A clinical study of management of unstable Intertrochanteric Fractures with Proximal Femoral Nail
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Abstract
The objective of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of proximal femoral nail in the management of unstable intertrochanteric fractures of the hip in different age group and to determine the clinical, radiological and functional outcomes at the end of 6 months of follow up.

Methods: This is a prospective study was done in the Department of Orthopedics Kakatiya Medical College and Mahatma Gandhi Hospital, Warangal. The patients with intertrochanteric fractures underwent surgical treatment with the proximal femoral nail with a follow-up period of 12 months. A standard surgical approach was used during the operative procedure Quadriceps physiotherapy: Strengthening exercises, Static quadriceps exercise, and calf pumping are started soon, followed by knee and ankle mobilization on post-op day 1. Sutures were removed on 12th post-Operative day. Patients were advised to walk non-weight bearing as soon as tolerable depending upon the fracture pattern.

Results: The total number of cases in this study was n=25 of which n= 16(64%) were males and n=9(36%) were females. Boyd and Griffin Classification of intertrochanteric fractures in the study shows type II were found the most common involving n=14 (56%) of the patients followed by type III n=5(20%). The type I were found in n=4(16%) and type IV was found in n=2(8%) The Harris hip score at the end of 6 months revealed excellent scores in 68% of the patients good score in 24%, fair score in 4% and poor score in 4% of the patients.

Conclusion: proximal femoral nail is a good choice in cases of unstable intertrochanteric fractures of femur because it serves a buttress against the lateral translation of the proximal fragments. It also replaces the comminuted lateral wall which is useful in unstable fractures. The implant temporarily compensates for the function of the medial column.
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Introduction
Intertrochanteric fractures are one of the common types of hip fractures. The anatomic locations of these types of fractures are in the upper proximal part of the femur. The proximal femur consists of the femoral head, neck, and the trochanteric region. An intertrochanteric hip fracture occurs between the greater trochanter, at the attachment
of gluteus medius and gluteus minimus and lesser trochanter at the attachment of iliopsoas muscle. Intertrochanteric femoral fractures are common in the elderly patient and are the most frequently operated fractures and have the highest morbidity and mortality rates \(^\text{[1, 2]}\). The frequency of these fractures is on risk due to rise in aging population and sedentary lifestyles mostly due to trivial trauma. In the young population, the cause of trochanteric fractures is often related to high-velocity trauma. Gulberg et al; have predicted that the total number of hip fractures may rise to 2.6 million by 2025 and 4.5 million by 2050 \(^\text{[3]}\). Due to osteoporosis and poor bone quality, achieving stable fixation in elderly patients is quite difficult 4 Stable fixations and early mobilization is the principle of treatment of such fractures \(^\text{[5, 6]}\). Conservative management of trochanteric fractures also results in acceptable results and union of fractures. However, if suitable precautions are not taken then fractures undergo malunion, leading to varus and external rotation deformity at the fracture site and shortening of limb and limitation of movements of the hip. Immobilization of older patients is associated with problems like DVT, hypostatic pneumonia, catheter sepsis, cardiorespiratory failure, decubitus ulcer, and joint contractures. Therefore, early mobilization is required to reduce and prevent co-morbidities associated with such fracture treatment. Studies have shown that prolonged immobilization is associated with increased mortality rates following conservative treatment\(^\text{[7]}\). The most preferred method for treatment for intertrochanteric fractures is surgery except in cases of terminal illnesses, unresolved life-threatening medical comorbidities \(^\text{[2]}\). Various implants are available for the treatment of intertrochanteric femur fractures with their advantages and disadvantages. Sliding hip screw or dynamic hip screw is associated with more blood loss, soft tissue dissections which may deteriorate the preexisting morbidities excessive medialization of the distal fragment (unstable fracture), cutting out of the screw and collapse upon weight-bearing are also major drawbacks \(^\text{[8-10]}\). Gamma nail is associated with cutting out of the screw, thigh pain and femoral shaft fractures \(^\text{[11-14]}\). Proximal femoral nail is generally more stable under loading with a shorter lever arm, so the distance between the hip joint and the nail is reduced compared with plates thus reduces chances of varus collapse\(^\text{[15]}\). The advantages are smaller incision, less blood loss, lesser soft tissue trauma and significantly decreases morbidities associated with such fracture \(^\text{[15]}\). Hence we in the present study tried to evaluate the outcomes of the unstable Intertrochanteric Fractures with treated with Proximal Femoral Nail.

### Material and Methods

This is a prospective study was done in the Department of Orthopedics Kakatiya Medical College and Mahatma Gandhi Hospital, Warangal. The patients with intertrochanteric fractures underwent surgical treatment with the proximal femoral nail with a follow-up period of 12 months. Written consent was obtained from all the participants of the study. A total of n=25 patients were selected for the study based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria were adults of both sexes and all closed unstable intertrochanteric fractures treated with the proximal femoral nail. Exclusion criteria were patients of polytrauma, associated with lower extremity fractures other than a femur, malignancy, and altered biochemical parameters which interfere with fracture healing. After the patient with intertrochanteric fracture was admitted to our hospital, all the necessary clinical details were recorded in the proforma prepared for this study. After the completion of the hospital treatment patients were discharged and called for a follow-up to the outpatient department at regular intervals (6weeks, 12weeks, 6months, and 12 months) for clinical and radiological evaluation. The patients were followed up till fracture union & yearly once from then-on. Preoperative evaluation Radiological: Preoperative x-ray pelvis with both hips anteroposterior and lateral views were taken. Preoperative radiological evaluation was taken to
confirm the diagnosis. Surgical work up Routine preoperative laboratory evaluation including complete blood cell count, electrolytes, coagulation profile, urinalysis, ECG and Chest Roentgenogram were done. Patients receiving anticoagulant medications were stopped for five days before surgery. After pre-anesthetic checkup patient was taken up for surgery. Pre-op planning: Determination of nail diameter: Nail diameter was determined by measuring the diameter of the femur at the level of the isthmus on an AP X-ray. Length of Nail: The length of the standard nail is 25cm and long length nail is measured from the tip of the greater trochanter to upper pole of the patella. In the present study, we used nails of the standard length of 25 cm & long length nails ranging from 36-42 cm. The diameter of the standard nail was ranging from 9-12 mm and length nails were ranging from 9-11 mm. The nail is universal with 6 degrees of mediolateral valgus angulation and with the neck-shaft angle of 135 degrees.

Spinal / Epidural anesthesia was given in most of the cases but some cases required general anesthesia. A standard surgical approach was used during the operative procedure the mean duration of surgery was 50.3 ± 11.9 minutes. Postoperative antibiotics: inj. Ceftriaxone 1gm IV 12 hourly was continued for the first 3 days and then it was shifted to oral. Suction drainage was removed after 24 hours in case of open reduction. I.V. analgesics were given for 1 day followed by oral analgesics when necessary. Quadriceps physiotherapy: Strengthening exercises, Static quadriceps exercise, and calf pumping are started soon, followed by knee and ankle mobilization on post-op day 1. Sutures were removed on 12th post-Operative day. Patients were advised to walk non-weight bearing as soon as tolerable depending upon the fracture pattern. Partial weight-bearing walking was started once further collapse is not expected radiologically in case of stable fractures where comminution is minimal. Full weight-bearing walking was allowed after assessing for the radiological and clinical union. Hospital stay: Patient is discharged as soon as the wound and general condition of the patient is satisfactory, around 3rd post-operative day. Follow up The patients were asked to follow up at 6 weeks, 3months, 6 months, 12 months from the date of surgery. At each follow-up, the patient was assessed clinically as per Harris Hip score and x-ray AP/Lateral views of the hip with femur is taken. They were evaluated with HARRIS HIP SCORE & X-rays.

Results
The total number of cases in this study was n=25 of which n= 16(64%) were males and n=9(36%) were females. Most of the cases in this study were belonging to the age group 60 – 70 years with n=8(32%) of the cases followed by n=7(28%) in > 70 years of age group. This shows that Intertrochanteric Fractures are common in an old age group other details are shown in table 1.

Table 1: Showing age and sex-wise distribution of cases in the study

| Age in years | No. of Patients | % of patients |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|
|              | Male | Female | Total |               |
| 30-40        | 1    | 1      | 2     | 8              |
| 40-50        | 3    | 1      | 4     | 16             |
| 50-60        | 3    | 1      | 4     | 16             |
| 60-70        | 5    | 3      | 8     | 32             |
| >70          | 4    | 3      | 7     | 28             |
| Total        | 16   | 9      | 25    | 100            |

The mode of injury was trivial trauma after a fall in n=17 (68%) of cases and RTA in n=8(32%) of cases. The right side was involved in n= 14(56%) of cases and the left side was involved in n=11(44%) of cases. In the study, the fractures were classified as per Boyd and Griffin classification of intertrochanteric fractures type II was found the most common involving n=14 (56%) of the patients followed by type III n=8(20%). The type I were found in n=4(16%) and type IV was found in n=2(8%) shown in table 2.

Table 2: Intertrochanteric fractures are classified according to Boyd and Griffin Classification

| Type of Fracture | No. of Patients | %  |
|------------------|-----------------|----|
|                  | Male | Female | Total |    |
| Type I           | 3    | 1      | 4     | 16%|
| Type II          | 9    | 5      | 14    | 56%|
| Type III         | 3    | 2      | 05    | 20%|
| Type IV          | 1    | 1      | 02    | 08%|
| Total            | 16   | 9      | 25    | 100|
The presence of co-morbidities in the patients were recorded during the study, out of n=25 patients n=15 (60%) were having hypertension, COPD in n=8 (32%), Diabetes mellitus was in n=11(44%), Bronchial asthma and anemia in n=6(24%) each, IHD in n=3(12%) of patients Electrolyte imbalance in n=2(8%) of the patients. The most common type of intramedullary hip screws used during the treatment was long IMHS in n=14(56%) and standard screws were used in n=11(44%) of the patients (table 4).

**Table 4: Type of Intramedullary Hip Screws used during treatment**

| Type of IMHS         | Male | Female | No. of Patients | % of cases |
|----------------------|------|--------|-----------------|------------|
| Standard IMHS        | 7    | 4      | 11              | 44%        |
| Long IMHS            | 9    | 5      | 14              | 56%        |
| Total                | 16   | 9      | 25              | 100        |

The intraoperative complications were recorded during the surgery, most common complication faced was a failure to achieve closed reduction was observed in subtrochanteric fractures 2 cases and 1 case of reverse oblique fracture total n=3(12%) and fracture displacement by nail insertion was seen in n=1(4%) shown in table 5. The postoperative complications included knee stiffness in n=2 (8%), malunion in n=1(4%), there were total n=3(12%) cases found with postoperative complications they were managed adequately and all of them recovered to a satisfactory outcome.

**Table 5: Intraoperative complications of IMHS in the study**

| Type of IMHS                             | Male | Female | No. of Patients | % of cases |
|------------------------------------------|------|--------|-----------------|------------|
| Failure to achieve a closed reduction    | 2    | 1      | 3               | 12%        |
| Fracture displacement by nail insertion  | 0    | 1      | 1               | 4%         |
| Fracture of the lateral cortex           | 0    | 0      | 0               | 0%         |
| Total                                    | 2    | 2      | 4               | 100        |

A follow up of the patients at the end of 6 months radiologically revealed most of the case n=23(92%) were showing the union of fractures and varus malalignment and nonunion was seen in n=1(4%) cases each shown in table 6.

**Table 6: Radiographic assessment of treatment at follow up after 6 months**

| Type of IMHS          | Male | Female | No. of Patients | % of cases |
|-----------------------|------|--------|-----------------|------------|
| United                | 15   | 8      | 23              | 92%        |
| United in varus malignment | 1    | 0      | 1               | 4%         |
| Nonunion              | 0    | 1      | 1               | 4%         |

Most of the patients were able to bear to do partial weight bearing by 1-3 weeks and by the end of 3 months total 21 patients could do partial weight-bearing and 1 patient did not follow the post-operative protocol and did full weight-bearing immediately after surgery. The Harris Hip Score[16] at the end of 6 months revealed excellent scores in 68% of the patients, good score in 24%, fair score in 4% and poor score in 4% of the patients.

**Table 7: Harris Hip[16] scoring at the end of 6 months**

| Score | Male | Female | No. Of Patients (Total 22cases) | % Of Patients |
|-------|------|--------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| 90-100 Excellent | 11   | 6      | 17                             | 68%           |
| 80-89 - Good      | 3    | 3      | 06                             | 24%           |
| 70-79 - Fair      | 1    | 0      | 01                             | 4%            |
| <70 - Poor        | 0    | 1      | 01                             | 4%            |

**Discussion**

Intertrochanteric femur fractures present a challenge to the orthopedic surgeon for achieving fracture union and restoration of optimal functions. This study aimed to find the outcomes of unstable intertrochanteric femur fractures treated with a femoral proximal femoral nail. The most common age group involved in the femur fractures according to this study was 60 to 70 years age group followed by people of > 70 years age this signifies that the old age group are more prone to this kind of fracture which is by low energy trauma like fall at home other similar studies have shown similar findings in older age group of patients[17-20]. Gallagher et al; in their study have found an eight-fold increase in intertrochanteric fractures in men over 80 years and women over 50 years age group[21]. The main cause of fractures in this age group is because senile osteoporosis mainly affects trochanteric regions commonly and hip joint being one of the
may be weakened by trauma or may be weakened by trauma or... of the greater trochanter and causes... to twist. A direct blow on the lateral aspect of the thigh can result in contusion, comminution on the lateral surface of the greater trochanter and causes valgus deformity. In the present study, we observed that n=23(92%) of intertrochanteric fractures with a variable degree of comminution, n=2(8%) were subtrochanteric fractures which were treated with IMHS. Out of the total n=25 intertrochanteric fractures, 21 were found to be unstable fractures and 4 were stable fractures Boyd and Griffin type I. M Evans [24] has described that intertrochanteric fractures are considered as stable or unstable depending on the integrity of posteromedial cortex. In the present study majority of cases were operated by IMHS were having a fracture of the left side. Most of the patients in the present study were operated within 48 hours of admission to the hospital in some cases operation was delayed to achieve surgical fitness in the presence of comorbidities. Reasonable time to surgery and early mobilization and rehabilitation is generally required to avoid complications in the older age group patients [25]. The Mean duration of surgery in the present study was 50.3 minutes with a standard deviation for 11.9 minutes 92% of the cases were done closed reduction was the fracture hematoma was preserved. In 8% of failure to achieve closed reduction so open reduction was done and a minimal amount of blood loss was there. The average blood loss in our study was 50ml. Pajarinen et al; [26] the average operating time was 55 minutes and the average blood loss was 320ml. Liu XW et al; [27] the average operating time as 46.5 min with a standard deviation of 20.5 minutes and an average blood loss of 136ml. In the present study, there were no superficial or deep infections in post-operative period all the patients were given 3rd generation cephalosporin up to three postoperative days followed by oral antibiotics till the suture removal. Simmermacher et al; [28] in their study have reported an infection rate of 2% while Schipper et al; [29] have reported superficial wound infections in 4.1% and deep infection in 2.5% of cases. Average time of union in all our patients was about 13 weeks (Range: 12 to 14 weeks) There is some controversy regarding criteria for the time of fracture union in different studies [30]. Some use radiological while some use radiological and clinical union. Radiologically, we have used criteria for the union as the presence of bridging callus at the fracture site. The Harris Hip score was excellent in 17 patients (68%), good in 06 patients (24%), Fair in 1 patient (4%), Poor in 1 patient (4%). The poor Harris hip score in this study was due to poor compliance of patients by unprotected early weight-bearing leading to fracture collapse due to osteoporosis. GN Kumar et al; [31] in a similar study have found an excellent score in 35.7%, Good in 42.8%, Fair in 14.2%, Poor in 7.1% of patients.

**Conclusion**

Within the limitations of the present study, it can be concluded that proximal femoral nail is a good choice in cases of unstable intertrochanteric fractures of femur because it serves a buttress against the lateral translation of the proximal fragments. It also replaces the comminuted lateral wall which is useful in unstable fractures. The implant temporarily compensates for the function of the medial column. The use of femoral nail for...
extra-capsular fractures of the proximal femur has several distinct advantages, namely; lesser operative time with less operative blood loss, early return to daily activities, reduced complications like infection, sliding, and limb length discrepancy.
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