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Percutaneous coronary intervention provided better long term results than optimal medical therapy alone in patients with chronic total occlusion: A meta-analysis
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Abstract

Aims: Studies comparing the outcome of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) along with optimal medical therapy (OMT) versus OMT alone in treatment of chronic total occlusion (CTO) are limited by observational design, variable follow-up period, diverse clinical outcomes, high drop-out and cross-over rates. This study aims to conduct a meta-analysis of published data of observational as well as randomized studies comparing long term outcomes of PCI+OMT versus OMT alone.

Methods and results: PubMed, Embase and Cochrane databases were systematically reviewed. 15 studies meeting criteria were included in the meta-analysis. The Newcastle Ottawa scale was used to appraise the overall quality of the studies. Random-effects model with inverse variance method was undertaken. Major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) which comprises of cardiac death, myocardial infarction, stroke, and unplanned revascularization were significantly lower in the PCI+OMT group (RR:0.76; 95% CI:0.61 to 0.95; P=0.00001; I² = 85%). All-cause mortality and cardiac death were significantly lower in the PCI+OMT group (P<0.00001 in both). Myocardial infarction and stroke rates were lower in the PCI+OMT group, however they did not reach statistical significance (P=0.24, P=0.15 respectively). Unplanned revascularizations (of any vessel) were also similar in both the groups (P=0.78, P² = 88%).

Conclusion: PCI of CTO is rewarded with better long term outcome, in terms of MACE, all-cause mortality and cardiac death with similar rates of unplanned revascularization.

© 2020 Cardiological Society of India. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
1. Introduction

Chronic total occlusions (CTO) of the coronary arteries are defined as Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) zero flow for at least 3-months duration.1 On coronary angiography, CTO is observed in approximately 16% of the patients with significant coronary artery disease.2 Of this, two-thirds of the patients are treated with optimal medical therapy (OMT) and one-third of patients are referred for revascularization, of which merely 10% patients are referred for percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).3

PCI of CTO is one of the biggest challenges in Interventional Cardiology. However, success rates for CTO PCI have improved with advances in technology and techniques with low risk of procedural complications. CTO-PCI can provide significant clinical benefits which include improvement in quality of life and angina.4-6 A meta-analysis by Hoebers, et al. reported that successful CTO PCI resulted in an increase in left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction and a reduction in LV end-diastolic volume in comparison to OMT alone.7

Randomized controlled trials comparing outcome of PCI vs OMT in patients of CTO are few and the patient cohorts were very different. The results of the landmark studies have also been diverse, for example, EuroCTO trial met the primary endpoints but DECISION CTO study failed to reach the primary endpoints. Moreover, EuroCTO trials had a cross over rate of 7.2% in one year (17.5% in three years) and DECISION CTO trial reported almost 20% crossover rate in three year’s follow-up.4,5 Likewise, the observational studies are also limited by small sample sizes, variable follow-up periods, diverse clinical outcomes and unmatched patient-selections. Previous meta-analyses on the present context were restricted to studies with propensity-matched analysis and only reported the pooled hazard ratio and often did not separately report outcomes of PCI and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) in comparison to OMT.8-10 To overcome the lacunae of evidence, we reported the first meta-analysis incorporating both randomized and observational studies.11 The aim of the present study was to conduct a meta-analysis of published data of observational as well as randomized studies comparing long term outcomes of PCI with OMT versus OMT alone in patients with CTO (Online supplemental material, Supplement 1, PICO strategy).

2. Methodology

The methodology of the study is depicted in Fig. 1. This review was registered with PROSPERO (ID# 140110) and conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Online supplemental material, Supplement 2, PRISMA checklist).

2.1. Search strategy

A systematic review was conducted through Cochrane Controlled Trials Registry (CENTRAL), PubMed, and Embase databases for published articles from the year 2000 to present by searching for the key words, “chronic total occlusion” OR “chronic occlusion” AND “Angioplasty” AND “Stent” AND “Revascularization” AND “PCI” OR “Coronary intervention” AND “Optimal medical therapy” OR “Medical therapy” (Online supplemental material, Supplement 3, search strategy). To identify additional studies, we also searched references of relevant researches. No librarian assisted in the search process.

2.2. Eligibility criteria

Studies were eligible based on the following criteria: (1) Studies that directly compared between PCI and OMT in treatment of patients with CTO and (2) studies which reported data on baseline characteristics and long-term clinical outcomes (details below). Redundant case reports, case series and ongoing studies were excluded after title and abstract review. By this process out of 108 articles 15 articles were selected for meta-analysis.4-6,12-23 Both observational and randomized studies were included. Articles published in languages other than English were not considered.24 Abstracts accepted in conferences only were not included.25 Studies which did not report outcomes of PCI and CABG were not included.26

2.3. Data extraction

Two reviewers (DK and BJ) independently performed literature review, data extraction and data entry. Any disagreement between the reviewers was resolved by discussion until a consensus was reached. The extracted data included (1) details of the studies including title of the study, authors, publication year, study design, number of patients, duration of follow up; (2) baseline characteristics including age, gender, ejection fraction, presence of multi-vessel disease (MVD) or chronic kidney disease (CKD), left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF); and (3) long term outcomes like major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), mortality, myocardial infarction, stroke and unplanned revascularization.

2.4. Primary and secondary outcome

The primary outcome of the study was MACE which was comprised of cardiac death, myocardial infarction, stroke, unplanned revascularization. Secondary outcomes of the study were all-cause mortality, cardiac death, myocardial infarction, stroke and unplanned revascularization.

2.5. Quality assessment

The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale was used to appraise the quality of the studies. All studies with score of 5 and above were included in study.27 (Online supplemental material, supplement 4, quality of the studies).

2.6. Data analysis and synthesis

Descriptive statistics are presented as means and standard deviations (SD) for continuous variables and number of cases or percentages for categorical variables. Statistical analysis was performed in line with PRISMA guidelines using Review Manager (RevMan version 5.3, the Cochrane Collaboration, London, United Kingdom, 2014).28 Risk ratios (RRs) were used to pool differences in binary events, and mean differences (MD) with standard deviation (SD) were used to pool differences in continuous variables. The random effect model of DerSimonian and Laird was utilised as studies were heterogenous in design, diverse in outcome, and variable in follow up duration. Inverse variance methods were used to calculate effect sizes for continuous as well as dichotomous data.29

Heterogeneity was assessed with the I² statistics. Funnel plots for the effects sizes of those variables were plotted where, a significant result is accompanied by high heterogeneity (I²>50%).30 Sensitivity analyses were conducted to those variables with high heterogeneity. Bi-variate analysis and meta-regression modelling was conducted using R, version 3.5.1 (package “metabin”) software to explain heterogeneity and bubble plot was constructed.31
3. Results

In the meta-analysis of 15 studies, consisting of 6093 patients in the PCI group and 4943 patients in the OMT group, the median follow-up period was 5 years (1–8 years). Success rates in the PCI group ranged from 68% (in Arsalan et al.,2006) to 99% (Mashayekhi et al.,2018) and overall complication rates ranged from 1 to 38% (Table 1).

3.1. Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics of the study cohorts have been depicted in Table 2. In the meta-analysis of the baseline characteristics, PCI+OMT group had lower mean age and lesser proportion of CKD and MVD but higher mean LVEF (Vide supplement 5, online supplemental material).

3.2. Primary outcome

Major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) which comprises of cardiac death, myocardial infarction, stroke, and un-planned revascularization were significantly lower in the PCI+OMT group. (RR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.61 to 0.95; P=0.0001; I² = 84%) (Fig. 2A). High heterogeneity was partially (14%) explained by age factor. Higher age, TVD, CKD independently contribute to higher MACE in CTO patients undergoing PCI in multivariate logistic meta-regression analysis. However, follow-up duration and LVEF did not attribute significantly to heterogeneity, in isolation or in any combination in meta-regression model (Supplement 6, online supplemental material). Bubble plot of the variables were depicted in supplement 7, online supplemental material. In sub-group analysis, of MACE were not statistically different with respect to design of the study (whether randomized or not) (P = 0.85).

3.3. Secondary outcome

All-cause-mortality and cardiac deaths were significantly lower in the PCI+OMT group (Fig. 2B and C). Myocardial infarction and stroke rates were lower in the PCI+OMT group, however they did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 2D and E). Un-planned re-vascularizations (of any vessel) were similar among the PCI+OMT and the OMT only group (Fig. 2F).

Funnel plots of the primary and secondary outcomes were drawn which did not reveal any evidences of significant publication bias.

Table 1

| Study                  | Success (%) | Complications (%) |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Arslan 2006            | 117/172 (68%) | 45/117 (38%) |
| Tomasello 2015         | 585/776 (75%) | 28/776 (3%)  |
| Ladwiniiec 2015        | 250/405 (61%) | 4/405 (1%)   |
| Jang 2015              | 266/332 (80%) | 26/332 (7%)   |
| Hwang 2016             | 243/288 (84%) | 35/288 (12%)  |
| Yang 2016              | 699/883 (79%) | 23/883 (2%)   |
| Henriques 2016 (EXPLORE) | 113/148 (77%) | 40/147 (27%)  |
| Choi 2017 (collateral) | 319/373 (85%) | –              |
| Werner 2018 (EuroCTO)  | 220/259 (85%) | 11/254 (4%)   |
| Ghou 2018              | 125/157 (80%) | –              |
| Kim 2018 (CKD)         | 1020/1355 (75%) | –          |
| Park 2018 (DECISION CTO) | 417/459 (91%) | –              |
| Rha 2018               | 439/479 (92%) | –              |
| Choo 2018              | 424/448 (95%) | –              |
| Mashayekhi2018 (REVASC) | 100/101 (two attempts) (99%) | 11/101 (11%) |
bias (supplement 8, online supplementary material, Funnel plot for publication bias). Sensitivity analyses were done and no particular study was found to be contributing decisively, as random effect model was undertaken. However, when three studies with maximum event rates (Ladwiniec et al., Yang et al., Kim et al.) were excluded from the analysis, still the lower trend of MACE in the CTO PCI group was maintained [OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.60 to 1.07], though not statistically significant (P = 0.13).

4. Discussion

Major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) rates were found to be significantly lower in the PCI+OMT group. In DECISION CTO study, PCI did not reduce the 4-year risk of MACE. However, nearly 20% of patients in the OMT group crossed over to PCI within 3 days after randomization, and that the study was stopped early due to slow enrolment. Moreover, more than 70% of patients had MVD and nearly half of patients in both groups received PCI for non-CTO lesions. In EURO-CTO study, MACE at 12 months was comparable with 6.7% in the OMT group and 5.2% in the PCI group. However, unlike DECISION CTO, in EUR-OCTO study all non-CTO lesions were treated before randomization. In the study by Yang et al, survival benefit was noted with CTO PCI in patients with well-developed collaterals also. In the study by Kim et al, PCI of CTO lesions among the non-CKD patients was associated with survival benefits. However, in patients with CKD, there were marginal differences between the PCI and OMT treatments in terms of long-term clinical outcomes. In the prospective non-randomized study by Yang et al., MACE was significantly lower in the PCI group in the real-world data but in the propensity matched analysis, the significance was lost. However, rate of cardiac death was significantly lower in the CTO PCI group, especially with well-developed collateral flow as identified on subgroup analysis. Data obtained from UK Central Cardiac Audit Database revealed that successful CTO PCI was significantly associated with improved long-term survival independently from the treated CTO vessel (P < 0.001) and that the greatest improvement was obtained in case of complete revascularization (P < 0.002). Unlike many other CTO PCI registries, Tomasello et al. in IRCTO study, showed that PCI delivered a better cardiovascular outcome at 1-year clinical follow-up. Many of the studies were under-powered to determine the long-term outcome of CTO PCI and follow up duration was often inadequate. Moreover, there was slow enrolment and high cross over rates in randomized studies. So, when data of all the studies were pooled our meta-analysis showed a significant reduction of MACE in the PCI group. In this respect, the Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation vs. Optimal Medical Treatment in Patients with Chronic Total Occlusion trial (NCT01078051) is currently randomizing patients with CTO and stable angina to PCI vs. OMT to assess the impact of the intervention on cardiac mortality and MI during a follow-up of 5 years.

Understandably, age-group in the PCI group was lower as per the real world data. In the meta-regression model also, MACE was found to be significantly (P = 0.03) higher among aged patients who underwent PCI for CTO. PCI was less undertaken in the CKD patients as high contrast burden may worsen the peri-procedural outcome. In the study by Kim et al., significant interaction (P for interaction = 0.014) was noted between kidney function and treatment strategy (revascularization vs. medical therapy) on all-cause death. In the meta-regression model also, MACE was found to be significantly (P < 0.0001) higher among CKD patients who underwent PCI for CTO. In patients with MVD, especially with high SYNTAX score PCI was less undertaken and often CABG was preferred. In the meta-regression model also, MACE was found to
be significantly (P <0.0001) higher among MVD patients who underwent PCI for CTO. PCI was undertaken more among the CTO patients with preserved (or higher) LVEF, in the assumption of retained viability by the virtue of collaterals. However, in the meta-regression model also, MACE was not significantly related to mean LVEF (P = 0.6) among patients underwent PCI for CTO. By using ACEF (age, creatinine, and ejection fraction) score to predict the outcome of CTO patients managed by PCI, Di Serafino, et al revealed that higher MACE rate was significantly associated with increasing ACEF tertile.34 Similarly, in the current study, age and impaired renal function in-dependently predicted MACE in CTO patients undergoing PCI. However, LVEF did not show any independent association with MACE, but MVD did. In the study by Jang et al, in patients with CTO and well-developed collateral circulation, even after propensity score matching, the incidence of MACE (HR: 0.44; 95% CI: 0.23 to 0.82; p < 0.01) were still significantly lower in the PCI group than in the OMT group, suggesting that preserved LVEF may not be a secured indicator for keeping the CTO patients in OMT and that might explain the insignificant relationship of LVEF to the MACE in patients undergoing PCI for CTO.15 Possibly the outcomes were more dependent on amount of myocardium at jeopardy due to the CTO.15

The majority of interventional cardiologists in the past used to avoid CTO PCI attempts due to high radiation exposure and high probability of procedural failure and complications. In the Canadian CTO registry, OMT was the preferred strategy for treating CTOs (approximately two-thirds of patients), followed by CABG (approximately 25%); whereas, PCI was attempted in less than 10% of cases. (2) However, the recent progress in equipment, techniques and accumulating clinical expertise has resulted in increased success rate, and the reduction of peri-procedural complications. This has encouraged the widespread usage of percutaneous approach in treating CTO lesions. In our meta-analysis there is an inclination towards better outcomes with higher success rates in more recent studies. The comparison with medical management in a Canadian registry showed a considerable benefit of revascularizing a CTO either by PCI or surgery, especially in multi-vessel patients.35 In the recent OPEN-CTO registry, the health status assessment showed a significant improvement of the SAQ subscales.36 Our meta-analysis also showed lower all-cause mortality in the PCI group and a lower age-group may be partially contributing to this result. However, lower cardiac death in the PCI group corroborates with the lower trend of MI and stroke in the PCI group. Unplanned re-vascularisation were similar in the PCI as well as OMT groups but carries high heterogeneity as the definition of target vessel revascularization varied from studies to studies. This observation is similar to the other major studies comparing revascularization to the OMT and having no effect on the hard endpoints of MACE.
4.1. Limitations

Limitations of this study are, firstly, the baseline cohorts were not similar. In the present meta-analysis, only four of the studies are randomised and all treated different cohorts of patients. DECISION CTO compared multi vessel PCI with or without CTO, REVASC did not determine myocardial viability while exploring role of CTO PCI on LV function, EXPLORE is in post STEMI patients only, randomised within 7 days after a STEMI, and EUROCTO is the only one addressing symptomatic benefit of PCI CTO in all comers.38–42

Secondly, the long-term outcomes were not correlated to target vessel. However, studies have reported a survival benefit after successful versus failed CTO PCI in the left anterior descending (LAD) artery, but not in the right coronary artery (RCA) or the left circumflex (LCX) artery. Thirdly, the long-term outcomes were not correlated to PCI techniques (anterograde, subintimal re-entry or retrograde).17 And last, most of the studies (except EXPLORE) did not report data on complete revascularizations and its impact on MACE and thus we cannot comment, whether revascularizations would have offered better outcome or not.38

5. Conclusion

Despite the limitations, our meta-analysis emphasises the advantages of CTO PCI and goes along with the findings by Goa, et al., where they also found that successful CTO PCI using drug-eluting stents was associated with lower long-term mortality, lower risk of myocardial infarction, and lower risk of MACE.39 The 2014 European Society of Cardiology and European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery guidelines on myocardial revascularization recommend CTO PCI to be considered in patients with expected ischemia reduction in a corresponding myocardial territory and/or angina relief (Class IIa, LOE B). They recommend an initial antero-grade approach and consideration of a retrograde approach if this fails or a primary retrograde approach in selected patients (Class IIb, LOE C).39

In our meta-analysis consisting of 6093 patients in PCI group and 4943 patients in OMT group, it has been found that, PCI of CTO has a better long term outcome in terms of MACE, cardiac death and all causes of mortality with similar unplanned revascularization. Higher age, MVD, CKD independently contribute to higher MACE in CTO patients undergoing PCI in multivariate logistic meta-regression analysis. More number of randomized studies are necessary to evaluate the benefit in PCI in CTO patients in the real world scenario.

5.1. Impact on daily practice

Although the majority of interventional cardiologists in the past used to avoid CTO PCI attempts due to high radiation exposure and high probability of procedural failure and complications, the recent progress in equipment, techniques and accumulating clinical expertise has resulted in increased success rate, and the reduction of peri-procedural complications. This has encouraged the widespread usage of percutaneous approach in treating CTO lesions which resulted in favourable long-term outcomes including major adverse cardiovascular events, all-cause mortality as well as cardiac mortality with similar rates of unplanned revascularization.
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