1-D velocity model for the North Korean Peninsula from Rayleigh wave dispersion of ambient noise cross-correlations

Sang-Jun Lee · Junkee Rhie · Seongryong Kim · Tae-Seob Kang · Chang Soo Cho

Received: 20 February 2018 / Accepted: 31 October 2019 / Published online: 16 November 2019 © The Author(s) 2019

Abstract Monitoring seismic activity in the north Korean Peninsula (NKP) is important not only for understanding the characteristics of tectonic earthquakes but also for monitoring anthropogenic seismic events. To more effectively investigate seismic properties, reliable seismic velocity models are essential. However, the seismic velocity structures of the region have not been well constrained due to a lack of available seismic data. This study presents 1-D velocity models for both the inland and offshore (western East Sea) of the NKP. We constrained the models based on the results of a Bayesian inversion process using Rayleigh wave dispersion data, which were measured from ambient noise cross-correlations between stations in the southern Korean Peninsula and northeast China. The proposed models were evaluated by performing full moment tensor inversion for the 2013 Democratic People’s Republic of Korea (DPRK) nuclear test. Using the composite model consisting of both inland and offshore models resulted in consistently higher goodness of fit to observed waveforms than previous models. This indicates that seismic monitoring can be improved by using the proposed models, which resolve propagation effects along different paths in the NKP region.
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1 Introduction

A reliable seismic velocity model is essential for monitoring and analyzing seismic activity and associate hazards. In addition, a well-determined seismic velocity model provides basic information for understanding the tectonic process of a region. However, seismic velocity structures in the northern Korean Peninsula (NKP) region in northeast Asia are not well studied due to a lack of available seismic networks. In contrast, dense seismic networks have been installed in the rest of northeast Asia, including northeast China, the southern Korean Peninsula (SKP), and the Japanese islands, and seismic velocity structures are therefore extensively mapped in these regions (e.g., Zheng et al. 2011; Wei et al. 2012; Witek et al. 2014; Shen et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2016b). In particular, one-dimensional (1-D) structures of the SKP have been estimated from studies using receiver functions and seismic waveform data (Chang and Baag 2005; Chang and Baag 2006; Lee and Baag 2008; Han et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2016a). Studies of the NKP region (e.g., Shin and Baag 2000; Ford et al.
2010) have relied on approximate velocity structures based on the SKP or global averages.

Despite relatively low seismicity, monitoring of seismic activity in the NKP region is particularly important. The largest instrumental earthquake (Mw 6.2) in the Korean Peninsula occurred near Pyongyang in North Korea, where more historical records of significant earthquakes are found (Kang and Jun 2011; Kyung et al. 2016). In addition, six nuclear explosion tests have been conducted since 2006 by the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea (DPRK) government, generating the need for effective monitoring and detection of future potential activity (Hong and Rhie 2009; Barth 2014; Cesca et al. 2017).

However, complexities along propagation paths between the NKP and surrounding regions with dense instrumentation may thwart high-precision analysis for seismic sources and structures in the NKP. Seismic waves from events in the western NKP predominantly propagate through inland areas to seismic networks in the SKP (Fig. 1). On the other hand, offshore areas (the East Sea or Japan Sea) are included in the propagation paths from seismic events on the eastern coast of the NKP to stations in the SKP. According to studies on the crustal and upper mantle structure of northeast Asia (Tamaki 1988; Zheng et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2015), there is a significant difference in Moho depth along the margin of the Korean Peninsula, where crustal thinning due to opening of the East Sea has been suggested (Tamaki 1988; Yoon et al. 2014). In addition, velocity changes between the Korean Peninsula and East Sea have been reported in previous studies (e.g., Zheng et al. 2011; Witek et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2016b) and research into wave propagation across the continental margin has indicated strong effects of such marginal changes in crustal structure (Hong et al. 2008; Ford et al. 2009; Furumura et al. 2014).

In regions with low seismicity or a lack of instrumentation, such as the NKP region, cross-correlation of seismic ambient noise can be utilized to obtain Green’s functions between station pairs (Shapiro et al. 2005; Bensen et al. 2007). The method is widely used for investigating velocity structures of the crust and upper mantle because it is effective for obtaining shorter period data of crustal depth than earthquake data (e.g., Bensen et al. 2007; Kang and Shin 2006; Choi et al. 2009; Pawlak et al. 2011; Shen et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2016b). In this study, we developed 1-D velocity models for the NKP region using Rayleigh wave dispersion data obtained from ambient noise cross-correlations between permanent network stations in SKP and a temporary network in northeast China (Northeast China Extended Seismic Array, NECESSArray). Two different models were presented to account for different propagation paths along the inland and offshore regions of the Korean Peninsula. The reliability of the estimated 1-D models was verified by calculating full model tensor solutions for the 2013 North Korea explosion nuclear test.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Data and processing

To obtain Rayleigh wave group and phase velocities, we used the vertical component of broadband continuous waveforms. Data were recorded at ten stations from KIGAM (Korea Institute of Geoscience And Mineral Resources) and KMA (Korea Meteorological Administration) installed in the northern part of SKP (above 36° N), and 45 stations from NECESSArray in the southern part of northeast China (below 45° N) from January 2010 to December 2011 (Fig. 1). For verification of the resulting models using moment tensor inversions, we used 2013 North Korea nuclear explosion test records for six broadband seismic stations in SKP and northeast China (Fig. 2).

To construct the 1-D velocity model, we measured group and phase velocity dispersion data of the fundamental mode of Rayleigh waves using Green’s function waveforms obtained from ambient noise cross-correlations.
correlation. Data preprocessing is similar to that of previous studies (e.g., Bensen et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2015). Instrument response, mean, and trend were removed from the vertical component continuous waveforms, which were divided into 1-day segments. Then, a band-pass filter between 0.01 and 0.45 Hz was applied. To reduce the effects of transient signals with large amplitudes, e.g. earthquakes, one-bit normalization was performed in the time domain. For cross-correlation functions of all possible pairs, a spectral whitening procedure was applied by dividing a 40-point moving average in the frequency domain. The distribution of stations generates 450 inter-station paths along the NKP mainland, East Sea, and Yellow Sea (Fig. 1). Signals with velocities of 3 to 4 km/s, corresponding to fundamental mode Rayleigh waves, were clearly observed in the cross-correlogram sections as a function of time and inter-station distance (Fig. 1). Potential interference of other signals from consistent noise sources such as the Aso volcano (e.g., Zheng et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2015) were not found in our data. Hence, we used an average of the positive and negative lag of the cross-correlogram to extract Green’s function for each station pair, which was used to measure group and phase velocity dispersion data by applying the multiple filter technique (Herrmann and Ammon 2004; Yao et al. 2006). In our procedure, dispersion curves were automatically measured based on the reference group and phase dispersion curves, which were obtained from a model of the SKP (Kim et al. 2011) and the AK135 model (Kennett et al. 1995) (Fig. 3).

To account for different features of wave propagation due to the complex marginal structures mentioned in section 1, we constructed two individual models for two distinct propagation paths. One model was for the propagation path through the inland of NKP (hereafter Mod_Land) and the other was for propagation paths through the East Sea region (hereafter Mod_Sea) (Fig. 4). Each model was constructed based on the 1-D model from Bayesian inversion using average dispersion curves (see section 2.2), which mainly sample each propagation path. The standard deviation of the dispersion curves was used as the observation error for the input parameter.

2.2 Bayesian inversion

The obtained surface wave dispersion data were inverted to estimate the 1-D shear wave velocity models for the corresponding path coverage regions. It is known that surface wave dispersion data have broader sensitivities to shear wave velocity structures than ballistic wave data such as body wave travel times (Ritzwoller et al. 2011; Obrebski et al. 2011). In this study, therefore, we utilized a Bayesian inversion method to account for potential non-uniqueness of solutions by estimating uncertainties, which were deduced from posterior probability distributions (PPD) of inversion parameters (Bodin et al. 2012; Kim et al. 2016a; Kim et al. 2016b). In particular, robust inversion uncertainties were calculated through a recently developed trans-dimensional and hierarchical technique (see Kim et al. 2016a and Kim et al. 2017 for further details). In this approach, probability distributions of the number of layers and the levels of data errors (variances) are sampled, through a Markov chain Monte Carlo method, together with those of other inversion parameters (e.g., layer thicknesses and velocities in each layer). Without applying regularization in the inversion process, this scheme systematically controls the balance between
model complexity (i.e., the number of layers) and the degree of data fitting (i.e., the level of data errors). As a consequence, inversion results and corresponding uncertainties are less biased by arbitrary inversion settings. In addition, the approach is particularly useful in joint inversions as group and phase velocity dispersions are used together. The hyper-parameters for implementing the hierarchical scheme encompass the effect of different data sensitivities between different types (group and phase velocity) of data.

We used Rayleigh wave group and phase velocities from the average of the dispersion curves for each model (3~50 s-period for Mod_Land; 3~45 s-period for Mod_Sea). Bayesian inversions were performed for parameters consisting of Vs ($2.0 \leq V_s \leq 5.5$ km/s), $V_p/V_s$ ratio ($1.7 \leq V_p/V_s \leq 2.0$), interface depth (up to 100 km), number of layers (between 2 and 30), and scaling factors for the level of data noise (between $-5.0$ and 5.0). In each inversion, 2000 iterations were progressed and the previous 1000 samples were discarded to obtain converged posterior models. We simultaneously used 64 parallel chains to finally form a mean model from 1000 posterior sampled models. Figure 4 shows the prior ranges and estimated PPD for two different datasets.

Based on the assumption that the PPD can be approximated as Gaussian shapes, we present inversion uncertainties with $\pm$ 2 standard deviations of the sampled models.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Models

The final two models are determined in the form of approximately two layers over a half-space model. The depth of layer boundaries is fixed manually based on peaks (the highest probability in a certain range of depths) of the PPD of the layer boundaries (Fig. 4). The velocity of each layer is determined by taking average values over each layer. Then, $P$ velocity models are obtained by multiplying the determined $S$ velocity models by the $V_p/V_s$ ratio (Table 1). In Mod_Land, the estimated depth of the Moho is 30 km, which is 5 km deeper than in Mod_Sea (Figs. 4 and 5). Comparing Mod_Land and Mod_Sea, the depth of the uppermost crustal layer is similar (6.5~7 km) and the difference in velocity is only approximately 0.5%. For the second
layer and half-space, velocities in Mod_Land are approximately 1.6% faster than that of Mod_Sea in both layers.

We qualitatively evaluate the obtained models by comparing with previous 1-D velocity models in the SKP. The first is a model determined through travel time data and waveform modeling of seismic phases of local earthquakes (Chang and Baag 2006; Mod_C&B). The second is a model that best fits the observation of Geoscience and Mineral Resources (KIGAM) stations (red triangles) and the NECESS array (green triangles). Middle: the posterior probability distribution (PPD) of the model parameters and the PPD of layer boundaries. Bottom: posterior distributions of predicted synthetic data with observed data.

Fig. 4  a, b 1-D shear velocity models obtained from Bayesian inversion using Rayleigh wave dispersion curves for both cases (Mod_Land, Mod_Sea). Top: all possible ray paths (gray lines) and used ray paths (blue line) for each model. Location of the Korea Meteorological Administration (KMA) and Korea Institute (a) Mod_Land (b) Mod_Sea

Table 1  Model parameters of Mod_Land and Mod_Sea. L1 and L2, bottom depth of 1st and 2nd layer; S1–S3, S wave velocity of each layer; and P1–P3, P wave velocity of each layer

| Model    | L1     | L2     | S1      | S2      | S3      | P1      | P2      | P3       |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Mod_Land | 6.5 ± 1.0 (±1σ) | 30.0 ± 1.1 | 3.36 ± 0.03 | 3.57 ± 0.07 | 4.34 ± 0.08 | 5.82 ± 0.05 | 6.22 ± 0.1 | 7.64 ± 0.13 |
| Mod_Sea  | 7.0 ± 1.0  | 25.0 ± 1.2 | 3.34 ± 0.02 | 3.51 ± 0.09 | 4.27 ± 0.1  | 5.78 ± 0.04 | 6.11 ± 0.13 | 7.52 ± 0.17 |
waveforms determined by a full-grid search (Kim et al. 2011; Mod_Kim). Mod_Land is generally similar to Mod_C&B and Mod_Kim, even taking into account the different number of layers (four) (Fig. 5). The Moho depth in Mod_Land developed using inland sampling data is similar (~ 30 km) to those in Mod_C&B and Mod_Kim and the velocity difference of each layer of Mod_C&B and Mod_Kim compared with Mod_Land is less than 4%, except for the lower crust part. It is known that the lower crust has been significantly modified by tectonic processes (e.g., Chough et al. 2000) in each region of the Korean Peninsula.

The influence of sampling paths through northeast China on Mod_Land is accounted for by the portion of inter-station paths that crosses the region. Considering previous studies on velocity structure in northeast Asia, the average crustal velocity in northeast China (~ 3.6 km/s) is not significantly different from that in the Korean peninsula (Zheng et al. 2011; Shen et al. 2016). In Songliao basin, the uppermost crustal velocity is slower than in the Korean peninsula by approximately 10% due to thick sediments. The Moho thickness is also relatively shallow; less than 30 km (Guo et al. 2015; Shen et al. 2016). However, the stations selected in this study are located at the boundary of the Songliao basin and the portion of paths through the basin does not exceed 20% of their total path-lengths. In addition, the standard deviation of the average dispersion curve for Mod_Land is small, even though it used paths across various regions (Fig. 4). Consequently, we conclude that
paths are less influenced by the northeast China region and Mod_Land is sufficiently representative of NKP.

In Mod_Sea, the depth of the Moho is approximately 25 km, which is relatively shallow compared with Mod_Land and South models. Moreover, the velocity difference from Mod_Land is ~ 2% in each layer. This relatively small discrepancy indicates that, as previously suggested, the eastern margin of NKP is not completely oceanic crust but a rifted continental margin formed during expansion (Tamaki 1988; Yoon et al. 2014).

3.2 Model validation

To check the reliability of the constructed 1-D models, we perform full moment tensor (FMT) inversions for the 2013 DPRK nuclear experiment using the time domain moment tensor (TDMT) inversion method (Dreger and Helmberger 1993; Ford et al. 2009; Rhie and Kim 2010). The models are evaluated by measuring the goodness of fit between observed waveforms and those resulting from the FMT inversions. The hypocenter location and origin time information from a previous study (Barth 2014) is used. We select three-component waveform data from MDJ, DNH, NSN, BRD, CHJ, and SEO stations to use in the inversions, ensuring data conditions with good azimuthal coverage and high signal-to-noise ratio. For comparison, Mod_Kim and AK135 (Kennett et al. 1995) are also tested. Green’s function sets of three fundamental faults and a volumetric source (Saikia, 1994; Minson and Dreger 2008) are produced for each of the four models. Obtained waveforms of Green’s functions and observed data are band-pass filtered in the range of 10–50 s. Arbitrary time shifts are often applied in the TDMT process to empirically calibrate the inaccuracy of the used velocity model. In our model assessment, the time shift cannot be used to check model performance in terms of absolute times of phases as well as relative waveform similarities. For the goodness of fit, the variance reduction (VR) is calculated between synthetic and observation waveforms:

\[
VR = \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \sqrt{O_i - S_i}^2}{\sum_{i}^{n} \sqrt{O_i}^2}\right) \times 100,
\]

where \(O_i\) represents the \(i\)th data-point of the observation with \(n\) points, and \(S_i\) represents the same but for synthetic data. Using data from the six stations, we perform TDMT inversions for all possible combinations (4096) of Green’s functions. For each station, four different sets of Green’s functions are generated using the four different models. Then, each inversion is performed using a composite set of Green’s functions allowing the same or different models between stations.

Figure 6 shows the results of the test, where the VR values for all combinations of Green’s functions range from 10.5 to 81.6% (Fig. 6a). The combination of Green’s functions from Mod_Land for MDJ, DNH, NSN, and BRD and from Mod_Sea for CHJ and SEO has the highest average VR (Fig. 6b). Interestingly, this result corresponds to the spatial coverage of dispersion data used to develop the models. Considering the propagation paths between the source and receivers, seismic waves mainly propagate through inland areas to MDJ, DNH, NSN, and BRD stations. On the other hand, CHJ and SEO stations record waveforms that spend much longer in the East Sea. Because Mod_Land and Mod_Sea are models developed for inland and East Sea regions, respectively, results of the FMT inversion tests indicate that the structural differences between paths through the inland and eastern margin of NKP influence the FMT results. These effects are accounted for by our estimated models.

The value of VR is 81.6% for the optimal combination of Green’s functions (Fig. 6b). This compares with VRs of inversions using single models of the Mod_Land (75.3%), Mod_Sea (77.1%), Mod_Kim (66.0%), and AK135 (52.6%), which show consistently lower VRs by 4.5 to 29.0% (Figs. 6 and 7). The FMT solution with the highest VR plotted on the Hudson source type diagram (Hudson et al. 1989) is similar to source types calculated in previous studies (Ford et al. 2009; Cesca et al. 2017). Interestingly, FMT solutions vary from opening to closing of cracks, even for those with reasonably high VRs (> 60%) (Fig. 6a). This indicates that the source type can be misjudged by inaccurate velocity models. Figures 6c and d present inversion examples using different combinations of models, where solutions with high VRs (Fig. 6d; VR = 70.1%) can be the opposite (implosion), while an obtained solution with low VR (Fig. 6c; VR = 26.9%) has an extremely similar FMT solution. It is noted through this test that structural models used to calculate Green’s functions can play a major role in FMT calculation, particularly when the isotropic component is dominant, which makes the inversion unstable. The presented models may thus contribute to stabilizing FMT solutions for seismic events in
NKP by using them for corresponding paths along continental regions around NKP and oceanic regions along the margin of NKP.

The FMT inversion results show that the Mod_Land and Mod_Sea models can improve the seismic monitoring of DPRK nuclear tests. Furthermore, this work indicates that the effect of wave propagations should be considered; developing more refined path-specific 1-D models or higher-dimensional models may further improve the FMT inversion results. In the future, radially anisotropic models can also be developed and tested because this study only assumes isotropic structures based on Rayleigh wave dispersion data.

4 Conclusion

In this study, we develop 1-D velocity models representing the inland and eastern margin of the NKP through the Bayesian inversion method using dispersion curves from the ambient noise records of surrounding networks (Figs. 4 and 5, Table 1). Although the velocity difference is small between Mod_Land and Mod_Sea models, Moho depths are clearly identified at 30 and 25 km, respectively. Mod_Land is similar to previous 1-D models (Mod_C&B and Mod_Kim) in the SKP region, which indicates that continental velocity structures in the Korean Peninsula are generally similar. Model verification using the FMT

Fig. 6 a Hudson source type diagram for all possible combinations of each model. Red star represents the best result of this study. Blue, red, green, and gray squares represent source type using Mod_Land, Mod_Sea, Mod_Kim, and AK135, respectively. b The best moment tensor solution using Mod_Land (BRD, DNH, MDJ, NSN) and Mod_Sea (CHJ, SEO). c, d Moment tensor solutions of source type for green stars (MDJ-Mod_Kim; DNH-Mod_Sea; NSN-Mod_Sea; BRD-Mod_Kim; SEO-Mod_AK135; CHJ-Mod_Kim) and orange stars (MDJ-Sea_Kim; DNH-Mod_Sea; NSN-Mod_Land; BRD-Mod_Kim; SEO-Mod_Sea; CHJ-Mod_Sea). Observations are solid lines (black) and simulations are dashed (red).
Fig. 7  a Moment tensor solution using Mod_Land only.  b Moment tensor solution using Mod_Sea only.  c Moment tensor solution using Mod_Kim only.  d Moment tensor solution using AK135 only
inversion for the 2013 DPRK nuclear test shows that a composite set of models consisting of Mod_Land and Mod_Sea for paths along the inland and eastern margin of NKP, respectively, provide a better solution in terms of the goodness of fit (VR) to waveforms than cases using either one of the models or with any possible combination of AK135 and Mod_Kim. Additionally, we confirm the reliability of the composite model, which may lead to improved FMT estimation for seismic events in NKP.
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