Mithramycin A and Mithralog EC-8042 Inhibit SETDB1 Expression and Its Oncogenic Activity in Malignant Melanoma
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INTRODUCTION

Malignant melanoma is the most deadly skin cancer, associated with rising incidence and mortality rates. Most of the patients with melanoma, treated with current targeted therapies, develop a drug resistance, causing tumor relapse. The attainment of a better understanding of novel cancer-promoting molecular mechanisms driving melanoma progression is essential for the development of more effective targeted therapeutic approaches. Recent studies, including the research previously conducted in our laboratory, reported that the histone methyltransferase SETDB1 contributes to melanoma pathogenesis. In this follow-up study, we further elucidated the role of SETDB1 in melanoma, showing that SETDB1 modulated relevant transcriptomic effects in melanoma, in particular, as activator of cancer-related secreted (CRS) factors and as repressor of melanocyte-lineage differentiation (MLD) and metabolic enzymes. Next, we investigated the effects of SETDB1 inhibition via compounds belonging to the mithramycin family, mithramycin A and mithramycin analog (mithralog) EC-8042: melanoma cells showed strong sensitivity to these drugs, which effectively suppressed the expression of SETDB1 and induced changes at the transcriptomic, morphological, and functional level. Moreover, SETDB1 inhibitors enhanced the efficacy of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) inhibitor-based therapies against melanoma. Taken together, this work highlights the key regulatory role of SETDB1 in melanoma and supports the development of SETDB1-targeting therapeutic strategies for the treatment of melanoma patients.

RESULTS

SETDB1 Overexpression Increases the Expression of Cancer-Related Secreted Factors in Melanoma Cells

Previous work from our group demonstrated that SETDB1 plays a crucial part as the driver of cancer-related features during melanoma...
Figure 1. Overexpression of SETDB1 in Melanoma Cell Lines Resulted in the Activation of Protumorigenic-Secreted Factors

(A) Histogram plot showing microarray expression data (shown as log2 fold change) of genes encoding for protumorigenic-secreted factors (THBS1, SCG2, MMP1, MMP3, IL-6, IL-8, CCL2, and WNT5A) in HT 144-SETDB1 OE cells compared to EV cells. (B) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of the CRS factor-encoding genes SCG2, MMP3, IL8, CCL2, and WNT5A expression. (C) Secretome analysis of HT 144 EV and SETDB1 OE cell supernatant. Right panel: blots showing the signals of 55 different secreted proteins detected in the supernatant of cultured cells; signals of MMP8 (1), CXCL16 (2), CCL2 (3), and THBS1 (4) are highlighted. Left panel: quantification of mean pixel

(legend continued on next page)
progression and that this oncogenic factor might be the consequences of the regulation of downstream factors that, in turn, are involved in pro-tumorigenic pathways; moreover, we have also described a regulatory axis between SETDB1 and the glycoprotein thrombospondin 1 (THBS1).13

In this follow-up study, we first aimed to further implement the analysis of our microarray data (GEO: GSE109678) in order to define the functional classes of genes mostly deregulated upon the ectopic overexpression of SETDB1 in melanoma cells. HT 144-SETDB1 overexpressing (OE) versus empty vector (EV) differential expression analysis of quantile-normalized microarray data (empirical Bayes two-group t-test, log, fold-change [FC] threshold set as > 1, p value [p] 0.05) indicated 152 genes significantly deregulated, including 81 genes upregulated and 71 downregulated in melanoma cells overexpressing SETDB1. A Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was conducted on upregulated genes (FC > 1) in HT 144-SETDB1 OE cells in comparison to control cells (HT 144 EV). We observed that the most enriched GO terms referred to groups of factors normally released into the extracellular space: keyword “secreted” (number of deregulated genes represented in this GO term [n] = 18, p = 0.000417); GO term: GO: 0005615_extracellular space (n = 19, p = 0.00000277). A more detailed overview of enriched GO terms found related to upregulated SETDB1 target genes is provided in Table S1. This analysis suggested that SETDB1 overexpression induced an upregulation of genes encoding for secreted proteins with a prominent role in cancer progression, including glycoproteins (THBS1), granins (SCG2), metalloproteases (MMP1, MMP3), interleukins (IL-6, IL-8), cytokines (CCL2), and WNT proteins (WNT5A) (Figure 1A). Hereafter, the above-mentioned proteins are referred to in this work, as cancer-related secreted (CRS) factors. The increased levels of genes encoding for CRS factors, following SETDB1 overexpression, were confirmed in HT 144 and C32 melanoma cells (Figures 1B and S1A). Next, to verify whether the transcriptional alteration of CRS factors was followed by changes in melanoma cell secretome, we performed a secreted proteome profiling of HT 144 EV (control) and -SETDB1 OE cells. With the use of a membrane-based antibody array, we could detect the levels of cancer-related proteins present in cell supernatants. Proteome analysis showed that supernatant collected from SETDB1 OE cells tended to have higher levels of the metalloprotease MMP8 and of the cytokines CXCL16 and CCL2 in comparison to cells expressing normal levels of SETDB1. Surprisingly, no changes of THBS1 levels in cell supernatant were detected (Figure 1C). The mechanisms by which tumor cells release factors involved in cancer progression are not yet fully elucidated. In this regard, we focused on the functional role of one putative SETDB1 effector, SCG2, a protein involved in the biosynthesis of secretory granules.15 A previous study correlated the expression of SCG2 with the activation of migratory features in melanoma.16 SCG2 displayed heterogeneous expression in a panel composed of melanoma cell lines and normal human melanocytes (NHMs; Figure S1B). Ectopic overexpression of SCG2 (SCG2 OE) in C32 cells (p < 0.05), characterized by low endogenous SCG2 levels, resulted in the massive accumulation of the SCG2 protein in roundish cytoplasmic structures that resembled the secretory granules (Figures 1D and S1C). This would suggest that the upregulation of SCG2 might be a key event leading to an increased biosynthesis of secretory vesicles, affecting in this way the cell-secretory mechanisms. Finally, we analyzed SCG2 expression in a cohort of clinical specimens obtained from patients with late-stage melanomas, characterized by the presence of metastases (n = 47). In those samples, we could observe a positive concordance between SCG2 and SETDB1 immunohistochemical (IHC) signals (Spearman correlation, r = 0.5928; p < 0.001) (Figure 1E); in addition to that, patients exhibiting moderate to high levels (IHC signal score > 3) of SCG2 also showed a lower survival rate (p = 0.0529) and higher short-term survival (<12 months survival; p < 0.05) than patients with low SCG2 signals (IHC score ≤ 3), implying a promising prognostic value for SCG2 expression (Figures 1F and S1D).

Taken together, high levels of SETDB1 promoted the expression of several pro-tumorigenic factors which are either secreted or involved in the organization of the secretory machinery.

SETDB1 Negatively Affects the Expression of the Melanocytic-Lineage Differentiation Markers

Differentially gene-expression data from HT 144-SETDB1 OE versus EV also included downregulated genes. Gene-set functional annotations of SETDB1-induced downregulated genes indicated as particularly enriched the terms related to differentiation mechanisms of melanocytes, like melanin biosynthesis (GO: 0042438; n = 5, p = 0.00000103), melanosome formation (GO: 00033162; n = 3, p = 0.000594), and developmental pigmentation (GO: 0048066; n = 2, p = 0.024749764). Moreover, some metabolic terms, such as "negative regulation of lipid biosynthetic process" (GO: 0051055; n = 2, p = 0.017740531), were found enriched as well. A more detailed overview of enriched GO terms related to the downregulated SETDB1-target genes is provided in Table S2. Melanoma-malignant transformation is defined by the acquisition of a more undifferentiated status, which is the consequences of the loss of melanocytic-lineage differentiation (hereafter labeled as “MLD”) factors, such as dopachrome tautomerase (DCT), tyrosinase-related protein 1 (TYRP1), tyrosinase (TYR), and premelanosome (PMEL).17,18 Our microarray data showed a strong impairment of the expression of MLD genes in melanoma cells carrying SETDB1 overexpression in comparison with control cells. Moreover, SETDB1 OE melanoma cells exhibited the downregulation of several metabolic enzymes with a tumor-suppressor role or poorly expressed in melanoma and other cancer types, including apolipoprotein E (APOE), QPRt, and PDK419-21 (Figure 2A). Quantitative PCR
showed a clear and significant decrease of DCT (MLD marker) and APOE (metabolic marker) expression; looking at the protein levels by western blot, C32 cells exhibited decreased levels of both proteins in the SETDB1 OE condition, whereas HT 144-SETDB1 OE showed only a slight reduction (Figures 2B, 2C, S2A, and S2B). The transcriptional alterations observed in SETDB1 OE melanoma cells resembled a cell model recently established by our group, consisting of human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derived melanoblasts (hIPSC-Mb), an intermediate differentiation stage of melanocytes that has been shown to share several features with melanoma tumor cells.22 We matched the hIPSC-Mb gene signature, obtained from the comparison to the transcriptome of totally differentiated melanocytes (NHMs), with the deregulated genes found in HT 144-SETDB1 OE cells (versus EV), and we observed that the 2 cell conditions had 31 upregulated and 20 downregulated genes in common (Figure 2D). Notably, the expression of genes encoding for MLD markers and for the metabolic factor APOE was lower in melanoblasts than in differentiated melanocytes (Table 1); this observation was confirmed by quantitative PCR (Figure 2E). The obtained data indicated that SETDB1 interfered with the expression of MLD and metabolic enzymes, recapitulating a melanoblast-like gene signature.

**mit Treatment Impairs SETDB1 Expression and Melanoma Cell Viability**

Our work addressed the crucial contribution of SETDB1 in melanoma progression as a driver of regulatory mechanisms leading to the acquisition of protumorigenic properties. Next, we investigated the effects caused by the inhibition of SETDB1 in melanoma cells using a drug-based approach. Although a selective SETDB1 inhibitor has not been identified yet, several compounds have been shown to reduce SETDB1 expression levels, including common antitumor drugs (paclitaxel),23 unspecific histone methyltransferases (DZnep; Chemical Abstracts Service [CAS] 935693-62-2),13,24 and mit.25–27 Within the scope of our study, we chose mit (CAS 18378-89-7) to interfere with SETDB1 expression. mit is an antitumor antibiotic actually adopted for clinical studies (phase 2) for the treatment of a broad range of malignancies (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01624090). mit represses SETDB1 thanks to its DNA-binding properties, able to block the interactions between SETDB1 regulatory sites and the transcription factor SP-1.28 We tested the capacity of mit to interfere with the expression of SETDB1 in melanoma-stimulating melanoma cells with high SETDB1 endogenous levels (A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1) to increase mit doses for 24 h. SETDB1 expression after 24 h was drastically diminished in mit-exposed melanoma cells in a dose-dependent fashion (Figures 3A and 3B). The gradual impairment of SETDB1 levels was accompanied by cytotoxic effects: melanoma cells treated with increasing mit concentrations at different time points (24, 48, and 72 h) showed a strong reduction of their viability (mean half-maximal inhibitory concentration [IC50] values for
A375: 1.04 μM after 24 h of treatment, 34.33 nM after 48 h of observation, 15.5 nM after 72 h of treatment; IC_{50} SK-HI-SETDB1: 912.9, 43.72, and 29.06 nM after 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively) (Figures S3A–S3F). Whereas SETDB1-positive melanoma cells displayed high sensitivity to mit treatment, no particular cytotoxic effects were detected after 24 h treatment on nontumor cells (human dermal fibroblasts), as well as on melanoma cells carrying SETDB1 knockdown (KD; Figure 3C), suggesting that cytotoxic effects modulated by mit on melanoma cells are dependent on SETDB1 expression.

We could establish that the maximum concentration of mit, leading to a strong SETDB1 downregulation, together with an impact on melanoma cell viability after 24 h, was 300 nM; no further reduction of SETDB1 expression and cell viability was observed with higher mit dosages (data not shown). 24 h exposure to 300 nM mit was then established as the standard mit treatment for all of the subsequent mit-drug response experiments. Melanoma cells treated for 24 h with 300 nM mit also exhibited drastic morphological alterations, appearing bigger in size, with larger nuclei, with a reduction of the cell-cytoplasm ratio, and for SK-HI-SETDB1 cells, less and smaller dendritic structures (Figure 3D). Our data indicated the powerful effects mediated by mit on SETDB1 expression and on melanoma cell viability and morphology.

| Upregulated Genes in Common | FC HT 144-SETDB1 OE versus EV | FC hIPSC-Mb versus NHM | Downregulated Genes in Common | FC HT 144-SETDB1 OE versus EV | FC hIPSC-Mb versus NHM |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Gene Symbol                 | FC HT 144-SETDB1 OE versus EV | FC hIPSC-Mb versus NHM | Gene Symbol                 | FC HT 144-SETDB1 OE versus EV | FC hIPSC-Mb versus NHM |
| ANKRD1                      | 1.02                          | 5.09                  | APOE                        | −1.95                        | −2.21                  |
| AXL                         | 1.09                          | 3.07                  | CAPN3                       | −1.26                        | −5.46                  |
| C12or75                     | 1.10                          | 2.74                  | CEACAM1                     | −1.13                        | −2.34                  |
| CCL2                        | 1.23                          | 2.66                  | CYGB                        | −1.04                        | −3.00                  |
| CD24                        | 1.17                          | 5.66                  | DCT                         | −2.30                        | −7.08                  |
| DCR1L2                      | 1.24                          | 2.55                  | FCRLA                       | −1.15                        | −5.15                  |
| DKK1                        | 2.52                          | 3.04                  | HES6                        | −1.27                        | −3.89                  |
| EFNB2                       | 1.28                          | 2.65                  | IGSF11                      | −1.16                        | −4.57                  |
| ERRFI1                      | 1.75                          | 5.07                  | ISG20                       | −1.16                        | −2.55                  |
| FLNB                        | 1.22                          | 3.43                  | LOC641738                   | −1.04                        | −3.37                  |
| IL6                         | 1.84                          | 3.26                  | LZTS1                       | −1.00                        | −2.00                  |
| IL8                         | 2.46                          | 5.57                  | NBL1                        | −1.25                        | −2.20                  |
| KRT81                       | 1.81                          | 2.08                  | PIR                         | −1.04                        | −3.60                  |
| LYPD1                       | 1.08                          | 2.72                  | PLTP                        | −1.07                        | −2.27                  |
| MMP1                        | 1.35                          | 3.31                  | PMEL                        | −1.82                        | −6.45                  |
| NPTX2                       | 1.16                          | 2.76                  | TBC1D7                      | −1.04                        | −3.30                  |
| NRP1                        | 1.90                          | 2.50                  | TSPAN10                     | −1.25                        | −6.27                  |
| NT5E                        | 1.26                          | 3.81                  | TUBB4A                      | −1.46                        | −3.28                  |
| PMEPA1                      | 1.07                          | 2.56                  | TYR                         | −1.42                        | −7.31                  |
| PPAP2B                      | 1.01                          | 2.30                  | TYP1                        | −1.51                        | −7.23                  |
| PTGS2                       | 1.32                          | 2.18                  |                            |                              |                       |
| RND3                        | 1.67                          | 2.86                  |                            |                              |                       |
| SCG2                        | 1.10                          | 2.51                  |                            |                              |                       |
| SCG5                        | 1.45                          | 4.33                  |                            |                              |                       |
| SLC25A24                    | 1.16                          | 3.02                  |                            |                              |                       |
| SMAGP                       | 1.42                          | 2.16                  |                            |                              |                       |
| TGM2                        | 1.03                          | 2.58                  |                            |                              |                       |
| THBS1                       | 2.31                          | 5.23                  |                            |                              |                       |
| TNFRSF12A                   | 1.54                          | 3.35                  |                            |                              |                       |
| TPM1                        | 1.98                          | 5.24                  |                            |                              |                       |
| WNT5A                       | 1.40                          | 4.53                  |                            |                              |                       |
mit-Treated Melanoma Cells Exhibit Altered Tumorigenic Properties

We wanted next to evaluate whether SETDB1 inhibition resulted in a functional regression of melanoma cells. We previously demonstrated that SETDB1 drives important protumorigenic features, such as cell migratory and invasive behavior. We performed an in vitro functional characterization of A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 melanoma cells upon mit treatment. Compared to DMSO-treated cells, cells exposed to 300 nM mit for 24 h exhibited significantly slower migratory and invasive behaviors (Figures 4A and 4B). To further assess the invasive capacities of the highly invasive A375 melanoma cells, we established a two-dimensional (2D) invasion assay: tumor cells were cocultured with dermal fibroblasts, interspersed by a short gap. Both cell types, each of them labeled with a different fluorescent dye, would migrate toward each other until the interspaced gap was filled, and the two cell layers started to interact. At this point, DMSO or mit treatments were added, and after 24 h, the invasive behavior of tumor cells was evaluated by estimating the amount of A375 cells scattered across the fibroblast layer (Figure 4C). Interestingly, we observed that DMSO-treated cells massively occupied the fibroblast regions, whereas treatment with mitraycin led to a strong reduction of A375-invading cells (Figure 4D). Putting these observations together, we could speculate that SETDB1 inhibition by mitraycin impacted on melanoma cell functionality, leading to a less-aggressive behavior and to a functional regression.

mit Reverts the Regulatory Effects Modulated by SETDB1 on Its Downstream Effectors

To further determine the consequences of mit-mediated SETDB1 inhibition, we investigated the variations in the regulatory network of SETDB1. We tested the expression levels of genes encoding for CRS, MLD, and metabolic markers in A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 cells, exposed for 24 h either to DMSO as vehicle control or 300 nM mit. A375 mit-treated cells showed a concomitant repression of CRS protein-encoding genes (THBS1, SCG2, MMP1, MMP3, IL8, CCL2, and WNT5A) and the upregulation of MLD (DCT, TYRP1, and PMEL) and metabolic (APOE) genes (Figure 5A). Similarly, the inhibition of SETDB1 in SK-HI-SETDB1 also induced the significant downregulation of CRS markers (THBS1, SCG2, MMP1, MMP3, and WNT5A) and the upregulation of the APOE gene (Figure S4A), whereas no relative differences were detected relative to MLD gene expression (data not shown). The mit-based SETDB1-silencing approach was useful to further study the impact of SETDB1 on the transcriptional regulation of its downstream target genes. We focused, in particular, on the SETDB1-mediated deregulation of the DCT gene—a reporter plasmid containing the melanocyte-specific cis elements of the DCT promoter—which placed in front of a GFP open reading frame (ORF; "DCT promoter-GFP" vector), was generated and inserted in A375 cells, characterized by high SETDB1 and very low DCT endogenous expression. Transfected cells emitted no or only a faint green fluorescence signal; upon mit treatment, however, cells exhibited a general increase of the GFP signal, indicating the activation of the DCT promoter and in particular, of the promoter...
region responsive to melanocyte-differentiation signals, following SETDB1 inhibition (Figure S4B). Protein analysis of A375 DMSO- or mit-treated cells confirmed the increase of THBS1 (CRS marker) and the reduction of DCT (MLD marker) and APOE (metabolic marker) expression (Figure 5B). Furthermore, supernatant of A375 cells exposed to mithramycin exhibited lower levels of oncogenic-related secreted proteins (MMP8, IL-8, CXCL16, CCL2) than DMSO-treated cells; again, secreted THBS1 amounts resulted unchanged (Figure 5C). Finally, mit-mediated SETDB1 inhibition led to a strong abrogation of SCG2 expression and cytoplasmatic accumulation (Figure 5D). Taken together, mit induced profound changes in SETDB1-mediated molecular signature and protein activation in melanoma; these events might contribute to the drastic shift from an aggressive tumor cell-like behavior toward a less-aggressive and more differentiated phenotype also observed at the functional level.

**Combinatorial Treatment of Melanoma Cells with mit and BRAF/MEK Inhibitors Increases the Efficacy of Targeted Therapies**

Next, we aimed to define the effects of combinational treatments, consisting of stimulating A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 (BRAF-mutated melanoma cell lines) with mit, in combination with MAPK inhibitors, well-established targeted therapy compounds—vemurafenib (BRAF inhibitor; FDA approved in 2011) and trametinib (MEK inhibitor; approved in 2013)—used to treat BRAF-mutated melanoma patients. We treated A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 cells for 24 h with increasing concentrations of mit, alone or in combination with sublethal doses of either vemurafenib (3 μM; “mit+vem”) or trametinib (3 μM; “mit+tra”). Melanoma cells treated with combinatorial treatments showed decreased cell viability in comparison to mit-alone-treated cells (Figure 6A). Therefore, A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 functional properties were assessed upon mono- and combinatorial treatment. Vemurafenib- or trametinib-treated A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 melanoma cells exhibited a modest impairment of migration and invasive rate compared to DMSO-treated cells, whereas combinatorial treatments with mit induced stronger anti-migratory and anti-invasive effects (Figures 6B and 6C). These data suggested that targeted therapies with MAPK
inhibitors (vemurafenib and trametinib), in combination with mit, showed an increased efficacy on melanoma cells.

Mithralog EC-8042 Effectively Induces the Inhibition of SETDB1 Expression and Anti-Tumorigenic Effects in Melanoma

In this study, we provided evidence that mit abolished SETDB1 expression in melanoma cells, reverting its oncogenic potential. In the past years, a renovated interest in adopting mit for clinical purposes has been reported; however, the application of mit for targeted therapy approaches against cancer is today still limited by lack of efficacy and the presence of side effects. The development of mithramycin analogs (mithralogs) with improved anticancer properties and reduced toxicity represents a novel and promising alternative; in particular, it has been previously shown that the mithralog DIG-MSK (EC-8042) induced strong antitumor effects with less toxicity. For this reason, we included in this work an evaluation of the effects produced by stimulation of melanoma cells with the EC-8042 compound. Similarly to what was observed with mit, melanoma cells exposed to increasing doses of EC-8042 (10 nM–10 μM range) showed reduced levels of SETDB1 (Figures 7A and S5A); moreover, EC-8042 treatment resulted in impaired melanoma cell viability (mean IC50 values for A375: 2.75 μM after 24 h of EC-8042 treatment, 203 nM after 48 h, and 67.3 nM upon 72 h treatment; IC50 SK-HI-SETDB1: 5.84 μM, 161.7 nM, and 72.21 nM after 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively) (Figure S5B). Conversely, SETDB1 KD melanoma cells and fibroblasts exhibited no particular sensitivity to EC-8042 treatment (Figure 7B). Combinatorial treatments, including EC-8042 and MAPK inhibitors (vemurafenib/trametinib), led to augmented A375 melanoma cell death (Figure 7C). Next, to test whether EC-8042-mediated SETDB1 inhibition resulted in the loss of SETDB1-mediated tumorigenic effects, we performed in vitro functional assays of melanoma cells treated with 1 μM EC-8042 for 24 h. A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 cells showed slower migratory and invasive capacities upon EC-8042 exposure in comparison with DMSO-treated cells (Figures 7D and 7E). Finally, we evaluated the expression of SETDB1 downstream targets in melanoma cells following EC-8042 treatment; in a similar way to mit-treated cells, A375 exposed to 1 μM EC-8042 for 24 h displayed lower expression of CRS genes (THBS1, SCG2, MMP1, MMP3, IL8, CCL2, and WNT5A), together with higher expression of MLD (DCT and PMEL) and metabolic (APOE) markers compared to control cells. We could also observe the downregulation of THBS1, SCG2, MMP1, MMP3, and WNT5A and the upregulation of APOE in EC-8042-treated SK-HI-SETDB1 cells (Figures 7F, 7G, S5C, and S5D). To conclude, we demonstrated that EC-8042 effectively targeted SETDB1 expression and showed a strong anti-tumorigenic effect on melanoma cells, representing a valuable and promising therapeutic alternative for SETDB1 targeting in melanoma.
DISCUSSION

Our previous work indicated that SETDB1 induces transcriptomic alterations in melanoma. Functional annotation revealed that many genes upregulated in SETDB1 OE cell lines encoded for protumorigenic factors. Differential expression analysis indicated that SETDB1 upregulation increased the expression of secreted onco-proteins. CRS factors are strongly expressed and drive important tumorigenic features in melanoma; they included proteins belonging to thrombospondin (THBS1),\(^{33,34}\) granin (SCG2),\(^{16}\) matrix metalloproteases (MMP1, MMP3),\(^{35}\) ILs (IL-6, IL-8),\(^{36,37}\) chemokines (CCL2),\(^{38}\) and WNT (WNT5A)\(^{39}\) protein families. We also observed that upregulation of SETDB1 resulted in an increase of melanoma cell release of several secreted proteins, such as MMP8, CXCL16, and CCL2. During tumor development, changes in the tumor cell secretome occur frequently and for this reason, represent a hallmark of carcinogenesis.\(^{40}\) Contrary to our expectations, the level of secreted THBS1 was not affected by SETDB1 overexpression. Due to its multimodular structure, THBS1 is involved in different intra- and extracellular signaling pathways;\(^{41,42}\) in light of this, we speculated that SETDB1 may affect only the intracellular role of THBS1 in melanoma cells. Secreton mechanisms during tumorigenesis are still poorly elucidated. A broad range of cell types release secreted factors via a tight regulation of secretory granule exocytosis mechanisms;\(^{43}\) SCG2 is involved in the biosynthetic processes of secretory granules. Here, we proved that SCG2 protein is mostly located at the perinuclear region, assembled in vesicle-like intracellular structures. Hence, upregulation of SCG2 may be linked to an increase of secretory granule biogenesis, representing a key event for the activation of the secretory machinery and for the release of protumorigenic-secreted factors. Our data also suggested that SCG2 expression has a potential prognostic value, since it is particularly expressed in clinical samples related to patients with melanoma metastases and with low survival rate.

Functional annotation analysis of SETDB1 OE-melanoma cell transcriptome also indicated several downregulated genes that could be classified into two different classes: MLD markers and metabolic enzymes. MLD markers found downregulated following overexpression of SETDB1 in melanoma cells included DCT, TYRP1, TYR, and PMEL. These proteins modulate development and functions of melanocytes.\(^{44,45}\) In melanoma, the role of melanocytic markers is controversial: whereas several studies report a loss of DCT, TYR, and TYRP1 during melanoma progression,\(^{46,47}\) another work shows that melanoma cells can express these markers.\(^{48}\) The expression of differentiation markers positively correlates with a favorable clinical outcome in patients with metastatic melanoma.\(^{49}\) Reduced levels of MLD markers in SETDB1 OE cells suggested that SETDB1-positive cell populations might possess a less-differentiated phenotype. The metabolic factor APOE was also silenced upon SETDB1 induction. APOE mediates anti-tumorigenic effects inducing melanoma regression.\(^{19}\) Therefore, our findings indicated that SETDB1 drives the activation of a secreted protein associated with tumorigenesis and the repression of pro-differentiation/anti-tumorigenic factors. Mechanistically, given its multiple subcellular location,\(^{50}\) SETDB1 might be involved in multiple regulatory mechanisms, as the results of interaction with chromatin regulators, transcription factors, or other interacting partners indicate. It has been recently shown that SETDB1 is able to interact and form complexes with nonhistone substrates, such as P53 and Akt,\(^ {27,51,52}\) driving cancer cell growth and oncogenic features. Akt activation, in particular, is involved in the repression of differentiation mechanisms, such as melanin production and melanosomal formation, modulating the expression of tyrosinase and tyrosinase-related proteins.\(^{53,54}\) For this reason, Akt might play a critical role in modulating SETDB1-driven repression of a differentiated phenotype in melanoma.

This bivalent gene-expression signature closely resembled the expression profiling of hIPSC-Mb, which is recently described as a model to investigate molecular features that often occur during melanoma pathogenesis.\(^{22}\) The analysis of the common deregulated genes observed in melanoma cells following SETDB1 overexpression and in hIPSC-Mb (undifferentiated lineage), in comparison to NHMs (differentiated lineage), reported genes belonging to CRS, MLD, and metabolic classes. Since positive-SETDB1 melanoma cell lines are characterized by a more aggressive behavior,\(^ {12,13}\) we could speculate that these features reflected the melanoblast-like gene signature.

Given the prominent role of SETDB1 in melanoma progression, a therapeutic strategy able to efficiently target SETDB1 might represent a promising option for melanoma treatment. The antitumor antibiotic mit abrogates the expression of SETDB1 by modulating SP-1 protein activity at the SETDB1 promoter.\(^ {25}\) Mit modulates antitumor effects in several malignancies, including melanoma;\(^ {28,56-60}\) the compound was used in a phase II clinical trial for the treatment of lung cancer, esophageal cancer, breast cancer, mesothelioma, and gastrointestinal tumors (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01624090). Lung cancer cells treated with mit exhibit impaired proliferation and decreased levels of SETDB1; interestingly, these effects were observed only in SETDB1-positive cancer cells.\(^ {51}\) A recent work conducted by Guo et al.\(^ {27}\) showed that mit impaired SETDB1 levels in different cell lines.

Figure 6. Treatment with Mithramycin A (mit) in Combination with BRAF/MEK Inhibitors Contributed to Melanoma Cell Death and to an Impairment of Tumor Cell Features

(A) Top panels: cell viability assay of A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 melanoma cells treated with increasing doses of mit alone or in combination with either sublethal concentrations of vemurafenib (3 μM; mit+vem) or trametinib (3 μM; mit+tra). Cells showed a greater sensitivity to the combined therapies after 24 h. Viability of DMSO-treated (control) cells was set as 100%. Bottom panels: boxplots showing A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 mit, mit+vem, and mit+tra IC₅₀ (nM) after 24 h exposures. (B) Migration scratch assay of A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 melanoma cells treated according to the established drug-treatment conditions: DMSO, vemurafenib (vem), mit+vem, trametinib (tra), mit+tra. At the endpoint, the percentages of the open-gap area were defined. (C) Invasion assay showing the invasive capacities of A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 melanoma cells exposed to DMSO, vem, mit+vem, tra, or mit+tra for 24 h.
Figure 7. Mithralog EC-8042 Targeted SETDB1 in Melanoma Cells
(A) Western blot detection of SETDB1 in A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 cells, showing an EC-8042 dose-dependent SETDB1 inhibition. (B) Cell viability assay of dermal fibroblasts, A375 NT, A375 SETDB1 KD, SK-HI-SETDB1 NT, and SK-HI-SETDB1 KD cells upon EC-8042 stimulation for 24 h. (C) Boxplot representing the A375 IC50 relative to mono (EC-8042 “EC”) and combinatorial (EC-8042 + vemurafenib “EC+vem”; EC-8042 + trametinib “EC+tra”) treatments after 24 h. (D) Migration and (E) Invasion functional assays performed on A375 and SK-HI-SETDB1 cells following exposure to 1 μM EC-8042 for 24 h. (F) qPCR analysis of a panel of CRS, MLD, and metabolic genes in A375 cells treated either with DMSO or with 1 μM EC-8042. Number or replicates: 3–4. (G) THBS1, DCT, APOE, and GAPDH immunodetection in A375 cells treated with either DMSO or EC-8042.
including A375 melanoma cells, resulting in strong in vitro and in vivo antitumor effects. Here, we evaluated the effects of mit treatment on viability of melanoma cells with high endogenous levels of SETDB1, observing that they were particularly sensitive to mit treatment, whereas nontumor cells, like dermal fibroblasts, as well as SETDB1-KD melanoma cells, showed an unaltered viability rate upon mit exposure. Furthermore, mit treatment reduced SETDB1 expression in melanoma cells in a dose-dependent fashion. These findings recapitulated the inhibitory effects induced by mit in lung cancer cells. mit treatment also induced drastic morphological changes of melanoma cells, exhibiting larger nuclei and less-pronounced membrane protrusions. Morphological alterations are predictive of tumor cell behavior; melanoma cells with ectopic SETDB1 overexpression show an elongated, spindle-shaped morphology, which is often observed in aggressive tumor cells, whereas mit treatment reverted this morphological feature.

Melanoma cells treated with mit exhibited impaired migratory and invasive capacity. Similar effects have been described in glioma, salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma, and lung cancer exposed to mit. Malignant melanoma cell motility was further investigated by exploiting an in vitro 2D invasion model. This system aimed at recreating the interactions found in a solid-tumor microenvironment between tumor cells and stromal cells (fibroblasts), which sustain tumor cell growth, malignant transformation, and drug-resistance mechanisms. mit exposure, however, severely limited the interactions and the functionality of melanoma cells that were in direct contact with fibroblasts, failing to migrate and invade the fibroblast layer. mit-mediated SETDB1 inhibition impacted on transcriptional and secretory mechanism melanoma cells, resulting in reduced levels of CRS factor expression and secretion, together with the upregulation of MLD and metabolic enzymes. Again, SETDB1 deregulation defined a bivalent gene-expression pattern, reflecting melanoma cell properties and behavior.

Next, we assessed the anti-tumorigenic effects of mit in combinatorial treatments, together with compounds able to specifically target and inhibit mutated protein variants of the MAPK pathway, found frequently deregulated in melanoma. BRAF (vemurafenib) and MEK (trametinib) inhibitors achieve remarkable clinical responses, frequently deregulated in melanoma. BRAF (vemurafenib) and MEK (trametinib) inhibitors achieve remarkable clinical responses, together with reduced toxicity, than mit in vivo. Therefore, the EC-8042 molecule achieved beneficial effects in preclinical studies for the treatment of several malignancies, including triple-negative breast cancer, ovarian cancer, sarcoma, head and neck cancer, and prostate cancer. For all of these reasons, we investigated the effects of EC-8042 in melanoma. Here, we showed that EC-8042 suppressed the expression of SETDB1; melanoma cells were highly sensitive to EC-8042 and in a similar way, as observed following mit treatment, exerted antitumor effects related to SETDB1 mechanisms of action and augmented the action of MAPK inhibitors. Together, these data suggested that EC-8042 might be considered as a valuable option, ideally in relation to SETDB1-abrogative effects and in combination with MAPK inhibitors, for the establishment of novel treatments used in the therapy of melanoma.

In conclusion, our findings support the central role of SETDB1 as a key regulator of molecular events leading melanoma progression and as a promising candidate target for treating advanced melanoma. The development of novel therapeutic strategies aiming at selectively targeting SETDB1 expression and functions may result in significant clinical benefits for melanoma treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines and Compounds

Melanoma cell lines used in this study were purchased from ATCC and the Leibnitz Institute Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ). The SK-HI-SETDB1 cell line is a subclone generated by the parental SKMEL28 cell line, as previously described, characterized by high mean SETDB1 amplification. Identity of melanoma cells was authenticated by a cell-line authentication test. Cells were routinely tested for mycoplasma contamination with the VenorGeM Classic mycoplasma detection kit (Minerva Biolabs). Melanoma cells, NHMs, and dermal fibroblasts (isolated from a healthy patient’s foreskin) were cultured in mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) medium, composed of DMEM medium (Life Technologies), 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS; Biochrom), 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Life Technologies), 1% nonessential amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), and stably kept at 37°C and 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator. Drug-based assays were performed, exposing cultured cells to DMSO-dissolved mit (BioTrend), EC-8042 (under development at EntreChem SL), vemurafenib (Selleckchem), or trametinib (Selleckchem), at defined concentrations (final DMSO concentration in cell culture medium < 0.1%).

Plasmids and Lentiviral Cell Transduction

SETDB1 OE and control (EV) constructs were generated, as previously described. Constitutive mammalian vectors containing fluorescent reporter genes (GFP, TOMATO) were used for 2D invasion.
assay. The DCT promoter-GFP reporter construct (derived from Addgene; #17448) contained the ORF of GFP under the control of a partial DCT promoter sequence. Competent DH5α bacterial cells (Sigma-Aldrich) were used for transformation. A high quantity of pure plasmid DNA was obtained with the EndoFree Plasmid Maxi Kit (QIAGEN), and the DNA plasmid sequence was confirmed by DNA sequencing (LGIC). Lentiviruses containing DNA plasmids were produced in human HEK293T cells and then used for cell transduction in the presence of 2–8 μg/mL polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich) to improve the transduction efficiency. Transduced cells were selected with antibiotic (1–3 μg/mL puromycin, Carl Roth; or 10–15 μg/mL blasticidin, Sigma-Aldrich), accordingly, with the used lentivirus.

**Real-Time PCR**
Total RNA from cultured cells was isolated using the RNasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN), following the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was generated by reverse transcription of 500 ng of total RNA using the Revert Aid First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Gene expression was assessed by a real-time PCR reaction using the SYBR Green method. Briefly, cDNA was mixed with SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies); each PCR reaction was loaded in triplicates onto a 96-well plate and run on a 7500 Real-Time PCR System device (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies). Primers were designed using PrimerBlast or obtained from a Primer Bank database; primer efficiency was determined by amplification of the target from serial fold dilutions. The full list of primer pairs used in this study is provided in Table 2. 18s ribosomal RNA (18s) expression was used as an endogenous control for all of the experiments; expression results were analyzed using either ∆Ct value (for determining the endogenous SCG2 expression in a panel of melanoma cell lines) or ∆∆Ct value (for all of the remaining experiments) methods. qPCR data were analyzed using 7500 Software, version 2.0.5 (Applied Biosystems).

**Western Blot**
Cultured cells were harvested and then lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (4 M NaCl, 1% IGEPAL, 10% sodium deoxycholate, 10% SDS, 1 M Tris, pH 8). Lysates’ protein concentrations were assessed with the Pierce bicinechonic acid (BCA) Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), following the manufacturer’s instructions. About 15–50 μg of whole-cell lysates was loaded and run on NuPAGE Novex 4%–12% Bis-Tris protein gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Proteins were then transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Merck Millipore). Membranes were first incubated for 1 h at room temperature (RT) with a blocking solution (3% BSA in Tris-buffered saline (TBS)) to avoid any unspecific antibody-protein binding and then probed with anti-SETDB1 (Bio-Rad; VMA00243KT), anti-THBS1 (Thermo Fischer Scientific; MA5-13398), anti-DCT (Santa Cruz; sc-74439), anti-APOE (Santa Cruz; sc-390925), or anti-glycerdehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; Cell Signaling Technology; 2118S) antibodies, diluted in the same blocking buffer during the overnight incubation at 4°C on a shaker. The following day, membranes were washed three times in 1× TBS-Tween buffer and then incubated with the designated horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (anti-mouse immunoglobulin G [IgG] HRP-linked antibody, Cell Signaling Technology, 7076S; anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked antibody, Cell Signaling Technology, 7074S) for 1 h at 4°C. Afterward, membranes were shortly exposed to Luminata Forte Western HRP Substrate (Merck Millipore) and developed with the ChemiDoc Touch Imaging System (Bio-Rad). Acquired images were analyzed by ImageJ software (NIH) and Image Lab (Bio-Rad).

**Tissue Microarray (TMA) Analysis**
Tissues obtained from patients with melanoma metastases were prepared and assembled in TMA blocks, as previously described,77 and stained with anti-SETDB1 or anti-SCG2 (Gene Tex; GTX116446) antibodies. Next, TMAs were scanned by the National Centre for Tumour Diseases (NCT)-Gewebebank facility, Pathology Unit (Heidelberg, Germany). Acquired images were scored by two independent observers; overall scores for each sample were based on the immunohistochemistry score system (score range: 0–12).77 Each patient included in this study released a valid informed consent, in accordance with the ethical vote 2010-318N-MA (Heidelberg University, Germany).

**Light and Fluorescent Microscopy**
To evaluate morphological alterations of cultured cells upon treatment with the SETDB1 inhibitor, cells were seeded into 6-well plates and treated the following day; after an additional 24 h, cells were observed under a DM LS Light Microscope (Leica). Melanoma cells previously transduced with the DCT promoter-GFP vector were treated in the same way; fluorescent images were acquired with an Eclipse Ti fluorescence microscope (Nikon).

**Immunofluorescence**
Around 3 × 10⁴ cells were seeded in 8-chamber culture slides (Falcon). After 48 h (or 24 h after treatments), cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 5 min on ice and an additional 10 min at RT and then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 10 min. Next, cells were preincubated for 2 h with blocking solution (3% BSA) before anti-SCG2 primary antibody incubation overnight at 4°C. The following day, cells were washed and incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG heavy + light chains (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 (Abcam; ab150077)-conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 h at RT in the dark. Nuclei were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Roche) for 15 min. Slide mounting was performed with fluorescent mounting medium (Dako). Images were acquired and analyzed by Nikon Imaging Software (NIS)-Elements software (Nikon).

**ELISA Proteome Profiler**
1 × 10⁶ cells were seeded in T-75 flasks and cultured for 48 h (or 24 h after treatment). Then, cell supernatants were centrifuged to remove particulates; 1 mL of each cell culture supernatant was collected and tested with the Proteome Profiler Human Angiogenesis Array Kit (R&D Systems), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Protein
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signals were quantified by HLImage++ image analysis software (Western Vision) and ImageJ (NIH).

**Transcriptional Profiling Analysis**
A functional (GO) annotation of differentially expressed genes was conducted using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) tool (https://david.ncifcrf.gov) on gene-expression data from our previous work (HT 144-SETDB1 OE versus EV microarray data¹³). Transcriptional profiling data of hiPSC-Mb versus NHM were obtained from published work.²²

**Cell Viability Assay**
About 2.5–5 × 10² cells were seeded in 96-well plates and treated the following day with mit or EC-8042, alone or in combinatorial treatments with MAPK inhibitors (vemurafenib or trametinib) at different concentration ranges, depending on the exposure time. After 24, 48, or 72 h, Alamar Blue (Invitrogen) was added to cultured cells’ medium (10% final concentration), and following 4 h incubation, fluorescence emitted by viable cells was read at the excitation wavelength of 560 nm and emission of 590 nm and measured with an Infinite 200 spectrophotometer (Tecan).

**Migration Assay**
Cells were seeded in culture 2-well inserts (Ibidi) at a density of 3.5 × 10³ cells/field. 24 h later, cells were exposed to defined drug treatments and to 1 μM aphidicolin (Sigma-Aldrich), a cell-proliferation inhibitor. Subsequently, inserts were removed, and gap closing, an indicator of cell migration rate, was monitored until the 24-h treatment endpoint. Acquired images were analyzed with TScratch software (CSElab).

**Transwell Invasion Assay and 2D Invasion System**
Cell invasive properties were tested with the Tumor Invasion System (Corning), following the manufacturer’s guidelines, with some modifications: about 2.5 × 10⁵ cells were cultured in each top chamber, diluted in 0.5% FCS-MEF medium, whereas 20% FCS-MEF medium was added to the lower chamber to stimulate cell invasion. 4 h after seeding, cells were treated, and the following day, invading cells were stained with 4 μM calcein-acetoxymethyl (AM; Corning) in PBS solution for 1 h. Then, images were acquired and fluorescence read with a microplate reader at the excitation of 494 nm and emission of 517 nm. Cell invasive rate was quantified as relative fluorescence units (RFUs).

Tumor cell invasive properties were also evaluated by establishing a 2D invasion system.⁷⁸ Culture 2-well inserts were filled with about 3 × 10⁴ melanoma cells on one side and 3 × 10⁴ fibroblasts on the other. The following day, inserts were removed to allow the two cell types (both labeled with a different fluorochrome) to interact. Once the gap was fully closed, cells were exposed to inhibitor treatment. Fluorescent images were acquired before and after treatment to define the amount of tumor cells invading the fibroblast layer upon drug exposure.

**Statistical Analysis**
Experiments were run at least in triplicates. Data are represented as mean ± SEM and analyzed using Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad). Statistical two-tailed Student’s t test was applied to compare two conditions, whereas one-way ANOVA statistical test was used to compare multiple conditions. Spearman correlation defined the association of two parameters, and Kaplan-Meier method was used for survival analysis. Statistical significance is indicated with the p value scale (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; "ns" refers to p > 0.5).
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