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Abstract
The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted the fisheries sector, a decline in exports and fishermen’s income caused by the disconnection of the marketing chain due to lockdown implementation in several export destination countries. Fish is a source of protein and as perishable foods, it experiences quality damage due to spoilage, commonly caused by hampered distribution of catches. Natural preservatives are needed to preserve catch so it won’t get spoiled and can be accepted by consumers in suitable conditions for consumption. This study aims to evaluate the particle size of nano-chitosan and determine the effectiveness of nano-chitosan spray with different concentrations as a natural preservative in caught fish. This research method begins with making chitosan through 3 stages: demineralization, deproteination, and deacetylation. Chitosan was made into nano-chitosan with various concentrations of 3:1, 4:1, and 5:1 using ionic gelation. Nano-chitosan underwent several tests, including PSA, antibacterial activity by disc diffusion, organoleptic, and the effectiveness of preservatives. Antibacterial activity of nano-chitosan was able to inhibit Bacillus subtilis at three different concentrations, the potential to inhibit Escherichia coli was optimal at 5:1 treatment. The effectiveness of nano-chitosan preservative bacteria inhibition at three different concentrations proved to be sufficient to be used to extend shelf life and ensure the safety and quality of fishery products. The best concentration of nano-chitosan was 3:1 treatment. Nano-chitosan spray from crab shell waste has good antibacterial activity and preservative effectiveness. It could serve as an antibacterial agent and natural preservative for fishery products during the COVID-19 pandemic.
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1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic is a problem that has a significant impact on the fisheries sector, such as a decline in exports and fishermen's income due to the disconnection of the marketing chain of fishery products. The COVID-19 pandemic has caused a decline in fish prices due to several export destination countries (FAO, 2020). The implementation of the lockdown has also caused the spoilage of fish caught by fishermen due to distribution delays. Fish as a food ingredient and a source of protein will spoil and deteriorate in quality. Therefore, it is necessary to preserve fisher’s catches so that they are not wasted and can be accepted by consumers in a condition suitable for consumption. In addition, the lockdown has also made it difficult for fishermen to get synthetic preservatives, so a solution to this problem is needed. Therefore, an alternative natural preservative is needed for catching fish that can be produced by themselves without side effects.

Most fisherman have long used synthetic preservatives such as formaldehyde to preserve unsold catches. Usage of formaldehyde to preserve fresh fish is justified due to the technical aspects of being easy to obtain, cheap, and practical (Utama et al., 2021). Formaldehyde is a preservative with hazardous side effects, as stated in the Regulation of the Minister of Health no. 33 of 2012 concerning Food Additives. Formaldehyde is a carcinogenic and mutagenic chemical that can cause cell and tissue damage (Desvita et al., 2020). Besides formaldehyde, people also use borax a lot. Borax is a crystalline compound, white, odorless, and soluble in water (Xie et al., 2017). It is usually used as a preservative, antiseptic, and cockroach repellent, often misused as a food additive to increase the taste and durability of food products (See et al., 2010). Therefore, we need a solution in the form of natural preservatives that can replace synthetic preservatives. Therefore, we need a solution in natural preservatives that can minimize synthetic preservatives such as formaldehyde and borax.

Natural preservatives are preservatives that come from nature and have a lower risk of developing health problems. Natural products have an antioxidant and antibacterial activity that can preserve fisherman catches before the distribution process (Lourenco et al., 2019). Examples of natural preservatives are salt, sugar, and chitosan. Salt can inhibit the growth of microorganisms and reduce water content so that food is more durable (Dwivedi et al., 2017). Sugar can bind water so that it can prevent food spoilage. Chitosan has a role as an inhibitor of the growth of microorganisms (Goy et al., 2009). Chitosan comes from crustacean shells, one of which is crab (Li et al., 2021).

Based on data from Capture Fisheries Statistics 2005-2014, it is stated that the contribution of crabs in a fishery management area of the Republic of Indonesia (WPPNRI) 712 covers the waters of the Java Sea by 46.6%. Meanwhile, based on data from KKP statistics of 2019 regarding fishery production, crabs in Central Java province in 2019 reached 8,618.63 tons, therefore the potential for crabs in Central Java waters is very abundant. This shows that the waters of Central Java are one of the largest crab-producing areas in Indonesia. One of the areas included in WPPNRI 712 is Betahwalang, Central Java. Crab (Portunus pelagicus) has a high commercial value, resulting in a significant enough demand for crab catching in the waters of Demak. P. pelagicus is one of Indonesia’s leading fishery commodities with crucial economic value for export (Krisnafi et al., 2019). The crab industry produces a lot of solid waste in the form of shell waste. The processing of crabs is directly proportional to the waste produced, so handling efforts are needed to reduce the negative impact on the environment (Bhattacharjee et al., 2019). One small crab produces waste consisting of 57% shell, 3% body reject, and 20% boiled water. One small crab with a weight ranging from 100-350 g has a shell of about 51-177 g. The utilization of crab shell waste can overcome the problem of environmental pollution and waste that continues to increase (Nguyen et al., 2020).

Advanced nanotechnology has accelerated the addressing of food safety concerns in microbial contaminants and improved toxic detection, shelf life, and packaging strategies (Bajpai et al., 2018). Food nanotechnology has the effect of enhancing the bioavailability and nutritional value of food. Nanotechnology can improve food safety, extend shelf life, improve flavor, and detect pathogens/toxins/pesticides, as well as creating/making functional food (Seabra et al., 2013). Nano-chitosan is one of the products of the nanotechnology process in the form of chitosan nanoparticles. However, all previous studies focused on the manufacture of chitosan and its application as an antibacterial. To our knowledge, very few studies have been conducted on the manufacture of nano-chitosan as a natural preservative for fishery products. Nano-chitosan has a better absorption capacity as an antibacterial and antifungal than chitosan, so it is very suitable for use as a natural preservative (Abdeltwab et al., 2019).

The objectives of the study are to evaluate the particle size of nano-chitosan and determine the effectiveness of nano-chitosan spray with different concentrations as a natural preservative in caught fish. Research results expected are proper antimicrobial, preservative, and food security additive to extend the
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shelf life and ensure fishery product’s food safety and security. This research will provide convenience in its application so that fisherman can apply it to their catches so significant and continuous losses do not occur.

2. Materials and Methods

This research was conducted from June to August 2021 in Integrated Laboratory Diponegoro University Semarang, Central Java, and the Particle Size Analyzer (PSA) test was carried out at Labqid Jakarta.

2.1 Sample Preparation

The material used in this study was crab shells obtained from fisherman from Betahwalang, Demak. The crab shells were cleaned of remaining meat and dirt using water, and then the shells were dried in the sun for approximately two days. The crab shells were mashed using a blender and sieved using a sieve shaker to obtain a powder size of 60 mesh or 250 microns (Supriyantini et al., 2018).

2.2 Chitosan Production from Crab Shell Waste

The manufacture of chitosan from crab shells has stages, including demineralization, deproteination, and deacetylation (Supriyantini et al., 2018). Demineralization was carried out by adding 1 N HCl solution to the crab shell powder with a ratio of 1:7 (w/v) then stirred using a magnetic stirrer at 200 rpm for ±30 minutes until foamy. The mixture was baked at a temperature of 80-90°C for 1 hour, then cooled and filtered to obtain a solid, washed with distilled water to a neutral pH. The solid was baked at a temperature of 100°C to a constant weight, allowed to cool down to room temperature, and the yield was weighed. Deproteination was done by adding 3.5% NaOH solution to the demineralized product with a ratio of 1:10 (w/v), stirred using a magnetic stirrer while heated for 1 hour at 70-80°C, and then the mixture was cooled. The precipitate was filtered and washed with distilled water until the pH was neutral and then baked in an oven at 100°C to constant weight. Let cool down to room temperature and weigh the final weight. The deproteinated product then enters the deacetylation stage, dissolved in 50% NaOH at a ratio of 1:15 (w/v), stirred using a magnetic stirrer at 200 rpm for 2 hours and then cooled and filtered. The residue was washed with distilled water until the pH was neutral and then baked in an oven at 100°C to constant weight. Let cool to room temperature and weigh the yield. The result is chitosan.

2.3 Chitosan Characterization

Chitosan characterization was carried out by dissolving 0.1 gram of chitosan in 30 ml of 0.1 M HCl, adding 5-6 drops of methyl orange, and titrated using 0.1 M NaOH solution. The titration process was carried out until the color was orange (acid-base method). According to Triastiningrum and Purnomo (2016), the degree of deacetylation (DDA) is calculated by the following equation.

\[
DDA (%) = \left( \frac{C1V1 - C2V2}{M \times 0.0994} \right) \times 0.016
\]

Note: C1 is the concentration of the standard HCl solution, V1 is the volume of the standard HCl solution, C2 is the concentration of the standard NaOH solution, V2 is the volume of the standard NaOH solution, and M is the weight of chitosan.

2.4 Preparation of Nano-chitosan by Ionic Gelation

The manufacture of nano-chitosan was carried out using ionic gelation, by making 0.2% chitosan solution in acetic acid solution and then adding 1% NaTPP solution with a ratio of 5:1, 4:1, and 3:1 with stirring speed of 300, 600, and 900 rpm for 1 hour. Nano-chitosan was obtained in the form of dispersed solids (Arsyi et al., 2018).

2.5 PSA (Particle Size Analyzer) Test

The particle size characterization of nano-chitosan was carried out by adding 40% (w/v) NaOH in a nano-chitosan ratio of 1:15 (w/v) and then heated in a Particle Size Analyzer (PSA) with laser diffraction to determine the particle size distribution (Arsyi et al., 2018).

2.6 Manufacturing and Application of Nano-chitosan Spray

Nano-chitosan spray was obtained by mixing nano-chitosan powder and acetic acid solvent with a ratio of 3:1 (w/v) in a 100 ml spray container and homogenized. The application of nano-chitosan spray is made by spraying it evenly on the surface of the fish. This is the same as what was done from research by Hamdayanti et al. (2012), where the process of applying natural preservatives in the form of a spray is done by spraying a chitosan solution over the entire surface of the sample using a sprayer. Controls were sprayed with sterile water.
2.7 Antibacterial Activity Test with Disc Diffusion Method

The disc diffusion method was carried out by wetting the disc paper with a solution of nano-chitosan and then placing it on Mueller Hinton Agar which is covered with E. coli and B. subtilis. B. subtilis is a Gram-positive spore-forming bacterium (Lestari et al., 2019) and E. coli is a short-rod Gram-negative bacterium (Bambang et al., 2014), where these types of bacteria are often found in waters and caught fish. Then it was incubated for 24 hours at 37°C and dripped with 2% Amoxilin. Based on research of Prasetiowati et al. (2018), a positive disc diffusion is indicated by the presence of a clear zone around disc paper.

2.8 Organoleptic Test

The organoleptic test were carried out on 12 types of fish species that had been sprayed with nano-chitosan in a ratio of 3:1, 4:1, and 5:1 as well as control treatment for each fish species. This test employed 25 panelists. Based on research of Syafitri et al. (2016), organoleptic assessment was carried out on eyes, gills, mucus, flesh, texture, and smell by providing a detailed assessment. The data obtained from the results of the organoleptic assessment were analyzed descriptively to determine the level of freshness of the fish. The organoleptic test guidelines are carried out in accordance with the Indonesian National Standard for Fresh Fish (SNI 2729:2013) and fish that are fit for consumption have an organoleptic value of more than 7.

2.9 Preservative Effectiveness Test

A preservative effectiveness test was carried out by measuring the resistance time of fish against bacteria. The measurement started right after spraying the nano-chitosan spray on the fish until its decayed. The long resistance of fish to bacteria shows that nano-chitosan spray acts as a natural preservative. This is the same as what was done from research Cahyaningsih et al. (2021), where the testing of preservative activity was carried out by observing the physical changes of the sample until its optimal storage period (days) to determine the level of resistance of the sample.

3. Results and Discussion

COVID-19 has implications for restrictions on movement across countries, which causes food distribution to be hampered. Therefore, the local food supply and the traditional food sector must be revived because they have a role in food availability during the pandemic. One alternative to overcome the lack of food supply can be done by adding natural preservatives (Thulasiraman et al., 2021). The antibacterial activity of chitosan has been highlighted to extend the product’s shelf life. Nano-chitosan is a natural material with excellent quality and physicochemical properties. Nano-chitosan has been investigated by several approaches, including physical cross-linking by ionic gelation between chitosan and specific negatively charged macromolecules such as sodium tripolyphosphate. In addition, the coating of chitosan nanoparticles can be used as natural or chemical antimicrobial agents, antioxidants, enzymes, or active substances (Abdeltwab et al., 2019). During the product storage period, nano-chitosan showed higher antimicrobial activity than chitosan because of the larger surface area of nano-chitosan and higher affinity for bacterial cells (Ramezani et al., 2015).

3.1 Chitosan from Crab Shell Waste

The manufacture of chitosan in this study was carried out through 3 stages: demineralization, deproteination, and deacetylation of 400 grams of crab shell waste. Demineralization aims to remove minerals contained in the crab shells. Deproteination aims to remove the protein in the crab shell using NaOH solution. The deproteinized product will enter the deacetylation stage using a 50% NaOH solution for 2 hours at a temperature of 80-90°C. The use of alkaline solutions with high concentrations and high temperatures during the deacetylation process can break the bonds between the acetyl group and the nitrogen atom to turn into an amine group.

Table 1. Results of the Stages Making Process Chitosan

| Stage               | Product Mass (g) | Yield (%) |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Demineralization    | 254              | 63.5      |
| Deproteination      | 184              | 46        |
| Deacetylation       | 154              | 38.5      |

Chitosan is obtained from the final product of deacetylation of crab shells, then made into nano-chitosan. The chitosan yield was obtained by dividing the resulting chitosan with the initial weight of the sample multiplied by 100%. Chitosan yield decreased from initial yield of 100% to the final yield of 38.5%, this
occurred along the increasing concentration of NaOH solution and the temperature used. The yield of crab shell chitosan was higher than the research conducted by Supriyantini et al. (2018). Based on the research of Supriyantini et al. (2018), the yield of chitosan from crab shells obtained was 36.84%. The total chitosan produced from 400 grams of crab shells is 154 g (38.5%) (Table 1).

3.2 Chitosan Characterization

Crab shell chitosan has a deacetylation degree of 96.58% (NaOH volume = 24 ml).

\[
DDA(\%) = \frac{(C1V1 - C2V2)}{M \times 0.0994} \times 0.016
\]

\[
= \frac{(0.1 \times 30 - 0.1 \times 24)}{0.1 \times 0.0994} \times 0.016
\]

\[
DDA(\%) = 96.58\%
\]

The deacetylation process of crab shell chitosan resulted in a deacetylation degree of 96.58%, which had exceeded the 70% deacetylation degree to meet the standard of chitosan. Based on the research of Vilar et al. (2016), good chitosan according to quality standards must have a degree of deacetylation of more than 50%.

3.3 Nano-chitosan with Ionic Gelation

Nano-chitosan is the application of nanoparticle technology to chitosan. Chitosan from the deacetylation stage of 154 grams will then be made into nano-chitosan using the ionic gelation method. Ionic gelation is a method that is widely used because the process is effective, simple, and can be controlled easily. The ionic gelation mechanism is that chitosan is dissolved in acetic acid then polyanions are added so that nanoparticles will spontaneously form with consistent stirring (Qonitannisa et al., 2020). The polyanion compound used is Natrium Tripolyphosphate (NaTPP) because this compound is not toxic and can interact with chitosan through electrostatic forces to form ionic crosslinks. Nano-chitosan was made with three concentration variations, such as 5:1, 4:1, and 3:1 (Figure 1). The 3:1 concentration of nano-chitosan solution consisted of 300 ml of 0.2% chitosan solution with 100 ml of 1% NaTPP. The 4:1 concentration of nano-chitosan solution consisted of 400 ml of 0.2% chitosan solution with 100 ml of 1% NaTPP. The 5:1 concentration of nano-chitosan solution consisted of 500 ml of 0.2% chitosan solution with 100 ml of 1% NaTPP.

3.4 Particle Size Analyzer (PSA) Test

The determination of nano-chitosan particle size was carried out using the PSA (Particle Size Analyzer) test because the measurement results were in the form of distribution to determine the overall nano-chitosan particle size (Table 2).

Based on PSA analysis, the results were 943.7 nm at 3:1 spray nano-chitosan concentration, 626.4 nm at 4:1 nano-chitosan spray concentration, and 131.6 nm at 5:1 nano-chitosan spray concentration. Concentrations of nano-chitosan at concentrations of 3:1, 4:1, and 5:1 have nanoparticle sizes, where nanoparticles have more than 100 nm. Particle size nano-chitosan from the three concentrations in this study ranged from 130 - 940 nm, so it can be said that the synthesis of chitosan nanoparticles in this study has been successful and will be optimally used in antibacterial tests and other tests. This is under research conducted by Abdeltwab et al. (2019), where the antimicrobial activity of chitosan nanoparticles increases when their size gets smaller. The smaller the nanoparticle size, the larger the surface area and the increased contact with microbes (Guzman et al., 2012).

Table 2. Analysis of Particle Size Analyzer Nano-chitosan Solution

| Sample     | Ratio (w/v) | Particle Size (nm) |
|------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Nano-chitosan | 3:01        | 943.7              |
| Nano-chitosan | 4:01        | 626.4              |
| Nano-chitosan | 5:01        | 131.6              |

3.5 Antibacterial Activity Test with Disc Diffusion Method

Disc diffusion method in the antibacterial activity test aims to determine the potential of nano-chitosan in inhibiting the growth of E. coli and B. subtilis bacteria. A positive test for antibacterial activity in an extract or compound using disc diffusion marked by a clear or inhibitory zone that appears around the paper disc (Prasetiowati et al., 2018).
Figure 1. Nano-chitosan powder with concentrations of: (A) 3:1, (B) 4:1 and (C) 5:1
Table 3. Antibacterial Activity Test Disc Diffusion Method

| Sample            | Parameter | Ratio (w:v) | Clear Zone (mm) | Types of Pathogenic Bacteria |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Nano-chitosan     |           | 3:01        | 1               | *Bacillus subtilis*          |
| Nano-chitosan     |           | 4:01        | 1               | *Bacillus subtilis*          |
| Nano-chitosan     |           | 5:01        | 1               | *Bacillus subtilis*          |
| Nano-chitosan     |           | 3:01        | 0               | *Escherichia coli*           |
| Nano-chitosan     |           | 4:01        | 0               | *Escherichia coli*           |
| Nano-chitosan     |           | 5:01        | 0.5             | *Escherichia coli*           |

Description: w: chitosan, v: NaTTP

Quantitative analysis of the antibacterial properties of crab nano-chitosan has the potential with the presence of an inhibition zone around the test point; as shown in (Table 3), the results of the nano-chitosan activity test were able to inhibit the growth of *B. subtilis* at three different concentrations, while the potential to inhibit the growth of *E. coli* was optimal at a concentration of 5:1.

The mechanism of nano-chitosan has potential as an antibacterial as it has a very strong positive charge that can attract negatively charged amino acid molecules that form proteins in microbes. These positive and negative charges interact electrostatically, which causes the membrane to experience a permeable pressure which causes an imbalance in the osmotic pressure inside the cell, which hinders the growth of microbes. Intracellular hydrolysis events also occur in the cell wall which causes the release of cell electrolytes, thus causing the death of a microbial cell (Sarwono, 2010).

This study tested nano-chitosan against *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* bacteria because these bacteria are amongst the most prevalent in fishery products in the process of fish quality deterioration. *B. subtilis* bacteria play a role in the decay of meat and protein ingredients, like fish (Sari et al., 2019). Meanwhile, *E. coli* spreads easily through contaminated water thus contaminating fresh fish (Sumampouw, 2018). If the growth of these bacteria is not stopped, it will cause foodborne diseases that will harm consumers and fisherman.

3.6 Organoleptic Test

The organoleptic test utilizes human senses as the main tool in measuring the quality of a product. Organoleptic test parameters on tilapia, mullet, milkfish, mackerel, kipper, and catfish included eyes, gills, mucus, meat, odor, and texture, while organoleptic test parameters on squid, cuttlefish, and shrimp included appearance, smell, and texture. The test results are filled in on the organoleptic assessment sheet of fresh fish based on the Indonesian National Fresh Fish Standard (SNI 2729:2013). The sample in the organoleptic test is suitable for consumption if it has an organoleptic score of at least 7. The organoleptic analysis data using nano-chitosan spray is presented in the following table.

Table 4. Organoleptic Analysis of Fishery Products First Day after Spraying

| Species                      | Nano-chitosan Concentration |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                              | 3:01 | 4:01 | 5:01 | Control |
| *Oreochromis mossambicus*    | 7,67 | 7,45 | 7,19 | 6,54    |
| *Oreochromis niloticus*      | 7,67 | 7,45 | 7,19 | 6,54    |
| *Mugil cephalus*             | 7,65 | 7,5  | 7,26 | 6,57    |
| *Chanos chanos*              | 7,65 | 7,5  | 7,26 | 6,57    |
| *Litopenaeus vannamei*       | 7,68 | 7,53 | 7,36 | 6,53    |
| *Penaeus merguensis*         | 7,68 | 7,53 | 7,36 | 6,53    |
| *Loligo spp*                 | 7,54 | 7,42 | 7,29 | 6,61    |
| *Sepia spp*                  | 7,54 | 7,42 | 7,29 | 6,61    |
| *Rastrelliger kanagurta*     | 7,65 | 7,5  | 7,26 | 6,57    |
| *Scomberomorus commerson*    | 7,56 | 7,45 | 7,18 | 6,5     |
| *Scatophagus argus*          | 7,59 | 7,43 | 7,24 | 6,52    |
| *Clarias gariepinus*         | 7,47 | 7,44 | 7,16 | 6,45    |

The organoleptic table on the first day showed that the fish with the nano-chitosan spray had an organoleptic value of more than 7, so it was suitable for consumption. In contrast, the fish with the control treatment had an organoleptic value below 7, so it was unsuitable for consumption.
Table 5. Organoleptic Analysis of Fishery Products Second Day after Spraying

| Species                  | Nano-chitosan Concentration (w/v) | 3:01 | 4:01 | 5:01 | Control |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|---------|
| Oreochromis mossambicus  |                                   | 7.64 | 7.42 | 7.16 | 6.28    |
| Oreochromis niloticus    |                                   | 7.64 | 7.42 | 7.16 | 6.28    |
| Mugil cephalus           |                                   | 7.64 | 6.6  | 6.5  | 5.39    |
| Chanos Chanos            |                                   | 7.64 | 6.6  | 6.5  | 5.39    |
| Litopenaeus vannamei     |                                   | 7.65 | 7.5  | 6.5  | 5.46    |
| Penaeus merguensis       |                                   | 7.65 | 7.5  | 6.5  | 5.46    |
| Loligo spp.              |                                   | 7.52 | 7.37 | 6.46 | 5.33    |
| Sepia spp.               |                                   | 7.52 | 7.37 | 6.46 | 5.33    |
| Rastrelliger kanagurta   |                                   | 7.64 | 7.48 | 6.5  | 5.39    |
| Scomberomorus Commerson  |                                   | 7.54 | 6.23 | 6.45 | 5.33    |
| Scatophagus argus        |                                   | 7.54 | 7.4  | 6.44 | 5.36    |
| Clarias gariepinus       |                                   | 7.21 | 6.44 | 6.67 | 5.28    |

The organoleptic table on the second day showed that *O. niloticus* with 3:1 and 4:1 nano-chitosan spray as well as *O. mossambicus* with 5:1 nano-chitosan spray had organoleptic values of more than 7, so they were suitable for consumption. In contrast, the fish with control and spray treatments of nano-chitosan ratio of 5:1 has an organoleptic value below 7, so it is unsuitable for consumption.

Based on the organoleptic table on the third day, it showed that spraying nano-chitosan with a concentration of 3:1 was able to maintain freshness in certain species, including *O. niloticus* and *O. mossambicus*. Control treatment on organoleptic test showed that all fish were unfit for consumption.

Table 6. Organoleptic Analysis of Fishery Products on the Third Day after Spraying

| Species                  | Nano-chitosan Concentration (w/v) | 3:01 | 4:01 | 5:01 | Control |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|---------|
| Oreochromis mossambicus  |                                   | 7.55 | 7.39 | 7.63 | 5.63    |
| Oreochromis niloticus    |                                   | 7.55 | 7.39 | 7.63 | 5.63    |
| Mugil cephalus           |                                   | 6.55 | 6.42 | 6.41 | 3.76    |
| Chanos Chanos            |                                   | 6.55 | 6.42 | 6.41 | 3.76    |
| Litopenaeus vannamei     |                                   | 7.62 | 6.61 | 6.73 | 4.36    |
| Penaeus merguensis       |                                   | 7.62 | 6.61 | 6.73 | 4.36    |
| Loligo spp.              |                                   | 7.49 | 6.5  | 6.48 | 4.73    |
| Sepia spp.               |                                   | 7.49 | 6.5  | 6.48 | 4.73    |
| Rastrelliger kanagurta   |                                   | 7.6  | 6.6  | 6.46 | 4.75    |
| Scomberomorus Commerson  |                                   | 6.48 | 6.38 | 6.36 | 3.71    |
| Scatophagus argus        |                                   | 7.57 | 6.52 | 6.31 | 4.7     |
| Clarias gariepinus       |                                   | 6.42 | 6.21 | 6.22 | 3.38    |

The organoleptic table on the fourth day showed that spraying nano-chitosan with a concentration of 3:1 was able to maintain the freshness of *L. vannamei* and *P. merguensis*. All fish were unfit for consumption in the control treatment and spraying of nano-chitosan with concentrations of 4:1 and 5:1 in the organoleptic test.

Based on the results of the organoleptic test, it is known that the three concentrations of 3:1, 4:1, and 5:1 can maintain fish quality for four days. On *L. vannamei* and *P. merguensis* able to last for 5 days. So it can be concluded that the concentration of 3:1 is the best in preserving the catch, particularly *L. vannamei* and *P. merguensis*.
Table 7. Organoleptic Analysis of Fishery Products on the Fourth Day after Spraying

| Species                | Nano-chitosan Concentration (w/v) | 3:01 | 4:01 | 5:01 | Control |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|------|------|------|---------|
| Oreochromis mossambicus|                                  | 6.68 | 6.52 | 6.28 | 4.25    |
| Oreochromis niloticus  |                                  | 6.68 | 6.52 | 6.28 | 4.25    |
| Mugil cephalus         |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Chanos Chanos          |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Litopenaeus vannamei   |                                  | 7.54 | 6.39 | 6.37 | 3.59    |
| Penaeus merguensis     |                                  | 7.54 | 6.39 | 6.37 | 3.59    |
| Loligo spp.            |                                  | 6.57 | 6.42 | 6.45 | 3.41    |
| Sepia spp.             |                                  | 6.57 | 6.42 | 6.45 | 3.41    |
| Rastrelliger kanagurta |                                  | 6.55 | 6.42 | 6.41 | 3.76    |
| Scomberomorus Commerson|                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Scatophagus argus      |                                  | 6.5  | 6.39 | 6.39 | 3.46    |
| Clarias gariepinus     |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |

Biochemical spoilage of fish occurs with a marked change in pH due to the autolysis process, so that it will accelerate the decline in fish quality. The decline in the quality of fishery products can affect the flavor and aroma of the fish, which will result in the selling value because the fish is not fit for consumption. According to Nurhayati et al. (2019), the decline in fish quality is influenced by the type, size of fish, bacteria or enzymes, oxidation, the fishing process, the environment, and the way the catch is handled so that it affects the interest and purchasing power of consumers towards the catches of fisherman to be caught for sale.

Table 8. Organoleptic Analysis of Fishery Products on the Fifth Day after Spraying

| Species                | Nano-chitosan Concentration (w/v) | 3:01 | 4:01 | 5:01 | Control |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|------|------|------|---------|
| Oreochromis mossambicus|                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Oreochromis niloticus  |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Mugil cephalus         |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Chanos Chanos          |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Litopenaeus vannamei   |                                  | 6.38 | 6.33 | 6.29 | 3.44    |
| Penaeus merguensis     |                                  | 6.38 | 6.33 | 6.29 | 3.44    |
| Loligo spp.            |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Sepia spp.             |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Rastrelliger kanagurta |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Scomberomorus Commerson|                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Scatophagus argus      |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |
| Clarias gariepinus     |                                  | -    | -    | -    | -       |

3.7 Preservative Effectiveness Test

A preservative effectiveness test is used to determine the ability of preservatives when applied to a fishery product. The test was carried out by calculating the shelf life of the product after spraying nano-chitosan (Table 9).

Based on the effectiveness test results, it is known that the concentrations of 3:1, 4:1, and 5:1 extend the shelf life of fishery products because they have quite effective antimicrobial content. The best concentration of nano-chitosan spray is 3:1, with the ability to preserve shrimp for up to 5 days. Spray nano-chi-
tosan with a concentration of 3:1 is effective for shrimp preservation because it has a smaller particle size to be well absorbed on the surface of the shrimp. This is in accordance with research conducted by Abdeltwab et al. (2020), where the antimicrobial activity and permeability of chitosan nanoparticles increases when their size gets smaller.

Table 9. Preservative Effectiveness Test Analysis

| Species                        | Nano-chitosan Concentration (Days) | 3:01 | 4:01 | 5:01 | Control |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|------|------|---------|
| Oreochromis mossambicus        |                                    | 4    | 3    | 2    | 1       |
| Oreochromis niloticus          |                                    | 4    | 3    | 2    | 1       |
| Mugil cephalus                 |                                    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 1       |
| Chanos Chanos                  |                                    | 3    | 2    | 2    | 1       |
| Litopenaeus vannamei           |                                    | 5    | 4    | 2    | 1       |
| Penaeus merguensis             |                                    | 5    | 4    | 2    | 1       |
| Loligo spp.                    |                                    | 4    | 3    | 2    | 1       |
| Sepia spp.                     |                                    | 4    | 3    | 2    | 1       |
| Rastrelliger kanagurta         |                                    | 4    | 3    | 2    | 1       |
| Scomberomorus Commerson        |                                    | 3    | 2    | 2    | 1       |
| Scatophagus argus              |                                    | 4    | 3    | 2    | 1       |
| Clarias gariepinus             |                                    | 3    | 2    | 2    | 1       |

4. Conclusion

The size of the nano-chitosan particles is able to affect the effectiveness of the nano-chitosan spray produced, where the smaller the nanoparticles in the nano-chitosan, the better the effectiveness of the nano-chitosan spray. The best small crab shell nano-chitosan particle size is 943.7 nm obtained from nano-chitosan with a ratio of 3:1. Spray nano-chitosan from crab shells was effective in the preservation process of *L. vannamei* and *L. merguensis* because it was able to inhibit the deterioration of shrimp quality for 5 days.
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