Transfection with Plasmid-Encoding IncRNA-SLERCC nanoparticle-mediated delivery suppressed tumor progression in renal cell carcinoma
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Abstract

Background: The accumulating evidence confirms that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) play a critical regulatory role in the progression of renal cell carcinoma (RCC). But, the application of lncRNAs in gene therapy remains scarce. Here, we investigated the efficacy of a delivery system by introducing the plasmid-encoding tumor suppressor IncRNA-SLERCC (SLERCC) in RCC cells.

Methods: We performed lncRNAs expression profiling in paired cancer and normal tissues through microarray and validated in our clinical data and TCGA dataset. The Plasmid-SLERCC@PDA@MUC12 nanoparticles (PSPM-NPs) were tested in vivo and in vitro, including cellular uptake, entry, CCK-8 assay, tumor growth inhibition, histological assessment, and safety evaluations. Furthermore, experiments with nude mice xenografts model were performed to evaluate the therapeutic effect of PSPM-NPs nanotherapeutic system specific to the SLERCC.

Results: We found that the expression of SLERCC was downregulated in RCC tissues, and exogenous upregulation of SLERCC could suppress metastasis of RCC cells. Furthermore, high expression DNMT3A was recruited at the SLERCC promoter, which induced aberrant hypermethylation, eventually leading to downregulation of SLERCC expression in RCC. Mechanistically, SLERCC could directly bind to UPF1 and exert tumor-suppressive effects through the...
extracellular domains is often observed for metastatic carcinoma and during inflammatory bowel disease and cystic fibrosis [21]. Zhang et al. found that high expression of MUC12 was associated with poorer overall survival (OS) in RCC and could be used as a diagnostic or prognostic marker for RCC [22]. Gao et al. described that MUC12 bore the ability to increase c-Jun protein levels in RCC, which in turn transcriptionally regulated TGF-β1 [23]. Above results indicated that increased MUC12 expression is a frequent event supporting growth of malignancy and inflammation. This renders the protein a promising coupling molecule for use with antibodies or other molecules targeting mRCC cells.

In this study, we found that the a lncRNA (ENSG00000225298) downregulated expression in RCC, we named this lncRNA as SLERCC (Specific Low Expression Renal cell carcinoma), based on next analyses. The reduced expression of SLERCC was significantly correlated with advanced tumor stage, tumor grade, and poor prognosis. Multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that SLERCC was an independent prognostic factor for OS and disease-free survival (DFS) of RCC patients. Several studies have investigated the mechanism of action of lncRNAs, including their anti-cancer roles. However, only a few lncRNAs are identified that exert tumor suppressor functionality and they are difficult to synthesize owing to their length and poor stability.

Polydopamine (PDA) are the most widely applied polymers in chemical modification; they possessed the near-sphere structures and are immensely used in clinical transformation [24]. The surface of PDA can be modified by different kinds of reagents through the chemical bond grafting for various functions, including increasing biocompatibility, improving targeting capability and diminishing toxicity [25, 26]. In this study, we constructed the plasmid-encoding lncRNA-SLERCC to overcome the lncRNA instability, and prepared a novel Plasmid-SLERCC@PDA@MUC12 nanoparticles (PSPM-NPs) to targeted deliver SLERCC to the RCC cell lines by the incorporation of MUC12 anti-body into PDA (Fig. 1). The RCC cell lines and xenograft mouse models were conducted to evaluate the targeting delivery ability and anti-tumor mechanism of PSPM-NPs.
**Materials and methods**

**Clinical specimens**

RCC tissues and correspondingly matched adjacent normal tissues were obtained from 90 patients who underwent nephrectomy between January 2014 to December 2019 at the Department of Urology, Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital of Tongji University (Shanghai, China), Zhongda Hospital of Southeast University (Nanjing, China) and Beijing Chao-Yang Hospital of Capital Medical University (Beijing, China). Histopathology of all the cases of RCC was confirmed by senior pathologists and staged according to the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC). None of the patients received radiotherapy or other...
treatment modalities prior to surgery. Demographics and clinicopathological information of these patients are listed in Table S1. The protocol for the collection of tissue samples was evaluated and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital (SHSY-IEC-BG/02.04/04.0–81,602,469). All patients provided written informed consent.

Cell lines and cell culture

Human RCC cell lines, 786-O (RRID: CVCL_1051), A498 (RRID: CVCL_1056), OSRC-2 (RRID: CVCL_1626), 769-P (RRID: CVCL_1050), Caki-1 (RRID: CVCL_0234), Caki-2 (RRID: CVCL_0235), ACHN (RRID: CVCL_1067), normal renal tubular epithelial cells (HK-2) (RRID: CVCL_0302), and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) (RRID: CVCL_2959) were purchased from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The culture medium used for maintaining each cell line and the culture conditions were followed as described previously [3, 27]. The RCC cell lines were stored at -80 °C using CELLSAVING reagent (NCM, Suzhou, China).

Tube formation assay

Fifty μL Matrigel (BD Biosciences, USA) was added to each well of a 96-well plate (Corning, USA) separately and allowed to incubate at 37 °C for 1 h. Next, 5000 HUVECs were seeded per well and incubated for 6 h in media containing the supernatant of the pre-treated cells. Photographs were captured and cells were counted under a microscope (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany).

Sphere formation assay

Transfected cells were seeded into ultra-low attachment 96-well plates (Corning, USA) and cultured in DMEM-F12 media (Gibco, USA) supplemented with serum-free media containing 5 μg/mL insulin (Sigma, USA), 20 ng/mL fibroblast growth factor (Sigma, USA), and 20 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (Sigma, USA). The spheres thus formed were imaged after two weeks.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

In situ hybridization assay was performed using specific probes for SLERCC and UPF1 to observe the localization of SLERCC and UPF1 in RCC cells. Briefly, 786-O and ACHN cells were grown on glass slides according to the manufacturer’s instructions (C10910, RibonBio, Guangzhou, China). Following fixation and permeabilization, the cells were probed with Cy3-labeled UPF1 and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled SLERCC probes overnight at 37 °C; the nuclei were stained with DAPI.

5′-azacytidine (5-AZA) treatment

ACHN and 786-O cells were seeded into 12-well plates (Corning, USA) and allowed to adhere for 16 h. The cells were then treated with 5 μmol/L 5-AZA for three days, following which the media was discarded. RNA and DNA were extracted as described previously [14].

Bisulfite sequencing PCR (BSP)

BSP was performed according to the protocol specified in the DNA methylation kit (Qiagen, cat# 59,824). Assessment of the methylation status of the SLERCC promoter region by BSP was performed by IBSBIO (Shanghai, China). The methylation patterns were evaluated using the BiQ Analyzer software.

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay

RIP experiments were performed using the Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore, MA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, the correspondingly treated cells were harvested and lysed using RIP lysis buffer. Samples were immunoprecipitated using magnetic beads conjugated with anti-UPF1 antibody (Abcam, MA, USA) or rabbit anti-IgG as the negative control. The purified total RNA was subsequently analyzed by qRT-PCR.

Analysis of the TCGA-RCC dataset

The information on TCGA-RCC patients was extracted from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, which included data on gender, age, TNM stage, histological grade, pathological stage, survival status, survival time, lncRNAs, and transcriptome profile (Fragments Per Kilobase Million [FPKM] value). Kaplan–Meier survival curves, univariate and multivariable Cox regression analyses were used to assess the effects of SLERCC, MUC12, and DNMT3A on OS and DFS.

Bioinformatics

We used the online software starbase (https://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/) to predict the proteins that could potentially bind directly to SLERCC. Next, we used the catRAPID (http://service.tartaglia.lab.com/page/catrapid_group) website to evaluate the Z-score, interaction strength, and RNA binding domain instances for each protein, and RPISeq (http://pridb.gdcb.iastate.edu/RPISeq/) to assess the random forest (RF) and support vector machine (SVM) classifiers.

Cell transfection

si-DNMT3A, a negative control (si-NC), and three small interfering RNA oligos (si-SLERCC#1, si-SLERCC#2, and si-SLERCC#3) specifically targeting SLERCC, were purchased from IBSBIO (Shanghai, China). The full-length
cDNA of human SLERCC was synthesized by Invitrogen and cloned into the pcDNA3.1 expression mini vector. Control plasmids and plasmid-mediated SLERCC overexpression, as well as knockdown vector constructs (sh-SLERCC) and plasmid-mediated DNMT3A overexpression, were obtained from IBSBIO (Shanghai, China). For functional in vitro assays in RCC cells, transient transfection was performed using lipofectamine-3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) at cell confluency of 30-50%. For lentiviral transduction, packaging plasmids along with sh-NC, SLERCC, or sh-SLERCC vectors were co-transfected into HEK-293T cells and incubated for 48 h. Virus-containing supernatants were collected and added to the target cells, and finally, the infected cells were screened by puromycin selection (Gibco, USA).

RNA sequencing
To identify RCC-associated lncRNAs, we analyzed three pairs of human RCC tissues and matched paracancerous normal tissue gene arrays. RNA sequencing was performed following the procedure described previously [3]. The screening criteria for the differential genes expression were absolute fold change $\geq 1$ and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05. To elucidate the molecular mechanism underlying SLERCC involvement in RCC progression, we also performed an RNA sequencing of the ACHN and Caki-1 cells transfected with sh-SLERCC and sh-NC lentiviral constructs.

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)
Total RNA was extracted from cells or tissue specimens stored in liquid nitrogen using the Trizol reagent (TaKaRa, China). RNA was isolated from the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions using the NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). cDNA was obtained by reverse transcription using a cDNA kit (R312, Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China), following which qRT-PCR was performed using the SYBR Green PCR kit (Q141, Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China). Subsequently, the CT values of the samples were determined on the ABI Prism 7500 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, USA). The relative expressions of SLERCC, UPF1 and DNMT3A were calculated using the 2-$\Delta\Delta$Ct method, and the expression of GAPDH was used as the internal reference. All primer sequences are listed in Table S2.

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay
Pre-treated or transfected cells were inoculated in 96-well plates (Corning, USA) at a density of 2000 cells per well. After seeding cells and incubating them for 12 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h, the media were discarded and 10 µl CCK8 solution (Yeasen, Shanghai, China) in 100 µl serum-free medium was added to each well. The cells were incubated in the dark for 2 h at 37 °C, and the optical density (OD) values were measured at 450 nm using a microplate spectrophotometer (BioTek, Winooski, USA).

5′Ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) assay
Pre-treated or transfected cells were seeded in 6-well plates (Corning, USA) and cultured overnight. After incubation with 10 µM EdU reagent for 2 h, the cells were fixed with paraformaldehyde (4%), permeated with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS buffer, followed by washing with PBS buffer. Next, the cells were incubated with AlexaFluor-488 in the dark; nuclei were counterstained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), and finally, images were captured using an Olympus microscope (Tokyo, Japan).

Wound healing assay
Transfected cells were seeded into 6-well plates (Corning, USA). When the cells attained 80% confluency, they were scratched using a 200 µL pipette tip. The debris was subsequently washed out using PBS buffer, and media supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum was added to each well. Photographs were taken at 0 h and 24 h of wounding using an Olympus microscope (Tokyo, Japan).

Transwell assay
Assays to assess cellular migration and invasion were performed. The upper chamber was pre-coated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences, USA) for cellular invasion experiments. Specifically, pre-treated or transfected cells were inoculated into the upper chamber, and 600 µL of 10% media was added to the lower chamber. After 12–24 h of incubation, cells in the upper chamber were removed using cotton swabs, while those on the surface of the lower chamber were fixed using anhydrous ethanol (Vicmed, China), stained with 0.1% crystalline violet, photographed, and counted using a microscope (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany).

Western blotting, immunohistochemistry (IHC), and RNA pull-down assay
Western blotting and IHC were performed as described previously [28, 29], and information on the antibodies used is listed in Table S3. SLERCC biotin-labeled and NC biotin-labeled probes were added to the cell lysate products, accordingly, and the complexes were subsequently incubated with 50 µl of streptavidin magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at room temperature. The products were subjected to RNA extraction and purification protocols, and finally, the PCR products were
analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis and Western blotting.

**Synthesis of Plasmid-SLERCC@PDA@MUC12 nanoparticles (PSPM-NPs)**

The Plasmid-SLERCC@PDA@MUC12 nanoparticles (PSPM-NPs) were synthesized by a rapid and green method. Briefly, 50 nM SLERCC plasmid dissolved in RNase free water was loaded into the commercial liposomes (50 μL; Yeasen, China) by vortexing for 30 s to form Plasmid-SLERCC@liposome (PS). The resulting suspension was dispersed in 5 mL Tris–HCl (pH 8.8; 10 mM) solution with the subsequent addition of dopamine hydrochloride (5 mg) resulting in the formation of polydopamine (PDA) (Adamas-beta Inc. China) modified liposomes after stirring for 3 h. Next, the PDA-modified liposomes (Plasmid-SLERCC@PDA, PSP) were collected by centrifugation at 8,000 rpm for 10 min and washed using distilled water. Next, the obtained mixtures were dispersed in 1 ml streptavidin solution (2 mg/mL; dissolved in PBS with the pH 8 ~ 9) and shaken thoroughly for 24 h in dark at 4℃ resulting in the synthesis of Plasmid-SLERCC@PDA@Str (PSPs). For PSPM-NPs synthesis, the obtained PSPs was mixed with 1 ml biotinylated MUC12 antibody solution (50 μg/mL; Bioss, China) and incubated for 1 h. The obtained suspension was washed using PBS buffer and stored at 4℃ till further use.

**Characterization of NPs**

Imaging by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) with energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) element mapping was performed to characterize PSPM-NPs. The sample was placed on the carbon cover copper TEM grids and photographed by TEM (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

**Determination of particle size potential of NPs**

Separately, 20 μL of PSP and PSPM-NPs were dissolved in 1 mL distilled water. The zeta potentials and sizes of the samples were determined using a particle size potentiometer (Nano ZS90, Worcestershire, UK).

**Encapsulation capability of SLERCC plasmid**

The encapsulation of SLERCC plasmid was examined by gel electrophoresis. Using empty plasmid as a negative control, PSP and PSPM were separately examined to determine their RNA encapsulation capability. The gels were prepared by mixing 0.6 g agarose in 30 ml 1× TAE buffer. After mixing with 2 μL 6× DNA loading buffer and 1 μL SYBR Green I nucleic acid gel staining solution, 9 μL samples were loaded in the dented pores. Electrophoresis voltage was set at 120 V for 20 min. Subsequently, the gel was imaged and analyzed on the Tanon Gel image system (Shanghai, China).

**Measuring MUC12 incorporation**

The incorporation of MUC12 was measured by western blot analysis. After adding 4 μL 5× protein loading buffer, 16 μL samples were heat-denatured by boiling for 30 min at 100℃. Next, PSP and PSPM-NPs samples were loaded into the 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE) for electrophoresis with PDA as the negative control. After electrophoresis for 2 h, the gels were dyed using Coomassie blue staining buffer for 3 h and washed by distilled water, and stored overnight. On the next day, the gels were photographed; the MUC12 band was observed at ~ 62 kDa.

**Cytophagy of PSPM-NPs**

For successful plasmid delivery, the cytophagy of PSPM-NPs was examined by bio-TEM imaging. ACHN cells were cultured in a 6-well plate for 16 h till they reached 70 ~ 80% confluency. The cells were incubated for 6 h with 40 μL SPM diluted in 2 mL DMEM media and washed using distilled water. Next, the cells were trypsinized and collected by centrifugation. The cells were fixed with glutaraldehyde fixative (2.5%) at stored overnight at 4℃. The prepared samples were washed and dehydrated before polymerization using Spurr’s low-viscosity solution at 60℃ for two days. Finally, the samples were sliced and stained with lead citrate before bio-TEM imaging.

**Animal model**

A total of 60 male BALB/c-nu mice, aged 4–6 weeks, were purchased from Slac Laboratory Animal (Shanghai, China). All mice were housed in a pathogen-free environment, and all the animal experiments were performed in accordance with the protocol approved by the Animal Research Ethics Committee of the Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital. The length and width of the tumors in mice were measured weekly, and the tumor volume was calculated using the following formula: volume (mm3) = 0.5 × width2 × length. After sacrificing, the weights of each tumor from all mice were recorded.

**Subcutaneous xenograft model**

a) 100 μl of 5×10⁷ ACHN cells were mixed with 100 μl of Matrigel (BD, USA) and injected subcutaneously into mice (4 groups, n = 3 in each group). 3 weeks later, PBS, Sunitinib, PSPM-NPs or PSPM-NPs+Sunitinib (both 10 nmol) were injected intravenously three times a week (200 μl) for two weeks. b) 100 μl of 5×10⁷ sh-NC and
sh-SLERCC#3 stably transfected ACHN cell lines were mixed with 100 μl of Matrigel (BD, USA) and injected subcutaneously into mice (2 groups, n = 4 in each group). Tumor size and tumor changes were observed.

**Tail vein lung metastasis model**
Two hundred μl of 1 × 10⁶ ACHN cells were injected into the tail vein of each mouse (4 groups, n = 6 in each group). 3 weeks later, PBS, Sunitinib, PSPM-NPs, or PSPM-NPs + Sunitinib (both 10 nmol) were injected intravenously three times a week (200 μl) for two weeks, respectively. Tumor progression was observed on an IVIS imaging system (Calipers, Hopkinton, USA).

**Orthotopic xenograft model**
1 × 10⁶ sh-NC and sh-SLERCC#3 stably transfected ACHN cell lines were orthotopically implanted into mice (2 groups, n = 5 in each group) in the subrenal positions of both kidneys. Tumor progression was observed on an IVIS imaging system (Calipers, Hopkinton, USA).

**In vivo biocompatibility of PSPM-NPs**
Six male BALB/c mice were randomly divided into two groups (2 groups, n = 3 in each group). The control group and PSPM-NPs group were injected with 200 μl of PBS or PSPM-NPs (both 10 nmol), respectively. Mice were sacrificed at 15 days, and lung, liver, spleen, kidney, heart and colon were harvested for subsequent HE staining and IHC staining.

**Statistical analysis**
R-Studio (Boston, USA), SPSS 20.0 (RRID:SCR_002865, Inc., Chicago, USA), and GraphPad Prism 8.3 software (San Diego, USA) was used for statistical analyses. Two-tailed Student’s t-test or χ² test was used to assess differences between components. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan–Meier method and significance was confirmed by a log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were used for survival analyses. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

---

**Results**
**SLERCC is downregulated in RCC tissues and associated with a favorable prognosis**
To identify the important lncRNAs underlying RCC progression, we analyzed three pairs of human RCC tissues and matched paracancer normal tissue gene arrays (Fig. 2A). A total of 198 differentially expressed genes were identified, including 67 upregulated and 131 downregulated genes (Fig. 2B), and the chromosomal locations of all differentially expressed genes are displayed in Fig. 2D. SLERCC was the most significantly downregulated lncRNA (Fig. 2C). Next, we examined the expression of SLERCC in different tumor types and found its levels were downregulated in KIRC, RCC and KIRP specimens in the TCGA database (Fig. S1A). Subsequently, we analyzed the expression of SLERCC in 539 RCC tissues and 72 normal tissues in the TCGA database and found that the expression of SLERCC was downregulated in RCC tissues (Fig. 2E and Fig. S1B). SLERCC expression was further reduced in stage III/IV (Fig. S1C), and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve showed that SLERCC expression had high accuracy in distinguishing RCC tissues from its normal counterpart (area under the curve (AUC) = 0.799, Fig. S1D). In addition, the chi-square test results suggested that the expression of SLERCC was correlated with T stage, M stage, histological grade, and pathological stage in TCGA dataset (Table S4). Furthermore, qRT-PCR analysis of SLERCC expression in 90 pairs of RCC and correspondingly matched normal tissues in our clinical data showed that SLERCC expression was significantly reduced in tumor tissues (Fig. 2F, I), and was substantially negatively correlated with Fuhrman grade and N stage (Fig. 2G, H).

Kaplan–Meier survival curves suggested that RCC patients with low SLERCC expression had significantly lower OS and DFS relative to those with high SLERCC expression (Fig. 2J, K). In addition, multivariate Cox survival analysis showed that SLERCC expression was an independent risk factor for OS (HR = 0.544, 0.353–0.839 [95% CI], P = 0.006) (Fig. 2L and Table S5) and DFS (HR = 0.526, 0.309–0.893 [95% CI], P = 0.017) (Fig. S1E and Table S6) of RCC patients. Moreover,
Fig. 2 (See legend on previous page.)
we constructed a nomogram of OS prognosis for RCC patients including SLERCC expression status based on the results of multivariate Cox survival analysis (Fig. S1F). GSEA analysis showed that ECM receptor interaction, focal adhesion, JAK/STAT signaling pathway and P53 signaling pathway were mainly enriched in the low SLERCC expression group (Fig. 2M). Together, these data supported the expression of SLERCC as a protective factor for predicting the survival of RCC patients.

**Syntheses and characteristics of PSPM-NPs**

MUC12 is a transmembrane glycoprotein localized mainly on the cell membrane. We examined the expression of MUC12 in different tumor types and found that its expression was upregulated in RCC in the TCGA database (Fig. S2A) and that high expression of MUC12 was an independent risk factor for OS and DFS in RCC patients (Fig. S2B, C). Kaplan–Meier survival curves indicated that high expression of MUC12 was associated with poor OS and DFS (Fig. S2D, E). Subsequently, we analyzed the expression of MUC12 in the TCGA database and found that MUC12 was highly expressed in tumor tissues and that MUC12 expression correlated with T-stage, N-stage, M-stage, histological grade and pathological stage (Fig. S2F-K). In addition, IHC staining showed that MUC12 expression was increased in tumor as well as metastatic samples, and MUC12 expression increased with increasing tumor stage (Fig. S3).

The strategy for preparing MUC12-targeted PSPM-NPs is schematically illustrated in Fig. 3A. TEM imaging and EDS mapping exhibited that the synthetic PSPM-NPs had a near-spherical shape upon successful modification by PDA (Fig. 3B, C). The decreased zeta potentials and elevated diameter suggested that MUC12 was grafted in the surface of PSP and that the PSPM-NPs had good stability with an average diameter of approximately 140 nm (Fig. 3D, E). Agarose gel electrophoresis and colloidal Coomassie staining results showed that SLERCC plasmid was successfully wrapped and the MUC12 modification was present on the surface of PSPM-NPs (Fig. 3F, G). The cytophagocytosis experiments showed that PSPM-NPs could be successfully internalized into the ACHN cells for successful plasmid delivery (Fig. 3H). We then examined the in vivo biocompatibility of PSPM-NPs in normal mice. The results showed that blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) were within the normal range after PSPM-NPs injection compared to controls (Fig. 3I), and no significant morphological changes occurred in HE staining of major organs such as lung, liver, spleen, kidney and heart (Fig. 3J). These results suggest that PSPM-NPs have little systemic toxicity.

**SLERCC as a tumor suppressor inhibits the progression of RCC cells in vitro**

To elucidate the biological roles of SLERCC in RCC progression, first, the expression of SLERCC in different RCC cell lines was assessed. SLERCC was expressed at low levels in RCC cell lines (Fig. 4A). We constructed a lentivirus overexpressing SLERCC (Fig. S4A-D) and found that PSPM-NPs had similar transfection ability to conventional overexpressing lentiviruses (Fig. S4E). Subsequently, qRT-PCR analysis confirmed a significant increase in SLERCC levels in 786-O cells after transfection with PSPM-NPs (Fig. 4B), and both ACHN and Caki-1 cells transfected with sh-SLERCC#2, and sh-SLERCC#3 had significantly reduced expression of SLERCC (Fig. 4C and Fig. 5A). The results of the CCK-8 and EdU experiments showed that forced expression of SLERCC significantly inhibited the proliferation profile of 786-O cells (Fig. 4D, H), and reduced SLERCC expression significantly promoted the proliferation of ACHN and Caki-1 cells (Fig. 4E, K and Fig. 5B, C). Wound healing and Transwell assays showed that overexpression of SLERCC could significantly suppress the migration and invasive of 786-O cells (Fig. 4E, G, while knockdown of SLERCC enhanced the migration and invasion of ACHN and Caki-1 cells (Fig. 4L, M and Fig. 5D, F). Moreover, overexpression of SLERCC significantly reduced the size of tumorspheres and decreased the stemness of 786-O cells (Fig. 4I). To determine the effects of SLERCC on the angiogenic capacity, when human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were cultured in cell medium overexpressing SLERCC, the tube formation and growth were significantly impaired (Fig. 4I). However, decreasing SLERCC expression was able to increase the size of tumorspheres and enhance tube formation and growth of ACHN and Caki-1 cells (Fig. 4L, M and Fig. 5D, F). The showed that SLERCC as a tumor suppressor inhibited the progression of RCC cells.

**DNMT3A-mediated SLERCC promoter hypermethylation results in the downregulation of SLERCC expression in RCC cells**

Recent studies suggest that promoter CpG methylation is an early event in the process of carcinogenesis and can also promote tumorigenesis [30, 31]. SLERCC is located on chromosome 21 and MethPrimer 2.0 predicts the presence of CpG islands between 123–243 bp in the SLERCC promoter region (Fig. 5A). Given that DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) are critical for DNA
methylation, we examined the relationship of DNMT1, DNMT3A, and DNMT3B with SLERCC expression. The results suggested that the levels of DNMT1 and DNMT3A were negatively correlated with SLERCC expression (Fig. 5B, J and Fig. S6A). To confirm if the level of expression of SLERCC was regulated by the promoter methylation, first, we treated the 786-O and ACHN cells with 5'-azacytidine (5-AZA). qRT-PCR
analysis showed that the level of expression of SLERCC in 786-O and ACHN cells after 5-AZA treatment was significantly upregulated (Fig. 5C). In ACHN cells, 5-AZA rescued the inhibitory effect of sh-SLERCC#3 on SLERCC, and 5-AZA enhanced the effect of PSPM-NPs on SLERCC overexpression in 786-O cells and
promoted the proliferative capacity of ACHN and 786-O cells (Fig. 5D-G). Subsequently, the levels of expression of DNMT1 and DNMT3A in stages I and IV, primary carcinoma as well as metastatic carcinoma were assessed by IHC, TCGA databases and Kaplan–Meier survival curves; a significant difference was found in the expression of DNMT3A (Fig. 5H, I and Fig. S6B, F–H), while DNMT1 differences were not significant (Fig. S6C-E, I-K). Next, BSP assay demonstrated that knockdown of DNMT3A in ACHN cells significantly attenuated DNA methylation level at the CpG island of SLERCC promoter region, and vice versa in 786-O cells when DNMT3A
was upregulated (Fig. 5K and Fig. S6L). In addition, we assessed whether DNMT3A could affect the level of expression of SLERCC. qRT-PCR analysis suggested that SLERCC expression was upregulated after the expression of DNMT3A was knocked down in ACHN cells, and vice versa in 786-O cells when DNMT3A was overexpressed (Fig. 5L). Furthermore, the above results suggested that the expression of SLERCC can be regulated by methylation through DNMT3A.

**SLERCC directly binds to UPF1**

To identify the downstream targets of SLERCC, firstly, we examined the intracellular localization of SLERCC. FISH and nuclear-cytoplasmic separation assays showed that SLERCC was expressed in both the nucleus and cytoplasmic fractions but was mainly localized to the cytoplasm (Fig. 6A, B). Based on the currently available information, lncRNAs can interact with proteins within the cell and exert their functions. We predicted eight proteins that could putatively interact with SLERCC by bioinformatics (starBase). Subsequently, we evaluated the scores of the aforementioned eight proteins using online software, catRAPID and RIPSeq, and the results suggested that UPF1 had a good Z-score (5.21), interaction strength (99.18%), as well as, SVM classifier (0.98) (Fig. S7A, B). In vitro RNA pull-down assays with biotinylated SLERCC and corresponding controls led to the identification of a differential band between 100 and 130 kDa (Fig. 6C) which was verified as UPF1 by mass spectrometry (MS) (Fig. 6D). Moreover, western blot analysis and agarose gel electrophoresis after RNA pull-down assays confirmed the interaction between SLERCC and UPF1 (Fig. 6E, F). The results of the RIP assay showed that UPF1 was significantly enriched by SLERCC relative to the negative control (Fig. 6G). catRAPID suggested the presence of UPF1 binding sites in the 200-300nt long sequence of SLERCC (Fig. S7C, D). Further, the serial deletion assays confirmed that the 181-360nt long sequence of SLERCC was essential for its interaction with UPF1 (Fig. 6H, I). In addition, RIP assays showed that direct deletion of 181-360nt long region of SLERCC resulted in a significant reduction in the enrichment of UPF1 by SLERCC (Fig. 6, K).

Next, sequence analysis by POSTAR2 suggested the presence of a sequence motif and structural preference for the RBP binding site for UPF1, located in the 230-270nt region of SLERCC in the form of a stem-loop structure (Fig. 6L). PyMOL software was used to determine the 3D structures of SLERCC and UPF1 (Fig. 6M). Subsequently, fluorescence staining confirmed the co-localization of SLERCC and UPF1 in both 786-O and ACHN cells (Fig. 6N). Additionally, we analyzed the expression of UPF1 in TCGA datasets and found that UPF1 expression was negatively correlated with SLERCC expression (Fig. S7F), and UPF1 was downregulated in the RCC tissue and correlated with staging (Fig. S7G, H). IHC also confirmed that UPF1 expression was downregulated and negatively correlated with tumor stage (Fig. S7E).

**SLERCC overexpression inhibits RCC progression and metastasis in vivo**

To investigate the role of SLERCC in vivo, we first evaluated the effect of PSPM-NPs on tumors (Fig. 7A). The subcutaneous xenograft model showed that both Sunitinib and PSPM-NPs were able to suppress tumor weight and volume compared to the PBS group, and the combination of PSPM-NPs and Sunitinib significantly reduced tumor weight and volume (Fig. 7B, C). IHC results showed that the PBS group had the highest Ki67 score, while the PSPM-NPs+Sunitinib group had the highest UPF1 scores (Fig. 7D, E). Tail vein metastasis model showed that the combination of PSPM-NPs and Sunitinib significantly suppressed the luminescence intensity and number of nodules in lung metastatic tumors, with the Sunitinib and PSPM-NPs groups follow and the PBS group being the worst (Fig. 7F-I). In addition, the growth curves showed that treatment with PSPM-NPs or Sunitinib increased the weight of mice compared with the control group, and the combination of PSPM-NPs and Sunitinib prominently increased the weight (Fig. 7).

In addition, we evaluated the effect of SLERCC knockdown on tumors in vivo. IVIS assays in an orthotopic xenograft model showed that tumors in mice injected with sh-SLERCC#3 cells had stronger luminescence intensity, higher tumor weights, higher Ki67 scores and lower UPF1 scores relative to mice injected with sh-NC cells (Fig. S8A-E). Subcutaneous xenograft model also showed that knockdown of SLERCC significantly promoted tumor volume, weight and Ki67 expression in xenografts as well as suppressed UPF1 expression (Fig. S8F-I).

**SLERCC directly interacts with UPF1 to inhibit RCC progression through the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway**

To explore the molecular mechanism underlying SLERCC involvement in RCC progression, we constructed sh-SLERCC#3, sh-NC ACHN, and Caki-1 stable transduction cells and performed sequencing at the transcriptional level (Fig. 5A). The differential mRNAs are presented as heatmaps in Fig. S9B. Further, bubble map, KEGG enrichment analysis, and enrichment chord plot suggested that the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway was significantly enriched (Fig. 8A and Fig. S9C, D). The results of IHC staining also showed that β-catenin
expression was positively correlated with the tumor stage (Fig. S9E). Western blotting showed that overexpression of SLERCC could significantly increase the levels of UPF1 and decreased those of p-β-catenin, VEGFA, and BMI1, while knocking down SLERCC exerted the opposite effects (Fig. 8L, M). Subsequently, we performed rescue experiments in the PSPM-NPs 786-O cell line and sh-SLCC#3 ACHN cell line to evaluate the effects of UPF1 on SLERCC-mediated functions. qRT-PCR analysis showed that knocking down UPF1 in PSPM-NPs
786-O cells could partially restore UPF1 expression, while overexpression of UPF1 in sh-SLERCC#3 ACHN cells, partially increased the levels of UPF1 (Fig. 8B, C). In addition, CCK8, EdU, wound healing, Transwell, angiogenesis, and tumorsphere assays, along with western blotting suggested that knocking down UPF1 could partially rescue the decrease in the tumor malignant behavior owing to SLERCC overexpression; conversely,
overexpression of UPF1 partially inhibited the enhanced tumor malignant behaviors due to knocking down of SLERCC (Fig. 8D-K and Fig. S10A-D).

Discussion
RCC is a common malignancy in the urological system, and its treatment, especially in metastatic cases, to date, poses a challenge for urologists [32]. Although TKI and ICI-based drugs have been developed for the treatment of metastatic RCC, the prognoses of patients with metastatic RCC remain poor, evidenced by the 5-year survival rates of less than 10% [33]. Given the low survival rate of patients with metastatic RCC, there is a need for developing targeted treatment strategies for patients with metastatic RCC [34, 35]. In the present study, we found that DNMT3A contributed significantly to the hypermethylation of SLERCC, leading to the downregulation of SLERCC expression in RCC, which in turn could accelerate the progression and metastasis in RCC by activating the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Loss and/or mutation of tumour-suppressor genes is a dominant force in tumour development and clinical resistance to a variety of therapies. Reversal of the phenotype induced by loss of tumour suppressors has long proven to be an elusive goal. Two major strategies have been employed for suppressor restoration, restoring a functional copy of a given tumour-suppressor gene via transfection; and the use of small-molecule agents to reactivate tumoursuppressor function via a conformational change in the mutated molecule. Therefore, we synthesized PSPM-NPs that could target the RCC cell surface molecule, MUC12, and the results demonstrated that plasmid-encapsulated NPs that target transmembrane metastasis markers may open up a new avenue for the treatment of RCC.

SLERCC was validated as a better prognostic biomarker in RCC clinical specimens. Analysis of clinicopathological parameters showed that lower SLERCC expression was associated with advanced tumor grade, stage, and poorer prognostic survival. In addition, the ROC curve had an AUC of 0.799 for SLERCC expression, which demonstrated the high accuracy of SLERCC in distinguishing RCC from normal tissues. Taken together, these results suggested that SLERCC is a valid tumor biomarker for RCC.

In this study, we also examined the biological functions of SLERCC in RCC. CCK8, EdU, wound healing, and Transwell assays showed that upregulation of SLERCC could significantly suppress the proliferation and invasion of RCC cells. Tube formation and tumosphere formation assays showed that knocking down SLERCC could promote the angiogenic ability and stemness of RCC cells. Assays on xenograft animals, lung metastasis, and PDX models further confirmed that SLERCC upregulation inhibited tumor growth and metastasis. Although these results demonstrated that SLERCC played a role of tumor suppressor in RCC, the mechanism of SLERCC inactivation in RCC remains unknown.

Aberrant DNA methylation is a common epigenetic regulatory mechanism underlying tumors [36–38]. DNA methylation is mediated by DNMTs, including DNMT1, DNMT3A, and DNMT3B [39]. Here, we found that knocking down DNMT3A resulted in increased expression of SLERCC; moreover, the SLERCC promoter was hypermethylated in RCC. In addition, DNMT3A was recruited to the promoter region of SLERCC in RCC cells, which induced its hypermethylation, and the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor, 5-AZA, could rescue the reduced expression of SLERCC. Furthermore, mechanistically, SLERCC could directly bind to UPF1 and exert tumor-suppressive effects through the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, thereby inhibiting the progression and metastasis of RCC.

The use of NPs as carriers for gene transfer is the future direction in the field of gene therapy for oncological diseases [40]. In recent years, the emergence of nanotechnology has provided opportunities for effective drug delivery to tumors [41]. Liposomes and PDA NPs are considered an attractive alternative to gold NPs in the field of nanomedicine [42–45]. Liposomes and PDA NPs have good biocompatibility and biodegradability [46, 47]. MUC12 is a membrane glycoprotein that is mainly localized to the cell membranes and is highly expressed on the surface of cells in colorectal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and MUC12 is highly expressed in RCC, suggesting that MUC12 could also serve as a potential surface molecular marker for RCC.
Fig. 8 (See legend on previous page.)
cells [22, 23, 48]. Considering these findings, we proposed to rationally design liposomal PDA NPs to target MUC12 on the surface of RCC, and thus, deliver the plasmids encapsulated in the NPs to exert their intracellular functions. We developed a nanostructure with liposomes and PDA as carriers to deliver plasmids, which in turn could be used for therapeutic purposes in RCC.

Currently, the research on lncRNAs remains limited to the evaluation of underlying mechanisms and lacks further applications and treatment prospects. In this study, first, we demonstrated that SLERCC functions as a tumor suppressor and inhibits the progression and metastasis of RCC. Second, we found that DNMT3A was recruited at the promoter region of SLERCC in RCC cells, which in turn, induced its hypermethylation, eventually leading to downregulation of SLERCC expression. Finally, we synthesized liposomal PDA NPs encapsulating plasmid SLERCC which was capable of effectively and specifically targeting intracellular SLERCC. The results demonstrated that nanomaterials encapsulating plasmid targeting transmembrane transfer markers may open up a new avenue for therapy for RCC.

Conclusions
To summarize, DNMT3A induced hypermethylation in the promoter region of SLERCC leading to its downregulation. SLERCC acts as a tumor suppressor lncRNA which inhibits RCC progression and metastasis through the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway during the progression of RCC. In addition, we constructed PSPM-NPs encapsulating SLERCC plasmids, which is expected to provide new insights for the development of future gene therapy-related drugs for the treatment of RCC.
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