Interferon regulatory factor 1 priming of tumour-derived exosomes enhances the antitumour immune response
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Background: Tumour-derived exosomes (TEXs) have a potential for application in cancer vaccines. Whether TEXs after induction by interferon regulatory factor 1 (IRF-1) are capable of enhancing the antitumour response remains to be determined.

Methods: Exosomes released by tumour cells infected with IRF-1-expressing adenovirus (IRF-1-Exo) or treated with interferon-γ (IFN-Exo) were isolated via ultracentrifugation. The IRF-1 target proteins IL-15Ra and MHC class I (MHC-I) were analysed by western blot. Exosomes along with CpG adjuvant were injected into tumour models to assess the antitumour effects. Tumours were harvested for immunofluorescence staining. Splenocytes from tumour-bearing mice were co-cultured with tumour cells. The IFNg-positive and granzyme B-positive CD8a+ splenocyte cells were quantified by flow cytometry.

Results: The IRF-1-Exo or IFN-Exo displayed increased IL-15Ra and MHC-I expression. Injection of IRF-1-Exo or IFN-Exo combined with CpG had improved antitumour effects in mice. This effect may be a result of increased infiltration of tumours by CD4+ and CD8a+ T cells. Antibody-mediated depletion of CD4+ or CD8+ T cells abrogated the antitumour effects. Splenocytes isolated from CpG + IRF-1-Exo-injected Hepa 1–6 tumour mice had increased IFNg-positive and granzyme B-positive CD8+ cells after coculturing with Hepa 1–6 cells as compared with MC38 cells.

Conclusions: The IRF-1 priming of TEXs enhances antitumour immune response.
proteins, including major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I (MHC-I), MHC class II (MHC-II), CD86, interleukin 15 receptor subunit-α (IL15Rα), and heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) (Vlaud et al, 2009; Robbins and Morelli, 2014). Tumour-derivated exosomes (TEXs) can resemble their host cells with expression of tumour-associated antigens such as CEA, HER-2/neu, gp100, EGFR2, methoselin, as well as HSP family members including HSP90 and HSP70 (Andre et al, 2002; Dai et al, 2005; Gastpar et al, 2005; Clayton et al, 2008).

The effects of TEXs in tumour immunity are controversial. Some studies have shown that TEXs can suppress T-cell activity (Andreola et al, 2002), inhibit IL-2 induced T-cell proliferation, promote regulatory T cell (Treg) cell function (Clayton et al, 2007), or induce production of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (Xiang et al, 2009). In contrast, other studies have shown that TEXs have antitumour effects (Dai et al, 2005; Cho et al, 2009; Xie et al, 2010). These data indicate that TEXs may differentially regulate tumour immunity and, furthermore, that TEXs have the potential to be engineered in order to enhance antitumour effects.

Interferon regulatory factor 1 (IRF-1) is a transcription factor that can regulate expression of target genes such as MHC-I (Taniguchi et al, 2001), IL-15Rα (Ogasawara et al, 1998), and interferon-α (IFNα) or IFNβ (Harada et al, 1990; Matsuyama et al, 1993). By regulating expression of these target genes, IRF-1 plays an important role in antitumour (Connett et al, 2003; Stang et al, 2007; Armstrong et al, 2015) and antiviral immunity, immune system development, and inflammation. The IRF-1 system has been shown to be a tumour suppressor gene, and cancer patients with tumours expressing IRF-1 have improved immune responsiveness (Wang et al, 2002; Galon et al, 2006) and survival (Zeimet et al, 2009; Sakai et al, 2014). In a murine tumour model, irradiated mice exhibit compromised tumour growth inhibition in AdIRF-1-infected tumours. Therefore, IRF-1 has the potential to not only decrease the malignant phenotype of a tumour, but also increase tumour recognition (Yim et al, 1997).

As IRF-1 promotes antitumour immunity, and TEXs contain potential IRF-1 target gene products (IL15Rα and MHC-I), we hypothesised that TEXs can be primed with IRF-1 to enhance the antitumour effects. Indeed, our results show that, following transduction with IRF-1, TEXs elicit tumour-specific antitumour immunity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines and reagents. The Hepa 1–6 mouse hepatic cancer cell line (H-2b) was purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). The MC38 mouse colorectal cancer cell line (H-2k) was a kind gift from Dr Michael Lotze (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). To derive exosomes from the two cell lines, exosome-free foetal bovine serum (exo-free FBS) was generated by centrifugation at 100,000 g for 16 h. Both cell lines were maintained in DMEM media with 10% FBS (growth media) or 10% exo-free FBS (exosome derivation media). Anti-MHC Class I (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), IL15Rα (R&D, Minneapolis, MN, USA), CD63 (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, USA), β-actin (CST, Beverly, MA, USA), and Lamin A/C (CST) antibodies were used for western blot. Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse CD4 and Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-mouse CD8α antibodies were used for western blot. Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse CD4 and Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-mouse CD8α antibodies were purchased from Biolegend (San Diego, CA, USA). The PE-Fluor 610 mouse anti-granulocyte B antibody was purchased from eBioscience (San Diego, CA, USA) and PE anti-mouse IFNγ antibody was purchased from BD Bioscience (San Jose, CA, USA). The adenoaviral IRF-1 expression construct (AdIRF-1) was created by inserting a mouse IRF-1 cDNA into E1- and E3-deleted adenovirus, as previously described (Kim et al, 2009). The adenoaviral β-galactosidase (AdLacZ) expression construct with the same vector backbone was used as a control. The AdIRF-1 and AdLacZ viruses were amplified at the University of Pittsburgh core laboratory. CpG oligonucleotides (5’-TCCATGACGTCCTGACGT-3’) were synthesised from Life Technologies (San Diego, CA, USA).

Exosomes isolated from tumour cell culture supernatant. The Hepa 1–6 and MC38 cells were cultured in growth medium for 24 h, and then infected with AdIRF-1, AdLacZ (50 MOI), or an equivalent volume of PBS as a control. Cells were cultured for another 24 h in growth medium, changed to exosome derivation media, and cultured for an additional 48 h. The cultured media were collected for exosome isolation. Cells were collected at time points of 24, 36, and 48 h post infection.

Exosomes were isolated from media as previously described (Valadi et al, 2007). Briefly, cell culture medium was sequentially centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min, 15,000 g for 20 min, and then filtered using a 0.22 μm filter (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). Exosomes were pelleted at 100,000 g for 70 min using a SWi48 rotor. The pellets were washed twice with 9 ml PBS and centrifuged at 100,000 g for 70 min. The exosome pellets were then suspended in a small volume of PBS for cell treatment or mouse injection. Alternatively, exosome pellets were lysed with buffer (0.5% Triton X-100, 0.25% CHAPS, 150 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)) containing a complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), and detected using a BCA assay (Pierce, Thermo Scientific, San Diego, CA, USA) or western blot. Nuclear proteins were extracted from Hepa 1–6 cells, as previously described (Klune et al, 2012).

Exosome purification with gradient iodoxanol ultracentrifugation. Exosomes were enriched in 8.4–12% iodoxanol (Cantin et al, 2008), with adenovirus located between 25% and 40% of iodoxanol under ultracentrifugation (Peng et al, 2006). Potential adenovirus contamination was eliminated with gradient iodoxanol ultracentrifugation. The protocol is as follows: 2 ml of 40%, 25%, and 15% iodoxanol in PBS was added in Ultra-Clear tubes (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) sequentially, and then 2 ml of exosome pellets in PBS was gently added on top of the iodoxanol. After centrifugation at 35 600 r.p.m. for 70 min using an SW41 Ti bucket, the top 4 ml of the solution was collected and washed three times with PBS under 100,000 g for 70 min to pellet exosomes.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Isolated exosomes were verified with TEM, as previously described (Kim et al, 2007). Briefly, 1–5 μl of exosome samples in PBS was spotted onto formvar-coated grids. The excess liquid was absorbed, a drop of 1% uranyl acetate was added for staining, excess liquid was absorbed again, and exosomes were viewed on a JEM-1011TEM (Center for Biologic Imaging, University of Pittsburgh).

Exosome nanosight analysis (NTA). The exosome samples were analysed, as previously described (Ouyang et al, 2016). Briefly, exosome samples in PBS (protein concentration of ~1 μg μl⁻¹, as measured by BCA assay) were diluted 1:500 in particle-free water (W4502, Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). After vortexing, the diluted samples were injected into the NTA LM-10 system continuously using a syringe pump. Particles were acquired by the machine and data were analysed with NTA particle analysis software. Exosome particles were normalised to protein concentration.

Mouse tumour model and experimental protocol. The University of Pittsburgh Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approved all murine experiments. C57BL/6f (H-2b) female mice (4–6 weeks old) from Jackson Labs (Bar Harbor, ME, USA) were used, and 1 × 10⁷ Hepa 1–6 cells or 1 × 10⁶ cells MC38 cells suspended in 100 μl DMEM were injected into the right flank of each mouse. Tumour size was calculated every 3 days using calipers (Zeelenberg et al, 2008). Tumour size = L × W × (L + W)/2. At 6 days after Hepa 1–6 cell and MC 38 cell injection, mice were injected with exosomes or other reagents directly into tumour.
Blots shown are representative of three similar experiments.”

Experimental groups were designed as follows:

1. PBS group, 0.1 ml PBS was injected for each mouse.
2. CpG group, 0.2 μg ml⁻¹ CpG in 0.1 ml PBS was injected.
3. CpG + blank (naïve) Exo group (CpG + BLK-Exo) = CpG (0.2 μg ml⁻¹) and exosomes isolated from tumour cells without adenovirus infection (10 μg ml⁻¹) in 0.1 ml PBS were injected.
4. CpG + LacZ-Exo group (CpG + LacZ-Exo) = CpG (0.2 μg ml⁻¹) and exosomes isolated from tumour cells after AdLacZ infection (10 μg ml⁻¹) in 0.1 ml PBS were injected.
5. CpG + IRF-1-Exo group (CpG + IRF-1-Exo) = CpG (0.2 μg ml⁻¹) and exosomes isolated from tumour cells after AdIRF-1 infection (10 μg ml⁻¹) in 0.1 ml PBS were injected.
6. CpG + Boiled-IRF-1-Exo group (CpG + Boiled-IRF-1-Exo) = CpG (0.2 μg ml⁻¹) and exosomes isolated from tumour cells after AdIRF-1 infection (10 μg ml⁻¹) and boiled for 10 min in 0.1 ml PBS were injected.
7. CpG + IFN-Exo group (CpG + IFN-Exo) = CpG (0.2 μg ml⁻¹) and exosomes (10 μg ml⁻¹) were isolated from tumour cells after AdIRF-1 infection (10 μg ml⁻¹) and boiled for 10 min in 0.1 ml PBS were injected.
8. CpG + cell lysis group (CpG + IFN-cell lysis): Hepa 1–6 or MC38 cells were treated with IFNγ (250 U ml⁻¹) for 6 h, and then harvested in PBS, and repeatedly freeze/thawed five times. The CpG (0.2 μg ml⁻¹) and cell lysate (10 μg ml⁻¹) in 0.1 ml PBS were injected.
9. CpG + iodixanol purified IRF-1-Exo group (CpG + pIRF-1-Exo) = CpG (0.2 μg ml⁻¹) and iodixanol purified exosomes isolated from tumour cells after AdIRF-1 infection (10 μg ml⁻¹) in 0.1 ml PBS were injected.

IFNγ detection in exosome pellets. The IFNγ was detected in the exosomes, as previously described, with slight modification (Konadu et al., 2016). Briefly, exosome pellets were resuspended in 1% Triton X-100 in PBS to cause exosome lysis. The IFNγ was detected in the lysates using a BD CBA soluble protein kit, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentrations of standards varied from 500 to 2 pg ml⁻¹. The concentration of IFNγ in exosomes was normalised to exosome proteins.

Depletion of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in mice using antibodies. The CD4+ and CD8+ T cells were depleted in mice, as described previously (Xu et al., 1996). Briefly, 100 μg GK1.5 (anti-CD4 mAb) or YTS 169.4 (anti-CD8 mAb) was injected intraperitoneally beginning on day 3 of tumour inoculation and for every 3 days thereafter.

**RESULTS**

AdIRF-1 infection enhances exosome expression of IL-15Rα and MHC-I. Exosomes were isolated from tumour cell culture supernatant by ultracentrifugation. The TEM showed isolated exosomes ~100 nm in diameter, with disc-like morphology (Figure 1A). The IRF-1 nuclear protein expression increased in a time-dependent manner in Hepa 1–6 murine liver tumour cells after AdIRF-1 infection, but did not increase with AdLacZ infection (Figure 1B, upper panel). As expected, IFNγ, an IRF-1 inducer, promoted IRF-1 expression (Figure 1B, lower panel). Interestingly, exosomes released from the AdIRF-1-infected cells or IFNγ-treated cells showed increased expression of the IRF-1 response genes IL-15Rα and MHC-I (Figure 1C and D). As expected, both pellet groups

![Figure 1. Exosomes released by IRF-1-induced Hepa 1–6 cells have increased IL-15Rα and MHC-I expression. (A) Under TEM, exosomes released by Hepa 1–6 cells have traditional disc-like shapes and are ~100 nm in diameter. (B) Western blot of nuclear proteins from Hepa 1–6 cells at different time points following AdIRF-1 infection (50 MOI) or 250 U ml⁻¹ IFNγ treatment. (C and D) Western blot of exosomes released by Hepa 1–6 cells. Blots shown are representative of three similar experiments.](image-url)
displayed high expression of exosome marker CD63 that is expressed independently of IRF-1 induction (Figure 1C and D).

**Exosomes released by IRF-1-induced tumour cells exhibit enhanced antitumour effects in vivo.** As CpG oligonucleotides have been shown to prime antitumour functions of exosomes (Chaput et al., 2004), we injected tumour-bearing B6 mice with CpG oligonucleotides along with exosomes released from cells that were infected by adenovirus. The Hepa 1–6 and MC38 tumour volumes were not influenced by injection of CpG alone or CpG + naive exosomes (Figure 2A and C). However, injection of exosomes released by AdIRF-1-infected Hepa 1–6 or MC38 cells with CpG significantly decreased tumour volumes in vivo beginning on days 21–24 (Figure 2A and C). Exosomes released by IFNγ-treated cells also inhibited tumour growth (Figure 2A and C). The IFNγ-treated tumour cell lysates also inhibited tumour growth when compared with the PBS-injected group, but this effect was not as robust as that seen with IRF-1-Exos (Figure 2A and C). To confirm that the antitumour effect was due to an IRF-1-mediated response, the exosomes were boiled to deactivate proteins that abrogated the antitumour effect (Figure 2A and C). Furthermore, we excluded the possibility of direct AdIRF-1 contamination in TEXs. The CpG + pIRF-1-Exo group, which should not have adenovirus in the exosomes following iodixanol purification, had similar antitumour effects as CpG + IRF-1-Exo group (Figure 2B and D).

The IFNγ has antitumour effects itself, and cytokines can be encapsulated by exosomes. Accordingly, we detected IFNγ in the exosome pellets. The IFNγ was not detectable (<2 pg in 1 µg of exosome protein) in the LacZ-Exo or IRF-1-Exo groups. These data suggest that the observed antitumour effects were not a result of IFNγ action. We also detected particles in 1 µg of exosome protein from the LacZ-Exos and IRF-1-Exos with NTAs. As shown in Figure 3, the particles did not result in a significant difference in the number of released exosomes (Figure 3B). Taken together, these data show that IRF-1-primed exosomes have an antitumour effect.

**Exosomes released from AdIRF-1-infected cells promote infiltration of tumours by CD4+ and CD8+ cells.** To determine the mechanism of the antitumour effect elicited by IRF-1, we examined tumour-infiltrating T cells in the tumour-bearing mice. The Hepa 1–6 tumours were harvested 7 days after the last injection of exosomes and CpG. Immunofluorescence staining for CD4 and CD8 was completed in tumour tissues. The number of
CD4+ and CD8+ tumour-infiltrating cells was significantly increased in the CpG + IRF-1-Exo group compared with the PBS control group (Figures 4 and 5A and B). Heat inactivation of the proteins (by exosome boiling) abrogated the CD4+ and CD8+ cell infiltration. These results suggest that the antitumour effects of IRF-1 are mediated, at least in part, by a CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell immune response.

Depletion of CD4+ or CD8+ T cells in vivo compromises the effects of exosomes released by AdIRF-1-infected cells. To confirm that the mechanistic effects of IRF-1-primed TEXs involve CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, we used antibodies to deplete CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in mice. Exosomes were injected 6 days after tumour inoculation. Depletion of CD8+ T cells in mice resulted in larger tumour volumes compared with the CpG + IRF-1-Exo-injected group and the PBS-injected control group (Figure 5C). Depletion of CD4+ T cells in mice only partially abrogated the effects of CpG + IRF-1-Exo injection (Figure 5C). These data further confirm that the effects of CpG + IRF-1-Exo involve, at least in part, the CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell pathways.

Mice injected with exosomes released from AdIRF-1-infected cells have a higher CD8+ antitumour response in peripheral lymphocytes. To evaluate peripheral T-cell activation, we harvested spleens from Hepa 1–6 tumour-bearing mice 7 days after tumour injection. After isolation, splenocytes were co-cultured for 5 days with Hepa 1–6 or MC38 cells treated with mitomycin C.
The antitumour effect of IRF-1-primed exosomes

Figure 5. Quantification of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell tumour infiltration, and the effects of IRF-1-primed TEXs on tumour growth after depletion of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. (A and B) Quantification of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells per high-power field (HPF, 20×). (C) Tumour growth after CD4+ T-cell or CD8+ T-cell depletion with antibodies in vivo. *P<0.05. A full color version of this figure is available at the British Journal of Cancer journal online.

Figure 6. Granzyme B-positive CD8+ splenocytes from Hepa 1–6 tumour-bearing mice after co-culturing with mitomycin C-treated tumour cells in vitro. 1×10⁶ Hepa 1–6 cells were inoculated in female C57BL/6 mice. At 6 days after inoculation, CpG (0.20 μg ml⁻¹) and exosomes released from Hepa 1–6 cells (10 μg ml⁻¹) were dissolved in PBS and injected into mice (0.1 ml) every 3 days for a total of four doses. At 7 days after the last injection, mouse spleens were harvested. Splenocytes were co-cultured with mitomycin C-treated (50 μg ml⁻¹) Hepa 1–6 cells or MC38 cells for 5 days. Cells were analysed for expression of CD8+ cell surface marker and intracellular granzyme B by flow cytometry. Results shown are representative of five similar experiments. A full color version of this figure is available at the British Journal of Cancer journal online.
of five similar experiments. A full color version of this figure is available at the British Journal of Cancer journal online.

Figure 7. The IFNγ-positive CD8+ splenocytes from Hepa 1–6 tumour-bearing mice after co-culturing with mitomycin C-treated tumour cells in vitro. 1 × 10^7 Hepa 1–6 cells were inoculated in female C57BL/6 mice. At 6 days after inoculation, CpG (0.20 μg ml⁻¹) and exosomes released from Hepa 1–6 cells (10 μg ml⁻¹) were dissolved in PBS and injected into mice (0.1 ml) every 3 days for a total of four doses. At 7 days after the last injection, mouse spleens were harvested. Splenocytes were co-cultured with mitomycin C-treated (50 μg ml⁻¹) Hepa 1–6 cells or MC38 cells for 5 days. Cells were analysed for expression of CD8+ cell surface marker and intracellular IFNγ by flow cytometry. Results shown are representative of five similar experiments. A full color version of this figure is available at the British Journal of Cancer journal online.

The mitomycin C was used to inhibit proliferation of Hepa 1–6 and MC38 tumour cells. We then examined granzyme B (Figure 6) and IFNγ (Figure 7) expression in CD8+ splenocytes. The flow cytometry analysis shows that co-culture of Hepa 1–6 cells with splenocytes from CpG + IRF-1-Exo-injected mice results in a higher percentage of granzyme B (13.1%) and IFNγ (12.2%) expression within the CD8+ cell population compared with the CpG + LacZ-Exo group (6.9% and 6.2%, respectively). Co-culture with MC38 cells also increased the percentage of CD8+ cells that expressed granzyme B and IFNγ, but to a lesser extent than that co-
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Many studies have examined the use of exosomes as tumour vaccines (Zitvogel et al., 1998; Wolfers et al., 2001). Whereas dendritic cell released exosomes (DEXs) have predominantly antitumour effects, the effects of TEXs remain controversial. Some studies show that TEXs have antitumour effects (Chen et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2011), whereas others show TEXs can promote tumour progression and metastasis (Andreola et al., 2002; Wieckowski et al., 2009). Specifically, TEXs with increased immune-activating molecules like chemokines (Chen et al., 2011) or MHC class II proteins (Lee et al., 2011) enhanced antitumour effects, whereas TEXs with Fas ligand (Andreola et al., 2002) or NKG2D ligands (Clayton et al., 2008) suppressed antitumour immunity. Hence, the antitumour effect of TEXs depends on TEX content. As an exosome is a miniature version of its host cell, several studies have shown that the contents of TEXs can be modified by manipulation of their mother tumour cells (Lee et al., 2011).

As IRF-1 can promote antitumour immunity, and TEXs have IRF-1 target genes (IL-15Rα and MHC-I), we hypothesised that TEXs can be engineered to activate IRF-1 target genes in order to further enhance their antitumour effects. The major and novel findings of this study are: (1) exosomes released by IRF-1-primed cells have increased expression of IRF-1 target genes IL-15Rα and MHC-I; (2) these exosomes promote antitumour immunity in part by increasing tumour infiltration by CD4+ and CD8+ T cells; (3) and the antitumour effects were tumour specific.

The IFN-γ and its target gene, IRF-1, play important antitumour roles (Lorenzi et al., 2012; El Jamal et al., 2016) by promoting tumour cell apoptosis (Schwartz-Roberts et al., 2015) and enhancing immune responses (Murtaštă et al., 2013). Further studies are required to determine whether or not IRF-1-primed TEXs can induce Hepa 1–6 or MC38 apoptosis.

The IRF-1 is an early-immediate transcription factor that can regulate many immune activation genes, including MHC-I (Taniguchi et al., 2001), MHC-II, IL-15Rα (Ogasawara et al., 1998), and IFNα or IFNβ (Harada et al., 1990; Matsuyama et al., 1993). The IRF-1 can inhibit cancer growth by regulating microRNAs (Mao et al., 2015) that can be shuttled to immune cells by exosomes (van der Vos et al., 2016). Although we did not specifically detect RNA or DNA in IRF-1-induced TEXs, the antitumour effects observed with IRF-1 Exo injection were abrogated following heat inactivation, indicating that the antitumour effects were likely mediated by proteins within the TEXs. The loss of the antitumour effects with heat inactivation also excludes the possibility that the antitumour effects were a result of AdIRF-1 DNA shuttled by IRF-1-Exo. Although virus can be transmitted via exosomes (Longatti, 2015), the AdIRF-1 construct used in these studies is replication deficient, and therefore excludes any direct effects from adenovirus in the exosomes. Furthermore, similar antitumour effects were observed in TEXs purified using iodixanol, a method that excludes adenovirus from exosomes, and therefore direct contamination by AdIRF-1 is unlikely.

In our studies, Hepa 1–6 tumour size decreased even in the PBS control group. Hepa 1–6 cells are derived from a BW7756 hepatoma in C57/L mice, and are often used to inoculate C57L/J mice (Huang and Ohno, 2003). Therefore, Hepa 1–6 cells are not syngeneic with C57BL/6J, and C57BL/6J mice can reject Hepa 1–6 cell tumours as a result of minor mismatch. One study found that Hepa 1–6 tumour formation depends on the site of inoculation, and reported that subcutaneous Hepa 1–6 tumours did not grow as well as intraperitoneal tumours (Hiotis et al., 2003). Therefore, to confirm that IRF-1-primed TEXs have antitumour effects, we employed a second tumour model utilising MC38 cells, which are syngeneic with C57BL/6J, and found that these tumours also grew slower when treated with IRF-1-primed TEXs.

Tumour immunofluorescence staining demonstrated that the antitumour effects of IRF-1-Exo were associated with CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell recruitment. Consistent with our results of increased IL-15Rα expression in TEXs, others have shown that IL-15 has higher antitumour activity because of an increase in tumour-reactive CD8+ T cells (Klebanoff et al., 2004; Morris et al., 2014). A previous report also shows that increasing MHC-I expression promotes antitumour immunity (Wan et al., 2012). Induction of IRF-1 not only increased MHC-I expression in tumour cells (Lorenzi et al., 2012), but also increased MHC-I expression in exosomes, thereby promoting antitumour immunity. Our data may further explain why tumour cell lysate vaccines, which are generated with the IRF-1 inducer IFNα, can inhibit tumour growth (Omori et al., 2012).

Antitumour effects depend in part on cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), and previous research has shown that TEXs can prime CTLs (Wolfers et al., 2001). After co-culturing splenocytes from tumour-bearing mice with mitomycin C-treated tumour cells, we observed that splenocytes from CpG + IRF-1-Exo-injected mice displayed a higher percentage of IFNγ and granzyme B-positive CTLs (CD8+ T cells). Interestingly, these splenocytes had a higher response rate to Hepa 1–6 cells as compared with MC38 cells, indicating that IRF-1-primed TEXs can trigger tumour-specific immunity. In our experiments, we used the CpG as an exosome adjuvant that has been demonstrated previously (Chaput et al., 2004). The CpG can activate Toll-like receptors to promote an immune response. Our data verify that CpG can be used as an adjuvant for a TEX vaccine.

In order to generate patient-specific IRF-1-primed TEXs, cancer cells must first be derived from patient samples. After treatment with IFNγ or transduction with AdIRF-1, TEXs can be harvested from cell culture supernatant. Cancer cells can then be excluded from TEXs in via filtration, as TEXs can pass through a 0.22 μm filter. The use of TEXs as a patient-specific vaccine is more advantageous than the use of tumour lysate, because of the many kinds of damage-associated molecule patterns (DAMPs) that result from the use of tumour lysates.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that exosomes released by IRF-1-induced tumour cells increase expression of IRF-1 target proteins and have specific antitumour effects. As each patient has unique tumour antigens, our results provide a potential strategy for a patient-specific tumour vaccine utilising IRF-1-primed TEXs.
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