Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a novel human respiratory virus with the first reported cluster of cases documented in Wuhan, China on 31 December 2019. After its initial spread, it was officially declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization on 11 March 2020, and is the fifth documented pandemic since the 1918 Influenza. As of 13 April 2021, there have been over 137 million cases worldwide with 31 million in the United States (mortality rates of 2.2% and 1.8%, respectively) and continued viral spread expected in the coming months [1]. One of the defining features of the virus is its unpredictable course, with some people living as asymptomatic vectors while others suffer severe illness or even death. An emerging body of evidence suggests that people with co-morbidities are more susceptible to severe manifestations of the virus, with oncology patients being particularly vulnerable. Cancer patients have a higher SARS-CoV-2-associated mortality rate when compared to non-cancer patients (20% to 11% [2]). With the rising prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infections, there is a pressing need for interventions to protect oncology patients, including gynecologic oncology patients.

Recently, two mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccines were granted Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [3] based on data reported in two clinical trials that demonstrated 94–95% efficacy for the prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the non-cancer population [4, 5]. Expedited distribution of these vaccines is currently underway in the United States with administration according to Centers for Disease Control (CDC)/Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) prioritization of recipients delegated to individual states [6]. Despite being listed as high priority (CDC Phase Ib), cancer patients were excluded from these pivotal phase III safety and efficacy trials. This clearly complicates decisions about if and when to vaccinate oncology patients. As such, oncology patients receiving the vaccine will require additional supporting evidence. This may include studies from other populations included in the trials, evidence from other vaccines, and a risk versus benefit analysis weighing the risks of administering the vaccine to an understudied population against the known risk of the disease in a vulnerable population.

The mRNA vaccines utilize a novel mechanism of action, which is important to understand as we consider the safety profile in oncology patients. Both SARS-CoV-2 vaccines approved in the U.S. are lipid nanoparticle-formulated, nucleoside-modified mRNA vaccines encoding the prefusion spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2. The vaccine contains mRNA inside a lipid capsule, which prevents its premature breakdown and allows for uptake into antigen presenting cells. After cellular uptake, the mRNA acts as instruction for the cell to produce the spike protein specific to SARS-CoV-2 infections, there is a pressing need for interventions to protect oncology patients, including gynecologic oncology patients.
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cines, so there is no risk of contracting SARS-CoV-2 from the vaccine itself. Further, the safety profile in the published clinical trials [4, 5] is similar to other viral vaccines, including in subpopulations with other stable coexisting conditions such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B virus, or hepatitis C virus (though current HIV safety data remains limited). Commonly reported adverse events include local reactions such as pain, erythema, and swelling at the injection site and regional lymphadenopathy as well as systemic symptoms such as fever, headache, fatigue, myalgia, arthralgia, and chills [4, 5]. In clinical trials, hypersensitivity-related adverse events were observed in 0.63% of participants who received the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine and 1.5% of participants who received the Moderna COVID-19 vaccine, compared to 0.51% and 1.1%, respectively, in the placebo groups. Anaphylaxis following vaccination was not observed in the Pfizer-BioNTech or Moderna COVID-19 vaccines clinical trials. Though the vaccine safety profile in immunocompromised populations is unknown, no unique adverse effects have been noted after receiving other available inactivated vaccines [9] and the Center for Disease Control recommends immunocompromised individuals may receive COVID-19 vaccination if they have no known contraindications [6].

One concern of mRNA vaccine administration in an immunocompromised oncology population is efficacy. The primary concern is that, due to immune dysregulation or suppression, the vaccine would not generate the requisite immune response in oncology patients to provide lasting protection. While the validity of this concern is unknowable at this time, there is reason to be optimistic about vaccine effectiveness. Overall, the vaccines were 94% and 95% effective [4, 5]. Importantly, the mRNA vaccines were shown to be effective in high risk populations including stable HIV, hepatitis B, and hepatitis C patients [4, 5].

While mRNA vaccines were the first to be FDA approved, other types of vaccines have recently been approved for SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. The adenovirus 26 (Ad26) recombinant vector-based vaccine is an inactivated viral-vector vaccine sponsored by Johnson and Johnson and received emergency authorization from the FDA on February 27th, 2021 for vaccination use against the SARS-CoV-2 [10]. Ad26 has yet to be tested in oncology patients, is viral-vector based, and as of 12 April 2021 was put on pause by the CDC and FDA over blood clot concerns [11]. Given the availability of mRNA vaccines and these recent concerns, we currently do not recommend the Ad26 vaccine for our gynecology oncology patients.

There are other notable examples of inactivated vaccine use in oncology patients. Specifically, it is recommended that patients with cancer receive influenza and pneumococcal vaccines. The rationale is that the risk of developing serious complications from these infections is markedly increased in cancer patients, so even a blunted immune response following vaccination may confer clinically meaningful benefits. Other inactivated vaccines such as zoster, tetanus, diptheria, and acellular pertussis (Tdap), meningococcal, human papillomavirus (HPV), Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib), and hepatitis A and B should also be considered if indicated based on patient age and/or comorbidities. It is recommended that these vaccines be administered at least 14 days prior to initiation of chemotherapy or 3 months after completion to ensure a robust immune response [9].

Available data about the current vaccines and precedent from other vaccines points towards SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines likely being safe and effective (at least to some degree) against a virus that is reported to have excess morbidity and mortality among oncology patients [2]. Based on currently available data, we believe the anticipated benefits of vaccination outweigh the risks. In line with January 22nd, 2021 COVID-19 NCCN guidelines [12], we favor offering the mRNA vaccine to any interested gynecologic oncology patients, though providers should be transparent about the relative lack of data supporting that recommendation. These recommendations include prioritization of gynecologic oncology patients for vaccination (CDC priority group 1b/c), immunization for patients receiving active treatment, immunosuppressive therapy such as chemotherapy and radiation (with the understanding there is limited safety and efficacy data), and caregivers/household/close contacts should be immunized when possible. Current vaccine prioritization includes patients with active cancer, those planning to start treatment, and those immediately (< 6 months) post-treatment; however, patients without active cancer who are receiving hormonal therapy only would have lower prioritization. There is currently no role for revaccination or booster doses of mRNA COVID-19 vaccines after immunocompetence is restored. Antibody testing may play a future role in revaccination efforts but should not be used to screen current patients for vaccine eligibility or modify the two-dose regimens that have been studied. As with non-oncology patients, history of the SARS-CoV-2 infection is not a contraindication to vaccination, given that reinfection is possible.

Most importantly, we continue to emphasize the need for distancing, masking, and washing hands, all of which are strongly supported by available evidence and without risk to oncology patients. After vaccination, it is important to continue to follow these precautions as patients may still be at risk for infection and/or spread the virus to others.
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