Microbial Functional Responses Explain Alpine Soil Carbon Fluxes under Future Climate Scenarios
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ABSTRACT Soil microorganisms are sensitive to temperature in cold ecosystems, but it remains unclear how microbial responses are modulated by other important climate drivers, such as precipitation changes. Here, we examine the effects of six in situ warming and/or precipitation treatments in alpine grasslands on microbial communities, plants, and soil carbon fluxes. These treatments differentially affected soil carbon fluxes, gross primary production, and microbial communities. Variations of soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes across all sites significantly ($r > 0.70, P < 0.050$) correlated with relevant microbial functional abundances but not bacterial or fungal abundances. Given tight linkages between microbial functional traits and ecosystem functionality, we conclude that future soil carbon fluxes in alpine grasslands can be predicted by microbial carbon-degrading capacities.

IMPORTANCE The warming pace in the Tibetan Plateau, which is predominantly occupied by grassland ecosystems, has been 0.2°C per decade in recent years, dwarfing the rate of global warming by a factor of 2. Many Earth system models project substantial carbon sequestration in Tibet, which has been observed. Here, we analyzed microbial communities under projected climate changes by 2100. As the soil “carbon pump,” the growth and activity of microorganisms can largely influence soil carbon dynamics. However, microbial gene response to future climate scenarios is still obscure. We showed that the abundances of microbial functional genes, but not microbial taxonomy, were correlated with carbon fluxes and ecosystem multifunctionality. By identifying microbial traits linking to ecosystem functioning, our results can guide the assessment of future soil carbon fluxes in alpine grasslands, a critical step toward mitigating climate changes.
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Soil is the largest repository of organic carbon in the terrestrial biosphere and represents an important source of CO₂ and CH₄, releasing more than 70 Pg carbon to the atmosphere annually (1, 2). Soil carbon fluxes are strongly influenced by warming, as...
soil $\text{CO}_2$ flux increased at an average yearly rate of 0.1 Pg carbon from 1989 to 2008 (3), and global $\text{CH}_4$ flux increased at an average yearly rate of 27 Tg carbon from 2008 to 2017 (4). However, warming decreases soil $\text{CO}_2$ flux in dryland ecosystems due to microbial thermal acclimation and reduced enzymatic activities (5). Inconsistent responses of soil carbon fluxes to warming can partially be attributed to concurrent changes in precipitation (1, 2, 6, 7), which regulate the metabolic capacity of fine roots and microbial activities (8). Consequently, the temperature dependence of carbon fluxes is modulated by soil moisture (9), indicating the important role of the interaction between temperature and moisture. This complexity has generated considerable uncertainty in predicting carbon fluxes under future climate conditions (3, 8, 9).

Both plants and soil-dwelling microbes dually regulate the flux of carbon in and out of the soil, so their responses to warming and precipitation impose significant changes to soil carbon fluxes. Drying generally decreases plant photosynthesis (7), while the effect of warming depends on leaf diffusive conductance (10, 11). These climate changes also substantially affect microbial communities (12). They can affect microbial enzyme activities (13) and microbial community composition through changes in plant functional groups and soil environments (14, 15), which in turn affect soil carbon decomposition rates (16).

Short-term warming affects microbial functional composition but not the taxonomic composition of microbial communities in Arctic tundra, suggesting that microbial functional traits were more sensitive to warming (17). In the Arctic, microbial functional composition was significantly correlated with soil respiration or $\text{CH}_4$ flux (18). However, it is unclear whether the patterns between microbial functional composition and carbon fluxes observed in high latitude ecosystems are still true in high altitude ecosystems.

To examine microbial and plant roles in mediating carbon fluxes, we carried out a field experiment in the alpine grassland of the Tibetan Plateau, a highly sensitive ecosystem (19) in which the warming rate (0.2°C per decade) dwarfs the global warming rate by a factor of two (20). Precipitation in Tibet is jointly controlled by summer monsoons and the North Atlantic Oscillation, which is projected to increase precipitation in Southern and Northern areas of the Plateau and decrease precipitation in the central Plateau (21). Therefore, we set up a study site in July 2011, which contains two levels of warming (control, warming +2°C) crossed with three levels of precipitation (control, wetting +50%, and drying −50%). By measuring soil, plant, and microbial variables, we sought to test two hypotheses: (i) whether microbial functional capacities associated with carbon cycling are differentially affected by warming and precipitation, and (ii) whether variations in soil carbon fluxes and net ecosystem exchange (NEE) are tightly linked to microbial functional traits rather than microbial taxonomic composition. We adopted a functional gene microarray, GeoChip, to detect a wide variety of functional genes (22). We analyzed bacterial communities by amplicon sequencing of bacterial 16S rRNA genes and determined the operational taxonomic units (OTU) by clustering sequences at 97% similarity. We analyzed fungal communities by amplicon sequencing of fungal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions and determined the OTU by clustering sequences at 97% similarity.

RESULTS

Effects of climate changes on plant and soil variables. Warming increased gross primary production (GPP; +20.39%, $P < 0.050$) and ecosystem respiration (ER; +26.03%, $P < 0.050$; see Table 51 in the supplemental material). Wetting increased GPP (+23.74%, $P < 0.050$) and decreased net ecosystem exchange (NEE; −29.36%, $P < 0.050$). As a result, a larger soil carbon sink was observed, consistent with the finding that Tibet had served as a carbon sink since 2000 (23). In contrast, drying caused very little change to plant and soil variables (see Table 51 in the supplemental material). Combined warming and wetting stimulated soil $\text{CO}_2$ flux (+19.22%, $P < 0.050$) and decreased NEE (−56.94%, $P < 0.050$), while combined warming and drying increased root/shoot
ratio (+61.50%, \( P < 0.050 \)) and decreased soil water content (SWC, \(-31.61\%, \ P < 0.050 \)), aboveground net primary production (ANPP, \(-37.69\%, \ P < 0.050 \)), and plant richness \((-19.67\%, \ P < 0.050 \)). Combined warming and drying also dramatically decreased ecosystem multifunctionality (EMF, \(-289.47\%, \ P < 0.050 \)), defined as the ability of ecosystems to maintain multiple services and functions such as carbon storage and productivity (24). Plants not only are the source of carbon for microbes but also contribute to soil \( \text{CO}_2 \) flux and NEE directly by root respiration. Therefore, we measured belowground net primary production (BNPP) and found that it remained unchanged by any treatment (see Table S1). No interactive effects of warming and precipitation were detected for all of 15 soil variables except for pH (see Table S2).

**Effects of climate changes on microbial communities.** Although most treatments did not affect microbial biomass, combined warming and drying decreased soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC; \(-27.37\%, \ P < 0.050 \)) and microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN; \(-30.08\%, \ P < 0.050 \); see Table S1) considerably, suggesting that soil microbial communities were under stress. Combined warming and drying also decreased the MBC-normalized abundances (i.e., the relative abundances of functional genes based on GeoChip intensity multiplied by MBC) of a majority of the microbial functional genes associated with carbon degradation and \( \text{CH}_4 \) cycling (see Fig. S1). These genes included \( \text{frt} \) encoding ferredoxin-thioredoxin reductase, \( \text{mcrA} \) encoding \( \alpha \)-subunit of methyl-coenzyme M reductase, and \( \text{mmox} \) encoding a methane monoxygenase. Archaeal sequences related to both hydrogenotrophic and acetoclastic methanogens were detected, suggesting that \( \text{CH}_4 \) could originate from both \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction and acetate fermentation.

The taxonomic and functional \( \alpha \)-diversities remained unchanged by any treatment (see Fig. S2a). The main effect of precipitation alteration \((F = 1.24 \text{ to } 2.67, \ P < 0.050)\), rather than warming \((F = 0.71 \text{ to } 1.27, \ P > 0.050)\), was significant on overall functional and taxonomic composition (PerMANOVA; see Table S2). There were few interactive effects of warming and precipitation on microbial communities. The functional dissimilarity of within-group samples, calculated as the mean Bray-Curtis weighted dissimilarities in functional composition between pairs of replicates in a group, was increased by warming (see Fig. S2b), consistent with a divergent succession of soil microbial communities under warming (25).

**Strong linkages between soil carbon fluxes and microbial functional abundances.** The functional composition of microbial communities, rather than the taxonomic composition, was significantly associated with soil \( \text{CO}_2 \) flux \((r = 0.48, \ P < 0.001)\); partial Mantel tests in Table 1), \( \text{CH}_4 \) flux \((r = 0.54, \ P < 0.001)\) and other ecosystem functions such as ER \((r = 0.54, \ P < 0.001)\), GPP \((r = 0.28, \ P = 0.006)\), and NEE \((r = 0.40, \ P = 0.002)\). Moreover, soil \( \text{CO}_2 \) flux increased with abundances of functional genes associated with carbon degradation \((r = 0.74, \ P < 0.001)\; \text{Fig. 1a})\), while soil \( \text{CH}_4 \) flux increased with abundances of functional genes associated with \( \text{CH}_4 \) cycling \((r > 0.72, \ P < 0.002)\; \text{Fig. 1b and c})\). Consistently, soil \( \text{CO}_2 \) flux increased with abundances of individual functional genes associated with carbon degradation, such as \( \text{xylA} \) encoding xylose isomerases \((r = 0.69, \ P < 0.001)\; \text{see Fig. S3a})\). The soil \( \text{CH}_4 \) flux increased with abundances of individual functional genes associated with \( \text{CH}_4 \) cycling, such as \( \text{mcrA} \) \((r = 0.77, \ P < 0.001)\), encoding \( \alpha \)-subunit of methyl coenzyme M reductase and \( \text{pmoA} \) encoding \( \alpha \)-subunit of particulate methane monoxygenase \((r = 0.70, \ P < 0.001)\; \text{see Fig. S3b})\). Since functional abundances were normalized by MBC, we repeated the correlational analyses using relative abundances of functional genes without normalization. Significant correlations between abundances and carbon fluxes were still observed (see Table S3), excluding the possibility that microbial biomass determined the correlations. In contrast, there were few significant correlations between carbon fluxes and MBC-normalized abundances (i.e., relative abundance of 16S rRNA gene/ITS multiplied by MBC) at a fine taxonomic (i.e., the genus) or a coarse taxonomic (i.e., the phylum) resolution (see Table S4).
**TABLE 1** Partial Mantel tests between environmental variables and microbial communities

| Environmental variable | Microbial functional composition | Bacterial community composition | Fungal community composition |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Clay (%)               | 0.37 (0.002)**                  | 0.02 (0.341)                    | −0.07 (0.783)                |
| Silt (%)               | 0.28 (0.032)*                   | −0.06 (0.668)                   | 0.03 (0.389)                 |
| Sandy (%)              | 0.33 (<0.001)***                | 0.07 (0.189)                    | −0.05 (0.716)                |
| pH                     | 0.08 (0.198)                    | −0.04 (0.621)                   | −0.12 (0.875)                |
| SWC (g kg⁻¹)           | 0.19 (0.047)*                   | −0.03 (0.590)                   | −0.08 (0.805)                |
| Temp (°C)              | −0.09 (0.844)                   | 0.04 (0.302)                    | 0.13 (0.055)                 |
| TC (g kg⁻¹)            | 0.01 (0.369)                    | 0.01 (0.415)                    | 0.03 (0.339)                 |
| TN (g kg⁻¹)            | 0.01 (0.385)                    | 0.04 (0.323)                    | 0.07 (0.267)                 |
| TP (g kg⁻¹)            | 0.19 (0.043)*                   | −0.03 (0.578)                   | −0.08 (0.824)                |
| SOC (g kg⁻¹)           | −0.04 (0.671)                   | 0.11 (0.145)                    | 0.03 (0.347)                 |
| NH₄⁺ (mg kg⁻¹)         | −0.02 (0.538)                   | −0.18 (0.981)                   | −0.10 (0.854)                |
| NO₃⁻ (mg kg⁻¹)         | 0.02 (0.380)                    | −0.02 (0.578)                   | −0.02 (0.578)                |
| SAP (mg kg⁻¹)          | 0.23 (0.007)**                  | 0.24 (0.011)**                  | 0.02 (0.400)                 |
| DOC (mg kg⁻¹)          | 0.11 (0.139)                    | 0.07 (0.251)                    | 0.07 (0.251)                 |
| DON (mg kg⁻¹)          | −0.06 (0.702)                   | −0.14 (0.956)                   | −0.09 (0.826)                |
| CO₂ (mg m⁻² h⁻¹)       | 0.48 (<0.001)***                | 0.15 (0.084)                    | 0.02 (0.427)                 |
| CH₄ (µg m⁻² h⁻¹)       | 0.54 (<0.001)***                | −0.19 (0.974)                   | −0.06 (0.672)                |
| N₂O (µg m⁻² h⁻¹)       | 0.38 (0.017)*                   | −0.22 (0.994)                   | −0.06 (0.695)                |
| BNPP (g m⁻²)           | 0.17 (0.074)                    | −0.21 (0.994)                   | −0.18 (0.981)                |
| NEE (µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) | 0.40 (0.002)**                  | 0.16 (0.073)                    | 0.03 (0.350)                 |
| ER (µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹)  | 0.54 (<0.001)***                | −0.19 (0.983)                   | −0.06 (0.662)                |
| GPP (µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) | 0.28 (0.006)**                  | 0.18 (0.067)                    | 0.15 (0.087)                 |
| Grass ANPP (g m⁻²)     | 0.06 (0.223)                    | −0.08 (0.722)                   | −0.08 (0.722)                |
| Sedge ANPP (g m⁻²)     | 0.09 (0.156)                    | 0.09 (0.161)                    | 0.07 (0.275)                 |
| Forb ANPP (g m⁻²)      | 0.13 (0.073)                    | 0.03 (0.369)                    | 0.07 (0.215)                 |
| Other ANPP (g m⁻²)     | −0.09 (0.758)                   | 0.03 (0.358)                    | 0.02 (0.404)                 |
| ANPP (g m⁻²)           | 0.12 (0.128)                    | 0.01 (0.396)                    | −0.08 (0.743)                |
| Total plant biomass    | 0.79 (0.079)                    | −0.00 (0.477)                   | 0.02 (0.396)                 |
| Root/shoot ratio       | −0.03 (0.594)                   | −0.04 (0.647)                   | 0.01 (0.414)                 |
| Grass richness         | 0.37 (<0.001)***                | 0.10 (0.141)                    | −0.06 (0.788)                |
| Sedge richness         | −0.07 (0.792)                   | 0.23 (0.008)**                  | 0.11 (0.060)                 |
| Forb richness          | 0.13 (0.096)                    | 0.18 (0.054)                    | 0.06 (0.280)                 |
| Other plant richness   | −0.01 (0.474)                   | 0.10 (0.189)                    | 0.13 (0.136)                 |
| Plant richness         | 0.37 (<0.001)***                | 0.13 (0.062)                    | 0.04 (0.324)                 |
| Plant diversity        | 0.05 (0.264)                    | 0.09 (0.169)                    | 0.04 (0.346)                 |
| EMF                    | 0.27 (<0.001)***                | 0.08 (0.206)                    | −0.11 (0.872)                |

**Abbreviations:** clay, soil clay content; silt, soil silt content; sandy, soil sandy content; SWC, soil water content; temp, soil temperature; TC, soil total carbon; TN, soil total nitrogen; TP, soil total phosphorus; SOC, soil organic carbon; SAP, soil available phosphorus; DOC, dissolved organic carbon; DON, dissolved organic nitrogen; CO₂, soil CO₂ flux; CH₄, soil CH₄ flux; N₂O, soil N₂O flux; BNPP, belowground net primary production; NEE, net ecosystem exchange; ER, ecosystem respiration; GPP, gross primary productivity; grass ANPP, the aboveground net primary production of grass; sedge ANPP, the aboveground net primary production of sedge; Forb ANPP, the aboveground net primary production of forb; other ANPP, the aboveground net primary production of other functional groups of plant; ANPP, the aboveground net primary production of total plant community; total plant biomass, the sum of aboveground and belowground net primary production; root/shoot ratio, the ratio of BNPP to ANPP; grass richness, species number of grass; sedge richness, species number of sedge; Forb richness, species number of forb; other plant richness, species number of other functional groups of plants; plant richness, the species numbers of plant community; plant diversity, the α-diversity of plant communities based on calculation of Shannon index; EMF, ecosystem multifunctionality.

**, P < 0.050; ***, P < 0.010; ***, P < 0.001.

**Strong linkages between soil carbon fluxes and microbial functional genes.** We analyzed individual contribution of microbial and plant communities to carbon fluxes. Microbial functional genes, soil, and selected plant variables of ANPP, BNPP, and plant Shannon diversity were significantly linked with soil CO₂ flux (partial Mantel tests; Table 2). In contrast, only microbial functional genes and soil variables, but not selected plant variables, were significantly linked with soil CH₄ flux (P < 0.050). We also performed multiple regression on distance matrices (MRM; see Table S5), which showed significant linkages between microbial functional genes and carbon fluxes (P < 0.050). Furthermore, we selected important categories of microbial functional
genes and found that microbial functional groups associated with recalcitrant carbon degradation were significantly linked with soil CO₂ flux and NEE (partial Mantel tests; Table 3). In addition, the explanatory powers of functional genes associated with carbon degradation derived from bacteria or fungi for soil CO₂ flux and NEE are stronger than those of bacterial or fungal communities (Table 2).

We also generated linear mixed-effects models to verify the linkages with carbon fluxes (see Table S6). The effects of microbial functional gene abundances were significant and larger than those of the plant and soil variables used, based on standardized coefficients in models. The regression models were able to predict more than 60% of the variations in carbon fluxes across all sites.

We also tested whether there was a linkage between soil microbial communities and EMF. To this end, we conducted principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA) and nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) for microbial communities. We found that EMF was correlated with the first axis of PCoA/NMDS of microbial functional composition ($r = 0.65$, $P < 0.004$; Fig. 2a) but not with that of bacterial or fungal community composition ($r < 0.33$, $P > 0.050$; Fig. 2b and c). These results could be verified by partial Mantel tests (Table 1). Procrustes analyses showed that microbial functional composition was not correlated with bacterial taxonomic composition (sum of squares = 0.997, $r = 0.05$, $P = 0.885$) or fungal taxonomic composition (sum of squares = 0.986, $r = 0.11$, $P = 0.528$; see Table S7).

**TABLE 2**

| Variable | $R$   | $P$    | Variable | $r$    | $P$    |
|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|
| CO₂      |       |        | Methane  | 0.18  | 0.007**|
| Bacterial community | 0.09  | 0.187  | Bacterial community | −0.04 | 0.674  |
| Fungal community | 0.01  | 0.501  | Fungal community | −0.08 | 0.837  |
| Plant    | 0.36  | 0.002**| Plant    | 0.13  | 0.059  |
| Soil     | 0.36  | $<0.001$***| Soil | 0.48  | $<0.001$***|
| Carbon_degradation_B | 0.34  | 0.006**| Methane_B | 0.20  | 0.005**|
| Carbon_degradation_A | 0.47  | 0.001**| Carbon_degradation_A | 0.40  | 0.002**|
| Carbon_degradation_F | 0.48  | 0.001**| Carbon_degradation_F | 0.44  | 0.001**|

$R$ and $P$ are partial Mantel test results. The significance of the relationships is indicated by asterisks ($*, P < 0.050; ***, P < 0.001$).

*Abbreviations: CO₂, soil CO₂ flux; CH₄, soil CH₄ flux; NEE, net ecosystem exchange. Significance is indicated by asterisks ($*, P < 0.050; ***, P < 0.001$).
DISCUSSION

How microbes and plants contribute to soil carbon fluxes is a fundamental and controversial question related to the fate of soil organic matter (1, 2). Even less is known about climate controls on microbes and plants, consequently affecting soil carbon fluxes. Although warming significantly increased both GPP and abundances of microbial functional genes associated with carbon degradation in tundra ecosystems (17), only GPP was stimulated by warming in our study (see Fig. S1 and Table S1). One possible explanation is that tundra has much higher soil moisture than our sites (17), which could stimulate extracellular enzyme activity of soil microbes to degrade available carbon (26). Warming increased thaw depth and soil moisture in tundra (17) but decreased SWC in the Tibetan plateau (see Table S1). Due to the higher elevation, warming increased soil water evaporation, leading to severe water stress (27).

Whether precipitation has a favorable/detrimental effect on plants and microbes depends on the soil water content, oxygen availability, and soluble substrate supply (7, 27). Generally, ANPP increases with precipitation unless anoxic conditions caused by wetting decrease the rates of nutrients cycling (28). In this study, wetting significantly increased GPP (see Table S1), suggesting that precipitation was a limiting factor for plant growth. In contrast, plants could tolerate drying via enhanced water use efficiency (29), leading to little changes in GPP and ANPP. The soil microbial community composition was also resistant to drying (see Fig. S1), which could be explained by two non-mutually exclusive mechanisms. Microbes can acclimate physiologically to environmental changes by reducing internal solute potential, forming impermeable cell walls, and producing extracellular polymeric substances to survive harsh conditions or go dormant (30, 31). Also, there is the legacy effect of soil moisture, e.g., microbial communities are affected by the previous condition of soil moisture (32). However, the combined warming and drying treatment begot a more severe drought, which significantly decreased ANPP (see Table S1). The total plant biomass was not decreased, owing to an increased plant root/shoot ratio (see Table S1) that offset drought stress and improved drought tolerance (33).

Combined warming and wetting increased soil CO₂ flux (see Table S1). Consistent with this, combined warming and wetting stimulated the activities of an extracellular enzyme associated with organic matter mineralization (34). In addition, wetting accelerated loss of p-coumaric acid and cell wall-bound ferulic (35), which protects carbohydrates by cross-linking with lignin. Consequently, combined warming and wetting stimulated litter decomposition. Since warming causes water limitation for plant and soil microbes (7, 31), combined warming and drying caused stress for the alpine ecosystem, as evidenced by the substantial decrease of ANPP, microbial biomass, and the abundance of microbial functional genes associated with carbon cycling (see Fig. S1 and Table S1).

### TABLE 3 Influence of microbial functional genes, plant variables, and soil variables on carbon fluxes by partial Mantel tests

| Variable | CO₂ | CH₄ | NEE |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|
| Starch   | 0.10| 0.10| 0.02|
| Hemicellulose | 0.09| 0.09| 0.09|
| Cellulose | 0.02| 0.02| 0.02|
| Chitin   | 0.08| 0.08| 0.08|
| Lignin   | 0.06| 0.06| 0.06|
| Plant    | 0.04| 0.04| 0.04|
| Soil     | 0.02| 0.02| 0.02|

*Abbreviations: CO₂, soil CO₂ flux; CH₄, soil CH₄ flux; NEE, net ecosystem exchange. Significance is indicated by asterisks (*, P < 0.050; **, P < 0.010; ***, P < 0.001). Starch represents functional genes associated with starch degradation; hemicellulose represents functional genes associated with hemicellulose degradation; cellulose represents functional genes associated with cellulose degradation; chitin represents functional genes associated with chitin degradation; lignin represents functional genes associated with lignin degradation. Plant variables include aboveground and belowground net primary production and plant Shannon diversity. Soil variables include soil clay content, silt content, sandy content, pH, soil water content, soil temperature, soil total carbon, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, soil organic carbon, ammonia, nitrate, available phosphorus, dissolved organic carbon, and dissolved organic nitrogen.
A meta-analysis study showed that soil CO₂ flux was positively correlated with ligninase activity, rather than cellulase activity (36). Similarly, we found that soil CO₂ flux was tightly linked with the microbial functional composition associated with recalcitrant carbon degradation, rather than labile carbon degradation (Table 3). There were significant positive linkages between soil CH₄ flux and the abundances of microbial functional genes associated with methanogenesis and methanotrophy (Fig. 1b and c), two intertwining processes that jointly contributed to CH₄ flux (37). Consistent with previous studies showing linkages between soil CH₄ flux and methanogens (38), the effect of methanogenic community composition on CH₄ flux was also significant.

**FIG 2** Correlations between EMF and microbial communities. Microbial communities are shown as microbial functional compositions derived from the first axis of principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA1) (a) and derived from the first axis of nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS1) (b) in a bacterial community composition derived from PCoA1 and NMDS1 and derived from a fungal community composition derived from PCoA1 and NMDS1 (c).
(Table 3). In contrast, we did not observe a significant effect of the methanotrophic community composition on CH₄ flux, since the methanotrophic community was less affected by warming and precipitation than the methanogenic community (39). Therefore, methanogenic archaea could play a major role in affecting soil CH₄ flux in the alpine ecosystem. Consistent with our results, more than 80% of soil CH₄ fluxes could be attributed to methanogenesis regardless of aerobic or anaerobic conditions (40). Our results showed that methanogen abundance is valuable to infer the underlying mechanisms of methane emissions. In addition, a mixed CH₄ origin suggests the availability of several methanogenic substrates in the alpine grassland, probably derived from the recycling of old carbon (for example, acetate) and H₂ gas.

Linking microbial communities to ecosystem functioning is a key challenge in microbial ecology (41). Our study demonstrated that EMF was significantly correlated with the microbial functional composition rather than the taxonomic composition (Fig. 2 and Table 1). In accordance, microbial functional composition was decoupled from microbial taxonomy (see Table S7). Functional redundancy among microbial taxa, horizontal gene transfer, convergent evolution, or adaptive loss of microbial function could be responsible for the decoupling (42). Rapid evolution and gene loss caused by horizontal gene transfer can obscure a trait’s phylogenetic signal. Convergent evolution also decreases phylogenetic signal since taxonomically distinct species evolved a similar phenotypic solution due to similar genetic changes or identical mutations (43). In addition, one microbe usually has thousands of functional genes, so functional genes have a higher dimensionality and resolution than that of the 16S rRNA gene (44). The relatively high degree of conservation and low degree of resolution in the 16S rRNA gene make it easy to detect variations in functional genes. In a global soil study, abiotic soil characteristics well explained the variations of microbial gene abundances associated with nitrogen cycling but barely explained the taxonomic composition. These results imply that viewing microbial communities through a taxonomic lens could impair ecological generality (45). For example, most fungi are rather plastic, displaying substantial variation in physiology and morphology that can obscure different life strategies among taxa (46). Given that microbial functional traits can be linked to ecosystem functions (45), analyzing microbial functional capabilities will provide valuable insights into ecosystem functions. Soil CO₂ fluxes under cold climates are projected to increase with climate warming (47), representing a positive climate-carbon cycle feedback. In alpine grasslands, we showed that changes in precipitation were indispensable for modulating warming-induced microbial functional capacity in carbon degradation. Our study revealed complicated patterns of plant and microbial responses to climate changes, highlighting the importance of taking microbial functional traits into accounts when predicting soil carbon flux changes. By establishing linkages between functional gene abundances and soil carbon fluxes and EMF, we provide novel insights into how microbial functional traits could serve as potential proxies of ecosystem functions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field site description and soil sample collection. Our field site is located at the Haibei Alpine Grassland Ecosystem Research Station in the northeastern Tibet Plateau, China (37°30’N, 101°12’E, 3,200 m above sea level). Soil pH at a depth of 0 to 10 cm is 6.4. The annual mean air temperature at Haibei is −1.5°C. The annual mean precipitation is 501.3 mm. More than 80% of the precipitation occurs from May to September, during which vegetation reaches the maximal aboveground biomass in late July and early August.

Thirty-six experimental plots (six treatments × six replicates) were set up in July 2011, with a completely randomized block design, i.e., random distribution of six treatments, control (C), warming (W), drying (DP), wetting (IP), combined warming and drying (WDP), and combined warming and wetting (WIP) plots. The plots are of 2.2 m in length × 1.8 m in width and separated from other plots by a 4.0-m buffer belt. To stimulate warming of +2°C, two infrared heaters (220 V, 1,200 W) were installed at the height of 1.6 m aboveground in the plots of three warming treatments (W, WIP, and WDP). Dummy heaters were installed for other plots (C, IP, and DP). Four transparent resin polycarbonate channels, which cover 50% of the plot area, are used to intercept precipitation in drying plots (DP and WIP). During rainfall, intercepted precipitation flows into white polyethylene plastic rainwater collection vessels and is then added to wetting plots (IP and WIP), resulting in a 50% precipitation decrease in DP and
WDP plots and a 50% precipitation increase in IP and WIP plots. C, IP, and WIP plots also have four transparent channels to mimic the shade effect in the DP and WDP plots. Iron sheets are buried around each plot to prevent runoff. Each treatment consists of six biological replicates.

Soils were collected in August 2013 and used for microbial analyses and soil variable measurements. Three soil cores with a diameter of 3.5 cm and a depth of 0 to 10 cm were randomly taken from each plot. The soil cores were mixed to make a composite sample, transported to the laboratory on ice, and immediately sieved with a 2-mm mesh to remove visible plant roots and stones. Soils for microbial analyses were stored at −80°C until DNA extraction. Soils for physiochemical measurements were stored at 4 or −20°C.

**Measurements of plant variables.** Plants were surveyed at the peak of the growing season in 2013, based on an area (0.5 m × 1.5 m) in the middle of each plot dedicated to plant survey. The area was divided into three subplots (0.5 m × 0.5 m) and plant species, and the corresponding coverage for each species were counted in each subplot. The average coverage of each species in the three subplots was calculated to represent the species coverage in the whole plot (2.2 m × 1.8 m). Plant diversity was calculated as follows using the Shannon index:

\[
H = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_2 p_i
\]

where \( p_i \) is the coverage of species \( i \) divided by the coverage of total species.

Plants were harvested at the peak of the growing season in the year 2013 by completely clipping aboveground biomass to the ground level. Aboveground net primary production (ANPP) was the weight of living plants after harvesting and oven drying at 65°C to a constant weight. Belowground net primary production (BNPP) was measured by an ingrowth-core method at the end of the 2013 growing season (48). Briefly, we collected soil from cores with 50 cm in length and 5 cm in diameter, in which root biomass was removed in 2012. Roots in the soil cores were washed thoroughly to remove the attached soil. BNPP was calculated based on the weight of plant root after oven drying at 65°C to a constant weight.

**Measurements of soil variables and carbon fluxes.** Soil temperature and soil water content were automatically monitored on an hourly basis using 5-TM probe sensors at a depth of 5 cm and an EM-50 data logger (Meter, Inc., Pullman, WA). The soil pH was measured with a fresh soil/water ratio of 1:5 (vol/vol) by using an FE20 pH meter (FiveEasy; Giessen, Hessen Land, German). Soil total carbon (TC) and nitrogen (TN) were measured with a 2400 II CHN elemental analyzer (Perkin-Elmer, Inc., Boston, MA). Soil organic carbon (SOC) was calculated as the difference between TC and carbon bound to CaCO₃. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) were determined using a Multi N/C 3000 TOC analyzer (Analytik Jena AG, Thuringia, Germany). To determine nitrate and ammonium concentrations, 3 g of fresh soil was extracted with 2 M KCl for 1 h shaking, followed by filtering. The filtrate was measured with a continuous flow AutoAnalyzer 3 (Seal Analytical, Inc., Norderstedt, Schleswig-Holstein, Germany). Total phosphorus (TP) and soil available phosphorus (SAP) were measured using the molybdenum blue method with a UV-2550 UV-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Inc., Kyoto, Japan). Soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) were determined using the chloroform fumigation extraction method.

Soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were measured using a static opaque chamber and Agilent 7890A gas chromatography (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA) as previously described (49). We measured CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes twice or three times per month on sunny days between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. local time throughout 2013 to obtain average annual soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes. Briefly, soil CO₂ fluxes and net ecosystem exchange (NEE) were measured by an LI-6400 infrared gas analyzer (LI-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NE). Before the measurement, square collars (0.4 m in length × 0.4 m in width × 0.1 m in height) were inserted at a depth of 5 cm. During each measurement, a transparent chamber (0.4 m in length × 0.4 m in width × 0.6 m in height; without the bottom) was placed on the collar and then sealed with water. A fan in each chamber was used to mix the air during sampling. After steady-state conditions were reached, six consecutive measurements of soil CO₂ concentration, at 10-s intervals, were obtained over 1 min. The CO₂ flux was then calculated from the slope of the linear regression of the measurements. Aboveground plants were removed when measuring soil CO₂ flux, while aboveground plants were maintained when measuring NEE. The more negative value of NEE indicates greater carbon uptake from the atmosphere to the ecosystem. After the NEE measurement, we ventilated the chamber and covered it with an opaque cloth before measuring ecosystem respiration (ER). Gross primary productivity was then calculated by subtracting NEE from ER. CH₄ gas samples were collected at 10-min intervals over 30 min using plastic syringes and then analyzed CH₄ within 24 h using a gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector. The carrier gas was N₂, and operating temperatures for the flame ionization detector were set at 250°C. Soil CH₄ fluxes were calculated by the slope of linear regressions between gas concentration and sampling time (0, 10, 20, and 30 min after chamber closure).

**Ecosystem multifunctional index.** Eight environmental variables were selected to calculate the ecosystem multifunctionality index (EMF): DOC, DON, SAP, MBC, ANPP, BNPP, and ER. Although the definition of EMF varies among individual studies, the majority of those studies have included variables associated with carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus cycling, using associated enzyme activity (50) or soil nutrient content (24). DOC, DON, and SAP were selected because they are good surrogates for soil nutrients for soil microbes (41, 51, 52) and plants (24). ANPP, BNPP, and MBC represent the growth of plant and microbial communities. ER represents the conversion of organic carbon to CO₂ in an ecosystem (53). We checked multicollinearity of selected variables using the vif function in the “car” package in R, and all variance inflation factors were <4, indicating low collinearity. To eliminate the effect of scale differences among seven environmental variables, we calculated the z-score transformation before using
an averaging approach, in which there is no weight for each variable. The averaged multifunctionality ($MF_x$) was calculated as follows:

$$MF_x = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^{F} g(f_i)$$  

(2)

where $F$ is the number of measured functions, $f_i$ are the values of functions, and $g$ is a function to standardize all the values of a function to an identical scale. The function $g$ was calculated as follows:

$$g = \frac{f_i - \bar{f}}{s}$$  

(3)

where $\bar{f}$ is the mean value of $f$, and $s$ is the standard deviation of $f$.

**Amplicon sequencing experiments and raw data processing.** Soil DNA was extracted using the PowerSoil DNA isolation kit (MoBio Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction and then dissolved in nuclease-free water. The bacterial 16S rRNA gene and fungal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region were sequenced using a phasing amplicon sequencing approach by a two-step PCR (54). The primer pair of 515-F (5’-GTGCCAGCMGCGCGGTAA-3’) and 806-R (5’-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3’) was used to amplify the 16S rRNA gene. The primer pair of qITS7-F (5’-GTGARTCACTGARTCTTTG-3’) and ITS4-R (5’-TCCTCCGCTATTGATATGC-3’) was used to amplify the ITS region. DNA template (5 μl) was mixed with PCR buffer (2.5 μl of 10 × PCR buffer, 1 μl of forward primer, 1 μl of reverse primer [10 μM], and 0.1 μl of AccuPrime Taq DNA polymerase high fidelity [Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Carlsbad, CA]), brought to a volume of 25 μl with nuclease-free water and then amplified using the following programs. For 16S rRNA gene, there was an initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 min; followed by 10 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, 53°C for 25 s, and 68°C for 45 s (each); followed by a final extension at 68°C for 10 min. For the ITS region, there was an initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min and then 14 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 0 s, and 68°C for 30 s (each), followed by a final extension at 68°C for 30 s. An aliquot (15 μl) of DNA product from the first-step PCR was then used as the template for the second-step PCR. The same buffer and amplification procedure was used except that 20 cycles were used for the 16S rRNA gene, and 26 cycles were used for the ITS region. Final PCR products were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA) and quantified by PicoGreen with a FLUOstar Optima plate reader (BMG Labtech, Inc., Ortenberg, Hesse, Germany). Purified DNA libraries were mixed with PhiX and then sequenced on a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA).

Raw sequence data were processed on a sequencing analysis pipeline (http://www.ou.edu/ieg/tools/data-analysis-pipeline) (55). Sequences were classified into OTUs using UPARSE with 97% similarity for the 16S rRNA gene and the ITS region and then rarefied by the minimum number of sequences detected across all samples (15,089 sequences for 16S rRNA gene and 10,570 sequences for the ITS region). OTU taxonomic classification was conducted using representative sequences from each OTU in RDP classifier with a confidence cutoff of 0.5 (56). Microbial MBC-normalized abundances of bacterial and fungal taxa were calculated by multiplying relative abundance of microbial taxa with corresponding MBC.

**Experiments with GeoChip and raw data processing.** Detailed descriptions of DNA labeling and hybridization to GeoChip 5.0 was described recently (22). Briefly, 0.6 μg of DNA labeled with fluorescent dye Cy-3 was hybridized with GeoChip 5.0 at 67°C plus 10% formamide in an Agilent hybridization oven (57), we calculated the abundances of microbial functional genes by multiplying the relative abundance of functional gene probes that detected only one of six biological replicates were removed. The relative abundance of a functional gene was calculated by normalizing the total intensity for each sample. The measurement of microbial functional groups was independent of MBC since MBC was determined by the chloroform fumigation extraction method, while functional genes were revealed by GeoChip analysis. Since the effect of soil microbes on ecosystem functions depends on microbial biomass (57), we calculated the abundances of microbial functional genes by multiplying the relative abundance of functional genes by the corresponding MBC to standardize samples across different microbial biomasses.

**Statistical analyses.** The microbial taxonomic or functional α-diversity was calculated by using the Shannon index:

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log p_i$$  

(4)

where $p_i$ is the percentage of species $i$ to total species.

The dissimilarity of within-group samples was calculated as mean Bray-Curtis weighted dissimilarities between pairs of replicates in a group. Since the distribution of Bray-Curtis weighted dissimilarities did not fit the normal model, the Kruskal-Wallis H-rank sum test was used to conduct post hoc tests using the `Kruskall.test` and `pairwise.wilcox.test` functions in the “stats” package.

Linear mixed-effects models (LMM) were fitted to reveal the effect sizes of variables on soil carbon fluxes and NEE. The model structure was set as $CO_2 \sim ANPP + SOC + gene abundance + (1|block)$, in which different variables were used as fixed effects, and the block was used as a random intercept effect. Coefficients were regarded to be significant if $P < 0.050$. The $t^2$ values of the model considering the fixed effect and random effect were used to explain variations of soil carbon fluxes. Similarly, we used LMEM to assess the effects of treatments on plants, soil variables, and microbial abundances, considering the
block effect. The model structure was set as y ~ warming × precipitation alteration + (1|block), where y is the plant or soil variable or the microbial abundance tested. The lmer function in the “lme4” package was used to run LMEM. The statistical significance of the coefficient in LMEM was based on type-II analysis-of-variance tables calculated by the Anova function in the “car” package. A post hoc Turkey’s honestly significant difference test was conducted using glht and cld function in the “multicomp” package. The Pearson correlation test was conducted with the cor.test function in the “stats” package. P values were adjusted by using the Bonferroni algorithm with the p.adjust function in the “stats” package.

Multivariate analyses were used to link soil or plant variables to microbial community compositions. PerMANOVA based on weighted Bray-Curtis distance was used to detect the main and interactive effects of warming and precipitation alteration on microbial communities with the block as a random effect. To this end, a distance matrix was extracted from the overall microbial community composition. F-statistic and P values of PerMANOVA and plant Shannon diversity were used. We calculated plant dissimilarity matrix using weighted Bray-Curtis distance based on a multivariate (36 × 3) matrix comprised of three selected plant variables of ANPP, BNPP, and plant Shannon diversity in 36 plots. The model structure was set as distance ~ warming × precipitation alteration + (1|block). The adonis function of the “vegan” package was used to run PerMANOVA for microbial community data. Partial Mantel tests were conducted to detect the linkages between environmental variables and microbial communities and to determine the influences of microbes, plants, and soil variables on carbon fluxes. Specifically, dissimilarity matrices were calculated with weighted Bray-Curtis distance. The linkages of microbial functional composition of recalcitrant carbon degradation with carbon fluxes were judged by r values. In partial Mantel tests, selected plant variables of ANPP, BNPP, and plant Shannon diversity were used. We calculated plant dissimilarity matrix using weighted Bray-Curtis distance based on a multivariate (36 × 3) matrix comprised of three plant variables derived from 36 plots. As an extension of partial Mantel tests, multiple regression on distance matrices (MRM) could contain more independent variables of matrix type. The method was used to determine the influence of selected plant variables, soil variables, and microbial functional composition on carbon fluxes. Selected plant variables of ANPP, BNPP, and plant Shannon diversity were used in MRM. MRM was conducted by using the MRM function in the “ecodist” package. The procrustes analysis was conducted to depict correlations between microbial functional composition and taxonomic composition using procrustes and protest function in the “vegan” package. These analyses were carried out in R-studio v3.5.2.
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