Mild drinking habit is a risk factor for hepatocarcinogenesis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with advanced fibrosis
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Abstract

AIM

The impact of mild drinking habit (less than 20 g/d of ethanol) on the clinical course of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has not been determined. We examined the influence of a mild drinking habit on liver carcinogenesis from NAFLD.

METHODS

A total of 301 patients who had been diagnosed as having NAFLD by liver biopsy between 2003 and 2016 [median age: 56 years, 45% male, 56% with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, 26% with advanced fibrosis (F3-4)] were divided into the mild drinking group with...
ethanol consumption of less than 20 g/d (mild drinking group, n = 93) and the non-drinking group (n = 208). Clinicopathological features at the time of liver biopsy and factors related to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) occurrence were compared between the groups.

RESULTS
We observed significant differences in male prevalence (P = 0.01), platelet count (P = 0.04), and gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (P = 0.02) between the test groups. Over 6 years of observation, the HCC appearance rate was significantly higher in the mild drinking group (6.5% vs 1.4%, P = 0.02). Multivariate survival analysis using Cox’s regression model revealed that hepatic advanced fibrosis (F3-4) (P < 0.01, risk ratio: 11.60), diabetes mellitus (P < 0.01, risk ratio: 89.50), and serum triglyceride (P = 0.04, risk ratio: 0.98) were factors significantly related to HCC in all NAFLD patients, while the effect of a drinking habit was marginal (P = 0.07, risk ratio: 4.43). In patients with advanced fibrosis (F3-4), however, a drinking habit (P = 0.04, risk ratio: 4.83), alpha-fetoprotein (P = 0.01, risk ratio: 1.23), and diabetes mellitus (P = 0.03, risk ratio: 12.00) were identified as significant contributors to HCC occurrence.

CONCLUSION
A mild drinking habit appears to be a risk factor for hepatocarcinogenesis in NAFLD patients, especially those with advanced fibrosis.
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Core tip: This study focused on the impact of a mild drinking habit on liver carcinogenesis in 301 biopsy-proven non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) patients. Multivariate analysis revealed that mild drinking of < 20 g/d might increase the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in NAFLD patients, particularly those with advanced fibrosis (F3-4). NAFLD patients with severe fibrosis should abstain from even small amounts of regular alcohol consumption.

INTRODUCTION
Over the past several decades, it has become clear that non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and its advanced form non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), are major chronic liver diseases worldwide[1,2]. The prevalence rate of NAFLD has doubled during the last 20 years, while those of other chronic liver conditions have remained stable or even decreased[3]. Recent evidence has confirmed that NAFLD and NASH incidence is increasing in Japan as well[4].

The etiology of NAFLD/NASH has not been fully elucidated. The most widely accepted theory involves insulin resistance as an important mechanism leading to liver steatosis and perhaps steatohepatitis[5]. Others have proposed that “multiple hits” of additional oxidative stress and lipotoxicity are necessary for the necro-inflammatory component of steatohepatitis and carcinogenesis[6]. Liver iron, leptin, anti-oxidant deficiency, and intestinal microflora have also been suggested as potential factors in the progression from steatosis to steatohepatitis[7-9]. However, research on NAFLD/NASH pathogenesis and carcinogenesis is ongoing.

Although it is widely accepted that more than 60 g/d of ethanol consumption may lead to alcoholic liver disease and steatosis, steatohepatitis, and hepatic fibrosis[10], there is in uncertainty on the influence of a mild drinking habit (< 20 g/d of ethanol) on human health. For example, mild habitual drinking improved insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis but either worsened or improved hepatic fibrosis[11-14]. Since NAFLD/NASH is defined as fatty liver disease with average ethanol intake of less than 20 g daily[10], some NAFLD patients may habitually consume small amounts of ethanol while others abstain completely. There are no reports to date investigating the influence of a mild drinking habit on NAFLD/NASH patients despite a growing number of reports on hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). To investigate the influence of a mild drinking habit on liver carcinogenesis from NAFLD, we compared clinicopathological features and outcomes between NAFLD patients with a mild drinking habit and the non-drinking NAFLD patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics
This study was carried out in accordance with the World Medical Association Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the ethics committee of Shinshu University School of Medicine (approval ID: 2802).

Patients
We enrolled 301 patients who were diagnosed as having NAFLD by liver biopsy between 2003 and 2016 [median age: 56 years, 45% male, 56% with NASH, 26% with advanced fibrosis (F3-4)] at Shinshu University Hospital in Matsumoto, Nagano, Japan. These patients originally referred to our department from local hospitals in Nagano prefecture to confirm the diagnosis by liver biopsy.
The diagnosis of NAFLD was based on the criteria of: (1) the presence of hepato-renal contrast and increased hepatic echogenicity on abdominal ultrasonography (US), (2) an average daily consumption of < 20 g of ethanol, and (3) the absence of other causes of liver dysfunction, such as viral hepatitis, drug-induced liver injury, autoimmune liver diseases, primary sclerosing cholangitis, Wilson’s disease, hereditary hemochromatosis, and cirin deficiency\(^{(15,16)}\). The diagnosis of NAFLD was confirmed based on histological findings of biopsied specimens. Pathology details are described below.

All patients were followed by US or computed tomography with measurements of serum alpha-fetoprotein every 6 mo. HCC was identified radiologically in all affected patients (\(n = 9\)). The radiological diagnosis of HCC was based on the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases practice guidelines on the management of HCC as either: (1) the presence of a hepatic lesion > 2 cm in diameter with typical vascular pattern for HCC on one dynamic imaging technique or alpha-fetoprotein > 200 ng/mL; or (2) the presence of a lesion 1-2 cm in diameter with typical vascular pattern for HCC on two dynamic imaging techniques\(^{(17)}\). Follow-up time was defined as the number of days from biopsy to HCC diagnosis or from biopsy to the last follow-up visit when protocol surveillance confirmed no HCC. Patient drinking habits were confirmed as remaining unchanged during follow-up.

Clinical data collection
All laboratory data in a fasting state on the day of liver biopsy were obtained from our medical database. Past and current drinking habit data were collected by self-reported questionnaires and interviews with doctors performing the liver biopsy. We divided the subjects into two groups: the mild drinking group with ethanol consumption of > 20 g/d (mild drinking group, \(n = 93\)) and the non-drinking group (\(n = 208\)). Patients were considered to be hypertensive if their systolic/diastolic pressure was > 140/90 mmHg or if they were taking anti-hypertensive drugs\(^{(18)}\). Patients were judged as having hyperlipidemia if their fasting serum levels of cholesterol or triglyceride were \(\geq 220\) mg/dL or \(\geq 150\) mg/dL, respectively, or if they were taking lipid-lowering drugs\(^{(19)}\). Patients were considered to be diabetic if they had a fasting glucose level of \(\geq 126\) mg/dL or hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) was \(\geq 6.5\%\), or if they were taking insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents\(^{(19)}\).

Histological findings
Liver specimens of at least 1.5 cm in length were obtained from segment 5 or 8 using a 14-gauge needle, as described previously, and immediately fixed in 10% neutral formalin\(^{(20)}\). Sections of 4 \(\mu\)m in thickness were stained by means of the hematoxylin and eosin and Azan-Mallory methods. The histological activity of NAFLD was assessed by an independent expert pathologist in a blinded manner according to the NAFLD scoring system proposed by Kleiner et al\(^{(21)}\). Steatosis grade was scored as 0 to 3 by the fat degeneration rate of hepatocytes (< 5%, 5%-33%, 33%-66%, and > 66%, respectively). Lobular inflammation grade was also scored as 0 to 3 by overall assessment of all inflammatory foci (none, < 2 foci/200 × field, 2-4 foci/200 × field, and > 4 foci/200 × field, respectively). Ballooning grade was determined by the number of degenerating hepatocytes as 0 to 2, corresponding to none, few, and many, respectively. NAFLD activity score was the total of steatosis, lobular inflammation, and ballooning scores. Fibrosis was staged as 0 to 4 depending on the degree of fibrosis (F0, none; F1, perisinusoidal or perportal; F2, perisinusoidal and portal/perportal; F3, bridging fibrosis; and F4, cirrhosis)\(^{(21)}\).

Statistical analysis
Clinical and histological data were expressed as a number (percentage) or median (range). Chi-square and Mann-Whitney U tests were used for comparisons between the groups. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed to estimate HCC cumulative incidence from the time of liver biopsy, and plots of cumulative events vs years of follow-up were constructed. Receiver operating characteristic curves were plotted, and optimal cut-off points were determined as the values showing maximum sensitivity plus specificity. In order to assess which factors were associated with the development of HCC after liver biopsy, univariate and multivariate Cox’s proportional hazard regression analysis was employed. Variables revealed as significant by univariate analysis were further tested by multivariate analysis. \(P < 0.05\) was considered to be statistically significant. Data were analyzed using a statistical software package (SPSS for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States).

RESULTS

Overall HCC occurrence rate
HCC appeared in 9 subjects (3%) within a median of 6 years of follow-up from liver biopsy. Kaplan-Mayer analysis revealed the HCC occurrence rate in our cohort to be 0.9/2.6/6.0% in 3/5/10 years, respectively (Figure 1).

Comparison of clinicopathological features at the time of biopsy between the mild drinking and non-drinking groups
Comparisons of clinicopathological features at the time of biopsy between the mild drinking and non-drinking groups revealed significant differences for male prevalence (\(P = 0.01\)), platelet count (\(P = 0.04\)), and gamma-glutamyl transeptidase (\(P = 0.02\)) (Table 1). No differences were observed between the groups for co-existing disease rate, serum albumin, bilirubin, or alpha-fetoprotein, HbA1c, or pathological features, such as grades for steatosis, lobular inflammation, ballooning, or NAFLD activity score (Table 1). The...
The prevalence of liver cirrhosis (F4) was higher in the mild drinking group compared to non-drinking groups (9 vs 8 cases: 10% vs 4%, \( P = 0.04 \)) (Table 1), while the rate of hepatic advanced fibrosis (F3-4) was not different between the groups. Interestingly, the HCC appearance rate was higher in the mild drinking group (6 vs 3 cases: 6.5% vs 1.4%, \( P = 0.02 \)) (Figure 2).

**Comparison of clinicopathological features at the time of biopsy between the HCC and non-HCC groups**

In comparisons of clinicopathological features at the time of biopsy between HCC and non-HCC patients (Table 2), those with HCC had significantly higher age \( (P < 0.01) \), higher prevalence of a drinking habit \( (P = 0.02) \), diabetes mellitus \( (P < 0.01) \), and hypertension \( (P < 0.01) \), higher HbA1c \( (P = 0.01) \), type IV collagen \( 7S \ (P = 0.03) \), and alpha-fetoprotein \( (P < 0.01) \), and lower albumin \( (P < 0.01) \), cholineresterase \( (P < 0.01) \), total cholesterol \( (P = 0.02) \), triglyceride \( (P = 0.02) \), and platelet count \( (P < 0.01) \) (Table 2). In pathological findings, the HCC group had a lower steatosis score \( (P = 0.01) \) and significantly higher fibrosis stage \( (P < 0.01) \) (Table 2).

Kaplan-Meier analysis was carried out for the HCC and non-HCC groups (Figure 3). Factors associated with higher HCC occurrence included age \( \geq 63 \) years at the time of biopsy \( (P = 0.04, \text{Figure 3A}) \), a mild drinking habit \( (P < 0.01, \text{Figure 3B}) \), diabetes mellitus \( (P < 0.01, \text{Figure 3C}) \), hypertension \( (P < 0.01, \text{Figure 3D}) \), albumin \( \leq 4.0 \text{ g/dL} \ (P < 0.01, \text{Figure 3E}) \), HbA1c \( \geq 6.6\% \ (P = 0.01, \text{Figure 3G}) \), triglyceride \( < 133 \mu\text{g/mL} \ (P = 0.02, \text{Figure 3I}) \), platelet count \( < 13.3 \times 10^7/\mu\text{L} \ (P < 0.01, \text{Figure 3J}) \), type IV collagen \( 7S \geq 5.0 \) ng/mL \( (P = 0.01, \text{Figure 3K}) \), alpha-fetoprotein \( \geq 6.0 \) ng/mL \( (P < 0.01, \text{Figure 3L}) \), steatosis grade 1 \( (P = 0.01, \text{Figure 3M}) \), and F3-4 \( (P < 0.01, \text{Figure 3N}) \).

Multivariate survival analysis using Cox’s regression model revealed that hepatic advanced fibrosis (F3-4) \( (P < 0.01, \text{risk ratio: 11.60}) \), diabetes mellitus \( (P < 0.01, \text{risk ratio: 89.50}) \), and serum triglyceride \( (P = 0.04, \text{risk ratio: 0.98}) \) were factors significantly related to HCC, while a mild drinking habit appeared to be marginally related \( (P = 0.07, \text{risk ratio: 4.43}) \) (Table 3).

The result of all HCC patients having advanced hepatic fibrosis (F3-4) at the time of biopsy corroborated the close association between HCC and hepatic fibrosis. To elucidate the additional impact of mild drinking on HCC development in the HCC high-risk group, we evaluated the clinicopathological features of the HCC and non-HCC groups in NAFLD patients with advanced fibrosis (Table 4). Compared with the non-HCC group \( (n = 68) \), the HCC group \( (n = 9) \) had a significantly higher rate of a drinking habit \( (P = 0.03) \), diabetes mellitus \( (P = 0.02) \), and hypertension \( (P = 0.01) \), higher alpha-fetoprotein \( (P = 0.04) \), and lower cholinesterase \( (P = 0.02) \), triglyceride \( (P = 0.02) \), and platelet count \( (P < 0.01) \) (Table 4). There were no differences in pathological findings between the groups (Table 4).

In NAFLD cases with advanced fibrosis, multivariate survival analysis using Cox’s regression model revealed that a mild drinking habit \( (P = 0.04, \text{risk ratio: 4.83}) \), alpha-fetoprotein \( (P = 0.01, \text{risk ratio: 1.23}) \), and diabetes mellitus \( (P = 0.03, \text{risk ratio: 12.00}) \) were factors significantly associated with HCC (Table 5). Accordingly, a mild drinking habit appeared to be a risk factor for hepatocarcinogenesis in NAFLD patients with advanced fibrosis.

**DISCUSSION**

Although continuous and excessive ethanol consumption is harmful to the liver, a mild drinking habit reportedly improves insulin sensitivity and decreases cardiovascular mortality in the general population\(^{[22]}\). One question arises on whether mild drinking is similarly beneficial for NAFLD patients, but there are few studies on NAFLD regarding the impact of light ethanol consumption. This study demonstrated that a mild drinking habit may be associated with HCC occurrence in NAFLD patients with advanced fibrosis. We therefore propose the abstinence...
of ethanol, even in small amounts, in such individuals.

Mild to moderate alcohol consumption has been shown to decrease insulin resistance and improve components of metabolic syndrome\(^{[23]}\). Dunn et al\(^{[12]}\) and Kwon et al\(^{[13]}\) reported a positive association between moderate alcohol intake and decreased steatosis/ballooning and fibrosis grades in NAFLD patients, which might explain the protective effects of moderate alcohol intake on preventing histological injury. In our cohort, however, the mild and non-drinking groups did not differ with regard to steatosis/ballooning grade and the rate of liver cirrhosis was higher in the mild drinking group. The reason for these discrepancies is unknown, along with why there were no significant reductions in body mass index or homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance score between our

Table 1  Comparison of clinicopathological features at the time of biopsy between mild drinking and non-drinking groups

|                              | Mild drinking group \((n = 93)\) | Non-drinking group \((n = 208)\) | \(P\) value |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| **Age (yr)**                 | 55 (19-77)                       | 56 (10-84)                       | 0.50       |
| **Male**                     | 52 (56)                          | 84 (40)                          | 0.01       |
| **Body mass index (kg/m\(^2\))** | 26.5 (18.3-40.0)                 | 26.2 (17.8-41.0)                 | 0.53       |
| **Co-existing disease**      |                                  |                                  |            |
| Diabetes mellitus            | 32 (34)                          | 78 (38)                          | 0.57       |
| Hypertension                 | 41 (44)                          | 81 (39)                          | 0.44       |
| Hyperlipidemia               | 35 (59)                          | 135 (66)                         | 0.29       |
| **Laboratory data**          |                                  |                                  |            |
| Albumin (mg/dL)              | 4.5 (3.2-5.4)                    | 4.5 (3.0-5.2)                    | 0.48       |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL)      | 0.91 (0.40-2.20)                 | 0.86 (0.38-2.04)                 | 0.12       |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (IU/L) | 44 (20-175)                | 47 (13-265)                       | 0.80       |
| Alanine aminotransferase (IU/L) | 68 (22-237)                 | 67 (13-522)                       | 0.74       |
| Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (IU/L) | 69 (19-400)                 | 54 (7-544)                       | 0.02       |
| Cholinesterase (IU/L)        | 363 (171-586)                    | 384 (189-591)                    | 0.05       |
| Fasting blood sugar (mg/dL)  | 106 (84-215)                     | 108 (77-221)                     | 0.63       |
| HOMA-IR                      | 3.4 (1.0-42.6)                   | 3.3 (0.3-24.5)                   | 0.58       |
| HbA1c (%)                    | 5.9 (5.1-9.8)                    | 6.0 (4.9-12.3)                   | 0.21       |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)    | 205 (138-336)                    | 208 (70-295)                     | 0.68       |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL)         | 115 (42-404)                     | 133 (32-801)                     | 0.14       |
| Platelet \((\times10^4)/\mu L\) | 21.1 (5.3-35.4)                 | 22.1 (7.2-40.7)                  | 0.04       |
| Hyaluronic acid (ng/mL)      | 43 (12-320)                      | 49 (9-611)                       | 0.57       |
| Type IV collagen 7s (ng/mL)  | 4.3 (2-20)                       | 4.5 (2-11)                       | 0.93       |
| Alpha-fetoprotein (ng/mL)    | 3.3 (0.7-13.5)                   | 3.0 (0.7-20.3)                   | 0.41       |
| **Pathology**                |                                  |                                  |            |
| Steatosis                    | 0.86                             |                                  |            |
| 1                            | 33 (36)                          | 64 (31)                          |            |
| 2                            | 36 (39)                          | 90 (43)                          |            |
| 3                            | 24 (26)                          | 54 (26)                          |            |
| Lobular inflammation         |                                  |                                  | 0.14       |
| 0                            | 5 (5)                            | 8 (4)                            |            |
| 1                            | 47 (51)                          | 83 (40)                          |            |
| 2                            | 37 (40)                          | 95 (46)                          |            |
| 3                            | 4 (4)                            | 22 (11)                          |            |
| Ballooning                   |                                  |                                  | 0.82       |
| 0                            | 18 (19)                          | 41 (20)                          |            |
| 1                            | 50 (54)                          | 118 (57)                         |            |
| 2                            | 25 (27)                          | 49 (24)                          |            |
| NAFLD activity score         |                                  |                                  | 0.79       |
| 1                            | 4 (4)                            | 5 (2)                            |            |
| 2                            | 8 (9)                            | 16 (8)                           |            |
| 3                            | 16 (17)                          | 34 (16)                          |            |
| 4                            | 17 (18)                          | 32 (15)                          |            |
| 5                            | 25 (27)                          | 62 (30)                          |            |
| 6                            | 14 (15)                          | 36 (17)                          |            |
| 7                            | 9 (10)                           | 18 (9)                           |            |
| 8                            | 0 (0)                            | 5 (2)                            |            |
| Fibrosis                     |                                  |                                  | 0.39       |
| 0                            | 17 (18)                          | 40 (19)                          |            |
| 1                            | 39 (42)                          | 93 (45)                          |            |
| 2                            | 10 (11)                          | 25 (12)                          |            |
| 3                            | 18 (19)                          | 42 (20)                          |            |
| 4                            | 9 (10)                           | 8 (4)                            |            |
| Fibrosis 3-4 (Advanced fibrosis) | 27 (29)                        | 50 (24)                          | 0.36       |
| Fibrosis 4 (Cirrhosis)       | 9 (10)                           | 8 (4)                            | 0.04       |

Data are expressed as median (range) or \(n\) (%). HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance.
We presume that ethnic differences may account for differences in ethanol consumption effects on steatosis, ballooning, and fibrosis.

In 2010, Ascha et al.\textsuperscript{24} described that a mild drinking habit was associated with an increased risk of carcinogenesis in a NASH-associated cirrhosis cohort. All HCC-developing patients \((n = 9)\) had advanced fibrosis (F3-4). Among all NAFLD patients, multivariate analysis revealed that fibrosis, diabetes mellitus, and serum triglyceride were factors significantly related to liver carcinogenesis originating from NAFLD.

Here, we focused on the impact of a mild drinking habit on liver carcinogenesis originating from NAFLD. All HCC-developing patients \((n = 9)\) had advanced fibrosis (F3-4). Among all NAFLD patients, multivariate analysis revealed that fibrosis, diabetes mellitus, and serum triglyceride were factors significantly related to liver carcinogenesis originating from NAFLD. All HCC-developing patients \((n = 9)\) had advanced fibrosis (F3-4). Among all NAFLD patients, multivariate analysis revealed that fibrosis, diabetes mellitus, and serum triglyceride were factors significantly related to liver carcinogenesis originating from NAFLD.

### Table 2  Comparison of clinicopathological features at the time of biopsy between hepatocellular carcinoma and non- hepatocellular carcinoma groups

|              | HCC group \((n = 9)\) | Non-HCC group \((n = 292)\) | \(P\) value |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| **Age (yr)** | 65 (56-84)            | 55 (50-81)                  | < 0.01      |
| **Male**     | 3 (33)                | 133 (46)                    | 0.52        |
| **Body mass index \((kg/m^2)\)** | 26.0 (18.3-28.7) | 26.2 (17.8-41.0) | 0.23        |
| **Drinking habit** | 6 (67)             | 87 (30)                     | 0.02        |
| **Co-existing disease** |                |                             |             |
| Diabetes mellitus | 8 (89)            | 102 (35)                    | < 0.01      |
| Hypertension | 9 (100)               | 113 (39)                    | < 0.01      |
| **Laboratory data** |                |                             |             |
| Albumin \((mg/dL)\) | 4.0 (3.7-4.6)       | 4.5 (3.0-5.4)               | < 0.01      |
| Total bilirubin \((mg/dL)\) | 0.91 (0.44-1.61) | 0.88 (0.38-2.64)            | 0.75        |
| Aspartate aminotransferase \((IU/L)\) | 52 (32-95)        | 46 (13-263)                 | 0.71        |
| Alanine aminotransferase \((IU/L)\) | 53 (16-132)       | 68 (13-522)                 | 0.11        |
| Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase \((IU/L)\) | 65 (28-192)       | 56 (7-544)                  | 0.48        |
| Cholinesterase \((IU/L)\) | 249 (190-434)     | 380 (171-591)               | < 0.01      |
| Fasting blood sugar \((mg/dL)\) | 133 (84-172)      | 106 (77-221)                | 0.13        |
| HOMA-IR | 5.8 (1.2-9.8)         | 3.3 (0.3-42.6)              | 0.18        |
| **HbA1c (%)** | 6.6 (6.0-7.0)       | 5.9 (4.9-12.3)              | 0.01        |
| Total cholesterol \((mg/dL)\) | 176 (153-264)     | 208 (70-336)                | 0.02        |
| Triglyceride \((mg/dL)\) | 85 (64-140)       | 130 (32-801)                | 0.02        |
| Platelet \((\times 10^{12}/μL)\) | 11.0 (6.4-18.6) | 22.0 (5.3-45.4)             | < 0.01      |
| Hyaluronic acid \((ng/mL)\) | 42 (17-263)       | 42 (9-1180)                 | 0.96        |
| Type IV collagen 7s \((ng/mL)\) | 5.6 (4.7-8.4)    | 4.4 (2.0-20.0)              | 0.03        |
| Alpha-fetoprotein \((ng/mL)\) | 6.0 (3.7-20.3)   | 3.0 (0.7-13.2)              | < 0.01      |
| **Pathology** |                |                             |             |
| Steatosis | 0.01     |                             |             |
| 1 | 7 (78) | 90 (31) |             |
| 2 | 2 (22) | 124 (43) | < 0.01      |
| 3 | 0 (0)  | 78 (27)  |             |
| Lobular inflammation | 0.01 |                             |             |
| 0 | 0 (0)  | 13 (4)   |             |
| 1 | 2 (22) | 128 (44) | < 0.01      |
| 2 | 7 (78) | 125 (43) |             |
| 3 | 0 (0)  | 26 (9)   | < 0.01      |
| Ballooning | 0.76 |                             |             |
| 0 | 1 (11) | 38 (20)  |             |
| 1 | 6 (67) | 162 (56) |             |
| 2 | 2 (22) | 72 (25)  |             |
| NAFLD activity score | 0.53 |                             |             |
| 1 | 0 (0)  | 9 (3)    |             |
| 2 | 0 (0)  | 24 (8)   |             |
| 3 | 3 (33) | 47 (16)  |             |
| 4 | 2 (22) | 47 (16)  |             |
| 5 | 4 (44) | 83 (28)  |             |
| 6 | 0 (0)  | 50 (17)  |             |
| 7 | 0 (0)  | 27 (9)   | < 0.01      |
| 8 | 0 (0)  | 5 (2)    |             |
| Fibrosis | < 0.01 |                             |             |
| 0 | 0 (0)  | 57 (20)  |             |
| 1 | 0 (0)  | 132 (45) |             |
| 2 | 0 (0)  | 35 (12)  |             |
| 3 | 4 (44) | 56 (19)  |             |
| 4 | 5 (56) | 12 (4)   |             |

Data are expressed as median (range) or \(n\) (%). HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance; HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c; NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.
HCC, while a mild drinking habit appeared to be only marginally related to carcinogenesis. On the other hand, in NAFLD cases with F3-4, multivariate survival analysis showed a mild drinking habit, alpha-fetoprotein, and diabetes mellitus to be factors significantly associated with HCC. Our results indicated that mild drinking may

### Table 3 Factors related to hepatic carcinogenesis by multivariate survival analysis using Cox’s regression model for all patients

| Factor                  | P value  | Relative risk | 95% CI     |
|-------------------------|----------|---------------|------------|
| Fibrosis                | < 0.01   | 11.6          | 2.36-56.9  |
| Diabetes mellitus       | < 0.01   | 89.5          | 6.01-1331.2|
| Triglyceride            | 0.04     | 0.98          | 0.95-0.99  |
| Drinking habit          | 0.07     | 4.43          | 0.88-22.4  |

### Table 4 Comparison of clinicopathological features at the time of biopsy between hepatocellular carcinoma and non- hepatocellular carcinoma groups in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients with advanced fibrosis (F3-4)

|                       | HCC group (n = 9) | Non-HCC group (n = 68) | P value |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)              | 65 (56-84)        | 64 (30-81)             | 0.32    |
| Male                  | 3 (33)            | 14 (21)                | 0.39    |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 26.0 (18.3-28.7)  | 27.7 (20.1-41.0)       | 0.05    |
| Drinking habit        | 6 (67)            | 21 (31)                | 0.03    |
| Co-existing disease   |                   |                        |         |
| Diabetes mellitus     | 8 (89)            | 32 (47)                | 0.02    |
| Hypertension          | 9 (100)           | 38 (56)                | 0.01    |
| Hyperlipidemia        | 3 (33)            | 35 (52)                | 0.31    |
| Laboratory data       |                   |                        |         |
| Albumin (mg/dL)       | 4.0 (3.7-4.6)     | 4.3 (3.2-5.0)          | 0.07    |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL) | 0.91 (0.44-1.61) | 0.91 (0.48-2.15)       | 0.99    |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (IU/L) | 52 (32-95)     | 63 (20-200)            | 0.13    |
| Alanine aminotransferase (IU/L) | 53 (16-132)     | 71 (19-298)            | 0.09    |
| Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (IU/L) | 65 (28-192)    | 65 (25-205)            | 0.75    |
| Cholinesterase (IU/L) | 249 (190-434)    | 345 (171-467)          | 0.02    |
| Fasting blood sugar (mg/dL) | 133 (84-172)    | 110 (82-215)           | 0.30    |
| HOMA-IR               | 5.8 (1.2-9.8)     | 4.3 (1.1-15.6)         | 0.54    |
| HbA1c (%)             | 6.6 (6.0-7.0)     | 6.1 (5.0-10.9)         | 0.14    |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 176 (153-264)  | 201 (131-294)          | 0.10    |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL)  | 85 (64-140)       | 119 (42-351)           | 0.03    |
| Platelet (*10⁷/μL)    | 11.0 (6.4-18.6)   | 16.6 (5.3-32.6)        | < 0.01  |
| Hyaluronic acid (ng/mL) | 42 (17-263)     | 59 (11-1180)           | 0.45    |
| Type IV collagen 7s (ng/mL) | 5.6 (4.7-8.4)  | 6.8 (3.5-20.0)         | 0.61    |
| Alpha-fetoprotein (ng/mL) | 6.0 (3.7-20.3) | 5.0 (1.1-11.3)         | 0.04    |
| Pathology             |                   |                        |         |
| Steatosis             |                   |                        |         |
| 1                     | 7 (78)            | 26 (38)                | 0.07    |
| 2                     | 2 (22)            | 32 (47)                | 0.45    |
| 3                     | 0 (0)             | 10 (15)                | 0.76    |
| Lobular inflammation  |                   |                        |         |
| 0                     | 0 (0)             | 1 (2)                  |        |
| 1                     | 2 (22)            | 17 (25)                |        |
| 2                     | 7 (78)            | 37 (54)                |        |
| 3                     | 0 (0)             | 13 (19)                |        |
| Ballooning            |                   |                        |         |
| 0                     | 1 (11)            | 1 (2)                  |        |
| 1                     | 6 (67)            | 34 (50)                |        |
| 2                     | 2 (22)            | 33 (49)                |        |
| NAFLD activity score  |                   |                        |         |
| 1                     | 0 (0)             | 1 (2)                  |        |
| 2                     | 0 (0)             | 1 (2)                  |        |
| 3                     | 3 (33)            | 5 (7)                  |        |
| 4                     | 2 (22)            | 10 (15)                |        |
| 5                     | 4 (44)            | 26 (38)                |        |
| 6                     | 0 (0)             | 16 (24)                |        |
| 7                     | 0 (0)             | 8 (12)                 |        |
| 8                     | 0 (0)             | 1 (2)                  |        |

Data are expressed as median (range) or n (%). HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance; HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c; NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.
increase the risk of HCC in NAFLD patients with not only cirrhosis (F4), but also advanced fibrosis.

The International Agency for Cancer Research (WHO) has certified that alcohol intake is carcinogenic for humans [25]. Indeed, alcohol consumption has been associated with increased risks of head and neck, oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, esophagus, bowel, breast, and liver cancers [25]. Ethanol is metabolized into acetaldehyde by alcohol dehydrogenase and cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1) in the liver, which is then oxidized to acetate by aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) [26]. Although the underlying causes of cancers related to ethanol consumption are not yet clear, various factors have been proposed as key contributors of hepatocarcinogenesis, including the direct genotoxicity of ethanol and its metabolite acetaldehyde, malnutrition, chronic inflammation, oxidative stress, interactions with retinoids, methylation level alterations, immunological surveillance, and angiogenesis [27]. Ethanol also reduces the levels of glutathione S-transferase, a detoxifier of oxidative stress, and increases the expression of CYP2E1, a generator of oxidative stress [28-32]. The net increases in oxidative stress by long-term ethanol consumption may lead to hepatocarcinogenesis in the presence of steatosis, while it is undetermined which factor is most affecting this oncogenic process [33-36]. The impact of ethanol per hepatocyte might be greater in cirrhotic patients because of the decreases in the number and function of hepatocytes. Actually, Vidal et al. [37] reported that ALDH activity was significantly reduced in patients with advanced liver fibrosis compared with those having mild fibrosis. Therefore, we presume that increased acetaldehyde and resultant DNA damage may induce pro-carcinogenic gene mutations and/or epigenetic changes, even with mild drinking, in NAFLD patients with advanced fibrosis.

Based on the results of the present study, mild ethanol consumption should be abandoned for NAFLD patients, especially those with advanced fibrosis. The main limitation of this study was its retrospective design; there remains a need for future large-scale longitudinal studies that evaluate the outcomes of NAFLD patients with mild ethanol intake. Prospective studies investigating the effect of ethanol cession in NAFLD patients with a mild drinking habit are also required to confirm the impact of mild drinking on the clinical course of NAFLD.

In conclusion, in NAFLD patients, especially those with advanced fibrosis, a mild drinking habit is a risk factor for hepatocarcinogenesis that should be discouraged.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

**Research background**

The prevalence rate of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has doubled during the last 20 years.

**Research motivation**

The impact of mild drinking habit (less than 20 g/d of ethanol) on the clinical
course of NAFLD has not been determined. We examined the influence of a mild drinking habit on liver carcinogenesis from NAFLD.

Research objectives
A total of 301 patients who had been diagnosed as having NAFLD by liver biopsy between 2003 and 2016 (median age: 56 years; 45% male; 56% with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, 26% with advanced fibrosis (F3-4)) were divided into the mild drinking group with ethanol consumption of less than 20 g/d (mild drinking group, n = 93) and the non-drinking group (n = 208).

Research methods
Clinicopathological features at the time of liver biopsy and factors related to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) occurrence were compared between the groups.

Research results
We observed significant differences in male prevalence (P = 0.01), platelet count (P = 0.04), and gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (P = 0.02) between the test groups. Over 6 years of observation, the HCC appearance rate was significantly higher in the mild drinking group (6.5% vs 1.4%, P = 0.02). Multivariate survival analysis using Cox’s regression model revealed that hepatic advanced fibrosis (F3-4) (P < 0.01, risk ratio: 11.60), diabetes mellitus (P < 0.01, risk ratio: 89.50), and serum triglyceride (P = 0.04, risk ratio: 1.23), and diabetes mellitus (P = 0.03, risk ratio: 12.00) were identified as significant contributors to HCC occurrence.

Research conclusions
A mild drinking habit appears to be a risk factor for hepatocarcinogenesis in NAFLD patients, especially those with advanced fibrosis.

Research perspectives
Prospective studies investigating the effect of ethanol cession in NAFLD patients with a mild drinking habit are also required to confirm the impact of mild drinking on the clinical course of NAFLD.
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