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ABSTRACT

We present ALMA observations of the CO(6-5) and [CII] emission lines and the sub-millimeter continuum of the $z \sim 6$ quasi-stellar object (QSO) SDSS J231038.88+185519.7. Compared to previous studies, we have analyzed a synthetic beam that is ten times smaller in angular size, we have achieved ten times better sensitivity in the CO(6-5) line, and two and half times better sensitivity in the [CII] line, enabling us to resolve the molecular gas emission. We obtain a size of the dense molecular gas of 2.9 ± 0.5 kpc, and of 1.4 ± 0.2 kpc for the 91.5 GHz dust continuum. By assuming that CO(6-5) is thermalized, and by adopting a CO to H$_2$ conversion factor $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8$ M$_{\odot}$ K$^{-1}$ (km s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$ pc$^2$, we infer a molecular gas mass of $M_{\text{H}_2} = (3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10}$ M$_{\odot}$. Assuming that the observed CO velocity gradient is due to an inclined rotating disk, we derive a dynamical mass of $M_{\text{dyn}} = (2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{10}$ M$_{\odot}$, which is a factor of approximately two smaller than the previously reported estimate based on [CII]. Regarding the central black hole, we provide a new estimate of the black hole mass based on the C IV emission line detected in the VLT/X-shooter spectrum: $M_{\text{BH}} = (1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^6$ M$_{\odot}$. We find a molecular gas fraction of $\mu = M_{\text{H}_2}/M^* \approx 4$, where $M^* = M_{\text{dyn}} - M_{\text{H}_2} - M(\text{BH})$. We derive a ratio $V_{\text{rot}}/c$ ≈ 1 ± 2 suggesting high gas turbulence, outflows/inflows and/or complex kinematics due to a merger event. We estimate a global Toomre parameter $Q \sim 0.2$ – 0.5, indicating likely cloud fragmentation. We compare, at the same angular resolution, the CO(6-5) and [CII] distributions, finding that dense molecular gas is more centrally concentrated with respect to [CII]. We find that the current BH growth rate is similar to that of its host galaxy.
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1. Introduction

To date, about 200 quasi-stellar objects (QSOs) at $z \geq 6$ are known, offering the opportunity to study the early growth and co-evolution of super massive black holes (SMBH) and their host galaxies. These rare systems are the sites where large $10^{11.5}$–$10^{12}$ M$_{\odot}$ stellar masses are predicted to aggregate, and thus they are the likely cradles of giant galaxies. Optical and near-infrared (NIR) observations of these high-$z$ QSOs lead to measured black hole masses often exceeding $10^9$ M$_{\odot}$ (Jiang et al. 2007; De Rosa et al. 2014; Venemans et al. 2015; Bañados et al. 2016, 2018). Sub-millimeter observations revealed compact rotating disks, active star formation in their host galaxies, and large masses of highly excited molecular gas. Optical/NIR observations have led to estimations of SMBH-stellar mass ratios that are larger than the local universe value by factors of between a few and ten (Walter et al. 2004; Maiolino et al. 2005, 2009; Carilli et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2010, 2013, 2016; Gallerani et al. 2014; Willott et al. 2015; Venemans et al. 2016, 2017a,b; Decarli et al. 2017, 2018). Both simulations and semi-analytical models of galaxy formation showed that SMBHs can grow either from pop III star seeds or from massive seeds, and assemblage BH masses of several times $10^9$ M$_{\odot}$ observed at $z \geq 6$ (Volonteri et al. 2016; Valiante et al. 2016; Pezzulli et al. 2016, 2017).

The [CII] 158µm emission line is the preferred tracer used to study the host galaxies of high-$z$ QSOs because its brightness makes it a powerful probe to survey large sam-

* The reduced data cubes and images are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/619/A39
amples by snapshot observations (Decarli et al. 2018). Conversely, the dense molecular interstellar medium (ISM), where we expect most star formation to take place, remains relatively poorly explored at these high redshifts (Wang et al. 2010, 2013; Gallarani et al. 2014; Venemans et al. 2017a). Finally, the host galaxies of high-z QSOs are mostly spatially unresolved in current [CII] and CO observations, making the estimate of dynamical masses very uncertain. Today it is possible to resolve the molecular gas emission in the highest-redshift QSO host galaxies with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA).

This work focuses on the QSO J231038.88+185519.7 (hereafter J2310+1855), the QSO with the brightest 250 GHz continuum at a redshift of (8.29 mJy, Wang et al. 2013, hereafter W13). Using the Northern Extended Millimeter Array (NOEMA) W13 detected the CO(6-5) emission line from the host galaxy of the QSO and measured an emission redshift of $z = 6.0025$. W13 also detected the [CII] emission line, and marginally resolved the rotation of a disk, from which they derived a dynamical mass of $M_{\text{dyn}} = (9.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, and an inclination of 46 deg, estimated from major/minor axis ratio.

Jiang et al. (2016) detected weak C IV and Mg II emission lines in the Gemini/GNIRS spectrum, from which they derived a redshift of $5.962 \pm 0.007$, which implies that the UV lines are blueshifted by $\sim 1200$ km s$^{-1}$ with respect to CO and [CII] lines, similarly to what was found for other $z > 6$ QSOs (Willott et al. 2015; Venemans et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016), and common also at lower redshift (Tytler & Fan 1992). They derived a BH mass of $(3.9 \pm 0.5) - (4.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{9} M_{\odot}$, based on Mg II and C IV, respectively.

In this work, we report on our ALMA observations of CO(6-5), the sub-mm continuum (Project 2015.1.00584.S), the [CII] emission line (Project 2015.1.00997.S) of the J2310+1855 host galaxy, and on archival VLT/X-shooter (Programme 098.B-0537(A), P.I. Farina) observations of the rest-frame ultraviolet (UV)-optical spectrum of the QSO. Compared to W13, we have used a synthetic beam that is ten times smaller in angular size, and we have achieved ten times better sensitivity in the CO(6-5) line, and two and half times better sensitivity in the [CII] line, enabling us to resolve the molecular gas emission of the QSO host galaxy. The observations are described in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we present results on CO and [CII] kinematics and their ratio, gas mass, dynamical mass, black hole mass, and detection of other line-emitting sources. In Sect. 4 we discuss the results, and a summary is presented in Sect. 5. A Λ-CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ is adopted throughout the paper. The angular scale is $5.835$ kpc/arcsec for the adopted cosmology.

### 2. Observations

#### 2.1. ALMA observations

We observed J2310+1855 with ALMA band-3 receivers tuned to cover the frequency ranges 84.56–87.94, and 96.56–99.69 GHz. Spectral window 1 was tuned at the expected redshifted frequency of CO(6-5), 98.75 GHz. We performed calibration in the CASA environment (McMullin et al. 2007). Mapping and data analysis were performed both in the CASA and in the GILDAS (Guilloteau & Lucas 2000) environments (the latter after convverting CASA into GILDAS visibility tables). Within GILDAS, we created two data cubes, one per baseband. We also created a continuum map using the four spectral windows and excluding channels within $\pm 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ from the redshifted CO(6-5) frequency. The continuum map and the data cubes were cleaned by adopting a natural weight scheme, with detection threshold equal to 0.5 times the noise (per channel), and without applying any mask for the detection. We used both the hogbom and the mxm cleaning algorithms within GILDAS, and found consistent results. In the following, we use the results from the hogbom cleaning, because it minimizes side-lobe residuals. Based on this setup we obtain a synthesized beam of $0.6 \times 0.4$ arcsec$^2$ at a PA = $-6$ deg in the cubes, which contains the CO(6-5) emission line. The noise levels are $5.4 \mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$ in the continuum in the aggregated bandwidth, and $0.13 \mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$ in the 23.7 km s$^{-1}$-wide channels (i.e., the maximum spectral resolution of the data). By adopting a Briggs algorithm, the synthetic beam is $0.51 \times 0.28$ arcsec, at a PA = $-11$ deg, and the noise level is $0.15 \mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$ in the 23.7 km s$^{-1}$-wide channel.

[CII] observations were obtained with the ALMA 12 m array in project 2015.1.00997.S. The data were calibrated and imaged in CASA v4.7 by applying a natural weighting with a detection threshold equal to 0.5 times the noise (per channel). The continuum-subtracted cube was obtained by combining two adjacent spectral windows after subtracting the continuum emission by fitting a UV-plane model with the unconsut task. The 1σ root mean square (rms) sensitivity is $0.20 \mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$ per 100 km s$^{-1}$ channel. The synthesized beam is $0.9 \times 0.6$ arcsec$^2$ at a PA = $49$ deg.

In this paper we aim at comparing the kinematics and spatial distribution of CO- and [CII]-emitting gas. A thorough description of [CII] luminosity, mass, and other host galaxy properties inferred from [CII] are the subject of a separate paper (Carniani et al., in prep.). In order to compare CO and [CII] emissions, we imaged the CO(6-5) data with the same restoring beam as the [CII] data, and we registered the two cubes at the same reference system, that is, $z = 6.0025$ in the LSRK reference frame, with the same spectral binning (23.7 km s$^{-1}$ spectral resolution).

#### 2.2. X-shooter spectrum

We used archival data from X-shooter at the VLT (Vernet et al. 2011) to investigate the optical/NIR spectrum of the QSO. There are two frames available in the archive with an exposure time of 1200 s each, observed with a slit of 0.9 arcsec and a binning 2×2 in the VIS arm (550–1020 nm) and a slit of 0.6 arcsec in the NIR arm (1020–2480 nm). The chosen slits correspond to nominal resolving powers of $R \sim 8800$ and 8100, respectively.

The spectra were reduced with the ESO pipeline (Modigliani et al. 2010) with a manual localisation of the object, and adopting the sky subtraction method BSPLINE1 in the VIS, and MEDIAN in the NIR. The one-dimensional (1D) flux calibrated spectra produced by the ESO tool molecfit (Smette et al. 2015; Kausch et al. 2015). The final spectra, obtained combining the two frames, were rebinned to a step of 0.4 and 0.6 Å, respectively. In order to fit the emission lines (see Sect. 3.4), the NIR spectrum was then binned to a step of 7 Å.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. The 3.3 mm continuum

Regarding the 91.5 GHz (3.3 mm) continuum, we performed a fit of the continuum visibilities in both CASA and GILDAS. Both methods give consistent results and show that the continuum source is best fitted by a 2D Gaussian function with
parameters reported in Table 1 (errors do not include the systematic error on the flux scale, which is of the order $\approx 5\%$ at this frequency). The 91.5 GHz continuum flux density is $416 \pm 33$ mJy, consistent with the measurement by W13 at a similar frequency. The continuum has a beam–deconvolved FWHM size of $(0.24 \pm 0.04) \times (0.12 \pm 0.07)$ arcsec$^2$, at a PA $= 138 \pm 24$ deg, which corresponds to a physical size of $1.4 \pm 0.2$ kpc (Table 1). This measurement is in agreement with the continuum size measured by W13 at 263 GHz frequency (the 3 mm continuum is unresolved in the PdBI observations presented in W13).

### 3.2. CO(6-5) emission line

We created a continuum-subtracted data cube by using the task `uvcontsub` in CASA. To this aim, we combined spectral windows 1 and 2 (so as to have a broader bandwidth around the emission line for continuum estimate). The continuum was then estimated by fitting the line-free channels (at velocity $\nu_i$) with both a constant and a first-order polynomial. By eye, no slope is seen in the continuum, and we verified that a zero-order fit gives the best result. The continuum-subtracted visibility set was then used to map the CO(6-5) emission and to create a visibility set averaged over the line channels, in the velocity range from $-500$ to $500$ km s$^{-1}$ from the systemic velocity.

We used the velocity-integrated visibility set to measure the line parameters in the $uv$ plane. We fitted the visibilities with a point source and a circular and an elliptical Gaussian function. The best fit is given by the elliptical Gaussian function which delivers a source size (FWHM) of $(0.33 \pm 0.06) \times (0.20 \pm 0.04)$ arcsec, at a PA $= 140 \pm 17$ deg (Table 2). This size is smaller than that derived for [CII] by W13, suggesting that the CO emitting gas is more centrally concentrated with respect to [CII]. The integrated flux density of the line is $1.26 \pm 0.06$ Jy km s$^{-1}$ (consistent within 2$\sigma$ with W13). The [RA, Dec] of the CO peak emission are consistent with the continuum position (Table 2).

We then extracted the spectrum in Fig. 1 using a mask that encompasses the $\gtrsim 2\sigma$ region in the velocity-integrated CO(6-5) map. The CO(6-5) line peaks at a frequency corresponding to $\nu_{\text{CO}} = 6.0028 \pm 0.0003$, consistent with previous CO and [CII]-based redshifts (W13). A Gaussian fit with a single component gives a peak intensity of the line of $I = 2.94 \pm 0.06$ mJy, and $FWHM = 361 \pm 9$ km s$^{-1}$. This gives an integrated flux density of $S_{\text{CO}} d\nu = 1.13 \pm 0.06$ Jy km s$^{-1}$ – consistent with the estimate from the $uv$ fit within 2$\sigma$.

Figure 2 shows the continuum map, and the maps of the first three moments of CO(6-5). The velocity map indicates a velocity dispersion of $\approx 300$ km s$^{-1}$ from north-east to south-west, at a PA $\approx 60$ deg north of east, consistent with that estimated for [CII] (see Fig. 4, and W13). The velocity dispersion map shows $\sigma \sim 300$ km s$^{-1}$ at the center, which is likely affected by disk beam smearing effects. The intrinsic velocity dispersion may be significantly lower. According to Tacconi et al. (2013) and Davies et al. (2011) a less biased estimate of the velocity dispersion is given by the average value measured in the outer parts of the galaxy, assuming a flat profile. We then estimate $\sigma \approx 150$–200 km s$^{-1}$, similar to the value estimated for the [CII] (Fig. 4). Under the assumption that the velocity gradient is due to a rotating disk, and based on the ratio $\sigma = 300$ km s$^{-1}$ at the center, which is likely affected by disk beam smearing effects. The intrinsic velocity dispersion may be significantly lower.

### Table 1. 91.5 GHz continuum, CO(6-5) and [CII] best fit parameters.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| RA$_{\text{cont}, 91.5 \text{GHz}}$ | 23:10:38.90 $\pm$ 0.02 |
| Dec$_{\text{cont}, 91.5 \text{GHz}}$ | 18:55:19.82 $\pm$ 0.02 |
| Size$_{\text{cont}, 91.5 \text{GHz}}$ | $0.24 \pm 0.04$ x $0.12 \pm 0.07$ arcsec$^2$ |
| PA$_{\text{cont}, 91.5 \text{GHz}}$ | 138 $\pm$ 24 |
| $S_{91.5 \text{GHz}}$ | 416 $\pm$ 33 (mJy) |
| RA$_{\text{CO(6-5)}}$ | 23:10:38.900 $\pm$ 0.008 |
| Dec$_{\text{CO(6-5)}}$ | 18:55:19.83 $\pm$ 0.01 |
| Size$_{\text{CO(6-5)}}$ | $0.33 \pm 0.06$ x $0.20 \pm 0.04$ arcsec$^2$ |
| PA$_{\text{CO(6-5)}}$ | 140 $\pm$ 17 |
| $S_{d\nu_{\text{CO(6-5)}}}$ | 1.26 $\pm$ 0.06 (Jy km s$^{-1}$) |
| RA$_{\text{[CII]}}$ | 23:10:38.929 $\pm$ 0.09 |
| Dec$_{\text{[CII]}}$ | 18:55:18.1 $\pm$ 0.1 |
| Size$_{\text{[CII]}}$ | $0.86 \pm 0.10$ x $0.78 \pm 0.15$ arcsec$^2$ |
| PA$_{\text{[CII]}}$ | 147 $\pm$ 68 |
| $S_{d\nu_{\text{[CII]}}}$ | 8.75 $\pm$ 0.51 (Jy km s$^{-1}$) |

### Table 2. Derived properties of J2310+1855.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| $S_{\text{CO}}$ | $6.0028 \pm 0.0003$ |
| $L'$ [CO(6-5)] | $(4.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{10}$ |
| $M(H_2)$ | $(3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10}$ |
| $i$ | 53 |
| $M_{\text{dyn}}$ | $(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{10}$ |
| $M_{\text{dyn}}^i$ | $(4.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{10}$ |
| $M_{\text{BH}}$ | $(1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^9$ |
| $L_{\text{bol}}$ | 9.3 $\times 10^{11}$ |
| $M_{\text{acc}}$ | $63 \pm 11$ |
| $L_{\text{FIR}}$ | $(1.70 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{13}$ |
| SFR$^d$ | $1250 \pm 900$ |
| $M(H_2)/M^*$ | $\approx 4.4$ |
| $M(BH)/M^*$ | $\approx 0.25$ |

**Notes.** The upper limit $M_{\text{BH}}$ is ($^a$) derived using the lower limit inclination $i_{\text{min}} = 25$ deg ($^b$) derived from C IV FWHM and the 1350 Å continuum luminosity, ($^c$) derived from rest-frame 1450 Å magnitude and assuming a bolometric correction $L_{\text{bol}} = 4.2 L_{\text{1500}}$ (Rumio et al. 2012a,b) and ($^d$) derived from $L_{\text{FIR}}$ (from W13) and the Kennicutt & Evans (2012) relation.
Fig. 1. **Left panel:** spectrum of spectral windows 1 + 2, including the CO(6-5) emission line and the continuum emission, extracted from the region included within the $\geq 2\sigma$ in the velocity-integrated map. **Right panel:** zoom onto the continuum-subtracted CO(6-5) spectrum. The red line shows a fit with a Gaussian function. Spectra are plotted at the maximum spectral resolution of the data (23.7 km s$^{-1}$).

Fig. 2. From left to right: dust continuum map of J2310+1855 (levels from 2 to 45$\sigma$ by 5$\sigma$, $\sigma = 5.36$ mJy beam$^{-1}$), moment 0, 1, 2 maps of the CO(6-5) emission line. Color-scale units are mJy and km s$^{-1}$, respectively. The cross indicates the phase center. The synthesized beam is indicated in the lower-left part of the diagrams.

Fig. 3. PV diagrams of the continuum-subtracted CO(6-5) emission line along the line of nodes (PA = 30 deg), and orthogonal to it (PA = 120 deg). Contours are 2 to 20$\sigma$, by $\sigma$, $\sigma = 0.11$ mJy beam$^{-1}$. Slices are extracted from a slit of 0.5 arcsec in width. Zero offset position is (RA, Dec) = (23:10:38.90, 18:55:19.82) in these and the following PV diagrams.

3.3. [CII] and the CO(6-5)/[CII] ratio

Figure 4 shows the moment 0, 1, 2 maps of the [CII] emission line. The [CII] velocity gradient is found approximately along the same direction as the CO one. Figure 5 shows the PV diagram of the [CII] emission along the same directions as done for CO(6-5), with a larger slit width motivated by the larger beam of [CII] data. This shows that [CII] gas kinematics are similar to those of the molecular gas traced by CO(6-5). We computed the ratio of CO(6-5)/[CII] by combining the respective velocity-integrated data cubes. Figure 6 shows the velocity-integrated [CII] map (range $\pm 500$ km s$^{-1}$), the map of CO(6-5) obtained by degrading the CO data to the same resolution as [CII] data, and their ratio. The CO(6-5)/[CII] ratio ranges from $\approx 0.1$ to $0.25$, with a velocity-averaged mean ratio of 0.19. We find that the CO(6-5)/[CII] ratio shows a local maximum close to the QSO position (indicated by the small black cross), and decreases at larger distances from the QSO (Fig. 6, right panel). This suggests that the CO(6-5)-emitting gas may be more concentrated than the [CII]-emitting gas.
Fig. 4. Moments of the [CII] emission line. Panel a: flux (levels are $-3, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, \sigma = 0.14\, \text{mJy beam}^{-1}$); panel b: velocity map; panel c: velocity dispersion map. The synthesized beam is indicated in the left panel. The cross indicates the phase center.

Fig. 5. PV diagrams of the continuum-subtracted [CII] emission along the line of nodes (PA = 30 deg, left panel), and orthogonal to it (PA = 120 deg, right panel). Contours are 2 to 20 $\sigma$, $\sigma = 0.14\, \text{mJy beam}^{-1}$. Slices are extracted from a slit of 1.1 arcsec in width. Zero offset position is (RA, Dec) = (23:10:38.90, 18:55:19.82).

Fig. 6. Left panel: [−500,500] km s$^{-1}$ velocity-integrated [CII] map. The beam is 0.9 $\times$ 0.6 arcsec$^2$, at PA = 49 deg. Levels are $-3, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25\sigma, \sigma = 0.14\, \text{mJy beam}^{-1}$. Middle panel: velocity-integrated CO(6-5) map. The original angular resolution of CO data was degraded to match the same beam of [CII] data. Levels are $-3, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25\sigma, \sigma = 27\, \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. Right panel: velocity-integrated CO(6-5)/[CII] ratio map. The small cross indicates (RA, Dec) = (23:10:38.90, 18:55:19.82). The large cross indicates the phase center.

An excess in the CO(6-5)/[CII] ratio is seen at a spatial offset of ($-0.3, -0.5$) arcsec from the QSO (Fig. 6), at the position where a marginal disturbance is seen in both the velocity and velocity dispersion maps (Fig. 2).

We extracted the CO(6-5) and [CII] spectra from the respective data cubes, over a region centered at the QSO position (RA, Dec) = (23:10:38.90, 18:55:19.82), and with a radius of 0.5 arcsec for both lines, and compute their ratio (Fig. 7). We find that the line profiles are similar, although our data hint at a broader velocity distribution of the [CII]-emitting gas compared to the CO(6-5)-emitting gas, within $\pm 250\, \text{km s}^{-1}$ from the CO line peak.

Figure 8 shows PV diagrams of the CO(6-5)/[CII] ratio, obtained after combining the data cubes registered as detailed.
in Sect. 2.1. For consistency with the previous sections, we plot PV diagrams along the same PA used in the individual CO and [CII] diagrams. The PV diagrams are consistent with the global trend of the CO(6-5)/[CII] ratio found in the spectra, and show an average value of ±0.2. The PV diagrams suggest that the largest ratios are measured at a spatial offset of (−0.3, −0.5) arcsec from the QSO, that is, at the position where we also find a local maximum of the σ (Fig. 2).

Confirmation of whether the small discrepancies in the line profiles are genuine would require additional observations.

3.4. BH mass, gas mass, and dynamical mass

We measured the monochromatic luminosity from the rest frame 1350 Å continuum in the X-shooter spectrum, \( L_{\text{cont}}(1350 \, \text{Å}) = (9.8 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{45} \, \text{erg} \, \text{s}^{-1} \). Mg II is not detected or very weak in the X-shooter spectrum, probably due to the reduced sensitivity of the instrument at 1960 nm; we therefore estimate the BH mass using the relation

\[
M_{\text{BH}} = \frac{4 \pi G}{c^3} \left( \frac{L_{\text{cont}}}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right) \frac{1}{\alpha_{\text{eff}}} \frac{1}{1 + z} \left( \frac{1 + z}{100} \right) \left( \frac{D}{10^8 \, \text{pc}} \right) \left( \frac{L_{\text{Edd}}}{10^{-9} \, \text{L}_\odot} \right) \left( \frac{z}{10} \right)
\]

for high-luminosity QSOs, and it has been shown to over-predict BH masses, when adopting the Vestergaard & Peterson (2006) correlation. The calibration by Coatman et al. (2017) provides a correction for these effects. By adopting the latter and a systemic redshift of \( z = 6.0025 \), we derive a \( M_{\text{BH}} = (1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^9 \, M_\odot \). This is a factor of approximately two smaller than the estimate by Jiang et al. (2016) based on Gemini data and on the Vestergaard & Peterson (2006) correlation. The discrepancy is probably due to the different calibrations used.

Concerning the host galaxy mass budget, we can infer the molecular gas mass from the CO luminosity. From the integrated intensity of CO(6-5), and using the relation \( L_{\text{CO}} = 3.25 \times 10^3 \, \Sigma_{\text{CO}} \Delta v_{\text{int}} D_L^2 (1 + z)^{-3} \) (Solomon & Vanden Bout 2005), we derive a luminosity of \( L_{\text{CO}} = (4.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{44} \, \text{K} \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1} \, \text{pc}^{-2} \). Stefan et al. (2015) and Wang et al. (2016) measured \( L_{\text{CO}}(6-5) \approx 0.9 \times L_{\text{CO}}(2-1) \) for the QSO J010013.02+280225.8 at \( z = 6.4 \) and J114816.64+525150.3 at \( z = 6.4 \), respectively. Since there is no measurement of lower J CO transition for J2310+1855, we adopt the same ratio. We assume thermalized emission (i.e., \( L_{\text{CO}(2-1)} = L_{\text{CO}(1-0)} \)), and a conversion factor of \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8 \, M_\odot \, \text{K}^{-1} \, \text{(km s)}^{-2} \) to derive the molecular gas mass. This conversion factor is currently thought to best represent QSO host galaxies (e.g., Carilli & Walter 2013). Under these assumptions, we find a molecular gas mass of \( M_\text{H}_2 = (3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10} \) (\( \alpha_{\text{CO}}/0.8 \, M_\odot \)).

We note that using a Milky Way conversion factor (4.3 \( M_\odot \, \text{K}^{-1} \, \text{(km s)}^{-2} \)) would imply \( M_\text{H}_2 = (1.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{11} \, M_\odot \).

Under the assumption that the observed CO velocity gradient is due to an inclined rotating disk, we derive the dynamical mass by applying the relation \( M_{\text{dyn}} \sim \sin^2(i) \). By using the virial relation \( M_{\text{dyn}} = R v_{\text{vir}}^2 / G \) we find a similar value, \( M_{\text{dyn}} = 2.35 \times 10^{10} \, M_\odot \). By applying the inclination derived from our data, we derive an inclination-corrected dynamical mass of \( M_{\text{dyn}} = 4.1 \times 10^9 \times 10^{10} \, M_\odot \). The upper limit \( M_{\text{dyn}} \) is derived from the lower limit inclination estimated from the minor/major axis ratio, \( i = 25 \, \text{deg} \).
emitters. To this purpose, we degraded the original spectral resolution to 47.4 (km s\(^{-1}\)). Candidate detections are considered if signal is detected in at least three contiguous spectral channels with a global (i.e., velocity integrated) statistical significance of \(\geq 4.5 \sigma\) in the velocity-integrated map.

In the following we describe the candidate emitters selected from this analysis. One line emitter, detected in band-3 data with a statistical significance of 5\(\sigma\) and with prior information of its redshift, is presented in D’Odorico et al. (2018). Other two line emitters are detected in band-3. Figure 10 shows the velocity-integrated map of these two candidate sources, which are detected with a significance of 4.5\(\sigma\) in the band-3 data. The line peaks are detected at velocity \(\sim 200\) and \(\sim 1100\) km/s from the CO(6-5) of the QSO host galaxy. Their positions are [RA, Dec] = [23:10:38.769, 18:55:18.49], [RA, Dec] = [23:10:39.068, 18:55:24.16], respectively. Because the first candidate has a frequency similar to the emission line in the QSO, the possibility that this is due to an artifact (e.g., cleaning residual) cannot be ruled out. By fitting the lines with a Gaussian function we find \(FWHM = 300 \pm 100\) and \(220 \pm 60\) km s\(^{-1}\), and integrated flux densities of 0.036 \(\pm 0.008\) Jy km s\(^{-1}\) and 0.032 \(\pm 0.007\) Jy km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The underlying 3 mm continuum is undetected in both these cases. At their position we do not detect any continuum or line in band 6 either.

A secure identification of these candidate lines requires the detection of at least another emission line. Here we attempt to assess whether these candidate emission lines are genuine by comparing our findings with the results of the ASPECS Survey of the Hubble Ultra-Deep field (Walter et al. 2016; Decarli et al. 2016). In their work, they provide molecular emission line number counts, and the CO luminosity function based on their ALMA observation covering the band 3 with a total bandwidth of 30.75 GHz, and with a sensitivity comparable to ours (0.17 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) per 23.7 km s\(^{-1}\) around 95 GHz, with the same field of view). According to their number counts of molecular emission lines, and to their emission line luminosity function, and by rescaling for our narrower bandwidth (3.38 GHz versus the \(\sim 30.75\) GHz covered by their survey), 0.2–0.8 CO-emitting sources with flux density in the range 0.03–0.04 Jy km s\(^{-1}\) are expected in the area covered by one ALMA antenna beam at 3 mm. We therefore find a factor of \(\sim 3\)–15 excess in the number of line-emitting sources in our data compared to the expectation based on ASPECS. If we considered as genuine only the source detected with the highest statistical significance (D’Odorico et al. 2018), we would still be at the upper boundary of the expected line counts. We note, however, that the log N–log S of molecular lines is poorly known, and that the estimates based on the ASPECS survey have very large uncertainty. Their estimates are also based on a single field (one ALMA pointing at 3 mm), and therefore do not take into account the cosmic variance. In this context, the UDF can be considered a random field, whereas our observation targets the field around a rare luminous QSO, and therefore likely probes a biased overdensity region (Decarli et al. 2016; Bischetti et al. 2018).

### 4. Discussion

We mapped and resolved the CO(6-5) line emission in the host galaxy of the QSO J2310+1855. We measured a molecular gas mass of \(M(\text{H}_2) = (3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10} (a_{\text{CO}}/0.8) M_\odot\), and a size of the CO-emitting region of 2.9 kpc. Under the assumption that the observed velocity gradient is due to a rotating disk, we derived an inclination of \(i = 53\) deg from the minor/major axis ratio, and a dynamical mass of \(M_{\text{dyn}} = 4.1\times10^{10} M_\odot\) within the inner 2.9 kpc region, which is a factor of approximately two smaller than the W13 value. For the lower limit inclination implied to our data, \(i = 25\) deg, the dynamical mass would be \(M_{\text{dyn}} = 1.3\times10^{10} M_\odot\).

We note that the estimate of the dynamical mass depends linearly on the disk size and on \(\sin^2(i)\), which in turn depend on the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the data and on the angular resolution. Therefore data with a high S/N and good angular resolution are essential elements to derive accurate dynamical masses. The discrepancy between our estimate and the W13 may be due to the smaller source size that we measured based on CO data \((0.33 \pm 0.06) \times (0.20 \pm 0.04)\) arcsec vs. the \((0.56 \pm 0.03) \times (0.39 \pm 0.04)\) arcsec by W13), and to the slightly larger inclination.

We find that most of the mass within a region of 2.9 kpc in diameter is in the form of dense molecular gas. By assuming that the stellar mass is \(M^* \sim M_{\text{dyn}} - M(\text{H}_2) - M(\text{BH}) = 7.2\times10^{11} M_\odot\), we derive a molecular gas fraction of \(\mu = M(\text{H}_2)/M^* \approx 4.4\). For
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**Fig. 9.** C IV emission line from VLT/X-shooter spectrum (red points). The blue dashed line shows the best fit with a Gaussian function.

**Fig. 10.** Velocity-integrated maps (upper panels) and spectra (lower panels) of the candidate line emitters detected in band 3. In the upper-left panel the CO emission from the QSO host galaxy has been fitted and subtracted (the cross indicates the phase center). The spectra were extracted using masks enclosing the \(\geq 2\sigma\) level on the velocity-integrated maps. Contour levels are \(-4, -3, -2, -1, 1, 2, 3, 4 \times \sigma\), \(\sigma = 0.035, 0.059\) mJy beam\(^{-1}\) in the upper-left and upper-right panels, respectively.
comparison, the molecular gas fraction in $z = 3 - 4$ main sequence galaxies is at most $\mu = 2.5$ (Tacconi et al. 2018, Genzel et al. 2015). The BH to stellar mass ratio is $M(BH)/M_* \approx 0.25$, which is very large. We note that this value refers to the inner 2.9 kpc of the host galaxy only.

The ratio $\nu_{\text{rot}}/\sigma = 1 - 2$ which indicates that the molecular gas is turbulent, similar to what is found in $z = 2$ star-forming galaxies by (Tacconi et al. 2013; and references therein), and in $z = 3$ star-forming galaxies by Gnerucci et al. (2011) and Williams et al. (2014). The turbulence may be due to a thick, dynamically hot disk, and/or to outflows/inflows. The current observations do not allow us to discriminate between these two possibilities.

We derived the Toomre parameter $Q = a v/\nu_{\text{rot}}$, where $a = 1.4$ for flat rotation curves ($a = 1$ for keplerian disks), and $\nu_{\text{rot}}$ is the gas fraction (Genzel et al. 2014). We find $Q \sim 0.2 - 0.5$, meaning that cloud fragmentation likely occurs in the disk. We note, however, that small values of $Q$ do not necessarily imply local gravitational instability (Romeo & Agertz 2014; Romeo et al. 2010). We caution that the estimated $Q$ is an average value, does not exclude local variations through the disk, and is strongly dependent on angular resolution.

We studied the CO/[CII] ratio by comparing the CO and [CII] line profiles and their maps. We find that the CO and [CII] lines show similar profiles. Our analysis hints at a broader velocity distribution of the [CII]-emitting gas than the CO(6-5)-emitting gas, within $\pm 250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from the CO line peak (Figs. 7 and 8), suggesting that there may be differences in the kinematics and spatial distributions of dense clumps of molecular material traced by CO(6-5) ($n_{\text{crit}} \sim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$), and the low-ionization gas traced by [CII] ($n \sim 10^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$). Additional observations are required to assess this finding. We found a mean CO(6-5)/[CII] of $\sim 0.19$, while a lower value, of $\sim 0.05$, is expected from emission models of high-$z$ galaxies that do not host a QSO and whose ionization field is due only to the stellar component (Pallottini et al. 2017; Vallini et al. 2018). The larger [CH] extension may imply that some of the [CII] emission comes from the diffuse and less dense ISM. The higher CO(6-5) concentration might also result from the presence of the QSO intense UV field, boosting high J CO lines. We note, however, that the bulk of the [CII] emission from these bright QSOs at $z \sim 6$ comes from the outer layers of photodissociation regions (PDR), and that a high CO(6-5)/[CII] ratio can also be explained within classical PDR modeling, assuming $n_H > 3 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and a radiation field intensity of $< 1000 \ G_0$, or $n_H > 16 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and higher radiation fields ($> 1000 \ G_0$).

In the following we use $M_{\text{dyn}}$ estimate derived from CO(6-5), which traces the densest regions of molecular clouds, where most of the SF should occur, and our new estimate of the BH mass, $M_{\text{BH}} = (1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^7 M_\odot$. Figure 11, left panel, shows the BH mass versus the dynamical mass for J2310+1855 and a compilation of AGN and QSO for which both the BH mass and the dynamical mass has been measured, the latter through [CII] or CO emission lines (see caption of Fig. 11 for details about the literature samples). In this plot we compare individual measurements of $M_{\text{dyn}}$ with the local $M_{\text{BH}}$-$M_{\text{bulge}}$ relationship (Kormendy & Ho 2013). For J2310+1855 we find a mass ratio $M_{\text{BH}}/M_{\text{dyn}} = 0.04^{+0.01}_{-0.05}$. If the molecular disk is not seen at a very small inclination, the dynamical mass derived here places J2310+1855 significantly above the local $M_{\text{BH}}$-$M_{\text{bulge}}$ correlation, similarly to other $z \sim 5 - 7$ QSO.

From the QSO bolometric luminosity we derive the BH accretion rate, $M_{\text{acc}} = L_{\text{bol}}/c^2$, assuming $\epsilon = 0.1$. The middle panel of Fig. 11 shows $M_{\text{acc}}$ versus the SFR of the host galaxy. Here we also plot hyper-luminous QSOs at $z = 3 - 4$ from the WISSH sample (Bischetti et al. 2017; Duran et al. 2017; Vietri et al. 2018, note that these do not have a measured $M_{\text{dyn}}$ and therefore they are not shown the left panel). For QSOs with $L_{\text{bol}} = 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, Duras et al. (2017) found that about 50% of the FIR luminosity is due to reprocessed radiation from the AGN, therefore we corrected SFRs by this amount in the relevant $L_{\text{bol}}$ range. We plot the line corresponding to the mass loading factor of molecular winds equal to unity, $\eta = M_{\text{outflow}}/\text{SFR} = 1$. 
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**Fig. 11.** Left panel: $M_{\text{BH}}$ vs. $M_{\text{acc}}$ for J2310+1855 (red star) and compilation of AGNs. Violet circles: JBISCO hard X-ray selected sample at $z < 0.05$ (Feruglio et al., in prep.). Cyan circle: Brusa et al. (2018). Green symbols: red QSOs at $z \sim 2.5$, for these we plot the ranges given in Banerji et al. (2012, 2017). Blue squares: QSO at $z > 4.7$ from Willott et al. (2013, 2015, 2017), Kimball et al. (2015), Wang et al. (2016), De Rosa et al. (2014), Venemans et al. (2016, 2017a), Trakhtenbrot et al. (2017), Decarli et al. (2018). Black symbol: $z = 7.54$ QSO from Venemans et al. (2017a), Bañados et al. (2018). For the QSO for which there is no estimate of the inclination available, we have assumed $i = 50$ deg (see e.g., Decarli et al. 2018). The solid line is the local $M_{\text{BH}}$-$M_{\text{bulge}}$ relationship (Kormendy & Ho 2013). Middle panel: BH accretion rate, $\dot{M}_{\text{acc}}$ vs. SFR. Same symbols as in the left panel, except purple squares: hyper-luminous QSO at Venemans et al. (2017a), Bañados et al. (2018). For the QSO for which there is no estimate of the inclination available, we have assumed $i = 50$ deg (see e.g., Decarli et al. 2018). The solid line is the local $M_{\text{BH}}$-$M_{\text{bulge}}$ relationship (Kormendy & Ho 2013). Right panel: black hole growth timescale, $\tau_{\text{BH}}$ vs. the galaxy growth time, $\tau_{\text{dyn}}$/$\text{SFR}$. The solid line is the 1:1 relation.
This was derived from the scaling relation of $M_{\text{outflow}}$ with $L_{bol}$, 
$\log M_{\text{outflow}} = 0.76 \log L_{bol} - 32$ (Fiore et al. 2017). Because 
$L_{bol} = \epsilon M_{\text{acc}} c^2$, and assuming $\epsilon = 0.1$, according to the scaling 
relation of Fiore et al. (2017), $\log M_{\text{acc}} = 1.3 \log (\text{SFR}) - 3.65$, for 
$\eta = 1$. We find that, if the scaling relations hold at these extreme regimes and at high redshift, all the high-redshift QSOs, 
including J2310+1855, are in a regime where the QSO can drive 
massive outflows with $\eta > 1$. This suggest that appropriately 
sensitive observations have the potential to reveal molecular out-
flows in these QSOs (see e.g., Brusa et al. 2018).

$M_{\text{acc}}$ versus the SFR are the derivative quantities of BH mass 
and dynamical mass. Therefore, in principle, they are useful to 
understand how BH and galaxy grow to reach their location on the 
left-hand diagram of Fig. 11, if the sources are caught in the 
phase when most of both the stellar and the BH mass is being 
assembled. This is realistic at $z \sim 4 - 6$, but likely not the case 
at low redshift, therefore we omit the $z \sim 0$ sample in this plot. 
To verify this, we compare the growth timescale of the BH at the 
current accretion rate ($M_{\text{BH}}/\dot{M}_{\text{acc}}$) with the growth timescale of the 
host galaxy at the current SFR ($M_{\text{BH}}/\dot{M}_{\text{SFR}}$). The right panel of Fig. 11 shows that the BH growth time is similar to the galaxy growth timescale if we consider the dynamical mass as a proxy 
for the stellar mass.

Concerning other continuum or line emitters, we detected 
two candidate emission lines within $\sim 1000 \text{km s}^{-1}$ of the QSO 
redshift in the band-3 data, located at projected distances of 12 
and 29 kpc from the QSO position. A secure identification of 
these candidate sources requires the detection of at least one 
other emission line. If the candidate lines were genuine, and if 
we identified them with CO(6-5), and under the same assump-
tions used for deriving the molecular gas mass of the QSO, these 
would correspond to molecular gas reservoirs of $10^8 M_{\odot}$.

We detect two candidate emission lines within $\sim 1000 \text{km s}^{-1}$ 
of the QSO CO line. With the data used here, it is difficult to 
determine whether the two detections presented in this work 
are genuine. These candidate lines require confirmation by the 
detection of at least one additional emission line. If they were 
genuine, given their close projected distances from the QSO, 
these galaxies, together with the CO(6-5) emitter detected in 
the proximity of the QSO (D’Odorico et al. 2018), would trace 
an overdensity around the QSO, and may eventually be able to 
merge with the QSO host galaxy, thus contributing to the hierar-
chical growth of a giant elliptical galaxy, in agreement with most 
models of galaxy formation.
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5. Conclusions

Using ALMA we mapped the CO(6-5) and [CII] emission lines 
and the sub-millimeter continuum of the $z \sim 6$ QSO SDSS 
J231038.88+185519.7. The angular resolution and sensitivity of 
our data allowed us to resolve the dense molecular gas emis-
sion in the host galaxy of the QSO. Our findings are summarized 
below.
