Elevation patterns of plant diversity and recent altitudinal range shifts in Sinai’s high mountain flora
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Abstract

Questions: Is there evidence of recent altitudinal range shifts in a hyper-arid Middle Eastern desert mountain flora? How do the directions of shift for upper and lower altitudinal range limits of plants vary?

Location: Hyper-arid mountain desert, St Katherine Protectorate, South Sinai, Egypt.

Method: We tested for shifts in both upper and lower altitudinal range limits by comparing a 1970s dataset of recorded species’ limits with recent surveys using altitudinal transects across 36 sites. Altitudinal limits between 63 paired upper-limit and 22 paired lower-limit values from the 1970s and 2014 were compared using paired t-tests; binomial tests were used to indicate the dominant direction of change. The upper and lower limits of 22 species were considered together to allow assessment of overall altitudinal range-size changes. In order to avoid the potential effect of yearly environmental fluctuations on the distributions of annual species, subsets of upper and lower limit shifts were taken for perennials, and trees and shrubs.

Results: Our results show significant overall upslope shifts in mean upper altitudinal limits and significant overall downslope shifts in mean lower altitudinal limits. A majority of assessed species expanded their altitudinal ranges, but the responses of individual species varied. Since perennial herbs/graminoids, and trees and shrubs, show strong patterns of change, we suggest there has been a long-term shift in altitudinal range in South Sinai’s mountain flora. Greater research effort needs to be focussed upon the drivers of range-shift responses in arid regions.
**Introduction**

Recent range shifts in both latitudinal and altitudinal distributions have been recorded across animal and plant taxa in response to changes in climate, with ranges expanding at high latitudes and altitudes, and contracting at lower latitudes and altitudes (e.g. Wilson et al. 2005; Chen et al. 2011). Lower latitudinal and altitudinal range limits, the rear or trailing edges of distributions, have received little attention (Hampe & Petit 2005), despite these margins often contributing to higher levels of regional genetic diversity (e.g. Hewitt 2004) and being important in the maintenance of biodiversity (Hampe & Petit 2005). Given the potential conservation implications of the lower-margin shifts of plants, it is therefore surprising that empirical studies are so poorly represented in the literature (Lenoir & Svenning 2015). It is true that lower limits are harder to assess, with a less clear-cut position influenced by a multitude of factors rather than mainly climatic (e.g. biotic interactions, and propagules moving downhill under gravity). Nevertheless, in arid regions, water availability is a crucial factor, which is expected to ameliorate towards higher elevations through convective cloud formation, and hence lower limits may be more easily recognised.

Under conditions of global warming it seems logical that up-slope range shifts of plants attributed to changing climatic factors would be the norm (Klanderud & Birks 2003; Walther et al. 2005; Stöckl et al. 2011; Gottfried et al. 2012; Pauli et al. 2007, 2012; Jump et al. 2012; Matteodo et al. 2013; Wipf et al. 2013). It is important to note that changes such as these are not necessarily always consistent with temperature being the sole dominant factor inducing change (Grytnes et al. 2014). However it seems probable that changes in both the thermal regime and water availability will be the main drivers of altitudinal changes, with adverse changes in both (e.g. warmer and drier) causing the greatest pressure (McCain & Colwell 2011).
Globally, mountainous regions represent important hotspots of endemism (e.g. Körner 2003; Nagy & Grabherr 2009), but mountain species are especially vulnerable to extinction due to habitat loss induced by climate change, because shifting climatic zones will reduce suitable habitat area, leading to ‘mountain-top extinctions’ (Dirnböck et al. 2011). Plant species in arid regions may also be very susceptible to climate change, and the loss of arid-land endemics may occur in both lowland (Foden et al. 2007) and mountain (Van de Ven et al. 2007) environments under increased levels of global warming.

There are very few studies of recent altitudinal changes in plant distributions from subtropical or arid regions (Jump et al. 2012; Lenoir & Svenning 2015). We study here the flora of the hyper-arid desert mountains of South Sinai, Egypt. Egypt and the wider Middle East region has seen recent temperature increases (Domroes & El-Tantawi 2005; Zhang et al. 2005), with average warmest daily maximum temperatures increasing by >1°C since the 1970s (Donat et al. 2014). Sinai’s southern montane regions contain relatively high levels of biodiversity (Zalat et al. 2009), and are home to 19 of Egypt’s 33 endemic plant species (Rashad et al. 2002). The area is recognised as one of the most important centres of plant diversity in the Middle East (IUCN 1994). Greater botanical diversity has been suggested to occur at higher altitudes in Sinai due to a diversity of habitat types and favourable environmental factors, especially the greater water availability, precipitation, and soil moisture retention, in high altitude areas (Moustafa & Klopatek 1996; Moustafa & Zaghoul 1996).

Many species of plants in the high mountains of southern Sinai exhibit disjunct distributions of Holarctic species found more commonly further north, suggesting that these species are relics of a more humid, colder past (Shmida 1977). The isolation of plants which thrive in cooler damper climates in refugia on the highest of Sinai’s mountains suggests their vulnerability to rising temperatures. Recent shifts in plant altitudinal distributions in the Middle
East are expected, but remain completely unstudied until now, and especially not with the multifaceted approach of looking at leading and trailing changes simultaneously.

Therefore, we focus here on the following hypotheses. First we ask whether there is evidence of recent range shifts in the high mountain flora in South Sinai, predicting that these should be evident as largely up-slope movements. The null hypothesis is of course no change, but alternatively the mean response may be zero because of idiosyncratic responses of the different species, which may not be responding to temperature but to other factors, especially water balance (cf. Rapacciuolo et al. 2014). Second, we study the directions of shift for upper and lower altitudinal range limits, and split the species into growth forms to help interpret the results. The prediction is that upper and lower limits should move in concert, and that all plants should show the same patterns.

Methods

We use the approach of comparing modern with historical data (Stöckl et al. 2011). Ideally the methodologies and locations should be identical, but in this case the earlier surveys were not quantitative and did not locate the transects with geographic coordinates. With this caveat, the unique existence of the earlier data for the Middle East makes the comparison worthwhile.

Study region

The St Katherine Protectorate covers much (4350 km², almost half the area) of the southern peninsula of Sinai, encompassing the majority of a high-altitude massif and reaching down to sea level to form one of Egypt’s largest protected areas (Grainger & Gilbert 2008). An igneous pre-Cambrian ring-dyke encircles 640 km² of the centre of the Protectorate. The ring-dyke contains Egypt’s highest mountain, Mt St Katherine, at 2643 m. The mountainous terrain is inter-cut with dry steep-sided wadis (valleys). South Sinai receives higher than average rainfall
(62 mm) (Zahran & Willis 2008) and generally cooler temperatures (summer mean 30°C) than the rest of Egypt (Grainger & Gilbert 2008).

**Historical data**

To assess temporal changes in upper altitudinal range limits, we compared our field data with a 1970s dataset compiled by Arbel & Shmida (1979) in a semi-quantitative format. Data were collected during the years 1974-1976 and focused upon the mountainous area within the St Katherine ring-dyke (see Fig. 1 map-inset: shaded area).

Vegetation was sampled by recording species richness in quadrats of area 100 m². Quadrats were placed along transects divided into altitudinal units of 200 m running up wadis and mountain slopes. In addition, quadrats were placed wherever habitat type or plant dominance changed noticeably. Each altitudinal unit was sampled several times in different locations but the coordinates for each quadrat were not recorded. Additional incidental vegetation observations were included from lower altitudes in the St Katherine Protectorate falling outside the ring-dyke and its high mountains; these observations were incorporated into the main dataset. Unfortunately the only remaining details of the original dataset available to this study were records of minimum and maximum altitudes for plant species recorded at a resolution of 100 m altitude, together with a subjective assessment of relative abundance (common, very frequent, frequent, rare, very rare, found once) and statements of their common habitats (gorges, weathered slopes, gravel wadis, rock cracks, wet places, etc) (see Table S1).

**New data**

Quantitative data were collected during field surveys running from late October to mid-December 2014. Surveys were carried out in the high mountains within the igneous ring-dyke area over an altitude range of 1324 m to 2629 m (see Fig. 1 for survey locations, Table S3 for
quadrat GPS locations, Table S4 for site photos and descriptions, and Table S5 for species lists and abundances by quadrat). We were not able to revisit exact sites surveyed in the 1970s as quadrat location had not been recorded; instead we surveyed extensively within the same mountainous region (Fig 1) including the same mountains and habitats as the older surveys. It is probable that new and old quadrats were close or very close to one another.

Vegetation was sampled along sloped transects running through wadis, mountain slopes, and gullies. The lengths of each transect were determined by the scale of the landscape, running from the lower to the upper altitudinal limits to encompass as great an altitudinal range as possible. As landform/habitat type is a major determinant of the diversity and community composition of the vegetation in Sinai (Moustafa & Klopatek 1996), the location of transects was chosen to cover all major habitat types.

Quadrats of area 100 m² were demarcated along transects approximately every 50 m change in elevation where terrain permitted. In total 283 quadrats were placed in 36 sites covering 28300 m². Location and altitude above sea level were measured at the centre of the quadrats using a Garmin etrex 30 hand-held GPS with the GPS+GLONASS (± 3 m) and barometric altimeter (± 3 m) functions respectively. At each quadrat, we recorded: aspect of slope to the nearest cardinal point; gradient to the nearest five degrees (360° scale); a brief site description; and a photograph. All vascular plant species in the quadrats were identified (using Boulos 1995-2005) and individually counted (with individuals of multiple stemmed/clumping plants defined as those with stems returning to a common root-stock): plant names follow Boulos (1995-2005).

A total of 241 species were recorded from the 1970s: of these, notable absences compared with the plants of 2014 were Lavandula pubescens, and Gomphocarpus sinaicus. The identity of Chiliadenus montanus was uncertain from records and was therefore not included in analyses to avoid inaccuracy due to ambiguity. Fagonia arabica and F. bruguieri
were not differentiated in the earlier dataset, and therefore for the purposes of comparison the records collected in 2014 were amalgamated for these species. In total, 81 species were available with upper altitudinal limits from both the 1970s and 2014. The significantly greater sampling effort required to establish accurately the lower altitudinal limits for the more widespread species was beyond the scope of this study which deals specifically with the high-altitude flora of South Sinai. However, the lower altitudinal limits of 25 species fell within the altitudinal range surveyed, thereby permitting their analysis.

Numerical abundance data were not available for species from the 1970s dataset. In the 2014 dataset, to allow reasonably accurate estimation of altitudinal limits, only species for which more than 10 individuals had been recorded during the entirety of the 2014 field surveys were selected (see Table S2). This selection allowed the upper limits of 63 and lower limits of 22 species to be identified. Subsets of upper- and lower-limit shifts were taken for perennials, and trees and shrubs to allow comparisons to be made that avoided the potential effect of yearly environmental (specifically rainfall) fluctuation on the distributions of annual species.

Statistical methods

All statistical and graphical analyses were carried out using R (Version 3.1.2, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

(a) Patterns of diversity in the new data

To describe the 2014 dataset, weighted mean (± SE) elevations were calculated for all species recorded. For each quadrat, the three Hill’s numbers (Chao et al. 2012) were calculated as measures of components of diversity representing effective species richness. The general equation is:

\[ qD = \left( \sum p_i q \right)^{1/(1-q)} \]
where $q = 0$, 1, or 2. Ascending Hill’s numbers ($q$ values) give reducing weight to the less-abundant species, reflecting the relative ecological importance of more abundant species (Hill 1973). Thus $0D$ measures species richness, $1D$ represents the number of ‘typical’ common species, while $2D$ represents the number of ‘very abundant’ species present in the community (Chao et al. 2012). Therefore considered together, Hill’s numbers present a picture of community evenness.

To describe altitudinal patterns of diversity in the 2014 data, abundances were assigned to altitudinal bands of 50 m. Smoothing splines were fitted to the three Hill’s numbers with altitude as the predictor, using the GAM (Generalized Additive Model) function of R-package **ggplot2** (Wickham 2009).

**(b) Range-shift comparison**

To estimate shifts in altitudinal ranges, the altitudinal limits between 63 paired upper-limit and 22 paired lower-limit values from the 1970s and 2014 were compared using paired t-tests to test the null hypothesis that the mean difference was zero. Sign tests (i.e. binomial tests on the numbers of negative and positive changes) were used to indicate the dominant direction of change. 22 species had estimates of both upper and lower limits, and so were considered together to allow assessment of overall altitudinal range-size changes. Species were categorised as showing no change, expanded range, or contracted range (Table 1). Movement of less than 100 m for either limit was regarded as stationary in view of the measurement resolution of the 1970s data. A binomial test was used to identify whether expansion or contraction of ranges was the dominant pattern.

As an aid to interpretation, reasons for the changes were explored in a GLM by using the differences in altitudinal limits between 2014 and the 1970s as the response variable, and a variety of predictors: flowering season(s), basic growth-form (herb, shrub or tree), Raunkiæ
life-form, and basic life-form (annual or perennial). The best fitting models and predictors were selected by use of AICs.

Results

Patterns of diversity in the new data

The overall patterns of diversity were indicated by the three Hill’s numbers, but each followed a distinct altitudinal pattern (see Fig. 2). The highest levels of species richness ($0^D$) were found at higher altitudes, decreasing down a shallow concave curve with the lowest values at lower altitude (approx. 1400-1600 m). The number of ‘typical’ (common) species, $1^D$, was highest at lower-middle elevations (approx. 1700-1800 m), and declined with increasing altitude. In contrast, the number of abundant species, $2^D$, was lowest at lower-middle elevations, with highest values at the top of the altitude range. The summary data are in Tables S2 and S3.

Range-shift comparisons

Comparison of the upper altitudinal limits from the 1970s and 2014 for 63 plant species indicated a significant difference between mean past and present upper altitudinal limits, with the current limit (mean 2228.6 ± 294.5 m) greater than in the past (mean 2125.2 ± 350.2 m: paired t = 3.37, df = 61, p=0.0013). Although the mean upper altitude limit for all species was found to be significantly higher, there was no evidence of a preponderance of species increasing rather than decreasing their upper altitudinal limit (38 of 63 spp, binomial test p=0.065: see Fig. 3 for details). However, for species differing by more than 100 m, a significantly greater number of species moved upslope (26/40, binomial test p=0.04). This was also the case for species differing by more than 250 m (16/18, binomial test p<0.001).

The 22 species whose lower altitudinal limits were assessed showed a significantly downwardly shifted mean lower altitudinal limit (current mean 1568.0 ± 162.1 m, past mean
In addition to this downward shift overall, a significantly greater number of species shifted their individual lower altitudinal limits downwards than did not (17/22, binomial test p=0.008) (see Fig. 4 for details). This finding also held true when only considering species for which movement was greater than 100 m (12/13, binomial test p=0.002).

In species with measurements for both upper and lower altitudinal limits, a significant majority expanded their altitudinal ranges between the 1970s and 2014 (15/22, binomial test p<0.001). Three species showed divergence of altitudinal limits (lower limit moved downslope, upper limit moved upslope) and one convergence (lower limit upslope, upper limit downslope) (see Table 1), whilst four showed parallel downslope movement of upper and lower limits. The upper and lower limits of each species thus appeared to move independently. Lower limits moved down in 12 species, up in one, and remained stationary for nine. Upper limits moved down in eight species, up in eight, and remained stationary for six species. Of the species which shifted their lower limits downslope, there was no preponderance which also showed parallel downslope movement of their upper limits (4/12, binomial test p=0.927).

Basic life form (annual or perennial) was the best predictor of the change in upper altitudinal limit ($F_{1,61} = 6.9$, p=0.01), with annuals on average moving up four times further than perennials (292 m vs. 72 m). There was only one annual and 21 perennials with measured changes in lower altitudinal limit, and the value for the former (downslope 75 m) was not different from the distribution of values for the perennials (which on average moved downslope 101.4 ± 34.7 m: one-sample t = 0.76, df=19, n.s.). Basic life form was the best additional predictor in a GLM predicting the 2014 upper limits from those of the 1970s, with a much steeper slope for perennials (0.70) than annuals (0.29) ($F_{1,59} = 4.49$, p=0.038).

Analysis of only the perennial species showed significantly higher mean upper altitudinal limits in 2014 (mean 2220.8 ± 307.3 m) than in the 1970s (mean 2148.9 ± 342.6 m).
paired $t = 2.45$, $df = 52$, $p=0.018$). There was no evidence of a majority of perennial species increasing their upper limits (31/54, binomial test $p=0.17$), even amongst those which differed by more than 100 m (20/32, binomial test $p=0.12$). However, for species that differed by more than 250 m, a significantly greater number moved upslope (10/12, binomial test $p=0.02$).

The subset of only shrubs and trees also showed significantly higher mean upper limits (present mean 2219.1 ± 311.2 m, past mean 2139.5 ± 353.3 m: paired $t = 2.30$, $df = 36$, $p=0.027$). Again there was no preponderance of increased upper limits among all species (21/38, binomial test $p=0.31$) or those which differed by more than 100 m (15/22, binomial test $p=0.07$). Again, however, amongst species that differed by more than 250 m, there was a preponderance of upslope movement (7/8, binomial test $p=0.04$).

The mean lower altitudinal limits of perennials moved significantly downwards in 2014 compared to the 1970s (present mean 1574.8 ± 162.9 m, past mean 1676.2 ± 166.3 m: paired $t = 2.92$, $df = 19$, $p=0.009$). As with all plant species, a significantly greater number of species moved their lower limit downwards (16/21, binomial test $p=0.01$), even amongst those that differed by more than 100 m (12/13, binomial test $p=0.002$). The mean lower limits of shrubs and trees also shifted significantly downwards in the 2014 data (1585.7 ± 145.7 m) than in the 1970s (1725.0 ± 171.8 m: paired $t = 5.27$, $df = 12$, $p=0.0002$). Again a significantly greater number of species moved downslope (14/16, binomial test $p=0.006$) and this was particularly the case for species that differed by more than 100 m (9/9, binomial test $p=0.002$).

**Discussion**

*Patterns of diversity in the new data*
The three Hill’s number diversity indices provide a greater insight than a single measure (Chao et al. 2012), with higher-order measures emphasising more dominant species. Each index exhibited a different pattern of diversity with altitude. Species richness ($D$) was greatest at high altitudes with low richness found at low to mid-altitudes. This pattern contrasts with more humid mountain systems where plant species richness typically peaks at low to mid-altitudes (e.g. Vetaas & Grytnes 2002; Poulos et al. 2007). The refugial nature of South Sinai’s high mountains may explain the discrepancy in the pattern of species richness. Favourable climatic conditions, primarily increased availability and retention of moisture (Moustafa & Klopatek 1996; Moustafa & Zaghloul 1996), at higher altitude support a greater richness than the comparative extremes of temperature and water stress encountered at mid to low altitudes.

While the temperate flora has largely been lost from much of low-altitude Sinai, in the mountain region of St Katherine remnant species remain only at higher altitudes, leading to a pattern of increasing species richness with increasing altitude (Moustafa et al. 2001). The Hill’s number $D$ (the number of typical common species) was highest at the lower altitudes sampled, decreasing in higher areas, whilst $2D$ (the number of very abundant species) increases with altitude. These patterns suggest that higher-altitude communities are dominated to a greater extent by a few abundant species. The joint interpretation of the patterns of all three diversity indices is that species richness increases with altitude, most likely due to more favourable climatic conditions of lower temperatures and greater moisture on mountain peaks and, although richer, communities become more uneven at higher altitudes with a few species showing increasing levels of dominance. The endemic species recorded in this study peaked in density at generally high altitudes, and around mountain peaks, as in other studies in arid landscapes (e.g. Noroozi et al. 2011) and more widely (Vetaas & Grytnes 2002; Essl et al. 2009), although glaciation history is often also important in more northern studies.
Range shifts since the 1970s

We have found clear evidence of temporal altitudinal range shifts in South Sinai's high-mountain flora, although species showing shifts of less than 100 m may be artefacts of the differing methodologies of the 1970s and 2014 studies, using different resolutions and elevation intervals for vegetation recording. Species with larger range shifts, however, showed an obvious pattern of upslope movement of the upper limit, but also downslope movement of the lower limit.

There have certainly been globally reported trends towards upwards shifts in range limits and changing community assemblages on mountain peaks, often attributed to climate change (McCain & Colwell 2011; Gottfried et al. 2012; Matteodo et al. 2013). Indeed climate change is expected to be the main cause of range shifts, especially when considering both core components temperature and precipitation. Nevertheless, wider consequences of climate change, including changes in water balance (Crimmins et al. 2011), the area of bare soil surface (Walther et al. 2002), and elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide levels (Wayne et al. 1998) can all influence range shifts in plants, albeit probably of lesser importance. In the case of South Sinai, unfortunately we do not have reliable local long-term site specific climatic and environmental information. Coupled with high levels of small-scale variability in microhabitat conditions (Moustafa & Klopatek 1995; Moustafa & Zaghloul 1996) means that accurately determining causes for the observed range shifts is beyond the scope of this study.

No good data on long term precipitation in the South Sinai mountains exist. It is therefore difficult conclusively to attribute downward shifts of lower limits to increased precipitation. Donat et al. (2014) suggest “a slight wetting trend” across the Arab region since the 1970s. However this must be viewed in light of high site-specificity in precipitation and moisture availability in the South Sinai mountains, as noted by Moustafa & Zaghloul (1996).
During the period 1971-2000 Egypt as a whole showed overall mean annual temperature increases of 0.62°C per decade (Domroes & El-Tantawi 2005), which greatly exceeds the global trend of 0.17°C per decade (IPCC 2001). Measures of precipitation across the wider Middle East and North Africa show increasing spatial and temporal variability (Zhang et al. 2005) but little evidence of significant changes in average values in Egypt (Donat et al. 2014).

Overgrazing by livestock has been suggested to be a determinant of vegetation diversity and range, including in the South Sinai mountains (e.g. Moustafa 2001), but as with grazing by indigenous peoples worldwide (Davis 2016), these are interpretations with little if any empirical evidence (see Gilbert 2013 for full discussion). Numbers of grazing livestock and flock sizes have decreased substantially since the 1960s (Perevolotsky et al. 1989; Gilbert 2013), and hence it is possible that relaxed grazing pressure has permitted downslope movement of plant range limits. However, the bulk of livestock flock-size decreases occurred before the date of the 1974-1976 surveys (Perevolotsky et al. 1989), with average flock sizes changing from 78 pre-1968 to ~13 in the 1970s, 10 in 1982, and 7-8 now (Gilbert 2013).

Rashad et al. (2002) found the majority of grazing to occur in an altitudinal band between 1500 and 1800 m. Only one species (*Rubus sanctus*) in our dataset has its upper limit within this grazing zone, and this was stationary between the 1970s and 2014. Thus we do not believe that grazing has affected the upper altitudinal limits. Of the lower limits recorded in our dataset from the 1970s, 17 of the 22 species fell within this altitudinal grazing zone, but only eight of these showed downslope movement between the 1970s and 2014 (see Table 1 for detail). Therefore, whilst changes in grazing intensity *may* have affected downslope range shifts, we suggest that climatic change explains the observed upwards range shifts better.

Here, in this arid mountain system, we have documented what we think is the first record of significant downslope shifts of plant lower-altitudinal limits outside Europe. Despite
the less-than-ideal quality of the historical data, mean upper limits have increased whilst lower
limits have decreased since the 1970s, leading to a divergent pattern of mean altitude limits.
When considering the upper and lower altitudinal limits of individual species, we found
heterogeneity in the joint responses with no clear predominant pattern. One must bear in mind
that these species are a subset of the selected group of high-mountain species that may not be
representative of all the species present in that environment.

We now know that there have been significant upwards shifts in the upper altitudinal
limits of South Sinai plant species since the 1970s. Our data are limited to those species with
lower limits within the sampled range, but a significantly large proportion show expansions of
the altitudinal ranges, suggesting that, at least for now, range contractions are not affecting the
majority of high-mountain species. However, the Sinai endemic *Silene schimperiana* has
contracted in altitudinal range. The risk imposed by contracting ranges and habitat loss would
therefore be best considered on a case-by-case basis with regard to Sinai’s endemic and rare
species. No plant extinctions have been recorded for South Sinai, at least within the last 30
years, although some are very close to extinction (e.g. *Primula boveana*; Omar 2014; Jimenez
et al. 2014). However this does not mean that shifts in altitudinal limits are not a cause for
concern. Modelling of plant ranges under climate change has indicated lags in population
dynamics leading to extinction debts (Dullinger et al. 2012). The isolated, refugial nature of
South Sinai’s plant communities leave them vulnerable to extinction from a number of
ecological factors not limited to climate warming. Whilst we cannot conclusively state that
observed shifts in altitudinal limits constitute ‘fingerprints’ of climate warming, they do point
to ecological change posing potential ecological and conservation issues for the future.

In this study we have presented the first recorded instance of contemporary altitudinal-
limit shifts in Middle Eastern mountain flora. The fine-scale variability of environmental and
ecological factors within the South Sinai mountain ecosystem highlights the necessity of
ecological monitoring, and makes a case for increasing the comprehensiveness and quality of
the region’s environmental monitoring programmes. Our GPS-marked survey quadrats
(supplementary information Table S2) will provide a baseline for future fine-scale monitoring.
We also stress how important it is to consider both upper and lower altitudinal limits to give an
accurate indication of overall altitudinal range changes. We need to focus on lower limits to
understand better the ecological drivers and dynamics underlying heterogeneous responses at
the range limits.
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## Table 1
Description of pattern of movement of upper and lower altitudinal limits for 22 individual plant species where both upper and lower limits could be measured. Limits are in metres above sea level.

| Species                        | Upper limit 1970s | Upper limit 2014 | Lower limit 1970s | Lower limit 2014 | Limit movement patterns | Range size change |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| **Alkanna orientalis**         | 2500              | 2575             | 1500              | 1375             | down                     | expanded          |
| **Astragalus echinus**         | 2600              | 2425             | 2000              | 1825             | down                     | no change         |
| **Calipeltis cucullaris**      | 2100              | 2425             | 1500              | 1425             | stationary               | expanded          |
| **Colchicum guessfeldtianum**  | 2500              | 2325             | 1500              | 1925             | Up                       | contracted        |
| **Cotoneaster orbicularis**    | 2200              | 2425             | 1800              | 1725             | stationary               | expanded          |
| **Crataegus x sinaica**        | 2300              | 2375             | 1600              | 1625             | stationary               | no change         |
| **Globularia arabica**         | 2100              | 2275             | 1700              | 1425             | down                     | expanded          |
| **Nepeta septemcrenata**       | 2640              | 2325             | 1700              | 1725             | stationary               | contracted        |
| **Origanum syriacum**          | 2000              | 1975             | 1600              | 1425             | down                     | expanded          |
| **Phlomis aurea**              | 2200              | 2425             | 1550              | 1375             | down                     | expanded          |
| **Polygala sinaica**           | 2640              | 2625             | 1900              | 1675             | stationary               | expanded          |
| **Pterocephalus sanctus**      | 2640              | 2575             | 1600              | 1625             | stationary               | no change         |
| **Pulicaria undulata**         | 1900              | 2175             | 1400              | 1375             | stationary               | expanded          |
| **Rubus sanctus**              | 1800              | 1725             | 1800              | 1625             | down                     | expanded          |
| **Salvia multicaulis**         | 2100              | 1975             | 1900              | 1725             | down                     | expanded          |
| **Scariola orientalis**        | 2500              | 2325             | 1800              | 1525             | down                     | expanded          |
| **Silene leucophylla**         | 2300              | 2625             | 1750              | 1425             | down                     | expanded          |
| **Silene schimperiana**        | 2300              | 2175             | 1500              | 1521             | stationary               | contracted        |
| **Stipa parviflora**           | 2500              | 2325             | 1600              | 1525             | stationary               | contracted        |
| **Thymus decussatus**          | 2400              | 2275             | 1900              | 1725             | down                     | expanded          |
| **Verbascum decaisneanum**     | 2300              | 2525             | 1600              | 1525             | stationary               | expanded          |
| **Verbascum sinaiticum**       | 2400              | 2575             | 1500              | 1375             | down                     | expanded          |
Figure 1
Outline of igneous ring-dyke delimiting the high mountain region within the St Katherine Protectorate. Positions of 2014 survey sites shown as white dots with 5 km scale bar. Inset: St Katherine Protectorate outline in South Sinai; shaded area St Katherine ring-dyke and region of 1970s transect surveys.
Figure 2
Hill’s numbers (see Chao et al. 2012) for diversity by altitude with fitted GAM model with Normal errors and 95% confidence region. Ascending Hill’s numbers give reducing weight to less-abundant species: (a) mean $^0D$ (= species richness); (b) mean $^1D$ (number of ‘typical’ common species); (c) mean $^2D$ (number of ‘abundant’ species).
Figure 3
Difference in upper altitude limit for each plant species between the 1970s and 2014.
Figure 4
Difference in lower altitude limit for each plant species between the 1970s and 2014.

Lower limit change 2014-1970s (m)
Supplementary Information

Figure S1
| Species                          | Presence (number of quadrats) | Total abundance (individuals) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| *Fagonia mollis*                | 90                            | 2943                          |
| *Seraphidium herba-alba*        | 194                           | 2766                          |
| *Tanacetum sinaicum*            | 181                           | 1953                          |
| *Diplotaxis harra*              | 139                           | 1678                          |
| *Zilla spinosa*                 | 145                           | 977                           |
| *Teucrium polium*               | 145                           | 955                           |
| *Fagonia arabica*               | 60                            | 937                           |
| *Matthiola longipetala*         | 109                           | 830                           |
| *Echinops glaberrimus*          | 119                           | 771                           |
| *Stachys aegyptiaca*            | 119                           | 757                           |
| *Chilaidenus montanus*          | 137                           | 726                           |
| *Achillea fragrantissima*       | 67                            | 637                           |
| *Phlomis aurea*                 | 127                           | 529                           |
| *Alkanna orientalis*            | 108                           | 521                           |
| *Verbascum sinaiticum*          | 39                            | 461                           |
| *Echinops spinosus*             | 104                           | 435                           |
| *Plantago sinaica*              | 72                            | 367                           |
| *Gymnocarpus decandrum*         | 57                            | 366                           |
| *Origanum syriacum*             | 70                            | 357                           |
| *Lappula sinaica*               | 30                            | 307                           |
| *Pulicaria undulata*            | 20                            | 301                           |
| *Mentha longifolia*             | 27                            | 267                           |
| *Ballota undulata*              | 91                            | 253                           |
| *Scariola orientalis*           | 33                            | 252                           |
| *Deverra tortuosa*              | 92                            | 234                           |
| *Galium setaceum*               | 74                            | 220                           |
| *Centaurea scoparia*            | 50                            | 213                           |
| *Anarrhinum pubescens*          | 62                            | 175                           |
| *Euphorbia sanctae-catharinae*  | 9                             | 166                           |
| *Polygala sinaica*              | 44                            | 163                           |
| *Verbascum decaisneanum*        | 51                            | 143                           |
| *Agathophora alopecuroides*     | 6                             | 129                           |
| *Globularia arabica*            | 36                            | 126                           |
| *Juncus rigidus*                | 18                            | 119                           |
| *Pterocephalus sanctus*         | 40                            | 111                           |
| *Callipeltis cucullaris*        | 31                            | 100                           |
| *Farsetia aegyptia*             | 40                            | 89                            |
| *Reaumuria hirtella*            | 14                            | 81                            |
| *Caylusea hexagyna*             | 26                            | 80                            |
| *Nepeta septemcrenata*          | 26                            | 71                            |
| *Stipa parviflora*              | 21                            | 70                            |
| Plant Name               | Rank | Percentage |
|-------------------------|------|------------|
| Carduus pycnocephalus   | 21   | 66         |
| Artemisia judaica       | 8    | 63         |
| Centaurea erygiosides   | 19   | 63         |
| Pulicaria arabica       | 12   | 62         |
| Thymus decussatus       | 11   | 57         |
| Pulicaria incisa        | 9    | 56         |
| Silene schimperiana     | 25   | 53         |
| Ephedra alata           | 30   | 51         |
| Helianthemum kahiricum  | 10   | 51         |
| Crataegus x sinaica     | 28   | 50         |
| Cotoneaster orbicularis | 17   | 47         |
| Launaea spinosa         | 18   | 43         |
| Ficus palmata           | 20   | 36         |
| Iphiona macronata       | 17   | 34         |
| Primula boveana         | 1    | 32         |
| Salvia multicaulis      | 5    | 32         |
| Silene linearis         | 20   | 32         |
| Silene leucophylla      | 6    | 30         |
| Ballota saxatilis       | 11   | 29         |
| Deverra triradiata      | 20   | 29         |
| Gomphocarpus sinaicus   | 20   | 29         |
| Reseda muricata         | 9    | 29         |
| Reseda pruinosa         | 8    | 27         |
| Fagonia brugaieri       | 3    | 24         |
| Lavandula pubescens     | 6    | 24         |
| Astragalus echinus      | 11   | 22         |
| Iphiona scabra          | 13   | 21         |
| Hyoscyamus muticus      | 6    | 20         |
| Peganum harmala         | 8    | 20         |
| Centaurea solstitialis  | 2    | 19         |
| Phagnalon nitidum       | 9    | 19         |
| Capparis spinosa        | 8    | 17         |
| Anabasis articulata     | 4    | 16         |
| Rubus sanctus           | 2    | 16         |
| Diplotaxis acris        | 8    | 13         |
| Foeniculum vulgare      | 5    | 12         |
| Colchicum guessfeldianum| 5    | 11         |
| Lycium shawii           | 2    | 11         |
| Adiantum capillus-veneris| 6    | 10         |
| Heliotropium arbritense | 6    | 10         |
| Hypericum sinaicum      | 4    | 10         |
| Ochradenus baccatus     | 7    | 10         |
| Retama raetam           | 8    | 10         |
| Rhamnus dispermus       | 8    | 10         |
| Equisetum ramosissimum  | 2    | 9          |
| Pistacia khinjuk        | 6    | 8          |
| Species                        | Count 1 | Count 2 |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Astragalus spinosus           | 5       | 6       |
| Ballota kaiseri               | 3       | 6       |
| Colutea istría                | 5       | 6       |
| Rosa arabica                  | 3       | 6       |
| Centaurea ammocyanus          | 1       | 5       |
| Heliotropium digynum          | 5       | 5       |
| Lotonomis dichotoma           | 2       | 5       |
| Citrullus colocynthis         | 4       | 4       |
| Blepharis ciliaris             | 1       | 3       |
| Cleome arabica                | 2       | 3       |
| Salix mucronata               | 3       | 3       |
| Bafonia multiseps             | 1       | 2       |
| Cleome droserifolia           | 2       | 2       |
| Conyza bovei                  | 2       | 2       |
| Helianthemum ellipticum       | 2       | 2       |
| Solanum sinaicum              | 2       | 2       |
| Alhagi graecorum              | 1       | 1       |
| Astragalus caprinus           | 1       | 1       |
| Lepidium draba                | 1       | 1       |
| Monsonia nivea                | 1       | 1       |
| Phagnalon barbeyanum          | 1       | 1       |
| Phoenix dactylifera           | 1       | 1       |
| Populus nigra                 | 1       | 1       |
| Pulicaria inuloides           | 1       | 1       |
| Tamarix aphylla               | 1       | 1       |
| Quadrat | Elevation (m above sea level) | Aspect | Gradient (nearest 5°) | Hill's number diversity index | Latitude (DD) | Longitude (DD) |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| AP01    | 1898                        | SE     | 5                    | 17                            | 8.276886339    | 28.5447833      | 33.9212500     |
| AP02    | 1951                        | SE     | 10                   | 18                            | 4.455565566    | 28.5840000      | 33.9182333     |
| AP03    | 1993                        | SE     | 10                   | 8                             | 12.729872204   | 28.5510833      | 33.9170000     |
| AP04    | 2051                        | S      | 10                   | 20                            | 6.362835676    | 28.5535667      | 33.9152167     |
| AP05    | 2117                        | S      | 25                   | 11                            | 4.775667817    | 28.5550333      | 33.9150167     |
| AP06    | 2169                        | SW     | 15                   | 6                             | 10.00217354    | 28.5565667      | 33.9164167     |
| AP07    | 2209                        | W      | 40                   | 20                            | 5.993925881    | 28.5572500      | 33.9171000     |
| AP08    | 2255                        | SW     | 35                   | 8                             | 5.319148793    | 28.5580500      | 33.9187333     |
| AP09    | 2282                        | NE     | 25                   | 20                            | 4.600885544    | 28.5589833      | 33.9187500     |
| AP10    | 2305                        | NW     | 10                   | 13                            | 3.549357156    | 28.5604500      | 33.9173667     |
| AP11    | 2296                        | SW     | 25                   | 17                            | 5.180051270    | 28.5591500      | 33.9177500     |
| AP12    | 2328                        | SW     | 0                    | 23                            | 3.864313298    | 28.5555000      | 33.9209500     |
| AP13    | 2228                        | S      | 10                   | 6                             | 6.922381747    | 28.5534000      | 33.9231000     |
| BC01    | 1773                        | N      | 5                    | 11                            | 7.056663610    | 28.5457000      | 33.9334167     |
| BC02    | 1826                        | NE     | 25                   | 9                             | 12.844107080   | 28.5455333      | 33.9320667     |
| BC03    | 1931                        | SW     | 5                    | 15                            | 6.853384027    | 28.5448167      | 33.9298000     |
| BC04    | 1880                        | NE     | 25                   | 11                            | 6.765023325    | 28.5452500      | 33.9312167     |
| FAH01   | 1755                        | W      | 10                   | 13                            | 1.822161436    | 28.6343333      | 33.9181000     |
| FAH02   | 1789                        | S      | 5                    | 11                            | 7.400633968    | 28.6323333      | 33.9174333     |
| FUS01   | 1867                        | NW     | 10                   | 11                            | 10.064516231   | 28.5693000      | 33.8800333     |
| GAZ01   | 1783                        | N      | 10                   | 15                            | 4.358064359    | 28.5640500      | 33.8754833     |
| GAZ02   | 1760                        | N      | 40                   | 9                             | 4.147843289    | 28.5643667      | 33.8756167     |
| GAZ03   | 1731                        | NW     | 25                   | 13                            | 8.820125265    | 28.5650833      | 33.8757667     |
| GAZ04   | 1703                        | W      | 25                   | 10                            | 10.354978743   | 28.5656667      | 33.8758833     |
| GAZ05   | 1676                        | W      | 25                   | 14                            | 8.624473332    | 28.5660833      | 33.8755000     |
| GAZ06   | 1652                        | W      | 20                   | 7                             | 8.382184096    | 28.5661667      | 33.8751000     |
| GAZ07   | 1633                        | W      | 25                   | 15                            | 8.928165435    | 28.5663500      | 33.8746667     |
| GAZ08   | 1620                        | SW     | 5                    | 6                             | 14.071099758   | 28.5673333      | 33.8746667     |
| GAZ09   | 1618                        | W      | 0                    | 18                            | 4.614706611    | 28.5663333      | 33.8746000     |
| HHL01   | 1755                        | S      | 10                   | 14                            | 5.161474634    | 28.6261000      | 33.9196000     |
| JAL01   | 1594                        | N      | 5                    | 8                             | 2.625190500    | 28.4124333      | 33.8551500     |
| JAL02   | 1569                        | N      | 35                   | 14                            | 4.279674007    | 28.4128000      | 33.8553833     |
| JAL03   | 1544                        | N      | 15                   | 22                            | 5.153906180    | 28.4134667      | 33.8573333     |
| JAL04   | 1521                        | N      | 5                    | 11                            | 5.751040151    | 28.4140167      | 33.8560333     |
| JAL05   | 1497                        | N      | 5                    | 10                            | 3.974862032    | 28.4150167      | 33.8557667     |
| JAL06   | 1480                        | W      | 5                    | 13                            | 6.457058359    | 28.4159500      | 33.8552500     |
| JB01    | 1856                        | E      | 5                    | 12                            | 6.014853347    | 28.5287167      | 33.8839500     |
| JB02    | 1963                        | NW     | 5                    | 30                            | 3.219481402    | 28.5351000      | 33.8622500     |
| JB03    | 1981                        | NE     | 5                    | 17                            | 3.651078640    | 28.5346167      | 33.8597000     |
| JB04    | 2098                        | NW     | 5                    | 7                             | 6.864232066    | 28.5346333      | 33.8548833     |
| JB05    | 2056                        | E      | 25                   | 13                            | 7.089149015    | 28.5347000      | 33.8551333     |
|    |    |    |    |    |
| Code | Lat | Long | Elev | Strike | Dip | Depth | Stat | Mag | Sta | Lat | Long | Elev | Strike | Dip | Depth | Stat | Mag | Sta |
|------|-----|------|------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|------|------|------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|
| WSG01 | 1369 | NW | 30 | 9 | 6.688585857 | 228.8373702 | 28.5903167 | 33.9143667 |
| WSG02 | 1436 | SE | 5 | 9 | 10.430281342 | 0.115420129 | 28.5893833 | 33.9144667 |
| WSG03 | 1481 | NE | 5 | 8 | 12.385712618 | 0.101055992 | 28.5882167 | 33.9095833 |
| WSG04 | 1539 | NE | 5 | 6 | 9.167128240 | 0.154147383 | 28.5867167 | 33.9075500 |
| WSG05 | 1612 | NE | 20 | 4 | 16.674029894 | 0.088960302 | 28.5851667 | 33.9051167 |
| WSG06 | 1679 | NE | 5 | 13 | 11.730822701 | 0.103537981 | 28.5839667 | 33.9026667 |
| WSG07 | 1825 | N | 6 | 13.236936949 | 0.107744304 | 28.5744500 | 33.8975000 |
| WSG08 | 1776 | N | 10 | 7 | 10.012945871 | 0.169786543 | 28.5767000 | 33.8969500 |
| WSG03 | 1725 | NW | 5 | 6 | 9.519024795 | 0.152199762 | 28.5821000 | 33.9012167 |
| WSH01 | 1525 | NE | 5 | 13 | 2.603865656 | 0.567593292 | 28.5625667 | 33.9651333 |
| WSH02 | 1531 | N | 10 | 8 | 15.125281669 | 0.086894133 | 28.5620667 | 33.9507667 |
| WSH03 | 1565 | E | 25 | 10 | 7.206660808 | 0.231649324 | 28.5608167 | 33.9656667 |
| WSH04 | 1649 | N | 40 | 10 | 9.910363956 | 0.135371901 | 28.5585833 | 33.9656667 |
| WSH05 | 1686 | NE | 25 | 12 | 12.195763229 | 0.117101322 | 28.5582833 | 33.9649667 |
| WSH06 | 1747 | N | 35 | 9 | 7.431920152 | 0.171606666 | 28.5572667 | 33.9656833 |
| WSH07 | 1838 | N | 40 | 19 | 4.994300261 | 0.278806584 | 28.5561167 | 33.9656333 |
| WSH08 | 1905 | NE | 40 | 10 | 5.927843623 | 0.214625446 | 28.5553667 | 33.9663333 |
| WSH09 | 1987 | N | 30 | 11 | 6.770962645 | 0.199372057 | 28.5547333 | 33.9663833 |
| WT01 | 1421 | NE | 30 | 12 | 3.886348037 | 0.3082831 | 28.5831667 | 33.9224833 |
| WT02 | 1477 | NE | 20 | 18 | 2.739831165 | 0.522928994 | 28.5816500 | 33.9207500 |
| WT03 | 1530 | E | 10 | 12 | 9.657941631 | 0.196361059 | 28.5802167 | 33.9199000 |
| WT04 | 1624 | NE | 35 | 14 | 5.110547604 | 0.3155116 | 28.5789333 | 33.9187833 |
| WT05 | 1596 | E | 15 | 20 | 6.196609235 | 0.257610515 | 28.5795000 | 33.9185500 |
| WT06 | 1674 | N | 30 | 15 | 12.986866443 | 0.093834505 | 28.5784667 | 33.9174000 |
| WT07 | 1732 | NE | 20 | 18 | 12.342102612 | 0.106305267 | 28.5777000 | 33.9333167 |
| WT08 | 1832 | NE | 20 | 11 | 8.944431660 | 0.140758203 | 28.5764167 | 33.9320333 |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 272 | WT101 | 1585 | NE | 10 | 8 | 4.915314629 | 0.316144786 | 28.5656833 | 33.9309500 |
| 273 | WT102 | 1641 | NW | 15 | 23 | 5.204469215 | 0.298155128 | 28.5646500 | 33.9292167 |
| 274 | WT103 | 1706 | N | 20 | 9 | 8.321153091 | 0.153687371 | 28.5634667 | 33.9283667 |
| 275 | WT104 | 1771 | N | 40 | 6 | 8.322876191 | 0.149368559 | 28.5625833 | 33.9280333 |
| 276 | WT105 | 1832 | N | 15 | 8 | 11.908791223 | 0.110893556 | 28.5621167 | 33.9269833 |
| 277 | WT106 | 1893 | SE | 20 | 3 | 12.685687001 | 0.098072562 | 28.5609833 | 33.9266833 |
| 278 | WTF01 | 1377 | N | 25 | 10 | 11.116419013 | 0.118227732 | 28.5979667 | 33.9144167 |
| 279 | WTF02 | 1418 | E | 20 | 16 | 6.932389446 | 0.22175981 | 28.5967000 | 33.9105333 |
| 280 | WTF03 | 1470 | E | 20 | 8 | 8.957490523 | 0.15451895 | 28.5967000 | 33.9088167 |
| 281 | WTF04 | 1572 | NE | 35 | 14 | 2.943697947 | 0.4190625 | 28.5963167 | 33.9068333 |
| 282 | WTF05 | 1621 | E | 35 | 16 | 6.896264163 | 0.223494089 | 28.5966167 | 33.9053333 |
| 283 | WTF06 | 1654 | NE | 15 | 19 | 7.200292335 | 0.199432892 | 28.5965000 | 33.9046500 |