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**Abstract**

**Background:** Hormone therapy (HT) in the menopause is still a tricky question among healthcare providers, women and mass media. *Informing women about hormone replacement therapy* was a Consensus Conference (CC) organized in 2008: the project *Know the Menopause* has been launched to shift out the results to women and healthcare providers and to assess the impact of the cc’s statement.

**Methods:** The project, aimed at women aged 45-60 years, was developed in four Italian Regions: Lombardy, Tuscany, Lazio, Sicily, each with one Local Health Unit (LHU) as “intervention” and one as “control”. Activities performed were: survey on the press; training courses for health professionals; educational materials for target populations; survey aimed at women, general practitioners (GPs), and gynaecologists; data analysis on HT drugs’ prescription. Local activities were: training courses; public meetings; dissemination on mass media. About 3,700 health professionals were contacted and 1,800 participated in the project. About 146,500 printed leaflets on menopause were distributed to facilitate the dialogue among women and health care professionals. Training courses and educational cascade-process activities: participation ranged 25- 72% of GPs, 17-71% of gynaecologists, 14-78% of pharmacists, 34-85% of midwives. Survey: 1,281 women interviewed. More than 90% believed menopause was a normal phase in life. More than half did not receive information about menopause and therapies. HT prescription analysis: prevalence fell from 6% to 4% in five years. No differences in time trends before-after the intervention. Major limitations are: organizational difficulties met by LHU, too short time for some local activities.

**Conclusions:** A huge amount of information was spread through health professionals and women. The issue of menopause was also used to discuss women’s wellbeing. This project offered an opportunity to launch a multidisciplinary, multimodal approach to menopause looking not only at pharmacological aspects, but also at quality of life and information.
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**Introduction**

Hormone therapy (HT) in the menopause is still a tricky question among health care providers, women and the mass media. During 2012 the North American Menopause Society [1] and the International Menopausal Society [2] updated their HT position Both evaluated pros an cons of the HT, and underlined that a careful assessment of individual risks and benefits should be discussed by each woman. 2013 opened with the publication of an editorial [3] about the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommendations against using hormone therapy for the prevention of chronic conditions in postmenopausal women[4]. The editorial also commented on the Danish Osteoporosis Prevention Study DOPS [5] published after the systematic review [4], that showed cardiovascular benefits and no apparent harms; the methodological limits of the DOPS, underlined by the editor’s authors, led them to conclude that the USPSTF recommendations remained sound.
The systematic review updating the USPSTF recommendations [6] analysed the literature from January 2002 to November 2012 (nine trials published) to evaluate the effectiveness of HT in reducing risks for chronic conditions and adverse effects. Oestrogen plus progestin and oestrogen alone reduced the risk of fractures, but raised the risk for stroke, thromboembolic events, gallbladder disease, urinary incontinence. Oestrogen plus progestin increased the risk of breast cancer and probably dementia, while oestrogen alone reduced the risk of breast cancer. In the light of these findings, the USPSTF made a grade D recommendation against the use of combined oestrogen and progestin for the prevention of chronic conditions in postmenopausal women.

Like in other industrialized countries, in Italy too the debate on HT is still lively, although the results of different studies over time have dampened the enthusiasm for drug treatments. Attention on the menopause seems to come to life when the results related to (new) drug treatments are published. This limits the quality and completeness of information for women focusing only on (new) drugs and not on the menopause as a normal phase of life, and often means women receive conflicting advice from different stakeholders. Although the importance of individual choice in decisions on HT is often emphasized - in terms of quality of life and risk assessment [7] - the debate on the type of information that women should receive remains weak.

Focusing on this issue, a Consensus Conference (CC) entitled "Informing women about hormone replacement therapy" was organized in Turin in May 2008 [8-10]. Agreement among members with different backgrounds - general practitioners, gynaecologists, epidemiologists, pharmacologists, public health experts, journalists, representatives of associations of citizens and patients - was formalized in a public final statement. The multidisciplinary nature of this process, the review of published scientific evidence, the analysis of women's information needs and the evaluation of messages conveyed by the mass media supported the final statement. The key messages were: women need to be fully informed about the transient nature of menopausal symptoms, HT risks and benefits, and the availability of non-pharmacological interventions; HT is useful to treat menopausal symptoms, at the lowest dose for the shortest time; HT is not recommended to prevent chronic conditions of aging; the term "HRT" is misleading and "post-menopausal hormone therapy" should be the preferred definition [8]. Accordingly with the recent systematic review published by USPSTF [6] the CC results remain valid.

The results need to be shifted out to a larger context to reach the real world, where women and health care providers can discuss these issues in daily life. To assess the impact of the dissemination of the CC's statement, a project known as Conoscere la menopausa (i.e. Know the Menopause) has been launched (Figure 1).

Figure 1. From the Consensus Conference to Know the menopause project. Legend: KAP=Knowledge, Attitude and Practice; HT=hormone therapy; CC=consensus conference; GP=general practitioner.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0085121.g001

Methods

Ethics Statement

This project obtained the approval from the Ethics Committee of the Istituto Superiore di Sanità.

The project, aimed at women aged between 45 and 60 years, was launched in May 2009 and developed in four Italian Regions: Lombardy, Tuscany, Lazio, Sicily. Each region participated with one Local Health Unit (LHU) as "intervention unit", in which the promoters endorsed different initiatives to implement the recommendations of the CC, and one LHU as "control unit", with no implementation. It is a multi-modal, project that follows a cascade-process approach, developing activities involving National Health Service (NHS) staff and lay people, in particular women (Figure 1). The central activities, i.e. those organised and carried out directly by promoters, and local activities, organised and carried out directly by LHUs, are briefly described here.

Central activities

Survey on the press. Between the end of the CC and the start of the project Know the menopause the lay press was checked and we selected the articles published in a list of relevant lay press publications (health magazines, weekly news magazines, newspapers, women’s magazines) and some specialized journals for medical practitioners using the
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Two reviewers independently evaluated the articles, using a standardised form [11] considering headline, summary, pictures, length and the content of the article.

Training course. Residential and E-learning courses were offered to “intervention” LHUs under the accreditation system of Continuing Medical Education (CME). Modular educational activities were organized to provide health professionals (gynaecologists, midwives, general practitioners and pharmacists) with up-to-date information, and to promote appropriate communication skills.

Scientific evidence on menopause and HT, and the CC recommendations were presented. A training section was entirely devoted to communication and counselling skills, with role-playing and discussion of cases. Each trainer received a cascade training package on CD for what they would teach once back in their LHUs.

An e-learning course was offered to physicians, midwives and pharmacists of the intervention LHUs. E-learning took place on the platform GOAL (Guidelines Online Assistant-Local), a software tool designed to do various activities, through completely online group work, to support the production, dissemination and implementation of the recommendations for clinical practice.

Finally, in each intervention LHU an interdisciplinary meeting was organized and participants evaluated the proactive dissemination of the CC’s recommendation, analysing strengths and limits, and identifying future developments.

Educational materials. A preliminary postal survey was done in participants LHUs to collect and evaluate the material already available. Educational material, defined on the basis of the CC’s recommendation, was then developed for two target populations of the LHU “intervention”: women aged 45-60 years and health professionals.

For the women, three focus groups (North, Central and South of Italy), moderated by a psychologist were formed to discuss knowledge and questions about menopause and HT and to evaluate the clarity, completeness and readability of a first draft of six-page leaflet. More technical material was developed and discussed with health professionals. Finally, a sticker was prepared with the logo of the project for the pharmacies.

Survey aimed at women. sample surveys targeting women aged 45-60 years, randomly selected from the electoral rolls, were done in intervention and control LHUs to investigate their knowledge, attitude and behavior about menopause and HT. Twenty trained interviewers administered structured questionnaires to all participants at their homes. At the beginning of the interview the interviewers, according with the protocol and the training received, read a short presentation of the aims of the study as reported on the front page of the questionnaire. Women provided the verbal informed consent to participate and the interviewers registered it on the record. According with the Ethics Committee this modality was considered the most appropriate and feasible.

The questionnaire was designed by a multidisciplinary team and pre-tested in the field. Menopausal history, HT and any other possible treatments, type and amount of information received, perceived quality and satisfaction, as well as personal habits/life style, health and socio-demographic characteristics were collected. Univariate and multivariate analysis were done centrally by project promoters, using STATA Package version 9.

Survey aimed at physicians. general practitioners and gynaecologists in intervention and control LHUs were surveyed to check their knowledge, attitude and behavior about menopause and HT. Health professionals were invited to participate and solicited by e-mail and mail. The questionnaire was sent by mail in Sicily, and administered through the GOAL platform in all other LHUs.

Prescription analysis. the data on pharmaceutical use in Italy refer to HT drugs whose costs are covered by the NHS in the period 2000-2010. Each LHU collected and transmitted to the study group all HT prescriptions for women aged 45-60 years in the period 2006-2010 (about 465,000 aged 45-60 years women, 7% of the Italian population). Drugs are classified using the International Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification. Drug consumption was expressed in defined daily doses (DDD), that is, the daily maintenance dose for adults for the drug’s main indication. The number of DDD was expressed as “DDD per 1,000 population per day” (i.e. the mean number of doses consumed daily by every 1,000 individuals). Time-series analysis was done to evaluate the effect of the intervention in the eight LHUs comparing prescription data before and after the intervention.

Local LHU activities

Nine different type of actions were identified and shared with regional representatives to disseminate information throughout the territory of the intervention LHUs, promoting more knowledgeable health choices among women. Each intervention LHU had to implement at least four of the following type of action:

1. information and counselling during general practitioners’ consultations or family planning counselling;
2. information during cervical cancer screening;
3. counselling during gynaecology outpatient services;
4. information in pharmacies when people purchase medicines for menopause;
5. organization of thematic meetings at family planning clinics and other public places (communal spaces, pharmacies, schools, senior centres, etc.);
6. involvement of middle and high schools to promote educational courses according to Health Promoting Schools model;
7. involvement of local media (newspapers, local radio and TV) to promote articles in print, and/or meetings/discussions on menopause and the appropriate use of HT;
8. distribution of educational leaflets in LHU, offices of family physicians, pharmacies, meeting places, etc;
9. postal delivery of educational leaflets and information material on the project to the target women.
Results

The press survey
Over half of the evaluated articles suggested HT to cure menopause symptoms, 44% suggested it for prevention. Nearly two thirds (61%) proposed HT to prevent fractures, 53% cardiovascular diseases. Almost 60% mentioned HT’s risks, 40% did not. The most cited source of information was experts (65% of the articles), followed by clinical studies (41%) and congresses (35%). The information on HT is often unbalanced and incomplete, preventing women to make informed decisions.

Participation in training courses
Participation in the residential training courses for health professionals ranged from 25% to 72% of GPs, 17-71% of gynaecologists, 14-78% of pharmacists, and 34-85% of midwives. Participation varied among intervention LHUs, depending also on the methods used to promote the meetings.

Participation in the E-learning courses also varied among LHUs, maybe because of the different ways of implementation: only two LHUs applied for CME credits for the e-learning course. In these two LHUs, the response rates were 16% and 58% of all the general practitioners, gynaecologists, midwives, nurses and pharmacists registered in the platform. Among the participants, 80% and 88% succeeded. The course with no CME credits had a very low participation rate.

Women’s and health professionals’ surveys
A total of 1,281 women were interviewed. Almost 80% of the sample was married, and 48% had more than 8 years of education. Women were interviewed about their reproductive health history and 87% of them had at least one child. Fifty nine percent of the sample (749 women) reached menopause, 85% naturally and 14% as a consequence of surgery or radiated therapy. Menopausal status was defined as the absence of menstrual period for 12 consecutive months. Reported mean age at menopause is 49 years, while the median age is 50 years.

More than 90% of the respondents believed that menopause was a normal phase in a woman’s life and about 28% stated it was a good experience. Nevertheless, more than half the sample did not receive any information about menopause and possible therapies. Forty-eight percent of respondents reported having received information before the menopause, with higher percentages in intervention LHU (54%) compared to the control LHU (41%). In addition, the information in intervention LHU was offered by health professionals more often in a proactive way rather than following a specific request of the women (table 1). Table 1 describes some results regarding knowledge and attitude towards HT among women who reached menopause, in general women in the intervention LHUs have better knowledge on the subject compared to the women of the control LHUs.

As reported in the logistic regression model (table 2), women with high educational level, those who reached menopause, who reported menopausal symptoms and those who accessed Maternal and Child Health Services (MCHS) in the last five years, had a higher probability to be informed about menopause.

The response rate to the survey aimed at health care professionals was unsatisfactory, so the results are not representative and are therefore not presented.

Prescription analysis
HT prescriptions dropped by half, from 30 DDD per 1,000 population per day in 2000-2002, to 15 DDD in 2010, in Italy. This trend was more evident after the publication of the Women’s Health Initiative results, in 2002 (Figure 2). HT exposure in the women aged 45-60 years was estimated from prescription data collected in the eight LHUs in 2006-2010. The prevalence of HT use fell from 6% to 4% in these five years,

Table 1. Results of survey among women.

|                  | LHU INTERVENTION | LHU CONTROL | p-value* |
|------------------|------------------|-------------|----------|
|                  | N    | %    | N    | %    |        |
| All sample: HT in menopause can cause adverse reactions |        |        |
| Agree            | 182  | 48   | 107  | 29   | 0.002  |
| Not agree        | 26   | 7    | 41   | 11   | 0.552  |
| Don’t know       | 155  | 41   | 203  | 55   | 0.006  |
| Missing          | 19   | 5    | 16   | 4    | 0.932  |
| All sample: Even if there are a few problems, HT is a good opportunity for women |        |        |
| Agree            | 35   | 9    | 48   | 13   | 0.579  |
| Not agree        | 240  | 63   | 133  | 36   | 0.000  |
| Don’t know       | 89   | 23   | 170  | 46   | 0.000  |
| Missing          | 18   | 5    | 16   | 4    | 0.961  |
| All sample: HT is a good way to prevent age-related symptoms |        |        |
| Agree            | 68   | 18   | 98   | 27   | 0.181  |
| Not agree        | 159  | 42   | 67   | 18   | 0.001  |
| Don’t know       | 136  | 36   | 186  | 51   | 0.007  |
| Missing          | 19   | 5    | 16   | 4    | 0.932  |
| Women who received information: When did you receive information? |        |        |
| Before menopause | 173  | 54   | 129  | 41   | 0.018  |
| Menopause/post-menopause | 138  | 43   | 185  | 58   | 0.008  |
| Missing          | 7    | 2    | 3    | 1    | 0.892  |
| Women who received information: How did you receive information by health professionals? |        |        |
| Proactive offer  | 137  | 43   | 101  | 32   | 0.078  |
| Following the woman’s request | 106  | 33   | 143  | 45   | 0.061  |
| No information from health professionals | 66   | 21   | 69   | 22   | 0.886  |
| Missing          | 9    | 3    | 4    | 1    | 0.863  |

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0085121.t001
indicating that approximately 300,000 women were exposed to HT in Italy in 2010.

The time-series analysis brought to light no differences in HT time trends before and after the intervention, but these results are difficult to assess because of the short observation period, in fact the intervention period lasted only 11 months and the post-intervention observation period was very short.

Local LHUs' activities

Activities implemented in the intervention LHUs are reported in table 3.

Discussion

This project was designed to disseminate the HT Consensus Conference statements using a multimodal approach, and to assess the effect of this dissemination. It involved the LHUs of the participating regions and, through them, general practitioners, gynaecologists, midwives, and pharmacists. In the intervention LHUs trained health professionals disseminated the CC recommendations to resident women aged 45-64 years.

The effect of the project activities was evaluated through knowledge, attitude and behavior surveys towards HT and menopause, and through HT prescriptions and feedback from health professionals involved in the dissemination.

A huge amount of information was spread through the cascade process that reached health professionals, general practitioners, gynaecologists, pharmacists, midwives and women. About 3,700 health professionals were contacted, and about 1,800 participated actively in the project. Printed leaflet on menopause (146,500 copies) was also a key point of the project to convey independent information, and to facilitate the discussion about menopause among women and health care professionals.

Menopause and HT continued to be an interesting issue for the press but the survey highlighted some limits: clinical experts are still the main source of information, HT is often presented as a drug for prevention, and HT risks are not often mentioned.

The women’s survey highlighted the need for more and better-quality information about menopause, HT and alternative treatments. The lack of information may restrict aware health choices especially for less-educated women that resulted at higher risk of not receiving information both on menopause as well as on hormonal and alternative therapies (data not presented). Interviewed women attended regularly GP praxis, most of them joined female cancer prevention programmes and many underwent a gynaecologic check in the last five years. Therefore, health professionals seem to have several opportunities to proactively provide them information on menopause and HT. Interventions should be made in order to strengthen the advisory role of clinicians, when taking care of women using or considering to use HT because, there is still a

**Table 2. Logistic regression model on the likelihood of receiving information about menopause.**

| Interviewed women | Women who received information about menopause |  |  |  |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Total             | N 1,281                                       | N 635 | % | ORgr (C95%) | ORad C95% |
| Education         |                                               |     |   |             |            |
| ≤8 years          | 625                                           | 278  | 44 | 1          | 1          |
| ≥8 years          | 656                                           | 357  | 54 | 1,49       | 1,20-1,86  |
| Menopause         |                                               |     |   |             |            |
| Pre-menopause     | 532                                           | 214  | 40 | 1          | 1          |
| Menopause         | 370                                           | 213  | 56 | 2,02       | 1,54-2,64  |
| Post-menopause    | 379                                           | 208  | 55 | 1,61       | 1,38-2,36  |
| Menopause symptoms|                                              |     |   |             |            |
| No                | 705                                           | 285  | 40 | 1          | 1          |
| Yes               | 576                                           | 350  | 61 | 2,28       | 1,82-2,86  |
| Leisur-time physical activity (2 - ≥7 hours / week) | | | | | |
| No                | 241                                           | 110  | 46 | 1          | 1          |
| Yes               | 1,040                                         | 525  | 50 | 1,21       | 0,92-1,61  |
| Yearly contact with the GP |                                          |     |   |             |            |
| No                | 55                                            | 26   | 47 | 1          | 1          |
| Yes               | 1,226                                         | 609  | 50 | 1,21       | 0,92-1,61  |
| Access to the MCHS in the last 5 years | | | | | |
| No                | 692                                           | 306  | 44 | 1          | 1          |
| Yes               | 589                                           | 329  | 56 | 1,60       | 1,28-1,99  |
| Leisure-time physical activity (2 - ≥7 hours / week) | | | | | |
| No                | 749                                           | 359  | 48 | 1          | 1          |
| Yes               | 532                                           | 276  | 52 | 1,17       | 0,94-1,46  |

*Figure 2. HT prescriptions trend in Italy, years 2000-2010. Legend: Y axis reports DDD/1000 population per day.*

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0085121.g002
Table 3. Know the menopause: activities of the intervention LHUs.

| Target | N° of participants / N° invited (%) | N° of copies of educational materials | Public meetings with women | Dissemination by media |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
|        |                                     | Leaflets for women | Leaflet for physicians | Stickers for pharmacies | Articles in local bulletins, newspapers, newsletters, web pages |
| Bergamo GPs | 485/676 (72) | 76,200 | 480 | 246 | Meetings in public spaces |
| Pharmacists | 579/1050 (55) | | | | |
| Gynaecologists | 14/80 (17) | | | | |
| Midwives | 62/180 (34) | | | | |
| Nurses | 30/nd | | | | |
| Siena GPs | 123/220 (56) | 18,400 | 480 | 85 | Meetings in public spaces |
| Pharmacists | 87/456 (19) | | | | |
| Gynaecologists | 16/27 (59) | | | | |
| Midwives | 19/36 (53) | | | | |
| Roma H GPs | 110/434 (25) | 38,600 | 735 | 100 | Meetings in public spaces |
| Pharmacists | 17/118 (14) | | | | |
| Gynaecologists | 24/57 (42) | | | | |
| Midwives | 57/67 (85) | | | | |
| Enna GPs | 47/152 (31) | 13,300 | 300 | 65 | Meetings in public spaces |
| Pharmacists | 48/63 (78) | | | | |
| Gynaecologists | 20/28 (71) | | | | |
| Midwives | 19/27 (70) | | | | |
| TOTAL GPs | 765/1482 (52) | 146,500 | 1,995 | 496 | |
| Pharmacists | 731/1687 (43) | | | | |
| Gynaecologists | 74/201 (37) | | | | |
| Midwives | 157/310 (51) | | | | |

Inh=inhabitants
doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0085121.t003
In women, face-to-face interviews might give a better response rate. Over the last decade HT prescriptions decreased mainly because of the publication of the WHI study in which HT use was associated with increases in cardiovascular and cancer risks. Our study was conducted in a period of low HT consumption, so it was difficult to detect differences due to the interventions. Nevertheless, the accessibility of local data will enable us to replicate this model in other geographical area or other pharmaceutical categories. This study, carried out with limited public resources, has some limitations. The most important limit of the study is the time frame for the project activities. For instance, it was too short to evaluate the impact on drug prescriptions among intervention and control LHUs. Secondly, another limit was the local organizational difficulties arising from the innovative offer of local activities, as well as the paucity of resources dedicated to the project. None of the intervention local units organized to develop educational interventions in schools, because of resource limitations. Finally, the very low response rate to the survey of physicians limited data analysis. Due to organizational difficulties - the medical practitioners’ lists of some LHUs were not up-to-date, in the units it was hard to find people available to coordinate and spread the survey, and interest in this topic was scant, especially among gynaecologists. The limited response might also be tied to the way the questionnaire was administered (by mail or online): face-to-face interviews might give a better response rate. Even with the limits described, the project showed the feasibility of this kind of intervention within the NHS and offered an opportunity to launch and sustain a multidisciplinary, multimodal approach to menopause. It directly involved those who deal with menopausal women in everyday practice, such as specialists and clinicians, health professionals working in the territorial women’s health centers, as well as women interested in the topic. The framework and the strategy of Know the menopause project make it a reference for further studies of health information dissemination. Finally, in the framework of the project, the issue of menopause was used by health professionals as a means to discuss women’s wellbeing and lifestyle rather than just to assess whether to prescribe a drug. At the end of the project one general practitioner commented “… at the beginning we asked ourselves what point would there be in talking to women about menopause and hormones once again, considering so few women use them in Italy. But soon we realized that this was a unique occasion to talk about menopause in a proper way, considering all the aspects related to this period, informing women about healthy lifestyles”.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank also all women who participated in the focus groups, in the survey, and the midwifes who administered the questionnaires. The authors thank Sandra Fabbri, Fondazione Onlus Attilia Pifferi, Pistoia, Carmela Amato and Giuseppina Amato, Associazione di Volontariato Serena a Palermo, Palermo for the useful collaboration in organizing local focus groups.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: SD RS PM SS CC. Performed the experiments: SD RS CC SS RC RDC SSA PM. Analyzed the data: PM CC SS RC. Wrote the manuscript: PM CC SD RS SS.

References

1. Schmidt P (2012) The 2012 Hormone Therapy Position Statement of the North American Menopause Society. Menopause 19: 257-271. PubMed: 22367731.
2. De Villiers TJ, Gass MLS, Haines CJ, Hall JE, Lobo RA et al. (2013) Global consensus statement on menopausal hormone therapy. Climetrician 16: 203-204. doi:10.3109/13897137.2013.771520. PubMed: 23488924.349718.
3. Guallar E, MandonJE, Laine C, Mulrow C (2013) Postmenopausal hormone therapy: the heart of the matter. Ann Intern Med 158: 497-70. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-158-1-20130101-00105. PubMed: 23277904.
4. Moyer VAUS. Preventive Services Task Force (2013) Menopausal hormone therapy for the primary prevention of chronic conditions: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med 158: 47-54. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-158-1-20130101-005553. PubMed: 23090711.
5. Schierbeck RL, Reijmink L, Tofft CL, Stiglen L, Elken P et al. (2012) Effect of hormone replacement therapy on cardiovascular events in recently postmenopausal women: randomised trial. BMJ 345: e5409. doi:10.1136/bmj.e6409. PubMed: 23048001.
6. Nelson HD, Walker M, Zackler B, Mitchell J (2012) Menopausal hormone therapy for the primary prevention of chronic conditions: a systematic review to update the U.S. preventive services task force recommendations. Ann Intern Med 157(2): 1-10;w1-w3
7. Pal L, Manson JE. (2012) The women’s health initiative: an unforgettable decade. Menopause 19(6): 597-599. doi:10.1097/gme.0b013e3182539770. PubMed: 22648299.
8. Mosconi P, Donati S, Colombo C, Mele A, Liberati A et al., for Consensus Conference Working Group (2009) Informing women about hormone replacement therapy: the consensus conference statement. BMC Womens Health 9: 14. doi:10.1186/1472-6974-9-14. PubMed: 19480688.
9. Mosconi P, Donati S, Colombo C, Mele A, Liberati A et al., on behalf of Consensus Conference Working Group (2009) Informing women about hormone replacement therapy. Letter to the editor. Cancer J 15(4): 344. doi:10.1097/PPO.0b013e3181b2a535. PubMed: 19672154.
10. Mosconi P, Donati S, Colombo C, Senatoro S (2010) Role of Hormone Therapy in the Management of Menopause. Obstet Gynecol 116: 442. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181e006c6. PubMed: 20664414.
11. Colombo C, Mosconi P, Buratti MG, Liberati A, Donati S et al. (2010) R. Press coverage of hormone replacement therapy and menopause. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 153: 56-61. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2010.07.026. PubMed: 20702017.
12. Donati S, Cotichini R, Mosconi P, Satolli R, Colombo C et al. (2009) Menopause: knowledge, attitude and practice among Italian women. Maturitas 63: 246-252. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2009.04.001. PubMed: 19443145.