Fractal and Euclidean Geometrical Diagnosis of Cervix Cytology
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Abstract

Background: Conventional methods for evaluation of cervix cytology show reproducibility problems. To solve this, there was developed a diagnostic methodology based on fractal and euclidean geometry, mathematically differentiating normality, L SIL and H SIL.

Objective: The aim of the present work is to confirm the clinical applicability of such diagnostic in a blind study.

Methods: The clinic diagnosis of 15 normal cells, 15 ASCUS, 15 L SIL and 15 H SIL was masked. Cellular nucleus and cytoplasm were evaluated calculating fractal dimension, number of spaces occupied by the frontier and number of pixels occupied by the surface of each object. The mathematical diagnosis was established and compared with the conventional diagnosis, calculating specificity, sensibility, negative likelihood ratio and Kappa coefficient.

Results: It was found that simultaneous measures of the nuclear surface and the subtraction between the frontiers of cytoplasm and nucleus, lead to differentiate normality, L SIL and H SIL. Both sensibility and specificity values were of 100 percent. Kappa coefficient was 1 and negative likelihood ratio was zero. 4 ASCUS showed mathematical measures of normality, while the remaining 11 showed values of L-SIL cells.

Conclusion: The mathematical diagnostic prove to be useful for clinical evaluation of cervix cytology, differentiating normality, L SIL and H SIL, quantifying how close it is the cell to a higher severity stage, and clearing up the undetermination of the ASCUS cells.
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Introduction

Due to human body is composed by irregular forms and structures; Euclidean geometry is not enough for its characterization, presenting many limitations. On the contrary, fractal geometry has allowed dealing with the study of human body not only at structural, but at physiological level [1-4]. The measure obtained by the study of natural and abstract objects through fractal geometry is called fractal dimension, and represents the irregularity grade of such object [5]. There are different methods for fractal dimension calculation: abstract fractals as Sierpinski triangle, are properly characterized by the Hausdorff dimension; wild fractals, in which parts are superimposed, are measured by Box Counting method [6,7]; statistical fractals allow to characterize hyperbolic distributions by Zipf-Mandelbrot law [8].

In medicine, fractal geometry application has allowed the approaching to different phenomena as arterial structure [9], cardiac morpohphysiology [10-12] and immune repertoire against specific antigens [13]. In oncology field, specifically, fractal geometry has demonstrate its utility for neoplastic detection in mammogram [14,15], in invasive bladder cancer characterization [16], for characterizing different grades of neoplastic disease in oral mucous [17], and for the characterization of vasculature in androgen-dependent tumors [18].

Worldwide, cervix cancer causes about 231,000 deaths yearly, which more than 85% are countries in process of development, being the second type of cancer more frequent in women. Its annual incidence is about 530000 cases, occupying the fourth place on the more frequent cancer in America [19]. Cervix cytology is the screening technique used par excellence nowadays; it allows the detection of preneoplastic injuries and early therapeutic interventions which decrease mortality associated to this disease [20]. Its diagnostic analysis is based on qualitative techniques dependent of observer experience, requiring a confirmation by a colposcopy and a biopsy [21], demanding additional time and resources. Although it has not been adopted worldwide, Bethesda system is one of the most used methods for qualitative cytology classification [20]. Even though current diagnostic methods present specificity between 98%, they have a sensitivity which varies between 51% [22], and the false negatives percentage can vary between 20 and 40% [23,24]. This is consequence of the difficulties implied in establishing differences in cells with similar characteristics observed from qualitative methods [25,26].

This system includes diagnostic indeterminacies which are called ASCUS (Atypical Squamous Cells of Undetermined Significance). This qualification includes cells which could not be classified in normality category, or any disease category, which implies a potential danger for the patient. This category presents the less reproducibility within...
observers, constituting the most important diagnostic problem to be solved in this field [27-29]. This situation demands the development of new methods objectively applicable, observer independent and reproducible, allowing an unambiguous morphological diagnostic.

In this context, there was developed a methodology to differentiate mathematically normality and L-SIL, achieving to establish the diagnostic of ASCUS, establishing if they have Normality or L-SIL characteristics. This method is based on fractal dimensions and the application of Intrinsic Mathematical Harmony concept [30]. This research allowed later the finding of all the cellular geometric prototypes of normality, malignancy and the evolution of these states [31].

Recently, there were developed new methodologies based on simultaneous fractal and Euclidean measures, which allow improve the methodologies based only on fractal geometry. This is the case of a new diagnostic method of erythrocyte structure, which allow differentiate fragmented or irregular structures or dynamics. Used as a noun, it means "irregularity"; used as an adjective, it means "irregular" [7].

Definitions

Materials and Methods

Fractal: Term proposed by Benoit Mandelbrot, referred to fragmented or irregular structures or dynamics. Used as a noun, it means "irregularity"; used as an adjective, it means "irregular" [7].

Fractal dimension: Non-integer numerical value that quantifies the irregularity degree of an object or dynamics. As there are different methods to calculate this value, the characteristics of the specific phenomenon studied are the basis to choose the method. The body structures, including cervical cells, are called wild fractals, and are measured by of Box-Counting dimension [7].

Box-counting dimension: It is defined by the eqn (1), establishing a mathematical quantification of the changes in the irregular structure when are observed in different scales [7].

\[
D = \frac{\log N(2^{-(K+1)}) - \log N(2^{-K})}{\log 2^{-(K+1)} - \log 2^{-K}} = \log_2 \frac{N(2^{-(K+1)})}{N(2^{-K})} \tag{1}
\]

Where:

\(D\): fractal dimension.

\(N\): Number of squares containing the frontier of the object.

\(K\): Grade of partition of the grid.

Object frontier: Number of squares occupied by the frontiers of the measured object, in the grid of 2 pixel’s side (Figure 1).

Object surface: It is defined as the number of pixels occupied by the surface of each one of the measured objects (nucleus and cytoplasm). The software used makes a segmentation process, in order to establish the frontier of the nucleus and the cytoplasm. Next, it counts the number of pixels occupied inside each object, including the pixels of the frontiers (Figure 2).

Substraction of cytoplasm-nucleus frontiers: This value is the result of resting the number of squares occupied by the cytoplasm frontier and the number of squares occupied by the nucleus frontier, in the grid of 2 pixel’s side.

Procedure

There were taken 60 Cervix cytology samples from women between 20-55 years. The samples were obtained from the Pathology Department of Hospital San José. The diagnostic were established by an expert pathologist, following the conventional parameters of Bethesda System, in which H-SIL classification includes carcinoma cells [34]. There were included 15 normal cells, 15 ASCUS, 15 L-SIL and 15 H-SIL. The clinical diagnosis were masked, taking access only to the samples, that were observed with a Leika DM-2500 optical microscope, using a 100X zoom, in order to obtain the Digital pictures. Next, the pictures were analyzed through an image editor.

In order to obtain the mathematical diagnosis, it was used a software previously developed, which superimpose to each image two grids of 2 and 4 pixels, and counts the number of squares occupied by the frontier of each object in each grid, as well as the number of pixels occupied by their surface (see definitions). The fractal dimension of

\[\text{Figure 1: Original image (left), and Image obtained by the software (right), of the nucleus and cytoplasm frontiers of an H-SIL cell. The red lines correspond to the grid of 2 pixel’s side.}\]

\[\text{Figure 2: Example of Cytoplasm (left) and nucleus (right) surfaces, of the H-SIL cell observed in figure 1, evaluated with the software. The red lines correspond to the grid of 2 pixel’s side.}\]
each object is calculated with the number of squares occupied by the object frontier, in the two grids (see eqn (1)).

Based on these measures, it is established the physical-mathematical diagnostic, where normality is characterized by nuclear surfaces less than or equal to 735 pixels and in the rest of the frontiers Cytoplasm-Nucleus must be values greater than or equal to 161 squares in the grid of 2 pixel's side.

L-SIL cells have values greater than or equal to 972 pixels on the nucleus surface, as well as value greater than 130 squares in the grid of 2 pixel's side in the rest of Cytoplasm-Nucleus frontiers.

H-SIL cells present values less than 120 in the rest of Cytoplasm-Nucleus frontiers. It means that in order to differentiate L-SIL and H-SIL states the subtraction of Cytoplasm-Nucleus frontiers must be observed. Besides, there is a range of evolution between L-SIL and H-SIL cells, corresponding to the values between 120 and 130 squares in the grid of 2 pixel's side in the subtraction of Cytoplasm-Nucleus frontiers.

Statistical analysis

After obtaining the mathematical diagnostic, there was unmasked the clinical diagnostic result of each cytology and these results were taken as the Gold-Standard.

In order to establish a contingency table $2 \times 2$ for the comparison between the number of normal and abnormal cases concordant and non-concordant, all the cells classified as L-SIL or H-SIL according to the conventional method, were listed within a single classification as abnormal. Based on this table, sensibility, specificity and negative likelihood ratio were calculated, and the level of concordance between Gold-Standard and physical-mathematical diagnosis was established through Kappa coefficient. The ASCUS cells were excluded of the statistical analysis, as they don’t have a specific diagnosis of normality or disease from Gold-standard. However, their mathematical behavior was observed, looking for possible diagnostic relations respect to the normality and disease states, in order to specify quantitative differentiations.

Ethics statement

This work follows the provisions of Declaration of Helsinki in 1995, considering the theoretical character of the methodology, and taking in account it is based on non-invasive test previously prescribed, protecting patient’s privacy, integrity and anonymity. The present research has a theoretical character and is based on non-invasive test previously prescribed, so informed consent was not necessary. Those reasons made the local ethics committee deemed the work exempt from needing full ethical approval.

Results

The fractal dimensions of the objects showed values between 0.896 and 1.238; these measures can not differentiate any of the states. The minimum and maximum values for each state are shown in Table 1.

Nucleus surface showed values between 305 and 729 pixels for normality; between 721 and 4677 pixels for ASCUS; between 901 and 5417 pixels for L-SIL and between 540 and 2531 pixels for H-SIL (Table 2).

Measurements of the cytoplasm frontier were between 236 and 524 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side for normal cells; between 210 and 531 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side for ASCUS cells; between 231 and 508 squares in the the grid of 2 pixel’s side for L-SIL and between 96 and 205 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side for H-SIL (Table 2).

For normality, measures of the nucleus frontier presented values between 38 and 143 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side; ASCUS between 53 and 137 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side; the L-SIL between 75 and 147 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side and the H-SIL between 49 and 113 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side (Table 2).

Subtracting the measured values of the nucleus and cytoplasm frontiers showed values between 168 and 512 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side for normality, between 139 and 441 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side for ASCUS, 133 to 413 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side for L-SIL and between 40 and 122 squares in the grid of 2 pixel’s side for H-SIL (Table 2).

The mathematical diagnostic of the cells showed that all normal, L-SIL and H-SIL cells were correctly diagnosed. 4 ASCUS showed mathematical measures of normality, while the remaining 11 presented values of L-SIL cells. Statistical analysis resulted in sensitivity and specificity of 100%, a likelihood ratio of negative zero, and a Kappa coefficient of 1.

Discussion

This is the first research which confirms the diagnostic capacity of the developed methodology based on fractal and Euclidean simultaneous measures for the clinical diagnosis of cervix cytology.

| Dx. | NORMAL | ASCUS | L-SIL | H-SIL |
|-----|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| MIN | MAX    | MIN   | MAX   | MIN   | MAX   |
| N   | 0.977  | 1.081 | 1.001 | 1.21  | 0.947 | 1.153 | 1.013 | 1.239 |
| C   | 0.988  | 1.062 | 0.899 | 0.967 | 0.981 | 1.016 | 0.959 | 1.062 |
| T   | 0.896  | 1.012 | 0.913 | 1.138 | 0.939 | 1.185 | 1.082 | 1.177 |

The fractal dimensions found confirm the previous result, showing it is no possible to establish diagnostic differences with these values evaluated solely.

Table 1: Minimum (MIN) and maximum (MAX) values of fractal dimensions for each group of cells. N: Nucleus. C: Citoplasm. T: Total object.

| Conv. Dx. | Math. Dx. | NS | NF | CF | CF-NF |
|-----------|-----------|----|----|----|-------|
| Normal    | Normal    | 345| 43 | 541| 498   |
| Normal    | ASCUS     | 672| 53 | 239| 186   |
| Normal    | Normal    | 309| 38 | 434| 396   |
| Normal    | Normal    | 625| 52 | 311| 259   |
| L SIL     | L SIL     | 2309| 106| 506| 400   |
| L SIL     | L SIL     | 3361| 122| 346| 224   |
| L SIL     | L SIL     | 1512| 85 | 231| 146   |
| L SIL     | L SIL     | 4502| 144| 382| 238   |
| ASCUS     | L SIL     | 2849| 137| 284| 147   |
| H SIL     | H SIL     | 2224| 86 | 197| 111   |
| H SIL     | H SIL     | 546 | 51 | 97 | 46    |
| H SIL     | H SIL     | 1907| 91 | 180| 89    |
| H SIL     | H SIL     | 2045| 113| 158| 45    |
| H SIL     | H SIL     | 1212| 72 | 149| 77    |

Comparing the conventional diagnosis with the mathematical diagnosis it is observed that the latter correctly diagnose normal, LSIL and HSIL cells, and further provides a quantitative diagnostic for ASCUS cells. There were included 2 ASCUS, in order to show how these cells can show a normal or an L-SIL behavior.

Table 2: Measurements of 20 of the studied cells. The surface is expressed in pixels, and the frontier in number of squares in the grill of 2 pixels. Dx. Conventional diagnosis. NF: Nucleus Frontier. NS: Nucleus surface. CF: Citoplasm Frontier. C-N: Subtraction of Cytoplasm-Nucleus frontiers.
due to there was no quantitative measures of these changes, there showed that there were changes on the size of nucleus associated to current reproducibility problems, with the subsequent difficulty to on different diseases classifications, supported in qualitative measures. It was found that the differences could be established with possible to establish which scale is significant for establishing diagnostic geometry does not quantify the changes of the cellular structures sizes, necessary to establish new concepts to achieve a diagnostic [30,31]. Previous researches have showed that in many cases fractal geometry isolated assessed not allow establish diagnostic differences [10-12], however its analysis with specific mathematical concepts, like Intrinsic Mathematical Harmony and fractal dimension variability for preneoplastic cells case [30,31], allowed establish differences between normality and disease [9-12,30,31]. On this context, the developed researches with fractal and Euclidean simultaneous measures for the assessment of erythrocyte structure [32] and the restenosis phenomena [33], showed that it was possible to improve the diagnostic capacity achieved only with fractal measures, giving place to the methodology applied in this work. In the case of erythrocyte structure, there was demonstrate that only by this process was possible to establish diagnostic differences, while on the restenosis phenomena was possible to overcome fractal measures, which only differentiate normality from restenosis, getting a quantification of the restenosis progress level. In this research, there was possible an easier quantification of the phenomenon, which facilitate its practical application at clinical level, getting also a quantification of disease level evolution, which facilitate the monitoring in time.

This research is supported on the form of non-causal thinking underlying the theories of modern physics, as statistical mechanics [37], chaos [38], and Quantum theories [39]. From these perspectives, scientific are not seeking cause-effect relations, due to, as Prigogine explains [38], there is no access to a continuous phenomenon. We only have temporal windows, that is, discrete moments in time, without a necessary causal relation between them. In this sense, the objective is to establish causeless mathematical orders underlying the available information. That is why this method does not take into account factors as age or risk factors, but it focuses on the quantification of geometrical characteristics of the phenomenon.

In this sense, although can be thought that the nucleus variation that support the developed diagnosis, are in concordance with the genetic cancer hypothesis, which point out that a tumor is a consequence of a clone expansion of a genetically mutated cell, in fact, independently of the origin of this variations, the methodology reveals a mathematical order which allow establish diagnostic differences. In this sense, is possible to forecast that is possible to find analogical orders underlying to preneoplastic variation process of other type of cells.

This type of reasoning has allowed the development of diagnosis...
and predictions not only in the processing of diagnostic images of cells and tissues, but also in organs as the heart [10-12]. It has been useful in areas as cardiology [40-42], immunology [43], molecular biology [44], infectology [45] and epidemic predictions too [46]. The obtained results show that medicine can be supported in all of its areas from physical principles, over coming current medicine problems with physical-mathematical predictions applicable at experimental or clinical level, as well as in the public health field.
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