Knockdown of a mucin-like gene in *Meloidogyne incognita* (Nematoda) decreases attachment of endospores of *Pasteuria penetrans* to the infective juveniles and reduces nematode fecundity
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**SUMMARY**

Mucins are highly glycosylated polypeptides involved in many host–parasite interactions, but their function in plant-parasitic nematodes is still unknown. In this study, a mucin-like gene was cloned from *Meloidogyne incognita* (Mi-muc-1, 1125 bp) and characterized. The protein was found to be rich in serine and threonine with numerous O-glycosylation sites in the sequence. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) showed the highest expression in the adult female and in situ hybridization revealed the localization of Mi-muc-1 mRNA expression in the tail area in the region of the phasmid. Knockdown of Mi-muc-1 revealed a dual role: (1) immunologically, there was a significant decrease in attachment of *Pasteuria penetrans* endospores and a reduction in binding assays with human red blood cells (RBCs), suggesting that Mi-MUC-1 is a glycoprotein present on the surface coat of infective second-stage juveniles (J2s) and is involved in cellular adhesion to the cuticle of infective J2s; pretreatment of J2s with different carbohydrates indicated that the RBCs bind to J2 cuticle receptors different from those involved in the interaction of *Pasteuria* endospores with Mi-MUC-1; (2) the long-term effect of RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated knockdown of Mi-muc-1 led to a significant reduction in nematode fecundity, suggesting a possible function for this mucin as a mediator in the interaction between the nematode and the host plant.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The cuticle is a multi-layered flexible structure in nematodes that acts as a hydrostatic exoskeleton and provides protection against the abiotic and biotic environment, including interactions with pathogenic microorganisms and, if parasitic, its hosts (Page, 2013). It is part of the extracellular matrix which maintains the nematode’s anatomical integrity and plays a crucial role in movement and growth (Lee, 2002). The cuticle, together with its surface coat, has been most extensively studied in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and, over the last several years, the nematode has become a model for the study of microbial pathogens (Ewbank, 2002; Sifri et al., 2005). Early research suggested that the cuticle and its surface coat were products of the hypodermis from which they were secreted (Wright, 1987); however, this view is now questionable as there is growing evidence that genes that play a role in the building of complex structures present in the cuticle’s surface coat are expressed in seam cells, and mutations to these genes are known to affect bacterial adhesion (Gravato-Nobre et al., 2011).

The surface coat of animal-parasitic nematodes contains glycans, which are thought to be influential in modulating the interaction with their hosts (Blaxter et al., 1992; Maizels et al., 2001; Politz and Philipp, 1992). Carbohydrate moieties on the surface of parasites are highly variable (Hicks et al., 2000; Theodoropoulos et al., 2001), and there are even variations among different populations of a particular species of parasite, having altered glycans as surface antigens or receptors (Appleton and Romaris, 2001; Haslam et al., 2000; Maass et al., 2007; Skelly and Wilson, 2006). The outermost cuticular layer appears to possess a number of specialized features and has been found to contain cuticulins, lipids, surface-associated proteins, carbohydrates and probably glycoproteins (Blaxter et al., 1992; Page et al., 1992). Several biochemical and serological approaches used to characterize the surface coat properties of animal-parasitic nematodes have revealed that rapid changes in the structure of the surface coat can occur between pre- and post-parasitic forms (Proudfoot et al., 1993). Human red blood corpuscles have also been found to be useful in the study and characterization of the carbohydrate moieties present on the surface coat of plant-parasitic nematodes (Spiegel and McClure, 1995; Spiegel et al., 1991).
Root-knot nematodes (RKNs: *Meloidogyne* spp.) are economically one of the most damaging root pests and are responsible for extensive losses of staple food crops (Chitwood, 2003; Jones et al., 2013). These microscopic parasitic animals can manipulate plant defences and are thought to reprogram the cell cycle during the formation of specialized feeding cells (giant cells) in the roots for their continued nutrient supply (Gheysen and Mitchum, 2011). Owing to the lack of availability of effective management tools, and the global ban/restriction of many nematicides, alternative management strategies are being sought (Seid et al., 2015). Biological control offers the prospect to provide an alternative in an environmentally benign and self-sustaining manner (Collange et al., 2011).

*Pasteuria penetrans* (Thorne) Sayre and Starr, a Gram-positive, endospore-forming soil bacterium of the *Bacillus*–*Clostridium* clade (Charles et al., 2005; Preston et al., 2003) has the potential to be developed into a commercial biological control agent for the management of RKN species [preferably the southern RKN *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood] by turning the adult female cadaver into an ‘endospore sac’ (Davies, 2009; Stirling, 2014). When the cadaver eventually disintegrates, the bacterial endospores are released and lie dormant in the soil until they attach to the cuticle surface of the migrating pre-parasitic second-stage juveniles (J2s). They then germinate, by a process that is not understood, to form rhizoids which penetrate the developing female and eventually fragment to produce bacterial rods that proliferate and destroy the nematode’s reproductive capacity, ultimately resulting in the death of the adult female (Davies et al., 2011). The attachment of endospores to the cuticle of RKN J2s is likely to be governed by several factors and the cuticle surface coat plays a pivotal role in the attachment process (Davies and Danks, 1992; Spiegel and McClure, 1995).

Genome survey sequences of *P. penetrans* have been found to contain genes that encode collagen-like proteins (Davies and Opperman, 2006), similar to those of *P. ramosa* (Moulton et al., 2009) and other endospore-forming, animal-parasitic *Bacillus* spp. (Sylvestre et al., 2003, 2005). It has been hypothesized that these collagen-like proteins, which produce a hair-like nap on the surface of the *Pasteuria* endospores, facilitate the attachment of the endospores to the nematode cuticle in a Velcro-like fashion (Davies, 2009). Several investigations have shown that the nature of the attachment process is highly host specific, but is not linked to the phylogeny of the nematode (Davies et al., 2001). The nature of the cuticle receptor and the mechanism by which *Pasteuria* endospores bind are a matter of speculation; however, pretreatment of infective juveniles with a range of glycolytic enzymes and proteases suggests that surface coat glycans may help to explain the host specificity observed in the attachment of *Pasteuria* endospores to RKN cuticles (Davies and Danks, 1992; Davies and Redden, 1997; Davies et al., 1994).

Mucins are a family of high-molecular-weight proteins produced by the epithelial tissues in most organisms of the Kingdom Animalia (Marin et al., 2007). These proteins contain tandem repeats of amino acids rich in serine and threonine in their backbone which serve as sites for O-glycosylation and have been suggested to play a role in immune defence (Hall and Altun, 2008). They are associated with both the innate and adapted immune systems and can be secreted or membrane bound to form a protective barrier over the epithelium (Loukas et al., 2000; Magalhães et al., 2010; Schulenburg et al., 2004; Strous and Dekker, 1992; Theodoropoulos et al., 2001). Membrane-associated mucins are closely involved in the adhesion status of cells through electrostatic charge and steric effects of long chains protruding from the surface. The overabundance of certain membrane-associated mucins suggests the role of the surface coat in the immune evasion of parasitic nematodes by changing the nematode surface cuticle adherence to defence cells (Gems and Maizels, 1996). Investigations using RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated knockdown of mucin-like proteins in *C. elegans* showed altered lectin binding to the surface coat (K. G. Davies et al., unpublished data), suggesting that it contains mucin-like proteins amongst other glycosylated protein secretions (Gems and Maizels, 1996; Hemmer et al., 1991).

Although the roles of the majority of mucins in *C. elegans* are unknown, it is known that *bah, bus* and *srf* mutants, which show altered bacterial adhesion, are glycosyltransferase mutants; glycosyltransferases are involved in the building of complex glycans on the surface coat of the cuticle (Gravato-Nobre and Hodgkin, 2011). One of these mutations in *C. elegans*, *bus-4*, which confers resistance to *Microbacterium* *nematophilum*, *Yersinia pestis* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, also shows altered mucin expression (Parsons et al., 2014). It has been hypothesized that mucin-like peptides may also play a role in the attachment of *P. penetrans* endospores to the cuticle of RKNs (Davies, 2009; Davies and Curtis, 2011).

In this study, we identified and characterized a full-length mucin-like gene from the RKN *M. incognita*. In the absence of any tractable transformation tool for forward genetics screening, RNAi was used as a reverse genetics approach to assess the function of this gene (Dutta et al., 2015; Phani et al., 2017). Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was used to establish the transcriptional levels in different developmental stages of the nematode species and in situ hybridization was performed for the localization of mRNA expression in the body of the nematode. Knockdown of the mucin-like gene by RNAi was employed and its effect on the attachment of *Pasteuria* endospores was studied; further characterization was investigated using red blood cells (RBCs) and inhibitory carbohydrates. Lastly, the role of the mucin-like gene was also investigated for its effect on post-infection nematode development and reproduction.
**RESULTS**

Molecular analysis, *in situ* hybridization and differential stage-specific expression of *Mi-muc-1*

Based on the expressed sequence tag (EST) sequence (947 bp) of the mucin-like gene from the cDNA library of *M. incognita*, the 1125-bp full-length cDNA sequence was amplified and cloned. The plasmid was named *Mi-muc-1*, encoding for a deduced 374-bp amino acid sequence. The full-length cDNA sequence was submitted to GenBank (Accession Number MG579969). Based on the analysis, the protein sequence was found to be rich in serine and threonine (26.2%) and contained numerous O-glycosylation sites (Table S1, see Supporting Information).

The localization of *Mi-muc-1* mRNA expression was determined by *in situ* hybridization in the pre-parasitic J2s of *M. incognita*. The hybridization signal with digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled antisense probe was detected in the tail region of the nematode, an area in which the phasmid is located (Fig. 1A); DIG-labelled sense probes of the control group showed no such signal (Fig. 1B) and, similarly, no signal was detected in the anterior body part of the nematode with the DIG-labelled antisense probe (Fig. S1, see Supporting Information). In addition, the localization of the mRNA expression of the other two homologues of *Mi-muc-1*, namely MucX and MucY, was also restricted to the tail region as for *Mi-muc-1* (Figs S2 and S3, see Supporting Information).

To determine the expression of *Mi-muc-1* in different life stages of *M. incognita*, qRT-PCR was performed. Using the expression level in eggs as a reference, *Mi-muc-1* was found to be significantly (*P* < 0.05) upregulated in the pre-parasitic J2s, parasitic J2s and pre-egg-laying females (Fig. 2). The highest level of expression was observed in the pre-egg-laying females, followed by the pre-parasitic J2s, compared with insignificant expression in the third-/fourth-stage juveniles (J3s/J4s).

Knockdown of *Mi-muc-1* and its effect on endospore and RBC attachment

The designed double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) fragment resulted in the specific knockdown of *Mi-muc-1*. On BLAST search against the *M. incognita* database, two other mucin-like sequences were retrieved which were amplified and cloned successfully (MucX and MucY). Alignment of the sequences revealed the percentage similarity of MucX and MucY to be 47.43% and 58.89%, respectively (at the nucleotide level), with *Mi-muc-1*. The result of qRT-PCR showed no transcriptional alteration of MucX and MucY on knockdown of *Mi-muc-1* (Fig. S4, see Supporting Information).

The *in vitro* RNAi-mediated knockdown of *Mi-muc-1* significantly (*P* < 0.05) reduced the adherence of endospores to the cuticle surface of *M. incognita* J2s. Approximately, 6 ± 2 endospores could attach to the target dsRNA-treated worms, which was almost five times lower than that observed in the...
control groups. In comparison, the freshly hatched J2s (31 ± 6 endospores) and double-stranded green fluorescent protein (dsGFP)-treated J2s (30 ± 4 endospores) showed no significant difference in endospore adhesion (Fig. 3).

The knockdown of Mi-muc-1 by dsRNA treatment was also found to cause significant (P < 0.05) reduction in adherence of RBCs to the cuticle surface. The average number of RBCs adhered to dsRNA-treated juveniles was found to be 4 ± 3 vs. 12 ± 5 in freshly hatched J2s and 10 ± 6 in dsGFP-treated J2s (Fig. 3), showing no significant difference in the last two cases.

**Fig. 3** Effect of Mi-muc-1 silencing on the attachment of endospores and red blood cells (RBCs) to Meloidogyne incognita second-stage juveniles (J2s). (1) Decreased endospore attachment to cuticle surface on silencing of Mi-muc-1. (2) Decreased RBC adhesion to cuticle surface on silencing of Mi-muc-1. A, freshly hatched J2s; B, double-stranded green fluorescent protein (dsGFP)-treated J2s; C, Mi-muc-1 silenced J2s. Scale bar, 20 µm.

**Fig. 4** Attachment of endospores and red blood cells (RBCs) to second-stage juvenile (J2) cuticle after incubation in different carbohydrates. d-Glucose, d-galactose and d-xylose showed significantly (P < 0.05) less endospore attachment relative to d-fructose, L-arabinose, d-mannose, L-sorbose and control, whereas no statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) was observed for RBC attachment between different sugars.

**Assessment of endospore/RBC attachment on pre-incubation in carbohydrate**

The pre-incubation of J2s in different carbohydrates affected the attachment of *P. penetrans* endospores to the juvenile cuticle surface of *M. incognita*. The average number of endospores attached to J2s is shown in Fig. 4. This resulted in a reduction of 51%, 75% and 79% in the presence of d-glucose, d-galactose and d-xylose, respectively (Table 1), when compared with an average of 29 ± 7 endospores for the untreated control.
The binding of RBCs was also found to be affected by the incubation of J2s in carbohydrate solutions (Fig. 4); however, there was no statistically significant difference between any of the different sugars (Table 1).

Thus, it is evident that, although the attachment profile of endospores and RBCs is reduced by the use of different carbohydrate molecules, only in the case of Pasteuria endospores does this vary significantly ($P < 0.05$) between the different sugars tested, with $\text{d}-\text{glucose}$, $\text{d}-\text{galactose}$ and $\text{d}-\text{xylose}$ exhibiting the greatest effects.

**Evaluation of *M. incognita* development and *P. penetrans* establishment**

The long-term effect of RNAi-mediated knockdown of *Mi-muc-1* on the development and reproduction potential of *M. incognita* was assessed by infection bioassay using CYG germination pouches (Mega International, St Paul, MN, USA). At 30 days post-inoculation (dpi), when the nematodes had completed their life cycle, dsRNA-treated worms showed lower reproduction potential relative to control worms. A significant ($P < 0.05$) reduction was observed with respect to the number of galls, adult females, egg mass, eggs per egg mass and multiplication factor (MF) (Fig. 5). Approximately $29 \pm 2.92$, $28 \pm 2.86$ and $20 \pm 2.25$ galls developed per plant inoculated with freshly hatched, dsGFP-treated and target dsRNA-treated J2s, respectively. The average numbers of females developed per plant on infection with target dsRNA-treated worms was $25 \pm 2.84$, whereas, for inoculation with freshly hatched and dsGFP-treated worms, the numbers were $34 \pm 3.93$ and $33 \pm 4.01$, respectively. The average numbers of egg masses per plant produced by control worms (freshly

| Sugars       | Endospore attachment % change | RBC attachment % change |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| D-glucose    | 51                            | 8                       |
| D-galactose  | 75                            | 5                       |
| D-fructose   | 11                            | 10                      |
| D-xylose     | 79                            | 6                       |
| L-arabinose  | 10                            | 7                       |
| D-mannose    | 9                             | 5                       |
| L-sorbose    | 7                             | 9                       |
| Control      | NA                            | NA                      |

**Fig. 5** Assessment of parasitism on silencing of *Mi-muc-1*. The number of galls, adult females and egg mass per plant, eggs per egg mass and multiplication factor (MF) were reduced on silencing of *Mi-muc-1* in second-stage juveniles (J2s) over controls. Mi, freshly hatched J2s; gfpMi, double-stranded green fluorescent protein (dsGFP)-treated J2s; MucMi, *Mi-muc-1*-silenced J2s.
hatched, 33 ± 3.46; dsGFP-treated, 32 ± 2.73) were found to be significantly (P < 0.05) higher than that for the target dsRNA-treated nematodes (23 ± 3.58). The approximate numbers of eggs per egg mass produced by freshly hatched, dsGFP-treated and target dsRNA-treated worms were found to be 582 ± 53, 579 ± 49 and 495 ± 56, respectively. MF (indicative of reproductive fitness and parasitic success) was reduced by approximately 40% in plants infected with Mi-muc-1 dsRNA-treated J2s, as compared with infection with freshly hatched and dsGFP-treated J2s. Hence, silencing of Mi-muc-1 in infective J2s retarded the development and reproduction potential of M. incognita in the host plant.

Further, the silencing of Mi-muc-1 was found to exert a measurable effect on the development and establishment of P. penetrans inside adult M. incognita females. On infection with endospore-encumbered target dsRNA-treated J2s, the percentage of infected females was recorded as 13.66% ± 1.50%, which was significantly (P < 0.05) lower than that observed for the controls (Fig. 6). Inoculation with endospore-encumbered freshly hatched (40.33% ± 2.33%) and dsGFP-treated (39.01%±4.55%) J2s showed no significant difference.

**DISCUSSION**

The results reported here demonstrate that the mucin-like protein (Mi–MUC-1) in M. incognita plays a dual role: (1) it facilitates the attachment of P. penetrans endospores to the cuticle of infective juveniles; and (2) it interferes with nematode development and fecundity. The present investigation is the first to identify knockdown of Mi-muc-1 is different between endospores and RBCs indicates that Mi-MUC-1 is not the only cuticle receptor by which cells (endospore/RBC) can bind to the J2 cuticle, and suggests the availability of other biochemical ligands. This result updates the previous observations of Bird *et al.* (1989) and Davies and Danks (1992), in which the effect of the pre-incubation of juveniles in different carbohydrates was tested for endospore attachment, and d-xylose was found to reduce the attachment; however, in the later study, d-glucose and d-galactose did not show any effect.

The presence of carbohydrate residues on the surface of RKNs has been documented previously (Davies *et al.*, 1988; Ibrahim, 1991; McClure and Zuckerma, 1982; Robertson *et al.*, 1989; Spiegel and McClure, 1991) and carbohydrate recognition domains on the cuticle surface have also been predicted to interact with the N-acetylglucosamine moieties on the spore surface (Davies and Danks, 1992). Interestingly, d-glucose and d-galactose were not found to exert any significant effect on endospore attachment in a previous study (Davies and Danks, 1992), whereas pre-incubation in these two carbohydrates significantly reduced endospore attachment in the present investigation. This variation may be a result of differences in the biochemical composition of the endospore surface (Davies and Redden, 1997; Davies *et al.*, 1994) or, indeed, the surface coat of J2s, which is also known to be dynamic and exhibits interspecific variation (Davies *et al.*, 2001, 2008).

Compared with *Pasteuria* endospores, pretreatment of J2s with carbohydrates only had a marginal effect on the adhesion of RBCs, suggesting that different biochemical ligands are involved. This may be a result of the coevolutionary arms race between *P. penetrans* and its host nematode *M. incognita*, resulting in the high specificity observed in their attachment (Davies, 2009). This contrasts with the RBC binding assay, in which the interaction is likely to be much less specific, as RBCs and plant-parasitic nematodes have not undergone prolonged coevolution. The reduced adherence of RBCs to the body surface on silencing of Mi-muc-1 further strengthens the hypothesis of the occurrence of mucin-like proteins on the cuticle. A dense coating of glycans stabilizes the RBCs (Evans and Graham, 1991; Eylar *et al.*, 1962; Fernandes *et al.*, 2011; Schauer, 2009) and aids in their attachment to other...
surfaces (Heidrich and Leutner, 1974; Winzler et al., 1967); the RBC glycoproteins may therefore aid in the stabilization of Mi-MUC-1 of *M. incognita* helping in their adhesion.

The results of in situ hybridization revealed that Mi-muc-1 expression was localized in the tail region of *M. incognita* in an area similar to that identified by Premachandran et al. (1988) and Bellafiore et al. (2008) as the phasmid. Many non-structural surface-associated proteins on the nematode body surface are thought to be secreted from gland cells, namely amphids, pharyngeal glands, excretory pores, phasmids, hypodermis and seam cells (Gravato-Nobre et al., 2011), and are subjected to continuous turnover (Blaxter and Robertson, 1998; Curtis et al., 2011). The constant sloughing off and renewal of these surface antigens protects the nematodes during movement in the soil environment as well as inside the host plant (Blaxter and Robertson, 1998; Curtis et al., 2011). The localization of Mi-muc-1 expression around the phasmid is an indication that Mi-MUC-1 is secreted through the phasmidial pore and spreads over the cuticle surface. Interestingly, in *C. elegans*, in which seam cells are important in producing glycans to build complex surface carbohydrates (Gravato-Nobre et al., 2011), a gene has been identified (*bus-4*) that produces an altered mucin responsible for bacterial adhesion (Parsons et al., 2014). Some of these complex molecules present in the surface coat have also been documented.

### Table 2

| Primer names | Primer sequence (5′ - 3′) | Product length (bp) | Tm (°C) |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Mi_muc_F     | GTAATCCTTACAGGCCCTTCTC    | 947                 | 62      |
| Mi_muc_R     | TGACCGGTTGCAAAATTACCGC    | 428                 | 62      |
| inM2         | CTACAACAACACCTTACAACT     |                     |         |
| MR           | TTATTTTTTTTGCCGGAAAAATCCGGTAGC |                 |         |
| MF           | ATGTATAAGGCCCCACATCTGG    | 1125                | 62      |
| MR           | TTATTTTTTTTGCCGGAAAAATCCGGTAGC |                 |         |
| M2_F         | AGAGGATGGAACACGTATGG      | 216                 | 62      |
| M2_R         | CCTACCCACAGTAAGCAGAAGAA   |                     |         |
| Mucq_F       | GCTCAGGAGCTGAAGTCATTA     | 96                  | 60      |
| Mucq_R       | GTGTTGTTGACACGACCTAAAA    |                     |         |
| dM2_F        | GAGAGGATGGAACACGTATGG     |                     |         |
| dM2_R        | TTATTTTTTTTGCCGGAAAAATCCGGTAGC |                 |         |
| MucX_F       | GGGAAGAGAAGAGGGCTATGG     | 799                 | 62      |
| MucX_R       | AACGGGACTCATGTTGGAATTAG   |                     |         |
| MucY_F       | CTACCGTGGAACCAACTACAA     | 655                 | 62      |
| MucY_R       | GATTGACCGGACACATTAC       |                     |         |
| qMucX_F      | CTACGACGAGGAACCAACTAAAA   | 101                 | 60      |
| qMucX_R      | ACAGAATGAGGGAGGTGTTTTG    |                     |         |
| qMucY_F      | TCTACCCAGACGACCTTTAATTG   | 118                 | 60      |
| qMucY_R      | GACATTGCACACTCTCGTA       |                     |         |
| gfp_F        | ACGGGCGACACTCTCTCA        | 750                 | 60      |
| gfp_R        | GTGTTGACAGGAATGTTGTTG     |                     |         |
| 18S_Mi_RT_F  | TCAACGGTGCTTGCTACCGTTAAA | 115                 | 60      |
| 18S_Mi_RT_R  | TGTTACAAAGGGGAGGGACACGTA |                     |         |
| qMi-actin_F  | TGACTCTAGATGCTTACCGG     | 142                 | 60      |
| qMi-actin_R  | GTGATGACCTGAGGCTGAGCC    |                     |         |
| X_ISH_F      | CAGAATGGAGGAGCGCCTTTGTA  | 233                 | 62      |
| Y_ISH_F      | CTACCGCCCTCCACACAA       | 232                 | 62      |
| Y_ISH_R      | GATTGACCGGACACATTAC      |                     |         |
in the subventral oesophageal glands in RKNs (Haegeaman et al., 2013; Roze et al., 2008) and the internal excretory glands of the animal-parasitic nematode *Toxocara canis* (Gems and Maizels, 1996; Hayes et al., 1990), and are therefore likely to have more than one role. Reduction in endospore attachment on silencing of Mi-muc-1 suggests that the mucin-like protein is involved in the facilitation of microbial adhesion on the surface of the nematode cuticle. Similar results have been observed previously (Derrien et al., 2010; Martin-Sosa et al., 2002; Parsons et al., 2014; Ruiz-Palacios et al., 2003; Sanchez et al., 2009), where mucins have been implicated to play a decisive role in the adhesion of microbial cells in *C. elegans* and humans.

Mi-muc-1 was found to be expressed in pre-parasitic (infective) J2s, parasitic J2s and females of *M. incognita*, indicating its presence in different phases of the nematode life cycle. The highest levels of expression were observed in pre-parasitic and post-parasitic J2s and pre-egg-laying females, with very little expression in eggs, J3 and J4 stages. The high expression of Mi-muc-1 in pre-egg-laying females is indicative of its possible involvement in nematode reproduction and fecundity. Interestingly, this result is consistent with the observations of Ganji et al. (2014), where C-type lectin (CTL) expression was found to be highest in sedentary females of *Rotylenchulus reniformis*, a semi-endoparasitic nematode species. CTLs are one of the most prominent proteins, having a carbohydrate recognition domain which includes multiple calcium binding sites (Harcus et al., 2009), and have also been found to interfere with host immunity (Loukas and Maizels, 2000). The presence of CTLs on the surface of the marine nematode species, *Laxus oneistus*, is responsible for binding with the symbiotic bacteria necessary for nematode metabolism (Bulgheresi et al., 2006), and they have also been implicated in the bacterial infection of *C. elegans* (O’Rourke et al., 2006) and, more recently, in the recognition of bacterial, viral and fungal pathogens in other parasitic nematodes (Hoving et al., 2014). Therefore, the expression of Mi-muc-1 in both the adult females and infective J2s suggests a dual function: (1) outside the plant host to help overcome the effects of pathogens and parasites taking part in the innate immune response (Hasnain et al., 2012; Loukas et al., 2000; Schulenburg et al., 2004; Theodoropoulos et al., 2001); and (2) inside the plant, where it may also play a role in the nematode–plant interaction, directly affecting its fecundity.

The reduced number of females and decreased egg production on Mi-muc-1 dsRNA feeding suggests an additional role for the Mi-MUC-1 protein in affecting the fecundity of *M. incognita*. The reduction in the number of females on the root may be the result of either direct or indirect interactions: direct interactions may be because Mi-MUC-1 knockdown, which affects endospore and RBC adhesion, also has an effect on J2 mobility, thereby influencing root invasion; indirect effects may be via changes in the ability of the plant to recognize that it is undergoing parasitism by the nematode. The latter indirect effect provides evidence supporting the hypothesis of Kaplan and Davis (1987), who suggested that the nematode surface coat plays a role in plant–nematode interactions. The surface coat has been an active area of research for several decades and is thought to play a role in nematode–plant interactions, as it is known to be readily shed and replaced both outside and within the roots (Curtis et al., 2011; Davies and Curtis, 2011; Gravato-Nobre et al., 1999; Lin and McClure, 1996; Spiegel and McClure, 1995). Interestingly, the most dominant model for the description of plant–pathogen interactions is the zig-zag model of coevolution between microbial-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), secreted/excreted by the pathogen, and pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which, in turn, ultimately leads to either a susceptibility or resistance response of the host (Jones and Dangl, 2006). The nematode surface coat could be a source of nematode effectors (Rosso and Grenier, 2011; Smant and Jones, 2011), which hitherto has provided a too narrowly defined bias (Cook et al., 2015) focusing on the identification and characterization of genes and proteins. A whole range of molecules have been ascribed the function of MAMPs; many of these include glycosides and have sugar-based moieties, e.g. chitin, peptidoglycan and lipopolysaccharide (Iriti and Faoro, 2009). It has long been recognized that a component of the surface coat, nemin, is an elicitor of trapping devices in nematode predatory fungi. Recent research has characterized nemin as a member of a family of small lipophilic signalling molecules, ascarosides, which also appear to play a role in nematode–plant interactions (Manosalva et al., 2015). The ascarosides, as a group, consist of a glycoside with a lipophilic fatty acid side chain, and are only found in nematodes; it is interesting that fatty acid and retinol-binding (FAR) proteins, which are also only found in nematodes and are associated with the nematode cuticle, appear to affect microbial adhesion to the cuticle and nematode–plant interactions with the plant host (Phani et al., 2017).

Thus, in summary, we have demonstrated the presence of a mucin-like protein in the cuticle surface coat of *M. incognita*, where it has two functions: (1) it plays a pivotal role in the attachment and interaction of *P. penetrans* endospores; and (2) it also appears to be indirectly involved in the nematode–plant interaction.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Nematode population**

The single egg mass culture of an Indian isolate of *M. incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood race 1 was multiplied on a susceptible tomato plant (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. cv. Pusa Ruby) in a glasshouse at the ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India. Egg masses were manually picked from the infected roots and hatched via a modified Baermann’s assembly...
(Whitehead and Hemming, 1965). Freshly hatched J2s were used for experimental purposes.

**Bioinformatics**

Fifteen genes encoding mucin-like proteins in *C. elegans* were retrieved from the WormBase (version: WS260) database together with their translated products (Table S2, see Supporting Information). The protein sequences were analysed for their amino acid compositions and percentage serine and threonine content. The sequences were then subjected to BLAST search in WormBase Parasite (https://parasite.wormbase.org/Tools/Blast) and *Meloidogyne* genomic resources, INRA (https://www6.inra.fr/meloidogyne_incognita/Genomic-resources2/Blast) to retrieve the homologous sequences in *M. incognita*. The identities of the exact putative mucin-like gene hits in *M. incognita* were obtained from the top scoring reciprocal BLAST hits (sequences with the smallest expected value and a large bit score). The return protein sequences were then stringently analysed for their percentage serine and threonine content using the ExPasy ProtParam tool (https://web.expasy.org/protparam/) and O-glycosylation sites were predicted using the NetOGlyc 4.0 (https://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetOGlyc/) server. Gene-specific primers were designed (https://eu.idtdna.com/Primerquest/Home/Index) using customized parameters to amplify the partial sequence of the putatively assigned mucin-like gene in *M. incognita*.

**Cloning, sequencing and characterization of mucin-like gene in *M. incognita***

Total RNA was extracted from the freshly hatched J2s of *M. incognita* with a NucleoSpin® RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and cDNA was synthesized as described previously (Phani et al., 2017). A partial sequence of the putative mucin-like gene (termed *Mi-muc-1*) was amplified from the cDNA using specific primers (*Mi-muc_F* and *Mi-muc_R*), cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector and sequenced via the Sanger sequencing method. To clone the full-length sequence, amplified from cDNA, cloned and sequenced as described previously (Phani et al., 2017). A 512-bp fragment, amplified from cDNA using specific primers (*dM2_F* and *dM2_R*), was used to synthesize the dsRNA of *Mi-muc-1* following the methodology described previously (Phani et al., 2017). Approximately 1500 freshly hatched *M. incognita* J2s were soaked in a solution with 0.1 mg/mL target dsRNA for *in vitro* RNAi, as described previously (Urwin et al., 2002). Soaking was continued for 15 h in the dark on a slowly moving rotator at 28 °C. Post-incubation, the J2s were washed with molecular-grade nuclease-free water to remove any dsRNA contamination and total RNA was extracted from the dsRNA-treated J2s using a NucleoSpin® RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA and the level of transcript suppression after dsRNA feeding was quantified and analysed by qRT-PCR. All soaking experiments were repeated thrice. dsRNA from a non-endogenous gene (*gfp*, HF675000) was used as a non-native negative control.

To confirm the specificity of dsRNA-mediated knockdown of the target gene, the translated sequence of the full-length *Mi-muc-1* was subjected to BLAST search against the *M. incognita* genome database using WormBase Parasite. The retrieved sequences with considerable homology (<1e-10) were analysed for high serine and threonine content, and specific primers were designed for the amplification and cloning of the targets from cDNA. qRT-PCR was performed to confirm the RNAi-mediated silencing specificity of *Mi-muc-1*. dsGFP (HF675000) was used as a non-native negative control. The primer details are provided in Table 2.

**Post-RNAi phenotyping for *P. penetrans* endospore attachment**

The endospores of *P. penetrans* (strain Ali-329, *Pasteuria* collection, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi, India) were produced on adzuki bean (*Vigna angularis* (Willd.) Ohwi and Ohashi) with a single egg mass culture of *M. incognita*, as described previously (Rao et al., 2012). To check the effect of *Mi-muc-1* dsRNA treatment on endospore attachment to the J2 cuticle surface, approximately 200 dsRNA-treated J2s were removed from the soaking solution, mixed with 100 μL of spore suspension (2.5 × 10⁷ mL⁻¹) and centrifuged at 5000 g for 5 min (Hewlett and Dickson, 1993). The endospore adhesion was quantified by removing 30 J2s from the
microfuge tube, centrifuged at 5000 g for 5 min and the super-

To test the effect of incubation in different carbohydrates on the attach-
mament of human RBCs (kindly provided by Dr Sujaya Raghavendra for experi-
mental purposes only) to the J2 cuticle surface, approxi-
imately 200 dsRNA-treated J2s were removed from the soaking solution and placed in a 1.5-ml microfuge tube. The solution was cen-
trifuged at 5000 g for 5 min, the supernatant was removed and J2s were washed twice with Ringer’s solution. Following this, the J2s were topped with 50 μL of RBC suspension (in Ringer’s solution) (2.5 x 10^7 mL^-1) and centrifuged at 8000 g for 8 min. The adhesion of RBCs was quantified by removing around 30 J2s from the suspension; attachment was observed using a Zeiss Axiocam M2m compound microscope and photographed. Freshly hatched J2s and J2s soaked in dsGFP were used as controls. All assays were performed in triplicate.

**Post-RNAi phenotyping for RBC attachment**

To test the effect of *Mi-muc-1* dsRNA treatment on the attach-
ment of human RBCs (kindly provided by Dr Sujaya Raghavendra for experi-
mental purposes only) to the J2 cuticle surface, approxi-
imately 200 dsRNA-treated J2s were removed from the soaking solution and placed in a 1.5-ml microfuge tube. The solution was cen-
trifuged at 5000 g for 5 min, the supernatant was removed and J2s were washed twice with Ringer’s solution. Following this, the J2s were topped with 50 μL of RBC suspension (in Ringer’s solution) (2.5 x 10^7 mL^-1) and centrifuged at 8000 g for 8 min. The adhesion of RBCs was quantified by removing around 30 J2s from the suspension; attachment was observed using a Zeiss Axiocam M2m compound microscope and photographed. Freshly hatched J2s and J2s soaked in dsGFP were used as controls. All assays were performed in triplicate.

**Pre-incubation of *M. incognita* in carbohydrates and endospore/RBC attachment assay**

To test the effect of incubation in different carbohydrates on the attachment of endospores and RBCs to the J2 cuticle surface, approximately 200 freshly hatched J2s were taken in a 1.5-ml microfuge tube, centrifuged at 5000 g for 5 min and the super-

atant was removed. The J2s were then resuspended in 200 μL of treat-
mation solution and incubated at room temperature (28 °C) for 2 h on a slowly moving rotator. α-glucose, β-galactose, β-fructose, β-xyllose, α-arabinose, β-mannose and β-sorbitose were used for assay pur-

poses, at 0.4 M concentration each, to prevent bursting of the nematode body. The treated J2s were then washed with double-distilled water thrice and subjected for attach-

ment study. Around 30 J2s were taken from each of the treat-
mation solutions, and endospore and RBC attachments were measured. Photographs were taken using a Zeiss Axiocam M2m compound microscope and freshly hatched J2s were used as a control. All assays were performed in triplicate.

**In situ hybridization**

Gene-specific probes were used to localize the mRNA expression site of *Mi-muc-1* by *in situ* hybridization, as described in Kimber *et al.* (2002). Approximately 20 000 J2s were fixed in 2% para-
formaldehyde at 4 °C for 18 h, followed by a 4-h incubation at room temperature (28 °C). Specific primers (M2_F and M2_R; Table 2) were used to amplify the DIG-labelled sense and anti-
sense probes (Roche, Germany) from the cDNA of *M. incognita* pre-parasitic J2s. DIG-labelled sense or antisense probes were added separately to the hybridization solution containing the nematode sections, and then incubated at 50 °C for 12 h in a hybridization chamber. Following hybridization, the nematodes were stained and photomicrographs were taken with a Zeiss Axiocam M2m compound microscope. The experiment was repeated three times. In addition, *in situ* hybridization was also carried out for the *Mi-muc-1* homologues (MucX and MucY) to localize their mRNA expression site with specially designed primers (Table 2).

**Analysis of mRNA levels at different life stages of *M. incognita***

The expression patterns of *Mi-muc-1* in different development-
als stages of *M. incognita* were analysed by qRT-PCR with specially designed primers (Mucq_F and Mucq_R). For the ex-
traction of the developmental stages of *M. incognita*, infection was performed on adzuki bean (*Vigna angularis* (Willd.) Ohwi and Ohashi) using CYG growth pouches (Mega International). The pouches were maintained in a growth chamber as described previously (Phani *et al.*, 2017; Umarao *et al.*, 2013) and various develop-
mental stages were hand dissected at different intervals. Total RNA was extracted from approximately 1500 pre-parasitic J2s, parasitic J2s (extracted between 4 and 6 dpi), J3s/J4s (extracted between 8 and 14 dpi) and pre-egg-laying females (extracted between 20 and 25 dpi), treated with RNase-free DNase (Promega, USA) to remove genomic DNA contamination and finally reverse transcribed into cDNA. qRT-PCR was per-
formed in a realplex2 thermal cycler (Eppendorf) using a SYBR

Green Supermix Kit (Eurogentec), as described previously (Phani *et al.*, 2017). 18S rRNA (HE667742) and actin (BE225475), two constitutively expressed genes, were used as references to nor-
malize the gene expression level. Three biological and three tech-

ical replicates were maintained for each sample. The data were ana-
lysed by the ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001); the results were expressed as log2-transformed fold change values and Student’s *t*-test was performed. Primer details are provided in Table 2.

**Study of nematode development and *P. penetrans* establishment**

To test the effect of *Mi-muc-1* knockdown on the reproduction potential of *M. incognita* and establishment of *P. penetrans*, infec-
tion was analysed in CYG growth pouches (Mega International) using adzuki bean as a susceptible host. The transfer of germinated seeds in pouches, maintenance for root proliferation, setting up of root infection and post-infection maintenance of plants were con-
ducted as described previously (Phani *et al.*, 2017; Umarao *et al.*, 2013). *Mi-muc-1* dsRNA-treated J2s and endospore-encumbered *Mi-muc-1* dsRNA-treated J2s were used for inoculation purposes with five replications for each treatment. Freshly hatched J2s,
endospore-encumbered freshly hatched J2s, J2s treated with dsGFP and endospore-encumbered dsGFP treated J2s were used as controls. The numbers of galls, adult females and egg masses produced per plant, number of eggs per egg mass and MF were considered as infection parameters to examine the reproduction potential of M. incognita. The percentage establishment of P. penetrans was calculated as [number of infected females/(number of infected females + number of uninfected females)] × 100, considering each pouch as a replicate.

Statistical analyses
One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test (significance level at P < 0.05) were used to analyse the bioassay and expression data employing SAS version 9.3 for Windows (SAS Software, Inc.).
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**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s website:

**Fig. S1** The hybridization of digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled sense (A) and antisense (B) cDNA probe of Mi-muc-1 showed no signalling in the anterior body part of *Meloidogyne incognita* (scale bar, 20 µm).

**Fig. S2** The *in situ* hybridization result for MucX. The result showed no signalling in the anterior body part of *Meloidogyne incognita* with digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled sense (A) and antisense (B) probes. The hybridization of antisense DIG-labelled cDNA probe showed the location of mRNA expression in the phasmid area of the tail region (D) as compared with the control (C) (scale bar, 20 µm).

**Fig. S3** The *in situ* hybridization result for MucY. The result showed no signalling in the anterior body part of *Meloidogyne incognita* with digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled sense (A) and antisense (B) probes. The hybridization of antisense DIG-labelled cDNA probe showed the location of mRNA expression in the phasmid area of the tail region (D) as compared with the control (C). However, some non-specific signals were also detected in the posterior body part (D) (scale bar, 20 µm).

**Fig. S4** (a) Sequence information of putative Mi-muc-1 homologues. (b) CLUSTAL 2.1 multiple sequence alignment. (c) Percent identity matrix (created by Clustal 2.1). (d) Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis shows no transcriptional alteration of MucX and MucY on downregulation of Mi-muc-1 (figure below) [double-stranded green fluorescent protein (dsGFP) was used as a non-native negative control].

**Table S1** The NetOGlyc prediction result. The output conforms to the GFF version 2 format (https://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetOGlyc/). For the input sequence Mi-MUC-1, the server has provided a list of potential glycosylation sites, showing their positions in the sequence and the prediction confidence scores. Only the sites with scores higher than 0.5 are predicted as glycosylated and marked with the string ‘#POSITIVE’ in the comment field.

**Table S2** List of mucin-like proteins of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. 
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