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ABSTRACT

Rationale and objective Salt reduction remains a global challenge and different salt reduction strategies have been studied in China. This study is to systematically evaluate evidence from randomised controlled trials (RCT) in China and inform the effective salt reduction strategies.

Design Systematic review and meta-analysis.

Data sources MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Wanfang Data and the China National Knowledge Infrastructure databases through October 2019.

Eligibility criteria RCTs conducted in China with at least 4 weeks’ duration of study and blood pressure (BP) reported.

Data extraction and synthesis Data were screened, extracted and appraised by two independent reviewers. The quality of study was assessed using a modified Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool. The primary outcome was the difference in BP change from baseline to the end of study between interventions and control. The effects were pooled using a random effects model and associated factors were explored by a meta-regression.

Results We identified 24 studies involving 10,448 participants, including 8 studies on health education (4583 participants), 2 studies on salt restriction diet (162 participants), 1 study on salt restriction spoon (50 participants) and 13 studies on salt substitute (5653 participants). Six studies on salt substitute and three studies on health education were identified with high quality. Pooled results from the six studies showed that salt substitutes significantly reduced systolic BP (−5.7 mm Hg; 95% CI −8.5 to −2.8) and diastolic BP (−2.0 mm Hg; 95% CI −3.5 to −0.4). The School-EduSalt study showed that the school-based health education significantly reduced systolic BP among parents (−2.3 mm Hg; 95% CI −4.5 to −0.04).

Conclusions Among four salt reduction strategies studied in China with RCT design, only salt substitute was proved effective in lowering BP by the pooled effect from multiple studies with high quality. More well-designed studies are warranted for other strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is the most important risk factor of cardiovascular disease (CVD) morbidity and mortality, and is responsible for 211 million disability-adjusted life years worldwide each year.1 According to the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017, it was estimated that 17.8 million deaths, nearly one-third of the total, attributed to CVD, mainly ischaemic heart disease (IHD) and cerebrovascular disease.2 Moreover, approximately 54% of stroke and 47% of IHD were associated with high blood pressure (BP).3 Elevated BP has been recognised as a major threat to worldwide public health.1

Several national surveys during the last half of the century demonstrated that the prevalence of hypertension has been continually increasing in China, reaching at 23.2% in 2015.5 It would transform to 244.5 million adults suffering hypertension in this most populous country. Meanwhile, only 15.3% of

Strengths and limitations of this study

This systematic review evaluates salt reduction strategies on the effect of lowering blood pressure in Chinese population, which has a very high dietary salt intake and requires different strategies for population salt reduction.

We included randomised controlled trials only and used a modified Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool to evaluate the quality of each single study.

Meta-regression was used to understand which strategies were more effective with adjustment for other associated factors.

Because studies with high quality were limited mainly to salt substitutes, there was no capacity to draw conclusion on the difference between salt reduction strategies regarding their effect size.
them had their BP under control.\textsuperscript{3} Effective strategies for control of hypertension are critically needed.

The effect of salt reduction in lowering BP has been proved in randomised controlled trials (RCT).\textsuperscript{6} Given the strong scientific evidence, salt reduction has been recommended by the WHO as a highly cost-effective ‘best buy’ for control and prevention of hypertension and CVD globally.\textsuperscript{7} However, how to reduce salt intake effectively is still a global-wide challenge. Many populations in the world are still having a daily salt intake level that is much higher than the WHO recommended 5 g/day, including China.\textsuperscript{8} However, majority of sodium intake of Chinese was from home-based cooking.\textsuperscript{9} Compulsory or voluntary salt reduction programmes with food industry that were proved effective in developed countries are not the priority. There have been different intervention strategies such as salt substitute, health education, salt restriction diet and salt restriction spoon being employed in China, and many of them were evaluated with randomised trials. The present study aims to systematically review different salt reduction intervention strategies that were tested through RCTs conducted in China, in order to provide scientific evidence on the effectiveness of these strategies in lowering BP, and to inform the current public health policies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Eligibility criteria for included studies**

Studies were included in the review if they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) using RCT design; (2) the intervention tested aiming to reduce salt intake; (3) being conducted in Chinese population; (4) duration of intervention was at least 4 weeks; and (5) BP data were reported. To be included in the meta-analysis, the studies should also report the mean difference and SD in changes in BP from baseline to the end of follow-up between the intervention and control groups.

**Search strategy**

A systematic literature search was conducted in three major English databases (MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials) and two major Chinese databases (Wanfang Data and China National Knowledge Infrastructure) by one study investigator (AJ). Each database was searched from inception to the end of October 2019. Our search combined free-text terms and keywords related to salt reduction interventions and BP control. We restricted the language of studies to English and Chinese. The full search strategy was presented in online supplementary appendix 1.

**Study selection and data extraction**

Two reviewers (AJ and YW) independently identified studies for eligibility based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. After all duplicates were removed, titles and abstracts of publications resulting from search strategy were initially screened. Potentially eligible publications were retrieved and the full-text version was reviewed in details. Disagreements were resolved by discussion. A data extraction sheet was developed to collect key information from each study, including characteristics of the study (authors, published year, sample size), the study participants (age, sex, baseline BP level and hypertension status), the intervention strategy (type, duration and fidelity) and outcome measures (pre/postintervention BP and sodium intake). Data were extracted independently by two study Investigators (AJ and YW). Discrepancies were resolved through discussion.

**Study quality and publication bias assessment**

The quality of the included studies was assessed by two study investigators (AJ and YW) independently, adopting the Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool.\textsuperscript{10} We modified it by adding two additional items, one on the intervention fidelity (ie, the evidence of salt reduction) and the other on the clarity of information in use of antihypertension medication, since we believe that information on these two items is also critical to our particular research interests. For the assessment of the risk of bias, we classified the studies into ‘high’, ‘low’ or ‘unclear’ risk categories according to the possible biases identified in the following nine methodological domains including random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, intervention fidelity, information for antihypertension medication use and other bias. The specific criteria for judging risk of bias of each domain were displayed in the online supplementary appendix 2. Disagreements were resolved by discussions. To be scored high quality, a study had to have at least seven out of nine methodology domains scored at ‘low risk’. In addition, the following five methodology domains must all be scored at ‘low risk’: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, intervention fidelity and information for antihypertension medication use.

**Statistical analysis**

The primary outcome was the change in BP from baseline to the end of intervention. The DerSimonian and Laird random effects models were used to estimate the pooled effect size and 95% CI because of wide methodological variability between the studies. Statistical heterogeneity between studies was quantified by means of I² statistic. Trials with an I² statistic >50% indicated significant heterogeneity.

We first summarised the overall mean effect of salt reduction in studies with high quality only and further in all studies identified with meta-analysis. Then, we summarised the mean effect separately for studies with different salt reduction strategies, that is, health education, salt restriction diet, salt restriction spoon and salt substitute, with the same strategy as that for the overall effect. We did sensitivity analysis on the overall effect as
well as the effect of different salt reduction strategies, by the leave-one-out method among studies with high quality only, to see if our results were affected by any particular trial. Furthermore, a meta-regression was conducted to confirm the association of the intervention effect in BP change with type of intervention strategy, with consideration of other trial characteristics including quality of study and hypertension status. Publication bias was assessed by evaluating funnel plots for asymmetry both visually and formally with Egger’s test when there were at least 10 studies in the meta-analysis. All of the analyses were done by Stata software V.14.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). A two-sided p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Patient and public involvement**

No patient and public were involved in this study.

**RESULTS**

**Results of search**

In total, 3232 publications were initially identified from the database search. Six hundred and eighty-nine duplicates were removed, and the remaining 2543 articles were screened. After reviewing the titles and abstracts, 2470 articles were excluded. We retrieved the remaining 73 articles in full text, and 57 were further excluded due to not RCT design, no BP data reported, the intervention not just for salt reduction and the control group not blank. Finally, 16 articles fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Among them, six articles reported multiple studies. Here, we defined a single study as a study with distinct study population or type of intervention strategy. Thus, a total of 24 studies with 10,448 participants were included in our systematic review. Of those, three studies had no data on the change in BP from baseline to the end of intervention because only BP after intervention was reported. Therefore, our meta-analysis only included the remaining 21 studies (7720 participants). The detailed process of our literature search is summarised in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram in figure 1.

**Study characteristics**

The characteristics of the eligible trials are summarised in table 1. Across all studies, 18 were individual RCT whereas six were cluster RCTs. These trials were published in years 2006–2019. The sample size of the trials ranged from 50 to 2566. The study duration ranged from 2 months to 3 years. Among these studies, three included hospital patients with hypertension exclusively, eight included hypertensive participants from communities exclusively, nine enrolled a mix of hypertensive and normotensive participants, one enrolled participants at high risk of vascular disease, one enrolled normotensives exclusively, one in children and the other in the children’s parents, respectively. All studies included both male and female participants except three unreported. The average age of enrolled participants varied from 10 to 69 years. In terms of intervention strategies used, out of the 24 studies, 8 used health education (from six articles), consisting of 4583 participants; 2 studies used salt restriction diet (from one article), consisting of 162 participants; 1 study used salt restriction spoon consisting of 50 participants; and 13 studies used salt substitute (from nine articles) with 5653 participants. Control groups received no active intervention. Three studies did not report the changes in BP from baseline to the end of intervention. In addition, there were 17 studies that reported information on changes in sodium intake, either in 24-hours’ urine, overnight urine, spot urine or weighting salt containers. Among eight studies employing 24-hours’ urine collection, the median amount of sodium reduction in intervention groups compared with control groups was −52.5 mmol/day, ranging from −76 to −14 mmol/day.

**Quality assessment and publication bias**

The risk of bias assessment is summarised in figure 2 and online supplementary figure 1. All trials had BP measured using standard devices, and hence should suffer no or little outcome assessment bias. And none of the trials reported data selectively. The most frequent biases were in the aspects of allocation concealment, participant blindness, fidelity of intervention and information on antihypertension medication use. Given the nature of the intervention, such as health education and salt restriction spoon, blinding of participants was not always adequately achieved in individual randomised trials. But many studies used cluster RCT design to increase the blindness and avoid contamination. The quality of studies on salt substitutes was much higher than that on the other salt reduction strategies, probably due to the easy blinding with such a nature of the intervention (figure 2). In total,
## Table 1 Characteristics of studies included in this study

| First author, published year | Study design | Participants, n | Mean age (years) | Study population character | Baseline SBP and DBP level (mm Hg) | Intervention type | Intervention description | Duration (months) | Net sodium reduction (mmol/day, I–C) (collection methods) | Risk of bias† |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Mu, 2009                    | RCT         | 224             | I: 20.6±3.1     | Community-based hypertensive young adults | I: 121.5±12.8 75.4±9.9  C: 124.3±14.1 77±11.8 | HE                | Health behaviour education | 24                | –19 (overnight 8 hours’ urine collection)                | High        |
| Chen, 2011                  | RCT         | 121             | I: 63.8±9.2     | Hospital-based patients with hypertension | I: 134.5±20.1 78.8±12.9  C: 141.3±22.8 78.6±12.7 | HE                | Transtheoretical model for behaviour change to low-salt diet | 3                 | –13 (tool weighted)*                                     | High        |
| Wu, 2014                    | RCT         | 80              |                 | Hospital-based patients with hypertension | I: 168±3 106±2  C: 167±3 105±3 | HE                | Motivational interviewing for behaviour change to low-salt diet | 12                | –69 (not reported)                                       | High        |
| He, 2015                    | CRCT        | 279             | I: 10.0±0.5     | Schoolchildren            | I: 106.2±11.9 67±13.1  C: 106.2±11.9 66.8±13.1 | HE                | Multiple theory-based education programme for behaviour change to low-salt diet | 6                 | –32 (24 hours’ urine collection)                         | Low         |
| Li, 2016                    | CRCT        | 2566            | I: 43.9±12.5    | Family adults             | I: 127.1±25 81.4±16.7  C: 124.1±25 79.9±16.7 | HE                | Community-based health education and access to salt substitute in market | 12                | –14 (24 hours’ urine collection)*                        | Low         |
| Yuan, 2019                  | RCT         | 168             | I: 57.9±6.8     | Hospital-based patients with salt-sensitive hypertension | I: 157.9±18.4 97.7±15.7  C: 158.5±17.1 96.6±14.9 | HE                | Mobile health education | 6                 | –41 (24 hours’ urine collection)                         | High        |
| Sun, 2013                   | RCT         | 81              | I: 51.2         | Patients on haemodialysis | –                   | SRD               | Diet with salt <2 g/day | 3                  | –                                                       | High        |
| Hu, 2013                    | RCT         | 50              | I: 68.5±5.6     | Community clinic-based patients with hypertension | I: 159.5±17.5 106.8±11.2  C: 158.3±16.5 105.5±10.5 | SRS               | Salt restriction spoon | 2                  | –                                                       | High        |
| Hu, 2006                    | RCT         | 220             | I: 57.1±10.9    | Community-based patients with hypertension | I: 155.4±12.1 89.5±8  C: 157.8±12.1 91.2±6.8 | SS                | Salt substitute (65% NaCl, 25% KCl, 10% MgSO4) | 12                | –5.1 mmol/L (first morning urine)                        | Low         |
|                            |             |                 | C: 55.7         | Family members of patients with hypertension | I: 124.1±15.3 75.9±10  C: 124.9±16.2 75.6±8.8 | SS                | Salt substitute (65% NaCl, 25% KCl, 10% MgSO4) | 12                | –                                                       | Low         |

Continued
| First author, published year | Study design | Participants, n | Male (%) | Mean age (years) | Study population character | Baseline SBP and DBP level (mm Hg) | Intervention type | Intervention description | Duration (months) | Net sodium reduction (mmol/day, I–C) (collection methods) | Risk of bias† |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Jin, 2020                   | RCT          | 608             | I: 48    | C: 42           | Patients with high cardiovascular risk | I: 159±25, 93±14, C: 158±14, 93±14 | SS               | Sall substitute (65% NaCl, 25% KCl, 10% MgSO₄) | 12              | −8.0 mmol/L (first morning urine)               | Low          |
| Mu, 2009                    | RCT          | 215             | I: 54.5  | C: 52.6         | Community-based hypertensive young adults | I: 123.8±12.9, 75±11.1, C: 124.3±14.1, 77±11.8 | SS               | Sall added in 10 mmol KCl and 10 mmol CaCl per day per person | 24              | 5 (overnight 8 hours’ urine collection)          | High         |
| Zhou, 2009                  | RCT          | 126             | I: 43.5  | C: 42.2         | Community-based hypertensives | I: 150.7±11.7, 83.3±7.8, C: 157.7±12.9, 82.7±7.1 | SS               | Sall substitute (65% NaCl, 30% KCl, 5% calcium salts) | 6               | −67.4 (24 hours’ urine collection)               | Low          |
| Zhao, 2014                  | RCT          | 282             | I: 39.7  | C: 42.6         | Community-based normotensives | I: 176.1±22.4, 103.2±12, C: 177.6±23.3, 105.8±13.1 | SS               | Sall substitute (65% NaCl, 30% KCl, 5% calcium salts) | 3               | −118 (tool weighted)                             | Low          |
| Li, 2014                    | CRCT         | 516             | I: 49.1  | C: 51.3         | Family with two persons aged >50 years | I: 128.9±13.5, 82±10.1, C: 126.8±14.9, 81.3±11.7 | SS               | Sall substitute (70% NaCl, 30% KCl) | 2               | −                                | High         |
| Zhang, 2015                 | CRCT         | 2052            | –        | –               | Elderly in nursing homes | I: 150.2±83, 83.1±26.8, C: 150.2±26.3, 82±13 | SS               | Sall substitute (50% NaCl, 50% KCl) | 14              | –                                | Unclear      |
| Zhou, 2016                  | CRCT         | 462             | I: 49.6  | C: 49.2         | Community-based patients with hypertension plus family members | I: 152±28.3, 91.5±14.8, C: 149.5±23.7, 89.1±13.8 | SS               | Sall substitute (65% NaCl, 25% KCl, 10% MgSO₄) | 36              | –                                | High         |
| Yang, 2018                  | RCT          | 51              | I: 41.7  | C: 40.8         | Community-based patients with isolated systolic hypertension | I: 161±11.0, 80.6±4.9, C: 157±10.4, 81.3±4.97 | SS               | Sall substitute (65% NaCl, 30% KCl, 5% calcium salts) | 6               | −65 (24 hours’ urine collection)               | High         |
|                             |              | 75              | I: 44.7  | C: 43.2         | Community-based patients with not isolated systolic hypertension | I: 159±12.2, 85.0±8.8, C: 157±13.8, 83.8±8.21 | SS               | Sall substitute (65% NaCl, 30% KCl, 5% calcium salts) | 6               | −76 (24 hours’ urine collection)               | High         |

Table 1 Continued
there were nine studies with high quality, six on salt substitutes and three on health education (one of the three had no baseline outcome reported).

No evidence of publication bias was shown among all studies included by visually inspection of the funnel plot (online supplementary figure 2) or Egger’s tests (p=0.924 and p=0.353 for the effect on systolic and diastolic BP, respectively).

**Overall effect of salt reduction interventions**

The meta-analysis results of the reported effects of salt reduction interventions on BP are listed in figures 3 and 4. Analyses restricted to studies with high quality (six on salt substitute and two on health education) showed that the size of the overall mean intervention effect in lowering systolic BP was −4.3 mm Hg (95% CI −6.5 to 2.2) and diastolic BP −1.6 mm Hg (95% CI −2.7 to 0.4), which became larger when all studies were included in the analysis (−7.0 mm Hg, 95% CI −8.4 to 5.7 for systolic BP; and −3.6 mm Hg, 95% CI −4.5 to 2.7 for diastolic BP).
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The sensitivity analysis that took the leave-one-out approach found that changes in the pooled effect estimates were minor.

**Effects of different salt reduction strategies**

**Health education for behaviour change**

There were eight studies using health education as salt reduction strategy in the review. Among them, the China Rural Health Initiative Salt Reduction Study (CRHI-SRS) used a cluster randomised controlled design with 60 villages on intervention and 60 villages on control. The intervention was community-wide health education plus social marketing of salt substitute. The results showed that the 24 hours’ urinary sodium excretion was significantly lower and potassium was significantly higher in the random sample of villagers in intervention villages than that in control villages at the end of 2 years’ intervention. However, it was not appropriate to be included in the meta-analysis because (1) it did not report the baseline BP so that the change in BP was not available for our analyses; and (2) the study used two independent random samples of the villagers, which is very different from other trials that all used a cohort to measure the outcome. The remaining seven studies had data on BP change reported and were included in the meta-analysis. Six studies applied health education for behaviour changes to reduce daily salt intake. One disseminated health messages using mobile phones. The pooled effect sizes of the seven studies were quite large (−8.1 mm Hg in systolic BP and −4.5 mm Hg in diastolic BP). However, only two studies from the School-EduSalt trial scored high quality, which included schoolchildren and their parents but showed a much smaller effect than the pooled data. The study randomised 28 primary schools into a one-semester (16 weeks) school class-based health education programme on salt reduction or usual control. Two hundred and eighty grade 5 students and their family members (two for each student) were randomly selected for surveys before and after the intervention to evaluate the effect of the intervention. For all participants together, compared with the control group, the net reduction of 24 hours’ urinary sodium excretion was about 25% in the intervention group. Specifically, the effect on BP for adults was −2.3 mm Hg in systolic BP (p<0.05) and −0.9 mm Hg in diastolic BP (p=0.31), and the corresponding effects were smaller and not statistically significant for children. Other studies all used an individual randomisation design but poorly reported concealment of allocation, did not blind the study participants and research staff and did not report information on antihypertension medication use. Two of them did not report information on intervention fidelity.

**Salt restriction diet**

Two studies from an article reported the effect of salt restriction diet, one in patients on haemodialysis and the other in patients on peritoneal dialysis. However, the study quality was not high. Details of the generation and concealment of the random allocation sequence as well as information on intervention fidelity were not reported and no measures were taken to blind participants and research staff. The baseline BP data were not reported and hence the effect sizes were not obtained. The post-intervention data showed that systolic/diastolic BP was 158.1/88.3 mm Hg in control group and 128.3/80.2 mm Hg in intervention group among patients on haemodialysis; the corresponding data were 142.1/98.4 and 123.3/91.7 mm Hg among patients on peritoneal dialysis.

**Salt restriction spoon**

One RCT on salt restriction spoon was conducted. In the study, a salt restriction spoon with a volume to hold 2g salt was designed to help housewives calculate the daily amount of salt they could use. The results showed that the use of salt restriction spoons could significantly reduce systolic and diastolic BP by 11.3 and 9.4 mm Hg, respectively. However, the quality of the study was poor because of insufficient information on the process of
random sequence generation and allocation concealment, failure to blind participants and research staff and no data available regarding intervention fidelity and anti-hypertension medication use.

**Salt substitute**

Thirteen trials on salt substitution were included, the percentage of potassium chloride replacing sodium chloride ranged from 25% to 50%.15 21–28 Six of those studies (1694 adults) were classified with high quality.21–24 Analyses restricted to those six with high quality showed a significant effect on BP (–5.7 mm Hg, 95% CI –8.5 to 2.8 for systolic BP; and –2.0 mm Hg, 95% CI –3.5 to 0.4 for diastolic BP). The pooled estimates of intervention effect in all studies were –5.9 mm Hg (95% CI –7.0 to 4.7) for systolic BP and –2.7 mm Hg (95% CI –3.6 to 1.7) for diastolic BP. Among seven studies with high risk of bias, one did not measure the fidelity of the intervention. Two used individual randomisation design without blinding study participants, and the randomisation sequences and concealment were unknown. Two studies lacked information on participant blindness, antihypertension medication use, intervention fidelity and had high possibility of selection bias. One study had not provided details regarding the randomisation sequences and concealment, and did not report data on antihypertension medication use and intervention fidelity. One study was reported only in abstract and had unknown information on randomisation and its concealment, intervention fidelity as well as outcome assessment.

The sensitivity analysis with the leave-one-out approach found that the pooled effect estimates changed insignificantly.

**Results from meta-regression analysis**

Considering only one study in children and others in adults, the meta-regression was restricted to studies among adults. Univariate regression showed that type of salt reduction strategies was not associated with the BP changes (p=0.47 for systolic BP and p=0.06 for diastolic BP). Further multivariable meta-regression adjusting for other variables including participant’s hypertension status and quality of study confirmed the same findings from the univariate analyses (p=0.83 and p=0.16 for systolic and diastolic BP, respectively, table 2).

When our analysis was limited to the studies with high quality only, only two types of intervention were left: health education and salt substitute. Both univariate and multivariate analyses found that the type of salt reduction interventions was not associated with BP change.

**DISCUSSION**

**Main findings**

This systematic review identified 24 RCTs that assessed the effect of salt reduction strategies in lowering BP in a period of 2 months to 3 years in China. The salt reduction strategies employed in these studies could be classified into four types: health education (8 studies), salt restriction diet (2 studies), salt restriction spoon (1 study) and salt substitute (13 studies). Among them, nine were classified with high quality and eight had data available on changes in BP for the meta-analysis. Our meta-analysis of six studies on salt substitute with high quality showed a significant estimated pooled effect size of –5.7 mm Hg in systolic BP and –2.0 mm Hg in diastolic BP among Chinese adults. One study with high quality on health education showed that a school-based health education programme significantly reduced systolic BP in the parents of school students by 2.3 mm Hg on average but a non-significant reduction in systolic and diastolic BP among children and diastolic BP in parents. The meta-regression analysis among all included studies in adults showed that the type of intervention strategy was not significantly associated with the intervention effect on BP after adjusting for baseline hypertension status and quality of study. These results strongly support to promote the use of salt substitute for control of hypertension in China and call for more studies with high quality to provide evidence for other salt reduction strategies.

---

**Table 2**  Multivariate meta-regression on the association of intervention effect on blood pressure change with type of intervention strategy, hypertension status and study quality

| Type of intervention                      | Coefficients | P value | Coefficients | P value |
|------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| **Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)**      |              |         |              |         |
| Health education                         | Reference    | 0.83    | Reference    | 0.16    |
| Salt restriction spoon                   | –0.3 (–14.8, 14.3) | 0.97    | –3.7 (–10.5, 3.1) | 0.26    |
| Salt substitute                          | 1.8 (–4.8, 8.5) | 0.56    | 1.8 (–1.0, 4.6) | 0.18    |
| Participants’ hypertension status        | 0.37         |         | 0.51         |         |
| Hypertensives                            | Reference    | –       | Reference    | –       |
| Mix of hypertensives and normotensives   | 4.1 (–2.1, 10.2) | 0.18    | 0.7 (–1.9, 3.4) | 0.56    |
| Normotensives                            | 0 (–14.4, 14.4) | 0.99    | –2.9 (–9.9, 4.2) | 0.40    |
| High versus low study quality            | 2.2 (–4.5, 8.9) | 0.49    | 2.1 (–0.8, 5.0) | 0.14    |

---
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Evidence on effect of different salt reduction strategies

Among four types of salt reduction strategy evaluated in the review, salt substitute strategy was studied the most intensively, with the highest total number of study (13 studies), total number of study participants (5655 adults) and total number of study with high quality (6 studies with 1694 adults), and the effect size changed very little between all studies and those with high quality. Thus, our meta-analysis on the pooled estimates of intervention effect of salt substitute in lowering BP had a greater power to draw conclusion and the results should be much reliable.

Second to salt substitute, there were seven studies on the effect of health education. However, only three of them judged with high quality, and one had no baseline BP reported. The other two studies were actually of one trial, School-EduSalt, with two different study populations, children and their parents.\(^{18}\) The trial used cluster randomised controlled design to test the effect of a school-based health education in lowering the family’s salt intake and BP as the consequence. The intervention successfully reduced salt intake in the family by about 25% in a school term.\(^{18}\) In addition, mean systolic BP was reduced significantly by 2.3 mm Hg among family adults.\(^{18}\) Compared with the effect size reported in studies with high quality, this effect size was much smaller. And it was smaller than that reported in studies with high quality evaluating salt substitute. However, compared with the Cochrane review results among non-Chinese populations, the School-EduSalt trial showed much more promising results.\(^{29}\) As the evidence was driven from only one trial with high quality, our study urges for future studies to reproduce the effect of this particular strategy before it can be scaled up widely. The CRHI-SRS trial was unique in its design and intervention, and was not included in our meta-analyses because of no data available at the baseline, in which the population mean sodium intake measured by 24 hours’ urine was significantly reduced but mean BP was not.\(^{12}\) Other health education strategies evaluated in China are lacking high-quality evidence and require future studies with high quality to prove their efficacy.

We also identified two studies on salt restriction diet and one study on salt restriction spoons. All these studies claimed a significant effect of the interventions among either patients with hypertension or on dialysis. However, the quality was all considered low. Moreover, the majority of trials evaluating low-sodium diet were short term and the evidence on long-term intervention effect was lacking.

Comparison of different salt reduction strategies in effect size

We tried to understand which of the four salt reduction strategies were more effective than the others. The meta-analyses on studies with high quality indicated that the effect by the salt substitutes was greater than that by the school-based health education. However, the studies on salt substitutes were mostly conducted among patients with hypertension but the school-based health education was among children and their parents. Further, the type of intervention strategy was not significantly associated with the net intervention effect in both the univariate and the multivariable meta-regression models with adjustment for the baseline hypertension status and quality of study. The negative results might be due to the small number of studies included and the large heterogeneities among the studies. Anyway, there is not enough power for us to answer this particular question so far.

Limitations

There are some limitations in our study. First, although four types of salt reduction interventions were tested with randomised controlled design among Chinese and included in our systematic review, we are unable to compare among them to answer which one is more effective than the others. This is mainly due to the poor quality and small number of the studies pertaining to interventions other than salt substitute. Second, the quality of the trials varied widely although all of them used the RCT design. The frequent biases included incomplete reporting of randomisation process, lack of blinding of participants, poor intervention fidelity and lacking information on antihypertension treatment. Besides, the characteristics of trials included in the meta-analysis were varied in the span of age of participants, the spectrum of disease as well as sample sizes of studies. Thus, significant heterogeneity stemmed from the variation in participant demographics, study characteristics, and so on, which has not been explained well. Finally, almost all of the studies included were conducted among hypertensives or the combination of hypertensives and normotensives. The impacts of salt reduction strategies in normotensives in reducing BP need to be evaluated by further well-designed studies.

CONCLUSION

In summary, our study demonstrated that salt reduction by salt substitutes had abundant high-quality evidence on the effect of lowering BP among Chinese adults, particularly in patients with hypertension. The other intervention strategies require further well-designed studies to warrant their efficacy in lowering BP.
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