Endoscopic Gastrojejunostomy for Superior Mesenteric Artery Syndrome Using Magnetic Compression Anastomosis
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Abstract

An 89-year-old woman who was bedridden suffered repeated vomiting due to superior mesenteric artery syndrome (SMAS). We performed gastrojejunostomy via the magnetic compression anastomosis (MCA) technique because her situation was not improved by conservative therapy and because the operative risk was high. We prepared two neodymium magnets: a flat plate-shaped magnet (15 × 3 mm) and a ring-shaped magnet of the same size. The ring-shaped magnet which passed through a guidewire was pushed to the duodenum by an endoscope over the guidewire. The duodenal stricture was balloon-dilated in front of the magnet, and the magnet was pushed all together beyond the stricture and placed at the duodenojejunal junction. Subsequently, the flat plate-shaped magnet was delivered endoscopically to the stomach using a biopsy forceps. The magnets were attracted towards each other transmurally after one more flat plate-shaped magnet was added to the gastric side magnet. Completion of gastrojejunostomy was confirmed while retrieving the magnets 10 days after starting compression. She has been asymptomatic for 1 month since anastomosis. Endoscopic gastrojejunostomy using MCA was an effective, low-invasive treatment for SMAS.
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Introduction

Superior mesenteric artery (SMA) syndrome is a rare cause of proximal small bowel obstruction, the incidence of which is 0.1-0.3%. It is caused by a narrow angle between the aorta and the SMA, resulting in the compression of the third portion of the duodenum [1]. Conservative management for SMA syndrome, including fluid resuscitation, bowel rest, total parenteral nutrition and enteric feeding with a nasojejunal tube inserted past the obstruction, is initially attempted [2]. However, it often fails, and then surgical treatment such as laparoscopic duodenojejunostomy is needed to relieve the symptom [1, 3].

Magnetic compression anastomosis (MCA) was developed as a low-invasive, alternative treatment for enteric or biliary obstruction [4-8], which has been shown to consistently create histologically well-formed anastomoses with strength equal to or greater than that of hand-sewn or stapled anastomoses in animal studies [9-12]. Thus, favorable, clinical results in surgically assisted, minimally invasive gastro-enteric or entero-enteric MCA have been reported [6, 13]. However, whether the technique should be applied in the clinical setting is controversial due to the potential for severe postoperative complications, including anastomotic leakage and damage to unintended tissue between the mated magnets, which has been reported in several cases [4]. Furthermore, in several cases, prophylactic stent placement against post-anastomotic stricture induced stent-related complications, such as perforation, stent migration, and stent obstruction [5, 14].

We herein report the first case of endoscopic gastrojejunostomy using MCA for the treatment of SMA syndrome.

Case Report

An 89-year-old woman who was admitted to hospital and bedridden due to traumatic acute subdural hematoma was referred to our department with a 3-day history of repeated vomiting since her admission in June 2019. She had no history of significant surgical complications. Examination revealed a body mass index of 17.7 kg/m² and abdominal distension without tenderness. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) revealed a severely dilated stomach and significant dilatation of the duodenum up to the level of the distal third portion, which was sandwiched between the aorta and SMA (Fig. 1). The angle between the aorta and SMA was 14°, and the aorta-SMA distance was 5 mm, which met the diagnostic imaging criteria for adult SMA syndrome [15]. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy after nasogastric drainage showed narrowing of the third portion of the duodenum without mucosal abnormality; the endoscope was not able to pass through this site. Fluoroscopy showed a smooth, abrupt obstruction at the third portion of the duodenum. We performed gastrojejunostomy via the MCA
technique because her situation did not improve after 1 month of conservative therapy, which included *nil per os*, nasogastric drainage and enteral nutrition via a W-ED tube (Japan Covidiem Corporation, Tokyo), and because the operative risk was high. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient and her family.

We prepared two neodymium magnets: a flat plate-shaped magnet (15 × 3 mm; Magfine, Sendai, Japan) with a small hole of 3 mm in diameter and a ring-shaped magnet (15 × 3 mm, internal diameter of 10 mm). A nylon thread was passed through each of the holes. The placement of the magnets was planned based on fluoroscopy and CT. We then confirmed that there was no unintended tissue, such as small intestine or colon tissue adjacent to the anastomotic site at the predicted locations of the magnets. First, a guidewire (0.035 in; Hydra Jagwire, Boston Scientific, Japan) was inserted into the jejunum. Then, the ring-shaped magnet, which passed through the guidewire was pushed over the guidewire and delivered to the duodenum by a forward-viewing endoscope (GIF-Q260J; Olympus, Japan). The duodenal stricture was dilated in front of the magnet by up to 16.5 cm using a dilating balloon (CRE Wire-guided, Boston Scientific, Japan) (Fig. 2a), and the magnet was pushed all together beyond the stricture by the endoscope and placed at the duodenojejunal junction. Subsequently, the flat plate-shaped magnet was delivered to the stomach endoscopically using a biopsy forceps. The magnets were attracted towards each other transmurally after one more flat plate-shaped magnet was added to the gastric-side magnet (Fig. 2b). We easily retrieved the magnets using a biopsy forceps 10 days after starting compression, and confirmed the completion of gastrojejunostomy (Fig. 3a, b). The patient then started direct swallowing training. She has been asymptomatic in 1 month since anastomosis although slight anastomotic stricture was confirmed endoscopically (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

We showed that endoscopic gastrojejunostomy using MCA was useful as a minimally invasive treatment for SMAS syndrome. We found two clinical studies investigating the availability of endoscopic gastroenterostomy using MCA followed by the insertion of a self-expandable metal stent [5, 14]. Choppita et al [5] demonstrated the feasibility, safety and efficacy of this technique for creating gastroenteric anastomosis: the procedure success rate was 88% (13 out of 15 patients), with four patients experiencing stent migration as a minor complication. Van Hooft et al [14] showed that the necessity of stenting led to serious morbidity, including stent migration, and even mortality due to stent perforation, while the endoscopic creation of gastroenteric anastomosis by magnetic compression was feasible and safe.

In the case of gastroenterostomy using MCA without stent insertion, Pichakron et al [10] revealed that only one of...
nine gastrojejunostomy created by MCA showed stricture at 2-6 weeks after the procedure in an animal study. In contrast, Yamanouchi et al [4] reported that over 80% of gastro-duodenal anastomoses created by MCA showed stricture, which necessitated repeated balloon dilation. In the present case, the patient has been asymptomatic; however, anastomotic stricture was detected 1 month after canalization. The continuous passage of digestive juices or foods can prevent severe anastomotic stricture. Considering the risk of severe complications due to stent placement, stent insertion should not be indicated after gastroenterostomy using MCA, and balloon dilation should be performed in cases of symptomatic anastomotic stricture.

In conclusion, endoscopic gastrojejunostomy using MCA was found to be an effective, low-invasive treatment for SMA syndrome. Larger studies with longer follow-up periods are needed to confirm its utility and safety.
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