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Establishment and characterisation of human carcinoembryonic antigen transgenic mice
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Summary We have produced human CEA transgenic mice which were found to express CEA mRNA in all tissues. By immunoblot analysis using anti-CEA polyclonal antibody, we also detected CEA protein in all tissues. However, the molecular size of CEA in the brain was different from that in other tissues, although the mRNA size was same and no deletion nor rearrangement was detected at the DNA level. Immunohistochemical analysis of the lung and the colon showed that the expression sites were the bronchial epithelial cells of the lung and the columnar epithelial cells of the colon. Interestingly, the expression of CEA protein in the transgenic mice was polarised to the luminal side of epithelial cells similar to the normal CEA expression in human tissues. We also detected cell surface expression of human CEA on thymocytes and spleen cells and CEA expression was greatly reduced by the phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC) treatment.

Carinoembryonic antigen (CEA), a Mr.180,000 highly glycosylated glycoprotein, was first described by Gold and Freedman in 1965 as a colon tumour-specific antigen in colon carcinoma (Gold & Freedman, 1965a) and in foetal colon (Gold & Freedman, 1965b). Later it was found that CEA was also expressed in normal adult colon (Fritsche & Mach, 1977; Egan et al., 1977), although the level of expression is lower than that in colon carcinoma (Boucher et al., 1989). Although it lacks absolute tumour specificity because of the presence of a member of immunologically closely related antigens, such as nonspecific crossreacting antigen (NCA) (von Kleist et al., 1972; Mach & Pusztaszeri, 1972), CEA has been used as an important clinical tumour marker (Tate, 1982). By molecular cloning of cDNA and genomic sequences, primary structures of CEA and NCA were deduced, and revealed that CEA (Oikawa et al., 1987a; Zimmermann et al., 1987; Kamarck et al., 1987; Beaulaichen et al., 1987) and NCA (Oikawa et al., 1987b; Tawaragi et al., 1988; Neumaier et al., 1988) have quite similar nucleotide sequences and structures which belong to the immunoglobin superfamily (Oikawa et al., 1987c; Paxton et al., 1987). The cell surface expression of CEA has been shown to be that of a phosphatidylinositol glycan (PI-G) anchored protein (Hefta et al., 1988; Takami et al., 1988; Hefta et al., 1990), which was cleaved by phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC) (Ikekawa et al., 1983) similar to Thy-1 molecule (Low & Kincade, 1985), the most primitive molecule in the immunoglobulin superfamily. In addition, recent reports have shown that the CEA molecule may be involved in cell-to-cell adhesion (Benichol et al., 1989; Oikawa et al., 1989). From these findings, it has been suggested that CEA might play some important roles in cell-cell or cell-substrate recognition or may act as a receptor for some ligands. However, these studies were carried out in vitro using transformed cells. To investigate the characteristics of CEA expression in normal cells, we planned to produce transgenic mice which express human CEA in various tissues. In this paper, we report the characteristic pattern of expression of the human CEA gene in transgenic mice.

Materials and methods

DNA microinjection into mouse embryos

The DNA fragment, pdKCR-CEA, used for microinjection was a Hpal-Sall digested fragment of the expression vector, pdKCR-dhfr-CEA (Oikawa et al., 1989; O’Hare et al., 1981). This fragment contains SV40 early promoter, β-globin splice site and a 2.9 kb EcoRI fragment of full length CEA cDNA but is lacking almost all of the dhfr gene. This fragment was excised from low melting point agarose gel, purified by phenol and chloroform extraction, further purified using ELUTIP-d columns (Schleicher & Schuell GmbH; Dassel, Germany), followed by ethanol precipitation and suspended in TE (10 mM Tris pH 7.5 and 0.2 mM EDTA). DNA solution (5 μg ml−1) was microinjected into the pronucleus of fertilised eggs derived from the mating of C57BL/6 or BCF1 (C57BL/6 × Balb/c) females with C57BL/6 males. Eggs surviving after microinjection were reimplanted into the oviducts of pseudopregnant ddY females.

Analysis of nucleic acids

Genomic DNA from various tissues was extracted as follows: Each tissue was homogenised or minced in a solution containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 100 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl and 1% SDS. Proteinase K was added to a final concentration of 0.5%, and the solutions were incubated at 55°C overnight. Digests were extracted with phenol and chloroform three times and precipitated by ethanol. DNA samples were digested by restriction enzymes, electrophoresed through 0.8% agarose gels and transferred to Hybond-N nylon membranes (Amersham).

Total RNA was extracted from various tissues of transgenic or non-transgenic mice following the methods described by Chomczynski and Sacchi (Chomczynski & Sacchi, 1987). About 20 μg of each RNA preparation was electrophoresed using 1.1 M formaldehyde in 1% agarose gels. The RNA was transferred to Hybond-N nylon membranes. Detection of injected DNA and mRNA with 32P-labelled (using Multi-prime labelling system: Amersham) probe was carried out by hybridisation for 18 h at 42°C in 5× SSPE (1× SSPE is composed of 0.18 M NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.7) and 1 mM EDTA), 5× Denhart’s solution (1× Denhart’s solution is composed of 0.02% bovine serum albumin, 0.02% Ficoll and 0.02% polyvinyl pyrrolidone), 50% formamide, 0.1% SDS, 50 μg ml−1 heat denatured salmon testis DNA, and radioactive probe. Membranes were washed for
15 min at 65°C in a solution containing 2 × SSC (1 × SSC is composed of 0.15 M NaCl and 15 mM sodium citrate) with 0.1% SDS twice, followed by washing in 1 × SSC with 0.1% SDS 30 min at 65°C, and a final wash for 15 min in 0.1 × SSC with 0.1% SDS twice at room temperature. Autoradiography of the membranes was then performed at ~70°C using Fuji RX film. The hybridisation probe used was a PvuII digested DNA fragment of the pCEA55-2 clone, CEAS (Sato et al., 1988). We analysed the density of the image of the autoradiograms using the dual-wave length flying-spot scanner CS-9000 (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

**Immunoblotting analysis**

Tissues were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and homogenised. Extracts were sonicated and 10 µl of each whole homogenate (about 10 µg) was resolved by 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). The proteins were electrophoretically transferred to nitrocellulose membrane and visualised with rabbit anti-human CEA antibody (DAKO, Denmark. Code A115), which has been shown to be reactive with both CEA and NCA (Oikawa et al., 1989).

**Immunofluorescence and laser flow cytometry**

Anti-human CEA polyclonal antibody was the same antibody used for the immunoblotting analysis. Indirect immunofluorescence analysis was performed using FITC-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG goat antiserum (Organon Teknika N.V.-Cappel Products, USA) as the second antibody. The thymocytes and the spleen cells of the transgenic mice and the control non-transgenic mice were reacted with the first antibody for 1 h at 4°C. After three times washing, cells were suspended in the medium containing the second antibody and incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The stained cells were resuspended in medium after three times washing and analysed on a EPICS profile flow cytometer (Coulter Corporation, Florida, USA). The thymocytes were treated with PI-PLC (10 µM for 30° cells in 200 µl) for 1 h at 37°C. After washing twice, the thymocytes were used for immunofluorescent assay as described above.

**Immunohistochemical analysis**

The tissues were promptly fixed in periodate-lysine 4% paraformaldehyde for 6 h, washed in PBS containing increasing concentration of sucrose, frozen in OCT compound (Lab Tek Products, Naperville, IL, USA), and sectioned 6 µm thick on a cryostat. The sections were placed on egg-albumin coated slides and dried in air. Rabbit anti-human CEA polyclonal antibody (DAKO, Denmark) was used as the first antibody. Goat anti-rabbit F(ab')2 fragment of IgG labelled with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (donated by Prof. Watanabe, Tokai University) was used as the second antibody. Cryostat sections to be observed by light microscopy were treated with 100% methanol containing 0.03% hydrogen peroxidase to inactivate endogenous peroxidase. The indirect HRP-labelled antibody method was used for immunohistological reaction as previously described (Nagura et al., 1986; Yamamoto et al., 1988). Briefly, the procedure involves successive incubations with or without the first antibodies in optimal dilutions for 12 h at 4°C, and the second antibodies for 6 h at 4°C. Sections were then treated with 0.25% dianaminobenzidine (DAB) solution containing 0.01 M sodium azide and 0.01 M hydrogen peroxide, and counter-stained with methyl green.

**Results**

**Establishment of transgenic mice lines expressing the CEA genome**

The HpaI-Sall DNA fragment of pdKCR-CEA was microinjected into fertilised eggs. In total, 32 (B6 × BCF1) mice and 3 B6 mice were born. The tail DNAs from these mice were screened for the presence of human CEA DNA by Southern blot analysis. Seven (B6 × BCF1) mice and two B6 mice were shown to have human CEA DNA. All transgenic lines had complete human CEA cDNA (data not shown). We then analysed the inserted human CEA cDNA in various tissues including brain, thymus, lung, spleen, liver, kidney and colon of one transgenic line B601 (B6 origin). Southern hybridisation patterns following digestion with EcoRI, PstI and PvuII were similar (Figure 1). We could not detect any DNA deletions or rearrangement among the tissues.

**mRNA expression from inserted human CEA cDNA**

Total RNAs prepared from various tissues of the transgenic mice and the control non-transgenic mice were subjected to Northern blot analysis. When the human CEA cDNA probe was used, 3.0 kb mRNA was detected in all tissues including brain, thymus, lung, spleen, liver, kidney and colon of the B601 line (Figure 2), but the level of CEA expression was different among the tissues, possibly due to the function of the SV40 promoter. In the normal control mice, we detected 3.0 kb mRNA only in the colon (Figure 2). This mRNA in the colon of the normal mice may be the murine analogue of CEA (Beauchemin et al., 1989). Other transgenic mice lines had lower or no CEA mRNA expression in the tissues examined (data not shown), although the Southern hybridisation pattern of tail DNA and copy number of inserted DNA were not very different from those in the B601 line.

**Characteristic expression of human CEA protein in transgenic mice**

To study whether the expressed mRNA could be translated to protein, we firstly carried out immunoblot analysis using rabbit anti-human CEA polyclonal antibody (Figure 3). In this analysis, we could clearly detect a protein of around 180 kD in all tissues (lane 1 – 5, 9) except the brain (lane 6). We could not detect CEA protein in normal lung or colon tissues (lane 7, 8). Interestingly, in the brain tissue, the molecular size of protein was around 150 kD. These results demonstrate that the inserted gene was transmitted to mRNA thence to protein, although in the brain tissue a different post-translational mechanism appears to operate.

The thymocytes of the B601 mice were examined by indirect immunofluorescence with or without PI-PLC treatment. The thymocytes of the transgenic mice showed definitive CEA expression as demonstrated by anti-CEA polyclonal antibody (Figure 4b). Such expression was greatly decreased by PI-PLC treatment (Figure 4c). In the spleen of the normal mouse, the B601 line also showed human CEA expression, although some technical difficulties with cross-staining of the spleen B cells were produced by the second antibody (Figure 4a).

To examine whether the expression sites of CEA protein in the transgenic mice were the same as those of CEA in the normal human colon and NCA in the normal lung, we immunohistochemically analysed the lung and the colon of the transgenic and non-transgenic mice. We used rabbit anti-human CEA polyclonal antibody (which was the same antibody used in the immunoblot analysis) as the first antibody and goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody as the second antibody. In the transgenic B601 mice, we could detect positive staining on the luminal surface of the single layer of columnar epithelial cells of the colon (Figure 5a). In the non-transgenic B6 mice, weaker staining was detected (Figure 5b), suggesting cross-reactivity of anti-human CEA polyclonal antibody on a mouse intrinsic CEA-like molecule. In addition, in the lungs of the transgenic mice, the bronchial epithelial cells, especially on the luminal side were much more strongly stained than those of the normal mice with anti-human CEA polyclonal antibody (Figure 5c,d). When the tissues were stained with only the second antibody, essentially no staining was seen in both the lung and the colon of the transgenic or the normal mice and there were no differences (data not shown).
Figure 1  Southern blot analysis of CEA in various tissues of CEA transgenic mice. DNA samples (10 μg) were digested with EcoRI, PstI and PvuII, electrophoresed, blotted, and probed with 32P-labelled PvuII fragment of human CEA cDNA (Sato et al., 1988) and filters were washed as described in 'Materials and methods' and autoradiographed. DNA was extracted from: lane 1, brain; lane 2, thymus; lane 3, lung; lane 4, spleen; lane 5, liver; lane 6, kidney; lane 7, colon.

Figure 2  Northern blot analysis of total RNA preparations from various tissues of CEA transgenic and control mice. About 20 μg of total RNA were electrophoresed on a formaldehyde-agarose gel, transferred to a nylon membrane and hybridised with the 32P-labelled PvuII fragment of CEA cDNA. After hybridisation, the filters were washed as described in 'Materials and methods' and autoradiographed. RNA was extracted from: lane 1, brain; lane 2, thymus; lane 3, lung; lane 4, spleen; lane 5, liver; lane 6, kidney; lane 7, colon. The relative intensities of each line of the transgenic mice were as follows: lane 1, 13.8; lane 2, 97.7; lane 3, 107.3; lane 4, 97.5; lane 5, 1.0; lane 6, 120.9; lane 7, 52.9.

Figure 3  Immunoblotting of CEA transgenic mice tissues with rabbit anti-CEA antibody. Extracts (about 10 μg) of lung (lane 1), kidney (lane 2), liver (lane 3), spleen (lane 4), thymus (lane 5), brain (lane 6) and colon (lane 9) were immunoblotted as described in 'Materials and methods'. Lane 7 and lane 8 are the normal lung and the normal colon, respectively.

Figure 4  CEA expression on the surface of the thymus and the spleen cells of the transgenic and the non-transgenic mice. Cells were stained by indirect immunofluorescence method and 5,000 cells were analysed by FACS. a. Solid line, spleen cells of the transgenic mice. Broken line, thymocytes of the transgenic mice. Broken line, thymocytes of the normal mice. b. Solid line, thymocytes of the transgenic mice. Broken line, thymocytes of the normal mice. c. Solid line, thymocytes of the transgenic mice without PI-PLC treatment. Broken line, thymocytes of the transgenic mice with PI-PLC treatment (10 mU for 10^6 cells in 200 μl).
Discussion

In this paper, we described the characteristic pattern of CEA expression in transgenic mice. We confirmed human CEA expression in various tissues of the transgenic mice by three methods, including Northern hybridisation, immunoblotting and immunohistochemistry. In the CEA transgenic mice, we could detect 3.0 kb mRNA not only in the colon, but also in the other tissues examined. Also by immunoblotting, we were able to detect CEA protein in all tissues examined. We could detect 3.0 kb mRNA but not CEA protein in the colon of normal mice. This may be a crossreactivity to the mouse analogue of CEA (Beauchemin et al., 1989). Interestingly the molecular size of the protein was different in the brain. By Southern hybridisation, we could not detect any differences of restriction enzyme pattern in various tissues, and by Northern hybridisation, the size of mRNA detected by human CEA probe was the same in various tissues including the brain. These results suggest that brain tissue showed the different post-translational modification from other tissues.

In a immunohistochemical study, we could detect polarised expression of CEA protein on the luminal side of epithelial cells. This pattern of protein expression in the transgenic mice was similar to that of normal human CEA. In normal adult human colon, CEA appears mainly on the luminal surface of the single layer of columnar epithelial cells lining the upper parts of the crypts, and CEA is not present in the basolateral membrane between adjacent cells, different from foetal colon and malignant tissues. Our results are well correlated to the expression pattern of normal adult human colon. In addition, in the normal lung, NCA is localised mostly on the apical side of the epithelial cells of bronchioles (Buchegger et al., 1984) and our findings of CEA expression in transgenic mice are in agreement with that result. The ectopic expressions of CEA on the thymocytes and spleen cells were similar to the expression of murine Thy-1. Both were cleaved by PLC enzyme.

The function of CEA is not clearly understood. A recent report has shown that the CEA molecule may have a role in intercellular adhesion. (Benchimol et al., 1989). At the present time our CEA transgenic mice remain healthy and we are not able to detect any changes in the thymocyte or spleen cell populations or any pathological changes in the lung and the colon.

We thank Professor Hidehiko Saito, First Department of Internal Medicine, Nagoya University School of Medicine, for his encouragement throughout this work.
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