One-neutron knockout in the vicinity of the $N = 32$ sub-shell closure: $^9\text{Be}$$^{(57}\text{Cr},^{56}\text{Cr}+\gamma)X$
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The one-neutron knockout reaction $^9\text{Be}$$^{(57}\text{Cr},^{56}\text{Cr}+\gamma)X$ has been measured in inverse kinematics with an intermediate-energy beam. Cross sections to individual states in $^{56}\text{Cr}$ were partially untangled through the detection of the characteristic $\gamma$-ray transitions in coincidence with the reaction residues. The experimental inclusive longitudinal momentum distribution and the yields to individual states are compared to calculations that combine spectroscopic factors from the full $fp$ shell model and nucleon-removal cross sections computed in a few-body eikonal approach.

Neutron-rich Ca, Ti and Cr isotopes have attracted much attention recently. The strong proton-nucleon orbital angular momentum distribution in low-energy transfer reactions – to identify the reaction residues is used – in analogy to the angular distribution in low-energy transfer reactions – to identify the orbital angular momentum $l$ carried by the knocked-out nucleon.

In this paper we discuss the magnitude of the inclusive cross section, the small branch for the knockout to the ground state of $^{56}\text{Cr}$ and the shape of the inclusive momentum distribution as compared to that predicted on the basis of single-particle strengths from large-scale shell-model calculations in the $fp$ shell.

A secondary beam cocktail containing $^{57}\text{Cr}$ was obtained via fast fragmentation of a 130 MeV/nucleon $^{76}\text{Ge}$ primary beam delivered by the Coupled Cyclotron Facility of the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory at Michigan State University. The production target, 423 mg/cm$^2$ thick $^9\text{Be}$, was located at the mid-acceptance position of the A1900 fragment separator. The separator was operated at 1% momentum acceptance.

The $^{57}\text{Cr}$ projectiles, with an average mid-target energy of 77 MeV/nucleon, interacted with a 375 mg/cm$^2$ thick $^9\text{Be}$ target placed at the pivot point of the large-acceptance, high resolution S800 magnetic spectrograph. The event-by-event particle identification and the reconstruction of the momentum distribution of the knockout residues were performed with the focal-plane detector system. The energy loss in the S800 ionization chamber, the time of flight taken between plastic scintillators and the position and angle information of the reaction products in the S800 focal plane were employed to identify the reaction residues produced upon collision with the $^9\text{Be}$ target (Fig.1). The spectrograph was operated in focus mode, where the incoming exotic beam is momentum focused onto the secondary reaction target. The difference in the time of flight measured between two scintillators before the secondary target provided the particle identification of the incoming beam. Selection of the incoming species allowed a separation of
knockout residues and fragmentation products of the different constituents of the beam. Incoming $^{55}$V and $^{57}$Cr projectiles overlapped in time of flight, but possible contamination from $^{56}$Cr produced by proton pickup on $^{55}$V is highly unlikely because of momentum mismatch.

An inclusive cross section of $\sigma_{\text{inc}} = 122(8)$ mb was determined for the one-neutron knockout from $^{57}$Cr to all bound final states of $^{56}$Cr. This was obtained from the yield of knockout residues divided by the number of incoming projectiles relative to the number density of the $^9$Be reaction target. The fraction of incoming $^{57}$Cr projectiles in the cocktail was determined from the unreacted spectrograph setting shown in the right panel of Fig. 1. The number of incoming $^{57}$Cr in the reaction setting is then derived from this fraction relative to scalers counting the total incoming particle flux. The main uncertainties stem from the choice of the software gates used for particle identification (2.5%), the composition of the beam (3%), and the momentum acceptance of the S800 spectrograph (5%). These systematic errors are assumed to be independent and have been added in quadrature.

The $^9$Be reaction target was surrounded by SeGA, an array of 32-fold segmented HPGe detectors [29], arranged in a configuration with two rings (90° and 37° central angles with respect to the beam axis, equipped with ten and seven detectors, respectively). The segmentation of the SeGA detectors allows for an event-by-event Doppler reconstruction where the angle of the \( \gamma \)-ray emission is deduced from the position of the segment that registered the highest energy deposition.

The SeGA total photopeak efficiency of 2.2% for 1.33-MeV photons energy was determined with standard calibration sources. The latter also provided the detector response used to correct the in-beam data for the Lorentz boost arising from the velocity of the emitting reaction residues \( v/c = 0.36 \).

The Doppler-reconstructed \( \gamma \)-ray spectrum detected in coincidence with $^{56}$Cr knockout residues is shown in Fig. 2. The \( \gamma \)-ray transitions observed in the present experiment are in agreement with the results from [10, 11] and confirm the known level scheme, given as an inset in Fig. 2. Using the known \( \gamma \)-ray branching ratios and the level scheme, the cross sections for the one-neutron knockout to specific final states were deduced from the balance between the observed feeding and deexcitation patterns. These intensity balances can be rather uncertain in instances where unobserved \( \gamma \)-ray decays are possible.

The spectroscopic factors leading to the ground state and the $^2+\,$ state (1.007 MeV) of $^{56}$Cr were calculated in the full \( fp\) shell-model space with the GXPF1A interaction [34, 35] using the codes CMIChSM [36] and OXBASH [37]. The results are summarized in Fig. 3 and Table I. The calculations predict a $3/2^-\,$ state for $^{57}$Cr, in agreement with an early \( \beta \)-decay measurement [38] and consistent also with more recent experimental observations [3, 12]. The calculations indicate a severely fragmented single-particle strength as the derived spectroscopic factors are: $C^2S(0^+, p_{1/2}) = 0.24$, $C^2S(2^+, p_{3/2}) = 0.32$, $C^2S(2^+, f_{1/2}) = 0.03$, $C^2S(2^+, p_{3/2}) = 0.006$ and $C^2S(2^+, p_{1/2}) = 0.007$, with the remaining spectroscopic strength, that sums up to the neutron occupation number of 13, going to levels up to about 8 MeV in $^{56}$Cr (the neutron decay threshold.

\[ \text{FIG. 1: (Color online) Energy loss vs. time of flight particle identification spectra for the one-neutron knockout reaction setting and the unreacted incoming beam. The right panel shows the incoming, unreacted cocktail beam passing through the }^9\text{Be target. This unreacted setting has been used to determine the normalization of the incoming rate of }^{57}\text{Cr. The left panel shows the spectrum obtained with the one-neutron removal reaction residues centered in the S800 focal plane. A software gate has been applied on incoming }^{55}\text{V and }^{57}\text{Cr in the time-of-flight difference measured between two plastic scintillators before the target.} \]

\[ \text{FIG. 2: Gamma-ray spectrum detected in coincidence with }^{56}\text{Cr knockout residues. The observed transitions are in agreement with the level scheme established in [10, 11]. The population of individual excited states in the knockout reaction is derived from } \gamma \text{-ray intensities relative to the number of knockout residues taking the level scheme into account. The fraction of 2.6% for the knockout to the ground state is obtained after subtraction.} \]
is $S_n = 8.26$ MeV \cite{39}). Such high excitation energies imply that there may be several hundred levels to consider. For an estimate of the cross section related to these states, we take the remaining $(p_{1/2}, f_{5/2}, p_{3/2})$ strength of $C^2S(p_{3/2}) = 2.15$, $C^2S(f_{5/2}) = 1.81$ and $C^2S(p_{1/2}) = 0.47$ to be centered at 4 MeV in excitation and the deeper $f_{7/2}$ hole strength of about 8 units to be centered at 8 MeV in excitation energy. Clearly, the value $C^2S(f_{7/2}) = 8$ should be viewed as an upper limit for $f_{7/2}$ occupation as it assumes that no spectroscopic strength is lost to unbound states above the neutron threshold. This complex scenario with spectroscopic strength to many excited states below threshold leads to the following conclusion: the measured population of excited states quoted in Fig. 2 must include both the direct population in the one-neutron knockout process and unobserved, discrete feeding from many higher-lying excited states. The high partial cross section carried by the $2^+$ state is likely dominated by indirect, unobserved feeding. This state will act as doorway state funnelling the majority of the spectroscopic strength feeding the higher-lying, excited states that are predicted to be populated in the one-neutron knockout by the shell-model calculation.

The theoretical cross sections quoted in Fig. 4 are obtained by combining the spectroscopic factors from the shell-model calculations and the single-particle cross sections from eikonal reaction theory in the following way: the cross sections $\sigma_i(I^\pi)$ for the knockout of a single neutron with quantum numbers $(n,l,j)$, leaving the core in a specific final state $I^\pi$, factorize into a part that describes nuclear structure (the spectroscopic factor $C^2S$) and a contribution characterizing the reaction process (the single-nucleon removal unit cross section $\sigma_{sp}$) as

$$\sigma_i(I^\pi) = \sum_j \left( \frac{A}{A-1} \right)^3 C^2S(j, I^\pi) \sigma_{sp}(j, S_n + E_f(I^\pi))$$

(1)

with summation over all the allowed angular-momentum transfers $j$. The $A$-dependent term is a center-of-mass correction \cite{34,31} to the shell-model spectroscopic factors in the $fp$ shell. The effective separation energy of the nucleon is $S_n + E_f(I^\pi)$ where $S_n = 5.176$ MeV is the ground-state neutron separation energy of the projectile \cite{22} and $E_f(I^\pi)$ denotes the excitation energy of the final state of the core. The single-particle cross sections are the sum of contributions from both the stripping and diffractive dissociation mechanisms \cite{14}:

$$\sigma_{sp} = \sigma_{str} + \sigma_{dif}.$$  

\hspace*{1cm} (2)

The single-particle cross sections entering eq. 1 were obtained within the eikonal approach of Refs. 14,32. The stripping and diffractive contributions have been computed from the core- and neutron-target $S$ matrices, which were calculated from the $^9$Be and $^{56}$Cr densities using Glauber theory, as discussed in \cite{14}. We assumed a Gaussian matter density for $^9$Be with a rms radius of 2.36 fm. The $^{56}$Cr density was taken from SKX Hartree-Fock (HF) calculations \cite{23}. The core-neutron relative motion wave functions were calculated in a Woods-Saxon potential. The diffuseness parameter was fixed at $a = 0.7$ fm, consistent with previous publications \cite{14}. The radius parameter $r_0$ was selected for each single-particle orbit $(nlj)$ individually to reproduce the rms separation of neutron and core as calculated within the SKX HF wave function. The depths of the binding potentials were chosen to reproduce the effective separation energy of each final state.

| $E_f$ (MeV) | $nlj$ | $C^2S$ | $\sigma_{str}$ (mb) | $\sigma_{dif}$ (mb) | $\sigma_{sp}$ (mb) | $\sigma_i$ (mb) |
|------------|------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 0.0 0 \_ 1 | 2p\_3/2 | 0.24  | 14.56             | 6.17              | 20.73           | 5.25           |
| 1.0 2 \_ 1 | 2p\_3/2 | 0.32  | 12.83             | 5.15              | 17.98           | 6.07           |
| 1.0 f\_7/2 | 0.03  | 9.57  | 3.18              | 12.75             | 0.40            | 0.40           |
| 1.0 f\_5/2 | 0.006 | 8.07  | 2.61              | 10.67             | 0.07            | 0.07           |
| 0.0 0 \_ 1 | 2p\_3/2 | 0.007 | 12.87             | 5.17              | 18.04           | 0.13           |
| 4.0 2p\_3/2 | 2.15  | 9.57  | 3.37              | 12.94             | 29.33           | 29.33         |
| 1.0 f\_5/2 | 1.81  | 6.70  | 1.97              | 8.67              | 16.55           | 16.55         |
| 2p\_1/2 | 0.47  | 9.63  | 3.40              | 13.02             | 6.45            | 6.45         |
| 8.0 1f\_7/2 | 7.97  | 6.47  | 1.78              | 8.24              | 69.28           | 69.28         |

\hspace*{1cm} sum 13 6.47 1.78 8.24 69.28

\hspace*{1cm} sum 133.5

The large inclusive cross section for knockout from $^{57}$Cr to $^{56}$Cr can be traced to the large neutron occupancy of orbitals that, in one-neutron removal, populate bound excited states of $^{56}$Cr. Remarkably, the small theoretical cross section for the knockout to the ground state is also in agreement with the experimental observation (see Fig. 4) and is a consequence of the very small associated spectroscopic factor of $C^2S = 0.24$. In the shell model, the 2p\_3/2, 1f\_5/2 and 2p\_1/2 single-particle orbits are very close in energy, with the result that a simple description of $^{57}$Cr as a single neutron outside a $N = 32$ core fails.

The inclusive parallel momentum distribution of the $^{56}$Cr residues is compared with the theoretical expectation in Fig. 5. The latter combines the momentum distributions for the removal of a neutron from 2p\_3/2, 1f\_5/2, 2p\_1/2 and 1f\_7/2 orbits (Table XIV), calculated from the same S matrices as used for the single-particle cross sections,
are more robust, as was discussed above (further discussion can be found in [42]). The overall agreement in the shapes of the measured and calculated inclusive momentum distributions is consistent with the schematic distribution of single-particle strength adopted and the shell-model space chosen within this approach appears valid.

FIG. 3: Schematic shell-model level scheme, configurations, and resulting theoretical cross sections for the population of excited states in $^{56}$Cr. The shell-model calculation is schematic. The cross sections are calculated from eikonal reaction theory as described in the text (Table I).

and the method of [22, 33]. The theoretical inclusive momentum distribution is then the sum of these individual distributions, weighted with the corresponding spectroscopic factors. The calculated shape was convoluted with the measured momentum profile of the unreacted $^{57}$Cr beam to account for the incoming momentum spread and the straggling in the target. The measured momentum distribution is asymmetric with the high-momentum side being accurately reproduced by the calculation while a tail extends toward lower momenta. Such asymmetric shapes have been reported before [40, 41, 42] in nucleon knockout reactions of well-bound systems and indicate (as yet unquantified) effects that go beyond eikonal reaction theory. In the eikonal theory, the partial cross sections are determined: (i) structurally, by the single-particle overlap for each transition, and (ii) dynamically, by the nucleon- and residue-target $S$-matrices. The expressions for the single-particle cross sections are inclusive with respect to all final states of the target (using completeness) when assuming these can be considered degenerate with the target ground state for the purpose of the reaction dynamics (the adiabatic approximation)-the result is that the $S$-matrices are calculated at fixed energy. This is expected to be a very good approximatation at intermediate energy. Expressing the eikonal residue momentum distribution requires additional approximations, e.g. the neglect of residue final-state interactions, while this observable now probes the dynamics more closely. This extra sensitivity indicates a small redistribution of the integrated single-particle cross section with momentum compared to that from the approximate (energy non-conserving) eikonal model kinematics, with a low-energy tail characteristic of (dissipative) target excitations that are treated only approximately (adiabatically) in the eikonal model. The approximations required for the integrated partial cross section on the other hand
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FIG. 4: (Color online) Inclusive parallel momentum distribution of the $^{56}$Cr knockout residues in comparison to a model calculation. The theoretical inclusive momentum distribution (solid red line) is calculated using the eikonal stripping reaction mechanism. The weights attributed to the individual contributions of knockout from $2p_{3/2}$, $1f_{7/2}$, $2p_{1/2}$, and $1f_{7/2}$ orbits are taken from the corresponding theoretical cross sections presented in Table I. The momentum profile of the unreacted $^{57}$Cr projectile beam passing through the target (dashed blue line) is also shown.

In summary, the one-neutron knockout reaction $^9$Be($^{57}$Cr,$^{56}$Cr+$\gamma$)X has been investigated. The spectroscopic strength was found to be spread over a large number of $^{56}$Cr levels. Nevertheless, calculations were able to reproduce the main observables, i.e. the magnitude of the inclusive cross section of $\sigma_{inc} = 122(8)$ mb as well as the shape of the inclusive parallel momentum distribution. These results can be viewed as a further illustration of the potential of direct one-nucleon removal reactions at intermediate energy and the associated theoretical framework for spectroscopic investigations of exotic nuclei.
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