Imbalanced sensitivities to primary and secondary rewards in internet gaming disorder
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ABSTRACT

Background: Internet gaming disorder (IGD) is a type of behavioral addiction characterized by poorly controlled and interfering patterns of game playing. Studies have suggested that the IGD is usually accompanied by increased desire or craving for gaming, suggesting that secondary rewards related to gaming may become more salient than those for primary rewards like food. However, this hypothesis has not been formally tested and potential neural mechanisms remain unclear. Methods: This is a functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study. Twenty-one IGD subjects and 23 matched individuals with recreational game use (RGU) were scanned when exposed to gaming (secondary rewards), food (primary rewards) and neutral cues. Group-by-cue-type interaction analyses and subsequent within-group analyses for fMRI data were performed and seed-based functional connectivity (FC) analyses explored further potential neural features. Results: IGD subjects’ subjective craving responses to gaming cues were higher than to food cues, while the opposite was observed in RGU subjects. Group-by-cue interaction effects implicated the precuneus and precuneus-caudate FC. Simple effect analysis showed that for IGD subjects, gaming-related cues elicited higher FC in precuneus-caudate relationships than did food-related cues. In the RGU subjects, the opposite was observed. Significant correlations were found between brain features and craving scores. Conclusions: These results support the hypothesis regarding imbalances in sensitivities to different types of reward in IGD, and suggest neural mechanisms by which craving for gaming may make secondary rewards more salient than primary ones, thus promoting participation in addictive patterns of gaming.
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INTRODUCTION

Internet gaming disorder (IGD) is a psychiatric disorder characterized by difficulties in controlling excessive and interfering patterns of gaming (Association, 2013). IGD is associated with negative consequences, such as troubled interpersonal relationships, depressed mental states, and poor academic achievement (Liu et al., 2021; Zhang, Zhou, Geng, Song, &
Hu, 2020; Zhou et al., 2021b). Whereas IGD has been included as a potential disorder in the Fifth Edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) (Association, 2013), gaming disorder has been included as a “disorder due to addictive behaviors” in the 11th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11) (WHO, 2017).

Rewards can motivate people’s desire to engage in behaviors and contribute importantly to addictions. Rewards may be classified into two types. Primary rewards may be considered those necessary for survival of species (Fiallos et al., 2017). For example, food is a primary reward and craving for food may motivate eating behaviors (Blanco-Gandía, Muñarro, & Rodríguez-Arias, 2020). Secondary rewards are not directly related to survival and only gain value through learned associations with lower-level rewards (Schultz, 2000). Secondary rewards include things of values learned during socialization, such as money in general and particularly relevant for people with IGD, gaming (Rizvi, Pizzagalli, Sproule, & Kennedy, 2016).

After acquiring salience, both primary and secondary rewards may have powerful reinforcing effects. Under normal circumstances, motivations or cravings for primary rewards are usually stronger than those for secondary rewards (Sescousse, Caldu, Segura, & Dreher, 2013). However, under certain circumstances, secondary rewards may acquire greater salience and potentially lead to addictions (Volkow, Wang, Fowler, Tomasi, & Baler, 2012). For example, in gambling disorder, chronic gambling may result in diminished responses of reward circuits to sexual cues, suggesting that neural mechanism initially sensitive to primary rewards may undergo changes related to secondary rewards (Sescousse et al., 2013), consistent with incentive salience models of addiction (Berridge & Robinson, 2016) and neural adaptations involving specific forms of problematic use of the internet (Brand, Young, Laier, Wölling, & Potenza, 2016). A meta-analysis revealed that money (secondary reward) may recruit more anterior orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) regions than primary rewards such as food and sex (Kringelbach & Rolls, 2004; Sescousse, Redouté, & Dreher, 2010).

Previous studies have shown that the cue-craving tasks can effectively induce subjective and neural craving responses in IGD subjects (Zhou, Wang, et al., 2021). When exposed to gaming-related cues, IGD subjects report increased craving and demonstrate increased activation of the dorsolateral/ventromedial prefrontal cortex (DLPFC, VMPFC), striatum, and prefrontus (Dong, Wang, Du, & Potenza, 2018; Liu et al., 2017). These brain areas have been related to craving for primary rewards (e.g., food) in people without addictions (Sescousse et al., 2013). Studying responses to gaming and food cues in individuals with and without IGD may provide insight into mechanisms related to imbalances in response to primary and secondary rewards that may underlie IGD. As craving has been proposed and observed to be an important treatment target in IGD (Dong & Potenza, 2014) and increasing responses to rewards that are not the focus of addiction has been observed following treatment more generally (Garrison et al., 2017), understanding potential imbalances in subjective and neural responses to primary and secondary rewards is clinically important in treatment development efforts.

Reward systems typically respond to both primary and secondary rewards (Hikosaka, Bromberg-Martin, Hong, & Matsumoto, 2008). For example, in a meta-analysis, the striatum was found to be activated by monetary, food, and erotic stimuli (Sescousse et al., 2013). Ventral striatal activity has been correlated with magnitudes of rewards, supporting a role in hedonic value representation (Smith et al., 2010). When facing gaming cues, lower left-hemispheric modulatory effects in anterior cingulate cortex (ACC)-to-lentiform connectivity as implicated in IGD relative to individuals with recreational game use (RGU) (Dong, Wang, Zheng, et al., 2020). Furthermore, IGD subjects have exhibited higher cue-induced activations within both the ventral and dorsal striatum when compared with RGU subjects (Liu et al., 2017). Activity in the striatum has been linked to transitions between RGU and IGD and vice-versa (Dong et al., 2020a), with data suggesting that striatal responses to gaming cues and striatal-related patterns are linked to more patterns of gaming both cross-sectional and longitudinally (Dong, Liu, Zheng, Du, & Potenza, 2019).

In IGD, cravings represent an important element that may motivate gaming and development and maintenance of IGD (Zhou, Wang, et al., 2021). However, prior studies have not directly compared subjective and neural responses to cues related to primary and secondary rewards in IGD. In the current study, we used a cue-craving task to examine cued responses relating to primary rewards (food) or secondary rewards (games) between IGD and RGU subjects. First, given the above data, we hypothesized that IGD subjects would have stronger subjective craving responses and reward-related brain activations (e.g., in the striatum) when facing gaming cues than would RGU subjects. Second, the brain areas related to the reward system would respond to both food and gaming cues, while addiction-related response patterns, with IGD subjects showing relatively greater responses to gaming cues and RGU subjects to food cues. Finally, given that a meta-analysis found that the core regions of the default mode network (DMN) such as the caudate and ACC of IGD play an important role in the FC related to craving (Yan, Li, Yu, & Zhao, 2021), we explored such FC relationships and hypothesized that the core area of the DMN network (such as the caudate) plays an important role in the form of FC in the response of IGD to primary/secondary rewards.

**METHOD**

**Participants**

All participants were selected based on a modified Internet addiction test (IAT) (Young, 1996), and the nine-item diagnostic criteria in the DSM-5 (Petry, Rehbein, Ko, & O’Brien, 2015), and time and frequency of gaming
(minimum 14 h per week during the last 2 years). IGD subjects scored higher than 50 on the modified IAT and concurrently met five or more DSM-5 criteria. RGU scored lower than 50 on modified IAT and met less than five DSM-5 criteria. RGU subjects also reported no cravings or urge to play online games. All subjects were familiar with gaming scenes from League of Legends (LOL).

All subjects met the following criteria: be right-handed; have normal or corrected-to-normal vision; and, have no Axis-I psychiatric disorders as per assessment from a structured psychiatric interview (MINI) (Sheehan et al., 1998). Prior to scanning, all participants were medication-free and not using any substances (e.g., alcohol, nicotine/tobacco, and coffee).

According to the above criteria, we recruited 21 IGD subjects (10 males, 11 females) and 23 RGU subjects (15 males, 8 females) through posters and online advertisements. Two participants were excluded from analysis because of head movement or 2 mm in rotational movement). Neurological Institute (MNI) space and spatially smoothed images were then normalized to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space and spatially smoothed. Images were slice timed, registered volumes being used to correct for head movement or 2 mm in rotational movement).

### Table 1. Demographic information and group differences

| Gender              | IGD (n = 21) | RGU (n = 23) | t/χ²  | P    | Effect Size |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|------|-------------|
| Gender              | Male = 10, Female = 11 | Male = 15, Female = 8 | 1.38 | 0.239 | 0.18        |
| Age (mean ± SD)     | 21.29 ± 1.52 | 21.61 ± 1.95 | 0.61 | 0.546 | 0.18        |
| DSM-5 score (mean ± SD) | 6.24 ± 1.22 | 2.35 ± 1.33 | 10.05** | <0.001 | 3.10        |
| IAT score (mean ± SD) | 70.48 ± 10.64 | 35.91 ± 13.88 | 6.54** | <0.001 | 2.01        |
| Education (years)   | 14.29 ± 1.52 | 14.61 ± 1.95 | 0.61 | 0.541 | 0.19        |

IGD: Internet gaming disorder; RGU: recreational game use; Craving: self-reported craving.
IAT: Internet addiction test; DSM-5: Diagnostic and Statistical manual of Mental Disorders-5.
SD, standard deviation.

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.001.

**Image acquisition**

Structural images were collected using a T1-weighted three-dimensional spoiled gradient-recalled sequence covering the whole brain (196 slices, repetition time = 1,700 ms, echo time TE = 3.93 ms, slice thickness = 1.0 mm, skip = 0 mm, flip angle = 15, inversion time = 1,100 ms, field of view = 240*240 mm, in-plane resolution = 256*256). Functional MRI was performed on a 3T scanner (Siemens Trio) with a gradient-echo EPI T2-weighted-sensitive pulse sequence in 33 slices (interleaved sequence, 3 mm thickness, TR = 2,000 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle 90°, field of view 220 * 220 mm², matrix 64 * 64) (Dong, Wang, Zheng, et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2017). Stimuli were presented using an Invivo synchronous system (www.invivocorp.com) through a screen in the head coil, enabling participants to view stimuli (Fig. 1C).

**Preprocessing**

Functional volumes were slice time-corrected and realigned using the Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) 12 package (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). Images were slice timed, reoriented, and realigned to the first volume, with T1-corregistered volumes being used to correct for head movements. Images were then normalized to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space and spatially smoothed using a 4-mm³ full width at half maximum Gaussian kernel. Two subjects were removed from analysis because of head motion (the exclusion criteria were 3 mm in directional movement or 2° in rotational movement).
First-level analyses

Individual participant general linear model (GLM) analysis was performed by NeuroElf v1.1 (http://neuroelf.net). A GLM was applied to identify blood-oxygen-level–dependent (BOLD) activation in relation to brain activities. Different types of trials (gaming-related, typing-related, or food-related) were separately convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function to form task regression. The duration of each trial was 3,000 ms, and the GLMs included a constant term per run. Head motion parameters and a high-pass filter (0.01–0.1 Hz) for 128 s were included as regressions of no interest. A GLM approach was used to identify voxels that were significantly activated for each event during the response stage.

Second-level analyses

Second-level analyses were conducted at the group level. First, we identified voxels that showed a main effect in the gaming-related trials versus the food-related trials among each group (IGD, RGU). Second, we determined voxels that were significantly different in BOLD signal between the two groups \([((\text{IGD}_{\text{gaming}} - \text{IGD}_{\text{neutral}}) - (\text{RGU}_{\text{gaming}} - \text{RGU}_{\text{neutral}})) - ((\text{IGD}_{\text{food}} - \text{IGD}_{\text{neutral}}) - (\text{RGU}_{\text{food}} - \text{RGU}_{\text{neutral}}))]\). Meanwhile, a voxel-wise 2 × 2 (group: IGD, RGU; cues: gaming-neutral, food-neutral) ANOVA was administered to examine interaction effects. We then identified clusters of contiguous significantly different voxels at an uncorrected threshold \(P < 0.001\). Finally, these clusters were tested for cluster-level FWE (family-wise-error) correction at \(P < 0.05\). Specially, the AlphaSim estimation indicated that clusters extent of 26 adjoining voxels would achieve the FWE threshold \(P < 0.05\) effectively. The smoothing kernel applied in simulating false-positive (noise) maps using AlphaSim software was 8.4 mm and was estimated from residual fields of the contrast maps being pooled into the one-sample \(t\)-test (Fig. 1D).

Functional connectivity (FC) analyses

Since the precuneus was identified in the interaction analysis, we explored the precuneus further. Specifically, we extracted the obtained precuneus cluster as the region-of-
interest (ROI) for further seed-based FC analysis. Task-related FC was assessed using the CONN toolbox (https://www.nitrc.org/projects/conn) in MATLAB (Whitfield-Gabrieli, Alfonso, & connectivity, 2012). BOLD signal was extracted from ROI during the cue-craving task (onset equal to the appearance time of the gaming-related/food-related/neutral cues). A correlation map was obtained by computing the correlation coefficients between the reference time series and the time series of the whole-brain voxels. Correlation coefficients were then converted to Z-values using Fisher Z-transformation to improve the normality of the distribution. Finally, a voxel-wise 2 x 2 (group: IGD, RGU; cues: gaming-neutral, food-neutral) ANOVA was administered to examine statistically significant interaction effects of group and cues in FC (Fig. 1E).

In this study, the family-wise error (FWE) correction with a Gaussian random field was used to control multiple and cues in FC (Fig. 1E).

For threshold regions with statistical significance, the time series of the whole-brain voxels. Correlation transformation to improve the normality of the distribution. Finally, a voxel-wise 2 x 2 (group: IGD, RGU; cues: gaming-neutral, food-neutral) ANOVA was administered to examine statistically significant interaction effects of group and cues in FC (Fig. 1E).

Statistical analyses
At first, for group comparisons of demographic information and cue-induced cravings, two-sample t-tests, mixed-designed ANOVA and post-hoc tests were performed using SPSS version 24.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA), and a chi-square test was used to compare data between men and women given previous reports of gender-related differences in cue responsibility in IGD (Fig. 1B) (Dong et al., 2018).

Next, to identify the correlation between brain activities and behavioral performances, we first extracted BOLD signal from the mean value of the remaining clusters (beta value) that showed an interaction effect in the gaming-related trials and the food-related trials among each group. Then, the BOLD data for all subjects were submitted to correlation analyses with the craving scores. Next, correlation analysis was performed on FC strength and craving scores (Fig. 1F).

Ethics
The experiment conforms to The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki). The Human Investigations Committee of Hangzhou Normal University approved this research. Participants were college students recruited through advertisements.

RESULTS

Cue-induced cravings
A mixed-design ANOVA of cue-craving score with factors Group (IGD vs. RGU) and Cue (Game vs. Food vs. Neutral) showed a significant main effect of Group \((F(1, 42) = 10.256, P = 0.003)\), and a significant effect of Cue \((F(2, 84) = 130.793, P < 0.001)\). The interaction between Group and Cue was significant \((F(2, 84) = 6.251, P = 0.003)\).

Post hoc analysis showed that IGD subjects reported higher gaming craving during viewing of gaming pictures \((t = 10.47, P < 0.001;\) gaming cues: mean \(\pm SD = 2.7 \pm 0.72\), neutral cues: \(0.58 \pm 0.56)\) as well as food craving during viewing of food pictures \((t = 9.04, P < 0.001;\) food cues: mean \(\pm SD = 2.1 \pm 0.5,\) neutral cues: \(0.58 \pm 0.56)\) compared with viewing of neutral pictures each during the cue-craving task (Fig. 2A). IGD subjects showed significant lower food craving scores during viewing of food pictures as compared with gaming craving during viewing of gaming pictures \((t = 2.45, P = 0.019;\) mean \(\pm SD = 2.7 \pm 0.72\) for gaming craving and \(2.1 \pm 0.5\) for food craving).

RGU subjects reported higher food craving during exposure to food vs neutral cues \((t = 7.11, P < 0.001;\) food cues: mean \(\pm SD = 2.0 \pm 1.1,\) neutral cues: \(0.3 \pm 0.5)\). Compared with RGU subjects, IGD subjects reported greater difference in gaming craving score during viewing of gaming pictures \((t = 2.05, P < 0.001;\) mean \(\pm SD = 2.7 \pm 0.72\) for IGD and \(1.6 \pm 0.9\) for RGU).

Cue-induced brain activations

Main effect of group

Gaming-neutral conditions. Compared with RGU subjects, IGD subjects showed higher activations to gaming vs neutral cues in left thalamus, right post cingulate cortex (PCC) and left precentral gyrus (PCG) (at voxel \(P < 0.001,\) uncorrected, in combination with cluster-level \(P < 0.05,\) FWE corrected) (see Appendix, Figure S2A, Table S1).

Food-neutral conditions. Compared with RGU subjects, IGD subjects showed lower activations to food vs neutral cues in left middle frontal gyrus (MFG), right superior frontal gyrus (SFG) and right superior occipital gyrus (SOG) (at voxel \(P < 0.001,\) uncorrected, in combination with cluster-level \(P < 0.05,\) FWE corrected) (see Appendix, Figure S2B, Table S2).

Gaming-food conditions. Compared with RGU subjects, IGD subjects showed higher activations to gaming vs food cues in the left precentral, lentiform, and culmen (at voxel \(P < 0.001,\) uncorrected, in combination with cluster-level \(P < 0.05,\) FWE corrected). Among IGD subjects, as compared to food cues, gaming cues elicited higher activations in the bilateral parahippocampus/lentiform, bilateral superior occipital gyrus (SOG), left middle temporal gyrus (MTG) and right precuneus (voxel \(P < 0.001,\) uncorrected, in combination with cluster-level \(P < 0.05,\) FWE corrected) (Fig. 2B, Table 2). Among RGU subjects, the left PCG and precuneus showed higher activation during exposure to gaming cues as compared with food cues. Conversely, the bilateral insula, bilateral cuneus, right lentiform nucleus and left PCG showed relatively lower activation during exposure to gaming as compared with food cues (Fig. 2C, Table 2).

Group-by-cue interaction effects

Group-by-cue interaction effects implicated the left precuneus, culmen and middle occipital gyrus (voxel...
Fig. 2. Cue-induced craving evaluation results and main effect in gaming and food conditions

The subplot (A) shows the results of cue-induced craving evaluation. IGD and RGU subjects both reported higher game craving as well as food craving as compared with viewing of neutral pictures. Furthermore, IGD subjects showed lower food craving scores as compared with gaming craving scores. In addition, compared with RGU subjects, IGD subjects reported higher gaming craving during viewing of gaming pictures. The subplot (B) shows the main effect in gaming-food conditions in IGD. For the IGD group, compared with food cues, gaming cues elicited higher activations in the bilateral parahippocampus, left middle temporal gyrus (MTG) and right precuneus (voxel $P < 0.001$, uncorrected, in combination with cluster-level $P < 0.05$, FWE corrected). The subplot (C) shows main effect in gaming-food conditions in RGU subjects. For the RGU group, bilateral insula, bilateral cuneus, bilateral lentiform nucleus and left PCG showed lower activation during exposure to gaming as compared with food cues.

* indicates a significant difference.
IGD: Internet gaming disorder; RGU: recreational game use.

The surviving clusters at $\text{craving scores}$ ($\text{activation to food cues}$ were positively correlated with food-

| Condition                  | Region                | Number of voxels | $x, y, z^a$ | Max $F$ | $B_d^b$ | Hemisphere $^c$ |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| IGD: Gaming > Food         | Precuneus             | 420              | 16,–61,22   | 5.30    | 31      | R               |
|                           |                      |                  |             |         |         |                 |
|                           | Superior temporal gyrus | 98               | –65,–9,–2   | 5.45    | 21      | L               |
|                           | Middle temporal gyrus  | 99               | –55,–52,14  | 5.87    | 39      | L               |
|                           | Precuneus             | 336              | –33,–82,34  | 5.68    | 19      | L               |
|                           | Medial frontal gyrus  | 64               | –3,48,–13   | 5.02    | 11      | L               |
| RGU: Gaming > Food        | Posterior Cingulate   | 430              | 12,–54,20   | 6.60    | 31      | R               |
|                           | Middle temporal gyrus | 206              | –59,–8,–6   | 6.06    | 21      | L               |
|                           |                      | 185              | 45,–64,20   | 5.24    | 39      | R               |
|                           | Precuneus             | 81               | –38,–42,54  | 4.38    | 40      | L               |
|                           | Lingual gyrus         | 679              | –3,–70,2    | 6.86    | 18      | L               |
| RGU: Gaming < Food        | Insula                | 144              | 32,–4,15    | –7.97   | 13      | R               |
|                           |                      | 160              | –3,3,7,15   | –5.16   | 13      | L               |
|                           | Lentiform Nucleus      | 65               | 22,0,–2     | –5.44   |         |                 |
|                           | Cuneus                | 168              | –16,–93,19  | –5.56   | 18      | L               |
|                           |                      | 55               | 12,–79,26   | –5.27   | 18      | R               |
|                           | Precentral Gyrus      | 282              | –23,–21,53  | –5.35   | 4       | L               |
|                           | Postcentral Gyrus     | 67               | 28,–43,65   | –5.11   | 5       | R               |
|                           | Inferior parietal lobe| 81               | –38,–42,54  | –4.38   | 40      | L               |
|                           | Lingual gyrus         | 679              | –3,–70,2    | –6.86   | 18      | L               |

The surviving clusters at $P < 0.05$, voxel $P < 0.001$, and voxel cluster threshold >26.

IGD: Internet gaming disorder; RGU: recreational game use.

$^a$ Peak Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates.

$^b$ Brodmann’s area.

$^c$ The activation area was on the right side (R) or the left side (L).

$P < 0.001$, uncorrected, in combination with cluster-level $P < 0.05$, FWE corrected) (Fig. 3A, Table 3). Since the precuneus and IGD cravings were linked in previous studies (Dong, Wang, Wang, et al., 2020), we extracted beta values of the precuneus cluster for further analysis. Simple effect analysis showed that in the IGD group, gaming-related cues elicited higher brain activities in the precuneus than food-related cues. In the RGU group, the re-

In the correlation analysis, we found similar results as with precuneus activation. Specifically, precuneus-caudate FC during exposure to gaming cues positively correlated with gaming-craving scores in IGD subjects ($r = 0.59$, $P_{\text{uncorrected}} = 0.005$) (Fig. 4D). In RGU subjects, precuneus-caudate FC during exposure to food cues positively correlated with food-craving scores ($r = 0.42$, $P_{\text{uncorrected}} = 0.044$) (Fig. 4C).

**DISCUSSION**

This study examined subjective and neural responses to primary and secondary rewards cues among IGD and RGU subjects. The results largely supported our hypotheses and provide additional insight into neural mechanisms of potential imbalances regarding responses to primary and secondary rewards in addictive disorders like IGD.

**IGD subjects report stronger subjective cravings to gaming cues than to food cues**

Cue-elicited gaming and food craving responses differed in IGD and RGU groups. In the latter, craving responses to gaming cues were lower than those to food cues, suggestive of natural responses to primary and secondary rewards. However, in IGD, subjective craving responses to gaming
cues were higher than those to food cues, suggesting that for IGD subjects gaming cues have taken priority, consistent with incentive salience models of addictions. The strong motivations elicited by gaming cues could drive IGD subjects to spend more time in pursuing gaming behaviors to the point of neglecting other important activities and despite negative consequences. In sum, the current results supported our hypothesis that among IGD subjects cravings for gaming may be stronger than those for primary rewards like food, consistent with real world accounts of people neglecting their children, bodily needs and other important domains of functioning relating to survival (Potenza, 2018).

Neural correlates of processing of primary and secondary rewards in IGD and RGU groups

For the IGD group, compared to food cues, gaming cues elicited higher activations in the bilateral parahippocampus,
a region implicated in providing contextual representation functions (Rudy, 2009), including during cue-induced craving for gaming (Ko et al., 2013). The parahippocampus is part of the limbic system, which is involved in the storage of conditioned emotional memories (Pezze & Feldon, 2004). The parahippocampus helps evaluate the meaning of information based on inputs received from the amygdala, and helps process emotions and motivations based on the meaning of information (Salzmann, Vidyasagar, & Creutzfeldt, 1993). Gaming cues triggered stronger craving responses in IGD subjects than did food cues, consistent with the increased activation of the parahippocampus.

For the RGU group, brain responses to gaming cues were less robust than to food cues in the insula and lentiform nucleus. The lentiform nucleus includes the ventral striatum (VS), and a previous meta-analysis found that this region was activated by food and erotic stimuli (Sescousse et al., 2013). The lentiform nucleus receives projections from the

---

**Table 4. Precuneus functional connectivity interaction effects (Group*cue)**

| Connected regions       | Number of voxels | x, y, z<sup>a</sup> | Max F  | Ba<sup>b</sup> | Hemisphere<sup>c</sup> |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------|------------------------|
| Precuneus to:           |                  |                     |        |              |                        |
| Caudate                 | 61               | -12,8,6             | 17.08  | L            |                        |
| Superior Temporal Gyrus | 24               | -58,42,8            | 18.62  | 22           | L                      |

GRF corrected: Gaussian Random Field corrected, voxel $P < 0.005$, cluster $P < 0.05$.

<sup>a</sup> Peak voxel coordinates in Montreal Neurological Institute space.

<sup>b</sup> Brodmann area.

<sup>c</sup> The activation area was on the right side (R) or the left side (L).
OFC, amygdala and midbrain. It is thus well positioned to integrate cognitive, motor and affective information and influence goal-directed behavior (Haber & Knutson, 2010). Lentiform nucleus activity has been correlated with magnitudes of rewards, supporting its involvement in hedonic value representation (Izuma, Saito, & Sadato, 2008). Thus, food cues may elicit greater lentiform responses in a manner linked to hedonic value in RGU subjects than gaming cues.

In sum, the results suggest that, relative to cues related to the primary reward of food, those related to the secondary reward of gaming (the focus of the addiction) carry more salience subjectively in individuals with IGD, and this is reflected in neural correlates involving regions implicated previously in reward processing and craving. Among individuals with RGU, the patterns were different and suggested greater responses to cues related to the primary reward of food.

Precuneus activation for gaming and food cues in IGD and RGU groups

A group-by-cue interaction implicated the precuneus. Post-hoc analyses revealed that this interaction effect was related to opposing patterns of precuneus activation to the two cue types in IGD and RGU subjects. Specifically, for the IGD group, gaming-related cues elicited higher activation in the precuneus than did food-related cues. In the RGU group, the results were reversed. In the IGD subjects, precuneus activation to gaming cues positively correlated with gaming-craving scores, whereas in the RGU group, precuneus activation to food cues correlated positively with food-craving scores.

The precuneus is an important component of the DMN, and has been implicated in self-referential processing and episodic memory retrieval (Utevsky, Smith, & Huettel, 2014). The activation of the precuneus during cue-craving tasks may represent processes occurring further upstream from the experience of subjective craving (Cavanna & Trimble, 2006). Furthermore, the precuneus may contribute to habitual behaviors through cue-related responses (Courtney, Ghahremani, London, & Ray, 2014). In IGD, the precuneus may act as a hub for information originating from executive control and motivational drive networks (Dong, Wang, Wang, et al., 2020), with a similar role for precuneus suggested in executive control in addictions like cocaine use disorder (Yang et al., 2021). Lentiform nucleus activity has been correlated with magnitudes of rewards, supporting its involvement in hedonic value representation (Izuma, Saito, & Sadato, 2008). Thus, food cues may elicit greater lentiform responses in a manner linked to hedonic value in RGU subjects than gaming cues.

In sum, the results suggest that, relative to cues related to the primary reward of food, those related to the secondary reward of gaming (the focus of the addiction) carry more salience subjectively in individuals with IGD, and this is reflected in neural correlates involving regions implicated previously in reward processing and craving. Among individuals with RGU, the patterns were different and suggested greater responses to cues related to the primary reward of food.

Precuneus-caudate FC relative to primary/secondary rewards in IGD and RGU

A group-by-cue interaction effect implicated precuneus-caudate FC. Post-hoc analyses suggested that this interaction effect was related to opposing patterns of responses to the two cue types in IGD and RGU subjects. Specifically, in the IGD group, gaming-related cues elicited higher precuneus-caudate FC than did food-related cues. In the RGU group, food-related cues elicited higher precuneus-caudate FC than did gaming-related cues. Interestingly, the precuneus-caudate FC strength related to craving scores in a similar manner as did precuneus activation.

The results suggest that the precuneus may operate as a hub for reward system functioning and craving. The caudate has been described as a component of reward circuitry (Dong, Wang, & Potenza, 2016; Grahn, Parkinson, & Owen, 2008) and individuals with cocaine use disorder show higher caudate activation and more dopamine release to cocaine cues relative to food cues in a manner that links to craving (Volkow et al., 2002, 2006). Anatomically, the caudate and precuneus have direct anatomical neural connections (Selemion & Goldman-Rakic, 1985). Precuneus-caudate FC has been related to changes in pleasure and craving (Brody et al., 2007; Dong, Wang, Wang, et al., 2020), which may promote maintenance of addiction behaviors or relapses (Wang et al., 2021). Precuneus-caudate FC has also been related to habitual behaviors (Wan et al., 2011) and reward processing with respect to integrating contextual information related to episodic memories and reward valuation (Nakamura & Ikuta, 2017).

Limitations

Several limitations warrant mention. First, the study included a small sample size and slightly unbalanced male to female ratio, but our results were significant with full consideration of multiple comparisons. Second, participants were instructed to fast before the scan, and all reported not eating or drinking anything but water before scans. However, we did not have an objective measure (for example, blood test) to confirm their reports. Third, the study was cross-sectional, limiting causal inferences. Future longitudinal studies should investigate the extent to which changes in responses to primary and secondary rewards (subjective and neural) change with respect to IGD status, including dining treatment. Fourth, one type of primary reward (food) was investigated. The extent to which the findings may extend to other primary rewards deserves additional study.

CONCLUSION

Our finding supports the notion of an imbalance in primary/secondary reward sensitivities in individuals with IGD and the neural mechanisms (precuneus and striatal activations, precuneus-caudate FC) underlying craving and cue-reactivity in IGD. These findings provide insight into mechanisms underlying craving in IGD. Future longitudinal studies should investigate changes over time and the extent to which the relationships might represent vulnerability factors, changes brought about by excessive and interfering patterns and/or subjective and neural responses that may be amenable to interventions.
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APPENDIX

Controlling for stimuli pictures

All pictures were taken in the same place (white wall background and a white desk with a black screen). The genders of individuals in the pictures were also balanced within each category and type. Subjects cannot distinguish emotions as only single sides of faces were included, which is to control for potentially confounding factors (e.g., emotions and accessories). In each category, half contained a face (like right picture), and the other half only contained a hand (like left picture).

General group effects in food-neutral and gaming-neutral conditions

Our research found that in the case of food-neutral and game-neutral comparisons, IGD and RGU subjects showed different brain activation patterns. Compared with RGU subjects, IGD subjects showed higher activations to gaming vs neutral cues in the left thalamus, right post cingulate cortex (PCC) and left precentral gyrus (PCG). The findings
replicated those from previous studies (Dong, Wang, Wang, Du, & Potenza, 2019b; Wang et al., 2017). These results suggested that tendencies to regulate game cravings may be compromised. The thalamus has been previously implicated in motivational drives including those related to rewarding behaviors including gaming (Dong et al., 2019).

In addition, compared with RGU subjects, IGD subjects showed lower activations to food vs neutral cues in the left middle frontal gyrus (MFG), right superior frontal gyrus (SFG) and right superior occipital gyrus (SOG). This result is consistent with the results of previous cocaine studies (Zhang, Zhornitsky, Le, & Li, 2019) and those exposed prenatally to cocaine (Dong, Wang, Du, & Potenza, 2018), that the secondary rewards were related to blunted responses to primary rewards. These areas with blunted responses to food cues have been implicated in executive control (Wesley & Bickel, 2014), with related networks underlying effective regulation of craving. Prior studies have shown that prefrontal brain regions (engaged during regulation of craving)

Fig. S2. Depiction of the cue-craving evaluation stage of this study

Fig. S1. Main effects in different cue conditions

| Condition                          | Region                | Number of voxels | x, y, z | Max F | Ba | Hemisphere |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------|-------|----|------------|
| Gaming - Neutral: IGD > RGU        | Precentral gyrus      | 139              | -41, -16,57 | 4.35  | 4  | L          |
|                                    | Posterior cingulate gyrus | 162           | 7, -30,41   | 4.24  | 31 | R          |
|                                    | Thalamus              | 246              | -3, -22,9   | 4.26  |    | L          |
|                                    | Culmen                | 270              | 11, -48, -18 | 4.85  |    | R          |
| Gaming - Neutral: IGD < RGU        | none                  | none             |          |       |    |            |

The surviving clusters at $P < 0.05$, at voxel $P < 0.005$, and with voxel cluster number >51.

a Peak Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates.

b Brodmann’s area.

c The activation area was on the right side (R) or the left side (L).
and fronto-striatal pathways contribute to the regulation of appetitive desires (Kober et al., 2010; Volkow, Fowler, & Wang, 2003). In short, these two main effects provide support that our task can effectively induce craving, consistent with the subjective report data.

The subplot (A) shows for gaming-neutral conditions, compared with the recreational game use (RGU) group, the internet gaming disorder (IGD) group showed higher activations to gaming vs neutral cues in left thalamus, right PCC and left precentral gyrus (PCG). The subplot (B) shows for food-neutral conditions, compared with the RGU group, the IGD group showed lower activations to food vs neutral cues in left middle frontal gyrus (MFG), right superior frontal gyrus (SFG) and right superior occipital gyrus (SOG).

Table S2. Regions showing differences in food vs neutral cue-induced activations between IGD and RGU subjects

| Condition                          | Region                  | Number of voxels | x, y, z | Max F | Ba | Hemisphere |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------|-------|----|------------|
| Food - Neutral: IGD > RGU          | none                    |                  |         |       |    |            |
| Food - Neutral: IGD < RGU          | Superior Occipital Gyrus| 42               | 44,−85,23| −5.10 | 19 | R          |
|                                    | Middle Frontal Gyrus    | 33               | −35,37,34| −4.76 | 9  | L          |
|                                    | Superior Frontal Gyrus  | 50               | 39,42,48| −4.63 | 9  | R          |

The surviving clusters at $P < 0.05$, at voxel $P < 0.001$, and with voxel cluster number $>26$.

a Peak Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates.

b Brodmann’s area.

c The activation area was on the right side (R) or the left side (L).

After viewing the pictures, the subjects were asked to assess their craving for pictures. In this stage, subjects were asked to evaluate the level of the craving (How much you want to play game now) for each stimulus on a 5-point Likert scale (ranging from ‘1’ (no craving) to ‘5’ (extremely high craving)). This stage lasted 3,000 ms and was terminated by button press. Finally, a black screen appeared for 1,500–3,500 ms before the next trial was presented Figure S2.
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