A heterochromatin domain forms gradually at a new telomere and is dynamic at stable telomeres
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ABSTRACT

Heterochromatin domains play important roles in chromosome biology, organismal development, and aging, including centromere function, mammalian female X chromosome inactivation, and senescence-associated heterochromatin foci. In the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe and metazoans, heterochromatin contains histone H3 that is dimethylated at lysine 9. While factors required for heterochromatin have been identified, the dynamics of heterochromatin formation are poorly understood. Telomeres convert adjacent chromatin into heterochromatin. To form a new heterochromatic region in S. pombe, an inducible DNA double-strand break (DSB) was engineered next to 48 bp of telomere repeats in euchromatin, which caused formation of a new telomere and the establishment and gradual spreading of a new heterochromatin domain. However, spreading was dynamic even after the telomere had reached its stable length, with reporter genes within the heterochromatin domain showing variegated expression. The system also revealed the presence of repeats located near the boundaries of euchromatin and heterochromatin that are oriented to allow the efficient healing of a euchromatic DSB to cap the chromosome end with a new telomere. Telomere formation in S. pombe therefore reveals novel aspects of heterochromatin dynamics and fail-safe mechanisms to repair subtelomeric breaks, with implications for similar processes in metazoan genomes.
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function (8–11). While many of the factors required to maintain heterochromatin have been identified, the dynamics of how heterochromatin domains assemble and disassemble are long-standing, major questions that are only now being investigated (12–14).

Telomeres, the physical ends of chromosomes, are a second chromosomal structure bordered by heterochromatin. In yeasts, humans, and many other eukaryotes, telomeres consist of simple DNA repeats bound by specific proteins. These repeats and their associated proteins provide the first discovered essential function of telomeres: “that of sealing the end of the chromosome” (15) and distinguishing it from a double-strand break (DSB) (15, 16). The second essential function is to replace sequences lost due to incomplete replication, which is accomplished by repeat addition via telomerase (17, 18; reviewed in reference 19). Telomeres also alter the adjacent nucleosomal chromatin to silence the expression of nearby genes (20, 21). However, as mutations that eliminate silencing do not cause telomeres to behave as DSBs (20, 22, 23), telomeres perform their essential functions independently of gene silencing. Heterochromatic gene silencing is associated with the presence of H3K9me2 in humans, flies, and the fission yeast S. pombe (24), and S. pombe telomere-associated chromatin has the H3K9me2 modification (22, 23, 25). Thus, S. pombe telomeres provide an ideal model system to study heterochromatin and heterochromatin dynamics.

A major difficulty that impedes the investigation of heterochromatin domain dynamics is the large amount of time between the initiation of domain formation and its analysis. Telomeres have been formed in S. pombe by integrating in vitro-constructed telomeric DNA into genomic sites in vivo, but 30 population doublings (PDs) or more must pass between the formation of the new telomere and the production of enough cells for chromatin and phenotypic analysis (21). Similar approaches requiring many PDs have followed heterochromatin formation at centromeres and other loci by introducing wild-type genes into mutants defective for heterochromatin assembly (26–29). This approach also converts a mutant cell to a wild-type one, so the levels of cellular chromatin proteins during domain formation are initially different than in wild-type cells. Consequently, additional approaches are needed to study the kinetics of heterochromatin formation and how the H3K9me2 modification spreads from the initiating site into the surrounding chromatin. One hypothesis is that spreading occurs immediately after the initiating site is created and quickly establishes the final heterochromatin domain within one or two generations (as with Sir protein spreading in Saccharomyces cerevisiae [30–32]). Alternatively, the formation of the initiation site, e.g., a functional telomere, may allow spreading over many cell divisions, with the size of the heterochromatin domain gradually increasing over time to form the final state (as suggested for several histone modifications [30] and in S. pombe [14]).

An inducible telomere formation system would provide an approach to study the kinetics of heterochromatin formation in wild-type cells. Such systems contain a selectable marker followed by an internal tract of telomere repeats and a unique restriction site or cut site not present elsewhere in the genome. By placing the restriction enzyme or endonuclease gene under the control of a rapidly inducible promoter, one can induce a DSB in a large population of cells to expose the telomere repeats at the new chromosome end (33). In S. cerevisiae and S. pombe, a DSB in the middle of a chromosome normally leads to DNA degradation and growth inhibition (33–35) (Fig. 1A). In contrast, a telomere formation system in S. cerevisiae and mammalian cells has shown that a DSB that exposes telomere repeats is immediately converted into a short, functional telomere that is not degraded (33, 36–38) (Fig. 1B). The S. cerevisiae telomere formation system has yielded important insights into the roles of telomerase, telomere binding proteins, DNA polymerases, and DNA damage proteins in telomere elongation (reviewed in reference 39). However, S. cerevisiae lacks the H3K9me2 modification system, so its use in modeling the kinetics of heterochromatin spreading that occurs in metazoans is limited.

S. pombe is a useful model for studying the H3K9me2 heterochromatin system (40), but a telomere formation system was previously not feasible owing to the lack of a method to rapidly induce a DSB. Two different rapidly inducible systems have recently
FIG 1 DSB systems and rapid I-SceI DSB formation. (A) Inducible DSB system. A restriction enzyme/endonuclease with no natural sites in the genome is produced in cells from a rapidly inducible promoter. After addition of the inducer and production of the endonuclease, a single site introduced into the genome (red triangle) can be cut to produce a DSB. In the DSB system, the strands both 5' and 3' to the endonuclease site are degraded (indicated by short black lines and loss of the marker DNA), and cell growth is inhibited. (B) Inducible telomere formation system. The new DSB exposes telomere repeats (black triangles) to form a new functional telomere that is stable and elongated. If the chromosomal sequences 3' to the endonuclease site are dispensable, the new functional telomere allows normal cell growth. (C) Rapid induction of an I-SceI-generated DSB. The I-SceI restriction site was inserted into the 5' UTR of lys1+, a gene located 10 kb from the centromere of chromosome I. The expression of I-SceI was induced by the addition of aHTET to 9 μM. Cell samples were taken before (0 min) and after aHTET addition at 20-min intervals. Genomic DNA was prepared and assayed for cleavage at the I-SceI site by qPCR using primers across the site (denoted by black arrows) and normalizing to the production of a similarly sized fragment at his3 (34). The average values from two independent experiments (where each qPCR was performed in triplicate for each experiment), and the standard errors of the mean (SEM) are shown. Note that the assay cannot distinguish between sites that were never cut and those that were cut and then ligated back together with or without mutation of the site. (D) The I-SceI DSB causes growth arrest. Fivefold serial dilutions of cells bearing either the lys1− allele with or without the I-SceI site or the expression vector with or without the I-SceI gene were spotted onto rich medium with either 0 or 9 μM aHTET. Only cells with both the I-SceI expression vector and the I-SceI site have the capability to produce a DSB, and these cells showed the growth inhibition associated with DSB induction.
been established by Watson et al. using the HO endonuclease (41) and by ourselves using I-PpoI endonuclease (34). Unfortunately, neither system was well suited to inducing telomere formation. The HO system uses an urg1+ promoter that is induced by the addition of uracil, which interferes with the use of the ura4+ selectable marker. Expression of the ura4+ gene can be selected for or against, which allows the facile monitoring of expression by cell growth, and has been a mainstay of gene silencing studies (21, 42, 43). Our I-PpoI system avoids this urg1+ limitation by using an anhydrotetracycline (ahTET)-inducible promoter, but I-PpoI cuts in the rDNA of almost all eukaryotes, so strains bearing mutated rDNA repeats must be used. We therefore designed a new method to rapidly induce a single DSB in the S. pombe genome and used it to create a telomere formation system. Telomere formation was induced in a population of cells to follow heterochromatin formation in real time. While a functional telomere that was distinct from an unstable DSB formed immediately, the H3K9me2 modification spread slowly from the new telomere over several generations. Surprisingly, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and gene expression reporters revealed that the extent of spreading varied with growth conditions and over time even when the lengths of the telomere repeat tracts were constant. Thus, the established heterochromatin domain was surprisingly dynamic. We also discovered that a subtelomeric telomere repeat sequence, an I-SceI site, and two flanking selectable markers (NLS) at the N terminus to enhance expression and genomic DNA cleavage. This I-SceI variant was expressed from a TetR-repressed promoter, which allows expression of the desired gene after addition of ahTET (Fig. 2A). Cutting efficiency was tested in a strain with the I-SceI site at a marker gene near the centromere of chromosome I, lys1+ (Fig. 1C). Most I-SceI sites were cut within 40 min of induction of I-SceI expression (Fig. 1C). When plated on inducing medium, the strain expressing I-SceI and containing a site at lys1+ showed a severe growth defect (Fig. 1D), as has been seen with other strains that continuously induce a DSB (34, 48–50).

Two “prototelomere” cassettes were created that contained either 48 bp or 0 bp of S. pombe telomere repeat sequence, an I-SceI site, and two flanking selectable markers (Fig. 2B). Cleavage at the I-SceI site should expose the telomere repeats and cause loss of the distal marker and chromosome end. Consequently, to yield viable cells for analysis, the lost region had to be dispensable and the 48-bp telomere repeats must form a new functional telomere. We therefore chose a site in the 2-kb region 3’ to the gal1+ gene on the right end of chromosome II (IIR), because this region is unique in the genome and borders a 47-kb subtelomere-containing sequence that is repeated at both ends of chromosomes I and II (44, 51, 52). Cells that have lost most of these subtelomeric sequences are viable (52). In addition, gal1+ and each gene in the 86-kb region 5’ to gal1+ are not required for growth. Therefore, induction of I-SceI cleavage in the 2-kb region 3’ to gal1+ could cause loss of dispensable chromosomal sequences and allow the formation of a large heterochromatic domain near the prototelomere and still produce viable cells.

A functional short telomere forms after I-SceI cleavage. Telomere formation was induced in S. pombe cells containing the 48-bp prototelomere by expressing I-SceI and monitoring the fate of the ura4+ and hph+ prototelomere fragments by Southern analysis (Fig. 3A). The uncut ura4+-telomere repeats-hph+ band was visible prior to

RESULTS
The S. pombe telomere formation system. We first developed an inducible DSB system in S. pombe using the I-Scel homing endonuclease. While I-Scel has no endogenous sites in the S. pombe genome (44) and has the advantages described above, it has the disadvantage of inefficient and slow cutting (45, 46). We therefore designed an I-Scel gene with preferred S. pombe codons (47) and two nuclear localization signals (NLS) at the N terminus to enhance expression and genomic DNA cleavage. This I-Scel variant was expressed from a TetR-repressed promoter, which allows expression of the desired gene after addition of ahTET (Fig. 2A). Cutting efficiency was tested in a strain with the I-Scel site at a marker gene near the centromere of chromosome I, lys1+ (Fig. 1C). Most I-Scel sites were cut within 40 min of induction of I-Scel expression (Fig. 1C). When plated on inducing medium, the strain expressing I-Scel and containing a site at lys1+ showed a severe growth defect (Fig. 1D), as has been seen with other strains that continuously induce a DSB (34, 48–50).

Two “prototelomere” cassettes were created that contained either 48 bp or 0 bp of S. pombe telomere repeat sequence, an I-Scel site, and two flanking selectable markers (Fig. 2B). Cleavage at the I-Scel site should expose the telomere repeats and cause loss of the distal marker and chromosome end. Consequently, to yield viable cells for analysis, the lost region had to be dispensable and the 48-bp telomere repeats must form a new functional telomere. We therefore chose a site in the 2-kb region 3’ to the gal1+ gene on the right end of chromosome II (IIR), because this region is unique in the genome and borders a 47-kb subtelomere-containing sequence that is repeated at both ends of chromosomes I and II (44, 51, 52). Cells that have lost most of these subtelomeric sequences are viable (52). In addition, gal1+ and each gene in the 86-kb region 5’ to gal1+ are not required for growth. Therefore, induction of I-Scel cleavage in the 2-kb region 3’ to gal1+ could cause loss of dispensable chromosomal sequences and allow the formation of a large heterochromatic domain near the prototelomere and still produce viable cells.
induction of I-SceI and was replaced by the I-SceI-cleaved ura4\* and hph\* bands over time. Cleavage was efficient, as over 90% of the ura4\* hph\* fragments were cleaved within 4 h (Fig. 3C), which was less than 1 PD under these conditions. The ura4\* fragment was stable and increased in size and heterogeneity during the experiment, as expected for the elongation of the exposed 48-bp telomere repeats (Fig. 3A). Elongation was almost certainly by telomerase, as sequencing of the telomere fragments revealed that, in all but one case, addition of new telomeric repeats to the I-SceI-cleaved prototelomere occurred (Fig. 4B), consistent with telomerase-mediated addition events in S. cerevisiae and mammalian cells (38, 53–56). When telomere formation was performed in cells lacking telomerase RNA, the newly formed telomere was stable but not elongated (Fig. 5). In contrast, the hph\* fragment was rapidly degraded (Fig. 3A). Thus, formation of a telomere, the stable structure that “seals” the end of the chromosome (15), occurs at the earliest time point tested and is independent of telomerase activity. Following elongation of the telomere repeats for over 50 PDs revealed that the telomere repeat tracts were stably maintained (Fig. 4C) and reached their equilibrium final lengths by ~8 PDs (Fig. 4D). We noted that some cells with uncut telomeres regrew during this serial dilution experiment (Fig. 4D), and a method to eliminate cells with uncut prototelomeres after a few PDs was instituted for the ChIP experiments discussed below. The initial stability and subsequent elongation of the ura4\* 48-bp telomere band, therefore, show that this fragment rapidly acquired the essential telomeric functions of end capping and end replication after I-SceI cleavage and behaved the same as short functional telomeres at chromosome ends (e.g., newly formed S. cerevisiae telomeres and telomeres of cells lacking Tel1, MRX, or Ku [20, 57]).

The I-SceI-induced DSB at the 0-bp prototelomere had a fate notably different from that of the 48-bp prototelomere. The 0-bp prototelomere strain displayed rapid cutting, as demonstrated by the disappearance of the ura4\* hph\* fragment and degradation of both I-SceI-generated terminal fragments by 20 h postinduction (Fig. 3B and C). Thus, I-SceI cutting at this locus was efficient, and both sides of the DSB at the 0-bp prototelomere were unstable.

![Diagram of I-SceI telomere formation system](image)
FIG 3 I-SceI cleavage converts the 48-bp prototelomere to a telomere. (A) Exponentially growing cells bearing the 48-bp prototelomere and the I-SceI expression cassette were treated with ahTET (9 μM final concentration), and aliquots were taken either prior to induction (0 h) or after induction (1 to 20 h). WT signifies the wild-type genomic background for the telomere formation strains (e.g., YJRE210 [Table 1]). Genomic DNA was digested with ScaI and analyzed by Southern analysis using probes for \textit{ura4} or \textit{hph} (denoted by red bars above each locus). The I-SceI site is marked by a red triangle. The prototelomere fragment is rapidly converted to the smaller \textit{ura4} and \textit{hph} fragments. The I-SceI-cleaved ScaI-\textit{ura4} and \textit{hph}-ScaI bands are indicated by partial ideograms of the original diagram of the prototelomere. The numbers in blue above the blot represent the hours postinduction. As a control for loading, the blots were rehybridized with an \textit{abo1} probe, shown below the Southern blot. Molecular size standards are shown (lanes M). (B) Cells bearing the 0-bp prototelomere cassette were treated and analyzed as for panel A. (C) Normalized intensities of the 1.8-kb uncut \textit{ura4}::\textit{hph} band from cells bearing the I-SceI expression cassette and the 48-bp prototelomere or the 0-bp prototelomere. Normalization was the average of the Typhoon Imager signal for either \textit{ura4} or \textit{hph} divided by the \textit{abo1} signal for the respective lane. The error bars show SEM from triplicate assays. (D) Serial 5-fold dilutions of cells bearing an I-SceI site at \textit{lys1} and the 48- and 0-bp prototelomere cassettes were spotted onto minimal medium that lacked or had ahTET (9 μM). The diagrams show one side of each cleavage site (\textit{g}+, \textit{gal1}'; \textit{u}+, \textit{ura4}+). (E) Quantitation of survival of the strains shown in panel D after induction of I-SceI. Survival of both the 0-bp and 48-bp prototelomere strains was significantly different than that of the strain bearing the I-SceI site at \textit{lys1} (\(P < 0.01\); t test). The 0-bp and 48-bp strains were not significantly different (\(P = 0.09\); t test). The error bars show SEM from duplicate assays. (F) Doubling times of 3 independently induced cultures obtained by determining cell concentration using a hemocytometer. More than 1,000 cells were counted for each genetic construction (the I-SceI expression cassette with an I-SceI site at \textit{lys1} or at the 0-bp or 48-bp prototelomere). The doubling times prior to induction (uninduced), 0 to 8 h postinduction, and 8 to 20 h postinduction are shown above the bars. The error bars show standard deviations from 3 independent assays. (G) Western analysis of Chk1-myc in cells bearing the I-SceI expression cassette and the I-SceI site at \textit{lys1} or the 48-bp and 0-bp prototelomere cassettes. Cell samples were taken prior to induction (0 h) or after the addition of ahTET to 9 μM (1 to 8 h). Whole-cell extracts were then analyzed by Western blotting with an antibody directed against the myc epitope tag at the C terminus of Chk1. The 0.1% Ponceau S (5% acetic acid) staining of the membrane shows the relative amounts of protein loaded.
FIG 4 Analysis of the newly added telomere repeats at the 48-bp prototelomere. (A) Sequences added by *S. pombe* telomerase in the first round of synthesis. The top line represents a DNA strand further elongated by telomerase, where the added GGTTACA sequence hybridizes to the telomerase

(Continued on next page)
The genomic organization of *S. pombe* allows the efficient healing of subtelomeric DSBs. Double-strand breaks cause growth arrest in *S. pombe, S. cerevisiae*, human cells, and other model systems, while telomeres do not (34, 50; reviewed in reference 39). We therefore tested the effect of I-SceI cleavage at centromeric *lys1*+ and the subtelomeric 48-bp and 0-bp prototelomeromes. As expected, cleavage at *lys1*+ greatly impaired growth (Fig. 3D and E). In contrast, cleavage at the 48-bp prototelomere, which formed a telomere and lost subtelomeric repeated sequences, showed no detectable growth inhibition. Surprisingly, cells containing the 0-bp prototelomere cassette also showed very little growth inhibition, with ~100% of the cells surviving (Fig. 3D and E), even though the *ura4* fragment had been degraded in these cells (Fig. 3B). The mechanism allowing this survival was unclear, because the DSB occurred in unique sequence, not in the sequences repeated in four telomeres.

To determine what process allowed the efficient growth of cells bearing the DSB formed at the 0-bp prototelomere, we determined the chromosomal structures of three independent survivors. Phenotypic and genomic characterization revealed that the survivors had lost the *hph* + gene and almost 19 kb of DNA internal to the I-SceI cleavage site. The degradation endpoint of the *DUF999* protein family 7 gene (*DUF999-7*), a member of a gene family near the telomeres of chromosomes I and II in which all the genes are transcribed toward the centromere (Fig. 6A). We hypothesized that nucleolytic degradation from the I-SceI site to the *DUF999-7* gene would allow recombination between gene family members to add a functional chromosomal end to IIR (Fig. 6B), as recombination between repeats is known to be efficient enough to account for this high level of survival (58). To test this hypothesis, we determined the sequences adjacent to *DUF999-7* in the survivor strains and found sequences indicating recombination with *DUF999-8 on IIR or DUF999-6 on the left end of chromosome II (III) (Fig. 6B and C). As the sequences from the *DUF999-8* and *DUF999-6* genes to their respective telomeres were almost identical (51, 52), the specific telomere captured by the DSB was not determined. Therefore, the *S. pombe* genome is structured to rapidly and efficiently heal DSBs near subtelomeres and to maintain cell viability.

**Cleavage of the 48-bp prototelomere does not impair cell growth or cause Chk1 phosphorylation.** The cellular responses to I-SceI cleavage at *lys1* + versus the 48- and 0-bp prototelomeromes suggested different levels of checkpoint activation in response to the induced DSB. Previous work in *S. cerevisiae* using a construct similar to the 48-bp prototelomere showed that the induced DSB caused a short growth delay that was distinguishable from the growth with and without a chromosomal DSB, a phenomenon called the “telomere anticheckpoint” (36, 37, 59). To determine if the *S. pombe* system showed telomere anticheckpoint activity, the growth rates of single cells bearing the 48-bp prototelomere, with or without the I-SceI expression cassette, and cells bearing the I-SceI expression cassette and the *lys1* + I-SceI site were determined after induction. Exponential-
phase cells were plated on nonselective medium with anhydrotetracycline (ahTET), and single cells were micromanipulated onto a grid for monitoring of cell growth by microscopy (Fig. 7A). In two separate experiments, cells bearing the 48-bp prototelomere grew at the same rate whether or not the I-SceI expression cassette was present (Fig. 7B). After these cells grew into colonies, they were assayed by replica plating for cleavage at the I-SceI site and loss of the distal hygromycin resistance gene. All of the colonies with the I-SceI expression cassette were hygromycin sensitive, indicating that I-SceI cleavage and telomere formation occurred as in the liquid cultures (Fig. 3A). Cells lacking the I-SceI expression cassette were hygromycin resistant, indicating lack of cleavage. In marked contrast, cells bearing the lys1/H11001 I-SceI site and I-SceI cassette showed a growth delay, with the majority having completed only 2 or 3 cell divisions when the cells with the 48-bp prototelomere had completed more than 16 divisions (Fig. 7B, 25 h). A fraction of the lys1/H11001 I-SceI cells did form hygromycin-sensitive colonies (lys1/H11011 25%) (Fig. 7C), suggesting that some portion of the hph/H11001 gene and the adjacent I-SceI site (Fig. 1C) had been deleted. These results indicate that the I-SceI cassette was quickly expressed upon plating on the ahTET plates and that I-SceI cleavage at the 48-bp prototelomere did not induce a detectable growth delay.

The single-cell growth phenotypes suggested that the cleaved 48-bp prototelomere did not activate the DNA damage cell cycle checkpoints while the DSB at lys1 did.
FIG 6 The genome organization of *S. pombe* allows efficient healing of the 0-bp prototelomere. (A) Map of the DUF999 gene family on chromosomes I and II. All 10 genes from the family are in the same orientation, with transcription toward the centromere (circles). The chromosome III subtelomeres consist of scores of rRNA gene repeats (44) and are not shown. The region near the DUF999-7 gene (boxed 7) that is just internal to the 0-bp prototelomere insertion site is expanded, showing the relative position of the prototelomere and the distances of different primer pairs (shown as red bars) from the I-SceI site. A plus indicates that a PCR product was obtained from each of the three surviving cleaved 0-bp prototelomere strains tested, and a minus indicates that a product was not obtained. The DUF999-7 gene was the gene closest to the degradation endpoint. (B) Hypothesis to explain how the DUF999 gene family can provide a backup mechanism to rescue a DSB near the subtelomere. After induction of a DSB at the 0-bp prototelomere, DNA is degraded at both ends (Fig. 3B). The generation of degraded DNA in the DUF999-7 gene can produce a DSB that can undergo recombination with other DUF999 genes (purple boxes) to acquire a new telomere. To test this hypothesis, we performed inverse-circle PCR (see Materials and Methods) and determined the sequences that had been fused to the DUF999-7 gene. We found a recombination donor that could be from DUF999-3, -6, or -8. (C) PCR to confirm the recombination event. DUF999-6 and -8 have a unique region (red box) that is absent from the DUF999-3 gene region. PCR using a primer specific to this region (red arrows) and a unique primer at DUF999-7 (black arrows) revealed that the three strains that survived the induction of the DSB (the 0-bp survivors) were generated from the recombination between the DUF999-7 gene and DUF999-6 or -8 gene. The sequence between DUF999-6
Both cleavages were associated with DNA degradation (Fig. 3A), suggesting that the cut prototelomere had antichk1 checkpoint activity. Activation of the DNA damage checkpoint in S. pombe causes cells to arrest at G2/M, grow into elongated cells, and phosphorylate the kinase Chk1 (60, 61). Therefore, cells bearing the 48-bp prototelomere and lys1<sup>+</sup> l-Scel site with and without the l-Scel expression cassette were induced in liquid culture to determine the doubling time, the cell morphology, and the status of Chk1 phosphorylation. Cleavage at the 0-bp prototelomere also results in DNA degradation (Fig. 6) with no loss of viability (Fig. 3D and E), and these cells were also analyzed.

Both strains that have and strains that lack the l-Scel expression were assayed for growth. All the cells lacking l-Scel expression had similar doubling times in uninduced cultures, during the first 8 h postinduction and the last 8 to 20 h postinduction (Fig. 7D). Cells bearing the 48-bp prototelomere and the l-Scel cassette had the same doubling time after l-Scel induction. Inducing l-Scel expression in cells bearing the lys1 l-Scel site caused cell doubling times to increase over the first 8 h postinduction and to increase further in the subsequent 8 to 20 h, suggesting checkpoint activation (Fig. 3F). The 0-bp prototelomere showed an intermediate phenotype, with an increase in doubling time in the first 8 h period that decreased in the next 8 to 20 h. Cell morphologies mirrored these growth rate phenotypes in that the cells with the 48-bp prototelomere had the same cell size in uninduced and induced cultures, cells with the 0-bp prototelomere had slightly longer cells after induction, and cells with the lys1<sup>+</sup> l-Scel cassette formed elongated cells characteristic of a G2/M cell cycle delay (Fig. 7E). The level of checkpoint activation can also be monitored by the level of phosphorylated Chk1, which migrates as a slower band than Chk1 on SDS-PAGE gels (60). No increased Chk1 phosphorylation was detectable in cells with the 48-bp prototelomere during the first 8 h after induction (Fig. 3G). In cells with the 0-bp telomere, a faint band of phosphorylated Chk1 was detectable during the first 4 h postinduction. Finally, the induced DSB at lys1<sup>+</sup>, which caused a strong growth delay in the single-cell analysis (Fig. 7B), showed the largest amount of Chk1 phosphorylation at 8 h (Fig. 3G). The sum of these data demonstrates that the DSB at lys1<sup>+</sup> causes strong checkpoint activation; the 0-bp prototelomere induces weak, transient checkpoint activation; and the cleaved 48-bp prototelomere has telomere antichk1 checkpoint activity.

**Telomere formation initiates silencing of ura4<sup>+</sup>**. To test silencing at a newly formed telomere, telomere formation was induced and the cells were subsequently assessed for expression of the ura4<sup>+</sup> marker. Cells in which transcription of ura4<sup>+</sup> is silenced, such as by placing ura4<sup>+</sup> near a newly formed telomere, are unable to grow on media lacking uracil (21, 23, 62). Cells were therefore induced for l-Scel expression overnight prior to plating on rich and selective media (Fig. 8A). After induction, strains with the 48-bp prototelomere grew poorly on medium containing hygromycin, indicating loss of the 3‘ hph<sup>+</sup> fragment (Fig. 3A), or on medium lacking uracil. However, the ura4<sup>+</sup> gene could be amplified by PCR from these Ura<sup>−</sup> colonies (Fig. 8B), and a PCR product of the entire ura4<sup>+</sup> gene had the wild-type sequence (not shown). Therefore, establishing a new telomere silenced expression of the adjacent ura4<sup>+</sup> gene.

To determine if heterochromatin impacted telomere formation, the clr4<sup>+</sup> gene encoding the H3 lysine 9 methyltransferase was deleted from the strain containing the 48-bp prototelomere and l-Scel expression cassette. The clr4A mutation resulted in expression of ura4<sup>+</sup> at a fully formed telomere in two independent isolates (Fig. 9E), which were examined for telomere formation. Upon l-Scel induction, cleavage of the 48-bp prototelomere, elongation of the telomere repeats, and the final size of the telomere band were nearly identical in the wild-type and clr4A strains (Fig. 9A to C). The clr4A strain had a doubling time slightly longer than that of wild-type cells, and telomere formation did not alter this differential (Fig. 9D). Thus, the absence of Clr4 had

**FIG 6 Legend (Continued)**

and its telomere is nearly identical to the sequence between DUF999-8 and its telomere, and thus, the recombination event that rescued the DSB was not pursued further.
FIG 7 Cleaving the 48-bp prototelomere does not induce a cell cycle pause. (A) Experimental design. Telomere formation was induced on synthetic nonselective plates supplemented with 9 μM ahTET. Single cells (40 to 44) were micromanipulated onto a grid, and cell numbers were observed after incubation for 3, 6, 9, and 25 h at 32°C. The plates were incubated for an additional 3 days, scanned, and then replicated on nonselective plates and on YES-plus-hygromycin plates, which were then grown for 3 days at 32°C and scanned. (B) Numbers of cells of different strains at 3 to 25 h postinduction. Cells bearing the I-SceI site at 48-bp prototelomeres with or without the I-SceI expression cassette or cells with an I-SceI site at lys1+ and the I-SceI expression cassette were assayed. (C) Cells from panel B were grown for 3 days at 32°C, replica plated to the indicated media, and grown for 3 more days at 32°C. Images of the original plate (EMMG plus ahTET) and the two replica plates are shown for (Continued on next page)
no detectable effect on telomere formation and elongation kinetics, indicating that the
two processes are independent.

The H3K9me2 heterochromatin mark spreads gradually after telomere forma-
tion and is highly variable at full-length telomeres. To further characterize ura4+/H11001
silencing, the levels of a heterochromatin-specific histone modification, H3K9me2, were
monitored near the established telomere by ChIP-quantitative PCR (qPCR). H3K9me2
levels were determined using primers that amplify from ura4+/H11001 to internal loci up to 93
kb from the I-SceI site (Fig. 10A, red bars). Cells containing the uncut 48-bp prototelomere
had a localized peak of H3K9me2 near the insertion site, while the fully formed
telomere showed a large increase of H3K9me2 spreading (Fig. 10A and D). Spreading
of the H3K9me2 mark was under nutritional control, as more spreading was observed
in cells grown in rich medium than in cells grown in synthetic medium, even though
telomere sizes were nearly identical under both conditions (Fig. 10B). Therefore, similar
to changes in Drosophila position effect variegation that respond to temperature (63)
and the reversible silencing of S. pombe subtelomere-adjacent genes that are expressed
in sporulation medium (25), heterochromatin domains in S. pombe also respond to
environmental conditions. In cells with the 0-bp prototelomere and no I-SceI gene, no
such enrichment of H3K9me2 was found (Fig. 10C).

To understand the relationship between the formation of a functional telomere and the
establishment of the telomeric heterochromatin domain, we performed a kinetic analysis of
H3K9me2 levels while the new telomere was forming. Upon induction of telomere forma-
tion, heterochromatin spreading was monitored in cells grown continuously for 8 PDs (Fig.
11A). To completely eliminate cells where the I-SceI cut is rejoined and healed to cause
retention of the hph+/fragment and subtelomere (Fig. 4D), cells from PD 2 (~8 h of growth)
were used to isolate single colonies that were screened for loss of the distal hph+ fragment
and then subsequently cultured and analyzed (Fig. 12A).

In four independent experiments, the H3K9me2 level gradually increased by 0 to 8
PDs and peaked 9 to 20 kb from the cut site (Fig. 11), and telomeres were elongated
gradually (Fig. 13). At the 1-PD time point, the cells had short functional telomeres, as

FIG 7 Legend (Continued)
each strain. (D) Doubling times of the strains with the 48-bp or 0-bp prototelomere or the lys1+/I-SceI site with or without the I-SceI expression
cassette. Doubling times from three independently induced cultures were calculated by counting cells using a hemocytometer at the indicated
time points. More than 1,000 cells were counted for each genetic construction. The doubling times of different strains were compared by t test
to that of the wild-type strain lacking an I-SceI site and the I-SceI expression cassette. Significant differences (P < 0.05) are marked by asterisks.
(E) Representative images of cells bearing the I-SceI site at the 48-bp or 0-bp prototelomere or at lys1+. Growing cells prior to induction
(uninduced) or 4 or 25 h postinduction were photographed at the same magnification to compare cell sizes after I-SceI cuts at the different loci.
The strain with a lys1+ I-SceI site shows the elongation phenotype characteristic of G2/M arrest due to DNA damage.
FIG 9 Heterochromatin establishment in a newly formed telomere is independent of its elongation. Clr4 is the only histone H3 lysine 9 methyltransferase and is required for heterochromatin. (A) Exponentially growing wild-type and clr4Δ cells bearing the 48-bp prototelomere and the I-SceI expression cassette were treated with ahTET (9 μM final concentration), and aliquots were taken either prior to treatment (0 h) or after treatment (1 to 32 h), following the approach used for Fig. 3A. Blots from the clr4Δ cells are shown. The wild-type cells gave results nearly identical to those in Fig. 3A. (B) Efficiency of ura4+/H11001::hph+/H11001 cleavage, as shown in Fig. 3C. The error bars show SEM from duplicate assays for clr4Δ and triplicate assays for the wild type (WT). (C) Telomere elongation is nearly identical in wild-type and clr4Δ backgrounds. The modal TRF sizes of the newly formed telomere after induction were measured as for Fig. 4C. Band sizes on these blots vary by approximately ±0.03 kb. WT sizes are from the formation experiment shown in Fig. 3A. clr4Δ sizes are from the formation experiment shown in panel A. (D) Doubling times of wild-type or clr4Δ cells bearing the 48-bp prototelomere and the I-SceI expression cassette prior to ahTET induction (uninduced), 0 to 8 h post induction, and 8 to 20 h postinduction (as determined by the OD600). (E) The clr4Δ mutation eliminated telomeric silencing. Growth of wild-type cells (ura4+) or wild-type or clr4Δ cells bearing a 48-bp prototelomere before (−) and after (+) 20 h of induction was assessed by spotting 5-fold serial dilutions of cells onto rich-medium plates (YES) without and with hygromycin (hyg) or onto synthetic medium without (−ura) or with (+ura) uracil.
The ura4 telomeric fragment was stable and slightly elongated (Fig. 13), but the H3K9me2 level barely increased (Fig. 11). At 2 and 8 PDs, the size of the heterochromatin slowly increased toward the centromere (Fig. 11), and telomere length reached its equilibrium state at 8 PDs (Fig. 13).

Surprisingly, from PDs 34 to 87, independently formed telomeres from four similar induction assays showed differences in the amounts of heterochromatin at different times in the presence of constant telomere length. These experiments showed spreading of the level of H3K9me2 to a domain of similar size (Fig. 12), and H3K9me2 levels were elevated at the most internal loci at all time points. However, one line (Fig. 12C)
FIG 11 The new H3K9me2 domain forms gradually during new telomere elongation. (A) Telomere formation was induced, and samples were taken at different time points for analysis of telomere lengths and H3K9me2 levels. (B) The primer sets used to monitor the levels of histone H3K9me2 enrichment at several loci are shown as red bars. Distances are relative to the I-SceI cut site, represented as a red arrowhead. The 0.9- and 3-kb probes are in ura4(H11001) and gal1(H11001), respectively; h(H11001), hph(H11001). (C to F) (Right) Four independent kinetic analyses of the levels of histone H3K9me2 enrichment at several loci over 8 PDs, normalized to total histone H3 levels at each locus (see Materials and Methods). (Left) Statistical comparisons of ChIP time courses of H3K9me2 spreading compared to uninduced H3K9me2 levels. Statistically significant differences (P < 0.05; t test) are indicated by asterisks. Statistical method details are provided in “Statistical analysis of ChIP” (Materials and Methods). (The primers for the loci at 23, 36, 32, and 35 kb were omitted in panels D and E.)
 FIG 12 The H3K9me2 domain remains dynamic as the new telomere reaches its equilibrium length. (A) Telomere formation was induced, and samples were taken at different time points for analysis of telomere lengths and H3K9me2 levels. (B) The primer sets used to monitor the levels of histone H3K9me2 enrichment at several loci are shown as red bars. Distances are relative to the I-SceI cut site, represented as a red arrowhead. The 0.9- and 3-kb probes are in ura4\(^{+}\) (u\(^+\)) and gal1\(^{+}\) (g\(^+\)), respectively; h\(^+\), hph\(^+\). (C to F) (Right) Four independent kinetic analyses of heterochromatin spreading of a single hygromycin-sensitive colony (A), derived from PD 2 of the time courses shown in Fig. 11. (Left) Statistical comparisons of ChIP time courses of H3K9me2 spreading compared to 34-PD H3K9me2 levels. Statistically significant differences (\(P < 0.05\); t test) are indicated by asterisks, as in Fig. 11.
showed its highest peak of heterochromatin at 19 kb at 87 PDs. A second line showed an increase at 60 PDs that fell at 87 PDs (Fig. 12D). A third line showed increased levels at 60 PDs and remained high at 87 PDs (Fig. 12E), while the last line showed high levels at all time points (Fig. 12F). Southern analysis revealed that telomere lengths in different formation experiments were indistinguishable at all of these time points (Fig. 10E and 13). Therefore, spreading of the telomeric H3K9me2 mark was dynamic, even though the telomere maintained a constant repeat tract size during this time.

The ade6+/H11545 colony color marker reveals the initiation, spreading, and dynamics of telomeric heterochromatin. While the slow spreading of heterochromatin and its dynamics at formed telomeres were consistent in each time course assayed as described above, it was noted that the levels of ChiP signal in two time courses performed later in the project (Fig. 11E and F and 12E and F) were about twice the magnitude of those performed 2 years earlier (Fig. 11C and D and 12C and D) by a different researcher. Such uniform changes in ChiP signal levels are frequent in the field when the same assays are performed 2 or more years apart. Examples include the 2-fold differences at the HOOD4 and HOOD10 loci (64, 65) and 2-fold (66, 67) and 3-fold (68, 69) differences at S. pombe telomeric loci. Consequently, the ChiP results shown in Fig. 11 and 12 demonstrate the slow spreading of heterochromatin immediately after telomere formation and the dynamic spreading at established telomeres with a level of variation consistent with results from other groups in the field. However, as the variation led to a level of uncertainty while this work was in review, a ChiP-independent method to analyze the slow spreading and dynamics of heterochromatic gene silencing was also used.

The parallel, ChiP-independent analysis of heterochromatin spreading and dynamics used a series of ade6+ genes placed at different distances from the telomere formation site. When grown on medium with limiting amounts of adenine, ade6+ S. pombe cells form white cells and colonies, while cells lacking ade6+ expression and starved for adenine form red cells and colonies. Where ade6+ is repressed near heterochromatin, ade6+ expression can switch between expressed (white cells), partially repressed (pink cells), and repressed (red cells) (21, 70). Cells lacking ade6+ (ade6Δ cells) or with the ade6+ reporters integrated at 4 different distances from the I-SceI site were grown as for the ChiP experiments, except that I-SceI expression was induced by plating the cells on medium containing aHtET and small amounts of adenine (Fig. 14A). Induction of telomere formation initiates the spreading of heterochromatin that, based on the above-mentioned H3K9me2 ChiP results (Fig. 11 and 12), requires different numbers of PDs to fully spread. Slow heterochromatin spreading should silence ade6+ genes further from the new telomere at later times during colony growth. As a test of the dynamic extension and retraction of the heterochromatin domain, colonies with different color phenotypes were then picked and plated for single colonies to determine
A colony color assay reveals the spreading and dynamic nature of the new heterochromatin domain. (A) Experimental design. Cells were grown for I-SceI induction as for ChIP and induced by plating on plates containing 9 μM ahTET and 7 μg/ml adenine (1st plate). After ~4 days at 30°C, (Continued on next page)
if the repression phenotype of ade6+ changes, as would be predicted if the heterochromatin domain extended or retracted in later PDs (e.g., Fig. 12).

Control cells bearing only the ade6Δ mutation formed uniformly red colonies on the first and second platings of individual cells, as expected for lack of the Ade6 protein (Fig. 14B, C, and D). Cells with the ade6+ start codon 4 kb from the telomere formation site formed colonies with a white center embedded in a dark-red colony (Fig. 14C and D and 15), indicating the presence of the Ade6 protein in early PDs followed by lack of Ade6 protein in later PDs. When colonies with a white center were plated again, almost all of the colonies were dark red like the ade6Δ cells (Fig. 14D and 15), similar to the complete repression of the telomeric ura4+ gene in cells that had been propagated with the formed telomere (Fig. 8).

The ade6+ genes with start codons 16, 20, and 88 kb from the telomere formation site also gave colony-sectoring patterns consistent with the H3K9me2 ChIP results. The reporter at 16 kb gave rise to colonies with white centers and pink or red sectors on the outer edges of the colony in the first plating (41%), white colonies where ade6+ had not yet been repressed (58%), and rare red colonies where ade6+ was repressed (Fig. 14D). However, replating different types of colonies gave rise to the same proportions of colony color phenotypes, where ~90% of the colonies were pink or red/white sectored with some level of ade6+ repression evident in the center of the colony (Fig. 14D, 2nd plates), consistent with expansion and contraction of the new heterochromatin domain. Some of these red sectored colonies had outer pink sector cells, indicating that repressed ade6+ genes had increased expression during growth, consistent with a retraction of the heterochromatin domain toward the telomere (Fig. 14D and 15, 2nd plates). The ade6+ gene at 20 kb required even more PDs to manifest repression, as 97% of the colonies were white in the first plating and no red colonies were observed. Replating single cells from a white colony gave rise to both red (4%) and pink or red/white sectored (11%) colonies that showed ade6+ repression in the center of the

**FIG 14** Legend (Continued)

a single colony from the 1st plate was spread onto a 2nd plate of the same medium. (B) Colony color phenotypes used to classify different levels of ade6+ expression. Full expression forms a white colony, partial or reduced expression results in a pink colony, and loss of expression produces a red colony. Variable or dynamic changes in expression give rise to colonies with different colors, which we grouped into pink or sectored red/white for quantitation. (C) Diagram for the placement and orientation of the different ade6+ reporters. Distances from the I-SceI cut site and the ade6+ start codon (in green) and stop codon (in black) are shown. The uninduced strains with these markers form white colonies on the low-adenine medium in these tests. (D) Colony color phenotypes of the first and second platings of the different ade6+ reporters. The dotted lines group the graphs and colony pictures for each ade6+ reporter. The bar graphs show the frequencies of the three types of colonies shown in panel B. At least 1,000 colonies were counted for each plating. Representative colonies are shown, with additional colonies shown in Fig. 15.
Finally, the ade6+ gene at 88 kb showed no evidence of gene silencing in either the first or second platings of single colonies, consistent with the very low level of H3K9me2 spreading to this locus (Fig. 11 and 12). Thus, the ChIP and ade6+ expression analyses indicated slow spreading of heterochromatin to form a dynamic gene-silencing domain.

**DISCUSSION**

We constructed the first inducible telomere formation system in *S. pombe* and used it to show that telomeric regions have unexpected properties in healing DSBs and in the kinetics of heterochromatin domain formation and spreading. While inducing a DSB near the middle of the chromosome arm caused significant growth inhibition, DSBs in the subtelomeric region at the 0-bp or 48-bp prototelomere did not (Fig. 3D and E). The 0-bp prototelomere lacking any telomere repeats showed DNA degradation on both sides of the DSB (Fig. 3B) and revealed a backup mechanism to restore telomere function by recombination between a family of subtelomeric repetitive elements (Fig. 6). In contrast, the 48-bp prototelomere with telomere repeats on the centromeric side of the DSB was stable and a substrate for telomere repeat addition, behavior identical to that of a functional short telomere (33, 52, 71) (Fig. 3A, 4, 5, 9, and 13). Formation of a functional telomere was rapid and independent of heterochromatin (Fig. 9); however, establishing the telomere-dependent heterochromatin domain was much slower. The H3K9me2 domain spread gradually from 0 to 8 PDs, when telomere length reached its equilibrium state. The slow spreading of heterochromatin is consistent with the facts that the essential telomere functions in chromosome stability are independent of heterochromatin (22, 57) and that the heterochromatin domain is a secondary consequence of telomere formation. The slow spread of the heterochromatin domain raises the possibility that chromatin domain formation in other biological contexts (e.g., metazoan development, tumorigenesis, and senescence) also requires several cell divisions. After the newly formed telomere repeat tracts reached their final, stable lengths, the size of the H3K9me2 domain remained dynamic, indicating that these changes in the extent of spreading are independent of telomere length.

The backup mechanism to rescue telomere function in response to a subtelomeric DSB reflects the similarities between the genome structures of *S. pombe* and metazoans. The three nuclear chromosomes of *S. pombe* have complex subtelomeric regions (51), with the DUF999 repeats oriented in a way that allowed recombination to attach or copy a functional telomere to the broken chromosome end (Fig. 6). Mammalian genomes also contain a large number of repeats, and small deletions at the border between telomeric euchromatin and heterochromatin are unlikely to cause a phenotype in diploid cells, in contrast to large telomeric deletions, which have developmental consequences (71–73). The *S. pombe* results therefore suggest that a direct examination of induced DSBs near the border of the mammalian heterochromatic subtelomere may reveal a similar mechanism for rescuing telomere function.

The newly formed *S. pombe* telomere revealed an unusual heterochromatin domain compared to the uncleaved 48-bp prototelomeres. The uncleaved 48-bp prototelomeres showed only a peak of H3K9me2 levels that were centered at the 48-bp telomere repeats (Fig. 10 and 11, uninduced), consistent with the internal telomeric repeats initiating low levels of silencing (22, 23). In contrast, the established telomere with a functional chromosome end formed an internal H3K9me2 heterochromatin domain that peaked from 9 to 26 kb from the telomere (Fig. 12). The reason for this internal peak, as opposed to a peak immediately adjacent to the telomere repeats, is unknown. The location of this internal peak was different in cells grown in rich medium versus synthetic medium (Fig. 10A), suggesting that the peak location is not completely sequence dependent. This *S. pombe* telomere formation system will thus provide a useful tool for future studies to examine the cis- and trans-acting factors that regulate the positioning of the H3K9me2 peak.

The telomere formation system revealed a slow and dynamic spreading of the telomeric heterochromatin domain that was not predicted by previous studies. In
recent work, where a synthetic *S. pombe* heterochromatin domain was established by conditionally tethering the H3K9 methyltransferase to an expressed gene, release of the tethered methyltransferase caused the H3K9me2 mark to be lost a few hours later (~1 or 2 PDs) (12, 13), much faster than the 8 PDs (32 h) required to form the internal H3K9me2 peak (Fig. 11). Assembly of a transcriptionally silenced chromatin in *S. cerevisiae*, which does not involve H3K9me2, has been monitored, and it also forms at a much higher rate. Overexpression of a silencing protein unique to *S. cerevisiae*, Sir3, in wild-type cells extended existing Sir3-containing chromatin domains (30–32). An independent approach that used chemical inhibition of silencing and followed its establishment after the inhibitor was withdrawn (74) showed that Sir3 silent chromatin was significantly extended or reestablished in these studies within ~4 h (~1 or 2 PDs). Complete modification of the histones as a consequence of Sir3 spreading, however, did require additional PDs (30). In contrast to these events in yeasts, formation of a synthetic heterochromatin domain in murine cells from a tethered silencing factor took much longer (~5 days) to form the steady-state 10-kb heterochromatin domain (75). While the slower kinetics could be a consequence of the murine tethering system, the *S. pombe* telomere formation results suggest that the assembly of H3K9me2-dependent heterochromatin domains is an intrinsically slower process than its disassembly.

The telomeric H3K9me2 chromatin domain formed in two distinct phases following telomere formation in a wild-type strain background. The first was the spreading over 8 PDs to form the domain where H3K9me2 peaks near 9 kb from the telomere (Fig. 11), even though a substantial fraction of the telomeres were already normal length by 2 PDs (Fig. 13). This mechanism is consistent with current models of spreading (24, 40), in which the extension of the H3K9me2 modification from its nucleation site (i.e., the newly formed telomere) can occur only in S-phase after DNA replication, when new chromatin is formed. If extension occurs only at the border of the H3K9me2 domain and H3K9 methylation is slow, then S-phase exit may limit the extent of heterochromatin extension for that cycle. A second phase of chromatin dynamics occurs during continued propagation of cells with fully elongated telomeres, where the internal peak of H3K9me2 chromatin from 9 to 26 kb can significantly increase or decrease. These ChIP results were confirmed by the variegated expression of the ade6+ genes at 16 and 20 kb from the telomere (Fig. 14 and 15). How these changes occur is unknown and may reflect methylation of the Lys9 residues on both H3 amino termini in the histone octamer. The internal peak of H3K9me2 chromatin may be indicative of a cryptic enhancer of H3K9me2 modification that is activated by this modification spreading from the telomere. In these hypotheses, the changes in H3K9me2 levels occur slowly in continuously growing cultures, consistent with the assembly of new chromatin every new S phase (Fig. 16), although the active replacement of nucleosomes by chromatin-remodeling factors outside S phase cannot be ruled out.

Recently, Obersriebnig et al. (14) examined the spreading of gene silencing and the H3K9me2 modification in the *S. pombe* silent-mating-type region after reintroduction of the *clr4Δ* gene into a *clr4Δ* cell by mating. Silencing at different distances from the initiation site was monitored by following the loss of expression of fluorescent-protein genes for the first several generations, and H3K9me2 spreading was followed ~30 divisions later by ChIP (14). Numerical modeling of the rates of spreading, assuming that fluorescent-protein-gene silencing was due to H3K9me2 and heterochromatin spreading, could be divided into global and local effects that produced outcomes similar to the experimental observations. Spreading in the first few cell divisions was consistent with linear spreading from the initiator in the silent-mating-type region (the cenH repeat), similar to spreading of the H3K9me2 mark and gene silencing from our newly formed telomere. Despite the similarities of the two systems in these initial stages of spreading, it is important to note that the silent-mating-type region is a highly specialized and well-studied structure containing initiators, enhancers, and boundary elements that both promote and confine heterochromatin to a defined region to permanently extinguish gene expression as an important part of the fission yeast life cycle (76–78). The newly formed telomere does not contain any known elements of this
type and may be more similar to the heterochromatin domain formation that occurs during development or senescence, which encompasses genes that are expressed in different cell types (5–7, 25). Additional work on the newly formed telomeric H3K9me2 domain will therefore be required before a detailed comparison with the silent-mating-type region can be made.

The slow extension of the H3K9me2 modification from its nucleation site (e.g., the newly formed telomere) has functional consequences for the formation of larger chromatin domains, which may require many cell cycles. The rate of transition from one cellular state to another during development or aging would be lowered by formation of a chromatin domain. The rate may well be increased early in development, as oocytes have large amounts of maternally deposited histones and histone-modifying enzymes (79, 80), and the increased levels of chromatin components and modifying enzymes could increase the kinetics of chromatin domain formation. In somatic cells, where the modifiers may be at lower levels, the kinetics of domain formation would be slower and might impede aging and tumorigenesis. The S. pombe telomere formation system will provide an ideal model for testing these ideas and identifying the rate-limiting components in chromatin domain formation, with broader implications for metazoans.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and media. All the S. pombe strains used in this study are shown in Table 1. Selection for strains containing telomere cassettes was performed in Edinburgh minimal medium with sodium glutamate substituted for ammonium chloride (EMMG) without uracil and with appropriate amino acid supplements and 100 μg/ml HygroGold (InvivoGen) (81). Nonselective growth of strains bearing the telomere cassettes was done in EMMG with adenine, histidine, uracil, leucine, lysine, and arginine (EMMG plus AHULKR) and without hygromycin. Preparation of 10 mM ahTET stock and plates was performed as described previously (34). 5-Fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) plates were yeast nitrogen base plates with 1 mg/ml 5-FOA (Toronto Research Chemicals, Inc.) (http://www.bcf.usc.edu/~forsburg/drugs.html) and with the appropriate supplements. All recombinant DNA procedures were carried out in NEB 5-alpha (New England Biolabs) and TOP10 (Life Technologies) competent cells.

I-SceI expression vector. I-SceI is produced from a synthetic gene with optimized S. pombe codons (47) and expressed as a protein with two N-terminal simian virus 40 (SV40) NLS fused to I-SceI. I-SceI expression was under the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (CaMV35Sp), which is regulated by the tetracycline repressor (TetR). The TetR protein is produced from the adh1' promoter in the same cassette as I-SceI (83). pFA-LEU2-I-SceI was produced by a 5-part recombination cloning in S. cerevisiae, rescued to bacteria, and verified by DNA sequencing (84). An I-SceI site on the vector backbone was removed by site-directed mutagenesis. Additional cloning details are available upon request.

Telomere cassette. The last unique sequence of S. pombe chromosome IIR was found to be the 2-kb region 3' of the gal1' gene 3' untranslated region (UTR) (44). The prototelomere cassette containing urad4', 0 or 48 bp of telomere seeding sequence, and the hph' gene encoding hygromycin resistance was constructed in the vector pRS315 by S-part recombination cloning in S. cerevisiae. The junctions between DNA fragments were verified by colony PCR, and the plasmids were rescued to bacteria and
TABLE 1 Strains used in this study

| Strain   | Genotype                                                                 | Notes                                      |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| YSL793   | h leu1-32:pDUAL-TETp-I-Ppo1 DNA'^promot' lys1-3-Ppo1'C5-hph'^promot'        | Reference 34                              |
| YSL792   | h leu1-32:pDUAL-TETp-I-Ppo1 DNA'^promot' lys1 HO'C5-hph'^promot'           | Reference 34                              |
| YJRE49   | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 hyf'^::arg3' hyf2::his3' hyf3::nat'^ | I-Sce1 enzyme + no cleavage site          |
| YJRE107  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 hyf'^::arg3' hyf2::his3' hyf3::nat'^ | I-Sce1 enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 48-bp prototelomere |
| YJRE112  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 hyf'^::arg3' hyf2::his3' hyf3::nat'^ | I-Sce1 enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 0-bp prototelomere |
| YJRE116  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 hyf'^::arg3' hyf2::his3' hyf3::nat'^ | No enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 48-bp prototelomere |
| YJRE140  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 hyf'^::arg3' hyf2::his3' hyf3::nat'^ | No enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 0-bp prototelomere |
| YJRE151  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 hyf'^::arg3' hyf2::his3' hyf3::nat'^ | I-Sce1 enzyme + I-Sce1 site at lys1'^      |
| YJRE179  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 hyf'^::arg3' hyf2::his3' hyf3::nat'^ | No enzyme + I-Sce1 site at lys1'^          |
| YJRE180  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 hyf'^::arg3' hyf2::his3' hyf3::nat'^ | 0-bp survivor derived from YJRE116        |
| YJRE181  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 hyf'^::arg3' hyf2::his3' hyf3::nat'^ | 48-bp form derived from YJRE112           |
| YJRE182  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 hyf'^::arg3' hyf2::his3' hyf3::nat'^ | I-Sce1 enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 48-bp prototelomere |
| YJRE210  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 leu1-32::pFA-LEU2-TETp-I-Sce1 | I-Sce1 enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 0-bp prototelomere |
| YJRE212  | h ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 leu1-32::pFA-LEU2-TETp-I-Sce1 | I-Sce1 enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 0-bp prototelomere |
| JA007-1  | h clr::kanMX6 ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 leu1-32::pFA-LEU2-TETp-I-Sce1 | clrΔ I-Sce1 enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 48-bp prototelomere |
| JA011-1  | h TER1::kanMX6 ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 leu1-32::pFA-LEU2-TETp-I-Sce1 | TERΔ I-Sce1 enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 48-bp prototelomere |
| JA018-2  | h chk1::kanMX6 ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 leu1-32::pFA-LEU2-TETp-I-Sce1 | Chk1-myc in I-Sce1 enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 48-bp prototelomere |
| JA046-1  | h chk1::kanMX6 ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 leu1-32::pFA-LEU2-TETp-I-Sce1 | Chk1-myc in I-Sce1 enzyme + I-Sce1 site at 0-bp prototelomere |
| JA057-1  | h ade6::kanMX6 ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 leu1-32::pFA-LEU2-TETp-I-Sce1 | ade6Δ at kb 20 to I-Sce1 site (48-bp prototelomere + I-Sce1 enzyme) |
| JA058-1  | h ade6::kanMX6 ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 leu1-32::pFA-LEU2-TETp-I-Sce1 | ade6Δ at kb 88 to I-Sce1 site (48-bp prototelomere + I-Sce1 enzyme) |
| JA064-1  | h ade6::kanMX6 ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 leu1-32::pFA-LEU2-TETp-I-Sce1 | ade6Δ at kb 4 to I-Sce1 site (48-bp prototelomere + I-Sce1 enzyme) |
| JA066-1  | h ade6::kanMX6 ade6-210 arg3-D4 his3-D1 leu1-32 ura4-D18 leu1-32::pFA-LEU2-TETp-I-Sce1 | ade6Δ at kb 16 to I-Sce1 site (48-bp prototelomere + I-Sce1 enzyme) |

sequestered. Additional cloning details are available upon request. The vector and its sequence have been deposited with Addgene.

**Construction of the I-Sce1 lys1'^ allele.** The I-Ppo1 site in the plasmid pSS23 (34) was replaced by the I-Sce1 site by standard cloning. Transformation, selection for the hygromycin resistance gene hph', and confirmation of integration of I-Sce1 at lys1'^ in *S. pombe* was done as described previously (34).

**Induction of I-Sce1.** Cells containing the telomere cassettes were grown under selection overnight, diluted to a final volume of 230 ml at 5.5 × 10^6 cells/ml in nonselective medium, and grown for 3.75 h. Untreated cells (3 × 10^6 to 5 × 10^6) were removed and pelleted, washed once with sterile water, and frozen at −80°C. Anhydrotriacyclone was then added to a final concentration of 9 µM. Cells then were collected at various time points and pelleted, washed, and frozen as described above. Genomic DNA was extracted (85) from the frozen pellets for Southern analysis as discussed below. I-Sce1 cleavage at lys1'^ was performed and analyzed as described previously (34), except that ahTET was added at a final concentration of 9 µM.

**Southern blot analysis.** Cells (3 × 10^6 to 5 × 10^6) were collected at each time point and used to prepare genomic DNA (85). The genomic DNA (5 µg) was digested with 20 units of Scal and analyzed via
Southern blotting with $\alpha^{32P}$-labeled probes produced by PCR with u4ScapProbe_S plus u4ScapProbe_AS (uraf4 - probe) or $\lambda V40$ScapProbe_S plus $\lambda V40$ScapProbe_AS (hph - probe) or with abo1-probe_S plus abo1-probe_AS (aob4 - probe as a loading control) (Table 2). The purified PCR product (50 ng) was denatured and treated with 10 units of Klenow (New England BioLabs) in the presence of primers (final concentration, 0.25 μM) and 100 μCi of ($\alpha^{32P}$dATP or ($\alpha^{32P}$dCTP (3,000 to 6,000 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer) in a 40-μl reaction mixture at 37°C for 30 min. The probe was purified in a G-25 spin column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences), and 2 × 10^6 to 10 × 10^6 cpm was used in Southern blot hybridization. Prehybridization and hybridization were performed with PerfectHyb (Sigma) as described previously (86). Stripping of the membrane was performed in buffer containing 0.5% SDS and 0.1× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate) with heating to 100°C twice for 15 min each time.

**Single-cell analysis of cell growth after I-SceI induction.** Cells were grown in liquid under selection for the prototelomere or I-SceI site cassette overnight at 32°C. The cells were then transferred to 5 ml of nonselective EMMG-based medium at a concentration of 5.5 × 10^9 cells/ml and allowed to recover for 3.75 h before spotting on a nonselective EMMG-based plate with 9 μm ahTET. The cells were placed ~4 mm apart on a 4-by-11 grid by micromanipulation and were observed 3, 6, 9, and 25 h after plating. The extent of growth was classified into 6 categories: 1 cell (starting condition), 2 cells, 3 or 4 cells, 5 to 8 cells, 9 to 16 cells, and >16 cells. The plates were incubated for a total of 3 days at 32°C. The plates were scanned, replica plated on nonselective EMMG-based plates and in yeast extract-sucrose (YES)-plus-hygromycin plates, incubated for another 3 days at 32°C, and scanned.

**Telomere PCR and sequencing.** Telomere PCR was performed as previously described (86, 87) with primers u4-teloPCR-15 and BamHI-Gv (Table 2) using genomic DNA from 1, 8, and 50 PDs. Cells from 8 PDs (32 h) were spread for single colonies. A portion of each colony was tested for hygromycin sensitivity. Two hygromycin-sensitive colonies were used for this analysis as 50-PD clones 1 and 2. The purified PCR products were cloned into a TOPO vector (Life Technologies) and sequenced using M13F or M13R primers (Table 2) at the Lerner Research Institute Genomics Core.

**ahTET plating assay.** The spot test assay was performed by spotting 5-fold serial dilutions onto the indicated plates as described by Sunder et al. (34). Strains containing prototelomere constructs were grown without ahTET and under selection for the telomere cassette and then plated on nonselective EMMG with and without ahTET. For the quantitative-plating assay, cells were plated onto nonselective EMMG with or without ahTET at 300 cells per plate and grown for 4 to 5 days at 30°C. The average number of colonies from three individual plates with ahTET was normalized to that from plates without ahTET for strains containing the I-SceI gene. This was then normalized to the same ratio of control cells without the I-SceI gene. Statistical comparisons were performed using Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software).

**Selection of 0-bp survivors.** S. pombe cells containing the 0-bp prototelomere were induced with ahTET (9 μM final concentration) and grown overnight in liquid EMMG. Cells were struck for single colonies on rich medium and grown for 3 days. The resulting colonies were tested for sensitivity to hygromycin (100 μg/ml). DNA was extracted from 3 separate isolates that were sensitive to hygromycin and analyzed via PCR, using primers listed in Table 2, to determine which sequences had been deleted after initiating the DSB at the 0-bp prototelomere.

**Mapping of 0-bp survivors.** The recombination site was determined using inverse-circle PCR. Briefly, genomic DNA from 3 separate isolates (5 μg) was digested with 20 units of EcoRI for 16 h at 37°C, followed by inactivation at 65°C for 20 min. A portion of the digest (1 μg) was diluted in a ligation reaction to a total volume of 200 μl using 40 units of T4 DNA ligase (New England BioLabs) for 16 h at 18°C. The ligation precipitated and resuspended in 10 μl of 10 mM Tris-1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0. Half of the product was amplified with primers 07c-2-AS-rvs&compl and BsrDI-map-AS, and the product was sequenced with the same primers (Table 2). The resulting sequence was subjected to BLAST analysis and aligned with the S. pombe genome (44).

**ura4 - silencing assay.** Cells containing the telomere cassettes were grown under selection overnight. The cells were then transferred to 5 ml of nonselective medium at a concentration of 5.5 × 10^8 cells/ml and allowed to recover for 3.75 h before addition of ahTET (9 μM final concentration). Cells (~1 × 10^8) were collected and after overnight induction with ahTET, and 5 × 10^5 cells were plated in 5-fold serial dilutions on plates with the media indicated and grown for 3 or 4 days at 30°C.

**ade6 - silencing assay.** Cells containing the telomere cassettes and the ade6Δ mutation and bearing different ade6Δ integrations were grown under selection overnight. The cells were then transferred to 5 ml of nonselective medium at a concentration of 5.5 × 10^8 cells/ml and allowed to recover for 3.75 h before plating for single colonies on nonselective medium with 9 μg ahTET and 7 μg/ml adenine. Cells were plated at 10 to 300 per plate to obtain single colonies and incubated for 3 to 4 days at 30°C.

**Analysis of ura4 in the Ura - S-FOA-resistant colonies.** Single colonies resistant to S-FOA (which Ura4 converts to a poison) after induction of I-SceI were tested for hygromycin sensitivity on rich medium. DNA was extracted and analyzed for the presence of ura4 - by PCR using 5 Prime HotMaster Tag DNA polymerase (QuantiBio) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and primers ura4ChIP_F and ura4ChIP_R (Table 2) and an extension time of 1.0 min for 25 cycles (MJ Research PTC 200 thermal cycler). A positive control for all PCRs was performed in parallel using primers SPBPB2B2.07c-ChIP-S and SPBPB2B2.07c-ChIP-AS (Table 2) to amplify the DUF999-7 gene and produced a product in all the reactions.

**ChIP assay.** At each time point, cells in 300 ml at an optical density at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) of 0.8 to 1.2 were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde and washed twice with cold HBS buffer (50 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl). The cell pellets were stored at ~80°C. For a saturated culture, cells were diluted to the above OD$_{600}$ for cross-linking. At ~2 PDs (8 h), cells were struck for single colonies on rich medium.
| Namea | Sequence | Purposeb |
|-------|----------|----------|
| 914 lys1 5’ I-PpoI | TCGAACATTGACACTCTCCG | 1 |
| 924 I-PpoI hph 3’ | CCAACCCCTAATAGGAACAGTC | 1 |
| 920 his3 RT For | TTACCAAGCCACTAAACACAG | 1 |
| 921 his3 RT Rev | GACGAGCGCTGATACATTCCCG | 1 |
| 914 lys1 5’ I-PpoI | GTACGACAACTATTTAATTTATATAG | 2 |
| 924 I-PpoI hph 3’ | AAACCTTTGACATCTAATTTATTCA | 2 |
| SV40scProbeb_S | CCGTACGTGACGACCGTGGT | 2 |
| SV40scProbeb_AS | GTCAAGACATTGCCTCCGAAA | 2 |
| ab1-probeb_S | CTCGAGCATTGCCTCCGAAA | 2 |
| ab1-probeb_AS | CATCAGAAACTCATTACCATC | 2 |
| u4-teloPCR-1S | CCTAAAGATAGTATGTAATAGTATGAATG | 3 |
| BamHI-G18 | CGGGATCCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG | 3 |
| M13F | TCTAAAACGACGCTGCACTG | 3 |
| M13R | CAAGGCGTCGACAGAAGTGGG | 3, 4 |
| gal1_ChIP_S | [SCAPP]CCGAGATTAAGAGAGGTATG [R] | 4 |
| gal1_ChIP_AS | [SCAPP]GGTTTCGATACGACAACAGC [R] | 4 |
| ura4ChIP_F | CAAGGCGAGCATGACACACGG | 4, 5 |
| ura4ChIP_R | GTATATAGCGCTACGCCGCG | 4, 5 |
| SPBPB2b.06c-ChIP-S (23 kb) | CACAAATCTACCCCTACCTG | 4 |
| SPBPB2b.06c-ChIP-AS (23 kb) | CCTCAAAAGATCGCTTAAAGG | 4 |
| SPBPB2b.09c-ChIP-S (13 kb) | CGAAATAATGCTAATGGCAGCGAAG | 4 |
| SPBPB2b.09c-ChIP-AS (13 kb) | GTGCCAAGCAGCTACCACTACAGG | 4 |
| SPBPB2b.12c-ChIP-S (6 kb) | CGATGTAGTTGGTGTTGCTTATTAG | 4 |
| SPBPB2b.12c-ChIP-AS (6 kb) | GGGTGTAGTTGGTGTTGCTTATTAG | 4 |
| SPBPB2b.08-ChIP-S (9 kb) | CTTAAAGATCTGCTTGGTGTTTGG | 4 |
| SPBPB2b.08-ChIP-AS (9 kb) | TGGTTCTTATGTTGAGCCTGGT | 4, 5 |
| SPBPB2b.07c-ChIP-S (19 kb) | TCTCTCTTGGTTGACTGGTCTCAG | 4, 5 |
| SPBPB2b.07c-ChIP-AS (19 kb) | TCTCTCCTTGGTTGACTGGTCTCAG | 4, 5 |
| SPBPB2b.05-ChIP-S (26 kb) | CTCTGACGACGGACTTTGTG | 6 |
| SPBPB2b.05-ChIP-AS (26 kb) | TGCCATCCTTTAAACTATCCA | 6 |
| mug180-1-S (32 kb) | GACGAGCGATGATCTCTCAA | 6 |
| mug180-1-AS (32 kb) | CTGCAATTACGGCATCTACTG | 6 |
| gal1-5-S (3 kb) | TGGCTACTGGTTCCTACACA | 6 |
| gal1-5-AS (3 kb) | CGTTCTTGTCTTCTCCTACTAA | 6 |
| gal7-2-S (9 kb) | CTCTCAGCGGAGATAGTCA | 6 |
| gal7-2-AS (9 kb) | TGGCGAGCGAGTACAGAGAAG | 6 |
| 09c-2-S (13 kb) | GGATCTTGGTTGACCTTGG | 6 |
| 09c-2-AS (13 kb) | GGTGTTGACCTTGGTGGT | 6 |
| 07c-2-AS (19 kb) | CAGAGTCATGGCCTTGGT | 6 |
| 06c-3-S (23 kb) | GACTCGGAGATTTACCTGGT | 6 |
| 06c-3-AS (23 kb) | AACCTTTTGCGGTCACTCTC | 6 |
| SPBPB2b.05-ChIP-S (26 kb) | CTCTGACGACGGACTTTGTG | 6 |
| SPBPB2b.05-ChIP-AS (26 kb) | TGCCATCCTTTAAACTATCCA | 6 |
| mug180-1-S (32 kb) | GACGAGCGATGATCTCTCAA | 6 |
| mug180-1-AS (32 kb) | CTGCAATTACGGCATCTACTG | 6 |
| gal1-5-S (3 kb) | TGGCTACTGGTTCCTACACA | 6 |
| gal1-5-AS (3 kb) | CGTTCTTGTCTTCTCCTACTAA | 6 |
| gal7-2-S (9 kb) | CTCTCAGCGGAGATAGTCA | 6 |
| gal7-2-AS (9 kb) | TGGCGAGCGAGTACAGAGAAG | 6 |
| 09c-2-S (13 kb) | GGATCTTGGTTGACCTTGG | 6 |
| 09c-2-AS (13 kb) | GGTGTTGACCTTGGTGGT | 6 |
| 07c-2-AS (19 kb) | CAGAGTCATGGCCTTGGT | 6 |
| 06c-3-S (23 kb) | GACTCGGAGATTTACCTGGT | 6 |
| 06c-3-AS (23 kb) | AACCTTTTGCGGTCACTCTC | 6 |

aThe distance to the cut site for the primers used in Fig. 6, 10, 11, and 12 is noted in parentheses. The primers act1 Fw-EOS141 and Rv-EOS142 were from reference 68.

bThe purpose of each primer is indicated as follows: 1, qPCR for I-SceI-lys1/H11001 (93); 2, Southern analysis probe; 3, telomere PCR and sequencing; 4, PCR analysis and mapping of 0-bp survivors; 5, PCR to test for the presence of ura4+; 6, qPCR of ChIP samples.
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for 3 to 4 days at 30°C. Portions of several resulting colonies were tested for sensitivity to hygromycin (100 μg/ml). A hygromycin-resistant colony was inoculated in nonselective EMMG with abTET, and cells from serial dilutions were collected for analysis. All subsequent steps were performed at 4°C. Cell pellets were resuspended in ChiP lysis buffer (88) and lysed using mechanical disruption with a bead beater (Bio Spec Mini-Beadbeater-16) with 0.5-mm glass beads (Biospec 11079105) using 4 cycles of 45 s followed by 60 s on ice. The lysate was sonicated for 10 cycles on maximum power (30 s on and 59 s off) in a Diagenode Bioruptor XL with sample tubes soaked in an ice water bath. Solubilized chromatin protein (2 to 4 mg) was used for each ChiP, while 5 μl was saved as input. Antibodies (2 μg) against H3K9me2 (Abcam; ab1220) or total H3 (Abcam; ab1791) were added to the lysate and incubated with rocking for 4 h at 4°C. Dynabeads Protein G (50 μl; Life Technologies) was then added to the lysate for rocking overnight at 4°C. The beads were washed with ChiP lysis buffer, ChiP lysis buffer with 500 mM NaCl, wash buffer, and TE buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.5) successively (88). The beads were then resuspended in 145 μl of TES (1× TE with 1% SDS). The supernatant (120 μl) was recovered and incubated in a Thermomixer (Eppendorf) at 65°C, 1,000 rpm overnight to reverse cross-linking. For input samples, TES buffer (115 μl) was added and incubated in the Thermomixer with the ChiP samples. Samples were treated with RNase A and proteasine K and purified on a Qiagen PCR purification column (89). All time points from the same induction assay were processed for ChiP assay at the same time.

**qPCR analysis for ChiP.** Input samples were diluted to 1/100 with double-distilled H2O (ddH2O), while beads-only ChiP, H3 ChiP, and H3K9me2 ChiP samples were diluted to 1/10. Template DNA (4 μl) was added to 5 μl of Roche LightCycler 480 SYBR green I master (2×), and primers were added to a final concentration of 0.5 μl/mg total DNA. Each sample was run in triplicate on the same 384-well PCR plate (Roche LightCycler 480 Multiwell Plate 384, clear) in a Roche LightCycler 480. Each ChiP assay was performed at least three times independently. H3K9me2 levels were normalized to the total H3 levels at each locus (90–92), and each ratio was normalized to the act1 control locus in the same ChiP (93). Fold enrichments (FE) were calculated using the ΔΔCq method for each locus at each time point for a locus of interest (loi) as follows: FE loi = 2^{ΔCq (H3K9me2) - ΔCq (beads) - ΔA}, where A = Cq input - log2 (dilution factor). Then, the FE of the loi was normalized to the FE of act1 to generate the final FE of H3K9me2 at each locus.

**Statistical analysis of ChiP.** Figures 10, 11, and 12 show independent induction assays in which all time points from each ChiP assay were processed at the same time. ChiP assays and qPCR were performed as described in “ChiP assay” and “qPCR analysis for ChiP” above. Each H3K9me2 level at each locus is the average of three independent qPCRs processed from the same DNA sample (technical repeats). Statistical comparisons were performed using an unpaired t test with these technical repeats. The four independent biological replicates shown in Fig. 11 compared H3K9me2 levels in the uninduced sample with those at 45, 60, and 87 PDs within the same time course. The four independent biological replicates in Fig. 12 compared the H3K9me2 levels in the 34-PD sample with those at 45, 60, and 87 PDs within the same time course. Time points with P values of less than 0.05 are marked with asterisks in Fig. 11 and 12. ChiP assays in Fig. 10A and C, 11C and D, and 12C and D were performed by J. Wang in 2014 and 2015, and those in Fig. 10D, 11E and F, and 12E and F were performed by J. Audry in 2016 and 2017.

**Western blot analysis.** Whole-cell extracts were prepared from exponentially growing cells. The protein concentration was measured using the colorimetric Bradford method. Total proteins (25 μg) were then separated by SDS-PAGE on a precast 7.5% gel (Mini-Protein TXG; Bio-Rad) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Blots were blocked with Odyssey blocking buffer–phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Li-Cor). Chk1-myc detection used a mouse anti-myc antibody (9B11; Cell Signaling, 1:1,000 in Odyssey blocking buffer–PBS plus 0.2% Tween 20), followed by a donkey anti-mouse IRDye 680RD secondary antibody (Li-Cor; 1:15,000 in Odyssey blocking buffer–PBS plus 0.2% Tween 20). Blot development used near-infrared (NIR) imaging on an Odyssey CLx instrument (Li-Cor).
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