Omni-Zernike Algorithm for Template Matching in Catadioptric System
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Abstract: Image descriptor have been widely applied in many computer visions and image understanding applications including pattern recognition, robotic, video surveillance, camera calibration and image retrieval, etc. Invariants features are robust when apply several transformations of photometry (illumination, blur, noise, JPEG compression) and transformations of geometry (scaling, rotation, translation and viewpoint change). In this study, we present representation and matching region descriptors. Consequently, a set of region used provided by catadioptric system for evaluation of the performance. These regions are normalized by unit circle form with form and size change. In this contribution, the image descriptors of regions used is Moment’s Zernike. They are most suitable invariants in omnidirectional context thanks to the polar coordinates used both omnidirectional geometry and Zernike Moments formulation. The aim is realize a robust matching between object's block by using a measure of distance between Zernike's moment descriptors for optimal similarity. Results shown clearly demonstrate the performance of our method and powerful than most important region descriptors (GLOH, SIFT, PCA-SIFT, complex moments and steerable filters) in term of the ROC curve or precision-recall criterion.
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Introduction

In Computer vision, we present a method for processing, analysing and understanding images system. The aim is giving us much information about environment. The use of Catadioptric camera mounted in mobile robot or installed in monitoring scene is an advantage. In one hand, due to giving us maximum informations about scene and in the other hand the tracking be-come so easy in processing after adapting the suitable algorithm. Object tracking is a challenging task in computer science applications like video surveillance, Radar, mobile robotics, scene matching, so on. This work is focus on processing of omnidirectional images sequence. This is a difficult task because these images have significant distortions in geometry. This is why, we must take them into account during processing.

This work has two main goals. The first one is evaluate an adapted process using polar coordinates over the image plane and unit circle. The second is made a non-rigid object tracking by minimization of the distance between Zernike moments features.

In context of pattern recognition, Invariants Moments is one of popular local image representation and matching that much used in several applications such as video surveillance, image analysis.

The omnidirectional image provided by a catadioptric camera with a Single View Point ((SVP) Teague, 1980; Hu, 1962; Mukundan et al., 2001) is presented by a spherical image (Fig. 1). This model called unified projection model and was defined in fist time in (Teague, 1980).

Following the parabolic mirror shape used in this system, the projection process onto the unit sphere and the catadioptric plan is shown in Fig. 2.

The 3D point $P$ (Equation 1) is projected onto the sphere in.

This point after that will be changed to the new reference frame centred in $P_n$ by using the camera parameter ($\epsilon$). This last ranges between 0 (planar mirror) and 1 (parabolic mirror).
Then, the point \( P_m : (x_s; y_s; z_s-\epsilon) \) is projected onto the normalized plane using coordinate system with point \( m = (u; v; 1) \). The point \( P_i \) is computed by \( p_i = \kappa \ast m \). Where \( \kappa \) is a matrix tree dimension that contain the intrinsic parameters of camera. The \( \kappa \) matrix and the \( \epsilon \) parameter are computed by the calibration process. In our work we used a parabolic mirror with \( \epsilon = 1 \) and \( \kappa = f(\alpha_o, \alpha_c, \alpha_o, \nu_0) \). In this study, we consider that our Catadioptric camera is already calibrated.

Spherical coordinates of \( P_{ph} \) are shown in Equation (1):

\[
\begin{align*}
X_{ph} &= \cos(\varphi)\sin(\theta) \\
Y_{ph} &= \sin(\varphi)\sin(\theta) \\
Z_{ph} &= \cos(\theta)
\end{align*}
\]  

The stereographic projection of \( P_{ph} \) from the sphere onto catadioptric plane can be depicted by Cartesian coordinates in Equation (2):

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= \frac{X_{ph}}{1 - Z_{ph}} \\
v &= \frac{Y_{ph}}{1 - Z_{ph}}
\end{align*}
\]  

Using Equation (1) and (2), we have the image point \( P(u, v) \) according to spherical coordinates as Equation (3):

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= \cot\frac{\theta}{2}\cos(\varphi) \\
v &= \cot\frac{\theta}{2}\sin(\varphi)
\end{align*}
\]
where, $\theta$ is the latitude ranges between 0 and $\pi$ and $\phi$ is the longitude varying between 0 and $2\pi$. The spherical point according to spherical coordinates system is presented by ($\theta$, $\phi$).

The current paper is writing according to the plan as follows. In part II, we give the mathematical theory of invariant moments for scale and rotation change. In part III introduce the radial Zernike moments in our context and discusses how the proposed invariant sets addresses the classical processing in case of omnidirectional images. Section IV examines the performance of the proposed radial central moments and Zernike central moments. Finally, concludes the study by showing a comparison in the experimental studies between radial moments of Zernike moments and the classical approach.

**Related Works**

In the literature, we find examples of moment-based feature descriptors such as geometric, rotational, orthogonal and complex moments. Orthogonal moments defined in terms of a set of orthogonal basis are often preferred due greatly to its ability to represent images with the minimum amount of information redundancy. Teague (1980) proposed Zernike moments based on the basis set of orthogonal Zernike polynomials. It is well known that a discrete image function can be reconstructed by Zernike moments (Liao and Pawlak, 1998). Khotanzad and Liou (1996) used Zernike moment invariants in recognition and pose estimation of three-dimensional objects. Belkasim et al. (1989; 1991) did a comparative study on Zernike moment invariants and used them in shape recognition. Vengurlekar et al. (2019) used Zernike moment in object tracking by using comparison between descriptor. Also in (Górniak and Skubalska-Rafajłowicz, 2017) those moment are used in classification. Gosal and Mehrrota (1993) use Zernike moments in composite-edge detection of three-dimensional objects. Zhou et al. (2016), Zernike moment are used also in object tracking by using distance between moments. Particularly, the Zernike moments have been shown to be rotation invariance and noise robust. The low order moments represent the global shape of a pattern and the higher order the detail.

**Complex Zernike Moments**

Zernike moment has been introduced based on a continue orthogonal function called Zernike polynomials. The zernike moment applied in digital image can be computed by using (4) (Zhang et al., 2010; Perantonis and Lisboa, 1992). $f(x, y)$ is the image pixel density. $PxQ$ image size:

$$Z_{pq} = \frac{n+1}{\pi} \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \sum_{q=p}^{\infty} (x-iy)^n (x^2+y^2)^{k-n} f(x, y)$$

(4)

where:

$$B_{pq} = \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)^{(q-k)} \left(\frac{q+k}{2}\right) \left(\frac{k+p}{2}\right) \left(\frac{k-p}{2}\right)$$

(5)

![Plots of $P_{pq}(r, \theta)$ in different $p$ and $q$](image)

**Fig. 3:** Plots of $P_{pq}(r, \theta)$ in different $p$ and $q$
Equations for Zernike moments for rotation and scaling factors can be computed by using (4) by a substitution from (5). The magnitude |Zpq| of the Zernike moment can be taken as a rotation invariant feature of the underlying image function (Saad and Rusli, 2004) (Fig.3):

\[ Z_m = \frac{3}{\pi} \left[ 2(\eta_n + \eta_z) - \eta_m \right] \]
\[ |Z_2|^2 = \frac{3}{\pi} \left[ (\eta_n - \eta_z)^2 + 4\eta_m^2 \right] \]
\[ |Z_4|^2 = \frac{12}{\pi} \left[ (\eta_n - \eta_z)^2 + (\eta_n + \eta_z)^2 \right] \]
\[ |Z_6|^2 = \frac{4}{\pi} \left[ (\eta_n - \eta_z)^2 + (\eta_n + \eta_z)^2 \right] \]
\[ |Z_8|^2 = \frac{3}{\pi} \left[ (\eta_n - \eta_z)^2 - 3(\eta_n + \eta_z)^2 + 6\eta_m - 8(\eta_n - \eta_z)^2 \right] \]
\[ |Z_10|^2 = \frac{5}{\pi} \left[ \eta_n - 6(\eta_n + \eta_z) + 6(\eta_n + \eta_z + 2\eta_m) \right] \]

We have to use ZM phase and module information both to make the region descriptor. Let the Zernike moments be sorted by p and q in order. The total number of complex ZM moments of the same repetition m is equal to \( \frac{N - m + 1}{2} \).

Table 1 gives the 42 ZM moments where the maximum order p and maximum repetition q are both equal to 12. The sorted Zernike moments list form a feature vector as follows:

\[ P = [Z_{11}\exp(i\theta_1), Z_{21}\exp(i\theta_1), ..., Z_{30}\exp(i\theta_m)] \] (7)

Errors caused in Zernike moment process using Equation (4) due to the using Cartesian coordinates (x, y) in processing. Which is justified by the fact that images are represented by square pixels. However, this approach does not take into account the radial kind of Zernike polynomials that use omnidirectional image geometry (Fig. 4).

In this part, we present an method for Zernike Moment computing according to polar coordinates (x, y, z = 0). As follows The radial moments with order number p and repetition q in radial case are defined as:

\[ D_{pq} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho^2} d\rho d\theta, j' = -1 \] (8)

- Polar pixels must be organized as regularly as possible to facilitate computing
- The unit circle is uniformly divided along the radial direction in sections

where, \( p = 0, 1, 2, ..., 1 \) and \( q \) takes on any positive or negative integer values. The kernel of Zernike moments is a function of orthogonal Zernike polynomials defined over the polar coordinate space

Fig. 4: Polar pixel tiling scheme for ZMs

| p | Moments | No. | p | Moments | No. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | \( Z_{11}, Z_{12}, Z_{13}, Z_{14}, Z_{15}, Z_{11,1} \) | 6 | 7 | \( Z_{11}, Z_{12}, Z_{13}, Z_{11,2} \) | 3 |
| 2 | \( Z_{12}, Z_{13}, Z_{14}, Z_{15}, Z_{12,2} \) | 6 | 8 | \( Z_{12,2}, Z_{12,3}, Z_{12,4} \) | 3 |
| 3 | \( Z_{13}, Z_{14}, Z_{15}, Z_{16}, Z_{13,1} \) | 5 | 9 | \( Z_{16,2}, Z_{16,3} \) | 2 |
| 4 | \( Z_{14}, Z_{15}, Z_{16}, Z_{17,1}, Z_{17,2} \) | 5 | 10 | \( Z_{17,2}, Z_{17,3}, Z_{17,4} \) | 2 |
| 5 | \( Z_{15}, Z_{16}, Z_{17}, Z_{11,4} \) | 4 | 11 | \( Z_{17,4}, Z_{17,5}, Z_{17,6} \) | 1 |
| 6 | \( Z_{16}, Z_{17}, Z_{18,1}, Z_{18,2} \) | 4 | 12 | \( Z_{18,2}, Z_{18,3}, Z_{18,4} \) | 1 |
inside a unit circle such as the omnidirectional image normalized. The two-dimensional Zernike moments of order $p$ with repetition $q$ of an image intensity function $f(r, \theta)$ are defined as (Khotanzad and Liou, 1996):

$$Z_{pq} = \frac{p+1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 V^*(r, \theta) f(r, \theta) r dr d\theta, |r| \leq 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where, Zernike polynomials of order $p$ with repetition $q$, $P_{pq}(r, \theta)$, are defined as:

$$P_{pq}(r, \theta) = R_{pq} e^{iq\theta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

and the real valued radial polynomial, $R_{pq}(r)$, is given as follows:

$$R_{pq}(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(p,q)} (-1)^k \frac{(p-k)!}{k! \left( \frac{p+q}{2} - k \right) \left( \frac{p-q}{2} - k \right)!}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

In omnidirectional context, the image presented by his polar coordinates figure ?? like zernike moment in the unit circle.

**Improved Moment in Rotation and Scale Invariant**

In Fig. 5 and 6, we present the invariance in term of rotation ans scale of all Zernike moment module. It means that Zernike moments are the suitable moments in our case due to rotation in omnidirectional images and scale change when the object is moving to the camera or from.
Also in term of PSNR, our method is mush better than the Cartesian approach (Fig. 7).

**Similarity Measurement**

**Classical Approach**

The usual measure to compare two descriptors of Zernike is a simple Euclidean distance between the duels moments:

\[
d^2 = \sum \sum_{(p,q) \in D} \left( |Z_{pq}| - |\tilde{Z}_{pq}| \right)^2
\]

(12)

We designate this distance as the classical distance. It is based on moment modules (two-image block are considered identical since their modules are the same). Consequently, we have a loss of information without using phase moment to recover the angle of rotation between the images blocks because this information is coded on the moment phase.

The new measure takes account of this issue. This similarity score is more robust than the classical method and recovers a rotation angle between the two images blocks. The angle is optimal when the Euclidean distance between the current block and the next will be minimized:
Results

We note that the conventional approach in this case in applying the distance and the moments in Cartesian case. We just applied the classical process directly in omnidirectional image sequence. Results shown in Fig. 8 that out method address this problem of template matching better than the classical because we must take into account the deformed geometry of images provided by catastrophic system.

Conclusion

In this study, we present a template matching method between blocks modelled by Zernike moments parameters. This process is done by computing a new similarity measure. We optimize this distance between models for finding our object after move. Experimental results show the good performance of our approach. A direction of future work would be an extension for object tracking with real time processing.
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