**Abstract**

**Background:** The vector-borne cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is endemic in several regions of Pakistan mainly affecting poor populations. Host genetic factors, particularly SLC11A1 (solute carrier transmembrane protein) within macrophages, play a crucial role in disease pathology and susceptibility. Association of SLC11A1 with cutaneous leishmaniasis, a neglected tropical disease, is not well established. Inconsistencies have been observed within different populations worldwide with respect to genetic susceptibility. This study was designed to investigate genetic variation(s) in SLC11A1 and to assess possible association with cutaneous leishmaniasis in Pakistan.

**Results:** Eight polymorphisms (rs2276631, rs3731864, rs2290708, rs2695342, rs201565523, rs17215556, rs17235409, rs17235416) were genotyped across SLC11A1 in 274 patients and 119 healthy controls. Six polymorphisms were studied by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) and sequencing. Two single nucleotide polymorphisms were analyzed with newly designed semi-nested PCR assays. Case-control analysis showed no association between selected polymorphisms in SLC11A1 and cutaneous leishmaniasis. No significant difference was observed in the distribution of alleles between leishmaniasis patients and healthy individuals. Strong pairwise linkage disequilibrium was observed between rs2276631 and rs2290708 ($r^2 = 64$); and rs17235409 and rs17235416 ($r^2 = 78$).

**Conclusions:** This study shows that genetic variations in the candidate gene SLC11A1 do not affect susceptibility to cutaneous leishmaniasis in the sample population from Pakistan.
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**Background**

Leishmaniasis is a group of diseases with diverse clinical outcomes that range from self-healing ulcerative lesions on the skin (cutaneous leishmaniasis) to fatal visceral infection. This vector-borne tropical disease is caused by the digenetic parasitic protozoa, *Leishmania*, which exists as either flagellated promastigotes in *Phlebotomus* sand fly vectors or non-flagellated amastigotes in phagocytic cells. Disease manifestation depends upon the encounter between the invading protozoa and host organism leading to either susceptibility or resistance to the infection [1, 2]. There are over 20 different *Leishmania* species and more than 90 sand fly species responsible for leishmaniasis and parasite transmission, respectively. According to World Health Organization statistics, leishmaniasis is endemic in 98 countries, endangering 350 million people. It has an incidence of 1.3 million cases with an estimated mortality rate of 20,000 to 30,000 per year [3, 4].

In Pakistan, the visceral form of leishmaniasis is mainly restricted to the Azad Jammu Kashmir and Abbottabad regions in the north [5, 6]. The major burden lies in the form of cutaneous leishmaniasis which is reported from all parts of the country, particularly Balochistan and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Provinces [7] with a significant proportion found in children aged 14 years or less [8]. The influx of refugees from Afghanistan along the western border of Pakistan is considered as one of the contributing factors responsible for the growing number of cases in this region [9].
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* Correspondence: mariamsophie@gmail.com
1Karachi Institute of Biotechnology & Genetic Engineering (KIBGE), University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s). 2017 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.
Host genetics, in addition to the infecting Leishmania species, parasite load and environmental factors, play a crucial role in determining the type and severity of the disease [10]. Genome wide association studies have identified solute carrier family 11 member a1 (SLC11A1) gene as a strong candidate which assists in intracellular pathogen control [11]. SLC11A1 gene spans 12 kb in length comprising 15 exons. These encode a 550 amino acid protein with 10–12 predicted transmembrane domains [12]. It is localized to the phagosome membrane and is involved in the transport of divalent cations [13]. During an intracellular infection, SLC11A1 transports essential elements (Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺) vital for the survival of the parasite, from the phagolysosome into the cytosol and hence starving and restricting their growth [14]. Nucleotide analysis of SLC11A1 gene in inbred mice strains revealed a single non-synonymous amino acid substitution of glycine to aspartic acid (Gly169Asp). Mice with this mutation were unable to produce a functional protein which made them susceptible to intracellular parasites [15]. This non-conservation mutation has not yet been identified in the human homologue SLC11A1.

Restriction and subsequent resolution of intracellular parasites following phagocytosis by macrophages has made SLC11A1 a strong candidate for predisposition to different infectious diseases like tuberculosis and leprosy [16, 17]. Genetic analysis and sequencing have identified multiple genetic polymorphisms within the human homologue SLC11A1 [18]. However, these genetic variations when studied with respect to susceptibility to intracellular Leishmania protozoa reveal an inconsistent pattern across different regions of the world [18–25]. Therefore, the current study was designed to determine and analyze the genetic variation(s) in SLC11A1 gene and investigate if these polymorphism(s) are associated with cutaneous leishmaniasis in Pakistan.

Methods
Sample collection
Samples for this study were collected with informed consent over the course of three years (2010 to 2012). Subjects included 274 clinically diagnosed leishmaniasis patients presenting to local hospitals of Karachi (Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre and Sindh Institute of Skin Diseases) and Peshawar (Kuwait Teaching Hospital). Diagnosis was based on the direct microscopic visualization of stained Leishmania amastigotes from lesion exudates. Controls comprised a total of 119 healthy contacts exposed to the same environment as the patients. Patients from both genders and all ages were included in the study.

DNA isolation
Blood samples (5 ml) were collected using acid citrate dextrose (ACD) vacutainers to prevent coagulation. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes by standard phenol-chloroform method [26]. Concentration of isolated DNA was determined by spectrophotometric analysis (Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany). Genomic DNA concentrations of 50 ng/µl were prepared of all samples for genotyping analysis and stored at -20 °C till further processing.

Primer design and polymerase chain reaction
Whole gene sequence of SLC11A1 was retrieved from Ensembl database. Intron specific primers were designed which allowed the amplification of whole or multiple exons, depending on their size using the online software Primer3 [27]. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was optimized for each primer set including annealing temperature and PCR master mix concentrations. A typical PCR reaction consisted of 30 µl reaction mixture containing 1× PCR buffer, 1.5–3 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs) each, 0.4 µM of each forward and reverse primer and 1–3 units of Taq polymerase (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA). PCR cycle conditions included an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 4 min followed by 35 cycles consisting of: denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55–58 °C (specific for each primer set) for 35 s and elongation at 72 °C for 30 s, followed by a final elongation step at 72 °C for 7 min (ThermoHybaid, Needham, USA). Five microliters of PCR product was loaded onto 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 µg/ml) for electrophoresis. The PCR products were visualized under ultraviolet (UV) illumination using Gel-Documentation System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA).

Sequence analyses
Preliminary sequence analyses of at least 25 PCR samples of each amplified exon was carried out. Prior to sequencing, PCR products were purified by AccuPrep PCR Purification Kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea) using a binding column tube. The purified products were assessed on 2% agarose gel to confirm a successful purification reaction. These were then sequenced using ABI 3730XL DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, USA). Sequenced products were later aligned and analyzed using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software [28].

Genotyping
Sequenced products were scanned for DNA sequence variations including substitutions, insertions or deletions. Eight nucleotide variations were identified. These included two silent substitutions; one in exon 3 (274C/T) and the other in exon 9 (825A/G); a substitution in intron 5 (577-18G/A) and intron 7 (639 + 22C/T); 3 missense mutations (A318V in exon 9, V443A in exon 13, D543N in exon 15)
and a four base pair TGTG deletion (1729 + 55del4) in the 3′ untranslated region (3′ UTR). Based on these polymorphisms, restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) experiments were designed.

Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP)

RFLP was performed for six of the above mentioned single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for verification of these SNPs in the remaining sample population (Additional file 1: Table S1). These included rs2276631 (274C/T) in exon 3, rs3731864 (577-18G/A) in intron 5, rs2695342 (825A/G) in exon 9, rs201565523 (A318V) in exon 15 and rs17235416 (1729 + 55del4) in 3′UTR. Restriction enzymes for each SNP were selected using NEBcutter V2.0 [29]. A total volume of 30 μl reaction containing 10 μl of PCR product, 2 μl of 10× buffer and 1 μl restriction enzyme was prepared. PCR products were digested overnight at 37 °C in a water bath according to manufacturer’s instructions (Fermentas, Waltham, USA). The obtained restriction digests were resolved on 2.5% agarose gel by electrophoresis. The ethidium bromide stained gels were visualized under UV illumination.

Semi-nested PCR

Direct genotyping of the two SNPs, rs2290708 (639 + 22C/T) and rs17215556 (V443A) in intron 7 and exon 13, respectively, was performed by designing two semi-nested PCR assays, one for each SNP. Allele specific primers were designed using PRIMER1 [30]. Semi-nested PCR for the SNP 639 + 22C/T in intron 7 was performed with a total volume of 15 μl reaction containing 200 ng of genomic DNA, 0.4 μM of each forward outer primer (5′-CTG CGG AAG CTG GAA GCT TTG TTG GGA C-3′) and T-allele specific reverse inner primer (5′-CAG TGT GGC T-3′) and 0.2 μM C-allele specific reverse inner primer (5′-AAC GTG AGG ATG GGC ATC ATC G-3′).

A touch down semi-nested PCR approach was adopted for the SNP V443A. PCR conditions included an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 4 min. The first 15 cycles out of 35 cycles were run at the following conditions: 94 °C for 30 s for denaturation, 58 °C for 35 s for annealing and 72 °C for 30 s for elongation. The cycling conditions for the remaining 20 cycles included: denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 35 s and elongation at 72 °C for 30 s. The PCR reaction ended with a final elongation at 72 °C for 7 min.

The PCR products from both semi-nested PCR assays were run on ethidium bromide stained 2.5% agarose gel and bands were observed by means of a gel documentation system (Bio-Rad).

Statistical analyses

Genotypic and minor allele frequencies (MAF) were calculated for each SNP among cases and controls. Statistical tests including Chi-square test and odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval were performed for association analysis of SLC11A1 gene with cutaneous leishmaniasis using PLINK v1.07 software under the null hypothesis of “no association” [31]. Pairwise linkage disequilibrium plots of the SNPs were created using Haplovew 4.2 [32]. Power calculations for the genetic study was undertaken following the guidelines elaborated by Sham and Purcell [33]. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Sequence analyses

Nucleotide sequences of purified PCR samples were analyzed by means of multiple sequence alignment with MEGA software. These sequences were compared with the retrieved SLC11A1 gene sequence from Ensembl database. Alignment revealed eight nucleotide polymorphisms spread over exon 3, intron 5, intron 7, exon 9, exon 13, exon 15 and 3′UTR regions, respectively, some of which had been described previously [18, 20]. The newly designed primers which covered whole exons did not detect any new functional polymorphisms in the following coding regions: exon 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11 and 12. The non-conservative glycine to aspartic acid substitution formerly reported in mice was also not detected. The total genetic variants identified in SLC11A1 are described in Table 1.

SLC11A1 genotyping

The SNPs identified through initial sequence analysis either created or destroyed a restriction site. Identification
of polymorphisms in the remaining number of samples in the given population was thus carried out by restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis for the SNPs rs2276631 (274C/T) in exon 3, rs3731864 (577-18G/A) in intron 5, rs2695342 (825A/G) and rs201565523 (A318V) in exon 9, rs17235409 (D543N) in exon 15 and rs172 35416 (1729 + 55del4) in 3′ UTR as described by Liu et al. [18]. The alleles of each polymorphism presented a distinct pattern of restriction digests with agarose gel electrophoresis after treatment with restriction enzymes.

The two newly-designed semi-nested PCR assays for the direct identification of the SNPs in intron 7 and exon 13 produced two bands with agarose gel electrophoresis; a larger fragment produced by outer primers and one smaller fragment corresponding to the specific allele.

Semi-nested PCR for rs2290708 (639 + 22C/T) gave a band at 239 bp with the outer primers for all samples. A second band of 117 bp was observed for each and every sample. While a second smaller band at 143 bp specific for the homozygous deletion (TGTG-/TGTG-) was only observed in those samples that carried the C-allele.

Table 1 lists all the possible genotypes for each polymorphism and summarizes the genotypic and MAF distribution among cases and controls for all genetic variations. In addition, MAF reported from other populations are also listed for comparison. All SNPs were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for controls. The polymorphisms rs3731864 (577-18G/A), rs2695342 (825A/G) and rs201565523 (A318V) were monomorphic exhibiting only GG, GG and CC genotype, respectively. Two genotypes, CC and CT, were observed for the SNP rs2276631 (274C/T) with the genotypic frequencies exhibiting no significant difference between cases and controls. Variations in exon 13 (rs17215556) and exon 15 (rs17235409) displayed similar results with only two genotypes present in the sample population. TT and CT genotypes were identified for rs17215556 while genotypes GG and GA for rs17235409, respectively. Genotyping for the SNP rs2290708 (639 + 22C/T) in intron 7 detected all three genotypes (CC, CT, and TT) in both cases and controls. Homozygous 4 base pair insertion (TGTG+/TGTG+) at 3′ UTR (rs17235416) was more common among cases and controls as compared to homozygous deletion (TGTG-/TGTG-) and the heterozygous genotype (TGTG+/TGTG-) was only observed in cases and none in controls.

Association of SLC11A1 and CL

This study included 274 Leishmania infected cases and 119 healthy controls exposed to the same environment. Eight SNPs were genotyped, out of which 3 were monomorphic. The remaining five SNPs were tested for association with cutaneous leishmaniasis using PLINK, a genomic association testing toolset. Table 3 shows the frequency distribution of the minor alleles among cases and controls. Single locus association test confirmed that the null hypothesis of “no association” with cutaneous leishmaniasis holds true for all markers tested (Table 3).

Linkage disequilibrium

The five polymorphic SNPs (274C/T, 639 + 22C/T, V443A, D543N and 1729 + 55del4) were further analyzed for the non-random association of their alleles through the pairwise linkage disequilibrium plot that was generated by Haploview 4.2 and is shown in Fig. 1. The plot shows pairwise D’ and r² scores of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between each SNP of SLC11A1 with respect to cutaneous leishmaniasis. LD scores between rs2276631 (274C/T) and rs2290708 (639 + 22C/T) was r² = 64. The missense mutation rs17235409 in exon 15 (D543N) and the insertion/deletion polymorphism rs17235416 at 3′ UTR (1729 + 55del4) appear to be in
strong linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 = 78$) whereas the results for the other SNP pairs were either not conclusive or strongly indicated recombination.

**Discussion**

Genetic factors play a crucial role in host susceptibility or resistance to infectious diseases. Variations within the *SLC11A1* gene were assessed in this study with respect to cutaneous leishmaniasis in Pakistan which has not been reported before from this region. A total of eight polymorphisms present in *SLC11A1* gene were analyzed and their possible role in disease association and linkage was tested.

Six of these genetic variations (rs2276631, rs2290708, rs3731864, rs201565523, rs17235409 and rs17235416) have been analyzed before in various populations with regard to leishmaniasis. In addition to these six SNPs, two polymorphisms, rs2695342 (825A/G) in exon 9 and rs17215556 (V443A) in exon 13, not investigated previously for their role in cutaneous leishmaniasis, were also included. The SNP rs2695342 (825A/G) in exon 9 alters the codon GCA to GCG. The resultant mutation is synonymous with no change in the amino acid alanine. Only the GG genotype was observed in all samples (cases and controls) unanimously while the genotypes GA and AA were absent from the population. This SNP (rs2695342) lies in the cytoplasmic loop region between transmembrane 6 and transmembrane 7 [34]. Similarly, other monomorphic polymorphisms identified were in intron 5 (577-18G/A) and in exon 9 (A318V), with the latter SNP expected to lie in the extracellular loop region between transmembrane 7 and 8 [34]. The presence of a single genotype in the sample population may suggest homogeneity of the alleles due to the common geographical ancestry within the Pakistani population. The genetic substitution GTG to GCG in exon 13 (rs17215556) is the second SNP whose role has not yet

| Table 2 *SLC11A1* polymorphisms and comparison of MAF with other populations from HapMap and dbSNP |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Polymorphism | Region | Genotype | Cases (%) | Controls (%) | A1* | A2** | MAFc | Caucasian | Asian | African |
|---------------|--------|----------|-----------|--------------|-----|------|------|----------|-------|---------|
| 274C/T        | Exon 3 | CC       | 206 (75.2) | 86 (72.3)    | T   | C    | 0.1272 | 0.216    | 0.112 | 0.158   |
|               |        | CT       | 68 (24.8)  | 33 (27.7)    |     |      |       |          |       |         |
|               |        | TT       | 0          | 0            |     |      |       |          |       |         |
| 577–18G/A     | Intron 5 | GG      | 274 (100)  | 119 (100)    | –   | G    | –     | 0.053    | 0.062 | 0.139   |
|               |        | GA       | 0          | 0            |     |      |       |          |       |         |
|               |        | AA       | 0          | 0            |     |      |       |          |       |         |
| 639 + 22C/T   | Intron 7 | CC      | 208 (75.9) | 88 (74.0)    | T   | C    | 0.1361 | 0.212    | 0.698 | 0.1195  |
|               |        | CT       | 57 (20.8)  | 30 (25.2)    |     |      |       |          |       |         |
|               |        | TT       | 9 (3.3)    | 1 (0.8)      |     |      |       |          |       |         |
| 825A/G        | Exon 9 | AA       | 0          | 0            | –   | G    | –     | 0.001    | 0.0   | 0.056   |
|               |        | AG       | 0          | 0            |     |      |       |          |       |         |
|               |        | GG       | 274 (100)  | 119 (100)    | –   | C    | –     | 0.0      | 0.0   | 0.0     |
| A318V         | Exon 9 | CC       | 274 (100)  | 119 (100)    | –   | C    | –     | 0.0      | 0.0   | 0.0     |
|               |        | CT       | 0          | 0            |     |      |       |          |       |         |
|               |        | TT       | 0          | 0            |     |      |       |          |       |         |
| V443A         | Exon 13 | TT      | 262 (95.62)| 110 (92.44)  | C   | T    | 0.027  | 0.005    | 0.0167| 0.0015  |
|               |        | CT       | 12 (4.38)  | 9 (7.56)     |     |      |       |          |       |         |
|               |        | CC       | 0          | 0            |     |      |       |          |       |         |
| D543N         | Exon 15 | GG      | 252 (91.97)| 115 (96.64)  | A   | G    | 0.033  | 0.009    | 0.088 | 0.058   |
|               |        | GA       | 22 (8.03)  | 4 (3.36)     |     |      |       |          |       |         |
|               |        | AA       | 0          | 0            |     |      |       |          |       |         |
| 1729 + 55del4 | 3′UTR  | TGTG (+/+) | 250 (91.2) | 113 (94.96)  | (−) | (+)  | 0.042  | 0.01*    | 0.18* | 0.31†   |
|               |        | TGTG (+/−) | 1 (0.36)   | 0            |     |      |       |          |       |         |
|               |        | TGTG (−/−) | 23 (8.39)  | 6 (5.04)     |     |      |       |          |       |         |

*Minor allele
**Major allele
†Minor allele frequency
‡= insertion of TGTG; − = deletion of TGTG
*Data from [19]
†Data from [21]
been studied in *Leishmania* patients. This polymorphism causes a modification in codon 443 which results in a change in amino acid valine to alanine in the helical structure of transmembrane 10 [34]. Since this conservative change results in the substitution of one hydrophobic amino acid with another, it is not expected to influence the protein structure. Literature survey shows that association of this non-synonymous mutation with pulmonary *Mycobacterium avium* complex revealed no significant results [35].

Genotyping the SNPs rs2290708 (639 + C/T) in intron 7 and rs17215556 (V443A) in exon 13 was approached by designing a semi-nested PCR. Allele identification through semi-nested PCR for rs17215556 was slightly modified by adding a touch-down step. This introduced two annealing temperatures that improved allele specific amplification. The semi-nested PCR assay made one step genotyping possible therefore making it an efficient technique. All three genotypes (CC, CT, TT) were observed for rs2290708 (639 + C/T) in intron 7 with the rarer TT genotype having a higher frequency in cases as compared to controls. Rarer CC genotype for rs17215556 (V443A) in exon 13, was absent from the population. Other polymorphisms including 274C/T, D543N and 1729 + 55del4 also displayed more than one genotype in the sample population.

Minor allele frequencies were similar to other populations including Caucasians, Africans and Asians except for rs17235416 (1729 + 55del4) where the frequency for TGTG deletion polymorphism at 3′ untranslated region was much higher in African population (0.31) when compared to the population from Pakistan.

### Table 3

| Polymorphism       | A1\(^a\) | F_A1\(^b\) | F_U1\(^c\) | A2\(^d\) | P   | OR\(^e\) | 95% CI      |
|--------------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|-----|---------|------------|
| 274C/T             | T        | 0.1223     | 0.1387     | C        | 0.5263 | 0.8653  | 0.553–1.354 |
| 639 + 22C/T        | T        | 0.1369     | 0.1345     | C        | 0.9279 | 1.021   | 0.6541–1.593 |
| V443A              | C        | 0.0219     | 0.03782    | T        | 0.2035 | 0.5697  | 0.2368–1.371 |
| D543N              | A        | 0.04015    | 0.01681    | G        | 0.1271 | 2.447   | 0.8339–7.179 |
| 1729 + 55del4      |         | 0.04562    | 0.03361    | +\(^h\) | 0.5624 | 1.374   | 0.6107–3.093 |

\(^a\)Minor allele code  
\(^b\)Frequency of minor allele in cases  
\(^c\)Frequency of minor allele in controls  
\(^d\)Major allele code  
\(^e\)Odds ratio  
\(^f\)95% confidence interval  
\(^g\)Deletion of TGTG  
\(^h\)Insertion of TGTG

**Fig. 1**

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) plot for the genotyped variations in *SLC11A1* created by Haploview 4.2. For D′ LD plot, confidence bounds color scheme was used: *white*, strong evidence of recombination; *light grey*, uninformative; *dark grey*, strong evidence of LD. r² values are represented as *black* for r² = 1; *white* for r² = 0; shades of grey indicating values within the range 0 < r² < 1. Numbers within the squares correspond to D′ and r² scores for pairwise LD.
Case-control analysis of these two SNPs (rs2695342 and rs17215556) in addition to the 6 genetic variations previously studied in other populations, revealed no evidence of association between these 8 loci of SLC11A1 and cutaneous leishmaniasis patients from Pakistan. No significant difference in distribution of alleles between cases and controls was observed. The polymorphisms studied do not suggest a direct impact on structural or functional integrity of the transmembrane protein.

Pairwise linkage disequilibrium results showed strong LD between the non-synonymous substitution rs2276631 and SNP rs2290708 in intron 7. The missense mutation D543N in exon 15 and the 4 bp deletion of TGTT nucleotides (1729 + 55del4) in 3′ UTR regions towards the 3′ end of the gene also appeared to be in strong linkage disequilibrium indicating non-random segregation at these loci.

To date, only a few papers have addressed the question of susceptibility to leishmaniasis with respect to the gene SLC11A1. This lack of attention and funding for research may possibly be because the disease burden is restricted to poverty-stricken and under developed areas. Studies on the association of SLC11A1 with leishmaniasis exhibit contradictory and inconsistent results with different patterns observed across the world. A study conducted in Sudan reports strong linkage at the SLC11A1 promoter region but no association between the gene and disease [19]. Similarly, another study in Sudan revealed association of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) with 5′ polymorphisms including (GT)ₙ at the promoter, 274C/T in exon 3 and 469 + 14G/C in intron 4 whereas 3′ polymorphisms at exon 15 and 3′ UTR loci did not appear to have any association [20]. However, in a Moroccan population, only exon 15 and exon 8 polymorphic markers influence susceptibility to VL while 5′ end polymorphisms played no role in disease predisposition [24].

This pattern of fluctuation continues across South America where Brazilian patients carrying TGTT insertion allele at 3′ UTR showed association with cutaneous leishmaniasis [21]. When CL and VL were both studied in Mexico, SLC11A1 polymorphisms were associated with only VL and none with CL [25].

Interestingly, the results from Asian nations including Sri Lanka and India were more consistent. The polymorphic markers of SLC11A1 when analyzed in Sri Lanka and India, failed to show any association with either CL or VL, respectively [22, 23] as observed in this study of Pakistani CL patients. This overlapping pattern of no association among the south Asian countries may be attributed to similar genetic makeup of their populations with respect to the closeness in geography and large scale migration of people particularly between India and Pakistan at the time of independence.

However, other factors should be taken into account. The infecting Leishmania species are not the same in these three countries. In Pakistan, CL is highly endemic with Leishmania tropica responsible for infection in the northern and Leishmania major in the southern areas of the country, respectively. Whereas in Sri Lanka, CL is caused by Leishmania donovani. This species is the causative agent of VL in India which is the predominating form of the disease in the country.

A recent report on multilocus microsatellite typing of Leishmania isolates obtained from cutaneous leishmaniasis samples from Pakistan identified L. major populations that were genetically different from those found in Africa, Central Asia, Iran and Middle East. They propose that this may be attributed to the different vector and animal host species found in this region [36]. Therefore, in addition to host genetic factors, differences in the clinical forms of leishmaniasis and invading Leishmania species are also important elements that may affect the variability in disease susceptibility.

There is a need for more SLC11A1 and cutaneous leishmaniasis association studies to help understand the cellular mechanism better. It also raises questions whether other regulatory genes of the immune system have a role to play in relation to SLC11A1 and whether it is the combination of multiple genes that is the underlying factor for leishmaniasis susceptibility. The focus on cutaneous leishmaniasis is critical, not only because it is one of the neglected tropical diseases but also has an alarming rate of infecting one person every 20 s in endemic areas [37]. The persisting disfiguring scars left after treatment of the ulcers are associated with social stigma especially among females [38]. Co-infection of leishmaniasis with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is another major problem that has emerged. Cases of co-infection from over 35 countries have been reported which add to the burden of the disease [39].

**Conclusion**

This preliminary study was designed to investigate the role of SLC11A1 gene in a Pakistani population infected with cutaneous leishmaniasis which has not been reported before from this region. It was demonstrated that selected SLC11A1 polymorphisms did not affect susceptibility to CL in the sample population. This work can be further extended to incorporate other polymorphisms of SLC11A1 and as well as other immune regulatory genes and observe their combined result. The analysis of host genetic factors will help understand better the molecular mechanisms involved in mediating control and elimination of disease parasites which may explain the difference in susceptibility among different populations.
Samples for this study were collected with informed consent from cases and Ethics approval and consent to participate. Consent for publication. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Overall conduct of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. AA conceived and supervised the research. MS was fully involved in all stages of the study, including sample and data collection, molecular techniques (DNA isolation and amplification, RFLP, restriction fragment length polymorphism; SLC11A1: Solute carrier family 11 member a1; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism; UTR: Untranslated region; VL: Visceral leishmaniasis).

Acknowledgements
We are thankful to Dr Muneer Soomro (Sindh Institute of Skin Diseases), staff at Kuwait Teaching Hospital, Peshawar, and Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre, Karachi, for providing us with samples.

Funding
This study was supported by the grant from Higher Education Commission (HEC), Government of Pakistan (Ref. No.20-1625).

Availability of data and material
All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Authors’ contributions
MS was fully involved in all stages of the study, including sample and data collection, molecular techniques (DNA isolation and amplification, RFLP assays and semi-nested PCR), statistical analysis and writing the manuscript. AA supervised the study methodology, participated in statistical analyses, interpretation of data and reviewed the manuscript. AM and AJS are dermatologists who organized and conducted sample and data collection from Kuwait Teaching Hospital, Peshawar, and Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre, Karachi, respectively. SS trained MS in the laboratory for DNA isolation and amplification techniques. AA conceived and supervised the overall conduct of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Samples for this study were collected with informed consent from cases and controls. Approval for the study was provided by the Ethical Committee of the Karachi Institute of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan.

Author details
1. Karachi Institute of Biotechnology & Genetic Engineering (KIBGE), University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan. 2. Institute of Biomedical and Genetic Engineering (IBGE), 24-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad, Pakistan. 3. Kuwait Teaching Hospital, Abdara Chowk, University Road, Peshawar, Pakistan. 4. National Medical Centre, A-S/A, National Highway, Phase 1, Defence Housing Authority, Karachi, Pakistan.

Received: 1 August 2016 Accepted: 12 December 2016 Published online: 07 January 2017

References
1. Roberts LJ, Handman E, Foote SJ. Science, medicine, and the future: leishmaniasis. BMJ. 2000;321:1801–4.
2. Murray HW, Berman JD, Davies CR, Saravia NG. Advances in leishmaniasis. Lancet. 2005;366:1561–77.
3. World Health Organization. Leishmaniasis. 2015. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs575/en/. Accessed 11 June 2015.
4. World Health Organization. Leishmaniasis. 2015. http://www.who.int/gho/neglected_diseases/leishmaniasis/en/. Accessed 11 June 2015.
5. Atif C, Ahmed P, Ashraf T, Anwar M, Ahmed I. Childhood visceral leishmaniasis in Muzaffarabad, Azad Jammu and Kashmir: frequency and response to treatment in 61 cases. J Pak Med Assoc. 2005;55:S469–75.
6. Idriis M, Fand J, Gul N, Rehman A. Visceral leishmaniasis: adult population of Abbottabad risk now. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2010;22:14–5.
7. Shikal A, Bilgeks FM, Salim A, Monuiddin. Geographical distribution of cutaneous leishmaniasis and sand flies in Pakistan. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2006;30:1–6.
8. Shoaib S, Tauheed S, Hafeez A. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: an emerging childhood infection. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2007;19:40–1.
9. Postigo JR. Leishmaniasis in the World Health Organization Mediterranean Region. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010;36:S62–5.
10. Handman E, Eloc C, Foote S. Genes and susceptibility to leishmaniasis. Adv Parasitol. 2005;59:1–75.
11. Bradley DJ. Regulation of Leishmania populations within the host. II. Genetic control of acute susceptibility to Leishmania donovani infection. Clin Exp Immunol. 1977;30:130–40.
12. Cellier M, Govoni G, Vital S, Kwan T, Groulx N, Liu J, et al. Human natural resistance-associated macrophage protein: cDNA cloning, chromosomal mapping, genomic organization, and tissue-specific expression. J Exp Med. 1994;180:1741–52.
13. Grunheid S, Pinner E, Desjardins M, Gros P. Natural resistance to infection with intracellular pathogens: the Nramp1 protein is recruited to the membrane of the phagosome. J Exp Med. 1997;185:717–30.
14. Ganz T. Iron in innate immunity: starve the invaders. Curr Opin Immunol. 2009;21:63–7.
15. Malo D, Vogan K, Vital S, Hu J, Cellier M, Schurr E, et al. Haplotype mapping and sequence analysis of the mouse Nramp1 gene predict susceptibility to infection with intracellular parasites. Genomics. 1994;25:51–61.
16. Li X, Yang Y, Zhou F, Zhang Y, Lu H, Jin Q, et al. SLC11A1 (NRAMP1) polymorphisms and tuberculosis susceptibility: updated systematic review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One. 2011;6:e15831.
17. Abel L, Sánchez FO, Oberti J, Thuc NV, Van Hoa L, Lap VO, et al. Susceptibility to leprosy is linked to the human NRAMP1 gene. J Infect Dis. 1998;177:133–45.
18. Liu J, Fujwara TM, Buu NT, Sanchez FO, Cellier M, Paradies AJ, et al. Identification of polymorphisms and sequence variants in human homologue of the mouse natural resistance-associated macrophage protein gene. Am J Hum Genet. 1995;56:845–53.
19. Bucheton B, Abel L, Kheir MM, Mirgani A, El-Safi SH, Chevillard C, et al. Genetic control of visceral leishmaniasis in a Sudanese population: candidate gene testing indicates a linkage to the NRAMP1 region. Genes Immun. 2003;4:104–9.
20. Mohamed HS, Ibrahim ME, Miller EN, White JK, Cordell HJ, Howson JMM, et al. SLC11A1 (formerly NRAMP1) polymorphisms and susceptibility to visceral leishmaniasis in The Sudan. Eur J Hum Genet. 2004;12:666–74.
21. Castelucci L, Jameson SE, Miller NE, Menezes E, Oliveira J, Magalhães A, et al. SLC11A1 and SLC11A2 polymorphisms affect susceptibility to cutaneous leishmaniasis in Brazil: a case-control and family-based study. BMC Med Genet. 2010;11:10.
22. Samaranayake TN, Fernando SD, Dissanayake VH. Candidate gene study of susceptibility to cutaneous leishmaniasis in Sri Lanka. Trop Med Int Health. 2010;15:632–8.
23. Mehrotra S, Oommen J, Mishra A, Sudharshan M, Tiwary P, Jamieson SE, et al. No evidence for association between SLC11A1 and visceral leishmaniasis in India. BMC Med Genet. 2011;12:71.
24. Eghbal R, Hida M, Hadi MS, Hessni AE, Lemrani M, SLC11A1 polymorphisms and susceptibility to visceral leishmaniasis in Moroccan patients. Acta Trop. 2014;134:130–6.
25. Ortez-Flores A, De la Rosa-López G, Zavaleta-Villa B, Chávez-López S, Pastor-Santiago J, Guzmán-Bracho C, et al. Association of leishmaniasis with TNF alpha promoter and SLC11A1 gene polymorphisms in patients of two endemic areas in Mexico. Microbes Infect. 2015;17:387–94.
26. Sambrook J, Fitsch EF, Maniatis T. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. 3rd ed. Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2001.
27. Untergrasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:e115.
28. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30:2725–9.
29. Vincze T, Posfai J, Roberts RJ. NEBcutter: a program to cleave DNA with restriction enzymes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003;31:3688–91.
30. Collino A, Ke X. Primer1: primer design web service for tetra-primer ARMS-PCR. Open Bioinformatics. 2012;3:35–8.
31. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a toolset for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analysis. Am J Hum Genet. 2007;81:559–75.
32. Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. Bioinformatics. 2005;21:263–5.
33. Sham PC, Purcell SM. Statistical power and significance testing in large-scale genetic studies. Nat Rev Genet. 2014;15:335–46.
34. Universal Protein resource. UniProtKB - P49279 (NRAM1_HUMAN). http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/P49279. Accessed 16 December 2015.
35. Sapkota BR, Hijikata M, Matsushita I, Tanaka G, Leki R, Kobayashi N, et al. Association of SLC11A1 (NRAMP1) polymorphisms with pulmonary Mycobacterium avium complex infection. Hum Immunol. 2012;73:529–36.
36. Alam M, Bhutto A, Soomro F, Baloch J, Nakao R, Kato H, et al. Population genetics of Leishmania (Leishmania) major DNA isolated from cutaneous leishmaniasis patients in Pakistan based on multilocus microsatellite typing. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7:332.
37. WHO. Cutaneous Leishmaniasis: Why are you neglecting me? Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007.
38. Kassi M, Afghan AK, Rehman R, Kasi PM. Marrying Leishmania: the stigmatization and the impact of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Pakistan and Afghanistan. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2008;2:e259.
39. WHO. Report of the fifth consultative meeting on Leishmania/HIV coinfection. Addis Ababa: World Health Organization; 2007.