Bronchoscopically delivered microwave ablation in an *in vivo* porcine lung model
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Percutaneous microwave ablation is clinically used for inoperable lung tumour treatment. Delivery of microwave ablation applicators to tumour sites within lung parenchyma under virtual bronchoscopy guidance may enable ablation with reduced risk of pneumothorax, providing a minimally invasive treatment of early-stage tumours, which are increasingly detected with computed tomography (CT) screening. The objective of this study was to integrate a custom microwave ablation platform, incorporating a flexible applicator, with a clinically established virtual bronchoscopy guidance system, and to assess technical feasibility for safely creating localised thermal ablations in porcine lungs *in vivo*.

**Methods:** Pre-ablation CTs of normal pigs were acquired to create a virtual model of the lungs, including airways and significant blood vessels. Virtual bronchoscopy-guided microwave ablation procedures were performed with 24–32 W power (at the applicator distal tip) delivered for 5–10 mins. A total of eight ablations were performed in three pigs. Post-treatment CT images were acquired to assess the extent of damage and ablation zones were further evaluated with viability stains and histopathologic analysis.

**Results:** The flexible microwave applicators were delivered to ablation sites within lung parenchyma 5–24 mm from the airway wall via a tunnel created under virtual bronchoscopy guidance. No pneumothorax or significant airway bleeding was observed. The ablation short axis observed on gross pathology ranged 16.5–23.5 mm and 14–26 mm on CT imaging.

**Conclusion:** We have demonstrated the technical feasibility for safely delivering microwave ablation in the lung parenchyma under virtual bronchoscopic guidance in an *in vivo* porcine lung model.
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Introduction

While curative surgical resection [1] remains the gold standard treatment for patients with early-stage lung cancer, only about 30% of patients are surgical candidates due to comorbid disease, cardiopulmonary function, or tumour location within the lung [2, 3]. Alternatives to surgery are chemotherapy, stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT), or percutaneous thermal ablation modalities. SBRT is the most widely used; while recent studies have demonstrated good local control with SBRT, some patients are ineligible due to prior ionising radiation exposure or tumour location within the lung [4]. Several thermal ablation modalities (radiofrequency (RF) ablation, microwave ablation (MWA), cryoablation) have been applied clinically with needle-based applicators inserted into the lung via an image-guided transcutaneous approach. A recent multi-centre prospective study reported similar 2-year overall survival rate for patients with stage IA nonsmall cell lung cancer treated with SBRT and percutaneous MWA [5]. Unlike SBRT, thermal ablation does not employ ionising radiation and thus has a limited toxicity burden, and is amenable to repeat procedures [6, 7]. While RF ablation is currently the most common thermal ablation modality [7], MWA offers several advantages for treating lung tumours: microwaves can propagate through aerated lung and charred tissue that may form around the applicator, enabling use of simplified energy-delivery algorithms; and provide higher heating rates over a broader tissue area, and can thus more readily overcome heat sinks due to blood perfusion, airflow in large airways, and ventilation [8].

Although percutaneous thermal ablation approaches have shown promise in clinical use, a significant complication is the risk of pneumothorax [9]. An experimental study in a porcine model demonstrated that the creation of an iatrogenic fistula extending from the ablation zone to the pleural space is the likely cause of pneumothorax after percutaneous ablation [10]. Bronchoscopic transparenchymal nodule approaches [11] provide safe access to centrally located lung targets and may reduce the risk of pneumothoraces, when compared to percutaneous approaches, as has been demonstrated during biopsy procedures [12, 13].

We have recently reported on the development of a flexible, water-cooled MWA catheter for thermal ablation of lung tumours [14]. The objective of the present study was to integrate the MWA catheter and energy-delivery system with a clinically established bronchoscopic treatment planning, guidance, and navigation platform, and to assess the technical feasibility of performing ablation with this system in an in vivo nonsurvival porcine lung model. The extents of the ablation zone were assessed with post-ablation computed tomography (CT) imaging and viability staining, and characteristics of the ablation zone were assessed following histopathologic analysis.

Methods

Bronchoscopic microwave ablation system

We used a custom 1.1 m long, 1.8 mm outer diameter, water-cooled MWA catheter (figure 1), adapted from the design previously described by Pfannenstiel et al. [14]. Microwave power at 2.45 GHz was coupled to the catheter distal tip via a flexible coaxial cable. Due to cable attenuation, approximately 52% of the power applied at the catheter input was delivered to the distal tip. Chilled water was circulated through the catheter to remove waste heat due to attenuation within the coaxial cable. An antenna that radiates microwaves into surrounding tissue was formed at the distal tip with a balun to restrict radiation along the applicator axis.

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00146-2020)
The MWA catheter was delivered to diverse sites within porcine lung through a flexible bronchoscope (Olympus BF 1T160) with guidance provided by the Archimedes treatment planning and navigation system. Microwave power was supplied by a solid-state 2.45 GHz generator (GMS 200 W, SAIREM). The generator was connected to the catheter via 10 ft of RG-393 cable, with an inline power meter (Bird 7022). A peristaltic pump (Masterflex L/S7015-20) was used to circulate chilled water at 4 °C through the catheter. The integrated system for bronchoscopic ablation is illustrated in figure 2, with virtual bronchoscopy navigation using the Archimedes total lung access platform (Broncus Medical, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA.) [12, 15].

Animals and anaesthesia
All experiments were conducted under a protocol approved by Kansas State University’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Bronchoscopy-guided MWA was performed in domestic female pigs (n=3; weight: 45–50 kg). Planning CT images were acquired 1–4 days prior to the MWA procedures to generate a virtual airway and blood vessel map using the Archimedes virtual bronchoscopy system. For both the imaging and ablation procedures, anaesthesia was induced with Telazol (4.4 mg·kg⁻¹, intramuscular) and xylazine (2.2 mg·kg⁻¹, intramuscular) and followed with atropine sulfate (0.05 mg·kg⁻¹, intramuscular) to aid intubation. Anaesthesia was maintained by isoflurane at 1.5–3.0% and oxygen. During ablation procedures, two pigs were allowed to breathe spontaneously; one pig was ventilated by intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (I:E ratio 1:2, respiratory rate 16–20/min, tidal volume 60–90 mL) to maintain end-tidal CO₂ (PETO₂) <55 mmHg. Pigs were instrumented for continuous monitoring of ECG, PETO₂, arterial oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry (SPO₂) and respiratory rate. After completion of ablation procedures and post-procedure imaging, animals were euthanised under anaesthesia with 10 mL pentobarbital (390 mg·mL⁻¹) administered intravenously; euthanasia was administered within 60 min of the last ablation performed in each animal.

Virtual bronchoscopy-guided microwave ablation procedures
Pre-procedural CT images (GE Brightspeed, 16 slices, 120 kV, 240–300 mA, 0.625 mm slice thickness) of inflated lungs were acquired with anesthetised pigs positioned in a dorsal recumbency to facilitate treatment planning for virtual bronchoscopy using the Archimedes system. Pigs were rapidly, manually

FIGURE 2 System for bronchoscopic delivery of microwave ablation. Components include: flexible microwave catheter; microwave generator and pump for catheter cooling; Archimedes treatment planning, guidance and navigation system.
ventilated for approximately 15 breaths to induce apnoea and maintained at a positive end-expiratory pressure of 12 cm H₂O during image acquisition. Airways and blood vessels were automatically segmented within Archimedes, and used to create a virtual map of the vasculature and airways within the porcine lungs. Virtual ablation targets were positioned at diverse lung sites as shown in figure 3. The Archimedes system proposes a path through the airways to guide the bronchoscope to a point of entry (POE) into the parenchyma. Starting from this POE, a path is proposed by which the bronchoscopist can create a tunnel to access the target using the Archimedes Airway Access Kit, while minimising the risk of traversing blood vessels [12, 15].

Bronchoscopy-guided MWA procedures were carried out by a board-certified veterinary surgeon (W.L.B.) 1–4 days following pre-treatment imaging. Pigs were orotracheally intubated and positioned in dorsal recumbency. An Olympus BF-1T160 bronchoscope was passed into the trachea via the lumen of the endotracheal tube. A 90-cm flexible sheath with stylet was inserted into the biopsy channel of the bronchoscope and positioned at the tip of the bronchoscope. As the present study was conducted in normal porcine lung without tumours, ablation sites were chosen in plausible tumour locations within diverse lung lobes, including sites in proximity to heatsinks such as large airways and vessels. For each target, the bronchoscopist used the Archimedes navigation to guide the bronchoscope to the POE identified during treatment planning. An 18-gauge flexible guarded needle (FlexNeedle) was advanced through the sheath’s lumen until visible in the endoscopic field. The needle was deployed and used to puncture the airway into the lung parenchyma, and the sheath was then advanced over the needle until it entered the parenchyma. The FlexNeedle was withdrawn from the sheath and replaced by the stylet. The flexible sheath was advanced into the parenchyma to the ablation target site under Archimedes virtual bronchoscopy and fluoroscopy guidance. The stylet was then removed and replaced by the ablation catheter. Proper positioning of the ablation catheter was confirmed by real-time fused fluoroscopy [16] (see figure 4).

After confirming microwave applicator positioning, chilled water was circulated through the catheter and microwave power was applied. Two ablative doses were considered: 30–32 W for 5 min (n=4), and 24 W for 10 min (n=4). These power levels refer to power delivered to the distal tip of the catheter, and correspond to 40 W and 60 W, respectively, at the catheter’s proximal end. A maximum of n=4 ablations were performed in each pig.

Characterisation of ablation zone extents
Post-procedure CT scans were taken before and following administration of 60 mL Omnipaque 300 injected via an ear vein. Ablation zones were segmented and assessed by a board-certified veterinary radiologist (D.S.B.). After euthanasia, the lungs were harvested and cut into 5-mm thick sections, which were immersed into triphenyl tetrazolium chloride, a viability stain that identifies cells with functioning mitochondria [17]. After staining, photographs of ablation zones were taken, and minimum and maximum diameters of each ablation zone were measured for the central region (“brown zone”) as well as outer hyperaemic rim, consistent with published guidelines, as illustrated in figure 5 [18]. Extents of the ablation zones achieved in both experimental groups were statistically compared using ANOVA with Scheffe’s post hoc test at a 5% significance level.

Histopathologic assessment of ablation zones
Lung sections were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, allowed to fix for 24 h, and routinely processed for histopathological examination in an automated tissue processor and embedded in paraffin. Slide-mounted tissue sections (~4-μm thick) were stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and evaluated by a board-certified veterinary anatomic pathologist (C.K.G.).

Results
A total of eight virtual bronchoscopy-guided ablations were performed in three pigs. Estimates of the ablation zone extent recorded on gross pathology following vital staining and CT imaging of regions within the porcine lung, where ablations were performed, are reported in table 1. ANOVA tests at a 5% significance level indicated that there was no statistically significant difference in ablation zone extents between the 5- and 10-min ablation groups. One ablation was performed without penetrating through the airway wall leaving the applicator inside a small airway; we estimate the applicator was in contact with the airway wall without any appreciable gap. Across all animals, no pneumothoraces were observed.

Figure 6 illustrates examples of ablation zones observed on CT imaging, gross pathology (following vital staining) and histopathology (H&E-stained sections), following ablations at the two applied energy levels considered in this study. On histopathology, five distinct regions were observed, as further illustrated in figure 7.
Gross examination of the lung showed multiple targetoid lesions in the lung parenchyma following ablation (figure 6). The H&E-stained sections of these areas showed a central area of necrosis (zone 1) that was characterised by marked disruption of tissue architecture, hypereosinophilia and nuclear pyknosis (necrosis) admixed with oedema and haemorrhage. Zone 2 showed marked alveolar oedema characterised...
by pale eosinophilic proteinaceous material (oedema), zone 3 had mild oedema with often marked dilation of the airways (emphysema), zone 4 had marked vascular congestion/hyperaemia with mild interstitial haemorrhage and zone 5 had marked infiltration of macrophages and neutrophils, forming a concentric inflammatory cell cuff in this zone. Zone 6 represents unaffected lung parenchyma with no microscopic lesions. There is no microscopic evidence of vascular rupture of arteries and veins in both ablated and nonablated zones. The small blood vessels in the ablated zones 1–3 showed separation of vascular endothelium in both the veins and arteries. The vascular endothelial separation is noticed more frequently in veins and occasionally in arteries in zone 4. No differences in the histological characteristics of tissue within zones 1–5 were observed for the ablations conducted after penetrating the airway wall into the lung parenchyma compared with the applicator within the airway.

**Discussion**

Pneumothorax is the most common and a substantial risk for patients treated with percutaneous MWA, and treatment of centrally located tumours may be technically challenging [10]. The present study was designed to assess the technical feasibility of ablating lung tissue in an *in vivo* porcine model delivered with a bronchoscopic MWA system integrated with a clinically established virtual bronchoscopy guidance, treatment planning and navigation platform. No cases of pneumothorax were observed in this study, demonstrating the feasibility of safely delivering bronchoscopic MWA.

Previously published pre-clinical studies of microwave systems for lung ablation have been restricted to needle-based applicators delivered using an open surgical or percutaneous approach [17, 19, 20]. In the

**TABLE 1 Estimated dimensions of ablation zones in a plane perpendicular to the applicator insertion along with mean values and so**

| Power | Time | Brown region | Hyperaemic region | CT dimensions | Lung lobe and tunnel length mm |
|-------|------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
|       |      | Min mm | Max mm | Min mm | Max mm | Min mm | Max mm | Height mm |                      |
| 32    | 5    | 11     | 13     | 16     | 22     | 15.4   | 24.5   | 21        | Right cranial, 11.5  |
| 32    | 5    | 13     | 14     | 18     | 30     | 11.5   | 28.8   | 27.5      | Left caudal<sup>6</sup> |
| 32    | 5    | 7      | 11     | 19     | 24     | 20.4   | 25.9   | 26.2      | Left caudal, 12      |
| 29    | 5    | 11     | 13     | 13     | 18     | 12     | 17.9   | 29.2      | Right caudal, 8      |

**Mean±SD**

|       |      | 10.5±2.5 | 11.8±1.3 | 16.5±2.6 | 23.5±5 | 17.2±4.3 | 24.3±4.6 | 26.0±3.5 |
|-------|------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| 24    | 10   | 6         | 10       | 17       | 18     | 13.4     | 13.4     | 19.4      | Right middle, 10     |
| 24    | 10   | 9         | 13       | 16       | 18     | 20.4     | 24.2     | 33.2      | Left cranial, 24.5   |
| 24    | 10   | 8         | 9        | 4.5      | 9.8    | 9.9      | 9.9      | 9.9       | Right middle, 13     |
| 24    | 10   | 9         | 11       | 20       | 24     | 22.3     | 27.7     | 28.8      | Right middle, 5      |

**Mean±SD**

|       |      | 8±1.4    | 10.7±1.7 | 17.6±2.1 | 20±3.5 | 15.2±8   | 18.8±8.5 | 22.8±10.4 |

**CT:** computed tomography, <sup>6</sup>: Ablation delivered with applicator positioned within a small airway.
present study, extents of ablation zones ranged between 16.5–23.5 mm (30–32 W, 5 min) and 17.6–20 mm (24 W, 10 min). Although the 32 W, 5 min ablations deliver lower energy (9.6 kJ) to tissue than the 24 W, 10 min (14.4 kJ) ablations, no statistically significant differences in ablation zone extent were observed. Power delivered from the ablation applicator falls off centrifugally with increasing distance from the applicator, with the boundary of the ablation zone limited by heat sinks such as ventilation and blood perfusion. Using the higher applied power level (32 W) albeit for a shorter time (5 min) probably leads to heating by direct microwave absorption at greater distances from the applicator, compared to when using lower power (24 W), thereby compensating for the lower ablation duration. In previously published studies of MWA with percutaneous needle-based applicators in an in vivo porcine lung model, ablation zone extents as large as 28–38 mm in diameter have been reported when applying 70 W to a single needle-based antenna [20]. Due to considerable attenuation within the 1.1-m catheter used in the present study, only approximately half the power available at the applicator input was available at the antenna radiating tip. Thus, the power levels employed in this study were only approximately 34–45% of the power levels used in previous studies with needle-based applicators, leading to smaller ablation zones. Higher power levels were precluded as benchtop studies illustrated the potential for catheter thermal failure at power levels exceeding 32 W applied at the catheter tip (60 W at the catheter proximal end). Further refinements to applicator materials and coolant flow are warranted to enable use of higher power levels and thus afford creation of larger ablation zones. Another potential contributor to the smaller ablation zones observed in this study may be the heat sinks due to large blood vessels and airways in the central...
The hilar region of the lung, which are recognised to contribute to smaller ablation zones compared to when the same energy is used in peripheral regions [21]. Most previous studies using needle-based microwave technologies have focused on creating ablation zones in the peripheral lung, distant from larger airways and blood vessels. Nevertheless, as illustrated in figure 6, the present study demonstrated the feasibility of creating ablation zones in the vicinity of airways. This presents a distinct advantage of microwave power,

**FIGURE 7** Haematoxylin and eosin stained section of the lung showing various zones (1–5) representing histopathological changes in tissue following ablation and unaffected lung (zone 6).
in contrast to radiofrequency ablation technologies, which are severely impeded by the presence of large airways.

The ablation zone sizes observed on CT post-treatment were generally in good agreement (difference of 1±3 mm) with the extent of the ablation zone as assessed by the hyperaemic rim observed on gross pathology, and approximately 7–12 mm larger than the central brown zone, similar to previous reports [22]. The extent of the ablation characterised on CT provided the means for estimating volume of the ablation zone, which was not feasible with the gross pathology measurement, where determining the axis of the applicator insertion remains challenging.

Histopathological examination of the tissue excised acutely following ablation showed variability in the cellular damage from the centre of the lesion to the periphery. The intensity of tissue changes were directly proportional to the intensity of heating within the tissue, with the centre (closest to the ablation applicator) being the highest, and decreasing centrifugally. Within zone 1 (∼20% of the affected region), acute parenchymal lung necrosis was observed with loss of tissue architecture and presence of cellular and nuclear fragmentation (hypereosinophilia). The remainder of the zones (2–5) showed histopathological changes consistent with degeneration and acute inflammation. Emphysema (zone 3) is noticed when the air gets trapped in the alveolar spaces, oedema and congestion (zone 4) are often due to leaky blood vessels and increased vascular supply respectively, and the outermost inflammatory zone (zone 5) contains infiltrates of neutrophils and macrophages (to wall-off injury). It is well established that the full extent and architectural characteristics of coagulative necrosis are not apparent on histology until at least 24–48 h following thermal ablation [23–25]. For assessing the extent of thermal ablation during acute nonsurvival studies such as the present study, vital staining techniques that distinguish viable from nonviable tissue as a marker of mitochondrial activity have been shown to provide a more reliable assessment of the extent of the treatment zone [26]. Indeed, in human clinical studies where the ablated lung tumour and surrounding margin of tissue is surgically resected immediately following the ablation, viability stains have been employed for assessment of the treatment zone as histological assessment of the extent of necrosis is equivocal at this early timepoint [27–29]. Further studies in animals surviving >3 days following ablation are warranted to definitively evaluate histopathological features in zones 2–5 at later time points, as well as to observe changes in the ablation zone as assessed on CT imaging.

The average length of tunnel paths (i.e. distance beyond the airway wall) created to reach the centre of targeted lung regions was 12 mm, with a maximum tunnel length of 24.5 mm and minimum of 5 mm. No significant bleeding into the airways was observed. To our knowledge, this is the first study reporting use of virtual bronchoscopy to guide safe delivery of MWA applicators to sites >2 cm beyond the airway wall; previous reports of flexible MWA devices in the lung have only been reported in preliminary abstract form [30, 31]. Previous pre-clinical studies (in canines) and human clinical studies have demonstrated the use of virtual bronchoscopy to deliver biopsy tools to targets in the lung parenchyma with tunnel lengths up to 48 mm beyond the airway wall [12, 13, 15]. The relatively small airways of porcine lung limited the range of manoeuvrability of the 6-mm bronchoscope and restricted tunnel length for accessible targets to <3 cm.

Ablation systems with flexible applicators employing a variety of energy modalities (radiofrequency [32–34], microwave [14], laser [35]) are in development for bronchoscopic treatment of lung nodules. These systems may provide a minimally invasive approach for treatment of localised lung nodules as detected on CT screening [11, 36]. However, both radiofrequency and laser ablation systems require the controlled energy delivery due to changes in tissue electrical and optical properties, respectively, at elevated temperatures. These changes include a sharp drop in electrical conductivity and significant rise of optical absorption in tissue at temperatures exceeding ∼100 °C limiting further delivery of the current in case of radiofrequency and the amount of power radiated to distant tissue regions in case of laser [37]. In contrast to these modalities, microwaves are not impeded by tissue carbonisation at elevated temperatures, and may thus enable ablation of large tissue volumes without complex energy-delivery algorithms, as previously demonstrated in pre-clinical studies with needle-based applicators [20, 38]. Since thermal ablation of lung nodules requires the ablation of a peri-tumoural margin of normal tissue, the ability to heat larger tissue volumes with microwave applicators provides a theoretical advantage. Further development of our catheter will enable use of higher power levels.

A limitation of this study was that ablation zones were assessed in normal lung. Lung tumours can have considerably different electrical, thermal, blood flow and ventilation profiles compared to normal tissue. Specifically, due to greater ventilation (i.e. heat sink) within normal lung, the ablation zones reported here may serve as a lower bound for the anticipated size of ablation zones in tumours. As there are no established large animal models of lung tumours, ablation volumes observed in normal porcine lung may be used as a guide to anticipate extent of ablation zones in tumours, similar to percutaneous ablation treatment planning in current clinical use. Future studies may use injected muscle paste/gel to simulate the
effect of solid/dense tumours within normal lung [39]. Other limitations included the relatively small number of applied power levels and ablation durations, as well as the lack of a survival study.

**Conclusion**

We have integrated an MWA platform, incorporating a flexible, water-cooled applicator, with a clinically established bronchoscopic navigation and guidance platform and demonstrated the technical feasibility of the system for safely ablating lung tissue in an in vivo porcine model. Ablations were performed by delivering the applicator to targets in lung parenchyma via 5–24-mm tunnels under the guidance of a bronchoscopic navigation system. No peri-procedural complications were observed. Further system development to enable use of higher power levels and pre-clinical survival studies are warranted to assess the safety and efficacy of this technology in a clinical setting.
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