INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has resulted in health, social and economic crises worldwide. As of now, there have been more than 237 million confirmed cases and over four million deaths.

Various effective measures have been taken to control the COVID-19 pandemic, and active immunization with vaccines has proved safe and efficacious (Keehner et al., 2021; Sadarangani et al., 2021). However, vaccines require a certain amount of time to trigger an immune response, and the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines in medically complex patients remain unclear. On the contrary,
one of the most effective options to control COVID-19 can be based on therapeutic biological agents such as passive immunization with protective antibodies, which may provide immediate immunity to susceptible persons (Saghazadeh & Rezaei, 2020a). Antibody therapies have succeeded in the prevention and treatment of viral infection such as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (Saghazadeh & Rezaei, 2020b; Wu, Wang, Kuo, et al., 2020).

Egg yolk immunoglobulin (IgY), a counterpart to mammalian IgG, is the major serum antibody in avians. As a potential therapeutic antibody, IgY possesses many advantages compared with IgG due to its structural and immunological properties (Zhang, 2003). IgY can neither bind to Fe receptors nor activate complement components in mammalian (Grey, 1967); therefore, an exacerbation of COVID-19 through antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) could be potentially avoided (Lee et al., 2020). In addition, its safety, effectiveness, and stability have been widely recognized (Krief et al., 2002; Perez de la Lastra et al., 2020). Numerous studies have demonstrated the remarkable neutralizing activity of IgY in in vitro and in vivo experiments against SARS-CoV (Fu et al., 2006), influenza virus (Adachi et al., 2008), Ebola virus (Zhang et al., 2021), Zika virus (O’Donnell et al., 2019), Dengue virus (Fink et al., 2017), human norovirus (Zhu et al., 2019), etc. IgY can become an alternative for passive immunization (Abbas et al., 2019).

Like other coronaviruses, SARS-CoV-2 contains four structural proteins: spike (S), envelope, membrane, and nucleocapsid proteins. The S protein plays a key role in viral infection and pathogenesis, and it has been identified as a critical target for eliciting persistent neutralizing antibodies (Shanmugaraj et al., 2020; Yang & Du, 2021). Most of the neutralizing epitopes are located within the S1 subunit (Premkumar et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2021). The S1 subunit is further divided into a receptor-binding domain (RBD) and an N-terminal domain (NTD), both of which have been identified as critical neutralizing epitopes (Chi et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2019). We, therefore, considered that S1 could induce IgY antibodies against both RBD and NTD.

The aim of this study was to produce a recombinant SARS-CoV-2 spike protein S1 subunit in order to immunize chickens with it and to demonstrate the subsequent generation of neutralizing IgY antibodies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Prokaryotic expression, purification and identification of SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein**

*E. coli* (BL21-DE3-pLysS) transformed with a SARS-CoV-2 S1 encoding plasmid (pET28a-S1) and stably expressing SARS-CoV-2 S1 (containing a C-terminal 6×His tag) was constructed as described previously (Xia et al., 2021) and kindly provided by Dr. Feng Cong (Guangdong Laboratory Animals Monitoring Institute, Guangzhou, China). The transformed *E. coli* was grown in LB medium containing 100 μg ml⁻¹ kanamycin sulphate at 37°C with shaking at 200 rpm. At OD₆₀₀ 0.6, 1 mmol L⁻¹ isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to induce protein expression. Bacteria were collected, suspended and sonicated for 10 min in an ice bath. The His-tagged S1 protein inclusion bodies were dissolved in 8 mol L⁻¹ urea buffer and then the supernatant was loaded over nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) resin (P2233, Beyotime) as described by the manufacturer. The protein was refolded via step-wise dialysis, which removed the denaturant urea gradually. After dialysis, the insoluble substance was removed by centrifugation, and the protein solution was centrifuged with 10-kDa cut-off membranes (Millipore) for ultrafiltration.

The protein concentration was quantified using the BCA Protein Assay kit (Beyotime). Purification was determined by SDS-PAGE and analysed with Image J software. The rabbit anti-S primary antibody (1:3000; 40589-T62, Sino Biological) and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:5000; S0001, Affinity) were used for Western blot analysis to detect the purified S1 protein.

To detect the functional activity of the purified His-tagged S1 protein, we used biolayer interferometry (BLI) to study the binding kinetics and affinity of S1 to human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (hACE2; 10108-H02H, Sino Biological) on an Octet K2 instrument (FortéBio; Wang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). First, the Ni-NTA biosensors were loaded with 25 μg ml⁻¹ of the His-tagged S1 protein, and then dipped into PBST shaking for 300 s. After that, the S1 immobilized sensors were incubated with twofold serial diluted hACE2 for 300 s. Finally, the dissociation step was performed in PBST for 600 s. Binding kinetics were evaluated using a 1:1 Langmuir binding model (FortéBio Data Analysis 9.0 software).

**Chicken immunization**

The project was approved by the Ethics Committee of West China Hospital of Stomatology (WCHSIRB-D-2020-394). Eight Lohmann-Brown layer chickens (5-month-old) purchased from a local company were randomly divided into two groups, the S1 group (*n* = 5) and the control group (*n* = 3). Chickens in the S1 group were immunized by subcutaneous injections with S1 protein (500 μg/dose/animal). Booster immunizations were administered with the same dose at the end of the 2nd and 4th week after the
primary immunization. For the first injection, the antigen was emulsified at a 1:1 ratio with the complete Freund’s adjuvant and for two subsequent boosters with the incomplete adjuvant. The control group was injected with a mixture of PBS and adjuvant. Chickens were housed in a clean, ventilated environment. Eggs were pooled by group and by week of immunization. All chickens were euthanized to measure serum biochemical parameters, histopathological examination, and lymphocyte subset (CD3+, CD3+CD4+ and CD3+CD8+) analysis, at the end of the 7th week (see Figures S1–S3). The schematic illustration of the immunization procedure is shown in Figure 1a.

Isolation and purification of IgY

IgY antibodies were obtained by water dilution, ammonium sulphate precipitation and ultrafiltration (Akita & Nakai, 1992; Fishman & Berg, 2018; Gao et al., 2019; Hatta et al., 1990; Liu et al., 2017; Sudjarwo et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2019). More specifically, three to five eggs per week were randomly selected from each replicate for IgY purification at the end of the 7-week trial. Eggs from the same group were pooled together for IgY purification. The egg yolk was separated from the egg white and mixed with nine volumes of water, then the pH was adjusted to 5.0 to 5.2 with 2 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl. After incubating at 4°C for 12 h, the water-soluble fraction (WSF) was separated by centrifugation (10,000 g at 4°C for 30 min). The saturated ammonium sulphate solution was mixed with two volumes of IgY-rich WSF and incubated at 4°C for another 12 h to precipitate the IgY. The concentrated antibody precipitate was resuspended in PBS, and ultrafiltration was used to finally remove ammonium sulphate and to replace the storing buffer with PBS. Protein concentration was measured by BCA assay. The yield and purity of IgY
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**Figure 1** SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein preparation and the immunization strategy of chickens. (a) Schematic diagram of the immunization strategy to generate IgY against SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein. Booster immunizations of the same dose were performed at both the end of the 2nd and 4th week after the primary immunization (500-μg protein/dose/animal) (S1 group, n = 5). The control chickens (n = 3) were similarly immunized and boosted with the mixture of PBS and adjuvant. (b) SDS-PAGE analysis of proteins distributed between soluble and insoluble cell fraction after bacterial cell disruption. Lane 1 and 2, the total proteins of the transformed *E. coli* before and after induction. Lane 3 and 4, the total insoluble inclusion body proteins before and after induction; Lane 5 and 6, the total soluble protein before and after induction. (c) Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein in different purification steps by SDS-PAGE. Lane 1, inclusion body proteins solubilized in 8 mol L\(^{-1}\) Urea; Lane 2, supernatant after passing through Ni-NTA resin; Lane 3–5, the wash of Ni-NTA resin; Lane 6–12, elution from Ni-NTA resin. (d) The functional protein (folded form) was analysed by Western blotting using anti-S antibody and developed using DAB. M, molecular weight ladder. The triangle denotes the band corresponding to the SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein. (e) Binding profiles of SARS-CoV-2 S1 to hACE2 measured by BLI in Octet K2. Kinetic constants were calculated using a minimum of five dilutions of hACE2.
were determined by SDS-PAGE and analysed with Image J software.

**Determination of IgY binding titer**

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was used to detect the antigen-binding activity of egg yolk IgY and serum samples as described previously (Palaniyappan et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2016). Plates were coated with S1 protein at 50 ng per well in carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (0.05 mol L\(^{-1}\), pH 9.6) overnight at 4°C. The plates were then washed thrice with PBST, and blocked with blocking buffer (2% BSA in PBS) at 37°C for 2 h. Serial diluted IgY WSF (from 400- to 102,400-fold) and pooled chicken serum (from 1600- to 204,800-fold) were added (100 μl per well), respectively, and incubated for 1 h at 37°C, followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated rabbit anti-chicken IgY secondary antibody (1:6000, 100 μl per well) for 1 h at 37°C. The plates were washed four times with PBST, and developed using 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbiphenyldiamine (TMB) substrate (PR1200; Solarbio), following 2 mol L\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) addition to stop the reaction. The optical density (OD) was measured at 450 nm with a microplate reader (SpectraMax iD5). The egg yolk IgY and the serum samples of the control group were used as negative controls. The S1-specific IgY antibody (S1-IgY) binding titer was defined as the largest dilution at which the OD\(_{450\text{ nm}}\) (S1 group)/OD\(_{450\text{ nm}}\) (Control group) ratio ≥2.1 (Wen et al., 2012).

**SARS-CoV-2 S pseudotyped virus-based neutralization assay**

The pooled purified egg yolk IgY and the pooled serum samples were used for neutralization assay. The SARS-CoV-2 S pseudotyped virus was kindly granted by Professor Weijin Huang from the National Institutes for Food and Drug Control. The virus neutralization assay was conducted as previously described (Nie et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). Briefly, 50 μl of pseudoviruses (~5 × 10^4 relative light units, RLU) were incubated with IgY or serum serial dilutions for 1 h at 37°C. Then, 50 μl of ACE2-transfected HEK293T cells were added into the plates (2 × 10^4 cells per well), followed by incubation at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO\(_2\). Wells with no IgY or serum added served as virus controls, and wells with only cells and culture medium added served as the cell controls. The RLU of infected cells were detected using the Luciferase Assay Kit (E2610; Promega) 24-h post-infection. The Reed-Muench method (Matumoto, 1949; Nie et al., 2020) was used to calculate the virus neutralization titer for the IgY and the serum samples.

**The blocking capacity and competition assay of S1-IgY**

The binding affinity between S1-IgY and S1 protein was determined by BLI as previously described (Wang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). Moreover, to verify the ability of IgY to block the binding of hACE2 to S1 and the binding competition between S1-IgY and hACE2 to the S1 protein, we conducted two sets of BLI assays by exposing S1 first to S1-IgY and then to hACE2 or vice versa (Tan et al., 2017; Wu, Wang, Shen, et al., 2020). All experiments were performed at room temperature, and the biosensors were loaded with S1 protein (25 μg ml\(^{-1}\)) for 600 s to a response level of ~8 nm.

For the blocking experiment, C-IgY and N-IgY (the specific IgY against the conservative nucleocapsid protein of SARS-CoV-2; Lyu et al., 2021) were used as negative control and nonspecific control. After S1 protein was immobilized to Ni-NTA biosensors, the biosensors were first dipped into (i) 1500 μg ml\(^{-1}\) S1-IgY, (ii) 375 μg ml\(^{-1}\) S1-IgY, (iii) 1500 μg ml\(^{-1}\) N-IgY and (iv) 1500 μg ml\(^{-1}\) C-IgY shaking for 600s, respectively. The biosensors were then dipped into PBST for dissociation for 600 s, moved into 20 μg ml\(^{-1}\) hACE2 shaking for another 600 s, followed by another dissociation for 600 s. To determine the competitive characteristics, the biosensors immobilized with S1 protein were dipped into wells containing 20 μg ml\(^{-1}\) hACE2 for 300 s to get saturation. Subsequently, the biosensors were moved into (i) 1500 μg ml\(^{-1}\) S1-IgY, (ii) a mixture of 20 μg ml\(^{-1}\) hACE2 and 1500 μg ml\(^{-1}\) S1-IgY, (iii) 20 μg ml\(^{-1}\) hACE2 and (iv) PBST for another 300 s. Finally, the dissociation step was performed in PBST for 600 s. The responses of the S1-IgY and hACE2 binding to S1 were compared, and competitive/noncompetitive response was determined.

**RESULTS**

**The recombinant purified SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein showed binding activity to hACE2**

The solubility of the His-tagged S1 fusion protein was identified and the result indicated that, after induced by IPTG, the transformed E. coli BL21 expressed S1 proteins efficiently in the form of inclusion body (Figure 1b). The purity reached 95% after NTA affinity chromatography (Figure 1c). Approximately, 300 μg of the purified protein was obtained from 50 ml of the culture medium. Western blot analysis on the renatured protein revealed an approximately 45-kDa mass band corresponding to the expressed fusion protein (Figure 1d). According to kinetics analysis, the affinity of SARS-CoV-2 S1 binding
to hACE2 was very high \( (K_D = 1.98 \text{ nmol L}^{-1}) \), with an association rate constant \( (k_{on}) \) of \( 3.51 \times 10^4 \text{ (mol L}^{-1})^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) and a dissociation rate constant \( (k_{off}) \) of \( 6.94 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Figure 1e).

**S1-IgY exhibited high titer and strong binding affinity**

High antigen-binding specificity of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S1-IgY could be obtained from immunized chickens. The titer of S1-IgY in egg yolk attained a peak level (1:25,600) at week 6 (Figure 2a). The titer of serum antibodies attained the peak (1:102,400) both at week 5 and week 7, with a lower titer (1:51,200) at week 6 (Figure 2b). As Figure 2c showed, IgY was composed of heavy chains (about 65 kDa) and light chains (about 27 kDa). The crude IgY yield determined by BCA assay was about 31.15 ± 1.55 mg per millilitre of egg yolk, with a purity of nearly 60%. The purity of IgY increased to more than 90% after further purification, and the purified IgY yield was 9.98 ± 0.23 mg per millilitre of egg yolk. Figure 2d displays the time course of binding and dissociation of S1-IgY WSF at various concentrations to S1. S1-IgY WSF was found to bind to S1 with nanomolar affinity. It displayed rapid binding kinetics, with a \( k_{on} \) of \( 8.00 \times 10^2 \text{ (mol L}^{-1})^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) and a \( k_{off} \) of \( 2.54 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1} \), resulting in a \( K_D \) of 318 nmol L\(^{-1}\).

Organs, including lung, liver, and kidney of the chickens from the S1 group were grossly and histologically normal at the end of this study. Evaluation of the biochemical parameters showed all the indexes were normal except for several slight changes. Although there were no significant differences for the lymphocyte subset percentage in spleen and peripheral blood, there was a tendency for an increase (see Figures S1–S3).

**S1-IgY demonstrated high neutralizing activity against SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus infection**

The neutralization assay showed that SARS-CoV-2 was neutralized by S1-IgY and the IC\(_{50}\) value (the concentration causing 50% inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus infection) was 0.99 mg ml\(^{-1}\) (Figure 3a). C-IgY did not demonstrate any inhibitory effect in this assay (Figure 3a). Serum from the S1 group demonstrated neutralizing activity against pseudovirus infection with an IC\(_{50}\) of 1:18, the control serum showed no neutralizing activity (Figure 3b). Eighteen-fold diluted serum of immunized

---

**FIGURE 2** The strong immunization response to SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein in chickens. The change of S1-specific antibody binding titers in the egg yolk (a) and the serum (b). The level of S1-specific antibody binding titers was measured by ELISA using the purified recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein (OD at 450 nm) as an antigen and expressed as an ELISA value. (c) The SDS-PAGE profile of IgY. Two IgY chains appeared on the SDS-PAGE gel. HC, heavy chain ≈ 65 kDa; LC, light chain ≈ 27 kDa. M, molecular weight ladder; Lane 1, water-soluble fraction (WSF); Lane 2, purified IgY. (d) Binding profiles of SARS-CoV-2 S1 to S1-IgY. Binding kinetics were measured for five concentrations of S1-IgY at twofold serial dilution ranging from 1500 to 93.75 μg ml\(^{-1}\).
in terms of animal welfare. IgY has been described as a length S protein, S1 subunit or RBD were produced, and safe option since it is unable to activate the human complement (Larsson et al., 1992) or bind to the rheumatoid factor (Larsson et al., 1991) due to its structural features. Moreover, the phylogenetic distance between humans and chickens leads to a stronger immune response of IgY to human antigens (Gassmann et al., 1990).

Till now, several studies have been carried out on the potential anti-SARS-CoV-2 effect of IgY (Lu et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2021). IgY targeting the full-length S protein, S1 subunit or RBD were produced, and all studies showed a high titer and variable neutralizing activity against SARS-CoV-2. Our results align with the above findings. However, various factors like the different immunization procedures, test animals, or the time of antibody collection may contribute to the difference between research groups. Compared with the previously reported monoclonal antibodies (IC_{50} ranged from 0.03 to 50 μg ml^{-1}; Brouwer et al., 2020; Chi et al., 2020; Ju et al., 2020), the IC_{50} value of S1-IgY in our study was relatively high (IC_{50} 0.99 mg ml^{-1}), which may be attributed to the fact that the specific IgY only accounts for 1%–10% of the total IgY antibodies (Michael et al., 2010). Interestingly, IgY targeting the S1 protein has been previously found to be able to competitively bind to six SARS-CoV-2 spike protein mutants (Wei et al., 2021). Moreover, epitope mapping revealed that two of five linear epitopes of IgY-S (IgY targeting S protein) in SARS-CoV-2 S were cross-reactive with SARS-CoV S (Lu et al., 2020). This suggests that IgY may provide reliable protection against SARS-CoV-2, including the variants. Taken together, these findings and the work on IgY against SARS-CoV-2 presented here strengthen the potential application of IgY antibodies for the control of COVID-19.

Our study differs from those described above in that the antigen we used was obtained from an inducible prokaryotic expression system. This expression system has been well explored and widely used for recombinant protein production (Jia & Jeon, 2016). It is the most economical way to produce large amounts of recombinant proteins in a short time, which makes it more favourable for the large-scale production of antibodies. In addition, we observed a lower K_{D} value (1.98 nmol L^{-1}) than the other studies (8.02–145.7 nmol L^{-1}; Wang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020), and this could indicate that the recombinant S1 we used seems to be much more biologically active (Zhou et al., 2020). Interestingly, a study reported that SARS-CoV-2 was more efficiently neutralized by the serum derived from chickens achieved the same level of inhibitory efficiency as 0.99 mg ml^{-1} S1-IgY did.

**S1-IgY displayed strong ability in blocking the binding of SARS-CoV-2 S to hACE2 and partial competition with hACE2 for the binding sites on S1**

The attachment of S1 to hACE2 was completely blocked by the pretreatment of S1 with a high concentration (1500 μg ml^{-1}) of S1-IgY, and partially blocked by a lower concentration (375 μg ml^{-1}) of S1-IgY. No blocking by 1500 μg ml^{-1} C-IgY and N-IgY was detected (Figure 4a). As shown in Figure 4b, after S1 was almost saturated with hACE2, S1-IgY could bind to S1 in the presence of 20 μg ml^{-1} soluble hACE2 or without hACE2. The mixture of S1-IgY and hACE2 exhibited a lower response level than S1-IgY alone.

**DISCUSSION**

There is an urgent need of prophylactic and therapeutic interventions for the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic worldwide. IgY can be extracted from eggs and thus is beneficial in terms of animal welfare. IgY has been described as a safe option since it is unable to activate the human complement (Larsson et al., 1992) or bind to the rheumatoid factor (Larsson et al., 1991) due to its structural features. Moreover, the phylogenetic distance between humans and chickens leads to a stronger immune response of IgY to human antigens (Gassmann et al., 1990).

FIGURE 3 Characteristics of the neutralization activity of IgY antibodies against pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2. Serial dilutions of the pooled egg yolk IgY or the pooled serum preparations were pre-incubated with the pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2 at 37°C for 1 h before they were added to 293T-hACE2 cells. Luciferase activity was measured 24 h later to calculate IC_{50} of the antibody. Pseudovirus neutralization curves of IgY (a) and serum (b) from the control group (▲) and the S1 group (●) were shown. Data were expressed as mean ± SD from 3 to 5 replicates.
Competition binding to SARS-CoV-2 S1 between S1-IgY and hACE2. (a) The interaction between SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein and hACE2 was inhibited by S1-IgY. SARS-CoV-2 S1 was sequentially bound by S1-IgY, C-IgY or N-IgY at the indicated concentration followed by the hACE2 receptor. The legend lists the immobilized SARS-CoV-2 S1, IgY and receptors that correspond to each curve. (b) S1-IgY exhibited partial competition with hACE2 for the binding sites on S1. After loading with SARS-CoV-2 S1, the sensors were pre-incubated with hACE2 for 300 s and then dipped into S1-IgY, hACE2 or the mixture of the two for 600 s. PBST served as a nonbinding control. The graph displays the time course of binding patterns. The second binding and dissociation steps revealed the competition of S1-IgY and hACE2 with SARS-CoV-2 S1 (enlarged box).
mice immunized with S1 when compared with that of mice immunized with RBD (Wang et al., 2021). Moreover, another study showed that the S1 IgG titers were a better predictor of protection than the RBD IgG titers (Kurup et al., 2021). Considering that the NTD and RBD account for major portions of the SARS-CoV-2 S1 subunit (Huang et al., 2020), IgY antibodies induced by S1 likely bind to both RBD and NTD. The former could block viral entry by disrupting RBD binding to the hACE2 receptor, whilst the latter could do it by restraining the conformational changes of the S protein that are required for S2-mediated membrane fusion (Kurup et al., 2021; Yang & Du, 2021).

Although the great potential of chicken IgY for COVID-19 has been proposed by several labs (Lu et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2021), to our knowledge, the affinity of IgY to antigens has not been reported yet. Most current anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies neutralize viruses by binding to epitopes on the S protein of SARS-CoV-2 (Tai et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020). In this work, we characterized the binding kinetics of IgY and found that S1-IgY showed nanomolar binding affinity to S1 protein. Consistent with the results of the pseudotyped virus-based neutralization assays, BLI assay confirmed that the pretreatment of S1-IgY effectively prevented the combination of SARS-CoV-2 S1 and hACE2 at the molecular level. Moreover, the competition analysis revealed that S1-IgY displayed partial competition with hACE2 for the binding to SARS-CoV-2 S1. This suggests that S1-IgY and hACE2 may recognize different epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 S1 with partial overlap. The S1-IgY-mediated blocking effect may be related to conformational changes or steric clashes, as reported elsewhere (Lv et al., 2020).

The mucosal immunity is defective in controlling viral infections (Yewdell, 2021). Hence IgY-based therapies like oral preparations, oral sprays or nasal sprays could help in strengthening the barrier function of oral, nasal and gastrointestinal mucosa, as previously demonstrated (Rahman et al., 2013; Somasundaram et al., 2020). As the main entrance of viruses to the body, oral and nasal cavities are also high-risk sites for SARS-CoV-2 infection (Huang et al., 2021). Consistent with what we originally envisioned, a recent study found that IgY was maintained at detectable concentrations in the nasal and oral cavities for a matter of hours after administration (Shen et al., 2021). Therefore, an IgY-based oral preparation, oral spray or nasal spray could have favourable clinical implications for the current COVID-19 epidemic.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that after immunization of chickens with the recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein produced by an inducible prokaryotic expression system, S1-IgY antibodies with neutralizing activity against pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2 were generated. Moreover, S1-IgY showed nanomolar binding affinity to S1 protein and displayed partial competition with hACE2 for the binding to S1. Therefore, IgY targeting S1 subunit of SARS-CoV-2 could be a promising candidate for pre-and post-exposure prophylaxis or treatment of COVID-19.
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