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Abstract

Background: Muscle injuries may affect elite athletes including those competing at the Paralympic Games. We aimed to describe the frequencies, anatomic distribution, and severity of muscle injuries involving Paralympic athletes during the Rio de Janeiro 2016 Summer Paralympic Games.

Methods: Imaging-depicted muscle injuries in Paralympic athletes were identified upon retrospective review of all MRI studies performed at the Games. Images were evaluated retrospectively by five board-certified musculoskeletal radiologists with expertise in sports injuries. We recorded the distribution of muscle injuries by sports discipline, athletes’ impairment type, anatomic location and severity of injuries using the modified Peetrons classification and the British Athletics Muscle Injury Classification (BAMIC). Demographic characteristics including impairment type were also analyzed.

Results: 4378 para athletes from 160 teams were included. 242 MRI exams were performed and there were 35 MRI-depicted muscle injuries in 33 athletes (73% male, mean age: 28.7 years - range 20-41). Para athletes from track and field (athletics) disciplines were most commonly affected by muscle injuries (N = 23, 66%), followed by football players (N = 4, 11%) and power lifters (N = 4, 11%). The majority of injuries affected lower limb muscles (N = 28, 80%), with the biceps femoris being the most common (N = 10, 29%). Most frequent injuries were modified Peetrons grade 1 injuries (N = 15, 43%), and BAMIC grade 2a injuries (N = 7, 20%).

Conclusion: Muscle injuries in this population were rare. Hamstring muscle injuries were the most common and were observed most frequently in ambulatory para athletes competing in athletics.
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Introduction

Muscle injuries adversely affect short- and long-term performance in athletes including those competing in Olympic and Paralympic Games. Knowledge of the pattern of muscle injuries affecting para athletes from various sport disciplines is important for sports physicians and may provide insights for future injury management and prevention initiatives. Injury prevention, diagnosis and management considerations may be different in Olympic versus Paralympic athletes, including reported challenges in imaging studies conducted in Paralympians [1].

Recently there have been several reports describing the epidemiological aspects of sports injuries sustained at the Rio de Janeiro Summer Olympic Games in 2016 including muscle injuries [2-5]. However, to the best of our knowledge, a critical and detailed review of imaging-depicted muscle injuries involving elite athletes with impairment during the summer Paralympic Games has not been described. A retrospective analysis of team-sport wheelchair falls during Rio 2016 Summer Paralympics was recently published [6], but only focused on mechanisms and characteristics of falls and did not analyze any specific injuries such as muscle injuries or fractures. Injury surveillance reports from London 2012 Summer Paralympic Games, Pyeongchang 2018 and Sochi 2014 Winter Paralympic Games have also been published [7-9], although there was no detailed analysis specifically related to muscle injuries. Similarly, themed injury surveillance studies in Paralympic related sports have also been published [10-12], although these studies were not related to any specific Paralympic Games. Despite multiple publications reporting injuries in Paralympic athletes, to the best of our knowledge, a descriptive analysis of MRI-depicted muscle injuries at Paralympic Games has never been reported.

The aim of our study was therefore to describe the incidence, the anatomic distribution, and the severity of MRI-depicted muscle injuries involving Paralympic athletes during the Rio de Janeiro 2016 Summer Paralympic Games. We also assessed whether the athletes' underlying impairment might have contributed to muscle injuries in a pattern unique to Paralympic athletes.

Materials and Methods

Ethical approval

An Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was obtained from Boston University School of Medicine (#H-36593). All images were treated with strict confidentiality and in the de-identified fashion during data collection, storage and analysis. IRB waived the need for informed consent due to the fact that all data used in our study were totally anonymized and unidentifiable.

Data acquisition

All MRI studies that were obtained on para athletes during the Games of 2016 Summer Paralympic in Rio de Janeiro were evaluated for muscle injuries. MR images without intravenous contrast were obtained at the dedicated imaging center for Paralympic Games in Rio de Janeiro using two types of MRI systems (3T Discovery MR750w and 1.5-T Optima 450 MRw; both GE Healthcare, Brazil). For assessment of muscle injuries, we used proton density-weighted or T2-weighted sequences with fat suppression in axial, sagittal, and coronal planes, as well as at least one plane of T1-weighted sequence for all anatomical locations.

Interpretation and analysis

Five board-certified musculoskeletal radiologists (all of whom are co-authors) with 3 to 19 years of experience in musculoskeletal imaging independently and retrospectively reviewed all MRIs for the presence and severity of muscle injuries specifically for the purpose of this study. None of the radiologists had access to clinical MRI reports issued by on-site radiologists at the time of image acquisition during Paralympics. Any discrepancies in reading amongst different radiologists were adjudicated by means of consensus agreement by all readers. Names of the injured muscles (e.g. deltoid, biceps femoris, rectus femoris, etc.), in each para-athlete were recorded using a spreadsheet together with detailed demographic characteristics. Acute muscle injuries were defined as the presence of so-called “feathery-pattern” edema-like signal changes within the muscle on T2-weighted or proton density-weighted fat suppressed sequences. On occasions where MRI also showed evidence of scar tissue formation on top of acute muscle injuries as described above, such para athletes were classified to have “acute on chronic” injuries. Some athletes who only had musculotendinous junction scarring without evidence of acute injury were classified to have “chronic” injuries. Para athletes who developed delayed onset muscle soreness did not fit in any of above categories.

We utilized a modified Peetrons classification system [13] to perform semiquantitative grading of muscle injuries. Grade 1 injury was defined as the presence of edema (ill-defined hyperintensity) on T2 or proton density weighted fat suppressed sequences with absence of muscle fiber disruption or tearing). Grade 2 was defined as the presence of muscle fiber disruption and partial tearing. Grade 3 was defined as retracted muscle/tendon rupture.

We also assessed the location and severity of injuries using the British Athletics Muscle Injury Classification (BAMIC) which is known to provide correlation between injury severity and estimated recovery time and return to play [14,15]. Muscle injuries were graded as follows. Grade 0a = focal muscle injury with normal MRI; grade
neuron disease because of cerebral palsy or traumatic brain injury, (iii) Physical impairment due to upper limb deficiency because of amputation, dysmelia, or congenital deformity, (iv) Physical impairment due to lower limb deficiency, and (v) Physical impairment due to impaired muscle power as a result of polio myelitis [16].

Results

In total, 4378 para athletes from 160 teams were included. 242 MRI exams were performed and found 35 muscle injuries in 33 athletes (73% male, mean age: 28.7 years - range 20-41). The most common impairment amongst the athletes with imaging depicted muscle injuries was ‘visual impairment’ (N = 17, 49%). The majority of injuries were acute (N = 27, 77%) and affected muscles of the lower limbs (N = 28, 80%), with the thigh muscles being the most commonly involved (N = 21, 60%). Details of demographic characteristics relevant to all imaging depicted muscle injuries are summarized in Table 1.

Classification of para athletes’ impairment

Para athletes’ impairment types were categorized as follows – (i) Visual impairment including severe blindness, (ii) Physical impairment due to upper motor

Table 1: Demographics of imaging-depicted muscle injuries in the Rio 2016 Paralympic Games.

| Demographics                              | N (%)             |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Gender (number of athletes)               |                   |
| Male                                      | 24* (73%)         |
| Female                                    | 9* (27%)          |
| Impairment (number of injuries)           |                   |
| Visual impairment                         | 17 (49%)          |
| Physical impairment, upper motor neuron injury | 5 (14%)         |
| Physical impairment, lower limb deficiency | 6 (17%)          |
| Physical impairment, upper limb deficiency | 3 (9%)           |
| Impaired muscle power, post polio myelitis | 4 (11%)          |
| Laterality (number of muscle injuries)    |                   |
| Left                                      | 14 (40%)          |
| Right                                     | 21 (60%)          |
| Chronicity of muscle injury (number of muscle injuries) |   |
| Acute                                     | 27 (77%)          |
| Acute on chronic                          | 3 (9%)            |
| Chronic                                   | 2 (6%)            |
| Unclassified (Delayed onset muscle soreness) | 3 (9%)            |
| Injury site (number of muscle injuries)   |                   |
| Shoulder                                  | 7 (20%)           |
| Hip                                       | 3 (9%)            |
| Thigh                                     | 21 (60%)          |
| Lower leg                                 | 4 (11%)           |
| Location of injury within muscle (number of muscle injuries) |   |
| Proximal third                            | 14 (40%)          |
| Middle third                              | 12 (34%)          |
| Distal third                              | 8 (23%)           |
| Diffuse                                   | 1 (3%)            |
| BAMIC grade (number of muscle injuries)   |                   |
| 0a, 0b, 1a                                | 0                 |
Figure 1: Para athlete participating in short distance sprinting (a) Coronal T2-weighted fat-suppressed image shows ill-defined feathery edema (high signal intensity) at the myotendinous junction of the right rectus femoris muscle (arrows); (b) Axial T2-weighted fat-suppressed sequence demonstrates partial rupture of the anterior aspect of the intramuscular tendon (arrow). Note the normal intramuscular tendon at the same anatomical location on the left (arrowheads). This injury was consistent with modified Peetrons grade 2 and BAMIC grade 3c.

*One female athlete had two separate muscle (supraspinatus and deltoid) injuries. **One male athlete had bilateral deltoid muscle injuries.

| Modified Peetrons grade (number of muscle injuries) | 15 (43%) | 12 (34%) | 2 (6%) | 6 (17%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|
| 1a                                                   | 5 (14%)  | 0        |        |        |
| 1b                                                   | 7 (20%)  |          |        |        |
| 1c                                                   | 4 (11%)  |          |        |        |
| 2a                                                   | 5 (14%)  |          |        |        |
| 2b                                                   | 0        |          |        |        |
| 2c                                                   | 4 (11%)  |          |        |        |
| 3a                                                   | 2 (6%)   |          |        |        |
| 3b                                                   | 0        |          |        |        |
| 3c                                                   | 0        |          |        |        |
| 4                                                     | 0        |          |        |        |
| 4c                                                   | 2 (6%)   |          |        |        |
| Unclassifiable                                      | 6 (17%)  |          |        |        |
Severity of acute muscle injuries according to the modified Peetrons classification (Table 1)

Most injuries depicted on MRI were grade 1 (N = 15; 43%), of which 4 affected the biceps femoris. Twelve muscle injuries were grade 2 (34%), of which 4 affected the biceps femoris and 3 affected rectus femoris (Figure 1). Two muscle injuries were grade 3 (6%) affecting biceps femoris and rectus femoris. Six injuries did not fit into any of above categories (2 cases of chronic musculotendinous junction scarring, one case of incomplete assessment of severity due to signal abnormality extending beyond the field of view, and three cases of delayed onset of muscle soreness with symptoms being observed 48 hours after competition [17]).

Severity of acute muscle injuries according to the British Athletics Muscle Injury system (Table 1)

In regard to the extent of injuries, grade 2 injuries were most frequent (13 cases, 37%), followed by grade 3 injuries (9 cases, 26%). Six injuries could not be classified because of incomplete assessment of severity due to signal abnormality extending beyond the field of view. Myofascial (“a”) injuries and tendinous (“c”) injuries were most frequently seen in the biceps femoris muscle (N = 4, and N = 5, respectively), while myotendinous junction (“b”) injuries were most commonly found in the semitendinosus and hip adductor muscles (N = 2). The majority of muscle injuries were reported in track and field athletes (N = 23, 66% of all MRI-depicted muscle injuries), followed by football (including 5-a-side and 7-a-side) players (N = 4, 11%) and powerlifters (N = 4, 11%). Incidence of new MRI-depicted muscles injuries (i.e. acute injuries, acute-on-chronic injuries, and Delayed Onset Muscle Soreness [DOMS]) was low in all disciplines and summarized in Table 2. No such injuries were reported in sport disciplines that are not listed in Table 2.

Distribution of muscle injuries stratified by impairment type (Table 3)

Approximately half of athletes with muscle injuries were of the visually impaired group which includes

| Disciplines            | Number of athletes participated in each discipline (N) | Number of imaging-depicted muscle injuries (n) | Incidence proportion (n/N) |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Athletics (all types)  | 1143                                                   | 21                                           | 2%                        |
| Football               | 192                                                    | 4                                            | 2%                        |
| Judo                   | 131                                                    | 1                                            | 0.8%                      |
| Goalball               | 120                                                    | 1                                            | 0.8%                      |
| Rowing                 | 108                                                    | 1                                            | 0.9%                      |
| Powerlifting           | 182                                                    | 4                                            | 2%                        |
| Cycling road           | 230                                                    | 1                                            | 0.4%                      |

| Impairment type                  | Muscles                      | Visual impairment | Physical impairment, upper motor neuron injury | Physical impairment, upper limb deficiency | Physical impairment, lower limb deficiency | Impaired muscle power, post polio myelitis |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Deltoid                          | 1                           | 1                 | 0                                             | 0                                         | 3                                           |                                             |
| Supraspinatus                    | 0                           | 0                 | 0                                             | 0                                         | 1                                           |                                             |
| Subscapularis                    | 1                           | 0                 | 0                                             | 0                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Adductor longus/magnus           | 2                           | 0                 | 0                                             | 0                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Biceps femoris                   | 5                           | 2                 | 3                                             | 0                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Rectus femoris                   | 1                           | 2                 | 2                                             | 0                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Pectineus                        | 1                           | 0                 | 0                                             | 0                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Gluteus maximus                  | 1                           | 0                 | 0                                             | 0                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Gracilis                         | 0                           | 0                 | 0                                             | 1                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Semitendinosus                   | 1                           | 0                 | 1                                             | 1                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Vastus intermedius               | 1                           | 0                 | 0                                             | 0                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Gastrocnemius Medial head        | 2                           | 0                 | 0                                             | 0                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Soleus                           | 1                           | 0                 | 0                                             | 0                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| Tibialis anterior and extensors  | 0                           | 0                 | 0                                             | 1                                         | 0                                           |                                             |
| **TOTAL**                        | **17 (49%)**                | **5 (14%)**       | **6 (17%)**                                   | **3 (9%)**                                 | **4 (11%)**                                 |                                             |
muscle injuries (of which 9 were acute) were detected. The majority of lesions were diagnosed in football players (N = 4, 33% of 12 injuries) and power lifters (N = 4, 33%). Three of 4 injuries in football players were lower extremity muscles and one injury affected upper extremity. All 4 injuries of powerlifters were from upper extremity.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study represents the first report of imaging-diagnosed muscle injuries in a cohort of elite Paralympic athletes. Overall incidence proportion was very low, ranging between 0 and 2% of athletes from all disciplines of sports. Characteristic injury patterns were observed in certain categories of athletes. Only lower limb muscle injuries were observed in athletes with upper or lower limb deficiencies. Conversely, only upper limb muscle injuries were found in powerlifters who had impaired muscle power related to polio myelitis with no or very limited use of their lower limbs. Almost half of all athletes affected by MRI depicted muscle injuries had visual impairment and this category of athletes who were ambulatory had variety of upper and lower limb muscle injuries overall.

When compared to muscle injury data from Rio 2016 Summer Olympic Games, similarities with the current study include a relatively high proportion of muscle injuries affecting biceps femoris and rectus femoris muscles (total 31 of 81 muscle injuries [38%] in Olympics; 15 of 35 muscle injuries [43%] in Paralympics) [2]. Short distance sprinting was the discipline with highest incidence of muscle injuries in both Games (25 of 81 [31%] in Olympics and 19 of 35 [54%] in Paralympics)

Table 4: Number of imaging-depicted muscle injuries stratified by types of sport.

| Muscles           | Athletics* | Football** | Judo | Goalball | Rowing | Power lifting | Cycling road |
|-------------------|------------|------------|------|----------|--------|---------------|---------------|
| Deltoid           | 0          | 0          | 1    | 0        | 0      | 3             | 1             |
| Supraspinatus     | 0          | 0          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 1             | 0             |
| Subscapularis     | 0          | 1          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Gluteus maximus   | 1          | 0          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Adductor longus/magnus | 1          | 0          | 0    | 1        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Gracilis          | 1          | 0          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Pectineus         | 1          | 0          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Biceps femoris    | 9          | 1          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Semitendinosus    | 3          | 0          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Rectus femoris    | 5          | 0          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Vastus intermedius| 0          | 1          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Gastrocnemius medial head | 1          | 1          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Soleus            | 1          | 0          | 0    | 0        | 0      | 0             | 0             |
| Tibialis anterior & extensors | 0          | 0          | 0    | 0        | 1      | 0             | 0             |
| **TOTAL**         | **23 (66%)**| **4 (11%)**| **1 (3%)**| **1 (3%)**| **1 (3%)**| **4 (11%)**| **1 (3%)** |

*Athletics discipline included short distance running, long distance running, and long jump; ** Football includes 5-a-side and 7-a-side
The overall incidence of acute muscle injuries in the Olympics was also very low and the highest incidence of 2% was seen in the rhythmic gymnastics discipline when stratified according to sport type [2].

In contrast, the only muscle injuries sustained by cyclists during the Olympics were lower extremity muscles. However, the only muscle injured in Paralympic cyclist was upper extremity muscle. This Paralympian cyclist had ‘C4’ classification for impairment by International Paralympic Committee definition [16], which means the athlete had second least severe lower limb impairment (i.e. in cycling, C1 is most severe impairment and C5 is least severe) but was still able to ride a standard bicycle. Exact circumstance of injury is unknown, however, and the fact that this Paralympian cyclist injured upper limb muscle rather than lower limb muscle may have been due to an injury outside of training or competition, since the athlete was riding a bike using legs, rather than a handcycle which is driven using upper limbs. Powerlifting is open to athletes who have impairment in their lower limbs or hips, which would prohibit them from competing in able-bodied (standing) weightlifting [16]. Therefore, athletes compete in both press in powerlifting. It is therefore expected that all 4 muscle injuries reported in the current study involved upper extremity muscles. In contrast, during 2016 Olympic Games, all muscle injuries reported in weightlifters involved lower extremity muscles (5 injuries affecting biceps femoris, gluteus maximus, and vastus lateralis) [2].

No acute muscle injury was reported in rowers in either the Paralympics or Olympics. Only one non-acute lower extremity muscle injury was reported in the current study in a rower, who was classified as ‘LTA-PD’ which means the athlete had a physical impairment but could use their legs, trunk and arms to accelerate the boat and can use the sliding seat [16]. The ankle extensor compartment injury (delayed onset muscle soreness) was thus likely from the use of legs to row the boat in an athlete who had a lower limb deficiency. However, exact severity of lower limb deficiency or if the injury was on the same side as the deficient limb is unknown.

Muscle injuries reported in a judo athlete, a goalball player, and 3 football players may not be directly related to the type of impairment, since all these athletes had visual impairment and no specific limb-related or neurological impairment. Only one football player had physical impairment related to co-ordination and balance difficulties in all four limbs and trunk due to ataxia or athetosis (IPC classification ‘FT6’. i.e. FT5 is most severe and FT8 is least severe impairment). FT6 players typically have difficulties in dribbling the ball when running, accelerating, and stopping [16]. This footballer had a biceps femoris injury, for which underlying lower-limb related impairment could have been a predisposing factor. However, the biceps femoris injury was the most common acute muscle injury in footballers during 2016 Olympic Games (3 injuries) [2], so this muscle injury may be characteristic to the football itself, regardless of physical impairment. Finally, all muscle injuries in athletics discipline affected only lower limbs in both Paralympic and Olympic games, and biceps femoris and rectus femoris were the two most commonly injured muscles. Thus, injury patterns in athletics discipline seem similar regardless of the presence of any physical impairment.

It is difficult to directly compare our data specific to MRI-depicted muscle injuries to available prior publications which generally reported all types of injuries and not just muscle injuries in Paralympic athletes. However, with this limitation in mind, it has been reported that lower extremity injuries (including muscle injuries) are more common in ambulatory Paralympic athletes (visually impaired, amputee, cerebral palsy) and upper extremity injuries are more frequent in athletes who use a wheelchair [18], which is not surprising given the nature of Paralympic sports. More specifically, during Summer 2012 London Paralympic Games, the most common injuries occurred to the thigh in ambulant athletes (16.4% of all injuries; Incidence Rate [IR], 4.0 injuries per 1000-athlete days), most common injury sites in wheelchair or seated athletes was the shoulder/clavicle (19.3% of all injuries; IR, 3.4) [7]. Our data also showed similar injury patterns and are thus in line with the existing literature evidence. Descriptive epidemiological studies of all types of injuries (including fractures, contusion, abrasion, strain, sprain, etc…) affecting both Summer and Winter Paralympic athletes have been published [8,9,19-22], however to the best of authors knowledge no prior study has specifically performed comprehensive analyses focusing on imaging-depicted muscle injuries, and thus no direct comparison with the data from our study is possible. In-depth analysis of fall injuries affecting Paralympic athletes playing wheelchair team sports was recently published [6], but our study did not include any muscle injuries occurring in athletes using wheelchairs.

Limitations of this study include lack of information regarding the exact circumstance and mechanism of muscle injuries, as well as lack of clinical information including post-injury recovery and return to play. Thus, we do not know how MRI based grading of muscle injuries analyzed in our study actually affected or changed each injured para athlete’s management/therapy. We only assessed those injuries that underwent MRI and cannot exclude that some minor injuries were not evaluated by MRI and thus, missed in our analysis. There may be some athletes who had clinically diagnosed muscle injuries but did not have MRI to confirm such injury on imaging, thereby putting them outside the scope of our study. However, since imaging was readily available during the Games, it is likely that the majority of elite para athletes had imaging assessment in clinically suspected muscle injuries affecting biceps femoris.
injuries, especially in a major sport event such as the Paralympics.

**Conclusion**

Our study showed that MRI-depicted muscle injuries were rare in Paralympic athletes during the Rio 2016 Summer Paralympic Games. Hamstring muscle injuries were the most common and were observed most frequently in para athletes competing in the sport of athletics. MRI-depicted muscle injuries correlated with impairment type – a pattern that was seen in broader para athlete injury epidemiological studies. MRI played a major role for detection and radiological severity assessment of muscle injuries in athletes during the 2016 Paralympic Games. Paralympic athletes and their coaches as well as Paralympic sports organizations may use these data to identify muscles that are particularly at risk in certain disciplines of Paralympic sports, which may in turn help sports physicians institute appropriate injury prevention strategies and optimally manage injured athletes with impairment.
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