DNA nucleobases have multiple sites susceptible to alkylation damage, with alkylating agents coming from endogenous and exogenous sources such as methylmethanesulfonate (MMS) and methyl halides. These lesions can exhibit cytotoxicity by blocking DNA replication (e.g., N3-methyladenine, 3meA), cause mutations (e.g., 1,N6-ethenoadenine, εA), or be relatively innocuous (e.g., N2-methylguanine, 7meG). Repair of cytotoxic and mutagenic lesions is vital for cell survival, and organisms have evolved many pathways to deal with DNA damage. In the case of small alkyl modifications, both direct reversal and base excision repair (BER) pathways are used. The BER pathway repairs damage caused by oxidation and deamination in addition to alkylation damage. This pathway is initiated by a variety of different DNA glycosylases that hydrolyze the N-glycosidic bond between the base lesion and sugar, generating an abasic site product. Subsequent enzymes nick the backbone, remove the residual deoxyribose phosphate, fill the gap, and seal the nick. Two different superfamilies of glycosylases have evolved to recognize alkylative DNA damage. The first is the helix–hairpin–helix superfamily, exemplified by Escherichia coli 3-methyladenine DNA glycosylase II (AlkA), and the second is known as the alkyladenine DNA glycosylase (AAG) superfamily. Both AlkA and AAG exhibit a broad and overlapping substrate range.

AlkA homologs are found mostly in prokaryotes and a few eukaryotes, whereas AAG homologs are found predominantly in plants and animals. These two enzymes have been shown to have catalytic activity toward many of the same types of damaged bases, including methylated purines (e.g., 3meA and 7meG), etheno adducts (e.g., εA), and oxidatively damaged purines (e.g., hypoxanthine, hx). Both enzymes also exhibit detectable activity toward undamaged bases. While AAG and AlkA appear to be similar, they have distinct cellular roles in DNA repair. AlkA is upregulated as part of the adaptive (ada) response when E. coli is exposed to low levels of DNA alkylation. The overexpression of alkylation repair proteins allow E. coli to survive much higher levels of alkylating agents, which would have been toxic prior to adaptation. In contrast, an adaptive response has not been observed in the regulation of AAG expression, and it appears to be constitutively expressed.

Previous studies of AAG and AlkA have led to similar proposed mechanisms for the recognition and excision of base lesions as summarized in Scheme 1. Given that damaged bases are rare, initial binding most often occurs at undamaged sites. After searching and locating a site of damage, both glycosylases gain access to the N-glycosidic bond by flipping the nucleotide out of the DNA duplex. Crystal structures of AAG and AlkA demonstrate nucleotide flipping with the glycosylases
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being bound to a flipped εA\(^{18}\) and a flipped 1-azaribose sugar moiety,\(^{19}\) respectively. Both enzymes use an active site carbohydrate to position a water molecule for N-glycosidic bond hydrolysis (E125 in AAG\(^{20}\) and D238 in AlkA\(^{21,22}\)). For AAG-catalyzed excision of εA, the hydrolysis step (\(k_{\text{chem}}\)) is usually rate-limiting, but product release can be limiting for some substrates (e.g., hx).\(^{23,24}\) For AlkA-catalyzed excision of hx, \(k_{\text{chem}}\) appears to be much slower than release of the abasic site.\(^{25}\) It is likely that \(k_{\text{chem}}\) is also rate-limiting for AlkA-catalyzed excision of εA, but this model has not been tested. A complete kinetic and thermodynamic framework for the AAG-catalyzed reaction has been reported,\(^{26}\) but much less is known about AlkA. Without direct observation of the binding and nucleotide flipping steps, it is not clear what step is rate-limiting for AlkA-catalyzed glycosylase activity.

In this study, the kinetic mechanism of AlkA-catalyzed base excision is determined for εA-DNA, a mutagenic adduct that is endogenously produced from reactions with lipid oxidation byproducts.\(^{27,29}\) Although it has been established that both BER and direct repair play a role in the physiological repair of etheno adducts, their relative contributions differ in bacterial and mammalian cells. AAG-initiated BER and direct repair pathways appear to share responsibilities for repair of εA in the mouse.\(^{30}\) In contrast, genetic experiments in \(E.\ coli\) suggest that both AlkA-initiated BER and direct repair are important for repair of etheno adducts,\(^{31}\) but the direct repair pathway plays the predominant role for repair of εA.\(^{32}\) However, AlkA exhibits similar catalytic efficiency for the excision of εA as for 3meA and other damaged and undamaged bases.\(^{22}\) Therefore, we expect that the insights gained regarding the mechanism of εA excision will advance our understanding of AlkA and its broad substrate range. By taking advantage of the intrinsic fluorescence of εA, we can obtain a full kinetic and thermodynamic framework that can be directly compared to results of previous studies of AAG.\(^{23}\)

We report the minimal kinetic and thermodynamic framework for AlkA-catalyzed flipping and excision of εA. We found that the steps leading up to the rate-limiting hydrolysis step are under rapid equilibrium. In particular, the εA flipping and unflipping steps are both very rapid, and the complex of AlkA with the flipped out εA is only marginally stable. In comparison, AAG forms a very stable complex with the flipped out εA.\(^{23,26}\) This work provides a deeper understanding of how mechanistic differences affect the substrate recognition and catalytic efficiencies of two independently evolved DNA glycosylases and highlights the common features in the repair of damaged nucleotides.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Preparation of Proteins

**Full-length \(E.\ coli\) AlkA** was expressed in BL21(DE3) \(E.\ coli\) cells.\(^{21}\) Cultures were grown in LB to mid-log phase and induced with 0.1 mM IPTG for 4 h at 37 °C. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer \([50 \text{ mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.6), 1 mM EDTA, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 50 mM }\beta{-}\text{ME, and 0.1 mg/mL PMSF}\] and centrifuged. The supernatant was passed through a DE52 column to remove DNA contamination and loaded onto an S-sepharose column. AlkA was eluted with a NaCl gradient (from 80 to 350 mM) and loaded onto a heparin-sepharose column, equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.6), 10 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 10 mM β-ME. AlkA was eluted with a NaCl gradient (from 100 to 700 mM) and concentrated with an Amicon Ultra concentrator (10 kDa cutoff) to the desired concentration of 10 mg/mL. Aliquots were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.6), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM β-ME, and 10% (v/v) glycerol. Prior to being used, aliquots were thawed and diluted 1:1 in 1× reaction buffer without BSA (see Gel-Based General Glycosylase Activity Assay) and refrozen in liquid nitrogen for storage. For experiments, the diluted aliquots were thawed and stored at 4 °C for up to one month. UV absorbance was used to estimate the concentration of AlkA, and the active concentration was determined by titration with a tight binding inhibitor (see below).

**Preparation of Oligonucleotides.** For this study, 19- and 25-mer oligonucleotides were used (Figure 1), the 19-mers having an asymmetrically placed lesion.\(^{25}\) Oligonucleotides were not labeled unless noted in this section, in which case a 5′-fluorescein (FAM) or a 6-hexachlorofluorescein (HEX) label was present on the lesion-containing strand. Desalted DNA substrates were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) or the Keck Center at Yale University (New Haven, CT). Oligonucleotides were purified via denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), extracted, and desalted by reverse phase C18 columns (Sep-Pak, Waters). The concentration was determined from the \(A_{260}\) and the calculated extinction coefficient. For εA substrates, 9400 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) was subtracted from the extinction coefficient of an undamaged substrate (adenine in place of εA) to account for the weaker absorbance of εA. The extinction coefficient of pyrrolidine substrates was calculated by replacing the pyrrolidine with dSpacer.

Abasic DNA was produced by incubating 25TthxC DNA with excess Δ80 AAG\(^{20}\) at 37 °C for at least 50 turnovers. The DNA

\[
19TxC: \quad 5′{-}\text{CAT CCT }\times\text{ CCT TCT CTC CAT}−3′ \\
3′{-}\text{GTA GGA }T\text{ GGA AGA GAG GTA}−5′
\]

\[
19AxA: \quad 5′{-}\text{CAT CAA }\times\text{ AAT TCT CTC CAT}−3′ \\
3′{-}\text{GTA GTT T TTG AGA GAG GTA}−5′
\]

\[
25TxC: \quad 5′{-}\text{CGA TAG CAT CCT }\times\text{ CCT TCT CTC CAT}−3′ \\
3′{-}\text{GCT ATC GTA GGA }T\text{ GGA AGA GAG GTA}−5′
\]

**Figure 1.** Oligonucleotide substrates used in this study. The nomenclature \#NxC describes the length, flanking bases, and lesion \([x \text{ being } ε = εA, \text{ hx } = \text{ hypoxanthine, } py = \text{ pyrrolidine, } A = \text{ adenine (undamaged), and ab } = \text{ abasic}]. x-DNA denotes the full nucleotide, while \(x\) describes the nucleobase.
was extracted via phenol and chloroform and desalted with an Illustra MicroSpin G-25 column (GE Healthcare) that had been equilibrated with annealing buffer [10 mM NaMES (pH 6.5) and 50 mM NaCl]. The concentration of the DNA was determined by the absorbance of the FAM label and corrected for purity as determined by denaturing PAGE (>95% pure).

Oligonucleotides were annealed with a 1.2-fold excess of the complementary strand by being heated to 95 °C for 3 min and cooled to 4 °C at a rate of 0.2 °C/s. Previous work shows that the excess complementary strand does not affect the observed rate constants.35

**Gel-Based General Glycosylase Activity Assay.** Unless otherwise noted, all discontinuous glycosylase activity assays were performed by incubating AlkA and a DNA substrate at 37 °C in reaction buffer [50 mM NaMES (pH 6.1), 100 mM ionic strength (controlled with NaCl), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 0.1 mg/mL BSA]. If glycerol was present, a concentration of 10% (v/v) was used. At varying time points, the aliquots of the reaction mixture were quenched in 0.2 M NaOH and placed on ice to prevent the base-catalyzed ring opening of εA. The samples were then heated for 12 min at 70 °C, and varying volumes of loading buffer (98% formamide, 1 mM EDTA, bromophenol blue, and xylene cyanol) were added to ensure S=200 fmol of DNA was analyzed by denaturing PAGE [20% (w/v) acrylamide, 1× TBE, and 6.6 M urea]. Gels were imaged using a Typhoon Trio Fluorescence imager (GE Healthcare) with a 488 nm excitation and a 520 nm band-pass filter to detect fluorescein. The fraction of product for each time point was calculated by dividing the intensity of the product band by the sum of intensities of both product and substrate bands in each lane.

**Determination of the Concentration of Active AlkA.** A tight binding inhibitor (pyrrolidine-containing DNA) was used to titrate the amount of active AlkA.25,33 AlkA (100 or 200 nM) was incubated with excess S’FAM-19ThxC substrate (500 nM) and varying concentrations of S’HEX-25TpyC inhibitor (0–800 nM) under the glycosylase assay conditions described above. 19ThxC was used in place of 19TεA as AlkA binds hypoxanthine with a weaker affinity (data not shown), making the pyrrolidine DNA a better inhibitor. The fraction product versus time was fit by linear regression as described in Multiple-Turnover Glycosylase Activity. The relative activity was calculated by normalizing to the reaction rate without inhibitor. The concentration of active AlkA was determined by using the quadratic binding equation

$$\frac{V_{obs}}{V_{max}} = \frac{K_d + [E] + [I] - \sqrt{(K_d + [E] + [I])^2 - 4[E][I]}}{2[E]}$$

(1)

where $K_d$ is the dissociation constant for py binding and E and I represent AlkA and the py inhibitor, respectively. AlkA was found to be 85% active compared to the estimation that was based on the UV absorbance (Figure S1 of the Supporting Information). The active concentration was used for all subsequent experiments.

**Multiple-Turnover Glycosylase Activity.** Excess S’FAM-19TεA DNA (10–200 nM) was incubated with 1 nM AlkA under the glycosylase assay conditions. The first 8% of reactions (5% for 19ThxC reactions) were used to obtain the rate of reaction ($V_{obs}$), ensuring linearity as product inhibition occurs after these limits (data not shown).25 Values of $V_{obs}/[E]$ were plotted versus DNA concentration and fit by the Michaelis–Menten equation (eq 2)

$$\frac{V_{obs}}{[E]} = \frac{k_{cat}[S]}{K_m + [S]}$$

(2)

where $k_{cat}$ is the maximal turnover rate constant, S is the substrate, E is AlkA, and $K_m$ is the concentration at which the observed rate constant is half the maximal rate constant.

**Single-Turnover Glycosylase Activity.** Excess AlkA (at least 2-fold) was incubated with S’FAM-19TεA DNA for single-turnover reactions under the glycosylase assay conditions. The DNA concentration ranged from 5 to 50 nM, and the AlkA concentration ranged from 10 nM to 5 μM. In some cases, reactions were compared with the same concentration of AlkA and two different concentrations of DNA to ensure that single-turnover conditions were met. The fraction product was fit by a single exponential (eq 3)

$$F = A[1 - \exp(-k_{obs}t)] + c$$

(3)

where F is the fraction product, A is the amplitude, $k_{obs}$ is the observed single-turnover rate constant, t is the reaction time, and c is the amount of preexisting abasic DNA.

The concentration dependence of the single-turnover rate constant was fit by a hyperbolic dependence (eq 4)

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_{max}[E]}{K_{i/2} + [E]}$$

(4)

where $k_{max}$ is the maximal single-turnover rate constant, E is AlkA, and the $K_{i/2}$ is the concentration of AlkA at which $k_{obs}$ is 50% of the $k_{max}$ value.

**Equilibrium Inhibition by Undamaged DNA and the Abasic DNA Product.** AlkA (5 nM) was incubated under normal glycosylase assay conditions with excess DNA. Ratios of inhibitor (2STAC or S’FAM-25TabC) to substrate (S’FAM-19TεA) were varied, while the total DNA concentration was kept constant (1 μM for undamaged DNA reactions or 500 nM for abasic DNA reactions). Linear fits to the fraction product data were performed as described in Multiple-Turnover Glycosylase Activity, and the observed rate was normalized to the reaction rate without inhibitor. A competitive inhibition model (eq 5) was used to fit the $[I]/[S]$ dependence, yielding the ratio of the $K_m$ for substrate to the $K_i$ for inhibitor ($K_i$ for abasic DNA binding).24 For the undamaged DNA, a microscopic $K_i$ value was determined by multiplying the $K_i$ value by the number (N) of nonspecific binding sites on the 25TAC inhibitor. N was calculated with eq 6, in which L is the total length of the oligo, l is the site size or footprint of the enzyme (8 bp),16 and the number of sites is doubled to account for both DNA strands. This calculation assumes that AlkA would bind with equal affinity to all nonspecific sites. For the 25TAC inhibitor, the number of binding sites was calculated to be 36 [2 × (25 – 8 + 1) = 36].

$$\frac{V_{obs}}{V_{max}} = \frac{[I]}{[S]} \times \frac{k_{cat}}{K_i + 1}$$

(5)

$$N = 2(L - l + 1)$$

(6)

εA Quenching by AlkA under Steady State Conditions. To measure the stoichiometry of binding of AlkA to εA, the εA fluorescence of 400 nM 19AεA DNA was monitored
with varying concentrations of AlkA. A PTI QuantaMaster fluorometer using FeliX software was used to measure the εA fluorescence (excitation of 316 nm and emission of 408 nm; both 6 nm band-pass). Reactions were performed at 25 °C in a HEPES, pH 7.5 reaction buffer [50 mM HEPES, 100 mM ionic strength (controlled with NaCl), 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT] to slow excision of εA. The spectra were recorded within 1 min of AlkA and DNA mixing. The quenching of the εA was normalized to the fluorescence of free 19AεA and fit to a 1:1 binding equation (eq 7)

\[ F = 1 - \left[ \frac{K_d + [E] + [S]}{\sqrt{(K_d + [E] + [S])^2 - 4[E][S]}} \right] \]

where \( F \) is the normalized fluorescence, \( A \) is the amplitude of the fluorescence change, \( E \) and \( S \) are AlkA and the substrate, respectively, and the \( K_d \) is the dissociation constant of εA binding.

**Stopped-Flow Kinetics.** Pre-steady state kinetics experiments were performed on a Hi-Tech SF-61DX2 Stopped-Flow System using Kinetic Studio (TgK Scientific). The fluorescence of εA was observed using an excitation wavelength of 313 nm and a WG360 long-pass emission filter. Excess AlkA and 100 nM (final concentration) 19AεA or 19TεC DNA (in glycosylase assay buffer with no BSA) were mixed at 25 °C. The εA fluorescence was measured for 2 s, with the average of three independent measurements fit by a single exponential (eq 3). The AlkA concentration dependence was fit with a hyperbolic function (eq 4). The \( k_{\text{max}} \) is independent of AlkA concentration and describes the nucleotide flipping step, which is an approach to equilibrium (eq 8). The value of \( k_{\text{max}} \) was estimated by assuming that at a saturating AlkA concentration (850 nM), the \( k_{\text{on,obs}} \) is at least 10-fold faster than the \( k_{\text{flip,obs}} \) (eq 9).

\[ k_{\text{max}} = k_{\text{flip,obs}} = k_{\text{flip}} + k_{\text{unflip}} \]

To measure the rate of dissociation from undamaged DNA, 5 μM 19AεA substrate was mixed with a preformed complex of 1 μM AlkA and 500 nM 25TAC. A single phase was observed up to 0.05 s and fit by a single exponential. The \( k_{\text{off}} \) value was calculated using eq 10

\[ \frac{1}{k_{\text{obs}}} = \frac{1}{k_{\text{flip,obs}}} + \frac{1}{k_{\text{off}}} \]

where \( k_{\text{obs}} \) is the rate constant for dissociation of AlkA from undamaged DNA and subsequent binding to εA-DNA and \( k_{\text{flip,obs}} \) is the rate constant of the association of free AlkA with the same εA-DNA.

**Pulse-Chase Glycosylase Assays.** Single-turnover assays were performed with 1 μM AlkA and 100 nM S’FAM-19TεC substrate and fit by a single exponential as described for Single-Turnover Glycosylase Activity. Pulse-chase reaction mixtures were aged for 20 s prior to the addition of 10 μM 25TpyC chase, and reactions were fit by a straight line with a slope of zero. Reactions with substrate and chase premixed before addition of AlkA and a no enzyme control were also performed, showing no εA cleavage. The observed rate constant for dissociation (\( k_{\text{off,obs}} \)) is given by eq 11

\[ k_{\text{off,obs}} = \frac{k_{\text{max}}}{A} - k_{\text{max}} \]

where \( A \) is the amplitude in the pulse-chase reaction and \( k_{\text{max}} \) is the maximal rate from the single-turnover reaction.

**Double-Mixing Stopped-Flow Kinetics.** Stopped-flow experiments were performed as described above with the following changes. The AlkA and DNA were mixed and aged for 1 or 3 s and then mixed with chase. The final concentrations after mixing were 425 nM AlkA, 200 nM 19AεA DNA, and 3 or 10 μM 25TpyC chase. The εA fluorescence was measured for 2 s, with averages of three measurements fit by a single exponential (eq 3) giving a \( k_{\text{off,obs}} \) value, defined in eq 12. The \( k_{\text{unflip}} \) value was calculated according to eq 13 (rearranged from eqs 8 and 12).

\[ \frac{k_{\text{off,obs}}}{k_{\text{flip}}} \]

\[ k_{\text{unflip}} = \frac{k_{\text{off,obs}} k_{\text{off}} + k_{\text{off,obs}} k_{\text{flip,obs}}}{k_{\text{off,obs}} + k_{\text{off}}} \]

**RESULTS**

**AlkA-Catalyzed Excision of εA.** To determine the kinetic framework for AlkA-catalyzed εA excision, both multiple- and single-turnover kinetics were measured using a gel-based discontinuous assay. Whereas the multiple-turnover rate monitors the entire reaction, including product release, the single-turnover reaction monitors only steps up to and including N-glycosidic bond hydrolysis, which is irreversible under these conditions (Scheme 1).

Most previous studies of AlkA have included glycerol as a buffer component, but we excluded it because AlkA catalyzes a side reaction with abasic sites to form a glycerol adduct. Single-turnover glycosylase assays in the presence and absence of 10% glycerol showed that AlkA is well-behaved and slightly more active in the absence of glycerol (Figure S2 of the Supporting Information). We used an asymmetric 19-mer oligonucleotide containing a single site of damage [19TεC (Figure 1)], because the binding of multiple AlkA molecules can be inhibitory when the lesion site is located different distances from a DNA end. This inhibitory behavior was previously observed for the excision of hypoxanthine (hx) from a 25-mer DNA in buffers containing glycerol, and we confirmed that similar behavior is observed for the excision of εA from a 25-mer DNA in the absence of glycerol (Figure S3 of the Supporting Information). Therefore, the 19TεC substrate was employed for the glycosylase kinetic assays that are described below.

Multiple-turnover kinetics were first determined by incubating AlkA with excess DNA substrate. The initial rates of reaction were calculated by fitting the first 8% of reaction by a straight line (Figure 2A), because inhibition by the abasic product can be detected above that percentage (data not shown). The dependence on the concentration of εA-DNA followed Michaelis–Menten behavior with a \( k_{\text{cat}} \) value of 0.24 ± 0.06 min\(^{-1}\) and a \( k_m \) value of 15 ± 3 nM (Figure 2B). In experiments with a greater concentration of AlkA, there was no evidence of a burst phase (data not shown). This is similar to what was previously observed with hx-DNA and suggests that the rate-limiting step is N-glycosidic bond hydrolysis or a preceding step.
We next measured the single-turnover glycosylase reaction with AlkA in excess over the 19TεC substrate. In all cases, the reaction progress curve followed a single exponential (Figure 3A), and the values of the observed single-turnover rate constant (k_{obs}) were obtained for each enzyme concentration.

The AlkA concentration dependence was fit by a hyperbolic dependence (Figure 3B), with a maximal single-turnover rate constant (k_{max}) of 0.27 ± 0.01 min^{-1} and a K_{1/2} value of 29 ± 3 nM. These kinetic parameters are almost identical to those observed in the multiple-turnover experiments, suggesting that the same step is rate-limiting for single-turnover and multiple-turnover conditions. Although these data are consistent with either rate-limiting conformational change, such as nucleotide flipping or rate-limiting N-glycosidic bond hydrolysis, direct measurement of nucleotide flipping supports the latter model (see below).

Affinity of AlkA for Abasic Product and Undamaged DNA. To measure the affinity of AlkA for binding to the abasic product and undamaged DNA, we performed multiple-turnover experiments with mixtures of the 19TεC substrate and 25-mer DNA competitors that either contained a single abasic site or were undamaged. With a constant amount of total DNA, the competitive inhibition can be monitored as previously described. The relative activity is plotted as a function of the ratio of inhibitor to substrate, and the curve fits provide the relative K_m/K_i values (Figure 4). The K_i values were calculated using the independently determined K_m for εA-DNA (Figure 2B). For the abasic-containing DNA, this K_i value of 1.2 ± 0.1 nM is equal to the dissociation constant (K_d). This tight binding to the abasic site corroborates the significant product inhibition that occurs under multiple-turnover conditions. For the undamaged DNA, the observed inhibition constant is 33 ± 16 nM, which reflects the affinity of AlkA for the total number of nonspecific binding sites along the 25-mer undamaged DNA duplex. Assuming a site size of 8 bp, there are 36 overlapping binding sites (N) on the undamaged DNA (eq 6) with an
The DNA binding and nucleotide glycosidic bond cleavage is slower at the lower temperature. 

We next used stopped-flow fluorescence to monitor the kinetics of DNA binding and nucleotide flipping. When the 19AεA substrate was rapidly mixed with excess AlkA, a fast quenching of εA fluorescence was detected that followed a single exponential (Figure 5B) and exhibited a good signal-to-noise ratio (Figure 5C). The rate constants calculated for each AlkA concentration were roughly fit by a hyperbolic function, but the observed rate constant was almost fully saturated even at the lowest concentration of AlkA (Figure 5D). The concentration independence at a high concentration of AlkA suggests that the εA quenching step being observed corresponds to the nucleotide flipping step \( k_{\text{flip,obs}} = 242 \pm 11 \text{ s}^{-1} \), as a DNA binding event would be dependent on AlkA concentration.

To establish if AlkA binding causes a detectable change in εA-DNA fluorescence, we performed steady state fluorescence experiments by incubating different concentrations of AlkA with the 19AεA DNA. Enzyme and substrate were incubated for 1 min to give time for binding to occur, but prior to N-glycosidic bond cleavage. The fluorescence of the εA-DNA was strongly quenched by 1 equiv of AlkA (Figure 5A), suggesting that a monomer of AlkA tightly binds to each εA lesion site. It is likely that the decrease in εA-DNA fluorescence is attributed to interaction between the flipped out εA base and the tryptophan residues that line the AlkA active site.

DNA Binding and Nucleotide Flipping by AlkA. We sought to use the intrinsic fluorescence of εA to monitor the DNA binding and nucleotide flipping steps conducted by AlkA. The difference in fluorescence between free DNA and bound DNA provides the signal for detecting binding and nucleotide flipping. Our efforts were guided by previous studies of the binding of AAG to εA-DNA. It is advantageous to use different sequence contexts in which the basal εA-DNA fluorescence is either high or low, as it is difficult to predict how strongly the fluorescence will be quenched upon binding to the protein. As the fluorescence of 19TεC is relatively low in duplex DNA, we also prepared a 19AεA substrate that has much higher basal fluorescence (Figure 1).
performed with ratios of reagents similar to those used in the pulse-chase assay. AlkA and 19AεA were mixed and aged for 5 s to allow for the formation of the specific complex, which was subsequently chased with py-DNA competitor. A single-exponential increase in εA fluorescence was observed as the εA-DNA dissociates from AlkA (Figure 6B; $k_{\text{off,obs}} = 52 \pm 2 \text{s}^{-1}$). The length of the age time (1 or 5 s) and the concentration of chase (3 or 10 μM) did not affect the value of $k_{\text{off,obs}}$ that was obtained (Figure S8 of the Supporting Information).

We hypothesized that the slow step in dissociation of εA-DNA would correspond to unflipping of the εA lesion, followed by rapid dissociation from DNA. However, if dissociation from undamaged DNA was on the same time scale as flipping, then this could complicate the interpretation. Therefore, we also performed a stopped-flow experiment to assay the dissociation of AlkA from undamaged DNA (Figure 7). In this experiment, the nonspecific complex is first formed between AlkA and undamaged DNA and then mixed with a saturating amount of 19AεA substrate. The signal of binding of εA-DNA to AlkA is monitored, but the observed rate constant is dependent on both AlkA dissociation and association. The contribution from the dissociation step can be determined by comparing this rate constant to the rate constant obtained for the binding of free AlkA to εA-DNA under the same conditions. In the stopped-flow experiment with free AlkA and excess DNA, the εA fluorescence is quenched in a single phase with an observed rate constant of $233 \pm 11 \text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 7, purple trace). This value is almost identical to the value that was observed with excess AlkA protein [$k_{\text{flip,obs}} = 242 \pm 11 \text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 4)]. This observation suggests that the searching steps ($k_{\text{find}}$) performed by a single AlkA molecule are very rapid. When the nonspecific AlkA-DNA complex was mixed with excess εA-DNA, the single phase was slowed slightly with a $k_{\text{obs}}$ value of 186 ± 7 s$^{-1}$. The modest reduction in rate indicates that AlkA dissociates very quickly from undamaged DNA with a rate constant of 920 s$^{-1}$ (eq 10). This confirms that the unflipping step is almost fully rate-limiting for the dissociation of AlkA from the specific complex with εA-DNA.

Calculation of the Kinetic and Thermodynamic Framework. Many of the experiments that were performed do not directly yield microscopic rate constants, because of the
reversibility or multiple steps that are partially rate-limiting. However, these independent experiments could be combined to determine the individual kinetic parameters (Figure 8). These calculations are briefly described below, and the equations and additional details are provided in Materials and Methods.

The association and dissociation steps for AlkA are very fast and the most poorly defined parameters that we have measured. The rate of dissociation of undamaged DNA was calculated to be 920 s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 7; see the text above). Using this value and the \(K_d\) value for nonspecific sites (1.2 \(\mu\)M) that was determined by competition (Figure 4), an association rate constant of \(8 \times 10^7\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) can be calculated (\(k_{on} = k_{off}/K_d\)). This value is consistent with the \(3 \times 10^9\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) limit estimated from the rapid binding of AlkA with \(e\)A-DNA (Figure SD). Assuming that AlkA binds to its \(e\)A substrate, abasic DNA, and undamaged DNA at the same rate, we can use the \(K_d\) value of the abasic product (1.2 nM (Figure 3)) to calculate a value of 1 s\(^{-1}\) for the product dissociation (\(k_{off} = k_{on}K_d\)). This calculated dissociation rate constant is much faster than the steady state \(k_{off}\) value of 0.004 s\(^{-1}\), consistent with the conclusion that N-glycosidic bond hydrolysis is rate-limiting for multiple- and single-turnover reactions. After AlkA binds to a nonspecific site, it must find the site of damage. These DNA searching steps (\(k_{on}\)) are all much faster than the rate of nucleotide flipping, because identical reaction rates are observed under conditions of excess DNA [where each protein needs to conduct its search independently (Figure 7)] and conditions of excess protein [where the searching time will be accelerated by having many proteins searching (Figure SD)].

The rates and equilibrium constants for nucleotide flipping can be calculated from the forward and reverse binding reactions. The nucleotide unflipping step is the rate-limiting step in the dissociation reaction, as the \(k_{off}\) value of 920 s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 6B) is much slower than the \(k_{off}\) value of 920 s\(^{-1}\). Combining these results with the observed rate constant for flipping in the forward direction [\(k_{flip,obs} = 242 \pm 11\) s\(^{-1}\) (Figure SD)] yields a value of 62 s\(^{-1}\) for \(K_{flip}\) (eq 13). By subtracting the \(K_{flip}\) value from the observed flipping rate constant, we find the forward rate constant for flipping (\(k_{flip}\)) to be 180 s\(^{-1}\) (eq 8). The equilibrium constant for flipping of 2.9 is defined as the ratio of the nucleotide flipping and unflipping rate constants.
deletion experiments suggesting that direct repair is responsible for nonspecific sites, this specificity is unlikely to allow for efficient targeting of εA lesions in vivo. This is consistent with the results from deletion experiments suggesting that direct repair is responsible for the majority of εA repair in E. coli. Nevertheless, the origin of this 40-fold specificity is interesting and potentially informative for understanding how AlkA recognizes other lesions. We determined a $K_{\text{flip}}$ value of 3, which indicates that the flipping of εA into the active site of AlkA provides only 3-fold stabilization relative to a bound state in which εA is not flipped out. By independently measuring the affinity of undamaged DNA and the overall equilibrium constant for εA-DNA binding, we can calculate a theoretical value for binding to the damaged site ($K_{\text{find}} = 14$). We do not know the nature of this early recognition complex, but it may take advantage of DNA intercalation and/or DNA bending to achieve tighter binding.

Our kinetic experiments reveal that AlkA searches DNA very rapidly. Many other DNA glycosylases use facilitated diffusion to search DNA for sites of damage, and in most cases, strong electrostatic interactions are an important feature. However, the AlkA DNA binding interface is not positively charged, and few electrostatic DNA contacts are observed in crystal structures of AlkA-DNA complexes. This raises the possibility that the searching mechanism of AlkA may be quite different from those employed by other glycosylases.

Biochemical assays suggest that a major role of AlkA is to remove positively charged alkylated bases bearing a destabilized N-glycosidic bond. The substrates have sites of alkylation that include N3 and N7 of purines and O2 of pyrimidines. It appears that AlkA has adopted a strategy of providing similar rate enhancement for excision of these damaged bases and undamaged nucleotides that have more stable N-glycosidic bonds. For this mechanism to operate, it is important that AlkA rapidly search DNA and sample nucleotides in its active site. The kinetic parameters that we have described for the excision of εA support this model. Although this mechanism provides very broad protection, it does have a cost associated with it, in the removal of undamaged bases. Indeed, overexpression of AlkA causes increased frequency of mutations attributed to larger numbers of abasic sites. E. coli minimizes this cost by controlling the expression of AlkA, inducing its expression under conditions of chronic exposure to DNA alkylation damage as part of the ada transcriptional response.

We found that AlkA binds more than 20-fold more tightly to the abasic product than to the εA lesion. This suggests that AlkA flips out the abasic site to allow for more favorable contacts with the DNA, and it is likely that the crystal structure of AlkA bound to the abasic site analogue 1-aza-2′-deoxyribose is a reasonable model for this complex. In this structure, the DNA is sharply bent, the sugar is flipped out, and a conserved leucine (L125) intercalates into the DNA duplex. Although it has been proposed that DNA glycosylases protect reactive
abasic sites from undesirable reactions, it has been observed that AlkA catalyzes promiscuous reactions of abasic sites with alcohols to generate O-glycosidic DNA adducts. Despite this tight binding, the observed dissociation is very fast and unlikely to limit the rate of AlkA catalysis on known substrates.

Comparisons between AlkA and AAG. AlkA and AAG independently evolved to remove an extensive set of alkylated and oxidatively damaged bases, but they operate under different physiological niches. Both enzymes have conserved carboxylate groups to position a water molecule and directly hydrolyze the N-glycosidic bond, and both use DNA intercalation to stabilize a flipped out lesion. However, the three-dimensional structure and identity of the residues that contact the DNA are completely different for the two enzymes. Remarkably, the rates of N-glycosidic bond cleavage are almost identical when measured under similar reaction conditions (Table S1 of the Supporting Information). In contrast, the affinities for ε-DNA are dramatically different. AlkA binds ε-DNA relatively weakly with a $K_{d}$ value of 29 nM compared to a value of 20 pM for AAG. The difference in binding makes AAG 1000-fold more efficient than AlkA at εA removal (Table S1 of the Supporting Information). Crystal structures of AAG bound to ε-DNA reveal favorable contacts with the εA nucleobase, including a hydrogen bond between a backbone amide and N6 of εA. This suggests that AAG has evolved to recognize εA as an important physiological substrate, whereas AlkA exhibits fortuitous activity. Whereas DNA binding is similarly fast for both enzymes, the rate constants for flipping are very different. Flipping is 50-fold slower and unflipping 40000-fold slower for AAG than for AlkA. This reflects an 800-fold difference in the equilibrium constant for nucleotide flipping (Table S1 of the Supporting Information). Furthermore, the slow unflipping by AAG causes a strong commitment to catalysis such that most DNA binding events are productive. As discussed above, AlkA exhibits rapid reversible ε-DNA binding without any commitment to catalysis, allowing it to quickly sample a structurally diverse set of damaged bases.

Recently, a mutant of AAG in which the intercalating residue (Y162) was mutated to alanine was described. This residue is critical for stabilizing the extrahelical εA complex. The Y162A AAG mutant is no longer committed to excision of εA, and its behavior is remarkably similar to that of wild-type (WT) AlkA. The rate constant for nucleotide flipping by Y162A AAG is virtually identical to that of AlkA [170 s$^{-1}$ compared to 180 s$^{-1}$ (Table S1 of the Supporting Information)]. The Y162A AAG mutant also exhibits a $K_{d}$ value of 17, which is much closer to that of AlkA than that of WT AAG. Thus, it appears that many of the kinetic differences between AlkA and AAG can be attributed to the intercalating tyrosine that is found in AAG. In AAG, this residue allows for much more stable binding of the extrahelical lesion but also slows the nucleotide flipping step. Although it is clearly an oversimplification to attribute the differences between enzymes to a single interaction, it is intriguing to note that kinetic studies of other DNA glycosylases show a similar trend. Uracil DNA glycosylase, like AlkA, uses an intercalating leucine and exhibits very rapid nucleotide flipping ($k_{\text{flip}} = 1200$ s$^{-1}$). Formamidopyrimidine DNA glycosylase employs an intercalating phenylalanine that is more similar to the tyrosine that AAG uses, and this enzyme exhibits slower nucleotide flipping ($k_{\text{flip}} = 1–12$ s$^{-1}$). Additional mutational studies are needed to understand the mechanistic differences between the different families of DNA repair enzymes.

Conclusions. By directly measuring DNA binding and nucleotide flipping, we have gained new insights into how AlkA recognizes DNA damage. We expect that the fast flipping and rapid searching that we have observed for an εA-DNA substrate will extend to other substrates of AlkA, and the N-glycosidic bond cleavage step is likely to be rate-limiting for most substrates. By rapidly and reversibly sampling sites, AlkA is able to maintain a remarkably promiscuous active site pocket that accommodates damaged bases of very different sizes. This strategy is ideally suited to alkyl adducts that have destabilized N-glycosidic bonds, because little catalytic power is needed to perform the reaction on a biologically relevant time scale.
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