The Development of the Brooding Scale
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Objective The purpose of this study was to develop a Brooding Scale (BS) and to confirm its psychometric properties.

Methods A preliminary questionnaire was developed based on a literature review and face-to-face interviews with healthy subjects. To evaluate reliability and construct validity, a 15-item BS was administered to 124 healthy subjects. Convergent validity was tested by assessing the relationship between the BS and the Ruminative Response Scale (RRS). Discriminant validity was confirmed in 58 patients with schizophrenia.

Results The internal consistency for the BS was excellent. An exploratory factor analysis yielded two factors: the emotional (six items) and cognitive (five items) domains, which explained 33.83% and 23.69% of the variance, respectively. The BS total score and scores for factors 1 and 2 showed significant positive correlations with the RRS. The total score and sub-factor scores of the BS were significantly higher in patients with schizophrenia than in healthy subjects.

Conclusion The BS can be used as a reliable and valid tool to assess brooding in healthy adults. In addition, it had good discriminant validity for patients with schizophrenia.
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INTRODUCTION

Rumination is a thinking style that is perseverative, self-focused, and negatively valenced.1-3 Many definitions and very different measures of rumination have been proposed (for reviews see Segerstrom et al.4 and Nolen-Hoeksema et al.5), including assessments focusing on the frequency of thinking about depressive symptoms,6 the intrusiveness of thoughts about a distressing event,7 and the degree to which individuals search for the meaning of negative experiences.8 In addition, rumination has been characterized as a stable, negative, broadly construed way of responding to discrepancies between one’s current status and one’s target status.9 In the past 20 years, rumination has emerged as a central concept in the understanding of psychopathology. Rumination is a well-established risk factor for the onset of major depression and anxiety symptomatology. Numerous studies suggest that the tendency to ruminate is associated with elevated and prolonged sad mood,6 vulnerability to and maintenance of depression,10 and metacognitive aspects of depression.11 Longitudinal prospective studies have found that people who are prone to rumination also have higher levels of general anxiety and posttraumatic stress symptoms.8,12-14 In the field of psychosis or schizophrenia, several studies were undertaken to explore the relationship of rumination with depression,15 suicidality,16 negative symptoms,17 and positive symptoms.18 In the cognitive model for persecutory delusion,19 “a search for meaning” for anomalous experiences contribute to the formation of delusion. We hypothesized that “a search for meaning” is a similar process to rumination.

Currently, various types of tools are available to measure rumination such as the Emotion Control Questionnaire,20...
Development of the Brooding Scale

Participants

This study enrolled two groups of participants: healthy volunteers and patients with schizophrenia. Healthy volunteers were recruited from subjects attending mental health workshops and via advertisements in local newspapers. They underwent a psychiatric interview using the screening module of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID)-NP. The inclusion criteria were age between 18 and 60 years, the ability to give written informed consent, and the ability to read and write in Korean. Prospective participants were excluded if they had any of the following: current or historical neurological or psychiatric disorders, sub-factors (12 depression-related items, 5 brooding items, and 5 reflection items). It assesses an individual's tendency to ruminate when faced with depressive symptoms. Participants are asked to indicate what they "generally do when feeling down, sad, or depressed" using a 4-point Likert-type scale representing frequency. The RRS consists of items measuring how often people engage in responses that are self-focused, those that are symptom focused, and responses focused on the causes and consequences of having a depressed mood. A higher score on the RRS indicates a predominantly ruminative response style.

Beck depression inventory (BDI)

The BDI, a 21-item self-administered questionnaire, was developed to assess the severity of subjective depressive symptoms. Each response is scored from 0 to 3, with 3 representing the greatest severity. The Korean version of the BDI was shown to have good psychometric properties (Cronbach's α=0.93, test-retest reliability coefficient r=0.91, consistency coefficient=0.85).

Statistical analysis

Chi-square and independent t-tests were used to compare demographic data from healthy volunteers with those from participants provided written informed consent after the procedure had been fully explained.

Measures

Preliminary questionnaire for the Brooding Scale (BS)

Based on reviews of the relevant literature by two psychiatrists and one psychiatric nurse, we defined brooding as passive, intrusive, and repetitive thoughts dwelling upon negative past events or memories, and we developed a questionnaire comprising a preliminary set of 20 items measuring brooding. To refine the questionnaire further, face-to-face interviews were conducted with participants in mental health workshops and outpatients with schizophrenia using the 20 preliminary items and some additional questions such as, "What makes you ruminate or brood about past negative events?" or, "Why is it difficult to be free from rumination or brooding?" In several discussions, we carefully evaluated whether the preliminary items fit with the definition of brooding and whether they overlapped. The final preliminary BS, consisting of 15 items using a 4-point Likert scale (0=never; 1=a little; 2=somewhat; 3=a lot), was administered to the healthy volunteers.

Ruminative response scale (RRS)

The Korean version of the RRS, which has a Cronbach's α of 0.89, was used. The RRS consists of 22 items and three sub-factors (12 depression-related items, 5 brooding items, and 5 reflection items). It assesses an individual's tendency to ruminate when faced with depressive symptoms. Participants are asked to indicate what they "generally do when feeling down, sad, or depressed" using a 4-point Likert-type scale representing frequency. The RRS consists of items measuring how often people engage in responses that are self-focused, those that are symptom focused, and responses focused on the causes and consequences of having a depressed mood. A higher score on the RRS indicates a predominantly ruminative response style.

Methods

Participants

This study enrolled two groups of participants: healthy volunteers and patients with schizophrenia. Healthy volunteers were recruited from subjects attending mental health workshops and via advertisements in local newspapers. They underwent a psychiatric interview using the screening module of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID)-NP. The inclusion criteria were age between 18 and 60 years, the ability to give written informed consent, and the ability to read and write in Korean. Prospective participants were excluded if they had any of the following: current or past diagnosis of a psychiatric or neurological disorder, substance use disorder (except for nicotine), or other significant medical conditions. To determine divergent validity, patients were recruited from the outpatient Department of Psychiatry at Chonbuk National University Hospital, Jeonju, South Korea. They were considered eligible to enter the study if they had a DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia, were between the ages of 18 and 60 years, and were able to read and write in Korean. We excluded patients who reported alcohol or drug dependency within the past 6 months and those with intellectual disabilities (IQ less than 80), a current or historical neurological illness, or a serious medical illness. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Chonbuk National University Hospital (IRB No. CUH 2014-11-002). All participants provided written informed consent after the procedure had been fully explained.

Measures

Preliminary questionnaire for the Brooding Scale (BS)

Based on reviews of the relevant literature by two psychiatrists and one psychiatric nurse, we defined brooding as passive, intrusive, and repetitive thoughts dwelling upon negative past events or memories, and we developed a questionnaire comprising a preliminary set of 20 items measuring brooding. To refine the questionnaire further, face-to-face interviews were conducted with participants in mental health workshops and outpatients with schizophrenia using the 20 preliminary items and some additional questions such as, "What makes you ruminate or brood about past negative events?" or, "Why is it difficult to be free from rumination or brooding?" In several discussions, we carefully evaluated whether the preliminary items fit with the definition of brooding and whether they overlapped. The final preliminary BS, consisting of 15 items using a 4-point Likert scale (0=never; 1=a little; 2=somewhat; 3=a lot), was administered to the healthy volunteers.

Ruminative response scale (RRS)

The Korean version of the RRS, which has a Cronbach's α of 0.89, was used. The RRS consists of 22 items and three sub-factors (12 depression-related items, 5 brooding items, and 5 reflection items). It assesses an individual's tendency to ruminate when faced with depressive symptoms. Participants are asked to indicate what they "generally do when feeling down, sad, or depressed" using a 4-point Likert-type scale representing frequency. The RRS consists of items measuring how often people engage in responses that are self-focused, those that are symptom focused, and responses focused on the causes and consequences of having a depressed mood. A higher score on the RRS indicates a predominantly ruminative response style.

Beck depression inventory (BDI)

The BDI, a 21-item self-administered questionnaire, was developed to assess the severity of subjective depressive symptoms. Each response is scored from 0 to 3, with 3 representing the greatest severity. The Korean version of the BDI was shown to have good psychometric properties (Cronbach's α=0.93, test-retest reliability coefficient r=0.91, consistency coefficient=0.85).

Statistical analysis

Chi-square and independent t-tests were used to compare demographic data from healthy volunteers with those from participants provided written informed consent after the procedure had been fully explained.

Measures

Preliminary questionnaire for the Brooding Scale (BS)

Based on reviews of the relevant literature by two psychiatrists and one psychiatric nurse, we defined brooding as passive, intrusive, and repetitive thoughts dwelling upon negative past events or memories, and we developed a questionnaire comprising a preliminary set of 20 items measuring brooding. To refine the questionnaire further, face-to-face interviews were conducted with participants in mental health workshops and outpatients with schizophrenia using the 20 preliminary items and some additional questions such as, "What makes you ruminate or brood about past negative events?" or, "Why is it difficult to be free from rumination or brooding?" In several discussions, we carefully evaluated whether the preliminary items fit with the definition of brooding and whether they overlapped. The final preliminary BS, consisting of 15 items using a 4-point Likert scale (0=never; 1=a little; 2=somewhat; 3=a lot), was administered to the healthy volunteers.

Ruminative response scale (RRS)

The Korean version of the RRS, which has a Cronbach's α of 0.89, was used. The RRS consists of 22 items and three sub-factors (12 depression-related items, 5 brooding items, and 5 reflection items). It assesses an individual's tendency to ruminate when faced with depressive symptoms. Participants are asked to indicate what they "generally do when feeling down, sad, or depressed" using a 4-point Likert-type scale representing frequency. The RRS consists of items measuring how often people engage in responses that are self-focused, those that are symptom focused, and responses focused on the causes and consequences of having a depressed mood. A higher score on the RRS indicates a predominantly ruminative response style.

Beck depression inventory (BDI)

The BDI, a 21-item self-administered questionnaire, was developed to assess the severity of subjective depressive symptoms. Each response is scored from 0 to 3, with 3 representing the greatest severity. The Korean version of the BDI was shown to have good psychometric properties (Cronbach's α=0.93, test-retest reliability coefficient r=0.91, consistency coefficient=0.85).

Statistical analysis

Chi-square and independent t-tests were used to compare demographic data from healthy volunteers with those from participants provided written informed consent after the procedure had been fully explained.
patients. To determine the reliability of the BS, Cronbach’s alpha coefficients and item-total correlations were calculated. We also conducted an exploratory principal component analysis with varimax rotation. The number of factors was determined by the eigenvalues and the amount of variance explained by each factor. In addition, we followed the rule for factor loading cutoff that satisfactory variables 1) load onto their primary factor above 0.60, 2) load onto alternative factors below 0.40, and 3) demonstrate a difference of 0.20 between their primary and alternative factor loadings. Convergent validity between the BS and other measures (RRS and BDI) was evaluated using Pearson’s correlation coefficients. To examine discriminant validity, the scores on the BS were compared between the two samples. All data were analyzed using SPSS 18.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The level of significance was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics

In total, 124 healthy volunteers (approximately half of whom were university students) and 58 patients were initially recruited for this study. We excluded the subjects who had missing data for at least one item on the BS, RRS, or BDI, yielding final samples of 119 healthy volunteers and 52 patients. Demographic characteristics of the two samples are shown in Table 1. Among these, education differed significantly between the two samples (p<0.001).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of healthy volunteer and patient groups

| Variable          | Healthy volunteer (N=119) | Patient (N=52) | p-value |
|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Sex (M/F)         | 59/60                      | 26/26          | 0.960   |
| Age (years)       | 32.50±11.11                | 32.38±10.13    | 0.947   |
| Education (years) | 14.76±1.84                 | 13.06±2.14     | <0.001  |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number

Reliability and factor analysis (Table 2)

In healthy volunteers, the Cronbach’s α values for the BS total and factors 1, 2, and 3 were 0.89, 0.89, 0.76, and 0.50, respectively, and the item-total correlation ranged from 0.34 to 0.74. Before factor analysis, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) value, calculated as an indicator of data coherence, was 0.86, and the Bartlett test was found to be significant (χ²=751.43, df=105, p<0.001). The minimum KMO value recommended was 0.74. Before factor analysis, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) value, calculated as an indicator of data coherence, was 0.86, and the Bartlett test was found to be significant (χ²=751.43, df=105, p<0.001). The minimum KMO value recommended was 0.74. Before factor analysis, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) value, calculated as an indicator of data coherence, was 0.86, and the Bartlett test was found to be significant (χ²=751.43, df=105, p<0.001).

Convergent and discriminant validity

The total score on the BS and scores on factors 1 and 2 of the BS were all significantly correlated with the total and sub-factor scores for the RRS and with the total BDI score (Table 3). The mean score of total sum score on the BS and mean scores on factors 1 and 2 of the BS differed significantly between healthy volunteers and patients with schizophrenia (Table 4). After controlling for education, only factor 1 remained significant.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, a new BS was developed using data gathered from healthy adults, and psychometric characteristics of the scale were examined. We found that the BS exhibited good reliability and validity.

As for the reliability, the Cronbach’s α for the 11-item BS was excellent (0.87). The correlations between individual items and the total score for the BS were moderate to large, demonstrating high inter-item consistency. In addition, the internal consistency for the sub-factors of the BS was considered adequate, which also means that the overall reliability was good. Therefore, it is expected that the sub-factors of the BS would be useful as indicators of sub-components of brooding in future studies. Exploratory factor analysis by principal component analysis revealed that the BS is composed of two factors. The first factor, which accounted for 33.83% of the variation, was represented by six items on the questionnaire, mostly describing emotional neglect, anger, inferiority, betrayal, alienation, lowered self-esteem, and so on. This suggests a link to response styles theory, which suggests that rumination is negative repetitive thinking about the causes, consequences, and symptoms of one’s negative affect, especially depression. Therefore, it was deemed part of the emotional domain of brooding. The second factor consisted of five items: “My thinking is very rigid and inflexible, which keeps me dwelling on negative things”; “Not being broad minded, I
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Table 2. Factor load values, and item total correlation values obtained from exploratory factor analysis of the Brooding scale in healthy subjects: A) Original scale; and B) Modified scale excluding factor 3 (item 1 and 5), item 8 and item 10

| Scale item no. | F1     | F2     | F3     | Item-total correlation |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------|
| A) Original scale |        |        |        |                        |
| 2             | 0.821  | 0.131  | 0.046  | 0.735                  |
| 12            | 0.813  | 0.068  | 0.069  | 0.690                  |
| 15            | 0.755  | 0.223  | 0.048  | 0.694                  |
| 11            | 0.732  | 0.118  | 0.325  | 0.700                  |
| 4             | 0.643  | 0.309  | 0.165  | 0.641                  |
| 8             | 0.632  | 0.48   | 0.05   | 0.662                  |
| 3             | 0.576  | 0.337  | 0.237  | 0.601                  |
| 10            | 0.527  | 0.344  | 0.125  | 0.545                  |
| 7             | 0.098  | 0.81   | -0.137 | 0.588                  |
| 14            | 0.39   | 0.634  | 0.007  | 0.545                  |
| 13            | 0.372  | 0.629  | 0.197  | 0.586                  |
| 6             | 0.027  | 0.608  | 0.316  | 0.446                  |
| 9             | 0.289  | 0.602  | 0.126  | 0.477                  |
| 5             | 0.222  | -0.06  | 0.808  | 0.335                  |
| 1             | 0.092  | 0.239  | 0.728  | 0.335                  |
| Cronbach’s α (total: 0.89) | 0.886  | 0.759  | 0.5    |                        |
| Eigenvalue   | 4.306  | 2.89   | 1.572  |                        |
| Explained proportion (%) (total: 58.451%) | 28.71  | 19.264 | 10.477 |                        |
| B) Modified scale |        |        |        |                        |
| 2             | 0.837  | 0.127  |        | 0.749                  |
| 12            | 0.791  | 0.118  |        | 0.685                  |
| 11            | 0.774  | 0.162  |        | 0.693                  |
| 15            | 0.745  | 0.223  |        | 0.663                  |
| 4             | 0.673  | 0.316  |        | 0.620                  |
| 3             | 0.629  | 0.358  |        | 0.588                  |
| 7             | 0.054  | 0.809  |        | 0.588                  |
| 6             | 0.066  | 0.678  |        | 0.446                  |
| 13            | 0.39   | 0.644  |        | 0.586                  |
| 9             | 0.279  | 0.614  |        | 0.477                  |
| 14            | 0.391  | 0.605  |        | 0.545                  |
| Cronbach’s α (total: 0.872) | 0.867  | 0.759  |        |                        |
| Eigenvalue   | 3.721  | 2.606  |        |                        |
| Explained proportion (%) (total: 57.519%) | 33.827 | 23.692 |        |                        |

Item-total correlation: all p<0.05

tend to think deep and long”; “It is hard to let go of negative things that happened to me”; “I often reproach myself too long for a mistake”; and “Oftentimes, I feel mad at myself for not coping well with regard to negative events.” These items highlight a traditional malignant characteristic of patients with schizophrenia, i.e., concrete and inflexible thinking. Relatedly, trait rumination was associated with poor performance on tasks thought to index cognitive control.43 We identified this factor as the cognitive domain of brooding. In relation to first analysis, the third factor consisted of two items, “When I am in trouble, I tend to dig into things endlessly” and, “Faced with conflict, I must solve it at all costs.” It has been suggested that a factor containing fewer than three items, called a trivial factor, might decrease generalizability44 and that at least three items are required for factor identification.45,46 Based on this recommendation, we removed factor 3. Furthermore, items 8 and 10 violated the factor loading cutoff which was subsequently deleted in the second analysis. Therefore, we recommend using 11-item BS as a final scale: factor 1 (emotional domain) consisting of items 2, 3, 4, 11, 12, and 15 and factor 2 (cognitive domain), items 6, 7, 9, 13, and 14.

The correlations observed between the BS scores and the RRS scores demonstrate the convergent validity of the BS. It is interesting to note that the strength of association between
Table 3. Correlations among total and sub-factor scores of the Brooding Scale and other scales in healthy subjects

| Variable                  | BS (N=119)          | RRS (N=119)          |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                           | Total | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Total | Depression | Brooding | Reflection |
| BS (N=119)                |       |          |          |       |            |          |            |
| Factor 1                  | 0.915** |          |          | 0.952** |          |          |            |
| Factor 2                  | 0.847** | 0.561**  |          | 0.568** | 0.530**   | 0.580**  | 0.399**    |
| RRS (N=119)               |       |          |          |       |            |          |            |
| Total                     | 0.645** | 0.569**  | 0.574**  | 0.828** | 0.742**   | 0.421**  | 0.206*     |
| Depression                | 0.623** | 0.568**  | 0.530**  | 0.722** | 0.542**   | 0.421**  | 0.206*     |
| Brooding                  | 0.595** | 0.487*** | 0.580**  | 0.487** | 0.528**   | 0.421**  | 0.206*     |
| Reflection                | 0.392** | 0.345**  | 0.350**  | 0.568** | 0.574**   | 0.421**  | 0.206*     |
| BDITotal (N=119)          | 0.417** | 0.299**  | 0.461**  | 0.487** | 0.528**   | 0.421**  | 0.206*     |

*p<0.05, **p<0.001. BDI: Beck Depression Inventory, BS: Brooding Scale, RRS: Ruminative Response Scale

Table 4. Comparison of the Brooding scale scores between healthy volunteer and patient groups

| Variable                  | Healthy volunteer | Patient            | p-value* | p-value† |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|
|                           | (N=119)           | (N=52)              |          |          |
| BS                        | 1.24±0.58         | 1.72±0.78           | 0.001    | 0.06     |
| Factor 1                  | 1.33±0.68         | 1.79±0.85           | <0.001   | 0.034    |
| Factor 2                  | 1.13±0.62         | 1.65±0.81           | <0.001   | 0.074    |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number. *T-test, †ANCOVA. BS: Brooding Scale

the BS (total and factors 1 and 2) and RRS was greater for the brooding component of the RRS than for the reflection component. These results suggest that factors 1 and 2 of the BS are more likely to capture the brooding component of the RRS and have good convergent validity with the RRS. Comparison of the BS scores between healthy subjects and patients with schizophrenia showed that patients with schizophrenia were more likely to brood about the negative things that happened to them. However, when education was controlled, differences in the BS total score and factors 2 were no longer significant. These findings imply that the emotional domain of the BS, factor 1, may be tapping a unique and strong characteristic of patients with schizophrenia, and may have better ability to differentiate patients with schizophrenia from healthy subjects.

Taken together, the results for internal consistency and those for the construct, convergent, and discriminant validities indicate that the BS is a reliable and valid tool. Psychometric properties of the Korean version of the RRS or RRS-revised have been explored in university students, adolescents and patients with major depressive disorder. However, they did not address the issue of differentiating brooding from other components or the question of divergent validity in patients with schizophrenia. The strengths of the present study are that we developed an original scale targeting brooding and tested its discriminant validity in patients with schizophrenia. Brooding is conceptualized as a negative and evaluative focus on the self, and it is proposed to be maladaptive. Accurate evaluation of brooding and the development of interventions designed to decrease brooding would have an important impact with regard to alleviating diverse psychopathologies and leading to good outcomes in patients with mental illnesses. In this regard, development of the BS offers an important contribution. In future studies, it is hoped that the BS will be used to explore the role of brooding in diverse symptoms among patients with psychosis.

The present findings must be cautiously interpreted considering the following limitations. First, even though we tried to develop a scale measuring brooding specifically, it was found to have significant correlations with depression and with the reflection component of the RRS. Further refinement of the scale is needed in the future. Second, as we have deleted original four items, validity of the BS should have tested in new samples. This should be further tested. Third, given the importance of rumination in depression, validity of the BS should be explored in the patients with depression. Fourth, discriminant validity for schizophrenia was weakened after education, a confounding factor, was controlled. This should be considered in interpreting BS results for patients with schizophrenia in future studies. In conclusion, the BS has good psychometric properties, and it can be used as a reliable and valid tool to assess brooding in healthy adults. In addition, it has good discriminant validity for patients with schizophrenia. Further investigations are needed to evaluate the BS fully, including its application to the general population, to psychiatric inpatients, and to those with depression.
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