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Abstract
In this paper, we derive a nonseparable quantum superintegrable system in 2D real Euclidean space. The Hamiltonian admits no second-order integrals of motion but does admit an integral of third-order and an integral of fourth-order. We also obtain a classical superintegrable system with the same properties. The quantum system differs from the classical one by corrections proportional to \(\hbar^2\).
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1. Introduction

Let us consider a classical or quantum Hamiltonian given by

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} (p_1^2 + p_2^2) + V(x, y) \]  

in two-dimensional real Euclidean space, \(E_2\). This system is superintegrable if there exist two independent integrals of motion \(X\) and \(Y\). In classical mechanics \(X\) and \(Y\) must be well-defined functions on phase space and the triplet \((H, X, Y)\) must be functionally independent. The integrals \(X\) and \(Y\) Poisson commute with \(H\) but not with each other. In quantum mechanics \(X\) and \(Y\) must be well-defined (Hermitian) operators in the enveloping algebra of the Heisenberg algebra (or a convergent series in the generators of the Heisenberg algebra) and commute with \(H\). They must be algebraically independent within a Jordan algebra generated by \(x_j\), \(p_j\) and 1. Here, \(x_1 = x\), \(x_2 = y\) and the \(p_j\)'s are their conjugate momenta in the classical system and \(p_j = -i\hbar \partial x_j\) in the quantum system.

If \(X\) and \(Y\) are polynomials of order \(n\) and \(m\), respectively, \((\text{with } n \geq m)\) in the components \(p_1, p_2\) of the momenta we shall call system (1) \(n\)th order superintegrable.

All second-order superintegrable systems in \(E_2\) have been known for some time [5] as have those in \(E_3\) [3, 18]. Quite generally quadratic superintegrability is well understood in
Euclidean spaces [14], in spaces of constant curvature [13], and in some more general spaces [11]. In particular, quadratic superintegrable systems are basically the same in classical and quantum mechanics. The only difference is that in the quantum case operator products have to be symmetrized. Specifically, in two dimensional Euclidean space there is a one-to-one correspondence between each second-order integral of motion and a separable coordinate system. Moreover, quadratic integrability in system (1) is related to the separation of variables in the Hamilton–Jacobi and Schrödinger equations, respectively. Thus quadratic superintegrability is related to multiseparability. We mention that the best-known and most important superintegrable systems, namely the Kepler–Coulomb system [4] and the harmonic oscillator [10] are quadratically superintegrable on $E^n$ for $n \geq 2$.

More recently, interest has shifted to higher order superintegrability. Families of superintegrable systems with integrals of motion of arbitrary order have been discovered both in classical and quantum mechanics [12, 15, 17, 21–24]. A systematic search for superintegrable systems with one second-order and one third-order integral of motion has been initiated [6, 7, 19, 20, 25]. The quantum case turns out to be much richer than the classical one. Quantum integrable [8, 9] and superintegrable [6, 7, 25] potentials exist that vanish in the classical limit. In other cases, the classical limit ($\hbar \to 0$) is singular and the quantum and classical cases are completely different.

The purpose of this paper is to derive a superintegrable system in $E_2$ that does not allow separation of variables neither in the quantum case nor in its classical limit. The integrals of the motion in this case are of order 3 and 4. Previously known superintegrable but nonseparable systems [1,16] are purely classical, moreover one of them is complex [16].

2. Third-order integrals

2.1. Classical and quantum determining equations

The determining equations for third-order classical and quantum integrals of motion were derived earlier [7]. They can be presented in both cases in a unified manner. In the quantum case, the integral will have the form

$$X = \sum_{j+k+l=3} \frac{1}{2} A_{jkl} \{ L_3^j, p_1^k p_2^l \} + \frac{1}{2} \{ g_1(x, y), p_1 \} + \frac{1}{2} \{ g_2(x, y), p_2 \} \quad (2)$$

$$L_3 = xp_2 - y p_1, \quad p_k = -i\hbar \partial_{x_k}, \quad k = 1, 2 \quad (3)$$

where $A_{jkl}$ are real constants and the brackets $\{ , \}$ denote anti-commutators. We define the polynomials

$$f_1 = -A_{300} y^3 + A_{210} y^2 - A_{102} y^2 + A_{030} \quad (4a)$$
$$f_2 = 3A_{300} x y^2 - 2A_{210} x y + A_{201} y^2 + A_{120} x - A_{111} y + A_{021} \quad (4b)$$
$$f_3 = -3A_{300} x^2 y - 2A_{201} x y + A_{210} x^2 + A_{111} x - A_{102} y + A_{012} \quad (4c)$$
$$f_4 = A_{300} x^3 + A_{201} x^2 + A_{102} x + A_{003} \quad (4d)$$

The commutativity condition $[H, X] = 0$ implies four determining equations for the three unknown functions $V(x, y)$, $g_1(x, y)$ and $g_2(x, y)$ namely

$$(g_1)_x = 3f_1 V_x + f_2 V_y \quad (5)$$
$$(g_2)_y = f_1 V_x + 3f_4 V_y \quad (6)$$
\( (g_1)_x + (g_2)_y = 2(f_2 V_x + f_3 V_y) \) \hspace{1cm} (7)

\[
g_1 V_x + g_2 V_y = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4}(f_1 V_{xxx} + f_2 V_{xxy} + f_1 V_{xyy}) + f_4 V_{yyy} + 4A_{300}(x V_x - y V_y) + 2A_{201} V_x + 2A_{201} V_y.
\] \hspace{1cm} (8)

Equations (5)–(7) are the same in the classical and quantum cases whereas (8) greatly simplifies for \( \hbar \to 0 \).

In both cases (5)–(7) are linear whereas (8) is nonlinear.

The compatibility conditions for the first three determining equations provide a linear partial differential equation (PDE) for the potential

\[
0 = -f_3 V_x + (2f_2 - 3f_4)V_{xy} + (-3f_1 + 2f_3)V_{yyy} - f_2 V_{yyy} + 2(f_{2y} - f_{3y})V_{xx} + 2(-3f_{1y} + f_{2x} + f_{3x})V_{xy} + 2(-f_{2y} + f_{3x})V_{yy} + (-3f_{1yy} + 2f_{2yy})V_x + (-f_{2yy} + 2f_{3x} - 3f_{4x})V_y.
\] \hspace{1cm} (9)

Further compatibility conditions involving (8) exist but they are nonlinear and we shall not use them here.

### 2.2. Potentials linear in \( y \) that admit a third-order integral

In general the determining equations (5)–(9) are difficult to solve. So far, they have been completely solved for potentials \( V(x, y) \) that allow separation of variables in Cartesian [6] or polar [25] coordinates. Here, on the contrary, we are looking for potentials that do not allow separation. To do this, we make the Ansatz,

\[
V(x, y) = w_1(x)y + w_0(x), \quad w_1(x) \neq 0, \quad w_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 0, 1.
\] \hspace{1cm} (10)

Up to translation in \( x \) and \( y \) and an irrelevant constant, the only solution of the form (10) of the determining equations in the quantum case is

\[
V(x, y) = \frac{\alpha y}{x^3} - \frac{5\hbar^2}{72x^2},
\] \hspace{1cm} (11)

with \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \). The quantum integral of motion is

\[
X = 3p_1^2 p_2 + 2p_2^2 + \frac{(9\alpha}{2} x^3, p_1 + \frac{3\alpha y}{x^3} - \frac{5\hbar^2}{24x^2}, p_2
\] \hspace{1cm} (12)

and the classical potential and integral are obtained by putting \( \hbar^2 \to 0 \). They can of course also be obtained directly by requiring that the Poisson commutator \( \{H, X\}_P \) vanish.

### 3. Fourth order integrals

#### 3.1. Determining equations

Two basic results on \( n \)th order integrals in \( E_2 \), valid in both classical and quantum mechanics, are as follows.

- The leading, highest-order in the momenta term lies in the enveloping algebra of the Euclidean Lie algebra \( e(2) \).
- All lower order terms in the integral have the same parity as the leading term.

It follows that a fourth-order integral can be written as

\[
Y = \sum_{j \neq k \neq l = 0}^4 \frac{A_{jkl}}{2} \{L_j^l, p_1^k p_2^l\} + \frac{1}{2}(\{g_1(x, y), p_1^2\} + \{g_2(x, y), p_1^1 p_2^2\} + \ell(x, y).
\] \hspace{1cm} (13)
where the $A_{ijk}$ are real constants and $g_i, \ell$ are real functions of $(x, y)$. Since we are not aware of a proof of this result in the literature we provide one for $n = 4$ in the appendix. The commutation relations $[H, Y] = 0$ provides six determining equations for the five functions $V, g_1 g_2, g_3$ and $\ell$ namely

\begin{align}
  g_{1,x} &= 4f_1 V_x + f_2 V_y \\
  g_{2,x} + g_{1,y} &= 3f_2 V_x + 2f_3 V_y \\
  g_{3,x} + g_{2,y} &= 2f_3 V_x + 3f_4 V_y \\
  g_{2,y} &= f_4 V_x + 4f_5 V_y
\end{align}

and

\begin{align}
  \ell_x &= 2g_1 V_x + g_2 V_y + \frac{h^2}{4}((f_2 + f_4)V_{xx} + 4(f_1 - f_3)V_{xy} - (f_2 - f_6)V_{yy}) \\
  &+ (f_2 - f_3)V_{xx} - (13f_1 + f_4)V_{xy} - 4(f_2 - f_5)V_{yy} \\
  &+ 2(6A_{400}x^2 + 62A_{400}y^2 + 3A_{301}x - 29A_{310}y + 9A_{220} + 3A_{202})V_x \\
  &+ 2(56A_{400}xy + 13A_{310}x - 13A_{301}y + 3A_{211})V_y
\end{align}

\begin{align}
  \ell_y &= g_2 V_x + 2g_3 V_y + \frac{h^2}{4}((-f_2 + f_4)V_{xx} + 4(f_1 - f_3)V_{xy} + (f_2 - f_6)V_{yy}) \\
  &+ 4(f_1 - f_4)V_{xx} - (f_2 + 13f_5)V_{xy} - (f_1 - 3f_4)V_{yy} \\
  &+ 2(56A_{400}xy - 13A_{310}x + 13A_{301}y + 3A_{211})V_x \\
  &+ 2(62A_{400}x^2 + 6A_{400}y^2 + 29A_{301}x - 3A_{310}y + 9A_{202} + 3A_{220})V_y)
\end{align}

The polynomials $f_i$ are defined as

\begin{align}
  f_1 &= A_{400}y^4 - A_{310}y^3 + A_{220}y^2 - A_{130}y + A_{040} \\
  f_2 &= -4A_{400}xy^3 - A_{301}y^3 + 3A_{310}xy^2 + A_{211}y^2 - 2A_{220}xy - A_{121}y + A_{130}x + A_{031} \\
  f_3 &= 6A_{400}x^2y^2 + 3A_{301}xy^2 - 3A_{310}x^2y + A_{202}y^2 + A_{220}x^2 - A_{112}y - A_{121}x + A_{022} \\
  f_4 &= -4A_{400}xy^3 + A_{310}x^3 - 3A_{301}x^2y + A_{211}x^2 - 2A_{202}xy + A_{112}x - A_{103}y + A_{013} \\
  f_5 &= A_{400}x^4 + A_{301}x^3 + A_{202}x^2 + A_{103}x + A_{004}.
\end{align}

The compatibility condition for (14)–(17) is a fourth-order linear PDE for $V$ given by

\begin{align}
  0 &= \partial_{yxy}(4f_1 V_x + 5f_2 V_y) - \partial_{xx}(3f_2 V_x + 2f_5 V_y) \\
  &+ \partial_{xy}(2f_3 V_x + 3f_4 V_y) - \partial_{xxx}(f_4 V_x + 4f_5 V_y).
\end{align}

Equations (18) and (19) have a compatibility condition which is a nonlinear PDE for $V, g_1, g_2, g_3$. Again, the classical determining equations are obtained in the limit $h \to 0$. Thus, (14)–(17) and hence (21) are the same in classical mechanics but (18) and (19) have a quantum correction of order $h^2$.

### 3.2. A superintegrable nonseparable system

It is now quite easy to check that potential (11) satisfies the quantum and classical determining equations for the existence of a fourth order integral. Finally, we have obtained the main results of this paper.
Theorem 1. The operator triplet \((H, X, Y)\) with

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} (p_1^2 + p_2^2) + \alpha y x^2/3 - 5\hbar^2/72x^2
\]

\[
X = 3p_1^2 p_2 + 2p_2^3 + \left\{ \frac{9\alpha}{2} x^2, p_1 \right\} + \left\{ \frac{3\alpha y}{x^3} - \frac{5\hbar^2}{24x^2}, p_2 \right\}
\]

\[
Y = p_1^4 + \left\{ \frac{2\alpha y}{x^3} - \frac{5\hbar^2}{36x^2}, p_1 \right\} - \left\{ 6x^2 \alpha, p_1 p_2 \right\} - \frac{2\alpha^2 (9x^2 - 2y^2)}{x^4} - \frac{5\alpha y^2}{9x^8} + \frac{25\hbar^4}{1296x^4}
\]

constitutes a quantum superintegrable system that does not allow multiplicative separation of variables in the Schrödinger equation in any system of coordinates.

Theorem 2. The triplet of well-defined functions on phase space with

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} (p_1^2 + p_2^2) + \alpha y x^2/3
\]

\[
X = 3p_1^2 p_2 + 2p_2^3 + 9\alpha x^2/3 \cdot p_1 + 6\alpha y x^3/3 \cdot p_2
\]

\[
Y = p_1^4 + \frac{4\alpha y}{x^3} p_1^2 - 12x^2 \alpha p_1 p_2 - \frac{2\alpha^2 (9x^2 - 2y^2)}{x^4}
\]

constitutes a classical superintegrable system that does not allow additive separation of variables in the Hamilton–Jacobi equation in any system of coordinates.

Both of these theorems are proved by directly verifying that they satisfy the determining equations. The nonseparability result follows from the fact that the Hamiltonian does not allow any second-order integrals of motion.

In the classical case it is easy to verify that \((H, X, Y)\) of (25)–(27) are functionally independent. Indeed, the Jacobian matrix \(J\) satisfies

\[
J = \frac{\partial (H, X, Y)}{\partial (x, y, p_1, p_2)}, \quad \text{rank } J = 3.
\]

Hence, no nontrivial relations of the type \(0 = F(X, Y, H)\) exist (in particular there is no syzygy). In the quantum case, if a Jordan polynomial relation between the three integrals (23), (24) and (22) did exist, it would imply the existence of a syzygy in the classical limit \(\hbar \to 0\).

The integrals of motion in both cases generate a finite-dimensional decomposable Lie algebra

\[
\{X, Y, H\} \oplus H
\]

with

\[
[X, Y] = -108\alpha^3 H.
\]

Algebra (29) is thus a direct sum of a Heisenberg algebra with \(H\) as an additional central element.
4. Conclusion

To our knowledge, (11) is the first quantum nonseparable superintegrable system in the literature. Classical ones, on the other hand, are already known. One is the classical nonperiodic $N$ particle Toda lattice. Agrotis et al [1] have shown that the $N$ particle Toda system allows $2N - 1$ integrals. A different classical nonseparable superintegrable system in complex two-dimensional Euclidean space was recently presented by Maciejewski et al [16].

The first systematic search for integrable systems with a third-order integral in two dimensions was published by Drach in 1935 [2] and was conducted in a two-dimensional complex space $E_2(C)$. He found ten families of potentials. One of them can be rewritten in real Euclidean space $E_2$ as

$$V = \frac{1}{x^a}(a + by + c(4x^2 + 3y^2)).$$

We see that the superintegrable potential (25) is a special case of (31) (the constant $a$ can be translated away for $b \neq 0$). For $c \neq 0$ (31) does not allow a fourth-order integral, though it still might be superintegrable.

Acknowledgments

The research of PW is partially supported by a research grant from NSERC of Canada. SP acknowledges a postdoctoral fellowship awarded by the Laboratory of Mathematical Physics of the Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal.

Appendix. Proof of equation (13)

Theorem 3. Given a self-adjoint Hamiltonian of the form

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 + p_2^2) + V(x, y), \quad V: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}. \quad (A.1)$$

Up to multiplication by a complex constant and linear combinations with lower-order integrals of motion, any fourth-order integral of motion, can be written in the form (13).

Proof. First, since $H$ is a real differential operator, the real and imaginary parts of $Y$ must commute with $H$ separately and so $Y$ can be assumed real and can be written as

$$Y = \sum_{k=0}^{4} \sum_{j=0}^{j} f_{jk} p_1^{k-j}, \quad p_j = -i\hbar \partial_{x_j} \quad (A.2)$$

with $f_{j2}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_{j2+1}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$.

Next, note that $H$ is self-adjoint and so any integral which commutes with it can be broken up into self-adjoint and skew-adjoint parts which will simultaneously commute with $H$. Further, at least one of the parts will remain fourth order and so, up to multiplication by a complex constant and modulo lower order terms, the constant of the motion can be assumed to be self-adjoint and can be written as

$$Y = \frac{i}{2}(Y^\dagger + Y) \quad (A.3)$$

which implies

$$Y = \frac{i}{2}([f_{j4}, p_1^{4-j}] + [f_{j2}, p_1^{2-j}] + f_0, 0 + [f_{j3}, p_1^{3-j}] + [f_{j1}, p_1^{1-j}]). \quad (A.4)$$
However, the odd terms can be written as symmetrized even terms. Computing directly,

\[
[f_{11}, \ p_1] = i\hbar f_{11,x} \quad [f_{01}, \ p_2] = i\hbar f_{12,y}
\]  
(A.5)

\[
[f_{33}, \ p_1^3] = i\hbar^3 \left( -f_{33,xxx} - 3f_{33,xx} \partial_x - 3f_{33,x} \partial_x^2 \right)
= i\hbar^3 \left( -f_{33,xxx} - \frac{3}{2} \left( f_{33,x} \partial_x^2 + \frac{3}{2} f_{33,xxx} \right) \right)
= \frac{i\hbar^3}{2} f_{33,xxx} + \frac{3i\hbar}{2} \left( f_{33,x} \partial_x^2 \right).
\]  
(A.6)

\[
[f_{23}, \ p_1^2 p_2] = i\hbar^3 \left( -f_{23,xy} - 2f_{23,xy} \partial_x - f_{23,xx} \partial_y - 2f_{23,y} \partial_y + f_{23,y} \partial_y^2 \right)
= i\hbar^3 \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( f_{23,y} \partial_y^2 \right) - f_{23,xx} \partial_y + f_{23,xy} \partial_y + \frac{1}{2} f_{23,xy} \partial_y \right)
= \frac{i\hbar^3}{2} \left( f_{23,y} \partial_y \right) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left( f_{23,xy} \partial_y \right) + \frac{i\hbar^3}{2} f_{23,xy}
\]  
(A.7)

and similarly

\[
[f_{13}, \ p_1^2 p_2] = \frac{i\hbar^3}{2} \left( f_{13,xy} \partial_y \right) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left( f_{13,xy} \partial_y \right) + \frac{i\hbar^3}{2} f_{13,xy}
\]  
(A.8)

\[
[f_{03}, \ p_3^3] = \frac{i\hbar^3}{2} f_{03,yyy} + \frac{3i\hbar}{2} \left( f_{03,y} \partial_y \right)
\]  
(A.9)

Here we recall that the odd-order functions are purely imaginary and so we can define new, real functions

\[
a_{02} = f_{22} + \frac{3i\hbar}{2} f_{33,x} + \frac{i\hbar}{2} f_{23,y}
\]  
(A.10)

\[
a_{12} = f_{12} + i\hbar f_{23,x} + i\hbar f_{13,y}
\]  
(A.11)

\[
a_{22} = f_{02} + \frac{i\hbar}{2} f_{13,x} + \frac{3i\hbar}{2} f_{03,y}
\]  
(A.12)

\[
b = f_{00} + \frac{i\hbar^3}{2} f_{33,xx} + \frac{i\hbar^3}{2} f_{23,xxx} + \frac{i\hbar^3}{2} f_{13,xy} + \frac{i\hbar^3}{2} f_{03,yyy} + i\hbar f_{11,x} + i\hbar f_{12,y}
\]  
(A.13)

To finish the theorem, we need only show that the highest-order terms lies in the enveloping algebra of the Euclidean Lie algebra \(e(2)\). If we compute the determining equations that result from the requirement that \([Y, \ H] = 0\), the differential equations that \(f_{j4}\) must solve are identical to those determined by the requirement that

\[
\left[ \sum_{j=0}^{4} \frac{1}{2} \left( f_{j4}, \ p_1^j p_2^4 \right), \ \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 \right] = 0.
\]

Thus, there exist constants \(A_{ijk}\) such that the two operators \(\frac{1}{2} \left( f_{j4}, \ p_1^j p_2^4 \right)\) and \(A_{ijk} \left( L_{ij}^k, \ p_1^i p_2^j \right)\) differ by at most lower order terms. However, since both of these operators are real and self-adjoint their difference must also be. Thus, the difference between the two operators is at most an order two differential operator which has only even terms in symmetrized form, as proven above. That is there exist real functions \(c_{j2}, \ d\) such that

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{4} \frac{1}{2} \left( f_{j4}, \ p_1^j p_2^4 \right) - \sum_{j,k,l=4} A_{ijk} \left( L_{ij}^k, \ p_1^i p_2^j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \left( c_{j2}, \ p_2^{2-j} \right) + d.
\]  
(A.14)
Finally, if we define

\[ g_1 = a_{02} + c_{02}, \quad g_2 = a_{12} + c_{12}, \quad g_3 = a_{22} + c_{22}, \quad \ell = b + d \]  

(A.15)

then, \( Y \) is of the form (13).  

\[ \square \]
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