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Abstract

We study the dynamics of flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) cosmologies in the presence of a triplet of massive vector fields with \textit{SO}(3) global symmetry. We find an \textit{E}\textsuperscript{3}-symmetric ansatz for the vector fields that is compatible with the \textit{E}\textsuperscript{3}-invariant FRW metric and propose a method to make invariant ansätze for more general cosmological models. We use techniques of dynamical systems to study qualitatively the behaviour of the model and find, in particular, that the effective equation of state of the system changes gradually from a radiation-dominated to a matter-dominated form and that the scale of the transition depends on the mass of the gauge fields.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Cosmological solutions to the Einstein-Yang-Mills (EYM) equations have been known for some time. In particular, solutions corresponding to open, closed and flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universes, in the case where the gauge group is $SU(2)$, were studied in refs. [1,2]. The generalization of these results to arbitrary gauge groups, for closed FRW models, was done in refs. [3,4] (the euclidian case), where an $SO(4)$-symmetric ansatz is derived from the theory of symmetric fields on homogeneous spaces [5]. This $SO(4)$-symmetric ansatz has been also used for constructing the wave-function of a Universe dominated by radiation [6] and to study cosmological implications of generalized Kaluza-Klein theories [7]. The case of a flat FRW inflationary model in the presence of $E^3$-symmetric gauge and scalar fields was studied in ref. [8].

In treating the classical dynamics of the very early universe with the help of a variational principle applied to actions derived from particle physics theories, one hopes to obtain a better understanding of the effective equations of state valid in the classical regime. On the other hand, this approach may be useful in order to bridge the gap between the rather distinct descriptions of the classical and quantum regimes that have been used sofar. In this context, it is quite natural from the particle physics viewpoint to study non-abelian gauge theories in a cosmological setting. In realistic particle-physics-motivated cosmological models, however, the vector bosons normally acquire a mass thereby breaking the gauge symmetry. As this process takes place in the very early Universe, it is of interest to investigate cosmological solutions of the resulting theory.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate homogeneous and isotropic solutions to the EYM system after symmetry breaking. We shall assume that the gauge symmetry one starts with is $SO_I(3)$, which subsequently breaks down to a global $SO_I(3)$ (through a mechanism which we choose not to specify but can be thought of as the usual Higgs mechanism) and that, in this process, the gauge fields acquire a mass. We derive an $E^3$-symmetric ansatz for the triplet of massive vector fields with $SO_I(3)$ global symmetry that is compatible with the $E^3$-invariant (flat) FRW ansatz for the metric. We compare the dynamical behaviour of the system before and after symmetry breaking and find, in particular, that, in the latter phase, the equation of state changes with time, gradually from a radiation-dominated to a matter-dominated form and that the scale of the transition depends on the mass of the vector field.

Homogeneous and isotropic solutions exist for other gauge groups and symmetry breaking patterns (see Appendix). For the case of electroweak symmetry breaking, $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ down to a $U(1)$ however, at least for the ansatz proposed below, homogeneity and isotropy cannot be achieved, as the vector fields acquire different masses after symmetry breaking thus preventing the possibility for the energy-momentum tensor (EMT) to have
the perfect fluid form.

Recently, there has been great interest in models where inflation is driven by massive vector fields [9]. In these works, the vector field is a $U(1)$ gauge field which, upon symmetry breaking, acquires a potential leading to inflation. Such a vector field is not allowed by an isotropic spacetime and consequently the authors have to choose an anisotropic cosmological model (Bianchi I), which, at least in some cases, leads to excessive anisotropy at late times. The present work shows that if one starts from a non-abelian gauge theory this problem may not arise since, in this case, it is in general possible to built models which are compatible with an FRW cosmology from the start. However, the presence of a mass term does not by itself lead to sufficient inflation and more involved potentials have to be used in order to achieve it [9,10]. In this paper, we shall be interested only in analysing the consequences of a mass term for the vector fields and therefore we do not find inflationary solutions.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we derive the $E^3$-invariant ansatz for a triplet of massive vector fields with $SO_I(3)$ global symmetry. In section 3, we briefly discuss non-trivial solutions to the coupled EYM equations with gauge group $SO_I(3)$ which correspond to the spatially flat FRW universe. We then examine the case where the vector fields become massive and derive the corresponding equations of motion from an effective action built upon substitution of the $E^3$-symmetric ansatz in the initial action. In section 4, we analyse the solutions of the equations of motion using methods of the theory of dynamical systems and in section 5 we present our conclusions. Finally, in the Appendix, we present a method to find $G$-symmetric ansätze (where $G$ is the isometry group) for massive vector fields with an arbitrary global internal symmetry.

II. ANSATZ FOR VECTOR FIELDS WITH SO(3) GLOBAL INTERNAL SYMMETRY

The spacetime in flat FRW universes has the form

$$M^4 = R^4 = R \times E^3 / SO(3),$$

where the six-dimensional euclidean group $E^3$ is the isometry group of the spatial hypersurfaces

$$M^3 = R^3 = E^3 / SO(3).$$

The isotropy group $SO(3)$ leaves the origin $o \in R^3 = E^3 / SO(3)$ invariant but rotates the curves which pass through $o$ and therefore defines a representation of $SO(3)$ in the tangent space at the origin $T_o R^3$. This is the so-called isotropy representation which, in this case, is just the vector representation 3 of $SO(3)$. 
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The fact that there are no singlets in the isotropy representation has far reaching implications. Indeed, if a vector field $X$ in $R^3$ is $E^3$-invariant then, in particular, it must be invariant at $o$, i.e., a singlet under the action of $SO(3)$ on $T_oR^3$. Since this is not possible, we conclude that there are no $E^3$-invariant vector fields in $R^3$.

Being physically obvious for $R^3$ (as it just means that there are no homogeneous and isotropic vector fields) this result is important as it applies for any homogeneous space $G/H$ for which the isotropy representation has no trivial singlets. This is also the case for the spatial hypersurfaces $S^3$ and $H^3$ of closed and open FRW models which, therefore, do not have vector fields invariant under the action of the corresponding isometry group either. This explains why a single vector field is incompatible with FRW geometry; indeed, being non-spatially homogeneous and isotropic, the vector fields generate anisotropic energy-momentum tensors which therefore cannot be proportional to the Einstein tensor of the FRW metric.

In this section, we shall study the case of flat FRW models, leaving the analysis of the more general case of a (not necessarily four-dimensional) spacetime of the form $M^d = R \times G/H$ to the Appendix. Models with $d > 4$ are relevant to the study of the cosmological implications of Kaluza-Klein theories [7].

If, instead of a single vector field, we consider a theory with a multiplet of (covariant) vector fields $A_\mu^a, a = 1, \ldots, N$, where $a$ is an internal index, we may hope that, although the EMT corresponding to each field $A_\mu^a$ is not $E^3$-invariant, it would be possible to relate the asymmetries of the different vector fields in such a way that the total EMT is $E^3$-invariant. Let us then consider the case of a triplet of massive vector fields with global $SO_I(3)$ symmetry. The generators of the isometry group $G = E^3$ satisfy the following commutation relations

\begin{align}
[T_i, T_j] &= 0, \\
[Q_i, Q_j] &= \epsilon_{ijk}Q_k, \\
[Q_i, T_j] &= \epsilon_{ijk}T_k; \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3
\end{align}

so that the $T_i$ span an (abelian) invariant subalgebra of Lie($E^3$) — the algebra of translations. The corresponding Killing vector fields are

\begin{equation}
X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},
\end{equation}

where $x^i$ are the cartesian coordinates in $R^3$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ together with $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ form a (global) moving frame in $R^4 = R \times E^3/SO(3)$, $\{X_\mu\} = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\}$, with the dual coframe being given by $\{\omega^\mu\} = \{dt, dx^i\}$ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$). The remaining Killing vector fields, corresponding to the rotations $Q_i$, read
\[ Y_i = -\epsilon_{ijk}x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \]  

so that

\[ \mathcal{L}_X X_j = [X_i, X_j] = 0, \quad (6 - a) \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_Y X_j = -\mathcal{L}_X Y_i = [Y_i, X_j] = \epsilon_{ijk}X_k, \quad (6 - b) \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_Y Y_j = [Y_i, Y_j] = \epsilon_{ijk}Y_k. \quad (6 - c) \]

The conditions of spatial homogeneity and isotropy read, infinitesimally

\[ \mathcal{L}_X A = 0, \quad (7 - a) \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_Y A = 0, \quad (7 - b) \]

where \( A = A^a_{\mu}(t, \vec{x})\omega^\mu L_a, \) the \( L_a \) being the generators of the internal group \( SO_I(3) \)

\[ [L_a, L_b] = \epsilon_{abc}L_c. \quad (8) \]

In agreement with our previous considerations, we conclude that the only solution of (7) is the trivial one, i.e. such that only the zeroth component of the covector fields is different from zero: \( A = A^a_{\mu}(t)dtL_a. \) Condition (7-b) is, however, too restrictive and we now consider a weaker version of it by allowing the field \( A \) to be such that infinitesimal spatial rotations generate internal \( SO_I(3) \) rotations

\[ \mathcal{L}_X A = 0, \quad (9 - a) \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_Y A = -[L_i, A]. \quad (9 - b) \]

It is clear that, if (9) takes place, then, although \( A \) is not \( E^3 \)-invariant, it generates an EMT that is invariant under the action of \( E^3 \). Indeed, the action of \( E^3 \) in the EMT is equivalent to an internal rotation with respect to which the EMT is invariant

\[ \mathcal{L}_Y EMT(A) = -\delta_{L_i} EMT(A) = 0. \quad (10) \]

The conditions for spatial homogeneity, eq. (9-a), imply that the components \( A^a_{\mu} \) depend only on the time variable \( t \). In order to solve (9-b), let us consider the linear space of vector fields with constant coefficients: \( C = \{ X = a^\mu X_\mu, a^\mu \in R \} \). Eq. (6-b) implies that \( X \in C \) transforms as \( 1 + 3 \) under \( SO(3) \) spatial rotations. On the other hand, the one-form \( A \) can
be considered, for any \( t \), as a linear mapping from \( C \) to the internal space (i.e. to the Lie algebra of \( SO_I(3) \))

\[
A(X) = A(a^\mu X_\mu) = A_\mu^a(t)a^\mu L_a,
\]

in which the triplet representation \( \mathbf{3} \) of \( SO_I(3) \) is realized. Rewriting (9-b) in the form

\[
A(\mathcal{L}_Y X) = [L_i, A(X)],
\]

we see that \( A \) intertwines the representations \( \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{3} \) and \( \mathbf{3} \) of \( SO(3) \) and \( SO_I(3) \cong SO(3) \), respectively. Schur’s lemma then implies that \( A \) vanishes between subspaces of non-equivalent representations

\[
A \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = 0 \iff A_o = 0,
\]

and is proportional to the identity operator between subspaces with equivalent representations

\[
A \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = \chi_o(t) L_i \iff A_i^a(t) = \chi_o(t) \delta_i^a,
\]

where \( \chi_o(t) \) is an arbitrary function. Alternatively, the \( E^3 \)-symmetric ansatz for \( A \) satisfying (9) and therefore generating \( E^3 \)-invariant EMT, can be written as

\[
A = \chi_o(t) dx^i L_i.
\]

III. EFFECTIVE ACTION AND FIELD EQUATIONS

A. Massless case

We start with the following action for the coupled EYM system with \( SO_I(3) \) gauge group

\[
S = \int_{M^4} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2k^2} R + \frac{1}{8e^2} Tr [F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}] \right),
\]

where \( k^2 = 8\pi G \) and \( e \) is the gauge coupling. Our conventions and notation for the gravitational part of the action correspond to those of refs. [3,4]. The gauge field strength is \( F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu] \), where \( A_\mu = A_\mu^a L_a \). We assume that the spacetime manifold \( M^4 \) is topologically of the type (1), where \( \{t_o\} \times E^3 / SO(3) = \{t_o\} \times R^3, t_o \in R \), are the spatial orbits of the isometry group \( G = E^3 = R^3 \oplus SO(3) \).
The FRW ansatz, for flat models, gives the most general form of an $E^3$-invariant metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t)dt^2 + a^2(t) \left( (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where the lapse function $N(t)$ and the scale factor $a(t)$ are arbitrary non-vanishing functions.

Cosmological models in the presence of gauge fields have been investigated in some detail in the literature [1-3,7,8]; for these models, the local symmetry of the action allows the use of the theory of symmetric gauge fields [5] in order to make the necessary ansätze. The $G$-symmetric ansatz corresponds to fields which, under the action of the isometry group $G$, undergo a local internal rotation; however, in theories with global symmetry such fields do not, in general, lead to $G$-invariant EMTs, as can be seen from eq. (10) (for more details, the reader is referred to the Appendix). Ansatz (15), for (covariant) vector fields with global $SO_I(3)$ internal symmetry is, of course, also valid in the case of action (16), with local $SO_I(3)$ symmetry, and we shall use it in what follows. It is easy to check that this ansatz leads to a (traceless) energy-momentum tensor (EMT) with the required $E^3$-invariance

$$T_o^o = -\dot{\hat{\rho}}(t), \hspace{1cm} T_i^j = \dot{\hat{p}}(t)\delta_i^j,$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

i.e., it corresponds to the EMT of a perfect comoving fluid with energy density $\dot{\hat{\rho}}$ and pressure $\dot{\hat{p}}$ given by

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}} = \frac{3}{4a^2e^2N} \left[ \frac{\dot{\chi}_o^2}{2} + \frac{N^2}{a^2}V_{gf} \right],$$
$$\dot{\hat{p}} = \frac{1}{4a^2e^2N} \left[ \frac{\dot{\chi}_o^2}{2} + \frac{N^2}{a^2}V_{gf} \right],$$
$$V_{gf} = \frac{1}{8}\chi_o^4,$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where the dot denotes the derivative with respect to $t$; moreover, the equation of state for the system is clearly that of a radiation fluid: $\dot{\hat{\rho}} = 3\dot{\hat{p}}$.

There are, for this model, two equivalent methods to obtain the dynamical equations for the functions $a(t)$ and $\chi_o(t)$: one can either substitute the ansätze, eqs. (13), (14) and (17), into the field equations derived from action (16) or substitute the ansätze directly into the action and then get the dynamical equations from this effective action. The latter method has the advantage of simplifying the study of the equations of motion and we shall adopt it in what follows. The effective action is given by (disregarding the infinite volume of the spatial hypersurface)
\[ S_{\text{eff}} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[ -\frac{3}{k^2} \frac{\dot{a}^2 a}{N} + \frac{3a}{4e^2 N} \left( \frac{\dot{\chi}_o^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{a^2} V_{gf} \right) \right]. \]  

(20)

The equations of motion are obtained upon variation of this action with respect to \( N(t), a(t), \) and \( \chi_o(t) \). We get, respectively, in the “gauge” \( N = 1 \)

\[ H^2 = \frac{k^2}{3} \hat{\rho}, \]  

(21-a)

\[ \dot{H} + H^2 = -\frac{k^2}{6} (\hat{\rho} + 3\hat{p}), \]  

(21-b)

\[ \ddot{\chi}_o + \dot{\chi}_o H = -\frac{1}{2a^2} \chi_o^3, \]  

(21-c)

with \( \hat{\rho} \) and \( \hat{p} \) given by eq. (19) and \( H \equiv \dot{a}/a. \)

The Yang-Mills equation, (21-c), takes a much simpler form in the conformal time [3,4]

\[ d\eta = \frac{dt}{a(t)}, \]  

(22)

since, in this case, this equationdecouples from the remaining ones. Indeed, substituting (22) in (21-c), we obtain

\[ \chi_o'' = -\frac{\chi_o^3}{2}, \]  

(23)

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the conformal time. The solution of eq. (23) is given by

\[ \chi_o = f(\eta; E, \eta_0), \]  

(24)

where \( f = f(\eta; E, \eta_0) \) is a function defined implicitly by

\[ \eta - \eta_0 = (2E)^{-1/4} F(\gamma(f, E), r). \]  

(25)

In the above equation, \( F(x, k) \) denotes the elliptic function of the first kind [11],

\[ \gamma(f, E) = \cos^{-1} \left[ (8E)^{-1/4} f \right], \]  

\[ r = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \]  

and the constant \( E \) is the conserved “mechanical energy” of the solution
Using a “mechanical” analogy, we can consider eq. (23) as describing the motion of a particle with kinetic energy \( \frac{\chi_o^2}{2} \), potential energy \( V_{gf} \) and total energy \( E \). The above solution describes therefore a particle oscillating in a potential well between the turning points: \( -(8E)^{1/4} \) and \( (8E)^{1/4} \).

**B. Massive case**

Consider now the action which results from adding a mass term for the gauge fields \[ S' = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2k^2} R + \frac{1}{8e^2} Tr [F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}] + \frac{1}{2} m^2 Tr [A_\mu A^\mu] \right) . \] (28)

Such a mass term would arise, e.g., via the Higgs mechanism, with a complex doublet of scalar particles acquiring a vacuum expectation value. The above action is no longer gauge invariant and only a global SOI(3) symmetry remains. Substituting the ansätze, eqs. (13), (14) and (17), into the energy-momentum tensor corresponding to the action (28), we obtain

\[
T^{\sigma}_{\sigma} = -\dot{\rho} - \frac{3}{4} m^2 \left( \frac{\chi_o}{a} \right)^2 \equiv -\rho, \\
T^{i}_j = \left( \dot{\rho} - \frac{1}{4} m^2 \left( \frac{\chi_o}{a} \right)^2 \right) \delta^{i}_j \equiv p \delta^{i}_j,
\] (29)

with \( \dot{\rho} \) and \( \dot{p} \) given by eq. (19), which shows that the perfect fluid form of the EMT is preserved in spite of the presence of the mass term. Notice that, if instead of a mass term we had a quartic potential, this could be absorbed in a redefinition of \( V_{gf} \), which would lead us back to the results of the previous subsection.

We are interested in spatially homogeneous and isotropic cosmologies associated with the action (28). Hence, we restrict ourselves to the set of \( E^3 \)-symmetric configurations for the fields \( g_{\mu\nu} \) and \( A_\mu \). Substituting these ansätze into (28), we obtain for the effective action

\[
S_{eff} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[ -\frac{3}{k^2} \frac{\dot{a}^2}{N} + \frac{3a}{4e^2N} \left( \frac{\chi_o^2}{a^2} - \frac{N^2}{a^2} V_{gf} \right) - \frac{3}{4} Nm^2 \chi_o^2 a \right] .
\] (30)

The equations of motion, derived upon variation with respect to \( N(t) \), \( a(t) \) and \( \chi_o(t) \) are, respectively, in the “gauge” \( N = 1 \):
\[ H^2 = \frac{k^2}{3} \rho, \quad (31 - a) \]

\[ \dot{H} + H^2 = -\frac{k^2}{6} (\rho + 3p), \quad (31 - b) \]

\[ \ddot{\chi}_o + \dot{\chi}_o \dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2a^2} \dot{\chi}_o^3 - 2m^2 e^2 \chi_o, \quad (31 - c) \]

with \( \rho \) and \( p \) as given by eq. (29). Consider now the following change of variables

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= k \frac{\dot{\chi}_o}{a}, \\
    y &= k^2 \frac{\ddot{\chi}_o}{2\sqrt{2} a}, \\
    z &= kH, \\
    \tau &= t/k.
\end{align*}
\]

(32)

The system of eqs. (31), in the new (dimensionless) variables, can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_\tau &= 2\sqrt{2} y - xz, \\
    y_\tau &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mu^2 x - 2yz - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} x^3, \\
    z_\tau &= -2z^2 + \frac{1}{4} \mu^2 x^2, \\
    z^2 &= y^2 + \frac{1}{32} x^4 + \frac{1}{4} \mu^2 x^2,
\end{align*}
\]

(33-a)–(33-c)

where \( \mu^2 = m^2 k^2 \) and we have set \( e = 1 \); the index \( \tau \) denotes the derivative with respect to \( \tau \). Eqs. (33-a)–(33-c) define a three-dimensional dynamical system in the variables \( x, y, z \) and eq. (33-d) is a constraint equation which defines, in \( R^3 \), the phase space of the dynamical system. Substituting the constraint into eqs. (33-a), (33-b), we are left with a two-dimensional dynamical system in the variables \( x, y \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_\tau &= 2\sqrt{2} y - x \left( y^2 + \frac{1}{32} x^4 + \frac{1}{4} \mu^2 x^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
    y_\tau &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mu^2 x - 2y \left( y^2 + \frac{1}{32} x^4 + \frac{1}{4} \mu^2 x^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} x^3,
\end{align*}
\]

(34)

where we have considered the positive root of the constraint (33-d) as we are interested in expanding models.
It is this system of differential equations (34) that we are going to study using the methods of the qualitative theory of dynamical systems [13].

IV. QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS

The dynamical system (34) has just one critical point in the finite region of variation of \(x, y\), the origin, hereon referred to as \(F(0, 0)\) or simply \(F\). The remaining critical points, if any, will lie at infinity. In order to study them, we complete the phase space with an infinitely distant boundary (for which \(x^2 + y^2 = +\infty\)). It is also convenient to perform a change of variables to polar coordinates, \((x, y) \rightarrow (r, \theta)\), followed by a compactification of the entire phase space into a circle of unit radius. This can be achieved through the introduction of yet new radial and time coordinates \(\rho\) and \(\zeta\), such that

\[ r = \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} \quad (0 \leq \rho \leq 1); \quad \frac{d\zeta}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)^2}. \tag{35} \]

In the variables \((\rho, \theta, \zeta)\), the system (34) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho \zeta &= \sqrt{2}(2 - \frac{\mu^2}{2})\rho(1 - \rho)^3 \sin \theta \cos \theta - \rho^2(1 - \rho)(1 - \sin^2 \theta)f(\rho, \theta) \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8}\rho^3(1 - \rho) \sin \theta \cos^3 \theta \equiv \Pi(\rho, \theta), \\
\theta \zeta &= -\sqrt{2}(1 - \rho)^2 \left(2 \sin^2 \theta + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos^2 \theta\right) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8}\rho^2 \cos^4 \theta - \rho \sin \theta \cos \theta f(\rho, \theta) \\
&\equiv \Psi(\rho, \theta),
\end{align*}
\] \tag{36}

where \(f^2(\rho, \theta) = (1 - \rho)^2 \sin^2 \theta + \frac{\mu^2}{32} \cos^4 \theta + \frac{\mu^2}{4}(1 - \rho)^2 \cos^2 \theta\).

The critical points in the infinitely distant boundary \((\rho = 1)\) are the solutions of the equations: \(\Pi(1, \theta) = 0\) and \(\Psi(1, \theta) = 0\), namely, \(N_1(1, \frac{\pi}{2}), N_2(1, \frac{3\pi}{2}), S_1(1, \frac{3\pi}{4}), S_2(1, \frac{5\pi}{4})\) — see Fig.1.

We now turn to the analysis of the nature of the critical points of the system. First, we would like to point out that, since (36) is invariant under \(\theta \rightarrow \theta + \pi\), we need only consider two of the four critical points at infinity; hence, we are left with three points to analyse, \(F(0, 0)\) and, e.g., \(N_1(1, \frac{\pi}{2})\) and \(S_1(1, \frac{3\pi}{4})\).

Regarding \(F(0, 0)\), it is easy to check that this point is degenerate (see Table 1) and therefore the Hartman-Grobman theorem [13] does not apply. We resort to the method known as “blow-up” [13], which allows us to establish that \(F\) is a focus. In order to investigate its stability, we use Liapounov’s theorem [13]. Consider the Liapounov function

\[ \mathcal{L}(x, y) = 2(y^2 + \frac{1}{32}x^4 + \frac{\mu^2}{4}x^2). \tag{37} \]
Since $L(x, y)$ obeys the conditions
\[
L(0, 0) = 0, \quad L((x, y) \neq (0, 0)) > 0, \\
\frac{dL}{d\zeta} < 0 \quad \text{in} \quad R^2/\{(0, 0)\},
\]
we conclude that $F$ is a stable focus and all trajectories in the $x, y$ plane asymptotically approach this point. Furthermore, trajectories in the neighbourhood of $F$ are clockwise directed spirals.

Regarding the critical point at infinity $S_1$, the linear approximation of the system (36) around this point is sufficient and we conclude that $S_1$ is a saddle point (cf. Table 1). As for $N_1$, the situation is similar after we perform a change of time variable ($\zeta \to \hat{\zeta}$) such that $\frac{d\hat{\zeta}}{d\zeta} = (\rho - 1)$ and we conclude that $N_1$ is an improper unstable node (cf. Table 1). We shall also use the fact that the trajectories in the vicinity of $N_1$ are tangent to the eigenvector which corresponds to the lowest eigenvalue — see Table 1 and Fig. 1.

In order to get a more detailed picture of phase space, we divide it into four distinct regions and find approximate analytic expressions for the trajectories in each of these regions, namely

\begin{align*}
\text{a)} \quad x &= \frac{2}{\mu} \frac{1}{\tau + C_1} \cos \tau \\
y &= \frac{1}{\tau + C_1} \sin \tau \quad |x| \ll 1; |y| \ll 1 \\
\text{b)} \quad x + y &= 2C_2x^2 \quad |x| \approx |y| \gg 1 \\
\text{c)} \quad y &= x + C_3 \quad |x| \gg |y| \gg 1 \\
\text{d)} \quad y &= C_4x^2 \quad |y| \gg x^2; |x|, |y| \gg 1
\end{align*}

where the $C_i \ (i = 1, ..., 4)$ are arbitrary constants. In case a), i.e., near the origin, we see that the shape of the trajectories depends on the value of $\mu^2$. In fact, for small values of $\mu^2$ the trajectories wind around $F$ with an oblate shape whereas for large values of $\mu^2$ they have a prolate shape. It is indeed in this region that the behaviour of the system is most sensitive to the presence of the mass term.

We now turn our attention to the analysis of the effective equation of state for the system near the critical points. In the vicinity of $S_1$, $\theta \simeq \frac{3\pi}{4}$; introducing this approximation into the dynamical system, we get the following solutions
\[
a = a_o t^{1/2}, \quad \chi_o = -\frac{2a_o}{(2k^2)^{1/4}} \quad (t \to 0)
\]
Eq. (29) then implies $\rho \simeq 3p$, indicating that the effective equation of state near $S_1$ is approximately that of a radiation fluid. In fact, this is true everywhere except in the
vicinity of $F$. This is to be expected since, were it not for the mass term, the equation of state would be $\rho = 3p$ throughout all regions of phase space and, as we have already mentioned, it is near the origin that the mass term most affects the trajectories.

The effective equation of state near the focus can be analysed using a procedure similar to the one explained above for $S_1$, which leads us to conclude that

$$a \sim t^{2/3}, \quad \chi_o \sim t^{-1/3} \sin \frac{t}{\sqrt{k}} \quad (t \to +\infty), \quad (41)$$

implying that $p \simeq 0$, corresponding to the equation of state for dust matter.

In addition, we find that the time of transition between the regimes described by the different equations of state depends on the value of $m^2$ such that the transition occurs earlier for more massive vector fields. Before the transition the energy density and pressure evolve as expected in a radiation-dominated Universe and, as the system approaches the focus $F$, the Universe becomes matter-dominated and the pressure starts to oscillate around zero (see Fig. 2) with ever decreasing amplitude and quickly vanishes.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that the Einstein-Yang-Mills theory, with gauge group $SO_I(3)$, broken by the presence of a mass term for the gauge fields in such a way that only a global $SO_I(3)$ symmetry remains, admits homogeneous and isotropic flat FRW solutions. To prove this, we have constructed an $E^3$-symmetric ansatz for the (massive) vector fields, parametrized in terms of an arbitrary function of time $\chi_o(t)$.

We have studied the equations of motion of this theory using the methods of the theory of dynamical systems and found that the system has just one stable critical point, the origin, which is a focus. We have checked that the behaviour of the system is basically the same as for the unbroken phase except near the origin; in this region, the change is such that the effective equation of state of the system becomes that of a matter-dominated universe\(^1\).

APPENDIX

Let us consider a spacetime of the form

\(^{1}\) When the present paper was already in press we became aware of ref. [14] where an exact cosmological solution for an isotropic gas of collisionless massive particles has been found. This solution also describes a gradual change of the equation of state from $p = 1/3\rho$ to $p = 0$. 
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\[ M^d = R \times G/H, \]  

where \( \{ t_\alpha \} \times G/H \) are spatial hypersurfaces, \( G \) is the isometry group and \( t \in R \) is a time-like coordinate. There are two classes of models for which vector fields can be compatible with the above geometry, in the sense that solutions of the coupled Einstein-vector field equations can be found in a rather simple way: non-abelian gauge theories and theories with a global internal symmetry. In the former case, since the theory is invariant under an internal local symmetry, the total EMT is also gauge invariant, which implies that, for the total EMT to be \( G \)-invariant, the fields \( A^a_\mu \) need only to be \( G \)-invariant up to a gauge transformation. For a gauge group \( K \) containing an \( H \) subgroup such fields often exist, which has allowed the study of FRW cosmologies in the presence of non-abelian gauge sectors \([3,7,8]\). The latter case has, however, to our knowledge, not yet been studied in the literature. In section 2, we analysed a particular example, with \( G/H = E^3/SO(3) \) and \( K = SO_I(3) \). We now study the general case.

Consider configurations \( A^a_\mu(x) \) for which the action of \( g \in G \) can be compensated by an internal global \( K \)-rotation

\[ g^\ast (A^a_\mu(x)dx^\mu) = \Psi(\lambda(g^{-1}))^a_b A^b_\mu(x)dx^\mu, \]  

where \( \lambda : G \rightarrow K \) is an homomorphism and \( \Psi \) is the representation of \( K \) in the internal space. Clearly, configurations satisfying (A.2) will generate \( G \)-invariant (spatially homogeneous and isotropic in the FRW case) EMTs. Notice that condition (A.2) is less restrictive than the one of \( G \)-invariance (corresponding in (A.2) to \( \lambda(g) = e, \forall g \in G \)) but still much more restrictive than the one for gauge theories since the compensating internal rotation, \( \Psi(\lambda(g)) \), cannot depend on the points \( x \in R \times G/H \). Nevertheless, we will show that, for a class of homogeneous spaces \( G/H \) which includes the spatial hypersurfaces of closed and flat FRW models, field configurations satisfying (A.2) can be found.

Let \( \{ T_1^i \}_{i=1}^{\dim G} \) be a basis in Lie(\( G \)), the Lie algebra of the isometry group \( G \), satisfying:

\[ [T_1^i, T_j^j] = C^k_{ij} T_k^i \]  

and \( \{ X_1^i \} \) be the associated Killing vector fields

\[ X_1^i(x) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \left( \exp(-\epsilon T_1^i) x \right) |_{\epsilon=0}. \]  

We shall assume that the homogeneous space \( G/H \) is such that there exists in Lie(\( G \)) an invariant subalgebra (ideal) with basis \( \{ T_i^j \}_{i=1}^{\dim G/H} \)

\[ [T_1^i, T_j^j] = C^k_{ij} T_k^i, \]  

and such that \( \{ X_i^j \}_{i=1}^{\dim G/H} \) is a (local) moving frame in \( G/H \). This is obviously the case for flat (see sect. 2) and closed FRW models. Consider now the moving frame in \( R \times \)
$G/H$, \( \{ X_\mu \}_{\mu=0}^{d\!imG/H} = \{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, X_i \}_{i=1}^{d\!imG/H} \), and the moving coframe \( \{ \omega^\mu \}_{\mu=0}^{d\!imG/H} = \{ dt, \omega^i; i = 1, ..., d\!imG/H \} \) dual to \( \{ X_\mu \}_{\mu=0}^{d\!imG/H} \). The commutation relations define a representation of Lie(\( G \)) acting in the subalgebra of vector fields

\[
C = \{ X = a^\mu X_\mu, a^\mu \in R \}, \quad (A.5)
\]

according to

\[
\mathcal{L}_X X = a'^\mu X_\mu, \quad (A.6)
\]

where

\[
a'^0 = 0, \quad a'^i = C^i_{k1} a^k. \quad (A.7)
\]

In the coframe \( \{ \omega^\mu \} \), we choose the fields \( A_\mu^a \) to depend only on the coordinate \( t \)

\[
A^a = A_\mu^a (t) \omega^\mu. \quad (A.8)
\]

As the \( \omega^\mu \) are not \( G \)-invariant, the fields \( A^a \) are not \( G \)-invariant either. Infinitesimally, condition (A.2) applied to (A.8) gives

\[
\mathcal{L}_X A^a = -\Psi (\lambda(T_i))^a_b A^b, \quad (A.9)
\]

or equivalently

\[
A^a \left( \mathcal{L}_X X \right) = \Psi (\lambda(T_i))^a_b A^b (X). \quad (A.10)
\]

These are linear algebraic constraints on \( A_\mu^a (t) \), which imply that, as a mapping from \( C \) to the internal space (for fixed \( t \))

\[
A_\mu^a : \quad a^\mu \to B^a = A_\mu^a a^\mu, \quad (A.11)
\]

\( A_\mu^a \) intertwines the representation of Lie(\( G \)) in \( C \) with the representation of \( \lambda(\text{Lie}(G)) \) in the internal space. From Schur’s lemma, we then conclude that there exist nontrivial solutions of (A.10) if and only if there are equivalent irreducible representations in \( C \) and in the internal space. Therefore, in order to make a \( G \)-symmetric ansatz for the fields \( A_\mu^a \), one must:

(i) Check whether (A.4) takes place for the given \( G \) and \( G/H \) and choose the associated coframe \( \{ \omega^\mu \} \).

(ii) Choose an homomorphism \( \lambda \) of the isometry group \( G \) to the internal symmetry group \( K \) such that there are equivalent irreducible representations in the representations of \( G \) in \( C \) (see (A.6), (A.7)) and of \( \lambda(G) \) in the internal space.
(iii) Find the intertwining operators $A^a_\mu$ between the above two representations and substitute them in (A.8).

REFERENCES

[1] M. Henneaux, J. Math. Phys. 23, 830 (1982).
[2] D.V. Galt'sov and M.S. Volkov, Phys. Lett. 256B, 17 (1991).
[3] P.V. Moniz and J.M. Mourão, Class. Quantum Grav. 8, 1815 (1991).
[4] O. Bertolami, J.M. Mourão, R.J. Picken and I.P. Volobuev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6, 4149 (1991).
[5] N.S. Manton, Nucl. Phys. B158, 141 (1979);
   P. Forgacs and N.S. Manton, Commun. Math. Phys. 72, 15 (1980);
   R. Coquereaux and A. Jadczak in “Riemannian Geometry, Fiber Bundles, Kaluza-Klein Theories and All That” – Lectures Notes in Physics, Vol.16 (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988);
   Yu.A. Kubyshin, J.M. Mourão, G. Rudolph and I.P. Volobuev in “Dimensional Reduction of Gauge Theories, Spontaneous Compactification and Model Building” – Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 349 (Springer, Berlin, 1989);
   D. Kapetanakis, G. Zoupanos, “Coset Space Dimensional Reduction of Gauge Theories”, Preprint CERN-TH 6359/91, MPI-Ph 92-29, TUM-TH-140/92 , to be published in Phys. Rep.
[6] O. Bertolami and J.M. Mourão, Class. Quantum Grav. 8, 1271 (1991).
[7] Yu.A. Kubyshin, V.A. Rubakov and I.I. Tkachev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A4, 1409 (1989); O. Bertolami, Yu.A. Kubyshin and J.M. Mourão, Phys. Rev. D45, 3405 (1992).
[8] P.V. Moniz, J.M. Mourão and P.M. Sá, “The dynamics of a flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker inflationary model in the presence of gauge fields”, Lisbon Preprint IFM-3/91.
[9] L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. D40, 967 (1989);
   A.B. Burd and J.E. Lidsey, Nucl. Phys. B351, 679 (1991).
[10] R.D. Ball and A.M. Matheson, Phys. Rev. D45, 2647 (1992).
[11] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, “Tables of Integrals, Series and Products” (Academic Press, New York 1965).
[12] Vector fields in the presence of gravity have been discussed, for example, by G. Tauber, J. Math. Phys. 10, 33 (1969).
[13] M.W. Hirsch and S. Smale, “Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems and Linear Algebra” (Academic Press, New York 1974);
O.I. Bogoyavlensky, “Methods in the Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems in Astrophysics and Gas Dynamics” (Springer, Berlin, 1985);

J. Carr, “Applications of Center Manifold Theory” (Springer, Berlin, 1981).

[14] H.H. Soleng, Astron. Astrophys. 237 (1990) 1.
| Point       | Eigenvalues | Eigenvectors | Classification |
|------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| $F(0, 0)$ | $\pm i\sqrt{2}\mu$ |              | Focus          |
| $S_1(1, \frac{3\pi}{4})$ | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{16}$, $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{16}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$ | Saddle        |
| $N_1(1, \frac{\pi}{2})$ | $2$, $1$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} - 2\sqrt{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$ | Unstable node |

**Table 1.** Classification of critical points from the analysis of the dynamical system of eqs. (34) and (36).
FIGURE CAPTIONS:

Fig. 1 All trajectories of the phase diagram of the model asymptotically approach the focus $F$; $N_1$, $N_2$, $S_1$, $S_2$ are critical points at infinity. Near the focus, trajectories change from oblate to prolate shape as the mass of the vector bosons increases.

Fig. 2 $3p/\rho$ as a function of time showing the change of the equation of state of the Universe from radiation-dominated to matter-dominated.