Background & objectives: Genomic constitution of the bacterium *Legionella pneumophila* plays an important role in providing them a pathogenic potential. Here, we report the standardization and application of multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of molecular markers of pathogenic potential in *L. pneumophila* in hospital environment.

Methods: Culture of the standard strains of *L. pneumophila* was performed in buffered charcoal-yeast extract agar with L-cysteine at pH 6.9. Primers were designed for multiplex PCR, and standardization for the detection of five markers annotated to *L. pneumophila* plasmid pLPP (11A2), lipopolysaccharide synthesis (19H4), CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid synthetase (10B12), conjugative coupling factor (24B1) and hypothetical protein (8D6) was done. A total of 195 water samples and 200 swabs were collected from the hospital environment. The bacterium was isolated from the hospital environment by culture and confirmed by 16S rRNA gene PCR and restriction enzyme analysis. A total of 45 *L. pneumophila* isolates were studied using the standardized multiplex PCR.

Results: The PCR was sensitive to detect 0.1 ng/µl DNA and specific for the two standard strains used in the study. Of the 45 hospital isolates tested, 11 isolates had four markers, 12 isolates had three markers, 10 isolates had two markers, nine isolates had one marker and three isolates had none of the markers. None of the isolates had all the five markers.

Interpretation & conclusions: The findings of this study showed the presence of gene markers of pathogenic potential of the bacterium *L. pneumophila*. However, the genomic constitution of the environmental isolates should be correlated with clinical isolates to prove their pathogenic potential. Rapid diagnostic methods such as multiplex PCR reported here, for elucidating gene markers, could help in future epidemiological studies of bacterium *L. pneumophila*.

Key words Hospital infection control - *Legionella pneumophila* - multiplex polymerase chain reaction
the context of preventing hospital-acquired infection\(^6,7\). In 2010, a group from the Netherlands reported five gene markers highly correlated with clinical strains of \textit{L. pneumophila}, annotated to \textit{L. pneumophila} plasmid pLPP (11A2), lipopolysaccharide synthesis (19H4), CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid synthetase (10B12), conjugal coupling factor (24B1) and hypothetical protein (8D6)\(^8\). This study was undertaken with the objective of finding \textit{L. pneumophila} in our hospital environment and to know the distribution of genes which are considered to have pathogenic potential. We report here the development of a multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of these five gene markers and its application in our hospital environmental isolates of \textit{L. pneumophila}.

**Material & Methods**

This study was conducted in the department of Microbiology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India during 2012-2015. The study was approved by the Institutional Bio-Safety Committee (water and swab samples - 200 each approved for collection). No human subjects and/or animals were involved in this study.

**Culture and DNA extraction:** The standard strains ATCC 33152 \textit{L. pneumophila} strain Philadelphia-1 and ATCC 33153 \textit{L. pneumophila} strain Knoxville were grown on buffered charcoal-yeast extract agar (BD-BBL, France) supplemented with 4 per cent L-cysteine-HCl (HiMedia laboratories, Mumbai) in a candle jar incubated at 37°C for three days. Gram stain was done and blood agar was used as a negative control plate. DNA was extracted from the colonies by the boiling method. Briefly, colonies were boiled in sterile distilled water for 10 min and centrifuged at 10,000 × g to get the supernatant having the DNA.

**PCR analysis and restriction enzyme analysis (REA):** PCR detection of the 16S rRNA gene and REA were used for identification and confirmation of \textit{L. pneumophila} standard strains and environmental isolates\(^9\). The restriction enzyme Taal (HpyCH4III endonuclease, Thermo-Scientific Ltd., USA) was used for the differentiation of \textit{L. pneumophila} and non-pneumophila \textit{Legionella} species. Briefly, 15 µl of PCR product was digested with \(\times 10\) buffer Tango, 1U Taal enzyme and adjusted with sterile water to final volume of 60 µl. The reaction was carried out in a water bath at 65°C for one hour and 20 µl of digested products were checked using 1.5 per cent agarose gel electrophoresis.

**Multiplex PCR, sensitivity and specificity:** Standardization of multiplex PCR for detection of five markers annotated to \textit{L. pneumophila} plasmid pLPP (11A2), lipopolysaccharide synthesis (19H4), CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid synthetase (10B12), conjugal coupling factor (24B1) and hypothetical protein (8D6) was done using the available gene sequences (Acc.nos. HM584933-HM584937). Primers (Table I) were selected and designed using NCBI Primer BLAST programme, and MPrimer design software\(^10\) was used for multiplex PCR primer design. The primers were synthesized commercially (Sigma, USA) and the DNA from both the standard strains were used for the multiplex PCR. Briefly, each reaction mix contained 5 µl of DNA, 5 µl of \(\times 10\) PCR buffer (Bangalore Genei, India), 1.5 µl of 10 mmol dNTP

| Marker Identity | Forward/Reverse Primer | Expected product size (bp) | Amplified product (bp) |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 11A2            | 5'-GGTGCCATCGGAATGATAACCGCTCC-3' 5'-AGCCGCGACCTCTTTTCTTGGGA-3' | 355 | ~200/355 |
| 19H4            | 5'-ACGCCTCTTTTGCTGAACCAGAG-3' 5'-CAGCACCAACATCCCGTGCA-3' | 696 | 696 |
| 10B12           | 5'-GCTGGCCAGGAGTGGTGATAGGTGA-3' 5'-GCCAGGGTACTGAAGCCCCAT-3' | 550 | 550 |
| 24B1            | 5'-AAAGCGGCTGGGACGGTGGTTTCC-3' 5'-TTGCCATGGGTTGCTTGTTG-3' | 236 | 236 |
| 8D6             | 5'-ATGCTGGTGGAGGCTCATCGCAGG-3' 5'-TCGCCCTTAAACCCAGTCAGCACC-3' | 102 | 102 |
mix (Thermo Scientific), 0.5 µl of 10 pmol/µl each of five sets of primer, 0.5 µl of 3 U/µl Taq polymerase (Bangalore Genei) and sterile water adjusted to a final volume of 50 µl. The reaction was carried out in a thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, USA) with the thermal programme having initial activation of 94°C for five minutes, 30 cycles of 94°C, 56°C, 72°C for 30 sec each and final extension of 72°C for 10 min. The primer pairs were checked individually for their sensitivity using various dilutions of standard strains' DNA and specificity with DNA isolated from various bacteria. The individual PCR products were purified using QIAquick Gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Germany) and sequenced commercially to confirm their identity.

Hospital environmental sample collection and processing: A total of 145 water samples (both potable drinking water and non-potable water used for bathing, washing, gardening, cooling tower water) and 200 swab samples (pre-water collection) were collected and processed from July 2012 to May 2014. The samples were collected from distal outlets and from AC cooling towers (basin beneath the tower). Temperatures of the samples during the collection were also noted using a mercury thermometer (Zeal, England). The samples were treated with 0.1 N sodium thiosulphate and filtered with 0.22 µm mixed cellulose ester filters (Millipore, Germany). The filtrate was subjected to acid and thermal treatment and processed further for bacteriologic examination (Fig. 1).

**Multiplex PCR of environmental isolates:** The multiplex PCR for five markers was applied as mentioned above to randomly chosen 45 hospital environmental isolates of *L. pneumophila* and one non-*pneumophila* Legionella species. Electrophoresis of the products was done in 1.5 per cent agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide, visualized under UV light and gel documentation system (Gel Doc EZ imager, Bio-Rad, USA). The presence or absence of the band was compared with the bands of standard strain ATCC 33153.

**Results**

**Culture, PCR and REA confirmation:** The culture of standard strains showed characteristic ground glass appearance. These were Gram-negative bacilli and no

---

**Fig. 1.** Flowchart showing processing of water samples. BCYE-GVPC, buffered charcoal-yeast extract with Glycine, Vancomycin, Polymyxin B, and Cycloheximide; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; REA, restriction enzyme analysis.
growth was seen in blood agar. The 16S rRNA gene PCR showed 226 bp product which was digested into 180 bp and 46 bp by Taal restriction enzyme to confirm it as \textit{L. pneumophila} (Fig. 2).

\textit{Multiplex PCR, sensitivity and specificity}: The individual PCR for the markers showed expected product size, except for 11A2 marker. The PCR was found to be sensitive (Fig. 3A) to detect about 0.1 ng/µl of DNA and specific (Fig. 3B) for \textit{L. pneumophila} standard strains used in the study. Both the ATCC standard strains of \textit{L. pneumophila} used in the study showed a product at 200 bp region for marker 11A2. The ATCC strain 33153 showed the presence of all the five markers, whereas the marker 24B1 was not amplified in ATCC 33152. Sequencing of the gel-purified PCR products of ATCC strain 33153 showed 98-100 per cent identity with the GenBank sequences (\url{https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide}) for the markers 19H4, 10B12, 24B1 and 8D6. The sequencing of marker 11A2 (pLPP) (~200 bp in our study) showed no significant similarity with \textit{L. pneumophila} marker 11A2 available in the database (Gen bank Acc. no. HM584934). For marker 11A2, based on BLAST search (\url{https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi}) with the available database, it was found that 95-98 per cent identity was seen with other \textit{L. pneumophila} strains such as strain thunder bay, ATCC 43290, 2300/99 Alcoy, strain Corby, strain Philadelphia and strain Paris annotating to HelA protein, cobalt/zinc/cadmium efflux RND transporter and HME protein importer family proteins.

\textit{Culture, PCR-REA and multiplex PCR of environmental isolates}: In a span of 22 months, the bacterium was isolated on 30 instances (21 instances by water samples only and nine instances by swabs samples). On 29 instances, \textit{L. pneumophila} was isolated, and on one instance, non-\textit{L. pneumophila} species was isolated as confirmed by PCR and REA. Isolation of the bacterium was seen in samples with temperature as low as 13°C to as high as 47°C. The bacterium was isolated in 21.5 and 6.3 per cent of non-potable and potable drinking water, respectively.

Of the 45 hospital environmental isolates of \textit{L. pneumophila}, 11 isolates had four markers, 12 isolates had three markers, 10 isolates had two markers, nine isolates had one marker and three isolates had none of the markers.

Discussion

For epidemiological purposes, sequence-based typing scheme of \textit{Legionella} isolates involving seven genes is followed worldwide\textsuperscript{12}. Identification of genomic markers of pathogenic potential on \textit{Legionella} bacterium is new.

The marker 24B1 (66.7\%) was the most common in our isolates, followed by 11A2 (55.6\%), 10B12 (48.9\%), 8D6 (37.8\%) and 19H4 (33.3\%). The standard Philadelphia strain did not show the marker 24B1. This means it is not necessary that the entire five markers be present together to give the strain a pathogenic potential. It is to be noted that the markers 24B1 and 11A2 are genes annotated to conjugative coupling factor and a plasmid, respectively, which may have a potential role in the horizontal gene transfer of the bacterium\textsuperscript{13}. It is intriguing to note from our findings that in nine isolates where only one marker was found, seven isolates had 24B1 and two isolates showed 11A2 alone.
All the markers showed expected product size with the standard strains used in the study except the marker 11A2. Of the 45 *L. pneumophila* isolates studied, 25 isolates showed the presence of 11A2 marker.
### Table II. Analysis of 45 hospital environmental isolates of *Legionella pneumophila* using multiplex PCR

| Month/yr | Isolate | Marker identity | 11A2 | 19H4 | 10B12 | 24B1 | 8D6 | Total |
|----------|---------|----------------|------|------|-------|------|-----|-------|
| Jul 2012 | 1       | 15S            | +    | +    | +     | -    | -   | 4     |
|          | 2       | 16S            | -    | -    | +     | +    | +   | 3     |
| Aug 2012 | 3       | 9A             | +    | -    | -     | -    | -   | 1     |
|          | 4       | 9B             | +    | +    | -     | -    | -   | 4     |
|          | 5       | 9C             | +    | -    | -     | +    | +   | 3     |
|          | 6       | 11A            | -    | -    | -     | +    | -   | 1     |
|          | 7       | 11B            | -    | -    | +     | -    | -   | 1     |
|          | 8       | 15A            | +    | -    | -     | +    | +   | 3     |
|          | 9       | 15B            | +    | +    | +     | -    | -   | 4     |
|          | 10      | 15C            | -    | -    | +     | -    | -   | 1     |
|          | 11      | 16A            | -    | -    | +     | -    | +   | 2     |
|          | 12      | 20C            | -    | -    | +     | +    | +   | 3     |
| Jul 2013 | 13      | 2S             | -    | +    | +     | -    | +   | 3     |
|          | 14      | 4S             | +    | +    | +     | -    | +   | 4     |
|          | 15      | 8S             | +    | +    | +     | -    | -   | 4     |
|          | 16      | 9S             | +    | +    | +     | -    | -   | 4     |
|          | 17      | 11S            | -    | -    | -     | +    | -   | 1     |
|          | 18      | 16S            | +    | +    | +     | +    | -   | 4     |
| Aug 2013 | 19      | 8B             | -    | -    | -     | -    | -   | 0     |
|          | 20      | 8C             | +    | +    | +     | -    | -   | 3     |
|          | 21      | 9B             | +    | -    | -     | +    | -   | 2     |
|          | 22      | 9C             | +    | -    | -     | +    | +   | 3     |
|          | 23      | 11A            | +    | +    | +     | -    | -   | 3     |
| Oct 2013 | 24      | 11B            | +    | -    | -     | +    | -   | 2     |
|          | 25      | 15C            | +    | -    | -     | +    | -   | 2     |
|          | 26      | 19B            | +    | -    | -     | -    | -   | 1     |
|          | 27      | 2B             | +    | +    | +     | -    | -   | 3     |
| Jan 2014 | 28      | 5B             | -    | -    | +     | +    | +   | 3     |
|          | 29      | 11A            | +    | -    | +     | -    | -   | 2     |
|          | 30      | 11B            | -    | -    | -     | -    | -   | 0     |
|          | 31      | 6B             | +    | +    | +     | -    | +   | 4     |
| Oct 2013 | 32      | 6C             | +    | +    | +     | -    | +   | 4     |
|          | 33      | 7A             | -    | -    | -     | -    | -   | 0     |
|          | 34      | 7C             | +    | -    | -     | +    | -   | 2     |
|          | 35      | 12B            | +    | +    | +     | -    | -   | 3     |
| Oct 2013 | 36      | 15B            | -    | -    | -     | +    | -   | 1     |
|          | 37      | 15C            | -    | -    | +     | +    | +   | 2     |
| May 2014 | 38      | 11B            | -    | -    | -     | +    | +   | 2     |
|          | 39      | 11C            | -    | -    | -     | +    | -   | 1     |
|          | 40      | 15A            | -    | -    | -     | +    | +   | 2     |
|          | 41      | 15B            | -    | -    | -     | +    | -   | 1     |
|          | 42      | 15C            | -    | -    | +     | +    | +   | 2     |

Contd...
(19 isolates showed an expected product size of 355 bp and six isolates showed a band in 200 bp region similar to the standard strain). This particular marker 11A2 is annotated to the plasmid of P00 (Paris genome). The presence of an expected product for this plasmid in our isolates showed that this plasmid might not be specific to the Paris strain alone. Moreover, the presence of 11A2 marker in one non- L. pneumophila species isolated from our hospital showed that this plasmid was not specific for L. pneumophila but could be diversely present with other Legionella species also. Detailed studies for the presence of this plasmid as well as other markers in various Legionella species collected worldwide could give a better understanding of their ecological prevalence.

It is not necessary that the presence of these five markers in our isolates has proven their pathogenic potential because the ecological entities of continents are different. It is to be noted that the markers studied here may be applicable only to the European continent, especially in the Netherlands, for prediction, since genetic differences of the bacterium in different geographical areas have been documented. Moreover, detailed mixed genome microarray studies have predicted different sets of markers in Dutch and French strains of L. pneumophila.
A repository of gene markers identified for specific geographical areas may help in epidemiological studies.

It is generally accepted that when 30 per cent or more of the water samples show Legionella growth, the system can be considered as contaminated\(^{17}\). In our study, the presence of \(L.\) pneumophila was observed in 15.4 per cent of the hospital water distribution systems. Apart from the distal outlets, the bacterium was isolated on more than three instances from two AC towers installed in the hospital. The cooling towers were cleaned and fresh water was infused and flushed out twice without chemical dosing. Water samples collected from these sites on April 2015 after cleaning turned out to be negative for the bacterium when tested by culture. However, in May 2015, cultures from the sites turned positive again showing that routine cleaning and monitoring is necessary to control the bacterium at least for tertiary care centres.

The first report of Legionella isolation in India showed culture positivity in nine per cent of clinical samples and 76 per cent of environmental samples\(^{18}\). Our earlier studies showed a seroprevalence of about 15 per cent (IgM antibodies) and antigenuria of about 17 per cent in community-acquired pneumonia cases\(^{19,20}\). Only one fatal case associated with community-acquired \(L.\) pneumophila infection in a known immunocompromised individual has been reported\(^{21}\). A study group from south India has shown a culture positivity rate of about two per cent in clinical sample and about 33 per cent in environmental samples\(^{22,23}\). Our study had certain limitations. Only the bacteria which were recovered by culture were assessed for the presence of markers. However, viable but non-culturable forms\(^{24}\) of this bacterium were not looked for and the specificity of the PCR with respect to other Legionella species was not checked. Moreover, it is essential to collect isolates from the patients and compare it with the environmental strains to get a clear picture of the infection-causing strains in India.

In conclusion, this study supports the idea that the distribution of genes of pathogenic potential in \(L.\) pneumophila may be diverse worldwide and rapid tests as the multiplex PCR reported here can help in epidemiological studies with respect to hospital infection control.

**Acknowledgment**

Authors thank Drs Arti Kapil, Benu Dhawan, Urvashi B. Singh of department of Microbiology, AIIMS, New Delhi, for their valuable suggestions and inputs. We thank Dr Urvashi B. Singh, department of Microbiology, AIIMS for providing DNA of \(M.\) tuberculosis. This study was funded by an intramural research grant from AIIMS, New Delhi (no. A-204).

**Conflicts of Interest:** None.

**References**

1. Mercante JW, Winchell JM. Current and emerging Legionella diagnostics for laboratory and outbreak investigations. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2015; 28: 95-133.
2. Yu VL, Plouffe JF, Pastoris MC, Stout JE, Schousboe M, Widmer A, *et al.* Distribution of *Legionella* species and serogroups isolated by culture in patients with sporadic community-acquired legionellosis: An international collaborative survey. *J Infect Dis* 2002; 186 : 127-8.
3. Cunha BA, Burillo A, Bouza E. Legionnaires’ disease. *Lancet* 2016; 387 : 376-85.
4. Qin T, Zhang W, Liu W, Zhou H, Ren H, Shao Z, *et al.* Population structure and minimum core genome typing of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Nat Sci Rep* 2016; 6 : 21356.
5. Harrison TG, Doshi N, Fry NK, Joseph CA. Comparison of clinical and environmental isolates of *Legionella pneumophila* obtained in the UK over 19 years. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2007; 13 : 78-85.
6. Stout JE, Yu VL. Legionellosis. *N Engl J Med* 1997; 337 : 682-7.
7. Sabria M, Yu VL. Hospital-acquired legionellosis: Solutions for a preventable infection. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2002; 2 : 368-73.
8. Yzerman E, den Boer JW, Caspers M, Almal A, Worzel B, van der Meer W, *et al.* Comparative genome analysis of a large Dutch *Legionella pneumophila* strain collection identifies five markers highly correlated with clinical strains. *BMC Genomics* 2010; 11 : 433.
9. Zhan XY, Li LQ, Hu CH, Zhu QY. Two-step scheme for rapid identification and differentiation of *Legionella pneumophila* and non-*Legionella pneumophila* species. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010; 48 : 433-9.
10. Shen Z, Qu W, Wang W, Lu Y, Wu Y, Li Z, *et al.* MPprimer: A program for reliable multiplex PCR primer design. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010; 11 : 143.
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Legionella* (Legionnaires’ Disease and Pontiac Fever). Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/legionella/health-depts/inv-tools-cluster/lab-inv-tools/procedures-manual.html, accessed on July 10, 2017.
12. Gaia V, Fry NK, Afshar B, Lück PC, Meugnier H, Etienne J, *et al.* Consensus sequence-based scheme for epidemiological typing of clinical and environmental isolates of *Legionella pneumophila*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005; 43 : 2047-52.
13. Gomez-Valero L, Rusniok C, Jarraud S, Vacherie B, Rouy Z, Barbe V, *et al.* Extensive recombination events and horizontal gene transfer shaped the *Legionella pneumophila* genomes. *BMC Genomics* 2011; 12 : 536.
14. Helbig JH, Bernander S, Castellani Pastoris M, Etienne J, Gaia V, Lauwers S, *et al.* Pan-European study on culture-proven Legionnaires’ disease: Distribution of *Legionella pneumophila*
serogroups and monoclonal subgroups. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2002; 21 : 710-6.

15. Euser SM, Nagelkerke NJ, Schuren F, Jansen R, Den Boer JW. Genome analysis of *Legionella pneumophila* strains using a mixed-genome microarray. *PLoS One* 2012; 7 : e47437.

16. Den Boer JW, Euser SM, Nagelkerke NJ, Schuren F, Jarraud S, Etienne J, et al. Prediction of the origin of French *Legionella pneumophila* strains using a mixed-genome microarray. *BMC Genomics* 2013; 14 : 435.

17. Sarjomaa M, Urdahl P, Ramsli E, Borchgrevink-Lund CF, Ask E. Prevention of legionnaires’ disease in hospitals. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 2011; 131 : 1554-7.

18. Agrawal L, Dhunjibhoy KR, Nair KG. Isolation of *Legionella pneumophila* from patients of respiratory tract disease & environmental samples. *Indian J Med Res* 1991; 93 : 364-5.

19. Chaudhry R, Dhawan B, Dey AB. The incidence of *Legionella pneumophila*: A prospective study in a tertiary care hospital in India. *Trop Doct* 2000; 30 : 197-200.

20. Javed S, Chaudhry R, Passi K, Sharma S, Padmaja K, Dhawan B, et al. Sero diagnosis of *Legionella* infection in community acquired pneumonia. *Indian J Med Res* 2010; 131 : 92-6.

21. Chaudhry R, Valavane A, Mohan A, Dey AB. *Legionella pneumophila* infection associated with renal failure causing fatality in a known case of sarcoidosis. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2014; 32 : 324-7.

22. Anbumani S, Gururajkumar A, Chaudhry A. Isolation of *Legionella pneumophila* from clinical & environmental sources in a tertiary care hospital. *Indian J Med Res* 2010; 131 : 761-4.

23. Anbumani MS, Chaudhry A, Gururaj Kumar A. Clinical prevalence of *Legionella*, associated risk and clinical features. *Int J Biol Med Res* 2014; 5 : 4582-5.

24. Delgado-Viscogliosi P, Solignac L, Delattre JM. Viability PCR, a culture-independent method for rapid and selective quantification of viable *Legionella pneumophila* cells in environmental water samples. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009; 75 : 3502-12.

Reprint requests: Dr Rama Chaudhry, 2059, II Floor, Teaching Block, Department of Microbiology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110 029, India
e-mail: drramach@gmail.com