Abstract. Background/Aim: To compare patients affected by ankylosing spondylitis (AS) treated with anti-TNF-α for two years with controls in terms of Achilles tendon stiffness, ultrasound structure and thickness. Patients and Methods: B-mode ultrasound evaluation and strain ultrasound elastography were performed in longitudinal and transverse planes on 22 Achilles tendons of 11 AS patients and 26 of 13 controls. Results: There were no significant differences in thickness and stiffness of the Achilles tendon between AS patients and controls, except for an increased thickness in the middle third of the tendon in the AS patients (p=0.04). The Achilles tendon stiffness ratio of AS patients was 1.02±0.36 vs. 1.14±0.38 in the controls (p=0.2). Conclusion: AS patients had an Achilles tendon thickness greater than controls at the middle third, but no difference in the stiffness was found among them. Strain ultrasound elastography may be useful to exclude early changes in mechanical properties of tendons.

Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a chronic inflammatory spondyloarthritides, the diagnosis of which is based upon clinical, laboratory, and imaging assessment (1). In AS, inflammation affects the axial skeleton, from cervical tract to sacro-iliac joints, and, not infrequently, the ankles. The Achilles tendon is often involved in patients who develop tendinitis and enthesitis, causing pain and stiffness at the posterior aspect of the heel.

Ultrasound is an effective tool in the evaluation of the Achilles tendon in patients with AS (2) given the standardization of the definition and of the detection of spondyloarthritis-related enthesitis (3) and the accuracy in detecting peripheral joint features (4, 5); the implementation of power Doppler increased its diagnostic performance (6, 7). Ultrasound may also be employed in monitoring the therapeutic response to TNF-α antagonists in patients with Achilles enthesitis (9, 10). Furthermore, the continued development of imaging techniques has allowed the introduction of elastography in many commercial ultrasound devices (11-13).

Recently, ultrasound elastography has been used in several cases to identify changes in stiffness of muscles and tendons (14-16). Moreover, ultrasound elastography is more sensitive than B-mode ultrasound in identifying changes in mechanical properties of tendons (14) as confirmed by histological diagnosis (17).

Since little information is available on the effect of anti-TNF-α therapy on Achilles tendon of AS patients (18), ultrasound evaluation of this tendon was performed to evaluate the enthesis and the diameter of Achilles tendon in vivo.
patients with AS and in controls through the use of grey scale and power Doppler ultrasound. Moreover, using strain ultrasound elastography, the stiffness of the Achilles tendon was evaluated in both groups.

**Patients and Methods**

Eleven AS patients (7 males, 4 females; median age 59±9 years) diagnosed according to the classification criteria of the Assessment of Spondylo Arthritis Society (ASAS) (19), were enrolled in this descriptive report. They were treated for 2 years with TNF antagonists in accordance with the ASAS guidelines (20).

Disease activity and function of ankylosing spondylitis were determined by calculating Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI), and Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index (BASMI) scores.

Clinical data and activity indexes of these patients are shown in Table I

The control group was composed of 13 individuals without any rheumatic diseases and osteoarthritides (4 males, 9 females; median age 62±7 years). Patients and controls under 18 years and those with a known history of diabetes, inflammatory bowel diseases, sports-related or traumatic injuries, surgery or congenital ankle malformations were excluded from the study. Patients and controls had overlapping clinical features.

This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee [(ComEt CBM) (protocol number: 23/15 OSS, 21 July 2015)], and all subjects gave their written informed consent to participate in the study.

**Ultrasound.** Ultrasound evaluation included B-mode and strain ultrasound elastography, and was performed in the longitudinal and transverse planes by an expert investigator with more than ten years of experience in musculoskeletal ultrasound. In AS patients the ultrasound was performed two years after the start of treatment. A total of 48 tendons were examined: 22 tendons of AS patients, and 26 tendons of controls. The ultrasound assessment was made according to the Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Clinical Trials (OMERACT) definitions (21).

With the patient prone and the gastrocnemius soleus muscle complex relaxed, a standard ultrasound scan was taken of the Achilles tendon of both legs, including the muscle and the insertion of the calcaneus (22). A Toshiba Apio 500 platinum ultrasound machine (Toshiba Medical Systems Corporation, Otawara, Tochigi-ken, Japan), equipped with an elastography-compatible high definition linear multifrequency probe (7-15 MHz) was used. The examiner was blinded to the clinical condition of the subject.

Grey scale imaging was used to measure the proximal, middle and distal diameter of the tendon, evaluate tendon echostucture, and scan the entheseal area to ascertain the presence or absence of retrocalcaneal bursitis, enthesophytes and calcaneal erosions. Power Doppler and SMI (Superb Micro-Vascular Imaging, a system able to expand the range of visible blood flow and provide visualization of low velocity microvascular flow) were used to evaluate the presence of neovascularization.

Toshiba’s strain ultrasound elastography, which provides a real-time semi-quantitative means of measurement and a dynamic visual display of tissue stiffness in a user-defined region of interest, was used to quantify stiffness.

**Table I. Main clinical and demographic features of 11 patients with ankylosing spondylitis.**

|                      | Male/Female | Age ± SD | Disease duration ± SD | BASDAI ± SD | BASMI ± SD | BASFI ± SD | ESR ± SD | CRP ± SD | Treatment |
|----------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|
|                      | 7/4         | 59±9     | 7.15±4.03             | 2.66±2.49   | 4.3±2.1    | 30±7.4     | 10±4     | 0.4±0.3  | 11/11     |

**Table II. Proximal, medial and distal segment of Achilles tendon diameter (in mm) and ultrasound elastography.**

| Tendon Diameter      | Patients | Healthy controls | p-Value |
|----------------------|----------|------------------|---------|
| Proximal third       | 1.72±0.26| 1.62±0.30        | 0.58    |
| Middle third         | 4.74±0.81| 4.44±0.58        | 0.04    |
| Distal third         | 4.59±0.66| 4.24±0.84        | 0.18    |
| Tendon’s ultrasound  | 1.02±0.36| 1.14±0.38        | 0.2     |

Stiffness measurements were assessed by the same sonographer taking a longitudinal scan with the probe perpendicular to the skin, applying repetitive, mild, uniform pressure and avoiding lateral movements to the middle area of the Achilles tendon. The amount and uniformity of the compression were standardized via observation of an onscreen pressure graph.

The strain ultrasound elastographic image, obtained in a longitudinal view, appeared as a color translucent map superimposed on the grey scale B-mode images; a specific stiffness of the tendon corresponded to a given color. The stiffness value was obtained using a Q-Box set at a standard sized of 3.0 mm, taking care that three Q-Box were placed at three different points at the same depth level on the middle third of Achilles tendon, while a fourth Q-Box at a separate depth for the muscle tissues surrounding the tendon (Figures 1 and 2). The mean stiffness ratio of the Achilles tendon was automatically calculated by the machine.

The numerical result of the stiffness ratio (relative hardness of the tissue in the Q-Box compared to the adjacent tissue) was printed and the data entered in an Excel Database; the mean value of the three measurements of the right and left Achilles tendon, in both the AS patients and the controls, was used for statistical analysis.

**Ethical approval.** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the
1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Statistical analysis. Graphpad Software SPSS® (Statistical Package for Social Studies) version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. The one tail paired t test was used to compare the thickness and the stiffness measurements of the patients with ankylosing spondylitis with those of the controls. Significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

The control group and the AS patients were well matched for age and clinical features. Five patients presented with calcaneal enthesophytes, and three patients with both enthesophytes and retrocalcaneal bursitis on the right Achilles tendon. In the control group, 10 participants had calcaneal enthesophytes in the right tendon, and one participant had a retrocalcaneal bursitis.

Regarding the left tendon, four patients presented with calcaneal enthesophytes; four patients presented with retrocalcaneal bursitis, and two presented with both retrocalcaneal bursitis and enthesophytes. In the controls, there were 8 patients with enthesophytes.

Power Doppler and SMI were negative in all patients and controls, for both the right and the left tendons.

At B mode ultrasound, the Achilles tendons of AS patients were significantly thicker than those of the control group only when the measurement was performed at the middle third of the tendon (Table II).

No significant differences were found between the tendon stiffness of the patients and the controls (Table II).

Discussion

Ultrasound is highly sensitive in detecting inflammatory lesions, signs of acute and chronic inflammation, neovascularization and enthesitis, thus facilitating appropriate diagnosis and management (3, 4, 6, 8, 23, 24). However, ultrasound cannot reveal early changes in the mechanical properties of tendon.

Ultrasound elastography has gained interest because it can provide qualitative and quantitative measurements of stiffness that reveal early modifications in the mechanical properties of tissues (14, 17, 18). Interestingly, the use of ultrasound elastography in a rabbit experimental model of Achilles tendon transection was useful in quantifying mechanical and histological properties of the healing tendon (25). There are conflicting results regarding the repeatability of the use of shear-wave elastography to estimate the stiffness of the Achilles tendon, probably due to the underlying fiber architecture of this tendon (26). However, quantitative strain elastography has provided reproducible results when used to measure the elasticity of the Achilles tendon (27). Taking into consideration the poor information on the Achilles tendon stiffness in AS patients after treatment, elasticity of tendon measurements were performed in AS patients two years after the start of treatment with TNF-α antagonists, and compared with the results obtained from controls. Strain ultrasound elastography may distinguish healthy tendons from injured ones, and it also has higher sensitivity, compared with the
conventional ultrasound, to detect early tendinopathy (28). Furthermore, strain elastography has higher accuracy in detecting Achilles tendinopathy in comparison to conventional B mode and color Doppler ultrasound (29).

The thickness of the Achilles tendon in AS patients and controls was not significantly different, except when measurements were made at the middle third of the tendon. At this site, there was a significant increase in thickness in the tendons of AS patients compared to the controls. However, power Doppler and SMI revealed that in all tendons of the patients and controls there was absence of vascularization, and the values of tendon stiffness of patients and controls overlapped. These results point towards a beneficial role of TNF-α antagonists for AS patients. Achilles tendinopathy and enthesitis are frequent in AS patients who have higher indices of disease activity and a decline in functional capacity and quality of life (30, 31). Sclerosing injections used in the management of patients with chronic overuse tendinopathy (32, 33), are not effective in patients with AS, for whom only TNF-α antagonist therapy showed some effects in reducing the severity of Achilles enthesitis and retrocalcaneal bursitis (34). In this study, we found that TNF-α antagonists may be associated with similar stiffness of the Achilles tendon in AS patients compared to controls.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are the first line of treatment (35). At Doppler ultrasound, evidence of a statistically significant positive association was found between enthesal inflammation of the Achilles tendon and activity indices of inflammation in AS patients, suggesting that Doppler ultrasound might be used to monitor the evolution of AS (36).

Power Doppler is very sensitive in the detection of inflammatory process in Achilles tendon including early stages (10); strain elastography might help to identify early modifications in mechanical properties of Achilles tendon, due to inflammatory injury in AS patients. Strain ultrasound elastography is a promising and valid tool that could be employed as a complementary instrument to power Doppler and SMI.

A possible bias of this preliminary study could be the relatively advanced age of the AS patients and controls. Indeed, Achilles tendon deforms with old age and may, therefore, become stiffer (37, 38) despite treatment. The fact that the Achilles tendon of our AS group was not harder than the tendons of the patients and controls there was absence of vascularization, and the values of tendon stiffness of patients and controls overlapped. These results point towards a beneficial role of TNF-α antagonists for AS patients. Achilles tendinopathy and enthesitis are frequent in AS patients who have higher indices of disease activity and a decline in functional capacity and quality of life (30, 31). Sclerosing injections used in the management of patients with chronic overuse tendinopathy (32, 33), are not effective in patients with AS, for whom only TNF-α antagonist therapy showed some effects in reducing the severity of Achilles enthesitis and retrocalcaneal bursitis (34). In this study, we found that TNF-α antagonists may be associated with similar stiffness of the Achilles tendon in AS patients compared to controls.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are the first line of treatment (35). At Doppler ultrasound, evidence of a statistically significant positive association was found between enthesal inflammation of the Achilles tendon and activity indices of inflammation in AS patients, suggesting that Doppler ultrasound might be used to monitor the evolution of AS (36).

Power Doppler is very sensitive in the detection of inflammatory process in Achilles tendon including early stages (10); strain elastography might help to identify early modifications in mechanical properties of Achilles tendon, due to inflammatory injury in AS patients. Strain ultrasound elastography is a promising and valid tool that could be employed as a complementary instrument to power Doppler and SMI.

A possible bias of this preliminary study could be the relatively advanced age of the AS patients and controls. Indeed, Achilles tendon deforms with old age and may, therefore, become stiffer (37, 38) despite treatment. The fact that the Achilles tendon of our AS group was not harder than that of controls suggests that, in AS, changes in mechanical properties and tendon inflammation may be mitigated by TNFα antagonists.

In the future, it would be interesting to perform longitudinal studies to investigate when the mechanical properties of the Achilles tendon start to change in AS patients. This could aid in determining whether more aggressive treatment must be implemented, thus preventing possible alterations in tendon structure.
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