Connections of activated hopping processes with the breakdown of the Stokes-Einstein relation and with aspects of dynamical heterogeneities
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We develop a new extended version of the mode-coupling theory (MCT) for glass transition, which incorporates activated hopping processes via the dynamical theory originally formulated to describe diffusion-jump processes in crystals. The dynamical-theory approach adapted here to glass-forming liquids treats hopping as arising from vibrational fluctuations in quasi-arrested state where particles are trapped inside their cages, and the hopping rate is formulated in terms of the Debye-Waller factors characterizing the structure of the quasi-arrested state. The resulting expression for the hopping rate takes an activated form, and the barrier height for the hopping is “self-generated” in the sense that it is present only in those states where the dynamics exhibits a well defined plateau. It is discussed how such a hopping rate can be incorporated into MCT so that the sharp nonergodic transition predicted by the idealized version of the theory is replaced by a rapid but smooth crossover. We then show that the developed theory accounts for the breakdown of the Stokes-Einstein relation observed in a variety of fragile glass formers. It is also demonstrated that characteristic features of dynamical heterogeneities revealed by recent computer simulations are reproduced by the theory. More specifically, a substantial increase of the non-Gaussian parameter, double-peak structure in the probability distribution of particle displacements, a nd the presence of a growing dynamic length scale are predicted by the extended MCT developed here, which the idealized version of the theory failed to reproduce. These results of the theory are demonstrated for a model of the Lennard-Jones system, and are compared with related computer-simulation results and experimental data.

PACS numbers: 64.70.pm, 61.20.Lc

I. INTRODUCTION

The decoupling of the self-diffusion constant from the viscosity or the structural relaxation time – also referred to as the breakdown of the Stokes-Einstein (SE) relation – that occurs for temperatures $T < \approx 1.2T_g$ near the glass transition temperature $T_g$ is among the most prominent features of fragile glass formers \cite{1,2,9}. The decoupling has been considered as one of the signatures of spatially heterogeneous dynamics or “dynamical heterogeneities” \cite{9,10,11,12}. On the other hand, it is also well recognized that the onset temperature $\approx 1.2T_g$ of the decoupling is close to a crossover temperature at which transport properties change their characters \cite{8} and below which the dynamics is thought to be dominated by activated hopping processes over barriers \cite{9}. Then, a natural question arises as to possible connections among the decoupling, dynamical heterogeneities, and the hopping processes. In this paper, such connections are explored by extending the idealized mode-coupling theory (MCT) for glass transition \cite{10}.

The idealized MCT has been known as the most successful microscopic theory for glass transition. Indeed, extensive tests of the theoretical predictions carried out so far against experimental data and computer-simulation results suggest that the theory deals properly with some essential features of glass-forming liquids \cite{11,12}. On the other hand, a well-recognized limitation of the idealized MCT is the predicted divergence of the $\alpha$-relaxation time at a critical temperature $T_c$ – also referred to as the nonergodic transition – which is not observed in experiments and computer simulations. An extended version of MCT developed in Ref. \cite{13} aims at incorporating activated hopping processes which smear out the sharp nonergodic transition and restore ergodicity for $T \leq T_c$, but its applicability has been restricted to schematic models. This is because of the presence of the subtraction term in the expression for the hopping kernel, which violates the positiveness – a fundamental property – of any correlation spectrum.

There have been relatively few other attempts to go beyond the idealized MCT \cite{14,15,16}, and incorporating the hopping processes into the theory for glass transition has been a major unsolved problem. We present here a new formulation which is motivated by ideas from the dynamical theory originally developed to describe diffusion-jump processes in crystals \cite{17}. The dynamical-theory approach adapted in this work to glass-forming liquids treats hopping as arising from vibrational fluctuations in quasi-arrested state where particles are trapped inside their cages, and the hopping rate is formulated in terms of the Debye-Waller factors characterizing the structure of the quasi-arrested state. The resulting expression for the hopping rate takes an activated form, and the barrier height for the hopping is “self-generated” in the sense that it is present only in those states where the dynamics exhibits a well defined plateau. It will be discussed how such a hopping rate can be incorporated to develop a new extended version of MCT.

We will then investigate whether the developed theory accounts for the mentioned decoupling for $T \lesssim T_c$. Such an investigation makes sense since $T_c$ is also found to be close to $1.2T_g$ \cite{8}, i.e., the onset temperature of
the decoupling \( \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{18} \). It will also be examined whether our theory reproduces characteristic features of dynamical heterogeneities revealed by recent computer simulations. More specifically, we shall study whether the theory predicts a substantial increase of the non-Gaussian parameter \( \mathbf{19} \), double-peak structure in the probability distribution of particle displacements \( \mathbf{20}, \mathbf{21} \), and the presence of a growing dynamic length scale \( \mathbf{9} \), which the idealized MCT failed to reproduce.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. \( \mathbf{II} \) we formulate our new extended MCT. Numerical results of the theory are presented in Sec. \( \mathbf{III} \) for a model of the Lennard-Jones system, and connections of the hopping processes with the breakdown of the SE relation and with aspects of dynamical heterogeneities are discussed. The paper is summarized in Sec. \( \mathbf{IV} \). Appendix \( \mathbf{A} \) outlines a novel derivation of the hopping kernel formulated in Ref. \( \mathbf{13} \), and Appendix \( \mathbf{B} \) is devoted to the derivation of the extended-MCT equations for the mean-squared displacement and the non-Gaussian parameter.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{II. THEORY} \\
\end{align*}
\]

We start from surveying basic features of the idealized MCT \( \mathbf{10} \) (see also Appendix \( \mathbf{A} \)). A system of \( N \) atoms of mass \( M \) distributed with density \( \rho \) shall be considered. Structural changes as a function of time \( t \) are characterized by coherent density correlators \( \phi_q(t) = \langle \rho^*_q \rho_q(0) \rangle / N S_q \). Here \( \rho_q = \sum_i \exp(i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i) \) with \( \mathbf{r}_i \) referring to \( i \)th particle’s position denotes density fluctuations for wave vector \( \mathbf{q} \); \( L \) the Liouville operator; \( \zeta(\mathbf{q}, t) \) the canonical averaging for temperature \( T \); \( S_q = \langle \rho^*_q \rho_q \rangle / N \) the static structure factor; and \( q = |\mathbf{q}| \). Within the Zwanzig-Mori formalism \( \mathbf{22} \) one obtains the following exact equation of motion:

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \phi_q(t) + \Omega_q^2 \phi_q(t) + \Omega_q^2 \int_0^t dt' m_q(t - t') \partial_{t'} \phi_q(t') = 0. 
\]

Here \( \Omega_q^2 = q^2 k_B T / M S_q \) with Boltzmann’s constant \( k_B \), and the memory kernel \( m_q(t) \) describes correlations of fluctuating forces. Introducing the Laplace transform with the convention \( f(z) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{zt} f(t) \) (\( \text{Im} z > 0 \)), Eq. (1a) is equivalent to the representation

\[
\phi_q(z) = -1 / \{ z - \Omega_q^2 / [z + \Omega_q^2 m_q(z)] \}. 
\]

Under the mode-coupling approximation, the fluctuating forces are approximated by their projections onto the subspace spanned by pair-density modes \( \rho \rho \). The factorization approximation for dynamics of the pair-density modes yields the following idealized-MCT expression for the memory kernel to be denoted as \( m_{id}(t) \):

\[
m_{id}(t) = \int d\mathbf{k} V(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) \phi_k(t) \phi_p(t). 
\]

Here \( \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k} \), and the vertex function is given by

\[
V(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{\rho}{2(2\pi)^3} S q S_k S_p (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{k}) c_k + (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}) c_p, 
\]

in terms of \( S_q \) and the direct correlation function \( c_q = (1 - 1/S_q) / \rho \). The idealized-MCT equations \( \mathbf{14}, \mathbf{2} \) exhibit a bifurcation for \( \phi_q(t \to \infty) = f_q \) — also referred to as the nonergodic transition — at a critical temperature \( T_c \). For \( T > T_c \), the correlator relaxes towards \( f_q = 0 \) as expected for ergodic liquid states. On the other hand, density fluctuations for \( T \leq T_c \) arrest in a disordered solid, quantified by a Debye-Waller factor \( f_q > 0 \).

It is the factorization approximation \( \mathbf{[cf. Eq. (A7)]} \) that leads to the nonergodic transition at \( T_c \). Therefore, one has to consider corrections, \( m_{q}(z) = m_{id}(z) + \Delta m_q(z) \), to go beyond the idealized MCT, which shall be quantified via the hopping kernel defined by

\[
\delta_q(z) = -1/m_q(z) + 1/m_{id}(z). 
\]

The correction term reads \( \Delta m_q(z) = m_{id}(z) \delta_q(z) m_q(z) \), and the memory kernel \( m_q(z) \) can be expressed as

\[
m_q(z) = m_{id}(z) / [1 - \delta_q(z) m_{id}(z)]. 
\]

As demonstrated in Appendix \( \mathbf{A} \) one can derive based on Eq. (A5) an expression for \( \delta_q(z) \) which is essentially the same as that of the extended MCT of Götte and Sjögren \( \mathbf{13}, \mathbf{22} \) by applying the Zwanzig-Mori formalism to \( m_q(t) \) and then introducing the corresponding mode-coupling approximation for the “memory kernel” to \( m_q(t) \). Such a hopping kernel, however, retains the same problem mentioned in Sec. \( \mathbf{I} \). Instead, our attempt for the extension of the idealized MCT is motivated by the following observation: substituting Eq. (3b) into Eq. (1a) yields for small \( z \)

\[
\phi_q(z) = -1 / \{ z + \delta_q(z) - \Omega_q^2 / [z + \Omega_q^2 m_{id}(z)] \}. 
\]

Dropping \( \delta_q(z) \), this equation reduces to the one of the idealized MCT: approaching \( T_c \) from above, \( m_{id}(z) \) for small \( z \) becomes larger, and so does \( \phi_q(z) \), leading to the nonergodic transition at \( T = T_c \). In the presence of \( \delta_q(z) \), on the other hand, the transition is cutoff since the third term in the denominator of Eq. (1) becomes unimportant when \( m_{id}(z) \) becomes large. The long-time dynamics of \( \phi_q(t) \) in this case is thus determined by \( \delta_q(z) \) for small \( z \). This observation raises a possibility of constructing a new approximate theory for \( \delta_q(z) \) described below.

We first derive a rate formula for a hopping process in which an atom at \( \mathbf{r}_i \) jumps to a nearby site \( \mathbf{r}_j' \) separated by an interparticle distance. The presence of such a process at low temperatures has been revealed by computer simulations \( \mathbf{24}, \mathbf{25}, \mathbf{26} \). This will be done via the dynamical theory originally developed to describe diffusion-jump processes in crystals \( \mathbf{17} \). The approach adapted here to glass-forming liquids treats hopping as arising from vibrational fluctuations (phonons) in quasi-arrested state where particles are trapped inside their
cages. Let us suppose that the quasi-arrested state characterized by the Debye-Waller factors $f_q$ can be described as a frozen, irregular lattice [27]. Each particle then has a well defined equilibrium position $\vec{R}_i$ within the lifetime of the quasi-arrested state, and we introduce the displacement from the equilibrium position via $\vec{r}_i = \vec{R}_i + \vec{u}(\vec{R}_i)$. The essential feature of the hopping process is that a jumping atom passes over a barrier formed by neighbors which block a direct passage to the new site. The criterion that determines whether or not a given fluctuation is sufficient to cause a jump is therefore concerned with the relative displacements of the atom and the saddlepoint. We thus employ as a “reaction coordinate” [17], $x(t) = [u(\vec{R}_i + \vec{s}, t) - u(R_i, t)] \cdot \vec{s}$, and assume that a hopping occurs when $x(t)$ exceeds a critical value $x^*$, which measures the size of fluctuation needed to cause a jump. Here $\vec{s}$ denotes the saddlepoint position with respect to $\vec{R}_i$, and the scalar product selects only those fluctuations directed towards $\vec{s} = \vec{s}/s$. Each phonon displaces a hopping atom towards the saddlepoint. The phonon phases are random, but the displacements may occasionally coincide in such a way that a hopping process occurs. The hopping rate is then given by

$$w_{\text{hop}} = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{3/2} \frac{\omega_D}{\pi} \exp \left[ -3m v^2 \Delta^2 / 2k_B T \right],$$

in terms of the sound velocity $v$. Here $\omega_D = k_D v$ with the Debye wave number $k_D = (6\pi^2 \rho)^{1/3}$, and $\Delta = x^*/s$. Notice that the sound velocity refers to the one in the quasi-arrested state, which is renormalized by the Debye-Waller factors [28, 29]. To emphasize this, the sound velocity shall be expressed as $v = \sqrt{M_L/(\rho m)}$ in terms of the (longitudinal) elastic modulus

$$M_L = M_0^L + \delta M_L,$$

consisting of the equilibrium value $M_0^L = \rho(k_B T)/S_0$, where $S_0 \equiv S_{q=a}$, and an additional contribution for the quasi-arrested state, for which MCT yields [30]

$$\delta M_L = \rho(k_B T) \int dk V_L(k) f_k^2,$$  

with

$$V_L(k) = \frac{\rho k^2 S_k^2}{4\pi^2} \left\{ c^2 + \frac{2}{3} [k c'_k]^2 + \frac{1}{5} [k c'_k]^2 \right\}.$$  

The hopping rate is then given by

$$w_{\text{hop}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{3}{5} \right) \frac{1}{\omega_D} \exp \left[ -3M_L/2k_B T \right].$$

The pre-exponential factor represents a mean attack frequency while the exponential term of the activated form gives the probability that the system is found at the critical displacement $x^*$. In addition, the barrier height for the hopping is “self-generated” in the sense that it is determined by the plateau heights $f_q$ of the coherent density correlators, and is present only in those states where the dynamics exhibits a well defined plateau.

We next relate the hopping rate $w_{\text{hop}}$ to the hopping kernel $\delta_\rho(z)$. Our discussion becomes simpler if the tagged-particle density correlator $\phi^q_\rho(t)$ – the self part of $\phi_q(t)$ – is considered, so this case shall be considered first. Hereafter, quantities referring to the tagged particle shall be marked with the superscript or subscript “$q$”. The Zwanzig-Mori equation for $\phi^q_\rho(t)$ has the same form as Eq. (1a) with $\phi_q$, $m_q$, and $\Omega_q^2$ replaced by $\phi^q_\rho$, $m^q_{\rho}$, and $(\Omega^q_{\rho})^2 = q^2 k_B T / M_{\rho}$, respectively; the idealized-MCT kernel corresponding to Eq. (2a) is given by $m^q_{\rho}(t)$ with the same form as Eq. (1a) with $\phi_q$, $m_q$, and $\Omega_q^2$ replaced by $m^q_{\rho}$, $m^q_{\rho}$, and $\delta_q$, respectively. The arrested part $f^q_\rho$ of the correlator $\phi^q_\rho(t)$ is referred to as the Lamb-Mössbauer factor.

In the absence of the hopping kernel, the idealized kernel $m^q_{\text{id}}(t)$ for $T < T_c$ arrests at a plateau for long times whose height is given by $C_q = f^q_\rho/(1 - f^q_\rho)$, i.e., there holds $m^q_{\text{id}}(z) = -C_q/z$ for small $z$. Substituting this into Eq. (1) for $\phi^q_\rho(t)$ yields for small $z$

$$\phi^q_\rho(z) = -f^q_\rho/z + f^q_\rho \delta^q_\rho(z),$$

which determines the $\alpha$ relaxation of $\phi^q_\rho(t)$ – the decay from the plateau $f^q_\rho$ to zero – in the presence of $\delta^q_\rho(z)$.

On the other hand, when the $\alpha$ relaxation is dominated by hopping processes characterized by a rate $w_{\text{hop}}(\vec{r} \to \vec{r}')$, the van Hove self correlation function $G_s(\vec{r}, t)$, related to $\phi^q_\rho(t)$ via the inverse Fourier transform

$$G_s(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int dq e^{-iq \cdot \vec{r}} \phi^q_\rho(t),$$

and proportional to the probability of finding the tagged particle at $\vec{r}$ and $t$ [22], obeys a simple rate equation

$$\partial_t G_s(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_{\vec{l}} [w_{\text{hop}}(\vec{r} + \vec{l} \to \vec{r}) G_s(\vec{r} + \vec{l}, t) - w_{\text{hop}}(\vec{r} \to \vec{r} + \vec{l}) G_s(\vec{r}, t)].$$

We assume that only hoppings with $|\vec{l}| \approx a$ are relevant, in which case $a \equiv \int_0^{r_{\text{min}}} dr r [N(r)/N_c]$ denotes the weighted average of interparticle distances. Here $r_{\text{min}}$ denotes the first minimum of the radial distribution function $g(r)$ defining the first shell; $N(r)dr$ with $N(r) = 4\pi r^2 \rho g(r)$ gives the mean number of particles at distance between $r$ and $r + dr$; and $N_c = \int_0^{r_{\text{max}}} dr N(r)$ is the coordination number of the first shell. The quantity $a$ serves as an analogue of the lattice spacing in crystals. Since there is no site dependence in the hopping rate we formulated [cf. Eq. (3)], there holds

$$\partial_t G_s(\vec{r}, t) = w_{\text{hop}} \sum_{|\vec{l}| \approx a} [G_s(\vec{r} + \vec{l}, t) - G_s(\vec{r}, t)].$$
Fourier transforming this yields
\[ \partial_t \phi^* q(t) = -w_{\text{hop}} \sum_{|\vec{l}| \leq a} [1 - e^{-i \vec{q} \cdot \vec{l}}] \phi^* q(t). \] (11)

Assuming that \( \vec{l} \) are oriented at random, the summation \( \sum_{|\vec{l}| \leq a} \) is given by the orientational average multiplied by the number of sites satisfying \( |\vec{l}| \approx a \), which is approximated by the coordination number \( N_c \) of the first shell. This leads to
\[ \partial_t \phi^* q(t) = -w_{\text{hop}} N_c [1 - \sin(qa)/(qa)] \phi^* q(t). \] (12)

Noticing that the \( \alpha \) relaxation of \( \phi^* q(t) \) starts from the plateau \( f^* q \), the Laplace transform of this equation reads
\[ \phi^* q(z) = -f^* q / \{ z + i w_{\text{hop}} N_c [1 - \sin(qa)/(qa)] \}. \] (13)

By comparing Eqs. (7) and (13), one arrives at the following expression for the hopping kernel:
\[ \delta^* q(z) = i w_{\text{hop}} N_c [1 - \sin(qa)/(qa)] / f^* q. \] (14)

The collective hopping kernel \( \delta q(z) \) consists of the self and distinct parts, \( \delta q(z) = \delta^q_q(z)/S_q + \delta^q_{dist}(z) \). In the present work, we shall adopt a simple model for \( \delta q(z) \) in which the distinct part describing possible correlated jumps is neglected:
\[ \delta q(z) = \delta^q_q(z)/S_q. \] (15)

This model for \( \delta q(z) \) looks oversimplified, but nontrivial theoretical predictions follow from such a simple model as will be demonstrated in Sec. III.

Equations (1a) and (3b) with Eqs. (2a), (6), (1a), (14), and (15) constitute our new extended-MCT equations for the coherent density correlator \( \phi q(t) \); corresponding equations hold for the tagged-particle density correlator \( \phi^* q(t) \) with the aforementioned replacement of \( \phi q, m q, \Omega^q_q, m^q_{id}, \) and \( \delta q \) by \( \phi^* q, m^q_{dist}, (\Omega^q_q)^2, m^q_{id}, \) and \( \delta^q_{dist} \), respectively. The extended-MCT equations for the mean-squared displacement and the non-Gaussian parameter, which are required for our discussion in Sec. III, are derived in Appendix B. All these equations can be solved provided \( S_q \) and \( \Delta^2 \) are known as input. (We notice that \( f^q_q \) and \( f^* q \) can be obtained based on the knowledge of \( S_q \).)

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the following, numerical results of the extended theory will be presented for the Lennard-Jones (LJ) system in which particles interact via the potential \( V(r) = 4 \epsilon_{1,2} \{(\sigma_{1,2}/r)^{12} - (\sigma_{1,2}/r)^6 \} \). \( S_q \) shall be evaluated within the Percus-Yevick approximation [22]. This model has been studied in Ref. [29] based on the idealized MCT. From here on, all quantities are expressed in reduced units with the unit of length \( \sigma_{1,2} \), the unit of energy \( \epsilon_{1,2} \) (setting \( k_B = 1 \)), and the unit of time \( (m \sigma_{1,2}^2/\epsilon_{1,2})^{1/2} \).

FIG. 1: (Color online) Coherent density correlators \( \phi q(t) \) as a function of \( \log_{10} t \) for reduced temperatures \( \epsilon \equiv (T_c - T)/T_c = -0.10, -0.05, -0.03, -0.01, +0.01, +0.05, \) and +0.10 (from left to right). The wave numbers are \( q = 7.3 \) (upper panel) and \( q = 10.0 \) (lower panel), which correspond to the first-peak and first-minimum positions of \( S_q \), respectively. The solid and dashed curves denote the results from the extended and idealized MCT, respectively. The arrows in the upper panel refer to the peak positions of the non-Gaussian parameter \( \alpha_2 \) for \( \epsilon = +0.01, +0.05, \) and +0.10 (cf. Sec. III C).

The dynamics as a function of \( T \) shall be considered for a fixed density \( \rho = 1.093 \), for which the critical temperature of the idealized MCT is found to be \( T_c \approx 1.637 \) [29]. For \( \Delta^2 \) entering into the extended-MCT equations, we set \( \Delta^2 = 0.1 \) estimated in Ref. [17] from migration properties of crystals. We notice that this value of \( \Delta^2 \) is consistent with the Lindemann length [32]. We also confirmed that the results to be presented below do not rely on the specific value of \( \Delta^2 = 0.10: \) nearly the same results were obtained with other values of \( \Delta^2 \), as far as those values consistent with the Lindemann length are chosen.

A. Coherent density correlators

Figure 1 shows the coherent density correlators \( \phi q(t) \) for representative reduced temperatures \( \epsilon \equiv (T_c - T)/T_c \) whose values are specified in the caption. The wave num-
bers shown are \( q = 7.3 \) (upper panel) and \( q = 10.0 \) (lower panel), which correspond to the first-peak and first-minimum positions of \( S_q \), respectively. The dashed curves refer to the idealized-MCT results which exhibit the ergodic (\( \epsilon < 0 \)) to nonergodic (\( \epsilon \geq 0 \)) transition at \( T = T_c \) (\( \epsilon = 0 \)). The solid curves denote the results from the extended MCT.

It is seen from Fig. 1 that the solid curves for \( \epsilon = -0.10 \) and \( -0.05 \) are hardly affected by the hopping processes, but a slight deviation from the dashed curve is discernible in the \( \alpha \)-relaxation regime of the solid curve for \( \epsilon = -0.03 \). The solid curve for \( \epsilon = -0.01 \) exhibits the same decay up to \( \log_{10} t \approx 2 \) as the corresponding dashed curve, but the relaxation thereafter is considerably accelerated. The effects from the hopping processes are drastic for \( \epsilon > 0 \) where the idealized MCT predicts the arrested dynamics at the plateau \( f_q \), whereas the corresponding solid curves from the extended theory relax to zero for long times.

It would be interesting to analyze these extended-MCT results based on various scaling laws developed in Ref. [13]. Such an analysis, however, shall be deferred to subsequent publications, and in the following, we will focus on the connections of the hopping processes with the breakdown of the SE relation and with aspects of dynamical heterogeneities.

B. Breakdown of the Stokes-Einstein relation

Here we investigate the breakdown of the SE relation based on the extended MCT, and compare our theoretical prediction with related computer-simulation results and experimental data. A convenient experimental measure of the breakdown is a product \( D\eta/T \), formed with the diffusion coefficient \( D \) and the viscosity \( \eta \), which grows as the SE relation fails [1]. In the present study, the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time \( \tau_q \), of the coherent density correlator at the peak position \( q^* = 7.3 \) of \( S_q \), defined via the convention \( \phi_{q^*} (\tau_q) = 0.1 \), shall be used as a substitute for \( \eta/T \). This is justified since the \( T \) dependence of the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time at the structure factor peak is known to track that of \( \eta/T \) [34, 35].

The diffusion coefficient is determined from the long-time asymptote

\[
D = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\delta r^2(t)}{6t} = \langle [\vec{r}_q(t) - \vec{r}_q(0)]^2 \rangle,
\]

where the extended-MCT equations are derived in Appendix B.1.

Figure 2 shows the theoretical prediction for the product \( D\tau_q \) as a function of the reduced temperature \( \epsilon = (T_c - T)/T_c \). The solid and dashed curves, both referring to the left scale, denote the results from the extended and idealized MCT, respectively. The idealized-MCT result for \( D\tau_q \) varies little for \( \epsilon < 0 \) (the increase is only about 10% for the \( \epsilon \) range shown in Fig. 2), and does not account for the breakdown of the SE relation. This reflects the universal \( \alpha \)-scale coupling predicted by the idealized MCT [10], according to which both the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time \( \tau_q \) and the inverse of the diffusivity \( 1/D \) exhibit a universal power-law behavior \( |\epsilon|^{-\gamma} \) for small \( \epsilon \), and hence, the product \( D\tau_q \) approaches a constant for \( \epsilon \to 0^+ \). On the other hand, the extended MCT predicts the increase of the product \( D\tau_q \) for \( \epsilon \geq -0.05 \). Figure 2 thus shows one of the main results of this paper that the hopping processes are responsible for the breakdown of the SE relation.

Also presented in Fig. 2 is the comparison of the theoretical result with the \( D\eta/T \) data of salol taken from Ref. [1] (circles, right scale). The experimental data are also plotted versus \( \epsilon \) with \( T_c = 262.7 \) K of salol determined in Ref. [36]. A direct comparison between the theoretical result and the experimental data can be made by plotting them on logarithmic scales of the same range as done in Fig. 2. It is seen that the extended-MCT result is consistent with the experimental data concerning the degree of the breakdown of the SE relation.

The inset of Fig. 2 compares the extended-MCT result (solid curve) with simulation results for a binary mixture of Lennard-Jones particles [37] (filled squares) and a binary mixture of dumbbell molecules of elongation \( \zeta = 0.8 \) [38] (filled triangles). The procedure for the comparison is detailed in Ref. [39].

FIG. 2: (Color online) Logarithmic representation of the product \( D\tau_q \) as a function of the reduced temperature \( \epsilon = (T_c - T)/T_c \). The solid and dashed curves, both referring to the left scale, denote the results from the extended and idealized MCT, respectively. Circles (right scale) denote the \( D\eta/T \) data of salol taken from Ref. [1] and plotted versus \( \epsilon \) with \( T_c = 262.7 \) K [36]. The arrow marks \( T_c = 218 \) K of salol. Both the left and right ordinates range over 1.3 decades. The inset exhibits the ratio \( R \) of the product \( D\tau_q \) to the one at a reference temperature where the SE relation holds. The prediction from the extended MCT (solid curve) is compared with simulation results for a binary mixture of Lennard-Jones particles [37] (filled squares) and a binary mixture of dumbbell molecules of elongation \( \zeta = 0.8 \) [38] (filled triangles). The procedure for the comparison is detailed in Ref. [39].
tion fails. Again, the theoretical prediction is consistent with the simulation results. On the other hand, recent measurements \[2, 3\] indicate that the self-diffusion coefficient is about 100 times faster near \( T_g \) than that predicted by the SE relation. This is about a factor of 10 larger compared to our theoretical prediction near \( T_g \) (cf. Fig. [2]), assuming that \( T_g \) of the present system is located at \( \epsilon \approx 0.17 \) estimated from the reduced temperature at \( T_g \) of salol. This implies that our model for the hopping kernel might be too primitive to be applicable near \( T_g \). In the following, we shall therefore focus mainly on the regime \( \epsilon \lesssim +0.05 \) where our theoretical prediction is consistent with the simulation results and experimental data.

C. Aspects of dynamical heterogeneities

1. Non-Gaussian parameter

We next explore a connection of the hopping processes with aspects of dynamical heterogeneities. We start from the discussion on the non-Gaussian parameter \( \alpha_2(t) \) which characterizes deviations from the Gaussian behavior of the van Hove self correlation function \[40\]:

\[
\alpha_2(t) = \frac{3}{5} \left[ \langle \delta r^4(t) \rangle / \langle \delta r^2(t) \rangle^2 \right] - 1. \quad (16)
\]

Here \( \delta r^4(t) \equiv \langle (\vec{r}(t) - \vec{r}(0))^4 \rangle \). General properties of \( \alpha_2(t) \) revealed by computer simulations can be summarized as follows \[19\]: (i) on the time scale at which the motion of particles is ballistic, \( \alpha_2(t) \) is zero; (ii) upon entering the time scale of the \( \beta \) relaxation where the density correlators are close to their plateaus, \( \alpha_2(t) \) starts to increase; and (iii) on the time scale of the \( \alpha \) relaxation, \( \alpha_2(t) \) decreases to zero, reflecting diffusive dynamics at long times which is a Gaussian process. It is also observed that the maximum value of \( \alpha_2(t) \) and the time at which this maximum is attained both increase with decreasing \( T \) \[19\]. Positive \( \alpha_2(t) \) means that the probability for a particle to move very far is enhanced relative to the one expected for a random-walk process. The peak height of \( \alpha_2(t) \) has therefore been interpreted as a measure of the dynamical heterogeneity that reflects different local environments around an individual particle.

The extended-MCT equations for determining the non-Gaussian parameter are derived in Appendix \[B\] and the resulting \( \alpha_2(t) \) are plotted in Fig. [3] for reduced temperatures \( \epsilon = (T_c - T_c)/T_c \) specified in the caption. The corresponding results from the idealized MCT, but for \( \epsilon < 0 \) (i.e., \( T > T_c \)) only, are presented as dashed curves in the inset to avoid the overcrowding of the figure. As noticed at the end of Appendix \[B\] the peculiar behavior \( \alpha_2(t \rightarrow 0) = -2/3 \) for short times as predicted by our theory simply reflects that the ideal-gas contribution to the memory kernel is discarded in the mode-coupling approach. Such an ideal-gas contribution is responsible for the short-time ballistic regime, but is irrelevant as far as the long-time dynamics is concerned.

It is seen from the inset of Fig. [3] that the peak height of \( \alpha_2(t) \) predicted by the idealized MCT does not grow with decreasing \( T \), and it is underestimated by almost an order of magnitude compared to that reported in computer simulations \[19\]. This defect has already been known from the theoretical work in Ref. \[31\], and has been demonstrated more explicitly in Ref. \[21\]. The main panel of Fig. [3] on the other hand, indicates that a substantial improvement is achieved by the extended MCT in that the peak height grows upon lowering \( T \) to an extent as observed in simulations \[19\]. (Notice an order of magnitude difference in the ordinate scales of the main panel and the inset.) Thus, the extended MCT reproduces a feature of the dynamical heterogeneity characterized by the non-Gaussian parameter, and this is accomplished via the inclusion of the hopping processes.

2. Probability distribution of particle displacements

In terms of the non-Gaussian parameter \( \alpha_2(t) \), the dynamics is most heterogeneous in the late-\( \beta \) or early \( \alpha \) regime where the density correlators start to decay from the plateau (see the upper panel of Fig. [1]). Recently, it has been recognized from studies of four-point density correlation functions that there exists dynamical heterogeneity on a much longer time scale comparable to the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time \( \tau_\alpha \) \[41\]. Recognizing that \( \alpha_2(t) \) is dominated by those particles which move farther than expected from a Gaussian distribution of particle displacements, Flenner and Szamel introduced a new non-
produced temperature comes time independent with the peak height argued in Ref. [20], the shape of the corresponding probability distribution \( G \) holds of \( \log(\delta r) \) be obtained from the van Hove self correlation function (17).

The probability distribution is defined such that the integral \( \int_{\delta r} \text{d}z P(x; t) \) is the fraction of particles whose value of \( \log(\delta r) \) is between \( x_0 \) and \( x_1 \). If the motion of a particle is diffusive with a diffusion coefficient \( D \), there holds \( G_s(\delta r, t) \approx \left[1/(4\pi Dt)^{3/2}\right] \exp(-\delta r^2/4Dt) \) \cite{22}. As argued in Ref. \cite{22}, the shape of the corresponding probability distribution under the diffusion approximation becomes time independent with the peak height \( \approx 2.13 \).

Figure 4 also implies that the probability distribution approaches its diffusion asymptote only after its peak position exceeds those length scales where the double-peak structure in \( P(\log_{10}(\delta r); t) \) is observable. Thus, there is a certain length scale above which Fickian diffusion sets in. In the following, we shall quantify such a length scale characterizing the crossover from non-Fickian to Fickian diffusion, and investigate its temperature dependence.

To this end, let us introduce the ratio \( R_s^q \) of the product \( q^2D \tau^c_q \) to the one at some reference temperature, which is an analogue of the ratio \( R \) studied in the inset of Fig. 2. Here the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time \( \tau^c_q \) of the tagged-particle density correlator is defined via the convention \( \phi_q^s(\tau^c_q) = 0.1 \). Under the diffusion approximation, there holds \( \phi_q^s(t) \approx \exp(-q^2Dt) \) \cite{22}. Thus, the product \( q^2D \tau^c_q \) is constant, and hence, the ratio \( R_s^q \) is unity, if the dynamics on the length scale \( \approx 2\pi/q \) is diffusive. On the other hand, the ratio \( R_s^q \) exceeds unity if the dynamics on the length scale \( \approx 2\pi/q \) is non-Fickian.

Coexistence of mobile and immobile particles is a direct indication of the dynamical heterogeneity \cite{4}. Our results shown in Fig. 4 indicate that the hopping processes are responsible for such a double-peak structure in the probability distribution \( P(\log_{10}(\delta r); t) \) occurring on the time scale \( \tau_{cq} \) of the \( \alpha \) relaxation. This also explains why the idealized MCT failed to reproduce the double-peak structure in \( P(\log_{10}(\delta r); t) \) \cite{21}.

3. Growing dynamic length scale

Figure 4 also implies that the probability distribution approaches its diffusion asymptote only after its peak position exceeds those length scales where the double-peak structure in \( P(\log_{10}(\delta r); t) \) is observable. Thus, there is a certain length scale above which Fickian diffusion sets in. In the following, we shall quantify such a length scale characterizing the crossover from non-Fickian to Fickian diffusion, and investigate its temperature dependence.

To this end, let us introduce the ratio \( R_s^q \) of the product \( q^2D \tau^c_q \) to the one at some reference temperature, which is an analogue of the ratio \( R \) studied in the inset of Fig. 2. Here the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time \( \tau^c_q \) of the tagged-particle density correlator is defined via the convention \( \phi_q^s(\tau^c_q) = 0.1 \). Under the diffusion approximation, there holds \( \phi_q^s(t) \approx \exp(-q^2Dt) \) \cite{22}. Thus, the product \( q^2D \tau^c_q \) is constant, and hence, the ratio \( R_s^q \) is unity, if the dynamics on the length scale \( \approx 2\pi/q \) is diffusive. On the other hand, the ratio \( R_s^q \) exceeds unity if the dynamics on the length scale \( \approx 2\pi/q \) is non-Fickian. We shall therefore define the crossover wave number \( q_{\text{onset}} \) via \( R_s^q_{\text{onset}} = 1.1 \), i.e., as the wave number at which the ratio \( R_s^q \) reaches 10% above unity. The onset length scale of Fickian diffusion shall then be defined via \( l_{\text{onset}} = 2\pi/q_{\text{onset}} \).
The size of the dynamic clusters observed in simulations\cite{6}, anticipated that the presence of a growing dynamic length scale. It is inferred from Fig. 5. Thus, the extended MCT predicts as a function of the reduced temperature $\epsilon = (T_e - T)/T_c$. The reference temperature $T_{\text{ref}}$ for calculating $R_q^s$ is chosen such that $(T_e - T_{\text{ref}})/T_c = -0.125$. The horizontal dashed line marks $R_q^s = 1.1$ chosen to determine the crossover wave number $q_{\text{onset}}$ introduced in the text. The inset exhibits the onset length scale of Fickian diffusion $\ell_{\text{onset}} \equiv 2\pi/q_{\text{onset}}$ as a function of the reduced temperature $\epsilon$.

Figure 5 exhibits the ratio $R_q^s$ for wave numbers $q = 7.3$ (solid curve), 4.0 (dashed curve), 2.0 (dashed-dotted curve), and 1.0 (dotted curve), as well as the reduced temperature $\epsilon = (T_e - T)/T_c$. The reference temperature $T_{\text{ref}}$ is chosen such that $(T_e - T_{\text{ref}})/T_c = -0.125$. The horizontal dashed line marks $R_q^s = 1.1$ chosen to determine the crossover wave number $q_{\text{onset}}$ introduced above.

For reduced temperatures $\epsilon \lesssim -0.04$, all the ratios $R_q^s$ shown in Fig. 5 are less than 1.1, implying that the tagged-particle dynamics on the length scales comparable to and larger than $2\pi/7.3 \approx 0.86$ can be well described by the Fickian diffusion law. For $\epsilon > -0.04$, $R_q^s$ for $q = 7.3$ exceeds 1.1, meaning that the dynamics is diffusive only on length scales larger than 0.86. The onset length scale $\ell_{\text{onset}}$ of Fickian diffusion increases to $2\pi/4.0 \approx 1.6$ at $\epsilon \approx -0.03$, and to $2\pi/2.0 \approx 3.1$ at $\epsilon \approx 0$, as can be inferred from Fig. 5. The length scale $\ell_{\text{onset}}$ so obtained as a function of the reduced temperature $\epsilon$ is summarized in the inset of Fig. 5. Thus, the extended MCT predicts the presence of a growing dynamic length scale. It is anticipated that $\ell_{\text{onset}}$ is intimately related to the mean size of the dynamic clusters observed in simulations\cite{6}, since Fickian diffusion, i.e., a random-walk process, is possible only over those length scales where the coherence length of such clusters is smeared out.

IV. SUMMARY

In this paper, we developed a new extended version of MCT for glass transition. The activated hopping processes are incorporated via the dynamical theory, originally formulated to describe diffusion-jump processes in crystals and adapted in this work to glass-forming liquids. The dynamical-theory approach treats hopping as arising from vibrational fluctuations in quasi-arrested states where particles are trapped inside their cages, and the hopping rate is formulated in terms of the Debye-Waller factors characterizing the structure of the quasi-arrested state. The resulting expression for the hopping rate takes an activated form, and the barrier height for the hopping is “self-generated” in the sense that it is present only in those states where the dynamics exhibits a well-defined plateau. It is discussed how such a hopping rate can be incorporated to develop a new extended MCT.

The extended MCT deals with the interplay of two effects. Nonlinear interactions of density fluctuations, as described by the idealized memory kernel $m_q^\text{MD}(z)$, lead to the cage effect with a trend to produce arrested states for sufficiently low temperatures. Phonon-assisted hoppings, taken into account via the hopping kernel $\delta_q(z)$, lead to the $\alpha$ relaxation at all temperatures and restore ergodicity. The interplay of these two effects is described by the memory kernel $m_q(z)$ via Eq. \ref{eq:memory_kernel}, which has the form of a Dyson equation. As demonstrated in Sec.\ref{sec:theory} for a model of the Lennard-Jones system, it leads to nontrivial theoretical predictions concerning the breakdown of the SE relation and characteristic features of dynamical heterogeneities, which the idealized version of the theory failed to reproduce.

In dense liquids, relaxation is necessarily connected with rearrangements of large complexes of particles. We have seen in Fig. 3 that the peak height of the non-Gaussian parameter $\alpha_2(t)$ grows substantially in the late-$\beta$ regime as the temperature is decreased. This enhanced probability for a particle to move further is what one would expect as a result of the building of a backflow in the liquid, and it is anticipated that the string-like motions observed in the late-$\beta$ regime\cite{42} reflect such a backflow pattern. The backflow was originally discussed by Feynman and Cohen and taken into account in their theory for roton spectrum in liquid helium\cite{43}. Subsequently, it was found that the quantum-mechanical analogue of the idealized memory kernel reproduces the Feynman-Cohen result for roton spectrum\cite{44}, i.e., the backflow phenomenon is within the scope of the idealized MCT\cite{23}. However, the idealized memory kernel alone does not account for such a pronounced peak in $\alpha_2(t)$ as observed in computer simulations\cite{31}. This implies that the mentioned interplay with the hopping kernel plays a relevant role for the substantial increase of $\alpha_2(t)$ and the building of the backflow.

The double-peak structure in the probability distribution $P(\log_{10}(\delta r);t)$ of particle displacements, which is most pronounced on the time scale $\tau_{\alpha,2}$ of the $\alpha$-relaxation
and disappears at longer times (cf. Fig. 1), reflects coexistence of mobile and immobile particles with a life time $\tau_{\text{eff}}$, and is a direct indication of the dynamical heterogeneity [1]. The extended MCT developed here provides a natural explanation for its origin in terms of the cage and hopping effects. As argued in Sec. III C, the life time of the double-peak structure gives rise to a growing dynamic length scale $\ell_{\text{onset}}$, which is associated with the decoupling of the time scales of the $a$ processes occurring on length scales smaller than $\ell_{\text{onset}}$ from the diffusion coefficient. The breakdown of the SE relation discussed in Sec. III B can also be understood in terms of the decoupling of the $\alpha$-relaxation time $\tau_{\alpha}$ from the diffusivity which occurs when $\ell_{\text{onset}}$ exceeds the average interparticle distance $\approx 2\pi/\alpha$. It is anticipated that the onset length scale $\ell_{\text{onset}}$ of Fickian diffusion is intimately related to the mean size of the dynamic clusters observed in simulations [8, since Fickian diffusion, i.e., a random-walk process, is possible only over those length scales where the coherence length of such clusters is smeared out. Then, our picture for the breakdown of the SE relation is consistent with that of Ref. [6], where the connection between decoupling phenomena and the growing coherence length scale is discussed.

It is certainly necessary to improve further the theory developed here. First of all, possible correlated hopping effects are discarded in our model for the hopping kernel. This might explain why our theory underestimates the degree of the breakdown of the SE relation near $\tau_{\text{eff}}$. Second, we did not determine $\Delta^2$ – a square of the phonon-assisted fluctuation needed to cause a hopping and the average interparticle distance $d$ (cf. Sec. II) – microscopically, but simply took a value ($\Delta^2 = 0.10$) from the literature. Regarding this, let us mention that nearly the same results as those presented in Sec. IIII can be obtained with other values of $\Delta^2$, as far as those values consistent with the Lindemann length [32] are chosen. Thus, our theoretical results do not rely on the specific value of $\Delta^2 = 0.10$. But, of course, it is desirable to determine $\Delta^2$ consistently within the theory. Third, the $\alpha$-relaxation stretching is not enhanced at low temperatures. For example, the stretching exponents $\beta_q$, obtained via Kohlrausch-law fits of the density correlators shown in Fig. II for $q = 10.0$ are 0.76, 0.79, and 0.80 for $\epsilon = +0.01$, +0.05, and +0.10, respectively. One possibility to overcome this defect is to take into account distribution of hopping rates or barrier heights, which can be done, e.g., by considering fluctuations in the Debye-Waller factors and $\Delta^2$. Four-point density correlators might be necessary for this purpose, though it is a difficult task to calculate such higher-order correlators. But, in view of the significant results achieved by our theory, it is promising to pursue its further development.
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APPENDIX A: NOVEL DERIVATION OF THE HOPPING KERNEL OF GÖTZE AND SJÖGREN

In order to go beyond the idealized MCT, one has to consider corrections to the idealized memory kernel, $m_q(z) = \frac{m_{\text{id}}(z) + \Delta m_q(z)}{m_{\text{id}}(z)+\Delta m_q(z)}$. The extended MCT of Götze and Sjögren [13, 23] can be considered as a theory for such corrections, and yields an expression for $\Delta m_q(z)$ in terms of the hopping kernel $\delta_q(z)$ that involves couplings to currents. Their extended theory is formulated with the generalized kinetic theory for phase-space density fluctuations, but essentially the same expression for the hopping kernel $\delta_q(z)$ can be derived also with the standard projection-operator approach based on density and current-density fluctuations. In this appendix, we outline such a novel derivation.

We start from reviewing the derivation of the idealized memory kernel $m^\text{id}_q(t)$ (see Ref. [10] for details). Let us introduce a projection operator $P$ onto the subspace spanned by the density fluctuations $\rho^\lambda$ and the current-density fluctuations $j^\lambda$, i.e., $j^\lambda = \sum_i v^\lambda_i \exp(i\hat{q} \cdot \hat{r}_i) \ (\lambda = x, y, z)$. Here $v^\lambda_i$ denotes the $\lambda$ component of the velocity of $i$th particle. Within the Zwanzig-Mori formalism [22], one obtains based on the operator $P$ the exact equation for the coherent density correlator $\rho^{\lambda\lambda}(t)$. The formal expression for the memory kernel entering there reads

$$\Omega^2 m_q(t) = \frac{M}{Nk_B T} \langle F^\ast_q e^{i\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{Q} t} F_q \rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{Q} = 1 - P$, and the fluctuating force is given by

$$F_q = \partial_i (\hat{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_i) - iq \frac{k_B T}{MS_q} \rho^\lambda_q.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

Here $\hat{q} = q / q$. Under the mode-coupling approach, the fluctuating forces are approximated by their projections onto the subspace spanned by pair-density modes $\rho_k \rho_p$, this is done by introducing the second projection operator $P_2 X = \sum_{k>p} \rho^\ast_k \rho^\ast_p \frac{1}{N^2 S_k S_p} \langle \rho^\ast_k \rho^\ast_p X \rangle$, \hspace{1cm} (A3)

and approximating $F_q \approx P_2 F_q \equiv F_q^{pp}$, i.e.,

$$\Omega^2 m_q(t) \approx \frac{M}{Nk_B T} \langle F_q^{pp} e^{i\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{Q} t} F_q^{pp} \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)
Notice here that the static factorization approximation
\[ \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}}^* \rho_{\tilde{k}'} \rho_{\tilde{p}} \rho_{\tilde{p}'} \rangle \approx \delta_{\tilde{k},\tilde{k}'} \delta_{\tilde{p},\tilde{p}'} N^2 S_{\tilde{k}} S_{\tilde{p}} (\tilde{k} > \tilde{p}, \tilde{k}' > \tilde{p}') , \] (A5)
is already used in the definition (A3) of \( P_2 \). Within the convolution approximation for triple density correlations, one finds for the projected fluctuating force
\[ F_{\tilde{q}}^{\rho \rho} = -i \frac{\rho k_B T}{N M} \sum_{\tilde{k} > \tilde{p}} \delta_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{k} + \tilde{p}} \times (\tilde{q} \cdot \tilde{k} c_k + \tilde{q} \cdot \tilde{p} c_p) \rho_{\tilde{k}} \rho_{\tilde{p}} \] (A6a)
\[ = -i \frac{\rho k_B T}{N M} \sum_{\tilde{k}} \tilde{q} \cdot \tilde{k} c_k \rho_{\tilde{k}} \rho_{\tilde{q} - \tilde{k}} \cdot \tilde{q} \] (A6b).
Substituting Eq. (A6a) into Eq. (A4) and then using the dynamical factorization approximation
\[ \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}}^* \rho_{\tilde{k}'}^* e^{i \Omega \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}^t} \rho_{\tilde{p}} \rho_{\tilde{p}'} \rangle \approx \delta_{\mathcal{Q}} \delta_{\tilde{p}} N^2 S_{\tilde{k}} S_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{f}_k(t) \tilde{f}_p(t) (\tilde{k} > \tilde{p}, \tilde{k}' > \tilde{p}') , \] (A7)
which factorizes averages of products evolving in time with the generator \( \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}^t \) into products of averages formed with variables evolving with \( \mathcal{L} \), one obtains the idealized kernel \( m_{ij}^0(t) \) given in Eqs. (2).

To extend the idealized theory, one has to avoid the use of the dynamical factorization approximation (A7). We shall therefore start from Eqs. (A4) and (A6a) for the memory kernel \( m_q(t) \), to which the approximation (A7) has yet to be applied. Notice, however, that the static factorization approximation (A5) is still assumed in our approach: this is employed in the definition (A3) of \( P_2 \), which is then used in deriving Eq. (A6b). Thus, there holds \( m_q(0) = m_q^{00}(0) = 0 \) at \( t = 0 \).

By introducing a new projection operator \( P' \) onto the subspace spanned by \( F_{\tilde{q}}^{\rho \rho} \), i.e.,
\[ P' X = \frac{M}{N k_B T \Omega^2 m_q(0)} \langle F_{\tilde{q}}^{\rho \rho} \rangle X , \] (A8)
one obtains the following Zwanzig-Mori equation of motion for \( m_q(t) \):
\[ \partial_t m_q(t) + m_q(0) \int_0^t dt' L_q(t - t') m_q(t') = 0 . \] (A9)
Here the factor \( m_q(0) \) in front of the convolution integral is just for later convenience, and the formal expression for the “memory kernel” \( L_q(t) \) is given by
\[ m_q(0) L_q(t) = \frac{M}{N k_B T \Omega^2 m_q(0)} \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}}^* e^{i \Omega \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}^t} R_{\tilde{q}} \rangle , \] (A10)
in terms of the “fluctuating force”
\[ R_{\tilde{q}} = i \Omega' \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q} F_{\tilde{q}}^{\rho \rho} , \] (A11)
where we have introduced \( \Omega' = 1 - \Omega \). Substituting Eq. (A6b) into Eq. (A11), one finds
\[ R_{\tilde{q}} = \frac{\rho k_B T}{N M} \sum_{\tilde{k}} \tilde{q} \cdot \tilde{k} c_k \{ \tilde{k} \cdot \tilde{j}_k \rho_{\tilde{k}} + \tilde{p} \cdot \tilde{j}_p \rho_{\tilde{p}} \} \] (A12)
in which \( \rho = \tilde{q} - \tilde{k} \).

Now, we apply the mode-coupling approximation to the kernel \( L_q(t) \). Because of the odd time reversal symmetry of \( R_{\tilde{q}} \), the simplest mode-coupling approximation for \( L_q(t) \) can be introduced by defining the projection operator \( P'_q \) onto the subspace spanned by the product \( \rho_{\tilde{k}} \rho_{\tilde{p}} \) of the density and current-density modes:
\[ \mathcal{P}_2' X = \sum_{\tilde{k} \neq \tilde{p}} \frac{M}{N k_B T \Omega^2 m_q(0)} \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}}^* \rho_{\tilde{p}} \rangle X , \] (A13)
where we have used the factorization approximation
\[ \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}}^* \rho_{\tilde{p}} \rangle \approx \delta_{\mathcal{Q}} \delta_{\tilde{p}} N^2 k_B T S_{\tilde{k}} / M . \] (A14)

Here and in the following, we use abbreviations \( \tilde{p} \equiv \tilde{q} - \tilde{k} \) and \( \tilde{p}' \equiv \tilde{q} - \tilde{k}' \). We thus obtain under the mode-coupling approximation
\[ R_{\tilde{q}} = \frac{\rho k_B T}{N M} \sum_{\tilde{k}} \sum_{\tilde{p}} V_{\lambda}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{p}) \rho_{\tilde{k}} \rho_{\tilde{p}}^\lambda , \] (A16a)
in which \( V_{\lambda} \) is given by
\[ V_{\lambda}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{p}) = \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^3} \int d\tilde{k}' \tilde{q} \cdot \tilde{k}' c_{\tilde{k}'} \] (A16b)
\[ \times \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}'}^* e^{i \Omega \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}^t} \rangle \mathcal{P} \] (A15)
Within the convolution approximation for triple density correlations, one finds
\[ R_{\tilde{q}} = \frac{\rho k_B T}{N M} \sum_{\tilde{k} \neq \tilde{p}} V_{\lambda}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{p}) \rho_{\tilde{k}} \rho_{\tilde{p}}^\lambda , \] (A16a)
in which \( V_{\lambda} \) is given by
\[ V_{\lambda}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{p}) = \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^3} \int d\tilde{k}' \tilde{q} \cdot \tilde{k}' c_{\tilde{k}'} \] (A16b)
\[ \times \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}'}^* e^{i \Omega \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}^t} \rangle \mathcal{P} \] (A15)
Here \( k_{\lambda} \) refers to the \( \lambda \) component of the vector \( \tilde{k} \), and the function \( h \) is given by \( h = c_q S_q \). When Eq. (A16a) is substituted into Eq. (A15), the kernel \( L_q(t) \) is expressed in terms of four-mode correlators, for which we invoke the following dynamical factorization approximation:
\[ \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}}^* \rho_{\tilde{k}'}^* e^{i \Omega \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}^t} \rangle \] (A17)
\[ \approx \delta_{\mathcal{Q}} \delta_{\tilde{k}} \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}}^* e^{i \mathcal{L} t} \rangle \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}'}^* e^{i \mathcal{L} t} \rangle \] (A17)
\[ + \delta_{\mathcal{Q}} \delta_{\tilde{k}} \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}}^* e^{i \mathcal{L} t} \rangle \langle \rho_{\tilde{k}}^* e^{i \mathcal{L} t} \rangle \] (A17)
\[ \approx \delta_{\mathcal{Q}} \delta_{\tilde{k}} N^2 (k_B T / M) S_{\tilde{k}} \tilde{f}_k(t) \] (A17)
\[ \times \{ k_{\lambda} \phi_{\lambda}(t) + (\delta_{\lambda \tilde{p}} - \tilde{p}_k \phi_{\lambda}(t)) \partial_t \phi_{\lambda}(t) \partial_t \phi_{\lambda}(t) \} . \] (A17)
Here $\phi_q^T(t)$ and $\phi_q^T(t)$ are longitudinal and transversal current correlators, respectively, which are normalized to unity at $t = 0$. We then obtain the following form for the kernel $L_q(t)$ that involves couplings to current modes:

$$
L_q(t) = \int d\vec{k} \left[ V_L(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) \phi_k(t) \phi_p^T(t) + V_T(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) \phi_k(t) \phi_p^T(t) + V'(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) \partial_t \phi_k(t) \partial_t \phi_p(t) \right].
$$

The vertex functions $V_L$, $V_T$, and $V'$ are expressed in terms of the thermal velocity, the static equilibrium quantities, and $V_\lambda$ given in Eq. (A16b) as

$$
V_L(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) = \frac{\rho_{kB} T}{(2\pi)^3 M} \frac{S_{q} S_{k}}{q^2 m_q(0)^2} \left[ \sum \lambda \phi_{\lambda}(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) \right]^2,
$$

(A18b)

$$
V_T(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) = \frac{\rho_{kB} T}{(2\pi)^3 M} \frac{S_{q} S_{k}}{q^2 m_q(0)^2} \left\{ \sum \lambda \phi_{\lambda}(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) \right\}^2 - \left[ \sum \lambda \phi_{\lambda}(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) \right]^2,
$$

(A18c)

$$
V'(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) = -\frac{\rho}{(2\pi)^3 q^2 m_q(0)^2} \left\{ \sum \lambda \phi_{\lambda}(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) \right\} \times \left[ \sum \lambda \phi_{\lambda}(\vec{q}; \vec{k}, \vec{p}) \right].
$$

(A18d)

Finally, let us connect the Laplace transform of $L_q(t)$ to the hopping kernel $\delta_q(z)$ using the definition given by Eq. (3a). The Laplace transform of Eq. (A9) reads

$$
m_q(z) = -\frac{m_q(0)}{z + m_q(0) L_q(z)}.
$$

(A19)

In view of this expression, let us formally introduce the function $L_q^{id}(z)$ in terms of the Laplace transform of the idealized memory kernel $m_q^{id}(z)$ via

$$
m_q^{id}(z) = -\frac{m_q(0)}{z + m_q(0) L_q^{id}(z)}.
$$

(A20)

Here we have used the equality $m_q(0) = m_q^{id}(0)$ at $t = 0$ noticed above. Substituting Eqs. (A19) and (A20) into Eq. (3a), one obtains for the hopping kernel

$$
\delta_q(z) = L_q(z) - L_q^{id}(z).
$$

(A21)

From the functional form of $L_q(t)$ given in Eq. (A18a) and interpreting that $L_q^{id}(z)$ in Eq. (A21) subtracts those contributions already accounted for by the idealized memory kernel $m_q^{id}(z)$, one understands that the expression (A21) for the hopping kernel is essentially the same as the one derived by Götzle and Sjögren [13, 23].

**APPENDIX B: EXTENDED MCT EQUATIONS FOR THE MEAN-SQUARED DISPLACEMENT AND THE NON-GAUSSIAN PARAMETER**

1. Mean-squared displacement

The equation of motion for the mean-squared displacement $\delta^2(t)$ can be obtained from Eq. (1a) for $\phi_q(t)$ by exploiting its relation to the small-$q$ behavior of $\phi_q(t) = 1 - q^2 \delta^2(t)/6 + O(q^4)$ [24]:

$$
\partial_t \delta^2(t) + \frac{k_B T}{M} \int_0^t dt' m_q^{id}(t - t') \delta^2(t') = 6 \frac{k_B T}{M} t.
$$

(B1)

Here we have introduced the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit of the memory kernel via $m_q^{id}(0) \equiv \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} q^2 m_q^{id}(t)$. To obtain $m_q^{id}(0)$, it is more convenient to rewrite Eq. (3a) in the form

$$
m_q^{id}(z) = m_q^{id}(z) + m_q^{id}(z) \delta_q(z) m_q(z),
$$

(B2)

from which one finds

$$
m_q^{id}(0) = m_q^{id}(0) + m_q^{id}(0) \delta_q(0) m_q(0).
$$

(B3a)

Here $m_q^{id}(0)$ refers to the corresponding $q \rightarrow 0$ limit of the idealized memory kernel, and $\delta_q(0)$ is defined via $\delta_q(0) \equiv \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \delta_q(z)/q^2$. The former is given by [31]

$$
m_q^{id}(0) = \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \int dk k^4 \rho S_{k} \phi_{k}(t) \phi_{k}(t),
$$

(B3b)

whereas the latter reads after carrying out the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit in Eq. (13)

$$
\delta_q^{id}(z) = i \omega_{t} N c \delta^2 / 6.
$$

(B3c)

Equations (B3) constitute the extended-MCT equations for the mean-squared displacement $\delta^2(t)$.

2. Non-Gaussian parameter

The non-Gaussian parameter $\alpha_2(t)$ defined in Eq. (10) can be obtained from the mean-squared displacement $\delta^2(t)$ and the mean-quartic displacement $\delta^4(t)$. The extended-MCT equations for $\delta^4(t)$ can be derived using the same method employed above for $\delta^2(t)$, but with higher order expansions in $q$.

Since $\delta^4(t)$ is proportional to the fourth Taylor coefficient in the small-$q$ expansion of $\phi_q(t) = 1 - q^2 \delta^2(t)/6 + q^4 \delta^4(t)/5! + O(q^6)$ [24], one can derive the following equation from the small-$q$ behavior of Eq. (1a) for $\phi_q(t)$:

$$
\partial_t \delta^4(t) - 20(k_B T/M) \int_0^t dt' \delta^2(t') + (k_B T/M) \int_0^t dt' \left[ m_q^{id}(t - t') \delta^2(t') - 10m_q^{id}(t - t') \delta^2(t') \right] = 0.
$$

(B4)
Here we introduced the memory kernel $m^*_{(2)}(t)$ via the small-$q$ expansion of $q^2 m^*_s(t) = m^*_{(2)}(t) + q^2 m^*_{(2)}(t)/2 + O(q^4)$. Using the corresponding expansion for the idealized memory kernel $m^*_{id}(t)$ and the expansion $\delta_s^2(q)/q^2 = \delta_{(0)}^2(q) + q^2 \delta_{(2)}^2(q)/2 + O(q^4)$ for the hopping kernel, one obtains from Eq. (B2)

$$m^*_{(2)}(z) = m^*_{(2)}(z) + m^*_{id}(z) \delta_{(0)}^2(m^*_{(2)}(z))$$

$$+ m^*_{(0)}(0) \delta_{(2)}^2(m^*_{(2)}(z))$$

$$+ m^*_{(2)}(z) \delta_{(0)}^2(m^*_{(2)}(z)). \quad (B5a)$$

The expression for $m^*_{id}(t)$ reads

$$m^*_{id}(t) = \frac{1}{10\pi^2} \int dk k^4 \rho_S k^2 \phi_k(t)$$

$$\times \frac{[\partial^2 \phi_k(t)]}{\partial k^2} + \frac{2}{3k} \frac{\partial \phi_k(t)}{\partial k}, \quad (B5b)$$

while one obtains from the small-$q$ expansion of Eq. (B4)

$$\delta_{(2)}^2(z) = i w_{hop} N_s a^2 [r_s^2 - a^2/20]/3. \quad (B5c)$$

Here, $r_s$ is defined via the small-$q$ expansion of the Lamb-Mössbauer factor $f^*_q = 1 - q^2 r_s^2 + O(q^4)$.

Equations (B2) and (B5) along with Eqs. (B3) constitute the extended-MCT equations for $\delta r^2(t)$. The non-Gaussian parameter $\alpha_2(t)$ can then be obtained from Eq. (16).

Let us consider the short-time behavior of $\alpha_2(t)$ based on the derived equations. From Eqs. (11) and (14) we find for short times

$$\delta r^2(t) = 3(k_B T/M)^2 t^2 + O(t^4), \quad (B6)$$

$$\delta r^4(t) = 5(k_B T/M)^4 \left[1 + \frac{m^*_{(2)}(0)}{2}\right] t^4 + O(t^6). \quad (B7)$$

Substituting these results into Eq. (16), one obtains

$$\alpha_2(t) = \frac{m^*_{(2)}(0)}{6} - \frac{2}{3} + O(t^2). \quad (B8)$$

Since $\phi_2(0) = 1$, we obtain from Eq. (B5b) $m^*_{id}(0) = 0$. Using this result, one can show that $m^*_{(2)}(0) = 0$ based on Eqs. (B5a) and (B5c). According to Eq. (B8), this means that the initial value of $\alpha_2(t)$ within the idealized MCT (and also within the idealized MCT which is based on Newtonian dynamics) is given by

$$\alpha_2(t \to 0) = -2/3. \quad (B9)$$

This is in disagreement with the exact initial behavior $\alpha_2(t \to 0) = 0$. This discrepancy simply reflects that the ideal-gas contribution to the memory kernel is discarded in the mode-coupling approach. Indeed, the ideal-gas contribution yields $m^*_{(2)}(0) = 4$, which when substituted into Eq. (B8) recovers $\alpha_2(t \to 0) = 0$. The ideal-gas contribution to the memory kernel is responsible for the short-time ballistic regime, but is irrelevant as far as the long-time dynamics is concerned.
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