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ABSTRACT

This study aims to analyze service quality of Islamic Banking in Jakarta. Data were randomly obtained from metropolitan customers with the CARTER approaches, which comprises of Compliance, Assurance, Reliability, Tangibles, Empathy, and Responsiveness used to determine customer satisfaction index and importance performance analysis. Although the overall result showed that metropolitan customers of Islamic banks are satisfied, gaps were found on attributes of the services provided. Therefore, continuous improvement is needed to ensure the proper use of information technology (e-Banking), the ability of banks in responding to problems and complaints quickly, and the hospitality of employees while attending to customers.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Traditional strategic marketing is comprises of segmentation, targeting, and positioning (STP). It is also based on the identifying viable consumer segments to engage in mutually beneficial transactions. Marketing literature, however, reveals that women, minorities, and other ethnic groups, have been marginalized in terms of strategic marketing practice, as well as those categorized as “critical mass” (El-Bassiouny, 2014; Emslie, Bent, & Seaman, 2007; Muley, 2009; Nederveen Pieterse, 2009; Sinclair, 2009).
Apart from Muslim-majority countries like Malaysia, Turkey, Iran, and Egypt, the size of the Muslim population in other parts of the world is growing rapidly. In the UK, the Muslim population is forecasted to continue growing at a 6.7% rate, which is likely to reach 50% of the population by 2050 (El-Bassiouny, 2014; Ferguson, 2011; Saharuddin et al. 2019). The number of Muslims is approximately 8 million in the United States and 17 million in Western Europe (El-Bassiouny, 2014; Grim & Karim, 2011; Kettani, 2019; Siddiqui & Ba-Yunus, 1998). The size and growth rate of the Muslim community has some observers speculating if the potential change in demographics might result in conflict (El-Bassiouny, 2014; Ferguson, 2011). However, the events of September 11, 2001, underscore the relevance of such inquiry (Cole, 2009; Haleem, 2011). Misperceptions can certainly lead to a risk of polarization and global divides (El-Bassiouny, 2014; Nederveen Pieterse, 2009).

According to the Central Intelligence Agency (2019), Indonesia is a country with the world’s fourth-largest population and counts as the largest Muslim community worldwide. The country ranks sixth on the lists of Islamic financial index. Besides, Smart Consulting in 2016 reports that the Indonesian Islamic banks asset is approximately USD 22 billion and ranked seventh globally. Financial Services Authority explains (2019) that the total assets of Islamic banks in Indonesia is 5.95% of the Banking sector in Indonesia. However, over the past 5 years, the Indonesian Islamic Banks have shown a positive trend with a yearly increase in total assets, the number of offices, and workers. Currently, various communities have started to be introduced to Islamic-based mortgage, insurance, investment, and rural banking services. This started with the establishment of Bank Muamalat in 1991. Therefore, it is interesting to see the application of good service quality in the Islamic banking industry activity because it is one of the spearheads in increasing industrial growth.

Service offices of Indonesian Islamic bank in 2012 - 2019 grew in number, with 555 offices, culminating in 2,300 offices from 1,745. However, in 2015 and 2016, the number decreased by 173 and 5 offices, respectively, leading to a total of 1885 offices. On the other hand, the total number of offices on the Islamic bank business unit was stable from 2012 – 2013, with a total of 590 offices. However, the coming spin-off regulation in 2014 led to a significant yearly decrease. In 2012, the number of Islamic bank employee, increased by more than 100% in 2012, leading to a total of 24,111 employed people. The year 2019 also experienced a significant increase in 54,840 people. During the last 3 years there were fluctuations in the budget allocated by Islamic banks for human capacity building. In addition, both Islamic bank (BUS) and Islamic business unit (UUS) experienced a decrease in budget allocation in 2016, by more than 64 billion rupiahs.

All vital activities such as government administration activities, private sector, office centers, and business activities are centralized in Jakarta, as the capital city of the Republic of Indonesia. In line with the development of the banking sector, Jakarta has become the best place for Islamic Banks. Financial Services Authority (2019) placed Jakarta as the largest of Islamic financial asset. (386,420 trillion), the largest of Islamic financing funds (152,903 trillion), and the largest of third-party funds (190,350 trillion) compared to other provinces in Indonesia. In 2019 the Islamic Banks in Jakarta almost contributed approximately 54.82% of the total assets in Indonesia with a total of 265 Islamic bank service offices. Rama's (2018) research on the Provincial Islamic Economic Indexation in Indonesia, put Jakarta at number eight.

In 2008, the Islamic Banking Directorate of Bank Indonesia revealed the grand strategy of Islamic bank market development through some programs such as, short of positioning, attribute of
services, product, method of bidding, services and image, product and market development program. The functional and emotional benefits of the cosmopolite customer are considered to be utilized to create a better product. Moreover, Bank Indonesia also prioritizes service improvement program for reliability, assurance, tangibles, empathy, responsiveness, and public education and communication.

This research employed the quantitative approach to emphasize more on the gap quality of services as well as the perception and expectation of metropolitan customers in Jakarta. The scope of this study is to measure the level of customer importance on the quality of service, performance, and conformity provided by Islamic Bank. This research is focused in Jakarta because it is the center of Indonesian businesses, with the most substantial amount of third-party funds and money circulation. It is also an area with diverse societal characteristics.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Kotler & Keller (2012) defined services as any intangible activity, benefit, or performance offered by one party to another without transfer of ownership. According to Wilson & Frimpong (2004), service performance is defined as the customer's assessment of the superiority or privilege of a product or service. Previous research on services also shows that customers are attracted to the service provider's good service qualities (Bitner, 1990; Bitner et al. 1990; Lovelock & Gummesson, 2004; Solomon, Michael, Surprenant et al. 1985). Lovelock & Gummesson (2004) reported that customers tend to perceive the quality of service employees provide to represent the company. Therefore, employees need to demonstrate a good understanding of the service concept.

Ladhari (2009) stated that it is difficult to define and measure the quality of service. This has been debated over the past twenty years with a number of different "definitions" as to what is meant by the quality of service. According to Lewis (2010), service quality is defined as the extent to which services meet customer needs or expectations. The quality of service can be defined as the difference between customer expectations and perception (Lovelock & Wright, 2007). Customer service quality, satisfaction, and loyalty are important factors for the sustainability of a bank. Therefore, banks need to always provide the perfect quality service for each customer to fulfill their expectations (Rasoul & Mohammad, 2011).

Moeller (2010) and Zhu et al. (2002) stated that it is difficult to measure the objective quality of service due to its unique characteristics. Researchers have used different instruments to measure the service quality index, with the SERVQUAL scale as the most widely used. SERVQUAL instruments have expanded widely since the mid-80s. However, many researchers have criticized its dimensions as a measure of service quality instruments. Buttle (1996) criticizes the theory and operational of the instrument due to its ability to describe economic stability. Buttle stated that SERVQUAL focuses on the service delivery process is not the result of a direct service approach. Therefore, its dimensions are not necessarily acceptable to everyone. Critics on operational implementation stated that SERVQUAL fails to measure the quality of service as a whole. Secondly, 4 or 5 items cannot describe the variability in each service quality dimension. Thirdly, consumer ratings for service quality vary, and the scale of 7 points tends to fail, thereby, leading to saturated and biased instruments.
To cover the weaknesses in SERVQUAL, Othman & Owen (2001a) added an element of compliance to the quality dimensions of service earlier studied by Parasuraman, Zeithaml & Berry (1988). According to Akhtar & Zaheer (2014), research on service quality in Islamic banking has different characteristics due to the various cultures in countries. Therefore, it is important to develop instruments to measure the quality of services related to the culture, country, and socio-cultural character (Furrer et al. 2000; Jbnoun & Khalifa, 2005; Klementova et al. 2015).

Therefore, to resolve the problem associated with this unique characteristic, Othman & Owen (2001b) developed a CARTER model that measures the quality of service to customer satisfaction in Islamic banking. This model consists of five dimensions, namely, compliance, assurance, reliability, tangible, empathy, and responsive (CARTER).

According to Chapra (2000), satisfaction is the level of consumer feeling after comparing with expectations. When a consumer are satisfied with the value given to the product or service, they are likely to return. Meanwhile, Masood et al. (2009) stated that the names of Islamic banks, its reputation, customer confidentiality, interaction, and physical aspects such as internal layout, the suitability of furniture, and architectural design, has the ability to improve customer satisfaction and preference.

Riaz et al. (2017) reported that consumer perceptions in Islamic banking products and services are the views formed based on the level of awareness, knowledge, and religiosity. Customer perceptions increase with the implementation of better Islamic marketing, planning and enhance cooperation between industry and academia in making innovative products for consumers. However, Gait & Worthington (2009) stated that customers perceive religiosity as an important factor in forming a perception. Some factors that also influence customers’ perception are the cost of banking services, quality, and reputation. Furthermore, certain services provided by other companies can have an impact on consumer perceptions regarding quality. The researcher believes that perception and expectation are relatively strong concepts (Kwak, 2017; Yousfani et al. 2019; Zeithaml et al. 1985). Customer perceptions are the result of how customers recognize the quality of service, while expectations are formed through others’ influence.

3. METHODOLOGY

Islamic banks comprise of continuous growt of customers. The random sampling method was used to obtain data from 132 customers chosen according to the characteristics to be studied. This sample is also in line with research conducted by Sekaran (2006), which stated that the appropriate sample size is between 30 to 500.

Data is the variable depiction that was studied and used to prove the hypothesis. The two essential conditions that apply to a questionnaire are validity and reliability test. Customer Satisfaction Index (CSI) and Importance Performance Analysis (IPA) are used to analyze this research. Kotler (2000) explained that one of the analyses used to assess the 5 elements of service quality or to meet the company’s performance with great customer expectation is to determine the steps needed for continuous improvement. Bhote (1996) also stated that the customer satisfaction index is used to determine the overall attributes of a product or service, which is quantitatively measured.
The CARTER approach is used to measure the compliance, assurance, reliability, tangibles, empathy, and responsiveness of Islamic banks. The range of calculation is as follows: CSI 81% - 100% is very satisfied, 66% - 80.99% is satisfied, 51% - 65.99% is quite satisfied, 35% - 50.99% is less satisfied and 0 – 34.99% is not satisfied. The CSI model is a structural model based on the assumption that customer satisfaction is caused by perceived quality (PQ), perceived value (PV), customer expectations, and corporate image. These factors are central to the overall customer satisfaction and also be used to estimate whether the customer is satisfied (Prasadh & Suresh, 2017; Rajendran & Suresh, 2017; Türkyilmaz & Özkan, 2007).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The validity test in this study is used to address the significance of person’s correlation at 0.000, with all items being valid. Table 1 shows the value of Cronbach's Alpha of all variables. It is concluded that the questionnaire are reliable, when all variables have a value of Cronbach's Alpha greater than 0.60.

| No | Variable  | Cronbach’s Alpha | N of Items |
|----|-----------|------------------|------------|
| 1  | Assurance | 0.906            | 10         |
| 2  | Reliability | 0.886        | 10         |
| 3  | Tangible | 0.873            | 10         |
| 4  | Empathy | 0.909            | 10         |
| 5  | Responsiveness | 0.911       | 10         |
| 6  | Compliance | 0.937         | 10         |

The distributed questionnaire consists of 30 attributes, which are measured to construct the importance and performance. The calculation of CSI (71.87) indicates that the criteria of customer satisfaction at Islamic Banks service office in Jakarta are satisfied. Table 2 shows that compliance, assurance, reliability, tangibles, empathy, and responsiveness of Islamic banks is satisfactory due to customer expectation.

| Code | Attribute                                              | Importance Rate | Performance Rate | Score  |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|
| A1   | Hospitality of employees in serving customers         | 3.71            | 3.58             | 13.28  |
| A2   | Courtesy of employees in serving customers            | 3.88            | 3.71             | 14.39  |
| A3   | Knowledge of employees concerning Islamic bank        | 3.61            | 3.56             | 12.85  |
| A4   | Honesty of employees in every transaction             | 3.95            | 3.63             | 14.34  |
| A5   | Skills of employees in handling customers’ complaints | 3.70            | 3.61             | 13.36  |
| Code | Attribute                                                | Importance Rate | Performance Rate | Score  |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|
| A6   | Accuracy of working hours                               | 3.52            | 3.48             | 12.25  |
| A7   | Ease in implementation of transactions                  | 3.66            | 3.59             | 13.14  |
| A8   | Accurate recording of customer transactions              | 3.76            | 3.63             | 13.65  |
| A9   | Seriousness in processing financing                      | 3.53            | 3.47             | 12.25  |
| A10  | Offering Islamic bank products                          | 3.79            | 3.74             | 14.17  |
| A11  | Use of equipment and technology in bank operations       | 3.71            | 3.45             | 12.80  |
| A12  | Existence of a parking lot                              | 3.37            | 3.4              | 11.46  |
| A13  | Interior and exterior arrangement                       | 3.25            | 3.39             | 11.02  |
| A14  | Cleanliness and tidiness of employees’ outfit            | 3.87            | 3.63             | 14.05  |
| A15  | Placement of brochures, well-ordered deposit and withdrawal slips | 3.35 | 3.53 | 11.83 |
| A16  | Giving attention to customers                           | 3.59            | 3.49             | 12.53  |
| A17  | Responsible for customer safety                         | 3.84            | 3.74             | 14.36  |
| A18  | Fair service to every customer                          | 3.89            | 3.67             | 14.28  |
| A19  | Strategic location determination for transacting customers | 3.52 | 3.43 | 12.07 |
| A20  | Information technology with e-Banking                   | 3.75            | 3.57             | 13.39  |
| A21  | The ability of banks to respond to problems arising     | 3.71            | 3.48             | 12.91  |
| A22  | The ability of employees to communicate with customers   | 3.71            | 3.59             | 13.32  |
| A23  | The bank's ability to respond quickly to customer complaints | 3.69 | 3.51 | 12.95 |
| A24  | Employee responsiveness in bank operational matters     | 3.51            | 3.59             | 12.60  |
| A25  | Speed of employees in serving customer transactions      | 3.71            | 3.67             | 13.62  |
| A26  | No *riba*, *gharar*, or *maisir* in the transaction      | 3.91            | 3.75             | 14.66  |
| A27  | Running a business based on halal benefits               | 3.78            | 3.7              | 13.99  |
| A28  | Carrying out the mandate that is trusted by customers    | 3.82            | 3.71             | 14.17  |
| A29  | Providing accurate & up-to-date information to customers | 3.64            | 3.6              | 13.10  |
| A30  | Management of trustworthy zakat                         | 3.93            | 3.79             | 14.89  |
|      | **Total**                                                | **110.66**      | **397.68**       |        |

Calculation

\[
\text{CSI} = \frac{397.68}{x 100} = \frac{397.68}{5 \times 110.66}
\]
The performance analysis test is carried out to determine the level of conformity, with the rate divided to determine the priority scale (Yola & Budianto, 2013). The levels of conformity of all attributes are good enough, on average 97.43%, with the value of all conformity close to 100% due to a 2.57% gap. The attribute is considered to have met expectations on the importance of consumers. Some attributes have shown the conformity level above 90% and 97.43%, as shown in table 3.

### Table 3: Importance Performance Analysis: Conformity Rate

| Code | Attribute                                                                 | Performance Rate | Importance Rate | Conformity Rate |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A 1  | Hospitality of employees in serving customers                             | 537              | 557             | 96.41           |
| A 2  | Courtesy of employees in serving customers                                | 556              | 582             | 95.53           |
| A 3  | Knowledge of employees concerning Islamic bank                            | 534              | 542             | 98.52           |
| A 4  | Honesty of employees in every transaction                                 | 544              | 593             | 91.74           |
| A 5  | Skills of employees in handling customers’ complaints                     | 541              | 555             | 97.48           |
| A 6  | Accuracy of working hours                                                 | 522              | 528             | 98.86           |
| A 7  | Ease in implementation of transactions                                    | 538              | 549             | 98.00           |
| A 8  | Accurate recording of customer transactions                               | 545              | 564             | 96.63           |
| A 9  | Seriousness in processing financing                                       | 520              | 530             | 98.11           |
| A 10 | Offering Islamic bank products                                           | 561              | 568             | 98.77           |
| A 11 | Use of equipment and technology in bank operations                        | 517              | 556             | 92.99           |
| A 12 | Existence of a parking lot                                                | 510              | 505             | 100.99          |
| A 13 | Interior and exterior arrangement                                         | 509              | 487             | 104.52          |
| A 14 | Cleanliness and tidiness of employees’ outfit                             | 544              | 580             | 93.79           |
| A 15 | Placement of brochures, well-ordered deposit and withdrawal slips          | 530              | 503             | 105.37          |
| A 16 | Giving attention to customers                                            | 523              | 539             | 97.03           |
| A 17 | Responsible for customer safety                                           | 561              | 576             | 97.40           |
The average performance of each attribute is used to determine whether the performance of Islamic Bank services is good. It is used to compare the average performance of all attributes and their importance. Average performance is also used to determine the importance of the attribute. Table 4 shown that the average value and assessment level of all attributes is 3.59, and 3.69, respectively. The value is the midpoint used to determine the important of each service quality attributes of Islamic Banks. Further explanation of the analysis might refer to Quadrant column of Table 4 and the Cartesian diagram at Figure 1.
| Code of Attribute | Performance Rate | Performance Rate | Importance Score | Importance Score | Quadrant |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------|
| A 6               | 522              | 3.48             | 528              | 3.52             | C        |
| A 7               | 538              | 3.59             | 549              | 3.66             | D        |
| A 8               | 545              | 3.63             | 564              | 3.76             | B        |
| A 9               | 520              | 3.47             | 530              | 3.53             | C        |
| A 10              | 561              | 3.74             | 568              | 3.79             | B        |
| A 11              | 517              | 3.45             | 556              | 3.71             | A        |
| A 12              | 510              | 3.4              | 505              | 3.37             | C        |
| A 13              | 509              | 3.39             | 487              | 3.25             | C        |
| A 14              | 544              | 3.63             | 580              | 3.87             | B        |
| A 15              | 530              | 3.53             | 503              | 3.35             | C        |
| A 16              | 523              | 3.49             | 539              | 3.59             | C        |
| A 17              | 561              | 3.74             | 576              | 3.84             | B        |
| A 18              | 551              | 3.67             | 583              | 3.89             | B        |
| A 19              | 515              | 3.43             | 528              | 3.52             | C        |
| A 20              | 535              | 3.57             | 562              | 3.75             | A        |
| A 21              | 522              | 3.48             | 556              | 3.71             | A        |
| A 22              | 538              | 3.59             | 556              | 3.71             | B        |
| A 23              | 526              | 3.51             | 553              | 3.69             | A        |
| A 24              | 539              | 3.59             | 526              | 3.51             | D        |
| A 25              | 550              | 3.67             | 556              | 3.71             | B        |
| A 26              | 563              | 3.75             | 586              | 3.91             | B        |
| A 27              | 555              | 3.7              | 567              | 3.78             | B        |
| A 28              | 556              | 3.71             | 573              | 3.82             | B        |
| A 29              | 540              | 3.6              | 546              | 3.64             | D        |
| A 30              | 568              | 3.79             | 589              | 3.93             | B        |
| Total             | 16150            | 3.59             | 16595            | 3.69             | -        |

**Quadrant A:** This area contains attributes that are considered important by the Customers, although it is not in accordance with what they expected. Therefore, performance of attributes included in this quadrant needs to be improved. In contrast, Islamic banks need to perform continuous improvements on attributes with a low level of conformity (still below 100%). This aims to increase the performance of these attributes in accordance with the expectations of the Customers. Attributes with the lowest level of compliance are prioritized and fixed. The attributes contained in quadrant A based on the priority order of improvement are as follows:

- **Attribute of employee courtesy in serving customers (A1)**
  A1 attribute have average performance and importance rates 3.58 and 3.71, respectively. This attribute is below the average value of performance at 3.59, while the customer's expectation is above 3.69. This attribute also shows that the conformity rate of 96.41%, needs to be improved by Islamic Banks. This is in line with the research conducted by Mohamed & Muneer (Janahi & Al Mubarak, 2017), which shows that assurance (courtesy) has a great influence on customer satisfaction in Islamic banking. Therefore, it is expected that this attribute can be prioritized for immediate improvement.
• **Attribute of the Use of equipment and technology in bank operations (A 11)**
  A11 attribute has average performance and importance values of 3.45 and a 3.71, respectively. This attribute is below the average performance value of 3.59 and above 3.69 on the value of a customer’s expectation. In addition, it shows a conformity rate of 92.99%, which is less than 100%. This is in line with the research conducted by Dangolani (2011) on the impact of information technology on the banking system in Iran. Dangolani also stated that information technology has a good impact on the banking industry. The research also shows that the use of information technology has a significant impact in saving time for customers and facilitates transactions.

• **Attribute of Information technology with e-Banking (A 20)**
  A20 attribute has an average performance and importance values of 3.57 and 3.75, respectively. This attribute is below the average performance value of 3.59 and above 3.69 on the value of a customer’s expectation. In addition, it shows a conformity rate of 95.20%, which is less than 100%. Therefore, this attribute needs to be improved because Islamic banks need to run its operational activities based on Islamic principles and information technology (Ahmadirezaei, 2011). Information technology has been basically used through 2 approaches in the field of Banking, namely a business process reengineering communication and connectivity approach. Information technology enables sophisticated product development and better market infrastructure.

• **Attribute of the ability of banks in responding to problems arising (A 21)**
  A21 attribute has an average performance and importance rates of 3.48 and 3.71, respectively. It is less than average performance at 3.59, and above the average value of 3.69 of customer expectation. In addition, the attribute also shows the conformity value of 93.88%, lower than 100%. Therefore, the attribute needs to be immediate improved. Jahanshahi et al. (2011) stated that the quality of customer service, especially on responsiveness attributes directly affect satisfaction. Therefore, Islamic Bank needs to make a more serious correction on that aspect.

• **Attribute of the bank's ability to respond quickly to customer complaints (A 23)**
  A23 attribute has an average performance and importance values of 3.51 and 3.69, respectively. The attribute rate is below the average value of performance at 3.59, and above the customer’s importance at 3.69. In addition, the attribute also shows a conformity value of 95.12%, lower than 100%. Therefore, this attribute also needs to be improved. This is in line with the research conducted by Rasoul & Mohammad (2011), which asserts that tangible, reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and compliance have a positive relationship and influence on the quality of service in Islamic banking.

Figure 1 shows all attributes contained in quadrant A, B, C and D in order of priority:
Quadrant B: This area contains attributes that are considered important by consumers in accordance with their expectations. The attributes included in this quadrant need to be maintained because they make the product superior to the consumer. The attributes and the level of conformity are: (A1) employee courtesy in serving the customer (95.53%), (A4) honesty in carrying out transactions (91.74%), (A5) employee's skill in handling customers’ complaints (97.48%), (A8) accurate recording of customer transactions (96.63%), (A10) offering Islamic banking products (98.77%), (A14) cleanliness and tidiness of employee’s outfit (93.79%), (A22) employee's ability to communicate with customers (96.76%), (A25) employee's speed in serving customer transactions (98.92%), (A26) there is no *riba*, *gharar* and *maisir* (96.08%), (A27) running a business based on halal benefits (97.88%), (A28) carrying out the mandates trusted by customers (97.03%), (A30) management of trust worthy zakat (96.43%).

Quadrant C: This area contains attributes that are considered less important by the consumer, and the performance is also not good. The act increasing attributes included in this quadrant is not a priority agenda due to its small impact on consumer. The attributes and the level of conformity include (A3) knowledge of employee concerning Islamic bank (98.52%), (A6) accuracy of working hours (98.86%), (A9) seriousness in processing financing (98.11%), (A12) existence of parking lot (100.99%), (A13) interior and exterior arrangement (104.52%), (A15) placement of brochures, well-ordered deposit and withdrawal slips (105.37%), (A16) paying attention to customers (97.03%), (A19) determination a strategic location for transacting customers (97.54%).

Quadrant D: This area contains attributes that are considered unimportant by consumers and felt too much. The attributes included in this quadrant can be reduced in order for the company to save transaction costs (A7), which have average performance, importance, and conformity values of 3.59, 3.66 and 98%, respectively. The attribute of employee responsiveness in bank operational matters (A24) has an average performance, importance, and conformity values of 3.59, 3.51, and 102.47%, respectively. The attribute of providing accurate and up-to-date information to customers...
(A29) – have average performance, importance, and conformity values of 3.6, 3.64 and 98.90%, respectively.

These three attributes are deemed less important by the customers of both Islamic and conventional banks. However, they are obliged to provide accurate and current information to customers and facilitate transactions with good responsiveness to banking operational activities. This is not separated from the rules of Bank Indonesia, which states that if banks do not provide accurate information, but provide difficult transactions, then it is bound to bear any loss incurred.

5. CONCLUSION

This research was conducted by examining 30 attributes of CARTER approach (Compliance, Assurance, Reliability, Tangibles, Empathy, and Responsiveness) using customer satisfaction index analysis. This technique shows that the level of customer satisfaction of Islamic Bank in Jakarta has a value of 71.87%. It designates that the satisfaction criteria of the customer are in the satisfied category due to the services provided by Islamic Banks. Although the existing service has been running properly, it needs to be improved. From the results obtained through customer satisfaction index analysis, approximately 28.13% of service attributes have not been able to satisfy customers.

The test of importance and performance conformity using the Cartesian Diagram shows that there is a gap in the services provided by the Islamic banks. The result shows that the level of the services at Islamic Bank (BUS) is 97.43%. Therefore, a gap of 2.57% needs to be improved, especially on attributes belonging to quadrant A. Attribute performance is lower than what the consumers desire and expect. Therefore, banks need to take some action to improve their performance. Attributes that belong to Quadrant A are use of equipment and technology in bank operations, its ability to respond to customer complaints quickly, the use of information technology with e-Banking, and employee courtesy. All conformity values below 100% also show that the attribute does not meet customers’ expectations.
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