Relationship Between Anthropometric Parameters and Intelligence in Preschool Children from Rural Konkan
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Abstract

Aim: To study the association between anthropometric parameters and intelligence in preschool children from Rural KONKAN. Method: Children between 3 to 7 years of age were examined for anthropometry, dietary recall, and Intelligence (Intelligent Quotient-IQ) assessment from rural anganwadis. The IQ test was performed by clinical psychologists using Binet-Kamat test of intelligence (version 4). Nutritional information was collected from 24-hour dietary recall and food diversity. Results: Results were interpreted using Prorated IQ. We studied 159 (82 boys, 78 girls), out of which 15 (9.6%) had a higher IQ. 25 (15.8%) were born LBW. Anthropometry classification showed that 61 (38.4%) were stunted, and 25(15.7%) were wasted. According to IOTF, 72 (46%) were thin, 83(52%) were healthy, and 3 (2%) were overweight. We found that there is no significant difference in IQ with respect to anthropometric parameters, birth weight, and nutritional status. Conclusion: We could not find any association of anthropometric parameters with IQ despite the high prevalence of malnutrition.
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Introduction

Cognitive development helps children to think about and understand the world around them. The brain plays a vital role in cognitive development. Cognitive ability is intelligence quotient (IQ), which is a detailed assessment of reason, language, and memory.

A report in 2016 on child cognitive development from South Africa identified significant risk factors as well as protective factors [1]. A review by Almond and Currie discusses the impact of intrauterine and early childhood environment on a child’s health in adulthood [2].

According to a report in 2017, India’s under-five mortality rate had fallen by 66% since 1990. This is a considerable amount of progress, though it still falls short of current goals. It means many infants survive and face challenges in their future life.

Premature delivery carries a great risk for newborn infants. Besides the increased mortality rate and increased incidence of morbidities, prematurity is a significant risk factor for the future neurodevelopmental delay. Cognitive impairment had been reported in about 40% of meager birth weight (VLBW) infants at school age. In comparison with term infants, VLBW infants are more likely to have lower scores in executive functions and suboptimal attentive skills [3].

Low birth weight (LBW), a proxy for IUGR, is
associated with poor cognitive development [4]. Poverty can have a negative impact on cognitive development, but most of the studies have been carried out in urban populations [5].

With this background, we have studied intelligence in rural children with poor socioeconomic status, and we have tried to explore the correlation of body composition with intelligence.

Our objective was to investigate anthropometry and cognition (IQ) in rural preschool children from Anganwadi in KONKAN region of Maharashtra. Anganwadi is a type of rural mother and childcare center in India.

**MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY**

**Study design:** Descriptive study

**Ethical approval:** Village authorities gave the written permission to study the children in anganwadis. Informed written consent was obtained from either parent of the selected child. Ethical approval was granted by the Ethics committee of BKL Walawalkar Rural Medical College and Hospital. (Reference no: EC/755/INST/MH/2015/RR-18).

**Study duration and time frame:** The study was conducted between 1st Jan - 30th June 2019, i.e., six months

**Study location:** The study was carried out at BKL Walawalkar Hospital Dervan situated in Ratnagiri district Maharashtra, India.

**Study population:** Inclusion criteria: Children were enrolled from 10 different anganwadis around 50 km from the hospital. We included 3-6 years old healthy children from anganwadis.

**Exclusion criteria:** Those with severe physical or mental disorders were excluded.

**Sample size:** 159 children were studied.

**Methodology:** These children were examined for anthropometry, dietary recall, and cognitive assessment. The birth weight data were collected from the antenatal cards & Anganwadi records. Nutritional information was obtained from 24-hour dietary recall and food diversity. Cognitive (IQ) testing was a significant activity; hence, we selected rural anganwadis having a separate room. This created a conducive environment for the child to undergo the examination.

IQ assessment was performed by Binet-Kamat test (BKT) of intelligence (version 4) [6]. This test is an Indian adaptation, a modified version of the Stanford Binet Scale measuring the intelligence of Indian children. It is an age scale where the tests are grouped into age levels extending from 3 years to a superior adult level. Each age level consists of six tests. The analysis includes both verbal and performance tests. It provides an estimate of Mental Age (MA) and IQ from 3-22 years. There are six main cognitive factors (Language, Memory, Conceptual Thinking, Reasoning, Visual Motor, and Social Intelligence) and five sub cognitive factors (Meaningful Memory, Non-Meaningful Memory, Non-Verbal reasoning, Verbal Reasoning, Numerical Reasoning). The reliability of the test is reportedly above 0.7, and the validity of this test for healthy children against the estimation of intelligence quotient by teachers is 0.5.

We selected BKT as it was cost-effective, easy to administer, takes less time, but it has not been updated since 1960. This issue has been discussed by Rupesh & Kumble [7], and a prorated IQ using the Flynn effect has been suggested as a solution. So the analysis was done according to the prorated IQ score. Stanford–Binet Fifth Edition (SB5) classification was used for analysis [8].

**Statistical Methods:** Data was presented as mean (standard deviation) and as percentages for frequencies. The chi-square test made a comparison of categorical outcomes between groups, and that of continuous outcomes was by t-test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 25.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago).

| IQ Range (deviation IQ) | IQ Classification          |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 145–160                 | Very gifted or highly advanced |
| 130–144                 | Gifted or very advanced     |
| 120–129                 | Superior                    |
| 110–119                 | High average                |
| 90–109                  | Average                     |
| 80–89                   | Low average                 |
| 70–79                   | Borderline impaired or delayed |
| 55–69                   | Mildly impaired or delayed  |
| 40–54                   | Moderately impaired or delayed |

**Results**

Results were interpreted using Prorated IQ. We studied 159 (82 boys, 78 girls) out of which, 15 (9.6%) had higher IQ levels. 25 (15.8%) were born LBW. Anthropometry classification showed that 61 (38.4%) were stunted and 25(15.7%) were wasted. According to the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF), 72 (46%) were thin, 83(52%) were normal, and 3 (2%) were overweight. 90% of scores fall within two standard deviations (between 80 and 129). Outliers beyond those points represent only a small portion of the population, which means that only a small percentage of children have a very high IQ (above 129).
Table 1. Analysis of IQ and calculation of Prorated IQ

| IQ           | Original (%) | Prorated (%) |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Very Gifted  | 9 (5.6)      | 2 (1.37)     |
| Gifted       | 15 (9.4)     | 13 (8.1)     |
| Superior     | 24 (15.0)    | 19 (11.9)    |
| High         | 48 (30)      | 56 (35)      |
| Average      | 58 (36.3)    | 66 (41.3)    |
| Low Average  | 5 (3.1)      | 3 (1.9)      |

Table 2. Association between IQ and anthropometric parameters. (%)

| IQ           | Wasting (%) | Stunted (%) | Underweight (%) | Normal (%) | Head Circumference mean (SD) | LBW (%) |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Very Gifted  | 1 (4)       | 1 (1.6)     | 1 (4.8)         | 1 (1.3)    | 47.75 (0.35)                | 0       |
| Gifted       | 0           | 4 (6.6)     | 0               | 5 (6.7)    | 47.26 (1.66)                | 1 (4)   |
| Superior     | 4 (21.1)    | 5 (8.2)     | 4 (19)          | 5 (6.7)    | 47.47 (1.59)                | 2 (8)   |
| High         | 11 (44)     | 24 (39.3)   | 7 (33.3)        | 31 (41.3)  | 47.26 (1.74)                | 6 (24)  |
| Average      | 9 (36)      | 26 (42.6)   | 9 (42.9)        | 33 (44)    | 47.45 (1.51)                | 15 (60) |
| Low Average  | 0           | 1 (1.6)     | 0               | 0          | 47.30 (1.13)                | 1 (4)   |

Table 2 shows n (%) of wasting, stunted, underweight, normal and LBW and mean (SD) for head circumference. Stunting refers to those below -2 SD score for height (gender, age) using the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria. Wasting refers to those below -2 SD for the weight for height (gender, age) and underweight refers to those below -2 SD score for the weight (gender, age) using WHO criteria. Usually refers to those who neither stunted nor wasted nor underweight.

Fig 1: Normal distribution of original IQ

We used the chi-square ($\chi^2$) test to see the association of Prorated IQ of wasting, stunted, underweight and LBW children, normal children. So we found that there is no significant difference in IQ concerning anthropometric parameters and birth weight. We have nutritional data in the form of 24 hr recall and food diversity, and we found no association of IQ with food diversity ($p > 0.05$ for all).

The number of children in normal range according to original IQ was 135 (85%), and in prorated, it changes to 144 (91%).

Fig 2: Normal distribution of prorated IQ

Fig 3: Median and interquartile range of IQ and prorated IQ

Strength of this study- There are no reports about the intelligence of preschool in rural KONKAN in the literature. This study was our first attempt to get clues about cognition in young children of KONKAN area.

DISCUSSION

We measured anthropometric parameters, recorded diet, and studied intelligence in a cross-section of preschool children from anganwadis in rural KONKAN. We found that there was no association in prorated IQ and birth weight as well as other anthropometric parameters. There is no significant difference between the prorated IQ of normal birth weight and LBW.

The human brain exhibits dramatic biological
development during the preschool years and roughly quadruples in weight before the age of six, when it has acquired approximately 90% of its adult volume. However, the preschool years are developmental period which leads to growth, expansion, “construction”, and “blossoming” [9]. As per a study conducted in Africa (1), risk factors for developmental delay are inter-related, and the accumulation of risk has a long-term impact on child development. A systematic review by Linsell et al. [10] showed that male gender, lower birth weight, black race, lower education level of parents, and lower gestational age had been shown to be predictive of global cognitive dysfunction among young children.

The KONKAN region is characterized by mountainous terrain with poor soil quality, hot, humid weather, poverty, and fearful thoughts which have led to widespread malnutrition in the people. Our hospital is located in a remote rural area, so the study population is from the same rural area. One of our studies shows that 72% of adolescent were underweight. In another study, more than 65% of adolescent girls were zinc, calcium, and folate deficient. Hospital data shows that 41.9% of babies were LBW. A survey of cancer research from 2200 villages in KONKAN shows 51.7% population had low BMI, and only 4.5% were obese. So, we decided to evaluate IQ for the same community. These findings highlighted the leanness of the community of KONKAN. So, to trace it back we decided to assess intelligence and its association with body composition in preschool children [11].

We couldn’t find any association of anthropometric parameters with IQ in children from rural villages in KONKAN in spite of a high prevalence of wasting and stunting and LBW. A possible explanation could be that the human fetus can adapt to undernutrition. Its responses include metabolic changes, redistribution of blood flow and changes in the production of fetal and placental hormones which control growth as stated by David Barker [12].

While slowing its rate of growth, the fetus may protect tissues that are important for immediate survival, the brain especially. One way in which the brain can be protected is by redistribution of blood flow to favour it [13]. This adaptation is known to occur in many mammals. However, in humans, it may have inflated costs for other tissues, notably the liver and other abdominal viscera, because of the large size of the brain [14]. This protective effect for the brain may explain normal or high IQ irrespective of poor anthropometric parameters in our study.

**Conclusion**

We could not find any association of anthropometric parameters with IQ despite a high prevalence of malnutrition.

**Suggestions:** There is a need to design a cost-effective, user-friendly test to evaluate cognition and intelligence for rural children from 3 to 6 age group. Western countries use the Stanford–Binet Fifth Edition (SB5) test for 3-6 years, and it has not been adapted for rural Indian children.
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