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Abstract
The European Network for Breast Development and Cancer (ENBDC) meeting on ‘Methods in Mammary Gland Development and Cancer’ has become an annual international rendezvous for scientists with interests in the normal and neoplastic breast. The fourth meeting in this series, held in April in Weggis, Switzerland, focused on proteomics, epigenetics, symmetric division, and metastasis.

Introduction
Speaking of Weggis, where the European Network for Breast Development and Cancer (ENBDC) meeting is held, Mark Twain said ‘Sunday in heaven is noisy compared to this quietness’. Indeed, people working on breast development and cancer took full advantage of this wonderfully quiet and beautiful place again this year for in-depth discussions. The meeting, held in April, started with an inspiring keynote lecture by Nancy Hynes and included the following sessions.

Proteomics session
Chair: Marina Glukhova
The proteomics session consisted of two lectures, one by Bernd Bodenmiller (University of Zurich, Switzerland) and the other by Arzu Umar (Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands). Bodenmiller talked about mass cytometry, a new technology that combines flow cytometry and atomic mass spectrometry and that allows simultaneous measurement of up to 100 parameters [1]. This method allows the analysis of complex regulatory networks activated in response to various environmental signals at the single-cell level and can provide a system-wide picture of signaling events. Cellular signaling intermediates are recognized by antibodies, as used for other techniques, but become labeled with rare earth metals, non-radioactive and non-biological, instead of fluorochromes, and this generates a significantly larger range of reporter tags. In the mass cytometer, cells are introduced into the plasma, atomized, and analyzed by mass spectrometry. At present, a shortage of suitable antibodies against cellular signaling compounds is one of the limiting factors in mass cytometry. Nevertheless, the method significantly extends the capacities of currently used techniques and will play a central role in the analysis of complex cellular interactive networks [2].

Umar presented a paper on the identification of a prognostic protein profile for triple-negative breast cancer. This group compared 25 triple-negative tumors that have a poor clinical prognosis with 38 tumors that have a more positive prognosis in order to define a protein signature for tumors with a high probability of relapse. The tissue samples were obtained by laser capture microdissection, and comparative proteomic profiling revealed 66 differentially expressed proteins, which led to the establishment of a 15-protein signature with predictive value [3]. The data were validated by using tissue microarrays. Umar emphasized that careful control of tissue sample preparation (digestion, reduction, and alkylation) is required in proteomic analysis in order to obtain reproducible and reliable results.

Epigenetics and breast cancer
Chair: Nancy Hynes
Epigenetic alterations leading to changes in gene regulation play a critical role in cancer development. These alterations include changes in the chromatin landscape contributing to modifications in DNA methylation and chromatin as well as changes in transcription of mRNA, non-coding RNAs, or microRNAs (miRNAs). Approximately 70% of patients with breast cancer present estrogen receptor (ER)-positive tumors, the vast majority of which require ER activity for their proliferation.
Symmetric division
Chair: Maria dM Vivanco

Stem cells are characterized by capacities to self-renew and to differentiate, and the mechanisms that control switching of symmetric and asymmetric division are of great interest in stem cell biology. Ben Simons (University of Cambridge, UK) and Salvatore Pece (IFOM-IEO Campus, Milan, Italy) shed some light on this issue. Simons, a physicist by training, provided an excellent example of the power of interdisciplinary research by using statistical physics to address the regulation of the delicate balance between proliferation and differentiation in stem cells. He talked about general strategies of stem cell renewal which could maintain population asymmetry either in a cell-autonomous manner or under the influence of the local microenvironment. Simons discussed evidence from lineage-tracing studies of stochastic stem cell fate in adult tissues in a variety of tissue types. For example, interfollicular epidermis represents a simple model in which a single progenitor cell population maintains tissue homeostasis following balanced stochastic fate [7]. In addition, Simons presented robust lineage-tracing results in the intestinal crypt and indicated that stochastic stem cell loss is compensated by division of neighboring cells and thus progresses to monoclonality [8]. It would be interesting to ascertain relevant activities in the mammary gland as a tissue subjected to a wide range of hormonal changes and where paracrine interactions may affect stem cell fate.

Breast cancer is a very complex disease, encompassing both inter- and intra-tumor heterogeneity. Pece pondered this complexity and the cellular origin of breast cancer subtypes. He discussed results of studies that used PKH26 (a lipophilic fluorescent dye that labels relatively quiescent cells within a proliferating population), combined with the mammosphere culture method, to purify human normal mammary stem cells (hNMSCs) and to identify an hNMSC signature by global transcriptome profiling [9]. The hNMSC signature distinguished well-differentiated breast cancers from poorly differentiated ones, which are enriched in cancer stem cells. Pece argued that, mechanistically, the intrinsically high content of cancer stem cells frequently observed in poorly differentiated breast cancers is most likely a consequence of a skewing of the physiological asymmetric mode of division of stem cells toward a symmetric one, which is ultimately the basis of the unlimited self-renewal phenotype displayed by high-grade, biologically more aggressive tumors. One paradigmatic example of such molecular circuitries that control normal stem cell homeostasis and that are misregulated in cancer is the p53 loss, which is associated with acquisition of symmetric and unlimited self-renewal ability in normal and tumor mammary stem cells [10].

Metastasis
Chair: Mohamed Bentires-Alj

Keltouma Driouch (Institut Curie, Paris, France) first discussed the propensity of particular cancer cells to colonize only specific organs. Breast cancer cells metastasize preferentially to bone, lungs, liver, and brain. The
Driouch lab uses microarray analysis of primary tumors and metastases (a) to understand the determinants of organ tropism during metastasis, which they then validate by using reverse genetics and mouse models, and (b) to derive prognostic gene signatures, which they validate by using publicly available datasets of primary breast tumors for which the outcome for the patients is known [11]. For example, the investigators analyzed gene expression profiles of lung versus non-lung metastases and found a lung-metastasis gene signature of prognostic value. Among the genes overexpressed in lung metastases is the focal adhesion protein kindlin 1 (KIND1/FERMT1), which transduces signal from integrins. KIND1 expression in breast and other cancers correlates with lung metastases. Moreover, overexpression of KIND1 enhances breast tumor growth and migration, whereas its inhibition reduces migration and tumor initiation and prevents metastasis formation [12].

Dan Medina (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA) described the human in mouse intraductal (HIND) method, an in vivo model for the study of the evolution of human ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS). Despite the heterogeneity and complexity of breast cancer, a histological continuity ranging from hyperplasia to atypical hyperplasia, DCIS, and invasive breast cancer (IBC) is common in breast tumors with IBC [13]. Within the same tumor, DCIS lesions are heterogeneous in terms of grade and expression of ER, progesterone receptor, and ErB2, and some of these lesions will progress to IBC. Unfortunately, stable DCIS cell lines that can be manipulated ex vivo and in vivo are rare. To mimic DCIS, the Medina lab injected two human breast cancer cell lines intraductally; both DCIS.com and Sum225 cells formed DCIS-like lesions but only the former progressed to IBC [14]. They then intraductally injected human DCIS cells (obtained from biopsies) with the aim of generating additional immortalized DCIS lines that represent the heterogeneity of human DCIS. In collaboration with the lab of D Craig Allred (Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA), they compared matched biopsies of human DCIS versus IBC and identified differentially expressed genes (for example, cystatin A, transmembrane protein 45A, FAT tumor suppressor homologue, stratifin, osteopontin, decorin, and MMP11). They then used the HIND method to test the role of candidate genes in tumor progression [15]. Finally, Medina described the usefulness of the HIND assay for testing the effects of targeted therapy on progression from DCIS to IBC.

Conclusions

Once again, the ENBDC annual meeting provided an excellent forum for discussion of mammary gland and breast cancer research. This year, the latest advances in proteomics technology, such as mass cytometry, were discussed along with the application of proteomics to the identification of a profile that identifies tumors with high probability of relapse. The important clinical problem of recurrent cancer, as well as the technical challenges to quantify changes in miRNAs, was also part of the session on epigenetics. The consequences of the crucial switch between symmetric and asymmetric division were discussed for both normal and neoplastic tissues; understanding the mechanisms controlling this switch may be relevant for future anti-cancer therapies. Finally, the ultimate problem of metastasis was explored by using microarray analysis and intra-mammary ductal transplantation examined as a useful tool to study tumor progression. The organization of the next ENBDC meeting in Weggis, on April 25 to 27, 2013, has already begun.
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