Moisturizing effects of solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) and nanostructured lipid carriers (NLC) using deionized and magnetized water by in vivo and in vitro methods
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Objective(s): The present study aimed to determine and compare moisturizing and occlusion effects of different solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) and nanostructured lipid carriers (NLC) using magnetized water and deionized water.

Materials and Methods: SLN formulations were prepared using various lipids, including Tripalmitin, Compritol®, Precirol®, and emulsifiers including Poloxamer and Tween 80. NLC formulations were also prepared with oleic acid and the same solid lipids. Two types of formulations were prepared; first with deionized water and then with magnetized water. Formulations were prepared using high shear homogenization and ultrasound methods. The products were analyzed by PSA (particle size analyzer), DSC (differential scanning calorimetry), and TEM (transmission electron microscopy). The moisturizing effect of formulations was determined by in vivo and in vitro methods.

Results: Findings of the assessments demonstrated that in products prepared with magnetized water, 5% SLN Precirol® had the most moisturizing effect in vivo and 5% SLN Compritol® had the most moisturizing effect in vitro. The use of magnetized water in formulations can improve the effectiveness and increase the stability of moisturizing products.

Conclusion: In this study, all products prepared with magnetized water showed more stability, smaller size, and increased moisturizing effects compared with products prepared with deionized water.

Introduction

Skin is a complex organ due to its various functions such as physical and chemical protection, vitamin D synthesis, gas exchange, protection against pathogens, as well as temperature regulation. It is also considered as a barrier to moisture loss from the body surface. Dry skin reduces the skin’s ability to perform its tasks. The function of a moisturizer is to restore or retain the moisture of the horny layer of the skin (1, 2).

In recent decades, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN), made of biodegradable and biocompatible lipids, are locally used for a variety of reasons (3), some of which are as follows: 1) Protecting unstable compounds from chemical degradation (3, 4) 2) Possibility of providing controlled release drugs (5, 6) 3) Moisturizing property due to their occlusive effect (2, 7) 4) The possibility of increasing penetration and skin accumulation due to their occlusive properties (8) 5) Physical sunscreen due to UV-blocking (9).

Nanostructured lipid carriers (NLC) are a new generation of colloidal drug carriers for topical application. The goal of these carriers is to overcome the SLN problems. One of the differences between SLN and NLC is that SLN is composed only of solid lipids, while NLC is composed of a mixture of solid lipids and liquid lipids in a ratio of 70:30 up to a ratio of 99.9:0.1 (10).

The most important benefits of NLC include higher carrying capacity for some materials and less waste material during storage compared to SLN (11). SLN and NLC are among the novel skin drug delivery systems.

Various studies have shown that the occlusion factor of lipid microparticles is only 10%, while with a size of approximately 200 nm, the lipid nanoparticles increase this factor to 50% (12).

Studies show that when water is affected by the static magnetic field, some of its properties change, including reduced surface tension, increased viscosity, increased pH, and increased electrical conductivity and hydrogen bond (Table 1) (13). Moreover, the magnetic field can significantly affect the zeta potential and the dispersion of the particle size of solutions (14, 15).

The present study aimed to determine and compare the moisturizing and occlusion effects of different SLN and NLC using magnetized water and deionized water. We developed SLN and NLC formulations using different solid and liquid lipids and various emulsifiers. All formulations were prepared with deionized water and magnetized water. The particle size of the nanoparticles was determined using PSA (particle size analyzer), the morphology of nanoparticles was studied using TEM (transmission...
electron microscopy); then, DSC (differential scanning calorimetry) analyses were performed. Finally, the occlusive factor and moisturizing effects were investigated using in vivo and in vitro methods.

Materials and Methods

Glyceryl palmitostearate (Precirol® ATO 5), Tripalmitin and Glyceryl behenate (Compritol® 888 ATO) were purchased from Gattefossé (Pvt. Ltd. France). Cetyl palmitate (CP) and Tween 80 were gifted from Sigma- Aldrich Co. (Deisenhofen Germany). Poloxamer 188 was obtained from Uniqema (Everberg, Belgium). Also, oleic acid was purchased from Oxin Chemistry Company (Kh. Razavi, Iran). The water used was either deionized or magnetized water. All of the materials were original and fresh.

Preparation of SLN and NLC

SLN and NLC were prepared by high-shear homogenization and ultrasound technique. The lipid phase was heated at 5 °C to 10 °C above their melting point. In the current study, the lipid phase consists of Precirol®, Compritol®, and Tripalmitin. The aqueous phase was prepared by dissolving Tween 80 for Tripalmitin, Poloxamer for Compritol®, and Precirol® in deionized water and magnetized water (Table 2). Therefore, the hot aqueous phase was added to the melted lipid phase and then homogenized with a Diax 900 homogenizer (Heidolph, Germany) in three consecutive steps. The first step was 2.5 min at 18000 rpm, the second step for 2.5 min at 23000 rpm, and the third step for 1 min at 28000 rpm, homogenized. Throughout these steps, the temperature was kept up to 5 °C up to the lipid melting point. Then, the oil in water emulsion was ultrasonicated with a Probe Sonicator (Bransonic, USA) in 6 cycles of 30 sec with a rest period of 15 sec between each cycle. Finally, the mixture was placed at room temperature to cool completely, and the nanoemulsion was formed (16). The preparation of NLC was similar to SLN, except that it contained 30% oleic acid and 70% solid lipid. These formulations were prepared with both deionized water and magnetized water (17-20).

Preparation of magnetized water

For this purpose, a solvent magnetizing apparatus (SMA) was used. The SMA had a static magnetic field in a compact form called "AQUA CORRECT." The device (Germany, H.P.S Co.) had a coaxial static magnetic system of 6000 G field strength [DN = 20, 3/4 in, flow 2 m3/hr] for the experiments. It passes the water through the SMA, a magnetic source embedded in a hollow cylinder, and when it passes through this magnetic field, its properties are subject to change. First, the device was washed with deionized water carefully, so that about 1 liter of deionized water entered (1st lower reservoir of the apparatus) and then after closing the valve between the two reservoirs, the pump was turned on for 5 sec until all of the water passed through the magnetic device to the second reservoir (this magnetized the water for one pass). In the next step, to determine the optimum magnetic moment, the deionized water samples were added to the device after opening the red valve the desired time of 5 min, 10 min, 15 min, 30 min, 45 min, 60 min, and 90 min for recycling (Figure 1). Finally, the sizes of SLN and NLC were analyzed at each time point by a particle size analyzer. According to the data, the water obtained from 60 min rotary water device was considered as the best-magnetized water for this study (21).

Table 1. Some changes in the properties of magnetized water which we used in this study (13)

| Feature                                      | Normal fluid | Magnetized water |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| The surface tension of water (mN/m)          | 71           | 58               |
| Dissolved oxygen in water (mg/l)             | 4.40 @ 70 °C | 5.73 @ 70 °C     |
| pH of water                                  | 7.86 @ 70 °C | 8.08 @ 70 °C     |
| Stability of colloidal particles (speed of coagulation of particles, cm/s) | 11 × 10^11 | 9 × 10^13        |
| Kinematic viscosity of water (m²/s)          | 7.0387 × 10^9 | 2.0959 × 10^12  |
| The density of water (g/cm³)                 | 0.89646      | 1.00267          |
| Number of hydrogen bonds in water            | 3.470         | 3.482            |

Table 2. Types of prepared formulation and the percentage of each component

| PP                        | CP                        | TT                        |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Lipid phase               | Precirol® 5%              | Compritol® 5%             | Tripalmitin 5%          |
| Aqueous phase             | Poloxamer 5%              | Poloxamer 2.5%            | Tween 2.5%              |
| Water q.s.                | Water q.s.                | Water q.s.                | Water q.s.              |

pp: Precirol®, Poloxamer; cp: Poloxamer, Compritol®; TT: Tripalmitin, Tween 80
Characterization of SLN and NLC

Particle size and zeta potential

The mean particle size, the polydispersity index (PDI), and the zeta potential of the SLN and NLC formulations were assessed by a Dynamic Light Scattering method (ZetaSizer Nano-ZS; Malvern Instruments Ltd., United Kingdom). The experiments were carried out in triplicate at a temperature of 25±2 °C and an angle of 90 ° to the incident beam (22).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

To evaluate the morphology of SLN and NLC characterization, the TEM assessment was used. SLN and NLC were diluted 12 times with water, and then 20 μl of the sample was coated on a carbon-coated copper grid. After that, 20 μl of uranyl acetate 2% in water was placed on SLN and NLC, 30 sec later, it was dried with paper filter. After drying, the sample was observed under an electron microscope (23, 24).

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

The melting and crystallization properties of crystalline materials were investigated with the Mettler DSC 821° (Mettler Toledo, GieBen, Germany). The device has two positions for reference and sample in its aluminum pans. Samples were heated up from the temperature of 25 °C to 165 °C (5 °C / min) under nitrogen (5 ml /min). Then, the melting points of SLN and NLC formulations and bulk lipids were compared (7).

Occlusive properties assessment

The occultation amounts of the samples were determined by the in vitro method. In this study, 39 numbers of 100 mL beakers of 100 ml each, were filled with 50 ml of water and covered with a sodium acetate filter (cut-off size: 4 to 7 µm). Then, the amount of 13.3 mg /cm² of each of the SLN and NLC samples were spread completely on the filter surface. All samples were determined by the in vitro method. In this study, 39 numbers of 100 mL beakers of 100 ml each, were filled with 50 ml of water and covered with a sodium acetate filter (cut-off size: 4 to 7 µm). Then, the amount of 13.3 mg /cm² of each of the SLN and NLC samples were spread completely on the filter surface. All samples were

Skin hydration measurement using corneometer

A corneometer (Courage, Khazaka, Cologne, Germany) was used to measure the moisture content of the skin after using different formulations of SLN and NLC; the test was performed on six volunteers with normal skin under normal environmental conditions in the age range of 20–30 years (16, 26). They were asked to refrain from using any product on the test site one week before the test, also during the study period they were not under direct sunlight or lamps. All measurements were performed on a specific area of the skin. Before the topical application (time zero), skin moisture content was measured. Then at 0.5, 1, 3, and 5 hr after application, the moisture content was measured at three different points. The results were obtained as an average of three reported data (27). All experimental protocols were approved by the Ethical Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (approval number: IR. mums. sp.1395.85)

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using the Prism software package. The results were compared based on one-way ANOVA and Turkey’s multiple comparison tests. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Six SLN and six NLC formulations were prepared with high-shear homogenization and ultrasound method. The lipid and surfactant (type and concentration), type of water, and lipid/surfactant ratio were differential parameters for SLN and NLC preparations.

The mean diameter (z-average), PDI, and zeta potential of different SLN and NLC formulations prepared with deionized water and magnetized water were measured by PSA (Table 3).

Data were expressed as mean± SD (n=3); statistical significance difference with NLC-pp, NLC-pp MAG, SLN-tt, and SLN-tt MAG was observed (P<0.001). SLN and NLC with Precirol® and Tripalmitin lipids had a significantly smaller size than Compritol® lipid.

The TEM imaging of SLN and NLC formulations with magnetized water was smaller, more spherical shaped, non-follicular, and more homogeneous (Figure 2). DSC analyses of SLN and NLC were evaluated to determine the crystallinity of the formulations. SLN

Table 3. The mean diameter (z-average), PDI, and zeta potential of the various formulations

| Formulation | z-average size (nm)± SD | PDI ±SD | zeta potential (mV)±SD |
|-------------|------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| SLN-pp | 132.4±2.1 | 0.220±0.02 | -25.5±0.6 |
| SLN-pp MAG | 130.6±2.8 | 0.223±0.02 | -18.1±0.9 |
| NLC-pp | 113.8±3.3 | 0.162±0.00 | -15.9±2.1 |
| NLC-pp MAG | 101.1±2.1 | 0.111±0.02 | -10.3±1.3 |
| SLN-tt | 161.2±2.3 | 0.256±0.00 | -28.3±0.9 |
| SLN-tt MAG | 152.9±2.8 | 0.244±0.01 | -29.3±1.3 |
| NLC-tt | 159.6±1.9 | 0.260±0.02 | -19.6±1.1 |
| NLC-tt MAG | 153.5±2.3 | 0.132±0.01 | -29.2±0.9 |
| SLN-t | 186.0±8.5 | 0.177±0.01 | -17.3±2.1 |
| SLN-tt MAG | 147.1±0.2 | 0.141±0.01 | -24.4±1.2 |
| NLC-tt | 106.9±1.3 | 0.121±0.02 | -24.3±2.0 |
| NLC-tt MAG | 101.0±1.8 | 0.114±0.00 | -28.2±1.1 |

Pp: Precirol®, Poloxamer with deionized water; pp MAG: Precirol®, Poloxamer with magnetized water; cp: Compritol®, Poloxamer with deionized water; cp MAG: Compritol®, Poloxamer with magnetized water; tt: Tripalmitin,Tween 80 with deionized water; tt MAG: Tripalmitin,Tween 80 with magnetized water.
and NLC formulations with Precirol®, as the lipid, were scanned from 25 °C to 165 °C (5 °C/min), and the melting points of the different formulations were compared with the bulk lipids. According to the diagram obtained from the DSC, the lipids peaked in NLC pp, NLC PP MAG, SLN pp, and SLN pp MAG slightly shifted towards lower temperatures compared to their bulk lipids (Figures 3 and 4). The recrystallization of the SLN and NLC formulations occurred at lower temperatures compared with the bulk material.

After 24 hr, NLC formulations containing Compritol®, Precirol®, and Tripalmitin exhibited more occlusive properties than SLN formulations. The NLC containing the magnetized water and Compritol® had the most occlusion factor (Figure 5).

After 48 hr of using the formulations, most occlusion factor was related to the SLN containing Compritol® and magnetized water. The SLN formulations prepared with magnetized water showed more occlusion factor (F) than the NLC formulations (except the SLN prepared with Tripalmitin). The NLC formulations containing Compritol®, Precirol®, and magnetized water exhibited more occlusion properties than the SLN formulation (Figure 5).

**Skin hydration measurement using corneometer**

Figure 6 shows the moisturizing effect of 5% Precirol® formulations using a corneometer. The moisturization of each formulation has been evaluated on six volunteers and measured at different times (0.5,
in NLC pp, NLC PP MAG, SLN pp, and SLN pp MAG

dispersed suitably, and not cumulated.

by water molecules, and the particles would be small,
the used lipids; therefore, the lipids were not captured
less hydrogen bonding with the functional groups of
the water was exposed to the magnetic field, there was
molecules due to exposure to the magnetic field. When
because of the reduction of hydrogen bonding in water
formulation. These characteristics are probably
containing magnetized water were better than other
were approximately -30 mV, showing more physical
potentials of SLN-cp MAG, NLC-cp MAG, and NLC-tt MAG
(>30 mV) prevents the aggregation of the particles
was slower; thus, the number of nanoparticles was
place more quickly but the growth process of the nuclei
with magnetized water, the nucleation process took
the nanoparticles with deionized water. In formulations
magnetized water was significantly lower compared with
the other lipids (16). In the current study, the highest
moisture content of SLN and NLC at all time points (P<0.001). At 1 hr application, the
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Discussion

In this study, the diameter of the nanoparticles with
magnetized water was significantly lower compared with
the nanoparticles with deionized water. In formulations
with magnetized water, the nucleation process took
place more quickly but the growth process of the nuclei
was slower; thus, the number of nanoparticles was
increased, and each particle had a smaller size (28).

The important point of the stability of colloidal
dispersions is the zeta potential. The high zeta potential
(>30 mV) prevents the aggregation of the particles
due to the presence of electric repulsion (29). The zeta
potentials of SLN-cp MAG, NLC-cp MAG, and NLC-tt MAG
were approximately -30 mV, showing more physical
stability than other formulations. Various studies have
shown that the magnetic field can affect zeta potential,
since ion adsorption was changed (30, 31).

In the morphology evaluation, the nanoparticles
containing magnetized water were better than other
formulation. These characteristics are probably
because of the reduction of hydrogen bonding in water
molecules due to exposure to the magnetic field. When
the water was exposed to the magnetic field, there was
less hydrogen bonding with the functional groups of
the used lipids; therefore, the lipids were not captured
by water molecules, and the particles would be small,
dispersed suitably, and not cumulated.

Reduction of melting temperature with DSC analysis
in NLC pp, NLC PP MAG, SLN pp, and SLN pp MAG
formulations can be attributed to several reasons: for
example, the small particle sizes of the SLN and NLC
formulations, their high specific surface area, as well as
the presence of a surfactant. The DSC thermogram of NLC
prepared with magnetized water shows a sharper pick
than the NLC prepared with deionized water; because
when the water is passing between two magnetic
poles, it will get magnetized and break its molecular
clusters into smaller parts. This enables the molecules
to penetrate the reactant particles of NLC, as a result of
the presence of water between the reactants, which will
weaken their internal molecular bond between NLC.
Consequently, we expect the crystalline network of the
NLC to collapse with less heat. This can be due to the
change in the liquid lipid and solid lipid particles placed
in the NLC formulations, smaller particles, and more
regular structure produced by magnetized water.

In various studies it has been shown that occlusion
factor is affected by particle size, volume, concentration
of sample, lipid crystallinity, and type of colloidal
systems (18). In the present study, all formulations
prepared with magnetized water showed more
occlusion properties than the formulations prepared
with deionized water. The higher occlusion factor in
magnetized water in SLN and NLC formulations is
probably due to the smaller particle size, more
regular structure produced by magnetized water.

In a study, Souto et al. indicated that the amount of
hydration of SLN is dependent upon the particle size,
lipid concentration, and the crystallinity of lipid (18).
Another study showed that SLN- CP (Cetyl palmitate)
had more hydration than other formulations (SLN-GMS
(glycerol monostearate) and SLN-P (Precirol®)), as the
lipid crystallinity level of cetyl palmitate was more than
the other lipids (16). In the current study, the highest
moisture content at all time points (0.5, 1, 3, and 5 hr)
was related to formulations prepared with magnetized
water. When the lipid particles are applied onto the
skin, a film layer will be formed on it; the surface area
of this layer depends on the particle size. If the particle
size is too small, a smaller air channel will be formed, therefore, the hydrodynamic evaporation of water will decrease. Also, in the presence of magnetized water, electrical conductivity as well as the moisture content of the skin increases.

In recent years, magnetized water has made a lot of progress in different fields such as industry, medicine, and agriculture (32). In a study, Hafizi et al. demonstrated that the mean number of corpora lutea and the height of epithelial cells in the fallopian tube were increased in pregnant female mice in the pre-implantation stage compared to the control group. Thus, magnetized water has been effective in the fertilizing of mice (33). As mentioned before, magnetized water has good effects in different fields. In the current study, SLN and NLC formulations prepared with magnetized water showed good properties. Thus, they could be used as carriers for topical drug delivery.

Conclusion
The effects of magnetized water on SLN and NLC formulations were investigated in terms of particle size and moisturizing effects. All products prepared with magnetized water were more stable, smaller, and with more moisturizing effects compared with products prepared with deionized water. The results showed that in products prepared with magnetized water, the 5% SLN Precirol® had the most moisturizing effect by the in vivo method, and the 5% Compritol® SLN had the most moisturizing effect by the in vitro method. Among products prepared with deionized water, 5% Precirol® NLC and 5% Compritol® NLC had the highest moisturizing effects by in vitro and in vivo methods, respectively. Therefore, the use of magnetized water in formulations can improve the effectiveness and increase the stability of moisturizing products.
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