Evolving therapies for Peyronie’s disease: how can we work towards new drugs?
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Abstract: Peyronie’s disease (PD) is an idiopathic chronic fibrotic disease that causes a penile curvature (PC), subsequent erectile dysfunction (ED) and impaired sexual intercourse in patients. As of yet, there are no reliable non-surgical treatment options available. Intralesional injection with collagenase Clostridium Histolyticum has been FDA approved since 2013, but post-approval studies have not been unanimously positive. Moreover, it renders a curvature improvement of only 30% on average, usually still requiring surgical intervention to remedy PC. Therefore, there is a need for drugs which could prevent surgery altogether. Development of new drugs can either be through a target-based or phenotypic assay-based approach. The current in vivo model for PD is dependent on treatment of primary PD-derived fibroblasts with transforming growth factor-β1. Moreover, despite the existence of a genetic in vivo PD model, it does not allow for drug screening or testing. While some advances have been made in the past few years, new in vivo and in vitro systems and well-designed studies are urgently needed for the non-surgical treatment of PD.
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Introduction

Peyronie’s disease (PD) can be described as an idiopathic, chronic inflammatory and fibrotic disease affecting the connective tissue sheath [tunica albuginea (TA)] surrounding the erectile apparatus [corpora cavernosa (CC)]. Following an often painful, active, inflammatory phase, there is a chronic, “quiescent” state, where the pain subsides, but a curvature of the penis (20–100°) remains (1). This primarily leads to impaired sexual intercourse (due to aberrant anatomy) and erectile dysfunction (ED), the latter occurring in approximately 50% of the patients (2,3). Additionally, aside from physical consequences, there is a significant psychological burden as well. Patients have lowered self-esteem and body image, and there is partner dissatisfaction and relationship difficulties due to PD. It has been shown that nearly 50% of PD patients develop clinically significant depression due to the disease (4). Despite extensive fundamental and clinical research in the past decade, medical treatments for PD are still lackluster. The most widely accepted hypothesis in the contemporary literature on pathophysiology postulates that PD is caused by (repetitive) “trauma” to the erect penis during intercourse (5). This mechanical stress would then cause the activation of latent transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) from the extracellular matrix (ECM) and fibrinogen extravasation (6). Fibrinogen is ultimately cleaved by thrombin to form fibrin. Both TGF-β1 and...
fibrin are potent inducers of the inflammatory cascade by stimulation of chemotaxis, migration of macrophages (MΦ) and monocytes and causing fibroblast (FB)-to-myofibroblast (MFB) transformation. However, to date, only the role of the (M)FB and ECM have been extensively described and studied in PD (1,7-9). Moreover, previously studied oral and intralesional therapies have predominantly targeted this MFB transformation or break-down of ECM (1,10–12).

The only FDA/EMA-approved therapy remain injections of collagenase Cl. histolyticum (CCH) and its usage and popularity have been mainly based on 2 large randomized trials (IMPRESS I and II) (13). However, post-FDA studies have tempered our enthusiasm due to high patient dropout, dissatisfaction and adverse events (14). Thus, the search for discovery of new medical (non-surgical) treatment options is certainly a quest that must not be abandoned.

In this review we will briefly explore the fibrotic process in PD, different in vivo modalities that have been applied over the past decades to study the molecular aspects of PD, available in vivo models and potential for novel treatment options.

**Prolonged wound healing leads to fibrosis**

The survival of a species can span several thousands of years, mainly by acquiring essential natural resources and reproducing. However, these resources tend to be scarce and limited, and individuals (or entire populations) need to compete with others in order to survive. Competition, both in social and non-social species, often leads to asserting some form of physical aggression, which usually causes tissue damage in both the “aggressor” and “defender”. Thus, the ability of an individual to heal the damage from its hostile surroundings/predators is a quintessential component of survival (15). Response to damage, inflammation and wound healing are initiated by the innate immune system (16). The innate immune system exists to offer detection of and rapid protection against pathogen attack. Our wound healing has evolved in such a way that initial damage detection and repair is fast, efficient and similar across different tissues, but alas far from perfect. As such, most tissues in the human adult are not fully restored to its original function after sufficient damaging. For example, heavily burnt skin does not contain sweat glands or hair follicles, non-functional myocardial tissue stabilizing the heart after a severe myocardial infarction does not beat/pump blood around the body, damaged lung tissue does not exchange oxygen and carbon dioxide and scarred kidneys do not filter the blood sufficiently (6). This leads to the development of diseases due to altered or reduced function. Additionally, the need for rapid repair often makes the activation of inflammatory responses excessive. In case of delayed or failing terminative signals, this leads to fibrosis (17).

Therefore, fibrosis can be attributable to chronic tissue injury, persisting signals for fibrogenesis and excessive deposition of ECM (18–20).

Fibrosis can primarily be defined as a disturbed state of wound repair and is the end-stage response to chronic tissue injury. There is a persevering immune response, inflammation and ensuing disproportionate deposition of collagens, elastin, fibronectin, proteoglycans, and other extracellular connective tissue proteins—this collection of proteins forming a dense 3D structure are termed the ECM (21,22). Even though this inflammation and ECM formation are normal processes in regular wound healing, if the initial injury (mechanical stress, auto-antibodies or infection) is not removed in time, this process becomes dysregulated and leads to fibrosis (18,23).

**Traversing the treatment gap in PD**

Over the past decades, surgery has become obsolete for certain non-malignant conditions such as duodenal ulcers, due to the discovery of their disease mechanism (24). However, despite being known for over 250 years, this still has not been the case for PD. Not in the least due to the fact that surgical methods are able to restore the most pressing anatomical problem (but without tackling the underlying disease), together with an underestimation of its prevalence and overestimation of the efficacy of injection therapies. Owing to this, the overall scientific motivation for etiological and pathophysiological studies has only known a slight increase during the past few years. Most notably this includes the development of an animal model [first in 1997 by El-Sakka et al. (25,26)], in vivo cell culture models (8,27-31) and extrapolation of what is known from the pathophysiology of other fibrotic diseases, such as cardiovascular, kidney, liver fibrosis and fibrosis associated with burn wounds (32-35).

Even though PD is a relatively common and devastating disorder [about 1–9% in the general population and 20–54% in the diabetic or post-prostatectomy population (36,37)], it remains an affliction where relatively little attention is being given to in the public eye and more importantly, investment-wise. One can think of several reasons for this discrepancy. First, it is a non-lethal disease...
occurring frequently at older age (50–55 years old). Second, there is still a large stigma on talking about sexual health and related disorders. This is evidenced by a survey of the British Dupuytren’s Society in 185 patients with PD. About 25% of men were no longer sexually active and 10% of the patients report to have their relationship ended due to the disease. A further 20% said they feel they will be laughed at if talking about it (38). Third, we can speculate that due to the existence of drugs such as CCH for PD, PDE5i for ED and the readily available (sometimes industry driven) surgical approaches, such as erectile prosthesis devices, there has been an underestimation of the treatment gap (due to a perception of risk bias) in PD and therefore a lack of investments in basic research and development of novel drugs.

A recent systematic review by Russo et al. (10) assessed the efficacy and scientific legitimacy for the use of different injection and mechanical therapies in PD. This revealed that current evidence shows that, out of seven therapies investigated, only CCH and interferon α-2B (IFNα-2B) result in reductions of penile curvature (PC) (resp. ca. 30% and 20%) (other medications examined included calcium channel blockers, hyaluronic acid, onabotulinum toxin A, thiocholchicine and mechanical/traction therapy). However, several issues with these treatment options remain. While the improvement in PC with CCH is indisputable, its cost efficacy for all PD patients is not (39,40). In the recent years, we have come to appreciate the complex spectrum of patients suffering from PD, there is an increased focus on pathophysiology, stage of disease, diagnostic evaluation and mental health [as previously mentioned, nearly 50% of PD patients experience depressive symptoms (4)]. Calculation of costs was performed by Cordon et al. (39), where they found that the total cost of penile plication amounts to $2,700 on average, while CCH is considerably more expensive at $26,000 in the US. They found that there was no cost-efficiency of CCH over plication for moderate-to-severe curvature (45–75°). Importantly, the number of drop-outs due to adverse events, and the percentage of patients in whom CCH was able to prevent surgery was not specified in these studies. These trials also excluded any patients in the acute phase and having a calcified plaque, so the efficacy of CCH in these groups is not yet clear. In short, CCH injection is certainly not a “one size fits all” therapy and there remains a considerable gap for treatment of unresponsive patients, who can only undergo surgery to improve PC. In all, research for better medication (oral or intralosal) for PD is definitely not superfluous and should not be abandoned in the age of FDA/EMA approved CCH.

As it is no secret that developing new drugs from scratch is immensely expensive and time-consuming, up to 2.6 billion dollars and 15 years (41,42), how can we work most efficiently towards discovery of novel drugs for PD?

The first drugs known in history have been recorded in the Ebers Papyrus (1500 BC) (43) and contains lists and combinations of plants thought to have therapeutic effects. Upon elucidating the active ingredient in some of these herbs in the 1800s, they became commonplace medicines. The father of modern drug discovery, Paul Ehrlich, began screening the effect of the newly discovered chemicals on biological systems. The molecular target and mechanism of action was uncovered only after the drug has been in use for several years/decades (phenotypic screening) (43). However, since the dawn of the genomic era in the 90s, drug discovery has usually started with a known molecular target. Typically, proteins that are thought to be principally involved in the disease pathogenesis (44). This target-centric approach has the benefit of providing a clear rationale, testable hypothesis and starting point, especially with the easy ultra-high throughput computational recognition of perturbagens deducted from RNA sequencing data (virtual screening) (45). Both techniques have their advantages and disadvantages. Strengths of the target-based approach are the ability to test specific molecular hypotheses and the application of both small-molecule (possibility for high-throughput) and biological (monoclonal antibody)-based therapeutics. Its main weakness remains that certain molecular targets may not be as relevant for the disease process as previously thought. Phenotypic assays however do not require prior knowledge of the molecular mechanism of action, but challenges are the need for extensive optimization of the molecular properties and a significantly lower throughput (compared to target-based approaches). Table 1 provides an overview of studies utilising either a target-based approach or phenotypic screening in in vivo PD research.

In vivo studies

In 1982 Somers et al. (46) describe the first cell culture using fibroblasts from PD-derived plaques and compared them to normal penile TA tissue. Using transmission electron microscopy, they identified organized cytoplasmatic microfilament bundles and nuclear indentations in some PD-derived FBs, which highly resembled MFBs. Additionally, they grew to higher culture densities and had increased proliferative capacity compared to normal penile TA tissue. Using transmission electron microscopy, they identified organized cytoplasmatic microfilament bundles and nuclear indentations in some PD-derived FBs, which highly resembled MFBs. Additionally, they grew to higher culture densities and had increased proliferative capacity compared to normal penile TA tissue.
| Authors                  | Year | Cell species | Type of TA          | Compound investigated                                                                 | Timing of administration | Outcomes                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------|------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Target-based approach** |      |              |                     |                                                                                       |                          |                                                                                                                                          |
| Piao et al.              | 2010 | Human        | Both PD and normal  | SKI2162; small-molecule inhibitor of activin receptor-like kinase 5 (ALK5), a type I   | Pretreatment with        | Inhibition of phosphorylation Smad2/3; inhibition ECM production (protein)                                                             |
|                          |      | TA samples   | TA samples          | receptor of TGF-β                                                                       | compound                |                                                                                                                                          |
| Shindel et al./Lin et al.| 2010 | Human        | Both PD and normal  | Pentoxifylline                                                                        | Pretreatment with        | Inhibition of collagen fiber deposition and elastogenesis                                                                              |
|                          |      | TA samples   | TA samples          |                                                                                       | compound                |                                                                                                                                          |
| Jung et al.              | 2013 | Human        | PD samples          | HS-173; novel inhibitor of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt                       | Co-stimulation (compound + TGF-β1) | Reduction of α-SMA, vimentin, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), fibronectin, collagen I/IV and Smad2/3; inhibition of PI3K/Akt by impeding Akt, mTOR and P70S6K |
| Ryu et al.               | 2013 | Human        | PD samples          | small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated silencing of histone deacetylase 2 (HDAC2)     | Pretreatment with        | Inhibition FB>MFB; inhibition of phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of Smad2/3                                                  |
|                          |      |              |                     |                                                                                       | compound                |                                                                                                                                          |
| Jiang et al.             | 2015 | Sprague-Dawley rats | Normal TA samples | estrogen [17β-estradiol (E2)]                                                        | Co-stimulation (compound + TGF-β1) | Inhibition of α-SMA expression, concentration of hydroxyproline (collagen marker) and cellular contraction                              |
|                          |      |              |                     |                                                                                       |                          |                                                                                                                                          |
| Choi et al.              | 2015 | Human        | PD samples          | Smad7 gene overexpression                                                             | Pretreatment with        | Inhibition of phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of SMAD2/3, MFB transformation, and production of ECM                            |
|                          |      |              |                     |                                                                                       | compound                |                                                                                                                                          |
| Mateus et al.            | 2018 | Human        | Both PD and normal  | BAY 60-6583 (ADORAD2B agonist)                                                        | Co-stimulation (compound + TGF-β1) | Inhibition of FB > MFB                                                                                                                    |
|                          |      | TA samples   | TA samples          |                                                                                       |                          |                                                                                                                                          |
| Milenkovic et al.        | 2019 | Human        | PD samples          | Simvastatin a Y-27632 (ROCK-inhibitor)                                                | Co-stimulation (compound + TGF-β1) | Inhibition of FB > MFB, inhibition of α-SMA, collagen I/II, elastin, CTGF (mRNA) and impairment of ROCK-signalling through prevention of YAP/TAZ nuclear transformation |
|                          |      |              |                     |                                                                                       |                          |                                                                                                                                          |
| **Phenotypic-based approach** |      |              |                     |                                                                                       |                          |                                                                                                                                          |
| Jiang et al.             | 2017 | Sprague-Dawley rats | Normal TA samples | Adipose-derived stem cells (ADSC)                                                      | ADSC administration     | Inhibition of expression of α-SMA and collagen I in MFBs; Reduction in phosphorylation and activity of Smad2, RhoA, ROCK1/2 and an upregulation of MMPs and caspases |
|                          |      |              |                     |                                                                                       | after MFB transformation |                                                                                                                                          |
| Ilg et al.               | 2019 | Human        | Both PD and normal  | Tamoxifen and Vardenafil (separately and in combination)                              | Co-stimulation (compound + TGF-β1) | Inhibition of MFB transformation, cellular contraction, and ECM production                                                            |
|                          |      | TA samples   | TA samples          |                                                                                       |                          |                                                                                                                                          |

TA, tunica albuginea; PD, Peyronie's disease; ADSC, adipose-derived stem cell; ECM, extracellular matrix; MMP, matrix metalloprotease; FB, fibroblast; MFB, myofibroblast; CTGF, connective tissue growth factor.
Independent of the type of organ afflicted, all fibrotic ailments have the MFB as a common denominator. The unique duality of MFBs makes them the most controversial cell type in normal and dysfunctional wound healing (48). This dual function consists of an ability to actively produce/ regulate the ECM whilst simultaneously acquiring contractile capacities similar to those of smooth muscle cells (SMCs) [both are α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) positive] (18,22,49-55). During the acute phase of tissue damage; mainly resident FBs (but other cell types as well) can experience a phenotypical switch to MFBs in an attempt to restore tissue integrity. The exact MFB precursor in PD and in fibrosis in general has been difficult to identify and stems either from epithelial/endothelial cells undergoing epithelial- or (I) endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition, or (II) a local aggregation of tissue-specific FBs, (III) peripheral blood-circulating fibrocytes (from bone marrow), (IV) local pool of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) or (V) SMCs (1,56). In normal circumstances MFBs are either eliminated (apoptosis) or return to a quiescent state (57-60). When there is persisting injury however or when the apoptotic process is disturbed, this allows for the MFB to exert a significant amount of fibrosis and scar contraction (with subsequent curvature in PD or organ dysfunction on another organ fibrosis) (48,61). A phenotypical switch towards the MFB cell type is marked by production of ECM-components such as collagens, elastin, fibronectin and connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), increased contractile ability, migration in response to chemotactic molecules, release of chemo-attractants for immune-related cells and contributing towards angiogenesis. Importantly, this process is mainly driven by activation TGF-β1 and its downstream signalling (57-60,62).

Subsequently, this led to TGF-β1 stimulation of PD-derived FBs representing the most commonly used PD in vivo model, introduced as early as 2002 by Lin et al. (63) and forms the basis of both the target-centric as well as the phenotypic screening approach. For example, it was shown that apart from MFB transformation and ECM production, TGF-β1 also induced the expression of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteases (TIMPs), which inhibit the function of matrix metalloproteases (MMPs), which in turn are important for ECM breakdown (64). Moreover, TGF-β1 was also able to induce MCP-1 gene expression, which is an important chemoattractant for cells of the innate immunity (65).

Concerning target-based approaches, many in vivo studies have focused on inhibition of the TGF-β1 induced MFB transformation and subsequent ECM production. Cell culture from normal TA or PD-plaques is established in a standardized way. Most protocols are based on tissue dissection with a scalpel, while ensuring no residual cavernosal tissue. Samples are cut into 3 mm³ segments and placed onto a Petri dish, while carefully adding conventional cell culture medium (with serum). The fragments are left undisturbed for 5–7 days in a standard incubation set-up (humidified atmosphere, 37 °C, 5% CO₂) to ensure FB attachment to the culture well. Once FB growth is established the tissue fragments are removed, and medium changed frequently, after which the cells are expanded further. It is recommended to use cells of early passages (P2–7) for further experimentation, as they are prone to transcriptional and chromosomal changes during higher passages (66).

In 2010, Piao et al. (67) found that adding a TGF-β type I receptor kinase (ALK5) inhibitor (SKI2162) prior to TGF-β1 prevented intracellular signalling (through the protein class of mothers against decapentaplegic homologs (Smad) and decreased production of ECM proteins. Similar outcome parameters were used by Lin et al. and Shindel et al. (68,69) where they assessed the effects of pentoxifylline (PTX) on TGF-β1 dependent collagen- and elastogenesis. In their experimental set-up TGF-β1 stimulated elastogenesis and collagen I production in FBs in both a dose- and time-dependent fashion. Pretreatment with PTX drastically impaired TGF-β1-mediated elastogenesis and collagen deposition in FBs (derived from patients with and without PD). Jung et al. (70) investigated the role of HS-173, a novel inhibitor of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt signalling in TGF-β1 stimulated FBs. HS-173 reduced the expression of α-SMA, vimentin, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), fibronectin, collagen I, collagen IV and TGF-β-activated smad2/3 in PD-derived primary FBs. Ryu and colleagues (71) proposed that pre-treatment of human PD-derived FBs with a small interfering (si)RNA-mediated histone deacetylase 2 (HDAC2)-silencer could offer an antifibrotic effect. Knock-down of HDAC2 impeded TGF-β1 induced ECM production in a Smad-dependent manner and was able to inhibit MFB transformation entirely. In 2015, Jiang et al. (72) assessed whether estrogen [17β-estradiol (E2)] could suppress the differentiation of primary rat TA-derived FBs into MFBs in vivo. They uncovered that E2 was able to inhibit TGF-β1 induced α-SMA expression, concentration of hydroxyproline (collagen marker) and cellular contraction. Mechanistically these effects occurred...
through inhibition of both canonical Smad intracellular signalling and Rho-associated coiled-coil containing protein kinase 2 (ROCK2). Choi et al. (73) set out to investigate the effect of Smad7 (an inhibitory Smad protein that blocks the TGF-β signalling) on PD-derived FBs. Pretreatment of these cells with Smad7 gene and exposure to TGF-β1 afterwards inhibited TGF-β1-induced phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of Smad2/3, MFB transformation, and abrogated TGF-β1-induced production of ECM. Moreover, Smad7 overexpression decreased the expression of cyclin D1 (a positive cell cycle regulator) and induced the expression of polypolymerase-1, which terminates Smad-mediated transcription.

Further, Mateus et al. (30) researched the role of adenosine receptors in TGF-β1 MFB transformation. They found that two out of four adenosine receptors (ADORA1 and ADORA2B) were expressed in PD- and TA-derived cells. BAY 60-6583, an ADORA2B agonist, was able to significantly inhibit MFB transformation in a dose-dependent manner when applied simultaneously with TGF-β1. Lastly, in a study by our group (31) the effects of simvastatin and Y-27632, a pan-ROCK-inhibitor, on TGF-β1-induced MFB transition was investigated. PD-patient derived FBs were subjected to either vehicle, TGF-β1 only, TGF-β1 + simvastatin or TGF-β1 + Y-27632 in various concentrations. It was shown that administration of Y-27632 and simvastatin was able to prevent TGF-β1 induced FB to MFB transformation and subsequent transcription of profibrotic genes such as α-SMA, collagens, elastin and CTGF. While the effect of Y-27632 and simvastatin on ECM and contractility was not formally examined, inhibition of MFB transformation on an mRNA and protein level and ECM production on an mRNA level was evidenced. Combining these findings, it can be deduced that these cells were not contractile and produced less ECM. It was also shown that both molecules inhibited YAP/TAZ nuclear translocation, which suggests that ROCK-signalling was indeed impaired. Since ROCK is essential for actin polymerization and myosine light chain phosphatase inhibition, this suggests further that cellular contraction was inhibited. Moreover, YAP/TAZ is an important profibrotic transcription factor and the prevention of its nucleic activity suggests attenuated ECM production as well. ROCK-inhibitors can prove to be particularly promising for early stage PD. In human tissue, ROCK inhibition results in human CC relaxation (74). One could imagine the effectiveness of intralesional injection of ROCK inhibitors for patients suffering from PD and ED (occurring in about 50% of PD patients), by simultaneously tackling both their ailments (3,74).

Phenotypic-based approaches can either be interpreted as phenotypical screening assays or as regenerative medicine approaches, where substances (such as MSCs, stromal vascular fraction and platelet-rich plasma) are administered to obtain an antifibrotic phenotype/condition, without the mechanism of action being precisely elucidated.

Recently, using high-throughput methodology Ilg et al. (8) had screened 21 compounds that were previously suggested as antifibrotic treatments in PD, but never made it to clinical practice due to several limitations, not in the least due to inadequate dosing and single arm study design. Using in-cell ELISA (ICE) in the TGF-β1-based in vivo model, they had identified phosphodiesterase 5-inhibitors (PDE5is) and selective oestrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) as antifibrotic (inhibition of MFB transformation, cellular contraction, and ECM production) in a synergistic manner. Additionally, this hypothesis was tested in vivo in the rat model of PD in order to investigate if this synergistic effect can be observed in animals as well. The rat model of PD consists of injecting TGF-β1 into the rat TA. A fibrotic plaque develops approximately 4–6 weeks after injection. Upon molecular investigation there was an increased amount of elastin, collagen I and III in the plaques compared to the SMC-content. Moreover, like in the human situation, these rats have marked ED as measured by intracavernosal pressure measurement after cavernosal nerve stimulation. The 2 drugs appeared to work synergistically to restore elastin protein levels. Additionally, administration of tamoxifen, vardenafil or the combination restored erectile function in these rats as well.

Second, regenerative medicine has known a surge in popularity over the past decade and not in the least for applications in sexual medicine, most notably ED and PD. Stem cells are capable of self-renewal and their potential for differentiation can give rise to more mature cell populations. MSCs work in a paracrine fashion, have many immunomodulatory functions, can escape the host immune system and switch between their pro- and anti-inflammatory phenotypes depending on the local tissue environment. In 2017, Jiang et al. (75) tested the inhibitory effects and possible mechanism of action of adipose-derived stem cells (ADSC) in rat TA-derived FBs. FBs were first treated with TGF-β1 and a co-culture between MFBs and ADSCs was set-up using Transwell. ADSC attenuated the expression of α-SMA and collagen I in MFBs. Moreover, there was a reduction in phosphorylation and activity of Smad2, RhoA, ROCK1/2 and an upregulation of MMPs
and caspases in the co-culture compared to the MFB-only set-up. Interestingly, injection of ADSCs, their lysate or stromal vascular fraction in a TGF-β1-based rat model of PD has proven to be an effective method of fibrosis alleviation in many in vivo studies (76–80). Even though there are some studies that have investigated the use of MSCs in PD in preclinical in vivo studies, their precise mechanism of action was not investigated, despite managing to show an antifibrotic effect on PD plaque and restoration of erectile function (76,77). These have been discussed extensively in other studies (1,81) and are beyond the scope of this manuscript.

**Potential of in vivo models**

As previously mentioned, the rat model of PD consists of injecting TGF-β1 into the rat TA. Even though this mimics the human disease in terms of ED and fibrosis, it does not have its hallmark feature of PC and ossification. Moreover, this model relies on the administration of an “acute”, single trauma, while PD develops through chronic and repetitive buckling and damaging of the TA. Other inconsistencies of this model include the fact that the plaque is self-limiting with spontaneous resolution in rats and inconsistency in the plaque duration and formation across studies (78,82).

Other animal models include the injection of fibrin, thrombin and repeated injection of TGF-β1-carrying adenovirus into the rat TA (82). However, injection and preparation of these products is cumbersome and offer no added advantage compared to the TGF-β1 model. The only genetic mouse model for PD [tight skinned (Tsk)] is based on a tandem duplication of the fibrillin-1 gene and subsequent upregulation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) (83). This Tsk mouse model develops a PD-like plaque in the mouse penis with spontaneous curvature and plaque ossification, over the span of 12 months. However, due to the fact that disease progression occurs over 12 months, testing of drugs countering the fibrosis remains very time consuming and expensive. Moreover, this genetic model does not only cause PD-like lesions, but also varying forms of systemic sclerosis, further limiting its use.

Future genetic models should provide the development of a PD-like plaque, with ED and curvature, occurring over a relatively short period of time. This would allow for improved drug testing and increase the feasibility of optimizing the dosage, route of administration and combination of drugs before translation into clinical trials.

**Future research**

Despite the establishment of MFB as one of the key cell types involved in PD and despite a large amount of in vivo (and in vivo) research focused on preventing/reversing this phenotype, there have been very little advances in the nonsurgical treatment of PD.

It is important to note that myofibroblasts and ECM do not exist in a vacuum and several other cell types can influence their survival, function and remodelling (84). For example, the ECM is not just a scaffold for MFBs, but it deeply affects the initiation and maintenance of the proinflammatory and profibrotic process (85). Not only does it activate various precursors into MFB independently (through transduction of mechanical forces) and releases latent TGF-β1 stores (6), it also provides a “railway” for incoming inflammatory cells (mostly macrophages and dendritic cells) in the initial stages. Macrophages are attracted to the inflammatory site either through chemotactic molecules such as MCP-1 or through physical “tugging” from the MFBs (84).

As such, there is a need to look beyond the terminal role of the MFB and further characterize the role immune cells involved in driving this chronic fibrosis (57). To achieve a better insight into genes and pathways that drive PD, recent investigations by our group performed an in-depth analysis of the transcriptome of PD human samples using high-throughput RNA sequencing (unpublished data). Gene ontology revealed a protracted active inflammation component in these chronic plaques, while it was previously believed that inflammation terminates when the plaque stabilizes. Cross-referencing gene set enrichment analysis results with transcriptional regulation analysis showed the most significantly enriched pathways to be; nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-kB) [tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) and Toll-like receptors (TLR)-activated] and Janus kinases (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription proteins (STAT)-signalling (through interleukins/interferons)]. Based on this, we hypothesized that cells of the innate immunity are essential for PD-related fibrosis, which connects to the “trauma/mechanical stress” model (86). A variety of mediators (DAMPs, PAMPs, growth factors, cytokines) released in the local tissue micro-environment during stress situations, are recognized by TLRs on precursor myeloid cells (87). This role of the (innate) immune system has also been suggested over 20 years ago by Ralph et al. (88) where they were able to identify a population of macrophages and T-cell within
the active plaque.

It is clear that fibrosis is a complex disease containing an interaction of many cell types (FBs, MFBs, endothelial cells, stem cells and cells of the innate and adaptive immunity). Previous in vivo studies in PD have failed to address this complexity and have only targeted the (M)FB and only one pathway (TGF-β1) in most cases. It has been shown in other fibrotic diseases that attenuating one part of the inflammatory and fibrotic cascade leads to activation of a flurry of compensatory mechanisms (89), as it is an ancient pathway, necessary for survival. Despite some marked progress in PD research during recent years, we will need to develop more disease-relevant in vivo (and possibly in vivo) models in order to bring PD research into the next gear. Development of co-culture systems, collagen scaffolds and 3D culturing models where immune cells are involved as well would mimic the true disease situation more accurately. Moreover, further characterisation of human plaques using novel single cell sequencing can offer a unique view on the human plaque. Applying the high-throughput methodology as used by Ilg et al. on these novel culturing systems could provide the much-needed missing link in the medical treatment of PD. Finally, currently available FDA-approved conservative treatment options such as intralesional CCH need to be better tailored for use in patients who have the largest chance to respond.

Conclusions

Even though significant research efforts have already been allocated to unravelling the complex pathophysiology of PD, an increase in public and industrial awareness is sorely needed. Current in vivo models have been an excellent stepping stone for testing hypotheses, but their relative lack of success means we have to develop novel methods to investigate PD. High-throughput screening and next-generation sequencing can offer a unique and unprecedented outlook, which could facilitate the translation of potential drugs into clinical trials.
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