Abstract. Bevacizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that contains <10% murine protein. To prevent infusion-related hypersensitivity reactions (HSRs), the initial bevacizumab infusion is delivered for 90 min, the second for 60 min and subsequent doses for 30 min. Several previous studies have shown that short bevacizumab infusions are safe and do not result in severe HSRs in patients with colorectal, lung, ovarian and brain cancer. However, the efficacy of short bevacizumab infusions for colorectal cancer management remains unclear. Therefore, to investigate this issue, a prospective multicenter study was conducted using 23 patients enrolled between June 2017 and March 2019. The initial infusion of bevacizumab was for 30 min followed by a second infusion rate of 0.5 mg/kg/min (5 mg/kg over 10 min and 7.5 mg/kg over 15 min). The primary endpoint was progression-free survival (PFS). The overall response and disease control rates were 57 and 87%, respectively. The median PFS time was 306 days (interquartile range, 204-743 days). No HSRs were noted. Adverse events associated with bevacizumab included grade 4 small intestinal perforation and grade 3 stroke in 1 patient each. These results suggest that a short bevacizumab infusion regime comprising an initial infusion for 30 min followed by a second infusion at 0.5 mg/kg/min is safe and efficacious for the management of colorectal cancer.

Introduction

Clinical benefits are derived from agents that bind to circulating vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a key factor in vasculogenesis and angiogenesis. Bevacizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody that targets VEGF-A, has been widely used for the treatment of a number of solid tumors, such as metastatic colon, non-small-cell lung, breast, brain and kidney cancer (1-3). Infusion of certain humanized monoclonal antibodies, such as rituximab and trastuzumab, results in infusion-related hypersensitivity reactions (HSRs) (4). Bevacizumab contains <10% murine protein, which may also cause HSRs. Therefore, these antibodies are initially infused cautiously at a slower rate. To prevent HSRs, bevacizumab is initially infused for 90 min, with a second infusion for 60 min and subsequent infusions administered over a period of 30 min. Serious HSRs have not been reported in any major phase II or III trial (1,5,6). However, the standard dose of bevacizumab varies, for example, 5 or 7.5 mg/kg for colorectal cancer and 15 mg/kg for lung and brain cancer (7,8). Bevacizumab has been reported to be administered at a dose of 15 mg/kg for 90 min, followed by 5 mg/kg for 30 min at the same infusion rate of 0.166 mg/kg/min. Furthermore, bevacizumab administered at a dose of 15 mg/kg for 30 min has been infused at a rate of 0.5 mg/kg/min. This demonstrated that a high bevacizumab infusion rate of up to 0.5 mg/kg/min, which is three-fold higher than normal, is also safe and does not increase HSRs (2,3).

Bevacizumab is used as an initial infusion for 30 min followed by a second infusion at 0.5 mg/kg/min, which is safe and efficacious for the management of colorectal cancer.
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the management of other types of cancer, such as lung, ovarian and breast cancer (9,14). Regarding other adverse effects, short bevacizumab infusions did not increase the risk of proteinuria and hypertension (9). Although the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines state that a bevacizumab infusion rate of 0.5 mg/kg/min is safe (15), short bevacizumab infusions have not been adopted in numerous countries owing to a lack of published safety and efficacy data. The present prospective, multicenter study was conducted to evaluate the safety and efficacy of short bevacizumab infusions in patients with colorectal cancer.

Materials and methods

Study protocol and patients. In this prospective study, patients with untreated, unresectable, advanced or metastatic colorectal cancer who had not previously received chemotherapy or bevacizumab were recruited between June 2017 and March 2019 from four hospitals (Osaka Metropolitan University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka; Osaka City General Hospital, Osaka; Machida Gastrointestinal Hospital, Osaka; and Osaka Ekisaikai Hospital, Osaka) in Japan. Inclusion criteria were as follows: An age of ≥20 years, an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (PS) score of 0, 1 or 2, and one or more measurable lesions assessed by an investigator according to the Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumor (RECIST; version 1.1) guidelines (16). Exclusion criteria included the prior use of bevacizumab, brain metastasis and a history of bleeding or thrombosis. All patients received the first bevacizumab dose (5 or 7.5 mg/kg) for 30 min. If it was tolerated, the second bevacizumab infusion rate was 0.5 mg/kg/min (5 mg/kg over 10 min and 7.5 mg/kg over 15 min). No premedication was required prior to bevacizumab administration. It was essential to observe the patients for HSRs (pruritus, flushing, laryngeal edema and hypertension) at 10 and 30 min after treatment.

The primary study endpoint was progression-free survival (PFS). The secondary endpoints were incidence of HSRs, toxicities associated with bevacizumab (proteinuria, hypertension, gastrointestinal perforations, arterial/venous thromboembolic events and bleeding) and overall response rate (RR). Tumor response was assessed by computed tomography according to the RECIST ver. 1.1 every 8 weeks. Adverse events were scored at each follow-up according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4.0) (17). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of Osaka City University Graduate School of Medicine (Osaka, Japan; protocol no. 3666). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before enrollment.

Statistical analysis. Continuous variables are presented as the median (range), and categorical variables are presented as number (percentage). The Kaplan-Meier method was used to generate the PFS curve. All statistical analyses were performed using EZR (version 1.34; Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University), which is a graphical user interface for R (version 3.3.2; The R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

Results

A total of 23 patients (12 men and 11 women) were enrolled in the study, with a median age of 70 years (range, 44-80 years). Of these, 13 (57%) patients had an ECOG PS score of 0, and 10 (43%) patients had a PS score of 1. The primary tumor site included the right side, left side and rectal colon in 8 (35%), 6 (26%) and 9 (39%) patients, respectively. Regarding RAS mutation status, 7 (30%) and 16 (70%) patients had the RAS wild-type and the RAS mutant-type, respectively. A total of 18 (78%) patients had undergone primary tumor resection. Bevacizumab was administered with SOX (n=11; 48%), XELOX (n=9; 39%), FOLFOX (n=2; 9%) and FOLFOXIRI (n=1; 4%). Overall, 12 (52%) patients were on antihypertensive medication, and 1 (4%) patient had proteinuria. In total, 3 (13%) patients had a history of HSRs. Patient characteristics are shown in Table I. The median follow-up period after bevacizumab initiation was 751 days, and the median PFS time was 306 days (interquartile range, 204-743 days) (Fig. 1). The protocol treatment was discontinued owing to disease progression in 13 (57%) patients, toxicities unrelated to bevacizumab in 4 patients (17%; grade 1 pneumonitis, grade 2 palmoplantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome, grade 2 leukoencephalopathy and grade 2 malaise in 1 patient each), toxicities associated with bevacizumab in 2 patients (9%; grade 4 small intestinal perforation and grade 3 stroke in 1 patient each), a complete response in 2 patients (9%) and surgery in 1 (4%) patient. The treatment protocol was continued in 1 patient (data not shown). The responses to the protocol treatment were complete response, partial response, stable disease and progressive disease in 2 (9%), 11 (48%), 7 (30%) and 3 (13%) patients, respectively. The overall RR and disease control rate were 57 and 87%, respectively (Table II). No HSRs were reported in any of the 23 patients. A total of 6 (26%) patients developed proteinuria, of whom 3 exhibited grade 1 disease and 3 exhibited grade 2 disease. Hypertension was observed in 12 (52%) patients, of whom 3 (13%), 6 (26%) and 3 (13%) patients had grade 1, 2 and 3 disease, respectively. The adverse events associated with bevacizumab included grade 4 small intestinal perforation and grade 3 arterial/venous thromboembolic event (stroke) in 1 patient each (Table III). No treatment-related deaths occurred during the study period. After the failure of first-line chemotherapy, 17 patients received second-line chemotherapy. Consequently, 11 (65%) of these patients were treated with bevacizumab.

Discussion

The current prospective multicenter study investigated the safety and efficacy of short bevacizumab infusions in patients with colorectal cancer. The findings suggested that a shorter bevacizumab infusion was as effective as the standard infusion schedule and did not increase the risk of HSRs or associated severe adverse events. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective study to evaluate the efficacy of short bevacizumab infusions. The infusion duration affects the efficacy of certain drugs, such as 5-fluorouracil, as they have a short half-life. The >20-day half-life of bevacizumab, which implies that the infusion duration may not affect its efficacy, could
explain the absence of reports correlating its efficacy and the infusion duration.

In the present study, the PFS time and RR was 306 days and 57%, respectively. Previous studies of oxaliplatin-containing regimens combined with bevacizumab showed a median PFS time of 9-10 months and an RR of 46-52% (1,5,6,18). The present study appears comparable to previous studies in terms of efficacy results. Besides HSRs, previous clinical studies have reported adverse events such as gastrointestinal perforation (0.3-2.0%) and stroke (0.3-5.0%) associated with bevacizumab infusion (1,5,6,18). In the present study, 1 patient (4%) had a grade 4 small intestinal perforation and another (4%) had a grade 3 stroke. Therefore, the results are comparable to those reported previously.

The incidence rates of severe proteinuria and hypertension were similar to those in other clinical studies (0.3-1.0% and 3.5-15%, respectively) (1,5,6,18). A higher incidence of all grade hypertension, comparable to that reported previously (22.4-43%) (1,5,6,18), was observed in the present study (52%). However, the previous studies failed to report the number of patients receiving active antihypertensive medication. In the present study, a relatively large number of patients (52%) had a history of hypertension. Therefore, they were considered to have elevated blood pressure.

Recently, bevacizumab has shown promise as an adjunct therapy that enhances the effects of immune checkpoint inhibitors in the management of non-small cell lung cancer (IMpower150 study) and renal cell cancer (IMmotion150 study) (19,20). In the field of colorectal cancer, phase II and III studies of bevacizumab plus immune checkpoint inhibitors plus cytotoxic chemotherapy have reported promising results (21-23). In future, the use of chemotherapy with bevacizumab is expected to increase, resulting in more infusions, and making short bevacizumab infusions increasingly important to reduce the infusion rate waiting times and increase patient convenience. Therefore, short bevacizumab infusions are meaningful.

The present study has several limitations. First, this was a single-arm study, not a randomized controlled trial, and had a small sample size. Therefore, the conclusions of this study cannot be applied to clinical practice. However,
being a prospective evaluation of the safety and efficacy of the approach in a multicenter setting, this study reflects real-world clinical practice. Second, PFS was used as the primary endpoint. In general, overall survival (OS) is used to show efficacy in clinical trials and PFS is used as a surrogate endpoint for OS in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. However, when the sample size is small, PFS has been reported to be inadequate as a surrogate endpoint of OS, which also affects effectiveness. Thus, there is a likelihood of bias pertaining to PFS due to other confounding factors (24). Finally, anti-epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors plus cytotoxic chemotherapy are used as first-line treatment for RAS wild-type left-sided colorectal cancer, as they are more effective than anti-VEGF inhibitors (25). The present study was conducted before this evidence was established. In the present study, 5 patients (22%) had RAS wild-type left-sided colorectal cancer. Additionally, BRAF mutation status was not evaluated in this study, as it was not required at that time.

In conclusion, the present results suggest that a short bevacizumab infusion involving an initial infusion for 30 min followed by infusion at a rate of 0.5 mg/kg/min is safe and efficacious. Short bevacizumab infusions are expected to improve the patient's satisfaction and the tolerability, and reduce the burden on healthcare providers. As the study sample size was too small, further studies with larger sample sizes are required to validate the safety and efficacy of short bevacizumab infusions in patients with colorectal cancer.
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