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While studies on death receptors have long been restricted to immune cells, the last decade has provided a strong body of evidence for their implication in neuronal death and hence neurodegenerative disorders such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). ALS is a fatal paralytic disorder that primarily affects motoneurons in the brain and spinal cord. A neuroinflammatory process, associated with astrocyte and microglial activation as well as infiltration of immune cells, accompanies motoneuron degeneration and supports the contribution of non-cell-autonomous mechanisms in the disease. Hallmarks of Fas, TNFR, LT-βR, and p75NTR signaling have been observed in both animal models and ALS patients. This review summarizes to date knowledge of the role of death receptors in ALS and the link existing between the selective loss of motoneurons and neuroinflammation. It further suggests how this recent evidence could be included in an ultimate multiapproach to treat patients.

1. Introduction

The name of “death receptors” is associated with the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily (TNFRSF) of transmembrane proteins whose first shown function was to induce apoptosis in subtypes of immune cells (for review see [1]). For example, Fas (CD95, Apo1) was identified in 1989 as a receptor being activated during the negative and positive selection of T cells [2, 3]. Since this pioneer work, death receptor activation has been evidenced in a variety of nonimmune cells and shown to induce, besides apoptosis, a number of nonapoptotic events including regulation of cell proliferation and differentiation, chemokine production, inflammatory responses, and tumor-promoting activities [4].

In the nervous system, most death receptors are expressed by neurons as well as by glial cells during development. Nevertheless, while activation of Fas or TNFR induces death of embryonic nervous cells in vitro [5–7], there is still little evidence about their implication in developmental cell death. On the contrary, a growing body of data has demonstrated the involvement of death receptors in neurodegenerative diseases. Depending on models and experimental conditions, studies have confirmed the proapoptotic effects resulting from the activation of death receptors in Alzheimer’s disease [8, 9] or in Parkinson’s disease [10] but have also pointed out their nonapoptotic functions in cell protection in Parkinson’s disease [11, 12]. Probably the strongest evidence for the involvement of death receptors in neurodegenerative diseases
comes from studies on amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). ALS is a fatal and incurable neurodegenerative disorder characterized by the progressive loss of both upper and lower motoneurons. ALS has a complex multifactorial aetiology as reflected by the large predominance of sporadic forms of the disease. Among the 10% of familial cases, about 20% are caused by dominant mutations in the superoxide dismutase-1 (SOD1) gene [13]. Mice expressing human SOD1 mutations develop a motor syndrome with features of the human disease and have greatly contributed to our comprehension of the pathogenetic processes [14]. Accumulating evidence has emerged for both cell- and non-cell-autonomous effects of mutated SOD1 mutant in the pathogenic process. Notably, the depletion of mutated SOD1 in motoneurons significantly delays the onset and early phase of the disease [15], whereas a non-cell-autonomous toxic action of mutated SOD1 in astrocytes and microglia is determinant for disease onset and/or progression [16, 17]. Finally, blood-derived immune cells, which infiltrate the central nervous system (CNS) early in the disease, have been proposed to contribute to the neuroinflammatory process, which typifies ALS. Thus, the identification of the detrimental non-cell-autonomous effectors is a crucial step to eventually design pertinent therapeutic approaches. This review focuses on the last decade evidence for a role of four members of the TNFRSF, Fas, TNFR1, LT-βR, and p75NTR in the death of motoneurons in ALS and how this new knowledge could be the basis of new therapeutic approaches in ALS.

2. The Fas Death Pathway

Fas/CD95 belongs to the TNFRSF and is known for its capacity to induce apoptosis in various sensitive cells. Binding of Fas ligand (FasL/CD95L) causes a conformational change in the preassembled tetrameric Fas receptor, which leads to the recruitment of the Fas-associated protein with death domain (FADD), to form the death-inducing signaling complex [18]. The formation of this complex leads to the recruitment and activation of caspase-8 or -10, which depending on the cell type, can either promote the cleavage of the effector caspase-3 directly and/or indirectly by cleaving the BH3-only family member Bid [18]. This will eventually induce the release of cytochrome c from the mitochondria and the subsequent cleavage of caspase-9, an initiator caspase that activates the executioner caspase-3 [19]. Another death signaling mechanism triggered by Fas/FasL interaction includes the recruitment of the adaptor protein, death associated protein 6 (Daxx), and the subsequent activation of various MAP kinases, such as apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1 (ASK-1), p38 kinase, or the Jun N-Terminal kinase [20–22].

Both Fas and FasL are broadly expressed proteins, and within the CNS, they were shown to be expressed by neurons and glial cells both during development and all the way through adult life [23–28]. During development, motoneurons purified at the embryonic stage at which they enter the naturally occurring cell death period strongly express Fas and FasL. In vitro studies have further shown that the deprivation of neurotrophic factors triggers death of embryonic motoneurons in a Fas-dependent manner [6, 7].

There is however still little evidence for a contribution of Fas in the elimination of motoneurons during development, since the incomplete loss-of-function mutants for Fas and FasL (lymphoproliferative, lpr and generalized lymphoproliferative disease, and gld mice, resp.) have normal numbers of motoneurons at birth [7, 28]. However, the number of surviving motoneurons in neonatal transgenic mice overexpressing a dominant negative form of FADD, which abrogates the Fas-FADD signaling branch, was shown to be twofold higher after transection of the facial nerve. These results reveal that besides its potential role in development, Fas may also be implicated in pathological degeneration of motoneurons [7].

Primary culture of embryonic motoneurons has greatly contributed to the understanding of Fas death signaling. Indeed, the exogenous activation of Fas by either soluble FasL or an agonistic anti-Fas antibody leads to the death of up to 50% of cultured motoneurons, offering a means to study events downstream of Fas [6, 29]. In addition to the classical Fas-signaling pathway, involving FADD recruitment, a parallel signaling pathway implicating the recruitment of the proteins Daxx, ASK-1, and p38 kinase and the atypical production of nitric oxide (NO) is necessary to induce motoneuron death, following Fas activation (Figure 1) [29]. Interestingly, motoneurons expressing ALS-linked mutated SOD1 present an exacerbated sensitivity to the Fas death pathway. However, mutant SOD1 does not increase the killing effect of Fas on other Fas-sensitive cell types such as cortical neurons or thymocytes. Furthermore, the Daxx/ASK/p38 kinase/NO pathway may act as an amplification loop, where the production of NO leads to the expression of sFasL, which in turn activates Fas [27]. An interesting mechanism linking the production of NO and FasL expression has been recently proposed. The nitration of the heat-shock protein 90 (Hsp90), following the formation of peroxynitrite by the reaction of NO and superoxide, was shown to mobilize FasL at the plasma membrane following stimulation of the Ca²⁺-permeable cationic channel P2X7 [30]. In vivo, FasL has been shown to be upregulated in motoneurons at a presymptomatic stage of the disease in SOD1G93A mice, and this upregulation is reduced when the SOD1 mutant mice are expressing a dominant negative form of Daxx. All together, this evidence suggests that a motoneuron-restricted exacerbation to Fas activation, conferred by ALS-linked mutated SOD1, might contribute to the selective degeneration of motoneurons in ALS.

Recent studies on the Fas/NO pathway have shed light on two other proteins, calreticulin (CRT) and the collapsing response protein 4 (CRMP4a), that are implicated in the death of mutant SOD1 motoneurons specifically (Figure 1) [31, 32]. Authors observed that Fas or NO treatment of cultured embryonic motoneurons leads to a decrease in CRT expression and to an increase in CRMP4a levels. A change in the expression of these two proteins is sufficient to induce death of motoneurons. On one hand, the decrease in CRT expression leads to an upregulation of ER stress sensors combined with disturbances in calcium homeostasis; two events that eventually trigger death of motoneurons expressing mutated SOD1. Conversely, CRT overexpression was able
to rescue mutant motoneurons from Fas/NO-induced death. In vivo, as it is observed for ER stress, CRT downregulation in motoneurons precedes muscle denervation and is restricted to vulnerable motoneuron populations [31]. On the other hand, the RNA interference-mediated silencing of CRMP4a is protective against NO-induced toxicity of cultured motoneurons. Furthermore, an increase in CRMP4a levels can be detected in vivo in a proportion of motoneurons in the spinal cord of SOD1(G93A) mice at presymptomatic stage [32]. Consistently, the viral-induced overexpression of CRMP4a is sufficient to trigger the denervation of motor endplates and motoneuron degeneration. Further experiments are required to explore the contribution of both CRT and CRMP4a in the disease process, which in case would enlarge the number of potential therapeutic targets for therapies linked to Fas/NO-induced motoneuron death. Besides the disturbances observed in the expression of CRT and CRMP4a, other components of the Fas/NO pathway, such as p38 kinase, ASK1, or neuronal nitric oxide synthase, were shown to be activated or increased in the spinal cord of ALS mouse models starting at presymptomatic stages of the disease [27, 33–40].

One aspect, which remains unclear, is the origin of FasL or NO in the pathological context of ALS. In the spinal cord of patients and ALS models, reactive astrocytes show an upregulation of nitric oxide synthase and display markers...
of oxidative stress [41–43]. Interestingly, in cocultures of astrocytes with motoneurons, astrocytes expressing SOD1 mutations were shown to kill motoneurons by secreting neurotoxic factors [44, 45]. NO has been proposed to potentiate the neurotoxicity of astrocytes expressing ALS-linked mutated SOD1 toward motoneurons [46]. We can propose that reactive mutant astrocytes release NO and thereby contribute to the death of motoneurons by initiating the Fas/FasL death pathway. However, Nagai and colleagues have shown that the inhibition of FasL by a soluble Fas decoy fails to improve the survival of embryonic mouse stem cell-derived motoneurons co-cultured with SOD1G93A astrocytes [45]. Survival assays have also been performed on microglia/motoneuron co-culture systems. When compared to astrocytes, microglia cells expressing mutated SOD1 have only a modest effect on motoneuron survival [45, 47]. Once activated in vitro, microglial cells may however start to secrete toxic factors such as NO and FasL and therefore remain a potential source of Fas/NO in ALS [47–49]. Additional experiments are however needed to determine if astrocytes in a concerted action with microglial cells are involved in triggering death of motoneuron through the activation of the Fas/NO death pathway.

As agonistic anti-Fas antibodies have been detected in the serum of ALS patients [50, 51], a last potential source of FasL/NO could be immune cells. Indeed, increased numbers of CD8 and CD4 T cells as well as natural killer (NK) cells are found in the spinal cord of mutant SOD1 mice beginning at presymptomatic stages of the disease and increasing with its progression [52]. In the CNS, CD8 T cells can induce apoptosis of target cells through the Fas/FasL, perforin/granzyme system, and IFNγ [53]. IFNγ that can be released by CD4 T helper 1 (Th1) has been recently proposed as a pertinent therapeutic candidate using a system mathematical model [54]. Although several studies have pointed out the implication of astrocytes and microglia cells in the process of neuroinflammation in ALS, future studies are needed to clarify the role of immune cells in triggering the Fas/NO or IFNγ death pathway implicated in motoneuron degeneration.

The functional implication of the Fas death pathway in ALS pathogenesis was further supported by studying ALS mice with a partial deletion of FasL. Indeed, gld mutant mice expressing ALS-linked SOD1G93A mutation show improved motor behaviour and increased motoneuron survival when compared to SOD1G93A littermates [55]. Moreover, the intrathecal delivery of small interfering RNA directed against Fas at disease onset delays the progression of the disease in ALS mice [56]. Additional evidence, though indirect, suggests that Fas contributes to ALS pathogenesis. Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) have been shown to cleave the membrane-bound FasL to release its soluble form. The genetic deletion of MMP-9 has controversial effects on mutant SOD1 mice: in one study, it led to the reduction of FasL and TNFα immunoreactivity in the spinal cord and extended survival of ALS mice [57], but in another, it exacerbated the motor symptoms [58]. Administration of a broad-spectrum inhibitor of MMPs had a positive impact on the lifespan of treated mutant SOD1 mice [59]. Further research is needed to complete our understanding of MMPs in ALS pathogenesis and evaluate their potential as therapeutic candidates.

3. The IFNγ–LIGHT/LT-βR Death Pathway

LIGHT (TNFSF14, CD258), which stands for “lymphotoxin-related inducible ligand that competes for glycoprotein D binding to herpes virus entry mediator on T cells,” is a member of the TNFSF, which is mainly expressed on the surface of immature dendritic cells, NK cells, and activated lymphocytes and monocytes, and which participates in innate and adaptive immune responses [60–62]. Produced as a type II transmembrane protein, LIGHT can be cleaved by proteolysis into a soluble form and acts as a homotrimer to engage two distinct membrane-bound receptors, the lymphotoxin-β receptor (LT-βR, TNFRSF3, and CD18) and the receptor known as Herpesvirus entry mediator (HVEM, TNFRSF14, and CD270) [63, 64]. Even though LT-βR and HVEM lack an actual death domain, LIGHT was shown to induce moderate apoptosis of numerous tumor cell lines with a characteristically slow kinetic upon activation of LT-βR. Interestingly, this death-inducing property of LIGHT/LT-βR interaction depends on the presence of IFNγ [65–68]. The expression of LIGHT was also observed in the CNS, where both of its receptors, LT-βR and HVEM, are also present [69, 70]. In the CNS, LIGHT was shown to negatively regulate axon growth of nodose sensory neurons by activating the HVEM receptor [69].

Recently, LIGHT has been shown to contribute to ALS pathogenesis. LIGHT has a deleterious effect on motoneuron survival, whereas at first sight this ligand does not affect the survival of other neuronal types. Indeed, cultured embryonic motoneurons are dose-dependently sensitive to soluble LIGHT, with a plateau being reached 48 hours after treatment at 50% of motoneuron loss. Even though cultured motoneurons express both of LIGHT’s receptors, it is the engagement of the LT-βR that is necessary and sufficient to induce their apoptosis. The intracellular signaling mechanism triggered by LIGHT greatly differs from that observed for FasL. In contrast to FasL, LIGHT-induced death of motoneurons does not depend on the activation of caspase-8, nor does it induce the phosphorylation of p38 kinase or lead to the release of cytochrome c from the mitochondria. And even though the cleavage of caspase-9 plays a central role in both pathways, apoptotic degeneration of motoneurons following LIGHT treatment is mediated by the effector caspase-6, without implication of caspase-3 (Figure 1). Interestingly, a combination of both FasL and LIGHT triggers up to 70% of motoneuron loss [71]. One possible explanation for the additive killing effect of LIGHT and FasL is that they target different populations of motoneurons, a hypothesis that still needs to be investigated. This might be of particular interest when considering therapeutic approaches for ALS, since it might indicate that targeting both death pathways at the same time could have a greater impact on motoneuron survival.

As it has been observed for tumor cell lines, LIGHT-induced death of motoneurons is also tightly regulated by the
proinflammatory cytokine IFNγ [71]. In fact, a close interplay exists between LIGHT and IFNγ. Whilst the presence of the cytokine specifically potentiates the effect of LIGHT, IFNγ by itself may also trigger a dose-dependent death of motoneurons. Indeed, binding of IFNγ to its receptor complex IFNγRI/IFNγRII on motoneuron cell surface leads to an upregulation of LIGHT expression, followed by death of motoneurons through activation of the LT-βR. These results outline the important regulatory function of IFNγ in the death pathway triggered by LIGHT/LT-βR interaction.

Interestingly, several studies have now shown that IFNγ levels increase during the course of the disease in the spinal cord tissue, cerebrospinal fluid, and serum of ALS mouse models and patients [72–75]. Whilst Th1, cytotoxic T, and NK cells are all potential well-known sources of IFNγ, Aebischer et al. have recently shown that astrocytes also contribute to IFNγ upregulation in ALS spinal cords [71, 76]. Indeed, primary astrocyte cultures from SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mice or rats show elevated levels of IFNγ production and secretion when compared to wild-type cells. Furthermore, IFNγ has recently been identified as a contributor to the astrocyte-derived neurotoxicity in the astrocyte/motoneuron cocultures, with motoneurons being rescued from SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> astrocyte toxicity when treated with molecules that interfere with either IFNγ or the LIGHT/LT-βR pathway.

In contrary to what is observed for FasL, ALS mutations do not lead to an increased sensitivity of motoneurons to LIGHT and/or IFNγ, but it is instead the aberrant secretion of IFNγ by mutant astrocytes and possibly other cell types that links the LIGHT/LT-βR pathway to motoneuron degeneration in this disease. In fact, murine motoneurons in vivo constitutively express both LIGHT and LT-βR with no difference being observed between wild-type and SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> animals during the course of the disease. An increased expression of IFNγ is however observed in SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mice beginning at disease onset, and IFNγ levels continue to be elevated during the progression phase of the disease, with both activated astrocytes and motoneurons being immunoreactive for IFNγ.

Evidence for the functional implication of this IFNγ-induced and LIGHT/LT-βR-mediated death pathway in ALS pathology comes from the study of double transgenic mice. Whereas the genetic deletion of Light in SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mice does not affect disease onset, it significantly retards progression and extends lifespan of ALS mice. These in vivo data point more precisely towards a contribution of LIGHT/LT-βR interaction to the progression of the disease, where activated astrocytes seem to play a pivotal role by expressing IFNγ, the key trigger factor of this death pathway.

Evidence for an implication of this LIGHT/LT-βR death pathway in ALS pathology has further been provided by the analysis of tissues obtained from human patients. LIGHT and LT-βR are constitutively expressed in large ventral horn neurons in both postmortem sporadic ALS patients and non-ALS controls. However, a significant increase in the levels of LIGHT, but not LT-βR, was observed in the spinal cord of ALS patients when compared to controls. In accordance with other studies, Aebischer et al. also documented an increase in IFNγ levels in spinal cords of ALS patients. As observed in the SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mouse model, IFNγ signals were detected in large ventral horn neurons as well as nonneuronal cells that resemble astrocytes and immune cells [77]. This study not only confirms the observation made in the SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mouse model on the implication of the IFNγ/LIGHT/LT-βR death pathway in ALS, but also it further extrapolates these findings to a larger number of clinical cases, with sporadic ALS patients showing signs of activation of this death pathway. Interestingly, a positive correlation has recently been observed between rapid progression rates of the disease and postmortem spinal IFNγ levels in ALS patients [73].

Besides its direct contribution to the LIGHT/LT-βR-mediated toxicity of astrocytes towards motoneurons, IFNγ may also promote the neuroinflammatory status observed during ALS. Studies performed on primary cultures of wild-type astrocytes have, for example, shown that this cytokine may induce the expression of NO, which may in turn lead to the activation of astrocytes, therewith reinforcing their toxic properties [78]. It is also possible that this IFNγ-triggered NO production may directly affect motoneuron survival by activation of the Fas death pathway. Alternatively, IFNγ may also modify the reactive status of microglial cells and therewith potentially participate in other pathogenic processes implicated in ALS [79]. IFNγ may, for example, directly promote the secretion of FasL by activated microglial cells, pointing out the possible link that exists between IFNγ and various death pathways [80].

4. The TNFα/TNFRI Pathway

TNFα is a homotrimeric type II protein that can be released in the CNS by astrocytes, microglial cells, or some neuronal cells. This proinflammatory cytokine is active either as a membrane-bound or soluble form to signal via two distinct receptors of the TNFRSF, both constitutively expressed by neurons and glial cells: TNF receptor 1 (TNFR1) and TNFR2, with only the former harbouring a cytoplasmic death domain [81]. Similarly to the classical Fas death pathway, TNFα binding to TNFRI leads to the recruitment of the adaptor proteins TRADD and FADD and the subsequent activation of a caspase cascade that depends on the release of cysteine c from the mitochondria. By the activation of this death pathway, TNFα triggers death of primary embryonic motoneurons, with a plateau being reached upon 50% of motoneuron loss, as observed for FasL and LIGHT [7, 71]. A simultaneous activation of Fas and TNFRI does not however increase the percentage of motoneuron loss, supporting the hypothesis that a population of motoneurons is intrinsically resistant to the activation of this intracellular death pathway [7].

An increase in the expression of TNFα as well as both of its receptors has been documented in mutant SODI mice [38, 74, 82–84]. The increase in TNFα is observed before the appearance of obvious behavioural deficits in these mice and is closely associated with disease progression. Elevated TNFα levels have also been detected in the plasma of ALS patients [85, 86]. So far however, no experimental evidence allows establishing a conclusive link between the aberrant expression of TNFα and the degeneration of motoneurons.
in the pathological context of ALS. Indeed, the genetic ablation of TNFα in mice expressing mutated SOD1 does not alter the course of the disease, nor does it improve the lifespan or these animals [87]. It is however not excluded that TNFα plays a role in the inflammatory component of the disease. Indeed, primary astrocyte cultures from SOD1\(^{G93A}\) neonates express more TNFα in basal state when compared to nontransgenic astrocytes, and they start to overexpress TNFα when challenged with IFNγ or TNFα itself [74]. Similarly, in response to stimulation by lipopolysaccharide, SOD1\(^{G93A}\) microglial cell in vitro also produces TNFα. This cytokine may furthermore participate in maintaining the reactive status of glial cells, therewith promoting their release of proinflammatory mediators, which contribute to the microglia-derived toxicity towards motoneurons [47, 88, 89].

6. Therapeutic Implication

The ultimate aim of a therapeutic approach for ALS is to interfere with the progressive degeneration of motoneurons and to halt the loss of motor units and therewith the installation of fatal paralysis. Removal of the ALS-causative gene might be the most straightforward approach [101, 102], but it is however still technically very challenging and applicable to only a small subset of clinical cases, namely, familial ALS patients. Since ALS is a multifactorial disease, targeting single common downstream motoneuron-intrinsic contributors to disease might not be sufficient to prevent their degeneration. This could explain why clinical trials have so far given disappointing results. This especially holds true when considering that ALS is a non-cell-autonomous disease where surrounding nonneuronal cells actively participate in motoneuron damage. Indeed, as already discussed, activated astrocytes and microglial cells as well as infiltrating immune cells release cytokines and other toxic compounds that can either directly trigger motoneuron degeneration or consoli-date the deleterious inflammatory status of their neighboring cells. Targeting neuroinflammation might therefore be an interesting therapeutic approach for this disease, especially since it is observed in both familial and sporadic ALS patients, and it is closely associated with progression of the disease [103]. To date, several studies have aimed at targeting neuroinflammation by suppressing activation of glial cells but have so far shown disappointing clinical results. The thalidomide derivative, lenalidomide, which shows anti-inflammatory properties by inhibiting the expression of TNFα and other cytokines, improves motor performance of SOD1\(^{G93A}\) mice and extends their survival, even when treatment begins with symptom onset [104, 105]. When administered to ALS patients, tolerable doses of thalidomide did not however show beneficial therapeutic effects, nor did they improve the cytokine profile of these patients, but on contrary, treatment was associated with adverse side effects [106, 107]. Similarly, minocycline, which is a second-generation tetracycline known for its anti-inflammatory properties to suppress macrophage and microglial activations also delayed disease onset and extended the survival of ALS mice but actually had a harmful effect on ALS patients and even accelerated their rate of deterioration [108–110]. The same type of observation was made when celecoxib to inhibit cyclooxygenase 2, an enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of prostaglandins and also triggers the production of various inflammatory cytokines. Whereas celecoxib protected motoneurons and prolonged survival of ALS mice, it did not show any therapeutic effects in ALS patients [111, 112]. Even though all these clinical trials have given disappointing results, it remains unclear whether it is a matter of the compound used (thalidomide in ALS patients versus lenalidomide in the mouse model), a wrong dosage of the drug administrated, or a result of the discrepancy that exists between the animal model and ALS patients.

One aspect that has to be taken in consideration is that inflammation is likely to play a dual role in the pathogenic process of ALS, since activated astrocytes and microglial cells may on one hand promote neuronal death, but on
the other hand they can also preserve motoneuron survival by releasing anti-inflammatory cytokines, neurotrophins, or growth factors [113–115]. So even though neuroinflammation seems to be a promising site of intervention when considering therapies for ALS, it is important to design novel strategies to specifically target its deleterious branch. Interfering with death pathways triggered by the activation of death receptors might be one way to combat the downstream deleterious effect of neuroinflammation. Indeed, ALS mice that are deficient for Fasl or LIGHT show improved survival of motoneurons and increased lifespan compared to their SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> littermates [55, 71]. A therapeutic approach targeting both of these pathways at the same time might even show improved beneficial outcome, since the two pathways have an additive effect on motoneuron death in vitro and seem to target different populations of motoneurons [71].

Another approach could be to promote the secretion of anti-inflammatory cytokines while inhibiting the release of their proinflammatory counterparts. Recently, a study has analyzed the effect of various cytokines on disease progression using a mathematical model of a cell-cell communication network in ALS. They concluded that increasing the level of the anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin (IL)-6 could have a beneficial impact on life expectancy by elevating the levels of IL-4 and that decreasing the expression of IFN<sub>γ</sub> could be an alternative therapeutic approach [54]. Indeed, IFN<sub>γ</sub> seems to play various central roles in the disease, and blocking the expression of this cytokine may therefore not only prevent the activation of the harmful arm of inflammation but also inhibit the motoneuron death pathway that depends on LIGHT and LT-βR. On top of that, this approach may also indirectly limit the activation of the FasL-induced apoptotic pathway, which contributes as well to motoneuron degeneration. Supporting this idea is the fact that a positive correlation has recently been observed between rapid progression rates of the disease and post-mortem spinal IFN<sub>γ</sub> levels in ALS patients [73]. The most interesting option for future therapeutic approaches may however still be to attack the disease from different angles.

7. Concluding Remarks

The presence of reactive astrocytes, activated microglia, and immune cells, as well as proinflammatory cytokines and reactive nitrogen or oxygen species at the damage site is common to most neurodegenerative diseases [116]. Therefore, such indiscriminating cellular and molecular mechanisms of neuroinflammation cannot likely determine the selectivity of motoneuron degeneration in ALS. One explanation might be that intrinsic features dictating the interpretation of these extrinsic signals are responsible for the selective vulnerability of motoneuron in this pathological context. The intrinsic feature of motoneurons to external death signals can be magnified by the presence of an ALS-associated gene product, as we showed with the exacerbated susceptibility of motoneurons expressing mutated SOD1 to FasL and NGF. This supports the idea that a minute and sustained accumulation of apoptotic signals leads to the progressive and selective degeneration of motoneurons. Another appealing hypothesis relies on a combinatory action of extrinsic signals. IFNγ and LIGHT, as well as NGF and NO, have been demonstrated to act synergistically to trigger motoneuron death. This suggests that a combinatorial diversity of inflammatory signals can also account for the specific elimination of motoneurons in ALS. We have stressed out that the selective neuronal vulnerability to extrinsic signals may therefore implicate several nonexclusive mechanisms acting through death receptors. Therefore, novel therapeutic strategies will have to answer the challenge of the functional diversity made by the combination of neuroinflammatory information.
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