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Introduction

The development of geometry of fiber bundles and foliations stimulates the interest for new investigation of three-Webs. In \cite{3, 5, 11}, the techniques were developed for webs using the intrinsic geometry structure. In this investigation, we propose to give another approach of computation of some classical relations, using the technique of the projective space. Our approach is based on the embedding of a smooth loop into a Lie group, by means of a closed subgroup. This transports the geometric problem into an abstract algebraic problem, where the 3-Web is seen as a homogeneous space coset in a generic position. Using this technique, the computation of the tensor structure of local loop yield. Therefore we give an application of the computation of the well known tensor. We use algebraic methods to compute the relations $\nabla l_{ij}^k$, $\nabla l_{jk}^i$, and $d_{jklm}^i$.\footnote{Currently at UMR 5030 (CNRS), Département des Sciences Mathématiques Université Montpellier II Case courrier 051-Place Eugène Bataillon 34095 Montpellier CEDEX 05, France e-mail:tbouetou@darboux.math.univ-montp2.fr}
1 Analytic representation of law of composition of local smooth loops, embedding in Lie groups

Let $<G,·,e>$ be a local Lie group and let $H$ be its local closed subgroup. Denote by $\mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ their corresponding Lie algebra and Lie subalgebra and let $Q$ be a smooth space section of left coset $G\mod H$ passing through $e$ the unity element of $G(e \in G)$.

The composition law:

$$\times : Q \times Q \rightarrow Q$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto x \times y = \prod_Q (x \cdot y),$$

where $\prod_Q : G \rightarrow Q$ is the projection on $Q$ parallel to the subgroup $H$, defines in $Q$ a structure of a local loop, i.e $<Q,\times,e>$-loop [8, 12, 15].

Let us map the tangent space $T_e Q$ with the vector subspace $V \subset G$. Then $G = V \cup h$ since the submanifolds $Q$ and $H$ are transversal in the Lie group $G$.

Let us introduce the mapping $\phi : V \rightarrow h$ defined by the condition $\exp(\xi + \phi(\xi)) \in Q$ (for every vector $\xi \in V$, in the neighborhood of $O$, and the map $\phi$ is well defined).

Then $\phi(O) = O$ and

$$\phi(\xi) = R(\xi, \xi) + S(\xi, \xi, \xi) + o(3)$$

where

$$R : V \times V \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$$

$$S : V \times V \times V \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

are bilinear and trilinear symmetric maps. A base $<e_1, e_2, ..., e_N>$ is fixed in $\mathfrak{G}$ such that $<e_1, e_2, ..., e_n>$ generate $V$ i.e. $V = <e_1, e_2, ..., e_n>$ and $<e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, ..., e_N>$ generate $\mathfrak{h}$: $\mathfrak{h} = <e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, ..., e_N >$. Introduce in the local Lie group $G$ the following normal coordinates, the coordinate on the submanifold $Q$ which is the projection from $\exp V$, that is for all $x \in Q$, $x = (x^i)_{i=1,n}$, this mean $\exp(x^i e_i + \phi(x^i e_i)) = x \in Q$.

Introduce the map

$$Q \rightarrow V$$

$$x \mapsto \bar{x} = x^i e_i.$$ 

Then the condition written before is equivalent to

$$\bar{x} + \phi(\bar{x}) = x \in Q.$$
In what follows, we will compute the constructed coordinates, fixed on the submanifold $Q$.

It is known that the law of composition in a Lie group $G$ has the following representation up to the fourth order in the normal coordinates:

\[
a \cdot b = a + b + \frac{1}{2} [a, b] + \frac{1}{12} [a, [a, b]] + \frac{1}{12} [b, [b, a]] \\
- \frac{1}{48} [b, [a, [a, b]]] - \frac{1}{48} [a, [b, [a, b]]] + o(4).
\] (1.1)'

Consider the coordinate representation of the law of composition $\times$ for $y$: $x = (\mathbf{x})$ and $y = (\mathbf{y})$ in $Q$. We have:

\[
(x \times y) = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} + K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) + P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) + U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) + o(4)
\] (1.2)

(Our notation are similar to the notations of the work [7]).

Denote the right side in (1.2) by $z = (\mathbf{z})$. Then for its computation we obtain the equation

\[
\exp(\mathbf{z} + \phi(\mathbf{z})) = \exp(\mathbf{x} + \phi(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \exp(\mathbf{y} + \phi(\mathbf{y})) h
\] (1.3)

where $h$ is an element from $\mathfrak{h}$ in deed we have $h = h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

The following proposition holds:

**Proposition 1.1** We have:

\[
K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \prod [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]
\]

where $\prod [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ is the projection of the commutator $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ on $V$ parallel to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$.

\[
h(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] + \frac{1}{2} \prod [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] + 2 R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + o(2).
\]

Proof: we use the formulae (1.3). Comparing the terms from $V$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ and considering only the terms of first order we obtain:

\[
\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in V
\]

$h = a \in \mathfrak{h}$.

For computing the term of second order we denote

\[
\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} + K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in V
\]
\[ h = N(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) \in \mathfrak{h} \]

from (1.3) and considering (1.1) and (1.1)' we have:

\[ \mathfrak{x} + \mathfrak{y} + K(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) + R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}) + R(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}) + 2R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) = \mathfrak{x} + \mathfrak{y} + N(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) + R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}) + R(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}) + \frac{1}{2}[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] \]

then by comparing term from \( V \) and \( \mathfrak{h} \) and noting that:

\[ \frac{1}{2}[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] = \frac{1}{2} \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] + (\frac{1}{2}[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] - \frac{1}{2} \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}]) \]

hence

\[ K(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] \]

\[ h(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] + 2R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) \]

**Corollary 1.1:** from the proposition (1.1) it follows that

\[ (\mathfrak{x} \times \mathfrak{y}) = \mathfrak{x} + \mathfrak{y} + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] + o(2) \]

**Proposition 1.2** One can show:

\[ L(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) = -\frac{1}{6} \prod[\mathfrak{x}, [\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}]] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}), \mathfrak{y}] + \frac{1}{4} \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}]] + \prod[\mathfrak{x}, R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y})] \]

\[ M(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}) = \frac{1}{3} \prod[\mathfrak{y}, [\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{x}]] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\mathfrak{x}, R(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y})] - \frac{1}{4} \prod[\mathfrak{y}, \prod[\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{x}]] + \prod[\mathfrak{y}, R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y})] \]

\[ h(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] + 2R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) + R(\mathfrak{x}, \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}]) + 3S(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}) + \]

\[ \frac{1}{6} \Lambda[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}] - \frac{1}{4} \Lambda[\mathfrak{x}, \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}]] - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda[R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}), \mathfrak{y}] - \Lambda[\mathfrak{x}, R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y})] + \]

\[ + R(\mathfrak{y}, \prod[\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}]) + 3S(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}) - \frac{1}{3} \Lambda[\mathfrak{x}, [\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{x}]] + \]

\[ \frac{1}{4} \Lambda[\mathfrak{y}, \prod[\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{x}]] - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda[\mathfrak{x}, R(\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y})] - \Lambda[\mathfrak{y}, R(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y})] + 0(3) \]

where \( \Lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \) is the projection on \( \mathfrak{h} \) parallel to \( V \).
Proof. The proof is based on the direct computation.
Denote:
\[ z = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + L(x, x, y) + M(x, y, y) \]

and
\[ h(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[x, y] + 2R(x, y) + E(x, x, y) + F(x, y, y). \]

From (1.3) with the consideration of (1.1) and (1.1)’ we obtain the equation
\[ L(x, x, y) + M(x, y, y) + R(x, \prod[x, y]) + R(y, \prod[y, y]) + E(x, x, y) + F(x, y, y) + \]
\[ 3S(x, x, y) + S(y, y, y) + \ldots = \frac{1}{12}[x, [x, y]] + \frac{1}{12}[y, [y, x]] + \frac{1}{2} \prod{x, y} + \frac{1}{4} \prod[x, y] - \]
\[ \frac{1}{4}[x + y, [x, y]] + \frac{1}{2}[y, R(x, y)] + \frac{1}{2}[x, R(y, y)] + \ldots \]

Then by comparing term from \( V \) and \( h \) in the last identity we obtain the requirement for \( L(x, x, y) \), \( M(x, y, y) \) and \( h(x, y) \)

in addition
\[ E(x, x, y) = R(x, \prod[x, y]) + 3S(x, x, y) + \frac{1}{6} \Lambda[x, [x, y]] - \frac{1}{4} \Lambda[x, \prod[x, y]] - \]
\[ -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda[R(x, x), y] - \Lambda[x, R(x, y)] \] \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

\[ F(x, y, y) = R(y, \prod[y, y]) + 3S(x, x, y) - \frac{1}{3} \Lambda[y, [y, x]] + \frac{1}{4} \Lambda[y, \prod[y, x]] - \]
\[ -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda[y, R(y, y)] - \Lambda[y, R(x, y)] \] \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

Corollary 1.2: One can obtain:
\[ (x \times y) = x + y + \frac{1}{2} \prod[x, y] - \frac{1}{6} \prod[x, [x, y]] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[R(x, x), y] + \frac{1}{4} \prod[x, \prod[x, y]] + \]
\[ + \prod[x, R(x, y)] + \frac{1}{3} \prod[y, [y, x]] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[y, R(y, y)] - \]
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\[ -\frac{1}{4} \prod_{x} [x, R(x, y)] + \prod_{y} [y, R(x, y)] + o(3). \] (1.6)

For the computation of terms of fourth order, denote

\[ \tau = (1.6) + P(x, x, y) + Q(x, x, y, y) + U(x, y, y, y) \]

and for \( h \) to take terms of third order.

\[ P(x, x, x, y) + Q(x, x, y, y) + U(x, y, y, y) = [\tau + R(x, x) + S(x, x, x)] \cdot [y + R(y, y) + S(y, y, y)] \cdot (-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda[x, y] + 2R(x, y) + E(x, x, y) + F(x, y, y) + \ldots) \]

in the fourth order one needs to compute only the term in \( V \). Conducting the reasoning as in the past cases one obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
P(x, x, x, y) + Q(x, x, y, y) + U(x, y, y, y) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
[\tau + R(x, x) + S(x, x, x)] \cdot [y + R(y, y) + S(y, y, y)] + 2 [S(x, x, x, y)] - \frac{1}{48} [y, R(x, y)] \\
R(y, y) + S(y, y, y) + \frac{1}{2} [x, y] + \frac{1}{4} [R(x, y) + R(y, y)] + \frac{1}{4} [S(x, x, x, y)] + \frac{1}{4} [y, R(x, y)] - \frac{1}{48} [y, R(x, y)] \\
\end{array} \right. \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \prod_{x} [x, E(x, x, y)] + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{x} [x, F(x, x, y)] + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{y} [y, E(x, x, y)] + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{y} [y, F(x, y, y)] \end{align*}
\]

all the equality in the above expression are modulo \( h \).

Then the following proposition holds:
Proposition 1.3

\[
P(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod_{\bar{y}} S(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}) + \frac{1}{12} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{x}, -\frac{1}{12} \Lambda(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + 2R(\bar{x}, \bar{y})] + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{x}, E(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y})] \quad (1.7)
\]

\[
U(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\bar{y}} S(\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}) + \frac{1}{12} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{y}, -\frac{1}{12} \Lambda(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + 2R(\bar{x}, \bar{y})] + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{y}, F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y})] \quad (1.8)
\]

\[
Q(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{y}, E(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y})] + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{y}, F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y})] - \frac{1}{8} \prod_{\bar{y}} \prod_{\bar{x}} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{x}, [\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}]] + \frac{1}{12} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}] + \frac{1}{12} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\bar{y}} \prod_{\bar{y}} \prod_{\bar{x}} [\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] + \frac{1}{4} \prod_{\bar{y}} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}] - \frac{1}{48} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{y}, [\bar{x}, \bar{y}]] - \frac{1}{48} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{x}, [\bar{x}, \bar{y}]] \quad (1.9)
\]

Corollary 1.3:

\[
(\bar{x} \times \bar{y}) = \bar{x} + \bar{y} + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}] - \frac{1}{6} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}] + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\bar{y}} [R(\bar{x}, \bar{x}), \bar{y}] + \frac{1}{4} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}] + \prod_{\bar{x}} [\bar{x}, R(\bar{x}, \bar{x})] + \frac{1}{3} \prod_{\bar{x}} [\bar{x}, \bar{x}, R(\bar{x}, \bar{x})] + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{x}, \bar{y}, R(\bar{y}, \bar{y})] - \frac{1}{4} \prod_{\bar{y}} \prod_{\bar{x}} [\bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] + \prod_{\bar{y}} [\bar{y}, R(\bar{x}, \bar{y})] + P(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}) + Q(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}) + U(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}) + 0(4) \quad (1.10)
\]

Where \( P(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}), Q(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}) \) and \( U(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}) \) are from (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9)
2 Tensor structure of a smooth analytic loop

Let $<Q, \times, e>$ be a smooth analytic loop with the neutral element $e$. In a standard way see \[9\] on the Cartesian product $Q \times Q$ we introduce the structure of a three-webs $W$ such that the submanifold in the view of $\{a\} \times Q$ is a vertical foliations ($a \in Q$), $Q \times \{b\}$ is a horizontal foliations ($b \in Q$) and the set $\{(a, b) : a \times b = c = \text{conts}\}$ the foliations of the third family ($c \in Q$). In the coordinate $(x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^n, y^1, y^2, \ldots, y^n)$, the indicated foliations are described by the system of differential 1-forms \[11\].

\[
\omega^i_1 = \alpha, \omega^i_2 = \alpha, \omega^i_3 = \omega^i_1 + \omega^i_2 = 0
\]

where

\[
\omega^i_1 = P^i_\alpha dx^\alpha, \quad \omega^i_2 = Q^i_\beta dy^\beta,
\]

\[
P^i_\alpha(x, y) = \frac{\partial \mu^i}{\partial x^\alpha},
\]

\[
Q^i_\beta(x, y) = \frac{\partial \mu^i}{\partial y^\beta},
\]

\[
\mu^i(x, y) = (x \times y)^i
\]

In the space of a 3-Web $W$, introduce the so called Chern canonical connection

\[
\nabla = (\nabla^1, \nabla^2) \,[7, 21].
\]

The indicated connection is described by:

\[
\omega^j_k = \Gamma^k_{ij} \omega^i_1 + \Gamma^k_{ij} \omega^i_2,
\]

\[
\Gamma^k_{ij} = -\tilde{P}^i_\alpha \tilde{Q}^j_\beta \frac{\partial^2 \mu^k}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta}
\]

where $\tilde{P}^i_\alpha$ and $\tilde{Q}^j_\beta$ are inverse matrices for $P^i_\alpha$ and $Q^j_\beta$ respectively in terms of the following structural equations:

\[
d\omega^k_i = \omega^k_i \wedge \omega^l_i + a^k_{ij} \omega^i_1 \wedge \omega^j_1
\]

\[
d\omega^k_2 = \omega^k_2 \wedge \omega^l_2 - a^k_{ij} \omega^i_2 \wedge \omega^j_2
\]

\[
d\omega^k_j = \omega^j_i \wedge \omega^i_k + b^k_{jm} \omega^j_1 \wedge \omega^m_2
\]

where

\[
a^k_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mu^k}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta} (\tilde{P}^i_\alpha \tilde{Q}^j_\beta - \tilde{P}^j_\beta \tilde{Q}^i_\alpha)
\]

\[
b^k_{jm} = (-\frac{\partial^3 \mu^k}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta \partial y^\gamma} \tilde{P}^j_\beta + \frac{\partial^3 \mu^k}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta \partial y^\gamma} \tilde{Q}^j_\beta) \tilde{P}^i_\alpha \tilde{Q}^m_\alpha - \Gamma^k_{pm} \frac{\partial^2 \mu^p}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} \tilde{P}^i_\alpha \tilde{P}^j_\beta +
\]
The Chern connection in the 3-Web associated to the loop \( < Q, \times, e > \), admits an alternative description in terms of anti-product of the loop \( Q \) by itself \([14, 16]\). In the set \( Q \times Q \) introduce the covering loopuscular structure, by denoting for any pair \( X = (x, x') \), \( Y = (y, y') \), \( A(u, v) \)

\[
L(X, A, Y) = ((x(u)'yv))/v, u\((uy'/v)x') \tag{2.2}
\]

Then the Chern connection coincide with the connection tangent to the covering loopuscular structure \([16]\).

In particular, for any tensor field \( \Omega(u, v) \), in the space of 3-web \( W = Q \times Q \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_i^1 \Omega(u = e, v = e) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \left[ \left\{ (L(e,e)^{u,v})^{-1} \Omega(u, e) \right\} \right]_{u=e} \tag{2.3}, \\
\nabla_i^2 \Omega(u = e, v = e) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} \left[ \left\{ (L(e,e)^{e,v})^{-1} \Omega(e, v) \right\} \right]_{v=e}.
\end{align*}
\]

The value in the point \((e, e)\) of the 3-Web \( W = Q \times Q \) to the loop \( < Q, \times, e > \) the fundamental tensor field \( a_{jk}^i, b_{ijkl}^i \) and their corresponding derivations \( \nabla_i, \nabla_i^j \) are called the structure tensors of the loop. The structure tensor of the smooth loop \( < Q, \times, e > \) defined uniquely by its construction up to isomorphism \([7, 11, 12, 21]\).

**Proposition 2.1** \([1, 21]\) The following relations hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_i^1 a_{jk}^i &= b_{[j][k]}^i \tag{2.4} \\
\nabla_i^2 a_{jk}^i &= b_{[j]k[i]}^i \tag{2.5}.
\end{align*}
\]

For the proof of the proposition, it’s sufficient to consider the first differential expression of the system (2.1).

Introduce the notation

\[
\begin{align*}
&c_{ijklm}^i = \nabla_m b_{ijkl}^i|_{(e,e)} \\
&d_{ijklm}^i = \nabla_m b_{[j]k[i]}^i|_{(e,e)}.
\end{align*}
\]
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And consider the proposition (1.2). The law of composition \((\times)\) of the smooth local loop \(<Q, \times, e>\) in the coordinate \(x = (\pi)\) centralized at the point \(e\), is given by:

\[
(x \times y) = \pi + \eta + K(\pi, \eta) + L(\pi, \pi, \eta) + M(\pi, \eta, \eta) + P(\pi, \pi, \pi, \eta) + Q(\pi, \pi, \eta, \eta) + U(\pi, \eta, \eta, \eta) + o(4).
\]

Consider \(<Q, \times, e>\) as a coordinate loop of the 3-Web \(W\), defined in the neighbourhood of the point \((e,e)\) of the manifold \(Q \times Q\). Then in conformity with [7, 20] the basic tensor of the web can be expressed in terms of coefficient of the decomposition of the loop in the following way:

\[
a(\pi, \eta) = -K(\pi, \eta),
b(\pi, \eta, \tau) = -B(\eta, \pi, \tau) \tag{2.6}
\]

\[
a(\pi, \eta, \tau, \iota) = (4Q - 6P)(\eta, \pi, \iota, \tau) + a(\iota, b(\pi, \eta, \tau, \iota)) + a(\eta, b(\pi, \pi, \tau, \iota))
\]

\[-b(\pi, a(\iota, \eta, \tau)) + a(2L(\eta, \pi, \pi, \iota), \tau) - 2L(a(\pi, \eta), \tau, \iota)
\]

\[-2L(\eta, a(\pi, \tau), \iota) - 2L(\eta, a(\pi, \et)) \tag{2.7}
\]

\[
d(\pi, \eta, \tau, \iota) = (4Q - 6P)(\eta, \pi, \iota, \tau) - a(b(\pi, \eta, \pi, \tau), \iota) - a(b(\pi, \eta, \iota, \tau), \pi) +
\]

\[+b(\pi, a(\iota, \eta, \tau)) + a(\eta, 2M(\pi, \pi, \iota, \tau)) - 2M(a(\eta, \pi), \tau, \iota) -
\]

\[-2M(\eta, a(\pi, \tau), \iota) - 2M(\eta, a(\pi, \et)) \tag{2.8}
\]

where

\[
B(\pi, \eta, \tau) = 2L(\pi, \eta, \tau) - 2M(\pi, \pi, \tau) - K(\pi, K(\eta, \tau)) + K(K(\pi, \eta), \tau) \tag{2.10}
\]
3 Structure tensor of a smooth local loop, Embedding in Lie group

Let $< Q, \times, e >$ be a local smooth loop, the embedding in the Lie group $G$ as a section of left coset $G \text{mod} H$, where $H$ is a closed subgroup in $G$. In what follows, we will consider that $< Q, \times, e >$, is referred to the normal coordinates $X = (\bar{x})$.

**Proposition 3.1** The following relations holds:

$$a(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod [\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$$

(3.1)

$$b(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod [\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}] + \frac{1}{2} \prod [\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}] - 2 \prod [R(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{z}]$$

(3.2)

**Proof:** The first relation follows from the proposition 1.1 and the relation (2.6). In the relation (2.10) we have:

$$B(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = 2L(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) - 2M(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) - K(\bar{x}, K(\bar{y}, \bar{z})) + K(K(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{z})$$

and from the proposition 1.2 we have:

$$2L(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = -\frac{1}{6} \prod [\bar{x}, [\bar{y}, \bar{z}]] + \prod [R(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{z}] + \frac{1}{4} \prod [\bar{x}, \prod [\bar{y}, \bar{z}]] - \frac{1}{6} \prod [\bar{y}, [\bar{x}, \bar{y}]] +$$

$$+ \prod [\bar{x}, R(\bar{y}, \bar{z})] + \prod [\bar{y}, R(\bar{x}, \bar{z})] + \frac{1}{4} \prod [\bar{y}, \prod [\bar{x}, \bar{z}]]$$

$$2M(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{3} \prod [\bar{y}, [\bar{x}, \bar{z}]] + \prod [\bar{x}, R(\bar{y}, \bar{z})] - \frac{1}{4} \prod [\bar{y}, \prod [\bar{x}, \bar{z}]] + \frac{1}{3} \prod [\bar{x}, [\bar{y}, \bar{x}]] +$$

$$+ \prod [\bar{y}, R(\bar{x}, \bar{z})] + \prod [\bar{x}, R(\bar{y}, \bar{y})] - \frac{1}{4} \prod [\bar{x}, \prod [\bar{y}, \bar{z}]]$$
further more
\[ K(\bar{x}, K(\bar{y}, \bar{z})) = \frac{1}{4} \prod [\bar{x}, \prod [\bar{y}, \bar{z}]]. \]

\[ K(K(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{z})) = \frac{1}{4} \prod [\prod [\bar{x}, \bar{y}], \bar{z}]. \]

Substituting these expressions in \( B(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \), we obtain:
\[ B(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod [\bar{x}, \bar{y}], \bar{z}] + 1 \prod [\prod [\bar{x}, \bar{y}], \bar{z}] + 2 \prod [R(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{z}]. \]

but from (2.6) we have \( b(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = -B(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{z}). \)
Hence :
\[ b(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod [\bar{x}, \bar{y}], \bar{z}] - \frac{1}{2} \prod [\prod [\bar{x}, \bar{y}], \bar{z}] - 2 \prod [R(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \bar{z}]. \]

Let \( \Omega \) be one of the structural tensor of the loop \( Q \), and consider the expression of the fundamental tensor field \( \Omega(u, v) \) in the space of three-webs \( W = Q \times Q \). Then \( \Omega = \Omega(u = e, v = e) \) and for \( \nabla, \Omega(u = e, v = e) \) the formulae obtained in (2.3) hold.

Consider the computation of \( \nabla, \Omega(u = e, v = e) \), the value of the tensor field \( \Omega(u, v) \) for \( v = e \) can be seen as the structure of the smooth local loop \( Q, \times, u > \)
where 
\[ x \times y = x \times (u \backslash y). \]

As a result, \( \nabla \) is transported from \( T_u Q \) in \( T_e Q \) by means of the inverse transformation \( R_u \), which coincide with the structure of the tensor \( \tilde{\Omega}_u \) and the smooth local loop \( Q, u \cdot, e > \) with the operation:
\[ x \cdot u = u \backslash((u \times x) \times y). \] (3.3)

So that
\[ \nabla, \Omega(u = e, v = e) = \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_u}{\partial u^v}|_{u = e}. \]
in addition the law of composition (3.3) allow an intuitive algebraic interpretation in terms of the enveloping Lie group \( G \).

Consider the section \( Q'_u = Q \cdot u^{-1} \) of the coset space \( G/\widetilde{H}_u \) where \( \widetilde{H}_u = u \cdot H \cdot u^{-1} \), \( u \in Q \) and the map:
\[ \Psi_u : Q \rightarrow Q'_u \]
\[ x \mapsto (u \times x) \times u^{-1}. \]
Denote by \((\ast)\) the law of composition in \(Q'_{u}\), so that:

\[a \ast b = \prod_{u} (ab)\]

where

\[\prod_{u} : G \rightarrow Q'_{u}\] is the projection on \(Q'_{u}\) parallel to \(\tilde{H}_u\). The following proposition hold.

**Proposition 3.2** The map \(\Psi_{u} : Q \rightarrow Q'_{u}\) is an isomorphism of the smooth loops \(<Q, \cdot, e>\) and \(<Q'_{u}, \ast, e>\)

**Proof:**

Let \(a = \Psi_{u}x\), \(b = \Psi_{u}y\) and \(a \ast b = \Psi_{u}z\)

where \(x, y, z \in Q\).

Then

\[a \ast b = \prod_{u} (ab) = \prod_{u} ((u \times x) \cdot u^{-1} \cdot (u \times y) \cdot u^{-1})\]

\[(a \ast b) \times u \cdot h \cdot u^{-1} = (u \times x)u^{-1} \cdot (u \times y) \cdot u^{-1}.\]

Multiplying by \(u\) obtain:

\[(a \ast b) \times u \cdot h = (u \times x) \times y.\]

Applying the projection to the last equality, we obtain

\[(a \ast b) \times u = (u \times x) \times y.\]

Furthermore

\[(a \ast b) \times u = (\Psi_{u}z) \times u = (u \times z) \cdot u^{-1} \times u = (u \times x) \times y.\]

Then \(z = u \setminus (u \times x) \times y\) and

\[(a \ast b) = (\Psi_{u}x) \ast (\Psi_{u}y) = \Psi_{u}z = \Psi_{u}\{(u \times x) \times y\} = \Psi_{u}(x \cdot y).\]

Therefore \(\Psi_{u}(x \cdot y) = (\Psi_{u}x) \ast (\Psi_{u}y)\).

Hence the result.

Similarly we establish that:

\[\nabla_{\ast} \Omega(u = e, v = e) = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial v^t}|_{v=e}\]
where $\tilde{\Omega}$ correspond to the structure tensor of the local loop $<Q, \frac{1}{v}, e >$ with the composition law:

$$x\frac{1}{v}y = (x \times (y \times v))/v. \quad (3.4)$$

The law of composition (3.4) allows us to find an algebraic interpretation in terms of the enveloping Lie group $G$.

Let us introduce in consideration the subgroup $H''_v = vHv^{-1}$ where $v \in Q$. The following proposition holds:

Proposition 3.3

$$x\frac{1}{v}y = \prod_v (xy)$$

for all $x, y \in Q$

where

$$\prod''_v : G \rightarrow Q$$

is the projection on $Q$ parallel to $H''_v$.

Proof:

In the Lie group $G$ we have $xy = (x \perp y) \times vhv^{-1}$ which is equivalent to $xy \cdot v = (x \perp y) \times vh$. Applying $\prod$ to the last formula we get

$$x \times (y \times v) = (x \perp y) \times v.$$ 

Therefore $x \perp y = x \times (y \times v)/v$.

4 Application: Computation of $\nabla_l a^i_{jk}$ and $\nabla_l a^i_{jk}$

I: Computation of $\nabla_l a^i_{jk}$

For $u \in Q$, introduce the map

$$Ad_u : G \rightarrow G$$

$$x \mapsto u xu^{-1}.$$ 

Let $u = \exp \zeta$, where $\zeta \in Q$ and $g \in H$. Then

$$Ad_u(g) = ugu^{-1} = Ad(\exp \zeta)(g) = \exp(ad(\zeta))(g)$$

$$= g + [\zeta, g] + o(\zeta) \quad (4.1)$$

and $g + [\zeta, g] + o(\zeta) \in H''_u$, where $H''_u = uHu^{-1}$. 
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Let $\prod'': \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_eQ$ be the projection on $T_eQ$ parallel to $h''_u$ and $\exp h''_u = H''_u$.

By fixing $\xi, \eta$ from $\mathfrak{g}$, we find that

$$[\xi, \eta] = \prod[\xi, \eta] + h_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)$$

$$[\xi, \eta] = \prod''[\xi, \eta] + h_2$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.3)$$

where $h_1 \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}''_u$. From (4.1) we obtain that $h_2$ has the form $h_2 = h_1 + \hat{h}(\zeta) + [\zeta, h_1] + o(\zeta)$, where $\hat{h}(\zeta) \in \mathfrak{h}''_u$. From (4.2) and (4.3) it follows that:

$$\prod''[\xi, \eta] = [\xi, \eta] - h_2 = \prod[\xi, \eta] - \hat{h}(\zeta) - [\zeta, h_1] + o(\zeta)$$

$$= \prod[\xi, \eta] - \prod[\zeta, h_1] + o(\zeta).$$

But from (4.2), we have $h_1 = [\xi, \eta] - \prod[\xi, \eta]$. It follows that

$$\prod''[\xi, \eta] = \prod[\xi, \eta] - \prod[\zeta, [\xi, \eta]] + \prod[\zeta, \prod[\xi, \eta]] + o(\zeta)$$

$$= \prod[\xi, \eta] + \prod[[\xi, \eta], \zeta] - \prod(\prod[\xi, \eta], \zeta] + o(\zeta).$$

Denote by $a''_u(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod''[\xi, \eta]$. Then

$$a''_u(\xi, \eta) = a(\xi, \eta) - \frac{1}{2} \prod[[\xi, \eta]] + \frac{1}{2} \prod(\prod[\xi, \eta], \zeta].$$

Finally we have:

$$\nabla^2 a''_{jk} \xi^j \eta^k \zeta^l = \frac{d}{dt} \left( a''_{\exp t \zeta}(\xi, \eta) \right)_{t=0} = -\frac{1}{2} \prod[[\xi, \eta]] + \frac{1}{2} \prod(\prod[\xi, \eta], \zeta].$$

We obtain a result in conformity with proposition 2.1 and the relation (3.2) in deed, from the relation (3.2)

$$b(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = -\frac{1}{2} [\xi, \eta], \zeta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod(\prod[\xi, \eta], \zeta] - 2 \prod[R(\xi, \eta), \zeta].$$

From which we find

$$\frac{1}{2} [b(\xi, \eta, \zeta) - b(\eta, \xi, \zeta)] = -\frac{1}{2} \prod[[\xi, \eta], \zeta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod(\prod[\xi, \eta], \zeta].$$
so that \( \nabla_{j} a^{i}_{jk} = b^{i}_{(jk)} \).

II: Computation of \( \nabla_{j} a^{i}_{jk} \)

Let us introduce the map:

\[
\Psi_{u} : Q \rightarrow Q'_{u}
\]

\[
x \mapsto (u \times x)u^{-1}.
\]

Then \( d\Psi_{u}|_{e} : T_{e}Q \rightarrow T_{e}Q'_{u} \). Then the following proposition holds:

**Proposition 4.1** The map defined from the tangent space \( T_{e}Q \) to tangent space \( T_{e}Q'_{u} \) is defined as follows:

\[
d\Psi_{u}|_{e} : T_{e}Q \rightarrow T_{e}Q'_{u}
\]

\[
\xi \mapsto \xi + \frac{1}{2}[u, \xi] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[u, \xi] + 2R(u, \xi) + o(u).
\]

**Proof.** For the proof of this proposition, using the notion from section 2 and the relation (1.3) we have \( u \times \xi = (u \cdot \xi) \cdot h \) but from the proposition 1.4

\[
h(u, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2}[u, \xi] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[u, \xi] + 2R(u, \xi) + o(u)
\]

Thus

\[
u \times \xi = (u \cdot \xi) \cdot h = u + \xi + \frac{1}{2} \prod[u, \xi] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[u, \xi] + 2R(u, \xi) + o(u)
\]

and

\[
(u \times \xi) \times u^{-1} = u + \xi + \frac{1}{2} \prod[u, \xi] + 2R(u, \xi) - u - \frac{1}{2} \xi + \frac{1}{2}[u, \xi] + 2R(u, \xi) + o(u)
\]

Let \( \tilde{\prod} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T_{e}Q' \) be the projection on \( T_{e}Q' \) parallel to \( \tilde{h}_{u}' \) where \( \exp \tilde{h}_{u}' = uH_{u}u^{-1} \).

Then we obtain the equation

\[
\omega + h_{1} = \omega' + h_{1}' + [u, h_{1}']
\]

with \( \omega \in T_{e}Q, h_{1} \in \mathfrak{h}, \omega' \in T_{e}Q', h_{1}' \in \mathfrak{h} \).

For the computation of \( \omega' = \omega'(u, \omega) \).

From the proposition (4.1) we have:

\[
\omega + h_{1} = \tilde{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \prod[u, \tilde{\omega}] + \frac{1}{2} [u, \tilde{\omega}] + 2R(u, \tilde{\omega}) + h_{1}' + [u, h_{1}'] + o(u)
\]
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where \( \tilde{\omega} \in T_eQ \), so that:

\[
\tilde{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_u[u, \tilde{\omega}] + \frac{1}{2} [u, \tilde{\omega}] + 2R(u, \tilde{\omega}) = \omega'
\]

It follows that:

\[
\omega = \tilde{\omega} + \Pi_u[u, \tilde{\omega}] + [u, h_1]
\]

\( h_1 = h'_1 + \text{terms with } u \)

from which

\[
\tilde{\omega} = \omega - \Pi_u[u, \omega] - [u, h_1]
\]

\( h'_1 = h_1 + \text{term with } u \)

Then substituting in \( \omega' \) the expression from \( \tilde{\omega} \) we obtain that:

\[
\omega' = \omega - \Pi_u[u, \omega] - \Pi_u[u, h_1] + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_u[u, h_1] + \frac{1}{2} [u, \omega] + 2R(u, \omega) + o(u)
\]

\[
= \omega + \frac{1}{2} [u, \omega] - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_u[u, \omega] - \Pi_u[u, h_1] + 2R(u, \omega) + o(u)
\]

from which we find that

\[
\Pi_u(\omega + h_1) = \omega' = \omega + \frac{1}{2} [u, \omega] - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_u[u, \omega] + 2R(u, \omega) - \Pi_u[u, h_1]. \quad (4.4)
\]

Now let us compute

\[
\tilde{\alpha}_u(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{2} (d\Psi)^{-1} \Pi_u [d\Psi_{\xi}, d\Psi_{\eta}]
\]

where \( \xi, \eta \in T_eQ \)

\[
(d\Psi)^{-1} \Pi_u [d\Psi_{\xi}, d\Psi_{\eta}] = (d\Psi)^{-1} \Pi_u \left[ \xi + \frac{1}{2} [u, \xi] + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_u [u, \xi] + 2R(u, \xi), \eta + \frac{1}{2} [u, \eta] + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_u [u, \eta] + 2R(u, \eta) \right]
\]

\[
= (d\Psi)^{-1} \Pi_u \left\{ [\xi, \eta] + \frac{1}{2} [\xi, [u, \eta]] + \frac{1}{2} [\xi, \Pi_u [u, \eta]] + 2[\xi, R(u, \eta)] - \frac{1}{2} [\eta, [u, \xi]] - \frac{1}{2} [\eta, \Pi_u [u, \xi]] - 2[\eta, R(u, \xi)] \right\}
\]

\[
= (d\Psi)^{-1} \Pi_u \left\{ [\xi, \eta] + \frac{1}{2} [\xi, [u, \eta]] + \frac{1}{2} [\xi, \Pi_u [u, \eta]] + 2[\xi, R(u, \eta)] - \frac{1}{2} [\eta, [u, \xi]] - \frac{1}{2} [\eta, \Pi_u [u, \xi]] - 2[\eta, R(u, \xi)] + 2R(u, \Pi_u [\xi, \eta]) - \Pi_u [u, [\xi, \eta]] + \Pi_u [u, \Pi_u [\xi, \eta]] \right\}
\]
\[
= \prod[\xi, \eta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\xi, \sigma(u, \eta)] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\xi, \sigma(\eta, R(u, \eta))] - \frac{1}{2} \prod[\eta, \sigma(u, \xi)] - \frac{1}{2} \prod[\eta, \sigma(\xi, u)] - \prod[\sigma(\xi, \eta), \sigma(\eta, \xi)] = \\
= \prod[\xi, \eta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\xi, \sigma(\eta, \xi)] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\xi, \sigma(u, \eta)] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\xi, \sigma(\eta, u)] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\eta, \sigma(u, \xi)] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\eta, \sigma(\xi, u)] - \prod[\sigma(\xi, \eta), \sigma(\eta, \xi)] \\
\]
where
\[
\tilde{\alpha}(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod[\xi, \eta] - \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\eta, \xi), \eta] - \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\xi, \eta), \eta] + \prod[R(u, \eta), \xi] - \prod[R(u, \xi), \eta] + \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\eta, \xi), \eta] - \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\xi, \eta), \eta] + \prod[R(u, \eta), \xi] + \prod[R(u, \xi), \eta].
\]

From this last equation it follows that:
\[
\frac{1}{4} \partial_t \sigma^i_j k \xi^k \xi^l = \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\alpha}_{\sigma^i_j k} (\xi, \eta)|_{t=0} = -\frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\eta, \xi), \eta] + \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\xi, \eta), \eta] - \prod[R(\xi, \eta), \eta] + \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\eta, \xi), \eta] - \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\xi, \eta), \eta] + \prod[R(\eta, \xi), \eta].
\]

We obtain a result in conformity with proposition 2.1 and the relation (3.2) in deed from the formulae (3.2) it follows:
\[
\frac{1}{2} [\sigma(\xi, \eta) - \sigma(u, \eta)] = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \prod[\sigma(\eta, \xi), \eta] + \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\xi, \eta), \eta] - 2 \prod[R(\xi, \eta), \eta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\sigma(\eta, \xi), \eta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\sigma(\xi, \eta), \eta] + 2 \prod[R(\eta, \xi), \eta] \right\} = \\
= \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\eta, \xi), \eta] + \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\xi, \eta), \eta] - \prod[R(\xi, \eta), \eta] + \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\eta, \xi), \eta] + \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\xi, \eta), \eta] - \prod[R(\eta, \xi), \eta] \\
= \frac{1}{4} \prod[\sigma(\eta, \xi), \eta] + \prod[R(\eta, \xi), \eta].
\]
Therefore
\[
\frac{1}{4} \partial_t \sigma^i_j k = b^i_{jkl}.
\]
\section{Computation of the tensor $d^i_{jklm}$}

Denote $u \cdot R(\eta, \eta) \cdot u^{-1}$ by $R^m_{\eta}(\eta, \eta)$. For the computation of $d^i_{jklm}$ let us firstly compute $R^m_{\eta}(\eta, \eta)$. 
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The following proposition holds:

**Proposition 5.1**

\[ R_u''(\eta, \eta) = R(\eta, \eta) + \prod [u, R(\eta, \eta)] + 0(u, \eta^2) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.1) \]

The proof of this proposition, is from section 1. It is clear that \( \xi + \phi(\xi) \in Q \) and from section 4 \( h''_u = h_1 + [u, h_1] + 0(u) \) where \( h_1 \in h \). Furthermore \( \eta + R_u''(\eta, \eta) \in Q \) but \( R_u''(\eta, \eta) \in h''_u \) that is why \( R_u''(\eta, \eta) \) can be represented as \( R_u''(\eta, \eta) = h_1 + [u, h_1] + 0(u) \), where \( h_1 = R_u''(\eta, \eta) - [u, R_u''(\eta, \eta)] + 0(u) \). Let us write \( \eta + R_u''(\eta, \eta) \) as:

\[
\eta + R_u''(\eta, \eta) = \left\{ (\eta + \prod [u, R_u''(\eta, \eta)]) + (R_u''(\eta, \eta) - [u, R_u''(\eta, \eta)]) + ([u, R_u''(\eta, \eta)] - \prod [u, R_u''(\eta, \eta)]) \right\}
\]

Put \( \eta + \prod [u, R_u''(\eta, \eta)] = \xi \) then

\[
\phi(\xi) = R_u''(\eta, \eta) - [u, R_u''(\eta, \eta)] + \prod [u, R_u''(\eta, \eta)] - \prod [u, R_u''(\eta, \eta)] + 0(u)
\]

From the relation (1.1) we have \( \phi(\xi) = R(\xi, \xi) + S(\xi, \xi, \xi) + 0(3) \)

Therefore by comparing the term on the right hand sides of the last two relation, we obtain:

\[ R_u''(\eta, \eta) = R(\eta, \eta) + \prod [u, R(\eta, \eta)] + 0(u, \eta^2). \]

Let \( \prod''_u : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow V = T_2 Q \) be the projection of \( \mathfrak{G} \) to \( V \) parallel to \( h''_u \). Then we obtain the equation

\[ \xi + \bar{h} = \bar{\xi} + h_1 + [u, h_1] \]

where \( \xi, \bar{\xi} \in V \) and \( \bar{h}, h_1 \in h \) for the search of \( \bar{\xi} = \bar{\xi}(\xi, u) \) we have

\[ \xi + \bar{h} = \bar{\xi} + h_1 + \prod [u, h_1] + ([u, h_1] - \prod [u, h_1]) \]

where

\[ \xi = \bar{\xi} + \prod [u, h_1] \]

\[ \bar{h} = h_1 + [u, h_1] - \prod [u, h_1] = h_1 + \text{terms with } u. \]

From these two equalities we obtain

\[ \bar{\xi} = \xi - \prod [u, \bar{h}] + 0(u). \]

Hence

\[ \prod''_u (\xi + \bar{h}) = \xi - \prod [u, \bar{h}]. \] \hspace{1cm} (5.2) \]
We pass now to the computation of $d^i_{jklm}$.

From (3.2) it follows that

$$b(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod [\xi, \eta, \zeta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod \prod [\xi, \eta, \zeta] - 2 \prod [R(\xi, \eta, \zeta)]$$

that is why

$$b''_{u}(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod' [\xi, \eta, \zeta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod' \prod [\xi, \eta, \zeta] - 2 \prod' [R''_{u}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)].$$

From (5.2) it follows that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \prod''_{u} [\xi, \eta, \zeta] = -\frac{1}{2} \prod [\xi, \eta, \zeta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod [u, [\xi, \eta, \zeta]] - \frac{1}{2} \prod [u, \prod [\xi, \eta, \zeta]].$$

Further more

$$\frac{1}{2} \prod''_{u} [\xi, \eta, \zeta] = \frac{1}{2} \prod''_{u} [\xi, \eta, \zeta] - \frac{1}{2} \prod''_{u} \prod [u, [\xi, \eta, \zeta]] + \frac{1}{2} \prod''_{u} \prod [u, \prod [\xi, \eta, \zeta]] =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \prod [\prod [\xi, \eta, \zeta]] - \frac{1}{2} \prod [u, \prod [\xi, \eta, \zeta]] +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \prod [u, \prod [\xi, \eta, \zeta]] + o(u).$$

Finally from (5.1) and (5.2) it follows that:

$$-2 \prod'' [R''_{u}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)] = -2 \prod'' [R_{u}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)] - 2 \prod'' [u, R(\xi, \eta, \zeta)]$$

$$= -2 \prod [R(\xi, \eta, \zeta)] + 2 \prod [u, R(\xi, \eta, \zeta)] - 2 \prod [u, \prod [R(\xi, \eta, \zeta)] -$$

$$-2 \prod [u, R(\xi, \eta, \zeta), zeta] + o(4).$$

from (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5) it follows

$$d(\xi, \eta, \zeta, \tau) = \frac{2}{m} \delta_{jklm} |_{(e, e)} \xi^{j} \eta^{k} \zeta^{l} \tau^{m} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( b''_{\exp \tau} (\xi, \eta, \zeta) \right) |_{t=0} =$$
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In the theory of 3-Webs \([1, 20, 22]\) the following relation is known:

\[
\begin{align*}
&= \frac{1}{2} \prod [\tau, [[\xi, \eta], \zeta]] - \frac{1}{2} \prod [\tau, \prod[[\xi, \eta], \zeta]] - \frac{1}{2} \prod [\tau, \prod[\xi, \eta], \zeta]] + \frac{1}{2} \prod [\tau, \prod[\xi, \eta], \zeta]] - \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \prod [\prod [\tau, [\xi, \eta]], \zeta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod [\prod [\tau, \prod [\xi, \eta]], \zeta] + 2 \prod [\tau, [R(\xi, \eta), \zeta]] - \\
&\quad - 2 \prod [\tau, \prod [R(\xi, \eta), \zeta]] - 2 \prod \prod [\tau, R(\xi, \eta)], \zeta].
\end{align*}
\]

(5.6)

In the theory of 3-Webs \([1, 20, 22]\) the following relation is known:

\[
d^i_{jk(lm)} = -b_{jk}^i a_{lm}^i.
\]

Let us verify it:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}(d(\xi, \eta, \zeta, \tau) - d(\xi, \eta, \tau, \zeta)) &= \frac{1}{4} \prod [\tau, [[\xi, \eta], \zeta]] - \frac{1}{4} \prod [\tau, [[\xi, \eta], \tau]] - \frac{1}{4} \prod [\tau, R(\xi, \eta), \zeta]] + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \prod [\tau, \prod [\xi, \eta], \zeta]] - \frac{1}{4} \prod [\tau, \prod [\xi, \eta], \tau]] + \frac{1}{4} \prod [\tau, \prod [\xi, \eta], \zeta]] - \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \prod [\tau, \prod [R(\xi, \eta), \zeta]] + \frac{1}{4} \prod [\tau, \prod [R(\xi, \eta), \tau]] - \frac{1}{4} \prod [\tau, \prod [R(\xi, \eta), \zeta]] - \\
&\quad - \prod [\tau, \prod [R(\xi, \eta), \zeta]] + \prod [\zeta, \prod [R(\xi, \eta), \tau]] - \prod [\tau, \prod [R(\xi, \eta), \zeta]] + \prod [\tau, \prod [R(\xi, \eta), \tau]] = \\
&\quad = - \frac{1}{4} \prod [[\xi, \eta], [\zeta, \tau]] + \frac{1}{4} \prod \prod [\xi, \eta], [\zeta, \tau]] - \prod [R(\xi, \eta), [\zeta, \tau]].
\end{align*}
\]

In addition, considering that

\[
[\zeta, \tau] = \prod [\zeta, \tau] + ([\zeta, \tau] - \prod [\zeta, \tau]).
\]

One obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}(d(\xi, \eta, \zeta, \tau) - d(\xi, \eta, \tau, \zeta)) &= - \frac{1}{4} \prod [[\xi, \eta], [\zeta, \tau]] + \frac{1}{4} \prod \prod [\xi, \eta], [\zeta, \tau]] - \\
&\quad - \prod [R(\xi, \eta), [\zeta, \tau]].
\end{align*}
\]
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From relations (3.1) and (3.2) it follows that:

\[ b(\xi, \eta, a(\zeta, \tau)) = \frac{1}{2} b(\xi, \eta, \prod[\zeta, \tau]) = -\frac{1}{4} \prod[[\xi, \eta], \prod[\zeta, \tau]] + \frac{1}{4} \prod[[\prod[\xi, \eta], \prod[\zeta, \tau]] - \prod[R(\xi, \eta), \prod[\zeta, \tau]]. \]

Hence \( d_{jk[m]}^i = -b_{jk[p]}^i a_{tm}^p \)

6 Hexagonal loops

The analytic hexagonal 3-Webs and their corresponding loops can be characterised by the following condition:

\[ b_{i(jk)}^i = 0 \]

where \( b(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = -\frac{1}{2} \prod[[\xi, \eta], \zeta] + \frac{1}{2} \prod[\prod[\xi, \eta], \zeta] - 2 \prod[R(\xi, \eta), \zeta] \)

that is way, \( b_{i(jk)}^i = 0 \) is equivalent to the following condition

\[ \prod[R(\xi, \eta), \zeta] + \prod[R(\eta, \zeta), \xi] + \prod[R(\zeta, \xi), \eta] = 0 \quad (6.1) \]

which can be written as follows:

\[ \sigma_{\xi\eta\zeta} \prod[R(\xi, \eta), \zeta] = 0 \]

where \( \sigma \) is the cyclic sum for \( \xi, \eta, \zeta \)

We have furthermore, for the hexagonal three-Webs the following relation

\[ d_{i(jk)m}^i = 0. \]

Considering (5.6) and (6.1) one obtain

\[ \sigma_{\xi\eta\zeta} \prod \left\{ [\tau, R(\xi, \eta), \zeta] - \prod[\tau, R(\xi, \eta)], \zeta] \right\} = 0. \]

where \( \sigma \) is the cyclic sum for \( \xi, \eta, \zeta \)
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