EDITORIAL
Combination VEGFR/immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy: a promising new treatment for renal cell carcinoma

SUMMARY
Renal cell carcinoma is often managed with tyrosine kinase inhibitors and immune checkpoint inhibitors as monotherapy. Initial studies combining these two therapeutic strategies have demonstrated promising efficacy with manageable toxicity profiles. These combinations represent a promising new approach for metastatic renal cell carcinoma.

MAIN
Multitargeted receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) that inhibit the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) are the mainstay of treatment for advanced/metastatic renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Although responses are frequent, most patients ultimately progress on their disease. Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 axis are active in RCC, but with only a fraction of patients achieving durable responses. Multiple ongoing clinical trials are currently exploring the safety and efficacy of combined VEGFR/ICI blockade in advanced/metastatic RCC.

In earlier clinical trials of dual VEGFR/ICI blockade, such as the combination of pembrolizumab/pazopanib, nivolumab/sunitinib, and nivolumab/pazopanib, the efficacy was promising; however, the proportion of patients experiencing high grade toxicity discouraged further development. In the combinations of nivolumab/sunitinib and nivolumab/pazopanib, 73% and 60% of patients experienced grade 3 or worse treatment-related toxicities, respectively. Furthermore, adverse events leading to treatment discontinuation were observed in 23% of the nivolumab/sunitinib arm and 20% in the nivolumab/pazopanib arm. Similarly, the pembrolizumab/pazopanib combination was associated with high levels of hepatotoxicity and drug discontinuation. These combinations were deemed not suitable for testing within phase 3 trials.

In contrast, subsequent combination of newer TKIs with ICI have shown improved toxicity profiles, with multiple combinations being investigated in phase 3 clinical trials including axitinib/pembrolizumab, lenvatinib/pembrolizumab, cabozantinib/nivolumab, and axitinib/avelumab (Table 1). These TKIs have a lower incidence of hepatotoxicity, which may allow them to combine better with ICI blockade.

In a recent issue published in Lancet Oncology, Atkins et al. report the results of a phase 1b trial of the combination of axitinib and pembrolizumab in patients with advanced RCC. Fifty-two previously untreated patients were included in a combined dose-finding (11 patients) and dose-expansion (41 patients) analysis. The cohorts consisted of 24 (46%) international metastatic RCC database consortium (IMDC) favourable risk, 23 (44%) intermediate risk, 3 (6%) poor risk, and 2 (4%) unknown risk patients. Treatment was well tolerated, with 34 (65%) patients experiencing a grade 3 or worse treatment-related adverse event, and 28 (54%) patients having a treatment-related severe adverse event. These toxicities were well manageable with dose modifications, and consistent with what is seen with single agent TKI therapy. An objective response (partial or complete) was seen in 38 (73%; 95% CI 59.0–84.4%) patients. Median progression free survival was 20.9 months (95% CI 15.4—not evaluable), and the median overall survival was not reached with a median follow up period of 20.4 months.

Comparing the results of this phase 1b trial to the results of others may not be feasible at this early stage, and cross-trial efficacy comparison is challenging due to differences in patient population and patient context; therefore, these comparisons must always be considered with some caution. It must be noted that in this axitinib/pembrolizumab trial, although the majority of patients were intermediate or poor risk, 46% of patients were IMDC favourable risk, which is higher than that typically seen for first line clinical trials. This trial demonstrated superior objective response rates compared to historic experiences with single agent tyrosine kinase inhibitors, which have typically ranged between 10 and 44% across several studies of various TKIs. Single agent axitinib was associated with a 32% objective response rate and a median progression free survival (PFS) of 10.3 months in a previous study in the first line setting; however, the data for single agent PD-1 inhibition in the first line setting is limited. In patients previously treated with antiangiogenesis agents, PD-1 blockade with nivolumab was associated with a 25% objective response rate and a 4.6 month median PFS. Although a subgroup analysis of patients who did not progress within 6 months yielded a median PFS of 15.6 months (95% CI 11.8–19.6), suggesting that responses to ICI therapy could be extended.

Promising efficacy data from other VEGF/ICI combinations have also been reported in RCC, including atezolizumab/bevacizumab, avelumab/axitinib, and lenvatinib/pembrolizumab (Table 1). IMmotion151, a phase III clinical trial of bevacizumab in combination with atezolizumab was conducted in a risk population more similar to other RCC clinical trials. In that trial, only 20% of the intent-to-treat patients were favourable risk by MSKCC criteria, compared to 46% favourable risk by IMDC criteria in the axitinib/pembrolizumab trial reported by Atkins et al. These two risk criteria are well established and have high concordance. The primary endpoint was PFS in the PD-L1 positive patients, which showed an objective response rate of 43% (95% CI 35–50) and a median PFS of 11.2 months (95% CI 8.9–15.0). In the phase Ib/II assessing the combination of lenvatinib/pembrolizumab, both treatment naïve and pretreated patients were included, with 60% of patients being previously treated with one or more systemic therapies. In that study, the objective response rate was 63% (95% CI 44–80%) across the entire trial; after subgroup analysis of the treatment naïve cohort, the objective response rate rose...
to 83% (95% CI 52–98%). In this study 60% of patients experienced a Treatment Emergent Adverse Event, and the treatment was overall well tolerated with patients receiving 78% of the intended lenvatinib dose and 96% of the intended pembrolizumab dose. Progression free survival data remain to be reported for this trial.

Despite improved response rates, combination therapy is also associated with increased toxicity; therefore, biomarkers predictive for both response and resistance are critical for improving patient care and outcomes. However, biomarkers predicting response to combination ICI/VEGF remain poorly understood. In malignancies with a high mutational load, responses to ICI have been associated with tumour mutation burden and PD-1 status. It is currently unclear whether these biomarkers can be translated to low mutation-load malignancies, such as RCC. Furthermore, the correlation between total mutation burden and the number of mutations found by targeted exome sequencing have been established in predominately high mutation burden or carcinogen-driven malignancies, and it has yet to be established whether these estimates of tumour mutational burden are valid in RCC, which has a lower mutation rate and a higher proportion of insertion deletion mutations compared with other malignancies. It is also unclear whether biomarkers for single agent PD-1 inhibition or combination ICI/ICI therapy remain relevant to ICI/VEGF therapy, and how combination therapy changes the thresholds for response to therapy.

PD-L1 status has also been widely investigated in RCC and other malignancies; however, its role as a biomarker in RCC remains controversial. Responses to ICI combinations such as nivolumab/pembrolizumab have been correlated with PD-L1 status, yet responses to axitinib/pembrolizumab were not. It remains to be seen whether concurrent TKI targeting decouples tumour responses from dependence on PD-L1 expression, because high response levels are often seen in both PD-L1 positive and PD-L1 negative patients in VEGFR/ICI trials. More extensive studies are necessary to understand the biology and pathophysiology associated with combination ICI/VEGF targeted therapy.

Progression free survival data remain pending for other combinations of VEGFR/ICI therapy, and several phase III clinical trials are ongoing. The combination of axitinib/pembrolizumab presented by Atkins et al. shows promising efficacy with manageable tolerability and safety in this phase Ib clinical trial, and is currently being further tested in a randomised phase III clinical trial (KEYNOTE-426) of axitinib/pembrolizumab vs sunitinib. Taken as a whole, the recent combinations of VEGFR/ICI therapy have shown encouraging results. With multiple combinations currently under investigation, it remains to be seen which of these combinations may be superior and how the VEGFR/ICI therapy approach compares to combination ICI therapy, such as ipilimumab/nivolumab. Until head-to-head trials of these combinations can be performed to compare their efficacy, biomarker development will be critical for patient stratification.
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