Production and quality of watermelon grown under seaweed extract
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Abstract

The use of biofertilizers with macroalgae base has become a viable alternative to conventionally used synthetic fertilizers. Among the advantages of using these extracts is the increase in productivity and improvements in the agronomic performance of the crop. Its use is allowed in organic agriculture as it is a natural product. The objective of this research was to evaluate the influence of the seaweed extract, Ascophyllum nodosum (L.) to the productive development and quality of watermelon plants. A randomized block design with 6 treatments and 4 replications was applied. The treatments comprised of full or divided doses (total of 3.0 ml L⁻¹) of seaweed extract (T1: producer standard; T2: (1.0 – 1.0 – 1.0 mL L⁻¹) applied 2 - 16 - 30 days after transplanting (d.a.t.); T3: (1.5 - 1.5 ml L⁻¹) 2 - 30 (d.a.t.); T4: (1.0 – 1.0 – 1.0 mL L⁻¹) 16 - 30 - 44 (d.a.t.); T5: (1.5 - 1.5 mL L⁻¹) 16 - 30 (d.a.t.) T6: (3.0 mL L⁻¹) 2 (d.a.t.). 100 mL of the prepared solution was used for each experiment. The application of Acadian® improved the yield of watermelon in the order of 12.69 to 27.76% and at different periods of the crop cycle.
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Introduction

Watermelon is one of the main olericulture species cultivated in Brazil, standing out as a product of great importance for the agribusiness of the country. It occupies the 8th position in the ranking of the most exported fruits in 2009yielding about $ 12.4 million, with 28,261.7 tons exported (IBRAF, 2014). The production in the country is distributed among the Northeast, South and North regions, being the first and main producer, responsible for over 34% of the national production. The states of Bahia (338,365 t), Pernambuco (103,615 t) and Rio Grande (76,872 t) are the largest states producers (IBGE, 2013).

In the state of Rio Grande do Norte, in the Mossoró-Assú region, the watermelon stands out as most produced and exported crop. It has become a routine agricultural practice for small, medium and large companies that send their production to large markets such as CEAGESP-SP and to the external markets (Torres, 2007).

Improving cultivation techniques or introducing new technologies can result in a better agronomic performance of a cultivated species. In this context, the techniques that can reduce the costs and maintain the ideal physiological and productive characteristics of watermelon has an extreme significance for the northeast region in Brazil, in which despite adequate edaphoclimatic characteristics for the crop development, it has high cost of agricultural inputs and lack of good crop traits (Andrade Júnior et al., 2006). The consumer market is increasingly demanding for healthier foods, free of pesticides and fertilizers. Therefore studies should be carried out to develop new technologies that reduce the use of agricultural inputs, and provide improvements to the physical, chemical and biological soil characteristics, in addition to maintaining a good production and quality of the fruits (Mesquita et al., 2007). In this context, use of macroalgae as a biofertilizer is a distinct alternative from chemical inputs.

The macroalgae has nutrients, amino acids, vitamins, cytokinins, auxins and abscisic acid (ABA) in their composition that act as plant development promoters (Stirk et al., 2003). Application of marine algae has direct influence on plant protection against phytopathogens, and also promotes the production of bioactive molecules capable to induce resistance in plants (Stadnik and Talamini, 2005). The species Ascophyllum nodosum (L.) Le Jolis is the most researched in the agriculture (Ugarte et al., 2006). The extract stimulates plant growth due to its rich composition in macro and micronutrients, carbohydrates, amino acids, and plant hormones specific to algae (Martins, 2006).
Commercial products based on *A. nodosum* (L.) seaweed extract, such as Acadian®, present 13.0 to 16.0% organic matter, 1.01% amino acids (alanine, aspartic and glutamic acid, glycine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, proline, tyrosine, tryptophan and valine), carbohydrates, and concentrations of nutrients N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S, B, Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn. They also present growth hormones (auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins, abscisic acid), resistance elicitors and micronutrient transport aids, which stimulate plant growth and improve fruit quality (Acadian®, 2009).

In several regions of the world, algaes have been used to increase productivity and food production, due to their beneficial effects, when applied to the crops. According to Mazzarino and Bortolossi (2010) the use of algae extract *A. nodosum* (L.) in cucumber significantly increased fruit uniformity and quantity. However, no significant difference was obtained for fruit weight, length and diameter among the tested treatments. Oliveira et al. (2011), applied the algae extract, *A. nodosum* (L.) on the production of yellow passion fruit seedlings and verified that dose of 4 mL L⁻¹ of the product Acadian®, *A. nodosum* (L.), increased the height and number of leaves per plant. Studies made by Oliari et al. (2013), proved that the use of algae extract applications in the dose of 6% of Acadian®, increased the productive and chemical aspects of the plum, cv. Pen 7, with higher ratio value which is an important characteristic in the fruit flavor.

The use of the extract of *A. nodosum* (L.) for commercial crops is highly demanded and more precise information needs to be generated. In this context, the objective of the present work was to evaluate the production and quality of watermelon treated with the commercial product of algae extract *A. nodosum* (L.), Acadian.

**Results and Discussion**

**Total number of fruits (NTF), Productivity (PROD) and Fresh fruit mass (MF)**

The effects of different intervals and application rates of the *A. nodosum* (L.) extract Acadian® were observed for the productivity variables only. For the number of fruits and fresh mass, effects were not observed when submitted to the Dunnet test at the 5% probability level (Table 1). However, the average mass verified for the watermelon fruits, Quetzali cultivar, is within the range considered suitable for commercialization in the foreign market, according to the seed company that affirm that the fruits can present fresh mass from 2.5 to 6 kg. The results of this research corroborate with those found by Martins et al. (2013) and Almeida et al. (2010), after application of biostimulants on Quetzali type watermelons, where obtained averages of 3.97 and 3.84 kg of fruit, respectively. The total fruit yield is measured by the relation between the number of fruits and fresh mass. The result can be influenced by factors related to genetic material and crop management mainly. The similarity of the average values for the productivity between the different treatments can be justified, since the number of fruits produced and the average weight of the fruits did not differ as to the different periods of application and fractionation of the doses. Robinson and Decker-Walters (1997) commented that in cucurbitaceae the productivity depends on both the total number of fruits and the size of the fruit. However, crop densification provides a higher number of fruits and a lower average weight due to competition pressures between plants. For this research, there is no variation regarding the density between plants and rows.

According to Costa and Grangeiro (2010), the average productivity of the watermelon crop in the Northeast is between 20 and 45 tons ha⁻¹. Thus, all treatments presented values of productivity within the range that comprises the regional average. Although T1, the producer’s standard, presented lower productive results than the other treatments, with increments ranging from 12.69 to 27.76%, when applied Acadian®. Costa et al. (2013), found that the average number of fruits for the same cultivar, Quetzali, was higher than the one found in this study (1650-2170 ha⁻¹ fruits). However, the average productivity was equivalent (55.47 tons ha⁻¹). This can be justified by the increase promoted by the application of Acadian®, since the extract of the algae *A. nodosum* (L.) promotes the development of fruits due to the increase in the availability of cytokinin, hormone related to the partition and mobilization of assimilates direction especially to these drains, when the plant is in the reproductive stage (Adam-Phillips et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2009). Nowadays, the extract of *A. nodosum* (L.) is known as a inducer of endogenous cytokinin synthesis in plants by regulating the expression of genes related to this hormone, which may influence several plant parameters (Khan et al., 2011). The average weight of the fruits, is directly related to the size of the fruit (Table 2).

**Peel and pulp thickness (EC and EP)**

The thickness found average in the study (1.84 cm) can be considered thin, according to Lima Neto et al. (2010), indicating greater need for care in packaging. Watermelon production system is predominantly made in bulk, requiring a shell thickness that supports the fruit handling (Silva et al., 2007). The increase in the thickness of the peel is a desirable characteristic from the commercial point of view, since it means a greater resistance of the fruits to mechanical damages and improvement in the post-harvest life. The increase in the exocarp constitutes a natural barrier due to the deposition of the cuticle, which can contribute to the control of moisture loss and provide mechanical resistance to the tissue (Neinhuis, 2005).

Regarding the thickness of the pulp, no effect of Acadian® application was observed, either in an integral or fractional dose. However, the small thickness of the peel observed in the studied cultivar (Quetzali) can reduce the margin of response to external factors, as biostimulant application. The results corroborate with what was proposed by Karnok (2000), when he states that according to the variable the use *A. nodosum* (L.) extract can result in positive or negative responses and may not even cause significant changes. Thus, plants grown in a favorable environment to its development present less pronounced effects, different from environment under stress conditions (Long, 2006). The effect of different intervals and application rates of Acadian® was verified only for the variables, pH, soluble solids, titratable acidity, and soluble solids ratio: titratable acidity. The same was observed for pulp firmness and total sugars when submitted to the Dunnet’s test at the 5% probability level (Table 3).
The exception for pulp firmness, 8.094 N, all the others average values found for physicochemical variables, are within the range considered adequate. From the physical aspects of the fruit, the firmness of the pulp is also one of the determining characteristics for fruit shelf life (Menezes et al., 2001). According to Araújo Neto, et al. (2000), the firmness of the pulp should vary between 9.00 and 16.00 N for watermelon to reach the European shelves with good postharvest conservation. Almeida et al. (2010) in studied Crimson Sweet and Quetzalli watermelon in Mossoro-Assu, and reported that the minimum firmness value should be between 12.6 N and 15 N at the time of harvest. Low firmness of the fruit pulp is related to the loss of membrane integrity of the mesocarp cells and to the degradation of the polymeric constituent molecules of the cell wall, such as cellulose, hemicellulose and pectin, which generate changes, leading to pulp softening (Pinto et al., 2010). Thus, products with biostimulant action, such as A. nodosum (L.) extracts, applied at the pre-harvest stage can influence the post-harvest characteristics, since they have compounds such as betaine. These are substances that can stabilize the cell membrane and increase the tolerance to stress avoiding the collapse caused by the loss of water from the cell (Silva, 2011; Castro et al., 2011).
**Hydrogen ionic potential (pH)**

For the hydrogenation potential (pH) only T5 (5.635) differed from the producer standard (5.780). Watermelon pH values similar to those found in this research can be verified on Lima Neto et al. (2010) (5.18-5.49) and Martins et al. (2013) (5.14 - 5.25) studies. From what was expected, there was no association of the highest titratable acidity with the lowest pH in the fruit pulp of both cultivars. However, the environmental factors, as well as the management and the degree of maturation at the time of harvest influenced the chemical composition of the watermelon. In general, fruits with higher titratable acidity also showed higher pH.

**Titratable acidity (TA)**

A different effect on the titratable acidity of the fruits was observed according to the application of biostimulant. The fruits from plants that received application of Acadian® presented different behavior for accumulation of acidity of the fruits, in which the titratable acidity presented higher on fruits from plants that did not receive an application or received in more spaced periods. This indicates that the application of this product stimulated the synthesis of organic acids in a differentiated way as a substance with cytokinin-like effect, which influence the physiology of the fruit (Souza Leão et al., 2005).

From the industrial point of view, Cavichioli et al. (2008) observed that the high titratable acidity content decreases the need for addition of acidifiers in passion fruit juice, fruit in which citric acid is also the most accumulated acid. According to Chitarra and Chitarra (2005), the buffer capacity of some juices allows large variations in titratable acidity to occur, with no appreciable variations in pH.

For Durigan and Mattiuz (2007), the minimum soluble solids content recommended for watermelon is 10%. On the other hand, these values are above the range (6.88 and 9.07%) found in watermelon by Almeida et al. (2010) and Ramos et al. (2009). However, the spatial distribution of the soluble solids content in the pulp is varied (Leão et al., 2006), being larger in the central region, with gradual reduction as it approaches the shell. In the present study, the soluble solids content was considered adequate (10.25%), even though it was determined in juice from the mixture of different parts of the pulp. Also in observation, the higher dose fractions promoted a reduction in soluble solids content.

**Soluble Solids / Titratable Acidity (SS / AT)**

The SS:AT ratio provides a good evaluation of the fruit flavor, being more representative than the measurement of sugars and acidity. Treatments 2 and 3 stood out with a higher value of the SS:AT ratio, presenting a statistical difference at the 5% probability level when compared to other treatments, including the producer’s standard (without application of Acadian®).

The results showed fruits with SS:AT ratio up to 82.390. High value of this ratio is an indication of excellent combination of sugars and acidity, characterizing soft-tasting fruits. This condition, however, can be confused in fruits with low levels of SS and AT, which will result in a high SS: AT ratio, but with flavor considered tasteless (Chitarra, 2005).

The SS: AT ratio values found in this study were above those presented by Grangeiro and Cecilio Filho (2004), evaluating the hybrid Tide (48.2 to 47.2), what can be explained by the low acidity presented in these two treatments, with 0.126 and 0.127 g of citric acid, 100 mL⁻¹ of juice. The probable lack of nutritional balance adjustments of the plants in the growing conditions of Mossoró, RN, can contribute to lower SS:AT ratio and, consequently, the fruit flavor.

**Materials and Methods**

The experiment was set up in melon production area, experimental field, obeying the experimental design in randomized blocks, with six treatments and four replicates (Table 4).

The treatments consisted of the application of the 3.0 mL L⁻¹ dose of Ascyphillum nodosum (L.) extract implemented in complete or fractionated doses as a function of periods of application. The treatments were defined as follows: T1: Producer standard or control treatment, which corresponded to the manner in which the product was administered on the farm, where the study was performed.

T2: application performed at 2 - 16 - 30 days after transplantation (d.a.t.) with fractionated dose in applications of 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 mL L⁻¹; T3: application performed at 2 - 30 (d.a.t.) with fractionated dose in applications of 1.5 - 1.5 mL L⁻¹; T4: application performed at 16 - 30 - 44 (d.a.t.) with fractionated dose in applications of 1.0 - 1.0 - 1.0 mL L⁻¹; T5: application performed at 16 - 30 (d.a.t.) with fractionated dose in applications of 1.5 - 1.5 mL L⁻¹ and T6: application at 2 (d.a.t.) with application of full dose of 3.0 mL L⁻¹.

The spacing used was 2.0 x 0.40 m, with each 10 plants by parcel. The experimental area presented a total of 96.0 linear meters².

Watermelon seeds Quetzali type were seeded in expanded polystyrene trays with 128 cells and supplemented with Pole® organic compound.

Seedlings were transplanted to the field after eight days of sowing. The transplant was carried out under double-sided "mulch" plastic cover, with the upper side white and black inside. After transplantation, the seedlings were covered with a non-woven cloth, with a grammage of 15g / m², remaining until for 28 days.

For the experiment, all the management practices and usual cultural practices for the cultivation of the crop in Rio Grande do Norte were adopted, with soil preparation, plowing and harrowing, followed by row routing, spaced by 2.0 m and 20 cm of depth approximately.

The irrigation system used was high frequency (drip), with emitters spaced 0.40 m apart, with a pressure range of 1.5 kgf cm⁻² and 3.5 L h⁻¹ flow, specified by the manufacturer. The irrigation shift was carried out daily according to the need of the crop for the region.

Harvest time was carried out at 75 days after sowing, and also for each parcel the number of fruits was counted, using the standard market size. Two fruits of each plot were collected for analysis, being eight fruits per treatment.

On the same day of harvest, watermelon fruits were evaluated for the following physical characteristics: total number of fruits per parcel (NTF); Fruit type (TF); Productivity (PROD) (t ha⁻¹); Fresh fruit weight (MFF) (g); Fruit length (CF) (cm); Fruit diameter (DF) (cm); Peel thickness (EC) (cm); Pulp thickness (EP) (cm) and firmness of the pulp (FP). Edible fraction (pulp) was removed from the fruit with a stainless steel knife, which was homogenized in a blender and placed in 50 ml falcon tubes to perform the chemical evaluations: soluble solids content (TSS) ; Titratable acidity (TA); Hydrogenation potential (pH) and total sugars (ACT).
Total number of fruits (NTF)

This value was obtained by counting the number of fruits from the useful area of the plot, being converted to number of fruits per hectare.

Productivity (PROD)

This value was obtained by weighing the commercial fruits of the useful area from each treatment, considering the commercial quality standards fruits, generating a estimative of yield in t ha⁻¹.

Fresh fruit mass (MF)

This characteristic was determined by the individual weighing of eight fruits / treatment with a precision scale, with the results expressed in gram (g).

Peel and pulp thickness (EC and EP)

The thickness of the pulp was obtained by dividing the fruit lengthwise into two parts, from which the endocarp thickness was measured on each side with a digital caliper. The mean value for eight fruits per treatment was obtained. The thickness of the peel was measured by measuring the distance between the epicarp (shell) and the mesocarp, using a digital caliper, determined in eight fruits / treatment. All results determined in centimeters (cm).

Firmness of the pulp (FP)

In order to obtain pulp firmness data, the fruits were cut longitudinally, and equidistant reading was performed in each of the equatorial half of the melon fruits (two readings per fruit). Eight fruits per treatment were used. A penetrometer Fruit Pressure Tester TR type FT 327 (3-27 Lbs.) was used, with a conical tip probe of eight (8) mm diameter. The results obtained in pounds were converted to Newton (N), multiplying the value by the conversion factor 4.45 (Gomes Júnior et al., 2001).

Hydrogen ionic potential (pH)

The pH was determined with a Tecnopon pHmeter, model mPA-210, with automatic temperature adjustment, duly standardized with buffer solutions pH 7.0 and pH 4.0. Aliquots of 5 g of the fruit extract were diluted in 50 mL of distilled water. The measured data were expressed in real pH values (AOAC, 2002).

Soluble solids content (SS)

Solvent solids contents were obtained using a digital refractometer model IPDBR45, with automatic temperature compensation (0 to 45% scale), and two juice samples were evaluated per repetition. The juice was obtained as described in item 2.3. The results are expressed as a percentage (%).

Titratable acidity (TA)

This variable was determined in duplicate, obtained by titration of the melon juice where a solution of 0.1 N NaOH was added, according to the methodology of the Adolfo Lutz Institute (1985). The results were expressed as percentage (%) of citric acid.

Soluble Solids / Titratable Acidity (SS / AT)

The SS/AT ratio was obtained by the quotient between the values of soluble solids and titratable acidity, and the results were expressed as a percentage (%).

Total sugars (ACT)

Sugar was determined by the method of Antrona (C4H10O), according to Yemm and Willis (1954). The extract was obtained through aliquots of 0.5 g of each sample, diluted in a 100 mL volumetric flask, and then filtered in qualitative Whatman paper No. 1. Subsequently, a 50 μL aliquot of the extract and 95 μL of distilled water were taken and placed in a test tube. The tubes were placed in an ice bath and the anthrone reagent was added to be agitated and brought to boiling water bath for 8 minutes and then cooled in ice water to room temperature. The reading was realized in a spectrophotometer at 620 nm. The concentration readings were done in triplicates, from which the average between them was extracted. The results were expressed as g / 100 g of watermelon pulp.

Statistical analysis of data

The data obtained in this experiment were submitted to analysis of variance for the characteristics evaluated using statistical software ASSISTAT, version 7.7 Beta (Silva and Azevedo, 2009). In the cases where the treatment data presented significant differences, the F test was applied to the 5% probability level. The mean test was used to compare the means, at the 5% probability level.

Conclusion

Acadian® seed treatment and the application of 3 and L⁻¹ doses were efficient in the production of watermelon seedlings. The watermelon plants submitted to applications at intervals 7, 10 and 14 days were superior to those that did not receive application, independently of the seed treatment. Acadian® application during different crop cycle periods under different dose fractions, promoted increases in fruit yield in the order of 12.69 to 27.76%. The application of Acadian® promoted significant differences in the physicochemical variables evaluated. Presenting different behavior observed among different doses fractions.
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