Fine mapping and cloning of the major seed protein quantitative trait loci on soybean chromosome 20
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SUMMARY

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] is a unique crop species because it has high levels of both protein and oil in its seed. Of the many quantitative trait loci (QTL) controlling soybean seed protein content, alleles of the cqSeed protein-003 QTL on chromosome 20 exert the greatest additive effect. The high-protein allele exists in both cultivated and wild soybean (Glycine soja Siebold & Zucc.) germplasm. Our objective was to fine map this QTL to enable positional-based cloning of its underlying causative gene(s). Fine mapping was achieved by developing and testing a series of populations in which the chromosomal region surrounding the segregating high-versus low-protein alleles was gradually narrowed, using marker-based detection of recombinant events. The resultant 77.8 kb interval was directly sequenced from a G. soja source and compared with the reference genome to identify structural and sequence polymorphisms. An insertion/deletion variant detected in Glyma.20G85100 was found to have near-perfect +/− concordance with high/low-protein allele genotypes inferred for this QTL in parents of published mapping populations. The indel structure was concordant with an evolutionarily recent insertion of a TIR transposon into the gene in the low-protein line-age. Seed protein was significantly greater in soybean expressing an RNAi hairpin downregulation element in two independent events relative to control null segregant lineages. We conclude that a transposon insertion within the CCT domain protein encoded by the Glyma.20G85100 gene accounts for the high/low seed protein alleles of the cqSeed protein-003 QTL.
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INTRODUCTION

Soybean is an important source of protein and oil for both animal and human consumption. It has the highest seed protein concentration (averaging 400 g kg⁻¹, 40% on a dry weight basis) when compared with other major legume crop species (average range of 200–300 g kg⁻¹ seed protein), which in turn surpasses cereal crop species (average range of 80–150 g kg⁻¹) (Liu, 1997). Most soybean protein in the market is a co-product of soybean oil extraction. This soybean meal is a highly digestible feedstuff that provides the essential amino acids needed for animal growth (Cromwell, 2012). Despite being used as a source of oil and protein, the soybean market value is driven primarily by the soybean meal fraction rather than the oil fraction. In 2018, 70% of the world’s protein meal consumption came from soybean, totaling to 235.4 million metric tons (American Soybean Association, 2019).

Seed protein concentration in soybean is quantitatively inherited (Burton, 1985; Wilcox, 1985). Many seed protein-controlling quantitative trait loci (QTL) have now been identified (Grant et al., 2010). The Soybase website (Grant et al., 2010) lists 248 marker associations with seed protein concentration that since the early 1990s to date (June 2021) were detected in biparental populations. These QTL have been loosely mapped to multiple overlapping regions on each of the 20 soybean chromosomes, but the majority are likely redundant detections of many fewer genuine QTL. For this reason, breeders have used the acronym “cq” (confirmed QTL) to highlight those QTL whose map position has been experimentally confirmed and approved
by the Soybean Genetics Committee. A seed protein QTL on soybean chromosome 20 (formerly linkage group I), now known as cqSeed Protein-003, has been one of the most widely studied protein QTL due to its large additive effect on seed protein. When this QTL is mapped in a population, QTL for seed oil (cqSeed Oil-004), seed yield (cqSeed Yield-001), and seed mass (cqSeed weight-003) are frequently identified in the same genetic region likely because of pleiotropy (Nichols et al., 2006). Plants homozygous for the high-protein QTL allele have been shown to have protein concentration increases >20 g kg⁻¹ and decreases in oil of approximately 10 g kg⁻¹ compared with plants with the alternative allele (Diers et al. 1992; Brummer et al. 1997; Sebott et al. 2000; Csanadi et al. 2001). To increase our understanding of the underlying genetic control of cqSeed protein-003, fine mapping followed by candidate gene identification and cloning is needed (Salvi and Tuberosa 2007).

Both cqSeed protein-003 and cqSeed oil-004 were first mapped with RFLP markers by Diers et al. (1992). This QTL was discovered in a population derived from crossing the Glycine max line A81-356022 with the Glycine soja plant introduction PI 468916. Based on the marker analysis, the allele from G. soja was associated with a protein increase of 24 g kg⁻¹ (Diers et al., 1992). After this QTL was identified, the high-protein allele from G. soja was introgressed into different genetic backgrounds, which confirmed that the allele could be used to increase the seed protein content, but it was also found to be associated with lower yield (Sebott et al., 2000). Fine mapping of cqSeed protein-003 was initiated by Nichols et al. (2006) in a study that narrowed the QTL map position to a 3 cM region. Bolon et al. (2010) then used a large set of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers to narrow the QTL interval to an 8.4-Mbp region between the markers Sat_174 and ssrpqtl_38. To date, cqSeed protein-003 and cqSeed oil-004 QTLs have been mapped in multiple biparental crosses (Brummer et al., 1997; Chung et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2012; Phansak et al., 2016; Reinprecht et al., 2006; Tajuddin et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2014; Warrington et al., 2015). Genome-wide association mapping studies (GWAS) were also used to narrow the base pair interval using Illumina technology. Polymorphisms in candidate genes from the interval were sequenced and assembled using Illumina technology. The role of this gene in controlling seed protein content was subsequently confirmed by stable transformation of soybean lines.

RESULTS

Fine mapping the QTL interval

The first round of mapping was done using a set of BC₅F₇ populations developed from BC₅F₆ plants that were selected for recombination between markers Satt239 and ssrpqtl_18 (Table 1). Previous research in our laboratory indicated that these two markers bracketed cq-Seed protein-003 (Sebott et al. 2000; Nichols et al. 2006). BC₅F₇ plants in these populations were tested with a segregating marker and field evaluated for seed protein content in 2008 followed by the evaluation of BC₅F₉ lines in 2009. The protein and marker results were analyzed to test for a statistical association between the protein and marker data to determine whether the QTL was in the segregating or non-segregating interval in each population. For example, a significant association was found in BC₅F₇ population 4, which showed that the QTL was the segregating interval below ssrpqtl_17 (Table 1). Conversely, no association was found in population 2, which indicated that the QTL is in the non-segregating interval below ssrpqtl_17. By combining the results across the 13 populations, we concluded that the QTL was within a 5.5-Mbp interval between...
ssrqtl_17 and ssrqtl_34 on chromosome 20 (Gmax2.0) (Table 1, Table S1). This interval was novel in that it was located on the distal side of where we originally hypothesized the QTL was positioned based on our preliminary data when we started the study.

A second round of population development and fine mapping was commenced to narrow the QTL interval further (Figure S1). Eleven populations were developed from BC5F8 plants that had recombination events across the QTL interval and subsets of these populations were grown in the field as BC5F9 plants in 2011 and as BC5F9:10 lines in 2012 and BC5F9:11 lines 2013 (Table 2). As in the first round, each plant in the populations was genotyped with a segregating marker and the seed harvested from the plants and lines from the populations were analyzed for protein content to determine which population was segregating for the QTL (Table S2). The results across the populations, with the exception of one test, placed cqSeed protein-003 on chromosome 20 between BARCSOYSSR_20_0670 and BARCSOYSSR_20_0674 (Table 2). This corresponded to a 77.8-kb region between 31,744,150 and 31,821,947 bp based on the Wm82.a2.v1 (Gmax2.0) assembly (SoyBase, https://soybase.org).

The only inconsistent results were from BC5F9 Population 7 evaluated in 2013 (Table 2 and Table S2). The significant protein-marker association in this test indicated that the QTL was above the marker 20_0657, which was inconsistent with the other 2 years it was tested and other populations evaluated in this round. To settle this inconsistency, seven subpopulations were developed from

### Table 1
List of 19 soybean chromosome 20 markers (Bolon et al., 2010), ordered by their base pair (bp) positions (Williams 82 assemblies – see www.soybase.org), that were used to characterize 13 populations of BC5F7 plants and their descendant BC5F7:8 lines in the first round of fine mapping (Figure S1). Each of the 13 BC5F7 populations was developed from a separate BC5F8 plant and the genotype of each of these parent plants for the chromosome 20 markers are shown below. If the BC5F8 plant the population was developed from was homozygous for the donor parent (G. soja) high protein allele, genotypic code B was used. A was used when the plant was homozygous for the recurrent parent (G. max) allele, and H when the plant was heterozygous. Probabilities are given for whether the marker segregation in each population was significantly associated with protein based on field tests and an arrow is pointed in the direction, relative to crossovers, to denote where the QTL was located. The region where these tests show the QTL is located is shaded in grey.

| Marker name | GMax1.01 bp position | GMax2.0 bp position | 2 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 22 | 6 | 13 | 17 | 20 | 11 | 15 | 19 |
|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Satt239     | 24,129,682           | 25,275,083          | H | H | H | H | A | B | A | B | B | A | A | A |
| ssrpqtl_4   | 24,812,334           | 25,971,714          | H | H | H | H | A | A | B | A | B | B | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_8   | 25,751,901           | 26,920,157          | H | H | H | H | A | A | B | A | B | B | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_11  | 26,270,814           | 27,439,056          | H | H | H | H | A | A | B | A | B | B | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_13  | 26,444,803           | 27,606,228          | H | H | H | H | A | A | B | A | B | B | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_14  | 26,538,403           | 27,699,841          | H | H | H | H | A | A | B | A | B | B | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_15  | 26,542,454           | 27,703,952          | H | H | H | H | A | A | B | A | B | B | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_16  | 26,609,299           | 27,770,740          | H | H | H | H | A | A | B | A | B | B | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_17  | 26,649,308           | 27,810,743          | H | H | H | H | A | A | B | A | B | B | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_18  | 26,958,336           | 28,124,804          | B | A | B | A | B | H | H | H | H | H | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_25  | 30,489,918           | 31,627,304          | B | A | B | A | B | H | H | H | H | H | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_29  | 31,767,239           | 32,328,791          | B | A | B | A | B | H | H | H | H | H | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_32  | 31,992,972           | 32,514,346          | B | A | B | A | B | H | H | H | H | H | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_33  | 32,622,042           | 33,170,565          | B | A | B | A | B | H | H | H | H | H | H | H |
| ssrpqtl_34  | 32,717,223           | 33,262,612          | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | H |
| ssrpqtl_35  | 32,216,450           | 33,359,151          | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | H |
| ssrpqtl_36  | 32,384,780           | 33,526,075          | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | H |
| ssrpqtl_37  | 32,717,564           | 33,858,592          | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | H |
| ssrpqtl_38  | 32,910,185           | 34,049,358          | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | H |

2008 Prob > F†

| Marker name | 2008 Prob > F† |
|-------------|----------------|
| ssrpqtl_38  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_37  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_36  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_35  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_34  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_33  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_32  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_31  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_30  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_29  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_28  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_27  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_26  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_25  | NS             |

2009 Prob > F†

| Marker name | 2009 Prob > F† |
|-------------|----------------|
| ssrpqtl_38  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_37  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_36  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_35  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_34  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_33  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_32  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_31  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_30  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_29  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_28  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_27  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_26  | NS             |
| ssrpqtl_25  | NS             |

† Significance of the marker association test in each population based on field testing of each population of BC5F7 plants in the field 2008 and each population of BC5F7:8 lines in the field in 2009. NS not significant,
*Significant at 0.05 probability,
**Significant at 0.01 probability, and dash (–) not tested.
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Population 7 that had the same recombination breakpoint as the original plant used to develop ProI-7 and segregate for the same interval. No significant marker association was found in tests conducted in 2015 of any of the seven subpopulations (Table S3), thus refuting the initial inconsistency and firmly supporting the finding that the QTL is below BARCSOYSSR_20_0670.

Identification of candidate polymorphisms in interval

Three candidate genes were identified within the 77.8-kb region based on the Gmax2.0 map assembly (SoyBase, https://soybase.org). These genes were: Glyma.20g085000, encoding a component of the oligomeric Golgi complex; Glyma.20g085100, a CCT motif protein encoding gene; and Glyma.20g085200, encoding a zinc-ion binding LIM domain protein.

Table 2

| Marker name | Gmax1.01 bp Position | Gmax2.0 bp Position | 3  | 5  | 7  | 9  | 10 | 15 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 31 | 34 |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| ssrpqtl_17  | 26,649,365          | Not avail.          | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | B  | A  | A  | A  |
| 20_0599     | 26,829,294          | 27,991,409          | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | B  | A  | A  | B  | A  |
| 20_0616     | 27,811,875          | 28,974,676          | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | A  | B  | A  | A  | H  |
| 20_0617     | 27,877,620          | 29,040,539          | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | B  | H  | H  |
| 20_0636     | 28,972,334          | 30,134,877          | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | B  | H  | H  |
| 20_0647     | 29,643,301          | 30,793,572          | A  | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | B  | H  | H  |
| 20_0650     | 29,758,405          | 30,909,346          | A  | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | B  | H  | H  |
| 20_0655     | 30,052,089          | 31,198,164          | A  | B  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | B  | H  | H  |
| 20_0657     | 30,187,698          | 31,333,773          | A  | B  | A  | H  | H  | A  | A  | B  | H  | H  |
| 20_0667     | 30,499,863          | 31,627,414          | A  | B  | A  | H  | H  | A  | A  | B  | H  | H  |
| 20_0668     | 30,517,621          | 31,655,159          | A  | B  | A  | H  | H  | A  | A  | H  | H  |
| 20_0670     | 30,606,609          | 31,744,150          | A  | B  | A  | H  | H  | A  | A  | H  | H  |
| 20_0674     | 30,684,404          | 31,821,947          | A  | B  | A  | B  | H  | H  | A  | H  | H  |
| 20_0675     | 30,754,018          | 31,891,560          | A  | B  | A  | B  | H  | H  | A  | H  | H  |
| 20_0678     | 32,178,222          | 33,326,648          | A  | B  | A  | B  | A  | A  | H  | H  | H  |
| 20_0715     | 32,178,303          | Not avail.          | A  | B  | A  | B  | A  | A  | H  | H  | H  |
| ssrpqtl_34  | 32,178,222          | 33,178,717          | A  | B  | A  | B  | A  | A  | H  | H  | H  |
| 2011 Prob  > F  | NS | NS | NS | NS | -- | ** | ** | -- | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 2012 Prob  > F  | NS | NS | ** | NS | NS | ** | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2013 Prob  > F  | NS | NS | -- | NS | -- | NS | ** | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

1Significance of the marker association test based on field testing of each population of BC_F8 plants in the field 2011 and each population of BC_F8_10 and BC_F8_11 lines in the field in 2012 and 2013, respectively. NS denotes not significant, *Significant at 0.05 probability, **Significant at 0.01 probability, and dash (-) not tested.

Population 7 that had the same recombination breakpoint as the original plant used to develop ProL-7 and segregate for the same interval. No significant marker association was found in tests conducted in 2015 of any of the seven subpopulations (Table S3), thus refuting the initial inconsistency and firmly supporting the finding that the QTL is below BARCSOYSSR_20_0670.

Identification of candidate polymorphisms in interval

Three candidate genes were identified within the 77.8-kb region based on the Gmax2.0 map assembly (SoyBase, https://soybase.org). These genes were: Glyma.20g085000, encoding a component of the oligomeric Golgi complex; Glyma.20g085100, a CCT motif protein encoding gene; and Glyma.20g085200, encoding a zinc-ion binding LIM domain protein. To analyze this region in depth, we took advantage of the whole genome de novo assembly of PI 468916 DNA previously described by Butler et al. (2021). The assembly within the interval covered all annotated genes within large contigs. Along with additional reads and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products, the assembly was analyzed and compared with the Williams 82 reference genome sequence by alignment and visual inspection to identify structural as well as sequence polymorphisms.
aligned genomic sequence of PI 468916 and Williams 82 were compared for the three candidate genes, large insertion–deletion mutations (indels) were identified in *Glyma.20g085100* and *Glyma.20g085200*, but only an exonic synonymous mutation was found in *Glyma.20g085000*

In *Glyma.20g085100* (Figure 1a) the comparison revealed a 321-bp indel that removed an annotated exon from PI 468916, and for *Glyma.20g085200*, there was an 8-kb indel that resulted in the removal of almost the entire annotated gene in PI 468916 (Figure 1b). PCR-based size markers were developed for both indels, and these markers were used to screen a panel of 53 parents with known alleles for cqSeed protein-003 based on previous QTL mapping results (Table 3). For the 8 kb deletion in *Glyma.20g085200*, there was no association between it and the presence or absence of the high-protein allele in the parent panel indicating that *Glyma.20g085200* was likely not the causal gene underlying cqSeed protein-003 (Table 3).

In contrast, an association was found between the *Glyma.20g085100* indel and the presence or absence of the high-protein allele in panel. Of the 32 parents that had been identified as having a high-protein allele at cqSeed protein-003 based on previous mapping studies (Table 3), all had the shorter version of the PCR fragment (PI 468916 allele) at *Glyma.20g085100*. Of the 21 parents that had been reported to have a low-protein version of cqSeed protein-003 in mapping studies (Table 3), 18 had the longer PCR fragment (Williams 82 type sequence), whereas three had the shorter fragment (Figure 1c,d). The three exceptions (PI 407877B, PI 423954, and PI 424148; Table 3) were among the high-protein parents mated to a low-protein parent in a multi-parent selective genotype QTL mapping study conducted by Phansak et al. (2016). Note that these lines were not explicitly shown to have the low-protein genotype, but the authors did not detect a statistically significant segregation of a chromosome 20 protein QTL in those three exceptions.

Using 50-K SNP data from the region, along with PCR genotyping at the marker in a sample set of diverse accessions (Tables 3 and S4), we performed haplotype, linkage disequilibrium (LD), and principal components analysis (PCA). When the *Glyma.20g085100* marker was compared with four markers flanking the gene for the 238 haplotyped
Table 3 List of soybean germplasm accessions that have been reported to be homozygous for either the high (H) or low (L) protein allele for a QTL in the chromosome 20 cqSeed protein-003 QTL region. This characterization was based on the use of each accession as a parent in a biparental population in a QTL mapping study (for details, see the cited report in the Source column). A polymerase chain reaction-based marker diagnostic assay was used to characterize each listed accession as to whether it possessed the PI 468916 Glycine soja (+) allele or the Williams 82 Glycine max (−) allele at each the two Glyma. 20 genes that were inferred in the present study to be potential candidates for the QTL. Haplotypes were determined using the marker from Glyma.20g085100 and the two closest markers flanking each side of the gene. The haplotype designations are defined in the Experimental procedures section.

| Accession name         | Accession number | Sourcea | Allele at cqSeed protein-003 | Glyma. 20g085100 | Glyma. 20g085200 | Haplotype |
|------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| –                      | PI 468916        | Diers et al. (1992) | H                      | +                | +                | 5         |
| –                      | PI 326582A       | Chaky et al. (2003) | H                      | +                | +                | 6         |
| Kosodiguri             | FC 30687         | P5      | H                            | +                | +                | 5         |
| Extra Early            |                  |         |                              |                  |                  |           |
| Akazu                  | PI 91725-4       | P34     | H                            | +                | +                | 5         |
| N-34                   | PI 153293        | P6      | H                            | +                | +                | 5         |
| V-4                    | PI 153296        | P1      | H                            | +                | +                | 5         |
| V-6                    | PI 153297        | P14     | H                            | +                | +                | 5         |
| V-14                   | PI 153301        | P12     | H                            | +                | +                | 5         |
| V-16                   | PI 153302        | P9      | H                            | +                | +                | 5         |
| No. 51                 | PI 154196        | P23     | H                            | +                | +                | 5         |
| –                      | PI 159764        | P10     | H                            | +                | +                | 5         |
| No. 58                 | PI 181571        | P20     | H                            | +                | +                | 2         |
| Bitterhof              | PI 189980        | P13     | L                            | –                | +                | 1         |
| Geant Vert             | PI 189963        | P2      | H                            | +                | –                | 5         |
| Kariho-takiya          | PI 243532        | P36     | H                            | +                | +                | 2         |
| No. 17                 | PI 253666A       | P40     | H                            | +                | +                | 2         |
| Wasedaizu No. 1        | PI 261469        | P19     | H                            | –                | –                | 2         |
| –                      | PI 340011        | P35     | H                            | +                | –                | 2         |
| Oshimashirome          | PI 360843        | P39     | L                            | –                | –                | 1         |
| Ronset 4               | PI 372423        | P4      | H                            | +                | –                | 5         |
| KAERI-GNT 310–1        | PI 398516        | P33     | L                            | –                | –                | 1         |
| KAS 330–9–1            | PI 398704        | P44     | H                            | +                | –                | 2         |
| –                      | PI 398881        |         | L                            | –                | –                | 1         |
| KLS 630–1              | PI 398970        | P45     | L                            | –                | –                | 2         |
| Huajian si er dian     | PI 404188A       | Diers et al. (in prep) | L                      | –                | –                | 1         |
| KAS 330–9–2            | PI 407773B       | P47     | H                            | +                | +                | 2         |
| ORD 8113               | PI 407788A       | P41     | H                            | +                | –                | 2         |
| –                      | PI 407823        | P46     | L                            | –                | –                | 1         |
| KAERI 511–11           | PI 407877B       | P43     | (L)                          | (+)              | –                | 2         |
| KAS 640–7              | PI 408138 C      | P37     | H                            | +                | –                | 2         |
| Saikai                 | PI 423942        | P28     | H                            | +                | –                | 2         |
| Saikai 18              | PI 423948A       | P29     | H                            | +                | –                | 2         |
| Saikai 20              | PI 423949        | P25     | H                            | +                | –                | 2         |
| Shirome                | PI 423954        | P22     | (L)                          | (+)              | –                | 2         |
| Shirome                | PI 424148        | P21     | (L)                          | (+)              | –                | 2         |
| KAS 239–4              | PI 424286        | P42     | L                            | –                | –                | 2         |
| Backcheng No. 42       | PI 427136        | Diers et al. (in prep) | L                      | –                | –                | 1         |
| Choseng No. 1          | PI 427138        | P18     | H                            | +                | +                | 2         |
| Seuhae No. 20          | PI 427141        | P26     | H                            | +                | +                | 2         |
| DV-147                 | PI 437008A       | P24     | H                            | +                | –                | 2         |
| VIR 249                | PI 437112A       | P30     | L                            | –                | –                | 1         |
| VNIISC-4               | PI 437169B       | Diers et al. (in prep) | L                      | –                | –                | 1         |
| Sjuij-dja-pyn-da-do    | PI 437716A       | P27     | H                            | +                | –                | 2         |
| Ronset 4               | PI 438415        | P11     | H                            | +                | +                | 5         |

(continued)
accessions, 167 had the Williams 82 allele for all five markers (haplotype 1), 53 were homozygous for the Williams 82 allele for the four flanking SNP markers but the PI 468916 allele for Glyma.20g085100 (haplotype 2), three homozygous for the Williams 82 allele for the flanking markers and heterozygous for Glyma.20g085100 (haplotype 3), one homozygous for the PI 468916 allele for the four flanking markers and the Williams 82 allele for Glyma.20g085100 (haplotype 4), 13 homozygous PI 468916 for all five markers (haplotype 5), and one homozygous for PI 468916 for all but one flanking marker that was heterozygous (haplotype 6). The high number of haplotype 2 accessions show that Glyma.20g085100 indel has unexpectedly low levels of LD with flanking markers. Additional flanking markers were used in an LD analysis, which further shows that Glyma.20g085100 was not in strong disequilibrium with the surrounding SNPs (Figure 2a) or with the indel polymorphism in Glyma.20g085200, despite this gene being located only 5160 bp from Glyma.20g085100 on the Gmax2.0 map assembly (SoyBase, https://soybase.org). Because of this lack of correlation with very closely adjacent SNPs that would normally be presumed to be strongly if not perfectly correlated to any polymorphism in the gene, the 321-bp indel is more strongly associated with the cqSeed Protein-003 trait than the other surrounding polymorphisms, explaining inconsistent association peaks found in previous association studies. The lack of correlation is explained by a lack of association between the presence of the indel and overall relatedness of the lines studied, as assessed by PCA (Figure 2b).

These results indicated that the CCT protein-encoding Glyma.20g085100 was the most likely causal locus for cqSeed protein-003, and that the 321-bp indel was thus the likely causal polymorphism. The 321-bp indel occurs at the beginning of Exon 3 (Figure 3a), causing the annotated splice site to be altered and the size of the exon to change (Figure 3b). In total, 73 amino acids were changed in the predicted protein because of this indel, with the low-protein, Williams 82 allele having 37 additional residues as well as a number of substitutions and deletions in a conserved region of the protein (Figure 3c). By re-annotating the Williams 82 version of this gene, we were able to find another likely coding region of the genome, coding for a conserved C-terminal region of the CCT domain, after the region of the protein disrupted by the 321-bp insertion that is conserved with other CCT domain proteins but not present in the current (a2.v1) annotation. However, either version of the annotation shows that the PI 468916 version of the gene codes for a CCT domain protein highly conserved with other related proteins, but the insertion causes the Williams 82 version to code for a highly divergent protein (Figure 3c).

Interestingly, the 321 bp present in the Williams 82 allele, but not present in the PI 468916 allele, also showed strong similarity to transposable elements, including a TIR type DNA transposon (Table S5). This finding indicates that the low-protein allele found in elite G. max soybean may be of evolutionarily recent origin, and raises the possibility that the sequence had the potential to revert from the low-protein genotype to the high-protein G. soja allele by excision of the fragment. Evidence for possible reversion to the high-protein genotype is given in Figure 2b, where a number of accessions with the high-protein allele, but exhibiting an otherwise Williams 82 haplotype throughout the region (haplotype 2), were observed scattered among low-protein accessions.

Three germplasm accessions, PI 407877B, PI 423954, and PI 424148, were identified with the shorter version (PI 468916) of the Glyma.20g085100 gene, but were previously associated with the low-protein version of the cqSeed protein-003 locus. As this was inconsistent with the interpretation that the short version of Glyma.20g085100 was responsible for the high-protein phenotype, we evaluated this interpretation in a new population. The three
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**Table 3 (continued)**

| Accession name       | Accession number | Source | Allele at cqSeed protein-003 | Glyma. 20g085100 | Glyma. 20g085200 | Haplotype |
|----------------------|------------------|--------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Szu yueh pa          | PI 445845        | P32    | H                             | +                | –                | 2         |
| KAS 578–1            | PI 458256        | P48    | L                             | –                | +                | 1         |
| NS-20                | PI 518751        | Diers et al. (in prep) | L | – | – | 1 |
| Provar               | PI 548608        | P31    | L                             | –                | –                | 1         |
| Fen dou 14           | PI 561370        | Diers et al. (in prep) | L | – | – | 1 |
| Williams 82          | PI 518671        | Kim et al. (2016) | L | – | – | 1 |
| A81-355012           | A81-355012       | Diers et al. (1992) | L | – | – | 1 |
| Ina                  | PI 606749        | Unpublished | L | – | – | 1 |
| Danbaekkong          | PI 619083        | Warrington et al. (2015) | H | + | – | Unknown |

*aStudy in which a significant QTL was mapped in the cqSeed protein-003 interval and the genotype was a parent. Diers et al. (in prep) refers to unpublished results in a SoyNAM population (Diers et al. 2018), Chaky refers to Chaky et al. (2003), Warrington refers to Warrington et al. (2015), Kim refers to Kim et al. (2016), and P followed by a number refers to Phansak et al. (2016) with the number corresponding to the population number in that study.*

---
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inconsistent accessions were from QTL mapping by Phansak et al. (2016), which involved relatively small populations of F2 plants. Therefore, one explanation for this inconsistency is that the Type II error probability (failure to reject a null hypothesis of no QTL) can be quite high in these small populations. To assess this possibility, PI 423954 was crossed to the cultivar Williams 82, which is known to have the low-protein allele. An F2 population developed from this cross was grown in the field and tested, along with the parents, for the 321-bp deletion in Glyma.20G085100 and assayed for seed protein by near infrared reflectance (NIR) spectroscopy. A strongly significant association (P < 0.01) between the size polymorphism in the marker and protein content was detected, with the heterozygous individuals having an intermediate phenotype. This new evidence shows that this accession does have the high-protein allele, indicating that the failure by Phansak et al. (2016) to detect it was likely attributable to a Type II error, and suggesting that this may be occurring in the failure to detect it in the other two accessions.

**Design, construction, and testing of RNAi transgenic downregulation events**

To confirm that the candidate gene Glyma.20G085100 functionally regulates seed protein content by being coincident with the causative polymorphism in cqSeed protein-003, transgenic plants were generated in an attempt to knock-down transcription of the native gene. Because of
the degree of sequence similarity within most of the protein coding region of Glyma.20G085100 and its homologous region on chromosome 10, and the very high similarity between the inserted region and many other parts of the genome, a 300-bp region was chosen in the 5' UTR of Glyma.20G085100 for the RNAi construct, which has a unique sequence with low similarity to other regions of the soybean genome. A hairpin loop construct designed to knock-down expression of the Glyma.20G085100 gene, driven by the CaMV 35S promoter, was transformed into the soybean cultivar Thorne, which is a low-protein line with a Williams 82 type allele at Glyma.20G085100.

Primary transgenic events (T0) plants were established in the greenhouse, and allowed to self-pollinate. Integration patterns across the independent events were ascertained via Southern blot analysis on selected T1 individuals (Figure S2). Populations of T2 plants derived from two events, 1146-5 and 1157-1, harboring one and two transgenic alleles, respectively (Figure S2), were selected for phenotypic characterization. The transgenic allele was monitored in the T2 generation by PCR and an herbicide tolerance assay to determine the effects of the RNAi construct on the seed protein phenotypic under greenhouse conditions. Relative transcript levels of Glyma.20G085100 in the events was monitored in leaf tissue (V5 stage of development) and in immature embryos (R5 stage of development) (Figure S3). The monitoring of T2 and T3 individuals across seven events revealed relative reductions in the Glyma.20G085100 transcript in both V5 and R5 stages in events including 1146-5 and 1157-1 (Figure S3).

The protein content of T3 seed harvested from the individually threshed T2 plants was measured using NIR. Relatively few null segregants were detected, likely because the transgene was present in the population in multiple,
unlinked copies. The difference in protein content between seed from plants with and without the transgenic allele was statistically significant (Table 4). For one event, the transgenic plants showed on average 3% more protein than control segregants. Thus, a knock-down construct to reduce expression of the low-protein version of the Glyma.20g85100 gene was capable of increasing seed protein concentration. These results further support our conclusion that the 321-bp insertion/deletion variant in the CCT-protein encoding gene Glyma.20g85100 is likely the cause of the seed protein phenotype and thus constitutes the molecular gene basis of the cqSeed protein-003.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we narrowed the location of cqSeed protein-003 to a 77.8-kb region on chromosome 20 flanked by the genetic markers BARCSOYSSR_20_0674 and BARCSOYSSR_20_0670. The physical position of the interval was between 31.74 and 31.82 Mbp based on the Gmax2.0 assembly, which is the narrowest interval that cqSeed protein-003 has been mapped to. This narrow region coincides with the candidate gene region identified in the most recent GWAS by Bandillo et al. (2015), where they mapped the QTL to the approximately 2.4-Mbp interval located between 30.7 and 33.1 Mbp. However, the region identified in our study does not concur with the regions assigned to this QTL in two other recent GWAS studies. Hwang et al. (2014) mapped the QTL to the 2.4-Mbp interval located at 28.7–31.1, and Vaughn et al. (2014) mapped the QTL to the approximately 1-Mbp region located at 32.1–33.1 Mbp. It is not surprising that this QTL has been difficult to map in association studies as the insertion Glyma.20g85100 has low LD with surrounding markers (Figure 2a).

We conclude, based on both marker correlation analysis and transgenic plant studies, that the 321-bp deletion in the PI 468916 allele, relative to the Williams 82 reference allele, in Glyma.20g085100 is the causative molecular locus for cqSeed protein-003. This gene encodes a CCT (CONSTANS, CONSTANS-like, TOC1) motif family protein, a motif that has been shown to be present in genes that control flowering in Arabidopsis (Masaki et al., 2005), in crops (Zhang et al., 2015), and it has been shown to be present in GATA-type zinc-finger proteins that act as protein recognition motifs (Liew et al., 2005). Although the Williams 82 version of the protein encodes an aberrant CCT domain, the PI 468916 version of the gene codes for a highly conserved CCT domain (Figure 3c). While strongly associated with biological timing, CCT domain proteins have also been implicated in the regulation of a number of other processes in crops, including photosynthesis, nutrient use efficiency, and stress tolerance (Liu et al., 2020). However, this gene is sufficiently divergent from the canonical sequence of the CCT motif that it was not listed in a recent review of soybean CCT domain proteins (Mengarelli and Zanor, 2021). The possible role of this gene in biological timing is of interest, in view of a possible role in the timing of grain filling as well as other processes relevant to the accumulation of protein in the soybean seed.

There is evidence that Glyma.20g085100 impacts plant maturity in addition to protein and oil. It has been shown that lines homozygous for the allele for higher protein also matured 0–5 days earlier than lines homozygous for the alternative allele (Sebolt et al. 2000; Brzostowski et al., 2017; Prenger et al., 2019) but no literature was found that evaluated its effect on date of flowering. Effects of this gene on protein and maturity have been found in mapping populations that range from MG II, which is grown in the northern USA (Sebolt et al., 2000), to MG VII, which is grown in the southern USA (Prenger et al., 2019). For example, Brzostowski et al. (2017) evaluated two MG IV populations segregating for Glyma.20g085100 allele and found in one population a significant maturity effect of 1 day between lines homozygous for the two different alleles and in the second population a difference of 3 days. The allelic effect on protein was almost identical between the two populations (22 and 23 g kg⁻¹). These results show that the gene impacts protein and oil across growing regions and genetic backgrounds and that these effects are not necessarily associated with large differences in maturity. Any effect that was largely mediated by maturity would be expected to show large genotype × environment effects across different growing regions, leading us to speculate that the effect on seed protein level of the CCT protein encoded at Glyma.20g085100 could be related to another regulatory system such as the regulation and sensing of sugar concentration (Masaki et al., 2005). Further research is needed to improve our understanding of how Glyma.20g085100 affects plant maturity and how this is related to seed composition.

The PI 468916 sequence of Glyma.20g085100 is shorter than the sequence from Williams 82, which has the lower protein allele for the QTL (Kim et al., 2016). Interestingly,
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Table 4. Associations between RNAi hairpin transgene and seed protein percentage on a dry weight basis in g kg⁻¹ for three populations of greenhouse grown T2 plants

| Population | Transgene present | Transgene not present |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Population | n* | Mean | Mean | Pr > F* |
| 1157-1-T1-3 | 18 | 417 | 5 | 399 | 0.05 |
| 1146-5-T1-4 | 25 | 444 | 5 | 415 | 0.04 |
| 1146-5-T1-5 | 20 | 441 | 5 | 409 | 0.02 |

*Number of plants tested that did or did not have the transgene present.

*Significance level of the test to detect differences between the present and not present groups.
the deletion/insertion has low LD with other SNPs and markers in the region (Figure 2), and the 321-bp indel shows similarity with TIR-type DNA transposons (Table S5), indicating that the most likely explanation is that the mutation is actually a transposon insertion in the low-protein lineage. In support of this hypothesis, sequenced wild (i.e., G. soja) soybeans and their relatives with available sequences at the time of writing, were found to have the high-protein, PI 468916 version of this gene, indicating that the low-protein allele is a relatively recent mutation that may have been selected within elite (G. max) germplasm in the past. In addition, the low-protein version appears to revert to the high-protein genotype, as evidenced by the presence of many high-protein genotypes with completely conserved low-protein flanking haplotypes (haplotype 2) among genetically similar low-protein accessions (Figure 2b). These revertants are likely to have been generated by excision events. Finally, the high-protein version of the gene contains a well-conserved CCT domain with many other related genes (Figure 3c), giving further evidence that it is the older version of the sequence.

We found that by reducing expression of the Glyma.20g085100 gene using RNAi, we were able to increase protein levels in the Thorne (Williams 82 type, low protein) genetic background. This result implies that this version of the gene, encoding an aberrant CCT domain protein, encoding an aberrant CCT domain with many other related genes (Figure 3c), that was recently cloned (Wang et al., 2020). A gain of function mutation, this allele affects the protein-coding region rather than the regulatory region of the gene. Transposons are known to cause exon shuffling (Quennesson, 2020), which may have contributed to a gain of function in the protein encoded at this locus, through disruption of a major part of the CCT domain (Figure 3c). We conclude that the insertion may result in a novel function that causes the reallocation of resources away from seed protein and into carbohydrates and lipids analogous to the other major protein QTL in soybean on chromosome 15 that was recently cloned (Wang et al., 2020). A gain of molecular function is consistent with the significant alteration and extension of the protein sequence encoded by the gene caused by the insertion (Figure 3).

In conclusion, we fine mapped cqSeed protein-003 to a 77.8-kb region on chromosome 20 between the SSR markers BARCSOYSSR_20_0674 and BARCSOYSSR_20_0670, with each corresponding to the physical positions of 31 821 947 bp to 31 744 150 bp, respectively, based on the Gmax2.0 map assembly. This narrowed candidate gene region allowed us to identify the causal allele for the most widely studied, large effect protein QTL in soybean. The allele itself appears to be the result of a transposon insertion in the Glyma.20g085100 gene, leading to a low-protein allele at this locus, which seems to have reverted to the high-protein allele in some accessions. The gene encodes a CCT domain protein, encoding an aberrant CCT domain in the Williams 82 allele, possibly involved in the biological timing of seed biogenesis and development.

Soybean breeders have struggled with balancing breeding for increased seed protein content with its negative correlations with yield and oil. Breeders largely focus on increasing yield in their breeding programs and this has resulted in a commensurate reduction in protein content. Rincker et al. (2014) showed that over 80 years of breeding mostly focused on increasing yield that protein content decreased by about 2% or 20 g kg−1 seed. Zhang et al. (2020) recently reported the identification of a polymorphism in the GmSWEET39 gene, which was strongly associated with protein and oil content in soybean seed and is potentially the basis of the important chromosome 15 protein QTL. The identification of these genes that control seed protein and oil content may open new approaches for modifying these genes in ways that can result in increases in protein without a significant reduction in yield and oil.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Fine mapping**

The cqSeed protein-003 locus was fine mapped through an iterative process of developing and testing backcross populations segregating for different sections of the region it maps. PI 468916 is the source of the high-protein allele and this allele was backcrossed into the background of A81-356022, an Iowa State University experimental line. Populations used in the current study were developed from backcross lines described by Nichols et al. (2006).

Below is a brief explanation of the development and testing of germplasm used in the fine mapping. See Methods S1 for a detailed explanation of the process and Figure S1 for an annualized outline of the steps taken in the mapping. The fine mapping was done in two rounds with the second round efforts focused on the QTL interval identified in round 1. Across the two rounds, 7603 plants segregating for the QTL in backcross populations were screened with markers that flanked the interval the QTL maps to identify plants with crossovers between the markers. The selected crossover plants were then tested with all available markers (Bolon et al., 2010; Song et al., 2010) in the QTL interval to map the location of the crossovers. Plants with crossovers that mapped to unique locations were selected and a population of plants or lines were then developed from each selected plant and grown in the field and tested with a segregating marker using methods from Cregan and Quigley (1997), Keim and Shoemaker (1988), and Wang et al. (2003). Seed harvested from the plants and lines were tested for protein and oil content using near infrared transmittance. The marker and composition results from each population were then analyzed using the PROC GLM function of SAS (SAS, 2016). This analysis of each population resulted in a narrowing of the QTL interval as a significant association indicated that the QTL was within the interval segregating in the population with no significance indicated that it is not within the segregating interval.
Candidate gene evaluation

To test candidate genes in the fine-mapped cqSeed protein-003 interval, a panel of 53 genotypes was assembled. These genotypes had served as high- or low-protein parents of multiple biparental populations that had been used in seed protein and oil QTL mapping studies in which the allelic segregation of a cqSeed protein-003 QTL was inferred (Table 3). Of the 53 mapping population parents, 32 were documented as homozygous for a high-protein allele in the cqSeed protein-003 interval, whereas there were 21 homozygous for the low-protein allele. DNA was extracted from these parents and tested with markers developed from polymorphisms within Glyma.20G85100 and Glyma.20G85200, two candidate genes in the interval that cqSeed protein-003 was mapped. For Glyma.20G85100, a codominant marker was designed giving bands with a 321-bp size differential between the two alleles using the primer sequencesACTGCAAAACCAACTTATGC and TGTACGTTCTTAACCTAAGCTTATTGG. For the much larger size difference in Glyma.20G85200, it was necessary to use two individual dominant markers. The primer sequences used for the longer allele variant were CATGGAAGTT and CGATATCCAAGTGAACGC. Together, the data collected from the longer and shorter variant gave codominant marker results.

The candidate gene marker analysis was conducted using a 20-μl PCR protocol containing 1 μl of 5 μM forward and reverse primers, along with the components from the TaKaRa DNA polymerase kit (TBSUSA, Mountain View, CA, USA): 0.1 μl dNTP, and 2 μl 10× buffer with 1 μl of a five-fold diluted template DNA. PCR amplicons were visualized on a 1% agarose electrophoresis gel run at 100 V for 30 min (Bio-Rad Hercules, CA, USA).

De novo sequence assembly and analysis

Whole genome sequencing of the high-protein accession PI 468916 was performed using the HiSeq v.1 DNA sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at the Carver Biotechnology Center at the University of Illinois. DNA was extracted using the method described above. The raw reads were assembled using ABySS (Simpson et al., 2009) to generate scaffolds. In total, 182 255 190 paired end reads were assembled, each 150 nucleotides in length, with an average gDNA fragment size of 580 nucleotides. A k-mer of 64 was chosen as the best performing (ABySS was compiled for a maximum k-mer size of 64). The Williams 82 reference sequence (a2.v1) corresponding to the region between the flanking markers, was compared with the scaffold output from the assembly of PI 468916 sequences using the BLAST program to locate potential scaffolds corresponding to the targeted QTL interval. These scaffolds were then loaded into the DNA analysis software GENEIOUS 11.1.5 (Geneious, Auckland, New Zealand). The sequences from PI 468916 were mapped to the reference Williams 82 and examined for single nucleotide variation and structural changes in genic regions and aligned against the Williams 82 reference. All discrepancies were noted and investigated for potential function, although only three polymorphisms were found that affected protein-coding genes within the final marker-flanked QTL interval.

LD analysis

LD was calculated as a pairwise R² value from SNP markers on either side of the Glyma.20G85100 marker for the panel of parents described above using the R package genetics (Warnes, 2003). The SNP data for the panel were generated using the SoySNP50k array to genotype the soybean germplasm collection (Song et al., 2013) (data available at https://soybase.org/snps/). These R² values were visualized as a heat map and plotted to show the relationship of each SNP to each other SNP using the R package LDheatmap.

PCA and haplotype analysis

PCA analysis was performed and visualized using SNPyRelate package in Bioconductor for R (Zheng et al., 2012). SNP data for the population of accessions was generated from the SoySNP50k SNP data (Song et al., 2013) (data available at https://soybase.org/snps/). Details of accessions including genotypes of the extracted SNPs and indel marker are given in Tables 2 and S4.

Haplotypes were determined for the Glyma.20G85100 interval using the codominant marker for this gene and the two closest markers (ss715637268 and ss715637271) flanking one side of the indel in the gene and two on the other side (ss715637273 and ss715637274). The haplotypes were determined for these five markers for the soybean accessions listed in Tables 3 and S4 and were the same as those in Figure 2b, coded as follows for the tables: 1 = Williams 82-like across all five markers; 2 = Williams 82-like for the four SNP markers and PI 468916-like for the Glyma.20G85100 marker; 3 = Williams 82-like for the four SNP markers and heterozygous for the Glyma.20G85100 marker; 4 = PI 468916-like for the other four SNP markers and Williams-like for the Glyma.20G85100 marker; 5 = PI 468916-like for all five markers; and 6 = PI 468916-like for all markers except heterozygous for ss715637268.

RNAi vector construction and soybean transformation

A hairpin element was assembled using a 299-bp sequence from the Williams 82 genome version a2.v1 beginning at position 31 774 770 and ending at 31 775 069 on Chr 20, and representing most of the 5′ UTR of Glyma.20G85100. The element was synthesized (GenScript USA, Piscataway, NJ, USA), with the incorporation of SpeI and BglII sites on the ends, to allow inverted elements to be subcloned into the vector pUC58-RNAi (gift from H. Cerutti University of Nebraska) (Figure S4). The resultant inverted elements were separated by the second intron of a small nucleolar protein from Arabidopsis (At 004G02840) to facilitate hairpin folding (Wesley et al., 2001). The resultant element was subcloned between the 35S CaMV promoter and T35S terminator from cauliflower mosaic virus. The subsequent RNAi expression cassette was then cloned into a binary vector that harbored a bar gene plant selection cassette and the final binary vector for soybean transformation designated pPTN1379 (Thompson et al., 1987) (Figure S4). The binary vector pPTN1379 was mobilized into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA101 (Hood et al., 1986) by tr ipteral mating and the derived transconjugant was used to transform the soybean cultivar Thorne (McBlain et al., 1993) following the protocol previously communicated (Zhang et al., 1999).

Southern blot analysis

Southern blot analysis was conducted as previously described (Eckert et al., 2006). Ten micrograms of total genomic DNA was restriction digested with EcoRI and separated on a 0.8% agarose gel. The separated DNAs were transferred to a nylon membrane and hybridized with dCT 32P-labeled 5′ UTR region used in the hairpin design.
Transgenic plant materials and DNA extraction for PCR genotyping

Transgenic seeds were surface sterilized in 10% bleach and sown in germination paper. Germinated disease-free seedlings were transplanted into soil-filled 30 cm diameter pots in a greenhouse. Plants were grown under a 14.75-h day length with temperatures during the day that ranged from 28 to 30 C and night from 23 to 26 C. DNA extraction was performed on young trifoliate leaf tissue using a modified protocol from Keim and Shoemaker (1988).

Transgenic plant growth, genotyping, and protein analysis

Three populations of T2 seed from two T2 transformation events were developed and grown in a greenhouse. Two T2 populations (T1-4 and T1-5) were developed from the T1 event 1146-5 and the other population (T1-3) was from event 1157–1. These T2 lineages were grown in the greenhouse under the conditions described above and DNA was extracted according to Keim and Shoemaker (1988). These plants were genotyped to determine the presence of the transgenic allele in each plant. Presence of the transgenic allele was tested using a PCR marker designed with primers inside the transgene construct. The primers had the sequences 5′-CGAGGAGGTTTCCGGATATTAC-3′ and 5′-GCAC GACACACCTTCTCCTAATCTCAAC-3′; PCR conditions were 1 min initial incubation at 95 °C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 sec at 95 °C, 30 sec at 52 °C, 1 min at 72 °C, followed by 65 min at 72 °C final extension. The marker detected the presence of the transgene and gave a dominant phenotype and therefore was unable to differentiate whether the gene was present in the homozygous or heterozygous state. Seed from each plant was threshed individually, and protein and oil content was measured with an NIR (Perten DA 7250, Stockholm, Sweden). Seeds that had visible mold, which had begun to germinate or were damaged, were excluded from the measurement. The seeds were repacked and protein content was measured a second time and averaged.

Selectable marker analysis of transgenic plants

The segregation of the transgenic allele in progeny of the T2 lineages was also monitored using herbicide tolerance assay (Zhang et al., 1999). For herbicide resistance selection, a 100× dilution of Finale, a commercial formulation of glufosinate was used (BASF, Ludwigshafen, Germany). A 0.25% (v/v) of a non-ionic surfactant (Activator 90; Loveland Products Inc., Loveland, CO, USA) was added to enhance leaf wetting. First trifoliate leaves were painted with the herbicide across the leaves. Bands of leaf chlorosis and necrosis were scored after 5 days.

Reverse transcription-PCR analysis of RNAi events to monitor expression changes in Glyma.20G85100

As a means to assess relative transcript changes between the RNAi events and wild-type Thorne plants, total RNA was isolated from vegetative tissue at the V5 stage of development and immature embryos at the R5 stage of development, under field conditions. Total RNA was extracted with the RNAeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN Corp. cat. no./ID: 74904, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Two micrograms of total RNA were used for cDNA synthesis with the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). PCR was conducted with a thermo cycle 94° C/1 min and 30 cycles of 94°C/30 sec, 55°C/30 sec, and 72°C/30 sec followed by an additional 72°C/5 min for extension. Primers Gm20P_foreward (5′-TCCACACCTTCTCCTAAATCAAC-3′) and Gm20P_reverse (5′-CCGCTCAAATTTGAACCTGCTG-3′) to amplify Gm20P specific fragments and Gm-Actin6_L2 (5′-TGAGGATGGTGGTGAGTGG-3′) and Gm-Actin6_R2 (5′-GGTTAAAGGGGCTCCTCAGT-3′) to amplify GmActin6 fragments as controls using GoTaq® Master Mixes (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by soybean check-off funding from the United Soybean Board and the North Central Soybean Research Program and by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch, project 1015014.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The whole-genome DNA sequence scaffolds for PI 468916 are in the National Center for Biotechnology Information GenBank database under accession numbers MZ956137 through MZ956151.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Figure S1. Outline of the activities conducted on an annual basis for the fine mapping the high-protein QTL cqSeed protein-003.

Figure S2. Southern blot analysis on T1 individuals derived from four transgenic pPTN1379 events. Ten micrograms of total genomic DNA isolated from progeny of pPTN1379 transgenic soybean events was digested with the restriction enzyme EcoR1. There is one EcoR1 site within the T-DNA element of pPTN1379. The processed membrane was hybridized with 32P labeled 299 bp element used in the RNAi hairpin. Lane 1, Thorne, refers to wild-type control. Lanes 2–8 are T1 derived from events 1146-5, 1146-6, 1157-1, and 1158-1. Lanes with * indicate lineages used for phenotypic analyses. + control lane refers to 20 pg of free pPTN1379 plasmid cut with EcoR1 (linearized). Approximate 1.9-kb hybridization signal reflects the endogenous locus.

Figure S3. Relative Glyma.20G85100 transcript changes in RNAi events observed in leaf and immature embryos S3A: diagrammatic representation of the Glyma.20G85100 locus, highlighting location of primer annealing used in RT-PCR reaction. S3B: RT-PCR results across seven independent events carrying the RNAi element targeting 5′ UTR region of Glyma.20G85100 gene call. V5 results from leaf tissue collected at V5 stage of development. R5 results from immature embryo tissues collected at R5 stage of development.

Figure S4. Vector pPTN1379 is a derivative of pPZP200. Expression of the RNAi element is regulated by the 35S CaMV promoter (35S promoter) and terminated by the CaMV termination sequence (T35s polyAAA). Expression of the selectable marker (bar) is controlled by the Agrobacterium tumefaciens nopaline synthase promoter (aadA) and terminated by its polyadenylation element (Tnos). RB and LB, refer to the right and left border element of the T-DNA respectively. aadA refers to the bacterial selection marker for spectinomycin resistance. The ori and bom, indicate location of the origin of replication and basis of mobility, respectively, while the sta and rep regions indicate the position of the replication and stability regions of the plasmid.
Appendix S1. Supplemental description of the fine mapping methods.

Table S1. Mean protein concentration and marker-protein association (MPA) values for 13 populations of BC_{F2} plants and their descendant BC_{F2:s} lines tested in 2008 and 2009 during the first round of fine mapping that targeted the cqSeed protein-003 QTL on soybean chromosome 20. Marker associations based the marker listed in the marker name column.

Table S2. Mean protein concentration and marker-protein association (MPA) values for 11 populations tested during the second round of fine mapping that targeted the cqSeed protein-003 QTL on soybean chromosome 20. Populations of BC_{F2} plants were tested in 2011, and their descendant BC_{F2:s} lines were tested in 2012, and BC_{F2:s:1} lines 2013. Mean protein content (g kg^{-1}) on a 13% moisture basis of plants and lines that were homozygous for the donor parent (Glycine soja) high-protein allele (B), homozygous for the recurrent parent (Glycine max) low-protein allele (A), or heterozygous/heterogeneous (H) based on the marker listed in the marker name column.

Table S3. Marker associations of BARCCOYSSR_20_0065 in the ProL-7 subpopulations and their mean protein content

Table S4. Glycine max accessions screened with Glyma.20G085100 marker (CCT). Glycine max accessions were screened with the Glyma.20G085100 (CCT) marker to determine if they had the PI 468916 genotype. Glycine max accessions with the PI 468916-like allele were coded as a 0. Accessions that have the Williams 82-like allele were coded with a 2. Heterozygous samples were coded as a 1. Samples that either failed in the PCR reaction or did not have DNA due to extraction or germination issues are coded as a ‘-’. Protein and oil content information is from the GRIN-Global website (https://npgsweb.ars-grin.gov/gringlobal/search). Haplotypes were determined with the Glyma.20G085100 marker and two markers (ss715637268 and ss715637271) on one side and two markers on the other side (ss715637273 and ss715637274) of the gene. Haplotypes were determined using the marker from Glyma.20G085100 and the two closest markers on each side of the gene. The haplotype designations are defined in the Experimental Procedures section.

Table S5. Soybean transposon sequences that contain a 256 bp block of 99–100% nucleotide identity to the inserted sequence in the low-protein allele of Glycma.20G085100.
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