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Abstract

Background

Prostate cancer is the most common malignant tumor associated with male reproductive system.

Objective

The existing eligible randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were critically appraised for the safety and effectiveness of CHM for prostate cancer.

Methods

A literature search was conducted by using PubMed, CENTRAL, CNKI, CBM, VIP and Wanfang databases until August 2015. RCTs of CHM or CHM plus conventional medicine for prostate cancer patients were included. The primary outcomes appraised were survival time, time to progression and quality of life. The risk of bias assessment according to the Cochrane Handbook was used to evaluate the methodological quality of the included trials. Revman 5.3 software was used for data analyses. Risk ratio and mean difference (MD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) were used as effect measures. Meta-analysis was to be used if sufficient trials without obvious clinical or statistical heterogeneity were available.

Results

A total of 17 RCTs involving 1224 participants were analyzed. One trial was about CHM comparing to no treatment. The remaining 16 trials used CHMs as adjunctive treatment for endocrine therapy. Due to the poor quality of methodologies of most trials, only limited evidence showed that a combination of CHM and endocrine therapy might be more effective in restraining the development of the disease (MD 10.37 months, 95%CI 9.10 to 11.63 months), increasing patients’ survival time (7–15 months) or improving patients’ performance status, when compared to endocrine therapy alone (Karnofsky performance scale...
average changed 15 scores between groups). No severe adverse event was reported related to CHM.

**Conclusion**

Due to the insufficient quality of trials that were analyzed, it is not appropriate to recommend any kind of CHMs in treating prostate cancer at the present time. Well-designed trials with high methodological quality are needed to validate the effect of CHMs for patients with prostate cancer.

**Background**

Prostate cancer is the most common malignant tumor in the male reproductive system. Incidence of prostate cancer is known to relate to geographical area, ethnicity and age [1]. In Europe, USA and other developed countries, the mortality rate of the prostate cancer is only after lung cancer and colorectal cancer. This disease may cause serious harm to the physical and mental health of men as well as a heavy economic burden [2, 3]. The morbidity of prostate cancer in China and other Asian countries are currently low; however, changes of lifestyle and the improvement of living standards in recent years have caused an upward trend of this disease [4].

Radical resection of the prostate is an effective treatment for prostate cancer during early stage. But the applicability of surgery has to consider the patients’ age and the clinical stage of the cancer [5]. Because the test of the prostate specific antigen (PSA) is not very common in China, many patients are diagnosed at an advanced stage of the disease and thus have already missed the best treatment timing for surgery. Even the most commonly used endocrine therapy, maximal androgen blockade (MAB) by combining anti-androgen agents and surgical castration, was found associated with only a small survival benefit (about 5%) and increased adverse events [6, 7].

As a palliative treatment, traditional Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) was commonly used with oral administration for advanced prostate cancer in China. With a strong sense of the overview of a patient’s whole body, CHM does not just aim for tumor eradication, but focuses on improving the body’s strength and reducing the possibility of recurrence, as well as metastasis. Results from previous studies showed that CHM, as an adjunctive treatment following surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and endocrine therapy, may prolong survival time and improve the quality of life of prostate cancer patients [8]. Currently, CHM is considered more for its roles in treating advanced prostate cancer. However, systematic clinical research evidence for the effectiveness of CHM is still insufficient.

**Objectives**

The aim of this review is to critically appraise the existing randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on CHM for treatment of prostate cancer, and provide evidence-based evaluation on the safety and effectiveness of oral administration of CHM against this cancer.

**Methods**

The format of this review refers to the checklist of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (see S1 Table).
Inclusion criteria

RCTs which compared oral administration of CHM (alone or in combination with other treatments) to those without CHM in treating prostate cancer were included in our analysis. The primary outcomes included survival status (such as survival time and survival rate), quality of life, and time to progression (TTP); secondary outcomes included measurements of PSA, patient performance status, prostate volume (PV), serum testosterone, and adverse events. At least one of the above outcomes should be reported in the included trials in our evaluation.

Search strategy

Two English databases and four Chinese databases were searched from inception to August 2015, including the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), PubMed, China Network Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Scientific Journals Database (VIP), Wanfang Database (for unpublished graduate theses in China), and Chinese Biomedicine (CBM). Details of the search strategies for PubMed were shown as below.

#1: Search "Medicine, Chinese Traditional"[Mesh]; #2: Search "Drugs, Chinese Herbal"[Mesh]; #3: Search "Prostatic Neoplasms"[Mesh]; #4: Search (#1 OR #2) AND #3

Above strategies were adopted for each specific database, and Chinese characters for relevant key words were used when searching Chinese databases.

Study selection

Two authors (Cao H and Mu Y) independently screened the titles and abstracts of the achieved citations from primary searching. Full text of the articles of potential interest were downloaded for further evaluation, those meeting inclusion criteria were included in the final review. Disagreements between the two authors were resolved by discussion and if needed, arbitrated by a third author (Liu JP).

Data extraction and quality assessment

Two authors (Wang Y and Mu Y) extracted the data from the included trials independently on patient characteristics, intervention/control details, clinical outcomes and quality-related information. The discrepancies were resolved through consensus. Missing data were achieved through contacting with authors of the original studies.

Selection bias (random sequence generation and allocation concealment), performance bias (blinding of participants and personnel), detection bias (blinding of outcome assessment), attrition bias (incomplete outcome data), reporting bias (selective reporting), and other biases (determined according to sample size calculation method, inclusion/exclusion of criteria for patients’ recruitment, comparability of baseline data, funding sources, and any other potential methodological flaw that might have influenced the overall assessment) were assessed according to the criteria from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Intervention [9]. Three potential bias judgments: low risk, high risk, and unclear risk, were determined for each single trial during assessment. A judgment of low risk was made when all the seven items met the criteria as "low risk", a judgment of high risk of bias was made when at least one of the seven items was assessed as "high risk".

Data analysis

Data were summarized using risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for binary outcomes or mean difference (MD) with 95% CI for continuous outcomes. We used Revman 5.3 software from the Cochrane Collaboration for data analyses. Meta-analysis was used if the
trials had an acceptable homogeneity on study design, participants, interventions, control, and outcome measures. Statistical heterogeneity was tested by examining $I^2$ [10], meaning that an $I^2$ larger than 50% indicated the possibility of statistical heterogeneity. Both fixed effect model and random effect model were used if there was possibility of statistical heterogeneity among trials. Publication bias was explored by funnel plot analysis. Subgroup analyses were conducted to determine the evidence for the different treatment duration if data were sufficient. Sensitivity analyses were used in order to determine whether the conclusions differed if eligibility was restricted to studies without high risk of bias; or if a fixed/random effect model had been applied.

**Results**

**Results of the search**

The search of 6 databases identified 1657 citations for further evaluation. Full texts of 109 articles were read, and 18 [11–28] trials met our inclusion criteria. However, one of the trial [28] tested a herbal capsule PC-SPES (960mg three times daily), which contains Ganoderma lucidum, Scutellaria baicalensis, Rabdosia rubescens, Isatis indigotica, Dendranthema morifolium, Seronoa repens, Panax pseudoginseng, and Glycyrrhiza uralensis. This herbal product was reported to be contaminated with diethylstilbestrol [29], thus the product may have a similar effect with diethylstilbestrol on inhibiting growth of both androgen-sensitive and androgen-independent prostate cancer cells. Due to the massive controversy of potential contamination of PC-SPES, the drug is no longer available in the U.S. Since it is hard to define whether it still belongs to herbal product, we excluded the trial from this review. Thus, finally 17 trials were included in the review. Details of the study flow were shown in Fig 1.

All of the 17 included trials were conducted in China and published in Chinese. Except for one four-arm trial [16], all the remaining trials were parallel two-arm trials. Characteristics of included trials were listed in Table 1.

**Narrative of the included studies**

Totally 1224 patients were included in this review, with an average of 72 patients per trial. Average age of those participants was 70 years old. The stages of the prostate cancer for majority of the patients were determined as III/IV, which mean the tumor has been infiltrated or beyond the prostate capsule.

CHM included herbal decoction (16 trials), herbal granule (1 trial), and herbal pill (1 trial). The herbal pill, called Juzao Pill (10g three times daily), which is composed of Flos chrysanthemi, Sargassum, Rhizoma sparganii, Semen strychni, Radix rhapontici, Pseudobulbus Cremastreae seu pleiones, Radix polygoni multiflori, Scolopendra, Flos lonicerae, Semen Irispal lasii Fisch, and Pariphyllin, may strengthen body strength and soften solid masses, according to Chinese medicine theory. The herbal granule, Jianpi Yiqi Granule (10g twice daily), aiming to strengthen spleen and kidney of patients to alleviate the adverse events of chemotherapy and boost the immune system, was composed of Rhizoma atracylodis macrocephalae, Radix codo nopsis, Fructus alpiniae oxyphyllae, Fructus lycii, Fructu sligustri lucidi, and Semen cuscutae. The 16 herbal decoctions (150ml twice daily) with 15 kinds of prescriptions, mainly work on strengthening body strength and suppressing the tumor growth. Details of the prescriptions of those 16 herbal decoctions were shown in Table 2.

Types of comparisons included herbal decoction versus no treatment [14], herbal granule plus endocrine therapy versus endocrine therapy alone [18], herbal decoction plus endocrine therapy versus endocrine therapy alone, herbal decoction plus endocrine therapy and chemotherapy versus endocrine therapy and chemotherapy. Endocrine therapy included castration,
Fig 1. Study flow chart.
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Table 1. Characteristics of 17 included trials.

| Study ID | Age (yrs) | Stage of the prostate cancer | Sample size (T/C) | Intervention | Control | Treatment Duration (months) | Outcomes | Follow up Duration |
|----------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| Chen 2013 | 65.8(54–80) | Not report | 31/31 | 3 plus CHD (Yangyin Yishen Decoction) 150ml twice daily. | 3 | 3 | OQL (FACT-P); PSA | None |
| Dong 2007 | T: 68.2±3.2; C: 68.0±3.1 | *T: C-11 D-19; C: C-12 D-18 | 30/30 | 1 plus Chinese herbal pills (Juzao Pills) 10g three times daily | 1 | 15 | IPSS; QOL; PSA; PV | 3.28±1.02 yrs |
| Gu 2007 | T: 68.3(57–83); C: 70.1(57–82) | *T: C-5 D-11; C: C-7 D-7 | 16/14 | 3 plus CHM | 3 | 6 | PSA; QOL (QLQ-C30); Visual Analogue Scale; PV; SICM | 6 months—3 yrs |
| Guo 2010 | T: 70.3±2.5; C: 72.5±2.6 | | 20/18 | CHD (Chuanlong Yiai Decoction) | No treatment | 3 | PSA | None |
| Huang 2014 | T1: 73.1±3.2; T2: 72.7±3.1; C1: 73.1±2.8; C2: 72.8±2.6 | | 30/29 | 4 plus CHD (Body-strength and tumor depression Decoction) 100mL twice daily | 4 | 3 | Mortality; Survival time; TTP; SICM; KPS | Up to 8 yrs |
| Jia 2013 | T: 74.5; C: 75.5 | | 23/21 | CHD (Yiqi Jiedu Quyu Decoction) 150ml twice daily | 3 | 6 | PSA; IPSS; QOL (QLQ-C30); SICM; Serum index; incidence of hot flash | None |
| Liu 2012 | T: 68.0±2.3; C: 79.0±1.8 | | 45/45 | Chinese herbal granule (Jianpi Yishen Granule) 10g twice daily, based on control | Endocrine therapy | Not report | PSA; KPS; SICM; Immune index | None |
| Lu 2012 | T: 75.0±7.9; C: 72.8±9.5 | | 30/30 | 3 plus CHD 150mL twice daily | 3 | 6 | OQL; KPS; SICM; PSA; Serum index | None |
| Pang 2012 | T: 65.7±8.6; C: 63.7±7.5 | | 24/24 | 2 plus CHD (Qianlie Yuai Decoction) 150mL twice daily | 2 | 3 | PSA; KPS | None |
| Pang 2013 | T: 72±6; C: 71±6 | | 33/30 | 3 plus CHD (Qianlie Xiaozheng Decoction) 150mL twice daily | 3 | 3 | PSA; QOL (QLQ-C30); memorial anxiety scale for prostate cancer | None |
| Peng 2010 | T: 71(61–79); C: 71 (59–79) | | 148/147 | 3 plus CHD twice daily | 3 | Not report | Survival time | 4.7 yrs |
| Tang 2014 | T: 68.2; C: 67.4 | | 29/30 | 3 plus CHD (Fuzheng Huayu Decoction) twice daily | 3 | 3 | KPS; PSA; immune index; adverse events | None |
| Wang 2009 | T: 65.3±6.7; C: 67.4±8.3 | | 15/17 | 2 plus CHD 100ml twice daily | 2 | T:6±2; C:6±3 | PSA; Urination; Liver function | None |
| Zhang 2014 | T: 67.8±8.1; C: 65.9±7.8 | | 25/25 | 3 plus CHD (1°Qianliexianai Decoction) 125ml twice daily | 3 | 3 | PSA; QOL (FACT-P) | None |
| Zheng 2014 | T: 66.4±9.2; C: 69.7±12.7 | | 30/30 | 1 plus CHD (Jianpi Yishen Decoction) 150ml twice daily | 1 | 3 | SICM; Immune index; PSA; IPSS | None |

(Continued)
anti-androgen mono-therapy, or maximal androgen blockade (MAB). Estrogen (Diethylstilbestrol 1mg three times daily, or estradiol valerate 1mg twice daily) as medical castration was used in 2 trials [12, 26]. Anti-androgen mono-therapy (megastrol 50mg twice daily, or flutamide 250mg three times daily) was involved in 2 trials [20, 24]. MAB, combined with castration (bilateral orchiectomy, or triptorelin/leuprorelin/goserrelin) and anti-androgen (bicalutamide 50mg once daily, or flutamide 250mg three times daily), was applied in 9 trials [11, 13, 17, 19, 21–23, 25, 27]. MAB combined with chemotherapy (docetaxel/prednison) was used in 2 trials [15, 16].

Primary evaluated outcomes of this review included survival status, Time to Progression (TTP), and Quality of Life (QOL). Survival time or survival rate was reported in 4 trials [12, 15, 22, 27], TTP or median TTP were reported in 3 trials [15, 16, 27], QOL (including QLQ-C30 (quality of life questionnaire for cancer patients), or Functional assessment of cancer therapy-prostate; FACT-P, including five sub-scales on assessing the physical status, social/family relationships, patients-practitioners relationship, emotional status, and body function)) were reported in 6 trials [11–13, 17, 21, 25]. Secondary outcomes included PSA, performance status, international prostate symptom score (IPSS), PV and adverse events. PSA was reported in 14 trials [11–14, 17–21, 23–27], Karnofsky performance scale (KPS) for evaluating the performance status was reported in 5 trials [15, 18–20, 23], IPSS was reported in 3 trials [12, 17, 26], and 3 trials [12, 13, 24] reported PV. Five trials mentioned adverse events [12, 14–16, 23]. Other outcomes measured in these 17 trials included Syndrome/symptom integral of Chinese Medicine, immune index, or serum index. Only 5 of the trials [12, 13, 15, 16, 22] mentioned follow-up duration, which varied from 6 months to 8 years.

**Methodology quality assessment**

According to the previously mentioned criteria, all included trials were assessed as having high risk of bias (Fig 2).

Only seven trials [14, 15, 17–19, 21, 23] reported the methods of randomization, all of them used a random number table, with one [15] employing statistical software to generate random numbers. Two among the seven trials [14, 18] used envelopes to contain the random numbers,
Table 2. Components and adverse events of herbal prescriptions in 17 included trials.

| Study ID | Herbal Medicine | Component of Prescription | Adverse events |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Chen 2013 | Yangyin Yishen Decoction | Astragalus mongholicus20g, Codonopsis pilosula20g, Rhizoma atractylodis macrocephalae15g, Radix ophiopogonis15g, Poria cocos10g, Radices rehmanniae15g, Turtle shell25g, Colla corii asini10g, Rhizoma polygonati15g, Herba hedyotis25g, Sculellaria barbata 25g, Semen coicis20g | Not reported |
| Dong 2007 | Juzao Pills | Chrysanthemum, Rhizoma paridis, Curcuma zedoary, Pseudobulbus cremastrae seu pleiones, Sargassum, Gekko japonicas, Radix ginseng, Astragalus mongholicus, et al | Adverse event was not found in herbal medicine group |
| Gu 2007 | Herbal decoction with tonifying Kidney-qi function | Rhizoma polygonati, Radix pseudostellariae, Radix morindae officinalis, Turtle shell, Lycium chinensis, Radix liquiritiae, Astragalus mongholicus, Pericarpium citri reticulatae, et al | Not reported |
| Guo 2010 | Chuanlong Yiai Decoction | Rhizoma Chuanxiong, Ganodorma lucidum, Pberetima, Curcuma zedoary, et al | Adverse event was not found in herbal medicine group |
| Huang 2010 | Body-strength and tumor depression Decoction | Astragalus mongholicus15g, Codonopsis pilosula20g, Rhizoma atractylodis macrocephalae 10g, Poria cocos 15g, Fructus auranti10g, Mangnolia officinalis 9g, Flos mume 10g, Sculellaria barbata20g, Polygonum cuspidatum 15g, Semen coicis 20g, Herba hedyotis 30g, Fructus amomi 10g, Fructus setariae germinatus 15g | Adverse event was not found in herbal medicine group |
| Huang 2014 | Body-strength and tumor depression Decoction | Astragalus mongholicus15g, Codonopsis pilosula20g, Rhizoma atractylodis macrocephalae 10g, Poria cocos 15g, Fructus auranti10g, Mangnolia officinalis 9g, Flos mume 10g, Sculellaria barbata20g, Polygonum cuspidatum 15g, Semen coicis 20g, Herba hedyotis 30g, Fructus amomi 10g, Fructus setariae germinatus 15g | Adverse event was not found in herbal medicine group |
| Jia 2013 | Yiqi Jiedu Quyu Decoction | Astragalus mongholicus30g, Radix pseudostellariae15g, Herba hedyotis 15g, Radix ranunculi ternat 15g, Fructus akebiae 15g, Prunella spike15g, Radix curcumae 10g, Sculellaria barbata20g, Polygonum cuspidatum 15g, Semen coicis 20g, Herba hedyotis 30g, Fructus amomi 10g, Fructus setariae germinatus 15g | Not reported |
| Liu 2012 | Jianpi Yishen Granule | Codonopsis pilosula, Rhizoma atractylodis macrocephalae, Lycium chinensis, Fructus ligustri lucidi, Semen cuscuteae, et al | Not reported |
| Lu 2012 | Herbal decoction with conditioning spleen-stomach function | Codonopsis pilosula, Herba hedyotis, Rhizoma atractylodis macrocephalae, Radix liquiritiae, Poria cocos, Pericarpium citri reticulatae, Prunella spine, Pinellia ternata | Not reported |
| Pang 2012 | Qianlie Xiaozheng Decoction | Semen coicis 40g, Astragalus mongholicus 15g, Rhizoma polygonati 15g, Herba hedyotis 15g, Rhizoma bolbostemae 15g, Curcuma zedoary 15g, Polyporus umbellatus 10g | Not reported |
| Pang 2013 | Herbal decoction with tonifying qi and yin function | Astragalus mongholicus, Radix ophiopogonis, Codonopsis pilosula, Radix puerariae, Fructus schisandraceae, et al | Not reported |
| Peng 2010 | Fuzheng Huayu Decoction | Astragalus mongholicus 30g, Radix pseudostellariae 15g, Rhizoma atractylodis macrocephalae 15g, Poria cocos 15g, Radix paeoniae alba 15g, Radix paeoniae rubra 15g, Angelica sinensis 10g, Radix curcumae 10g, Radix achyranthis bidentatae 15g, Radix liquiritiae 6g | Not reported |
| Tang 2014 | Adjusted Qianliexianai Decoction | Astragalus mongholicus, Angelica sinensis, Codonopsis pilosula, Poria cocos, Scutum tiansiensis, Duchesnea indica focke, Turtle shell, Gekko japonicas, Pseudobulbus cremastrae seu pleiones, Chrysanthemum, Radix liquiritiae, et al | Symptoms of blood vessel constriction (9/29); post-castration syndrome (10/29); liver function damage (1/29); anemia (8/29) |
| Wang 2009 | 1st Qianliexianai Decoction | Radices rehmanniae 15g, Rhizoma polygonati 12g, Rhizoma atractylodis macrocephalae 15g, Poria cocos 15g, Semen coicis 30g, Herba hedyotis 30g, Rhizoma curcumae longae 10g, Poligonum perforiatum 30g | Not reported |

(Continued)
and the remaining five trials did not mentioned whether or not they had adequate allocation concealment methods.

Sixteen of the included trials used CHM as add-on treatment for endocrine therapy (or combined with chemotherapy); however, placebo was not used in any of them. The remaining

![Table 2. (Continued)](image)

| Study ID | Herbal Medicine              | Component of Prescription                                                                 | Adverse events |
|----------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Zhang 2014 | Jianpi Yishen Decoction     | Codonopsis pilosula30g, Rhizoma atractylodis macrocephalae12g, Poria cocos15g, Radix liquiriae6g, Fructus ligustri lucid15g, Semen cuscutae 15g, Fructus psoralae15g, Astragalus mongholicus30g, Turtle shell 15g, Herba hedyotis15g, Scullellaria barbata 10g | Not reported |
| Zheng 2014 | Liuwei Dihuang Decoction   | Radices rehmanniae, Rhizoma dioscoreae, Fructus corni, Cortex moutan, Poria cocos, Rhizoma alismatis, Curcuma zedoary, Rhizoma curcumae longae | Not reported |
| Zhou 2013  | Yangyin Yishen Decoction   | Astragalus mongholicus20g, Codonopsis pilosula20g, Rhizoma atractylodis macrocephalae15g, Radix ophiopogonis15g, Poria cocos10g, Radices rehmanniae15g, Turtle shell25g, Colla cori asini10g, Rhizoma polygonati15g, Herba hedyotis25g, Scullellaria barbata 25g, Semen coicis20g | Not reported |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160253.t002

![Fig 2. Summary of the Risk of bias assessment for each included trials.](image)
primary outcome measurements. Survival time/rate. Three trials [12, 15, 22] reported survival rates of participants with up to 8 years follow up duration. One trial [12] found no difference between CHM plus castration and castration alone on increasing survival rate (RR 1.08, 95%CI 0.86 to 1.36, 60 participants). The second trial [22] also showed no difference between CHM plus MAB and MAB alone on increasing survival rate (RR 1.04, 95%CI 0.92 to 1.18, 295 participants). The remaining trial [15] reported a small effect of CHM as an add-on treatment on increasing survival rate (RR 1.51, 95%CI 1.05 to 2.18, 59 participants), compared with MAB plus chemotherapy.

Two trials compared CHM plus MAB (and chemotherapy) versus MAB (and chemotherapy) alone and reported survival time of participants. However, one of them [27] did not report sufficient data for further analysis, which showed median survival time for CHM group was 25.2 months, and an obvious difference (p < 0.01) was found between groups (18.9 months for MAB group). The other trial [15] showed significant difference between groups on this outcome (MD 15.87 months, 95%CI 11.90 to 19.84 months, 59 participants).

Quality of life (QOL) assessment. Six trials [11–13, 17, 22, 25] reported the quality of life through FACT-P (3 trials), QLQ-C30 (2 trials) or other relevant scales (1 trial). No meta-analysis was conducted due to the obvious clinical or statistical heterogeneity among trials.

All of the three trials [11, 21, 25] which mentioned the scores of FACT-P (pre and post treatment) in each subscale, showed that the herbal product (Yangyin Yishen Decoction, Qianlie Xiaozheng Decoction, and 1st Qianliexianai Decoction) as an adjunctive therapy had a better effect on improving patients’ quality of life compared with MAB alone (p < 0.05). Similar results were found in two other trials [13, 17] for comparison of a combination of herbal medicine and MAB versus MAB alone when assessing patients’ quality of life through QLQ-C30 scale. 75% of patients in combination group (12 participants) got more than 6 scores changed after treatment, but in MAB group only 42.9% patients (6 participants) reported a similar improvement.

The remaining one trial [12] did not clarify which scales it employed for quality of life assessment; however, the result showed the combination of Yiqi Jiedu Quyu Decoction and
MAB were better than MAB alone on improving patients’ quality of life (MD -16.00, 95% CI -19.11 to -12.89, 44 participants).

The progress of the disease. Only two trials reported time to progression (TTP, months) as an outcome measurement. One of them [16] was a four-arm trial which assessed the adjunctive effect of CHM for MAB or combination of MAB and chemo-therapy. Another trial [15] only compared CHM plus MAB and chemo-therapy versus MAB and chemo-therapy alone. The results showed a large effect of CHM as an add-on treatment for MAB (MD 6.60 months, 95% CI 5.50 to 7.70 months, 1 trial, 25 participants) or MAB plus chemo-therapy (MD 10.37 months, 95% CI 9.10 to 11.63 months, 2 trials, 84 participants, $I^2 = 0\%$, fixed-effect model) in restraining the development of the disease (including the radiographic progression and the progression to metastatic disease).

Secondary outcome measurements. PSA. Fourteen out of seventeen included trials reported PSA (ug/l or ng/ml) pre and post treatment; baseline PSA levels among trials were different and the treatment duration varied from 3 months to 15 months. Meta analysis was not conducted due to the obvious clinical and statistical heterogeneity among trials. One trial [12] showed a better effect of castration (prostatectomy, bilateral orchiectomy and diethylstilbestrol) than CHM (Juzao Pills) plus castration on reducing PSA (MD 4.13ug/l, 95% CI 2.37 to 5.89, 60 participants). Eight trials [11, 14, 18–21, 23, 25] showed a benefit of CHM for this outcome (at least 2.38 ug/l PSA lower in CHM group), and the remaining five trials [13, 17, 24, 26, 27] showed no difference between groups on controlling serum PSA in prostate cancer patients. Details of the results from each single trial were listed in Table 3.

Performance status. Within the five trials which reported KPS scores, three of them [15, 18, 19] showed a superior effect of herbal product as add-on therapy compared to MAB (MD 10.00, 95% CI 7.19 to 12.81, 60 participants), MAB plus chemotherapy (MD 19.12, 95% CI 15.27 to 22.97, 59 participants), or other endocrine therapy alone (MD 12.00, 95% CI 11.01 to 12.99, 90 participants) on improving patients’ performance status. One trial [23] found a similar number of patients whose KPS scores increased more than 10 between herbal medicine (Fuzheng Huayu Decoction) plus MAB group and MAB single applied group (RR 1.55, 95% CI 0.84 to 2.87, 25 participants). The remaining trial [20] only reported the KPS post treatment in both groups (52.79 in Qianlie Yuai Decoction plus control group, 50.54 in anti-androgen mono-therapy group) without the standard deviation or 95% CI of this outcome, thus we failed to interpret the data.

Serum testosterone. Only one trial [19] reported the change of serum testosterone after treatment, the result showed no difference between a combination of herbal decoction and MAB (Goserelin acetate sustained-release depot treatment plus Bicalutamide oral administration) and MAB alone for this outcome (MD -0.10 ng/ml, 95% CI -0.21 to 0.01, 60 participants) after six months of the treatment.

Prostate Volume (PV). Two trials reported the patients’ PV before and after treatment; both of them showed that the average PV of the participants before the treatment was over 50 ml. However, one trial [12] found a significant benefit of herbal medicine (Juzao Pills) as add-on therapy for castration (Prostatectomy, bilateral orchiectomy and diethylstilbestrol) on reducing the volume of prostate (MD -5.20ml, 95% CI -5.67 ml to -4.73 ml, 60 participants), but the other trial [13] showed no difference between MAB therapies with or without herbal decoction administration (MD -2.61ml, 95% CI -6.62 ml to 1.40 ml, 30 participants) on PV after treatment.

Adverse events. Five trials mentioned adverse events in the herbal medicine group. Four of them [12, 14–16] reported there was no adverse event during the treatment duration. The remaining one trial [23] reported mild or moderate adverse events in Fuzheng Huayu Decoction groups. Symptoms of blood vessel constriction occurred in 31% of patients (9 cases), post-
castration syndrome occurred in 34.5% of patients (10 cases), 27.6% of patients were reported to have anemia (8 cases), and 3.4% of patients had liver function damage (1 case) in combination of Fuzheng Huayu Decoction and MAB group. However, compared with control group (MAB), the combination group still showed lower incidence rate of adverse events (p < 0.05).

Details of reporting of adverse events were shown in Table 2.

Assessment for publication bias. Since none of the meta-analysis in this review included more than 10 studies, funnel plot was not conducted to assess the potential publication bias.

Discussion

Summary of findings

With concerns on 16 of the 17 trials, we have no confidence on determining the add-on effect of oral administration of herbal medicine for increasing survival rate and improving main symptoms (including PSA and PV) of patients with prostate cancer. Due to the poor methodological quality of the included trials and the insufficient number of trial participants, only limited evidence showed combination of herbal medicine and MAB may have better effect on...
increasing patients’ survival time (7–15 months) or improving patients’ performance status compared with MAB alone (KPS scores average changed 15 between groups).

The remaining trial [14] found an herbal decoction, Chuanlong Yiai Decoction, may have an effect on reducing PSA (MD -51.07ug/l) of patients with prostate cancer compared with no treatment. However, a conclusion could not be drawn due to the small sample size and potential high risk of bias in the trial.

Safety of herbal medicine was rarely reported in the included trials; thus, we could not make any confirmed conclusions on this outcome.

Agreement and disagreement with other studies

We found one review [30] assessed the complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) for prostate cancer, in which herbal preparations were involved. However, only PC-SPES and Zyflamend (an anti-inflammatory proprietary blend of 10 standardized herbal extracts including turmeric, holy basil, green tea, huzhang, ginger, Chinese goldthread, oregano, Scutellaria baicalensis, and barberry) were mentioned in that review, and no data from a complete clinical trial could be used for evaluating those herbal preparations. The author demonstrated that the available data provided insufficient evidence to support the use of CAMs (including herbal preparations) for the treatment of prostate cancer patients.

Compared to the above review, our study included 17 trials with different types of herbal preparations. Though the strength of the evidence was low, we found potential effect of oral herbal preparations for patients with prostate cancer on some key symptoms’ improvement.

Limitations of the review

Low levels of evidence in this review were mainly caused by the poor quality and small sample size of original included trials. The inconsistency of findings of herbal medicine’s effect on improving main symptoms of prostate cancer among these trials further reduced the internal validity of the evidence.

Although we searched both Chinese and English databases, all of the included trials were retrieved from Chinese literature, which may have introduced potential selection bias and limited the external generalization of the evidence.

Types of clinical stages of prostate cancer determine the details of treatment. In this review, almost half of the included trials did not report or classify clinical stages of the participants, which made the subgroup analysis regarding to the different clinical stages of the disease unavailable.

Implications for the clinical practice

First aim of the treatment for patients with prostate cancer is to strengthen their self immune-function to alleviate the symptoms and get better quality of life. According to this review, herbs or herbal prescriptions with the function of strengthening the spleen and kidney "qi" are commonly used to achieve this objective. Top five of most frequently used herbs were Astragalus mongholicus (in 12 trials), Rhizoma atractylodis macrocephalae (in 9 trials), Codonopsis pilosula (in 9 trials), Herbah edyotis (in 9 trials), and Poriacocs (in 7 trials). The first three and Poriacocs were commonly used for tonifying (taking a tonic or nourishing food to build up) one’s qi and enhancing body immunity. Herba Hedyotis was reported to be used in several studies [31–33], which showed effects on enhancing specific or non-specific immunity and was recommended to be provided to cancer patients.

Herbal medicine may have effects on alleviating the symptoms or reducing the incidence rate of adverse events caused by endocrine therapy; however, insufficient evidence was seen supporting this conclusion.
Implications for the future research

First of all, the protocol of the clinical trial should be pre-defined according to the research question. Ideally, preclinical data should be provided to support the design of the clinical trials. Authors are encouraged to register their study protocols before trial implementation to ensure the research can be conducted according to the pre-defined standard. In the protocol, the primary and secondary outcomes should be defined for the research. While one can argue whether PSA is a good marker for prostate cancer, it is the only approved marker to indicate the development of prostate cancer, and testing of serum PSA has been standardized. Therefore, PSA values should be included as a parameter to evaluate the outcome of prostate cancer trials. If possible, PSA doubling time during treatments should be determined. A documentation of a decrease of PSA could be more informative than a single measurement of PSA value. Secondly, there should be unified scales for assessing the quality of life of patients with prostate cancer (such as EORTC QLQ-PR25 and FACT-P). Therefore, those scales should be used and interpreted appropriately. Furthermore, in order to observe the long-term efficacy of treatment with assessment of patients’ survival time or time to progression, follow-up with adequate duration should be considered during clinical study.

According to this review, for outcomes like patients’ survival time or change of PSA after treatment, a combination of herbal medicine and endocrine therapy did not show advantages compared with endocrine therapies themselves. Thus, the sample size of future studies should be calculated carefully to make sure that data could achieve enough power for statistical analysis. Meanwhile, factors that may influence or downgrade the methodological quality of studies should be controlled during the research. Adequate methods are requested for random number generation, allocation concealment, missing data handling, avoiding performance bias and other bias. Reporting of the clinical study should follow the international standard or criteria, such as CONSORT statement [34].

In addition to treatment trials, prostate cancer prevention trials involving CHM should not be overlooked. Although it is not easy to carry out primary prevention trials, it is possible that the use of CHM could prevent or delay of the recurrence of prostate cancer. One of the authors (Shiuan Chen) has recently completed a trial to determine the effects of white button mushrooms (WBM) against biochemically recurrent prostate cancer [35]. Therapy with WBM appears to both impact PSA levels and modulate the biology of biochemically recurrent prostate cancer by decreasing immune-suppressive factors, such as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs). This could be one way to evaluate anti-prostate cancer properties of CHM.

CHM has been applied in China for more than 2,000 years and shown to be useful in many ways. CHM is complex in nature and used mainly for symptom management. Our analysis was not able to reach a clear conclusion mainly due to inconsistency of the trial design, variable outcome measurements, and the complexity of CHM regimens. Furthermore, patient population might not be well controlled. It is also possible that during the trials, participants also took various supplements without telling the doctors. Through a careful and well-designed trial with a definitive outcome measurement, it is more likely that conclusions addressing the usage of CHM in prostate cancer treatment will be generated.

Conclusions

Due to the insufficient quality of trials that were analyzed, it is not appropriate to recommend any kind of CHMs in treating prostate cancer at the present time. Well designed trials with high methodological quality are needed to validate the effect of CHMs for patients with prostate cancer.
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