Transcriptional profiling of Multiple System Atrophy cerebellar tissue highlights differences between the parkinsonian and cerebellar sub-types of the disease
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Abstract

Multiple system atrophy (MSA) is a rare adult-onset neurodegenerative disease of unknown cause, with no effective therapeutic options, and no cure. Limited work to date has attempted to characterize the transcriptional changes associated with the disease, which presents as either predominating parkinsonian (MSA-P) or cerebellar (MSC-C) symptoms. We report here the results of RNA expression profiling of cerebellar white matter (CWM) tissue from two independent cohorts of MSA patients (n=66) and healthy controls (HC; n=66). RNA samples from bulk brain tissue and from oligodendrocytes obtained by laser capture microdissection (LCM) were sequenced. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were obtained and were examined before and after stratifying by MSA clinical sub-type.

We detected the highest number of DEGs in the MSA-C group (n = 747) while only one gene was noted in MSA-P, highlighting the larger dysregulation of the transcriptome in the MSA-C CWM. Results from both bulk tissue and LCM analysis of MSA-C showed a downregulation of oligodendrocyte genes and an enrichment for myelination processes with a key role noted for the QKI gene. Additionally, we observed a significant upregulation of neuron-specific gene expression in MSA-C and an enrichment for synaptic processes. A third cluster of genes was associated with the upregulation of astrocyte and endothelial genes, two cell types with a key role in inflammation processes. Finally, network analysis in MSA-C showed enrichment for β-amyloid related functional classes, including the known Alzheimer’s disease (AD) genes, APP and PSEN1.

This is the largest RNA profiling study ever conducted on post-mortem brain tissue from MSA patients. We were able to define specific gene expression signatures for MSA-C highlighting the different stages of the complex neurodegenerative cascade of the disease that included alterations in several cell-specific transcriptional programs. Finally, several results suggest a common transcriptional dysregulation between MSA and AD-related genes despite the clinical and neuropathological distinctions between the two diseases.
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**Introduction**

Multiple-system atrophy (MSA) is a rare neurodegenerative disorder characterized by autonomic dysfunction, ataxia, and parkinsonism. The prevalence is estimated to be between 1.9 to 4.9 per 100,000 (Bhidayasiri and Ling, 2008; Stefanova et al., 2009). The disease affects both sexes equally with onset typically in the sixth decade of life and with an average survival after diagnosis of less than ten years [53]. There are no effective long-term therapeutic options for the MSA patient, and no cure.

MSA as a unifying diagnostic terminology was developed to encapsulate three neurological entities: striatonigral degeneration, olivopontocerebellar atrophy, and Shy-Drager syndrome (Goedert, 2001; Quinn and Wenning, 1995; Vanacore, 2005; Wakabayashi et al., 1998). Two different clinical subtypes have been described based on the predominating motor features noted during the early stages of the disease: the MSA-P subtype (dominated by parkinsonism) and the MSA-C subtype (dominated by cerebellar ataxia). However, in the later stages of the disease, the phenotypic characteristics of both subtypes are typically noted in the patient [16]. A definitive diagnosis of MSA is obtained through autopsy confirmation of a high density of \( \alpha \)-synuclein-containing protein aggregates, known as glial cytoplasmic inclusion (GCI) bodies, in oligodendrocytes along with striatonigral degeneration and/or olivopontocerebellar atrophy (Bhidayasiri and Ling, 2008; Papp et al., 1989; Stefanova et al., 2009).

GCIs are primarily comprised of aggregated \( \alpha \)-synuclein, therefore MSA can be classified as an oligodendroglial \( \alpha \)-synucleinopathy, which is a point of distinction compared to neuronal \( \alpha \)-synucleinopathies like Parkinson’s disease. Interestingly, work investigating the earliest molecular changes associated with MSA has suggested that oligodendrocyte intracellular accumulation of p25\( \alpha \), a protein associated with myelination, may be altered before \( \alpha \)-synuclein aggregation is observed [53]. The aggregation of \( \alpha \)-synuclein is thought to lead to a disruption of the role of the oligodendrocyte in the process of neuronal myelination leading to microglial activation.
and subsequent release of mis-folded α-synuclein by the increasingly dysfunctional oligodendrocytes. Neighboring neurons may uptake this extracellular α-synuclein and it could thereby initiate new aggregation inside the neuronal cell. Additionally, the toxic α-synuclein species may spread to neurons in other synaptically-connected brain regions in a prion-like fashion. The lack of effective oligodendrocyte support for the local neurons, and the neuronal effects of the α-synuclein inclusions, eventually results in axonal dysfunction, neuronal cell death, and a reactive astrogliosis [16].

The cause of MSA is not known, however it is generally believed to be sporadic. Several genomic studies have been performed to shed light on the molecular pathogenesis of the disease. Three SNPs located in the α-synuclein gene (SCNA) have been associated with the risk of developing MSA [48]. In an independent study conducted by evaluating 32 SNPs in the SNCA gene, one SNP associated with MSA and one haplotype associated with the MSA-C subgroup were noted [2]. Whole genome sequencing analysis identified COQ2 genetic variants associated with both sporadic and familial MSA [37]. However, this finding has not been replicated in other cohorts [49]. In another GWAS, including MSA patients and healthy controls, several SNPs located in different genes (FBX047, ELOVL7, EDN1, and MAPT) were found to be potentially associated, but were not significant after multiple test correction [47]. Finally, the presence of an expansion of one allele in SCA3 (a gene associated with spinocerebellar ataxia) was observed in a patient showing clinical features consistent with MSA-C [38]. Recently, epigenetic modifications, such as DNA methylation changes, have also been identified in neurodegenerative diseases. A recent study reported white matter tissue DNA methylation changes associated with MSA, including changes in HIP1, LMAN2 and MOBP [8].

Three different gene expression profiling studies conducted on neuropathologically verified human brain samples have been reported to date. The first study [35] utilized transcriptome profiling by RNA-sequencing of the white and grey matter of the frontal cortex from 6 MSA patients and 6 controls. In the grey matter they detected 5 genes differentially expressed (HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, TTR and LOC389831). In the white matter they identified 7 genes, including the 3 HLA genes detected in the grey matter. The additional genes were: HBA1, HBA2, HBB and IL1RL1.
The SNCA gene was detected to be upregulated in both comparisons but it was not statistically significant. They also compared the white matter versus the grey matter in patients, detecting a total of 1,910 differentially expressed genes. A second study was conducted using the same 12 samples, but using strand-specific RNA-sequencing [36], detecting a total of 123 differentially expressed genes. Most detected genes were lincRNAs or un-annotated transcripts. Some of the genes found in the previous study [35] were confirmed; HBB, IL1RL1, TTR and LOC389831. Finally, a study determining the differential expression of circular RNA (circRNA) in the MSA frontal cortex was conducted [12], identifying 5 circRNAs produced by backsplicing of the precursor mRNAs from the IQCK, EFCAB11, DTNA, MAP4K3, and MCTP1 genetic loci. No other RNA sequencing studies have been conducted thus far.

In this study we utilized RNA sequencing to characterize the cerebellar white matter transcriptome from neuropathologically verified MSA cases and controls using two independent sample sets and two different profiling technologies.
Material and methods

Extended methods are reported in the Supplementary Appendix

Human samples
We analyzed two independent cohorts of postmortem cerebellar white matter (CWM) that included both MSA-P and MSA-C subtypes. Cohort 1 (C1) was obtained from the New South Wales (NSW) brain bank (Sidney, AU) and from the Brain and Body Donation Program (Sun City, AZ) to yield a total of 19 pathologically-proven cases MSA and 10 Healthy Controls (HC) (Table 1A). Cohort 2 (C2) was obtained from the Queen Square Brain Bank for Neurological Disorders (London, UK) and included 48 pathologically proven MSA cases and 47 HC (Table 1B).

RNA extraction and RNA sequencing
For C1, total DNAs-treated RNA was extracted using the Qiagen RNAeasy kit (Qiagen). Quality was assessed by Bioanalyzer (Agilent). Sequencing libraries were prepared with 250 ng of total RNA using Illumina’s Truseq RNA Sample Preparation Kit v2 (Illumina, Inc.) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The final library was sequenced by 50 bp paired-end sequencing on a HiSeq 2500 (Illumina, Inc.). For C2, total DNAs-treated RNA was extracted in TRI Reagent from ~5 mg of tissue using Rino Tubes (Next Advance) (TempO-Seq Assay User Guide version 2.0). The final library was sequenced by 50 bp single-end sequencing on a NextSeq 500 (Illumina, Inc.).

Laser Capture Microdissection (LCM)
Twelve samples (6 MSA, 6 HC) from C1 were used for laser capture microdissection (LCM) of oligodendrocytes from cerebellar white matter (Ordway et al., 2009). Oligodendrocytes were stained by using a modified H&E staining protocol adapted from Ordway et al. [39]. A total of 300 oligodendrocytes per sample were captured using Arcturus CapSure Macro LCM Caps (Applied Biosystems) with the following settings: UV speed at 676 um/s and UV current at 2%. RNA was extracted immediately after cell capture using the Arcturus PicoPure RNA Isolation Kit (Applied Biosystems). For library
preparation the SMARTer® Stranded Total RNA-Seq Kit - Pico Input (Clontech/Takara) was used. Samples were sequenced (2 x 75 bp paired-end run) on the Illumina HiSeq2500.

**Data Analysis**

Quality controls on FASTQ files were conducted using MultiQC software v0.9 [22]. The reads were aligned to the human reference genome (GRCh37) using the STAR software v2.5 [20] and summarized as gene-level counts using featureCounts 1.4.4 [32]. For both datasets (C1 and C2) PCA analysis was used to assess the presence of outliers and to detect any batch effects. Four samples were deemed to be outliers and were removed (detailed below in Results). Gene expression differential analyses between MSA cases and HC were conducted using the R package DESeq2 v1.14.1 (Love et al., 2014), including age, sex (only C2), PMI and sample source (only C1) as covariates. Sex was not included as a covariate for C2 because the sexes were balanced and sample source was not included as a covariate for C1 because the tissue sources were balanced. The p-values were corrected for multiple testing using the False Discovery Rate (FDR) method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995), considering as significant all the genes with adjusted p-value (adj-p) < 0.05.

The results from the two cohorts were combined using a meta-analysis approach based on the weighted-Z method [62] as implemented in the R-package `survcomp` [50]

**Cell specific Expression**

We classified the genes detected in the differential expression analysis using an external database of expression values from different types of cells isolated from mouse cerebral cortex [63]. We computed an enrichment score for each cell type and gene, assigning each gene to a specific cell type according to the relative expression in the other cell types. The enrichment of cell specific genes was investigated across DEGs and co-expression modules using a hypergeometric statistic (R function `phyper`).
Enrichment and functional Network analysis

Lists of DEGs were analyzed for Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment using the R-package anRichmentMethods, adjusting the \( p \)-value with the FDR method. The same gene lists were also analyzed using HumanBase (https://hb.flatironinstitute.org/gene), constructing tissue-specific functional networks [25].

The enrichment of Alzheimer’s disease genes in MSA was conducted using the data from the Accelerated Medicine Partnership – Alzheimer’s Disease (AMP-AD) portal. We downloaded the differential expression results from 7 different brain regions from the Mayo, Mount Sinai and ROSMAP cohorts [3, 6, 61]. Specifically, the brain regions included were: temporal cortex (TCX), cerebellum (CBE), dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), frontal pole (FP), parahippocampal gyrus (PHC), and superior temporal gyrus (STG). The DEGs from these 7 brain regions were used as gene set references for the list of MSA genes ranked by log2 FC. The analysis was conducted using R-package fgsea adjusting the \( p \)-values with the FDR method.

Weighted Correlation Network Analysis

We conducted Weighted Correlation Network Analysis (WGCNA) in the MSA-C cohorts with the aim of identifying modules of co-expressed genes associated with the disease and enriched for specific biological processes [31]. We computed the co-expression networks using the data from C1 and then we estimated the module preservation in C2, using only MSA-C and HC. The analysis was conducted using the WGCNA R-package [31]. Genes for both C1 and C2 with less than 10 average counts were filtered out due to low expression and data were normalized using the \texttt{vst} function of the DESeq2 package [33]. The matrix of expression values was adjusted for age, sex, source and PMI using the function removeBatchEffect as implemented in the limma R-package [45]. Finally, we filtered out the 50% of genes having lower Median Absolute Deviation (MAD). We generated a signed co-expression network for C1 using the function blockwiseModules, with the option \texttt{mergeCutHeight} = 0.25. Then, we computed the module eigengenes and we investigated their relationship with disease status using a linear model as implemented in the \texttt{limma} package. We calculated the module membership and gene-trait significance
(MSA-C disease status) with the goal of ranking genes in each co-expression modules. Modules associated with disease status were further investigated using GO enrichment analysis. The enrichment for genes expressed in specific cell types was conducted using as reference gene sets the gene specifically expressed in the 5 cell types from Zhang et al. [63] and test sets including all of the genes ranked by module memberships for the module associated with the disease status. Finally, we checked the module preservation in C2 using the modulePreservation function with 1,000 permutations. Relevant coexpression networks were exported and visualized using Cytoscape v3.7.2 [51].
Results

Quality controls
For C1 (Illumina), we sequenced a total of 470 Million (M) reads (average: 12.4 M; range: 3.8 – 32.6 M) with a 76.7% average mapping rate. PCA analysis did not show the presence of outliers (Fig. S1). For C2 (TempO-Seq) we sequenced a total of 162 M reads (average: 1.7 M; range: 0.12 – 3.8 M), with a 90.2% average mapping rate. PCA analysis showed the presence of one outlier in the C2 group and it was removed from all subsequent analyses. The final sample size was: MSA = 47 and HC = 47 (Fig. S2A and Fig. S2B). For the LCM sample (a subsample from C1) we sequenced a total of 353 M reads (average: 29.4 M; range: 23.4 – 33.3 M) with an average 64.4% mapping rate. We detected the presence of three outliers that were also removed. The final sample size used for the differential analysis from the LCM dataset was: MSA = 4 and HC = 5 (Fig. S3A and Fig. S3B).

Differential expression results: bulk tissue human samples (MSA, MSA-P and MSA-C)
Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were obtained by combining the results from both cohorts using a meta-analysis approach. Details about the specific results for each cohort are reported in Tables S1-S3 and Fig. S4. The comparison of the log2 FC obtained in the differential analyses for the two independent cohorts for MSA, MSA-P and MSA-C was statistically significant ($\rho$ range = 0.204 – 0.456; $p < 2.2E-16$). The largest correlation coefficient ($\rho = 0.456$) was obtained for the MSA-C subtype probably due to the larger significance and effect size of the genes detected (Fig. S5).

After p-value combination, we obtained a set of DEGs ranging from 1 (MSA-P) to 747 (MSA-C) depending on the MSA sub-type (Fig. 1A – 1C). The complete results are reported in Tables S4-S6. The top 3 DEGs for MSA in general were $ACTN1$, $EMP1$ and $NFIL3$ (adj $p < 0.01$; all upregulated). In the MSA-P clinical sub-type we detected only one DEG ($GPNMB$), whereas in MSA-C the top genes were: $PGAM2$, $ST5$, $STON1$, $RFTN1$, $ACTN1$ and $MMP14$ (adj $p < 1.0E-04$; all upregulated) (Table 2; Fig. 2). We explored the differential expression between SND vs HC, and OPCA vs HC, detecting a total of 7 and 58 genes, respectively. $MLPH$, detected in SND, was also detected when
analyzing the clinical subtype MSA-P in C2, whereas a total of 47 genes detected in OPCA were also observed in the MSA-C clinical subtype in C2 (Table S7; Fig. S6). Correlation of the log2 FC between the differential analysis for clinical and neuropathological classification criteria were $\rho = 0.622$ (MSA-P/SND) and $\rho = 0.830$ (both $p < 2.2\text{E-16}$) (Fig. S7).

We explored the functional significance of the DEGs by applying a functional network analysis specific for the cerebellum and a GO enrichment analysis. Using the 35 MSA DEGs (Table S4) we detected a small network with 2 modules enriched for “cell-cell adhesion” (SELL and BCL6 genes) and “angiogenesis” (COL4A1 and COL4A2 genes) (both $q < 0.01$) (Fig. S8). The GO analysis yielded significant enrichment of the Biological Process “collagen-activated signaling pathway” (adj $p = 0.030$; genes: COL4A1, COL4A2, ITGA11). Using all of the 747 MSA-C DEGs (Table S6) we detected a large network including 9 different modules (Fig. 3). We observed the highest enrichment significance in module 1 (M1) which was amyloid-β metabolism (top GO process: $q = 5.3\text{E-05}$, Table S8), including the Alzheimer’s disease (AD) relevant genes: APP, PSEN1, CLU, ROCK2 and DYRK1. The central role of APP was confirmed by a separate protein-protein interaction analysis showing this gene as the most important hub in a network that included 30% of the DEGs generated using WEBGESTALT [60] (Fig. S9). The second highest significance was reached in module 2 (M2) for respiratory chain complex assembly (top GO process: $q = 8.2\text{E-03}$) (Table 3, Table S8). With the GO analysis we detected 625 significant functional classes, mostly related to cellular and cytoplasmatic components, neuro and gliogenesis (Fig. S10).

**Enrichment of AD genes in MSA-C**

After we observed the presence of the AD-related process (amyloid-β metabolism) and genes in MSA-C, we tested the enrichment of AD genes in MSA-C. We used data from AMP-AD, running an enrichment analysis by brain region using as reference sets the DEGs from each brain region. The results showed a significant enrichment of TCX (adj $p = 7.4\text{E-05}$) and PHG (adj $p = 2.0\text{E-02}$) AD DEGs among upregulated MSA-C genes (Fig. S11) which were also confirmed when we used more conservative cutoffs to select AD genes (adj $p < 0.01$, $< 0.001$, and $< 0.0001$) (Table S9A). As further validation, we used
the less variable genes between AD and non-demented controls (ND) (adj $p > 0.950$). As expected, we did not observe any significant enrichment of TCX or PHG AD DEGs genes (Table S9B). We compared the DEGs detected in MSA-C, with the DEGs detected in TCX and PHG, only selecting genes having the same log2 FC direction, considering the comparison: affected vs non-affected. We detected 201 genes in TCX, 152 in PHG and 103 common between both regions TCX, PHG and MSA-C (Table S10).

**Differential expression in LCM oligodendrocytes**

We detected a total of 187 differentially expressed genes in oligodendrocytes (90 upregulated and 97 downregulated) (Fig. 1D). Details for the complete list of genes are reported in Table S11. The top 4 significant genes (adj $p < 1.0E-05$) were: *GGCX*, *MOCS1*, *NFI* and *LINC01572* (Table 4). Using the functional module discovery analysis we detected a network including 4 modules (72 genes in total) enriched for telomere maintenance (M1: $q = 1.9E-03$; genes: *PTGES3* and *WRAP53*) and ncRNA processing (M2: $q = 0.0025$; genes: *DIMT1*, *INTS8*, and *MTREX*). Modules 3 and 4 are weakly enriched for immune processes and cell growth ($q < 0.05$) (Fig. S12). Using the GO analysis in the complete gene list, we detected a significant enrichment in the myelination process mostly due to downregulated genes (Fig. S13).

**Bioinformatic-based cell specific expression profiling**

We classified the DEGs obtained in the MSA-C group according to their expression in five brain cell types [63]. We selected only the MSA-C results because the large number of DEGs makes it possible to identify robust cell-specific upregulation/downregulation trends. Most of the DEGs were not cell specific (“mixed”: 74.7% of the total DEGs), whereas the remaining genes were: astrocyte (6.6%), oligodendrocyte (5.8%), endothelial cell (5.1%), neuron (4.1%) and microglia (3.7%) specific. We found a significant overrepresentation of astrocyte and oligodendrocyte genes (both: adj $p = 2.9E-04$). (Fig. S14). We observed a strong downregulation of oligodendrocyte genes and upregulation of microglia, neuron and astrocyte genes (Fig. 4A). To investigate if these patterns are disease specific, we compared the log2 FC of genes differentially expressed (adj $p < 0.05$) with those non-differentially expressed (adj $p \geq 0.05$) for each cell type. We
observed the highest significance for oligodendrocyte (downregulated in MSA) and neuronal genes (upregulated in MSA) \( (p < 0.001) \). Similar results were obtained when we relaxed the gene inclusion cutoff to \( \text{adj} \ p < 0.10 \) (Fig. S15). We conducted GO enrichment analysis on the cell-specific DEGs. The highest significance was reached for oligodendrocyte genes, enriched for myelination and oligodendrocyte development processes. Astrocytes were enriched for transport of ion across the membrane, plasma membrane components, and ATPase complex \( (FDR < 0.01) \). Endothelial cell genes were enriched for cell migration and angiogenesis. Neuronal genes were enriched for neurogenesis and post-synapse organization (Fig. 5; Table S12).

**WGCNA analysis**

Considering the large number of DEGs for the MSA-C subtype, we further investigated this group using WGCNA analysis. We computed a coexpression network using the data from C1 and validated the results in C2 by means of the module preservation analysis. After filtering (see Methods), a network was generated using the 7,650 genes with larger MAD using “9” as threshold power (Fig. S16). We obtained nine co-expression modules in total including 2,675 genes \( (35.0\% \text{ of the genes}) \), whereas the remaining were not significantly co-expressed and then were included in the grey module (Fig. 6A). The number of the genes in each module ranged from 78 (magenta) to 917 (turquoise). In Fig. S17 we show the heatmap and the dendrogram indicating the correlation between modules. A total of 4 modules (yellow, green, brown and blue) were associated with disease status, all showing an increase in MSA-C with the exception of the blue module (Fig. 6B). The number of genes in these 4 modules ranged from 160 (green) to 485 (blue). Two of the significant modules (brown and green) were highly correlated with each other (Fig. S17). We computed the module membership (correlation of each gene with the module eigengenes), and the gene-trait significance (correlation with disease status). As expected, the gene-trait significance was highly correlated with the log2 FC \( (r = 0.846; \ p < 2.2E-16) \). We represented the correlation of the module membership with gene-trait significance in the scatterplots in Fig. S18 and Fig. S19. As expected, we detected a significant positive correlation for the 4 modules associated with MSA (yellow, green, brown, and blue in the Figures) but not for the others (not shown). The
genes for these 4 significant modules are reported in Tables S13 ranked by module membership p-value. The most important hubs for the 4 modules were: TGFB2 (yellow), SYNGAP1 (green), TIAM1 (brown) and QKI (blue). These networks are represented in Fig. 7.

We conducted GO enrichment analysis and observed the most significant and specific enrichment in the brown and blue modules (Fig. S20 and Table S14). The yellow module (upregulated) showed a heterogeneous enrichment, including immune response but also tissue and organ development and response to stress. The green module (upregulated) was enriched for membrane proteins, ribosome and translation. The brown module (upregulated) was enriched for synaptic functional classes (top class: FDR = 1.2E-33), and the blue module (downregulated) was enriched for myelination and oligodendrocyte classes (top class: FDR = 3.1E-09).

We explored the enrichment for specific brain cell types gene expression using the data from [63]. Accordingly with the GO enrichment we observed, we found a significant enrichment of astrocyte (adj p = 3.3E-19) and endothelial genes (adj p = 2.8E-04) in the yellow module (upregulated, enriched for immunity and organ development), and a significant enrichment of neuronal genes (adj p = 2.5E-60) in the brown module (upregulated, enriched for synaptic processes). Furthermore, we detected a significant enrichment of oligodendrocyte genes (adj p = 7.7E-33) in the blue module (downregulated, enriched for myelination) (Fig. S21).

We validated the results conducting module preservation analysis, using C2 as the test sample. We observed strong evidence of preservation for the blue (myelination) and brown (synapse) modules, and moderate evidence of preservation in the green module (translation). No evidence of preservation was detected for the yellow module (Fig. S22).

Discussion

Overview

We conducted a genome-wide expression profiling study using cerebellar white matter (CWM) homogenates and LCM purified oligodendrocytes from MSA patients and healthy controls (HC). Two independent cohorts were analyzed using different expression profiling approaches and the differentially expressed genes were prioritized
using meta-analysis techniques. WGCNA was applied to find clusters of genes functionally related and associated with the disease. This is the largest RNA profiling study conducted on post-mortem brain samples from MSA patients to date.

**Differential dysregulation in MSA subtypes demonstrates more CWM transcriptional changes in MSA-C than in MSA-P**

After p-value combination, we obtained the largest number of DEGs in the MSA-C subgroup comparison ($n = 747$). Only one gene was noted to be differentially expressed in the MSA-P sub-type analysis. Of note, the majority of the MSA-P patients had demonstrable GCIIs in the CWM and 35 DEGs were identified when the MSA cohort was utilized as a single group in a case/control analysis (MSA-C plus MSA-P vs. HC). Of note, the ratio of MSA-P:MSA-C was 2.6:1 therefore the decreased number of DEGs noted in the combined MSA analysis is likely due to the higher number of MSA-P patients in our study. These results agree with the larger involvement of CWM alterations in MSA-C compared to MSA-P during the early stages of the disease [18, 46]. It is possible that due to the early involvement of CWM in MSA-C there is a longer time for the disease-related transcriptional changes to develop in the CWM in these patients. [46] found more cerebellar and pontine involvement in MSA-C compared to MSA-P. Dash *et al.* used voxel-based morphometry (VBM) and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) to assess the WM and GM changes in the two MSA subtypes and healthy controls. In comparison to controls, MSA-C showed widespread WM changes in supratentorial and infratentorial regions, whereas MSA-P only showed the involvement of association tracts. Their comparison between MSA-C and MSA-P confirmed a greater prevalence of cerebellar changes in MSA-C patients.

**Oligodendrocyte genes are downregulated and enriched for myelination processes in MSA-C CWM**

Results from multiple analyses in our study converge on strong evidence of the dysregulation of oligodendrocyte genes in MSA-C. WGCNA analysis showed the presence of a coexpression module (blue, $n = 485$ genes) negatively associated with disease status in MSA-C, enriched for myelination processes, and showing a very large
prevalence of oligodendrocyte genes in comparison to the other modules. Additionally, this module showed a strong preservation in the independent C2 dataset. The top hub gene in this blue coexpression network was QKI. This gene (downregulated in MSA-C) encodes for an RNA-binding protein involved in myelination and oligodendrocyte differentiation [1]. Darbelli et al., (2017) conducted a transcriptomic analysis of oligodendrocyte-specific QKI conditional knock-out mouse brain and found approximately 1,800 genes differentially expressed and the underlying functional annotation of these genes were enriched for axon ensheathment and myelination. Moreover, they detected 810 alternatively spliced genes in the conditional knock-out animals. These results suggest a potential key role of QKI as a regulator of RNA metabolism and alternative splicing in oligodendrocytes.

Interestingly, key myelin genes, including MBP, MAG, MOBP, and PLP1 were found significantly downregulated in MSA-C patients in our study. The study by Bettencourt et al., (2019) reported MSA-associated DNA methylation changes in MOBP, suggesting that the observed downregulation of this gene in MSA might be regulated by changes in DNA methylation levels.

As mentioned in the Introduction, the relocalization of p25α from the myelin sheath to the oligodendrocyte soma is one of the earliest molecular events that may trigger α-synuclein aggregation. This process may also slow oligodendrocyte precursor cell maturation by the α-synuclein mediated downregulation of myelin-gene regulatory factor and myelin basic protein [34]. Interestingly, the gene coding for p25α (TPPP), which is expressed in oligodendrocytes, was detected to be significantly downregulated in MSA-C patients in our study (adj p < 0.05). Additionally, SNCA was significantly downregulated after p-value combination in MSA-C (adj p < 0.05). The same result was found in another study [30], but not confirmed in other work [28, 42]. Other studies based on oligodendrocyte isolation and qPCA analysis described a basal expression and a trend of an increased expression in MSA patients [4, 19].

Other relevant genes from the LCM study also associated with the myelination process were NF1, PLP1 and ERMN. NF1 (Neurofibromin 1) was downregulated in MSA and it encodes for a protein specialized in the formation of myelin sheaths. Mutations in this gene causes Neurofibromatosis type 1, which is characterized by the growth of
tumors along nerves in various parts of the body including the brain. *PLP1* (Proteolipid Protein 1) is specifically expressed in oligodendrocytes and it was downregulated in MSA-C patients in our sample. The protein product is a predominant component of myelin, and it also has a role in the maintenance of the myelin sheath as well as in oligodendrocyte development and axonal maintenance. Groh et al. [26] showed that mice with a loss of function *PLP1* mutation exhibit neuroinflammation that leads to axonal degeneration and neuronal cell loss. Finally, *ERMN* (Ermin), downregulated in MSA-C, is involved in myelinogenesis and in maintenance and stability of the myelin sheath.

It is worth mentioning other genes highly differentially expressed in the LCM study even if not directly functionally associated with myelination: *GGCX*, and *MOCS*. *GGCX* (Gamma-Glutamyl Carboxylase) was upregulated in MSA patients, and it is essential for activating vitamin K-dependent proteins [55]. Mutations in this gene cause the “GGCX Syndrome” (OMIM: 137167). It has been observed *in vitro* that Vitamin K delays α-synuclein fibrillization through its interaction at specific sites at the N-terminus of α-synuclein [52]. *MOCS1* (Molybdenum Cofactor Synthesis 1) was downregulated and it is involved in the biological activation of molybdenum. Mutations in *MOCS1* causes molybdenum cofactor deficiency which is characterized by neurodegeneration and seizures [5].

**Neuron cell-specific genes are upregulated in MSA CWM and are enriched for biological pathways related to synaptic processes**

Two different analytical approaches suggested significant upregulation of neuronal cell-specific genes in MSA-C and these genes were enriched for biological roles in synaptic and neurogenesis processes. When we classified the DEGs from MSA-C according to our cell-specific gene analysis approach, we detected an upregulation of neuronal genes and an enrichment for synaptic and neuronal processes. Using WGCNA analysis we detected a module of 451 co-expressed genes (brown) significantly upregulated in MSA tissue and enriched for synaptic processes. The genes in this “brown” module demonstrated a higher prevalence of neuronal-specific genes in comparison to the other significant modules. As was the case with the blue module (discussed above), the brown module showed strong model preservation in our independent C2 dataset. The hub gene in the brown module co-
expression network was TIAM1 (T Cell Lymphoma Invasion And Metastasis 1). This gene (upregulated in MSA-C CWM) encodes a RAC1-specific guanine nucleotide exchange factor that is involved in the control of excitatory synapse development [57]. Interestingly, the green module (significantly upregulated in MSA-C CWM) was correlated with the brown module and showed an enrichment in protein transport and translation. The hub gene in this module was SYNGAP1 (Synaptic Ras GTPase Activating Protein 1, upregulated in MSA-C) which, like TIAM1, is also involved in synaptogenesis [7, 15]. The upregulation of neuron-specific genes and the enrichment for synaptogenesis is surprising in the context of a neurodegenerative disease like MSA. Monomeric α-synuclein is normally located in the presynaptic nerve terminals and is involved in synaptogenesis [64, 65]. Perhaps, the enrichment of the synaptogenesis process in MSA-C CWM in our study might be a consequence of an abnormal accumulation of α-synuclein in the synapse of MSA patients. This elevated synaptic accumulation was previously described to precede the re-localization of α-synuclein from neurons to oligodendrocytes and may represent one of the earliest and ongoing molecular events associated with the disease [54]. Alternatively, this upregulation of synaptogenesis in the context of neurodegeneration in the MSA-C brain may represent a transcriptional attempt by the remaining neurons to compensate for the overall synaptic losses within the CWM.

The importance of neuroinflammation in MSA-C

The combined relocalization of p25α and the ectopic presence of α-synuclein in oligodendrocytes are thought to trigger the formation of α-synuclein and p25α inclusions. These inclusions and resulting oligodendrocyte dysfunction, activate microglia and astrocytes contributing to the neurodegenerative process through neuroinflammation (Fanciulli and Wenning, 2015). These phenomena may explain our finding of the upregulated yellow module (314 genes). This module includes a large prevalence of astrocyte and microglia genes compared to the other significant modules and it is enriched for inflammatory and tissue/organ developmental processes. We found that astrocyte and endothelial specific genes were significantly upregulated in the DEGs from bulk tissue. The top hub gene in the yellow module was TGFB2 (Transforming Growth
Factor Beta 2). This gene encodes a secreted ligand of the TGF-beta (transforming growth factor-beta) superfamily of proteins that are involved in the recruitment and activation of SMAD family transcription factors. Interestingly, the levels of TGFβ-2 were previously found to be increased in the neocortex of AD and dementia with Lewy bodies and were positively correlated with neuropathological markers of disease severity [14]. This finding may suggest that TGF-beta is a key regulator of the inflammatory processes that may be more generalizable to neurodegenerative diseases regardless of the underlying causes and resulting neuropathologies. In the yellow module we found also MASP1 (log2 FC = 0.944; adj p 0.380), whose mRNA expression was found upregulated in a separate study conducted using frontal lobe post-mortem brains from MSA patients and controls [29].

Collagen genes are upregulated in MSA

In the combined MSA group after p-value combination we detected 35 genes, most of them upregulated in patients. In both enrichment analyses we detected a key role of collagen genes: COL4A1, COL4A2, and ITGA11; all upregulated. COL4A1 (collagen type IV alpha 1 chain) and COL4A2 (collagen type IV alpha 1 chain) encode respectively for the alpha 1 and alpha 2 chains of type IV collagen which are important components of the basement membrane in all tissues, especially blood vessels. ITGA11 (Integrin Subunit Alpha 11) is functionally related as it is a collagen receptor. Mutations in COL4A1 and COL4A2 have been associated with sporadic brain small vessel disease [44] and porencephaly [10]. Recently Paiva et al., (2018) found COL4A2 upregulated in both A30P aSyn mice and dopaminergic neurons expressing A30P aSyn, suggesting a key role of collagen-related genes in α-synuclein induced toxicity. In the same study, they demonstrated a regulation of COL4A2 expression by miR-29a-3p, known to target COL4A4 mRNA. In a separate study the loss of miR-29a was correlated with increased levels of BACE1 and amyloid-β in sporadic Alzheimer’s Disease [27]. Finally, lack of collagen VI has been related to neurodegeneration in mice models [11], and its presence has been related to a neuroprotective role against β-Amyloid toxicity [13].

Beside the collagen related pathway, the top genes detected in the differential expression analysis were: ACTN1 (Actinin Alpha 1), EMP1 (Epithelial Membrane
Protein 1), and *NFIL3* (Nuclear Factor, Interleukin 3 Regulated). Expression changes of *ACTN1* were associated with AD in hippocampus [24], whereas *NFIL3* was associated with neuroprotection in models of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis [56]. EMP1 protein was also found upregulated in 5xFAD AD model [21].

**MSA-C shows a common transcriptional background with Alzheimer’s Disease**

We detected a large functional network in MSA-C patients that included *APP* and other AD-related genes that included *PSEN1, CLU, ROCK2, EFNA1* and *DYRK1*. The module (M1) including these genes was enriched for amyloid-β metabolism. The strongest enrichment between MSA-C and AD DEGs was found in the temporal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus (AMP-AD data).

**Study Limitations**

We note some limitations of our study. First, MSA is a rare disease and although our cohort is the largest that has been expression profiled to date it is still likely that we are underpowered to detect small effect sizes that could be functionally important. Secondly, we acknowledge that the findings would be improved by the inclusion of additional brain regions that may be altered by the disease. For example, it isn’t particularly surprising that we noted the most significant cerebellar transcriptional changes in MSA-C, a clinical subtype of MSA with predominating cerebellar symptoms. It would be interesting to compare the transcriptomic changes in the striatum, olivary nuclei, and pontine nuclei as well. Thirdly, we assessed C1 and C2 using different profiling approaches. This could be considered a positive aspect of our work as the identified transcriptional changes that cross-validate are likely not specific to a particular gene expression measurement approach and therefore may have higher reproducibility, however, this could also be considered a limitation as some true associations may be unreported due to their failure in one of the profiling chemistries and not due to the underlying biology. Lastly, layering additional genomic information – like DNA sequence information – would also enhance the study as it could facilitate more detailed analyses such as allele specific expression or epigenetic regulation of transcription.
Conclusions
This is the largest study ever conducted on the MSA brain transcriptome. We utilized two different cohorts that were each assessed by different gene expression analysis chemistries that we propose increases the robustness of DEG and co-expression network detection.

The main findings of this study are the multiple evidence of oligodendrocyte gene downregulation associated with the loss of myelination. We detected the \textit{QKI} gene as a master regulator of this associated gene network. Additionally, we showed an upregulation of neuronal-specific gene expression possibly as a consequence of the initial accumulation of monomeric $\alpha$-synuclein in neurons, with \textit{TIAM1} and \textit{SYNGAP1} as top hubs in the two networks. An additional coexpression network highlighted the later stages of the neurodegenerative cascade with activation of microglia and astrocytes. Finally, our results suggest a common transcriptional background between MSA and AD, potentially through \textit{APP}-mediated mechanisms.
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Table 1. Sample characteristics of the different cohorts analyzed. Differences in age and PMI between cases and controls were assessed using t-test or Wilcoxon test, according to the data distribution. Sex distribution was assessed using the Fisher’s Exact test.

* One MSA-P sample was removed after the PCA analysis (Final sample size: MSA = 47; MSA-P = 36; MSA-C = 11; HC = 47)

** Two MSA and one HC samples were removed after PCA analysis (Final sample size: MSA = 4; HC = 5)

| A (Cohort 1) | MSA (n = 19) | HC (n = 19) | P       |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Age          | 70.2 ± 7.4   | 69.6 ± 6.5  | t = -0.311; p = 0.757 |
| PMI          | 10.6 ± 10.1  | 12.0 ± 10.8 | W = 175, p = 0.884 |
| Males        | 10           | 10          | p = 1.000 |
| Females      | 9            | 9           |         |

| MSA-P (n = 5) | HC (n = 19) | P       |
|---------------|-------------|---------|
| Age           | 66.8 ± 5.8  | 69.6 ± 6.5 | t = -1.168; p = 0.255 |
| PMI           | 15.2 ± 5.9  | 12.0 ± 10.8 | W = 60.5; p = 0.374 |
|       | Males     | Females   | p   |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----|
|       | 3 (60.0)  | 2 (40.0)  |     |
|       | 10 (52.6) | 9 (47.4)  |     |

| Gender | MSA-C (n = 5) | HC (n = 19) | P   |
|--------|---------------|-------------|-----|
| Age    | 72.2 ± 6.6    | 69.6 ± 6.5  | t = 0.366; p = 0.718 |
| PMI    | 19.4 ± 13.2   | 12.0 ± 10.8 | W = 66.5; p = 0.188 |
| Males  | 4 (80.0)      | 10 (52.6)   | p = 0.356 |
| Females| 1 (20.0)      | 9 (47.4)    |     |

| Gender | MSA (48) | HC (47) | P   |
|--------|----------|---------|-----|
| Age    | 64.5 ± 8.0 | 84.2 ± 9.1 | W = 159.5; p = 5.6E-13 |
| PMI    | 61.7 ± 24.0 | 59.9 ± 28.2 | W = 1172; p = 0.746 |
| Males (%) | 21 (43.8) | 16 (34.0) |     |
| Females (%) | 27 (56.3) | 31 (66.0) | p = 0.402 |

| Gender | MSA_P (37) | HC (47) | P   |
|--------|------------|---------|-----|
| Age    | 64.8 ± 8.5 | 84.2 ± 9.1 | W = 129.5; p = 2.6E-11 |
| PMI    | 63.2 ± 24.6 | 59.9 ± 28.2 | W = 930; p = 0.589 |
| Males (%) | 14 (37.8) | 16 (34.0) |     |
| Females (%) | 23 (62.1) | 31 (66.0) | 0.820 |

| Gender | MSA_C (11) | HC (47) | P   |
|--------|------------|---------|-----|
| Age    | 63.5 ± 6.4 | 84.2 ± 9.1 | W = 30; p = 6.0E-06 |
| PMI    | 56.9 ± 22.2 | 59.9 ± 28.2 | W = 242; p = 0.751 |
| Males (%) | 7 (63.6) | 16 (34.0) |     |
| Females (%) | 4 (36.4) | 31 (66.0) | 0.093 |

| Gender | MSA (n = 6) | HC (n = 6) | P   |
|--------|-------------|------------|-----|
| Age    | 70.0 ± 7.7  | 72.0 ± 7.0  | t = -0.469; p = 0.650 |
| PMI    | 16.3 ± 14.4 | 9.4 ± 11.0 | W = 26.5; p = 0.199 |
| Males  | 4           | 2           |     |
| Females | 2           | 4           | p = 0.547 |
Table 2. Top genes for the different MSA subtypes after p-value combination. Downregulated genes are reported in grey.

| Group | Genes Info | Differential Expression Cohort 1 | Differential Expression Cohort 2 | Combined P values | Averaged Log2 FC |
|-------|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
|       | Symbol     | Ensembl ID                        | Base Mean                       | Log2 (FC)        | p adj p          | Base Mean | Log2 (FC) | p adj p          | p Adj p |         |         |
|       |            |                                  | Mean                            |                  |                 | Mean       |                  |                 |         |         |         |
| MSA   | ACTN1      | ENSG00000072110                  | 120.266                         | 1.309            | 2.3E-06         | 1.4E-02    | 152.163          | 1.055          | 1.4E-04 | 2.0E-01 | 1.2E-07 | 1.4E-03 | 1.182 |
|       | EMP1       | ENSG00000134531                  | 59.778                          | 1.636            | 7.4E-06         | 1.6E-02    | 240.880          | 1.254          | 7.3E-04 | 4.9E-01 | 5.8E-07 | 3.5E-03 | 1.445 |
|       | NFIL3      | ENSG00000165030                  | 68.525                          | 1.019            | 1.1E-04         | 4.6E-02    | 21.457           | 1.567          | 5.1E-04 | 4.1E-01 | 2.4E-06 | 9.8E-03 | 1.293 |
|       | PI15       | ENSG00000137558                  | 13.120                          | 1.086            | 2.3E-02         | 3.7E-01    | 11.510           | 2.273          | 9.8E-06 | 5.1E-02 | 6.0E-06 | 1.8E-02 | 1.679 |
|       | TUBB6      | ENSG00000176014                  | 31.136                          | 1.426            | 4.5E-06         | 1.5E-02    | 0.696            | 1.885          | 8.8E-02 | 1.0E+00 | 9.0E-06 | 2.2E-02 | 1.656 |
|       | VIM        | ENSG00000026025                  | 297.903                         | 0.701            | 7.8E-03         | 2.5E-01    | 1841.955         | 1.240          | 7.0E-05 | 1.5E-01 | 1.1E-05 | 2.2E-02 | 0.971 |
|       | COL4A1     | ENSG00000187498                  | 94.979                          | 1.424            | 1.6E-04         | 5.6E-02    | 71.659           | 1.008          | 4.3E-03 | 7.6E-01 | 1.3E-05 | 2.2E-02 | 1.216 |
|       | NFKBIA     | ENSG00000100906                  | 280.164                         | 0.672            | 9.7E-05         | 4.1E-02    | 8.006            | 0.932          | 8.9E-03 | 8.8E-01 | 1.5E-05 | 2.2E-02 | 0.802 |
|       | MAT2A      | ENSG00000168906                  | 766.718                         | 0.641            | 6.1E-03         | 2.4E-01    | 1065.143         | 0.902          | 1.6E-04 | 2.0E-01 | 1.6E-05 | 2.2E-02 | 0.771 |
|       | AEBP1      | ENSG00000106624                  | 238.300                         | 1.638            | 2.1E-06         | 1.4E-02    | 14.682           | 0.328          | 4.5E-01 | 1.0E+00 | 1.9E-05 | 2.2E-02 | 0.983 |
| MSA-P | GPNMB      | ENSG00000136235                  | 87.507                          | 1.798            | 1.5E-03         | 3.0E-01    | 34.116           | 1.773          | 2.2E-05 | 7.7E-02 | 1.7E-06 | 2.0E-02 | 1.785 |
|       | PGAM2      | ENSG000000164708                 | 64.618                          | 1.906            | 4.7E-04         | 3.6E-02    | 230.022          | 3.193          | 3.5E-08 | 4.8E-05 | 2.7E-08 | 5.6E-05 | 2.549 |
|       | ST5        | ENSG000000166444                  | 140.163                         | 1.216            | 6.0E-07         | 7.8E-04    | 79.401           | 1.146          | 8.8E-05 | 6.7E-03 | 4.8E-08 | 5.6E-05 | 1.181 |
|       | STON1      | ENSG00000243244                  | 59.570                          | 1.560            | 1.1E-03         | 5.7E-02    | 433.908          | 2.177          | 1.0E-08 | 2.7E-05 | 2.3E-08 | 5.6E-05 | 1.868 |
|       | RFTN1      | ENSG00000131378                  | 53.043                          | 2.423            | 7.5E-07         | 8.6E-04    | 66.900           | 1.345          | 6.2E-05 | 6.0E-03 | 4.5E-08 | 5.6E-05 | 1.884 |
| MSA-C | ACTN1      | ENSG00000072110                  | 101.733                         | 1.939            | 2.9E-05         | 9.3E-03    | 141.214          | 1.905          | 5.7E-06 | 1.5E-03 | 8.6E-08 | 7.0E-05 | 1.922 |
|       | MMP14      | ENSG00000157227                  | 68.018                          | 1.740            | 1.5E-04         | 2.0E-02    | 270.406          | 2.128          | 1.2E-06 | 5.8E-04 | 9.0E-08 | 7.0E-05 | 1.934 |
|       | ITGB4      | ENSG00000132470                  | 425.361                         | 1.491            | 1.2E-04         | 1.8E-02    | 109.863          | 1.650          | 6.0E-06 | 1.5E-03 | 1.8E-07 | 1.2E-04 | 1.570 |
|       | MAPK4      | ENSG00000141639                  | 274.832                         | 1.561            | 3.6E-09         | 6.0E-05    | 53.534           | 0.402          | 3.6E-01 | 6.5E-01 | 2.9E-07 | 1.7E-04 | 0.981 |
|       | OMG        | ENSG00000126861                  | 431.926                         | -1.384           | 2.3E-03         | 8.0E-02    | 56.937           | -2.185         | 2.5E-06 | 9.7E-04 | 6.0E-07 | 2.3E-04 | -1.785 |
|       | FAM107A    | ENSG00000168309                  | 2370.587                        | 0.848            | 9.9E-03         | 1.6E-01    | 2751.910         | 1.754          | 3.5E-07 | 2.7E-04 | 4.6E-07 | 2.3E-04 | 1.301 |
Table 3. Top results of the functional module discovery analysis using the DEGs identified in MSA-C

| CLUSTER (Genes) | TERM_NAME | GO_ID          | Q VALUE | GENE COUNT | TERM_GENES                  |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------|------------|-----------------------------|
| M1 (152)        | amyloid-beta formation | GO:0034205 | 5.3E-05 | 6          | ROCK2,DYRK1A,CLU,PSEN1,EFNA1,APP |
|                 | amyloid precursor protein catabolic process | GO:0042987 | 1.0E-04 | 6          | ROCK2,DYRK1A,CLU,PSEN1,EFNA1,APP |
|                 | amyloid-beta metabolic process | GO:0050435 | 1.0E-04 | 6          | ROCK2,DYRK1A,CLU,PSEN1,EFNA1,APP |
|                 | amyloid precursor protein metabolic process | GO:0042982 | 2.8E-04 | 6          | ROCK2,DYRK1A,CLU,PSEN1,EFNA1,APP |
|                 | amyloid fibril formation | GO:1990000 | 1.0E-03 | 4          |CLU,GSN,APP,PSEN1             |
| M2 (85)         | NADH dehydrogenase complex assembly | GO:0010257 | 8.2E-03 | 4          |NDUFA1,NDUFS5,NDUF3,NDUF5    |
|                 | mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I assembly | GO:0032981 | 8.2E-03 | 4          |NDUFA1,NDUFS5,NDUF3,NDUF5    |
|                 | mitochondrion organization | GO:0007005 | 1.6E-02 | 7          |SLC25A5,NDUF3,NDUF5,PARP1,PSMD10,N DUFS5,NDUFA1 |
|                 | mitochondrial respiratory chain complex assembly | GO:0033108 | 1.8E-02 | 4          |NDUFA1,NDUFS5,NDUF3,NDUF5    |
|                 | negative regulation of centriole replication | GO:0046600 | 2.0E-02 | 2          |RBM14,CHMP2A                  |
| M3 (117)        | regulation of cellular protein localization | GO:1903827 | 1.1E-02 | 9          |EZR,IWS1,RDX,GPSM2,NUMB,PICALM,RTN4, BAG3,UMHK1 |
|                 | regulation of organelle assembly | GO:1902115 | 1.4E-02 | 6          |STAG1,EZR,CCP110,GPSM2,RDX,CHMP5 |
|                 | sulfur compound biosynthetic process | GO:0044272 | 1.7E-02 | 4          |MTRR,GCLC,MT2A,PAPSS1        |
|                 | membrane docking | GO:0022406 | 1.8E-02 | 3          |PDZD8,EZR,ATG14              |
|                 | regulation of protein export from nucleus | GO:0046825 | 2.3E-02 | 3          |BAG3,IWS1,UMHK1              |
| M4 (12)         | negative regulation of multi-organism process | GO:0043901 | 1.3E-02 | 3          |IFITM3,TIMP1,ANXA2           |
|                 | regulation of multi-organism process | GO:0043900 | 2.8E-02 | 3          |IFITM3,TIMP1,ANXA2           |
|                 | negative regulation of protein catabolic process | GO:0042177 | 3.3E-02 | 2          |TIMP1,ANXA2                  |
|                 | skeletal system development | GO:0001501 | 3.9E-02 | 2          |CD44,ANXA2                  |
|                 | negative regulation of endopeptidase activity | GO:0010951 | 4.0E-02 | 2          |CD44,TIMP1                  |
| M5 (63)         | integrin-mediated signaling pathway | GO:0007229 | 2.2E-02 | 3          |FLNA,LAMA5,ZYX              |
|                 | positive regulation of cell development | GO:0010720 | 2.8E-02 | 4          |NSMF,FLNA,ARHGEF2,PLXB2      |
| GO ID       | Term                                      | P-value | Upregulated Genes                                                                 |
|------------|-------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| GO:0030036 | actin cytoskeleton organization           | 4.0E-02 | FSCN1, RHOG, FLNA, ZYX, ARHGEF2                                                  |
| GO:0007015 | actin filament organization               | 4.1E-02 | FSCN1, FLNA, ARHGEF2, ZYX                                                        |
| GO:0097435 | supramolecular fiber organization         | 4.1E-02 | FSCN1, FLNA, ZYX, B4GALT7, ARHGEF2                                               |
| GO:0006816 | calcium ion transport                     | 4.0E-02 | CDH23, PRKG1                                                                     |
| GO:0070838 | divalent metal ion transport              | 4.1E-02 | CDH23, PRKG1                                                                     |
| GO:0072511 | divalent inorganic cation transport       | 4.1E-02 | CDH23, PRKG1                                                                     |
| GO:0050808 | synapse organization                      | 4.4E-02 | CNTN2, NLGN3, SLITRK1                                                             |
| GO:0072001 | renal system development                  | 4.7E-02 | COL4A1, ITGB4                                                                    |
| GO:0001655 | urogenital system development             | 4.9E-02 | COL4A1, ITGB4                                                                    |
Table 4. Top genes differentially expressed in oligodendrocytes in MSA vs HC

| Genes    | Ensembl Gene Id | Biotype     | Base Mean | log2 Fold Change | Stat   | p       | p-adj   |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| GGCX     | ENSG00000115486 | Protein Coding | 803.4     | 1.691            | 7.072  | 1.5E-12 | 1.1E-08 |
| MOCS1    | ENSG00000124615 | Protein Coding | 405.2     | -1.759           | -6.433 | 1.2E-10 | 4.4E-07 |
| NF1      | ENSG00000196712 | Protein Coding | 775.5     | -1.532           | -6.089 | 1.1E-09 | 2.4E-06 |
| LINC01572 | ENSG00000261008 | lincRNA     | 207.2     | -2.010           | -6.063 | 1.3E-09 | 2.4E-06 |
| PRRG3    | ENSG00000130032 | Protein Coding | 269.3     | 1.808            | 5.731  | 1.0E-08 | 1.4E-05 |
| HMBOX1   | ENSG00000147421 | Protein Coding | 124.9     | 2.247            | 5.588  | 2.3E-08 | 2.7E-05 |
| PLP1     | ENSG00000123560 | Protein Coding | 383.7     | -1.744           | -5.494 | 3.9E-08 | 3.5E-05 |
| -        | ENSG00000249906 | antisense   | 29.0      | 4.224            | 5.495  | 3.9E-08 | 3.5E-05 |
| PPP1CA   | ENSG00000172531 | Protein Coding | 458.2     | -1.617           | -5.359 | 8.4E-08 | 6.6E-05 |
| C8orf88  | ENSG00000253250 | Protein Coding | 46.1      | -2.657           | -5.313 | 1.1E-07 | 7.6E-05 |
**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** Volcano plots representing the differential expression results after p-value combination (excluding LCM dataset). In red and blue upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively.

(A): MSA  
(B): MSA-P  
(C): MSA-C  
(D): Oligodendrocytes

**Figure 2.** Top differentially expressed genes found in:  
(A) MSA  
(B) MSA-C

**Figure 3.** Results of the functional network analysis on MSA-C DEGs. Module 1 was enriched for amyloid-β metabolism (q = 5.3E-05) including key AD genes as: *APP*, *PSEN1*, *CLU*, *ROCK2* and *DYRK1*.

**Figure 4.** DEGs Log2 FC distribution across the cell-specific genes classes. Upregulated and downregulated genes in MSA-C are in red and blue, respectively.

**Figure 5.** Dot plot reporting the top 10 GO functional classes enriched in each cell-specific gene class.

**Figure 6.** WGCNA analysis  
(A) Cluster dendrogram showing the 9 coexpression modules detected in MSA-C (C1)  
(B) Volcano plot representing the results of the differential expression of the eigengene modules between MSA-C vs HC.

**Figure 7.** Coexpression network generated from the significant coexpression modules visualized with Cytoscape. The hub genes are the larger nodes. The color intensity of each node is proportional to the number of connections.
(A) Network for the yellow module, upregulated in MSA-C. We represented edges with weight $\geq 0.05$.

(B) Network for the blue module, downregulated in MSA-C. We exported edges with weight $\geq 0.20$.

(C) Network for the brown module, upregulated in MSA-C. We exported edges with weight $\geq 0.20$.

(D) Network for the green module, upregulated in MSA-C. We exported edges with weight $\geq 0.01$.
The figure shows scatter plots comparing different conditions:

- **MSA vs HC**
- **MSA-P vs HC**
- **MSA-C vs HC**
- **MSA-LCM vs HC**

Each plot represents the log_{10} of adjusted p-values against the averaged log_{2} fold change (FC). The data points are color-coded, with different colors indicating different conditions or groups.
