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Abstract

Vernacular regions such as central Ohio are popularly used in everyday language; but their vague and indeterministic boundaries affect the clarity of communicating them over the geographic space. This paper introduced a context-based natural language processing approach to retrieve geographic entities. Geographic entities extracted from news articles were used as location-based behavioral samples to map out the vague region of central Ohio. Particularly, part of speech tagging and parse tree generation were employed to filter out candidate entities from English sentences. Propositional logic of context (PLC) was introduced and adapted to build the contextual model for deciding the membership of named entities. Results were automatically generated and visualized in GIS using both symbol and density mapping. Final maps were consistent with our intuition and common sense knowledge of the vague region.

1 Introduction

Central Ohio is commonly used vernacular term to refer to an approximate area around the city of Columbus in Ohio. Although it may be effortless for humans to tell the relative location of this region, it remains challenging for computers to automatically locate this region by harvesting and analyzing online data such as news articles. Computers that are capable of automatically delineating such vague regions may be of potential use to social science researchers for understanding other concepts that may not be as obvious such as cultural regions, the Muslim world.

In the study of vague regions, previous studies introduced a behavioral method to map out downtown Santa Barbara based on human survey data (Montello, Goodchild, Gottsegen, & Fohl, 2003). Their approach collected hand-drawn point-based locations and plotted them on the map of the city. Such data collection process may be very costly compared to computer-based automated approach. By comparison, natural language processing (NLP) techniques such as part of speech tagging and parse tree generation provide powerful linguistic analysis tools that can help quickly retrieve data from a large number of corpus data (Jurafsky, Martin, Kehler, Vander Linden, & Ward, 2000). However, these NLP techniques have yet been widely used to extract geographic entities for visualizing vague regions like central Ohio.

On the other hand, linguistic contexts of named entities are important for deciding its relevancy to the underlying vague regions. For instance, for a place to be part of central Ohio, it must be in the context of Ohio as a precondition. Propositional logic of context (PLC) is a logic model in the field of artificial intelligence for formalizing contexts into propositional calculus (BuvaE & Mason, 1993). Based on PLC, an arbitrary predicate calculus can be evaluated according to selected contexts.

In this paper, central Ohio is chosen as the experimental area to experiment the context-based natural language approach for visualizing vague regions. News articles are used and analyzed on three contextual levels: document, paragraph and sentence. Results are visualized in GIS.

1.1 News data

News articles are extracted from LexisNexis, a comprehensive database of both national and local news (Smith, Ellenberg, Bell, & Rubin, 2008). All articles are retrieved based on caseless keyword match for relevancy. The only keyword used is central Ohio and only news articles that contain this exact phrase are retrieved. As a result, 3281 different articles are collected which cover central Ohio news from the year 1990 to the year 2013.
1.2 Geonames database

Geonames database contains names and locations of geographic entities. We create our geonames database two sources: the United States Geological Survey’s Geographic Names Information Server (USGS, 2013) and Census gazetteers (Census, 2013). Only place and feature names in Ohio used for analysis. Table 1 summarizes compositions of entities in our Ohio geonames database.

| Category                  | Percentages   |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| Administrative places     |               |
| (1054 records)            | 23.0% cities  |
|                           | 66.3% villages|
|                           | 10.6% CDPs (census designated place) |
| Geographic features       |               |
| (67804 records)           | 14.9% church  |
|                           | 13.7% school  |
|                           | 12.6% populated place |
|                           | among 53 categories |

Table 1. Geographic named entities in Ohio

2 Natural Language Processing

Part of speech tagging and parse tree generation are used to automatically extract geographic named entities from news articles in this paper. Part of speech (POS) tagging is the process of deciding the functions of words such as nouns or verbs. Parse tree generation is based on POS tagging results. It aims to generate hierarchical representations of sentences for semantic understanding (Jurafsky et al., 2000). Noun phrases in the parse tree are often useful indicators to named entities in geolinguistic analysis (Chen et al., 2013).

2.1 Part of speech tagging

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging assigns a POS tag to each token in a sentence. A token can be either a word or a punctuation. The single best POS tag assigned to a token depends on the function of the word, the tag set, and POS tagging algorithm (Jurafsky et al., 2000). Contemporary POS taggers can reach an average accuracy of above 97% on tokens (Manning, 2011).

The part of speech tagger we use is Stanford NLP tagger with English-caseless-left3words-distsim tagger model. This tagger model is trained with WSJ sections 0-18 and extra parser training data using the left3words architecture. It includes word shape and distributional similarity features for training the tagger (Gimpel et al., 2010). The results are represented using Penn Treebank tags and the average parsing accuracy is above 97% on sentences in news. Box 1 is the tagged sentence from one article with POS tags appended after the slash in uppercase letters. For a complete list, one may refer to Penn Treebank tag sets.

| Her/PRPS friends/NNS at/IN the/DT Central/NNP Ohio/NNP Nazarene/NNP Church/NNP Camp/NNP she/PRP attended/VBD every/DT summer/NN in/IN Columbus/NNP convinced/VBD her/PRP to/TO attend/VB Mount/NNP Vernon/NNP Nazarene/NNP College/NNP in/IN Knox/JJ county/NN J, OH/NNP J. |
|---|---|
| Box 1. Tagged sentence |

2.2 Parsing

Stanford parsers are used to produce the parse tree from which noun phrases, named entity candidates, can be extracted (De Marneffe, MacCartney, & Manning, 2006). Fig.1 shows the result of parsing the tagged sentence in Box 1. It is observed that only noun phrases (NP) at the lowest level of the tree are useful for extracting named entities. Noun phrases at other levels contain auxiliary structures such as prepositions often do not suggest named entities.

In Fig.1, NPs in dashed rectangles are candidate entities that do not match any records in our Ohio database. When looking up the database for a match, determinants like the are skipped as well as entity type terms like city and county. To find the location of a matched entity, a SQL query is used to return the latitude and longitude pair.

![Figure 1. Parse tree of tagged sentence in Box 1](image)

3 Geographic Information Retrieval

3.1 Propositional logic of context (PLC)

As previously discussed, candidate named entities are primarily noun phrases extracted at the root level of a parse tree. However, not all such entities should be considered as part of central Ohio. To determine the membership, we may define following logic heuristics: if (1) the name of an entity is in the same text segment as the phrase central Ohio and (2) the entity is an Ohio place, then the entity is of greater likelihood of being a central Ohio place than otherwise. Here, Ohio and central
Ohio are linguistic contexts for discriminating central Ohio entities.

To formalize the contexts of analysis, we introduce propositional logic of context (PLC) (BuvaE & Mason, 1993). Here, we only adapt its basic form as it already suffice the needs of our analysis. For detailed information of PLC, one may read the original paper from BuvaE (BuvaE & Mason, 1993). The minimum PLC definition is below:

\[ x: \text{subject} \\
\text{p: preposition about the subject} \\
\text{c: context} \\
\text{c_1 \land c_2: logic AND, intersection of two contexts} \\
\text{c_1 \lor c_2: logic OR, union of two contexts} \\
\text{ist(c, p): the preposition p is true in context c.} \]

### 3.2 PLC-based matching and counting

Based on the PLC definition, we count the mentions of named entities in all news articles. Here, we define the following PLC notations for our analysis:

- **\( p: \text{the preposition that x is a central Ohio city} \)**
- **\( c_1: \text{the context of Ohio} \)**
- **\( c_2: \text{the context of central Ohio} \)**
- **\( c_3: \text{the context of not-central Ohio} \)**

Ohio context is defined according to records in geonames database. If an entity name is in the database, it is said to be in the Ohio context. Central Ohio context is defined as the text segment containing both the entity name and the phrase *central Ohio*. Not-central Ohio context is defined as the text segment with the following terms in it: *north*(ern) Ohio, *northeast*(ern) Ohio, *east*(ern) Ohio, *southeast*(ern) Ohio, *south*(ern) Ohio, *southwest*(ern) Ohio, *west*(ern) Ohio, and *northwest*(ern) Ohio. Based on our observation, these eight azimuth phrases are found to be good indicators of places that are obviously not in central Ohio.

Accordingly, three types of entity counts are also developed.

1. **(1) Positive count \((E): \)** the total number of occurrences of the name of an entity \(E\) in the context \(c_1 \land c_2\).
2. **(2) Neutral count \((E): \)** the total number of occurrences of the name of an entity \(E\) in the context \(c_1 \land \neg c_2 \land \neg c_3\).
3. **(3) Negative count \((E): \)** the total number of occurrences of the name of an entity \(E\) in the context \(c_1 \land c_3\).

### 3.3 Count and normalization

We calculate the membership of an entity to the concept *central Ohio* using the following counting and normalization rules. We define three variables to count entity occurrences in different contexts:

- **\( C_{\text{pos}}: \)** positive count of the entity \(E\).
- **\( C_{\text{neg}}: \)** negative count of the entity \(E\).
- **\( C_{\text{neu}}: \)** neutral count of the entity \(E\).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{IF } & \text{ist}(c_1 \land c_2, p), \ C_{\text{pos}} \leftarrow ++; \\
\text{IF } & \text{ist}(c_1 \land c_3, p), \ C_{\text{neg}} \leftarrow ++; \\
\text{IF } & \text{ist}(c_1 \land \neg c_2 \land \neg c_3, p), \ C_{\text{neu}} \leftarrow ++.
\end{align*}
\]

Based on observations, big cities like *Columbus* are mentioned more frequently than other smaller places in term of both \(C_{\text{pos}}\) and \(C_{\text{neg}}\). As it is the difference between \(C_{\text{pos}}\) and \(C_{\text{neg}}\) that determines the sign of the membership, we decide to use \(C_{\text{neu}}\) as the normalization denominator for calculating the membership.

Membership \(r\) of a place is calculated using Equation 1. It is a real value between -1 and 1. All places are classified by the sign of the membership as either *central Ohio* or *not-central Ohio* place with the magnitude of the value being the strength of the membership. 1 means definitely a central Ohio place and -1 means definitely not a central Ohio place.

\[
r = \begin{cases} 
(C_{\text{pos}} - C_{\text{neg}})/C_{\text{neu}} & \text{if } C_{\text{neu}} > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 1}
\]

As \(C_{\text{neu}}\) is in the denominator, it must not be zero. Given observations, entities with \(C_{\text{neu}}\) being zero are primarily entities with less than 3 total mentions. These entities take up 3.9% of all extracted entities. Therefore, we decide to exclude them from analysis as they are of a small percentage and are not expected to affect the overall results.

### 4 Results and discussions

Geographic entities are extracted from all 3281 news articles and their membership values are mapped using the geographic information system (GIS) software ArcGIS which are popular in social science geographic research.

#### 4.1 Graduated symbol maps

Graduated symbol map is a type of map that uses symbols of different sizes to represent geographic entities (Thrall, 1999). The symbol we choose is circle. The radius of the circle is decided by the attribute value associated with each entity. The map is configured as follows:

1. The size of each point is proportioned to the membership of the underlying named entity with size 4 and 24 representing the minimum and maximum membership respectively.
2. Symbols are classified into 10 classes based on equal interval classification method.
There is one exception of using the membership for making the graduated symbol map. On the article level, all entity counts are added to $C_{pos}$, and therefore there are no negative or neutral counts. To make a map on the article level, we only use the positive count as the surrogate to the membership value.

Graduated symbol maps on three analytical levels are shown in Fig. 2. Results on the sentence level and paragraph levels conforms better to our intuition and common sense knowledge than on the article level. This is because results on the article level do not consider the contexts of $c_1$ and $c_2$ discussed in section 4.2. Results from the sentence and paragraph levels are very similar with the membership on the paragraph level being slightly more visually significant.

4.2 Kernel density map

Results produced by graduated symbol maps are not continuous. Kernel density mapping is a GIS mapping technique that generates a continuous surface based on the locations of the entities and their attribute values (Elgammal, Duraiswami, Harwood, & Davis, 2002). To create kernel density maps, a search radius need be defined. All data points within this radius will be used to interpolate a density area using a quadratic kernel function described in Silverman (p. 76, equation 4.5) (Silverman, 1986).

The kernel density tool in ArcGIS is used to create the density map. In ArcGIS, the search radius is defined as a percentage of the area’s minimum extent width. We experiment on choosing 1/10, 1/5, 1/20 of the area’s minimum extent width as the radius to generate the surface and find 1/10 of the width most appropriate to generate a balanced looking map.

A kernel density map of central Ohio visualizes its estimated central location and extending trend over the space of Ohio. Fig. 3 is a kernel density result based on the paragraph level. It shows that the concept of central Ohio generated through automated approach conforms to our common sense knowledge of the assumptive location of the vague region.

Figure 3. Kernel density map of central Ohio

5 Conclusions

Vague geographic regions are important part of the entire geographic space; however they are difficult to be located and delineated on a map. Geographic questions like Where is central Ohio? remains a challenge to computers because computers are not automatically given the knowledge of either central or Ohio as humans do.

This paper introduced a context-based approach to extract geographic entities from news articles. Propositional logic of context was adapted to contextualize the reasoning process. Three types of context have been defined: Ohio, central Ohio, not-central Ohio, which corresponded to Ohio places, central Ohio places and not-central Ohio places, respectively.

Analysis was conducted on three contextual levels: article, paragraph and sentence. Visualization results showed that context was of significant importance to deciding the membership of a place to central Ohio. Without defining the context (e.g., results on the article level in Fig. 2), visualization results were largely incorrect compared with common sense knowledge.

Natural language processing (NLP) techniques such as part of speech tagging and parse tree generation were shown to be effective for extracting geographic information. Noun phrases could serve as good candidates to place names. For future research, we suggest studies on experimenting with different regional concepts using proposed approach. It may also be useful to experiment with methods that can quickly generate samples other than the tree parsing method used in this paper. Despite the possibility of generating more coarse results, noisier method may be more scalable for building practical applications with scaled live data.
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