Birth characteristics and the risk of childhood rhabdomyosarcoma based on histological subtype
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BACKGROUND: Little is known about risk factors for childhood rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) and the histology-specific details are rare.

METHODS: Case–control studies formed by linking cancer and birth registries of California, Minnesota, New York, Texas and Washington, which included 583 RMS cases (363 embryonal and 85 alveolar RMS) and 57,966 randomly selected control subjects, were analysed using logistic regression. The associations of RMS (overall, and based on embryonal or alveolar histology) with birth weight across five 500 g categories (from 2000 to 4500 g) were examined using normal birth weight (2500–3999 g) as a reference. Large (> 90th percentile) and small (< 10th percentile) size for gestational age were calculated based on birth weight distributions in controls and were similarly examined.

RESULTS: High birth weight increased the risk of embryonal RMS and RMS overall. Each 500 g increase in birth weight increased the risk of embryonal RMS (odds ratio (OR) = 1.27, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.14–1.42) and RMS overall (OR = 1.18, 95% CI = 1.09–1.29). Large size for gestational age also significantly increased the risk of embryonal RMS (OR = 1.42, 95% CI = 1.03–1.96).

CONCLUSIONS: These data suggest a positive association between accelerated in utero growth and embryonal RMS, but not alveolar RMS. These results warrant cautious interpretation owing to the small number of alveolar RMS cases.
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Rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) is the commonest soft-tissue sarcoma in children below 15 years, with half the cases occurring before the age of 10 years (Ries, 1999). Owing to the early age of onset, in utero exposures have been suggested to have a role in its aetiology, including in utero X-ray exposure (Grufferman, 1991, 2009), maternal drug use (Grufferman et al, 1993, 1982), advanced maternal age (Grufferman, 1991) and stillbirths (Ghali et al, 1992).

In addition, several familial syndromes (Diller et al, 1995; DeBaun and Tucker, 1998; Samuel et al, 1999; Tekin et al, 2002; Meyer et al, 2004; Aoki et al, 2005; Gripp, 2005; Ferrari et al, 2007; Moschovi et al, 2007; Trahair et al, 2007; Li and Fraumeni, 1996a,b) and a larger prevalence of congenital malformations (Ruymann et al, 1988) among affected children suggest that genetic predisposition may be important. However, collectively these factors account for only a small fraction of cases.

High birth weight has been associated with several childhood malignancies, and recent evidence suggests that accelerated intrauterine growth may increase RMS risk, although based on only 27 cases (Laurvick et al, 2008). Further, the two major histological subtypes (embryonal and alveolar RMS) have distinct localisations, ages of onset, incidence and survival trends (Ries, 1999; Ognjanovic et al, 2009) and distinct tumour gene expression signatures (Davicioni et al, 2006; Wachtel et al, 2006; Lae et al, 2007), suggesting that their aetiologies may be distinct. Embryonal RMS is characterised by earlier age of onset than alveolar RMS; the majority of embryonal RMS cases are diagnosed in the first decade of life, with more than half of cases occurring before the age of 5 years. As an equal number of alveolar RMS is diagnosed in the first and second decades of life (Ognjanovic et al, 2009), we hypothesised that factors affecting in utero growth, resulting in high birth weight would be more important in embryonal than in alveolar RMS.

To assess the role of birth characteristics and the risk of RMS and its two major subtypes, we used pooled data from five states (Minnesota, California, New York (excluding New York City), Washington and Texas) with case–control data sets by having linked cancer and birth registries (Spector et al, 2009).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Institutional review board approvals were obtained from each participating institution and State department of health. The
selection of cases and controls varied by state and has been previously described in detail (Puumala et al, 2009). The age range was 28 days to 14 years, except in California, wherein only cases up to age 4 years were available. The contribution of cases and controls by each state to this pooled analysis is shown in detail in Table 1. Controls were matched to cases in all states on birth year, whereas additional matching on sex was performed in California and Texas (Table 1). Controls were frequency matched, except in California, wherein individual matching was used. The number of controls per case varied by state and ranged from 1 to 10 controls per case. Children with documented Down’s syndrome in their birth records were excluded from analyses (n = 100), but possibly not completely, because such reporting was not implemented in Texas before 1984 and in Washington before 1989.

The information available for cases included RMS diagnoses and the age of onset. Cases were classified according to the International Classification of Childhood Cancer (ICCC-3), third edition (Steliarova-Foucher et al, 2005) using the following histology codes: 8910/3, embryonal RMS; 8991/3, alveolar RMS; 8900/3, not otherwise specified; 8901/3, pleomorphic; 8902/3, mixed type; and 8912/3, spindle cell. In our analyses, RMS overall included all six categories, whereas embryonal and alveolar RMS were also analysed separately.

The perinatal characteristics available from birth records were birth weight, gestational age, sex, birth order, plurality (singleton or multiple birth), year of birth, parental age and race/ethnicity and maternal years of education. Gestational age clinical estimate was provided by each state, except California, which provided only the date of last menstrual period (LMP) that was used for gestational age calculation. Some states also provided the LMP information; therefore, a combined gestational age variable was developed that gave preference to the calculated estimate when available and used clinical estimate otherwise. Gestational ages less than 20 or more than 45 weeks were deemed implausible, as were birth weights less than 350 g, and were excluded from analyses. Maternal education was available from all states only after 1992 and was, therefore, included in secondary analysis. Using birth records data, we calculated size for gestational age based on the distribution observed in controls (described below). Separate distributions were used for males and females.

Birth weight categories were selected to distinguish very low birth weight (<2000 g), low birth weight (2000–2499 g), normal weight (2500–3999 g), high birth weight (4000–4499 g) and very high birth weight (>4500 g). The very low birth weight category was assigned higher than the standard cutoff at <1500 g, because only seven cases weighed less than 2000 g. Normal birth weight was used as a reference group for comparisons. Gestational age was categorised as 20–36 (preterm), 37–40 (term) and >40 weeks (post-term). Size for gestational age was analysed using an internal standard (the distribution in controls). The tenth and ninetieth percentiles were used as cut points for small for gestational age and large for gestational age (LGA), respectively. We used this internal standard rather than other published external standards because of the large number of controls available and the long span of the study. For plurality, we distinguished single vs multiple births. Birth order was categorised as first, second, third, fourth or higher order. Parental age categories consisted of <20, 20–24, 25–29, 30–34, and >35 years. Maternal education categories consisted of <12, 12, 13–16, >17 years.

### Statistical analysis

All analyses were carried out using SAS version 9.1 (Cary, NC, USA). Unconditional logistic regression was used to calculate adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) to examine the associations between perinatal characteristics and RMS and its two major subtypes. Individual matching in California was broken for the purpose of pooled analysis. Results of analyses in subgroups which had fewer than four (RMS overall) or two cases (for RMS subtypes) were not reported. Risk estimates were adjusted for available covariates. After adjustment for state/study centre, the choice of other variables evaluated as possible confounders included those known or suspected to be associated with inappropriate fetal growth (Das and Sysyn, 2004; Valero De Bernabe et al, 2004).

### RESULTS

This study included a total of 583 RMS cases; of these, 363 were embryonal and 85 alveolar RMS. The sex distribution varied by subtype, with embryonal RMS affecting 30% more males than females (Table 2). As this subtype comprised 62% of all RMS cases, a similar proportion was observed for the entire group. The proportion of mothers and fathers older than 35 years at child’s birth was greater in cases than controls (data not shown). However, mean age of mothers of RMS patients was similar to controls (27.2 and 26.7 years, respectively), as were those of fathers (30.2 and 29.7 years, respectively). Maternal and paternal ages were highly correlated (Pearson’s correlation coefficient = 0.74). As anticipated, the alveolar RMS cases were older on average, with 53% diagnosed at <4 years compared with 68% of embryonal RMS cases. A similar proportion of cases and controls were born from singleton or multiple pregnancies. The proportion of ‘other’ mothers (that is, not white, black or Asian race) was significantly higher for embryonal RMS cases than controls.

Table 2 shows the associations between birth characteristics of the child and RMS risk. The multivariable models included birth year, state, maternal age, race, child’s sex, gestational age, plurality, birth order and birth weight, and were adjusted for all other covariates and matching factors. For parental characteristics, we observed the previously reported (Grufferman et al, 1982) association between maternal age over 35 years and increased risk of RMS (OR = 1.35, 95% CI = 1.01–1.81), suggesting that embryonal histology was driving this association (OR = 1.54, 95%CI = 1.08–2.19). A 1-year increase in maternal age was associated with 3 and 4% increases in RMS overall and embryonal RMS risk, respectively. Maternal age over 35 years was not significantly associated with increased RMS risk; however, a 1-year increase in paternal age lead to 2% increased risk of RMS overall and embryonal RMS. For maternal race, a significant

---

### Table 1 Details of information provided by each state in the pooled analysis

| State     | Ages at diagnosis | Years of diagnosis | Years of birth | RMS cases | No. of controls | Matching factors |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| Minnesota | 28 days to 14 years | 1988–2004          | 1976–2004     | 73        | 8735           | Birth year       |
| Washington| 28 days to 14 years | 1980–2004          | 1980–2004     | 74        | 23 728         | Birth year, sex  |
| California| 28 days to 4 years  | 1988–1997          | 1983–1997     | 136       | 8730           | Birth year, sex  |
| New York  | 28 days to 14 years | 1985–2001          | 1970–2001     | 152       | 12 041         | Birth year       |
| Texas     | 28 days to 14 years | 1990–1998          | 1975–1998     | 148       | 4732           | Birth year       |

Abbreviation: RMS = rhabdomyosarcoma.
association between embryonal RMS and the category of ‘other’ race, reflecting non-white, non-black or non-Asian population, such as Hispanic or American Indians, was observed. As this is a very heterogeneous category with only 11 embryonal RMS cases, it probably represents a chance finding.

Higher birth order was inversely associated with risk of RMS overall and embryonal RMS, but not alveolar RMS. Compared with the first-born child, RMS point estimate for second-born child was OR = 0.82 (95% CI = 0.67–1.00) and for third-born child it was OR = 0.70 (95% CI = 0.54–0.91). This reduced risk reflects the significant associations with birth order observed for embryonal RMS, whereas no associations were observed for alveolar RMS (Table 2).

Higher birth weight, however, was associated with increased risk of RMS overall and embryonal RMS, with OR = 1.19 (95% CI = 1.09–1.29) and OR = 1.27 (95% CI = 1.14–1.42) per 500 g increase in birth weight, respectively. Despite the trend, none of the individual categories showed a significant association with these risks. Only two alveolar RMS cases had birth weights below normal (<2500 g); hence, we could only compare cases with normal birth weight (<4000 g) (reference) with those with high birth weight (4000–4500 g), and we observed no association. We observed no association with gestational age for RMS or either of its subtypes. The majority of cases born preterm were between 32 and 36 weeks gestation, and only two cases were born before 32 weeks.

| Variable | All RMS | 95% CI | Embryonal RMS | 95% CI | Alveolar RMS | 95% CI |
|----------|---------|--------|--------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| Maternal race | OR* (N) | 95% CI | OR* (N) | 95% CI | OR* (N) | 95% CI |
| White | Ref (494) | 0.67–1.29 | Ref (312) | 1.02 (18) | 0.61–1.71 | Ref (69) | 0.70–2.92 |
| Black | 0.93 (43) | 1.02 (11) | 1.09–3.84 | 1.04 (105) | 1.02–1.06 | 1.01 | 0.97–1.05 |
| Asian | 1.01 (26) | 0.83–2.51 | 2.04 (11) | 1.09–3.84 | 1.04 (105) | 1.02–1.06 |
| Other | 1.44 (14) | 1.01–1.04 | 1.02 (11) | 1.09–3.84 | 1.04 (105) | 1.02–1.06 |

| Maternal age (years) | OR* (N) | 95% CI | OR* (N) | 95% CI | OR* (N) | 95% CI |
|---------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| <20 | 0.84 (65) | 0.62–1.15 | 0.70 (35) | 0.46–1.05 | 1.08 (11) | 0.50–2.33 |
| 20–24 | 0.81 (131) | 0.64–1.02 | 0.78 (79) | 0.58–1.05 | 0.91 (21) | 0.51–1.64 |
| 25–29 | Ref (188) | 1.02 (102) | 1.00–1.04 | Ref (17) |
| 30–34 | 1.06 (131) | 0.84–1.33 | 1.05 (83) | 0.78–1.41 | 0.77 (15) | 0.40–1.48 |
| ≥35 | 1.35 (68) | 1.01–1.81 | 1.54 (48) | 1.08–2.19 | 1.27 (10) | 0.60–2.69 |

| Paternal age (years) | OR* (N) | 95% CI | OR* (N) | 95% CI | OR* (N) | 95% CI |
|---------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| <20 | 0.84 (17) | 0.49–1.44 | 0.50 (7) | 0.22–1.15 | 2.57 (5) | 0.83–7.98 |
| 20–24 | 0.75 (74) | 0.56–1.00 | 0.72 (46) | 0.50–1.04 | 1.26 (11) | 0.58–2.76 |
| 25–29 | Ref (158) | 1.02 (102) | 1.00–1.04 | Ref (17) |
| 30–34 | 1.04 (139) | 0.82–1.32 | 1.01 (86) | 0.75–1.36 | 1.70 (23) | 0.88–3.27 |
| ≥35 | 1.21 (119) | 0.94–1.56 | 1.24 (77) | 0.90–1.69 | 1.82 (18) | 0.90–3.68 |

| Birth characteristics and rhabdomyosarcoma | S Ognjanovic et al | British Journal of Cancer (2010) 102(1), 227–231 | 229 |
To distinguish the effect of birth weight per se from that of accelerated fetal growth, we calculated size for gestational age as a surrogate measure for accelerated fetal growth (Table 3). Being LGA was associated with increased risk of RMS that reached statistical significance for embryonal RMS (OR = 1.43, 95% CI = 1.03–1.96) only.

Given the different control–case ratios in participating states, sensitivity analyses were also conducted to determine whether the number of controls per case affected the observed ORs. Limiting the control number to one per case did not appreciably affect the results in the main analysis (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Children whose birth weight was high had an increased risk of RMS overall and of embryonal RMS in particular. Trend analysis showed that the birth weight increase per 500 g increment increased the odds of RMS and its embryonal subtype. The examination of size for gestational age showed that LGA babies had increased risk of developing embryonal RMS, whereas no associations between birth weight alone or birth weight for gestational age were observed for alveolar RMS. Our results support the hypothesis that the two major RMS subtypes, embryonal and alveolar RMS, have different aetiologies. The associations with RMS overall primarily reflected the associations observed for embryonal RMS, as the majority of cases belonged to this subtype.

This is the first study to show that accelerated *in utero* growth, measured by higher than expected weight for gestational age, increases the risk of embryonal RMS. The only previous study of relevance suggested an increased risk with increasing birth weight and intrauterine growth (Laurvick *et al*, 2008), but the small sample size precluded precise estimates. We and others have not only shown that high birth weight increases the risk of RMS thereby extending the associations reported for childhood cancers, including leukaemia (Caughley and Michels, 2009), brain tumours (Harder *et al*, 2008) and Wilms tumour (Schuz *et al*, 2001), but also directly linked it to the extent of growth *in utero*. Our study supports the view that size for gestational age provides insight beyond that of birth weight per se (Laurvick *et al*, 2008) and can identify new high-risk groups (Schuz *et al*, 2001). We observed no association between gestational age and RMS risk, which may also reflect the fact that only 26 embryonal and 7 alveolar RMS cases were born prematurely, spread across 5 weeks before term (32–36 weeks), whereas only two RMS cases were born very prematurely (more than 5 weeks).

Temporal trends in fetal growth show that the birth weight of term infants has increased over the last two decades of the previous century (Kramer *et al*, 2002), attributed primarily to rising maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, greater gestational weight gain and more prevalent gestational diabetes. These maternal anthropometric factors affect maternal basal metabolic rates and increase insulin-like growth-factor-1 (IGF-1) levels, which lead to larger fetal size (Chellakooty *et al*, 2004; Lof *et al*, 2005). Insulin-like growth factors have a major role in fetal growth and development, whereas their mitotic and anti-apoptotic properties can also enhance tumour growth and may underlie the association between larger fetal size and increased childhood cancer risk (Greaves, 2002). Indeed, an aetiological role of IGFs has been proposed for embryonal RMS, in which chromosomal deletions, which frequently affect the area of imprinted genes, including IGF-2, lead to aberrant IGF-2 expression (Scrubale *et al*, 1989; Rainier *et al*, 1993). Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome is associated with fetal overgrowth resulting from loss of imprinting in the IGF-2-containing region on chromosome 11, and this syndrome increases RMS risk (Dagher and Helman, 1999). Therefore, the differences between embryonal and alveolar RMS risk associated with fetal growth may partly reflect differences in underlying genetic predispositions likely to be reflected in different growth patterns.

We also found that RMS cases were more likely to be first born, as increasing birth order was associated with progressively decreasing RMS risk owing to the embryonal subtype; no association was observed for alveolar RMS. The similar findings observed in childhood leukaemia prompted the suggestion that later exposures to infections, an established risk factor for leukaemia, may have been more frequent among the first-born children (Schuz *et al*, 2001). Although no direct associations between infections and RMS have been reported, maternal or child’s antibiotic use was associated with increased risk of RMS (Grußherrman *et al*, 1982; Hartley *et al*, 1988), something we were not able to evaluate. However, whether these associations were confined to embryonal RMS and to first-born children only was not examined.

We confirmed previously reported associations with increasing maternal age, and have shown that this is significant for embryonal histology only. In addition, we have observed that each 1-year increase in paternal age is associated with a slight, but significant, increase in RMS overall and embryonal RMS risk. Maternal education was not associated with RMS risk, overall or by subtype; however, this may be due to the limited availability of this variable.

The main limitations of this study include multiple comparisons and potential measurement error in the birth certificate data. Although maternal age, birth weight, birth order and plurality have been shown to be accurate in validation studies (Northam and Knapp, 2006), gestational age reporting is likely to be less reliable on birth certificates. Moreover, this was assessed by two different methods depending on the state, which increased the complexity of analysis and potentially introduced further error, though we have no reason to suspect that this would have been differential. Multivariate analyses were adjusted for potential confounders. However, owing to categorisation of the variables, some residual confounding may have remained. Strengths include that this was population based and, therefore, avoided referral-type biases from single-center studies or from those relying on subjects only identified in clinical trials. In addition, the ascertainment of exposures preceded diagnosis, thereby avoiding any reporting bias by case-control status.

Our study further supports the view that embryonal RMS may be associated with growth characteristics, suggesting that accelerated *in utero* growth may have an important role. However, caution is warranted in interpreting the results related to alveolar and other RMS histology subtypes, given their small numbers, and further studies are needed.
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