Orthonormal wave functions for periodic fermionic states under an applied magnetic Field
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We report an infinite number of orthonormal wave functions bases for the quantum problem of a free particle in presence of an applied external magnetic field. Each set of orthonormal wave functions (basis) is labeled by an integer $p$, which is the number of magnetic fluxons trapped in the unit cell. These bases are suitable to describe particles whose probability density is periodic and defines a lattice in position space. The present bases of orthonormal wave functions unveils fractional effects since the number of particles in the unit cell is independent of the number of trapped fluxons.

For a single particle under $p$ fluxes in the unit cell, and confined to the lowest Landau level, the probability density vanishes in $p$ points, thus each zero is associated to a fraction $1/p$ of the particle. Remarkably the case of $n+1$ filled Landau levels, hence with a total of $N = (n+1)p$ fermions, $n$ being the highest filled Landau level, the density displays an egg-box pattern with $p^2$ maxima (minima) which means that a $(n+1)/p$ fraction of flux is associated to every one of these maxima (minima). We also consider the case of particles interacting through the magnetic field energy created by their own motion and find an attractive interaction among them in case they are confined to the lowest Landau level ($n = 0$). The well-known de Haas-van Alphen oscillations are retrieved within the present orthonormal basis of wave functions thus proving evidence of its correctness.

PACS numbers: 75.70.Kw, 75.50.-y, 11.27.+d, 05.45.Yv

I. INTRODUCTION

The quantum problem of free fermions in a magnetic field has been long investigated since the early days of Quantum Mechanics, and its study is nowadays part of the educational training of any physics student [1-5]. Although nearly ninety years have passed since Landau firstly explained the diamagnetism of metals [6], based on a free electron model, the study of particles in a magnetic field has remained a subject of interest as new and startling phenomena are still unfolding from it [7], such as the quantum Hall effect [8, 9]. In this paper we report novel and interesting properties in case that the local magnetic field created by the motion of the fermions form a lattice state, and so, the probability density is periodic in position space. Our results stem from the finding of new bases of orthonormal wave functions, which are reported here and follow from Abrikosov’s solution for the vortex lattice [10]. The Landau gauge is used and a rectangular unit cell is taken with dimension $L_1$ and $L_2$. The orthonormal wave function basis features $p$ magnetic fluxons trapped in the unit cell ($\Phi = p\Phi_0$, $\Phi_0 \equiv \hbar c/e$, $e$ the electronic charge) and the choice of $p$ fixes a distinct set of orthonormal functions. Hence in the present formalism each Landau level, labeled by $n$ has $p$ available states, each one associated to a distinct wave function that belongs to this orthonormal set. For simplicity we ignore the spin degree of freedom such that each level fits a single particle. The present approach allows for the treatment of situations such that the number of particles and the number of fluxons in the unit cell are not necessarily the same, thus potentially useful for cases with fractional charge and flux. We treat a few cases in details to exemplify the fractional effects. For instance the case of the full lowest Landau level, with $p$ particles which is the same as the number of fluxons, shown in Fig. 2. There one sees the presence of $p^2$ maxima (minima) of the probability density, thus there is $1/p$ fluxons associated to each maxima (minima), as shown in Table I. The case of a single particle in a unit cell with $p$ fluxons is seen in Fig. 3. The probability density has $p$ zeros and so, each zero is associated with $1/p$ of a particle. Finally in Fig. 4 is the case of $n+1$ fully filled Landau levels, and so with $N = (n+1)p$ particles or fluxons. We find the remarkable property that the probability density displays $p^2$ maxima (minima) in position space within the unit cell, similarly to an egg-box arrangement, which is Fig. 2 result extended to higher Landau levels. Consequently the number of particles per maximum is fractional, and given by $(n+1)/p$, as shown in Table I. The above examples correspond to free fermions in a magnetic field. We also treat in this paper the curious case that the local magnetic field created by the motion of the fermions is also taken into account, provided that the particles are confined to the first Landau level ($n = 0$). We report here that the magnetic energy of this system is negative thus causing an attraction between the fermions. For this special case the so-called first order equations [11] apply. They were firstly used by A.A. Abrikosov to discover vortices in superconductors [10].

To show the correctness of the present approach we
use the formalism to the de Haas-Van Alphen effect and retrieve some well-known properties, such as the periodicity of the energy with respect to the applied field \( H_3 \), and also with respect to \( 1/H_3 \), that allows for the measurement of the Fermi surface area. The de Haas-van Alphen effect is known to reveal quantum oscillatory phenomena in metals that unveils fundamental properties directly obtained from the magnetisation \( M \), which is a thermodynamic function of state \([2][3]\). This means that theoretical models for the Fermi surface can be checked in a very rigorous manner through the de Haas-van Alphen effect \([12][13]\).

## II. Periodic Solutions for the Schrödinger Equation of a Free Particle in a Magnetic Field

In this section we obtain solutions of the Schrödinger for free particles in presence of a magnetic field \( H_3 \), under the condition of spatial periodicity. The Hamiltonian is well-known and given by,

\[
\frac{1}{2m} \vec{D}^2 \psi = E \psi, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \vec{D} = \hat{x}_1 D_1 + \hat{x}_2 D_2 + \hat{x}_3 D_3 \). The covariant derivative is \( D_j \equiv -i\hbar \nabla_j - (e/c)A_j, \ j = 1, 2 \) and \( e \) is the particles charge and \( A_j \) is the vector potential. The magnetic field is set along the direction \( \vec{x}_3 \) such that the Landau gauge is given by \( \vec{A} = (-H_3 x_2, 0, 0) \), \( \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \hat{x}_3 H_3 \). Uniaxial symmetry is assumed and so, there is no derivative along \( \hat{x}_3 \) since \( A_3 = 0 \). Only the derivatives \( D_1 \ e \ D_2 \) remain and so, the index is limited to \( j = 1 \ e \ 2 \). The wave function is described by the coordinates perpendicular to the direction of the applied field, \( \psi = \psi(x_1, x_2) \).

Assume a rectangular unit cell, with dimensions \( L_1 \ e \ L_2 \) in this plane \( (x_1, x_2) \). We seek states that are periodic on this lattice and for this purpose make demands on the wave function under \( x_1 \rightarrow x_1 + L_1 \) and \( x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + L_2 \) to guarantee that \( |\psi(x_1, x_2)|^2 \) be periodic. This means to impose quasi-periodicity along the coordinate \( x_1 \),

\[
\psi(x_1 + L_1, x_2) = e^{in} \psi(x_1, x_2), \tag{2}
\]

as the phase \( e^{in} \) does not affect the periodicity condition \( |\psi(x_1 + L_1, x_2)|^2 = |\psi(x_1, x_2)|^2 \). Similarly the periodicity along the coordinate \( x_2 \), \( |\psi(x_1, x_2 + L_2)|^2 = |\psi(x_1, x_2)|^2 \), is a consequence of the demand that,

\[
\psi(x_1, x_2 + L_2) = e^{im} \psi(x_1, x_2), \tag{3}
\]

where \( e^{im} \) is an arbitrary phase.

The general solution of Eq. (1) in the Landau gauge is well known to be given by \( \psi = \psi_{n,k}(x_1, x_2) = e^{ikx_1} f_n(x_2) \). Thus along one of the coordinates, \( x_1 \), is a plane wave, whereas along the other, \( x_2 \), is a harmonic oscillator. Therefore there are two quantum indices, \( k \) and \( n \), the latter index defines the Landau level. Hence Eq. (1) acquires the following form.

\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega_c^2 (x_2 - x'_2)^2 \psi_n(x_2) = E_n \psi_n(x_2), \tag{4}
\]

where \( x'_2 = -(\hbar c)/(eH_3) \) and \( \omega_c \) is the Larmor frequency given by,

\[
\omega_c = \frac{eH_3}{mc}. \tag{5}
\]

The analogy of Eq. (4) with the harmonic oscillator yields the eigenvalues as,

\[
E_n = \hbar \omega_c \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3..., \tag{6}
\]

and shows that the Landau levels are equally spaced in energy and separated by \( \hbar \omega_c \).

The solution for \( f_n(x_2) \) is given by,

\[
f_n(x_2) = A_n H_n(\bar{x}_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \bar{x}_2^2}, \tag{7}
\]

where \( A_n \) is a constant to be determined, \( H_n(\bar{x}_2) \) are the Hermite polynomials and the variable \( \bar{x}_2 \) is defined by,

\[
\bar{x}_2 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2mc}} (x_2 + \frac{c}{eH_3} k). \tag{8}
\]

Hence the wave function is given by,

\[
\psi_{n,k}(x_1, x_2) = A_n e^{ikx_1} H_n(\bar{x}_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \bar{x}_2^2}. \tag{9}
\]

The wave functions \( \psi_{n,k}(x_1, x_2) \), Eq. (9), are orthogonal, and satisfy the following condition.

\[
\int d^2x \psi_{m,k}^* \psi_{n,k} = \delta(k - k') \delta_{nm}. \tag{10}
\]

This is easily checked, by taking \( \psi_{m,k'} \) e \( \psi_{n,k} \), and computing the integral,

\[
\int d^2x \psi_{m,k}^* \psi_{n,k} = A_m A_n I_{x_1} I_{x_2} \tag{11}
\]

where

\[
I_{x_1} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_1 e^{i(k-k')x_1}, \tag{12}
\]

and

\[
I_{x_2} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_2 H_m(\bar{x}'_2) H_n(\bar{x}_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2} (x'_2 + \bar{x}_2)^2}, \tag{13}
\]

such that \( \bar{x}_2 \) is given by Eq. (8) and \( \bar{x}'_2 \) is equivalent to \( \bar{x}_2 \) for \( k' \). The integration in \( x_1 \) yields that \( I_{x_1} = 2\pi \delta(k - k') \). Then \( \bar{x}_2 \) and deriving both
sides of Eq. \(8\) gives that \(dx_2 = \sqrt{\frac{hc}{eH_1}} \, dx_2\). Hence \(I_{x_2} = \sqrt{\frac{hc}{eH_1}} \, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2 H_m(x_2) H_n(x_2) e^{-x_2^2}.\) One obtains that \(I_{x_2} \neq 0\) if \(m \neq n\) and \(I_{x_2} = \sqrt{\frac{hc}{eH_1}} \, 2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}\) if \(m = n\). Combining these results in Eq. \(11\) gives the constant of Eq. \(9\).

\[
A_n = \left( \frac{eH_3}{4\pi^2 hc} \right)^{1/4} (2^n n! \sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2}. \tag{14}
\]

So far the orthonormality of the wave functions, expressed in Eq. \(10\) is not limited to the unit cell, and this is where news bases can be introduced as their wave functions are orthonormal in a unit cell.

In power of the well-known solution for the Schrödinger equation, given by Eq. \(9\), we revisit the periodicity to unveil startling properties. Along \(x_1\) the quasi-periodicity is easily checked: \(\psi_n(x_1 + L_1, x_2) = e^{ik_{L_1} L_1} \psi_n(x_1, x_2) = A_n e^{ik_{L_1} L_1} \sum_k c_{n,k} e^{ikx_1} H_n(x_2) e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{2}}\), and \(e^{i\eta_n} = e^{ik_{L_1} L_1}\). However the quasi periodicity along \(x_2\) is only possible for the wavefunction summed over \(k\),

\[
\psi_n(x_1, x_2) = \sum_k c_{n,k} \psi_{n,k}(x_1, x_2), \tag{15}
\]

which introduces the so far free parameters, \(c_{n,k}\). However the above wavefunction spoils the quasi periodicity along \(x_1\), unless if the sum over \(k\) is limited to the following values,

\[
k = \frac{2\pi}{L_1}, \quad l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \tag{16}
\]

In this case, \(e^{i\eta_n} = 1\), and the coefficients belong to the discrete set \(c_{n,k} = c_{n,l}\). A remarkable consequence of the quasi periodic condition along \(x_2\) is the quantization of the magnetic flux in the unit cell. There should be an integer number of magnetic flux inside the unit cell,

\[
p\Phi_0 = H_3 L_1 L_2, \tag{17}
\]

where \(\Phi_0\) is the unit flux defined by a single electronic charge,

\[
\Phi_0 = \frac{hc}{e} = 4.14 \cdot 10^{-7} G \cdot cm^2. \tag{18}
\]

to have quasi periodicity along \(x_2\). This also limits the number of coefficients in Eq. \(15\) to just \(p\) free coefficients, since it must hold that,

\[
c_{n,l+p} = c_{n,l}. \tag{19}
\]

To check this write the wave function as,

\[
\psi_n(x_1, x_2) = \sum_l c_{n,l} \psi_{n,l}(x_1, x_2), \tag{20}
\]

where

\[
\psi_{n,l}(x_1, x_2) = A_n e^{i\frac{2\pi l}{L_1} x_1} H_n(g_l(x_2)) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(g_l(x_2))^2} \tag{21}
\]

with \(g_l(x_2) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\pi H_3}{\Phi_0}} (x_2 + \frac{\Phi_l}{H_3 L_1})\), and \(\Phi_0\) given by \(18\). To calculate \(\psi_n(x_1, x_2 + L_2)\), firstly notice that under the flux quantization condition one obtains that, \(L_2 + (\Phi_0/H_3 L_1)l = (\Phi_0/H_3 L_1)(l + p)\). Next write \(e^{i\frac{2\pi l}{L_1} x_1}\), as \(e^{-i\frac{2\pi l}{L_1} x_1} e^{i\frac{2\pi (l+p) x_1}{L_1}}\), to obtain that \(\psi_n(x_1, x_2 + L_2) = e^{-i\frac{2\pi l}{L_1} x_1} A_n \sum c_{n,p} e^{i\frac{2\pi (l+p) x_1}{L_1}} H_n(g_l(x_2)) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(g_l(x_2))^2}\)

\[
\psi_{n,l}(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi p}{L_1 L_2}} \left( x_2 + \frac{L_2 l}{p} \right). \tag{22}
\]

where \(\psi_n(x_1, x_2) = \sum_l c_{n,l} \psi_{n,l}(x_1, x_2, p)\) and

\[
\psi_{n,l}(x_1, x_2, p) = A_n e^{i\frac{2\pi l}{L_1} x_1} H_n(g_l(x_2)) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(g_l(x_2))^2} \tag{23}
\]

with \(g_l(x_2) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\pi H_3}{\Phi_0}} (x_2 + \frac{\Phi_l}{H_3 L_1})\), and \(\Phi_0\) given by \(18\). To calculate \(\psi_n(x_1, x_2 + L_2)\), firstly notice that under the flux quantization condition one obtains that, \(L_2 + (\Phi_0/H_3 L_1)l = (\Phi_0/H_3 L_1)(l + p)\). Next write \(e^{i\frac{2\pi l}{L_1} x_1}\), as \(e^{-i\frac{2\pi l}{L_1} x_1} e^{i\frac{2\pi (l+p) x_1}{L_1}}\), to obtain that \(\psi_n(x_1, x_2 + L_2) = e^{-i\frac{2\pi l}{L_1} x_1} A_n \sum c_{n,p} e^{i\frac{2\pi (l+p) x_1}{L_1}} H_n(g_l(x_2)) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(g_l(x_2))^2}\)

\[
\sum_l c_{n,l} \psi_{n,l}(x_1, x_2, p) = A_n e^{i\frac{2\pi l}{L_1} x_1} H_n(g_l(x_2)) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(g_l(x_2))^2} \tag{23}
\]

It remains to solve Eq. \(19\) and find the finite number of coefficients, to fully determine the set of \(p\) wavefunctions that must be proven orthonormal. Notice that \(p\) is fixed and this defines \(\phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p)\), such that \(q = 0, \ldots, p-1\). The seek solutions for growing values of \(p\) and start with the initial value, \(p = 1\). This is the case treated by A. Abrikosov in his seminal work where vortices were discovered in superconductivity \(10\). In this case Eq. \(19\) becomes \(c_{n,l+p} = c_{n,l}\), and the solution simply corresponds to all coefficients equal, regardless of \(l\). We choose that \(c_{n,l+p} = c_{n,l}\). For \(p = 2\), Eq. \(19\) becomes \(c_{n,l+2} = c_{n,l}\), and in this case there are two free coefficients, chosen to be \(c_{n,0}\) and \(c_{n,1}\), for \(l\) even and odd ones, respectively. Therefore \(c_{n,l} = c_{n,0}\) for \(l = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 6, \ldots\) and \(c_{n,l} = c_{n,1}\) for \(l = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \ldots\). For the next case, \(p = 3, \) Eq. \(19\) becomes \(c_{n,l+3} = c_{n,l}\), and there are free coefficients at each Landau level \(n\). Similarly, the choices are \(c_{n,0}, c_{n,1}, c_{n,2}\). This gives that \(c_{n,l} \equiv c_{n,0}\) for \(l = 0, \pm 3, \pm 6, \ldots, c_{n,l} \equiv c_{n,1}\) for \(l = \pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 7, \ldots\) and \(c_{n,l} \equiv c_{n,2}\) for \(l = \pm 2, \pm 5, \pm 8, \ldots\). The last case explicitly treated here is \(p = 4\), and in this case, Eq. \(19\) becomes \(c_{n,l+4} = c_{n,l}\). There are four free coefficients, namely, \(c_{n,0}, c_{n,1}, c_{n,2}\) and \(c_{n,3}\). Hence we introduce the general notation \(c_{n,q}\) for the coefficients, such that \(q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, p-1\), represent the \(p\) free and independent coefficients. Table \(11\) provides the coefficients for the first four cases, namely, \(p = 1, 2, 3\) and \(4\). For instance, for \(p = 1\) there is only \(q = 0\), and consequently, only one free and independent coefficient. For \(p = 2\) there are the
\[ p = 1 \]
\[ q = 0 \]
\[ c_{n,1} = c_{n,0} \]

\[ p = 2 \]
\[ q = 0 \]
\[ q = 1 \]
\[ c_{n,2l} = c_{n,0} \]
\[ c_{n,2l+1} = c_{n,1} \]

\[ p = 3 \]
\[ q = 0 \]
\[ q = 1 \]
\[ q = 2 \]
\[ c_{n,2l} = c_{n,0} \]
\[ c_{n,2l+1} = c_{n,1} \]
\[ c_{n,3l+2} = c_{n,2} \]

\[ p = 4 \]
\[ q = 0 \]
\[ q = 1 \]
\[ q = 2 \]
\[ q = 3 \]
\[ c_{n,4l} = c_{n,0} \]
\[ c_{n,4l+1} = c_{n,1} \]
\[ c_{n,4l+2} = c_{n,2} \]
\[ c_{n,4l+3} = c_{n,3} \]

TABLE I: The coefficients \( c_{n,q} \), for \( p = 1, \ 2, \ 3 \) and \( 4 \).

\[ q = 0 \] and \( q = 1 \) cases, and so, two coefficients. For \( p = 3 \) the three free coefficients are associated to \( q = 0, 1, \) and \( 2 \). Lastly for \( p = 4 \) the four free coefficients are associated to \( q = 0, 1, 2, \) and \( 3 \). In power of such information we write the most general quasi periodic \( \psi_n \) function as,

\[ \psi_{n,p}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} c_{n,q} \phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p) \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where the functions \( \phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p) \) are defined below,

\[ \phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p) = A_n e^{\frac{2\pi}{L_2} q x_1} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{2\pi}{L_1} pl x_1} H_n(f_l) e^{-\frac{i}{2} f_l^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

such that \( f_l \) is defined by,

\[ f_l \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\pi p}{L_1 L_2}} \left[ x_2 + \frac{L_2}{p}(pl + q) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Next we prove that the \( \phi_{n,q} \) functions with the same value of \( p \) form an orthonormal set in the rectangular unit cell.

\[ \int_{L_1 L_2} d^2x \ \phi_{m,q}^* \phi_{n,q} = \delta_{qq'} \delta_{nm} \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

They are degenerate eigenfunctions of the free Hamiltonian with eigenvalues labeled by \( n \), the Landau level index: \( \frac{1}{2\pi} \hbar^2 \phi_{n,q} = \hbar \omega_c (n + \frac{1}{2}) \phi_{n,q} \). For the first Landau level, \( n = 0 \), \( \phi_{0,q} \) satisfies the condition \( D_j \phi_{0,q} = 0 \), where this operator is defined by Eq. (83).

This set of orthonormal functions is central to obtain the results of this paper and for this reason, we have proven the completeness of the constant \( p \) set, as shown below. Hence we stress that two functions with distinct values of the trapped flux, say \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \), do not belong to the same set of orthonormal functions, and so, are not orthogonal, as numerically checked. In fact they belong to two distinct sets of orthonormal functions. Therefore for a fixed value of \( p \), we consider the functions \( \phi_{m,q} \) and \( \phi_{n,q} \) and compute the integral \( I_{L_1 L_2} \) defined below.

\[ I_{L_1 L_2} = \int_{L_1 L_2} d^2x \ \phi_{m,q}^* \phi_{n,q} = A_n A_m \sum_{l'=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_{l' l} \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

where

\[ I_{l} = \int_0^{L_1} dx_1 e^{\frac{2\pi}{L_1} [(p l + q) - (p' l' + q')] x_1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

and

\[ I_{l'} = \int_0^{L_2} dx_2 H_m(f_{l')} H_n(f_{l}) e^{-\frac{i}{2} (f_{l'}^2 + f_{l}^2)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

such that \( f_{l'} = \) is given by Eq. (24) for \( l' = q' \). The integral \( I_l \) differs from zero only in case \( l = l' = q' = q \). Then one obtains that \( I_{l} = L_1 \delta_{qq'} \) and this yields that \( f_{l'} = f_{l} \).

A change of variables, \( y = f_{l} \) in Eq. (24) brings a change in the integration variable, \( dx_2 = \frac{L_2}{2\pi p} dy \), and so,

\[ I_{l'} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \ H_m(y) H_n(y) \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

with the limits of integration given by

\[ y = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi L_2}{p L_1}} (pl + q) \]  \hspace{1cm} and \[ y = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi L_2}{p L_1}} (pl + l' + q) \]

Hence the integral of Eq. (26) is expressed as,

\[ I_{L_1 L_2} = A_m A_n \sqrt{\frac{L_1 L_2}{2\pi p}} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \ H_m(y) H_n(y) e^{-\frac{i}{2} (f_{l}^2 + f_{l'}^2)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

The integral along the \( x_2 \) direction, which is limited to the unit cell, is extended to the whole axis. According to the change of variable, \( y_i \) and \( y_f \) run from \( l \) until \( l + 1 \), that is, the integrand becomes independent of \( l \). Therefore we write that, \( \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{y_i}^{y_f} dy \ H_m(y) H_n(y) e^{-\frac{i}{2} (f_{l}^2 + f_{l'}^2)} \). Substituting this result into Eq. (29) brings the conclusion that the functions of Eq. (23) are orthogonal. They become orthonormal according to Eq. (25) by choice of

\[ A_n = \left( \frac{2\pi p}{L_1^2 L_2} \right)^{1/4} (2^n \pi) (2^{n!})^{-1/2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

III. KINETIC ENERGY OF FREE FERMIONS IN A MAGNETIC FIELD

In this section we introduce the second quantization formalism to treat the free fermions in a magnetic field. The completeness of the set of wave functions under
fixed $\rho$ is verified since it is necessary to prove the anti-commutation relations in real space. For non-interacting fermions the Hamiltonian is given by,

$$H = K,$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

where the kinetic energy, $K$, is,

$$K = \int d^2x \frac{1}{2m} \left( \vec{D} \Psi \right)^\dagger \vec{D} \Psi.$$

(32)

The kinetic energy becomes,

$$K = \int d^2x \left( \Psi^\dagger \vec{D}^2 \Psi \right) + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \int d^2x \vec{\nabla}^2 \rho,$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

where $\rho \equiv \Psi^\dagger \Psi$. It happens that the second integral vanishes due to the periodicity of the state, and the kinetic energy becomes,

$$K = \frac{1}{2m} \int d^2x \left( \Psi^\dagger \vec{D}^2 \Psi \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

To derive Eq. (33) we write $\left( \vec{D} \Psi \right)^\dagger \vec{D} \Psi = \left(ih\vec{\nabla} \Psi + \frac{e}{c} \hat{A} \Psi \right) \cdot \vec{a} = \vec{a} \cdot \nabla \Psi + (\Psi^\dagger \vec{\nabla}) \cdot \vec{a} = \vec{a} \cdot \nabla \Psi + (\Psi^\dagger \vec{\nabla}) \cdot \vec{a}.$ Using that $\vec{a} \cdot \nabla \Psi + (\Psi^\dagger \vec{\nabla}) \cdot \vec{a}$, one gets

$$\left( \vec{D} \Psi \right)^\dagger \left( \vec{D} \Psi \right) = \Psi^\dagger \left( -i\hbar \vec{\nabla} - \frac{e}{c} \hat{A} \right) \cdot \vec{a} + i\hbar \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\Psi^\dagger \vec{a}).$$

The kinetic energy becomes,

$$K = \frac{1}{2m} \int d^2x \left( \Psi^\dagger \vec{D}^2 \Psi \right) + \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \int d^2x \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left( \Psi^\dagger \vec{D} \Psi \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

and its complex conjugate,

$$K^* = \frac{1}{2m} \int d^2x \left( \vec{D}^2 \Psi \right)^\dagger \Psi - \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \int d^2x \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left( (\vec{D}^2 \Psi)^\dagger \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

The kinetic energy is real thus summing Eqs. (35) and (36) and dividing by two, gives that,

$$K = \frac{1}{4m} \int d^2x \Psi^\dagger \vec{D}^2 \Psi + \left( \vec{D}^2 \Psi \right)^\dagger \Psi$$

$$+ \frac{i\hbar}{4m} \int d^2x \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left( \Psi^\dagger \vec{D} \Psi - \Psi(\vec{D} \Psi)^\dagger \right).$$

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \oint \left( \vec{\nabla} \rho \right) \cdot \vec{n} dl = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \left\{ \int_0^{L_1} dx_1 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \rho(x_1, L_2) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \rho(x_1, 0) \right) + \int_0^{L_2} dx_2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \rho(L_1, x_2) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \rho(0, x_2) \right) \right\}.$$

(38)

Recall that $\rho$ is periodic, and so, the integrals in opposite sides of the rectangular unit cell annihilate each other,
resulting that,
\[ \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \oint \left( \nabla \rho \right) \cdot \n \ d \ell = 0. \]  \hfill (39)

Next we introduce the second quantization formalism to treat the fermionic particles. Notice that the second quantized field \( \Psi_p(x_1, x_2) \) carries the index \( p \) to stress the \( p \) fluxons trapped in the unit cell area, \( L_1L_2 \).

\[ \Psi_p(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi_{n,p}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} c_{n,q} \phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p), \]  \hfill (40)

where the functions \( \phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p) \) are given by Eq. (23) and the coefficients \( c_{n,q} \) are to be interpreted as destruction operators below. The second quantized field \( \Psi_p(x_1, x_2) \) satisfies the anti-commutation relation,

\[ \{ \Psi_p(x_1, x_2), \Psi_p^\dagger(x_1', x_2') \} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p) \phi_{n,q}^\dagger(x_1', x_2', p), \]  \hfill (45)

and then,

\[ \{ \Psi_p(x_1, x_2), \Psi_p(x_1', x_2') \} = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p) \phi_{n,q}^\dagger(x_1', x_2', p), \]  \hfill (46)

The latter is obtained by using \( \phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p) \), defined by Eqs. (23) and (50), where \( b_n = (2^n n! \sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} \). Firstly consider the sum in \( n \), which is essentially done over the normalized wavefunctions of the harmonic oscillator, \( \psi_n(x) = (2^n n! \sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2} H_n(x) e^{x^2/2} \), where \( H_n(x) \) are the Hermite polynomials. Hence the \( \psi_n(x) \) satisfy

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_n^*(x) \psi_{n'}(x) = \delta_{nm} \]  \hfill (47)

and using Eq. (24), one obtains that

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^2 H_n(f_{lq}) H_n(f_{l'q}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(f_{lq}^2 + f_{l'q}^2)} = \delta(f_{lq} - f_{l'q}), \]  \hfill (48)

Next the sum \( S_p = \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i \frac{\pi}{4} (p+q)(x_1 - x_1')} \delta(x_2 - x_2') \) must be analysed. For \( p = 1 \) the sum be-
comes \( S_1 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L} l(x_1-x'_1)} \), for \( p = 2 \), it is

\[ S_2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L} (2l)(x_1-x'_1)} + e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L} (2l+1)(x_1-x'_1)} \right), \]

and for \( p = 3 \) it is given by \( S_3 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L} (3l)(x_1-x'_1)} + e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L} (3l+1)(x_1-x'_1)} \right) + \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L} (3l+2)(x_1-x'_1)} \). Notice that the total sum runs over all integers, and in this way, one can write that

\[ S_p = \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L} (pl+q)(x_1-x'_1)} = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L} r(x_1-x'_1)}. \]

Hence we have reached the second completeness relation,

\[ S_p = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L} r(x_1-x'_1)} = L_1 \delta(x_1-x'_1), \]

(49)

that once added to Eq. (48), gives the anti-commuting relation given by Eq. (41), thus proving its correctness.

A. The energy and the number of particles in terms of the operators \( c_{n,q} \)

The kinetic energy \( K \), given by Eq. (34), and \( \Psi = \Psi_p \), given by Eq. (40), once put together render the kinetic energy expressed in terms of the operators \( c_{n,q} \). The following identity

\[ \frac{1}{2m} \int d^2x \left( \Psi^{\dagger} \vec{D}^2 \Psi \right) = \sum_{n,m,q,q'} E_n c_{n,q}^{\dagger} c_{n,q} \int d^2x \phi_{m,q}^* \phi_{n,q}, \]

(50)

added to the orthonormality condition, Eq. (25), restricts the sums to \( m = n \) and \( q = q' \).

\[ \frac{1}{2m} \int d^2x \left( \Psi^{\dagger} \vec{D}^2 \Psi \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{p-1} E_n c_{n,q}^{\dagger} c_{n,q}, \]

(51)

where \( E_n \) is given by Eq. (6). Hence the Hamiltonian of Eq. (51) acquires the diagonal form given by,

\[ \mathcal{H} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} E_n c_{n,q}^{\dagger} c_{n,q}. \]

(52)

The operator that determines the number of particles is also diagonal and given by,

\[ \mathcal{N} = \int d^2x \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} c_{n,q}^{\dagger} c_{n,q}. \]

(53)

B. The wave function and the spatial electronic density

The fermions fill all the levels up to the highest one, and so, the first \( n' \) Landau levels, \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n' - 1 \), each with \( p \) electrons, and the last Landau level \( n' \) can be partially filled with \( p' \) electrons, hence \( p' < p \). Therefore the wave function \( |\Phi\rangle \) representing this state has the form \( |\Phi\rangle = \prod_{n,q} c_{n,q}^{\dagger} |0\rangle \) where \( c_{n,q}^{\dagger} \) is a creation operator, the index \( n \in [0, n'] \) represents the Landau levels, and the index \( q, 0 \leq q < p' \), runs over \( p' \) which is the number of particles in each Landau level \( n \). An explicit version of the wave function is given below.

\[ |\Phi\rangle = \prod_{n'=1}^{n'} c_{n',q'-1}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{n',q}^{\dagger} c_{n',0}^{\dagger} \quad \prod_{n'=n'-1,1} \cdots c_{n',-1,1}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{n',-1,0}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{1,p-1}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{1,1,0}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{0,0,0}^{\dagger} |0\rangle. \]

(54)

The state \( |\Phi\rangle \) is automatically normalized, \( \langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle = 1 \) and has a fixed number of particles, Eq. (55), since,

\[ \mathcal{N} |\Phi\rangle = \mathcal{N} |\Phi\rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{N} = n'p + p' \]

(55)

The spatially distributed electronic density is given by the expectation value \( \langle \Phi | \rho | \Phi \rangle \), where \( \rho_p = \Psi_p^{\dagger} \Psi_p \) and \( \Psi_p \) is the operator associated to the periodic density, that

acquires the following form.

\[ \rho_p = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{q'=0}^{p-1} c_{m,q'}^{\dagger} c_{m,q'} \phi_{m,q'}^{*} \phi_{n,q}. \]

(56)

Then the expectation value is obtained using the anti-commutation condition of Eq. (43),

\[ \langle \Phi | \rho_p | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{p'-1} \langle \phi_{n,q}(p) \rangle^2 + \sum_{q=0}^{p'-1} \langle \phi_{n',q}(p) \rangle^2. \]

(57)
FIG. 2: The spatial electronic density in the unit cell \((x_1/L_1, x_2/L_2)\) for the case of the completely filled first Landau levels \((n = 0, \text{sum over } q)\). The number of trapped magnetic fluxons is equal to the number of particles, \(p\), and the plots are shown from left to right according to \(p = 1, 2, 3\) and 4.

This calculation is straightforward once observed that there is no contribution to the expectation value in case that the \(\rho_p\) operators, \(c_{m,q}^\dagger\) or \(c_{m,q}\), are not contained in \(|\Phi\rangle\). Notice that the functions belonging to the orthonormal set are being explicitly expressed with its \(p\) dependence, namely as \(\phi_{n,q}(p)\), although this notation is implicit elsewhere.

Fig. 2 shows the spatial electronic density of the completely filled first Landau levels \((n = 0)\). In this case the wave function \(54\) is \(|\Phi\rangle = c_{0,-1}^\dagger \cdots c_{0,1}^\dagger c_{0,0}\), and so the spatial density becomes \(\langle \Phi | \rho_p | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} |\phi_{0,q}(p)|^2\), obtained from Eq. \(57\). The number of trapped flux and the number of particles is the same and equal to \(p\). Interestingly the density displays an egg-box pattern with \(p^2\) maxima (minima). Hence each maxima (minima) can be associated to a fraction \(1/p\) of a particle. Notice that as \(p\) increases, the difference between the maximum and the minimum density shrinks. This is a consequence of the sum over the \(|\phi_{0,q}(p)|^2\) wave functions which adds more positive contributions as \(p\) increases. For this reason the first plot, which corresponds to \(p = 1\), is able to reach zero density, as the sum over \(q\) is absent.

Fig. 3 shows the spatial electronic density for the partially filled first Landau levels \((n = 0, q = 0)\). In this case the wave function \(54\) contains a single particle, \(|\Phi\rangle = c_{0,0}\), and the spatial density becomes \(\langle \Phi | \rho_p | \Phi \rangle = |\phi_{0,0}(p)|^2\), obtained from Eq. \(57\). The number of trapped flux and the number of particles is not the same, the former is \(p\) whereas the latter is one. The density displays \(p\) zeros, which is the number of trapped flux in the unit cell. Thus each zero in the density is associated to \(1/p\) particles. Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 coincide as both correspond to \(p = 1\) and \(q = 0\).

Fig. 4 shows the remarkable fact that the electronic density of \(N = (n+1)p\) particles displays an egg-box pattern with \(p^2\) maxima (minima). There are \(p\) particles (and fluxons) in each Landau level and \(n\) filled Landau levels, thus making a total of \(N = (n+1)p\) particles (fluxons). From top to bottom the sum is over the Landau levels \(n' = 0, 1, n' = 0, 1, 2\) and \(n' = 0, 1, 2, 3\), thus defining the maximum Landau level \(n = 1, 2\) and 3. Hence the unit cell is shown in units of \((x_1/L_1, x_2/L_2)\), such that the last level of Eq. \(57\), \(n'' = n\), is also filled, \(p'' = p\). The columns run from left to right and the num-
FIG. 4: The spatial electronic density \( \langle \Phi | \rho | \Phi \rangle \), obtained from Eq. (57), is shown in the unit cell \( x_1/L_1, x_2/L_2 \) for the case of completely filled Landau levels. The rows correspond to the sum over Landau levels, \( n' = 0, 1, \) and \( n' = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) from top to bottom, and are associated to the maximum Landau level \( n = 1, 2 \) and \( 3 \), respectively. The columns run from left to right the number of particles in the unit cell, \( p = 1, 2, 3 \) and 4, which is the same as the number of trapped flux. Then the plots are labeled by \((p, n)\) where \( p \) and \( n \) are integers and the total number of particles associated to each one is \( N(p, n) = (n+1)p \). Interestingly the density shows \( p^2 \) maxima (or minima) independent of \( n' \), the number of filled Landau levels. Hence ratio between the number of particles and the number of density maxima (minima) is given by \((n+1)/p\). The ratio of each of the plots displayed are given in Table II.

| \( n \) | \( p \) |
|-------|-------|
| 0     | 1     | 1/2   | 1/3   | 1/4   |
| 1     | 2     | 1     | 2/3   | 1/2   |
| 2     | 3     | 3/2   | 1     | 3/4   |
| 3     | 4     | 2     | 4/3   | 1     |

TABLE II: The \((n, p)\) above elements are associated to the Landau level \( n \), and the number of particles in each Landau level, \( p \). Indices run \( n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) and \( p = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), respectively. Notice that all levels are filled up to \( n \) which gives for the total number of particles, \( p(n+1) \). The number of density maxima (minima) observed in Figs. 2 and 4 is \( p^2 \). Therefore the ratio between the total number of particles and the observed maxima (minima) is fractional and given by \((n+1)/p\).
C. de Haas-van Alphen oscillations

In this section we show that the present formalism describes the well-known results of de Haas-van Alphen oscillations, which essentially describe how the energy and the magnetization change according to the magnetic field. There are $N$ spinless fermions distributed in $n' + 1$ Landau levels, the first $n'$ ones, $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., n' - 1$, are totally filled and the highest one, $n'$, can be partially filled with $p'$ electrons. Recall that in the last sections we have developed a set of orthonormal wave functions $\phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p)$, defined by Eqs. (23) and (24), where $p$ is the number of trapped magnetic fluxons in the unit cell. The periodicity of $\phi_{n,q}(x_1, x_2, p)$ has required that $p = H_3 L_1 L_2 / \Phi_0$, according to Eq. (17). The second quantization formalism shows that there are $p$ available states for any Landau level since $q = 0, ..., p - 1$ but not all of them needs to be filled. Therefore the number of fermions remains free to be determined. For this a new parameter $\lambda$ is introduced and is associated to the highest Landau level, $n'$, that is only partially filled.

$$p' = \lambda p, \text{ where } \lambda \in [0, 1)$$

(58)

We define a filling variable,

$$\nu \equiv \frac{N}{p}$$

(59)

that determines the number of occupied Landau levels according to the number of particles.

$$\nu = n' + \lambda.$$  

(60)

Notice that $\nu$ and $\lambda$ are continuous variables whereas $n'$ is a discrete variable. A new critical field $H_3'$ is defined associated to the density of particles, $N/A$,

$$H_3' \equiv \frac{N}{A} \Phi_0,$$  

(61)

where $A = L_1 L_2$ is the unit cell area. As the applied field $H_3$ is associated to $p$ according to Eq. (17), and Eq. (59) holds, one obtains that,

$$\nu = \frac{H_3'}{H_3}.$$  

(62)

Combining Eqs. (5), (18), (62) and (61), one obtains a new way to write the filling factor, $\nu$.

$$\nu = \frac{2\pi N \hbar^2}{Am} \times \frac{1}{h\omega_c}.$$  

(63)

The Landau levels are filled in multiples of $H_3'$ since $n' = \left[\frac{H_3'}{H_3}\right]$, and the incomplete filling $\lambda$ becomes,

$$\lambda = \frac{H_3'}{H_3} - \left[\frac{H_3'}{H_3}\right].$$  

(64)

The notation $[\alpha]$ means to take the largest equal or smaller integer contained in a number $\alpha$.

Further physical insight about the filling factor $\nu$ is achieved by introducing the magnetic length $l_0 = \sqrt{\hbar c / eH_3}$. This length describes the semi-classical orbit of the particle in presence of the magnetic field. It stems from the centripetal force, $mv^2/r = qeH_3/c$, where $v$ is the velocity and $r$ the radius of the circular orbit. Added to Bohr’s angular momentum condition, $mvr = n_0 \hbar$, where $n_0$ is an integer, it leads that the orbits are quantized, $r = \sqrt{\pi} n_0 l_0$. Two possible areas are associated to the particle, namely, the disk associated to the magnetic orbit, $\pi l_0^2$, and the area defined by the electronic density, $A/N$. The filling factor corresponds to their ratio, $\nu = 2\pi l_0^2 / (A/N)$. Previously we found that the filling factor is large, $\nu \gg 1$, in case that many Landau levels are filled, $n' \gg 1$. Therefore in this case there are many overlapping orbits and this semi-classical picture no longer holds and should be abandoned. This shows that the present lattice state is beyond the semi-classical view.

The total energy of the fermionic particles requires the summation over all levels and this is readily obtained from the Hamiltonian of Eq. (52) by computing the energy expectation value,

$$E_p = \langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle = (E_0 0 + E_1 p + \ldots + E_{n'-1} p) + E_{n'} p'$$

$$= p \sum_{n=0}^{n'-1} E_n + E_{n'} p',$$  

(65)

where $E_n = \hbar \omega_c (n + 1/2)$ is given by Eq. (6), and $E_{n'} = \hbar \omega_c (n' + 1/2)$. In summary, total energy $E_p$ takes the contribution of $n'$ fully filled Landau levels, $p \sum_{n=0}^{n'-1} E_n$, added to the highest level, $n'$, that may be partially filled, $E_{n'} p'$. Introducing Eq. (58) and the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{n'-1} (n + 1/2) = n'^2/2$, one obtains that,

$$E_p = \left[\frac{n'^2}{2} + \left(n' + \frac{1}{2}\right) \lambda\right] \hbar \omega_c p.$$  

(66)

The energy $E_p$ is shown to be explicitly periodic with respect to the filling factor $\nu$, or to its inverse $\nu^{-1}$, the latter being proportional to the applied field $H_3$. We combine the Eqs. (66) and (59) to obtain the energy per particle as a function of the applied field.

$$\epsilon(H_3) \equiv \frac{E_p}{N} = \left[\frac{n'^2}{2} + \left(n' + \frac{1}{2}\right) \lambda\right] \frac{\hbar \omega_c}{\nu}.$$  

(67)

Using Eq. (63) one obtains that,

$$\epsilon(H_3) = \epsilon(0) + \frac{\hbar \omega_c}{2\nu} \lambda(1 - \lambda),$$  

(68)

where $\epsilon(0)$ is the energy per particle in the absence of field,

$$\epsilon(0) = \frac{N \pi \hbar^2}{Am}.$$  

(69)
This is done by firstly casting the energy as $\epsilon(H_3) = (n^2 + 2n\lambda + \lambda) \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2\nu}$, and then, adding a term $\pm \lambda^2$ inside the parenthesis to obtain that $\epsilon(H_3) = ((n' + \lambda)^2 + \lambda - \lambda^2) \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2\nu}$. Next using that $\nu = n' + \lambda$, Eq. (60), one obtains that, 

$$\epsilon(H_3) = \frac{1}{2}\nu\hbar\omega_c + \frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2\nu} \lambda(1 - \lambda). \quad (70)$$

We briefly review the derivation of the average energy per particle without the applied field, given by Eq. (69). This can be achieved by direct arguments without the inclusion of the applied field. By integrating over the Fermi surface disk, one obtains that $E_T/A = k_F^2/4\pi$ and that the total energy per particle is $E_T/N = E_F/2$, $E_F = (h k_F)^2/2m$. Hence one finds the zero field energy per particle of Eq. (69), that is, $E_T/N = \epsilon(0)$. Hence the ratio between the energy in presence of a field, $\epsilon(H_3)$, and without a field, $\epsilon(0)$, becomes, 

$$\frac{\epsilon(H_3)}{\epsilon(0)} = 1 + \left(\frac{H_3}{H_3^*}\right)^2 \lambda(1 - \lambda). \quad (71)$$

The above expression is plotted in Fig. 5 and shows the oscillations with respect to the filling factor. Since $\nu^{-1} = H_3/H_3^*$ the left plot shows that the period of oscillations increases for increasing $H_3$. The amplitude of oscillations smoothly increases proportional to $H_3^2$ according to Eq. (71), while $\lambda$ is a periodic function of $1/H_3$. The same data is also plotted in the right plot, in this case with respect to $\nu$, and both plots show a periodicity. 

The magnetization $M$ is obtained from, 

$$M = -\frac{\partial \epsilon(H_3)}{\partial H_3}, \quad (72)$$

where $\epsilon(H_3)$ is given by Eq. (68), that once combined with Eqs. (5) and (64), gives that, 

$$\epsilon(H_3) = \mu_B \left\{ \frac{2\pi e c N}{A e} + H_3^2 \left( \frac{H_3'}{H_3} - \left[ \frac{H_3'}{H_3} \right] \right) \left( 1 - \frac{H_3'}{H_3} = \left[ \frac{H_3'}{H_3} \right] \right) \right\}, \quad (73)$$

where $\mu_B$ is Bohr’s magneton. 

$$\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2mc}. \quad (74)$$

$M/\mu_B$ is obtained by deriving Eq. (73) with respect to $H_3$, 

$$\frac{M}{\mu_B} = 1 - 2\lambda - \frac{2\lambda(1 - \lambda)}{\lambda + n'}. \quad (75)$$

Fig. 6 plots the magnetization versus $\nu = \lambda + n'$ as given by Eq. (75). Notice that although the energy is a continuous function of $H_3$, its derivative is discontinuous when the Landau level is totally filled. Consider the field $H_3$ near to a multiple of $H_3^*$. In this neighborhood there is a change in $\nu = \lambda + n'$ by going from $\lambda = 1^-$ (slightly below 1.0) to $\lambda = 0^+$ (slightly above 0). A discontinuity arises in the magnetization since $\frac{M(\lambda=1^-)}{\mu_B} \approx -1.0$ and
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\section*{D. The attractive magnetic interaction among particles confined to the lowest Landau level}

In this section we include interaction among particles confined to the lowest Landau level. The motion of the particles due to the external field make them generate currents which create a local magnetic field causing a mutual interaction among them. We prove here that this magnetic interaction is attractive and to treat it the field energy is incorporated into the hamiltonian, and added to the kinetic energy such that,

$$\mathcal{H} = K + F,$$

where the kinetic energy, $K$, is,

$$K = \int d^2x \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^{2} (D_j \Psi)^\dagger D_j \Psi,$$

and the field energy, $F$, is,

$$F = \int d^2x \frac{1}{8\pi} \left( \vec{h}(\Psi) - \vec{H} \right)^2.$$  

The local magnetic field is also a second quantized field, $\vec{h}(\Psi)$, obtained by solving Ampère’s law,

$$\nabla \times \vec{h}(\Psi) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{J}(\Psi), \quad \vec{J}(\Psi) = \frac{e}{2m} \left( \Psi^\dagger \vec{D} \Psi + \text{c.c.} \right),$$

by knowledge of the current. A special attention must be paid to the dimensionality of the fields, as the magnetic field and the the current are tied to each other through Ampère’s law, which assigns to $\Psi$ the dimensionality $|\Psi| = 1/\sqrt{V}$, where $V = AL_3$ is a volume, the product of the unit cell area in the plane, $A$, times a length along the direction of the applied field, $L_3$. This is consistent with the energy being an integral over three-dimensional space, but uniaxial symmetry along the direction of the external applied field renders the problem two-dimensional. All the fields only depend on $(x_1, x_2)$, including the local field is $\vec{h}(\Psi) = \vec{z}_3 h_3(\Psi)$, $h_3 = \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1$. For the applied field we choose, as before, that $A_3 = 0$ and $\vec{H} = \vec{z}_3 H_3$.

Remarkably Ampère’s law is solved exactly and the local magnetic field $h_3(\Psi)$ fully determined. To achieve this purpose we introduce a dual view of the kinetic energy that requires the operators,

$$D_{\pm} \equiv D_1 \pm i D_2.$$  

We use the following identity

$$(D_+ \Psi)^\dagger D_+ \Psi = \sum_{j=1}^{2} (D_j \Psi)^\dagger D_j \Psi + i \Psi^\dagger [D_1, D_2] \Psi$$

$$- \frac{\hbar m}{e} (\partial_1 J_2 - \partial_2 J_1),$$

where the current components $J_i$, $i = 1, 2$, are given by Eq. (82), and the commutation relation is given by,

$$[D_1, D_2] = - \frac{\epsilon e}{4\pi} h_3. $$

Combining Eqs. (80), (84), (85), and using that,

$$\frac{\hbar m}{e} (\partial_1 J_2 - \partial_2 J_1) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta^2(\Psi^\dagger \Psi),$$

one obtains that,

$$K = \int d^3x \left( \frac{|D_+ \Psi|^2}{2m} + \frac{\epsilon h}{2mc} h_3 \Psi^\dagger \Psi \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \int d^3x \Delta^2(\Psi^\dagger \Psi),$$

where the last term is a surface term. The dual formulation of the kinetic energy also leads to a dual formulation of the current, which is obtained by varying

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\columnwidth]{Fig6}
\caption{The magnetization, given by Eq. (75), as a function of $\nu = H_3/H_3$, where $H_3 = N/(A\Phi_0)$, according to Eq. (61). Notice the periodicity of the magnetization with respect to $1/H_3$.}
\end{figure}
the kinetic energy with respect to the vector potential, 
\[ \delta F_k = \cdots - \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \vec{J} \cdot \delta \vec{A}. \]
Therefore one obtains the components of the current in the plane.

\[
J_1 = \frac{e}{2m} \left[ \Psi^\dagger (D_x \Psi) + (D_x \Psi)^\dagger \Psi \right] - \frac{e \hbar}{2m} \partial_\delta \left( \Psi^\dagger \Psi \right). \tag{88}
\]

e
\[
J_2 = \frac{e}{2m} \left[ \Psi^\dagger (D_y \Psi) - (D_y \Psi)^\dagger \Psi \right] + \frac{e \hbar}{2m} \partial_\delta \left( \Psi^\dagger \Psi \right). \tag{89}
\]

The confinement to the lowest Landau level leads to a full solution of Ampère’s law. The axial symmetry gives that \( \partial_\delta h_3 = \frac{4\pi}{c} J_1 e \partial_\delta h_3 = -\frac{4\pi}{c} J_2 \). Then \( h_3 + \frac{2\pi \hbar}{mc} |\Psi|^2 \) is constant, and this constant is determined by the condition that the local field must be equal to the applied field, \( h_3 = H_3 \), in case that \( \Psi = 0 \).

We have found that there are first order equations that link \( \Psi \) to \( h_3 \), in the following way.

\[
D_+ \Psi = 0, \tag{90}
\]

and

\[
h_3(\Psi) = H_3 - 4\pi \mu_B \Psi^\dagger \Psi, \tag{91}
\]

where \( \mu_B \) is Bohr’s magneton, Eq. (74). The first order equations are expected to be a useful approximation to the solution in case that \( h_3 \ll H_3 \). For this reason they are only solved approximately in the following way.

Firstly Eq. (89) is solved for \( \Psi \) assuming that the vector potential is only due to \( H_3 \). Thus corrections to vector potential are not considered in this stage. Then the local magnetic field, \( h_3 \), is obtained from Eq. (90), which leads to corrections to \( H_3 \) in terms of \( \Psi \). The general solution for \( \Psi \), given by Eq. (10), is the \( n = 0 \) part of the general solution, since \( D_+ \Psi_{0,0} = 0 \).

\[
\Psi_{0,p}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} c_{0,q} \phi_{0,q}(x_1, x_2, p). \tag{92}
\]

where

\[
\phi_{0,q}(x_1, x_2, p) = \left( \frac{2\pi p}{L_1 L_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{L_1} (pl+q)x_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} f_{lq}}, \tag{93}
\]

with \( f_{lq} \) defined in (24) since \( D_+ \phi_{0,q} = 0 \). Once in power of \( \Psi \) the local field follows from (91) by computing the expectation value \( \langle \Phi | h_3(\Psi_{0,0}) | \Phi \rangle \) for a state |\( \Phi \rangle \) constrained to the first Landau level. Hence one obtains that,

\[
\langle \Phi | h_3(\Psi_{0,0}) | \Phi \rangle = H_3 - \mu_B \frac{4\pi}{L_3} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} |\phi_{0,q}(x_1, x_2, p)|^2. \tag{94}
\]

The first order equations unveil an attraction among particles confined to the lowest Landau level. To see this introduce Eq. (60) into the kinetic energy, given by Eq. (67). The Hamiltonian (79) becomes

\[
\mathcal{H} = \mu_B \int d^3x h_3(\Psi^\dagger \Psi + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d^3x \vec{\nabla}^2 (\Psi^\dagger \Psi) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int d^3x \left( \vec{h} - \vec{H} \right)^2, \tag{95}
\]

that once combined with \( \Psi^\dagger \Psi = -(1/4\mu_B)(h_3 - H_3) \) from Eq. (91) renders that,

\[
\mathcal{H} = \int d^3x \left( \frac{1}{8\pi} H_3^2 - \frac{1}{8\pi} h_3(\Psi^\dagger \Psi)^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \vec{\nabla}^2 (\Psi^\dagger \Psi) \right). \tag{96}
\]

Next we express \( \mathcal{H} \) by introducing Eq. (91) into (95),

\[
\mathcal{H} = 2\pi \mu_B^2 \int d^3x \left[ \frac{H_3 \Psi^\dagger \Psi}{2\pi \mu_B} - \left( \Psi^\dagger \Psi \right)^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \vec{\nabla}^2 (\Psi^\dagger \Psi) \right], \tag{97}
\]

where Bohr’s magneton is related to the electron’s classical radius by \( \hbar^2/4m = \mu_B^2/r_e \rightarrow r_e = e^2/mc^2 \). Eq. (95) shows that the magnetic field energy is negative, \( -h_3^2/8\pi \), and so, able to lower the energy by becoming more intense. In other words, it causes attraction among the particles. Notice that the total energy must remain positive, as initially assumed according to Eq. (79). For the periodic state the last term vanishes, \( \int d^3x \vec{\nabla}^2 (\Psi^\dagger \Psi) = 0 \), as previously shown. Since \( \mu_B H_3 = \hbar \omega_c/2 \), the Hamiltonian can also be expressed as,

\[
\mathcal{H} = \int d^3x \left[ \frac{H_3 \Psi^\dagger \Psi}{2} - 2\pi \mu_B^2 \left( \Psi^\dagger \Psi \right)^2 \right]. \tag{98}
\]

The second term is evidently attractive although, in comparison with the first, very small.

The length \( L_3 \) is truly a free parameter that in fact determines the local magnetic field \( h_3 \), as shown in Eq. (94). Thus it cannot be determined by the present approach. It should be regarded as a phenomenological parameter that gauges the difference \( h_3 - H_3 \). Recall that the three dimensional integration is turned into a two dimensional integration, by assuming \( \int d^3x/L_3 = \int d^2x \). Thus this length limits the validity of the first order equation approach, which is to have \( h_3 - H_3 \) very small. According to Eq. (91) this requires that \( H_3 \gg 4\pi \mu_B \Psi^\dagger \Psi \), or equally,

\[
L_3 >> \frac{2\pi \mu_B}{p} \tag{98}
\]

This is easily reachable for any reasonable \( L_3 \), considering that \( r_e = 2.8 \times 10^{-15} \) m. This relation is obtained by assuming that \( \Psi^\dagger \Psi \sim \sqrt{2\pi p}/(L_3 A) \), where the area \( A \) is associated to the flux quantization in the plane, \( H_3 A = \Phi_0 \). Bohr’s magneton, the magnetic flux and the classical radius are connected through \( \mu_B = \frac{\Phi_0}{4\pi r_e} \) and from it the above condition for \( L_3 \) is straightforwardly obtained. In summary the deviation \( H_3 - h_3 \) is freely adjusted and once this is done the parameter \( L_3 \) becomes known. A part from the choice of \( L_3 \) the theory can be treated two-dimensionally in the plane \((x_1, x_2)\)
The deviation of the local field to the applied field, $-\langle \Phi | h_3(\Psi_{0,p}) - H_3(\Phi) L_3/\mu B \rangle$, is shown here in a unit cell. The unit cell $(x_1/L_1, x_2/L_2)$ is displayed for the cases $p = 1$ (left, up), $p = 2$ (right, up), $p = 3$ (left, down) and $p = 4$ (right, down), respectively. The number of particles for the totally filled lowest Landau level, $n = 0$, is $N = p$. Thus there are 1 (top-left), 2 (top-right), 3 (bottom-left), and 4 (bottom-right) particles (fluxons), respectively. Remarkably, the ratio between the number of maxima (minima) of the local field, as seen in the above plots, and the number of particles is $1, 1/2, 1/3$ and $1/4$, respectively.

due to its uniaxial symmetry along the applied field.

The deviation of the local field to the applied field is shown in Fig. 7 and is given by $-\langle \Phi | h_3(\Psi_{0,p}) - H_3(\Phi) L_3/\mu B \rangle$. This deviation shows the existence of maxima and minima in the unit cell, $(x_1/L_1, x_2/L_2)$. The lowest Landau level is assumed totally filled and the figure shows the cases $p = 1$ (left, up), $p = 2$ (right, up), $p = 3$ (left, down) and $p = 4$ (right, down). Notice that while this figure shows the density, Fig. 7 displays the local field $h_3$, and they are connected to each other by Eq. (94). An egg-box pattern emerges with $p^2$ maxima (pink) and $p^2$ minima (black) in the magnetic field pattern. The ratio between the maxima (minima) of the local field and the number of particles is fractional, and given by $1/p$, as found in Table III

IV. CONCLUSION

The set of orthonormal bases developed here yield wave functions for the well-known Schrödinger equation problem of a particle in a magnetic field that features a periodic density probability. These wave functions display a fixed number of $p$ trapped magnetic flux per Landau level. The second quantization study reveals that the number of particles, $N$, and the number of fluxons trapped in the unit cell, $p$, are independent. For $N$ fermions distributed among $n + 1$ Landau energy levels we find the remarkable property that the density of particles presents $p^2$ spatial maxima (minima) in the unit cell for any $n$. In case the highest Landau level is completely filled, and so with $N = (n + 1)p$ particles, there are $(n + 1)/p$ particles per maxima (minima). We have shown that in case that particles fall in the lowest Landau level ($n = 0$), the magnetic field produced by the particles yields a residual attractive interaction among them. The present set of orthonormal functions retrieves the de Haas-van Alphen effect results, namely the total magnetization displays a periodicity with respect to the inverse of the applied field which is proportional to the area of the Fermi surface.
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