METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE EFFICIENCY OF THE GRINDING PROCESS

Purpose. To create a method for using a new indicator of the intensity of cutting modes which will reduce the amount of reference information on the methods of both grinding and machining with a blade tool.

Methodology. Analytical research.

Findings. A new local indicator of the intensity of cutting modes, which has a clear physical meaning — the thickness of the cut — is proposed. It is determined by the projection of the feed rate of the part in the direction of the normal to the surface of the tool. The indicator can be applied to various kinematics of processes of grinding of details. The technique is suitable for abrasive and blade tools. Thanks to the new indicator, the amount of reference information is reduced.

Originality. As an indicator of processing intensity instead of the integrated indicator of specific productivity it is offered to use the local one — a projection of speed of relative movement of giving in the normal direction. The indicator has a clear physical meaning and determines the thickness of the cut for a given cutting speed. It allows considering all kinematic components and contains the full information on loading of a site of a profile within contact, allows distinguishing passing and counter processing which depend on a mutual direction of vectors of speed of cutting and circular giving. The relationship between the projection of the relative velocity in the normal direction, the specific productivity and the length of contact is found.

Practical value. The indicator of grinding efficiency modes for methods of longitudinal and expressive machining of parts is determined. It gives an opportunity to unify the data of tables of modes, to use calculations both for various methods for grinding, and processing by the blade tool. A method for determining the grinding efficiency index with an oriented tool, where stability acts as an objective function, is proposed. Productivity is a balance condition, and surface roughness determines the boundary condition. The definition of extreme values of intensity is given. Separate indicators of the mode both for the created new technique and the one used on the equipment of the known German firm are defined.
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Introduction. Rational assignment of modes and the choice of method for grinding allow increasing the treatment efficiency [1], reducing the production cost, machine time [2], the tool wear and the energy consumption of the process [3].

Methods for round longitudinal and cut grinding for which, as a rule, the modes are given separately, are most widely covered in the literature [1, 2]. However, the influence of some movements, for example, the longitudinal feed and cutting speed in grinding, is usually neglected.

Specialists of the company “Junkermaschinen” (Germany) [4] created a new method for effective depth grinding tool with an angular orientation on base of machines “Quickpoint 1000”, “Quickpoint 3000”, “4000 Quickpoint”. Deep multi-pass treatment is carried out on the periphery of the returned tool. The method allows increasing both the productivity by increasing the size of the contact area, much of which is made on the end, and the quality when obtaining the surface roughness in the form of a grid. In addition, it combines rough, half-finish and finish processing in one process with the distribution of plots along the profile of the tool of a large diameter. The final quality is obtained only by a short section within the forming point.

Ukrainian scientists have created a similar method [5, 6]. Mode indicators of the grinding process in the works are not given.

The authors established new methods for grinding rollers and the fallen stepped surfaces [7]. These methods can be performed by the conical surface of the cup-shaped tool.

However, the choice of the operating parameters of the treatment methods shown effective in the technical literature are not given.

The question arises: is it possible to conduct a synthesis of recommendations for the selection of modes for grinding [2] and blade operations, taking into account all kinematic components (the influence of which is neglected) and the relative orientation of the tool [8, 9].

Literature review. Works by a number of scientists are devoted to the choice of grinding modes [2, 3, 10]. The information is also contained in technological reference books, operational maps, empirical dependences in the form of a power function on a given rational stability of the tool and processing parameters [7].

In [2], the influence on the specific productivity of the contact length between the circle and the workpiece is analyzed. The influence of the kinematic components of the longitudinal feed and cutting speed are not given.

At this time, scientists are creating new effective methods for grinding [4, 5, 7].

Method [4] is implemented on an industrial equipment of the company in achieving good results of both productivity and quality of machining and low tool wear. The company does not provide grinding modes.

In [5, 6] there is a description of a new effective method for grinding in process control due to the angular relative orientation of the circle. However, information on how to choose the modes of the grinding process is not provided.

A new efficient method [7] for multi-pass deep grinding of stepped shafts with a conical end surface of a cup abrasive wheel, which can be implemented on domestic equipment, has been developed. The method provides an increase in the size of the contact and the projection of the relative feed rate in the direction of the normal \( Y_n \) so the processing performance is determined from equation [10]

\[
Q = \int_{F} Y_n \, dF
\]  

where \( F, dF \) are the contact area and its element.
Expression (1) relates and prioritizes between process intensity parameters, in this case for grinding. The method allows obtaining a roughness of the processed surface in the form of a grid [11, 12]. The heat load as it approaches the forming point in the passages decreases slightly, due to the reduction of the contact area width.

In the joint work by the authors [13] the functional dependence of the relative speed of circular feed in the direction of the normal $V_n$ (normal speed) for the case of processing by the method for longitudinal deep grinding with an oriented tool is determined. Its influence on processing productivity is analyzed.

According to the authors, it is the lack of equations, by definition, $V_n$ in its explicit functional form that does not allow using widely the normal rate, as an indicator of the intensity of the grinding process.

The equations of mathematical models of the circle surface and the formation function are given [12], which are written through the product of matrices of linear and angular displacements $[10, 14]$

$$\mathbf{r}(\theta, z) = A^l(\theta) \cdot A^t(R(z)) \cdot A^3(z) \cdot \mathbf{e}^4,$$

where $A^l(q), A^t(q), A^3(q)$ is the matrix of linear displacement along the axes $x$, $y$, $z$; $A^l(q), A^t(q), A^3(q)$ is the matrix angular rotations relative to the axes $x$, $y$, $z$; $q$ is a generalized coordinate; $R(z)$ is radial position of the point running along the surface of the tool as a function of longitudinal coordinate $z$; $\theta$ is an angular parameter that corresponds to the position of a point that runs.

The ort of the normal to the tool surface $\mathbf{n}(\theta, z)$ of rotation of the grinding wheel at the point $\mathbf{e}^4$ that runs along the surface of the tool is determined from the expression [15]

$$\mathbf{n}(\theta, z) = \frac{\mathbf{e}^4}{|\mathbf{e}^4|} = \frac{\mathbf{a}(\theta, z) \times \mathbf{e}^4}{|\mathbf{a}(\theta, z) \times \mathbf{e}^4|},$$

where $\mathbf{a}(\theta, z)$ is a generalized vector, $|\mathbf{e}^4|$ is the length of the vector $\mathbf{e}^4$, $\times$ is the cross product.

Taking into account all the kinematic components of the longitudinal and circular mortise grinding, the tool profile can be divided into the following sections: the peripheral, end face (cuts the basic allowance), and transitional (conjugates the above areas and is formed during wear and editing).

It is proved that the cross product $V_n$ contains the full local information about the work of each individual parcel within contact and account for all kinematic components. If the cutting speeds and projections $V_n$ on the normal direction are the same for different methods, then the distances between adjacent cutting surfaces will be the same.

In the joint work of the authors [17], among the parameters of the cutting process, the intervals between the moments of neighboring contacts are defined.

This allows you to provide a set of values: the product of the time interval between the contacts of the cutting edges (or blades) at normal speed and the cutting speed of the physical sense, which is the thickness of the cut layer (Fig. 1)

$$A_i(V_n, V_k) = \Delta_i(V_n, V_k) \cdot V_n.$$

If for different processing methods and cutting speeds the cut thicknesses are the same, then such modes can be considered equivalent.

![Fig. 1. The influence of modes ($V_n, V_k$) on the time intervals between contacts (a) and the thickness of the slices (b) for counter grinding [17]](image-url)
At the same time, the indicator \( V_n \) allows distinguishing between the processes of associated and counter processing at the same limits of contact and the value of specific productivity. For such processes, the temperature differs significantly.

The analyzed dependence of the thickness on the cutting speed does not contradict the experimental data on the study on the cutting thickness [17].

The established relationship between the normal speed \( V_n \) and the specific productivity allowed distinguishing between the processes for counter and associated grinding, which affects the thermal stress.

**Unsolved aspects of the problem.** The search for new processing methods [4, 5 and 7] requires finding the normal speed \( V_n \) which is an actual problem. However, how one assigns the modes of new methods for grinding?

The use of vector products \( V_n \) to reduce the amount of reference data makes them more versatile. The data can be used for methods for milling and grinding. Accordingly, one should design the tables of sensitive data.

The determined modes for methods of longitudinal and plunge grinding require improvement and generalization for other methods of cutting to reflect vertical flow.

However, the generalization of the mathematical function model of morphogenesis, in case of vertical movement and angular orientation, is not carried out. This model allows for the simplification of mathematical relations and provides them with clear meaning.

**Purpose.** A method for using a new measure of the intensity of setting conditions to improve the efficiency of processes, which will reduce the amount of background information of methods for both grinding and processing by blade tool.

**Technique.** Analytical research studies are carried out. The results of calculations are analyzed. Conclusions are made.

**Results.** A new calculation scheme is proposed (Fig. 2), which includes the following movements: rotation of the circle and parts, and relative feeds: longitudinal \( S_{poz} \), transverse \( S_{pop} \) and vertical \( S_h \).

The diagram shows the formation of a fragment of a stepped roller with axes of the part crossed at an angle \( \psi \) and the abrasive circle of a cup shape [10].

Through the small size of the contact area, it can be calculated using Euler radius of curvature \( R_e = \frac{R}{\sin \psi} \), where \( R \) is the radius of the tool.

Tool rotation for determining the position of the line contact which defines the shaping [13], can be ignored. It does not lead to a relative change in position of the part. The other movements — the rotation of the part at an angle \( \varphi \) and its translational motion at a distance \( p \cdot \varphi \) — have a kinematic relationship with each other through the parameter of helical motion \( p \) [10, 14].

The process of feeding the material which is cut to sections of the instrument can be recorded through the forming function on the machine, transferring to the system of coordinates (SK) of part
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Intensity parameters of round longitudinal grinding

| Circular feed, m/min | The radii of the circle and part, mm | Longitudinal feed, mm/rev | Allowance, mm/rev | Rotated parts, s⁻¹ | Normal speed, mm/s | Specific productivity, mm²/s | Processing mode |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| 25                   | 200 30                               | 5                         | 0.02             | 2.2               | 16.3              | 8.3                       | 8.4  finishing |
| 20                   | 200 30                               | 2                         | 0.02             | 1.8               | 13.1              | 6.7                       | 6.7  finishing |
| 45                   | 200 80                               | 10                        | 2.05             | 1.5               | 31.4              | 37.5                      | 37.6 draft    |
| 30                   | 150 30                               | 15                        | 0.050            | 2.7               | 31.7              | 25.0                      | 25.1 draft    |
| 20                   | 150 20                               | 20                        | 0.020            | 2.7               | 15.9              | 6.7                       | 6.7  finishing |
| 50                   | 150 80                               | 24                        | 0.025            | 1.7               | 25.8              | 20.8                      | 20.9 draft    |
| 50                   | 300 150                              | 28                        | 0.015            | 0.9               | 14.4              | 12.5                      | 12.5 draft    |

The specific productivity is associated with a normal speed \( V_s \). In a simplified way, it is defined [2]

\[
Q^p = V_s \cdot t_0, \tag{9}
\]

where \( t_0 \) is the allowance for the passage [8].

More precisely the determination is carried out taking into account the longitudinal and radial feeds, and using the expression (4), that is, the specific theoretical capacity is equal to

\[
Q^p = \int V_s(t) \cdot R \cdot d\theta = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} V_s(t) \cdot R \cdot d\theta = n_d \left( 2 \cdot \pi \cdot R \cdot (R_0 + r) \cdot t_0 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + t_0 \cdot (t_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}). \tag{10}
\]

Here, the expression \( R \cdot d\theta \) characterizes the element of the contact arc by length [15]

\[
L_d = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} R \cdot d\theta = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} 2 \cdot \pi \cdot R \cdot t_0 \cdot (R_0 + r) \cdot (R_0 + r) \cdot \frac{t_0^2}{2}. \tag{11}
\]

The effect of feed direction on the cutting speed is taken into account through the changing positions of the limits of the integral and the sign of angular velocity.

Expressions (8) and (10) can be solved simultaneously for conditions of longitudinal grinding, considering the limits of the contact. The result is

\[
Q^p = n_d \cdot t_2 \left( 2 \cdot \pi \cdot R \cdot t_0 + \frac{t_2}{2} \cdot R \cdot t_0 + \frac{t_2}{2} \cdot (R_0 + r) \right), \tag{12}
\]

or taking into consideration the smallness of the last term

\[
Q^p \approx n_d \cdot t_2 \left( 2 \cdot \pi \cdot d + L_d \right). \tag{13}
\]

The expression (13) takes into account both a circular flow (the first term) and the longitudinal and transverse (cut-in). It more accurately takes into account all kinematic components (column 9, Table 1).

In Table 1, the efficiency of the modes of longitudinal grinding are compared.

The conditions for the relationship between the intensity of the transverse (mortise) grinding are shown in Table 2. The similarity of the nature of the influence of longitudinal and transverse (cut-in) feeds according to (5) is considered as well as the greater contact length (11).

Let us analyze use of normal speed \( V_s \) (4) to find the mode parameters of traditional and new promising grinding methods.

The use of normal speed does not contradict the existing recommendations for the choice of modes. Thus, according to equations (8, 12) an increase in \( V_s \) by 2 times leads to a corresponding change in intensity with the same size, and the growth of \( V_{s, max} \) is behind on the depth \( t_0 \) (8).

Using the tables of standards of modes of grinding we can note that the circular feed, as the product of the number of turns on the radius part \( r \), changes slightly with the same height values of the circle and the measure of roughness of the processing, which acts as a boundary condition.

The choice of operating parameters of multi-pass efficient grinding by new techniques [4] can be solved using line search methods for optimal solutions [18], where it is advisable to take the tool life as an objective function.

There is a generally accepted experimental dependence that characterizes the process of stability of the abrasive tool [1–3], which is considered as a power function of the grinding mode indicators

\[
T = \frac{C_T}{(Q \cdot K_C)^\alpha}. \tag{14}
\]

Here \( T \) depends on: the quality of the treated material \( C_t \) and rigidity of the technological system – \( KC \). The exponent \( \alpha \) depends on the material, for steel, \( n = 1.5–1.7 \). So the tool life is determined by the intensity of its use. This is true across both traditional and advanced methods.

Durability \( T \) depends only on the normal velocity \( V_s \), which is a comprehensive measure, and at the same time characterizes the specific capacity that determines the balance

| Method | \( V_s \), mm/s | \( X_n \), mm/rev | \( V_c \), mm/s | \( Q^p \), mm²/s | \( Q^r \), mm²/s | Modes |
|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| Cut-in | 500–800 (20÷50) | 0.0025–0.075    | >20           | 15–50          | >10            | D      |
|       | 330–600 (20÷40) | 0.001–0.005     | <20           | 1–15           | <10            | F      |
|       | 200–400 (12÷25) | 0.01–0.025      | >20           | 15–50          | >10            | D      |
|       | 250–920 (15÷55) | 0.005–0.015     | <20           | 1–15           | <10            | F      |

Note: D – draft, F – finishing
condition. The boundary condition that limits the mode parameters is the achievement of the roughness of the treated surface. As the number of revolutions of the part and, accordingly, the passages above it \( K = \frac{H}{S_n} \) increases, \( (H) \) is the height of the circle), the roughness parameter decreases [11, 19].

It is known [3] that the following conditions for a single variable \( V_n \) are possible only at the maximum values, which provides both stability and productivity, therefore

\[
T \left( \frac{1}{V_n} \right) \to \text{max}, \quad (V_n)_T = V_n^{\text{shown}} \to \text{max}. \quad (15)
\]

The value obtained \((V_n)_T\) according to formula (10) defines the performance parameters \(n_d\) and \(t_z\).

So, the grinding modes in the prospectus of the company Juncker [4] do not specify. However, from experience of its use it is known that a high durability with low wear of the circle is provided.

How one selects the modes for promising new ways of grinding [4, 5 and 7]?

If you increase the speed details \(n_d\), or circular feed that acts as a boundary condition the value of the parameter of screw motion, depth per pass \(t_z\), and the contact angle, i.e. decrease simultaneously

\[
(V_n)_T = \text{const} \quad \begin{cases} 
\frac{S_{\text{MAC}}}{n_d} \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_z = \frac{n}{n_d} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta_0 \downarrow 
\end{cases} \quad (16)
\]

Given (8), we receive an indicator of the effectiveness of the grinding method \(V_{n_{\text{max}}}\). Here \( R = R / \sin \alpha_n \) — Euler curvature radius of the working cone of the tool, which has large values [15]; \( \sin \alpha_n \) is the angle between the section of the profile of the circle (or tangent to it) and the plane of rotation.

Therefore, the effect of high speeds of the part on the growth of normal speed can be reduced by significantly reducing the diametrical dimensions of the tool and reducing the feed per revolution. This allows you to adhere to the specified stability of the tool and the quality of the treated surface.

**Conclusions.** Normal speed characterizes the intensity of the grinding mode more fully than the specific productivity. It contains local information and includes all kinematic components.

The equation for finding \( V_{n_{\text{max}}} \) can be used to determine the modes of advanced grinding methods, taking into account the experience of well-studied methods of round longitudinal and transverse (cut-in) grinding.

The modes for different grinding methods can be considered equivalent if the normal speeds are the same for a given cutting speed. Therefore, the distances between adjacent cutting surfaces will also be the same.

The distance between adjacent cutting surfaces during rotation without loss of productivity can be reduced by simultaneously increasing the number of revolutions and minute feed, which is confirmed by the practice of the Quick point method (Germany) [4].

Reducing the depth of cut of the passage as it approaches the forming point will reduce the length of the contact, the wear of the profile within the forming area and the local temperature [17].
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Мета. Створення методики використання нового показника інтенсивності режимів різання, що дозволить скоротити обсяг довідкової інформації за методами як шлифування, так і обробки лезовим інструментом.

Методика. Аналітичні дослідження.

Результати. Запропоновано новий локальний показник інтенсивності режимів різання, що має явний фізичний зміст – товщина зруйнування. Вона визначається проекцією швидкості подачі деталі на напрямок нормалі до поверхні інструменту. Показник можна застосовувати для різних кінематичних процесів шлифування деталей. Методика придатна для абразивного і лезвійного інструментів. За відсутності новому показнику скорочується обсяг довідкової інформації.

Наукова новизна. Як показник інтенсивності обробки замість інтегрального показника питомої продуктивності пропонується використовувати локальний – проекцію швидкості відносного руху подачі в напрямку нормалі. Показник має чіткий фізичний зміст і визначає товщину зруйнування для заданої швидкості різання. Він дозволяє врахувати всі кінематичні складові і містить повну інформацію про навантаження ділянки профілю в межах контакту, дозволяє розрізняти попутну і зустрічну обробку, що дозволяє розрізняти попутну і зустрічну обробку, що дозволяє робити вимірювання інтенсивності для використання в різних кінематичних режимах різання. Показник можна застосовувати для різних кінематичних процесів шлифування деталей. Методика придатна для абразивного і лезвійного інструментів. За відсутності новому показнику скорочується обсяг довідкової інформації.
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Мета. Створення методики використання нового показника інтенсивності режимів різання, который имеет явный физический смысл – толщина среза. Она определяется проекцией скорости подачи детали в направлении нормали. Показатель можно применять для различной кинематики процессов шлифования деталей. Методика пригодна для абразивного и лезвийного инструментов. Благодаря новому показателю сокращается объем справочной информации.

Методика. Аналитические исследования.

Результаты. Предложен новый локальный показатель интенсивности режимов резания, который имеет явный физический смысл – толщина среза. Он определяется проекцией скорости подачи детали в направлении нормали. Показатель можно применять для различных кинематических процессов шлифования. Методика пригодна для абразивного и лезвийного инструментов. Благодаря новому показателю сокращается объем справочной информации.

Научная новизна. В качестве показателя интенсивности обработки вместо интегрального показателя удельной производительности предлагается использовать локальный – проекцию скорости относительного движения подачи в направлении нормали. Показатель имеет явный физический смысл и определяет толщину среза для заданной скорости резания. Он позволяет учесть все кинематические составляющие и содержит полную информацию о нагрузке участка профиля в пределах контакта, позволяет различать попутную и встречную обработку, которые зависят от взаимного направления векторов скорости резки и круговой подачи. Найдена взаимосвязь между проекцией скорости относительного движения в направлении нормали, удельной производительностью и длиной контакта.

Практическая значимость. Определен показатель режимов эффективности шлифования для методов продольной и врезной обработки деталей. Это даёт возможность унифицировать данные таблиц режимов, использовать расчеты как для различных методов шлифования, так и обработки лезвийным инструментом. Предложена методика определения показателя эффективности шлифования ориентированным инструментом, где в качестве целевой функции выступает стойкость. Производительность является балансовым условием, а шероховатость поверхности определяет гранничное условие. Приведены определения крайних значений интенсивности. Определены отдельные показатели режима для созданного как нового способа, так и того, что используют на оборудовании известной немецкой фирмы.
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