Three-dimensional DNA nanostructure to improve hyperbranched hybridization chain reaction
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1. Experimental Section

1.1. Materials and instruments

All DNA and RNA oligonucleotides (Table S1) were purchased from Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) and purified by high-performance liquid chromatography. 1,3-Diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF), 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) and estradiol were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Lipofectamine 3000 transfection reagent was purchased from Invitrogen (USA). Methylene blue (MB) was purchased from Acros Organics. Deoxyribonucleases I (DNase I) was obtained from New England Biolabs Beijing Ltd. All reagents used in this work were of analytical grade and directly used without further purification. Deionized and sterilized water (resistance >18 MΩ·cm) was used throughout the experiments.

UV spectral measurements were performed on an Agilent Technologies Cary 60 UV/Vis spectrometer. All fluorescence measurements were carried out on a Shimadzu RF-5301 PC fluorescence spectrometer (Shimadzu Ltd., Japan). AFM characterization was observed by Bruker Multimode V8 Scanning Probe Microscopy (USA). The images of cells were visualized on an inverted confocal microscope (A1R Confocal System, Nikon).

1.2. Oligonucleotides used in this work

Table S1. Sequences of all oligonucleotides used in this work

| Oligonucleotides | sequence (5’-3’) |
|------------------|-----------------|
| a                | ACATTCCTAAGTCTGAAACATTACAGCTTGCTACACGAGAAGAGCCGCCATAGTA |
| b                | TATCACCAGGCGAGTTGACAGTGATGCAAGCTGTAATAGATGCGAGGGTCCAATAC |
| c                | TCAACTGCGTGGTGATAAAAACGACACTACGTTGGGAATCTACTATGGCGGCTCTTC |
| d                | TTCAGACTTTAGGAAATGTGCTTCCACGTAATGCTCCTTGAATTTGACCCTCGCAT |
| a*               | CCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAATACCTTAAGTCTGAAACATTACACGCTCTACA |
| b*               | CCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAATTCACCAGGCGAGTTGACAGTGATGCAAGCTGTA |
| c*               | CCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAATCAACTGCGTGGTGATAAAAACGACACTACGTGGAATCTACTATGGCGGCTCTTC |
| d*               | CCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAATTCAGACTTTAGGAAATGTGCTTCCACGTAATGCTCCTTGAATTTGACCCTCGCAT |
| h1               | TCAACATCAGTCTGATAAGCTACTAAGTTAGCTTATCAGACTG |
| h2        | TAGCTTATCAGACTGATGTTGACAGTCTGATAAGCTAACTTAG |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------|
| h1<sup>Cy3</sup> | TCAACATCAGCTCGATAAGCTAC/Cy3dT/AAGTTAGCTTAGTACGACTG |
| h2<sup>Cy5</sup> | TAGCTTATCAGACTGATGTTGACAGTCTGATAAGCTAACTTAG-Cy5 |
| H1        | TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGTAAGTTAGCTTAGTACGACTG |
| H2        | TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGGTCAACATCAGTCTGATAAGCTAACTTAG |
| H1<sup>Cy3</sup> | TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGGTCAACATCAGTCTGATAAGCTAC/Cy3dT/AAGTTAGCTTAGTACGACTG |
| H2<sup>Cy5</sup> | TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGGTCAACATCAGTCTGATAAGCTAACTTAG-Cy5 |
| H3<sup>Cy3</sup> | TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGGACACTTTGAACTATAACCTACTACCTCA-Cy3 |
| H4<sup>Cy5</sup> | TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGGACACTTTGAACTATAACCTACT/Cy5dT/GAGGTAGTAGGTGTATAGTT |
| miR-21    | UAGCUUAUCAGACUGAUGUUGA |
| One-mismatch miR-21 | UAGGUUAUCAGACUGAUGUUGA |
| Three-mismatch miR-21 | UAGCUAAUCAGACUGAUGUUGA |
| miR-21 mimic | TAGCTTATCAGACTGATGTTG |
| miR-21 inhibitor | TCAACATCAGCTCGATAAGCTA |
| Let-7a    | UAGGUUAUCAGACUGAUGUUG |
| Let-7a mimic | TGAGGTAGTAGGTGTATAGTT |
| Let-7a inhibitor | AACTATAACCTACTACCTCA |
| miR-210   | CUGUGCUGUGACAGCGCCUGA |
| miR-141   | UAACACUGUCUGGUAAAGAUGG |
Table S2. Preparation of TDN-assembled hairpins with different valences

| Zerovanent | TDN-assembled hairpin | Fluorescent labelled TDN-assembled hairpin |
|------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|
| zTDN       | abcd=1: 1: 1: 1       |                                          |
| mTDNH1     | a*bcdH1=1: 1: 1: 1    | mTDNH1Cy3 a*bcdH1Cy3=1: 1: 1: 1         |
| mTDNH2     | a*bcdH2=1: 1: 1: 1    | mTDNH2Cy5 a*bcdH2Cy5=1: 1: 1: 1         |
| Monovalent |                       |                                          |
| bTDNH1     | a*b*cdH1=1: 1: 1: 2   | bTDNH1Cy3 a*b*cdH1Cy3=1: 1: 1: 2        |
| bTDNH2     | a*b*cdH2=1: 1: 1: 2   | bTDNH2Cy5 a*b*cdH2Cy5=1: 1: 1: 2        |
| Bivalent   |                       |                                          |
| tTDNH1     | a*b*c*dH1=1: 1: 1: 3  | tTDNH1Cy3 a*b*c*dH1Cy3=1: 1: 1: 3       |
| tTDNH2     | a*b*c*dH2=1: 1: 1: 3  | tTDNH2Cy5 a*b*c*dH2Cy5=1: 1: 1: 3       |
| Trivalent  |                       |                                          |
| qTDNH1     | a*b*c*d*H1=1: 1: 1: 4 | qTDNH1Cy3 a*b*c*d*H1Cy3=1: 1: 1: 4      |
| qTDNH2     | a*b*c*d*H2=1: 1: 1: 4 | qTDNH2Cy5 a*b*c*d*H2Cy5=1: 1: 1: 4      |
| Quadrivalent |                       |                                          |
|           | referred to all kinds of tetrahedrons from zerovalent to quadrivalent | |

1.4. Experimental section

Construction of TDN-assembled hairpins

TDNs with different valences were prepared by mixing corresponding oligonucleotide strands (Table S1) in TM buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM MgCl₂, pH = 8.0). Unless otherwise stated, the concentration of a or a* is 1 µM and H1, H2 changes with different valences. For instance, the mixtures of qTDNH1 (a*, b*, c*, d* are 1 µM and H1 is 4 µM) were heated at 95 °C for 5 min and then cooled to 4 °C within 1 min. The obtained modules were then directly used for characterization without further fractionation or purification. To achieve the loading of MB in modules, MB was mixed with the prepared modules (e.g. qTDNH1 or qTDNH2) at 37 °C for 1 h and then purified by ultrafiltration (30 kDa molecular weight cutoff) to remove the free MB remaining in solution. The supernatants were collected to analyze the concentration of the released MB. The concentration of MB in modules was calculated as follows:

\[ C_{\text{MB loading}} = \frac{n_{\text{MB total}} - n_{\text{MB free}}}{N_{\text{modules}}} \]

MiR-21-triggered DNA assembly

According to Table S2, differently valent TDNH1 and TDNH2 or h1 and h2 were mixed with miR-21. The mixture was then isothermally incubated at 37 °C for proper time.
**Electrophoresis characterization**

Agarose gel electrophoresis was applied to characterize the successful preparation of building modules and DNA assemblies. The reaction solutions were separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis at 4 °C (80 V, 30 min). The gels were stained with GelRed (Takara) in advance and visualized with a Gel Image System.

**Atomic force microscopy imaging**

*In air scan.* The modules and DNA assemblies (1 μM, 10 μL) were deposited onto a freshly cleaved mica surface and left to adsorb to the surface for 5 min, washed with 30 μL of water for more than 10 times and dried with compressed air. A MultiMode V8 AFM (Bruker) system was used to image the samples under ScanAsyst-Air mode.

**FRET measurement**

To achieve the *in vitro* FRET sensing of miR-21, different concentrations of miR-21 were added in 100 μL of Tris-HCl solution (20 mM Tris with 10 mM MgCl₂, pH = 7.4) containing 50 nM qTDNH1Cy3 and 50 nM qTDNH2Cy5 or 50 nM h1Cy3 and 50 nM h2Cy5 (H1Cy3, H2Cy5, h1Cy3 or h2Cy5 is 50 nM), followed by incubation for proper time at 37 °C. Then, the fluorescence spectrum of the mixture was collected from 550 to 800 nm with 540 nm excitation. All experiments were repeated at least three times. To investigate the kinetics of DNA assembly process, real-time monitoring of fluorescence intensity at 560 nm was performed after miR-21 addition. The *in vitro* FRET sensing of Let-7a was conducted by using the above-described process.

The FRET efficiency was evaluated using the equation of $E = F_A/F_{SUM}^{1-5}$, where $E$ is FRET efficiency, $F_A$ is the integral area of acceptor Cy5 (from 620 to 800 nm) in the fluorescence spectrum of DNA assembly, $F_{SUM}$ is the total integral area of the whole spectrum of DNA assembly.

**Biostability characterization of modules and DNA assemblies**

To compare the abilities of nuclease resistance, 1 μM h1, 1 μM qTDNH1, HCR products formed by h1 and h2, hyperbranched HCR products formed by qTDNH1 and qTDNH2 were respectively incubated with 0.5 U/mL DNase I at room temperature for different time. Then, 20 μL of reaction solution was mixed with 4 μL of loading buffer (6×), followed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis running at 80 V for about 30 min in 1×TAE buffer and analyzed by a fluorescence image scanner.

To investigate the stabilities of HCR products in mimic cellular physiological environment, hyperbranched HCR products formed by qTDNH1Cy3 and qTDNH2Cy5 (or h1Cy3 and h2Cy5) were incubated with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37 °C, and time-dependent FRET signal changes were monitored for 36 h.

**Cell culture and confocal fluorescence imaging**

HeLa, MCF-7 and HL-7702 cells were obtained from the Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and cultured in DMEM (Gibco) medium with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (PS, 10000 IU penicillin and 10000 µg/mL streptomycin). Then the cells were seeded on a glass slide in a Petri dish and cultured overnight to reach an appropriate cell density. The fluorescent-labelled modules (e.g. qTDNH1Cy3 and qTDNH2Cy5 or qTDNH3Cy3 and
qTDNH4Cy5) were incubated with different cells in culture medium and 5% CO₂ at 37 °C for 4 h. The fluorescence images of cells were recorded by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).

Treating HeLa cells with estradiol: Estradiol was first dissolved in ethyl alcohol and diluted to 10 nM with fresh medium. After being incubated with 10 nM estradiol for 24 h, HeLa cells were further incubated with qTDNH1Cy3 and qTDNH2Cy5 for 4 h in culture medium and 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. Then, the fluorescence images of cells were recorded by CLSM.

Transflecting HeLa with DNA mimics of miR-21 and antimiR-21: Transfection assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 10 µL of Lipofectamine 3000 reagent was firstly diluted with 190 µL of Opti-MEM (solution 1). The reaction solution (200 µL, called solution 2) was prepared by mixing 300 nM DNA mimics of miR-21 or antimiR-21 with opti-MEM. The final transfection liquid solution (400 µL) was obtained by mixing solution 1 with solution 2 and then kept in a static state for 15 min. The cells were incubated with the prepared transfection liquid solution for 4 h at 37 °C. Then, qTDNH1Cy3 and qTDNH2Cy5 were incubated with different cells in culture medium at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ for 4 h, followed by imaging cells using CLSM. Transfection MCF cells with DNA mimics or inhibitors of Let-7a was conducted by using the above-described process.

^{1}O₂ detection

DPBF and DCFH-DA were used as ^{1}O₂ probes to detect the generation of ^{1}O₂ in solution and in cells, respectively. ^{1}O₂-probing by DPBF was performed by mixing qTDNH1@MB in Tris buffer with 0.01 mg/mL DPBF in 10% ethanol, followed by photoirradiation with 650 nm laser (30 mW/cm²). The absorbance values of DPBF at 410 nm were recorded using a UV–vis spectrophotometer. The solution of DPBF without qTDNH1@MB was used as the control.

Intracellular ^{1}O₂-probing was performed by using DCFH-DA as the probe. Briefly, HeLa cells were placed in a 35 mm cell well for 24 h and grew to ~80% confluence. The culture medium was then replaced by a fresh medium containing qTDNH1@MB+qTDNH2@MB (Each qTDN: 12.5 nM, H1 or H2: 50 nM, MB: 80 nM) or free MB (80 nM). After incubation for 4 h, previous medium was changed as the well-prepared DCFH-DA staining solution (10 µM). The cells were incubated for another 30 min. Then, the cells were irradiated (650 nm, 30 mW/cm²) for 3 min. All of the cells were observed by CLSM with a laser at 488 nm.

PDT treatment of cancer cells

HeLa cells were cultured in 96-well plates for 24 h and treated with different concentrations of qTDNH1Cy3@MB+qTDNH2Cy5@MB, qTDNH1Cy3+qTDNH2Cy5, or free MB for 4 h. After washing with fresh media, the cells were incubated for 24 h and subsequently treated with or without laser irradiation (650 nm and 30 mW/cm²) for 3 min. The cell viability was then evaluated via MTT assay.
2. AGE and AFM characterization of TDN-assembled hairpins and their HCR products

Fig. S1 Characterization of valence-controlled TDN-assembled hairpins and their HCR products. (a-b) Schematic illustration of TDN-assembled hairpins and their HCR products with different valences. (c) Gel images showing the successful preparation of TDN-assembled hairpins and the successful performance of corresponding HCR reactions. (c1) Preparation of TDN-assembled hairpins with different valences. Lanes 1→5: TDN; mTDNH1; bTDNH1; tTDNH1; qTDNH1. (c2) miR-21-triggered HCR reactions of TDN-assembled hairpins with different valences. Lanes 1→8: mTDNH1+mTDNH2+miR-21; bTDNH1+bTDNH2+miR-21; tTDNH1+tTDNH2+miR-21; qTDNH1+qTDNH2+miR-21; mTDNH1+mTDNH2; bTDNH1+bTDNH2; tTDNH1+tTDNH2; qTDNH1+qTDNH2. (d-k) AFM images of the morphology of (d) mTDNH1+mTDNH2, (e) mTDNH1+mTDNH2+miR-21, (f) bTDNH1+bTDNH2, (g) bTDNH1+bTDNH2+miR-21, (h) tTDNH1+tTDNH2, (i) tTDNH1+tTDNH2+miR-21, (j) qTDNH1+qTDNH2 and (k) qTDNH1+qTDNH2+miR-21.
3. Reaction kinetics and FRET efficiency of different valence TDN-mediated HCR

![Fig. S2](image)

| HCR      | Initial rate (min⁻¹) |
|----------|----------------------|
| monovalent | 0.359                |
| bivalent  | 7.608                |
| trivalent | 24.920               |
| quadrivalent | 40.123             |

(a) Time-dependent Cy3 fluorescence changes (at 560 nm) of different valence TDN-mediated HCR in the absence (-) or presence (+) of 20 nM miR-21. The initial rates of TDN-mediated HCR were outlined in the table below. (b-e) Fluorescence spectra of different valence TDN-mediated HCR reaction systems reaching equilibrium in the absence or presence of 20 nM miR-21. \( \lambda_{em} = 540 \text{ nm} \). (f) FRET efficiencies calculated from above four HCR systems. \([\text{H}1^\text{Cy3}] = [\text{H}2^\text{Cy5}] = 50 \text{ nM} \). The concentrations of TDN in mTDN1, bTDN1, tTDN1 and qTDN1 were 50 nM, 25 nM, 16.67 nM and 12.5 nM, respectively.
4. FRET images of miR-21 in HeLa cells at different time points

The concentrations of hairpins of $H_1^{Cy3}$ and $H_2^{Cy5}$ are all 50 nM. qTDN in qTDNH$^{Cy3}$ or qTDNH$^{Cy5}$ was 12.5 nM in intracellular target imaging systems.
5. FRET measurement of Let-7a in solutions and MCF-7 cells

\[ y = 0.00317x + 0.1757 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9918 \]

Fig. S4 Let-7a-specific sensing and in situ imaging using qTDN-mediated hyperbranched HCR. (a) Fluorescence spectral change of the sensing system in the presence of different concentrations of Let-7a. (b) Let-7a concentration-dependent changes in 
\( F_a/F_d \) and the linear relationship (inset) between \( F_a/F_d \) and Let-7a concentration in the range of 5 pM-110 pM. (c) Selectivity of qTDN-mediated hyperbranched HCR-based Let-7a-sensing system. 200 pM of Let-7a or 20 nM of other different 
microRNAs were added. (d) FRET images of Let-7a in untreated, Let-7a mimic or inhibitor-transfected MCF-7 cells. The 
concentrations of hairpins of \( \text{H3}^{\text{Cy3}} \) and \( \text{H4}^{\text{Cy5}} \) were 50 nM and qTDN in qTDNH3^{Cy3} or qTDNH4^{Cy5} was 12.5 nM.
6. Cytotoxicities of hairpins and qTDN-assembled hairpins

Fig. S5 MTT assay of hairpins and qTDN-assembled hairpins. (a) Cell viabilities of HeLa cells treated with h1\textsuperscript{Cy3}+h2\textsuperscript{Cy5} or qTDNH1\textsuperscript{Cy3}+qTDNH2\textsuperscript{Cy5} for different time. (b) Cell viabilities of different cells treated with qTDNH1\textsuperscript{Cy3} plus qTDNH2\textsuperscript{Cy5} for different time. The concentrations of hairpins of h1\textsuperscript{Cy3}, h2\textsuperscript{Cy5}, H1\textsuperscript{Cy3} and H2\textsuperscript{Cy5} are all 50 nM. qTDN in qTDNH1\textsuperscript{Cy3} or qTDNH2\textsuperscript{Cy5} was 12.5 nM.
7. Effects of MB loading on FRET-based miR-21-sensing

![Diagram]

Fig. S6 qTDN-mediated hyperbranched HCR-based miR-21-sensing in the presence of MB. (a) Working mechanism. (b) UV-vis absorption spectrum of MB and fluorescence spectra of Cy5 and MB. (c) Fluorescence spectra given by the systems containing qTDNH1@MB, qTDNH2@MB, qTDNH1@MB+qTDNH2@MB or qTDNH1@MB+qTDNH2@MB+miR-21. [miR-21] = 20 nM. \( \lambda_{ex} = 540 \) nm. (d) miR-21 concentration-dependent changes in fluorescence spectra; (e) miR-21 concentration-dependent changes in \( F_a/F_0 \) signal (\( F_a \) and \( F_0 \) are the fluorescence of Cy5 at 660 nm and Cy3 at 560 nm, respectively). The inset figure shows the linear relationship between \( F_a/F_0 \) and the miR-21 concentration in the range of 3 pM-30 pM.
8. Comparison of our microRNA-sensing system with reported ones

Table S3. Comparison of several RNA detection methods

| Method                        | Target   | Detection limit | Ref  |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------|------|
| qTDN-mediated HCR            | microRNA | 2.14 pM         | this work |
| Protein scaffolded DNA tetrads | microRNA | 6 pM            | (6)  |
| Nucleic acid molecular aggregates | microRNA | 10 pM           | (7)  |
| DNAzyme based amplification  | microRNA | 100 pM          | (8)  |
| Au@PDA NPs                   | microRNA | 10 nM           | (9)  |
| ATP-fueled DNA nanomachine   | microRNA | 100 pM          | (10) |
| FRET based DNA tetrahedron nanotweezer | mRNA | 330 pM          | (11) |
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