Anaphase B Precedes Anaphase A in the Mouse Egg
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Summary

Segregation of chromosomes at the time of cell division is achieved by the microtubules and associated molecules of the spindle. Chromosomes attach to kinetochore microtubules (kMTs), which extend from the spindle pole region to kinetochores assembled upon centromeric DNA. In most animal cells studied, chromosome segregation occurs as a result of kMT shortening, which causes chromosomes to move toward the spindle poles (anaphase A). Anaphase A is typically followed by a spindle elongation that further separates the chromosomes (anaphase B) [1–5]. The experiments presented here provide the first detailed analysis of anaphase in a live vertebrate oocyte and show that chromosome segregation is initially driven by a significant spindle elongation (anaphase B), which is followed by a shortening of kMTs to fully segregate the chromosomes (anaphase A).

Loss of tension across kMTs at anaphase onset produces a force imbalance, allowing the bipolar motor kinesin-5 to drive early anaphase B spindle elongation and chromosome segregation. Early anaphase B spindle elongation determines the extent of chromosome segregation and the size of the resulting cells. The vertebrate egg therefore employs a novel mode of anaphase wherein spindle elongation caused by loss of k-fiber tension is harnessed to kick-start chromosome segregation prior to anaphase A.

Results and Discussion

Anaphase B Occurs Prior to Anaphase A in Mouse Eggs and Determines Cell Size

To begin to understand the mechanism of anaphase in oocytes, real-time examination of microtubules and chromosomes was performed in mouse meiosis II eggs. Prior to chromosome segregation, the metaphase spindle was 27 ± 0.7 μm in length. Chromosome separation began 10–20 min after parthenogenetic egg activation (Figure 1). Strikingly, a marked elongation of the spindle occurred concomitantly with the initiation of anaphase onset in all eggs examined, during which the spindle reached 35.2 ± 0.8 μm (30% ± 2% length increase). During this initial period, poleward movement of the chromosomes was relatively minor, and comparison of overall chromatid separation and the chromosome-pole distance revealed that spindle elongation accounted for the majority of chromosome movement (~75% contribution). Following the spindle elongation, chromosome segregation was completed by poleward chromosome movement (anaphase A). There was no further change in spindle length once the chromosomes arrived at spindle poles, and spindle length and chromosome separation distance remained constant throughout cytokinesis and polar body formation (see Figure S1 available online). Thus, anaphase in eggs is first driven by a marked spindle elongation (anaphase B), which is followed by anaphase A poleward chromosome motion that completes chromosome segregation.

Next, experiments were designed to dissect the mechanism of early-anaphase spindle elongation. Given the recent descriptions of interactions between actin microfilaments and spindle microtubules in mouse oocytes [6–8] and of the ability of microfilaments to influence spindle morphology [9], the involvement of microfilaments was tested. As expected, the actin-depolymerizing agent cytochalasin B removed the cortical actin cap characteristic of eggs and prevented polar body formation, demonstrating effective microfilament disruption (data not shown). However, cytochalasin B did not prevent early-anaphase spindle elongation (33% ± 3% elongation) or have any effect upon chromosome separation velocity (Figure 2A).

In addition to kinetochore microtubules (kMTs) that attach chromosomes, spindles possess interpolar microtubules (ipMTs) that extend beyond the chromosomes, causing antiparallel microtubules to overlap. In vertebrate eggs, the bipolar plus-end-directed motor kinesin-5 contributes to steady-state spindle length by sliding overlapping antiparallel MTs poleward, thereby generating an “outward” force that maintains the pole-pole distance in metaphase [10–12]. This contrasts with mammalian somatic cells, where kinesin-5 is largely dispensable for spindle length in metaphase [10, 13–15]. To determine whether kinesin-5 contributes to early-anaphase spindle elongation, eggs were treated with monastrol, which has been validated as a reliable kinesin-5 inhibitor in a variety of systems, including mouse oocytes [12, 16, 17]. In contrast to metaphase, monastrol did not cause spindle collapse in anaphase. However, monastrol significantly suppressed early-anaphase spindle elongation: monastrol-treated spindles elongated by only 14% ± 2% of their original length (p < 0.001). Chromosomes nonetheless moved to spindle poles, suggesting that anaphase A was unaffected (Figure 2A). Thus, although other mechanisms may also participate, kinesin-5 makes a major contribution to early-anaphase spindle elongation. Consistent with a failure of spindle elongation, polar bodies formed by monastrol-treated oocytes were significantly smaller than controls (p < 0.001; Figure 2B). Therefore, the early anaphase B determines the final extent of chromosome segregation and thereby dictates the size of the resulting cell (the polar body).

Chromosome Disjunction Triggers Early Anaphase B

In some systems, interventions that interfere with chromosome cohesion or with MT-kinetochore interactions cause metaphase spindle lengthening, suggesting that “outward” spindle forces place kMTs under tension in metaphase and k-fiber tension exerts an “inward” force restricting metaphase spindle length [18–23]. Given that early-anaphase spindle elongation occurs at the onset of anaphase, when sister chromatid cohesion is lost, and given that kinesin-5 is necessary both for spindle length maintenance in metaphase and for elongation in anaphase, it was reasoned that early-anaphase
spindle elongation may reflect a loss of force-balance equilibrium after sister cohesion and k-fiber tension are lost. This hypothesis makes three testable predictions. First, kinesin-5 should participate in placing chromosomes under tension in metaphase. Second, failure of chromosome disjunction should prevent anaphase spindle elongation. Third, loss of chromosome cohesion should cause metaphase spindle lengthening.

To investigate whether chromosomes are under tension in metaphase, the effect of the MT-destabilizing agent nocodazole was examined. Nocodazole causes rapid loss of ipMTs and decreases overall spindle MT density [24] (Figures 3A and S2). Five minutes after nocodazole addition, sister kinetochores were substantially closer together than in controls, revealing that, as in other systems [25, 26], chromosomes are under tension in metaphase eggs. To directly assess the role of kinesin-5, a similar experiment was performed using monastrol. As previously, monastrol caused spindle shortening without loss of spindle MT density [12]. Ten minutes of monastrol treatment substantially shortened interkinetochore distance (p < 0.01; Figure 3A). Thus, kinesin-5 contributes to placing chromosomes under tension and maintaining interkinetochore spacing in metaphase eggs.

Next, an experiment was performed to determine whether preventing chromosome disjunction would inhibit early-anaphase spindle elongation. Sister chromatid cohesion in metaphase is maintained by cohesins. Anaphase chromatid disjunction is permitted by destruction of the separase inhibitor securin, which frees separase to cleave cohesin subunits (reviewed in [27]). Therefore, to prevent loss of chromosome cohesion at anaphase, a YFP-tagged securin containing D box and KEN box mutations that render it indestructible by the anaphase-promoting complex pathway ([D-box/KEN-box]securin-YFP) [28] was employed. Parthenogenetically activated [D-box/KEN-box]securin-YFP eggs failed to extrude a polar body in most cases but formed pronuclei with approximately normal timing, confirming M phase exit. Spindle microtubules underwent morphological changes characteristic of anaphase, including formation of a dense and constricted spindle midzone prior to chromosome decondensation and pronucleus formation (Figure 3B). Consistent with a failure to cleave cohesins, chromosomes failed to segregate to spindle poles normally. However, the characteristic spindle elongation that normally follows egg activation was never observed (Figure 3B). Thus, the ability to segregate sisters is necessary to achieve anaphase spindle elongation.

Finally, to test the prediction that artificially triggering chromatid disjunction might cause spindle elongation, eggs were microinjected with morpholino antisense oligonucleotides that cause specific and efficient depletion of securin in mouse eggs (securin-MO) [29, 30]. Colabeling kinetochores and DNA confirmed that securin-MO caused sister chromatid disjunction (Figure 3C), as previously [29]. Notably, spindles in securin-MO-injected oocytes were substantially elongated compared to controls (p < 0.01), with poles contacting the plasmalemma in all cases, and chromatids at apparently random positions along the spindle (Figure 3C). Concurrent controls injected with a nonspecific MO sequence (control-MO) were of normal length and appearance. Monastrol caused spindle collapse in securin-MO eggs, suggesting that kinesin-5 continues to exert an outward force after chromatid disjunction and that further spindle elongation is limited by the plasmalemma (Figure S2B). Cold-shock treatment (see Experimental Procedures), which allows preferential
visualization of stable MTs, revealed that whereas control-MO spindles possessed kMT bundles stretching from poles to the kinetochores, as expected, securin-MO spindles possessed faint arrays of MTs with laterally associated chromosomes (Figure 3C). Therefore, experimentally inducing sister chromatid disjunction produces spindles without kMTs that undergo an elongation, consistent with a role for kMTs in restraining spindle length. The extent of spindle elongation in these experiments (~60% elongation) was greater than the ~30% elongation at anaphase. This likely reflects the lack of a cyclin B/cdk1 activity decrease, which limits spindle elongation in anaphase in mitosis [31]. In summary, these experiments are all consistent with a model in which kinesin-5 and interpolar MT-dependent forces place kMTs under tension in metaphase. The imbalance of forces that is created by the loss of kMT tension triggers the early-anaphase B spindle elongation, which in turn powers the initial phase of chromosome separation.

**kMTs Disassemble at Both Ends during Anaphase A**

Experiments were next designed to determine the mechanism of poleward chromosome motion (anaphase A). Anaphase A kMT shortening can occur by MT disassembly at spindle poles, and also at kinetochores (termed “pacman”). In somatic cells, the relative contribution of MT disassembly at the pole is typically ~1/3, and pacman is ~2/3 [32–35]. However, in meiosis II Xenopus oocyte homogenates and in insect spermatocytes, anaphase A is primarily accounted for by MT disassembly at the pole [26, 36–38], suggesting that pacman may be of relatively minor importance in meiosis. In anaphase mouse eggs, following cold-shock treatment, microtubule bundles were evident linking the spindle pole to the kinetochore, with the kinetochore found at the leading edge of the chromosome (Figure 4A), consistent with kMT shortening being the means of anaphase A chromosome motion. To determine the site of kMT shortening in anaphase, photoactivatable GFP::tubulin (PAGFP::tubulin) was expressed in
Figure 3. Evidence that Chromosome Disjunction Triggers Anaphase Spindle Elongation

(A) Kinesin-5 contributes to placing chromosomes under tension in metaphase. Eggs were treated with nocodazole (10 µM, 5 min) or monastrol (200 µM, 10 min) prior to fixation. Interkinetochore distance was measured for seven or more kinetochore pairs per oocyte in a minimum of ten oocytes per treatment group. Insets show typical single confocal slices of CREST-labeled kinetochores used for interkinetochore distance measurements. MT brightness has been increased in the nocodazole-treated example to allow visualization of the remaining microtubules. Either treatment significantly decreased interkinetochore spacing compared to untreated controls (analysis of variance, Tukey, p < 0.01).

(Bi and Bii) Nondegradable securin prevents early-anaphase spindle elongation.

(Bi) Time-lapse microscopy of microtubules (MAP7-RFP, gray) and chromosomes (green) in DD-box/KEN-box securin-YFP-expressing eggs following parthenogenetic activation.

(Bii) Analysis of spindle length following egg activation. Note that the spindle lengthening normally associated with anaphase does not occur in DD-box/KEN-box securin-YFP-expressing eggs.
Anaphase Mechanism in Mammalian Oocytes

eggs. PAGFP::tubulin allows a subgroup of spindle microtubules to be selectively labeled and their location subsequently monitored using GFP fluorescence [39]. A line of photoactivated PAGFP::tubulin was created between the chromatid and spindle poles in anaphase A by brief targeted exposure to 405 nm light. The overall velocity of chromosome movement in these experiments was similar to the epifluorescence imaging experiments, confirming that photoactivation and confocal imaging did not impair anaphase. The PAGFP bar moved poleward during anaphase at 0.25 ± 0.03 μm/min, revealing continued disassembly at spindle poles in anaphase, albeit at a slower rate than in metaphase (~0.5–0.6 μm/min [12]). The distance between the chromosomes and the PAGFP bar progressively shortened at a rate of 0.35 ± 0.03 μm/min during anaphase A, indicating that kMT depolymerization at the kinetochore plays a major role in anaphase movement (Figure 4B). Thus, unlike in other meiotic systems studied to date, disassembly of MTs at the kinetochore makes a major contribution to anaphase A.

Understanding the mechanisms of chromosome segregation in oocytes is clinically important because segregation errors are relatively common in mammalian oocytes, both in meiosis I and in meiosis II, and lead to embryonic aneuploidy and pregnancy loss [40, 41]. Recent elegant experiments in C. elegans oocytes revealed that anaphase proceeds by a kMT-independent mechanism, leading to the proposal that anaphase may be highly specialized in oocytes across species [42]. In contrast, the present study suggests that anaphase in mammalian oocyte meiosis II employs a kMT-led mechanism. This has important ramifications for our understanding of the etiology of segregation errors because mechanisms of error correction in somatic cells may also be relevant in the mammalian oocyte. Whether the same mechanisms underpin anaphase in meiosis I is yet to be determined.

The experiments presented here provide a demonstration of the postulated role of tension across kMT fibers as a determinant of spindle length [22, 23]. This is supported here by three complementary observations: (1) anaphase spindle elongation occurs concomitant with loss of sister cohesion, (2) persistent cohesion prevents elongation, and (3) experimentally induced loss of cohesion causes spindle elongation. The loss of tension at anaphase onset thus causes a sudden increase in spindle length, causing anaphase B to precede anaphase A (see Figure 4C). That the loss of k-fiber tension is converted into an early anaphase B in eggs may be a reflection of cell size; the proximity of spindle poles to the plasmalemma and interactions of astral MTs with the cortex may limit early-anaphase spindle elongation in mitosis, whereas the size of the egg permits early-anaphase elongation. Alternatively, the elongation may reflect the importance of kinesin-5 in oocyte spindles. The reliance of metaphase length maintenance upon kinesin-5 in oocytes, in contrast to mitosis where kinesin-5 is largely dispensable for metaphase spindle length maintenance [10, 13–15], may prime the spindle for the unusual elongation that drives the first steps of chromosome segregation. Alternatively, more detailed analyses of spindle length in anaphase may unearth a similar mechanism in other cell types. It is concluded that the spindle of the oocyte in mammals, unlike in lower species [42], has employed much of the same machinery and principles as the somatic cell but has used them atypically, resulting in an unexpected back-to-front anaphase.

Experimental Procedures

Materials and Oocyte Handling

M1F1 mice were superovulated by sequential intraperitoneal injections of pregnant mare’s serum gonadotropin (PMSG) and human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) at a 48 hr interval, and eggs were collected from the oviducts. Experiments were carried out under a project license issued by the UK Home Office. All egg handling and live imaging was carried out in M2 medium at 37°C and overnight culture in M16 medium. To initiate anaphase, parthenogenesis was performed using 7% ethanol for 7 min at 25°C at 18–20 hr post hCG. To calculate polar body volume, the zona pellucida was removed using warmed acidified Tyrode’s solution (Sigma). Zona-free oocytes were then pipetted using a narrow pipette, which lysed the oocyte, leaving the polar body intact. Repeated pipetting allowed the vast majority of the ooplasm to be removed. Bright-field images were then taken of the rounded polar bodies, and volume was calculated from the measured diameter.

Manufacture and Microinjection of mRNA

Photoactivatable GFP::tubulin [39] was purchased in the pIREShyg vector from Addgene. MAP7-GFP and MAP7-RFP were used previously [12] and were a kind gift from Alex McDougall (Villefranche, France). Plasmids were amplified and linearized and mRNA was made using Ambion Message Machine T3 or T7 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Polyadenylated RNA was delivered using a microinjection apparatus consisting of Narishige micromanipulators mounted on a Leica DMi4000 inverted microscope. A microinjection pipette was passed into the ooplasm with the aid of a brief pulse of negative capacitance, applied using an intracellular electrometer (World Precision Instruments). A controlled delivery of ~50 oocyte volume was delivered using a picopump.

Imaging and Analysis

Live epifluorescence imaging of spindle dynamics was carried out on a Leica DMi4000 inverted microscope fitted with a charge-coupled device camera controlled using Leica LAS software. Oocytes were exposed to 5 μg/ml Hoechst for 5 s prior to imaging to label DNA. For immunofluorescence, oocytes were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min, permeabilized in 0.025% Triton-X for 10 min, and blocked in PBS containing 3% BSA for 1 hr at 37°C. Where used, cold shock comprised 10 min exposure to ice-cold medium immediately prior to fixation. Antibodies used were CREST serum (a gift from William Earnshaw, University of Edinburgh) and mouse anti-tubulin (Sigma), with fluorescent secondary antibodies as required (Invitrogen). Confocal imaging of fixed samples was performed on a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope.

PAGFP::tubulin experiments were performed on a Zeiss LSM 510 microscope, as previously described [12]. Photoactivation was performed by brief illumination by a 405 blue diode using the “bleach” function in the LSM software. PAGFP images were acquired using a 520 nm long-pass filter. Alexa 594-tubulin (a gift from Paul Chang, Harvard University) was imaged using a 546 nm laser and a 560 nm long-pass emission filter. Hoechst was excited using a 405 nm blue diode, and emitted light was...
Figure 4. Mechanism of Anaphase A in Mouse Eggs

(A) A spindle in an egg fixed and immunolabeled after cold-shock treatment in anaphase. Note that CREST-labeled kinetochores (red) are at the leading edge of the chromosome (green), at the interface between the chromosome and cold-stable MT fibers (gray) emanating from the spindle pole. Inset shows zoomed overlay of the area indicated by the box.

(Bi) Analysis of anaphase spindle MT dynamics using PAGFP::tubulin (green) and Alexa 594-labeled tubulin (red). A line of PAGFP::tubulin was photoactivated between the spindle pole and anaphase chromosomes (blue), and images were acquired thereafter. Note that as the chromosomes move toward the spindle pole, the distance between the PAGFP line and the pole shortens, as does the distance between the PAGFP line and the chromosomes.

(Bii) Fluorescence (a.u.) vs. Distance (µm) graph showing DNA (blue) and PAGFP (green).

(Biii) Distance (µm) vs. Minutes post parthenogenesis graph showing Chromosome-pole (blue), Chromosome-PAGFP (red), and PAGFP-pole (green).

(Biv) Velocity (µm/min) graph showing Chromosome-pole (blue), Chromosome-PAGFP (red), and PAGFP-pole (green).

C

(A continuation of the figure)
collected with a 420–480 nm band-pass filter. The first image was acquired ~1 min after photoactivation.
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