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Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

- The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
- A description of all covariates tested
- A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
- Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
- For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen’s d, Pearson’s r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

- Q Exactive HF and Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid mass spectrometers, BD LSRFortessa and BD LSRII flow cytometers operated with BD FACSDiva 8.0 software, Zeiss AxioObserver.Z1 with PALM MicroBeam IV micro-dissection microscope operated with PALMRobo 4.6 software, Typhoon Phosphor Imager (FLA 9500, Version 1.0, Build 1.0.0.185), InGenius3 gel doc imager operated with InGenius3 GENESys v1.5.9.0 software, ImageXpress Nano high-content microscope operated with MetaXpress 6 software, Nikon Eclipse 90i microscope operated with NIS Elements BR 5.02.01 software, SpectraMax iD3 spectrophotometer operated with Softmax Pro 7.0.3 software, Epson V600 Photo scanner operated with Epson Scan v3.9.4.0 US software, CanoScan 9000F Mark II scanner operated with ImageCapture v6.6(525) software, Microsoft Excel

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Mass spectrometry datasets are deposited in PRIDE database (Accession number: PXD019156). The source data underlying Figures 1c-e, 2a, c-d, f, 3b, d, f, 4a-f, 5b-d, f, 6a-i, and 7b, d, f and Supplementary Figures 1b-d, 2b, 3a-c, 4b, d, e, 5a-g, 6a-b, d-e, 7b-c, e-f, 8a-e, and 9a-b are provided as a Source Data file.
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Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

| Sample size | No statistical method was used to predetermine sample size. The samples sizes selected for quantitative studies are based on previous studies in the field with similar methodological details (e.g., Daza-Martin et al., PMID: 31270457; Noordermeer et al., PMID: 30022168; Adelman et al., PMID: 24005329) and sample numbers are of appropriate size for analysis of statistical significance. |
| Data exclusions | No data have been excluded. |
| Replication | Cell-based assays (cell survival, homologous recombination assays, MCM8IP localization) and biochemical reactions (EMSA and helicase assays) were reproduced in at least 3 independent biological replicates. Western blotting, immunoprecipitation and pulldown assays are representative of at least 2 independent experiments each. The DNA fiber and RAD51 foci experiments are representative of at least 2 biological replicates. All attempts at replication were successful with quantitative data analyzed for statistical significance. |
| Randomization | There was no randomization in this study as the nature of our experiments did not require them. |
| Blinding | Data from survival assays, HR repair assays, RAD51 and RPA foci assays, and EMSA and helicase assays were collected and analyzed digitally with consistent settings, and therefore did not require blinding. Blinding was not feasible for western blotting and interaction studies and would unlikely influence outcome. DNA fiber assays and MCM8IP-FLAG localization assays (foci and UV-damaged tract formation) were not blinded as they were stained with commercially available and widely-used antibodies that yielded robust signals for scoring by eye. Additionally, they were conducted appropriately with respect to experimental setup (i.e., untreated controls), data collection (i.e., microscopic fields chosen at random and imaged with the same settings) and data analysis (i.e., scoring under the same image settings). Following our analyses, the phenotypes were apparent and we are therefore confident that blinding would not have yielded meaningfully different outcomes. |
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**Materials & experimental systems**

- Antibodies
  - n/a Involved in the study
  - Eukaryotic cell lines
  - Palaeontology
  - Animals and other organisms
  - Human research participants
  - Clinical data

**Methods**

- n/a Involved in the study
  - ChIP-seq
  - Flow cytometry
  - MRI-based neuroimaging

**Antibodies**

**Antibodies used**

The antibodies used in this study for immunofluorescence/immunocytochemistry (IF) and western blotting (WB) are as follows: rabbit anti-C17orf53 (Sigma HPA023393) (WB), mouse anti-C17orf53 (Novus NBP2-37407) (WB), rabbit anti-MCM8 (ProteinTech 16451-1-AP) (WB), rabbit anti-MCM9 (Millipore ABE2603) (WB), rabbit anti-RPA1 (Bethyl A301-616A) (WB), mouse anti-SMARCAL1 (Santa Cruz sc-376377) (WB), rabbit anti-RPA2 (Bethyl A300-244A) (IF and WB), rabbit anti-SMARCAL1 (Santa Cruz sc-376377) (WB), rabbit anti-TOPBP1 (Bethyl A300-488A) (WB), rabbit anti-GST (Abcam ab21070) (WB), mouse anti-GST (Santa Cruz sc-138) (WB), mouse anti-RPA1 (Bethyl A300-244A) (WB), mouse anti-RPA2 (Bethyl A300-244A) (WB), rabbit anti-TOPBP1 (Bethyl A300-488A) (WB), mouse anti-GST (Abcam ab21070) (WB), Rabbit anti-Lamin B1 (ThermoFisher PA6-19468) (WB), rabbit anti-histone H3 (Bethyl A300-823A) (WB), rabbit anti-RAD51 (BioAcademia BAM-70-002) (IF), mouse anti-cyclin A (Santa Cruz sc-271682) (IF), mouse anti-H2AX (Bethyl A300-081A) (IF), mouse anti-BrdU (BD Biosciences ab6326) (IF), mouse anti-BrdU (BioLegend 653210) (IF), mouse anti-BrdU (Abcam ab6326) (IF). Working dilutions are indicated in the Methods section.

**Validation**

The antibodies used in this study are available commercially with specificity and application data available online. Applications in our study for each antibody are listed in the previous section and in the manuscript. In particular, the specificities of C17orf53 (both anti-rabbit and anti-mouse), MCM8 and MCM9 antibodies were each validated with some combination of sgRNA-mediated...
deletion, siRNA-induced depletion, and/or cDNA (wildtype and mutant) overexpression studies in our cell lines by western blotting and/or immunoprecipitation, many of which are presented in the manuscript. Furthermore, specificity of the MCM9 antibody was previously reported (Park et al, PMID: 23401855).

**Eukaryotic cell lines**

Policy information about [cell lines](#).

**Cell line source(s)**

U2OS, HEK293T and HCT116 were obtained from ATCC. HEK293T T-REx cells were obtained from Life Technologies/Thermo Fisher. U2OS DR-GFP was gifted by Maria Jasin’s lab (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY). U2OS 35S cells were gifted by Ralph Scully’s lab (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA).

**Authentication**

Cell lines were not independently authenticated.

**Mycoplasma contamination**

Cell lines were not independently tested for mycoplasma contamination.

**Commonly misidentified lines**

No commonly misidentified cell lines were used in this study (ICLAC, v10).

**Flow Cytometry**

**Plots**

- The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
- The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a ‘group’ is an analysis of identical markers).
- All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
- A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

**Methodology**

**Sample preparation**

U2OS DR-GFP, U2OS DR-GFP-derived MCM8IP-KO clone and U2OS 35S (SCR/RFP) cells were seeded in 6-well tissue culture plates so as to achieve 60-70% confluency the following day. Cells were then transfected with 2.5 μg of an I-SceI expression vector or pEGFP-N3. In parallel experiments, control cells (non-targeting or empty vector-complemented KO cells) were transfected with 2.5 μg of pCAGGS (empty vector control) to determine GFP/RFP-positivity. Two days after transfection, cells were trypsinized, washed once in PBS, and subjected to flow cytometry.

HEK293T-BFP+ cells were seeded in 24-well tissue culture plates so as to achieve 50-70% confluency the following day. Cells were then co-transfected with 250 ng of pX330 (SpCas9-expressing plasmid containing a BFP-targeting sgRNA) and 500 ng of plasmid containing an HDR donor sequence. Three days after transfection, cells were trypsinized, washed once in PBS, and subjected to flow cytometry.

**Instrument**

BD LSRII (DR-GFP assay) or BD LSRFortessa (SCR/RFP assay and BFP conversion assay)

**Software**

BD FACSDiva Software 8.0

**Cell population abundance**

No post-sort fractions were collected.

**Gating strategy**

For U2OS DR-GFP, U2OS DR-GFP-derived MCM8IP-KO clone and U2OS 35S (SCR/RFP) cells, FSC/SSC parameters were initially used to gate the majority of the population to the exclusion of cell debris. From that gated population, FITC-A/PE-A parameters were then used to determine GFP- and/or RFP-positivity (HR repair events) in the DR-GFP and SCR-RFP assays. Discerning positivity from negativity was determined by initially positioning gates in pCAGGS-transfected (negative control) and I-SceI-transfected (positive control) non-targeting or empty vector-complemented KO cells. Once established, the same gates were then applied to MCM8IP sgRNA-expressing or MCM8IP cDNA-complemented KO cells. A similar gating strategy for determining GFP-positivity in our transfection efficiency controls (pEGFP-N3-transfected cells) was used but with SSC-A/FITC-A parameters.

For HEK293T-BFP+ cells, FSC/SSC parameters were initially used to gate the majority of the population to the exclusion of cell debris. From that gated population, SSC-A/FITC-A parameters were then used to determine GFP-positivity (HR repair events) in the BFP conversion assay. Discerning positivity from negativity was determined by initially positioning gates in pX330-BFP sgRNA-transfected (negative control) control cells and cells co-transfected with pX330-BFP sgRNA and an HDR donor (positive control). Once established, the same gates were then applied to MCM8IP sgRNA-expressing cells.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.