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Abstract

As a natural consequence of the elementary processes of dust growth, we discovered that a new class of planets can be formed around supermassive black holes (SMBHs). We investigated a growth path from submicron sized icy dust monomers to Earth-sized bodies outside the “snow line,” located several parsecs from SMBHs in low luminosity active galactic nuclei (AGNs). In contrast to protoplanetary disks, the “radial drift barrier” does not prevent the formation of planetesimals. In the early phase of the evolution, low collision velocity between dust particles promotes sticking; therefore, the internal density of the dust aggregates decreases with growth. When the porous aggregate’s size reaches 0.1–1 cm, the collisional compression becomes effective, and the decrease in internal density stops. Once 10–100 m sized aggregates are formed, they are decoupled from gas turbulence, and the aggregate layer becomes gravitationally unstable, leading to the formation of planets by the fragmentation of the layer, with 10 times the mass of the Earth. The growth timescale depends on the turbulent strength of the circumnuclear disk and the black hole mass $M_{\text{BH}}$, and it is comparable to the AGN’s lifetime ($\sim 10^8$ yr) for low mass ($M_{\text{BH}} \sim 10^6 M_\odot$) SMBHs.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Planetary system formation (1257); Supermassive black holes (1663); Active galactic nuclei (16); Interstellar dust (836); Exoplanet formation (492)

1. Introduction

Planetary systems are ubiquitous—more than 4000 exoplanets have been discovered thus far.5 However, protoplanetary disks around stars may not be the only sites for planet formation in the universe. Here we propose a new site of “planet” formation: the circumnuclear disk around supermassive black holes (SMBHs).

Most galaxies host SMBHs at their centers, with masses ranging from a few million to billion solar masses. Gas disks around SMBHs emit large amounts of energy owing to mass accretion onto the SMBHs, which are known as the “central engine” of active galactic nuclei (AGNs). It is believed that the mass of the SMBH in a galaxy depends on its host galaxy’s bulge mass (Marconi & Hunt 2003). Researchers are more convinced of the presence of SMBHs since the discovery of the “black hole shadow” in M87 (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2019). In the “unified model” of AGNs (Antonucci 1993; Netzer 2015), the gas and dust form a geometrically and optically thick “torus,” and it obscures the broad emission line (line width is several 1000 km s$^{-1}$) region around the central accretion disk. This hypothesis successfully explains the type-1 and type-2 dichotomy of Seyfert galaxies’ spectra, depending on the viewing angle of the tori. The real structure of the tori has been unclear for many years. Recently, the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) spatially resolved the molecular tori in nearby AGNs (García-Burillo et al. 2016; Imanishi et al. 2018; Izumi et al. 2018; Combes et al. 2019). Their internal structure is still not well resolved; however, recent 3D radiation-hydrodynamic simulations suggested a dynamic structure energized by a radiation-driven fountain flow to sustain their geometrical thickness (Wada 2012; Wada 2015; Wada et al. 2018, see also Figure 1). Notably, even in this situation, cold, dense gas forms a geometrically thin disk (Schartmann et al. 2014; Wada et al. 2016), and this stratified structure is also consistent with recent X-ray surveys (Buchner et al. 2014).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe dust and its environment around SMBHs, and their differences from the standard situation, i.e., in the circumstellar disks. In Section 3, we show a typical evolutionary track of representative dust particles from a monomer to a planet-sized body. Four stages of the dust coagulation based on the recent theoretical model proposed for the protoplanet disks are described in detail in the Appendix. We also discuss how the evolutionary timescales depend on parameters in Section 4.

2. Environment of Dust around AGNs in Comparison with Protoplanetary Disks

2.1. The Snow Line in AGNs and the Major difference from the Protoplanetary Disks

The dusty gas around SMBHs extends beyond the dust sublimation radius $r_{\text{sub}}$, where the dust temperature is higher than the sublimation temperature of the dust grains ($T_{\text{sub}} \sim 1500$ K). The radius depends on the AGN luminosity:

$$r_{\text{sub}} = 1.3 \left( \frac{L_{\text{UV}}}{10^{43} \, \text{erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{0.5} \left( \frac{T_{\text{sub}}}{1500} \right)^{-2.8} \left( \frac{a}{1 \, \mu \text{m}} \right)^{-1/2},$$

where $L_{\text{UV}}$ is the ultraviolet luminosity of the AGN and $a$ is the dust size (Barvainis 1987). The temperature of the gas and dust beyond $r_{\text{sub}}$, especially at the midplane of the dusty torus, should be...
cold $\lesssim 100$ K (Schartmann et al. 2014), because the radiation originated from the accretion disk is weaker in the direction of the disk plane, and it is further attenuated by the dense dusty gas. Interestingly, even for X-rays, a large fraction of AGNs are Compton-thick, i.e., the hydrogen column density is $N_H > 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Buchner et al. 2014). Although near-infrared and mid-infrared interferometer observations of AGNs show the presence of hot dusts (several 100 K) around AGNs (Tristram et al. 2014), colder dust particles are also present in the dense media around AGNs. The total amount of dust in the central 6–27 pc around SMBHs estimated from recent molecular lines (e.g., CO) observations of nearby AGNs by ALMA (Combes et al. 2019) is enormous, e.g., $(0.7–3.9) \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$ for the dust-to-gas mass ratio of $\sim 0.01$ (Draine 2011). This number could be even larger for the high metallicity environment around AGNs (Groves et al. 2006; Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2014). The internal dynamics and structure of the molecular tori are still observationally unclear. However, since the mass feeding to the AGN through the circumnuclear disk is necessary during their lifetime ($\sim 10^7–10^8$ yr), the turbulent viscosity works in the dusty gas disk. Here, we model the turbulent disk based on the $\alpha$-viscosity formalism (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Shlosman & Begelman 1987). The dust grains in the circumnuclear disks around SMBHs are in a qualitatively similar situation as the ones in protoplanetary disks. The major differences between the two systems are summarized in Table 1. The “snow line” for $a_d = 0.1$ $\mu$m dust irradiated by X-ray around an AGN with an SMBH of $10^6 M_{\odot}$ for the Eddington ratio $(\gamma_{\text{Edd}})$ of 0.1 is

$$r_{\text{snow}} \approx 4.7 \text{ pc} (L_X/1.3 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1})^{1/2} \times (T_{\text{Ice}}/170 \text{ K})^{-2.8} a_d^{1/2} \rho_{0,1}$$

(1)

| Table 1 Differences between the Protoplanetary Disk and AGN |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Protoplanetary Disk** |
| **Circumnuclear Disk** |
| mass of the central object $M_* \sim M_{\odot}$ & $M_{\text{BH}} \sim 10^{-8} M_{\odot}$ |
| luminosity of the central source $L_*$ & $10^{10–12} L_{\odot}$ |
| spectrum of the central source blackbody & power law |
| size of the dusty disk 10–100 au & 0.1–100 pc |
| inner edge of the dusty disk $\sim 0.1$ pc & dust sublimation radius (sub pc $\sim$ pc) |
| gas mass $\sim 0.01 M_{\odot}$ & $\sim 0.1 M_{\text{BH}}$ |
| dust mass $\sim 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$ & $\sim 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$ |
| rotational period $\sim 100$ yr & $\sim 10^6$ yr |
| lifetime $\sim 10^6$ yr & $10^2$–$10^3$ yr |
| drag law Epstein/Stokes & Epstein |
| mean free path of gas $\sim 1–100$ cm & $\sim 10^{-2} (a/100 \text{ cm})^{-1} \text{ cm}$ |

Note. $^a$ Eisner et al. (2007), Suzuki et al. (2010).

(Barvainis 1987). Moreover, AGNs are often heavily obscured (Compton-thick) even for hard-X-rays (Buchner et al. 2014), suggesting that a cold dusty layer exists around SMBHs. Therefore, it is expected that the dust in most of the circumnuclear disk is icy.

2.2. Outline of Evolution of “fluffy” Dust Aggregates

We then apply recent models of coagulation of dust particles and their aggregates outside the snow line in the protoplanetary disk (Okuzumi et al. 2012; Suyama et al. 2012; Kataoka et al. 2013; Michikoshi & Kokubo 2016, 2017) to the dust around AGNs. The coagulation of “fluffy icy dust” is one of the plausible solutions to avoid the theoretical obstacles that prevent the growth of dust grains (monomers) to “planetesimal” such as the “radial drift barrier” (Okuzumi et al. 2012). In this scenario, the evolution of the dust can be divided into four stages: (1) the “hit-and-stick” phase, (2) the collisional or gas pressure compression phase, (3) the gravitationally compression phase, and (4) the gravitational instability (GI) phase (e.g., Goldreich & Ward 1973). We investigated each phase in the circumnuclear disk as discussed below (see also the Appendix).

We track the evolution of icy monomers, whose size and density are $a_0 = 0.1$ $\mu$m and $\rho_0 = 1$ g cm$^{-3}$, and their aggregates. Here, we investigate the evolution of a representative dust particle size, using the single-size approximation (Sato et al. 2016). In the hit-and-stick phase, when two monomers/aggregates collide, the internal structure of the aggregates becomes porous (i.e., average internal density is smaller than $\rho_0$) with internal voids (Suyama et al. 2012). This “fluffy dust” formation is also examined by numerical experiments (Dominik & Tielens 1997; Wada et al. 2008). The internal density and size of the aggregates are $\rho_a \sim (m_d/m_0)^{-1/2} \rho_0$ and $a_d \sim (m_d/m_0)^{-1/2} a_0$, where $m_d$ and $m_0$ are the masses of the aggregate and monomers, for the fractal dimension of 2. When the collision energy exceeds a critical value, the porous

$^6$ The approximate proportionality $a_d^{-1/2}$ comes from the absorption efficiency of a dust grain being roughly proportional to its radius at a certain wavelength in the near-IR (Draine & Lee 1984).
aggregates start to get compressed, and the evolution of the internal density changes beyond this point (Suyama et al. 2012). During this compression phase, the aggregates’ mass rapidly increase; however, their internal densities gradually increase as well, from \( \rho_{\text{int}} \sim 10^{-6} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \) to \( \sim 10^{-3} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \).

In contrast to the dust coagulation process in the protoplanetary disks (Weidenschilling 1977), the drag between dust particles and gas obeys the Epstein law only. The aggregate size \( (a_d) \) is always much smaller than the mean free path of the gas \( (\lambda_{\text{mfp}} \sim 10^{12} \text{ cm} \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{int}}}{10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\eta_{\text{mol}}}{10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1}) \), where \( \sigma_{\text{mol}} \) and \( n_{\text{mol}} \) are the collisional cross section and number density of the gas. At all times the radial drift velocity of the dust is negligibly small compared to the Kepler velocity \( v_K \) (i.e., \( 10^{-4} - 10^{-5} v_K \)).

In both the protostellar and the circumnuclear disks, the dust-gas coupling is characterized by the normalized stopping time, i.e., the Stokes number, \( S_t \equiv \Omega_K t_{\text{stop}} \), where \( t_{\text{stop}} \) is the timescale of the dust particles to reach the terminal velocity due to the gas drag. In the Epstein law, \( t_{\text{stop}} \) is proportional to \( \rho_{\text{int}} a_d \), then \( S_t \) is

\[
S_t = \frac{\pi \rho_{\text{int}} a_d}{2 \Sigma_g} = \frac{\pi \rho_{\text{int}} a_d (\pi G \Sigma_g)}{2 c_s \Omega_K} \\
\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \rho_{\text{int},1} a_{d,0.1} c_s^{-1} n_t^{3/2} M_{\text{BH},0}^{-1/2} Q_g, \tag{2}
\]

where \( Q_g \) is the Toomre’s Q-value for a gas disk and \( Q_g \equiv c_s \Sigma_g / (\pi G \Sigma_g) \), with the surface density of the gas disk \( \Sigma_g \), and \( a_{d,0.1} \equiv a_d / (0.1 \mu \text{m}) \), the sound velocity of the gas \( c_s \equiv c_s / (1 \text{ km s}^{-1}) \) and \( \rho_{\text{int},1} \equiv \rho_{\text{int}} / (1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}) \). \( Q_g < 1 \) is a necessary condition for the ring-mode GI.

The radial velocity of the dust \( v_{r,d} \) relative to the gas (Weidenschilling 1977; Tsukamoto et al. 2017) is

\[
v_{r,d} = \frac{2 S_t}{1 + S_t} \eta v_K, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \eta \) is a parameter that determines the sub-Keplerian motion of the gas,

\[
\eta \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \frac{c_s^2}{v_K^2} \frac{d \ln P}{d \ln r} \sim 2 \times 10^{-5} M_{\text{BH},7}^{-1} c_s^2, \tag{4}
\]

where \( M_{\text{BH},7} \equiv M_{\text{BH}} / 10^7 M_{\odot} \). Therefore, both in the early stage of the dust evolution \( (S_t < 1) \) and in the late phase \( (S_t \sim 1) \) in the circumnuclear disk, \( v_{r,d} \) is much smaller than \( v_K \); thus, we can ignore the radial drift of the aggregates in the circumnuclear disk during the whole evolution. The “radial drift barrier,” i.e., the dust growth is limited by infalling to the central stars before dust particles obtain large enough mass as planetesimals, is not a serious problem in the circumnuclear disk.

2.3. The Growth Time and Destruction by Collisions

The growth time of the aggregate during the hit-and-stick phase can be estimated as in Tsukamoto et al. (2017):

\[
t_{\text{grow}} \equiv (d \ln m_d / dt)^{-1} = \frac{4 \sqrt{2 \pi} H_d \rho_{\text{int}} a_d}{3 \Delta v \Sigma_d} = \frac{8(2\pi)^{3/2}}{3} \\
\times \frac{H_d}{\sqrt{\alpha} R_e^{1/4} c_s f_{\text{dg}}},
\]

\[
\sim 6.3 \times 10^7 \text{ [yr]} c_s^{-1} \left( \frac{f_{\text{dg}}}{0.01} \right)^{-1} \times \left( \frac{H_d}{0.1 \text{ pc}} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha}{0.1} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{R_e}{10^4} \right)^{-1/4}, \tag{5}
\]

where, \( f_{\text{dg}} \) is the dust-to-gas ratio and \( H_d \) and \( H_g \) are scale heights of dust and gas disks, and \( H_d \approx H_g \approx M_{\text{BH}}^{-1/2} r^{3/2} \) in the circumnuclear disk. The Reynolds number \( R_e \) and the relative velocity of the dust particles \( \Delta v \) are given in the Appendix. This growth time is comparable to the AGN lifetime (see Section 3 and the Appendix in more details).

During the dust compression phase due to collisions, the kinematics of the dust aggregates are dominated by eddies of turbulence in the gas disk. Therefore, relative velocity of the aggregates, \( \Delta v \), which is important for both growth and destruction of them, is determined by the property of the turbulence, i.e., \( R_e \) and \( S_t \) (Ormel & Cuzzi 2007). The dimensionless parameter \( \alpha \) is a parameter to determine the kinematic viscosity in the turbulent disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973):

\[
\alpha \equiv \frac{M_d}{3 \pi \Sigma_g c_s^2 / \Omega_K} = \frac{M_d G}{3 c_s^3} \Sigma_g \approx 0.3 Q_e \left( \frac{\gamma_{\text{Edd},0.6}}{0.01} \right) c_s^2, \tag{6}
\]

where \( M_d \) is the radial mass accretion rate in the disk, and \( \gamma_{\text{Edd},0.6} \) is the Eddington rate for the BH mass with \( M_{\text{BH}} = 10^6 M_{\odot} \) for the energy conversion efficiency of 0.1. Here, we assume \( \alpha \) is a constant, smaller than unity throughout the disk. In this phase, \( S_t \) gradually increases, and eventually the phase ends when \( S_t \sim 1 \), then the aggregates decouple from the turbulent gas. At this time, the mass and size of the aggregates become \( m_d \sim 10^5 \text{ g} \) and \( a_d \sim 100 \mu \text{m} \), respectively. There internal density is still very low (i.e., “fluffy”).

In the next gravitational compression phase, the aggregates are compressed owing to their self-gravity, and their internal density increases as \( \rho_{\text{int}} \propto m_d^{0.4} \) (Kataoka et al. 2013). The relative velocity of the aggregates in this phase is determined by the energy balance among gravitational scattering, collisional energy loss, turbulent stirring, turbulent scattering, and gas drag (Michikoshi & Kokubo 2016, 2017; see also the Appendix). The aggregates finally grow to \( \sim \) kilometer-sized bodies (i.e., planetesimals).

The value of the critical velocity for collisional destruction of centimeter- to kilometer-sized dust aggregates is not clear. Numerical experiments of collisions between aggregates (Wada et al. 2009) showed that the critical velocity is \( 50–100 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) for \( \sim 10^4 \) monomers \( (m_d \sim 10^{-14} \text{ g}) \), and this scales with the mass of the aggregates as \( \propto m_d^{1/4} \). If the critical velocity simply scales, it should exceed \( 1 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) for kilometer-sized “planetesimals.”

In the regime with \( S_t > 1 \), if the Toomre Q-value for the disk of aggregates becomes smaller than \( \sim 2 \), the GI takes place (e.g., Goldreich & Ward 1973), and spiral density enhancements are formed, and it leads to rapid growth of planetesimals.
in a rotational period \( t_K = 2\pi/\Omega_K \simeq 9.5 \times 10^4 \) yr \((M_{BH}/10^7M_\odot)^{-1/2}(r/1 \text{ pc})^{3/2}\) (see also Michikoshi & Kokubo 2017). In fact, we found that the aggregate disks become gravitationally unstable soon after \( S_1 \) reaches unity in most cases.

3. A Typical Evolution Track toward Planets

We investigated the evolution of icy dust particles based on the processes explained in Section 2 to see if the four evolution stages of the dust aggregates are completed, by changing the parameters, such as the black hole mass \( M_{BH} \) and turbulent viscous parameter \( \alpha \). The circumnuclear cold gas disk embedded in the geometrically thick torus (see Figure 1) is assumed to be gravitationally stable. We assign a constant Toomre’s Q-value, i.e., \( Q_0 = 2 \) with the gas temperature \( T_g = 100 \text{ K} \) in the disk.\(^5\) The hydrogen column density of the gas disk is therefore \( N_H \simeq 6.2 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2} (M_{BH}/10^7M_\odot)^{1/2}(r/1 \text{ pc})^{-3/2}(T_g/100 \text{ K})^{1/2} \). The mass between \( r = 0.1 \) and \( 10 \) pc in the thin disk is \( M_g \simeq 5.7 \times 10^4 M_\odot (M_{BH}/10^7M_\odot)^{1/2} (T_g/100 \text{ K})^{1/2} \). The total gas mass in the whole torus system of tens of parsecs could be comparable to \( M_{BH} \) as suggested by recent ALMA observations (Izumi et al. 2018; Combes et al. 2019).

Figure 2(a) shows a typical evolution of a dust aggregate at \( r = 5.5 \text{ pc}, \) just outside of the snow line \( (r_{\text{snow}} = 4.7 \text{ pc}) \) around a low luminosity AGN with \( M_{BH} = 10^7M_\odot \) and the Eddington ratio, i.e., the luminosity ratio to the bolometric luminosity, \( \gamma = 0.01 \). The internal density of the aggregate \( \rho_{\text{int}} \) is plotted as a function of its mass \( m_d \). We assume \( \alpha = 0.1 \). The internal density decreases monotonically from the monomer’s density, \( \rho_0 = 1 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \) to \( 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), as its mass increases from \( m_d \sim 10^{-15} \text{ g} \) to \( \sim 10^{-5} \text{ g} \). At that instant, the size of the aggregate becomes \( \sim 0.1 \) cm. After this hit-and-stick phase, the fluffy dust aggregates keep growing by collisions in the turbulent gas motion until \( S_1 \simeq 1 \). During this phase, the aggregates are compressed by the collisions, and therefore \( \rho_{\text{int}} \) gradually increase during this phase \( m_d \sim 10^{-6} \text{ g} \) to \( 10^{-5} \text{ g} \). At the end of this phase, their size becomes \( a_d \sim 1 \text{ km} \). After this stage, the aggregates are compressed by their self-gravity, therefore \( \rho_{\text{int}} \) increases quite rapidly as seen below.

Figure 2(b) plots collisional velocity \( \Delta v \) of the aggregate \( \text{cm s}^{-1} \) and its size \( a_d \) [cm] as a function of \( m_{d} \). \( a_d \) monotonically increases for \( S_1 < 1 \). Initially \( \Delta v \) is 50 cm s\(^{-1}\) and it decreases in the hit-and-stick phase, after which it increases from \( 10 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \) to \( \sim 500 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) around \( S_1 = 1 \) in the collisional compression phase. It is far below the limit of the collisional destruction velocity of aggregates extrapolated from the numerical experiments of collisions between porous aggregates (Wada et al. 2008), which scales with the mass as \( m_d^{3/4} \).

Figure 3 shows time evolution of \( \rho_{\text{int}}, a_d, \) and \( \Delta v \) for the same model in Figure 2. The hit-and-stick phase and the collisional compression phase take \( \sim 3.8 \times 10^5 \) yr. Soon after the aggregates are decoupled from gaseous turbulence, where \( S_1 \simeq 1 \), their evolution is determined by various heating and dissipation (i.e., cooling) processes in the \( N \)-body system of the aggregates (Michikoshi & Kokubo 2017). Note that Figure 3(b) depicts \( a_d \) grows up to \( \sim 1000 \text{ km} \), but this does not happen because of the GI if \( S_1 = 1 \). Figure 3(c) shows that the collisional velocity \( \Delta v \) increases rapidly around \( S_1 \sim 1 \), resulting in the rapid growth of the aggregates in the mass and density. The collisional velocity then dramatically decreases.

---

\(^5\) Although \( Q_0 = 1 \) is the necessary condition for the GI for the \( m = 0 \) mode perturbation in a thin, uniform disk, the nonaxisymmetric modes can be unstable for \( Q_0 < 1.5 \) (e.g., Laughlin & Bodenheimer 1994). Therefore it is safe to assume \( Q_0 \sim 2 \) for a gravitationally stable disk (see also Wada & Norman 1999; Wada et al. 2002, where the effective \( Q \)-value is \( \lesssim 2 \) in the multiphase, quasi-stable gas disk).
to $\sim 4$ m s$^{-1}$ due to collisional loss of their kinematic energy. This reduces the Toomre's $Q$-value of the dust disk, and as a result $Q_d < 2$ is attained, therefore the system of kilometer-sized aggregates becomes gravitationally unstable (denoted by the dotted lines with “GI” in Figures 2(a) and (b)). This leads to the formation of spiral instabilities and their fragmentation followed by collapsing massive “planets” consisting of dust. This final unstable phase occurs very quickly with a few rotational periods (i.e., $4 \times 10^5$ yr at $r = 5.5$ pc for $M_{\text{BH}} = 10^7 M_\odot$). The mass of “planets” then would be $m_{\text{pl}} \sim \lambda_{\text{GI}}^2 \Sigma_d \sim 10 M_\oplus$, where $\lambda_{\text{GI}}$ is the most unstable wavelength for the GI, and $M_\oplus$ is the Earth mass. In this model, the total number of the “planets” outside the snow line ($r = 4.7$ pc) to $r = 7$ pc is about $8.5 \times 10^4$ and its number density is $\Sigma_{\text{planet}} \sim 10^3$ pc$^{-2}$.

4. Discussion

We then explored the evolution of the aggregates by changing $\alpha$ and $M_{\text{BH}}$. In Figure 4, we plot time for which $S_t$ becomes unity as a function of $\alpha$ and $M_{\text{BH}}$. The behavior of the dust growth is basically the same as the model with $M_{\text{BH}} = 10^7 M_\odot$ and $\alpha = 0.1$ (Figures 2 and 3), but the timescale to reach the state with $S_t = 1$ depends on $\alpha$ and $M_{\text{BH}}$. For example, for $M_{\text{BH}} = 10^6 M_\odot$ and $\gamma_{\text{Edd}} = 0.01$, the snow line is located $r = 1.4$ pc. At $r = 2$ pc, it takes $2.6 \times 10^5$ yr when $S_t$ exceeds unity. As shown in Equation (5), the growth timescale is proportional to $\alpha^{-1/2} H_\nu$, and $H_\nu \propto M_{\text{BH}}^{1/2} r^{1/2}$. The snow line scales as $r \propto L_{\text{AGN}}^{1/2} \propto M_{\text{BH}}^{3/4}$. Therefore, the growth timescale depends on $\alpha^{-1/2} M_{\text{BH}}^{3/4}$. As shown in Figure 4, the timescale becomes $\sim 10^8$ yr for $\log \alpha > -0.3$ and $M_{\text{BH}} = 10^6 M_\odot$ or $\log \alpha > -0.2$ and $M_{\text{BH}} = 10^7 M_\odot$. In other words, formation of “planets” can be expected around the circumnuclear gas disks in low luminosity Seyfert-type AGNs rather than quasar-type high luminosity ones with massive SMBHs.
The growth time of an aggregate is proportional to $\alpha^{-1/2}$. Therefore, if $\alpha$ in the circumnuclear disk is as small as in the protoplanetary disks, i.e., $\alpha \sim 10^{-7} - 10^{-4}$, the growth time would be $6 \times 10^{0} - 2 \times 10^{2}$ yr, which is still smaller than the cosmological time. However, because $\alpha$ is proportional to the mass accretion rate (Equation (6)), the Eddington rate of the central source as a result of the accretion would be $\gamma_{\text{Edd}} \sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$, which corresponds to very low luminosity AGNs (e.g., Ricci et al. 2017). These imply that the planets could be also formed within a few gigayears around very faint AGNs.

The dust-to-gas mass ratio is assumed to be a standard value, i.e., 0.01 (Draine 2011); however, this could be larger by a few factors in the high metallicity environment (e.g., $\sim 4Z_{\odot}$) around AGNs (Groves et al. 2006; Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2014). In such a case, the timescale of the dust evolution can be smaller by a few more factors than shown in Figure 4.

Observing planets around SMBHs should be challenging. The standard techniques to detect exoplanets around stars, i.e., Doppler spectroscopy, transit photometry, gravitational microlensing, or direct imaging are hopeless. Photometry by a hard millimeter-wavelength due to opacity variation associated with the occultation of the accretion disk by the planet would be divided into two regimes (Ormel & Cuzzi 2007): regime (I) $t_{s} \ll t_{g} \sim t_{L} R_{*}^{-1/2}$, and regime (II) $t_{g} \ll t_{s} \ll \Omega_{*}^{-1}$. The Reynolds number, $R_{*} = \alpha c_{s}^{2}/(\nu_{\text{mol}} \Omega_{*})$ with the molecular viscosity $\nu_{\text{mol}} \sim \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{H}}} \lambda_{H}$ is

$$R_{*} \approx 3 \times 10^{4} M_{\odot,6}^{-1/2} R_{\odot,2}^{3/2} c_{s,1}^{-1} Q_{K} \gamma_{\text{Edd}},$$

where $Q_{K}$ is the Toomre’s $Q$-value for the gas disk. The eddy turnover time $t_{E} \sim \Omega_{K}^{-1}$, and $t_{g} \sim t_{L}$ for the smallest eddy. For the hit-and-stick phase, $S_{s} \ll R_{*}$, then $\Delta v$ obeys the regime I, and

$$\Delta v_{s} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} c_{s} R_{*}^{1/4} S_{s,1} - S_{s,2} \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{S_{s,1} c_{s} R_{*}^{1/4}}{S_{s,1}}. \quad (11)$$

For regime II, on the other hand,

$$\Delta v_{L} \sim v_{L} \frac{\rho_{\text{int}}}{\rho_{0} n_{\text{int}}/n_{L}} \sim \sqrt{\alpha} S_{s,1} c_{s} \quad (12)$$

where $\rho_{\text{int}}$ is the mass of the aggregate, and $m_{0}$ and $\rho_{0}$ are the monomer’s mass and density, respectively. We assume that $m_{0} = 10^{-11}$ g and $\rho_{0} = 1$ g cm$^{-3}$. In contrast to the protoplanetary disk, the radial motions of the gas and dust are small.

For example, the radial velocity of the gas disk for the Eddington ratio $\gamma_{\text{Edd}} = 0.1$ and the black hole mass $M_{\odot} = 10^{8} M_{\odot}$ is $10^{-4} v_{K} - 10^{-3} v_{K}$, where $v_{K}$ is the Keplerian rotational velocity (see also Equations (3) and (4)). Therefore, as the first approximation, we assume that the gas and dust surface density distribution ($\Sigma_{d}(r) = f_{d} \Sigma_{g}(r)$) does not change during the evolution.

The growth rate for $m_{d}$ is then

$$\frac{dm_{d}}{dt} = 2 \frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} \Sigma_{d} a_{d}^{2} \Delta v}{H_{d}}. \quad (8)$$

where $a_{d}$ is the size of the dust aggregate, $\Delta v$ is collisional velocity between the aggregates and $H_{d}$ is the scale height of the dust disk given as (Youdin & Lithwick 2007; Tsukamoto et al. 2017).

$$H_{d} = \left(1 + \frac{S_{1,1} + 2S_{1}}{\alpha + S_{1}}\right)^{-1/2} H_{e}, \quad (9)$$

where $H_{e} = c_{s}/\Omega_{K}$ is the scale height of the gas disk.

The relative velocity between aggregates $\Delta v$ for $S_{s} < 1$ can be divided into two regimes (Ormel & Cuzzi 2007): regime (I) $t_{s} \ll t_{g} \sim t_{L} R_{*}^{-1/2}$, and regime (II) $t_{g} \ll t_{s} \ll \Omega_{*}^{-1}$. The Reynolds number, $R_{*} = \alpha c_{s}^{2}/(\nu_{\text{mol}} \Omega_{*})$ with the molecular viscosity $\nu_{\text{mol}} \sim \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{H}}} \lambda_{H}$ is

$$R_{*} \approx 3 \times 10^{4} M_{\odot,6}^{-1/2} R_{\odot,2}^{3/2} c_{s,1}^{-1} Q_{K} \gamma_{\text{Edd}},$$

where $Q_{K}$ is the Toomre’s $Q$-value for the gas disk. The eddy turnover time $t_{E} \sim \Omega_{K}^{-1}$, and $t_{g} \sim t_{L}$ for the smallest eddy. For the hit-and-stick phase, $S_{s} \ll R_{*}$, then $\Delta v$ obeys the regime I, and

$$\Delta v_{s} \sim \sqrt{\alpha} c_{s} R_{*}^{1/4} S_{s,1} - S_{s,2} \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{S_{s,1} c_{s} R_{*}^{1/4}}{S_{s,1}}. \quad (11)$$

$$\sim 0.5 \left[\frac{S_{s,1}}{1.5 \times 10^{-4}}\right]^{1/2} \left[\frac{\alpha}{0.3}\right]^{1/2} \left[\frac{R_{*}}{3 \times 10^{4}}\right] c_{s,1}, \quad (12)$$

where $S_{s,1}$ and $S_{s,2}$ are Stokes numbers of two colliding particles. We here assume $S_{s,2} \sim S_{s,1}/2$ (Sato et al. 2016). For regime II, on the other hand,

$$\Delta v_{L} \sim v_{L} \frac{\rho_{\text{int}}}{\rho_{0} n_{\text{int}}/n_{L}} \sim \sqrt{\alpha} S_{s,1} c_{s} \quad (13)$$

where $v_{L}$ is velocity of the largest eddy.

The size of dust aggregates determines how they interact with the gas (e.g., the Stokes parameter is proportional to $\rho_{\text{int}}/\rho_{0}$ for the Epstein law). Dynamics of the aggregates is affected by their cross sections, which depend on the internal inhomogeneous structure. The radius of BCCA cluster $a_{\text{BCCA}}$ for large numbers of monomers $N$ is given as $a_{\text{BCCA}} \approx N^{1/3} a_{0}$ (Mukai et al. 1992; Wada et al. 2008, 2009), and this was also confirmed by $N$-body simulations (Suyama et al. 2012).

### A.2. Collisional and Gravitational Compression Phases

The hit-and-stick phase ends, when the rolling energy $E_{\text{roll}}$, which is the energy required to rotate a particle around a connecting point by 90°, is comparable to the impact energy, $E_{\text{imp}}$ between the porous aggregates. Beyond this point, the
aggregates start to get compressed by mutual collisions. Here, we assume $E_{\text{coll}} = 4.37 \times 10^{-5}$ erg (Suyama et al. 2012). When the number of monomers in the aggregates exceeds a critical number $N_{\text{crit}} \equiv \beta \frac{m_i^{4/5}}{M_{\text{disk}}}$ with $\beta = 0.5$ (Suyama et al. 2012), they are compressed, and the internal density no longer decreases during the coagulation process.

The collisional velocity $\Delta v$ between aggregates is determined by the interaction with the turbulence for $S_t < 1$, depending on $S_t$ and $R_e$ (Ormel & Cuzzi 2007): for $S_t \leq R_e$,

$$\Delta v = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\alpha} c_e R e^{1/4} S_t,$$

(15)

or for $S_t > R_e$,

$$\Delta v = \sqrt{\alpha S_t} c_e,$$

(16)

where $R_e \equiv \alpha c_e^2/\nu_{\text{mol}} \Omega_k$ with the sound velocity of the gas disk $c_e$.

The internal density of the aggregated $\rho_{\text{int},f}$ formed of two equal-mass aggregates, with density $\rho_{\text{int}}$, is calculated according to Suyama et al. (2012):

$$\rho_{\text{int},f}^4 = \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{int}}^4 + \rho_0^4}{\frac{1.15}{N_{\text{pl}}} E_{\text{imp}}} \right)^{1/4}.$$  

(17)

As the aggregates become more massive for $S_t < 1$, they start getting compressed by their self-gravity, and the internal density evolves as $\rho_{\text{int}} \propto (\Delta v)^{3/5} m_f^{-1/5}$ (Okuzumi et al. 2012). This phase ends when the Stokes parameter becomes unity ($S_t \approx 1$). Then the aggregates are decoupled from the turbulent gas, and they evolve as an $N$-body system.

### A.3. Evolution of Dust Aggregates as an $N$-body System

When $S_t > 1$, the collisional velocity between the aggregates is determined by a balance between heating and cooling processes as the $N$-body particles. According to Michikoshi & Kokubo (2016, 2017), we solve the following equation to get equilibrium random velocity of the dust aggregates $v_d$,

$$\frac{d v_d^2}{d t} = \left( \frac{d v_d^2}{d t} \right)_{\text{grav}} + \left( \frac{d v_d^2}{d t} \right)_{\text{turb, stir}} + \left( \frac{d v_d^2}{d t} \right)_{\text{turb, grav}} - \left( \frac{d v_d^2}{d t} \right)_{\text{coll}} - \left( \frac{d v_d^2}{d t} \right)_{\text{drag}} = 0.$$  

(18)

The first three heating terms are due to the gravitational scattering of the particles, stirring by turbulence, and gravitational scattering by turbulent fluctuation, respectively. The two cooling terms in Equation (18) represent the collisional damping and the gas drag.

### A.4. GI of the Aggregates Disk

We investigate GI of the disk that consisted of the dust aggregate at $S_t > 1$ based on the Toomre’s $Q$-value defined as $Q_d \equiv (v_c/\sqrt{3}) \Omega_k/3.36 G \Sigma_d$. For the axisymmetric mode, $Q_d < 1$ is the necessary condition for the linear GI, but the nonaxisymmetric mode can be developed for $Q_d \lesssim 2$, and the spiral-like density enhancements are formed followed by the spirals (Michikoshi & Kokubo 2017), which leads to the formation of planets. The mass of the fragments can be estimated as $m_f \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi^2}{G} \Sigma_d$, where the critical wavelength for GI $\lambda_{\text{GI}} = 4\pi^2 G \Sigma_d / \Omega_k^2$. The number of “planets” then can be estimated as $N_{\text{pl}} \approx 2\pi/\lambda_{\text{GI}}$. We found that the velocity dispersion of the aggregates drops rapidly due to the cooling terms in Equation (18). As a result, the system becomes gravitationally unstable after $S_t = 1$ in a rotational period.
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There are several typos in the published article, which are corrected by section as follows. We are grateful to Hidekazu Tanaka (Tohoku University) for pointing out some of the errors.

2.1. The Snow Line in AGNs and Major Difference from the Protoplanetary Disks

\[ r_{\text{sub}} = 1.3 \text{ pc} \left( \frac{L_{\text{UV}}}{10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{T_{\text{sub}}}{1500 \text{ K}} \right)^{-2.8} \times \left( \frac{a_d}{0.05 \mu\text{m}} \right)^{-1/2} \]  

(1)

2.2. Outline of Evolution of “Fluffy” Dust Aggregates

\[ \eta \cdots \sim 2 \times 10^{-5} M_{\text{BH}}^{-1} t \, c_s^2 \]  

(4)

2.3. The Growth Time and Destruction by Collisions

Equation (5) is evaluated for \( \Delta v \sim 1/2 \sqrt{\alpha} c_s R_e^{1/4} S_i \), then the growth time is

\[ t_{\text{grow}} \sim \frac{16}{3} \sqrt{\pi} c_s R_e^{1/4} \left( \frac{f_{\text{fg}}}{0.01} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{H_g}{0.1 \text{ pc}} \right)^{1/4} \left( \frac{\alpha}{0.1} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{R_e}{10^4} \right)^{-1/4} \]  

(5)

Appendix

A.1. Hit-and-stick Phase

The eddy turnover time \( t_L \) is ... and \( t_\eta \sim R_e^{-1/2} t_L \) ... For the hit-and-stick phase, \( S_i \ll R_e^{-1/2} \), then ...

\[ \sim 0.5 \text{ [m s}^{-1}] \left( \frac{S_i}{1.5 \times 10^{-4}} \right) \times \left( \frac{\alpha}{0.3} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{R_e}{3 \times 10^4} \right)^{1/4} c_s 1 \)  

(12)
A.2. Collisional and Gravitational Compression Phases

In Equations (15) and (16), the ranges of $S_i$ are divided by $Re^{-1/2}$, not $Re$.

A.3. Evolution of Dust Aggregates as an N-body System

There is a typo in the left-hand side of Equation (17):

$$\rho_{\text{int},f} = \left( \rho_{\text{int}}^{4} + \rho_{0}^{4} \frac{E_{\text{imp}}}{0.15NE_{\text{coll}}} \right)^{1/4}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)
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