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Abstract
This paper presents the active and reactive powers control of a doubly fed induction generator (DFIG) connected to the grid utility and driven by a wind turbine, this machine allowing a large speed variation and so a large range of wind is achieved. Traditionally vector control is introduced to the DFIG control strategies, which decouples DFIG active and reactive powers, and reaches good performances in the wind energy conversion systems (WECS). However, this decoupling is lost if the parameters of the DFIG change. In this direction, a robust control scheme based on the nonlinear input-output linearizing and decoupling control strategy for the rotor side converter (RSC) of the WECS is presented. Simulation results show that the proposed control strategy provides a robust decoupled control and perfect tracking of the generated active and reactive powers of the wind turbine driven DFIG with a low THD rate of the generated currents.
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1. Introduction

Due to the economic impact of wind power, efficient control techniques are becoming a focus of the world’s renewable energy challenges, making it a promising field of study. With better controllers and the constant development of new technologies, wind power will maintain its rapidly growing market ratio.

In recent years, the concept of the variable speed wind turbine (VSWT) equipped with a doubly fed induction generator (DFIG) has received increasing attention due to its noticeable advantages over other wind turbine concepts [1]. In the DFIG concept, the stator is usually connected directly to the three-phase grid; the rotor is also connected to the grid but via a transformer and two back-to-back converters (Fig. 1).
This arrangement provides a flexibility of operation in sub and super-synchronous speeds in both generating and motoring modes (±30% around the synchronous speed). The power inverter needs to handle a fraction (20-30%) of the total power to achieve full control of the generator.

Recently, several nonlinear controls laws based on DFIG are presented in literatures such as sliding mode control (SMC) technique [2], [3]. However, the major problem of this strategy of control is the chattering phenomenon caused by the control switching, which may have undesirable effects on the machine, such as overheating of the windings, torque pulsation, current harmonics, acoustic noise, etc. [4].

This paper discusses the synthesis of a multivariable nonlinear controller by input-output linearizing technique for the control of a doubly fed induction generator dedicated to a wind turbine system. The objective is to the modeling and control of a wind conversion system based on DFIG, associated with a control of active and reactive power based on stator flux orientation. This technique is applied to the rotor side converter (RSC) in both sub and super-synchronous mode operation of DFIG. Finally, the simulation results will be analyzed in terms of robustness against parameters variations of the DFIG and the quality of energy supplied to the grid.

2. Mathematical model of DFIG

The equivalent circuit of the DFIG in the synchronous reference frame rotating at the angular synchronous speed \( \omega_s \) is shown in Fig. 2.

The model of the DFIG in the synchronous \( d-q \) reference frame is given by the following equations:

Stator voltage components:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{ds} &= R_s I_{ds} + \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{ds} - \omega_s \psi_{qs} \\
V_{qs} &= R_s I_{qs} + \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{qs} + \omega_s \psi_{ds}
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

Rotor voltage components:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{dr} &= R_r I_{dr} + \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{dr} - (\omega_s - \omega_r) \psi_{qr} \\
V_{qr} &= R_r I_{qr} + \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{qr} + (\omega_s - \omega_r) \psi_{dr}
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

Stator flux components:

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{ds} &= L_s I_{ds} + L_m I_{dr} \\
\psi_{qs} &= L_s I_{qs} + L_m I_{qr}
\end{align*}
\]  

(3)

Rotor flux components:

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{dr} &= L_r I_{dr} + L_m I_{ds} \\
\psi_{qr} &= L_r I_{qr} + L_m I_{qs}
\end{align*}
\]  

(4)

![DFIG equivalent circuit in the synchronous reference frame](image-url)
DFIG electromagnetic torque:
\[
T_{em} = \frac{3}{2} p \frac{L_m}{L_s} \left( \psi_{qr} I_{dr} - \psi_{qs} I_{qr} \right) \tag{5}
\]

Mechanical equation:
\[
T_i = T_{em} + J \frac{d\Omega_r}{dt} + f \Omega_r \tag{6}
\]

The active and reactive powers at the stator side of DFIG are given by the expressions:
\[
\begin{align*}
P_s &= \frac{3}{2} \left( V_{ds} I_{ds} + V_{qs} I_{qs} \right) \\
Q_s &= \frac{3}{2} \left( V_{qs} I_{ds} - V_{ds} I_{qs} \right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
\]

The rotor-side converter is controlled in a synchronously rotating \(d-q\) axis frame, with the \(d\)-axis oriented along the stator flux vector position (Fig. 3).

The influence of the stator resistance can be neglected and the stator flux can be held constant as the stator is connected to the grid. Consequently:
\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{ds} &= \psi_s \\
\psi_{qs} &= 0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
\]

Since the stator is directly connected to the grid and the stator flux can be considered constant, and if the voltage dropped in the stator resistance has been neglected \([5]\), the stator voltage equations, flux equations, currents equations and stator active and reactive powers equations can be respectively simplified in study state as:
\[
\begin{align*}
V_{ds} &= 0 \\
V_{qs} &= V_s = \omega_s \psi_s \\
\psi_s &= L_s I_{ds} + L_m I_{dr} \\
0 &= L_s I_{qs} + L_m I_{qr} \\
I_{ds} &= \frac{\psi_s}{L_s} - \frac{L_m}{L_s} I_{dr} \\
I_{qs} &= -\frac{L_m}{L_s} I_{qr} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 3. Stator field-oriented control technique
\[
\begin{align*}
P_s &= \frac{3}{2} V_s i_{qs}, \\
Q_s &= \frac{3}{2} V_s i_{ds},
\end{align*}
\] (12)

Replacing the stator currents by their expressions given in (11), the equations below are expressed by:
\[
\begin{align*}
P_s &= -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} V_s i_{q}, \\
Q_s &= \frac{3}{2} V_s \left( \frac{V_s}{L_s \omega_s} - \frac{L_m}{L_s} i_{d} \right)
\end{align*}
\] (13)

The electromagnetic torque is as follows:
\[
T_{em} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} \omega_s i_{q} \] (14)

3. General concept of the input-output linearizing control strategy

3.1. Lie derivative

Given the system [4]:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= f(x) + g(x)u \\
y &= h(x)
\end{align*}
\] (15)

Where “\(x\)” is the state vector; “\(u\)” is the input; “\(y\)” is the output; “\(f\)” and “\(g\)” are smooth vector fields; “\(h\)” is smooth scalar function.

The Lie derivative of the function \(h(x)\) along a vector field \(f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), ..., f_n(x))\) is given by [7]:
\[
L_f h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} f_i(x)
\] (16)

Where \(L_f h(x)\) is a derivative of Lie its means: the derivative of \(h(x)\) in the direction of \(f\).

The purpose of the linearization application is to find a nonlinear feedback control as the following form:
\[
v = \alpha(x) + \beta(x)u
\] (17)

This brings the nonlinear system in closed-loop back to a linear system. This makes it possible to obtain a linear behavior of the system over the entire operating range, unlike the vector control that linearizes the system around an operating point.

3.2. Relative degree

The relative degree “\(r\)” of the output “\(y\)”, is the number of times it is necessary to derive “\(y\)” to make the input “\(u\)” explicitly appear in the derivatives of “\(y\)”. According to this definition we can say that:

The system in (23) is said to have a relative degree “\(r\)” if:
\[
\forall x \in R : L_x L_f^{(k)} h(x) = 0 \ ; \ k < r - 1
\]

and \( : L_x L_f^{(r-1)} h(x) \neq 0 \) (18)

Indeed, if we apply this principle to the system in (15), we obtain:
\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{y} &= \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dh}{dx} \cdot \dot{x} \\
\cdot &
\end{align*} \]

\[ y = \frac{dh(x)}{dx} \left( f(x) + g(x)u \right) \]

\[ y = L_f h(x) + L_g h(x)u \]

If \( L_f h(x) = 0 \), we continue the derivation of the output “\( y \)” until the appearance of “\( u \)” for the first time, for a relative degree equal to “\( r \)”, we obtain:

\[ \begin{align*}
y &= h(x) \\
\dot{y} &= L_f h(x) \\
\vdots \\
y^{(r)} &= L^{(r)}_f h(x) + L^{(r-1)}_g h(x)u 
\end{align*} \]

The input-output relation of the system in (15) is therefore the following [8]:

\[ y^{(r)} = L^{(r)}_f h(x) + L^{(r-1)}_g h(x)u \] (21)

### 3.3. Multivariable input-output linearization

Considering now a system with “\( p \)” inputs and “\( p \)” outputs given by:

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= f(x) + g_1(x)u_1 + \cdots + g_p(x)u_p = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} g_i(x)u_i \\
y_1 &= h_1(x) \\
\vdots \\
y_p &= h_p(x) 
\end{align*} \] (22)

Where:

\[ x = [x_1, \ldots, x_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \] : is the state vector where \( n \) is the order of the system;
\[ U = [u_1, \ldots, u_p]^T \in \mathbb{R}^p \] : is the vector of control input signals;
\[ Y = [y_1, \ldots, y_p]^T \in \mathbb{R}^p \] : is the vector of the output signals;
\( f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ g_i(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p} \) and \( h_i(x) \in \mathbb{R}^p, \ i = 1, \ldots, p \) are differentiable functions.

If the system to be linearized admits the vector of relative degrees \( r = [r_1, \ldots, r_p] \in \mathbb{R}^p \), then the input-output differential equations of the system are given by:

\[ y_i^{(r)} = L^{(r)}_{i_1} h_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} L_{i_j} (L^{(r-1)}_{j} h_j)u_i \] (23)

With at least one of the \( L_{i_j} (L^{(r-1)}_{j} h_j) \neq 0 \).

We can write the input-output equations of the system in matrix form as follows:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  y_i^t \\
  \vdots \\
  y_p^r
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  L_i^r h_i \\
  \vdots \\
  L_f^r h_p
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
\begin{bmatrix}
  L_s^1 L_f^{t-1} h_1 & \cdots & L_s^p L_f^{t-1} h_1 \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  L_s^1 L_f^{r-1} h_p & \cdots & L_s^p L_f^{r-1} h_p
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  u_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  u_p
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(24)

Or:

\[
Y^{(r)} = A(x) + D(x)U
\]  
(25)

Where:

\[
A(x) = \begin{bmatrix}
  L_i^r h_i \\
  \vdots \\
  L_f^r h_p
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
D(x) = \begin{bmatrix}
  L_s^1 L_f^{t-1} h_1 & \cdots & L_s^p L_f^{t-1} h_1 \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  L_s^1 L_f^{r-1} h_p & \cdots & L_s^p L_f^{r-1} h_p
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\(A(X) \in R^p: \) is called loopback matrix.

\(D(X) \in R^{pp}: \) is called decoupling matrix, it must be non-singular (\(D^{-1} \) exists).

To linearize the system, we define the linearizing control law: \(U = [u_1, \ldots, u_p]^T\), such that [6, 8]:

\[
U = D^{-1}(-A + v)
\]  
(26)

Where:

\(v = [v_1, \ldots, v_p]^T: \) is the new control input vector of the system to be linearized.

Then the system in (24) becomes:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  y_i^t \\
  \vdots \\
  y_p^r
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  L_i^r h_i \\
  \vdots \\
  L_f^r h_p
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
D(x)
\begin{bmatrix}
  u_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  u_p
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(27)

If the linearization and uncoupling control law of the system is given by:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  u_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  u_p
\end{bmatrix}
= D^{-1}(x)\begin{bmatrix}
  -L_i^r h_i \\
  \vdots \\
  -L_f^r h_p
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
\begin{bmatrix}
  v_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  v_p
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(28)

Then the system becomes in closed loop as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  y_i^{(t)} \\
  \vdots \\
  y_p^{(r)}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  v_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  v_p
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(29)

4. Input-output linearizing control of the DFIG

The active and reactive powers of the DFIG are controlled by the rotor current that is controlled through the output voltage of the rotor side converter. Therefore, the applied voltage of the rotor is the direct control variable.
According to the (11) the direct and quadrature components of stator and rotor currents are linear dependent respectively, thus we choose the state vector of the DFIG as follows:

\[
x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} I_{dr} \\ I_{qr} \end{bmatrix}^T
\]  
(30)

The Input variables are the rotor voltages:

\[
u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} V_{dr} \\ V_{qr} \end{bmatrix}^T
\]  
(31)

The output variables are the active and reactive stator powers:

\[
x = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_1(x) \\ h_2(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_s \\ Q_s \end{bmatrix}
\]  
(32)

The expressions of active and reactive power are:

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 &= h_1(x) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} V_s I_{qr} \\
y_2 &= h_2(x) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} V_s I_{dr} + \left( \frac{3V_s^2}{2L_s \omega_s} \right)
\end{align*}
\]  
(33)

Hence the derivative of the outputs is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{y}_1 &= \dot{h}_1(x) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} V_s \dot{I}_{qr} \\
\dot{y}_2 &= \dot{h}_2(x) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} V_s \dot{I}_{dr}
\end{align*}
\]  
(34)

Where:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{i}_{dr} &= \frac{dI_{dr}}{dt} \\
\dot{i}_{qr} &= \frac{dI_{qr}}{dt}
\end{align*}
\]  
(35)

By substituting (11) to (4), we find:

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{dr} &= \sigma L_i I_{dr} + \frac{L_m}{L_s} \psi_s \\
\psi_{qr} &= \sigma L_i I_{qr}
\end{align*}
\]  
(36)

Where: \( \sigma = 1 - \left( \frac{L_m^2}{L_s L_r} \right) \) is the dispersion coefficient of Blondel.

By replacing (36) in (2), the following equations hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{dr} &= R_s I_{dr} + \sigma L_r \frac{d}{dt} I_{dr} - g \omega_s \sigma L_s I_{qr} \\
V_{qr} &= R_s I_{qr} + \sigma L_r \frac{d}{dt} I_{qr} + g \omega_s \sigma L_s I_{dr} + \frac{g L_m V_s}{L_s}
\end{align*}
\]  
(37)

Arranging (37) in the form of (11) as following:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dl_{dr}}{dt} &= -\frac{R_r}{\sigma L_r} l_{dr} + g \omega_s i_{qr} + \frac{V_{dr}}{\sigma L_r} \\
\frac{dl_{qr}}{dt} &= -g \omega_s l_{dr} - \frac{R_r}{\sigma L_r} l_{qr} - \frac{g L_m v_s}{\sigma L_s L_r} + \frac{V_{qr}}{\sigma L_r}
\end{align*}
\]  
(38)

From (38), we have:
\[
\begin{align*}
f_1(x) &= -\frac{R_r}{\sigma L_r} l_{dr} + g \omega_s i_{qr} \\
f_2(x) &= -g \omega_s l_{dr} - \frac{R_r}{\sigma L_r} l_{qr} - \frac{g L_m v_s}{\sigma L_s L_r}
\end{align*}
\]  
(39)

And:
\[
g = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & g_2(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma L_r}
\]  
(40)

We replace (39) in (34), and then we find:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{y}_1 &= h_1(x) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} V_s \left( f_2 + \frac{V_{qr}}{\sigma L_r} \right) \\
\dot{y}_2 &= h_2(x) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} V_s \left( f_1 + \frac{V_{dr}}{\sigma L_r} \right)
\end{align*}
\]  
(41)

We can rewrite (41) in the form:
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{y}_1 \\ \dot{y}_2 \end{bmatrix} = A(x) + D(x) \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ u_1 \end{bmatrix}
\]  
(42)

Where:
\[
A(x) = \frac{-3}{2} \begin{bmatrix} V_s \frac{L_m}{L_s} f_2(x) \\ V_s \frac{L_m}{L_s} f_1(x) \end{bmatrix}
\]  
(43)

\[
D(x) = \frac{-3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s L_r} V_s \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{L_m}{\sigma L_s L_r} V_s \\ \frac{L_m}{\sigma L_s L_r} V_s & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]  
(44)

Since the decoupling matrix \( D(x) \) is nonsingular, the control law is given as:
\[
\begin{bmatrix} V_{dr} \\ V_{qr} \end{bmatrix} = D^{-1}(x) \left[ -A(x) + \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix} \right]
\]  
(45)

The Proportional-Integral (PI) controller achieves the tracking of the stator powers. Hence, the new input “\( v \)” is given by [6, 9]:

---
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Figure 4. Block diagram of the input-output linearizing control of the DFIG

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  v_1 \\
  v_2
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  y_1 - k_1 e_1 - k_2 \int e_1 dt \\
  y_2 - k_1 e_2 - k_2 \int e_2 dt
\end{bmatrix}
\] (46)

Where \( e_1 \) is the error between the desired and the measured active power, and \( e_2 \) is that relates to the reactive power:

\[
\begin{align*}
  e_1 &= y_1^* - y_1 = P_s^* - P_s \\
  e_2 &= y_2^* - y_2 = Q_s^* - Q_s
\end{align*}
\] (47)

The block diagram of the input output linearizing control of the DFIG is represented by the Fig. 4.

5. Simulation results and discussions

In this section, the input-output linearizing control of 1.5MW DFIG (see appendix) is tested by simulation under Matlab/ Simulink software. Two types of tests were applied to the wind energy conversion system to observe the behavior of its regulation:

1. References tracking test at variable wind speed.
2. Robustness test against the parameters variations of the DFIG at fixed wind speed.

6.1. References tracking test

In this test, the wind turbine is driven by a variable wind speed with an average value of 8.2 m/sec (Fig. 5.a). The reference active power is generated by the MPPT strategy and the reactive power reference is kept at zero in order to guarantee a unit power factor on the stator side of the DFIG (Fig. 5).
Figure 5. Simulation results of the input-output linearization control of DFIG

Fig. 5.b shows a very fast response of the active power, where it follows perfectly its reference generated by the MPPT strategy with a negligible error. The reactive power is maintained at its reference equal to zero (Fig.
5.c), in order to achieve a unit power factor (FP = 1) on the stator side of the DFIG (Fig. 5.c). The spectral analysis of the stator current shows that the input-output linearizing control of DFIG guarantees a better quality of the stator current waveform injected to the grid, where the total harmonic distortion (THD) rate is only 1.93%.

### 6.2. Robustness Test

In order to test the robustness of the proposed control strategy of the DFIG, we also studied the influence of parameters variations of the generator on the performances of this last one.

To realize this test we increase the rotor resistance $R_r$ by 100% of its nominal value (case of warming-up of rotor windings) and decrease the mutual inductance $L_m$ by 50% of its nominal value (case of inductances saturation).

Fig. 6 shows the active and reactive powers responses of DFIG for the input-output linearization control, whose the wind turbine is driven at fixed wind speed of 12 m/sec.

Simulation results in Fig. 6 show the robustness of the proposed control strategy against parameters variations of the DFIG, contrary to the vector control strategy (FOC) based PI (Proportional-Integral) controllers [10].

![Simulation results of the robustness test against parameters variations of DFIG for the input-output feedback linearization control](image_url)
7. Conclusion

In this paper it has been presented a robust nonlinear control strategy based on input-output linearization control, allowing an independent control of the active and reactive stator powers of the DFIG, driven by a variable speed wind turbine. The performances obtained by this control strategy are very satisfactory even in the presence of parameters variations as shown by the trajectory tracking and the fast convergence of the measured variables towards their desired references. Moreover, this control strategy has a very low harmonic distortion rate compared to other nonlinear control strategies such as sliding mode control technique. Finally, the proposed control strategy presented in this paper is a very simple robust control algorithm and has the advantage of being easily for a real time implementation.

Appendix

Table 1. Wind turbine parameters

| Parameter                | Rated Value | Unit  |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Rated power, $P_n$      | 1.5         | MW    |
| Blade radius, $R$       | 35.25       | m     |
| Number of blades        | 3           |       |
| Gearbox ratio, $G$      | 90          |       |
| Total moment of inertia, $J$ | 1000     | kg.m$^2$ |
| Viscous friction coefficient, $f_r$ | 0.0024 | N.m.s$^{-1}$ |
| Nominal wind speed, $v$ | 12          | m/s   |

Table 2. Doubly fed induction generator parameters

| Parameter                | Rated Value | Unit  |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Stator rated voltage, $V_s$ | 398/690   | V     |
| Rotor rated voltage, $V_r$ | 225/389   | V     |
| Rated current, $I_n$     | 1900        | A     |
| Stator rated frequency, $f$ | 50        | Hz    |
| Stator inductance, $L_s$ | 0.0137      | H     |
| Rotor inductance, $L_r$  | 0.0136      | H     |
| Mutual inductance, $L_m$ | 0.0135      | H     |
| Stator resistance, $R_s$ | 0.012       | Ω     |
| Rotor resistance, $R_r$  | 0.021       | Ω     |
| Number of pair of poles, $p$ | 2         |       |
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