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Abstract

Background Overactive bladder (OAB) is often associated with a number of co-morbid medical conditions, including diabetes mellitus. This may necessitate several concomitant treatments, thus creating the potential for drug–drug interactions (DDIs). Trospium is renally eliminated, not metabolized via cytochrome P450; therefore, cytochrome P450 DDIs are unlikely. However, coadministration with another renally eliminated drug (e.g., metformin) may theoretically result in a DDI.

Objective The objective of this study was to evaluate the pharmacokinetics (plasma and urine) and safety/tolerability of the coadministration of trospium chloride extended release (XR) and metformin under steady-state conditions in healthy male and female subjects.

Methods In a single-centre, randomized, open-label, two-group, two-period study in healthy males and females aged 18–45 years, 44 subjects received oral metformin 500 mg twice daily for 3.5 days during one period, and oral trospium chloride XR 60 mg once daily for 10 days, followed by trospium chloride XR 60 mg once daily for 4 days plus metformin 500 mg twice daily for 3.5 days during the other period. The two periods occurred in a crossover fashion, separated by a 3-day washout period.

Results Trospium chloride XR coadministration did not alter metformin steady-state pharmacokinetics. Metformin coadministration reduced trospium steady-state maximum plasma concentration (by 34 %) and area under the concentration–time curve from 0–24 hours (by 29 %). Neither drug’s renal clearance was affected. No safety/tolerability issues of concern were observed with coadministration.

Conclusion No dosage adjustment is necessary for metformin when coadministered with trospium chloride XR.

1 Introduction

Overactive bladder (OAB) is a common condition, affecting an estimated 17 %–19 % of adults in the USA [1, 2]. OAB is associated with a number of co-morbid medical conditions, including diabetes mellitus and obesity [3–6]. Co-morbid conditions may necessitate concurrent treatment with any OAB therapies, allowing for the potential for drug–drug interactions (DDIs).

The quaternary amine antimuscarinic trospium differs from the tertiary amine antimuscarinics used to treat OAB in that it is renally eliminated rather than metabolized by the cytochrome P450 (CYP450) pathway; therefore, trospium has a low propensity for DDIs with drugs metabolized by CYP450 [7]. Of the dose absorbed (<10 %) following oral administration, approximately 60 %–80 % of the parent compound is excreted unchanged in the urine [7–9]. Trospium has been hypothesized to undergo ester hydrolysis with subsequent conjugation of benzylic acid to form azoniaspironortropanol with glucuronic acid, which is known to account for only approximately 7 %–10 % of urinary excretion. The mean renal clearance for trospium is approximately four times higher than the average glomerular filtration rate, indicating that active tubular secretion is a major route of elimination for trospium [7, 8]. Therefore, concomitant administration of trospium chloride with another renally excreted drug, particularly those that also undergo tubular secretion, may result in a DDI if they compete for the same transporter, e.g., organic cation
transporters (OCTs). However, a pharmacokinetic study indicated no DDI between trospium chloride and digoxin, which is renally eliminated [10].

The biguanide oral antihyperglycaemic agent metformin is indicated for the treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, in whom use of the drug is associated with improved glycaemic control and stable or slightly decreased body weight [11]. Metformin may also be used to reduce progression to type 2 diabetes in patients at risk [12–15], and has been shown to promote weight loss in patients with obesity [16–19]. Metformin was the sixth most commonly prescribed medication in the USA in 2009 [20], and it is the most widely prescribed oral medication for the treatment of diabetes [21]. Metformin is primarily excreted unchanged in the urine, without hepatic metabolism or biliary secretion [11]. The renal clearance of metformin is approximately 3.5 times greater than creatinine clearance, indicating that tubular secretion is a major route of elimination for the drug [7]. Approximately 90% of the absorbed metformin dose is eliminated renally within the first 24 hours following oral administration [11]. No metabolites have been identified following administration of metformin to humans [11, 22].

Given that OAB is a common co-morbidity of diabetes, metformin and trospium chloride are likely to be co-prescribed and, since both drugs undergo renal excretion, there is a potential for each drug to affect the systemic exposure and/or half-life of the other drug. Human OCTs (hOCTs) are involved in both the intestinal absorption and renal and/or hepatic elimination of metformin (hOCT1,2) and trospium (hOCT1,2,3), and could contribute to their active tubular and hepatobiliary secretion [23, 24]. Consequently, it is possible that transport of one or both of these agents might be inhibited by the administration of the other agent, thus affecting their absorption and/or elimination. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the pharmacokinetics, safety and tolerability of coadministration of trospium chloride extended release (XR) with metformin under steady-state conditions.

2 Methods

This single-centre, randomized, open-label, two-period, crossover study (Fig. 1) enrolled healthy male and female subjects aged 18–45 years weighing within ±30% of their ideal body weight for height and frame size (according to Metropolitan height and weight tables). Subjects were required to be non-smokers (refraining from tobacco usage, including smokeless tobacco, nicotine patches, etc., for 1 month prior to screening visit). In addition, subjects had to abstain from caffeine-containing food and beverages for 48 hours prior to dosing, and Seville oranges (e.g., orange marmalade), grapefruit or grapefruit juice for 7 days prior to dosing, and from all such products throughout the study.

This crossover study was an AB→BA sequence design with all subjects completing two crossover periods (Fig. 1). Due to the long half-life of trospium chloride XR (consequently requiring a long drug washout period), the sequence effect of trospium chloride XR could not be determined because the combination treatment is always administered after the trospium chloride XR alone treatment. The protocol was designed so that the washout time for the long-acting trospium chloride XR formulation was kept to a reasonable length of time while not appreciably altering the interpretation of the DDI study. The study design was consistent with US Food and Drug Administration guidance for long half-life DDI studies.

Subjects received oral metformin (Glucophage®, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ, USA) or oral trospium chloride XR (Sanctura XR®, Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) according to the study protocol (Fig. 1). Subjects were instructed to take trospium chloride XR once daily at 7 am, on an empty stomach 1 hour before breakfast, and metformin twice daily at 8 am and 6 pm with a standardized meal (2,500 calories/day with 30% fat). Overnight fasting was required before the scheduled clinic attendance on Days 4, 17 and 21 for Group A, and Days 10, 14 and 27 for Group B. The only concomitant medications permitted during the study were hormonal contraceptives and paracetamol (acetaminophen) ≤2 g/day.

2.1 Pharmacokinetic Evaluation

For the measurement of plasma and urine concentrations of trospium and metformin, serial blood and urine samples were collected from each subject. Collection time points for the metformin-alone treatment were pre-dose and 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16 and 24 h post-dose for plasma samples, and pre-dose and 0–4, 4–12 and 12–24 h post-dose for pooled urine samples on Day 4 for Group A and Day 27 for Group B. Collection time points for the trospium chloride XR–alone treatment were pre-dose and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16 and 24 h post-dose for plasma samples, and pre-dose and 0–4, 4–12 and 12–24 h post-dose for pooled urine samples on Day 10 for Group B and Day 17 for Group A. Collection time points following coadministration of trospium chloride XR and metformin were pre-trospium chloride XR dose and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120 and 144 h post-trospium chloride XR dose for plasma samples, and pre-metformin dose and 0–4, 4–12 and 12–24 h post-metformin dose for pooled urine samples on Day 14 for Group B and Day 21.
for Group A. The final plasma pharmacokinetic sample was collected on Day 34 at 312 h post-dose for Group A, and at 168 h post-dose for Group B.

Plasma and urine samples were analysed at Prevalere Life Sciences, LLC (Whitesboro, NY, USA). Plasma and urine trospium concentrations were measured using a fully validated liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) method with a lower limit of quantitation (LLQ) of 0.04 ng/mL and 25 ng/mL, respectively. Plasma and urine metformin concentrations were measured using a validated LC–MS/MS method with an LLQ of 10 ng/mL and 1 µg/mL, respectively.

2.2 Safety and Tolerability Evaluation

Adverse events (AEs) were recorded throughout the study period. Clinical laboratory evaluations (haematology, biochemistry and urinalysis) were performed at screening and at the final day of the two treatment periods (Day 21 for Group A and Day 14 for Group B). Vital signs (heart rate, blood pressure, respiration rate and oral body temperature) were measured and 12-lead standard electrocardiograms (ECGs) performed at screening, the final day of the two treatment periods (Day 21 for Group A and Day 14 for Group B), and at the final study exit (Day 35). Physical examinations were performed at screening, Day 8 (Group A) and Day 24 (Group B), and study exit (Day 35). Body weight was measured at screening and study exit (Day 35).

2.3 Statistical Methods

2.3.1 Sample Size Calculation

The sample size required to power the study of steady-state bioequivalence between trospium chloride XR and metformin was driven by within-subject variability as measured by the coefficient of variation for trospium chloride XR (40%). With a sample size of 18 in each sequence (total of 36 subjects), a crossover design would yield 80% power to reject both the null hypothesis that the ratio of the test mean to the standard mean is \(0.80\) and the null hypothesis that the ratio of the test mean to the standard mean is \(1.25\) (i.e., the test and the standard are not bioequivalent), in favour of the alternative hypothesis that the means of the two treatments are bioequivalent. Accounting for a 15% drop-out rate, it was anticipated that approximately 44 subjects would need to be enrolled into the study in order to have 36 subjects complete the study.

2.3.2 Pharmacokinetic Analyses

The calculation of pharmacokinetic parameters was performed using WinNonlin® Enterprise Version 5.2 with IVIVC Toolkit (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA) and SAS® for Windows Version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The summary statistics of the pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated using SAS®. SAS® was also used for data management and other
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Fig. 1 Study design. Asterisks denote visits involving an overnight stay at clinic. bid twice daily, qd once daily, XR extended release
statistical analyses. S-Plus® 7.0 (TIBCO Software, Inc., Seattle, WA, USA, formerly Insightful Corporation) was used for the graphing of pharmacokinetic data.

**Plasma: Trospium and Metformin** A model-independent approach was used to calculate the plasma and urine pharmacokinetic parameters of trospium and metformin for each subject. Plasma pharmacokinetic parameters, including steady-state area under the plasma concentration–time curve (AUC) within the dosing interval (AUC from time zero to 12 hours [AUC\(_{12}\)] for metformin and AUC from time zero to 24 hours [AUC\(_{24}\)] for trospium), maximum (peak) drug concentration (C\(_{\text{max}}\)), time to peak plasma concentration (t\(_{\text{max}}\)) following drug administration, oral clearance and half-life, were calculated when applicable. Descriptive statistics were determined for all pharmacokinetic parameters calculated.

Pharmacokinetic DDIs were evaluated by calculating the 90 % confidence interval (CI) of the ratio of estimated geometric means for plasma AUC\(_{12}\) (metformin), AUC\(_{24}\) (trospium) and C\(_{\text{max}}\) values obtained following administration, alone and in combination. Administration alone was used as the reference for each respective drug.

**Urine: Trospium and Metformin** The cumulative amount of unchanged drug excreted in the urine (A\(_{e}\)) during the 12- or 24-h dosing interval (A\(_{e}\) from time zero to 12 hours for metformin and A\(_{e}\) from time zero to 24 hours for trospium) was calculated for each subject. The percentage of dose excreted in urine was determined from the ratio of A\(_{e}\) to the administered dose. Renal clearance of drug from plasma (CLR) was determined by dividing A\(_{e}\) by the plasma AUC of the corresponding dosing interval of each drug. A paired Student’s t test was performed to compare these parameters between administration alone and in combination for each compound at the significance level of 0.05.

2.3.3 Safety Analyses

All randomized subjects who received at least one dose of study medication were included in the safety analyses. AE data were summarized by frequency tables. Summary statistics were calculated with SAS® for the change from baseline in laboratory parameters, ECG parameters and vital signs.

2.3.4 Post hoc Efficacy Analysis

To determine the clinical implications of the reduced trospium exposure during concomitant metformin administration, a post hoc analysis was performed on efficacy data reported from two multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase III trials [25, 26]. Comparison of primary efficacy outcomes (average number of toilet voids and urinary urge incontinence [UUI] episodes per day) was conducted between subjects who received both metformin and trospium chloride XR, and an equal number of subjects not on metformin but who received trospium chloride XR only (i.e., 1:1 matching). Sensitivity analyses were also performed using twice the number of control subjects (i.e., 1:2 matching). Additionally, primary efficacy outcomes were compared between subjects on metformin and all subjects who did not receive metformin treatment (without matching). Control subjects were selected by propensity score matching [27], with propensity scores estimated using logistic regression with metformin (yes vs. no) as a dependent variable, and age, sex, race, OAB duration (years), baseline urinary frequency, baseline urgency severity and baseline UUI episodes per day as independent variables.

2.4 Ethics

The study was conducted in accordance with the applicable Good Clinical Practice regulations and guidelines and Institutional Review Board (IRB) regulations. The study was approved by Aspire IRB, La Mesa, CA, USA on 16 September 2009. All subjects provided written informed consent prior to enrollment.

3 Results

A total of 44 subjects (25 males and 19 females) were randomized to receive study treatment. Of these, 43 subjects completed the study and one subject in Group B discontinued at Day 13 due to flu-like symptoms considered unrelated to the study drug during the H1N1 pandemic of 2009. The mean age was 31 years (range 18–44 years), mean height was 165 cm (range 153–180 cm), and mean body weight was 69.5 kg (range 55.3–87.9 kg). All 44 subjects were Caucasian.

3.1 Pharmacokinetics

3.1.1 Metformin

The steady-state plasma concentration–time profiles of metformin following administration of metformin alone and in combination with trospium chloride XR are shown in Figure 2. Coadministration of trospium chloride XR did not alter the steady-state plasma and urine pharmacokinetics of metformin (Table 1). Based on the metformin steady-state C\(_{\text{max}}\) and AUC\(_{12}\) values, administration of metformin alone and in combination with trospium chloride XR were bioequivalent; the 90 % CIs for the ratios of
combination/alone were 97–109 (percentage ratio of the geometric mean = 103) for C\textsubscript{max} and 105–120 (percentage ratio of the geometric mean = 112) for AUC\textsubscript{12} (Table 1).

Following administration of metformin alone and in combination with trospium chloride XR, comparable mean values were observed for the CL\textsubscript{R} of metformin (33.0 and 33.7 L/h, respectively; \( p = 0.41 \)) and the percentage of dose excreted in urine (28.3 % and 31.9 %, respectively), although the increase of approximately 13 % in percentage of dose excreted in urine was statistically significant (\( p < 0.05 \); Table 1).

### 3.1.2 Trospium Chloride Extended Release

The steady-state plasma concentration–time profiles of trospium following administration of trospium chloride XR alone and in combination with metformin are shown in Figure 3. Coadministration of metformin did not alter the absorption rate of trospium from trospium chloride XR, with \( t_{\text{max}} \) being approximately 4 hours for trospium chloride XR, both alone and in combination with metformin (Table 2).

Administration of trospium chloride XR with metformin, compared with trospium chloride XR alone, reduced the trospium steady-state C\textsubscript{max} and AUC\textsubscript{24} values by approximately 34 % and 29 %, respectively (Table 2). Although the percentage of trospium chloride XR dose excreted in urine was reduced by 30 % (\( p < 0.0001 \)), there was no statistically significant change in the CL\textsubscript{R} of trospium when the drugs were coadministered (\( p = 0.88 \); Table 2). Based on the trospium steady-state C\textsubscript{max} and AUC\textsubscript{24} values, administration of trospium chloride XR alone and in combination with metformin resulted in 90 % CIs for the ratios of geometric mean (combination/alone) of 61–72 (percentage ratio of the geometric mean = 66.3) for C\textsubscript{max} and 66–76 (percentage ratio of the geometric mean = 70.8) for AUC\textsubscript{24} (Table 2).

### 3.2 Safety and Tolerability

There were no serious AEs reported during this study, and no subject discontinued the study due to an AE. A total of 97 treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) were reported by 26 subjects (59 %); these occurred in 11 subjects (26 %; 43 TEAEs) following administration of metformin alone, eight subjects (18 %; 15 TEAEs) following administration of trospium chloride XR alone, and 15 subjects (35 %; 39 TEAEs) following administration of metformin and trospium chloride XR.}

\[ \text{metformin} \]

\[ \text{trospium chloride XR} \]
of trospium chloride XR alone, and 17 subjects (39 %; 39 TEAEs) following administration of trospium chloride XR in combination with metformin. The most common TEAEs were headache and diarrhoea (Table 3). Of the 97 TEAEs, 95 were mild in severity and two were moderate (headache).

Mean laboratory, vital sign and ECG parameters remained within reference range at all assessed post-dose time points and varied minimally from baseline. At baseline, the mean heart rate was 69 beats/min (range 45–92 beats/min). On Day 1 of treatment, the mean change in heart rate from baseline in Group A (metformin) and Group B (trospium chloride XR + metformin) was +4.9 and +3.4 beats/min, respectively, when measured 2 h post-dose. In Group B, the mean change in heart rate from baseline on Day 11 was +6.1 beats/min when measured immediately before dosing (pre-dose), and +13.1 beats/min when measured 2 h post-dose. Similarly, on Day 14 the mean pre-dose and post-dose changes in heart rate in Group B were +5.1 and +8.8 beats/min, respectively.

There were no post-dose abnormal physical examination findings. Mean weight from baseline to study exit increased by 0.07 kg for Group A and 0.56 kg for Group B.

### 3.3 Post hoc Efficacy Analysis

Post hoc efficacy analyses from the pivotal Phase III studies revealed that no statistical difference on the primary efficacy outcomes at Week 12 (average number of toilet voids/day or average number of UUI episodes/day) was observed between patients on concomitant metformin and trospium chloride XR therapy, and propensity score-matched trospium chloride XR patients who were not on metformin therapy (Table 4).

### 4 Discussion

The primary finding of this DDI study is that the steady-state pharmacokinetics of metformin were not affected by baseline, the mean heart rate was 69 beats/min (range 45–92 beats/min). On Day 1 of treatment, the mean change in heart rate from baseline in Group A (metformin) and Group B (trospium chloride XR + metformin) was +4.9 and +3.4 beats/min, respectively, when measured 2 h post-dose. In Group B, the mean change in heart rate from baseline on Day 11 was +6.1 beats/min when measured immediately before dosing (pre-dose), and +13.1 beats/min when measured 2 h post-dose. Similarly, on Day 14 the mean pre-dose and post-dose changes in heart rate in Group B were +5.1 and +8.8 beats/min, respectively.

There were no post-dose abnormal physical examination findings. Mean weight from baseline to study exit increased by 0.07 kg for Group A and 0.56 kg for Group B.

### 3.3 Post hoc Efficacy Analysis

Post hoc efficacy analyses from the pivotal Phase III studies revealed that no statistical difference on the primary efficacy outcomes at Week 12 (average number of toilet voids/day or average number of UUI episodes/day) was observed between patients on concomitant metformin and trospium chloride XR therapy, and propensity score-matched trospium chloride XR patients who were not on metformin therapy (Table 4).

### 4 Discussion

The primary finding of this DDI study is that the steady-state pharmacokinetics of metformin were not affected by
concomitant administration of trospium chloride XR in healthy subjects. Notably, the CLR of metformin and trospium was not changed significantly by coadministration compared with administration of either drug alone. It is important to evaluate whether any pharmacokinetic DDI occurs between trospium and metformin, both of which are eliminated via renal tubular secretion, as there is considerable potential for these drugs to be concurrently prescribed to patients with OAB. Metformin was the sixth most commonly prescribed medication in the USA in 2009 [20], and it is the most widely prescribed oral treatment for diabetes [21]. Metformin is indicated for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, and may be used to prevent progression to type 2 diabetes and to treat obesity. Diabetes (with type 2 diabetes accounting for 90%–95% of diabetes cases in adults) [28] and obesity are highly prevalent in the USA and worldwide; [29–32] furthermore, diabetes and obesity are often co-morbid with OAB [3–6]. Among the pooled population of patients enrolled in Phase III trospium chloride XR studies, the mean body mass index (BMI) was 32 kg/m², which exceeds the criterion used to define obesity in adults (≥30 kg/m²) [33]. A subanalysis of data from these pivotal studies showed that the severity of OAB increased with BMI; however, trospium chloride XR was similarly efficacious, and significantly more effective than placebo, across all BMI strata [33].

Trospium is primarily eliminated unchanged via renal filtration and tubular secretion, rather than being metabolized by CYP450. A theoretical DDI exists between trospium and other renally eliminated drugs, such as metformin [7], if there is competition between the agents for the same transporter. Of note, a previous DDI study showed that trospium chloride did not alter the pharmacokinetics of digoxin, which is primarily eliminated by renal excretion [10]. The current study expands on these findings, demonstrating that trospium does not alter the pharmacokinetics of metformin.

An interesting secondary finding of the present study was the observation that coadministration of trospium chloride XR with metformin resulted in reductions in the mean steady-state C max (34%) and AUC 24 (29%) of trospium. On the surface, this observation suggests that coadministration of metformin with trospium chloride XR has the potential to interfere with the therapeutic efficacy of the latter agent. However, this and other studies have shown that there is very wide interindividual variability in

### Table 4 Propensity score matching results: change from baseline at Week 12 in toilet voids and UUI episodes in subjects administered trospium chloride XR with and without metformin [N or mean (SD)]

| Characteristic                  | Propensity score type | 1:1 Matching | 1:2 Matching | 1:1 Matching | 1:2 Matching |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Trospium chloride XR/placebo    |                       |              |              |              |              |
| N                               | 78                    | 78           | 78           | 156          |
| Average no. of toilet voids/day | −2.3 (2.7)            | −2.2 (3.3)   | 0.679 a      | −2.3 (2.7)   | −2.0 (3.1)   | 0.243 a      |
| Average no. of UUI episodes/day | −2.6 (3.6)            | −2.6 (4.1)   | 0.956 a      | −2.6 (3.6)   | −2.4 (4.0)   | 0.547 a      |
| Tropium chloride XR             |                       |              |              |              |              |
| N                               | 32                    | 32           | 32           | 64           |
| Average no. of toilet voids/day | −3.2 (2.7)            | −2.5 (2.9)   | 0.195 b      | −3.2 (2.7)   | −2.7 (2.9)   | 0.437 b      |
| Average no. of UUI episodes/day | −3.1 (3.8)            | −2.6 (3.4)   | 0.294 b      | −3.1 (3.8)   | −2.7 (3.4)   | 0.484 b      |
| Placebo                         |                       |              |              |              |              |
| N                               | 46                    | 46           | 46           | 92           |
| Average no. of toilet voids/day | −1.7 (2.5)            | −1.7 (2.5)   | 0.944 b      | −1.7 (2.5)   | −1.9 (3.1)   | 0.696 b      |
| Average no. of UUI episodes/day | −2.3 (3.5)            | −2.2 (3.1)   | 0.722 b      | −2.3 (3.5)   | −2.1 (3.0)   | 0.735 b      |
| Placebo                         |                       |              |              |              |              |
| N                               | 78                    | 1,056        |              |              |              |
| Average no. of toilet voids/day | −2.3 (2.7)            | −2.3 (2.8)   | 0.979 c      | −              | −              |              |
| Average no. of UUI episodes/day | −2.6 (3.6)            | −2.1 (2.8)   | 0.338 c      | −              | −              |              |

*P* values are based on Friedman test adjusting treatment group: trospium chloride XR vs placebo

*P* values are based on Kruskal-Wallis test

*P* values are based on rank analysis of variance model adjusting treatment group (trospium chloride XR vs placebo), age, race, baseline UUI episodes per day

SD standard deviation, UUI urinary urge incontinence, XR extended release
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expressed.

of pharmacokinetic studies, is that it was conducted in
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while clearance and dosing are unchanged. This hypothesis
is that metformin has a higher affinity/efficiency
proximal gut cation transporter system [23, 24]. Our
hypothesis is that metformin is a substrate of the
transporter limits absorption of trospium from the
intestine when the two drugs are coadministered. Appar-
ently, this competition did not take place in the renal
secretion process, as the renal clearance of trospium was
entirely, this competition did not take place in the renal
Coadministration of metformin and trospium for
the transporter limits absorption of trospium from the
intestine when the two drugs are coadministered. Appar-
ently, this competition did not take place in the renal
secretion process, as the renal clearance of trospium was
not affected. This might explain the observation in the
renal clearance of trospium was not affected. This might explain the observation in the
study by Chancellor et al. [33] did not evaluate the impact
of metformin coadministration on the efficacy of trospium
chloride XR, the finding that efficacy was not impaired in
obese patients, who would be expected to be more likely to
be receiving antidiabetic agents, is reassuring.

As noted above, a subanalysis of data from Phase III
trials of trospium chloride XR showed that the efficacy of
the drug was consistent across BMI strata. Although the
study by Chancellor et al. [33] did not evaluate the impact
of metformin coadministration on the efficacy of trospium
chloride XR, the finding that efficacy was not impaired in
obese patients, who would be expected to be more likely to
be receiving antidiabetic agents, is reassuring.

Both metformin and trospium are substrates of the
proximal gut cation transporter system [23, 24]. Our
hypothesis is that metformin has a higher affinity/efficiency
of absorption compared with trospium chloride and,
therefore, competition between metformin and trospium for
the transporter limits absorption of trospium from the
intestine when the two drugs are coadministered. Appar-
ently, this competition did not take place in the renal
secretion process, as the renal clearance of trospium was
not affected. This might explain the observation in the
current study that trospium plasma concentration decreases
while clearance and dosing are unchanged. This hypothesis
will need to be specifically tested and confirmed via an
in vitro cellular culture model in which the OCT system is
expressed.

A potential limitation of the current study, as is typical
of pharmacokinetic studies, is that it was conducted in
healthy subjects with normal renal function; therefore, the
results observed in the study subjects may not be repre-
sentative of those that would be observed in patients with
co-morbid medical conditions that would necessitate con-
comitant prescribing of these medications. However, it
should be noted that because both drugs are eliminated
renally, neither metformin nor trospium chloride XR are
recommended for use in patients with severe renal
impairment (tropium chloride XR is not recommended for
use in patients with a creatinine clearance <30 mL/min;
metformin is contraindicated in patients with a serum
creatinine concentration ≥1.5 mg/dL [men] or ≥1.4 mg/dL
[women] or an abnormal creatinine clearance) [7, 11].

5 Conclusion

Coadministration of tropium chloride XR 60 mg once
daily and metformin 500 mg twice daily did not affect
the steady-state pharmacokinetics of metformin. There-
fore, no dosage adjustment is necessary for metformin
when it is coadministered with tropium chloride XR.
Coadministration of metformin reduced the steady-state
systemic exposure of trospium; however, renal clearance
of tropium remained unchanged. As this study was
conducted in healthy adult subjects, the results may not
be generalizable to patients with OAB in a clinical
setting.
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