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Abstract. A comparison was made between Gaia magnitudes and magnitudes obtained from ground-based observations for astrometric radio sources. The comparison showed that these magnitudes often not agree well. There may be several reasons for this disagreement. Nevertheless, such an analysis can serve as an additional filter for verification of the object cross-identification. On the other hand, it can help to detect possible errors in optical magnitudes of astrometric radio sources coming from unreliable or inconsistent data sources.

1. INTRODUCTION

Comparison of the VLBI and Gaia positions of astrometric radio sources gives us an opportunity to investigate both systematic errors of both catalogs and the physical structure and evolution of objects observed by optical and radio techniques. However, the reliability of the results of such studies depends on the reliability of cross-identification between objects in VLBI and Gaia catalogs. Although for most sources the cross-identification looks straightforward, this is not always the case. Errors in cross-identification introduce additional noise and outliers in the position difference statistics, which may impact the result and conclusions of these studies.

Different authors use different strategies for cross-identification between the objects in radio and optical catalogs (Mignard et al. 2016, Petrov and Kovalev 2017, Lindegren et al. 2018, Malkin 2018, Makarov et al. 2019, Liu et al. 2020). They all are based on analysis of the differences between VLBI and Gaia source position, sometimes along with other criteria, such as quality of the Gaia astrometric solution (Mignard et al. 2016, Lindegren et al. 2018) or object density in the vicinity of the source (Petrov and Kovalev 2017). Some of these supplement criteria may be disputable, but a comparison and discussion of cross-identification methods described in the literature is beyond the scope of this paper.

The present study is aimed at an investigation of a possibility of using Gaia and ground-based photometry as a supplement criterium to verify the cross-identification based on an analysis of the difference between radio and optics positions.
2. COMPARISON OF GROUND-BASED AND GAIA PHOTOMETRY

In this study, the $G$ magnitudes given in the Gaia DR2 release were compared to ground-based magnitudes provided in the OCARS catalog\(^1\) (Malkin 2018). There are 8197 sources in common between the Gaia DR2 and OCARS. 7179 of them have photometry data in OCARS in at least one of four bands: $V$, $r$, $R$, and $i$, close to Gaia $G$ band. The latter sources were used in this work.

OCARS magnitudes are taken from different data sources: Sloan Digital Sky Survey\(^2\) (SDSS), NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database\(^3\) (NED), SIMBAD\(^4\) database managed by the Centre de Donnees astronomiques de Strasbourg (CDS), the Million Quasars (Milliquas) catalog\(^5\), and Large Quasar Astrometric Catalogue\(^6\) (LQAC), in the order of preference. The contribution of two latter catalogs is small. The data in these catalogs and databases are not always consistent and reliable. To mitigate this impact, the average magnitude of $V$, $r$, $R$, and $i$ was used in this work. The magnitude differences $Gaia \ G$ minus OCARS for all 7179 common sources are shown in Fig. 1. One can see that they can be both positive and negative in the interval from about $-3^m$ to about $9^m$.

The positive magnitude differences can generally be explained by the fact that corresponding objects are extended galaxies hosting AGN observed by VLBI. Their ground-based (OCARS) magnitudes are related to the integrated flux from the entire

---

\(^1\)http://www.gaoran.ru/english/as/ac_vlbi/ocars_m.txt
\(^2\)http://www.sdss.org
\(^3\)http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu
\(^4\)http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/simbad/
\(^5\)https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/W3Browse/all/milliquas.html
\(^6\)https://cdsarc.uchicago.edu/viz-bin/cat/J/A%2bA/624/A145
galaxy or its wide central region, whereas Gaia most probably observes the brightest point-like center of the galaxy. Three typical objects of this type are shown in Fig. 2. Therefore, positive magnitude difference is mostly a trivial case.

To check this conclusion, the same data were re-organized and depicted in Fig 3, where the magnitude difference $G-R$ is shown as a function of $R$. One can see that optically faint objects show relatively small magnitude difference Gaia minus OCARS, while bright objects show much large differences. Most probably, this is a consequence of the Gaia observing mode for which the faint galaxies are observed as a single object, unlike large (extended) galaxies. Detailed discussion of observation of galaxies with Gaia is given by de Souza et al. 2014.

More interesting is a case of negative magnitude difference, which is the subject of a special investigation for each source that shows such a feature. There may be various reasons for this:

- The observed magnitude difference may be a result of wrong cross-identification of the astrometric radio source with Gaia object.
The observed magnitude difference may be a result of a source optical variability. The photometry data in Gaia and OCARS were generally made at different epochs as discussed by Damljanović et al. 2017. Results of optical monitoring of ICRF sources made by, e.g., Taris et al. 2016, showed the spread of the brightness variations may exceed two magnitudes.

The observed magnitude difference may be a result of wrong cross-identification of the radio source with an optical object in one of the catalogs contributed to OCARS. In the course of the present work about a half of dozen of such cases was found.

Of course, the same considerations can be applied to positive Gaia minus OCARS magnitude differences.

3. CONCLUSION

The goal of this work was to investigate whether a comparison of the optical magnitudes of astrometric radio sources with the magnitudes obtained from ground-based (or other wide-field) observations can be useful to improve the reliability of cross-identification of Gaia objects with radio sources included in VLBI astrometric catalogs. It was found that the direct comparison of Gaia and ground-based magnitudes can hardly be practical for this purpose. A typical case is a bright galaxy for which VLBI and Gaia positions are measured for the optically and radio strong central point-like area (AGN), whereas the ground-based magnitudes are related to the integral galaxy flux.

Therefore, comparison of the Gaia and OCARS magnitudes cannot be used straightforward for check of object cross-identification in Gaia and VLBI catalogs. However,
in some cases, this may be useful to detect a wrong cross-identification, especially in case of negative \textit{Gaia} minus OCARS magnitude differences. Besides, this comparison allows us to identify possible inconsistencies or even errors in a ground-based photometry data taken from different, not always reliable, catalogs and data bases. In particular, in the course of this work, several OCARS sources with erroneous magnitudes were detected and corrected or flagged in OCARS.

In any case, a further deeper investigations in this direction may be useful to improve the reliability of the object cross-identification in VLBI and \textit{Gaia} catalogs.
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