Field-dependent spin and heat conductivities of dimerized spin-1/2 chains
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We study the spin and heat conductivity of dimerized spin-1/2 chains in homogeneous magnetic fields at finite temperatures. At zero temperature, the model undergoes two field-induced quantum phase transitions from a dimerized, into a Luttinger, and finally into a fully polarized phase. We search for signatures of these transitions in the spin and heat conductivities. Using exact diagonalization, we calculate the Drude weights, the frequency dependence of the conductivities, and the corresponding integrated spectral weights. As a main result, we demonstrate that both the spin and heat conductivity are enhanced in the gapless phase and most notably at low frequencies. In the case of the thermal conductivity, however, the field-induced increase seen in the bare transport coefficients is suppressed by magnetothermal effects, caused by the coupling of the heat and spin current in finite magnetic fields. Our results complement recent magnetic transport experiments on spin ladder materials with sufficiently small exchange couplings allowing access to the field-induced transitions.

I. INTRODUCTION

While low-dimensional quantum magnets have been intensely studied for the past decade, the transport properties still pose viable challenges for experimental and theoretical physicists.1–4 On the theoretical side, the ground-state properties of low-dimensional spin systems are very well studied by means of powerful techniques such as the Bethe ansatz, bosonization, the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) method, quantum Monte Carlo (QMC), and exact diagonalization (see Refs. 5–7 for reviews). Finite-temperature transport properties, though, remain an exciting and active field of research. One of the best established results is the ballistic thermal transport in the integrable XXZ spin-1/2 chain.8–11 In general, however, transport properties are not that easily obtained, especially far from equilibrium or in non-integrable models, but even spin transport in exactly solvable models is still an active field of research with open pending questions.11,12 In the non-equilibrium case, one has to resort to numerical simulations of either systems coupled to external baths19–21 or closed systems prepared in a state out of equilibrium.22,23

For non-integrable systems, finite-temperature transport is expected to be diffusive24,25 which is consistent with the numerical observation of a vanishing Drude weight for both heat and spin transport.11,26–28 While this result has been accepted for massive phases in the high-temperature regime, the situation for massless phases of non-integrable systems at low temperatures and in the vicinity of integrable models is less clear, in the sense that numerical studies yield large ballistic contributions to transport coefficients in massless phases and on finite systems.29–33

Theoretical research into the transport properties of low-dimensional magnets1,2 has been strongly motivated by exciting experimental results on materials with low-dimensional electronic structures.1,2 The large thermal conductivities found in the spin ladder materials (Sr,Ca,La)11Cu24O41 establish a link between the thermal conductivity and magnetic excitations.34–37 There is also a variety of spin chain materials with magnetic contributions to the heat conductivity, most notably Sr2CuO3, SrCuO2 (Ref. 38–40), and CaCu2O3 (Ref. 41). Recent successes in sample preparation have resulted in very clean samples of SrCuO2, exhibiting the largest thermal conductivity so far observed in low-dimensional quantum magnets.22 This has been interpreted as experimental evidence for ballistic heat transport in clean Heisenberg chains, where phonons are the main source of external scattering.40

The magnetic field dependence of the thermal conductivity has been the case of interest in several experimental studies,34–42,43 yet in most of the known materials, exchange couplings are orders of magnitude larger than the magnetic fields available in a laboratory. Only recently, the quasi-one-dimensional organic compound (CsH12N)2CuBr4 (Refs. 44–45) has gained attention in this context. It has exchange couplings small enough to allow experimental access to field-driven quantum phase transitions at low temperatures up to the saturation field. In these experiments, the phase diagram with respect to temperature and magnetic field has been explored by a large variety of experimental probes, establishing the presence of a field-induced gapless phase at low temperatures.46–50 Recent measurements of the thermal conductivity of these compounds in external magnetic fields have been interpreted in terms of the absence of spin mediated heat transport.51

The field-dependent thermal transport in the XXZ chain has previously been addressed with several theoretical approaches,52–54 emphasizing the role of magnetothermal corrections to the thermal conductivity due to the coupling of the spin and the heat current, similar to the Seebeck effect.55 The possibility of controlling the heat transport in spin chains by varying a magnetic...
field has been addressed in Ref. [56]. The zero-field transport properties of the dimerized chain have been studied in Refs. [2,11] while the field-dependence of the thermal Drude weight of noninteracting, dimerized XX chains has been discussed in Ref. [57].

Our present goal is to understand the dependence of the spin and heat conductivities of dimerized spin chains on external magnetic fields. Within linear response theory, the frequency-dependent conductivity has two contributions, a delta peak at zero frequency whose weight is the Drude weight and a regular part:

\[ \sigma[\kappa](\omega) = D_{\sigma[\kappa]}(\omega) + \sigma[\kappa]\text{reg}(\omega). \]

Using exact diagonalization to evaluate Kubo formulas [55,58] we compute these quantities for a value of the spin gap that is comparable to the one found in (C_{2}H_{12}N)_{2}CuBr (Refs. [46,47]).

As a main result, we find an increased weight in the low-frequency regime of both \( \sigma(\omega) \) and \( \kappa(\omega) \) in the field-induced phase. This allows for a direct interpretation in terms of transport channels opened in the vicinity of the Fermi points of the corresponding Luttinger liquid. These channels lead to a strong enhancement of the transport coefficients for spin and heat conduction. On the finite systems that we have access to with exact diagonalization, the main contribution to the increase of the conductivities at low frequencies and low but finite temperatures stems from the Drude weight. In the case of the spin conductivity this increase is roughly an order of magnitude larger than the one observed in the regular part, whereas for the thermal conductivity, the picture is more involved. At zero magnetic field and low temperatures, the bare thermal Drude weight (without any magnetothermal corrections) dominates the regular part, yet when increasing the field, the regular part increases more strongly than the thermal Drude weight. Finally, taking into account the magnetothermal corrections, the increase of the thermal weight with increasing field becomes a decrease, as expected from the results for the XXZ chain [55].

We further study the dependence of the transport coefficients on the strength of the dimerization, varying it between the limits of uncoupled dimers and the Heisenberg chain. We expect that our results are generic for dimerized quasi one-dimensional systems, while the obvious advantage of working with the dimerized chain is that with exact diagonalization, we can reach longer chains than in the case of a ladder. We shall stress that our work is concerned with the intrinsic transport properties of dimerized systems, whereas for a complete description of the experimental results, phonons may play an important role, as has been emphasized in Refs. [59–61].

The paper is organized as follows: First, we introduce the model and briefly review the ground-state properties. Second, we proceed by summarizing the necessary framework to compute transport coefficients and conductivities within linear response theory. Section IV presents the results. We study the Drude weights in Sec. IV A, the frequency-dependent conductivities in Sec. IV B and the spectral weights in Sec. IV C, all as a function of the magnetic field and temperature. The influence of the strength of the dimerization is discussed in Sec. IV D and Sec. IV E covers magnetothermal effects. Finally, we summarize our findings in Sec. V. The dependence of the Drude weights at finite fields on the system size is presented in the Appendix.

II. MODEL

We study the spin and thermal conductivity of dimerized spin chains in a homogeneous magnetic field. The model Hamiltonian for a chain of length \( L \) is given by

\[ H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i = J \sum_{i=1}^{L} [\lambda_i \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} - h_i S_i^z], \]

where the dimerization is introduced via: \( \lambda_i = \lambda \) for \( i \) even and \( \lambda_i = 1 \) for \( i \) odd, \( \vec{S} = (S^x, S^y, S^z) \), \( S_i^x \) and \( S_i^y \) are the components of a spin-1/2 operator acting on site \( i \) and \( h \) denotes the magnetic field. We use periodic boundary conditions. The exchange coupling \( J \) sets the global energy scale and the model includes the Heisenberg spin chain (\( \lambda = 1 \)) and uncoupled dimers (\( \lambda = 0 \)) as limiting cases.

The magnetic phase diagram [62,63] shown in Fig. 1 is very similar to the one of the two-leg ladder system [63]. The upper (red dashed) line is the saturation field. The lower (solid blue) line corresponds to the spin gap which has been intensely studied by analytical and numerical means [55,62]. Since the Heisenberg chain is gapless a care-
ful finite-size scaling for the lower critical field is necessary. The blue diamonds are DMRG data for open boundary conditions, extrapolated in inverse system size, which we compare to exact diagonalization results obtained with $L = 18$ sites and periodic boundary conditions (squares). This illustrates that for $\lambda \lesssim 0.8$, the phase boundaries exhibit very small finite-size effects; thus, for the system sizes that we shall use in our exact diagonalization analysis, we are already very close to the bulk value for the gap. The Luttinger liquid phase here is similar to the Luttinger liquid phase in the XXZ model where the transition from the gapped to the gapless phase is of the commensurate-incommensurate type (see, e.g., Refs. 69 and 70).

III. TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS AND CONDUCTIVITIES

Here we summarize the central equations for magnetic transport in the linear response regime. The expectation values of the spin and thermal currents, $j_1$ and $j_2$, are given by

$$\langle j_1 \rangle = \sum_m L_{lm} f_m ,$$

(3)

where $f_1 = \nabla h$ and $f_2 = -\nabla T$ refer to the magnetic field and temperature gradients. $L_{lm}$ is the conductivity matrix. $j_1$ and $j_2$ can be expressed via the spin and energy currents $j_s$ and $j_{th}$ by

$$j_1 = j_s, \quad j_2 = j_{th} - h j_s ,$$

(4)

where

$$j_{s[th]} = i \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} [h_{l-1}, d_l] .$$

(5)

$h_l$ denotes the local energy densities defined by Eq. (2) at zero magnetic field, i.e., $d_l = h_l = \lambda_l S^x_l S^x_{l+1}$ for heat transport, while $d_l = S^z_l$ for spin transport. The conductivities satisfy

$$\sigma_{lm}(\omega) = \text{Re} L_{lm}(\omega) = D_{lm} \delta(\omega) + \sigma_{\text{reg}, lm}(\omega)$$

(6)

with

$$D_{lm} = \frac{\pi^{\gamma+1}}{Z L} \sum_{E_n \neq E_0} e^{-\beta E_n} \langle n | j_l | o \rangle \langle o | j_m | n \rangle ,$$

(7)

where $r = 0(1)$ for $m = 1(2)$ and

$$\sigma_{\text{reg}, lm} = \frac{\pi^{\gamma-1}}{Z L} \frac{1 - e^{-\beta \omega}}{\omega} \sum_{E_n \neq E_0} e^{-\beta E_n} \times \langle n | j_l | o \rangle \langle o | j_m | n \rangle \delta(\omega - \Delta E) .$$

(8)

$\beta = 1/T$ is the inverse temperature, $\langle n \rangle$ and $E_n$ are the eigenstates and energies of $H$, $Z = \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n}$ denotes the partition function, and $\Delta E = E_o - E_n$. Next we define

$$\begin{align*}
\sigma(\omega) &= \{ \sigma_{11}(\omega), \sigma_{22}(\omega) \} \left. \right|_{j_2 \to j_{th}} , \\
\kappa(\omega) &= \{ \sigma_{21}(\omega) \} \left. \right|_{j_2 \to j_{th}} , \\
\sigma_{\text{th}, s}(\omega) &= \{ \sigma_{21}(\omega) \} \left. \right|_{j_2 \to j_{th}} ,
\end{align*}$$

(9)

and the Drude weights $D_s$, $D_{th}$, and $D_{th,s}$ of $\sigma(\omega)$, $\kappa(\omega)$, and $\sigma_{\text{th}, s}(\omega)$, respectively. The latter set of quantities corresponds to a choice for the currents alternative to Eq. (7), namely $j_1 = j_s$ and $j_2 = j_{th}$. Note that $TD_{12(s,th)} = D_{21(th,s)}$. As in Refs. 2,11,26,54 we will evaluate $\sigma(\omega)$, $\kappa(\omega)$, and $\sigma_{\text{th}, s}(\omega)$ using exact diagonalization. From these quantities all transport coefficients $\sigma_{lm}(\omega)$ at finite fields can be obtained using Eqs. (4), (7), and (8). The Drude weight $K_{th}$ for purely thermal transport accounts for the situation of no spin current, i.e., at $\langle j_s \rangle = 0$. It is obtained analogously to the Seebeck effect as

$$K_{th} = D_{th} - \frac{D_{21}^2}{T D_s} ,$$

(10)

or equivalently, using Eqs. (4) and (7): $K_{th} = D_{22} - \frac{D_{21}^2}{T D_{11}}$. We will study the field dependence of the magnetothermal coupling in Sec. IV E.

For the spin Drude weight, an alternative expression fully equivalent to Eq. (7) exists

$$D_s = \frac{\pi}{Z L} \left[ \langle -T \rangle - 2 \sum_{E_n \neq E_o} e^{-\beta E_n} \frac{|\langle o | j_s | n \rangle|^2}{\Delta E} \right] ,$$

(11)

where $\langle -T \rangle$ denotes the kinetic energy. This expression will be used to evaluate $D_s$ in this paper since it gives the correct contribution to the optical sum rule Eq. (13) on finite systems at low temperatures (see the discussion below).

Finally, we define the integrated spectral weights

$$I_{s[th]}(\omega) := \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} \sigma_{th}(\omega) d\omega = D_{s[th]} + 2 \int_{0^+}^{\omega} \sigma_{\text{reg}, \omega}$$

(12)

and

$$I_{s[th]}^0 := I_{s[th]}(\infty) .$$

For the spin conductivity one obtains the optical sum rule

$$I_{s[th]}^0 := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(\omega) d\omega = \frac{\pi}{T} \langle -T \rangle .$$

(13)

The r.h.s. of the corresponding sum rule for thermal transport at finite temperatures depends on the model and the choice for the local energy density.

IV. RESULTS

We present our results for the transport coefficients of dimerized spin chains, starting with the dimerization strength $\lambda = 0.5$, yielding a gap close to the
one of the experimental system. We separately analyze the Drude weights (Sec. IV A) and the conductivities (Sec. IV B) and then combine them to get the spectral weight (Sec. IV C), all as a function of temperature and magnetic field. As a result, we find that the dominant effect of applying a magnetic field for this fixed value of $\lambda$ is an enhancement of the low-frequency spin as well as heat conductivity. In Sec. IV D we analyze this enhancement at different strengths of the dimerization. Section IV E discusses the magnetothermal coupling.

A. Drude weights as a function of $T$ and $h$

We start focusing on $\lambda = 0.5$, resulting in a value of the spin gap $\Delta = 0.66$ in units of $J$. One of the two contributions to the integrated spectral weights is the Drude weight in Eq. (1). Figure 2 shows the spin Drude weight $D_s$ and the thermal Drude weight $D_{th}$ as a function of the magnetic field for $T/J = 0.25, 0.5, 1$ and chains consisting of $L = 18$ spins. At low temperatures ($T = 0.25J$, solid black lines), we find a strong enhancement of both quantities between the critical fields $h_{c1} = 0.66J$ and $h_{c2} = 1.48J$. Increasing the temperature weakens this feature significantly, especially for thermal transport. This feature is addressed quantitatively
in the discussion of the spectral weights (Sec. IV C). It is also worth noting that the maximum in the Drude weights \( D_{\text{reg}}(\omega) = D_{\text{reg}}(h) \) moves to higher fields as the temperature increases. At low temperatures, the question arises whether there are any features in \( D_n = D_n(h) \) at the critical field, i.e., whether the finite systems we investigate exhibit remnants of the quantum critical behavior to be expected at \( h_{c1} \). We shall comment on this point in Sec. IV D, where we will discuss the \( \lambda \)-dependence of the Drude weights.

### B. Dynamical conductivities

The second contribution to the spectral weight is the regular part [Eq. (8)] of the dynamical conductivity. By inspecting the spectral representations, one realizes that the field can only enter via the Boltzmann factors, thus a magnetic field can only enhance or reduce existing weight but does not change the position of the poles \( \omega = \Delta E \).

Note that at zero magnetic field, the weight in the gap is suppressed at low temperatures as the system approaches its dimerized ground-state and can be tuned to finite values by increasing either the magnetic field or temperature.  

Our numerical results are depicted in Fig. 3. At zero magnetic field and low temperatures, there is almost no weight in the regular part of \( \sigma(\omega) \) [dashed line in Fig. 3(a)] below a value of \( \omega = J/2 \) (dashed line in Fig. 3(a)) for heat transport integrated up to \( \omega = 0.5J \) as a function of magnetic field \( h \) for \( L = 18 \) spins and at different temperatures. (b) Spectral weight \( I_{\text{reg}}(\omega) \) for heat transport integrated up to \( \omega = 0.5J \). At low temperatures, there is a large peak in both quantities indicating the enhancement of transport in the field-induced gapless phase. As temperature increases this effect vanishes up to the point where the magnetic field causes the decrease of spectral weight in the gap. For heat transport, the magnetic field has a lalmost no effect at large temperatures (Fig. 3(b)) (d). The inset in each panel illustrates the small dependence on the system size at low temperatures.

![FIG. 4: (Color online) (a) Spectral weight \( I_s(\omega) \) for spin transport integrated up to \( \omega = 0.5J \) as a function of magnetic field \( h \) for \( L = 18 \) spins and at different temperatures. (b) Spectral weight \( I_{\text{reg}}(\omega) \) for heat transport integrated up to \( \omega = 0.5J \). At low temperatures, there is a large peak in both quantities indicating the enhancement of transport in the field-induced gapless phase. As temperature increases this effect vanishes up to the point where the magnetic field causes the decrease of spectral weight in the gap. For heat transport, the magnetic field has a lalmost no effect at large temperatures (Fig. 3(b)) (d). The inset in each panel illustrates the small dependence on the system size at low temperatures.](image-url)
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FIG. 5: (color online) Relative enhancement $\Delta I_{s[th]}$ of the

spectral weights as a function of temperature ($L = 14$). The
curves for thermal transport (solid black line) and spin trans-
port (dashed red line) almost coincide and decay slightly
slower than exponentially ($\Delta I_{s[th]} \sim \exp(-cT)$, green dash-
dotted line) as the temperature increases.

the numerical values without modifying the structure.

In the case of thermal transport [Fig. 3(c)], the basic
structure is different and the role of the magnetic field
at low temperatures is even more important. Without a
field (dashed line) a significant amount of spectral weight
is found around $\omega = 0$ while another important contribu-
tion is at the lower edge of the one-triplet band, similar
to the spin conductivity. Switching on a magnetic field
larger than $h_{c1}$ (solid line) enhances the heat conduc-
tivity strongly, especially at low frequencies. Increasing the
temperature up to $T = J$ smoothens the curve while com-
pletely suppressing the influence of the magnetic field.

Concentrating on the regular parts alone, one would
conclude that both spin and heat transport are not influ-
enced by the magnetic field at high temperatures. At low
temperatures the weight in $\sigma_{reg}(\omega)$ is strongly reduced by
the magnetic field yet comes along with an increase in the
weight at low frequencies, which is the effect we are inter-
ested in. By contrast, $\kappa_{reg}(\omega)$ is enhanced by the field at
low temperatures at all frequencies, with most of this in-
crease originating from the low-frequency range $\omega < h_{c1}$.
At higher temperatures, both effects are suppressed. To
understand the interplay of the Drude weights and the
regular parts one has to study the spectral weight.

C. Field-dependence of the spectral weight

Now that we have shown how the transport enhance-
tment takes place via increasing weight at low frequen-
cies we study the integrated spectral weight $I_{s[th]}(\omega)$. Fig-
ure 3 shows our results for $I_s(\omega = 0.5J)$ and $I_{th}(\omega =
0.5J)$ as well as the total spectral weights $I_{s[th]}^0$. We have
chosen the upper bound of integration to be $\omega = 0.5J$
to measure how the weight in the gap of the system be-

haves as a function of temperature and field. Our find-
ing in the case of low temperature and $\lambda = 0.5$ are the
following: For both spin and heat transport, we find a
strong enhancement of transport in the field-induced gap-
less phase at low temperatures $T < h_{c1}$. As temperature
increases, the field dependence becomes less pronounced.
From Fig. 4, it is obvious that the total weight in the
spin conductivity, i.e., $I_{s}^0 \propto (-T)$ typically decreases as
a function of increasing field, due to the increasing weight
of strongly polarized states. Therefore, the enhanced low-
frequency weight seen in both the Drude weight and the
regular part has to be accompanied by a decrease of spec-
tral weight at higher frequencies in order to satisfy the
optical sum rule Eq. (13), consistent with our discussion
of Fig. 3(a).

In the case of thermal transport, the influence of tem-
perature on $I_{th}^0$ is much more drastic: the maximum in
$I_{th}^0$ seen at $T = 0.25J$ is quickly washed out at temperatures
$T > h_{c1}$. By contrast, for spin transport and in $I_s^0$, the
interesting features are hidden at all temperatures under
the large field-induced decrease of the regular part
as $\omega$ approaches the gap [Fig. 3(c)], whereas in the case
of thermal transport, the low-frequency behavior domi-
nates the field dependence of $I_{th}^0$ at $T < h_{c1}$ [Fig. 3(d)].
For $T > 0.25J$, the finite-size effects of the integrated
weights become very small, which is shown in the insets
of Fig. 4, illustrating that this quantity is very robust.
In other words, the maximum of $I_{s[th]}(\omega = 0.5J)$ in the
window $h_{c1} < h < h_{c2}$ is stable against a variation in $L$.

The field-dependent enhancement of $I_{s[th]}(\omega = 0.5J)$
in Fig. 4(a) and (b) ($T = 0.25J$) is well described by
$I_{s[th]} \sim h^2$ for $h \leq h_{c1}$. Furthermore we present

$$\Delta I_{s[th]} = \max_h (I_{s[th]}(\omega = 0.5J, h)) - I_{s[th]}(\omega = 0.5J, h = 0)$$

in Fig. 6 as a function of $L = 14$ spins. The data are already converged with respect to the sys-
tem size for the temperatures shown. While the field-depen-
dence of $I_{th}(\omega = 0.5J)$ and $I_{s}(\omega = 0.5J)$ is only
qualitatively similar, $\Delta I_{th}$ (black solid line) and $\Delta I_{s}$ (red
dashed line) almost coincide. The green dash-dotted line
is an exponential decay fitted to $\Delta I_{th}$, to illustrate that
the decay is slightly slower than exponential for spin as
well as for heat transport.

Regarding the temperature dependence of the thermal
spectral weight at lower temperatures ($T < 0.25J$) we
expect an exponential suppression at low temperatures
in gapped phases $h < h_{c1}$, $h > h_{c2}$. For the Luttinger Liquid phase ($h_{c1} < h < h_{c2}$), we expect
$I_{s[th]}(T) \sim T$ based on previous work on the tem-
perature dependence of the thermal drude weights of the
XXZ spin-1/2 chain in a magnetic field. At zero mag-
netic field we indeed find an exponentially suppressed
weight converged with respect to the systems size for
$T < 0.25J$, but in the gapless phase ($h = J$) the finite-
size effects do not allow for a definite conclusion on the
temperature dependence.
To clarify the role of the Drude weight on finite systems in this context, it is plotted in Fig. 6 as a fraction of the corresponding total spectral weight. In the case of spin transport [Fig. 6(a)], the Drude weight contributes very little to the total weight but its significance increases monotonously with the field. $D_s/I_0^0$ saturates at a finite value above the saturation field. These observations hold for all temperatures studied ($T/J = 0.25, 0.5, 1$), only the value at saturation and the field necessary for reaching it depends on temperature. For instance, at $T = 0.25 J$ (solid black line) the Drude weight accounts for 60% of the total weight above $h = 2J$. At $T = 0.5 J$ (dashed red line), $D_s/I_0^0$ saturates at $h = 3.5J$ at a value of 48%. However, Fig. 6 should not be interpreted in terms of a large absolute value of the Drude weight above saturation in the thermodynamic limit, neither at zero or finite temperatures. At zero temperature, for $N \to \infty$ and in the two gapped phases $h < h_{c1}$ and $h > h_{c2}$, we expect the spin Drude weight to vanish, according to Kohn’s reasoning. As far as the gapless phase is concerned, the zero temperature Drude weight should consequently be finite in the thermodynamic limit, yet the contribution of the Drude weight relative to the regular conductivities remains an open issue for low temperatures.

In the case of heat transport [Fig. 6(b)], the picture has more facets. For low temperatures ($T = 0.25 J$, solid black line) $D_{th}/I_{th}^0$ decreases in the field-induced phase as there is a huge increase in the regular part of the con-
ductivity [compare Fig. 3(c)]. This results in a minimum in \(D_{th}/I_{th} \) at \( h \approx J \), roughly where the field-dependent thermal Drude weight has its maximum. At \( h \approx 2J \), the Drude weight is restored as the dominant contribution at \( D_{th}/I_{th}^0 \approx 0.9 \) at low \( T \). This effect is weakened by temperature [compare Fig. 3(d)], eventually resulting in a monotonous increase of \( D_{th}/I_{th}^0 \) with \( h \) at \( T = J \). Our results clearly suggest that the Drude weight is a significant contribution in the field-induced gapless phase on finite systems. Several comments are in order. First, a finite Drude weight at \( T > 0 \) in a non-integrable system would be surprising, while on the other hand, this observation is consistent with the fact that thermodynamic properties in the phase are well described by an effective \( XXZ \) model\(^{48,75} \) which is believed to have ballistic transport properties in its gapless zero-field phase\(^{22} \). Moreover, in the ferromagnetic phase \( h > h_c_2 \), the physics is expected to be well approximated by a weakly-interacting gas of magnons which in turn renders the Drude weight large in relation to the total weight. We stress that similar to \( D_s \), this is a statement about finite systems and temperatures, and much larger system sizes (see Appendix) would be required to extrapolate the ratio \( D_{th}/I_{th}^0 \) to the thermodynamic limit. We can therefore not pursue this question here. Note though, that in the high-temperature limit (\( \beta = 0 \)) the magnetic field dependence drops out. In this limit, exact diagonalization yields a systematic decrease of the Drude weight with the system size\(^{11} \).

To summarize the discussion of the behavior at \( \lambda = 0.5 \), in the low-temperature regime, which is our main case of interest, the field-driven enhancement is visible in the conductivities as well as in the Drude weights and therefore also in the spectral weight, but the Drude weights contribute the most. Further, we can distinguish a field- and a temperature-dominated regime: qualitatively, at \( T < h_{c_1} \), a variation of the magnetic field influences the conductivities, whereas for \( T > h_{c_1} \), the magnetic field has little effect on both the structure and the weight in the conductivities. Figure 4 contains the main result of our work: a field-induced increase in both transport coefficients at low frequencies \( \omega < h_{c_1} \).

D. Dependence on \( \lambda \)

Next we turn to the discussion of the influence of the strength of dimerization \( \lambda \). Since we have presented evidence that the Drude weights are the dominant contribution in the field-induced phase, we concentrate on these quantities, expecting them to reflect the main qualitative behavior. Figure 7 shows the spin Drude weight as a function of the external magnetic field at different temperatures and strengths of dimerization. In all cases, the Drude weight for spin transport exhibits a maximum at intermediate fields. We expect that in the thermodynamic limit and at sufficiently low temperatures, the transition into the field-induced Luttinger phase should lead to signatures in the Drude weights at \( h_{c_1} \) and \( h_{c_2} \). Yet, for the system sizes we can study, and thus the accessible \( T \), the location of the inflection points of \( D_s \) do not exhibit a clear correlation with critical fields \( h_{c_1} \) and \( h_{c_2} \) of Fig. 1. This remains to be analyzed in the future.

As temperature increases [compare Fig. 7(a) and Fig. 7(b)], the maximum in the Drude weights moves from between the critical fields to higher values of \( h \) while the absolute value of \( D_s \) decreases. Sending \( \lambda \to 0 \) decreases the values of \( D_s \) for all fields, while the field-driven increase is not affected qualitatively. This is true for small as well as high temperatures. Note that increasing the temperature suppresses the spin Drude weight much more severely than altering \( \lambda \). In the case of thermal transport [Fig. 8(a)] we find a stronger quantitative dependence on \( \lambda \) while the fact that altering \( \lambda \) does not change the field dependence remains qualitatively correct. The former is not surprising, since uncoupled dimers cannot carry any heat current while the Heisenberg chain is known for its ballistic heat transport\(^{8,11} \).

E. Magnetothermal couplings

The last effect to investigate is the influence of the magnetothermal coupling Eq. (10). In the case of the \( XXZ \) chain, it has been shown that this correction suppresses the thermal conductivity\(^{22} \). The results for the field-dependence of the thermal Drude weight \( K_{th} \) at low temperatures (\( T = 0.25J \)) are shown in Fig. 8(b). The corrected Drude weight \( K_{th} \) [Eq. (10)] decreases as the magnetic field increases, which is the systematic behavior in the whole field-induced phase. Shifting \( \lambda \) towards \( \lambda = 0 \) lowers the overall values while only slightly affecting the field dependence. Figure 9 is a comparison of the three Drude weights associated with thermal transport, \( D_{th}, D_{22} \) and \( K_{th} \), where

\[
D_{22} = D_{th} - 2\beta h D_{th,s} + \beta h^2 D_s .
\]

As expected from Eqs. (10) and (14), all three quantities coincide at zero magnetic field. While \( D_{22} > D_{th} \) both quantities exhibit a similar field dependence, i.e., it features a maximum in the field-induced gapless phase and a smooth decay to zero as \( h \) increases beyond saturation. The magnetothermal correction changes this behavior completely, yielding a monotonous decrease as the field increases.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND SUMMARY

In this work we studied spin and heat transport in dimerized spin–1/2 chains in a magnetic field, in dependence of temperature \( T \), magnetic field \( h \) and the strength of dimerization \( \lambda \). Focusing on the field dependence in the case of \( \lambda = 0.5 \) we found that the transport coefficients at low frequencies for both, spin and heat transport, are strongly enhanced in the field-induced gap-
less phase. For spin transport at low but finite temperatures the Drude weight becomes the dominant contribution as the field increases. We stress that this an observation for finite systems. While one may expect that the Drude weight remains relevant in the field-induced phase in the zero temperature thermodynamic limit, the theoretically interesting question of a finite Drude weight at low but finite temperatures is beyond the scope of this work.

In the case of heat transport, the emerging picture is more involved. Increasing the magnetic field up to saturation, we find that the regular part of the conductivity is vastly enhanced at all frequencies. Although the thermal Drude weight has a field-dependence similar to its spin counterpart, this leads to a decrease of the relative contribution in the spectral weight at low temperatures. However, the thermal Drude weight remains the dominant contribution on the finite systems studied here. In both transport channels, a temperature larger than the spin gap severely weakens all features related to the magnetic field.

Our main finding is the field-induced increase of both transport coefficients in the low-frequency window, which is robust even on the finite chains accessible to exact diagonalization. While most of our work was concerned with parameters that mimic the energy scales typical for (C₅H₁₂N)₂CuBr₄ [Ref. [46]-[48]], we further studied the dependence on the strength of dimerization. There we observe that altering λ changes the overall values while the field dependence remains qualitatively the same. Thus we conclude that our observation of field-enhanced spin and heat transport is valid in dimerized quasi one-dimensional spin systems, such as two-leg spin ladders.

Finally, the calculation of the magnetothermal coupling at low temperatures unveils a very interesting effect, similar to the XXZ chain. The corrected thermal Drude weight shows no increase in the field induced phase, instead, it decreases.

In conclusion, we complemented several experimental and theoretical studies which characterized the field-induced gapless phase by emphasizing here that clear fingerprints of this transition are present in current-current correlation functions and should thus, in principle, manifest themselves in transport measurements. The spin conductivity is inaccessible at the moment, but can be extracted from quantities measured in NMR.
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Appendix A: Finite size scaling of the Drude weights

The finite-size scaling of the Drude weights in non-integrable spin chains has been previously studied at zero field and in the limit of infinite temperature, without evidence of a finite Drude weight in the thermodynamic limit for non-integrable systems. Note that the field dependence drops out at $\beta = 0$. These studies include the dimerized chain. We present our data for finite magnetic fields in Fig. 10. Due to the interplay between the regular contribution and the Drude weight in the conductivities, the dependence on the system size is non-monotonic at finite magnetic fields and for the system sizes accessible, especially at low temperatures ($T = 0.25J$). Therefore, the accessible system sizes are too small to gain any qualitative insight into $D_s[\text{th}]$ beyond Ref. [11]. The integrated spectral weight (Fig. 4) is a robust quantity in this context.
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