A comparative study of functional MRI in predicting response of regional nodes to induction chemotherapy in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma
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Purpose: To identify and compare the value of functional MRI (fMRI) in predicting the early response of metastatic cervical lymph nodes (LNs) to induction chemotherapy (IC) in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) patients.

Methods: This prospective study collected 94 metastatic LNs from 40 consecutive NPC patients treated with IC from January 2021 to May 2021. Conventional diffusion-weighted imaging, diffusion kurtosis imaging, intravoxel incoherent motion, and dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging were performed before and after IC. The parameter maps apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), mean diffusion coefficient (MD), mean kurtosis (MK), $D_{\text{slow}}$, $D_{\text{fast}}$, perfusion fraction (PF), $K_{\text{trans}}$, $V_e$, and $K_{\text{ep}}$ of the metastatic nodes were calculated by the Functool postprocessing software. All LNs were classified as the responding group (RG) and non-responding group (NRG) according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors 1.1. The fMRI parameters were compared before and after IC and between the RG and the NRG. The significant parameters are fitted by logistic regression analysis to produce new predictive factor (PRE) – predicted probabilities. Logistic regression analysis and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were performed to further identify and compare the efficacy of the parameters.

Results: After IC, the mean values of ADC, MD, and $D_{\text{slow}}$ significantly increased, while MK, $D_{\text{fast}}$, and $K_{\text{trans}}$ values decreased dramatically, while no
significant difference was detected in $V_e$ and $K_{ep}$. Compared with NRG, PF-pre and $K^{\text{trans-pre}}$ values in the RG were higher statistically. The areas under the ROC for the pretreatment PF, $K^{\text{trans}}$, and PRE were 0.736, 0.722, and 0.810, respectively, with the optimal cutoff value of $222 \times 10^{-4}$, $934 \times 10^{-3}$/min, and $0.6624$, respectively.

Conclusions: The pretreatment fMRI parameters PF and $K^{\text{trans}}$ showed promising potential in predicting the response of the metastatic LNs to IC in NPC patients.

Clinical Trial Registration: This study was approved by the ethics board of the Chinese PLA General Hospital, and registered on 30 January 2021, in the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry; http://www.chictr.org.cn/showproj.aspx?proj=121198, identifier (ChiCTR2100042863).
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Introduction

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is an epithelial carcinoma arising from the nasopharyngeal mucosal lining, which has remarkable epidemiological features, and more than 70% of new cases are in East and Southeast Asia (1). Almost 79.1% of NPC patients present with metastatic cervical lymph nodes (LNs) once diagnosed, which is strongly correlated with distant metastasis (2). The number (3) and morphologic characteristics of positive LNs, including nodal grouping (2), extranodal extension (4), more than five nodal involvements (5), and nodal matting (6) are predominant independent prognostic factors for NPC patients’ survival. Moreover, the gross tumor volume of LNs not only predicted overall survival in NPC patients effectively but was also an indicator of the timely adjustment of therapeutic strategies for NPC patients, especially for those completing induction chemotherapy (IC) (7).

The current standard of care for locoregionally advanced NPC is cisplatin-based induction chemotherapy, followed by concurrent radiochemotherapy, which can yield excellent outcomes due to the early eradication of micrometastases (1, 8–10). Early identification of non-responders is beneficial for preventing excessive chemotherapy-related toxicities, improving and customizing treatment regimens for people; hence, precisely measuring and predicting response to IC appears to be essential.

In addition to morphologic imaging, advancements in functional imaging modalities have led to improving the ability to predict the primary tumor’s response to the treatment (11–15). Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) has been proven to be a valuable technique to accurately predict therapeutic response to IC (11, 12, 16). By providing more information about the underlying microstructure, diffusion kurtosis imaging (DKI) can be used to measure the therapeutic effect of IC (12–14, 16, 17). Intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) has proven its ability to assess and predict chemoradiotherapeutic response by quantifying and discriminating pure water molecular diffusion and the microcirculatory perfusion of the tissue (11, 18–22). Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI) is considered as a valuable tool for reflecting tumor angiogenesis density, vascular permeability, and tumor neoangiogenesis blood flow (23) and plays a distinct role in predicting efficacy, survival, and prognosis (15, 24, 25).

Several publications have explored the effectiveness of single fMRI techniques in assessing and predicting the effects of

Abbreviations: fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; IC, induction chemotherapy; LNs, lymph nodes; NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; DKI, diffusion kurtosis imaging; IVIM, intravoxel incoherent motion; DCE-MRI, dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; MD, mean diffusivity; MK, mean kurtosis; $D_{\text{slow}}$, pure molecular diffusion coefficient; $D_{\text{fast}}$, pseudo-diffusion coefficient; PF, fractional perfusion; $K^{\text{trans}}$, volume transfer constant; $K_{ep}$, reflux rate constant between extravascular–extracellular space and plasma; $V_e$, volume fraction of extravascular extracellular space; RG, responding group; NRG, non-responding group.
chemotherapy in malignancies. However, most of these investigations, to our knowledge, have mainly focused on primary tumors rather than metastatic LNs (11, 20). Furthermore, there has been no research comparing the efficacy of conventional DWI, DKI, IVIM, and DCE-MRI in predicting response to IC for the LNs yet. Therefore, the study was aimed to combined DWI, DKI, IVIM, and DCE-MRI techniques to identify and compare the value of fMRI parameters in predicting the early therapeutic response of LNs from NPC for the first time.

Materials and methods

Patient population and induction chemotherapy regimens

Our institution’s Institutional Review Board authorized the prospective single-center trial protocol (Clinical Trial Registration number: ChiCTR2100042863), and all participants signed written informed consent. Patients with pathologically confirmed NPC and at least one metastatic LN (according to the AJCC 8th Head and Neck Tumor Staging Criteria: T1-2N1-3M0) were prospectively included from January to May 2021. Each patient had two Magnetic resonance (MR) scans, one 3 days before the first IC cycle and the other 21–24 days after the second IC cycle. The inclusion criteria of the study were as follows: (1) The enlarged LNs with increased metabolic activity on 18F-FDG PET-CT scans (nodal SUVmax >2.5) performed within 1 week of the MRI scans were identified as metastatic LNs (24); (2) with nodal grouping, extranodal extension, and/or nodal matting; and (3) cervical LNs with a short-axis diameter of at least 15 mm; the lateral retropharyngeal nodes (RPNs) were considered metastatic if their shortest axial diameter was 10 mm, and any visible node in the median retropharyngeal group was considered malignant. There should be no previous malignancies or anticancer therapy for any of the individuals. There were no contraindications to IC, no MRI contraindications, and no metal implants in the mouth that may cause poor picture quality, which limited further investigation. All participants received two cycles of IC, docetaxel (70 mg/m² on day 1) or paclitaxel-albumin (260 mg/m² on day 1), and cisplatin (P) 40 mg/m² on days 1 and 2.

Functional MRI techniques

All MRI exams were performed on a 3.0 T MR scanner (Signa HDx, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA). The MRI protocols consisted of conventional DWI, DKI, IVIM, and DCE-MRI sequences. With a 16-channel neurovascular head and neck array coil, standard MRI sequences such as axial, sagittal, and coronal T2-weighted 2D turbo spin-echo pictures were acquired prior to DWI images. Then, using a single-shot echo-planar imaging sequence with b values of 0 and 600 mm²/s, axial DWI was obtained. A single-shot spin echo-planar imaging sequence with fast suppression was used for the axial DKI sequence. In addition, the diffusion gradients were applied in three orthogonal gradient diffusion directions. The IVIM was acquired using a prototyped integrated slice-specific dynamic Shim (iShim) sequence, the parameters of which were identical to those for DKI, except for the multiple b values (detailed information was summarized in Supplemental File 1). After the intravenous injection of 0.2 ml/kg of the contrast agent, DCE-MRI was acquired utilizing the FLASH 3D gradient-echo sequence (26) to generate four series of unenhanced pictures and 31 series of enhanced images without any delay (Gd-TPA, Magnevist; Bayer Schering, Berlin, Germany). The contrast agent was injected using an automated syringe pump at a rate of 2 ml/s, followed by a 20-ml saline flush at the same rate. DWI, DKI, IVIM, and DCE-MRI took 2:00, 4:09, 2:44, and 4:44 min to acquire, respectively. After that, in the same position as the T2WI, the axial, sagittal, and coronal postcontrast T1-weighted 2D turbo spin-echo pictures with fat saturation were taken (Detailed information was summarized in Supplemental File 1).

Image analysis

The independent Linux workstation (Advantage Workstation version 4.6; GE Healthcare, US) was used to process the data. Pixel-wise apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps were calculated by a two-variable linear least-square method based on a monoexponential model, using the following equation (27): \( S_l = S_0 \times \exp(-b \times ADC) \). \( S_l \) means the MRI signal intensity at the diffusion weighting \( b \), while \( S_0 \) represents that of non-diffusion weighted.

The DKI parameter maps were obtained using the postprocessed Functool software. In comparison with the monoexponential equation, the DKI model yielded two variables while \( S_0 \) is known, according to the following equation (27, 28): \( S_l = S_0 \times \exp(-b \times D + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \times D^2 + K) \), with \( S_0, D, \) and \( K \) as fitting variables, where \( S_l \) is the signal at a particular \( b \) value and \( S_0 \) is the baseline signal without diffusion gradient (17). Accordingly, \( D \) is diffusivity, \( K \) describes the peakedness of a probability of water distribution (27, 28). The parameter MD represents the diffusion coefficient after adjusting for the non-Gaussian impact in normal diffusion, whereas MK represents non-Gaussian diffusion behavior. MK, as a non-Gaussian component, may show diffusion inhomogeneity that is hard to assess with standard DWI.

After the IVIM raw data were transferred to the Linux workstation, the parameter maps including \( D_{slow}, D_{fast}, \) and PF were calculated by MADC prototype software in the Functool software package. The assumption of the IVIM model was based on the translation movements at voxel levels. IVIM signal attenuation is the sum of the tissue and blood component,
taking the shape of biexponential decay (22): 

\[ S/S_0 = (1 - PF) \times e^{\left(-bD_{\text{slow}}\right)} + e^{\left(-bD_{\text{fast}}\right)} \]

\( S_0 \) means the signal intensity (SI) with diffusion gradient \( b \); \( S_P \) represented SI with the diffusion gradient being 0. \( D_{\text{slow}} \) means the true diffusion representing pure molecular diffusion \((\text{mm}^2/\text{s})\), while \( D_{\text{fast}} \) represents the pseudo-diffusion coefficient as reflected by perfusion relative diffusion or incoherent microcirculation \((\text{mm}^2/\text{s})\); \( PF \) acted as fractional perfusion related to microcirculation (22).

The DCE parameter maps, including \( K_{\text{trans}} \), \( V_e \), and \( K_{\text{ep}} \), were calculated using a two-compartment model that regards the tissue and plasma as two compartments. The transport was determined by the volume transfer constant: \( K_{\text{trans}} \) [from the blood plasma to the extracellular–extravascular space (EES)] and \( K_{\text{ep}} \) (from the EES to the blood plasma). The parameter \( V_e \) was defined as the EES fractional volume and was calculated by the following equation: 

\[ V_e = K_{\text{trans}}/K_{\text{ep}} \]

All of the MR images were independently examined by two experienced radiologists who were blind to the patient’s clinical data. On fMRI parameter maps, the LNs’ region of interest was manually drawn with the goal of encompassing as much of the area as possible while avoiding the necrotic region (as shown in Figure 1). For example, in DWI with \( b = 600 \text{ s/mm}^2 \), we chose the largest cross-sectional area of LNs to delineate ROIs on the basis of morphological T2-weighted images and/or postcontrast T1-weighted images. As for DKI and IVIM, the method was the same as DWI, and once the ROIs were drown on the specific b-value image, it would be automatically duplicated to the other parameter maps. The amount of time spent analyzing each sequence ranged from 3 to 5 min. Overall, ADC values took the least amount of time to compute, while DCE-MRI postprocessing took the most time. The final results were recorded as the mean value of two observers’ measurements. The tumor volume was calculated as follows: 

\[ \text{volume} = \text{area of lesion} \times (\text{thickness of slice} + \text{interslice gap}) \]

The following equation was used to compute the changes in the parameters before and after IC:

\[ \Delta(\text{parameters}) \% = \frac{\text{post( parameters)} - \text{pre( parameters)}}{\text{pre( parameters)}} \times 100\% \]

Response evaluation

The metastatic LNs from NPC patients were identified as the only target lesion to be evaluated, while the primary tumor was not taken into consideration. Separating RLNs from the primary tumor allowed them to be identified. A contrast-enhancing ring or a difference in signal intensity compared to the primary tumor clearly indicated the LNs that were contiguous with the primary tumor. The target lesions were classified as the responding group (RG) and non-responding group (NRG) after two cycles of chemotherapy according to the criteria of Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST Version 1.1) (29). RG includes patients with complete response (disappearance of the metastatic LN) and partial response (at least a 30% decrease in the diameter of the LN, taking as reference the baseline diameter). NRG includes progressive disease (at least a 20% increase in the diameter of the LN, taking as reference the baseline diameter), and stable disease (<30% decrease and <20% increase in the diameter of the LN). T2WI was used to compute the volume of LNs. The regression ratio and tumor volume reduction ratio were calculated as the following equation:

\[ \Delta(\text{diameter or volume}) = \frac{\text{post( diameter or volume)} - \text{pre( diameter or volume)}}{\text{pre( diameter or volume)}} \times 100\% \]

\[ \Delta(\text{diameter or volume}) \% = \frac{\Delta(\text{diameter or volume})}{\text{pre( diameter or volume)}} \times 100\% \]

Statistical analysis

The chi-square test, adjustment for continuity, or Fisher’s exact test were used to compare categorical data. The mean value of these parameters was compared before and after treatment using the paired t-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test (according to the normality of data distributed). An independent-sample t-test or Mann–Whitney U test (according to the normality of data distributed) was performed to compare the mean value of the parameters and changes of parameters after treatment between the RG and the NRG. The significant parameters are fitted by logistic regression analysis to produce a new predictive factor (PRE). The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) was used to identify and compare the efficacy of the significant parameters in predicting IC outcomes. The intraobserver reproducibility of parameters was analyzed using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). Statistical analyses were performed in R software (version 4.1.0; http://www.r-project.org). Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of less than 0.05.

Results

Participants and metastatic lymph node characteristics

The study included 94 LNs from 40 persons, including 8 men and 32 women, with an average age of 43.33 ± 13.79 years (range from 18 to 67). A total of 52 LNs were allocated to the RG after two cycles of IC, while the remaining 42 were classified as NRG. The tumor volume decrease rate in the RG (76.85 ±
12.89%) was much higher than in the NRG (30.99 ± 17.55%). Between the RG and the NRG, there was no significant difference in the T stage, pathological categorization, chemotherapeutic regimens, or volume-pre (Table 1). The intrareproducibility of the metrics’ values was excellent, and the ICC of ADC-pre, ADC-post, MD-pre, MD-post, MK-pre, MK-post, Dslow-pre, Dslow-post, Dfast-pre, Dfast-post, PF-pre, PF-post, Ktrans-pre, Ktrans-post, Kep-pre, Kep-post, Ve-pre, and Ve-post were 0.855, 0.91, 0.889, 0.924, 0.839, 0.855, 0.896, 0.880, 0.866,0.856, 0.915, 0.831, 0.847, 0.893, 0.895, 0.878, 0.903, and 0.863, respectively.

Comparison of functional MRI parameters before and after induction chemotherapy

Except for Ve and Kep, there were statistically significant variations in ADC, MD, MK, Dslow, Dfast, PF, and Ktrans before and after IC. After two cycles of IC, the mean value of ADC, MD, Dslow significantly increased, while MK, Dfast, PF, and Ktrans values decrease dramatically (Table 2; Figure 2).

Comparisons of functional MRI parameters between responding group and non-responding group

Statistically significant differences were identified in PF-pre, PF-post, ΔPF%, Ktrans-pre, and Ktrans-post between the RG and the NRG. The mean value of ΔADC% in the RG showed an upward trend, while MK-post values showed a decreasing trend, but there were no significant differences compared with the NRG (p>0.05). However, the other parameters derived from fMRI showed no significant differences between groups (Table 3; Figure 3).

The diagnostic performance of MRI parameters

The parameters PF and Ktrans were fitted by logistic regression analysis to produce a new PRE. the calculation formula was as follows:

\[
\text{Logit}(P) = -5.654 + 0.013 \times \text{PF} + 0.003 \times K_{\text{trans}}
\]

The areas under the ROC curves for PF-pre, Ktrans-pre, and PRE were 0.736, 0.722, and 0.810, respectively, with the optimal cutoff values of 222×10⁻⁴, 934×10⁻³/min, and 0.6624, respectively (Table 4; Figure 4). However, there were no statistically significant differences between the other parameters.

Discussion

The present study was designed to analyze and compare the utility of pretreatment fMRI parameters in predicting therapeutic response to IC in NPC metastatic LNs. Except for Ve and Kep, all of the parameters generated from fMRI could reflect changes in the tissue microstructure following IC. After IC, the mean values of ADC, MD, and Dslow increased dramatically, but MK, Dfast, PF, and Ktrans values dropped. Meanwhile, the RG had much higher PF-pre and Ktrans-pre values than the NRG. Furthermore, ROC analysis revealed that fMRI parameters have the potential to distinguish the RG from the NRG using the indications of PF-pre, Ktrans-pre, and PRE. The accurate prediction of efficacy before the treatment of cervical LNs in patients with NPC can improve both their treatment and prognosis. To reduce the local regional recurrence rate, the dose of radiation can be raised suitably for patients with poor IC effectiveness. It is particularly important
for individuals who are going to receive concurrent chemoradiotherapy only.

Regardless of the fact that ADC is an "apparent" metric with no direct biophysical basis, it is believed to be linked to the extracellular space, which is determined by tissue architectural elements (28). The utility of DWI in monitoring therapy response has been proven in a variety of cancers during the last few decades. ADC had a lot of promise as an imaging biomarker for predicting early treatment response (12). Our findings revealed that ADC values increased significantly after IC, possibly because of chemotherapy-induced structural necrosis and decreased cellularity in the tumor. In addition, these results were consistent with the previous findings (13, 19, 30, 31). There was no statistically significant difference regarding ADC-pre between the RG and the NRG. This was disparate from previous conclusions of primary tumor (31), Marzi et al. also revealed that patients with regional control showed significantly lower pretreatment ADC values compared to regional failure patients. However, it was consistent with results of LNs from NPC (11), Lu et al. found that the initial ADC showed no significant difference between nodes with a PR or CR.

DKI provides an opportunity to get further insights into the actual diffusion of water molecules in vivo (28). In addition to MD, the diffusion kurtosis coefficient (MK) has been introduced to enable the evaluation of non-Gaussian diffusion behavior and quantitative analysis of the deviation's magnitude. After IC, we saw an increase in the MD values and a decrease in the MK values of LNs in our study. The mechanism for increased MD values was the same as for ADC, whereas the decline in MK values could be explained by the extracellular space expanding faster and becoming more isotropic (32). The results have also been verified in the primary tumor (13), but there have been no

### TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of lymph nodes in the responding group (RG) and the non-responding group (NRG).

|                          | RG (n = 52) | NRG (n = 42) | t/χ² | p   |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|------|-----|
| **AJCC T classification**|             |              |      |     |
| T1                       | 0 (0%)      | 1 (2.4%)     | 3.06 | 0.379 |
| T2                       | 30 (57.7%)  | 21 (50.0%)   |      |     |
| T3                       | 16 (30.8%)  | 11 (26.2%)   |      |     |
| T4                       | 6 (11.5%)   | 9 (21.4%)    |      |     |
| **Pathological classification** |          |              | 1.68 | 0.433 |
| Non-cornification undifferentiated | 25 (48.1%) | 24 (57.1%) |      |     |
| Non-cornification differentiated | 27 (51.9%) | 18 (42.9%) |      |     |
| **IC regimes**           |             |              | 1.13 | 0.296 |
| Docetaxel + cisplatin    | 42 (80.8%)  | 30 (71.4%)   |      |     |
| Paclitaxel-albumin + cisplatin | 10 (19.2%) | 12 (28.6%)  |      |     |
| **V-pre (cm³)**          | 12.26 ± 9.16| 9.31 ± 10.09 | 1.48 | 0.142 |
| **V-post (cm³)**         | 2.95 ± 3.05 | 6.60 ± 6.74  | -3.49| 0.001 |
| **ΔV %**                 | 76.85 ± 12.89| 30.99 ± 17.55| 14.60| 0.000 |

Data represent the number of patients, and the data in parentheses are percentages. IC, induction chemotherapy; RG, responding group; NRG, non-responding group; V, volume of LNs. The bold values represent these parameters showed a significant differences between the groups and p < 0.05.

### TABLE 2 The comparison of functional MRI (fMRI) parameters before and after induction chemotherapy.

|                         | Pre-IC          | Post-IC         | t/Z   | p   |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-----|
| **ADC (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s)**  | 1.232.62 ± 281.61| 1.394.00 ± 291.55| -4.53 | 0.000 |
| **MD (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s)**   | 1.052.05 ± 263.43| 1.286.16 ± 320.15| -7.04 | 0.000 |
| **MK (×10⁻⁶)**         | 994.97 ± 164.62 | 852.36 ± 171.83 | -7.50 | 0.000 |
| **Dₜ₂₀** (×10⁻⁴ mm²/s) | 727.73 ± 251.39  | 911.93 ± 611.94  | -2.59 | 0.011 |
| **Dₚ₉₅** (×10⁻⁴ mm²/s) | 474.37 ± 233.04  | 380.43 ± 224.13  | 3.19  | 0.002 |
| **PF** (×10⁻⁴)         | 236.09 ± 71.30  | 199.22 ± 62.69  | 6.47  | 0.000 |
| **Ktrans** (×10⁻⁶/min)  | 1.065.80 ± 352.86| 853.58 ± 387.82 | 7.20  | 0.000 |
| **Ve** (×10⁻⁵)         | 711.51 ± 274.07 | 739.17 ± 230.93 | -0.65 | 0.516 |
| **Kep** (×10⁻³/min)     | 1.366.72 ± 833.90| 1.225.94 ± 816.52| 1.37  | 0.174 |

IC, induction chemotherapy; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s); MD, mean diffusion (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s); MK, mean kurtosis (×10⁻⁶); Dₜ₂₀ true diffusion coefficient (×10⁻⁴ mm²/s); Dₚ₉₅ pseudo-diffusion coefficient (×10⁻⁴ mm²/s); PF, perfusion fraction (×10⁻⁴); Ktrans, volume transfer constant (×10⁻⁶/min); Ve, extracellular extravascular space (×10⁻⁵); Kep, rate constant (×10⁻³/min).

The bold values represent these parameters showed a significant differences between the groups and p < 0.05.
FIGURE 2
Box and whisker plot of the fMRI parameters before and after IC. (A) Comparisons of ADC, MD, and MK before and after IC showed that ADC and MD increased while MK decreased after IC. (B) Comparisons of Dslow, Dfast, and PF before and after IC showed that Dslow increased while Dfast and PF decreased after IC. (C) Comparisons of Ktrans, Kep, and Ve before and after IC showed that Ktrans decreased after IC, while there were no differences in Kep and Ve.
### TABLE 3 The fMRI parameters with statistical differences between the RG and the NRG.

| Parameter                          | RG (n = 52)            | NRG (n = 42)            | t/U      | p       |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|---------|
| ADC-pre (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s)              | 1,251.65 ± 210.64      | 1,202.02 ± 210.64      | 0.87     | 0.383   |
| ADC-post (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s)             | 1,375.59 ± 235.54      | 1,413.29 ± 342.48      | -0.60    | 0.552   |
| MD-pre (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s)               | 1,024.44 ± 202.53      | 1,066.17 ± 318.57      | -0.77    | 0.442   |
| MD-post (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s)              | 1,263.82 ± 228.33      | 1,309.57 ± 395.87      | -0.66    | 0.511   |
| MK-pre (×10⁶)                      | 993.17 ± 151.61        | 981.02 ± 173.83        | 0.36     | 0.718   |
| MK-post (×10⁶)                     | 883.30 ± 151.83        | 819.95 ± 186.90        | 1.73     | 0.088   |
| Dslow-pre (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s)            | 741.79 ± 261.22        | 762.76 ± 284.00        | -0.37    | 0.711   |
| Dslow-post (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s)           | 828.68 ± 273.48        | 999.14 ± 826.25        | -1.30    | 0.198   |
| MK-pre (×10⁻⁶)                     | 807.27 ± 243.43        | 831.76 ± 216.35        | 1.57     | 0.120   |
| MK-post (×10⁻⁶)                     | 393.50 ± 239.72        | 366.74 ± 208.56        | 0.55     | 0.583   |
| PF-pre (×10⁴)                      | 263.71 ± 68.44         | 205.60 ± 56.83         | 4.41     | 0.000   |
| PF-post (×10⁴)                      | 214.25 ± 66.55         | 183.48 ± 54.83         | 2.33     | 0.022   |
| Ktrans-pre (×10⁻⁵/min)             | 1,226.75 ± 385.23      | 925.81 ± 311.04        | 4.10     | 0.000   |
| Ktrans-post (×10⁻⁵/min)            | 940.64 ± 369.19        | 762.38 ± 390.16        | 2.18     | 0.032   |
| Vc-pre (×10⁻³)                     | 740.02 ± 321.48        | 706.95 ± 221.05        | 0.57     | 0.572   |
| Vc-post (×10⁻³)                     | 733.50 ± 243.99        | 745.12 ± 219.22        | -2.32    | 0.017   |
| Kep-pre (×10⁻⁷/min)                | 1,398.35 ± 707.56      | 1,426.62 ± 961.53      | -0.16    | 0.870   |
| Kep-post (×10⁻⁷/min)               | 1,104.55 ± 635.26      | 1,133.12 ± 962.58      | -1.42    | 0.159   |
| ΔADC%                              | 11.13 ± 20.68          | 21.07 ± 31.37          | -1.86    | 0.066   |
| ΔMD%                               | 25.77 ± 32.31          | 25.88 ± 29.05          | -0.02    | 0.987   |
| ΔMK%                               | -10.87 ± 16.65         | -15.74 ± 15.35         | 1.41     | 0.163   |
| ΔDslow%                            | -29.18 ± 54.17         | -55.40 ± 191.19        | 0.87     | 0.385   |
| ΔDfast%                             | 6.56 ± 96.23           | -2.81 ± 71.99          | 0.51     | 0.612   |
| ΔPF%                               | 19.05 ± 14.85          | 6.48 ± 30.80           | 2.43     | 0.017   |
| ΔKtrans%                            | 20.44 ± 24.82          | 20.04 ± 20.14          | 0.08     | 0.935   |
| Δ Vc%                              | -25.35 ± 70.73         | -21.03 ± 64.36         | -0.29    | 0.768   |
| Δ Kep %                            | -4.40 ± 66.75          | -20.83 ± 107.05        | 0.86     | 0.393   |

V, volume of tumor (cm³); ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s); MD, mean diffusion (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s); MK, mean kurtosis (×10⁶); Dslow, true diffusion coefficient (×10⁻⁶ mm²/s).

Data were reported as mean values ± standard error. The bold values represent these parameters showed a significant differences between the groups and p < 0.05.

**FIGURE 3**

Violin distribution and density of PF-pre and Ktrans-pre values in the responding group (RG) and non-responding group (NRG). The red dot represents the median PF-pre and Ktrans-pre values for the two groups. Colors in the plot are correlated with the sample density. The value of PF and Ktrans was higher in RG than that in NRG.
specific studies focused on the predictive efficacy in LNs from NPC before. According to our findings, the metrics generated from DKI revealed no significant changes between the two groups, but MK-post values of LNs in the RG exhibited a declining tendency. The negative finding of LNs differed from that of the primary tumor of NPC (13). The explanation for this might be that the metastatic LNs were highly homogenous, resulting in similar cellularity density compared with the relatively heterogeneous primary tumors extension.

IVIM reflects the random microscopic motion of water molecules in the intracellular, extracellular space and microcirculation of blood (33). IVIM can simultaneously obtain the information of tumor tissue diffusion and perfusion and may serve as predictors of effective response (18). We found that $D_{\text{slow}}$ values increased whereas $D_{\text{fast}}$ and PF values decreased significantly after IC. In addition, this could be explained by a dramatical decrease in the cellularity and microvessels, as $D_{\text{slow}}$ reflects the true water diffusion and was related to extracellular spaces. However, the present study failed to detect the difference in $D_{\text{slow}}$ between the RG and the NRG, which was inconsistent with previous results. Marzi et al. found that patients with RC showed significantly lower pretreatment $D_{\text{slow}}$ values compared to RF patients (20). The differences of the primary outcomes identified by the study may be the main reason for this discrepancy. PF indicates the fraction of perfusion-related microvessels in the total tissue to some extent. The results also showed that the PF values in the RG were greater than in the NRG, which was in line with the previous findings (11). They found that the mean initial PF value was significantly higher in patients with a PR relative to patients with a CR. It was speculated that the presence of more abundant microvessels in the metastatic LNs of RG.

DCE-MRI allows for probing perfusion and microvessel permeability using the Tofts pharmacokinetic analysis of images. As known, $K_{\text{trans}}$, $K_{\text{ep}}$, and their ratio $V_e$ can be used to quantitative analyze the physiological properties of tumor (34). $K_{\text{trans}}$ was the most important indicator, representing the blood volume, vascular endothelial permeability, and surface area of microvessels in tumor tissues, and reflecting the volume transfer constant (from blood plasma to the EES). Our findings also revealed that following IC, $K_{\text{trans}}$ values decrease considerably, although $K_{\text{ep}}$ and $V_e$ showed no significant changes. Reduced microvasculartiy in LNs could explain the decrease in $K_{\text{trans}}$ after treatment. We also discovered that $K_{\text{trans}}$ values in the RG differed significantly from the NRG, which was in line with previous findings. It was reported that $K_{\text{trans}}$ was a potential marker of predicting response right after one IC cycle for NPC patients (35). Especially, pretreatment primary lesions quantitative DCE-MRI may be valuable in predicting the prognosis for NPC (36). It is not hard to follow actually; higher $K_{\text{trans}}$ values mean higher
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**FIGURE 4**
The receiver operating characteristic ROC of $K_{\text{trans}}$, PF, and PRE in predicting response to IC. The areas under the ROC curves for PF-pre, $K_{\text{trans}}$-pre, and PRE were 0.736, 0.722, and 0.810, respectively.

| AUC | 95%CI | Youden | Cutoff | sensitivity | specificity | +LR | -LR | +PV | -PV |
|-----|------|--------|--------|------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| PF-pre ($\times 10^{-6}$) | 0.736 | (0.635, 0.822) | 0.393 | 222 | 75.00% | 64.29% | 2.10 | 0.39 | 72.2 | 67.5 |
| $K_{\text{trans}}$-pre ($\times 10^{-3}$/min) | 0.722 | (0.620, 0.809) | 0.335 | 934 | 69.23% | 64.29% | 1.94 | 0.48 | 70.6 | 62.8 |
| PRE | 0.810 | (0.715, 0.883) | 0.549 | 0.6224 | 69.23% | 85.71% | 4.85 | 0.36 | 85.7 | 69.2 |

AUC, area under the curve; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; +LR, positive likelihood ratio; -LR, negative likelihood ratio; +PV, positive predictive value; -PV, negative predictive value.

TABLE 4 Diagnostic efficacy of $K_{\text{trans}}$-pre, PF-pre, and PRE.
permeability and perfusion, which can contribute to the improved ability of chemotherapeutic drugs delivered to the tissue (37). As mentioned above, both $D_{fast}$ and $K_{trans}$ are thought to be related to tissue perfusion, and the change trend of $D_{fast}$ before and after IC was the same as $K_{trans}$. Furthermore, $K_{trans}$ in the RG was higher than that in NRG, which is consistent with $D_{fast}$ although $D_{fast}$ showed no significant difference between the two groups. Perfusion-related parameters including PF and $K_{trans}$ have a great potential to predict therapy response.

To our knowledge, the study presented a first attempt to identify and compare the value of fMRI metrics in predicting therapeutic response to IC in metastatic LNs in NPC. We found that the best performance of pretreatment metrics to discriminate responders from non-responders was $K_{trans}$ and PF. The attempts to combine multiple statistically significant MRI parameters succussed to generate a stronger predictor PRE that was fitted by PF and $K_{trans}$ using the way of the input of logistic regression analysis. PF, $K_{trans}$, and PRE showed a promising value of predicting response to IC, with an area under the ROC of 736, 0.722, and 0.810 respectively. These results were partly in line with those of Wong et al. (11, 38), although the other parameters seemed to be not a powerful predictor of response to IC. Lu et al. (11) reported that the AUC of the pretreatment PF for predicting the complete response group from the partial response group was 0.920. The sensitivity and specificity of PF in predicting treatment response to chemoradiotherapy were 86.7% and 100%, respectively.

There are several limitations to this study that must be acknowledged. First and foremost, there is the single-center study, which has intrinsic flaws. Second, due to time constraints, the cervical metastatic LNs scanned and evaluated in the study were mostly situated in level II, III, and retropharyngeal space, with the exception of level IV. It is unclear whether the lack of data from metastatic LNs in level IV influenced the final results. Thirdly, the pathological outcomes should be compared to the responses of metastatic LNs to IC. Unfortunately, surgery is not a recommended treatment for NPC, and the biopsies of a large number of regional LNs are similarly impractical. Finally, chemosensitivity cannot directly represent radiosensitivity. Identifying patients with high sensitivity to IC who could potentially achieve complete response or partial response and offering them standard (or intensified) neoadjuvant chemotherapy could therefore improve long-term survival. Further study is warranted and the adiomics of fMRI parameter-maps for detecting microstructural changes and predicting treatment response is a potential issue in this respect.

**Conclusions**

The purpose of the current study was to identify and compare the efficacy of conventional DWI, DKI, IVIM, and DCE-MRI in predicting early response to IC in metastatic LNs from NPC. The pretreatment $K_{trans}$ and PF values emerged as the reliable PREs of therapeutic response, which demonstrated that fMRI perfusion-related parameters have the potential to early predict the efficacy of IC in metastatic LNs from NPC patients. Multicenter radiomics trials are urgently needed to verify our findings.
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