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**ABSTRACT**

Reproductive biology of spiny eel *Macrognathus pancalus* Hamilton, 1822 was studied from the waterbodies of upper Assam, India. Sexual dimorphism was easily distinguished based on their body shape and colouration. Among the 467 fish samples examined, 312 males and 155 females were identified and the Male:Female (M:F) ratio ranged from 1:0.08 in November to 1:2.17 in June with overall sex ratio of 1:0.5. Five maturity stages were identified in a year and percentage distribution of each stage was calculated. The gonadosomatic index (GSI) ranged from 0.42 to 8.08 for females and from 0.33 to 1.25 for males. The length at 50% of the fishes attained maturity (L₅₀) was 9-12 cm in males and at 12-15 cm in females, indicating males matures at moderately smaller size than their female counterparts. Ova diameter ranged from 0.3 to 1.3 mm; absolute fecundity ranged from 765.48 to 1691.96 and relative fecundity from 57.9 to 152.17. *M. pancalus* spawned once in a year with peak spawning between June and August.
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**Introduction**

*Macrognathus pancalus* Hamilton, 1822 commonly known as ‘striped spiny eel’ or ‘barred spiny eel’ is widely distributed in the Ganges and Brahmaputra river basins in India, Bangladesh, Nepal and Pakistan (Talwar and Jhingran, 1991; Froese and Paully, 2006). They generally live in sluggish and shallow waterbodies of plains such as canals, wetlands, ponds as well as inundated fields and also found in streams, rivers and estuaries. The spiny eels are delicious, excellent food fishes and occasionally kept as pets in aquarium and widely accepted in the Asian subcontinent (Nelson, 1994; Narejo *et al*., 2002). Further, spiny eels have gained importance for its ornamental value as a native aquarium fish in India and are being exported to other Asian countries, Europe and America (Tripathi, 2004). The species is economically important and palatable as a table fish and demand for the fish virtually always exceeds its supply, especially in northern and eastern areas of India where people relish live and less bony fish (Narejo *et al*., 2002; Abujam and Biswas, 2011). *M. pancalus* fetches high market price (₹240-260 per kg) particularly in local markets of upper Assam, India especially when sold alive.

It might be mentioned that the population of this spiny eel is rapidly dwindling in the Indian sub-continent, as perceived from decreasing market arrivals, due to habitat alteration and overexploitation (Lakra and Sarkar, 2006). Unregulated exploitation might endanger their wild population in near future. So far, there has been very limited work on the reproductive biology of fishes belonging to the genus *Macrognathus* throughout the world. Swarup *et al.* (1972) studied sexual dimorphism in *M. pancalus* while, Karim and Hossain (1972) investigated on certain aspects of reproductive biology of *M. pancalus* in artificial ponds. Later, Suresh *et al.* (2006) worked out certain aspects of general biology of *M. pancalus* from Ganga River. Abujam and Biswas (2011) studied some aspects of reproductive biology of *Macrognathus aral* from the waterbodies of upper Assam. However, no comprehensive work has so far been done on the reproductive biology of *M. pancalus* from the north-eastern states of India.

In this backdrop, a detailed study on the different aspects of reproductive biology of *M. pancalus* was taken from the waterbodies of upper Assam, India in order to generate baseline information for future propagation through captive breeding programmes.

**Materials and methods**

**Study site and collection of fish specimens**

Specimens of *M. pancalus* were collected from the wetland, Maijan Beel (27°30’ 14.4”N; 94°58’ 04.8” E), about 15 km east of Dibrugarh and Guijan Ghat (27°34’ 39.4”N; 94°19’ 29.60”E) in Tinsukia District, Assam, India between 2010 and 2011 (Fig. 1a, b). The wetland was formed in a former channel of Brahmaputra River (oxbow lake), covering an area of 134 ha and its elevation...
ranged from 86 to 102 m; the maximum and minimum depths being 9 and 3 m respectively. The water body is completely surrounded by tea garden and there is a small connecting channel with the Brahmaputra River. The Guijan Ghat is located at the bank of Brahmaputra River. Altogether, 467 specimens of *M. pancalus* were collected on monthly basis from the sampling sites. The total weight, length, sex and gonadal maturity stages were recorded and then preserved in 10% formalin for further investigations.

**General reproductive biology**

After collection of the specimens from their natural habitat, at least 15-20 specimens were randomly selected every month for studying their gonadal development and related aspects. Before dissecting out the gonads, the length and weight as well as morphological features (colouration) of individual specimens were recorded.

**Sexual dimorphism and sex ratio:** Sexual dimorphism of *M. pancalus* was confirmed following Talwar and Jhingran (1991). The sex ratio was articulated as the proportion of male to the sum of the sampled specimens. Sex ratio was analysed using Chi-square test ($X^2$) following Fisher (1970), assuming that the ratio of male to female in the population to be 1:1.

**Maturity stages:** The seasonal progressions of gonadal maturity stages were determined following the key as outlined by Lelek (1993).

**Gonadosomatic index (GSI):** In order to study the condition of the gonads and estimation of spawning season, the GSI was calculated using the formula (Hopkins, 1979; Biswas, 1993):

$$GSI = \frac{\text{Gonad weight}}{\text{Total body weight}} \times 100$$

**Length at first maturity:** The percentage of mature fish (stage III to V) was examined for each length group of both sexes of the species. The size (in length) at which 50% of the population attains maturity ($L_{m50}$) was calculated as per Hodgkiss and Mann (1978) and it was arrived by plotting the percentage of mature specimens (stage IV and above) against total length of the fish (Biswas, 1993).

**Ova diameter:** Ova diameter measurements were carried out from formalin preserved materials. Random sub-samples were taken from individual ovary (anterior, middle and posterior parts) and subjected to ova diameter measurement using an ocular micrometer. The diameters of ova along whatever axes they lay parallel to the graduation of the micrometer, were measured to ensure random nature of the readings (Biswas, 1993).

**Absolute fecundity (AF):** Ovaries from maturing, mature and ripe specimens (collected just before breeding) were used for determination of fecundity. Sub-sampling of ovaries using weight method of Bagenal and Braum (1978) and Grimes and Huntsman (1980) was done and after drying off the excess moisture with the help of a blotting paper, fecundity was calculated from the counts of ova in sub-samples (randomly) of known weight:

$$F = \frac{nG}{g}$$

where, $F$ = fecundity; $G$ = weight of the ovary; $n$ = number of eggs in sub-sample; $g$ = weight of the sub-sample.

**Relative fecundity (RF):** The relative fecundity was calculated using standard formula of Grimes and Huntsman (1980):

$$\text{Relative fecundity} = \frac{\text{Total no. of eggs in the ovary (AF)}}{\text{Body weight (g)}}$$
The relationship between (a) fecundity and total length; (b) fecundity and body weight and (c) fecundity and ovary weight were calculated and log to log relationship in the form given below (Bagenal, 1978) has been followed:

\[
\log F = \log a + b \log X.
\]

where; \( F \) = fecundity, \( X \) = variable factors like body length, weight and ovary weight, \( 'b' \) = exponential value and \( 'a' \) = constant.

**Spawning period:** The spawning period was determined following the standard procedure of Biswas (1993).

### Results and discussion

#### Sexual dimorphism and sex ratio

*M. pancalus* is a dioecious fish but secondary sex characters are wanting. The sexes cannot easily be recognised externally outside the spawning periods except by dissection. Generally the females are larger to some extent than males of the similar age. Body is eel-like, with a series of separate and tiny strong spines on their back. Body is greenish or olive-green above and yellowish or whitish below. A light press on the abdomen of ripe fish release the whitish milt from males and eggs from females (Fig. 2a, b). Skin of the spiny eel is covered with tiny scales. The male: female sex ratio varied from 1:0.08 (November) to 1:2.17 (June). Among the 467 fish samples studied, 312 males and 155 females were identified indicating the overall M:F ratio as 1:0.5 (Table 1). The monthly sex ratio showed that there was significant deviation from the usual 1:1 ratio (p<0.01). Due to their different size, ecological condition and niche occupied, probably females escape the fishing gear more often and therefore less females were encountered during the catch. Sex ratio indicated dominance of males throughout the year.

Similar observation was recorded by Abujam and Biswas (2011) in *Macrognathus aral*. Sex ratio deviation has also been reported in other fish species (Wu and Kuo, 1993; Ramon and Bailey, 1996). However, Swarup *et al.* (1972), reported a sex ratio of 1:1 in *M. pancalus*.

#### Maturity stages

Five maturity stages were identified in *M. pancalus* (Table 2; Fig. 3, 4) depending on gonad structure, area occupied by gonads in the abdominal cavity and

| Months | Males (no.) | Females (no.) | Sexes pooled (no.) | Sex ratio | Chi-square value |
|--------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Jan.   | 30          | 2             | 32                 | 1:0.7     | 24.5*            |
| Feb.   | 26          | 12            | 38                 | 1:0.46    | 5.16*            |
| Mar.   | 22          | 15            | 37                 | 1:0.68    | 1.32             |
| Apr.   | 21          | 18            | 39                 | 1:0.86    | 0.23             |
| May    | 14          | 23            | 37                 | 1:1.64    | 2.19             |
| Jun.   | 12          | 26            | 38                 | 1:2.17    | 5.16*            |
| Jul.   | 16          | 26            | 42                 | 1:1.63    | 2.38             |
| Aug.   | 24          | 16            | 40                 | 1:0.67    | 1.6              |
| Sep.   | 34          | 5             | 39                 | 1:0.15    | 21.56*           |
| Oct.   | 39          | 4             | 43                 | 1:0.1     | 28.29*           |
| Nov.   | 38          | 3             | 41                 | 1:0.08    | 29.88*           |
| Dec.   | 36          | 5             | 41                 | 1:0.14    | 33.44*           |
| Pooled | 312         | 155           | 467                | 1:0.5     | 52.78*           |

*Significant at p<0.05

**Fig. 2.** Macrognathus pancalus (a) Female, (b) Male

months reveals wide variation in *M. pancalus*. The overall M:F ratio indicated a highly skewed distribution of sexes. The overall sex ratio (pooled) varied significantly from the usual 1:1 ratio (p<0.01). Due to their different size, ecological condition and niche occupied, probably females escape the fishing gear more often and therefore less females were encountered during the catch. Sex ratio indicated dominance of males throughout the year. Similar observation was recorded by Abujam and Biswas (2011) in *Macrognathus aral*. Sex ratio deviation has also been reported in other fish species (Wu and Kuo, 1993; Ramon and Bailey, 1996). However, Swarup *et al.* (1972), reported a sex ratio of 1:1 in *M. pancalus*. 

### Table 1. Monthly variation in sex ratio (M: F) of *M. pancalus*

| Months | Males (no.) | Females (no.) | Sexes pooled (no.) | Sex ratio | Chi-square value |
|--------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Jan.   | 30          | 2             | 32                 | 1:0.7     | 24.5*            |
| Feb.   | 26          | 12            | 38                 | 1:0.46    | 5.16*            |
| Mar.   | 22          | 15            | 37                 | 1:0.68    | 1.32             |
| Apr.   | 21          | 18            | 39                 | 1:0.86    | 0.23             |
| May    | 14          | 23            | 37                 | 1:1.64    | 2.19             |
| Jun.   | 12          | 26            | 38                 | 1:2.17    | 5.16*            |
| Jul.   | 16          | 26            | 42                 | 1:1.63    | 2.38             |
| Aug.   | 24          | 16            | 40                 | 1:0.67    | 1.6              |
| Sep.   | 34          | 5             | 39                 | 1:0.15    | 21.56*           |
| Oct.   | 39          | 4             | 43                 | 1:0.1     | 28.29*           |
| Nov.   | 38          | 3             | 41                 | 1:0.08    | 29.88*           |
| Dec.   | 36          | 5             | 41                 | 1:0.14    | 33.44*           |
| Pooled | 312         | 155           | 467                | 1:0.5     | 52.78*           |

*Significant at p<0.05
diameter of unspawned eggs. It was observed that most of the stages occurred throughout the year. The monthly percentage distribution of various maturity stages in males and females are given in Table 3. Immature females (70.5%) occurred during February to March while maturing females (44.81%) were encountered from March to June. Further, mature females (40.8%) were available from April to August and ripe females (34.14%) were recorded from July to August. Immature (26.7%) and maturing (54.69%) males were observed from January/

### Table 2. Gonadal maturity stages in *M. pancalus*

| Maturity stages | Ovary | Testes |
|-----------------|-------|--------|
| Stage I (Immature) | Small, thin, occupy 1/4th of the body cavity, thick ribbon shaped, light pink in colour, opaque, transparent. Ova are not distinct to the naked eyes | Very small, thin, slender, transparent to colourless, threadlike, closely adherent to dorsal wall of the abdominal cavity |
| Stage II (Maturing) | Occupy half of the body cavity and broader than stage I, thick ribbon shaped with dark pink or red colour and granular appearance | Translucent, wider than stage I, silvery, filling half dorsal ventral cavity |
| Stage III (Mature) | Occupy 3/4th of the body cavity, much broader than stage I and II; shape rounded, colour dark orange/pale yellow with conspicuous superficial blood vessels; ova large, opaque and visible to the naked eyes | Opaque, enlarged, firm, drops of milt may fall under pressure and dull white in colour |
| Stage IV (Ripe) | Occupy the entire body cavity; eggs completely rounded, laden with yolk and spherical; orange/yellow coloured; ovarian wall very thin and translucent | Soft, milt ran with slight pressure |
| Stage V (Spent) | Ovaries flabby, shrunken, further contracted, appear like wrinkled, larger eggs absent | Very thin, slightly less rigid, flabby, reddish with blood capillaries |

Fig. 3. Maturity stages of testes in *M. pancalus*. (a) stage I, (b) stage II, (c) stage III, (d) stage IV

Fig. 4. Maturity stages of ovary in *M. pancalus*. (a) stage I, (b) stage II, (c) stage III, (d) stage IV, (e) stage V
Fully mature and ripe ovary of *M. pancalus* occupies nearly whole of the body cavity and both the lobes of gonads are slightly unequal in their length. The gonads appear as a pair of elongated strap lying in the body cavity one on each side of the intestine. Mature ovaries contain large and orange coloured eggs. Qasim (1973) opined that the classification of gonads should be limited to about 5 maturity stages in tropical and subtropical fishes. Nautiyal and Lal (1985) also described five maturity stages (I-V) in riverine and reservoir fish populations. Morphologically, immature testes did not differ much from the immature ovaries either in shape or size except that they were cream coloured. The testes, however, do not occupy the body cavity as much as the ovaries. The mature testes, unlike mature ovaries, were fringed and filled with milky seminal fluid.

A perusal of the data on the occurrence of maturity stages revealed that most of the stages are represented throughout the year. Immature male for *M. pancalus*, were available during January to May and again reappeared from October onwards while immature females were recorded from February to May. Maturing males were also observed from January to June while maturing females were noticed from February to June. Number of maturing fishes dropped down from July onwards in both the sexes. Highest percentage occurrence for mature males and females were available during March to August while ripe males and females were encountered during June to August/September. Further, occurrence of mature fishes declined from September while, ripe fishes were not observed from October to May. It appears that *M. pancalus* breeds/spawns from June to September. This is further supported by higher occurrence of spent females and males during September to January. Thus, it could be inferred that the spawning was over by September.

### Table 3. Percentage occurrence of different maturity stages in males and females of *M. pancalus*

| Month | No. of males examined | Maturity stages | No. of females examined | Maturity stages |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Jan   | 30                    | 33.33 36.67 - - | 20.0                    | 30.0            |
| Feb   | 26                    | 26.92 53.85 - - | 19.23                   | 12              |
| Mar   | 22                    | 22.72 63.64 13.64 - | 15                   | 66.0            |
| Apr   | 21                    | 23.81 57.14 19.05 - | 18 | 11.11 |
| May   | 14                    | 14.29 57.14 28.57 - | 23 | 8.7    |
| Jun   | 12                    | 8.33 41.67 33.33 16.67 - | 26 | 50.0     |
| Jul   | 16                    | - 12.5 56.25 31.25 - | 26 | 19.23   |
| Aug   | 24                    | - 20.84 37.5 33.33 8.33 | 16 | 18.75 31.25 37.5 12.5 |
| Sep   | 34                    | - 29.41 11.76 - | 58.83 | 5       |
| Oct   | 39                    | 17.95 - - - 82.05 | 4 | - 25.0   |
| Nov   | 38                    | 15.79 - - - 84.21 | 3 | - - -   |
| Dec   | 36                    | 16.67 11.11 - - | 72.22 | 5       |

### Table 4. Monthly mean variations in gonadosomatic index (GSI) in *M. pancalus* (Mean±S.D.)

| Month | Male | Female |
|-------|------|--------|
| Jan   | 0.56 (±0.22) | 0.42 (±0.05) |
| Feb   | 0.64 (±0.21) | 1.82 (±0.66) |
| Mar   | 0.89 (±0.49) | 1.49 (±0.76) |
| Apr   | 1.03 (±0.50) | 7.15 (±2.79) |
| May   | 1.25 (±0.57) | 5.97 (±2.04) |
| Jun   | 0.87 (±0.31) | 8.08 (±2.85) |
| Jul   | 1.21 (±0.24) | 7.75 (±2.24) |
| Aug   | 0.51 (±0.14) | 6.87 (±2.2) |
| Sep   | 0.38 (±0.14) | 5.90 (±2.35) |
| Oct   | 0.33 (±0.12) | 4.67 (±1.54) |
| Nov   | 0.45 (±0.17) | 0.97 (±0.09) |
| Dec   | 0.49 (±0.19) | 0.68 (±0.08) |

### Table 5. Variations in GSI during different maturity stage of *M. pancalus* (mean±S.D.)

| Maturity stage | Male | Female |
|----------------|------|--------|
| Immature (Stage I) | 0.53 (±2.04) | 1.48 (±0.48) |
| Maturing (Stage II) | 0.62 (±0.29) | 6.66 (±2.72) |
| Mature (Stage III) | 1.17 (±0.39) | 7.91 (±2.34) |
| Ripe (Stage IV) | 0.73 (±0.31) | 9.36 (±2.34) |
| Spent (Stage V) | 0.34 (±0.13) | 0.79 (±0.37) |

**Gonadosomatic index (GSI)**

The monthly average variation in gonadosomatic index (GSI) in both sexes of *M. pancalus* is given in Table 4. The GSI for females ranged from 0.42±0.05 to 8.08±2.85 during January and June respectively. Similarly, for males it varied between 0.34±0.39 and 1.25±0.57 during October and May. With respect to maturity stages, the highest (7.91±2.34) and lowest (0.79±0.37) GSI values for females was found in ripe and spent stages while in case of males, the highest (1.17±0.39) and lowest (0.34±0.39) values were recorded in ripe and spent stages respectively (Table 5).
In the present study, the higher GSI values for males were observed during March-July/August (with peak in May) which subsequently decreased from September onwards and became very low in October/November. In case of females, GSI increased from February/March to September with peak in June, which was coinciding with the peak breeding season and indicating commencement of active breeding during May to August. This indicates a prolonged breeding season which started decreasing from October onwards. The observation was similar with those of Islam (2004), Vinci et al. (2005) and Annappaswamy et al. (2008) in different fish species.

The highest and lowest GSI values for females and males were recorded in ripe (stage IV) and spent (stage V) stages. The GSI increases with maturity of gonads in both sexes of the species. Abujam and Biswas (2011) also observed that GSI increases with maturity of fish, with the the highest values observed during the period of peak breeding which abruptly decreases thereafter, during the spent stage. Komolafe and Arawomo (2007) also reported higher GSI values in ripe stages of Oreochromis niloticus and the GSI values decreased during spent stage. The highest GSI in ripe stage suggests that the ovary has maximum percentage of yolk during this stage. The GSI values of males were always lower than those of the females of M. pancalus. After spawning period, there were only fewer or no eggs in the female gonads. The GSI values later increased further in immature stages, giving indication of continuity of maturing process in the species. The results indicate that M. pancalus spawns once in a year.

**Length at first maturity and Lm<sub>50</sub> determination**

As far as size at first maturity and length at 50% of the fishes attain maturity (Lm<sub>50</sub>) determination was concerned, both males and females in stage III - IV of maturation were considered as mature (Raje, 2006). The percentages of immature, maturing, mature and ripe gonads for each length group of M. pancalus were calculated separately (Table 6). The results indicated that 100% males were immature in 6.0-9.0 cm length group; and in the in the 9-12 cm length group, 30.82% of maturing, 50.31% of mature/ripe males and 62.79% immature and 37.21% maturing females were observed. In 12-15 cm size group, maturing (30.66%) and mature/ripe (65.46%) males, whereas maturing (40.78%) and mature/ripe (53.87%) females were observed. Similarly, in 15-18 cm group, mature/ripe males (90.0%) and females (84.57%) were found while 100% mature/ripe males and females were observed in 18-21 cm length group. The data suggest that 50% of the fishes attained maturity (Lm<sub>50</sub>) in the length group of 9-12 cm in males and 12-15 cm in females, indicating that males mature at smaller size than their female counterparts (Fig. 5). The calculated mean size at first maturity was 10.5 cm for males and 13.5 cm for females.

Present findings show slight deviation from the observations made by Suresh et al. (2006) who reported that in M. pancalus males attained 50% maturity at 10-11 cm and females at 11-12 cm. The difference could

### Table 6. Percentage of different maturity stages in different length groups of M. pancalus

| Standard length group (cm) | Sex | Immature % (Stage I) | Maturing % (Stage II) | Mature/Ripe % (Stage III&IV) |
|---------------------------|-----|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 6-9                       | Male| 100                  | -                     | -                             |
|                           | Female| -                   | -                     | -                             |
| 9-12                      | Male| 18.87                | 30.82                 | 50.31                         |
|                           | Female| 62.79               | 37.21                 | -                             |
| 12-15                     | Male| 3.88                 | 30.66                 | 65.46                         |
|                           | Female| 5.35                | 40.78                 | 53.87                         |
| 15-18                     | Male| -                    | 10.0                  | 90.0                          |
|                           | Female| -                   | 15.43                 | 84.57                         |
| 18-21                     | Male| -                    | -                     | 100                           |
|                           | Female| -                   | -                     | 100                           |

![Fig. 5. Length at 50% of the fishes attain maturity (Lm<sub>50</sub>) in M. pancalus](image-url)
be attributed to different climatic conditions that exist in Ganga and Brahmaputra basins. There may also be a delay in attaining sexual maturity at higher mean sea levels for this species. A close relationship between maturity and length of the fish has been reported by El-Halfawy (2004); Sahayak (2005) and Al-Nahdi et al. (2010). From the observations on length at maturity, it was evident that males mature at small length size than their female counterparts of *M. pancalus*.

**Ova diameter**

The monthly progression of mean ova diameter of *M. pancalus* is shown in Table 7. Ovary of maturing females was light orange in colour while the eggs of ripe fish were large yellowish/light greenish in colour. The ova diameter gradually increased from 0.3 mm (February) to 1.3 mm (June-August). Immature ova were having diameter of 0.3-0.6 mm and were mostly found from February to May. Maturing ova (0.5-0.9 mm) and mature ova (0.7-1.1 mm) were mostly encountered between March and October while ripe ova (8-1.3 mm) between May and August. The mature and ripe ova of spiny eels are round or spherical and uniform in diameter, indicating that the eggs shed in a single batch during the peak spawning period from May to July. The fully spent ovary had only a few immature ova left and this stage was mostly encountered from October onwards till February.

The ova diameter progressively increased between February/March and August/September. Occurrence of larger sized ova indicated that peak breeding took place during May to August. Similar observations were also reported in Macrognathus aculeatus (Nabi and Hussain, 1996) and in *M. pancalus* (Suresh et al., 2006). Occurrence of maturing and mature ova progressively reduced from October onwards and these stages were absolutely absent from November to February. Further, it was also observed that the fish has probably a longer spawning period, extending from March to September.

**Fecundity.**

The absolute fecundity ranged from 765.48±231.68 (March) to 1691.96±932.1 (August) and relative fecundity from 57.9±11.8 (February) to 152.17±44.73 (July) (Table 8). The highest fecundity (1691.96) was found from a fish measuring 14.54±2.58 cm in length and 14.18±5.75 g in weight and lowest (765.48) from a fish measuring 11.65±1.32 cm in length and 5.70±1.45 g in weight.

The trends of relationships between fecundity and length of the fish, between fecundity and weight of the fish and between fecundity and weight of ovary have been examined (Fig. 6a-c). In *M. pancalus* the relationship between fecundity (F) and total length (L), body weight (W) and ovary weight (OW) were derived as follows:

\[
\log F = 1.91 + 0.962 \log L \quad (r = 0.252)
\]

\[
\log F = 2.57 + 0.425 \log W \quad (r = 0.415)
\]

\[
\log F = 3.12 + 0.318 \log OW \quad (r = 0.781)
\]

| Month  | Range of OD | Mean OD |
|--------|-------------|---------|
| January | -           | -       |
| February| 0.3-0.9     | 0.60±0.13 |
| March   | 0.4-1       | 0.70±0.14 |
| April   | 0.5-1.2     | 0.85±0.12 |
| May     | 0.5-1.2     | 0.85±0.13 |
| June    | 0.5-1.3     | 0.90±0.12 |
| July    | 0.6-1.3     | 0.95±0.14 |
| August  | 0.6-1.3     | 0.95±0.13 |
| September| 0.5-1       | 0.80±0.04 |
| October | 0.5-1       | 0.75±0.07 |
| November| -           | -       |
| December| -           | -       |

Table 8. Monthly variations in fecundity of *M. pancalus* (mean±S.D.)

| Months | Mean body length (cm) | Mean body weight (g) | Mean ovary weight (g) | Mean absolute fecundity | Mean relative fecundity |
|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Jan    | 12.80±0.99            | 7.68±1.20            | 0.01                  | Spent                   | -                       |
| Feb    | 13.14±1.03            | 14.89±3.66           | 0.29±0.17             | 845.2±198.78            | 57.9±11.8               |
| Mar    | 11.65±1.32            | 5.70±1.45            | 0.10±0.03             | 765.48±231.68           | 116.23±11.53            |
| Apr    | 12.11±1.51            | 7.54±2.70            | 0.55±0.32             | 1146.47±750.3           | 151.58±62.49            |
| May    | 13.63±1.37            | 9.52±2.73            | 0.53±0.23             | 1032.2±364.23           | 117.97±50.48            |
| Jun    | 12.91±1.70            | 7.73±2.03            | 0.62±0.36             | 819.43±434.43           | 111.93±41.15            |
| Jul    | 13.38±2.18            | 10.70±3.90           | 0.84±0.54             | 1427.68±411.91          | 152.17±44.73            |
| Aug    | 15.54±2.58            | 14.18±5.75           | 0.93±0.69             | 1691.96±932.1           | 138.41±68.31            |
| Sep    | 13.12±2.47            | 8.92±3.59            | 0.28±0.14             | 682.50±100.12           | 141.08±19.30            |
| Oct    | 13.78±1.53            | 10.59±3.09           | 0.15±0.11             | 750.0±105.71            | 83.59±27.99             |
| Nov    | 13.07±0.51            | 9.08±1.04            | 0.03±0.01             | Spent                   | -                       |
| Dec    | 13.68±0.41            | 9.87±1.37            | 0.06±0.01             | spent                   | -                       |
Fecundity is one of the important indicators of reproductive potential of the species. Estimation of fecundity is a prerequisite not only in assessing the stock and life history of the species, but also in developing successful breeding programmes (Hyndes et al., 1992; Pankhurst, 1998). In the present study, the low fecundity observed could be attributed to prolonged breeding season or parental care. Similar findings were also reported in *Macrognathus aral* (Abujam and Biswas, 2011).

The fecundity of a species depends on size of eggs and high fecundity is often correlated with small egg size and vice versa (Rath, 2000). Higher fecundity in *M. pancerus* was observed in larger specimens, with fecundity increasing with an increase in length and weight of fish. The observations of the present study are quite similar to the findings by Narejo et al. (2002) in *M. armatus* and Suresh et al. (2006) in *M. pancerus*. Fecundity was moderately high during April/May to August/September in the species. Variations in fecundity are very common in fishes and the number of ova or eggs spawned by an individual female of the fish is dependent on various factors like size, age, species and their ecological habitats including food availability (Fagade et al., 1984; Moyle and Cech, 2000; Annappaswamy et al., 2008). The estimation of relative fecundity aids in reducing the influence of body size on fecundity of fish, allowing comparison among individuals of different sizes.

In the present study, a straight line relationship for fecundity with body weight, body length and ovary weight was recorded. Further, it reveals that fecundity-body weight and fecundity-body length provides a better relationship as compared to fecundity-ovary weight. Pathak and Jhingran (1977) also reported a straight line relationship between fecundity-total length and between fecundity-total fish weight in *Labeo calbasu* while Panek and Coefield (1978) reported a straight line relationship for log of fecundity-length and fecundity-weight in *Lepomis* sp., Similar findings were also reported in *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Das et al., 1989), *Clarius batrachus* (Faruq et al., 1996) and in *Gudusia chapra* (Kahir et al., 1998).

In the present study, correlation coefficient (r) indicated a close relationship between fecundity and ovary weight than fecundity-body weight and fecundity-body length. Low ‘r’ values have also been reported in length-fecundity and weight-fecundity relationships in hilsa of Indus River (Islam and Talbot, 1968) and in triggerfish *Sufflamen fraenatus* (Sahayak, 2005).
Spawning season

Morphological changes in the gonads of both sexes, development of the ova and the increase/decrease in GSI values were indicators of spawning season (Fig. 7). Spawning of *M. pancalus* occurs once a year from sexual maturity onwards and all mature eggs or ova were released during the spawning season. Mature and ripe ova were encountered in the species during May and August. Further, the gonads abruptly reduced in size and finally shrunk from September/October onwards. This also indicated that spawning completed by October. GSI also abruptly declined thereafter in spent fish during October to January. GSI increased from March to August with peak in June and GSI remained relatively low during winter until February and then increased gradually. It may be inferred that *M. pancalus* has a prolonged breeding season extending from May to August and even continued upto September. It was clearly observed that spiny eel spawned once in a year with one peak spawning during May to August.

Nabi and Hossain (1996) also observed single spawning season from May to July for *M. aculeatus*. Al Mukhtar et al. (2006) pointed out that increase in body weight could be correlated with increase in gonadal size and higher GSI, closer to spawning periods.

Results of the study clearly indicated a prolonged breeding season for *M. pancalus* spanning between May and September. The fecundity further, reveals that the species is moderately fecund and spawns once in a year with a peak spawning during June to August. The information generated is expected to provide a positive tool for the future domestication, artificial propagation and conservation of *M. pancalus*.
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