Structural basis of DNA gyrase inhibition by antibacterial QPT-1, anticancer drug etoposide and moxifloxacin
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New antibacterials are needed to tackle antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Type IIA topoisomerases (topo2As), the targets of fluoroquinolones, regulate DNA topology by creating transient double-strand DNA breaks. Here we report the first co-crystal structures of the antibacterial QPT-1 and the anticancer drug etoposide with Staphylococcus aureus DNA gyrase, showing binding at the same sites in the cleaved DNA as the fluoroquinolone moxifloxacin. Unlike moxifloxacin, QPT-1 and etoposide interact with conserved GyrB TOPRIM residues rationalizing why QPT-1 can overcome fluoroquinolone resistance. Our data show etoposide’s antibacterial activity is due to DNA gyrase inhibition and suggests other anticancer agents act similarly. Analysis of multiple DNA gyrase co-crystal structures, including asymmetric cleavage complexes, led to a ‘pair of swing-doors’ hypothesis in which the movement of one DNA segment regulates cleavage and religation of the second DNA duplex. This mechanism can explain QPT-1’s bacterial specificity. Structure-based strategies for developing topo2A antibacterials are suggested.
Fluoroquinolone antibacterials and several classes of anti-cancer agents function by generation of ‘poison’ complexes between type II A topoisomerases (topo2As) and DNA. Structures of such ‘poison’ complexes such as the fluoroquinolone moxifloxacin with DNA and a bacterial topo2A, and of the anticancer drug etoposide with DNA and human Top2β, showed the drugs bound in the DNA at the cleavage sites making specific interactions with the proteins and inhibiting DNA religation (Fig. 1). Two new classes of antibacterials that target the DNA gate of bacterial topo2As are currently in phase II clinical trials: gepotidacin (pronounced Jepotidacin-a-cin, formerly GS2140944 (ref. 8)), a novel bacterial topoisomerase inhibitor (NBTI) related to GS299423 (ref. 9), and AZD0914 (refs 10–13), a derivative of QPT-1 (quinoline pyrimidine trione-1) (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Bacteria have two well-conserved topo2As, DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV (topo IV), which allow for dual targeting by antibacterials, affording reduced spontaneous resistance. DNA gyrase consists of two subunits, GyrA and GyrB, and functions as an A-B2 tetramer, as does topo IV, which consists of ParC and ParE subunits. Topo2As regulate DNA topology by creating a four base-pair-staggered double-stranded break (DSB) in one DNA duplex, passing another DNA duplex through this break and then resealing the break (Fig. 1). The two catalytic gates of the enzyme, the amino-terminal ATP gate and the central DNA-cleavage gate, are each targeted by multiple antibacterial agents. No inhibitors of the ATPas domain (ATP gate) are currently in clinical use; in contrast, the highly successful quinolone/fluoroquinolone antibacterials have been in clinical use for nearly 50 years, with new members of this class currently in development. The primary interaction of fluoroquinolones with the protein is via a water-metal ion bridge to two conserved residues on GyrA (Ser84 and Glu88 in S. aureus GyrA); these two residues are the most commonly mutated in clinical isolates resistant to fluoroquinolones. Residues equivalent to Ser84 and Glu88 are conserved in bacterial topo2As, but the corresponding residues in mammalian topo2As are different, accounting for much of the specificity of fluoroquinolones. Eukaryotic topo2As (such as human Top2α and Top2β, and yeast Top II) function as homodimers, with regions equivalent to GyrB and GyrA encoded at the N- and carboxy-terminal ends, respectively, of a single polypeptide. Human topo2As are the targets of several anticancer agents including doxorubicin, amascrine, mitoxantrone and etoposide, with the latter in clinical use for over 30 years. A high-resolution structure of etoposide with hTop2β showed the drug bound in the cleaved DNA making interactions with Glu778 and Met782.

QPT-1 (Fig. 1) represents a novel class of antibacterial compounds targeting bacterial DNA gyrase and topo IV, with a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity and good selectivity with respect to human Top2α. The class is reported to overcome target-mediated fluoroquinolone resistance and has attracted significant industry effort, with several hundred analogues reported in the scientific and patent literature from multiple companies. However, the structural and mechanistic basis of action of this class and the mechanism by which it overcomes fluoroquinolone cross-resistance have not previously been reported.

In this study we show that etoposide and other anticancer agents also have a surprising level of activity against a range of bacteria, and that this is due to inhibition of DNA gyrase. We also report the first co-crystal structures of DNA-cleavage complexes for QPT-1, as well as co-crystal structures for etoposide and moxifloxacin. Our structures include the first asymmetric topo2A DNA-cleavage complexes, showing that inhibitors can stabilize an asymmetric conformation of the DNA gate. Out of the diversity of inhibitors discussed, important generalizable themes and insights emerge for overcoming fluoroquinolone resistance using new chemistries and binding strategies, including targeting the GyrB TOPRIM domain.

**Results**

**Structures of S. aureus gyrase DNA-cleavage complexes.** As part of a structure-based approach to develop novel bacterial topo2A pharmacophores, we co-crystallized and determined structures of DNA-cleavage complexes of the S. aureus B27-A56(GKdel) gyrase with QPT-1, etoposide and moxifloxacin (Figs 1–3, Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 1). In DNA-cleavage assays, QPT-1 and moxifloxacin stabilized DSBs with S. aureus DNA gyrase, whereas etoposide stabilized both single-stranded breaks (SSB) and DSBs (Fig. 2e,f and Table 2). Compound-stabilized DNA-cleavage complexes between type IIA topoisomerases (topo2As) and of the anticancer drug etoposide with DNA and human topo2A function by generation of ‘poison’ complexes showing that inhibitors can stabilize an asymmetric conformation of the DNA gate. Out of the diversity of inhibitors discussed, important generalizable themes and insights emerge for overcoming fluoroquinolone resistance using new chemistries and binding strategies, including targeting the GyrB TOPRIM domain.

**Figure 1 | Schematics of S. aureus DNA gyrase cleavage complexes with inhibitors.** Chemical structures of (a) QPT-1, (b) etoposide and (c) moxifloxacin (with associated magnesium ion). (d) Schematic of structure of S. aureus gyrase DNA-cleavage complex containing two inhibitors binding in the cleaved DNA-blocking re-ligation. By convention, nucleotides are numbered relative to the cleavage sites. (e) DNA gyrase consists of two subunits: GyrB and GyrA. The S. aureus gyrase (GyrA) construct used to determine crystal structures reported in this study is a fusion of the G-DNA (G-DNA), to regulate DNA topology.

![Diagram of DNA gyrase complex](image-url)
activities of the *S. aureus* gyrase<sup>comp</sup> protein were comparable to that of the wild-type protein (Supplementary Figs 3 and 4), indicating the gyrase<sup>comp</sup> truncate used in crystal studies was functionally active.

All DNA-cleavage complex structures solved with QPT-1 and moxifloxacin contain two inhibitor molecules bound (Fig. 2 for structures of complexes). With etoposide and moxifloxacin, we solved 2.80 and 2.95 Å DNA-cleavage complex structures in a P2₁ unit cell with two complexes in the asymmetric unit (Table 1). With QPT-1, in addition to solving a 3.15 Å structure in this P2₁ cell, we also solved a 2.50-Å QPT-1 structure in a P6₁ cell with one complex in the asymmetric unit; the three QPT-1 complexes were similar but not identical (see bottom line of Table 1 (for nomenclature) and below). In all the cleavage complexes reported here, there was clear density for compounds at both cleavage sites (Supplementary Fig. 2) and for the phosphotyrosine bond formed between Tyr123/Tyr123′ of GyrA and the DNA (residues with a ‘ suffix are from the second subunit in the dimer).

In addition to the *S. aureus* cleavage complexes described, we also solved a 2.45-Å structure with a single etoposide bound (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Fig. 2k). The DNA used for this structure was doubly nicked, with artificial breaks in the DNA backbone at both cleavage sites. We further solved a 2.65-Å binary complex (Table 1) with this DNA (without compound).

**Figure 2 | *S. aureus* gyrase DNA-cleavage activity and crystal structures with three different inhibitors.** (a) The structure of the 2.5 Å ba<sub>b</sub>ba<sub>a</sub>–QPT complex viewed down the twofold axis. QPT-1 (red space fill) with gyrase<sup>core</sup> (grey/green C<sub>α</sub> trace) and cleaved DNA (backbone trace, orange; sticks, carbons grey/green; oxygens, red; nitrogens, blue; phosphorus, orange) with two QPT-1 molecules bound. The three structural domains that make up the DNA gate are labelled. It is noteworthy that the WHD (winged helical domain) is underneath the DNA in this view. (b) BA_BA<sub>a</sub>–moxi complex of etoposide (2.8 Å; blue space fill) with gyrase<sup>core</sup> and DNA with two etoposide molecules bound. It is noteworthy that this complex is asymmetric, with the labelled Tower and TOPRIM domains further apart than in other complexes shown (double arrow). (c) BA_BA<sub>a</sub>–etop complex of etoposide (2.8 Å; blue space fill) with gyrase<sup>core</sup> and doubly nicked DNA, which has only one etoposide bound. Apart from the compound, the complex is C2 symmetric. (d) ba<sub>b</sub>b<sub>a</sub>–etop complex of etoposide (2.45 Å; blue space fill) with gyrase<sup>core</sup> and doubly nicked DNA, which has only one etoposide bound. Apart from the compound, the complex is C2 symmetric. (e) DNA-cleavage assay showing moxifloxacin and QPT-1 stabilize DSB with *S. aureus* DNA gyrase, whereas etoposide stabilizes both SSB and DSB. Each compound (100 μM) was incubated with supercoiled pBR322 and full-length *S. aureus* gyrase as described in Methods. (f) The etoposide-stabilized SSB and DSB persist over a wide range of etoposide concentrations with *S. aureus* DNA gyrase.

The basic binding modes for the three inhibitors are shown in Fig. 3. Small variations in the binding modes for the same compound in different complexes were observed (see below). In the 2.95-Å *S. aureus* moxifloxacin structure (Fig. 3d) the critical interactions via the water–ion bridge<sup>18</sup> with GyrA Ser84 and Glu88 are similar to those we described with a Gram-negative topo IV<sup>6</sup>. The binding mode for etoposide in *S. aureus* (Fig. 3c) is similar to that observed in the 2.1 Å human topo2β complex<sup>7</sup>, which has two etoposide molecules bound.

**QPT-1 binding is at the same site as moxifloxacin.** The co-crystal structures with QPT-1 are novel and demonstrate for the first time that the functional inhibition of topo2As by QPT-1 is similar to that of fluoroquinolones, despite a very different chemical structure, supporting the biochemical data in which QPT-1 induces double-strand cleavage of DNA mediated by DNA gyrase (Fig. 2a,e and Supplementary Fig. 2). Similar to the fluoroquinolones, QPT-1 is bound between the +1 and −1 bases at the DNA cleavage site inhibiting DNA re-ligation. This establishes that the clinically validated inhibition mechanism of the fluoroquinolones is achievable with very different interactions from a completely different chemotype (Fig. 3a,d). QPT-1 is bound in overlapping space with the fluoroquinolones but the
Figure 3 | Binding sites for QPT-1, etoposide and moxifloxacin in S. aureus DNA gyrase complexes. (a) QPT-1 structure (2.5 Å) with S. aureus gyraseCORE. The central oxygen of the barbituric acid moiety of QPT-1 (pink carbons) interacts with the main-chain N–H of Asp437 of GyrB (dotted black lines). Water molecules (small red spheres) mediate interactions from the barbituric acid nitrogens of QPT-1 to the protein. DNA shown in green or black carbons. The second GyrB/A subunits are labelled as prime. A different view of the Asp437 interaction of GyrB with barbituric acid of QPT-1 is shown in the inner panel. (b) Schematic showing how QPT-1 binds in the cleaved DNA and interacts with GyrB via its barbituric acid moiety (arrowhead). The insert panel shows the DNA gate opening. (c) Etoposide structure (2.8 Å) with S. aureus gyraseCORE. It is noteworthy that the central oxygen of the dimethoxy phenol group of etoposide (blue carbons) interacts with both the side-chain and main-chain N–H of Asp437 of GyrB. A different view of the Asp437 interaction with the dimethoxy phenol of etoposide is shown in the inner panel. (d) Moxifloxacin structure (2.95 Å) with S. aureus gyraseCORE. Moxifloxacin (orange carbons) interacts with Ser84 and Glu88 of GyrA via the magnesium–water ion bridge (dotted orange lines). In all structures shown, a phosphotyrosine linkage exists between Tyr123 of GyrA and DNA (G1 nucleotide is shown as black, light sticks so that compound is not hidden).

Table 1 | Summary of six co-crystal structures.

| Compound                  | PDB CODE | Space group | Resolution (Å) | No. of complexes bound per complex | No. of complexes in AU | Names of complexes in AU† |
|---------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| S. aureus gyraseCORE protein |                       |             |                |                                    |                       |                           |
| Wild type                 | 5CDM     | P61         | 2.50           | 2                                  | ba_ba\textsuperscript{2–QPT} | 2                         |
| Wild type                 | 5CDQ     | P61         | 2.95           | 1                                  | ba_ba\textsuperscript{3–} | 1                         |

AU, asymmetric unit; Etop, etoposide; Moxi, moxifloxacin; QPT-1, quinoline pyrimidine trione-1. See Supplementary Table 1 and Srikannathasan et al.\textsuperscript{12} for crystallographic details.

The four cleavage complexes in the Table are all double-strand cleaved with a covalent phosphotyrosine bond linking the cleaved DNA to the protein (Y123). Crystallization with DNAs, with artificial nicks in the DNA at both cleavage sites, more readily gives crystals; two of the complexes in the Table have nicked DNA, these are not cleavage complexes.

The four cleavage complexes in the Table are all double-strand cleaved with a covalent phosphotyrosine bond linking the cleaved DNA to the protein (Y123). Crystallization with DNAs, with artificial nicks in the DNA at both cleavage sites, more readily gives crystals; two of the complexes in the Table have nicked DNA, these are not cleavage complexes. The second complex in the dimer is distinguished from the first by being in a different view of the Asp437 interaction of GyrB with barbituric acid of QPT-1 is shown in the inner panel. (d) Moxifloxacin structure (2.95 Å) with S. aureus gyraseCORE. Moxifloxacin (orange carbons) interacts with Ser84 and Glu88 of GyrA via the magnesium–water ion bridge (dotted orange lines). In all structures shown, a phosphotyrosine linkage exists between Tyr123 of GyrA and DNA (G1 nucleotide is shown as black, light sticks so that compound is not hidden).

binding mode is strikingly different (Fig. 3). QPT-1 interacts with residues in the TOPRIM domain of GyrB, with the barbituric acid moiety of QPT-1 (Supplementary Fig. 1) packing under Arg458 and making a hydrogen bond to the main-chain N–H of Asp437 (Fig. 3a), and quite a close interaction with the main-chain CH\textsubscript{2} of Gly436 (Fig. 4). QPT-1 also makes an indirect hydrogen bond via a water molecule to the side chain of Asp437. The interaction of QPT-1 with Asp437 somewhat resembles that made by the central hydroxyl of etoposide (Fig. 3a, c inserts and Supplementary Fig. 2). Etoposide-resistant strains of S. aureus with a D437N or D437A mutation (see below and Table 2b) are also resistant to QPT-1. Arg458 and Asp437 are from two
Table 2 | Target potencies and antibacterial activities of inhibitors.

| a | CC50 or IVR IC50 (µM)* |
|---|-------------------------|
| **QPT-1** | **Etoposide** | **Moxifloxacin** |
| DNA cleavage | | |
| *S. aureus* DNA gyrase† | 8.8 | 107 | 2.5† |
| Human Top2α | >800 | 21 | >800 |
| *E. coli* DNA gyrase | 8.6 | 113 | 0.6† |
| *E. coli* gyrase-dependent IVR assay | 0.34 | 4.3 | 0.17 |

| b | MIC (µg ml⁻¹) |
|---|----------------|
| **QPT-1** | **Etoposide** | **Moxifloxacin** |
| *S. aureus* RN4220 | 0.5 | 64 | 0.063 |
| *S. aureus* RN4220 GyrB D437N | 4 | 128 | 0.063 |
| *S. aureus* RN4220 GyrB D437A | 2 | 256 | 0.063 |
| *S. pneumoniae* 1629 | — | 2 | 0.031 |
| *S. pneumoniae* 1629 GyrB R447C | — | 16 | 0.094 |
| *S. pneumoniae* 1629 GyrB ΔL407–S410 | — | 16 | 0.047 |
| *E. coli* 7623 | 8 | >256 | ≤0.016 |
| *E. coli* 7623 ΔtolC | 0.063 | 0.75 | ≤0.016 |

IC₅₀, half-maximal inhibitory concentration; IVR, in vitro DNA replication; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; QPT-1, quinoline pyrimidine trione-1; ‘—’, not measured.

(a) Activity in topoisomerase biochemical assays.
(b) Antimicrobial susceptibility against *S. aureus*, *S. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* strains.

See Supplementary Tables 3–6 for a full set of enzyme activity and MIC data.

*IC₅₀ is defined as the amount of compound required to inhibit 50% of maximal DNA replication activity. Values are averages of at least two independent studies.
†Full-length, wild-type form of *S. aureus* DNA gyrase protein.
‡DNA cleavage data from Black et al. 61.

Figure 4 | Comparison of QPT-1-binding sites. (a) Close-up of QPT-1-binding site from ba_ba²–QPT, BA_BA²–QPT and BA_BA²–QPT complexes superposed as in b. The differences in the relative positions of the G1′ nucleotides are worth noting. Hydrogens are not shown. (b) The three QPT-1 cleaved complexes ba_ba²–QPT, BA_BA²–QPT and BA_BA²–QPT, each with two QPT-1 molecules bound, were superposed using Cα atoms from both the TOPRIM and WHD domains of the ba (BA, BA) subunits (grey). Protein subunits are shown as Cα traces, except for the TOPRIM domain shown in coloured cartoon representation (bottom right). For clarity, the DNA is not shown. Relative shifts of up to 4.1Å are observed in the relative position of Arg 458 (small coloured spheres, bottom right). (c–e) Close-ups of each of the QPT-1-binding sites shown in a, but showing hydrogen atoms (white). The barbituric acid moiety has been modelled in three different tautomeric forms in the three binding sites. Dotted lines represent interactions between tautomers of QPT-1 and GyrB or DNA. It is worth noting that an internal H-bond is shown for tautomer 6 in d.
sequence motifs, PDRGK and EGDSA, which are conserved in topo2As from bacteria to man (Supplementary Fig. 5) and a similar interaction is observed in the etoposide-human Top2β complex. This sequence conservation may explain the cross-activity of etoposide between bacteria and man but does not readily explain the high bacterial selectivity of QPT-1 against human Top2α (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3).

The three different QPT-1 cleavage complexes have the DNA gate closed in different ways (Fig. 4). These complexes (ba∗ba∗-QPT, BA BA∗-QPT and BA BA∗-QPT; see Table 1) come from the 2.5- and 3.15-Å QPT-1 co-crystal structures, which were obtained under the same crystallization conditions. When the QPT-1-binding sites are compared (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 6), the largest difference is seen in the relative positions of the G1 nucleotide, which is covalently attached to the catalytic tyrosine from the opposing subunit (Fig. 3b). One possible explanation for this spatial diversity may be the range of tautomeric forms that the barbituric acid moiety of QPT-1 can adopt as the DNA gate moves at the dimer interface (Fig. 4, Supplementary Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 2). Barbituric acid and its derivatives are known to crystallize in several different keto or enol tautomeric forms, stabilized by hydrogen bonding in different crystal lattices. In docking experiments, eight tautomers of QPT-1 were evaluated in the two binding sites of each QPT-1 complex and tautomers were tentatively assigned to the different QPT-1-binding sites as described in Methods. As the central keto oxygen of the barbituric acid moiety of QPT-1 points between the NH of Asp437 and the CH2 of Gly436 of GyrB, this oxygen is unlikely to be protonated in any of the QPT-1-binding sites. In contrast, the other two oxygens on the barbituric acid were modelled as either protonated enol groups (tautomers 6 and 4 in Fig. 4d,e) or unprotonated keto groups (tautomers 1 and 4 in Fig. 4c,e). These two oxygens point towards the π-electrons of the G+1 and C−1 nucleotide bases. The tertiary ‘anilino’ nitrogen atom in the central ring of QPT-1 can also adopt different forms. In our structures, it is modelled in a near-planar sp2 configuration in five of the six QPT-1-binding sites, but in the sixth is modelled in a more tetrahedral sp3 configuration, accepting an internal hydrogen bond from a neighbouring OH group (tautomer 6 in Fig. 4d and Supplementary Table 2). The conformational flexibility of QPT-1, and its ability to adopt different tautomeric states, may allow QPT-1 to maintain favourable interactions with its binding site as the two subunits at the DNA gate move relatively to each other and the size and shape of the QPT-1-binding pocket changes.

AZD0914 is a compound related to QPT-1 (refs 11,26,27 and Supplementary Fig. 1) that is currently in a phase II clinical trial for the treatment of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. We built a model (see Methods) of AZD0914 in a DNA-cleavage complex with N. gonorrhoeae DNA gyrase, based on the 2.5-Å QPT-1 crystal structure with S. aureus gyrase. Two residues, GyrB Asp429 and Lys450, which pack against AZD0914 in our model (Fig. 5a), have been observed to give low-level resistance in laboratory-generated resistant bacteria. The potency of etoposide for DNA cleavage with S. aureus gyrase was weaker than that for human Top2α (Table 2), possibly due to the interaction of the glycosidic moiety of etoposide with Gln778 and Met782 in the human Top2β structure. In contrast, in the S. aureus etoposide structures the equivalent residues, GyrA Ser84 and Glu88, do not make specific interactions with etoposide (Fig. 3c). However, mutation of the residue equivalent to Ser84 in E. coli DNA gyrase can enhance the activity of etoposide against the bacterial enzymes and activity against wild-type bacteria had not been demonstrated to be due to topoisomerase inhibition. We found that etoposide has activity against both S. aureus and Escherichia coli topo2As, through the stabilization of DNA-cleavage complexes, and that etoposide has dual-targeting activities for gyrase and topo IV (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 3). In our co-crystal structures of etoposide with S. aureus gyrase, the central hydroxyl of the dimethoxy phenol group of etoposide (ring E in Fig. 1b) interacts with the same main-chain N–H of Asp437 as QPT-1 (Fig. 3c) as QPT-1. Hence, etoposide and QPT-1, despite having very different chemotypes, are nearly isometric, both interacting with GyrB Asp437 (Fig. 3a,c small insert panels). The potency of etoposide for DNA cleavage with S. aureus gyrase was weaker than that for human Top2α, possibly due to the interaction of the glycosidic moiety of etoposide with Gln778 and Met782 in the human Top2β structure. In contrast, in the S. aureus etoposide structures the equivalent residues, GyrA Ser84 and Glu88, do not make specific interactions with etoposide (Fig. 3c).

Etoposide is an antibacterial via inhibition of DNA gyrase. Etoposide’s activity against eukaryotic topo2As has been extensively studied including a structure in complex with human Top2β. However, there are few reports on its inhibition of bacterial enzymes or on its antibacterial activity and activity against wild-type bacteria had not been demonstrated to be due to topoisomerase inhibition. We found that etoposide has activity against both S. aureus and Escherichia coli topo2As, through the stabilization of DNA-cleavage complexes, and that etoposide has dual-targeting activities for gyrase and topo IV (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 3). In our co-crystal structures of etoposide with S. aureus gyrase, the central hydroxyl of the dimethoxy phenol group of etoposide (ring E in Fig. 1b) interacts with the same main-chain N–H of Asp437 as QPT-1 (Fig. 3c) as QPT-1. Hence, etoposide and QPT-1, despite having very different chemotypes, are nearly isometric, both interacting with GyrB Asp437 (Fig. 3a,c small insert panels). The potency of etoposide for DNA cleavage with S. aureus gyrase was weaker than that for human Top2α, possibly due to the interaction of the glycosidic moiety of etoposide with Gln778 and Met782 in the human Top2β structure. In contrast, in the S. aureus etoposide structures the equivalent residues, GyrA Ser84 and Glu88, do not make specific interactions with etoposide (Fig. 3c). However, mutation of the residue equivalent to Ser84 in E. coli DNA gyrase can enhance the activity of etoposide against the bacterial enzymes and activity against bacterial topo2A with etoposide results in functional inhibition.
of bacterial DNA replication (Table 2). Etoposide demonstrates potential cytotoxicity to mammalian cells despite modest topo2A inhibitory action, likely to be due to the generation of highly lethal ‘poison’ complexes between the enzyme and chromosomal DNA. Likewise, relatively weak inhibition of bacterial DNA gyrase results in significant in vitro DNA replication inhibition and whole-cell antibacterial activity (Table 2). Etoposide showed antibacterial activity against a range of Gram-positive pathogens and, similar to QPT-1, against efflux-deficient mutants of Gram-negative pathogens (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 4). Among Gram-positive pathogens, the most notable activity was against Streptococcus pneumoniae, with antibacterial activity retained against isogenic mutants harbouring mutations in GyrA/ParC that confer fluoroquinolone resistance (Supplementary Table 5). Whereas wild-type Gram-negative pathogens were generally insensitive to etoposide, their isogenic efflux-deficient mutants were highly sensitive, consistent with increased efflux leading to reduced access of etoposide to the intracellular target (Supplementary Table 4).

To genetically demonstrate that the antibacterial activity of etoposide was due to DNA gyrase inhibition, spontaneous mutants of S. pneumoniae and S. aureus resistant to etoposide were selected in the laboratory. DNA sequencing of gyrase and top IV genes identified mutations in DNA gyrase, including the GyrB TOPRIM domain, in resistant mutants from both species (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 6). To prove that gyrase mutation was solely responsible for the observed etoposide resistance, two of the mutations (GyrB A407–S410 and GyrB R447C) obtained in S. pneumoniae 1629 were transformed into S. pneumoniae R6. The resultant transformants contained the same mutation and were similarly resistant to etoposide as the original spontaneous mutant. This demonstrates for the first time that etoposide has an antibacterial activity against gyrase wild-type bacteria that is directly attributable to DNA gyrase inhibition.

The S. aureus and S. pneumoniae etoposide-resistant mutants were tested for their susceptibility to a selected range of other antibacterial and anticancer topo2A inhibitors (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 6) chosen for structural diversity and known structural basis of action against human topo2A20,34,35 and mapping the positions of the resistant mutants onto structures shows that, although some are footprint mutations34, others are distant from the etoposide-binding sites.

**Table 3 | Antimicrobial susceptibility to anticancer topo2A inhibitors.**

| S. aureus strain | MIC (µg ml⁻¹) | Etoposide | Teniposide | Daunorubicin | Mitoxantrone | Amsacrine | Ellipticine | GSK299423 (NBTI)* |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------|------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| RN4220 parent   | 64             | 16        | 8          | 8            | 32            | >128        | 1           | 0.016             |
| RN4220 GyrB D437N† | 128            | 32        | 8          | 8            | 32            | >128        | 1           | 0.125             |
| RN4220 GyrB D437A‡ | 256            | 32        | 8          | 8            | 8             | 32          | 1           | 0.125             |
| RN4220 GyrB D437V† | 256            | 64        | 8          | 8            | 64            | 3           | 0.125       |
| RN4220 GyrB P456L† | 256            | 32        | 4          | 4            | 4             | 32          | 1           | 0.125             |
| RN4220 GyrB ΔT403-K406 | 256           | 32        | 4          | 1.5          | 6             | 128         | 1           | 0.016             |

MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; topo2A, type IIIA topoisomerase.

Supplementary Table 6 for MIC data to DNA gyrase etoposide-resistant mutants in S. pneumoniae. MIC values are averages of two independent determinations. Significant (±4-fold) resistance and hypersensitivity are highlighted in bold and italic, respectively.

†Mutants isolated with NBTI.
‡GSK299423 is a control compound.

Structural analysis reveals asymmetric cleavage complexes. To analyse the different configurations observed at the DNA gate, 12 of our complexes of S. aureus DNA gyrase with DNA and 4 unrelated antibacterial compounds, were superposed (see Methods, Supplementary Figs 7 and 8, and Supplementary Tables 7 and 8 for details). A comprehensive comparative analysis revealed a range of conformations with two main clusters containing five and three structures, respectively, at either end of the range (Fig. 6g and Supplementary Fig. 7). We named the conformation represented by the first cluster of five structures, CRsym (for cleavage and/or re-ligation-competent symmetrical conformation, blue structures in Supplementary Fig. 7). These CRsym structures are ~C2 symmetric and include a structure with the metal ion at the A (or 3'-) site (Fig. 6c). The presence of a metal ion (Mg²⁺) at the A (or 3')-site is believed to be necessary for topo2As, to both cleave and re-ligate the DNA⁹,36,37 (see Supplementary Discussion). The catalytic CRsym-type conformation appears to be conserved between eukaryotic and prokaryotic topo2As (Supplementary Fig. 8). All the CRsym complexes have either one or no compound bound and have a larger area buried between the two subunits at the DNA gate (1,625–1,744 Å²) than is observed in the other seven complexes (Fig. 6g and Supplementary Fig. 7).

The second cluster consists of three asymmetric complexes, each of which has two compounds bound. We termed this cluster Casym (for common asymmetrical conformation, red structures in Supplementary Fig. 7). All three Casym structures (both 2.8 Å etoposide complexes with two compounds bound and one of the 3.15 Å QPT-1 complexes) have angles between two subunits at DNA gate of 173.5 ± 0.6° (compared with 179.4°–179.9° for CRsym structures) indicative of a ‘half-open’ conformation at the DNA gate (Supplementary Table 8). The Casym complexes have the smallest areas buried (852–897 Å²) between the two subunits at the DNA gate (Fig. 6g and Supplementary Figs 7 and 9). In a Casym complex, one active site is ‘open’ (Fig. 6a) with relatively little contact between the two protein subunits, whereas the other active site (Fig. 6b) is closed and is quite similar to the configuration seen in a CRsym active conformation (Fig. 6e,f). Relative to a CRsym structure, the catalytic TyrP in the Casym etoposide complexes has shifted by 4 Å on the ‘open’ half and only 1.4 Å on the closed half (Fig. 6d–f). To our knowledge, these
are the first asymmetric topo2A DNA-cleavage complexes reported and show that inhibitors can stabilize an asymmetric conformation of the DNA gate. The area buried between the two subunits in the hTOP2β etoposide complex is also small (565 Å²) but the DNA gate has cracked open in a different way in the human etoposide complex (Supplementary Fig. 10c).

The four cleavage complexes (two QPT-1 and two moxifloxacin complexes) not in the CRsym or Casym clusters have a range of configurations (angles between two subunits at DNA gate of 177.5–178.9°) intermediate between those of the two clusters. Furthermore, these intermediate complexes have buried areas between those observed for the CRsym and Casym clusters (1,203–1,526 Å², purple structures in Supplementary Fig. 7).

The area buried at a protein:protein interface is sometimes used as a surrogate for stability, suggesting that in the absence of compound the Casym half-open conformation would be the least stable of the S. aureus DNA gyrase complexes (Fig. 6).

Next, we examined the buried areas of our etoposide structures, to help explain why we capture cleaved complexes with either one or two molecules bound, and the observation that etoposide stabilizes both SSB and DSB (Fig. 2c–f). The CRsym complex with one etoposide bound has an area of some 1,653 Å² buried between the two gyrase^CORE subunits at the DNA gate (ba_ba'1-1NBTI complex in Fig. 6g). In contrast, the two ‘half-open’ Casym complexes with two etoposides bound have buried areas of only 877 and 897 Å² (BA_BA'2-etop and BA_BA'2-moxi complexes in Fig. 6g, respectively). This observation that the DNA gate can close much more fully (and presumably more stably) with one etoposide bound (Fig. 2d) than with two etoposides bound (Fig. 2c, see arrow) gives an explanation of why etoposide gives both SSBs and DSBs with S. aureus DNA gyrase (Fig. 2f and Supplementary Fig. 4). The two S. aureus gyrase complexes with two etoposides bound (BA_BA'2-etop and BA_BA'2-moxi) were both in the half-open Casym conformation.

**Figure 6 | Comparison of active sites in asymmetric (Casym) and symmetric (CRsym) S. aureus DNA gyrase complexes.** (a,b) Views of the two active sites in the Casym BA_BA'2-etop etoposide complex (the complex is asymmetric; hence, the two active site are different; this common asymmetric conformation (Casym) is also seen in the BA_BA'2 etop and BA_BA'2-QPT complexes). The cleaved DNA backbone is shown as a pink (a) or green (b) line. Surfaces (red and black) are shown on the two protein subunits (catalytic Tyr123 surface not shown for clarity). Etoposides are shown as thin black (a) or red (b) lines. The scissile phosphates are shown in red and orange sticks forming phosphotyrosine linkages with Tyr123 of GyrA. A metal ion (small purple sphere) is present at the catalytic A site. (c) View of an active site in the CRsym ba_ba'1-1NBTI complex (the complex is C2 symmetric; hence, the other active site is the same). The catalytic tyrosine has been mutated to a phenylalanine; thus, the DNA (orange line) is not cleaved. The position of the scissile phosphate is shown in stick representation for orientation. A metal ion (small black sphere) is present at the non-catalytic B site. (d) View of an active site in the BA_BA'2-moxi complex (the complex is C2 symmetric; hence, the other active site is the same). The catalytic tyrosine has been mutated to a phenylalanine; thus, the DNA (orange line) is not cleaved. The position of the scissile phosphate is shown in stick representation for orientation. A metal ion (small black sphere) is present at the non-catalytic B site. (e) Comparison of active sites in asymmetric (Casym) and symmetric (CRsym) S. aureus DNA gyrase complexes. (f) Comparison of active sites in asymmetric (Casym) and symmetric (CRsym) S. aureus DNA gyrase complexes. (g) Area buried (Å²) between the two protein subunits at the DNA gate in nine S. aureus B27A56Gkdel complexes with doubly cleaved or doubly nicked DNA (this study) and one S. aureus B27A56Gkdel complex with uncleaved DNA (ba_ba'1-1NBTI). Second complexes shown in italics in Table 1 are underlined here for clarity.
In contrast, *S. aureus* gyrase complexes with two QPT-1 or two moxifloxacin molecules bound can have relatively large areas buried between the two subunits at the DNA gate (1,203–1,526 Å² in Fig. 6g), suggesting that with two QPT-1 or moxifloxacin molecules the DNA gate can close more completely (more stably) than with two etoposides bound (this might be because etoposide is less flat and keeps the −1 and +1 bases further apart). Consistent with the different stabilities of inhibitor complexes observed at the DNA gate, QPT-1 and moxifloxacin were more potent than etoposide in DNA gyrase enzyme assays (Table 2a).

**Discussion**

The fluoroquinolones are the only class of topoisomerase inhibitors widely used as antibacterial drugs; however, their utility is threatened by target-mediated resistance. Our work reveals the structural basis for inhibition by QPT-1, the progenitor of an entirely different class of antibacterial. We find that QPT-1, similar to the fluoroquinolones, binds between the −1 and +1 bases at the DNA-cleavage site, blocking the approach of the scissile phosphate to the 3'-hydroxyl of the cleaved DNA and thereby inhibiting DNA re-ligation. However, the QPT-1 binding mode is not identical to fluoroquinolones (which interact with the GyrA subunit via the magnesium ion bridge) and had not been predicted in modelling studies. Our structure rationalizes the observed preference for QPT-1 enantiomer. This observed preference can be explained from our structure, as the dimethyl morpholino moiety sits in a pocket between the DNA and protein, and interacts with the main-chain N–H of Asp437 from GyrB; this explains why QPT-1 is active against fluoroquinolone-resistant strains and suggests ways of developing new members of this class. Our observations of six QPT-1-related binding modes (from three QPT-1 cleavage complexes) show that, although the interaction of QPT-1 with the main-chain N–H of Asp437 is conserved, the interaction with the G1 base in more variable (Fig. 4). We propose that QPT-1 can maintain favourable interactions with slightly different binding pockets because: (i) the barbituric acid can adopt different keto/enol tautomers and (ii) the anilino nitrogen of the central ring of QPT-1 can adopt a more planar sp² or a more tetrahedral sp³ conformation. As the barbituric acid is not confined to adopting a pyrimidinetrione tautomer, we suggest that a better generic name for the QPT-1 class of antibacterials would be quinoline barbituric acids.

Hundreds of QPT-1 analogues have been reported in the literature and patents. Our structure rationalizes the reported structure–activity relationship, in which the barbituric acid of QPT-1 is essential for antibacterial activity, as this moiety makes a network of adaptable interactions with GyrB and the nucleotides on either side of the cleavage site. Modifications to this region are not well tolerated and frequently lead to significant decrease of antibacterial activity (in-house unpublished data). The (+)-enantiomer of QPT-1 is reported to have greatly reduced DNA gyrase cleavage activity (~32-fold in Supplementary Fig. 3c) and antibacterial activity compared with the bioactive (−) QPT-1 enantiomer. This observed preference can be explained from our structure, as the dimethyl morpholino makes interactions with the G1 DNA base (Fig. 3a).

Modelling of AZD0914 into *N. gonorrhoeae* DNA gyrase based on our QPT-1 *S. aureus* gyrase structure rationalizes the low-level, target-mediated resistance reported for AZD0914 (ref. 12 and Fig. 5). Furthermore, our study reveals the binding pocket of QPT-1 overlays with moxifloxacin affording opportunities for scaffold hopping. The overlays suggest that the most variable region between QPT-1 and AZD0914 (Supplementary Fig. 1) could be replaced with substituents from the highly variable C7 position of the fluoroquinolones and related compounds. For example, introducing an aminomethyl pyrididinyl group at the C7 position has been reported to enhance the activity of fluoroquinolones; thus, it would be interesting to determine whether introducing the group at a similar position on the QPT-1/AZD0914 scaffold can produce more potent compounds. It might also be interesting to add a siderophore to a QPT-1 analogue, to see whether cell penetration can be improved and possibly to try to mimic the fluoroquinolone water–metal ion bridge.

Another promising attribute for antibacterial development of the quinoline barbituric acid series is their excellent selectivity towards bacterial targets. QPT-1 does not have detectable activity against human Top2ß (Table 2) and AZD0914 has low activity against human Top2ß despite the fact that the amino acids that QPT-1 contacts are conserved between bacteria and man. To try to understand the bacterial selectivity of QPT-1, we performed an extensive analysis of multiple *S. aureus* DNA gyrase co-crystal structures (see Methods, Supplementary Figs 7 and 9, and Supplementary Table 8). This led us to propose a mechanism for DNA gyrase in which the DNA gate acts like a pair of swing-doors, in that: (i) it tends to remain closed until the T-DNA is pushed through and (ii) it swings closed immediately after the passage of the T-DNA (Supplementary Fig. 11 and Supplementary Discussion). This is consistent with observations of a closed DNA gate in electron microscopy and small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) studies of DNA gyrase complexes with DNA. Our ‘swing-doors mechanism’ for DNA gyrase is an extension of existing ‘standard’ models for topo2A cleavage mechanisms but differs in a number of respects (as outlined below and detailed in Supplementary Discussion). The ‘swing-doors mechanism’ proposes (see Supplementary Fig. 11) that at (a) the DNA gate can gently rock to and fro with G-DNA bound, and that the first cleavage step takes place when movement causes a metal ion (Mg²⁺) to occupy a catalytic ‘A’ site (Supplementary Fig. 8). In the absence of a captured T-DNA segment, the tension in the stretched, now singly cleaved G-DNA is then proposed to rock the DNA gate, to relegate the cleaved DNA strand. Alternatively, at (b) in the presence of a captured T-DNA segment, and after the first cleavage step, the T-DNA attempts to push the DNA gate open and the enzyme is moved into the Casym ‘half-open’ conformation where the second cleavage step now takes place (the phosphotyrosine from the cleaved strand is too far away from the metal–binding site for re-ligation to occur in this ‘half-open’ Casym conformation). Thereafter, at (c) the first re-ligation step is proposed to be catalysed by Lys 581 from the conserved YKGLG motif, which is moved by the T-DNA interacting with the Greek Key domain (Supplementary Figs 12 and 13, the T-DNA is between the DNA gate and exit gate at this stage of the catalytic cycle). It is proposed that this ‘swing-doors’ mechanism for DNA gyrase has evolved to limit, to the minimum possible, the amount of time that the gate DNA is cleaved (because both SSBs and DSBs in the DNA can be cytotoxic). Although there are some DNA-gate conformations that appear like conformation, which we have not observed with gyrase, whereas the *S. aureus* gyrase complexes with two etoposides are in the asymmetric (Casym) conformation (which has not been observed in eukaryotic topo2A structures).

A structural explanation for QPT-1 selectivity comes from a comparison of *S. aureus* QPT-1 and etoposide structures with the
human Top2β etoposide structure\(^1\) (Fig. 7 and Supplementary Figs 10 and 14). This shows the following: (i) a dramatic difference in the position of the scissile phosphates linking the catalytic tyrosine to the cleaved DNA between the human and S. aureus-binding pockets (Fig. 7, arrowed) and (ii) a small relative movement of the main-chain N–H of Asp479 of hTop2β (equivalent to Asp437 of S. aureus GyrB) away from the key oxygen interaction of QPT-1 (Fig. 7a–c). One outcome of these observations is that with human htop2β the gap in the binding pocket between Asp479/Arg503 and the +1 nucleotide (which is covalently attached to the catalytic tyrosine by the scissile phosphate) is larger (Fig. 7d versus Fig. 7c), and may be large enough to allow QPT-1 to exit as the DNA gate closes. Although QPT-1 interacts with GyrB residues conserved between bacteria and man, suggesting that bacteria might find it difficult to develop target-mediated drug resistance, laboratory-generated strains of bacteria with resistance to AZD0914 (ref. 12) have mutated some of these highly conserved residues (Fig. 5).

In this study we reveal the first structure of QPT-1 bound to a topo2A–DNA complex, the first structure of an etoposide-inhibited complex with a bacterial topoisomerase and the first model of an asymmetric cleavage complex. We also demonstrate the activity of etoposide and other anticancer agents against bacteria is due to the inhibition of DNA gyrase. Our co-crystal structure of a DNA-cleavage complex for QPT-1 reveals QPT-1 binds at the same site in the cleaved DNA as moxifloxacin; however, unlike the fluoroquinolones, QPT-1 interacts with GyrTOPRIM residues. This binding rationalizes why QPT-1 is able to overcome target-mediated fluoroquinolone resistance. Furthermore, we provide a structural explanation of QPT-1’s bacterial specificity by the observed differences in scissile phosphate positions in human versus bacterial complexes with etoposide. Collectively, we provide new structural insights and strategies for discovering new QPT-1 antibacterials that overcome quinolone resistance, while exploiting knowledge from the fluoroquinolone class.

**Methods**

**Compounds.** QPT-1 was synthesized using published procedures with slight modifications and the (−) and (+)-enantiomers isolated as described\(^2\) in Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Fig. 16. The bioactive (−)-enantiomer of QPT-1 was used in all studies, unless stated. Teniposide was purchased from LKT Labs Inc. Other compounds were obtained from Sigma.

**Protein expression and purification.** The S. aureus fusion truncates used for crystallography, GyrB27–A56(GKdel) and GyrB27–A56(GKdel/Tyr123Phe), for simplicity renamed gyrase\(^{3,}\) and gyrase\(^{3}\) (Y123F), respectively, were expressed in E. coli BL21 DE3\(^\ast\) and purified in four steps as follows\(^2\): (1) centrifuged cell lysate in lysate buffer (20 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 0.2 mM PMSF, 1 mM benzamidine, 1 mg ml\(^{-1}\) BLAP (a protease inhibitor cocktail consisting of 1 mg ml\(^{-1}\) each of bestatin, leupeptin, aprotinin and 2 mg ml\(^{-1}\) pepstatin) pH 8.0) was loaded onto a Q Sepharose FF column and washed back to baseline in Buffer 1A (20 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM diethiothreitol (DTT), 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mM benzamidine, 1 mg ml\(^{-1}\) BLAP pH 8.0); (2) The purification pool from the first step was incubated with an additional 10 µl of benzazol for 30 min and then diluted using Buffer 2C (20 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT) to reduce the conductivity to ~6 mScm, before being loaded onto a Heparin Sepharose column equilibrated in Buffer 2A (20 mM Tris, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 0.2 mM PMSF, 1 mM benzamidine, 1 µg ml\(^{-1}\) BLAP pH 8.0), and eluted with a gradient into Buffer 2B (20 mM Tris, 1 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 0.2 mM PMSF, 1 mM benzamidine, 1 µg ml\(^{-1}\) BLAP pH 8.0) over eight column volumes. (3) The pooled protein from the Heparin Sepharose column was diluted into Buffer 2C to reduce the conductivity to ~6 mScm, before being loaded onto a 15Q anion exchange column equilibrated in Buffer 2A and eluted with a gradient into 40% Buffer 2B over 12 column volumes. (4) The pooled fractions from step 3 were concentrated using a YM30 concentrator (yields and concentrations varied in different preps), before being loaded onto a 320-ml Superdex 200 column equilibrated and eluted in Buffer 4A (20 mM Hepes, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MnCl\(_2\), pH 7.0). Post size-exclusion fractions were pooled and concentrated for crystallization. Full-length S. aureus GyrA and GyrB were expressed in E. coli and purified as described\(^9\).
Gyrase-dependent DNA replication assay. This assay is an indirect method for measuring target potency of bacterial cells that are undergoing DNA replication through the measurement of DNA gyrase-dependent extension of existing replication forks. It is determined by incorporation of 3P-DTP into DNA. Briefly, E. coli ATCC 25922 was grown to log phase (OD600 nm 0.6) at 37°C, resuspended in one-tenth volume of cold 0.05 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) and treated with 1% (w/v) of toluene for 20 min at room temperature, to render cells permeable to nucleotide substrates and cofactors. Cells were washed in PBS/C176 at 37°C to 125 of the starting volume. DNA gyrase activity was determined by measuring gyrase-dependent DNA replication in the toluenized cells by the ATP-dependent incorporation of radiolabelled 3P-DTP. Reactions typically contained at final concentrations of 1 mM DTT, 50 mM MgCl2, 3 mM dATP, 33 mM dGTP, 33 mM dCTP, 33 mM dTTP, 1.3 mM ATP, 70 mM KPO4, 2 mM DTT and 13 mM MgSO4. Reactions were incubated at 37°C for 1 h, stopped with 10% trichloroacetic acid and Surface Proximity Assay beads added for scintillation counting.

DNA cleavage assays. S. aureus DNA gyrase cleavage assays were performed according to published methods. Briefly, 250 ng of supercoiled pBR322 plasmid DNA (Topogen) was incubated with either 50 nM of full-length S. aureus DNA gyrase or 200 nM of S. aureus DNA gyrase (OKE) protein in 16 μl reactions containing 35 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 24 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl2, 5 mM DTT, 360 μM 1BSA, 6.5% (v/v) glycerol and 1.5 mM ATP, in the presence or absence of various concentrations of inhibitor, at 37°C for 1 h. DNA was released by further incubation with 0.2% (w/v) SDS and 0.1 mg ml−1 protease K at 37°C for 60 min. Blue/Blue gel loading buffer (Invitrogen) was added. Samples were analysed by electrophoresis in 1% w/v agarose gel containing 0.5 μg ml−1 ethidium bromide followed by ultraviolet illumination. DNA bands were quantified by densitometry using UVP gel imaging software. Values were fitted by nonlinear regression with GraFit software (Erythacus). CC50, defined as the concentration of compound needed to generate linear DNA to 50% of the maximal concentration, was calculated. The inhibition constant (IC50) for each compound was determined by curve fitting to a four-parameter logistic equation.

For DNA-cleavage assays of other topoisomerase enzymes, the same general procedure was used as described above with modifications. For S. aureus topo IV DNA-cleavage reactions, 30 nM of S. aureus topo IV enzyme (Inspiralis) was incubated with 250 ng supercoiled pBR322 (Inspiralis) in a 20-μl reaction supplemented with 1.5 mM ATP according to vendor's instructions. For E. coli DNA gyrase-cleavage assay, 100 nM of E. coli full-length DNA gyrase subunits A and B (both N-terminally 6His-tagged) produced in-house (unpublished) were reconstituted at 30°C for 30 min and then incubated with 250 ng supercoiled pBR322 (inpiralis) in a 20-μl reaction with 1.5 mM ATP according to vendor's instructions. For E. coli topo IV DNA-cleavage reaction, 31 nM of E. coli topo IV (Inspiralis) was incubated with 250 ng supercoiled pBR322 in buffer supplemented with 1 mM ATP according to the manufacturer's instructions. For human Top2a DNA-cleavage assay, a human S-Transferase-tagged human Top2a was produced as described previously except Buffer D was modified to contain 350 mM NaCl and 5% glycerol, and in vitro cleavage assays performed with 200 nM of the enzyme.

Topoisomerase inhibition assays. In vitro topoisomerase activity assays were performed as described with minor modifications. Briefly, the supercoiling activity of S. aureus DNA gyrase was measured in reactions typically containing 3.7 nM of wild-type S. aureus DNA gyrase, 500 ng relaxed pBR322 plasmid DNA (Inspiralis), 35 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 24 mM KCl, 700 mM potassium glutamate, 4 mM MgCl2, 2 mM DTT, 1.8 mM spermine, 6.5% (w/v) glycerol, 1.0 mg ml−1 1BSA and 1 mM ATP. For E. coli DNA gyrase supercoiling assays, 3.4 nM of wild-type E. coli DNA gyrase (Inspiralis) was incubated with 500 ng relaxed pBR322 plasmid DNA in a 30-μl reaction containing the same buffer mixture but without the potassium glutamate. For S. aureus topo IV DNA decatenation assays, 4 nM of S. aureus topo IV enzyme (Inspiralis) was incubated with 250 ng supercoiled pBR322 DNA in a 20-μl reaction containing 3.7 nM Tris pH 7.5, 24 mM KCl, 700 mM potassium glutamate, 4 mM MgCl2, 2 mM DTT, 1.8 mM spermine, 6.5% (w/v) glycerol, 1.0 mg ml−1 1BSA and 1 mM ATP. For E. coli DNA gyrase supercoiling assays, 3.4 nM of wild-type E. coli DNA gyrase (Inspiralis) was incubated with 500 ng relaxed pBR322 plasmid DNA in a 30-μl reaction containing the same buffer mixture but without the potassium glutamate. For S. aureus topo IV DNA decatenation assays, 4 nM of S. aureus topo IV enzyme (Inspiralis) was incubated with 250 ng supercoiled pBR322 DNA in a 20-μl reaction containing 3.7 nM Tris pH 7.5, 24 mM KCl, 700 mM potassium glutamate, 4 mM MgCl2, 2 mM DTT, 1.8 mM spermine, 6.5% (w/v) glycerol, 1.0 mg ml−1 1BSA and 1 mM ATP.

Minimum inhibition concentrations. Antibacterial minimum inhibition concentrations (MICs) were determined from two independent experiments using broth microdilution methods according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines. The MIC was the lowest concentration of an antibacterial that showed no visible growth after incubation at 37°C for 18–24 h, with a starting inoculum of ~5 x 10^5 colony-forming units per ml. Bacterial strains used were from GSK's culture collection.
Modelling of AZD9014 in N. gonorrhoeae DNA gyrase. A homology model of the DNA-cleave gate of the Gram-negative N. gonorrhoeae DNA gyrase was built using domains from the crystal structure of the DNA gate of E. coli DNA gyrase (pdb code: 3NUIF). The domains of N. gonorrhoeae DNA gyrase were superposed on the e11338 complex (2.34 Å) in the E. coli with the doubly nicked DNA and only one compound (ba1,2-ctop), and a 2.6 Å binary complex with doubly nicked DNA and no compound (ba1). In the binary complex the four DNA base pairs stretched between the two cleavage sites are not well ordered. The second cluster Casym contained three asymmetric structures (angle between two subunits at DNA gate: 173±3.6°) and had the smallest areas buried between the two subunits at the DNA gate (852–897 Å²). The three structures in the Casym cluster were the two 2.80 Å etoposide-cleavage complexes (BA, BA2-ctop and BA2-ctop and one of the 3.15 Å Q1-1-cleavage complexes (BA, BA2-ctop). The remaining four complexes (BA, BA2-ctop and BA2-ctop and the two 2.95 Å moxifloxacin-cleavage complexes (BA, BA2-ctop and BA2-ctop), do not form a cluster but have a range of configurations intermediate between those of the two clusters and also have buried areas intermediate between those observed for the two clusters (1,203–1,526 Å²). These four intermediate structures had angles between domains at the DNA gate of 177±179.6° and RMS fits of 0.732–0.982 Å (excluding the two moxifloxacin complexes, which were quite similar to each other and had an RMS fit 0.282 Å; Supplementary Table 8).
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