COMMUNITY FOREST FOR GLOBAL WARMING MITIGATION: THE TECHNIQUE FOR ESTIMATION OF BIOMASS AND ABOVE GROUND CARBON STORAGE USING REMOTE SENSING METHOD

SUMMARY

This study aims to present remote sensing methods for the analysis and comparison of different physical parameters for estimation of biomass and above ground carbon storage in the study areas of the pilot project for the chosen community forest in Maha Sarakham Province, Thailand. The implementation method was divided into four steps as follows. (1) Analysis of Landsat-8 satellite data with six physical parameters in the study (NDVI, TNDVI, GVI, IR-R, IR/R, and MSAVI2) and analyzing the results obtained to find out Fraction Cover (FC) value, (2) Field surveying by creating survey plots, measuring the circumference and the height of trees, and bringing the results to calculate above ground biomass with allometric equations (3) Creating correlation equations from the analysis results from Step 1 and Step 2, then applying the correlation equations obtained to calculate Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) storage by using remote sensing methods, and (4) Comparing optimal methods from six physical parameters. The analysis results of six physical parameters were found that GVI was the most appropriate method, the correlation equation \( y = 1.8312e^{0.0168x} \), and the coefficient of determination \( (R^2) = 0.8107 \). And the CO₂ storage capacity of the community forests in the study areas in Maha Sarakham Province was equal to 106.04 tonCO₂e from the total area of 61.24 ha.
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INTRODUCTION

Environmental issues have gained widespread attentions from all regions of the world, especially the problem of global warming (Ghent et al., 2011; Jones et al., 2012; Gomasathit et al., 2015; Hawkins et al., 2017). The main cause of this problem is greenhouse gases that store some of solar heat in the earth and do not reflect into the atmosphere (Lee et al., 2009). At any rate, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) of The United Nations had concluded that, from the observation of the average global temperature increase in the mid-20th century, it is quite evident that the increase in greenhouse gas emissions is caused
by human activities and results in the phenomenon of greenhouse gases (Goody and Yung, 1989). The solution for this problem is to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Ones of the most acceptable methods are reforestation and forest management for conservation purposes due to verdant forests can effectively absorb CO₂, which is one of greenhouse gases (Vicharnakorn et al., 2014). Forests are directly related to the amount of CO₂ that is a major greenhouse gas. In other words, forests play a role as a source and a sink. It depends on the land use change and ecological characteristics of each forest. In the growth of trees, there is a process of photosynthesis or CO₂ absorption in the forest which changes CO₂ into biomass above ground (stem, branches and leaves) and below ground (roots) (Ogawa et al., 1965). In addition, forests also play an important role in CO₂ cycle on the earth's surface. In each year, forests will absorb CO₂ approximately at 2.6 billion tones, while deforestation or land use changes generate approximately 1.6 billion tons of CO₂ or equivalent to 5.9 billion tons of CO₂ (IPCC, 2006).

Previously, the amount of CO₂ storage in the forest areas surveyed by people took quite a long time, especially in large areas of forests (Senpaseuth et al., 2009; Liaghat and Balasundram, 2010). Therefore, remote sensing technology had been applied to help evaluate CO₂ storage (Gibert et al., 2008; Anindya and Yadavand, 2012; Robinson et al., 2013; Vagen and Winowiecki, 2013; Han et al., Laosuwan and Uttaruk, 2016). Currently, the study of remote sensing technology is on the progress so that the study of phenomena on the earth’s surface can be implemented easier and the data obtained are quite real-time. As a result, there are many researchers applied the information from remote sensing technology widespread due to it is suitable in many aspects, namely wide range of data surveying, spatial resolution, spectral resolution, and multi temporal resolution with appropriate costs (Jiao et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2017). At any rate, the researches related to estimation of CO₂ storage in community forests are hardly found in Thailand, especially the issue of community forests in Northeastern Thailand. For this reason, this study aims to present a technique for the analysis and comparison of different physical parameters for estimation of biomass and above ground CO₂ storage in community forests in Maha Sarakham Province by using remote sensing methods.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study areas and data collection
- Study areas: Community forests (Figure 1) in Maha Sarakham Province in Northeastern Thailand were chosen to be pilot areas in this study including (1) the community forest in Nondaeng Sub-district, Borabue District, (2) the community forest in Nong Ruea Sub-district, Wapi Pathum District, (3) the community forest in Na Kha Sub-district, Wapi Pathum District, and (4) the community forest in Khwao Rai Sub-district, Kosum Phisai District. All these community forests have a total area of 61.24 ha.
Data collection: This study used the data from Landsat-8 satellite, path 127 and low 49 by recording the data on 29 November 2017.

Implementation methods
The implementation methods were divided into 4 main steps as follows.

Analysis of Landsat-8 satellite data
- Preparing data before analysis: Electromagnetic waves that travel from the sun to the top of the atmosphere (ToA) will depend on the distance between the earth and the sun including the angle of incidence. Some parts of electromagnetic waves will cause some phenomena, such as scattering, by air molecules, clouds and dust. And some will partially have absorbed by ozone, gases, dust and clouds. The rest will reflect objects on the earth’s surface back into the satellite data recorders. This phenomenon may result in erroneous recording of satellite data. To reduce the effect of electromagnetic waves from the mentioned phenomena, this research aims to adjust the top of atmosphere (ToA) for data accuracy in two steps: (1) Converting digital number to radiance and (2) Converting radiance to ToA reflectance (Laosuwan and Uttaruk, 2014).
- Satellite data analysis: Analyzing Landsat-8 satellite data with six physical parameters including Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Transformed Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (TNDVI), Green Vegetation Index (GVI) (Gandia et al., 2004), Difference Vegetation Index (DVI) or IR-R (Tucker, 1979; Agapiou et al., 2012), Simple Ratio (SR) or IR/R (Jordan, 1969), and Second Modified Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (MSAVI2) (Qi et al., 1994) (Equation 1 to Equation 6) and bringing the results from these
six physical parameters to find out FC value (Uttaruk and Laosuwan, 2016) as shown in Equation 7.

\[ NDVI = \frac{NIR - RED}{NIR + RED} \]  

(1)

Where;
\( NIR \) = Near infrared band of Landsat 8 OLI
\( R \) = Red band of Landsat 8 OLI

\[ TNDVI = \frac{((NIR - RED))}{(NIR + RED) + 0.5} \]  

(2)

Where;
\( NIR \) = Near infrared band of Landsat 8 OLI
\( R \) = Red band of Landsat 8 OLI

\[ DVI = NIR - RED \]  

(3)

Where;
\( NIR \) = Near Infrared Band
\( RED \) = Red band

\[ SR = NIR / RED \]  

(4)

Where;
\( NIR \) = Near Infrared Band
\( RED \) = Red band

\[ GVI = (0.2848 \times B1) - (0.2435 \times B2) - (0.5436 \times B3) + (0.7243 \times B4) + (0.0840 \times B5) - (0.1800 \times B7) \]  

(5)

Where;
\( B1 \ B2 \ B3 \ B4 \ B5 \ B7 \) = band of Landsat 8 OLI

\[ MSAVI2 = \frac{(2NIR + 1) - \sqrt{(2NIR + 1)^2 - 8(NIR - RED)}}{2} \]  

(6)

Where:
\( MSAVI2 \) = Vegetation Index
\( NIR \) = Near Infrared Band Reflectance
\( RED \) = Red Band Reflectance

\[ FC = \frac{(VI - VI_{open})}{(VI_{canopy} - VI_{open})} \times 100 \]  

(7)

Where;
\( FC \) = Tree canopy fractional cover
\( VI \) = Vegetation index
\( VI_{open} \) = Vegetation index of open areas
\( VI_{canopy} \) = Vegetation index of tree canopy
Field Survey

- **Measurement of trees**: The sample plots 20 m x 20 m were arranged in the study areas in a total of 12 plots in order to be samples of the study areas of 61.24 ha. Then, the data of types and the number of large trees with diameters at Breast Height (DBH) of 4.5 cm and above, and the height of trees were measured and collected by using Clinometer. All the data collected in this study will be recorded in a record form for further analysis.

- **Above ground biomass calculation**: The field survey data were used to calculate quantitative ecological characteristics based on the height and diameter of trees and represented in the allometric equation (Ogawa et al., 1965). For the amount of above ground biomass dry dipterocarp forest was calculated in the stem, branches and leaves. The amount of biomass of above ground trees obtained was calculated to estimate the amount of CO₂ (Ogawa et al., 1965).

**Creating statistical correlation equations**

This step created correlation equations from the analysis results of Step 1 and Step 2. Then, the correlation equations obtained were calculated to estimate the amount of CO₂ storage in the study areas.

**Comparison of appropriate implementation methods**

This step compared the analysis results of the data from six physical parameters including NDVI, TNDVI, GVI, IR-R, IR/R, and MSAVI2. Then, the most appropriate method will be chosen to calculate the amount of CO₂ storage in the study areas.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Result**

- **Analysis of Landsat-8 satellite data**
    Analyzing Landsat-8 satellite data with six physical parameters including NDVI, TNDVI, GVI, IR-R, IR/R, and MSAVI2 and bringing the results from these six physical parameters to find out FC value as shown in Figure 2. From the Figure 2, display that the location of the brightest area shows the area covered by the vegetation. On the other hand, gray to black areas have low vegetation cover and no vegetation cover respectively.

- **Field survey results**
    From the sample plots of the study areas in a total of 61.24 ha and the tree measurements as mentioned above, the researchers brought the results to analyze the amount of biomass by allometric equations and converted biomass to carbon. The results of the calculation are shown in Table 1.

- **Creating statistical correlation equations and data analysis**
    Creating statistical correlation equations between Landsat-8 satellite data and field data was to find out the correlation between FC value analyzed by six physical parameters (NDVI, TNDVI, GVI, IR-R, IR/R, and MSAVI2) and the amount of biomass analyzed from the field data. Then, the correlation equations obtained were calculated to estimate the amount of CO₂ storage. The results of
creating statistical correlation equations are shown in Figure 3 and Landsat-8 satellite data analysis can be displayed as shown in Figure 4.

| Plot name | Area (ha) | Carbon value tons/ha |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1         | 05.44     | 0.86                 |
| 2         | 04.32     | 10.34                |
| 3         | 06.40     | 0.17                 |
| 4         | 08.16     | 0.82                 |
| 5         | 04.00     | 0.61                 |
| 6         | 03.20     | 0.16                 |
| 7         | 11.20     | 0.81                 |
| 8         | 06.72     | 0.62                 |
| 9         | 02.56     | 0.43                 |
| 10        | 02.40     | 0.20                 |
| 11        | 03.20     | 0.42                 |
| 12        | 03.64     | 0.68                 |
| **Total** | **61.24** | **28.63**            |

Figure 2. Analyzing Landsat-8 satellite data with six physical parameters
Figure 3. Relationship of the statistical data

-Comparison results of the appropriate methods

The comparison results of the six physical parameters in this study (NDVI, TNDVI, GVI, IR-R, IR/R, and MSAVI2) can be explained as follows: 1) The physical parameter NDVI obtained the correlation equation $y = 2.1454e^{0.0139x}$ and coefficient of determination ($R^2$) = 0.5978. As a result, the amount of biomass can be estimated at 25.43 tC/ha and the CO$_2$ storage in the study areas can be estimated at 93.24 tonCO$_2$e. 2) The physical parameter TNDVI obtained the correlation equation $y = 2.0201e^{0.0144x}$ and coefficient of determination ($R^2$) = 0.5928. As a result, the amount of biomass can be estimated at 25.95 tC/ha and the CO$_2$ storage in the study areas can be estimated at 95.15 tonCO$_2$e. 3) The physical parameter GVI obtained the correlation equation $y = 1.8312e^{0.0168x}$ and coefficient of determination ($R^2$) = 0.8107. As a result, the amount of biomass can be estimated at 28.91 tC/ha and the CO$_2$ storage in the study areas can be estimated at 106.04 tonCO$_2$e. 4) The physical parameter IR-R obtained the correlation equation $y = 1.856e^{0.0165x}$ and coefficient of determination ($R^2$) = 0.7638. As a result, the amount of biomass can be estimated at 28.33 tC/ha and the CO$_2$ storage in the study areas can be estimated at 103.87 tonCO$_2$e. 5) The physical parameter IR/R obtained the correlation equation $y = 3.0078e^{0.0127x}$ and coefficient of determination ($R^2$) = 0.6995. As a result, the amount of biomass
can be estimated at 26.26 tC/ha and the CO₂ storage in the study areas can be estimated at 96.32 tonCO₂e. 6) The physical parameter MSAVI2 obtained the correlation equation $y = 1.9197e^{0.0164x}$ and coefficient of determination ($R^2$) = 0.7929. As a result, the amount of biomass can be estimated at 28.01 tC/ha and the CO₂ storage in the study areas can be estimated at 102.70 tonCO₂e.

Figure 4. The spatial data after substituting value

For the analysis to find the appropriateness of the assessment of biomass and carbon storage above the community forest, considering from coefficient of determination $R^2$ received from the relationship between FC analyzed from the six patterns of physical parameters and the volume of biomass in the field, coefficient of determination $R^2$ from GVI physical parameter has the highest value and therefore it is the most appropriate method. GVI will lead to $y = 1.8312e^{0.0168x}$ with coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.8107$. It can calculate the volume of CO₂ storage at 106.04 tonCO₂e. Regarding tCO2e/ha, it was found that the volume of CO₂ = 1.73 tCO2e/ha. As compared the result with the research of dry dipterocarp forest in Thailand by Boonsang and Arunpraparat (2011), it was discovered that the volume of CO₂ storage is 200.49 tCO2e/ha.
Meanwhile, the work of Vicharnakhon et al., (2014) found that the volume of carbon storage is 82.70 tCO2e/ha and the work of Ounkerd et al (2015) is 391.42 tCO2e/ha. The three research articles studied the large single patch forest type which differs from this study, which means the type of this community forest is small forest patch surrounding with paddy field and another land use types (small scatter forest patch) that was counted as the common divisor. For this reason, the result of the volume of carbon storage, tCO2e/ha, is quiet low. Moreover, Paired Samples Test was applied to test the statistical significance between field data and data analyzed from the 6 physical parameters which found that the statistical significance is at 95%.

CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this study was to present the technique to analyze and compare the different physical parameters for the above ground assessment of biomass and carbon storage in a pilot study of the community forest in Maha Sarakham Province, using the data received from Landsat-8 satellite and six patterns of physical parameters including field study to create equation model of relationship before the assessment of biomass and carbon storage. According to the study of the above-ground assessment of biomass and carbon storage for the forest community in 12 studying fields, the forest community is classified as dry dipterocarp forest. As in the survey, 31 families of plants and 51 types were founds. The total number of trees is 692 and the average DBH is 8.54 cm while the average height is 7.10 m. Considering the study, the assessment of biomass and carbon storage requires no field study in all areas which will reduce the expense of field study and also reduce the time of researching while the data will be up-to-date and respond the demand of data in the urgent time.
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