Discriminating antiferromagnetic signatures in ultracold fermions by tunable geometric frustration
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Recently, it has become possible to tune optical lattices continuously between square and triangular geometries. We compute thermodynamics and spin correlations in the corresponding Hubbard model using determinant quantum Monte Carlo and show that the frustration effects induced by the variable hopping terms can be clearly separated from concomitant bandwidth changes by a proper rescaling of the interaction. An enhancement of the double occupancy by geometric frustration signals the destruction of nontrivial antiferromagnetic correlations at weak coupling and entropy \( s \lesssim \ln(2) \) (and restores Pomeranchuk cooling at strong frustration), paving the way to the long-sought experimental detection of antiferromagnetism in ultracold fermions on optical lattices.

The comparison of the physics of antiferromagnetism on bipartite and frustrated lattices, and the interpolation between them, is a fascinating topic already at the classical level. In the Ising model on a square lattice with antiferromagnetic (AF) nearest-neighbor exchange \( J \) and an additional AF coupling \( J' \) along one of the diagonals, long-range AF order appears below \( T_T = (J - J')/\ln(2) \) at \( J' < J \) [1, 2]. The physics is more complex than this, however: at \( T > T_T \), AF order persists at intermediate ranges up to a ‘disorder line’ \( T_T(J, J') \), above which it becomes incommensurate, i.e., the peak in the structure factor moves away from the AF wave vector \( Q = (\pi, \pi) \).

Quantum physics can be introduced into such a classical model via a transverse magnetic field \( B_\perp \). In the absence of frustration, these quantum fluctuations compete with magnetic order and drive an AF to paramagnetic (PM) phase transition. In contrast, \( B_\perp \) can act to induce order when starting from the classical model on a triangular lattice by lifting the ground state degeneracy. A rich set of phases results from the interplay of quantum and thermal fluctuations, including two distinct ordered phases [3]. There is considerable experimental interest in realizing such frustrated quantum models in cold atomic gases and in the observation of these effects [4, 5].

In the present paper, we will examine related frustration physics in the context of an itinerant model of magnetism, the \( t-t' \) Hubbard Hamiltonian,

\[
H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} (c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \text{h.c.}) - t' \sum_{\langle\langle i,j \rangle\rangle, \sigma} (c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \text{h.c.}) + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} - \mu \sum_{i, \sigma} n_{i\sigma},
\]

(1)

where \( t \) is the nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude on a square lattice and \( t' \) is the next-nearest-neighbor hopping along one of the diagonals, as depicted in the inset of Fig. 1(b) [6]; \( U > 0 \) is the repulsive onsite interaction. The chemical potential \( \mu \) is tuned so that the system stays at half filling, unless otherwise noted.

Our purpose is two-fold. The first and primary motivation is to provide guidance for the next generation of experiments of quantum magnetism on ultracold fermions on optical lattices and to establish precise numerical reference results. Cold-atom experiments have demonstrated the Mott metal-insulator transition [7, 8]. However, the observation of quantum magnetism has proven much more challenging, owing to the low temperature scales required, with much of the success limited to classical and bosonic systems [9–11]. Very recent experiments have realized tunable lattice geometries for cold fermions [12] and bosons [13] with the goal of emulating magnetic or superfluid phases in many-body systems. In particular, nearest-neighbor AF correlations of fermionic atoms have been observed on dimerized and anisotropic geometries [12] with planned extensions to honeycomb and triangular lattice geometries [12, 14]. It is with this latter objective in mind, with its attendant promise of searching for spin-liquid and other exotic physics, that we simulate the \( t-t' \) Hubbard model.

A second goal is to expand our understanding of itinerant antiferromagnetism in frustrated geometries. Like the next-nearest-neighbor exchange \( J' \) on a square lattice, the hopping \( t' \) induces an AF superexchange interaction which can be expected to push the ordering wave vector away from \( Q = (\pi, \pi) \). Thus the \( t-t' \) Hubbard Hamiltonian is a natural generalization of spin models capturing the interplay of quantum and thermal fluctuations, and frustrating interactions.

We solve the Hamiltonian (1) using determinant quantum Monte Carlo (DQMC) [15, 16]. The method is exact, apart from statistical errors which can be reduced by increasing the sampling time, and Trotter errors associated with the discretization of the inverse temperature \( \beta \equiv 1/(k_B T) = \Lambda \Delta \tau \) (here, \( \Lambda \) is an integer and \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, set to unity in the following), which can be eliminated by extrapolation to the \( \Delta \tau = 0 \) limit [17, 18]. Unless one is protected by
We will mostly use the dimensionless entropy $S/(k_B N)$ per particle as a thermal parameter [17], as appropriate for (approximately adiabatic) cold-atom experiments. In the following, we present DQMC data obtained for $8 \times 8$ clusters at $\Delta \tau t_\alpha \leq 0.04$; we have verified (using additional simulations at $0.05 \leq \Delta \tau t_\alpha \leq 0.1$) that the resulting Trotter errors are insignificant and (using cluster sizes up to $16 \times 16$) that finite-size effects do not impact any of the conclusions (see Supplement).

Results at weak coupling – As is well-known, the additional hopping terms at $\alpha > 0$ frustrate AF correlations by introducing superexchange between sites that would have the same local spin orientation in a perfect Néel state. Moreover, the increase in noninteracting bandwidth weakens the relative impact of a fixed interaction $U$, i.e., makes the system less correlated. This is clearly seen in the inset of Fig. 1(a) at $U/t = 4$: increasing $\alpha$ shifts the double occupancy $D = \langle n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow} \rangle$ towards the uncorrelated limit $\langle n_{\uparrow} \rangle \langle n_{\downarrow} \rangle = 1/4$, regardless of entropy $s$; similar effects are observed also at stronger coupling (not shown). By scaling $U$ proportionally to $t_\alpha$, these bandwidth effects can be eliminated, as demonstrated in the main panel of Fig. 1(a). The DQMC estimate of $D(s)$ is here seen to collapse in the regime $s > s^* = \ln(2)$ [22]. Consequently, the remaining effect of $\alpha$, a strong enhancement of $D$ at $s < s^*$ must be associated with the suppression of (short-ranged) antiferromagnetism by geometric frustration. It is remarkable that this AF signature appears so sharply below $s^*$, even though the short-range spin correlation functions, shown in Fig. 1(b), vary smoothly as a function of $s$ (at fixed $\alpha$), with no particular features at $s \approx s^*$, and are sensitive to $\alpha$ at each $s$. Even in longer-range spin correlations, e.g. $C_{(2,0)}(s)$ depicted in Fig. 1(c), the AF signatures are less sharp than in $D(s)$.

Let us discuss the underlying physics in more detail. When charge fluctuations are strongly suppressed at low temperatures, a nonmagnetic state at half filling would be characterized by a random configuration with either a spin up or a spin down electron at each site, i.e., with $D = 0$ and $s = s^*$. Higher entropies can only arise due to charge excitations, which ultimately drive $D \to 1/4$ and $s \to \ln(4)$ for $T \to \infty$ at all $U > 0$. Lower entropies can be reached either by spin order or by long-range coherence of the charge quasiparticles, i.e., Fermi liquid physics. The latter effect is captured by “paramagnetic” dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT), which neglects nonlocal correlations and completely suppresses any spin order. At $s > s^*$, the DMFT estimate of $D(s)$ for the square lattice is seen in Fig. 1(a) (solid line) to converge to the $\alpha$-independent DQMC results, with increasing positive slope. At low $s$, $D$ is strongly enhanced, within DMFT, by Fermi liquid physics, giving rise to a negative slope $dD(s)/ds$ (or $dD(T)/dT$) in the regime $s < s^*$. This “Pomeranchuk effect” has been suggested as a tool for adiabatic cooling of cold atoms [23].

However, such a negative slope is hardly seen in...
the DQMC data for the square lattice [red circles in Fig. 1(a)]. Instead, $D(s)$ essentially forms a plateau in the range $0.4 \lesssim s \lesssim 0.8$, and decays further at $s \lesssim 0.4$ when finite-range AF order develops [24, 25]. This deviation from the nonmagnetic DMFT prediction is caused by strong AF correlations, which destroy the charge coherence instrumental to the Fermi liquid enhancement of $D$. Geometric frustration at $\alpha > 0$ should reduce these deviations by suppressing AF correlations. This is exactly what is observed in Fig. 1(a): with increasing $\alpha$, the Fermi liquid enhancement of $D$ is gradually restored. Note that this restoration is not complete, as AF correlations remain even in the triangular case, for which 120-degree order is expected at large $U$ [26].

Figure 1(d) shows $D(s)$ measured at density $n = 0.9$ and $U = 4t_\alpha$. While the impact of $\alpha$ is qualitatively similar to that at $n = 1$ [shown in Fig. 1(a)], it is smaller, especially in the range $\alpha \gtrsim 0.4$. This suggests that in cold-atom experiments, contributions from doped regions at the edge of the trap to measurements of $D$ averaging over the whole system [7, 27] will hardly dilute the AF-specific signature predicted above from the analysis of the half-filled core. Moreover, the shell contributions are also arising from remnant AF correlations (which are quickly suppressed for $\alpha \gtrsim 0.4$ at $n = 0.9$) and not from unrelated physics (such as Fermi liquid effects in the shell surrounding a Mott core [28, 29]).

Results at strong coupling – At the strong interaction $U = 12.25t_\alpha$, Fermi liquid effects are no longer relevant. Instead, $D$ is enhanced at low $s$, or $T$, by AF correlations in the unfrustrated case [17, 28], as seen in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b), respectively (red circles). Fig. 2(b) shows that geometric frustration has a drastic impact at fixed temperature: the low-$T$ enhancement of $D$ is almost completely eliminated (as illustrated by the arrow at $T/t_\alpha = 0.25$) in the triangular limit $\alpha \to 1$, which is easily understood as the result of a strong suppression of AF correlations. In contrast, almost no impact of $\alpha$ is seen on the shape of the curves $D(s)$ in Fig. 2(a), due to a cancellation effect [30]: The frustration changes $D$ and $s$ (cf. Fig. 4) simultaneously, as indicated by the arrow in Fig. 2(a) for fixed $T/t_\alpha = 0.25$. The net effect is just a shift on the same curve $D(s)$. At $U = 8t_\alpha$, this mechanism is only partially effective. Thus, the strong frustration effects visible in Fig. 2(d) at constant $T$ survive partially also at constant $s$, as seen in Fig. 2(c).

Let us now turn to spin correlation functions at $U = 8t_\alpha$, depicted in Fig. 3. The strongest sensitivity to $\alpha$ is seen in $C_{(1,1)}$ (upper set of curves in the main panel of Fig. 3), i.e., the spin correlations across the frustrating “diagonal” bond. This is not surprising, as the direction (1, 1) becomes equivalent to (1, 0) in the triangular limit $\alpha = 1$ (grey diamonds in Fig. 3), where, consequently, $C_{(1,1)}$ must agree with $C_{(1,0)}$. More quantitatively, the hopping along the (1, 1) diagonal induces an AF superexchange proportional to $\alpha^2$, consistent with the AF shifts seen in $C_{(1,1)}$.

In contrast, the lower set of curves in the main panel of Fig. 3, representing $C_{(1,0)}(s)$, nearly collapse in the range $0 \leq \alpha \leq 0.6$. Even at $\alpha = 1.0$, $|C_{(1,0)}|$ is reduced by only about 20% at constant entropy $s$. This has to be contrasted with a reduction by about 60% that is observed at constant $T$, as indicated by the upward arrow. Evidently, the cancellation effect is more effective for $C_{(1,0)}(s)$ than for $D(s)$ at $U = 8t_\alpha$. This is even more true for $C_{(2,0)}(s)$, shown in the inset of Fig. 3, with hardly any effect of $\alpha$ seen for $s \leq 0.6$; only the strongest frustration $\alpha = 1$ has a significant effect, as it...
practically eliminates this longer-range correlation in the range $0.6 \lesssim s \lesssim 0.7$.

The cancellation effects clearly expose the relevant physics: a (nearly) perfect cancellation of frustration effects in $C_T(s)$ at strong coupling means that the entropy $s(T)$ is dominated by spin physics, which is to be expected at $s < s^*$ in this limit. This is not the case at weak coupling, where Fermi liquid physics is equally important, which explains why frustration effects remain so pronounced in $C_T(s)$ and $D(s)$] at constant $s$ at weak coupling $U = 4 t_\alpha$ (cf. Fig. 1).

Adiabatic cooling – Before concluding the paper, let us return to the impact of geometric frustration on adiabatic cooling [23]. This cooling scheme is based on the thermodynamic relation $c \left( \partial T / \partial U \right)_s = T \left( \partial D / \partial T \right)_U$, where $c$ is the specific heat. $\partial D / \partial T < 0$ implies that an adiabatic ramping-up of the interaction between cold fermions in an optical lattice lowers their temperature. As depicted in Fig. 1 and 2, we found a wide range of $T$ (or $s$) where the slope $\partial D / \partial T$ is negative. To quantify the cooling effect, entropy curves $s(T)$ are plotted in Fig. 4 for a large range of interactions and levels of frustration, with vertical offsets proportional to $\alpha$.

In the charge excitation regime $T \gtrsim 0.7 t_\alpha$, i.e., $s \gtrsim s^*$, the data at $U > 0$ are clearly below the $U = 0$ results (blue circles) at all $\alpha$. Thus, increasing interactions lead to a reduction of the entropy at constant $T$ or, conversely, to a rising temperature at constant $s$. In the unfrustrated case [$\alpha = 0$; lowest set of curves in Fig. 4], this effect disappears at $s < s^*$ and the curves nearly collapse (down to $T/t_\alpha \approx 0.25$ or $s \approx 0.35$). In this range, an adiabatic ramp-up of the interaction (from $U = 0$ to $U = 8 t_\alpha$) has only a very small cooling effect [25], as indicated by the lower-most horizontal (yellow) bar for $s = 0.6$. With increasing frustration $\alpha$, the entropy is gradually enhanced by finite interactions, leading to an adiabatic cooling. For example, the cooling effect at $s = 0.6$ (horizontal yellow bars) is stronger by more than an order of magnitude in the triangular limit $\alpha = 1$ than on the square lattice ($\alpha = 0$).

Conclusions – Despite many challenges, rapid progress has been made in the last few years towards the realization of antiferromagnetic order in optical lattices. Novel lattice geometries are now being explored both for selectively reducing the entropy per particle in parts of the system and for exposing or creating magnetic effects that remain visible at elevated average entropy. The recent detection of AF signatures in tunable dimerized lattices [12] is an important step in this direction, although the singlet physics of dimers is quite distinct from the long-range AF order in higher dimensions.

Our study was aimed at exposing more generic AF physics by selectively suppressing AF order of a bipartite (square) lattice via tunable geometric frustration (by diagonal hopping $t' = \alpha t$, as in cold-atom experiments [12]) and looking for responses, i.e. sensitivity to $\alpha$, of clearly magnetic character. We have demonstrated a clear AF signature, namely an enhancement of the double occupancy $D(s)$ by frustration at weak coupling [cf. Fig. 1(a)], that extends up to $s \approx \ln(2)$ and should be in reach of cold-atom experiments. The approach to this regime could be monitored using the characteristic evolution (and dependence on $\alpha$) of spin correlations, which extend to even higher entropies.

A crucial prerequisite for exposing this physics was our elimination of bandwidth effects by scaling the interaction $U$ [31] with a suitable parameter $t_\alpha$ [cf. Eq. (2)]. At strong coupling, tunable frustration leads to AF signatures (at fixed temperatures) which are nearly offset by concurrent entropy changes in curves $D(s)$ and $C_T(s)$ and, therefore, hardly observable in (adiabatic) cold-atom experiments. Thus, the optimal interaction $U \approx 4 t_\alpha \lesssim 5 t$ for studying AF physics via tunable geometric frustration is substantially lower than the condition for maximizing the Neél temperature in the cubic case [32].
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL FOR “DISCRIMINATING ANTIFERROMAGNETIC SIGNATURES IN ULTRACOLD FERMIONS BY TUNABLE GEOMETRIC FRUSTRATION”

Chia-Chen Chang, Richard T. Scalettar, Elena V. Gorelik, and Nils Blümer

All results presented in the main text are based on determinantal quantum Monte Carlo simulations using a fixed Trotter discretization $\Delta t_{\alpha} = 0.04$ and a fixed cluster size of $8 \times 8$. In this supplement, we show that the resulting Trotter error is negligible, compared to statistical errors, and that the finite-size error is small enough not to alter any of our conclusions.

In order to quantify the Trotter errors at weak coupling $U = 4 t_{\alpha}$, we performed additional simulations using discretizations $\Delta t_{\alpha} \in \{0.05, 0.08, 0.1\}$. As shown in Fig. 1 for the temperature $T = t_{\alpha}/4$, the resulting estimates of the double occupancy $D$ (symbols) depend perfectly linearly on $\Delta t_{\alpha}^2$ for each value of $\alpha$, within error bars, so that a reliable extrapolation to $\Delta t$ is possible using least square fits (colored lines). However, already the results at $\Delta t_{\alpha} = 0.04$ (as used in the main text) are converged within the error bars of the individual data points.

This is seen in Fig. 2 for the full entropy regime of interest: while the finite-$\Delta t$ estimates of $D$ (thin grey symbols) appear slightly shifted upwards, by less than 0.001, in comparison with the extrapolated results (colored symbols) these deviations do not exceed the statistical uncertainties of the individual data points. Since the deviations are also roughly homogeneous, i.e. independent of $\alpha$ and $s$, they clearly do not affect our conclusions in any way.

At strong coupling $U = 12.25 t_{\alpha}$, we checked the accuracy of the simulations at $\Delta t_{\alpha} = 0.04$ by comparisons with previously computed numerically exact data for the square lattice [1]. Fig. 3 demonstrates that both the double occupancy, and the entropy per particle data used in the main paper match the extrapolated quantities with great accuracy. Thus, Trotter errors appear as irrelevant also at strong coupling.

As all physics is increasingly local at large $U$ (and half filling), the strongest finite-size effects can be expected at weak coupling. Indeed, quite significant deviations are seen for the unfrustrated case ($\alpha = 0$) at $U = 4t$ in Fig. 4 between the estimates of spin correlation functions and double occupancy obtained on a $8 \times 8$ cluster (indicated by a vertical dotted line) and the thermodynamic limits (horizontal dotted lines) at the lowest temperature $T/t = 0.2$. However, this corresponds to an entropy $s = 0.25$ which is not of direct experimental interest (yet); in the more relevant entropy range $0.4 \lesssim s \lesssim 0.5$ (and above), the finite-size effects at $L = 8$ are only slightly larger than the statistical error bars. As larger lattice sizes lead to worse sign problems at significant frustration $\alpha > 0$, our choice appears as a good compromise for our comprehensive study of frustration effects.

[1] E. V. Gorelik, D. Rost, T. Paiva, R. Scalettar, A. Klümper, and N. Blümer, Phys. Rev. A 85, 061602(R) (2012).
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FIG. 1. (Color online) The effect of finite Trotter discretization $\Delta t$ on DQMC estimates of the double occupancy $D$ at weak coupling $U = 4 t_{\alpha}$ and variable frustration $\alpha$. Colored lines show least square fits linear in $(\Delta t)^2$. The thin vertical line marks the value of $\Delta t_{\alpha} = 0.04$ used in the main paper. Thin horizontal lines correspond to extrapolated values of $D$. 

FIG. 2. (Color online) The effect of finite Trotter discretization $\Delta t$ on DQMC estimates of the full entropy $s$ at weak coupling $U = 4 t_{\alpha}$ and variable frustration $\alpha$. Colored lines show least square fits linear in $(\Delta t)^2$. The thin vertical line marks the value of $\Delta t_{\alpha} = 0.04$ used in the main paper. Thin horizontal lines correspond to extrapolated values of $s$. 

FIG. 3. (Color online) Comparison of DQMC estimates with numerically exact data for the square lattice [1]. Thin grey symbols show finite-$\Delta t$ estimates, colored symbols show extrapolations. The thin horizontal line corresponds to the extrapolated value of $D$. 

FIG. 4. (Color online) Comparison of DQMC estimates with thermodynamic limits for the unfrustrated case ($\alpha = 0$) at $U = 4t$ and $T/t = 0.2$. Thin grey symbols show finite-$\Delta t$ estimates, colored symbols show extrapolations. The thin horizontal line corresponds to the extrapolated value of $D$. 

FIG. 5. (Color online) Comparison of DQMC estimates with numerically exact data for the square lattice [1]. Thin grey symbols show finite-$\Delta t$ estimates, colored symbols show extrapolations. The thin horizontal line corresponds to the extrapolated value of $s$. 

FIG. 6. (Color online) Comparison of DQMC estimates with thermodynamic limits for the unfrustrated case ($\alpha = 0$) at $U = 4t$ and $T/t = 0.2$. Thin grey symbols show finite-$\Delta t$ estimates, colored symbols show extrapolations. The thin horizontal line corresponds to the extrapolated value of $s$. 

---
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FIG. 2. (Color online) The effect of finite Trotter discretization $\Delta \tau$ on DQMC estimates of the double occupancy $D$ at weak coupling $U = 4t_{\alpha}$ and variable frustration $\alpha$. The data at $\Delta \tau t_{\alpha} = 0.04$ (thin grey symbols) are compared with corresponding $\Delta \tau$-extrapolations (bold colored symbols).

FIG. 3. (Color online) The effect of finite Trotter discretization $\Delta \tau$ on DQMC estimates of double occupancy $D$ (main panel) and entropy per particle $s$ (inset) at strong coupling $U = 12.25t_{\alpha}$ for the square lattice ($\alpha = 0$). The data for $\Delta \tau t_{\alpha} = 0.04$ (thin dashed lines) are compared with corresponding $\Delta \tau$-extrapolations [1] (bold solid lines).
FIG. 4. (Color online) Finite-size effects on the DQMC estimates of double occupancy $D$ and spin-spin correlations $C_{(1,0)}$ and $C_{(1,1)}$ at weak coupling $U = 4t$ for square lattice ($\alpha = 0$) for a set of thermal parameters: $\beta t = 5.0$, $s = 0.25 \pm 0.02$ (open squares and solid lines), $\beta t = 3.6$, $s = 0.4 \pm 0.02$ (filled squares and dashed lines), $\beta t = 3.0$, $s = 0.46 \pm 0.02$ (open circles and sort-dashed lines). Colored lines show linear least square fits with respect to $L^{-2}$. Thin vertical line marks the value of $L = 8$ used in the main paper. Thin horizontal lines correspond to the extrapolated values of observables.