Development of Efficient Processing System for Young Coconut Husk
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Abstract: A processing system for young coconut husk was developed to cater abandoned young coconut husk in the coconut industry. This was a new invention as an alternative to the current coconut husk extractor in the coconut industry. There were several machines developed; 1) chopping machine, 2) young coconut husk extractor, 3) conveyor system, and 4) rotary screener. This processing system was introduced to ensure the abandoned young coconut husk can be extracted into fiber and cocopeat. The evaluation results showed that the average machine capacity of the young coconut husk extractor was 350.79 kg/hour with the average weight ratio of unfiltered cocopeat and fiber produced at 70% and 30% respectively. The assessment of quality for fiber and cocopeat was done by using rotary screener that was equipped with 16 mesh nets. The result of cocopeat was 2.8% in the extracted fiber after extraction and filtration. Based on the analysis, cost of operation was RM 3.80/hr or equal to RM 668.70/month for the entire processing system. Total monthly income for machines owner was RM 52,923.30 and the ROI was 88.21%. The results showed that the processing system was able to process the abandoned young coconut husk into fiber and cocopeat. Performance evaluation of each machine should be carried out in the future research to identify machines efficiency and durability.
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1. Introduction

Coconut was grown in more than 90 countries. In Malaysia, it was the fourth important crops in terms of acreage after oil palm, rubber and paddy (Christoper, 2018). The coconut
industry has significant socio-economic implications as it provides the source of revenues and employment to households. In addition, it supports a number of vibrant small and medium coconut-based processing industries and exporters.

In Malaysia, 95% of coconut growers were smallholders with an average yield of 5,966 kg coconuts/hectares in 2016. Coconut production was increased from 2016 to 2018 at 504,773 MT to 538,685 MT (Jabatan Pertanian Semenanjung Malaysia, 2018). The demand for young coconuts have begun to emerge with government initiatives, especially the Ministry of Agriculture in the 1990s through the encouragement of drinking young coconut water (Mohd Rashid et al., 2016). Young coconuts can be defined as at an immature stage, contains mainly water and a little jelly-like meat instead of the hard white flesh found in mature coconuts. The young coconut which was just as a non-commercialized beverage has turned out to be a very popular beverage among community. The higher market price and high market demand have attracted farmers to grow coconut.

Based on the report by Mohd Hafizudin et al. (2016) showed that 46.0% of consumers preferred Pandan varieties and 12.3% followed by Matag. Another 24% did not show a tendency to young coconut variety. A total of 32.12 million young coconuts per month were estimated to fulfill the demand based on the results of the study.

The increase in demand for young coconut has contributed to the increase in residual products such as coconut husk. Based on production in 2018, as much as 44% of coconut husk is unutilized and disposed in open field (Tafsir & Mohd Hafizudin, 2018). There was no systematic disposal methods of coconut husk as they were thrown and burned openly and it can lead to pollution (Refs). The development of processing system for young coconut husk, to process the husk into value-added products such as cocopeat and fiber could overcome this pollution. This cocopeat can be sold at RM 7/bag or RM 1.40/kg while fiber can be sold at RM 1.20/kg (Mohd Zaffrie et al. 2018). There were several usages of cocopeat in the industry such as planting media in fertigation system while coir fiber can be processed into bed, pillow, car cushion, and dashboard.

Evaluation of the developed young coconut husk extractor and rotary screener had been carried out in previous research to identify its performance. It was able to improve the efficiency, quality and production of cocopeat and fiber. The capacity of the young coconut husk extractor was up to 514.9 kg/hour with the average weight ratio of unfiltered cocopeat and fiber produced at 65.4% and 34.6% respectively meanwhile the results of cocopeat quality evaluation have found only 5.7% fiber contained in the cocopeat mixture after extraction and filtration (Wan Mohd Aznan et al. 2019).

This paper explained the improvement of the system by developing all machineries involved in the processing line of young coconut husk extractions into fiber and cocopeat. The function of operation each machine was described clearly and cost of operation was explained in detail.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Machines Description and Operation

All machines were developed and fabricated at Engineering Research Center, MARDI Serdang, Selangor. The fabricated processing line of young coconut husk was placed at Engineering Research Center Workshop, MARDI Serdang.

2.1.1. Chopping machine

A chopping machine as shown in Figure 1 was developed to cut the young husk into three pieces. It was developed to make sure all materials fed into young coconut husk extractor were standard in sizes. The chopping machine comprised of a 1.5 kW single phase electric motor, belt and pulley, two 12-inch blades, and a pusher drive. The pusher drive was designed to push and lock the young coconut husk into the rotating blades to cut it into three pieces. The rotating blades were powered by the electric motor.

![Chopping machine](image1)
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**Figure 1.** Chopping machine consist of: (a) Two blades; (b) Pusher drive.

2.1.2. Conveyor 1

A conveyor 1 as shown in Figure 2 was designed to transport the young coconut husk from the chopping machine to the young coconut husk extractor. The conveyor system was powered by a 0.75 kW electric motor and it was equipped with several partitions in the conveyor belt.
2.1.3. Young coconut husk extractor

A young coconut husk extractor as shown Figure 3 was designed to extract the young coconut husk into cocopeat and fiber. It was powered by a 6.8 kW diesel engine at 3000 rpm. It consists of two main function sections which were extraction mechanism and scraper mechanism. Both mechanisms were driven by the engine via belting mechanism. The extraction mechanism comprises of spike, cylinder drum, combing devices, filtering rods and fin plate whereas the scraper mechanism was installed under the filtering rod to smoothen the extraction operation by preventing the clogging of cocopeat. The scraper mechanism had been designed to operate continuously at speed of 0.03 m/s through mechanical concepts. It was equipped with components such as speed reducer, chain and sprocket.
2.1.4. Conveyor 2

A conveyor 2 as shown in Figure 4 was designed to transport the cocopeat to the collection area. The conveyor system was powered by a 0.75 kW electric motor.

![Conveyor 2](image1.jpg)

**Figure 4.** Conveyor 2

2.1.5. Conveyor 3

A conveyor 3 as shown in Figure 5 was designed to transport the fiber from the coconut husk extractor to the rotary screener. The conveyor system was powered by a 0.75 kW electric motor and it was equipped with several partitions in the conveyor belt.

![Conveyor 3](image2.jpg)

**Figure 5.** Conveyor 3.

2.1.6. Rotary screener

A rotary screener as shown in Figure 6 was designed to do filtering process of fiber and cocopeat. It was powered by a 0.75 kW electric motor and equipped with a 16 mesh of a plastic net.
Figure 6. Rotary screener (Wan Mohd Aznan et al. 2019).

Figure 7 showed an efficient processing system for young coconut husk extractor that had been developed to produce better quality of cocopeat and fiber. It consists of chopping machine, conveyor 1, young coconut husk extractor, conveyor 2, conveyor 3 and rotary screener.

Figure 7. Efficient processing system for young coconut husk.

2.2. Sample Preparation

Performance assessment has been carried out on the machines and quality of the products produced which were cocopeat and fiber. The young coconut husk used in this machine evaluation was obtained from young coconut water stalls around Bandar Baru Bangi, Selangor. The experiment was done in MARDI Serdang, Selangor. The sample was dried up under open sunlight for a week before feeding into the extractor.
2.3. Extraction Process of Cocopeat and Fiber from Young Coconut Husk

Operation of young coconut husk processing was repeated 20 times to determine the actual processing capacity of the machine. A total of 500 kg of young coconut husk was used for the extraction process. The outputs from the extractor were discharged and collected at different outlet paths. Data such as the weight of the young coconut fiber, cocopeat, and fiber produced and the processing period were recorded for calculation of machine capacity and gross product ratio. The machine capacity was calculated by using the following formula:

\[
\text{Machine Capacity (kg/h)} = \frac{\text{Total Weight of Material (kg)}}{\text{Duration of Processing (h)}}
\]  

(1)

Meanwhile, the gross product ratio was calculated using the following equations:

\[
\text{Percentage of gross cocopeat weight (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of gross cocopeat (kg)}}{\text{Weight of gross cocopeat and fiber (kg)}} \times 100
\]  

(2)

\[
\text{Percentage of gross fiber} = \frac{\text{Weight of gross fiber (kg)}}{\text{Weight of gross cocopeat and fiber (kg)}} \times 100
\]  

(3)

Moisture content of the young coconut husk and cocopeat was also recorded as a reference. Determination of moisture content for these materials was performed by using moisture balance AND MX-50. A total of 1 g sample was used for each assessment at a temperature of 105°C (Mani et al. 2004). Five samples for each material were used to perform this evaluation.

2.4. Quality Evaluation of Cocopeat from Young Coconut Husk

Quality evaluation of cocopeat produced via young coconut husk processing machine was performed for 5 samples to measure its product ratio. Cocopeat quality control was necessary to prevent the excessive content of fiber in the cocopeat mixture.

Total weight of filtered cocopeat and fiber were recorded for calculation of the weight ratio. Then, the cocopeat mixture that was filtered by using rotary screeners was further analyzed in the laboratory by using a multi-layer shaker to determine the percentage of fiber that was still contained. The weight of fiber obtained from the analysis was recorded for computation of cocopeat and fiber ratio in ready-to-sell products. The percentage of the cocopeat and fiber is calculated using the following formula:
\[ \text{Percentage of cocopeat weight (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of filtered cocopeat (kg)}}{\text{Weight of filtered cocopeat and fiber (kg)}} \times 100 \] (4)

\[ \text{Percentage of fiber weight (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of filtered fiber (kg)}}{\text{Weight of filtered cocopeat and fiber (kg)}} \times 100 \] (5)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Machine Capacity of Young Coconut Husk Extractor

Figure 8 showed results of machine capacity analysis for the young coconut husk processing machine. In average, the young coconut extractor was able to process 350.79 kg/h of the husk.
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**Figure 8.** Machine capacity for young coconut husk extractor.

The factor that influenced this trend was young coconut husk moisture content. The moisture content of the collected young coconut husk varied from 70\% to 90\% due to the exposure of the material to the environment within a certain period of time before processing. Direct exposures to the sunlight and small cutting size have contributed to faster drying rates and reduced the moisture content of the husk (Onwuka & Nwachukwu, 2013).

Figure 9 showed the result of gross product ratio for fiber and cocopeat that was extracted by the machine. The average result has indicated that the extracted husk contained 146.5 kg (30\%) fiber and 350.5 kg (70\%) cocopeat.
3.2. Percentage of cocopeat in fiber

The fiber from the extractor was filtered by using the rotary screener to extract the remaining cocopeat in the fiber. Results of the screening process are shown in Table 1. The average value of cocopeat in the fiber was 2.8% only and the picture of fiber before screening process was displayed in Figure 10.

Table 1. Results of product ratio for extracted fiber after filtered via rotary screener.

| Sample | Extracted fiber weight (kg) | Weight of cocopeat in fiber (kg) | % cocopeat in fiber | % fiber |
|--------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| 1      | 8.5                         | 0.2                              | 2.4                 | 97.6   |
| 2      | 8                           | 0.19                             | 2.4                 | 97.6   |
| 3      | 6                           | 0.18                             | 3.0                 | 97.0   |
| 4      | 6.5                         | 0.19                             | 2.9                 | 97.1   |
| 5      | 8                           | 0.24                             | 3.0                 | 97.0   |
| 6      | 7                           | 0.23                             | 3.3                 | 96.7   |
| 7      | 8                           | 0.22                             | 2.8                 | 97.3   |
| 8      | 8                           | 0.25                             | 3.1                 | 96.9   |
| 9      | 7                           | 0.23                             | 3.3                 | 96.7   |
| 10     | 6                           | 0.16                             | 2.7                 | 97.3   |
| 11     | 5.5                         | 0.17                             | 3.1                 | 96.9   |
| 12     | 8.5                         | 0.2                              | 2.4                 | 97.6   |
| 13     | 6.5                         | 0.18                             | 2.8                 | 97.2   |
| 14     | 9                           | 0.27                             | 3.0                 | 97.0   |
| 15     | 6.5                         | 0.17                             | 2.6                 | 97.4   |
| 16     | 7.5                         | 0.18                             | 2.4                 | 97.6   |
| 17     | 8.5                         | 0.19                             | 2.2                 | 97.8   |

Figure 9. Result of product ratio of fiber and cocopeat after extraction process.
| Sample | Extracted fiber weight (kg) | Weight of cocopeat in fiber (kg) | % cocopeat in fiber | % fiber |
|--------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| 18     | 7.5                         | 0.2                              | 2.7                 | 97.3   |
| 19     | 7.5                         | 0.25                             | 3.3                 | 96.7   |
| 20     | 6.5                         | 0.19                             | 2.9                 | 97.1   |
| Average |                             |                                  | **2.8**             | **97.2** |

Figure 10. Extracted fiber before screening process.

3.3. Cost of operation

The power consumption and cost of operation were calculated based on tariff provided by local supplier as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Tariff rates for the domestic consumer.

| Tariff A-Domestic Tariff | Unit | Rates (sen) |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|
| For the first 200 kWh (1-200 kWh) per month | sen/kWh | 21.8 |
| For the next 100 kWh (201-300 kWh) per month | sen/kWh | 33.40 |
| For the next 300 kWh (301-600 kWh) per month | sen/kWh | 51.60 |
| For the next 300 kWh (601-900 kWh) per month | sen/kWh | 54.60 |
| For the next kWh (901 kWh onwards) per month | sen/kWh | 57.10 |

The minimum monthly charge is RM 3.00

(Source: Tenaga Nasional Berhad, 2014)

Table 3 showed operation cost of the processing system for young coconut husk extractor for a month (22 days operation for 8 hours/day). The operation cost was quite cheap which was RM 668.70/month.
Table 3. Operation cost of the processing system for young coconut husk extractor.

| Machine                        | Power, (kW) | Tariff (RM/kW jam) or SFC (diesel) | Operation (hr) | Operation cost/day | Operation cost/month |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Chopping Machine               | 1.5         | 0.218                               | 8              | RM 2.62            | RM 57.55             |
| Young coconut husk extractor (diesel) | 6.8      | RM 2.82                             | 8              | RM 22.55           | RM 496.04            |
| Rotary screener                | 0.75        | 0.218                               | 8              | RM 1.31            | RM 28.78             |
| Conveyor 1                     | 0.75        | 0.218                               | 8              | RM 1.31            | RM 28.78             |
| Conveyor 2                     | 0.75        | 0.218                               | 8              | RM 1.31            | RM 28.78             |
| Conveyor 3                     | 0.75        | 0.218                               | 8              | RM 1.31            | RM 28.78             |
| **Total**                      |             |                                     |                | **RM 30.40**       | **RM 668.70**        |

3.4. Machine investment cost and profit gained

Table 4 showed a processing system for young coconut husk early cost for each machine. Total cost to set up all the machines was RM 60,000.

Table 4. Machine early cost.

| Machine                        | Price (RM) |
|--------------------------------|------------|
| Chopping Machine               | 11,000     |
| Conveyor 1                     | 5000       |
| Young coconut husk extractor    | 25,000     |
| Conveyor 2                     | 5000       |
| Conveyor 3                     | 5000       |
| Rotary screener                | 9,000      |
| **TOTAL**                      | **60,000** |

Table 5 showed profit gain in a month by considering this processing system produce 350 kg/h of fiber and cocopeat. Material cost was RM 0.20/kg while considering wage for workers RM 0.20/kg for fiber and RM 0.30/kg for cocopeat. Total monthly income for machines owner was RM 52,923.30.

Table 5. Net income for machine owner.

|                        | Fiber      | Cocopeat  | Monthly (RM) |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Monthly sales (RM)     | 22,176.00  | 60,368.00 | 82,544.00    |
| Raw material cost (kg) | 61,600.00  |           | (12,320.00)  |
| Machines operation cost| (668.70)   |           |              |
| Wage for workers       | 3,696.00   | 12,936.00 | (16,632.00)  |
| **Nett income**        | **RM 52,923.30** |          |              |

Return of investment (ROI) for this processing system was 88.21% which was very high and it was good to invest on these machines.
4. Conclusion

Development of young coconut husk processing machine was necessary to enhance the agro-waste to value added product and to avoid environmental pollution from the abandoned young coconut husk. This machine was capable to process 350.79 kg/h coconut husk in average which contains 70% of cocopeat and 30% of fiber. Utilization of rotary screener has improved the extraction by 2.8% cocopeat contains in the fiber.

The ROI was high and it was very good for farmers or entrepreneurs to take this technology. Therefore, processing of the young coconut husk by using these entire processing machines developed by MARDI was capable of producing quality value-added products and gain high income to farmers or entrepreneurs. For further research, the input material for the drying process can be improved instead of sunlight drying to reduce time consumption.
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