Abstract. Transplantation of olfactory ensheathing cells (OECs) has potential for treating spinal cord and brain injury. However, they are void of an extracellular matrix to support cell growth and migration. Engineering of tissue to mimic the extracellular matrix is a potential solution for neural repair. Tussah silk fibroin (TSF) has good biocompatibility and an Arg-Gly-Asp tripeptide sequence. A small number of studies have assessed the effect of the diameter of TSF nanofibers on cell adhesion, growth and migration. In the present study, TSF nanofibers with a diameter of 400 and 1,200 nm were prepared using electrospinning technology; these were then used as scaffolds for OECs. The structure and morphology of the TSF nanofibers were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy. An inverted-phase contrast microscope and SEM were used to observe the morphology of OECs on the TSF nanofibers. The effect on the adhesion of the cells was observed following crystal violet staining. The phenotype of the cells and the maximum axon length on the scaffolds were evaluated by immunostaining for nerve growth factor receptor p75. Cell proliferation and viability were assessed by an MTT assay and a Live/Dead reagent kit. The migration efficiency of OECs was observed using live-cell microscopy. The results indicated that a 400-nm TSF fiber scaffold was more conducive to OEC adhesion, growth and migration compared with a 1,200-nm TSF scaffold. The phenotype of the OECs was normal, and no difference in OEC phenotype was observed when comparing those on TSF nanofibers to those on PLL. The present study may provide guidance regarding the preparation of tissue-engineered materials for neural repair.

Introduction

Olfactory ensheathing cells (OECs) have an important role as seed cells in central nervous system (CNS) repair (1-3). OECs secrete a variety of neurotrophic factors, including nerve growth factors, as well as extracellular matrix molecules, to improve the microenvironment after nerve injury, reduce glial scarring and prevent neuronal apoptosis (4). Previous studies using animal models have also reported that OEC transplantation significantly promoted nerve fiber regeneration and partial functional recovery (5).

However, at present, the efficacy of cell transplants in repairing the CNS is not ideal as inflammatory substances and glial scar formation has been demonstrated to inhibit the secretion of extracellular matrix components and cell scaffolds (6). In recent years, promising tissue-engineered biomaterial scaffolds have been demonstrated to have the capacity to improve the repair of CNS injury. The ideal biomaterials should have biodegradability, biocompatibility, excellent mechanical properties and flexibility (7,8). Natural biodegradable materials include chitosan, polypeptide hydrogel, poly L-lactic acid (PLL), chitosan/polyethylene stents and non-cellular scaffolds, which have been considered for the repair of nerve damage (9-11). Our group has been working on natural Tussah silk fibroin (TSF) biomedical materials for numerous years (12). TSF is a natural protein with a polymer structure. Its amino acid composition is characterized by a large number of arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) tripeptide sequences. This RGD tripeptide sequence structure is known to facilitate cell adhesion. TSF also has good biocompatibility, with no toxic effects on cells and organisms, and a low likelihood of inflammatory reaction or immune rejection (13-16).

In recent years, the use of this electrospinning technology has led to great progress in the preparation of tissue-engineered materials. The diameter, structure distribution, molecular conformation and crystallinity of TSF may be controlled using electrospinning technology (17). When the fiber diameter is...
decreased from microns to nanometers, the material properties are improved accordingly.

A previous study by our group indicated that TSF scaffolds prepared by electrostatic spinning have good biocompatibility with OECs and may support their growth and migration (12). However, the study also suggested that the diameter of nanoscale fibers affects the biological effects of OECs. Previous studies have demonstrated that the diameter of electrospun nanofibers has a significant effect on cell behavior (18-20). In one study, fibers with a diameter of 400 and 1,200 nm were prepared for evaluating their effect on cell behavior (21), and the results suggested that the diameter of the scaffold had a marked impact on neural cell behavior, with a significant increase in the cell-spreading area observed on 400-nm silk fibroin (SF). A significantly enhanced migration efficiency of astrocytes grown on SF scaffolds was demonstrated, which highlighted the effects of SF nanofibers to enhance cell migration. As it was indicated that the diameter of SF nanofibers may be an important factor in the construction of biomimetic environments suitable for cell growth, the present study aimed to assess the ideal diameter of TSF as a tissue engineering scaffold material.

Materials and methods

Materials and sample preparation. TSF nanofibers were prepared as previously reported (22). In brief, TSF fibers were boiled in 0.5% (w/w) Na$_2$CO$_3$ aqueous solution for 30 min twice for degumming, followed by thorough rinsing with sufficient deionized water to remove the glue-like sericin. The degummed TSF fibers were dissolved in 16 M lithium thiocyanate solution and the mixture was heated with hotplate stirrers at 50°C for 1 h, followed by dialysis with cellulose tubular membranes in distilled water for 3 days (molecular weight cut-off, 8,000-14,000 kDa). A TSF film was prepared by spreading the TSF solution onto a polyethylene plastic board and leaving it to dry at room temperature. The electrospinning solution was prepared by dissolving the TSF film in hexafluoroisopropanol with hotplate stirrers for 1 week at 25°C. The spin solution was transferred to a 10-ml syringe with an 18 G needle. The flow rate was 0.5 ml/h, the voltage was gradually increased to 16 kV and the collection distance was 12 cm. TSF nanofibers with diameters of 400 and 1,200 nm were generated using spin solutions with concentrations of 8 and 16 wt%, respectively. The as-spun TSF nanofibers were immersed in 75% (v/v) ethanol/water for 30 min to induce a structural change, and then dried at room temperature for 24 h. Finally, the TSF nanofibers were X-rayed prior to use. X-ray diffraction experiments were measured on X'Pert Pro MPD (PANalytical B.V., Almelo, Netherlands) in transmittance mode to investigate the crystalline structure of samples at a wavelength of 0.154 nm. Lastly, the intensity of the incident beam, the sample absorption and the background were corrected for changes (23).

Material characterization. The samples were cut into squares of 1 mm$^2$ and fixed on copper chips. After spraying with gold, they were observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (23,24). In order to calculate the diameter of the nanofibers, SEM images of >100 independent fibers were analyzed by ImageJ software (version 1.47; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) is a powerful and commonly used tool for secondary structure analysis; the conformational changes and secondary structure of TSF nanofibers after ethanol treatment were determined by FTIR (24,25).

In vitro primary cultured OECs on TSF scaffolds. All animal experiments of the present study were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University (Suzhou, China) and performed in accordance with the Guidelines for the Welfare of Animals of Soochow University (Suzhou, China) (12) as well as the National Institute of Health’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (26). Primary cultures of OECs were prepared from 30 male Sprague Dawley rats (weight, 100-150 g; age, 4-5 weeks; Experimental Animal Center of Soochow University) as reported previously (12). The culture medium for OECs consisted of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)/F-12 (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 1% glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and 2% penicillin-streptomycin (Harbin Pharmaceutical Group Co., Ltd., Harbin, China). The culturing condition was as follows: 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO$_2$. After 10 days in culture, OECs were used for a biocompatibility evaluation with TSF nanofibers. The OECs were detached with 0.1% trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) at 37°C for 10 min, centrifuged at 157 x g for 5 min and then suspended. Subsequently, the OECs (1.0x10$^5$) were seeded on coverslips coated with 400-nm or 1,200-nm TSF fibers or PLL (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) that had been pre-wetted with culture medium in 35-mm Petri dishes (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA), the dimensions of TSF fibers were 10x10x1 mm, the thickness was 1 mm; PLL served as a positive control. The nanofibers were incubated in 1 ml complete culture medium at 37°C for 30 min prior to seeding. Cell suspension (1 ml) was placed on the fibers in each dish, and 1 ml culture medium was added after 4 h. The culture medium was changed every 3 days. After culture for 4 days, the samples were observed with an inverted phase-contrast microscope and SEM, using the same method of SEM as described above.

Immunofluorescence staining and quantitative analysis for OECs on TSF scaffolds. OECs were seeded on the TSF nanofibers or PLL-coated coverslips as described above, and their identity was confirmed by immunostaining. Cells on the fibers and PLL were fixed for 30 min at room temperature with 4% paraformaldehyde (Zhongde Chemical Products Trade Co., Ltd., Tianjin, China) and then blocked for 30 min in PBS containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.2% Triton X-100 and 0.02% NaN$_3$ (v/v) (all from Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). The cells were incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-nerve growth factor receptor (NGFR) p75 (cat. no. BA0514-2; Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, Ltd., Wuhan, China), diluted to 1:200 in PBS, at 4°C overnight. Following three washes with PBS, cells were incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (cat. no. BA1105; Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, Ltd.) diluted 1:70 in PBS for 1 h
at room temperature. Following three washes with PBS, cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258 diluted 1:100 in PBS at room temperature for 15 min. Cover slips were washed with PBS, mounted with 50% glycerin in PBS and imaged with an AF6000 fluorescence microscope (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany).

To evaluate the growth of OECs on TSF nanofibers, the spreading area and the longest cellular process of OECs on TSF nanofibers were quantified at 1, 4 and 7 days. In brief, at least 10 individual cells from three randomly selected fields were measured with ImageJ 1.47 software on the basis of NGFR p75 immunostaining. At least five coverslips were included for each experimental group. Experiments were repeated three times.

Crystal violet staining for cell adhesion assays. The attachment test for OECs on TSF nanofibers was performed as previously described (27). In brief, 500 µl OEC suspension (1x10^5 cells/ml) was seeded into TSF nanofibers and a 24-well PLL-coated plate. Plates were coated with PLL by adding 1 ml 0.1% mg/ml PLL solution to each well at room temperature overnight. The PLL solution was aspirated and the plates were left to dry on a clean bench. OECs were incubated for 1 or 3 h in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C. The loose and unadhered cells were removed. The wells were gently washed twice with PBS. The adherent cells were fixed with 15% formalin in PBS for 15 min. Once the fixation was completed, the wells were washed twice with PBS and stained with 0.05 g/ml crystal violet at 37°C for 15 min. In each well, the number of cells was counted in five microscopic fields (magnification, x200) and images were captured under an Olympus microscope (Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

MTT cell proliferation assay. The proliferation of OECs on TSF and PLL was examined at 1, 4, 7 and 10 days. At each time-point, 20 µl MTT (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) dissolved in PBS at 5 mg/ml was added to each well, followed by incubation at 37°C for 4 h. Then, the medium was discarded and 150 µl dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) was added to each well to dissolve the dark blue crystals with agitation for 10 min. The absorbance was measured at 490 nm with a microplate reader (iMark™ Microplate Absorbance Reader-168-1130; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA).

Live/Dead Kit cell viability analysis. The Live/Dead viability/cytotoxicity kit (cat. no. L3224; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), including 0.5 mM calcein AM and 0.5 mM ethidium homodimer-1 (Ethd-1) dissolved in 1 ml DMEM/F-12, was used for quantitative cell viability analysis after 6 days of culture on PLL or TSF scaffolds in a 6-well plate. In live cells, calcein displays bright green fluorescence, while in dead cells, Ethd-1 binding to nucleic acids results in red fluorescence. Live/dead reagent was added to cells, followed by incubation at 37°C for 30 min and subsequent washing with PBS twice and observation under a fluorescence microscope. In each well, ~3,000 cells were counted from randomly selected fields.

Live-cell imaging for migration assay. The migration of OECs on TSF nanofibers was assayed by timelapse video microscopy. An environmental chamber was used to maintain optimal growth conditions for OECs at 37°C. In brief, coverslips with cells were loaded and observed every 5 min using a 10X objective under a Leica DMI 6000 B microscope for a period of 400 min. The forward migration index (FMI) was calculated as the ratio of forward progress (the net distance a cell progressed) to the total path length (total distance a cell traveled through the field).

Statistical analysis. Values are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis was performed using analysis of variance followed by a Dunn-Bonferroni post-hoc test for multiple group comparisons using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Morphology and structure of TSF. In order to assess the role of the nanofiber diameter in regulating cell behavior, TSF nanofibers of different diameter were prepared. As presented in Fig. 1A and B, the diameters of the two types of TSF nanofibers were 400±44 and 1,200±95 nm, respectively; the nanofibers exhibited a smooth surface with micro-sized pores to allow for cell proliferation and penetration. Although native silk fibroin is stable in water, the regenerated silk material is usually post-treated with a solvent to achieve stability. In the present study, 75% ethanol was employed to treat as-spun TSF nanofibers and induce this structural change.

As presented in Fig. 1C, the FTIR spectra of TSF nanofibers exhibited absorption bands at 1,625 cm⁻¹ (amide I),
1,520 cm⁻¹ (amide II) and 1,265 cm⁻¹ (amide III), attributed to a β-sheet structure. The crystalline β-sheet structure is the basis for the water stability and strength of the TSF nanofibers. Thus, water-stable TSF nanofibers with different diameters were successfully prepared.

Morphology and structure of OECs on TSF. An inverted-phase contrast microscope was used to observe the OECs cultured on PLL and TSF (400 and 1,200 nm) after 4 days (Fig. 2A-C). Most OECs presented with a bipolar or tripolar morphology, with excellent refraction. The cells on PLL were connected through cell processes. The OECs on TSF followed the fiber direction, and adjacent cells formed connections through neurites. As presented in Fig. 2D and E, SEM revealed that OECs grew on the surface and pores of the TSF microfibers after 4 days of culture. The surfaces of the cells produced different cellular processes, crossing the microporous surface to form intercellular connections. In addition, extracellular matrix production was observed in gaps in the material. Most of the OECs on the 400-nm TSF displayed flat cell bodies and elongated protuberances, with the cells tightly linked. The cellular connections of OECs on 1,200-nm TSF were fewer than those on 400-nm TSF.

Immunostaining and growth parameters of OECs on TSF. NGFR p75 staining indicated that OECs on TSF retained their phenotype. Hoechst 33258 staining revealed that the nuclei of the OECs were round or oval; no chromatin condensation or apoptotic body formation was observed and the staining was uniform, indicating that there were no obvious apoptotic phenomena of OECs grown on TSF nanofibers of either diameter.

As presented in Fig. 3, the difference in the area of OEC spreading on the two types of TSF nanofiber after 1 day was relatively small (0.9-1.5x10² μm²). After 4 days, the area of cell spreading on 400 nm TSF fibers reached 3.8x10² μm², which was significantly higher than that on 1,200 nm TSF (3x10² μm²; P<0.05). Significant differences in the spreading area between the OECs grown on PLL or 400 nm TSF fibers and the 1,200 nm TSF fibers were obtained at 7 days (P<0.05). Quantitative analysis also indicated that the maximum process length of OECs on 400 nm TSF fibers was significantly longer than that of OECs on 1,200 nm fibers at 4 and 7 days (P<0.05).

Cell adhesion of OECs on TSF. The cell adhesion on 400 nm TSF was greater than that on PLL or 1,200 nm TSF (P<0.05). The cell adhesion on PLL was the lowest (Fig. 4). This may be due to TSF containing more RGD sequences.

Proliferation and viability of OECs on TSF. As presented in Fig. 5A-C, the morphology of OECs on TSF was normal. The majority of the cells were distributed along the fiber and evenly distributed. According to an MTT assay (Fig. 5D), the absorbance value in the 1,200 nm TSF group was significantly less than that in the 400 nm TSF and PLL groups at 4 days (both P<0.05), and the absorbance value of the 1,200 nm TSF group was significantly less than that of the PLL group at 7 days (P<0.05). However, there were no differences between the groups at 1 and 10 days. These results indicate that, compared with PLL, TSF has good biocompatibility and did not induce any marked cytotoxicity on OECs.

The cell viability and death rates were not significantly different in the TSF groups (Fig. 5E). These results indicated that TSF nanofibers successfully supported the survival, growth and proliferation of OECs.

Cell migration of OECs on TSF. Cell migration was analyzed by single-cell trajectories. The starting point of each cell migration was standardized to the intersection point of the X- and Y-axes. OECs on PLL migrated in random directions, whereas those on 400- and 1,200-nm TSF moved along the fiber. In order to measure cell motility, the FMI was calculated and analyzed (Fig. 6). Quantitative analysis suggested that the FMI of OECs in the 400-nm TSF group was significantly higher than that in the PLL group (P<0.05).
Discussion

It has been verified that TSF has good biocompatibility, permeability and biodegradability, with a low inflammatory response and other favorable qualities (28,29). TSF supports cell growth, proliferation and differentiation; in vivo studies have also reported that it facilitates tissue repair (30,31). A further advantage of TSF is that it promotes cell adhesion and migration through the RGD tripeptide sequence, a biometric signal (32). It has been reported that TSF was beneficial for the adhesion and growth of fibroblasts and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells in a mouse model (33,34).

The present study indicates that the diameter of nanofibers has an important role in the arrangement and specific biological behavior of cells. OECs were observed to be well-aligned on 400-nm TSF, while cells were randomly arranged on 1,200-nm TSF. This result suggests that a smaller diameter (400 nm) of TSF nanofibers may promote cell alignment when compared with a larger diameter (1,200 nm). This result is similar that of a previous study by our group on OECs cultured on fabricated SF scaffolds of different diameters (35). The RGD tri-peptide sequence structure of TSF has been demonstrated to facilitate cell adhesion (13). TSF has also been shown to possess good biocompatibility with no toxic effects on cells and organisms, and a low likelihood of inflammatory reaction or immune rejection (15). Through the current study, it was speculated that a smaller diameter of TSF nanofibers is more similar to the microenvironment created by the natural extracellular matrix,
which is suitable for cell survival and proliferation. However, the specific biochemical effects and directional guidance of TSF nanofibers, as well as the underlying mechanisms, still require further study.

Based on the above results, it may be speculated that the precise control of the direction of nanofibers may control the arrangement and directional migration of cells to promote the regeneration of axons and the repair of central nerve
injury. This may be facilitated by the application of physical or chemical stimuli, including electrical stimulation or growth factor release (36).

In conclusion, through electrospinning, a three-dimensional TSF scaffold material with a controllable diameter, smooth surface and uniform pore spacing was prepared. These TSF nanofibers do not affect the phenotype of OECs, and support their adhesion, migration, growth and proliferation. The performance of the 400-nm TSF fibers, including OEC adhesion, proliferation and migration, was improved relative to that of the 1,200-nm TSF fibers. This indicates that TSF nanofibers with a diameter of 400-nm may be a superior scaffold material for repairing nerve injury. However, the mechanisms of this effect require further study.
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Figure 6. The FMI of OECs on (A) PLL, (B) 400-nm TSF and (C) 1,200-nm TSF was calculated. OECs on PLL migrated in random directions, whereas those on 400- and 1,200-nm TSF moved along the fibers. (D) The FMI in the 400-nm TSF group was significantly higher than that in the PLL group. Scale bar, 100 µm. *P<0.05. TSF, Tussah silk fibroin; OECs, olfactory ensheathing cells; PLL, poly-L-lysine; FMI, forward migration index.
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