Case Report: Robot-assisted laparoscopic nephron-sparing surgery for a renal abscess mimicking a tumor

Shun Wang1, Xiangyi Liang1, Di Pan1, Jianqing Zhang2, Kun Chen3*, Kehua Jiang1* and Tao Li1,4*

1Department of Urology, Guizhou Provincial People’s Hospital, Guiyang, China, 2Zunyi Medical University, Zunyi, China, 3Prenatal Diagnosis Center, Guizhou Provincial People’s Hospital, Guiyang, China, 4Department of Urology, Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang, China

The differential diagnosis of renal tumors and abscesses is crucial owing to their different treatments. Although antibacterial administration and radiological examination are excellent means for distinction, misdiagnosis is common and may lead to severe consequences, such as the need for nephrectomy. Here, we report a case involving a 52-year-old Asian woman with a renal mass for which a differential diagnosis was challenging. The mass persisted after administration of intravenous antibiotic therapy for 1 month. A computed tomography scan indicated an inflammatory lesion, whereas magnetic resonance imaging suggested a diagnosis of a tumor. Despite these indications, a right renal abscess was suspected during robot-assisted laparoscopic surgery, and nephron-sparing surgery was performed, which allowed confirmation of the final pathological result by biopsy specimen. Postoperatively, the mass gradually decreased in size after antibiotic therapy for a further month. This case, in which a renal abscess mimicked a tumor and the patient almost underwent a nephrectomy, highlights the need for caution in establishing therapeutic schedules for patients with inaccurate diagnoses. The management strategies for such patients must be reviewed and improved.

Introduction

Renal abscess is a subacute or chronic inflammatory disease that accounts for approximately 2% of all kidney diseases (1). Renal abscesses can be unilateral or bilateral and involve single or multiple lesions (2), and can be caused by hematogenous infection, pyelonephritis, and retrograde infection. They can manifest as gross hematuria, flank pain, fever, and altered blood examination results (elevated blood leukocytes, neutrophils, and erythrocyte sedimentation) (3, 4). Kidney tumors comprise 3% of all
adult malignancies, with 210,000 new diagnoses each year in developed countries (5, 6). Renal abscesses can be effectively treated by antibiotics, puncture or drainage, and surgery, while resection is the standard management for kidney tumors (7, 8). Thus, the accurate differentiation of a renal abscess from a tumor is essential to avoid unnecessary surgery. Technological developments and increasing experience have improved the differential diagnosis between renal abscesses and tumors; however, misdiagnoses do still occur. Eltahawy (9) reported on 11 patients who presented with an initial diagnosis of perinephric suppuration or renal abscess, while their final confirmed diagnosis was renal carcinoma.

Here, we present a case of a renal abscess that was difficult to distinguish from a tumor on contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The patient opted to undergo surgery owing to lesion persistence following the administration of intravenous antibiotic therapy for 1 month. Fortunately, a renal abscess was considered perioperatively and robot-assisted laparoscopic nephron-sparing surgery (RA-NSS) was performed.

Case description

A 52-year-old Asian woman was admitted to a local hospital with a complaint of right flank pain that had appeared 1 month prior and had persisted for a few days. A renal mass was detected on contrast-enhanced CT, and the patient was prescribed an intravenous infusion of cefoxitin sodium (2 g, bid). The patient’s symptoms were completely alleviated when she was transferred to our department, but the renal lesion remained. Routine blood tests indicated a white blood cell count of $6.30 \times 10^9/L$ and a neutrophil percentage of 52.2%, while routine urine test results were as follows: white blood cells, 50/µl; red blood cells, 0/µl; C-reactive protein, 1.90 mg/L; and creatinine, 90 µmol/L.

Contrast-enhanced CT revealed a multiple low-density lesion with marginal haziness in the right kidney (Figure 1). The enhancement degree was similar to that of the renal parenchyma in both the arterial (Figures 1A, B) and venous (Figures 1C, D) phases but was lower in the excretory phase (Figures 1E, F). CT primarily indicated an inflammatory lesion, but a tumor was also considered. On MRI (Figure 2), T2-weighted imaging revealed a mass with low signal intensity and unclear margins in the exterior of the middle pole of the right kidney (Figures 2C, D). In the cortical phase, the enhancement degree was comparable between the lesion and the surrounding kidney cortex, while an area with a slightly lower signal was located in the center of the lesion. The central area showed progressive enhancement in the delayed phase, and the retroperitoneal lymph nodes were homogeneously enhanced (Figures 2E, F). A diffusion-weighted imaging sequence revealed patchy enhancement of the lesion, with a lower signal in the

FIGURE 1
A multiple low-density lesions at right kidney. The enhancement degree was similar with renal parenchyma both in arterial [(A, B), arrows] and venous phases [(C, D), arrows]. Lower enhancement in excretory phase were imaged [(E, F), arrows].
center (Figures 2G, H). In summary, MRI indicated a diagnosis of a renal tumor (oncocytoma or chromophobe cell carcinoma), although inflammatory lesions could not be excluded.

Based on the persistence of the lesion after administration of antibiotic therapy for 1 month, RA-NSS was planned because renal tumors could not be excluded. During the surgery, the upper pole of the right kidney was found to be surrounded by severe adhesions, accompanied by local edema and inflammatory exudation. After careful separation, no invasion of adjacent tissue was observed. Furthermore, the renal capsule was complete and there were no obvious lesions bulging on the renal surface. Consequently, the possibility of malignancy was low and tumor cells were not found by intraoperative freezing section. The final pathological result confirmed a renal abscess (Figure 3), and the lesion gradually diminished after one further month of antibiotic therapy with cefoxitin sodium (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

Renal abscesses always occur in the perirenal cortex, where pathogenic bacteria can easily enter the subrenal capsule or penetrate the renal capsule into the perirenal space (10). The typical CT manifestation of renal abscesses is ring-shaped enhancement, but nodular, wall nodular, or irregular enhancement can also be found. Renal abscesses are also characterized by gas shadows in the abscess cavity, with a CT value between those of cysts and tumors (11, 12).
granulation tissue around an abscess may be enhanced on MRI, but the degree of enhancement is weaker, and the duration is longer compared with those of renal tumors (13, 14). For renal tumors, CT reveals isopycnic or mixed-density enhancement with some necrosis or calcification. They are also characterized by the enhancement of “fast-in and fast-out,” which is derived from the rich blood supply of the tumor (15). Using MRI, renal tumors exhibit equal or slightly lower signals in T1-weighted imaging and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) images, slightly higher and equal signals in T2-weighted imaging, and equal or slightly higher signals in diffusion-weighted imaging sequences compared with signals for surrounding non-cancerous tissues (16). CT and MRI are excellent at differentiating renal tumors from various diseases. However, these techniques indicated opposite diagnoses in the current case, which ultimately influenced the therapeutic strategy.

Alternative methods to CT and MRI are available, but there are limitations to these approaches and accurate identification of the nature of renal masses must remain the focus. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound presents an accuracy of 90%–96% in diagnosing renal malignancy (17); however, some benign kidney lesions are difficult to distinguish (18). Positron emission tomography (PET)/CT is also valuable, but some benign lesions present with higher metabolic levels than those of carcinomas (19, 20). In addition, Marshall (21) reported that the false-negative rate of PET/CT in diagnosing primary renal tumors is relatively higher and the sensitivity is not equal to that of CT. Renal biopsy is not regularly recommended for diagnosis because of the limitations of needle track implantation, puncture bleeding, and false-negative results (22–24). In summary, although there are numerous potentially effective methods available, the accurate distinction of renal tumors remains crucial, especially in cases like the present one.

Antibiotic therapy is the primary treatment for renal abscesses. Third-generation cephalosporins, penicillin, and aminoglycosides are effective against Gram-negative bacteria, and penicillinase-resistant penicillins are helpful against Gram-positive pathogens (25). The antibiotic must be adjusted based on urine or blood culture results and should be continued for several weeks until the infectious parameters return to normal (26). However, the precise duration for such antibiotic therapy has not been identified. In the present case, the patient’s symptoms were completely alleviated and the biochemical test results were almost normal after administering antibiotic therapy for 1 month; therefore, a urinary culture was not routinely performed.

For kidney abscesses, the lesion should reduce after long-term antibiotic therapy (27). However, the mass in the present case persisted despite 1 month of antibiotic management, and MRI primarily indicated a tumor. These observations challenged the recommendation of prolonged anti-infective treatment because this management strategy might have delayed tumor resection if renal malignancy was finally confirmed. Moreover,
an operation strategy might have been overtreatment and could even have led to severe consequences if a renal abscess was definitively diagnosed. In the present case, the patient’s kidney was preserved via RA-NSS, but most renal abscesses or infectious lesions are consulted at junior hospitals where there is a lack of expertise, experience, and medical equipment. It is also a point of caution that a renal abscess can mimic a tumor even after long-term antibiotic therapy; thus, it is essential to accurately diagnose a renal mass.

The presence of a pseudocapsule has been reported in some cases of renal cell carcinoma, wherein the tumor was always accompanied by calcification, cystic degeneration, necrosis, and bleeding [28]. Thus, renal tumors typically coexist with abscesses, which further highlights the need to monitor an abscess until it is completely resolved.

In the present patient, the imaging manifestation did not change after antibiotic therapy was administered for 1 month but diminished within 2 months of treatment (Figure 4). Thus, the optimal duration of antibiotic therapy should be considered for such patients to determine whether another course is necessary or suitable if a 1-month prescription is not effective. Moreover, although robotic surgery provides excellent therapeutic effects, future research should focus on strategies to obtain a definitive diagnosis and select appropriate treatment.

Conclusion

The differential diagnosis between renal abscesses and tumors is challenging in some individuals. Antibiotic management for 1 month may be insufficient for selected patients, and prolonged antibiotic therapy should be considered, although the evidence on the appropriate duration of antibiotic therapy is insufficient. Performing surgery at the appropriate time is advisable, but an accurate diagnosis is more important for the selection of appropriate treatment and management.
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