In Vitro Culture of *Heuchera villosa* ‘Caramel’
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**Abstract.** The procedure for *Heuchera villosa* ‘Caramel’ propagation was investigated, which involves shoot regeneration, rooting of regenerated shoots, and acclimatization of regenerated plantlets. Petioles, as explants, were cultured on MS medium supplemented with 1-naphthylacetic acid (NAA), benzylaminopurine (BA), thidiazuron (TDZ) and callus formed on all media. Shoots were observed to proliferate from callus on media with BA and NAA, whereas no shoots regenerated on media with TDZ and NAA. On media containing 0.5 or 1.0 mg L⁻¹ BA in combination with NAA, the regenerated shoots showed severe hyperhydricity, whereas on media containing 0.1 mg L⁻¹ BA in combination with NAA, the regenerated shoots grew normally. The highest shoot induction rate, 90.6%, was obtained on media containing 0.1 mg L⁻¹ BA and 0.01 mg L⁻¹ NAA. The effects of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), indole-3-butyric acid (IBA), and NAA on rooting of *H. villosa* ‘Caramel’ was explored. The highest rooting rate (95%) was obtained on 1/2 MS medium containing 0.2 mg L⁻¹ NAA. In the subsequent acclimatization experiments, about 85% of rooted plantlets survived and grew normally.

*Heuchera villosa* ‘Caramel’, which is native to Mexico, is a perennial of the genus *Heuchera* in Saxifragaceae (Hosoki and Kajino, 2003). Because of its bright and color-changing leaves, cold resistance, and shade tolerance, *H. villosa* ‘Caramel’ has been widely used as groundcovers under forests. *Heuchera villosa* ‘Caramel’ can reproduce by seeds, but the germination is slow and irregular. Vegetative propagation is commonly used, but frequently leads to variety degeneration and low survival rate. For these reasons, the large-scale production of *H. villosa* ‘Caramel’ is limited.

In vitro propagation has contributed significantly to the large-scale plant multiplication (Li et al., 2016; Papafotiou and Martini, 2016; Rout et al., 2006). Although in vitro propagation of *Heuchera* is still comparatively rare, micropropagation of *Heuchera sanguinea* Engelm. has been reported (Hosoki and Kajino, 2003; Miura et al., 1995; Stapfer and Heuser, 1986).

Primarily, Stapfer and Heuser (1986) reported micropropagation of *H. sanguinea* by shoot tip culture on woody plant medium supplemented with 16 mM BA or kinetin. Then, Miura et al. (1995) succeeded in adventitious shoots induction by mature leaves and petioles of *H. sanguinea* culture on modified MS medium. The optimum hormonal concentrations were 0.19 or 1.9 mM NAA plus 4.4 mM BA for leaves and 0.19 mM NAA plus 0.44 mM or 4.4 mM BA for petioles. The percentage of regenerated shoots was 50% and 80% for leaf and petiole explants, respectively. Afterward, Hosoki and Kajino (2003) also reported successful shoot regeneration from petioles, leaves, and petioles with leaves on the same media as that used by Miura et al. (1995). But petioles or petioles with leaves regenerated more shoots than leaves alone. The highest regenerating rate was 60% in petiole cultures.

So in *H. sanguinea*, the regeneration rate was lowest in leaf cultures and highest in petioles (Hosoki and Kajino, 2003; Miura et al., 1995), suggesting petioles might be the optimum explants for shoot regeneration of *Heuchera*. Thus, in our experiments, petioles from the plants of *H. villosa* ‘Caramel’ were used as explants.

The objective of the study was to evaluate the effect of different growth regulators on *H. villosa* ‘Caramel’.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material.** Petioles from the plants of *H. villosa* ‘Caramel’ were harvested and rinsed in running water for 1 h and then surface-sterilized in 75% ethanol for 15 s followed by being rinsed three times with sterile water. The explants were then sterilized in 0.2% sodium hypochlorite containing 0.1% Tween 20 (v/v) for 7 min. This was followed by rinsing five times with sterile water. The sterilized petioles were cut into sections 0.5 cm long.

**Callus induction and shoot regeneration.** The sterilized petioles were cultured on MS basal medium supplemented with different concentrations of NAA (0, 0.01, 0.03, and 0.05 mg L⁻¹), BA (0, 0.1, 0.5, and 1.0 mg L⁻¹), and TDZ (0, 0.1, 0.5, and 1.0 mg L⁻¹) in separate experiments. The pH of the all media was adjusted to 5.8 ± 0.1 before autoclaving at 121°C for 20 min. On an average, 10 explants were placed on each bottle containing culture medium. The cultures were incubated in a growth room maintained at a light intensity of 40 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ under 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod at 25 ± 2°C. The explants were subcultured every 3 weeks. Callus formation frequency (explants with callus/number of explants cultured × 100), shoot regeneration frequency (explants with shoots/number of explants cultured × 100), and hyperhydricity frequency (explants with hyperhydric shoots/number of explants cultured × 100) were recorded after 6 weeks of culture.

**Rooting and acclimatization.** For rooting, each shoot was cultured on 1/2 MS basal medium supplemented with 0, 0.2, 0.5, or 0.8 mg L⁻¹ IAA, IBA, or NAA. The culture conditions were similar to those used for regeneration of shoots. Each treatment was repeated three times and each replicate consisted of at least 100 shoots, and rooting frequency (%) was recorded after 25 d. The rooted plantlets were transplanted into the substrate (peat soil:perlite = 2:1), maintained in greenhouse for 2 weeks and then transferred to the open field.

**Statistical analysis.** Analysis of variance was performed by the statistical software SPSS19. The means were compared using Duncan’s multiple range test at the 5% level.

**Results and Discussion**

**Callus induction and shoot regeneration.** Greenish callus formation (Fig. 1A) was observed in media added with all combinations of growth regulators, ranging from 64.1% (in media added with 0.05 mg L⁻¹ of NAA and 0.5 mg L⁻¹ of TDZ) to 92.5% (in media added with 0.01 mg L⁻¹ of NAA and 0.1 mg L⁻¹ of BA) (Table 1). During subculture on the same medium for callus induction, shoots were observed to proliferate from callus. Shoot regeneration rate was above 60% on all media with combinations of BA and NAA. The highest shoot induction rate, 90.6%, was obtained on media containing 0.1 mg L⁻¹ BA and 0.01 mg L⁻¹ NAA. In previous works on *H. sanguinea* (Hosoki and Kajino, 2003; Miura et al., 1995), shoots also regenerated successfully on media with BA and NAA in spite of different concentrations of NAA and BA, suggesting the concentrations of growth regulators vary depending on cultivar.

Callus was also induced on all media with TDZ (0.1 or 0.5 or 1.0 mg L⁻¹) and NAA (0.01 or 0.03 or 0.05 mg L⁻¹) (Table 1). But there were no shoots regenerated on all media with...
Different letters mean significantly different at the 0.05 level.

NAA = 1-naphthylacetic acid; IBA = indole-3-butyric acid; TDZ = thidiazuron.

Table 1. Effect of NAA, BA, and TDZ on callus formation and shoot regeneration in *Heuchera villosa* 'Caramel' in vitro.

| Treatment | NAA (mg L⁻¹) | BA (mg L⁻¹) | TDZ (mg L⁻¹) | Callus formation frequency (%) | Shoot regeneration frequency (%) | Hyperhydricity frequency (%) |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0.01      | 0.1          |             |              | 92.5 j                        | 90.6 j                         | 0 a                         |
| 0.01      | 0.5          |             |              | 89.4 i                        | 85.7 i                         | 86.1 b                      |
| 0.01      | 1.0          |             |              | 88.6 i                        | 82.6 h                         | 90.5 c                      |
| 0.01      | 0.1          | 0.1         |              | 84.5 h                        | 3 b                            |                             |
| 0.01      | 0.5          | 0.5         |              | 83.3 h                        | 2 ab                           |                             |
| 0.01      | 1.0          | 1.0         |              | 88.2 i                        | 2 ab                           |                             |
| 0.03      | 0.5          | 0.1         | 0.1          | 78.5 f                        | 70.2 f                         | 0 a                         |
| 0.03      | 1.0          | 1.0         | 1.0          | 78.6 f                        | 73.3 g                         | 92.9 d                      |
| 0.03      | 0.1          |             | 0.1          | 76.4 e                        | 2 ab                           |                             |
| 0.03      | 0.5          |             | 0.5          | 68.9 c                        | 0 a                            |                             |
| 0.03      | 1.0          |             | 1.0          | 74.1 d                        | 0 a                            |                             |
| 0.05      | 0.1          |             | 0.1          | 80.8 g                        | 66.5 e                         | 0 a                         |
| 0.05      | 0.5          |             | 0.5          | 68.4 c                        | 62.4 d                         | 87.1 b                      |
| 0.05      | 1.0          |             | 1.0          | 74.6 d                        | 59.2 c                         | 97.8 e                      |
| 0.05      | 0.1          |             | 0.1          | 67.8 bc                       | 0 a                            |                             |
| 0.05      | 0.5          |             | 0.5          | 64.1 a                        | 0 a                            |                             |
| 0.05      | 1.0          |             | 1.0          | 66.5 b                        | 0 a                            |                             |

NAA = 1-naphthylacetic acid; IBA = indole-3-butyric acid; TDZ = thidiazuron.

Different letters mean significantly different at the 0.05 level.

Fig. 1. Callus development, adventitious shoot development on media with different plant growth regulators. (A) Callus formation on media with 0.1 mg L⁻¹ benzylaminopurine (BA) and 0.01 mg L⁻¹ 1-naphthylacetic acid (NAA). (B) The hyperhydric shoots differentiated on medium with 1.0 mg L⁻¹ BA and 0.05 mg L⁻¹ NAA. (C) The normal shoots differentiated on medium with 0.1 mg L⁻¹ BA and 0.01 mg L⁻¹ NAA.

Table 2. Effect of NAA, IBA, and IAA on rooting of regenerated shoots of *Heuchera villosa* 'Caramel'.

| Auxins Concn (mg L⁻¹) | Rooting frequency (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Control               | 7.8 a                 |
| IAA 0.2               | 54.8 c                |
| 0.5                   | 45.4 c                |
| 0.8                   | 38.5 b                |
| IBA 0.2               | 47.6 c                |
| 0.5                   | 51.9 d                |
| 0.8                   | 61.2 f                |
| NAA 0.2               | 97.8 h                |
| 0.5                   | 77.1 g                |
| 0.8                   | 54.5 e                |

NAA = 1-naphthylacetic acid; IBA = indole-3-butyric acid; IAA = indole-3-acetic acid.

Different letters mean significantly different at the 0.05 level.

with TDZ and NAA (Table 1). In plant tissue culture, cytokinins are involved in growth regulation by promoting cell division, direct shoot initiation, and multiplication (Van Staden et al., 2008). Chemically, cytokinins are classified into two major groups: synthetic phenylurea derivatives, such as TDZ, and naturally occurring adenine derivatives, such as kinetin and BA. Synthetic phenylurea derivatives, especially TDZ, have been reported to be more effective for shoot regeneration and proliferation compared with BA, particularly in woody specie (Lane et al., 1998; Murthy et al., 1998; Shen et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2010). But in some cases, TDZ is not always superior. For example, in castor, BA was found superior to TDZ for obtaining the highest number of shoots from the shoot apex (Sujatha and Reddy, 1998). So the effectiveness of TDZ varies depending on cultivar. Our results showed that shoot regeneration was observed on media with all combinations of BA and NAA, whereas no shoot regeneration on media with all combinations of TDZ and NAA, which agrees with the results of *H. sanguinea* (Hosoki and Kajino, 2003; Miura et al., 1995), demonstrating that BA might be more suitable for shoot proliferation of *Heuchera* than TDZ.

The regenerated shoots cultured on media containing 0.1 mg L⁻¹ BA and 0.01 or 0.03 or 0.05 mg L⁻¹ NAA grew normally with normal morphological character (Fig. 1C). However, on media containing 0.5 or 1.0 mg L⁻¹ BA and 0.01 or 0.03 or 0.05 mg L⁻¹ NAA, the regenerated shoots showed severe hyperhydricity, which is characterized by turgid, wrinkled, fragile, translucent, and less green (Fig. 1B). Hyperhydricity is a morphological, anatomical, and physiological disorder, which has been reported in many species (Barakat and El-Sammak, 2011; Sen and Alikamanoglu, 2013; Tabart et al., 2015; Vinoth and Ravindhran, 2015). Exogenous cytokinins were found to induce and promote hyperhydricity (Frick, 1991; Picoli et al., 2001; Vasudevan and Van Staden, 2011). Some works supported the idea that the percentage of hyperhydric cultures and the intensity of hyperhydricity depended on cytokinin concentration (Mazri, 2015). In the work on date palm, it was found that the shoot multiplication rate increased with increasing BAP concentration, but more hyperhydricity was observed (Mazri, 2015). Another work on anchote also indicated that hyperhydric shoots were observed on media supplemented with high concentrations of BAP and kinetin (Kahia et al., 2016). Similarly, our work suggested that shoots regenerated normally on media with lower concentration of BA (0.1 mg L⁻¹), whereas hyperhydricity phenomenon appeared on media with higher concentration of BA (0.1 or 0.5 mg L⁻¹) (Fig. 1B), which was in favor of the prior conclusions.

However, other work proved that the type of cytokinin played the major role in...
obtained on medium containing 0.2 mg·L\(^{-1}\) 1-naphthylactic acid. (B) The rooted plantlets.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, an efficient propagation protocol for *H. villosa* ‘Caramel’ was established. BA proved to be beneficial to callus induction and shoot regeneration than TDZ but the regenerated shoots on medium with higher concentration of BA inclined to be hyperhydric. MS medium containing 0.01 mg·L\(^{-1}\) NAA and 0.1 mg·L\(^{-1}\) BA was the most effective for shoot regeneration. Compared with IAA and IBA, NAA was the optimum for regenerated shoots rooting. The highest rooting rate (95%) was obtained on 1/2 MS basal medium with 0.2 mg·L\(^{-1}\) NAA. Eighty-five percent of rooted plantlets survived and grew normally in the field after acclimatization in greenhouse. The protocol established in this investigation will facilitate future mass propagation of *H. villosa* ‘Caramel’.
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