Time-Domain Electromagnetic Scattering by Buried Dielectric Objects with the Cylindrical-Wave Approach for GPR Modelling
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Abstract: Electromagnetic modelling of ground penetrating radar applications to the survey of buried targets is a fundamental step in the interpretation of measured data from experimental campaigns. When pulsed source fields are employed, such a modelling is commonly performed through time-domain numerical techniques. The cylindrical wave approach is proposed here to solve the scattering of a pulsed field by circular cross-section cylinders buried in a semi-infinite medium. The field radiated field by a transmitting antenna is modelled using a line-current source. Theoretical solution is developed on a semi-analytical basis, through a spectral approach. Time and space spectra are employed to derive the scattered fields, and the final space–time dependence is found through an inverse Fourier Transform. The proposed approach allows an accurate modelling of a wide class of ground penetrating radar problems that are commonly simulated through two-dimensional layouts.
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1. Introduction

Microwave remote sensing of buried objects with the ground penetrating radar (GPR) has important applications in several fields, such as civil engineering (e.g., for the detection of pipes and utilities), geophysical analysis of the subsoil (through the inspection of roads and buildings), archeology [1–3]. Other interesting applications are in the through-wall (TW) radar, where microwave fields are used for the detection of concealed weapons or humans in buildings’ interiors [4]. The use of microwave fields for non-destructive diagnostic has an enormous potential, but there are still important limitations in terms of a fast and reliable target reconstruction. Whereas the field scattered by metallic targets can be quite easily isolated, the detection of many typical targets of GPR analysis, such as buried plastic pipes or subterranean cavities, is particularly hard due to the low permittivity contrast with the hosting medium. The scattered signals are generally weak and noisy, which makes direct interpretation of GPR images still challenging. Therefore, in experimental campaigns with GPR and TW radar, a theoretical and numerical modelling of the scattering by target and the surrounding environment plays an important role. This may be accomplished, for example, through a direct comparison of simulated data with measured radargrams [5]. Otherwise, numerical results may be used as input data in of inversion and imaging algorithms, to assess the validity of the reconstruction scheme [6–9].

Two GPR architectures are mainly used: a frequency-domain solution, where the scenario is probed at a limited number of frequencies [10,11], in a stepped-frequency mode; a time-domain modality, with a pulsed signal excited by a wideband or ultrawideband source [12]. As to the frequency-domain GPR, both numerical and analytical methods have been developed [13–25] to model the scattered field...
by buried objects in a 2D layout with a monochromatic source. With a pulsed GPR, the radargrams are displayed in the form of A-scans, when the field is collected at one receiving point, or B-scans, when it is probed by a set of receivers. The finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) technique [26], being directly implemented in the time domain, is mainly employed [27–29] for the modelling of time-domain GPR surveys. In Reference [29] a 3D electromagnetic simulation software designed for GPR applications, namely, gprMax, is proposed. Reconstruction algorithms, such as fitting techniques applied to the hyperbola displayed in the B-scan radargrams to determine size and depth of the target, can be validated on synthetic data returned by numerical modelling [5]. FDTD is also employed by GPR users in several experimental works, to validate the measured radargrams against simulated data [30], or in constructing data sets for target classification [31]. Method-of-moments approaches [32–35] are also developed, where the scattered field is evaluated at sampled frequencies of the source spectrum, and the time-domain response is reconstructed through an inverse fast Fourier transform. A further numerical approach to the time-domain modelling of buried objects is given by the time-domain finite-element, as implemented in [36].

In this paper, the time-domain cylindrical wave approach (CWA) is presented as a full-wave method to model GPR applications for the scattering by buried cylindrical targets with pulsed source fields. In its basic and original formulation, the CWA is a semi-analytical method for the frequency-domain analysis of the field scattered by buried targets with a monochromatic source [19,37–43]. The canonical layout assigned to the targets, i.e., circular cross-section cylinders, is representative of a wide class of objects, as pipes, tubes, or cables, investigated in the analysis of the sub-soil for localization of buried services. The use of an analytical approach is advantageous, in term of accuracy of the solution and computational times, compared to purely numerical techniques. For example, in the case of FDTD, the 2D investigation domain is discretized into square unit cells, and the circular boundary of the target is approximated through a staircase profile with less accuracy of the results. Moreover, in the CWA, solution is found applying boundary conditions at the cylinders’ interface, thus avoiding any discretization of the domain. With the FDTD, this may become time-consuming when a large environment is simulated, as in the case of deeply buried targets.

The analytical formulation of CWA stems on the use of cylindrical waves as basis functions to express the scattered fields [44]. Since buried cylinders are placed below one or more flat interfaces, cylindrical waves are defined through plane-wave spectra, which allow dealing with reflection or transmission of the scattered fields by the interfaces. A preliminary work on a time-domain approach with the CWA is proposed in [45]. In Reference [46], the CWA is rigorously developed as a time-domain technique, solving the scattering problem of a pulsed plane-wave excitation field by perfectly conducting cylindrical targets buried in a semi-infinite half-space. The approach proposed in [46] is completely spectral, as the source field is expressed through its plane-wave and temporal frequency spectra, and reconstructed in space and time through a double inverse Fourier Transform. In Reference [47], the formulation proposed in [46] is applied to a source field non-uniform in time and space in the range of optical frequencies.

The time-domain CWA presented in [46] is here extended to a more realistic case, and a line-current source is used to model the illumination field excited by the transmitting antenna of the GPR equipment. Moreover, the general case of dielectric targets with frequency-dispersive permittivity is considered through a complex permittivity satisfying the Debye law [2]. This represents a significant improvement in the simulation capabilities of the CWA since, in the microwave frequency range considered in GPR analysis, natural media exhibit a frequency dispersive behavior, due to the presence of water.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, the theoretical approach, applied to a line-source excitation, is presented. In Section 3, numerical results are reported.

2. Theoretical Approach

The geometry of the problem is reported in Figure 1. The domain of analysis is divided into two regions: medium 0 (air, $\varepsilon_0 = 1$), where a line-current source is located, and medium 1 (relative
permittivity $\varepsilon_{r1}$), where $N$ dielectric cylinders are buried. The model is two-dimensional, assuming the length of the targets is much longer than the size of their cross-section, and then their mutual distances.

![Figure 1. Geometry of the scattering problem.](image)

Beyond a main reference frame of rectangular coordinates $(x, z)$, $N$ reference frames $(x_p, z_p)$ centered on the axis of the $p$-th cylinder ($p = 1, \ldots, N$) are also introduced. The $p$-th cylinder, of relative permittivity $\varepsilon_{r_p}$, has radius $a_p$ and center in $(h_p, d_p)$, being $x = x_p + h_p$, and $z = z_p + d_p$. A polar reference frame $(r, q)$ is also employed, as well as $N$ polar reference frames $(r_p, q_p)$ centered on the cylinders. The line source is placed along the axis $x = h_L (< 0), z = d_L$. The reference frame $(x_L, z_L)$, centered on the line source, will also be used, with

$$\begin{aligned}
 &x = x_L + h_L \\
z &= z_L + d_L
\end{aligned} \tag{1}
$$

Since the line source is along the $y$-direction, we use the scalar function $E(x; z; t)$ to represent the space and time dependence the electromagnetic field, that is parallel to the cylinders’ axis (TM, or E, polarization).

The source of the scattering problem is a downward propagating pulsed field in medium 0, incident on the interface between medium 0 and medium 1. The incident field is expressed through an inverse Fourier transform with respect to space and time [46], that is

$$E_i(x_L, z_L; t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A_i(x_L, k||; f) e^{-i(k||z_L - 2\pi ft)} \, dk||df \tag{2}$$

where $A_i(x_L, k||; f)$ is the amplitude of a typical monochromatic plane wave in the expansion, and $k||$ is the parallel component of the wavevector of the typical plane wave, defined as $k = k_\perp \hat{z} + k|| \hat{z}$.

Furthermore, we assume that the amplitude can be written as

$$A_i(x_L, k||; f) = b(x_L, k||) \cdot s(f) \tag{3}$$

In Equation (3), $b(x_L, n||)$ and $s(f)$ are the space and time spectra, respectively, and they are found through inverse Fourier transforms of the temporal waveform $S(t)$ and the spatial distribution $B(x_L, z_L)$ of the incident field, as

$$s(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} S(t) e^{2\pi i f t} \, dt \tag{4}$$
When the radiated field by the GPR transmitter can be modelled through a filament dipole antenna, i.e., a line-current source, the analytical expression of the radiated field is a cylindrical wave, described by a Hankel function of the first kind and zero order [48]:

$$B(x_L, z_L) = -H_0^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{x_L^2 + z_L^2}\right)$$ (6)

For propagation in the half space \(x_L \geq 0\), the spatial spectrum \(b(x_L, k_||)\) relevant to the cylindrical function in Equation (6) is [40]:

$$b(x_L, k_||) = \frac{2e^{ix_L\sqrt{1-k_||^2}}}{\sqrt{1-k_||^2}}$$ (7)

From the definition (7), applying the change of coordinates (1) to a line source centered in \((h_L, d_L)\), the space distribution of the incident field can be described in terms of its plane-wave spectrum through the following expression:

$$E_i(x - h_L, z - d_L) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b(x - h_L, k_||) e^{jk_||(z - d_L)} \, dk_||$$ (8)

that is equivalent to the definition of \(B(x_L, z_L)\) given in (6).

The pulsed field in (2) is derived from (8) by assigning a frequency spectrum \(s(f)\), that can be chosen among the temporal waveforms typical of the GPR analysis.

When the incident field impinges on the interface in \(x = 0\), two fields are excited, in the absence of the cylinders: the reflected field \(E_r(x, z)\) and the transmitted field \(E_t(x, z)\). As one or more dielectric targets are buried in Medium 1, a set of scattered fields by the cylinders is also excited, given by the following contributions (Figure 2):

- \(E_s(x, z; t)\): fields scattered by the cylinders in Medium 1;
- \(E_{sr}(x, z; t)\): scattered-reflected field in Medium 1;
- \(E_{st}(x, z; t)\): scattered-transmitted field in Medium 0;
- \(E_{sc}(x, z; t)\): scattered field transmitted inside the p-th cylinder.

In the proposed approach, all the fields contributions will be expressed in terms of their spatial dependence through their plane-wave spectra, as was done in (8) for the incident field, and treated as monochromatic terms. The time-domain dependence relevant to a pulsed source field will be reconstructed through an inverse Fourier transform, analogous to the one in (2), having assigned to each frequency a spectral amplitude relevant to the frequency spectrum \(s(f)\), as will be explained in Section 3.

As to the reflected field \(E_r(x, z)\), it is found from the spectral definition of the incident field in (8). Evaluating for each plane wave of the spectrum the corresponding reflected plane wave, through the Fresnel reflection coefficient and suitable wave-vector, the space-dependence of the reflected field can be expressed as follows [40]:

$$E_r(x, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Gamma_{01}(k_||) b[-(x + h_L), k_||] e^{jk_||(z - d_L)} \, dk_||$$ (9)
where, according to the Snell’s law, the wavevector of the generic reflected plane wave of the spectrum in (9) is \( k_r = -k_L \hat{x} + k_0 \hat{z} \) and \( \Gamma_{01}(k_0) \) is the Fresnel reflection at the interface between medium 0 and medium 1.

Indeed, such functions are proportional to first-kind Hankel functions of order \( m \), \( H^m_{11} \), times the angular factor \( e^{im\theta} \):

\[
CW_m(k_1x_q,k_1z_q) = H^m_{11}(k_1r_q)e^{im\theta_q}
\]

In the frame of the employed spectral approach, the \( m \)-th order cylindrical waves \( CW_m \) are expressed alternatively through a plane-wave spectrum, as follows [44]:

\[
CW_m(x,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b_m(x,k_0) e^{ik_0z} dk_0
\]
which corresponds to the expansion in (9), but for the \( m \)-th order. The definition of the spectrum \( b_m(x, k) \) of the \( m \)-th order function is:

\[
b_m(x, k) = \begin{cases} 
2 \pi \exp(\sqrt{1-k^2}) & x \geq 0 \\
2 \pi \exp(-\sqrt{1-k^2}) & x \leq 0 
\end{cases}
\]

(14)

The spectrum (14) generalizes the definition (13), introduced for a zero-th order cylindrical wave, and is referred to both up- or down-propagating waves.

The definition of cylindrical waves (14) in terms of their plane-wave spectrum can be used to derive the two scattered fields contributions relevant to the interaction with the interface, i.e., the scattered-reflected field \( E_{sr} \) and the scattered transmitted field \( E_{st} \). In particular, basis functions dealing with reflection or transmission of the cylindrical waves are obtained by multiplying their spectra for the suitable reflection or transmission Fresnel coefficient, respectively, and the relevant propagation term. The resulting cylindrical waves of \( m \)-th order are called reflected cylindrical waves \( RW_m \):

\[
RW_m(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sqrt{1-k^2} b_m(u, k) e^{ikv} dk
\]

(15)

and transmitted cylindrical waves \( TW_m \):

\[
TW_m(u, v, h) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sqrt{1-k^2} b_m(-nu, k) e^{ikv} dk
\]

(16)

where \( \Gamma_1(k) \) in (15) and \( T_1(k) \) in (16) are the Fresnel reflection and transmission coefficients, respectively, applied to up-propagating waves of the spectrum (13).

Employed as basis functions, (16) allows us to define the scattered reflected field:

\[
E_{sr}(x, z) = \sum_{q=1}^{N} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{qm} RW_m[k_1(2h_q), k_1 z_q]
\]

(17)

As to the scattered-transmitted field in air, it is expressed through the basis functions (16):

\[
E_{st}(x, z) = \sum_{q=1}^{N} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{qm} TW_m(x, z; h_q)
\]

(18)

In the case of dielectric targets of relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_{rc} \), a scattered field contribution transmitted inside the cylinders is also excited. It is represented through an expansion in first kind Bessel functions \( J_\ell(\cdot) \) and a second set of unknown expansion coefficients \( d_{p}^{\ell} \):

\[
E_{sc}(x, z) = \sum_{q=1}^{N} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} d_{p}^{\ell} J_\ell(\sqrt{1-k^2} k_1(2h_q), k_1 z_q)
\]

(19)

Now, the expressions of the fields (10), (11), and (17) are arranged in polar coordinates in the reference frames centered on the axis of the \( q \)-th cylinder, in a form more suitable to impose boundary
conditions on the circular boundaries of the cylinders. Applying the expansion of a plane-wave into Bessel functions [19], for the fields (10), (11), and (17) it is obtained, respectively:

\[
E_i(x - h_L, z - d_L) = \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{+\infty} J_\ell(k_1 r_p)TW_{0,\ell}(h_L, z_L - d_p; -h_p)
\]

(20)

\[
E_s(x, z) = \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{+\infty} J_\ell(n_1 r_p)e^{iq\ell_p} \sum_{q=1}^{N} +\infty \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{qm} \left\{ CW_{m-\ell}(k_1 x_{qp}, k_1 z_{qp}) \times (1 - \delta_{qp}) + \frac{H_\ell^{(1)}(n_1 r_p)}{J_\ell(n_1 r_p)} \delta_{qp}\ell_m \right\}
\]

(21)

\[
E_{sr}(x, z) = \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{+\infty} J_\ell(n_1 r_p)e^{iq\ell_p} \sum_{q=1}^{N} +\infty \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{qm}RW_{m-\ell}[-k_1(h_p + h_q), k_1(h_p + h_q)]
\]

(22)

In Equation (20), the term \(TW_{0,\ell}(\cdot)\) is given by the following spectral function [40]:

\[
TW_{0,\ell}(u, v; h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} +\infty \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} T_{01}(k_||) b(-h, k_||) e^{i\ell k_||} \sqrt{1-k^2_{\ell||}/k^2_{||}} e^{-jk_||^2} e^{-il\tan^{-1}(\sqrt{1-k^2_{\ell||}/k^2_{||})} d\ell_{||}
\]

(23)

whereas expression (21) has been found making use of the addition theorem for Hankel functions [49].

Finally, boundary conditions on the total electric field and its radial derivative are imposed on the cylinders’ interface, as, respectively:

\[
E_t + E_s + E_{sr} \bigg|_{\rho_p = a_p} = E_{sc} \bigg|_{\rho_p = a_p}
\]

(24)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_p}(E_t + E_s + E_{sr}) \bigg|_{\rho_p = a_p} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_p}(E_{sc}) \bigg|_{\rho_p = a_p}
\]

(25)

with \(p = 1, \ldots, N\), where, in the case of perfectly conducting cylinders, the second member of the conditions (24) and (25) reduces to zero. Equations (19)–(22) are replaced in (24) and (25), and after some algebra a linear system is obtained for the expansions coefficients \(c_{qm}\):

\[
\sum_{q=1}^{N} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} D_{qp}^{m(\gamma)} c_{qm} = M_{p}^{(\gamma)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\gamma = 1, 2 \\
\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, \pm \infty
\end{array} \right.
\]

(26)

where the superscripts \(\gamma = 1, 2\) refer to the boundary conditions (24) and (25), respectively, and it is \(D_{mq}^{p(\gamma)} = G_{\ell}^{(1)}A_{ml}^{p(1)} - G_{\ell}^{(1)}A_{ml}^{p(2)}\), \(M_{p}^{(\gamma)} = B_{\ell}^{p(1)}C_{\ell}^{p(1)} - B_{\ell}^{p(2)}C_{\ell}^{p(2)}\), with:

\[
A_{qp}^{m(\gamma)} = i^{-\ell} T_{\ell}^{(\gamma)}(k_1 r_p) \left\{ CW_{m-\ell}(k_1 x_{qp}, k_1 z_{qp})(1 - \delta_{qp}) + RW_{m+\ell}[-k_1(h_p + h_q), k_1(h_p + h_q)] + \delta_{qp}\ell_m \right\}
\]

(27)

\[
B_{\ell}^{p(\gamma)} = -T_{\ell}^{(\gamma)}(k_1 r_p)TW_{0,\ell}(h_L, d_p - d_L, -h_p)
\]

(28)

being \(C_{\ell}^{p(1)} = -J_{\ell}(k_p a_p)/H_{\ell}^{(1)}(k_1 a_p)\), \(C_{\ell}^{p(2)} = -g_{p}\ell(k_p a_p)/H_{\ell}^{(1)}(k_1 a_p)\), and \(T_{\ell}^{(1)}(x) = -J_{\ell}(x)/H_{\ell}^{(1)}(x)\), \(T_{\ell}^{(2)}(x) = -J_{\ell}(x)/H_{\ell}^{(1)}(x)\), where \(g_{p} = k_p/k_1\).

The coefficients \(d_{\rho \rho'}/\ell\) relevant to the scattered field transmitted inside the cylinders, are found from:
\[ d_{pf} = i^{-\ell} \frac{f_0(k \delta p) H_{1}^{(1)}(k \delta p) - f_0(k \delta p) H_{1}^{(1)}(k \delta p)}{n_{1} f_0(k \delta p) H_{1}^{(1)}(k \delta p) - n_{2} f_0(k \delta p) H_{1}^{(1)}(k \delta p)} \]

\[
\left\{ \sum_{q=-\infty}^{m} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} i^{-\ell} e^{i \omega m} \left[ \text{CWT}_{m,-\ell}(k \xi, k \zeta) (1 - \delta_{\omega}) + \text{RW}_{m,-\ell}(n_{1} (h_{q} + h_{p}), n_{1} (h_{q} - h_{p})) \right] + \text{TW}_{0,\ell}(h_{L}, h_{p} - d_{L} - h_{p}) \right\}
\]

When the targets are perfectly conducting cylinders, the final system has the same form as in (27), with \( D_{\text{sp}}^{(m,\gamma)} \) that corresponds to the matrix \( A_{\text{sp}}^{(m,\gamma)} \) in (27), and \( M_{s}^{(m,\gamma)} \) to the term \( k_{p}^{(m,\gamma)} \) in (28) with \( \tau = 1 \).

3. Results

The approach presented in Section 2 has been turned into a numerical code to solve the scattering by targets in GPR applications. The issues already highlighted in [46], such as the truncation rule of the system (26) and the numerical evaluation of the spectral integrals, are applied.

The excitation from the pulsed field in (2) employs a frequency spectrum \( s(f) \) evaluated as inverse Fourier transform of a temporal waveform, according to (4). As possible waveforms, the typical ones of the GPR sources can be modelled [46], among which the most common choices are the Gaussian waveform or its first and second derivatives [50]. In the following examples, the second derivative of a Gaussian waveform is used, namely,

\[ S(t) = -K \frac{2}{\sigma^{2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{2t^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \right] e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{\sigma}} \]  

(30)

where \( \tau \) is the duration of the pulse, given by \( \sigma = 1 / (\sqrt{2\pi} f_{0}) \). In the following simulations, Equation (30) is evaluated assigning a time delay \( T_{r} \), related to the central frequency \( f_{0} \) of the spectrum through \( T_{r} = 1/f_{0} \).

The time-domain implementation of the CWA is carried by sampling the spectrum \( s(f) \) assigned to the source field in (2) at the frequencies \( f_{k} \), with \( k = 1, \ldots, N_{k} \). As the \( k \)-th frequency sample of the incident field is given by:

\[ E_{s}(x, z; f_{k}) = s(f_{k}) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} b(x, k_{j}) e^{-j k_{j} z} dk_{j} \]

(31)

Equation (31) is used as the field of the \( k \)-th monochromatic sources of the scattering problem. Therefore, the system (26) is solved at the \( N_{s} \) sampled frequencies to find the scattered-transmitted field in air at each sampled frequency:

\[ E_{st}(x, z; f_{k}) = s(f_{k}) E_{st}(x, z) \]

(32)

The time-domain field contribution is finally reconstructed from (32) through an inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT) [51]:

\[ E_{st}(x, z; t) = 2 \cdot \text{Re} \{ \text{IFFT} [ s(f_{k}) \cdot E(x, z) \cdot \Delta f ] \} \]

(33)

where \( \Delta f \) is the frequency step chosen in the sampling, and the number of samples \( N_{s} \) is taken as an integer power of 2, according to the FFT and IFFT rules.

An example of radargrams from a dielectric cylinder of relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_{rc} = 7 \), buried at a depth \( h = 50 \text{ cm} \) in a soil of relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_{rt} = 4 \) is considered. As displayed in the scheme of Figure 3, the source field and the probing point are scanned through a line of length \( L_{s} \), parallel to the interface, in a bi-static approach. The horizontal distance between transmitter and receiver is \( \Delta z = 1 = 10 \text{ cm} \). The transmitter is moved from \( z_{L} = -80 \text{ cm} \) to \( z_{L} = 60 \text{ cm} \), and the receiver from \( z = -70 \text{ cm} \) to \( z = 70 \text{ cm} \), with a total scanning distance \( L_{s} = 1.4 \text{ m} \) and 41 positions. Transmitters
and receivers are placed along the line \( x_L = -5 \text{ cm} \). The excitation pulse has a temporal waveform given by Equation (30) evaluated at the central frequency \( f_0 = 600 \text{ MHz} \). Two radargrams are shown in Figure 4 in the form of a B-Scan, displaying the scattered field by the target at the receiver points as a function of time, for a target radius \( a = 5 \text{ cm} \) (Figure 4a), and in Figure 4b for \( a = 10 \text{ cm} \). In the reported example, the B-scans show a first hyperbola relevant to the scattered field from the top of the target, followed by a second one, showing a strong scattering from the internal side of the cylinder. Two further hyperbolas, of weaker intensity, that are relevant to high-order reflections of the earlier hyperbola, are displayed at a later arrival time.

**Figure 3.** Geometry of the scanning layout with a set of \( N \) transmitters (RX\(_i\), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \)) and \( N \) receivers (TX\(_i\), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \)) along the scanning length \( L_s \).

**Figure 4.** B-scan radargrams simulated for the scattering layout in Figure 3, with one buried dielectric cylinder (\( \varepsilon_r = 7, \varepsilon_r = 7, h = 50 \text{ cm}, f_0 = 600 \text{ Mhz} \)): (a) radius \( a = 5 \text{ cm} \); (b) radius \( a = 10 \text{ cm} \).

A scheme of the possible path lengths experienced by the pulse in the lower medium are displayed in Figure 5a, and include: the zero-th order term of straight propagation between the top of the cylinder and the interface (labelled with \( t_A \)) or inside the cylinder (\( t_B \)); higher-order reflections between the top of the cylinder and the interface (\( t_D, t_E \) and \( t_G \)) or inside the cylinders (\( t_C \)); multiple reflections in both regions (\( t_F \)). According to the scheme in Figure 5a, arrival times with a cylinder of radius \( a = 5 \text{ cm} \) are given by: \( t_A = 8 \text{ ns}, t_B = 9.7 \text{ ns}, t_C = 11.5 \text{ ns}, t_D = 14 \text{ ns}, t_E = 15.7 \text{ ns}, t_F = 20 \text{ ns}, \) and \( t_G = 17.5 \text{ ns} \). These arrival times are highlighted in the A-scan of Figure 5a, except for the time \( t_F \) that is of extremely low amplitude. With a radius \( a = 10 \text{ cm} \) temporal distances between pulses relevant to scattering from the front side of the cylinder and its backside are more delayed, due to the double target size. Arrival times are \( t_A = 7.3 \text{ ns}, t_B = 10.1 \text{ ns}, t_C = 14.4 \text{ ns}, t_D = 12.7 \text{ ns}, t_E = 16.2 \text{ ns}, t_f = 19.7 \text{ ns}, \)
and \( t_G = 18 \text{ ns} \). The presence of echoes relevant to multiple interactions of the target with the interface and multiple reflections inside the target proves the accuracy of the theoretical approach and its numerical implementation.

The presented approach allows modelling the constitutive material of the target as a frequency-dispersive medium. For example, a dispersive permittivity model satisfying the Debye law may be employed [2]:

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{rc}}(f) = \varepsilon_{\text{ro}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{r0}} - \varepsilon_{\text{ro}}}{1 + j2\pi f \tau}
\]  
(34)

where \( \varepsilon_{\text{r0}} \) is the static dielectric constant of the relative permittivity, \( \varepsilon_{\text{ro}} \) is the high-frequency limit of \( \varepsilon_{\text{r}} \), and \( \tau \) is the relaxation time, that can be related to the relaxation frequency \( f_r \) through \( f_r = (2\pi \tau)^{-1} \).

The Debye law (34) provides the frequency dependence of pure water. Most of natural materials, such as rocks and soil, are mixtures of the bulk material with water and air. Due to the large permittivity contrast between the bulk material and water, especially at frequencies below 10 GHz, the dielectric permittivity of natural materials is strongly dominated by water, and the resulting permittivity of the mixture may be still described by the Debye formula (34). In the first example relevant to the Debye law, the target filling material is pure water with permittivity parameters \( \varepsilon_{\text{r0}} = 80 \), \( \varepsilon_{\text{ro}} = 4.9 \), and \( \tau = 9.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s} \).

Figure 5. (a) Scheme of the arrival times, highlighting the different paths between the interface and the cylinder and inside the cylinder; (b) A-scan radargrams relevant to the B-scan in Figure 4 for a receiver aligned with the cylinder at the probing distance \( z = 0.7 \text{ m} \) (receiver #21) with radius \( a = 5 \text{ cm} \); (c) the same as in (b), with radius \( a = 10 \text{ cm} \).
$\times 10^{-12}$ s; radius of the target is $a = 10$ cm and depth $h = 50$ cm. The time waveform in (30) is evaluated at the central frequency $f_0 = 1$ GHz. The scanning parameters are the same as in the earlier example of Figure 4. In the results in Figure 6, the B-scan evaluated with the CWA is also compared to the one from gprMax V3 [29], with a very good agreement. In the shown time-window, three hyperbolas are displayed, where the earliest is relevant to the scattering from the top of the cylinder, followed by the scattering from its backside, and finally by the reflection of the first field contribution by the interface.

![Figure 6. B-scan radargrams for a water target with permittivity modelled according to the Debye law in (34), with $\varepsilon_0 = 80$, $\varepsilon_{r0} = 4.9$, and $\tau_r = 9.3 \times 10^{-12}$ s: (a) CWA; (b) FDTD simulation with gprMax V3 [29].](image)

A further example of target with dispersive permittivity approximated with a Debye model is given in Figure 5, where a clay cylinder of radius $a = 10$ cm buried at a depth $h = 50$ cm is simulated. The permittivity of the clay target is modelled through a general Debye model with multiple relaxation times $\tau_{rk}$ ($k = 1, \ldots, N$), and including also the electrical conductivity $\sigma$, as follows:

$$
\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}(f) = \varepsilon_{r0} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\varepsilon_{r0k} - \varepsilon_{r0}}{1 + j \frac{2\pi f \tau_{rk}}{\varepsilon_{\text{eo}}}} + j \frac{\sigma}{2\pi f f_0}
$$

In the results, three different electrical and dielectric parameters are used in (35) for the evaluation of the B-Scans of Figure 7: (a) constant parameters $\varepsilon_{r0} = 2$ and $\sigma = 0.137$ S/m; (b) one Debye relaxation ($N = 1$) with $\varepsilon_{r0} = 24.07$, $\varepsilon_{r0} = 2$, $\tau_r = 8 \times 10^{-12}$ s, and $\sigma = 0.137$ S/m; (c) three Debye relaxations ($N = 3$), with $\varepsilon_{r01} = 19.99$, $\varepsilon_{r02} = 5.47$, $\varepsilon_{r03} = 24.07$, $\varepsilon_{r0} = 2$, $\tau_{r1} = 4.83 \times 10^{-9}$ s, $\tau_{r2} = 4.41 \times 10^{-10}$ s, $\tau_{r3} = 8 \times 10^{-12}$ s, and $\sigma = 0.038$ S/m [52]. In the plots, a similar behavior in the hyperbola relevant to the scattering from the front side of the cylinder is displayed, whereas a progressive reduction in the amplitude of the later hyperbolas is visible with one and three relaxations. These effects are compared in the A-scan of Figure 7d, which is relevant to the scattered field probed at the receiving point in $L_s = 70$ cm (receiver #21), aligned above the cylinder. The amplitude of the field with the three-relaxations model is more than halved in the first pulse, and of very low amplitude in the later echo relevant to the scattering from the backside of the target, due to the high absorption introduced by the target filling material. The example shows the effectiveness of a model with frequency dispersive materials in the simulation of GPR targets.
Figure 7. B-scan radargrams for a clay target with different electrical and dielectric parameters in Equation (35): (a) constant parameters; (b) one Debye relaxation; (c) three Debye relaxations; (d) comparison of the A-scan above the target (receiver #21) for the permittivity models of cases (a–c).

4. Conclusions

The time-domain CWA has been applied to scattering by buried dielectric targets. A line-current source in TM polarization has been included in the theoretical approach to model the field radiated by the transmitting antenna. A fully spectral approach has been applied for the modelling of the temporal waveforms of the pulses and the space dependence of the radiated field. The results, reported in the form of A-scan and B-scan radargrams, have shown multiple echoes, relevant to all the interactions of the source field with the target and the interface above the target, proving the accuracy of the developed approach. Moreover, results considering targets with filling material with dispersive permittivity have been shown with one more Debye relaxation. The technique has been compared with numerical results obtained through a FDTD code [29], with a very good agreement. Comparisons between the results obtained by the present approach and experimental data from GPR campaigns could also be worthwhile, but this will be the subject of future works.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.P., M.S. and G.S.; formal analysis C.P., M.S. and G.S.; methodology C.P., M.S. and G.S.; validation C.P., M.S. and G.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was partly supported by the Italian Ministry for Education, University, and Research under the project PRIN2015 U-VIEW, grant number 20152HWRSL.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Daniels, D.J. Surface Penetrating Radar, 2nd ed.; IEE: London, UK, 2004.
2. Jol, H.M. Ground Penetrating Radar: Theory and Applications; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2008.
3. Godman, D.; Piro, S. *GPR Remote Sensing in Archeology: Understanding GPR Via Simulator*, Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2013.

4. Amin, M.G. *Through-the-Wall Radar Imaging*, CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2011.

5. Zhou, X.; Chen, H.; Hao, T. Efficient detection of buried plastic pipes by combining GPR and electric field methods. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2019, 57, 3967–3979. [CrossRef]

6. Estatico, C.; Fedeli, A.; Pastorino, M.; Randazzo, A. A multifrequency inexact-Newton method in L p Banach spaces for buried objects detection. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 2015, 63, 4198–4204. [CrossRef]

7. Salucci, M.; Oliveri, G.; Massa, A. GPR prospecting through an inverse-scattering frequency-hopping multifocusing approach. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2015, 53, 6573–6592. [CrossRef]

8. Brancaccio, A.; Leone, G. Multimonostatic shape reconstruction of dielectric cylinders by a linear inversion approach. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2010, 48, 3152–3161. [CrossRef]

9. Soldovieri, F.; Solimene, R. Through-wall imaging via a linear inverse scattering algorithm. *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* 2007, 4, 513–517. [CrossRef]

10. Nounouh, S.; Eyraud, C.; Litman, A.; Tortel, H. Multistatic ground-penetrating radar experiments. *Near Surf. Geoph.* 2015, 13, 211–218. [CrossRef]

11. Counts, T.; Gurbuz, A.C.; Scott, W.R.; McClellan, J.H.; Kim, K. Near-subsurface imaging in an absorbing embedding medium with a multistatic/single frequency scanner. *IEEE Trans. Geosc. Remote Sens.* 2007, 45, 2544–2553. [CrossRef]

12. Yarovoy, T.G.; Savelyev, P.; Aubry, P.; Ligthart, L.P. UWB array-based sensor for near-field imaging. *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.* 2007, 55, 1288–1295. [CrossRef]

13. Howard, Q. The electromagnetic fields of a subterranean cylindrical inhomogeneity excited by a line source. *Geophysics* 1972, 37, 975–984. [CrossRef]

14. Ogunade, S.O. Electromagnetic response of an embedded cylinder for line current excitation. *Geophysics* 1981, 46, 45–52. [CrossRef]

15. Mahmoud, S.F.; Ali, S.M.; Wait, J.R. Electromagnetic scattering from a buried cylindrical inhomogeneity inside a lossy earth. *Radio Sci.* 1981, 16, 1285–1298. [CrossRef]

16. Hongo, K.; Hamamura, A. Asymptotic solutions for the scattered field of plane wave by a cylindrical obstacle buried in a dielectric half-space. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 1986, 34, 1306–1312. [CrossRef]

17. Kuo, H.; Moghaddam, M. Electromagnetic scattering from a buried cylinder in layered media with rough interfaces. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 2002, 50, 2392–2401. [CrossRef]

18. Lawrence, E.; Sarabandi, K. Electromagnetic scattering from a dielectric cylinder beneath a slightly rough surface. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 2002, 50, 1368–1376. [CrossRef]

19. Vico, M.D.; Frezza, F.; Pajewski, L.; Schettini, G. Scattering by a finite set of perfectly conducting cylinders buried in a dielectric half-space: A spectral-domain solution. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 2005, 53, 719–727. [CrossRef]

20. Altuncu, Y.; Yapar, A.; Akduman, I. On the scattering of electromagnetic waves by bodies buried in a half-space with locally rough interface. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2006, 44, 6573–6592. [CrossRef]

21. Crocco, L.; D’Urso, M.; Isernia, T. The contrast source-extended Born model for 2D subsurface scattering problems. *Progr. Electr. Res. B* 2009, 17, 343–359. [CrossRef]

22. Lee, S.-C. Scattering by a radially stratified infinite cylinder buried in an absorbing half-space. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. Opt. Image Sci.* 2013, 30, 565–572. [CrossRef]

23. Bourlier, C.; Pinel, N.; Kubick, G. *Method of Moments for 2D Scattering Problems: Basic Concepts and Applications*, Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2013.

24. Nasr, M.A.; Eshrah, I.A.; Hashish, E.A. Electromagnetic scattering from a buried cylinder using a multiple reflection approach: TM case. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 2014, 62, 2702–2707. [CrossRef]

25. Negm, S.; Eshrah, I.A.; Badr, R.M. Electromagnetic scattering from a buried cylinder using T-matrix and signal-flow-graph approach. In *Proceedings of the EuCAP*, Lisbon, Portugal, 13–17 April 2015; pp. 1–4.

26. Kunz, K.; Luebbers, R. *The Finite Difference Time Domain Method for Electromagnetics*, CRC Press: London, UK, 1993.

27. Dogaru, T.; Carin, L. Time-domain sensing of targets buried under a rough air-ground interface. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 1998, 46, 360–372. [CrossRef]

28. Moss, C.D.; Teixeira, F.L.; Yang, Y.E.; Kong, J.A. Finite-difference time-domain simulation of scattering from objects in continuous random media. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2002, 40, 178–186. [CrossRef]
29. Warren, C.; Giannopoulos, A.; Giannakis, I. GprMax: Open source software to simulate electromagnetic wave propagation for Ground Penetrating Radar. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 2016, 209, 163–170. [CrossRef]

30. Park, B.; Kim, J.; Lee, J.; Kang, M.-S.; An, Y.-K. Underground object classification for urban roads using instantaneous phase analysis of ground-penetrating radar (GPR) data. *Remote Sens.* 2018, 10, 1417. [CrossRef]

31. Zhang, W.Y.; Hao, T.; Chang, Y.; Zhao, Y.H. Time-frequency analysis of enhanced GPR detection of RF tagged buried plastic pipes. *NDT E Int.* 2017, 92, 88–96. [CrossRef]

32. Vitebskiy, S.; Sturgess, K.; Carin, L. Short-pulse plane-wave scattering from buried perfectly conducting bodies of revolution. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 1996, 44, 143–151. [CrossRef]

33. Losada, V.; Boix, R.R.; Medina, F. Short-pulse electromagnetic scattering from conducting circular plates. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2003, 41, 988–997. [CrossRef]

34. Wang, Y.; Longstaff, I.D.; Leat, C.J.; Shauley, N.V. Complex natural resonances of conducting planar objects buried in a dielectric half-space. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2001, 39, 1183–1189. [CrossRef]

35. Lu, T.; Cai, W.; Zhang, P. Discontinuous Galerkin time-domain method for GPR simulation in dispersive media. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2005, 43, 72–80.

36. Lou, Z.; Petersson, L.E.R.; Jin, J.-M.; Riley, D.J. Total- and scattered-field decomposition technique for the finite-element time-domain modeling of buried scatterers. *IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett.* 2005, 4, 133–137.

37. Di Vico, M.; Frezza, F.; Pajewski, L.; Schettini, G. Scattering by buried dielectric cylindrical structures. *Radio Sci.* 2005, 40. [CrossRef]

38. Frezza, F.; Pajewski, L.; Ponti, C.; Schettini, G.; Tedeschi, N. Electromagnetic scattering by a metallic cylinder buried in a lossy medium with the cylindrical-wave approach. *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* 2013, 10, 179–183. [CrossRef]

39. Frezza, F.; Pajewski, L.; Ponti, C.; Schettini, G. Scattering by perfectly conducting circular cylinders buried in a dielectric slab through the cylindrical-wave approach. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 2009, 57, 1208–1217. [CrossRef]

40. Frezza, F.; Pajewski, L.; Ponti, C.; Schettini, G. Line source scattering by buried perfectly conducting circular cylinders. *Int. J. Antennas Propag.* 2012, 2012. [CrossRef]

41. Ponti, C.; Vellucci, S. Scattering by conducting cylinders below a dielectric layer with a fast noniterative approach. *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.* 2015, 63, 30–39. [CrossRef]

42. Fiaz, M.A.; Frezza, F.; Pajewski, L.; Ponti, C.; Schettini, G. Scattering by a circular cylinder buried under a slightly rough surface: The cylindrical-wave approach. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 2012, 60, 2834–2842. [CrossRef]

43. Fiaz, M.A.; Frezza, F.; Ponti, C.; Schettini, G. Electromagnetic scattering by a circular cylinder buried below a slightly rough Gaussian surface. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. Opt. Image Sci.* 2014, 31, 26–34. [CrossRef]

44. Cincotti, G.; Gori, F.; Santarsiero, M.; Frezza, F.; Furnó, F.; Schettini, G. Plane wave expansion of cylindrical functions. *Opt. Commun.* 1993, 95, 192–198. [CrossRef]

45. Frezza, F.; Martinelli, P.; Pajewski, L.; Schettini, G. Short-pulse electromagnetic scattering by buried perfectly conducting cylinders. *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* 2007, 4, 611–615. [CrossRef]

46. Ponti, C.; Santarsiero, M.; Schettini, G. Electromagnetic scattering of a pulsed signal by conducting cylindrical targets embedded in a half-space medium. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* 2017, 65, 1208–1217. [CrossRef]

47. Ponti, C.; Santarsiero, M.; Schettini, G. Full-wave analysis of the scattering of a pulsed light beam by dielectric cylinders embedded in a homogeneous medium. *J. Opt.* 2019, 21. [CrossRef]

48. Balanis, C.A. *Advanced Engineering Electromagnetics*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1989.

49. Sneddon, N. *Mixed Boundary-Value Problems in Potential Theory*; North-Holland: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1966.

50. Ghavami, M.; Michael, L.B.; Kohno, R. *Ultra-Wideband Signal and Systems in Communication Engineering*; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2004.

51. Brigham, E.O. *The Fast Fourier Transform and Its Applications*; Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, 1988.

52. Loewer, M.; Igel, J. FDTD simulation of GPR with a realistic multi-pole Debye description of lossy and dispersive media. In Proceedings of the 2016 16th International Conference on Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), Hong Kong, China, 13–16 June 2016.