Quantifying the Influences of Natural Factors and Human Activities on NDVI Changes in the Hanjiang River Basin, China
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Abstract: The Hanjiang River Basin (HJRB) is an important source area for drinking water in Hubei Province, China, and the vegetation coverage there is important to the ecological system. Due to the spatial heterogeneity and synergistic effect of various factors, it is very difficult to identify the main factors affecting vegetation growth in the HJRB. With the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) data from 2001 to 2018 in the HJRB, the spatiotemporal patterns of NDVI and the influences of natural factors and human activities on NDVI were investigated and quantified based on the Mann–Kendall (M-K) test, partial correlation analysis, and Geographical Detector. The individual factors and their interactions and the range/type of factor attributes suitable for vegetation growth were also examined. NDVI in the HJRB increased from 2001 to 2018, and the variation rate was 0.0046 year\(^{-1}\). NDVI was increasing in 81.17% of the area (\(p < 0.05\)). Elevation and slope can effectively explain the vegetation distribution. The interactions of factors on NDVI were significant, and the interactions of the elevation and precipitation can maximize the impact among all factors. The range of available landforms is thought to be highly conducive to vegetation growth. The rates of the annual precipitation and annual mean temperature changed from 2001 to 2018, which were 3.665 mm/year and 0.017 °C/year, and the regions where NDVI positively correlated with them were over 85%. Contrary to the general trend, NDVI has obviously decreased in urban areas since 2010. The quantitative findings of this study can help us better understand the effects of various factors on vegetation growth and provide appropriate suggestions for vegetation protection and restoration in the HJRB.
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1. Introduction

Ground vegetation is important to terrestrial ecosystems, and it serves to regulate the ecological balance, water and biogeochemical cycles, and climatic changes [1–4]. Moreover, ground vegetation coverage is closely related to natural and human factors, and thus can respond to changes of the surrounding environment over a short time [5–9]. In recent years, with climate warming and intensified human activities, the ground vegetation ecosystem has experienced unprecedented destruction [10,11]. Therefore, investigations of the spatiotemporal changes in vegetation at various scales are of great significance to understanding the interactions between various factors and terrestrial ecosystems [12]. How climate change and human activities affect vegetation growth has become a hot topic to study. With the benefit of the technology of remote sensing, it has become easier to study the factors...
that drive vegetation change. The normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), one of the most used indexes, has a close positive correlation with vegetation productivity and vegetation coverage [13–17]. Moreover, the time series of NDVI can accurately and intuitively reflect the growth of vegetation and is widely used to study vegetation trends [18]. Additionally, based on a continuous long series of remotely sensed meteorological data, studies of the relationship between climate conditions and vegetation change have been conducted around the world [19]. Among various climate factors, temperature and precipitation are recommended as the two most important factors [20]. Ma et al. [21] used NDVI to study the impact of asymmetric daytime and nighttime warming on the vegetation in the Yellow River Basin from 1982 to 2015 and suggested that daytime warming might increase the growth and coverage of vegetation in arid and semiarid areas. Liu et al. [22] studied the relationships among NDVI, climate conditions, and soil moisture conditions in the Weihe River Basin (WRB) by using the cross-wavelet technique and found that the effects of temperature and soil moisture on the increase in vegetation coverage in the WRB were stronger than that of precipitation.

Moreover, the impact of human activities on vegetation cover has been widely researched. Zhang et al. [23] studied the spatiotemporal variations in NDVI and the climatic factors (CFs) associated with a large dam–reservoir–river system by using trend analysis and correlation analysis methods; they identified the effects of the development of cascade hydropower stations on vegetation coverage. Fang et al. [24] used the bivariate probability framework to compare the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) and NDVI to test the vegetation vulnerability on the Loess Plateau; they found that reasonable irrigation measures and vegetation restoration measures were conducive to reducing vegetation vulnerability.

However, some studies have shown that drivers of vegetation changes are complex, and the joint actions of various factors shape the vegetation form and regional trends [25–27]. For example, Liu et al. [28] found that variations in NDVI were greatly associated with topographic factors in the karst mountainous areas in southwest China based on a linear regression method. Rojo et al. [29] divided the study area into 10 vegetation units according to NDVI characteristics and considered the vegetation diversity correlated with terrain and soil type in the Pozuelos Biosphere Reserve. Leroux et al. [30] calculated pixelwise NDVI temporal trends and considered that the changes of vegetation cover were attributed to multiple factors.

Previous studies preferred to use trend analysis or regression analysis to reflect the influence of factors on NDVI as mentioned above. These methods mainly considered the influence of temporal change of variables. However, they ignored the effect of spatial heterogeneity of factors, which plays an important role in the spatial distribution of NDVI. At the same time, previous studies took factors as separate variables [31,32]. In fact, due to the synergistic effect of various factors on vegetation growth, it is not rigorous enough to study the relationships between vegetation change and individual factors separately. Therefore, it is necessary to comprehensively consider the influences of temporal variation and spatial heterogeneity of factors on NDVI and also the synergistic effect of multiple factors [20].

The Hanjiang River Basin (HJRB) is an important source area for drinking water in Hubei Province and the source area for some water diversion projects in China, and the vegetation coverage in this basin is of great significance to the local ecosystem [32]. Although some researchers have studied the vegetation cover in the HJRB, they usually pay more attention to the impact of climate change [33,34]. However, the factors that affect NDVI in the HJRB vary, and they include the climate, terrain, vegetation type, soil type, and human activities [35]. Therefore, we used a spatial statistical method called Geographical Detector [36,37] to conduct a comprehensive study of the various factors that influence NDVI and determine the appropriate range or type of each factor in the HJRB. Spatial heterogeneity was considered in the Geographical Detector to determine the explanatory power of single factors and the synergistic effects of multiple variables from the aspect of spatial heterogeneity [38,39]. Therefore, this study will mainly solve the following problems: (1) investigating the spatiotemporal variations in NDVI in the HJRB, (2) identifying the main factors that influence the NDVI distribution and determining whether they are independent or dependent, (3) identifying
the interactions among factors and determining the ranges or types of factors that are suitable for vegetation growth, and (4) explaining the cause of NDVI changes. And the research process of this paper is shown as Figure S1.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The Hanjiang River, the longest tributary of the Yangtze River, covers an area of approximately 159,000 km², and it flows through Hubei, Shaanxi, Sichuan, Chongqing, Gansu, and other places. The HJRB is high in the west and low in the east, with an elevation of only approximately 10 m at the bottom and over 3300 m at the top (Figure 1). The HJRB is divided into upper, middle, and lower sub-basins by the Huangjiagang and Huangzhuang hydrological stations. The upper and middle basins are mostly mountainous areas, and the lower basin is the Jianghan Plain. The HJRB is located in a subtropical monsoon region, and the climate here is mild and humid. The annual precipitation totals 873 mm, but it is heterogeneous in space [40]. The main vegetation type in this area is broad-leaved forest, and the main soil type is leached soil [32]. Due to human activities, most of the land in the HJRB is used for cultivation, and the main crops are rice and wheat [41].

![Figure 1. The study area.](image)

2.2. Data Sources

SPOT/VEGETATION NDVI data were obtained from the Resources and Environment Data Cloud Platform of the Chinese Academy of Sciences [42] for the period of 2001–2018. The dataset started on 1 April 1998, with a spatial resolution of 1 km and a temporal resolution of 10 days. The 10-day NDVI data were processed with the maximum value composites (MVC) method; that is, each pixel in the image was characterized by the maximum value that appeared within 10 days [43]. This method can effectively reduce the influence of cloud cover, aerosol, solar altitude, and so forth in the atmosphere. Similarly, monthly data were obtained by synthesizing the 10-day maximum. To fully reflect the characteristics of the vegetation cover, the average NDVI in the growing season (April to September), which was synthesized by Raster Calculator in GIS, was used to replace the annual NDVI [33,44]. A 3 arc-second (90 m) digital elevation model (DEM) was downloaded from the Shuttle Radar
Topography Mission (SRTM) Digital Elevation Database of the USGS/NASA [45], and the elevation, slope, and aspect were calculated based on it.

Climatic datasets from 66 national meteorological stations in the HJRB were obtained from the National Meteorological Information Center (NMIC) of the China Meteorological Administration (CMA) [46]. The datasets covered the period of 2001–2018 and included daily values of temperature (maximum, minimum, and mean), relative humidity, precipitation, evaporation, wind speed, and sunshine duration. Radiation data were calculated from the daily climatic data based on the assessment method for solar energy [47].

The soil type, vegetation type, and land-use data were obtained from the Resources and Environment Data Cloud Platform of the Chinese Academy of Sciences [42], and they were resampled to a 1 km resolution. The classification standards for the soil type, vegetation type, and land-use type were referenced from the 1:1,000,000 Soil Map of the People’s Republic of China, 1:1,000,000 China Vegetation Atlas, and Multiperiod China Land Use and Land Cover Change Remote Sensing Monitoring Data Set (CNLUCC) [48], respectively.

2.3. Methods

2.3.1. NDVI Grades

To reflect the variations, the value of NDVI was equally divided into five levels at 0.2 intervals. These levels were low (0–0.2), low to moderate (0.2–0.4), moderate (0.4–0.6), moderate to high (0.6–0.8), and high (0.8–1.0), respectively [49].

2.3.2. Linear Regression and Mann-Kendall Test

The linear regression and the Mann-Kendall (M-K) test were used to determine the trends of multiple factors in this study. Linear regression is a statistical method that determines the trend associated with dependent variables and independent variables based on observation data. This approach is mainly used to determine the correlation between two variables [50]. The corresponding formula is

\[ T_{slope} = \frac{n \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i \times C_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} i \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i}{n \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} i)^2} \]

where \( n \) is the number of years (in this study, \( n = 18 \)), \( C_i \) is the annual meteorological data for each pixel point in year \( i \), and \( T_{slope} \) is the slope of the linear regression equation of the interannual change in meteorological data at each pixel point in the research area from 2001 to 2018, that is, the rate of change, which reflects the overall trend of meteorological data during the research period. \( T_{slope} > 0 \) indicates that the overall change in NDVI is increasing and vice versa [51].

The M-K test is often used to analyze whether the trend associated with various factors is significant, and the \( t \)-test is used to evaluate the result [52,53]. The corresponding formulas are

\[ Z_{MK} = \begin{cases} \frac{S-1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)/2(n+5)/18}} & \text{for } S > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } S = 0 \\ \frac{S+1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)/2(n+5)/18}} & \text{for } S < 0 \end{cases} \]

\[ S = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \text{sgn}(x_j - x_k) \]
sgn\( (x_j - x_k) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } (x_j - x_k) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } (x_j - x_k) = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } (x_j - x_k) > 0 \end{cases} \)  

(4)

where \( S \) is the Kendall sum statistic, and \( x_j \) and \( x_k \) are parameter values at time \( j \) and \( k \), respectively, in the time series \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_n \). The M-K \( Z_{MK} \) value indicates the trend of a variable. When the \( Z_{MK} \) trend has a significance level greater than 5\% \( (p < 0.05, Z_{MK} \geq |\pm 1.64|) \), the trend is considered significant [54].

2.3.3. Partial Correlation Analysis

The partial correlation analysis is used to calculate the correlation between two factors on the basis of the linear correlation analysis by excluding the interference of other influential factors [55,56]. This metric can truly reflect the correlation between the two factors. The corresponding formula is

\[
R_{xy,z} = \frac{R_{xy} - R_{xz}R_{yz}}{\sqrt{(1 - R_{xz})^2} \sqrt{(1 - R_{yz})^2}}
\]

(5)

where \( x, y, \) and \( z \) represent three factors. \( R_{xy,z} \) represents the correlation between factor \( x \) and factor \( y \) after the interference associated with factor \( z \) is excluded. \( R_{xy} \) reflects the linear correlation coefficient between factor \( x \) and factor \( y \), and \( R_{xz} \) and \( R_{yz} \) have similar meanings.

2.3.4. Geographical Detector

Geographical Detector is a tool that encompasses a series of spatial statistical methods and is used to determine the heterogeneity of factors and define main influencing factors based on the relevant variables, interactions, risks, and ecology [36,57].

1. Detection of the explanatory power of factors

This module is used to detect the heterogeneity of dependent variables and analyze the explanatory power of dependent variables by independent variables. It includes three steps: (a) independent variables are classified, (b) spatial overlay is analyzed for the dependent variable layer and independent variables represented by category attributes, and (c) the \( f \)-test is used to detect the relative importance of the mean values of independent variables. The corresponding formulas are

\[
PD = 1 - \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{L} N_h \sigma_h^2}{N \sigma^2} = 1 - \frac{SSW}{SST}
\]

(6)

\[
SSW = \sum_{h=1}^{L} N_h \sigma_h^2
\]

(7)

\[
SST = N \sigma^2
\]

(8)

where \( PD \) explains the influences of independent variables for the dependent variable; \( h = 1, \ldots, L \) reflects the layer of variables, that is, classification or partitioning. \( N_h \) and \( N \) are the numbers of units in \( h \) and the whole region, respectively, and \( \sigma^2 \) and \( \sigma_h^2 \) are the variance of the dependent variable, correspondingly. \( SSW \) means within sum of squares, and \( SST \) means total sum of squares. The value of \( PD \) is from 0 to 1; the closer the value is to 1, the stronger is the explanatory power of the independent variable for the dependent variable. When the \( PD \) value is equal to 1, it means that the spatial distribution of the dependent variable is absolutely controlled by the independent variable. On the contrary, when the \( PD \) value is 0, the distribution of the dependent variable has nothing to do with the independent variable.
2. Detection of factor interactions

This module is used to determine whether the two independent variables will increase or decrease the explanatory power when they work together. First, the PD values of the two independent variables are calculated as \( PD(a_1) \) and \( PD(a_2) \). Then, the PD values that reflect the interactions between the two independent variables are calculated as \( PD(a_1 ∩ a_2) \) and compared with \( PD(a_1) \) and \( PD(a_2) \).

3. Detection of the optimal range/type of factors

Risk detection is used to determine whether the average values of the dependent variables corresponding to the respective subzones of independent variables are significantly different, and it is used to find the range or type of independent variables in favor of the dependent variable. Risk detection is based on the \( t \)-statistic. The calculation steps are as follows:

First, calculate the average values of NDVI corresponding to different ranges/types of a factor. Next, determine whether there is a significant difference between each two values based on \( t \)-test. Finally, find out the range/type corresponding to the maximum value. If it is significantly different from other values, it means that this range/type is the most suitable. Otherwise, find the second largest value and compare whether it is significantly different from values other than the maximum value. If it is true, there are two most suitable ranges/types. Otherwise, repeat this step until the conditions are met.

4. Detection of differences between factors

Ecological detection is used to determine whether the effects of two independent variables \( (a_1 \) and \( a_2) \) on the distribution of one dependent variable are significantly different (i.e., whether \( a_1 \) will have an impact on the distribution of the dependent variable more significantly than \( a_2 \)). The \( F \) statistic is used to examine the difference between \( SSWa_1 \) and \( SSWa_2 \), which represents the sum of the intralayer variance for two independent variables.

2.3.5. Index Selection and Classification

To explore the factors that influence NDVI in the HJRB, 11 factors were chosen based on the information from previous studies and local conditions in the study area (Table 1). According to the corresponding properties, the factors were classified into five categories, namely, climate, topography, vegetation, soil, and human activities. At the same time, the first four among them were considered as the natural factors.

Table 1. The name and introduction of index.

| Category          | Code | Index                  | Unit   |
|-------------------|------|------------------------|--------|
| Climate           | Pre  | Annual precipitation   | mm     |
|                   | Tem  | Annual mean temperature| °C     |
|                   | Sun  | Annual sunshine duration| hours |
|                   | Hum  | Annual mean relative humidity | %     |
|                   | Rad  | Annual total radiation  | MJ/m²  |
|                   | Ele  | Elevation              | m      |
| Topography        | Slp  | Slope                  | °      |
|                   | Asp  | Aspect                 | °      |
| Vegetation        | Veg  | Vegetation type        | -      |
| Soil              | Sol  | Soil type              | -      |
| Human activities  | Land | Land-use type          | -      |

For the convenience of the study, we set up sampling points in the basin area [19]. The 8648 sampling points were the center points of the fishnet, which was generated by the Create Fishnet function in GIS according to the \( 4 \times 4 \) km resolution. Year-by-year property sheets were generated by extracting
values from the raster (NDVI and factors) according to the location of the sampling points using the Extract Multi Values to Points function in GIS.

According to the requirements of the research, the factors were classified as follows: the climate factors and slope direction were graded according to professional knowledge; the elevation and slope were graded according to the natural breakpoint method; and the vegetation types, soil types, and land-use types were graded according to the existing specifications (Table 2).

### Table 2. The classification of index.

| Factors Class Description | Factors Class Description | Factors Class Description |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Factors Class Description | Factors Class Description | Factors Class Description |
| **Factors Class Description** | **Factors Class Description** | **Factors Class Description** |
| Annual precipitation (mm) | Elevation (m) | Vegetation Type |
| 1 <800 | 1 1000–1200 | 1 Coniferous forest |
| 2 800–1000 | 2 | 2 Broad-leaved forest |
| 3 1000–1200 | 3 | 3 Bushywood |
| 4 >1200 | 4 | 4 Grass |
| 1 <10 | 5 | 5 Meadow |
| 2 10–12 | 6 | 6 Cultivated plant |
| 3 12–14 | 7 | 7 Others |
| 4 14–16 | 8 | 8 Leached soil |
| 5 >16 | 9 | 9 Semileached soil |
| Annual mean temperature (°C) | Slope (°) | Soil Type |
| 1 <10 | 1 337.5~22.5 | 1 Ferralisol |
| 2 10~12 | 2 22.5~67.5 | 2 Others |
| 3 12~14 | 3 67.5~112.5 | 3 Hydromorphic soil |
| 4 >14 | 4 112.5~157.5 | 4 Artificial soil |
| Annual sunshine duration (hours) | | Land-use type |
| 1 <1600 | 1 157.5~202.5 | 1 Forest |
| 2 1600~1900 | 2 202.5~247.5 | 2 Forest |
| 3 >1900 | 3 247.5~292.5 | 3 Grass |
| Annual mean relative humidity (%) | Aspect (°) | | |
| 1 <70 | 1 112.5~157.5 | 1 Cultivated land |
| 2 70~75 | 2 157.5~202.5 | 2 Forest |
| 3 >75 | 3 202.5~247.5 | 3 Grass |
| Annual total radiation (MJ/m²) | | Urban and industrial area |
| 1 <3600 | 1 247.5~292.5 | 4 Water area |
| 2 3600~3960 | 2 292.5~337.5 | 5 Urban and industrial area |
| 3 >3960 | 3 337.5~380.5 | 6 Unused land |

3. Results

3.1. Spatiotemporal Variations in NDVI in the HJRB

3.1.1. Variations in the Average NDVI in the HJRB

From 2001 to 2018, the annual increasing rate of NDVI in the HJRB was 0.0046 year⁻¹ (Figure 2). The interannual variation in NDVI revealed two periods of acceleration from 2001 to 2018: they were 2001–2002 and 2011–2013, and the increasing rates were 3.52% and 3.67%, respectively. During the period from 2001 to 2018, NDVI declined in some years, but the decline was not obvious. These results suggest that the vegetation cover in this area showed a recovery trend.

Areas with low NDVI accounted for 0.069%, 0%, 0.069%, 0.058%, and 0.058% of the total area in 2001, 2005, 2010, 2015, and 2018, respectively (Table 3). The proportion of areas with low NDVI was generally small and barely changed. Areas with moderate to low NDVI also accounted for a small percentage of the total area, at 0.208%, 0.301%, 0.208%, 0.405%, and 0.532% in the years listed above; thus, overall, a slightly increasing trend occurred. The ratios of moderate NDVI regions from 2001 to 2018 were approximately 0.786–2.637%. The proportion of areas with NDVI values exceeding 0.6 in all years exceeded 95%, but the proportion of moderate to high NDVI and high NDVI regions varied greatly from year to year. In 2001, areas of moderate to high NDVI accounted for 63.960%, and the proportion of high NDVI regions was 33.125%; these values reached 38.607% and 60.051% in 2005, respectively. The variations in the moderate to high NDVI regions were related to those for the high NDVI regions. Notably, approximately 25% of the area turned from moderate to high NDVI regions to high NDVI regions from 2001 to 2005. From 2005 to 2010, approximately 8% of areas turned from moderate to high NDVI regions to high NDVI regions. The ratios from 2010 to 2015 and 2015 to 2018 were approximately 10% and 3%, respectively. From 2001 to 2018, the total conversion area ratio was approximately 46%. It can be concluded that one of the main reasons for the increase in NDVI in the HJRB was that an increasing number of moderate to high NDVI regions were converted to high NDVI regions. These areas were converted most rapidly from 2001 to 2005, and the proportion of
high NDVI regions increased by approximately 81.3% during this time. The proportion generally declined over time and was 14.7%, 12.1%, and 4.2% from 2005 to 2010, 2010 to 2015, and 2015 to 2018, respectively. Therefore, it can be assumed that the growth of NDVI tended to slow.

**Table 3. Area ratio of different NDVI levels (%).**

|        | 2001   | 2005   | 2010   | 2015   | 2018   | 2001–2018 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| 0–0.2  | 0.069  | 0.000  | 0.069  | 0.058  | 0.058  | 0.046     |
| 0.2–0.4| 0.208  | 0.301  | 0.208  | 0.405  | 0.532  | 0.231     |
| 0.4–0.6| 2.637  | 1.041  | 0.786  | 1.943  | 1.966  | 1.180     |
| 0.6–0.8| 63.960 | 38.607 | 30.083 | 20.402 | 17.048 | 35.068    |
| 0.8–1.0| 33.125 | 60.051 | 68.853 | 77.192 | 80.396 | 63.474    |

**3.1.2. Spatial Patterns of NDVI in the HJRB**

NDVI in the HJRB showed obvious spatial heterogeneity (Figure 3). The NDVI value was from 0.12 to 0.90, and the average was 0.80. NDVI was higher in the upstream area than in the downstream area. The distribution of NDVI values was generally consistent with the terrain distribution in the HJRB; there was a clear dividing line in NDVI values from upstream to downstream, and this divide was roughly consistent with a contour of 100 m (based on Figure 1). Overall, NDVI was high in the Qinba Mountains upstream of the HJRB but low in urban agglomeration regions on the Jianghan Plain.

The temporal trends of NDVI in the HJRB from 2001 to 2018 were not evenly distributed in space (Figure 4a). Generally, the growth trend in the upper reach was greater than that in the lower reach. Regions with significant decreases in NDVI were mainly distributed in six cities or regions on the Jianghan Plain downstream of the HJRB, including Xiangfan, Jingmen, Xiaogan, Wuhan and the jurisdiction region in Hubei Province, and Nanyang in Henan Province. Such areas were also distributed in small parts of Hanzhong and Ankang in Shaanxi Province, Shangluo in Shaanxi Province, and Shiyan in Hubei Province. NDVI in the other regions mainly displayed significant growth. The distribution of the M-K results in the HJRB was consistent with that of NDVI (Figures 3 and 4a). In the whole basin, the proportion of area with decreased NDVI was only 7.22%, and the proportion...
of area with increased NDVI was 92.36% (Figure 4b). In addition, the proportions that passed the significant increase and decrease tests were 2.91% and 81.17%, respectively ($p < 0.05$).

![Figure 3. The distribution of NDVI in the HJRB.](image)

![Figure 4. NDVI trends and their distribution in the HJRB: (a) M-K values in the HJRB from 2001 to 2008; (b) the distribution of M-K values from 2001 to 2018.](image)

3.2. Influences of Different Factors on NDVI

Using the four modules of Geographical Detector, we analyzed the influence of a single factor, different factor ranges/types, the interaction influence of factors, and the difference of factors on NDVI, respectively.

3.2.1. Impacts of Single Factors

The influence of each factor was compared by calculating the $PD$ values (Table 4). From 2001 to 2018, the order of the influence of a single factor on NDVI is follows: elevation > slope > vegetation.
type > land-use type > temperature > soil type > precipitation > sunshine duration > relative humidity > radiation > aspect.

Table 4. The PD values of each factor.

| Factors    | Pre  | Tem  | Sun  | Hum  | Rad  | Ele  | Slp  | Asp  | Veg  | Sol  | Land |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| PD value   | 0.0738 | 0.1653 | 0.0294 | 0.0170 | 0.0092 | 0.3889 | 0.2734 | 0.0094 | 0.2475 | 0.1317 | 0.2273 |

As shown in Table 4, the PD value of the elevation was the largest (0.3889), which meant that the elevation factor accounted for an effect of more than 38% on the distribution of NDVI. Therefore, the most important factor that influenced the spatial distribution of vegetation cover was the elevation. Meanwhile, the corresponding values of the slope, vegetation type, and land-use type were 0.2734, 0.2475, and 0.2273, respectively, indicating that they all accounted for effects of more than 20% on the distribution of NDVI. It can be assumed that the slope, vegetation type, and land-use type also controlled the vegetation cover to some extent. The PD values of the temperature and soil type were 0.1653 and 0.1317, respectively, and their effects on the distribution of the vegetation cannot be ignored. The precipitation, sunshine duration, relative humidity, radiation, and aspect had little impact on the distribution of vegetation cover. However, the PD value only reflected the individual effect of each factor on the distribution of vegetation cover, and factors might have significant effects when combined with other factors.

3.2.2. Analysis of the Factor Ranges/Types Suitable for Vegetation Growth

Based on the risk zone analysis of Geographic Detectors, the ranges/types of factors that were favorable or unfavorable for vegetation growth were analyzed. The larger the NDVI values corresponding to different ranges were, the more beneficial the factor ranges were to vegetation growth. The mean NDVI values corresponding to different factors and different ranges/types were significantly different. The factor ranges and types suitable for vegetation growth were examined based on a t-test at a 95% confidence level (Table 5). Additionally, we performed a specific analysis of factors with high influence (Figures 5 and 6).

Table 5. The factor range/type suitable for vegetation growth.

| Factors      | Appropriate Range/Type                      | The Average NDVI |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Pre          | 600–800 mm, 1000–1200 mm                    | 0.82             |
| Tem          | 10–12 °C                                    | 0.86             |
| Sun          | <1600 h, 1900–2200 h                        | 0.81             |
| Hum          | 75–80%                                      | 0.82             |
| Rad          | <3600 MJ/m²                                  | 0.85             |
| Ele          | >1379 m                                     | 0.86             |
| Slp          | >15.19°                                     | 0.86             |
| Asp          | 0–67.5°, 292.5°–337.5°                      | 0.81             |
| Veg          | Broad-leaved forest, meadow                 | 0.84             |
| Sol          | Leached soil                                | 0.82             |
| Land         | Forest                                      | 0.83             |
Based on a t-test at a 95% confidence level (Table 5). Additionally, we performed a specific analysis of factors with high influence (Figures 5 and 6).

### Table 5. The factor range/type suitable for vegetation growth.

| Factor                | Range/Type                        | Average NDVI |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Pre                   | 600~800 mm, 1000~1200 mm          | 0.82         |
| Tem                   | 10~12 °C                           | 0.86         |
| Sun                   | <1600 h, 1900~2200 h              | 0.81         |
| Hum                   | 75~80%                             | 0.82         |
| Rad                   | <3600 MJ/m²                        | 0.85         |
| Ele                   | >1379 m                            | 0.86         |
| Slp                   | >15.19°                            | 0.86         |
| Asp                   | 0~67.5°, 292.5°~337.5°             | 0.81         |
| Veg                   | Broad-leaved forest, meadow        | 0.84         |
| Sol                   | Leached soil                       | 0.82         |
| Land                  | Forest                             | 0.83         |

Figure 5. Statistic of the main influencing factors of NDVI: (a) elevation, (b) slope, (c) vegetation type, (d) land-use type, (e) temperature, (f) soil type.

Figure 6. Distribution of the main influencing factors of NDVI: (a) vegetation type, (b) land-use type, (c) temperature, (d) soil type.
The average NDVI increased with increasing elevation and slope; the most suitable elevation range for vegetation growth was >1379 m, and the slope range most suitable for vegetation growth was >15.19° (Figures 5 and 6). It can be assumed that mountains with high elevations and steep terrain are conducive to vegetation growth. Vegetation types with the highest NDVI were the broad-leaved forest and meadow. The broad-leaved forest mainly grew in the upper and northern parts of the middle reaches, and the meadow mainly grew in the middle reach. Among all land-use types, the NDVI value of the forest was the largest, reaching 0.83. The forests covered most areas above the middle reaches of the HJRB. The most suitable annual mean temperature for vegetation growth was approximately 10–12 °C. The NDVI value within this temperature range was over 0.8, and the maximum was approximately 0.9. Among the soil types in the HJRB, the proportion and the NDVI value of the leached soil were the largest.

3.2.3. Analysis of the Interactions among Factors

The interactions among factors that influence NDVI were assessed (Table 6), and the results were used to determine whether these interactions were strong or weak.

Table 6. The interaction between factors.

|       | Pre  | Tem  | Sun  | Hum  | Rad  | Ele  | Slp  | Asp  | Veg  | Sol  | Land |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Pre   | 0.0738 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Tem   | 0.2480 | 0.1653 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Sun   | 0.1254 | 0.1757 | 0.0294 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Hum   | 0.1135 | 0.1958 | 0.0740 | 0.017 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Rad   | 0.1021 | 0.2286 | 0.0928 | 0.0483 | 0.0092 |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Ele   | 0.4644 | 0.4187 | 0.4151 | 0.4034 | 0.4404 | 0.3889 |      |      |      |      |      |
| Slp   | 0.3410 | 0.2938 | 0.2813 | 0.2793 | 0.2857 | 0.3988 | 0.2734 |      |      |      |      |
| Asp   | 0.0913 | 0.1774 | 0.0433 | 0.027 | 0.0196 | 0.3930 | 0.2787 | 0.0094 |      |      |      |
| Veg   | 0.3119 | 0.2958 | 0.2595 | 0.2847 | 0.2646 | 0.4548 | 0.3699 | 0.2657 | 0.2475 |      |      |
| Sol   | 0.2069 | 0.2263 | 0.1468 | 0.1533 | 0.1837 | 0.4036 | 0.2900 | 0.1495 | 0.2911 | 0.1317 |      |
| Land  | 0.3048 | 0.2958 | 0.2546 | 0.2514 | 0.2557 | 0.4467 | 0.3457 | 0.2385 | 0.3361 | 0.2663 | 0.2273 |

According to Table 6, the PD values became greater after interactions, indicating that vegetation growth was related to the synergistic interactions of multiple factors. The interaction of any factor and the elevation maximized the PD value, indicating that the elevation played the most important role on vegetation growth in the HJRB; this was consistent with the conclusion in Section 3.2.1. The PD value of the interaction between the elevation and precipitation was the largest at 0.4644, suggesting that the corresponding explanatory power for NDVI could reach more than 46% for this interaction. Although the precipitation had only about 7% explanatory power for NDVI, the PD value associated with the interaction between the precipitation and elevation exceeded the arithmetic sum of their respective PD values and was far greater than those interactions between any other two factors. Thus, it was concluded that precipitation also had a notable impact on NDVI, as mainly reflected in the interactions with other factors.

To determine the interactions among the factors, the PD value after the interaction was compared with the arithmetic sum of two individual PD values (Table 7). We used “>” to indicate that the interaction value was greater than the arithmetic sum and “<” to indicate the opposite.

There were two main interactions among the factors. One was called mutual enhancement; that is, the interaction was greater than the arithmetic sum. In contrast, the other was called nonlinear enhancement. The interactive effects between the precipitation, humidity, and aspect with most of the other factors were mutually enhanced; the interaction effects between the elevation and slope and most of other factors were nonlinearly enhanced; and the interaction effects between the remaining factors were variable. Among all the factors, only the total radiation displayed a mutual enhancement relationship when interacting with the other factors. This result indicated that total radiation also had an important effect on vegetation growth, and this effect was manifested in the interactions with the other factors.
Table 7. Comparison of factor interactions.

| Pre | Tem | Sun | Hum | Rad | Ele | Slp | Asp | Veg | Sol |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Tem | >   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Sun | >   | <   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Hum | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   |
| Rad | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   |
| Ele | >   | <   | <   | <   | <   | <   | <   | <   | <   |
| Slp | <   | <   | <   | <   | <   | <   | <   | <   | <   |
| Asp | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   | >   | <   | <   | <   |
| Veg | <   | <   | <   | >   | >   | >   | <   | <   | <   |
| Sol | <   | <   | <   | >   | >   | >   | <   | <   | <   |
| Land| >   | <   | <   | >   | >   | >   | <   | <   | <   |

3.2.4. Analysis of Different Effects among Factors on NDVI

Table 8 shows the results of ecological detection. We used “Y” and “N” to denote whether there was a significant difference between the effects of two factors on NDVI.

Table 8. The difference between factors.

| Pre | Tem | Sun | Hum | Rad | Ele | Slp | Asp | Veg | Sol |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Tem | Y   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Sun | N   | N   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Hum | N   | N   | N   | N   |     |     |     |     |     |
| Rad | N   | N   | N   | N   | N   |     |     |     |     |
| Ele | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   |
| Slp | N   | N   | N   | N   | N   | N   | N   | N   | N   |
| Asp | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   |
| Veg | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   |
| Sol | Y   | N   | Y   | Y   | N   | N   | Y   | N   | N   |
| Land| Y   | Y   | Y   | Y   | N   | N   | Y   | N   | Y   |

According to Table 8, except for the precipitation and temperature, there was little difference between the other climate factors on the impacts of NDVI. Except for the slope, there were significant differences between most variables and climate factors. The differences between the elevation and the other factors were consistent with those for the slope. The slope was only significantly different from the vegetation type, soil type, and land-use type. Meanwhile, the difference between the vegetation type and soil type or between the vegetation type and land-use type was not significant. However, there was a significant difference between the soil type and land-use type on NDVI.

3.3. Factors Leading to NDVI Changes

3.3.1. Effects of Climate Change on NDVI

In this paper, the precipitation and temperature were selected as the main impact factors to reflect the influence of climate change on NDVI. Using partial correlation analysis, the relationships between NDVI and the precipitation and temperature in the HJRB were analyzed (Figure 7). The partial correlation coefficients between NDVI and the precipitation were between −0.50 and 0.64, with an average value of 0.26 from 2001 to 2018. The proportion of the area that passed the 95% significant increase test was 7.92%. The partial correlation coefficients between NDVI and the temperature were between −0.41 and 0.81, with an average value of 0.33. The proportion of the area that passed the 95% significant increase test was 15.75%. In general, NDVI was positively correlated with the precipitation and temperature in the HJRB. The regions where NDVI negatively correlated with the precipitation and temperature were distributed in the middle and lower reaches, but they failed to pass the significance test at 95%. Therefore, it could be assumed that NDVI in these regions had nothing to do with climate change and might be affected by other factors.
By analyzing the trends of the precipitation and temperature from 2001 to 2018 (Figure 8), it was found that both the precipitation and temperature in the HJRB exhibited an increasing trend, and the change rates of the precipitation and temperature were 3.665 mm/year and 0.017 °C/year, respectively. Therefore, the climatic trends in the HJRB were warming and wetting. Combined with the results of Geographical Detector, the temperature had a greater impact on NDVI compared with the precipitation. Although the precipitation had a certain impact on the change in NDVI, climate warming was the main reason driving the growth of vegetation.
3.3.2. Effects of Human Activities on NDVI

There were some changes in land use in the HJRB from 2000 to 2018 (Figure 9). The cultivated land area reduced by about 4.5%; the forest area, water area, urban area, and industrial land area increased to certain extents, and the grassland area slightly decreased. In general, the land-use types in the HJRB did not change much. According to Figure 9b, the NDVI values of the cultivated land, forest, grassland, and unused land all increased, but the NDVI values of the water area and the urban and industrial land areas had decreased since 2010. The lower reaches of the HJRB are mainly urban areas, and the main cities are Qianjiang, Tianmen, and Xiantao. The built-up area of cities generally increased since 2010 (Figure 9c). This indicates that the urban expansion leads to the decrease of NDVI. As key ecological protection areas, national and local governments have implemented various ecological restoration projects in upstream of the HJRB. Taking the Shennongjia Region as an example (Figure 9d), under the leadership of the local government, projects such as afforestation and returning farmland to forest were actively implemented. Since 2005, the cumulative area of afforestation has reached 130 km². Moreover, the area of afforestation has accelerated since 2014, and by 2018, the area of afforestation in the area reached 1333 hectares. In combination with Figure 4, NDVI growth was obvious in some areas of Shennongjia. This indicates that afforestation has a positive effect on NDVI. It can be concluded that human activities will affect vegetation cover in two directions: destruction and restoration.

Figure 9. The effects of human activities on NDVI: (a) the trend of the land use change, (b) the trend of NDVI change corresponding to different land-use types, (c) the built-up area in the downstream, (d) the afforestation area in the Shennongjia Region.
4. Discussion

Using Geographical Detector, we analyzed the most suitable ranges/types of factors for vegetation growth. This is significant to the local vegetation restoration. Among the factors, the effect of topography on NDVI seemed to be to be slightly counterintuitive. Therefore, it is necessary to discuss the reasons for this phenomenon. At the same time, we also discussed how to restore vegetation cover and put forward some suggestions.

4.1. The Impact of Topography on NDVI

According to our research, the elevation and slope had very important influences on the distribution of NDVI in the HJRB. NDVI increased obviously with the increase of elevation and slope (Figure 10).

![Figure 10. NDVI values of different elevation and slope ranges: (a) elevation; (b) slope.](image)

Although the mountainous areas with high terrain and large slopes were not abundant in the entire area, the corresponding NDVI values were the largest. By combining these results with those in Figure 6a, we found that the vegetation types in the upstream mountainous areas were relatively abundant. The vegetation types in the downstream plain were simple and mainly cultivated plants. According to previous studies, cultivated plants in the lower reaches of the HJRB mainly mature in May–June and September–October [32,58]. Harvesting of cultivated plants can cause a sudden drop in NDVI. As the elevation and slope increase, the amount of cultivated plants gradually decreases. NDVI will increase accordingly. Changes of NDVI in Guizhou Region [28] and Poyang Lake Basin [59] with topography are similar to those in the HJRB. However this is not a universal rule in China. According to the study of Meng [20], the terrain does not have a positive effect on NDVI in Mongolia because of the cold climate. Fu’s [60] study indicated that NDVI increased with elevation when elevation was less than 3700 m, and NDVI decreased when elevation exceeded 4700 m. It can be seen that the impact of topography on vegetation cover is often related to climatic conditions and human activities. The hydrothermal conditions are sufficient in the HJRB [33], and the altitude here is not enough to make the climatic conditions at the top of the mountain differ greatly from those in the downstream. The downstream human activities have a more significant impact on vegetation cover. Therefore, the less human activity, the higher NDVI upstream.

4.2. Suggestions for Vegetation Restoration

Urban expansion will destroy vegetation cover in urban areas [61,62]. Therefore, it is urgent to balance urban development and vegetation cover. Zhang et al. [63] suggested that the government should delineate the boundaries of urban expansion. Song et al. [64] found that new city urban spaces, such as forest parks, city parks, and community parks, may greatly improve vegetation coverage in Shenzhen, and this can be referenced by other cities.
Afforestation seems to be one of the most common measures for watershed vegetation restoration. However, it is worth noting that excessive afforestation will also have negative effects [65]. Many studies have found that afforestation may cause the decay of water storage [66–68]. The impact of vegetation on water resources deserves more research. It should consider not only vegetation coverage or greening rate when restoring vegetation, but also local comprehensive benefits.

5. Conclusions

In this study, 11 factors that influence the distribution of NDVI changes were classified into five categories (climate, topography, vegetation, soil, and human activities). Using Geographical Detector, the individual and interactive influences of factors were quantified, and the ranges/types of factors suitable for NDVI were analyzed based on SPOT/VEGETATION NDVI data from 2001 to 2018 in the HJRB. In addition, this research studied the main causes leading to NDVI change and discussed how topography, climate change, and human activities affect the spatiotemporal changes in vegetation coverage.

NDVI in the HJRB increased with a rate of 0.0046 year\(^{-1}\) from 2001 to 2018, and increased rapidly from 2001 to 2002 and from 2011 to 2013, with a growth rate of more than 3%. Regions with significant increases in NDVI accounted for 81.17% \((p < 0.05)\). Regions with significant decreases in NDVI were mainly distributed in the downstream portion of the HJRB.

NDVI in the HJRB was not uniformly distributed in space. Vegetation types were rich in middle and upper reaches of the HJRB and poor in lower reaches. The main natural factors that influenced the spatial distribution of NDVI were the elevation and slope. The precipitation, humidity, and aspect were more likely to cause mutual enhancement, and the elevation and slope were more likely to cause nonlinear enhancement when interacting with other factors. For the HJRB, the ranges of the elevation, slope, and temperature suitable for vegetation growth were >1379 km, >15.19°, and 10 to 12 °C. The vegetation type, land-use type, and soil type with the largest NDVI value were the broad-leaved forest and meadow, forest, and leached soil, respectively.

Climate change and human activities were the main factors that influenced temporal variations in NDVI in the HJRB. Precipitation and temperature changed with rates of 3.665 mm/year and 0.017 °C/year from 2001 to 2018. Precipitation and temperature were positively correlated with NDVI in most regions. This suggests that NDVI will increase under the warming and wetting climate. Through the investigation of land-use type changes in the HJRB, we found that NDVI increased in most regions but decreased in urban areas. This finding suggests that human activities can destroy vegetation cover to some extent.

Finally, this research discussed the impacts of topography in detail. The higher NDVI values in the upstream region were due to favorable climatic conditions and less human activities. At the same time, some suggestions of vegetation restoration were put forward.

In this research, the influences of natural and human factors on the spatiotemporal variations in NDVI were quantitatively analyzed to provide reasonable support for vegetation restoration according to local conditions in the future. However, this paper considered only the land use to represent the impact of human activities on NDVI and did not specifically consider the impact of urbanization. This issue requires further research in the future. Moreover, the influence of vegetation changes on local climate cannot be ignored, and research on this factor in the HJRB remains to be performed.
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