Taxonomy and Ecology of Sympatric Ampelisca Species (Crustacea, Amphipoda) From the Strait of Gibraltar to the Strait of Dover, North-Eastern Atlantic
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The Ampeliscidae Kröyer, 1842 is amongst the most diverse amphipod families; it comprises four genera, Ampelisca being the richest with more than 200 species. The Ampelisca genus presents high morphological homogeneity and the identification of the species by ecologists remains difficult. Ampelisca are also characterized by a high degree of sympatry, a rare situation in amphipods, and in this study we report up to nine species coexisting at the same site. Recent benthic sampling and publications, namely on the Portuguese continental shelf and the English Channel, permit to revisit the available data on the taxonomy and propose an updated species identification key, as well as the distribution and ecology of the 40-recorded Ampelisca species along the North Eastern Atlantic coast, from the Strait of Gibraltar, in the South, to the Strait of Dover, in the North. The data allow discussing on the sympatry and syntopy of such diverse amphipod family with the co-occurrence of several species at various scales of observations, from the wider regional area, to the narrower local habitat. Two Ampelisca species were recorded exclusively on hard bottom, while the other tend to inhabit specific types of soft bottom, ranging from deep mud to shallow coarse sand and gravel, with a preference for continental shelf muddy and sandy habitats. A future sea water temperature increase scenario could modify the species geographical distribution and reproductive cycle, in this temperate North-eastern Atlantic province.
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INTRODUCTION

The Ampeliscidae Kröyer, 1842 is one of the most diverse amphipod families, together with the Gammaridae Leach, 1814 and the Lysianassidae Lana, 1849. Ampeliscidae is composed of four genera comprising more than 300 species, with 9 species of Byblisoides, 27 species of Haploops, 75 species of Byblis and 203 species of Ampelisca recorded on the World Register of Marine Species [http://www.marinespecies.org/; accessed on 16th October 2020]. The Ampeliscidae family
accounts nowadays for 314 species, representing 3.0% of the described amphipod species, 10,320 in total (Horton et al., 2021). New species for this family continue to be annually described. Between 2000 and 2020, 3 Byblisoides, 14 Byblis, 9 Haploops, and 32 Ampelisca new to science were described, corresponding to 18% of the known species of this family.

Numerous data and reviews on the Ampeliscidae (see Bellan-Santini, 1982, 1983; Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1985, 1986, 1988, 1996, 2000, 2002; Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988a,b, 1989, 1997; Dauvin, 1996) permitted to differentiate the general distribution patterns of the genera, Byblisoides, Byblis and Haploops being mainly deep- and cold-water genera and Ampelisca more tropical and sub-tropical and shallow water genus. Nevertheless, dense Haploops populations have been reported in South Brittany shallow waters, Northern part of the Bay of Biscay (Rigolet et al., 2012, 2014), while dense Ampelisca populations occurred in North Brittany at the entrance of the English Channel (Dauvin, 1988a,b,c,d, 1989).

Given the Ampelisca high morphological homogeneity, the taxonomic identification of specimen to the species level remains difficult to ecologists, in spite of the existence of illustrated keys (Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1988). As a consequence, most ecological studies tend to report only the presence of Ampelisca sp. or Ampelisca spp., without detailing the species. This difficulty arises in part from poorly detailed descriptions accompanying the reporting of new Ampelisca species, most being known only from the type locality and represented by very few specimens. Other difficulties include the existence of cryptic species, such as Ampelisca brevicornis sensu lato and the discovery of several species for this large cosmopolitan species, i.e., A. cavicosa Reid, 1951 and A. pectenata Reid, 1951 for the North-Eastern Atlantic (Kaim-Malka, 2000) or more recently the description of A. troncosoi Tato et al. (2012) from Galicia, Spain, previously miss-confused with A. heterodactyla (Schellenberg, 1925; Tato et al., 2012). Additionally, the high level of taxonomic expertise and the long time required to identify the Ampelisca to species level, especially for large sample collections, may not always be compatible with numerous ecological work. Apart from the high diversity of the Ampelisca, another particularity of this genus is to present a high degree of sympatry, not only at the regional and local scales, but also at the scale of the grab replicate sample (Dauvin et al., 1993). The Ampelisca species tend to inhabit distinct types of substratum. The genus is mostly present on soft bottom, from deep mud to shallow coarse sand and gravel (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988a,b; Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1989) and only very few species are found on hard bottom (i.e., A. rubella A. Costa, 1864 or A. lascitanica Bellan-Santini and Marques, 1886).

Recent benthic sampling campaigns on the Portuguese continental shelf (Martins et al., 2012, 2013a,b, 2014; Sampaio et al., 2016) and in the English Channel, i.e., in the Rade de Cherbourg (Baux et al., 2017; Andres et al., 2020) and in the Bay of Seine (Alizier, 2011), permit to revisit the available data on the taxonomy, distribution and ecology of the Ampelisca species along the North Eastern Atlantic, from the Strait of Gibraltar, in the South to the Strait of Dover, in the North.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling Sites and Recently Available Data

Portuguese Continental Shelf

A total of 326 sites were visited during sampling campaigns with a 0.1 m² Smith McIntyre grab (one grab per site) conducted on the entire Portuguese continental shelf from Caminha on the Northwest, to Vila Real de Santo António on the Southeast (Martins et al., 2012, 2013a,b, 2014; Sampaio et al., 2016). The shallow and mid depth north-western shelf and areas located close to the major submarine canyons are characterized by coarser sediments with low fines and organic matter content, whereas the south-western and the deep north-western shelf are dominated by fine sands with moderate fines and organic matter content. The western part of the southern shelf is very heterogeneous while muds predominate off the major Portuguese rivers, the Tagus (Lisbon) and the Douro (Porto) on the west and the Guadiana (Vila Real Santo de António), on the south coast (see namely Cardoso et al., 2019).

English Channel

New data was obtained mainly from the Rade the Cherbourg and the Bay of Seine. The Rade de Cherbourg is Europe’s largest roadstead, extending over a total area of 15 km², with a maximum depth ~20 m, and a mean depth of ~13 m. The macrofauna was sampled with a 0.1 m² Van Veen grab (three replicates per site) for different studies from 2012 to 2018 on the four sediment facies of the Rade and in surrounding bays in the North Cotentin for a total of 61 sites (Baux et al., 2017; Andres et al., 2020). The eastern part of the Bay of Seine (eastern part of the English Channel) and the lower part of the Seine estuary cover an area of ~ 400 km², with a maximum depth of ~20 m. Macrofauna was sampled in 2008–2009 (Alizier, 2011) and in 2016–2017 (Baux, 2018) for a total of about 100 sites with a 0.1 m² Van Veen grab (three to five replicates per site).

Geographical Distribution

The coast along the North Eastern Atlantic coast, from the Strait of Gibraltar, in the South, to the Strait of Dover, in the North, was divided in eight zones corresponding to the available data on the Ampelisca distribution, i.e., South Spain (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b); Portugal (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b; Sampaio et al., 2016); Galicia (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b); South-Eastern Bay of Biscay (Bachelet et al., 2003); South Brittany (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b); Iroise Sea (Dauvin and Toulemont, 1988); Western part of the English Channel (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b, 1989; Dauvin, 1999; Le Mao, 2006) and Eastern part of the English Channel North Cotentin (Dauvin, 1999; Alizier, 2011; Baux et al., 2017; Andres et al., 2020).

According to their occurrences, the species were classified in three categories, (1) rare, corresponding to species recorded at up to 10 sites, (2) common, corresponding to species recorded in numerous soft-bottom sites mainly from muddy to sandy sediment, and (3) very common, for species recorded in most of the sampled soft-bottom
| Species                          | South Spain | Portugal | Galicia | SE Bay of Biscay | South Brittany | Iroise Sea | Western EC | Eastern EC |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|-----------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| A. aequicornis Bruzelius, 1859   | +           | +        | +       | +               |                |            |            |            |
| A. anophthalma Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Maka, 1977 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             |            |            |            |
| A. amblyops Sars, 1895          |             |          |         |                 |                |            |            |            |
| A. anomala Sars, 1883           |             |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. armonica Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1981 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             |            |            |            |
| A. brevicornis Costa, 1853      | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. calypsonis Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Maka, 1977 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. cavicoxa Reid, 1951          |             |          |         |                 |                | +          |            |            |
| A. daimatina Karaman, 1975      |             |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. delaytis Mills, 1967         |             |          |         |                 |                | +          |            |            |
| A. diadema Costa, 1853          | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. eschrichtii Krayer, 1842     |             |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. gibba Sars, 1883             | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             |            |            |            |
| A. heterodactyla Schellenberg, 1925 | +           |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. latifrons Schellenberg, 1925 |             |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. katanica Bellan-Santini and Marques, 1966 |             |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. marsalis Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Maka, 1977 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. multispinosa Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Maka, 1977 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. odontoplaax G. O. Sars, 1879 |             |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. parabyblisoides Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996 | +           |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. pectenata Reid, 1951         |             |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. provincialis Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Maka, 1977 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. pseudosarci Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Maka, 1977 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. pseudospinima Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Maka, 1977 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. pusilla Sars, 1895           |             |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. remora Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1986 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. rubella A. Costa, 1864       | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. ruffoi Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Maka, 1977 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. sarri Chevreux, 1886         | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. serraticaudata Chevreux, 1888 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. sorbel Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996 | +           |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| A. spinfer Reid, 1951           | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. spinimana Chevreux, 1900     | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. spinipes Boeck, 1861         | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. tenuicornis Liljeborg, 1855  | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. troncosoi Tato et al., 2012  | +           |          |         |                 | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. toulemonti Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1982 | +           | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. typica Bate, 1856            |             | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. uncinata Chevreux, 1887      |             | +        | +       | +               | +             | +          |            |            |
| A. verga Reid, 1951             |             |          |         |                 | +             |            |            |            |
| **Total**                       | 19          | 27       | 10      | 24              | 15            | 13         | 10         | 7          |

communities from the Strait of Gibraltar to the Dover Strait and at a large range of sediment types, from muddy to gravelly sediment.

A Jaccard similarity matrix among the samples was obtained and exploited by cluster analysis using the average clustering algorithm and by ordination analysis, using non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS). The Jaccard Similarity Coefficient is most appropriate to analyze our data (presence of species in geographical areas, Table 1), because it is precisely devoted to study the similarity between samples solely on the presence-absence of the species. Other presence-absence similarity coefficients could be used, but the Jaccard Coefficient.
is a classic choice, possibly one of the most used in Ecology. Moreover, the Jaccard similarity between two samples also has a very straightforward interpretation, representing the proportion of the common species to the two samples. An ordination analysis was also performed using principal components analysis (PCA), following a Hellinger transformation, this analysis allowing the joint plot of the samples (the geographical locations) and the associated species. All multivariate analysis were performed with PRIMER v.6 (Clarke and Gorley, 2006).

RESULTS

Taxonomy

A total of 40 species were recorded along the North-eastern Atlantic coast from the Strait of Gibraltar to the Strait of Dover (Appendix A in Supplementary Material). Since the publication of the Ampelisca taxonomic key from the north-eastern Atlantic by Dauvin and Bellan-Santini (1988), the number of species known to this area has increased. Two species, A. declivitatis and A. macrocephala, were added to the list of recorded species in this area (see namely Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996) and four new species were described for science (Ampelisca cavicoxa, A. parabyblisoides, A. sorbei, and A. troncosoi). The following taxonomic key includes these additions. A1 corresponds to the first pair of antennae, A2 to the second pairs and P7 to the pereiopod 7. The main morphological characters which served to the identification of Ampelisca species and used in the following key are indicated in Figure 1.

1. Dorsal sucker-like structure on pleon segment 1
   1. Without dorsal sucker-like structure on pleon segment 1.........................................................A. remora
   2. With corneal lenses .................................3
   3. P7, with a large posterior lobe on merus
      3. P7 without large posterior lobe on merus. .................................................................................A. uncinata
   4. Epimera l plate 3 with a tooth........................................5
   5. Uropod 2 rami with a long subterminal spine .............................................................................. A. odontoplax
   6. Uropod 2, rami with few short spines, telson dorsal surface with spines A. ambylops
   7. P7 basis, margin distally excavate A. heterodactyla
   8. A1 length > head + 3 anterior segments of pereon........................................................................8
   9. A1 length < head + 3 anterior segments of pereon...................................................................... 9
   10. Al = A2..................................................................................A. pusilla
   11. Only one pair of corneal lenses, head with a rostrum, antennae short A. troncosoi
   12. P7, basis outer surface with numerous spines, urosome 1 with a peak-ended keel. ....................... A. spinifer
   13. Blots of black pigment behind corneal lenses; P7, ischial to dactylus cylindrical.......................... A. rubella
   14. Head without black pigments A. anophthalma

9. Al, first two articles of peduncle equal in length; first segment of urosome with a slight carina.................. A. declivitatis

10. Al < ped A2.............................................................................. A. parabyblisoides

11. Two pairs of corneal lenses 12
12. P7, basis outer surface with numerous spines, urosome 1 with a peak-ended keel. ....................... A. spinifer
13. Blots of black pigment behind corneal lenses; P7, ischial to dactylus cylindrical.......................... A. rubella
14. P7 merus with a large posterior lobe 15
15. P7 merus without large posterior lobe 16
16. Urosome 1 with a peak-ended keel. 18

17. Form of the telson and absence of presence of dorsal spines and setae. 19
18. Form of the telson and absence of presence of dorsal spines and setae. 20
19. Length of the rami of the uropod 3. 15. Postero distal form of the epimeral 2 and 3.
16. Epimeral plate 3, posterior margin sinusous, postero-distal angle with a large tooth  
    *A. brevicornis*

16. Epimeral plate 3, posterior margin sinusous, posterior distal angle with a small or moderate tooth

17. Urosome seg. 1 with a cockscomb dorsal keel  
    *A. pectenata*

17. Urosome seg. 1 with a small convex carina  
    *A. cavicoxa*

18. Head, anterior half narrow
     19.
     20. Head different
     21. A2 = body length; without distinguished *A. latifrons*
     22. Uropode 3, inner ramus dENTICULATE or serrulate
     23. Uropode 3, inner ramus tapered not denticulate or serrulate. 
     24. A1 subegall to A2  
    *A. unidentata*

21. A2 < body length; no carina high and rounded *A. provincialis*

22. Uropode 3, inner ramus denticulate or serrulate 

23. P7, merus not prolonged anteriorly in peg-shape  
    *A. serraticaudata*

23. P7, merus prolonged anteriorly in large peg-shape  

24. A1 subegall to A2  

24. A1 shorter than A2  
    *A. lusitanica*

25. A2 > body  

25. A2 < body  

26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 
    27. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines  

26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines  

27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal spines increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior margin notched  
    *A. eschrichtii*

27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subterminal spine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded  
    *A. macrocephala*

28. Uropode 2 fringed with numerous small spines  

28. Uropode 2 with few small spines  

29. A2 longer than body length  

29. A2 shorter than body length  

30. Urosome 1 with high carina dorsally bisinuate. Uropode 2 rami fringed regularly on both sides by rows of small spines  
    *A. multispinosa*

30. Urosome 1 with small rounded carina. Uropode 2 rami not regularly fringed with small spines 

31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle  
    *A. ruffoi*

31. A1 slightly longer than A2 peduncle  
    *A. pseudospinimana*

32. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle. Head with antero-distal margin broadly round  
    *A. tenuicornis*

32. A1 longer than A2 peduncle  

33. A1 slightly longer than A2 peduncle. Epimeral plate 3 rounded  
    *A. diadema*

34. A1 longer than A2 peduncle and equal to half length of A2. Epimeral plate 3 quadrate  

34. A2 shorter than half length of body. Epimeral 2 postero-distal angle with a small tooth  
    *A. armoricana*

34. A2 longer than half length of body. Epimeral 2 postero-distal angle rounded  
    *A. spinipes*

35. P3-4 dactylus shorter than carpus + propodus  

35. P3-4 dactylus longer than carpus + propodus  

36. Epimeral plate 2 postero-distal angle with a distinct tooth  
    *A. verga*

36. Epimeral plate 2 postero-distal angle rounded  
    *A. aequorinensis*

37. Gnathopode 1 with large spines on palm  
    *A. spinimana*

37. Gnathopode 1 without spine on palm  

38. Urosome seg. 1 with prominent carina

38. Urosome seg. 1 with moderate carina

39. A1 longer than half A2. Telson distal angle with a large tooth  
    *A. anomala*

39. A1 shorter than half A2. Telson distal surface inermous. Epimera 1 plate 2 rounded  
    *A. calypsonis*

40. Urosome seg. 1 with pronounced angular carina. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle  
    *A. typica*

40. Urosome seg. 1 with a raiser high dorsal carina, posterior edge overflowing. A1 slightly longer than A2 peduncle  
    *A. toulemonti*

41. A1 equal to A2 length  

41. A1 shorter than A2, Urosome seg. 1 with a high rounded carina  
    *A. massiliensis*

42. A1 and A2 longer than body length. P7 merus prolonged anteriorly in peg-shape covering a part of carpus  
    *A. calypsonis*

42. A1 and A2 nearly equal to body length. P7 merus without lobe  
    *A. dalmatina.*

**DISTRIBUTION**

A total of 40 *Ampelisca* species are currently known from the study area, comprehending the North-eastern Atlantic coast between the Strait of Gibraltar, in Southern Spain, to the Strait of Dover, in the Eastern part of the English Channel (*Figure 2*). The presence of these 40 species were reported to eight geographical locations, indicated in *Table 1*, following the studies consulted and mentioned in Appendix A in *Supplementary Material*.

The number of species varied from a maximum of 27 along the Portuguese coast, to a minimum of 7, in the eastern part of the English Channel. The second richest area was the southern part of the Bay of Biscay with 24 species, including eight deep-water species. There was a clear reduction of the number of species from the south to the north and undoubtedly a lack of data for two areas: the southern Atlantic coast of Spain and Galicia.

Five species were present in all areas: *A. brevicornis, A. diadema, A. spinipes, A. tenuicornis*, and *A. typica*. *A. pectenata* showed also a large distribution but was absent in Galicia and Southern Spain. Twelve species were recorded only in the southern part of the study area; most were Mediterranean and recorded in the Atlantic up to the southern coast of Portugal, in Algarve (Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1991, 1993; Sampaio et al., 2016): *A. anophthalma, A. calypsonis, A. dalmatina, A. latifrons,*
A. lusitanica, A. massiliensis, A. multispinosa, A. provincialis, A. pseudosarsi, A. pseudospinima, A. ruffoi and A. verga.

Ampelisca aequicornis was present only in the Bay of Biscay.

The Southern species were distributed in the northern areas up to Galicia such as A. serraticaudenta or the southern part of the Bay of Biscay such as A. heterodactyla, A. rubella and A. serraticaudenta. Ampelisca gibba was absent in the English Channel probably due to the absence of a mud habitat in this megalid sea due to high hydrodynamics and dominance of coarse sediments. Three species, A. armoricana, A. sarsi, A. spinimana were absent in the eastern part of the English Channel. Five species showed a limited spatial distribution, possibly due to lack of data or because they were recently described: A. caxicoca, A. remora, A. sorbei, A. troncosoi and A. toulemonti.

Eight deep-water species were only reported in the bathyal part of the Bay of Biscay, possibly because of the deeper sampling solely performed in this study location: A. amblyops, A. anomala, A; declivitatis, A. eshrichiti, A. parabyblisoides, A. odontolax, A. pusilla and A. uncinata.

Among the new observations in the study area, Ampelisca verga, a West African species originally described as a variety of A. aequicornis by Reid (1951, in Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1985) off Dakar, Senegal, was recorded in the Algarve coast, southern Portugal, setting a new northern distribution limit for this species (Sampaio et al., 2016). Ampelisca toulemonti, described from one female coming from the Iroise Sea (Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1982), was recorded in the eastern part of the Rade de Cherbourg in the North Cotentin, setting the eastern most location of this species in the English Channel (Andres et al., 2020).

Among the 40 species (Appendix A in Supplementary Material), 17 were found only on the Continental Shelf, 16 were recorded both on the Continental Shelf and the Continental slope at depths up to 510 m. Seven species were strictly bathyal with a maximum sampling depth of 1,097 m.

A data matrix representing Table 1, 40 species × 8 locations, was analyzed to study the faunal resemblance among the samples representing the geographical locations.

The dendogram (Figure 3) showed high similarity of the fauna between the Iroise Sea and South Brittany, and between Southern Spain and Portugal. This cluster analysis showed two main groups, which were split at a similarity level of 35%, the southern group, including Southern Spain and Portugal, from the northern group, with the other locations. Within this group, at a level of 40% the South Eastern Bay of Biscay was separated, and at 50% Galicia was also separated from the other northern locations.

The nMDS (Figure 2) showed the opposition, along the horizontal axis, of the most northern and southern groups. The central locations (Bay of Biscay and Galicia) appeared in a transition position along this axis, between the most southern and northern. The vertical axis isolated the Bay of Biscay. A similar ordination solution was shown by the PCA analysis (Figure 4), with the succession along the horizontal axis, from left to right, of the most northern to the most southern locations, with the separation on the positive pole of axis 2 of the samples from Bay of Biscay. This analysis also depicted the species, as vectors. The southern group (South Spain and Portugal) was characterized by 11 species, most of them having a Mediterranean distribution. The Western and the Eastern English Channel, the Iroise Sea and South Brittany areas were characterized by seven species, largely distributed along the south/north gradient and present in the northern areas. The south eastern Bay of Biscay was characterized by 17 species, among which the deep-water Ampelisca species.

**ECOLOGY**

**Abundance**

The denser populations of Ampelisca were registered in the northern part of the study area (Table 2). Most of the species occurred rarely and were found in very low numbers of individuals, which, for some species, corresponded only to the specimens used for their description. With the exception of A. lusitanica and A. rubella, which occurred on hard-bottom, Ampelisca were mostly found on soft-bottom sediments, ranging from mud to gravel (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b, 1989). Most of the species recorded in the study area inhabited mainly muddy sand and sandy mud habitats and were abundant only in...
FIGURE 3 | Cluster analysis (dendrogram) and ordination analysis (nMDS) of the Jaccard similarity matrix among the Ampelisca samples representing the geographical areas depicted in Figure 2.

FIGURE 4 | Principal components analysis of the Ampelisca data from Table 1. The analysis was performed with the full set of species but the figure excluded five species with eigenvectors below 0.1 (A. eschrichtii, A. latifrons, A. lusitanica, A. sarsi and A. verga). The vectors representing the species were amplified (x4) to clarify their position in relation to the samples.
TABLE 2 | Ampelisca occurrence of the species classified in three categories: rare, few records; common: numerous records, and very common species in most of the shallow soft-bottom communities.

| Species            | Occurrence | Maximum abundance in m² or number of know individuals in the area* | Area and depth                      | References                      |
|--------------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| A. aequicornis     | rare       | 3                                                                | SE Bay of Biscay, 125-300 m        | Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996  |
| A. anophthalma     | rare       | 57*                                                              | Portugal, 500 m                    | Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1993 |
| A. amblyops        | rare       | 1*                                                               | Off Galicia, 512 m                 | Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1986  |
| A. anomala         | rare       | 46*                                                              | SE Bay of Biscay, 107-300 m        | Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996  |
| A. armoricana      | common     | 31,500                                                           | English Channel, Bay of Morlaix, 17 m | Dauvin, 1988d                    |
| A. brevicornis     | very common| 660                                                              | English Channel, Bay of Saint Brieux, 10 m | Le Mao, 2006                    |
| A. calypsonis      | rare       | 14                                                              | Portugal, 49-168 m                 | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. cavicosa        | rare       | 21*                                                              | SE Bay of Biscay, 14-50 m          | Kaim-Maika, 2000                 |
| A. daimitina       | rare       | 17                                                              | Portugal, 53-103 m                 | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. declivitatis    | rare       | 8*                                                               | SE Bay of Biscay, 120-300 m        | Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996  |
| A. diadema         | very common| 180                                                              | English Channel, North Cotentin, 13 m | Andres et al., 2020             |
| A. eschrichtii     | rare       | 1*                                                               | Offshore Brittany, 250 m           | Bellan-Santini and Dauvin (1988b) |
| A. gibba           | rare       | 48*                                                              | SE Bay of Biscay, 346-1,024 m      | Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996  |
| A. heterodactyla   | rare       | 150                                                              | Portugal, 38-58 m                  | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. latifrons       | rare       | 2                                                               | Portugal, 4-408 m                  | Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1991 |
| A. lusitanica      | rare       | 39*                                                              | Portugal, 8-37 m                   | Bellan-Santini and Marques, 1986 |
| A. massalensis     | rare       | 1*                                                               | Portugal, 110-360 m                | Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1991 |
| A. multispinosa    | rare       | 1*                                                               | Portugal, 137 m                    | Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1993 |
| A. odontoplaxis    | rare       | 1*                                                               | Bay of Biscay, 1,000 m             | Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1986  |
| A. parabyblisoides | rare       | 3*                                                               | SE Bay of Biscay, 300 m            | Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996  |
| A. pectenata       | common     | 17                                                               | Portugal, 56-182 m                 | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. provincialis    | rare       | 26                                                               | Portugal, 26-53 m                  | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. pseudosarsi     | rare       | 17                                                               | Portugal, 26-140 m                 | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. pseudospinima   | rare       | 2                                                                | Portugal, 16-25 m                  | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. pusilla         | rare       | 18*                                                              | SE Bay of Biscay, 740-1,097 m      | Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996  |
| A. remora          | rare       | 440                                                              | Portugal, 26-99 m                  | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. rubella         | rare       | 9*                                                               | Portugal, 0-130 m                  | Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1993 |
| A. ruffoi          | rare       | 21                                                               | Portugal, 97-136 m                 | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. sarsi           | common     | 17,500                                                           | English Channel, Bay of Morlaix, 17 m | Dauvin, unpublished data        |
| A. senmaticaudata  | rare       | 5*                                                               | Portugal, 52 m                     | Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1991 |
| A. sorbei          | rare       | 1*                                                               | SE Bay of Biscay, 120 m            | Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996  |
| A. spinifer        | common     | 15                                                               | Portugal, 53-147 m                 | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. spinimana       | common     | 109                                                              | English Channel, Bay of Morlaix, 12 m | Dauvin et al., 1993            |
| A. spinipes        | very common| 270                                                              | Portugal, 26-179 m                 | Sampaio et al., 2016             |
| A. tenuicornis     | very common| 40,000                                                           | English Channel, Whig Island, 7-9 m | Sheader, 1998                   |
| A. troncosoi       | rare       | 114                                                              | Galicia, 11 m                      | Tato et al., 2012                |
| A. toulemonti      | rare       | 63                                                               | English Channel, North Cotentin, 11 m | Andres et al., 2020          |
| A. typica          | very common| 2000                                                             | English Channel, Bay of Morlaix, 17 m | Dauvin, 1988c                  |
| A. uncinata        | rare       | 28*                                                              | SE Bay of Biscay, 680-1,097 m      | Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996  |
| A. verga           | rare       | 13                                                               | Portugal, 25-94 m                  | Sampaio et al., 2016             |

Maximum abundance in m² or number of know individuals, area and depth of records. *Correspond to the number of know individuals in the area.

shallow muddy fine sand sediment (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988a, 1989; Sampaio et al., 2016).

The common species formed abundant populations in the English Channel. *Amplexus brevicornis* reached 500 ind.m⁻² in October 1982 in the Bay of Morlaix Bay, 403 ind.m⁻² in July 1978 and 370 ind.m⁻² in October 1979 in the Rance (Dauvin, 1988b). An abundant population was also reported in the subtidal fine sand of the Bay of Saint Brieux with 660 ind.m⁻² (Le Mao, 2006).

*Amplexus tenuicornis* formed very high abundance in the Rance, with 6,020 ind.m⁻² in summer 1978, 3,870 ind.m⁻² in summer 1979 and 2,830 ind.m⁻² in summer 1980 (Dauvin, 1988a), and showed a peak of abundance at the end of September 1996 with 25,000 ind.m⁻² (Desroy, 1998). In the Bay of...
Morlaix, its abundance reached 4,000 ind.m$^{-2}$ in October 1977 (Dauvin, 1988a) and 17,500 ind.m$^{-2}$ in October 1997 (Dauvin, unpublished data). Long-term study at a fine muddy-sand site to the east of the Isle of Wight on the south coast of England (depth 7–9 m) its abundance reached a maximum of about 40,000 ind.m$^{-2}$ in late summer (Sheader, 1998). In the North Cotentin, the population reached 9,000 ind.m$^{-2}$ in the North Cotentin (Andres et al., 2020), while its underpassed 1,000 ind.m$^{-2}$ in the eastern part of the Bay of Seine (Alizier, 2011).

In the Bay of Morlaix, at the Pierre Noire Station located on an 	extit{Abra alba} fine sand community, the 	extit{Ampelisca} populations showed very high abundances, with 31,500 ind.m$^{-2}$ for 	extit{A. armoricana} in October 1977 (Dauvin, 1988d), 17,500 ind.m$^{-2}$ in October 1997 for 	extit{A. tenuicornis} in October 1997 (Dauvin, unpublished data), 6,640 ind.m$^{-2}$ in October 1987 (Dauvin, 1989), and 17,500 ind.m$^2$ in October 1994 (unpublished data) for 	extit{A. sarsi}, and 2,000 ind.m$^2$ in October 1987 for 	extit{A. typica} (Dauvin, 1988c).

Along the Portugal coast, 	extit{Ampelisca armoricana} populations reached 1,050 ind.m$^{-2}$, while those of 	extit{A. brevicornis} showed an abundance of 640 ind.m$^{-2}$ and those of 	extit{A. remora} 440 ind.m$^{-2}$ (Tables 2, 3).

**Sympatry in Ampelisca**

	extit{Ampelisca} populations often occur together, so that their distribution areas overlap or coincide. Several species can occur together in the same habitat and it is possible to identify up to eight species in 1974 in the same station in the Bay of Concarneau, South Brittany (Mesneguen, 1980) and nine species in two stations off the Portugal coast in 2007–2008 (Table 3).

In the western English Channel, Bay of Morlaix, nine species were recorded: 	extit{A.armoricana}, 	extit{A. brevicornis}, 	extit{A. diadema}, 	extit{A. sarsi}, 	extit{A. spinipes}, 	extit{A. spinimana}, 	extit{A. pectenata}, 	extit{A. tenuicornis} and 	extit{A. typica} (Dauvin et al., 1993). In 1977, on a subtidal fine sand community of this bay, three species were dominant 	extit{A. armoricana}, 	extit{A. sarsi} et 	extit{A. tenuicornis} (several thousands of individuals per m$^2$) representing 90% of the abundance, 38% of the biomass and 50% of the secondary production of the community (Dauvin et al., 1993). The 	extit{Ampelisca} diversity (eight recorded species) and abundance were lower (< 100 ind.m$^{-2}$, cf. Table 3) in a muddy sand community of the Bay of Morlaix at the same period. The 	extit{Ampelisca} were shown to be very sensitive to hydrocarbon pollution and as a consequence to the Amoco Cadiz oil spill, which occurred in March 1978 in North Brittany (western English Channel), the species disappeared in the fine sand community (Dauvin, 1988a,b,c,d; Dauvin, 1989). Similar population collapse was also observed following the Aegean oil spill in the North of Galicia, Spain (Gomez Gesteira and Dauvin, 2005). In 1990, 12 years after the Amoco Cadiz oil spill, the abundances of the 	extit{Ampelisca} were at the same order of magnitude of those observed before the incident (Table 3). 	extit{Ampelisca sarsi} dominated the community, replacing 	extit{A. armoricana} which became the second most abundant species, while 	extit{A. tenuicornis} remained the third most abundant; the three other species showed lower abundances before and after the spill. The long-term survey of the colonization of 	extit{Ampelisca} illustrated the high resilience of these holobenthic species, without pelagic larvae, but with high capacity to reconstitute their populations (Dauvin et al., 1993).

In other northern sites, the 	extit{Ampelisca} also showed sympatric distribution; nevertheless, the abundances of the populations never surpassed 500 ind.m$^{-2}$ (Table 3).

On the 326 stations off the Portuguese continental shelf, 	extit{Ampelisca} were found in 221 stations (68% of the sampled stations) for a total of 19 species and the dominance of five species 	extit{A. armoricana}, 	extit{A. brevicornis}, 	extit{A. spinimana}, 	extit{A. spinipes} and 	extit{A. tenuicornis}. From two to nine species were found in 60% of the stations, while only one species was recorded in 40% of the stations. Nine stations accounted five species, nine other six species, four seven species, four eight species and finally nine species had been found in two stations (Table 3). The total abundances of such sympatric populations were high and included between 1,240 and 1,920 ind. m$^{-2}$ (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

**Taxonomy and Distribution**

The North-eastern Atlantic Coast between the Strait of Gibraltar and the Strait of Dover registers 40 	extit{Ampelisca} species, which is more than the total number of 	extit{Ampelisca} recorded for the Mediterranean Sea, 28 species (Bellan-Santini and Ruffo, 2003). The number of species reached was on the same order of magnitude along the Portuguese coast with 27 species where there is a mixture of Mediterranean, Atlantic and African faunas. The second richest area was the southern part of the Bay of Biscay with 24 species, including eight deep-water species, and 16 species habiting the continental shelf, number which remained lower than those of the Portuguese continental shelf.

The biodiversity of 	extit{Ampelisca} showed a clear decrease from the south to the north in the studied area.

The 	extit{Ampelisca} taxonomy is well-established for this North-Atlantic area, and almost 50% of the species (17) had already been described by the end of the nineteenth century, with an extra 19 species during the second part of the twentieth century, while a single species, 	extit{Ampelisca troncosai} Tato et al. (2012) was recently described.

Presently, new species of 	extit{Ampelisca} at the scale of the world are mainly described in the tropical zone of the Pacific Ocean and in the Indian Ocean (World Register of Marine Species, consulted on November 1st, 2020). In the early years of the twentieth century, the amphipod fauna of the European part of the North-Atlantic Ocean was amongst the better known worldwide, including the 	extit{Ampelisca} mainly due to the large number of new species descriptions by G.O. Sars, and E. Chevreux at the end of the nineteenth and the beginning of the twentieth century. Numerous new species were then described at the end of the twentieth century by D. Bellan-Santini and her co-authors, mainly for the Mediterranean Sea and surrounding areas (North Africa, Portugal and Spain). Therefore, the discovery of new 	extit{Ampelisca} species for science in the study area is improbable. Nevertheless, genetic studies could be used to elucidate the existence of species hidden in “complex” species with a large geographical distribution.
### TABLE 3 | Abundance of Ampelisca species in number of ind. m² in some stations where the species were in sympatry from the English Channel, Bay of Biscay and Portugal coasts.

| English Channel | Bay of Morlaix | Bay of Saint-Brieuc | North Cotentin | Bay of Seine |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Pierre Noire    | Rivière de Morlaix | Spring 2008 | March 2015 | September 2008, station 14 |
| October 1977    | August 1977 |                     |                |             |
| A. armoricana   | 20161        | A. armoricana      | 40             | A. brevicornis 15 | A. brevicornis 126 |
| A. sarsi        | 4868         | A. tenuicornis     | 15             | A. diadema     6 | A. diadema 4 |
| A. tenuicornis  | 3962         | A. spiniman        | 10             | A. sarsi       30 | A. tenuicornis 108 |
| A. brevicornis  | 75           | A. brevicornis     | 20             | A. spiniman    47 | A. typica 2 |
| A. typica       | 32           |                    |                | A. tenuicornis 91 |             |
| A. spinipes     | 10           |                    |                |              |             |
| Total           | 29108        | Total              | 47             | Total         | 425         |
|                 |              |                    |                | Total         | 240         |

| October 1990    | August 1989 |                     |                |             |
| A. armoricana   | 9612        | A. armoricana       | 7              | A. diadema   23 | A. brevicornis 66 |
| A. sarsi        | 11188       | A. sarsi           | 7              | A. spinipes 17 | A. diadema 4 |
| A. tenuicornis  | 1069        | A. tenuicornis     | 1              | A. tenuicornis 17 | A. tenuicornis 128 |
| A. brevicornis  | 163         | A. brevicornis     | 119            | A. typica 17 |             |
| A. typica       | 136         | A. spiniman        | 20             | A. tenuicornis 128 |             |
| A. spinipes     | 6           | A. spinipes        | 1              | A. tenuicornis 128 |             |
| Total           | 22154       | Total              | 155            | Total         | 104         |
|                 |              |                    |                | Total         | 200         |

| Bay of Biscay   | Concarneau, 1974 | Quiberon, 2018 | Lorient, 2018 | Station B54, August 1979 | Station 300, April 1985 |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| A. armoricana   | 162              | A. armoricana  | 46             | A. armoricana 35        | A. anomala 20          |
| A. brevicornis  | 79               | A. diadema    | 13             | A. brevicornis 38       | A. anomala 20          |
| A. diadema      | 35               | A. sarsi      | 173            | A. diadema 10          | A. anomala 20          |
| A. sarsi        | 18               | A. spiniman   | 10             | A. sarsi 20            | A. anomala 20          |
| A. spiniman     | 50               | A. tenuicornis| 32             | A. spinipes 10         | A. anomala 20          |
| A. spinipes     | 14               | A. typica    | 18             | A. typica 20           | A. anomala 20          |
| A. tenuicornis  | 52               | A. typica    | 54             | A. spiniman 4          | A. anomala 20          |
| A. typica       | 69               | A. spinipes  | 1              | A. spinipes 4           | A. anomala 20          |
| Total           | 479              | Total         | 292            | Total                  | 133                    |
|                 |                  |                |                |                        |                        |

(Continued)
### TABLE 3 | Continued

| English Channel          | Bay of Morlaix | Bay of Saint-Brieuc | North Cotentin | Bay of Seine |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|
|                          | Pierre Noire  | Rivière de Morlaix |                |              |
|                          | October 1977  | August 1977         | Spring 2008    | March 2015   |
|                          | Concarneau, 2018 |                |                | September 2008, station 14 |
|                          |                |                    |                |              |
| A. armoricana             | 24             |                    |                |              |
| A. sarsi                  | 23             |                    |                |              |
| A. spinipes               | 30             |                    |                |              |
| A. tenuicornis            | 10             |                    |                |              |
| Total                     | 87             |                    |                |              |
| Station B54, November 1979 |                |                    | A. armoricana | A. anomala 22 |
| A. brevicipitis           | 1              |                    | A. anomala 22  |              |
| A. spinimana              | 3              |                    | A. anomala 22  |              |
| A. spinipes               | 3              |                    | A. anomala 22  |              |
| A. parabyblisoides        | 3              |                    | A. anomala 22  |              |
| Total                     | 17             |                    | A. anomala 22  |              |
| Portugal, 2017-2018       | Station G8     | Station G18         | Station G16    | Station G26 |
| A. armoricana             | 500            | A. armoricana       | 750            | A. armoricana | 1050 |
| A. brevicipitis           | 310            | A. brevicipitis     | 580            | A. brevicipitis | 50 |
| A. diadema               | 10             | A. heterodactyla    | 150            | A. heterodactyla | 30 |
| A. heterodactyla          | 100            | A. remora           | 140            | A. remora | 430 |
| A. provincialis           | 20             | A. ruffoi           | 20             | A. ruffoi | 10 |
| A. remora                 | 130            | A. sarsi            | 80             | A. sarsi | 70 |
| A. sarsi                  | 40             | A. spinimana        | 20             | A. spinimana | 270 |
| A. spinimana              | 60             | A. spinipes         | 140            | A. spinipes | 10 |
| A. spinipes               | 70             | A. tenuicornis      | 10             | A. tenuicornis | 50 |
| Total                     | 1240           | 1890                | 1920           | 1270         | 1370 |
such as *Ampelisca brevicornis*, for which sub-species could be morphologically distinguished (Kaim-Malka, 2000).

**Changes in the Species Biology and Distribution in Relation to Climatic Changes**

Climatic changes with an increase in sea water temperature along the North-eastern Atlantic coasts could affect both the distribution and the biology of the *Ampelisca* species.

Concerning the distribution, species tend to extend north their geographical reach, as observed for *A. armoricana*, *A. sarsi*, *A. spinimana* and *A. toulemonti* in the eastern part of the English Channel, and *A. aequicornis* and *A. spinifer* in the English Channel. Southern species presently known to occur along the North Atlantic coast of Africa could progress north and reach the south of Spain and the Portuguese coast, such as *A. bidentata*, *A. ctenopus*, *A. hupferi*, *A. monoculata*, *A. palmata* and *A. senegalensis* (Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1988). In both areas, the south of the Iberian Peninsula and the English Channel, encouragement should be given to identify the *Ampelisca* up to species level, in order to fully grasp such geographical changes in target species.

Concerning the biology, two reproductive cycles are known in the *Ampelisca* species (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b). Univoltine cycles occurs namely in *A. armoricana* (Dauvin, 1988d) and *A. sarsi* (Dauvin, 1989). Females are ovigerous at the end of spring and release their young in summer, which reproduce only 1 year later, leading to a single generation and class per reproductive year. Other species present a bivoltine cycle such as *A. tenuicornis* (Dauvin, 1988a) and *A. typica* (Dauvin, 1988c). In these, the females are ovigerous at the end of winter-beginning of spring and release their young in spring, which reproduce at the end of summer, beginning of autumn, leading to two generations and one class per reproductive year. Some species are known to show both cycles, depending on the environmental conditions. This is the case of *A. brevicornis*, which showed both reproductive cycles in the English Channel depending on the sea water temperature, with a bivoltine cycle in years with warmer spring (Dauvin, 1988b), while being solely bivoltine in the Mediterranean Sea (Kaim-Malka, 1969). Sea water increase notably in spring could favor a bivoltine reproductive cycle in the future, which will change the secondary production of such amphipods.

**Sympathy and Syntopy in Ampelisca**

Rivas (1964) defined sympatric and syntopic species, which corresponded, respectively to “the reference to two or more related species which have the same or overlapping geographic distributions, regardless of whether or not they occupy the same macrohabitats (whether or not the species occurred together the same locality),” and “in reference to two or more related species which occupy the same macrohabitat. These species occur together in the same locality, are observably in close proximity, and could possibly interbreed.” The large geographical and local distributions of *Ampelisca* species illustrate plainly these both concepts. With a high species richness at the scale of a region, such as along the Portuguese coast with the overlapping of 27 species, and the number of species occurring in the same benthic habitat, such as on Brittany and Portuguese soft-bottom habitats, with up to eight species in the same habitat in South Brittany and nine species in two stations from the Portuguese continental shelf (Table 3).

Co-occurrence, sympathy and syntopy of amphipods were not very common and mainly described to species living in the intertidal zone or very shallow waters such as the Haustoriidae, where five species cohabited on the New Hampshire intertidal zone (Croker, 1967), the Gammaridae with the case of five species of *Gammarnus* coexisting in Danish brackish waters (Kolding and Fenchel, 1979), Pontoporeiidae with two *Pontoporeia* co-occurring in the Swedish Baltic Sea (Hill and Elmgren, 1987), Hylaidae where six phylal amphipod species of the genus *Hyale* occurring on the intertidal rocky shores of Coquimbo, Chile (Lancelotti and Trucco, 1993), Talidiidae with *Talorchestia brito* and of two age classes of *Talitrus saltator* co-existing along the French Atlantic coast (Fallaci et al., 1999) while eight species were collected in some Tunisian lagoons (Jelassi et al., 2015), and Ischyroceridae with three species of the genus *Jassa* co-occurring on a wide range of hard substrates in the Helgoland Island in the south of the North Sea (Jelassi et al., 2015).

In the Bay of Belfast, Parker (1984) examined the distribution of *Ampelisca brevicornis*, *A. tenuicornis* and *A. typica*. He showed that these species could live in the same biotope and had no sedimentary preferences in this region, contrary to Sheeder (1977) who showed marked sedimentary preferences for two species *A. brevicornis* and *A. tenuicornis* in the sandy-muddy bottoms of north-eastern England, in the North Sea.

Few studies, such as that of Buhl-Jensen (1986) on the coasts of Norway had showed the coexistence in the same samples of two to four species of *Ampelisca* although one species, *A. gibba* presented high abundances in three of the 21 sampling stations. In the Bay of Concarneau (southern Brittany), Mesneguen (1980) recorded until eight species per station in 1974, but the abundance of the species remained lower than those observed in the Bay of Morlaix in the western entrance of the English Channel in 1977 (Table 3). The *Ampelisca* Portuguese continental shelf fauna appeared particularly rich with 19 species recorded in the sampling campaigns in 2007–2008 covered the entire Portugal coast with a more dense number of stations in the North (Sampaio et al., 2016). This was in this location that nine *Ampelisca* species in a single grab (0.1 m²) were sampled, while between seven to nine species were found in 10 stations. This co-occurrence of *Ampelisca* species in a single grab per station was at our knowledge a record and was incomparable.

Along the North-America Pacific coast from the Baja California to the Bering Sea, large *Ampelisca* populations coexisted but only a single or a couple of species dominate the soft-bottom communities: *A. macrocephala* and *A. eschirichtii* in the Bering Sea, *A. agassizi* and *A. careyi* off Vancouver Island (Canada), while in the Baja California only *A. agassizi* was present (Oliver et al., 1983).

Schaffner and Boesch (1982) studied the spatial distribution of *A. agassizi* and *A. vadorum* in the palaeo-dunes of the continental shelf off New Jersey (USA), which reach 6 m high.
The simultaneous sampling of these two species was strongly linked to the exact position of the sample on the dunes: the deep depressions were almost exclusively populated by *A. agassizi*, reaching in this habitat abundances of 10,000 individuals per m². The shallower depressions and the sides of the dunes were populated by both species. The authors suggested that *A. agassizi* could be more capable to use nutrient resources.

In the end, if the coexistence of *Ampelisca* species seems to exist most often, the coexistence of large populations such as those observed in Morlaix Bay seems exceptional.

**Perspectives**

In conclusion, the following research recommendations for the European *Ampelisca* can be put forward:

- *Ampelisca* species with a wide geographical distribution should be further studied using molecular tools in order to elucidate their taxonomy. Such studies could focus in the species *A. brevicornis*, *A. diadema*, *A. tenuicornis*, *A. typica* and *A. spinipes*;
- Encourage ecologists to identify *Ampelisca* to species level in order to increase the overall knowledge on their distribution, including the geographical ranges;
- Study in detail, and possibly experimentally, the reasons behind the important sympatry in *Ampelisca* and how it relates namely to resources competition;
- Verify if trophic guilds, namely suspension feeders and surface deposit feeders, present distinct morphological characteristics, such as length of antennae, presence of setae, and number of setae, and if such adaptations could account for the coexistence of several species without trophic competition;
- Conduct population biology studies at historical sites where the species were previously studied in order to examine the effects of climate change, namely increased temperature in coastal waters, on the life history traits of the *Ampelisca*.
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