Alternatives for erroneous finger probe pulse oximetry in systemic sclerosis patients during COVID-19 pandemic
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Dear Editor,

The ongoing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has posed a serious threat to everybody. Moreover, it has posed even more serious challenge in managing patients with concomitant co-morbidities. Patients with autoimmune connective tissue diseases showed a higher prevalence of symptomatic COVID-19 [1]. Systemic sclerosis is one of the most severe autoimmune connective tissue diseases (ACTD) characterized by fibrosis of skin and internal organs (multi-system), vasculopathy and dysregulation of immune system. COVID-19 and systemic sclerosis share pathological alterations such as interstitial lung involvement that may evolve to lung fibrosis. In a survey by Ferri et al. [2] found, COVID-19 was more prevalent in patients with symptomatic systemic sclerosis patients with high prevalence of death.

Oxygen saturation monitoring in COVID-19 patients is an important tool for prognosis and titration of oxygen therapy. Oxygen saturation is usually measured through a finger probe pulse oximetry in COVID-19 patients. Pulse oximetry is an useful, readily available and non-invasive tool of measuring oxygen saturation. It uses light sensors containing two light sources of different wavelengths, that are absorbed by haemoglobin (both oxy and deoxy forms) and transmitted through tissues to a photodetector. Any discrepancy in the light transmission caused either by vascular abnormality of the fingers or anatomical change may lead to inaccurate or absence of reading in the pulse oximeter. Systemic sclerosis patients with COVID-19 pose a serious challenge for monitoring of oxygen saturation through finger probe pulse oximetry due to sclerodactyly, microvascular damage and digital ulceration. Finger probe pulse oximetry usually gives false low or even absent values in systemic sclerosis patients [3]. Therefore, pulse oximetry results in systemic sclerosis patients should be interpreted with wariness.

Akdogan et al. [4] investigated the effect of nailfold capillaroscopic patterns on pulse oximetry measurements in systemic sclerosis patients. Oxygen saturation measurements were performed from 2nd to 5th fingers in both hands with a finger probe pulse oximetry. The oxygen saturation could not be measured in 37.7% systemic sclerosis patients and there was >4% difference between the minimum and maximum oxygen saturation measurements. Authors suggested assessment of the highest measured oxygen saturation values among the fingers of a patient which may be more suitable in practice [4]. Although, authors did not detect any effect of capillaroscopic patterns on mean SpO2 values, possibly due to non-uniform distribution of vasculopathic damage. Nailfold capillaroscopy may aid in finding early, active or late patterns of vascular damage. It is likely that finding of early or very minimal vascular abnormality would corroborate with highest SpO2 measurement as compared to late pattern, thus it might be speculated to augment a better accuracy for oximetry.

To decrease the error values on finger pulse oximetry in systemic sclerosis patients, a closer examination of fingers must be undertaken. Finger with no sclerodactyly or digital ulceration should be chosen for oximetry. Nail-fold capillaroscopy may aid in finding early, active or late patterns of vascular damage. It is likely that finding of early or very minimal vascular abnormality would corroborate with highest SpO2 measurement as compared to late pattern, thus it might be speculated to augment a better accuracy for oximetry.
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to be resistant to hypoperfusion and motion. Therefore, their role in systemic sclerosis can be explored [5]. A prospective single centre study of 40 intensive care unit patients, found readings from oesophageal probe were more consistent with arterial oxygen saturation than readings from surface pulse oximetry [6]. In another study of 11 healthy volunteers using forehead oximeter probe concluded that forehead provides an excellent alternative site to monitor SpO2 and the application of elastic tensioning headband significantly reduced reading errors due to the posture [7]. Therefore, oesophageal and forehead oximeter probes can also be utilized in systemic sclerosis patients with COVID-19 for oxygen saturation measurements [6, 7].

Oxygen saturation measurements is an important investigational modality in COVID-19 management. Any discrepancy in the oxygen saturation readings may affect the management. Patients of systemic sclerosis may have oxygen saturation on the lower side due to interstitial lung involvement by the disease. Concomitant COVID-19 in these patients is a dangerous scenario and the monitoring of oxygen saturation in these patients has to be more frequent and critically analysed. The selection of uninvolved fingers is prudent for pulse oximetry in these patients for accurate measurements. However, ear lobe, forehead or oesophageal oximetry may be utilized for oxygen saturation measurements in systemic sclerosis patients with COVID-19 where diffuse involvement of all fingers is present.
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