A Single Mechanism Can Explain Network-wide Insulin Resistance in Adipocytes from Obese Patients with Type 2 Diabetes*\(^{5}\)

Received for publication, September 3, 2014, and in revised form, October 3, 2014. Published, JBC Papers in Press, October 15, 2014, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M114.608927

Elin Nyman\(^{1}\), Meenu Rohini Rajan\(^{1}\), Siri Fagerholm\(^{1}\), Cecilia Brännmark\(^{2}\), Gunnar Cedersund\(^{5}\), and Peter Strålfors\(^{1,2}\)

From the \(^{4}\)Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine and the \(^{5}\)Department of Biomedical Engineering, Linköping University, SE58185 Linköping, Sweden

Background: Molecular mechanisms of insulin resistance in diabetes are poorly understood.

Results: Quantitative systems-wide data reveal that a single mechanism can explain insulin resistance throughout the signaling network in human adipocytes.

Conclusion: The most important aspect of the insulin resistance mechanism is an attenuated feedback signal.

Significance: The study demonstrates how insulin resistance originates and propagates throughout the signaling network in cells from patients with diabetes.

The response to insulin is impaired in type 2 diabetes. Much information is available about insulin signaling, but understanding of the cellular mechanisms causing impaired signaling and insulin resistance is hampered by fragmented data, mainly obtained from different cell lines and animals. We have collected quantitative and systems-wide dynamic data on insulin signaling in primary adipocytes and compared cells isolated from healthy and diabetic individuals. Mathematical modeling and experimental verification identified mechanisms of insulin control of the MAPKs ERK1/2. We found that in human adipocytes, insulin stimulates phosphorylation of the ribosomal protein S6 and hence protein synthesis about equally via ERK1/2 and mTORC1. Using mathematical modeling, we examined the signaling network as a whole and show that a single mechanism can explain the insulin resistance of type 2 diabetes throughout the network, involving signaling both through IRS1, PKB, and mTOR and via ERK1/2 to the nuclear transcription factor Elk1. The most important part of the insulin resistance mechanism is an attenuated feedback from the protein kinase mTORC1 to IRS1, which spreads signal attenuation to all parts of the insulin signaling network. Experimental inhibition of mTORC1 using rapamycin in adipocytes from non-diabetic individuals induced and thus confirmed the predicted network-wide insulin resistance.

Insulin regulates cellular functions by binding to its cell surface receptor, which signals the control of diverse processes throughout the cell. Understanding insulin signaling in different cell types is important because perturbation of insulin signaling is a fundamental feature of the metabolic disarrays of major diseases, such as obesity, the metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and some cancers. In obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2D), the adipocytes are of particular interest because insulin resistance in these cells is a direct result of the obesity and contributes to systemic insulin resistance and T2D. Although there are much data and knowledge available on insulin signaling normally and in various models of insulin resistance, the mechanisms that explain the impaired intracellular signaling by insulin, the underlying cause of insulin resistance, are not understood. Several experimental studies have demonstrated that the insulin resistance can be detected in different parts of the signaling network, but there is today no consensus regarding where this resistance may mechanistically originate or how it propagates to the rest of the network. An important reason for this is that data are fragmented and not directly comparable because they are obtained under different conditions, from different cell lines and animal models of insulin resistance/T2D.

We have taken a systems approach to the investigation of the mechanisms of insulin resistance, and we have previously described the branch of the insulin signaling network that leads to control of glucose uptake in human adipocytes, normally and in T2D (1–4) (Fig. 1). The resulting model was based on consistently obtained dynamic and steady-state data for key signal mediators in adipocytes from both healthy individuals and patients with T2D (3). However, the current model is not complete, and it is not known how the mechanisms of impaired insulin signaling identified for the glucose uptake branch relate to signaling through other branches, in particular the important MAPK branch (Fig. 1).

In common with other growth factors, insulin also signals via a “mitogenic” MAPK branch of the signaling network to control nuclear transcription. In order to describe and understand sig-
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naling through the entire insulin signaling network and how the signaling propagates in insulin resistance of T2D, we therefore need to expand our model of insulin signaling to include also the MAPKs extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2 (ERK1/2) and their control of the transcription factor ETS domain-containing protein Elk1. Research on insulin signaling through ERK1/2 has been relatively neglected, and not much is known about the performance of this important signaling branch, especially in T2D (Fig. 1), where findings are inconsistent. For instance, a slight (20%) increase of phospho-ERK1/2 basally, with unaffected insulin stimulation, has been reported for human adipocytes in the diabetic state (5). In skeletal muscle, phosphorylation of ERK1/2 was reported to not be affected in T2D (6, 7), whereas the basal level of phospho-ERK1/2 has also been reported to be increased in skeletal muscle (8) and in myotubes (9) from patients with T2D. In contrast, insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of ERK1/2 was impaired in skeletal muscle from obese Zucker rats (10) and ob/ob mice (11).

The autophosphorylated and activated insulin receptor phosphorylates the insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS1). Tyrosine-phosphorylated IRS1 forms a hub with a branch to “metabolic” control through protein kinase B (PKB) and further downstream to control of protein synthesis via a second hub, the protein kinase mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) in complex with raptor (mTORC1). The signaling branch to “mitogenic” control via ERK1/2 leads to phosphorylation of transcription factors as well as other nuclear and non-nuclear proteins. It is, however, unclear whether also this branch is controlled via Grb2 binding to IRS1 or to Shc or another adapter protein (Fig. 1). ERK1/2 is activated in response to insu-
lin or other growth factors when phosphorylated at a threonine and a tyrosine residue by mitogen-activated protein kinase kinases 1 and 2 (MEK1/2). Phosphorylated and activated ERK1/2 acts in the cytosol and, after translocation into the nucleus, phosphorylates transcription factors, such as Elk1 (reviewed in Refs. 12–14). Although ERK1/2 signaling in response to growth factors, in particular EGF, has been extensively modeled, insulin control of ERK1/2 signaling has been the subject of only limited modeling, and none of the existing models have been based on data from human adipocytes. With little effect of insulin by itself, insulin potentiation of EGF signaling to ERK1/2 has been analyzed in HEK cells (15); the dynamics of insulin-EGF-TNF signal interaction has been analyzed in human carcinoma cells using fuzzy logic (16); and control of ERK1/2 by insulin has been subject to theoretical modeling not based on data (17).

Herein we have collected internally consistent and directly comparable quantitative network-wide dynamic and steady-state data on insulin control of glucose transport, protein synthesis, and ERK1/2- and Elk1-mediated nuclear transcription in human adipocytes, isolated in parallel from non-diabetic and diabetic subjects. Based on these data, we present a comprehensive dynamic model of the insulin signaling network. Analyses of the model in the normal and diabetic states define a single mechanism that can explain the insulin resistance and demonstrate how the insulin resistance propagates throughout the signaling network.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Subjects**—Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Procedures were approved by the ethics board, Linköping University, and were performed in accordance with the WMA Declaration of Helsinki. Subcutaneous fat was obtained from elective abdominal surgery during general anesthesia. A slice of subcutaneous tissue from skin to muscle fascia was excised. Subjects were recruited consecutively from elective surgery at the University Hospital in Linköping. To ensure inclusion only of patients with T2D related to obesity, they were selected when diagnosed with T2D and obese/overweight (BMI > 27). In the comparison group, the only selection criterion for non-diabetic subjects was that they were not diagnosed with diabetes. Thus, there will be some obese insulin-resistant subjects in the non-diabetic comparison group (patient data are given in the respective figure legend). This approach allows for examination of the common obesity-related T2D and for a wide significance of the results.

**Materials**—Rabbit anti-phospho-p70S6K-Thr^{389} (catalog no. 9205), anti-phospho-S6-Ser^{235/236} (catalog no. 2211), anti-phospho-ERK1/2-Thr^{202}/Tyr^{204} (catalog no. 9101), and anti-phospho-IRS1-Ser^{302} (murine sequence, catalog no. 2384) were from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Monoclonal mouse anti-β-tubulin antibodies were from Sigma-Aldrich (Sc-8406), and anti-Elk1 (Sc-22804) antibodies were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. Rapamycin and other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise stated.

**Isolation and Incubation of Adipocytes**—Adipocytes were isolated from subcutaneous adipose tissue by collagenase (type 1; Worthington) digestion as described (18). Cells were incubated in a shaking water bath in supplemented Krebs-Ringer solution as described (19). 100 nM phenylisopropyladenosine and 0.5 units/ml adenosine deaminase were added to the cells 10–20 min before the indicated treatment (18, 20).

**SDS-PAGE and Immunoblotting**—Cell incubations were terminated by separating cells from medium using centrifugation through dinonylphthalate. To minimize postincubation signaling and protein modifications, cells were immediately dissolved in SDS and β-mercaptoethanol with protease and protein phosphatase inhibitors, frozen within 10 s, and thawed in boiling water for further processing, as described (18). Immunoblotting after SDS-PAGE (19) was evaluated by chemiluminescence imaging (Las 1000, Image-Gauge, Fuji, Tokyo, Japan). After adjusting the concentration of primary and secondary antibodies, the linearity of the chemiluminescence signal to the amount of each specific protein was ascertained. β-Tubulin was used as a loading control, and all samples were normalized for the amount of β-tubulin. The total amount of ERK1/2 or Elk1 protein in the adipocytes did not change during incubation with insulin (see “Results”); hence, the determined total phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and Elk1 represents the extent of their phosphorylation. For comparison of the level of phosphorylation between subjects, a standard mix of adipocyte proteins was run in duplicate on each gel so that all samples were normalized to the mean intensity of the corresponding phosphorylated protein in the standard mix.

**Confocal Microscopy of Adipocytes**—The cells were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and attached to poly-l-lysine-coated coverslips. Coverslips were then washed in glycine (50 mM)/phosphate buffer (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄). Cells were permeabilized in 0.1% saponin and blocked with 3% normal horse serum with 1% bovine serum albumin in phosphate buffer for 1 h at 37 °C. Incubation with primary antibody ERK1 (K-23: sc-94, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was overnight at 4 °C. Following washes, cells were incubated with fluorescent secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 2 h at room temperature. Confocal scanning microscopy was performed with a LSM 700 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

**Mathematical Modeling and Model Simulation**—We formulated ordinary differential equation models in the Systems Biology Toolbox for Matlab (21, 22). To reduce the number of parameters, we used mass action kinetics in the rate equations. We first simulated a steady-state using in total 100% of each protein as initial conditions, and second, we simulated the effect of insulin with the obtained steady state as initial conditions to the states in the model. The evaluated mathematical models are described in detail in the supplemental material.

**Parameter Estimation**—Values of model parameters for the insulin signaling system have not been measured experimentally in human adipocytes. We instead estimated values of the parameters using the ordinary differential equation model and experimental data using optimization algorithms to test different parameter values within realistic limits (10⁻⁶ to 10⁴). To evaluate the agreement between simulated outputs of the model and experimental data, commonly referred to as the cost, we used the sum of squares of the residuals weighted by the data.
variance. The data variance was estimated by the S.E. because the model describes a mean cell. We used a modified version of the simannealingSBAO function in the Systems Biology Toolbox to search through the parameter space. In our modified version, the simulated annealing approach in simannealingSBAO is complemented with not a single but multiple downhill simplexes to enable us to find different areas in the parameter space with acceptable parameters (1, 23). We approximate the set of found acceptable parameters by simulating the model for all extreme acceptable parameter sets (i.e. the parameter sets that contain a maximum or a minimum value of one of the parameters in the set). We use this approximation of acceptable parameters in the search for uniquely defined predictions (i.e. core predictions) (1, 23). We also search for extreme values for predictions with a modified optimization algorithm that maximize or minimize the prediction, still fitting with original data (23). We use a slightly different method to maximize or minimize the prediction. Instead of increasing the contribution of the prediction to the cost until the fit with data is not acceptable, as presented in step 2 in Ref. 23, we use an extra cost for deviation from a certain value of the prediction and go through the different possible values of the prediction (25). As a final check of rejected hypotheses, we use step 3 in Ref. 23, originally introduced in Ref. 1 (i.e. we try to find parameters that fit with both the original data and the newly obtained data that we use to compare with core predictions).

Statistical Analysis—In the hypothesis-testing approach, we test the agreement between model simulations and experimental data using $\chi^2$ statistical tests. We calculated the number of used experimental data points for IRS1 and ERK1/2 phosphorylation ($18 + 14 = 32$) and compensated for normalization of data when we approximated the degrees of freedom ($32 - 4 = 28$) and calculated the threshold for rejection for the $\chi^2$ test using 95% as the level of significance ($\chi^2 (28, 0.95) = 41$). We compared the sum of squares of the residuals weighted by the data S.E. for the best found parameters with the threshold to decide upon rejection.

All experimental values are mean $\pm$ S.E. for the indicated number ($n$) of cell preparations, which is the same as the number of different subjects. One-sample or Student’s $t$ test were used, with $p < 0.05$ considered significant.

RESULTS

The Dynamics of ERK1/2 Phosphorylation in Response to Insulin

We analyzed the time course for phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in response to insulin in cells from non-diabetic individuals (Fig. 2A, blue). The phosphorylation peaks around 10 min and then reverts back to basal at 60 min. This is qualitatively different from the dynamics of insulin signaling in the parallel signaling branch to glucose uptake, which has a faster response and no tendency to decrease back to basal level during 60 min with insulin (3). The ERK1/2 response is also qualitatively different from the upstream phosphorylation of the insulin receptor (IR) and IRS1 at tyrosine (Fig. 3A), which peak with an overshoot at 1 min and then remain at an elevated steady-state level (3). We have earlier described how the dynamics of phosphorylation of IR and IRS1 are explained by a feedback to IR (1). In order to include the ERK1/2-signaling branch in a detailed insulin signaling model, we will here identify and analyze mechanisms that may transform the dynamics of upstream phosphorylation of IR and IRS1 into the slower onset of phosphorylation and the return to basal steady-state level of the downstream phosphorylation of ERK1/2.

Test of Mechanisms for the Phosphorylation Dynamics of ERK1/2

We used our previously developed approach involving minimal models, model rejections, and uniquely identified predic-
FIGURE 3. Minimal model analysis of ERK1/2 dynamics: rejected hypotheses. Model structures with insulin signal input to control of ERK1/2, via IR and IRS1 (1). Reactions of ERK1/2 are shown with arrows; phosphorylated ERK1/2 is shown with a circled P. A, different dynamics of phosphorylation of IRS1 and of ERK1/2 in response to insulin. Experimental observations after the addition of 10 nM insulin reveal a late time of maximal ERK1/2-Thr202/Tyr204-P and a return to basal level (bottom; data from Fig. 2), compared with IRS1-YP (from Ref. 1), which exhibits an elevated steady-state level of ~50% of the maximal level (top). B–E, hypothesis H1(ERK1/2 degradation). B, model structure. C, model simulation (line) for ERK1/2 phosphorylation dynamics. The best agreement with data (dots ± S.E.) is shown. D, total amount of ERK1/2 must be reduced to 60% at 90 min if the degradation hypothesis shall describe the dynamics of ERK1/2 phosphorylation. The different lines correspond to different simulations with stepwise reduction of total amount of ERK1/2 at 90 min while also fitting with ERK1/2 phosphorylation dynamics. E, experimental test of the prediction; the total amount of ERK1/2 was not significantly reduced at 90 min incubation with insulin, average ± S.E. in cells from three subjects. F and G, hypothesis H2 (negative/positive feedback). F, model structure. G, model simulation (line) for ERK1/2 phosphorylation dynamics. The best agreement with the data (dots ± S.E.) is shown. H–K, hypothesis H3 (cross-feedback). H, model structure. FB, the dynamic state that governs the negative cross-feedback. I, model simulation (line) for ERK1/2 phosphorylation dynamics. The best agreement with the data (dots ± S.E.) is shown. J, simulations for an approximation of all acceptable parameters reveal a slow insulin response with maximal activation of the feedback after ~60 min. K, rapamycin inhibition of mTORC1 did not significantly increase the ERK1/2-Thr202/Tyr204 phosphorylation at 60 min of incubation with insulin, average ± S.E. in cells from five subjects (for experimental details, see Fig. 6E). a.u., arbitrary units.
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FIGURE 4. Minimal model analysis of ERK1/2 dynamics, an accepted hypothesis. Reactions of ERK1/2 are shown with arrows; phosphorylated ERK1/2 is shown with a circled P. Model structures with insulin signal input to control of ERK1/2, via IR and IRS1 (1). The accumulating ERK1/2 state is shown in light gray, and dashed arrows are shown for reaction rates that have to be slow for the hypotheses to explain ERK1/2 dynamics. A, the model structure of hypothesis H4 (nuclear translocation) and the best fit simulation (line) compared with data (dots ± S.E.). B, the model structure of hypothesis H5 (dual phosphorylation) and the best fit simulation (line) compared with data (dots ± S.E.). C, the model structure of hypothesis H6 (scaffolding) and the best fit simulation (line) compared with data (dots ± S.E.). D–G, a common minimal model. D, the minimal model of ERK1/2 phosphorylation dynamics consists of three states, three rate reactions (v1–v3), and three parameters. E, simulations for an approximation of all acceptable parameters (lines) show the agreement with data (dots ± S.E.). F, simulations that agree with data reveal that the sequestered pool of ERK1/2 has to be >40%. G, simulations that agree with data show that the ratio between ERK1/2 transition (v3) and ERK1/2 dephosphorylation (v2) is always <1 (i.e. the transition is always slower than the dephosphorylation). a.u., arbitrary units.

The model structures shown in this figure are different from the original ones. The original structures, which were not included in the provided images, were described in the accompanying text. The diagrams show the relationships between insulin, IR, IRS1, ERK1/2, MEK, MEK-P, and ELK1, with various phosphorylation states indicated by circled P.

tions that account for the uncertainty in the data, combined with experimental tests using adipocytes from non-diabetic individuals (1, 26). Note that this approach identifies possible mechanisms through rejection of several non-sufficient mechanisms. Herein we identified and tested six different potential mechanisms (Figs. 3 and 4, hypotheses H1–H6) with the IR-to-IRS1 signaling model presented in Ref. 1 as input to the different small/minimal models of ERK1/2 signaling.

Hypothesis H1; Degradation of ERK1/2—It has been shown that stress, but not growth factor stimulation, induces degradation of ERK1/2 after >3 h (27). A minimal model of degradation of ERK1/2 after phosphorylation (Fig. 3B) can indeed explain the experimental data with a slow return to basal levels (Fig. 3C) (χ²(H1) = 32 < 41). A search for parameters that minimize the required extent of degradation while still agreeing with data (23, 28) showed that a statistically acceptable fit required that >40% ERK1/2 is degraded after 90 min (Fig. 3D). Examination of this prediction experimentally demonstrated that maximally 10% of ERK1/2 was degraded after 90-min stimulation with insulin (Fig. 3E), resulting in rejection of this hypothesis.

Hypothesis H2; Negative or Positive Feedback—Several negative and positive feedbacks from ERK1/2 to upstream signaling proteins (reviewed in Ref. 30) have been described and modeled in other cell types (31–36). In a minimal model structure (Fig. 3F), we examined a negative or positive feedback from downstream ERK1/2, but we could not find a statistically good enough fit between model simulations and data for any parameters (Fig. 3G) (χ²(H2) = 48 > 41). Therefore, this negative/positive feedback hypothesis was rejected without further analysis. This does not exclude the existence of feedbacks and only means that a simple feedback in the pathway cannot explain the conversion of IR/IRS1 dynamics into the dynamics of ERK1/2 phosphorylation.

Hypothesis H3; Cross-feedback—We also tested if a negative feedback from a different branch of insulin signaling can cause the reduced phosphorylation of ERK1/2 (Fig. 3H). We found an agreement between model simulations and data (Fig. 3I)
The analysis showed that >40% of ERK1/2 has to be in the unavailable pool (Fig. 4F), and the transition between the nonphosphorylated pools of ERK1/2 is always slower than the rate of dephosphorylation (Fig. 4G). These two predictions are in concord with different pools of ERK1/2 that have been described (44–47). To test these predictions in human adipocytes, we examined the localization of ERK1/2 by immunofluorescence labeling and confocal microscopy. There was a strong concentration of ERK1/2 in the nuclear region (Fig. 5), a finding that is compatible with sequestration of a significant fraction of ERK1/2. It should be noted that the peculiar architecture of the mature adipocyte, with a central lipid droplet leaving only a thin film of cytosol between the droplet and the plasma membrane (Fig. 5), severely restricts signaling from the majority of insulin receptors to the perinuclear compartment.

The Minimal ERK1/2 Model Extends the Detailed Insulin Signaling Model

Based on the minimal model for the dynamics of phosphorylation of ERK1/2 (Fig. 4D), we introduced an ERK1/2-signaling module in the insulin signaling model (3) and searched for parameters for the new reactions. The combined model does not directly concern intricacies of the signaling pathway between IRS1 and ERK1/2 but rather how that pathway as a whole transmits the insulin signal in the insulin signaling network. Simulation with the best found parameter values demonstrated a close correspondence with experimental data (Fig. 6A, blue).

Phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in Response to Different Concentrations of Insulin

We next examined the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in response to different concentrations of insulin, using cells from non-diabetic individuals (Fig. 2B, blue). Half-maximal effect (EC_{50}) of insulin was obtained at 0.2 nM insulin, which is similar to the phosphorylation of upstream IRS1 by the insulin receptor (3). Therefore, no modification was required in the model structure (Fig. 6B, blue). Strikingly, the alignment of the dose-response curves in the signaling cassette IRS1-Grb2-Sos-Ras-Raf-Mek1/2/ERK1/2 is in sharp contrast to the 10-fold increase in insulin sensitivity in the parallel IRS1-P13K-PKB-AS160 cassette mediating insulin control of glucose uptake (3). The function of this difference in insulin signal transduction is not known, but it has been shown in yeast cells that alignment of dose-response curves, due to negative feedback signals, in MAPK signaling allows for higher fidelity in signal transmission (48).

Phosphorylation of Elk1 in Response to Insulin

We then examined the phosphorylation of the nuclear transcription factor Elk1 by ERK1/2 in response to insulin in the human adipocytes. The time course for phosphorylation of Elk1 (Fig. 2C, blue) largely reflects that of the phosphorylating pro-
tein kinase ERK1/2 (Fig. 2A, blue). The amount of Elk1 protein did not change during the 90-min course of the experiment (Fig. 2D). A model based on mass action kinetics is sufficient to describe the dynamics of ERK1/2-catalyzed phosphorylation of Elk1 in the model of insulin signaling (Fig. 6C, blue).

The congruent time courses for phosphorylation of total ERK1/2 and of Elk1 (both are transient and with no significant delay between them) suggest that total phospho-ERK1/2 reflects active ERK1/2 in the nucleus, similarly to EGF stimulation of ERK1/2 in U138 glioblastoma cells (49). In contrast, a mismatch between phospho-ERK1/2 and ERK1/2 activity in the nucleus of a mouse fibroblast cell line, in response to high concentrations of platelet-derived growth factor, was explained by ERK1/2 binding to high affinity substrates (50).

Phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and Elk1 in T2D

We also investigated the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and its nuclear substrate Elk1 in response to insulin in human adipocytes from obese patients with T2D. The time course for phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in response to insulin revealed that the maximal response to insulin was significantly curtailed in diabetes (Fig. 2A, red). The response time, however, was not affected in the diabetic cells. The maximal phosphorylation of Elk1 was similarly attenuated in the cells from patients with diabetes (Fig. 2C, red). The total amount of ERK1/2 (Fig. 2F) or of Elk1 (Fig. 2F) protein was not significantly different in adipocytes from the patients with T2D compared with adipocytes from non-diabetic control subjects.

The phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in response to increasing concentrations of insulin revealed that EC\(_{50}\) was increased from EC\(_{50}\) of about 0.2 nM insulin normally to about 0.7 nM insulin in diabetes (Fig. 2B, red). Compared with the upstream signaling, phosphorylation of ERK1/2 displayed a smaller increase, in diabetes, than the 10-fold increase of EC\(_{50}\) for phosphorylation of IRS1 by IR (3) and a larger increase than the unchanged auto-phosphorylation of IR in diabetes (3). To capture the intermediate extent of increase in EC\(_{50}\) for phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in the diabetic state of the model, we allowed the ERK1/2 signaling branch to be activated both via IRS1 (large increase in EC\(_{50}\)) and directly from IR (no change in EC\(_{50}\)). We have previously shown that in human mature adipocytes, insulin control of the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and the transcription factor Elk1 involves IRS1 (51), but the activation of the ERK1/2 signaling branch can also be mediated via a second adapter protein (cf. Ref. 52).

We have earlier identified three fundamental differences between insulin signaling for metabolic control in normal and in diabetic adipocytes (i.e. (i) a reduced concentration of insulin receptor by 45%, (ii) a reduced concentration of insulin-regulated glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4) by 50%, and (iii) an 85% reduced positive feedback from mTORC1 to IRS1 (3)). These three diabetes parameters were sufficient to describe the dia-

![FIGURE 5. Localization of ERK1/2 in a human adipocyte.](image) Adipocytes were immunostained with fluorescent antibodies against ERK1 (green fluorescence), stained for nuclei with DAPI (blue fluorescence) (A and C), and examined by fluorescence confocal microscopy. One cell is shown, with a single plane through the nucleus (A and B) and a three-dimensional stack of the perimeter of the cell containing the nucleus (C and D). A scale bar is also shown.
Inhibition of the phosphorylation and activation of ERK1/2, using either of two different MEK1/2 inhibitors, PD184352 (Fig. 7A) or U0126 (not shown), blocked the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in response to insulin in the human adipocytes. Interestingly, this inhibition of ERK1/2 activation also inhibited the phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6 at serine residues 235/236 by about 50% in response to maximal insulin stimulation (Fig. 7, B and E). This reveals a significant cross-talk between insulin signaling through the ERK1/2 pathway and through the mTORC1 pathway for control of protein synthesis. In contrast, the inhibition of ERK1/2 activation had no significant effect on phosphorylation of S6K1 at threonine 389 (Fig. 7C), which mediates insulin stimulation of S6 phosphorylation through the branch PKB-mTORC1-S6K1 of insulin signaling. Likewise, the
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### Experimental Data

**A**

- **ERK**
  - Vehicle
  - PD184352
  - Insulin
  - Vehicle + PD184352
  - PD184352
  - Insulin
  - Vehicle + PD184352 + Rapamycin
  - PD184352 + Rapamycin

- **S6-P**
  - Vehicle
  - PD184352
  - Rapamycin
  - PD184352 + Rapamycin

**B**

- **S6-P**
  - Vehicle
  - PD184352 + Rapamycin
  - PD184352 + Rapamycin

**C**

- **S6K1-P**
  - Vehicle
  - PD184352 + Rapamycin
  - PD184352 + Rapamycin

**D**

- **IRS1-S307P**
  - Vehicle
  - PD184352

**E**

- **S6-P**
  - Vehicle
  - U0126

### Model Simulations

**F**

- **MEK inhibitor PD184352**
- **mTORC1 inhibitor Rapamycin**
- **PD184352 + Rapamycin**

### Scheme G

- **Insulin**
  - **IRS1**
  - **IRS1-S307P**
  - **S6-P**
  - **S6K1-P**
  - **ERK1/2**
  - **Elk1**
  - **protein synthesis**
  - **PD184352**
  - **U0126**
  - **mTORC1**
  - **mTORC2**
  - **MEK1/2**
  - **PKB**
  - **rapamycin**
  - **p90RSK**
inhibition had no significant effect on the mTORC1-mediated phosphorylation of IRS1 at serine 307 (Fig. 7D), which mediates the positive feedback. It is hence most likely that the insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of S6 via ERK1/2 is mediated by phosphorylation and activation of p90 ribosomal S6 kinase (RSK) by ERK1/2 (53) (reviewed in Ref. 54). Moreover, contrary to other cell types (reviewed in Ref. 55), our findings indicate that there is little, if any, activation of S6K or mTORC1 via ERK1/2 in response to insulin in human adipocytes. We thus introduced a signal path from ERK1/2 to phosphorylation of S6 in the insulin signaling model (Fig. 7G). We used the PD184352 inhibition data to quantify the contribution of ERK1/2 to the phosphorylation of S6. Simulations with 50–93% inhibition of ERK1/2 activation are shown in Fig. 7F (yellow lines). Inhibition of mTORC1 with rapamycin or with rapamycin in combination with PD184352 also inhibited the phosphorylation of S6 and S6K1 (Fig. 7, B and C). The corresponding simulations with 50–93% inhibition are shown in Fig. 7F (cyan and green lines).

Analysis of the Comprehensive Insulin Signaling Model

We simulated our comprehensive model of the insulin signaling network (Fig. 8) for both control via PKB-AS160 (glucose uptake) and PKB-mTORC1 (protein synthesis, autophagy) and control via ERK1/2-Elk1 (nuclear transcription), both normally and in the diabetic state (attenuated mTORC1-to-IRS1 feedback, reduced concentration of IR, and reduced concentration of GLUT4), including the cross-talk from ERK1/2 to S6. Simulation with the best found parameters demonstrates a network-wide good correspondence with experimental data, normally and in T2D (Fig. 9).

This demonstrates that a single mechanism (attenuated mTORC1-to-IRS1 feedback, reduced concentration of IR, and reduced concentration of GLUT4) can explain the network-wide impairment of insulin signaling in T2D. With the model we examined the individual contributions of the three diabetes parameters (Fig. 8). The reduced concentration of GLUT4 only contributes locally to reduce maximal glucose uptake (Fig. 10, black dotted line). The reduced concentration of IR primarily has a local effect on the maximal phosphorylation/internalization of IR but otherwise marginally contributes to the diabetic state (Fig. 10, red dotted line). Importantly, the attenuation of the positive feedback from mTORC1 to IRS1 can explain much of the diabetic state throughout the insulin signaling network, including ERK1/2 and Elk1 (Fig. 10, red dashed line).
DISCUSSION

The mechanisms of insulin signaling and its malfunction in the insulin resistant state of T2D have been the subject of intense research and much data and knowledge have accumulated. Experimental studies have provided evidence that the insulin resistance can be detected in different parts of the signaling network, but there is no consensus regarding where this resistance mechanistically originates and how it spreads to the rest of the network. We have taken a systems approach to unravel these mechanisms. A key component of this approach was to collect internally consistent sets of data that cover the whole network, data that were obtained under the same conditions.
A second key component was mathematical modeling. In a first step, minimal models allowed us to test different potential mechanisms. In a second step, the resulting mechanism was inserted into a comprehensive detailed model of insulin signaling. This approach thus allowed us to systematically put the pieces of the insulin signaling network together and to analyze signaling through the entire network as a whole. With this analysis, we could make a direct quantitative comparison of signaling in the normal and diabetic states of the network.

The most important aspect of our findings is that a single mechanism can explain the insulin resistance of T2D through...
all examined branches of the insulin signaling network: signaling through IRS1, PKB, mTOR, and ERK1/2. The dominant part of the insulin resistance mechanism is an attenuated feedback from the protein kinase mTORC1 to IRS1, which attenuates signaling in all parts of the signaling network (Fig. 10, red dashed line). We confirmed this mechanism experimentally by inhibiting mTORC1, which largely reproduced model predictions for insulin control of ERK1/2 (Fig. 6, D and E), as well as IRS1 (56), glucose transport (57), protein synthesis (3), and autophagy (58). The primacy of attenuation of mTORC1 activity in insulin resistance is compatible with previous data that implicate, for example, endoplasmic reticulum stress, inflammation, hypoxia, and mitochondrial dysfunction as potential causes of insulin resistance because all of these factors are well known to inhibit mTORC1 signaling. There is, however, no a priori reason to expect that the same mechanism of insulin resistance that we have identified in adipocytes from human beings is operational in other tissues or even in adipocytes from other species.

We (3, 56, 58–60) and others (61, 62) have previously found that phosphorylation of IRS1 at serine 307 in response to insulin is coupled to a positive feedback. In particular, our earlier mathematical model analysis showed that a positive feedback best explained data for the whole system (3). The feedback to IRS1 serine 307 fits the bill, but parallel phosphorylation of IRS1 at other sites may convey or add to the positive feedback signal.

The internal consistency of our data allows us to draw strong conclusions (i.e. reject hypotheses that are incompatible with the data) and thus systematically untangle the mechanisms of signaling. We do this with a combination of small/minimal models, model predictions, and experimental tests of predictions. The analysis shows that experimental data for ERK1/2 phosphorylation dynamics can be explained by dephosphorylated ERK1/2 being temporarily made unavailable for phosphorylation by MEK1/2 but cannot be explained by simple feedback mechanisms to upstream intermediaries, by feedback from other known parts of the insulin signaling network, or by degradation of ERK1/2. This unavailable state can be interpreted as ERK1/2 sequestered in the nucleus or at other cellular structures, which is a plausible explanation because sequestration of ERK1/2 has been described in many systems (44–47). Similarly, sequestration of upstream signaling components (14, 39) could also explain the transient dynamics of ERK1/2 phosphorylation.

Our findings identify a significant cross-talk between ERK1/2 and mTORC1 pathways for insulin control of ribosomal protein S6 and hence protein synthesis. Because inhibition of ERK1/2 phosphorylation did not affect the phosphorylation of p70-S6K1, we can exclude ERK1/2- or p90-RSK-catalyzed phosphorylation of both mTORC1 and S6K1 (63, 64). Our findings show that, in mature human adipocytes, ERK1/2 probably phosphorylates S6 through phosphorylation of p90-RSK (reviewed in Ref. 54), as has been suggested for rat adipocytes (29). The magnitude of the cross-talk in response to insulin has not previously been quantified. In mature human adipocytes, we find that up to half of the signal can be mediated by ERK1/2-p90-RSK. This is important for the understanding of insulin control of protein synthesis and for understanding the hypertrophy of adipocytes commonly seen in obesity. The importance of metabolic cross-talk with ERK1/2 in humans was also indicated by mutations in the Raf-MEK-ERK1/2-scaffolding protein kinase suppressor of Ras-2 (KSR2), where afflicted individuals displayed hyperphagy and metabolic dysfunction (24).

To conclude, our approach to gradually and systematically build a mathematical model of the insulin signaling network, based on internally consistent data from physiologically relevant cells, has demonstrated that a single mechanism can explain the network-wide impairment of insulin signaling in adipocytes from patients with type 2 diabetes. This opens up new approaches to further understanding and to treatment of the disease. In particular, our quantitative dynamic model of the insulin signaling network, normally and in diabetes, will provide a unique tool for drug target identification and testing of drug candidates in silico. This model is, moreover, a paragon for research in other disease areas, in particular cancer, that face many of the same challenges.
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