A Gain-of-Function Mutant of IAA15 Inhibits Lateral Root Development by Transcriptional Repression of LBD Genes in Arabidopsis
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Lateral root development is known to be regulated by Aux/IAA-ARF modules in Arabidopsis thaliana. As components, several Aux/IAAs have participated in these Aux/IAA-ARF modules. In this study, to identify the biological function of IAA15 in plant developments, transgenic plant overexpressing the gain-of-function mutant of IAA15 (IAA15P75S OX) under the control of dexamethasone (DEX) inducible promoter, in which IAA15 protein was mutated by changing Pro-75 residue to Ser at the degron motif in conserved domain II, was constructed. As a result, we found that IAA15P75S OX plants show a decreased number of lateral roots. Coincidently, IAA15 promoter-GUS reporter analysis revealed that IAA15 transcripts were highly detected in all stages of developing lateral root tissues. It was also verified that the IAA15P75S protein is strongly stabilized against proteasome-mediated protein degradation by inhibiting its poly-ubiquitination, resulting in the transcriptional repression of auxin-responsive genes. In particular, transcript levels of LBD16 and LBD29, which are positive regulators of lateral root formation, dramatically repressed in IAA15P75S OX plants. Furthermore, it was elucidated that IAA15 interacts with ARF7 and ARF19 and binds to the promoters of LBD16 and LBD29, strongly suggesting that IAA15 represses lateral root formation through the transcriptional suppression of LBD16 and LBD29 by inhibiting ARF7 and ARF19 activity. Taken together, this study suggests that IAA15 also plays a key negative role in lateral root formation as a component of Aux/IAA-ARF modules.
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INTRODUCTION

Lateral root development is an auxin-regulated developmental process that maximizes the ability of the root system to absorb water and nutrients from the soil (Peret et al., 2009). The phytohormone auxin has been known to promote lateral root development (Torrey, 1950; Blakely et al., 1988). Exogenous auxin promotes cell division in the root pericycle, thereby inducing lateral root formation, whereas inhibitors of auxin transport inhibit lateral root initiation (Casimiro et al., 2001; Alarcón et al., 2019). In Arabidopsis,
lateral root development begins with the auxin-dependent local activation of pericycle cells at the two protoxylem poles (Beeckman et al., 2001; Casimiro et al., 2001; Casimiro et al., 2003). These pericycle-derived cells undergo a series of cell division and differentiation processes, leading to the formation of lateral root (Laskowski et al., 1995).

Auxin regulates almost every aspect of plant growth and development, including embryo patterning, root and stem growth, organ senescence, vascular differentiation, seed germination, and flower development (Gälweiler et al., 1998; Friml et al., 2002; Ellis et al., 2005; Weijers et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2013; Hussain et al., 2020). The auxin-signaling pathway is highly conserved in all higher plants (Reed, 2001; Guilfoyle and Hagen, 2007; Mockaitis and Estelle, 2008). Three components primarily control auxin signaling: the auxin receptors TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESPONSE1 (TIR1)/AUXIN SIGNALING F-BOX PROTEINS (AFBs), transcriptional repressors AUXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACIDS (Aux/IAAs), and transcription factors AUXIN RESPONSE FACTORS (ARFs). Under low auxin levels, Aux/IAA proteins recruit the co-repressor TOPLESS to inhibit the transcription of auxin-responsive genes by repressing the activities of their interaction partners ARFs. ARFs directly bind to the promoters of their target genes and activate or inhibit their transcription (Weijers et al., 2005; Szemenyei et al., 2008). Under high auxin levels, auxin is perceived by the auxin receptors TIR1/AFBs, and the SCF\textsuperscript{TIR1/AFBs} E3 ubiquitin-ligase complex targets Aux/IAA repressors for degradation via poly-ubiquitination (Dharmasiri et al., 2005; Mockaitis and Estelle, 2008). Once Aux/IAAs are degraded by the 26S proteasome, the free ARFs initiate the transcription of auxin-responsive genes (Ulmasov et al., 1997; Weijers et al., 2005).

The Aux/IAAs family in Arabidopsis were first identified based on their strong induction by auxin at the transcriptional level. Most Aux/IAA proteins contain four conserved domains (I, II, III, and IV) (Liscum and Reed, 2002). Domain I contributes to the transcriptional repression of Aux/IAA targets by recruiting the co-repressor, TOPLESS. Domain II contains a highly conserved degron motif (VGVPPV), the docking site of the auxin-activated SCF\textsuperscript{TIR1/AFBs} ubiquitin E3 ligase complex, leading to the poly-ubiquitination of the target proteins. Domain III and IV are involved in homo- or hetero-interactions with other Aux/IAAs or ARFs. When the conserved amino acid in domain II of an Aux/IAA protein harbors a point mutation, the protein becomes stable because it cannot interact with SCF\textsuperscript{TIR1/AFBs}, even in the presence of auxin. Stabilized Aux/IAA mutants are thought to constitutively interact with and inactivate ARFs during various biological processes, thereby inhibiting auxin signaling. Many biological functions of Aux/IAAs have been identified by investigating various gain-of-function mutants of Aux/IAA, such as iaa1/axr5, iaa3/shy2, iaa6/shy1, iaa7/axr2, iaa8, iaa12/bdl, iaa14/slr, iaa16, iaa17/axr3, iaa18, iaa19/msg2, and iaa28 (Kim et al., 1996; Rouse et al., 1998; Tian and Reed, 1999; Nagpal et al., 2000; Rogg et al., 2001; Hamann et al., 2002; Tatematsu et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2004; Fukaki et al., 2006; Ploense et al., 2009; De Rybel et al., 2010; Rinaldi et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013). These mutations result in auxin-related phenotypes, including altered developments of hypocotyl, root, leaf, embryo, and tropisms.

Many genetic studies using Arabidopsis mutants have reported that lateral root development is primarily regulated by several Aux/IAAs, ARFs, and LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES-DOMAINS (LBDs). For example, gain-of-function mutants of Aux/IAA, such as iaa3/shy2, iaa14/slr, iaa19/msg2, and iaa28, show severely reduced lateral root phenotypes due to inhibited ARF activity (Tian and Reed, 1999; Rogg et al., 2001; Tatematsu et al., 2004; Fukaki et al., 2006). Analysis of the arf7, arf19, and arf7 arf19 mutants showed that ARF7 and ARF19 play positive roles in lateral root formation (Okushima et al., 2005; Wilmoth et al., 2005). Furthermore, LBD16 and LBD29 play a positive role in lateral root formation downstream of ARF7 and ARF19 (Okushima et al., 2007; Goh et al., 2012). These studies provided the molecular signaling pathway of how Aux/IAA-ARF modules-mediated auxin signaling is involved in lateral root formation.

It was reported that IAA15 modulates auxin homeostasis that controls gravitropic responses and stem cell differentiation (Yan et al., 2013). However, the biological functions of IAA15 in other developmental processes were not extensively studied. In the current study, we generated transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing a gain-of-function mutant form of IAA15 (IAA15\textsuperscript{P7S5}OX) with a mutation in a conserved amino acid in domain II. The IAA15\textsuperscript{P7S5}OX plants showed auxin-deficient phenotypes, including shortened primary roots and decreased lateral roots compared to the wild type. When we investigated the expression of IAA15 gene by using IAA15\textsuperscript{pro::GUS} reporter construct, IAA15 transcripts were mainly detected in lateral root primordia and mature lateral root tips. Stabilized IAA15\textsuperscript{P7S5} mutant protein inhibits the transcription of auxin-responses genes including two LBD genes. Moreover, we showed that IAA15 interacted with ARF7 and ARF19 and bound to the promoters of LBD16 and LBD29.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

The Arabidopsis thaliana lines used in this study were in the Columbia background. For surface sterilization, seeds were soaked for 1 min in 70% EtOH, followed by 10 min in 1/10-diluted commercial bleach (0.4% NaOCl) and three washes with sterile distilled water. Surface-sterilized seeds were sown on agar plates containing half-strength Murashige-Skoog (MS) salts and vitamins (Murashige and Skoog, 1962), 2.0% sucrose, and 0.8% agar. The plates were incubated for 3 d at 4°C in the dark, and then at 22°C in a growth chamber under a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod with a light intensity of ~120 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). Ten- to twelve-day-old seedlings were transferred to soil and grown under the same conditions. To explore the plant response to exogenous auxin, IAA15\textsuperscript{P7S5}OX plants were vertically germinated and grown on MS medium containing 0, 10, 25, or 50 nM NAA with or without 50 μM DEX and photographed at...
14 d after germination. For stress treatments, IAA15<sup>P75S</sup> OX plants were vertically germinated and grown on MS medium containing 50 µM DEX plus NaCl (50 or 100 mM), mannitol (200 or 300 mM), or ABA (0.1 or 0.2 µM). The response to stress was estimated by measuring primary root length at 14 d after germination.

**Plasmid Construction, Site-Directed Mutagenesis, and Plant Transformation**

To generate the DEX<sub>pGA1000-3XFLAG-IAA15</sub><sup>WT</sup> and DEX<sub>pGA1000-3XFLAG-IAA15</sub><sup>P75S</sup> constructs, the coding sequence of IAA15 was amplified by PCR from a cDNA library prepared from Arabidopsis seedlings using gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table 1) and cloned into the T-blanth vector (Solgent, Korea). The amino acid substitution (Pro-75 to Ser-75) in full-length IAA15 was produced with the primers listed in Supplementary Table 1 using a QuikChange Site-directed Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, USA). IAA15<sup>WT</sup> and IAA15<sup>P75S</sup> were subcloned into the BamHII and SpeI sites of the pBlueScript II KS<sup>+</sup> vector containing 3XFLAG. 3XFLAG-IAA15<sup>WT</sup> and 3XFLAG-IAA15<sup>P75S</sup> were cloned into the pTA7002 binary vectors under the control of DEX-inducible promoter. For IAA15<sub>pGA1000-GUS</sub>, a 2.4 kb genomic region upstream of the ATG start codon was cloned into the HindIII and SalI sites of pbBlueScript II KS<sup>+</sup> vector containing GUS. IAA15<sub>pGA1000-GUS</sub> was cloned into the HindIII and SalI sites of pPZP211 binary vector. All constructs were confirmed by sequencing and transformed into Arabidopsis (Col-0) using Agrobacterium strain GV3101 (pMP90) by the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Transformants were selected on MS medium containing the proper antibiotics, T<sub>3</sub> homozygous progeny of transgenic plants expressing high levels of IAA15 were used for all experiments.

**Extraction and Immunoblot Analysis of Arabidopsis Proteins**

To extract total plant proteins, tissues were ground in liquid nitrogen and extracted in protein extraction buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, 1 mM Na<sub>2</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, 25 mM NaF, 50 mM-glycerophosphate, 2 mM DTT, 2 mM PMSF, 5% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitor). After two rounds of centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 10 min, the supernatants were transferred to clean tubes and stored at -80°C until use. Protein concentrations were determined using a Bio-Rad Protein Assay kit (Bio-Rad) with BSA as a standard. For immunoblot analysis, 50 µg total protein samples were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. Proteins were detected using mouse anti-Flag (1:5,000; Sigma, USA) as a primary antibody and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse as a secondary antibody (1:5,000) and visualized using an ECL kit (Bio-Rad, USA).

**Histochemical GUS Assays**

To investigate the expression pattern of IAA15, GUS activity in IAA15<sub>pGA1000-GUS</sub> plants was detected histochemically as described by Jefferson et al. (1987) with slight modifications. Briefly, the tissue was incubated in 2 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronic acid in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, containing 0.5 mM K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> and 0.5 mM K<sub>4</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> for 6 h at 37°C. The tissue was rinsed with 50 mM phosphate buffer, fixed, and cleared overnight in ethanol (100%): acetic acid (9:1, v/v) at room temperature. The sample was photographed under a Nikon SMZ1000 stereoscopic microscope equipped with an OLYMPUS C-5050 ZOOM digital camera.

**In Vivo Turnover and Ubiquitination Assays**

For the in vivo turnover assay of IAA15 proteins, 10-day-old seedlings overexpressing IAA15<sup>WT</sup> or IAA15<sup>P75S</sup> were pretreated with 50 µM DEX and 10 µM MG132 for 24 h, and then treated with 10 µM NAA in the presence of 1 mM cycloheximide (CHX) for 4 h. 50 µg total protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, and immunoblot analysis was performed using mouse anti-Flag (Sigma, USA). For the ubiquitination assay, 10-day-old DEX-treated IAA15<sup>WT</sup> OX and IAA15<sup>P75S</sup> OX seedlings were pretreated with 10 µM MG132 for 2 h, and then treated with or without 10 µM NAA for 24 h. Total plant protein (1 mg) was immunoprecipitated using anti-Flag antibody coupled to agarose beads (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 4 h at 4°C. The beads were recovered by centrifugation, washed five times with extraction buffer, and eluted with 30 µl SDS-PAGE sample buffer. The eluted samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, and immunoblot analysis was performed using a monoclonal anti-ubiquitin antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) as described (Miura et al., 2011).

**Quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from the samples using an RNA purification kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany), and 5 µg total RNA was reverse transcribed in a 100 µl reaction volume using SuperScript II RNase-Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, USA). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed in a 10 µl reaction volume containing 1 µl RT products, 10 pmol of gene-specific primers, and 5 µl SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad, USA) using the CFX384 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, USA). The reaction conditions included an initial 5 min pre-incubation at 94°C, 45 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 40 s, followed by melting curve analysis via 90 cycles at 55°C, increasing by 0.5°C C/cycle, and a final cooling step for 10 min at 72°C. The primers used for PCR are shown in Supplementary Table 2.

**Yeast Two-Hybrid Analysis**

The full-length open reading frames of IAA15 and ARF7/ARF29 were amplified using gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table 1) and cloned into pGAD424 (AD) and pAS2-1 (BD), respectively. The AD and BD plasmids were co-transformed into yeast strain pJ69-4A for interaction analysis, and colonies were selected after 2–3 days of growth at 30°C on SD-LEU/TRP medium. The transformants were tested for positive bait-prey interactions by monitoring the activation of HIS3 reporter genes, as described in the Clontech yeast protocol handbook.

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Assay**

Two-week-old IAA15<sup>P75S</sup> OX seedlings were treated with or without 50 µM DEX for 24 h, and the samples were collected.
ChIP was carried out as described (Bastow et al., 2004; Tian et al., 2005) using mouse polyclonal anti-Flag antibody (1:3,000; Sigma, USA). PCR amplification was performed quantitatively using the CFX384 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, USA). The immunoprecipitation was replicated three times, and each sample was quantified at least in triplicate. The ChIP primers are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

RESULTS

Transgenic Plants Expressing an IAA15 Gain-of-Function Mutant Show Defects in Lateral Root Development

Almost all Aux/IAA gain-of-function mutants having point mutation at the degron motif (VGWPP) of these proteins showed auxin deficient phenotypes (Reed, 2001). However, to date, a gain-of-function mutant of IAA15 has not been isolated.

To identify the biological function of IAA15, in this study, we constructed transgenic plants overexpressing wild-type IAA15 (IAA15WT) and a gain-of-function mutant of IAA15 (IAA15P75S) under the control of the 35S promoter. IAA15P75S contains a Pro-75 to Ser-75 substitution in domain II of IAA15. Three independent lines of homozygous transgenic plants overexpressing IAA15WT (IAA15WT OX) were selected (Supplementary Figure 1). However, we failed to generate homozygous transgenic plants overexpressing IAA15P75S (IAA15P75 OX), perhaps because they were all embryonically lethal. Therefore, we generated transgenic plants expressing IAA15WT and IAA15P75S under the control of a DEX-inducible promoter. Three independent lines of homozygous transgenic plants with high levels of IAA15 transcripts were selected after DEX treatment (Figure 1).

We investigated the auxin-related phenotypes of these transgenic plants. The treatment of IAA15WT OX plants with or without DEX showed similar phenotypes to WT in terms of plant growth and development (Figures 2A, C, D and Supplementary Figure 2). DEX-untreated IAA15P75S OX plants also showed no different phenotypes compared to WT, while DEX-treated IAA15P75S OX plants showed defects in root development (Figures 2B–D and Supplementary Figure 3). In particular, the primary root length and lateral root number in DEX-treated IAA15P75S OX plants were reduced by approximately 40% and 90%, respectively, compared to the WT (Figures 2C, D), suggesting that the IAA15P75S protein is negatively involved in primary and lateral root development. Because each of three independent lines of IAA15WT OX and IAA15P75S OX showed similar expression patterns and root growth phenotype, we mixed seeds from these lines together and used these for further studies, and hereafter refer as IAA15WT OX and IAA15P75S OX, respectively.

IAA15 Is Expressed During Lateral Root Initiation and Development

The investigation of the expression patterns is helpful in estimating the unknown physiological function of a specific gene. To explore the expression pattern of IAA15, we generated transgenic plants expressing the β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene under the control of the IAA15 promoter. GUS activities were detected in the shoot apical meristem, petioles, veins, tips of cotyledons,
primary root tips, lateral root primordia, and lateral root tips (Figure 3A). GUS activities were also highly detected at all stages in morphologically recognizable lateral root primordia and highly in primordium tips after maturation (Figure 3B). To examine the presence of specific cis-regulatory elements in the promoter, we performed in silico analysis on the IAA15 promoter. We found that IAA15 promoter includes many root-specific cis-regulatory elements, such as Sorlip1, AS1-Box, and FaRB7_root-specific (Supplementary Figure 4) (Puzio et al., 2000; Jiao et al., 2005; Vaughan et al., 2006; Kakrana et al., 2017). These cis-elements were also found on the IAA14 gene promoter, which plays role in lateral root development. Taken together, these results suggest that IAA15 plays a functional role in lateral root development.

**Mutation of the Degron Motif of IAA15 Increases Protein Stability by Inhibiting Poly-Ubiquitination**

Aux/IAAs are short-lived proteins that are rapidly degraded by the ubiquitin-proteasome mediated pathway (Santner et al., 2009). Gain-of-function mutations of Aux/IAA genes confer...
auxin-related phenotypes by stabilizing Aux/IAA proteins (Reed, 2001). As expected, IAA15 proteins were not detected in IAA15WT OX plants because this protein is unstable in normal condition (Figure 1C and Supplementary Figure S1). By contrast, IAA15 highly accumulated in response to treatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG132. Interestingly, high levels of IAA15 were also detected in IAA15P75S OX plants in response to DEX treatment, even in the absence of MG132 (Figure 1D). This result indicates that IAA15P75S become stable in normal growth condition.

To confirm whether IAA15P75S is indeed more stable than IAA15WT, we measured the degradation rate of these proteins in IAA15WT OX and IAA15P75S OX plants. We accumulated IAA15WT and IAA15P75S proteins in these transgenic plants by treatment with MG132 and DEX for 24 h, and then measured the amount of proteins by Western blots in the presence or absence of NAA plus CHX for 4 h. CHX was used to prevent de novo synthesis of proteins in plants. As a result, IAA15WT was rapidly degraded within 2 h by the treatment with or without NAA and almost undetectable after 4 h (Figure 4A). In contrast, IAA15P75S was stable even in the presence of NAA (Figure 4B), indicating that IAA15P75S is more stable than IAA15WT.

Next, to examine whether the Pro-75 to Ser point mutation of the degron domain would affect the poly-ubiquitination of IAA15 by the SCF^{TIR1/AFB} complex, we measured the ubiquitination efficiencies of IAA15WT and IAA15P75S proteins. To block the auxin-induced degradation of ubiquitinated IAA15, we treated DEX-pretreated IAA15WT OX and IAA15P75S OX plants with MG132 in the absence or presence of NAA. The accumulated IAA15 proteins were immunoprecipitated from total extracts of IAA15WT OX and IAA15P75S OX plants with anti-Flag antibody and immunoblotted with anti-ubiquitin antibody to measure the levels of ubiquitinated IAA15 protein, as described (Miura et al., 2011). Inputs of IAA15 proteins in IAA15WT OX and IAA15P75S OX plants were similarly detected by treatments with DEX and MG132 (Figure 4C, lower panel). As expected, poly-ubiquitinated IAA15WT was highly detected in IAA15WT OX plant by treatment with NAA, while those of IAA15P75S were less detected in IAA15P75S OX plant in both the presence and the absence of NAA (Figure 4C, upper panel). Taken together, these results suggest that the point mutation in the degron motif of IAA15 increases its protein stability by the inhibition of poly-ubiquitination and the subsequent proteasome-mediated degradation.
IAA15 Negatively Regulates Auxin-Responsive Genes Including LBD16 and LBD29

To examine the effect of stabilization of IAA15 protein on auxin response, we analyzed root growth in IAA15P75S OX plants in response to exogenous auxin (Supplementary Figure 4). As expected, DEX-untreated IAA15P75S OX seedlings showed inhibited primary root growth and increased lateral root number in response to 10 and 25 nM NAA. In DEX-treated IAA15P75S OX seedlings, however, the primary root growth was only slightly reduced, and there was no significant difference in lateral root number in the presence of 10 and 25 nM NAA. This insensitivity of IAA15P75S OX seedlings to auxin was no longer detected in a high auxin level (over 50 nM) (Supplementary Figure 4). These results indicate that the stabilized IAA15 protein represses auxin response.

Because the stabilization of IAA15 resulted in impairment of auxin-related phenotypes, we hypothesized that the accumulation of IAA15 inhibits the expression of auxin-responsive genes. To test this hypothesis, DEX-untreated and -treated IAA15P75S OX plants have analyzed the expression of auxin-responsive genes including LBD16 and LBD29 (Figure 5B). These results indicate that the gain-of-function mutation of IAA15 suppresses the transcription of auxin-responsive genes including LBD16 and LBD29.

IAA15 Binds to the Promoters of LBD16 and LBD29

Although IAA15 negatively regulates the expression of LBD16 and LBD29, it is likely to repress auxin-responsive transcription by interacting with the partner ARF proteins because Aux/IAA repressors do not have a DNA-binding domain (Guilfoyle, 2015; Powers and Strader, 2020). To know the molecular mechanism to explain how IAA15 inhibits the expression of LBD16 and LBD29 genes, we first investigated whether IAA15 interacts with ARF7 or ARF19, which are direct and positive regulating transcription factors in the expression of LBD16 and LBD29 genes (Okushima et al., 2007). IAA15 was previously shown to interact with the C-terminal fragments (Domain III and IV) of ARF7 and ARF19 in yeast cells. As expected, IAA15 physically interacts with the full-length ARF7 and ARF19 in a yeast two-hybrid assay (Vernoux et al., 2011). Therefore, we examined the interactions of IAA15 with the full-length ARF7 and ARF19 in yeast cells. As expected, IAA15 physically interacts with full-length ARF7 and ARF19 (Figure 6A).

The previous investigation reported that ARF7 and ARF19 directly bind to auxin-responsive elements (AuxREs) of LBD16 and LBD29 promoters. (Figure 6B) (Okushima et al., 2007). To explore whether IAA15 binds to these AuxREs of LBD promoters, therefore, we performed a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay in DEX-untreated and -treated IAA15P75S OX plants using anti-Flag antibody. The enrichment of these AuxREs was highly increased by the induction of IAA15P75S (Figure 6C). These results strongly suggest that IAA15 binds to the promoters of LBD16 and LBD29, presumably indirectly through interactions with an ARF7 and ARF19.
FIGURE 5 | IAA15<sup>Py75</sup> inhibits auxin-responsive gene expression. Total RNA was extracted from IAA15<sup>Py75</sup> OX plants treated with or without 10 μM NAA and/or 50 μM DEX. (A) Transcript levels of auxin-responsive genes are reduced in IAA15<sup>Py75</sup> OX plants. IAA5, IAA14, GH3.3, and SAUR10 transcript levels were measured by qRT-PCR using specific primers. The bars indicate the mean ± S.D. (n = 3). Different letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) among lines that were explored through Tukey’s multiple comparisons tests. (B) The mutation of IAA15 inhibits the expression of lateral root-related LBDs. IAA15, LBD16, LBD29, and LBD33 transcript levels were measured by qRT-PCR using specific primers. The bars indicate the mean ± S.D. (n = 3). Different letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) among lines that were explored through Tukey’s multiple comparisons tests.

FIGURE 6 | IAA15 interacts with ARF7 and ARF19 and binds to the promoters of LBD16 and LBD29. (A) Yeast two-hybrid analysis showing the interaction of IAA15 with ARF7 and ARF19. Various combinations of plasmids were co-transformed into yeast strain pJ69-4A. Shown are growth tests of the transformants on selective SD medium lacking Leu and Trp (-L/W) (control) and on SD medium lacking Leu, Trp, and His (-L/W/H) supplemented with 25 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT) to demonstrate activation of the HIS3 reporter gene. (B) Diagram of the LBD16 and LBD29 promoters, which contain target sequences of ARF7 and ARF19. The asterisks (**) show the positions of primers used for ChIP-qPCR. (C) ChIP assay of the binding of IAA15 to the ARF7- and ARF19-response elements in the LBD16 and LBD29 promoters in vivo. ChIP assays were performed with chromatin prepared from IAA15<sup>Py75</sup> OX treated with or without 50 μM DEX by using anti-Flag antibody. ChIP-DNA was applied to RT-qPCR using primers specifically targeting to promoter regions of LBD16 and LBD29. The bars indicate the mean ± S.D. (n = 3). Significant differences were analyzed by Student’s t-test (***P < 0.01).
DISCUSSION

The Stabilized IAA15 Inhibits the Lateral Root Growth

Almost all gain-of-function mutations of Aux/IAA occurring within conserved domain II result in defective plant growth due to the stabilization of Aux/IAA. In the current study, we found that IAA15P75S OX plants exhibited auxin-related abnormal developmental phenotypes such as short primary roots and reduced lateral root formation (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures 2 and 3). These developmental phenotypes of IAA15P75S OX plants were caused by the inhibition of auxin signaling through an accumulation of IAA15P75S (Figures 1 and 5 and Supplementary Figure 4). The accumulation of IAA15P75S was due to the inhibited poly-ubiquitination by the ubiquitin E3 ligase complex (Figure 4). Interestingly, these auxin-related root defective phenotypes of IAA15P75S OX plants are also found in gain-of-function mutants of other Aux/IAAs such as iaa3/shy2, iaa14/slr-1, iaa19/msg2, and iaa28 (Reed, 2001; Liscum and Reed, 2002; Mockaitis and Estelle, 2008). The similar root phenotypes of those mutants suggest that several Aux/IAA proteins may be redundantly involved in plant root development. The next possible speculation is that similar root defective phenotypes by several gain-of-function mutants can be caused by over-accumulation of corresponding Aux/IAA proteins. Over-accumulated Aux/IAA proteins in those mutants may cause non-specific interactions with ARF partner proteins, which result in unusual auxin-related root development phenotypes. To determine which Aux/IAA genes are bona fide involved in root development, the more detailed experimental investigations by using new transgenic plants expressing AUX/IAAs mutant under the control of their promoters and loss-of-function mutant of Aux/IAAs should be performed.

IAA15 Is a Component of Aux/IAA-ARF Modules Inhibiting Lateral Root Formation

The molecular model explaining the mechanism of lateral root formation is well established based on many studies (Tian and Reed, 1999; Rogg et al., 2001; Tatematsu et al., 2004; Okushima et al., 2005; Weijers et al., 2005; Wilmoth et al., 2005; Fukaki et al., 2002; Mockaitis and Estelle, 2008). According to this model, auxin de-represses the activity of the transcriptional activators ARF7 and ARF19 through the degradation of Aux/IAAs such as IAA3, IAA14, IAA19, and IAA28, which directly activates the transcription of downstream genes including LBD16 and LBD29 to induce lateral root formation (Okushima et al., 2007). The lateral root formation is redundantly regulated by multiple Aux/IAA-ARF modules such as IAA3/SHY2-ARFs, IAA14/SLR-ARF7 and -ARF19, IAA19/MSG2-ARF7, and IAA28-ARFs. In the current study, we found that in addition to other IAAAs, IAA15 also negatively regulates lateral root formation through the transcriptional repression of LBD16 and LBD29 (Figures 1 and 4). In addition, we showed that IAA15 directly interacts with ARF7 and ARF19 and binds to the promoters of LBD16 and LBD29. These findings suggest that the roles of the IAA15-ARF7 and -ARF19 modules in lateral root development are similar to those of other known IAA-ARF modules.

The identification of several IAA-ARF modules with redundant roles in lateral root formation raises the question of why multiple Aux/IAAs redundantly regulate this process. We propose two possibilities. First, several Aux/IAA-ARF modules could be specifically involved in various stages of lateral root formation. Lateral root formation is a complex process involving three major steps: lateral root initiation, lateral root primordia development, and lateral root emergence (Malamy and Benfey, 1997; Jung and McCouch, 2013). For example, IAA28-ARF modules are involved in the specification of lateral root founder cells in the basal root meristem during the early stage of lateral root formation (De Rybel et al., 2010). IAA14/SLR-ARF7 or -ARF19 modules are involved in the asymmetric division of lateral root founder cells for lateral root initiation (De Smet et al., 2010). IAA15-ARF7 or -ARF19 modules may be involved in specific stages of lateral root formation. However, IAA15-ARF modules may be involved in all stages of this process because IAA15 is expressed in all stages in lateral root initiation and development. Second, specific Aux/IAA-ARF modules could be responsive to particular environmental stresses. Abiotic stresses such as salt stress, drought stress, and nutrient depletion affect root development, including lateral root formation (Xiong et al., 2006; Zolla et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018). In response to specific environmental stresses, specific Aux/IAA may be stabilized to inhibit lateral root formation, which contributes plants to survive. This hypothesis may explain how Aux/IAAs regulate growth and defense trade-off in plants. In the future, detailed studies elucidating the biological functions of IAAs under stress conditions should be performed.
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