Distinct maternal microbiota clusters are associated with diet during pregnancy: impact on neonatal microbiota and infant growth during the first 18 months of life
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ABSTRACT

Nutrition during pregnancy plays an important role in maternal–neonatal health. However, the impact of specific dietary components during pregnancy on maternal gut microbiota and the potential effects on neonatal microbiota and infant health outcomes in the short term are still limited. A total of 86 mother–neonate pairs were enrolled in this study. Gut microbiota profiling on maternal–neonatal stool samples at birth was carried out by 16S rRNA gene sequencing using Illumina. Maternal dietary information and maternal–neonatal clinical and anthropometric data were recorded during the first 18 months. Longitudinal Body Mass Index (BMI) and Weight-For-Length (WFL) z-score trajectories using the World Health Organization (WHO) curves were obtained. The maternal microbiota was grouped into two distinct microbial clusters characterized by Prevotella (Cluster I) and by the Ruminococcus genus (Cluster II). Higher intakes of total dietary fiber, omega-3 fatty acids, and polyphenols were observed in Cluster II compared to Cluster I. Higher intakes of plant-derived components were associated with a higher presence of the Christensenellaceae family, Dehalobacterium and Eubacterium, and lower amounts of the Dialister and Campylobacter species. Maternal microbial clusters were also linked to neonatal microbiota and infant growth in a birth-dependent manner. C-section neonates from Cluster I showed the highest BMI z-score at age 18 months, along with a higher risk of overweight. Longitudinal BMI and WFL z-score trajectories from birth to 18 months were shaped by maternal microbial cluster, diet, and birth mode. Diet was an important perinatal factor in early life that may impact maternal microbiota; in particular, fiber, lipids and proteins, and exert a significant effect on the neonatal microbiome and contribute to infant development during the first months of life.

Abbreviations: NCDs: Non-Communicable Diseases, C-section: Cesarean Section, BMI: Body Mass Index; WL: Weight for length; EPA: Eicosapentanoic Acid; DHA: Docosahexaenoic Acid; DPA: Docosapentaenoic Acid; SCFA: Short Chain Fatty Acids; MD: Mediterranean Diet; FFQ: Food Frequency Questionnaire; CHI: Calinski Harabasz Index
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Introduction

The first 1,000 days of life comprise a sensitive, critical period in which nutrition has a pivotal impact, influencing the risk of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as metabolic disorders, cardiovascular diseases, allergies and obesity. Maternal nutrition during this period is considered crucial for supporting health status and promoting adequate neonatal growth and development, as the diet is one of the most powerful factors driving the microbiota. Recent studies have highlighted the impact of maternal diet on maternal microbiota; most of these studies focused on maternal obesity and gestational diabetes (GDM). In addition, some studies have reported the effects of maternal diet on the offspring’s microbiota. Despite the evidence of the effects of maternal diet on the microbiome, little is known about the potential effects on the infant’s health outcomes. It is well known that the maternal microbiota represents the most important...
microbial source for the development of the neonatal microbiota. Shifts in infant microbiota development have been linked to alterations at the immunological and metabolic levels, leading to an increased risk of NCDs. Early exposure to antibiotic use, unbalanced diets, and the increased ratios of C-section deliveries could disrupt this adequate early infant colonization, which have been linked to the risk of childhood obesity. Maternal overweight and obesity have an impact on the infant microbiota and can influence the risk of childhood obesity.

In this scenario, the relevance of maternal dietary patterns and the role of specific nutritional compounds on the gut microbiota during pregnancy has not been fully understood. Moreover, little is known about its influence on infant health outcomes in the short and long term. Thus, the aim of this study is to assess whether maternal gut microbiota is shaped by diet and specific nutritional components during pregnancy and to evaluate the potential impact on infant development during the first 18 months of life.

Results

Maternal microbial clusters at birth and clinical data

Microbial relative abundance-based clustering using Jensen Shannon distances revealed two clusters of maternal gut microbiota at birth (Figure 1a,b). The clustering was validated using the Calinski Harabasz Index (CHI) and prediction strength, which uses a cross-validation approach to validate the robustness of clustering.

From a total number of 116 mother–infant dyads, we excluded the pairs lacking biological

---

Figure 1. Maternal gut microbial clusters and representative genus. The PAM method shows that participants are separated into two clusters (a) and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) showed the two differential clusters (b); the relative abundances (%) of the representative bacterial genus in each cluster are represented, Prevotella in Cluster I (red circles) (c) and Ruminococcus in Cluster II (blue squares) (d). The middle line represents the media of all values.
infant samples and/or clinical data as well as maternal and infant data. Then a total of 86 mother–infant pairs were analyzed based on the matched biological samples as well as dietary information and clinical data availability up to 18 months of life.

No significant differences in maternal clinical and anthropometric data were found between the maternal microbiota clusters (Table 1). The prevalence of C-section as well as the administration of antibiotics due to the mode of delivery was significantly higher for Cluster I than for Cluster II. Cluster II presented higher rates of exclusive breastfeeding. Neonates belonging to Cluster II presented significantly lower BMI and WFL z-scores at birth, 1 month, and 18 months of age.

**Maternal microbial cluster composition and diversity**

Microbial structure between maternal microbial clusters was also confirmed by principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) with Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrices (PERMANOVA R2 = 0.188, p < .001) (Figure 2a). A redundancy analysis (RDA) was also consistent with a clear differentiation in the microbiota composition between clusters (variance = 31.74, F = 4.95 p = .001) (Figure 2b).

Specifically, the maternal gut microbial in Cluster I was enriched by the genus *Prevotella* (p < .0001), followed by *Peptophilus*, *Anaerococcus*, and *Porphyromonas*; Cluster II was enriched by *Ruminococcus* (p < .0001) and an unclassified genus from the *Ruminococcaceae* family (p < .001), followed by the unclassified genus Clostridiales (p < .001), an unclassified genus from the *Lachnospiraceae* family (p < .001), *Bacteroides* (p < .001), *Blautia* (p < .001), and *Bifidobacterium* (p < .0001). To further investigate the association of specific microbial taxa with maternal microbiota clusters, a linear discriminant analysis of effect size (LEfSe) was performed. The prevalence of the *Ruminococcus* as well as unclassified *Ruminococcaceae*, Clostridiales, and Lachnospiraceae reliably identified Cluster II. In addition, the prevalence of *Prevotella* and WAL_1855D (order Clostridiales), *Anaerococcus*, *Peptophilus*, and the *Finegoldia* genus were linked to Cluster I (Figure 2c).

Microbiota alpha-diversity values, measured by Shannon, Chao1 and inverse Simpson indexes, also showed significant differences according to clustering stratification (p < .05). Higher significant microbial diversity and richness were observed in maternal gut microbiota in Cluster I than those observed in Cluster II (Figure 2d–f).

**Maternal microbial clusters are linked to specific dietary compounds**

The maternal intakes of the major dietary nutrients were significantly different between microbial clusters (Table 1). A significantly higher intake of total and insoluble dietary fiber (attributable to insoluble pectin), higher intakes of omega-3 fatty acids (mainly of docosahexaenoic acid [DHA] and docosapentaenoic acid [DPA]), and polyphenols were observed in mothers from Cluster II compared to Cluster I.

Furthermore, a PERMANOVA test for dietary factors contributing to overall differences in maternal microbiota composition showed that the main contributors were polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA (R^2 = 0.044, p = .010), animal protein (R^2 = 0.036, p = .022), and total fiber (R^2 = 0.036, p = .023); no relevance was found for lipids, monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), saturated fatty acids (SFA), carbohydrates (CHO), total protein, and vegetable protein. A principal component analysis (PCA) biplot showed that maternal microbiota clusters were associated with a specific microbial genus (Figure 3a) and nutrients (Figure 3b). Cluster I was associated with CHO, SFA, and proteins (mostly animal protein), and to *Prevotella*, *Peptophilus*, *Finegoldia*, and *Anaerococcus*, while Cluster II was associated with dietary fiber, vegetable protein, polyphenols, and lipids (mainly, the n-3 fatty acids DHA and DPA) as well as *Ruminococcus* and unclassified *Ruminococcaceae*.

Specific associations between different nutrient intakes and the most predominant gut bacteria at the genus level were found (Figure 3c). We observed a strong positive association of bacterial groups representative of Cluster II with omega-3 fatty acids such as EPA, DHA, and DPA and several dietary fibers. Moreover, we also demonstrated significant correlations between all these analyzed nutrients and other specific gut bacteria that appeared in lower relative abundances. A significant pattern was detected that associated the consumption of nutrients present in
### Table 1. Characteristics of mothers-neonates.

| Maternal characteristics | All (n = 86) | Cluster I (n = 43) | Cluster II (n = 43) | P value |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age (years)               | 31.12 ± 4.12| 31.61 ± 4.31       | 30.65 ± 3.87        | 0.39    |
| Pre-gestational BMI (Kg/m2) | 23.14 ± 4.27| 23.13 ± 4.79       | 23.15 ± 3.72        | 0.55    |
| Weight gain during pregnancy | 13.05 ± 4.16| 13.45 ± 3.96       | 12.65 ± 4.38        | 0.45    |
| Antibiotic during pregnancy (%) | 30 (34.88)  | 19 (44.19)         | 11 (25.58)          | 0.08    |
| Gestational age (weeks)   | 39.39 ± 1.15| 39.2 ± 1.03        | 39.6 ± 1.21         | 0.12    |
| Mode of delivery: Vaginal birth (%) | 60 (69.77)  | 22 (51.16)         | 38 (88.37)          | <0.0001 |

### Infant characteristics

| Gender: Female (%) | 40 (46.51) | 19 (44.19) | 21 (48.84) | 0.66 |
| Birth weight (kg)  | 3.35 ± 0.42 | 3.36 ± 0.46 | 3.33 ± 0.38 | 0.69 |
| Antibiotic at birth | 32 (37.21)  | 22 (51.16)  | 10 (23.26)  | <0.0001 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding until 6 month | 59 (68.61)  | 24 (55.81)  | 35 (81.4)  | 0.01 |
| BMI z-score at birth | −0.24 ± 1.04| −0.30 ± 1.23| −0.17 ± 0.87 | 0.01 |
| 1 month            | −0.47 ± 0.99| −0.46 ± 1.08| −0.49 ± 0.89| 0.02 |
| 6 months           | −0.32 ± 0.95| −0.45 ± 1.04| −0.20 ± 0.86| 0.34 |
| 12 months          | 0.06 ± 1.17 | 0.46 ± 1.28 | 0.08 ± 1.04 | 0.16 |
| 18 months          | 0.14 ± 1.16 | 0.21 ± 1.33 | 0.07 ± 0.96 | 0.04 |

### Maternal Dietary intakes

| MD score | 8.8 ± 1.7 | 8.3 ± 1.7 | 9.1 ± 1.7 | 0.17 |
| Total protein (g/day) | 112.7 ± 18.4 | 110.4 ± 18.2 | 115.0 ± 18.6 | 0.25 |
| Animal protein (g/day) | 60.7 ± 18.0 | 60.9 ± 19.1 | 60.5 ± 17.1 | 0.90 |
| Lipids (g/day) | 111.1 ± 17.5 | 109.9 ± 19.4 | 112.4 ± 15.5 | 0.52 |
| Cholesterol (g/day) | 295.78 ± 74.7 | 295.5 ± 72.12 | 296.0 ± 78.1 | 0.98 |
| SFA | 22.8 ± 5.5 | 23.34 ± 5.8 | 22.3 ± 5.1 | 0.37 |
| MUFA | 46.4 ± 9.9 | 45.7 ± 11.7 | 47.2 ± 7.6 | 0.50 |
| PUFA | 17.6 ± 4.4 | 18.3 ± 5.3 | 16.8 ± 3.2 | 0.12 |
| n-6 CLA | 0.004 ± 0.01 | 0.003 ± 0.01 | 0.005 ± 0.01 | 0.13 |
| n-3 ALA | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.33 |
| n-3 EPA | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.07 |
| n-3 DPA | 0.1 ± 0.05 | 0.06 ± 0.04 | 0.08 ± 0.05 | 0.04 |
| n-3 DHA | 0.3 ± 0.2 | 0.3 ± 0.2 | 0.4 ± 0.2 | 0.04 |
| Carbohydrates (g/day) | 259.34 ± 40.6 | 264.8 ± 45.4 | 253.8 ± 34.7 | 0.22 |
| Polysaccharides (g/day) | 137.2 ± 36.8 | 143.78 ± 42.2 | 130.5 ± 29.4 | 0.10 |
| Lactose (g/day) | 11.3 ± 10.4 | 13.9 ± 10.8 | 8.7 ± 9.3 | 0.02 |
| Total dietary fiber (g/day) | 37.5 ± 12.0 | 34.1 ± 8.8 | 40.9 ± 13.8 | 0.01 |
| Cellulose (g/day) | 8.8 ± 3.2 | 8.1 ± 2.7 | 9.5 ± 3.6 | 0.05 |
| Insoluble dietary fiber (g/day) | 23.2 ± 8.4 | 21.4 ± 6.6 | 25.2 ± 9.6 | 0.04 |
| Insoluble hemicellulose (g/day) | 7.9 ± 3.6 | 7.1 ± 2.9 | 8.8 ± 4.0 | 0.03 |
| Insoluble pectin (g/day) | 2.8 ± 1.1 | 2.5 ± 0.8 | 3.1 ± 1.3 | 0.01 |
| Soluble dietary fiber (g/day) | 4.6 ± 1.5 | 4.3 ± 1.2 | 5.0 ± 1.7 | 0.05 |
| Soluble hemicellulose (g/day) | 2.9 ± 1.0 | 2.7 ± 0.9 | 3.1 ± 1.1 | 0.10 |
| Soluble pectin (g/day) | 1.5 ± 0.6 | 1.4 ± 0.5 | 1.6 ± 0.6 | 0.07 |
| Starch (g/day) | 29.2 ± 12.4 | 29.3 ± 12.9 | 29.1 ± 12.3 | 0.94 |
| Klason lignin (g/day) | 3.45 ± 1.2 | 3.4 ± 1.1 | 3.5 ± 1.2 | 0.81 |
| Phytoestrogens (mg/day) | 137.5 ± 37.5 | 132.8 ± 36.6 | 142.4 ± 38.2 | 0.25 |
| Polyphenols (mg/day) | 1807.07 ± 918.4 | 1636.7 ± 596.2 | 2110.5 ± 1171.3 | 0.02 |

For numerical data, results are shown as media±SD and for the categorical data, results are shown as number of cases (percentage %) Student T-test and Chi-Squared test were performed to assess the significance of the differences in the characteristics between clusters section in numerical variables and categorical variables, respectively. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. BMI and WL z-scores were obtained with WHO curves adjusted by age and gender. Diets were adjusted by total energy intake to 2500 Kcal/day. Each nutrients value was extracted by the food frequency questionnaire using the Centro de Enseñanza Superior de Nutrición Humana y Dietética (CESNID) tables. Saturated fatty acids (SFA), monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), trans-unsaturated fatty acids (TRANS); omega-6 Conjugated Linoleic Acid (CLA); omega-3 alpha-linolenic acid (ALA); omega-3 Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA); omega-3 Docosapentaenoic acid (DPA); omega-3 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). MD: Mediterranean Diet Adherence score according to PREDIMED questionnaires.
**Figure 2.** Maternal microbial clusters characteristics and alfa and beta diversity. Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) with Bray–Curtis index (a) and Multivariate RDA (b) showed significant differences in microbial communities between clusters. Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) Effect Size (LEfSe) plot of taxonomic biomarkers was identified in both clusters. Cluster I (red color) and Cluster II (blue color). (c) and microbial diversity and richness indexes at species level according to each cluster (D, E, F). Mean ±SD and p-values with T-test. Cluster I = red circles and Cluster II = blue squares.

**Figure 3.** Maternal diet shapes the microbial clusters. Biplots from the principal component analysis (PCA) showed that maternal microbiota clusters were associated with specific microbial genus (a) and nutrients (b). Cluster I = red squares and Cluster II = blue circles. Pearson correlations between nutrient intake during pregnancy and bacterial abundance at the genus level at delivery (c). Association of dietary components with the most abundant bacterial group of each cluster. Significant correlations (p < .05) were marked by an asterisk (*). Blue squares marked the negative correlations, whereas the red color showed positive correlations as measured by Pearson’s correlations.
foods of plant-based origin, such as vegetable proteins, dietary fiber, and polyphenols with members of the family Christensenellaceae, Dehalobacterium, and Eubacterium and negatively with bacterial groups such as Campylobacter and Dialister.

**Maternal microbial clusters are linked to neonatal microbiota at birth**

Neonatal microbiota composition at birth was shaped by maternal microbial clusters (PERMANOVA, $R^2 = 0.035$, $p = .005$). A higher relative abundance of Firmicutes was observed in Cluster I compared to Cluster II ($p = .060$) (Figure 4a). A multivariate analysis (RDA) at the genus level also showed distinct neonatal microbial communities at birth shaped significantly by the maternal microbial cluster ($F = 2.21$, $p = .001$) (Figure 4b). No differences were found in neonatal alpha-diversity indexes (Shannon, Chao1, and Inverse Simpson indexes) according to maternal clusters. Further, a PERMANOVA model test (Bray-Curtis matrix, $p = .050$) for dietary factors contributing to overall differences in neonatal microbiota composition showed that the main contributors were PUFA ($R^2 = 0.028$, $p = .020$) and animal protein ($R^2 = 0.030$, $p = .015$). A PCA biplot showed that maternal microbiota clusters were linked to neonatal microbiota, explaining the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) a 59% of the total variance (Figure 4c). Cluster I was associated with PUFA and animal protein, while Cluster II was associated with fiber and vegetable protein. Furthermore, specific maternal nutrients were associated with neonatal microbiota (Figure 4d). Higher maternal intake of SFA was significantly associated with lower Proteobacteria and higher

![Figure 4](image-url). Maternal gut microbial clusters and diet drive the neonatal first pass microbiota. Relative abundances (%) of the neonatal microbial at the phylum level stratified by maternal microbial cluster (a). Multivariate RDA showed significant differences in neonatal microbial communities depending on maternal microbial clusters (b). Biplots from the principal component analysis (PCA) showed that neonatal microbiota is shaped by maternal microbiota clusters and they are associated with specific nutrients (c). Pearson correlations between maternal intake of nutrients during pregnancy and neonatal first pass bacterial relative abundance at phylum level at birth (d). Significant correlations ($p < .05$) were marked by an asterisk (*). Blue squares marked the negative correlations, whereas red showed positive correlations as measured by Pearson’s correlations.
Firmicutes, while higher maternal intake of fiber and vegetable protein were associated with lower Bacteroidetes phylum in neonatal gut microbiota.

Maternal microbial clusters are linked to infant growth development and risk of overweight

Maternal microbial clusters had a significant impact on the BMI z-scores during the first 18 months (Table 1). Higher BMI z-scores and WFL z-scores were observed in Cluster I compared to Cluster II. Infants from maternal Cluster I and born by C-section showed significantly higher BMI and WFL z-scores at 18 months than those observed in infants from vaginal births from mothers classified in Cluster II (Figure 5a,b). Furthermore, in stratifying the infants by BMI-for-age z-score cut-points of >1.0 as being at risk of overweight, we found that 52.4% (11/21) of C-section neonates from maternal Cluster I were at risk compared to 0% (0/5) in neonates from maternal Cluster II (Fisher test $p = .052$ two-tailed and $p = .045$ one-tailed); no differences were found in vaginal-born neonates. Additionally, a multivariate linear model analysis, adjusted by mode of delivery, antibiotic exposure, pregestational maternal BMI, and feeding method, demonstrated that neonates belonging to maternal Cluster I presented a significantly higher BMI z-score at 1 month of life ($p = .020$) and later at 18 months of life ($p = .040$).

Infant longitudinal BMI and WFL z-score trajectories from birth to 18 months were shaped by maternal microbial clusters and mode of delivery (adjusted ANOVA repeated measures $p = .001$)

![Figure 5](image_url)

Figure 5. Maternal gut microbial clusters and mode of birth impact the infant risk of overweight and obesity. BMI z-scores(a) and WL (b) z-scores at 18 months of age adjusted by covariates according to mode of birth and maternal microbial cluster (Cluster I = red and Cluster II = blue color). The middle line represents the media of all values; a general linear model multivariate ANOVA test adjusted by factors and covariates was done and $p < .05$ was considered significant. **$p < .05$; ***$p < .001$; $p < .0001$. Infant BMI z-score (c) and WL Z-score (d) trajectories from birth to 18 months were stratified by maternal microbial cluster and birth mode. The GLM for repeated measures adjusted for maternal cluster, pregestational BMI, mode of delivery and breastfeeding up to 6 months was done and $p < .05$ was considered statistically significant. Points at each time represent the media and lines mark the time series. Cluster I = red and Cluster II = blue; a continuous line = vaginal birth and a dotted line = C-section plus antibiotic. * represents a significant difference in each time point between groups.
showed higher BMI z-scores in children born by C-section and, remarkably, in those born from mothers stratified in Cluster I (Figure 5c), which was enriched in the genus Prevotella, followed by Peptoniphilus, Anaerococcus, and Porphyromonas, and was linked to lower fiber intake and n-3 fatty acids and higher intakes of animal protein and SFA (Figure 3). Similar longitudinal trajectories in WL z-scores from birth to 18 months were observed (Figure 5d).

Discussion

Our study showed that maternal microbiota was clustered in two distinct microbial groups linked to specific dietary components during pregnancy. We reported that maternal diet modulates maternal microbiota and shapes the neonatal first pass of microbiota at birth, affecting the initial microbial establishment, with potential effects on infant development and health later in life. We observed an association between the maternal gut microbiota composition, neonatal gut microbiota, and WFL and BMI z-score at 1 and at 18 months, depending on birth type. These findings are the first step for the design of dietary strategies targeted at improving the microbial composition of the mother and therefore the newborn microbiota, thus affecting their future health status.

Maternal microbial clusters were predominantly characterized by a higher abundance of Prevotella (Cluster I) and a higher abundance of the Ruminococcus species (Cluster II), which were closely linked to diets comprising a high content of plant foods and some types of fatty acids.

Maternal microbiota Cluster I was linked to higher intakes of carbohydrates, while Cluster II tended to be associated with higher intakes of vegetable protein and fats. The role of the Prevotella genus, mainly Prevotella corporis, in the response to diet and its influence on human health is still unclear. It has been reported that a dietary intervention using fiber induced an improvement in glucose; this was related to an increase in the relative abundance of Prevotella corporis, which favors glycogen storage. However, another study reported that P. corporis induced insulin resistance and affected glucose intolerance. We also found significantly higher intakes of total dietary fiber as well as insoluble dietary fiber and insoluble pectin, polyunsaturated fatty acids (EPA, DHA, and DPA), and polyphenols in mothers in Cluster II, which was characterized by enrichment of the Ruminococcus genus. The Ruminococcus species have been reported to be enriched in individuals under a diet rich in plant polysaccharides; in addition, they are cellulolytic bacteria that utilize cellulose and hemicellulose rich in plant material. In fact, Walker et al. also showed that the Ruminococcus species were also strongly associated with solid particles, as insoluble dietary fibers present in the human colon compared to Bacteroides. Our data showed the presence of Faecalibacterium prausnitzii in higher relative abundance in Cluster II. Faecalibacterium prausnitzii is a well-known butyrate producer. Considered to be a biomarker of colonic health, it has been associated with anti-inflammatory properties.

In addition to members of the Ruminococcaceae family as main players in Cluster II, we also observed a significantly higher representation of other bacterial groups, such as members of the Clostridiales genus, Lachnospiraceae family, Bacteroides, Blautia, Bifidobacterium, and Coprococcus in Cluster II with respect to Cluster I. Many of these bacterial taxa are also involved in butyrate production. It is well known that fiber intake leads to an increase in the production of short chain fatty acids (SCFA) through microbiota. It has been reported that Lachnospira, Blautia, Coprococcus, and in the case of Bifidobacterium, through cross-feeding interactions consume plants to produce SCFA, with important benefits on human health. However, Cluster I showed lower butyrate-producing bacteria but higher presence of oral bacteria Prevotella corporis and Prevotella nigrescens, Porphyromonas, or bacteria related to disease, such as Peptoniphilus, Campylobacter, and Dialister.

There is growing evidence linking several disorders associated with a translocation of oral bacteria in the intestinal tract. It has been suggested that oral bacteria present in the gut might be linked to an increased risk of disease as well as a risk of pregnancy complications. In addition, the presence of periodontal pathogenic bacteria at delivery can be transmitted to the neonate, promoting an aberrant early colonization pattern. An inverse association has been described between dietary fiber intake and the
prevalence and incidence of periodontal disease in large-scale epidemiological studies. A recent study, which considered the impact of a dietary pattern on the oral microbiota, demonstrated significant differences in the oral microbiota composition of vegans in comparison with omnivores. Many other works highlight the relevant effect of dietary fiber on gut microbiota composition and diversity, but less is known about the impact of fatty acids such as omega-3 PUFAs. Omega-3 PUFAs, including DHA, EPA, and DPA, are essential nutrients with potential health benefits during pregnancy and for infant development. Omega-3 PUFAs reduce the risk of preeclampsia and pre-term birth and promote correct neurological and visual development in the neonate. Furthermore, omega-3 PUFAs have been shown to decrease inflammatory status, thereby having a positive effect on obesity, diabetes mellitus type-2, and several cardiovascular diseases. Therefore, a diet rich in omega-3 is considered beneficial in several respects; however, the changes in gut microbiota composition associated with PUFAs are poorly understood. In our study, Cluster II showed significantly higher intakes of some of the main fatty acids, such as EPA, DHA, and DPA. The overrepresentation of members of the Ruminococcaceae and Lachnospiraceae families, the Blautia, Bifidobacterium, Coprococcus, and Oscillospira genera in Cluster II, and the association with omega-3 fatty acids is consistent with some previous human studies described by Costantini et al. Moreover, in some cases, the taxa could differ from previous studies due to the type of food matrix in the diet the mother consumes during pregnancy. These differences in the type of PUFAs could drive these differential changes in the gut microbiota composition. Furthermore, some phenolic compounds present antimicrobial properties with a potential bacteriostatic or bactericidal role, whereas others, such as flavanols, ellagitannins, or quercetin, promote the growth of beneficial bacterial groups belonging to the Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, and Blautia coccoides-Eubacterium rectale groups. Interestingly, bacterial communities that are present in higher relative abundances in Cluster II were characterized by significantly higher intakes of polyphenols.

Specific relationships between nutrients and maternal microbes were also identified. A higher intake of nutrients present in plant-based foods, such as vegetable proteins, dietary fiber, and polyphenols, was associated with a higher presence of members of Christensenellaceae, Dehalobacterium, and Eubacterium, and negatively associated with Campylobacter and Dialister. In a previous study, we demonstrated higher levels of Christensenellaceae in individuals who presented a high-level adherence to the Mediterranean diet (MD). The MD pattern is characterized by a high intake of fruits, vegetables, legumes, and olive oil as the main source of fats, and foods that contain large amounts of the previously cited nutritional compounds. In addition, Christensenellaceae has been linked with leanness. Dehalobacterium and Eubacterium as well as Anaeroplasma, Roseburia, and Oscillospira, appeared to play an important role against atherosclerosis and showed significant negative correlation with atherosclerotic plaque size and plasma adipocytokines. Dehalobacterium and Eubacterium produce butyrate and propionate, respectively, and are two of the SCFAs that are most often considered beneficial to health. The prevalence of overweight and obesity has nearly tripled in the last 40 years, and the rate among children is also rapidly increasing. Consequently, it is important to identify infants at risk for overweight to avoid short- and long-term health consequences.

For that purpose, various studies have examined specific anthropometrical measurements such as WFL and BMI z-scores to assess the risk of overweight and obesity before age 2. WFL is the current measurement recommended by the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP). However, recent studies have suggested that BMI would be a useful tool that also provides information of adiposity and future obesity risk. For children under age 5, the WHO defines the risk of overweight when BMI and/or WFL z-score are greater than 1 standard deviation (SD), overweight when they are greater than 2 SD, and obesity when they are greater than 3 SD according to the WHO Child Growth Standards median. In our study, a higher prevalence of children at risk of overweight according to BMI and WFL z-scores at 18 months was found within mothers in Cluster I. Although no significant differences in maternal BMI according to the clusters were observed, a recent study pointed out that Finegoldia and Wal_1885D,
bacterial features associated with maternal Cluster I, were associated with maternal overweight, obesity, and excessive gestational weight gain during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{55} Those maternal gut microbial shifts would have health consequences for the child through an early colonization of the infant gut microbiota. It is well known that other factors during early life such as mode of delivery, antibiotic exposure, and infant feeding practices may cause potentially adverse effects on the development of the infant gut microbiota and may have an impact on obesity risk.\textsuperscript{56,57} Several studies have shown an increased risk of obesity in infants exposed to antibiotics mainly due to C-section birth.\textsuperscript{12,58-60} C-section is always performed under antibiotic exposure to avoid infections, and both C-section and antibiotics together alter the natural transmission of microbes from the mother to the child; this affects the correct development of the gut microbiota. In addition, this effect occurs in early life, a period of time when the gut microbiota is particularly susceptible to perturbations with long-lasting effects on metabolic programming and obesity risk.

Children from maternal Cluster I and born by C-section showed higher BMI and WFL z-scores at 18 months than those observed in vaginal births and in infants from mothers in Cluster II. Additionally, a multivariate linear model analysis, adjusted by mode of delivery, antibiotic exposure, pre-gestational maternal BMI, and feeding method demonstrated that neonates belonging to maternal Cluster I presented significantly higher BMI and WFL z-scores at 1 month and 18 months. Regression models also showed that the maternal microbial cluster and mode of delivery were significant predictors of risk of overweight at 18 months according to the WHO cutoff for BMI z-score. Children’s BMI and WFL z-score trajectories from birth to 18 months of age were shaped by maternal microbial clusters and mode of birth, showing higher BMI and WFL z-scores in neonates born by C-section and, remarkably, in those born from mothers in Cluster I, which was enriched in the genus \textit{Prevotella}, followed by \textit{Peptoniphilus}, \textit{Anaerococcus}, and \textit{Porphyromonas}, was linked to lower fiber intake and n-3 fatty acids, and had higher intakes of animal protein and SFA. This suggests that maternal diet is also a relevant factor during early life due to the potential role in mother–infant microbial transference, which would affect infant growth and development. Although C-section birth limits maternal microbial transfer due to antibiotic treatment together with reduced exposure to the intestinal maternal microbiota, maternal diet would modulate microbial pioneers that could be relevant for colonization of the neonatal microbiota and have a potential effect on infant health. The direct relationship between maternal diet–microbiota and C-section with infant development and the risk of overweight and obesity later in life warrants further investigation.

\textbf{Study limitations}

Our study has some limitations related to its observational nature, the sample size and power analysis, and the collection of dietary information. The limited power size may have hampered our ability somewhat to detect other significant associations; large-scale prospective longitudinal studies are required. However, this would be mitigated by the difficulty of including mother–infant pairs in the study. Dietary information recorded by the Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) would introduce bias caused by errors in memory and the lack of perception of food proportion sizes. Therefore, the quantification of the nutritional compound intake is limited and 24 h recall and/or 3 d recall, including portions, would be desirable for future studies. Additionally, there is increasing evidence suggesting that dietary patterns are usually associated with other lifestyle routines such as exercise or chronic stress, which are not considered in this study. Furthermore, our study considered some perinatal factors, but it is known that during the first 18 months of life, many other environmental factors play a role in infant development and risk of diseases.

Despite all these limitations, our study demonstrated how diets during healthy pregnancies have an influence on the maternal–neonatal microbiota, with potential effects on infant growth. Furthermore, the microbiota composition was analyzed using DNA methods; microbiota activity measured by SCFA or metabolomics would provide novel perspectives to understand the diet–microbiota interactions and
their effects on host health. Further studies with a larger number of infants as well as a longer follow-up period are needed.

**Conclusions**

Maternal diet during pregnancy, together with other perinatal factors such as mode of delivery, antibiotic exposure, and breastfeeding, represent potential factors to consider for infant microbial colonization. The maternal microbiota is shaped by diet, especially with regard to fiber, lipids, and proteins, and may have a significant effect on the establishment of neonatal microbiota, as well as a potential contribution on infant development and the risk of overweight during the first months of life. C-section neonates from mothers with more adequate diets shaping specific microbiota presented a lower risk of overweight at age 18 months. Diet matters for maternal–neonatal microbiota and health outcomes. Therefore, specific dietary programs targeting pregnant women may be a cost-effective intervention factor to promote adequate vertical mother–infant exposition.

**Subjects and methods**

**Study design and volunteers**

The study included 116 mother–neonate pairs from the prospective and observational MAMI birth cohort, recruited from 2015–2017. MAMI is a prospective mother–infant birth cohort in the Spanish-Mediterranean area, as detailed by García-Mantrana et al. The following nutritional and clinical parameters were collected: maternal antibiotic exposure, BMI and weight gain during pregnancy, mode of delivery, birth weight, birth length, infant feeding, infant BMI, and WFL z-scores.

None of the participating volunteers were diagnosed with any disease; none were under drug treatment or prebiotics administration, with the exception of antibiotics use during pregnancy and/or at delivery. All participants received oral and written information about the study and written consent was obtained. The study was approved by the Hospital Ethics Committees (HECs) (Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe and Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia). The study is registered on the ClinicalTrial.gov platform, with registration number NCT03552939.

**Nutritional assessment**

Dietary records were collected during the first week after birth by a nutritionist using a 140-item Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) about their regular diet during the pregnancy. FFQ information was analyzed for the energy and daily intake of macro- and micronutrients by using the nutrient Food Composition Tables developed by the Centro de Enseñanza Superior de Nutrición Humana y Dietética (CESNID). The intake of specific dietary fiber, as soluble and insoluble fiber types, was completed from the Marlett food composition tables. Polyphenol content was obtained from the Phenol-Explorer database. Data were normalized by 2500 kcal/day.

Furthermore, adherence to the Mediterranean Diet (MD) was also calculated by the use of the PREDIMED validated test. The MD score ranged from 0 (minimal adherence) to 14 (maximal adherence). A score of nine or more points meant good adherence to the Mediterranean diet.

**Child growth development**

Length and weight were registered at birth, 1, 6, 12, and 18 months. Z-scores of anthropometric measures were electronically computed using WHO Anthro software (www.who.int/childgrowth/software/en/). The WHO Child Growth Standards provide child growth measures standardized by age and sex using z-score. Longitudinal BMI and WFL z-score trajectories from birth to 18 months were obtained. For children under 5 years old, the WHO defines the risk of overweight when BMI and/or WFL z-score are greater than 1 standard deviation (SD), overweight when they are greater than 2 SD, and obesity when they are greater than 3 SD according to the WHO Child Growth Standards median.

**Fecal samples and DNA extraction**

Maternal–neonatal samples were collected in the delivery room by trained clinical personnel, in order to avoid the potential impact of the
environment during the first 24 h after birth. Maternal gut samples were obtained by swabbing a sterile, cotton-tipped swab in the rectum before birth in the delivery room. Neonatal sample collection was also obtained by introducing a sterile, cotton-tipped swab in the neonatal rectum just after birth in the delivery room.

Both swabs were stored in pre-numbered sterile containers to avoid errors. After collection, all samples were immediately transported to the specimen biobank within 1 hour of collection and placed in sterile, pre-numbered cryovials at −80°C under specific standardized protocols at Biobanco para la Investigación Biomédica y en Salud Pública de la Comunidad Valenciana (Fisabio Public Health Biobank IBSP-CV) until further analysis. Once all samples were collected and placed in the biobank, aliquots were transported in dry ice to IATA-CSIC for the analysis.

Total DNA was isolated from the fecal samples using the Master-Pure DNA Extraction Kit (Epicenter, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions; modifications included physical and enzymatic treatments. In brief, cell lysis was performed by mechanical disruption using 3-µm diameter glass beads in a FastPrep 24-5G Homogenizer (MP Biomedicals, CA, USA), followed by an enzymatic treatment for 60 min at 37°C with the lysis buffer supplemented with lysozyme (20 mg/ml) and mutanolysine (5U/ml). A DNA Purification Kit (Macherey–Nagel, Duren, Germany) was used and DNA was quantified using Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technology, Carlsbad, CA, United States).

Meconium is a low-microbial biomass sample that would be affected by potential contaminants from the environment and DNA extraction kits reagents (kitome). Then, to rule out potential contamination, controls during DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification were also included and sequenced.

**16S rRNA amplicon sequencing**

Gut microbiota composition and diversity were determined by the V3-V4 variable region of the 16S rRNA gene sequencing, following Illumina protocols. A Nextera XT Index Kit (Illumina, CA, USA) was used for the multiplexing step and a Bioanalyzer DNA 1000 chip (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) was used to check the PCR product quality. Libraries were sequenced using a 2 × 300 pb paired-end run (MiSeq Reagent kit v3) on a MiSeq-Illumina platform (FISABIO sequencing service, Valencia, Spain), according to manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina).

Quality filtering, sequence joining, and chimera removal were obtained using an ad-hoc pipeline written in an RStatistics environment; data processing was performed using a QIIME pipeline (version 1.9.0). Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) were constructed by an open-reference OTU picking method with 99% as a threshold of identity. Representative sequences were taxonomically assigned based on the RDP database. Samples with a relative abundance of less than 0.05% and sequences classified as Cyanobacteria and Chloroplast were removed from the dataset as they represent ingested plant material.

**Statistical analysis**

Microbiota data was analyzed in the Calypso online platform (v8.84) (http://cgenome.net/wiki/index.php/Calypso/) and data was normalized by the Total-Sum Scaling (TSS) method. Alpha diversity indexes (Chao1, Shannon, and inverse Simpson indexes) were determined and beta diversity based on Bray–Curtis distance was obtained.

Maternal microbiota clustering was performed at the genus level, as described elsewhere. Jensen–Shannon distance and partitioning around medoid (PAM) clustering were used. The optimal number of clusters was calculated by the Calinski-Harabasz (CH) index. The clusters were generated using the phyloseq, cluster, MASS, clusterSim, and ade4 R packages.

Linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) was used to identify microbial genera enriched in maternal clusters. An LDA score (log10) > 3 was considered significant. Multivariate analysis, including Redundancy Analysis (RDA), Principal Component Analysis (PCA) as well as Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA), and permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) based on Bray–Curtis distance was also achieved on Calypso. Specific correlations, general linear models (GLM) for fixed factors and/or covariate analysis were assessed by SPSS software v26 and Graphpad Prims v5.04. P-values were corrected
with Benjamini-Hochberg’s false discovery rate (FDR) method. RStudio was also used to represent the heatmaps between bacterial groups at the genus level and dietary components through the ggplot package.75,76
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