The [CII] and FIR properties of $z > 6$ radio-loud quasars
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ABSTRACT

There are only five radio-loud quasars currently known within 1 Gyr from the Big Bang ($z > 6$) and the properties of their host galaxies have not been explored in detail. We present a NOnterthern Extended Millimeter Array (NOEMA) survey of [CII] (158 μm) and underlying continuum emission of four $z > 6$ radio-loud quasars, revealing their diverse properties. J0309+2717 ($z = 6.10$) has a bright [CII] line and underlying continuum, implying that the host galaxy is a starburst with a star-formation rate SFR $= 340-1200 \text{M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. J1429+5447 ($z = 6.18$) has a SFR $\sim 520 - 870 \text{M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ and its [CII] profile is consistent with two Gaussians, which could be interpreted as a galaxy merger. J1427+3312 ($z = 6.12$) has a moderate SFR $= 30-90 \text{M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. Notably, this is a broad absorption line quasar and we searched for the presence of high-velocity outflows in the host galaxy. Although the NOEMA data tentatively reveal a broad component of the [CII] line as wide as $\sim 1400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the sensitivity of our current data are not sufficient to confirm it. Finally, P172+18 ($z = 6.82$) is undetected in both [CII] and the continuum, implying a SFR $< 22-40 \text{M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. The broad range of SFRs is similar to what is observed in radio-quiet quasars at similar redshifts. If radio jets do not significantly contribute to both [CII] and IR luminosities, this suggests there is no feedback from the jet on the star formation in the host galaxy.
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1. Introduction

The formation and evolution of supermassive black holes is one of the key unresolved puzzles in astrophysics. Black holes of masses $\sim 10^9 \text{M}_\odot$ were already in place in the first Gyr after the Big Bang (e.g., Bañados et al. 2018a; Yang et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2021). It is still a mystery how these black holes acquired such masses and how their evolution is connected to that of the host galaxies (e.g., Inayoshi et al. 2020; Volonteri et al. 2021). The mergers of host galaxies can lead to a coalescence of their respective black holes, while simultaneously playing a role in driving the gas toward the accretion disk around the black hole. This can result in the formation of relativistic jets (e.g., Chiaberge et al. 2015). The presence of a jet partially converts to nonradiative form, thus enhancing the accretion rate (e.g., Jolley & Kuncic 2008). Hence, mergers of host galaxies and the possible subsequent formation of jets can play a crucial role in the fast growth of black holes in the early Universe (Volonteri et al. 2015).

The presence of jets can affect the evolution of the host galaxies in different ways. While active galactic nuclei (AGN) driven extreme outflows can lead to quenching of star formation in the host galaxy (e.g., Di Matteo et al. 2005; Villar Martín et al. 2014), these jets can also trigger enhanced star formation in the host galaxy via AGN-induced pressure (e.g., Silk 2013). It is unclear which mechanism plays the most important role at high redshift since no systematic study of host galaxies of quasars with jets at $z \gtrsim 6$ has been undertaken. Relativistic jets produce synchrotron radio emission. Therefore, radio loudness $R_{400} > 10$ is a sign of the existence of such jets. Such quasars are considered radio-loud and quasars with $R_{400} < 10$ are called radio-quiet.

The host galaxies of radio-quiet quasars at $z \gtrsim 6$ have been extensively observed using the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) and the NOnterthern Extended Millimeter Array (NOEMA) revealing the cold dust emission in the rest-frame far-infrared (FIR) and [CII] line emission (e.g. Wang et al. 2013; Willott et al. 2015, 2017; Bañados et al. 2015a; Decarli et al. 2018; Izumi et al. 2018, 2019; Venemans et al. 2020). These studies show that the host galaxies of radio-quiet quasars have star formation rates (SFRs) reaching up to $2500 \text{M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ (e.g., Venemans et al. 2020) and one third show signs of recent mergers (Neeleman et al. 2021). Many studies have sought to confirm AGN-driven outflows in the host galaxies. However, the results are still inconclusive (Cicone et al. 2015; Bischetti et al. 2019; Novak et al. 2020; Meyer et al. 2022). In this work, we sample the host galaxies of radio-loud quasars at $z > 6$ to explore additional hints of a jet and study extended outflows in the host galaxies.
8–10% of the quasar population at $z > 6$ (Bañados et al. 2015b; Liu et al. 2021; Gloudemans et al. 2021). To date, there are only five radio-loud quasars known at $z > 6$ (Decarli et al. 2018; Venemans et al. 2020; Novak et al. 2020). In this paper, we report NOEMA observations of [CII] emission and the underlying continuum for the remaining four radio-loud quasars known at $z > 6$. In Sect. 2, we describe the observations and data reduction. In Sect. 3, we present the results for individual objects and discuss their properties. In Sect. 4, we compare the [CII] emission and dust properties of all currently known $z > 6$ radio-loud quasars with the samples of radio-quiet quasars at $z > 6$ from the literature. Finally, in Sect. 5 we present our conclusions. Throughout the paper we use a flat Lambda cold dark matter (ΛCDM) cosmology with $Ω_{Λ} = 0.70$, $Ω_{m} = 0.30$, and $h = H_{0}/100 = 0.7$.

### 2. Data

We have observed four out of the five known $z > 6$ radio-loud quasars with NOEMA. J1427+3312, J1429+5447, and P172+18 were observed on 3 December 2019, 16 June 2020, and 14 August 2020 as part of the S19DN observing program. J0309+2717 was observed in 2020 on 18 June, 20 June, and 20 July as part of the S20CY observing program. All targets were observed in band 3, which covers the ~208–264 GHz range where the redshifted [CII] emission line ($v_{\text{rest}} = 1900.539$ GHz) falls at $z > 6$. The tuning frequencies were chosen so that the [CII] emission would fall in one of the side bands. The other side band was used for FIR continuum emission measurements.

The observations were carried out using configurations C, D, or a combination of the two. Configuration D is the most compact and provides an angular resolution of ~1.5′ (~8 kpc). Configuration C is more extended and provides a resolution ~0′.8 (~4 kpc). Most observations were carried out with ten antennas, except for J1429+5447 and one of the tracks for J1427+3312, for which nine antennas were used. The beam sizes (0.5–1.7 arcsec) correspond to 3–10 kpc at the quasar redshifts. The total integration time, configuration used, and synthesized beam for each quasar are listed in Table 1.

| Quasar   | $R_{\text{4400}}$ (a) | Integration time (h) | Configuration | Beam size (arcsec) |
|----------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| J0309+2717 | 2500 ± 500 (b)         | 4.8                  | D             | 1.7 × 1.1          |
| J1427+3312 | 53.3 ± 4.1 (c)         | 3.4                  | CD            | 1.1 × 0.7          |
| J1429+5447 | 102.2 ± 4.1 (c)        | 3.4                  | D             | 1.6 × 1.4          |
| P172+18    | 70 ± 7 (d)             | 4.1                  | C             | 1.1 × 0.5          |

Notes. (a) Radio loudness $R_{\text{4400}} = S_{\text{5GHz}}/S_{\text{4400 Å}}$ (Kellermann et al. 1989). (b) Belladitta et al. (2020). (c) Bañados et al. (2015b). (d) Bañados et al. (2021).

The data were calibrated using the standard calibration steps in the Grenoble Image and Line Data Analysis Software (GILDAS)2. We used 3C84, 3C345, 3C273, or 3C279 as sources for bandpass calibration. The phase and amplitude were calibrated using 1417+273, 1418+546, or 1147+245. The flux density scale was calibrated using LKHA101 for P172+18 and MWC349 for the rest of the sample. We flagged bad visibilities before producing the $uv$ tables. We resampled all $uv$ tables to the resolution of 50 km s$^{-1}$.

The dirty images were produced from the $uv$ tables using the MAPPING software package (part of GILDAS). We used natural weighting, since we expect that our targets will not be resolved and they are exactly at the center of the image where natural weighting yields the optimal sensitivity. The clean images were produced using the HOGBOM method (Höggbom 1974) in MAPPING.

We produced continuum maps using the [CII] emission-free side band by averaging all the channels in the band. Before producing [CII] emission line maps, we averaged all the channels in the side band containing [CII] emission to produce preliminary images. We found $>3\sigma$ detections in the center of the images of J1427+3312, J1429+5447, and J0309+2717. We then extracted spectra from the brightest pixel in this image to search for the [CII] emission line. Since no significant emission was found on the preliminary image of P172+18, we extracted spectra from the central pixel that is located at the optical position of the quasar (Bañados et al. 2021). We used the publicly available code Interferopy (Boogaard et al. 2021) to measure the flux densities using the residual scaling correction (Jorsater & van Moorsel 1995; Walter & Brinks 1999; Novak et al. 2020). In this method, the flux density measured on the clean components map is corrected by the scaled residual flux density. The scaling factor is defined as the clean-to-dirty beam area ratio. This ensures consistency between the units of the residual map (Jy/dirty beam) and the clean components map (Jy/clean beam). We found $>3\sigma$ [CII] line detections for all targets where the emission was detected on a preliminary image. We fit all the [CII] emission line profiles detected with a single Gaussian. We estimated the continuum emission excluding all the channels within ±1000 km s$^{-1}$ from the peak of the [CII] line. We subtracted the continuum using the task UV_BASELINE in MAPPING. We then produced integrated [CII] line maps using channels containing the line across $1.2 \times FWHM$ (full width at half maximum). When we encountered a nondetection, we used $FWHM = 350$ km s$^{-1}$.

Using the [CII] line maps, we determined the optimal aperture radius to extract the spectra by performing a curve of growth analysis. We started with an aperture size equal to half of the semimajor axis of the beam (this is also the aperture size we used when we encountered a nondetection). Then we were measuring

2 https://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS
the integrated flux density while continuously increasing the aperture radius. We chose the aperture at which the flux density reached a plateau meaning it did not increase by more than 1σ of the previous measurement. In this way, we made sure that we did not miss any flux of an unresolved source and did not introduce additional noise if we chose a bigger aperture. We chose the aperture for measuring the continuum flux density in the same way.

3. Results

Our analysis revealed a range of host galaxy properties of radio-loud quasars. The [CII] line and underlying continuum were detected for J1427+3312, J1429+5447, and J0309+2717, while they were not detected for P172+18. The [CII] and underlying continuum emission line measurement results are summarized in Table 2. Figure 1 shows the 250 GHz continuum and [CII] line maps and the spectra zoomed in on the [CII] emission line. We discuss the results for each individual object in the sample ordered by their redshift below.

3.1. J0309+2717 (z = 6.10)

J0309+2717 is the most radio-loud quasar at z > 6. Based on its radio and X-ray properties, this source was classified as a blazar and currently, it is the only blazar known at z > 6 (Belladitta et al. 2020). A one-sided jet launched by this quasar points toward us at an angle smaller than 38° based on high resolution observations with the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLRAO, Spingola et al. 2020). The jet is seen in the X-ray and it is extended across ~4″ or ~20 kpc (Ighina et al. 2022). The radio spectrum of J0309+2717 is flat with a spectral index $\alpha = -0.53 \pm 0.02$ at frequencies from 0.147 GHz to 8.2 GHz (see Fig. 2. Ighina et al. 2022; Mufakharov et al. 2021).

J0309+2717 has the brightest 250 GHz continuum emission in our sample ($F_{\text{cont}} = 4.39 \pm 0.15 \text{ mJy}$) and the second brightest [CII] line emission ($F_{\text{CII}} = 2.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ Jy km s}^{-1}$). The source is unresolved. No significant difference in shape is observed between the spectrum extracted from the brightest pixel and from an aperture with a 3.4″ diameter (see Fig. 1). But the spectra extracted with an aperture has a higher flux density (by a factor of ~1.2), since some of the emission is missed if a single pixel is used to extract the spectrum. Therefore, we used the aperture extracted spectrum in further analysis in Sect. 4.

3.2. J1427+3312 (z = 6.12)

J1427+3312 is the first radio-loud quasar discovered at z > 6 (McGreer et al. 2006). After the discovery, two independent very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) follow-up studies of J1427+3312 were conducted. Momjian et al. (2008) observed a structure with two continuum components at 1.4 GHz, separated by 176 pc. Frey et al. (2008) observed J1427+3312 at 1.6 and 5 GHz. The 1.6 GHz observations revealed that this quasar had two components separated by 160 pc which is comparable to the separation observed by Momjian et al. (2008). Both of these studies concluded that these two components could be the radio lobes and J1427+3312 could be a compact symmetric object (CSO, Conway 2002) with a steep radio spectrum ($\alpha = -1.1$). Based on its rest-frame ultraviolet (UV) spectrum, this source was also classified as a broad absorption line (BAL) quasar (McGreer et al. 2006; Shen et al. 2019).

Our NOEMA observations reveal a [CII] line emission with $F_{\text{CII},\text{int}} = 0.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ Jy km s}^{-1}$. The curve of growth analysis shows that even with the small aperture, the noise in the spectrum significantly increases compared to the extraction from a single pixel since the source is unresolved. Therefore, we used the spectrum extracted from the brightest pixel in the analysis in Sect. 4. We used the fit with a Gaussian to this spectrum to select the channels containing the [CII] line. On the integrated image (including only the channels across 1.2 × FWHM), we detected the [CII] emission with a 4σ significance. In the channel corresponding to the peak of the line, the significance of the line is 8σ. The emission is unresolved at the resolution of our observations. The 250 GHz continuum has a flux density $F_{\text{cont}} = 0.18 \pm 0.05 \text{ mJy}$.

3.3. J1429+5447 (z = 6.19)

J1429+5447 is one of the most studied quasars in our sample. Radio observations of J1429+5447 cover the range from 120 MHz to 1.6 GHz (see Fig. 2. Frey et al. 2011; Shimwell et al. 2019). The radio spectrum is flat at frequencies <5 GHz (spectral index $\alpha = -0.5$) but it steepens at higher frequencies (spectral index $\alpha = -1.0$, Frey et al. 2011). The high resolution VLBI observations of this quasar show a compact structure with a size <100 pc. It was also observed at 32 GHz (Wang et al. 2011). These observations targeted the CO(2–1) emission line and they tentatively suggest the presence of a companion galaxy separated by 6.9 kpc. J1429+5447 was also observed with extended ROnentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array (eROSITA) and (X-ray Multi-Mirror mission) XMM-Newton and currently

Table 2. [CII] and underlying continuum emission measurements of the sample presented in this paper and from the radio-loud quasars at z ≥ 6 in the literature.

| Quasar       | $z_{\text{CII}}$ | $F_{\text{cont}}$ (mJy) | $F_{\text{CII}}$ (Jy km s$^{-1}$) | FWHM (km s$^{-1}$) |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| J0309+2717   | 6.100 ± 0.002    | 4.39 ± 0.15             | 2.6 ± 0.2                     | 240 ± 20         |
| J1427+3312   | 6.118 ± 0.006    | 0.18 ± 0.05             | 0.7 ± 0.1                     | 440 ± 69         |
| J1429+5447   | 6.190 ± 0.004    | 3.05 ± 0.11             | 3.6 ± 0.2                     | 359 ± 24         |
| P172+18      | 6.823 ± 0.003$^a$ | <0.34$^b$              | <0.21$^b$                     | –                |
| J2318−3113$^a$ | 6.4429 ± 0.0003 | 0.36 ± 0.08             | 1.52 ± 0.14                   | 344 ± 34         |
| P352−15$^a$  | 5.832 ± 0.001    | 0.34 ± 0.04             | 1.37 ± 0.22                   | 440 ± 80         |

Notes. $^a$(This redshift was measured based on the Mg II emission line (Bañados et al. 2021). $^b$(The limits are at 3σ and assuming FWHM = 350 km s$^{-1}$. $^c$(The measurements are taken from Venemans et al. (2020). $^d$(The measurements are taken from Rojas-Ruiz et al. (2021).
J1429+5447 is the second brightest quasar in our sample at \( \sim 250 \, \text{GHz} \) \( (F_{\text{cont}} = 3.05 \pm 0.11 \, \text{mJy}) \) and has the brightest [CII] emission line \( (F_{\text{CII, int}} = 3.6 \pm 0.2 \, \text{Jy km s}^{-1}) \). Interestingly, when we extracted the spectrum using an aperture, thus accounting for all the flux seen in the [CII] line image, additional flux appears that deviates from a single Gaussian profile. This may indicate that J1429+5447 is hosted in merging galaxies or that its host has AGN-driven outflows. We discuss this in more detail in Sect. 4.1.

3.4. \textbf{P172+18} \( (z = 6.82) \)

P172+18 is the highest redshift quasar in our sample and the highest redshift radio-loud quasar known to date (Bañados et al. 2021). The radio spectrum of P172+18 is steep with a spectral index of \( \alpha < -1.55 \) (see Fig. 2, Momjian et al. 2021). It suggests that P172+18 is a gigahertz-peaked source. It is the only source from our sample which was not detected in both [CII] and continuum emission (see Fig. 1). The 3\( \sigma \) upper limit for the FIR continuum flux density is \( F_{\text{cont}} < 0.34 \, \text{mJy} \).
Statistical criteria values for selection between the fit with one Gaussian and two Gaussians.

| Quasar         | Δχ² | p value | ΔAIC (a) | ΔBIC (a) |
|----------------|-----|---------|----------|----------|
| J0309+2717     | 4.99| 0.82738 | 0.47     | −10.83   |
| J1427+3312     | 0.92| 0.17997 | −3.82    | −10.93   |
| J1429+5447     | 23.08| 0.99996 | 10.02    | 2.91     |

Notes. (a) ΔAIC, ΔBIC < −10 imply that the fit with one Gaussian is preferred. ΔAIC, ΔBIC > 10 imply that two Gaussians are preferred.

Fig. 2. SEDs of all known radio-loud quasars at z > 6 and P352–15 at z = 5.832. The filled symbols are measurements of the 250 GHz continuum flux density (NOEMA measurements presented in this paper and literature data from ALMA for J2318–3113 Venemans et al. 2020). The solid lines are MBB model (with T$_{bb}$ = 47 and β = 1.6) scaled to the observed continuum flux density. The dotted lines are the power law (broken power law in the case of J0309+2717 and J1429+5447) fits to the radio data from the literature (Bañados et al. 2021; Condon et al. 1998; Frey et al. 2008, 2011; Ighina et al. 2021a; Intema et al. 2017; Momjian et al. 2008; Shimwell et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2011). The literature data are shown with thin diamonds and the NOEMA data for our sample with squares. Different colors correspond to each of the radio-loud quasars as indicated in the legend. The shaded area shows the region below the 3σ limit for continuum flux density of P172+18, which is not detected with NOEMA.

Table 3. Statistical criteria values for selection between the fit with one Gaussian and two Gaussians.

Table 4. Upper limit for the [CII] flux density assuming the mean FWHM of the [CII] emission line in the host galaxies of radio-quiet quasars at z > 6 where $F_{\text{FWHM}} = 350$ km s$^{-1}$ (Decarli et al. 2018; Venemans et al. 2020). The 3σ upper limit with this assumption is $F_{\text{[CII]}} < 0.21$ Jy km s$^{-1}$.

4. Discussion

4.1. Searching for mergers and outflows in the host galaxies of radio-quiet quasars

At redshifts $1 < z < 2.5$, 92% of radio-loud quasars are hosted in merging galaxies compared to 38% of radio-quiet quasars (Chiaberge et al. 2015). The merger fraction of radio-quiet quasars at z ≥ 6 is ≈29% (Neelaman et al. 2021). This suggests there is no evolution with redshift of the merger fraction in radio-quiet quasars. Assuming that the merger fraction in radio-loud quasars does not evolve either, most host galaxies of radio-loud quasars at z ≥ 6 should be mergers. The kinematics and morphology of the recently identified z = 6.44 radio-loud quasar J2318–3113 (Ighina et al. 2021a) were studied in detail by Neelaman et al. (2021) using observations with ALMA at high spatial resolution (∼1 kpc). They find that J2318–3113 has a disturbed morphology indicative of a recent or ongoing merger activity (Fig. 2 in Neelaman et al. 2021). The spatial resolution of our NOEMA data (∼6 kpc) was not sufficient for us to perform such analysis. Therefore, we focused on analyzing the spectral shape of the [CII] line in order to search for signs of mergers.

We noted in Sect. 3 that the [CII] spectrum of J1429+5447 shows a broader component when extracted with an aperture ($R = 1.77$). We fit this spectrum with two Gaussians. The two components have redshifts $z_{\text{broad}} = 6.19 ± 0.009$ and $z_{\text{narrow}} = 6.19 ± 0.003$ and $FWHM_{\text{broad}} = 650 ± 128$ km s$^{-1}$ and $FWHM_{\text{narrow}} = 253 ± 38$ km s$^{-1}$. The fit with two components is preferred by both Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC, the values are listed in Table 3), although the BIC difference does not exceed the threshold $\Delta$BIC > 10 for a strong significance (Kass & Raftery 1995). The $\chi^2$ difference implies p value of p = 0.99996 for three additional degrees of freedom or a 3.95σ significance for a broad component.

Previously, the CO(2–1) emission of J1429+5447 was observed with a resolution of ∼0.7 arcsec at 32 GHz with VLA. Wang et al. (2011) report the presence of two components of a CO(2–1) emission line where the broad component was detected at 4σ significance. The two components have redshifts $z_{\text{east}} = 6.1837$ and $FWHM_{\text{east}} = 400$ km s$^{-1}$, and $z_{\text{west}} = 6.1831$ and $FWHM_{\text{west}} = 280$ km s$^{-1}$. The widths and redshifts of the CO(2–1) line are consistent with the ones we obtained from the best fit of the [CII] line profile on the spectrum from the aperture. The similarity of the widths of the spectral profiles of the

---

3 This value is calculated considering only the quasars which are confirmed to be radio-quiet. Assuming that all quasars with an unknown radio loudness are radio-quiet, the lower limit of the merger fraction is 31%. Taking into account that the radio-loud fraction at z ≥ 6 is ~10% (Bañados et al. 2015b; Liu et al. 2021; Gloudemans et al. 2021), the upper limit of the merger fraction is ~39%.
Fig. 3. Images of the broad and narrow components and the spectra of J1429+5447 (top) and J1427+3312 (bottom). First column: the contours on the images (blue for the narrow component and yellow for the broad component). The contours correspond to (2, 3, 4, 8, 16) × σ. Second column: the spectra (blue line) and the fit with two Gaussians (black solid line). The magenta dashed lines are broad and narrow components of the fit with two Gaussians. The shaded areas show the channels used to produce corresponding images of the broad and narrow components.

CO(2–1) and [CII] lines suggests that the [CII] emission arises from the same structure as the reported CO(2–1) emission. In that case, J1429+5447 is likely to be a merger or two gravitationally interacting sources.

We cannot completely separate the narrow component from the broad one, but by averaging the channels within 1.2×FWHM of the broad component and excluding all the channels across 1.2×FWHM of the narrow component, we can obtain an image with little contamination from the narrow component. In order to obtain an image of the narrow component, we averaged the channels across 1.2×FWHMnarrow. These channels still contain emission from the broad component, but the emission from the narrow component is comparable to the broad one or it dominates it. We show the images for the narrow and broad components in Fig. 3. The [CII] emitting regions of the broad and narrow component still overlap and we cannot make firm conclusions as to whether they could be separated as the CO(2–1) line emission observed by Wang et al. (2011). The difference in AIC is not significant (see Table 3). We conclude that the spectrum of J0309+2717 is represented by one Gaussian.

In Sect. 3, we noted that J1429+3312 is unresolved and that extracting the spectrum with an aperture results in a lower signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). Therefore, we tested the fit with one and two Gaussians on the spectrum extracted from the brightest pixel. The fit with two Gaussians revealed a broad component of [CII] emission with FWHMbroad ∼ 1400 km s⁻¹. The narrow component has FWHMnarrow = 343 ± 113 km s⁻¹ consistent with the width of the fit with a single Gaussian. The width of the broad component is consistent with what is expected for outflows.

The existence of the outflows in host galaxies of radio-quiet quasars is debated in the literature (Maiolino et al. 2012; Cicone et al. 2015; Decarli et al. 2018; Bischetti et al. 2019; Novak et al. 2020; Meyer et al. 2022). Individual radio-quiet quasars observed at νrest ∼ 1900 GHz predominantly have single Gaussian profiles of [CII] line with FWHM[CII] ∼ 350 km s⁻¹ (Decarli et al. 2018; Novak et al. 2020). Maiolino et al. (2012) and Cicone et al. (2015) found such outflows in the host galaxy of J1148+5251, but later observations of this object by Meyer et al. (2022) using a larger number of antennas and the new wide-band correlator PolyFiX of NOEMA did not confirm the presence of a [CII] outflow in the host galaxy. Decarli et al. (2018) approached the search for outflows using the stacking of ALMA [CII] observations and did not find outflow signatures. On the contrary, Bischetti et al. (2019) report evidence of a weak broad component with FWHM[CII] = 1730 ± 210 km s⁻¹ in the stacked spectrum. However, Novak et al. (2020) show that the results depend on the stacking technique. They used the stacking
in the $uv$ plane instead of the image plane and did not find any indication of the broad component.

J1427+3312 was previously classified as a BAL quasar (McGreer et al. 2006; Shen et al. 2019). Hence, the host galaxy of this quasar can have high-velocity outflows. We separated the broad and narrow components of J1427+3312 in the same way as described above for J1429+5447 and show the results in Fig. 3. We found only 3rd emission on the image of the broad component close to the optical position of the quasar. The fit with two Gaussians, however, is discarded by BIC (see Table 3). The AIC neither discards nor confirms the presence of the second Gaussian. As an additional test, we compared the fit with one and two Gaussians to the spectrum extracted with an aperture. The broad component with a width compatible with an outflow scenario ($FWHM_{\text{broad}} \sim 940 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) appears in the fit with two Gaussians to this spectrum as well. Both the BIC and AIC for that fit neither discard nor confirm the broad component. Since the [CII] emission line of J1427+3312 is rather faint and the S/N is low given the sensitivity of our observations, the presence of high-velocity outflows in J1427+3312 requires further investigation with higher sensitivity follow-up observations.

4.2. The effects of the jet on star formation

The presence of radio jets can enhance as well as quench star formation in the host galaxy. Mandal et al. (2021) suggest that both effects are present with increased SFR closer to the center of the host galaxy and decreased SFR on the outskirts. The IR and [CII] observations have been extensively used to estimate SFRs of host galaxies of radio-quiet quasars (e.g., Decarli et al. 2018; Venemans et al. 2020). Their SFRs reach up to $\sim 2500 \ M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ with a median of the SFR distribution at $\sim 250 \ M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. Here we compare these results with our measurements for the host galaxies of $z \geq 6$ radio-loud quasars.

To determine the IR luminosities, we used the modified blackbody (MBB) model and optically thin approximation (Beelen et al. 2006):

$$S_{\nu, \text{obs}} = f_{\text{MBB}} \frac{1 + z}{D_L^2} \frac{2h\nu_\text{rest}^3}{c^2} \frac{M_{\text{dust}}}{\nu_\text{obs} \kappa_{\text{dust}}(\nu_\text{obs})},$$

where $f_{\text{MBB}}$ is a correction for the cosmic microwave background (CMB) contrast, $D_L$ is the luminosity distance, $\kappa_{\text{dust}}(\nu_\text{obs})$ is the opacity law, $M_{\text{dust}}$ is the dust mass, $T_{\text{dust}}$ is the dust temperature at a given redshift, $\beta$ is the emissivity index and $\nu_\text{rest} = (1 + z)\nu_\text{obs}$ is the rest frame frequency. For the opacity law, we assume values of $\kappa_{\text{dust}}(\nu) = 2.64 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ at $\nu_0 = \nu/(125 \mu\text{m})$ from Dunne et al. (2003). The dust temperature heated by the CMB as follows:

$$T_{\text{dust}} = (T_\text{dust}^4 + T_{\text{CMB, z=0}}^4)[(1 + c\beta^4 + 1)]^{\beta/4} + 1,$$

where $T_{\text{dust}}$ is the intrinsic dust temperature and $T_{\text{CMB, z=0}}$ is the CMB temperature at $z = 0$ (da Cunha et al. 2013). We assume $T_{\text{dust}} = 47 \text{ K}$ and an emissivity index of $\beta = 1.6$ (Beelen et al. 2006). The CMB contrast is defined as follows:

$$f_{\text{MBB}} = 1 - B_{\nu_\text{obs}}(T_{\text{CMB}, z})/B_{\nu_\text{obs}}(T_{\text{dust}}),$$

where $T_{\text{CMB}, z}$ is the CMB temperature at redshift $z$ and $B_{\nu_\text{obs}}$ is the black body radiation spectrum. We scaled the MBB to the continuum flux density at $\nu_\text{rest} \sim 1900 \text{ GHz}$ and obtained the IR luminosity by integrating between $8 \mu\text{m}$ and $1000 \mu\text{m}$ (see Fig. 2). We converted the IR luminosity to SFR using the Kennicutt (1998) relation:

$$\text{SFR}_{\text{IR}} = \kappa_{\text{IR}} L_{\text{IR}},$$

where $L_{\text{IR}}$ is the IR luminosity and $\kappa_{\text{IR}} = 10^{-10} \ M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} L_\odot^{-1}$ is a conversion factor assuming a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function. We used the De Looze et al. (2014) relation to convert [CII] luminosities to SFRs:

$$L_{\text{CII}}/M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} = 3 \times 10^{-9} \frac{L_{\text{CII}}}{L_\odot}^{1.18}.$$
Table 4. IR and [CII] luminosities and SFRs of radio-loud quasars at $z \geq 6$.

| Quasar        | $\log(L_{IR}/L_\odot)$ | $\log(L_{[CII]}/L_\odot)$ | $\log$ SFR$_{IR}(M_\odot\text{yr}^{-1})$ | $\log$ SFR$_{[CII]}(M_\odot\text{yr}^{-1})$ |
|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| J0309+2717    | $13.07 \pm 0.01$         | $9.40 \pm 0.03$            | $3.07 \pm 0.01$                       | $2.57 \pm 0.04$                       |
| J1427+3312    | $11.68_{-0.16}^{+0.11}$  | $8.82_{-0.07}^{+0.06}$     | $1.68_{-0.15}^{+0.11}$               | $1.88_{-0.09}^{+0.07}$               |
| J1429+5447    | $12.92 \pm 0.02$         | $9.55_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$     | $2.92 \pm 0.02$                       | $2.75 \pm 0.03$                       |
| P172+18       | $<11.61^{(a)}$           | $<8.37^{(a)}$              | $<1.61^{(a)}$                        | $<1.35^{(a)}$                        |
| J2318–3113    | $12.02_{-0.11}^{+0.09}$  | $9.20 \pm 0.04$            | $2.02_{-0.11}^{+0.09}$               | $2.33 \pm 0.05$                       |
| P352–15       | $11.93 \pm 0.50$         | $9.09_{-0.08}^{+0.06}$     | $1.93 \pm 0.50$                      | $2.21_{-0.08}^{+0.08}$               |

Notes. (a) The upper limits are at 3$\sigma$. (b) The flux density measurements are taken from Venemans et al. (2020). The luminosities and SFRs were recalculated following the approach in Sect. 4.2.

Fig. 4. SFRs of radio-quiet (grey circles) and radio-loud (colored symbols) quasars at $z \geq 6$ using [CII] and IR luminosities as SFR tracers. The radio-loud quasars from our sample are shown as squares and those from the literature are shown as thin diamonds. Colors for radio-loud quasars are the same as in Fig. 2. The solid line shows the one-to-one relation. The SFR (luminosity) distributions of radio-quiet quasars are shown (grey histograms). The dashed lines show the 16th, 50th, and 84th percentiles of the distributions for radio-quiet quasars. References for the literature measurements are in the main text in Sect. 4.2.

Jet decouples from the disk, this effect weakens and the positive feedback becomes stronger (Mandal et al. 2021). The ages of the jet were previously estimated for two quasars in our sample. Momjian et al. (2008) estimated the age of J1427+3312 to be $\sim 10^3$ yr based on the typical advance speed of CSOs and the distance between the radio lobes. P172+18 was classified as a compact steep spectrum (CSS) radio source (Momjian et al. 2021). Assuming a typical advance speed for such objects, the age of the jet of P172+18 is $\sim 1700$ yr. Both of these quasars with very young jets have faint [CII] and FIR continuum emission and low SFRs which is consistent with the expectation that negative feedback plays a more important role for younger sources. However, it is necessary to obtain the estimates of the jet age for the remaining quasars in the sample to confirm this scenario.

We note that in deriving SFRs, we assumed that [CII] and IR luminosities are related only to the star formation in the host galaxies. This is a reasonable assumption for radio-quiet quasars at $z \geq 6$ (Venemans et al. 2017; Novak et al. 2019; Pensabene et al. 2021; Meyer et al. 2022; Decarli et al. 2022), but it has not yet been tested for radio-loud ones. If AGN-related sources of [CII] and IR emission are significant, we are overestimating the SFRs.

In our measurements of SFR$_{IR}$, we assumed that the FIR continuum emission is attributed to the cold dust only. However, this is not always the case for radio-loud quasars.
Rojas-Ruiz et al. (2021) show that the FIR continuum emission of P352-15, one of the most powerful radio-loud quasars known in the early Universe (Bañados et al. 2018b), cannot be reproduced by using a MBB model only. Therefore, the synchrotron emission from the jet can contribute to the FIR continuum emission.

The [CII] emission can arise from photon-dominated regions (PDR) associated with the star formation in the host galaxy or from the X-ray dominated region (XDR) where the gas is affected by the X-ray photons from the AGN or from shocks. The X-ray radiation heats the gas and can potentially cause negative AGN feedback on star formation. One way to determine whether XDR contributes to a significant fraction of the [CII] emission is by measuring the [CII]/[CI] luminosity ratio. XDR and PDR models show that [CI] is more abundant in XDR (e.g., Meijerink et al. 2007). The observations of [CI] and [CII] emission lines in radio-quiet quasars point to the PDR origin of their [CII] emission (Venemans et al. 2017; Novak et al. 2019; Pensabene et al. 2021; Meyer et al. 2022; Decarli et al. 2022), but the [CII] emission line has not yet been observed for any of the quasars in our sample.

Notably, the two quasars with the brightest [CII] emission in our sample are also the brightest X-ray sources known at $z \geq 6$ (Medvedev et al. 2020, 2021). Their intrinsic X-ray radiation could be lower because X-ray luminosity can be enhanced by the inverse Compton scattering of the CMB photons by electrons in the jets, which is particularly important at high redshift (e.g., Ighina et al. 2021b; Connor et al. 2021; Medvedev et al. 2021). Nevertheless, the observed high X-ray luminosities of these two quasars can be an indication of a higher XDR contribution to the [CII] emission than in quasars with moderate or low X-ray luminosities.

In addition, [CII] can also arise from shocks produced by interactions between jets and the gas in the host galaxy (e.g., Appleton et al. 2018; Smirnova-Pinchukova et al. 2019) or in mergers, where the shocks occur due to collision of gas-rich galaxies (e.g., Appleton et al. 2013; Peterson et al. 2018). All the quasars in our sample have evidence of jets. Therefore, it is plausible that a fraction of the [CII] emission we observed originates from shocks. In addition, J2318+3113 has been classified as a galaxy merger (Neelam et al. 2021) and we have proposed J1429+5447 as another candidate where strong gravitational interactions could explain the bright [CII] emission observed in these two sources.

Our current data do not allow us to determine the origin of FIR emission and [CII] line emission and it is possible that both SFR$_{\text{IR}}$ and SFR$_{\text{CII}}$ are overestimated. If this is the case, the SFRs for radio-loud quasars would then all be below the 64th percentile of the distribution for radio-quiet quasars (based on [CII]). The lack of highly star-forming host galaxies can be either due to stronger negative feedback from the jet or too small of a sample size.

5. Conclusions
We observed [CII] and underlying continuum of four (out of five known) radio-loud quasars at $z > 6$ with NOEMA. Four radio-loud quasars were robustly detected in [CII] and their underlying continuum (three from our NOEMA survey and one from previous ALMA observations). P17+18, the highest-redshift radio-loud quasar known to date ($z = 6.8$), remained undetected (Fig. 1).

The spectral profiles of the [CII] line differ between the three detected host galaxies in our sample. The spectral profile of J1427+3312 is best described by one Gaussian. However, the fit with two Gaussians suggests a possible presence of the broad component with FWHM $\sim$ 900–1400 km s$^{-1}$, which can be associated with high-velocity outflows. The S/N of the current data is insufficient to make firm conclusions. J0309+2717 has a spectral profile well reproduced by a single Gaussian which is similar to what was observed in radio-quiet quasars. J1429+5447 shows a clear signature of two components with widths FWHM$^\text{broad} = 650\pm128$ km s$^{-1}$ and FWHM$^\text{narrow} = 253\pm38$ km s$^{-1}$. Based on their similarity to the CO(2–1) line observed with VLA (Wang et al. 2011), we conclude that the host galaxy of J1429+5447 is likely a merger. J2318–3113 is another radio-loud quasar hosted in a galaxy merger (Neelam et al. 2021). This makes the fraction of mergers among host galaxies of radio-loud quasars >40% at $z > 6$. Since our current data do not allow us to determine whether the host galaxies of the remaining three quasars are mergers, no conclusions can be made about the evolution of the merger fraction with redshift for radio-loud quasars. The [CII] and IR luminosity distributions of radio-loud quasars are comparable with that of radio-quiet quasars (Fig. 4). If the [CII] emission and underlying continuum emission is only linked to the SFR in the host galaxy, the properties of host galaxies of radio-loud quasars are thus similar to the radio-quiet population covering the same range of SFRs. However, other mechanisms could be contributing to the [CII] and IR emission present in radio-loud quasars. The FIR flux can include a contribution from the synchrotron emission, while part of both the measured FIR and [CII] emission could originate from XDR or the shocks from an interaction between the jet and the ISM. If this is the case, the SFRs of the host galaxies are overestimated and can be lower than in radio-quiet quasars. This would imply negative feedback from the jet. Verifying this hypothesis requires more measurements of the rest-frame FIR continuum and radio slope at high frequencies and multilane data.

Acknowledgements. Based on observations carried out under project number S19DN and S20CY with the IRAM NOEMA Interferometer. IRAM is supported by INSU/CNRS (France), MPG (Germany) and IGN (Spain). Y.K. thanks the IRAM staff for help provided during the observations and for data reduction. S.R.R. acknowledges financial support from the International Max Planck Research School for Astronomy and Cosmic Physics at the University of Heidelberg (IMPRS-HD). R.A.M. F.W. acknowledge support from the ERC Advanced Grant 740246 (Cosmic_Gas). The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

References
Andika, I. T., Jahke, K., Onoue, M., et al. 2020, ApJ, 903, 34
Appleton, P. N., Guillard, P., Boulesteix, R., et al. 2013, ApJ, 777, 16
Appleton, P. N., Diaz-Santos, T., Fadda, D., et al. 2018, ApJ, 869, 61
Bañados, E., Decarli, R., Walter, F., et al. 2015a, ApJ, 805, L8
Bañados, E., Venemans, B. P., Morganson, E., et al. 2015b, ApJ, 804, 118
Bañados, E., Venemans, B. P., Mazzucchelli, C., et al. 2018a, Nature, 553, 473
Bañados, E., Carilli, C., Walter, F., et al. 2018b, ApJ, 861, L14
Bañados, E., Mazzucchelli, C., Momjian, E., et al. 2021, ApJ, 909, 80
Baan, A., Cox, P., Benford, D. J., et al. 2006, ApJ, 642, 694
Belladitta, S., Moretti, A., Caccianiga, A., et al. 2020, A&A, 635, L7
Bischetti, M., Maiolino, R., Carniani, S., et al. 2019, A&A, 630, A59
Boogaard, L., Meyer, R. A., & Novak, M. 2021, https://doi.org/10.5281/Zenodo.5775683
Carilli, C. L., & Walter, F. 2013, ARA&A, 51, 105
Chabrier, G. 2003, PASP, 115, 763
Chiaberge, M., Gilli, R., Lotz, J. M., & Norman, C. 2015, ApJ, 806, 147
Cicone, C., Maiolino, R., Gallerani, S., et al. 2015, A&A, 574, A14

A39, page 9 of 10
