ENVIROCAT EPZG AS A HETEROGENEOUS CATALYST FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF 3,3-DISUBSTITUTED OXINDOLES
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ABSTRACT
Synthesis of 3,3-Disubstituted Oxindoles was achieved by one-pot multicomponent condensation of isatin, malononitrile and indole in presence of Envirocat EPZ-G as a heterogeneous environmental friendly catalyst. This is an environmentally benign method and reusability of the catalyst is beneficial over the others.
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INTRODUCTION
The multicomponent protocol has great applicability as an environmentally benign synthesis. It has minimization of steps, atom economy, high yield, minimization of waste, cost-effective natural availability, high thermal stability and reusability. In recent years research has started great attention towards the development of multicomponent organic synthesis by using inorganic material. EPZ-G is one of the versatile inorganic materials that act as an enviro catalyst with heterogeneous and acidic properties owing to the properties EPZG as Lewis acid reported in the different transformations such as synthesis of nitro olefins, silylation of alcohols, methoxylation of alcohols, aldoximes to nitriles, and Tosylhydrazone. In this protocol, we have reported a method of 3,3-Disubstituted Oxindoles synthesis using EPZG catalyst as an environmentally benign protocol.

EXPERIMENTAL
Various substituted isatin and Isatin derivatives (Sigma-Aldrich), malononitrile ((Sigma-Aldrich), indole and its derivatives (Himedia) were uses as received without purification. IR spectra were recorded on FT-IR -7600 Lambda Scientific Spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 400 MHz spectrometer in DMSO D6 using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard material.

General Procedure
In a 25ml round, bottom flask mixture of isatin (1mmol), malononitrile (1mmol), indole (1mmol) and 30mg EPZG catalyst was refluxed in 5mL water: ethanol (v/v 70:30) solvent system at about 80°C for the desired
time specified in Table-4. The completion of the reaction was observed by TLC. Upon completion of the reaction separation of the product was carried out by using ethyl acetate. Further purification was carried out by column chromatography using hexane-ethyl acetate (8:2) v/v mixture. All the products were purified by the same technique and were found to be correct. Further structures of the product were confirmed by $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR and IR.

**Spectroscopic Data of 3,3-Disubstituted Oxindoles (Table-1)**

| No. | Compound Description                                      | Color | MP (°C) | IR (KBr) cm$^{-1}$ | H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO -d$_6$) δ, ppm | C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO -d$_6$) δ, ppm |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1  | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 186     | 3395, 3351, 1734   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 2  | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-fluoro-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 339      | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 3  | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5,7-dimethyl-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 4  | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-methoxy-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 5  | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-fluoro-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 6  | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5,7-dimethyl-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 7  | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-methoxy-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 8  | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-fluoro-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 9  | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5,7-dimethyl-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 10 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-methoxy-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 11 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-fluoro-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 12 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5,7-dimethyl-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 13 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-methoxy-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 14 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-fluoro-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 15 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5,7-dimethyl-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 16 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-methoxy-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 17 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-fluoro-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 18 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5,7-dimethyl-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 19 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-methoxy-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
| 20 | 2-[3-(1H-indol-3yl) -5-fluoro-2-oxoindolin-3yl]malononitrile | Brown  | 340, 341 | 3351, 1734, 2142   | 2.34 (m, 3H), 5.19 (S, 1H, -CH)     | 29.88, 53.78, 107.87              |
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have developed the synthesis of 3,3-Disubstituted Oxindoles via one-pot multi-component condensation of indole, malononitride and isatin by using EPZ-G as a catalyst and in presence of mixed solvent system (Water: Ethanol70: 30 v/v) under reflux condition This is an expeditious procedure gives yield the fine product (Scheme-1). The results were obtained summarized in Table-1.

As the reaction was carried out with the different substituents in indole and isatin revealed delicate electronic effect, electron-donating groups deactivates the isatin as well as indole nucleus like methyl and methoxy group (entries 3 and 4, Table-1). Due to this prolonged reaction time and offered corresponding less yield of the product. Reacting nucleus bearing electron-withdrawing group such as Br, F (entries 5 and 6, Table-1) showed better yield.

We also synthesized 7- and 9-substituted products and presented their IR and NMR spectral data. We compared with the literature and showed in Table-1.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We have developed the synthesis of 3,3-Disubstituted Oxindoles via one-pot multi-component condensation of indole, malononitride and isatin by using EPZ-G as a catalyst and in presence of mixed solvent system (Water: Ethanol70: 30 v/v) under reflux condition. This procedure offers a rapid and efficient method for the synthesis of 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles in moderate to good yields. The results are summarized in Table-1.

### Table 1: Spectral data for 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles

| Entry | Formula | Color | m.p. | IR (KBr) cm⁻¹ | ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO -d₆) δ ppm | ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO -d₆) δ ppm |
|-------|---------|-------|------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | 2-[3-(2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-5-methoxy-2-oxoindol-3-yl] malononitrile | Brown | 162 ºC | 3350, 2923, 2249, 1708, 1603, 1480, 1287, 1156, 1113, 825 & 746 | 2.28-2.79 (m, 3H, -CH₃), 5.96 (s, 1H, -CH), 6.83-7.73 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 11.90 (bs, 1H, -NH) | 29.34, 40.52, 54.82, 54.90, 111.92, 112.17, 113.14, 114.89, 118.82, 119.92, 119.68, 120.33, 122.41, 123.07, 125.03, 127.75, 133.12, 134.76, 136.88, 159.04 |
| 2 | 2-[3-(2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-5-methoxy-2-oxoindol-3-yl] malononitrile | Brown | 162 ºC | 3350, 2923, 2249, 1708, 1603, 1480, 1287, 1156, 1113, 825 & 746 | 2.28-2.79 (m, 3H, -CH₃), 5.96 (s, 1H, -CH), 6.83-7.73 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 11.90 (bs, 1H, -NH) | 29.34, 40.52, 54.82, 54.90, 111.92, 112.17, 113.14, 114.89, 118.82, 119.92, 119.68, 120.33, 122.41, 123.07, 125.03, 127.75, 133.12, 134.76, 136.88, 159.04 |
| 3 | 2-[3-(2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-5-bromo-2-oxoindol-3-yl] malononitrile | Brown | 186 ºC | 3350, 2923, 2249, 1708, 1603, 1480, 1287, 1156, 1113, 825 & 746 | 2.28-2.79 (m, 3H, -CH₃), 5.96 (s, 1H, -CH), 6.83-7.73 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 11.90 (bs, 1H, -NH) | 29.34, 40.52, 54.82, 54.90, 111.92, 112.17, 113.14, 114.89, 118.82, 119.92, 119.68, 120.33, 122.41, 123.07, 125.03, 127.75, 133.12, 134.76, 136.88, 159.04 |
| 4 | 2-[3-(2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-5-methoxy-2-oxoindol-3-yl] malononitrile | Brown | 162 ºC | 3350, 2923, 2249, 1708, 1603, 1480, 1287, 1156, 1113, 825 & 746 | 2.28-2.79 (m, 3H, -CH₃), 5.96 (s, 1H, -CH), 6.83-7.73 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 11.90 (bs, 1H, -NH) | 29.34, 40.52, 54.82, 54.90, 111.92, 112.17, 113.14, 114.89, 118.82, 119.92, 119.68, 120.33, 122.41, 123.07, 125.03, 127.75, 133.12, 134.76, 136.88, 159.04 |
| 5 | 2-[3-(2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-5-methoxy-2-oxoindol-3-yl] malononitrile | Brown | 162 ºC | 3350, 2923, 2249, 1708, 1603, 1480, 1287, 1156, 1113, 825 & 746 | 2.28-2.79 (m, 3H, -CH₃), 5.96 (s, 1H, -CH), 6.83-7.73 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 11.90 (bs, 1H, -NH) | 29.34, 40.52, 54.82, 54.90, 111.92, 112.17, 113.14, 114.89, 118.82, 119.92, 119.68, 120.33, 122.41, 123.07, 125.03, 127.75, 133.12, 134.76, 136.88, 159.04 |
| 6 | 2-[3-(2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-5-methoxy-2-oxoindol-3-yl] malononitrile | Brown | 162 ºC | 3350, 2923, 2249, 1708, 1603, 1480, 1287, 1156, 1113, 825 & 746 | 2.28-2.79 (m, 3H, -CH₃), 5.96 (s, 1H, -CH), 6.83-7.73 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 11.90 (bs, 1H, -NH) | 29.34, 40.52, 54.82, 54.90, 111.92, 112.17, 113.14, 114.89, 118.82, 119.92, 119.68, 120.33, 122.41, 123.07, 125.03, 127.75, 133.12, 134.76, 136.88, 159.04 |
6, Table-1) reacts much faster and offered an excellent yield of the product. Perhaps low yield of product was due to the steric hindrance offered in 2-substituted indole (entries 8 to 13, Table-1)

![Scheme-1](image)

### Table-1: One-pot Multicomponent Synthesis of 3,3-Disubstituted Oxindoles

| Entry | Indole | Isatin | Product | Time (hour) | Yield (%) |
|-------|--------|--------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| 1     | ![Indole](image) | ![Isatin](image) | ![Product](image) | 6.5 | 93 |
| 2     | ![Indole](image) | ![Isatin](image) | ![Product](image) | 6 | 89 |
| 3     | ![Indole](image) | ![Isatin](image) | ![Product](image) | 7.5 | 86 |
| 4     | ![Indole](image) | ![Isatin](image) | ![Product](image) | 8 | 87 |
|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | ![Image](image1.png) | ![Image](image2.png) | ![Image](image3.png) | 6 |
| 6 | ![Image](image4.png) | ![Image](image5.png) | ![Image](image6.png) | 6 |
| 7 | ![Image](image7.png) | ![Image](image8.png) | ![Image](image9.png) | 6 |
| 8 | ![Image](image10.png) | ![Image](image11.png) | ![Image](image12.png) | 7 |
| 9 | ![Image](image13.png) | ![Image](image14.png) | ![Image](image15.png) | 7.5 |
| 10| ![Image](image16.png) | ![Image](image17.png) | ![Image](image18.png) | 9 |
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The results were obtained for 3,3-Disubstituted Oxindoles was summarized in Table-1, it was clear that the amount of catalyst increases yield of the product also increases with decreasing in reaction time, 30mg of the catalyst is sufficient for the reaction to obtained maximum yield (Entry 5, Table-2). Whenever amount of catalyst increases i.e. 35mg and 40mg no significant change in the reaction time and yield (Entry 6 and 7, Table-2). While amount of catalyst decreases then it effects the reaction time and yield. (Entry 1, 2, 3 and 4, Table-2).

| S. No. | Catalyst | Mol % | Time (h) | Yield (%) |
|-------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|
| 1     | EPZ-G    | 10    | 12       | 82        |
| 2     | EPZ-G    | 15    | 10       | 84        |
| 3     | EPZ-G    | 20    | 09       | 84        |
| 4     | EPZ-G    | 25    | 08       | 88        |
| 5     | EPZ-G    | 30    | 6        | 92        |
| 6     | EPZ-G    | 35    | 6        | 90        |
| 7     | EPZ-G    | 40    | 6        | 90        |

Further extension of the study towards the mixed solvent system and it has been proved that mixed solvent system was most powerful than the single solvent, the same reaction was carried out with different
To develop an aqueous solvent system model reaction was carried out in a universal solvent, i.e. water (entry 1, Table-3). But the result achieved was very poor, so the reaction was carried out in pure ethanol medium then also yield and reaction time was not good. After that we have tried for the mixed solvent system and compared its result (Table-3), and it was found that mixed solvent system plays an important role during the formation of product and mixed solvent system (entry 4, Table-3) gives an excellent yield of the product within comparable reaction time. The product was separated by ethyl acetate to remove the catalyst.

| S. No. | Solvent System (%) | Time (h) | Yield (%) |
|--------|--------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1      | Water: ethanol     | 100      | 10        | 54        |
| 2      | Water: ethanol     | 90:10    | 8         | 61        |
| 3      | Water: ethanol     | 80:20    | 8         | 82        |
| 4      | Water: ethanol     | 70:30    | 6         | 92        |
| 5      | Water: ethanol     | 60:40    | 7         | 87        |
| 6      | Water: ethanol     | 50:50    | 7         | 87        |
| 7      | Water: ethanol     | 40:60    | 8         | 83        |
| 8      | Water: ethanol     | 30:70    | 9         | 82        |
| 9      | Water: ethanol     | 20:80    | 10        | 82        |
| 10     | Water: ethanol     | 10:90    | 10        | 81        |
| 11     | Ethanol            | 100      | 11        | 80        |

Reaction Condition: Indole (1mmol), isatin (1mmol) and malononitrile (1mmol) reflux in 5mL water: ethanol (v/v 70:30) solvent system using 30mg of EPZ-G catalyst.

**Reusability of Catalyst**

After the recovery catalyst was washed with ethyl acetate then with water, dried well in the oven, and reused for further reaction. Reusability studied and result achieved summarized in table 4 (entry 1-6, Table-3). From the results, it was clear that excellent reusability of the catalyst after five successive transformations, after that yield of the product decreases due to leaching out of the catalyst.

| S. No. | Time (h) | Yield (%) |
|--------|----------|-----------|
| 1      | 6        | 92        |
| 2      | 6        | 90        |
| 3      | 6        | 88        |
| 4      | 7        | 87        |
| 5      | 7        | 85        |
| 6      | 9        | 72        |

Reaction Condition: Indole (1mmol), isatin (1mmol) and malononitrile (1mmol) reflux in 5mL water: ethanol (v/v 70:30) solvent system using 30mg of EPZ-G catalyst.

**CONCLUSION**

We have developed a one-pot protocol for the 3,3-Disubstituted Oxindoles under a mixed solvent system (water: ethanol, 3:7 v/v) catalyzed by 30mg of EPZG. In this context, EPZG as a Lewis acid signifies remarkable performance due to electrophilic nature. The demand for this catalyst not only for maintainable yield but also to replace the use of toxic catalysts or solvents. Moreover, eco-friendly, simple workup procedure, reusability of the catalyst makes this protocol acceptable methodology.
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