Linear and nonlinear optical properties of multi-layered spherical nano-systems with donor impurity in the center
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Abstract In this study, the linear, third-order nonlinear and total absorption coefficients (ACs) of multi-layered quantum dot (MLQD) and multi-layered quantum anti-dot (MLQAD) with a hydrogenic impurity are calculated. The analytical and numerical solutions of Schrödinger equation for both MLQD and MLQAD, within the effective mass approximation and dielectric continuum model, are obtained. As our numerical results indicate, an increase in the optical intensity changes the total AC considerably, but the intensity range that leads to these changes is different for MLQAD and MLQD. It is observed that by changing the incident photon energy, the AC curves corresponding to MLQAD and MLQD are of different shapes and behaviors. The peak heights of AC curves corresponding to MLQAD are strongly affected by changing the core antidot radius and the shell thickness values, however in these cases no considerable changes are observed in peak heights of MLQD. Furthermore, in contrast to MLQAD, the photon energies corresponding to total AC peaks of MLQD are more affected by changing the confining potentials (CPs).
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1 Introduction

In the recent years, nano-structured semiconductors have attracted much attention, due to a wide range of their applications in electronic and optoelectronic devices. The most known spherical nano-systems are single-layered quantum dots (QDs), multi-layered QDs and quantum anti-dots (QADs). Such systems lead to foundation of discrete and not degenerate energy levels like atoms [1,2,3,4,5,6,7]. The amount of energy is dependent on the material, the shape, the size, the confinement potential and the surrounding matrix of QDs. The nonlinear optical properties associated with optical absorption of mentioned nano-structures are known to be much stronger than bulk material, due to the quantum confinement effect [5,10,11,12,13]. These particular properties and precise engineering enable us to construct the optoelectronic devices, such as infrared photo detectors and high speed electro-optical modulators [14,15,16,17]. Due to relevance of QDs to several technological applications, their linear and nonlinear optical properties have been investigated both theoretically and experimentally by many authors [13,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26].

The optical properties of QDs was considered by Efros [27] for the first time. He studied the light absorption coefficient in a spherical QD with infinitely height walls. In ref [13], the authors have calculated not only the linear and nonlinear absorption coefficients but also the refractive index changes in a three-dimensional Cartesian coordinate quantum box with finite confining potential barrier height. Ruihao Wei and Wenfang Xie in [19] have used the effective mass approximation and the perturbation theory for studying the linear and nonlinear optical properties of a hydrogenic impurity confined in a disk-shaped QD with a parabolic potential in the presence of an electric field. Their results show that the optical properties are strongly affected by a confining strength and applied electric field inten-
sity. In ref [24], the nonlinear optical properties and the refractive index changes in a two-dimension (electron-hole system) have been investigated theoretically. The linear, nonlinear and total refractive index changes and absorption coefficients for a transitions in a spherical QD with parabolic potential have recently been studied in ref [24]. Their result, expressed in several allowed absorption coefficients for a transitions in a spherical linear, nonlinear and total refractive index changes and hole system) have been investigated theoretically. The refractive index changes in a two-dimension (electron-density. In ref [21], the nonlinear optical properties and the effective mass on the optical linear and nonlinear ab-
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since the potential is spherically symmetric, we are able to write the value of \( V_0 \) is always zero (i.e., the GaAs material have no CP) but writing \( V_0 \) helps us to be able to write next equations in the compact form as you will see. Since the potential is spherically symmetric, we are going to use the spherical coordinates. In the three dimensional spherical coordinate, the Schrödinger eigenvalue equation is \( \hat{H} \psi(r, \Omega) = E \psi(r, \Omega) \), where the eigenfunctions are of the separable form \( \psi(r, \Omega) = R(r)Y(\Omega) \). For the r-dependent (spherically symmetric) potentials, the angular dependence of the wave function, \( Y(\Omega) \), is given by the spherical harmonics[28]. In particular, the angular part is unaffected by the core and total radius, thus we ignore this part. The radial part is affected by not only the core and total radius but also the dot or antidot CPs, and hence, we consider it in the following subsections.
where equation (1), the radial eigenvalue equation in the spherical coordinate in this case can be expressed as
\[
\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) R^{(l)}(r) + (E - V_i + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon r}) R^{(l)}(r) = 0,
\]
where \(i = 2, 1\) and 0 are corresponding to \(r < a, a \leq r \leq b\) and \(r > b\) respectively. Just as we can see in [7], we can solve this equation as follows. Using the following definitions
\[
\alpha_i^2 = \frac{-8m(E - V_i)}{\hbar^2}, \quad \xi_i = \alpha_i r, \quad \lambda_i = \frac{2me^2}{4\pi\varepsilon h^2\alpha_i^2},
\]
and the change of variable as \(W(\xi_i) = \xi_i R^{(l)}(r)\), then the above Schrödinger equation can be rewritten as following Whittaker equation
\[
\frac{d^2 W(\xi_i)}{d\xi_i^2} + \left( \frac{-1}{4} + \frac{\lambda_i}{\xi_i} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{l + \frac{1}{2}}{\xi_i} \right) W(\xi_i) = 0,
\]
The general solution to this equation is the Whittaker functions [29]
\[
W(\xi_i) = C_{1i} M_{\lambda_i, l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_i) + C_{2i} U_{\lambda_i, l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_i),
\]
where \(C_{1i}\) and \(C_{2i}\) are constants to be determined by continuity, asymptotic and normalization conditions. The asymptotic behavior indicates that the wave function must be finite and zero in origin neighborhood and far away from origin, respectively. These conditions lead to \(C_{2i} = C_{10} = 0\) (since \(U_{\lambda_i, l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_i)\) and \(M_{\lambda_i, l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_i)\) diverge at the origin and infinity, respectively). Now one can write the wave functions in three regions as
\[
R^{(2)} = \frac{C_{12}}{\xi_i} M_{\lambda_2, l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_i), \quad r < a,
\]
\[
R^{(1)} = \frac{C_{11}}{\xi_i} M_{\lambda_1, l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_i) + C_{21} U_{\lambda_1, l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_i), \quad a \leq r \leq b,
\]
\[
R^{(0)} = \frac{C_{20}}{\xi_0} U_{\lambda_0, l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_0), \quad r > b.
\]

2.2 MLQD

The radial eigenvalue equation (5) is also valid for MLQD but according to different definitions of \(V(r)\) for MLQD (see Eq. (3) and (4)), in this case we should use \(i = 0, 1\) and 2 for \(r < a, a \leq r \leq b\) and \(r > b\) regions, respectively. In the case of MLQD, the Eq. (5) has been solved in detail by Hsieh et al. in [6], so we do not directly solve this equation and we shortly review their results for three different regions as follows
\[
(i=0) \text{ Inside the core of system } \ (r < a) \text{ with } V_0 = 0, \text{ the positive energy bound states are possible for an electron that is confined inside the core dot; therefore, solutions of the Schrödinger equation can be studied in three energy states [6]}
\]
\[
(A) \ E < 0 \rightarrow R^{(0)}(\xi_0A) = C_{0A} e^{-\xi_0A/2\xi_0A} M(l + 1 - \lambda_0, 2l + 2, \xi_0A) \quad (12)
\]
where \(C_{0A}\) is the normalization constant and \(M\) is the first kind of confluent hypergeometric function. The used parameters in the above solution are
\[
\xi_0A = \sqrt{-\frac{8mE}{\hbar^2}}, \quad \lambda_0 = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon R}\sqrt{\frac{m}{-2E}}.
\]

Fig. 1  A schematic view of the MLQD and MLQAD structures
\[ R^{(0)}(\xi B) = C_{0B} \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} A_k^l(\beta_0) \xi_{kB}^{k-1}. \]  

(14)

Similar to the case of \( E < 0 \), \( C_{0B} \) is the normalization constant. The recurrence relation \( A_k^l \) function and the other parameters are defined as

\[ A_{\ell+1}^l(\beta_0) = 1, \]

(15)

\[ A_{\ell+2}^l(\beta_0) = \frac{\beta_0}{l+1}, \]

(16)

\[ A_k^l(\beta_0) = \frac{2\beta_0 A_{k-1}^l(\beta_0) - A_{k-2}^l(\beta_0)}{(k+l)(k-l-1)} \quad k > \ell + 2, \]  

(17)

\[ \xi_{0B} = \frac{8mE}{\hbar^2}, \quad \beta_0 = \frac{\epsilon^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{m}{2E}. \]  

(18)

(C) \( E = 0 \)

\[ R^{(0)}(\alpha_{OC} r) = C_{0C} r^{-1/2} J_{2\ell+1}(\alpha_{OC} r), \]

(19)

\( C_{0C} \) is the normalization constant and \( J_{2\ell+1} \) is the Bessel function. \( \alpha_{OC} \) is defined as

\[ \alpha_{OC} = \sqrt{\frac{8m\epsilon^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2}}. \]

(20)

(i=1) For \( a \leq r \leq b \)

Using the convenient parameters (6), the Schrödinger equation in this region can be expressed in term of Whittaker equation as Eq. (7) which has a result just as the result of (10). In other words, the middle layer in both MLQD and MLQAD behaves in a similar way.

(i=2) For \( r > b \)

In this case, similar to the above case, the Schrödinger equation can be expressed in terms of Whittaker equation as Eq. (7) but by using the asymptotic condition in infinity (the M function diverges at infinity), the solution of equation can be written as

\[ R^{(2)}(\xi_2) = C_2 e^{-\xi_2/2} \xi_2 U(l + 1 - \lambda_2, 2l + 2, \xi_2) \]

(21)

where the parameters \( \xi_2 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are defined as (6). For reading with full detail refer to [6].

2.3 Linear and nonlinear absorption coefficients

By using the density matrix formalism, the optical properties for a spherical nano-system can be calculated. For this purpose the system under study can be excited by an external electromagnetic field of frequency \( \omega \),

\[ E(t) = \tilde{E} e^{i\omega t} + \tilde{E}^* e^{-i\omega t} \]

(22)

If \( \tilde{E} \) is the perpendicular electromagnetic field along the \( z \) axis, the Hamiltonian of system in this case is \( H_0 + e\tilde{E} E(t) \) where \( H_0 \) is the Hamiltonian of system without the electromagnetic field \( E(t) \). The linear and third-order nonlinear optical absorption coefficients of a spherical nano-system, within a two-level system (in a special case, from ground state to first allowed excited state), can be expressed as \[ a^{(1)}(\omega) = \omega \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon R} |\sigma_c \hbar T_{21}| |M_{21}|^2, \]

(23)

\[ a^{(3)}(\omega, I) = -\omega \frac{\mu}{\varepsilon R} \frac{I}{2(\varepsilon R n_e c)} \left( |E_{21} - \hbar \omega| + (\hbar T_{21})^2 \right) \]

(24)

where, \( \mu, \sigma_c \) and \( n_e \) are the permeability, carrier density and refractive index of the system respectively. \( \hbar \omega \) is the incident photon energy, \( T_{21} = 1/T_{21}, T_{21} \) is the relaxing time between states 1 and 2, \( I \) is the optical intensity of incident wave and \( c \) is the speed of light in the free space. The remained quantities are defined as

\[ E_{21} = E_2 - E_1, \]

\[ \varepsilon_R = n_e^2 \varepsilon_0, \quad M_{21} = |< \psi_2 | e\tilde{E} | \psi_1 > |, \]

(25)

Finally, the total absorption coefficient is

\[ \alpha(\omega, I) = a^{(1)}(\omega) + a^{(3)}(\omega, I). \]

(26)

3 Results and discussion

In this section, we calculate the linear, nonlinear and total absorption coefficients for different shell thicknesses and CPs for both MLQAD and MLQD. The unchanged parameters used in our calculations are : \( T_{21} = 0.2 ps, \quad \sigma_c = 3.0 \times 10^{22}, \quad n_e = 3.2, \quad \varepsilon_R = 13.18. \)

Figs. 2(a) and (b) are related to the linear, nonlinear and total absorption coefficients as a function of incident photon energy for MLQAD and MLQD respectively, when \( I = 20 MW/m^2, a = 2a_0, b - a = 0.5a_0, V_1 = 2R_y \) and \( V_2 = 5R_y \). From Fig. 2 it is clear that the ACs of the MLQD and MLQAD have different performances with respect to incident photon energy in the same condition. In the case of comparing the total AC curves, Fig. 2 shows that the MLQD has an approximately symmetric curve but MLQAD has a curve that abruptly increases then asymptotically goes to zero. In the case of the nonlinear AC curves, it is obvious that for this selected intensity value, the MLQD has no significant nonlinear AC but the MLQAD shows a significant nonlinear AC.
The linear, the nonlinear and the total ACs as a function of incident photon energy in the same condition \( \{ I = 20 \text{MW/m}^2, b - a = 0.5a_0, V_1 = 2 \text{ Ry} \text{ and } V_2 = 5 \text{ Ry} \} \) for (a) MLQAD (b) MLQD.

Fig. 3 The linear, the nonlinear and the total ACs as a function of incident photon energy with the fixed parameters \( \{ a = 2a_0, b - a = 2.5a_0, V_1 = 2 \text{ Ry} \text{ and } V_2 = 5 \text{ Ry} \} \) and six different intensities for (a) MLQAD that from up, the intensity value goes from \( I = 5 \text{MW/m}^2 \text{ to } 30 \text{MW/m}^2 \) at fixed increments of 5MW/m^2 (b) MLQD that the range of intensity is \( 100 \leq I \leq 600 \) with the fixed incremental steps of 100MW/m^2.

Figs. 3 (a) and (b) show the linear, nonlinear and total absorption coefficients as a function of the photon energy for MLQAD and MLQD respectively. The solid curves represent linear ACs where as in (22) are independent of intensity, the dashed (dotted) curves display the nonlinear (total) ACs curves. It is observed that, as the optical intensity increases, the total AC decreases for both MLQD and MLQAD. This is because the nonlinear absorption (which is negative) enhances with an increase in intensity. In Fig. 3a) that shows the behavior of MLQAD, for total ACs curves, from up, the intensity value goes from \( I = 5 \text{MW/m}^2 \text{ to } 30 \text{MW/m}^2 \) at fixed incremental steps of 5MW/m^2. If this range of intensity is used for the MLQD, one can see that the MLQD has no significant changes in the nonlinear AC and therefore in the total AC (Fig. 3b) is the special case of \( I = 20 \text{MW/m}^2 \) that the MLQD has no significant nonlinear AC) we used the range \( 100 \leq I \leq 600 \) with a the fixed incremental steps of 100MW/m^2 as the Fig. 3b) shows it. In this case, at sufficiently high intensity, the nonlinear term causes a collapse at the center of the total AC peak that leads to the splitting of the AC peak into two peaks.

In Fig. 4a), the total AC of both the MLQAD and MLQD are plotted when the shell thickness and CPs are fixed as \( b - a = 1a_0 \text{ and } (V_1 = 2 \text{ Ry}, V_2 = 5 \text{ Ry}) \) whiles a core radius has a four different values as \( a = 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5a_0 \). The graph shows that in lower incident
photon energies, the total AC is mainly happened in MLQAD case and by increasing the amount of core radius, the total AC peak heights becomes larger and slightly shift toward larger incident photon energies. In the case of the MQAD, by increasing the core radius value, the peak heights corresponding to MLQD has no significant changes, but move toward the smaller incident photon energies. Figs. 4(a) and (b) are plotted in the same condition, however their difference is in their $V_2$ values, where $V_2 = 5Ry$ in Fig. 4(a) and $V_2 = \infty$ in Fig. 4(b). Regarding these two figures, no considerable changes are observed.

The total AC curves of the MLQAD and MLQD for four different shell thickness $b-a = 1a_0, 0.5a_0, 0.25a_0, 0.02a_0$, as the function of photon energy are plotted in Figs. 5. In Fig. 5(a) the selected CPs and core radius are $V_1 = 2Ry$, $V_2 = 5Ry$ and $a = 2.0a_0$ that by increasing the shell thickness, the peak of total AC curves corresponding to MLQD moves to larger total AC values without considerable changes in incident photon energy, however the curves related to MLQD shift to smaller energy regions without considerable changes in peak heights. Fig. 5(b) shows the behaviour of the MLQAD and MLQD with the same conditions as Fig. 5(a) with new CPs ($V_1 = 2Ry, V_2 = \infty Ry$). By comparing the mutual corresponding curves for example dash-dot curves in Figs. 5 (a) and (b), it is observed that in the biggest shell thickness one can ignore the differences between the total AC curves but in the smaller shell thicknesses, the curves are more affected by changes in CPs.

Generally, from Figs. 4 and 5 it is found that under the same conditions, the total AC in MLQAD is greater than MLQD and also by increasing the shell thickness and core radius, the peak height of MLQAD is more sensitive and becomes significant larger, so we conclude that the utilization of MLQAD structures is more useful.

4 Conclusion

By studying the case of multi-layered spherical nanosystems with a hydrogenic impurity, the linear, third-order nonlinear and total optical ACs have been calculated. As our results indicates, in the case of MLQAD the absorption curves abruptly increase then asymptotically go to zero but in the case of MLQD the absorption curves have symmetrical shapes. Furthermore, the range of variation of intensity that leads to significant changes in total AC curves is different for MLQAD and MLQD. It is observed that for the fixed shell thickness, by increasing the amount of core radius, the total AC peak heights become larger and slightly shift toward larger incident photon energies for MLQAD but the peak heights corresponding to MLQD have no significant changes but move toward the smaller incident photon energies. Also, in this case the change in CPs leads to no considerable changes in total AC curves. Moreover, for a fixed core radius, by increasing the shell thickness, the peak of total AC curves corresponding to MLQD, moves to larger values without considerable changes in the incident photon energy but the curves related to MLQD shift to smaller energy regions without considerable changes in peak heights. Finally, it is found that in the latter case, by decreasing the shell thicknesses, the total AC curves are considerably affected by CPs values.
Linear and nonlinear optical properties of multi-layered spherical nano-systems with donor impurity in the center

Fig. 5 The total AC of MLQD and MLQAD as a function of incident photon energy with $a = 2a_0$ and four different shell thicknesses for (a) $V_1 = 2R_y$ and $V_2 = 5R_y$ (b) $V_1 = 2R_y$ and $V_2 = \infty R_y$.
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