Three-Dimensional MoS$_2$/Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanosheets/Graphene Quantum Dots Hybrids for High-Performance Room-Temperature NO$_2$ Gas Sensors
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Abstract: This study presents three-dimensional (3D) MoS$_2$/reduced graphene oxide (rGO)/graphene quantum dots (GQDs) hybrids with improved gas-sensing performance for NO$_2$ sensors. GQDs were introduced to prevent the agglomeration of nanosheets during mixing of rGO and MoS$_2$. The resultant MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids exhibit a well-defined 3D nanostructure, with a firm connection among components. The prepared MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensor exhibits a response of 23.2% toward 50 ppm NO$_2$ at room temperature. Furthermore, when exposed to NO$_2$ gas with a concentration as low as 5 ppm, the prepared sensor retains a response of 15.2%. Compared with the MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites, the addition of GQDs improves the sensitivity to 21.1% and 23.2% when the sensor is exposed to 30 and 50 ppm NO$_2$ gas, respectively. Additionally, the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensor exhibits outstanding repeatability and gas selectivity. When exposed to certain typical interference gases, the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensor has over 10 times higher sensitivity toward NO$_2$ than the other gases. This study indicates that MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids are potential candidates for the development of NO$_2$ sensors with excellent gas sensitivity.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, with industrialization and the continuous development of technology and science, the detection of nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) has attracted increasing attention [1–3]. Due to environmental and health concerns, the development of a high-sensitivity gas sensor that can accurately, reliably, and quickly detect low-concentration NO$_2$ gas is essential for air quality monitoring and protection of human health [4,5].

Up to now, a variety of materials have been used to synthesize NO$_2$ sensors, including metal oxides [6], conducting polymers [7], nanocarbon materials [8], and transitional metal dichalcogenides [9]. Among these, graphene has received widespread attention as a potential gas-sensing material. Being a typical $p$-type semiconductor material, reduced graphene oxide (rGO) exhibits more structural defects and dangling bonds than pure GO, which offers advantageous conditions for gas adsorption [10–12]. However, pure rGO-based sensors generally show poor gas sensitivity toward NO$_2$ gas at room temperature [13]. Therefore, several researchers have tried to combine rGO with other nanomaterials to improve its gas-sensing performance [14–16]. It has been shown that the three-dimensional
(3D) nanostructure of rGO composite can accelerate electron transport and improve the conductivity of composite materials.

In recent years, few-layer or single-layer two-dimensional transition metal sulfides, including TiS$_2$ [17], WS$_2$ [18], MoSe$_2$ [19], MoS$_2$ [20], and WSe$_2$ [21], have attracted increasing attention from the academic community. Among these, MoS$_2$ has been widely used as a gas-sensitive material in various gas monitoring applications because of its low cost, unique electronic structure, and suitable bandgap. However, the pure MoS$_2$ material exhibits few accessible active sites, poor conductivity, and restacking of aggregations, which hinder electron transport and gas adsorption [22,23]. Introduction of a second component to form binary hybrids is considered an effective route to tackle these issues. Many low-dimensional nanomaterials, including graphene [24], carbon nanotubes [25], carbon dots [26], graphene quantum dots [27], etc., have been used to improve the gas sensing performance of MoS$_2$ nanosheets through the formation of hybrid structures [28–30].

GQDs, with a size smaller than 20 nm, possess numerous characteristics in common with graphene, including their boundary effects and unique quantum confinement effects. Hence, they are widely used in biology, materials, chemistry, and other fields [31–33]. Several studies have shown that small-size graphene has high conductivity and superior electron transport ability, which contribute to its gas sensitivity [34,35]. Binary hybrids of MoS$_2$ with rGO and GQDs have been proposed to improve the conductivity, increase the number of active sites, and accelerate electron transport. The addition of rGO nanosheets and GQDs can effectively avoid the agglomeration of MoS$_2$, thereby supplying many binding sites for the adsorption of gas molecules.

In this study, alternately stacked 3D structures based on MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs ternary hybrids are prepared for NO$_2$ gas sensing. The introduction of GQDs can prevent the agglomeration of MoS$_2$ and rGO nanosheets. The rGO nanosheets serve as a channel for carrier transmission and a substrate for the growth of MoS$_2$ nanoflowers. Additionally, the 3D nanostructure of the composite material provides numerous good adsorption sites for NO$_2$ gas. These sites are beneficial for electron transmission and further enhance the gas-sensing properties of the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids. The results reveal that the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensor has high-magnitude response, good selectivity, excellent stability, and quick response toward NO$_2$ at room temperature.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemical Reagents

Sodium molybdate dihydrate (H$_4$MoNa$_2$O$_6$), thiourea (CH$_4$N$_2$S), hydrochloric acid (HCl), ethanol (C$_2$H$_6$O), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Benzopyrene was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry (Tokyo, Japan). Nitric acid (HNO$_3$, 65–68%) was obtained from Chinasun Specialty Products Co., Ltd. (Changshu, China). None of the above chemical reagents required further purification.

2.2. Fabrication of the GQDs

The GQDs were synthesized using a modified version of the method reported by Wang [36]. Typically, 1 g of benzopyrene was added to 80 mL of HNO$_3$ and stirred for 12 h at 80 °C to be nitrated. The mixed solution was washed by deionized water several times, repeatedly filtered using 0.22 µm microfiltration membranes until the filtrate became colorless, and freeze-dried at −52 °C for 12 h. Then, 1.5 g of the resulting yellowish powder was dispersed into 300 mL of NaOH solution (2 mol/L) via ultrasonication for 3 h and stirred for 5 h. The resulting liquid was poured into a reactor lined with polytetrafluoroethylene and kept in an oven for 8 h at 200 °C. After cooling down to room temperature, the obtained solution was filtered through a 0.22 µm microporous membrane with deionized water. In order to remove the unfused small molecules and sodium salts, the filtrate was subjected to a dialysis treatment for two days in a dialysis bag, and it was freeze-dried to obtain a dark
brown GQDs powder. Finally, the obtained GQDs powder was dispersed into deionized water to form a GQDs solution of 1 mg/mL for later use.

2.3. Fabrication of the MoS$_2$ Nanoflowers

The MoS$_2$ nanoflowers were prepared via the hydrothermal process. As in a typical procedure, 1.21 g of sodium molybdate dihydrate and 2.36 g of thiourea were weighed into a beaker and prepared into a 40 mL solution. After 3 h stirring, the mixture was poured into an autoclave lined with polytetrafluoroethylene and placed in an oven for 12 h at 200 $^\circ$C. When the solution cooled down to room temperature, the yellow supernatant was removed with a dropper. The bottom sediment was washed several times with ethanol and deionized water and collected by centrifugation. The resultant powder was transferred to a vacuum drying oven and dried for 8 h at 60 $^\circ$C to obtain black MoS$_2$ powder.

2.4. Fabrication of the MoS$_2$/rGO Nanocomposites

GO was synthesized using an improved version of Hummers’ method [37]. To synthesize MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites, 75 mg of MoS$_2$ powder was dispersed into 25 mL of deionized water and continuously stirred for 1 h. Then, 15 mL of about 1 mg/mL GO solution was added. After 8 h of stirring, the mixture was placed in a reaction kettle and kept in an oven for 12 h at 200 $^\circ$C. Subsequently, after cooling down to room temperature, the supernatant liquid was removed by a dropper. The product was then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min and washed three times with deionized water. After repeated centrifugation and washing cycles, the black precipitate was placed in a vacuum drying oven and then dried for 8 h at 80 $^\circ$C to obtain MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites.

2.5. Fabrication of the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs Hybrids

The MoS$_2$ powder, GO, and GQDs dispersion obtained through the above steps were used to prepare the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids. Briefly, 15 mL of the GQDs dispersion (1 mg/mL) was dispersed into the obtained MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites. The suspension was then sonicated for 3 h and stirred for 5 h to achieve a homogeneous solution. The obtained solution was placed into a polytetrafluoroethylene-lined reactor and heated for 12 h at 200 $^\circ$C. Subsequently, the reactor was cooled down to room temperature. The supernatant liquid was removed using a straw, and the remaining solution was centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 rpm. The precipitate was washed several times with ethanol and deionized water and separated by centrifugation. Then, it was dispersed into an appropriate amount of deionized water with a concentration of 1 mg/mL. The resulting thick solution was placed in a refrigerator to be frozen and then freeze-dried for 16 h to prepare the foamy MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids. The obtained MoS$_2$, rGO, and GQDs were admixed at a mass ratio of 50:10:10 at 200 $^\circ$C for 12 h in the hydrothermal process. The obtained MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids are here referred to as MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-1. For comparison, hybrids with mass ratios of 50:5:5, 50:3:3, and 50:2:2 were also synthesized and labeled as MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-2, MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-3, and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-4, respectively.

2.6. Characterization

The morphology and structure were examined via atomic force microscopy (AFM, Dimension Icon, Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Sigma300, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). A laser microscope confocal Raman spectrometer ($\lambda = 514$ nm, HR800, HORIBA Jobin Yvon, France) was used to acquire the Raman spectra. An X-ray diffractometer (XRD, XPert-Pro MPD, Panalytical, Holland) was used to analyze the crystal structures. The atomic valence and molecular structure of the samples were determined via X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB250XI, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).
2.7. Fabrication and Measurement of the Synthesized Sensors

The MoS₂/rGO and MoS₂/rGO/GQDs hybrids were used as the gas-sensitive materials for the synthesis of NO₂ sensors. Traditional microfabrication procedures were adopted. First, the silicon wafer was hydrophilized, dried, and spin-coated with photoresist. It was then exposed and developed with a mask. After Au sputtering and degumming, interdigitated electrode fingers were obtained. Figure 1 shows that the resultant electrode is about 720 µm long and 600 µm wide. An appropriate amount of the previously synthesized MoS₂/rGO/GQDs solution was dropped onto the interdigitated electrode using a microsyringe and dried for the subsequent NO₂ gas-sensitivity test.

Figure 1. SEM image of the interdigitated electrode.

The gas-sensitivity measurement was carried out through a high-precision semiconductor tester (Agilent 4156C, Santa Clara, CA 95051, USA). During the measurement, the voltage was set to 5 mV, and the current change was recorded by the sensing device in real time. NO₂ gas was used as the test gas, and different concentrations of NO₂ gas were obtained by regulating the flow ratio between the background gas and NO₂ gas. At the beginning of the test, the background gas was introduced for 100 s to maintain the output current in a stable range, and then, the background gas together with NO₂ gas were introduced at a certain proportion. \( R_0 \) refers to the initial resistance value of the measured sensor under the background gas, while \( R \) denotes the real-time resistance value of the measured sensor exposed to NO₂ gas. The sensitivity can then be defined as \( S = (R - R_0)/R_0 \times 100\% \).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Nanocomposite Material Characterization

AFM measurements were conducted to characterize the morphology of the prepared GQDs. The GQDs were more similar to discs rather than spherical objects. Figure 2 shows that the diameters of the GQDs were in a range from 2 to 7 nm, and the average diameter was about 4 nm. Furthermore, the aggregation of the GQDs can also be observed in Figure 2. This aggregation may be due to the weak hydrogen bonds or the noncovalent bond interactions among the oxygenated functional groups that are present in the GQDs [38,39]. The AFM morphology measurement of MoS₂/rGO/GQDs hybrids was also performed and an image is provided in the supplementary information (Figure S1) to confirm the existence of GQDs in the MoS₂/rGO/GQDs hybrids.
The microstructure of the prepared composites was characterized through SEM. GO exhibits nearly transparent nanosheets with many wrinkles, as shown in Figure 3a [40,41]. It can be seen from Figure 3b that the pure MoS$_2$ nanoflowers formed by the layered nanosheets have noticeable ripples, and their diameter is about 500 nm. It can be clearly observed from Figure 3c that the MoS$_2$ nanoflowers anchored on the surface of rGO nanosheets are not uniformly distributed. Instead, they are stacked together and aggregated into nanospheres with the rGO nanosheets. The morphology of the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids is shown in Figure 3d. It can be observed that the introduction of GQDs greatly improves the homogeneous distribution of rGO and MoS$_2$ nanosheets. Additionally, numerous 3D interconnected foldable nanostructures are present in the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids. The MoS$_2$ nanoflowers and the small GQDs particles in the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids are distributed on the exposed active sites of the rGO nanosheets. The introduction of GQDs provides nucleation sites for the formation of MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites and prevents their agglomeration [42]. The morphologies of MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids with different ratios of GQDs are given in the supporting information (Figure S2).

Figure 2. AFM image of GQDs.

Figure 3. SEM images of (a) GO, (b) MoS$_2$, (c) MoS$_2$/rGO and (d) MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs.
As shown in Figure 4, Raman spectroscopy was used to detect the nonpolar vibrations between the same type of atom in the samples. The Raman spectra of GQDs, rGO, MoS$_2$/rGO, and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs show two characteristic peaks at ~1350 and ~1580 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to the D and G bands of graphene, respectively. The D-band can be attributed to the disorder degree or edge folding degree of graphene, whereas the G-band is due to the first-order scattering of the E$_{2g}$ mode. Usually, the intensity ratio of the D-band and G-band (I$_D$/I$_G$) reveals the extent of graphene reduction [43,44]. As shown in Figure 4, the I$_D$/I$_G$ values of GQDs, rGO, MoS$_2$/rGO, and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs are 0.975, 1.226, 1.244, and 1.035, respectively. In comparison with MoS$_2$/rGO, it can be clearly observed that the I$_D$/I$_G$ value of MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs decreased from 1.244 to 1.035, implying that some of the defects of rGO were removed during the deposition of GQDs. The decrease in I$_D$/I$_G$ ratio proves that GQDs are successfully fabricated onto the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids [45,46]. The peaks of pure MoS$_2$ are located at 377 and 403 cm$^{-1}$, which correspond to the E$_{2g}$ and A$_{1g}$ vibrational modes, respectively [47]. The E$_{2g}$ peak corresponds to the Mo–S in-plane vibration of the MoS$_2$ lattice, while the A$_{1g}$ peak is attributed to the Mo–S out-of-plane vibration [48]. In contrast to pure MoS$_2$, the values of the E$_{2g}$ and A$_{1g}$ peaks in the MoS$_2$/rGO and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs samples are significantly reduced, which confirms that GQDs effectively inhibit the aggregation of MoS$_2$.

![Figure 4. Raman spectra of (a) GQDs, (b) rGO, (c) MoS$_2$, (d) MoS$_2$/rGO, and (e) MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs.](image)

The crystallinity and crystal phase of the hybrids were revealed via XRD. Figure 5a shows that the GQDs have two broad diffraction peaks at 15.8° and 22.6°, which correspond to the (001) and (002) crystal planes, respectively [49]. As displayed in Figure 5b, the four diffraction peaks at 13.9°, 33.3°, 39.6° and 58.8° correspond to the (002), (100), (103) and (110) planes, which are in agreement with the standard JCPDS card of 2H–MoS$_2$ (JCPDS No.37-1492) [50]. All the peaks of pure MoS$_2$ are also observed in the XRD patterns of the MoS$_2$/rGO and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs samples, which indicates the successful formation of the MoS$_2$ nanoflowers in these samples. In comparison with the sharp diffraction peak of pure bulk MoS$_2$ located at 20 = 13.9°, the diffraction peaks of MoS$_2$/rGO and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs are wider, which may be due to the poorer crystallinity of the obtained samples and the decrease in particle size [51]. Furthermore, a diffraction peak was observed around 22.5° in rGO, MoS$_2$/rGO and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs samples corresponding to the (002) plane of rGO, which confirms the presence of rGO and the successful reduction of GO in the composite material [52]. It can also be seen that the diffraction peak of MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites located at 20 = 22.5° shifted slightly to 20 = 22.9° when GQDs were added to the MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites. This shift was due to the higher functionality of GQDs, as the surface groups cause an increase in the lattice parameter of the rGO nanosheets [53]. This result indicates the successful incorporation of GQDs into the MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites. It is
also important to note that compared to MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites, the peak intensity decreases for MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids, which verifies that the addition of GQDs can effectively avoid the agglomeration of MoS$_2$ nanoflowers and rGO nanosheets. The XRD results demonstrate that the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids were successfully fabricated. 

![Figure 5. XRD patterns of (a) GQDs, (b) rGO, (c) MoS$_2$, (d) MoS$_2$/rGO, and (e) MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs.](image)

The MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids were analyzed via XPS. Figure 6a shows the spectrum of the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids. It can be clearly seen that the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids contain oxygen, carbon, sulfur, and molybdenum elements. Figure 6b illustrates that the C 1s energy spectrum of the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids can also be deconvolved into five peaks: C=C (284.6 eV), C–C (285.2 eV), C–O (286.8 eV), C=O (288.4 eV), and O–C=O (289.3 eV). In Figure 6c, two characteristic orbital peaks can be observed at 232.0 eV (3d$_{3/2}$) and 232.7 eV (3d$_{5/2}$), which are ascribed to the divalent Mo–O bonds, which may be caused by residual MoO$_4^{2-}$ in the precursor [56]. In addition, the two small Mo$^{6+}$ peaks at 235.1 eV (3d$_{3/2}$) and 232.7 eV (3d$_{5/2}$) confirm the presence of Mo–O bonds, which may be caused by residual MoO$_4^{2-}$ in the precursor [55]. The presence of S 2s in MoS$_2$ is confirmed by the small peak located at 226 eV [56]. In Figure 6d, the two dominant S 2p$_{1/2}$ and S 2p$_{3/2}$ peaks at 162.8 eV and 161.6 eV are attributed to the divalent sulfide ions (S$^{2-}$) in MoS$_2$ [57]. These XPS results prove the successful synthesis of the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids using the hydrothermal process.

Figure 7 shows the FTIR spectra of GQDs, MoS$_2$, MoS$_2$/rGO, and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs. The sharp peak of the GQDs at 1579 cm$^{-1}$ is related to the C=C stretching vibration [58], suggesting that the GQDs are mainly composed of C=C bonds. The peaks located at 1070, 2987, and 3363 cm$^{-1}$ are related to the C–O, C–H, and –OH stretching vibrations [59,60], respectively. The peaks corresponding to the C–S and Mo–S bonds of MoS$_2$ are located at 1401 and 662 cm$^{-1}$. The C=C stretching vibration of the GQDs can also be observed at 1579 cm$^{-1}$ in the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQD hybrids, which indicates the existence of GQDs in the composite materials. The common peaks at 1401 and 662 cm$^{-1}$ in the MoS$_2$/rGO and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQD hybrids are related to the C–S and Mo–S bonds, which confirms the presence of MoS$_2$ [61]. It is noteworthy that the characteristic peaks associated with oxygenated groups, such as O–H, C=O, and C–O groups, were not clearly observed in the MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites, which verifies that GO was successfully reduced via the hydrothermal approach [62].
Figure 6. XPS spectrum of (a) MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs, (b) C 1s XPS spectrum of MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs, (c) Mo 3d XPS spectrum of MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs and (d) S 2p XPS spectrum of MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs.

Figure 7. FT-IR spectra of GQDs, MoS$_2$, MoS$_2$/GQDs and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs.

3.2. Gas-Sensing Properties

First, the gas-sensing performance was tested by detecting NO$_2$ gas at room temperature. The experiment results show that pure GQD materials have basically no response to NO$_2$ gas. This may be due to the smaller size of the GQDs compared with that of the interdigitated electrode, which makes it difficult to form a stable conductive loop. The response values of the MoS$_2$/rGO and MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids exposed to 30 and 50 ppm NO$_2$ gas at room temperature are shown in Figure 8. The MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites showed 16.8% and 16.9% response values to 30 and 50 ppm NO$_2$. Compared with the MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites, the addition of GQDs improved sensitivity to 21.1% and 23.2% when the sensor was exposed to 30 and 50 ppm NO$_2$ gas, respectively. The GQDs also act as active sites, which can prevent the agglomeration of nanosheets during mixing of rGO and MoS$_2$ and provide numerous reaction sites for NO$_2$ gas adsorption. Consequently, the gas-sensing performance of the hybrids is enhanced [63].
which results in the construction of a 3D network and improves the interconnectivity among which indicates that the uniform distribution of MoS\textsubscript{2} nanoflowers weakens the supporting effect of the rGO nanosheets, thereby causing a decrease in gas sensitivity [70]. Therefore, due to its highest response value, MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-2 was selected to further study the gas-sensing performance.

Figure 8. Response and recovery curves of MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO and MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQD-based sensors exposed to 30 and 50 ppm NO\textsubscript{2}.

Generally, materials with a large effective surface area can provide more active parts for gas adsorption and interaction, which contributes to the enhanced gas sensing performance. A CV test was carried out to confirm the effective surface area of hybrids with and without GQDs. Values of 2.253 and 1.165 can be calculated from the Randles–Sevcik equation (see details in the supporting information, Figures S3 and S4), indicating a great enhancement of effective surface area was achieved after the addition of GQDs [64–66].

As is well known, the nanostructure of gas-sensitive materials is crucial to improving the gas-sensing properties of gas sensors [67,68]. The MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs hybrids with 3D nanostructures were expected to exhibit higher gas sensitivity. In order to explore the effect of the GQDs content on the gas sensitivity, four types of MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs hybrids were synthesized by changing the mass ratio of MoS\textsubscript{2}, rGO, and GQDs from 50:10:10 to 50:2:2. These prepared composites are sequentially labeled MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-1, MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-2, MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-3, and MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-4. Figure 9 shows the comparison between the responses of these different gas sensors. The response values toward 5 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} within 150 s were 12.1%, 15.2%, 11.3%, and 6.2% for MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-1, MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-2, MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-3, and MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-4, respectively. The resistance of all samples decreased remarkably when the sensor was exposed to NO\textsubscript{2} gas and rapidly returned to the initial value after stopping the exposure. The MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-2-based sensor exhibited better gas sensitivity than the MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-1-based sensor, which indicates that the uniform distribution of MoS\textsubscript{2} nanoflowers in the hybrids can effectively improve the gas-sensing performance of the composites. The enhanced gas sensitivity can be attributed to the interaction of the MoS\textsubscript{2} nanoflowers with the rGO nanosheets, which results in the construction of a 3D network and improves the interconnectivity among MoS\textsubscript{2}, rGO, and GQDs [69]. However, with a further decrease in the content of GQDs in the hybrids, the gas sensitivity of the MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-3 and MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-4 hybrids decreased to 11.3% and 6.2%, respectively. Thus, the aggregation and restacking of MoS\textsubscript{2} nanoflowers are not conducive to improving gas adsorption. A possible reason for this behavior may be that the aggregation of MoS\textsubscript{2} nanoflowers weakens the supporting effect of the rGO nanosheets and reduces the probability of NO\textsubscript{2} gas adsorption on the heterogeneous interface between the MoS\textsubscript{2} nanoflowers and the rGO nanosheets, thereby causing a decrease in gas sensitivity [70]. Therefore, due to its highest response value, MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-2 was selected to further study the gas-sensing performance.
Therefore, due to its highest response value, MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-2 was selected to further improve the gas-sensing performance. The nanoflowers and the rGO nanosheets, thereby causing a decrease in gas sensitivity [70]. The aggregation and restacking of MoS$_2$ nanoflowers are not conducive to improving gas adsorption. A possible reason for this behavior may be that the aggregation of nanoflowers in the hybrids can effectively improve the gas-sensing performance of the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensor.

**Table 1.** Comparison of main properties and performance characteristics of nanomaterials used to detect NO$_2$ gas.

| Material | Operating Temperature (°C) | Concentration | Sensitivity | Reference |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| SnO$_2$/rGO | 60 °C | 2 ppm | 59.8% | [22] |
| SnO$_2$(0.3%)rGO | RT | 10 ppm | 2.021 | [68] |
| 3D MoS$_2$/rGO | 60 °C | 2 ppm | 59.8% | [22] |
| MoS$_2$/rGO | 60 °C | 10 ppm | 21.1% | this work |
| MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-2 hybrid | RT | 5 ppm | 23.2% | this work |
| MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-3 hybrid | RT | 50 ppm | 23.2% | this work |
| SnO$_2$(0.3%)rGO | 60 °C | 5 ppm | - | [68] |

Figure 9. Response and recovery curves of MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensors exposed to 5 ppm NO$_2$.

For the purpose of comparison, the response curves towards 5 ppm NO$_2$ of the pristine MoS$_2$/rGO with various mass ratios of MoS$_2$ and rGO are shown in the supplementary information (Figure S5). The response values to 5 ppm NO$_2$ gas at room temperature were 7.2%, 10.4%, 9.5%, and 5.2% for the MoS$_2$/rGO-based sensors with the mass ratio of MoS$_2$ and rGO varying from 50:10 to 50:2, respectively. The response values of pristine MoS$_2$/rGO samples were lower than those of MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids, indicating that the gas-sensing properties of the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensors can be effectively facilitated by the addition of GQDs.

Figure 10 displays the dynamic gas-sensitive response curve of the sensor based on the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-2 hybrids to different NO$_2$ gas concentrations at room temperature. As mentioned in previous reports, the gas sensitivity at high NO$_2$ gas concentrations is stronger than that at low concentrations because of adsorption and desorption during the gas sensitivity tests [71,72]. At NO$_2$ concentrations of 50, 30, 10, and 5 ppm, the response values were 23.2%, 21.1%, 19.9%, and 15.2%, respectively. The response values dropped with the decrease in NO$_2$ gas concentration. The response and recovery times remained stable at 150 s. It can be clearly observed that the gas-sensitive response of the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensor decreased sharply when exposed to NO$_2$ and recovered immediately after the exposure was stopped. Compared to the previous results, the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensor exhibited lower operating temperature, higher response, and lower detection limit (Table 1).

Figure 10. Response and recovery curves of the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-2 sensor towards different concentrations of NO$_2$. 
Table 1. Comparison of main properties and performance characteristics of nanomaterials used to detect NO\textsubscript{2} gas.

| Material         | Operating Temperature (°C) | Concentration (ppm) | Sensitivity | Reference |
|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| NiO/SnO\textsubscript{2}/rGO | RT                        | 60                  | 62.27       | [10]      |
| MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO       | 60 °C                      | 2                   | 59.8%       | [22]      |
| 3D MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO   | 80 °C                      | 1                   | 2483%       | [54]      |
| MoS\textsubscript{2}/WS\textsubscript{2} | RT                        | 50                  | 26.12       | [56]      |
| SnO\textsubscript{2}/(0.3%)rGO | RT                        | 10                  | 2.021       | [68]      |
| SnO\textsubscript{2}/rGO      | 200 °C                     | 4                   | 4.56        | [70]      |
| MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs | RT                        | 50                  | 23.2%       | this work |
| MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs | RT                        | 5                   | 15.2%       | this work |

To test the stability and repeatability of the MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-2-based sensor response, its gas-sensing properties were measured in four consecutive dynamic response processes. Figure 11 displays the stability and repeatability of the response of the MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-based sensor exposed to 50 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} gas at room temperature. The gas sensitivity, response time, and recovery time of the sensor did not change significantly after four cycles. After three cycles, the gas-sensitive response retained a value of 23.2%, which indicates the excellent repeatability and stability of the MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs hybrids.

![Graph showing reproducibility of response after exposure to 50 ppm NO\textsubscript{2}.](image)

In practical applications, NO\textsubscript{2} is not found alone but is often accompanied by many other toxic and harmful gases. Thus, selectivity is another important indicator typically used to evaluate the performance of gas sensors in practical applications [73]. To explore the gas selectivity of the obtained MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs hybrids, the sensor was used to measure several conventional industrial organic gases, including isopropanol, acetone, formaldehyde, ethyl acetate, trichloromethane, and n-hexane. The saturated vapor in the solvent bottle was diluted with N\textsubscript{2} to a concentration of 1%. Even though the concentration of these vapors was greater than that of NO\textsubscript{2}, the test results revealed that the response value to 50 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} gas was more than 10 times the response to other vapors, as displayed in Figure 12. It can be concluded that the MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs hybrids have an outstanding reactivity to NO\textsubscript{2} gas, while the reactivity to other vapors is negligible. Therefore, the experimental results demonstrate that the MoS\textsubscript{2}/rGO/GQDs-based sensor exhibits high selectivity toward NO\textsubscript{2} and can be used in practical applications.
In practical applications, NO₂ is not found alone but is often associated with other toxic and harmful gases. Thus, selectivity is another important indicator typically used to evaluate the performance of gas sensors in practical applications [73]. To explore the selectivity of the prepared MoS₂/rGO/GQDs-based sensor, several conventional industrial organic gases, including isopropanol, acetone, formaldehyde, trichloromethane, and n-hexane, were exposed to background gas, oxygen was adsorbed onto the surface of the hybrids because of the strong adsorption properties of rGO and MoS₂. Since rGO has a greater work function value (W = 4.7 eV) than MoS₂ (W = 4.3 eV), electrons are transferred from the conduction band of MoS₂ to the conduction band of rGO until the Fermi level equilibrium is reached [74,75]. In the meantime, the oxygen molecules attached to the surface of the MoS₂/rGO/GQDs hybrids are converted into oxygen anions (O₂⁻) after capturing electrons, owing to their high affinity toward electrons. The reaction is the following:

\[
O_2 + e^- \rightarrow O_2^- \tag{1}
\]

Figure 12. Selectivity toward different kinds of gases.

3.3. Gas-Sensing Mechanism

It can be seen from Figure 13 that the generation of the heterojunction and the modification of the GQDs are responsible for the improvement in gas-sensing properties. The ternary combination of MoS₂, GQDs, and rGO results in the formation of many nanostructures similar to p–n junctions at the interfaces. These special nanostructures are essential to improve the electron transmission efficiency. When the MoS₂/rGO/GQDs hybrids were exposed to background gas, oxygen was adsorbed onto the surface of the hybrids because of the strong adsorption properties of rGO and MoS₂. Since rGO has a greater work function value (W = 4.7 eV) than MoS₂ (W = 4.3 eV), electrons are transferred from the conduction band of MoS₂ to the conduction band of rGO until the Fermi level equilibrium is reached [74,75]. In the meantime, the oxygen molecules attached to the surface of the MoS₂/rGO/GQDs hybrids are converted into oxygen anions (O₂⁻) after capturing electrons, owing to their high affinity toward electrons. The reaction is the following:

\[
O_2 + e^- \rightarrow O_2^- \tag{1}
\]

Figure 13. Schematic illustration of the gas sensing mechanism for MoS₂/rGO/GQDs composites.

The generation of oxygen anions (O₂⁻) reduces the concentration of electrons; this is the reason why the resistance of the fabricated MoS₂/rGO/GQDs hybrids was relatively high in the background gas.
high in the background gas. When the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids were exposed to NO$_2$, the NO$_2$ molecules underwent a reaction with the minority carriers (electrons) and the oxygen ions (O$_2^-$) [76] adsorbed onto the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids to generate NO$_3^-$ ions as follows:

$$2\text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2^- + \text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{NO}_3^- \quad (2)$$

Due to this reaction, the captured electrons travel back to the conduction band of MoS$_2$ to increase the electron concentration in the hybrids. The above reaction leads to decreased thickness of the charge layer between MoS$_2$ and rGO, thereby decreasing the resistance of the obtained sensor. In addition, the GQDs modification on the surface of the MoS$_2$/rGO heterojunction is also responsible for improving the sensing performance. The GQDs serve as an electron mediator at the interface heterojunction, and supply numerous active sites for the hybrids. The active sites allow chemisorbed oxygen to react with NO$_2$, which further improves the gas sensitivity of the hybrids [77,78].

4. Conclusions

A novel 3D structured sensor based on MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids was prepared for detecting NO$_2$ at room temperature. The MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids were obtained by anchoring MoS$_2$ nanoflowers and GQDs nanoparticles onto rGO nanosheets. The introduction of the GQDs inhibited the agglomeration of the MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites, considerably improved the homogeneous distribution of rGO and MoS$_2$ nanosheets, and provided numerous reaction sites for NO$_2$ gas adsorption. The prepared MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensor had a response of 23.2% toward 50 ppm NO$_2$ gas, while it retained a response of 15.2% when exposed to NO$_2$ concentration as low as 5 ppm. Furthermore, it was also found that the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensor exhibited a very low detection limit, high response, excellent stability, outstanding repeatability, excellent selectivity, and quick response/recovery characteristics toward NO$_2$ gas at room temperature. The superior gas-sensing ability was due to the synergistic effects of the 3D nanostructures, heterojunctions, and GQDs in the MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids. The proposed MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-based sensor exhibits outstanding gas-sensing properties and, thus, has great potential in the detection of NO$_2$ gas.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nano12060901/s1, Figure S1: AFM image of MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs; Figure S2: SEM images of (a) MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-1, (b) MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-2 (c) MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-3 and (d) MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs-4; Figure S3: Cyclic voltammograms of (a) MoS$_2$/rGO nanocomposites and (b) MoS$_2$/rGO/GQDs hybrids in 10 mM [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3-/4-}$ and 0.1 M KCl solution at different scan rates from 25 to 300 mV s$^{-1}$; Figure S4: Peak currents as a function of scan rate for the determination of the effective surface area; Figure S5: Response and recovery curves of MoS$_2$/rGO-based sensors to 5 ppm NO$_2$.
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