LAMOST/HRS spectroscopic analysis of two new Li-rich giants
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Abstract Two Li-rich candidates, TYC 1338–1410–1 and TYC 2825–596–1, were observed by the new high-resolution echelle spectrograph, LAMOST/HRS. Based on their high-resolution and high-signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) spectra, we derived stellar parameters and abundances of 14 important elements for the two candidates. The stellar parameters and lithium abundances indicate that they are Li-rich K-type giants, and having A(Li)NLTE of 1.77 and 2.91 dex, respectively. Our analysis suggests that TYC 1338–1410–1 is probably a red giant branch (RGB) star at the bump stage, while TYC 2825–596–1 is most likely to be a core helium-burning red clump (RC) star. The line profiles of both spectra indicate that the two Li-rich giants are slow rotators and do not show infrared (IR) excess. We conclude that engulfment is not the lithium enrichment mechanism for either star. The enriched lithium of TYC 1338–1410–1 could be created via the Cameron-Fowler mechanism, while the lithium excess in TYC 2825–596–1 could be associated with either non-canonical mixing processes or He-flash.
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1 INTRODUCTION

It is expected that the surface abundance of lithium in a K giant will be low because its surface lithium is diluted during stellar evolution from the main sequence to the red giant branch (RGB, Brown et al. 1989; Charbonnel & Balachandran 2000). During the first dredge-up (FDU) process, surface materials, including lithium, are transported to the interior regions, where lithium is depleted due to the interior’s high temperature. Standard models predict that the lithium abundance A(Li)1 in a low-mass solar metallicity star is no more than ∼1.5 dex after the FDU process (Charbonnel & Lagarde 2010; Charbonnel et al. 2020). However, observations have revealed that some giants have lithium abundances higher than 1.5 dex during the past three decades (e.g., Brown et al. 1989; Monaco et al. 2011; Kumar et al. 2011; Kirby et al. 2012; Martell & Shetrone 2013; Adamów et al. 2014; Casey et al. 2016), and a few have lithium abundances even higher than that (∼3.3 dex2) of the interstellar medium (ISM) (e.g., da Silva et al. 1995; Balachandran et al. 2000; Reddy & Lambert 2005).
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1 A(Li) = log[n(Li)/n(H)] + 12
2 3.3 dex is the A(Li) in meteorites (CI carbonaceous chondrites, Asplund et al. 2009) and therefore is inferred as the ISM lithium abundance at the solar metallicity.
Martell & Shetrone 2013; Zhou et al. 2018; Yan et al. 2018). Brown et al. (1989) found that about 1% of giants show surface lithium enrichment based on a sample of 644 field giants. Most recently, Gao et al. (2019) showed that nearly 1.29% of giants exhibit lithium excess based on a sample of more than 814,000 giants selected from the Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopy Telescope (LAMOST) survey.

Various scenarios have been conceived aiming at expounding “anomalous” Li-rich phenomena in giants. The external mechanisms include pollution by planet-s/brown dwarfs (e.g., Alexander 1967; Brown et al. 1989; Gratton & D’Antona 1989), nova ejecta (e.g., Martin et al. 1994) and mass transfer from stellar companions (e.g., Kirby et al. 2016). Proposed internal processes are mostly related to fresh lithium (e.g., Sackmann & Boothroyd 1999; Charbonnel & Balachandran 2000) produced via the Cameron-Fowler mechanism (Cameron & Fowler 1971).

The detailed information of Li-rich giants can help us better understand how and where lithium nucleosynthesis be triggered, and can constrain the lithium evolution history in our Galaxy. Therefore, the Li-rich objects have attracted the attention of researchers since the first source was confirmed. Obviously, it is very helpful to identify more Li-rich samples for a better understanding of their enrichment mechanisms. Since its pilot survey (Cui et al. 2012; Zhao et al. 2012), LAMOST has obtained more than 10 million low-resolution spectra. There is no doubt that such a massive dataset will serve as a great resource for seeking out Li-rich candidates (e.g., Li et al. 2018; Yan et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2018, 2019; Singh et al. 2019b; Gao et al. 2019). High-resolution spectral data are needed to confirm whether candidates are real Li-rich stars. Recently, a high-resolution spectrograph, LAMOST/HRS, has been commissioned. This instrument is a single-object and fiber-fed spectrograph with a resolution power of $R \sim 30,000$, and its wavelength coverage is from 3800 to 7300 Å. It is fed by one of the thirty-five fibers distributed at different positions in LAMOST’s field-of-view of 5 degrees in diameter. LAMOST/HRS provides the flexible functionality to perform high-resolution observations parallel to low/medium spectra surveys. This will enable us to obtain high-resolution and high-signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) spectra of Li-rich giants, so as to better understand the lithium evolutionary history, together with the parallactic information from Gaia (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018).

In this study, we analyze the spectra of two Li-rich candidates taken with LAMOST/HRS. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the observations and data reductions of our sample stars. Stellar parameters, abundances, and evolutionary statuses are determined in Section 3. In Section 4, we discuss possible mechanisms of lithium enhancement for the two stars. A brief summary is presented in the last section.

### Table 1 Stellar Key Information

|                      | TYC 1338–1 | TYC 2825–596–1 |
|----------------------|------------|----------------|
| RA                   | 6:45:28.27 | 1:29:58.27     |
| Dec.                 | +19:07:35.00 | +46:45:36.57   |
| $V$ (mag)            | 9.34 ± 0.02 | 9.82 ± 0.03    |
| $K$ (mag)            | 6.25 ± 0.04 | 7.05 ± 0.02    |
| Gaia DR2 ID          | 3359663576603514240 | 39701643561325312 |
| Parallax (mas)       | 2.82 ± 0.07 | 1.19 ± 0.06    |
| Mass ($M_\odot$)     | 1.18 ± 0.05 | 1.58 ± 0.05    |
| $T_{\text{eff}}, V_{\sin i}$ (K) | 4471 ± 100 | 4552 ± 100 |
| log $g_{\text{exo}}$ (dex) | 2.52 ± 0.20 | 2.18 ± 0.20 |
| log($L/L_\odot$)     | 1.62 ± 0.30 | 2.08 ± 0.30    |
| $T_{\text{eff}}$ (K) | 4652 ± 80   | 4631 ± 80      |
| log $g$ (dex)        | 2.37 ± 0.20 | 2.15 ± 0.20    |
| [Fe/H] (dex)         | -0.10 ± 0.10 | -0.20 ± 0.10  |
| $\xi_t$ (km s$^{-1}$) | 1.40 ± 0.20 | 1.40 ± 0.20    |
| $\sin i$ (km s$^{-1}$)  | 2.27 ± 0.31 | 1.85 ± 0.40    |

The $V/K$ magnitudes come from VizieR. The stellar masses are estimated from stellar evolutionary tracks with corresponding stellar metallicity.

### 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

A newly developed high-resolution spectrograph, LAMOST/HRS, has recently been commissioned. We select two Li-rich candidates, TYC 1338–1410–1 and TYC 2825–596–1, from low-resolution ($R \sim 2000$) spectra of the LAMOST DR5. The two candidates are selected by template matching of the lithium resonance line at 6708 Å as described in Gao et al. (2019).

The followup observations were conducted in November 2018 with LAMOST/HRS at the Xinglong Observatory (China). Six 30-minute exposures were obtained for TYC 1338–1410–1, and five for TYC 2825–596–1 during two bright nights. The coordinates of the two stars, along with their other properties, are given in Table 1. The two candidates are real Li-rich stars. A brief summary is presented in the last section.

### 3 DATA ANALYSES

#### 3.1 Stellar Parameters

The stellar parameters (effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$, surface gravity log $g$, metallicity [Fe/H] and microturbulent velocity $\xi_t$) of the two stars are derived via a spectroscopic
Fig. 1 Sample spectra for the two stars. The dashed line indicates the lithium resonance line $\lambda 6708$ Å.

To cross check the stellar parameters determined via the spectroscopic method, we also derive photometric effective temperatures and surface gravities for both sample stars. The photometric data of the targets are collected from the fourth U.S. Naval Observatory CCD Astrograph Catalog (UCAC4, Zacharias et al. 2013) on the VizieR4 website. The photometric effective temperatures are obtained by using the calibration from Alonso et al. (1999). Their method is based on empirical relations, and slightly large uncertainties are found for objects of temperatures lower than 4000 K and higher than 5500 K compared with the theoretical calibrations. Thus, this calibration is relatively suitable for the two stars in this work. The photometric effective temperatures are 4471 K and 4552 K for TYC 1338–1410–1 and TYC 2825–596–1, respectively. The difference between spectroscopic and photometric effective temperatures is 79 K for TYC 2825–596–1 and 181 K for TYC 1338–1410–1. With the parallaxes taken from Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018), we derive the photometric surface gravities for our sample stars using the formula:

$$\log g = \log g_\odot + \log \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right) + 4 \log \left( \frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{T_{\text{eff} \odot}} \right) + 0.4(M_{\text{bol}} - M_{\text{bol} \odot})$$

(1)

Here, $M$ and $M_\odot$ are the stellar and solar masses, respectively. The stellar mass is estimated based on the position of a star in the Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram with corresponding metallicity ($Z$) and masses of theoretical stellar evolution tracks. The theoretical stellar evolution tracks are taken from the Padova and TRieste Stellar Evolution Code (PARSEC5, Bressan et al. 2012, 2013). $M_{\text{bol}}$ and $M_{\text{bol} \odot}$ denote stellar and solar absolute $V$ bolometric magnitudes, respectively. The adopted solar values are $\log g_\odot = 4.44$, $T_{\text{eff} \odot} = 5777$ K, $M_{\text{bol} \odot} = 4.74$ mag. The stellar absolute bolometric magnitudes are

---

4 http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR
5 https://people.sissa.it/~sbressan/parsec.html
computed with the relation:

\[
M_{\text{bol}} = BCV + MV
= BCV + (m_V - A_V - (5 \log d - 5))
= BCV + M_V + 5 \log \pi + 5.0 - A_V
\]

(2)

Here, \(BCV\) denotes the bolometric correction in the \(V\) magnitude, and \(\pi\) is the stellar parallax with a unit of arc-second. The bolometric corrections are calculated using the empirical relation from Alonso et al. (1999), and the calculated \(BCV\) values for TYC 1338–1410–1 and TYC 2825–596–1 are \(-0.40\) and \(-0.41\), respectively. \(A_V\) is the interstellar extinction in the direction of stellar sources, which we take from the Galactic Dust Reddening and Extinction (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011, and references therein). The adopted \(A_V\) values for TYC 1338–1410–1 and TYC 2825–596–1 are 0.4838 and 0.2385 mag, respectively. We obtain a \(M_{\text{bol}}\) value of 0.70 for TYC 1338–1410–1 and –0.45 for TYC 2825–596–1.

The fundamental parameters of the sample stars derived with both spectroscopic and photometric methods are tabulated in Table 1. As one can see that the parameters from the two methods are in reasonable agreement.

Rotational velocity (\(v \sin i\)) is a useful indicator to distinguish different lithium enrichment mechanisms. The rotational velocities of our giants have been derived by fitting the Fe I lines at 6726, 6733, and 6750 \(\AA\), via the procedures described in Carlberg et al. (2012). We choose these Fe I lines as they are relatively clean and are located near the lithium line at 6708 \(\AA\). The instrumental broadening is estimated from nearby Th-Ar wavelength calibration lines, and a Gaussian broadening is adopted for the instrumental profile fitting. In this process, we use the averaged FWHM of Th-Ar lines near the selected individual Fe I lines as the width of instrumental profile. The macroturbulent velocities are estimated by adopting the relations from Hekker & Meléndez (2007), and they are 2.78 and 2.73 km s\(^{-1}\) for TYC 1338–1410–1 and TYC 2825–596–1, respectively. With the estimated instrumental broadenings and macroturbulent velocities, we obtain rotational velocities of 2.27 km s\(^{-1}\) for TYC 1338–1410–1 and 1.85 km s\(^{-1}\) for TYC 2825–596–1, which suggests that neither star is a rapid rotator compared with normal K giants.

### 3.2 Abundances Determination

The elemental abundance pattern is important to help us understand the enrichment mechanism of lithium. We derive abundances of several important elements for our sample stars. The IDL/Fortran-based software, SIU, from Reetz (1991) is used to determine the elemental abundances via a spectral synthesis method. The synthetic lines are calculated using the MARCS-OS model atmospheres (Gustafsson et al. 2008) with the spectroscopically derived stellar parameters. The resonance doublet line at 6708 \(\AA\) and the subordinate line at 6104 \(\AA\) have been included to derive lithium abundances, and the atomic line data are taken from Shi et al. (2007). Both lines are used to derive lithium abundances for TYC 2825–596–1, but only the resonance line is used for TYC 1338–1410–1 because its subordinate line is relatively weak. In Figure 2, we present the fitting results. For the resonance lithium line at 6708 \(\AA\), the theoretical LTE line profiles do not show any sign of saturation for our program stars, so both the LTE and NLTE synthetic spectra can well reproduce the observed data. It is worthy to note that the LTE line profiles in Figure 2 are computed with the lithium abundances determined from the NLTE fittings for the corresponding stars in order to show the NLTE effect on each line.

Abundances of several other important elements are also derived where possible. Most of our line data are adopted from Zhao et al. (2016), while the log \(gf\) values from Nissen et al. (2014) and the Vienna Atomic Line Database (VALD) are used for the O I forbidden line at 6300.304 \(\AA\) and the Eu II line at 6645.064 \(\AA\). For most of these species, we derive only LTE abundances except for sodium, calcium, and copper. All the derived abundances are presented in Table 2. The lithium abundances indicate that both our sample stars are indeed Li-rich.

It is well known that lithium abundance is very sensitive to \(T_{\text{eff}}\) (e.g., Shi et al. 2007), so testing how much

### Table 2 Chemical Abundances [X/Fe]

| Species | TYC 1338–1410–1 | TYC 2825–596–1 |
|---------|-----------------|----------------|
| Al/Li\(_{\text{LTE}}\) | 0.00 (1) | 0.00 (1) |
| Al/Li\(_{\text{NLTE}}\) | 0.00 (1) | 0.00 (1) |
| Mg/Li\(_{\text{LTE}}\) | 0.14 ± 0.04 (4) | 0.14 ± 0.04 (4) |
| Mg/Li\(_{\text{NLTE}}\) | 0.14 ± 0.04 (4) | 0.14 ± 0.04 (4) |
| Zr/Li\(_{\text{LTE}}\) | 0.00 (1) | 0.00 (1) |
| Zr/Li\(_{\text{NLTE}}\) | 0.00 (1) | 0.00 (1) |
| Ba/Li\(_{\text{LTE}}\) | 0.04 ± 0.02 (3) | 0.04 ± 0.02 (3) |
| Ba/Li\(_{\text{NLTE}}\) | 0.04 ± 0.02 (3) | 0.04 ± 0.02 (3) |
| Eu/Li\(_{\text{LTE}}\) | 0.12 (1) | 0.12 (1) |
| Eu/Li\(_{\text{NLTE}}\) | 0.15 (1) | 0.15 (1) |

The number in brackets is the count of lines used for respective elemental abundance. Uncertainties for lithium lines are due to the alteration in \(T_{\text{eff}}\), and uncertainties for other species are abundance standard deviations.
A(Li) will change with the uncertainty of $T_{\text{eff}}$ is important. Our fittings show that A(Li)$_{\text{NLTE}}$ increases 0.12 dex for TYC 1338-1410-1, A(Li)$_{\text{NLTE}}$ and A(Li)$_{\text{NLTE}}$ increase 0.07 and 0.14 dex for TYC 2825-596-1, respectively, if $T_{\text{eff}}$ raises 80 K.

An element-to-element abundance comparison is potentially helpful in identifying nucleosynthesis processes in a star, and finding possible origin of the lithium enrichment. Therefore, we compare the elemental abundances of our Li-rich giants with those of Li-normal giants. For this purpose, we select the Li-normal star Gaia18354189-2704278, as a comparison target. This star has similar stellar parameters to our sample stars, and its parameters are $T_{\text{eff}} = 4675 \, \text{K}$, log $g = 2.37$ dex, [Fe/H] = −0.19 dex and $\xi_t = 1.41 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$. Chemical abundances for the comparison star are taken from the DR3 catalog of Gaia-ESO$^1$ (Randich et al. 2013). In order to display its abundances in [X/Fe]$^8$ form, we use the solar elemental abundances from Grevesse et al. (2007). The element-to-element comparisons are shown in Figure 3. The figure shows that the [Li/Fe] of our two Li-rich giants are about 2–3 dex higher than that of the Li-normal star, while the abundances of other elements are comparable. This is consistent with the finding by Casey et al. (2016).

### 3.3 Evolutionary Status

Stellar evolutionary status is one of the key factors in understanding the specific lithium enrichment mechanism of Li-rich giants. We therefore determine the positions of our stars in their HR diagrams. First, we calculate their stellar luminosities by using:

$$M_{\text{bol}} - M_{\text{bol}}(\odot) = -2.5 \log\left(\frac{L}{L_{\odot}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

By adopting the $M_{\text{bol}}$ values from Section 3.1, we obtain log$(L/L_{\odot})$ values of 1.62 and 2.08 for TYC 1338-1410-1 and TYC 2825-596-1, respectively. Figure 4 shows their positions in the HR diagram with stellar evolution tracks from PARSEC (Bressan et al. 2012, 2013). Their positions suggest that TYC 1338-1410-1 is more likely an RGB bump giant, while it is hard to unambiguously distinguish between RC and RGB statuses for TYC 2825-596-1 only by its location. Ting et al. (2018) suggested that TYC 2825-596-1 is an RC object based on a standard machine-learning approach.

### 3.4 IR Excess

de La Reza et al. (1996) and de la Reza et al. (1997) proposed a scenario in which all normal K giants with masses from 1.0 $M_{\odot}$ to 2.5 $M_{\odot}$ become Li-rich for a short time ($\sim 10^5 \, \text{yr}$) during their RGB stage, and many giants in this phase are associated with far-infrared excess, which is due to the expanding circumstellar gas and dust shells triggered by the internal mixing mechanism. Siess & Livio (1999a,b) proposed that engulfing of substellar companions could increase observed lithium abundances, and should also result in IR-excess. Thus, it is desirable to investigate whether the two targets have IR excess. We adopt Equation (1) from Section 5.4 of Rebull et al. (2015):  

$$X_{\chi[3.4],[22]} = \frac{([3.4] - [22])_{\text{observed}} - ([3.4] - [22])_{\text{predicted}}}{\sigma([3.4] - [22])}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

1. https://www.eso.org/qi/

8 $[X/Fe] = \log \left( \frac{n(X)/n(Fe)}{n(X)/n(Fe)}_{\odot} \right) = \log \left( \frac{n(X)/n(H)}{n(Fe)/n(H)}_{\odot} \right) - \log \left( \frac{n(Fe)/n(H)}{n(Fe)/n(H)}_{\odot} \right)$
Fig. 3 Element-to-element comparisons between a Li-normal star, Gaia18354189-2704278, and our two Li-rich giants. The abundances of lithium, sodium, calcium, and copper are plotted using the NLTE values. The corresponding ionized abundances from the Gaia-ESO DR3 catalog are used for those elements with highly-ionized species.

Fig. 4 Locations of the Li-rich K giants TYC1338-1410-1 (red dot) and TYC2825-596-1 (blue dot) in the HR diagram of luminosity versus effective temperature according to PARSEC evolution tracks. The mass interval is from 1.0 $M_\odot$ to 2.0 $M_\odot$ in step of 0.2 $M_\odot$ with the corresponding metallicity $Z = 0.01$.

Fig. 5 $A$(Li)$_{NLTE}$ vs. [3.4] - [22]. The blue dots indicate stars with IR excess from Rebull et al. (2015), and the black dots represent stars without IR excess from Rebull et al. (2015). The red and green dots are our giants. Here, [3.4] and [22] denote the magnitudes of 3.4 $\mu$m and 22 $\mu$m, and $\sigma^2[3.4] - [22]$ is the quadratic sum of the errors of 3.4 $\mu$m and 22 $\mu$m. Normally, stars with $X[3.4] - [22] > 3.0$ are considered as obvious IR-excess sources. ([3.4]-[22])$_{predicted}$ is 0 for K giants (Mizusawa et al. 2012). Using observed data from the WISE online data catalog by Cutri et al. (2003), we get $X[3.4] - [22]$ values of 0.46 and 0.61 for TYC1338-1410-1 and TYC2825-596-1, respectively. This result indicates that both our stars do not show obvious IR excess. Rebull et al. (2015) systematically investigated possible correlations between lithium abundance and IR-excess for 176 cleanest giants, and found that the objects with large IR excess tend to have high lithium abundances, but most of Li-rich stars do not show IR excess in their figure 18. The calculated IR excesses of our two stars do not contrast with their findings. Figure 5 plots ([3.4] - [22]) versus $A$(Li)$_{NLTE}$ for our samples, along with the 176 red giants from Rebull et al. (2015), and it clearly shows that both of our sample stars are Li-rich stars without IR excess.

4 DISCUSSION

Lithium enrichment mechanisms for RC giants remain unclear, although a large fraction, if not the majority of Li-rich stars, are found in their RC evolutionary stages (e.g., Kumar et al. 2011; Zhou et al. 2019; Casey et al. 2019). Several lithium enrichment scenarios have been proposed. For example, Kumar et al. (2011) suspected that lithium production via the Cameron-Fowler mechanism may also occur at the core-helium flash stage. Singh et al. (2019b) argued that the mechanism of the lithium enrichment phenomena in RC giants might be associated with either helium flash at the RGB tip or substellar companion engulfments. Singh et al. (2019a) systematically investigated 24 Li-rich RC giants with asteroseismology-based

9 http://vizier.cfa.harvard.edu/viz-bin/VizieR?-source=II/328
data from *Kepler*, and suggested that the helium flash could be responsible for the observed lithium excess for their RC giants. Combining spectroscopic and asteroseismic analyses, Silva Aguirre et al. (2014) confirmed that KIC 5000307 is an RC Li-rich giant, and suggested that the most-likely mechanism for its lithium excess is due to the non-canonical mixing events at the RGB tip or during the helium flash. Casey et al. (2019) studied a stellar sample of 2330 Li-rich giant stars, selected from the LAMOST low-resolution DR2 spectra library, and found that near 80% of the Li-rich giants are experiencing core-helium burning phase. They proposed that the tidal interactions and planetary engulfment are seemingly the most reasonable lithium enrichment mechanisms for their data. Very recently, Zhang et al. (2020) computed the merger evolution models of a helium-core white dwarf (HeWD) with an RGB star, and suggested that Li-rich RC stars can be formed by this process.

For RGB stars, fresh lithium can be produced via the Cameron-Fowler mechanism (Cameron & Fowler 1971). In this mechanism, $^7\text{Be}$ is created in the deep interior of a star, and then is rapidly transported to a region where the temperature is much cooler before the decay of $^7\text{Be}(e^-, \nu)^7\text{Li}$ takes place. Charbonnel & Balachandran (2000) suggested that the destruction of mean molecular weight barrier can lead to a short period of lithium production by extra mixing undergoing inside a low-mass RGB star. The extra mixing is a process that links the bottom of convective zone with stellar interior, where $^7\text{Be}$ is newly formed to produce fresh lithium at stellar surface via Cameron-Fowler mechanism.

One external source to replenish lithium, as suggested by Alexander (1967), Siess & Livio (1999b), Carlberg et al. (2010, 2012) and Aguilera-Gómez et al. (2016b,a), is the engulfment of substellar companions, such as giant planets or brown dwarfs. Siess & Livio (1999a,b) investigated the possible observational signatures of lithium enrichment by accretion of planets/brown dwarfs for AGB and RGB stars. Their computations indicated that, along with the increasement of surface lithium, the host star will spin up because of the deposition of orbital angular momentum of the substellar companion, and eject a shell from the surface with a subsequent phase of IR excess. Carlberg et al. (2010, 2012) suggested that accretion of a fast close-orbiting giant planet can also accelerate the rotational velocity of the host RGB star due to the transfer of angular momentum. Aguilera-Gómez et al. (2016a) modeled the engulfment of a substellar companion for giants with different masses and metallicities, and suggested that there is an upper limit of A(Li) $\sim 2.2$ dex for the engulfment mechanism. One of our stars, TYC 2825–596–1, has a lithium abundance significantly higher than this upper limit, so the engulfment mechanism cannot entirely explain the lithium enrichment phenomenon in this star. There are many studies (e.g., Drake et al. 2002) investigated correlation between lithium abundances and rotational velocities, for example, De Medeiros et al. (2000), Drake et al. (2002) and Carlberg et al. (2013) found that giants with high rotational velocities are more likely to have enriched surface lithium abundances. This is not surprising because the engulfment increases observed lithium abundance and rotational velocity of a star whenever the mechanism applies. Considering both of our stars have low rotational velocities and high lithium abundances, it is unlikely that the engulfment is the main mechanism of lithium enrichment for our stars.

It is suggested that the lithium enrichment by engulfment will not only spin up the host star but also result in IR excess. Both our sample stars have normal rotational velocities with $v \sin i < 8$ km s$^{-1}$, and do not show IR excess. Our results suggest that the enhanced lithium of TYC 1338–1410–1 is due to the Cameron-Fowler mechanism, and the excess lithium of TYC 2825–596–1 is associated with either the non-canonical mixing or He-flash. It should be pointed out that additional information is needed to better constrain the physical characteristics of our two stars, and to fully understand their lithium enrichment mechanisms.

## 5 SUMMARY

A newly developed high-resolution spectrograph, LAMOST/HRS, has recently been commissioned. We have observed two Li-rich candidates, TYC 1338–1410–1 and TYC 2825–596–1, using this new instrument. The two candidates are selected from the LAMOST DR5 low-resolution spectral library, and are confirmed as Li-rich K giants. The main results can be summarized as follows.

- The NLTE lithium abundances are 1.77 and 2.91 dex for TYC 1338–1410–1 and TYC 2825–596–1, respectively. The abundances of additional 13 important elements for both objects have also been derived, and their abundance patterns are similar to those of an Li-normal giant with exception of lithium.
- Their masses and evolutionary statuses have been obtained. It is found that TYC 1338–1410–1 is likely to be an RGB star with a mass of $1.18 M_\odot$, while TYC 2825–596–1 is probably an RC giant with a mass of $1.58 M_\odot$.
- The lithium enrichment for both stars do not favour the engulfment mechanism, as they are slow rotators, and do not have IR excess. TYC 1338–1410–1 is more
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