Demonstration of ChaKi.NET – beyond the corpus search system
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Abstract

ChaKi.NET is a corpus management system for dependency structure annotated corpora. After more than 10 years of continuous development, the system is now usable not only for corpus search, but also for visualization, annotation, labelling, and formatting for statistical analysis. This paper describes the various functions included in the current ChaKi.NET system.

1 Introduction

The corpus management tool ChaKi1 (Matsumoto et al., 2005) was originally released in 2004. In version 3.0, the user interface was rewritten using the .NET framework, and was renamed ChaKi.NET. The system was originally created as a corpus search system for dependency-analysed Japanese corpora. The String Search, Tag Search, and Dependency Search functions can be used to search dependency-parsed corpora at the string, POS-tag and dependency structure levels. A dependency-parsed corpus is converted into an SQLite DB file or stored on a MySQL server. In the case of SQLite DB files, corpus database files are shared by simply copying them to a new system. The system has been enhanced continuously and used for other purposes such as corpus visualization, annotation, labelling, and formatting for statistical analysis. In this paper, we present these functions of ChaKi.NET.

2 Visualization

2.1 Visualization of Dependency Tree

ChaKi.NET was originally developed as the viewer for the output of a dependency analyser named CaboCha2. Figures 1 and 2 show the diagonal and horizontal visualization modes, respectively. The extended CaboCha format and CoNLL-X format3 can be imported into ChaKi.NET. The Japanese examples are from the BCCWJ-DepPara syntactic dependency and coordinate structure annotation of the Balanced Corpus of Contemporary Written Japanese (BCCWJ) (Maekawa et al., 2014). Because Japanese is a strictly head final language, the diagonal mode is often used for annotation. The lower panel of Figure 2 shows a Universal Dependency tree (German). In the ACL community, the direction of dependency relation arrows is from head to dependent. However, in the Japanese NLP community, we prefer the one from dependent to head, regarding the dependency relation as the modification relation. In ChaKi.NET, the direction of arrows can be specified by the user.

2.2 Visualization of SEGMENT, LINK, and GROUP

We believe that most annotations on text corpora can be abstracted into the following three types: SEGMENT, LINK, and GROUP. SEGMENTs are regions in a sentence such as phrases and named entities. LINKs are directed relations between two SEGMENTs; these can indicate syntactic dependency, semantic dependency (predicate argument relation), and temporal relationships between two events. GROUPs are equivalence classes determined by an equivalent relation between SEGMENTs; these include coordinate structures and coreferences.

1https://en.osdn.jp/projects/chaki/releases/
2https://taku910.github.io/cabocha/
3http://ilk.uvt.nl/conll/#dataformat
Figure 1: Diagonal mode for dependency tree visualization

Japanese Example: arrow direction is from dependent to head

German Example: arrow direction is from head to dependent

Figure 2: Horizontal mode for dependency tree visualization

Figure 3 shows a visualization example from BCCWJ-DepParaPAS, i.e., the dependency structure with predicate-argument relations and coreference relations for BCCWJ. A thick blue arrow denotes a ‘ga’ relation, which is a subject-predicate relation. A thick purple arrow denotes an ‘o’ relation, which is an object-predicate relation.

Figure 3: Text mode for visualization of predicate argument structure

2.3 Visualization of Projection

ChaKi.NET can import more than one corpus with alignment information into one database. We refer to this as ‘projection’ from one image to another in relational algebra. Parallel corpora can be visualized using the projection function. The aligned words are highlighted by colours.

The projection functions can be used for various types of parallel analysis:

- Word segmentation variation (BCCWJ): https://youtu.be/L-Arl9oDUm8
  BCCWJ includes two units – ‘Short Unit Word’ and ‘Long Unit Word’ (Figure 4).

- The Japanese-English parallel corpus (BCCWJ-Trans): https://youtu.be/SZL8P5_Z-Xg
  The corpus is word aligned (Figure 5).

- Dialect (Kitakyushu, Fukuoka, Japan) and standard Japanese: https://youtu.be/_b1zLHMK_i8
  The dialect corpus is Bunsetsu-segmented with Katanaka transcription. The dialect is translated into standard Japanese, which is POS tagged and dependency parsed (Figure 6).

We also plan to use this function with a historical Japanese corpus containing translations into contemporary Japanese.
2.4 Visualization of Time

ChaKi.NET can store the start time, end time, and duration of words or morphemes for speech transcription corpora. The demo for ‘Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese’ (CSJ) (Maekawa et al., 2000) can be accessed at https://youtu.be/Qod6J14X9mU.

2.5 Combination of Projection and Time

The BCCWJ EyeTracking Corpus (Asahara et al., 2016) contains the reading time data of 24 experiment subjects, obtained from BCCWJ samples. We can define two word orders – the reading order of the subject and the word order in the original text. For the former order, we can define the start time, end time, and duration. For the latter order, reading time is aggregated into the following three duration types: first pass duration, regression path duration, and total duration. First pass duration is the time spent in a word region before moving on or looking back. Regression path duration is the time from

Figure 4: Visualization of two word segmentation standards

Figure 5: Visualization of Japanese-English parallel corpus

Figure 6: Visualization of dialect and standard Japanese parallel corpus
the time that the eye first enters a word region until the time it moves beyond that region, and includes regression time. Total duration is the sum of all fixations in a word region. Figure 7 shows a visualization of the BCCWJ EyeTracking Corpus. The demo for the BCCWJ EyeTracking Corpus can be viewed at https://youtu.be/H2ySz09n_sA.

Figure 7: Visualization of BCCWJ EyeTracking Corpus

3 Annotation and Labelling

3.1 Annotation

ChaKi.NET can call a morphological analyser (MeCab) ⁴ and a Japanese dependency analyser (CaboCha); this functionality is invoked when a user drags and drops a text file onto ChaKi.NET’s menu bar. The word segmentation and POS tags of the analyser output can be corrected by a morpheme panel.

Using a mouse operation, the dependency structure can be modified via the dependency tree panels shown in Figures 1 and 2. SEGMENT, LINK, and GROUP are also modified using the panels.

3.2 Labelling

The corpus search functions (query) can define the patterns of strings, sequences of morphological information, and subtrees of dependencies. The search results can be exported into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet or CSV file. However, we occasionally need to annotate a label to the searched results.

On the Scripting Panel, we can use Ruby or Python code to execute a labelling action based on the pattern of the query. We can use set of predefined scripts, or write any specific purpose code. The following sample Ruby code assigns the label ‘NE’ to a region:

Ruby code to assign label ‘NE’ CreateSegmentAll.rb

```ruby
records.each do |r|
  svc.Open(corpus, s, nil)
  c = r.GetCenterCharOffset()
  w = r.GetCenterCharLength()
  svc.SetupProject(0)
  svc.CreateSegment(c, c+w, "NE")
  svc.Commit()
end
```

The `CreateSegment(startPos, endPos, tagName)` method assigns the label `tagName` to the region between the `startPos` and `endPos-1`. The leftmost offset of the matched pattern can be obtained by the `GetCenterCharOffset` method. The rightmost offset is calculated from the length of the matched pattern given by the `GetCenterCharLength` method.

We perform the following cycle (Figure 8) to assign labels to the corpus. ChaKi.NET enables us to perform this cycle via mouse clicks on the user interface.

⁴http://taku910.github.io/mecab/
1. Define: define the query pattern
2-a. Extract: extract the matched examples
2-b. Evaluate: evaluate the matched examples
2-c. Restrict or Relax: restrict or relax the pattern
3. Label: assign labels to the matched examples

4 Statistical Analysis Aids

The original Collocation functions of ChaKi.NET can extract collocations using various frequencies or statistics, including co-occurrence frequency, MI score, various cooccurrence measures, \(^5\) and N-gram frequency\(^6\).

We can compose a term document matrix without writing a program code by using Word List functions. The demo https://youtu.be/yWE0z-bd5ME shows the output of the term document matrix. The original data is from BCCWJ-SUMM, which is a BCCWJ-based summarization corpus containing data from more than one hundred experimental participants.

The matrix can be exported as a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet or R data frame file.

When we define a query of word sequences using a tag search, we can also extract an n-gram/p-mer document matrix (Demo: https://youtu.be/Ossr5if8cKI). When we define a subtree query using a dependency search, we can also extract a dependency subtree document matrix (Demo: https://youtu.be/XwJNEBEzcBw).

5 Summary and Future Directions

We presented newly installed ChaKi.NET functions. The software is free for any purpose, including commercial use. We hold tutorials of the system periodically in Japan. The copyright-free data for ChaKi.NET can be downloaded from http://chaki-data.ninjal.ac.jp/. The BCCWJ-related data can be downloaded from https://bccwj-data.ninjal.ac.jp/mdl/. In our future work, we plan to develop new corpus query functions for any annotation, including SEGMENT, LINK, and GROUP.
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\(^5\) MI score, MI3 score, Dice score, log-log score, and Z score.

\(^6\) Also requires a sequence pattern mining algorithm.