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Summary

Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 establishes the rules governing the setting and the review of pesticide maximum residue levels (MRLs) at European level. According to Article 12(1) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) shall provide within 12 months from the date of the inclusion or non-inclusion of an active substance in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC a reasoned opinion on the review of the existing MRLs for that active substance. Article 12(2) of that Regulation stipulates that EFSA shall provide by 1 September 2009 a reasoned opinion on the review of the existing MRLs for all active substances included in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC before 2 September 2008.

According to the legal provisions, EFSA shall base its reasoned opinion on the relevant assessment report prepared under Directive 91/414/EEC or Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. The reasoned opinion should cover all pesticide residues data relevant to the risk assessment and MRL setting for a given active substance, including analytical methods and limit of determination (LOD) for enforcement of the proposed MRLs. All proposed MRLs should accommodate uses authorised within the European Union (EU), and uses authorised in third countries that have a significant impact on international trade. Among the active substances that need to be reviewed under Article 12(1) and 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, EFSA identified 12 active substances for which a review of MRLs is no longer considered necessary, including 5 active substances that were already included temporarily in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 by risk managers pending finalisation of their evaluation under Directive 91/414/EEC or Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and pending submission of EFSA’s reasoned opinion in accordance with Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005. The general principles for the establishment and update of Annex IV are laid down in Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005. Nevertheless, as none of the articles in Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 provides for clear decision-making criteria regarding inclusion of active substances in Annex IV, these criteria were defined in a guidance document of the European Commission.

EFSA prepared a statement explaining the reasons why a review of MRLs for these substances became obsolete, including the EFSA view concerning the Annex IV inclusion where relevant. The corresponding question numbers are considered addressed by this statement. Furthermore, for three active substances, the existing uses were assessed in the framework of the renewal (combined assessment). The list of active substances for which the MRL review was addressed during the renewal is also reported as an Annex to this statement.

The statement was circulated to Member States for consultation via a written procedure before finalisation.
Table of contents

Abstract ................................................................................................................................................... 1
Summary ............................................................................................................................................... 3
1. Introduction...................................................................................................................................... 5
2. Assessment ....................................................................................................................................... 6
2.1. Substances for which EU-MRLs are established at default values ....................................................... 6
2.2. Substances which are temporarily included in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 and for which
CXLs do not exist ................................................................................................................................ 8
3. Conclusions ....................................................................................................................................... 10
References ............................................................................................................................................ 10
Abbreviations ...................................................................................................................................... 12
Annex A – Active substances for which the Article 12 review was addressed in the framework of the peer
review for the renewal ............................................................................................................................ 13
1. Introduction

Regulation (EC) No 396/2005\(^1\) establishes the rules governing the setting and the review of pesticide maximum residue levels (MRLs) at the European level. According to Article 12(1) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) shall provide within 12 months from the date of the inclusion or non-inclusion of an active substance in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC a reasoned opinion on the review of the existing MRLs for that active substance. Article 12(2) of that Regulation stipulates that EFSA shall provide by 1 September 2009 a reasoned opinion on the review of the existing MRLs for all active substances included in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC before 2 September 2008. According to the legal provisions, EFSA shall base its reasoned opinion on the relevant assessment report prepared under Directive 91/414/EEC or Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.\(^2\) The reasoned opinion should cover all pesticide residues data relevant to the risk assessment and MRL setting for a given active substance, including analytical methods and limit of determination (LOD) for enforcement of the proposed MRLs. All proposed MRLs should accommodate uses authorised within the European Union (EU), and uses authorised in third countries that have a significant impact on international trade. According to Article 5(1) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 active substances of plant protection products evaluated under Directive 91/414/EEC for which no MRLs are required shall be defined and listed in Annex IV to this Regulation, taking into account the uses of those active substances and the matters referred to in points (a), (c) and (d) of Article 14(2). The general principles for the establishment and update of Annex IV are laid down in Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, which requires that for an active substance which shall be included in Annex IV account should be taken of:

- the use of the active substance;
- the scientific and technical knowledge available;
- the results of an assessment of any potential risks to consumers with a high intake and high vulnerability and, where appropriate, to animals;
- the results of any evaluations and decisions to modify the use of plant protection products.

Nevertheless, as none of the articles in Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 provides for clear decision-making criteria regarding inclusion of active substances in Annex IV, these criteria were defined in a guidance document of the European Commission (2015). According to the decision tree figure 1 outlined in this guidance document, an active substance should comply with one of the following criteria in order to be recommended for inclusion in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005:

- Criterion one: The active substance is approved as a basic substance under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009
- Criterion two: The compound is listed in Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005
- Criterion three: The compound has no identified hazardous properties
- Criterion four: Natural exposure is higher than the one linked to the use of plant protection products (PPP)
- Criterion five: No consumer exposure is forecasted linked to the mode of application of the PPP.

Among the active substances that need to be reviewed under Article 12(1) and Article 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, EFSA identified 12 active substances for which a review of MRLs is no longer considered necessary, including 5 active substances that were already included temporarily in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 by risk managers pending finalisation of their evaluation under Directive 91/414/EEC or Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and pending submission of EFSA’s reasoned opinion in accordance with Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005. EFSA prepared a statement explaining the reasons why a review of MRLs for these substances is no longer considered necessary, including the EFSA view concerning the Annex IV inclusion where relevant. The corresponding question numbers are considered addressed by this statement. Furthermore, for three

---

\(^1\) Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 February 2005 on maximum residue levels of pesticides in or on food and feed of plant and animal origin and amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC. OJ L 70, 16.3.2005, p. 1–16.

\(^2\) Council Directive 91/414/EEC of 15 July 1991 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market. OJ L 230, 19.8.1991, p. 1–32. Repealed by Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

\(^3\) Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC. OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1–50.
active substances, the existing uses were assessed in the framework of the renewal (combined
assessment). The list of active substances for which the MRL review was addressed during the renewal
is also reported in an Annex to this statement.

The draft statement was circulated to Member States (MSs) for consultation via a written
procedure. Comments received by 10 September 2020 were considered during the finalisation of this
statement. The collation of comments received on the draft statement is considered as a background
document to this statement and is made publicly available (EFSA, 2020).

2. Assessment

2.1. Substances for which EU-MRLs are established at default values

The MRLs for the following substances are set at the LOD in accordance with Article 18 of
Regulation (EC) No 396/2005. For the active substances for which all MRLs are reduced to the relevant
LOD, default values are listed in Annex V in accordance with Article 18(1)(b) of Regulation (EC)
No 396/2005.

The active substance aluminium sulphate is currently authorised according to Commission
Implementing Directive (EU) 2011/47/EU. 4 As EFSA was not involved in the peer review for first
approval, no EFSA conclusion is available. No codex maximum residue limits (CXLs) are currently in
place for this active substance and all MRLs are currently set at the default value. Therefore, the MRL
review for this active substance is considered obsolete.

The active substance aluminium ammonium sulphate is currently authorised according to
Commission Directive (EU) 2008/127/EC. 5 As EFSA was not involved in the peer review for first
approval, no EFSA conclusion is available. No CXLs are currently in place for this active substance and
all MRLs are currently set at the default value. Therefore, the MRL review for this active substance is
considered obsolete.

The active substance diflubenzuron is currently authorised according to Commission Directive
2008/69/EC. 6 An EFSA conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment is available
(EFSA, 2012a). Confirmatory data were also assessed by EFSA (2015). Following the peer review of
the confirmatory data, diflubenzuron was restricted to uses on non-edible crops by Commission
Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/855 7 due to the genotoxic potential of its impurity and metabolite
4-chloroaniline (PCA). Following the modification of the condition of approval to non-edible crops,
diflubenzuron was included in Annex V of Regulation 396/2005 with MRLs lowered to the relevant LOD
by Reg. (EU) 2019/91. 8 Furthermore, the application for the renewal of the approval was withdrawn
by the applicant and the approval of diflubenzuron will expire on 31 December 2020. While CXLs are in
place for this substance, it is clear from the reasoning that led to restriction to non-edible crops and to
the lowering of all MRLs to the LOD, that none of the CXLs are acceptable for reasons of consumer
protection. Therefore, the review of MRLs for this active substance becomes obsolete.

The active substance fat distillation residues was initially included in Annex I to Council Directive
91/414/EEC by Commission Directive 2008/127/EC. 5 An EFSA conclusion on the peer review of the
pesticide risk assessment related to the first approval is available (EFSA, 2012b). Since the applicant
withdrew the support for the renewal of the approval, the approval of fat distillation residues will
expire on 31 August 2021. The MRLs are currently set to the default MRL of 0.01 mg/kg according to
Art 18(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 and no CXLs are currently in place for this active
substance. Therefore, the review of MRLs for this substance becomes obsolete.

--

4 Commission Implementing Directive 2011/47/EU of 15 April 2011 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC to include aluminium
sulphate as active substance and amending Commission Decision 2008/941/EC. OJ L 102, 16.4.2011, p. 24–27.
5 Commission Directive 2008/127/EC of 18 December 2008 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC to include several active
substances. OJ L 344, 20.12.2008, p. 89–111.
6 Commission Directive 2008/69/EC of 1 July 2008 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC to include clolutezine, dicamba,
difenoxonazolo, diflubenzuron, imazaquin, lenacil, oxadiazon, pictoram and pyriproxyfen as active substances. OJ L 172,
2.7.2008, p. 9–14.
7 Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/855 of 18 May 2017 amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 as
regards the conditions of approval of the active substance diflubenzuron. OJ L 128, 19.5.2017, p. 10–13.
8 Commission Regulation (EU) 2019/91 of 18 January 2019 amending Annexes II, III and V to Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of
the European Parliament and of the Council as regards maximum residue levels for buprofezin, diflubenzuron, ethoxysulfuron,
ioxynil, molinate, picoxystrobin and tepraloxydim in or on certain products. OJ L 22, 24.1.2019, p. 74–85.
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The active substance flufenoxuron was assessed for a possible inclusion in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. Applicant’s withdrawal of support for the active substance led to a decision on non-inclusion of flufenoxuron by Commission Decision of 5 December 2008 (2008/934/EC). Following the decision on non-inclusion of the active substance in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC the notifier made a resubmission in accordance with the provisions laid down in Articles 14 to 19 of Commission Regulation (EC) No. 33/2008. An EFSA conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment prepared in the framework of the resubmission is available (EFSA, 2011a). Due to concerns related to the potential of flufenoxuron to bioaccumulate in mammals, the high risk for aquatic organisms and the outstanding issues with regard to aquatic organisms exposed through the food chain; the proposed definition of flufenoxuron as PBT (persistent bioaccumulating and toxic) and the risk for non-target arthropods (European Commission, 2011) the non-approval of flufenoxuron was implemented by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 942/2011 of 22 September 2011 concerning the non-approval of the active substance that do not require a review of the existing maximum residue levels for azinphos-methyl, bentazone, dimethomorph, fludioxonil, flufenoxuron, oxadiazon, phosalone, pyraclostrobin, repellants: tall oil and tea, fufenoxuron, imazaquin can be monitored in plant and animal commodities with a lower LOD of 0.01 mg/kg (EURLs, 2020). Since no import tolerances were finally reported by MSs and no CXLs were established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC), the MRL review process was terminated and the review of the MRLs for this substance becomes obsolete.

The active substance imazaquin was initially included in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC by Commission Directive 2008/69/EC. Following the peer review by EFSA (2011b) the condition of approval of imazaquin was amended by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 1100/2011, requiring further confirmatory information and defining the date for expiration of approval at 31 December 2018. Since an application for the renewal of the approval of the active substance was not submitted, imazaquin is currently no longer approved. During the MS consultation on the draft statement on active substances that do not require a review of the existing maximum residue levels under Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 prepared by EFSA in 2019, a request to keep the MRL for imazaquin at the current LOD of 0.05 mg/kg in soybeans in order to support an existing import tolerance was received (EFSA, 2019). Subsequently, the review of MRLs under Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 was initiated in May 2020. According to the information provided by the EURLs, imazaquin can be monitored in plant and animal commodities with a lower LOD of 0.01 mg/kg (EURLs, 2020). Since no import tolerances were finally reported by MSs and no CXLs were established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC), the MRL review process was terminated and the review of the MRLs for this substance becomes obsolete.

The active substance oxadiazon was initially included in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC by Commission Directive 2008/69/EC. An EFSA conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment related to the first approval is available (EFSA, 2010a). Since the applicant withdrew the support for the renewal of the approval, oxadiazon is currently not any longer approved. No CXLs are established by the CAC. Following the non-approval, risk managers decided to lower all MRLs to the relevant LOD by Regulation (EU) 2020/1633. Therefore, the review of MRLs for this substance becomes obsolete.

---

9 Commission Decision of 5 December 2008 concerning the non-inclusion of certain active substances in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC and the withdrawal of authorisations for plant protection products containing these substances (notified under document number C(2008) 7637). OJ L 333, 11.12.2008, p. 11–14.
10 Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 942/2011 of 22 September 2011 concerning the non-approval of the active substance flufenoxuron, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, and amending Commission Decision 2008/934/EC. OJ L 246, 23.9.2011, p. 13–15.
11 Commission Regulation (EU) 2020/1633 of 27 October 2020 amending Annexes II, III, IV and V to Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards maximum residue levels for azinphos-methyl, bentazone, dimethomorph, fludioxonil, flufenoxuron, oxadiazon, phosalone, pyraclostrobin, repellants: tall oil and teflubenzuron in or on certain products. OJ L 367, 5.11.2020, p. 1–38.
12 Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1100/2011 of 31 October 2011 amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 as regards the conditions of approval of the active substances dicamba, difenoconazole, and imazaquin. OJ L 285, 1.11.2011, p. 10–14.
2.2. **Substances which are temporarily included in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 and for which CXLs do not exist**

The following active substances have been included temporarily in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 by risk managers pending finalisation of their evaluation under Directive 91/414/EEC or Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and pending submission of EFSA's reasoned opinion in accordance with Article 12(1) and 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005. The EFSA's view concerning the Annex IV inclusion for these substances is also provided below.

The active substance **acetic acid** has been temporarily included in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 by Commission Regulation (EC) No 839/2008. Acetic acid was initially approved by Commission Regulation (EC) No 839/2008 of 31 July 2008 amending Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 and the review of MRLs under Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 has been started by initiation of the collection of GAPs in February 2019. During the peer review, it was concluded that acetic acid, the review of MRLs under Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 has been started by initiation of the collection of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) in September 2019. According to the conclusions laid down during the peer review are also valid for the existing uses. Consequently, it is proposed to retain the substance in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 and the review of MRLs under Art 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 becomes obsolete.

In the framework of the first approval, EFSA issued a conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of acetic acid (EFSA, 2013a). In the sections on mammalian toxicology and residues, no data gap and no critical area of concern were identified. Acetic acid was assessed for its representative uses as an herbicide on pome fruit, stone fruit, paths and roads, ornamental trees and shrubs, turf, and lawns. Since it is sprayed only at the base of the fruit trees, there is no direct application to the fruits. Therefore, no significant residues of acetic acid are expected to be present in edible crops. Based on the widespread presence of acetic acid in human foods, together with the fact that it is a normally occurring metabolite in humans and animals, it was concluded that the establishment of an acceptable daily intake (ADI) and acute reference dose (ARID) for oral intake of acetic acid by consumers was not necessary. During the peer review, it was concluded that acetic acid, the review of MRLs under Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 becomes obsolete. Consequently, the active substance **gliocladium catenulatum strain J1446** has been temporarily included in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 by Commission Regulation (EC) No 839/2008. Following the peer review for the renewal (EFSA, 2017), the active substance was permanently included in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 by Commission Regulation (EC) No 2019/977. Consequently, the review of MRLs under Art 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 becomes obsolete.

The active substance **lime sulphur** has been temporarily included in Annex IV by Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1608 of 24 September 2015. EFSA issued a conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of lime sulphur (EFSA, 2010b). In the peer review, a data gap was identified in the area of residues regarding the uncertainty whether there is presence of remaniders of polysulphides on the crop surface and whether this may become an issue for consumer safety, and therefore dietary risk assessment was not finalised. For lime sulphur, the review of MRLs under Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 has been started by initiation of the collection of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) in September 2019. During the procedure, additional data addressing the data gap identified in the framework of the peer-review regarding the potential presence of polysulfide residues were submitted by the RMS (Czech Republic, 2019). Furthermore, no Codex MRLs have been set for lime sulphur. Therefore, it is proposed to retain the substance in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005. Consequently, the review of MRLs under Art 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 becomes obsolete.

---

13 Commission Regulation (EC) No 839/2008 of 31 July 2008 amending Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards Annexes II, III and IV on maximum residue levels of pesticides in or on certain products. OJ L 234, 30.8.2008, p. 1-216.

14 Commission Regulation (EU) 2019/977 of 13 June 2019 amending Annexes II and IV to Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards maximum residue levels for aclonifen, Beauveria bassiana strain PPRI 5339, Clonostachys rosea strain J1446, fenpyrazamine, mepenitrifluconazole and penconazole in or on certain products. OJ L 159, 17.6.2019, p. 1-25.

15 Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/1608 of 24 September 2015 amending Annex IV to Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards maximum residue levels for capric acid, paraffin oil (CAS 64742-46-7), paraffin oil (CAS 72623-86-0), paraffin oil (CAS 8042-47-5), paraffin oil (CAS 97862-62-3), lime sulphur and urea in or on certain products. OJ L 249, 25.9.2015, p. 14-16.
The active substance maltodextrin has been temporarily included in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 by Commission Regulation (EC) No 839/2008. Maltodextrin has been approved by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 355/2013, following the EFSA peer review of the pesticide risk assessment (EFSA, 2013b). No CXLs are established by the CAC for this active substance.

Maltodextrin is a naturally occurring compound (sugar by-product of starch hydrolysis) and based on the available toxicological information it has no hazardous properties. Nevertheless, during the peer review, EFSA recommended to further investigate whether the application of a polysaccharide to the surface of fruit and vegetables could increase the growth of fungi which are known to produce mycotoxins (e.g. patulin in apples and pears) (EFSA, 2013b). In order to collect additional data addressing this open point, EFSA started the procedure for the MRL review in December 2018. During the data collection, additional information on the possible presence of fungi which are known to produce mycotoxins on the surface of treated fruits was made available. This additional information was evaluated by the RMS that concluded that the application of maltodextrin does not lead to increased fungal growth (Ireland, 2019). This information was shared for consultation with the MS in the draft statement on active substances that do not require a review of the existing MRLs under Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 prepared by EFSA in 2019. During the MS consultation, the UK raised a point on the RMS conclusion, asking EFSA an evaluation of the data as considered by the RMS in its evaluation report (EFSA, 2019). Consequently, this point was further discussed by MSs during the SCoPAFF meeting and it was clarified that maltodextrin is always used with a fungicide in order to control the fungal growth. On the basis of the above considerations, it is proposed to retain the substance in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005. The review of MRLs under Art 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 is considered obsolete.

The active substance orange oil has been temporarily included in Annex IV by Commission Regulation (EU) 588/2014 of 2 June 2014. EFSA issued a conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of orange oil (EFSA, 2013c). In the peer review, a conclusion on the dietary risk for consumers was not possible since the nature of the pertinent residue on the treated crops was not confirmed as D-limonene. In order to collect additional data addressing this open point, EFSA started the procedure for the MRL review in July 2019. Information provided by the RMS in the evaluation report (France, 2020) allowed to conclude that there is a high volatilisation of D-limonene (main component of orange oil) after 21 h at room temperature when applied as dilute formulation of 1% v/v. No supervised residue trials were conducted for D-limonene. However, based on the high volatility of the compound, it seems that no residues are expected on and/or into the raw agricultural commodities. Therefore, when orange oil is used as a plant protection product, residues are expected to be lower than background levels and/or use as flavouring agent. The translocation in the plant is not expected for this compound that is a pure contact product with no systemic activity (France, 2012; EFSA, 2013c). No Codex MRLs have been set for orange oil. On the basis of the above considerations, it is proposed to retain the substance in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005. The review of MRLs under Art 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 is considered obsolete. Based on the above explanation in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, the following question numbers are considered addressed (Table 1).

---

16 Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 355/2013 of 18 April 2013 approving the active substance maltodextrin, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, and amending the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. OJ L 109, 19.4.2013, p. 14–17.

17 Commission Regulation (EU) No 588/2014 of 2 June 2014 amending Annexes III and IV to Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards maximum residue levels for orange oil, Phlebiopsis gigantea, gibberellic acid, Paecilomyces fumosoroseus strain FE 9901, Spodoptera littoralis nucleopolyhedrovirus, Spodoptera exigua nuclear polyhedrosis virus, Bacillus firmus I-1582, s-abcisic acid, L-ascorbic acid and Helicoverpa armigera nucleopolyhedrovirus in or on certain products. OJ L 164, 3.6.2014, p. 16–17.
Table 1: List of active substances that do not require MRL review

| No | Question number (MRL review) | Active substance | RMS | Status under Reg (EU) No 1107/2009 | Assessment made by EFSA | MRL Regulation | Outcome |
|----|-------------------------------|------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------|
| 1  | EFSA-Q-2009-00084            | Aluminium sulphate | NL  | Approved                          | –                       | Default MRL of 0.01 mg/kg according to Art 18(1)(b) Reg. 396/2005 | MRLs at default value |
| 2  | EFSA-Q-2009-00150            | Aluminium ammonium sulphate | IE  | Approved                          | –                       | Default MRL of 0.01 mg/kg according to Art 18(1)(b) Reg. 396/2005 | MRLs at default value |
| 3  | EFSA-Q-2009-00104            | Diflubenzuron     | EL  | Approved                          | EFSA (2012a)            | Reg. (EU) 2019/91 | MRLs at default value |
| 4  | EFSA-Q-2009-00164            | Fat distillation residues | CZ  | Approved                          | EFSA (2012b)            | Default MRL of 0.01 mg/kg according to Art 18(1)(b) Reg. 396/2005 | MRLs at default value |
| 5  | EFSA-Q-2009-00053            | Flufenoxuron      | FR  | Not approved                      | EFSA (2009) EFSA (2011a) | Reg. (EU) 2020/1633 | MRLs at default value except for tea |
| 6  | EFSA-Q-2009-00108            | Imazaquin         | BE  | Not approved                      | EFSA (2011b)            | Reg. (EC) No 149/2008 | MRLs at default value |
| 7  | EFSA-Q-2009-00111            | Oxadiazon         | IT  | Not approved                      | EFSA (2010a)            | Reg. (EU) 2020/1633 | MRLs at default value |
| 8  | EFSA-Q-2009-00149            | Acetic acid       | AT  | Approved                          | EFSA (2013a)            | Reg. (EC) No 839/2008 | Inclusion in Annex IV confirmed |
| 9  | EFSA-Q-2008-559              | Gliocladium catenulatum strain J1446 | HU  | Approved                          | EFSA (2017)            | Reg. (EU) 2019/977 | Inclusion in Annex IV confirmed |
| 10 | EFSA-Q-2009-00092            | Lime sulphur      | CZ  | Approved                          | EFSA (2010b)            | Reg. (EU) 2015/1608 | Inclusion in Annex IV confirmed |
| 11 | EFSA-Q-2013-00521            | Maltodextrin      | IE  | Approved                          | EFSA (2013b)            | Reg. (EC) No 839/2008 | Inclusion in Annex IV confirmed |
| 12 | EFSA-Q-2013-00914            | Orange oil        | FR  | Approved                          | EFSA (2013c)            | Reg. (EU) No 588/2014 | Inclusion in Annex IV confirmed |

3. Conclusions

Among the active substances that need to be reviewed under Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, EFSA identified 12 active substances for which a review of MRLs is not needed, including 5 active substances that were already included temporarily in Annex IV of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 by risk managers pending finalisation of their evaluation under Directive 91/414/EEC or Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and pending submission of EFSA's reasoned opinion in accordance with Article 12(1) or Article 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005. EFSA therefore prepared a statement explaining the reasons why a review of MRLs is no longer necessary for these active substances. The corresponding question numbers are considered addressed by this statement.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description                                      |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| ADI          | acceptable daily intake                          |
| ARfD         | acute reference dose                             |
| CAC          | Codex Alimentarius Commission                    |
| CXL          | codex maximum residue limit                      |
| DAR          | draft assessment report                          |
| EMS          | evaluating Member State                          |
| GAP          | Good Agricultural Practice                       |
| ISO          | International Organization for Standardization  |
| LOD          | limit of determination                           |
| MRL          | maximum residue level                            |
| MS           | Member State                                     |
| PPP          | plant protection product                         |
| PBT          | persistent bioaccumulating and toxic             |
| PRIMo        | (EFSA) Pesticide Residues Intake Model           |
| PROFile      | (EFSA) Pesticide Residues Overview File          |
| RMS          | rapporteur Member State                          |
| SANCO        | Directorate-General for Health and Consumers     |
Annex A – Active substances for which the Article 12 review was addressed in the framework of the peer review for the renewal

| Q-number         | Active substance               | RMS | Adoption date | Link to EFSA conclusions                                      |
|------------------|--------------------------------|-----|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| EFSA-Q-2009-00154 | Blood meal                    | AT  | 23/1/2020     | http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/6006               |
| EFSA-Q-2009-00171 | Kieselgur (aka diatomaceous   | AT  | 22/6/2020     | http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/2797               |
|                  | earth)                         |     |               |                                                                 |
| EFSA-Q-2009-00166 | Garlic extract                | IE  | 16/4/2020     | http://www.efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6116 |