Epigenetic inactivation of the CpG demethylase TET1 as a DNA methylation feedback loop in human cancers
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Promoter CpG methylation is a fundamental regulatory process of gene expression. TET proteins are active CpG demethylases converting 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, with loss of 5 hmC as an epigenetic hallmark of cancers, indicating critical roles of TET proteins in epigenetic tumorigenesis. Through analysis of tumor methylomes, we discovered TET1 as a methylated target, and further confirmed its frequent downregulation/methylation in cell lines and primary tumors of multiple carcinomas and lymphomas, including nasopharyngeal, esophageal, gastric, colorectal, renal, breast and cervical carcinomas, as well as non-Hodgkin, Hodgkin and nasal natural killer/T-cell lymphomas, although all three TET family genes are ubiquitously expressed in normal tissues. Ectopic expression of TET1 catalytic domain suppressed colony formation and induced apoptosis of tumor cells of multiple tissue types, supporting its role as a broad bona fide tumor suppressor. Furthermore, TET1 catalytic domain possessed demethylase activity in cancer cells, being able to inhibit the CpG methylation of tumor suppressor gene (TSG) promoters and reactivate their expression, such as SLIT2, ZNF382 and HOXA9. As only infrequent mutations of TET1 have been reported, compared to TET2, epigenetic silencing therefore appears to be the dominant mechanism for TET1 inactivation in cancers, which also forms a feedback loop of CpG methylation during tumorigenesis.

DNA methylation at the C5 position of cytosine (5-methylcytosine, 5-mC), known as the “fifth base”, is a key epigenetic modification at CpG dinucleotides, playing critical roles in normal development and disease pathogenesis including tumorigenesis. Regional promoter CpG methylation together with genome-wide hypomethylation, as a fundamental epigenetic hallmark of cancers, lead to the silencing of tumor suppressor genes (TSG) and activation of oncogenes, contributing to cancer initiation and progression. Recently, various whole-genome sequencing studies of virtually all human cancers also demonstrate that the most commonly mutated genes are epigenetic modifiers including CpG methylation machinery components across diverse cancers, highlighting the direct and crucial involvement of epigenetic programming dysregulation in tumorigenesis.

DNA methylation is a reversible process, through either passive or active demethylation. Passive demethylation has been well-documented owing to reduction in activities or absence of DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) during DNA replication. The newly identified 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5 hmC) in mammalian genomic DNA, as an intermediate of active DNA demethylation, has been recognized as the “sixth base”, which provides us new insight into the regulation of CpG methylation dynamics via active demethylation. 5 hmC is readily expressed in human normal tissues and embryonic stem cells, but becomes greatly decreased in multiple cancer tissues.
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5 hmC modification is relatively stable, not just as a transient intermediate, arising as a novel epigenetic hallmark of tumors.

The ten-eleven translocation (TET) family of DNA hydroxylases, including TET1, TET2, and TET3, mediates the conversion of 5 mC to 5hmC and final DNA demethylation through sequential oxidation reactions, thus as key executors for establishing 5hmC pattern and maintaining a hypomethylated genome state. TET1 was firstly identified as a fusion partner of MLL in acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Inactive mutations or deletions of TET2 with impaired catalytic activity were frequently detected in hematopoietic malignancies, along with decreased 5hmC levels, while no somatic TET1 or TET3 mutation was found in myeloid and lymphoid tumors. The biological functions of TET family members or 5hmC on the reprogramming and development of embryonic stem cells have been extensively studied. Recent reports also demonstrate that TET gene expression are reduced in some solid tumors, associated with 5hmC depletion and gene downregulation, thus playing critical functional roles in tumor initiation and metastasis. Some mechanisms have been proposed to mediate TET disruption in cancers, including post-transcriptional regulation by miR-227, post-translational modification by cellular proteolytic system, and nuclear exclusion of TET proteins. However, a systematic study of the expression and transcriptional regulation of TET members in most human cancers is still needed.

Here, we have studied the expression and transcriptional regulation of TET family genes in a large collection of human normal and tumor samples. We examined the epigenetic and genetic alterations of TET1 through analyzing cancer methylomes previously established by us and also online genomics database of common tumors.

We discovered frequent promoter methylation of TET1 in a large set of tumor cell lines and primary tumors, and confirmed its tumor suppressive functions and demethylation activity in tumor cells.

**Results and Discussion**

**Epigenomic identification of TET1 as a methylated target in multiple cancers.** During our analysis of whole-genome CpG methylation profiles (methylomes) of multiple tumor cell lines and primary tumors, the promoter of one of the CpG demethylases, TET1, turned out to be a target in multiple methylomes (Fig. 1A). Bioinformatics analysis of the methylome data showed significant positive enrichment of CpG methylation (Cut off = 2) at the TET1 promoter and exon 1 region in multiple tumors, including nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) xenografts (C15, C18) and primary tumor (OCT83), esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) cell lines (KYSE140, KYSE510), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell lines (HuH7, HepG2) and primary tumor (418T), as well as nasal NK/T-cell lymphoma (NKTCL) cell lines (SNK6, NK-YS) and primary tumor (NK1) (Fig. 1A). The TET1 promoter and exon 1 region contain a typical CpG island (Fig. 2A), indicating that CpG methylation most likely regulates its expression in human cells.

We thus further examined the expression and methylation profiles of TET1 in multiple cancers. Results showed that, although all three TET genes (TET1, TET2, TET3) were ubiquitously expressed in a series of human normal adult and fetal tissues (Fig. 1B), only TET1 neither TET2 nor TET3 was frequently downregulated or totally silenced in a variety of tumor cell lines including multiple carcinomas (nasopharyngeal, esophageal, lung, gastric, colon, breast, cervical, renal) and lymphomas (Hodgkin, non-Hodgkin and NKTCL), while TET1 is readily expressed in all immortalized normal epithelial cell lines of different tissue origins (Fig. 2 and Suppl. Fig. S1A).

Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) primers for TET1 were tested for not amplifying any not-bisulfited DNA, confirming the detection specificity of TET1 methylation in our study (Fig. 2B). Then by MSP, we detected TET1 promoter methylation in virtually all downregulated cell lines of nasopharyngeal, esophageal, lung, gastric, colon, breast, cervical and renal carcinomas, as well as Hodgkin (HL), non-Hodgkin (NHL) and NKTCL lymphomas, but not in immortalized normal epithelial cell lines (Fig. 2C,D; Table 1). Moreover, TET1 downregulation and methylation were infrequently detected in hepatocellular (HCC) and prostate cancer cell lines but not in the bladder and melanoma cell lines examined (Suppl. Fig. S1B).

We further studied the detailed methylation profile of TET1 promoter by bisulfit genomic sequencing (BGS). A 384-bp region (+151-bp to +534-bp) spanning TET1 promoter and exon 1, containing 39 CpG sites was analyzed (Fig. 2A). BGS results showed heavily methylated alleles in representative cell lines, including NPC, ESCC, lung, gastric, colon, breast, cervical and renal carcinomas, as well as lymphomas, while barely present in immortalized normal cell lines of nasopharyngeal (NP69, NP460), esophageal (Het-1A), colon (CCD841con) and kidney (HEK293) epithelial cells, consistent with the MSP data (Fig. 3A). Thus, TET1 silencing by promoter CpG methylation is a common event in multiple tumors.

We further investigated whether TET1 promoter methylation directly mediates its repression. DNA methyltransferase inhibitor 5-aza-dC (Aza) was used or in combination with histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor to treat tumor cell lines of nasopharyngeal, esophageal, colon, breast and renal, all with methylated and downregulated TET1. After the treatment, restoration of TET1 expression was observed, along with increased unmethylated promoter alleles as detected by MSP (Fig. 3B). Demethylation of the TET1 promoter was confirmed by BGS analysis, which shows dramatically demethylated CpG sites (Fig. 3C), indicating that CpG methylation directly mediates TET1 silencing in tumor cells.

In this study, we demonstrated that epigenetic silencing is a common regulatory mechanism for TET1 inactivation at the transcriptional level in multiple human cancers. Additional alternative mechanisms regulating expression and activities of TET family members have been reported. For example, high mobility group AT-hook 2 (HMGA2), a chromatin remodeling factor, suppresses TET1 expression by directly binding to its promoter or indirectly through other components in breast cancer cells. Polycomb repressive complex 2-mediated TET1 downregulation through H3K27me3 histone mark deposition. PARP activity increases TET1 expression levels through maintaining a permissive chromatin state. miR-22 suppresses TET expression levels in breast cancer cells through directly targeting the 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs) of TET mRNAs. As direct substrates of calpains (calcium-activated cysteine proteases), TET proteins also undergo calpain-mediated degradation. Nuclear exclusion of TET1 and TET2 is significantly correlated with loss of 5mC in glioma and colon...
cancer\textsuperscript{29,30}. Thus, TET expression could be regulated at multiple levels of transcription, post-transcription or post-translation in different cell context, although TET1 silencing through promoter CpG methylation appears to be more common and predominant in multiple tumors.

**Frequent silencing of TET2 by promoter methylation in primary tumors.** As promoter CpG methylation in tumor cell lines might be derived from cell culture-induced secondary effect, we further examined...
Figure 2. *TET1* is downregulated and methylated in multiple cancers. (A) Structure of the *TET1* promoter CpG island (CGI). CpG sites are shown as short vertical lines. MSP primer sites and BGS region analyzed are also indicated. (B) *TET1* methylation was not detected in not-bisulfited DNA samples, indicating that the MSP system is specific. m4/m8 represents specific MSP primer set of *TET1* methylation detection. (C,D) *TET1* was frequently silenced and methylated in multiple carcinoma and lymphoma cell lines, detected by semi-quantitative RT-PCR and MSP, but expressed and unmethylated in immortalized but non-transformed normal epithelial cell lines (with names green underlined). M, methylated; U, unmethylated. (E) Abundant expression of *TET2* and *TET3* in *TET1*-downregulated tumor cell lines. Ca, carcinoma; NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; CRC, colorectal cancer; RCC, renal cancer; NKTCL, nasal NK/T-cell lymphoma.
| Cell lines | Primary tumors |
|-----------|----------------|
| % methylated | % methylated |
| Nasopharyngeal (NPC) | 100% (5/5) | 55% (31/56) |
| Esophageal (ESCC) | 50% (3 + 1w/8) | 18% (7/38) |
| Lung | 80% (4/5) | 13% (2/16) |
| Gastric | 92% (11 + 1w/16) | 55% (38/55) |
| Hepatocellular (HCC) | 63% (5/8) | 42% (5/12) |
| Colorectal (CRC) | 64% (6 + 1w/11) | 27% (3/11) |
| Breast | 56% (4 + 1w/9) | 36% (18/50) |
| Cervical | 75% (2 + 1w/4) | |
| Renal | 78% (6 + 1w/9) | 28% (13/46) |
| Prostate | 33% (1/3) | 22% (2/9) |
| Non-Hodgkin lymphomas | 85% (11/13) | eBL, 50% (3/6) DLBCL, 20% (2/10) |
| Hodgkin | 100% (8/8) | 78% (5 + 2w/9) |
| Nasal, NK/T-cell (NKTCL) | 100% (4/4) | 83% (10/12) |
| Screen tissue Nose swab from NPC patients | 50% (8/16) |
| Immortalized normal epithelial cell lines | NP660, NP69, Het-1A, NE1, NE3, NE083, HMEC, HMEpC, Cccd841-CoN, HEK293, RHEK-1, | 0 (0/11) |
| Surgical margin tissues of tumors breast tissues | 20% (1/5) |
| Normal tissues Normal nasopharynx (NPx) | 0 (0/5) |
| Normal breast tissues | 0 (0/22) |

Table 1. Summary of TET1 methylation in cell lines, tumor and normal tissues. W, weak methylation. NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; ESCC, esophageal squamous carcinoma; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; CRC, colorectal carcinoma; eBL, endemic Burkitt lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma.

Figure 3. Demethylation treatment could reactivate TET1 expression in silenced tumor cell lines. (A) Detection of TET1 methylation in multiple tumor cell lines and normal cell lines by BGS. (B) Treatment with Aza or combined with TSA (A + T) demethylated TET1 promoter in silenced cell lines of multiple tissue types. Expression and methylation changes were detected by semi-quantitative RT-PCR and MSP. (C) BGS analysis of TET1 promoter in cell lines with or without treatment. NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; CRC, colorectal cancer; BrCa, breast cancer; RCC, renal cancer; Ca, carcinoma.
TET1 methylation and expression in primary tumor samples. We detected frequent TET1 methylation in multiple tumors, including 55% (31/56) of NPC, 55% (30/55) of gastric, 27% (3/11) of colon, 42% (5/12) of hepatocellular, 36% (18/50) of breast and 28% (13/46) of renal tumor samples, as well as 78% of primary Hodgkin and 83% (10/12) of NKTCL lymphoma samples (Fig. 4A, Suppl. Fig. S2, Table 1), but infrequently in primary ESCC, lung, prostate tumors and other non-Hodgkin lymphomas (Suppl. Fig. S2, Table 1). TET1 methylation could even be detected in 50% of 16 nose swab samples from suspected NPC patients (Fig. 4B). In contrast, TET1 methylation was not detected in a panel of human normal adult and fetal tissues except for being barely seen in normal small intestine and colon (Fig. 4C). Further detailed BGS methylation analysis confirmed the presence of methylated promoter alleles in primary tumors but not normal tissues (Fig. 4D). TET1 downregulation was also detected in paired primary tumors of several tissue types (lung, stomach, colon, rectum, breast and kidney) and primary NPC tumors (Fig. 4E). Furthermore, through online GENT and Oncomine database analysis, we found that TET1 mRNA levels were significantly reduced in multiple solid tumors and leukemia, compared with their corresponding normal tissues (Suppl. Fig. S3). These results clearly demonstrate that TET1 silencing by promoter CpG methylation is a common event for multiple tumors of epithelial and lymphoid origins.

Several studies have shown that TET genes are readily expressed in normal esophageal, gastric, colon, liver and breast tissues by PCR or immunohistochemistry, but decreased in tumor cell lines and primary tumors to varied grades, with TET1 as the most significantly downregulated member. A previous report through analyzing Cancer Genome Atlas TCGA database found that TET1 is downregulated in primary tumors of colorectal, breast and lung since early stage, and associated with patient poor survival. TET1 is significantly decreased at mRNA and protein levels in gastric primary tumors compared to surgical margins and associated with tumor localization and TNM grades. DNA methylation and bivalent histone marks at the CpG island 3′-shore mediate TET1 silencing in gastric cancer. Reduced TET1 expression or 5hmC level in breast cancer tissues could be biomarkers for breast cancer progression. TET1 methylation in colorectal cancer tissues, not TET2 and TET3, has been found as an early event in CRC tumorigenesis, thus as a valuable biomarker for metastasis prediction. Our results are consistent with these previous studies. TET1 methylation appears to be tumor-specific and thus could serve as a potential epigenetic biomarker for cancer detection.
Genetic alteration of **TET1** is uncommon in human cancers. As alterations of cancer genes are through either genetic or epigenetic mechanisms, we further investigated possible genetic alterations of **TET1** in cancers. Somatically acquired mutations of **TET1** in human cancers were analyzed using the COSMIC database. Only <1% of tumor cases (most cases with ≤0.25%) had detectable **TET1** mutations (Fig. 5A), consisting of 80% of missense mutations, 10% of nonsense and 10% of synonymous mutations (Fig. 5B), with most of the mutations located in coding regions (Fig. 5C). We also detected hemizygous deletion of **TET1** in some tumor cell lines with **TET1** silencing and methylation, but not in **TET1**-expressing cells (Suppl. Fig. S4A,B). Consistently, **TET1** gene deletion was also observed in solid tumors by analyzing DNA copy number alterations using the Oncomine database (Suppl. Fig. S4C). These results demonstrate that **TET1** mutation is uncommon in human cancers, although **TET1** deletion is indeed present in some tumor samples.

**TET1** functions as a tumor suppressor which requires its catalytic activity. The **TET1** catalytic domain (CD) (containing the Cys-rich and DSBH regions) remains intact hydroxylase activity in embryonic development and reprogramming6,13, displaying ability to induce 5hmC formation, demethylation and gene transcription in differentiated cells39. We test whether the catalytic activity of **TET1** was required for its possible tumor suppression functions, using **TET1**-CD and its enzymatic dead mutant (**TET1**-CD-mut) (Fig. 6A). Ectopic expression of **TET1**-CD significantly suppressed tumor cell clonogenicity (to ~40–50% of control cells) in colony formation assays of NPC, ESCC, gastric, colon and breast tumor cells, while the **TET1**-CD-mut lost this ability (Fig. 6B). TUNEL assay showed significantly increased numbers of apoptotic cells in **TET1**-CD-expressing-tumor cells, compared with vector or **TET1**-CD-mut controls (Fig. 6C). These results demonstrate that **TET1** possesses bona fide tumor suppressive functions in tumor cells of multiple types.

Consistent with our results, several recent studies have shown similar tumor-suppressive functions of **TET1** in cancer cells. **TET1** inhibits proliferation and invasion of colon23, breast24,25, renal40 and prostate25 cancer cells in vivo and in vitro. **TET1** deficiency promotes B-lineage differentiation, leading eventually to B-cell lymphoma41. **TET1** suppression as a key event of the RAS programming is required for KRAS-induced cellular transformation26. Thus, loss of function of **TET1** is a common event during multiple tumorigenesis of solid tumors or hematologic malignancies.
Figure 6. TET1 functions as a tumor suppressor in multiple tumor cells. (A) Structure and functional domains of the human TET1 protein, containing a C-terminal CD domain including the Cys-rich and DSBH regions, and a CXXC domain. The positions of three nuclear localization sequences (NLS) are shown. TET1 catalytic domain (TET1-CD) containing the Cys-rich and DSBH regions and TET1 mutant (TET1-CD-mut) with two amino acid substitutions (H1672A; D1674A) in the catalytic domain are also shown. (B) Ectopic expression of TET1-CD inhibited tumor cell growth of multiple tissue types. Representative colony formation assays of TET1-CD- and TET1-CD-mut-expressing tumor cells of nasopharyngeal, esophageal, gastric, colon, and breast cancers are shown. Quantitative analyses of colony numbers are shown as values of mean ± S.D. (lower panel). **p < 0.001. NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; GaCa, gastric cancer; CRC, colorectal cancer; BrCa, breast cancer. (C) Ectopic expression of TET1-CD induced tumor cell apoptosis. TET1-CD, TET1-CD-mut, and vector-expressing NPC tumor cells (HONE1) were analyzed by TUNEL assays. (D) TET1-CD upregulated multiple TSGs expression in tumor cells, as examined by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. (E) TET1-CD upregulated multiple TSGs expression as measured by qRT-PCR in NPC (HONE1) cells. Fold changes of TSGs expression in TET1-CD and TET1-CD-mut-transfected cells were calculated by normalizing towards vector-expressing cells (set 1.0). GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are shown as mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. (F) Detection of promoters methylation of HOXA9, SLIT2 and ZNF382 genes by MSP in TET1-CD and TET1-CD-mut-expressing tumor cells.
TET1 induces TSG promoter demethylation in tumor cells. Several studies identified TET1 target genes in mouse ES cells and some tumor cells, using RNA- or ChIP-sequencing or hydroxymethylated DNA immunoprecipitation sequencing (hMeDIP-seq)\textsuperscript{12,24,26,27,33,42–45}. A series of TET1-targeted genes including TSGs have been identified, such as TIMP2\textsuperscript{25}, HOXA9 and HOXA7\textsuperscript{24}, and Wnt signaling antagonists DKK3 and DKK4\textsuperscript{23}. To further explore the molecular mechanism of TET1 in tumor suppression, we examined some known and potential target TSGs to assess the demethylase activity of TET1 in tumor cells. Mild upregulation of HOXA9, HOXA5, PCDH7, TCF4, MEIS1, SLIT2 and ZNF382 at mRNA levels was observed in TET1-CD-expressing carcinoma cells by semi-quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 6D) and qRT-PCR (Fig. 6E). Meanwhile, we also detected decreased methylated alleles of HOXA9, SLIT2 and ZNF382 promoters in TET1-CD-expressing tumor cells, but not in TET1-CD-mut-expressing cells, with increased unmethylated promoter alleles observed concurrently, suggesting that TET1 indeed functions as a CpG demethylase to demethylate and reactivate multiple TSGs in tumor cells (Fig. 6F). In addition to HOXA9, we also found that TSGs like SLIT2, ZNF382, PCDH7, TCF4, MEIS1 and HOXA5 as TET1 target genes which could be demethylated and reactivated by TET1 in tumor cells. Other mechanisms besides demethylase activity could also be involved in regulating target genes by TET1, such as recruiting PRC2\textsuperscript{42}, PRDM14\textsuperscript{43}, Sin3A co-repressor complex\textsuperscript{44} and MBD3/NURD complex\textsuperscript{45}. Further studies on TET1-targeted gene regulation in human cancers would help us to understand more of its role in cancer development.

The discovery of TET enzymes, in addition to DNMTs, establishes a fundamental etiologic role of CpG methylation in human cancers. In response to environment carcinogens\textsuperscript{46–48} like chemical carcinogens and tumor viruses, DNMT activities and expression levels are induced and increased in cells, displaying stronger maintenance and de novo methylation capacity, leading to specific gene CpG island hypermethylation. The epigenetic alterations, especially promoter CpG methylation of TSGs, facilitate genome instability, disrupted cellular signaling and even further genetic mutations, thus are crucial to tumor initiation and progression\textsuperscript{1,49}. Remarkably, promoter CpG methylation-mediated silencing of the CpG demethylase TET1 in human cancers, which in turn, increases 5 mC levels and promotes TSG inactivation in tumor pathogenesis.

In summary, our study comprehensively examined TET1 expression and methylation status in multiple tumors, and demonstrated that promoter CpG methylation is a predominant mechanism for TET1 inactivation in human cancers. The tumor-specific methylation of TET1 could serve as a valuable, epigenetic non-invasive biomarker. TET1 as a tumor suppressor and CpG demethylase in tumor cells requires its intact catalytic domain, which provides new insight into the epigenetic master role of TET1 in tumor pathogenesis. Our findings enlighten us on the mechanistic elucidation of the importance of CpG methylation in human cancers.

### Material and Methods

#### Cell lines and tissue samples.

Human tumor cell lines of multiple tissue types were used\textsuperscript{50–55}, including nasopharyngeal (NPC), esophageal squamous cell (ESCC), lung, gastric, colorectal (CRC), hepatocellular (HCC), breast, cervical, renal (RCC), bladder and prostate carcinomas, melanoma, as well as non-Hodgkin (NHL),
Establishment of tumor methylomes by MeDIP-chip. Methylated DNA immunoprecipitation (MeDIP) coupled with promoter microarray hybridization was performed as previously. Briefly, immunoprecipitation of methylated DNA was performed using monoclonal antibody against 5-methylcytidine (33D3, Diagenode, Seraing, Belgium) labeled with magnetic beads. Total input and immunoprecipitated DNA were labeled with Cy3 or Cy5, respectively, and hybridized to NimbleGen™ HG18 Meth (385K CGI plus) promoter arrays or HG19 (2.1 M) Deluxe Promoter arrays (Array Star, Inc., MD). Normal epithelial cell lines and normal tissues were used as controls. Bioinformatics analysis of methylome data was performed as previously.

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). Semi-quantitative RT-PCR and quantitative real-time PCR were performed as described before, with GAPDH as a control for the samples shown in our previous publications. qRT-PCR was carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol (HT7900 system; applied Biosystems), with SYBR Green master mix (applied Biosystems) used. Primers used are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Bisulfite treatment of DNA samples and promoter methylation analysis. CpG island (CGI) analysis for TET1 promoter and exon 1 was performed using CpG island Searcher (http://ccnt.hsc.usc.edu/cpgislands2). Bisulfite modification of genomic DNA was carried out as described previously. For MSP analysis, approximately 50 ng of bisulfited DNA for each sample was amplified with methylation- or unmethylation-specific primer set, according to our previous MSP protocol. Bisulfite-treated DNA was also amplified using a set of BGS primers, then cloned into pCR4-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Ca), with 8–10 clones randomly picked and sequenced. MSP and BGS primers used are shown in Supplementary Table S1. Unmethylated gene alleles for these treated samples have been detected in our previous publications, which shows the good quality of these DNA samples.

Genetic deletion analysis for TET1. Homozygous deletion of TET1 coding exons 2 and 4 was examined using multiplex genomic DNA PCR, as previously described. Primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Colony formation assay of tumor cells. Human TET1 catalytic domain (TET1-CD) cDNA and its catalytic domain mutant (TET1-CD-mut) clones (Addgene, Cambridge, MA) were used as templates to generate TET1 constructs with an N-terminal Flag tag, and subcloned into pcDNA3.1 vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Ca). Cells were cultured overnight in a 12-well plate and transfected with empty vector or TET1-CD, TET1-CD-mut-expressing plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Ca). Forty-eight hours later, transfectedants were replated in triplicate and cultured for 10–15 days in complete medium containing G418. Surviving colonies were stained with crystal violet (0.5% w/v) after methanol fixation, with visible colonies (≥50 cells) counted.

TUNEL assay. Cells cultured on coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100. TUNEL (Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling) staining was performed using the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany).

Statistical analysis. Student’s t-tests were performed. All reported p-values were two-sided, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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Corrigendum: Epigenetic inactivation of the CpG demethylase TET1 as a DNA methylation feedback loop in human cancers

Lili Li, Chen Li, Haitao Mao, Zhenfang Du, Wai Yee Chan, Paul Murray, Bing Luo, Anthony TC Chan, Tony SK Mok, Francis KL Chan, Richard F. Ambinder & Qian Tao

Scientific Reports 6:26591; doi: 10.1038/srep26591; published online 26 May 2016; updated on 06 October 2016

This Article contains errors in Figure 2D where the Hodgkin lymphoma ‘TET1-MSP’ methylated and unmethylated MSP bands are incorrect. The correct Figure 2D appears below as Figure 1.

Figure 1.