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ABSTRACT: FAS-associated protein with death domain (FADD) is a major adaptor protein involved in extrinsic apoptosis, embryogenesis, and lymphocyte homeostasis. Although abnormalities of the FADD/death receptor apoptotic pathways have been established in tumorigenesis, fewer studies have analyzed the expression and role of phosphorylated FADD (pFADD). Our identification of FADD as a lymphoma-associated autoantigen in T-cell lymphoma patients raises the possibility that pFADD, with its correlation with cell cycle, may possess role(s) in human T-cell lymphoma development. This immunohistochemical study investigated pFADD protein expression in a range of normal tissues and lymphomas, particularly T-cell lymphomas that require improved therapies. Whereas pFADD was expressed only in scattered normal T cells, it was detected at high levels in T-cell lymphomas (eg, 84% anaplastic large cell lymphoma and 65% peripheral T cell lymphomas, not otherwise specified). The increased expression of pFADD supports further study of its clinical relevance and role in lymphomagenesis, highlighting phosphorylation of FADD as a potential therapeutic target.

KEYWORDS: FADD, pFADD, lymphoma, autoantigen, ALCL, PTCL

Introduction

Autoantibody signatures have provided invaluable information for assisting both in the identification and early detection of disease.1–4 Furthermore autoantigens, such as anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), which are functionally implicated in tumor progression, represent important therapeutic targets.5,6

In a previous study, protein microarrays were screened with sera from lymphoma patients to identify lymphoma-associated antigens. The aim was to identify autoantigens that might represent new tools for identifying high risk patients and/or novel treatment options for patients with systemic ALK-negative anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL), a provisional tumor entity with a poor prognostic outlook. The FAS-associated protein with death domain (FADD), encoded by a gene within 11q13, was identified as an autoantigen recognized by circulating antibodies present not only in the sera...
of patients with systemic ALCL but also in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified (PTCL, NOS), as well as B-cell lymphoma. PTCL, in common with systemic ALK-negative ALCL, represents a poorly defined lymphoma category with a poor prognostic outlook for which improved therapies are urgently required.8

Multiple roles have been ascribed to the FADD protein. Containing a death domain (DD) and a death effector domain (DED), FADD is a major adaptor protein in the extrinsic apoptotic pathway linking transduction signals from membrane-bound death receptors, eg, CD95 and DR4, with caspase 8,9,10 More recently, interactions of FADD with RIP1 and RIP3 have been identified, with FADD being a negative regulator of a programmed form of necrotic cell death, known as “necrotopsis.”8,11,12 FADD is also required for embryogenesis and lymphocyte proliferation via pathways that are independent of death receptor-mediated apoptosis,13-16 the DD motif acting as a switch between apoptosis and proliferation.16 The pivotal roles of FADD in cell cycle regulation and proliferation17,18 occur following its phosphorylation (at serine 194 in humans or serine 171 in mice) and nuclear relocalization at the G2/M stage of cell cycle.19 Nuclear pFADD may also be involved in gene surveillance through its regulation with human telomerase reverse transcriptase20 and its association with complexes containing the DNA repair molecules MBD4 and MLH1.21

FADD has been associated with disease development in humans.22-25 The amplification of the FADD gene and its correlation with increased FADD protein expression in head and neck cancers led Gibcus et al. to describe FADD as a possible key gene of functional interest in the 11q13 amplicon.26 Conflicting evidence has, however, been obtained on the relevance of high levels of pFADD to patient outcome in a number of solid tumors such as head and neck cancer,26,27 adenocarcinoma,22,28 gastric cancer,29 and prostate cancer.30 Higher levels of pFADD were, however, found to increase chemosensitization in prostate cancer cells31,32 and HeLa xenografts.31

Of relevance to the current study is that chromosomal abnormalities of the 11q13 region, other than those associated with Cyclin D1, have also been linked to hematological malignancies32,33 thus increasing the possibility of the involvement of FADD in these tumor types. Since pFADD has also been reported to correlate with proliferation in a range of B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHLs),34 the current immunohistochemical study on the detection of pFADD focuses on T-cell malignancies to determine its potential relevance as a therapeutic target in these tumors.

Materials and Methods

Tissue samples. Normal tissues: Fresh tonsil was obtained from patients attending the Ear, Nose and Throat Department, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford. Paraffin-embedded normal tissues were obtained from the Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Oncologicas (CNIO), Madrid.

Hematological malignancies: Tissue microarrays (TMAs) of a variety of lymphomas were obtained from the CNIO while TMAs of ALK-positive and ALK-negative ALCL and PTCL were obtained from the Department of Pathology, Wüerzburg. The 39 B-cell lymphomas consisted of the following cases: diffuse large B-cell (DLBCL, n = 9), mantle cell lymphoma (MCL, n = 7), follicular lymphoma (FL, n = 7), Burkitt’s lymphoma (BL, n = 6), and Hodgkin’s lymphoma (HL, n = 10). The 227 T-cell tumors consisted of the following cases: PTCL (NOS) (n = 89), ALK-positive ALCL (n = 38), ALK-negative ALCL (n = 54), angioimmunoblastic lymphoma (AITL, n = 15), angiocentric lymphoma (n = 5), T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma (TCL, n = 7), cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL, n = 3), T/NK lymphoma (n = 2), mycosis fungoides (MF, n = 6), and T-cell intestinal lymphoma (n = 8).

All normal and neoplastic cells and tissues were obtained only after ethical approval and informed consent had been given.

Cell lines. The Thiel (myeloma), SUDHL-1, Karpas 299 (ALK-positive ALCL), FEPD (ALK-negative ALCL), Jurkat and CEM (T-acute lymphoblastic leukemia), U937 and HL60 (acute myeloid leukemia), germinal center – SUDHL-6, Table 1. Expression of pFADD in normal cells and tissues.

| TISSUE         | *pFADD DISTRIBUTION                                      |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Hematopoietic  |                                                          |
| Bone marrow    | Megakaryocytes and occasional large blast cells          |
| Tonsil         | Epithelium, subpopulations of germinal center B-cells,    |
|                | tingible body macrophages and subpopulations of           |
|                | interfollicular T and B cells                            |
| Thymus         | Subpopulations of B cells, macrophages, epithelium, and   |
|                | scattered T cells                                        |
| Non-Hematopoietic |                                                  |
| Brain          | No labeling detected                                    |
| Thyroid        | Occasional nucleus of epithelial cells                  |
| Lung           | No labeling detected                                   |
| Breast         | No labeling detected                                   |
| Colon          | Subset of crypt epithelial cells                       |
| Liver          | Occasional hepatocyte                                   |
| Kidney         | Occasional cell in tubule                               |
| Pancreas       | Acinar and islet cells                                  |
| Fallopian tubes| Subset of epithelial cells                              |
| Uterus         | Scattered epithelial cells                              |
| Testis         | Majority of spermatogonia and weak labeling of Leydig    |
| Placenta       | Scattered syncitiotrophoblast                           |
| Prostate       | Subset of epithelial cells                              |
| Bladder        | Epithelial cells                                        |

Note: *Nuclear staining.
Figure 1. Immunolabeling studies to show pFADD protein expression in tonsil (A–F) and thymus (G–J).

Notes: Tonsil: (A) Single immunoperoxidase labeling shows heterogeneity of pFADD expression in the nuclei of subpopulations of tonsil cells. Strong labeling is present in a subpopulation of germinal center (gc) cells (arrowed). Scattered cells with weaker labeling are present in the mantle zone (mz) and interfollicular (if) areas. (B) It can be seen from the double immunoperoxidase labeling studies that pFADD (brown) is heterogeneously expressed in the majority of CD20-positive (blue) germinal center B cells (arrowed) but (C) absent from the majority of CD3-positive (blue) T cells in the germinal center (inset, arrowed) and (D) interfollicular areas (inset, arrowed). (E) Nuclear pFADD expression (brown) is also present in the occasional CD68-positive tingible body macrophage (blue, arrowed) while (F) pFADD was not detected in the interfollicular CD68-positive macrophages (arrowed).

Thymus: From (G) it can be seen that the expression of pFADD is heterogenous and limited to subpopulations of cells (arrowed) in the thymic medulla (med) and cortex (cor). (H) pFADD was undetectable in the majority of the CD3-positive 9blue) thymocytes (inset, arrowed), pFADD was undetectable in the majority of the CD3-positive (blue) thymocytes (inset, arrowed). (I) Strong pFADD expression (brown) was, however, detected in (I) some CD20-positive B cells (blue, arrowed), (J) CD68-positive macrophages (blue, arrowed), and (K) the cytokeratin-positive (blue) thymic epithelial cells (inset, arrowed).
SUDHL-10, and nongerminall center RIVA and HBL-1 (DLBC)-derived cell lines used were obtained and cultured as previously described. The cultured cells were used to produce cytocrntifuge preparations, cell pellets, or fixed in 10% formal-saline for paraffin embedding for the preparation of tissue sections of the cell lines.

**Antibodies. Monoclonal antibodies:** Mouse monoclonal antibodies to CD8 (C8/144), CD45RA (4K85), and CD68 (KP1) were produced in the authors’ laboratories. Antibodies to CD20 (DAKO-CD3 – diluted 1:100), anti-mouse antibodies to CD8 (C8/144), CD45RA (4KB5), and CD68 were obtained from DakoCytomation (Glostrup, Denmark).

**Polyclonal antibodies:** A rabbit polyclonal antibody to pFADD phosphorylated at Ser174 was obtained from Cell Signalling Technology (catalog number 2781, Cambridge, UK). Anti-CD3 (DAKO-CD3 – diluted 1:100), anti-mouse Ig conjugated to horseradish-peroxidase (HRP) diluted 1:100, and the Dako EnVision staining kit were obtained from DakoCytomation.

**Immunolabelling techniques. Immunoperoxidase:** Cytocentrifuge preparations and cryostat sections of tonsil were stained using a two-stage immunoperoxidase technique as previously described. Paraffin-embedded tissue sections and microarrays were de-waxed, and antigen retrieval was carried out in 50 mM Tris:2 mM EDTA pH 9.0 by microwave pressure cooking for 2 minutes. The tissue sections were then incubated with a primary antibody for 60 minutes at room temperature (or in the case of anti-pFADD overnight at 4 °C) before being washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 5 minutes. Antibody binding was detected using the ChemMate™ DAKO EnVision™ Detection Kit, Peroxidase/DAB using the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were counterstained using hematoxylin and mounted in Aquamount (VWR International, Lutterworth, UK).

**Double immunoperoxidase labeling:** pFADD staining was performed as described above but with omission of the counterstaining step. The sections were then incubated with one of the following: anti-CD3, anti-CD20, anti-cytookeratin, anti-CD8, or anti-CD68 for 30 minutes at room temperature before using the Envision™ anti-mouse/rabbit Dual Link reagent. After washing in PBS for 5 minutes, the slides were incubated with the vector SG Peroxidase (HRP) substrate kit (Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, UK). After washing, the slides were air–dried overnight and mounted in VectorMount (Vector Laboratories).

**Results**

**pFADD distribution in normal tissues.** The antibody recognizing pFADD has been used in a number of previous studies. Its use in the current study showed pFADD to have a limited nuclear distribution in normal tissues, as summarized in Table 1. Of particular interest was the differential expression of pFADD in populations of hematopoietic cells. High levels of pFADD were detected only in subpopulations of tonsillar germinal center and interfollicular cells (Fig. 1A). Double labeling studies confirmed the majority of these cells to be CD20-positive B cells (Fig. 1B).

In contrast, pFADD was undetectable in the majority of the CD3-positive T cells (Figs. 1C and D), with only low levels of pFADD observed in a minority of CD3-positive T cells. Although a small subpopulation of CD68-positive tingible body macrophages expressed pFADD (Fig. 1E), no interfollicular cells were observed that were stained for both pFADD and CD68 (Fig. 1F).

In the thymus, strong pFADD staining was limited to subsets of cells in the medulla and cortex (Fig. 1G). Double labeling studies showed only an occasional CD3-positive cell to be pFADD-positive, with the majority of CD3-positive T cells lacking detectable pFADD (Fig. 1H, inset, arrowed). The remaining pFADD-positive cells included subpopulations of CD20-positive B cells (Fig. 1I), CD68-positive macrophages (Fig. 1J) as well as thymic epithelial cells (Fig. 1K).

**pFADD expression in hematological malignancies.**

pFADD expression was also detected in T-cell derived as well as B-cell and myeloid-derived cell lines. The staining was
nuclear and generally strong. Cytoplasmic staining was also seen in the myeloid (K562, HL60, and U937), megakaryocytic (HEL), and the T-acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Jurkat and CEM) cell lines tested. The results are summarized in Table 2.

A preliminary staining study was performed on mixed lymphoma TMAs, and the results are summarized in Table 3. pFADD proteins were detected across a range of B-cell lymphomas. Heterogeneity in the intensity of staining of pFADD was also seen both within and between cases of DLBCL and MCL (not shown).

In view of the importance of FADD in normal T-cell survival and proliferation, the previously reported expression of pFADD in a number of B-cell malignancies, and the presence of an anti-FADD immune response in patients with T-cell malignancies, we analyzed pFADD expression in 227 T-cell tumors, with particular emphasis on ALCL and PTCL (NOS). The results are shown in Table 3. Strong labeling of the tumor cells was observed in 32/38 (84%) ALK-positive and 45/54 (83%) ALK-negative ALCL. Examples of results obtained are shown in Figures 2A and 2B. The numbers of tumor cells expressing pFADD varied from tumor biopsy to tumor biopsy (range 10%–99%). Moderate to weak pFADD labeling was also observed in other T-cell tumors. A total of 58/89 (65%) cases of PTCL (NOS) were positive for pFADD. Labeling of more than 30% of cells was detected in 9 (10%) of these cases while 49 (55%) cases showed less than 30% of the tumor cells to be pFADD-positive. pFADD expression was not detected in 31 (35%) cases of PTCL (NOS). Examples of staining are shown in Figures 2C–2E). Heterogeneity in the levels of staining of pFADD was observed within tumors in both ALCL and PTCL (NOS). pFADD expression was detected in a range of other T-cell lymphomas, including 67% (10/15) AITL, 57% (4/7) T-ALL, 50% (3/6) MF, and 66% (2/3) CTCL cases.

Additional evidence to support the dysregulation of FADD in T-cell tumors was obtained by analyzing levels of FADD transcripts from the publicly available Oncomine database (www.oncomine.org). Analysis of two independent gene expression profiling (GEP) datasets indicated that the FADD transcripts were significantly more highly expressed in T-cell lymphomas compared to nonmalignant T-cell populations (Fig. 3). Thus T-cell malignancies exhibit both elevated FADD transcription and FADD phosphorylation.

### Discussion

Although pFADD has been studied in a number of solid tumors, investigations of its expression in human hematological malignancies are limited. We previously used sera from ALCL

### Table 3. pFADD expression in hematological malignancies.

| IMMUNOLABELING RESULTS | TOTAL CASES | NEGATIVE | <30% POSITIVE CELLS N (% TOTAL CASES) | >30% POSITIVE CELLS N (% TOTAL CASES) |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| **B-cell lymphomas**    |             |          |                                      |                                      |
| Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma | 9          | 2 (22%)  | 4 (43%)                              | 3 (33%)                              |
| Mantle cell lymphoma    | 7           | 1 (14%)  | 4 (57%)                              | 2 (29%)                              |
| Follicular lymphoma (n = 7) | 7           | 4 (57%)  | 3 (43%)                              | 0 (0%)                               |
| Burkitt lymphoma        | 6           | 3 (50%)  | 2 (33%)                              | 1 (17%)                              |
| Hodgkin’s lymphoma Lymphocyte predominant | 3          | 0 (0%)   | 1 (33%)                              | 2 (66%)                              |
| Hodgkin’s lymphoma Mixed cellularity | 2           | 0 (0%)   | 0 (0%)                               | 2 (100%)                             |
| Hodgkin’s lymphoma Nodular sclerosis | 2           | 0 (0%)   | 0 (0%)                               | 2 (100%)                             |
| Hodgkin’s lymphoma Lymphocyte rich | 3           | 0 (0%)   | 0 (0%)                               | 3 (100%)                             |
| **T-cell lymphomas**    |             |          |                                      |                                      |
| Peripheral T-cell lymphoma (not otherwise specified) | 89         | 31 (35%) | 49 (55%)                             | 9 (10%)                              |
| ALK+ Anaplastic large cell lymphoma | 38         | 6 (16%)  | 8 (21%)                              | 24 (63%)                             |
| ALK– Anaplastic large cell lymphoma | 54         | 9 (17%)  | 5 (9%)                               | 40 (74%)                             |
| Angioimmunoblastic lymphoma | 15         | 5 (33.3%)| 5 (33.3%)                            | 5 (33.3%)                            |
| Angiocentric lymphoma    | 5           | 0 (0%)   | 3 (60%)                              | 2 (40%)                              |
| T lymphoblastic          | 7           | 3 (43%)  | 3 (43%)                              | 1 (14%)                              |
| Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma | 3           | 1 (33.5%)| 2 (66.5%)                            | 0 (0%)                               |
| Mycosis Fungoides        | 6           | 3 (50%)  | 2 (33%)                              | 1 (17%)                              |
| T/NK-cell lymphoma       | 2           | 0 (0%)   | 2 (100%)                             | 0 (0%)                               |
| T-cell intestinal lymphoma | 8           | 0 (0%)   | 3 (37%)                              | 5 (63%)                              |
patients to screen a protein microarray containing more than 12,000 different proteins with the aim of identifying lymphoma-associated antigens that could identify high-risk patients or that might represent novel therapeutic targets. Four antigens, FADD, transcription elongation factor A protein-like 2 (TCEAL2), lipid phosphate phosphate-related protein type 3 (LPPR3), and ribonuclease T2 (RNASET2), were identified as ALK-negative ALCL-associated autoantigens. Further validation studies also confirmed FADD, TCEAL2, and RNASET2 to be PTCL-associated autoantigens recognized by circulating antibodies in patients. This result, combined with the interest in the role of pFADD in oncogenesis, indicated the importance of performing an immunohistochemical study of pFADD protein expression, in a range of T-cell lymphomas.

High levels of pFADD protein had a limited distribution in normal tissues and were restricted to the nucleus. These results are in agreement with previous studies reporting nuclear pFADD protein in subpopulations of human gastric epithelial cells, hepatocytes, kidney, and tonsillar cells. The current study using double labeling techniques is, however, the first not only to confirm high levels of pFADD expression in subpopulations of normal CD20-positive B cells but also to identify the absence or restricted low-level expression of pFADD in normal tonsillar T cells and thymocytes. Since the presence of pFADD has been linked to T-cell activation and proliferation in human cell lines and in mice, the lack of pFADD in T cells in the current study suggests that there are only low numbers of activated T cells in the G2/M stage of cell cycle in normal tonsil and thymus.

pFADD was observed in B-cell-derived cell lines and tumors, a result in keeping with a previous study where pFADD expression was found to correlate with the proliferation of B-lymphoma cells. pFADD expression was, however, also detected in a wide variety of T-cell leukemia-derived cell lines and in primary T-cell lymphoma biopsies. 65% PTCL (NOS) and 83% ALCL expressed levels of pFADD. No significant difference was found between ALK-positive and ALK-negative ALCL, with high levels of pFADD being detected in 84% and 83% of ALK-positive and ALK-negative ALCL, respectively. Although pFADD protein expression was previously reported in ALCL and CTCL, Clarke et al investigated only nine cases of ALCL and three cases of CTCL. The increased expression of pFADD protein in T-cell malignancies compared to normal T-cells studied here suggests that pFADD is accumulated possibly as a result of the constitutive activation of the tumor cells. The increase in pFADD could also result from increased expression of FADD or its increased phosphorylation as part of the disease process. The evidence

Figure 2. Single immunoperoxidase labeling demonstrating pFADD expression in ALCL and PTCL (NOS).
Notes: (A) An example of a case of ALK-positive ALCL where the tumor cells express pFADD (arrowed). Note the presence of pFADD in the cytoplasm of mitotic cells (arrowhead). The inset shows an example of a pFADD-negative case of ALK-positive ALCL. (B) Strong nuclear pFADD expression is also present in a case of ALK-negative ALCL (arrowed). Cytoplasmic pFADD is also present in a mitotic cell (arrowhead). Different examples of pFADD in three cases of PTCL are shown in (C–E). While the majority of tumor cells are pFADD-positive (arrowed) in (C), the percentage drops to less than 30% in (D) while the PTCL in (E) lacks detectable pFADD.
Aberrant pFADD expression has also been linked with proliferation in human B-cell lymphomas and ALK-negative T lymphomas. Examples include abnormalities in the Fas/FasL and CD30-mediated apoptotic pathways as well as c-FLIP overexpression in two independent gene expression profiling datasets: (A) normal T-lymphocytes, n = 31; ALCL (anaplastic large cell lymphoma), n = 9 (five cases of ALK-positive ALCL and four cases of ALK-negative ALCL), and (B) normal T-lymphocytes, n = 20 (including five samples each of CD4-positive and CD8-positive T-lymphocytes); ALCL, n = 6;AITL (angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma), n = 6; PTCL (NOS) (peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified), n = 28.

A major factor in lymphoma growth is resistance to apoptosis. Examples include abnormalities in the Fas/FasL and CD30-mediated apoptotic pathways as well as c-FLIP overexpression in T-cell lymphomas such as ALK-negative ALCL, and ALK-negative tumors such as CTCL and mycosis fungoides. It is possible that re-localization of FADD to the nucleus following its phosphorylation may play a major role in the dysregulation of apoptosis in human lymphomas as reported for murine T-ALL. pFADD overexpression has also been linked to increased activity of the anti-apoptotic NF-κB protein, and activation of the c-jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling pathway, both of which are implicated in lymphogenesis, suggesting the potential of pFADD as a therapeutic target in T-cell malignancies.

In conclusion, the results from the current study provide the first detailed immunohistochemical study of the expression of pFADD in normal tissues and hematological malignancies, with particular emphasis on T-cell tumors. The increased expression of pFADD observed in T-cell lymphomas not only suggests a possible role in lymphoma development but also further highlights phosphorylation of the lymphoma-associated autoantigen FADD as a potential therapeutic target.
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