle$ is the electron-phonon matrix element, $V_{KS}$ is the Kohn-Sham potential, and $u_{\nu}$ is a phonon displacement. The Kohn-Sham energy and eigenfunctions are labeled $\epsilon_{kn}$ and $|k_n\rangle$. The electron-phonon coupling at a given phonon-momentum $q$ for a phonon mode $\nu$ can be obtained [37] from the phonon linewidth as $\lambda_{q\nu} = \frac{4\pi\omega_{\nu}g_{nm}^{\nu}}{2\pi\omega_{\nu}N(0)}$.

As shown in Fig. 2, at the harmonic level, the phonon linewidths of the H vibrations is fairly uniform throughout the spectrum. The contribution of each mode to the average electron-phonon interaction, $\lambda = \sum_{\nu q} \lambda_{q\nu}/N_q$, can be obtained from the isotropic Eliashberg function

$$\alpha^2 F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2N_q} \sum_{q\nu} \lambda_{q\nu} \omega_{q\nu} \delta(\omega - \omega_{q\nu}) \quad (2)$$

where $N_q$ is the number of phonon-momentum points in the grid. $\lambda(\omega) = 2 \int_0^\infty \alpha^2 F(\omega') d\omega'$ and then $\lambda = \lambda(\infty)$. We find $\lambda = 2.64$ (see Table II), which is larger than that obtained in Refs. [16, 20] with a much coarser sampling of the BZ, but consistent with the result in Ref. [28]. This huge value of $\lambda$ comprises substantial contributions from many H vibrational modes. The situation is therefore very different from MgB$_2$ in which a single mode dominates $\lambda$.

Given the low mass of H and the consequent large phonon displacements, we investigate the occurrence of

---

**TABLE I.** Calculated $\lambda$, $\omega_\text{log}$ and $T_c$ values in the harmonic approximation for $C2/c$ HS$_2$, $C2/m$ HS$_2$, and $C2/m$ HS. $T_c$ is estimated using the McMillan equation.

| Phase       | P (GPa) | $\lambda$ (meV) $\omega_\text{log}$ | $T_c$ (K) $-0.10$ | $T_c$ (K) $-0.16$ |
|-------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $C2/c$ HS$_2$ | 200    | 0.86                             | 56.7            | 35.3            |
| $C2/m$ HS$_2$ | 250    | 0.75                             | 53.8            | 25.1            |
| $C2/m$ HS     | 300    | 0.78                             | 74.3            | 38.0            |
The superconducting critical temperature can be obtained either from the McMillan equation or the isotropic Migdal-Eliashberg approach. However, it is well known [39] that the use of the McMillan equation for such values of $\lambda$ leads to a substantial underestimation of $T_c$. We solved the isotropic Eliashberg equations [26] and found, contrary to claims in previous publications [16, 20], that calculations based on the harmonic phonon spectrum do not explain the measured $T_c$ as, even using large values of $\mu^*$ [40, 41], $T_c$ is substantially overestimated (i.e., $T_c = 250$ K for $\mu^* = 0.16$ [26]). When the anharmonic phonon spectrum and electron-phonon coupling are used, the Migdal-Eliashberg equations account for the experimental $T_c$ when the value $\mu^* = 0.16$ is used, as shown in Table II. The superconducting gap at zero temperature is $\Delta \approx 36.5$ meV.

Interestingly, the large anharmonic effects lead to very different variations in $T_c$ with pressure. By repeating the calculation for the $I\overline{m}3\overline{m}$ structure at 250 GPa, we found at the harmonic level and using the Migdal-Eliashberg equations with the same values of $\mu^* = 0.16$, that $T_c = 226$ K, decreasing with increasing pressure. However, at the anharmonic level we find $T_c = 190$ K, essentially independent of pressure in the region 200–250 GPa.

Finally, we consider the extent to which the occurrence of large anharmonic effects can explain the isotope shift in D$_2$S. At 164 GPa, $T_c$(D$_2$S) = 90 K, leading to an isotope coefficient $\alpha \approx 1.07$, which is substantially enhanced from the canonical BCS value of $\alpha \approx 0.5$. Assuming a similar decomposition of D$_2$S into D$_3$S and S at high pressures, we calculate the anharmonic phonon spectrum (see [26]) and electron-phonon coupling in D$_3$S at 200 GPa. We find at the anharmonic level that the electron-phonon coupling is essentially unaffected, while $\omega_{\text{log}}$ is softened from 92.9 meV to 73.3 meV, leading to an isotope coefficient of $\alpha = 0.35$, which is strongly reduced.

anharmonic effects using the stochastic self-consistent harmonic approximation (SSCHA) developed by some of us [18, 19, 38]. As shown in Fig. 2 (bottom), the anharmonic correction leads to non-trivial changes in the harmonic spectrum. While it is very clear that all H bond-stretching modes are hardened, the effect on H bond-bending modes is less straightforward. By computing the average phonon frequency as of H$_{\parallel}$ and of H$_{\perp}$ modes we find that $\omega_{\text{har}} \approx 158.1$ meV and $\omega_{\text{anh}} \approx 203.3$ meV, while for bond-bending modes $\omega_{\text{har}} \approx 157.0$ meV and $\omega_{\text{anh}} \approx 147.9$ meV. Thus bond-stretching modes are hardened, while bond-bending modes are softened.

It is important to remark that the large and most dispersive mode along PF is strongly hardened at the anharmonic level and undergoes a non-trivial change in polarization, as can be seen from the large effect of anharmonicity on the phonon linewidth $\gamma_{q\nu}$ in Fig. 2. It is worthwhile recalling that the phonon linewidth depends on the phonon eigenvector but not on the phonon energy. This effect demonstrates the need to calculate not only the phonon frequencies at the anharmonic level, but also the phonon polarizations.

The anharmonic electron-phonon interaction is $\lambda = 1.84$, which is 30% smaller than the harmonic result. This reduction is mostly explained by the hardening of the H$_{\parallel}$ modes. In contrast to the harmonic case which shows uniform coupling over all modes, the anharmonic Eliashberg function has two main peaks, a broad peak in the 40–75 meV region, and a second one in the 175–200 meV region. Their contributions to $\lambda$ are 0.59 and 0.77, respectively, accounting for $\approx 73\%$ of the total $\lambda$. We note, however, that the logarithmic average of the phonon frequencies, $\omega_{\text{log}}$, is only weakly enhanced by anharmonicty (see Table II).
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Interestingly, the large anharmonic effects lead to very different variations in $T_c$ with pressure. By repeating the calculation for the $I\overline{m}3\overline{m}$ structure at 250 GPa, we found at the harmonic level and using the Migdal-Eliashberg equations with the same values of $\mu^* = 0.16$, that $T_c = 226$ K, decreasing with increasing pressure. However, at the anharmonic level we find $T_c = 190$ K, essentially independent of pressure in the region 200–250 GPa.

Finally, we consider the extent to which the occurrence of large anharmonic effects can explain the isotope shift in D$_2$S. At 164 GPa, $T_c$(D$_2$S) = 90 K, leading to an isotope coefficient $\alpha \approx 1.07$, which is substantially enhanced from the canonical BCS value of $\alpha \approx 0.5$. Assuming a similar decomposition of D$_2$S into D$_3$S and S at high pressures, we calculate the anharmonic phonon spectrum (see [26]) and electron-phonon coupling in D$_3$S at 200 GPa. We find at the anharmonic level that the electron-phonon coupling is essentially unaffected, while $\omega_{\text{log}}$ is softened from 92.9 meV to 73.3 meV, leading to an isotope coefficient of $\alpha = 0.35$, which is strongly reduced.
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from the BCS value but inconsistent with the value of \( \alpha \approx 1.07 \) found in experiments. Thus, contrary to the claim made in Ref. 42, anharmonicity reduces \( \alpha \).

TABLE II. Electron-phonon interaction and logarithmic averages of phonon frequencies, with and without anharmonic effects. The \( T_c \)'s are calculated using the isotropic Migdal-Eliashberg equations (\( T_c^{\text{ME}} \)). A value of \( \mu^* = 0.16 \) is used. Data for \( T_c \) calculated with the McMillan equation is provided in the Supplemental Material [26]. Frequencies are in meV and \( T_c \)'s are in K.

| Compound       | \( \omega_{\text{anhar}} \) | \( \omega_{\text{har}} \) | \( \omega_{\text{har}} \) | \( T_c^{\text{ME}} \) | \( T_c^{\text{ME}} \) |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| H\( _3 \)S (200 GPa) | 2.64 | 90.4 | 1.84 | 92.86 | 250 | 194.0 | 190 |
| H\( _2 \)S (200 GPa) | 1.96 | 109.1 | 1.71 | 101.3 | 226 | 190 |
| D\( _3 \)S (200 GPa) | 2.64 | 68.5 | 1.87 | 73.3 | 183 | 152.0 | 90 |

We have studied the structural, vibrational and superconducting properties of high pressure H\( _3 \)S. We have included zero point motion when comparing the stabilities of different H/S phases, which has been neglected in other publications so far. This is important because zero point motion stabilizes a new phase at \( P \geq 200 \) GPa. In particular, we found that decomposition of HS\( _3 \) into metallic phases can occur following two main paths, namely 3H\( _2 \)S \( \rightarrow \) 2H\( _3 \)S + S and 5H\( _2 \)S \( \rightarrow \) 3H\( _3 \)S + HS\( _2 \). We have performed a detailed study of the vibrational properties of high pressure H\( _3 \)S and D\( _3 \)S, finding that the phonon spectra are strongly affected by anharmonic effects. Anharmonicity hardens H–S bond-stretching modes and softens H–S bond-bending modes. Moreover, anharmonicity leads to a reduction in the electron-phonon coupling by \( \approx 30\% \) and to an approximately constant \( T_c \) in the range 200–250 GPa. Our work demonstrates that the superconducting properties of high pressure H\( _3 \)S can only be properly described by including both nuclear quantum effects and anharmonicity.
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