Using Supertags and Encoded Annotation Principles for Improved Dependency to Phrase Structure Conversion

Seth Kulick, Ann Bies, Justin Mott (skulick,bies,jmott)@ldc.upenn.edu
Linguistic Data Consortium, University of Pennsylvania

GOAL: CONVERT FROM DEPENDENCY STRUCTURE (DS) TO PHRASE STRUCTURE (PS)

- Phrase structure treebank construction – parser output as input for manual correction.
- To use dependency parsing, need to convert from DS parser output to PS for manual correction.
- Conflicting requirements: “minimal” DS for parser, but able to do high quality conversion to PS.
- Solution:
  - Tree Insertion Grammar (TIG) representation...
  - … results in set of “supertags”…
  - … allowing encoding of properties of guidelines.
- We start with Penn Treebank for development.
- Future goal: use this for Arabic Treebank construction.
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Main Properties of Conversion from TIG to DS

- Conversion to DS results in set of 30 “supertags” replacing POS tags. (10 are for punctuation.)
- P_XP means projection of XP.
- e.g. (ADVP Aside) -> P_ADVP supertag.
- “Minimal” tags not encoding entire structure.
- e.g. (S (VP posted)) -> P_VP supertag, not P_S.
- 5 arc labels: SBJ, OBJ, PRN, COORD, APP.

Main Properties of Conversion from DS to PS

- Annotation principles encoded using supertags. e.g.:
  - P_NP projection reduces P_ADJP “other” to single word.
  - P_PP projection does not reduce P_NP complement.
- Supertags disambiguated by dependency structure.
  - e.g. (S_VP -> (S …) or (SINV …) or (SQ …) or (SBAR …) or (VP …)).
  - (QP_QP) -> (QP (NP …)) or (QP (ADJP …)).
- Conversion to PS can be run in two ways:
  - USE-SUPER – use supertags.
  - USE-POS – use the POS tags to approximate the supertags.

Evaluation:

- Evaluation: (Xia et al. ‘09) – convert to DS for test data, algorithm converts back to PS, score with evalb.
- We also use evalb, but it is inadequate for the full problem (empty categories).
- Improvement over previous work (Xia et al. ‘09).
- (Wang & Zong ’10) - gold dependency tree with parser.
- Our interpretation – parser is providing roughly same information as in our conversion code, along with learning non-straightforward cases of POS tags.

| Set | System     | rec | prec | f   |
|-----|------------|-----|------|-----|
| 22  | Xia et al. ’09 | 90.7 | 88.1 | 89.4|
|     | USE-POS    | 95.0 | 95.5 | 95.3|
|     | USE-SUPER  | 96.4 | 97.1 | 96.7|
| 23  | Wang & Zong ’10 | 95.9 | 96.3 | 96.1|
|     | USE-POS    | 94.8 | 95.7 | 95.3|
|     | USE-SUPER  | 96.2 | 97.3 | 96.7|
| 24  | dev        | 94.0 | 94.7 | 94.4|
|     | USE-SUPER  | 95.9 | 97.1 | 96.5|