Comparision of ultrasound-guided stellate ganglion block at 6th and 7th cervical vertebrae using the lateral paracarotid out-of-plane approach for sympathetic blockade in the upper extremity

Jongyoon Baek¹, Bum Soo Kim², Hwarim Yu³, Hyuckgoo Kim⁴, Chaeseok Lim⁴, Sun Ok Song⁴

¹Gajo-myeon Public Health Branch, Geochang; ²KIM BUM SOO PAIN CLINIC, Ulsan;
³Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Seoul;
⁴Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Yeungnam University College of Medicine, Daegu, Korea

**Background:** The authors have performed ultrasound-guided stellate ganglion block (SGB) in our clinic using a lateral paracarotid approach at the level of the 6th cervical vertebra (C6). Although SGB at C6 is a convenient and safe method, there are ongoing concerns about the weak effect of sympathetic blockade in the ipsilateral upper extremity. Therefore, ultrasound-guided SGB was attempted using a lateral paracarotid approach at the level of the 7th cervical vertebra (C7). This prospective study aimed to compare changes in skin temperature after SGB was performed at C6 and C7, and to introduce a lateral paracarotid approach for SGB.

**Methods:** Thirty patients underwent SGB twice: once at C6 and once at C7. For every SGB, the skin temperature of the patient's hypothenar area was measured for 15 min at 1-min intervals. Skin temperatures before and after SGB and side effects were compared between C6 and C7 groups.

**Results:** The temperature of the upper extremity increased after SGB was performed at C6 and C7. There were significant differences between mean pre-SGB and the largest increases in post-SGB temperatures (0.50±0.38°C and 1.41±0.68°C at C6 and C7, respectively; p<0.05). Significantly increased post-SGB temperatures (difference >1°C) were found in 5/30 (16.7%) and 24/30 (80%) cases for C6 and C7, respectively (p<0.05). There were no significant differences in side effects between SGB performed at C6 or C7 (p>0.05).

**Conclusion:** The lateral paracarotid approach using out-of-plane needle insertion for ultrasound-guided SGB performed at C7 was feasible and more effective at elevating skin temperature in the upper extremity than SGB at C6.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Stellate ganglion block (SGB) has been used since the mid-1930s, and has become a common intervention for the treatment of sympathetically mediated pain and vascular insufficiency of the head, neck, and upper extremity. SGB has been performed at the level of the 6th cervical vertebra (C6), owing to the easy palpability of the C6 tubercle (Chassaignac’s tubercle) in the neck, and the low risk for puncture of the vertebral artery and pneumothorax. In addition, the anterior paratracheal approach at the level of C6 can be performed blindly by many practitioners. Recent reports have suggested that ultrasound-guided SGB is a safer and more accurate me-
thod [1,2]. Furthermore, our previous papers including Kim et al. and Jung et al. reported that a lateral paracarotid out-of-plane approach (Song’s technique) for real-time ultrasound-guided SGB using a standard high-frequency linear probe is a safe and suitable method [3,4]. However, the classical blind technique of SGB performed at C6 is less effective for sympathetic blockade of the upper extremity [5,6]. We speculated that a lateral paracarotid approach for ultrasound-guided SGB at the level of C6 may be also less effective in the upper extremity. Therefore, we attempted an ultrasound-guided SGB at the level of the 7th cervical vertebra (C7). This study aimed to compare the effect of the lateral paracarotid approach using ultrasound-guided SGB at C6 and C7 for sympathetic blockade in the upper extremity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patients

After obtaining informed consent, 30 patients with sensorineural hearing loss or facial palsy were selected during the year of 2009, and referred to the pain clinic for SGB. Demographic information, including age, ratio of men to women, height and weight, is summarized in Table 1. All patients were American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status 1 or 2, and did not have a problem with coagulation or a medication history of anticoagulants. All procedures were performed by a single physician for technical consistency. To reduce patient bias, each patient underwent repeat SGB twice - once at C6 and once at C7 - with a 3- to 5-day interval between blocks. The ultrasound device used in this study was equipped with a 6-13 MHz linear array probe (HFL38x, Sonosite M-turbo, Sonosite, Bothell, WA, USA).

After patients arrived at the pain clinic, a skin temperature indicator (MU-832RK, Life Scope 9, Nihon Koden, Japan) was placed onto the hypothenar area of the ipsilateral hand. After a 5-min period to allow for temperature stabilization, SGB was performed. Because skin temperature can be influenced by the surrounding environment, room temperature was maintained at 22-25°C.

Patients were positioned supine, and a 10 cm pillow was placed beneath the shoulders to aid in neck extension. For SGB at C6, a lateral paracarotid out-of-plane approach was performed for ultrasound-guided SGB (Fig. 1) [3,4]. A total of 4 mL of 0.2% ropivacaine was injected to achieve blockade. For SGB at C7, a lateral paracarotid approach was also used. First, however, the ipsilateral paratracheal transverse view of the neck showing Chassaignac’s tubercle at C6 was confirmed under the guidance of a standard high-frequency linear probe. In this short-axis view, the prominent anterior tubercle of the C6, the 6th cervical nerve root, and the oval shape structure of the longus colli muscle were revealed. The probe was then moved caudally to C7, which was confirmed by the C7 transverse process with a single posterior tubercle. In addition, the target of C7 was determined by the vertebral artery using the color Doppler mode, which came up to the tubercle of the cervical spine. At the level of C7, the vertebral artery lies at the anterior C7 transverse process in an extraforaminal location and originates from the subclavian artery at the inferior border of the thyroid gland. After confirming blood vessels using the color Doppler mode, a 25-gauge, 4 cm needle (Kovac Med Inc, Ansan, Korea) was used to pene-

Table 1. Demographic data

| Parameter               | Value                        |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Number of patients (M/F)| 30 (11/19)                   |
| Age (yr)                | 52±18.3 (19−89)              |
| Weight (kg)             | 60.3±9.16 (48−84)            |
| Height (cm)             | 160.4±9.32 (141−176)         |
| BMI (kg/m²)             | 23.4±2.6 (20.0−25.1)         |

Values are presented as number of patients or mean±standard deviation (range).

BMI, body mass index.
trate the compressed internal jugular vein using the out-of-plane approach and aimed 15 degrees postero-medially toward the longus colli muscle beneath the prevertebral fascia. The exact target of this block technique is the space between the prevertebral fascia and the longus colli muscle. After reaching the target, intravascular placement of the needle was ruled out with a negative aspiration test, followed by injection of 0.2-0.3 mL of local anesthetic. During the initial injection of a small dose, correct needle-tip placement was confirmed by the spreading of local anesthetic above the longus colli beneath the prevertebral fascia. Additional injections were divided into amounts <1 mL; the needle was repositioned several times to maintain its exact position and to avoid supra-prevertebral fascial injection. Finally, a spreading hypoechoic lake of local anesthetic was observed circumferentially around the longus colli. A total of 4 mL of 0.2% ropivacaine was injected to achieve blockade (Fig. 2).

The injection site was compressed manually for 5 min to avoid the formation of hematoma due to penetration of the internal jugular vein. The effect of SGB was confirmed by the onset of Horner's sign. The temperature of the hypothalamic area was recorded at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, and 15 min. Side effects, such as hoarseness, hematoma and upper extremity weakness, were also verified by the physician during the patient's hospital stay.

After collecting all data, the largest increases in skin temperature after SGB (post-SGB) were compared with skin temperatures before SGB (pre-SGB) in each patient. The difference between pre-SGB and post-SGB temperatures were compared between SGBs performed at C6 and C7. Additionally, the number of patients who exhibited significant elevation of post-SGB temperature (>1°C) compared with pre-SGB were compared between SGBs performed at C6 and C7.

2. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS version 15.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The paired samples t-test was used to compare temperature increases between SGB performed at C6 and C7. The Student’s t-test, chi-squared, and Fisher’s exact tests were used to compare differences in increased temperature, the number of patients with significant increase in post-SGB temperature, and side effects; \( p<0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Each patient underwent SGB separately: once at C6 and once at C7. After SGB, all patients exhibited cranial sympathetic blockade symptoms. In patients who underwent ultrasound-guided SGB at C6 using the lateral paracarotid approach, the mean pre-SGB temperature was 35.0±1.08°C and post-SGB was 35.5±1.09°C, with a difference that was statistically significant \( (p<0.05) \). However, the mean difference between post-SGB and pre-SGB temperature was 0.50±0.38°C (range, 0-1.3°C).

In patients who underwent ultrasound-guided SGB at C7 using the lateral paracarotid approach, the mean pre-SGB temperature was 34.2±1.59°C and post-SGB was 35.6±1.07°C, a difference that was statistically significant \( (p<0.05) \). The difference between post-SGB and pre-SGB temperatures was 1.41±0.68°C (range, 0.1-3.1°C).

There were statistically significant differences between pre-SGB and post-SGB temperatures with blocks performed at C6 and C7 \( (p<0.05 \text{ [Student's}\,\, t\text{-test]}) \) (Table 2). Significantly increased post-SGB temperatures (>1°C) were recorded in 5/30 (16.7%) and 24/30 (80%) for SGBs performed at C6 and C7, respectively \( (p<0.05 \text{ [Chi-Square test]}) \) (Table 3). The number of effective sympathetic blocks was higher when per-

---

**Fig. 2.** In the C7 transverse view, the vertebral artery come out from the tubercle and is seen easily. Arrows show the subfascial spread of local anesthetics above the longus colli muscle. CA, carotid artery; LCo, longus colli muscle; SCM, sternocleido-mastoid muscle; Thy, thyroid gland; VA, vertebral artery; med, medial; lat, lateral.
Table 2. Comparison of pre-SGB and post-SGB temperatures in C6 and C7 groups (n=30)

| Temperature C6          | C7          |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| Pre-SGB (°C)            | 35.0±1.08   |
| Post-SGB (°C)           | 35.5±1.09a  |
| The differences between post- and pre-SGB (°C) (range, °C) | 0.50±0.38 (0.0-1.3) | 1.41±0.68b (0.1-3.1) |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation.
SGB, stellate ganglion block; C6, 6th cervical vertebra; C7, 6th cervical vertebra.

\( ^{a}\)p<0.05, there is significant difference between pre-SGB and the most increased post-SGB temperatures in each group (\(p<0.05\), student t-test).
\( ^{b}\)p<0.05, compared with C6 group.

Table 3. The number of patients revealed significantly increased temperature (>1° C) after SGB in C6 and C7 groups (n=30)

| Number of patients | C6 (%) | C7 (%) |
|--------------------|--------|--------|
| Increased temperature more than 1° C  | 5 (16.7) | 24 (80)\(^{a}\) |
| Increased temperature less than 1° C   | 25 (83.3) | 6 (20) |

SGB, stellate ganglion block; C6, 6th cervical vertebra; C7, 6th cervical vertebra.

\( ^{a}\)p<0.05, there is statistical differences between C6 and C7 group (Chi-Square test). There are more significant patients revealed effective sympathetic block in group C7 than in group C6.

Table 4. Side effects following SGB in C6 and C7 groups (n=30)

| Number of patients | C6 (%) | C7 (%) |
|--------------------|--------|--------|
| Hoarseness (%)    | 4 (13.3) | 2 (6.7) |
| Arterial puncture (%) | 0 (0)  | 0 (0) |
| Hematoma (%)      | 0 (0)  | 0 (0) |
| Upper extremity weakness (%) | 1 (3.3) | 2 (6.7) |

There were no significant difference between two groups (\(p>0.05\), Fisher’s exact test).
SGB, stellate ganglion block; C6, 6th cervical vertebra; C7, 6th cervical vertebra.

DISCUSSION

The sympathetic ganglia of the cervical spine consist of the superior, middle, inferior, and cervicothoracic ganglions. Commonly, the 7th cervical sympathetic ganglion and the 1st thoracic sympathetic ganglion are fused into the cervicothoracic ganglion, and called the “stellate ganglion”. It is located immediately anterior to the transverse process of the C7 vertebra, and superior or anterior to the neck of the first rib [7]. This ganglion is described as a structure 1 to 2.5 cm long, approximately 1 cm wide and 0.5 cm thick. SGBs are used to block sympathetic innervations of cranial, cervical, and upper thoracic lesions [7,8]. It is a common intervention in the diagnosis and management of sympathetically mediated pain and vascular insufficiency of the face and upper extremity. In addition, this sympathetic block has been advocated for treatment of a variety of medical conditions including phantom pain, postherpetic neuralgia, cancer pain, cardiac arrhythmia, orofacial pain, vascular headache, and complex regional pain syndrome.

Although the location or presence of the stellate ganglion varies among patients, SGB at C6 is commonly performed using a landmark technique owing to the easy palpability of Chassaignac’s tubercle. However, investigators using magnetic resonance imaging reported that 10 mL of normal saline blindly injected at the level of C6 would not likely reach the stellate ganglion. Consequently, it results in failure of sympathetic block of upper extremity lesions because of technical failure during injection or inadequate spread of local anesthetics to the stellate ganglion [9]. Previously, we also attempted to determine an optimal volume for the blind landmark technique for SGB at C6 on appropriate sympathetic blockade of the ipsilateral upper extremity by measuring the elevation of skin temperature [6]. The authors reported that effective SGB at C6 on the upper extremity required larger vol-
umes of local anesthetic (>12 mL) and recommended lower doses for SGB at C7 or T1. These results suggest that successful SGB at C6 using a blind landmark technique requires a larger volume of drug to treat upper extremity pathology.

Hogan et al. performed computed tomography-guided local anesthetic injection at the level of T1 [10], and reported that injection at T1 was more effective than paratracheal injection at C6 in achieving effective sympathetic block of the upper extremity. Smith reported successful SGB at C7 in >400 patients [11]. Moore and Bridenbaugh also successfully performed SGB at C7 without a serious complication in >2,000 blocks [12].

Nevertheless, SGB at C7 may be associated with more risk than SGB at C6. It may cause severe complications such as injury to the vertebral artery, inadvertent intra-arterial injection of local anesthetic, and pneumothorax [13-15]. If the vertebral artery is punctured inadvertently, it is impossible to stop bleeding by compression; consequently, surgery would be required. The accidental intra-arterial injection of local anesthetics may cause central nervous system toxicity, coma, and/or fulminant tonic clonic seizure. The vertebral artery arises from the subclavian artery, and then enters deep to the transverse process at the level of the C7 [16]. Furthermore, the thoracic cage is near, and the risk for pneumothorax is elevated.

Despite the above-mentioned risks, SGB at C7 is feasible and safe using ultrasound, without the risk for injury to arteries and other important structures. Ultrasound-guided SGB has several advantages, including safety, rapid onset of Horner’s sign and lower volumes of local anesthetic, compared with the landmark technique [1,4,17]. Authors have already reported that the lateral paracarotid out-of-plane approach (Song’s technique) for real-time ultrasound-guided SGB at C6 is a safe and suitable method [3,4]. Lee et al. reported that a 2 mL dose was sufficient for successful SGB when performing ultrasound-guided SGB at C6 [18]. However, SGB at C6 remains a concern because of the weak effect of sympathetic blockade in the ipsilateral upper extremity. Therefore, we attempted to determine an easier way to safely succeed with SGB at C7 using ultrasound guidance. In addition, this study also compare the effectiveness of the lateral paracarotid approach for ultrasound-guided SGB at C6 and C7 in increasing skin temperature in the ipsilateral upper extremity. The results would indicate the level at which SGB would be more effective in upper extremity pathologies including Raynaud’s syndrome and complex regional pain syndrome.

After SGB, the temperature of the upper extremity increased to some extent according to the level of SGB. At C6, the mean increase in temperature was 0.50±0.38 °C, and only 5 (16.7%) patients exhibited significantly increased (>1 °C) post-SGB temperatures. These data suggest that SGB at C6 may be insufficient to achieve successful sympathetic blockade in the upper extremity. When SGB performed at C7, the mean increase in temperature was 1.41±0.68 °C, and 24 (80%) patients exhibited significantly increased (>1 °C) temperatures after SGB. The number of effective sympathetic blocks was significantly higher when performed at C7 than at C6.

Although Lee et al. reported that a 2 mL dose was sufficient for spread below C7 and T1 junction when performing ultrasound-guided SGB at C6 [18], the conventional injection technique using a 4 mL dose at C6 in our clinics has demonstrated less efficient sympathetic block in the upper extremity than at C7. In the course of needle repositioning between the prevertebral fascia and longus colli muscle, local anesthetics were probably administered to the longus colli muscle. Consequently, this may result in insufficient spread below C7 in SGB performed at C6.

While performing SGB at C7, however, there are many considerations to be mindful of. The esophagus is more lateral to the trachea at the level of C7. The incidence of the major vessels, including arteries that appear in long axis view, such as the inferior thyroidal artery, was higher than that at C6. Therefore, an ultrasound-guided approach at C7 may be a less safe approach than that at C6 because there are several important anatomical structures including the esophagus and blood vessels [19]. Nevertheless, we chose the lateral paracarotid approach with ultrasound-guided SGB at C7 for sympathetic block in the upper extremity. This approach permitted a shorter length of needle pathway than the lateral approach using in-plane needle insertion, and the requirement for lower volume of drug than the lateral paracarotid approach with ultrasound-guided SGB at C6. In addition, ultrasound enables physicians to visualize the esophagus and blood vessels.

According to these results, we recommend a lateral paracarotid approach for ultrasound-guided SGB at C7, which
can increase the effectiveness of sympathetic block more than SGB performed at C6 to treat upper extremity pathologies. This approach method for ultrasound-guided SGB at C7 yields better results for sympathetic blockade of the upper extremity, with no more complications than at C6. Moreover, it is within the range of possibility for all practitioners to easily perform SGB at C7. Therefore, we suggest that a lateral paracarotid out-of-plane approach for ultrasound-guided SGB at C7 could be a useful method for treating sympathetic-mediated disease in the upper extremity.
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