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Abstract

Diagnosis of malaria is a fundamental component of malaria case management. Parasitological confirmation of malaria before treatment was recommended for endemic countries. Microscopy diagnosis of malaria, the gold standard as constraints such as: level of expertise, quality of equipment and reagents may lead to misdiagnosis. The histidine rich protein 2 (HRP-2) based rapid diagnostic test (RDT) detects Plasmodium falciparum only. We therefore evaluated the performance of malaria HRP-2 rapid tests among febrile patients suspected to have malaria in Iwo, Osun state Nigeria. A cross-sectional study was conducted on patients with fever or symptoms suggestive of malaria at the Outpatient's Department of a University Medical Centre in Iwo, Osun State, Nigeria. Malaria diagnosis was performed with the malaria HRP-2-based RDT and microscopy for every participant. Stained Thick and thin films prepared on the same slide, stained with 3% Giemsa stain and evaluated for detection, speciation and parasite density per patient. Malaria RDT results were read as positive or negative. Of the 289 febrile patients assessed between October 2016 to August 2017, the number positive by Malaria RDT and microscopy were 102 (35.3%) and 98 (33.9%) respectively. Parasite density ranged from 76 p/µL to 165,333p/µL of blood. Overall, the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were: 96.08%, 97.95%, 96.08% and 97.95% respectively. This study showed malaria RDT could be a reliable option to microscopy for effective case management of uncomplicated falciparum malaria in febrile patients in Iwo, Nigeria.
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Introduction

An estimated 229 million cases of malaria burden occurred worldwide in 87 malaria endemic countries with WHO African region having an estimated 215 million malaria cases, accounted for about 94% of cases in 2019 [1]. Twenty-nine countries accounted for 95% of malaria cases globally, with Nigeria having the highest, 27% [1]. A study by Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) recorded a prevalence of 23% [2]. Prompt diagnosis of malaria disease remains the basis for improved case management. Presumptive treatment of malaria based on clinical diagnosis and non-adherence to malaria parasitological confirmed negative results is still a challenge in many health facilities in Nigeria. Over diagnosis and misdiagnosis of malaria, still practiced around the world, results in unnecessary use of antimalarial drugs, exaggeration of the burden of the disease, delay of appropriate treatment and sometimes death due to non-malaria causes of fever [3].

Laboratory diagnosis of malaria is an essential component of malaria case management. It involves control and elimination strategy that promises accurate diagnosis and treatment with effective medication. It is difficult to determine if the cause of febrile illness is due to malaria based on clinical presentation alone. Parasitological confirmation of suspected malaria cases before initiating anti-malarial treatment was recommended for malaria endemic countries [4]. Diagnostic accuracy should significantly improve the quality of care and ensure a rational and appropriate use of antimalarial drugs.
Microscopy diagnosis of malaria remains the gold standard for malaria diagnosis because it is inexpensive, has high sensitivity and allows Plasmodium species identification and quantification of parasite density [5,6]. However, light microscopy being the gold standard method is time-consuming and requires highly trained personnel. Microscopy is reported to detect about 75% of malaria infections in high transmission areas, whereas in low transmission areas this method has been reported to miss up to 88% of infections [7]. Furthermore, the level of expertise of technicians, quality of the equipment, and workload may lead to misdiagnosis, inaccurate estimates of parasite density and species differentiation [8]. The implementation of rapid diagnostic test has contributed to the timely diagnosis and management of malaria in some endemic countries and reduction in overtreatment of malaria disease. The current use of rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) to detect antigens constitutes an important forward step in the diagnostic strategy because it allows parasitological diagnosis even in areas where it is impossible to have good microscopy services [9]. RDTs detect malaria antigens, not malaria parasites, this give RDT an added advantage in the ability to diagnose malaria in patients with low-grade parasitaemia.

It has been reported that with the availability of RDTs, clinicians treat febrile presentations with anti-malarial drugs, even when the result of the RDT is negative for malaria parasite antigen. A study showed that about half of all negative RDT patients were prescribed anti-malarial drugs [10-12]. Malaria RDTs are based on the detection of one of the following three antigens, histidine-rich protein-2 (HRP2), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and aldolase that show the differences in the sensitivity and specificity seen in RDT test kits [13]. The majority of commercially available malaria RDTs, target HRP II [13,14]. HRP-II is more sensitive antigen for detecting Plasmodium falciparum infections than any other antigens [15]. The HRP-II test kit is the most preferred for detecting the presence of P. falciparum in the blood and it is the most commonly used in Nigeria because HRP-II is only peculiar to P. falciparum, which is the most common Plasmodium species in Nigeria. Other non-P. falciparum species have < 2% prevalence.

The performance of HRP2- immunochromatography-based RDTs that is specific to Plasmodium falciparum [16] is affected by the detection of persistent antigenicity that may remain in circulation for about 28 days after parasite clearance, which leads to false positive and may overestimate prevalence [5,17,18]. Deletions or mutations within the Pfhrp-2 gene and the prozone effect may lead to false negatives [19-22].

Proper diagnosis of malaria parasites in all suspected cases before treatment with artemisinin combination therapy (ACTs) is important to reduce over prescription and reduce onset of resistance. The malaria microscopy diagnosis, the primary tool for scaling up malaria diagnosis as its limitations, that include: The level of expertise of technicians, quality of the equipment, and workload that may lead to misdiagnosis [8]. The use of malaria RDTs though, not a replacement for malaria microscopy, possess qualities that address many of the limitations of microscopy. This makes the use of RDT a more feasible strategy for expanding access to diagnosis [17,23-25]. Malaria microscopy, which is the gold standard for detection of Plasmodium parasites have been on the decrease due to challenges such as the level of expertise, quality of the reagents, equipment, the tedious process involve and since the introduction of malaria RDTs in many health care centers including secondary facilities in Nigeria. This study is therefore imperative to evaluate the reliability of malaria RDTs in diagnosis of febrile individuals suspected to have malaria in Iwo, Osun state Nigeria.

Materials and Methods

Study population and designs

This was a cross-sectional study, conducted on 289 individuals, who presented with fever or with signs and symptoms suggestive of malaria at the Outpatient’s Department (OPD) of Bowen University Hospital Iwo, Osun State. Bowen University is located in the urban setting of the small city of Iwo (population range of 250,000 - 499,999 inhabitants), Osun State. Bowen University is located in N 40° 0’ 19.152”, W 83° 0’ 34.0308” (Figure 1). The individuals were referred to laboratory for malaria testing based on the clinicians’ prescription. The study was conducted from October 2016 to August 2017. The health care facility attends to about 5,000 students and some of the inhabitants making use of the facility. The health care facility is not only been utilised by the students, outpatient services are also rendered to the inhabitants of Iwo community.

Study samples and inclusion criteria

The recruited individuals include students of Bowen University Iwo and some inhabitants of Iwo community of different age, sex and educational status attending the facility. Venous blood samples were collected into an EDTA bottles for laboratory analysis majorly for MP and Widal test. Malaria infection is defined as individual with evidence of malaria parasite in blood either by testing using microscopy or malaria RDT. Participants were enrolled in the study if they met the following inclusion criteria: documented fever at presentation as suggested by the physicians, absence of danger signs of complicated/severe malaria/known serious chronic disease and willingness to consent to participate. Those that presented with signs of complicated malaria namely: convulsions/coma, prostration, severe vomiting, etc were excluded and these were managed appropriately or referred. Pregnant women were also excluded from the study.

Questionnaire that captured age, sex, medications taken before presentation, history of fever in the last 24
Staining of Malaria Blood Films with Giemsa

The thin films were fixed in methanol for about a second by dipping the thin smear part only into methanol. It is then allowed to dry and placed on the staining rack. The thick and thin smears were then stained with 3% Giemsa working solution for 45 minutes [26]. The stain was then washed off with distilled water and the slides are allowed to air dry at room temperature, after which they are then stored in the slide box prior to microscopic examination.

Microscopic examination of stained thick and thin blood smears

The parasite density was computed as the number of parasites per 500 leucocytes on a thick film and reported as parasites per microlitre of blood assuming an average white blood-cell count of 8000/µL. The mRDT test and microscopy reading were done simultaneously for each individual. The mRDT results were however blinded to the microscopists. The two microscopists that read the slides were blinded to each other results. Stained slides were examined under the light microscope using ×100 objective lens (immersion oil). A slide was considered negative after 100 high power fields (HPF) have been examined without a malaria parasite. Another microscopist, the second reader, was made to reread each slide. A third reader, who served as the tiebreaker, reread parasitaemia > 20% discordance between the two readers. However, parasite counts of < 20% discordance between the first and second readers were accepted and
the mean parasite count taken to compute the parasite density or parasitaemia for every positive individual. Certified malaria microscopists read slides.

**Ethical approval and informed consent**

Bowen University Teaching Hospital Research Ethics Committee Ogbomosho, Oyo State and the Osun State Hospitals’ Management Board approved the protocol for this study. Consent forms were given to all participants and were duly filled and signed. All procedures were conducted with good clinical and laboratory practices. All patients with confirmed malaria infection (Uncomplicated and asymptomatic infections) based on the RDT and microscopy results were treated with artemisinin combination therapy (ACTs).

**Sample size**

The sample size of 272 was calculated using pilot study that was previously carried out with a prevalence of 23% in Iwo. The sample size was determined using a statistical formula \( n = Z^2 p (1 - p) / d^2 \) considering 95% confidence interval \( (z = 1.96) \) and a level of precision \( (d) \) of 5%.

**Data analysis**

Data was entered on Excel 2013 and analysed using SPSS 20.0 version statistical software. The questionnaire was administered by a trained field scientist and it was validated by three experts taking into consideration the content of the instrument, clarity, appropriateness of the language and suitability. Some of the content was reworded and it covers the major areas of the study. The number of individuals positive to malaria is the dependent variable, while test method (mRDT or microscopy) is independent variables for this study. Data were analysed using frequency and percentages and results presented in tables. Sensitivity was defined as the proportion of true malaria cases (positive blood smears) that were correctly identified by positive RDTs whereas specificity was the proportion of true negative malaria cases (negative blood smears) that were correctly identified by negative RDTs. Positive predictive value was the proportion of true malaria cases (positive blood smears) among the individuals with the positive RDTs. Negative predictive value was the proportion of true negative malaria cases (negative blood smears) among the total number of negative RDT tests. Chi-square was used to determine whether there is an agreement between the results of the two tests. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Taking blood slide microscopy as the gold standard, the performance of the Rapid Diagnostic Test was compared to it by computing the sensitivity, specificity, the negative predictive value, and the positive predictive value of the test [27].

**Results**

A total of 289 febrile individuals were sampled for malaria parasite in Bowen University Hospital, Iwo Osun State, Nigeria from October 2016 to August 2017. The study population comprised of 184 (63.7%) females and 105 (36.3%) males. The ages of the participants ranged from 15 to 50 years and the mean age was 21 years. The total number of individuals positive for malaria RDT was 102 (35.3%) and 98 (33.9%) individuals were positive for microscopy diagnosis with no significance different \( (p > 0.793) \) (Table 1).

The participants had parasite density ranging from 76 to 165,333 parasites/µL. The parasite density was grouped to show the different level of parasitaemia in different age groups (Table 2). Majority of the species diagnosed is *Plasmodium falciparum* (98.98%) with only one *Plasmodium ovale* (1.02%). Higher parasitaemia level was noticed majorly in the younger age groups. The number of individuals positive to malaria is the dependent variable, while test method (mRDT or microscopy) is independent variables for this study. Data were analysed using frequency and percentages and results presented in tables. Sensitivity was defined as the proportion of true malaria cases (positive blood smears) that were correctly identified by positive RDTs whereas specificity was the proportion of true negative malaria cases (negative blood smears) that were correctly identified by negative RDTs. Positive predictive value was the proportion of true malaria cases (positive blood smears) among the individuals with the positive RDTs. Negative predictive value was the proportion of true negative malaria cases (negative blood smears) among the total number of negative RDT tests. Chi-square was used to determine whether there is an agreement between the results of the two tests. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Taking blood slide microscopy as the gold standard, the performance of the Rapid Diagnostic Test was compared to it by computing the sensitivity, specificity, the negative predictive value, and the positive predictive value of the test [27].

**Table 1:** Frequency of age groups and malaria microscopy and RDT results.

| Age Groups (years) | Frequency (%) | RDT (%) | Microscopy (%) |
|--------------------|---------------|---------|----------------|
|                    |               | Positive | Negative       | Positive | Negative       |
| 15-25              | 256 (88.6)    | 89 (34.8) | 167 (65.2) | 85 (33.2) | 171 (66.8) |
| 26-36              | 17 (5.9)      | 6 (35.3)  | 11 (64.7)  | 9 (52.9)  | 8 (47.1)  |
| 36-45              | 9 (3.1)       | 4 (44.4)  | 5 (55.6)   | 2 (22.2)  | 7 (77.8)  |
| 46-50              | 7 (2.4)       | 3 (42.9)  | 4 (57.1)   | 2 (28.6)  | 5 (71.4)  |
| Total              | 289           | 102 (35.3)| 187 (64.7) | 98 (33.9) | 191 (66.1) |

**Table 2:** Parasitaemia groups in different age groups.

| Age Groups (years) | Frequency of Parasitaemia Groups (%) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                    | 1-1000 p/µL | 1001-10000 p/µL | 10001-40000 p/µL | 40001-100000 p/µL | 100001-200000 p/µL |
| 15-25              | 14 (87.5)   | 28 (84.8)       | 23 (82.1)       | 15 (93.8)       | 5 (100)             | 85 (86.7)           |
| 26-36              | 2 (12.5)    | 4 (12.1)        | 3 (10.7)        | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0)             | 9 (9.2)             |
| 36-45              | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)         | 1 (3.6)         | 1 (3.6)         | 0 (0.0)             | 2 (2.0)             |
| 46-55              | 0 (0.0)     | 1 (3.6)         | 1 (3.6)         | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0)             | 2 (2.0)             |
| Total              | 16 (16.3)   | 33 (39.8)       | 28 (21.4)       | 16 (16.3)       | 5 (6.2)             | 98                  |
Performance of RDT using microscopy as a reference test

The sensitivity and specificity of the malaria RDT was 96.08% and 97.95% respectively, with corresponding positive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV) of 96.08% and 97.95% (Table 3). Malaria RDT sensitivity and specificity seemed to be higher in the lowest age group in this study (15-25 years).

Different presenting symptoms were observed in the different age groups, with those in the youngest age groups presenting majorly with fever (93.2%), while few of them (16%) presented with convulsion (Table 4). Convulsion was only reported in age 15-25 years.

One hundred and seventy-five (175) participants had records for use of ITNs. A total of 40 (22.8%) participants made use of the ITNs at home/hostel with 14 (35.0%) having malaria infection and 26 (65.0%) were malaria negative. Many of the participants did not make use of ITNs with 34 (25.2%) having malaria infection and 135 (77.1%) were malaria negative (p = 0.001).

The record of participants previous antimalarial treatment showed that majority of them used monotherapy during their previous malaria treatment. More females were seen to have taken monotherapy during their previous malaria treatment.

Discussion

This study evaluated the performance of malaria rapid diagnostic test using malaria microscopy as gold standard in the diagnosis of febrile individuals suspected to have malaria attending Bowen University Hospital, Iwo, Osun state Nigeria. The high sensitivity and specificity results reported in this study showed the reliability of malaria RDT in malaria diagnosis, especially in rural areas and where there is no expert malaria microscopist to carry out proper malaria diagnosis. Malaria diagnosis result showed that 33.9% of individuals tested where positive by malaria microscopy. A previous study done in Iwo, Osun State Nigeria reported that out of 733 patients recruited, 684 (93.3%) had *P. falciparum* only, 22 (3.0%) had *P. malariae* only and 27 (3.7%) had both *P. falciparum* and *P. malariae* [28].

The high sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV reported for malaria RDT in this study could help to improve malaria diagnosis, since the RDT is unlikely to miss out the infected and non-infected individuals. The high PPV in this study RDT suggest that any individual with positive RDT test would probable have malaria with respect to malaria microscopy. Although, predictive values rely more on disease prevalence in a given population, they give practical usefulness on test information. According to the result in this study, any individual who will be tested with a positive result using this RDT is highly likely to be malaria positive. It therefore means that every positive result should be considered with no doubt be treated immediately. In essence, it will reduce over treatment that leads to emergence of antimalarial resistance. Identical study revealed that sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of mRDT at 95% CI was 97.6%, 97.4%, 91.0% and 99.3% respectively [29]. A Similar study, where SD Bioline *Pf* antigen HRP II was used, have sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of 100%, 98%, 88%, and 100%, respectively, among symptomatic children aged < 5 years in a hospital in a mesoendemic area in Markafi in Kaduna state, Nigeria [30]. This result also compares favourably with that of other studies [12,31-33] that reported a variable sensitivity of 84.2%-100% and specificity of 82.8%-98.5%. The sensitivity of this test was also close to that of Iran [31], which made

| Age Groups (years) | RDT Positivity (%) | Microscopy Positivity (%) | Sensitivity [95% CI] (%) | Specificity [95% CI] (%) | Positive Predictive Value (PPV) [95% CI] (%) | Negative Predictive Value (NPV) [95% CI] (%) |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 15-25              | 89 (87.3)          | 85 (86.7)                | 95.51 [88.89-98.76]      | 97.71 [94.25-99.37]      | 95.43 [88.78-98.21]                        | 97.76 [94.35-99.13]                        |
| 26-36              | 6 (5.9)            | 9 (9.2)                  | 75.00 [42.81-94.51]      | 72.73 [39.03-93.98]      | 75.00 [51.99-89.26]                        | 72.73 [48.40-88.34]                        |
| 36-45              | 4 (3.9)            | 2 (2.0)                  | 50.00 [6.76-93.24]       | 77.78 [39.99-97.19]      | 50.00 [17.27-82.73]                        | 77.78 [55.29-90.83]                        |
| 46-50              | 3 (2.9)            | 2 (2.0)                  | 66.67 [9.43-99.16]       | 83.33 [35.88-99.58]      | 66.67 [21.98-93.42]                        | 77.78 [39.99-97.19]                        |
| Total              | 102 (35.3)         | 98 (33.9)                | 96.08 [90.26-98.92]      | 97.95 [94.83-99.44]      | 96.08 [90.27-98.48]                        | 97.95 [94.81-99.21]                        |
Table 4: Presenting symptoms in different age groups.

| Age Groups (years) | Fever (%)  | Inactivity (%) | Headache (%) | Vomiting (%) | Diarrhoea (%) | Convulsion (%) | Respiratory Distress (%) | Cough (%) |
|--------------------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------|
| 15-25 years        | 207 (93.2) | 95 (85.6)      | 150 (89.8) | 7 (6.2)     | 28 (72.7)    | 16 (16)        | 11 (73.3)                | 16 (16)  |
| 26-36 years        | 7 (3.2)    | 7 (6.3)        | 7 (6.2)     | 7 (6.3)     | 28 (72.7)    | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)                  | 0 (0.0)  |
| 36-45 years        | 5 (2.3)    | 6 (5.4)        | 9 (6.4)     | 0 (0.0)     | 6 (15.8)     | 2 (13.3)       | 0 (0.0)                  | 0 (0.0)  |
| 46-55 years        | 3 (1.4)    | 3 (2.7)        | 1 (0.8)     | 4 (3.1)     | 3 (4.6)      | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)                  | 0 (0.0)  |
| Total              | 222        | 111            | 167         | 16          | 128          | 65             | 39                       | 65       |

Table 5: Previous antimalarial usage in different gender.

| Gender | Antimalarial Usage (%) | Chloroquine (%) | SP (%) | Artesunate (%) | Quinine (%) | Halofantrine (%) | Mefloquine (%) | ACTs (%) | Herb (%) |
|--------|------------------------|----------------|--------|----------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|----------|---------|
| Male   | Total                  | 12 (25.5)     | 25 (49.0) | 5 (29.4) | 29 (54.1) | 29 (54.1) | 1 (50.0) | 30 (37.0) | 1 (50.0) |
|        |                       | 3 (14.4)      | 26 (51.0) | 12 (70.6) | 11 (65.9) | 12 (70.6) | 1 (50.0) | 51 (63.0) | 2 (0.7)  |
| Female | Total                  | 35 (74.5)     | 26 (51.0) | 12 (70.6) | 11 (65.9) | 85 (99.4) | 1 (50.0) | 81 (62.0) | 4 (1.4)  |
|        |                       | 6 (28.6)      | 51 (17.6) | 17 (5.9)  | 17 (5.9)  | 85 (99.4) | 2 (0.7)  | 51 (17.6) | 4 (1.4)  |

Use of Biotec Mal Pv/Pf rapid device from a similar manufacturer [34]. In contrast to this study, sensitivity and specificity of the RDT was found to be 78.4% and 97.6% respectively while the PPV and the (NPV) were found to be 97.3% and 80.1% respectively [35]. The sensitivity obtained at present (96.08%) was similar to a study in Ibadan South-western Nigeria (93.1%) [36], but was much higher than what was reported in Lagos (69.9%) [37]. Variations in test sensitivity between all these studies may be due to differences factors, such as: Low levels of parasitaemia [38], inherent limitation of the device, mutation or deletion of the gene encoding the HRP-II, and storage conditions [38,39] or lack of adequate quality control and assurance systems for malaria microscopy that may result in over diagnosis [40].

False positive result in malaria RDT could be due to a lot of factors. The rheumatoid factor cross-reacting in the blood could generate a false positive test line but the replacement of IgG and IgM helps in reducing this problem [41,42]. False positive test may be due to cross-reactivity with heterophile antibodies [43]. Another cause of false positive results could be due to the persistence of HRP-II antigen in the blood, delayed clearance of circulating antigens and also the presence of gametocytes that produce the antigens may be reasons for persistence [44]. HRP-II persistence may occur up to 28 days even when there is rapid clearance of microscopically detectable parasite [5,44,45]. False negative test may result from mutation of the HRP-II gene [46].

The use of malaria rapid test is suggested to have largest impact with microscopy been widely accepted as the gold standard for malaria diagnosis. Its implementation has been reported to be cost effective [47]. Malaria RDT is a device that detects malaria antigen in a very small amount of blood usually 5-15 µL using immunochromatographic assay with monoclonal antibodies directed against the target parasite antigen and is been impregnated on a test strip [38]. The non-electricity requirement, easy operation and result guide in interpretation are the advantages of it over microscopy. The threshold of detection of these rapid diagnostic tests is in the range of 100 parasites/µL of blood (i.e. about 0.002%-0.1% parasitaemia) [48]. It is important to accurately diagnose malaria especially in malaria-endemic regions like Nigeria, because it will help to reduce overdiagnosis and overtreatment of malaria infections and limit antimalaria usage to only malaria parasite-based test true positives. Malaria RDT is mainly deployed to rural areas because of lack of manpower to in rural arears to carry out malaria microscopy. RDTs should be used to complement microscopy or alone, especially in secondary facilities where expert microscopy is unavailable or where there are a paucity of reagents for malaria microscopy.

The National Guideline for Diagnosis and Treatment of Malaria recommends the use of microscopy only in
tertiary and secondary health facilities where there are functional laboratory services with qualified laboratory scientists [49]. Microscopy is the gold standard for malaria diagnosis, giving species and accurate amounts of parasite in the blood hence they are quantitative and at the same time qualitative [50]. Microscopy could be more sensitive than RDTs if done well by expert malaria microscopist with standard external quality assessment (EQA) programme for malaria microscopy feedback system. However, some of the constraints associated with it is that it requires expertise training and cannot perform in conditions such as absence of light and also the time needed to prepare the stained blood smear and to examine it microscopically is time consuming especially in cases of emergency [51,52]. A 10-day malaria microscopy training in Nigeria showed that many of the malaria microscopy diagnosis by the participants before the training were misdiagnosis as seen in their pre-test (38.3%) and post test (70.7%) results [53].

Many studies across Africa have reported misdiagnosis of malaria with microscopy that leads to false positive results [54,55]. A number of factors have been suggested to contribute to poor performance of routine malaria microscopy in Angola; these factors include the large number of thick blood smears to read in daily routine, lack of skilled microscopists, supervision, and maintenance of infrastructure [56]. To continue to depend on malaria microscopy for correct case management of malaria in Nigeria, where decline in malaria is being reported since the introduction of malaria intervention. Continuous malaria microscopy training including proficiency assessment of every laboratory staff involved in malaria microscopy diagnosis is pertinent. Laboratory supervision as well implementation of internal and/or external quality control is important to achieve improvement of malaria diagnosis by microscopy.

The most occurring symptom was fever, which occurred in 222 (76.8%) participants but only 44 (44.9%) among them were confirmed positive for malaria. However, most of the people who presented with fever were negative for malaria. Hence, proving that fever alone is not enough to clinical diagnose malaria infection. Fever symptom may be as a result of some other types of infection in the body system which may be viral, bacterial and parasitic infection such as common cold, urinary tract infections, meningitis, malaria, appendicitis among others [57].

Insecticides treated net (ITN) could be a powerful tool, which protect from mosquitoes’ bite. The knowledge, attitude and practice of some of the individuals that participated in this study concerning the use of ITN showed that ITNs may be a protected tool against mosquitoes’ bite. A high number of those that were malaria negative in this study reported to have been using ITNs regularly in contrast to those that were malaria positive. Insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) represent a powerful means of controlling malaria in Africa [58]. This shows that the use of good insecticide treated net will help to further reduce the incidence of malaria in these individuals.

**Conclusion**

This study showed the effectiveness of malaria RDT as an alternative method to microscopy for detection and management of *P. falciparum* in individuals with febrile illness in Nigeria. Although this study does not suggest that malaria RDT should replace microscopy as a diagnostic tool as malaria RDT cannot differentiate the species of *Plasmodium* and cannot quantify malaria parasitaemia. However, malaria RDT can be used to improve the quality of case management of malaria and appropriate treatment of confirmed malaria cases while avoiding indiscriminate administration of anti-malarial drugs for malaria-negative patients.
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