Reconstruction of Multiple Digital Defects by Temporary Syndactylization Using a Lateral Arm Free Flap
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Abstract
Background Soft tissue defects of the multiple finger present challenges to reconstruction surgeons. Here, we introduce the use of a lateral arm free flap and syndactylization for the coverage of multiple finger soft tissue defects.

Methods This retrospective study was conducted based on reviews of the medical records of 13 patients with multiple soft tissue defects of fingers (n = 33) that underwent temporary syndactylization with a microvascular lateral arm flap for temporary syndactylization from January 2010 to December 2020. Surgical and functional outcomes, times of flap division, complications, and demographic data were analyzed.

Results Middle fingers were most frequently affected, followed by ring and index fingers. Mean patient age was 43.58 years. The 13 patients had suffered 10 traumas, 2 thermal burns, and 1 scar contracture. Release of temporary syndactyly was performed 3 to 9 weeks after syndactylization. All flaps survived, but partial necrosis occurred in one patient, who required a local transposition flap after syndactylization release. The mean follow-up was 15.8 months.

Conclusion Coverage of multiple finger defects by temporary syndactylization using a free lateral arm flap with subsequent division offers an alternative treatment option.
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Introduction
Treatment of multiple soft tissue defects of the fingers is a much-debated topic. Depending on the locations and sizes of defects, several reconstructive options are available. In previous studies, multiple soft tissue defects of fingers were reconstructed using regional flaps, multiple homodigital island flaps, multiple free flaps, and by syndactylization with various flaps such as venous free flap, fasciocutaneous flap, or thoracodorsal perforator flap. In this study, we introduce an alternative treatment option to deal with multiple soft tissue defects of the fingers, namely, coverage
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with a single free lateral arm flap with temporary syndactylyzation and secondary release.

Methods

Patients with multiple finger defects treated with lateral arm fasciocutaneous free flaps were identified by retrospective chart review. Flaps were used to cover whole hand defects, and thus, digits were syndactylized temporarily. Two days after free flap placement, patients were encouraged to excise finger joints, except those temporary fixated with K-wire, but to move connected digits synchronously to prevent flap detachment. Syndactylized fingers were divided when flaps completely healed. Patient demographics, flap survival rate, functional outcomes, times between syndactylization and flap division, and complications were analyzed.

Results

Thirteen patients with soft tissue defects on 33 fingers were operated on from January 2010 to December 2020 for multiple digital soft tissue defects using a lateral arm fasciocutaneous free flap (Table 1). The affected digits were 12 long, 9 ring, 7 index, and 2 little fingers and a thumb. Mean patient age was 43.58 years (range: 26–59 years), and the male to female ratio was 5.5 to 1. Etiologies were trauma in 10 patients, thermal burns in 2 patients, and joint scar contracture after trauma in 1 patient. Temporary syndactylies were divided 3 to 9 weeks after syndactylization, and mean time to division was 6.62 weeks. All flaps survived. Partial necrosis occurred in one patient, who received flap transposition and thinning during syndactylization. None of the patients developed stiffness of wrist, elbow, or shoulder. After follow-up, range of motion (ROM) of the metacarpophalangeal joint (MPJ) was 90 degrees. ROMs of proximal interphalangeal joints (PIPJ) and distal interphalangeal joints (DIPJ) were limited to extents that depended on etiology and defect size. Postoperative sensory recovery was poor (>15 mm as determined by static and moving 2-point discrimination tests) (Table 2). Mean follow-up was 15.8 months (4–28 months).

Case 1 (Fig. 1)

A 26-year-old man suffered soft tissue defects on the dorsum of the PIP joint of the index and middle finger of the right hand caused by a grinder. After complete debridement, which involved injury of the extensor central slip, both PIP joints were exposed. Defects of the index and middle finger were covered with an 8 × 3 cm² lateral arm fasciocutaneous free flap for temporary syndactylization. The radial proper digital artery was connected to the posterior radial collateral artery, and a dorsal vein was connected to vena comitantes. The radial side nerve of the index finger was connected to the posterior antebrachial cutaneous nerve (PABCN) of the lateral arm free flap. Reconstruction of the injured extensor central slip was covered with fascial tissue of the free flap. The flap survived completely. Syndactylly was divided 6 weeks after free flap placement. At his 9-month follow-up, the patient had full ROM of the PIPJ and slight ROM limitation of the DIPJ of the index finger. Sensory recovery of the index and middle finger was more than 15 mm as determined by the moving two-point discrimination test. The patient was able to use his right hand for normal activities and was satisfied with the aesthetic result.

Case 2 (Fig. 2)

A 59-year-old man suffered a crushing amputation injury of all fingers of his left hand while using an industrial machine. Initially, we replanted and revascularized all fingers. The remaining wound included bone exposure of the index, middle, and ring fingers and was covered with a lateral arm fasciocutaneous free flap for temporary

| Table 1 Patient data |
|----------------------|
| Sex/Age | Injured fingers | Specific site | Cause of defect | Flap size (cm²) | Timing of division (wk) |
| M/48 | Thumb, 2F, Lt. | Thumb IPJ, index P1 | Crushing injury with amputation | 7 × 3 | 8 |
| M/30 | 3.4F, Lt | Fingertip | Burn | 7 × 2.5 | 9 |
| F/52 | 2.3.4F, Rt | Dorsum of middle P. | Burn | 6.5 × 3.5 | 4 |
| M/31 | 3.4F, Lt | Dorsum of proximal P. | Traumatic injury | 6 × 2.5 | 8 |
| M/29 | Thumb, 2.3F, Rt. | Dorsum of fingers | Traumatic injury with amputation | 10 × 3 | 6 |
| M/40 | 2.3.4F, Lt | PIPJ, amputation | Crushing injury with amputation | 8 × 3 | 6 |
| M/59 | 2, 3.4F, Rt. | Volar of proximal P. | Traumatic injury | 8 × 3.5 | 6 |
| M/40 | 3.4F, Lt. | Fingertip pulp | Crushing injury with amputation | 6 × 3 | 6 |
| M/39 | 3.4F, Rt. | PIPJ, amputation | Traumatic injury | 5 × 2 | 8 |
| M/43 | 3.4,5F, Rt. | Volar of middle P. | Traumatic injury with amputation | 7.5 × 2.5 | 8 |
| M/26 | 2.3F, Rt. | Dorsum of PIPJ | Traumatic injury | 8 × 3 | 6 |
| F/52 | 3.4,5F, Rt. | Volar of proximal P. | Crushing injury with amputation | 6 × 3 | 8 |
| M/34 | 2.3.4F Lt. | Volar of PIP | Scar contracture | 8 × 2.5 | 3 |

Abbreviations: F, finger; IPJ, Interphalangeal joint; Lt, left; P, phalanx; PIPJ, proximal interphalangeal joint; Rt, right.
syndactylization. The artery of the flap was anastomosed to the ulnar digital artery of the ring finger and the vein of the flap was anastomosed to a dorsal subcutaneous vein. The ulnar side nerve of the ring finger was connected to the PABCN of the lateral arm free flap. Six weeks after free flap placement, the ring finger was separated and 2 weeks later, index and middle fingers were divided. The patient was able to perform active and passive motion exercises. At his 2-year follow-up, he had full ROM of the MPJ but restricted ROM of the PIPJ because the amputation occurred near the PIPJ. Sensory recovery was poor in index, middle, and ring fingers (>15 mm as determined by the moving 2-point discrimination test).

**Discussion**

Multiple tissue defects of fingers still present a challenge to reconstructive surgeons because of the paucity of adjacent tissues. Various methods such as skin graft, amputation, and local, regional, and free flaps have been to cover single digit defects. The cross-finger flap is a popular option because elevation is straightforward, and it does not require microsurgical skills. The main disadvantage of regional flaps, like cross finger flaps, is joint stiffness, which is inevitable due to immobilization before flap division. Reverse digital artery island and homodigital island flaps are reserved for soft tissue coverage of the fingertip and present risks of digital nerve damage and venous congestion. Flaps based on the dorsal metacarpal artery can be used to cover defects around the PIPJ and are useful, as they permit single-stage reconstruction without the need for microanastomosis. However, in cases of multiple finger tissue defects, the use of the dorsal metacarpal island flap artery is limited by its size. Microsurgical options for single finger defects include

| Sex/Age | Sensory recovery Static/moving 2-PD (mm) | ROM after surgery (degree) |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| M/48    | Thumb: 15/13 2F: 15/15                | Thumb MPJ: 0–30 2F MPJ: 0–80 |
| M/30    | Above 15                                 | 3F, 4F IPJ: 0–80          |
| F/52    | None                                     | 2F, 3F, 4F IPJ: 0–70     |
| M/31    | Above 15/13                              | 3F PIPJ: 0–60 4F PIPJ: 0–70 3, 4F MPJ: 0–90 degree |
| M/29    | Above 15                                 | Thumb IPJ: 0–30 2F MPJ: 0–30 3F PIPJ: 0–30 |
| M/40    | 2,3,4F: 15/13                           | 2F PIPJ: 0–50, MPJ: 0–90 3F PIPJ: 0–45, MPJ: 0–80 4F MPJ: 0–60 |
| M/59    | 3F:13/11 4F: Above 15/13                | 3, 4F PIPJ: 0–20 3, 4F MPJ: 0–70 |
| M/40    | 3F: Above 15 4F: 13/11                 | 3, 4F MPJ: 0–90          |
| M/39    | 3,4F: 15/13                             | 3F DIPJ: 0, PIPJ: 0–90 4F DIPJ: 0–30, PIPJ: 0–90 |
| M/43    | 3,4F: 13/11 5F: Above 15               | 3F DIPJ: 0, PIPJ: 0–90 4F DIPJ: 0–60, PIPJ: 0–90 5F DIPJ: 0–15, PIPJ: 0–90 |
| M/26    | Above 15                                 | 2F PIPJ: 0–90 3F PIPJ: 0–90 |
| F/52    | Above 15                                 | 3,4F DIPJ: 0–10, PIPJ finger: 0–10 3,4 F MPJ: 0–90 |
| M/34    | Above 15                                 | 2F PIPJ: 0, DIPJ: 55–70 3F PIPJ: 0, DIPJ: 60 4F PIPJ: 0, DIPJ: 40 |

Abbreviations: DIPJ, distal interphalangeal joint; F, finger; MPJ, metacarpophalangeal joint; PD, point discrimination; PIPJ, proximal interphalangeal joint; ROM, range of motion.
Coverage with Temporary Syndactylization

multiple digit defects, the procedure is lengthy and requires advanced microsurgical skills.

Pedicled groin flaps and pedicled abdominal flaps have been used to cover larger hand defects or multiple defects.8 Despite being a useful tool in the reconstructive surgeon armamentarium these flaps have several disadvantages, namely, bulkiness, discomfort, joint stiffness, the need for secondary surgery, and an inability to elevate the hand. Most of our patients were potential candidates for a pedicled groin flap because several defects affected single hands, but this would have required temporary immobilization and secondary procedure. Coverage of multiple digital defects with a fasciocutaneous free flap has many advantages as compared with coverage using a groin flap because patients can move the affected arm freely and elevate it to reduce postoperative swelling, and thus, are not subject to the substantial discomfort associated with the pedicled groin flap. Furthermore, joint stiffness was not a concern for our patients because unaffected joints were spared from immobilization.

Several types of fasciocutaneous free flaps, such as the anterolateral thigh flap, the thoracodorsal perforator flap, and the groin free flap, can be used to cover multiple digital defects. Although these flaps are available and popular among hand surgeons, they are too thick for covering finger defects and require additional thinning.9,10 Therefore, we use lateral arm fasciocutaneous free flaps for reconstruction because they are relatively thin, have constant vascular anatomy, and artery sizes are suitable for anastomoses to digital arteries.

Sensory recovery at fingertips is important after reconstructive surgery and this favors the use of reverse digital artery island flaps, homodigital neurovascular island flaps, step advancement island flaps, or toe pulp free flaps.11–14 Ideally, multiple toe pulp flap reconstruction should be used in patients with multiple fingertip defects. However, the single patient with such defects would have needed three toe pulp free flaps, which would have been challenging even for an experienced microsurgeon.

Sensory recovery results for our patients were disappointing (static 2-point discrimination test >15 mm). Likewise, previous studies have reported relatively poor sensory recoveries after lateral arm free flap placement.15,16 There is some disagreement as to whether the lateral arm flap should be used as a sensory flap, as some authors have reported that the results of coaptation and reinnervation of posterior cutaneous nerves are unfavorable.17,18 Therefore, we considered it advisable to apply this surgical method to multiple dorsal defects of fingers rather than to fingertip defects. In our patients, most flaps were applied to the dorsal area of fingers and amputations around the PIP. When sensation be essential, sensate flap, such as sensated partial toe pulp flap would be placed in lateral arm free flap.

This retrospective study shows that a single lateral arm free flap provides useful coverage of multiple digital defects when used for temporary syndactylization. We believe the described method provides an alternative means of reconstructing multiple dorsal digital defects.
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