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Abstract

In the process of prick hole extrusion, many factors must be controlled to obtain the required plastic strain and desired tolerance values. The major factors include lubricant, extrusion speed, billet temperature, and die angle. In this paper, we employed rigid-plastic finite element (FE) DEFORM™ software, to investigate the plastic deformation behavior of a titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) billet as it was extruded through a conical prick hole die. We systematically examined the influence of the semi-cone angle on the prick hole die, the diameter of prick hole die, the factor of friction, the velocity of the ram and the temperature of the billet, under various extrusion conditions. We analyzed the strain, stress and damage factor distribution in the extrusion process. We used the Taguchi method to determine optimum design parameters, and our results confirmed the suitability of the proposed design, which enabled a prick hole die to achieve perfect extrusion during finite element testing.
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1. Introduction

Extrusion has long been used in metallurgical industries to make bars, tubes, wires, and strips with significant efforts being made to describe the processes in fundamental terms. Titanium alloys have been widely used in aerospace applications thanks to their high strength-to-weight ratio, excellent toughness, fatigue resistance at elevated temperatures, and good resistance to corrosive environments. Li et al. [1] simulated the forward extrusion process of Ti-6Al-4V bar using finite element software to study the influence of process variables on the product; and compared these results with some experimental measurements. Balasundar and Raghu [2] used finite element analysis to determine the effect of important extrusion parameters such as extrusion ratio, extrusion die angle, deformation zone height, friction, and
how the constitution of materials affects deformation. Giuliano [3] proposed using commercial finite
element software for multi-stage process design, which could prevent defects in the flow in the combined
forward-backward cold extrusion of billets.

Domanti et al. [4] used a commercial finite element package (ABAQUS) to simulate the extrusion of
a paste using an elastic-plastic material model based on the stress and strain within the deforming material
required for evaluation of the fracture criteria. Fang et al. [5] studied metal flow through a series of
pocket dies using DEFORM 3D and to verify their experiments on the distribution of velocity, extrusion
pressure and extrusion temperature. This experimental approach and finite element analysis were
employed to study the effects of the process parameters on the multi-hole extrusion of aluminum-alloy
A7075 tubes using the indirect extrusion process by Chen et al. [6]. Finally, we [7] used DEFORM™ 3D
software to investigate the plastic deformation of Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy during its indirect extrusion
through a four-hole die.

In this study, we used rigid-plastic finite element (FE) DEFORM™ software to investigate the plastic
deformation behavior of titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) billet as it was extruded through a conical prick hole
die. We used the Taguchi method to determine the optimum design parameters. Our results confirmed the
suitability of the proposed design process, which allowed a prick hole die to achieve a perfect extrusion
during finite element method.

2. Simulation process analysis and Taguchi method

The DEFORM™ 2D FE simulations performed in this study were based on a flow formulation
approach using an updated Lagrangian procedure. The nonlinear equations in the FE software were
solved using a combined direct iteration method/Newton-Raphson scheme. In the solution, direct iteration
method was used to generate a suitable initial estimate, and the Newton-Raphson method was applied to
obtain a rapid convergence to the final solution. The iterative solution was continued until the following
termination criteria had been achieved a velocity error norm of \[ \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{v}\|}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} \leq 0.001 \] and a force error norm
of \[ \frac{\| \Delta \mathbf{F} \|}{\| \mathbf{F} \|} \leq 0.01 \], where \[ \| \mathbf{v} \| = (\mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{v})^{1/2} \]

The Taguchi method uses a generic signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio to quantify variations. Depending on
the characteristics involved, it is possible to use various S/N ratios: “lower is better” (LB), “nominal is
best” (NB), or “higher is better” (HB). William & Creveling [8] and Belavendram [9] described the S/N
ratio for the LB characteristics of the current prick hole extrusion as:

\[
S/N = -10 \log \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 \right) 
\]

where \( n \) is the number of simulation repetitions under the same design parameters, \( y_i \) indicates the
measured results, and \( i \) indicates the number of design parameters in the Taguchi orthogonal array (OA).

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1 presents a schematic illustration of a titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) prick hole extrusion. The
design of the structure was symmetric and in the simulations, the objects were all modeled as rigid. Figure
2 shows stress-strain relationship for Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy. Three billet temperatures has three kinds
including were employed: 700°C, 750°C, and 800°C.

Table 1 specifies the five design factors, each with three levels, for the prick hole extrusion. We
arranged the experimental trials in an \( L_{16}(3^5) \) orthogonal array matrix. We adopted a prick hole extrusion
process (Table 1) using the following design factors: Factor A, semi-cone angle; Factor B, diameter of
prick hole die; Factor C, friction factor; Factor D, velocity of ram; and Factor E, temperature of billet. Table 2 presents eighteen different designs for prick hole extrusion.

![Schematic illustration of titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) prick hole extrusion](image)

**Fig. 1.** Schematic illustration of titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) prick hole extrusion

![Stress-strain relationship for Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy](image)

**Fig. 2.** Stress-strain relationship for Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy

| Factors | Description                  | Level 1   | Level 2   | Level 3   |
|---------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| A       | semi-cone angle              | 9°        | 10°       | 11°       |
| B       | diameter of prick hole die   | 6 mm      | 8 mm      | 10 mm     |
| C       | friction factor              | 0.05      | 0.1       | 0.2       |
| D       | velocity of ram              | 2 mm/sec  | 3 mm/sec  | 4 mm/sec  |
| E       | temperature of billet        | 700°C     | 750°C     | 800°C     |

Table 1. Design parameters and levels for titanium alloy prick hole extrusion

Table 3 presents the effective stress, strain, and damage in titanium alloy during prick hole extrusion, using eighteen different designs, in the first and second experiment. We used multi-quality characteristics:
the stress weight was 35%; the strain weight was 35%; and the damage was 30%. All the factors supported the rationale of “lower is better” (LB).

Table 4 presents the corresponding factor response data; these are graphically plotted in Figure 3. Following the principles of the Taguchi method, we assumed that a higher S/N ratio indicated higher product quality. Therefore, Figure 3 shows the following optimal parameter settings for prick hole extrusion: \( A_2 \), semi-cone angle (10°), \( B_2 \), diameter of prick hole die (8mm); \( C_2 \), friction factor (0.1); \( D_1 \), velocity of ram (2mm/sec); and \( E_1 \), temperature of billet (700°C).

Figure 4 depicts the stress distribution during prick hole extrusion using a perfect design (A2B2C2D1E1). These results indicated the ideal specifications of the design of the new mold and die, with an effective stress of 297MPa, strain of 15.7, and damage of 1.64, for the extruded billet.

Table 2. Eighteen designs for prick hole extrusion

| No. | semi-cone angle | diameter of prick hole die | friction factor | velocity of ram | temperature of billet °C |
|-----|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| 1   | 9               | 6                         | 0.05           | 2               | 700                      |
| 2   | 9               | 8                         | 0.1            | 3               | 750                      |
| 3   | 9               | 10                        | 0.2            | 4               | 800                      |
| 4   | 10              | 6                         | 0.05           | 3               | 750                      |
| 5   | 10              | 8                         | 0.1            | 4               | 800                      |
| 6   | 10              | 10                        | 0.2            | 2               | 700                      |
| 7   | 11              | 6                         | 0.1            | 2               | 800                      |
| 8   | 11              | 8                         | 0.2            | 3               | 700                      |
| 9   | 11              | 10                        | 0.05           | 4               | 750                      |
| 10  | 9               | 6                         | 0.2            | 4               | 750                      |
| 11  | 9               | 8                         | 0.1            | 2               | 800                      |
| 12  | 9               | 10                        | 0.05           | 3               | 700                      |
| 13  | 10              | 6                         | 0.1            | 4               | 700                      |
| 14  | 10              | 8                         | 0.2            | 2               | 750                      |
| 15  | 10              | 10                        | 0.05           | 3               | 800                      |
| 16  | 11              | 6                         | 0.2            | 3               | 800                      |
| 17  | 11              | 8                         | 0.05           | 4               | 700                      |
| 18  | 11              | 10                        | 0.1            | 2               | 750                      |

4. Conclusions

This study utilizes finite element software to simulate the plastic deformation behavior of titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) during the prick hole extrusion. Results show that (1) the optimal parameter settings for the prick hole extrusion: \( A_2 \), semi-cone angle (10°); \( B_2 \), diameter of prick hole die (8mm); \( C_2 \), friction factor (0.1); \( D_1 \), velocity of ram (2mm/sec); and \( E_1 \), temperature of billet (700°C); and (2) the design of the new mold and die, with an effective stress of 297MPa, effective strain of 15.7, and damage of 1.64 of the extruded billet.
Table 3. Effective stress, effective strain, and damage in titanium alloy during prick hole extrusion using eighteen different designs

| No. | Experiment 1 | | | Experiment 2 | | |
|-----|--------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|
|     | Effective stress (MPa) | Effective strain | Damage | Effective stress (MPa) | Effective strain | Damage |
| 1   | 297          | 21.7   | 3.51   | 295          | 21.6   | 3.41   |
| 2   | 219          | 29.9   | 2.50   | 221          | 29.7   | 2.56   |
| 3   | 177          | 29.2   | 3.08   | 178          | 29.4   | 3.10   |
| 4   | 219          | 17.8   | 3.20   | 220          | 17.9   | 3.21   |
| 5   | 175          | 21.9   | 2.72   | 178          | 21.7   | 2.74   |
| 6   | 297          | 27.4   | 1.75   | 295          | 27.5   | 1.77   |
| 7   | 174          | 16.7   | 2.35   | 175          | 16.8   | 2.37   |
| 8   | 297          | 22.7   | 2.97   | 298          | 22.8   | 2.99   |
| 9   | 1190         | 21.6   | 2.48   | 1191         | 21.7   | 2.49   |
| 10  | 219          | 23.1   | 3.26   | 220          | 23.2   | 3.27   |
| 11  | 176          | 26.8   | 2.49   | 177          | 26.9   | 2.50   |
| 12  | 297          | 31.9   | 2.18   | 298          | 31.5   | 2.19   |
| 13  | 297          | 23.5   | 3.7    | 298          | 23.7   | 3.9    |
| 14  | 219          | 23.8   | 3.38   | 219          | 23.9   | 3.34   |
| 15  | 175          | 23.1   | 3.31   | 175          | 23.5   | 3.36   |
| 16  | 1180         | 22.3   | 3.08   | 1180         | 22.5   | 3.10   |
| 17  | 297          | 20.3   | 2.29   | 297          | 20.4   | 2.30   |
| 18  | 219          | 23.5   | 1.9    | 219          | 23.6   | 1.91   |

Table 4. Factor response table for prick hole extrusion

| Control factor | A     | B     | C     | D     | E     |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Level1         | 35.131| 35.067| 35.314| 35.77 | 35.364|
| Level2         | 35.403| 35.536| 35.643| 34.909| 35.147|
| Level3         | 35.201| 35.131| 34.777| 35.055| 35.223|
| Effects        | 0.272 | 0.469 | 0.866 | 0.861 | 0.217 |
Fig. 3. S/N response graph of the prick hole extrusion

Fig. 4. Effective stress distribution in prick hole extrusion using the perfect design (A2B2C2D1E1)
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