The change of motivational spectrum during anaesthesiology residency and the relation to job satisfaction: Self-determination theory in anaesthesiology
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Abstract
Background: Job satisfaction remains a manifold goal in all fields of the working world, as well as in anaesthesiology. Since Self-determination theory (SDT) has been introduced by Deci and Ryan a lot of studies have been conducted, answering the question how motivation influences job satisfaction. It has been shown that intrinsic motivation and autonomous regulation lead not only to job satisfaction, but to better job performance. However, the roles of extrinsic motivation and controlled regulation and their effects on affect, job performance and job satisfaction have not been worked out clearly. No investigation has been done so far, analysing motivation of anaesthesiologists. Method: A cross-sectional study design was chosen to assess situational motivation and job-satisfaction, within a sample of anaesthesiologists, applying the Situational Motivation Scale. Differences of motivational expression in residents of different training years, attendings and consultants were analysed, as well as the correlation of motivational quality with job-satisfaction. Results: The invested group showed the same pattern throughout the motivational continuum, with consultants reporting the highest levels of intrinsic (6.02, 0.44), identified (5.78, 0.71) and introjected (4.69, 0.80) regulation. The consultants showed the highest computed controlled (3.29, 0.64) and autonomous motivation index (5.90, 0.55). The lowest intrinsic motivation was found in the group of attendings (5.70, 0.92). Job satisfaction was correlated with intrinsic motivation (0.0360, p<0.01) and negatively correlated with amotivation (-0.265, p<0.05). Conclusion: Intrinsic motivation and autonomous regulation are necessary for achieving job satisfaction, the presence of controlled regulation and extrinsic behavioural regulation have no declining effects on job satisfaction but their expression might rather be even required for it. The Situational Motivation Scale proved its applicability to assess health care providers’ motivational qualities and therefore can be considered for staff-talks and used as indirect predictors of health care providers’ well-being and job-satisfaction.

Background
Many medical departments are facing challenges to provide job satisfaction for residents, combined with a threatening lack of doctors (1). Additionally, the young staff members that are joining departments, called „generation Y“, are known to be well-educated, optimistic but demanding (1).
Nevertheless, employees need to have a high job satisfaction, which might be impaired by natural characteristics of the job, like night shifting (2) or low levels of autonomy in residency. How can job satisfaction be provided despite to daily burdens, and which factors influence job satisfaction? To answer these questions, particularly in anaesthesiology, a closer look into motivational aspects of residents, attendings and consultants is inevitable. First we need to know if there are any changes of motivation taking place during residency, then eventual interventions can be considered. The goal should be to allocate high job satisfaction and high levels of motivation, resulting in good job efficacy.

Whenever motivation is mentioned, Self-determination theory (SDT) is the leading theory in this field since it has been introduced by Deci and Ryan (3). SDT is based on the postulation that humans have an innate will to grow and this tendency to grow can be supported or hampered by intrinsic or extrinsic factors or situations. The psychological growth of human beings is determined by three basic psychological needs: Autonomy, competency and relatedness. Other than in the motivation theory of Porter and Lawler, who have a dichotomous description of motivation in intrinsic and extrinsic, SDT specifies motivation on a scale and describes different forms that can guide individual behaviour (3, 4). Every motivation underlies a type of regulation, regulatory process and locus of causality.

Figure 1 schematically shows the SDT, in which integrated regulation, the most self-determined form of extrinsic motivation, is excluded, because it was not assessed in this study.

Figure 1. Schematic depiction of Self-determination Theory (Adapted by Ten Cate, 2011)

When an activity is carried out due to inherent satisfaction, usually intrinsic motivation is present - SDT associates this with cognitive and social development. Therefore, intrinsic motivated individuals are autonomously regulated. When an activity is conducted due to external sources, such as punishment or reward, extrinsic motivation is foregrounded. Four types of extrinsic motivation are described in SDT, which vary in terms of their relative autonomy, as extrinsically motivated behaviour
is regulated differently (3): *External regulated* behaviour is least autonomous, it is only based on
demands or punishment or possible rewards (5). *Introjected regulation* is more autonomous than
external regulation, but still the activity or rule is seen as conditioned by others (5, 6). When it comes
to avoid guilt and attain self-esteem and citing ego, the predominant regulation is of introjected
nature. Introjected regulation is predominant to avoid guilt, attain self-esteem and citing ego (3, 6–8).
Moving on the continuous motivational scale, *identified regulation* is more autonomous than
introjected regulation: here the action is accepted as important and involves consciously valuing a
goal (8, 9). When regulations are connected to oneself, the most autonomous form of extrinsic
regulation, *integrated regulation* occurs (5, 6, 8). Integrated regulation shares qualities with intrinsic
motivation, although classified as extrinsic. Nevertheless intrinsic, integrated and identified regulation
are called „autonomous self-regulation“, whereas extrinsic and introjected regulation are
summerized as „controlled self-regulation“.
Having no motivation at all is referred to as amotivation, which can occur if a person experiences lack
of competence or does not see the reason for a task (3, 10).
It has been demonstrated that intrinsic motivation is associated with the satisfaction of the three
basic needs (11, 12). Several studies revealed that rewards may even impair intrinsic motivation,
moving it more to extrinsic motivation, but there are also existing investigations that doubt this
phenomenon (13-15). Furthermore, Gillet et al. showed that rewards did not have any influence on
external regulation (15).
Studies in different fields like physical exercise, education health care and work place have shown
that autonomous self-regulation leads to academic achievement (16), positive emotions (17),
perceived competence and self-worth (18), creativity (19), retention and less drop out of activities or
school attendance (10, 20), better performance on complex tasks (21) and correlates with greater job
satisfaction and well being (9, 22–26). In addition, employees who are autonomously regulated are
less at risk for burn-out (27). Regarding the work place, controlled self-regulation is associated with
more turnover and burn-out (28, 29).
The basic psychological needs can not be satisfied by all means in the work setting and intrinsic
motivation is less likely to occur at the work place than in hobbies (11). Work motivation is largely influenced by the social context in which employees operate and their motivation is not only affected by resources but also by job demands (30). Autonomy supportive environments at work have lead to better well-being and enhanced autonomous self-regulation (12, 22, 31) and decision making autonomy minimized negative effects of job demands (27).

The nature of anaesthesiology residency is characterized by low autonomy and low decision-making at the beginning, accompanied by the fact that if emergencies occur, the specialists take over and residents may feel a lack of competence, leading to amotivation (9). Furthermore, at the beginning of residency, tasks become mundane. The question that arises in the context of anaesthesiology residency is if the mentioned circumstances have any effect on motivation in anaesthesiology residents, attendings or consultants?

The goal of this study was to analyze the distribution of motivation within a sample of different year anaesthesiology residents, in attendings and consultants, facing different working routine and responsibilities. Further, we analyzed if the described correlation between job satisfaction and motivational regulation is valid for anaesthesiologists as well. The applicability, validity and internal consistency of the broadly used Situational motivation scale was analysed for the field of health care providers, specifically anaesthesiologists.

Methods
Study Design and setting
This study was performed at the Department of Anaesthesiology in the University Medical Center of Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany. A cross-sectional study design was chosen to measure the situational motivation in different stages of residency (in attendings and consultants). An email with information about the study was sent in May 2018 to all anaesthesiologists of the department (n = 186), including a questionnaire which they were asked to fill out and to return anonymously within a time span of two weeks. The questionnaire included a question to assess job satisfaction and a german translated version (32) (see Additional file figure 2) of the Situation Motivation Scale (SIMS) (33), adapted by Gillet and co-workers (15), which measures participants´ situational motivation towards performing a
task, in our study, anaesthesiology.

71 questionnaires (38%) were returned.

Participants
All anaesthesiologists of the department (n = 186) were eligible for the study as no specific eligible criteria were necessary and a broad cross-sectional design was chosen.

A detailed description of the departments’ organisational and personnel structure and job descriptions of the investigated subgroups are provided in the Additional file (Additional file table 1).

Variables (Questionnaire)
To avoid cognitive bias, participants were asked not to fill out the questionnaire during or directly after night-shift (2, 34).

Participants´ situational motivation towards performing anaesthesiology was measured using a translated version (german) of the Situation Motivation Scale (SIMS) (33), adapted by Gillet et al. (15). The SIMS has four subscales, measuring the type of motivation to run an activity at a specific point of time (33) and studying the important question why an individual shows a certain behaviour (35). Consequently, it is possible to compare the motivational measurement with its conceptual definition that refers to the recognised reason of task engagement (10, 36, 37). The adapted version of the SIMS has five subscales, with four items per subscale, measuring intrinsic motivation, extrinsic-, indentified-, introjected regulation and amotivation. Each item has a 7-point Likert scale (1 = “Does not correspond at all” and 7 = “Corresponds exactly”) and participants were asked to specify the extent to which each item represented a reason why they were performing anaesthesiology.

A computed autonomous motivation index was calculated by adding and averaging the intrinsic motivation and indentified regulation, and a controlled motivation index was computed by adding and averaging extrinsic- and introjected motivation (15, 33). Validity and reliability of the SIMS, as well as of the adapted version, have been confirmed in several studies (15, 33, 38).

Job satisfaction was assessed by a 7-point Likert scale (1 = “Not satisfied” and 7 = “Completely satisfied”).

There are no specific cut-off values for the subscales of the SIMS, describing if a type of motivation is too low. However, the scores can be interpreted in regard to interindividual differences. Therefore, we did not divide the results in high or low categories and just compared the mean values of motivational subscales between different years of anaesthesiology residency, attendings and consultants.

The same principle was used to analyse the job satisfaction results - the higher the score, the higher the job satisfaction was categorized.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 23.0. No data was missing in the returned questionnaires. Internal consistency of the adapted german version was analyzed,
calculating cronbach alphas for each subscale. Mean differences in situational motivation and job satisfaction were compared by year of anaesthesiology residency in an analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significant differences between the different groups were analyzed by a follow-up post hoc test (Bonferroni).

Results
Characteristics of study participants
A total of 71 anaesthesiologists of our department took part in the study and finished the questionnaire containing the SIMS scale. The reasons for non-participation were not assessed, as participation was voluntary. We assumed that main reasons for non-participation were absence during the study period (a.e ICU rotation, vacation, scheduling in other OR than in the central OR of the department with distance to the collection box). Table 1 shows the training level of the participants.

Table 1. Anaesthesiology state of training of study participants

| No. of participants | 1st & 2nd yr | 3rd yr | 4th & 5th yr | Attending | Cr |
|---------------------|-------------|--------|-------------|-----------|----|
|                     | 17          | 15     | 17          | 12        |

Distribution of motivation and internal consistency of the german version of Situational Motivation Scale
The levels of internal consistency of the SIMS subscales were satisfactory, with Cronbach alpha ranges from 0.79 to 0.68 (39), implying a good applicability of the SIMS. The results from the ANOVA revealed that there were no significant differences of motivational distribution within the different years of residency, attendings and consultants, except for controlled and introjected regulation (table 2, fig.2).

Table 2. Internal consistency, means (standard deviation) of different situational motivational variables and job satisfaction and ANOVA results

| Crohnbach alpha | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 2               | 8   | 1   | 9   | 5   |     |
| Factor                  | n²  | .03 | .07 | .20 | .08 | .06 | .04 | .15 | .12 |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **p**                   | .79 | .28 | .01 | .26 | .41 |     | .05 | .03 | .11 |
| **F** (df)              | 0.13| 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 |     | 0   | 2.8 | 0.19|
| Consultant              | 6.0 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 |     | 0.1 | 3.2 | 5.7 |
| Attending               | 5.7 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.0 |     | 0.1 | 2.5 | 4.8 |
| 4th and 5th year        | 5.9 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 |     | 0.1 | 2.2 | 5.2 |
| 3rd year                | 5.8 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 |     | 0.1 | 2.5 | 4.9 |
| 1st and 2nd year        | 5.6 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 |     | 0.1 | 3.0 | 5.6 |
| Situational             |     | Ide | Intr| Ext | Am |     |     |     |     |
|                         |     | a   | con | Job |    |     |     |     |     |
Motivation
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As shown in figure 2 and table 2, all investigated groups showed similar patterns throughout the motivational continuum. Nevertheless, consultants had the highest expression of intrinsic motivation, identified motivation and yet autonomous regulation. On the other end of the motivational continuum, consultants reported highest level of introjected motivation and controlled regulation and lowest level of amotivation. First year residents showed highest level of extrinsic regulation and amotivation, although the results were not significantly different. Attendings’ intrinsic motivation and autonomous regulation were reported at the lowest level comparing within the group.

The highest score of job satisfaction was found within the consultants and the lowest level was reported by attendings.

Relationship between situational motivation and job satisfaction

Correlations between the subscales of the Situational Motivation Scale and the computed autonomous and controlled motivation were analysed, as well as the correlation between job satisfaction and each subscale of the SIMS.

Table 3. Zero-order correlations among study variables.
| Situational motivation | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. |
|-------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| intrinsic               | 0.694** | 0.154 | -0.323** | -0.637** | -0.79 | 0.903** |
| identified              |     | 0.313** | 0.24 | -0.354** | 0.23 | 0.936** |
| introjected             |     |     | 0.239* | 0.118 | 0.826** | 0.262* |
| extrinsic               |     |     |     | 0.401* | 0.745** | -0.144 |
| amotivation             |     |     |     |     | 0.309** | -0.523** |

Motivation indices

| 6.  | Controlled regulation | 0.099 |
| 7.  | Autonomous regulation |      |

Job satisfaction

**p<0.01, *p<0.05

These correlations conformed with a simplex pattern of relationships across the investigated group of anaesthesiologists. Subscales adjacent along the motivational continuum of self-determination were more positively correlated than more distant ones. Situational introjected motivation was correlated to autonomous motivation, although, on the motivational continuum, it is subdivided to the controlled self regulation end, next to extrinsic motivation. Job satisfaction was correlated to situational autonomous motivation and negatively correlated to situational amotivation.

Discussion

In the present study we discovered that anaesthesiology residents in different years of training, attendings and consultants showed a similar pattern throughout the motivational continuum, described by the SDT. Consultants had significant higher levels of introjected motivation and controlled regulation in comparison to the investigated group of 3rd year and 4th/5th year residents.
Job satisfaction differed not significantly within the groups but was positively correlated to intrinsic motivation and autonomously regulated behaviour and negatively correlated to amotivation.

To our best knowledge, we were the first group that conducted a motivational study in the field of anaesthesiology, or broadly speaking health care providers. Further, we could validate the SIMS not only for its german translation, but also for anaesthesiology employees.

Nevertheless, this study has some limitations: the sample size is small for each subgroup, being a potential source of bias. The study design was based on voluntary participation, which might lead to the assumption, that participating anaesthesiologists have a higher a priori intrinsic motivation. However, there is no way to rule out this speculation as these kind of studies can only be conducted voluntarily.

SDT is based on the postulation that humans have an innate will to grow and this tendency to grow can be supported or hampered by intrinsic or extrinsic factors or situations. The psychological growth of human beings is determined by three basic psychological needs: Autonomy, competency and relatedness. It has been demonstrated that intrinsic motivation is associated with the satisfaction of the three basic needs. (11, 12) Several studies revealed that rewards may even impair intrinsic motivation, moving it more to extrinsic motivation, but there are also existing investigations that doubt this phenomenon. (13–15)

Our findings can be explained as following: Consultants have the ability to create their working day more autonomously than residents, therefore they can influence plenty of working processes. Due to their completed anaesthesiology training and the consecutively gained expertise, they have a broad decision-making scope concerning medical issues, increasing autonomy and competence.

Earlier studies showed that intrinsic motivation was increased by actions that augment the feeling of competence, e.g. positive feedback. (40) Humans who experience the feeling of competence and autonomy are autonomously regulated in their actions and their motivation is of intrinsic nature. (41)

Our findings are congruent with the fact that consultants reported the highest expression of intrinsic motivation, even if this was not significant.

The investigated consultants reported not only the highest levels of intrinsic motivation and
autonomous regulation, but also controlled regulation and introjected motivation were significantly higher compared to the other study subgroups. Introjected motivation and regulation are used to avoid guilt and attain pride and self-esteem. (5–7, 42)

In other words, consultants were high on the whole motivational continuum, namely autonomous and controlled motivation. Plenty positive effects of autonomous regulation (satisfaction, well-being, mental balance) have been reported. (22–25, 43) Effects of controlled regulation were uncertain for a long period of time. (13, 44, 45) Gillet and co-workers. reported that the manifestation of controlled regulation leads to negative affect, but did not have any influence on performance and job satisfaction. (15) Similar findings were demonstrated in a study conducted in China, in which employees who were high on autonomous and introjected motivation, were associated with more positive job characteristics and better psychosocial well-being, independently from their extrinsic regulation. (46) These findings were confirmed in sport science, where athletes´ performance was better if motivation was reported upon the whole continuum. (44) Investigations on job perfectionism and workaholism revealed that employees with manifestations of motivation throughout the motivational continuum had higher levels of these features. (47, 48) These findings can be transferred to our results, as consultants are specialists and are promoted due to their outstanding performance.

An alternative explanation for the high expression of consultants´ controlled regulation might be, that consultants are also confronted with mundane tasks and it is reported, that for conducting mundane and daily tasks, the existence of controlled regulation leads to better performance (22, 49), at least for a short period of time.

Junior residents (1st and 2nd year) reported highest levels of amotivation and extrinsic motivation, even if not significant. Amotivation occurs when an individual experiences lack of competence and the reason for carrying out an activity and possible outcomes are not identified. (4, 10) The need for competence and personal causation are the relevant basis to initiate the identification process regarding a task and leading to intrinsic motivation. (50) The nature of anaesthesiology residency is characterized by low autonomy and low decision-making at the beginning, accompanied by the fact that if emergencies occur, the specialists take over and residents may feel a lack of
competence, leading to amotivation. (9) Furthermore, at the beginning of residency, tasks become
mundane. The junior residents might not see the locus of causality and personal causation in
tsituative, delegated working tasks from inside. Therefore, the need for competence and autonomy are
not completely satisfied in their daily work routine (a.e. important decisions are made by consultants
and attendings), leading to the high extrinsic motivation levels. The „energizing basis“ for intrinsic
motivation as well as required nutriments for the natural process of internalization might be lacking
and this might result into high levels of extrinsic and relative high levels of amotivation, coexisting to
their reported levels of intrinsic motivation.
Job satisfaction was not reported significantly different within the investigated subgroups, it was only
correlated to intrinsic motivation and autonomous controlled behaviour. Amotivation was negatively
correlated to job satisfaction. Taking these results, extrinsic and controlled motivation are not
subsequently disturbing, as long as they are coexisting with intrinsic or rather autonomous regulation.
One might argue, that the use of a pre-existing academic motivational scale (51) might have matched
better for our investigation. Our aim was not to measure overall motivation, but rather the situational
motivation, because the situative motivation focusses on the actual nature of motivation and equates
the operationalization of motivation, regarding the “why” of behaviour. (35)
The generalisation of our findings is hard to evaluate, as different departments might provide various
environmental influences on daily work tasks of anaesthesiologists. Work motivation is largely
influenced by the social context in which employees operate and their motivation is not only affected
by resources but also by job demands. (30) Autonomy supportive environments at work have lead to
better well-being and enhanced autonomous self-regulation (12, 22, 31) and decision making
autonomy minimized negative effects of job demands. (27)
Nevertheless, our study might be one of the first of many to come, leading to an improvement or
changes regarding the anaesthesiologists working life, by consideration of motivational aspects.
Conclusion
The SIMS showed a good applicability in anaesthesiologists and a good internal validity in its german
version and is suitable to assess employees’ existential orientation and hereby assess their well-being
and job-satisfaction consequently.

For job satisfaction, intrinsic motivation and autonomous regulation are necessary, but the presence of controlled regulation and extrinsic behavioural regulation do not have declining effects on it - their expression might rather be even required for it as extrinsic motivation and controlled regulation might be helpful for mundane and not outrageously challenging working conditions.
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Figures
| Regulatory processes | Perceived locus of causality | Type of motivation | Type of regulation | Extrinsic | Identified | Intrinsic |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Incompetence         | Impersonal                  | Amotivation        | Non-regulation    | External  | Introjected| Identified |
| Non-intentional      |                            |                    |                   | - External rewards and punishments - Compliance | - Internal rewards and punishments - Ego involvement | - Identification with the task - Awareness - Congruence |
| lack of control      |                            |                    |                   | External  | Introjected| Identified |
|                      |                            |                    |                   | Internal  | Internal   | Inherent and intrinsic satisfactio and interest |

Figure 1

Schematic depiction of Self-determination Theory (Adapted by Ten Cate, 2011)
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