Age-Related Maturation of Wave V Latency of Auditory Brainstem Response in Children
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Background and Objectives: Auditory brainstem response (ABR) is a noninvasive measurement of a stimulus-locked, synchronous electrical event. ABR provides information concerning the functional integrity of brainstem nuclei. Age is a key factor in the interpretation of ABR peak latency among different age groups. Progressively with time it follows a “maturation pattern” during which latencies decrease. Wave V is very prominent and reliable for detection of threshold in children. The present study was performed to see the effect of age related auditory maturation on ABR wave V latency in children.

Subjects and Methods: The study involved 80 subjects ranging in age from birth to 12 years. The subjects were divided equally into eight age groups. ABR were elicited by an acoustic click stimuli, brainstem responses collected through electrode and recorded at the same time. Latency of wave V was acknowledged.

Results: Wave V latency decreased rapidly in early childhood, became slower after 3 years of age and completely matured by 12 years of age. There was no significant difference in latency of wave V between the ears with age.

Conclusions: There is a distinct maturation pattern of wave V latency in ABR for both ears. ABR is a reliable test to assess the functional maturation of wave V in children.
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ed that wave III and wave I-III inter-wave interval are more sensitive than wave V for auditory brainstem maturation. The same study also reported that conceptual age is not as satisfactory as the inter-wave-interval. Jackobson and Morehouse [4] examined the ABR of 125 normal ears in subjects 40–49 weeks in gestational age, with unfiltered clicks used as stimuli. The absolute latencies were prolonged in all age groups and decreased as gestational age increased, with the exception of wave I, which approximated adult latency by 2 months of age. The authors recorded a mean latency shift of 0.25, 0.18, and 1.41 ms for I, III, and V, respectively, as gestational age increased.

Paludetti, et al. [5] studied 59 children diagnosed as normal. The authors observed age-related variations of the various ABR parameters due to the maturational development of auditory pathways and compared these with normal adult values. The children were divided into four age groups (36–41 weeks gestational age, 1–6 months, 6–12 months, and 12–36 months). The latency value of wave V decreased with increasing age, with decreased latency between groups. Change in wave V latency of 9.9 ms at 26 weeks of gestation to 6.9 ms at 40 weeks of gestation [6] and from 7.9 ms at 33–34 weeks gestational age to 7.65 ms at 39–40 weeks of gestational age [7]. A study of auditory brainstem responses in 535 children 3 months to 3 years of age reported that wave V latency decreased with age until 18 months of age, with little or no change noted in wave I latency at the same ages [8]. Inter-peak latency differences followed the same developmental time period as wave V. Another study that addressed normative brainstem auditory evoked potentials in children reported increasingly higher absolute latency and inter-peak latency with lower age [7]. Elsewhere, measurements of brainstem auditory evoked response in normal term neonates indicated mean latencies of wave I (1.79), II (2.88), III (4.54), IV (5.86), V (6.75) and inter-peak latency I–III (2.75), III–V (2.22), and I–V (4.97) [9]. The authors observed decreased latency with increasing age. A marked discrepancy of wave V latency and inter-peak latency was noted.

In addition, Table 1 summarizes the different methods used in difference studies and highlights the lack of information from infancy to childhood. The present study is based on the assumption that the development of the brain is predominately sensitive to auditory maturation, and that the development of auditory pathways during sensitive periods in early childhood ensures normal hearing.

Need for the study

Age is a very important factor in the diagnosis of ABR waveforms, especially for wave V as it is a prominent and reliable parameter to establish the threshold of hearing. The value of the peak latency varies among different age groups. The effect of maturation is age-dependent and differs from infancy to childhood. Limited literature is available concerning the effect of maturation across age in India and world-wide. The significance of this study is that it includes age groups from infants to children (birth to 12 years), which provide a more accurate maturation pattern of latency of wave V in infancy and beyond. The present study was undertaken to understand the effect of age on auditory maturation with age from infancy.

Objective

To assess the effect of age-related auditory maturation on ABR wave V latency with age

Subjects and Methods

After obtaining approval from the institutional ethics com-
mittee and informed consent from the parents or caregivers all the test procedures were performed.

Participants

The study involved 80 subjects ranging in age from newborn to 12 years. The age range was based on the electrophysiological changes that occur during maturation of the auditory pathway [14]. In the latter study, maturation of cortical axons until 12 years of age was demonstrated. Maturation of the brainstem and pathways are later followed by maturation of thalamocortical and intracortical connections [1]. However, it is possible that the specific lemniscal & extralemniscal auditory pathways mature with different rates. The maturation of the peripheral & cortical structure suggests human auditory maturation can be divided into several periods based on structural or functional temporal landmarks. Anatomical development into several period discover the separation of the maturational sequence [1].

Presently, the 80 subjects were allocated to eight age groups of 10 subjects each (Table 2). Subjects with history or risk of hearing loss, ear infection, neurological disorder, learning difficulty, or attention difficulty were excluded. All the subjects underwent an otoscopic examination to rule out any infection or middle ear pathology. Behavioral observation audiometry was done to see the minimum response level and diagnostic otoacoustic emission was administered to check the functioning of outer hair cells. Subjects with normal function of outer hair cells were selected.

Stimulus and recording parameters

ABR were elicited by an acoustic click. Brainstem responses collected in the same manner and during the same recording session. A Neurosoft device (Neuro-audio net-1.0.98.0) was used to determine ABR. Silicon wired gold plated cup electrodes was used during ABR testing. A click is a brief square wave with broad spectral content. For the click-evoked response, the stimuli were 2,000 presented at a rate of 21.1 stimuli per s. Stimuli were presented at 30 dBnHL and 0.1 ms rectangular pulse trains through insert earphones to each ear separately (3A Insert Earphone, Ear Tone). Insert earphones were used as it prevents ear canal collapse, increases interaural attenuation, and are comfortable for a longer period of time, which may assist in reducing patient artifacts and can more effectively attenuate surrounding environmental noise. Stimulus intensity was calibrated in dBnHL; amplitude and stimulus rate were calibrated using a dual trace oscilloscope (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA) at the beginning and end of each test session. Two channel recording was done to measure the responses. This allowed for a comparison of the response recorded in each channel to determine the responsive ear. Filter settings were 30 Hz on the low-frequency end (high-pass setting) and 3,000 Hz on the high-frequency end (low-pass setting) with an analysis window of 12 ms. Decreasing the high-pass filter settings emphasized wave V. The electrode placement for two-channel recording was based on the International 10–20 system, which is the most commonly used system. In this system, the vertex electrode position is Cz, left ear is A1, the right ear is A2, and the forehead is Fpz with a contact impedance of <5 kΩ for all electrodes, and fairly equal impedance within about 2,000 ohms, which efficiently minimizes background interference. Electrodes were first applied by cleaning the surface of skin with Nuprep gel and then placing a conductive electrode gel on the skin and electrode. The electrode was attached with tape. Electrode impedance was checked after the earphones and the patient was positioned for testing and also during testing, because changes in impedance may affect the quality of the recordings. The sampling rate was 40,000 Hz and responses was an online band passed filtered, waveforms were averaged online. The recording window was 1.5 ms starting after the stimulus onset. Here, the ABR was obtained with alternatively rarefaction and condensation clicks.

Analysis

Click-evoked response and peak latency of wave V was identified for each subject. The test was administered by a qualified and experienced audiologist and the wave identification was done by four audiologists. Wave V was identified at the point on the waveform before the negative slope that follows the wave. Contralateral recording was done, which helped to identify wave V. Consistency in wave identification and reliability was ensured.

Results

The absolute latency of wave V of click-evoked ABR was measured. Wave V was present in all the participants. Table 3 shows mean and standard deviation of latency values of wave V for each ear. One way ANOVA was done to examine the effect of age on wave V latency of ABR across groups.
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Table 3. Mean and SD of latency values of wave V for the right and left ears

| Group | Age range, months | Right Mean (SD) | Left Mean (SD) |
|-------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
| A     | 0–6               | 8.16 (0.52)    | 8.32 (0.49)   |
| B     | 7–12              | 7.67 (0.59)    | 7.67 (0.54)   |
| C     | 13–24             | 7.56 (0.40)    | 7.58 (0.55)   |
| D     | 25–36             | 6.60 (0.31)    | 6.58 (0.25)   |
| E     | 37–48             | 6.15 (0.12)    | 6.15 (0.15)   |
| F     | 49–60             | 5.99 (0.14)    | 5.99 (0.12)   |
| G     | 61–84             | 5.74 (0.11)    | 5.75 (0.11)   |
| H     | 85–144            | 5.69 (0.13)    | 5.65 (0.11)   |

SD: standard deviation

Latency of the wave V decreases very rapidly until 3 years of age, after which the maturation of wave V becomes slower and was complete by 12 years of age. The absolute latency of wave V occurred within the first 8–9 ms in early age. The interaural latency difference in children was 0.2 ms. There was no significant difference in latency of wave V between the ears among all the age groups.

Post-hoc analysis was done to see the age effect between the groups in both ears. There was a significant decrease in latency between groups until 3 years of age, but there was no significant decrease in wave V latency after 3 years between groups. This indicated that maturation of the wave V occurs until 3 years of age and then became stable. Auditory maturation slowed between 1 to 2 years of age, perhaps due to the growth of axons. The absolute latency of wave V decreased very rapidly in all groups from 3 years of age. This suggests that auditory maturation is faster earlier in life. At the age of 3–4 years, maturation slowed but continued until 12 years of age.

Discussion

Development of the brain leads to auditory maturation, with the central auditory system maturing with age. ABR is one of the qualitative and quantitative measures to see the maturation processes in children. The aim of this study was to assess the age-related changes or auditory maturation on ABR wave V latency. The findings will help clarify the development of the auditory pathway from infancy to childhood. Some studies suggest that auditory pathways mature until up to 12 years of age [10], whereas others describe maturation at 2–3 years of age [4]. The present study selected an age range of infancy to 12 years of age in order to provide clarity to the contrasting findings. The subjects formed eight age groups on the assumption that auditory maturation could take place in several age periods, based on structural and functional landmarks.

The latency of ABR wave V regularly changed during the first 3 years of life. The decrease in absolute latency of ABR could be due to rapid increase in axonal myelin density in the cochlear nerve and brainstem pathways [1]. Adult-like maturity of wave V by 2 years of age has been described [1]. Wave V occurred initially within the first 8–9 ms following the onset of the stimulus. This could be because myelination of the inferior colliculus takes place at the age of 1 year, though fine-tuning takes place later during the second year of life and the density of myelin increases with age, with functional maturity by 3 years of age. These neural responses likely occur within the inferior colliculus [4]. Maturation of the primary auditory nervous system could conceivably play a part. Synaptic immaturity could lead to loss of information along the neural pathways, which would in turn lead to reduced sensitivity, prolonged evoked potential latency, and reduced evoked potential amplitude in young children. The primary auditory pathways central to the brainstem may continue to mature beyond 6 months of life [15]. The observed inter-aural latency difference of 0.2 ms indicates that the development of the auditory pathway to the inferior colliculus occurs simultaneously on both sides.

The present findings are limited by the limited number of participants in each group.

Conclusion

It is clear that there is a distinct maturational pattern for ABR. Changes in ABR latency of wave V suggests involvement of both peripheral and central auditory structures. The present study reveals infant’s response mature at 3 years of age, whereas absolute latency values continuously develop, even after from peripheral to central, and reach the adult value between 6 to 12 years of age. Birth to 3 years of age is the critical period for the development of the auditory system. ABR is a reliable test to assess maturational changes of auditory and brain stem function. Myelination of the auditory nerve and brainstem pathways is faster in the early age of life which enables children to learn faster. There was a significant difference in wave V latency among all the groups until 3 years of age, with no significant difference after 3 years of age among the groups. This could reflect the stabilization of cortical density from 2 to 5 years of age [10]. This results support the conclusion that anatomical maturation of the auditory system is manifest as progressive changes in ABR and supports the prior finding that the period from birth to 12 years of age is characterized by major transient changes in cortical evoked potential [16].
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