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1 Introduction

The paper deals with so-called generalized equations, that is inclusions of the form

\[ 0 \in f(x, y) + Q(y) \tag{1} \]

where \( f : X \times Y \mapsto Y^* \), \( Y \) – Banach space, \( Y^* \) dual space to \( Y \), \( X \) – normed space and \( Q : Y \rightrightarrows Y^* \) is a set valued mapping.

Generalized equations provide a useful tool for the analysis of complementarity problems, first order optimality conditions in mathematical programming, equilibrium problems, and many aspects of nonlinear analysis. Recently
metric regularity and Lipschizian stability of solutions to (1) have been studied in [1]. In [8] the generalized equation (1) has been accompanied by differential equation and is called differential generalized equation (DGE). The authors provided characterization of metric regularity of control system coupled with a differential generalized equation, and characterize metric regularity of (DGE) in the finite dimensional case (see also [13,14,19] for other results related to existence and stability issues). For generalized equations of the form

\[ 0 \in x - G(y), \]

where \( G : X \times Y \rightrightarrows Z \) and \( X, Y, Z \) – Banach spaces the inverse mapping theorems and higher order metric regularity have been discussed in [10,11,12].

We investigate the problem (1) with the set valued mapping \( Q \) of the form

\[ Q = \text{N}_C(y), \]

where \( C \subset Y \) is a convex set, i.e.

\[ 0 \in f(x, y) + \text{N}_C(y), \tag{2} \]

see e.g. [17,26]. Here \( \text{N}_C(y) : Y \rightrightarrows Y^* \) is defined as

\[ \text{N}_C(y) := \begin{cases} \{ z \in Y^* : \langle z, c - y \rangle \leq 0, \forall c \in C \} & \text{if } y \in C \\ \emptyset & \text{if } y \notin C. \end{cases} \tag{3} \]

In the present paper we prove implicit function theorem for the problem (2). In [2], an implicit function theorem is provided for the problem \( f(x, y) \in A \), where \( f : X \times Y \mapsto Z \) is a differentiable mapping with \( f_y' \) being onto, \( X \) topological space, \( Y, Z \) – Banach spaces and \( A \subset Z \), see also [16,23].

The approach to implicit function theorem for generalized equation (2) we propose differs from the results of the above mentioned papers in that we focus on singular mappings, i.e. we do not assume surjectivity of the derivative of the mapping \( f \). Such problems (2) are called singular inclusion problems.

Let \((x_0, y_0)\) be the solution to the inclusion problem (2), i.e.

\[ 0 \in f(x_0, y_0) + \text{N}_C(y_0). \tag{4} \]

We use \( p \)-regularity theory [7] in deriving our implicit function theorem for (2) which is an efficient tool to deal with differentiable mappings when the first derivative of \( f \) at \((x_0, y_0)\) is not surjective (singular, degenerate) and so the strong regularity condition by Robinson (see [23]) is not applicable.

More precisely, we investigate the problem of existence of a locally defined mapping \( \varphi : X \to Y \), \( y = \varphi(x) \) which is a solution of (2) near a given solution \((x_0, y_0)\), that is \( 0 \in f(x, \varphi(x)) + \text{N}_C(\varphi(x)) \) for \( x \) close to \( x_0 \) and \( y_0 = \varphi(x_0) \).

The classical implicit function theorem says that when a continuously differentiable function \( f(x, y) \) vanishes at a point \((x_0, y_0)\) with \( f_y'(x_0, y_0) \) nonsingular (surjective), the equation \( f(x, y) = 0 \) can be solved for \( y \) in terms of \( x \) in a neighborhood of \((x_0, y_0)\). This theorem has been extended in various directions, e.g. to Banach spaces [27,28], to multivalued mappings [2,10,11,16,23], to nonsmooth functions [16,24], etc.

The results we present can be applied to parametric problems. There are numerous theorems concerning the solution existence of the problem with small
parameter. Some of them deal with the problem of solution existence of the equation \( f(x, y) = 0 \), where the mapping \( f \) is singular, e.g. \([4,5,20,21,29]\).

This analysis was based on the constructions of \( p \)-regularity theory that has been developed for the last forty years. The main constructions of this theory are described e.g. in \([3]–[6]\) or in \([27]–[29]\).

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we formulate our main result which is Theorem 1 providing conditions for the existence of the implicit function \( \varphi \) for the singular inclusion problem (2). In section 3 we prove Lusternik-type theorem for (2).

2 Implicit Function Theorem for singular inclusions

Let \( p \geq 2 \) be a natural number and let \( B : X^p \to Y \) be a continuous symmetric \( p \)-multilinear mapping. By \( B^p : X \to Y \) we mean the \( p \)-form associated to \( B \) and define it as follows

\[
B^p(x) = B(x, x, \ldots, x), \quad x \in X.
\]

For any \( h \in Y \) and \( f \in C^{p+1}(X \times Y, Y^*) \) we define a set-valued mapping \( L_h : Y \mapsto Y^* \),

\[
L_h(y) := \frac{1}{(p-1)!} f_y^{(p)}(x_0, y_0)[h]^{p-1}[h + y] + N\{h + y\}.
\]

Recall the Hausdorff distance between any sets \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \),

\[
H(S_1, S_2) := \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in S_1} \text{dist}(x, S_2), \sup_{y \in S_2} \text{dist}(y, S_1) \right\}.
\]

Without loss of generality assume that \( x_0 = 0 \) and \( y_0 = 0 \). Denote \( U_\gamma(0), V_\gamma(0) \) sufficiently small neighborhoods of 0 in \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively. Consider completely degenerate case up to the order \( p \), that is assume that \( f_y^{(k)}(0, 0) \equiv 0 \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, p-1 \).

We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** Let \( f \in C^{p+1}(X \times Y, Y^*) \). Suppose that \([13]\) is satisfied at \((x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)\) and

\[
f_y^{(k)}(0, 0) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1.
\]

Assume the following conditions hold.

1° **Banach condition:**

for any \( x \in U_\gamma(0) \), such that \( f(x, 0) \neq 0 \) and \( \gamma > 0 \) is sufficiently small, there exists \( h(x) \in Y, h \neq 0 \) such that

\[
- f(x, 0) \in \frac{1}{(p-1)!} f_y^{(p)}(0, 0)[h(x)]^p + N\{h(x)\},
\]

and \( \|h(x)\| \leq c \cdot \|f(x, 0)\|^{1/p} \), where \( c > 0 \) is independent constant,
\(2° \) Strong \( p \)-regularity condition at the point \( 0 \) along \( h = h(x), h \in Y \), i.e.

\[
H(L^{-1}_h(z_1), L^{-1}_h(z_2)) \leq \frac{c}{\|h\|^p-1} \|z_1 - z_2\|, \quad \forall z_1, z_2 \in Y^*, \tag{7}
\]

\(3° \) \( p \)-factor approximation condition, i.e.

\[
\left\| f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2) - \frac{1}{p!} f^{(p)}(0, 0)[y_1]^p + \frac{1}{p!} f^{(p)}(0, 0)[y_2]^p \right\| \leq \\
\leq \delta \left( \|y_1\|^{p-1} + \|y_2\|^{p-1} \right) \|y_1 - y_2\| \tag{8}
\]

for \( x \in U_\gamma(0), y_1, y_2 \in V_\gamma(0) \) and \( \delta > 0 \) sufficiently small.

Then for sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exist a neighborhood \( U_\varepsilon(0) \subset X \) and a mapping \( \varphi(x) : U_\varepsilon(0) \to Y \) such that for any \( x \in U_\varepsilon(0) \) the mapping \( \varphi(x) \) is a solution of the inclusion (2), i.e.

\[
0 \in f(x, \varphi(x)) + NC(\varphi(x)), \tag{9}
\]

and

\[
\|\varphi(x)\| \leq m \cdot \|f(x, 0)\|^{1/p}, \tag{10}
\]

where \( m > 0 \) is independent constant.

Remark. In the case when \( f(x, 0) = 0 \) we can take the mapping \( \varphi(x) = 0 \) and Banach condition is trivial.

Before we prove this theorem we give two examples and for the convenience of the Reader we recall Robinson’s strong regularity condition [23].

**Definition 1** Let \( f \in C^1(X \times Y, Y^*) \) and \((x_0, y_0)\) be a solution of (2) and

\[
Ty := f(x_0, y_0) + f'_y(x_0, y_0)(y - y_0) + NC(y).
\]

We say that (2) is strongly regular at \((x_0, y_0)\) with associated Lipschitz constant \( \lambda \) if there exist neighborhoods \( U \) of the origin in \( Y^* \) and \( V \) of \( y_0 \) such that the restriction to \( U \) of \( T^{-1} \cap V \) is a single valued function from \( U \) to \( V \) which is Lipschitzian on \( U \) with modulus \( \lambda \).

**Example 1.**

Consider the nonlinear complementarity problem to solve the system

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{f}_1(x, y) &= y_2^2 - y_1^2 - x_1 \geq 0 \\
\dot{f}_2(x, y) &= y_1 \cdot y_2 - x_2 \geq 0 \\
y &\geq 0, \quad \langle f(x, y), y \rangle = 0
\end{align*} \tag{11}
\]

where

\[
x = (x_1, x_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad y = (y_1, y_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad f(x, y) = (f_1(x, y), f_2(x, y))^T,
\]
\( f : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( x \) represents a small perturbation parameter. This problem is equivalent to the following generalized equation (see [23])

\[
0 \in f(x, y) + N_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}(y) \tag{12}
\]

and to analyze nonlinear complementarity problem \( [11] \) we can investigate inclusion \( (12) \) and apply Theorem \( [1] \). It is obvious that the strong regularity condition (see Definition \( [1] \)) fails at \( (0, 0)^T \) (since \( f'_y(0, 0) \equiv 0 \)) and \( T^{-1} \) is multivalued mapping, where \( T y := f'_y(0, 0)y + N_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}(y) \).

On the other hand, it turns out that all assumptions of Theorem \( [1] \) are fulfilled for \( p = 2 \).

In this example the Banach condition \( 1^a \) takes the form

\[
-f(x, 0) \in f''_y(0, 0)[h(x)]^2 + N_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}((h_1, h_2)),
\]

that is

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix}
2h_1^2 - 2h_2^2 \\
2h_1h_2
\end{pmatrix} + N_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}((h_1, h_2)) \tag{13}
\]

holds since \( h_1 \neq 0 \) and \( h_2 \neq 0 \) and hence \( N_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}(h) = \{0\} \). This yields that the solution \( h(x) \) of \( (13) \) can be found as a solution of the following equation

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
2h_1^2 - 2h_2^2 \\
2h_1h_2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and we obtain \( \|h(x)\| \leq c\|f(x, 0)\|^{1/2}, c > 0 \).

The second assumption, strong \( p \)-regularity \( 2^a \) holds since \( f(x, y) \) is \( 2 \)-regular (see e.g. in [6]) at \((0, 0)^T\) with respect to \( y \) along any \( h \in Y \), such that \( h_1 \neq 0 \) and \( h_2 \neq 0 \), that is \( \text{Im} f''(0, 0)h = \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( N_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}(\cdot) = \{0\} \).

The \( p \)-factor approximation condition \( 3^a \) is immediately satisfied due to the form of the mapping \( f(x, y) \). This means that all conditions of theorem \( [1] \) are fulfilled and therefore for small \( x \) there exists a mapping \( \varphi(x) \) which acts from a neighborhood of \( 0 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) into \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and for which \( (10) \) is satisfied.

In the next example we illustrate the application of Theorem \( [1] \) to the standard nonlinear programming problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\min_{x} & \quad \xi(x) \\
\text{subject to} & \quad g(y) \leq 0,
\end{align*}
\tag{14}
\]

where \( g = (g_1, \ldots, g_m)^T, \quad L(y, \lambda) = \xi(y) + \langle \lambda, g(y) \rangle, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)^T \). The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker optimality conditions (KKT) for \( (14) \) are

\[
L'_y = 0, \quad g(y) \leq 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad \langle \lambda, g(y) \rangle = 0. \tag{15}
\]

This conditions can be written as the generalized equation

\[
0 \in \begin{pmatrix}
L'_y(y, \lambda) \\
-g(y)
\end{pmatrix} + N_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m_+} \begin{pmatrix}
y \\
\lambda
\end{pmatrix} \tag{16}
\]
and we verify the assumptions of the Theorem at the solution $(y_0, \lambda_0)$ for 

**Example 2.**

Consider the following nonlinear programming problem

$$\begin{align*}
\min & \quad y_1^4 - y_2^4 - xy_1 \\
\text{subject to} & \quad y_1^3 - 2y_2^3 \leq 0 \\
& \quad y_1^3 + 2y_2^3 \leq 0
\end{align*}$$

(17)

where $x$ represents a small perturbation parameter. For $x_0 = 0$ a solution of (17) is $y_0 = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}(y, \lambda, x) = y_1^4 - y_2^4 - xy_1 + \lambda_1 (y_1^3 - 2y_2^3) + \lambda_2 (y_1^3 + 2y_2^3)$.

Then Karush-Kuhn-Tucker optimality conditions are as follows

$$\begin{align*}
4y_1^3 - x + 3\lambda_1 y_1^3 + 3\lambda_2 y_1^3 &= 0 \\
-4y_2^3 - 6\lambda_1 y_2^3 + 6\lambda_2 y_2^3 &= 0 \\
y_1^3 - 2y_2^3 &\leq 0, \quad y_1^3 + 2y_2^3 &\leq 0, \quad (\lambda, g(y)) = 0
\end{align*}$$

where

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^T, \quad y = (y_1, y_2)^T, \quad g(y) = (g_1(y), g_2(y))^T,$$

$$g_1(y) = y_1^3 - 2y_2^3, \quad g_2(y) = -y_1^3 + 2y_2^3.$$ 

Consider the case $\lambda_0 = (0, 0)^T$. Generalized equation (2) for the problem (17) is

$$0 \in \left( \begin{array}{c}
4y_1^3 - x + 3\lambda_1 y_1^3 + 3\lambda_2 y_1^3 \\
-4y_2^3 - 6\lambda_1 y_2^3 + 6\lambda_2 y_2^3 \\
y_1^3 - 2y_2^3 \\
y_1^3 + 2y_2^3
\end{array} \right) + N_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2_+} \left( \begin{array}{c}
y_1 \\
y_2 \\
\lambda_1 \\
\lambda_2
\end{array} \right).$$

(18)

It is obvious that (18) is not strong regular in the sense by Robinson at the solution point $y_0 = (0, 0)^T, \lambda_0 = (0, 0)^T, x_0 = 0$. However, one can verify that all assumptions of Theorem are fulfilled for $p = 3$ with $h = (h_{y_1}, h_{y_2}, 0, 0, \lambda_2)$, since in this case we can take $h_{y_1} = 0$, $h_{y_2} = 0$, $h_{\lambda_2} = 0$ for $x \neq 0$ and normal cone operator $N_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2_+}$ has the following form

$$\left( \begin{array}{c}
y_1 \\
y_2 \\
\lambda_1 \\
\lambda_2
\end{array} \right) \sim \left( \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
-\alpha \\
0
\end{array} \right), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$ 

This means that for small perturbation $x$ there exists a mapping $\varphi(x) = (y(x), \lambda(x))^T$ such that inclusion (18) (or (16)) holds and hence KKT conditions holds as well and the estimation for $\varphi(x)$ is as follows

$$\|\varphi(x)\| = \|y(x)\| + \|\lambda(x)\| \leq m \cdot \|\mathcal{L}_y(0, 0, x)\|^{1/3} \leq \bar{m}\|x\|^{1/3}$$

where $m > 0$, $\bar{m} > 0$ are independent constants.

The following theorem is essential in the proof of Theorem (see [15]).
**Theorem 2 (Contraction multimapping principle, CMP)** Let $Z$ be a complete metric space with distance $\rho$ and $z_0 \in Z$. Assume that we are given a multimapping

$$\Phi : U_\varepsilon(z_0) \Rightarrow Z,$$

on a ball $U_\varepsilon(z_0) = \{z : \rho(z, z_0) < \varepsilon\}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) where the sets $\Phi(z)$ are non-empty and closed for any $z \in U_\varepsilon(z_0)$. Further, assume that there exists a number $\theta$, $0 < \theta < 1$ such that

1) $H(\Phi(z_1), \Phi(z_2)) \leq \theta \rho(z_1, z_2)$ for any $z_1, z_2 \in U_\varepsilon(z_0)$

2) $\rho(z_0, \Phi(z_0)) < (1 - \theta)\varepsilon$.

Then, for every number $\varepsilon_1$ which satisfies the inequality $\rho(z_0, \Phi(z_0)) < \varepsilon_1 < (1 - \theta)\varepsilon$,

there exists $z \in B_{\varepsilon_1/(1 - \theta)}(z_0) = \{\omega : \rho(\omega, z_0) \leq \varepsilon_1/(1 - \theta)\}$ such that

$$z \in \Phi(z).$$

**Proof** (of Theorem 1)

Suppose that $x \in U_\gamma(0)$, $y_1, y_2 \in V_\gamma(0)$, for sufficiently small $\gamma > 0$. Moreover, assume that there exists $h(x) \in Y$, $h \neq 0$ such that Banach condition holds true.

Let us define a mapping $r : U_\gamma(0) \times Y \to W_\varepsilon(0)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and $W_\varepsilon(0) \subset Y^*$, as follows

$$r(x, h + y) := \frac{1}{(p - 1)!} \frac{f^{(p)}(0, 0)[h]^{p-1}[h + y] - f(x, h + y)}{p}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Now, for $y = 0$

$$L_h(0) = \frac{1}{(p - 1)!} \frac{f^{(p)}(0, 0)[h]^p + NC(h)}{p}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

Then, using a right inverse of $L_h$ we obtain

$$0 \in L_h^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{(p - 1)!} \frac{f^{(p)}(0, 0)[h]^p + NC(h)}{p} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

Introduce an auxiliary mapping $\Phi : U_\gamma(0) \times V_\gamma(0) \to Y$,

$$\Phi(x, y) := L_h^{-1}(r(x, h + y)).$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

We show that there exists $y = y(x) \in \Phi(x, y(x))$ or, in other words, $0 \in NC(h + y(x)) + f(x, h + y(x))$. For this purpose we check the assumptions of CMP.

From the assumptions 2° and 3° of Theorem [1] we obtain
1) $H(\Phi(x, y_1), \Phi(x, y_2)) \leq \frac{c}{\|h\|^{p-1}} \|r(x, h + y_1) - r(x, h + y_2)\|$. 

\[ \frac{c}{\|h\|^{p-1}} \left\| |y_1| - |y_2| - f(x, h + y_1) + f(x, h + y_2) \right\| \leq \frac{c}{\|h\|^{p-1}} \cdot \frac{\|h\|^{p-1}}{\|h\|^{p-1}} \|y_1 - y_2\| \leq 2c \cdot \delta \|y_1 - y_2\|. \]

Moreover, since $0 \in L_{-1}^{-1}(-f(x, 0))$ then 

2) $H(\Phi(x, 0), 0) \leq H\left(L_{-1}^{-1}(r(x, h)), L_{-1}^{-1}(-f(x, 0))\right) \leq \frac{c}{\|h\|^{p-1}} \|r(x, h) + f(x, 0)\| = \frac{c}{\|h\|^{p-1}} \left\| \frac{1}{(p-1)} f_y^\circ(0, 0) |h|^p - f(x, h) + f(x, 0) \right\| \leq \frac{c}{\|h\|^{p-1}} \cdot \frac{\|h\|}{\|h\|} \leq c\delta \|h\|.

It means that all assumptions of CMP hold. Hence there exists $y = y(x)$ such that $y(x) \in L_{-1}^{-1}(r(x, h + y(x)))$, or in other words

$0 \in f(x, h + y(x)) + NC(h + y(x))$.

Let $\varphi(x) := h + y(x)$. We finish the proof of the Theorem by obtaining the following estimation

$\|y(x)\| = o\left(\|f(x, 0)\|^{1/p}\right)$

and hence

$\|\varphi(x)\| \leq m\|f(x, 0)\|^{1/p}$,

where $m > 0$ independent constant.

3 $P$-order tangent cone theorem for singular inclusions.

Generalization of Lusternik theorem

Consider the following mapping

$F(x) := f(x) + NC(x)$ (23)

and the generalized equation

$0 \in F(x)$ (24)

where $f : X \to X^*$ and sufficiently smooth, $X$ — Banach space, $C$ is a nonempty closed convex set in $X$ and $NC(x)$ is defined in (3). Let $x_0$ be the solution to inclusion (24), i.e. $0 \in F(x_0)$ and introduce the tangent cone to the set

$M_F(x_0) := \{ z \in X : 0 \in f(z) + NC(z) \}$

at the point $x_0$. 
Proof For the sake of simplicity we assume that

\[ 0 \in f(x_0 + th + w(th)) + N_C(x_0 + th + w(th)) \]

and \( \|w(th)\| = o(t) \).

It is enough to consider the completely degenerate case up to the order \( p \), i.e. the case where \( f^{(k)}(x_0) = 0, k = 1, \ldots, p - 1, p \geq 2 \).

For any \( h \in X \) we define a set-valued mapping \( L_h : X \to X^* \),

\[ L_h(x) := \frac{1}{(p - 1)!} f^{(p)}(x_0)[h]^{p-1}[h + x] + N_C(x_0 + h + x). \tag{25} \]

We can describe the tangent cone \( TM_F(x_0) \) by means of the following theorem, which generalizes Lusternik theorem for singular inclusions.

**Theorem 3** Let \( f : X \to X^* \), \( f \in C^{p+1}(X) \), \( f \) be completely degenerate at \( x_0 \) up to the order \( p \) and \( 0 \in F(x_0) \). Assume moreover, that \( h \in \text{Ker}^p L_h(0) \), i.e.

\[ 0 \in L_{th}(0) \iff 0 \in f^{(p)}(x_0)[\hat{t}h]^p + N_C(x_0 + \hat{t}h) \quad \forall t \in [0, \varepsilon) \]

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) sufficiently small and for the mapping \( F(x) \) strong \( p \)-regularity condition holds along \( h \) at the point \( x_0 \), that is

\[ H \left( L_{th}^{-1}(y_1), L_{th}^{-1}(y_2) \right) \leq \frac{c}{(p - 1)!} \|y_1 - y_2\| \tag{26} \]

where \( y_1, y_2 \in X^* \) and \( t \in [0, \varepsilon) \). Then \( \hat{h} \in TM_F(x_0) \).

**Proof** For the sake of simplicity we assume that \( x_0 = 0 \). Let us define

\[ r(th + x) := \frac{1}{(p - 1)!} f^{(p)}(0)[\hat{t}h]^{p-1}[\hat{t}h + x] - f(\hat{t}h + x). \]

Then

\[ 0 \in L_{th}^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{(p - 1)!} f^{(p)}(0)[\hat{t}h]^p + N_C(\hat{t}h) \right). \tag{28} \]

Consider the following mapping

\[ \Phi(x) := L_{th}^{-1}(r(\hat{t}h + x)). \tag{29} \]

We show that there exists \( w(\hat{t}h) \) such that \( w(\hat{t}h) \in \Phi(w(\hat{t}h)) \) and \( \|w(\hat{t}h)\| = o(t) \) or, in other words \( 0 \in f(th + w(th)) + N_C(th + w(th)) \). From the condition \( (29) \) for \( \|y_1\| \leq \alpha t, \|y_2\| \leq \alpha t \) where \( \alpha > 0 \) is sufficiently small we have

\[ H(\Phi(y_1), \Phi(y_2)) = H \left( L_{th}^{-1} \left( r(\hat{t}h + y_1) \right), L_{th}^{-1} \left( r(\hat{t}h + y_2) \right) \right) \leq \frac{c}{(p - 1)!} \|f^{(p)}(0)[\hat{t}h]^{p-1}(y_1 - y_2) - f(\hat{t}h + y_1) + f(\hat{t}h + y_2)\| \leq \delta(t)\|y_1 - y_2\|, \text{ where } \delta(t) \to 0 \text{ while } t \to 0. \]
Taking $\alpha > 0$ and $f$ words, the example shows that the conditions of Theorem 3 are fulfilled. It means that all conditions of CMP are fulfilled and hence there exists $\bar{x}(\bar{t})$ in $\Phi(\bar{t})$ and it follows that $w(\bar{t}) \in L_{th}^{-1}(r(\bar{t} + w(\bar{t})))$, or in other words $0 \in f(\bar{t} + w(\bar{t})) + N_C(\bar{t} + w(\bar{t})) \forall \bar{t} \in [0, \varepsilon]$ and $\|w(\bar{t})\| = o(\bar{t})$, i.e. $\bar{h} \in TM_F(x_0)$.

Example 3.

Let $F(x) = f(x) + N_C(x)$, where $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x))^T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $f_1(x) = x_2^3 - x_1^2$, $f_2(x) = x_1x_2$, $x \geq 0$, $C = \mathbb{R}_+^2$, $x_0 = 0$. Here $p = 2$, $N_{\mathbb{R}_+^2}(0) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \langle z, \xi \rangle \leq 0, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^2\}$ and generalized equation is

$$0 \in \begin{pmatrix} x_2^3 - x_1^2 \\ x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix} + N_{\mathbb{R}_+^2}(x_1, x_2).$$

Taking $\bar{h} = (\bar{h}_{x_1}, \bar{h}_{x_2})^T$ where $\bar{h}_{x_1} = 0$ $\bar{h}_{x_2} = 1$ we have $N_{\mathbb{R}_+^2}(0) = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

$\alpha > 0$ and $\bar{h} \in \text{Ker}^2 L_h(0)$ if $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_{x_1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, i.e. $\bar{h}_{x_1} = \alpha$ and $\bar{h}_{x_2} = \pm \sqrt{\alpha}$ and all assumptions of Theorem 3 are fulfilled. It means that $\bar{h} = (0, 1)^T \in TM_F(0)$.
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