The Bacterial Vaginosis among Pregnant Women in Jatinangor

Aw Wan Yi,1 Sunarjati Sudigdoadi,2 Hadi Susiaro2

1Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia, 2Deparment of Biomedical Sciences Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia, 3Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran/Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital Bandung, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is polymicrobial that causes superficial vaginitis due to a shift in vaginal flora. In pregnant women, BV can result in a significant risk of some serious consequences. The objective of this study was to identify the BV prevalence and to explore the characteristics and personal hygiene practices among pregnant women.

Methods: This study was conducted in Desa Cipacing, Jatinangor, using the cross-sectional descriptive method, including pregnant women. The BV was detected by Gram-stained vaginal smears using Nugent Score. Furthermore, other microorganisms such as trichomoniasis and candidiasis were detected by direct microscopic observation. The questionnaire was distributed, consisting of details of personal hygiene practices.

Results: In total, 17.9% of 52 pregnant women included were diagnosed with BV and 14.3% with mixed infection. Pregnant women with BV were predominantly aged younger than 25 years (61.1%), nullipara (50%) and in a second-trimester pregnancy (50%).

Conclusions: Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is common among young pregnant women, predominantly in their first pregnancy. It is related to personal hygiene practices, indicating the need for targeted interventions of healthcare education among these young pregnant women to reduce the BV prevalence.
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Introduction

Vaginitis is often assumed as a part of ordinary reproductive life in women since most of the childbearing age women experience some kind of vaginitis at least once in their life. The three most common etiologies of vaginitis are bacteria (bacterial vaginosis) accounts for 40–45%, followed by fungi (candida vaginitis) for 20–25%, and parasites (trichomonal vaginitis) for 15–20%.1 Hence, bacterial vaginosis (BV) is an extremely prevalent vaginal condition and the number one cause of vaginitis in women of childbearing age.2 Phenomenon in BV is best to be described as polymicrobial, superficial vaginitis which involving a reduced amount of hydrogen-peroxide producing Lactobacillus, a normal aerobic vaginal microflora that later changes to a mixed anaerobic, Gram-negative or Gram-variable bacterial flora such as Mycoplasma hominis, Bacterioides species, Mobiluncus species, and Gardnerella vaginalis.3,4 Most of the women are usually reluctant to seek medical treatment when they come across with an abnormal vaginal discharge as they often misinterpret and assume it as a normal discharge. This ‘culture of silence’ is the one that shrouds the gynecologic morbidity.1 Women infected with BV are mostly asymptomatic.2

Globally, BV is common among reproductive-age women.5 The prevalence of BV in Asian pregnant women is quite high, for example in Japanese (13.6%), in Thais (15.9%), and Indonesian (18%) women.6 Furthermore, BV prevalence is also higher than that of other a symptomatic infectious diseases during pregnancy.4 Pregnant women with positive BV are at significant risk of some serious implications such as premature rupture of membrane, preterm labor and
delivery, low birth weight infant, intraamniotic
infection, chorioamnionitis, and post-cesarean
endometritis. Previous study in Jatinangor has
reported that out of a total of 2000 pregnant
women attended to Public Health Center (Pusat
Kesehatan Masyarakat, Puskesmas) Jatinangor
in the year 2013, about 25% were categorized
under the high-risk pregnancy group, which
is an alarming sign. Therefore, more attention
should be given to pregnant women who are
infected with BV.

An increase of attention is drawn because
so far no data is available about the study
of risk factors for BV in Indonesia. A recent
study has proved that the asymptomatic BV
prevalence is highest during the second-
trimester pregnancy, and that a higher
frequency of genital infections is associated
with inappropriate genital hygiene habits.
The primary objective of this study was to
assess the BV prevalence among pregnant
women in Jatinangor. Also, the study aimed
to explore the characteristics and personal
hygiene practices as potentially modifiable
risks for BV.

Methods

This study was part of a Cohort Study,
including pregnant women, infants and children
under five-year-old in Kecamatan Jatinangor,
Kabupaten Sumedang, Jawa Barat, conducted
in the collaboration of multiple sectors. This
cross-sectional descriptive study was carried
out in March 2014. The samples of vaginal

| Infection                  | Cases n (%) |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Bacterial vaginosis only   | 10 (17.85%) |
| Mixed infection            | 8 (14.29%)  |
| Negative BV                | 38 (67.86%) |
| Total                      | 56 (100%)   |

Note: BV: bacterial vaginosis, Mixed infection: infection of both Bacterial vaginosis and vulvovaginal candidiasis

secretion collected from healthy pregnant
women living in Desa Cipacing, Kecamatan
Jatinangor, Kabupaten Samedang, Jawa Barat.
This study was conducted with approval by
the Health Research Ethics Committee, Faculty
of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran.

The estimated minimal sample size was
53, however, a total of 56 pregnant women
aged older than 15 years old were included.
After obtaining verbal and written informed
consent, the interview session was initiated
using questionnaire forms consisted of details
of personal hygiene practices. Following
speculum examination, the vaginal discharge
was collected using a cotton-tipped swab,
suspended in 1.5 milliliters of NaCl in a plastic
transport tube. Specimen collection was
performed by a qualified midwife in charge.
Each of the samples collected was stored
temporarily in a transport container with ice
packs before being sent to the microbiology laboratory for the detection of pathogens at the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran.

Gram-stained smears were observed microscopically and accessed using the Nugent score for BV. The result was reported as positive BV when the smear had a Nugent Score of 7 to 10, after quantifying 3 bacterial morphotypes (Lactobacilli, Gardnerella vaginalis and Mobiluncus species); while negative BV was reported when the smear showed a Nugent Score of 0 to 3. Under direct microscopic observation, other microorganisms such as trichomoniasis and candidiasis could be detected.

**Results**

In total, 56 pregnant women aged between 17 and 44 (mean age 28 years old) were included, and 17.9% (n=10) was infected with BV only; whereas 14.29% (n=8) had mixed infection with both BV and vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC) as shown in Table 1. The microorganism under the microscope had been shown in Figure 1.

The characteristic among pregnant women with positive BV was presented (Table 2). The age range of women with positive BV was 15 to 37 years old; 61.1% completed upper secondary school. Half of the pregnant women with positive BV were nullipara and commonly occurred in second-trimester pregnancy or gestational age of 15-28 weeks. Furthermore, personal hygiene practices of pregnant women with BV infection were presented in Table 3.

**Discussion**

The prevalence of Bacterial Vaginosis in this study is comparable to the WHO report.6 Another study in India has a similar result among young women in reproductive age.12 However, a higher BV prevalence (30.7%) has been reported among Indonesian pregnant women elsewhere.9 Even more, in Nepalese pregnant women, BV infection was detected

| Characteristics | Frequency n |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Age (Years)     |             |
| <25             | 11          |
| 25–34           | 5           |
| >34             | 2           |
| Educational Level |         |
| Elementary School | 4        |
| Junior High School | 11         |
| Senior High School | 1          |
| University Graduate | 1          |
| Parity          |             |
| Nulliparity     | 9           |
| Primiparity     | 6           |
| Multiparity     | 3           |
| Gestational Age (weeks) |     |
| 0–14            | 3           |
| 15–28           | 9           |
| 29–42           | 6           |

The characteristic among pregnant women infected with BV only was presented (Table 2). The age range of women with positive BV was 15 to 37 years old; 61.1% completed upper secondary school. Half of the pregnant women with positive BV were nullipara and commonly occurred in second-trimester pregnancy or gestational age of 15-28 weeks. Furthermore, personal hygiene practices of pregnant women with BV infection were presented in Table 3.

**Discussion**

The prevalence of Bacterial Vaginosis in this study is comparable to the WHO report.6 Another study in India has a similar result among young women in reproductive age.12 However, a higher BV prevalence (30.7%) has been reported among Indonesian pregnant women elsewhere.9 Even more, in Nepalese pregnant women, BV infection was detected

| Personal Hygiene Practice | Frequency n |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Usual underwear material | 11          |
| Cotton                   | 6           |
| Hosiery                  | 1           |
| Others                   | 1           |
| Agent used for genital area cleaning | 10 |
| Water                    | 1           |
| Body wash                | 7           |
| Feminine hygiene products|             |
| Ways of genital area cleaning |     |
| Front to back            | 2           |
| Back to front            | 2           |
| Random                   |             |
| Hand washing before and after genital area cleaning |     |
| Always                   | 11          |
| Never                    | 1           |
| Sometimes                | 6           |
in 52.6%. Hence, the much lower rate of BV prevalence in our study may be due to the difference in methodology; which is Nugent's criteria vs. Amsel's criteria in Nepal. Nugent scoring system is considered as the gold standard for BV diagnosis, but unfortunately, due to its time-consuming methodology and the costly needs of lab equipment and experts for its interpretation, most of the clinicians are unable to utilize the method effectively.

Based on the result of our study, the highest frequency of BV infection is among women aged <25 years. This corresponds to a recent study in Nigeria and India. However, an older age group with BV infection was also reported to be around 26 to 40 years old, suggesting that the BV infection is a common finding within the childbearing age. Thus, the sexually active age group has a risk factor of BV infection and promiscuity even more. Interestingly, the estimated prevalence of symptomatic and asymptomatic BV infection is around 30% in the general population of women aged 14 to 49 years, indicating that sexual activity and the number of lifetime sexual partners could be factors contributing to BV prevalence.

Pregnant women with nulliparity are more prone to BV infection compared to primipara and multipara, however, a previous study has shown that BV prevalence was high in women with a history of parity ≤2. In contrast, another study has reported women with parity >5 with the highest BV prevalence. The parity might not have a significant correlation to BV. The present study has shown that BV among pregnant women mostly occurs in second-trimester pregnancy or gestational age of 15–28 weeks, following with the study in Nigeria.

Since BV was prevalent among these pregnant women, the exploration for a better look upon the link between personal hygiene practices and BV is warranted. Hence, this illustrates the necessity of targeted interventions at associated hygienic behavior to reduce BV prevalence. Previous studies hold some questions about the potential risks of wearing nylon and synthetic types underwear to the development of reproductive tract infections since the poor perspiration absorption and maintenance of perineal humidity disadvantages may enable the disturbance of normal vaginal flora. However, the present study has found no difference to this aspect though cotton underwear is strongly encouraged by gynecologists due to its excellent ventilation with a contribution to the maintenance of the vulvar microbiota. Pregnant women with BV infection were highly used to wear cotton panties daily. Following the cotton material as the most preferred type of underwear among subjects in this study, hosiery type underwear also showed high popularity among pregnant women with BV infection, as shown in another study that there is an increased frequency of genital infection with the use of cotton and hosiery type of underwear.

In pregnant women with BV infection, water has been used to clean the genital area followed by the use of feminine hygiene products. This result is on contrary to the previous report showing a lower frequency of genital infections among those who have used water for cleaning the genital area. Interestingly, some feminine hygiene products currently available in the market do not provide protection against vaginitis and even have harmful effects on the vaginal immune barrier and thus may increase the susceptibility to infections.

Most of the pregnant women have practiced front to back cleaning in the perineal area, only a few have a wrong genital area hygiene cleaning practices, i.e. back to front or random which may cause the transfer of microorganisms from the anus to the vagina, indicating that most of the women in the community have performed perineal cleaning correctly. The normal intestinal flora *Escherichia coli* (*E coli*) is the predominant uropathogenic organism in women suffering from urinary tract infections (UTIs), thus cleaning genitals from front to back is associated with a reduced frequency of UTI instead of BV infection. As for hand washing, most of the pregnant women stated to have their hands washed each time before and after cleaning their genital area. This is in contrast with the previous study showing that genital infections are the least found among those with the habit of often washing hands before and after using the toilet.

This study still held some limitations. Information bias may occur during the interview session because requesting personal hygiene practices is a sensitive issue. Further research concerning the factors which influence the host susceptibility to BV should be performed to provide beneficial information and recommendations in the reproductive health care of pregnant women. These factors including multiple sexual partners, coital frequency, toilet behavior, use of douche, uncircumcised partner, previous medical history of genital tract infection and so on. Healthcare providers who are in charge of reproductive-aged patients...
should be concerned about this issue and give appropriate advice regarding feminine hygiene care. More comprehensive and precise studies are needed to reveal any relationships between personal hygiene practices and associated genital infections.

To conclude, the bacterial vaginosis prevalence among pregnant women in Desa Cipacing, Kecamatan Jatinangor is 17.9%. Proper education and training programs by a healthcare professional on genital hygiene practices which area a crucial aspect of women’s health are useful.
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