Targeting SLMAP-ALK—a novel gene fusion in lung adenocarcinoma
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Abstract
Assessment of ALK gene rearrangements is strongly recommended by the Molecular Testing Guideline for Selection of Lung Cancer Patients proposed by IASLC, AMP, and CAP at the time of diagnosis for patients with advanced stage disease. Non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with ALK gene rearrangements or the resulting fusion proteins have been, for the most part, successfully targeted with ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). The most frequent rearrangement, the EML4-ALK oncogenic fusion, has more than 10 distinct variants, each with a discrete breakpoint in EML4. Recent studies have suggested that EML4-ALK variants may have differential responses to TKIs. Additionally, non-EML4-ALK fusions that result from ALK rearrangements with diverse 5' partners could possibly have varied biologic and clinical implications in their therapeutic responses and outcomes of patients with NSCLC. Existing literature documents at least 20 non-EML4 fusion partners for ALK, and the clinical responsiveness to crizotinib ranges from increased sensitivity to resistance. This underscores the importance of identifying the precise 5' fusion partner to ALK before initiation of therapy. Herein we report the identification of a novel SLMAP-ALK fusion in a patient with NSCLC.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 73-yr-old man, a former smoker, was found to have a right lower lobe spiculated mass with adjacent peribronchovascular soft tissue thickening (Fig. 1A) that was noted incidentally on MRI of his abdomen to monitor a previously diagnosed pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Subsequent PET CT showed a large, FDG-avid mass in the right lower lobe measuring 4.1 cm in its greatest dimension and no evidence of metastatic lesions.

The patient then underwent video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) resection of the right lower lobe with lymph node resection. Surgical resection showed pathology of a stage IIA (pT2bN1) lung adenocarcinoma, measuring 6.0 cm, acinar predominant with papillary micropapillary features (Fig. 1B). One lobar lymph node was positive for metastatic carcinoma, and one lymph node was involved by direct extension of tumor. ALK D5F3 clone immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on the lung specimen and showed diffuse granular cytoplasmic staining (Fig. 1C). The tumor was negative for p40 and focally positive for TTF-1 by IHC.

An ALK rearrangement detected using Anchored Multiplex PCR (AMP) technology was confirmed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with the ALK (2p23) break-apart probe (Fig. 1D). The patient was started on adjuvant chemotherapy: cisplatin and pemetrexed for four cycles. RNA analysis was performed on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue...
and revealed a novel fusion of SLMAP and ALK. Two different breakpoints in SLMAP, yielding two transcripts (exon 11 and exon 12) were fused with the canonical ALK breakpoint (exon 20), retaining the tyrosine kinase domain (Childress et al. 2018). After chemotherapy the patient was enrolled in a clinical trial (ALCHEMIST) and received treatment with crizotinib. Clinical and radiological follow-up has shown no evidence of recurrent or metastatic disease more than 2 yr after surgery.

**Figure 1.** (A) CT image showing a right lower lobe spiculated lung mass with soft tissue thickening (arrow). (B) Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained tissue showing lung adenocarcinoma, acinar predominant with papillary and micropapillary features. (C) Immunostaining for ALK D5F3 with strong granular cytoplasmic staining. (D) Positive fluorescence with ALK break-apart probe is represented with split red and green signals. Cells negative for the rearrangement show fused yellow signal. (E) Novel SLMAP-ALK fusions with two different breakpoints in SLMAP, yielding two transcripts (E12:E20 SLMAP-ALK and E13:E20 SLMAP-ALK) fused with the canonical breakpoint (exon 20) of the ALK gene. (Figure and legend continue on following page.)
DNA extraction from FFPE resection tissue was performed following the manufacturer’s protocol using DNeasy Tissue kit on the QIAcube (QIAGEN). The extracted DNA was subjected to library preparation and targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) using the TruSeq Amplicon—Cancer Panel on the MiSeq (Illumina). Variant analysis was performed with the NextGENe software v2.4 (SoftGenetics, LLC).

RNA from the same FFPE resection tissue was extracted using the ALLPrep DNA/RNA kit (QIAGEN). RNA fusions were detected with a custom panel targeting 17 genes involved in cancer, using Archer’s Anchored Multiplex PCR (AMP) NGS-based technology (ArcherDx). Briefly, after preparation of cDNA with random priming, the library is subject to a cDNA

---

**Figure 1.** (Continued) (F) JBrowse view of the SLMAP-ALK fusion. (G) Electropherogram from Sanger sequencing showing both SLMAP-ALK transcripts with the junctional sequence. Primers used are in Supplemental Table S1.

**TECHNICAL ANALYSIS**

DNA extraction from FFPE resection tissue was performed following the manufacturer’s protocol using DNeasy Tissue kit on the QIAcube (QIAGEN). The extracted DNA was subjected to library preparation and targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) using the TruSeq Amplicon—Cancer Panel on the MiSeq (Illumina). Variant analysis was performed with the NextGENe software v2.4 (SoftGenetics, LLC).

RNA from the same FFPE resection tissue was extracted using the ALLPrep DNA/RNA kit (QIAGEN). RNA fusions were detected with a custom panel targeting 17 genes involved in cancer, using Archer’s Anchored Multiplex PCR (AMP) NGS-based technology (ArcherDx). Briefly, after preparation of cDNA with random priming, the library is subject to a cDNA
quality check using a quantitative PCR (qPCR) assay that uses SYBR green to quantify fragments available for downstream amplification and library preparation. AMP chemistry then uses molecular barcodes along with universal primer binding sites to ligate with the double-stranded cDNA library for selection of fragments with known and novel fusion partners. Subsequent annealing with gene-specific primers that target the 17 genes in the fusion panel leads to PCR amplification of the fusion product. The resulting NGS library is sequenced on the MiSeq. Identification of fusion transcripts, exons, and breakpoints was performed with the Archer Analysis software v5.1.2 (ArcherDx). Novel fusions detected were confirmed by rt-PCR and Sanger sequencing of the product using BigDye Terminators on the ABI3130 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

ALK IHC was performed with ALK D3F5 Ventana CDx Assay (Roche Diagnostics GmbH) and FISH, using an ALK (2p23) break-apart probe (Abbott Molecular).

**GENOMIC ANALYSIS**

A novel ALK fusion was identified in the RNA that was analyzed using AMP technology. The transcript (Table 1; Fig. 1E) demonstrated a 5’ SLMAP fusion with 3’ ALK. Details of the breakpoints showed that there were likely two isoforms of the fusion—E12:E20 SLMAP-ALK and E13:E20 SLMAP-ALK. Both isoforms were in-frame and exceeded the quality control requirements for a confident fusion call. These included a minimum of three start sites, five reads spanning the junction and 10% of total reads (###/) as indicated in Figure 1E. The E12:E20 SLMAP-ALK fusion was clearly seen in the JBrowse viewer (Fig. 1F). Exon-specific primers that were selected and synthesized were able to correctly identify and confirm the fusion junctions of both—E12:E20 SLMAP-ALK and E13:E20 SLMAP-ALK—isoforms (Fig. 1G).

No clinically relevant DNA variants were detected in the genes targeted by the TruSeq Amplicon—Cancer NGS Panel.

**VARIANT INTERPRETATION**

Molecular testing is critical in the management of advanced lung cancer. Prior knowledge of the ALK fusion partner has an impact on the efficacy of ALK TKIs. In vitro studies have suggested that different EML4-ALK fusion transcripts show varied susceptibility to crizotinib (Heukman et al. 2012). Yoshida et al. (2016) have further documented differential clinical impact of ALK fusion variants to crizotinib in patients. Some partners lead to primary resistance to crizotinib, and some partners might predict efficacy of ALK TKIs other than EML4 in

| Gene 1 | Gene 2 | Position 1 | Position 2 | Exon #1 | Transcript #1 | Exon #2 | Transcript #2 | Fusion sequence | Number of supporting reads |
|--------|--------|------------|------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| SLMAP  | ALK    | Chr 3: 57850583 | Chr 2: 29446394 | 11      | NM_001304420.2 | 20      | NM_004304.4   | GAGAAAACCTCTAAAA GAATGCACGCTCTTG tgtaccgcgggaagaccag gagctgcaagc | 607                       |
| SLMAP  | ALK    | Chr 3: 57851019 | Chr 2: 29446394 | 12      | NM_001304420.2 | 20      | NM_004304.4   | TTACCTAAAAATATG GAGGACAGAAAAAG tgtaccgcgggaagaccag gagctgcaagc | 344                       |
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advanced ALK-positive NSCLCs (Kang et al. 2018). Guidelines for ALK testing recommend testing and include either ALK IHC or FISH. However, these methods do not identify or distinguish from any of the many ALK partners. The AMP technology is able to detect novel RNA fusions in tumors. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time a fusion of SLMAP and ALK has been reported in a solid or hematologic malignancy.

Patients with ALK fusion positive lung adenocarcinoma are generally younger and have either none or a light smoking history. These lung tumors have also been reported to more frequently show signet ring cell morphology (Pareja et al. 2015). This patient’s age, smoking history, and presence of papillary/micropapillary patterns are notable and support guidelines to routine testing for ALK fusions (Lindeman et al. 2018). Although patients with EML4-ALK fusions are likely to benefit from ALK inhibitor therapy, the response of other ALK fusion oncproteins remains to be investigated.

SLMAP encodes a striatin-interacting phosphatase and kinase (STRIPAK) complex with roles in cell signaling, cell cycle control, cell migration, Golgi assembly, and apoptosis. Missense mutations in SLMAP have been associated with the pathophysiology of diabetes and Brugada syndrome (Ishikawa et al. 2012; Upadhyay et al. 2015). Although SLMAP is not considered an oncogene, growing evidence correlates dysregulation of STRIPAK complexes with human diseases including cancer (Shi et al. 2016). As the genomic landscape expands, documentation of structural variants in which new and novel genes that associate with known drivers are responsive to targeted therapy is essential for patient care.

**SUMMARY**

This is a case of a 73-yr-old former smoker who had a lung adenocarcinoma that was positive by ALK IHC and FISH. NGS testing on the RNA revealed a SLMAP-ALK fusion. The patient has been free of recurrence and/or metastatic disease for 2 yr. Identification of new and novel fusions with appropriate NGS technologies can provide further biological and clinical insights into ALK-positive lung cancer. It is also helpful in understanding the variable responses to ALK-targeted therapies in patients with NSCLC. Identification of ALK fusion partners, using NGS, before initiation of therapy, may result in more effective patient management.
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