Vegetation analyses of Sebangau peat swamp forest, Central Kalimantan
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ABSTRACT

Mirmanto E (2010) Vegetation analyses of Sebangau peat swamp forest, Central Kalimantan. Biodiversitas 11: 82-88. The vegetation analysis study has been made in Sebangau peat-swamp forest, Central Kalimantan. Eight permanent plots of 50-m x 50-m were set-up distribute from close to the river with shallow peat-layer up to the inland with relatively deep peat-layer. Enumeration of trees (GBH > 15 cm) was conducted in all of 8 plots. Overall there are 133 species (taxa) were recorded within 8 plots belong to 34 families where Dipterocarpaceae, Clusiaceae, Myrtaceae and Sapotaceae were the most dominant family. Out of all species recorded, Combretocarpus rotundatus, Palauquium leiocarpum, Stemonurus scorpioides and Tristania whittiana were the most dominant species. Two community’s types namely Combretocarpus rotundatus-Shorea balangeran community and Palauquium leiocarpum-Eugenia densinervium community were recognized and they distributed in slightly different habitat condition. The sequence of these two communities’ shows significantly related to both distances to river and peat-depth. In addition there was indication the presence of habitat preference among tree species.

Key words: vegetation, peat-swamp, community, Sebangau, Central Kalimantan.

INTRODUCTION

The peat-swamp forests dominate in Central Kalimantan characterized by poor nutritional conditions. This is a unique and important ecosystem, but they fragile and sensitive for development. Indonesia covered by a large area of peat-swamp and heath forests, which mainly distributed in Sumatra, Kalimantan and Irian Jaya. So far, the data and information of their occurrence, range and total area are still not properly known.

In fact the wetland forests (peat-swamp and heath forest) in Malesia region have been studied for more than three decades (Anderson 1961; 1963; 1964; 1972; 1983; Sambas et al. 1994; Sambas and Suhardjono 1994; Ibrahim 1997; Saribi and Riswan 1997; Shepherd et al. 1997; Siregar and Sambas 1999; Mansur 1999; Page et al. 1999; Suzuki et al., 1999; Yusuf 1999; Purwaningsih and Yusuf 2000). However, the knowledge of the peat-swamp forest especially for their biodiversity, ecological function and dynamics of this forest is still limited. Most of those previous studies reported on the floristic composition and the structure, and there was big variation among study sites. This may indicate that local environment condition play an important role in development of vegetation. Some previous studies such as Brady (1997), Shepherd et al. (1997), Stoneman (1997), and Page et al. (1999), in general reported the presence of relationship between vegetation type and peat-depth, and sequence of vegetation type from the river to the inland. However little is known about the relationship between vegetation type and distance to river and peat-depth.

Aim of this study is to understand, the structure, floristic composition and communities patterns of Sebangau peat swamp forest, and their relation to the habitat conditions. For that reason, long term ecological studies have been made by established some permanent plots on some different habitat condition sites. The present report is a part result of those long-term studies, which concern to the vegetation analyses, based on data collected from eight permanent plots.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study site

The study has been made in the Sebangau peat-swamp forest, situated at 2°18' 24” S and 113°55' 4.1” E, at about 10 m above sea level. The study area is belong to Kereng Bangkirai village about 20-km southwest of Palangka Raya, Central Kalimantan. The vegetation here is characteristic of peat-swamp forest, with condition in general was flooded and with the peat layer depth varied from 2 to 10 m up. In general the sites relatively undisturbed forest, except for some places was damage due to the selective logging with varied in forest disturbance depending on the intensity of logging. According to Schmidt and Ferguson (1957) classification, the climates in those three study areas are belonging to type of A, with mean annual rainfall of about 2400 mm. The mean daily temperature varied from 25° up to 33°C, with high in humidity (up to 90%).

Field survey

Eight plots of 50-m x 50-m were established on a relatively undisturbed forest which is distributed along the trail from about 1 km from the river to the inland, with peat
layer depth varied from 0.6 to 5 m. The distance between plots was about 500 m to 1000 m; depend on the physiognomic change of the vegetation. Within each plot the tentative species name, girth at 1.3 m above the ground (GBH) and the position of each individual were recorded for the trees with GBH > 15 cm. The voucher specimens of each tentative species name were collected for further identification.

Data analyses
The vegetation data were analysis by multivariate analysis (MVSP version 3-1, Kovach Computing Service, UK) and multiple regression analysis (Statistica version 5, Stat soft, CA). Shannon’s diversity index method was used to calculate the species diversity of each plot and in addition the Tanjung Puting and Barito Ulu data (Mirmanto, unpublished data) was also including in calculation for comparison. The principal component analysis (PCA) was used in order to determine the distribution among 8 plots based on the BA. Multiple regression analysis was used to examine the relation between 2 principal component (axes) and environmental factors (peat-depth and distance to river), species richness (number of species and diversity index) and biomass (density and basal area) as independent variables. The multiple regression analysis was also used to predict the variant of PCA-score of uncommon species. Forty-eight species, which distributed in at least in 3 plots was selected for this analysis. Four factors were mean peat-depth; mean distance to river; standard deviation of peat-depth and standard deviation of distance to river were used in this analysis. The mean and standard deviation of peat-depth and distance to river were calculated from the data of peat-depth and distance to river of each plot. Based on the environment data (distance to river and peat-depth) of each plot, we classify the study area into to 3 class of peat-depth (shallow < 2 m, medium 2-3 m and deep > 3 m), and 3 class of distance to river (close < 2 km, medium 2-3 km and far > 3 km). The habitat preference of each species to each habitat type was tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov’s (K-S) test and goodness fit test for continuous data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Floristic and forest structure
Out of all trees (GBH > 15 cm) recorded in the 8 plots, there were 103 taxa belonged to 51 families. There were variations in species composition among the plots, and only 7 species of all species recorded were distributed in more than 6 plots and more then 30 species were observed in only 1 plot. Gymmacranthera eugeniifolia was the most frequent species (distributed in 8 plots), followed by Horsfieldia crassifolia, Stemonurus scorpioides, Nephelium maingayi and Tristania whittiiana (distributed in 7 plots respectively). The species-area curves for 8 plots and Barito Ulu and Tanjung Puting plots as comparison shown in Figure 1.

The most three dominant family were Clusiaceae, Sapotaceae and Myrtaceae, which occupies almost 50% of the total basal area (Table 1). However there were some variation between plots with the Sapotaceae, Rhizophoraceae, Dipterocarpaceae and Polylegalygae each leading in the basal area at some plots. Palaquium leioocarpum was the most dominant species with basal area of 6.5 m²/ha or about 12% of total basal area, followed by, Combretocarpus rotundatus, Eugenia castaneum, and Eugenia densinervium (Table 2). Those first three species were also recorded as common species in several peat-swamp forest study sites but not always become abundant species. On the other hand, Shorea balangeran and Xanthophyllum eurychnum apparently were only distributed in a specific habitat. In Table 3, the Shannon’s diversity index in all the 8 plots was lower then in lowland mixed dipterocarp forest in Barito Ulu, but comparable to Tanjung Putting. The mean tree density was 2689 ha⁻¹ (range 1660-3064) and the mean tree basal area was 31.5-m² ha⁻¹ (range 20.4-44.6). Only 0.14% of trees with a dbh > 50 cm (Figure 2) and the biggest tree was Combretocarpus rotundatus reach up to 95.5 cm in dbh.

Table 1. Total basal area (m²/ha) of some dominant families recorded in the study area.

| Family                | S7   | S8   | S1   | S2   | S3   | S4   | S5   | S6   |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Clusiaceae            | 0.50 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 1.10 | 1.00 | 1.30 | 1.60 |
| Sapotaceae            | 0.40 | 0.90 | 1.80 | 2.40 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 0.80 |
| Myrtaceae             | 0.60 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 1.20 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 1.60 |
| Rhizophoraceae        | 0.80 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 1.80 | 5.90 | 10.35 |
| Dipterocarpaceae      | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 0.40 |
| Polylegalygae         | 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.20 |
| Euphorbiaceae         | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0.30 | 2.90 | 5.09 |
| Others (27)           | 1.60 | 2.00 | 1.60 | 2.80 | 2.20 | 2.90 | 2.70 | 1.90 |
|                       | 17.00| 31.05|

Table 2. Total basal area (m²/ha) of some dominant species recorded in the study area.

| Species                        | S7   | S8   | S1   | S2   | S3   | S4   | S5   | S6   |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Palaquium leioocarpum         | 0.4  | 0.6  | 1.5  | 2.1  | 1.9  | 6.5  | 11.7 |
| Combretocarpus rotundatus     | 0.8  | 1.8  | 1.0  | 1.8  | 0.3  | 0.2  | 3.3  | 5.9  |
| Eugenia densinervium          | 0.3  | 0.5  | 0.8  | 0.3  | 0.6  | 0.5  | 0.3  | 5.8  |
| Eugenia castaneum             | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.6  | 0.2  | 0.4  | 0.4  | 2.1  |
| Calophyllum teysmannian       | 0.1  | 0.3  | 0.2  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.7  | 0.4  | 1.6  |
| Xanthophyllum palembanican    | 0.1  | 0.3  | 0.2  | 0.4  | 0.3  | 0.1  | 1.3  | 2.3  |
| Calophyllum biflorum          | 0.1  | 1.0  |     | 0.6  | 1.6  | 2.9  |
| Gonystylus bancanus           | 0.2  | 0.5  | 0.1  | 0.4  | 0.1  | 0.2  | 1.4  | 2.5  |
| Neosocratechnia philippinensis| 0.3  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.4  | 1.6  | 2.9  |
| Xanthophyllum eurychnum       | 0.9  | 0.3  |     | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.4  |
| Calophyllum inophyllum        | 0.2  | 0.6  | 0.1  | 0.4  | 1.3  | 2.3  |
| Acronychia porteri            | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 1.2  |
| Horsfieldia crassifolia       | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.3  | 0.3  | 0.2  | 0.1  | 1.1  | 2.0  |
| Blumeodendron elateriospernum | 0.2  | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.1  | 0.3  | 1.2  |
| Shorea guiso                  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.2  | 1.2  |
| Other species (88)            | 2.9  | 3.4  | 1.8  | 2.6  | 2.9  | 2.1  | 2.7  |

31.05
**Figure 1.** Species-area curve of 8 plot in Sebangau (S1,..., S8), Barito Ulu (BU) Tanjung Puting (TP).

**Table 3.** Number of species (NS), density (D=trees/ha), basal area (BA=m²/ha) and Shanon Indek Diversity (SDI) of 8 plots in Sebanagu, Barito Ulu and Tanjung Puting

| Study sites | Area (ha) | Forest type | NS | D | BA | SDI |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|----|---|----|-----|
| Barito Ulu  | 0.25      | MDF         | 73 | 720 | 32.60 | 1.90 |
| Tanjung Puting | 0.25 | PSF         | 62 | 1660 | 44.60 | 1.64 |
| Sebangau-1  | 0.25      | PSF         | 33 | 2160 | 22.80 | 1.66 |
| Sebangau-2  | 0.25      | PSF         | 47 | 2876 | 26.80 | 1.66 |
| Sebangau-3  | 0.25      | PSF         | 45 | 3172 | 30.80 | 1.58 |
| Sebangau-4  | 0.25      | PSF         | 46 | 3740 | 33.60 | 1.50 |
| Sebangau-5  | 0.25      | PSF         | 45 | 3680 | 33.20 | 1.47 |
| Sebangau-6  | 0.25      | PSF         | 48 | 3136 | 33.20 | 1.59 |
| Sebangau-7  | 0.25      | PSF         | 47 | 2940 | 33.20 | 1.53 |
| Sebangau-8  | 0.25      | PSF         | 39 | 3064 | 33.20 | 1.59 |

Notes: MDF=mixed dipterocarp forest; PSF= peat swamp forest

**Ordination**

The contribution rate of the first principal component (PCA) (Axis-1) was 55.4%, and the second (Axis-2) was 10.5%. Thus the first two axes explained 65.9% of variation. Therefore, we investigated the vegetation patterns by the two axes. Figure 3 shows the distributions of plots along the two axes, which tended to correspond to both gradient of distance to river and peat-depth.

The results of multiple regression analysis suggested that the Axis-1 was strongly correlated with distance to river, tree density and basal area whereas Axis-2 was more related to peat-depth (Table 4). In addition, positive correlation (R= 0.67) between distance to river and peat-depth, indicated that the peat-depth increased with increasing distance to river. On the other hand species richness (number of species and diversity index) was not significantly correlated to both Axis-1 and Axis-2.

The multiple regressions of selected species provided the results that Axis-1 was significantly related with peat-depth, and Axis-2 was correlated with distance to river (Table 5). These results mean the existence of those species influenced by both peat-depth and distance to river.

**Figure 2.** Diameter class distribution of trees recorded within 8 plots in the study area.

**Figure 3.** PCA loadings of 8 plots on the coordinates of PC axes 1 and 2 in Sebangau peat-swamp forest

**Table 4.** Standardized coefficients of multiple regression value in order to determining variant of PCA score of 8 plots using two environmental factors (peat-depth and distance to river) and four parameters (density, basal area, number of species and diversity index)

| Independent variable | Dependent variable |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Peat-depth (m)         | 0.534*             |
| Distance to river (km) | 0.896***           |
| Density (tree/0.25 ha) | 0.947***           |
| Basal area (m²/0.25 ha) | 0.884***           |
| Number of species      | 0.333              |
| Shanon Index Diversity | 0.242              |
| F                      | 16.374***          |

Note: P * <0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001
Table 5. Standardized coefficients of multiple regression value in order to determining variant of PCA score of some dominant species using four environmental factors.

| Independent variable | Dependent variable Axis-1 | Dependent variable Axis-2 |
|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Mean of peat-depth (m) | 0.387*** | 0.241* |
| Standard deviation of peat-depth | 0.326** | 0.113 |
| Mean distance to river (km) | 0.246 | 0.429** |
| Standard deviation of distance to river | 0.097 | 0.317*** |
| F | 17.86*** | 24.38*** |

Note: P * <0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001

Out of all selected species, almost all species showed significant habitat preference to both peat-depth and distance to river class, except for 1 species was not significant for peat-depth class and 3 species was not significant for distance to river classes (Table 6). This indicates that the distribution of species in general affected by those two environmental factors. However there are any differences in species associated to habitat preferences.

The Figure 4 illustrated the distribution of some dominant species along the two axes of PCA. In general there were two main groups can be differentiated. The first group is consists of species, which distributed on shallow to medium peat-layer and close to medium distance to river; while the second group consists of species on medium to deep peat-layer and medium to far distance to river.

The results show that species diversity in the study area was relatively similar to others study in peat swamp forest in Kalimantan (Table 7), but it was still lower compared to lowland mixed-dipterocarp forest at Barito Ulu (Table 2, Figure 1). Out of 133 species recorded, almost dominant species were representing characteristic of peat-swamp (cf. Anderson 1961; 1963; Simbolon and Mirmanto 2000). However there were non-indigenous species of peat-swamp recorded within some plots. The presence of non-indigenous species indicated that there was any changing in environmental condition, that to open possibility for species from out-side were able to grow and develop there.

Non-indigenous species such as Macaranga caladifolia, Macaranga spp., and Glochidion littorale were recorded within and around the shallow-peat close to river plots. Those species recognize as secondary species, which usually adaptable to various conditions such as open and disturbed forests. In addition the Glochidion littorale was also recorded as a component of the riverside disturbed mangrove forest community (Mirmanto et al. 1989), and expected as sea-dispersed species. So the occurrence of this non-indigenous species in the study area may be able to be considered as the consequence of environment change and flooding.

The flooding is one factor to damage vegetation, but it depends on the frequency of flood and nature of vegetation. The period of flooding is one importance factors to stimulate habitat segregation in riparian forest (Robertson et al. 1978), which result in several of vegetation type (Inoue and Nakagoshi 2000). The presence of flood-tolerance species resulted in differences species composition from riverside to the inland (Oliver and Larson 1990). In this study, as indicated by multiple regression analysis (Table 4), show that there were significant effect of both distance to river and peat-depth to distribution of vegetation patterns.

Distance to river may to explain incidence of flood, with assumption that flooding usually more frequent in closer to river area. By this assumption there were at least three kind of vegetation in relation to incidence of flooding were recognized, i.e. vegetation on the frequent flood area, on infrequent flood area and on seasonally flood area. There were significantly different in floristic composition between vegetation on frequent flood area to others (ANOVA, p <0.01). Studies in flood-plain forest reported that flooding reduce the species richness (Yanoski 1982; Hupp 1992) because swept away source of recruitments. Other study (Robertson et al. 1978) however, reported that flooding is as stimulator to create the new habitat type. That means the various habitat types will be built up on the areas where the most frequent of flood occur. Consequence the most frequent flood areas should be more heterogeneous in species composition.

In this study, while there was no significantly correlated between distance to river and species richness (Table 4), but indicated that species richness (expressed by diversity index) was lower in close to river plots. On the other hand, there was significant correlation between biomass (expressed by density and basal area) and distance to river. Some studies in laboratory scale (Jackson 1979; Tang and Kozlowski 1982; Save and Serrano 1986; Hung et al. 1994; Ismail and Noor 1996) reported that for species level
Table 6. Habitat classification based on species preference for peat-depth and distance to river in the study area.

| Species                                | n   | Peat-depth | Distance to river |
|----------------------------------------|-----|-----------|------------------|
|                                        |     | KS-d*     | Habitat classes** | KS-d | Habitat classes |
| Combretocarpus rotundatus              | 53  | 0.273***  | MP               | 0.261* | MD             |
| Tetramerista glabra                    | 64  | 0.238***  | MP               | 0.154  | F              |
| Shorea balangeran                     | 52  | 0.273***  | S                | 0.349*** | MD       |
| Diospyros dajaxensis                   | 100 | 0.235***  | D                | 0.242*** | F       |
| Horsfieldia crassifolia               | 158 | 0.191***  | MP               | 0.219*** | F       |
| Lithocarpus elegans                   | 76  | 0.217*    | MP               | 0.239*** | F       |
| Ternstroemia magnifica                | 64  | 0.117     | MP               | 0.224**  | F       |
| Crtoxylum glaucum                     | 157 | 0.259***  | MP               | 0.186**  | F       |
| Stemnorus scorpioides                 | 196 | 0.352***  | MP               | 0.194**  | MD      |
| Gymnacanthera eugeniifolia            | 157 | 0.294***  | MP               | 0.182*** | F       |
| Xanthophyllum paleamibicum            | 129 | 0.265***  | MP               | 0.257*** | F       |
| Campnosperma coriaceae                | 97  | 0.291***  | MP               | 0.367*** | MD      |
| Szygium clavatum                      | 115 | 0.269***  | MP               | 0.277*** | MD      |
| Blumeodendron elaterrorisperrum       | 161 | 0.259***  | MP               | 0.205**  | F       |
| Calophyllum teysmannii                | 143 | 0.239***  | MP               | 0.211*** | F       |
| Garcinia lateriflora                  | 82  | 0.258***  | MP               | 0.333*** | F       |
| Acronychia porteri                    | 205 | 0.366***  | D                | 0.148   | F       |
| Lithocarpus leptogyne                 | 61  | 0.369***  | D                | 0.316*** | F       |
| Sandoricum emarginatum               | 104 | 0.264***  | D                | 0.228*** | F       |
| Gonyystylus bancanus                  | 97  | 0.272*    | S                | 0.159   | MD      |
| Nephelium maingayi                    | 66  | 0.325***  | S                | 0.249**  | C       |
| Xanthophyllum eurybucum              | 206 | 0.258***  | S                | 0.266*** | C       |

Notes: +) The maximum difference between the value of observed and expected relative frequencies. Significance was tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov goodness of fit test for continuous data. ++) Abbreviations of habitat class as follow: D: deep peat-depth; S: shallow peat-depth; C: close to river; MD: medium distance to river; F: far from river.

Table 7. Number of species (NS), density (D), basal area (BA= m²/ha) of Sebangau plots and some other studies sites. *) PSF= peat swamp forest; SPSF= shallow peat swamp forest; HF= heath forest; DPSF= deep peat swamp forest.

| Locality Site | Plot (ha) | NS  | D  | BA  | Authors       |
|---------------|-----------|-----|----|-----|---------------|
| Lahai (PSF*)  | 0.25      | 47  | 162| 45.40| This study    |
| Sebangau (comb. of 4 SPSF*) | 1.00 | 86  | 2783| 12.58| This study    |
| Tanjung Puting (SPSF*)              | 0.25  | 87  | 1660| 44.60| This study    |
| Lahai (comb. of 2 HF*)              | 0.50  | 104 | 2290| 30.75| This study    |
| Sebangau (comb. of 6 DPSF*)          | 1.50  | 130 | 3110| 31.55| This study    |
| Gunung Palung (PSF*)                 | 1.00  | 122 | 433 | 28.03| Sudarmanto 1994 |
| Mensemat (PSF*)                      | 1.05  | 86  | 698 | 24.29| Siregar et al. 1999 |
| Nyaru Mentieng (PSF*)                | 0.50  | 64  | 1004| 52.40| Saribi and Riswan 1997 |
| Ketapang (PSF*)                      | 0.20  | 42  | 535 | 14.27| Sambas and Suhardjono 1994 |
| Tanjung Puting (PSF*)                | 0.75  | 108 | 812 | 40.03| Hamidi 1991   |

In addition, there was not too strong in correlation between basal area and distance to river (R: 0.67). This indicates that any other factors such as peat-depth, geography, micro-topography and perhaps disturbance also involve influencing this phenomenon. However previous study (Suzuki et al. 1999) reported that there is close similarity between two geographically different sites. Therefore, combination geographic and habitat factor may be better to explain the effects of geography. In the study area the effects of geographic was clear as expressed in cluster analysis (Mirmanto, unpublished data). On the other hand, the SC community it was exactly different to other community, but there was slight difference in species composition among plots in this community. Graniero and Price (1999) reported that topography play a relatively small role in constructing forest community, but topography complexity increase species richness. Studies in mixed-dipterocarp forest (Baillie et al. 1987) and neotropical lowland forest (Becker and Rabenold 1988) stated that microtopographic variation have an effect on water availability and aeration status of soil. So, the microtopographic factor perhaps is more efficient to any studies in a relatively small-scale area.

Multiple regression analysis for some selected species explained the significant effects of peat-depth were stronger than distance to river (Table 5). The species-habitat preference test show that almost (94%) all species were consistent significant to class of peat-depth (Table 7). This is similar results to those reported by Newberry and Proctor (1984) and Miyamoto et al. (2003) for heath forests, that edaphically (soil) factor play importance role in distribution of species. The influence of other variables such as micro-topographic and geographic on distribution of vegetation patterns is not too small to be ignored. Indeed among vegetation on the same class of peat-depth and/or
distance to river but geographically different were dissimilar in floristic composition.

The availability of nutrient and efficiency of nutrient cycling is important factor in the distribution of forest community (Page et al. 1999). Consequently, the riverside vegetation that should be receiving more nutrients from the river flow, and the growth will be faster than inland vegetation. In addition the nutrient cycling efficiency in peat-swamp forest is relatively higher among poor nutrient soil study sites (Mirmanto 1999). The results however show that the basal area and density of closer to river community were lower than other community. A comparative study on nutrient cycling along gradient both peat-depth and distance to river is a good topic in order to explain the existence of peat swamp forest.

CONCLUSIONS

The species diversity in the study area lower compared to lowland mixed-dipterocarp forest at Barito Ulu, but it was similar to some other Kalimantan peat swamp forest studies. Almost dominant species, such as Combretocarpus rotundatus, Palaquium leiocarpum, Stemonurus scorpioides and Tristania whitiana were representing characteristic of peat-swamp. There were two communities type namely Combretocarpus rotundatus- Shorea balangeran community and Palaquium leiocarpum-Eugenia densinervium community, where their sequence shows significantly related to both distances to river and peat-depth. The results suggested that the presence of habitat preference among tree species which almost all species tested were consistent significant to class of peat-depth.
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