Minimum 3.5-year outcomes of operative treatment for Achilles tendon partial tears in the midportion and retrocalcaneal area
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Abstract

Background

Achilles tendon partial tears are not easy to diagnose and to manage. Most frequently, they are located in the midportion and insertional area. These entities result from different pathologic pathways and different treatment strategies are applied. The outcome is rarely investigated.

Methods

This study includes patients who underwent surgery for partial tears in the midportion or retrocalcaneal Achilles tendon area between the years 2009 and 2015 by a single surgeon. Patients were prospectively assessed preoperatively and 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively, using the VISA-A-G questionnaire. The final retrospective follow-up was performed after a minimum of 3.5 years postoperatively. Forty-eight Achilles tendon partial tears at the level of the retrocalcaneal bursa (impingement lesions) and 27 midportion Achilles tendon partial tears were identified. After applying rigorous exclusion criteria, 21 and 16 cases, respectively, remained for the final follow-up. Results were analysed by descriptive statistics.

Results

The VISA-A-G outcome scores improved significantly from preoperative to six months, 12 months, and final postoperative assessment. Preoperatively, the average VISA-A-G score was 42.1 (range, 18–73) for patients operated for Achilles tendon partial tears at the level of the retrocalcaneal bursa and 44.6 (range, 10–73) for the midportion Achilles tendon partial tear group, respectively (p = 0.690). At final follow-up 88.8 (range, 15 to 100) and 96.9 (range, 71 to 100) were scored in the respective treatment groups (p = 0.002).

Conclusions

In Achilles tendon partial tears recalcitrant to conservative treatment, operative intervention is highly successful in most cases, irrespective of the level of the injury. Twelve months postoperatively and at final follow-up, however, results were significantly better in the midportion Achilles tendon partial tear group.

Trial registration
Background

“Subcutaneous partial rupture of the Achilles tendon” was first described in 1968 [1]. The author presented 24 cases and defined that entity as a “tear involving a varying number of fibres in the free portion of the Achilles tendon, usually leaving most fibres intact” [1]. So far, only little research has been conducted. In a PubMed/Medline internet search (20/03/2020) 79 articles were found for [Achilles tendon] and [partial] and [(tear) or (rupture)]. Twenty-one papers described imaging. There were 10 animal studies and two anatomic descriptions. Four papers presented overviews without original data. Thirteen studies focused on Achilles tendinopathy and nine on Achilles tendon ruptures. Eight publications were not relevant for the topic. Twelve clinical original case series and case studies presented 213 cases. From these, 83 lesions affected the midportion Achilles tendon, while 130 were located in the retrocalcaneal Achilles tendon area.

Clinical presentation of Achilles tendon partial tears is unspecific in most cases and is frequently not different from Achilles tendinopathy [1, 2] or retrocalcaneal bursitis [3]. Suspicion of Achilles tendon partial tear is likely, when the patient experiences an acute onset, an audible pop, and a piercing pain during load [4]. Dependent on the size and the age of the lesion physical examination inconsistently presents Achilles tendon swelling or denting and calf muscle atrophy. Side differences in ankle dorsiflexion indicate Achilles tendon elongation in an advanced stage [5].

Diagnostic ultrasound imaging, colour Doppler, and/or MRI can underline the clinical suspicion [6]. Ultrasound and power Doppler investigations demonstrated unspecific findings like localised swelling, reduced echogenity, and neovascularisation related to the injured area [6]. More specific but inconsistent findings were discontinuity of tendon fibres and intratendineous anechogenic or low echogenic spots. However, “especially partial ruptures of the Achilles tendon” are not sufficiently detected by ultrasound [7]. MRI scans have the highest accuracy for Achilles tendon partial tears [8].

Conservative treatment should initially be initiated and contains most modalities used also for Achilles tendinopathy [9, 10]. However, caution against eccentric training is recommended, as it may increase
the risk for total Achilles tendon rupture [2]. The reviewed literature presents only six (four midportion, two impingement) partial Achilles tendon tears with successful conservative therapy. When unresponsive to conservative therapy, operative procedures are recommended [1].

In the midportion area, Achilles tendon partial tears are operatively addressed by excision and side-to-side and/or end-to-end repair [1-3]. In the retrocalcaneal area, the bursa and the Haglund tuberosity are removed open or endoscopically. Some authors additionally repair this so-called impingement partial tear [3, 11, 12] while others only excise the lesion [13, 14].

In a previous study, we compared results of operative treatment for Achilles tendinopathy and retrocalcaneal bursitis with or without Achilles tendon partial tears [3]. That study demonstrated no difference in outcome between the four respective groups, but the available numbers and the resulting power of that study were small.

The aim of this study was to compare the patient related outcome of operatively treated Achilles tendon partial tears when located in the midportion or in the retrocalcaneal area after a minimum of 42 months and at 3, 6, and 12 months. Besides, the VISA-A-G (Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment-Achilles tendon, German version) outcomes within the groups were compared from preoperative to 3, 6, 12, and more than 42 months postoperative.

Material And Methods

Ethics

The Landesärztekammer Hessen Ethics Committee (FF 162/2016) approved this study. Informed consent was obtained from all patients, and the rights of the patients were protected. The registration trial number is DRKS00014266 on DRKS. 'Retrospectively registered'. Date of registration: 06/04/2018.

Patients and grouping

The patients of this study were operated between November 2009 and end of 2015. We searched our electronic databases for patients operated for Achilles tendon partial tear. Dependent on the anatomic level of the Achilles tendon partial tear and from the respective operative procedure, we enrolled patients either to a ‘midportion group’ or to a ‘retrocalcaneal group’ (Fig. 1[15]). To be
included, patients preoperatively had to be unresponsive to two or more of the following conservative treatment modalities: load modification, rest, acupuncture, orthotics, bandage, NSAID, eccentric exercises, physiotherapy, ice, ESWT, injections, and radiation. The analyses comprised only datasets of patients, who responded to the final follow-up questionnaire. Two patients included, both from the ‘retrocalcaneal group’, underwent bilateral operations within three weeks and two months. The respective final follow-up VISA-A-G scores for these four lower extremities were 100, 100, 100, and 88. To avoid “double dipping” effects [16] only the scores obtained from the sides operated on first were included (100 and 88).

Further exclusion criteria were: no partial tear described in the operative report (n = 1), previous Achilles tendon surgery (n = 8), additional procedures performed during surgery (n = 5), unwilling to participate (n = 1), missing preoperative VISA-A-G questionnaire (n = 4), final follow-up missing (n = 16), second side excluded (n = 2). One patient died between the 12 months and the final follow-up for reasons not related to the Achilles tendon surgery. Three midportion and three retrocalcaneal Achilles tendon partial tear patients (operated between 2009 and 2011) were already enrolled in a previous evaluation [3].

Diagnostics

History was nonspecific in most cases and was not different from Achilles tendinopathy or chronic retrocalcaneal bursitis. Patients generally complained about sport/running induced pain in the involved Achilles tendon. This pain increased over time and increasingly limited the patients’ activity. In typical cases, an acute event exacerbated the symptoms (Table 1).

Physical examination allocated the patient’s symptoms to the injured Achilles tendon region. The most important finding was the localised pain on palpation related either to the Achilles tendon midportion or to the retrocalcaneal area. Midportion lesions additionally demonstrated a spindle shaped swelling at the Achilles tendon 2–7 cm above its calcaneal insertion. Retrocalcaneal lesions presented with swelling related to the Haglund/retrocalcaneal region.

All patients underwent ultrasound and power Doppler investigations and MRI scans were available for 21 patients (Table 1).
Operative procedures

A single orthopaedic surgeon performed all procedures. The operative techniques for the two different lesions have already been described in detail [3]. Midportion Achilles tendon partial tears were accessed by transverse or longitudinal skin incisions. The paratenon was resected and the anterior Achilles tendon was released. Following longitudinal splitting of the Achilles tendon the lesion was identified and excised. Repair comprised transverse anterior O-shaped side-to-side and a posterior running suture (2 – 0 and 3 – 0 Vicryl). In five cases, a plantaris tendon transplant reinforced the reconstruction. For retrocalcaneal Achilles tendon partial tears an oblique to transverse or a longitudinal skin incision at the lateral Achilles tendon border was made over the lateral aspect of the retrocalcaneal bursa. At the lateral Achilles tendon border, the retrocalcaneal bursa was accessed by a longitudinal incision. The subcutaneous bursa, the retrocalcaneal bursa, and Haglund’s tuberosity were removed from lateral to medial. By elevating the lateral Achilles tendon border the anterior Achilles tendon partial tear was identified, debrided and the lesion was repaired with one to five Z- or O-shaped transverse 2 – 0 Vicryl sutures [12].

Postoperative care

Postoperative treatment and rehabilitation regimen was not different for the two groups. Postoperatively, a Scotchcast splint was applied for 3–4 days and remained for four weeks postoperatively as a night splint. A stable shoe with a 1.5-2 cm heel lift was initiated 3-4 days postoperatively during the day and load was gradually increased during the following 1–2 days. Four to six weeks postoperatively the heel lift was reduced to 1 cm. The stable shoe was discontinued 6 weeks postoperatively but the 1 cm heel lift remained in the patient’s normal shoe for six more weeks. After the 12th postoperative week the patient, if free of pain, was allowed to gradually commence running activities. If symptom free, full load in practice and during competitions was allowed six months postoperatively.

Follow-up

There is no validated research tool available for Achilles tendon partial tears. Therefore, outcome was prospectively evaluated using the VISA-A-G questionnaire preoperatively (baseline) and three, six, 12
months, and after a minimum of 3.5 years postoperatively. The VISA-A questionnaire is the only valid, reliable, and disease-specific patient administered questionnaire for research in Achilles tendinopathy [17, 18]. It measures the severity of pain and function, related to activities of daily living (six items) and during sport (two items). A score of 0 means a maximal impairment and 100 reflects an asymptomatic person. In principle, the VISA-A questionnaire is designed and validated only for Achilles tendinopathy in the midportion and/or retrocalcaneal area [17-19]. However, the VISA-A score correlates with the ATRS (Achilles tendon Total Rupture Score) [20]. Both tools are not specifically validated for Achilles tendon partial tears and the ATRS is not available in German language. We therefore decided to use the VISA-A-G questionnaire as the best suitable tool for this study. Two patients (one in each group) were not involved in any sport. Corresponding to the proposed procedure [21], their results were calculated from the percentage result of questions 1 to 6 only.

Two patients in the retrocalcaneal group underwent bilateral operations (3 weeks and 2 months interval). For these patients only the results for the side of the initial intervention was further analysed.

A VISA-A-G score of 90 and more was regarded as excellent, 70-89 as good, and below 70 as unsuccessful [22]. From this classification, success rate is defined as the summarised excellent and good results [3, 23].

Statistical analysis

The data were tested for normal distribution using the Anderson-Darling test [24]. Further statistical analyses were performed using a standard software package (Excel 2013, Microsoft). Descriptive statistics were carried out in normally distributed data and mean, standard deviation, and range are presented. The unpaired t-test was used to test for group differences (anthropometric data, preoperative and 3 months postoperative follow-up intervals). Within group, differences were tested with the paired samples t-test (preoperative vs. 3 months postoperative) or with a Wilcoxon signed rank test for related samples. The Mann-Whitney U test compared group differences for non-normally distributed parameters: amount of resection, start of postoperative running activities, 6 months VISA-
A-G scores, 12 months VISA-A-G scores, final follow-up VISA-A-G results. The p-value was set at 5%.

Results

Anthropometric data
There were 11 males and 10 females within the retrocalcaneal partial tear group, while the midportion partial tear group comprised 13 males and 1 female. Patients’ age at surgery in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group was 51 ± 9.2 (range, 20–65) and 50 ± 9.3 (range, 23–66) years (p = 0.724), respectively. Patients’ height in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group was 176 ± 9.4 (range, 158–192) and 183 ± 6.1 (range, 174–193) cm (p = 0.013), respectively. Patients’ weight in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group was 74 ± 15.5 (range, 47–115) and 83 ± 11.6 (range, 65–110) kg (p = 0.046), respectively. BMI for patients in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group was 24 ± 3.8 (range, 19–36) and 25 ± 3.1 (range, 21–32) kg (p = 0.307), respectively.

Preoperative history
In the retrocalcaneal and in the midportion partial tear group the left/right Achilles tendon was affected in 10/11 and 8/8 patients, respectively. Two patients of the retrocalcaneal partial tear group had bilateral involvement with surgery performed during the study period but only the side operated on first was considered for further evaluation, due to our exclusion criteria. Only one patient in either group was not involved in regular sports. Running activities (21/37), tennis (7/37 patients), and football (3/37 patients) were the predominant preoperative sports (Table 1). Achilles tendon symptoms developed insidiously in 17/21 (81%) and 9/16 (56%) in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group, respectively (Table 1). No preceding injuries involving the injured Achilles tendon or systemic medical conditions were specified in 11/21 (52%) patients with retrocalcaneal partial tears and 9/16 (56%) with midportion partial tears. Patients in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group described prodromal symptoms for 44.9 ± 43.8 (range, 6-180) and 47.1 ± 47.2 (range, 2-132) months (p = 0.203), respectively. Patients preoperatively underwent different forms of conservative treatment (Table 1). Preoperative MRI correctly detected 6/11 retrocalcaneal impingement partial tears and diagnosed 5/11 patients in the retrocalcaneal partial tear group as suffering from retrocalcaneal bursitis. In the midportion partial
tear group, MRI correctly identified 10/11 midportion Achilles tendon partial tears and diagnosed 1/11 ‘cystic posteromedial column’. The period from onset of symptoms to diagnosis was 32.9 ± 45.8 (range, 0-180) and 5.7 ± 4.8 (range, 0-15) months (p = 0.001) in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group, respectively (Table 1). Preoperatively 16/20 (80%) and 14/16 (88%) in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group, complained about Achilles tendon pain while walking (Table 1). Running activities were preoperatively impossible due to the symptoms since 4.0 ± 5.0 (range, 0-14) and 6.6 ± 4.0 (range, 2-15) months (p = 0.078) in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group, respectively (Table 1).

Intraoperative findings
All retrocalcaneal partial tears were addressed by retrocalcaneal bursa and Haglund resection, debridement and repair of the partial tears. All midportion partial tears were debrided and repaired side to side. In 5/16 (31%) of those patients, a plantaris tendon augmentation was added. About 14.3 ± 7.8 (range, 5-40) and 24.7 ± 18.7 (range, 5-60)% of the local tendons’ cross-section area were excised in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and midportion partial tear group, respectively (p = 0.125; Table 2).

Complications
No major complications occurred in both groups. In the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group 5/16 (31%) and 4/19 (21%) of the patients, respectively, suffered from postoperative complications, including two and one deep vein thromboses, respectively. One non-compliant patient resumed jogging already ten weeks after retrocalcaneal partial tear surgery without permission and had a reinjury, which was conservatively treated. One additional patient complained about continuing postoperative pain following a barefoot walk on the beach at 9 weeks postoperatively. One year postoperatively, a reoperation was proposed, but the patient refused. He scored the lowest VISA-A-G value (15 points) at the final follow-up (Table 2).

Postoperative running activities were resumed 5.2 ± 2.7 (range, 2-13) and 4.6 ± 1.2 (range, 3-7) months in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group, respectively (p = 0.492; Table 2).

VISA-A-G outcome
Over time

Preoperative and 3 months postoperative VISA-A-G scores were not different in the retrocalcaneal bursitis partial tear group (p = 0.121). The 12 months to final follow-up comparisons had a tendency to increase in both groups (p = 0.058 and 0.069). All other within group VISA-A-G scores increased significantly during the follow-up period (p = 0.029 to < 0.001; Table 3 + 4).

Between group differences

Preoperative VISA-A-G scores were 42.1 ± 13.0 (range, 18–73) and 44.6 ± 21.0 (range, 10–73) in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and in the midportion partial tear group, respectively (p = 0.690; Table 2 + 5). Three and 6 months postoperatively, VISA-A-G results were not different between groups (p = 0.130 and 0.456). Twelve months postoperatively and at final follow-up, VISA-A-G results were higher in the midportion partial tear group (p = 0.0460 and 0.002, Table 2 + 5).

Success rates

At final follow-up, excellent results/full recoveries in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and the midportion partial tear group were found in 14/21 (67%) and 15/16 (94%) cases, respectively. Good results were found in 5/21 (24%) and 1/16 (6%) patients in the retrocalcaneal partial tear and the midportion partial tear group, respectively. Unsuccessful outcome at final follow-up was found in 2/21 (9.5%) patient in the retrocalcaneal partial tear group. The success rate is 91% for retrocalcaneal partial tear and 100% for the midportion partial tear group.

Discussion

This study demonstrates that open surgery for Achilles tendon partial tears when recalcitrant to conservative treatment leads to excellent results in more than two thirds of our patients, irrespective of the anatomic location of the injury.

After one and more than 3.5 years, but not 3 and 6 months after operative treatment for Achilles tendon partial tears, the VISA-A-G questionnaire results were superior in the midportion area when compared to the retrocalcaneal area. A minimum important clinical difference of 6.5 points was formally established for ‘insertional Achilles tendinopathy’ [25]. The mean difference of 8.1 points in the current study indicates better long-term outcome for midportion Achilles tendon partial tears.
The between group differences in height and weight, of the patients are a result of the nearly equal (52% male) sex distribution in the retrocalcaneal partial tear group while in the midportion partial tear group only one out of 16 patients (6%) was female. BMI was statistically not different between groups.

Interestingly, there was no bilateral involvement in the midportion partial tear group, but 3/21 (14%) of the retrocalcaneal partial tear group had bilateral involvement during the study period. Nearly all patients were active in sport and in most instances, the patient’s history revealed a specific initiating event.

Associated pathologies may play a predisposing role for Achilles tendinopathy partial tears. In 90.9%, foot pain was associated with joint pain at other sites [26]. Correspondingly, in both groups of this study, additional preceding injuries to different parts of the body and systemic medical conditions were frequent. Further research should therefore address the pathogenetic relevance of these comorbidities and its possible influence to the VISA-A-G scores.

Diagnosis of the described conditions is frequently delayed, ranging from one month to 180 months, but the midportion Achilles tendon partial tears are diagnosed earlier (median = 5 vs. 21 months, p = 0.001). The analysed data cannot explain this difference. The lower chronic status of the injured midportion Achilles tendons, however, may be responsible for the between group difference 12 months postoperatively and at final follow-up. In contrast, a previous study found no statistically relevant difference between the 12 months results of partial tears in the midportion and retrocalcaneal area [27].

The role of local cortisone injections during the preceding conservative treatment of Achilles tendinopathy and retrocalcaneal bursitis is a matter of debate. Systematic research does not support injection therapy in general [28]. “Long-term harms to tendon tissue and cells associated with glucocorticoid injections” are assumed [29], also following injections into the retrocalcaneal bursa [30]. In our retrocalcaneal and midportion partial tear group 13/21 (62%) and 3/16 (19%) of the respective patients had previous cortisone injections.

Literature evaluating partial Achilles tendon tears is rare. It is to assume, that the initial lesion for
retrocalcaneal partial tear is impingement resulting from retrocalcaneal bursitis [11, 12, 27, 31].
The strength of this study is that a single orthopaedic surgeon performed all procedures in a
standardised manner. Rigorous inclusion and exclusion criteria produced well-defined groups for
comparison with the so far longest follow-up. Another strength is the longitudinal design to
demonstrate that interval improvement of the injured Achilles tendons at any level is slow and
requires about 1 year.

There are inherent limitations to this study. There are low numbers in the groups. Therefore, even
statistically significant, the superiority of the midportion Achilles tendon partial tear group 12 months
postoperatively and at final follow-up is not robust enough and larger groups are required to underline
these results. In principle, a selection bias could arise when patients with good results would be more
willing to answer the VISA-A-G questionnaire. Consequently, excluding patients with a missing final
follow-up could lead to positively overestimating the results. However, there was no statistical
difference between the 12 months and final follow-up results between all patients who completed the
12 months questionnaire and those recruited for further calculations (all p > 0.195).

Endoscopic interventions are becoming more and more popular for retrocalcaneal bursitis/Haglund’s
syndrome and good results are reported also when impingement Achilles tendon lesions were
addressed merely by resection [13, 14]. Direct experimental comparison of endoscopic and open
retrocalcaneal bursitis and Haglund resection did not reveal an advantage of one technique over the
other [32]. Endoscopic repair techniques are not described for midportion and retrocalcaneal Achilles
tendon partial tears so far. Therefore, further clinical research should compare open and endoscopic
procedures for partial Achilles tendon tears in the midportion and retrocalcaneal area using
standardised procedures in a controlled and randomised design.

Rare case reports document successful conservative treatment of Achilles tendon partial tears in the
retrocalcaneal and midportion area [5, 9, 33–35]. The current study included only patients who were
unresponsive to conservative treatment. Experimental work in a rat model demonstrated that injury
severity had a drastic influence on biomechanical characteristics of the Achilles tendons [36]. It can
be speculated, that minor partial tears may be more responsive to conservative treatment modalities.
Probably, patients with low functional demands respond better to conservative treatment.

Postoperative care may have an influence on outcome. Patients of both groups in this investigation underwent early functional treatment and wore heel lifts in rehabilitation boots for several weeks. In rat experiments, immobilisation reduced function and fatigue resistance of Achilles tendons with partial tears post-injury [36]. Further clinical studies can demonstrate if this association can be transferred to Achilles tendon partial tears in humans.

MRI and ultrasonography can confirm the diagnosis but do not consistently detect partial tears of the Achilles tendons. Specifically, the sensitivity of MRI for diagnosing impingement partial tears is not sufficient in this study (Table 1).

Conclusion
Achilles tendon partial tears can occur in the midportion area and at the level of the retrocalcaneal bursa. In recalcitrant cases, operative treatment is successful in most cases. VISA-A-G questionnaire demonstrated increasing functionality and decreasing symptoms during the first postoperative year and results do not deteriorate in the long-term.
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Due to technical limitations, Tables 1-5 are only available as a download in the supplemental files section.

Table Legends:

Table 1: Details of the preoperative history of the included patients. SD = Standard deviation; n.s. = not specified, n.p. = not possible. Significant results are displayed in bold.

Table 2: Results of the operative reports’ evaluation, postoperative chart analyses, and pre- and postoperative VISA-A-G questionnaire scores for the included patients. RCB = retrocalcaneal bursa; H = Haglund; ant. = anterior; trans. = transverse; n.s. = not specified; postop. = postoperative; m.v. = missing value; n.p. = not possible. Significant results are displayed in bold.

Table 3: Statistical longitudinal comparison of the VISA-A-G results. P-values of the retrocalcaneal bursa partial tear group. Repeated-measures t-test was used to compare preoperative and 3 months postoperative results (equally distributed values). Wilcoxon signed-ranks test was used for all other comparisons. Significant results are displayed in bold. Postop. = postoperative.

Table 4: Statistical longitudinal comparison of the VISA-A-G results. P-values of the midportion partial tear group. Repeated-measures t-test was used to compare preoperative and 3 months postoperative results (equally distributed values). Wilcoxon signed-ranks test was used for all other comparisons (not equally distributed). Significant results are displayed in bold. Postop. = postoperative. * = The sample size (n = 8) is not large enough for the distribution of the Wilcoxon W statistic to form a normal distribution. Therefore, it was not possible to calculate an accurate p-value).
Table 5: Statistical comparison (p-values) of the VISA-A-G results between the retrocalcaneal bursa partial tear group and the midportion partial tear group at baseline and 3, 6, 12 and more than 42 months postoperatively. Significant results are displayed in bold. Postop. = postoperative.

Figures
Flow chart of group division and the selection of analysed patients for the study. Adapted from Moher et al 2009 [15]. VISA-A-G = Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment - Achilles
tendon – German version.
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