Difunctionalization of alkenes with iodine and tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) at room temperature for the synthesis of 1-((tert-butylperoxy)-2-iodoethanes
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Abstract
We developed a direct vicinal difunctionalization of alkenes with iodine and TBHP at room temperature. This iodination and peroxidation in a one-pot synthesis produces 1-((tert-butylperoxy)-2-iodoethanes, which are inaccessible through conventional synthetic methods. This method generates multiple radical intermediates in situ and has excellent regioselectivity, a broad substrate scope and mild conditions. The iodine and peroxide groups of 1-((tert-butylperoxy)-2-iodoethanes have several potential applications and allow further chemical modifications, enabling the preparation of synthetically valuable molecules.

Introduction
Alkenes have attracted considerable interest in recent years as abundant, simple chemical feedstocks and organic molecules, owing to their potential for extensive application in organic syntheses. Approaches for the efficient, regio- and chemoselective difunctionalization of alkenes have been developed that are attractive for rapidly building complex difunctionalized molecules from simple starting materials in a single operation [1-6]. Traditional studies have mainly focused on transition-metal-catalyzed direct vicinal difunctionalization of alkenes by installing two substituents across the C=C double bond to form two new bonds or two new functional groups [7-9], such as dioxygenation [10,11], dihydroxylation [10,12], bisperoxidation [13], oxyamidation [14], aminohydroxylation [15], oxyposphorylation [16], deamination [17] and carbonylation-peroxidation [18]. Several very recent reports have pertained to metal-free catalysts for difunctionalization of alkenes such as UV light
hypervalent iodine reagents, acids, organoammonium iodides and iodine. These catalysts are often employed in combination with a peroxide and generally produce an organoperoxide. Organic peroxides are important and useful compounds because of their unique chemical and biological properties. Organoperoxides have wide applications in the field of organic synthesis, as radical initiators, oxidants that replace transition metal oxidants, and key reactive intermediates in diverse organic synthesis reactions, as well as in medicinal chemistry and pharmacology as medicines and therapeutic drugs. Although many methods have been developed to synthesize peroxides, they have a tendency to decompose because the peroxy (–OO–) bond is easily cleaved and peroxides are highly sensitive to reducing agents. New and general methods to construct peroxides are still highly desirable and valuable, and highly regioselective and efficient syntheses of peroxides with structural control are still difficult to achieve. Herein, we report a metal-free iodination-peroxidation reaction for the direct vicinal difunctionalization of alkenes with iodine and tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) at room temperature to synthesize 1-(tert-butylperoxy)-2-iodoethanes that are inaccessible via conventional synthetic routes. To the best of our knowledge, β-iodoalkyl tert-butyl peroxides are important organic compounds due to their unique structural features, which make them available to serve as starting materials for a wide range of organic oxidations to access other oxygenated products.

Results and Discussion

A pilot reaction setup comprised of styrene (1a, 208 mg, 2.0 mmol) in the presence of I₂ (1.0 equiv), TBHP (2.0 equiv), and CH₃CN (2.0 mL) was investigated to determine the optimal reaction conditions. Stirring this mixture at room temperature for 12 h afforded the desired product (2-iodo-1-(tert-butylperoxy)-2-iodoethanes) in 45% yield, which was further improved to 59% by extending the reaction time to 24 h. Among the various solvents examined, toluene proved to be the most suitable solvent, furnishing 2a in 72% yield (Table 1, entry 8). Further screening studies were conducted by altering the amounts of I₂ and TBHP to find the optimum reaction conditions. Notably, decreasing the amount of I₂ to 0.5 equiv decreased the yield to 51% (Table 1, entry 9). An excess of 3.0 equiv of TBHP or more gave the highest product yields (Table 1, entries 8, 10 and 11).

A variety of substituted alkenes were then tested under the optimal reaction conditions identified above. Substrates bearing functional groups with different electronic properties on the phenyl ring were all tolerated and did not substantially alter the reaction efficiency. For example, all pairs of 1-methyl-4-vinylbenzene and 1,4-dimethyl-2-vinylbenzene, 1-chloro-4-vinylbenzene and 1-chloro-3-vinylbenzene, 1-fluoro-4-vinylbenzene and 1-fluoro-3-vinylbenzene gave almost identical yields of the corresponding products. In addition, the inclusion

Table 1: Optimization studies.

| Entry | Solvent | Time (h) | Yield (%) |
|-------|---------|---------|-----------|
| 1     | CH₃CN   | 12      | 45        |
| 2     | CH₃CN   | 24      | 59        |
| 3     | CH₃CN   | 36      | 59        |
| 4     | DCE     | 24      | 31        |
| 5     | dioxane | 24      | trace     |
| 6     | DMF     | 24      | trace     |
| 7     | DMSO    | 24      | trace     |
| 8     | toluene | 24      | 72        |
| 9     | toluene | 24      | 51        |
| 10    | toluene | 24      | 86        |
| 11    | toluene | 24      | 86        |

†Unless otherwise specified, all reactions were carried out on 1a 0.5 mmol scale, iodine 1.0 equiv, tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) 2.0 equiv, solvent 2.0 mL; †yield calculated by GC; †I₂: 0.5 equiv; †TBHP: 3.0 equiv; ††TBHP: 4.0 equiv.
of different R₁ and R₂ groups on styrenes, such as 1,1-disubstituted/1,2-disubstituted/1,1,2-trisubstituted alkenes (1-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzene, 1-methyl-4-(prop-1-en-2-yl)benzene and 1,1-diphenylethene), had no discernible impact on the outcome of this iodination–peroxidation reaction. The scope of this reaction was further extended to a series of chain alkenes, such as 1-(but-3-enyl)benzene), 1-allylbenzene, oct-1-ene and 3-ethylpent-2-ene, which all reacted as anticipated to give the corresponding products in moderate to excellent isolated yields (70–81%). It is noteworthy that monosubstituted and 1,1-disubstituted terminal alkenes 1j-l, as well 1,2,2-trisubstituted internal alkenes 3-ethylpent-2-ene (1n) and 1-methyleneoct-1-ene (1t) under the developed conditions, all result the anticipated products with excellent yields. Especially, according to the detection of NMR and NOE analysis, the substrate 1-methyleneoct-1-ene (1t) affords (1R,2R)-1-(tert-butylperoxy)-2-iodo-1-methyleneoctane (2t) as the only product. And even more exciting this reaction was easily scaled up to 10 mmol with no obvious loss in product formation efficiency.

We investigated the addition of the radical inhibitor TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperididine-N-oxyl) to gain an insight into the mechanism of this reaction. The reaction was completely inhibited in the presence of TEMPO, suggesting that a radical pathway may be operating in this reaction. Therefore, we propose a possible mechanism for the reaction in Scheme 3, exemplified by the formation of 2a, based on the aforementioned results and previous literature [36-39]. The process commences with the formation of t-BuOI and HOI from the initial reaction of TBHP with I₂ [40]. The subsequent iodination reaction could proceed via a homolytic attack involving t-BuOI and HOI to generate intermediate 3, tert-butoxy radicals and hydroxyl radicals[41]. In the presence of TBHP, a fast reaction between tert-butoxy radicals and TBHP take place, leading to the formation of tert-butoxy radicals and tertiary butanol [41,42]. Subsequently, the radical 3 can be further oxidized into cation 4 with I₂ [24,43,44]. The iodide ion is then reoxidized with TBHP to regenerate I₂ and tert-butoxy radicals [24,45]. Finally, cation 4 can be attacked by TBHP to give the final product 2a.
Conclusion

In summary, we have established a metal-free process at room temperature for the direct vicinal difunctionalization of alkenes with iodine and TBHP to synthesize 1-(tert-butylperoxy)-2-iodoethanes. This procedure is a simple and high-yielding method with excellent regioselectivity for iodination and peroxidation of the C=C double bond of alkenes and shows good functional group compatibility. Furthermore, the mild reaction conditions of this methodology and the ease of further modification of the iodine and peroxide groups in 1-(tert-butylperoxy)-2-iodoethanes indicate that this procedure has good potential for application in the fields of organic synthesis, medicinal chemistry and pharmacology. Further work toward expanding this protocol and investigations into the difunctionalization of alkenes with other electrophiles is currently underway in our laboratory, and the results will be reported in due course.
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