Comprehensive comparison of dual-energy computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of bone marrow edema and fracture lines in acute vertebral fractures
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Abstract

Objectives To compare dual-energy CT (DECT) and MRI for assessing presence and extent of traumatic bone marrow edema (BME) and fracture line depiction in acute vertebral fractures.

Methods Eighty-eight consecutive patients who underwent dual-source DECT and 3-T MRI of the spine were retrospectively analyzed. Five radiologists assessed all vertebrae for presence and extent of BME and for identification of acute fracture lines on MRI and, after 12 weeks, on DECT series. Additionally, image quality, image noise, and diagnostic confidence for overall diagnosis of acute vertebral fracture were assessed. Quantitative analysis of CT numbers was performed by a sixth radiologist. Two radiologists analyzed MRI and grayscale DECT series to define the reference standard.

Results For assessing BME presence and extent, DECT showed high sensitivity (89% and 84%, respectively) and specificity (98% in both), and similarly high diagnostic confidence compared to MRI (2.30 vs. 2.32; range 0–3) for the detection of BME (p = .72). For evaluating acute fracture lines, MRI achieved high specificity (95%), moderate sensitivity (76%), and a significantly lower diagnostic confidence compared to DECT (2.42 vs. 2.62, range 0–3) (p < .001). A cutoff value of −0.43 HU provided a sensitivity of 89% and a specificity of 90% for diagnosing BME, with an overall AUC of 0.96.

Conclusions DECT and MRI provide high diagnostic confidence and image quality for assessing acute vertebral fractures. While DECT achieved high overall diagnostic accuracy in the analysis of BME presence and extent, MRI provided moderate sensitivity and lower confidence for evaluating fracture lines.

Key Points
• In the setting of spinal trauma, dual-energy CT (DECT) is highly accurate in the evaluation of acute vertebral fractures and bone marrow edema presence and extent.
• MRI provides moderate sensitivity and lower diagnostic confidence for the depiction of acute fracture lines, when compared to DECT, which might result in potentially inaccurate and underestimated severity assessment of injuries in certain cases when no fracture lines are visible on MRI.
• DECT may represent a valid imaging alternative to MRI in specific settings of acute spinal trauma and in follow-up examinations, especially in elderly or unstable patients and in cases of subtle or complex orientated fracture lines.
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CI Confidence interval
DECT Dual-energy computed tomography
IQR Interquartile range
MSK Musculoskeletal
SD Standard deviation
SNR Signal-to-noise ratio
TIRM Turbo inversion recovery magnitude
VNCa Virtual non-calcium

Introduction
In the setting of spinal trauma, radiologic diagnosis of acute vertebral fractures based on exclusive morphologic signs of fracture lines can be challenging, especially in elderly patients presenting with older osteoporotic fractures [1]. In this context, detection of bone marrow edema (BME) as a sign of acute injury can substantially facilitate a more accurate diagnosis [2].

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) adequately shows BME and represents the current imaging gold standard for diagnosis of acute vertebral fractures [3, 4]. However, MRI suffers from limitations, such as reduced depiction of osseous structures and long examination times [1, 5, 6].

Computed tomography (CT) represents the gold standard for morphologic assessment of bony structures and fracture lines due to high spatial resolution, enabling short examination times [7]. However, the depiction of BME in conventional CT is impaired by trabecular bone overlying bone marrow [8]. As a technical development, dual-energy CT (DECT) is able to overcome this limitation by distinguishing certain materials based on distinct attenuation profiles through application of two different x-ray energy spectra. DECT usage has significantly increased in clinical routine and numerous post-processing algorithms have been developed [9–11]. In this context, DECT-derived virtual non-calcium (VNCa) images allow for subtraction of calcium signal from trabecular bone, enabling visualization of BME [12, 13]. Regarding acute vertebral fractures, several studies demonstrated high diagnostic accuracy of VNCa series exclusively for the assessment of BME compared with MRI [1, 6, 14].

DECT may be considered as a comprehensive technique providing both detailed information on 3D orientation of fracture lines and BME. However, a comprehensive combined analysis of DECT and MRI regarding both types of information has not been performed to date, which may be of special clinical relevance in the perspective of an accurate assessment of spinal trauma severity and corresponding timely start of appropriate therapy. Therefore, the aim of our study was to thoroughly compare DECT and MRI in the clinical setting of acute vertebral fractures, with regard to diagnostic accuracy, diagnostic confidence, and image quality for the assessment of fracture lines and BME.

Materials and methods
This retrospective study was approved by the local institutional review board (IRB).

Patient selection
We enrolled 163 consecutive patients with acute spinal trauma who had undergone both clinically indicated DECT and MRI of the thoracic and/or lumbar spine between December 2015 and July 2019. To minimize potential time-related bias and to ensure comparability, 31 patients with an examination interval of more than one week and 12 patients with inadequate DECT or MRI image quality (severe motion or streaking artifacts) were excluded. Furthermore, patients with a known malignancy of the spine (n = 21) and spondylodiscitis (n = 11) were not included as well, resulting in a final study group consisting of 88 patients. In these patients, eleven vertebral bodies were excluded from analysis due to the presence of dorsal metal implants/inter-body spacers (n = 4), inserted cement after vertebroplasty/kyphoplasty (n = 3), or subtotal vertebral collapse (n = 4), with a final number of 730 assessed vertebral bodies.
lyzed all MR image datasets in random order. All observers were residents with 4 years of MSK imaging experience, who assessed traumatic BME and acute fracture lines analyzing MR and standard grayscale CT image series respectively, using a 5-point Likert scale (ranging from 1 = unacceptable to 5 = excellent).

Objective image analysis

Objective image analysis of colored VNCa series was assessed by a sixth reader (M.C., board-certified radiologist with 5 years of MSK imaging experience). CT numbers were obtained from sagittal VNCa images by placing circular regions of interest (ROIs) in each vertebral body and in subcortaneous fat. In every vertebra, ROIs were placed in areas with the highest signal intensity and were carefully drawn with a distance of more than 2 mm from adjacent cortical bone. For all vertebrae without apparent BME, circular ROIs of 100 mm² were placed randomly in the center of the vertebral body. Attenuation values (in HU) and 1-fold standard deviation (SD) from three repeated measurements were recorded and averaged. Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) values were calculated for each vertebral body using the following equation: (HU vertebral body/SD fat).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by application of commercially available software (SPSS Statistics, version 26.0). The Shapiro-Wilk test and histograms were used to evaluate the normality of data distribution. Normally distributed data were...
Fig. 1 Flow chart of patient inclusion. VB vertebral bodies

Inclusion criteria:
Patients with acute spinal trauma, who had undergone DECT and MRI of thoracic and lumbar spine between December 2015 and July 2019
n = 163 patients

Exclusion criterion I:
Examination interval of more than 7 days
n = 31 patients

Exclusion criterion II:
Inadequate image quality
n = 12 patients

Exclusion criterion III:
Malignancies of the spine
n = 21 patients

Exclusion criterion IV:
Presence of spondylodiscitis
n = 11 patients

Final study population:

n = 88 patients
n = 741 VB
(Lumbar= 349; Thoracic= 392)

Exclusion of vertebral bodies:

VB with dorsal metal implants or inter-body spacers
n = 4

VB with surgical material due to vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty
n = 3

VB with severe collapse
n = 4

Final analysis:

n = 88 patients
n = 730 VB
(Lumbar = 344; Thoracic = 386)
expressed as means ± standard deviation and analyzed using t tests, while non-normal distributions were shown as medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) and compared with Wilcoxon signed-rank test for paired data. Inter-reader agreement was evaluated by means of weighted Fleiss’ κ according to Landis and Koch [17]. For all statistical tests, a p value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

We performed a vertebra-based analysis, computing sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV), and accuracy values with 95% confidence intervals (CI) on VNCa series for the presence of BME and on MR images for the depiction of acute traumatic fracture lines. MRI and standard grayscale DECT series served as the reference standard, respectively. In this context, ratings of 0 and 1 indicated negative results (absence of BME and acute fracture lines), while scores of 2 and 3 indicated positive results (presence of BME and acute fracture lines). An analogous analysis with the calculation of the same values was conducted on VNCa images for the evaluation of BME extent in vertebral bodies on a per-segment basis, with MRI representing the reference standard.

The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis and calculation of the area under the curve (AUC) were employed to evaluate CT attenuation values of every vertebra derived from VNCa images, with MRI serving as standard of reference, to ascertain the cutoff CT numbers so as to provide the highest accuracy for the differentiation of BME. From these cutoff values, sensitivity and specificity values were computed.

Results

A total of 730 vertebral bodies (lumbar, n = 344; thoracic, n = 386) in 88 patients (38 males; mean age, 68 ± 16 years) were assessed (Fig. 1, Table 1). MRI revealed 116 edematous vertebrae and 442 segments with signs of BME. Grayscale DECT series showed 89 vertebrae with acute traumatic fracture lines; all of them were among the 116 vertebrae with BME as indicated on MRI. Median interval between DECT and MRI was 2 days (range, 0–7 days). Mean acquisition times were significantly lower for DECT compared to MRI (p < .001). Specifically, mean acquisition times were 21 s (range, 18–32 s) and 1103 s (range, 902–1306 s) for DECT and MRI, respectively.

Subjective image analysis

Bone marrow edema VNCa reconstructions yielded excellent overall sensitivity (517/580 [89%]), specificity (3012/3070 [98%]), PPV (517/575 [90%]), NPV (3012/3075 [98%]), and accuracy (3529/3650 [97%]) for the assessment of BME per vertebra, as well as optimal overall sensitivity (1847/2210 [84%]), specificity (12,135/12,390 [98%]), PPV (1847/2102 [88%]), NPV (12,135/12,498 [97%]), and accuracy (13,982/14,600 [96%]) for the assessment of BME extent within vertebral bodies (Tables 2 and 3). There was high diagnostic confidence regarding BME presence both on VNCa (average score, 2.30) and MR images (average score, 2.32), without significant differences (p = 0.72) (Table 4). Inter-reader agreement was substantial for MRI (κ = 0.63) as well as for VNCa (κ = 0.66) (p < .001) in assessing the presence of BME. When evaluating BME extent, readers reported a mean score of 2.78 for MRI and of 2.79 for VNCa reconstructions without significant differences (p = 0.57). Inter-reader agreement was good for both MRI (κ = 0.67) and VNCa (κ = 0.66) (p = 0.34). Figure 2 shows an example case of high correlation for BME depiction between MRI and VNCa.

Fracture lines MRI showed excellent overall specificity (3047/3205 [95%]), NPV (3047/3155 [97%]), and accuracy (3384/3650 [93%]), but moderate overall sensitivity (337/445 [76%]) and PPV (337/495 [68%]) for the assessment of acute traumatic fracture lines (Table 5, Fig. 3). The diagnostic confidence for fracture line identification was higher using DECT (score, 2.62) compared to MRI (score, 2.42), with a significant difference between both modalities (p < .001) (Table 4). Again, inter-reader agreement was good for MRI (κ = 0.71), as well as for DECT (κ = 0.73) (p = .12).

Overall acute vertebral fracture diagnosis The scores regarding confidence for overall diagnosis of acute vertebral fracture, image quality, and image noise were rated with similarly high scores for MRI and DECT without significant differences and with good or excellent inter-reader agreement (Fig. 4).

Table 1 Patient characteristics

| Characteristic                  | Value                  |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Number of patients             | 88                     |
| Mean age ± SD, range           | 68 ± 16, 24–91         |
| Mean BMI ± SD, range           | 24 ± 3, 16–35          |
| Women                          | 50/88 (57)*            |
| Mean age of women ± SD, range  | 70 ± 16, 24–90         |
| Mean BMI of women ± SD, range  | 28 ± 3, 16–35          |
| Men                            | 38/88 (43)*            |
| Mean age of men ± SD, range    | 65 ± 15, 37–91         |
| Mean BMI of men ± SD, range    | 21 ± 4, 18–34          |

Age values are expressed in years
SD standard deviation, BMI body mass index (kg/m²)
*Data are numerators and denominators, with percentages in parentheses
Table 2  Vertebra-based diagnostic accuracy results of every reader using color-coded virtual non-calcium (VNCa) images for the assessment of bone marrow edema (BME) presence in vertebral bodies

|           | Sensitivity   | Specificity   | PPV          | NPV          | Accuracy       |
|-----------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Overall   | 517/580 (0.89)| 3012/3070 (0.98) | 517/575 (0.90) | 3012/3075 (0.98) | 3529/3650 (0.97) |
|           | [0.86–0.92]   | [0.98–0.99]   | [0.87–0.92]  | [0.97–0.98]  | [0.96–0.97]    |
| Reader 1  | 107/116 (0.92)| 604/614 (0.98) | 107/117 (0.91) | 604/613 (0.98) | 711/730 (0.97)  |
|           | [0.86–0.96]   | [0.97–0.99]   | [0.85–0.95]  | [0.97–0.98]  | [0.96–0.98]    |
| Reader 2  | 108/116 (0.93)| 602/614 (0.98) | 108/120 (0.90) | 602/610 (0.99) | 710/730 (0.97)  |
|           | [0.87–0.97]   | [0.97–0.99]   | [0.84–0.94]  | [0.97–0.99]  | [0.96–0.98]    |
| Reader 3  | 103/116 (0.89)| 599/614 (0.98) | 103/118 (0.87) | 599/612 (0.98) | 702/730 (0.96)  |
|           | [0.82–0.94]   | [0.96–0.99]   | [0.81–0.92]  | [0.97–0.99]  | [0.95–0.97]    |
| Reader 4  | 99/116 (0.85) | 603/614 (0.98) | 99/110 (0.90)  | 603/620 (0.97) | 702/730 (0.96)  |
|           | [0.78–0.91]   | [0.97–0.99]   | [0.83–0.94]  | [0.96–0.98]  | [0.95–0.97]    |
| Reader 5  | 100/116 (0.86)| 604/614 (0.98) | 100/110 (0.91) | 604/620 (0.97) | 704/730 (0.96)  |
|           | [0.79–0.92]   | [0.97–0.99]   | [0.84–0.95]  | [0.96–0.98]  | [0.95–0.98]    |

Statistical measures are presented as fractions and decimal values (round brackets), with 95% confidence intervals in square brackets.

PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value

Objective image analysis

Attenuation values of edematous vertebral bodies were significantly different from CT numbers of non-edematous vertebral bodies (median [IQR], 46.23 [60.60] HU and − 53.27 [44.83] HU, respectively; p < .001). The ROC curve analysis revealed an AUC of 0.96 and an optimal cutoff value of − 0.43 to identify vertebral BME, with an overall sensitivity of 89% (95% CI 81%, 95%) and specificity of 90% (95% CI 87%, 93%) (Fig. 5). Eventually, a significant difference between edematous and non-edematous vertebral bodies was also found when SNR values were assessed (median [IQR], 14.73 [30.90] and − 19.00 [20.95]; p < .01).

Discussion

The results of our study demonstrate that DECT and MRI provide similar levels of diagnostic confidence and image quality for the assessment of acute vertebral fractures in general. DECT yielded high overall diagnostic accuracy for the depiction of BME presence and extent by application of colored VNCa series compared to MRI; both imaging approaches revealed high inter-reader agreement and equal diagnostic confidence. Regarding the identification of acute fracture lines, MRI achieved moderate sensitivity and PPV compared with DECT grayscale series; the reported readers’ diagnostic confidence was significantly higher using DECT in comparison with MRI.

Table 3  Segment-based diagnostic accuracy results of each reader using color-coded virtual non-calcium (VNCa) images for the assessment of bone marrow edema (BME) extent in vertebral bodies

|           | Sensitivity   | Specificity   | PPV          | NPV          | Accuracy       |
|-----------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Overall   | 1847/2210 (0.84)| 12135/12390 (0.98) | 1847/2102 (0.88) | 12135/12498 (0.97) | 13982/14600 (0.96) |
|           | [0.82–0.85]   | [0.98–0.98]   | [0.87–0.89]  | [0.97–0.97]  | [0.95–0.96]    |
| Reader 1  | 409/442 (0.93)| 2454/2478 (0.99) | 409/433 (0.94)  | 2454/2487 (0.99) | 2863/2920 (0.98) |
|           | [0.90–0.95]   | [0.98–0.99]   | [0.92–0.96]  | [0.98–0.99]  | [0.97–0.99]    |
| Reader 2  | 384/442 (0.87)| 2417/2478 (0.98) | 384/445 (0.86)  | 2417/2475 (0.98) | 2801/2920 (0.96) |
|           | [0.83–0.90]   | [0.97–0.98]   | [0.83–0.89]  | [0.97–0.98]  | [0.95–0.97]    |
| Reader 3  | 353/442 (0.80)| 2421/2478 (0.98) | 353/410 (0.86)  | 2421/2510 (0.96) | 2774/2920 (0.95) |
|           | [0.76–0.84]   | [0.97–0.98]   | [0.83–0.89]  | [0.96–0.97]  | [0.94–0.96]    |
| Reader 4  | 352/442 (0.80)| 2424/2478 (0.98) | 352/406 (0.87)  | 2424/2514 (0.96) | 2776/2920 (0.95) |
|           | [0.76–0.83]   | [0.97–0.98]   | [0.83–0.90]  | [0.96–0.97]  | [0.94–0.96]    |
| Reader 5  | 349/442 (0.79)| 2419/2478 (0.98) | 349/408 (0.86)  | 2419/2512 (0.96) | 2768/2920 (0.95) |
|           | [0.75–0.83]   | [0.97–0.98]   | [0.82–0.88]  | [0.96–0.97]  | [0.94–0.96]    |

Statistical measures are presented as fractions and decimal values (round brackets), with 95% confidence intervals in square brackets.

PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value
Several studies demonstrated that DECT provides excellent diagnostic accuracy for depicting BME in various anatomical districts through VNCa images [18–23]. In the setting of vertebral fractures, VNCa imaging showed high levels of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy with MRI serving as reference standard [1, 6, 8, 24, 25]. Our results are in accordance with these studies. In addition, we demonstrated high diagnostic accuracy of VNCa series for the analysis of BME extent. This analysis could be used in patient follow-up examinations, as a gradual decrease of BME has been noted both in conservatively managed and vertebroplasty-treated vertebral fractures and has been positively correlated in the former group with significant pain relief and improved quality of life [26, 27].

The objective analysis performed on VNCa series showed significantly higher CT numbers and SNR values in edematous vertebral bodies compared with non-edematous vertebral bodies. Our cutoff of $-0.43$ HU to distinguish edematous from non-edematous vertebrae is different from the findings of Wang ($-80$ HU), Bierry (35 HU for thoracic and 6.5 HU for lumbar vertebrae), and Petritsch ($-47$ HU) [1, 8, 24, 25]. Some reasons for these discrepancies could be differences regarding the applied DECT technology, scan parameters, and post-processing algorithms, as well as the number of assessed vertebrae and patient population characteristics.

Regarding the assessment of vertebral fracture lines, MRI provided high specificity and NPV, but the sensitivity and PPV were moderate and the reported readers’ diagnostic confidence was lower compared to grayscale DECT series.

### Table 4

Readers’ confidence scores for assessing bone marrow edema (BME) and acute vertebral fracture lines using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and dual-energy computed tomography (DECT)

| BME                     | Fracture lines |                  |                  |
|-------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
|                         | DECT VNCa      | MRI              | Grayscale DECT   | MRI              |
| **Average**             | 2.30 ± 0.87    | 2.32 ± 0.87      | 2.62 ± 0.65      | 2.42 ± 0.69      |
| **Reader 1**            | 2.43 ± 0.83    | 2.44 ± 0.85      | 2.68 ± 0.66      | 2.52 ± 0.69      |
| **Reader 2**            | 2.38 ± 0.86    | 2.36 ± 0.89      | 2.71 ± 0.60      | 2.55 ± 0.66      |
| **Reader 3**            | 2.71 ± 0.63    | 2.62 ± 0.74      | 2.77 ± 0.55      | 2.62 ± 0.62      |
| **Reader 4**            | 2.67 ± 0.66    | 2.73 ± 0.65      | 2.72 ± 0.59      | 2.47 ± 0.68      |
| **Reader 5**            | 2.68 ± 0.67    | 2.72 ± 0.63      | 2.69 ± 0.63      | 2.39 ± 0.76      |

**VNCa virtual non-calcium**

Average and individual readers’ confidence score for identification of BME (BME presence score 1–3) and acute traumatic fracture lines (fracture line detection score 1–3). Data are given as mean ± standard deviation.

---

**Fig. 2** Twenty-six-year-old woman presenting with spinal trauma after motorcycle accident. 

a Sagittal turbo inversion recovery magnitude (TIRM)–magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) series showing bone marrow edema (BME) in the upper two quadrants of L1 (arrow). 

b Sagittal spin-echo (SE) T1-weighted MRI demonstrating two distinct acute fracture lines affecting the anterior and upper (arrowheads) cortical surfaces. 

c Dual-energy computed tomography (DECT)–virtual non-calcium (VNCa) images depicting BME in the two upper quadrants (arrow, displayed as green area). There was a complete agreement between DECT and MRI concerning presence, extent (2 quadrants) and diagnostic confidence (score, 3) regarding BME detection. 

d Sagittal grayscale DECT series not only showing the two cortical fracture lines (arrowheads), but also a horizontal hyperdense line indicating trabecular impaction (yellow arrow), detected and interpreted as posterior edge involvement by all readers, which was missed on sagittal T1-weighted MRI series by each reader. Confidence in depicting fracture lines was rated as high (score 3) by 5/5 readers on both MRI and DECT series.
Accurate morphologic depiction of fracture lines and their orientation is important for correct assessment of stability/instability as well as potentially associated disco-ligamentous injuries [28]. It is possible that the shown moderate level for ruling out fracture lines might result in potentially underestimate severity assessment of injury in certain cases where fracture lines are not visible, especially if subtle and cortical. On the other hand, MRI showed high specificity and NPV, indicating a high level at ruling in fractures in examinations with corresponding positive findings [29].

MRI currently represents the best imaging modality for a comprehensive assessment of spinal trauma, particularly considering its superior ability in evaluating neural and disco-ligamentous structures, and paravertebral soft tissues.

Table 5 Vertebra-based diagnostic accuracy results of every reader using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) series for the assessment of acute vertebral fracture lines

| Reader | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV   | NPV   | Accuracy |
|--------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|----------|
| Overall | 337/445 (0.76) | 3047/3205 (0.95) | 337/495 (0.68) | 3047/3155 (0.97) | 3384/3650 (0.93) |
|        | [0.71–0.80] | [0.94–0.96] | [0.64–0.71] | [0.96–0.97] | [0.92–0.94] |
| Reader 1 | 70/89 (0.79) | 610/641 (0.95) | 70/101 (0.69) | 610/629 (0.97) | 680/730 (0.93) |
|        | [0.69–0.87] | [0.93–0.97] | [0.61–0.76] | [0.96–0.98] | [0.91–0.95] |
| Reader 2 | 73/89 (0.82) | 607/641 (0.95) | 73/107 (0.68) | 607/623 (0.97) | 680/730 (0.93) |
|        | [0.72–0.89] | [0.93–0.96] | [0.60–0.75] | [0.96–0.98] | [0.91–0.95] |
| Reader 3 | 70/89 (0.79) | 607/641 (0.95) | 70/104 (0.67) | 607/626 (0.97) | 677/730 (0.93) |
|        | [0.69–0.87] | [0.93–0.96] | [0.59–0.74] | [0.96–0.98] | [0.91–0.95] |
| Reader 4 | 63/89 (0.71) | 611/641 (0.95) | 63/93 (0.68) | 611/637 (0.96) | 674/730 (0.92) |
|        | [0.60–0.80] | [0.93–0.97] | [0.59–0.75] | [0.94–0.97] | [0.90–0.94] |
| Reader 5 | 61/89 (0.69) | 612/641 (0.95) | 61/90 (0.68) | 612/640 (0.96) | 673/730 (0.92) |
|        | [0.58–0.78] | [0.94–0.97] | [0.59–0.76] | [0.94–0.97] | [0.90–0.94] |

Statistical measures are presented as fractions and decimal values (round brackets), with 95% confidence intervals in square brackets.

PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value

Fig. 3 Eighty-seven-year-old woman presenting with acute spinal trauma due to a domestic fall. a Sagittal turbo inversion recovery magnitude (TIRM)–magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) series, b spin-echo (SE) T1-weighted MRI series, and c dual-energy computed tomography (DECT)–virtual non-calcium (VNCa) reconstructions showing bone marrow edema (BME) in all four quadrants of L4 (arrow). All readers were concordant in assessing BME presence (score 3 = distinct BME) and extent (score 4 = all quadrants) on both techniques. d In addition, sagittal conventional grayscale DECT images allowed for detection of an acute slightly dislocated fracture of the ventral ground plate of L1 (arrowhead) in terms of a tear drop fracture with potentially associated instability by all readers in this study. Confidence in depicting fracture lines was rated as intermediate (score 2) and high (score 3) by 5/5 readers on MR and DECT image series, respectively.
DECT—due to the capability to visualize both fracture lines and BME—may represent an alternative to MRI when MRI is not available or its performance is not feasible, thus representing a potential “one-stop-shop examination” in specific settings of acute vertebral fractures, avoiding additional critical patient repositioning and increasing time-efficiency. This could be particularly relevant for elderly patients, who can hardly sustain long MRI examinations and often present with concurrent vertebral fracture not easily discernable from acute fractures using conventional CT (Fig. 6). Furthermore, VNCa images may provide high flexibility for additional opportunistic BME assessment in routine DECT examinations in case of incidental findings suggestive for vertebral fractures.

There are some limitations to the study. First, despite the relatively short examination interval, different findings between the two modalities concerning BME extent cannot be completely excluded. BME, albeit slowly, changes over time, also depending on the adopted therapy. Second, we did not separate thoracic and lumbar vertebrae, nor did we distinguish osteoporotic from non-osteoporotic patients. Age and type of vertebra have been considered as potential factors influencing bone marrow composition, and differences in bone mineral density could influence attenuation values on VNCa images [1, 24, 25]. All these factors could potentially influence BME evaluation and CT numbers on VNCa series. Future studies in specific population subgroups could help evaluate the real impact of these factors in clinical routine. Third, bone marrow changes could be caused by

![Box plot showing raters’ diagnostic confidence scores for overall diagnosis of acute vertebral fractures, image quality, and image noise using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and dual-energy computed tomography (DECT) image series by application of 5-point Likert scales (ranging from 1 = unacceptable to 5 = excellent).](Fig. 4)

Fig. 4 Box plots showing raters’ diagnostic confidence scores for overall diagnosis of acute vertebral fractures, image quality, and image noise using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and dual-energy computed tomography (DECT) image series by application of 5-point Likert scales (ranging from 1 = unacceptable to 5 = excellent). DECT image series reported similarly high scores compared to MRI without significant difference concerning overall diagnostic confidence (MRI mean score, 3.87; DECT mean score, 3.77; p = .15), image quality (MRI mean score, 3.85; DECT mean score, 3.74; p = .21), and image noise (MRI mean score, 3.73; DECT mean score, 3.66; p = .36). Inter-reader agreement was good for both MRI (κ = 0.72) and DECT (κ = 0.77) (p < .001) regarding overall diagnostic confidence, good for MRI (κ = 0.76) and excellent for DECT (κ = 0.80) (p < .001) concerning image quality, and good for MRI (κ = 0.73) as well as for DECT (κ = 0.73) (p = .35) regarding image noise.

![Box plot shows mean computed tomography (CT) numbers on colored virtual non-calcium (VNCa) reconstructions of vertebral bodies with and without traumatic bone marrow edema (BME). CT attenuation values were significantly increased in vertebrae showing BME compared to non-edematous vertebral bodies (p < .001).](Fig. 5)

Fig. 5 a Box plot shows mean computed tomography (CT) numbers on colored virtual non-calcium (VNCa) reconstructions of vertebral bodies with and without traumatic bone marrow edema (BME). CT attenuation values were significantly increased in vertebrae showing BME compared to non-edematous vertebral bodies (p < .001). b The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis calculated from CT numbers on colored VNCa reconstructions yielded an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.96 for the differentiation of traumatic BME.
pathologies other than trauma such as malignancy or infection. However, to the best of our knowledge, other potential causes of bone marrow alteration were not present in the study population. Finally, results and conclusions are only applicable to the vendor-specific dual-source DECT technique and post-processing software, as well as to the employed imaging protocols, which are routinely used in our department in case of spinal trauma. Particularly in the assessment of fracture lines, alternative MRI protocols could have performed differently, for instance by using diverse slice thickness or sequences such as T1 spoiled gradient-echo or ultra-short echo time sequences, which have recently been shown to provide image quality comparable to CT for the evaluation of certain bone pathologies of the spine [30].

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that both DECT and MRI provide high diagnostic confidence and image quality for the assessment of acute vertebral fractures in general. DECT provided, by means of VNCa reconstructions, high diagnostic accuracy for assessing presence and extent of vertebral BME. MRI showed moderate sensitivity and lower confidence for the depiction of fracture lines. Therefore, DECT may represent a potential imaging alternative to MRI in specific settings of acute spinal trauma, especially in elderly or unstable patients and in cases of subtle or complex orientated fracture lines.
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Fig. 6  Seventy-one-year-old man presenting with spinal trauma after fall accident on a street. a Initially performed dual-energy computed tomography (DECT) showed a distinct compression fracture involving the superior endplate of L1 on sagittal grayscale DECT series. No further post-processing such as creation of color-coded virtual non-calcium (VNCa) reconstructions was performed in this patient in daily routine. b, c Five days later, additionally performed magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated decreased signal intensity of L1 on sagittal turbo inversion recovery magnitude (TIRM)-MR series and an increase of signal intensity on sagittal T1-weighted spin-echo MRI (arrows).

Therefore, the fracture was considered as old with complete fatty degeneration of bone marrow. d VNCa images (only reconstructed for the purpose of this study) show no evidence of bone marrow edema (BME) in L1 (arrowhead) (score, 0; for all readers). Furthermore, a decrease of signal intensity on VNCa images (displayed as violet area) indicates fatty degeneration of bone marrow in L1 (arrowhead). It is conceivable that initial reconstruction of color-coded VNCa reconstructions in daily routine would have facilitated the correct diagnosis of an old vertebral fracture.
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