Thermodynamic properties distinguish human mitochondrial aspartyl-tRNA synthetase from bacterial homolog with same 3D architecture
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ABSTRACT

In the mammalian mitochondrial translation apparatus, the proteins and their partner RNAs are coded by two genomes. The proteins are nuclear-encoded and resemble their homologs, whereas the RNAs coming from the rapidly evolving mitochondrial genome have lost critical structural information. This raises the question of molecular adaptation of these proteins to their peculiar partner RNAs. The crystal structure of the homodimeric bacterial-type human mitochondrial aspartyl-tRNA synthetase (DRS) confirmed a 3D architecture close to that of Escherichia coli DRS. However, the mitochondrial enzyme distinguishes by an enlarged catalytic groove, a more electropositive surface potential and an alternate interaction network at the subunits interface. It also presented a thermal stability reduced by as much as 12°C. Isothermal titration calorimetry analyses revealed that the affinity of the mitochondrial enzyme for cognate and non-cognate tRNAs is one order of magnitude higher, but with different enthalpy and entropy contributions. They further indicated that both enzymes bind an adenylate analog by a cooperative allosteric mechanism with different thermodynamic contributions. The larger flexibility of the mitochondrial synthetase with respect to the bacterial enzyme, in combination with a preserved architecture, may represent an evolutionary process, allowing nuclear-encoded proteins to cooperate with degenerated organelle RNAs.

INTRODUCTION

Mitochondria are of endosymbiotic origin and have undergone massive gene transfer to the host nucleus during evolution (1). On sharp reduction (~70-fold) to a 16.6 kb circular mammalian mitochondrial (mt) DNA, only 37 genes remain coding for 13 respiratory chain subunits, 22 tRNAs and 2 rRNAs (2). The faster evolutionary rate of mt-DNA than the nuclear genome (3,4) leads to abnormal RNAs, shrunken in size and often lacking important signals. mRNAs are deprived of 3′- and 5′-untranslated regions, rRNAs are smaller than their bacterial or eukaryotic homologs, and an RNA moiety for RNase P is absent (5–7). Most mt-tRNAs have shortened sequences, miss crucial folding and recognition nucleotides as compared with ‘classical’ tRNAs and are more flexible (6,8–10). Hence, preservation of mitochondrial translation relies on a continued adaptation of proteins to the peculiarities of partner RNAs. One compensatory mechanism consists in an increase in the number and/or size of partner proteins (encoded in the nucleus and imported) that take over the role of missing RNA domains. For instance, bovine mt-ribosomes contain 30 proteins in the small subunits and 48 in the large subunits, instead of 21 and 33, respectively, in prokaryotes, with N- or C-terminal extensions (11,12). Human RNase P is fully proteinaceous with three protein subunits (7).

Mechanisms to compensate for degeneration of mammalian mt-tRNAs remain mainly unsolved, especially for mt aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (mt-aaRSs; specificity indicated by the amino acid abbreviated in a one-letter code, the origin of the aaRS is indicated by a three-letter abbreviation of the organism’s name with the...
mitochondrial origin given by the digit 2 e.g. HsaDRS2 for Homo sapiens mitochondrial aspartyl-tRNA synthetase, the enzymes which activate and bind a specific amino acid to their cognate tRNA (13). These enzymes are nuclear encoded and, with only few exceptions, are of about the same size, have the same structural 2D organization and contain the expected specificity signals and synthetase class signatures as bacterial and cytosolic aaRSs (14–17). The first 3D structures of mammalian mt-aaRSs confirmed the absence of additional domains (18–21).

Properties of mammalian mt-aaRSs enabling them to deal with mt-tRNAs remain mainly unknown (9,22,23). On one side, their catalytic efficiency is usually one to two orders of magnitude below that of bacterial aaRSs, aminoacylation identity rules rely either on minimalist sets of determinants in the tRNA or even are disobeyed (22,23). On the other hand, a long standing remarkable feature of mt-aaRSs is their high capacity in tRNA accommodation: mt-aaRSs charge both mt- and bacterial tRNAs, whereas bacterial aaRSs cannot cross-aminoacylate mt-tRNAs (24). Non-aminoacylation of an mt-tRNA by a bacterial enzyme is linked to the absence of essential identity signals and to the large flexibility of the mt-tRNA (25). These data suggest the existence of peculiar dynamic properties for the mt-aaRSs, which are not shared with a bacterial homolog.

Here, we compared several biophysical properties of human mitochondrial aspartyl-tRNA synthetase, HsaDRS2, with them to those of a bacterial (E. coli) homolog, EcoDRS. The crystal structure of HsaDRS2 was solved, thermal stabilities were quantified, and thermodynamic parameters of substrate binding were determined by isothermal titration calorimetry. As an outcome, both proteins share a common 3D architecture but the mammalian mt-aaRS gained in plasticity, enabling it to handle any tRNA\^{\text{\textsuperscript{\textit{Asp}}}} and especially the non-canonical mt-tRNA\^{\text{\textit{Asp}}} (26). We propose that enlarged plasticity represents a co-evolution mechanism of the nuclear and mt genomes in eukaryotic cells subjected to highly different evolutionary pressures. This plasticity will be an important parameter to consider for the molecular understanding of a rapidly increasing number of human disorders linked to mutations in mt-aaRSs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein and tRNA purification

EcoDRS was overproduced in E. coli JM83, purified to homogeneity and concentrated to 40 mg ml\(^{-1}\) (25). HsaDRS2 [mt-AspRS(I41) in (26); Supplementary Figure S1] was cloned into pDEST14 using the Gateway technology (Invitrogen) and overproduced in E. coli BL21 (DE3) Rosetta 2 strain as described (26). It was purified by affinity chromatography on an Ni-NTA column followed by gel filtration and concentrated to 20 mg ml\(^{-1}\) (concentration of monomers) in 50 mM of HEPES–Na pH 7.5, 150 mM of NaCl, 1 mM of DTT, 0.1 mM of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and 10% (v/v) of glycerol. This buffer, called herein ‘isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) buffer’, prevents denaturation of the mt-aaRS for several days as verified by dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Supplementary Figure S2). Magnesium had to be kept out, as even low concentrations led to precipitation of HsaDRS2. It was also used for the preparation of EcoDRS and of the tRNAs. tRNAs (sequences are indicated in Supplementary Figure S1) were produced by \textit{in vitro} transcription and were purified to single nucleotide resolution on denaturing 12% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. They were solubilized in ITC buffer and denatured/renatured before use as described (16).

Aminoacylation

Assays were performed at 25°C on transcripts as described previously (16,27). Specific activities were measured by incubating 0.2–3 nM EcoDRS or 77–930 nM HsaDRS2, with an excess of 4\(\mu\)M cognate or non-cognate transcript. Kinetic parameters \(k_{cat}\) and \(K_M\) were derived from assays containing 2 nM of EcoDRS or 50 nM of HsaDRS2 with 0.2–4\(\mu\)M of Eco tRNA\^{\text{\textit{Asp}}} or mt-tRNA\^{\text{\textit{Asp}}} transcript.

Crystallography

Crystallization conditions were searched at 20°C using commercial kits as described (26). HsaDRS2 was crystallized by vapor diffusion with 10% (m/v) PEG-3350 and 0.5 M of ammonium sulfate as crystallants in 25 mM of Bis–Tris pH 5.5 (26). Resulting needle-like crystals (\~{}300 \times 20 \times 20 \mu m\(^3\)) were cryocooled in their mother liquor. They were extremely radiation sensitive and diffracted weakly. Complete data sets were collected with a fast PILATUS 6M pixel detector (DECTRIS) at the X06DA beamline, Swiss Light Source (Villigen). Diffraction data at 3.7Å resolution in P2 space group were processed using XDS and XSCALE (28) and were converted into structure factors without any sigma cut-off using TRUNCATE from the CCP4 package (29).

Molecular replacement solutions were sought with data truncated at 4.5 Å from a homology model derived from the structure of EcoDRS (30) and the PHASER program (31) as implemented in the PHENIX package (32). Two HsaDRS2 dimers were identified in the monoclinic asymmetric unit. Refinement using a dynamic elastic network (DEN) as implemented in CNS 1.3 (33) led to a reorientation of the insertions and to a dramatic improvement of the electron density map. All residues of HsaDRS2 were built except the 26 C-terminal amino acids (including the 6-His tag), which are disordered. The final coordinates (residues 42–630 for each of the four monomers) and the experimental data have been deposited with the PDB (ID: 4AH6). Figures were prepared with Pymol (www.pymol.org), electrostatic surface potentials were calculated using its APBS plug-in and are depicted at the same color scale in all figures.

Dynamic light scattering

Particle size analysis was performed at 25°C using a Malvern Zetasizer NanoS instrument and a 40\(\mu\)l quartz cell containing 20\(\mu\)l of protein solution at 0.5–8 mg ml\(^{-1}\) in ITC buffer. Five consecutive measurements were collected in automatic mode, and data were processed with...
the manufacturer’s software. The hydrodynamic diameter \( d_h \) was derived from diffusion coefficient via the Stokes–Einstein relation and was corrected for solvent viscosity and refractive index. Thermal stability was estimated by subjecting protein at 3 mg ml\(^{-1}\) to temperature gradients from 20°C to 80°C. The temperature at which particle size was increased by a factor 2 was taken as the melting point \( T_m \). Additives were prepared in water.

### Differential scanning fluorimetry

Samples made of 20 \( \mu l \) protein solution at 3 mg ml\(^{-1}\) and 0.5 \( \mu l \) of SYPRO Orange™ 5000 x stock diluted 20-fold in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) were transferred in 0.2 ml tubes. Additives prepared as described earlier in the text were brought in 1 \( \mu l \) samples, so that their final concentration was as indicated. The variation of fluorescence intensity during a temperature gradient from 25°C to 95°C was monitored in a Stratagene Mx3005P quantitative polymerase chain reaction instrument. On unfolding of the protein, hydrophobic residues become accessible to the dye, which becomes fluorescent (34). Melting point \( T_m \) was deduced from maximum of the derivative plot. They are \( \sim 5°C \) higher as compared with data obtained by the DLS method.

### Synchrotron radiation circular dichroism

The experimental set-up at the DISCO beamline, SOLEIL synchrotron (Saint-Aubin, France) was calibrated for magnitude and polarization with a 6.1 mg/ml D-10-camphorsulfonic acid solution. Ten micrograms per milliliter of DRS solutions in 100 mM of potassium phosphate, 50 mM of KCl, 10% (v/v) of glycerol and 1 mM of Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride, TCEP, were placed in a CaF\(_2\) cuvette, with an optical path of 8 \( \mu m \). Three spectra between 170 and 280 nm were recorded at temperatures ranging from 20°C to 80°C by 4°C steps to assess the thermal stability of EcoDRS and HsaDRS2. Data were processed (spectrum averaging and solvent baseline subtraction) using CDtool (35).

### Isothermal titration calorimetry

Before ITC measurements, conditions were determined in which the four investigated macromolecules (HsaDRS2, EcoDRS, Hsa mt-tRNA\(^{\text{Asp}}\) and Eco tRNA\(^{\text{Asp}}\)) were stable during the time of the experiment. This was found to be the case in the so-called ‘ITC buffer’ (50 mM of HEPES–Na pH 7.5, 150 mM of NaCl, 1 mM of DTT, 0.1 mM of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and 10% of glycerol). Magnesium had to be omitted, as it leads to precipitation of HsaDRS. To eliminate possible errors to ITC experiments, all samples (proteins and tRNAs) were extensively and extemporaneously dialyzed against a same stock solution of ‘ITC buffer’, degassed with argon and ultracentrifuged at 4°C. ITC experiments were performed at 25°C in a MicroCal iTC200 instrument (GE Healthcare). In a typical experiment, 2 \( \mu l \) samples of 300 \( \mu M \) EcoDRS or of 100 \( \mu M \) HsaDRS2 were injected into 200 \( \mu l \) of 36 \( \mu M \) or 11 \( \mu M \), respectively, cognate tRNA transcript solution. Injections lasted 4 s at 120 s intervals. Cross-bindings were also performed with protein/RNA concentration in a \( \sim 10:1 \) molar ratio. Retrieved \( \Delta H \) and \( \Delta G \) values were used to calculate the binding entropy \( \Delta S \) (expressed as \( -T \Delta S \), with \( \Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S \)). The adenylate analog 5’-O-[\( \gamma \)-(l-aspartyl)sulfamoyl] adenosine (Asp–AMS) was titrated against 200 \( \mu l \) of enzyme with 0.7 \( \mu l \) injections to HsaDRS2 at 32 \( \mu M \) and 1.5 \( \mu l \) to EcoDRS at 45 \( \mu M \). Data were analyzed with the Origin software.

### RESULTS

#### Solution properties of HsaDRS2

HsaDRS2 and EcoDRS are homologous dimeric enzymes, both of bacterial evolutionary type, sharing a same size and 43% sequence identity, including all typical signature motifs of DRSs and the same secondary structure organization (16,36) (Supplementary Figure S1). Recombinant proteins were overexpressed in E. coli and the final enzymatic preparations brought into the same buffer (‘ITC buffer’), see ‘Materials and Methods’ section) suitable for ultimate comparative isothermal titration calorimetry experiments. These conditions were a compromise for non-aggregation of HsaDRS2, the more sensitive of the two enzymes (27), preservation of activity for both enzymes and stability of the tRNAs. HsaDRS2 studied herein is deprived of the 40 first amino acids likely encompassing the mitochondrial targeting sequence (26). Both proteins had the same mean hydrodynamic diameter (\( d_h \)) of 10 ± 1 nm in DLS, in agreement with a homodimeric structure (Supplementary Figure S2). Kinetic parameters and catalytic efficiencies of both enzymes tested under identical conditions confirmed the \( \sim 60\)-fold higher aminoacylation rate and efficiency in the EcoDRS cognate system compared with HsaDRS2 (Table 1). However, EcoDRS was unable to aminoacylate the mt-tRNA, whereas the mt-aaRS charged both cognate and non-cognate tRNAs with similar efficiency.

#### Crystal structure of HsaDRS2

Single needle-like crystals of HsaDRS2 were obtained in the presence of PEG-3550 and ammonium sulfate (26). They yielded a complete diffraction data set (26). In spite of their modest resolution (3.7 A), these data were suitable to determine the architecture of HsaDRS2 (26). The structure, solved herein by molecular replacement using a homology model derived from the structure of the EcoDRS (30), confirmed the dimeric status of the enzyme. It clearly showed the anticodon binding and catalytic domains of the monomers but did not enable visualization of the bacterial insertion domain (residues 337–470, Supplementary Figure S1). This suggested either a structural rearrangement or a local flexibility of this domain. The ambiguity was solved by using a DEN-assisted refinement procedure (33) that allowed repositioning of the insertion in well-defined electron density (Supplementary Figure S3 and Table 2). This domain re-orientation, different from EcoDRS, was not an effect of crystal packing because the four independent monomers in the asymmetric unit adopted the same conformation.
Table 1. Comparative kinetic properties of HsaDRS2 and EcoDRS in tRNA aspartylation

| DRS² | tRNA³⁰⁰ (transcript) | kcat (10⁻³ sec⁻¹) | KM (µM) | kcat/KM (10⁻³ sec⁻¹ µM⁻¹) | L | ΔΔGb (kcal mol⁻¹) |
|------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| HsaDRS2 | mt | 4.0 ± 0.4 | 1.1 ± 0.2 | 3.7 ± 0.7 | 72 | −2.45 |
| HsaDRS2 | Eco | 10.3 ± 2.0 | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 8.3 ± 0.8 | 35 | −2.04 |
| EcoDRS | Eco | 230 ± 6.0 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | 293 ± 14.4 | 1 | − |
| EcoDRS | mt | nd | nd | nd | >10⁶ |

All data were obtained at 25°C (T = 298 K) and correspond to mean values from at least duplicated experiments with independent and freshly prepared enzyme and tRNA lots.

²kcat and KM values were derived from assays containing 2 nM of EcoDRS or 50 nM of HsaDRS2 and 0.2–4 µM of Eco or Hsa mt-tRNA transcript. L stands for the relative loss in aminoacylation efficiency referred to the tRNA aminoacylation efficiency of EcoDRS for Eco tRNA⁰⁰⁰ [L = (kcat/KM)Hsa_sys/(kcat/KM)Eco_sys]. bΔΔG is the variation of the free energy change at the transition state when comparing aminoacylation in the homologous Eco system with the reactions in the heterologous or Hsa mt-systems [ΔΔG = −RT lnL] (37); nd for not detectable.

Table 2. Crystallographic analysis of HsaDRS2

| Data collection statistics |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Beamline | SLS/X10A |
| Space group (number) | P2 (3) |
| Unit cell lengths a, b, c (Å) | 142.4, 82.6, 146.3 |
| Unit cell angles α, β, γ (°) | 90, 100.4, 90 |
| Resolution range (Å) | 30–3.7 |
| Highest resolution shell (Å) | 3.8–3.7 |
| Number of observations | 258,852 (9662) |
| Number of unique reflections | 35,768 (2403) |
| Completeness (%) | 98.8 (91.2) |
| Multiplicity | 7.2 (4.0) |
| Rmerge/Rmerge (%)⁴ | 18.0 (63.9)/19.4 (72.5) |
| Crystal mosaicity (%) | 0.25 |
| Asymmetric unit content | 2 dimers |
| Solvent content (%) | 62 |
| Refined atomic structure |  |
| Resolution range (Å) | 30–3.7 |
| R-factor/R-free (%) | 22.1/28.0 |
| Rmsd and bonds (Å) and angles (°) | 0.005/1.08 |
| Ramachandran plot⁵ residues in most favored/unfavored regions (%) | 89.2/0.2 |
| PDBId | 4AH6 |

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

⁴Rmerge = Σhkl [hkl] − <I(hkl)>/Σhkl [hkl] and redundancy-independent; Rmerge = Σhkl (n/n-1)²/Σhkl [hkl] − <I(hkl)>/Σhkl [hkl].

⁵Analysis carried out with Molprobity in Phenix package.

Altogether, 96% of the sequence of the crystallized homodimeric HsaDRS2 is seen (Figure 1A), with a slight asymmetry between its two subunits because of variations in the bacterial insertion domain (Supplementary Figure S4 and Supplementary Table S5). Overall, the architecture of HsaDRS2 is similar to that of bacterial DRSs, in agreement with sequence conservation (16,36). Comparison with EcoDRS crystal structure (30) highlighted a strong conservation. Respective DRS cores, including the anticodon-binding domain, which adopts the so-called OB fold (for oligonucleotide-binding fold), the hinge region and the catalytic domain with its characteristic antiparallel β-sheet (30,38) displayed an overall rmsd (root mean square distance) of ~2 Å (Supplementary Table S5). These three domains shared a similar position with respect to the dimer interface. The main difference between the two aaRSs resulted from the bacterial insertion that underwent a rigid-body counterclockwise rotation of ~26° and clearly made the mitochondrial active site more open for the binding of tRNA (Figure 1B and C). The overall fold of the insertion remained unchanged. This reorientation explains why the insertion was barely visible on the initial maps. Minor conformational diversity occurred in protein segments that diverge in sequence, located in the periphery of the catalytic domain, the flipping loop and the motif 2 loop (short insertions/deletions, see Supplementary Figure S1), which are extremely mobile in DRSs (39,40).

Based on the structural knowledge on human mt-tRNAAsp⁴(41) a model of the complex with HsaDRS2 could be built (Figure 2) and allowed for comparison with the bacterial complex. Notable alterations in the charge distribution within the tRNA interaction surface of the proteins were observed. Electropositive patches render HsaDRS2 more attractive for its RNA partner (Figure 2), similar to what was observed in the three other mt-aaRS crystal structures solved so far (18–21) (Figure 5). Ten additional basic residues (nine Lys and one Arg highlighted in blue in Supplementary Figure S1) are inserted in the close neighborhood of HsaDRS2 catalytic cleft. They may contribute to make the surface more attractive or directly contact the tRNA backbone, especially in the hinge region where such kind of aspecfic interactions with the D-stem was described in the E. coli complex (30). Otherwise, almost all residues making direct and specific interactions with bases at both ends of the Eco tRNA are conserved in the human enzyme (indicated in green in Supplementary Figure S1). This is true for E270/R271/R274 or R599, which may contact C74 or nucleotides 69–70, respectively, as well as for Q129/E140, R75/Q91/R125, R125, which are adequately positioned to interact with the anticodon bases C34, U35 and G36, respectively. A few residues (indicated in orange in Supplementary Figure S1) differ without major implication for the conservation of the interaction network. Thus, the main modification is the presence of a Gly at position 269, instead of the usually conserved Asp, which accounts for the insensitivity of HsaDRS2 to the nature of the discriminator base 73 (42).

The dimeric interfaces of both DRSs differ notably by the distribution and nature of subunit/subunit contacts (Supplementary Figure S6). In total, 70 hydrogen bonds...
and 28 salt bridges stabilize the EcoDRS interface, whereas HsaDRS2 only contains 60 hydrogen bonds and 20 salt bridges. Considering a 10% larger interface area (5500 versus 5100 Å²), the contribution of specific interactions is 25% lower per Å², whereas the contribution of aspecific van der Waals interactions is increased, which may on average lead to a less cohesive HsaDRS2 dimer.

Altogether, the crystal structure of HsaDRS2 reveals that it shares the same global architecture with EcoDRS but differs by an enlarged catalytic groove, by a more electropositive surface potential and by a modified network of interactions in the dimer interface.

Temperature stability of HsaDRS2 versus EcoDRS

The sensitivity of both proteins to temperature has been compared using DLS and differential scanning fluorimetry (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S7). HsaDRS2 unfolded at a temperature of >12°C below that of EcoDRS, in the 37°C–45°C range, under various biochemical conditions and in the presence of various additives. This result is supported by sets of synchrotron radiation circular dichroism spectra recorded at temperatures from 20°C to 80°C (Supplementary Figure S8). For both proteins, a transition from an ordered to a disordered state occurs at temperatures similar to those observed in DLS and differential scanning fluorimetry. This low melting temperature was also valid for an HsaDRS2 variant bearing different N- and C-terminal amino acids but not for HsaYRS2, which melted at ~55°C (Supplementary Figure S7). Significant stabilization was observed in the presence of Asp–AMS [(5'-O-[N-(L-aspartyl)sulfamoyl]adenosine; Glu-AMS, Gln-AMS, Tyr-AMS for other sulfamoyl-adenylates; AspOH, GluOH, TyrOH for amino alcohol-adenylates], a high affinity analog of the aspartylation reaction transition state (43), but neither in the presence of tRNA, adenosine triphosphate or aspartic acid did (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S7). Asp–AMS had a specific effect at a 1:1 stoichiometry per monomer (not shown), suggesting that it is binding to the catalytic site and that this binding protects the protein from melting. Stabilization of aaRSs by binding of adenylate analogs has been taken advantage for successful crystallization (44). Herein, we observe stabilization against temperature induced melting. Along initial attempts to stabilize HsaDRS2 by site-directed mutagenesis, the replacement of amino acids of the hinge domain by those of EcoDRS led to a gain of 5°C in Tm (Supplementary Figure S7).
Thermodynamics of tRNA binding to HsaDRS2 versus EcoDRS

ITC (45) was used to gain insights into the thermodynamics of tRNAAsp binding to DRSs. In vitro transcribed tRNAs were used, as access to milligram amounts of native human mt-tRNAs remains out of scope (9). Mt-tRNAAsp distinguishes drastically from bacterial tRNAAsp by primary, secondary and tertiary structural elements (Supplementary Figure S1), leading to the absence of major aspartate identity elements and to a larger structural flexibility (25). Typical thermodynamic data are presented in Figure 4 and summarized in Table 3. Under the tested conditions, the affinities of HsaDRS2 for either mt- or Eco tRNAAsp were in the same range ($K_d \sim 250$ nM), whereas binding of EcoDRS to its cognate tRNAAsp was weaker by one order of magnitude ($K_d$ of 3 μM) and binding to the non-cognate mt-tRNAAsp dropped the affinity by two orders of magnitude ($K_d$ of 22 μM). Enthalpy and entropy contributions distinguished mitochondrial and bacterial cognate complex formation. HsaDRS2/mt-tRNAAsp was accompanied by about twice as large contributions of both parameters than EcoDRS/Eco tRNAAsp formation. However, both values compensated each other to similar extents, leading to similar variations in free energy namely $\Delta G = -9.1 \text{ kcal/mol}$ and $-7.5 \text{ kcal/mol}$, respectively. The difference between these free energies ($\Delta \Delta G = -1.6 \text{ kcal/mol}$) is in agreement with a $\Delta \Delta G$ of $-2.45 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ measured by comparative kinetic properties of both aaRSs at the transition state (Table 1, 3, and 4).

Thermodynamic parameters for non-cognate complex formation (cross-complexes) revealed a pre-eminent role of the tRNA partner as compared with the synthetases (Table 4 and Figure 4A and B). Similarly, low binding enthalpies and entropies drive complexes involving the structurally stable Eco tRNAAsp regardless the enzyme. At opposite, binding of the unstable and highly flexible mt-tRNAAsp required far larger enthalpic and entropic compensations, regardless the enzyme. These large values are indicative of the adaptation of mt-tRNAAsp to both enzymes’ surfaces involving likely conformational changes of the tRNA, releasing of water molecules along the binding surface and reciprocal adaptation of the nucleic acid and the protein.

Figure 2. Comparison of HsaDRS2 (left) and EcoDRS (right) surface potentials. Surface potentials are depicted from positive to negative in blue to red with the same color scale. (A and B), tRNA-bound HsaDRS2 (model) and EcoDRS (PDBid: 1C0A) with tRNAAsp (shown in purple). (C) The enzymes without tRNA. In (A), the complexes are observed along their two-fold symmetry axis. In (B and C), they are rotated as indicated to better visualize the interaction zone on the surface of the left monomer. The right monomer is colored with hydrophobic patches in green.
Thermodynamics of adenylate analog binding to HsaDRS2 versus EcoDRS

Binding of the adenylate analog Asp–AMS to the two dimeric DRSs revealed two different binding sites within each DRS dimer (Figure 4 and Table 4). For both enzymes, a first adenylate bound to one monomer \( n = 0.5 \) mol of adenylate per mol of monomer) with low affinity (Kd of 129 nM for HsaDRS2 and 29.5 nM for EcoDRS). The second adenylate bound then to the second site with a higher affinity (Kd of 17.5 nM for HsaDRS2 and of 2.95 nM for EcoDRS), in favor of a positive-allosteric event. The gain in affinity for the second binding site was of similar amplitude (5- to 10-fold) in both enzymes, and affinities (in the nM range) were in agreement with the previously measured \( K_i \) values for HsaDRS2 (43). Binding of Asp–AMS to each monomer, however, followed a different thermodynamic route depending on the considered enzyme. For EcoDRS, binding was both enthalpy- and entropy-driven. In contrast, binding to HsaDRS2 was strongly enthalpy-driven. This different thermodynamic signature supports different binding mechanisms of Asp–AMS to HsaDRS2 and to EcoDRS.

DISCUSSION

‘Same–same but different’

The crystal structure of HsaDRS2 revealed a typical overall bacterial-type DRS architecture (30, 38–40) that superimposes with the structure of EcoDRS with a global rmsd <2 Å (Figure 1). The two functionally important domains, catalytic site and anticodon-binding domain overlap with an rmsd of <1.5 Å. The important differences between the two structures are (i) the angle formed by the
Table 3. Comparative thermodynamic properties of HsaDRS2 and EcoDRS: thermodynamic parameters of DRS interaction with tRNA, as measured by ITC

| DRS + tRNA(transcripts) | $n^\circ$ | $K_\theta$ (mM) | $\Delta H$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) | $-\Delta S$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) | $\Delta G$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) |
|-------------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| HsaDRS2 + Hsa mt-tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ | 0.48 ± 0.26 | 0.26 ± 0.18 | −20.3 ± 0.7 | +11.2 ± 0.4 | −9.1 ± 0.5 |
| HsaDRS2 + Eco tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ | 0.87 ± 0.16 | 0.24 ± 0.01 | −14.0 ± 0.7 | +5.0 ± 0.7 | −9.0 ± 0.5 |
| EcoDRS + Eco tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ | 0.76 ± 0.01 | 3.1 ± 0.1 | −13.0 ± 3.1 | +5.5 ± 3.2 | −7.5 ± 0.5 |
| EcoDRS + Hsa mt-tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ | 0.39 ± 0.02 | 22.0 | −30.8 | +24.4 | −6.4 |

All data were obtained at 25°C ($T = 298^\circ$K) and correspond to mean values from at least duplicated experiments with independent and freshly prepared enzyme and tRNA lots.

$n^\circ$ stands for the number of moles of Asp–AMS bound per mole of DRS monomer.

Table 4. Comparative thermodynamic properties of HsaDRS2 and EcoDRS: thermodynamic parameters of DRS interaction with Asp–AMS, as measured by ITC

| DRS + Asp-AMS | $n^\circ$ | $K_\theta$ (nM) | $\Delta H$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) | $-\Delta S$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) | $\Delta G$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) |
|---------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| HsaDRS2-monomer 1 | 0.48 ± 0.02 | 129 ± 38.0 | −13.18 ± 0.39 | +3.9 ± 0.78 | −9.38 ± 0.18 |
| HsaDRS2-monomer 2 | 0.29 ± 0.04 | 17.5 ± 12.0 | −21.8 ± 0.31 | +10.57 ± 0.71 | −10.63 ± 0.44 |
| EcoDRS-monomer 1 | 0.49 ± 0.02 | 29.5 ± 5.0 | −5.50 ± 0.01 | +4.75 ± 0.13 | −10.24 ± 0.10 |
| EcoDRS-monomer 2 | 0.44 ± 0.01 | 2.95 ± 1.34 | −8.16 ± 0.35 | −3.52 ± 0.61 | −11.63 ± 0.28 |

All data were obtained at 25°C ($T = 298^\circ$K) and correspond to mean values from at least duplicated experiments with independent and freshly prepared enzyme and tRNA lots.

$n^\circ$ stands for the number of moles of Asp–AMS bound per mole of DRS monomer.

...prokaryal-specific insertion domain and the catalytic domain, which is more opened by 26° in the mt-aaRS; (ii) the overall electropositive surface potential (as observed for other mammalian mt-aaRSs); and (iii) the dimer interface, which is weaker in terms of salt-bridges and hydrogen bonds.

A distinguishing property between the two proteins is the unprecedented observation for a human mt-aaRS to melt at near body temperature (37°C) and ~12°C lower than EcoDRS, in line with a restricted thermo-tolerance of the translation and replication processes in mitochondria (46). It is likely that the weakened dimer interface is one of the contributors to this fragility. Fine prediction of the molecular reasons for the melting temperature of a protein is difficult and may be dependent on as little as a single amino acid (47). Interestingly, a thermosensitive mutant of EcoDRS in which proline 555 was affected, has been reported (48). The equivalent position in HsaDRS2 has kept an equivalent proline residue (Pro 605), so that the contribution of this position to thermosensitivity is ruled out. We favor the contribution of the hinge domain, as partial stabilization by mutation in HsaDRS2 was successful.

ITC was used here for the first time on tRNA/synthetase couples to examine thermodynamics of cognate mt-tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ and non-cognate Eco tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ interactions. When tested under strictly the same conditions, HsaDRS2 binds both its cognate and non-cognate tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ with a same sub-micromolar $K_d$, whereas EcoDRS binds cognate Eco tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ in the low micromolar range and non-cognate mt-tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ in the high micromolar range. It is anticipated that the parameters would be closer to, but still distinct, from those for the bacterial cognate pair when measured with native post-transcriptionally modified tRNAs. Indeed, modifications are known to stabilize structures (49), but the modification pattern of mt-tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ is far beneath that of Eco tRNA$^{\text{Asp}}$ (see Supplementary Figure S1). Binding between cognate mitochondrial partners requires large enthalpic and entropic compensations at opposite to binding between the cognate bacterial partners where compensations were small. Cross-binding revealed that this difference is mostly linked to the tRNA. Binding of the floppy mt-tRNA involved large positive enthalpic and large negative entropic contributions, whereas binding of the well-folded and stable Eco tRNA involved low enthalpy/entropy compensations. These results are in line with thermodynamic parameters determined for cognate and non-cognate tRNA/synthetase couples measured earlier by fluorescence titrations and fast kinetic techniques (50,51).

Altogether, comparative investigation of biophysical properties of HsaDRS2 and EcoDRS shed new light on the long-term open question as to non-reciprocal aminoacylation of bacterial and mitochondrial tRNA/ synthetase pairs (24). They revealed that the human enzyme has gained structural adaptability to tRNA substrates by combining several properties. A more electro-positive tRNA-binding surface [see also (52)] and an opening of the cleft between the insertion domain and the catalytic domain by an angle of as much as 26° improve accessibility and binding of the tRNA end to the catalytic site. Strong binding likely reflects an evolutionary adaptation of the mt-aaRS to compensate for high flexibility of the mt-tRNA and for the absence of otherwise important identity elements. Whether the
binding step is accompanied by a concerted movement of the insertion domain towards the catalytic site to further lock the tRNA, is unknown. The larger structural plasticity of the mt-aaRS (enabling it to deal with the highly flexible and degenerated mt-tRNA$^{\text{asp}}$) does not harm its interaction with classical and less flexible Eco tRNA$^{\text{asp}}$. At opposite, the bacterial aaRS is unable to bind properly the mt-tRNA. Binding to its cognate more rigid tRNA$^{\text{asp}}$ is closer to a lock-and-key type process.

Gain of plasticity as reflected here by unusual thermodynamic properties, may be a more general property of mt-aaRSs that have to deal with degenerated mt-tRNAs. An extreme case of plasticity has recently been described with the human mitochondrial FRS that undergoes dramatic conformation change on tRNA binding (21). The near-body thermal unstability of HsaDRS2 as well as its electropositive surface potential suggest that different parameters may stabilize the protein in vivo. These may be of biochemical nature or correspond to co-factors, partner proteins or peculiar sub-mitochondrial localization, as is the case for mt-ribosomes and mt-elongation factor that bind to the inner membrane (9).

Cooperative allostery in class II dimeric DRSs

A long-standing question for dimeric aaRSs concerns the communication mechanisms between the two catalytic sites (13). Data obtained herein by ITC demonstrate unambiguously that both EcoDRS and HsaDRS2 undergo an allosteric event on binding to the adenylate analog. The first monomer binds the analog with a weaker affinity than the second monomer. Thermodynamic signatures strongly suggest a different binding mechanism for each enzyme: interaction with HsaDRS2 is a strongly exothermic process, characterized by a large negative enthalpic term, whereas binding to EcoDRS is associated with moderate enthalpic and entropic contributions. These differences likely reflect large obligatory rearrangements in HsaDRS2 but not in EcoDRS.

Allostery has been reported for several aaRSs (53–56). Half of the site activity was mainly observed. Herein, we report direct cooperative allostery for small substrate binding, as detected by ITC. Previous ITC investigations performed on other aaRSs had not detected cooperative allostery (53–56).

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

Resolution of the crystal structure and exploration of biophysical properties of a human mitochondrial aaRS, revealed similarities but also distinctive features from a prokaryotic homolog: a conserved architecture and a conserved cooperative allostERIC communication within the dimers but also increased structural plasticity. We propose that the modification of intrinsic biophysical properties and new surface properties, along with conservation of size and structural framework, represents an evolutionary mechanism for adaptation of nuclear-encoded proteins to degenerated mitochondrial-encoded RNAs. This mechanism is alternative to domain extension and sustains adaptation of two genomes subjected to different evolutionary constraints. The possibility that mt-aaRSs also undergo functional expansion by structural inventions and have partner proteins as described for cytosolic aaRSs (57,58) is not excluded but remains to be tackled. The properties of an mt-aaRS reported herein provide new insights towards the understanding of the growing number of human disorders linked to mutations in the corresponding genes (9,59,60). Deciphering the fine molecular triggers leading to both specific (i.e. plasticity) and shared (i.e. allostery) properties of human mt-aaRSs, and their evolutionary related homologs requires delineation of discriminating and non-discriminating amino acids within the aaRS.
architecture. Bioinformatic tools enabling such an analysis are under development.
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