Bending strain-tunable magnetic anisotropy in Co$_2$FeAl Heusler thin film on Kapton®
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Bending effect on the magnetic anisotropy in 20 nm Co$_2$FeAl Heusler thin film grown on Kapton® has been studied by ferromagnetic resonance and glued on curved sample carrier with various radii. The results reported in this letter show that the magnetic anisotropy is drastically changed in this system by bending the thin films. This effect is attributed to the interfacial strain transmission from the substrate to the film and to the magnetoelastic behavior of the Co$_2$FeAl film. Moreover two approaches to determine the in-plane magnetostriction coefficient of the film, leading to a value that is close to $\lambda^{CFA} = 14 \times 10^{-6}$, have been proposed.

The functional properties of devices on non-planar substrates are receiving an increasing interest because of new flexible electronics based-technologies. Magnetic thin films deposited on polymer substrate show tremendous potentials in new flexible spintronics based-applications, such as magnetic sensors adaptable to non-flat surfaces. Indeed, several studies of giant magneto-resistance (GMR)-based devices, generally composed of metallic ferromagnetic materials deposited on a polymer substrate, have been made [1–3]. However, in order to develop flexible spintronic devices, materials with high spin polarization are highly desirable. Half metallic materials are known to be ideal candidates as high spin polarization current sources to realize a very large giant magneto-resistance (GMR) and to reduce the switching current densities in spin transfer based-devices according to the Slonczewski model [4]. Among half metallic materials, Co-based Heusler alloys [5] have generally high Curie temperature such as Co$_2$FeAl [6] in contrast to oxide half metals, and thus are promising for spintronics-based applications at room temperature. However, the knowledge of the magnetoelastic properties of this Heusler alloys is poor while they could be submitted to high strains when integrated in flexible devices.

Among the overall flexible materials, polyimides are organic materials with an attractive combination of physical characteristics including low electrical conductivity, high tensile strength, chemical inertness, and stability at temperatures as high as 650 K. The most common commercially available (aromatic) polyimide has the DuPont de Nemours registered trademark Kapton® [9]. In addition to its widespread use in the microelectronics industry, Kapton® (PMDA-ODA) has an excellent thermal and radiation stability as evidenced by its routine use for vacuum windows at storage-ring sources.

Figure 1: Sketch of the microstripline resonator allowing for the resonance field detection of the bended CFA film deposited onto flexible substrate. $I_{in}^f$ and $I_{out}^f$ correspond to the injected and transmitted radio frequency current (fixed at 10 GHz thereafter). The static magnetic field $\vec{H}$ is applied along the microstripline.

In the case of flexible sample made of polymers coated by a very thin layer, a very small bending effort can lead to relatively high stress in the layer, either compressive if it is at the inside edge either tensile if at the outside ones. Obviously, it depends on the adhesion between the layer and the Kapton® substrate that is generally good even if no buffer layer is deposited [7, 8]. In this paper, we will show that the magnetic anisotropy of 20 nm thick Co$_2$FeAl (CFA) film grown on Kapton® is significantly changed through the magnetoelastic coupling by bending the sample glued on curved Aluminum blocks of different known radii.

The bending strain effect has been experimentally studied by microstripline ferromagnetic resonance (MS-FMR), shown in Fig. through uniform precession mode resonance field. Indeed, the resonance field of the uniform precession mode is influenced by the magnetoelas-
tic behavior of the thin film. All the experimental MS-FMR spectra analyzed in this work have been performed at room temperature at a fixed driving frequency of 10 GHz. In order to quantitatively study the magnetoelastic behavior of the thin film, we have analytically modeled the bending strain effect on the ferromagnetic resonance field through a magnetoelastic density of energy $F_{\text{me}}$:

$$F_{\text{me}} = -3\frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \gamma_x^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) \sigma_{xx}$$

(1)

where $\lambda$ is the magnetostriction coefficient of the CFA film. The relation between the principal stress component ($\sigma_{xx}$) and the radius curvature $R$ is given by the following equation available when the film thickness is very small as compared to the substrate ones:

$$\sigma_{xx} = E \frac{t}{2R}$$

(2)

where $t$ is the whole sample thickness (~the substrate thickness in our case) and $E$ is the Young’s modulus.

In these conditions, the resonance field of the uniform precession mode evaluated at the equilibrium is obtained from the total magnetic energy density $F$ as follows:

$$\left( \frac{2\pi f}{\gamma} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{1}{M_s \sin \theta_M} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta_M \partial \varphi_M} - \left( \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta_M \partial \varphi_M} \right)^2 \right)$$

(3)

In the above expression, $f$ is the microwave driving frequency, $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic factor ($\gamma = g \times 8.794 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Oe}^{-1}$) while $\theta_M$ and $\varphi_M$ stand for the polar and the azimuthal angles of the magnetization. It should be noted here that the saturation magnetization ($M_s$) has been measured by vibrating sample magnetometry ($M_s \approx 820 \text{ emu cm}^{-3}$). The magnetic energy density $F$ is the sum of several contributions including the Zeeman $F_{\text{ze}}$, the dipolar $F_{\text{dip}}$ and the magnetoelastic $F_{\text{me}}$, and the out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy $F_{\text{perp}} = -K_{\text{perp}} \cos^2 \theta_M$ (where $K_{\text{perp}}$ is the out-of-plane anisotropy constant) contributions. Thereafter, $\varphi_H$ will correspond to the angle between the in-plane applied magnetic field and the bending axis ($x$ direction) as presented in Figure 1. In addition, an initial in-plane uniaxial anisotropy (measured on the unbended sample) has been put into evidence and is attributed to a non-equibaxial residual stress inside the magnetostrictive film induced by a slight initial curvature of the sample after (or during) deposition. Thus, a magnetoelastic energy term ($F_{\text{me \ residual}}$) will be added to take into account this initial anisotropy:

$$F_{\text{me \ residual}} = -3\frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \gamma_x^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) \sigma_{\text{residual, xx}}$$

(4)

and $\sigma_{\text{residual, xx}}$ being the in-plane principal residual stress tensor components and $\varphi_{\text{res}}$ is the angle between $x$ axis and the slight initial curvature. In these conditions, the resonance field can be extracted from the following expression: $f^2 = \left( \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \right)^2 H_1 H_2$ where:

$$H_1 = 4\pi M_s - 2K_{\text{perp}} \frac{M_s}{M_s} + H_{\text{res}} \cos(\varphi_M - \varphi_H) + \frac{3\lambda}{M_s} \left( \sigma_{xx} \cos^2 \varphi_M \right) + \frac{3\lambda}{M_s} \left( \sigma_{\text{residual, xx}} \cos^2(\varphi_M - \varphi_{\text{res}}) + \sigma_{\text{residual, yy}} \sin^2(\varphi_M - \varphi_{\text{res}}) \right)$$

(5)

$$H_2 = \frac{3\lambda}{M_s} \sigma_{\text{residual, xx}} \cos 2\varphi_M + H_{\text{res}} \cos(\varphi_M - \varphi_H) + \frac{3\lambda}{M_s} \left( \sigma_{\text{residual, xx}} - \sigma_{\text{residual, yy}} \right) \cos 2(\varphi_M - \varphi_{\text{res}})$$

(6)

In this formalism, and because $K_{\text{perp}}$ and $\gamma$ can be completely determined at zero applied stress [10], the main unknown is the magnetostriction coefficient $\lambda$ since CFA single-crystal elastic constants can be found elsewhere ($C_{11} = 253 \text{ GPa}, C_{12} = 165 \text{ GPa}, C_{44} = 153 \text{ GPa}$ [11]). Indeed, in these conditions, the Young’s modulus of a polycrystalline film (our case since it is deposited onto polymer substrate) can be estimated using suitable averaging (homogenization method detailed by Faurie et al. [12]), requiring the knowledge of the grain orientations distribution developed during film deposition.

The 20nm-thick CFA film was grown on Kapton® substrate (of thickness 127.5 µm ~ t) using a magnetron sputtering system with a base pressure lower than $3 \times 10^{-9}$ Torr. CFA thin film was deposited at room temperature by dc sputtering under an Argon pressure of $1 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr, at a rate of 0.1 nm s$^{-1}$. The CFA film were then capped with a Ta (5 nm) layer. Finally the CFA film is mounted on curved Aluminum blocks of different radii after the characterization of the CFA thin film ( unbended). Indeed the stacking film is widely thinner that the substrate (more than three order of magnitude) so that the uniaxial stress $\sigma_{xx}$ can be considered as homogeneous in the film thickness. X-ray diffraction measurements showed that no preferential orientation developed during film growth. Being given this random grain orientation distribution, we can estimate the Young’s modulus to be $E = 243 \times 10^{10}$ dyn cm$^{-2}$ (~243 GPa).

The thin film has been placed on small pieces of circular Aluminum blocks of known radii R (13.2 mm, 32.2 mm, 59.2 mm and infinite (flat surface)) and analyzed by MS-FMR at 10 GHz driven frequency. In our conditions, these radii values correspond respectively to the following values of applied stress $\sigma_{xx}$ : 1.15 GPa, 0.47 GPa, 0.26 GPa, 0 GPa. Moreover we have stressed the thin film compressively (Fig 2a) and tensily (Fig 2b) so that we have studied three opposite stress states and the zero stress state (unbended sample). We can see in Figure 2 (R = 32.2 mm) that the sign change for $\sigma_{xx}$ in the thin film induces a switching of the uniaxial anisotropy easy
\[ H_{\text{res}}(\varphi_H = 0) = H_3 - \frac{3\lambda}{M_s} \sigma_{xx} \]
in CFA Heusler alloy deposited on flexible substrate can be easily manipulated by bending the sample. Obviously, a slight curvature of the sample induces an uniaxial anisotropy that is generally present in such flexible samples. Moreover, by modeling the bending strain effect, and by adjusting the analytical model to the FMR data, it has been possible to extract the in-plane magnetostriction coefficient: $\lambda_{CFA} = 13.8 \times 10^{-6}$. In order to be applied in GMR flexible systems, it is imperative to deposit Heusler alloys with lower coefficient (at least ten times lower), in order to keep a constant value of GMR if sample bending occurs.
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