High Temperature Stability of an Al–Zr–Ti Alloy Prepared using Gas Atomization and Spark Plasma Sintering Technology
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The aim of this study was to prepare an aluminium alloy with high temperature stability using the powder metallurgical route. Gas atomized powder of the Al–Zr–Ti alloy was consolidated by the spark plasma sintering (SPS) technology. The fine grained structure (grain size between 2 and 3 µm) observed in the gas atomized powder was retained after sintering both at 425°C and 550°C. The microhardness of 49 HV observed in the gas atomized powder was increased up to about 100 HV in the SPS compacts. Precipitation of the Al\textsubscript{3}(Zr,Ti) phase during sintering can explain this strengthening. The materials stability was tested by annealing at temperatures above 450°C. The grain size remained stable even after 10 h of annealing. A decrease in microhardness by about 20% can be attributed especially to the formation of the stable modification of the Al\textsubscript{3}(Zr,Ti) phase.
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1. Introduction

Aluminium alloys, due to their high specific strength, are frequently used in commercial applications. The main drawback of age hardenable Al alloys is that they cannot be used at temperatures above about 423 K as they lose their strength due to coarsening or dissolution of strengthening particles. In order to develop an Al alloy with a strength stable at higher temperatures the alloy composition and the producing method have to be properly chosen. It is necessary to use alloying elements that can form small, homogeneously distributed particles of intermetallic phases resistant to coarsening and dissolution. These particles serve as dislocation pinners and contribute to high strength. Simultaneously, they can pin the grain boundaries and suppress the grain growth which might contribute to a stability of strength.

The main criteria for the selection of appropriate alloying elements are a very low solid solubility in Al matrix up to high temperatures, a low diffusion rate, and an ability to form particles of intermetallic phases with a low lattice mismatch between the formed intermetallic compound and the Al matrix. Alloying elements like Fe, Ni, Ti, V or Zr fit the above mentioned criteria [1]. Zirconium has the slowest diffusion rate among them [2] and low solid solubility with a maximum of 0.28 wt.% at 660°C K [3]. Zirconium forms the Al\textsubscript{3}Zr phase. This Al\textsubscript{3}Zr phase appears in two modifications.

The stable phase with the structure DO\textsubscript{23} is preceded by a metastable phase of L1\textsubscript{2} structure, which has a lower lattice mismatch compared to the stable phase. This reduced mismatch, according to the theory of the diffusion controlled particle coarsening, leads to increased stability of these second-phase particles. Further additives like V, Hf or Ti are supposed to substitute Zr atoms in the elemental cell of Al\textsubscript{3}Zr particles and to reduce the lattice mismatch to Al matrix. It was shown in [4] that the lattice mismatch of the metastable Al\textsubscript{3}(Zr\textsubscript{0.7}Ti\textsubscript{0.3}) phase is practically zero and these particles should be extremely resistant to coarsening.

It is generally accepted that gas atomization (as a typical rapid solidification technique) can suppress formation of coarse primary particles during solidification, leads to supersaturated solid solution and metastable second phase particles [1]. To preserve the benefits from gas atomization in bulk materials a suitable consolidation method with minimal influence on materials microstructure has to be chosen. Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS) combines uniaxial pressure with heating by low voltage DC current flowing through the sample [5]. The high current densities and large Joule heat evolved primarily at powder particle surfaces whereas the powder particle interiors remain nearly unaffected. The high heating rates and short holding times used in SPS limit undesirable changes in rapidly solidified microstructure, like e.g. solute reorganization or recrystallization.

The aim of this investigation was to study the high temperature stability of an Al–Zr–Ti alloy prepared by the powder metallurgy (PM) route. Microstructure and microhardness of powders and two SPS compacts pre-
pared using different SPS parameters were examined. The high temperature stability of the prepared SPS compacts was studied by annealing between 450 and 500 °C.

2. Experimentals

The nitrogen atomized AlZr2.6Ti0.7 (in wt.%) powder sieved down to 50 µm was supplied by Nanoval GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin. The average powder particle size was 20.3 µm according to the producer. The powders were sintered using a pulsed electric current sintering system – model FCT SPS-HP25 (FCT Systeme GmbH). During consolidation, the samples were free heated up to 400 °C, the sintering temperature was then reached with a heating rate of 25 °C/min, and simultaneously the uniaxial pressure was increased. The holding time was 4 min and then the compact was free cooled. The following combinations of sintering temperature and applied stress were used: 425 °C/100 MPa and 550 °C/80 MPa.

The microstructure was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in back scattered electron mode (BSE) using an FEI Quanta 200F equipped with field emission cathode. Grain size and grain orientations were analysed using electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD). The OIM software was employed for EBSD analysis. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) investigations were performed using JEOL 220FS microscope operating at 200 kV. TEM samples from powder particles were produced using focused ion beam milling in Zeiss Auriga Compact SEM microscope. From SPS compacts, the discs with a diameter of 3 mm were cut parallel to the SPS load direction and thinned electrochemically using a double jet polisher Tenupol-5 under 15 V at −15 °C in the solution of 33% nitric acid in methyl alcohol. The phase composition was verified by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a diffractometer D8 Discover (Bruker AXS). Quantitative Rietveld analysis was performed in TOPAS V5 in order to estimate the weight fraction of present phases.

Materials strength was characterised by Vickers microhardness measured using an automatic microhardness tester Qness Q10A+. The load of 10 g was applied at powder material where 15 different powder particles were tested. The load of 50 g was applied to compact samples, where the average microhardness values were determined from 20 indentations.

3. Results

The microstructure of mostly spherical gas atomized powder particles was found dependent on the particle size. The smallest powder particles seemed to exhibit a segregation free microstructure and were mostly single crystalline. Larger particles were found to have a cellular microstructure with intercellular regions depleted of Zr and Ti (see the smaller powder particle on Fig. 1a).

![Fig. 1. The BSE contrast and the corresponding EBSD micrographs of the gas atomized powder (a, b), SPS compact 425 °C/100 MPa (c, d), and SPS compact 550 °C/80 MPa (e, f).](image-url)
Fig. 2. Precipitates present in the gas atomized powder (a, b), in the SPS compact 550 °C/80 MPa (in dark field contrast mode) (c, d), and in the SPS compact 550°C/80 MPa after annealing 1 h/500 °C (e, f) taken by transmission electron microscopy.

The largest powder particles were polycrystalline and contained a large quantity of primary coarse cuboidal or petal-like Al₃(Zr,Ti) particles (Figs. 1a, b). The average grain size of the powder material was between 2 and 3 µm. XRD measurements proved the presence of only metastable L₁₂ Al₃(Zr,Ti) phase (3.2 wt.%) in the powder material. The microstructure of both SPS compacts (Figure 1c and 1e) was similar to that of the powder material and the original powder particles were still recognisable. The former largest powder particles became deformed around the smaller ones. The compacts average grain size is similar to that found in the powder material. XRD investigation revealed that sample compacted at 425°C contained only the L₁₂ phase (1.3 wt.%), whereas the sample sintered at 550°C contained beside the L₁₂ phase (1.3 wt.%) also the DO₂₃ phase (1.3 wt.%). The relatively low microhardness (49 ± 12 HV) observed in the atomized powder was increased up to 103 ± 8 HV by SPS at 425°C and up to 99 ± 8 HV by SPS at 550°C.

The detailed TEM investigation of the atomized powder revealed that the presence of both very fine (the size in the range of 10 nm) and coarse petal-like precipitates (the size slightly below 1 µm) of the metastable L₁₂ Al₃(Zr,Ti) phase (Figs. 2a, b). The compacts were found to contain beside the nm sized spherical and the coarser petal-like particles also precipitates of Al₃(Zr,Ti) arranged into fans (Figs. 2c, d). Rod shaped particles of L₁₂ structure were also observed. In the SPS 550°C/80 MPa compact a remarkable quantity of rod shaped particles with the DO₂₃ structure was observed.

Table I brings the microhardness and grain size values for the SPS 425°C/100 MPa compact after isochronal annealing for 1 h at 450, 475 and 500°C. Microhardness retained its value after annealing at 450°C, higher annealing temperatures resulted in a slight decrease. The grain size remained unaffected, but the wt.% of the L₁₂ phase gradually increased. The fraction of the stable DO₂₃ phase was under the detectability limit in all samples. The influence of isothermal annealing at 500°C is documented in Table II for both SPS compacts. The microhardness of both SPS compacts decreased with increasing annealing time, however, this process was slower in the 425°C/100 MPa compact. The grain size was stable even after a long-term annealing.

| Annealing at | None | 450°C | 475°C | 500°C |
|-------------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| HV          | 103 ± 8 | 99 ± 9 | 93 ± 9 | 88 ± 9 |
| d [µm]      | 2.5 ± 0.8 | 2.3 ± 0.7 | 2.1 ± 0.6 | 2.6 ± 0.8 |

Table I
An increase in the quantity of the stable DO\textsubscript{23} phase was observed in the SPS 550°C/80 MPa compact already after 1 hour of annealing. In the SPS 425°C/100 MPa compact, the formation of the stable DO\textsubscript{23} phase was delayed.

The heat treatment of SPS compacts led to solute reorganization. The small nm sized spherical phases present in small powder particles were found to retain their L1\textsubscript{2} structure but transformed to fan shaped structure (see Fig. 2e), however there were also areas where the spherical small particles retained or both the small spherical ones and the fan shaped structures were present. Beside the coarse primary petal-like particles and fan arrangement a lot of rods, both L1\textsubscript{2} and DO\textsubscript{23} structure, were also observed as it is presented on Figure 2f. Dislocations were found to be pinned by second phase particle content of both SPS compacts.

| TABLE II |
|---------------------------------|
| The influence of isothermal annealing at 500 on microhardness, grain size and second phase particle content of both SPS compacts |
| An increase in the quantity of the stable DO\textsubscript{23} phase was observed in the SPS 550°C/80 MPa compact already after 1 hour of annealing. In the SPS 425°C/100 MPa compact, the formation of the stable DO\textsubscript{23} phase was delayed. |

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
SPS & microhardness [HV] & Non-annealed & 1 h & 5 h & 10 h \\
\hline
& d [\mu m] & 103 ± 8 & 88 ± 9 & 83 ± 6 & 78 ± 5 \\
SPS 425°C/100 MPa & L1\textsubscript{2} [wt.\%] & 2.5 ± 0.8 & 2.6 ± 0.8 & 2.5 ± 0.8 & 2.7 ± 0.8 \\
& DO\textsubscript{23} [wt.\%] & 1.3 & 0.8 & 1.3 & 1.2 \\
\hline
& microhardness [HV] & 90 ± 8 & 76 ± 7 & 76 ± 6 & 70 ± 6 \\
SPS 550°C/80 MPa & d [\mu m] & 3.1 ± 1.0 & 3.1 ± 1.1 & 3.2 ± 1.0 & 2.9 ± 0.9 \\
& L1\textsubscript{2} [wt.\%] & 1.3 & 1.3 & 1.0 & 1.4 \\
& DO\textsubscript{23} [wt.\%] & 1.3 & 1.0 & 2.7 & 2.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The influence of isothermal annealing at 500 on microhardness, grain size and second phase particle content of both SPS compacts}
\end{table}

4. Discussion

As mentioned in the introduction, the Al–Zr–Ti alloy should meet the requirements for achieving stable high strength at elevated temperatures. A segregation free supersaturated matrix represents the best precursor for reaching high strength. Such a microstructure cannot be achieved using chill casting as coarse primary particles are formed already during casting [6]. It has been shown previously that neither the high solidification rate in gas atomization or melt spinning process can result in a completely micro-segregation free microstructure [4, 7]. However, the formation of coarse particles can be suppressed and a refined microstructure can be formed.

The powder particles of different sizes solidified at different cooling rates and their microstructure is, therefore, also different. In peritectic alloys, like the Al–Zr–Ti alloy, the solute rich phase is the first one formed during equilibrium solidification. The primary particles then act as heterogeneous nuclei during solidification of solute depleted melt. During rapid solidification the high undercooling can lead to cellular structure where cells are solute-rich and intercellular regions are depleted of solutes [8]. With increasing powder particle size a transition from the cellular microstructure to a microstructure with primary intermetallic particles can occur [8]. The gradient of cooling rate from the surface to the centre of droplet can cause further microstructural inhomogeneity. The formation of coarse primary particles was observed preferentially at the powder particle surface. The microhardness of the powder material can be measured only in the largest powder particles containing numerous primary particles. Therefore, the microhardness value is much smaller than that of the melt-spun material of similar composition [9]. The inhomogeneity of the microstructure is also responsible for the high standard deviation of microhardness measurement on powder particles. The lower strength of larger powder particles helps in sintering as these powder particles can deform during sintering, fill in the space, and reduce the resulting porosity.

The microstructural heterogeneity was preserved also in the SPS compacts as the short thermal exposure during SPS minimized the influence on powders microstructure. The original powder particles are still recognizable unlike the material prepared from the atomized powder by hot extrusion [7] where the original microstructure was completely lost and replaced by hot-worked microstructure due to the long heat influence. The microhardness of the SPS 425°C/100 MPa compact increased due to precipitation of predominantly metastable L1\textsubscript{2} Al\textsubscript{3}(Zr,Ti) phase. The value close to 100 HV is much higher than that of the hot extruded material (55 HV) [7] and comparable with the value of the melt-spun material annealed at 425 °C for 1 hour [9]. A lower microhardness of the SPS 550°C/80 MPa compact reflects the presence of coarser precipitates of the stable DO\textsubscript{23} Al\textsubscript{3}(Zr,Ti) phase.

The transition of the L1\textsubscript{2} to the DO\textsubscript{23} phase occurs at high temperatures after a long heat exposure. In cast Al–0.1Zr and Al–0.1Zr–0.1Ti alloys this transition did not appear up to 450°C, it started at 525°C after extended aging times [10]. As shown in [11] SPS at 450°C caused no transition, whereas higher sintering temperatures led to the formation of the stable phase. Especially this phase transition is responsible for a decrease in microhardness during a long term annealing at 500°C. The stable DO\textsubscript{23} phase has a larger lattice mismatch to the matrix which can result in a faster coarsening of these precipitates and, consequently, to a reduction in strength. Despite of these changes in phase composition the grain size remained nearly constant.
Based on the current findings further investigations concentrating on the reduction of the powdered materials grain size using high energy ball milling will follow. The reduced grain size is hoped to be retained also in the SPS compact, leading to an increment in materials microhardness. Simultaneously, it can be expected that high energy milling might destroy some coarse primary particles present in the atomized material and form a better precursor for the precipitation of the metastable $\text{Al}_3(\text{Zr},\text{Ti})$ phase.

5. Conclusion

Both the gas atomized powder and the sintered compacts exhibited a non-homogeneous microstructure. The $\text{Al}_3(\text{Zr},\text{Ti})$ particles of different shape (petal-like, dots, fan arrangement, rods) were observed. The relatively low sintering temperature ($425^\circ\text{C}$) suppressed the transition of $\text{L}_1_2$ to $\text{DO}_{23}$ phase. The formation of $\text{DO}_{23}$ phase was not detected after annealing up to $500^\circ\text{C}$ for 1 h. During a longer heat treatment at $500^\circ\text{C}$ a gradual evolution of the stable $\text{DO}_{23}$ phase occurred. The precipitation of the metastable modification contributes to microhardness increase, the transformation to the stable modification reduces it.
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