INTRODUCTION

In recent years, molecular recognition in biomolecules has attracted considerable attention, especially regarding amino acids and aromatic peptides. As the building blocks of proteins, amino acids are essential components of life processes and play critical roles in metabolism growth and development. A lack of any essential amino acids can lead to an abnormal physiological function and eventually to diseases such as nutritional imbalances, Alzheimer’s, and pancreatitis.

Research on amino acid and aromatic peptide complexation and recognition by cyclodextrins, calixarenes, pillararenes, and other macrocyclic receptors has been reported. The novel family of macrocycles, cucurbit[n]urils (Q[n]s, where n = 5–8, 10, and 13–15), can selectively accommodate and interact with various organic molecules. Several examples of interactions of amino acids with different members of the cucurbit[n]urils (Q[n]s) have been described. Théry built chiral assemblies L-Cys-lanthanide–Q[6] complexes using L-cysteine as a chiral linker. Gamal-Eldin’s work on the selective molecular recognition of methylated lysines and arginines by Q[7] had been reported, and supramolecular structures of tryptophan with Q[6] showed a very interesting and peculiar structure. Kim et al. explored the specific high-affinity binding of Q[7] to amino acids (Lys, Arg, and His and Phe, Tyr, and Trp) in water. Urbach and co-workers observed the 1:1 binding of phenylalanine derivatives to Q[7] and the binding of aromatic amino acids to Q[8]. Nau and co-workers did some work of monitoring of amino acids based on self-assembly.

Scherman’s group reported the first example of the recognition of a selected amino acid epitope within a protein by Q[8] complexation. Isaacs’s group obtained “turn-on” fluororescent sensors for amino acids using fluororescent cucurbituril derivatives. In 2005 and 2016, our group reported supramolecular receptors for the detection and recognition of amino acids by TMeQ[6], Q[7, 8], and twisted cucurbit[14]uril. This area has seen a large expansion in the number of publications on the binding of amino acids. In 2005, Isaacs and Kim reported the isolation, characterization, and recognition properties of inverted cucurbit[n]urils (iQ[n]s, where n = 6 and 7), and some related properties of iQ[n]s were also described. However, to date, few reports have focused on the newest member of the Q[n]s, iQ[7]. Our group studied the coordination chemistry of an iQ[7] with a series of metal ions. To investigate the host–guest chemistry of the iQ[7], we investigated the binding interaction of an inverted cucurbit[7]uril with 4,4′-bipyridine derivatives. In a continuation of this research, herein, we explored the binding of essential amino acids to Q[8].
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amino acids to the iQ[7] host to expand our knowledge of the supermolecular chemistry of iQ[n]. We studied the binding interactions of iQ[7] with 10 essential amino acids (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Structures of iQ[7] and Essential Amino Acids Investigated in This Work

in buffered solution by 1H NMR spectroscopy, isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). Meanwhile, we also characterized the interaction between iQ[7] and basic amino acids at pD = 3 by 1H NMR spectroscopy. The experimental results provide new insights into the interactions of amino acids and iQ[7].

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

NMR Spectroscopy. The complexation of iQ[7] with essential L-α-amino acids was first examined by 1H NMR spectroscopy of host–guest mixtures. Figure 1 shows the 1H NMR spectra of Lys recorded in the absence and presence of approximately 1.0 equiv of the host in D2O, and D2O was adjusted to pD = 7.0 with sodium phosphate. In the presence of iQ[7], the peaks for all methylene protons of Lys display a substantial downfield shift compared with those of the free guest, indicating that all methylene protons interact with the carbonyl groups of iQ[7]. It has been reported that the 1H NMR peaks of the guest protons inside the low-polarizability cavity of Q[n] shift upfield, and interactions with the carbonyl oxygen molecules of Q[n] result in downfield shifts owing to the deshielding of the protons.21,39,40 Additionally, the signal corresponding to the α-proton of the amino acid (H_α) is shifted downfield (Δδ = 0.37 ppm). This indicates that the guest is located just outside the portal of the host.

Similar iQ[7] complexation-induced 1H NMR changes (downfield shifts and peak splitting) were observed for another two essential amino acids, Arg and His, indicating similar binding modes. The results of titration 1H NMR spectroscopy obtained using a fixed amount of iQ[7] and various equivalents of Arg are shown in Figure S1. The side-chain proton (H_β and H_γ) signals for Arg showed a downfield shift of 0.04 and 0.18 ppm, respectively, and the signal for the proton H_α showed a downfield shift of 0.29 ppm when the iQ[7]−Arg ratio reached 1:1.02. As shown in Figure S2, the imidazole proton signal of His is shifted downfield compared to that of the free guest, as are the peaks for methylene protons H_β and H_α.

This may reflect the fact that Arg and His lie outside the portal of the host, unlike the interaction of Q[7] with Lys and Arg.21 The possible reason leading to the difference lies in the smaller cavity of iQ[7] which contains a single inverted glycoluril unit.

The binding behavior of iQ[7] with the aromatic amino acids Trp and Phe clearly departs from our observations with Lys, Arg, and His. As shown in Figure 2, all aromatic protons (H_γ–H_η) of Trp move upfield considerably and are broadened compared with those of the free guest due to a rapid exchange rate of binding and release on the NMR time scale. Meanwhile, one of the CH_2 protons of Trp is moved upfield, which indicates that it is located inside the cavity. By contrast, the proton H_α of Trp moved downfield by 0.07 ppm when the iQ[7]−Trp ratio reached 1:1.05, which indicates that it is located outside the cavity. It is noted that the

Figure 1. 1H NMR spectra (400 MHz, pD = 7.0) of iQ[7] in the absence (A) and presence of 0.15 (B), 0.40 (C), 0.70 (D), 0.82 (E), and 1.02 (F) equiv of Lys and free guest Lys (G) at 20 °C.
two methine protons (H1 on Scheme 1) of the inverted glycoluril unit in the cavity of iQ[7] were shifted upfield with increasing amounts of Trp, suggesting that Trp also interacts with these methine protons (H1) in the cavity of iQ[7]. These observations suggest that the CH2 group and indole moiety of the Trp guest are encapsulated in the cavity of the iQ[7] host. This is also the case for the binding interactions of iQ[7] with Phe; as shown in Figure S3, the aromatic ring protons of Phe are clearly subject to upfield shifts upon binding to iQ[7].

Meanwhile, the signal corresponding to the α-proton of the bound amino acid (Hα) is shifted obviously downfield (Δδ = 0.13 ppm), similar to the binding interactions of iQ[7] with Trp. This result is consistent with the binding behavior of Q[7] with aromatic amino acids.21

The interaction of iQ[7] with Ile could be conveniently monitored by 1H NMR. A slight upfield shift of the signals of the protons of the alkyl chain (Hβ−Hδ) and a slight downfield shift of the signal of the Hα were observed upon the addition of iQ[7] (Figure 3), suggesting that there is a weak interaction between iQ[7] and Ile. Similar 1H NMR spectra for the interaction of iQ[7] and the guests Leu and Met were also recorded (Figures S4 and S5). This indicates that the alkyl moiety of the guests was accommodated within the cavity of iQ[7] but the interaction is weak. Meanwhile, no obvious shift was observed when mixing the host with the essential amino acids, Val or Thr (Figures S6 and S7).

To compare the binding patterns of the essential amino acids with iQ[7] under different conditions, we also investigated the interactions between the protonated forms of the amino acids and iQ[7] by 1H NMR titration at pD = 3. We first studied the binding behavior of three basic amino acids with iQ[7]. A trace amount of the acid was added together with iQ[7] to ensure the formation of the complexes. With 1.0 equiv of Lys, Arg, or His, the proton of the side chains of these amino acids showed
a significant upfield displacement (Figures 4, S8, and S9), indicating the formation of complexes. Lys, Arg, and His showed unexpected changes at pD = 3 compared with D₂O because ¹H NMR experiments confirmed that these amino acids formed inclusion complexes with iQ[7]. This change in behavior is likely due to a change in the protonation state.²¹ We concluded that the side chains of Lys, Arg, and His were predominantly located in a shielded environment.²¹,39,40 This is because the binding to iQ[7] causes His, Lys, and Arg to favor the fully protonated state. As Kim reported before, paying the thermodynamic penalty for the protonation of the carboxylate group is favored over the binding to iQ[7] as the deprotonated form.

For Trp and Phe, the amino groups of the guests remained protonated at pD = 3. Next, we investigated the binding interactions of the aromatic amino acids Trp and Phe with iQ[7]. The interaction of Trp with iQ[7] was studied first (Figure 5), and the results showed that the proton of the indole moiety was shifted upfield, suggesting that the indole moiety of Trp was located inside the cavity, as concluded previously. Upon comparing the differences, it became apparent that the protons Hα and Hβ of Trp were shifted upfield, indicating that the methylene and methine groups of the guest were also encapsulated in the iQ[7] cavity. This conclusion was also reached for Phe. As shown in Figure S10, all protons of the benzyl moiety underwent a considerable upfield shift; meanwhile, the Hα protons also experienced a small upfield shift. These iQ[7]-induced shift patterns suggested that the benzene ring and alkyl chain moiety of Phe were situated inside the iQ[7] cavity. Overall, the results suggest that Trp and Phe guests were buried deeper within the iQ[7] cavity. This indicates that the aromatic amino acids can maintain their binding affinities reasonably well even if their carboxyl groups...
are deprotonated, which is consistent with the results of the binding of Q[7] with aromatic amino acids.

The host−guest interactions of iQ[7] with charged amino acids (Ile, Leu, Met, Val, and Thr) at pD = 3 were also investigated by 1H NMR spectroscopy. The 1H NMR spectra of Ile and Ile bound to iQ[7] are shown in Figure 6. All protons of the alkyl side chain of Ile were clearly shifted upfield by between 0.23 and 0.41 ppm, indicating burial within the iQ[7] cavity. Similarly, when the amino acids were added to iQ[7] at pD = 3, all alkyl side-chain protons of Leu, Met, Val, and Thr experienced a significant upfield shift, suggesting a deep inclusion in the cavity of iQ[7] due to the formation of inclusion complexes (Figures S11−S14). Upon comparing with nuclear magnetic titration experiments in D2O, it became apparent that the alkyl side-chain protons of the guests were shifted upfield, confirming that these four amino acids were more likely to form inclusion complexes at pD = 3. Major binding differences were observed (using NMR spectroscopy) between pD = 7 and 3, which can be explained as a consequence of the different protonation state for the carboxylate group. Upon the protonation of the carboxylate group, the amino acid inclusion into the cucurbituril cavity is favored.

Ultraviolet−Visible Absorption and Fluorescence Emission Spectra. The interaction of iQ[7] with Trp was also examined by UV absorbance spectrophotometry and

Figure 6. 1H NMR spectra (400 MHz, pD = 3) of iQ[7] in the absence (A) and presence of 0.30 (B), 0.65 (C), 0.85 (D), and 1.05 (E) equiv of Ile and free guest Ile (F) at 20 °C.

Figure 7. Electronic absorption (A) and fluorescence emission spectra (B) of Trp (2 × 10−5 mol·L−1) upon the addition of increasing amounts (0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, and 2.0 equiv) of iQ[7]. ΔA (C) and ΔF (D) vs N_{iQ[7]}/(N_{iQ[7]} + N_{Trp}) plots.
fluorescence spectroscopy. According to the UV absorption spectrophotometric results (Figure 7A), the gradual addition of iQ[7] to Trp in buffered solution (pH = 7) was accompanied by a significant decrease in the intensity at 218 nm and a slight bathochromic shift because of the strong interaction between iQ[7] and Trp. As can be seen in Figure 7B, Trp displayed an emission peak at 366 nm at an excitation wavelength of 269 nm. Successive addition of iQ[7] caused a decrease and a hypsochromic shift from 359 to 350 nm in the fluorescence intensity at 359 nm. These substantial changes in the emission profile further confirm the strong host–guest interaction between iQ[7] and Trp. From the ultraviolet–visible (UV–VIS) absorption and fluorescence intensity, the binding constant (K<sub>v</sub>) for iQ[7]–Trp could be determined to be 2.32 × 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>−1</sup> and 2.68 × 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>−1</sup>. Furthermore, Job’s plots (Figure 7C,D) based on the continuous variation method clearly showed that the UV spectra and fluorescence spectra of Trp fitted well with 1:1 stoichiometry of the host–guest inclusion complexes.

**Isothermal Titration Calorimetry.** To better understand the host–guest interactions between iQ[7] and the 10 essential L-α-amino acids, we carried out at least two ITC experiments at 25 °C in 10 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0). Table 1 and Figures S15 and S16 show the equilibrium association constants (K<sub>v</sub>) and thermodynamic parameters for iQ[7]–α-amino acid interaction systems for Lys, Arg, His, Trp, and Phe. The experimental results revealed K<sub>v</sub> values ranging from ~10<sup>3</sup> to ~10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>−1</sup> and negative ΔG° values ranging from ~25.4 to ~28.2 kJ/mol for iQ[7]–α-amino acid interactions. Thus, these L-α-amino acids could effectively bind to the iQ[7] host. However, the amino acids Met, Ile, Leu, Thr, and Val showed no effective interaction with iQ[7] (Figure S17). The revealed K<sub>v</sub> values indicated a strong binding with the aromatic amino acids Trp and Phe, among which iQ[7] binds with Phe with the highest binding affinity, which is consistent with the binding behavior of Q[7] with aromatic amino acids. Lys, Arg, and His guests lie outside the portal of the host, whereas the alkyl moieties of Met, Leu, and Ile guests were accommodated within the iQ[7] cavity, and there was no significant interaction between iQ[7] and Thr or Val. Additionally, interactions between the protonated form of Lys, Arg, and His with iQ[7] were also investigated at pH = 3, and unexpectedly, the side chains were located in the cavity of iQ[7] under acidic conditions. Furthermore, the aromatic amino acids Trp and Phe were more deeply buried in the iQ[7] cavity at the lower pH. An upfield chemical shift for the protons of the alkyl side chains of Met, Leu, Ile, Thr, and Val guests indicated that they were located inside the iQ[7] cavity and hence formed host–guest complexes. These results not only enhance our knowledge of the molecular recognition of amino acids but may also be of significance for the design and synthesis of new macrocyclic compounds for biological identification and simulation.

### Table 1. Complex Stability Constant (K<sub>v</sub>), Enthalpy (ΔH°), Entropy Changes (TΔS°), and Gibbs Free Energy (ΔG°) for iQ[7]–Guest Interactions in Buffered Solution at pH = 7

| guest | K<sub>v</sub> (×10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>−1</sup>) | ΔH° (kJ/mol) | TΔS° (kJ/mol) | ΔG° (kJ/mol) |
|-------|-----------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Lys   | 2.1 ± 0.7        | −4.4 ± 0.3 | 8.8 ± 0.3   | −28.2   |
| Arg   | 327 ± 16         | −5.0 ± 0.1 | 9.2 ± 0.1   | −25.9   |
| His   | not available    |          |             |         |
| Trp   | 1.2 ± 0.1        | −28.9 ± 0.6 | −11.3 ± 0.6 | −28.2   |
| Phe   | 1.8 ± 0.5        | −30.5 ± 2.8 | −0.6 ± 2.8  | −28.0   |

Figures S15 and S16 show the equilibrium association constants (K<sub>v</sub>) and thermodynamic parameters for iQ[7]–α-amino acid interaction systems for Lys, Arg, His, Trp, and Phe. The experimental results revealed K<sub>v</sub> values ranging from ~10<sup>3</sup> to ~10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>−1</sup> and negative ΔG° values ranging from ~25.4 to ~28.2 kJ/mol for iQ[7]–α-amino acid interactions. Thus, these L-α-amino acids could effectively bind to the iQ[7] host. However, the amino acids Met, Ile, Leu, Thr, and Val showed no effective interaction with iQ[7] (Figure S17). The revealed K<sub>v</sub> values indicated a strong binding with the aromatic amino acids Trp and Phe, among which iQ[7] binds with Phe with the highest binding affinity, which is consistent with the binding behavior of Q[7] with aromatic amino acids. Lys, Arg, and His guests lie outside the portal of the host, whereas the alkyl moieties of Met, Leu, and Ile guests were accommodated within the iQ[7] cavity, and there was no significant interaction between iQ[7] and Thr or Val. Additionally, interactions between the protonated form of Lys, Arg, and His with iQ[7] were also investigated at pH = 3, and unexpectedly, the side chains were located in the cavity of iQ[7] under acidic conditions. Furthermore, the aromatic amino acids Trp and Phe were more deeply buried in the iQ[7] cavity at the lower pH. An upfield chemical shift for the protons of the alkyl side chains of Met, Leu, Ile, Thr, and Val guests indicated that they were located inside the iQ[7] cavity and hence formed host–guest complexes. These results not only enhance our knowledge of the molecular recognition of amino acids but may also be of significance for the design and synthesis of new macrocyclic compounds for biological identification and simulation.

### Table 2. Complex Stability Constant (K<sub>v</sub>), Enthalpy (ΔH°), and Entropy Changes (TΔS°) for Q[7]–Guests

| guest | K<sub>v</sub> (M<sup>−1</sup>) | ΔH° (kJ/mol) | TΔS° (kJ/mol) |
|-------|-----------------|---------|-------------|
| Lys   | 2.1 ± 0.7        | −4.4 ± 0.3 | 8.8 ± 0.3   |
| Arg   | 327 ± 16         | −5.0 ± 0.1 | 9.2 ± 0.1   |
| His   | not available    |          |             |
| Trp   | 1.2 ± 0.1        | −28.9 ± 0.6 | −11.3 ± 0.6 |
| Phe   | 1.8 ± 0.5        | −30.5 ± 2.8 | −0.6 ± 2.8  |

**Mass Spectrometry.** We further studied the formation of the inclusion complexes of iQ[7] and guests for 10 of the essential L-α-amino acids by MALDI-TOF MS. In the resultant MALDI-TOF MS spectra (Figure S18), major signals at m/z = 1309.012, 1336.526, 1317.864, 1367.793, 1328.397, 1294.573, 1294.498, and 1312.410 were observed, corresponding to Lys–iQ[7] (calculated 1309.151), Arg–iQ[7] (calculated 1337.164), His–iQ[7] (calculated 1318.118), Trp–iQ[7] (calculated 1367.189), Phe–iQ[7] (calculated 1328.152), Ile–iQ[7] (calculted 1294.136), Leu–iQ[7] (calculated 1294.136), and Met–iQ[7] (calculated 1312.175), respectively. These intense signals provide direct support for the formation of 1:1 stoichiometric host–guest inclusion complexes for these eight amino acids. It is noted that no significant host–guest interaction signals were observed between iQ[7] and Thr or Val in the MS spectra. The results of the mass spectra are consistent with the results from the NMR experiments.

## CONCLUSIONS

We explored the binding interactions between 10 essential L-α-amino acid guests and the iQ[7] host using a variety of characterization methods in buffered solution (pH = 7). The experimental results indicated a strong binding with the aromatic amino acids Trp and Phe, and iQ[7] binds with Phe with the highest binding affinity, which is consistent with the binding behavior of Q[7] with aromatic amino acids. Lys, Arg, and His guests lie outside the portal of the host, whereas the alkyl moieties of Met, Leu, and Ile guests were accommodated within the iQ[7] cavity, and there was no significant interaction between iQ[7] and Thr or Val. Additionally, interactions between the protonated form of Lys, Arg, and His with iQ[7] were also investigated at pH = 3, and unexpectedly, the side chains were located in the cavity of iQ[7] under acidic conditions. Furthermore, the aromatic amino acids Trp and Phe were more deeply buried in the iQ[7] cavity at the lower pH. An upfield chemical shift for the protons of the alkyl side chains of Met, Leu, Ile, Thr, and Val guests indicated that they were located inside the iQ[7] cavity and hence formed host–guest complexes. These results not only enhance our knowledge of the molecular recognition of amino acids but may also be of significance for the design and synthesis of new macrocyclic compounds for biological identification and simulation.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Materials and Reagents.** Ten essential L-α-amino acids were purchased from Aldrich. iQ[7] was prepared and purified according to our previously published procedure. All other...
reagents were of analytical grade and were used as received. Double-distilled water was used for all experiments.

**Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Measurements.** All $^1$H NMR spectra, including those for titration experiments, were measured on a Varian INOVA-400 NMR spectrometer with SiMe$_4$ as an internal reference at 20°C. D$_2$O was used as a field-frequency lock, and the observed chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to that for the internal standard (TMS at 0 ppm). The ratio of amino acids versus iQ[7] was calculated by the ratio of their integral areas.

**Spectroscopy.** Fluorescence spectra measured with a xenon discharge lamp at room temperature. Fluorescence spectra were recorded with an Agilent 8453 spectrophotometer at 720 nm and at 25°C. The concentration of the amino acids were calculated by the ratio of their integral areas versus iQ[7] was calculated by the ratio of their integral areas versus iQ[7].

**ITC Measurements.** Microcalorimetric experiments were performed using an isothermal titration calorimeter Nano ITC (TA, USA). The heat evolved was recorded at 298.15 K. The heat of the reaction was corrected for the heat of the dilution of the guest solution determined in separate experiments. All solutions were degassed prior to the titration experiment by sonication. A stock solution (1.0 × 10$^{-3}$ mol/L) of amino acids and 1.0 × 10$^{-4}$ mol/L stock solution of iQ[7] were prepared with 10 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0). A typical ITC titration was carried out by titrating the 1-α-amino acid solution (pH = 7, 1.0 × 10$^{-3}$ mol/L, 6 μL of aliquots, at 250 s intervals) into an iQ[7] solution. The concentration of iQ[7] in the sample cell (1.3 mL) was 1.0 × 10$^{-4}$ mol/L at pH = 7. Computer simulations (curve fitting) were performed using the Nano ITC analyze software. First points in the ITC data were excluded when fitting the model to acquire the binding constant, enthalpy change, and entropy change.

**MALDI-TOF MS.** MALDI-TOF MS spectra were recorded on a Bruker BIFLEX III ultrahigh-resolution Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometer with α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid as the matrix. The MALDI-TOF experiments were carried out by adding 1-α-amino acid solution (1.0 × 10$^{-3}$ mol/L, 100 μL) into an iQ[7] solution (1.0 × 10$^{-4}$ mol/L, 1.0 mL). The solution concentration was about 1.0 × 10$^{-4}$ mol/L (1-α-amino acids−iQ[7] = 1:1).
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