Lower temperature influences *Cauliflower mosaic virus* systemic infection

Roberto Alers-Velazquez1 · Sushant Khandekar1 · Clare Muller1 · Jennifer Boldt2 · Scott Leisner1

Received: 26 February 2021 / Accepted: 18 March 2021 / Published online: 28 March 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Lower temperatures delayed development of systemic symptoms by *Cauliflower mosaic virus* (CaMV) in two different plant hosts. However, lower temperature exposure increased CaMV nucleic acid levels in leaves of systemically-infected turnips. Furthermore, lower temperature altered the formation of aggregates formed by the CaMV major inclusion body (IB) protein, P6. Finally, lower temperature altered the architecture of the actin cytoskeleton. These data may suggest that lower temperatures alter the actin cytoskeleton, facilitating the formation of larger IBs that hold on to their internal virions more strongly than small ones, impairing virus particle release and causing a delay in systemic infection.
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Plant viral infections are influenced by a variety of environmental factors (Kassanis 1957; Liu et al. 2009). However, many questions remain regarding the relationship between temperature, virus propagation, and host-responses (Honjo et al. 2020). During the course of our work, interesting effects of temperature on *Cauliflower mosaic virus* (CaMV) infection were serendipitously discovered.

*Cauliflower mosaic virus* (CaMV) is a plant pararetrovirus that infects mainly cruciferous plants (Haas et al. 2002; Hull 2002; Hull and Covey 1985; Schoelz and Leisner 2017). Once introduced into plant cells by wounding or via aphids, CaMV virus particles are targeted to the nucleus, where the genomic DNA enters, is assembled into a mini-chromosome by binding to host histones, and is transcribed to synthesize two RNAs (19S and 35S) by host RNA polymerase II. The 19S RNA encodes the gene VI product, P6. The 35S RNA plays a dual role, serving as the polycistronic transcript for all the viral proteins along with acting as a template for reverse transcription to reconstitute the viral genome. CaMV genomic DNA, protein synthesis and virion assembly occur in cytoplasmic structures mainly composed of P6, called inclusion bodies (IBs) (Hohn 2013; Leisner and Schoelz 2018; Schoelz and Leisner 2017). IBs move along actin filaments (Harries et al. 2009) and this movement may be required for formation and fusion of smaller aggregates into larger ones (Alers-Velazquez et al. 2021).

Because of space limitations that developed in a previous study, CM1841-infected Chinese violet cress (*Orychophragmus violaceus*) was propagated in two greenhouses that ended up with different mean temperatures. Unexpectedly, plants grown in the lower temperature (18 °C) greenhouse developed systemic symptoms more slowly compared to plants propagated at a higher temperature (22 °C), which caused us to investigate further.

To ensure temperature was the deciding factor, Chinese violet cress was grown in moist soilless medium (Lambert, Quebec, Canada) in 10 cm square pots supplemented with 2.15 g per pot of Osmocote® Smart-Release® plant food 14-14-14 (Scotts Company, Marysville, OH, USA). Plants were then maintained in a growth chamber (25 °C air temperature, 45% humidity) under a 16 h light (130 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD)) and 8 h dark cycle. When the plants had developed 4–5 true leaves (usually about 4 weeks after sowing under the above-described environmental conditions), Chinese violet cress was then acclimated for 10 days at 18 °C or 22 °C (no change to other environmental conditions). Six plants per temperature were...
inoculated with cell sap extract from turnips (Brassica rapa) systemically-infected with CaMV isolate CM1841 (Gardner et al. 1981) as described in Leisner et al. (1992). Symptom formation was monitored daily. The time elapsed until the first leaf on each plant to showed complete systemic symptom coverage was recorded as the time for systemic symptom formation. Infected plants grown at 22 °C began to show symptoms after ~36 days post-inoculation (DPI) (Fig. 1a), while plants grown at 18 °C began exhibiting systemic symptoms ~25 days later.

To determine if this temperature-dependent delay in CaMV systemic symptom formation was unique to Chinese violet cress, turnips (cv. Just Right) were inoculated with CM1841 and maintained in growth chambers at 18 °C and 22 °C under the conditions described above. As hypothesized, a similar result was observed (Fig. 1b). All turnips inoculated with CaMV grown at 22 °C displayed systemic symptoms 10–13 DPI, while infected plants grown at 18 °C developed systemic symptoms 17–23 DPI. However, symptom severity appeared similar at both temperatures. These data are similar to findings reported by Pound and Walker (1945a, b) who reported that CaMV infection was delayed by lower temperatures in Brassica oleracea (cabbage). Yamaoka et al. (1982) reported that turnips inoculated with CaMV also showed a delay in systemic symptoms at lower temperature (20 °C) compared to a higher temperature (30 °C). Brusse (1965) also showed a delay of CaMV systemic infection in turnips grown at 15 °C compared to 21 °C. Therefore, the observation that lower temperatures delay systemic symptom formation appears to be a common property of CaMV infection.

One possible explanation for the delay in symptom expression at the lower temperature is that the reduced temperature affected plant biochemical processes, such as altering plant photosynthetic capacity (Athanasiou et al. 2010), which could influence virus infection. Photosynthetic rate and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were measured on both turnips (a CaMV host) and Nicotiana benthamiana (which is not a host), to examine the effects of temperature on host physiology and permit comparison of the responses between the two plant species. Measurements were made on non-infected plants to examine the effect of temperature alone. If both species were impacted similarly by temperature, then their physiology likely would be behaving in a similar way. N. benthamiana was selected because it is an excellent model plant for confocal microscopy studies that are not possible in a CaMV host plant.

Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements of plants acclimated at 18 °C or 22 °C for 1 week were measured using a LI-6800 portable photosynthesis system (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). Recently mature (fully-expanded, non-shaded) leaves of five (turnip) or four (N. benthamiana) randomly selected plants per temperature were measured. Leaf chamber conditions matched the respective growth chambers: 18 °C or 22 °C air temperature, 400 ppm carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentration and 150 or 0 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ PPFD (for light and dark-acclimated measurements, respectively; was provided by a 90:10 ratio of red:blue light-emitting diodes (LEDs)). Initial measurements (Fm', Fs) were collected on light-acclimated plants, starting at least 1 h after growth chamber lights turned on. Then chamber lights were turned off for 1 h to allow plants to dark-acclimate, after which Fo and Fm were recorded. The ratio of variable (Fv; Fm − Fo) to maximum fluorescence (Fm'; Fm) to maximum fluorescence (Fv/Fm') fraction of absorbed photons utilized for photochemistry by photosystem II (PSII) in a dark-acclimated leaf), effective quantum yield (ΦPSII; (Fm' − Fs)/Fm'; fraction of absorbed photons utilized by PSII for photochemistry in a light-acclimated leaf) and electron transport rate

---

**Fig. 1** Temperature effects on CaMV systemic symptom formation. Systemic symptom formation in CaMV-infected a Chinese violet cress and b turnips, grown at 18 °C and 22 °C. Gray squares indicate plants grown at 22 °C; black circles, 18 °C. x-axis indicates days post-inoculation and y-axis indicates percentage of plants (out of 10) displaying full systemic symptoms on their first leaf.
Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements (Table 1) in both turnip and *N. benthamiana* showed no significant differences between the two temperatures for ETR or Φ<sub>PSII</sub>. While a slight significant increase in F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> was observed in turnip (but not *N. benthamiana*) at the lower temperature, all values were still in the range (0.7–0.8) typical of non-stressed plants (Baker 2008; Ritchie et al. 2006), which likely means this difference is not biologically relevant. This slight increase in F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> at 18 °C could be due to the observation that turnips prefer a cooler temperature range than *N. benthamiana* (Brickell 1994; Young-Matthews 2012). Together, these data suggest that the temperature difference is not causing major changes to photosynthetic function for either species.

To examine the effects of temperature on virus components, viral DNA and 35S DNA levels were measured. Since symptoms appeared later in plants grown at the lower temperature, we believed it was important to measure viral macromolecule levels in systemically-infected leaves at the same stage of infection. Replication intermediates and transcript levels can change with stage of infection (Covey and Turner 1991). As illustrated in Fig. 1a, b, the infection process was not temporally synchronized. Therefore, the first leaf to show systemic symptoms over the entire leaf surface was used as our landmark to correlate infection at the two temperatures. Leaves were harvested as soon as they exhibited systemic symptoms.

The same amount of leaf tissue (~100 mg) from each infected plant within a temperature treatment was pooled into one sample. Uncapsidated and total viral DNA was isolated as described in Lutz et al. (2015). Total RNA was isolated from pooled tissues using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) with on-column DNase digestion according to the manufacturer’s specifications.

The effect of temperature on viral transcript and genome levels was investigated by quantitative PCR. Viral RNA levels were measured by one-step reverse transcriptase-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) using the iTaq® Universal SYBR® Green One-Step RT-qPCR kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) as described by the manufacturer’s specifications. Viral DNA was quantified in the same manner as viral RNA described above, only the reverse transcriptase step was omitted and just qPCR was performed. Primers 5′-AGGGCTCAAAATTTGCTTA-3′ and 5′-AAGTACGTAGTACCT-3′ (Love et al. 2005), that amplify a 141 bp fragment of gene I spanning nucleotides 753–893 were used for quantification of viral DNA. These primers amplify the 35S RNA but not the 19S RNA (that mainly spans gene VI, reviewed in Schoelz and Leisner 2017 and references therein) nor the short stop RNA (Sanfaçon and Wieczorek 1992). Viral RNA and DNA measurements were normalized against 18S ribosomal RNA or DNA levels, respectively, using primers 5′-CGTGATCGAGAATGCTACC-3′ and 5′-GGGTTTTGTTACGTTA-3′ (Love et al. 2005) that amplify a 199 bp fragment. Analysis of nucleic acid levels was performed as described in Lutz et al. (2015).

RT-qPCR data revealed that 35S RNA levels for plants grown at 18 °C were significantly higher than at 22 °C (Fig. 2a). Because CaMV is a pararetrovirus (Haas et al. 2002; Schoelz and Leisner 2017), we might expect viral DNA levels to match those of viral RNA. Indeed, total viral DNA levels were also significantly higher in leaves of CM1841-infected plants grown at 18 °C compared to 22 °C (Fig. 2c). Moreover, quantification of viral DNA when infected tissue was not treated with Proteinase K (which examines non-encapsidated viral DNA) showed a similar effect (Fig. 2b). This suggests the higher viral DNA levels are not strictly from packaged virions. Even though we measured viral DNA levels, our data agree with those reported by Pound and Walker (1945a, b) who, based on plant inoculation assays, showed that the number of infectious units was higher in the lower temperature-exposed, CaMV-infected plants.

### Table 1 Photosynthetic parameters for *Nicotiana benthamiana* and turnips at two temperatures

| Measurement | Mean at 18 °C | Mean at 22 °C | df | t | p-value |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|----|---|---------|
| *N. benthamiana* | | | | | |
| F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> | 0.77 ± 0.018 | 0.79 ± 0.014 | 6 | −1.472 | 0.192 |
| Φ<sub>PSII</sub> (μmol m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>) | 0.52 ± 0.038 | 0.56 ± 0.044 | 6 | −1.462 | 0.194 |
| ETR (μmol m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>) | 35.62 ± 3.00 | 38.52 ± 2.61 | 8 | −0.948 | 0.371 |
| Turnips | | | | | |
| F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> | 0.81 ± 0.004 | 0.80 ± 0.008 | 8 | 3.51 | 0.008 |
| Φ<sub>PSII</sub> (μmol m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>) | 0.67 ± 0.016 | 0.65 ± 0.023 | 8 | 0.963 | 0.364 |
| ETR (μmol m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>) | 45.63 ± 1.11 | 44.80 ± 1.60 | 8 | 0.948 | 0.371 |

Plants were acclimated to 18 °C or 22 °C for 10 days and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were determined using a LI-6800. Four (*N. benthamiana*) or five (turnip) plants were measured for each species at each temperature. Note, all the parameters showed no significant difference between the two temperatures except for F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> for turnip.
The elevated viral nucleic acid levels at the lower temperature could be explained by the observation that lower temperatures can inhibit plant siRNA pathways (Szittya et al. 2003). If these pathways were restricted in our study, more CaMV 35S RNA would accumulate. Since CaMV is a pararetrovirus (Haas et al. 2002; Schoelz and Leisner 2017), increased 35S RNA levels could result in elevated DNA levels. In our case, we observed elevated levels of both 35S transcripts along with encapsidated and unencapsidated viral intermediates at the lower temperature. Other reports (Covey and Turner 1991; Saunders et al. 1990) have shown that early in infection, the levels of the supercoiled
minichromosome are relatively low, while the amounts of viral transcripts and replication intermediates are high. Late in infection, the situation is reversed. We are unclear which forms of unencapsidated DNA are present but we would predict, based on previous reports (Covey and Turner 1991; Saunders et al. 1990), that the ratio of the levels of supercoiled unencapsidated DNA to replication intermediates would be lower at 18 °C compared to 22 °C.

CaMV protein synthesis, genome replication, and virion assembly occurs in IBs (Schoelz and Leisner 2017). Because CaMV macromolecule levels were higher at 18 °C than at 22 °C, it was possible that IB formation was altered by temperature. Therefore, IB formation was investigated. P6 is the major IB protein that can form IBs visible by confocal microscopy when tagged with fluorescent proteins and expressed in N. benthamiana leaves (reviewed in Schoelz and Leisner 2017). Since N. benthamiana is not a host for CM1841, we only examined IB formation by P6 alone at the two temperatures, not in the context of a viral infection.

Plant expression constructs expressing full-length CM1841 P6 fused to GFP and Talin fused to DsRed were previously reported (Alers-Velazquez et al. 2021; Angel et al. 2013; Lutz et al. 2015). Constructs were agroinfiltrated into N. benthamiana leaves and confocal microscopy was performed as described in Alers-Velazquez et al. (2021). Images, movies, size distribution and IB velocity measurements were performed as described by Alers-Velazquez et al. (2021).

IBs formed in leaf cells of inoculated N. benthamiana at both temperatures (Fig. 3a, b). However, there appeared to
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be fewer smaller (≤ 10 µm²) and more larger IBs at 18 °C than at 22 °C. Quantification of the IB size distribution (Fig. 3c) showed this was indeed the case. Additionally, the total number of IBs at 18 °C significantly decreased compared to 22 °C (Fig. S1a). Even though the number of IBs was lower at 18 °C, this temperature seemed to significantly favor the formation of IBs ≥ 40 µm² (Fig. S1b). The difference in temperature did not significantly affect IB mobility (Fig. S2). Both temperatures showed a similar proportion of high to low-mobility IBs. These data suggest that the lower temperature facilitated the fusion of smaller IBs into larger ones, producing the altered size distribution.

Alers-Velazquez et al. (2021) showed that large IBs exhibited properties similar to liquid–liquid phase separated compartments and appeared to have a 1,6-hexanediol-resistant, possibly gel-like core. Thus, large IBs may hold onto their virions more strongly than smaller ones do, which could account for the differences in the rate of infection under the two temperature conditions.

CaMV IBs are associated with and move along actin filaments (Angel et al. 2013) and lower temperatures alter the organization of the actin cytoskeleton in Arabidopsis thaliana (Fan et al. 2015). Thus, the difference in temperature in our studies could have affected the actin cytoskeletal network. When leaves of N. benthamiana plants grown at 22 °C or 18 °C were infiltrated with Talin-DsRed (that binds actin filaments (Angel et al. 2013)), confocal microscopy did indeed show differences in actin cytoskeletal organization at the two temperatures. N. benthamiana leaf cells in plants grown at 22 °C (Fig. 3e) showed a coarse network of well-defined actin cables running through the cytoplasm. However, cells from plants grown at 18 °C showed an altered organization of actin (Fig. 3d). It is possible that the altered actin cytoskeleton induced by low temperature concentrated IBs, facilitating fusion of small IBs into larger ones. These data may also explain the difference between our findings and those of Yamaoka et al. (1982). These authors reported that IB size was larger in infected plants propagated at 30 °C, compared to those grown at 20 °C. However, virus production was lower at 30 °C, possibly indicating that the pathosystem may be under stress. Thus, it may be that plants under stress exhibit an altered actin cytoskeleton. In future studies, it will be interesting to determine if the actin cytoskeletal architecture at 30 °C in our system resembles that at 18 °C.

In summary we report that CaMV systemic infection is delayed by low temperature. In spite of this, infected plants grown at 18 °C exhibited higher levels of viral 35S RNA and DNA, relative to 22 °C. These higher levels of viral macromolecules were correlated with a larger IB size distribution, presumably due to a restructuring of the actin cytoskeleton caused by the lower temperature conditions. It is interesting to note that certain P6 mutant viruses that produce mainly small IBs also show a reduction in viral nucleic acid levels (Lutz et al. 2015). So large IBs may be more efficient at synthesizing viral macromolecules than smaller ones. However, larger IBs may also hold onto their virions more effectively than smaller ones. This may impair release of virions from IBs and delay systemic infection. It is also possible that lower temperatures affect another aspect of virus infection such as restricting cell-to-cell or long-distance movement, delaying the development of systemic infections.
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