The cis-Acting RNA Trafficking Signal from Myelin Basic Protein mRNA and Its Cognate trans-Acting Ligand hnRNP A2 Enhance Cap-dependent Translation
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Abstract. The 21 nucleotide RNA trafficking signal (RTS), originally identified in myelin basic protein mRNA, but also found in a variety of other localized RNAs, is necessary and sufficient for transport of RNA along microtubules in oligodendrocytes. The RTS binds specifically to the RNA binding protein, hnRNP A2. Together, the RTS and hnRNP A2 comprise cis/trans determinants for several steps in the RNA trafficking pathway. Here we show that insertion of the RTS into green fluorescent protein (GFP) RNA enhances translation without affecting stability of microinjected RNA.

In dicistronic RNA, the RTS enhances cap-dependent translation without affecting internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-dependent translation. The translation enhancer function of the RTS is position, copy number, and cell type independent, hnRNP A2 dependent, and saturable with increasing amounts of injected RNA. This represents one of the first specific translation enhancer elements identified in a mammalian system.
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Eukaryotic mRNAs follow defined intracellular trafficking pathways from their sites of transcription to their sites of translation (Dreyfuss et al., 1996). The pathway for each mRNA is determined by specific cis-acting sequence elements within the RNA and by cognate trans-acting factors in the cell (McCarty and Kollman, 1995; Gavis, 1997). Specific cis/trans determinants for RNA trafficking have been identified for RNAs encoding actin (Ross et al., 1997), bicaudal Drosophila (Ross et al., 1997), bicoid (Macdonald and Struhl, 1988; McDonald et al., 1993), nanos (Gavis et al., 1996b), oskar (Ephrussi and Lehmann, 1992; Kim-Ha et al., 1993), Vg-1 (Mowry and Melton, 1992; Deshler et al., 1997), and myelin basic protein (MBP) (Ainger et al., 1997; Hoen et al., 1998).

Translation regulation plays an integral role in the RNA trafficking pathway. Translation is thought to be repressed while the RNA is in transit and activated once the RNA is localized. This steers expression of specific gene products to spatially restricted regions of the cell where the RNA is localized, and minimizes ectopic expression elsewhere in the cell. A variety of general mRNA structural features such as the 5' cap (Svitkin et al., 1996), 3' poly A tail (Hentze, 1995), Kozak consensus sequence (Kozak, 1989), internal ribosome entry sites (IRES) (Sachs et al., 1997), and AU rich elements (ARE) (Zhou et al., 1997) affect translational efficiency. In D. melanogaster, multiple factors regulating translation during development have been identified genetically (Wilson et al., 1996; Seydoux, 1996), and specific cis/trans determinants for translation suppression have been identified biochemically (Simbert et al., 1996; Dahanukar and Wharton, 1996; Gavis et al., 1996a). Recently, motifs with translation enhancer activity have been identified in the 5'UTR of Arabidopsis ferredoxin gene, fed A, and a photosystem I gene, psaD b, of Nicotiana sylvestris (Yamamoto et al., 1995). However, few specific cis/trans determinants for translation regulation have been identified in mammalian systems. The work described here provides evidence that a specific cis-acting RNA trafficking sequence (RTS) and its cognate trans-acting ligand (hnRNP A2), originally identified as cis/trans determinants for transport of MBP mRNA in oligodendrocytes, also function to enhance translation in mammalian systems.

The oligodendrocyte is the cell that elaborates myelin in the CNS (Bunge et al., 1962). MBP is a major structural component of the myelin membrane (Mikoshiba et al., 1991) and is required for major dense line formation during myelin compaction. The unique topology of the oligodendrocyte has facilitated elucidation of intracellular...
R.N.A trafficking because the different steps in the pathway are confined to spatially resolvable subcellular compartments (Ainger et al., 1993). Nuclear export occurs at the nuclear envelope, assembly of R.N.A into granules occurs in the perikaryon, R.N.A transport occurs along microtubules in the processes and veins, and R.N.A localization and translation activation occur in the myelin compartment. Deletion mapping and microinjection experiments with chimeric R.N.A's have delineated a 21-nucleotide R.N.A trafficking sequence (R.T.S) in the 3'UTR of M.BP mRNA that is necessary and sufficient for R.N.A transport in oligodendrocytes (Ainger et al., 1997). R.T.S-like sequences are also found in a variety of other R.N.A's that are localized in other cell types, suggesting that the R.T.S is a general R.N.A trafficking signal. In vitro binding experiments indicate that the R.T.S binds with sequence specificity and high affinity to h.nRNP A2, a ubiquitously expressed R.N.A binding protein that is believed to play a role in intracellular R.N.A trafficking (Hoek et al., 1998). The R.T.S and h.nRNP A2 comprise cis/trans determinants for multiple steps in trafficking of M.BP mRNA in oligodendrocytes and perhaps of R.T.S-containing R.N.A's in other cell types as well (Carson et al., 1998). The work described here was undertaken to determine if R.T.S/A 2 determinants also function to regulate translation.

In eucaryotic cells, translation is often regulated at the initiation stage (Hentze, 1995; Jackson and Wickens, 1997). There are two mechanisms of translation initiation, cap dependent and internal ribosome entry site (I.R.E.S) dependent (Sachs et al., 1997). The two mechanisms use exactly the same molecular machinery (Sachs et al., 1997), except that cap-dependent initiation requires a 5' cap on the mRNA (Svitkin et al., 1996) and cap-binding protein (e(F4E) in the cell (Jackson and Wickens, 1997), whereas I.R.E.S-dependent initiation requires an I.R.E.S within the mRNA and an I.R.E.S-binding protein (possibly La autoantigen) in the cell (Svitkin et al., 1994). In this work, we examined the effect of the R.T.S on both cap-dependent and I.R.E.S-dependent translation. The R.T.S enhanced cap-dependent translation specifically and the effect was position, copy number, and cell type independent and h.nRNP A2 dependent and saturable with increasing amounts of R.N.A.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

Neuroblastoma B104 cells and CHO cells were grown in 6% newborn calf serum in D.M.E. Oligodendrocytes from shiverer mice were isolated after 12-14 d of growth in culture as described previously (Ainger et al., 1993).

Reagents

Restriction enzymes and R.N.A polymerase were obtained from New En gland BioLabs, Promega, Stratagene, and E.picentre Technologies. R.N.A and protein molecular markers were obtained from Gibco BRL. Texas red-conjugated dextran (TR.D) was obtained from Molecular Probes, Inc. Rabbit polyclonal antibody to ribophorin I (9R1) was obtained from R. Gould (New York State Institute for Basic Research and Developmental Disabilities, Staten Island, NY). Characterization of antibody R.GFP was obtained from the Molecular Core at UCHC as described previously (Carson et al., 1997). The media containing the oligonucleotide was changed every 8 h for a period of 24 h. Antisense oligonucleotide (CTTTTCTCTCCTCCATCGCGGA) was complementary to a region of the BFP mRNA and GFP/RTS mRNA, containing the R.T.S in the 3'UTR, 5'UTR, or both, were prepared by in vitro transcription using AmpliScribe SP6 Transcription Kit (Epicentre Technologies) using pGFP 5'UTR, and pGFP 5'3'RTS, PCR using oligonucleotides that placed XbaI and XmaI cloning sites at the 5' ends, respectively, and then was inserted into XbaI-XmaI cut pGFP 5'UTR and pGFP 3'RTS to replace the GFP ORF with the BFP ORF, creating pBFP and pBFP 3'RTS. The I.R.E.S from EMCV and S65T ORF cloned in pTR5-DC/GFP (a kind gift from D.D. Moser, Biotechnology Research Institute, Montreal, Canada) were amplified by PCR using oligonucleotides that placed XmaI cloning sites at both 5' and 3' ends, and then was inserted into XbaI cut pBFP and pBFP 3'RTS to create pBDCG and pBDCG3R, respectively.

Translation Assays

To assay translation in vivo, capped GFP/RNA and GFP/3'RTS mRNA at equivalent concentrations were microinjected into neuroblastoma B104 cells, CHO cells, or shiverer oligodendrocytes as described previously (Ainger et al., 1993). TR.D was coinjected to provide a measure of the injected volume. Injected cells were incubated at 37°C for 20-24 h. The expressed GFP/TR.D fluorescence was visualized by dual channel confocal microscopy, using a Zeiss LSM 410 confocal laser scanning system (Zeiss) with an infinity-corrected 63× 1.4 NA objective. Unless otherwise indicated, images were collected within the dynamic range of the frame store such that pixel values outside the cell were >0 and pixel values inside the cell were <255. GFP and TR.D pixel values were integrated over the nucleus to avoid autofluorescent signal in the cytoplasm. The concentration of injected RNA in each cell was calculated based on the initial concentration of RNA and TR.D in the pipet and the integrated TR.D pixel values in the nucleus. Translation efficiencies were determined by calculating the GFP/RNA ratio for each cell.

To assay translation in vitro, nuclelease-treated rabbit reticulocyte lysate and wheat germ extracts were purchased from Promega and reactions were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Translation was monitored by [35S]methionine (Amersham) incorporation. Translation products were separated by 12% SDS-PAGE. After electrophoresis, the gels were fixed, soaked in Enhance (New England Nuclear), dried, and subjected to autoradiography to visualize labeled GFP polypeptide. The intensities of specific bands were integrated using ImageQuant.

Recombinant DNA and In Vitro Transcription

Full-length cDNA for S65T mutant GFP cloned in pRSETB, was obtained from Dr. R.Y. Tsien (University of California, San Diego, CA). pGEM 1A, containing the SP6 promoter and poly A, was obtained from Dr. G. Carmichael (University of Connecticut Health Center, Farmington, CT). The entire S65T mutant GFP cDNA was subcloned into the BamH1 site of pGEM 1A to create pGFP 5'RTS and pGFP 3'RTS, respectively. To create pGFP 3'RTS, the R.T.S was inserted into both A 5'SacI and HindIII-XbaI cut pGFP 5'RTS to create pGFP 3'RTS and pGFP 5'3'RTS, respectively. To create dicistronic constructs, full-length cDNA for BFP, cloned in pB150 purchased from Quantum Biotecnologies Inc., was amplified by PCR using oligonucleotides that placed XbaI and XmaI cloning sites at the 5' and 3' ends, respectively, and then was inserted into XbaI-XmaI cut pGFP 5'UTR and pGFP 3'RTS to replace the GFP ORF with the BFP ORF, creating pBFP and pBFP 3'RTS. The I.R.E.S from EMCV and S65T ORF cloned in pTR5-DC/GFP (a kind gift from D.D. Moser, Biotechnology Research Institute, Montreal, Canada) were amplified by PCR using oligonucleotides that placed XmaI cloning sites at both 5' and 3' ends, and then was inserted into XbaI cut pBFP and pBFP 3'RTS to create pBDCG and pBDCG3R, respectively.

Dequenching Assay for RNA Sensitivity

Fluorescein-labeled GFP/RNA and GFP/3'RTS RNA, prepared as previously described (K won and Carson, 1998), were microinjected into the cytoplasm of neuroblastoma B104 cells. Images of injected cells were collected with a cooled CCD camera at 10-min intervals. Total intracellular fluorescence intensities were integrated using ImageQuant program.

Antisense Oligonucleotide Treatment of B104 Cell Cultures

B104 cells or oligodendrocytes were incubated in defined culture medium containing 8 μM final concentration of phosphorothioate oligonucleotide obtained from the Molecular Core at UCHC) as described previously (Carson et al., 1997). The media containing the oligonucleotide was changed every 8 h for a period of 24 h. Antisense oligonucleotide (CTTTTCTCTCCTCCATCGCGGA) was complementary to a region...
around the translation start site of human hnRNP A2 mRNA. The corresponding sense oligonucleotide was used as a control. Expression of hnRNP A2 in oligodendrocytes was evaluated by immunofluorescence (IF) using monoclonal antibody to hnRNP A2 (1:100). Expression of hnRNP A2 in B104 cells was evaluated by Western blotting with antibody to hnRNP A2 (1:10,000) and rabbit polyclonal antibody to ribophorin I (1:1,000) as a control for loading.

RNA Transport Assay
The NaeI-HindIII fragment from pHBluescript SK II(+) was inserted into NaeI-HindIII cut pGFP A and pGFP A/3RTS to create pG7T and pG3RT7, respectively. Digoxigenin-labeled GFP mRNA and GFP/3RTS mRNA were generated by in vitro transcription using BsaWI cut pG7T and pG3RT7 as a template. The RNA transport assay was performed as previously described (Ainger et al., 1993; Carson et al., 1997).

Results
The RTS Is a Translation Enhancer
A translation enhancer is a cis-acting RNA sequence that increases the translation efficiency of the mRNA containing it. Translation efficiency is a measure of the amount of protein translated from a certain amount of RNA. To determine translation efficiency for a particular RNA in vivo, it is necessary to measure both the concentration of that RNA and the amount of protein expressed from it in individual cells. Conventional transfection techniques are of limited use for this purpose because the amount of DNA or RNA introduced or expressed is variable and difficult to measure in individual cells. In some cases, the level of RNA may be saturating, in which case the rate of translation may be determined not by the concentration of RNA but by the activity of rate limiting components of the translation machinery (such as eIF4E) in the cell. Therefore, translation efficiency can only be accurately measured in cells where the exogenous RNA is present in the cell at subsaturating concentrations. Furthermore, cells with saturating levels of RNA generally have high levels of protein expression and, therefore, a disproportionate contribution to the level of protein expression measured for the population as a whole. This tends to obscure the contribution of cells with subsaturating levels of RNA and lower levels of protein expression which are the very cells that are informative for determining translation efficiency for a particular RNA. For these reasons it was necessary to develop a method to introduce subsaturating amounts of RNA into cells and to measure both RNA concentrations and protein translation levels in individual cells.

A ratiometric translation efficiency assay was developed using mRNA encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP) from Aequorea victoria as a reporter for translation and TRD (10 kD) as a reporter for the volume of microinjected RNA. Capped, polyadenylated mRNA encoding GFP was coinjected with TRD into the cytoplasm of B104 cells which were used instead of oligodendrocytes because they lack long processes so that translation of the injected RNA can be analyzed without the confounding variable of RNA transport. After incubation to allow time for GFP expression, injected cells were analyzed by dual channel confocal microscopy. TRD dispersed rapidly throughout the cytoplasm and nucleus, and total fluorescent intensity in each cell remained constant over 24 h (data not shown). GFP, which also dispersed throughout the cytoplasm and nucleus, was first detected by 2 h after injection, increased to a maximum by 9 h, and remained constant up to 24 h (data not shown). The relative amount of RNA injected into each cell was calculated based on the integrated TRD fluorescence intensity in the injected cell. The amount of GFP expression was quantified by integrating GFP fluorescence intensity. Since both TRD and GFP enter the nucleus by diffusion, fluorescence intensities were integrated in optical sections through the nucleus to avoid autofluorescent signal from the cytoplasm. The intensity of TRD fluorescence in each cell provides a measure of the volume of RNA injected. The intensity of GFP fluorescence provides a measure of the amount of protein translated from the injected RNA. The ratio of GFP to RNA provides a measure of the translation efficiency in each cell.

The RTS is a cis-acting sequence that mediates intracellular trafficking of MBP and other mRNAs in oligodendrocytes. To determine its effect on translation efficiency the RTS was inserted into the 3′UTR of GFP. Representative cells injected with GFP/RTS RNA or GFP RNA are shown in Fig. 1. The intensity in the red channel (which measures TRD concentration which is proportional to RNA concentration) was comparable in the cells injected with GFP/RTS RNA and GFP RNA (Fig. 1 A, i and ii), indicating that comparable volumes of RNA were injected into these cells. The intensity in the green channel (which measures GFP concentration) was greater in the cells injected with GFP/RTS RNA compared with cells injected with GFP RNA (Fig. 1 A, iii and iv), indicating that the RTS increases GFP expression. The values for intracellular RNA concentration (in nM) and GFP (expressed in arbitrary fluorescence intensity units) obtained from multiple individual cells are shown in Fig 1 B. Overall, the level of GFP expression was greater in cells injected with GFP/RTS RNA compared with cells injected with GFP RNA. There was considerable variability in both the amount of RNA injected and the level of GFP expression per cell. Some of the variability in GFP expression may reflect injection of RNA into subcellular compartments with different translational activities. For example, RNA injected into the nucleus is not efficiently exported to the cytoplasm and, therefore, not translated efficiently.

To quantify translation efficiency the ratio of GFP/RNA was calculated and plotted versus the amount of injected RNA for each cell. Fig. 1 C shows translation efficiencies for cells injected with different amounts of GFP/RTS RNA and GFP RNA. In cells injected with GFP RNA, translation efficiency was relatively constant (mean translation efficiency = 1.0 ± 0.3) over a wide range of injected RNA, indicating that the endogenous translation machinery in the cell is not saturated by the injected RNA. Cells injected with very low levels of GFP RNA (<5 nM) expressed very low levels of GFP. In some cases the level of GFP expression, while detectable, was too low to quantitatively. These cells were not included in the graph. In cells injected with low amounts of GFP/RTS RNA (<5 nM), translation efficiency was enhanced (>10-fold in several cells and up to 16-fold in one cell) relative to GFP RNA. At higher amounts of injected RNA (>10 nM), translation efficiency for GFP/RTS RNA was comparable to GFP RNA. These results indicate that the RTS enhances expression of GFP mRNA at low RNA concentrations but
the enhancer function is saturated at high RNA concentrations suggesting that RTS-mediated enhanced expression requires component(s) that are present in limiting amounts in the injected cells.

Increased GFP expression mediated by the RTS could be due to either enhanced translation efficiency or enhanced RNA stability in the injected cells. To measure the relative stabilities of GFP/RTS RNA and GFP mRNA in microinjected cells, a novel in vivo fluorescence dequenching assay (Kwon and Carson, 1998) was used. Fluorescein-UTP was incorporated by in vitro transcription into the body of GFP/RTS and GFP RNA. In the intact RNA, fluorescence is reduced by intramolecular quenching between proximate fluorophores. The fluorescently labeled RNA was microinjected into cells and intracellular fluorescence was measured as a function of time after injection. In this assay, degradation of the fluorescent RNA causes an increase in total fluorescence due to relief of intramolecular quenching (dequenching) in the injected RNA. Complete degradation of the RNA results in an increase of ~50% in the fluorescent quantum yield due to dequenching. The amount of dequenching provides a measure of the intracellular stability of the injected RNA. As shown in Fig. 2, there was no significant dequenching over a period of 40 min after injection with either GFP/RTS RNA or GFP RNA, indicating that the two RNAs are both relatively stable within this time frame. The assay was not extended beyond 40 min because translation of the injected RNA could produce GFP fluorescence that would interfere with measurement of the injected fluorescein-labeled RNA. The dequenching assay provides a measure of the rate of RNA degradation in the cell in the time period immediately after injection. Since there is a lag of up to 4 h between synthesis of GFP and appearance of GFP fluorescence in the cell, and since most accumulation of GFP fluorescence occurs within a few hours after injection, it follows that overall accumulation of GFP fluorescence reflects GFP translation within the first few hours after injection. Since there was no detectable difference in stability between GFP RNA and GFP/RTS RNA in the period immediately after injection, it is unlikely that the differential GFP expression observed with GFP/RTS RNA compared with GFP RNA is attributable to differences in initial RNA stability. Therefore, increased GFP expression must be due to enhanced translation efficiency mediated by the RTS.

The Translation Enhancer Function of the RTS Is Position, Copy Number, and Cell Type Independent

To determine if the translation enhancer function of the RTS is dependent on its position in the mRNA, chimeric GFP mRNA's were synthesized containing the RTS in-
There is an AUG within the RTS, insertion into the 5′ NH₂ which could result in a 10–amino acid extension at the terminus of GFP RNA may change the translation initiation site determined at subsaturating RNA concentrations as detected into B104 cells. Translations efficiencies were generally affect GFP fluorescence (Rizzuto et al., 1996; Miyawaki et al., 1997). Chimeric RNAs were microinjected into B104 cells. Cells were imaged by digital fluorescence microscopy at different times after injection and the intensity integrated over the whole cell area. The integrated fluorescence intensity at each time point (F) divided by the integrated intensity at time 0 (F0) is plotted versus time.

To determine if the translation enhancer function of the RTS is cell type specific, translation efficiencies for GFP/RTS RNA and GFP RNA were compared in rat B104 cells, CHO cells, and mouse oligodendrocytes in primary culture (Table I). In CHO cells, the level of GFP fluorescence was more variable than in B104 cells. In some cells, the level of GFP fluorescence was so high that the image was saturated. These cells were not included in the calculation of translation efficiency because the intensity values were not within the dynamic range of the assay, resulting in an underestimate of the actual translation efficiency of GFP/RTS RNA in CHO cells. The variability in GFP expression in CHO cells suggests that factors required for the translation enhancer function of the RTS are expressed at variable levels in these cells. Wild-type mouse oligodendrocytes express high levels of endogenous MBP mRNA, which contains the RTS and which could compete with the microinjected exogenous GFP/RTS RNA. To minimize this potential competition, oligodendrocytes from shiverer mutant mice, which do not express endogenous MBP mRNA (Molineaux et al., 1986), were used for these experiments. The RTS enhanced translation 4.19-fold in B104 cells, 2.56-fold in CHO cells, and 3.38-fold in oligodendrocytes (Table I), indicating that the translation enhancer function is cell type independent, although the magnitude of the effect may vary among different cell types depending on the capacity of the translation machinery or the level of endogenous RTS-containing RNAs.

The Translation Enhancer Function of the RTS Is Cap Dependent

The experiments in Fig. 1 and Table I were performed with monocistronic RNA containing a 5′ cap. The results indicate that the RTS enhances cap-dependent translation. To determine if IRES-dependent translation is also enhanced, a dicistronic mRNA encoding blue fluorescent protein (BFP) in a cap-dependent cistron and GFP in an IRES-dependent cistron was constructed. The RTS was inserted into the 3′UTR of the dicistronic mRNA as shown in Fig. 3 A. Translation efficiencies for BFP and GFP were determined by microinjection into B104 cells. Triple channel images of representative injected cells are shown in Fig. 3 B. In the red channel, TRD intensities are comparable for cells injected with RTS and nonRTS RNA, indicating that the volume of injected RNA was comparable in the two cells shown. In the green channel, GFP intensities are comparable, indicating that IRES-dependent translation is not enhanced by the RTS. In the blue channel, BFP intensity is greater in the cell injected with RTS RNA compared with the cell injected with nonRTS RNA, indicating that cap-dependent translation is enhanced by the RTS. Translation efficiencies for cap-dependent and IRES-dependent translation in cells injected with various amounts of RNA were calculated from the ratio of blue to red and green to red intensities, respectively. In the case of cap-dependent translation, at subsaturating concentrations of RTS RNA, translation efficiency was enhanced 3.61-fold relative to nonRTS RNA (Fig. 3 C and Table I). This is consistent with the previous results.
for cap-dependent translation of monocistronic GFP RNA (Fig. 1 and Table I). In the case of IRES-dependent translation, translation efficiency was comparable between RTS and nonRTS RNA (Fig. 3D and Table I) indicating that the translation enhancer function of the RTS is specific for cap-dependent translation and does not affect IRES-dependent translation. In addition, the observation that IRES-dependent translation efficiency was relatively constant over a wide range of RNA indicates that the machinery in the cell required for IRES-dependent translation is not saturated by high concentrations of exogenous RNA.

Both the RNA Transport and Translation Enhancer Functions of the RTS Are hnRNP A2 Dependent

HnRNP A2 binds specifically to the RTS in vitro (Hoek et al., 1998). To determine if hnRNP A2 is required for either the RNA transport or translation enhancer functions of the RTS cells were treated with antisense oligonucleotide designed to hybridize with the translation start site of hnRNP A2 and suppress its expression. Control cells were treated with the corresponding sense oligonucleotide. The level of hnRNP A2 in oligodendrocytes was estimated by IF with monoclonal anti-hnRNP A2 antibody. In untreated oligodendrocytes (Fig. 4A), hnRNP A2 is detected at high levels in the nucleus and also in granules in the perikaryon and processes indicating that hnRNP A2 is present in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm. To visualize the hnRNP A2 distribution in the cytoplasm, which is lower intensity than in the nucleus, images were collected under conditions where the nuclear signal was saturated. Both the nuclear and cytoplasmic staining are specific for hnRNP A2 and were not observed with control antibody (data not shown). In oligodendrocytes treated with oligodendrocytes injected with TRD and dicistronic RNA. Oligodendrocytes were microinjected with TRD and either nonRTS (left panels) or RTS-containing dicistronic RNA. After 24 h, the cells were analyzed by triple channel confocal microscopy. A representative cell is shown for each RNA. The TRD channel is shown in red (i and ii), the GFP channel in green (iii and iv), and the BFP channel in blue (v and vi). (C) Cap-dependent translation efficiency. B104 cells were microinjected with dicistronic RNA and imaged as described in B. For each triple channel image the pixel values in the three channels were integrated over the nucleus. The red channel provides a measure of injected TRD volume which is used to calculate intracellular RNA concentration. The blue channel provides a measure of expression of BFP. The ratio of BFP intensity to TRD intensity provides a measure of cap-dependent translation efficiency in each cell. Open circles indicate cells injected with nonRTS RNA. Closed circles indicate cells injected with RTS RNA. (D) IRES-dependent translation efficiency. B104 cells were microinjected with dicistronic RNA and imaged as described in B. For each triple channel image the pixel values in the three channels were integrated over the nucleus. The red channel provides a measure of injected TRD volume which is used to calculate intracellular RNA concentration. The green channel provides a measure of expression of GFP. The ratio of GFP intensity to TRD intensity provides a measure of IRES-dependent translation efficiency in each cell. Open circles indicate cells injected with nonRTS RNA. Closed circles indicate cells injected with RTS RNA.
hnRNP A2 sense oligonucleotide (Fig. 4 B), hnRNP A2 staining in both the cytoplasm and nucleus was comparable to the untreated cell indicating that hnRNP A2 expression is not affected. In oligodendrocytes treated with hnRNP A2 antisense oligonucleotide (Fig. 4 C), hnRNP A2 staining was reduced compared with either the untreated cell or the sense-treated cell, indicating that hnRNP A2 expression was suppressed. It appears that nuclear hnRNP A2 is decreased to a greater extent than cytoplasmic hnRNP A2, suggesting that in oligodendrocytes the nuclear pool of hnRNP A2 turns over more rapidly than the cytoplasmic pool. Since the image for untreated and sense-treated cells is saturated in the nuclear compartment, the extent of hnRNP A2 suppression in antisense-treated cells cannot be quantified from these images. However, in images collected under conditions where the nuclear compartment was not saturated (where cytoplasmic hnRNP A2 is not visualized; data not shown), suppression of nuclear hnRNP A2 in antisense-treated cells was >90%.

The effect of suppressing hnRNP A2 expression on RNA transport in oligodendrocytes was determined by microinjecting digoxigenin-labeled RNA into antisense-treated cells and measuring the percentage of cells in which the injected RNA was transported to the peripheral processes (Fig. 4 E). GFP/RTS RNA was transported in >70% of untreated or sense-treated oligodendrocytes but in <40% of antisense-treated oligodendrocytes, while GFP RNA was transported in <20% of treated or untreated cells. These results indicate that the RNA transport function of the RTS in oligodendrocytes is at least partially dependent on hnRNP A2 expression.

The level of hnRNP A2 in B104 cells was estimated by Western blotting with anti-hnRNP A2 antibody with anti-ribophorin I as a loading control (Fig. 4 D). In untreated cells, hnRNP A2 is detected as a major band with
an apparent molecular mass of ~36 kD, whereas ribophorin I is detected as a band with an apparent molecular mass of ~65 kD. In some samples, the hnRNPA2 antibody detects two additional bands with molecular masses slightly larger than the major band at 36 kD, which may represent splicing variants. In cells treated with sense oligonucleotide, the relative intensities of the hnRNPA2 and ribophorin bands are comparable to untreated cells, whereas in cells treated with antisense oligonucleotide the intensity of the hnRNPA2 band is decreased relative to ribophorin. This indicates that treatment with antisense oligonucleotide suppresses hnRNPA2 expression in B104 cells.

The effect of suppressing hnRNPA2 expression on the translation enhancer function of the RTS was determined by measuring translation efficiencies for GFP/RTS and GFP RNA injected into antisense-treated B104 cells at subsaturating concentrations of RNA (Fig. 4 F). Compared with GFP RNA, the translation efficiency of GFP/RTS RNA was enhanced by 4.19-fold in untreated B104 cells, 2.0-fold in sense-treated cells, and was slightly inhibited (0.94-fold) in antisense-treated cells. These results indicate that the translation enhancer function of the RTS in B104 cells is dependent on hnRNPA2 expression. The partial reduction of translation efficiency in sense-treated cells may be due to nonspecific toxic effects of the oligonucleotide on the cells.

To determine if the RTS enhances translation in vitro, GFP/RTS and GFP mRNAs were translated in a rabbit reticulocyte lysate translation system (Fig. 5 A) and in wheat germ extract (data not shown). In both systems, the translation efficiencies were comparable for the two RNAs over a range of RNA concentrations (Fig. 5 B), indicating that the translation enhancer function of the RTS requires factors that are lacking in rabbit reticulocyte lysate and wheat germ extract. A dilution of recombinant hnRNPA2 to rabbit reticulocyte lysate had little effect on translation of GFP RNA but enhanced translation of GFP/RTS RNA in a dose-dependent manner up to a maximum stimulation at 1 μg (Fig. 5 C). This experiment was repeated several times with different batches of reticulocyte lysate and different preparations of hnRNPA2. As shown in Fig. 5 D, the extent of stimulation by hnRNPA2 was variable, but in each experiment, translation of GFP/RTS RNA was enhanced relative to GFP RNA. These results indicate that the translation enhancer function of the RTS is hnRNPA2 dependent in rabbit reticulocyte lysate. The variability in the extent of stimulation may reflect variation in the activities of different preparations of recombinant hnRNPA2 or variation in the activities of different batches of reticulocyte lysate. A dilution of recombinant human hnRNPA2 to wheat germ extract had no effect on translation of RTS RNA (data not shown), suggesting that the interaction between hnRNPA2 and component(s) of the translation machinery that enhances translation in rabbit reticulocyte lysate is specific to mammalian systems.

**Discussion**

The RTS, originally identified as a cis-acting RNA trafficking sequence in MBP mRNA, is shown to function as an enhancer of cap-dependent translation in vivo and in vitro. This represents the first specific translation enhancer identified in a mammalian system. The translation enhancer function of the RTS is saturable with increasing amounts of RNA, position, copy number, and cell type independent, and cap and hnRNPA2 dependent. A speculative model illustrating the proposed role of RTS/A2 cis/trans determinants in RNA transport and translation activation is shown in Fig. 6. According to this model, the RNA granule is transported along microtubule tracks using kinesin as a molecular motor (Carson et al., 1997). A association of the RNA granule cargo with the kinesin motor during transport requires RTS/A2 determinants. Once the RNA
granule reaches its destination, translation is activated through interactions between RTS/A2 determinants and components of the translation machinery, possibly eIF4E at the 5′ cap of the mRNA. This provides an explanation for why the translation enhancer function of RTS/A2 is specific for cap-dependent translation and saturable at high concentrations of RNA, since eIF4E is required for cap-dependent initiation and is present in limiting amounts in most cells (Hiremath et al., 1985). The proposed interactions between RTS/A2 and kinesin during transport and between RTS/A2 and eIF4E during translation may be direct or indirect, mediated through unknown adapter molecules. The model does not specify a detailed molecular mechanism for either the transport or translation enhancer functions of RTS/A2 determinants. However, several possible mechanisms are suggested by structural features of the RTS and properties of hnRNP A2.

One possible mechanism is based on sequence homology between the RTS and the consensus Kozak sequence. In the scanning model of eukaryotic translation initiation, the 40S ribosomal subunit binds initially at the 5′ end of mRNA and scans in the 3′ direction, initiating translation at the first AUG codon in a favorable context (Kozak, 1989). In vertebrates, efficiently used AUG start codons are embedded in a consensus sequence motif, termed the Kozak sequence. As shown in Table II, the consensus Kozak sequence is homologous to the RTS, suggesting that the Kozak sequence and the RTS use similar mechanisms for enhancing translation. There are several caveats to this hypothesis. The Kozak sequence is position dependent, since it always encompasses the initiation codon, whereas the RTS is position independent, although it does contain an AUG. In addition, the Kozak sequence is known to interact with two proteins (~50 and 100 kD) from HeLa cells (Monroe and Dong, 1992), while the RTS interacts with hnRNP A2 (36 kD), suggesting that the Kozak sequence and the RTS use different trans-acting factors to enhance translation.

A second possible mechanism involves the RNA helix destabilizing and annealing properties of hnRNP A2 (Monroe and Dong, 1992). The presence of secondary structure in the 5′ UTR of mRNA tends to inhibit translation. A first association of the eIF4E complex (consisting of initiation factors eIF4A, eIF4E, and eIF4G) with the cap of the mRNA, the interaction of eIF4B and the RNA helicase eIF4A causes ATP-dependent melting of secondary structure in the 5′ UTR. This activity is believed to facilitate binding of the 40S preinitiation complex to the mRNA. Recently, eIF4B was shown to stimulate eIF4A-mediated melting of RNA secondary structure in vitro (Altman et al., 1995). In a similar fashion, binding of hnRNPA2 to the RTS might promote unwinding of secondary structure in the mRNA to reduce a kinetic barrier to formation of the translation initiation complex. Melting of RNA secondary structure by hnRNPA2 could facilitate binding of the 40S preinitiation complex to the mRNA and scanning the 5′ UTR in translation initiation. Besides melting secondary structures, the RNA annealing activity of hnRNPA2 could also play a role in recognition of the start codon. Base pairing between the AUG initiation codon and the anticodon of the initiator tRNA, which is a major determinant for AUG codon recognition, could be facilitated by the RNA annealing activity of hnRNPA2, thus, enhancing translation efficiency.

A third possible mechanism involves potential eIF4E-binding motifs in hnRNPA2. A recently discovered x-ray structure of murine eIF4E, bound to a cap analogue (7-methyl-GDP), provides a basis for analyzing contacts between eIF4E and proteins that interact with eIF4E during translation initiation (Marcotrigiano et al., 1997). The eIF4E-binding sites in eIF4E-binding proteins, 4E-BP1, 4E-BP2, and eIF4G, share a common motif, Y-X-X-X-X-L-L, suggesting that these proteins compete for the same site in eIF4E. The RBD1 domain of hnRNPA2 contains a peptide sequence, Y-E-Q-W-G-K-L, which is similar to the putative eIF4E binding motif and might be involved in

---

**Figure 6. Model for RTS/hnRNP A2 functions in RNA transport and translation.** RTS-containing mRNA is shown in yellow with the coding region in orange. hnRNP A2 is shown as a light blue sphere. Core granule components (aminoacyl tRNA synthetases, elongation factors) are shown as a green sphere. A microtubule is shown in magenta. Kinesin is shown in dark blue. eIF4E is shown in grey. Ribosomes are shown in red. Nascent polypeptide chains are shown in green. Specific molecular components are not drawn to scale and juxtaposition of specific components does not necessarily imply direct molecular interactions.
elF4E binding. This could affect formation of the initiation complex. It will be important to determine whether there is direct biochemical interaction between hnRNP A2 and elF4E.

Whatever the molecular mechanism(s) for translation activation by the RTS and hnRNP A2, the fact that the same cistrans determinants that mediate multiple steps in the RNA trafficking pathway also enhance translation underscores the integral role of translation regulation in RNA trafficking. The RTS and hnRNP A2 comprise the first translation enhancer identified in a mammalian system. This may prove useful in applications where maximal expression is critical.
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