Influence of lithium carbonate and superplasticizer as admixtures on low calcium dialuminate cement castable submitted to thermal shock
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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to investigate the hot properties of low calcium dialuminate cement castable as binder with varying amount of Li₂CO₃ and castament FS20 superplasticizer as admixtures. Thermal damage test was carried out through quenching in air for five cycles ΔT = 1175°C. The samples were preheated at 1500°C for 2 h. The results gave a retained Young’s modulus of low-cement castable with 0.3 wt.% Li₂CO₃ and 0.5 wt.% castament down to 10.36% while 0.1 wt.% Li₂CO₃ and 0.5 wt.% castament showed a retained modulus down to 13.47%. For the samples without admixture, the loss of Young modulus was 92.4% and 93.7% with 0.3 wt.% of Li₂CO₃ admixture. The superplasticizer has a great effect on thermal shock resistance of low calcium dialuminate cement castable. The results confirmed that 0.1 wt%Li₂CO₃ and 0.5 wt% castament have better thermal shock resistance and longer service life for applications in refractories. The increase of mass of the refractory materials during five thermal shock cycles in air is the main factor affecting the degradation of this refractory material due to the oxidation of SiC to SiO₂.

1. Introduction

The formation and propagation of crack is a common occurrence in refractory castable that have been submitted to continuous thermal variation, and usually results to a decrease in mechanical strength and overall degradation of aforementioned materials [1]. When a refractory castable is subjected to severe stress, especially from a thermal mechanical point of view, it is degraded by a combination of several mechanisms, principally thermal shock, abrasion, corrosion, and mechanical impact [2]. Deflocculation of agglomerated dialuminate cement particles using High Range Water Reducer (HRNR) or superplasticizers is a key to correcting the workability of concrete and reduce the amount of mixing water [3].

Superplasticizer improves the properties of numerous materials particularly with respect to interparticle forces in cement suspensions. The current understanding of these forces founded major advance in Lifitz’s theory. These forces are essentially attractive in suspension. The difference between these attractive forces and the repulsive forces due to surface charge or absorbed species govern the behavior of particulates in suspension. These forces can be combined to obtain the sum of three main interaction; van der waals forces or dispersion forces, electrostatic and steric forces [3]. Superplasticizers in concrete play a role in dispersion of flocculated cement grain and to release entrapped water by creating a repulsive force among them [4,5]. The heterogeneous surface charge distribution originating from different mineral phases and the absorbed superplasticizer molecules could bring certain effects, generally retardation on the hydration of cement [5–7].

Refractory concrete as structural material for high-temperature application has received significant attention during the last decade [4]. Ultra-high Performance Concrete (UHPC) requires an optimized granulometry for packing density, a very low water/cement ratio (below 0.25) and compressive strength higher than 150 MPa. During a rapid temperature change of a material, there occurs transient temperature distribution which induce thermal stress, resulting to thermal shock. The stress intensity is related to the difference in temperature between an ascending thermal shock and a descending one. The descending temperature is more destructive to brittle materials and tensile stress is generated on the surface. This circumstance may be sufficient to activate preexisting micro-cracks and to lead to body damage or fracture [8,9].

Densification of Calcium Aluminate Cement (CAC) usually starts at a temperature above 1200°C. Therefore, it is imperative to obtain a high performance at temperature where CAC does not show its optimized properties, where additives/admixtures leading to liquid formation and consequently faster densification present an interesting technological
alternative. Another point of view from previous works states that a little amount of Li$_2$CO$_3$ (0.1 wt.%) improves the reactivity of CA$_2$ cement by reducing the reaction peak time from 17 h to 23 min [10].

The aim of this work is to study the behavior of low calcium dialuminate cement castable with and without Li$_2$CO$_3$ admixture and Castament FS20 subjected to thermal shock from 1200°C to 25 ± 2°C and to estimate the service life of this refractory castable for petrochemical and high-temperature applications.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample preparation

The explanation of the samples and their codes is presented in Table 1, the chemical composition of the raw materials is presented in Table 2 and the formulation of concrete is presented in Table 3.

The alumina refractory concrete was prepared using calcium dialuminate cement (CA$_2$) from Cameroon as binder, tabular alumina (1–2 mm and 0–1 mm) as aggregates from Almatis Germany. Silica fume (⌀ < 0.1 μm) and reactive alumina CTC 50 were used as fine particles and admixtures (lithium carbonate and superplasticizer castament FS20) respectively.

The formulation was designed according to Funk and Dingler packing model $V(\%) = 100\frac{D_i - D_o}{D_i}$, with $q = 0.26$, where $D_i$ and $D_o$ are, respectively, the dimension of the largest and the smallest particles [1,8–11].

The mixture content was W/C ratio = 1.6 of about 12 wt.% water in the concrete with and without admixtures, 0.5 wt.% superplasticizer, castament FS20 from BASF construction solution GMBH Germany and a varying amount of lithium carbonate from 0 wt.%, 0.1 wt.% and 0.3 wt%. These were molded and cured at an ambient temperature of 25 ± 2°C for 48 h, stabilized at 105°C for 24 h and then sintered at 1500°C with a soaking time of 2 h.

### Table 1. Explanation of concrete samples.

| Sample   | Explanation                                                                 |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0ALCO    | Low cement castable without Li$_2$CO$_3$ and Castament FS20 stabilized at 105°C |
| 03AICO   | Low cement castable with 0.3 wt.% of Li$_2$CO$_3$ stabilized at 105°C         |
| 0105ALCO | Low cement castable with 0.1 wt.% of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and 0.5 wt.% of Castament FS20 stabilized at 105°C |
| 0305ALCO | Low cement castable with 0.3 wt.% of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and 0.5 wt.% of Castament FS20 stabilized at 105°C |
| 0ALCOT   | Low cement castable without Li$_2$CO$_3$ and Castament FS20 sintered at 1500°C |
| 03AICOT  | Low cement castable with 0.3 wt.% of Li$_2$CO$_3$ sintered at 1500°C        |
| 0105ALCOT| Low cement castable with 0.1 wt.% of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and 0.5 wt.% of Castament FS20 sintered at 1500°C |
| 0305ALCOT| Low cement castable with 0.3 wt.% of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and 0.5 wt.% of Castament FS20 sintered at 1500°C |
| 0ALCOTS  | Low cement castable without Li$_2$CO$_3$ and Castament FS20 after five thermal shock cycles |
| 03AICOTS | Low cement castable with 0.3 wt.% of Li$_2$CO$_3$ stabilised after five thermal shock cycles |
| 0105ALCOTS| Low cement castable with 0.1 wt.% of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and 0.5 wt.% of Castament FS20 after five thermal shock cycles |
| 0305ALCOTS| Low cement castable with 0.3 wt.% of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and 0.5 wt.% of Castament FS20 after five thermal shock cycles |

### Table 2. Chemical composition of raw materials for concrete.

| Components (%) | Silica fume | Calcined bauxite | Tabular alumina T60/64 | Reactive alumina CTC50 | CA$_2$ Cement |
|----------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| SiO$_2$        | 86.0        | 11.67            | 1.00                   | 9.10                   |               |
| Al$_2$O$_3$    | 2.1         | 78.80            | 99.50                  | 96.50                  | 57.52         |
| Fe$_2$O$_3$    | 0.6         | 6.70             | 0.03                   | -                      | 4.93          |
| CaO            | 0.5         | 0.00             | 0.05                   | -                      | 25.77         |
| MgO            | 0.2         | -                | 0.04                   | -                      | -             |
| K$_2$O         | 0.04        | -                | 0.07                   | 0.16                   | -             |
| TiO$_2$        | 1.06        | -                | 1.50                   | 1.20                   | -             |
| SO$_3$         | 0.4         | -                | -                      | -                      | 0.22          |
| Na$_2$O        | 0.3         | -                | -                      | -                      | 0.76          |
| ZrO$_2$        | 0.40        | -                | -                      | -                      | 0.29          |
| C              | 3.0         | -                | -                      | -                      | -             |
| SiC            | 1.2         | -                | -                      | -                      | -             |

### Table 3. Formulation of refractory concrete.

| Component of concrete | Composition in wt.% |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Tabular alumina CTC60/64 | 62                   |
| Reactive alumina CTC50  | 15                   |
| Silica fume            | 15                   |
| Cement                 | 8                    |

### 2.2. Thermal shock damage test

The refractory concretes were subjected to thermal shock by quenching in compressed air flow at a Biot number $\beta = 0.3$. The thermal shock damage test was conducted by quenching a specimen in air 6 Bars that is from 1200°C to 25 ± 2°C, with $\Delta T = 1175 ± 2°C$, for five consecutive heating and cooling cycles (ASTMC1171-91). Basically, the samples were placed in an electric furnace previously heated at 1200°C for 24 h and kept at this temperature for 30 min; after that the samples were taken out of the furnace very fast in order to preserve the frozen state of the reaction during sintering. They were quenched in air at a pressure of 6 bars for 15 min until the sample attained room temperature (25 ± 2°C). The cycle was repeated five times. The damage caused by the thermal changes was evaluated by elastic modulus measurements at room temperature. The relative variation of dimensions (delta L/L$_0$) and mass (delta m/m$_0$) of the samples submitted to thermal shock was measured using an electronic caliper and precise electronic scale, respectively.

The Young’s modulus (E), shear modulus (G) and Poisson’s ratio (ν) were determined using the European Norm EN 843–2:2006. The Young’s modulus was calculated using the formula:

$$E = \frac{4mil^2}{bh}$$
where \( b \) is the width, \( h \) the thickness, \( m \) the mass, \( l \) the length and \( f_l \) the longitudinal resonant frequency of the sample [12].

3. Water absorption and apparent density of refractory concrete

Measurements of water absorption and apparent density of the refractory concrete were carried out according to the norm BS EN 14,617–1: 2013 [13]. The samples were dried at 105°C for 48 hours in an electric dryer to determine its constant weight and then immersed in a water bath at an ambient temperature of 23°C containing distilled water for 24 hours. The humid weight (\( mh \)) was compared to the dry weight (\( md \)) according to the following equation

\[
WA(\%) = \left( \frac{mh - md}{md} \right) \times 100
\]

The apparent density (\( \rho_a \)) of a sample is defined as the quotient of the dry mass (\( m \)) of product by the volume occupied by the solid material including the empty spaces found in the grains according to the norm BS EN 14,617–1:2013 [13]. The apparent density is calculated according to the equation:

\[
\rho_a = \frac{md}{V}
\]

\( \rho_a = \text{apparent density g/cm}^3 \)
\( md = \text{dry mass of sample} \)
\( V = \text{volume of sample} \)

4. Results and discussions

4.1. Young’s and Shear Modulus of low CA₂ cement refractory

Figure 1 presents the Young’s modulus and shear modulus of low calcium dialuminate cement castable (8 wt.%) stabilized at 105°C for 24 hrs with and without \( \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \) and castament FS20 as superplasticizer. For sample 0ALCO without admixtures, the Young’s modulus is about 3.1 GPa and shear modulus 1.3 GPa. When 0.3 wt.% \( \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \) is added to the low cement castable, the Young’s modulus reduces to 2.5 GPa. It can be retained that \( \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \) when reduces the setting time and favors a reduction of the Young’s modulus of the concrete. The addition of 0.1 wt.% \( \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \) and 0.5 wt. % superplasticizer castament FS20 (0105ALCO) increases the Young’s modulus from 3.1 GPa to 13.5 GPa and the shear modulus from 1.3 GPa to 5.5 GPa. Increasing the amount of \( \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \) from 0.1 wt% to 0.3 wt.% presented a high Young’s modulus of 17.1 GPa and shear modulus of 6.9 GPa for 0305ALCO.

When the concrete is heated at 1500°C for 2 h (Figure 2), the Young’s modulus of the low calcium dialuminate cement concrete increased from 3.1 GPa to 19.5 GPa for 0ALCOT and from 2.5 GPa to 19.1 GPa with the addition of 0.3 wt.% of \( \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \) for sample 03ALCOT. The addition of admixtures 0.1 wt% \( \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \) and 0.5 wt.% of castament shows the Young’s modulus increased from 13.5 GPa to 31.5 GPa (0105ALCOT). The highest value of Young’s modulus after treatment at 1500°C is 37.1 GPa and shear modulus of 16 GPa corresponding to 0.3 wt.% \( \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \) and 0.5 wt.% Castament FS20 (0305ALCOT).

It’s known with ordinary Portland cement, that the strength and elastic modulus decrease when exposed to high temperatures, but with calcium dialuminate cement, recent work [14] showed that the refractory
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**Figure 1.** E modulus and G modulus of refractories at 105°C.
Figure 2. E modulus and G modulus of refractory at 1500°C.

Concrete using low calcium dialuminate cement presented a decrease of Young’s modulus from 20°C to 1120°C with the relative variation of ΔE ≈ −92% and an increase of Young’s modulus from 1120°C to 1620°C with the relative variation of ΔE ≈ +95% following an increase from 1620°C to 20°C with relative variation of ΔE ≈ +298% during cooling.

Castament FS 20 is a carboxylic acrylic ether named polycarboxylate ether or polycarboxylate polyether superplasticizer of class sieve segregation (%) SR 1 ≤ 20. In concrete at 105°C and 1500°C, this water reduction admixture creates dispersion forces in flocculated cement grain and release entrapped water by creating a repulsive force among them. The superplasticizer also lowers the surface tension of water at the onset of the settlement of the solid particles in the mix, influences the liberation of entrapped air and facilitates the workability properties of the refractory material in order to get better physical and hardened properties of concrete [5–7]. This justifies the high Young’s modulus of the concrete when 0.5 wt.% of superplasticizer is added.

After five cycles of thermal shock at a pressure of 6 bars from 1200°C to 25 ± 2°C, the Young’s modulus of concrete decreases from 19.5 GPa to 1.5 GPa and shear modulus from 8.2 GPa to 0.6 GPa for concretes sintered without admixtures 0ALCOTS (Figure 3). When 0.3 wt. % of Li₂CO₃ is added, the Young’s modulus decreases

Figure 3. E modulus and G modulus after 5 thermal shocks.
from 19.1 GPa to 1.2 GPa and the shear modulus from 7.7 GPa to 0.5 GPa for 03ALCOTS. The reduction of Young’s modulus in this case is about 93.5%.

The highest value of Young’s modulus after five thermal shock is 4.3 GPa. With 0.1 wt.% Li₂CO₃ admixture and 0.5 wt.% of castament, the Young’s modulus decreases from 31.5 GPa to 4.3 GPa corresponding to a reduction of 86.54% for 0105ALCOTS. Contrary to this, the highest value of Young’s modulus obtained at 1500°C was 37.1 GPa with 0.3 wt.% Li₂CO₃ and 0.5 wt. % castament (0305ALCOTS). This value decreased to 3.8 GPa after five thermal shock cycles with a corresponding Youngs modulus reduction of 90%. The refractory material is heterogeneous, constituting a matrix and aggregates; the significant reduction of Young’s modulus during thermal shock results from the thermal tension on aggregate and matrix simultaneously. From the results, low calcium dialuminate cement refractories with high Young’s modulus (≈ 37 GPa), such as those attained for alumina-based castable showed a reduced amount of stored elastic strain energy under severe thermal stresses. This was very notable for the 0.3 wt.% Li₂CO₃ and 0.5 wt.% castament specimen than the 0.1 wt.% Li₂CO₃ and 0.5 wt.% castament. From Figure 7, the density of the low calcium dialuminate cement concrete without admixtures increase from 1.75 to 2.18 g/cm³ when sintered at 1500°C. It can be said that the bulk density of the concrete increase after heat treatment at 1500°C implying that during heat treatment, densification occurs through compaction of materials and decreasing pores. After five thermal shock cycles at a pressure of 6 bars from 1200°C to 25 ± 2°C, the density reduces to 1.79 g/cm³. This reduction in density was observed in all the samples, indicating that thermal shock favors the creation of fractures and pores. Also, from Figure 7, the thermal shock is characterized by a reduction of the density of low-cement castables. This is more intense for low strength than high strength castable. Explaining that the more durable low-cement castable is neither the one with lower strength nor higher strength values.

### 4.2. Effect of density and thermal shock resistance

The thermal shock resistance of materials is not only dependent on intrinsic factors such as structure, grain size, shape and distribution of internal defects, but on macroscopic physical indices such as strength, modulus of elasticity, thermal conductivity, thermal expansive coefficient and Poisson’s ratio [15–17].

The relative reduction of density after five thermal shock cycles for sample 0305AICOT is about 17% (similar to 03AICOT), while the relative reduction of density for sample 0105AICOT was 13% (similar to sample 0AICOT). This means that Li₂CO₃ has an impact on the density, that is an increase in the quantity of Li₂CO₃ the reduction percentage of the relative density. The lower the relative thermal shock resistance of castable with Li₂CO₃ and castament, the better the resistance of the castable for a long service lifetime.

The relative reduction of Young’s modulus after five thermal shock cycles for sample 0305AICOT was 90% while 0105AICOT present a reduction of 86.5%, without a superplasticizer (castament), its relative reduction of Young’s modulus is about 93%. Although the superplasticizer plays a beneficial role by increasing the density, the Young’s modulus and service lifetime of the concrete, the addition of Li₂CO₃ at about 0.1 wt. % improves the quality of concrete and increases its service lifetime. In general, catastrophic thermal shock failure results from a material’s inability to store high elastic energy, sample 0105ALCO with E value (≈31

| Sample  | Density (g/cm³) |
|---------|----------------|
| 0ALCO   | 2.18           |
| 0ALCOT  | 1.79           |
| 0ALCOTS | 2.15           |
| 0ALCO   | 2.26           |
| 0ALCOT  | 2.65           |
| 0ALCOTS | 2.29           |
| 0B3ALCO | 2.25           |
| 0B3ALCOT| 2.7            |
| 0B3ALCOTS| 2.24          |

Figure 4. Density at 110°C, 1500°C and after 5 thermal shocks.
GPa) showed a very high ability to store elastic modulus.

Thermal quenching leads to crack nucleation and/or propagation, resulting to a decrease in strength. The formation of cracks has an impact on the Young’s modulus and density of the material. This could be connected to the number of cycles, as well as to the damage during quenching. It is observed that refractory materials presenting low Young’s modulus (19.1 GPa) for 03ALCOT and very high Young’s modulus (37.1 GPa) for 0305ALCOT do not store high elastic energy in their structure. The authors l’Hopital et al. [18] stated that stronger refractories with high E modulus ≈ 37 GPa can be good for applications in environments presenting sudden temperature changes. There is a great effect of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and castament (superplasticizer) on thermal shock resistance of low calcium dialuminate cement castable. 0.1 wt.% of Li$_2$CO$_3$ and 0.5 wt.% of castament FS20 (superplasticizer) in castable refractory concrete present the best thermal shock resistance.

4.3. Effect of silica fume on the weight and dimensions of castable after five thermal shock cycles

The variation of relative weight and relative dimension is presented in Figures 5 and 6 respectively. The weight of the concrete sample increase after five thermal shock cycles from 1200°C to 25°C. This increase in weight is very high, between +6.3 and +6.4 wt.% for samples without castament (0ALCOTS, 03ALCOTS) and
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**Figure 5.** Variation of weight after 5 thermal shocks.
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**Figure 6.** Thermal expansive of low CA2 after 5 thermal shocks.
+5 wt.% when 0.5 wt.% castament FS20 is added (01051LCOTS, 0305ALCSTS). The silica fume added to the concrete contains a little amount of carbon (3 wt. %). When the concrete with silica fume mixture is sintered at 1500°C for 2 h, the reactions that occur are as follows:

\[ \text{SiO}_2 + C \rightarrow \text{SiO}(g) + CO(g) \]

\[ \text{SiO}(g) + C \rightarrow \text{Si}(g) + CO(g) \]

\[ \text{Si}(g) + C \rightarrow \text{SiC(s)} \]

Knowing that Si(s) + C(s) ⇌ SiC(s)ΔG_{(400°C)} = −68kJ

The reaction producing SiC(g) and CO(g) has been highlighted by authors to be endothermic and the Gibbs’s energy given as [19–22]:

\[ \text{SiO}_2 + 3C \overset{T° > 1500°C}{\longrightarrow} \text{SiC(s)} + 2CO(g), \Delta G_{f} = +609.023 - 0.3517kJ/mol \]

where carbon monoxide evaporates and silicon carbon remains in solid state in the concrete samples.

During thermal shock from 1200°C to 25°C at a pressure of 6 bars, passive oxidation of SiC occurs with an increase in mass following the equation:

\[ 2 \text{SiC(g)} + 3 \text{O}_2(\text{g})(\text{air}) \overset{T° \leq 1200°C}{\longrightarrow} 2 \text{SiO}_2(\text{s}) + 2 \text{CO(g)} \]

The SiO2 formed during passive oxidation get deposited over the surface of SiC, leading to an increase in the mass and the dimensions of the concrete. These observations were also made by Wei et al., Roy et al. and Chia-Tsing Wei [23–27].

The low increase in weight with castament addition is due to the compaction of concrete whereby air cannot easily get to the center of the concrete for SiC oxidation.

Salomao et al. [28] explained that the oxidation of SiC(s) in reducing gas CO(g) contributes to the formation of anorthite in the presence of CaO(s) and Al2O3(s) by the following reactions:

\[ \text{SiC(s)} + 2 \text{CO(g)} \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2(\text{s}) + 3 \text{C(g)}, \Delta G_{(400°C)} = −378kJ \]

\[ \text{CaO}_{(s)} + 2 \text{SiO}_2(\text{s}) + 2 \text{Al}_2 \text{O}_3(\text{s}) \rightarrow \text{CaAl}_2 \text{Si}_2 \text{O}_8(\text{s}), \Delta G_{(400°C)} = −118kJ \]

SiC oxidation and the formation of anorthite have a favorable Gibbs energy value negative. They concluded that only SiC oxidation and anorthite formation are predicted in the 400 – 1200°C temperature range. While Kazemi [29] explained that in the presence of CaO, the superfine silica and alumina particles react with this CaO at high T° (1000–1500°C) leading to the formation of low melting phases, such as anorthite (CaO. Al2O2.2SiO2) and gehlenite (2CaO.Al2O3.SiO2) and causes reduction of the strength of the refractory at high temperatures. If a great amount of reactive alumina is available, CA2 reacts with alumina to form CA6, causing a theoretical volume change of CA2 = +13.6% and CA6 = +3.01%. This variation may reduce crack during different thermal treatments of high alumina. The authors believe that a volume increase occurs during the formation of mullite at high temperature and can promote cracking through the development of residual stress. The variation of dimensions and weight for this work are theoretically predicted by the oxidation of SiC, formation of mullite, anorthite, gehlenite and CA6.

5. Conclusions

The goal of this work was to know if the low CA2 cement in concrete can be used for alumina refractory concrete and to study the behavior of this concrete with admixtures.

The percentage of the relative reduction of Young’s modulus after five thermal shocks showed that a little amount of Li2CO3 (about 0.1 wt.%) and superplasticizer (castament FS20 at 0.5 wt.%) in the cement improves the quality of concrete and can increase the service lifetime.

Decreasing the refractory Young’s modulus will result in a cascading negative effect on the elastic modulus and consequently affecting the thermal shock behavior.

The relative increase in mass and dimensions of the refractories after five thermal shock cycles in air might be linked to the oxidation of residual silicon carbide in the concrete.

From the results, it can be assumed that stronger refractories with high E modulus ≈31 GPa can be good options for applications in environments presenting sudden temperature changes. The variation of dimensions and weight for this work are theoretically predicted by the oxidation of SiC, formation of mullite, anorthite, gehlenite and CA6.
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