The basic architecture of the voltage-dependent K\(^+\) channels (K\(_v\) channels) corresponds to a transmembrane protein core in which the permeation pore, the voltage-sensing components and the gating machinery (cytoplasmic facing gate and sensor–gate coupler) reside. Usually, large protein tails are attached to this core, hanging toward the inside of the cell. These cytoplasmic regions are essential for normal channel function and, due to their accessibility to the cytoplasmic environment, constitute obvious targets for cell-physiological control of channel behavior. Here we review the present knowledge about the molecular organization of these intracellular channel regions and their role in both setting and controlling K\(_v\) voltage-dependent gating properties. This includes the influence that they exert on K\(_v\) rapid/N-type inactivation and on activation/deactivation gating of Shaker-like and eag-type K\(_v\) channels. Some illustrative examples about the relevance of these cytoplasmic domains determining the possibilities for modulation of K\(_v\) channel gating by cellular components are also considered.
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Ion channels are integral membrane proteins allowing for the passive passage of specific inorganic ions across cell membranes, propelled by their electrochemical gradients. Voltage-dependent or voltage-gated channels are proteins in which some parts of the molecule change their conformation in response to alterations of the electric field within the membrane (i.e., the transmembrane voltage), shifting the conformational equilibrium back and forth from closed to open (and inactivated) states (Figure 1; for an overview see Hille, 1992; Coetze et al., 1999; Swartz, 2004, 2008; Yu et al., 2005; Ashcroft, 2006; Bezanilla, 2008). Inactivation is a process by which an open channel enters a stable non-conducting state after a maintained depolarizing change in membrane potential.

Voltage-dependent potassium channels (K\(_v\) channels; Gutman et al., 2005) belong to a family of proteins (Figure 2) characterized by the presence of a pore-forming subunit with a six transmembrane segment (S1–S6) topology in which the last two segments, linked by a pore loop, constitute the ion-permeation pore. The voltage-sensing domain is formed by the S1–S4 segments, in which S4 contains a high density of positively charged residues and is the main transmembrane voltage-sensing component (Yellen, 1998, 2002; Swartz, 2004, 2008; Yu et al., 2005; Ashcroft, 2006; Bezanilla, 2008). Aside from the possible presence of accessory subunits (see below), in the K\(_v\) channels four pore subunits form a tetrameric structure surrounding a central conduction pathway (Figure 2). This oligomeric organization is also shared by many other members of the family (not all of them activated by voltage) including cyclic nucleotide-activated channels, hyperpolarization-activated cation channels, Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated K\(^+\) channels, and TRP channels. Voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^+\) channels share this overall organization, but instead contain four similar repeats with six transmembrane segments in a single polypeptide, mimicking the K\(_v\) tetramers (Yellen, 1998, 2002; Swartz, 2004, 2008; Yu et al., 2005; Ashcroft, 2006; Bezanilla, 2008).

At least three functional elements are found in the K\(_v\) channels: an ion conduction pore in which the ionic selectivity resides, a voltage sensor that detects changes in the electric transmembrane field, subsequently coupling its conformational states to the operation of the gate(s) (i.e., to channel gating), and one or more gates that open and close in response to voltage. Three possible gates have been recognized in K\(_v\) channels (Yellen, 1998, 2002): (i) an activation gate located at the cytoplasmic face of the permeation pore at the end of the S6 transmembrane helix, (ii) a pore or selectivity filter gate at the level of the selectivity filter itself, and (iii) an inactivation gate capable of plugging the pore from the cytoplasmic face. The first two gating mechanisms are linked to conformational rearrangements of the voltage sensors, providing the activation and C-type inactivation properties to the K\(_v\) channels, and the third mechanism confers the rapid/N-type inactivation behavior (Figure 1).

Selectivity filters, voltage sensors, and most gating elements of the K\(_v\) channels are located within the transmembranal portion of the channel core, except for the cytoplasmic gates themselves and the sensor–gate coupler(s) (e.g., the S4–S5 linker) that are located at the cytoplasmic channel surface and therefore can also be considered cytoplasmic (Figure 2). Whereas this basic architecture pertains to the whole voltage-dependent cation channel superfAMILY, additional elements exist in the form of intracellular domains and/or accessory subunits, able to strongly influence the gating properties (Minor, 2001; Varshney and Mathew, 2003; Roosild et al., 2004) and thus regulate channel functionality, either directly or in response to exogenous modulators. The contribution...
of additional accessory β-subunits to channel behavior (reviewed in Li et al., 2006; Bett and Rasmusson, 2008; Pongs and Schwarz, 2010; Vacher and Trimmer, 2011) will not be considered here. In order to review the current knowledge about the participation of cytoplasmic structures in the gating behavior of Kv channels, we will consider first the structural organization of these channel domains. Then, using well studied examples (e.g., Shaker-like and eag channels), we will focus on the role of cytoplasmic regions in determining channel gating properties. Recent data about the interactions between the N- and C-terminal cytoplasmic regions and/or between them and components of the gating machinery in the channel core (e.g., the S4–S5 linker or the gate) will also be considered. Finally, we will review some cases illustrating the modulation of gating properties through signal transduction elements such as calmodulin or protein kinases and/or phosphatases.

**STRUCTURAL ORGANIZATION OF THE Kv CHANNEL CYTOPLASMIC DOMAINS**

The tridimensional structure of the transmembrane core of some Kv channels has been solved at high resolution (Jian et al., 2003; Long et al., 2005a, 2007). Given the overall identity and primary structure conservation of these protein segments, it is reasonable to assume that all of the Kv family members would share a common arrangement in these regions. However, solving the high-resolution structure of a complete Kv channel still remains a major challenge and the tridimensional structure and/or complete architecture of the cytoplasmic domains of the Kv channels are unknown. In fact, these regions show far more divergence than the rest of the molecule does, even among closely related channels. Nevertheless, specific regions of these domains have been structurally characterized using a “divide and conquer” approach (Gaudet, 2009), thus providing partial information to be joined together and integrated with biochemical and electrophysiological data to yield a better picture of the channel organization on a domain by domain basis (Biggin et al., 2000). This approach has led to the proposal of two general assemblies for the Kv channel cytoplasmic components (Figure 3; Yellen, 2002): (a) The pattern typically exhibited by the Kv1–Kv4 cluster (Yu et al., 2005; Pischalknikova and Sokolova, 2009), in which, hanging centrally below the transmembranal core there is an N-terminal T1 “tetramerization domain” that determines, among other functional characteristics, the specificity of subunit assembly. (b) The pattern shared by the Kv7 and Kv10–Kv12 channels, characterized by the absence of a T1 domain and the presence of a C-terminal domain located centrally below the transmembrane channel core. Interestingly, this second overall organization also pertains to other relatives from the voltage-gated ion channel superfamily (Gutman et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2005), including those gated coordinately by voltage and ligand binding (such as the hyperpolarization-activated and cyclic
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Barros et al. Cytoplasmic domains in Kv channel gating and those gated exclusively by intracellular ligands [cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG) and SK/KCa2]. Besides these “cytoplasmic cores,” a panoply of protein domains and motifs (Figure 4) can be present in the cytoplasmic regions that, in addition to some accessory subunits, add diversification in structure, function, and regulation to the different channel subfamilies and even to different members of the same subfamily.

Examples of cytoplasmic domains for which the tridimensional structure has been solved, include the cited T1 domain (Kreusch et al., 1998; Bixby et al., 1999; Gulbis et al., 2000; Minor et al., 2000; Scannevin et al., 2004; Long et al., 2005a; Pioletti et al., 2006), the distal N-terminus inactivation structures from Kv3.4, ShakerB, and Kv1.4 (Antz et al., 1997; Schott et al., 1998; Wissmann et al., 2003), the N-terminal PAS domain and its initial distal segments from Kv1.1 (Morais-Cabral et al., 1998; Li et al., 2010; Muskett et al., 2011; Ng et al., 2011), and the C-terminal coiled-coil segment from Kv7.4 and Kv7.1 (Howard et al., 2007; Wiener et al., 2008). It is also interesting to note the current availability of high-resolution tridimensional structures for the C-terminal C-linker/cNBD regions of the Kv-related HCN and CNG channels (Zagotta et al., 2003; Schünke et al., 2011), providing a valuable reference for homologous regions present in some Kv channels such as those of the eag family.

Additionally, several regions putatively involved in different aspects of channel behavior other than gating (e.g., oligomerization and assembly, trafficking, surface expression, protein–protein interactions, modulation, or drug–channel interactions) have been recognized in the Kv cytoplasmic channel regions (Figure 4: Hopkins et al., 1994; Xu et al., 1995; Ponce et al., 1997; Kupershmidt et al., 1998; Schulteis et al., 1998; Bentley et al., 1999; Cukovic e et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2001; Leung et al., 2003; Kunjilwar et al., 2004; Callsen et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2010), that in many cases have not been associated to a known tridimensional structure. Finally, the availability of low-resolution images for some voltage-dependent K+ channels yields interesting possibilities to fit with partial X-ray data, and provides significant new insights into the overall architecture of the channel molecule (Sokolova et al., 2001, 2003; Orlova et al., 2003; Parcej and Eckhardt-Strelau, 2003; Kim et al., 2004; Adair et al., 2008; Pischalnikova and Sokolova, 2009). | www.frontiersin.org March 2012 | Volume 3 | Article 49 | 3

**ROLE OF CYTOPLASMIC DOMAINS IN INACTIVATION GATING**

A pioneering indication that some cytoplasmic region(s) could participate in the control of gating behavior was provided by analyzing the process of inactivation. Thus, the specific abolition of voltage-dependent Na+ current inactivation by intracellular treatment with proteolytic enzymes (Armstrong et al., 1973) subsequently led to the proposal of a “ball-and-chain” mechanism as the determinant of this inactivation process (Armstrong and Bezanilla, 1977). However, a better molecular explanation of this mechanism, in which a cytoplasmic blocking particle tethered to the channel protein (the “ball,” see Figures 1 and 4) binds rapidly to the pore and plugs it after the activation gate opens, was obtained in 1990 by studying the fast/N-type-inactivation of the Kv-type Shaker K+ channel of Drosophila. Thus, deletion of the first ≈20 amino acids of the amino terminus eliminated rapid inactivation (Hoshi et al., 1990), whereas a soluble peptide containing that sequence restored inactivation in a concentration-dependent manner (Zagotta et al., 1990). It was subsequently observed that the soluble peptide (or N-terminal inactivation domain) competes with intracellularly applied channel blockers (e.g., quaternary amines, Choi et al., 1991), that it is expelled to the cytoplasm by potassium flow from the extracellular side of the membrane (Demo and Yellen, 1991), and that the inactivation process traps the channel in an open-inactivated state that prevents it from closing, making the recovery of inactivation typically via the open state...
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FIGURE 4 | Schematic view of different structural and/or functional domains recognized at the cytoplasmic ends of Kv channels. In the amino terminus these include the ball-like structure responsible for fast N-type inactivation, the eag/PAS domain of the eag-like channels, the NIP domain that protects Kv1.6 channels against rapid inactivation, the secondary inactivation domain reported for Kv1.4 channels, the double SH3 binding domain of Kv1.5, and the T1 tetramerization domain (also called NAB) of the Kv1–Kv4 channels. In the carboxy terminus the domains shown correspond to the C-linker and chNBD encountered in the eag-like channels, the localization domain and the C-terminal activation (CTA) domain of Kv2.1 and the post-synaptic density protein (PSD-95)-binding domain of some Kv1 channels. A more detailed view of the Kv7 channels carboxy terminus organization is shown schematically in Figure 6. For more explanations, see text. Note that not all the depicted domains pertain to the same Kv channel.

(Demo and Yellen, 1991; Ruppersberg et al., 1991). Moreover, the inactivation domain was shown to interact with a binding site in the pore cavity, which overlaps with the binding site for quaternary amine blockers (Zhou et al., 2001; Gonzalez et al., 2011).

Some aspects of the ball-and-chain inactivation mechanism are worthy of further consideration, since the structural arrangements of the “chain” are not as clear as those of the “ball.” Thus, shortening the length of the Shaker chain accelerated inactivation rates (Hoshi et al., 1990) as if this region were acting as a flexible linker to tether the ball to the channel. However, some Kv1.4 deletions that reduce the length of the “chain” not only do not increase the rate of inactivation, but actually slow inactivation as if the specific structure of this region could affect the movement and/or orientation of the inactivating particle (Rasmusson et al., 1998). It has also been suggested that rather than being a simple tether, this “chain” region may act in concert with other cytoplasmic structures to orientate and constrain the movement of the inactivation particle (Isacoff et al., 1991; Jern and Covarrubias, 1997; Sankaranarayanan et al., 2005; Baker et al., 2006). In this sense, it has been proposed that interactions of the Kv1.1 T1 domain with the more distal N-terminal structures play an important role in defining the strength of the ball-triggered N-type inactivation (Baker et al., 2006) and it has also been recognized that ball interaction(s) with the T1 domain and the S4–S5 linker seem to be crucial for proper development of the fast/N-type inactivation (Isacoff et al., 1991; Holmgren et al., 1996; Baker et al., 2006). Thus, in contrast with the well defined position of the ball plugging the conduction pathway inside the pore to inactivate the channel, the resting position of the chain segments and their dynamic rearrangements during the closed to open and inactive transitions are not well known. There is indirect evidence supporting the interpretation that these structures might wrap around the scaffold provided by the T1 tetramer hanging below the channel core (Figure 3A), so that upon depolarization they would snake through the windows lined by the S1–T1 linkers to reach a pocket on the upper T1 domain surface and subsequently the channel cavity below the selectivity filter (Gulbis et al., 2000; Koberitz et al., 2000; Zhou et al., 2001; Baker et al., 2006). However, it has also been proposed that the ball-and-chain combination is confined to the space between the T1 domain and the transmembrane portion of the channel (Varhsney et al., 2004).

In addition to the ball, a second inactivating domain with the ability to endow the channel with rapid inactivation has been identified in a more proximal part of the N-terminus of some Kv channels (e.g., Kv1.4; Kondoh et al., 1997; Höllerer-Beitz et al., 1999; Wissmann et al., 2003), and an N-type inactivation-prevention (NIP) domain (Figure 4) has been mapped close to the Kv1.6 N-terminal end (Roepers et al., 1998). As an alternative to the ball and length-dependent chain mechanism, a fast inactivation mechanism has been proposed for Kv1.4, based on electrostatic interactions between oppositely charged N-terminal segments and between the positively charged inactivation ball and acidic residues of the T1–S1 linker, that shorten the distance between the inactivating particle and its binding site, helping to orientate the ball...
and causing the channel to inactivate more efficiently (Fan et al., 2012).

It is important to mention that even though a similar architecture is maintained around the gating machinery, the functional effects of the cytoplasmic domains can vary among channels even belonging to the same subfamily. This is the case of the fast inactivating A-type Kv4 channels, in which the existence of a N-type inactivation mechanism and a ball-like inactivating peptide at the N-terminus have been recognized (Gebauer et al., 2004), although it seems to play a minor role since the Kv4 most prominent inactivation is based in a temporary loss of coupling between the voltage sensor and the cytoplasmic gate (Barghaan et al., 2008; Barghaan and Bähring, 2009). Remarkably, the development of Kv4 inactivation is determined by the presence of amphipathic N-terminal sequences and a positively charged domain at the C-terminus, that may interact with each other (Jern and Covarrubias, 1997; Hatano et al., 2004).

As indicated above (see Figure 1), collapsing of the selectivity filter during depolarization steps can lead to a second type of inactivation named “C-type inactivation” (or “selectivity filter gating”; Wang et al., 2011). Recent data from some prototypical K+ channels (e.g., KcsA and Kir) have provided a structural basis for allosteric coupling between activation at the level of the internal membrane surface and this type of inactivation at the channel selectivity filter, and about the influence exerted on them by intracellular domains (Uysal et al., 2011; Clarke et al., 2010; Cuello et al., 2010; Zhou and Jan, 2010). This yields some new ideas about how the structural modifications in the cytosolic domains can be allosterically transmitted to the intracellular gate and even to more distant gating elements in the channel core. It is important to note that selectivity filter gating not only pertains to KcsA and Kir voltage-independent K+ channels containing exclusively a pore domain (Norton and Gulbis, 2010), but also to a wide range of Kv channels, to other highly selective K+ channels such as SK and BKCa, and to non-selective cation channels (e.g., CNG channels) related to the Kv family (Brüning-Wright et al., 2007; Cox and Hoshi, 2011; Wang et al., 2011) in which the gating motions around the S6 bundle cross and hence the coupled selectivity filter rearrangements, can also be influenced by conformational changes in the cytoplasmic domains.

**IMPLICATION OF CYTOPLASMIC DOMAINS IN ACTIVATION/DEACTIVATION GATING OF T1-CONTAINING KV CHANNELS**

The Kv channel's activation gate is located at the intracellular end of the pore at the C-terminus of the S6 transmembrane segment (Armstrong, 1971; Holmgren et al., 1997, 1998; Liu et al., 1997; Perozo et al., 1999; del Camino et al., 2006; del Camino and Yellen, 2001; Hackos et al., 2002; Webster et al., 2004; Wynia-Smith et al., 2008). Although other contacts and interactions between different domains could be involved (Ding and Horn, 2003; Li et al., 2008; Labro et al., 2011), coupling of the voltage sensor movement to operation of the gate mainly involves the S4–S5 linker which seems to act as a structural link, influencing gate dynamics via physical interaction(s) with the intracellular end of S6 and/or the cytoplasmic “C-linker” region (Figure 4) following it (Lu et al., 2002; Tristani-Firouzi et al., 2002; Ding and Horn, 2003; Long et al., 2005b; Ferrer et al., 2006; Boulet et al., 2007; Labro et al., 2008, 2011; Nishizawa and Nishizawa, 2009; Choveau et al., 2011). Interestingly, a similar coupling via S4–S5 linker interaction with the cytoplasmic end of S6 has been proposed for HCN and KAT1 channels (Chen et al., 2001; Decher et al., 2004; Prole and Yellen, 2006), that show an overall structure very similar to that of Kv channels, but in which opening is triggered by membrane hyperpolarization rather than depolarization (Männikkö et al., 2002; Latorre et al., 2003; Vemana et al., 2004; Grabe et al., 2007). This opposite polarity of gating is perhaps achieved by a different binding of the S4–S5 linker to the extreme of S6 only in the open state whereas in the Kv channels this interaction takes place in the closed state (Choveau et al., 2011).

Since the gate and the sensor–gate coupler (i.e., the S4–S5 linker) locate at the cytoplasmic channel surface, these structures become accessible targets for modulation from the cytoplasmic milieu, providing attractive means of channel activity regulation not only by amino- and /or carboxy-located channel domains but also by soluble products of signal transduction cascades. One example of channel gating modulation by cytoplasmic domains is that of Kv2.1. Early work with Kv2.1 indicated that the activation gating could be strongly affected by the cytoplasmic amino and carboxyl terminal (VanDongen et al., 1990), and the influence of the channel amino terminus in voltage-dependent gating and modulation has been subsequently confirmed (Pascual et al., 1997). It has been demonstrated that interactions between the N- and C-terminal regions of Kv2.1 lacking auxiliary β subunits determine its activation properties, trafficking, and phosphorylation-dependent modulation (Ju et al., 2003; Scholle et al., 2004; Mohapatra et al., 2008), in a way that resembles the interaction between the α and the auxiliary β subunits in other Kv channels (Mohapatra et al., 2008). Another case is that of Kv1.2, in which an interaction between the C-terminus and the S4–S5 linker of a neighboring subunit which is able to regulate channel activation has also been suggested (Zhao et al., 2009). It has also been reported that the polar T1 surfaces of the mammalian Kv1.2 and the *Aplysia* Kv1.1 channels play a key role in the conformational changes that lead to channel opening (Cashman et al., 2000; Minor et al., 2000). More recently, use of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) microscopy combined with voltage-clamp recording has allowed the detection of conformational rearrangements in the relative orientation of Kv1.2 N- and C-termini upon depolarization (Kobrinsky et al., 2006; Ivov et al., 2009), a mechanism that could be related to gating regulation and that may also pertain to other Kv channels. Interestingly, similar gating-related motions of the N- and C-termini have been detected in Kv7.1 and the CNGA1 channel using an equivalent approach (Taraska and Zagotta, 2007; Haitin et al., 2009), although both channels belong to the subgroup characterized by the absence of the T1 domain (see above). Finally, in the case of Kv4 channels, it has been demonstrated that the T1–T1 interface is functionally active and dynamic during gating (Wang et al., 2005) and, based on work with Kv4.1 channels, it has been concluded that the complex voltage-dependent gating rearrangements of eukaryotic Kv channels are not limited to the membrane-spanning core, but must include the intracellular T1–T1 interface (Wang and Covarrubias, 2006).
CYTOPLASMIC DOMAINS AND ACTIVATION/DEACTIVATION GATING OF eag-TYPE Kv CHANNELS

An interesting contribution to the topic of gating control by cytoplasmic domains is provided by members of the eag family, that comprises the Kv10–Kv12 subfamilies (Warnke and Ganetzky, 1994). Overall sequence similarity between eag- and Shaker-type Kv1–Kv4 channels is quite low except for the pore region, but a much higher degree of homology is found between eag-type channels and CNG, HCN, and inwardly rectifying plant K^+ channels (Anderson et al., 1992; Sentenac et al., 1992; Warnke and Ganetzky, 1994; Yu et al., 2005).

Kv10 (ether-á-go-go or eag) channels are involved in setting the membrane potential in several cell types and appear to be related to processes like cell-cycle, proliferation, and tumor progression (Felipe et al., 2006; Arcangeli et al., 2009; Wulff et al., 2009; Asher et al., 2010). Several studies have demonstrated the involvement of cytoplasmic domains in the control of eag channel gating. Thus, it has been observed that the most distal region and the PAS domain at the N-terminus of the eag channels are involved in determining gating kinetics (Terlau et al., 1997; Stevens et al., 2009; Wray, 2009), and that alterations in eag gating following truncation of the initial portion of the N-terminus can be compensated by mutation of the voltage sensor S4 segment (Terlau et al., 1997). It has also been shown that the voltage dependence of eag currents is not determined solely by the membrane-spanning domains (Lörinczi et al., 2009), and that the N- and C-terminal regions could be involved in controlling a gating step after movement of the voltage sensor, as well as in regulating biophysical properties of the channel (Li et al., 2011). Also, voltage-dependent gating characteristics of plant KAT1 channels seem to be influenced by the N- and C-termini of the protein (Marten and Hoshi, 1997, 1998).

The relationship between cytoplasmic structures and gating properties has been exhaustively investigated in the Kv11.1 (human ether-á-go-go-related gene or hERG) channel, a remarkable entity both from the pathophysiological and the biophysical points of view. hERG mediates the cardiac delayed rectifier potassium current I_Kr (Sanguinetti et al., 1995; Trudeau et al., 1995) and mutations in the hERG gene and drug inhibition of hERG channels underlie inherited and acquired type 2 long-QT syndrome (Sanguinetti et al., 1995; Viskin, 1999; Keating and Sanguinetti, 2001; Redfern et al., 2002; Thomas et al., 2003; Finlayson et al., 2004; Roden and Viswanathan, 2005; Goldenberg and Moss, 2008). On the other hand, hERG channels play a key role in setting the electrical behavior of a variety of non-cardiac cell types (Barros et al., 1994, 1997; Bauer et al., 1999; Schäfer et al., 1999; Cherubini et al., 2000; Emni et al., 2000; Overholt et al., 2000; Rosati et al., 2000; Arcangeli et al., 2009). These physiological roles of hERG derive from its unusual gating properties, characterized by slow activation kinetics and a very fast inactivation on depolarization. Thus, hERG currents increase during repolarization due to a fast recovery from inactivation followed by a much slower deactivation for which the channels remain open for longer periods of time at negative voltages, giving rise to the typical hERG tail currents. In the case of the heart, this contributes to the repolarization of the cardiac action potential and to the prevention of arrhythmias induced by early after-depolarizations or ectopic beats (Smith et al., 1996; Lu et al., 2001).

As for other voltage-gated potassium channels, voltage sensors and gating elements have been mapped to the transmembrane core of hERG (Smith and Yellen, 2002; Liu et al., 2003; Piper et al., 2003; Subbiah et al., 2004, 2005; Zhang et al., 2004, 2005; Saennen et al., 2006). In the case of hERG a volume larger than the core region hangs toward the cytoplasm since the cytoplasmic regions account for around 80% of the channel protein (Figure 5), but details about the structural architecture of these extensive regions are still lacking (Miranda et al., 2008). What has been clearly demonstrated is that the distinctive gating properties of hERG are strongly influenced by some cytoplasmic protein domains. Thus, the conserved eag N-terminal domain at the beginning of the hERG N-terminus and the exclusive proximal domain following it up to the S1 segment (Figure 5) were identified as important determinants of the remarkably slow deactivation and activation gating kinetics, respectively (Schönherr and Heinemann, 1996; Spector et al., 1996; Morais-Cabral et al., 1998; Wang et al., 1998; Chen et al., 1999; Vilotria et al., 2000; Aydar and Palmer, 2001; Alonso-Ron et al., 2008; Gustina and Trudeau, 2009; Ng et al., 2011). In these studies, it has been repeatedly demonstrated that the N-terminal eag domain determines the slow hERG deactivation, since channels with particular deletions of the amino terminus, or with point mutations in the eag domain, show rapid deactivation kinetics. The fact that short deletions at the beginning of the N-terminus mimic the effect of more extensive amino terminal removal, points to the initial segment of the eag domain (residues 1–26) as the essential regulator of hERG deactivation gating (Wang et al., 1998, 2000; Ng et al., 2011).

Functional reconstitution of mutant channels with a recombinant eag N-terminal fragment, combined with TIRF–FRET spectroscopy, have recently been used to provide some additional insights into the regulation of hERG activation (Gustina and Trudeau, 2009; Fernández-Trillo et al., 2011). Thus, it has been recognized that normal slow deactivation gating involves an interaction between the initial N-terminal flexible segment (Figure 5B; Li et al., 2016; Muskett et al., 2011; Ng et al., 2011) and the gating machinery, likely at the level of the S4–S5 linker (Fernández-Trillo et al., 2011). Indeed, it has been shown that physical proximity exists between that unstructured and flexible segment of the hERG amino terminus and the N-terminal portion of the S4–S5 linker (De la Peña et al., 2011), further supporting this interpretation. In this context, the PAS sub-domain from the hERG eag domain and the amphipathic α-helix (residues 13–23) could act as a scaffold and a spacer helping to correctly orientate the initial flexible tail of the amino end (residues 2–9) toward the S4–S5 linker to modulate hERG gating (De la Peña et al., 2011; Fernández-Trillo et al., 2011; Ng et al., 2011). Additionally, the extensive contacts of these structures with the top and side surfaces of the C-linker/cNBD domains, that hang centrally below the pore domain in this type of channel (Figures 3B and 5B), could help to allosterically modulate the operation of the cytoplasmic gate at the C-terminus of the S6 segment, which is directly attached to the C-linker (Muskett et al., 2011; Gustina and Trudeau, 2011). In fact, the involvement of some residues of the C-linker/cNBD regions of the hERG carboxy terminus in the control of deactivation gating through their interaction with the N-terminal-most region has also been proposed (Al-Owais et al., 2009; Kolbe et al., 2010; Muskett et al., 2011).
On the other hand, it has also been demonstrated that the hERG proximal domain (Figure 5) plays an important role in the activation channel properties and their modulation by hormones (Viloria et al., 2000; Gómez-Varela et al., 2002, 2003; Alonso-Ron et al., 2008, 2009). A small cluster of basic residues within this proximal domain close to the S1 segment has been subsequently recognized as a determinant of the hERG activation behavior through an electrostatic influence on the gating machinery (Saenen et al., 2006). However, although the modulation of hERG activation gating by a G protein-coupled hormone receptor is impaired after deletion of the proximal domain, it is observed in the absence of the cluster of basic residues (Gómez-Varela et al., 2003; Alonso-Ron et al., 2009). Interestingly, kinetic measurements of hERG activation under steady-state conditions, indicated that the initial region of the eag domain also affects the activation behavior (Alonso-Ron et al., 2008), suggesting that an interaction of this region with the channel core may also be involved in the modifications of gating caused by the deletion of the proximal domain (Viloria et al., 2000; Alonso-Ron et al., 2008). Nevertheless, the exact regions of the gating machinery at which the regulatory effects on activation are exerted remain to be established, although the bottom part of S4, the S4–S5 linker, the C-terminal portion of S6 and the C-linker/cNBD regions have been considered as possible candidates (Viloria et al., 2000; Tristani-Firouzi et al., 2002; Saenen et al., 2006; Alonso-Ron et al., 2008; De la Peña et al., 2011; Gustina and Trudeau, 2011).

Therefore, the long cytoplasmic domains of hERG exert important contributions to its unusual gating properties, supporting the interpretation that physical interactions between cytoplasmic domains and/or between them and the transmembrane channel core may also constitute an essential component of the gating machinery in other Kv channels.

ROLE OF CYTOPLASMIC Kv DOMAINS IN MODULATION OF CHANNEL GATING BY SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION ELEMENTS

Due to their accessibility to inner cell components, the cytoplasmic domains of Kv channels are obvious targets for cell-physiological control of channel function. Some illustrative cases are the regulation of Kv1.1 inactivation by concurrent direct binding of syntaxin and G protein βγ subunits (Michaelovsky et al., 2002), the inhibition of human Kv10.1 channels by Ca/calmodulin binding to the N- and C-terminal structures (Ziechner et al., 2006),
the dependence of Kv11.1 rERG hormonal modulation on the presence of the N-terminal splicing variant erg1b (Kirchberger et al., 2006), and the modulation of Kv2.1 gating by binding of the SNARE complex components to the cytoplasmic channel tails (He et al., 2006). Also, two paradigmatic examples, the calmodulin modulation of Kv7 channels via their C-terminus, and the widespread regulation of channel function by protein phosphorylation, are considered next.

Kv7 (KCNQ) channels are a subfamily of Kv channels of great physiological importance in the kidney, gastro-intestinal tract, brain, and heart. In fact, there are several mutations in human Kv7 genes that lead to cardiac and neurological disorders such as long-QT syndrome and neonatal epilepsy (reviewed in Ashcroft, 2006; Haitin and Attali, 2008; Maljevic et al., 2010). Kv7 channels exhibit, as a distinctive feature, a C-terminus much longer than that of other Kv channels, which is critical for Kv7 assembly and trafficking, and also gating (reviewed in Haitin and Attali, 2008), and thus some Kv7 associated channelopathies involve mutations or alterations in this domain (Wen and Levitan, 2002; Haitin and Attali, 2008; Zheng et al., 2010). The Kv7 C-terminus contains several structural motifs including coiled-coils, calmodulin-binding structures, and basic amino acid clusters (Figure 6). Also, calmodulin interacts with the C-terminus of Kv7.1–Kv7.5 (Yus-Najera et al., 2002; Haitin and Attali, 2008), an association that has also been demonstrated by TIRF/FRET techniques to take place in living mammalian cells (Bal et al., 2008). Although calmodulin can be constitutively tethered to Kv7 channels both in the absence or presence of Ca²⁺ (Wen and Levitan, 2002; Shahidullah et al., 2005), these interactions are likely to have Ca²⁺-dependent and Ca²⁺-independent components (Bal et al., 2008; Alaimo et al., 2009). Thus, it has been proposed that the calmodulin-binding module at the Kv7 C-terminus is endowed with a dual regulatory function: a Ca²⁺ sensor function that affects gating, and a second role in folding and trafficking mediated by a binding site with high affinity for calmodulin (Haitin and Attali, 2008). Interestingly, the calmodulin-binding module at the Kv7 C-termini seems to physically and functionally overlap with a phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂)-binding site, allowing a more complex and sophisticated modulation of channel properties (Haitin and Attali, 2008; Hernandez et al., 2008; Bal et al., 2010). Thus, in Kv7.2/Kv7.3 heteromers that mediate the neuronal "M current," channel activity is enhanced by PIP₂ and suppressed by Ca²⁺/calmodulin (Gamer and Shapiiro, 2003, 2007; Zhang et al., 2003; Gamer et al., 2005; Suh and Hille, 2005; Hernandez et al., 2008). In contrast, both PIP₂ and Ca²⁺/calmodulin seem to activate Kv7.1 channels mediating the cardiac Iₖ,C current (Loussouarn et al., 2003; Shamgar et al., 2006). Remarkably, disruption of Ca²⁺/calmodulin binding to Kv7.2 is associated with neonatal epilepsy (Etxeberria et al., 2007; Haitin and Attali, 2008), and mutations impairing PIP₂ or calmodulin binding to Kv7.1 lead to certain forms of cardiac long-QT syndrome (Park et al., 2005; Ghosh et al., 2006; Shamgar et al., 2006).

Phosphorylation constitutes the most common covalent post-translational modification in eukaryotes (Cohen, 2001) and it can be an important modulatory mechanism for ion channel function, since the presence of phosphorylation targets for one or more kinases potentially allows for sensitive, dynamic, and reversible tuning of the structural and functional status of the protein (Levitan, 1994; Jonas and Kaczmarek, 1996; Park et al., 2008; Cerda and Trimmer, 2010). The exposure of the cytoplasmic domains to the internal cell environment makes them suitable molecular targets for phosphorylation-mediated modulation of channel activity. They can also act as sites of interaction with modulatory partners able to recruit targeting proteins such as phosphatases and kinases leading to the phosphorylation-dependent regulation of gating (Kass et al., 2003). Among those motifs, a C-terminally located leucine zipper is involved in recruiting, via an adapter protein, a signaling complex including protein kinase A (PKA) and protein phosphatase 1, that are required for β-adrenergic receptor modulation of cardiac Kv7.1 (Marx et al., 2002). Indeed, several phosphorylated residues located in the N- and C-termini have been recognized as targets for regulation of Kv gating properties. Thus, the C-terminal Kv2.1 cytoplasmic domain can act as an autonomous domain sufficient to transfer Kv2.1-like clustering, voltage-dependent activation, and cholinergic modulation to diverse Kv channels (Mohapatra and Trimmer, 2006), and direct phosphorylation of its distal end alters channel voltage-dependent activation (Murakoshi et al., 1997). Interestingly, differential phosphorylation at a specific subset of sites, instead of the utilization of cell-specific phosphorylation sites, can explain differences in gating properties of Kv2.1 in different cell types under basal conditions, and in the same cell type under basal versus stimulated conditions (Park et al., 2007). Some other cases of gating regulation by phosphorylation of cytoplasmic domains have been less studied but are also illustrative: (i) Tyrosine kinase-dependent suppression of Kv1.2 by phosphorylation of an N-terminal residue has been observed, and antagonization of this effect by recruiting a protein tyrosine phosphatase to the N- and C-termini of the channel has also been shown (Huang et al., 1993; Tsaï et al., 1999). (ii) Inactivation gating parameters of Shaker and Kv3.4 channels have been reported to be modulated by PKA-dependent phosphorylation of the C-terminus and protein kinase C (PKC)-dependent phosphorylation of the N-terminal inactivation particle, respectively (Covarrubias et al., 1994; Drain et al., 1994; Beck et al., 1998; Antz et al., 1999). (iii) It is known that some N- and C-terminal Kv4.2 residues can be phosphorylated by PKA and MAPK, respectively, and that some cross-talk between these phosphorylation loci can exist (Adams et al., 2000; Anderson et al., 2000; Schrader et al., 2009), but the functional consequences of such an interaction still remain obscure. (iv) Ca/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II phosphorylates C-terminally located sites of Drosophila eag channels and modulates their function (Whang et al., 2002). (v) It has been shown that phosphorylation of the S4–S5 linker of neuronal KCNQ (Kv7) channels inhibits them (Surti et al., 2005). (vi) The state of phosphorylation of the Kv1.1 C-terminus regulates the extent of inactivation conferred by the Kvβ1.1 subunit through a mechanism related to the interaction of the q6 channel complex with the microfilaments via a PSD-like protein (Jing et al., 1997). All these data constitute overwhelming evidence that phosphorylation of cytoplasmic domains is a widespread mechanism of reversible gating modulation in Kv channels.

A promising addition to the study of channel modulation by phosphorylation is the use of phosphoproteomic approaches to identify the channel target residues of the phosphorylation events
FIGURE 6 | Schematic representation of the carboxy-terminal tail structures and/or interaction sites present in Kv7 channels. The four helical regions (helices A–D) conserved in all family members are shown as cylinders. Formation of coiled-coil assemblies at the level of helices C and D is indicated. The proposed location of the conserved interaction sites with calmodulin (CaM) and phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2), with A-kinase anchoring protein 79/150 (AKAP79/150) in Kv72, with the auxiliary β subunit KCNE1 in Kv71, with AKAP-Yotiao in Kv71, with ankyrin-G in Kv72–Kv73 and with ubiquitin-protein ligase Nedd4-2 in Kv71 and Kv72–Kv73, are also shown. Phosphorylation sites by Src kinase in helix A and by protein kinase C in helix B are indicated by an encircled P.
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(reviewed in Cerda and Trimmer, 2010; Cerda et al., 2010; Baek et al., 2011). This method has allowed identification of N- and C-terminal phosphositess on α subunits of Kv1.1–Kv1.6, Kv2.1–Kv2.2, Kv3.1–Kv3.4, Kv4.1–Kv4.3, and Kv7.2–Kv7.5, although the functional significance of most of these phosphositess has not yet been determined. This aspect is quite relevant, since even though a phosphorylation mechanism may be involved in channel regulation, it is possible that the phosphate modification does not take place in the channel protein itself (Vacher and Trimmer, 2011). On the other hand, an agonist-dependent change in the basal phosphorylation level of the channel molecule may not cause a concomitant modification of the gating or other channel propertess. An illustrative case of some of these concerns is provided by the hormonal modulation of the Kv11.1 (ERG) channel gating. Thus, early studies about the mechanism of ERG channels inhibition by thyrotropin-releasing hormone in adenhypophysial cells, pointed to a phosphorylation event as determinant of the hormonal induced response (Delgado et al., 1992; Barros et al., 1993, 1998). Further work demonstrated that the cytoplasmic N-terminal proximal domain was required for hormonal modulation of hERG gating (Gómez-Varela et al., 2003). Subsequently, it has been proposed that the PKC-dependent modulation of hERG is exerted either independently of direct phosphorylation of the channel protein itself in Xenopus oocytes (Thomas et al., 2003, 2004), or via a direct phosphorylation of the pore forming hERG subunits in mammalian cells (Cockerill et al., 2007). In this sense, the possibility that the cell types differentially supply molecular components of the signaling pathways involved in the channel modulation (Miranda et al., 2005), should also be considered. Interestingly, a direct phosphorylation of the hERG cytoplasmic domains by PKA is involved in the regulation triggered by activation of β-adrenergic receptors (Thomas et al., 1999; Cui et al., 2000, 2001; Kiehn, 2000; Kagan et al., 2002; Karle et al., 2002), and some signaling cross-talk between PKC and PKA cannot be excluded.

In summary, either by directly affecting the gating machinery or by influencing it in response to cellular modulators, Kv cytoplasmic regions constitute important regulators of voltage-dependent channel gating. Unfortunately, the striking advances characterizing the tridimensional structures of some channel core constituents have not been paralleled by similar success regarding the architecture of the cytoplasmic regions. Whereas physical interactions between the cytoplasmic domains and/or between them and the transmembranal channel core clearly constitute essential components of the gating machinery, details about dynamic conformational rearrangements in these regions during channel functionality remain mostly unknown. Combining structural studies with other fluorimetric, spectroscopic, and biophysical functional approaches could provide a better understanding of the dynamic steps connecting the discrete conformations resolved in the crystallographic structures.
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