Chemotherapy is a risk factor of lymphopenia before adjuvant radiotherapy in breast cancer
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Abstract

Background: Lymphopenia can decrease immune function of the host and is a known risk factor for poor prognosis in malignant tumors. Radiation induced lymphopenia was common in patients with breast cancer and was also reported to have a negative effect on long-term outcome.

Aims: Lymphopenia may be associated with baseline immune status before radiotherapy (RT). This study aimed to explore the rate and risk factors of lymphopenia before start of the adjuvant RT in patients with breast cancer.

Methods: Patients with invasive breast cancer treated from March 2015 to February 2020 and with peripheral lymphocyte counts (PLC) available within 7 days from the beginning of RT were eligible for this study. Data were presented as mean and 95% confidence interval unless otherwise specified. The risk factors of low PLC before RT were identified using univariate and multivariable linear regressions.

Results: A total of 1012 consecutive patients met the study criteria. The mean PLC before RT commencement was 1.58*10^9/L (95%CI: 1.55–1.62*10^9/L) with 15.2% (95%CI: 13.1–17.6%) CTCAE defined lymphopenia, rendering 12.3%, 2.6%, 0.3%, and 0% for grade 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. Univariate and multivariable linear regression showed prior chemotherapy was the most significant risk factor (p < .001) for low PLC, while age, menopausal status and lymph node stage were not (all ps > .05). A total of 912 (90.1%, 95%CI: 88.1–91.9%) patients had chemotherapy before adjuvant RT in this study. In patients with HR+ /HER2- breast cancer, 69.0% (95%CI: 63.0–74.5%) N0 and 98.1% (95%CI: 95.1–99.5%) N1 had also received chemotherapy.

Conclusions: Patients with breast cancer might have lymphopenia from prior chemotherapy at the start of adjuvant RT which could have negative effect on long-term outcome. It is also noted that most of the patients with HR+ /HER2-, early-stage breast cancer were treated with aggressive chemotherapy without knowing the risk of chemotherapy induced lymphopenia. Future study on predictive or prognostic
Lymphopenia was found in about 20% of advanced breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, lymphoma and other malignant tumors, while only in 3% of early stage cancers. Meanwhile, various studies had reported a significant association between lymphopenia and poor prognosis in patients with various types of malignant tumors. Indeed, lymphocyte is considered as one of major immunoactive elements which inhibit tumor cell growth.

Lymphocyte also plays an important role for the tumor control effect of immunotherapy including immunocheckpoint inhibitors in malignant tumors and patients with high peripheral lymphocyte count (PLC) had higher immune response rate. Immunotherapy as an important strategy of precision therapy, has been widely used in melanoma, lung cancer, head and neck cancers, breast cancer and other malignant tumors in recent years. However, only about 20% patients with malignant tumors can benefit from immunotherapy. Most patients have primary or acquired resistance to immunotherapy. It is believed that lymphopenia and deficiency of functional lymphocyte subsets contribute to the resistance of immunocheckpoint inhibitors. PLC, CD8 + T lymphocyte infiltration, and the expression of immunocheckpoint inhibitors and inhibitor conjugates may be potential markers to predict the efficacy of immunotherapy. Therefore, in spite of the peripheral white blood cells (WBC), platelets and neutrophils which are related to the toxicity of anti-tumor therapy, it is also essential to pay attention to PLC before and during the course of treatment. Studying the risk factors of lymphopenia or low PLC has a clinical significance.

Radiation induced lymphopenia was also reported to be a potential risk factor for poor survival in breast cancer and several other malignant tumors and RIL was common in patients with breast cancer with approximately 50% patients had lymphopenia after radiotherapy (RT) in Sun's study. We recently reported that 60.5% patients had lymphopenia after RT, and baseline lymphocyte counts and radiation dosimetric factors to the immune system in radiation field might have contribute to RIL. This study aimed to preliminarily explore the rate and risk factors of lymphopenia before adjuvant RT in patients with breast cancer, so as to provide a basis for subsequent study of RIL.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The immune escape of malignant cells and lack of immune biomarkers such as lymphocyte are associated with the development, metastasis and recurrence of malignant tumors. One meta-analysis showed patients with HIV infection and immunodeficiency after transplantation had higher incidence of malignant tumors. Lymphopenia was found in about 20% of advanced breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, lymphoma and other malignant tumors, while only in 3% of early stage cancers. Meanwhile, various studies had reported a significant association between lymphopenia and poor prognosis in patients with various types of malignant tumors. Indeed, lymphocyte is considered as one of major immunoactive elements which inhibit tumor cell growth.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study population

Patients with pathology confirmed invasive breast cancer, aged 18-year old and above who received adjuvant RT between March 2015 to February 2020 in the University of Hong Kong-Shenzhen Hospital formed the original study population. Other eligibility criteria included PLC within 7 days from the commencement of RT in this same hospital. Exclusion criteria: stage 0 (DICS), stage IV or recurrent breast cancer, accompanied with immune related diseases.

2.2 | Data collection

Patient factors (e.g., age, menopausal status), tumor factors (e.g., ER/PR/HER2 subtype, N stage, stage), treatment related parameters (e.g., chemotherapy, anti-HER2 target therapy and surgical approach) and PLC within 7 days from the beginning of RT were retrospectively collected. Modified N stage and modified stage were applied in this study for patients received neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT). Modified (N) stage used the higher (N) stage between clinic (N) stage and pathological (N) stage for patients who had received NACT, and used pathological (N) stage for patients who did not receive NACT. According to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 5.0, lymphopenia was classified using PLC cut-off of lower limits of normal (LLN)-0.8*10^9, 0.8–0.5*10^9, 0.5–0.2*10^9 and 0.2*10^9/L, for grade 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. LLN of lymphocyte count was 1.06*10^9/L in our institution and PLC less than 1.06*10^9/L was defined as lymphopenia in this study.

2.3 | Statistical considerations

The primary endpoint of this study was PLC before RT, which was a surrogate endpoint for lymphopenia before RT. The effects of potential risk factors such as age, menopausal status, stage, ER/PR/HER2 subtype, chemotherapy, anti-HER2 target therapy and surgical approach were estimated using univariate analysis initially and those significant (p < .05) in univariate linear analysis were further evaluated by multivariable regression. To avoid the unstable and imprecise estimates of the coefficients, the potential presence of collinearity was assessed using variance inflation factor (VIF) <10 and some of the highly correlated variables with VIF >10 were excluded in the final
multivariable regression analysis. Data were presented as mean (95% CI) unless otherwise specified. \( p \)-value (\( p \)) less than .05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using R software (version 3.6.2; https://www.R-project.org).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Characteristics of patients and prior treatment

Between March 2015 to February 2020, a total of 1559 patients with breast cancer received adjuvant RT in the University of Hong Kong-Shenzhen Hospital. A total of 1012 patients were eligible for this study (Figure 1). The median age was 45 years (range 26–86) with most of the patients being premenopausal (75.3%). The rates of patients with HR-positive/HER2-negative (HR\(^{-}+/\)HER2\(^{-}\)), HER2-positive (HER2\(^{+}\)) and triple-negative were 60%, 25.6% and 14.4%, respectively. Nineteen hundred and twelve (90.1%, 95%CI: 88.1%–91.9%) patients received chemotherapy before adjuvant RT (Table 1).

3.2 | Lymphopenia before adjuvant radiotherapy

The mean PLC before adjuvant RT was \( 1.58 \times 10^{9}/L \) (95%CI: 1.55–1.62\( \times 10^{9}/L \)) and mean WBC was \( 5.70 \times 10^{9}/L \) (95%CI: 5.54–5.85\( \times 10^{9}/L \)) in this study. One hundred and fifty-four patients (15.2%, 95%CI: 13.1%–17.6%) had lymphopenia, rendering 12.3%, 2.6%, 0.3%, and 0% for grade 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Among the 154 patients with lymphopenia, 16.9% (26/154) patients were triple-negative, 64.3% (99/154) were HR\(^{-}+/\)HER2\(^{-}\) and 18.8% (29/154) were HER2\(^{+}\) subtype.

The mean time from last chemotherapy to the initiation of RT was 42 days (95%CI: 40, 44) in the 912/1012 (90.1%, 95%CI: 88.1%–91.9%) patients who had received chemotherapy and 32 days (95%CI: 31, 34) in the 747/1012 (73.8%, 95%CI: 71.0%–76.5%) patients who had received adjuvant chemotherapy. The mean time from surgery to the initiation of RT was 161 days (95%CI: 157, 164) in 1012 patients and 20 days (95%CI: 18, 23) in the 100/1012 (9.9%, 95%CI: 8.1%–11.9%) patients who had not received chemotherapy.

3.3 | Univariate and multivariable linear regressions of risk factors for peripheral lymphocyte counts (PLC) before RT

As shown on Table 1, under univariate linear regression, prior chemotherapy, the usage of taxanes and anthracyclines regimen, chemotherapy strategy, time interval between last chemotherapy and the start of RT, age, menopausal status and modified N stage were significantly associated with PLC before RT (\( ps < .05 \)), while ER status, PR status, HER-2 status, subtype, surgical approaches, endocrine therapy and anti HER-2 target therapy were not. To avoid the unstable and imprecise estimates of the coefficients, some of the highly correlated variables such as chemotherapy regimens, chemotherapy strategy, time interval between last chemotherapy and the start of RT and modified stage were excluded in the final multivariable regression. Multivariable linear regression showed chemotherapy was the significant risk factor.
| TABLE 1 | Univariate and multivariable regression analysis of peripheral lymphocyte counts (PLC) before radiotherapy |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Patients | Univariate analysis | | Multivariable analysis |
| | n (%) | Coefficient (95%CI) | p value | Coefficient (95%CI) | p value |
| Age | Median (range) — year | 45 (26–86) | 0.007 (0.004, 0.011) | <.001 | 0.003 (−0.002, 0.007) | .270 |
| Menopausal status — no. (%) | | | | | |
| Premenopausal | 762 (75.3%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| Postmenopausal | 250 (24.7%) | 0.146 (0.068, 0.223) | <.001 | 0.036 (−0.019, 0.090) | .199 |
| Modified N stage— no. (%) | | | | | |
| N0 | 394 (38.9%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| N1 | 365 (36.1%) | −0.068 (−0.145, 0.009) | .086 | |
| N2 | 148 (14.6%) | −0.074 (−0.177, 0.028) | .155 | |
| N3 | 105 (10.4%) | −0.099 (−0.215, 0.018) | .098 | |
| Modified stage— no. (%) | | | | | |
| I (IA/IB) | 261 (25.8%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| II (IIB/III) | 465 (45.9%) | −0.056 (−0.138, 0.027) | .186 | |
| III (IIIA/IIIB/IIIC) | 286 (28.3%) | −0.092 (−0.183, −0.001) | .048 | |
| ER— no. (%) | | | | | |
| Negative | 266 (26.3%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| Positive | 746 (73.7%) | 0.016 (−0.06, 0.092) | .673 | |
| PR— no. (%) | | | | | |
| Negative | 335 (33.1%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| Positive | 687 (66.9%) | 0.011 (−0.06, 0.082) | .758 | |
| HER2— no. (%) | | | | | |
| Negative | 761 (75.2%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| Positive | 251 (24.8%) | 0.037 (−0.041, 0.114) | .354 | |
| Subtype— no. (%) | | | | | |
| HR+/HER2- | 607 (60.0%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| HER2+/HR- | 101 (10.0%) | 0.119 (0.001, 0.236) | .049 | |
| HER2+/HR+ | 158 (15.6%) | −0.001 (−0.094, 0.092) | .979 | |
| HR-/HER2- | 146 (14.4%) | −0.071 (−0.169, 0.027) | .155 | |
| Surgical approaches (Breast)— no. (%) | | | | | |
| Breast conserving therapy | 503 (49.7%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| Mastectomy | 509 (50.3%) | −0.026 (−0.093, 0.041) | .447 | |
| Surgical approaches (Axillary)— no. (%) | | | | | |
| Sentinel lymph node biopsy only | 376 (37.2%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| Axillary lymph node dissection | 636 (62.8%) | −0.061 (−0.130, 0.008) | .085 | |
| Margin— no. (%) | | | | | |
| Clear | 966 (95.5%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| Close or positive | 46 (4.5%) | 0.012 (−0.148, 0.173) | .882 | |
| Chemotherapy— no. (%) | | | | | |
| None | 100 (9.9%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| Yes | 912 (90.1%) | −0.485 (−0.593, −0.377) | <.001 | −0.474 (−0.590, −0.357) | <.001 | |
| Chemotherapy strategy— no. (%) | | | | | |
| None | 100 (9.9%) | 0 | | 0 | |
| Neoadjuvant | 165 (16.3%) | −0.383 (−0.511, −0.255) | <.001 | | |
for low PLC before RT (p < .001) controlling the effects of age, menopausal status and modified N stage.

3.4 | Detailed application of chemotherapy before adjuvant RT in breast cancer

The 1012 patients with breast cancer in this study had surgery and systemic therapy in several hospitals in a southern city of China and received RT in our institution. A total of 90.1% (95%CI: 88.1%–91.9%) patients received chemotherapy before adjuvant RT: 70.9% (95%CI: 68.0%–73.7%), 16.3% (95%CI: 14.1%–18.7%), and 2.9% (95%CI: 1.9%–4.1%) patients had adjuvant chemotherapy, neoadjuvant chemotherapy and neoadjuvant combined with adjuvant chemotherapy, respectively. Most patients (61.8%, 95%CI: 58.8%–64.9%) were treated with combination chemotherapy with taxanes and anthracycline (Table 1).

As shown on Figure 2, vast majority of patients with aggressive breast cancer had chemotherapy, as expected. In 259 patients with HER2-positive and 146 patients with triple negative subtypes, the rates of chemotherapy were 96.5% (95%CI: 93.5%–98.4%) and 97.9% (95%CI: 94.1%–99.6%), respectively. In 139 patients with HR+/HER2- locally advanced (N2-3) breast cancer, 97.8% (95%CI: 93.8%–99.6%) patients received chemotherapy. In 607 patients with HR+/HER2-, 62.8% (95%CI: 55.6%–69.7%) patients with stage I had chemotherapy. In addition, 69.0% (180/261, 95%CI: 63.0%–74.5%) modified N0 and 98.1% (203/207, 95%CI: 95.1%–99.5%) modified N1 patients with HR+/HER2-, early-stage breast cancer received chemotherapy (Figure 2). Chemotherapy was a significant factor for lymphopenia in this subgroup as well (p < .001).

Time interval between last chemotherapy and the start of RT was a significant risk factor for low PLC in all patients treated with various regimens of chemotherapy in univariate linear regression (Table 1). As Figure 3 shown, there appeared to have a positive linear relationship between time interval of last chemotherapy to the start of RT and PLC in the 747 patients who had received adjuvant chemotherapy.


4 | DISCUSSION

This study of 1012 patients demonstrated that 15.2% patients with breast cancer had lymphopenia before the commencement of adjuvant RT, at the time when the bone marrow suppression of previous chemotherapy and inflammatory response of surgery were considered to have recovered according to traditional assessment of the neutrophils and platelets. This study showed prior chemotherapy was the significant risk factor for low PLC before RT, which was consistent with previous report of Sage EK’s study. The benefit of chemotherapy in aggressive type of breast cancer is known, thus the vast majority of these patients had received chemotherapy before adjuvant RT. As unexpected, most patients with HR+/HER2-, early-stage breast cancer were also treated with aggressive chemotherapy in this study while chemotherapy is considered to have low clinical benefits in this population. 

The most common chemotherapy regimens for breast cancer are anthracycline, taxane and cyclophosphamide. Ménétrier-Caux et al had reported cyclophosphamide, cisplatin, methotrexate and taxane were the strongest chemotherapeutic agents that induced lymphocyte deficiency. Kotsakis et al also found taxane can induce lymphocytosis in solid tumors. Among the 912 patients who had received chemotherapy in this study, 97% patients had received taxane combined chemotherapy. In the meanwhile, 73.2% patients had received anthracycline combined chemotherapy which was also reported to cause lymphocytosis in patients with breast cancer. Since chemotherapy can induce lymphopenia which was associated with poor long-term survival in patients with breast cancer and cause other toxicity (e.g., bone marrow suppression, gastrointestinal adverse events), we should avoid unnecessary aggressive chemotherapy in low risk patients with extremely low clinical benefits and start more effective adjuvant endocrine therapy and radiotherapy without delay.

However, most of our patients with HR+/HER2-, early-stage breast cancer (69.0% N0 and 98.1% N1) had received chemotherapy in this study, which may due to the inadequate application of Oncotype Dx, EndoPredict, Mammaprint and other gene expression assays in real-world practice of southern China. Physicians tended to routinely give aggressive chemotherapy while the evidence of confirmed low risk by multigene assays was absent. 69.0% patients with HR+/HER2-, node-negative breast cancer were treated with chemotherapy in this study while only 13.5% patients of this group were high risk who were in true need of chemotherapy by Oncotype DX. Oncotype DX is the preferred validated multigene assays to predict the benefits of chemotherapy in HR+/HER2-, node-negative breast cancer, as well as in HR+/HER2- limited node-positive (N1) breast cancer. Since Oncotype DX is not available in China, 70-gene assay of Mammaprint is another category 1 option to identify the low risk patients who can omit chemotherapy without a detrimental effect. In OPTIMA prelim trial which compared multiparameter tests in patients with ER+/HER2+, early-stage breast cancer, the rate of low-risk categorized by Mammaprint and Oncotype DX was 61.4% and 54.2%, respectively. In MINADCT study (21% N1 and 79% node negative respectively), 49% patients of HR+/HER2- breast cancer were low risk by both of clinical and genomic results, and additionally 46% patients with high clinic risk were low risk by Mammaprint who could omit chemotherapy at the very beginning. Therefore, predictive or prognostic multigene assays should be more applied in clinic practice in patients with HR+/HER2-, early-stage breast cancer. With the affirmed low risk by quantized genomic results, physicians could be more confident to omit unnecessary chemotherapy in these cases as NCCN recommended and the risk of lymphopenia in this low risk population might be reduced.

As for high risk patients with locally advanced breast cancer or aggressive subtypes such as triple negative breast cancer (TNBC), it is difficult to omit chemotherapy with the irreplaceable cytotoxic effect and survival benefits in these cases in spite of the possibility of chemotherapy induced lymphopenia. TNBC is considered to be immunogenic with higher PD-L1 mRNA expression and CD8+ T cell.
infiltration. Keynote522 study demonstrated that neoadjuvant immunocombined chemotherapy could improve the pathological complete response rate of locally advanced TNBC. The direct tumor cytotoxicity of chemotherapy was reported to increase immunogenic of dead cancer cells and inhibit over-activation of immunosuppression T cells (e.g., Treg cells). However, it is not clear whether the effect of immunocombined chemotherapy be weakened by synchronous lymphopenia induced by chemotherapy since patients with lower PLC had lower immune response rate. Further study is needed to explore the influence of treatment related lymphopenia in patients with TNBC receiving immunocombined chemotherapy. Another important question is timing of RT start, what is the best interval. From PLC point of view, PLC before RT was higher as the time interval between last chemotherapy and the start of RT prolonged. In clinic practice, physicians used to perform RT as the neutropenia from prior chemotherapy recovers. Should PLC and lymphopenia be included in our consideration as well? Further study is needed to investigate the proper timing of RT start in patients with breast cancer receiving adjuvant chemotherapy and subsequent RT.

This study has some limitations: (1) This is an exploring study of lymphopenia before RT without detailed investigation on lymphocyte subsets and immune biomarkers; (2) This is a retrospective study which carries the flaws of such studies; (3) Most patients had received combined chemotherapy with anthracycline and taxane and it was difficult to test the effects of each specific chemotherapy drug on lymphopenia. Further studies are needed to explore the change of detailed immune biomarkers by chemotherapy before RT and the subsequent impact on radiation induced lymphopenia in patients with breast cancer.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

This study of 1012 patients with breast cancer demonstrated that patients might have lymphopenia before RT which was associated with prior chemotherapy. Since lymphopenia has a negative effect on long-term survival, further study on predictive or prognostic multigene assays for risk stratification and personalized decision of chemotherapy may help avoid unnecessary chemotherapy and reduce chemotherapy induced lymphopenia in patients with low risk breast cancer.
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