A Symmetric Region of the HIV-1 Integrase Dimerization Interface Is Essential for Viral Replication
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Abstract

HIV-1 integrase (IN) is an important target for contemporary antiretroviral drug design research. Historically, efforts at inactivating the enzyme have focused upon blocking its active site. However, it has become apparent that new classes of allosteric inhibitors will be necessary to advance the antiretroviral field in light of the emergence of viral strains resistant to contemporary clinically used IN drugs. In this study we have characterized the importance of a close network of IN residues, distant from the active site, as important for the obligatory multimerization of the enzyme and viral replication as a whole. Specifically, we have determined that the configuration of six residues within a highly symmetrical region at the IN dimerization interface, composed of a four-tiered aromatic interaction flanked by two salt bridges, significantly contributes to proper HIV-1 replication. Additionally, we have utilized a quantitative luminescence assay to examine IN oligomerization and have determined that there is a very low tolerance for amino acid substitutions along this region. Even conservative residue substitutions negatively impacted IN multimerization, resulting in an inactive viral enzyme and a non-replicative virus. We have shown that there is a very low tolerance for amino acid variation at the symmetrical dimeric interface region characterized in this study, and therefore drugs designed to target the amino acid network detailed here could be expected to yield a significantly reduced number of drug-resistant escape mutations compared to contemporary clinically-evaluated antiretrovirals.

Introduction

HIV-1 integrase (IN) is an enzyme essential for viral replication. After more than a decade of intensive research, the first IN drug - raltegravir – was approved by the FDA in October of 2007. This advance has been a major achievement, but like other HIV-1 antiretroviral drugs targeting reverse transcriptase (RT) and protease, considerable resistance has already emerged following clinical use [1,2,3,4]. IN is critical for the viral life cycle, as it acts to integrate the viral DNA into the host cell chromosomal material. The resulting integrated provirus is vulnerable to current antiretrovirals, and upon upregulation of certain cellular transcription factors, the provirus can be replicated by host cell machinery to generate progeny virus [5,6,7]. The provirus can also remain dormant for years in memory T-cells, greatly contributing to the difficulty in eradicating viral infection. In fact, it has been shown that very low levels of HIV-1 transcription can persist in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in patients receiving antiretroviral therapy, exacerbating the problem of emerging drug-resistant viral strains [8,9,10,11].

IN exists as a monomer, dimer, and higher oligomers in solution, and multimerization is essential for its catalytic activity [12,13,14]. The amino acid network at the IN dimeric interface is extensive, and it is stabilized by both hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions between four α-helices (α1, α2, α5, and α6) from each monomer, and an additional subunit interface interaction donated by a β-strand from each monomer [5,15,16]. It contains three distinct domains: an N-terminal domain (residues 1–50) that binds zinc, a catalytic core domain (residues 50–212) that contains the active site DD(35)E motif and many residues essential for dimerization, and a C-terminal domain (residues 213–288) that possesses nonspecific DNA affinity and is important for IN tetramerization [17,18,19]. After viral entry into the host cell, IN associates with RT, the viral RNA genome, and multiple other viral and cellular proteins in a large nucleoprotein complex termed the reverse transcription complex [20,21]. After reverse transcription is completed, IN cleaves a dinucleotide from the 3′ end of the newly-formed viral DNA at a conserved CA sequence to yield a reactive hydroxyl moiety via a cytosolic reaction termed 3′-processing [22]. IN, in complex with the processed viral DNA and viral and host proteins, forms another large nucleoprotein assembly termed the preintegration complex (PIC) [23]. The PIC enters the nucleus through the nuclear pore, and IN then adheres to the host cell chromatin with the assistance of the cellular cofactor LEDGF/p75 [24,25]. Once tethered to the host cell chromatin, IN utilizes the free 3′-hydroxyl group of the viral DNA in a nucleophile attack upon the host genome largely within...
transcriptionally active regions [26] to stably integrate the proviral DNA, a reaction termed strand transfer [26,27,28,29]. IN uses the same active site to catalyze both the 3′-processing and strand transfer reactions by coordinating two Mg2+ ions with three critical acidic residues (Asp64, Asp116, and Glu152) within the active site (DD/35E motif) [30,31]. Rational drug design efforts have thus far been mainly directed toward developing compounds that bind to the Mg2+-coordinating active site, but it has become apparent that new classes of allosteric inhibitors that disrupt IN-cofactor interactions [32,33] or IN multimerization [34] will be necessary to advance the antiretroviral field in light of the emergence of viral strains resistant to contemporary clinically used IN drugs.

Although there have been scant structural studies focusing directly on the IN dimeric interface [33,36,37], and a handful of studies aimed at abrogating or modulating multimerization using peptide or small-molecule compounds [34,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45], relatively little is currently known about the inhibition of IN catalysis through blocking its oligomerization. Traditional small molecule drug design programs aimed at disrupting protein-protein interactions have been hindered by the belief that most drug-like small molecules do not provide a high enough binding energy to bind and disrupt large interfacial protein hotspots. However, great advances have been made in both antiretroviral and cancer drug design targeting protein-protein hotspots [32,46]. Previously, our group identified an allosteric site of inhibition at the dimeric interface of IN [47]. Specifically, we found that a photoaffinity-labeled coumarin compound disrupted proper IN multimerization and, therefore, delivered inhibition of IN catalytic activities via an allosteric inhibitory mechanism. In a follow up study to further characterize the IN interfacial dimeric region, we identified a highly symmetric amino acid network composed of a four-tiered aromatic interaction flanked by two charged centers, with extensive p-orbital pi-interactions known to be synergistic. Also depicted are residues E87 and K103, along with E85 and R107, which form two salt-bridge linkages between the two IN monomers. Sequence alignment analysis (Figure 1B) has revealed a high degree of conservation among lentiviral integrases for all of the residues under study.

To probe the importance of these residues in the stability of the HIV-1 IN dimeric interface, we first constructed conservative and non-conservative substitutions and tested their catalytic activity in an in vitro enzymatic activity assay. Specifically, we synthesized W61F, W61G, E85F, E85G, E87F, E87G, K103E, K103G, R107E, R107G, W108F, and W108G IN mutant proteins. Unfortunately, most of the above IN mutations generated insoluble proteins with negligible yields after traditional nickel affinity chromatography. Though it has recently been shown that WT HIV-1 IN may be purified in the monomeric form [50], we postulated that the reduced yields of our mutant IN proteins could be due to some degree of misfolding or an overall reduction in protein solubility. Therefore, in order to gain some insight into the catalytic activity of the wild-type and mutant IN proteins simultaneously, we tested the protein activity within processed IN mutant lysate preparations. Specifically, after inducing IN expression and lysing the expression cells, we proceeded directly to homogenization and dialysis of isolated inclusion bodies from the wild-type and mutant IN protein lysates (see Methods).

In an enzymatic assay that includes a γ-32P labeled 21-mer oligonucleotide substrate mimicking the HIV-1 U5 LTR DNA termini, we analyzed the activity of our WT and mutant IN proteins. Accordingly, we were compelled to discern whether potential nuclease activity from non-IN proteins in the E. coli lysate extracts would lead to nonspecific degradation of DNA products that would cloud our precise analysis of IN enzymatic activity. First, we computed the relative purity and concentration of each IN protein in our study through Coomassie PAGE followed by densitometric analysis of band intensity (Figure 2A&B). We observed similar relative abundance and purity of WT and all mutant IN proteins in this study. Though the IN extracts were not fully purified, the IN proteins in the extracts were optimally abundant due to IPTG induction during culture. This allowed for a high (at least 1:85) extract dilution – and thus high dilution of non-IN proteins – to be utilized for subsequent enzymatic analysis of the enzymes. We then analyzed the effect of E. coli lysate-minus-IN on nonspecific degradation of radiolabeled 21-mer DNA oligonucleotide in the IN enzymatic assay. A non-IN-transformed E. coli culture was grown and processed identically to IN cultures, and lysate extract was titrated against 21-mer DNA (Figure 2C). We found that nonspecific degradation of the 21-mer oligonucleotide by non-IN proteins lost significance after a 1:63 dilution. Our lowest IN dilution necessary for the enzymatic activity analysis that we present in Figure 3 below (final concentration of 300 nM) was 1:85 (for the E87F mutant). It is noteworthy that even reactions including high dilutions of the blank lysate generated an increase in 20-mer DNA species, though 19-mer accumulation was insignificant.

Results

IN Mutants with a Disrupted Four-tiered Dimeric Interface Interaction Are Inactive in vitro

Depicted in Figure 1A is a PyMOL (W.L. DeLano, www.pymol.org) representation of the symmetrical amino acid network along the IN dimeric interface, which consists of a four-tiered aromatic interaction flanked by two charged centers. Residues W61 and W108 of each IN monomer form T-shaped edge-to-face stacking interactions, and we have previously determined that the average distance between each tryptophan is highly balanced: 4.54 Å for W61 (monomer A)-W108 (monomer B), and 4.42 Å for W108 (monomer A)-W108 (monomer B), and 4.54 Å for W108 (monomer B)-W61 (monomer B). We calculated the amount of stabilization energy that this four-tiered interaction donates to the dimeric interface to be at least −10 kcal/mol [48] if each amino-acid interaction is considered to provide an additive effect, however the true stabilizing energy contribution is likely greater as extensive p-orbital pi-interactions are known to be synergistic. Also depicted are residues E87 and K103, along with E85 and R107, which form two salt-bridge linkages between the two IN monomers. Sequence alignment analysis (Figure 1B) has revealed a high degree of conservation among lentiviral integrases for all of the residues under study.
Next, enzymatic activities of purified and inclusion body-isolated WT IN were compared to determine if this was a viable approach to analyze IN catalytic activity \textit{in vitro}. As evident in Figure 3, the activity of IN is identical in both the purified and processed lysate forms, as both extracts were highly active even down to as low as 200 nM concentrations. Nonspecific 20-mer DNA cleavage product accumulation was similar for both purified and processed IN proteins. Alongside these samples we included a processed lysate-only sample ("No IN") as an additional check against potential nonspecific DNA degradation resulting from possible nucleases in our IN preps. It is clear in the first lane of the Figure 3 gel that there is no significant nuclease contamination contributing to 19-mer or high molecular weight DNA banding (corresponding to the molecular weight of specific strand transfer banding) within our expression cell lysate. Nonspecific degradation leading to 20-mer accumulation was slight in this reaction. We next analyzed the \textit{in vitro} strand transfer activity of each mutant IN and found that all substitutions within the tryptophan stacking interaction abolished strand transfer catalysis (Figure 4A). Interestingly, the E85F mutation of one charged center retained 37% of WT strand transfer activity (Figure 4B), but the non-conservative uncharged substitution E85G was totally inactive. Similarly, all substitutions made at E87, K103, and R107 resulted in negligible strand transfer activity. The data in Figure 4A indicate a significant nuclease presence in the IN extracts, and therefore 3'-processing activities of the IN mutants could not be quantitatively evaluated with high confidence. Addition of 50 \(\mu\)M ZnCl\(_2\), which has previously been shown to enhance IN multi-
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\caption{A symmetric region of the HIV-1 dimerization interface is conserved across other lentiviruses. (A) PyMOL representation of the highly symmetric region at the HIV-1 IN dimeric interface. A four-tiered aromatic interaction between W61 and W108 from each IN monomer is flanked by two salt bridges composed of E85 and R107, and E87 and K103 from each monomer. The four-tiered aromatic interaction donates at least \(-10\ \text{cal/mol} \) of stabilization energy to the interface. (B) Sequence alignment of relevant lentiviral IN residues, beginning at IN residue 55. Red text denotes highly conserved residues, while blue signifies moderately conserved. W61, E85, E87, K103, R107, and W108 are all completely conserved throughout HIV-1, SHIV, SIV, and HIV-1 viruses. Aromaticity is heavily conserved across most lentiviruses for positions 61 and 108.}
\end{figure}
merization and activity in vitro [51,52], had no stimulatory effect on mutant activity (data not shown).

Since the in vitro strand transfer activity of IN depends directly upon the initial 3’-processing of the viral DNA substrate, we proceeded to analyze the precise catalytic point at which the interface mutants were deficient. It is plausible that, due to a minor imperfection in dimer formation leading to failed higher-order oligomerization, a mutant IN protein could be incapable of structurally progressing to a conformation conducive to proper strand transfer. In this scenario the in vitro strand transfer activity of this IN protein could potentially be salvaged using a pre-processed DNA substrate. To determine if any of our mutant IN proteins exhibited this phenotype, we conducted an in vitro enzymatic assay substituting a pre-processed 19-mer DNA substrate for the normal 21-mer (Figure 5A). Interestingly, the previously inactive K103E and W108F IN mutants displayed low-level ability (29% and 30% of WT, respectively) to integrate the 19-mer oligonucleotide, as shown in Figure 5B. This upsurge in catalytic activity suggests that the K103E and W108F IN mutants are ultimately inactive due to structural defects contributing to improper multimeric assembly. At this stage we cannot rule out the possibility that the slower 3’ OH processing activity in comparison to strand transfer activity may contribute to this differences. The E85F mutant was also proficient at integrating pre-processed DNA, at a level 1.6-fold greater than that of the WT enzyme.

Figure 2. Cellular proteins in E. coli lysate confer a negligible level of non-specific DNA cutting. A) PAGE demonstrating the purity of each IN lysate preparation. Protein concentration standard curves were calculated from densitometric analysis of BSA titrations spanning five concentrations, from 0.125 μg/μL to 2.0 μg/μL. Band intensity of IN within each total lysate was then quantified, and concentration of total IN was calculated using BSA standard curve line equation. B) Chart outlining total lysate concentration, IN concentration within lysate, and dilution factor necessary for 300 nM final IN concentration within enzymatic assay. Dilution factors necessary for each IN preparation ranged from 1:85 (E87F) to 1:475 (R107E). C) Effect of E. coli BL21(DE3)pLysS lysate on nonspecific nucleolytic degradation of radiolabeled 21-mer DNA. Radiolabeled 21-mer and 19-mer DNA oligonucleotide standards are shown in the first two lanes. E. coli cells not containing IN were incubated and IPTG induced under identical conditions as each IN lysate culture, and a lysate preparation was also manufactured in the same way. A titration of blank E. coli lysate concentrations spanning the range of dilutions made for the enzymatic assay was incubated with radiolabeled 21-mer, and resulting nonspecific DNA degradation was represented by a reduction of 21-mer band intensity and an increase of 20-mer, 19-mer, and other reduced size band intensities. Nonspecific DNA degradation by E. coli lysate proteins lost significance after a 1:63 dilution. Since the lowest IN lysate dilution used in enzymatic assay was 1:85, we are not concerned about confounding of results and conclusions due to nonspecific DNA degradation by non-IN (nuclease) proteins in our lysate preparations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045177.g002
both GST-tagged and 6xHis-tagged subunits. This hybrid was of IN species that included an IN hybrid multimer, composed of chelate-coated. Successful subunit exchange resulted in a mixture nuclease activity. even at the lowest concentration tested. Identically processed INs were titrated from 200–600 nM. Both enzymes were highly active within a distance of 200 nm of each other. As shown previously IN catalyses strand transfer in vitro, the E85F, agreeing with our previous observation that this was the only mutant IN protein able to multimerize near WT levels was E85F, agreeing with our previous observation that this was the only mutant protein exhibiting strand transfer activity in the non-processed 21-mer in vitro assay. The levels of IN mutant multimerization trend similarly to the 3'-processing activity illustrated in Figure 4C. These data clearly illustrate that the substitutions we have studied at the IN dimerization interface yield defective proteins, due specifically to their impaired ability to multimerize.

HIV-1 Virus Containing IN Substitutions at the Dimeric Interface are Deficient for Replication

Given that IN mutants deficient for functional multimerization are unable to catalyze strand transfer in vitro, we finally aimed to determine if the same IN residues are essential for viral replication. First, we produced WT and mutant HIV-1 viruses in the context of the NLA.3 infectious clone. We focused our analysis on the IN residues E85, K103, and W108, given their interesting enzymatic profile as described above. Second, since it widely known that IN mutations can have pleiotropic effects [55], we investigated whether or not IN dimeric interface mutations had any effect on exogenous HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT) activity. We observed that the RT activity within K103E, K103G, and W108F mutant viruses possessed near WT levels of oligonucleotide synthesis, while those of E85G and W108G exhibited a mild reduction (Figure 7A). We then conducted a viral breakthrough assay in order to compare IN mutant versus WT virus replicative capacity. We were interested to find that all viruses containing an IN substitution - including E85F - at the dimeric interface were deficient for replication in comparison to WT virus after 6 days (Figure 7B). Though the fate of E85F is not in direct agreement with our in vitro data, the lack of this virus’s capacity to replicate is quite plausible when considering the weakening effect that the above mutation would exert upon the structural alignment of the dimeric interface. Only strong enough to catalyze 30–40% of the WT IN level of 3'-processing and strand transfer in vitro, the E85F multimer may not contain the structural integrity to withstand the forces involved with viral replication as a whole, including virus-host cofactor interactions and nuclear translocation. In fact, with this possibility in mind, we screened each of the IN mutants in our panel for possible binding deficiencies with LEDGF/p75 (known to bind to an adjacent location along the IN dimeric interface) using the AlphaScreen assay as previously described [32]. Each mutant exhibited less than one-third of the WT interaction with this cellular cofactor (Figure 8).
Discussion

In this study we have validated a series of HIV-1 IN non-active site residues as being structurally important for IN multimerization, catalysis, and viral replication as a whole. These residues form a highly symmetric amino acid network between both IN monomers in the dimeric complex. Specifically, W61 and W108 from each monomer form a four-tiered stacking interaction capable of donating stabilization energy of \( \leq -10 \text{ kcal/mol} \) to the IN dimeric complex. Flanking this four-tiered aromatic interaction are two highly charged salt bridges composed of E85–R107 and E87–K103 (see Figure 1). Conservative and non-conservative substitutions yielded strand transfer-defective proteins, with the exception of the E85F, K103E, and W108F IN proteins. E85F exhibited near WT levels of strand transfer catalytic activity in vitro, but the K103E and W108F IN mutants showed moderate activity only in the presence of a pre-processed 19-mer substrate. We postulate that the conformations of IN residues 103 and 108 are crucial for proper multimerization leading to strand transfer catalysis. While the change from a tryptophan to a phenylalanine at position 108 is less than drastic, the positive to negative charge replacement (K to E) at position 103 is a severe modification for this charged center. It is possible that a negative charge at position 103 could repel E87 and lead to a dimeric conformation non-conducive to progression from 3′-processing to strand transfer. Other inactivating mutations from our panel appear to damage the IN multimer beyond such repair.

In order to study the effect of each substitution upon IN quaternary structure, we used a quantitative AlphaScreen®-based assay capable of monitoring IN multimerization. This is the first time that a quantitative assay has been utilized for characterization of the multimerization dynamics of HIV-1 IN mutants. Application of this assay to our full-length mutant proteins demonstrated that E85F, the only catalytically active IN protein for strand transfer, was able to multimerize near WT levels. As most of the other mutant IN proteins studied in this context were highly insoluble, we cannot specifically conclude from the AlphaScreen assay that multimerization is negatively affected. Rather, we can say that the presumably aggregating IN proteins are unable to exchange monomers in this assay.

The fact that a phenylalanine substitution of the glutamic acid at position 85 yields an active IN protein was an unexpected outcome. The substitution to a bulky, neutrally charged side-chain at this position would be expected to disrupt the vital salt bridge and generate a protein severely impaired for oligomerization, but we have observed the opposite result. Previously, a similar observation was reported in a HIV-2 IN study in which E85 was substituted with a tryptophan residue to yield an active protein [56]. Another study, substituting the same position in HIV-1 IN with alanine, produced a highly active enzyme that retained the ability to interact with LEDGF/p75 [57]. Our glycine substitution at this position, however, was clearly strand transfer-defective. The additional pi-orbital aromaticity of E85F may contribute stability to the dimeric complex through cooperation with the nearby multi-tryptophan interaction of W61 and W108. In fact, the closest distance between E85 and W108 is about 2.9 Å, while that of W61 and W108 is 3.5 Å (Figure 9A). It is plausible that F85 could join the existing aromatic interaction between IN dimers, or even seize responsibility from W61 in the scheme of the four-tiered aromatic complex. A rudimentary substitution of glutamate 85 for a phenylalanine in PyMOL yielded the observation that the side chain advances to a mere 2.4 Å from W108, or about 30% closer than W61 (Figure 9B).

After producing mutant NL4.3 HIV-1 viruses, we found that exogenous HIV-1 RT activity for all IN mutant viruses was near WT levels, signifying proper viral assembly for each virus.
produced. A breakthrough assay showed that all IN mutant viruses were replication-incompetent after six days in comparison to WT virus. A phenylalanine substitution at position 85, although sufficient for catalytic activity in vitro, results in a clear block in viral replication. It is possible that this substitution alters the conformation of an unidentified cellular cofactor binding site, or the interaction with a viral and/or cellular protein in the PIC. In fact, we observed that all IN mutations significantly reduced the proteins’ interaction with cellular binding partner LEDGF. E85F IN experienced less than 20% of the WT IN-LEDGF binding.

Conclusions
Several efforts have aimed at disrupting the IN dimeric interface using synthetic interfacial peptides reproducing the sequences of the alpha-helices and the β-strand involved in dimerization [42,43,58,59,60]. Though moderate success has been obtained in these studies, our interests are in developing more drug-like small molecule compounds that potently inhibit IN dimerization and are also useful for clinical evaluation. To this end we have validated the use of an AlphaScreen®-based assay capable of high-throughput drug screening, and we have already begun screening our library of diverse small-molecules in order to uncover drug-like leads in this new class of IN dimerization allosteric inhibitors. Furthermore, we are undertaking rational, structure-based drug design efforts to specifically target the residues identified as essential for multimerization in this study, as well as potential nearby pockets lining the dimerization interface. Mildly-active inhibitors of HIV-1 IN dimerization have recently reported [45], and the most active of these compounds interestingly binds to the precise region characterized in this study.

We have shown that there is a very low tolerance for amino acid variation at the symmetrical dimeric interfacial region characterized in this study, and therefore drugs designed to target the amino acid network detailed here could be expected to yield a significantly reduced number of drug-resistant escape mutations compared to contemporary clinically-evaluated antiretrovirals. Importantly, we have demonstrated that a 10–15% disruption in IN multimerization is enough to reduce the enzyme’s catalytic activity by four times that amount.

Methods
Site-directed Mutagenesis
Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out upon the pET-15b-IN plasmid, a generous gift from Dr. Robert Craigie, Laboratory of
Molecular Biology, NIDDK, NIH, Bethesda, MD, as previously described. The oligonucleotide primers were designed as follows: W61F: sense – CTAGCTGGAATATTCCTG, antisense – CAGGAATATTCCAGCTAG; W61G: sense – CTAGCTGTCCTATTCCTG, antisense – CAGGAATAGGAACAGCTAG; E85F: sense – CTTCTGCGAATATATATCC, antisense – GGATATATATTCGCAGAAG; E85G: sense – CTTCTGCTCCTATATATCC, antisense – GGATATATAGGAGCAGAAG; E87F: sense – GCTGGAATTACGAATGCTTC, antisense – GAAGCATTCGTAATTCCAGC; E87G: sense – GCTGGAATTACTCCTGCTTC, antisense – GAAGCAGGAGTAATTCCAGC; K103E: sense – GGCCATCTTCCTGCTAATTCTAAGAGG, antisense – CCTCTTAGAATTAGCAGGAAGATGGCC; K103G: sense – GGCCATCTTCCTGCTAATCCTAAGAGG, antisense – CCTCTTAGGATTAGCAGGAAGATGGCC; R107E: sense – ACTGGCCATTCTCCTGTC, antisense – GCAGGAGGATCCAGATCTCC; R107G: sense – ACTGGCCATTCTCCTGCAG, antisense – GCAGGAGGATCCAGATCTGC; W108F: sense – GTTTTTACTGGGAATCTTCCTGC, antisense – GCAGGAGGATCCCTGCTAATCCCTG; W108G: sense – GTTTTTACTGAGATTCTGGAGAAG; Nucleotide mutations were confirmed by DNA sequencing at the USC/Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center Microchemical Core Facility (University of Southern California).

Expression of HIV-1 IN lysates (Isolation and Processing of Inclusion Bodies)

The HIV-1 IN plasmid was transformed with heat shock into BL21 (DE3) pLYSs expression strain Escherichia coli (Invitrogen) and allowed to grow to optical density 0.75 (595 nm) before induction with 1 mM IPTG. Culture was then allowed to grow for an additional three hours at 37°C and 250 rpm shaking. Cells were then centrifuged for 20 minutes at 3000 rpm. Pelleted cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5; 5 mM imidazole; 100 mM NaCl) and passed twice through a French® Pressure Cell Press (Thermo Spectronic). Lysate was centrifuged at

Figure 6. A quantitative IN subunit exchange assay specifically illustrates multimerization defect of IN dimeric interface mutants. (A) Mechanics of the subunit exchange assay for quantifying HIV-1 IN multimerization. Mutant IN was expressed with a 6x Histidine tag, while WT IN was expressed with a GST tag. Mutant IN proteins with functional oligomerization were capable of carrying out subunit exchange with WT IN, resulting in a hybrid subunit protein containing each tag. Subunit assembly allowed energy transfer between donor and acceptor beads and emission of luminescence. (B) Z-factor calculation for AlphaScreen-based multimerization assay – reproducibility of the assay deemed “excellent” with score of 0.75. Signal-to-noise and signal-to-background ratios are optimally high, at 335 and 28, respectively. Solid lines denote mean of positive control and background signal, and dotted lines represent three standard deviations from each data set. (C) Application of the multimerization assay to the measurement of mutant IN multimerization, relative to that of the WT protein. Catalytically inactive IN mutant proteins exhibited around 20–30% of WT multimerization capacity, but only the catalytically active E85F mutant protein approached WT levels of multimerization. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045177.g006
31,000 g, and pellet was resuspended in a solubilization buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5; 5 mM imidazole; 1 M NaCl, 1 mM CHAPS). Lysate was then dialyzed in Spectra/Por molecular porous membrane tubing, MWCO 12-14,000 (Spectrum Laboratories, Inc.), suspended in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5; 500 mM NaCl; 40% glycerol; 0.2 mM EDTA, and 1 mM dithiothreitol. For protein concentration determination, aliquots of protein were via PAGE, along with a BSA standard curve of 10 mg/mL, 5 mg/mL, 2.5 mg/mL, 1.25 mg/mL, and 0.6 mg/mL. The gel was photographed with a Typhoon 8610 Variable Mode Imager (Amersham Biosciences), and band intensity was measured by densitometric analysis using ImageJ software. The standard curve equation obtained from the BSA band intensities was used to calculate the protein concentrations of each IN band in the processed cellular extracts.

Oligonucleotide Substrates and IN Enzymatic Assays

As previously described [40]. MnCl₂ was used as the metal cofactor in this study.

AlphaScreen® Multimerization Assay

IN extracts were dissolved in reaction buffer (150 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.3, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Tween 20, 0.1% BSA). A sample volume of 25 μL was used in the 384 well format. GST-tagged WT IN was incubated in equimolar concentration with 6xHis-tagged mutant IN at 4°C overnight. The next morning, glutathione-coated donor beads and Ni²⁺-coated acceptor beads (PerkinElmer) were added at a final concentration of 1 mg/mL. The plate was incubated at 30°C for one hour and then scanned on an EnVision™ plate reader (PerkinElmer).

Viral strain. The HIV-1 molecular clone pNL4.3 was obtained through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH contributed by Dr. Malcolm Martin (Bethesda, MD). To generate IN mutant viruses, site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the Kirsch and Joly method [61]. The presence of the expected mutations was confirmed by DNA sequencing of the entire IN coding region. Virus productions were performed as described previously [62]. Briefly, 6 million 293T cells were transfected with WT or mutagenized NL4.3 plasmid using branched PEI. Virus was...
harvested and filtered 48 hours later, and p24 antigen measurements were taken for quantification of viral titer.

**HIV-1 RT Activity Assay**

Viral RT nucleotide incorporation was quantified per kit instructions provided by manufacturer: HS-Lenti Kit-RT assay (Cavidi, Sweden).

**HIV-1 Breakthrough Infection Assay**

Twenty-thousand HeLa P4 cells in 0.5 mL RPMI medium (12% FCS) were seeded per well in 6-well plates. Virus corresponding to 50 pg p24/mL (Alliance HIV-1 P24 antigen ELISA Kit, Perkin Elmer Life Sciences, Milano Italy) was added to the cells and incubated overnight at 37°C. Aliquots of cell-free supernatants were harvested for determination of viral p24 levels at two days, four days, and six days post-infection.
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