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Abstract

In this paper, we present details of our system in the IWSLT Video Speech Translation evaluation. The system works in a cascade form, which contains three modules: 1) A proprietary ASR system. 2) A disfluency correction system aims to remove interregnums or other disfluent expressions with a fine-tuned BERT and a series of rule based algorithms. 3) An NMT System based on the Transformer and trained with massive publicly available corpus is used for translation.

1 Introduction

There has been great advances in Neural Machine Translations (NMT) in recent years which also promotes Multimodal translation including image (Specia et al., 2016), speech and video translation (Wang et al., 2020; Imankulova et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2019). For speech and video translation, there are basically two types of systems (i.e. cascade and end-to-end). Cascade systems are often composed of several independent modules, where each one can be trained with intermediate inputs and labels. End-to-end systems can be fully differentiable and trained with original multimodality data.

In the IWSLT 2020 Video Speech Translation task (Ansari et al., 2020), participants are required to develop systems to translate speeches in the video from source language into target language. However, we consider that visual contexts are not necessarily important for a translation task, therefore we only use audio as contexts, and treat it as an offline speech translation task, in addition, our system is built mainly for Chinese to English translation.

We choose to build our system in a cascade manner because training an end-to-end system requires massive aligned audio and text data, which is hard to find. On the other hand, a cascade system allows us to train each part separately, which is more feasible.

Our system is composed with three modules. 1) A proprietary ASR system. 2) A disfluency correction system (Wang et al., 2019). 3) An NMT model based on the Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017).

2 System Architecture

2.1 ASR

For the task, we simply extract the sound tracks from videos, then feed them to our proprietary ASR system and proceed transcripts to downstream modules.

2.2 Disfluency Correction

A major flaw of the cascade system is the error propagation from the ASR to the NMT system. For example, interregnums like “uh”, “you know” should not be translated, or repeated words like “I wanna wanna ...” due to disfluency (Shriberg, 1994; Wang et al., 2018). To deal with this problem, we developed the disfluency correction system to de-noise the ASR outputs so that the NMT model could generate more fluent translations. The disfluency correction system is based on fine-tuning BERT for sequence tagging and incorrect N-gram mining. BERT is fine-tuned to predict whether a token generated by the ASR system should be kept or deleted. The N-gram model is able to mine high-frequency mistakes, e.g. “a i”(AI), “r and d”(R&D).

2.2.1 Data

The dataset used to train the disfluency detection model is collected from internal meeting recordings, which are transcribed by human. We create the golden set based on these transcripts. It con-
Strategy | Train | Dev | Test
--- | --- | --- | ---
Baseline | 35.2 | 36.3 | 33.1
+ Domain rule | 31.8 (-3.4) | 31.9 (-4.4) | 30.1 (-3.0)
+ BERT model | 28.3 (-3.5) | 28.3 (-3.6) | 26.7 (-3.4)
+ N-gram mining | 26.4 (-1.9) | 25.9 (-2.4) | 24.9 (-1.8)

Table 1: The performance of each strategy evaluated on our own dataset

tains approximately 200,000 tokens and are split into train/dev/test set with the proportion of 7:1:2.

We use sclite from SCTK to score the ASR outputs, the sclite is able to output four types of actions for tokens and gaps in the ASR outputs. Then, those actions will be used to automatically label the ASR outputs with following rules:

- Tokens are scored as C (correct) by the sclite means that the ASR system outputs a correct token, compared to the ground truth sequence. These tokens will be labeled as OK and should be preserved in post-processing.

- Tokens are scored as S (substitute) by the sclite means the ASR system outputs an incorrect (substituted) token at current position, compared to the ground truth sequence. These tokens are labeled as BAD and will be deleted. Note that we don’t consider predicting the correct token because of the complexity.

- Tokens are scored as I (insertion) means the ASR system inserts an unnecessary token at current position. These tokens will be labeled as BAD and should be deleted.

- Gaps are scored as D (deletion) means the ASR fails to output a necessary token at the gap. This situation also involves predicting the missing token therefore is not considered.

Based on these rules, the ASR outputs are labeled with OK and BAD for each token, which will be used to fine-tune the BERT model.

### 2.2.2 BERT based Disfluency Detection

BERT is widely used in many NLP tasks thanks to its flexible architecture and pre-trained weights contributed by the community. As described previously, we formulate the task as a sequential labelling problem so that the pre-trained BERT can be fine-tuned easily. In the post-processing, we simply remove tokens which are predicted as BAD. The model used for fine-tuning is provided by transformers’ (Wolf et al., 2019) BERT-base.

### 2.2.3 N-Gram Disfluency Mining

Except from training a detection model, we also create a mistake table with n-grams (where n=1,2,3,4), aiming to correct high-frequency mistakes. The table statistics the frequency of incorrect transcripts, and top 10 mistakes are used as a rule based mapping. We mainly use it to solve some situations where the pronunciation and text are not the same, this situation mainly appears in terminologies.

### 2.2.4 Disfluency Correction Experiments

We perform several experiments on the created dataset with the combination of methods mentioned above to evaluate the effectiveness. We use WER as the evaluation metric. Detailed results are presented in Table 1.

### 2.3 NMT

The NMT model is based on a Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) model with some modifications that will be introduced later. The model is trained with approximately 26M publicly available parallel corpora.

#### 2.3.1 Data

There is no officially published in-domain text data to train and evaluate the model, therefore, we use the WMT 2019 Chinese to English news translation corpora which is composed with 6.5M CWMT and 20M UN sentence pairs. The test set of CCMT 2018 news translation is used as our test set for the experiment.

First of all, we clean up the dataset as follows:

- Remove duplicated sentences.
- Sentences that are too short (e.g. less than two tokens) are removed.
- Sentences that are too long (e.g. greater than 300 tokens) are removed.
- Parallel sentence pairs with abnormal length ratio (e.g. greater than 3 times standard deviation) are removed.
Table 2: The performance of the nmt model evaluated on CCMT dataset.

| Strategy                  | BLEU  |
|---------------------------|-------|
| Transformer-big           | 36.27 |
| + Domain Classification   | 37.63 |
| + Ensemble                | 39.25 |

- Sentences with abnormal characters are considered as HTML entities, e.g. &nbsp, are removed.

Subword-nmt (Sennrich et al., 2016) is used to tokenize English sentences, while character based tokenization is used for Chinese sentences.

### 2.3.2 Model

Transformer Big (Vaswani et al., 2017) is used in our NMT system, which has same number layers compared to Transformer base but with wider embedding and FFN layers, additional normalization and dropout layers are also added.

As we mentioned in Section 2.3.1, we mix the CWMT and UN corpus in which sentences may draw from different distributions and thus may have significant differences in the quality, domain as well as the style. These differences may degrade the performance of a NMT model. To deal with such problem, inspired by (Britz et al., 2017), we add a domain discrimination tag (token) at the start of the sentence for target sentences, here, we use [CWMT] and [UN] to represent two domains (data sources). The initial tag will be used to calculate a discrimination loss thus makes the model trained in a multi-task setting. In the inference phase, the generated tag will be removed in the post-processing.

### 2.3.3 NMT experiments

We perform experiments with three strategies: Transformer-big with and without domain classification as well as an ensemble model. We use BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002) as the evaluation metric. Details are shown in Table 2.

### 3 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce our system for IWSLT 2020 Video Speech Translation evaluation. Our cascade system is developed and evaluated separately, we select the best strategy for each module to integrate a pipeline system which finally makes predictions for our submission.
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