No Tillage Increases SOM in Labile Fraction but not Stable Fraction of Andosols from a Long-Term Experiment in Japan
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Abstract: No tillage (NT) fosters carbon (C) sequestration, increases soil organic matter (SOM) stock, and improves soil health. However, its effect on SOM accumulation in Andosol, which has high OM stabilization characteristics due to its specific mineral properties, remains unclear. In this study, we evaluated the effect of NT on SOM content and its distribution by the physical fractionation method and assessed the quality of accumulated SOM in each fraction. We collected soil samples at 0–2.5, 2.5–7.5, and 7.5–15 cm depths from NT and conventional tillage (CT) plots in a long-term (19 years) field experiment of Andosols in Ibaraki, Japan. The soil samples were separated into light fraction (LF), coarse-POM (cPOM: 0.25–2 mm), fine-POM (fPOM: 0.053–0.25 mm), and silt + clay (mOM: <0.053 mm). The C, nitrogen (N), and organic phosphorus (Po) contents of each fraction were analyzed. The C content of cPOM and fPOM in NT at 0–7.5 cm was greater than in CT, while there was no clear difference in the mOM fraction or deeper layer (7.5–15 cm). NT increased the C, N, and Po contents in the labile POM fractions at the surface layers but did not increase the stable fraction or change the quality.
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1. Introduction

Soil organic matter (SOM) has a significant influence on many soil functions (i.e., physical, chemical, and biological) and stores nutrients (carbon (C), nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), etc.), which are gradually released into the soil by mineralization for plant uptake [1]. The management of SOM amounts in soil is crucial to soil fertility and crop yield as well as in climate change mitigation [2–5]. Therefore, the management of SOM is key to soil functioning worldwide [6]. No tillage (NT) has been found to play an essential role in SOM accumulation [7,8] by reducing surface soil disturbance. Thus, NT is considered a promising technique for sustainable SOM management, which includes the sequestration of C for climate change mitigation and sustainable soil fertility.

Andosols, a volcanic ash soil, show the highest soil organic carbon (SOC) density after organic soils from SOC mass estimation [9,10] and are widely distributed worldwide near volcanic areas, especially in Japan. In this soil type, its nano-sized short-ranged-order (SRO) minerals and OM complexes act as strong binding agents that facilitate aggregate formation [11], causing high SOC stabilization [12,13]. On the other hand, studies have shown that accumulated SOC in Andosols is sometimes unstable and affected by land-use changes, causing the loss of huge SOC [14]. Iwasaki et al. [15] found that continuous
organic matter application increased SOC only at the low initial SOC sites but decreased in the higher initial SOC sites of Andosols in Japan. These results indicate that high SOC losses occur in soils with high initial SOC, highlighting the difficulties in maintaining SOC levels in Andosols because of its high SOC content. In Japan, 47% of the total agricultural land area is Andosols [16]; 80% of cultivated cropland in Japan is tilled by rotary cultivators [17,18], which disturbs the soil surface and its structure [19], resulting in SOC reduction in Andosols in Japan [20,21]. Thus, to achieve sustainable land management in Andosols in Japan, there is a need to evaluate the quantitative effect of NT on SOC accumulation.

The no-tillage system has been found to reduce the rate of SOM decomposition, to increase soil aggregation in Andosols, and to improve soil biological structures by increasing fungal biomass [22]. Higashi et al. [23] reported a 25.1% higher SOC content in NT than conventional tillage (CT) at a depth of 0–2.5 cm and 8.4% higher SOC content in NT than CT at a depth of 2.5–7.5 cm in Andosols in Japan after a 9-year NT cultivation. Gong et al. [24] also found that SOC stocks in NT were significantly higher than CT at 0–2.5 cm and 0–7.5 cm in Andosols in Japan on a 19-year NT field. Several other studies have also verified the similar positive effects of NT on SOC stocks [19,25,26]. However, little is known about the effect of NT on the characteristics of accumulated SOC. Recently, to explore the effect of NT on SOM content and quality in various soil particle size fractions [27–30], many studies have used physical fractionation methods that separate the complex nature of SOM into particle size fractions, differing in physical properties, microbial degradability, and turnover times: light fractions (LF), particulate organic matter (POM), and mineral-associated organic matter (mOM) [31,32]. Generally, the mOM fraction has a higher C content because of its C stability with fine particles, such as silt and clay, while POM fractions are labile and have smaller C contents compared with the mOM fraction [11,33]. Nonetheless, the POM fractions are highly sensitive indicators for different soil management practices (such as tillage treatments) [27,34,35]. Bayer et al. [36] found that NT increased the C content in POM fractions after 5 and 8 years in Brazil, and Kibet et al. [37] observed similar SOC increment characteristics in the USA. However, studies in southern Brazil [38,39] have reported a higher increase in the C content in the mOM fraction than in the POM fractions. These varying results can be explained by the effect of tillage on SOC accumulation and site-specific characteristics, which is largely dependent on the soil type, specifically the mineralogy and texture [36,37,40]. As Andosols contain many SRO minerals, which ensure the physical stability of C in the mOM fraction, NT treatment should cause minor alterations in C in stable fractions, such as mOM, compared with other labile fractions, such as sand or POM fractions [41]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the POM fractions would be more sensitive to the effect of NT on SOC in Andosols in Japan than the mOM fraction. As a result of the limited information available regarding the effect of NT on fractionated SOC characteristics in Andosols in Japan, these detailed data are important for estimating future SOC dynamics or C sequestration, including its stability in soil, under NT management in Andosols in Japan.

Carbon mineralization and stability are tightly coupled with N and P, such as stoichiometry [42,43]. Many studies have indicated that assessing the quantitative relationship between C and N/P is a helpful method for understanding the C and N/P dynamics in soils [44,45]. Wyngaard et al. [44] observed a significant relationship between organic P (Po) and C in POM fractions in a NT cropland in a Mollisol in Argentina. Wyngaard et al. [46] also indicated that POM fractions largely contributed to the nutrient (N and P) supply of soil, compared with the mOM fraction. Andosols are P-deficient soil due to their high P absorption coefficient (PAC) [47,48]. Thus, evaluating the C and P relationships in each fraction is important for understanding the SOC dynamics in a stoichiometric context. Wulanningtyas et al. [18] found that NT increased SOC, N, and available P, and found a clear relationship between organic P mineralization and available P as well as SOC in Andosols in Japan. However, limited information is available regarding the effect of NT on the stoichiometric characteristics of SOM, such as the quantitative relationship
between C and N/P in each fraction in Andosols, which should provide important information on soil nutrient lability of each fraction under NT management. Thus, there is a need to evaluate the effect of NT on the C, N, and Po relationships in each fraction using physical fractionation methods in Andosols in Japan.

Therefore, our objectives were as follows: (1) to compare the accumulated SOC characteristics using the physical fractionation methods and (2) to assess the quantitative relationship between C, N, and Po in each soil fraction under NT and CT management in Andosols in Japan. Our findings will contribute to achieving better SOC dynamics or C sequestration, including its stability in soil, under NT management in Andosols in Japan.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Research Site and Experimental Design

The research site is located at the Center for International Field Agriculture Research and Education, Ibaraki University, Ami-Japan (Figure 1) [18,49], with an annual mean temperature of 14.5°C and an annual rainfall of 1352 mm from the start of the experimental system in 2002–2020 [50]. The soil is classified as an Andosol [51].

![Figure 1: Map of study area: Center for International Field Agriculture Research and Education, Ibaraki University, Japan.](image_url)

The experimental design of the research plots was a completely randomized block with a split-plot design, with the tillage systems being the main plot factor and the winter cover crops the split-plot factor (four replications per treatment plot). This experimental system has been in place for 19 years [18]. The experimental plot was used for upland rice cultivation for the first six years and was swapped for soybean cultivation from the 7th year to the present. On the plots, ryegrass was grown as a winter cover crop and cut before soybean cultivation in late June. In the NT plot (NT), the ryegrass was left on its surface, while in the conventional tilled plot (CT), the ryegrass was incorporated into the soil to a depth of 15 cm with a rotary cultivator (SX1905; Nipplo, Matsuyama Co., Ltd. Nagano, Japan) before soybean cultivation in late June. In this experiment, no chemical fertilizer, pesticides, and herbicides were applied to fertilize and control pests, diseases, or weeds in the field [18,23].
2.2. Soil Sampling and Analysis

Sampling was conducted in late October 2019, before soybean harvesting. Soils were collected from different tillage systems (NT and CT) plots at three depths (0–2.5 cm, 2.5–7.5 cm, and 7.5–15 cm). We collected five composite soil samples from one plot using a core sampler (5 cm diameter × 15 cm depth) and then combined and mixed for each replicate and treatment to obtain one sample for each plot. Finally, we collected 24 soil samples (2 tillage systems × 3 depths × 4 replicates). All soils were immediately stored in a refrigerator (at 4 °C) under field-moist conditions and were sieved using a 2 mm sieve before soil analysis; some of the samples were dried and used for physicochemical analysis.

The total C and N of the bulk soil were measured using an NC analyzer (Sumigraph NC-TR22; Sumika Chemical Analysis Services Ltd., Osaka, Japan) (Table S1). There was no inorganic C in the soils because of the acidic conditions. The pH of the soil (1:2.5) was measured using a pH meter (Horiba Scientific pH meter D-52; Horiba Advanced Techno. Co. Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). The pH values of NT (5.99, 6.09, and 6.21 at 0–2.5 cm, 2.5–7.5 cm, and 7.5–15 cm depths, respectively) did not differ statistically from the CT values (6.02, 6.26, and 6.31 at 0–2.5 cm, 2.5–7.5 cm, and 7.5–15 cm depths, respectively). Bulk density was evaluated from different core samples collected from each depth (0–2.5, 2.5–7.5, and 7.5–15 cm). The bulk density of NT (0.41 g cm\(^{-3}\) and 0.54 g cm\(^{-3}\)) did not differ statistically from the CT values (0.49 g cm\(^{-3}\) and 0.51 g cm\(^{-3}\)) at the depths of 0–2.5 cm and 2.5–7.5 cm, respectively, while NT (0.63 g cm\(^{-3}\)) had a higher bulk density than CT (0.55 g cm\(^{-3}\)) in the 7.5–15 cm depth.

2.3. Physical Fractionation and Chemical Analysis of Soil Organic Matter

The density-size fractionation method was used to obtain four fractions [52]. Briefly, 60 mL of NaI solution (<1.7 g cm\(^{-3}\)) was added to 30 g of fresh soil in a 100 mL centrifuge tube and a round-trip shake was conducted for 16 h using a reciprocal shaker at 220 rpm. During this process, 30 glass beads were added to help crush the soil aggregates. Then, the samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 3000 rpm, and LF was removed by suction into a vacuum filtration unit fitted with a filter and funnel. The obtained LF was then washed with CaCl\(_2\) and distilled water until clear to reduce the increased residue mass from the addition of the NaI solution, which has a heavy mass. Thus, by density separation, LF < 1.7 g cm\(^{-3}\) was separated from the heavy fractions (HF) >1.7 g cm\(^{-3}\). The separated LF remaining in the filter paper was dried at 60 °C to a constant weight for 24 h. The HF in the NaI solution was washed with 30 mL of distilled water three times, and the liquid was poured away each time. Finally, 30 mL of distilled water was added to the HF, shaken for 16 h at 220 rpm, and separated by size and sedimentation, as highlighted below. The HF was then dispersed over a 250 μm sieve nested on a 53 μm sieve in a beaker. The soils in these sieves were thoroughly washed until clear. The soil remaining in the 250 μm sieve was coarse sand (cPOM), while the soil remaining in the 53 μm sieve was fine sand (fPOM). The soil solution remaining in the beaker was the silt and clay fractions (<53 μm). The cPOM and fPOM fractions were placed in a container and dried at 60 °C for at least 24 h until a constant weight was achieved and then dried in a 100 °C oven for >24 h. The mOM solution was centrifuged for 15 min at 3000 rpm, and the soil was separated from the supernatant, scooped into a crucible, and dried at 60 °C to constant weight for at least 48 h before being moved to a 100 °C oven for an additional 24 h. The dried fractions were weighed to determine the mass of each fraction recovered, and the soil mass recovery rate was between 96–104% (Table S2). The fractionated samples obtained for each treatment were ground to a powder with a tungsten mortar and pestle and stored at room temperature for further use.

The total C and N of the whole fractionated samples were determined with the same NC analyzer used above. To evaluate the relationship between Po and TC as well as between Po and TN of each fraction, the Po content of each fraction was measured by the ignition method [53]. We ignited a fine ground sample (0.5 g) in a muffle furnace (FUL230 FB Electric muffle furnace; ADVANTEC) at 550 °C for 2 h. In addition, the same samples
(0.5 g) were weighed but not ignited. For both ignited and non-ignited samples, 25 mL of 0.5 M H₂SO₄ was added and shaken for 16 h at 125 rpm, centrifuged at 3000 rpm, and then filtered. Each aliquot of the treatment solution’s pH was adjusted using p-nitrophenol as an indicator and measured by colorimetry using the molybdate–ascorbic acid procedure with a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (UV1280; Shimadzu) at an absorbance of 712 nm [54]. Po was calculated by subtracting P (non-ignited) from P (ignited) to obtain Po for each treatment sample. In this study, the Po content for LF was not measured because the amount of LF material was relatively small and could be negligible [55].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SYSTAT 12.5 (SYSTAT Software, Richmond, CA, USA). All data are expressed on a dry weight basis. Data measured on both the physical and chemical characteristics were analyzed using the Student’s t-test. The Tukey–Kramer test was used to separate treatment means, where analysis of variance indicated significant differences among the treatment means. The relationships between C, N, and Po were also evaluated. In all cases, statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. TC, TN, and Po in Fractionated OM and Its Contribution to Its Total Amount in the Soil

Figure 2 presents the TC, TN, and Po concentrations of each fraction. For the TC concentration, the LF and mOM fractions showed no significant difference between NT and CT, while the TC concentrations of the cPOM and fPOM fractions in NT were higher than those in CT (Figure 2a–c). Similar to the TC concentration, NT increased the TN and Po concentrations in both POM fractions at the 0–7.5 cm depth compared with CT (Figure 2d–i). The Po concentration of mOM at the 7.5–15 cm depth was higher in NT than in CT, while that of cPOM and fPOM was lower in NT than in CT (Figure 2i).
organic phosphorus (Po; (g-i) (P kg\(^{-1}\) fraction) of SOM fractions by physical fractionation of Andosols from No-Tillage (NT) and Conventional Tillage (CT) systems. Different letters show significant differences for the tillage treatments of each fraction, according to Student’s t-test (\(p < 0.05\)).

Figure 3 presents the results for the TC, TN, and Po content of soil (calculated by the multiplication of TC, TN, and Po concentrations by the mass proportion of soil in each fraction). The TC, TN, and Po contents of cPOM and fPOM at the 0–2.5 cm and 2.5–7.5 cm depth were clearly higher in NT than CT, while those of mOM did not differ among the treatments throughout the depths (Figure 3). The TC of cPOM and fPOM in NT at 0–2.5 cm were 7.4 and
10.7 g C kg$^{-1}$, respectively, and were larger than those in CT (3.8 and 5.5 g C kg$^{-1}$). The TC of cPOM and fPOM in NT at 0–2.5 cm were 7.4 and 10.7 g C kg$^{-1}$, respectively, and were larger than those in CT (3.8 and 5.5 g C kg$^{-1}$). The TC of cPOM and fPOM at 2.5–7.5 cm were also larger in NT (6.6 and 8.6 g C kg$^{-1}$) than CT (4.4 and 5.3 g C kg$^{-1}$). Similarly, the TN and Po of cPOM and fPOM in NT were larger than CT at 0–2.5 and 2.5–7.5 cm. On the other hand, the Po of cPOM in NT (0.22 g C kg$^{-1}$) was lower than in CT (0.56 g C kg$^{-1}$) at 7.5–15 cm.

Figure 3. Total carbon (TC; (a–c) (g C kg$^{-1}$ soil), total nitrogen (TN; (d–f) (g N kg$^{-1}$ soil), and organic phosphorus (Po; (g–i) (g P kg$^{-1}$ soil) of SOM fractions by physical fractionation of Andosols from the no-tillage (NT) and conventional-tillage (CT) systems. Different letters show significant differences for the tillage treatments of each fraction, according to Student’s t-test (p < 0.05).
In the case of LF, the TC of LF in NT (3.12 g C kg\(^{-1}\)) was higher than CT (1.00 g C kg\(^{-1}\)) at a depth of 0–2.5 cm, while the TN of LF in NT (0.02 g C kg\(^{-1}\)) was higher than CT (0.01 g C kg\(^{-1}\)) at the 7.5–15 cm depth. Figure 4 shows the ratio of each fraction to the total fraction. The mOM fraction mainly contributed to the total TC, TN, and Po of soil (59–85%), followed by fPOM (9.4–24.6%), cPOM (5.3–14.3%), and LF (2.0–5.9%).

Table 1 shows the results of the two-way ANOVA of the effects of tillage treatments and soil depth on TC, TN, and Po contents of each fraction. Tillage treatment (NT and CT) had an effect on the TC, TN, and Po of labile fractions (LF, cPOM, and fPOM) but not on the stable fractions (mOM). Soil depth (0–2.5, 2.5–7.5, and 7.5–15 cm) had no clear effect on TC, TN, and Po of cPOM and mOM, while it had clear influence of LF and fPOM.

![Figure 4](image-url) Percentage (%) of total of total carbon (TC; (a)), total nitrogen (TN; (b)), and organic phosphorus (Po; (c)) of SOM fractions by physical fractionation of Andosols from no-tillage (NT) and conventional-tillage (CT) systems.

**Table 1.** Summary of effects of no-tillage (NT) and conventional-tillage (CT) at various depths on the TC (gC kg\(^{-1}\) soil), TN (gN kg\(^{-1}\) soil), and Po (gP kg\(^{-1}\) soil) content, as well as C, N, and Po ratios according to analysis of variance (ANOVA).

|                      | LF  | cPOM | fPOM | mOM (Silt + Clay) |
|----------------------|-----|------|------|-------------------|
| Total Carbon (TC)    |     |      |      |                   |
| Tillage Treatment    | *   | **   | ***  | NS                |
| Soil Depth           | *   | NS   | ***  | NS                |
| Tillage Treatment × Soil Depth | NS | *   | ***  | NS                |
| Total Nitrogen (TN)  |     |      |      |                   |
| Tillage Treatment    | *   |      |      | NS                |
| Soil Depth           | **  | NS   | ***  | NS                |
| Tillage treatment × Soil treatment | NS |      |      | NS                |
| Organic Phosphorus (Po) |    |      |      |                   |
| Tillage Treatment    |     |      |      | NS                |
| Soil Depth           |     |      |      | NS                |
| Tillage Treatment × Soil treatment |     |      |      | NS                |
| C:N Ratio            |     |      |      |                   |
| Tillage Treatment    | *   | NS   | NS   | NS                |
| Soil Depth           | **  | NS   | NS   | NS                |
| Tillage Treatment × Soil treatment | *** | NS   | NS   | NS                |
| C:Po Ratio           |     |      |      |                   |
| Tillage Treatment    | *   | NS   | NS   | NS                |
| Soil Depth           | *** | NS   | NS   | NS                |
| Tillage Treatment × Soil treatment | *** | NS   | NS   | NS                |
| Tillage Treatment | NS | NS | NS |
|-------------------|----|----|----|
| Soil Depth        | NS | NS | NS |
| Tillage Treatment × Soil treatment | *** | NS | NS |
| N:Po Ratio        | NS | NS | NS |
| Tillage Treatment | NS | NS | NS |
| Soil Depth        | NS | NS | NS |
| Tillage Treatment × Soil treatment | *** | *  | NS |

N.B. Values indicated in the table above tables are the p-values obtained from the analysis of variance for the various sources of variation. p > 0.05: not significant (NS); p < 0.05: (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001).

3.2. SOC Stock in the Fractionated OM Under Different Tillage Management Systems

Figure 5 presents the total SOC stock (0–15 cm depth) of each fraction. The total SOC stock in cPOM and fPOM was significantly higher in NT than in CT at the surface layers (0–2.5 and 2.5–7.5 cm), while it was not the case of LF and mOM.

The total SOC stock of cPOM was larger in NT at 0–2.5 (0.76 Mg C ha⁻¹) and 2.5–7.5 cm (2.68 Mg C ha⁻¹), respectively, than in CT (0.46 and 1.68 Mg C ha⁻¹, respectively). The total SOC stock of fPOM in NT at 0–2.5 (1.10 Mg C ha⁻¹) and 2.5–7.5 cm (3.49 Mg C ha⁻¹), respectively, was larger than those in CT (0.68 and 2.02 Mg C ha⁻¹, respectively). Nonetheless, the total SOC stock in the 0–15 cm depth was not clearly different (54.48 and 54.63 Mg C ha⁻¹ in NT and CT, respectively), mainly due to the larger SOC stock of each fraction at 7.5–15 cm in CT.
Figure 5. Total carbon content (MgC ha\(^{-1}\)) of SOM fractions (light fraction (LF); (a), coarse-POM (c-POM; (b), fine-POM (f-POM; (c) and (d–e) silt+clay (mOM) by physical fractionation of Andosols from the no-tillage (NT) and rotary (RT) system-cultivated land under ryegrass (RY) at various depths. Bars indicate the standard error (\(n = 4\)). Different letters show significant differences for the tillage treatments of each soil depth, according to Student’s \(t\)-test (\(p < 0.05\)).
3.3. C:N, C:Po, and N:Po Ratio of SOM Fractions

The C:N ratios did not statistically differ in the whole fractions through the soil depths, except for LF at 0–2.5 and 7.5–15 cm (Table 2). The C:N ratio of LF (12.1–76.3) was higher than all the other fractions regardless of the treatment and depth, while the mOM fraction had the lowest C:N ratios (8.5–9.4) (Table 2). The C:Po and N:Po ratio of cPOM and mOM at 7.5–15 cm were higher in NT than CT, while those of fPOM at the 0–2.5 cm were lower in NT than CT (Table 2). Two-way ANOVA showed that both tillage and soil depth did not significantly affect the C:N, C:Po, and N:Po ratios of each fraction, except for LF (Table 1).

Table 2. C:N, C:Po, and N:Po ratios of each fraction by physical fractionation of Andosols from the no-tillage (NT) and conventional-tillage (CT) systems at various depths.

| Tillage Treatment | LF | cPOM | fPOM | mOM (Silt + Clay) | Total |
|-------------------|----|------|------|------------------|------|
| NT                |    |      |      |                  |      |
| 0–2.5             | 15.5 a | 12.1 b | 11.6 a | 10.6 a | 11 a | 10.3 a | 8.47 a | 9.44 a | 46.7 a | 42.4 a |
| CT                | (0.74) | (0.51) | (0.19) | (0.51) | (0.08) | (0.53) | (0.66) | (0.15) | (0.41) | (1.50) |
| 2.5–7.5           | 20.9 a | 17.3 a | 10.4 a | 10.7 a | 10.7 a | 10.3 a | 8.83 a | 9.31 a | 50.8 a | 47.6 a |
| CT                | (5.53) | (2.50) | (0.55) | (0.56) | (0.19) | (0.59) | (0.61) | (0.10) | (5.04) | (2.66) |
| 7.5–15            | 38.0 b | 76.3 a | 10.1 a | 10.5 a | 10.1 a | 10.6 a | 9.26 a | 8.85 a | 67.4 b | 106.2 a|
| CT                | (4.33) | (8.74) | (0.20) | (0.44) | (0.16) | (0.41) | (0.31) | (0.25) | (4.10) | (8.70) |

N.B. Different superscript letters indicate significant differences between the land management practices within each depth at p < 0.05, using the Student’s t-test. Numbers in parentheses indicate standard errors of the mean (n = 4).

4. Discussion

4.1. Effect of No Tillage on Physically Fractionated C, N, and Po in Andosols

In this study, NT clearly increased the TC of cPOM and fPOM only at the surface layer (0–7.5 cm). On the other hand, NT did not increase the TC of the mOM throughout the depth (0–15 cm) even after continuous treatment for 19 years. These results indicate that (1) NT increased the labile SOC at the surface layer (0–2.5 cm and 2.5–7.5 cm) but not at the subsoil layer (deeper than 7.5 cm), and (2) NT did not increase the stable SOC in Andosols in Japan. Thus, our first hypothesis was verified. Pinheiro et al. [56] observed that the C of the sand fraction (i.e., cPOM) in NT (13.9 gkg⁻¹) was higher than that in CT (2.5 gkg⁻¹) at 0–10 cm depth but not in the silt + clay fraction (i.e., mOM) after seven years of tillage treatment in Oxisols, Brazil. These results indicate that POM fractions at the sur-
face layer are good indicators of conservation management in Andosols, Japan. The possible reason for the lack of variation in stable SOC by NT may be as follows: (1) POM fractions may have more room for TC accumulation because POM fractions are not saturated generally [32], or (2) TC of mOM might be saturated, preventing TC accumulation in this fraction [56,57]. For the first reason, past research has reported that incorporated C was absorbed in cPOM and fPOM because of the allophanic minerals in these fractions of Andosols [11,58], potentially preventing the mineralized C from moving and accumulating into mOM from other fractions. In this study, it was not possible to conclude the reason; therefore, further research is necessary to assess the fraction migration with decomposition by using the 13C-labelled residues, which facilitates tracking C residues through the different SOM pools [59,60]. The increase in TC in the LF fraction at the surface layer under NT is due to SOM build-up in the surface depth as a result of a lack of disturbance [61].

Similar accumulation patterns of TN and Po with TC of each fraction were observed in this study under the tillage treatments at the surface layers (0–7.5 cm) (Figure 3). Asano et al. [11] also reported a similar distribution pattern between N and C within the various fractions of Andosols in Japan, and our results were consistent with their results. Dou et al. [62] also observed a similar trend between C and N accumulation in Inceptisols in the USA, showing that a similar accumulation pattern in C and N (and possibly Po) occurs not only in Andosols but also in other soil types. In this study, the TN and Po of POM fractions were higher in NT than in CT because of the increased aggregation stability caused by NT treatment both in cPOM and fPOM at the surface layer [63,64]. The stability of SOM is a biochemical indicator of soil quality [65], as it prevents nutrient loss and ensures nutrient availability to plants and microorganisms [37]. Hence, the higher stability of TN and Po of POM fractions under NT highlights the relevance of NT in soil fertility and plant growth in Andosols. Tan et al. [66] also found a higher TN in the POM fractions in NT than in CT in Berks, Coshocton, and Rayne soils in the USA when assessing the effects of land use and management on the mass distribution of SOC fractions. This indicates that aggregation is higher in labile fractions of undisturbed soils than in disturbed soils. In the case of Po, we found a lower Po of cPOM in NT than that in CT at 7.5–15 cm because NT treatment should accumulate newly added plant residue on the surface. By contrast, CT treatment should uniformly mix through the depth [67] and Po of the labile fraction, such as cPOM, at deeper layers should become lower in NT than CT.

We found that NT increased the total SOC stock of cPOM and fPOM compared with CT at the 0–7.5 cm depth, while its effect was diluted by the SOC stock of fPOM and mOM at the 7.5–15 cm depth. The total SOC stock at 0–15 cm was not different for NT and CT treatments (Figure 5). Higashi et al. [23] also observed similar results in the same experimental field; for example, they found that NT did not increase the total SOC stock in bulk soil at 0–15 cm depth for nine years of tillage treatments. Alvarez et al. [68] also observed a significant SOC stock increase in the medium fraction at the 0–5 cm depth by NT relative to CT but disappeared at depths greater than 5 cm. Furthermore, no significant difference in the mOM fraction with tillage treatment with depth was observed in Mollisols in Argentina after 15 years of treatment. These results indicate that NT in Andosols should affect the vertical distribution of accumulated SOC stock within the various fractions at 0–15 cm depth but not increase the total SOC stock even after 19 years experimental period.

4.2. Effect of No Tillage on Fractionated OM Quality in Andosols

In this study, we did not observe a clear difference in the C:N, C:Po, and N:Po ratios of most fractions between the NT and CT treatments in Andosols even after an experimental period of 19 years. This is possibly because of the strong binding agent for OM, such as SRO minerals in Andosols [69], although Zotarelli et al. [30] also reported no difference in the C:N ratio for POM and mOM fractions under NT for 25 years at a depth of
0–20 cm in Oxisols. On the other hand, Tan et al. [66] observed a significant difference in the C:N ratio of LF between NT and CT, which is consistent with our results. This could be because LF is the first point where crop residue transforms to SOM, and C and N mineralization occur [70].

These results indicate that NT did not affect the availability of TN and Po in the whole soil fraction in Andosols, although NT increased the amounts of TN and Po of cPOM and fPOM. To compare and assess the Po availability in each fraction at NT and CT, we conducted a simple regression analysis between C and Po of each fraction (Figure 6) [44]. In this analysis, we found a clear relationship between C and Po of both cPOM and fPOM but not of mOM in NT. Conversely, no clear relationship was observed between C and Po for cPOM, fPOM, and mOM in CT. This indicates that C of both cPOM and fPOM was closely related to Po by NT treatment, and thus, both fractions are important for P supply for crop growth, with C mineralization. Wyngaard et al. [44] on Mollisols in Argentina and Sugihara et al. [45] on Oxisols in Cameroon also found a clear relationship between C and Po of POM but not of mOM. The reason for the unclear relationship between C and Po of mOM is as follows: the mineralization rate of C and Po would be slower in mOM than in POM fractions due to the strong P fixation capacity of SRO minerals in Andosols, and thus, such unbalanced Po accumulation would have occurred, although further study is necessary. In addition, we found a different quantitative relationship between C and Po in cPOM (slope: 0.06–0.07) and fPOM (slope: 0.10), indicating more Po accumulation for the C unit of fPOM than cPOM. To the best of our knowledge, no other study has reported such differences for cPOM and fPOM in terms of Po availability. This theoretically indicates that the fPOM fraction is a more important P pool than cPOM in Andosols. Organic molecules (i.e., Po and SOC) are lower in the cPOM fraction than in the fPOM fraction because the cPOM fraction is unprotected and more susceptible to decomposition [6,71]. Further studies are needed to understand the relationship between cPOM and fPOM P supply in relation to C mineralization using 13 C and 31 P nuclear magnetic resonance [72] in Andosols and other soil types to verify the uniqueness of this observation for Andosols.

![Figure 6](image-url)
5. Conclusions

This study revealed an increase in the TC, TN, and Po contents of cPOM and fPOM fractions at the 0–7.5 cm depth in the no-tillage system from a long-term experiment, suggesting that the no-tillage system must be highly effective for the improvement of soil fertility at the surface soils in Andosols, Japan. We also found that more Po accumulation for the C unit of fPOM than cPOM, indicating the fPOM fraction is a more important P pool than cPOM in Andosols, though there was no clear effect of the no-tillage system on these patterns. Finally, we conclude that the no-tillage system in Andosols of Japan can improve the soil health and crop yield, as POM fractions have a large influence on the nutrient-supplying capacity of soils [73].
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