This study uses a Lagrangian approach to better understand the pathways into the Arabian Sea OMZ using HYCOM velocity fields. This is an extremely important topic and the results have the potential to be very valuable for the millions of people that depend on fish catches in the Arabian Sea as their primary form of sustenance, among others. The analysis has potential to produce a useful contribution to the current literature and has very clearly stated objectives, but I have many concerns, listed below. I cannot recommend this article for publication in its current form, but would be willing to review another version pending major revisions.

Reply to reviewer #1
We would like to thank the reviewer for taking the time and for providing constructive and very specific comments, which helped to improve the manuscript considerably.

We rearranged the order of figures and included two completely new figures (Figs. 6 and 11). We also rewrote some parts of the manuscript to make the results and the discussion easier to follow. We have carefully addressed his/her comments. The point-by-point responses follow below (written in bold).

1) Some temporal discrepancies: The WOA13 monthly dissolved oxygen climatology covers 1955-2012, the HYCOM velocities are daily from 2000-2012, and the NCEP CFSR forcing is used from 1995-2012. Are the years in the actual analysis consistent? How can this version of HYCOM have any forcing from 1995-2000 if it starts in 2000? NCEP CFSR only exists until March 2011, at which point most systems switch their forcing to CFSv2. The authors need to make section 2.1 more clear.

It is right that the WOA 13 monthly dissolved oxygen climatology and the HYCOM velocities cover different time periods, but this is not relevant for the analysis of the Lagrangian pathways. In this study WOA 13 oxygen data are exclusively used to motivate the setup of the experiment. An overview about location, spatial extension (Fig. 3) and seasonal cycle (Fig. 4) of the ASOMZ is given in order to define e.g. the locations as well as the isopycnal of the release of the particles. The actual analysis of the seasonal variability of the circulation is done only with the HYCOM velocities and is not relying on the time covered by the oxygen observations.

Please see text:

"The global observational dissolved oxygen climatology of the World Ocean Atlas 2013 (WOA13), is used to plan and setup the study. The monthly mean data cover a period from 1955-2012 and are available with a spatial resolution of 1° x 1° interpolated on 102 depth levels (Garcia et al., 2013)."

The HYCOM reanalysis data are available from August 1995 until December 2012. Due to some gaps in the first years of the analysis, we decided only to use the data from 2000 onward for the trajectory analysis. Thus the forcing is actually from 1995 until 2012. We stated that point more clearly in the script now:

"Due to missing data in the early years of the reanalysis, the model velocity output used here for the trajectory analysis were daily snapshots for the time period from January 2000 to December 2012."

We also included the correct forcing for the later years in the text:

"The surface forcing is from the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR, 1995-2011) and Climate Forecast System Version 2 (CFSv2, 2011-2012)."
2) A major issue I have is that HYCOM is not a biophysical model. A comparison with a biophysical model might be more appropriate. Comparison between model currents and real dissolved oxygen measurements is not necessarily realistic. Also, the temporal sampling between currents and dissolved oxygen should be the same. I understand that there is a limited number of dissolved oxygen observations, in which case climatological currents should be used as well.

Thanks for bringing this point into the discussion. We spend some time planning the experiment and ended up with the decision not to use a biophysical model, because there is still some discussion on the dynamics of the OMZ in the Arabian Sea as well as biophysical models reveal large uncertainties in comparison to observations such as they fail to reproduce the OMZ. Based on studies on the equatorial Pacific from global coupled biogeochemical circulation models Dietze and Löptien (2013) point out that poor model performance with respect to oxygen minimum zones is related to a deficient representation of ventilation pathways rather than being associated with a deficient representation of biogeochemical processes (i.e. respiration).

Therefore we put our focus on the physical processes and chose the HYCOM model, which has a high “spatial resolution of 1/12° in longitude and latitude with 40 depth levels” and “a realistic bathymetry based on the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) and uses isopycnal coordinates in the open, stratified ocean, changes to terrain-following coordinates in shallower and coastal regions and uses z-level coordinates in the mixed layer.” “The variable vertical coordinates are beneficial for the model to better reproduce the circulation near out-/overflow regions compared to typical z-level models, which would generally have problems to resolve the shallower coastal regions properly (see also Bleck and Boudra, 1981; Bleck and Benjamin, 1993; Bleck, 2002). The latter is important for the analysis of the supply of Persian Gulf Water (PGW) and Red Sea Water (RSW) through the Gulf of Oman and the Gulf of Aden respectively.”

As already mentioned in the manuscript, we included climatological current and compared them with the ones calculated from the velocity time series. (“To detect the interannual variability the runs with the duration of 13 years used for the statistics were compared to a climatological run, which was performed with velocity fields with the mean daily velocity of the 13 years at each grid point and day.”) The differences that are found are stated in section 3.5.

25 Coming to the temporal sampling of the particles and trajectories, the statements we make about the seasonal cycle refer to climatological monthly crossings over the selected sections (Fig. 10). Comparing this with the monthly oxygen climatology, the temporal sampling is the same, even if the input comes from different time scales. So we think that it is appropriate to draw conclusions from that.

3) The authors validate their HYCOM velocity data with YoMaHa’07, which is based on observational Argo data. Why use HYCOM at all if the authors have observational YoMaHa currents that they can compare with the observation-based climatology of WOA13? It does not make sense to compare model currents to explain observations when observational currents are available, especially if the authors are using a Lagrangian approach. Why not use observed Lagrangian data, e.g. drifters? There is plenty of drifter data in this region (see various papers by R. Lumpkin).

Ventilation in the OMZ layers of the AS is mainly facilitated by three major source water masses (Indian Central Water, Persian Gulf Water and Red Sea Water) circulating at intermediate depth. Therefore, the isopycnal layers of the Lagrangian analysis performed for our study are found at intermediate depth between 250 to 900 m. Unfortunately, in this depth range observational data are sparse and thus we have to rely on model data.

Due to the lack of observational velocity data at intermediate depth, the validation of the model is done with observations at the surface, where the data coverage is sufficient with e.g. drifter or Argo data. The YoMaHa’07 climatology based on Argo observational data only provides velocity data at the surface and at 1000 m depth.
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This is also stated in the Introduction: “While the circulation of the upper-ocean is fairly well known from drifter data (Shenoi et al., 1999) and satellite altimetry (Beal et al., 2013) precise subsurface ventilation pathways of water masses entering the AS beneath the surface layer are less well understood in detail due to a lack of observational data (McCreary et al., 2013)...” as well as in chapter 2.1: “For a validation of the HYCOM velocity data we compared the near-surface circulation of HYCOM with the climatology of YoMaHa’07, which is based on observational data obtained from Array of Real-time Geostrophic Oceanography (ARGO) floats (Lebedev et al., 2007). This choice is motivated by the lack of observational data at intermediate depths between 200 and 800 m in the Arabian Sea.”

4) YoMaHa currents in figure S1 are from 1997–2007 and HYCOM is implied to be from 2000–2012 based on the text. If they are not the same time period, please correct this.

Thank you for pointing this out. We changed the time period from 1997 – 2007 for both data sets now for the validation of the model and updated figure S1.

We modified the text: “For a validation of the HYCOM velocity data we compared the near-surface circulation of HYCOM with the climatology of YoMaHa’07, which is based on observational data obtained from Array of Real-time Geostrophic Oceanography (ARGO) floats (Lebedev et al., 2007). This choice is motivated by the lack of observational data at intermediate depths between 200 and 800 m in the Arabian Sea. YoMaHa’07 provides a 1°x1° bin averaged monthly climatology of the surface velocity for the time period from 1997 to 2007. Therefore HYCOM data used for the validation were monthly averaged for the time period from 1997 to 2007 and 1°x1° bin averaged in accordance with the YoMaHa’07 climatology.”

5) There is no discussion of non-advective processes that influence changes in oxygen. A full budget analysis might be outside of the scope of this paper, but there is sufficient velocity data to quantify influence of upwelling on biological productivity, particularly because it drives a lot of the biophysical dynamics in this region, particularly during the summer monsoon season and should not be ignored.

The influence of upwelling on biological productivity and hence for the advection of the ASOMZ is discussed now in more detail. In addition, we included a new figure (Fig. 11), which schematically shows main pathways and areas of net primary production for the monsoon and intermonsoon seasons to comprehend the results and the impact of the seasonal biological production.

Discussion: „ During the summer monsoon enhanced upwelling occurs along the western boundary leading to the incidence of phytoplankton blooms, resulting in a region of highest biological productivity in the world ocean (Qasim, 1982). “

“Lagrangian particles cross regions with high primary production during the long-distance advection while looping around the northern part of the basin. A study of seasonal vertically migrating scattering layers reveals a rapid increase of biomass in the northern Arabian Sea in the layer between 250 and 450 m depth during the period of June to November (Wang et al., 2014). Resulting high consumption rates in the outflow region of the Gulf of Oman in winter (Fig. 11, Acharya and Panigrahi, 2016; Lachkar et al., 2018) might lead to a transport of lower oxygenated water, which might be responsible for the minimum of oxygen concentration in the eastern basin in winter (Fig. 4a).”

“Compared to the strong variability of the western boundary current, the seasonal oxygen cycle in the western basin is weak (Fig. 4a). This could be explained by the loss of oxygen via consumption when RSW passes the area of strong primary production off the coast of Oman (Acharya and Panigrahi, 2016) during the summer monsoon (Fig 11).”
Regions of sluggish ventilation can be found in all ocean basins e.g. in the eastern parts of the tropical Pacific and Atlantic Ocean southeast/northeast of the subtropical gyre circulation (Luyten et al., 1983) and in the northern Indian Ocean due to the lack of ventilation from the north. Weak ventilation combined with high biological production in upwelling regions and thus enhanced oxygen consumption by sinking organic matter results consequently in very low levels of dissolved oxygen below the surface (Stramma et al., 2008; Gilly et al., 2013).

You are absolutely right that vertical advection, especially in the upwelling regions is an important part of the flow. However, Banse et al. (2014) considers the supply of oxygen to the ASOMZ to be mainly along isopycnals. As far as we know this is the state of the art knowledge as also other recent studies refer to it (Shenoy et al., 2020). Thus we assume that the assumptions are sufficient for the scope of our paper focusing on the advection in the AS at intermediate depths.

We hope that we could motivate our assumptions more clearly by indicating relevant references and figures. “Our experiments are based on the assumption that PGW and RSW are the main local source water masses that are relevant for the ventilation of the ASOMZ (Prasad et al., 2001; Beal et al., 2000; Shankar et al., 2005) and that the oxygen rich waters follow largely their isopycnal layer horizontally. The annual mean of dissolved oxygen from WOA 13 climatology is shown in Figure 3 to give an overview about location and spatial extension of the ASOM as well as the isopycnal layers associated with the density of the PGW and RSW.”

Yes, you are right. The pathways are changing during the different monsoon seasons as described in the manuscript. The schematic (Fig. 1) aims to give a rough overview about the main water masses at intermediate depths as well as their main pathways.

Additionally, we included a new schematic showing the main advective pathways at intermediate depths for the different monsoon seasons (Fig. 11) which helps to point out the results of our study.

With all the discussion of calculating pathways and trajectories, it would be nice to have a figure explicitly showing some of this, as is promised in the beginning of section 3.2, rather than only particle position probability maps. Many of the conclusions that the authors are making are very difficult to obtain from the figures.
We have now included two new figures that hopefully help to comprehend the results pointing out in the manuscript. The new figure 6 shows a random selection of the trajectories connecting the eastern and western release point with the Red Sea and the Persian Gulf. Please see text in section 3.2 right at the beginning: “Figure 6 shows exemplary trajectories connecting the release locations with the marginal seas. This subsample of advective Lagrangian pathways already shows that the majority of particles follows distinct pathways.”

The new figure 11 schematically shows the main advective pathways at intermediate depths for different monsoon seasons wrapping up the content of figures 8 to 10 (new figure numbers) and we hope that this helps to follow our conclusions.

12) Page 9: Why discuss Figure 2 after Figure 4? Throughout the paper there is an unusual amount of jumping between figures, giving the impression that it is poorly organized.

We are sorry that the order of the figures confused you. As we refer to some of them already in section 2, we sorted them by their first appearance in the manuscript. However, we took your comment in consideration and reorganised the figures in a way that they now appear in order of their main discussion.

13) Section 3.1: None of this seems new, especially the second half. Monsoon circulation has been well-studied for decades. It is right that near-surface monsoon circulation has been studied before but there is still a lack of observational velocity data at intermediate depth. In this study, we describe the circulation at intermediate depth, referring to the HYCOM velocities at the isopycnal surface of 27 kg/m³ (depth ~ 450-500 m). We think it is essential to show the velocities on which we base the trajectory analysis and helpful for the reader as we refer to it later in the text as well. Thus we would like to keep it in there.

14) The entire results section is very hard to read, partly due to the writing and partly due to the lack of clarity of the figures.

I recommend that the authors read some papers on studies that use drifters for reference to show clear oceanic pathways. A good example is: Drouin, K. L., & Lozier, M. S. (2019). The surface pathways of the South Atlantic: Revisiting the cold and warm water routes using observational data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124(10), 7082-7103.

Thank you for the recommended paper. We hope that the new figures (Figs. 6 and 11) help the reader to follow our thoughts. The new figure 6 shows a random selection of the trajectories connecting the eastern and western release point with the Red Sea and the Persian Gulf. The new figure 11 schematically shows the main advective pathways at intermediate depths for different monsoon seasons.

We are aware that Lagrangian analysis offers a lot of different methods to study and to present ocean circulation. It turned out that probability maps of the Lagrangian particle position as well as the point to point transit time analysis seemed to be the best methods to visualize the trajectories calculated in this study. These methods are appropriate to other studies on Lagrangian trajectories as e.g. in Gary et al., 2011 and in van Sebille et al., 2018 showing a review about “Lagrangian ocean analysis: Fundamentals and practices”.

15) We are aware of the fact that we refer quite often to figures that have been discussed earlier in chapter 2. Data and method, especially figures 2 to 5. We considered it to be helpful and not distracting for the reader to refer to them more often as these are fundamental figures on which the experimental setup is based.

In the discussion we refer more often to the new figure (Fig. 11), which schematically show the results and prevents from jumping between the figures as well.

20) Page 9: Why discuss Figure 2 after Figure 4? Throughout the paper there is an unusual amount of jumping between figures, giving the impression that it is poorly organized.

We are sorry that the order of the figures confused you. As we refer to some of them already in section 2, we sorted them by their first appearance in the manuscript. However, we took your comment in consideration and reorganised the figures in a way that they now appear in order of their main discussion.

25) We are aware of the fact that we refer quite often to figures that have been discussed earlier in chapter 2. Data and method, especially figures 2 to 5. We considered it to be helpful and not distracting for the reader to refer to them more often as these are fundamental figures on which the experimental setup is based.

In the discussion we refer more often to the new figure (Fig. 11), which schematically show the results and prevents from jumping between the figures as well.
Add references: Lachkar, Z., Lévy, M., & Smith, K. S. (2019). Strong intensification of the Arabian Sea oxygen minimum zone in response to Arabian Gulf warming. Geophysical Research Letters, 46(10), 5420-5429.
Shenoy, D. M., Suresh, I., Uskaikar, H., Kurian, S., Vidya, P. J., Shirodkar, G., ... & Naqvi, S. W. A. (2020). Variability of dissolved oxygen in the Arabian Sea Oxygen Minimum Zone and its driving mechanisms. Journal of Marine Systems, 103310.

We added the references to the text:

“That this season is crucial for the ventilation of the OMZ with PGW was shown by Lachkar et al. (2019) with a model sensitivity study.”

“Oxygenated Indian Central Water (ICW) enters the AS at intermediate depth (200 – 500 m; Shenoy et al., 2020) from the south (Fig. 1).”

“The northward advection into the eastern basin along the Indian coast shows a second maximum during winter monsoon (Fig. 1a), which can be confirmed by observations showing a supply of oxygenated ICW during that time (Shenoy et al., 2020).”
The manuscript addresses important concerns relating to the oxygen minimum zone in the Arabian Sea, but could benefit from a more complete comparison of the model output to observational data, including acknowledgement of existing observational publications.

Reply to reviewer #2

We would like to thank the reviewer for taking the time and for providing constructive and very specific comments, which helped to improve the manuscript considerably.

We rearranged the order of figures and included two completely new figures (Figs. 6 and 11). We also rewrote some parts of the manuscript to make the results and the discussion easier to follow. We have carefully addressed his/her comments. The point-by-point responses to the specific comments follow below (written in bold).

Specific comments

1) It is not clear to me how “intermediate” depth is defined. On Page 2 Line 7 the OMZ is stated as 200-700 m depth, and Fig 3 has particle paths spanning from 200-800 m depth, so I assume this must be the range, but it should be stated more clearly in the text (maybe in parenthesis behind the first reference to “intermediate depth)

This is a good point. We included the definition of “intermediate” depth now more clearly in the manuscript.

Abstract: “... to investigate the advective pathways of Lagrangian particles into the Arabian Sea OMZ at intermediate depths between 200 and 800 m.”

Section 2.2: “...OMZ by performing backward trajectories and to draw inferences of the basin wide spread of oxygen at intermediate depth (200 – 800 m).”

2) Page 4 Lines 26-29 not necessary

We would like to keep the paragraph. It might not be necessary but we consider it as helpful for the reader.

3) Page 5 line 21+ say model output were validated using YoMaHa data, but no detail is provided other than stating it “agrees very well.”

We added a carefully description of the near-surface circulation obtained from YoMaHa07 as well as HYCOM. Additionally, we refer to the analysis of near-surface circulation in the northwestern Indian Ocean based on drifter data by Vitale et al. (2017).

“The complex circulation pattern at the near-surface, which is strongly affected by the seasonal Asian monsoon (Schott et al., 2009) is well described by the HYCOM data reflecting all (reversing) currents that are relevant for the AS. During the winter monsoon, the Somali Current (SC) flows southwestward along the coast of Somalia (Fig. S1a, b). The Northeast Monsoon Current (NMC) sets westward at the southern tip of India and supplies the West Indian Coast Current (WICC), which is flowing northward along the coast of India (Figs. 1a, b). During the summer monsoon, the Somali Current (SC) and the Ras al Hadd Jet (RHJ; also called East Arabian Current (Vitale et al., 2017)) flow northeastward along the coast of Somalia and Oman (Fig. S1c, d). A strong gyre, the Great Whirl (GW), can be identified, which generally develops off the coast of Somalia during the summer monsoon season (Figs. S1c, d). In accordance to observations, the West Indian Coast Current (WICC) flows southerly along the coast of India and feeds the Southwest Monsoon Current (Figs. 1e, d). The comparison of the near-surface circulation obtained from HYCOM...
and ARGO agrees very well during the winter and summer monsoon (see supplement Fig. S1). Additionally, an analysis of seasonal surface velocities in the AS (Vitale et al., 2017; their Figs. 2a, 3a), which is based on a drifter climatology including data from March 1995 to March 2009 (Lumpkin and Pazos, 2006) also confirms the good representation of the near-surface velocity from HYCOM data.”

4) The manuscript states a lack of observational data, but several circulation studies have been done in this region that are not acknowledged in this manuscript. The manuscript would benefit from a more detailed description of how model output compare to both YoMaHa observations as well as previously published observations. Suggestions here: Zhankun Wang et al 2014 (Deep Sea Research) Seasonal and annual variability of vertically migrating scattering layers in the northern Arabian Sea. Zhankun Wang et al 2013 (Deep Sea Research) High salinity events in the northern Arabian Sea and Sea of Oman. Sarah Stryker Vitale et al 2017 (Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans) Circulation analysis in the northwest Indian Ocean using ARGO floats and surface drifter observations, and SODA reanalysis output.

Thanks for pointing out these publications. The analysis of near-surface circulation in the northwestern Indian Ocean based on drifter data by Vitale et al. (2017) is used now for the validation of the HYCOM velocity data.

“Additionally, an analysis of seasonal surface velocities in the AS (Vitale et al., 2017; their Figs. 2a, 3a), which is based on a drifter climatology including data from March 1995 to March 2009 (Lumpkin and Pazos, 2006) also confirms the good representation of the near-surface velocity from HYCOM data.”

Zhankun Wang et al., 2013 and 2014 are now acknowledged in the introduction and the discussion.

“In the northwestern part of the basin where the Gulf of Oman merges with the AS, PGW about constantly runs out throughout the year (Fig. 10c, d). Observations confirm the small seasonal variations of the PGW outflow (Johns et al., 2003), which can be influenced by cyclones (Wang et al., 2013).”

“The seasonal changes significantly influence biogeochemical cycles, biological activity and ecosystem response (Hood et al., 2009; Resplandy et al., 2012; Brewin et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014).”

“Lagrangian particles cross regions with high primary production during the long-distance advection while looping around the northern part of the basin. A study of seasonal vertically migrating scattering layers reveals a rapid increase of biomass in the northern Arabian Sea in the layer between 250 and 450 m depth during the period of June to November (Wang et al., 2014).”

5) Page 9 Line 17- state approximate depths of isopycnals (here and elsewhere) in the text so the reader does not have to refer to the figure throughout. As the depth of the isopycnal surfaces are variable, we decided to refer to the density in the text, because this is the more precise information. To make it easier for the reader to follow, we now added the depth of the isopycnal surface at a few passages in the text:

We added the approximate depth in the manuscript where you suggested it: “Lagrangian trajectories were calculated on basis of the daily HYCOM reanalysis velocities on the isopycnal surface of 27 kg/m$^3$ lying in the depth range of 450 to 500 m.”

We also added the depth to the caption of Fig.2 for better reading: “Mean seasonal velocity for the Arabian Sea based on HYCOM data on the isopycnal surface of $\sigma=27$ kg/m$^3$ in the depth range of 450 to 500 m for...”

Further we changed Fig. 3 so that the density layers are directly in the figure. We hope that makes it easier for the reader to follow.

6) The last sentence of the conclusion is not clearly written.
Thank you for that comment, we changed the last paragraph to: “The seasonal variability of advective pathways into the ASOMZ agrees well with the weak seasonal oxygen cycle and shows clear differences between the eastern and western basin. Still the oxygen content of advected water masses is strongly influenced by the strength and seasonality of biogeochemical processes in the AS. Nonetheless, we conclude that the advection of water mass plays a crucial role for the eastward shift of the ASOMZ and might also be responsible for the maintenance of low oxygen in the ASOMZ throughout the year. However, we cannot state whether physical or biogeochemical processes play the dominating role for the seasonal variability of the ASOMZ based on this method.”

Technical Comments

7) Page 4 line 12 “it’s” should be “its”
   Thank you for noticing that. We changed that.

8) Page 8 Line 25- Not clear to me what “lack of 3 month” means- typo?
   We apologise the misunderstanding. Lack of 3 month was meant to describe a temporal offset in time. We hope it is better to understand with the new sentence:
   “Seasonal differences in particle movement around the release locations can be predicted by starting the calculations with a temporal offset of 3 month within the same year (January, April, July and October).”

9) Page 14 Line 27- “weak” misspelled
   Thanks, we changed that.
Seasonal variability of the circulation in the Arabian Sea at intermediate depth and its link to the Oxygen Minimum Zone
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Abstract. Oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) in the open ocean occur below the surface in regions of weak ventilation and high biological productivity. Very low levels of dissolved oxygen affect marine life and alter biogeochemical cycles. One of the most intense but least understood OMZs in the world ocean is located in the Arabian Sea in a depth range between 300 to 1000 m. An improved understanding of the physical processes that have an impact on the OMZ in the Arabian Sea is necessary for a reliable assessment of its current state and future development.

This study uses a combination of observational data as well as reanalysis velocity fields from the ocean model HYCOM (Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model) to investigate advective pathways of Lagrangian particles into the Arabian Sea OMZ at intermediate depths between 200 and 800 m.

In the eastern basin, the vertical expansion of the OMZ is strongest during winter monsoon revealing a core thickness of 1000 m depth and oxygen values of less than 5 µmol/kg. The minimum of oxygen concentration might be favoured by a maximum advection of Lagrangian particles that follows the main advective pathway along the perimeter of the basin into the eastern basin of the Arabian Sea during winter monsoon. These Lagrangian particles pass regions of high primary production and respiration contributing to a transport of low oxygenated water into the eastern part of the OMZ.

The maximum of oxygen concentration in the western basin of the Arabian Sea in May coincides with a maximum southward advection of particles in the western basin during spring intermonsoon supplying the western core of the OMZ with higher oxygenated water.

The maximum of oxygen concentration in the eastern basin of the Arabian Sea in May might be associated with the northward inflow of Lagrangian particles across 10° N into the Arabian Sea which is highest during spring intermonsoon.

The Red Sea outflow of advective particles into the western and eastern basin starts during the summer monsoon associated with the northeastward current during the summer monsoon. Whereas particles from the Persian Gulf advect over the whole year.

As the weak seasonal cycle of oxygen concentration in the eastern and western basin can be explained by seasonal changing advective pathways at intermediate depths into the ASOMZ, the simplified backward trajectory approach seems to be a good method for prediction of the seasonality of advective pathways of Lagrangian particles into the ASOMZ.

1 Introduction

Oxygen concentration below the permanent thermocline in the ocean is a result of the transport of oxygen from the surface mixed layer into the ocean interior (ventilation) and the local consumption of oxygen in the context of biological productivity and sinking organic matter (microbial respiration). Regions of sluggish ventilation can be found in all ocean basins e.g. in the eastern parts of the tropical Pacific and Atlantic Ocean southeast/northeast of the subtropical gyre circulation (Luyten et al., 1983) and in the northern Indian Ocean due to the lack of ventilation from the north. Weak ventilation combined with high biological production in upwelling regions and thus enhanced oxygen consumption by sinking organic matter...
consequently in very low levels of dissolved oxygen below the surface (Stramma et al., 2008; Gily et al., 2013). These regions, so called oxygen minimum zones (OMZ) are characterized by low oxygen concentrations spanning a depth range of about 200-700 m depth (e.g. Karstensen et al. 2008). It is well established that OMZs affect marine biogeochemical processes such as the global carbon and nutrient cycles (Bange et al., 2005; Naqvi et al., 2006). Conditions in near complete oxygen-depleted upwelling regions favour denitrification, which enhances production and release of climate-relevant trace gases to the atmosphere (Naqvi et al., 2010; Shenoy et al., 2012). Moreover, OMZs build a respiratory barrier in the subsurface layer impinging the ecosystem structure by limiting suitable habitats (Levin et al., 2009; Stramma et al., 2012; Resplandy et al., 2012).

Observations as well as global and regional models show a global trend towards decrease of oxygen and spatial expansion and intensification of OMZs during the last decades with noticeable regional variations (Stramma et al., 2008, 2010; Keeling et al., 2010; Díaz and Rosenberg, 2008). Declining oxygen is anticipated to intensify especially in coastal regions in response to global warming (Keeling et al., 2010; Schmidtko et al., 2017), which affects changes in ventilation, stratification, and solubility as well as eutrophication causing microbial respiration (Díaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Keeling et al., 2010, Breitburg et al., 2018).

Therefore, deoxygenation has become another major stressor affecting the marine and climate system besides warming and acidification and evolves into an important indicator for a changing oceanic environment.

Although there is no precise threshold where macro-organisms experience stress or die, or chemical cycles switch to alternative pathways the community has established four oxygen regimes and approximate thresholds. The boundary between oxic and hypoxic conditions is defined at 60 µmol/kg (Gray et al., 2002; Keeling et al., 2010). Regimes are termed suboxic if oxygen concentration drops below 10 µmol/kg (Keeling et al., 2010) and nitrate involved respiration begins (Bange et al., 2005).

Regions are called anoxic when dissolved oxygen drops below a few µmol/kg and sulphate reduction is the dominant respiratory process (Naqvi et al., 2010).

In this study we focus on the Arabian Sea OMZ (ASOMZ). It has the smallest horizontal extent of all open ocean OMZs, but is one of the most intense in the world tropical ocean based on the largest vertical extent of hypoxic water (Kamykowski and Zentara, 1990) as well as on a significant core thickness with suboxic conditions of oxygen concentrations below 3 µmol/kg (Rao et al., 1994). Observations reveal an intensification of the northern part of the ASOMZ over the period of the last three decades (Itô et al., 2017) and a shoaling of the hypoxic boundary in the Sea of Oman (Piontkovski and Al-Oufi, 2015). The expansion of the ASOMZ is accompanied with declining sardine landings and an increase of fish kill incidents along the Omani coast (Piontkovski and Queste, 2016). Further expansion of the ASOMZ might have dramatic consequences on marine habitats and ecosystems (Keeling et al., 2010; Stramma et al., 2012). Hence food security and livelihoods of one of the most populous regions on earth - about 25% of the world’s population lives in the Indian Ocean rim countries - would be strongly affected (Breitburg et al., 2018). To understand ocean-climate interactions it is necessary to advance knowledge about the factors that impact the ventilation of the pronounced ASOMZ as e.g. water mass advection and large-scale circulation.

While the circulation of the upper-ocean is fairly well known from drifter data (Shenoi et al., 1999) and satellite altimetry (Beal et al., 2013) precise subsurface ventilation pathways of water masses entering the AS beneath the surface layer are less well understood in detail due to a lack of observational data (McCreary et al., 2013) and the complex interactions with the monsoon cycles. One unique difference of the Indian Ocean OMZs compared to the other ocean basins, that host OMZs is the fact that the upper layer of the Indian Ocean in general and the Arabian Sea (AS) in particular are strongly impacted by the Asian monsoon system resulting in a seasonally reversal of all boundary currents and associated ocean ventilation patterns.

Monsoonal wind forcing enabled by the land boundary in the north shifts from southwest winds during summer monsoon, causing strong upwelling off the coasts of Somalia and Oman, to northeast winds during winter monsoon driving downwelling circulation (Schott et al., 2001). The seasonal changes significantly influence biogeochemical cycles, biological activity and ecosystem response (Hood et al., 2009; Resplandy et al., 2012; Brewin et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014).
Ventilation in the OMZ layers of the AS is facilitated by three major intermediate source water masses. Oxygenated Indian Central Water (ICW) enters the AS at intermediate depth (200 – 500 m; Shenoy et al., 2020) from the south (Fig. 1). High salinity Persian Gulf Water (PGW) enters the AS just beneath the thermocline in the north, spreading southward as well as along the perimeter of the basin (Prasad et al., 2001). Low salinity but denser Red Sea Water (RSW) enters the AS at 500 to 800 m and spreads across the basin (Beal et al., 2000; Shankar et al., 2005).

Several assumptions were made to explain the dynamical and biological processes associated with the shape of the ASOMZ. So far it is known that, unlike in other tropical ocean basins, slow advection time is not only responsible for the maintenance of the OMZ in the AS, where low-oxygen water has a residence time of 10 years (Olson et al., 1993). According to Sarma (2002) the residence time is even shorter with 6.5 years and the maintenance of the OMZ is caused by sluggish circulation combined with biological varying activities. Other studies explained the relatively high oxygen rates at the western boundary with the supply of oxygen-rich water transported by the western boundary current (Swallow, 1984; Sarma, 2002) and by mixing of mesoscale eddies (Kim et al., 2001), although this is a region with high primary production at the surface and associated high consumption rates below. A process study of McCreary et al. (2013) stated the importance of the large-scale circulation for the shape of the ASOMZ as well as mesoscale features for variations of dynamical and biological processes.

Several studies have simulated the Indian Ocean circulation, whereby current model systems reveal large uncertainties and differences amongst them (McCreary et al., 2013). Typically, coarse resolution coupled biogeochemical ocean models exhibit strong biases and tend to simulate lower oxygen concentrations in the Bay of Bengal than in the AS (e.g. Oschlies et al., 2008) contradicting the existing observations. Lachkar et al. (2016), however, suggests that the model performance improves with increasing model resolution. The latter findings support suggestions by Resplandy et al. (2012) and McCreary et al. (2013) that horizontal eddy mixing strongly impacts the oxygen dynamics in the AS. Studies on the equatorial Pacific from global coupled biogeochemical circulation models (Dietze and Löptien, 2013) point out that poor model performance is related to a deficient representation of ventilation pathways rather than being associated with a deficient representation of biogeochemical processes (i.e. respiration). This confirms the need for a better understanding of the intermediate circulation and its seasonality in the AS including the pathways of RSW and PGW to understand the associated variability of the ASOMZ and related climate and biogeochemical interactions.

It remains an open question how the interplay between physical and biogeochemical processes influences the Indian Ocean oxygen dynamics. Specifically, there are two issues for the ASOMZ:

1) Why does the ASOMZ occur further east relative to the upwelling area with associated high productivity? A good explanation of this eastward shift could not be given so far (Acharya and Panigrahi, 2016).

2) Why is the ASOMZ maintained throughout the year with only a weak seasonal cycle compared to the dramatic changes of physical forcing and biogeochemical conditions associated with the seasonal reversing monsoon winds? Therefore, the present study focuses on advective pathways relevant for the ventilation dynamics of the ASOMZ. Main experiments are focused on the circulation on the isopycnal layer of $\sigma = 27$ kg/m$^3$ which is associated with the upper core of the ASOMZ. A backward-trajectory analysis was applied to examine the source regions of the seasonally changing advective pathways of the major water masses in the ASOMZ. For a better understanding of the zonal gradient of the ASOMZ we calculated the pathways of Lagrangian particles based on two release points that are located in the eastern and the western basin of the Arabian Sea.

The following section explains data sets as well as design of the experiments and methods used for this study. Section 3 presents main ventilation pathways for the eastern and western basin of the AS, as well as their time scales and seasonality that are relevant for the variability of the ASOMZ and associated uncertainties. This is followed by the discussion and conclusions in section 4.

2 Data and methods
2.1 Data sets

Trajectory calculations are based on reanalysis velocity data from the dynamic ocean model HYCOM (Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model) (Bleck, 2002) provided by the Center for Ocean-Atmospheric Prediction Studies (COAPS). The model has a spatial resolution of 1/12° in longitude and latitude with 40 depth levels between 0 and 5000 m, with decreasing resolution towards greater depth from 2-1000 m. It has a realistic bathymetry based on the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) and uses isopycnal coordinates in the open, stratified ocean, changes to terrain-following coordinates in shallower and coastal regions and uses z-level coordinates in the mixed layer. The surface forcing is from the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR, 1985-2011) and Climate Forecast System Version 2 (CFSv2, 2011-2012). Furthermore, HYCOM is run in data assimilation mode using gridded observations from the Navy Coupled Ocean Data Assimilation (NCOA) system (Cummings, 2005; Cummings and Smedstad, 2013).

The variable vertical coordinates are beneficial for the model to better reproduce the circulation near out-/overflow regions compared to typical z-level models, which would generally have problems to resolve the shallower coastal regions properly (see also Bleck and Boudra, 1981; Bleck and Benjamin, 1993; Bleck, 2002). The latter is important for the analysis of the supply of Persian Gulf Water (PGW) and Red Sea Water (RSW) through the Gulf of Oman and the Gulf of Aden, respectively. Due to missing data in the early years of the reanalysis, the model velocity output used hero for the trajectory analysis were daily snapshots for the time period from January 2000 to December 2012. The velocity field during winter and summer monsoon is shown using the mean seasonal velocity for the months November to February and June to September, respectively, averaged for the years 2000 to 2012. The data are spatially filtered using a 0.6° x 0.6° window and presented on a grid with the same resolution (Fig. 5).

For a validation of the HYCOM velocity data we compared the near-surface circulation of HYCOM with the climatology of YoMaHa'07, which is based on observational data obtained from Array of Real-time Geostrophic Oceanography (ARGO) floats (Lebedev et al., 2007). This choice is motivated by the lack of observational data at intermediate depths between 200 and 800 m in the Arabian Sea. YoMaHa'07 provides a 1°x1° bin averaged monthly climatology of the surface velocity for the time period from 1997 to 2007. Therefore, HYCOM data used for the validation were monthly averaged for the time period from 1997 to 2007 and 1°x1° bin averaged in accordance with the YoMaHa'07 climatology. The complex circulation pattern at the near-surface, which is strongly affected by the seasonal Asian monsoon (Schott et al., 2009) is well described by the HYCOM data reflecting all (reversing) currents that are relevant for the AS. During the winter monsoon, the Somali Current (SC) flows southwestward along the coast of Somalia (Fig. S1a, b). The Northeast Monsoon Current (NMC) sets westward at the southern tip of India and supplies the West Indian Coast Current (WICC), which is flowing northward along the coast of India (Figs. 1a, b). During the summer monsoon, the Somali Current (SC) and the Ras al Hadd Jet (RHJ; also known as East Arabian Current (Vitale et al., 2017)) flow northeastward along the coast of Somalia and Oman (Fig. S1c, d). A strong gyre, the Great Whirl (GW), can be identified, which generally develops off the coast of Somalia during the summer monsoon season (Figs. S1c, d). In accordance to observations, the West Indian Coast Current (WICC) flows southward along the coast of India and feeds the Southwest Monsoon Current (Figs. 1c, d). The comparison of the near-surface circulation obtained from HYCOM and ARGO agrees very well during the winter and summer monsoon (see supplement Fig. S1). Additionally, an analysis of seasonal surface velocities in the AS (Vitale et al., 2017), their Figs. 2a, 3a), which is based on a drifter climatology including data from March 1995 to March 2009 (Lumpkin and Pazos, 2006) also confirms the good representation of the near-surface velocity from HYCOM data.

The global observational dissolved oxygen climatology of the World Ocean Atlas 2013 (WOA13) is used to plan and set up the study. The monthly mean data cover a period from 1955-2012 and are available with a spatial resolution of 1° x 1° interpolated on 102 depth levels (Garcia et al., 2013).
2.2 Design of the experiment

In the following we would like to present motivation and key details of the conceptual design of the experiments to investigate the main advective pathways within the OMZ of the AS and its seasonal variability. To estimate the advective contribution to the OMZ ventilation we analysed the pathways of Lagrangian particles released into a two dimensional (along isopycnal) model based velocity field. This approach is time efficient, 

*The isopycnal density of \( \sigma = 27 \) kg/m\(^3\) lies between 300 and 500 m.*

Our experiments are based on the assumption that PGW and RSW are the main local source water masses that are relevant for the ventilation of the ASOMZ (Prasai et al., 2001; Beal et al., 2000; Shankar et al., 2005) and that the oxygen rich waters follow largely their isopycnal layer horizontally. The annual mean of dissolved oxygen from WOA 13 climatology is shown in Figure 3 to give an overview about location and spatial extension of the ASOMZ as well as the isopycnal layers associated with the density of the PGW and RSW. Therefore, advective pathways from Lagrangian particles into the OMZ are calculated on an isopycnal associated with the source regions of the PGW and RSW as well as the OMZ core region. A good representative isopycnal surface of 27 kg/m\(^3\) was chosen for most experiments based on two main reasons: The isopycnal lies in the upper core of the ASOMZ (Fig. 3) with low oxygen values of less than 10 \( \mu \text{mol/kg} \) nearly throughout the entire year (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, this is the density layer with seasonal changes in oxygen concentration (Fig. 4a). The core densities of PGW (\( \sigma = 26.4 \) kg/m\(^3\)) and RSW (\( \sigma = 27.4 \) kg/m\(^3\)), which appear to be the main source water masses ventilating the ASOMZ, bracket the isopycnal density of \( \sigma = 27 \) kg/m\(^3\). The isopycnal density layer on which ICW is advected northward (\( \sigma = 26.7 \) kg/m\(^3\)) lies between the ones of RSW and PGW. For the AS, the supply of oxygen was suggested by Banse et al. (2014) to be on the isopycnal surfaces of 27 kg/m\(^3\) associated with depths between 300-500 m.

The contrast in extension and seasonal cycle, not only in oxygen but also in biogeochemical activity (Hood et al., 2009; Resplandy et al., 2012; Brewin et al., 2012), of the ASOMZ for the eastern and western basin encourages to analyse the ventilation of each half of the basin individually. Therefore, we define two release locations in the eastern (ER) and western (WR) part of the core of the ASOMZ (Fig. 1). The western part is associated with the area of high primary production and the eastern part is associated with the area of lowest oxygen values. Both release locations represent the core of the OMZ and are defined as circles with a radius of twice the grid spacing, thus 1/6°, around the launching coordinates, which are 19.04° N and 66.64° E for the ER and 19.04° N and 62.00° E for the WR. The Lagrangian particles are spread equally over that area and are all released at the same time (for one run). For the forward trajectories two additional release locations in the Gulf of Aden, simulating the spreading of Red Sea Water (RS, 49.04° E and 13.04° W) and in the Gulf of Oman, simulating the spreading of Persian Gulf Water (PG, 59.04° E and 24.00° N; Fig. 1) were chosen.

After analysing the pathways of Lagrangian particles within the ASOMZ (Section 3.2) we focused on the seasonal variation of the circulation in the AS (Section 3.3). To address this question we chose distinct sections along the main advective pathways of the Lagrangian particles (Fig. 1) and calculated the transit times of the particles to get from one region to another for different pathways: two zonal sections are at equal distance south and north of the release locations (17° N, 21° N) to investigate the impact of the northeast and southwest monsoon on the advection of the particles, a meridional section separates the eastern and western half of the basin between the release locations at 64.3° E to determine the interior circulation, two meridional sections are located at the borders to the Gulf of Oman and Gulf of Aden as the source of the main water masses and another zonal section at 10° N serves as a southern boundary of the AS as our research area to get an insight of the inflow from the south and its variation.
The Lagrangian particles were advected using the two dimensional velocity fields from HYCOM reanalysis velocity fields following basic relations of continuous deformation (see Supplement, Lamb, 1879). This approach is consistent with more recent techniques as described in van Sebille et al. (2018).

The daily velocity fields were vertically linearly interpolated onto the target isopycnal surface. The number of Lagrangian particles released is 50000 for the runs that were mainly used for statistical purpose (see also Section 2.4) and 100000 for runs 1 to 10 (Tab. 1). The particles were advanced using an Euler forward-in-time integration scheme using a time step of 1/20 day. Both forward and backward trajectories were calculated and particle positions are stored every 4th day. In addition to the model velocity field a random walk of particles is applied to represent subscale diffusion of 20 m/s². Close to the coast a special case of random walk in the offshore direction is used to prevent trajectories leaving the ocean. The choice of magnitude of random walk is connected to the spatial and temporal grid resolution. A sensitivity experiment with different subscale diffusion coefficients of 10, 20 and 25 m²/s does not reveal significant different results (not shown here). Nevertheless, there are some grid boxes along the coastline and especially near islands, where the particles get trapped. These spuriously high probabilities were not considered for further analyses. Moreover, the velocity fields of HYCOM are obviously divergent, in particular in up- and downwelling regions near coasts and islands (e.g. the Maldives, Socotra).

Several sensitivity runs were conducted many of them with a reduced number of particles to save computational costs. A comparison between full and reduced number of particles gave very similar results (not shown here). In order to estimate the representativeness of the main Lagrangian pathway analysis on the 27 kg/m³ isopycnal surface two further runs on a shallower and deeper isopycnal were done (for PGW σ = 26.4 kg/m³ and RSW σ = 27.4 kg/m³). These experiments also used repeated daily velocity data for the calendar year 2006 for costs savings.

To estimate the impact of slower diffusion effects on the ventilation of the ASOMZ we compared the typical 8 year long results (Tab.1) to longer 13 year model runs. Again, both experiment gave very similar results pointing towards a secondary role of the slower processes.

2.3 Trajectory visualization

To analyse the Lagrangian data, the AS is divided into a grid of 1° x 1° resolution. For each time step the number of particles residing in a certain grid box can be counted leading to a map that shows the particle concentration over the analysed time in a certain grid box or at individual time steps (Gary et al., 2011). For a better comparison, the probability for each bin has been obtained. Summing up all particle counts in a certain grid box over the whole time and dividing it by the total number of particle counts for all grid boxes leads to probability maps that sum up to 100% for the whole experimental area and time (van Sebille et al., 2018).

With a subsample of the trajectories that reach the source regions, these maps can highlight the most likely advective pathways of Lagrangian particles (Gary et al., 2011). Additionally, it is possible to analyse the spreading of the particles by looking at single time steps.

The point to point transit time describes the time that each individual Lagrangian particle takes to transit between defined regions (van Sebille et al., 2018). The transit time is analysed along identified main advective pathways into the ASOMZ between distinct sections (see Section 2.2). The transit time is not unique, as different Lagrangian particles might travel between two regions on different ways in different length of time (Phelps et al., 2013). The here discussed transit times are thus defined by the times where 50% of the particles crossed the distinct sections (percentages refer to the total number of Lagrangian particles that have crossed the section after the whole time span of the simulation (8 years). Therefore, no particle
is counted twice as only the first crossing time of each particle at each section is detected. Additionally, the seasonal cycle of Lagrangian particles crossing these sections can be determined.

2.4 Trajectory validation and statistics

To test the reliability of the calculated Lagrangian trajectories 5 model runs with identical setup were performed (each with 50000 particles, 13 years duration, starting all at the ER in December 2012). The differences between these runs are discussed in Section 3.5. To detect the interannual variability the runs with the duration of 13 years used for the statistics were compared to a climatological run, which was performed with velocity fields with the mean daily velocity of the 13 years at each grid point and day. Furthermore, the 8 year long runs (runs 1 - 6; Tab. 1) were started with a temporal offset of 2 years between the individual runs. For the analysis of seasonality and transit time, we used the mean of these runs to smooth out the interannual variability.

Seasonal differences in particle movement around the release locations can be predicted by starting the calculations with a temporal offset of 3 month within the same year (January, April, July and October). This was done for forward calculated trajectories from the RS/PG release to predict the spreading of RSW/PGW.

3 Results

3.1 Seasonal oxygen dynamics and circulation at intermediate depth

The northern Indian Ocean is a region of strong monsoonal forcing and it is known that seasonal changes have a profound impact on the ASOMZ (Resplandy et al., 2012). Thus, first we give a short overview of the seasonal variability of the suboxic oxygen distribution and the circulation at intermediate depth in the AS.

The OMZ in the northern AS shows regional differences in the seasonal cycle, especially in the upper core (350 – 550 m depth, Fig. 4). The annual mean of oxygen from observational climatologies shows that the layer containing oxygen of less than 10 µmol/kg is deepest in the eastern basin (Fig. 2a). The maximum thickness arises during fall intermonsoon and at the beginning of winter monsoon with a depth of 1000 m (Fig. 4b) and nearly total oxygen depletion in the core (Fig. 4a). Oxygen concentration increases within spring intermonsoon and the suboxic layer in the eastern AS nearly vanishes in May (Fig. 4b).

A similar seasonal cycle is prominent in the western AS with a maximum thickness of the suboxic layer of 900 m (Fig. 4b) but however, a weaker ventilation during the spring intermonsoon compared to the eastern AS. The layer containing oxygen of less than 10 µmol/kg remains thicker than 500 m. Based on an area of 2°x 2° in total centered around the release location the spatial standard deviations were calculated (Fig. 4). They show that the seasonal cycle of the OMZ represents a large area and not only the release location. This holds especially for the eastern basin.

Lagrangian trajectories were calculated on basis of the daily HYCOM reanalysis velocities on the isopycnal surface of 27 kg/m³ lying in the depth range of 450 to 500 m. Also at intermediate depth in the AS several boundary currents are seasonally changing directions such as the Somali Current along the western boundary. Mean seasonal velocity for the period of 13 years shows the reversing of the Somali Current from a southwestward boundary current during winter monsoon (Fig. 2a) to a stronger northeastward boundary current during summer monsoon (Fig. 2b). The annual mean of the Somali Current reveals a northeastward boundary current. Generally, velocities show the strongest variability along the boundaries, especially in the western basin increasing towards the equator (Fig. 3c) and in the marginal seas. Along the eastern boundary of the AS the flow at intermediate depth is also changing its direction between the different monsoon phases from a distinct southeastward...
3.2 Particle origins and main pathways

The presented main advective pathways of Lagrangian particles at intermediate depth within the AS are based on backward trajectories calculated for a time span of 8 years. Release points are located in the eastern and western part of the ASOMZ and calculations were done on three different isopycnal levels. Figure 6 shows exemplary trajectories connecting the release locations with the marginal seas. This subsample of advective Lagrangian pathways already shows that the majority of particles follows distinct pathways. On their way into the eastern part of the ASOMZ most of the virtual Lagrangian particles follow the north- and southward advective pathways along the eastern boundary of the AS. For the ER runs we find the highest particle probability along the North Indian and Pakistani coastline on all three isopycnal levels (Fig. S2b, S3b, d). The northward advection of particles along the coast of India from the southeastern part of the AS is especially pronounced on the isopycnal level of $\sigma = 27$ kg/m$^3$ (Fig. 6b). A similar pattern but with lower probability is also seen on the isopycnal level of $\sigma = 26.4$ kg/m$^3$ (Fig. S3b). Both pathways along the eastern boundary of the AS at intermediate depth are confirmed by the seasonal mean of the circulation for the winter and summer monsoon (Fig. 2a, b).

In the western part of the ASOMZ the highest probability of advecting particles occurs north of the release location on all three depth levels (Fig. 7a, S3a, c) but more equally spread around the release location compared to the eastern basin. This is also reflected in the high variability of the velocity field in the northwest corner of the AS (Fig. 2a). A pattern with lower probability extends in a southwest to northeast direction along the western boundary pointing towards southward particle advection from the Gulf of Oman and the PG during the winter monsoon and northward particle advection from the RS into the western ASOMZ (Fig. 2a) during the summer monsoon. The pattern of particle distribution after a simulation of 4 years (see supplement Fig. S2b) confirms the particle distribution along the west coast of Indian and Pakistan seen in the patterns over the whole time series (Fig. 7a). Simulations of another 4 years backward show a wider and more equally spread distribution of particle origins that ventilate the eastern part of the ASOMZ (Fig. S2d).

The snapshot of the particle distribution after 4 years shows the source of advecting particles also from the eastern part of the ASOMZ (Fig. S2a) for the WR. Similar to the ER, the particle origins after 8 years of simulation spread wider and more equally over the AS (Fig. S2c). After 12 years of simulation the origins of advected particles reveal no fundamental differences between the WR and ER (Fig. S2e, f). Therefore, in the following we consider the main advective pathways based on backward trajectories calculated for a time span of 8 years.

For two of the three major intermediate source water masses that ventilate the ASOMZ - the RSW and the PGW - the source area can be localized clearly so that it is possible to extract the particles that originate from the RS and the PG flowing through the Gulf of Aden and the Gulf of Oman, respectively. The most prominent advective pathway of Lagrangian particles from the PG and the RS circles the basin clockwise along the western, northern and northeastern boundary into the eastern ASOMZ (Fig. 2b, d). RSW spreads mostly northeastward along the coast of Yemen/Oman, where it enters the western part of the ASOMZ (Fig. 2c). Most particles spread further north along the coastline of Pakistan and India to enter the eastern basin, whereas the more direct interior pathway is less frequent (Fig. 2b). The pathway of PGW into the western part of the ASOMZ is directed southward along the coastline off Oman (Fig. 2a).

Water entering from the south at intermediate depth (ICW) shows a direct interior exchange from the eastern to the western basin in the region of the OMZ (Fig. S6). It is also noteworthy that the particles enter the AS more frequent from the southeast and tend not to follow the western boundary current on the isopycnal level $\sigma = 27$ kg/m$^3$. The pathways of virtual Lagrangian
trajectories are confirmed by the velocity fields at intermediate depths revealing prevailing northward currents in the eastern basin at about 10°N during the southwest monsoon (Fig. 8c) and northeastward currents in the western and central basin at about 10°N during the northeast monsoon (Fig. 8a).

The pattern of advective pathways of Lagrangian particles associated with RSW and PGW calculated on the isopycnal level \( \sigma = 27 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) can be overall confirmed by the trajectories calculated on the shallower (\( \sigma = 26.4 \text{ kg/m}^3 \)) and deeper (\( \sigma = 27.4 \text{ kg/m}^3 \)) isopycnal levels (Fig. S4, S5). Broader pathways on isopycnal \( \sigma = 26.4 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) with lower values of particle probability point towards a stronger mixing in more shallow depths due to the monsoon (Fig. S4). It is also noteworthy that the pathway of RSW to the eastern basin on the isopycnal surface of \( \sigma = 27.4 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) is more frequent in the interior of the AS than the advective pathway along the perimeter in the northern AS (Fig. S5d) in opposite to the circulation on isopycnal \( \sigma = 27 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) (Fig. 3).

### 3.3 Particle transit time and percentage

After analysing the main pathways, in the following we focus on the point to point transit time of the advective Lagrangian particles helping to further understand the circulation at intermediate depth. Therefore, the point to point transit time of particles is analysed across selected sections along their distinct pathways (see section 2.2 for location of the sections). As transit time is individual for each particle, Figure 9 shows the cumulative transit time of all particles crossing that section on the isopycnal surface of 27 kg/m³. Additionally, the times at which 50% of the particles crossed the distinct sections are listed in Table 1. Hereby, percentages refer to the total number of Lagrangian particles that have crossed the section after the whole time span of the simulation (8 years).

The western part of the ASOMZ is ventilated preferably from particles coming from the northern basin. Within the first year about 60% of the released particles travel the pathway northward along the western boundary between the 21° N section and the WR (Fig. 2a). The number of particles travelling northward over the section at 17°N is much smaller. Barely 5% of all particles cross that section during the whole calculation time (Fig. 2c).

In contrast, the numbers of particles ventilating the eastern part of the OMZ over the northern and the southern section are about the same with rates of 52% (17°N) and 62% (21°N) of the released particles crossing over the 8 years of calculation time (Fig. 2d). Compared to the western basin (Fig. 2a) the slope of the cumulative particle transit time curve is flatter (Fig. 2e). Thus, the point to point transit times of the individual particles are spread over a wider and longer time range for the ER. 28% of the released particles are travelling around the perimeter of the basin (Fig. 2d), which is roughly 10% more than the amount of particles taking the westward interior pathway between ER and WR (Fig. 2c). However, the eastward interior pathway between WR and ER is more pronounced (Fig. 2b). The point to point transit times for all these sections are less than six month for the fastest particles and the slope of the cumulative transit time is weak, especially for particles released in the eastern ASOMZ (Fig. 2b, d), pointing towards large differences in transit times for individual particles.

Transit times from the PG as well as from the RS are shorter to the western basin (Fig. 2b) than to the eastern basin (Fig. 2d). For 50% of all particles, the mean transit time to travel between the WR and the section in the Gulf of Oman on the isopycnal surface of 27 kg/m³ is 2 years (for values of the single runs see Tab. 1). The equivalent mean transit time for the ER is 4.2 years. For 50% of all particles, the mean point to point transit times to travel from the release locations to the RS section, are 6.4 and 5.2 years for the ER and WR, respectively. Anyway, the slope of the curves is somehow constant over the whole calculation period, especially for the transit times to the RS (Fig. 2b, d).

The AS is also ventilated from the south across 10° N (Fig. 8e, f). For both release points, WR and ER, the ventilation is stronger across the eastern half of the basin (Fig. 8e, f). Here again, the slope of the curves is somehow constant over the whole calculation period. For 50% of all particles, the mean transit times between the release locations and the southeastern section are listed in Tab. 1.
of the basin are 4.8 and 6.0 years for the ER and WR and 5.4 and 5.6 years between the southwestern section and the ER and WR, respectively.
The point to point transit times at the isopycnal surface of 26.4 kg/m³ are nearly entirely quicker compared to the ones that were discussed above for the 27 kg/m³ isopycnal surface (Tab. 1). This tendency extends further down, as the deepest considered isopycnal surface of 27.4 kg/m³ has the slowest transit times.
Due to a broad distribution, the number of particles that cross the sections decreases with the distance of the particles from their release point. This also means that the remaining percentage of particles stays around the release location or in the adjacent area of one section.

3.4 Seasonal Variability

The seasonal variability of certain pathways is shown by the monthly averaged cycle of the percentage of particles (Fig. 4a). One of the strongest seasonal variability is revealed by Lagrangian particles that travel southward between the sections at 21°N and the WR as well as the ER, however, with a more distinct amplitude in the western basin (Fig. 4b, b). The western basin is mainly advected by southward travelling particles from the northern part of the AS showing a distinct maximum during the intermonsoon phase in spring (Fig. 4a). This maximum coincides with the maximum in oxygen concentration in the western basin (Fig. 4a) pointing towards a southward transport of higher oxygenated water during spring intermonsoon.

In contrast, in the eastern basin Lagrangian particles move preferably southward between the section at 21°N and the ER during the winter monsoon (Fig. 4b), which is also reflected in the southward eastern boundary current at intermediate depth (Fig. 5a). The eastward movement along the northern boundary across 64°E, which is one of the most prominent pathways of the particles into the eastern basin, is also strongest during the winter monsoon (Fig. 5b). Therefore, the minimum of oxygen concentration, which can be observed in the eastern basin during winter monsoon (Fig. 4a), might be explained by the transport of lower oxygenated water due to the longer advection of particles. While looping around the northern part of the basin, particles are crossing regions with high primary production and resulting high consumption rates.

The advection of particles from the RS into the western basin increases with the beginning of the summer monsoon in July and peaks at the end of the summer monsoon in September (Fig. 4a). The northward transport along the western boundary is confirmed by the circulation at intermediate depth during summer monsoon (Fig. 5a) and the seasonal cycle of the forward trajectories released in the RS (not shown). The same seasonal cycle is revealed for the advection from the RS into the eastern basin, although weaker (Fig. 5a).

The transport of Lagrangian particles from the PG into the eastern basin shows a weak seasonal cycle, which peaks at the end of the winter monsoon (Fig. 4b). However, the transport of Lagrangian particles from the PG into the western basin (Fig. 4b) does not show a distinct seasonal cycle and so does the interior transport from the eastern OMZ into the western OMZ (Fig. 4b) reflecting the high variability in the AS. Anyway, the spreading of forward trajectories out of the PG reveals a weak seasonal cycle of particles moving further into the mid AS and along the northern coast during summer monsoon, whereas during the winter monsoon particles stay closer to the western coast and travel southward (not shown).

The eastward transport of particles along the northern boundary is weakest in spring intermonsoon season (Fig. 4b). At the same time a higher direct interior transport from the western to the eastern half of the ASOMZ can be observed (Fig. 5b). The northward transport into the AS across 10°N mainly takes place at the eastern part of the basin showing a maximum of the seasonal cycle during spring intermonsoon (Fig. 4b, f), hence, the maximum oxygen concentration at intermediate depth in spring (Fig. 4a) might be associated with the northward and eastward transport of higher oxygenated water into the eastern basin (Fig. 4b, d, f). The northward transport into the AS is weak at the western side of the basin in comparison to the eastern side and reveals a small cycle depending on the reversing monsoon (Fig. 4a, f).
3.5 Trajectory error estimation and interannual variability

One source of error arises from the calculation technique itself by adding subgrid-scale diffusion and random walk at the coastlines. To predict the discrepancy of that error, 5 runs were performed with identical setup (see Section 2.4). The percentage of trajectories reaching the PG/RS and southern IO and their mean transit times have standard deviations of 0.13, 0.01, 0.20/0.21 for PG particle percentage, RS particle percentage and ICW (east/west) particle percentage, respectively. These differences are not distinguishable in the particle probability maps.

As earlier discussed in Section 2.2 a different value for the diffusivity coefficient does not change the results significantly. Also, the reduction of number of released particles from 50000 to 10000 for the runs of 8 years (Tab.1) has no remarkable effect (Section 2.2).

Furthermore, Lagrangian particle probability maps for the simulations performed with climatological velocity values over the duration of 13 years do not differ from the maps of runs that were performed with the continuous velocity data as described above. Nonetheless, the particle percentages and transit times for the source regions differ. The highest discrepancies are a deviation of 30 % for the percentage of particles that travel from the PG to the western part of the ASOMZ. Other runs show discrepancies between 4-6 % in particle percentages. A year-to-year time series analysis of the velocities shows strong damping for the climatology having peak velocities of 0.2 compared to about 0.8 m/s (not shown here).

To test the spatiotemporal variability, runs with the length of 8 years were performed with a temporal offset of 2 years between the runs. Again, the particle probability maps (Fig. 2) show similar results among each other (not shown here). Concerning the standard deviations of particle percentages reaching the source regions, values lie between 0.3 for particles travelling between the RS and ER and 8.6 for particles travelling form the PG to the WR. These huge differences of particle amount travelling from the marginal seas into the ASOMZ in different years, as well as the comparison with climatological velocity runs, suggest a dependency on interannual variabilities. These are probably linked to the variability in monsoon strength, that influences the intermediate circulation in the AS. Therefore, the seasonality analysis was performed with 2 runs for each release location over 8 years to get a more confident result and better travel times, smoothing out possible burst of years with strong ventilating currents.

Due to the small number of runs, it is not possible to give an estimation error, but the range in which order the values spread is given as discussed above.

4 Discussion and Conclusions

The seasonal cycle of the Asian Monsoon has a strong impact on the mixed layer of the Arabian Sea as well as on the circulation at intermediate layer. The western boundary circulation reverses direction from a strong northward flow in summer (Fig. 3a) to a weaker southward flow in winter (Fig. 3b) even between 450-500 m depth. For the annual mean this sums up to a northward directed western boundary current, as previously found by Schott and McCreary (2001), with the strongest variability off the Somali and Omani coast (Fig. 3c).

During the summer monsoon enhanced upwelling occurs along the western boundary leading to the incidence of phytoplankton blooms, resulting in a region of highest biological productivity, in the whole ocean (Qasim, 1982). Hence, the core of the ASOMZ is expected to be located at the same place at intermediate depth due to resulting high consumption rates below high productivity rates. However, the core of the ASOMZ is shifted away from that region and is more pronounced in the eastern basin than at the expected area along the western boundary (Fig. 4; Acharya and Panigrahi, 2016). Suboxic conditions with oxygen concentration of less than 10 µmol/kg can be found between 200 and 1000 m depth (Fig. 3) with weak annual variability in the deeper core according to gridded observational data (not shown). The east-west contrast in oxygen concentrations found in the updated WOA 13 data (Fig. 4) confirms the results shown in Resplandy et al. (2012), who used a prior version of the WOA data.
However, oxygen concentrations from monthly mean gridded observations indicate a weak seasonal variability in the upper level of the ASOMZ showing higher oxygen values in spring intermonsoon, which are more pronounced in the eastern basin and slightly higher oxygen at the end of the winter monsoon only for the western basin (Fig. 4a). This ventilation goes along with a shallowing of the suboxic layer in May and June (Fig. 4b). This seasonal variability was observed earlier by Sarma (2002) and Banse et al. (2014) showing higher oxygen values in the northern AS at around 300 m depth during the northeast monsoon.

In this study main pathways of virtual advective Lagrangian particles in the AS are determined on three different layers at the top, the middle and the bottom of the ASOMZ as well as their temporal and spatial variability. To consider the east-west contrast of the ASOMZ one release location of particles is placed in the eastern basin, where the suboxic layer is thickest during winter monsoon. The other release location is in the western part of the ASOMZ, where primary production is strongest during the summer monsoon.

Present results from the trajectory calculations on the isopycnal density layer of 27 kg/m$^3$ reveal a main advective pathway into the eastern part of the ASOMZ along the perimeter of the basin (Fig. 2b, d). With the beginning of the summer monsoon, RSW spreads out of the Gulf of Aden (Fig. 11b) and flows northward along the coast of Oman, having the same direction as the Somali Current (Fig. S1c). In the northwestern part of the basin where the Gulf of Oman merges with the AS, PGW about constantly runs out throughout the year (Fig. S1a-e). Observations confirm the small seasonal variations of the PGW outflow (Johns et al., 2003), which can be influenced by cyclones (Wang et al., 2013). The eastward flow along the northern boundary and the southward flow along the coast of India into the eastern basin peaks during the winter monsoon (Fig. S1a). That this season is crucial for the ventilation of the OMZ with PGW was shown by Lachkar et al. (2019) with a model sensitivity study. Lagrangian particles cross regions with high primary production during the long-distance advection while looping around the northern part of the basin. A study of seasonal vertically migrating scattering layers reveals a rapid increase of biomass in the northern Arabian Sea in the layer between 250 and 550 m depth during the period of June to November (Wang et al., 2014). Resulting high consumption rates in the outflow region of the Gulf of Oman in winter (Fig. 11a), Acharya and Panigrahi (2016), Lachkar et al., (2018) might lead to a transport of lower oxygenated water, which might be responsible for the minimum of oxygen concentration in the eastern basin in winter (Fig. 4a).

A more direct interior pathway, especially from the RSW into the eastern basin south of 21°N is negligible confirming previous studies (Fig. 6, Lachkar et al., 2016). Tracking the particles of RSW by using a water mass analysis, Acharya and Panigrahi (2016) reveals a maximal percentage of spreading along the coastlines but no propagation of RSW in the interior basin. However, the direct interior exchange of water between the eastern and western interior part of the ASOMZ shows high variation, which is maximal in May (Fig. S5a). The interior pathway between the eastern and western basin for the exchange of watermasses becomes more pronounced on the deeper isopycnal surface of 27.4 kg/m$^3$ (Fig. S5d).

Particle probability maps (Fig. 4) reveal the advection of particles from the southeast into the eastern part of the ASOMZ. The contribution of particles advecting into the eastern basin along the Indian coast is about similar from the north and the south (Fig. 2b). Although, the surface currents (Fig. S1a, c) along the coast of south India reverse with the changing monsoon winds (Schott and McCreary, 2001), the northward transport at intermediate depth shows no clear seasonal cycle (Fig. 10b).

A more pronounced ventilation from the south (8°N) in the eastern AS was earlier found by Acharya and Panigrahi (2016). Even though ICW spreads northward uniformly across the basin at intermediate depth (You and Tomczak, 1993), our results suggest that advective particles enter the AS predominantly along the eastern boundary (Fig. 4). Although the surface currents (Fig. S1a, c) along the coast of south India reverse with the changing monsoon winds (Schott and McCreary, 2001), the northward transport at intermediate depth shows no clear seasonal cycle (Fig. 10b). A more pronounced ventilation from the south (8°N) in the eastern AS was earlier found by Acharya and Panigrahi (2016). Even though ICW spreads northward uniformly across the basin at intermediate depth (You and Tomczak, 1993), our results suggest that advective particles enter the AS predominantly along the eastern boundary (Fig. 4). Although the surface currents (Fig. S1a, c) along the coast of south India reverse with the changing monsoon winds (Schott and McCreary, 2001), the northward transport at intermediate depth shows no clear seasonal cycle (Fig. 10b).
RSW spreads out of the Gulf of Aden during summer monsoon (Fig. 1b) and passes the western basin OMZ on its way northward with a peak in autumn intermonsoon phase (Fig. 1d). These results are in agreement with the study of Beal et al. (2000), who tracked the spreading of RSW by salinity properties. Compared to the strong variability of the western boundary current, the seasonal oxygen cycle in the western basin is weak (Fig. 4a). This could be explained by the loss of oxygen via consumption when RSW passes the area of strong primary production off the coast of Oman (Acharya and Panigrahi, 2016) during the summer monsoon (Fig. 11).

The seasonal variability of the ASOMZ based on this method. 

However, we cannot state whether the physical or biogeochemical processes play the dominating role for the seasonal variability of the ASOMZ based on this method.

Another point that underlines the connection between strength of the monsoon forcing. 

The seasonal cycle of oxygen concentration shows a second peak in February (Fig. 4a).

Advection pathways from the marginal seas, which are bound to the western basin, are shorter to the western part of the OMZ than to the eastern part, especially for water stemming out of the Gulf of Aden. The analysis of a point to point transit time of particles that reach the marginal seas shows that the mean transit time for 50% of the particles that travel between the PG and the ER is 4.2 years but just 2 years for the WR. Particles from the RS have a mean point to point travel time of 6.4 and 5.2 years to the ER and WR, respectively. However, prolonged transit times alone are not sufficient to explain the different characteristics in the eastern and the western part of the ASOMZ especially when considering the strong seasonal variability of the advective pathways.

The comparison of travel times and particle percentages between different years (Tab. 1) as well as with climatological runs shows high discrepancies and standard deviations associated with a strong interannual variability, that is likely driven by the strength of the monsoon forcing. 

Another point that underlines the connection between monsoon forcing and both strength and variability of watermass advection into the ASOMZ is the comparison between the results from three isopycnal layers (Tab. 1). The transit times become longer with increasing depths, pointing towards weaker currents and circulation.

However, more runs are required to calculate reliable statistics to further validate ventilation times as well as percentages of the contribution of water mass to the advection. In addition, extended time series are needed to confidently predict interannual variabilities. Nevertheless, the simplified backward trajectory approach seems to be a good method for prediction of the seasonality of advective pathways of Lagrangian particles into the ASOMZ.

The seasonal variability of advective pathways into the ASOMZ agrees well with the weak seasonal oxygen cycle and shows clear differences between the eastern and western basin. Still the oxygen content of advected water masses is strongly influenced by the strength and seasonality of biogeochemical processes in the AS. Nonetheless, we conclude that advection of water mass plays a crucial role for the eastward shift of the ASOMZ and might also be responsible for the maintenance of low oxygen in the ASOMZ throughout the year. However, we cannot state whether the physical or biogeochemical processes play the dominating role for the seasonal variability of the ASOMZ based on this method.
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| Run | Launching date | PG particle percentage after 8 yrs | RS particle percentage after 8 yrs | ICW particle percentage after 8 yrs | PG transit time (yrs) | RS transit time (yrs) | ICW transit time (yrs) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1   | ER, Dec 27 2012 | 6.1                               | 0.8                              | 28.6                            | 2.3                 | 13.0                | 3.8               |
| 2   | ER, Dec 27 2010 | 9.5                               | 1.3                              | 13.0                            | 2.0                 | 3.9                 | 3.0               |
| 3   | ER, Dec 27 2008 | 6.2                               | 0.8                              | 23.0                            | 3.8                 | 4.7                 | 3.1               |
| 4   | WR, Dec 27 2012 | 6.0                               | 3.9                              | 9.7                             | 3.6                 | 2.8                 | 4.2               |
| 5   | WR, Dec 27 2010 | 21.3                              | 4.6                              | 6.1                             | 3.1                 | 1.0                 | 1.6               |
| 6   | WR, Dec 27 2008 | 6.8                               | 9.3                              | 4.8                             | 3.8                 | 5.6                 | 6.4               |
| 7   | ER, Dec 27 2012 | 18.6                              | 4.1                              | 13.0                            | 4.5                 | 2.9                 | 4.2               |
| 8   | WR, Dec 27 2010 | 2.3                               | 17.6                             | 5.2                             | 4.5                 | 3.3                 | 1.1               |
| 9   | ER, Dec 27 2008 | 8.2                               | 1.3                              | 6.1                             | 5.2                 | 5.2                 | 6.1               |
| 10  | WR, Dec 27 2012 | 9.7                               | 5.2                              | 2.6                             | 5.6                 | 6.3                 | 6.0               |

Table 1: Trajectory calculations of percentages and transit times of advective Lagrangian particles for different runs performed with a length of 8 years on different isopycnals ($\sigma = 26.4 \text{ kg/m}^3; 27 \text{ kg/m}^3; 27.4 \text{ kg/m}^3$) for this study. Transit times are defined by the times where 50% and 75% of the particles crossed the section from the three major source regions (PG, RS, and southern Indian Ocean) to the two release areas (ER and WR). Percentages refer to the total number of Lagrangian particles that have crossed the section after the whole time span of the simulation (8 years). Release locations are defined as circles with a radius of twice the grid spacing around the coordinates of the release points (abbreviations defined in section 2.2).
Figure 1: Schematic pathways of the three major intermediate source water masses in the northwest Indian Ocean marked in blue: Indian Central Water (ICW), Red Sea Water (RSW), and Persian Gulf Water (PGW). The green patch sketches the location of the Arabian Sea Oxygen Minimum Zone (ASOMZ) defined by oxygen of less than 10 µmol/kg. Location of four particle release points (western basin (WR), eastern basin (ER), Persian Gulf (PG), Red Sea (RS)) are shown as red dots. Black solid lines indicate the sections shown in Fig. 3. Sections, that need to be crossed by the Lagrangian particles to define the source regions for the ICW, RSW, and PGW are marked as black dashed lines.
Figure 2: (a) Thickness (in m) of the layer containing oxygen of less than 10 µmol/kg based on climatological data from WOA 13. (b) Oxygen concentration (in µmol/kg) on the ρ = 27 kg/m³ isopycnal of WOA 13.
Figure 3: Annual mean of dissolved oxygen concentration along 62°E (left), 66.5° E (right) and 19° N (middle) from the WOA 13 climatology (see Figure 1). Advection pathways from Lagrangian particles are calculated on three isopycnals (26.4, 27.0, 27.4 kg/m$^3$) shown as white lines. The grey dots mark the release points in the western (WR) and eastern (ER) basin on each isopycnal level.

Moved down [4]: The velocity field is spatially filtered (0.6° x 0.6° window) and presented on a grid with the same resolution. Northward (southward) directed currents are shown in red (blue). (c) Root Mean Square (rms) error of the annual mean velocities from 2000-2012.
Figure 4: (a) Mean seasonal cycle of dissolved oxygen concentration on the isopycnal surface of $\sigma=27$ kg/m$^3$ at the location of the ER (red) and the WR point (blue) from observations. (b) Mean seasonal cycle of the thickness of the layer containing oxygen of less than 10 µmol/kg based on WOA 13 at the location of the ER (red) and the WR point (blue). The error bars show the spatial standard deviation in an area of 2° x 2° centered around the release point.
Figure 5: Mean seasonal velocity for the Arabian Sea based on HYCOM data on the isopycnal surface of $\sigma=27$ kg/m$^3$ in the depth range of 450 to 500 m for (a) northeast (November-February) and (b) southwest monsoon (June-September) averaged for 2000-2012. The velocity field is spatially filtered (0.6° x 0.6° window) and presented on a grid with the same resolution. Northward (southward) directed currents are shown in red (blue). (c) Root Mean Square (rms) error of the annual mean velocities from 2000-2012.
Figure 6: Exemplary advective Lagrangian pathways connecting the Persian Gulf (blue) and the Red Sea (red) with the (a) western release (WR) and the (b) eastern release (ER) locations marked in black.
Figure 7: Probability that a 1°x1° bin is occupied by a Lagrangian particle during the time span of 8 years for backward trajectory calculations from (a) the western (WR) and (b) the eastern (ER) part of the ASOMZ along the isopycnal $\sigma = 27$ kg/m$^3$. Red dots mark the location of the WR and ER points.
Figure 8: Lagrangian particle position probability maps show the most pronounced pathways of fluid particles along the isopycnal $\sigma=27 \text{ kg/m}^3$ for the backward trajectory analysis, entering the Persian Gulf from (a) WR and (b) ER and the Red Sea from (c) WR and (d) ER. Eastern (ER) and western release (WR) locations in the OMZ are marked in red, as well as the sections, that need to be crossed to define the source regions.
Figure 9: Cumulative point to point transit times of Lagrangian particles calculated between distinct sections (see maps) along their main pathways and their release points in the western basin (left column) and eastern basin (right column), respectively. Sections are along 21° N shown as dotted dark blue line and 17° N as solid purple line (a, b), 64.3° E as dashed light blue line (b, c, d), 60° E as solid yellow line, 51° E as dotted red line (c, d), 10° N as dotted light green line (east) and solid green line (west) (e, d). The red dots mark the launching position of the backward trajectories. See section 2.2 for a detailed description.
Figure 10: Mean seasonal cycle of particle percentage travelling between distinct sections along their main pathways and their release points in the western basin (left column) and the eastern basin (right column), respectively. Sections are along 21° N shown as dotted dark blue line and 17° N as solid purple line (a, b), 64.3° E as dashed light blue line (b, c, d), 60° E as solid yellow line, 51° E as dotted red line (c, d), 10° N as dotted light green line (east) and solid green line (west) (e, d). For line colour and type please see also Figure 8.
Figure 11: Schematic of the main advective pathways of the water masses for the a) winter, b) summer, c) spring inter- and d) autumn inter-monsoon seasons. See also Figure 1. The dark green dots indicate regions of strong net primary production as shown in Acharya and Panigrahi, 2016.
southward advection of particles along the western boundary during spring intermonsoon supplying the western core of the OMZ with higher oxygenated water.
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Figure 2: Mean seasonal velocity for the Arabian Sea based on HYCOM data on the isopycnal surface of $\sigma=27$ kg/m$^3$ for (a) northeast (November-February) and (b) southwest monsoon (June-September) averaged for 2000-2012.
Figure 5