INTRODUCTION

Dystonia is a movement disorder characterized by involuntary muscle contractions. Patients with dystonia may experience uncontrollable twisting, repetitive movements, or abnormal posture. A 55-year-old man presented with an involuntary left forearm supination, which he had experienced for five years. There was no history of antecedent trauma to the wrist or elbow. Although conventional therapeutic modalities had been performed, the symptoms persisted. When he visited our hospital, electromyography was performed. Reduced conduction velocity was evident at the elbow-axilla segment of the left median nerve. We suspected that there was a problem on the median nerve between the elbow and the axilla. For this reason, we performed an ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging study. A spindle-shaped soft tissue mass was observed at the left median nerve that suggested the possibility of neurofibroma. Dystonia caused by traumatic or compressive peripheral nerve injury has often been reported, but focal dystonia due to a neurogenic tumor is extremely rare. Here, we report our case with a review of the literature.
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CASE REPORT

A 55-year-old man presented at our medical facility with involuntary left forearm supination that he had ex-
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The man, who is a university professor, felt discomfort when washing his face and typing on the keyboard (Fig. 1). He did not have a history of antecedent trauma to the wrist or elbow. There was no history of diabetes mellitus, thyroid disease and other medical problems, except that he was a hepatitis B carrier. In the neurology clinic in another hospital, delayed distal latencies at the left median nerve and ulnar nerve were apparent on a nerve conduction study (NCS). In addition, increased insertional activities were documented at the left flexor carpi radialis (FCR) and brachioradialis on a needle electromyography. A cervical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study showed disc space narrowing at C5-6 and multi-level disc protrusion (Fig. 2). Conventional therapeutic modalities, including ultrasound, range of motion exercise and stretching exercise, were performed. Despite this, the symptoms persisted.

When the patient visited to our outpatient clinic, we conducted a physical examination of the patient. The dystonia mainly involved his left forearm, was present at rest, and became more marked with activity such as typing or washing. No trunk, face and lower extremity dystonia was observed. On the manual muscle test, both upper extremities showed Medical Research Council (MRC) grade 5 power, and superficial sensory impairment were assessed by a pinprick and light touch test, he felt the same in both upper extremities and the face. Tactile spatial acuity was assessed by a two-point discrimination (2PD) test on the forearm. The threshold of a 2PD test in the unaffected arm was about 8 mm, but about 10 mm in

---

**Fig. 1.** Clinical presentation. (A) Involuntary forearm supination with elbow flexed at 90°. (B) He had to type on a keyboard by raising his elbow due to forearm supination.

**Fig. 2.** Cervical magnetic resonance image taken at another hospital. (A) Sagittal image demonstrates disc space narrowing at C5-6 and multi-level disc protrusion. (B) Axial image demonstrates right paracentral disc protrusion at C5-6 level.
**Table 1. Results of motor nerve conduction study**

| Nerve/Sites               | Latency (ms) | Amp 1–2 (mV) | Velocity (m/s) |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| R. Median - recorded at APB |              |              |                |
| Wrist                     | 3.35         | 14.2         |                |
| Elbow                     | 7.50         | 14.3         | 54.2           |
| Axilla                    | 9.95         | 14.7         | 63.3           |
| EP                        | 13.55        | 13.3         |                |
| L. Median - recorded at APB |              |              |                |
| Wrist                     | 3.85         | 11.1         |                |
| Elbow                     | 8.25         | 11.0         | 53.4           |
| Axilla                    | 10.50        | 11.4         | 48.9           |
| EP                        | 14.65        | 11.3         |                |
| L. Ulnar - recorded at ADM |              |              |                |
| Wrist                     | 2.70         | 11.3         |                |
| Elbow                     | 7.35         | 10.1         | 60.2           |
| L. Radial - recorded at EIP |            |              |                |
| Forearm                   | 2.20         | 7.2          |                |
| Elbow                     | 5.05         | 6.4          | 59.6           |
| Arm                       | 6.15         | 6.2          | 63.6           |
| R. Radial - recorded at EIP |            |              |                |
| Forearm                   | 2.25         | 7.9          |                |
| Elbow                     | 4.85         | 7.5          | 63.5           |
| Arm                       | 6.00         | 7.2          | 69.6           |

APB, abductor pollicis brevis; EP, Erb’s point; ADM, abductor digiti minimi; EIP, extensor indicis proprius; L., left; R., right.

**Table 2. Results of needle electromyography**

| Muscle                  | Spontaneous Activity | MUAP | Recruitment pattern |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------|---------------------|
|                         | IA       | Fib  | PSW | Others | Amp | Dur | PPP |             |
| L. APB                  | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. Pron Quad            | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. ADM                  | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. FCR                  | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. FCU                  | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. Pron Teres           | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. FPL                  | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. ECR                  | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. EIP                  | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. Supinator            | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. Biceps               | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. Triceps              | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |
| L. C PSP (C6-7)         | N        | None | None| None   | N   | N   | N   | N           |

MUAP, motor unit action potential; IA, insertional activity; Fib, fibrillation; PSW, positive sharp wave; Amp, amplitude; Dur, duration; PPP, polyphasic potential; APB, abductor pollicis brevis; Pron Quad, pronator quadratus; ADM, abductor digiti minimi; FCR, flexor carpi radialis; FCU, flexor carpi ulnaris; Pron Teres, pronator teres; FPL, flexor pollicis longus; ECR, extensor carpi radialis; EIP, extensor indicis proprius; C PSP, cervical paraspinal; N, normal; L., left.
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The deep tendon reflex was normal and Tinel sign at the wrist and elbow was not present. Spurling test showed a negative finding.

Electrodiagnostic studies were conducted once again to more clearly delineate the problem. The sensory NCS was relatively normal but the motor NCS revealed decreased conduction velocity at the elbow-axilla segment of the left median nerve (Table 1). There was no abnormal spontaneous activity and the needle electromyography showed that the recruitment of the motor unit action potential was normal (Table 2). Based on electrophysiologic findings, which indicated left median neuropathy above the elbow, we suspected that there was a problem on the median nerve between the elbow and axilla. First, ultrasonography was performed on the left upper extremity, and there was a 7×5×5-mm nodule in the median nerve at the distal arm. A left upper extremity contrast-enhanced MRI was performed to evaluate the soft tissue mass more accurately. In the MRI examination, a spindle-shaped soft tissue mass was observed at the left median nerve that suggested the possibility of neurofibroma or schwannoma (Fig. 3). We recommended surgical mass excision. However, the patient refused to undergo surgery because of potential side effects, such as paralysis. In order to treat dystonia, conventional treatments were administered, but the symptoms only improved temporarily.

DISCUSSION

Dystonia is a movement disorder that manifests with various clinical features. It is classified according to the age of onset, body distribution, temporal pattern, associated features or etiology [1]. Among them, focal dystonia is a type of dystonia involving a single region of the body. Focal dystonia might occur idiopathically (primary dystonia) or secondary to an abnormality in the central or peripheral nervous system (secondary dystonia). Secondary focal dystonia after trauma manifests as ‘fixed dystonia’ unlike primary focal dystonia [2]. A well-known type of focal dystonia is task-specific (action) dystonia, such as writer’s cramp, typist’s dystonia, or musician’s dystonia. Mostly these types of task-specific dystonia have no evidence of degeneration or structural lesions.

To date, the pathophysiology of dystonia has been researched, but we know little about it. The previous pathophysiological study focused on motor pathways.

Iyer and Thirkannad [3] suggested that peripheral nerve injury could potentially influence the motor control circuit leading to dystonia. Quartarone et al. [4] suggested that abnormal sensorimotor plasticity contributed to dystonic movements by using a paired associatiive stimulation technique. These maladaptive tendencies occurred as a result of environmental factors such as peripheral nerve trauma or injury that was intrinsically genetic.

Although dystonia is a movement-related disease, se-
veral recent research reports have suggested that the sensory system plays a major role in dystonia. Electrophysiological evidence supports this opinion using somatosensory evoked potential [5]. Furthermore, spatial somatosensory dysfunction contributes to dystonia [6].

In addition, various experimental studies were performed to reveal the association between sensory dysfunction and dystonia.

There are several important points in this case. Firstly, this case showed focal dystonia that occurred secondary to a peripheral neurogenic tumor. To the best of our knowledge, this is first case of focal dystonia secondary to peripheral neurogenic tumor, which has been demonstrated electrophysiologically and clinically. Furthermore, most cases have reported dystonia associated with ulnar nerve injury, but this case has dealt with problems on the median nerve, which is rare. Secondly, it is not difficult to find the electrophysiologic abnormality in previous reported cases. However, we paid great attention to detail when interpreting the electrophysiologic study. As a result, we found subtle electrophysiological changes.

Because of these subtle changes in the electrodiagnostic study, we suspected a lesion above the elbow. Therefore, imaging studies were performed, and we discovered a neurogenic tumor in the median nerve. There is an implication that the peripheral neurogenic tumor can potentially cause changes in sensorimotor control circuits leading to focal dystonia.

It is unusual to encounter a patient with dystonia. However, when we evaluate a patient with dystonia, peripheral nerve disorders, such as peripheral nerve tumors should be suspected, if the findings of other tests have been normal.
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