Abstract

Background: Interferons are a group of proteins that trigger multiple responses including prevention of viral replication, inhibition of cell growth, and modulation of cell differentiation. In different mammary carcinoma cell lines IFNγ induces growth arrest at mid-G1. At the present there are no in vivo studies in human breast. The aim of this study was to investigate the expression patterns of IFNγ and its two receptors (IFNγ-Rα and IFNγ-Rβ) by Western blot and immunohistochemistry, in order to elucidate its role in the different types of human breast cancer (in situ and infiltrative).

Methods: Immunohistochemical and semiquantitative study of IFNγ, its receptors types (IFNγ-Rα and IFNγ-Rβ), cell proliferation (proliferating cell nuclear antigen, also named PCNA), and apoptosis (TUNEL method) was carried between the three breast groups (fibrocystic lesions, in situ tumors and infiltrating tumors).

Results: In the three groups of patients, IFNγ and IFNγ-Rα immunoreactions appeared in the cytoplasm while IFNγ-Rβ also was found in the nucleus. The optical density to IFNγ was higher in in situ carcinoma than in benign and infiltrating tumors. When we observed IFNγ-Rα, the optical density was lower in infiltrating carcinoma than in benign and in situ tumors (the higher density). To IFNγ-Rβ, the optical density was similar in the three group samples. In tumor samples PCNA and TUNEL index was significantly higher; than in benign diseases. PCNA index increased with the malignance. No significant differences were found between cancer types to TUNEL. IFNγ could be a potential therapeutic tool in breast cancer. However, tumor cells are able to escape from the control of this cytokine in the early tumor stages; this is probably due to a decreased expression of IFNγ, or also to an alteration of either its receptors or some transduction elements.

Conclusion: We conclude that the decrease in the % positive samples that expressed IFNγ and IFNγ-Rα together with the nuclear localization of IFNγ-Rβ, could be a tumoral cell response, although perhaps insufficient to inhibit the uncontrolled cell proliferation. Perhaps, IFNγ might be unable to activate p21 to stop the cell cycle, suggesting a possible participation in breast cancer development.
Background
Interferons belong to a protein family involved in viral replication prevention, cell growth inhibition and cell differentiation modulation [1]. IFNγ was described as a 17 kDa peptide that is secreted by antigen activated lymphocytes and natural killer cells. Also, it has been reported that IFNγ has antiviral activity, inhibits cell growth and modulates cell differentiation [2]. IFNγ acts throughout a specific membrane receptor, which is composed by two different subunits: IFNγ-Rα and IFNγ-Rβ [3]. IFNγ-Rα is sufficient for ligand binding but it is necessary the presence of Rβ to begin the IFNγ signal transduction [4]. IFNγ receptor complex has been described in a number of different tissues as endothelial cells, fibroblasts, neuronal cells, melanocytes and prostate cells [5,6].

In addition to its role in immune cells, IFNγ inhibits the growth of a number of nonhematopoietic cell types, including several tumor types. In fact, it has been considered as an antitumor agent [7-9].

The IFNγ ability to inhibit the growth of several tumor cell lines, including breast cancer cells, has been demonstrated in different studies [10-12]. This effect requires the signal transduction through the IFNγ receptor, so the tumor proliferation rate was higher in animal cells that expressed lower number of functional receptors [13,14].

In breast cancer patients with skin metastasis, local injection of IFNγ results in the total or partial regression of the skin lesions [15]. Other authors have been showed that IFNγ increases the growth inhibitory effect of tamoxifen in breast metastatic carcinomas [16,17]. In this way, it has been shown that IFNγ produce this antitumoral effect up-regulating the expression of p21 and resulting cell cycle arrest in breast cancer cell lines [18].

At present and in our knowledge, there are no studies of IFNγ and its receptors expression reported by immunohistochemistry and western blot, in different non malignant and carcinomatous human breast tissue. The aim of this study was to elucidate the expression patterns of IFNγ and its receptors in human benign breast lesions and in in situ and infiltrating breast cancers, and to relate to the proliferation and apoptosis levels found in this tissues.

Methods
Patients and histological samples
Total or partial mastectomy specimens obtained from 52 women, who were clinically and histopathologically diagnosed of breast adenocarcinoma during 1998 in our hospital, were used for the study. Seventeen of these women (aged from 37 to 75 years; mean: 52.8) presented in situ carcinoma; one of these 17 women also showed lymph node infiltration at the time of surgery. Thirty-five women (aged from 40 to 82 years; mean: 59.94) had infiltrating carcinoma; 13 of these 35 women showed lymph node infiltration at the time of surgery, and 7 of these 13 developed metastasis 7-24 months after surgery. At present (January 2005), neither the remaining 22 women with infiltrating tumors nor the 17 women with in situ tumors have developed metastasis.

Tumor samples were compared with breast biopsies from 13 women (aged from 16 to 59 years; mean: 43.8) with benign lesions including ductal and lobular hyperplasia, fibroadenoma and fibrocystic changes. We always use the normal regions into these biopsies. All infiltrative tumor samples were classified by the TNM system (UICC, 1968).

Each sample was divided into two portions: one that was immediately processed for immunohistochemistry and the other were frozen in liquid nitrogen and maintained at -80°C for Western blot analysis. Removal of tissues was made by a Gynaecological Specialist MD and the samples were diagnosed by a Pathological Specialist MD (Ruiz A.). All pathological, clinical or personal data were anonymized and separated from any personal identifiers. This study was made with the consent of the written patients’ relatives or their family in autopsy cases. All the procedures followed were examined and approved by the Principe de Asturias Hospital Ethics Committee and were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation, with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 (revised in 1983) and the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) guidelines.

Antibodies
The primary antibodies used were: mouse anti-human PCNA; goat anti-human IFNγ; and rabbit anti-human IFNγ-Rα and IFNγ-Rβ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, California, CA, USA). In Western blot analysis mouse antichicken α-actin (Amersham, Madrid, Spain) was also used to examine the relative expression of the other proteins.

Western blot analysis
For Western blot analysis, tissues were homogenized in the extraction buffer (0.005 M Tris-HCl, pH 8) with addition of a cocktail of protein inhibitors (10 mM iodoacetamide, 100 mM phenylmethyl sulphonyl fluoride, 0.01 mg/ml of soybean trypsin inhibitor and 1 μl/ml of leupeptin) and phosphates inhibitors (10 mM sodium fluoride and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate) in the presence of 0.5% Triton X-100. Homogenates were centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 rpm. The protein concentration of supernatants was calculated by the Bradford method. Then, supernatants were equilibrated with loading buffer (10% SDS in Tris/HCl pH 8 containing 50% glycerol, 0.1 mM 2-beta-mercaptoethanol and 0.1% bromophenol blue) at 50 μg/ml. The mixture was dena-
tured for 5 min at 100°C, and aliquots of 10 µl of homogenate were separated in SDS-polyacrylamide slab minigels (15% gels).

Separated proteins were transferred in the transfer buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 192 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS and 20% methanol). Nitrocellulose membranes (0.2 µm), were blocked for 1 h with 1% donkey serum in TBS, and incubated overnight at room temperature with the primary antibodies at 1:150 (IFNγ and IFNγ-Rβ), 1:500 (IFNγ-Rα) or 1:10000 (α-actin) in TBS with 5% bovine serum albumine (BSA). After extensive washing with TBS/Tween-20 (TBST), the membranes were incubated with donkey anti-goat (IFNγ) and swin anti-rabbit (IFNγ-Rα and IFNγ-Rβ) or rabbit anti-mouse (α-actin) biotinylated immunoglobulins (Dako, Barcelona, Spain) for 1 h at 1:2500 dilution in TBS with 5% BSA; and then washed and incubated with the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) at 1:1000 dilution. Furthermore, mouse anti-chicken α-actin (Amersham, Madrid, Spain) was also used at the same dilution to examine the relative expression of the other proteins. After an intensive wash, the filters were developed with an enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) kit, following the procedure described by the manufacturer (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK).

**ELISA analysis**

For ELISA procedure, the protein concentration of homogenates was calculated by the Bradford method. The different antigens were coated on 96 well multiplates overnight at 4°C. The plates were washed with TBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and blocked with 1% BSA in TBS for 1 h at room temperature, and incubated with the different antibodies (anti-IFN-γ, IFNγ-Rα, IFNγ-Rβ) for 3 h also at room temperature. After a new wash, the biotin-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-goat immunoglobulins (Dako) were added to each well, incubated for 1 h at room temperature, and then washed and incubated with the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (Vector). The interactions were visualized with 0.05% 2,2 azino di-3-etilbenziazolina sulphonic acid (ABTS) (Sigma) in 100 mM citrate buffer, and were measured (optical density at 405 nm) in a spectrophotometer (Multiskan Bichromatic, Labsystems, Finland).

**Immunohistochemical analysis (IHC)**

Tissues were fixed for 24 h at room temperature in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered 10% formaldehyde, dehydrated and embedded in paraffin. Sections (5-µm thick) were processed following the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC) method. Following deparaffinization, sections were hydrated, incubated for 30 min in 0.3% H₂O₂ diluted in methanol to reduce endogenous activity. To retrieve the antigen, the sections were incubated with 0.1 M citrate buffer (pH 6) for 2 min in a conventional pressure cooker. After rinsing in TBS buffer, the slides were incubated with normal donkey serum at 10% in TBS for 30 min to prevent non-specific binding of the first antibody. Thereafter, the primary antibodies were applied at a dilution of 1:25 (IFNγ and IFNγ-Rβ), 1:125 (IFNγ-Rα) or 1:500 (PCNA) in TBS at 37°C overnight. Afterwards, the sections were washed twice in TBS and then incubated with donkey anti-goat (IFNγ), mouse anti-rabbit (IFNγ-Rα and IFNγ-Rβ) or rabbit anti-mouse (PCNA) biotinylated immunoglobulins (Dako, Barcelona, Spain) at 1:500 in TBS. After 1 h of incubation with secondary antibody, the sections were incubated with a standard streptavidin-biotin-complex (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) and developed with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB), using the glucose oxidase-DAB-nickel intensification method.

Immunochemical procedure specificity was checked using negative and positive controls. For negative controls of immunoreactions, tissues of each type were incubated with preimmune serum at the same immunoglobulin concentration used for each antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). As positive controls, histologic sections (immunohistochemistry) of thymus samples were incubated with the same antibodies.

**Co-localization studies**

Co-localization studies for IFNγ-IFNRα or IFNγ-IFNRβ was carried out in the same sections of each group. The procedure was similar than in simple immunostaining, but sections were first labelled with goat anti-human IFNγ and rabbit anti-human IFNγ-Rα or IFNγ-Rβ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). In a second step sections were incubated for 1 h with donkey anti-goat (IFNγ) and mouse anti-rabbit (IFNγ-Rα and IFNγ-Rβ) biotilinated immunoglobulins (DAKO). In a third step, IFNγ was revealed using the glucose oxidase-DAB-nickel intensification method; and IFNγ-Rα or IFNγ-Rβ was revealed using the AP-red substrate (Zymed) After this, sections were mounted in Aquatex (Merck & Co., Inc, Whitethouse Station, NJ, USA)

**Tunel method**

For histological evaluation of apoptosis, the sections were processed by terminal deoxynucleotidyl tranferase-mediated dUTP nick end-labeling (TUNEL) method, using a TdT FragEL-DNA fragmentation detection kit (Calbiochem-Oncogene, Cambridge, MA, USA), following manufacturer’s instructions. Sections were further permeabilized by incubation with proteinase K (20 µg/ml) for 15 min, and then sections were exposed for 5 min to 3% H₂O₂ for endogenous peroxidase inhibition and incubated in terminal deoxynucleotidyl tranferase (TdT) (0.25 U/µl) labeling reaction mix for 1.5 hours at 37°C, washed in TBS, incubated with the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (Vector), developed with DAB (Sigma) and
intensified by the glucose-oxidase method. Positive and negative controls were also performed, using the HeLa cells slides provided for such purpose in the Calbiochem kit and following manufacturer’s instructions. For additional controls, sections of the three group samples were processed either without TdT enzyme in the labelling reaction mix, as negative controls, or pretreated with DNAse (1 μg/ml) for generation of the positive control slides.

Quantitative analysis
A comparative histologic quantification of immunolabelling among the different groups of breast samples (in situ adenocarcinomas, infiltrating adenocarcinomas and benign lesions) was performed for each of the four antibodies. Of each sample (breast), six histologic sections were selected at random. In each section, the staining intensity (optic density) per unit surface area was measured with an automatic image analyzer (Motic Images Advanced version 3.2, Motic China Group Co., China) in 5 light microscopic fields per section, using the ×20 objective. For each positively immunostained section, one negative control section (the following in a series of consecutive sections) was also used, and the optic density of this control section was taken away from that of the stained section. Data are given as optic density per unit surface area (1 μm).

Table 1: Comparison of immunostaining intensities in Western blot analysis.

|                          | IFNγ       | IFNγ-Rα     | IFNγ-Rβ     | Actin      |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Benign lesions           | 9.75 ± 3.1a| 18.59 ± 2.1a| 18.81 ± 3.2a| 57 ± 4.1a  |
| In situ carcinoma        | 20.25 ± 1.9b| 21.1 ± 3.6a | 19.68 ± 4.1a| 49 ± 3.4a  |
| Infiltrating carcinoma   | 13 ± 2.7a  | 13.51 ± 2.2b| 22.01 ± 6.8a| 54 ± 3.8a  |

Comparison of immunostaining intensities measured as average optical density ± SD) in Western blot analysis of benign breast lesions, in situ and infiltrating breast cancer. The labeled cells are classified in three groups according to their intensity range: low (<10), intermediate (between 10 and 15) and high (>20). For each protein, values with different superscript letter differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05) between them. Those values sharing the same superscript are not statistically different from each other. Statistical analysis refers to each antibody separately. The mean values and SD for each group have been calculated from all samples corresponding to the group.

Statistical methods
From the average values obtained for each breast, the means ± SD for each breast group were calculated. The
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Western blot analysis of IFNγ, IFNγ-Rα, IFNγ-Rβ and α-actin after 15% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. BP, benign pathologies. ISC, in situ carcinoma. IC, infiltrating carcinoma. For each antibody used, a single band – at their corresponding molecular weight – was found: IFNγ (17 kDa), IFNγ-Rα (80 kDa), IFNγ-Rβ (70 kDa) and α-actin (40 kDa).
number of sections examined was determined by successive approaches to obtain the minimum number required to reach the lowest SD. The statistical significance between means of the different breast group samples was assessed by the Fisher exact or $\chi^2$ test at $p \leq 0.05$, by multiple pairwise comparisons of all the values for each breast zone, for each specific antibody separately.

Results

Western Blot analysis
For each antibody used, a single band – at their corresponding molecular weight – was found: IFN$\gamma$ (17 kDa), IFN$\gamma$-R$\alpha$ (80 kDa) and IFN$\gamma$-R$\beta$ (70 kDa). The immunoreaction appeared in the three lesion groups (Fig. 1). Comparison of optical density band’s revealed significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) between three breast groups: the highest optical density was found in in situ carcinomas (IFN$\gamma$ and IFN$\gamma$-R$\alpha$); no significant differences were found to IFN$\gamma$-R$\beta$ between the three sample groups (Table 1).

ELISA analysis
In the ELISA analysis, a linear correlation was found between the optic density and the homogenized tissue increasing concentrations (Figs. 2).

Immunohistochemistry analysis
No immunoreaction was observed in negative controls incubated with pre-immune serum, or using the antibodies pre-absorbed with excess of purified antigens. Immunoreaction to IFN$\gamma$ and its receptor complex, always appeared in sections of human thymus (positive controls) for all antibodies (data not shown).

For the three proteins (IFN$\gamma$ and its receptor complex), the percentage of positive samples (positive patient) and the optical density of immunoreaction are shown in Table 2.

In infiltrating tumor samples, imunoreactions to IFN$\gamma$, IFN$\gamma$-R$\alpha$ and IFN$\gamma$-R$\beta$ were compared with several tumor
parameters (nodal status, TNM system and ER/PR status) (Table 3).

**IFNγ immunostaining**

IFNγ was immunolocalized always in the cytoplasm of epithelial cells. The highest percentage of positive samples (70.6%) to IFNγ was found in the benign lesion group (Fig. 3A), while in tumor cases the number of positive samples was lower. The most intense immunoreaction was found in the 35% *in situ* tumors (Fig. 3B). The percentage of positive infiltrating tumors was similar as that found in *in situ* cases, but the intensity of immunoreaction was slightly decreased (Fig. 3C).

**IFNγ-Rα immunostaining**

The IFNγ receptor α was localized in the epithelial cell cytoplasm, in the three breast groups. The most of benign lesions (88.2%) were positive to Rα (Fig. 3D). Only 41.4% of *in situ* tumors were positive to α-chain receptor (Fig. 3E), but there were not significantly differences in the intensity of immunoreaction respect to that of the benign lesion group. A significantly decrease of immunoexpression and of percentage of positive samples (28.9%) were found in infiltrating breast tumors (Fig. 3F) in comparison with those of the other two groups (Table 2).

**IFNγ-Rβ immunostaining**

The three sample groups showed a similar immunoreaction to IFNγ-Rβ (Table 2). The IFNγ receptor β appeared in both cytoplasm and nuclei of epithelial cells of the three groups studied. A 41.1% of benign lesions was immunopositive to β-chain, in 42.8% the cytoplasm was positive (Fig. 3G), and 57.14% nucleus was positive (Fig. 3G inset).

A 55.1% of *in situ* tumors was positive to IFNγ-Rβ and in the most of them (93.76%) receptor β was immunolocalized in the nucleus (Fig. 3H).

In infiltrating carcinomas, only 22.2% of patients were positive to IFNγ-Rβ, but in 60% of positive samples IFNγ-Rβ appeared in the nuclei of epithelial cells (Fig. 3I inset).

**Co-localization analysis**

The results obtained by immunohistochemistry were corroborated by co-localization analysis (Fig. 4).

**Proliferation and apoptosis analysis**

The results of the immunoreaction to PCNA and TUNEL (expressed in positive cell percentages) are shown in Table 4. In benign diseases only 3.8% cells were positive to PCNA, while in tumor cases this percentage increased to 19% (*in situ*) and to 23.8% (infiltrating). The apoptosis index was 0.19% in the benign conditions. In tumor samples the index was significantly higher; no significant differences were found between cancer types.

**Discussion**

Neoplasia occurs as a result of cellular changes that perturb normal balances between cell growth and cell death. These changes could be caused by an altered expression of several factors including IFNγ. In the present study we have found moderate expression of IFNγ (70.6% of cases) and IFNγ-Rα (88.2% of cases) in most of benign lesions samples. However, β subunit of this receptor appeared only in 41.2% of cases in this group, suggesting that there

| Table 2: Comparison of immunostaining intensities and positive samples between groups of breast samples. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **IFNγ** | **IFNγ-Rα** | **IFNγ-Rβ** |
| % positive samples | Optical density | % positive samples | Optical density | % positive samples | Optical density |
| cytoplasm | nucleus | cytoplasm | nucleus | cytoplasm | nucleus |
| **Benign lesions** | 70.6% | 11.4 ± 2.5a | 88.2% | 14.9 ± 2.3a | 41.17% | 19.4 ± 2.8a |
| *in situ* carcinoma | 35% | 30.7 ± 8.1b | 41.4% | 18.2 ± 2.7a | 55.1% | 17.6 ± 4.1a |
| *Infiltrating* carcinoma | 40% | 18.9 ± 2.1b | 28.9% | 9.9 ± 2.1b | 22.2% | 18.6 ± 2.9a |
| | 20% | 6.25% | 93.75% | | | |

Comparison of immunostaining intensities and positive samples (obtained by immunohistochemical analysis) between groups of breast samples. The labelled cells are classified in three groups according to their intensity range: low (< 10), intermediate (between 10 and 15) and high (>15). Mean ± SD. Values denoted by different superscripts are significantly different from each other. Those values sharing the same superscript are not statistically different from each other. Statistical analysis refers to each antibody separately. Significance was determined by multiple comparisons by the Fisher test at p ≤ 0.05.
was a basal activity of IFN$\gamma$ in non-neoplastic tissues. The IFN$\gamma$ activity could be regulated by the expression of IFN$\gamma$-R$\alpha$; therefore it is necessary to constitute the functional receptor to start the signal transduction [19]. Both IFN$\gamma$ and its receptor appeared in the epithelial cells only, indicating that this cytokine acts in autocrine manner in order to control cell proliferation. Other authors stated that, in breast epithelial cells, the anti-proliferative effects of IFN$\gamma$ occur by up-regulation of apoptotic members of the bcl-2 family [20]. In previous studies we reported low expression of bcl-2 in benign breast disorders [21]; this agrees with the low proliferation index and the high apoptotic index found in this group of cases. All these data are consistent with the proliferation/apoptosis equilibrium observed in non-malignant breast tissue.

In in situ carcinomas we found an increased expression of both IFN$\gamma$ and IFN$\gamma$-R$\alpha$. However, the number of positive patients was lower than in benign diseases. There was no statistical difference in IFN$\gamma$-R$\beta$ expression compared with benign diseases, but the location of this membrane receptor was in the nuclei of epithelial cells in most of in situ tumor cases (93.7%). These findings suggest a loss of IFN$\gamma$ activity in breast epithelium in in situ tumors. Contrarily with our results, other authors have reported nuclear location only for IFN$\gamma$-R$\beta$. Doherty et al. [23] have stated that the lack of endogenous IFN$\gamma$ at the tumor site, and also the inactivation of either the receptor components or the signal transduction pathway elements, could lead to a selective advantage for those mutated cells. In agreement with these statements, we have found a high proliferation index in the in situ tumor group. This could be caused by a lack of IFN$\gamma$ activity due to the unusual location of $\beta$ receptor subunit. This effect could mean the loss of the antitumoral effects of IFN$\gamma$ in early stages of human breast cancer. Although this is the first time that IFN$\gamma$-R$\beta$ was found in the nucleus. So other proteins such as p53 or p21 changed his location in breast cancer, probably due to mutant forms of these proteins that present different functions, as occurs in the most of cancers, and it could be associated with the cancer development and progression [24,25].

In prostate cancer cells it has been reported that IFN$\gamma$ apoptotic effects are promoted by p21 stimulation, which inhibits G1 and S phase of cell cycle [26]. In breast cancer cell lines, IFN$\gamma$ treatment produces an increase in p21 [18]. Our results suggest that IFN$\gamma$ could be non-functional and unable to activate p21 to stop the cell cycle. In this sense, in a previous study, we detected p21 in the cytoplasm of epithelial cells in in situ carcinomas, and this

| Nodal status | Number of cases (45) | IFN$\gamma$ | IFN$\gamma$-R$\alpha$ | IFN$\gamma$-R$\beta$ |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Negative    | 23                  | 7           | 11 $p = 0.416$      | 5 $p = 1.000$      |
| Positive    | 22                  | 6 $p = 1.000$ | 5 $p = 1.000$      |                     |
| TNM         | T1                  | 17          | 7                   | 8                   |
|             | T2                  | 18          | 9                   | 4                   |
|             | T3                  | 6           | 1                   | 1                   |
|             | T4                  | 4           | 1 $p = 0.756$       | 0 $p = 0.396$      | 0 $p = 0.543$      |
| ER          | Negative            | 6           | 5                   | 0                   | 4                   |
|             | Positive            | 39          | 13 $p = 0.268$      | 13 $p = 0.320$     | 6 $p = 0.071$      |
| PR          | Negative            | 15          | 8                   | 3                   | 2                   |
|             | Positive            | 30          | 10 $p = 0.563$      | 10 $p = 0.734$     | 8 $p = 0.706$      |

Comparison of expression IFN$\gamma$, IFN$\gamma$-R$\alpha$ and IFN$\gamma$-R$\beta$ (only positive samples in each group were compared) with several anatomo-pathological features as nodal status, the TNM system and the ER/PR status in breast infiltrating carcinoma samples. *Number of positive cases

Table 3: Comparison of expression with clinical parameters.

| Number of cases (45) | PCNA       | TUNEL       |
|----------------------|------------|-------------|
| Benign lesions       | 3.8 ± 2.5a | 0.19 ± 0.02a|
| in situ carcinoma    | 19.2 ± 5.5b| 1 ± 0.4b    |
| Infiltrating carcinoma| 23.8 ± 5.6c| 1.5 ± 0.5b  |

PCNA & TUNEL analysis were measured as average optical density ± SD. Values denoted by different superscripts are significantly different from each other. Those values sharing the same superscript are not statistically different from each other. Statistical analysis refers to each antibody separately. Significance was determined by multiple comparisons by the Fisher test at $p \leq 0.05$. 

In prostate cancer cells it has been reported that IFN$\gamma$ apoptotic effects are promoted by p21 stimulation, which inhibits G1 and S phase of cell cycle [26]. In breast cancer cell lines, IFN$\gamma$ treatment produces an increase in p21 [18]. Our results suggest that IFN$\gamma$ could be non-functional and unable to activate p21 to stop the cell cycle. In this sense, in a previous study, we detected p21 in the cytoplasm of epithelial cells in in situ carcinomas, and this
A-C. Immunostaining to IFNγ was observed always in the cytoplasm of epithelial cells. Similar immunoreaction was found in benign lesion (A) and in infiltrating carcinomas (C). Cases of in situ carcinoma (B) showed the highest immunoreaction intensity. Bar: 20 μm (A) and 15 μm (B and C). D-F: Immunostaining to IFNγ-Rα is localized in the cytoplasm of epithelial cells in the three breast groups. Similar immunoreaction was found in benign lesion (D) and in in situ patients (E). The reaction intensity was lower in infiltrating carcinomas (F) than in the other two groups. Bar: 15 μm (D and E) and 25 μm (F). G-I: The three sample groups showed a similar immunoreaction to IFNγ-Rβ. Immunostaining is localized in both the peripheral cytoplasm (G) and the nucleus (inset) in benign lesion group. This immunoreaction intensity was similar to that found in the other two groups. Most of in situ samples (H) and infiltrating carcinoma cases (I) showed nuclear immunostaining to IFN-Rβ. Bar: 10 μm (G) and 20 μm (H and I).
suggests that the p21 cytoplasmic product does not reach to enter the nucleus, and therefore, it would not be functional and would not contribute to the cell cycle arrest [27]. Furthermore, this p21 location has been related to breast cancer resistance to the TNF-α apoptotic effect [28], and it could be explained by the IFNγ effects mediated by TNFRI [29]. According with these data, in a previous study we described a high expression of TNFRI in in situ carcinomas of the breast [30]. Although IFNγ effects mediated by TNFRI would be inhibited by the presence of p21 in the cell cytoplasm, the p21 location in in situ carcinomas would be capable to inhibit the apoptotic pathway of TNFRI at ASK-1 level [31], and thus, to prevent the IFNγ function as cell cycle inhibitor.

The group of infiltrating adenocarcinomas showed a lower expression of IFNγ than the in situ group, and a similar expression to that observed in the benign disease group. However, the number of positive cases was lower (40%) and similar to in situ carcinoma (35%). For IFNγ-Rα, the intensity of reaction and the number of positive cases decreased. IFNγ-Rβ showed similar reaction intensity in the two carcinoma groups, and the immunoreaction appeared in the cell nuclei. These data suggest a loss of IFNγ antitumoral activity in these tumors. IFNγ, via IRF-5 (interferon regulatory factor-5), can stimulate the expression of apoptotic genes as bax [32]. Therefore, the decreased IFNγ positive samples could result in an altered bax/bcl-2 balance. Ruiz-Ruiz and Lopez-Rivas [33] have suggested that, in breast cancer cell lines, IFNγ favors activation of mitochondria-operated apoptotic pathway by TRAIL; this activation can be inhibited in bcl-2 overexpressing cells. We reported an overexpression of bcl-2 in a previous study [21] in all these tumors and in the same

Figure 4
A-B Immunostaining to IFN-γ (black) and IFN-Rα (red) in benign (A) and in infiltrating cancer (B). C-D Immunostaining to IFN-γ (black) and IFN-Rβ (red) in in situ (C) and in infiltrating breast cancer (D). Bar: 15 μm
patients used in this study; this overexpression could lead to the inhibition of IFNγ apoptotic effects, resulting in deregulation of the proliferation/apoptosis equilibrium towards proliferation. This effect could be the cause of the higher proliferation index found in these samples (up to 23% cells) respect to that observed in both benign diseases and in situ tumors. Therefore, present observations could suggest that IFNγ could be a potential therapeutic tool in breast cancer. However, tumor cells are able to escape from the control of this cytokine in the early tumor stages; this could be due to a decreased expression of IFNγ, or also to an alteration of either its receptors.

Conclusion

We conclude that the decrease in the % positive samples that expressed IFNγ and IFNγ-Rα together with the nuclear localization of IFNγ-Rβ, could be a tumoral cell response, although possibly insufficient to inhibit the uncontrolled cell proliferation. IFNγ might be unable to activate p21 to stop the cell cycle, suggesting a possible participation in breast cancer development.
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