Host-cell lipid rafts: a safe door for micro-organisms?
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The lipid raft hypothesis proposed that these microdomains are small (10–200 nM), highly dynamic and enriched in cholesterol, glycosphingolipids and signalling phospholipids, which compartmentalize cellular processes. These membrane regions play crucial roles in signal transduction, phagocytosis and secretion, as well as pathogen adhesion/interaction. Throughout evolution, many pathogens have developed mechanisms to escape from the host immune system, some of which are based on the host membrane microdomain machinery. Thus lipid rafts might be exploited by pathogens as signalling and entry platforms. In this review, we summarize the role of lipid rafts as players in the overall invasion process used by different pathogens to escape from the host immune system.

Introduction
Lipid rafts: a heterogeneous membrane microdomain
Since it was first described as a simple barrier between extra and intracellular compartments, different plasma membrane structural and composition models have been proposed (Pike, 2009). Among these different models, the ‘fluid mosaic’ model proposed by Singer and Nicolson (1972) helps to provide answers about the different roles of the plasma membrane in cell biology, including transport processes, cell protection, cell–cell contact and, principally, cell signalling. The plasma membrane harbours many molecules involved in different cell signalling cascades, such as glycero- and sphingo- lipids, proteins (receptors, kinases, etc.), which interacts upon different stimuli triggering different cell responses.

Over the last decade, several works have provided evidence that the plasma membrane is really more mosaic than fluid (Pike, 2003; Engelman, 2005). It was shown that lipids are not randomly distributed, leading to the proposal of a new model for understanding the biological membrane architecture (Pike, 2003; García-Marcos et al., 2006). The new model suggests that the plasma membrane is patchy, with segregated portions that are distinct in structure and function and that can also vary in thickness and composition. From the first reports in the 1950s provided by Palade (1953) and Yamada (1955) showing stable flask-like invaginations of the plasma membrane, to the current view of multiple membrane domains allowing different protein–lipid and protein–protein interactions that compartmentalize and temporarily order the membrane moiety, many different reports still bring some controversy to the theme.
The concept of lipid microdomains arose in the 1980s in the reports of Van Meer and Simons (1983) and Klausner and colleagues (1980). It is now widely accepted that lipid rafts are not pre-existing domains in which proteins dynamically partition, but rather that the formation and disassembly of raft domains is a dynamic process (see, for example, Plowman et al., 2005). Later, rafts were visually observed by Simons and Ikonen (1997), who described them as lipid rafts, imagining these microdomains as floating islands in the membrane (Triantafilou et al., 2002; Luo et al., 2008). Further studies provided evidence that lipid rafts are mainly composed of cholesterol, (glyco)sphingolipids and (glycerophospholipids), with a high degree of saturation in their fatty-acid chains (Figure 1a). The tight interaction between these components provides the basis of their packing and rigidity, which leads to a phase separation (Simons and Ikonen, 1997).

This tight-packing organization of lipid rafts confers their resistance to solubilization by non-ionic detergents, which allows their separation and isolation from the rest of the plasma membrane using sucrose-density gradients (Brown and Rose, 1992). The development of this method is widely used for the isolation and analysis of lipid rafts and their associated proteins in different cell types (Triantafilou et al., 2002; Bouillon et al., 2003; Chazal and Gerlier, 2003; Laughlin et al., 2004; Tortelote et al., 2004; Vacca et al., 2004; Olsson and Sundler, 2006). However, new considerations about the term ‘detergent-resistant membranes’ (DRMs) had already been reviewed. DRM no longer defines the \textit{bona fide} rafts (Lai, 2003), because this technique can create several artifacts, such as the loss of membrane components during extraction (Edidin, 2003). However, many proteins functionally involved with microdomains had already been described via this method, as discussed by Lai (2003). Another efficient tool used to study lipid rafts is cholesterol depletion, by the use of cholesterol-removing and -binding agents, such as cyclodextrins and filipin (Schnitzer et al., 1994; Luker et al., 2000). This method is based on the theory that cholesterol acts as a dynamic ‘glue’, holding lipid rafts together, and its removal from the membrane microdomain.
membrane results in the dispersion of raft-associated lipids and proteins (Mañes et al., 2003; Hawkes and Mak, 2006). Considering that cholesterol is a relatively rigid molecule, it was postulated that cholesterol-rich microdomains have a slower mobility in the membrane than non-raft regions (Shaw, 2006).

Nowadays, more accurate methods are employed in order to improve the research into lipid rafts. Fluorescent biosensors that are capable of tracking signalling events in live cells are powerful tools to aid the understanding of dynamic cellular signalling (Gao and Zhang, 2008). The proposals regarding microdomains postulated in the late 1990s can be now supported by, for example, FRET (fluorescence resonance energy transfer) microscopy and AFM (atomic force microscopy). Given the importance of the Akt pathway in cell proliferation and survival, and thus its involvement in cancer, this pathway has been increasingly studied. Gao and Zhang (2008) developed a genetically encodable Akt activity reporter and analysed the spatiotemporal dynamics of Akt activity within plasma membrane microdomains by FRET. Using this tool, it was observed that Akt activity is differentially regulated between raft and non-raft regions of the plasma membrane (Gao and Zhang, 2008). In addition, AFM has been described as an essential tool to follow the motion of lipid-raft microdomains and proteins that might be interacting with them (Henderson et al., 2004). Several works have demonstrated the importance of AFM in experimental approaches to study lipid rafts. Poole et al. (2004), studying MDCK (Madin–Darby canine kidney) cell microvilli, suggested that lipid rafts might be involved in the maintenance of these structures. These authors used a combination of AFM and laser-scanning confocal microscopy in order to attest their hypothesis. Cecchi et al. (2009) interestingly observed an increase in specific amyloid ligands when raft components, such as cholesterol, are depleted. This group suggested that cholesterol can reduce membrane modifications triggered by amyloid residues at the lipid-raft level, possibly involving physicochemical features.

The techniques of AFM and confocal microscopy in combination with inhibitors of cholesterol synthesis and an agent that chelates cholesterol were allied in the study of lipid rafts in this context, as well as the pursuit of flotillin-1 and the ganglioside GM1, which are known markers of microdomains.

The lipid raft size in vivo has been estimated to be 25–700 nm by using FRET and single-molecule-tracking microscopy. The size, arrangement and movement of lipid rafts are dynamic and can be found in localized cellular structures, such as filipodia and cell adhesion points (Chazal and Gerlier, 2003; Hawkes and Mak, 2006). It is accepted that membrane rafts in basal conditions are small regions of the lipid membranes, which tend to cluster after certain stimuli to form larger structures that are also called platforms (Liu and Anderson, 1995; Holopainen et al., 1998; Kusumi et al., 2004; Bollinger et al., 2005; Rao and Mayor, 2005). It is important to mention that the assembly of those small regions (pre-rafts) seems to be dependent on the presence of ceramide (Liu and Anderson, 1995; Bollinger et al., 2005). In addition to the lipid components, a variety of cell receptors and signalling proteins are known to be associated with membrane rafts. They include the GPI (glycosylphosphatidylinositol)-anchored proteins, kinases and adaptor molecules that act as intermediate transducers for many receptors, including TCRs (T-cell receptors) and BCRs (B-cell receptors) (Montixi et al., 1998; Cheng et al., 1999; Chazal and Gerlier, 2003; Hawkes and Mak, 2006).

The role of lipid rafts in different cell types has been the subject of numerous studies, and their physiological significance for cell biology has recently become clear. These membrane regions play an important role in a variety of cellular functions, including polarization, signal transduction, endocytosis, secretion, cell–cell and cell–pathogen adhesion (Mañes et al., 1999; Martin-Belmonte et al., 2000; Harris et al., 2001; Grimmer et al., 2002; Ha et al., 2003; Pierini et al., 2003; Jacobson et al., 2007). One of the most widely appreciated roles of lipid rafts is the recruitment and concentration of

---

**FRET**: Fluorescence (or Förster) resonance energy transfer is a mechanism involving energy transfer between two fluorophores.

**AFM**: Atomic force microscopy is a very high-resolution type of scanning probe microscopy, with a nanometre resolution.

**Ceramides**: A family of lipid molecules. A ceramide is composed of sphingosine and a fatty acid. Ceramides are found in high concentrations within the cell membrane.

**GPI anchor**: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol is a glycolipid that can be attached to the C-terminus of a protein during post-translational modification.
molecules involved in cellular signalling. The formation of a molecular cluster and their signal transduction machinery in membrane rafts leads to enhanced signalling efficiency (Triantafilou et al., 2002).

Anderson et al. (2000) reported that MHC class II molecules are located in lipid rafts of murine and human B-cell lines. Such a localization seems to be critical for T-cell activation. The binding of TCRs to MHC class II molecules of APCs (antigen-presenting cells) occurs in lipid rafts. The raft aggregation promotes tyrosine phosphorylation and recruitment of signalling proteins, but excludes certain proteins, such as the tyrosine phosphatases CD45 and CD43, which leads to the formation of a supramolecular activation cluster (Monks et al., 1998). However, in vivo, TCR does not constitutively reside in membrane lipid rafts. After T-cell activation, the TCR moves into the rafts (Monks et al., 1998; Anderson et al., 2000; Luo et al., 2008). This characteristic was also observed for other molecules that migrate to the lipid rafts after specific stimulation in several physiological events.

There is a specific subtype of microdomain called caveolae. These structures are small membrane-surface invaginations, which were initially described as cave-like invaginations of the plasma membrane, 50–100 nm in size and found in many cell types (Figure 1b). Although they were identified by electron microscopy more than 50 years ago as an invagination in the plasma membrane with a flask-shape morphology that can be singular or found in detached grape-like clusters, caveolin caveolae have remained enigmatic structures (Palade, 1953; Yamada, 1955; Razani et al., 2002; Lajoie et al., 2009). Forty years after the description of caveolae, their structure could be more closely studied upon the discovery of caveolin, the signature protein present in caveolae (Rotheberg et al., 1992). It has been suggested that caveolae can be stabilized by caveolin and, additionally, may further become immobilized by filamin, which binds to caveolin, as well as to the actin cytoskeleton (Stahlhut and van Deurs, 2000; Hommelgaard et al., 2005). Since that time, caveolae have been implicated and demonstrated to be important in a variety of cellular functions, including endocytic processes, cholesterol and lipid homeostasis, signal transduction and tumour suppression (Razani et al., 2002; Duncan et al., 2002; Manes et al., 2003; Cohen et al., 2004; Tortelote et al., 2004). The protein caveolin-1 has itself been implicated in signal transduction, because of its direct interaction with a multitude of signalling molecules through the caveolin-scaffolding domain. In addition, caveolin-1 has been shown to be phosphorylated on tyrosine residues during some signalling events (Mastick and Saltiel, 1997; Okamoto et al., 1998; Ushio-Fukai et al., 2001; Duncan et al., 2002).

Vesicular transport is one of the most important roles of caveolae, including endocytosis when caveolae are, indeed, functional endocytic vesicles (Lajoie and Nabi, 2007), and promotes transcytosis of specific macromolecules in endothelial cells (Minshall et al., 2003). Previous studies proposed that, as well as caveolae, caveolins also have an important involvement in signal transduction, principally due to its scaffold domain, which acts as a harbour for different cytosolic proteins involved in different signalling cascades (Sargiacomo et al., 1993; Lisanti et al., 1994; Razani et al., 2002; Cohen et al., 2004).

**Pathogens and rafts: interacting to survive**

It is well known that intracellular parasites have many mechanisms to avoid the host defence response. The inhibition of lysosomal fusion, a classical escape mechanism, was observed after infection by *Mycobacterium, Chlamydia, Toxoplasma* (Coutinho-Silva et al., 2009) and *Trypanosoma cruzi* (Hall and Joiner, 1993), for example. Another way that pathogens can prolong their survival inside the host is by prevention of host-cell apoptosis and by the modulation of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species generation (Coutinho-Silva et al., 2009).

An interesting manner that allows pathogens to evade the immune system is through membrane microdomains. As signalling for the innate and adaptative immune responses is initiated in rafts, some pathogens have evolved mechanisms to subvert this signalling by co-opting raft-associated pathways (Manes et al., 2003). Different pathogens, such as viruses, bacteria and protozoa, can use the host-cell lipid rafts to secure their entrance and maintenance.
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Figure 2 | Pathogen–raft interaction

Several pathogens can directly interact with different target cells through membrane microdomains in different manners. It is known that the entry via lipid rafts can avoid lysosomal fusion and therefore allow pathogen survival. In addition, parasites might modulate signalling pathways, including lipid-raft-associated protein kinases [Srfk (Src family kinases)]. Especially viruses, which do not have their own protein synthesis machinery, target the ER after subverting the lysosomal pathway. Lys, lysosome; PV, parasitophorous vacuole.

inside target cells (Figure 2). The benefit provided by interaction with lipid rafts can vary from one pathogen to another. The list of pathogens that hijack rafts also includes the non-classical infectious agent, the scrapie PrP (prion protein). Here, we provide an update of how different pathogens modulate host immune response by using their lipid rafts.

**How do different pathogens interact with the host via lipid rafts?**

**Viruses: exploiting lipid rafts**

Different viruses have evolved strategies to subvert raft-associated signalling, enabling their efficient replication in immune cells, and at the same time blocking the immune response that is elicited by the target cells (Hawkes and Mak, 2006).

**Entry**

Virus entry into a host cell involves the binding of the virus to one or more cell-surface receptors, followed by entry into the cell. Many animal viruses exploit the endocytic machinery of their host cell for infection, and lipid rafts are often a site for entry, assembly and budding of microbial pathogens, as confirmed by biochemical approaches and microscopy evidence (Kovbasnjuk et al., 2001; Suomalainen, 2002; Lu et al., 2008).

For non-enveloped viruses, after the attachment to cell-surface receptors, the bound capsids are internalized, mostly by invagination of the plasma membrane and intracytoplasmic vesiculation. The involvement of lipid rafts in mediating this process has been described for several viruses, as reviewed by Chazal and Gerlier (2003). The most thoroughly studied of these is SV40 (simian virus 40). SV40 initiates infection by binding to the MHC class I molecules (Stang et al., 1997; Anderson et al., 1998; Duncan et al., 2002; Chazal and Gerlier, 2003). SV40 directly associates with caveolae, leading to a loss of actin stress fibres and the appearance of actin tails.

**Budding:** In virology, budding is a form of viral shedding by which enveloped viruses acquire their external envelope from the host-cell membrane, which bulges outwards and encloses the virion.
emanating from the virus containing caveolae (Duncan et al., 2002; Pelkmans et al., 2002). Moreover, caveolae transport SV40 particles to the ER (endoplasmic reticulum), where the virus is dis-assembled (Norkin et al., 2002; Chazal and Gerlier, 2003).

Both the polyoma virus and EV-1 (echovirus type 1) also directly associate with caveolae (Richterová et al., 2001; Marjomaki et al., 2002). The polyoma virus associates with caveolin-1 after entry, a possible association with ‘caveosomes’ and trafficking to the ER (Richterová et al., 2001). EV-1 is internalized into caveolae using the integrin α2β1 as cellular receptor. Studies have shown that EV-1, α2β1 integrin and caveolin-1 were internalized together in vesicular structures and accumulated in a perinuclear compartment (Marjomaki et al., 2002). Interestingly, it was shown (Richterová et al., 2001; Marjomaki et al., 2002) that the entry of the virus does not occur by endocytosis through the classic clathrin-coated compartment (Marjomaki et al., 2002). Interestingly, these authors observed that virus particles had merged with caveolin-1, and incubation with methyl-β-cyclodextrin inhibited the virus entry.

Enveloped viruses also use rafts during the internalization and fusion process. The entry of enveloped virus involves virus attachment, followed by close apposition of the virus and plasma membranes. Then the two membranes fuse to deliver the virus’ genomic RNA into the host cells, which requires conversion of the virus-encoded envelope glycoprotein (Env) from its native state to its fusion-activated version of the virus-encoded envelope glycoprotein (Fantini et al., 2002; Chazal and Gerlier, 2003; Smrit et al., 1999; Chazal and Gerlier, 2003), which are known to be abundant in lipid rafts (Pike, 2003). Other enveloped viruses enter the host cell using a pH-independent fusion process, as found for HIV. The HIV-1 Env is composed of two associated glycoprotein subunits, gp120 and gp41. The external gp120 is responsible for the attachment to the cellular receptors and co-receptors (chemokine receptor family member CCR5 and/or CXCR4), whereas the transmembrane protein gp41 is responsible for the fusion of viral envelope with the plasma membrane of the target CD4+ T-cells (Popik et al., 2002). Rafts are proposed to be the specific cell membrane regions in which these clustering events occur. It is important to point out that the entry of HIV-1 through rafts may direct the virus complex into a favourable compartment for a productive infection (Fantini et al., 2002; Chazal and Gerlier, 2003; Mañes et al., 2003; Luo et al., 2008). A recent study showed that HIV entry into macrophages is sensitive to membrane cholesterol depletion, which favours the hypothesis for a role of macrophage lipid rafts in the HIV-1 entry process (Carter et al., 2009).

The cellular receptor for the MLV, CAT1 (cationic amino acid transporter 1), is physically associated with caveolin in membrane rafts, and the disruption of rafts inhibits the early step of MLV infection, suggesting that the localization of the receptor within rafts is crucial for the virus entry (Lu and Silver, 2000).

It was already demonstrated that the penetration of filoviruses, such as Ebola virus and Marburg virus, is inhibited after cholesterol depletion of the host cell, and, after internalization, viral proteins co-localized with caveolin (Bavari et al., 2002; Empig and Goldsmith, 2002; Chazal and Gerlier, 2003).

(b) Assembly

The late stages of the viral life cycle are the assembly of viral components into virions, maturation into infectious particles, and, in the case of enveloped viruses, release from the cell via a budding process (Ivanchenko et al., 2009). Assembly and budding are the last, but critical, steps in the virus life cycle for the survival of the virus and its disease-producing ability in the host (Chen et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009). An explanation as to why viruses use lipid rafts...
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is that these structures offer an efficient system for concentrating all the virus proteins that are required for the assembly of new virions, as reviewed by Nayak et al. (2004).

HIV-1 is enclosed in a lipid envelope enriched in cholesterol and sphingolipids, suggesting specific membrane localization for assembly (Aloia et al., 1993; Campbell et al., 2001; Raulin, 2002). Recent studies have reported that rafts represent a necessary step during HIV-1 assembly. With similar methods of both assembly and budding within membrane rafts, many other viruses, including influenza virus, measles virus, Ebola virus and possibly Sendai virus, also use lipid rafts as assembly platforms (Luo et al., 2008). In this regard, it was also suggested that the RSV (respiratory syncytial virus) assembled within lipid rafts where viral proteins co-localize with caveolin-1 (Brown et al., 2002a, 2002b).

Although rafts are involved in virus assembly, we have to keep in mind that only a fraction of viral proteins are found associated with rafts; this could be due to the poor biochemical characterization of raft subsets or to the transient nature of the association.

(c) Budding

Whereas non-enveloped viruses are released from the infected cell by disruption of the plasma membrane, enveloped viruses contain a host-cell-derived lipid bilayer, which is acquired during budding (Garoff et al., 1998; Chazal and Gerlier, 2003). Membrane lipids are not randomly incorporated into the viral envelope. In addition, some authors suggest that viral glycoproteins determine the site of virus assembly and budding (Garoff and Simons 1974; Allison et al., 1995; Vennema et al., 1996; Bruss, 2004). On the other hand, in polarized epithelial cells, the viral glycoproteins contain sorting signals or motifs and are directed to the specific site where assembly and budding will occur (Nayak et al., 2004). Lipid rafts function as microdomains for concentrating viral glycoproteins and may serve as a platform for virus budding. The structures have the ability to regulate budding; however, the mechanism by which the lipid raft can favour the budding and/or fission process is as yet unknown (Nayak et al., 2004; Luo et al., 2008). The budding of new virions from the raft allows the exclusion or inclusion of specific host-cell membrane proteins in the virus particle, which could disrupt cellular and/or humoral immune responses to the virus (Vanderplasschen et al., 1998; Peterlin and Trono, 2003).

The lipid composition of the influenza virus family is due to affinity of the haemagglutinin and neuraminidase glycoproteins for these lipids, and some authors suggest that the influenza virus buds from raft domains (Chazal and Gerlier, 2003; Nayak et al., 2004).

Several reports suggest that HIV-1 buds from lipid rafts (Campbell et al., 2001; Fantini et al., 2002). HIV incorporates raft-associated complement regulatory proteins, which remain functionally active on the surface of the virus and down-regulate the complement cascade (Mañes et al., 2003; Peterlin and Trono, 2003).

After budding from the host cell, viruses are released into the surrounding medium to infect other cells. The mechanism of this bud completion is as yet unclear and a number of both viral and host factors may affect this process (Nayak et al., 2004; Luo et al., 2008).

Bacteria: taking advantage of host-cell membrane microdomains

Studies have been suggested that several bacteria interact with host lipid rafts to enter and survive inside the cell (Mañes et al., 2003; Hawkes and Mak, 2006). The mechanisms that underlie this interaction are starting to be unravelled. Activation of secretion, binding, perforation of the host-cell membrane and signalling to trigger bacterial phagocytosis are involved with components of membrane microdomains (Lafont and van der Goot, 2005). It was found that the polarity of epithelial cells and the involvement of CD55 are important in the interaction of bacteria with lipid rafts (Peiffer et al., 1998). Two advantages in bacteria invasion were postulated: (1) avoidance of the intracellular degradative pathway and (2) triggering of the cell signalling cascades that lead to membrane ruffling and cytoskeleton rearrangement (Mañes et al., 2003).

The avoidance of the host immune pathway after phagocytosis was developed by several microorganisms, mainly bacterial cells. Subversion of phagosome fusion with lysosome and presentation to immune system was observed in pathogens such as *Mycobacterium* and *Chlamydia*. Gatfield and Pieters (2000) showed for the first time the relevant role of
cholesterol for mycobacteria entry into macrophages. In addition, some bacteria hidden inside the cell took advantage of host lipids to generate phagosomes and survive inside them, such as *Brucella* spp. and *Legionella pneumophila* (Naroeni and Porte, 2002; Watarai et al., 2001, 2002). Besides, these parasites can hijack rafts, altering host-cell signalling, for example *Shigella flexneri* (Lafont et al., 2002). The interaction between pathogens and the host cell can also modulate other host features, such as cytoskeletal dynamics. In the case of *S. flexneri*, cholesterol removal decreased the binding of an effector protein, called IpaB, with host CD44, which is known to be involved in cytoskeleton-dependent signalling events (Hirao et al., 1996).

Seveau et al. (2004) demonstrated for the first time that the cell adhesion molecule, E-cadherin, and HGF-R (hepatocyte growth factor receptor) require host lipid rafts to mediate *Listeria monocytogenes* entry. It had already been reported by the same group that, in *L. monocytogenes*, two major proteins, internalin and InIB, mediate bacterial invasion into host and bind to E-cadherin and HGF-R respectively (Cossart et al., 2003).

*Salmonella*, *Shigella* and the entheropathogenic *Escherichia coli* have a common requirement for a T3SS (type III secretion system), which is a multicomponent molecular syringe that allows the translocation of so-called effector proteins from bacterial cytoplasm, through the inner and outer bacterial membrane, as well as the host plasma membrane, directly into cytoplasm (van der Goot et al., 2004; Lafont and van der Goot, 2005). Activation of this system requires contact with the host cell, and has effector proteins, named SipB and SipC for *Salmonella*, IpaB and IpaC for *Shigella* and PopB and PopC for *Pseudomonas*. Hayward et al. (2005) showed a new requirement for cholesterol, for which the main binding determinant was SipB/IpaB to host cells, and Lafont and van der Goot (2005) suggest that this must occur downstream of the T3SS activation.

The pathogenic bacterium *Brucella*, which causes brucellosis, can avoid bactericidal activity of macrophages triggering the cAMP/PKA (protein kinase A) pathway. This process occurs immediately after the first contact with the target cell (Jimenez de Bagues et al., 2005). Lipid-raft-associated molecules, such as GPI-anchored proteins, GMI gangliosides and cholesterol, were found selectively incorporated into macropinosomes containing *Brucella*. In contrast, the lysosomal glycoprotein LAMP-1 (lysosome-associated membrane protein 1) and the host-cell transmembrane protein CD44 were excluded from these macropinosomes (Watarai et al., 2002). Interestingly, it had already been demonstrated that *Brucella abortus* infection is related with PrPC (cellular PrP), one of the lipid raft-associated molecules on the plasma membrane of different cell types. In addition, Watarai et al. (2002, 2004) postulated that the signal transduction induced by the interaction between bacterial Hsp60 (heat-shock protein 60) and PrPC on macrophages contributes to the establishment of *B. abortus* infection. *Coxiella burnetti*, the causative agent of human acute and chronic Q fever, can be found in cholesterol-rich vacuoles with lipid-raft proteins, and also can modulate the cholesterol metabolism from the host cell (Howe and Heinzen, 2006). The importance of cholesterol in *C. burnetti* infection can be directly associated with its pathophysiology (Howe and Heinzen, 2006).

It was also suggested that pathogens and particles that bind to lipid-raft components may trigger the macrophage autophagic machinery (Amer et al., 2005). *L. pneumophila* and a uropathogenic *E. coli* can stimulate autophagosome formation, which contains both lipid rafts and autophagy-involved cell molecules. In addition, it was observed that internalization of pathogen and the autophagy stimulation are cholesterol sensitive and the pathogens harbouring in autophagosomes could avoid immediate killing (Amer et al., 2005). Components of lipid rafts do not appear to be essential for assembly of autophagosomes, but instead may affect a signal transduction pathway dedicated to host recognition of microbes, as suggested by Amer et al. (2005).

The specific subtype of microdomain, caveolae, also appeared to be directly involved in the interaction with bacteria (Duncan et al., 2002), such as *Chlamydia trachomatis* (Norkin et al., 2001), *E. coli* (Shin et al., 2000) and *Campylobacter jejuni* (Wooldridge et al., 1996). Among the *Chlamydiae*, depending on the serovar, or the species, one or both of the caveolin proteins (1 or 2) may play important roles in the developmental cycles (Stuart et al., 2003; Webley et al., 2004).

*Porphyromonas gingivalis* capitalizes on the lipid-raft structure to down-modulate innate defence mechanisms. Remarkably, this novel mechanism
Host-cell lipid rafts

employs host-cell signalling pathways through cross-talk between TLR2 (Toll-like receptor-2)/chemokine receptor 4 to attenuate the protective and bactericidal response to *P. gingivalis* infection (Darveau, 2009).

*Campylobacter enteritis*, regardless of its own invasiveness, promotes the translocation of the non-invasive bacteria *E. coli* across the intestinal epithelium via a lipid-raft-mediated transcellular process (Kalischuk et al., 2009).

Furthermore, bacterial toxins, such as cholera toxin, listeriolysin O and anthrax toxin, also target lipid domains (Orlandi and Fishman, 1998; Coconnier et al., 2000;Abrami et al., 2003). More recently, several pathogenic bacteria have been associated with lipid rafts, such as *Francisella tularensis* (Tamilselvam and Daehler, 2008), *Helicobacter pylori* (Lai et al., 2008), *P. s. gingivalis* (Hajishengallis et al., 2006;Wang and Hajishengallis, 2008) and *M. tuberculosis* (Shin et al., 2008), which are members of an ever increasing list as the years progress. Recently, Caserta et al. (2008) described evidence for the first time that *Clostridium perfringens* enterotoxin acts independently of lipid microdomains.

**Fungi: signalling modulation through host rafts**

The involvement of fungal infection with lipid rafts is not yet well explored; however, for mycopathogens, a modulation in host-cell signalling pathways has been reported, as described below. The invasion process of *Candida albicans* or *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* had already been associated with activation of host-cell tyrosine kinases (Belanger et al., 2002; Monteiro da Silva et al., 2007). The manipulation of signalling pathways, which involve the host-cell kinases, can lead to an efficient way to enter, proliferate and exit the host cell during the infectious cycle (Münter et al., 2006). Recently, Maza et al. (2008) investigated yeast forms of *P. brasiliensis* in the context of kinase signalling. It was observed that this pathogen promotes the aggregation of lipid rafts in epithelial cells, which is an important step to fungal adhesion and Src kinase family activation. Thereby, for the first time, it was shown that a pathogenic fungus can interact with host-cell membrane rafts to establish infection. *Encephalitozoon cuniculi*, a microsporidium parasite that affects the nervous system, as well as the respiratory and digestive tracts, resides in a parasitophorous vacuole surrounded by host-cell lipids, was labelled with DilC16 (1,1′-dihexadecyl-3,3′,3′,3′-tetramethylindocarbocyanine), a marker for lipid rafts, and DiO (3,3′-dilinoleoylcarboxyanine), a marker for non-raft membrane domains, which suggests that both contribute to the formation of the vacuole membrane (Rönnebäumer et al., 2008). This report points to an alternative method of fungal infection in host cells that is raft-independent.

**Protozoa: hiding with lipid rafts**

The protozoan invasion in the host cell occurs during specific stages of the pathogen life cycle. Intracellular entrance of these parasites does not depend on the endocytic machinery of the host cell, as in the case of bacteria and viruses. This can be explained due to the protozoan’s larger size (5–10 μm). As shown for other pathogens, the exploitation of host membrane microdomains by protozoa constitutes a crucial step for its maintenance, survival and modulation of host immune response (Mafes et al., 2003).

Members of the Apicomplexa group, such as *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Plasmodium falciparum*, the aetiological agents of toxoplasmosis and malaria disease respectively, are obligatory intracellular parasites and actively enter their target cells (Aikawa et al., 1978; Suss-Toby et al., 1996). Previous studies related that these parasites can interact with lipid rafts during the infection process, because parasitophorous vacuole membranes contain host raft lipids and proteins (Aikawa et al., 1978; Mordue et al., 1999; Lauer et al., 2000). This event shows that parasites might hijack or recruit these microdomains during infection. Furthermore, GPI-anchored proteins, such as CD55 and CD59, that are major inhibitors of membrane complement, are progressively depleted from the infected cell surface (Haldar et al., 2002). It was further demonstrated that host raft cholesterol is important to vacuolar parasites because, when cholesterol was depleted from *Plasmodium*-infected erythrocytes, the expulsion of non-infective parasites occurred (Lauer et al., 2000). In addition, cholesterol depletion from red blood cells prevents *P. falciparum* infection (Samuel et al., 2001). *Theileria parva*, another member of Apicomplexa, also interacts with host-cell rafts, with further regulation of host protein kinases (Dobbelaeere et al., 2000; Baumgartner et al., 2003).
Studies indicated that raft association might not be sufficient to shuttle membrane molecules past the moving junctions (tight constrictions formed between parasite and host cell), for example, caveolin-1 is excluded from the \emph{T. gondii} parasitophorous vacuole (Mordue et al., 1999; Coppens and Joiner, 2003). Flotillin-2, a raft protein anchored in plasma membrane by acylation, was also discarded from its parasitophorous vacuole (Charron and Sibley, 2004). It was demonstrated during \emph{T. gondii} infection that selective portioning at the host–parasite interface is a highly complex process and that the raft interaction can benefit the parasite inclusion into parasitophorous vacuoles (Charron and Sibley, 2004). On the other hand, it was observed that the association with membrane microdomains is not necessary to direct insertion of host–cell membrane molecules into \emph{T. gondii} parasitophorous vacuole (Charron and Sibley, 2004). Murphy et al. (2007) related that different remodelling and sorting may occur in distinct endo-vacuoles. In this case, primaquine was used to disturb red blood cell membranes and induce detergent-free vesicles, which are enriched in cholesterol, raft proteins (flotillin and stomatin) and PIP\textsubscript{2} (phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate). However, PIP\textsubscript{2} was abrogated of \emph{Plasmodium} parasitophorous vacuoles and another lipid was found, PS (phosphatidylserine). So, interestingly, erythrocyte raft lipid recruited to the site of invasion can be remodelled by malaria parasites to establish blood-stage infection. Protein and lipid distribution in the erythrocyte membrane may be more ordered than previously expected (Murphy et al., 2007).

It is known that there is a unique relationship between cholesterol and caveolae (caveolins), which is involved in cholesterol homeostasis. Therefore, caveolae become sensitive to cholesterol depletion and a cross-link between cholesterol and caveolin has been demonstrated (Murata et al., 1995; Razani et al., 2002). Cholesterol also appeared to be important in the infection by the trypanosomatid, \emph{Leishmania} (Pucadyil et al., 2004). This parasite can enter, survive and proliferate inside macrophages (Alexander and Russell, 1992). \emph{Leishmania donovani} is responsible for the visceral leishmaniasis (Parson et al., 1983), which is characterized by defective cell-mediated immunity (Basak et al., 1992; Saha et al., 1995; Sen et al., 2001). \emph{L. donovani} LPG (lipophosphoglycan) requires intact membrane rafts to control host-cell functions. It was reported that LPG associates with membrane rafts in the host cell and exerts its actions on host-cell actin and phagosomal maturation through subversion of raft function (Winberg et al., 2009). Macrophages infected with \emph{Leishmania} are unable to present, even processing-independent peptide sequences, to T-cells, and this event is not due to MHC expression (Prina et al., 1993). In this context, lipid rafts are also involved in the interaction between MHC and APC (Poloso and Roche, 2004). \emph{L. donovani} can affect antigen presentation of macrophages due to the increase in membrane fluidity, which leads to a lipid-raft disruption. Although the number of MHC complexes in infected cell surfaces was sufficient, there was no possibility of forming an aggregate and stimulating T-cells (Chakraborty et al., 2005).

**Host evolution: the other side of lipid rafts**

Over millions of years, hosts and pathogens co-evolved to improve their mechanisms of parasite elimination and maintenance of the infection respectively. As described above, pathogens can take advantage of lipid rafts for their own benefit. In the same way, the host membrane microdomains can trigger and enhance the immune response against microorganisms.

Studies of lipid rafts of mammals have described the interaction between TLRs and rafts. This family of receptors, which might be activated by PAMPs (pathogen-associated molecular patterns), such as gram-negative bacteria LPS (lipopolysaccharide), is important to pathogen recognition. However,
only a few members constitutively co-localize with membrane microdomains (Triantafilou et al., 2002). Besides, other TLRs can migrate to this specific region after activation. In the macrophage-like cell line RAW 264.7, for example, LPS stimulation induces translocation of CD14, ERK-2 (extracellular-signal-regulated kinase 2) and p38 to lipid rafts, but other proteins also involved in the LPS signalling response do not migrate within these microdomains (Triantafilou et al., 2007; Olsson and Sundler, 2006). In addition, Cuschieri et al. (2006) observed that when the human monocytic cell line THP-1 was stimulated with LPS, there was a mobilization of TLR4 and HSP70 into the lipid raft. Taken together, these examples show the importance of the aggregation of specific receptor molecules within lipid rafts facilitating the LPS signalling to favour the clearance of intracellular pathogens (Triantafilou et al., 2002). Other molecules are able to induce receptor migration into lipid rafts, for example, DAMPs (damage-associated molecular patterns). Extracellular ATP is a nucleotide which works as an important DAMP (Di Virgilio, 2005). P2 purinergic receptors, a family of nucleotide receptors, are involved with inflammatory responses (Burnstock and Knight, 2004; Bours et al., 2006; Burnstock, 2009) and the clearance of intracellular pathogens (Coutinho-Silva et al., 2007, 2009). Several motifs in P2X7 receptors have been identified that are homologous with those known to be involved in protein–protein interactions and LPS binding. It was suggested that the C-terminal region of the P2X7 receptor may directly associate with proteins and/or lipids that are important for regulating macrophage function (Denlinger et al., 2001). In addition, the activation of P2X7 receptors can induce ceramide generation and accumulation in macrophages (Raymond and Le Strunff, 2006), which is a sphingolipid implicated to be involved with the formation of larger rafts, so called signalling platforms (Gulbins et al., 2004).

Previous studies have already related that specific P2X and P2Y receptors can also be recruited towards membrane microdomain regions (Vacca et al., 2004; Bannas et al., 2005; Vial and Evans, 2005; García-Marcos et al., 2006; Barth et al., 2007; Norambuena et al., 2008). Recently, we observed that the disruption of lipid rafts can reduce P2X7-activated pore formation on dendritic cells and macrophages from humans and mice (F.S. Vieira and R. Coutinho-Silva, unpublished data). Thus it is possible to suggest that the integrated signals from P2 receptors and TLRs located on rafts might explain the synergic effects of these sensors on stimulation of the immune response (Hu et al., 1998; Perregaux et al., 2000; García-Marcos et al., 2009) (Figure 3).

Lipid rafts as targets for chemotherapy: two sides of the coin

Nowadays, several groups are studying and developing new treatment strategies for less harmful chemotherapeutic agents, especially those against viral infections. One of these strategies could be to block HIV-1 entry and its replication using natural dietary and plant-derived compounds that target lipid rafts, principally due to its affinity for cholesterol (Verma, 2009). Sakamoto et al. (2005) showed that a secondary fungal metabolite (NA255) acts as a new anti-HCV (hepatitis C virus) replication inhibitor that targets host lipid rafts, suggesting that the inhibition of sphingolipid metabolism may provide a new therapeutic strategy for treatment of HCV infection. In addition, a novel therapeutic strategy, considering the biochemistry of raft–pathogen interaction, called glycolipidomimetics, was proposed by Taïeb et al. (2004).

New concepts in the chemotherapy field interestingly reported the ability to specifically deliver therapeutic agents or drugs to selected cell types, thus minimizing systemic toxicity. This is the principal goal of nanoparticle-based drug-delivery approaches. It was reported by Partlow et al. (2008) that the predominant mechanism of direct delivery of lipophilic substances to the target cell plasma membrane acts via lipid mixing and subsequent intracellular trafficking through lipid-raft-dependent processes.

DAMPs: Damage-associated molecular pattern molecules can initiate and perpetuate the immune response in the non-infectious inflammatory response. They serve as a start signal.

P2X receptors: A family of cation-permeable ligand-gated ion channels that open in response to the binding of ATP.

P2Y receptors: P2Y receptors are a family of purinergic receptors, and are G-protein-coupled receptors stimulated by nucleotides, such as ATP, ADP, UTP, UDP and UDP-glucose.
Figure 3 | P2X7 receptor and TLR interaction with lipid rafts
(a) P2X7 receptor (P2X7R) and/or TLR activation, by ATP or PAMPs respectively, in non-raft membrane regions. (b) These receptors, when stimulated, migrate to lipid-raft domains. In addition, the P2X7R is involved with inflammatory immune response, as well as TLRs which are related to the initial signal to the immune response. Thus it is suggested that the action of both receptors, together within lipid rafts, can lead to a more intense immune response. MyD88, myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88.

Final considerations
Initially, the special regions of plasma membrane, so-called lipid rafts, were identified by their relative resistance to detergent extraction, namely DRM. However, several articles still refer to membrane microdomains as a DRM; this denomination should be used carefully, considering that this separation method is not completely reliable in unveiling these structures. There is an ongoing controversy regarding the nature and function of lipid rafts, because different experimental approaches have yielded different results. Meanwhile, these substantial experimental data in the literature have provided not only biochemical but also microscopical evidence for the close relationship between different pathogens and lipid rafts. Another important consideration is the now widely accepted view that lipid rafts may not be pre-existing domains, but dynamic membrane regions that can fuse to each other to form larger rafts or signalling platforms enriched in ceramide (Liu and Anderson, 1995; Holopainen et al., 1998; Bollinger et al., 2005; Plowman et al., 2005). This fusion of small pre-rafts may be induced in different cell types upon infection by different pathogens. Thus the association of a protein with lipid rafts during cell infection may be a unique event concerning this protein and rafts, which may mean that this association never occurs naturally. So upon the parasite adhesion, this protein is directed to the raft. Similarly, cholesterol is known to be involved in many different cellular functions, and not only the assembly and maintenance of lipid rafts, which leads us to propose that other cellular processes, rather than the disruption or disturbance of lipid rafts, may explain how some pathogens enter and survive within their hosts.

Taken together, the explanations above show us that each pathogen has developed its own strategies to maintain virulence and disseminate the disease.

Signalling platforms: Lipid rafts have been demonstrated to be aggregated in response to different stimuli. In addition, they play an important role in transmembrane signalling.
Host-cell lipid rafts

At present, lipid rafts have emerged as a safe entrance door to pathogens. Furthermore, host-cell raft lipids were seen to be recruited to the invasion loci which may be remodelled by parasites to help in the establishment of the infection. There are at least two major mechanisms involving the host lipid raft by which parasites gain entry to the host cytoplasm and are able to survive: (i) the avoidance of lysosomal fusion and posterior degradation; and (ii) the modulation of host-cell signalling pathways to its own benefits.

Each year the number of reports implicating new pathogens and their interactions with lipid rafts rapidly increases. New methods and techniques are also helping the researchers to identify, in a more precise way, the interaction of pathogens and lipid rafts. In this regard, biophysical approaches have been increasingly employed to better understand the aspects that are not yet fully elucidated regarding microdomains and pathogen association. In parallel, development of new drugs targeting host lipid rafts has been extensively studied, especially for viruses. However, many questions about the immune evasion and infection control yet remain to be answered and further studies are necessary to minimize the historical controversy about the nature and cell biology of the membrane lipid rafts.
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