Evaluating the role of wheat histone variant genes in development and response to abiotic stress in *Arabidopsis*
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Abstract

Histone variants can epigenetically regulate gene transcription through chromatin modulation. This regulation have been occasionally found in responses to abiotic stresses in plants, but their roles are not quite clear. Here, we describe 12 salt-responsive histone variant genes isolated from wheat. There was no sequence polymorphism in these 12 genes between the wheat cultivar ‘JN177’ and its salinity and drought tolerant derivative ‘SR3’ indicating that histone variant genes are highly conserved. However, these genes displayed differential patterns of transcription in ‘JN177’ and ‘SR3’. When transformed into *Arabidopsis thaliana*, eight of the genes were silenced. The heterologous expression of the four active transgenes had no discernible effect on the *Arabidopsis* phenotype neither under control conditions nor under different abiotic stresses suggesting that histone variants could not be considered as candidate genes for molecular breeding by ectopic expression.
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Introduction

Histone variants influence gene expression by modulating chromatin (Talbert and Henikoff 2010). Unlike the major histones, histone variants are constitutively transcribed, and can vary from the canonical forms with respect to both their primary amino acid sequence and post-translational modifications (Talbert and Henikoff 2010). In plants, histone genes have been associated with the response to abiotic stresses, but their precise role is still not well known. The tomato H1-S variant is drought-inducible, and its knockdown by antisense technology promotes stomatal closure and enhances drought tolerance (Scippa et al. 2004). The H2A.Z variant of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is involved in the response to phosphate starvation (Smith et al. 2010) and also in the correct perception of ambient temperature (Kumar and Wigge 2010). The role of these two histone variants in the adaptation to abiotic stresses was confirmed by knockdown/knockout of histone variants and/or their chromatin-depositing chaperones (Kumar and Wigge 2010, Scippa et al. 2004, Smith et al. 2010). Notably, it would be valuable if the overexpression of a gene can affect target phenotype(s) or agricultural trait(s) in different plants and/or crops because this determines the application potential of the gene in molecular breeding. However, whether the overexpression of histone variants of a plant species (such as wheat) affects the response to abiotic stresses in other plant species (such as *A. thaliana*) has not been investigated so far. Moreover, the amounts of variants are different among major histones, of which H2A variants are mostly diverse, H3 variants are not frequent, and H2B variants are rare (Kamakaka and Biggins 2005). Then, besides H1-S and H2A.Z, the involvement of other histone variants in the response to abiotic stresses needs to be unravelled.

Bread wheat is one of the most important food crops. We previously produced a wheat cultivar ‘SR3’ by a somatic hybridization between wheat cultivar ‘JN177’ and tall wheatgrass (*Thinopyrum ponticum*); ‘SR3’ has shown remarkable salinity and drought tolerance (Xia et al. 2003, Xia 2009). The comparison with its wheat progenitor has shown that numerous DNA sequence and epigenetic alterations are induced during its development, which lead to both transcriptomic and proteomic alterations...
(Wang et al. 2008, Peng et al. 2009, Liu et al. 2012). Transcriptomic analysis has shown that a number of probes which are homologous to histone variants respond differentially to salinity stress, some of which appear differential responsive patterns between ‘SR3’ and ‘JN177’ (Liu et al. 2012). This suggests that histone variants are important in plant defence against this stress. If so, some of these genes could represent attractive targets for plant improvement. We previously established a strategy to confirm the function of wheat genes in *A. thaliana* (Shan et al. 2008, Gao et al. 2010, Li et al. 2010, He et al. 2012, Dong et al. 2013, Liu et al. 2014, Zhao et al. 2014). The aim of the present research was to isolate 12 histone variant genes and to determine the phenotypic consequences of their expression in *A. thaliana*.

**Materials and methods**

**Growing conditions and salinity treatment:** Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) seedlings were grown to the three-leaf stage in a half-strength Hoagland solution under a 16-h photoperiod, an irradiance of 600 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, day/night temperatures of 22/20 °C, and a 60 % relative humidity, and then transferred to the half-strength Hoagland solution either with or without 200 mM NaCl for 0 - 24 h. Both leaves and roots were sampled for RNA isolation at a set time of the day.

**Cloning and sequence analysis:** The sequences of the differentially transcribed probes with an annotation as histone variants (Fig. 1 Suppl.) were subjected to BLASTn analysis against a wheat EST database hosted by NCBI, and all matching ESTs were assembled into a contig using the CAP3 package (Huang and Madan 1999). The contig sequence was used to guide the design of gene-specific primer pairs targeting the open reading frame (ORF) of each gene (Table 1 Suppl.). The ORFs and the associated genomic sequences were amplified from the complementary DNA (cDNA) and genomic DNA of both ‘SR3’ and ‘JN177’. Phylogenetic analyses of the predicted peptide sequences relied on the neighbour-joining method implemented in both CLUSTALX and MEGA3 softwares. Secondary structure and nucleus localization sequences (NLS) were predicted using PSIPRED (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/) and WoLF PSORT (http://wolfsort.org/).

**Quantitative (q) and semiquantitative (sq) reverse transcription (RT) PCR:** Total RNA was extracted with a TRIzol reagent (*Takara*, Dalian, China) and then treated with RNase-free DNase (*Promega*, Madison, USA). The first strand cDNA was synthesized using an M-MLV kit (*Invitrogen*, Carlsbad, USA). The cDNA was used as a template for RT-qPCR in 20 μl of solution containing 10 mmol of 2× SYBR Premix Ex Taq mix (*Takara*), 0.2 μM forward and 0.2 μM reverse primers, 1 mmol of a 1:10 dilution of the cDNA; the cycling regime comprised a denaturation step of 95 °C for 2 min followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 10s, 60 °C for 20 s, and 72 °C for 20 s. A melting curve analysis was performed over the range of 80 to 95 °C at 0.5 °C intervals. Relative gene expressions were detected using the 2⁻ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001). The RT-qPCR cycling regime comprised an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min followed by 28 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 45 s with a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. Wheat the ACTIN gene (AB181991) or A. TUBULIN gene (AT1G04820) was used as an internal reference. All experiments were replicated at least three times using independent cDNA preparations with four technical replicates per biological replicate.

**Subcellular localization assay:** Histone ORFs lacking their termination codon were introduced into the pBII221 vector creating an in-frame histone/green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion transgene under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter. The fusion or an empty vector was transiently transformed into onion epidermis cells according to the protocol of Von Arnim (2007). After incubation at 21 - 23 °C for 16 h, a GFP signal was detected by confocal fluorescence microscopy (460 nm excitation, 535 nm emission). The assay of each protein was repeated twice. In each assay, at least 10 cells producing fluorescence were detected. The assay of each protein was repeated twice, and at least 10 cells producing GFP fusion protein were observed.

**Generation of heterologous expression of *Arabidipsis thaliana* lines:** The ORF of each histone gene was cloned into the pSTART vector driven by the CaMV 35S promoter, and the constructs transformed into *A. thaliana* L. genotype Col-0 using the floral dipping method (Clough and Bent 1998). Homozygous transgenic lines were selected by kanamycin screening and confirmed by genomic PCR with *A. thaliana* DNA as a template. The transcription of the transgenes in *A. thaliana* was confirmed by RT-qPCR as mentioned above. The primers are listed in Table 1 Suppl.

**Analysis of the phenotypic effect on development:** *A. thaliana* seeds were surface-sterilized by a 5 min immersion in 70 % (v/v) ethanol followed by a 10 min treatment with 5 % (m/v) NaOCl, and finally by several rinses in sterile water. The seeds were imbibed in the dark at 22 °C for 72 h by placing them on solidified a half strength Murashige and Skoog (1/2 MS) medium. Uniformly germinating seeds were transferred to a fresh plate, which was oriented vertically and held under a 16-h photoperiod, an irradiance of 600 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, day/night temperatures of 22/20 °C, and a 60 % relative humidity for 7 or 13 d before the length of the primary root and the lateral root density were measured. Seven-d-old seedlings were transferred into soil and grown under the same regime for two weeks to allow the measurement of the area of the sixth rosette leaf. Once the plants had reached the stage when the siliques had become partially desiccated, measurements of plant height, rosette leaf branch number, and cauline leaf branch number were made. Sets of soil-planted seedlings were held under either a 16-h or an 8-h photoperiod to measure the time taken to reach flowering. The mass of 1 000 seeds and the seed yield per plant were also measured.
Responses to abiotic stresses: Surface-sterilized
*A. thaliana* seeds were germinated as mentioned above. Uniformly germinated seeds were transferred onto 1/2 MS plates containing one of 50, 100, or 125 mM NaCl; 100, 200, or 300 mM mannitol; 1, 3, or 5 mM H$_2$O$_2$; 2, 5, or 10 μM abscisic acid (ABA); 2, 5 or 10 μM naphthalene acetic acid (NAA); 2, 5, or 10 μM 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC). Then the plates were placed vertically under conditions mentioned above for 10 d (NaCl) or two weeks (other treatments). To assess drought tolerance, a water-withholding assay was conducted. Surface-sterilized seeds of *A. thaliana* were plated on a 1/2 MS medium. The plates were initially held at 4 °C in the dark for four days to break seed dormancy and then transferred to conditions mentioned above for 12 d. The seedlings were transferred into moistened substrate containing soil and Vermiculite (2:1, v/v) for three weeks, after which water was withheld for a further three weeks before re-watering for three days. To assess salt tolerance, one-month-old seedlings planted in soil were irrigated with 200 mM NaCl every three days until the seedlings were wilted and dead, and the growth phenotype was observed during the treatment course.

Germination assay: Surface-sterilized *A. thaliana* seeds were laid on a solidified 1/2 MS medium containing 50, 100, or 125 mM NaCl; 100, 200, or 300 mM mannitol; 2 or 4 μM ABA; 1, 3, or 5 mM H$_2$O$_2$; and held at 4 °C for 2 d in the dark before being transferred to the conditions mentioned above. A germinated seed was defined as the one which successfully opened its cotyledons.

Statistics: The difference between two samples was calculated using the Student $t$-test ($\alpha = 0.05$). The difference among more than two samples was calculated using the one-way ANOVA lowest significance difference (LSD) test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

**Results**

The genes of H1, H2A, H3, and H4 variants, which were among those previously identified as being salinity responsive (Liu *et al.* 2012), chosen for full-length cDNA isolation are listed in Fig. 1 Suppl. The RT-qPCR analysis of five genes (*TaH2A-2, TaH2A-3, TaH2A-4, TaH3-2*, and *TaH2A.Z*) revealed a diversity of responses to the salinity stress (Fig. 1). *TaH2A-2* was induced by NaCl treatment in leaves with a stronger induction in ‘SR3’ than in ‘JN177’ (Fig. 1A); it was strongly down-regulated by the NaCl stress in roots (Fig. 1B). *TaH2A-3* was not responsive to NaCl treatment in leaves but was reduced gradually in roots under the NaCl stress, and the gene had a comparable transcript abundance in either leaves or roots of ‘SR3’ and ‘JN177’ at each detected time point (Fig. 1C, D). *TaH2A-4* had a constant expression profile in leaves but was slightly induced under the NaCl stress in roots; its expression in leaves was comparable between the two cultivars, but in roots, *TaH2A-4* had a remarkably higher expression in ‘SR3’ than in ‘JN177’ (Fig. 1E, F). *TaH3-2* was induced by the NaCl stress in both leaves and roots, and the expression was higher in ‘SR3’ than in ‘JN177’ (Fig. 1G, H). Given that H2A.Z is a widely studied histone variant and has proved to play a vital role in abiotic stresses, we isolated a wheat H2A.Z gene *TaH2A.Z-1*. *TaH2A.Z-1* was induced in leaves but restricted in roots as the NaCl treatment was prolonged (Fig. 1I, J).

![Fig. 1. The relative expression of wheat histone variant genes after exposure of a three-leaf stage wheat seedling to 200 mM NaCl as measured by reverse transcription quantitative PCR. Means ± SDs, n = 4. Differences among time points in either ‘SR3’ or ‘JN177’ were calculated with one-way ANOVA and those with different English or Greek letters are statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). The differences between ‘SR3’ and ‘JN177’ at each detected time point were calculated with the $t$-test, and those labelled with * are statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).](image-url)
Fig. 2. Phylogenetic analysis of histone variant proteins. At - *A. thaliana*; Ata - *Aegilops tauschii*; Bd - *Brachypodium distachyon*; Hv - *Hordeum vulgare*; Mm - *Mus musculus*; Os - *Oryza sativa*; Ta - *Triticum aestivum*; Tu - *Triticum urartu*; Vv - *Vitis vinifera*; Zm - *Zea mays*. 
Of the 12 histone variant genes cloned from ‘SR3’ and ‘JN177’, the ORFs of the 4 H1 variant genes TaH1-1 to TaH1-4 were amplified by the same primer pair although the amplicon lengths differed (Table 2 Suppl.). Unlike major histone genes, some of histone variant genes have introns (Talbert and Henikoff 2010). Here, TaH1-1 to -4, TaH2A-4, and TaH3-2 all had an intron (Table 2 Suppl., Fig. 2 Suppl.). Although the ‘SR3’ genome differs from the ‘JN177’ genome by genome-scale genetic variation during asymmetric somatic hybridization (Wang et al. 2015, Xia 2009), no sequence variation was found in the ORFs of any of the 12 genes (Table 2 Suppl.).

The phylogenetic analysis of the gene predicted polypeptides shows that the H1/H2A and H3/H4 sequences formed two distinct clades (Fig. 2). TaH2A-3 and TaH2A-4 were almost identical to TaH2A.1 and TaH2A.6, and to their homologs in both Triticum urartu (an A genome progenitor) and Aegilops tauschii (a D genome progenitor). TaH2A-2 clustered the H2A proteins of T. urartu, A. tauschii, and barley. TaH2A-1 was distinct from the TaH2A-2, -3, or -4 sequences, and it clustered instead with the H2A-7 homologs in bread wheat, T. urartu, and A. tauschii (but not with any of the H2A-7 homologs present in dicotyledonous species). TaH2A.Z-1 formed a recognizable sub-clade separated from the other H2As. In the H1 clade, TaH1-1 to -4 clustered with their homologs from T. urartu and A. tauschii, though not with homologs from other monocotyledonous species. In the H3 clade, TaH3-1 and TaH3-2 belonged to two different sub-clades, one homologous to H3 and the other to H3.2.

Histones are typically basic proteins rich in lysine and arginine. The H1 variants had a much higher lysine content than H2A, H3, or H4 (Table 3 Suppl.), but had the lowest arginine content (~3 %). The proteins (particularly the H1s) also contained a high alanine content. The predicted pl of all the products was > 10 with the H3 and H4 proteins showing a pl of >11 (Table 3 Suppl.). The proteins included 3 - 5 α-helices and one nucleus localization sequence, whereas the H1 and H2A proteins in addition featured a β-sheet (Table 3 Suppl.). The transient expression experiments show that the GFP signal in transformants expressing GFP alone was dispersed throughout the cell (Fig. 3A), in that produced by the TaH2A-3 and TaH2A-4 fusions GFP accumulated mostly in the nucleus with only a weak signal in the cytoplasm; the signal for the other transformants was restricted to the nucleus (Fig. 3B).

To primarily confirm their in planta role, these histone variant genes were transformed into A. thaliana for ectopic overexpression. At least three independent homozygous transgenic lines of each gene, which were integrated with a one-copy transgene that showed 3:1 kanamycin-resistance/-sensitive separation of T2 generation, were generated (Table 4 Suppl.). The transgenes were amplified in all transgenic lines by a genomic PCR assay (Table 4 Suppl., Fig. 3 Suppl.), showing they were stably integrated into A. thaliana genome. The RT-qPCR analysis showed that four of the genes (TaH1-1, TaH2A-2, TaH2A-3, and TaH2A.Z-1) were transcribed in the transgenic lines, but the other eight genes were silenced (Table 4 Suppl., Fig. 3 Suppl.).

Two independent homozygous transgenic lines showing a high transgene transcript abundance for each of the four actively transcribed wheat histone variant genes were selected for analyzing the role of wheat histone variants. For seedlings grown on agar plates, neither primary root length nor lateral root density differed between the wild type (non-transgenic) control, plants carrying an empty vector, and the histone gene transgenic lines (Table 5 Suppl.). For soil-grown plants, there was also no discernible phenotype associated with the presence of the transgene (Table 5 Suppl.). With respect to the seedling response to abiotic stresses, exposure to NaCl, mannitol, or H2O2 significantly restricted germination, whereas the presence of ABA delayed it; however, the transgenic lines behaved identically to the control seedlings (Table 6 Suppl.). Similarly, although seedling growth was significantly inhibited by exposure to NaCl, mannitol, H2O2, ABA, NAA, or ACC, there was no effect of any of the transgenes in this respect (Table 6 Suppl.). The experiment in which water was withheld or NaCl was irrigated also failed to show any advantage of the heterologous transcription of any of the wheat histone variant genes (Table 6 Suppl.).

**Discussion**

Like other histones, the 12 wheat histone variants isolated here were rich in lysine and arginine, had high pl, and possessed the α-helices necessary for the formation of the histone folding domain (Table 3 Suppl.). Some of the genes had an intron (Table 2 Suppl., Fig. 2 Suppl.), a characteristic that differentiates histone variants from major histones. Unlike major histones, the mRNAs of histone variants are not polyadenylated (Henikoff and Ahmad 2005). In this study, 12 genes were derived from the polyadenylated mRNAs. Based on these, those 12 genes encoded histone variants rather than major histones. The histone genes belong to a class of highly conserved sequences. The ‘SR3’ genome possesses the whole-genome-scale genetic
variation (single nucleotide polymorphism as well as insertion and deletion) in comparison with ‘JN177’ (Wang et al. 2015, Xia 2009), and many isolated abiotic stress response genes have allelic variations between ‘SR3’ and ‘JN177’ (Li et al. 2010, Liu et al. 2014). However, each of the 12 histone variant genes had no allelic variation between the 2 cultivars (Table 2 Suppl.), indicating histone variant genes are also evolutionarily conserved.

The incorporation of histone variants into chromatin is important for the establishment of an active or inactive transcriptionally poised chromatin structure (Guillemette and Gaudreau 2006). Consistent with other reports (Kumar and Wigge 2010, Smith et al. 2010), the wheat histone variant genes exhibited a diverse transcriptional response to the salinity stress (Fig. 1 and Fig. 1 Suppl.), which implies a relationship between histone variant-regulated chromatin remodeling and the abiotic stress response. The ‘SR3’ epigenome, at least those aspects related to cytosine methylation, differs substantially from that of its progenitor cultivar ‘JN177’ (Xia 2009). A DNA methylation regulates gene transcription in concert with histone modification and incorporation of histone variants (Henikoff and Ahmad 2005). Thus, the differential response to NaCl between ‘SR3’ and ‘JN177’ of some histone variant genes may result in the difference in epigenetic regulation of their (salt responsive) targets between the two cultivars, and then contribute to the high salt tolerance ability of ‘SR3’.

The heterologous expression of TaH1-1, TaH2A-2, TaH2A-3, and TaH3-1 in A. thaliana had no discernible effect of plant development and response to the abiotic stress (Tables 5 Suppl., 6 Suppl.). Chaperones and other transcription regulation-associated effectors are essential for the recruitment of histone variants to the chromatin (Henikoff and Ahmad 2005). For example, the incorporation of H2A.X to a DNA break site depends on the DNA repair-associated MRE11–RAD50–NBS1 complex, mediator of DNA damage checkpoint protein 1, and other proteins (West and van Attikum 2006). The replacement of H2A by its variant macroH2A to inactivate the X chromosome depends on the expression of a long non-coding RNA Xist (Okamoto et al. 2004). Thus, although four of the wheat histone variant transgenes were strongly transcribed (Table 4 Suppl., Fig. 3 Suppl.), the necessary interaction with A. thaliana intermediumers may not be present to allow their normal incorporation into the chromatin. Most probably this failure was due to differences in the sequences of the wheat histone variants and their A. thaliana homologs since alterations in just a few residues can greatly influence the interaction ability of native histones with chaperones (Henikoff and Ahmad 2005, Tachiwana et al. 2008).

An alternative scenario is that the wheat histone variants are readily incorporated into the chromatin by competing with their homologs in A. thaliana, but do not alter either the size or structure of the nucleosomes, so that they do not affect gene expression and phenotype of A. thaliana. On the other hand, the incorporation of histone variant genes has an effect on the compactness of the chromatin – for example, the incorporation of macroH2A makes a more stable nucleosome than does the incorporation of H2A (Chakravarthy and Luger 2006), whereas H3.3 and H2A.Z appear to accumulate at active promoters and enhancers (Jin et al. 2009) because they destabilize the nucleosome (Jin and Felsenfeld 2007) and produce less well organized chromatin (Guillemette et al. 2005). The incorporation of histone variants is determined by a well conserved selection mechanism, which ensures the stability and suitability of the chromosome structure (Song et al. 2013). Epigenetic information (such as DNA methylation and histone variant incorporation) reveals a certain plasticity, and it is inherently reversible (Probst et al. 2009). Therefore, there is the possibility that the incorporation of the wheat histone variants compromises the stability and/or suitability of the nucleosome structure; the incorporation is unstable and become weaker in A. thaliana, so that wheat histone variants cannot be incorporated in the transgenic homozygous lines to play roles in abiotic stress response and plant development. The preference of a certain histone variant depends on its interaction with chaperones (Song et al. 2013). Histones can be modified, and indeed some modification is essential to ensure a proper interaction with the chaperones. An intriguing possibility, therefore, is that the wheat histones are modified in some way which blocks their interaction with the chaperones and hence their incorporation into the chromatin in the transgenic homozygous lines, which is an interesting question worthy being studied.

Note that 8 of 12 wheat histone variant genes were not transcribed in A. thaliana (Fig. 3 Suppl.) showing that unlike other protein encoding genes, histone variant genes exhibit a high frequency of transgene silencing. Transgene silencing can be brought about in a number of ways (Stewart et al. 2011). A frequently used 35S CaMV promoter, for example, can often be inactivated by methylation (Wang et al. 2013). The high frequency of silencing experienced by the wheat histone variant genes may be related to their function, this means that an epigenetic modulation effect of histone variants possibly promotes the epigenetic effect (DNA methylation) of transgene silencing. This provides a way to widen our understanding the mechanism of transgene silencing (the association of transgene silencing with gene function).

In summary, the heterologous expression of four wheat histone variant genes had no effect on the development and abiotic stress response of A. thaliana, so for this class of gene, the heterologous expression strategy appears to be an inappropriate means of determining gene function. At the same time, the likelihood is that histone variant genes, although they are involved in the stress response in some way, will not represent suitable candidates for the transgenic improvement of crops.
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