Selective Preparation of a Heteroleptic Cyclometallated Ruthenium Complex Capable of Undergoing Photosubstitution of a Bidentate Ligand
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Abstract: Cyclometallated ruthenium complexes typically exhibit red-shifted absorption bands and lower photolability compared to their polypyridyl analogues. They also have lower symmetry, which sometimes makes their synthesis challenging. In this work, the coordination of four N,S bidentate ligands, 3-(methylthio)propylamine (mtpa), 2-(methylthio)ethylamine (mtea), 2-(methylthio)ethyl-2-pyridine (mtep), and 2-(methylthio)methylpyridine (mtpm), to the cyclometallated precursor [Ru(bpy)(phpy)(CH(CH3)CN)2]+ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine, Hphpy = 2-phenylpyridine) has been investigated, furnishing the corresponding heteroleptic complexes [Ru(bpy)(phpy)(N,S)PF6](2)[PF6]−[5]PF6, respectively. The stereoselectivity of the synthesis strongly depended on the size of the ring formed by the Ru-coordinated N,S ligand, with [2]PF6 and [4]PF6 being formed stereoselectively, but [3]PF6 and [5]PF6 being obtained as mixtures of inseparable isomers. The exact stereochemistry of the air-stable complex [4]PF6 was established by a combination of DFT, 2D NMR, and single-crystal X-ray crystallographic studies. Finally, [4]PF6 was found to be photosubstitutionally active under irradiation with green light in acetonitrile, which makes it the first cyclometallated ruthenium complex capable of undergoing selective photosubstitution of a bidentate ligand.

Introduction

Cyclometallated complexes are metal complexes containing a metallacycle in which at least one of the donor atoms in the first coordination sphere is either an sp2 or an sp3 carbon atom. Upon replacing a neutral pyridine ligand of a polypyridyl metal complex with its cyclometallated monoanionic phenylene analogue, the symmetry of the resulting molecule is usually reduced, leading to more coordination isomers than for the starting polypyridyl complex. The separation of such isomers is typically challenging, and it has been claimed that developing efficient synthetic strategies towards geometrically complex coordination compounds is a prerequisite for many applications, notably in biology.[11−4] In the last two decades, cycloruthenated complexes have been extensively studied, in particular in biological contexts.[5−9] They usually show higher cytotoxicity towards cancer cells compared to their non-cyclometallated analogues.[9−11] This is attributed to their higher lipophilicity, higher cellular uptake, and lower RuIII/II redox potential, which causes cytotoxic interactions with proteins such as those of oxido-reductase enzymes.[12] These advantages, together with their ability to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) upon light irradiation, make them good candidates for photodynamic therapy (PDT), an anticancer therapy in which the compound needs to be excited to its metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) state by visible light irradiation.[13, 14] Furthermore, destabilization of the t2g orbitals of the ruthenium(II) centre due to the π-donor character of the metal-bound carbon atom, as in the monoanionic chelate 2-pyridylphenylene (phpy@), shifts the 1MLCT absorption band of a cyclometallated polypyridyl complex to lower energies compared to its non-cyclometallated analogue.[10] This property is particularly attractive in the phototherapy field, whereby photoactive complexes should absorb light in the phototherapeutic window (600–1000 nm), as such light penetrates deeper into biological tissues.

To date, however, the utility of cycloruthenated complexes has remained limited in photostimulated chemotherapy (PACT), an oxygen-independent anticancer therapy that relies on drug activation by photosubstitution.[15] In PACT, the binding of a toxic metal complex to biological molecules is typically prevented (or “caged”) by coordination of a thermally inert ligand, and then “photouncaging” is obtained by light irradia-
tion of the compound-containing tissue, which triggers photo-
substitution of the protecting ligand. Cycloruthenated com-
plexes usually show low photostability due to the particular for the photostabilization of bidentate ligands, which
makes these complexes difficult to use for PACT.\textsuperscript{16–18} Destabi-
li zation of the e\textsubscript{g} orbitals in cyclometallated ruthenium(II) com-
plexes due to the excellent \sigma-donor character of the metal-
bound carbon atom increases the gap between the \pi* orbital of the polypyridyl ligands and the e\textsubscript{g} orbitals of the complex,
which disfavours thermal population of the triplet metal-to-
ligand (3MC) excited state from the photochemically generated
metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (3MLCT) state.\textsuperscript{19,20} In non-cyclomet-
alled complexes, a common strategy for enhancing the photoreactivity is to lower the energy of the 3MC state by in-
creasing the distortion of the coordination octahedron through the use of, for example, hindering polypyridyl ligands.\textsuperscript{21,22}
However, this strategy proved to be inapplicable in the case of [Ru(biq)(phpy)]PF\textsubscript{6} (biq = 2,2’-biquinoline), as this complex is not photoreactive in either CH\textsubscript{3}CN or water.\textsuperscript{23} To date, the only cyclometallated ruthenium compound that has been re-
ported to be capable of photostabilization is [Ru(phen)(ph-
ppy)(CH\textsubscript{2}CN)]PF\textsubscript{6} \textsuperscript{(1a)}\textsuperscript{PF\textsubscript{6}}, \textsubscript{phc} = 1,10-phenanthroline, which photoreleases a monodentate ligand.\textsuperscript{24,25}
In this work, we have sought to prepare cycloruthenated com-
plexes capable of undergoing photostabilization of a biden-
tate ligand. Indeed, for PACT, bidentate ligands offer better
caging in the dark than monodentate ligands.\textsuperscript{26,27} For poly-
pyridyl complexes such as [Ru(bpy)(mtmp)]\textsuperscript{2+} (bpy = 2,2’-bi-
pyridine) or [Ru(Ph\textsubscript{2}phen)(mtmp)]\textsuperscript{2+} (Ph\textsubscript{2}phen = 4,7-diphenyl-
1,10-phenanthroline), thioether-containing bidentate chelates
such as 2-(methylthio)methylpyridine (mtmp) have recently
been shown to be selectively photostabilized by two water
molecules upon irradiation with blue light in water.\textsuperscript{27} Here, we
first investigated whether mtmp and three analogues thereof,
3-(methylthio)propylamine (mtpa), 2-(methylthio)ethylamine
(mtea), and 2-(methylthio)ethyl-2-pyridine (mtep), could be ste-
doreselectively coordinated to cage the cyclometallated pre-
cursor [Ru(bpy)(phpy)(CH\textsubscript{2}CN)]PF\textsubscript{6} \textsuperscript{(1a)}\textsuperscript{PF\textsubscript{6}} and thereby prepare
well-characterized heteroleptic complexes [Ru(bpy)(ph-
ppy)(N,S)]PF\textsubscript{6} \textsuperscript{(2)}\textsuperscript{PF\textsubscript{6}}(3)\textsuperscript{PF\textsubscript{6}}(5)\textsuperscript{PF\textsubscript{6}} (Scheme 1). In a second step, we in-
vestedigated the stability of the resulting heteroleptic complexes,
both in the dark and under light irradiation, and found that
only one of these four complexes could be prepared stereose-
lectively and undergo photostabilization of the caging N,S bi-
dentate ligand.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

The four cycloruthenated complexes [2]PF\textsubscript{6}, [3]PF\textsubscript{6}, [4]PF\textsubscript{6}, and [5]PF\textsubscript{6} were pre-
pared as shown in Scheme 1, following the synthetic route
established by the Pfeffer group.\textsuperscript{28,29} The dimer \textsuperscript{(n\textsuperscript{8}-C\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{10})RuCl{(μ-
Cl)}}\textsubscript{2} was heated in CH\textsubscript{3}CN at 45 °C together with NaOH, KPF\textsubscript{6}, and Hphpy to yield the cycloruthenated complex [Ru(php-
ppy)(CH\textsubscript{2}CN)]PF\textsubscript{6}. After purification by column chromatography
on alumina using CH\textsubscript{3}Cl as eluent, the complex was further re-
acted with 0.8 equiv of bpy in CH\textsubscript{3}CN at room temperature for
20 h to afford cis-[Ru(bpy)(phpy)(CH\textsubscript{2}CN)]PF\textsubscript{6} \textsuperscript{(1a)}\textsuperscript{PF\textsubscript{6}}, with
the carbon donor atom trans to bpy. Achieving the controlled
coordination of only one equivalent of bpy is not straightfor-
ward, as [Ru(bpy)(phpy)]PF\textsubscript{6} is readily formed in this reaction.
To avoid formation of this product, only 0.8 equiv of bpy was added to the reaction mixture. As shown by Ryabov et al.,
only the isomer having the \sigma-bound C atom trans to bpy \textsuperscript{(1a)}\textsuperscript{PF\textsubscript{6}} is thereby obtained.\textsuperscript{30} Coordination of the respective N,S bi-
dentate ligand (mtpa, mtea, mtep, or mtmp) was performed
by heating the precursor \textsuperscript{(1a)}\textsuperscript{PF\textsubscript{6}} at 70 °C in EtOH in the pres-
ence of about 4 equiv of each of the N,S ligand and Et\textsubscript{2}N (to
ensure coordination of the amine group of the N,S ligand) for
22 h under N\textsubscript{2}. The syntheses of [2]PF\textsubscript{6}, [3]PF\textsubscript{6}, and [5]PF\textsubscript{6} proved to be very air-sensitive, with a trace of air leading to formation of a
dark-green ruthenium(III)-containing solid. No such oxidation
occurred when preparing complexes [4]PF\textsubscript{6} and [5]PF\textsubscript{6}, which
indicated the influence of the nature of the nitrogen ligand of
the N,S chelate (amine vs. pyridine) on the redox properties of
the cyclometallated complexes. After recrystallization by
vapour diffusion of ethyl ether into the crude mixture, [3]PF\textsubscript{6},
[4]PF\textsubscript{6}, and [5]PF\textsubscript{6} were obtained in yields between 44% and
58%, but [2]PF\textsubscript{6} was often contaminated with unknown
amounts of Ru\textsuperscript{II} impurities, as demonstrated by an absorption
band of variable intensity in the 700 nm region (Figure 4; Fig-
ures S4a and S5a). In all cases, mass spectrometry clearly
showed the molecular peaks corresponding to [2]\textsuperscript{2+}=[5]\textsuperscript{1+} (see the Experimental Section).
Stereoselectivity of the coordination

Octahedral complexes bearing three different bidentate ligands, two of which are disymmetric, have many isomers. The carbon donor atom can be either trans or cis to the nitrogen donor atoms of the bpy ligand, and in each of these cases the nitrogen atom of the N,S ligand can be trans to either the bpy or the phpy ligand, generating up to four coordination isomers, each of which exists as an enantiomeric pair A/D (Scheme 1). Following the IUPAC configuration index convention, these four coordination isomers are designated as (OC-6-43)-[Ru(bpy)(phpy)(N,S)]PF$_6$, (OC-6-34)-[Ru(bpy)(phpy)(N,S)]PF$_6$, (OC-6-53)-[Ru(bpy)(phpy)(N,S)]PF$_6$, and (OC-6-35)-[Ru(bpy)(phpy)(N,S)]PF$_6$, but for easier reading we refer to them herein as a, b, c, and d, respectively (Scheme 1). Besides the different configurations of the coordination sphere created by the disymmetric ligands, the coordinating sulfur atom is a prochiral centre, which, after coordination to ruthenium, can adopt either an R or an S configuration (Scheme 2). Thus, for each of the four A coordination isomers, a pair of diastereoisomers A-R and A-S may exist, giving a total of eight possible A-isomers for [3]$^{1+}$ and [5]$^{1+}$. Finally, for the complexes [2]$^{1+}$ and [4]$^{1+}$, the N,S chelate creates a six-membered ring that can switch between two chair conformations, in which the methyl group of the thioether is in either an equatorial (eq) or an axial (ax) position (Scheme 2). [31] These configurations are not identical, and hence there are as many as 16 possible A-isomers for these two complexes.

Detailed $^1$H NMR analysis was undertaken to assess whether coordination of the disymmetric N,S chelate showed any stereoselectivity. Quite incredibly, according to the $^1$H NMR spectra in [D$_6$]acetone, [2]PF$_6$ and [4]PF$_6$ were obtained as single A/Δ enantiomeric pairs of isomers. Characteristic doublets corresponding to the proton in the 6-position of bpy were found at $\delta = 9.82$ and 9.44 ppm for [2]PF$_6$ and [4]PF$_6$, respectively, as shown in Figure 1. Single sets of peaks in the aromatic region corresponding to 16 and 20 H, respectively, were found. On the contrary, as shown in Figure 1, the $^1$H NMR spectrum of [3]PF$_6$ in [D$_6$]acetone showed two doublets at $\delta = 9.02$ and 9.16, 9.39, and 9.49 ppm in a ratio of 0.3:1:0.2 (Figure 1), indicating the formation of at least three isomers. Thus, N,S chelates having the appropriate number of carbon atoms (3) between the N and S atoms allowed the stereoselective preparation of the tris-heteroleptic cyclometallated complexes [2]PF$_6$ and [4]PF$_6$, whereas a shorter linker led to inseparable mixtures of isomers of [3]PF$_6$ and [5]PF$_6$.

Figure 1. $^1$H NMR spectra of solutions of [2]PF$_6$, [3]PF$_6$, [4]PF$_6$, and [5]PF$_6$ in [D$_6$]acetone. Peaks corresponding to the H$_6$ proton on the bpy ligand (see Scheme 1) of the major isomer are marked with a circle, those for the minor isomers (if any) with a square and a triangle. For complexes [3]PF$_6$, and [5]PF$_6$, mixtures of isomers were obtained, probably due to the higher flexibility of five-membered metallacycles compared to six-membered rings. For complexes [2]PF$_6$, and [4]PF$_6$, only one of the 16 possible isomers was obtained (see Schemes 1 and 2). The stereoselectivity of the reaction is explained in the text.

Structural characterization

Characterization of the configurations of [2]PF$_6$ and [4]PF$_6$ was challenging. A more detailed explanation of the deductive process can be found in the Supporting Information. First, density functional theory (DFT) minimization of all isomers of both complexes was performed in water using COSMO to simulate solvent effects (see the Experimental Section). In all calculations, only the A enantiomers with the six-membered chelate ring in a chair conformation were considered, but the sulfur atom was placed in either the R or S configuration. To reduce the number of structures, only those isomers with the methyl...
group in the equatorial position were calculated.\textsuperscript{11} The optimized structures and their energies in water are given in Figures S1 and S2 and Table S1, respectively. For [2]\textsuperscript{+}, the isomer \(\Lambda-(S)-eq\{4d\}^+\), in which the \(s\)-bound C atom is \textit{trans} to the amine group of mtca, was found to be the most stable, with the other isomers at energies in a narrow range from +2.6 to +10.0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (Table S1). Likewise, for complex \([4]\)\textsuperscript{+}, isomer \(\Lambda-(S)-eq\{4d\}^+\) was found to be the most stable in water. However, the other \(S\) isomers were found at higher energies ranging from +8.8 to +10.6 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), and the \(R\) isomers at energies ranging from +10.9 to +21.2 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (Table S1). In this case, the energy differences between the most and least stable isomers are significantly larger than for [2]\textsuperscript{+}, which highlights the different geometric requirements of the sp\(^2\) carbon and nitrogen atoms in [4]\textsuperscript{+} with respect to those of the sp\(^3\) atoms in [2]\textsuperscript{+}. Notably, the six-membered ring involving the mtcp ligand was found to be in a pseudo-chair conformation in the minimized structures, due to the different orbital hybridization of the N and C atoms of the pyridine ring. For example, in \(\Lambda-(S)-eq\{4d\}^+\), the angle \(C_p\cdots C_p\cdots N\) is 120.17°, whereas in \(\Lambda-(S)-eq\{2d\}^+\) it is 113.54°.

A potential reason for the increased stabilization of isomer d of \([4]\)\textsuperscript{+} is that the electron-rich carbon ligand is \textit{trans} to the \(\pi\)-accepting pyridine ligand of mtcp, whereas in [2d]\textsuperscript{+} the \textit{trans} primary amine cannot accept the excess electron density. Overall, all of the isomers of both complexes with the sulfur atom in \(R\) configuration and the methyl group in an equatorial position show very short distances between this methyl group and the closest proton at the 6-position of bpy or phpy (ca. 2.1 Å, Table S1 and Figure 2), whereas in the \(S\) configuration the corresponding distance is much longer (ca. 3.5 Å, Table S1). In the latter configuration, the methyl group is positioned above the centre of either the bpy or the phpy ligand (referred to as ancillary ligands), lowering steric repulsion and thus explaining the general preference for an \(S\) configuration of the sulfur atom (Figure 2). Although the structures having the methyl group in the axial position were not minimized, a similar trend is expected. As we have shown in earlier publications,\textsuperscript{1,11} inversion of the pseudo-chair does not change the configuration of the sulfur atom, but it changes the position of the methyl group from equatorial to axial and vice versa. This inversion does not affect the position of the methyl group with respect to the ancillary ligands and the corresponding steric effects.

To corroborate these DFT analyses experimentally, comprehensive \(^1\)H NMR studies in \([D_6]\)acetone were performed to assign the stereochemistry of the complexes in solution. These studies, which are explained in detail in the Supporting Information (Figure S3), indicated that, among all possible isomers, the one that best fits the reported off-diagonal signals is \(\Lambda-(S)-eq\{4d\}^+\) (with the sulfur atom \textit{trans} to the \(s\)-bound C), with distances between A6 and H\(_1\), and between C6 and H\(_{12}\) of 3.101 and 2.253 Å, respectively. Isomer \(\Lambda-(S)-ax\{4d\}^+\), with an axial S-methyl group, would also fit the reported off-diagonal signals. Single crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination were obtained for complexes \([4]PF_6\) by slow vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into a solution of the respective complex in acetone. The crystal structure is a racemate of a single isomer of \([Ru(bpy)(phpy)(inteq-N,S)PF_6]\), and is found in the centrosymmetric space group \(Pbca\), containing both configurations \(\Lambda-(S)\) and \(\Lambda-(R)\), with the pyridine moiety of the N,S ligand \textit{trans} to the \(s\)-bound C donor atom and the methyl group in a pseudo-axial position. Thus, the obtained structure corresponds to the isomer \(\Lambda-(S)-ax\{4d\}PFO\), confirming the geometry predicted by NOESY studies in solution. The structure, shown in Figure 3, features a longer Ru–S bond (2.3331(8) Å, Table 1) compared to the Ru–N bonds of the ancillary ligands (between 2.049(3) and 2.085(3) Å), as expected from the higher

![Figure 2. DFT structures of stereoisomers \(\Lambda-(R)-eq\{4d\}^+\) and \(\Lambda-(S)-eq\{4d\}^+\). The isomer with the sulfur in \(R\) configuration (left) shows a very short distance between the methyl group and proton B6 on bipyridine, whereas in the isomer with the sulfur in \(S\) configuration the methyl group is much further from B6 and resides above the centre of the phpy ligand, which lowers steric repulsion. This difference in steric interactions is observed in all computed R/S pairs.](image-url)

![Figure 3. Displacement ellipsoid plot (50% probability level) of the \(\Lambda\) enantiomer of the cationic complex in the crystal structure of the pair \(\Lambda-(S)/\Lambda-(R)-ax\{4d\}PF_6\) at 1102 K. The hexafluorophosphate counteranion has been omitted for clarity.](image-url)

| Table 1. Selected bond lengths (Å) and dihedral angle (°) for \(\Lambda-(S)/\Lambda-(R)-ax\{4d\}PF_6\). | |
|---|---|---|
| \(\Lambda-(S)-ax\{4d\}PF_6\) | \(\Lambda-(R)-ax\{4d\}PF_6\) |
| Ru1–S1 | 2.3311(8) | Ru1–N3 | 2.049(3) |
| Ru1–N1 | 2.085(3) | Ru1–N4 | 2.239(3) |
| Ru1–N2 | 2.060(3) | Ru1–C11 | 2.027(3) |
| S1–C28–C26–N4 | 26.4(2) | |
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The ionic radius of sulfur compared to nitrogen. The Ru–N bond (2.239(3) Å) trans to the Ru–C bond (2.027(3) Å) is also significantly longer than the other Ru–N bonds, which is consistent with the expected trans influence of the electron-rich carbon donor atom.

In the literature, it is generally accepted that in complexes of the type [Ru(bpy)(phpy)(N,N)]⁺, synthesized from [Ru(bpy)₂·phpy]⁺, any third bidentate N,N ligand would coordinate to ruthenium by simply substituting the CH₃CN molecules without isomerization, that is, cis to the carbon donor atom of phpy⁺.[32] However, Pfeffer et al. recently showed that this structural assignment might not be correct.[29] CH₃CN is a very good ligand for ruthenium(II), and in order to turn it into a good leaving group the complex must first isomerize (either thermally or photochemically) so that one CH₃CN ligand becomes trans to the carbon ligand, which is a very reactive position due to the trans effect of the C donor atom.[29] This mechanism also seems to occur for the coordination of N,S ligands, as the obtained isomer of [4]⁺ has the N atom of the last incoming ligand trans to the carbon atom of phpym⁺, as proven by NOESY studies and X-ray diffraction analysis.

Electronic spectroscopy and electrochemistry

The UV/Vis absorption spectra of compounds [2][PF₆] and [3][PF₆], in CH₃CN are provided in Figure 4, and their absorption maxima (λ_max) and molar extinction coefficients (ε) are listed in Table 2. It should be noted that for complexes [3][PF₆] and [5][PF₆], mixtures of two or three isomers were used. A common feature of all of the absorption spectra is the presence of two main bands in the MLCT region: one with λ_max at around 390 nm and a broader band between 450 and 650 nm with a lower molar absorption coefficient, with a tail reaching the 700 nm region. According to Bomben et al.,[31] the first band corresponds to a 1MLCT transition involving the coordinated carbon atom of the phpym⁺ ligand, whereas the broad band at lower energy corresponds to a Ru–bpy transition. This broader MLCT band compared to those of the non-cyclometallated analogues is a result of the lower symmetry of the cyclometallated compound.[31] The lower-energy MLCT band has a λ_max of 530 and 540 nm for primary amine-based complexes [2][PF₆] and [3][PF₆], respectively, whereas pyrdine-based complexes [4][PF₆] and [5][PF₆] show a blue-shifted band with λ_max at 526 and 501 nm, respectively. Furthermore, the latter two compounds show bands with a shoulder, which could be ascribed to an overlapping Ru–py transition. The higher energy of the MLCT band, that is, the larger gap between the HOMO and the LUMO for [4][PF₆] and [5][PF₆] compared to those for [2][PF₆] and [3][PF₆], is another indication of the stabilization of the HOMO in the pyridine-based complexes. For complex [2][PF₆], a band with λ_max at 725 nm was also visible, which may have been due to its oxidation. Degradation of [2][PF₆] may also explain the much lower molar absorption coefficient of its Ru→phpym⁺ band (6900 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) compared to those of the other three complexes (around 10,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

The electrochemical properties of complexes [2][PF₆] and [5][PF₆] in CH₃CN were investigated by cyclic voltammetry in order to gain more insight into their redox stabilities (Figure S4). For complexes [2][PF₆] and [3][PF₆], the reversible oxidation waves corresponding to the Ru⁺/Ru²⁺ couple were observed at potentials E₁/₂ of −0.03 and 0.00 V vs. Fc⁺/Fc, respectively, whereas complexes [4][PF₆] and [5][PF₆] showed reversible peaks at a significantly higher potential E₁/₂ of +0.16 V vs. Fc⁺/Fc (Table 2), highlighting the π-acceptor property of the pyridine-based N,S chelating ligand, which stabilizes the HOMOs of complexes [4][PF₆] and [5][PF₆] compared to those of [2][PF₆] and [3][PF₆]. In practice, oxidation of the former compounds is more difficult, which makes them more stable in air, whereas compounds [2][PF₆] and [3][PF₆] are easily oxidized during synthesis.

Thermal and photochemical stabilities

Thermal stability is an important feature of photoactivatable produgs, and the stability in the dark of all four complexes was studied in CH₃CN by UV/Vis spectrophotometry.[13] Under air, solutions of complexes [3][PF₆], [4][PF₆] and [5][PF₆] in CH₃CN did not show any significant changes in their UV/Vis spectra over 10 h, except for a general increase in absorbance due to evaporation of the solvent (Figure S5a–d). Thus, in CH₃CN in the dark, neither oxidation nor thermal substitution of the N,S ligand by solvent molecules occurred. For [2][PF₆], however, samples showed different degrees of oxidation to Ru³⁺, as dem-

![Figure 4. Electronic absorption spectra of compounds [2][PF₆] (black continuous, not oxidized), [3][PF₆] (dots), [4][PF₆] (grey continuous), and [5][PF₆] (dashes) in CH₃CN.](image-url)
onstrated by an absorption band around 700 nm, and low signal-to-noise ratios in all NMR spectra.

The photoreactivities of the complexes were studied in CH$_2$CN by means of UV/Vis spectrophotometry, mass spectrometry, and NMR spectroscopy. When a solution of [3]PF$_6$ in CH$_2$CN was irradiated with light from a green (521 nm) LED at a photon flux of around $6 \times 10^{-8}$ mol s$^{-1}$ under N$_2$, the UV/Vis spectrum did not show any change of the absorption bands. Only a general increase of absorbance was observed due to slow evaporation of the solvent (Figure 5b). Thus, [3]PF$_6$ was not photoreactive in CH$_2$CN, as reported by Turro et al. for [Ru(bipy)$_2$(phpy)]. Irradiation of solutions of [2]PF$_6$ or [5]PF$_6$ showed very slow changes in their UV/Vis spectra over time, with blue shifts of the MLCT bands; isosbestic points were observed at 421 and 552 nm for [2]PF$_6$ (Figure 5a) and at 474 and 546 nm for [5]PF$_6$ (Figure 5c). Although the photoreactions did not reach a steady state, the very low photosubstitution quantum yields for these two complexes, mass spectra recorded after several hours of irradiation showed a peak at m/z 494.1, corresponding to [Ru(bpy)(phpy)(CH$_2$CN)$_2$]+ (calc m/z 494.1). For both complexes, photosubstitution of the N,S bidentate ligand occurred, albeit very slowly (Scheme 3, see the Supporting Information).

In contrast, [4]PF$_6$ proved to be much more photolabile. Under the same conditions as above, this complex showed hypsochromic shifts of the absorption maxima of both MLCT bands from 526 and 388 nm to 516 and 376 nm, respectively, reaching a steady state after 6 h (Figure 5). Mass spectrometry at this point showed the peak of the bis-acetonitrile photoproduct at m/z 494.1, indicative of complete photosubstitution of mtep by two solvent molecules (Scheme 3, see the Supporting Information). Since complex [4]PF$_6$ was the only air-stable complex of the series that was obtained as a pure isomer, the quantum yield for the photosubstitution of mtep ($\Phi_{\text{PR}}$) by acetonitrile could be determined using Glotaran$^{34}$ global fitting (see the Supporting Information). Although the value obtained, $\Phi_{\text{PR}} = 0.00035$ (Figure S7), is lower than that of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(tmp)]Cl$_3$ in water (0.0030),$^{27}$ [4]$^+$ is the first cyclometalated ruthenium compound capable of quantitatively undergoing photosubstitution of a bidentate ligand. In this complex, as in [2]$^+$, the N,S ligand generates an unfavourable six-membered ring that probably lowers the ligand field splitting and thus the energy of the $^3$MC levels, which permits photosubstitution. In contrast, N,S complexes with five-membered rings, such as [3]$^+$ and [5]$^+$, show very low photoconversion rates. We suggest that these low photosubstitution rates are due to higher ligand field splitting energies, and possibly also faster recrystallization (also referred to as recapitulation) of the five-membered ring after initial cleavage of a first Ru–N or Ru–S bond, as has been proposed for [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_{2}^{2+}$ and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(glutamate–κN$_3$O)$_2$]$^{2+}$.$^{35,36}$

**Conclusion**

The development of stereoselective syntheses is an important but challenging goal in coordination and organometallic chemistry, whereby the number of isomers of octahedral complexes bearing disymmetric bidentate chelate ligands can be very high. In this work, the ring size resulting from the coordination of a disymmetric N,S bidentate ligand to a cyclometalated ruthenium complex was found to have a critical influence on the number of isomers obtained in syntheses of [2]$^+$–[5]$^+$, as well as on their photoreactivities. Under the same condi-
tions, tris-heteroleptic complexes bearing a six-membered ring ([2]PF₆⁻ and [4]PF₆⁻) were stereoselectively obtained as racemic \( \Delta \Delta \) mixtures, in spite of their exceptional configurational complexity. For the air-stable compound [4]PF₆, the obtained isomer could be unequivocally characterized as \( \Delta - (S) - \Delta - (R) - \alpha \) branched [4]PF₆ through a combination of DFT calculations, NMR spectrometry, and single-crystal X-ray crystallography. In contrast, the complexes containing five-membered NS chelate rings ([3]PF₆ and [5]PF₆) were obtained as inseparable mixtures of diastereoisomers due to the higher flexibility of the five-membered metalacycle. Thus, the stereochemical complexity imparted by the two dissymmetric chelates can be controlled by choosing the appropriate ring size in the final synthetic step. Those with a six-membered NS chelate ring showed selective substitution of this ligand in CH₃CN upon irradiation with green light, since rechelation is slow. Overall, this study has demonstrated that highly dissymmetric, air-stable cyclometalated complexes that undergo well-defined photosubstitution of a bidentate ligand with red-shifted light, can be stereoselectively prepared by fine-tuning the ligand ring size and controlling the order of ligand coordination.

**Experimental Section**

**Synthesis**

**General:** The ligands 2-(methylthio)ethylamine (mtea) and 3-(methylthio)propylamine (mtpa, mtpa) were purchased from Alfa-Aesar. All reactants and solvents were distilled before use.

**Substitution of this ligand in CH₃CN:**

To a two-necked flask, [1]PF₆ (1 equiv), NS ligand (4 equiv), and Et₂N (4 equiv) were dissolved in deaerated EtOH (2–3 mL) and mixture was heated in an oil bath at 70°C for 22 h under N₂. After 6 days of slow vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into the solution, a crystalline dark precipitate was obtained (14 mg, 44%). According to the general procedure, a solution of [1]PF₆ (20 mg, 0.013 mmol), mtpa (27 mg, 0.18 mmol), and Et₂N (25 µL, 0.19 mmol) in EtOH (5 mL) was heated at 70°C for 22 h under N₂. After 4 days of slow vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into the solution, a crystalline dark precipitate was obtained (9.7 mg, 45%).

**[Ru(bpy)₃(phpy)(mtpa)]PF₆:**

According to the general procedure, a solution of [1]PF₆ (20 mg, 0.13 mmol), mtpa (27 mg, 0.18 mmol), and Et₂N (25 µL, 0.19 mmol) in EtOH (5 mL) was heated at 70°C for 22 h under N₂. After 5 days of slow vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into the solution, a crystalline dark precipitate was obtained (9.0 mg, 58%). Two isomers A/B in a ratio of 1:0.8 were obtained. The high-resolution ESI-MS: m/z (calcd): 542.0837 (543.0835; [3]⁺); UV/Vis (CH₃CN): \( \lambda (\epsilon) = 540 \pm 6200; 392 \text{ nm} (9300 \text{ cm}^{-1}) \).
Cyclic voltammetry

Electrochemical measurements were performed at room temperature under argon using an Autolab PGStat10 potentiostat controlled by NOVA software. A three-electrode cell system was used, with a glassy carbon working electrode, a platinum counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. All electrochemistry experiments were conducted in CH₂CN solution with tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate as the supporting electrolyte. Ferrocene was used as a reference after the measurement.

Photochemistry

General: For experiments involving irradiation of NMR tubes, the light of an LOT 1000 W xenon arc lamp fitted with 400 nm long-pass and IR filters was used. For NMR experiments under N₂, NMR tubes with PTFE stoppers were used. UV/Vis experiments were performed on a Cary 50 Varian spectrometer. When following photoreactions by UV/Vis spectrophotometry and mass spectrometry, an LED light source (λₑ = 521 nm; full-width at half-maximum of 33 nm) with a photon flux of between 6.09 and 8.62 × 10⁻⁶ mol s⁻¹ was used.

Experiments monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy: A stock solution of either [1]PF₆ (2.61 mm), [2]PF₆ (4.47 mm), or [4]PF₆ (1.84 mm) in CD₂CN was prepared and deaerated under N₂. An aliquot (660 μL) of this solution was then transferred, under N₂, to an NMR tube. The tube was irradiated at room temperature with light from an LOT 1000 W xenon lamp equipped with IR short-pass and 400 nm long-pass filters. In addition, a control experiment was performed without white light irradiation. The reactions were monitored by recording ¹H NMR spectra at various time intervals.

Experiments monitored by UV/Vis spectrophotometry and MS: UV/Vis spectrophotometry was performed with a UV/Vis spectrophotometer equipped with a temperature controller set to 298 K and a magnetic stirrer. The irradiation experiments were performed with quartz cuvettes containing 3 mL of solution. A stock solution of the requisite complex was prepared in CH₂CN, which was then diluted in the cuvette to a working solution concentration. When the experiment was carried out under N₂, the sample was deaerated for 15 min by gentle bubbling of N₂ and the atmosphere was kept inert during the experiment by a gentle flow of N₂ on top of the cuvette. A UV/Vis spectrum was measured every 30 s for the first 10 min, every 1 min for the next 10 min, and eventually every 10 min until the end of the experiment. Data were analyzed with Microsoft Excel.

Single-crystal X-ray crystallography

General: All reflection intensities were measured at 110(2) K on a SuperNova diffractometer (equipped with an Atlas detector) employing Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54178 Å), using the program CrvCalisPro (Version CrvCalisPro 1.1713.39.29c, Rigaku OD, 2017). The same program was used to refine the cell dimensions and for data reduction. The structure was solved with the program SHELXS-2014/7 and refined against F² with SHELXL-2014/7. Analytical numeric absorption correction based on a multifaceted crystal model was applied using CrvCalisPro. The temperature of the data collection was controlled using the system Cryojet (manufactured by Oxford Instruments). H atoms were placed in calculated positions (unless otherwise specified) using the instructions AFIX 23, AFIX 43, or AFIX 137 with isotropic displacement parameters having values 1.2 times Ueq of the attached C atoms.

Crystal growth: [4]PF₆ (1.0 mg) was dissolved in acetone (1 mL, 1.2 mM) and an aliquot (300 μL) of this solution was transferred to a GC vial, which was placed in a larger vial that contained diethyl ether (3 mL) as a counter solvent. The large vial was stoppered. After a few days, quality crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination were obtained by vapour diffusion.

Crystallographic data: The structure of [4]PF₆ is ordered. 0.26 × 0.07 × 0.02 mm³, orthorhombic, Pbcn, a = 10.9533(15), b = 15.6391(3), c = 32.2974(1) Å, V = 5332.92(15) Å³, Z = 8, μ = 6.46 mm⁻¹, Tₘin-Tₘax: 0.254–0.886. 28523 reflections were measured up to a resolution of (sin θ/λ)ₘax = 0.617 Å⁻¹. 5427 reflections were unique (Rint = 0.044), of which 4742 were observed (I > 2σ(I)). 380 parameters were refined. R₁ = 0.0347/0.0797. R₁/ wR₁ (all reflections): 0.0411/0.0829. S = 1.09. Residual electron density was controlled using the system Cryojet.

Density functional theory calculations

Electronic structure calculations were performed using DFT, as implemented in the ADF program (SCM). The structures of all possible isomers of [2]⁺ and [4]⁺ were optimized in water using COSMO to simulate the effect of the solvent. The PBE0 functional and a triple-z potential basis set (TZP) were used for all calculations.
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