Immature Granulocyte Count and Percentage as New Predictors of Mortality in Patients with Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding
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ABSTRACT

Aims: Early identification of patients at risk of adverse outcomes may increase the survival rates in patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB), but this can be difficult to predict in emergencies. The aim of the study is to evaluate immature granulocyte (IG), which can be obtained from simple hemogram tests in patients with UGIB, in terms of clinical use and as a mortality marker.

Materials and methods: The patients diagnosed with UGIB between March 1, 2019, and September 30, 2019, were evaluated retrospectively. Demographic characteristics, causes of hemorrhage, clinical presentations, hemogram, and biochemistry values at ED admission and 30-day mortality status of the patients were examined. We divided the patients into groups according to their mortality status, and the groups were compared among themselves in terms of parameters.

Results: A total of 213 patients who met the inclusion criteria were included in the study. Of these patients, 139 (65.3%) were male and the mean age was 65.05 ± 16.7 years. Fifteen (7%) of them were in the nonsurvival group, while 198 (93%) were in the survival group. The efficacy of both the IG count (IGC) and IG% in predicting mortality was statistically significant (p = 0.002, p = 0.008, respectively). The sensitivity and specificity for the IGC were found as 60% and 84.4; for the IG%, they were found as 66.7% and 75.7, respectively.

Conclusion: IGC and IG% are independent risk factors for the 30-day mortality status. These measurements are obtained from simple hemogram tests and may be useful for the evaluation of mortality in patients with UGIB.
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INTRODUCTION

Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) is bleeding into the lumen from anywhere between the upper part of the esophagus and the Treitz ligament, and is one of the important causes of admissions to the ED.1 Despite improvements in diagnosis and treatment methods, its annual incidence is 50–180 per 100,000 population, the overall mortality rate is around 10%, and this rate is estimated to reach 35% in the patients with comorbidities.1–2 The early diagnosis of the patients at risk for the poor outcomes can be difficult to predict in emergency situations.3,4

Multiple clinical factors, including advanced age, lifestyle, melena, comorbid diseases, and laboratory markers such as uremia and low hemoglobin (Hg), are known to be associated with a high risk of morbidity and mortality.1 Various scoring systems have been developed in recent years for the risk assessment of the patients with UGIB, and the most common ones are the Glasgow blatchford scoring (GBS) and the Rockall Scoring systems. The GBS uses basic clinical and laboratory variables in its application, while the Rockall score is a score with endoscopic components as well.5,6 Although these scoring systems have a place in clinical practice, it can be difficult for physicians to assess the detection and hospitalization of critical patients quickly, easily, and accurately in the ED. Continuous monitoring of vital signs and laboratory tests are often required.5,8

Massive bleeding and hemorrhagic damage lead to inflammation, and a sustained, flaring inflammatory response is strongly associated with increased mortality.1–3 Immature granulocyte (IG) reflects the fraction of IGs in the peripheral blood; it is an indicator of the bone marrow activation and serious infection, but it is not a parameter that is sufficiently known by many clinicians.9,10 With the latest technological developments, IG can be measured easily and quickly on automated blood cell analyzers.11 Studies have shown that IG is useful for predicting the severity of many disease processes, including gastrointestinal tract diseases. In addition, increased IG has been reported to be strongly associated with systemic inflammation and mortality.11–13 The role of IG in UGIB patients in terms of clinical utility and as a marker for mortality has not yet been investigated. Therefore, the aim of the study is to evaluate IG, which can be obtained from simple hemogram tests in patients with UGIB, in terms of clinical use and as a mortality marker.
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Materials and Methods

The patients admitted to the tertiary ED and diagnosed with UGIB between March 1, 2019, and September 30, 2019, were retrospectively screened through the hospital information-recording system. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding was defined as the hemorrhage into the lumen between the proximal duodenum up to the Treitz ligament and the upper esophageal sphincter. Patients who were admitted to the ED with at least one of the symptoms of melena, hematochezia, hematemesis, vomiting in the form of coffee grounds, and blood in the nasogastric aspirate were defined as the patients with UGIB.1–3 This study included 284 patients suffering from UGIB, who were applied to our ED. Patients who were under 18 years of age, pregnant, with hematological malignancies that could change hematological parameters, using granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, immunosuppressive agent or steroid, and receiving blood transfusions before admission, who transferred to other hospitals, who had lower gastrointestinal bleeding, underlying infectious disease and trauma were receiving chemotherapy within 2 weeks, and whose data were incomplete were excluded from the study. A total of 71 patients were excluded. Consequently, 213 patients complying with these criteria were selected for this study.

Demographic characteristics, causes of hemorrhage, clinical presentations at ED admission, vital signs, comorbid diseases, drug use, habits, hemogram and biochemistry values at ED admission, results of endoscopy if performed during hospitalization, length of hospital stay (LOS), and 30-day mortality status of the patients were examined. In accordance with the treatment protocols of our hospital, all the blood is collected within 30 minutes at the time of admission.6 We divided the patients into groups according to their mean age was 65.05 ± 16.7 years. In the multivariate analysis, age, neutrophil, BUN IG% and IGC are the independent predictors of the 30-day mortality in patients with UGIB (Table 2). The efficacy of the IGC and IG% parameters in determining mortality was calculated by plotting ROC curves (Fig. 1). The efficacy of both the IGC and IG% in predicting mortality was statistically significant (p = 0.002, p = 0.008, respectively). The AUC, sensitivity, and specificity for the IGC were found as 0.735, 60%, and 84.4% at the cut-off value of 0.17, respectively; for the IG%, they were found as 0.705, 66.7%, and 75.7% at the cut-off value of 0.95, respectively. The ROC analysis results are presented in Table 3.

Discussion

Upper gastrointestinal bleeding is among the common causes of mortality and morbidity. Early diagnosis and correct classification of the patients with a high risk of mortality and rebleeding increase the care efficiency of the patients and may help their possible outcome.14 Mortality has been shown to be associated with many risk factors in the patients with UGIB, such as advanced age, malignancy, and abnormal vital signs.15 The pathophysiological mechanism of UGIB, leading to mortality, is not clearly understood.14 Studies have shown that the amount of bleeding or shock in UGIB is associated with the production of many proinflammatory factors including interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and CRP. Many researchers have proposed mechanisms to explain this rapid and early release of Ig, a large amount of blood is lost from the body, including neutrophils.12–17 In addition, it has been recognized in recent years that the widespread inflammation may also lead to downregulation of immune responses. As a result, the host is highly susceptible to infections. In addition, dysregulation of immune mechanisms can increase the death rate.18 We showed in our study that the 30-day mortality can be predicted with high IGC and IG% levels in the patients with UGIB. Previous studies have proposed several mechanisms to explain this rapid release of Ig, and the hematopoietic system can quickly adapt to hematopoietic stress from steady state to severe granulopoiesis, such as severe infection or bleeding.12 IGC and IG% may reflect the severity of systemic inflammation. Therefore, our findings suggest that the IGC and IG% can predict mortality in terms of gender, there was no significant difference between the groups (p = 0.779). The mean age was statistically significantly higher in patients with mortality than those without mortality (p = 0.004). The calculated Rockall and Glasgow-Blatchford scores of the patients with 30-day mortality were statistically significantly higher (p = 0.00, p = 0.038, respectively). According to the vital signs of the patients, systolic and diastolic blood pressures were lower and the heart rate was higher in the nonsurvival group compared to the survival group. Of the patients, 146 (68.5%) were admitted to the ED with hematemesis, 27 (12.6%) with melena, and 40 (18.9%) with hematochezia.

When the groups were compared according to comorbidity status, the percentage of mortality was significantly higher in patients with malignancy (p = 0.012). The mean white blood cell (WBC), neutrophil, creatinine, CRP, IGC, and IG% levels were significantly higher in the nonsurvival group compared to the survival group (p < 0.05 for all markers). When the two groups were compared in terms of LOS, the mean LOS was 4 days in the survival group, while it was 7 days in the nonsurvival group, and this finding was statistically significant (p = 0.01). Demographic data, etiologic characteristics, and laboratory values of the groups were compared in Table 1.

In this study, 284 patients suffering from UGIB, who were applied to our ED. Patients who were under 18 years of age, pregnant, with hematological malignancies that could change hematological parameters, using granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, immunosuppressive agent or steroid, and receiving blood transfusions before admission, who transferred to other hospitals, who had lower gastrointestinal bleeding, underlying infectious disease and trauma were receiving chemotherapy within 2 weeks, and whose data were incomplete were excluded from the study. A total of 71 patients were excluded. Consequently, 213 patients complying with these criteria were selected for this study.

In accordance with the treatment protocols of our hospital, all the blood is collected within 30 minutes at the time of admission.6 We divided the patients into groups according to their mean age was 65.05 ± 16.7 years. In the multivariate analysis, age, neutrophil, BUN IG% and IGC are the independent predictors of the 30-day mortality in patients with UGIB (Table 2). The efficacy of the IGC and IG% parameters in determining mortality was calculated by plotting ROC curves (Fig. 1). The efficacy of both the IGC and IG% in predicting mortality was statistically significant (p = 0.002, p = 0.008, respectively). The AUC, sensitivity, and specificity for the IGC were found as 0.735, 60%, and 84.4% at the cut-off value of 0.17, respectively; for the IG%, they were found as 0.705, 66.7%, and 75.7% at the cut-off value of 0.95, respectively. The ROC analysis results are presented in Table 3.

Discussion

Upper gastrointestinal bleeding is among the common causes of mortality and morbidity. Early diagnosis and correct classification of the patients with a high risk of mortality and rebleeding increase the care efficiency of the patients and may help their possible outcome.14 Mortality has been shown to be associated with many risk factors in the patients with UGIB, such as advanced age, malignancy, and abnormal vital signs.15 The pathophysiological mechanism of UGIB, leading to mortality, is not clearly understood.14 Studies have shown that the amount of bleeding or shock in UGIB is associated with the production of many proinflammatory factors including interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and CRP. Many researchers have proposed mechanisms to explain this rapid and early release of Ig, a large amount of blood is lost from the body, including neutrophils.12–17 In addition, it has been recognized in recent years that the widespread inflammation may also lead to downregulation of immune responses. As a result, the host is highly susceptible to infections. In addition, dysregulation of immune mechanisms can increase the death rate.18 We showed in our study that the 30-day mortality can be predicted with high IGC and IG% levels in the patients with UGIB. Previous studies have proposed several mechanisms to explain this rapid release of Ig, and the hematopoietic system can quickly adapt to hematopoietic stress from steady state to severe granulopoiesis, such as severe infection or bleeding.12 IGC and IG% may reflect the severity of systemic inflammation. Therefore, our findings suggest that the IGC and IG% can predict mortality

Results

A total of 213 patients who met the inclusion criteria were included in the study. Of these patients, 139 (65.3%) were male, and the mean age was 65.05 ± 16.7 years. The patients were divided into two groups as the nonsurvival and survival groups according to the 30-day mortality status. Fifteen (7%) of them were in the nonsurvival group, while 198 (93%) were in the survival group.
New Predictors of Mortality in Patients with UGIB

Studies have indicated that the IGC and IG% reflect an infection-related response and may be associated with mortality. In a present study, a positive correlation between increased CRP values and gastrointestinal bleeding has been shown. In a recent study, they found that the neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR) was significantly higher in patients with gastrointestinal bleeding compared to the control group. The platelet lymphocyte ratio (PLR) was also significantly higher in those with GI bleeding compared to those without GI bleeding. IG and its derivatives are known to be new systemic inflammation biomarkers expressed in various conditions such as systemic inflammation.

| Table 1: Baseline characteristics of patients stratified according to the 30-day mortality |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Variables** | **Total (n = 213)** | **Death (n = 15)** | **Survival (n = 198)** | **p** |
| Age (years) | 65.05 ± 16.7 | 76.33 ± 11.33 | 64.38 ± 16.68 | 0.004 |
| Male gender | 139 (65.3) | 9 (60) | 130 (65.3) | 0.779 |
| Rockall score | 2.66 ± 1.89 | 4.93 ± 1.98 | 2.49 ± 1.77 | 0.000 |
| Glasgow-Blatchford score | 9.74 ± 3.97 | 11.6 ± 3.39 | 9.6 ± 3.97 | 0.038 |
| Vital signs at ED presentation | | | | |
| Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 118 (70–180) | 100 (80–180) | 120 (70–146) | 0.006 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 70 (40–118) | 62 (40–90) | 72 (40–118) | 0.002 |
| Heart rate (bpm) | 88 (58–148) | 106 (76–140) | 88 (58–148) | 0.002 |
| Presenting symptoms | | | | |
| Hematemesis | 146 (68.5) | 7 (46.7) | 139 (70.2) | 0.159 |
| Melena | 27 (12.6) | 2 (13.3) | 25 (12.6) | 0.779 |
| Hematochezia | 40 (18.9) | 6 (40) | 34 (17.2) | 0.779 |
| Comorbidity | | | | |
| Hypertension | 87 (40.8) | 9 (60) | 78 (39.6) | 0.172 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 47 (22.1) | 5 (33.3) | 42 (21.2) | 0.330 |
| Liver cirrhosis | 9 (4.2) | 1 (6.7) | 8 (4) | 0.488 |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 18 (8.7) | 2 (13.3) | 16 (8.3) | 0.625 |
| Malignancy | 27 (12.7) | 6 (40) | 21 (10.6) | 0.248 |
| Previous UGI bleeding | 10 (4.6) | 2 (13.3) | 8 (4) | 0.012 |
| Forrest classification | | | | |
| IB (Oozing) | 67 (31.4) | 5 (33.3) | 62 (31.3) | 0.987 |
| IA (active hemorrhage) | 46 (22.1) | 4 (26.7) | 43 (21.7) | 0.987 |
| II-III | 71 (33.3) | 6 (40) | 65 (32.8) | 0.987 |
| Laboratory features | | | | |
| White blood cell (10³/mL) | 11.25 ± 7.62 | 14.96 ± 6.62 | 11 ± 7.63 | 0.006 |
| Neutrophil (10³/mL) | 7.99 ± 6.14 | 12.04 ± 6.45 | 7.23 ± 6.03 | 0.004 |
| Lymphocyte (10³/mL) | 2.36 ± 2.73 | 2.904 ± 1.44 | 2.39 ± 1.81 | 0.46 |
| Hemoglobin (g/L) | 9.44 ± 3.01 | 9.25 ± 2.96 | 9.44 ± 3.33 | 0.931 |
| Hematocrit (%) | 28,28 ± 7,72 | 27.4 ± 8.51 | 28.28 ± 8.78 | 0.791 |
| Platelet (10³/mL) | 253.27 ± 111.95 | 244.73 ± 129.77 | 253.85 ± 111.12 | 0.764 |
| BUN (mg/dL) | 35.66 ± 22.35 | 55.21 ± 28.62 | 34.4 ± 21.4 | 0.001 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 1.3 ± 1.08 | 1.72 ± 1.19 | 1.28 ± 1.01 | 0.06 |
| CRP | 4.19 ± 40.22 | 56.92 ± 42.1 | 21.99 ± 15.25 | 0.001 |
| IG (%) | 1.23 ± 1.98 | 2.12 ± 2.24 | 1.17 ± 1.95 | 0.008 |
| IGC | 0.16 ± 0.36 | 0.5 ± 0.69 | 0.14 ± 0.31 | 0.002 |
| Length of stay (day) | 5 (1–39) | 7 (1–28) | 4 (1–39) | 0.01 |

Table 2: Logistic regression analysis of risk factors affected UGIB 30-day mortality

| Variables | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|
|           | OR (95% CI)         | p value              |
|           | OR (95% CI)         | p value              |
| Age | 2.118 (1.981–2.256) | <0.001   | 2.863 (1.023–3.012) | <0.001 |
| CRP | 0.02 (0.15–0.25)   | <0.001   | 0.401 (0.21–0.65)  | 0.041 |
| IG (%) | 0.320 (0.180–0.461) | <0.001  | 0.371 (0.081–1.698) | <0.001 |
| IGC | 1.888 (1.277–2.499) | <0.001  | 1.240 (0.661–3.545) | <0.001 |

IGC, immature granulocyte count; IG%, immature granulocyte percentage; CRP, C-reactive protein; BUN, blood urea nitrogen
states that the IG% showed appendicitis complications with low sensitivity and high specificity.22 A study by Kong et al.23 conducted with trauma patients showed that IG% could be a marker of the 30-day mortality at >5.3% value. In another study, they found that severe sepsis and septic shock significantly increased in patients with an IG value > 6.5%.24 A recent study has reported a positive correlation between increasing IG values and cardiac arrest.25 The increase in the number of IGs in circulation thought to endanger innate immunity. In our study, IGC and IG% were important independent risk factors for mortality in UGIB patients. The IGC and IG%, which are quick, easy, and affordable measurement methods, can be used to assess the severity of various diseases as a part of complete blood count. Similarly, we think that IGC and IG% have similar clinical results in UGIB patients, considering our study results which support their use in the risk classification of these patients.

Similar to the literature, known risk factors for mortality, such as malignancy and the Rockall score, were found to have an effect on mortality for UGIB in this study.26 In addition, the mean WBC, neutrophil, creatinine, CRP, IGC, and IG% levels were associated with mortality. The IGC and IG% are the predictors of the 30-day mortality in patients with UGIB, and these parameters can simply be obtained from hemogram tests and used for the risk assessment.

In previous studies, factors such as hemodynamic instability, comorbid diseases, a hemoglobin value less than 10 g/L, active bleeding in endoscopy were used as parameters for the risk assessment.27 Barkun et al.28 reported in their study that an SBP value < 100 mm Hg, a hemoglobin value less than 10 g/L, and blood transfusion were the risk factors for excessive bleeding before endoscopy. The Rockall score was found to be associated with more bleeding in our study. Therapeutic decisions such as emergency endoscopic evaluation or blood transfusion of the patients with UGIB vary depending on the prognosis and the severity of the disease. Risk scoring systems such as GBS and Rockall score are used to predict disease mortality.29 Although recent studies have revealed that the NLR and PLR are associated with the severity of UGIB, there are very few studies in the literature suggesting IGC and IG%.30,31 Our study showed that the IGC and IG%, new independent parameters, have been shown to predict mortality and may play a role in improving the risk classification of UGIB patients.

Rockall and Glasgow Blatchford scores, significantly higher mean WBC, neutrophil, creatinine, CRP, IGC, and IG% levels in the non-survival group, significant differences in the LOS, findings of multivariate logistic regression analysis, efficacy of both the IGC and IG% in predicting mortality, etc. are the major findings of the current study.

**Conclusion**

The IGC and IG% are independent risk factors for the 30-day mortality status, and these measurements are obtained from the simple hemogram tests and may be useful for the evaluation of mortality in patients with UGIB. The IGC and IG% can be included as parameters for further development of risk scoring systems.

**Limitation**

There are some limitations of this study. First, some treatment options might differ among the cases where the study was conducted. Second, our study was conducted in a single institution with a relatively small number of participants. Third, there was a risk of selection bias in this study, as the patients did not have a serial IGC and IG% assessment. In addition, our study had another important limitation that the diagnosis and treatment were not determined by the same physicians.
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