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Abstract

Background and Objectives Previous studies have shown that nutritional status can alter drug metabolism which may result in treatment failure or untoward side effects. This study assesses the effect of two nutritional conditions, short-term fasting, and a short-term high fat diet (HFD) on cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) and uridine 5′-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) mediated drug metabolism by studying the pharmacokinetics of midazolam and its main metabolites.

Methods In a randomized-controlled cross-over trial, nine healthy subjects received a single intravenous administration of 0.015 mg/kg midazolam after: (1) an overnight fast (control); (2) 36 h of fasting; and (3) an overnight fast after 3 days of a HFD consisting of 500 ml of cream supplemented to their regular diet. Pharmacokinetic parameters were analyzed simultaneously using non-linear mixed-effects modeling.

Results Short-term fasting increased CYP3A4-mediated midazolam clearance by 12% ($p < 0.01$) and decreased UGT-mediated metabolism apparent 1-OH-midazolam clearance by 13% ($p < 0.01$) by decreasing the ratio of clearance and the fraction metabolite formed ($\frac{Cl_{1-OH-MDZ}}{f_{1-OH-MDZ}}$). Furthermore, short-term fasting decreased apparent clearance of 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide ($\frac{Cl_{1-OH-MDZ-glucuronide}}{f_{1-OH-MDZ-glucuronide} \times f_{1-OH-MDZ}}$) by 20% ($p < 0.01$). The HFD did not affect systemic clearance of midazolam or metabolites.

Conclusions Short-term fasting differentially alters midazolam metabolism by increasing CYP3A4-mediated metabolism but by decreasing UGT-mediated metabolism. In contrast, a short-term HFD did not affect systemic clearance of midazolam.

Key Points

- Fasting differentially alters midazolam metabolism by increasing CYP3A4-mediated metabolism but by decreasing UGT-mediated metabolism.
- Short-term HFD did not affect phase I (CYP) or phase II (UGT)-mediated midazolam metabolism.

1 Introduction

Patients respond differently to drug therapy. This is often due to differences in drug metabolism, and may result in treatment failure, increased side effects, or even toxicity. Factors that contribute to this variability in drug metabolism include genetic, physiologic, pharmacologic, environmental factors, and nutritional status, such as fasting or a high fat diet (HFD) [1].

The previous studies in animals and humans have shown that nutritional status can alter drug metabolism by affecting cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme activity [2–7].
Furthermore, nutritional status can also affect uridine 5’-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzyme activity. For example, UGT expression in mouse liver was increased in obesity and fasting-induced steatosis [8]. Recently, we have demonstrated that short-term fasting modulates CYP-enzyme activity in a non-uniform pattern by increasing systemic clearance of the CYP1A2 probe caffeine and the CYP2D6 probe metoprolol and by decreasing systemic clearance of S-warfarin which is a probe for CYP isomorph CYP2C9 [6, 9].

CYP and UGT enzyme activity can also be modulated by a HFD. Animal studies have revealed differential effects of hypercaloric HFD on CYP and UGT enzymes [8, 10–12]. Furthermore, Miyauchi et al. have recently shown differences in protein expression levels of both CYP enzymes and UGT enzymes in morbidly obese subjects [13]. In addition, we have shown that a short-term hyper caloric HFD in humans increases exposure to orally administered midazolam and omeprazole which are probe drugs for CYP3A4 and CYP2C19, respectively [7].

Midazolam is primarily (≈ 95%) metabolized by CYP3A4 to 1-OH-midazolam which makes the drug a suitable probe for isoform CYP3A4 [14, 15]. A minor part is metabolized to 4-OH-midazolam and to 1,4-OH-midazolam, but, as the percentage of these metabolites excreted in urine is less than 4%, these midazolam elimination pathways are not considered significant [14, 15].

After oxidative hydroxylation, the metabolites 1-OH-midazolam and 4-OH-midazolam are glucuronidated by UGT (phase II drug metabolism) to enable urinary excretion [14]. Zhu et al. identified an O-linked and an N-linked glucuronide conjugate of 1-OH-midazolam of which the formation is catalyzed by different UGT isoforms [16]. The O-glucuronide is catalyzed by UGT2B4/2B7, whereas the N-glucuronide is catalyzed by UGT1A4 [16]. Since the maximal intrinsic clearance for O-glucuronidation was ~ 9-fold higher than that for N-glucuronidation, the CYP3A4-mediated hydroxylation of midazolam to 1-OH-midazolam followed by UGT2B4/2B7-mediated glucuronidation to 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide represents the major pathway of midazolam metabolism [16]. By studying the pharmacokinetics of the parent compound together with its main metabolites, 1-OH-midazolam, and 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide, midazolam may not only serve as a probe for CYP3A4 enzyme activity but also for UGT (UGT1A4, UGT2B4/2B7) enzyme activity.

In our previous studies performed on the effect of short-term fasting and a short-term HFD, we focused on the parent compound midazolam and demonstrated that short-term fasting did not affect systemic clearance or bioavailability of the drug [6, 9]. The validated analytical method used in our previously reported studies did not include the analysis of midazolam metabolites [17]. In our current study, we used another validated liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) method to determine not only the parent compound midazolam but also its main metabolites 1-OH-midazolam, 4-OH-midazolam, and 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide. We assessed the effect of a short-term HFD and short-term fasting on CYP3A4 and UGT-mediated metabolism using intravenously administered midazolam as a probe.

2 Subjects and Methods

2.1 Subjects

Nine healthy male subjects were included in the trial. Subjects were included when (1) aged 18 years or older; (2) healthy as determined by an experienced physician, and with normal renal and liver function. The following exclusion criteria were applied: (1) major illness in the past 3 months, (2) gastrointestinal disease that may influence drug absorption, (3) abnormal values of the following laboratory parameters: alanine aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, aspartate aminotransferase, bilirubin, gamma-glutamyl transferase, and creatinine, (4) excessive alcohol intake (> 3 units of alcohol per day) or use of alcohol for at least 2 days prior to each study day,(5) drugs of abuse, (6) smokers, (7) strenuous exercise at least 3 days prior to each study day, defined as more than 1 h of exercise per day, (8) use of prescription or non-prescription drugs, (9) consumption of caffeine containing foods or beverages within 1 day prior to study, and (10) consumption of grapefruit and grapefruit-containing products or starfruit for at least 2 days prior to each study day [6, 9].

2.2 Study Design

We performed an open-label, randomly assigned crossover intervention study in healthy male subjects. After approval of the protocol (Amendment 2, ABRnr: NL40834.018.12) by the institutional ethics review board, this study was performed at the Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Each subject gave informed consent for participation in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Each subject received a single intravenous administration of 0.015 mg/kg midazolam (5 mg/ml, 1 ml ampoules, Roche Nederland BV, Woerden, The Netherlands) at three occasions with wash-out periods of 4 weeks: (1) after an overnight fast (control), (2) after 36 h of fasting, and (3) after an overnight fast after a 3 day hypercaloric high fat diet (HFD). Subjects were randomly assigned for the order in which they underwent the interventions. In all occasions,
midazolam was administered at 8:00 AM. Subjects were fasting from 10:00 PM, the preceding evening whilst participating in the control intervention. In the fasting intervention, subjects fasted from 8:00 PM starting two evenings prior to administration of midazolam. This ensured a period of 36 h of fasting at the time of midazolam administration. The hypercaloric HFD consisted of the subject’s own regular diet supplemented with 500 ml cream (1715 kcal, 35% fat) after dinner for a period of 3 days. Cream was supplemented after dinner to ensure that food intake during the day was not affected.

To standardize their diet, subjects kept a diary containing dietary instructions and were asked to take similar meals during the 3 days before the three visits. Compliance to the study protocol was also assessed by phone calls, text messages, and e-mail contact during the interventions. Furthermore, the following biomarkers were measured at baseline to check adherence to the fasting protocol: glucose, α,β-hydroxybutyrate, free fatty acids, and acetooacetate [18]. Since a short-term HFD induces biochemical symptoms of hepatic steatosis, gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were measured as biomarkers for the hypercaloric HFD [10, 19]. Serum alkaline phosphatase levels have shown to increase in obese compared to non-obese subjects [20].

On each of the three occasions, subjects had a standard fluid meal (Nutridrink Compact; Nutricia, Zoetermeer, The Netherlands) at noon. The meal was standardized to prevent differences in caloric intake between the interventions to affect the pharmacokinetics of midazolam. After 04:00 PM, subjects were allowed to consume their habitual diet [6].

2.3 Blood Sampling and Bioanalysis of Midazolam and Metabolites

For the estimation of pharmacokinetic parameters, blood samples were collected pre-dose and at 2, 11.5, 15, 29, 41.5, 60, 90, 135, 173, 180, and 195 min and 3.5, 4, 5, 7, 8–500 ng/ml for 1-OH-midazolam and 4-OH-midazolam, and 8–2000 ng/ml for 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide. For each analyte, results below this range were considered as below the limit of quantification (BLOQ). Linearity was \( R^2 \geq 0.995 \) for all components. For all analytes, the accuracy was between 98.7 and 106.3% and the within- and between-run imprecision were <11% for the lower limit of quantification and <4.4% for the middle level and upper limit of quantification. Furthermore, the mean process efficiency was between 93.2 and 117.4% and the mean ionization efficiency was >89%. Samples showed no significant degradation during storage for 96 h at room temperature.

2.4 Pharmacogenetic Analysis of CYP3A4 Polymorphisms

Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood using a total nucleic acid extraction kit on a MagnaPure LC (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, Germany). Genotyping was performed using predesigned DME Taqman allelic discrimination assays on the Life Technologies Taqman 7500 system. Each assay consisted of two allele-specific minor groove-binding (MGB) probes, labeled with the fluorescent dyes VIC and FAM. Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were performed in a reaction volume of 10 μl, containing assay-specific primers, allele-specific Taqman MGB probes, Abgene Absolute QPCR Rox Mix and genomic DNA (20 ng). The thermal profile consists of 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 20 s and annealing at 92°C for 3 s and extension at 60°C for 30 s. Genotypes were scored by measuring allele-specific fluorescence using the 7500 software v2.3 for allelic discrimination (Applied Biosystems). For CYP3A4, the following single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were tested: -392A>G (*1B), g.20230G>A (*1G), 664T>C (*2), 1334T>C (*3), 352A>G (*4), 653G>C (*5), 520G>C (*10), 1117C>T (*12), 566T>C (*17), 878T>C (*18), and g.15389C>T (*22). The absence of investigated SNPs gave the default allele assignment ‘*1A’.

2.5 Pharmacokinetic Analysis

The pharmacokinetic data were analyzed using the nonlinear mixed-effects modeling software NONMEM
inter-compartment clearances (Q1 and Q2), and volumes of distribution were quantified for the parent compound midazolam: clearance (CL), inters-compartmental clearance (Q1), and volume of distribution of the central (V1) and peripheral compartments (V2 and V3). For the metabolites of midazolam, the apparent clearances and volumes of distribution were quantified. For example, the apparent clearance of 1-OH-midazolam is quantified as $\text{CL}_{1-OH-MDZ} = f_{1-OH-MDZ} \times \text{Dose}_{MDZ} / \text{CL}_{MDZ}$, where $f_{1-OH-MDZ}$ represents the clearance of 1-OH-midazolam and $\text{Dose}_{MDZ}$ the fraction of 1-OH-midazolam formed. The apparent clearance of 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide also depends on the fraction of 1-OH-midazolam formed and can be described as $\text{CL}_{1-OH-MDZ-Gluc} = (f_{1-OH-MDZ} \times \text{Dose}_{MDZ}) / \text{CL}_{MDZ}$.

The concentration data were log transformed for all compounds. The apparent clearances and volumes of distribution were quantified in micromoles per liter, using the molecular weights of midazolam (325.8 g/mol), 1-OH-midazolam (341.8 g/mol), 4-OH-midazolam (341.8 g/mol), and 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide (517.9 g/mol). Furthermore, the concentrations were logarithmically transformed. Non-linear mixed-effects compartmental modeling was preferred instead of non-compartmental analysis because of the ability to simultaneously analyze midazolam and its metabolites and because significant differences were observed in the time after midazolam administration until concentrations of midazolam and metabolites were below LOQ.

To adjust concentrations of the metabolites to their midazolam equivalent, concentrations were expressed in micromoles per liter, using the molecular weights of midazolam, 1-OH-midazolam, 4-OH-midazolam, and 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide. The population models were built in a stepwise manner. The following parameters were quantified for the parent compound midazolam: clearance (CL), inters-compartmental clearances (Q1 and Q2), and volumes of distribution of the central (V1) and peripheral compartments (V2 and V3). For the metabolites of midazolam, the apparent clearances and volumes of distribution were quantified. For example, the apparent clearance of 1-OH-midazolam is quantified as $\text{CL}_{1-OH-MDZ} = f_{1-OH-MDZ} \times \text{Dose}_{MDZ} / \text{CL}_{MDZ}$, where $f_{1-OH-MDZ}$ represents the clearance of 1-OH-midazolam and $\text{Dose}_{MDZ}$ the fraction of 1-OH-midazolam formed.

The exposure to midazolam metabolites [AUC($m$)] depends on the fraction of metabolite formed ($f(m)$), the administered dose of midazolam ($\text{Dose}_{MDZ}$), and the total clearance of the metabolite ($\text{CL}_{MDZ}$) as given by the following equations:

For 1-OH-Midazolam (Eq. 2):

$\text{AUC}_{1-OH-MDZ} = \frac{(f_{1-OH-MDZ} \times \text{Dose}_{MDZ})}{\text{CL}_{1-OH-MDZ}}$

For 1-OH-Midazolam-O-Glucuronide (Eq. 3):

$\text{AUC}_{1-OH-MDZ-Gluc} = \frac{(f_{1-OH-MDZ-Gluc} \times \text{Dose}_{MDZ})}{\text{CL}_{1-OH-MDZ-Gluc}}$

The log-likelihood ratio test was used to discriminate between different structural and statistical models. A reduction in the objective function value (OFV) $\geq 3.84$ points was considered as statistically significant ($p < 0.05$ for one degree of freedom) [24]. In addition, goodness-of-fit (GOF) plots (population or individual predictions versus observations of measured drug concentrations, and conditional weighted residuals (CWRES) versus time and population predictions and $\eta$- and $\epsilon$-shrinkage) were assessed [25]. Furthermore, the confidence interval of the parameter estimates, the correlation matrix, and visual improvement of the individual plots were used to evaluate the model. Ill-conditioning was assessed by the ratio...
between the largest and smallest eigenvalues of the covariance matrix of the estimate from the NONMEM output. A ratio of > 1000 indicates ill-conditioning of the model and is often due to over-parameterization [26].

2.5.2 Covariate analysis

The effects of short-term fasting and a short-term hypercaloric HFD on pharmacokinetic parameters of midazolam and metabolites were evaluated by stepwise inclusion in the models [27]. For example, the effect of short-term fasting on clearance was evaluated using the following power function (Eq. 4):

\[ \text{CL}_i = \text{CL}_{\text{pop}} \times \theta_{\text{fasting}} \]  

(4)

where \( \theta_{\text{fasting}} \) is the fractional change of clearance due to fasting [6]. The effect of either fasting or HFD was tested for one pharmacokinetic parameter at a time and statistically tested by the likelihood ratio test. When fasting or HFD significantly affected more than one parameter, the model with the largest decrease in the OFV was chosen as basis to sequentially explore the influence of additional parameters. The final model containing the effects of fasting and HFD was further evaluated as discussed in the structural model section.

2.5.3 Model Validation

To evaluate validity and robustness of the final models, simulation-based diagnostics (visual predictive checks) and bootstrap diagnostics were used [28, 29]. The bootstrap analysis was performed using the Perl modules Pearl-speaks-NONMEM. The model-building data set was resampled 1000 times to create new data sets similar in size [28, 30]. Parameter estimates obtained by the bootstrap analysis (median values and the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of parameter distribution) were compared with the parameter estimates of the final pharmacokinetic models. Visual predictive check (VPC) plots were used to compare the 10th and 90th percentiles of simulated concentration–time profiles (1000 replicates) with the observed concentrations [29].

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Paired t tests (normally distributed data) and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests (not normally distributed data) were used to test differences in biochemical parameters between the occasions. The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess the normality of data distribution. A \( p \) value \( \leq 0.05 \) was considered significant. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23.0.

3 Results

Nine healthy male subjects (mean age 24 years) were recruited to participate in the trial. No adverse events were reported. A summary of the baseline characteristics is shown in Table 1. There were no significant changes in body weight at baseline for each treatment period.

DNA for the analysis of CYP3A4 polymorphisms was available in seven subjects. Subjects were screened for 11 SNPs of CYP3A4, but no polymorphisms were detected. Therefore, all seven subjects were characterized as extensive metabolizers (EM, *1A/*1A) with normal CYP3A4 enzyme activity (Table 1).

After 36 h of fasting, the biomarkers for fasting (glucose, \( \beta \)-hydroxybutyrate, free fatty acids, and acetoacetate) were all significantly altered in comparison with control (Table 1). After a short-term hypercaloric HFD alkaline phosphatase levels significantly increased from 63 U/L (range 48–90) (control) to 73 U/L (range 45–95) (\( p = 0.017 \)) (Table 1).

3.1 Pharmacokinetics of midazolam and metabolites

The plasma concentrations-versus-time profiles of midazolam and metabolites were best described using a three-compartment model for midazolam and a one-compartment model for both 1-OH-midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide (Fig. 1). 4-OH-midazolam could not be included in the model, since no concentrations could be measured in any of the subjects (< LLOQ, lower limit of quantification). In the three-compartment model of midazolam, the first and second peripheral volumes of midazolam were equalized to obtain a stable model. Interoccasion variability (IOV) on midazolam clearance significantly improved the model in terms of OFV and goodness-of-fit plots but resulted in over-parameterization. Therefore, it was not further incorporated within the model.

3.1.1 Effect of Short-Term Fasting and a Short-Term Hypercaloric HFD on Midazolam Metabolism

3.1.1.1 Midazolam The systemic clearance of midazolam (CL\text{MDZ}) was 27.3 L/h (95% confidence interval (CI) 24.4–29.2) for the typical subject. Short-term fasting significantly increased CL\text{MDZ} by 12% (\( \theta_{\text{CL Fasting}} = 1.12 \), 95% CI 1.05–1.19, \( p < 0.01 \)), (Table 2). A short-term hypercaloric HFD did not alter systemic clearance of midazolam: \( \theta_{\text{CL High Fat}} = 1.05 \), 95% CI 0.93–1.17, \( p > 0.05 \), (Table 2). These effects may also be appreciated in the visual predictive check plots, Fig. 2A1–A4. Individual areas under the plasma concentration–time curve
cytochrome P450, CYP

Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the pharmacokinetic model of midazolam and metabolites 1-hydroxy-midazolam (1-OH-midazolam) and 1-hydroxy-midazolam-O-glucuronide (1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide). k\text{mn} represents the rate constant between compartment number m and compartment number n (e.g., k12 is the rate constant between the central compartment of midazolam and its first peripheral compartment; k21 is vice versa). k50 represents the elimination rate constant of 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide.

(AUC) values were obtained by post hoc analysis. Midazolam exposure was decreased after short-term fasting: the median midazolam area under the plasma concentration–time curve (AUC\text{midazolam}) was 0.132 μmol/L-h (range 0.110–0.214) in the control group and 0.118 μmol/L-h (range 0.098–0.190) after short-term fasting (Fig. 3). Exposure after short-term HFD was 0.126 μmol/L-h (range 0.105–0.203) (Fig. 3).

3.1.1.2 1-OH-Midazolam Short-term fasting significantly decreased the apparent 1-OH-midazolam clearance (CL\text{1-OH-MDZ}) by 13% (θ_{CL, Fasting} = 0.87, 95% CI 0.80–0.95, p < 0.01) (Table 2). Short-term hypercaloric HFD did not alter apparent 1-OH-midazolam clearance (θ_{CL, High Fat} = 1.04, 95% CI 0.92–1.16, p > 0.05) (Table 2). These effects may also be appreciated in the visual predictive check plots, Fig. 2b1–4. Post hoc AUC\text{1-OH-MDZ} increased from 0.033 μmol/L-h (range 0.028–0.039) in the control group to 0.038 μmol/L-h (range 0.032–0.045) after short-term fasting (Fig. 3). After a short-term hypercaloric HFD, the median AUC\text{1-OH-MDZ} was 0.032 μmol/L-h (range 0.027–0.038) (Fig. 3).

3.1.1.3 1-OH-Midazolam-O-Glucuronide The apparent clearance of 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide [CL\text{1-OH-MDZ-glucuronide}/f_{1-OH-MDZ}] for the typical subject was 38.9 L/h [95% confidence interval (CI): 31.8–46.0]. Short-term fasting significantly decreased CL\text{1-OH-MDZ-glucuronide}/f_{1-OH-MDZ} by 20% (θ_{CL, Fasting} = 0.80, 95% CI 0.68–0.93, p < 0.01) (Table 2). Short-term hypercaloric HFD did not alter apparent 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide clearance (θ_{CL, High Fat} = 0.97, 95% CI 0.85–1.10, p > 0.05) (Table 2). Post hoc AUC\text{1-OH-MDZ-glucuronide} increased from 0.091 μmol/L-h (range 0.072–0.119) in the control group to 0.113 μmol/L-h

△Adis

Table 1 Baseline characteristics and effect of 36 h of fasting or a high fat diet versus control on biochemical parameters in healthy subjects (n = 9)

| Parameter | Value | Control Median (range) | Fasting (36 h) Median (range) | p value | High fat diet Median (range) | p value |
|-----------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|
| Age (years) | 24 (19–33) |                          |                               |         |                            |         |
| Height (cm) | 185 (175–194) |                         |                               |         |                            |         |
| Body weight (kg) | 80 (68–103) |                        |                               |         |                            |         |
| Genotype (n = 7) | N |                        |                               |         |                            |         |
| CYP3A4 | 7 |                        |                               |         |                            |         |

*1A/*1A (EM)

Glucose (mmol/L) | 4.97 (4.40–5.40) | 4.40 (3.60–5.00) | 0.024 | 5.13 (4.60–5.70) | 0.261 |
β-hydroxybutyrate (mmol/L) | 0.10 (0.00–0.20) | 0.83 (0.30–2.70) | 0.011 | 0.09 (0.00–0.20) | 0.701 |
Acetooacetate (mmol/L) | 0.05 (0.01–0.09) | 0.25 (0.06–0.80) | 0.012 | 0.04 (0.01–0.06) | 0.176 |
Free fatty acids (mmol/L) | 0.48 (0.19–0.73) | 1.29 (0.63–2.57) | 0.012 | 0.39 (0.15–0.90) | 0.398 |
Alkaline Phosphatase (U/L) | 63 (48–90) | 65 (51–77) | 0.440 | 73 (45–95) | 0.017 |
γ-glutamyl transferase (U/L) | 13.3 (9.00–21.0) | 14.6 (7.00–22.0) | 0.157 | 18.4 (8.00–36.0) | 0.108 |
Serum creatinine (μmol/L) | 74 (62–97) | 82 (67–100) | 0.065 | 76 (62–89) | 0.440 |
Serum albumin (g/L) | 47 (44–49) | 48 (45–51) | 0.256 | 47 (46–49) | 0.726 |

CYP cytochrome P450, EM extensive metabolizer
(range 0.089–0.148) after 36 h of fasting (Fig. 3). After a short-term HFD, the median AUC values for 1-OH-MDZ-glucuronide was 0.093 μmol/L-h (range 0.074–0.122) (Fig. 3). These effects may also be appreciated in the visual predictive check plots, Fig. 2C1–4.

3.1.2 Model validation

The observed data were described well by the developed models as demonstrated by the goodness-of-fit plots (Fig. 4a (midazolam), b (1-OH-midazolam), and c (1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide). Furthermore, no trends were observed in the plots of conditional weighted residuals versus time (CWRES) or model predicted concentrations (plots not shown). The η and ε shrinkage of the pharmacokinetic parameters and residual variability were < 20%. The bootstrap analysis (n = 1000 replicates) confirmed the results of the model (Table 2). In addition, the visual predictive check (VPC) plots demonstrate good agreement between the observed data and the model simulated confidence intervals for the median, 10th and 90th percentiles (Fig. 2).

4 Discussion

In this cross-over intervention study in healthy subjects, we determined the effects of short-term fasting and a short-term hypercaloric HFD on CYP3A4 and UGT-mediated metabolism of midazolam. We found that short-term fasting increased systemic clearance of midazolam, thereby decreasing the exposure to the parent compound midazolam. This indicates that fasting increased the activity of CYP3A4, considering that midazolam is primarily metabolized to 1-OH-midazolam by CYP3A4. Furthermore, short-term fasting decreased the apparent clearances of 1-OH-midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide, thereby increasing the exposure to both metabolites. In contrast, a HFD did not affect midazolam metabolism.

The maximum effect of fasting on enzyme activity is expected when a new steady-state level of the affected enzyme has been obtained [31]. This is dependent on the rate of enzyme turnover and on the time needed to reach a

![Fig. 2 Visual predictive check plots of midazolam and metabolites.](image)

**Table 2** Pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters of midazolam and metabolites in nine healthy male subjects and mean parameter values (2.5–97.5%) of non-parametric bootstrap replicates of the final PK model

| Parameters       | Midazolam (MDZ) | 1-OH-Midazolam (1-OH-MDZ) | 1-OH-Midazolam-O-Glucuronide (1-OH-MDZ-Glc) |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                  | Estimates       | Bootstrap                  | Estimates                                   | Bootstrap                  | Estimates                                   | Bootstrap                  |
|                  | Typical value (95% CI) | Median (2.5–97.5%) | Typical value (95% CI) | Median (2.5–97.5%) | Typical value (95% CI) | Median (2.5–97.5%) |
| CL (L/h)          | 27.3 (24.4–29.2) | 27.4 (25.1–29.9) | 112 (90.6–133) | 115 (91.9–138) | 38.9 (31.8–46.0) | 39.5 (32.9–46.7) |
| $θ_{\text{CL} 36 \text{ h fasting}}$ | **1.12 (1.05–1.19)** | 1.12 (1.06–1.22) | **0.87 (0.80–0.95)** | 0.87 (0.79–0.94) | **0.80 (0.68–0.93)** | 0.80 (0.68–0.94) |
| $θ_{\text{CL high fat diet}}$ | 1.05 (0.93–1.17) | 1.06 (0.94–1.17) | 1.04 (0.92–1.16) | 1.03 (0.93–1.15) | 0.97 (0.85–1.10) | 0.98 (0.86–1.11) |
| V1 (L)            | 18.1 (15.0–21.2) | 17.4 (10.6–20.1) | 87.1 (69.9–104) | 89.1 (72.5–112) | 5.60 (4.84–6.36) | 5.58 (4.68–6.58) |
| Q (L/h)           | 68.5 (26.4–110) | 66.4 (51.3–158) | – | – | – | – |
| V2 (L)            | 24.3 (20.4–28.2) | 23.9 (20.4–28.8) | – | – | – | – |
| Q2 (L/h)          | 9.55 (3.30–15.8) | 10.4 (5.69–21.1) | – | – | – | – |
| V3 (L)            | 24.3 (20.4–28.2) | 23.9 (20.4–28.8) | – | – | – | – |
| Inter-individual variability | 14.9 (11.9–17.9) | 13.3 (7.51–18.7) | 18.2 (16.0–20.4) | 16.5 (9.40–21.1) | 23.4 (20.6–26.2) | 22.1 (14.5–28.8) |
| Residual variability | 0.24 (0.21–0.27) | 0.23 (0.19–0.26) | 0.21 (0.13–0.29) | 0.21 (0.15–0.28) | 0.18 (0.15–0.21) | 0.18 (0.14–0.21) |

Bold represents statistically significant values

CL clearance, Q inter-compartment clearance, V1 volume of distribution of the central compartment, V2 volume of distribution of the first peripheral compartment, V3 volume of distribution of the second peripheral compartment, $θ$ difference in PK parameter
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new steady state as reflected by the elimination half-life of the enzyme. Since the reported turnover half-lives for CYP3A4 are highly variable ranging from 10 to 140 h, the effects of fasting on enzyme expression are probably not maximal after 36 h of fasting [32]. For example, maximum induction of CYP3A is reached after 3 days of rifampicin treatment, which is a powerful inducer of CYP3A4 [33]. Although our observations after 36 h of fasting may appear to be small (~12%), this could be an underestimation of the alterations in CYP-mediated drug clearance in subjects or patients who have fasted for a longer period of time.

Since the administered midazolam dose was fixed to 0.015 mg/kg for each subject and did not differ between the interventions, increased exposure to 1-OH-midazolam after short-term fasting implies either reduced UGT-mediated clearance and/or an increase of the fraction of metabolite formed (Eq. 2). The latter seems unlikely since midazolam is almost completely metabolized to 1-OH-midazolam already. Therefore, our findings indicate that short-term fasting decreases 1-OH-midazolam clearance by reducing UGT enzyme activity.

As for 1-OH-midazolam, the increased exposure to 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide implies either reduced clearance and/or an increase of the fraction of metabolite formed (Eq. 3). Since 1-OH-midazolam is metabolized to an O-linked and an N-linked glucuronide, short-term fasting may decrease the formation of the N-linked glucuronide, thereby increasing the formation of the O-linked glucuronide [16]. Furthermore, because 1-OH-midazolam-glucuronide is predominantly excreted unchanged in urine, it could also be possible that fasting-induced changes in renal clearance affect 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide clearance. However, short-term fasting did not affect serum creatinine (Table 1). Additional research is recommended to study the effect of short-term fasting on UGT enzyme activity and the different UGT isoenzymes involved in midazolam metabolism (UGT1A4, UGT2B4/2B7). This should include the analysis of both 1-OH-midazolam-N-glucuronide (UGT1A4) and 1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide (UGT2B4/2B7) not only measured in plasma but also in urine.

The results presented in this study are in line with our previous findings that short-term fasting significantly increased mRNA expression of the orthologues of human CYP3A4 in both rats and mice [6, 34]. However, in our previous studies on the effect of short-term fasting in humans, we did not found an effect of fasting on the

![Fig. 3 Post hoc area under the plasma concentration–time curve (AUC) results of midazolam and metabolites. Box plots represent the control, 36 h of fasting, and high fat diet interventions [median and range (upper and lower limits)]](image-url)
Fig. 4 Goodness-of-fit plots of midazolam and metabolites. 

a Midazolam: panels 1–6. 

b 1-hydroxy-midazolam (1-OH-midazolam): panels 1–6. 

c 1-hydroxy-midazolam-O-glucuronide (1-OH-midazolam-O-glucuronide): panels 1–6. 

Observed concentrations versus population predicted (PRED) (left panel) and individual predicted (IPRED) (right panel) concentrations. The closed triangles represent concentrations below the lower limit of quantification (BLOQ). BLOQ concentrations are fixed at LOQ/2. The solid line is the line of identity. Panels 1 and 2: the open circles represent the data after control. Panels 3 and 4: the closed circles represent the data after the 36 h of fasting intervention. Panels 5 and 6: the open triangles represent the data after the high fat diet intervention.
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pharmacokinetics of midazolam. We reported that short-term fasting did not alter oral or systemic clearance of the parent compound midazolam in humans [6, 9]. This may be explained by the application of a different method to analyze the data in the present study. We observed differences in the time after midazolam administration until concentrations of midazolam and metabolites were below LOQ and, as a consequence, differences in the number of metabolite samples below the lower limit of quantification (BLOQ) between control and the short-term fasting intervention. Instead of excluding the BLOQ data from analysis, which may bias the results, we now applied the M3 method suggested by Beal to account for these data [23]. In the presence of a significant number of BLOQ samples (≥ 10%), this method enables a more accurate fit and simulation of the data.

Following intravenous administration of drugs with an intermediate hepatic extraction ratio (Eint = 0.3 to 0.7), such as midazolam (Eint = 0.31), drug clearance can be affected by changes in hepatic blood flow (QH), intrinsic clearance (CLint), and protein binding (fraction unbound drug in plasma, fu) (Eq. 5) [35, 36]:

\[
\text{CL} = \frac{Q_{H} \times (f_{u} \times \text{CL}_{\text{int}})}{(Q_{H} + f_{u} \times \text{CL}_{\text{int}})}
\]  

(5)

We have shown that fasting increases midazolam clearance, but it is unlikely that this is caused by an effect of fasting on QH or fu instead of CLint. The fraction of unbound midazolam is very low (approximately 2%) and, therefore, a small increase in fu due to fasting may significantly alter the results [36]. However, the concentration of drug binding serum albumin was not affected by fasting (Table 1). Furthermore, it is unlikely that fasting would have increased hepatic blood flow (QH) to retrieve the observed effect, since the opposite has been described in the literature [37, 38].

A short-term HFD did not alter midazolam clearance after intravenous administration of the drug. This is in agreement with earlier findings of Greenblatt et al., Brill et al., and Van Rongen et al. who reported no difference in clearance values of midazolam between obese and non-obese patients [39–41]. However, this is not consistent with our previous finding that a HFD increases midazolam exposure after oral administration of the drug. After oral administration of midazolam, the observed differences in exposure are a combined effect of an HFD on intrinsic clearance (CYP-enzyme activity), bioavailability and protein binding as described by the well-stirred model of drug metabolism [42]. We may now conclude that a short-term HFD does not alter intrinsic midazolam clearance. Therefore, the previously observed findings of increased midazolam exposure after oral administration are more likely to be caused by differences in bioavailability and/or protein binding.

Seven out of nine subjects were genotyped for 11 different CYP3A4 polymorphisms. For CYP3A4, more than 40 polymorphisms are known [43]. Although subjects were characterized as extensive metabolizers of CYP3A4 (Table 1), it is possible that variability between patients may still be caused by differences in (unknown) genetic profiles. However, the randomized cross-over design in which each subject serves as his own control enabled us to study only the effect of fasting or an HFD within patients instead of a mixture of other factors that may also influence drug metabolism such as genotype, physiologic, and environmental factors. Data on CYP3A4 genotype were retrieved to demonstrate if part of the between-subject variability could be explained by differences in genotype. However, this appeared not to be the case, since all subjects genotyped were extensive metabolizers (genotypically) of CYP3A4. Therefore, other factors than CYP3A4 polymorphisms are likely to cause the relatively large between patient variability (Fig. 3) of midazolam.

5 Conclusion

The results found will not be clinically relevant for patients using midazolam. However, since midazolam was administered as a probe to study drug-metabolizing enzyme activity (CYP3A4 and UGT), our findings imply that dosage adjustments of other drugs metabolized by these enzymes could be necessary to improve drug treatment in patients with fasting-related consequences such as weight loss and cachexia. Additional research should especially focus on drugs with a narrow therapeutic window such as immune-modulators (e.g., tacrolimus) and certain drugs used in oncology (e.g., docetaxel, cyclophosphamide, and tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as erlotinib and gefitinib).

Our study provides evidence that short-term fasting differentially alters phase I and II drug-metabolizing enzymes by increasing CYP3A4-mediated but decreasing UGT-mediated midazolam metabolism. Additional research is warranted to study the clinical implications of our findings.
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