Abstract:

Background

Mechanisms for high altitude adaption have arisen widespread interest to evolution biologists. Several genome wide studies have been carried out for endemic vertebrates in Tibet, including mammals, birds and amphibians. However, little information was known about the adaptive evolution of highland fishes. Glyptosternon maculatum (G. maculatum, Regan, 1905), also known as Regan or barkley, is a fish endemic to the Tibetan plateau, which belongs to Sisoridae family, Siluriformes (catfishes) order. This species live within an elevation ranging from roughly 2800 m to 4200 m. Hence, a high-quality reference genome of G. maculatum provides an opportunity to address high altitude adaption mechanisms of fishes.

Findings

To get a high-quality reference genome of G. maculatum, we combined PacBio single-molecule real-time sequencing, Illumina paired-end sequencing, 10X Genomics linked-reads and BioNano optical map techniques. In total, 603.99 Gb sequencing data were generated. The assembled genome was about 662.34 Mb with scaffold and contig N50 sizes of 20.90 Mb and 993.67 kb, respectively, which captured 83% complete and 3.9% partial vertebrate Benchmarking Universal Single-copy orthologs (BUSCO). Repetitive elements account for 35.88% of the genome, and 22,066 protein-coding genes were predicted from the genome, of which 91.7% have been functionally annotated.

Conclusions

We provide the first comprehensive de novo genome of the G. maculatum. This genetic resource is fundamental for investigating the origin of the G.maculatum and will improve our understanding of high altitude adaption of fishes. The assembled genome can also be used as reference for future population genetic studies of G. maculatum.
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Abstracts

**Background:** Mechanisms for high altitude adaption have arisen widespread interest to evolution biologists. Several genome wide studies have been carried out for endemic vertebrates in Tibet, including mammals, birds and amphibians. However, little information was known about the adaptive evolution of highland fishes. *Glyptosternon maculatum* (*G. maculatum*, Regan, 1905), also known as Regan or barkley, is a fish endemic to the Tibetan plateau, which belongs to Sisoridae family, Siluriformes (catfishes) order. This species live within an elevation ranging from roughly 2800 m to 4200 m. Hence, a high-quality reference genome of *G. maculatum* provides an opportunity to address high altitude adaption mechanisms of fishes.

**Findings:** To get a high-quality reference genome of *G. maculatum*, we combined PacBio single-molecule real-time sequencing, Illumina paired-end sequencing, 10X Genomics linked-reads and BioNano optical map techniques. In total, 603.99 Gb sequencing data were generated. The assembled genome was about 662.34 Mb with scaffold and contig N50 sizes of 20.90 Mb and 993.67 kb, respectively, which captured 83% complete and 3.9% partial vertebrate Benchmarking Universal Single-copy orthologs (BUSCO). Repetitive elements account for 35.88% of the genome, and 22,066 protein-coding genes were predicted from the genome, of which 91.7% have been functionally annotated.

**Conclusions:** We provide the first comprehensive *de novo* genome of the *G. maculatum*. This genetic resource is fundamental for investigating the origin of the *G. maculatum* and will improve our understanding of high altitude adaption of fishes. The assembled genome can also be used as reference for future population genetic studies of *G. maculatum*.
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Data description

Background information on *G. maculatum*

The *G. maculatum* (Regan, 1905) (Fishbase ID: 24838, NCBI Taxon ID: 175778, [Figure 1a, 1b]), called barkley in Tibetan language, is a species in the *Glyptosternum* genus, Sisoridae family, Siluriformes order, Teleostei infraclass. The Sisoridaes are the largest family of catfishes (Siluriformes) in China, consisting of 44 species divided into two natural groups, Glyptosternoids and non-Glyptosternoids [1, 2]. There are 8 Sisorids distributed in Yarlung Tsangpo (Brahmaputra) river. Of them, *G. maculatum* is the only one species that distributed at the middle section. Specifically, it is distributed at Niyang tributary, Tangjia to Zhaxue segment of the Lhasa tributary and Xietongmen segment of Yarlung Tsangpo, which across an elevation ranging from roughly 2800 m to 4200 m [3].

The karyotype of *G. maculatum* is a debated topic. Ren and Cui [4] reported a result of $2n=48=28m+12sm+8st$, NF=88, based on specimens sampled at Quxur, and speculated it to be the most specialized karyotype among all sisoridaes. Conversely, Wu et al. [5] reported a karyotype of $2n=48=22m+12sm+10st+6t$, NF=80 sampled at Xigaze, while $2n=44$ and $2n=42$ results were also found. They compared it to other Sisorid karyotypes and concluded that the karyotype of *G. maculatum* was not the most specialized. The genome assembly of *G. maculatum* might provide a route to resolve these debates.

Glyptosternoid group fishes distributed broadly at the south and southeast drainages of Tibetan plateau, providing a good model to study the speciation process causing by the up-shift of Tibetan plateau. He et al.[1] conducted a cladistical analysis of Glyptosternoid group based on 60 bone features, and found Glyptosternoids formed a monophyletic group, of which the *Glyptosternum* were the most primitive clade. He et al.[6] further analyzed the phylogeny of Glyptosternoids using 19 species distributed in four genera by their bone features, in combination with biogeographical analysis, they postulated the rise of the Tibetan Plateau had a direct influence on the diversification of Glyptosternoids, with *Glyptosternum* (particularly *G. maculatum*) as the most primitive clade, which was consistent to the conclusion of Hora and Silas [2]. Peng et al.[7] sequenced mitochondrial cytochrome b (CYTB) from 13 Glyptosternoids, with results also supporting them to be a monophyletic group, of which *Glyptosternum* and *Exostoma* were relatively primitive clades. We thus chose *G. manulatum* to represent Glyptosternoid group fishes, and its whole genome sequence would provide a foundation to explore the adaptive evolution process of highland fishes, also supplied as a starting point to study speciation mechanisms caused by rapid rising of Tibetan plateau.

*G. maculatum* had a specialized liver, which could be divided to two parts, one placed outside the abdominal cavity, connected to another part that located inside the cavity [8].
Several studies had also reported similar ectopic livers exist in other Sisords, suggesting that this specialized organ might be the result of adaptive evolution [9]. The genesis of livers in *G. maculatum* occurs in three stages: the ectopic liver is not present from the beginning till the end of the larva’s exit from the egg envelope; a “bump” then develops, starting from day 17 till day 22; the ectopic liver appears starting from day 22 [9].

Zhang [9] pointed out the expressions of Cu-Zn SOD, Mn SOD, and CAT mRNA were all higher in the primary liver relative to the secondary liver, suggesting that the two livers have different physiological roles in *G. maculatum*. However, the molecular mechanism for the liver development and their physiological functions in adaptive evolution were not fully understand; therefore genome assembly of the species could lay a solid foundation for the following investigations.

**Sample collection and sequencing**

The female fish individual used for genome sequencing came from Angren, Xizang Province (**Figure 1c**). Total genomic DNA was extracted from muscular tissue and kept at Novogene Bioinformatics Institute.

A combination of four technologies was applied: PacBio’s single-molecule real-time sequencing, Illumina’s paired-end sequencing, 10X Genomics link-reads and BioNano optical maps. Two paired-end Illumina sequence libraries were constructed with an insert size of 250 bp, and sequencing was carried out on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform according to the manufacturer’s instructions, of which 147.16 Gb (191x coverage) sequencing data were produced. In addition, one 10X Genomics linked-read library was constructed and sequencing on Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform, which produced 157 Gb (203.5x coverage) sequencing data. Raw sequence data generated by Illumina platform were filtered by these criteria: (a) filtered reads with adapters; (b) filtered reads with N bases more than 10%; (c) filtered reads with low-quality bases (<=5) more than 50%.

Pacbio reads were sequenced by the Sequel platform, which gained 106.3 Gb (145.2x coverage) sequencing data. For the PacBio data, subreads were filtered with the default parameters. Finally, we obtained 106.32 Gb of long reads (polymerase reads) data. The average and the N50 length of long subreads reached 8.04 kb and 13.26 kb, respectively. An optical map was also constructed from Irys platform (BioNano Genomics), of which 191.3 Gb (248x coverage) data were generated. All these sequence data were summarized in **Table 1**.

**De novo assembly of *G. maculatum* genome**

The genome size was estimated based on the k-mer spectrum: $G = (K_{\text{total}} - K_{\text{error}})/D$, where $K_{\text{total}}$ is the total count of k-mers, $K_{\text{error}}$ is the total count of low-frequency (frequency \leq 3) k-mers that were probably caused by sequencing errors, $G$ is the genome size and $D$ is the k-mer depth. Using Jellyfish [10] (v2.1.3), 17-mers were counted as 54,676,846,244
from short clean reads. The total count of error k-mers was 1,980,028,579 and the k-mer depth was 69 (Figure. S1). Therefore, the genome size of *G. maculatum* was estimated to be approximately 763.7 Mb.

The contig assembly of the *G. maculatum* genome was carried out using the FALCON assembler [11], followed by two rounds of polishing with Quiver [12]. FALCON implements a hierarchical assembly process, which include these steps: 1) subread error correction through aligning all reads to each other using daligner [13], the overlap data were then processed to generate error-corrected consensus reads; After error correction, we got 28 Gb (35x coverage) of error-corrected reads; 2) second round of overlap detection using error-corrected reads; 3) construction of a directed string graph from overlap data; 4) resolving contig path from the string graph. After FALCON assembly, the genome was polished by Quiver. Initial assembly of the PacBio data alone resulted in a contig N50 (the minimum length of contigs accounting for half of the haploid genome size) of 697.4 Kb. Then PacBio contigs were first scaffolded using optical map data, and the resulting scaffolds were further connected to super-scaffolds by 10X Genomics linked-read data using the fragScaff software [14]. Finally, we used Illumina-derived short reads to correct any remaining errors by pilon [15]. These processes yielded a final draft *G. maculatum* genome assembly with a total length of 662.34 Mb, contig N50 of 993.67 kb, and scaffold N50 of 20.90 Mb (Table 2).

To evaluate the accuracy of the genome at single base level, we mapped short sequence reads generated by Illumina platform to the *G. maculatum* genome with BWA (RRID:SCR_010910) [16] and performed variant calling with SAMtools (RRID:SCR_002105) [17]. We obtained a total of 3,632 homozygous SNPs (Table S2), reflecting a low homozygous rate (0.0007%) and a high accuracy of genome assembly at the single base level.

To assess the completeness of the assembled *G. maculatum* genome, we performed BUSCO (RRID:SCR_015008) analysis [18] by searching against the vertebrate universal benchmarking single-copy orthologs (BUSCOs, version 3.0). Overall, 83% complete and 3.9% partial of the 970 vertebrate BUSCOs were identified in the assembled genome. We also assessed the completeness of *G. maculatum* genome by CEGMA (Core Eukaryotic Genes Mapping Approach, RRID:SCR_015055) [19]. According to CEGMA, 211 (85.08%) conserved genes were identified in the *G. maculatum* genome.

The muscle transcriptome *de novo* assembled by Trinity (RRID:SCR_013048) [20] were also mapped to the genome assembly using BLAT [21] with default parameters, showing that the alignment coverage of expressed sequences ranged from 75 to 99% in the genome assembly. To answer the question why some contig has a low coverage (85%) on genome sequence alignment. We first searched mRNA sequencing reads to NT database and found that the top 5 hits ware all from the closely related fish species, such
as *Ictalurus punctatus* and *Danio rerio* (SI Table 7). Therefore, the probability for external contamination was ruled out. We therefore attributed the low coverage of some Trinity contig to two fold reasons: 1) the potential chimeric transcript generated during the transcriptome assembly using Trinity, especially for genes with various alternative splices; 2) the fragments of genomic contig sequences was also one reason for the low coverage alignment of some assembled transcripts.

**Annotation of repetitive sequences in G. maculatum genome**

The repetitive sequences in *G. maculatum* genome were identified by a combination of homology searching and *ab initio* prediction. For homology-based prediction, we used RepeatMasker (RRID:SCR_012954) [22] and RepeatProteinMask to search against Repbase. For *ab initio* prediction, we used Tandem Repeats Finder (TRF) [23], LTR_FINDER (RRID:SCR_015247) [24], PILER [25] and RepeatScout (RRID:SCR_014653) [26] with default parameters. We found that 33.96% of the *G. maculatum* assembly was composed of repetitive elements (Table S3 and Figure S2).

Additionally, we predicted MITE elements through the genome using MITE-digger [27] with default parameters. As a result, we identified 2,962 MITEs accounting for 0.185% of the whole genome (Supplemental_file_MITE).

**Protein coding gene prediction and ncRNA prediction**

Gene prediction was conducted through a combination of homology-based prediction, *ab initio* prediction and transcriptome-based prediction methods. Protein repertoires of vertebrates including *Takifugu rubripes* (Tru, GCF_000180615.1), *Ctenopharyngodon idellus* (Cid) [28], *Cyprinus carpio* (Cca, GCF_000951615.1), *Danio rerio* (Dre, GCF_000002035.5), *Sinocyclocheilus graham* (Sga, GCF_001515645.1), channel catfish (Ipu, GCF_001660625.1), *Homo sapiens* (Hom, GCF_000001405.37) and *Mus musculus* (Mmu, GCF_000001635.26) were used as queries to search against *G. maculatum* genome using TBLASTN (RRID:SCR_011822) [29]. The BLAST hits were conjoined by Solar software [30]. GeneWise (RRID:SCR_015054) [31] was used to predict the exact gene structure of the corresponding genomic region on each BLAST hit. Homology predictions were denoted as "Homology-set" (Table S4). RNA-seq data derived from 10 tissues which obtained about 77.29 Gb clean data were assembled by Trinity [20]. The Trinity assembly included 572,416 contigs with an average length of 1,075 bp. These assembled sequences were aligned against the *G. maculatum* genome by PASA (Program to Assemble Spliced Alignment). Valid transcript alignments were clustered based on genome mapping location and assembled into gene structures. Gene models created by PASA [32] were denoted as PASA-T-set (PASA Trinity set). Besides, RNA-seq reads were directly mapped to the genome using Tophat (RRID:SCR_013035) [33] to identify putative exon regions and splice junctions; Cufflinks (RRID:SCR_014597) [34] was then used to assemble the mapped reads into gene models (Cufflinks-set). Augustus
follows: we retrieved nucleotide and protein sequences in these species with an E value cut off of 1e-7. The OrthoMCL (RRID:SCR_008417) [35], GenelID [36], GeneScan [37], GlimmerHMM (RRID:SCR_002654) [38], and SNAP [39] were also used to predict coding regions in the repeat-masked genome. Of these, Augustus, SNAP and GlimmerHMM were trained by PASA-H-set gene models. Gene models generated from all the methods were integrated by EvidenceModeler (EVM) [40]. Weights for each type of evidence were set as follows: PASA-T-set > Homology-set > Cufflinks set > Augustus > GenelID = SNAP = GlimmerHMM = GeneScan. The gene models were further updated by PASA2 to generate UTRs, alternative splicing variation information. In total, we have identified 22,066 protein coding genes with a mean of 8.5 exons per gene (Table 3). The lengths of genes, coding sequence (CDS), introns, and exons in G. maculatum were comparable to those of close-related genomes (Table S4 and Figure S3). In addition, we predicted non-coding RNA genes in the G. maculatum genome. The rRNA fragments were predicted by searching against Human rRNA database using BLAST with an E-value of 1E-10. The tRNA genes were identified by tRNAscan-SE (RRID:SCR_010835) software [41]. The miRNA and snRNA genes were predicted by INFERNAL (RRID:SCR_011809) [42] using Rfam database [43]. We found a total of 3,117 ribosomal RNA (rRNA), 3,512 transfer RNA (tRNA), 1,235 microRNAs (miRNA), and 781 snRNA genes in the G. maculatum genome (Table S5).

Functional annotation of protein-coding genes

Gene function of predict protein-coding gene were annotated by searching functional motifs, domains, and possible biological process of genes to known databases such as SwissProt [44], Pfam [45], NR database (from NCBI), GeneOntology (GO) [46], and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [47]. In total, 20,234 protein-coding genes (91.7%) were successfully annotated for at least one function terms (Table S6, Figure S4).

Phylogenetic analysis and species divergence time estimation

To investigate the phylogenetic position of G. maculatum, we retrieved nucleotide and protein data for Cyprinus carpio (GCF_000951615.1), Sinocyclocheilus rhinoceros (GCF_001515625.1), Sinocyclocheilus anshuiensis (GCF_001515605.1), Astyanax mexicanus (GCF_000372685.2), Pygocentrus nattereri (GCF_001682695.1), Sinocyclocheilus grahami (GCF_001515645.1), Ictalurus punctatus (GCF_001660625.1), Danio rerio (GCF_000002356.1), Amazon molly (GCF_000485575.1), Oreochromis niloticus (GCF_001858045.1), Takifugu rubripes (GCF_000180615.1) and Ctenopharyngodon idellus [28] from public databases. To remove redundancy caused by alternative splicing variations, we retained only gene models at each gene locus that encoded the longest protein sequence. To exclude putative fragmented genes, genes encoding protein sequences shorter than 50 amino acids were filtered out. All-against-all BLASTP (RRID:SCR_001010) [29] was employed to identity the similarities among filtered protein sequences in these species with an E-value cut off of 1e-7. The OrthoMCL
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method was used to cluster genes from these different species into gene families with the parameter of “-inflation 1.5”.

A total of 26,588 gene family clusters were constructed. There were 101 gene families and 228 genes in *G. maculatum* without significant homologous hits to other teleosts. We further searched the 228 genes to NCBI NR database by BLASTP (RRID:SCR_001010) and found that 142 genes hit to database with e-value of 1e-5, and 86 genes still failed to hit any protein sequences in the database. The function of those genes lacking significant homology is an interesting topic in the following studies.

Protein sequences from 247 single copy gene families were used for phylogenetic tree reconstruction. MUSCLE (RRID:SCR_011812) [49] was used to generate multiple sequence alignment for protein sequences in each single-copy family with default parameters. Then, the alignments of each family were concatenated to a super alignment matrix. The super alignment matrix was used for phylogenetic tree reconstruction through Maximum likelihood (ML) methods. Divergence time between species was estimated using MCMCtree in PAML [50] with the options ‘correlated molecular clock’ and ‘JC69’ model. A Markov Chain Monte Carlo analysis was run for 20,000 generations, using a burn-in of 1000 iterations. Divergence time for the common ancestor of *C. idellus*, *S. rhinocerous* and *P. nattereri* obtained from the TimeTree database (http://www.timetree.org/) was used as the calibrate point. These phylogenetic analyses indicated that *G. maculatum* diverged from the common ancestral of *I. punctatus* at approximately 48.3 million years ago (Figure 2).

**Conclusion**

We have constructed a *de novo* assembly of the *G. maculatum* genome and describe its genetic attributes. To our knowledge, this is the first *de novo* genome for Glyptosternoids group fishes. The *G. maculatum* genome will support investigations concerning the origin and evolutionary history of Glyptosternoid. This resource was also important for the future conservation of this endangered plateau species. In addition, the *G. maculatum* genome laid a solid foundation to investigate molecular mechanism of high altitude adaption of fishes and the speciation process during the rising of Tibetan plateau.
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Table 1. Sequencing data used for the *G. maculatum* genome assembly. The coverage was calculated using an estimated genome size of 771.19 Mb.

| Pair-end libraries | Insert size (bp) | Raw data (Gb) | Clean data (Gb) | Read length (bp) | Sequence coverage (X) |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Illumina reads     | 250 bp          | 148.16        | 147.16          | 150             | 191                  |
| Pacbio reads       | 20 Kb           | 106.32        | 106.05          | 11,745          | 145.2                |
| 10X Genomics       | 500 bp          | 157.21        | 157.02          | 150             | 203.5                |
| BioNano            | --              | 192.30        | 191.30          | --              | 248                  |
| Total              | --              | 603.99        | 601.53          | --              | 787.7                |

Table 2. Assembly statistics of *G. maculatum*

| Sample ID | Contig** (bp) | Scaffold (bp) | Contig** | Scaffold |
|-----------|---------------|---------------|----------|----------|
| Total     | 637,133,884   | 662,339,741   | 3,281    | 531      |
| Max       | 5,772,991     | 47,179,384    | -        | -        |
| Number>=2000 | -           | -             | 3,161    | 531      |
| N50       | 993,673       | 20,902,354    | 161      | 11       |
| N60       | 668,112       | 17,328,106    | 239      | 14       |
| N70       | 418,057       | 12,288,896    | 359      | 19       |
| N80       | 211,596       | 6,320,921     | 575      | 27       |
| N90       | 77,392        | 1,017,220     | 1,067    | 50       |

** Contig after scaffolding
| Gene set     | Number | Average transcript length (bp) | Average CDS length (bp) | Average exons per gene | Average exon length (bp) | Average intron length (bp) |
|--------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Augustus     | 14,910 | 9,534                         | 1,241                   | 6.93                   | 179                      | 1,399                       |
| GlimmerHMM   | 73,320 | 7,896                         | 574                     | 3.87                   | 148                      | 2,551                       |
| De novo      |        |                               |                         |                        |                          |                             |
| SNAP         | 43,247 | 15,950                        | 847                     | 6.04                   | 140                      | 2,996                       |
| Geneid       | 23,523 | 16,924                        | 1,323                   | 6.29                   | 210                      | 2,948                       |
| Genscan      | 24,037 | 19,024                        | 1,514                   | 8.14                   | 186                      | 2,451                       |
| Sga          | 32,364 | 6,413                         | 1,142                   | 5.12                   | 223                      | 1,279                       |
| Cca          | 27,208 | 6,326                         | 1,252                   | 5.36                   | 234                      | 1,165                       |
| Cid          | 30,336 | 5,615                         | 1,048                   | 4.87                   | 215                      | 1,181                       |
| Dre          | 19,458 | 9,935                         | 1,507                   | 7.58                   | 199                      | 1,280                       |
| Homollog     |        |                               |                         |                        |                          |                             |
| Hom          | 16,090 | 10,844                        | 1,432                   | 7.83                   | 183                      | 1,379                       |
| Tru          | 23,120 | 8,191                         | 1,225                   | 6.12                   | 200                      | 1,362                       |
| Mmu          | 16,164 | 10,803                        | 1,417                   | 7.74                   | 183                      | 1,392                       |
| Ipu          | 37,610 | 6,704                         | 1,155                   | 5.22                   | 221                      | 1,315                       |
| RNaseq       |        |                               |                         |                        |                          |                             |
| PASA         | 97,309 | 9,419                         | 1,201                   | 7.09                   | 169                      | 1,348                       |
| Cufflinks    | 92,180 | 19,478                        | 4,707                   | 10.13                  | 465                      | 1,618                       |
| EVM          | 25,365 | 11,517                        | 1,323                   | 7.66                   | 173                      | 1,531                       |
| PASA-update* | 38,086 | 13,009                        | 1,521                   | 8.79                   | 173                      | 1,475                       |
| Final set*   | 22,066 | 12,913                        | 1,458                   | 8.48                   | 172                      | 1,531                       |
Figure 1. A picture showing about *G. maculatum*. (a) The appearance of *G. maculatum*. (b) Distributed localization (red triangle) of *G. maculatum* for sequencing. (c) The liver of *G. maculatum* was divided to two parts, one placed outside the abdominal cavity (attaching liver), connected to another part that located inside the cavity (mail liver) (Figure schematic drawings (ventral view) of *G. maculatum* imaged from Zhang[9]).
Figure 2. Divergence time estimated between G. maculatum and other species
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