Using the first drop of blood for monitoring blood glucose values in critically ill patients: An observational study
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**Context:** Using the first drop or second drop of blood while measuring blood glucose (BG) values. **Objective:** The study was planned to compare the BG values from the first and second drops of blood. **Research Settings:** The study was conducted at the Main Intensive Care Unit, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India. **Research Design:** This study was a comparative study. **Materials and Methods:** Ninety patients aged 2–93 years were enrolled in this study. BG values from the first and second drops of blood were taken and compared. **Statistical Analysis Used:** Agreement between two drops was assessed using Bland–Altman analysis. A bias of <10 mg/dl was considered clinically acceptable. Linear regression of the mean difference (bias) with the BG readings was performed. **Results:** One thousand four hundred and seven pairs of BG readings were taken from the enrolled patients. BG values had a bias of 3.9 ± 14.9 mg/dl. Nearly 96.7% of BG readings were within the limits of agreement. The absolute difference between first and second drops of blood was calculated; nearly 75.4% of the readings had fallen between 0 and 10 mg/dl, i.e., clinically acceptable range. **Conclusion:** There is no compete concordance of values of blood glucose between the first and the second drops of blood; any of the drops can be used for measuring BG values as the difference is not statistically significant. However, if hands are visibly clean and to decrease the blood loss in the critically ill patients where the BG values are measured frequently, using the first drop of blood is advised.
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**Introduction**

For clinical nurses, especially those working in ICU settings, it is crucial to measure blood glucose values in an accurate, timely and safely manner.¹ BG monitoring would be helpful in safety in terms of falling or rise in BG values, adjusting the insulin dosage, and managing illness.² Even brief hypoglycemia can cause profound brain dysfunction while prolonged severe hypoglycemia causes brain death. The Intensive Care Unit (ICU) protocols are to maintain the BG values within the range of 130–180 mg/dl.³⁻⁴ Effective glucose control in the ICU has been shown to decrease morbidity of conditions and also to decrease mortality.⁵ Point-of-care (POC) testing (or near-patient testing), where samples are not sent to the laboratory, is a convenient method commonly used in blood sugar monitoring.⁶ POC reduces the laboratory lag time to measure the BG values and is inexpensive, safer, and less invasive than capillary glucose measurements. Many differences in practice exist with regard to use of first or second drop of blood for testing and no consistent...
guidelines are available for capillary BG testing.[9] The purpose of this study is to evaluate BG differences between first and second drop of capillary blood from same site. In general, used sites are the sides of fingers for sticking. More nerves are present in the center of finger pad, so lancing in this location may cause more pain. If possible, hands should be washed with warm and soapy water, which will, besides cleaning, bring additional blood to the fingers. Rubbing alcohol can, of course, be used but let it dry for 30 s. If fingers are covered with dirt and juice, the best option is to prick the finger and wipe the first drop of blood away with a clean tissue or garment. Test with the second drop is to prick the finger and wipe the first drop of blood away with the help of Microsoft Excel, Gnumeric software, and Statistical Package for Social Science, version 19.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). The various statistical measures such as frequencies, percentage, mean and standard deviation, and Welch’s t-test were employed.

**Technical specifications[9]**

**Instrument principle and strip methods**

The SD CodeFree™ manufactured by SD Biosensor, Inc. C.-4th and 5th Floor Digital Empire Building 980-3, Yeongtong-dong, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Kyonggi-do, Korea. The SD CodeFree™ strip is designed with an electrode that measures glucose levels. Glucose in the blood sample mixes with reagent on the test strip that causes a small electric current. The amount of current that is created depends on how much glucose is in the blood. The SD CodeFree™ meter measures the current that is created and converts the measurement to the amount of glucose that is in the blood. The BG result is displayed on the meter’s LCD display. By touching a drop of blood to the tip of the SD CodeFree™ test strip, the strip’s reaction chamber automatically draws the blood into the strip through capillary action. When the chamber is full, the SD CodeFree™ meter starts to measure the BG level.

**Hematocrit limits[10]**

Extremes in hematocrit may affect test results. Hematocrit levels <20% may cause falsely high readings. Hematocrit levels >60% may cause falsely low readings.

**Results[10]**

Ninety participants (fifty males and forty females) with the mean age of 34 ± 18 SD (years) admitted to the ICU on BG monitoring were studied. One thousand four hundred and seven pairs of BG values from the first and second drops of blood were measured. The details of frequency of absolute difference in BG values from the first and second drops of blood are given in Table 1. Table 2 depicts the descriptive statistics of the BG readings from the first and second drops of blood. Table 3 depicts the limits of agreement. The upper limit of agreement was 33.1 mg/dl and lower limit of agreement was -25.3 mg/dl with the mean difference of 3.9 ± 14.9 SD. 96.7% of the readings were within the limits of agreement. Table 4 shows the mean difference of blood glucose values from the first and second drops
of blood with the selected variables. The patients who had history of diabetes had mean ± SD of blood glucose values 167.5 ± 60.2 and 163.1 ± 59.1 from the first and second drops of blood respectively. The t value is not significant which means that bias was not different in diabetic and non-diabetic patients. Similarly, the patients who were on insulin sliding scale had a mean ± SD of 183.5 ± 54.9 in the first drop of blood and 126.6 ± 35.7 in the second drop of blood. The t test is not significant which means that bias was not different in patients who received insulin or not.

The Bland–Altman plots and the regression analysis of the bias of BG values from the first and second drops of blood are depicted in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 2 depicts that there was a significant increase of bias as the blood sugar values increased ($r = 0.127$, $r^2 = 0.016$, $P < 0.001$).

**Discussion**

On comparing the results of study by Li 802 groups of BG in 526 patients, no significant difference in the BG levels of the first and second drop of blood and venous blood was found. However, after combining then dividing measurements into six groups according to BG concentration, statistically significant differences between the BG levels obtained from the first drop, second drop, and venous blood in the groups containing BG values <9.9 or 20–30 mmol/L. In contrast, there were no significant differences in 10–14.9 or 15–19.9 mmol/L groups.[12]

According to a study conducted by Palese et al., a strong correlation emerged between the BG reported in the first and the second drops (Spearman’s rho test [$r_s$] = 0.979, $P < 0.001$; Pearson $r = 0.978$, $P < 0.001$). The average BG values obtained from the first and second drops were 184.30 mg/dL (median, 166) and 187.6 mg/dL (median, 172), respectively, and thus the second drop showed higher glucose values compared with the first drop. However, BG values of the second drop were not higher in all occasions: Whereas some evaluations reported higher BG values in the second drop capillary sample ($n = 123$), others reported higher values in the first drop ($n = 65$), and still others reported identical measurements in the first and second drops ($n = 7$). Five outliers were present with a BG difference from $-39$ to $-53$ mg/dL in the first drop compared with the second drop, and three outliers were present with a BG difference from $+46$ to $+57$ mg/dL in

![Table 1: Frequency of absolute difference (mg/dl) in readings of blood glucose values from the first and second drops of blood ($n=1407$)](table1.png)

| Difference in blood glucose values | Frequency of difference, $n$ (%) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0-10                              | 1061 (75.4)                     |
| 11-20                             | 244 (17.3)                      |
| 21-30                             | 52 (3.7)                        |
| 31-40                             | 22 (1.6)                        |
| 41-50                             | 12 (0.9)                        |
| >51                               | 16 (1.1)                        |

![Table 2: Blood glucose values (mg/dl) from the first and second drops of blood ($n=1407$)](table2.png)

| Difference in blood glucose values | Frequency of difference, $n$ (%) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0-10                              | 1061 (75.4)                     |
| 11-20                             | 244 (17.3)                      |
| 21-30                             | 52 (3.7)                        |
| 31-40                             | 22 (1.6)                        |
| 41-50                             | 12 (0.9)                        |
| >51                               | 16 (1.1)                        |

![Table 3: Bias and limits of agreement in the first and second drops of blood ($n=1407$)](table3.png)

| Blood glucose | Upper limit of agreement (mg/dl) | Lower limit of agreement (mg/dl) | Bias | Percentage of readings in limits of agreement |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------------|
| First drop    |                                  |                                  |      |                                             |
| Second drop   |                                  |                                  |      |                                             |
| Mean±SD       | 147.7±51.77                      | 143.80±51.51                     |      |                                             |
| Minimum-maximum value (mg/dl) | 37-403                           | 40–327                           |      |                                             |

![Figure 1: Bland–Altman plot of the blood glucose values from the first and second drops of blood with the limits of agreement](figure1.png)

![Figure 2: Regression line and scatter plot of the mean difference (bias) and mean](figure2.png)
The first drop compared with the second drop. However, the differences that emerged were not affected by glucose concentration \((P = 0.221)\). This may be because the glucose meter measurements may be subjected to artifacts resulting from technical problems with the glucometers.\(^{[33]}\)

The results of this study can be compared with a similar study conducted by Hortensensus J \textit{et al.} on 123 participants who concluded that the first drop of blood can be used for self-monitored glucose testing but only after washing hands. If washing hands is not possible and hands are visibly soiled or exposed to a sugar-containing product, it is acceptable to use the second drop of blood after wiping away the first drop. The study also found that external pressure (or squeezing) of the finger can lead to unreliable readings as well.\(^{[14]}\)

In the present study, the regression was calculated to determine the effect of BG values on the bias. The \(P < 0.001\) value was significant which means that the bias increased as the BG values increased. The results of this study also revealed that bias did not differ in nondiabetic and diabetic patients \((P = 0.167)\) and patients who received insulin or not \((P = 0.25)\).

The present study was conducted on the ICU patients, where care is taken to keep a sterile and clean environment. The hands are not going to be contaminated with dirt, and the fingers are cleaned with the alcohol swab before measuring BG values. Moreover, using the first drop of blood reduces the unnecessary blood loss in patients as blood sugar values are measured hourly, 2 hourly, or 4 hourly in the ICU. Thus, we can always prefer to use the first drop of blood.

Conclusions

The present study conducted recommends the use of first drop of blood as the results have shown that there is no statistically significant difference in the BG values from the first and second drops of blood.
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