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ABSTRACT

We investigate the origins and implications of the duality between topological insulators and topological superconductors in three and four spacetime dimensions. In the latter, the duality transformation can be made at the level of the path integral in the standard way, while in three dimensions, it takes the form of “self-duality in odd dimensions”. In this sense, it is closely related to the particle-vortex duality of planar systems. In particular, we use this to elaborate on Son’s conjecture that a three dimensional Dirac fermion that can be thought of as the surface mode of a four dimensional topological insulator is dual to a composite fermion.
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1 Introduction

The study of the quantum aspects of matter that has fascinated physicists for the better part of a century has taken on new impetus with the discovery of so-called topological quantum matter, whose properties are not captured within the Landau symmetry breaking paradigm. Chief among these properties is the emergence of a new kind of topological order that encodes patterns of quantum entanglement. Thought of in these broad terms then, topological quantum matter can be classified into two categories. Topologically ordered states encode long-range quantum entanglement and contain non-trivial boundary states [1]. These two facets alone have earned topologically ordered states a coveted position at the forefront of the quest to build a robust, fault tolerant quantum computer [2].

Topological insulators, in contrast, encode only short-range entanglement. Like topologically ordered matter, they are also characterised by non-trivial, gapless boundary states. Remarkably however, in this case, these conducting surface states are protected by various rotational and time-reversal symmetries, putting topological insulators into the new category of symmetry protected topological (SPT) quantum matter [3], and squarely in the crosshairs of this note. In the seminal work [4], an effective action for a 3+1 dimensional (time reversal invariant) topological insulator was proposed, based on dimensionally reducing an auxiliary 4+1 dimensional topological insulator. The action takes the form of a theta term which, when supplemented with a standard Maxwell kinetic term for the gauge field, reads

$$S^{(3+1)}_{TI} = \int d^{3+1}x \left( -\frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\theta(\vec{x}, t)}{32\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \right), \tag{1.1}$$

where, as usual, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the field strength of the $U(1)$ electromagnetic field $A_\mu$.

On the other hand, a topological superconductor is a superconductor with fully gapped quasi-particle excitations in the bulk - the Cooper pairs responsible for superconductivity - but has topologically protected, gapless quasi-particle states on the boundary. The latter are, of course, responsible for the surface conduction of the superconductor. In a similar way to the topological insulator, it was recently argued in [5] that the 3+1 dimensional topological superconductor has the effective action which, in the simplest case of two Fermi surfaces and first Chern number one, reads (see also the later development in [6])

$$S^{(3+1)}_{TSC} = \int d^{3+1}x \left[ \frac{\theta_L - \theta_R}{64\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_L (\partial_\mu \theta_L - 2A_\mu)^2 \right. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \rho_R (\partial_\mu \theta_R - 2A_\mu)^2 + J \cos(\theta_L - \theta_R) \right]. \tag{1.2}$$

Here $\theta_{L,R}$ are functions on two Fermi surfaces (left and right, from the way they were obtained by a dimensional reduction of an 4+1 dimensional interval) associated with the phase of the Cooper pairs; $\rho_{L,R}$ refers to the density of Cooper pairs on the two surfaces ($\rho \sim |\Phi|^2$); and the cosine term describing a possible Josephson coupling.
One spatial dimension down, in 2+1 dimensions, it was well known that the topological response of a class of topological insulator is described by a Chern-Simons type action

\[ S_{TI}^{(2+1)} = \frac{e^2}{\hbar} C_1 \int d^{2+1}x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho, \]  

with \( C_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk_x dk_y f_{xy}(\vec{k}) \in \mathbb{Z} \), being the first Chern number of the Berry connection \( a_i \) of the insulator bands. As in the higher dimensional case, this action may be supplemented with the usual Maxwell term for the gauge field dynamics.

The focus of this article is the origins of the duality relation between topological insulators and the topological superconductors. In three spacetime dimensions, we will show that this relationship arises as a consequence of the duality between particle and vortex-like excitations peculiar to the plane [7]. This particle-vortex duality has a long history that goes back to the early work on superconductivity of [8] and later in the study of anyon superconductivity and the fractional quantum Hall effect in [9] (see also the early work in [10,11]). While the duality can be defined at the level of the path integral [12] and even embedded into the gauge/gravity correspondence [13] (see also [14,15] for another take on a path integral formulation), the formulation that will be most useful for our purposes is the transformation that takes “self-duality in odd dimensions” [16] to a topologically massive theory [17]. This will furnish the necessary tools we need to understand Son’s conjectured equivalence between a massless Dirac fermion understood as the boundary mode of a topological insulator, and the composite fermion of an effective low-energy theory for the half-filled Landau level of a Fermi liquid [18] (A related duality is the proposed ”3 dimensional bosonization duality” proposed at the level of supersymmetric theories in [19] and originally proposed in [20,21]). It was argued already in [22] that this conjecture should be derivable from a (fermionic) particle-vortex duality, but the arguments given there were rather implicit. Here we revisit this issue and show that it can be derived from the particle-vortex duality as formulated in [12].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we make explicit the duality between four dimensional topological insulator and topological superconductor, elaborating on some of its physical consequences. Section 3 is devoted to understanding the duality in 2+1 dimensions. In particular we show how to relate it to odd dimensional self-duality and particle-vortex duality. In section 4 we show that Son’s conjecture can be understood as a consequence of this particle-vortex duality and conclude in section 5.

2 Four dimensional topological superconductor - topological insulator duality

To summarise our introductory comments, the actions for a topological insulator and a topological superconductor are given by (1.1) and (1.2) respectively. Let’s start by thinking about the topological superconductor. Taking the phases, \( \theta_L = \text{const.} \) and \( \theta_R = \text{const.} \) and
defining \( m^2 \equiv \rho_L + \rho_R \) and \( 2\tilde{\theta} \equiv \theta_L - \theta_R \) puts the action (1.2) into the form

\[
S^{(3+1)}_{\text{TSC}} = \int d^{3+1}x \left( -\frac{1}{4e^2} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{32\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{m^2}{2} \tilde{A}_{\mu}^2 \right). \tag{2.1}
\]

The tildes on \( F \) and \( A \) are added to emphasize that there is a transformation that relates them to the quantities in (1.1). Indeed, at sufficiently large energies where we can ignore the mass term for the photon \( \tilde{A}_{\mu} \), this relation is nothing but the usual Maxwell duality,

\[
F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma}, \tag{2.2}
\]

supplemented by the choice \( \tilde{\theta} = \theta \). The duality can be extended to the level of the path integral by writing a first order master action,

\[
S^{(3+1)}_{\text{master}} = \int d^{3+1}x \left[ \frac{1}{4e^2} (2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\rho} A_{\sigma} - F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) + \frac{\theta}{8\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} \partial_{\rho} A_{\sigma} \right]. \tag{2.3}
\]

If we vary it with respect to \( A_{\mu} \), we obtain the constraint \( \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_{\rho} F_{\nu\sigma} = 0 \), which can be solved by

\[
F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \tilde{A}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu}, \tag{2.4}
\]

where in the last equality we have denoted the \( \tilde{A}_{\mu} \) field by the same name as the field we varied. The \( \theta \)-term is topological and so does not contribute to the equation of motion. Nevertheless we can, and should in fact, keep retain it in the action as it depends on the solution for \( A_{\mu} \). Finally, we obtain

\[
S^{(3+1)}_{\text{TSC}} = \int d^{3+1}x \left( -\frac{1}{4e^2} (\partial_{\mu} \tilde{A}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu})^2 + \frac{\theta}{8\pi^2} \partial_{\mu} \tilde{A}_{\nu} \partial_{\rho} \tilde{A}_{\sigma} \right), \tag{2.5}
\]

which is nothing but (2.1) if we ignore the mass term. On the other hand, varying the master action with respect to \( F_{\mu\nu} \), obtains the equation of motion

\[
F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (2\partial^{\rho} A^{\sigma}), \tag{2.6}
\]

which, after substitution back into the action, gives

\[
S = \int d^{3+1}x \left( -\frac{1}{4e^2} (\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu})^2 + \frac{\theta}{8\pi^2} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} \partial_{\rho} A_{\sigma} \right) = S^{(3+1)}_{\text{TI}}, \tag{2.7}
\]

which is, of course, the action (1.1) for the topological insulator. Explicitly, the relation between the two fields is the usual Maxwell duality

\[
F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \tilde{A}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (2\partial^{\rho} A^{\sigma}). \tag{2.8}
\]

This confirms our statement that in 3+1 dimensions, topological insulators and topological superconductors are related through Maxwell electric-magnetic duality.
Three dimensional topological superconductor - topological insulator duality

Let's now drop down one spatial dimension and consider the 2+1 dimensional case. Here, if we rename the coefficient to $m/2$ and add a conventional Maxwell term, the action for the topological insulator (1.3) reads

$$S_{(2+1)\,\text{TI}} = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ -\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{m}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho \right].$$ (3.1)

This action is usually described as a “topologically massive Maxwell theory”. Defining

$$F^\mu \equiv \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho,$$ (3.2)

it can be put into the form

$$S'_{(2+1)\,\text{TI}} = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ \frac{1}{2} F^\mu F^\mu - \frac{m}{2} F^\mu A_\mu \right].$$ (3.3)

On the other hand, for a topological superconductor, we would obtain a Chern-Simons term, which can be thought of as the dimensional reduction of the $\theta$-term as well as a mass term for the photon, in the same way as we saw in 3+1 dimensions. Consequently,

$$S_{(2+1)\,\text{TSC}} = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ \frac{m}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \tilde{A}_\mu \partial_\nu \tilde{A}_\rho - \frac{m^2}{2} \tilde{A}_\mu \tilde{A}^\mu \right].$$ (3.4)

This action was cited in [16] as manifesting so-called “self-duality in odd dimensions”. Strictly speaking, we should also have added a Maxwell term. However, at sufficiently low energies\(^1\) where $m \gg 1$, that term will be subdominant with respect to the two terms above.

Again, we can write a master action for the duality between the actions $S_{(2+1)\,\text{TI}}$ and $S_{(2+1)\,\text{TSC}}$. This was actually already observed in [16,17], to which we refer the reader for more of the technical details. Here, we will content ourselves to note that in the present context, the duality maps us between a topological insulator and a topological superconductor in three spacetime dimensions. The master action is

$$S_{\text{master}}^{(2+1)} = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ -\frac{1}{2} f_\mu f^\mu + f_\mu F^\mu - \frac{m}{2} F^\mu A_\mu \right],$$ (3.5)

where as before $F_\mu$ is defined in (3.2). Eliminating $f_\mu$ through its equation of motion we arrive at the action $S'_{(2+1)\,\text{TI}}$ for the topological insulator. If instead we eliminate $A_\mu$ though its equation of motion, $A_\mu = \frac{f_\mu}{m} \equiv \tilde{A}_\mu$, which we have renamed to $\tilde{A}_\mu$ in order to avoid confusion with the $A_\mu$ from $S'_{(2+1)\,\text{TI}}$, we get

$$S_{(2+1)\,\text{TSC}} = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ -\frac{1}{2} f^\mu f_\mu + \frac{1}{2m} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} f_\mu \partial_\nu f_\rho \right].$$

\(^1\)This should be contrasted with the high energy limit of the previous section, where we neglected the mass term.
which is the action $S_{\text{TSC}}^{(2+1)}$ for the topological superconductor! In this case, the duality relation is given by

$$f_\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho = m \tilde{A}_\mu,$$

and we notice that it can be put in the form of a particle-vortex duality relation if $\tilde{A}_\mu$ is locally a “pure gauge”, i.e. if $\tilde{A}_\mu = \partial_\mu \phi$, with $\phi$ is the scalar Poincaré dual to $A_\mu$ in 2+1 dimensions. In terms of $\phi$ however, the topological superconductor action becomes the trivial one for a free scalar,

$$S_{\text{TSC}}^{(2+1)} = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 \right].$$

We also note that the duality relation can be obtained from the usual Maxwell duality in 3+1 dimensions (2.8), if we set $A_4 = 0$ and dimensionally reduce by setting

$$\tilde{A}_\sigma (\vec{x}, x_4) = e^{mx_4} \tilde{a}_\sigma (\vec{x}); \quad A_\mu (\vec{x}, x_4) = e^{mx_4} a_\mu (\vec{x}).$$

Consequently,

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\mu a_\nu = \partial_4 \tilde{A}_\sigma \Rightarrow \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\mu a_\nu = ma_\sigma.$$  

4 Son’s conjecture from particle-vortex duality

Now we come to the crux of our note. In a remarkably insightful work [18], Son proposed a low-energy effective theory for the composite fermion describing the half-filled Landau level in a 2+1 dimensional Fermi liquid, i.e., the fractional quantum Hall effect at $\nu = 1/2$ on the Jain sequence. He also went further to suggest that this effective theory is equivalent to a 2+1 dimensional Dirac fermion theory. The Dirac fermion lives on a brane in a 3+1 dimensional bulk, and interacts electromagnetically through the bulk, via an action

$$S = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ i \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu - i A_\mu) \psi \right] - \frac{1}{4e^2} \int d^{3+1}x F_{\mu\nu}^2. \tag{4.1}$$

This is a fermion zero mode on a domain wall, representing the surface mode of a 3+1 dimensional topological insulator. We want to understand the ground state and low energy excitations of the system in finite magnetic field $B = F_{xy}$, and specifically for the half-filled Landau level.

Son’s proposed low-energy effective theory, conjectured to be dual to the above Dirac fermion theory, is given by the action

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^{2+1}x \left( \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \left( \partial_\mu - 2i a_\mu \right) \psi + \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu a_\rho \right) - \frac{1}{4e^2} \int d^{3+1}x F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \ldots, \tag{4.2}$$
where now $\psi$ is a Dirac quasiparticle of the composite fermion type, $a_\mu$ is an emergent gauge field and $A_\mu$ is an external electromagnetic field with field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. Note that $\psi$ is electrically neutral and carries charge only with respect to the emergent gauge field $a_\mu$. This is the same as in the case of the standard Halperin-Lee-Read (HLR) Chern-Simons-fermion theory for a composite fermion on the $\nu = 1/2$ state, where the composite fermion is also electrically neutral. In fact, Son demonstrated a simple relation to the HLR theory.

However, Seiberg and Witten argued in subsection 6.3 of [23] that the coupling of $\psi$ to $2a_\mu$ is not correct, since issues of Dirac quantization mean that it doesn’t give the right anomaly. This should be replaced, they argued, by $2a_\mu + A_\mu$, generating an electromagnetic Chern-Simons term

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho. \quad (4.3)$$

Note that in perturbation theory $2a_\mu = 2a'_\mu + A_\mu$ is just a redefinition, but in the full theory it is not allowed. Putting this together, the low energy effective action for the composite fermion proposed by Seiberg and Witten is

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^{2+1}x \left( i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + 2ia_\mu + iA_\mu) \psi - \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu a_\rho - \frac{1}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho \right) - \frac{1}{4e^2} \int d^{3+1}x F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \ldots, \quad (4.4)$$

and it is this theory that should be dual to the Dirac fermion action (4.1). One of the physical consequences of Son’s conjecture is a relation between the electron conductivity $\sigma$ and the conductivity $\tilde{\sigma}$ of the composite fermion which in the relativistic case reads,

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_{xy}^2},$$

$$\sigma_{xy} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{xy}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_{xy}^2}. \quad (4.5)$$

These can be combined into a single relation by writing $\sigma = \sigma_{xy} + i\sigma_{xx}$, so that

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{4(\tilde{\sigma}_{xy} + i\tilde{\sigma}_{xx})} = -\frac{1}{4\tilde{\sigma}}, \quad (4.6)$$

or, more symmetrically, $2\sigma = -\frac{1}{2\tilde{\sigma}}$.

We would like to argue that this relation is a consequence of particle-vortex duality. Our intuition for this stems from [12] where, indeed, particle-vortex duality (expressed as a relation on path integrals) was shown to give rise to a relation between the conductivity, $\sigma$, due to particles and the one due to vortices, $\tilde{\sigma}$, of the form (and for general anyonic particles)

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\frac{\pi}{\theta} - \sigma}{1 - \left( \frac{\theta}{\sigma} + \frac{\theta}{\tilde{\sigma}} \right) \sigma}. \quad (4.7)$$

Specifically, for bosons, $\theta = 0$, and we find that

$$\tilde{\sigma} = -\frac{1}{\sigma}. \quad (4.8)$$
Clearly, if we replace $\sigma \rightarrow 2\sigma$ and $\tilde{\sigma} \rightarrow 2\tilde{\sigma}$, we get the relation obtained in [18] for fermions. In fact, the factors of two are perfectly sensible since, we need to keep in mind that the particles and vortices are bosons, specifically composite bosonic scalars made up of two fermions. In the case of the (topological) superconductor, we have Cooper pairs made up of two fermions, and we can expect a similar situation to hold on the (topological) insulator side. Therefore the conductivity of the Cooper pairs should be twice that of the fermions, leading to the relation (4.6).

If the particle and vortex scalars are made up of two fermions, and the fermionic actions (interacting with electromagnetism) are (4.1) and (4.4), the corresponding boundary scalar actions for the composite scalars, must be

$$S_{\text{TI}} = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu - iqa_\mu \right) \Phi|^2 - V(\Phi|^2) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + ... \right],$$

where $q = 2$, for the composite scalar of two Dirac fermions, and for the low energy effective (boundary) action of the composite (and electromagnetically neutral) scalar of two composite fermions,

$$S_{\text{TSC}} = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu + 2ia_\mu \right) \bar{\Phi}|^2 - V(|\bar{\Phi}|^2) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} a_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + ... \right].$$

The relation between these two actions is exactly the particle-vortex duality described in, for example, [7, 9]. We review it here for completeness. Writing $\Phi = |\phi| e^{i\theta}$, and assuming that $|\phi|$ is fixed at a value $v$ that minimizes the potential $V$, we get the first order action,

$$S_{p} = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ \frac{1}{2v^2} \xi_\mu^2 - \xi_\mu (\partial_\mu \theta - qA_\mu) \right],$$

in terms of an auxiliary field $\xi_\mu$. To implement the particle-vortex duality, we split the phase of the complex scalar, $\theta$, into a “smooth” part and a part which encodes the $2\pi$ monodromy obtained by encircling the vortex,

$$\theta = \theta_{\text{smooth}} + \theta_{\text{vortex}}.$$  

Then, integrating out $\theta_{\text{smooth}}$, we obtain the constraint $\partial_\mu \xi_\mu = 0$. This is solved by writing $\xi_\mu$ as the curl of a vector field

$$\xi_\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu a_\rho.$$ 

On substituting into the action, we obtain

$$S_{p} = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ -\frac{1}{4v^2} f_{\mu\nu}^2 + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu a_\rho (\partial_\mu \theta_{\text{vortex}} - qA_\mu) \right]$$

$$= \int d^{2+1}x \left[ -\frac{1}{4v^2} f_{\mu\nu}^2 + 2\pi a_\mu j_{\text{vortex}}^\mu - A_\mu J_\mu \right],$$

where the vortex current is

$$j_{\text{vortex}}^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu \partial_\rho \theta_{\text{vortex}}.$$
and the current is \( J^\mu = q \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu a_\rho \). To complete the description, which is now in terms of vortices, coupled to the new gauge field \( a_\mu \), as evidenced by the presence of the vortex current in the action, one needs to introduce another (vortex) scalar field \( \tilde{\Phi} \) that couples directly to \( a_\mu \). Finally then, the description in the particle-vortex dual theory is via the action

\[
S_V = \int d^{2+1}x \left[ -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} f_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2} |(\partial_\mu - i2\pi a_\mu)\tilde{\Phi}|^2 - V(|\tilde{\Phi}|) - A_\mu (q \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu a_\rho) \right],
\]

(4.16)

which is nothing but the action (4.10) after a rescaling of \( a_\mu \) by \( 2\pi \). This establishes our claim then, that (4.9) and (4.10) are particle-vortex dual.

5 Conclusions

By now it is well-established that duality, the fact that two a priori different theories can encode the same physics, furnishes a powerful tool to understand both sides of the correspondence. While this statement is more or less obvious in holographic systems, it is also true in more the prosaic low-energy, condensed matter context. As an example, in this note we have analyzed the duality between topological insulators and topological superconductors in three and four spacetime dimensions, its origins in particle-vortex duality and some of its physical implications. We have seen that in four dimensions, the actions for topological insulators and superconductors can be related, via a path integral transformation that realizes Maxwell electric-magnetic duality. In three dimensions, the same duality between topological insulators and superconductors is obtained from a transformation of topologically massive Maxwell theory with self-duality in odd dimensions. This, in turn, we showed could be understood in terms of particle-vortex duality.

Son’s conjecture of an equivalence of the 2+1 dimensional massless Dirac fermion, which corresponds to the boundary state of a topological insulator, to a low energy effective theory for the \( \nu = 1/2 \) Landau level in terms of a composite fermion was shown also to be the result of a particle-vortex duality. The physical consequence in terms of conductivities of the dual theories was shown to be equivalent to the relation obtained in [12] from particle-vortex duality. Finally, we showed also that the bosonic actions for the composite scalars made up of the fermions were are particle-vortex dual pairs.

Duality, specifically low-dimensional dualities like electric-magnetic and particle-vortex dualities in four and three dimensions respectively, furnish a powerful tool to understand topological quantum matter. Like any good field, many more questions remain. For example, it is known that three dimensional topological superconductors manifest a fully time-reversal symmetric and gapped surface in the presence of strong interactions and a special kind of topological order [24]. These superconductors are indexed by an integer \( \nu \). Curiously, when \( \nu \) is an odd integer, the topological order must be non-abelian. It would be
very interesting to understand these topological superconductors in the light of the recent developments in particle-vortex duality [13,22,25,26]. Clearly though, we have only just scratched the surface. The bulk remains to open to exploration.

**Note Added**

Particle-vortex duality remains the Cinderella of dualities, largely ignored but really a hidden gem. However, as we were writing up this work, we became aware of at least two more forthcoming articles by Karch & Tong [27] and, independently, Seiberg, Senthil, Wang and Witten [28] with some overlap with this one. Perhaps the time has come for particle-vortex duality to shine.
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