Non-woven bilayered biodegradable chitosan-gelatin-polylactide scaffold for bioengineering of tracheal epithelium
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Abstract
Objectives: The conversion of tissue engineering into a routine clinical tool cannot be achieved without a deep understanding of the interaction between cells and scaffolds during the process of tissue formation in an artificial environment. Here, we have investigated the cultivation conditions and structural features of the biodegradable non-woven material in order to obtain a well-differentiated human airway epithelium.

Materials and methods: The bilayered scaffold was fabricated by electrospinning technology. The efficiency of the scaffold has been evaluated using MTT cell proliferation assay, histology, immunofluorescence and electron microscopy.

Results: With the use of a copolymer of chitosan-gelatin-polylactide, a bilayered non-woven scaffold was generated and characterized. The optimal structural parameters of both layers for cell proliferation and differentiation were determined. The basal airway epithelial cells differentiated into ciliary and goblet cells and formed pseudostratified epithelial layer on the surface of the scaffold. In addition, keratinocytes formed a skin equivalent when seeded on the same scaffold. A comparative analysis of growth and differentiation for both types of epithelium was performed.

Conclusions: The structural parameters of nanofibres should be selected experimentally depending on polymer composition. The major challenges on the way to obtain the well-differentiated equivalent of respiratory epithelium on non-woven scaffold include the following: the balance between scaffold permeability and thickness, proper combination of synthetic and natural components, and culture conditions sufficient for co-culturing of airway epithelial cells and fibroblasts. For generation of skin equivalent, the lack of diffusion is not so critical as for pseudostratified airway epithelium.
1  |  INTRODUCTION

The factual knowledge, accumulated by tissue engineering so far, is not sufficient for a transition from the empirical to a fundamentally new, rationale-based level. Without a thorough understanding of mechanisms, that drive tissue formation in an artificial environment and the interaction of cultured cells and biodegradable scaffolds, one could not expect any significant progress in the field.

In epithelia bioengineering, biodegradable materials are of primary interest, since the restoration of the barrier function requires a timely replacement of an artificial scaffold with a native tissue, thus preventing the infection and excessive scarring. The process of formation and maturation of the functional epithelium requires reciprocal interaction of epithelial and mesenchymal cells. The epithelial cells need a thin and dense support (equivalent of a basement membrane) preventing their downward migration and promoting their flattening and "epithelialization" of the surface. By contrast, mesenchymal cells (ie, fibroblasts) require a porous substrate (equivalent of lamina propria) to ensure their inward migration. Thus, fabrication of a bilayered scaffold seems to be the way to solve the problem of epithelia bioengineering.

Two types of bilayered scaffolds for the 3D cultivation of respiratory epithelium deserve attention at this stage. The first type represents a bilayered matrix combining a film-like top layer and porous sub-layer (a collagen- or collagen/hyaluronate-based sponge). Although such collagen-based materials are sufficient in terms of biocompatibility and biodegradability, they are not providing adequate mechanical properties. Another material, proposed by Morris and coworkers, also represents a bilayered but non-woven scaffold made from a non-biodegradable polyethylene terephthalate. This scaffold mimics the fibrous structure of a natural extracellular matrix (ECM) of the decellularized trachea and was shown to be suitable for co-cultivation of fibroblasts (in the microfibrous layer) and lung adenocarcinoma CALU3 cells (placed on top of a nanofibrous layer) in a bioreactor. Surprisingly, while two types of scaffold discussed above are probably the best of their kind, a solid proof that they are sufficient to generate functional equivalents of the airway epithelium is still lacking. So far no data have been reported on the developing of differentiated airway epithelium with primary human cells on non-woven scaffolds.

Here, we obtained a novel mechanically sound polymeric scaffold that combines the advantages of both types of scaffolds mentioned above. We investigated the structural features of the biodegradable non-woven material and the cultivation conditions to obtain a well-differentiated human airway epithelium equivalent. On the same scaffold, we obtained skin equivalent with keratinocytes and analysed growth and differentiation for both types of epithelium. The scaffold was identified as a prospective tool for bioengineering of epithelial tissues including both airway epithelium and skin.

2  |  MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1  |  Scaffold fabrication

2.1.1  |  Processing of the blend

Chitosan/gelatin/poly-γ-l-lactide (PLLA) blend (52:13:35 wt.%) was prepared by solid-state reactive extrusion in a pilot ZE 40 twin-screw extruder (Berstorff, Germany) and stabilized by fraction of chitosan-g-poly-γ-l-lactide copolymers formed in situ in the process.

2.2  |  Scaffold fabrication

Copolymer films were cast from a stable colloidal solution of chitosan-gelatin-poly-γ-l-lactide copolymer (CGP) in dichloromethane (DCM; Component-Reactiv, Russia) as previously described.

A bilayered fibrous matrix was obtained from CGP polymer dispersions in DCM and DCM:ethyl alcohol (Himzakaz, Russia) using electrospinning technique with equipment previously described. Addition of PLLA (4032D; NatureWorks, USA) to CGP dispersion (53% CGP + 47% PLLA) allowed to obtain a matrix with the desired mechanical properties. The parameters of a time-stable spinning process are described in Table 1. Three types of matrices with nanolayer 25, 50 and 100 μm thick were obtained.

2.3  |  Surface modification

Direct current discharge plasma modification (surface activation) was carried as described earlier. Immobilization of hyaluronic acid (HA) (MW ~5 kDa; Bloomage Freda Biopharm, China) was performed immediately after surface activation through incubation of the scaffolds in 2 wt.% aqueous solution of HA for 2 hours at room temperature. An excess of HA was washed out by bi-distilled water and then the samples were freeze-dried.

| TABLE 1 Electrospinning parameters and dispersion composition for generation of distinct layers in CGP100-based bilayered fibrous matrix |
| --- |
| Layer | Solvent | Volume flow rate, mL/min | Conductivity, μS/cm | Total polymer part in the dispersion, % | Voltage, kV | Interelectrode distance, cm |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Nanolayer | 90% DCM 10% EA | 3 | 0.8 | 17.2 | 22 | 21 |
| Microlayer | DCM | 10 | 0.08 |  |  |  |

DCM, dichromethane; EA, Ethyl alcohol.
2.4 | Analysis of the polymer scaffold properties

2.4.1 | Morphology of the obtained samples

Surface morphology of the samples was evaluated using scanning electron microscope Versa3D DualBeam (FEI, USA). The images were obtained in secondary electron mode with ultralow accelerating voltage of 1 kV. For scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image processing and assessment of the average fibre diameter, Fiji software was used. The size distribution histograms had been obtained from at least 100 fibres.

2.4.2 | Assessment of porosity of a bilayered fibrous matrix

For porosity (Δ%) assessment, the 4 × 4 cm scaffold samples were used. The porosity (%) of the samples was calculated from the ratio between the volume occupied by the fibres and the total volume of the sample in triplicate. The analytical value of the composite fibre density was used for calculations, under the assumption that it approaches the value of the amorphous-crystalline PLLA density (1.2 g/cm³). The thickness of the scaffold layers was measured using SEM images (at least five times for each sample).

2.4.3 | Pore size analysis

The average pore size of the scaffolds was calculated in triplicate using POROLUX™ 1000 capillary flow porometer (Porotec, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

2.5 | Mechanical properties of scaffold samples

The mechanical properties of matrices were determined in triplicate by Instron-5965 Universal Testing System (Instron, USA). The size of the tested area was 10 × 5 mm, and the rate of the sample extension was 10 mm/min. The preload was 0.01 N. All studies were carried out at 23 ± 2°C. Before the experiment, all samples were contained in a liquid medium and after the test they were dried in a vacuum oven at 23°C to constant weight. To calculate the strength of the samples, the conditional cross section was determined using their mass and polymer density.

2.6 | 3D cell culture

2.6.1 | Isolation of primary cells

Primary cells were isolated from surgical discard tissues. Written informed consents were obtained for each volunteer and approved by Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russian Federation.

Airway epithelial cells were extracted from trachea. Cell isolation was performed using 0.1% Protease XIV (Sigma, Cat. No. P5147) solution as described. The extracted cell pellets were resuspended in an airway epithelial growth PneumaCult™-Ex Medium (Stemcell Technologies, Cat. No. 05008), seeded onto collagen I (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. A1048301)-coated plates and cultivated in the medium with antibiotics (penicillin 100 U/mL, streptomycin 100 μg/mL, gentamicin 50 μg/mL) and antimycotic (amphotericin 0.25 μg/mL).

Tracheal fibroblasts and dermal fibroblasts were isolated as previously described and grown in DMEM containing 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS). Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) were isolated as previously described from normal human gingival tissue obtained after routine dental surgery.

Epidermal keratinocytes were isolated from normal human skin (surgery leftovers) and grown in KSFM medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. No. 17005042) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

The cells from three different donors were used in each experiment.

2.6.2 | Two-chamber cell culture system

For the cultivation at air-liquid interface conditions (ALI), a customized culture system was created similar to the one previously described. Polymer membranes were removed from 12-mm-diameter cell culture inserts (Millicell, Cat. No. PIHP01250 and Corning, Cat. No. 3460), and the matrix was clamped between their plastic frames. The construct was placed into a 12-well plate.

2.6.3 | Cultivation of fibroblasts to evaluate their effects upon mechanical characteristics of the matrix

For mechanical testing, fibroblasts were seeded onto the microfibrous surface of the scaffold and cultivated as described below in Section 2.4.3 for 14 or 30 days.

2.6.4 | Development of complex (multicellular) equivalents

The matrix was pre-moistened in DMEM at 37°C for 1 hour before cell seeding. Then, the medium was removed and fibroblasts were seeded onto the microfibrous surface of the material at the density of 0.25 × 10⁵ cells/cm². After cultivation for 7-14 days, epithelial cells were seeded onto the nanofibrous surface at the density of 3 × 10⁵ cells/cm² and cultivated submerged for 2-3 days in proliferative medium. Then, the proliferative medium was removed and the differentiation medium added to the bottom chamber only (ALI cultivation). The medium was changed every 2nd day.

To promote proliferation of airway epithelial cells and epidermal keratinocytes during their submerged cultivation, PneumaCult™-Ex Medium and KSFM were used, respectively; for induction and support of cell differentiation, PneumaCult-ALI Medium (Stemcell Technologies, Cat. No. 05001) and CnT-Prime 3DBarrier Medium (CELLnTEC, Cat. No. CnT-PR-3D) were used, respectively.
Each type of equivalent was obtained at least three times with cells from different donors every time.

### 2.6.5 | Development of monoculture epithelial equivalents

The epithelial cells were seeded on pre-soaked matrices and cultivated as described above. For positive control, 12-mm-diameter cell culture inserts (Millicell, Cat. No. PIHP01250) were used along with CGP matrices.

### 2.7 | Assessing the properties of cell-matrix constructs

#### 2.7.1 | Cell viability analysis

Evaluation of the proliferation was carried out using the MTT cell proliferation assay (Sigma, Cat. No. M5655) as described previously (http://www.amsbio.com) on days 1, 3 and 10 after seeding 0.12 × 10⁵ cells/cm² (three replicates for each time point). The absorbance was measured using VICTOR X3 Microplate Reader (PerkinElmer, USA).

For viability analysis, fluorescent viability/cytotoxicity Kit (Invitrogen, Cat. No. L-3224) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol. The samples were analysed under the fluorescence microscope Zeiss Axiovert 40 CFL.

#### 2.7.2 | Histological analysis

The equivalents were fixed with 1.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% osmium tetroxide (SPI-Chem, Cat.No. 02601-AB), followed by dehydration through a graded series of ethanol and embedded in Epon 812 resin (SPI-Chem, Cat. No. 02660-AB). Semi-thin sections (1 μm) were sliced on ultramicrotome Ultracut UC-6 (Leica) and stained with Giemsa solution (Merck Chemicals, Cat. No. 61803900251730).

Frozen sections (12 μm) were sliced on Cryostat Microm HM 525 (Thermo Scientific). Haematoxylin & Eosin staining was carried out according to standard protocol.

#### 2.7.3 | Immunofluorescence analysis

Frozen sections were stained with primary antibodies to cytokeratin 5 (Abcam, Cat. No.53121), cytokeratin 10 (Abcam, Cat. No. 76318), cytokeratin 14 (Abcam, Cat. No. 7800), collagen IV (Abcam, Cat. No. 6586), β-catenin (Abcam, Cat. No. 16051), β-tubulin 4 (Abcam, Cat. No. 11315) and mucin 5AC (Abcam, Cat. No. 3649) followed by staining with species-specific secondary fluorescent antibodies (Jackson Immuno Research Cat. No. 711546152, 715165150). Finally, samples were counter-stained with DAPI (Sigma, Cat. No. D9542) and mounted in ImmuMount (Thermo Scientific, Cat. No. 9990402). Data were analysed using a fluorescent Zeiss Imager.D2 microscope software.

**FIGURE 1** The structure of a bilayered non-woven scaffold: (A)—a scheme of a bilayered scaffold: the microfibrous layer (mcf) was intended for the infiltration of fibroblasts. A nanofibrous layer (nf) was designed to support growth of epithelium on its surface; (B-D)—SEM images of electrospun bilayered CGP scaffold (w/o cells): (B)—scaffold cross section showing relative thickness of the layers and their tight bonding, (C)—nanofibrous layer and the structure of its fibres (insert), (D)—microfibrous layer and the structure of its fibres (same magnification as on C); (E-F)—histograms showing distribution of scaffold fibre diameters (measurement of at least 100 individual fibres) for nanofibrous layer (E) and microfibrous layer (F)
2.7.4 | Scanning electron microscopy

Cell-seeded scaffolds were fixed overnight in 2.5% glutaraldehyde, followed by dehydration through a graded series of ethanol and dried on a critical point dryer HCP-2 (Hitachi Company, Japan). Sputtering of gold on the sample was performed with IB-3 Ion Coater (Elko, Japan). Samples were examined under a scanning electron microscope Vega TC CamScan MV2300 (CamScan, UK).

2.8 | Statistical analysis

The results were presented as a mean ± standard error. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student’s t test. At P-values <0.05, the results were considered as statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Fabrication and modification of a bilayered material

The morphology and structural characteristics of the obtained scaffolds are shown on Figure 1 and Table 2, respectively. Both nanofibrous and microfibrous layers were homogenous, free of beads and possessed an anticipated structure. The upper (nanofibrous) layer (Figure 1A) was designed to support the growth of epithelial cells and to prevent their migration into the interior space of the scaffold. The inter-fibre distance (micron) in this layer was 2.8 ± 0.1 μm, which corresponded to a fibre diameter of 0.35 ± 0.2 μm (Figure 1C,E and Table 2). The lower microfibrous layer was designed to allow the fibroblasts to migrate inside and evenly populate the entire volume of the layer. This layer had an inter-fibre distance of 37.5 ± 3.8 μm, which corresponded to a fibre diameter of 2.5 ± 2.4 μm (Figure 1D,F and Table 2). The thickness of microfibrous layer was always the same (180 ± 3 μm), while for nanolayer, we tested three different options: 25, 53 and 100 μm. Both layers were tightly and securely connected to each other (Figure 1B).

After the electrospinning, the scaffold surface was hydrophobic (the water contact angle was 114°). To increase the hydrophilicity of the material, the scaffold was subjected to modification comprising plasma treatment and subsequent HA immobilization. HA treatment made the measurement of the water contact angle impossible since the water was instantly absorbed into the material (Video S1).

| Parameters | Nanofibrous layer | Microfibrous layer |
|------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Thickness (μm ± SEM) | 53 ± 5 | 180 ± 3 |
| Porosity (%) | 90 ± 3 | 88 ± 3 |
| Pore size (μm ± SEM) | 2.8 ± 0.1 | 37.5 ± 3.8 |
| Fibre diameter (μm ± SEM) | 0.35 ± 0.2 | 2.5 ± 2.4 |

3.2 | Proliferation of epithelial cells depends on nanofibrous surface structure at submerged culture

The fluorescence microscopy and SEM have shown that the primary human respiratory epithelium cells actively proliferate, migrate and reach confluence on the surface of the nanofibrous layer with practically no dead cells as confirmed by viability/cytotoxicity assay (Figure 2A). On days 2-4 of culturing, the cells covered the entire surface of the matrix and formed multiple intercellular contacts, as indicated by staining with antibodies to claudin I (data not shown) and β-catenin (Figure 2D), as well as by SEM results (Figure 2B,C). Most of the cells expressed cytokeratin 5 (CK5)—a marker of basal epithelial cells (Figure 2E). This indicates that cells retain the proliferative potential during the cultivation on the matrix and confirms their epithelial origin. Interestingly, if the pore size in the nanolayer was increased to more than 5 μm, the cells tended to form clusters but not a monolayer. On nanolayer with pores >10 μm, the epithelial cells ceased proliferation and spreading and eventually died (Figure 2F).

The thickness of the nanolayer also had a prominent effect on the proliferation and migration of epithelial cells (Figure 3). To reach a compromise between the rates of culture medium diffusion through the nanolayer during ALI cultivation and ability of this layer to provide adequate support for epithelialization, we have selected for further experiments the nanolayer of medium thickness of 53 ± 5 μm (Figure 1B and Table 2). The nanolayer of 25 μm thickness appeared to be too rarefied to support cell migration, while 100 μm layer was too thick to provide sufficient nutrient diffusion to the air-exposed cells on its surface.

3.3 | Development of a differentiated airway epithelium on bilayered scaffold at ALI

3.3.1 | Differentiation of epithelial cells at ALI

When cultivated on a non-modified matrix (total thickness of 235 μm, no HA treatment), the epithelial cells survived and proliferated, but the respiratory epithelium was not formed (Figure 4A-D).

In contrast, additional modification of the same (235 μm thick) matrix with the HA was sufficient to ignite and support epithelial cell differentiation with eventual formation of apparently normal airway epithelium. On the 12th day at ALI, weak expression of ciliary marker tubulin IV and goblet cells marker mucin 5AC was detected (Figure 4F,G). On the 21st day, the ciliary and goblet cells maturation continued (Figure 4I-L), as indicated by the noticeable expression of tubulin IV and mucin 5AC (Figure 4J,K). The presence of ciliated cells was also confirmed by the SEM results (Figure 4H,L). However, the basal cells pool was depleted (Figure 4M-P) as evident from the nuclear fragmentation in basal CK5-positive cells.

Noteworthy, the increase in total scaffold thickness to 350 μm resulted in suppression of mucociliary differentiation and formation of squamous epithelium irrespectively of HA treatment (Figure 4M-P). SEM analysis revealed undifferentiated cells only with indistinct intercellular borders (Figure 4P).
3.4 | Positive control (cell cultivation on polycarbonate inserts)

We used commercial polycarbonate inserts coated with collagen IV as a positive control model. The formation of pseudostratified epithelium was confirmed by microscopy (Figure S1).

3.5 | Co-cultivation of epitheliocytes with fibroblasts did not lead to increase in cell differentiation rates

The microfibrous layer retained mechanical properties (Figure S2) and supported the growth of tracheal fibroblasts and gingival MSCs (Figure S3). However, neither of these cell types was capable of stimulating epithelial cell differentiation upon co-culture. Furthermore, in some 3D cultivation experiments, CK5 positivity was observed all over the epithelium, suggesting stimulation of proliferative response in basal cells and suppression of differentiation (Figure S3). Surprisingly, in some cases, epithelial cells even died.

3.6 | The formation of skin equivalents on bilayered scaffold at ALI

We assumed that the combination of high biocompatibility of CGP-based scaffold with its bilayered structure would support the formation of not only respiratory, but other types of epithelia as well. We
fibronectin—component of natural ECM (Figure 5D). The upper nanofibrous layer supported proliferation of primary human keratinocytes. After induction of keratinocyte differentiation, epithelial equivalent was well stratified with defined basal layer (Figure 5A). Immunofluorescent staining (IF) showed expression of cytokeratin 14 in basal and cytokeratin 10 in suprabasal layers (Figure 5D,E). Keratinocytes of the basal layer synthesized the component of the basement membrane, collagen IV (Figure 5D,F). However, analysis of the morphology showed that epithelium was unevenly distributed on the matrix surface: the epithelial strata were thinner towards the centre (Figure 5F,G). Immobilization of HA resulted in more uniform epithelium morphology in the centre of skin equivalent.

As a positive control, commercial polycarbonate inserts were used (Figure S4).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Bilayered CGP scaffold development

The generation of a polymer scaffold for tracheal epithelium bioengineering was associated with tackling a number of technical problems which were tightly interconnected and required resolution all at the same time. Among advantages of non-woven materials are the similarity of their structure to the natural ECM\(^{7,18}\) and sufficient mechanical properties. However, synthetic polymers (suitable for electrospinning) do not have natural components supporting cell-matrix interaction, are usually highly hydrophobic and possess low biocompatibility (Table 3).

Currently, cultivation of respiratory epithelial equivalents is usually performed on commercial polycarbonate membranes\(^{19}\) or on scaffolds made of collagen\(^{20}\) or of its mixtures with natural ECM components\(^{21,22}\). However, the use of collagen-based scaffolds is hampered by the insufficient mechanical properties of these materials.\(^{6}\) In addition, while being nearly ideal for cell growth support, they have poor suitability for electrospinning and excessively high biodegradation rates.\(^{23}\)

To tackle these conflicting demands, we have chosen the CGP copolymer combining high mechanical properties and suitability for electrospinning of poly(lactide)\(^ {9,24}\) with biocompatibility, high cell adhesion capacity and antibacterial properties of chitosan.\(^ {25}\) The inclusion of gelatin into CGP backbone provides natural determinants.\(^ {26}\) The combination of acidic (PLLA) and basic (chitosan) polymers in one
macromolecule results in minimal pH shifts during biodegradation of the material.

4.2 | Proliferation of epithelial cells depends on nanofibrous surface structure at submerged culture

According to our results, in bilayered scaffold, the nanolayer should have small pores, be homogeneous and dense (with tightly packed fibres). The epithelial cells do not survive on a nanolayer with irregular structure and multiple beads on the fibres. The appearance of beads was directly related to the ratio of the hydrophobic to hydrophilic components in the polymer dispersion. Supplementation of the final blend with PLLA allowed to obtain more homogeneous fibres and to minimize formation of beads in the nanolayer.

The nanolayer pore size up to 5 μm appeared to be optimal for the epithelial basal cell survival and preservation of their proliferative and migratory potential for sufficient period of time (Figure 2E). When a pore size was more than 5 μm, cells formed clusters and did not survive after transfer to ALI.

The nanolayer thickness appeared to be of particular importance for the epithelial cell survival on top of the scaffold. In the submerged culture, 100 μm thick nanolayer supported cell migration and formation of a cell sheath much better as compared to 50 and, especially, 25 μm thick layers. However, during ALI cultivation, when the importance of nutrient supply from the below came to the first place, the 100 μm nanolayer was too thick to provide sufficient diffusion. Thus, for epithelial cell monolayer formation at ALI, the nanofibrous layer of the intermediate thickness (about 50 μm) appears to be optimal.

4.3 | Development of a differentiated airway epithelium on bilayered scaffold at ALI

4.3.1 | Modification of CGP scaffold with hyaluronic acid

The CGP copolymer contained only a part of chitosan and gelatin, and thus cannot be designated as “natural.” To gain better control over cell differentiation on CGP matrices, they were modified with

![FIGURE 5](image-url)
TABLE 3 The features of synthetic polymers and natural ECM components which may affect the quality of resulting non-woven scaffolds

| Natural ECM components                                      | Synthetic components                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Possess natural determinants promoting cell adhesion, migration and signaling | Natural determinants are lacking          |
| Hydrophilic                                                | Hydrophobic                               |
| Low mechanical strength                                    | High mechanical strength                  |
| Low to medium rigidity (as in natural ECM)                 | High rigidity                             |
| Unstable, easily degradable                                | Stable, allow to pre-set required biodegradation rates |
| Not suitable for controlled electrospinning                | Electrospinning yields materials with highly reproducible structure |

HA, that is an important structural and signalling component of natural ECM, interacting with cells through the CD44 receptor. HA treatment had also increased matrix hydrophilicity: the absorbed macromolecules of HA are guiding aqueous media components. Indeed, HA immobilization was sufficient to induce differentiation of human airway epithelium cells on nanolayer surface (Figure 4).

4.3.2 The role of matrix thickness and permeability

During ALI cultivation, respiratory epithelium cells appeared to be highly dependent upon nutrient and liquid supply from the bottom. High nutrient demand of developing respiratory epithelium may be linked to high energy consumption by mucociliary transport. To fulfil these requirements, the cultivation of respiratory epithelium equivalents in perfusion bioreactors may represent a good option. It was previously reported that for artificial scaffolds thicker than 500 μm, forced perfusion is mandatory. The perfusion leads to a measurable increase in the proliferation rate of oral mucosa cells on sponges. Of note, on thick scaffolds (over 300 μm), we did not see formation of mucociliary pseudostratified epithelium even after HA treatment. The differentiation slipped towards stratified squamous epithelium (Figure 4M-P). Previously, it was shown that the differentiation of the tracheal epithelium depends on the porosity of the scaffold used.

Our results are in line with these reports.

4.4 Co-cultivation of airway epithelial cells with fibroblasts

The differentiation of the respiratory epithelium is a complex process controlled by multiple factors, which significantly vary between different mammalian species. Many of these factors are directly secreted by resident fibroblasts or are under fibroblast expression control. The stimulation of rat and guinea pig tracheal epithelial cells differentiation by fibroblasts (with basement membrane formation) was shown in 3D culture on collagen gels. Later, it was shown that rat MSCs may affect epithelial cell activity in different ways: gingival MSCs promoted differentiation of basal tracheal epithelial cells, while adipose-derived MSCs stimulated their proliferation. Nevertheless, the data on the role of fibroblast-secreted paracrine factors in maintaining the balance between proliferation and differentiation of human epithelial cells are scarce.

In our experiments, we failed to achieve an effective balance between proliferation and differentiation of epithelial cells by their co-culture with fibroblasts. The presence of fibroblasts resulted either in death of epithelial cells or in their excessive proliferation demarcated by increase in CK5 expression. Further detailed studies are necessary to fill up the gap in our understanding of epithelial/mesenchymal interactions and the formation of basal membrane, which is essential for the functioning of any epithelial tissue.

4.5 Skin equivalent on CGP scaffold

Generation of morphologically sound skin equivalents containing two (keratinocytes and mesenchymal cells) and even three (including melanocytes) cell types has been described in sufficient details, for example, Powell and Boyce. However, a combined cultivation of respiratory epithelium cells with mesenchymal cells still remains a challenge. Our results did not make an exception. The generation of a complex equivalent of tracheal epithelium on apparently optimal CGP scaffold appeared problematic. At the same time, using the same bilayered matrix, we obtained complex skin equivalent (Figure 5A) with both keratinocytes and fibroblasts functioning in concert (Figure 5E,F).

When cultured at ALI, the epidermal keratinocytes appeared to be less sensitive to scaffold thickness and porosity as compared to respiratory epithelial cells. With increasing scaffold thickness, the differentiation of airway epithelial cells first shifted towards the flattening squamous type, and then (on thicker matrices) the cells died. In contrast, the epidermal keratinocytes survived on excessively thick (>500 μm) scaffolds and formed stratified layers but the basal layer showed signs of dystrophy. These diversities may be linked to differences in nutrient and moisturization demand between ciliated mucous-producing respiratory epithelium and cornifying epidermis.

Furthermore, for airway epithelium, modification of the CGP scaffold by HA was mandatory to induce formation of columnar epithelium, while in skin equivalents, HA was not essential for differentiation.

5 Conclusions

1. Non-woven materials appear to be extremely attractive for the production of complex epithelial equivalents. However, the production of high-quality non-woven scaffolds requires the use of a high proportion of synthetic components. This makes it difficult to develop mucous airway epithelial equivalents at such non-physiological conditions.
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