Topological crossovers near a quantum critical point
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We study the temperature evolution of the single-particle spectrum $\epsilon(p)$ and quasiparticle momentum distribution $n(p)$ of homogeneous strongly correlated Fermi systems beyond a point where the necessary condition for stability of the Landau state is violated, and the Fermi surface becomes multi-connected by virtue of a topological crossover. Attention is focused on the different non-Fermi-liquid temperature regimes experienced by a phase exhibiting a single additional hole pocket compared with the conventional Landau state. A critical experiment is proposed to elucidate the origin of NFL behavior in dense films of liquid $^3$He.

The study of non-Fermi-liquid (NFL) behavior of strongly correlated Fermi systems in the regime of a quantum critical point (QCP) is currently one of the most active and challenging areas of condensed-matter physics.1, 2 As a rule, such behavior is attributed to second-order phase transitions, and the QCP is identified with the end point of a corresponding line of transition temperatures, denoted by $T_N(H)$ in the prototype in which an external magnetic field $H$ is the control parameter. In this case, NFL behavior is triggered by critical antiferro- or ferromagnetic fluctuations, which lead to violation of respective Pomeranchuk stability conditions (PSC). Ensuing NFL phenomena can be understood when one recognizes that standard FL theory possesses its own quantum critical point, in the vicinity of which it fails. At this point, the necessary stability condition (NSC) for the $T = 0$ Landau state is violated as opposed to violation of some PSC at a conventional QCP.

The NSC states that an arbitrary admissible variation $\delta n(p)$ from the FL quasiparticle momentum distribution $n_F(p) = \theta(p_F - p)$, while conserving particle number, must produce a positive change of the ground-state energy $E_0$, i.e.,

$$\delta E_0 = \int \epsilon(p; n_F(p)) \delta n(p) dv > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here, $\epsilon(p; n_F)$ denotes the spectrum of single-particle excitations measured from the chemical potential $\mu(T = 0)$ and evaluated for the initial Landau state specified by the quasiparticle occupancy $n_F(p)$. The reduction in energy due to breakdown of the NSC, which involves contributions linear in $\delta n$, is clearly larger than that due to violation of any PSC, which involves bilinear combinations of $\delta n$. We must conclude that any associated fluctuation scenario is irrelevant to the different type of QCP associated with violation of the NSC, which we shall call a Fermi-liquid QCP.

Violation of the NSC is unambiguously linked to a change of the number of roots of equation

$$\epsilon(p, n_F) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In standard Fermi liquids, this equation has a single root at the Fermi momentum $p_F$, and in that case the signs of $\epsilon(p)$ and $\delta n(p)$ coincide, ensuring satisfaction of the NSC. However, consideration of the full Lifshitz phase diagram anticipates the emergence of additional roots of Eq. (2). For example, such roots appear at a critical density $\rho_c$ where the function $\epsilon(p, \rho_c)$ attains either a...
maximum, with a bifurcation point $p_b < p_F$, or a minimum, with $p_b > p_F$, so that $\epsilon(p \to p_b, \rho_o) \propto (p - p_b)^2$, (see the upper two panels of Fig. 1). Thus, vanishing of $\delta n(p)$ is always accompanied by vanishing of the group velocity $v(p_0, \rho_o) = (\partial \epsilon(p, \rho_o)/\partial p)_{p_0}$. Beyond the critical density $\rho_o$, the NSC fails to hold, since $\epsilon(p, n_F; \rho)$ and $\delta n(p)$ have opposite signs close to $p_b$.

As indicated in the lower panel of Fig. 1, the condition $\epsilon(p, n_F; \rho)$ is also violated at a critical density $\rho_\infty$ where the effective mass $M^*(\rho)$ diverges. In this case, standard manipulations based on the Landau relation connecting the single-particle spectrum and the quasiparticle momentum distribution, (see Eq. 3 below) yield

$$v_F(\rho)/v_F^0 = M^*(\rho) = 1 - 1/3 F_1^0(\rho)$$

(3)

where $v_F^0 = p_F/M$ and $F_1^0(\rho) = f_1(p_F, p_F; \rho)p_F M/\pi^2$ is the dimensionless first harmonic of the Landau interaction function, normalized with the density of states $N_0 = p_F M/\pi^2$ of the ideal Fermi gas. Evidently, $F_1^0(\rho)$ is a smooth function of the density $\rho$, and $F_1^0(\rho) = 3$ at $\rho = \rho_\infty$. Then beyond the critical point, one has $F_1(\rho) > 3$, and the Fermi velocity $v_F(\rho)$ becomes negative. This behavior conflicts with the fluctuation scenario for the QCP, in which such a sign change is impossible.

To summarize, we infer that at any point where the NSC is violated, the density of states, given by

$$N(T) = \frac{1}{T} \int n(\epsilon)(1 - n(\epsilon)) \frac{d\epsilon}{d\epsilon} d\epsilon,$$

(4)

diverges at $T \to 0$ due to vanishing of the group velocity $d\epsilon(p)/dp$. One has

$$N(T \to 0, \rho_\infty) \propto T^{-2/3}, \quad N(T \to 0, \rho_o) \propto T^{-1/2}. \quad (5)$$

The difference in critical indexes is associated with the fact that $dp/d\epsilon \propto \epsilon^{-2/3}$ at the critical density $\rho_\infty$, whereas $dp/d\epsilon \propto |\epsilon|^{-1/2}$ at the critical density $\rho_o$.

Significantly, the Sommerfeld-Wilson ratio $R_{SW} = \chi(T)/\gamma(T)$ cannot diverge at these points. Indeed, the density of states $N(T)$ cancels out in the ratio $R_{SW}$, while the Stoner factor entering $\chi(T)$ maintains a finite value, since, as we have seen, the PSC and NSC cannot fail at the same point. This conclusion is in agreement with experimental data on dense films of liquid $^3$He, the two-dimensional electron gas of MOSFETs, and the majority of heavy-fermion metals.

Since no symmetry is violated at a Fermi liquid QCP, and hence no hidden order parameters are involved, the transition ensuing from the violation of the NSC is topological in character. Beyond the bifurcation point, Eq. (2) usually has two additional roots $p_1$ and $p_2$ situated near each other (however, cf. Refs. 22–24). It is for variations of $\delta n(p)$ involving momenta $p_1 < p < p_2$, at which $\delta n(p)$ and $\epsilon(p)$ have opposite signs, that the NSC breaks down.

The analysis of topological rearrangements triggered by the interaction between quasiparticles began twenty years ago, with important subsequent developments reported in Refs. 17,25–32. In this article, we address the Fermi-liquid QCP in homogeneous matter and focus on the case where the new roots $p_1$ and $p_2$ emerge near the Fermi momentum $p_F$. The physics of this phenomenon is captured if we keep the three first terms,

$$\epsilon(x) = p_F x - \frac{v_1}{2} x^2 - \frac{v_2}{6} x^2,$$

$$v(x) = v_F + v_1 x + \frac{v_2}{2} x^2,$$

(6)

in the Taylor expansions of the spectrum $\epsilon(x)$ and its group velocity $v(x)$, where $x = (p - p_F)/p_F$. To some extent, this approach is reminiscent of that employed by Landau in his theory of second–order phase transitions. In an ideal Fermi gas, $v_F = v_1 = v_2 = (2 M^* / \pi^2)^1/3$. The case $v_1 = 0, v_2 > 0$ was considered in Ref. 17. Here we assume that $v_1 > 0, v_2 > 0$, and $v_1/v_2 < 1$, the situation addressed in the numerical calculations of Ref. 15.

To find the bifurcation momentum $p_b = p_F (1 + x_b)$ one must solve the set of equations $\epsilon(p) = 0$ and $v(p) = 0$, i.e.

$$v_F + \frac{v_1}{2} x_b + \frac{v_2}{6} x_b^2 = 0,$$

$$v_F + v_1 x_b + \frac{v_2}{2} x_b^2 = 0.$$

(7)

This system has the solution $x_b = -3 v_1/2 v_2$ provided the critical condition

$$\frac{8 v_2 v_F(\rho)}{3 v_1} = 1$$

(8)

is met. Thus in the case $v_1 \neq 0$, the critical Fermi velocity $v_F$ is still positive, and therefore the Landau state becomes unstable before the system reaches the point at
which the effective mass diverges—as was first discovered and discussed in Refs. 24.

The prerequisite \( x_b \ll 1 \) for applicability of the expansion (9) is satisfied provided \( v_1/v_2 \ll 1 \), implying that the critical Fermi velocity is small: \( v_F = 3v_1^2/8v_2 \ll v_F^0 \). Given this situation, upon accounting for the dependence of \( v_F \) on the temperature \( T \) and control parameters such as the external magnetic field \( H \) that do not change the form of Eq. (9), one can establish a critical line \( T = T_\infty(H) \) separating phases with different topological structure.

Evaluation of relevant \( T- \) and \( H- \) dependence corrections to the Fermi velocity \( v_F \) is based on the Landau equation (13) for the single-particle spectrum \( \epsilon(p) \), which in 3D has the form

\[
\frac{\partial \epsilon(p)}{\partial p} = \frac{p}{M} + \frac{1}{3} \int f_1(p, p_1) \frac{\partial n(p_1)}{\partial p_1} dv_1, \tag{9}
\]

with \( dv = p^2 dp/\pi^2 \). This relation provides a nonlinear integral equation for self-consistent determination of \( \epsilon(p, T, H) \) and the momentum distribution

\[
n(p, T, H) = \left[ 1 + \epsilon'(p, T, H)/T \right]^{-1}, \tag{10}
\]

with the Landau interaction function \( f(p, p_1) \) (hence its first harmonic \( f_1 \)) treated as phenomenological input.

Our goal is to evaluate the \( T- \) and \( H- \) dependence of the key quantity \( v_F(p, T, H) \). In the simplest case \( H = 0 \), the overwhelming \( T- \) dependent contributions to \( v_F \) come from integration over the vicinity of the bifurcation momentum \( p_b \). Evaluation is performed along the same lines as in Ref. 17, i.e., by expanding the interaction function in a Taylor series, although here we have to retain a correction to the FL formula (4) linear in \( p - p_b \).

As a result, we arrive at

\[
v_F(T \to T_\infty) - v_F(p) \propto \int (s - p_b) \frac{\partial n(s, T)}{\partial s} ds, \tag{11}
\]

where \( v_F(p) \) is given by Eq. (9).

The integral \( I_T \) on the right side of Eq. (11) is evaluated with the aid of relations \( \epsilon(p \to p_b) \propto (p - p_b)^2 \) and \( \partial \epsilon(p \to p_b)/\partial p \propto \sqrt{\epsilon(p)} \) stemming from Eq. (9). Upon standard changes of integration variables \( p \to \epsilon \to T_z \), we find

\[
I_T \propto T^{1/2} \int z^{1/2} n(z) (1 - n(z)) dz \propto \sqrt{T/\epsilon_F^0}, \tag{12}
\]

at \( T \to T_\infty \). Together with Eq. (9), this result leads to a tiny value of the critical temperature

\[
T_\infty \propto \epsilon_F^0 \left( \frac{v_1^2}{v_2 v_F^0} \right)^2 D^2, \tag{13}
\]

where

\[
D = 1 - \frac{8v_2 v_F^0}{3v_1^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} F_1^0(p) \right) \geq 0 \tag{14}
\]

is a criticality parameter. Temperature \( T_\infty \) vanishes at the point where \( D = 0 \).

Consider now the imposition of a magnetic field \( H \) on the system. The impact of the field becomes well pronounced when \( \mu_f H > T, \) and there emerge two subsystems having spin projections \( \pm 1/2 \), implying in turn a decomposition \( N(T) = N_+(T) + N_-(T) \) of the density of states. The corresponding formulas are cumbersome and will be analyzed elsewhere. Here we focus on the case \( T = 0 \) and estimate an upper tuning magnetic field \( H_\infty \) such that a bifurcation emerges in the spectrum \( \epsilon_+(p) \), while the down-spin spectrum \( \epsilon_-(p) = \epsilon_+(p) - 2\mu_f H \) admits merely the conventional root \( p_F \). As before, a leading correction \( I_H \to v_F \) comes from integration over the vicinity of the momentum \( p_b \), with the subsystem whose spectrum goes to \( \epsilon_+(p) \propto (p - p_b^0)^2 = T z + \mu_f H_\infty \) at \( H \to H_\infty \) making the dominant contribution, to yield

\[
I_H \propto \int (T z + \mu_f H_\infty)^{1/2} n(z) (1 - n(z)) dz \propto \sqrt{\mu_f H_\infty/\epsilon_F^0}, \tag{15}
\]

and

\[
\mu_f H_\infty \propto \epsilon_F^0 \left( \frac{v_1^2}{v_2 v_F^0} \right)^2 D^2. \tag{16}
\]
Comparing Eqs. (13) and (16) we see that $\mu_f H_0 \sim T_\circ$. This result is inherent to a scenario in which single-particle degrees of freedom play the dominant role and is consistent with available experimental data on heavy-fermion metals.\textsuperscript{19,35}

Let us now briefly analyze the situation at $T = H = 0$ on the ordered side of the topological rearrangement assuming, as before, the criticality parameter $D$ to be positive. In the case $v_1 > 0$, addressed first in Refs.\textsuperscript{23} and later in Ref.\textsuperscript{13} the bifurcation momentum $p_b$ resides inside the Fermi volume. The rearranged $T = 0$ quasiparticle momentum distribution $n(p)$ is given by $n(p) = 1$ for $p < p_1$ and $p_2 < p < p_F$, and zero otherwise, with $p_F$ shifted outward to conserve quasiparticle number. Thus, the Fermi surface gains an additional hole pocket. In the 1960’s, such a small hole pocket was called a Lifshitz bubble (LB) in Landau-school folklore. In this case, two additional roots of Eq. (2) appear, with

$$x_{1,2} = -\frac{3v_1}{2v_2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{8v_2^2v_F(p_1, p_1, p_2)}{3v_1^2}}\right). \quad (17)$$

We note that $v_F(p_1, p_1, p_2)$ differs from the parameter $v_F(\rho)$ introduced previously, since it is evaluated for the phase in which the Fermi surface has three sheets. Accounting for the displacement of $p_F$ due to emergence of the LB, one obtains $v_F(p_1, p_1, p_2) = v_F(\rho) \propto (p_1 - p_2)^2$, leading to

$$p_2 - p_1 \propto \frac{v_1}{v_2} \sqrt{D}. \quad (18)$$

As a result, we find

$$v_{LB} \propto \frac{v_1^2}{v_2} \sqrt{D} < v_F \quad (19)$$

for the LB Fermi velocity $v_{LB} = v(x_1)$ from the second of Eqs. (2), thereby demonstrating that the LB contribution to the density of states $N(0)$ prevails.

At temperatures beyond $T > T_\circ$, the LB contribution to thermodynamic properties disappears. Were this to occur instantaneously, the specific heat $C(T)$ would undergo a jump, as if one were dealing with a second–order phase transition. As a matter of fact, the rearrangement occurs rapidly but not momentarily. Thus one deals with a topological crossover (TC), and Eqs. (2) serve to establish a TC line $T_\circ(H)$ that resembles a line $T_N(H)$ of second–order phase transitions.

The TC width is found from the condition $T_\circ < T_\circ < T_\circ$, with the boundaries $T_\circ$ and $T_\circ$ being determined by the relations

$$\epsilon(p_b, T_\circ) = T_\circ, \quad \epsilon(p_b, T_\circ) = -T_\circ. \quad (20)$$

Since $v(p, T) \simeq v_{0}(p)/\sqrt{T/\epsilon_F}$ in the LB region near $T_\circ$, the similar formula $\epsilon(p, T) \equiv (\epsilon(p, p) - \epsilon(p, F))/\sqrt{T}$ is obtained for the spectrum $\epsilon(p, T)$ after a simple momentum integration. Straightforward manipulations employing the definition $\epsilon(p_b, T_\circ) = 0$ then lead to

$$\frac{T_\circ - T_\circ}{T_\circ} \simeq \frac{T_\circ - T_\circ}{T_\circ} \propto \sqrt{T_\circ/\epsilon_F}. \quad (21)$$

Accordingly, the reduced temperature width of the critical region turns out to be small, implying that the TC does indeed imitate a second–order phase transition.

A conventional FL regime having $T$–independent quantities $\chi(0) \propto N(0) \propto 1/\epsilon_{LB}(0) \simeq 1/(\epsilon_F(0)\sqrt{T})$ is seen to persist until $T$ reaches $T_\circ < T_\circ$, where the LB occupation numbers begin to experience substantial change as the temperature continues to increase. Both the density of states $N(T)$ and the spin susceptibility $\chi(T)$ attain maximum values at $T = T_\circ$, where $\chi(T_\circ) \propto 1/(\epsilon(x_b, T_\circ)) \propto 1/\sqrt{T}$. At higher temperatures, the LB contribution to $\chi(T)$ begins to fall, finally dying out and leaving $\chi(T) \propto 1/\epsilon_F(0)$. Analogous results are found for the Sommerfeld ratio, given by

$$\gamma(T) = \int \frac{\epsilon(p) \partial n(p)}{T} \frac{\partial \epsilon(p)}{\partial T} dp, \quad (22)$$

except that $\gamma$ reached its maximum at a different temperature, due to the marked dependence of the spectrum $\epsilon(p, T)$ on $T$. The foregoing analysis therefore leads to the conclusion that in the QCP region, both the magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ and the Sommerfeld ratio $\gamma(T)$ exhibit asymmetric peaks, located at different temperatures $\simeq T_\circ$. Such behavior, observed in many heavy-fermion metals situated in a QCP region,\textsuperscript{19,35,36} remains unexplained within any conventional scenario for the QCP.

Further temperature evolution of the spectrum $\epsilon(p, T)$ is associated with another essential rearrangement of the momentum distribution $n(p, T)$ that occurs in the

FIG. 3: Same as in Fig. 2 but at $T \geq T^*$. 
region of a critical temperature $T_c$. The distribution $n(p, T)$ becomes a smooth function of momentum

$$n(p, T) \simeq n_*(p), \quad p_i < p < p_f,$$

in an interval adjacent to the Fermi surface and is otherwise unity for $p < p_i$ and zero for $p > p_f$. In this domain, $n(p, T)$ is nearly independent of $T$, while the dispersion of the single-particle spectrum $\epsilon(p, T)$ becomes proportional to $T$ so as to satisfy Eq. (10).

Both these features are inherent to the phenomenon of fermion condensation, a topological phase transition discovered twenty years ago, in which a flat band pinned to the Fermi surface (the so-called fermion condensate (FC)) is formed. This phenomenon, alternatively viewed as a swelling of the Fermi surface, was recently rediscovered by Lee while investigating the finite-charge-density sector of conformal field theory (CFT) within the AdS/CFT gravity/gauge duality. The phenomenon of fermion condensation (flat band) may also arise in topological media for purely topological reasons, (see Refs. 40,41).

Unfortunately, important details of this rearrangement cannot be established analytically. To clarify the relationship between properties of the phase having the single LB at $T = 0$ and those of a system possessing a FC at $T = 0$, we must resort to numerical treatment of Eq. (9). Figs. 2 and 3 present results from numerical calculations of the spectra $\epsilon(p)$ and momentum distributions $n(p)$ for a 3D model system based on the interaction function

$$f(q) = g_0 \gamma^2 \frac{1}{M} \frac{1}{q^2 + \beta^2 p_F^2},$$

with dimensionless parameters $g_0 = 0.45$ and $\beta = 0.07$, values for which the zero-$T$ phase possesses a single LB. In this model one has $T_0 \simeq 5 \times 10^{-5} \rho_0$ and $T^* = 3 \times 10^{-3} \epsilon_0^F$. The results are to be compared with those in Fig. 4 obtained for the model interaction function

$$f(q) = g_0 \gamma^2 \frac{1}{M} \frac{e^{-\alpha q/p_F}}{q},$$

for which a flat portion in the spectrum $\epsilon(p)$ is already present at $T = 0$. In the interval $T \simeq T_0 < T_c$, the spectra $\epsilon(p)$ of the two systems are quite dissimilar. However, when $T$ reaches values around $T_c$, a flat portion of $\epsilon(p)$ develops for the interaction model (24) as well, the density associated with the flat segment being half the FC density $\rho_*$ obtained for the model (25). On the other hand, outside the range $[p_i, p_f]$, the momentum distribution $n(p)$ calculated for model (24) shows more pronounced tails than in the case of model (25).

The impact of these differences on the magnetic susceptibilities $\chi$ and Sommerfeld ratios $\gamma(T)$ of the two model systems is seen in Fig. 5. As is known, the contribution $\chi_*$ of the FC region to $\chi$ obeys the Curie law: $\chi_*(T) \propto C_*/T$, with an effective Curie constant

$$C_* \propto \int n_*(p)(1 - n_*(p)) \, dv \propto \rho_*.$$

Fig. 5 shows that this Curie-like term does prevail in the susceptibilities calculated for both models. Such NFL
behavior is in agreement with experimental data on dense films of liquid $^3$He obtained in the QCP region.

The Sommerfeld ratios $R_{SW} = \chi(T)/\gamma(T)$ evaluated for the two models differ drastically. Indeed, in the LB case (i.e., for model [24]), the values of $\chi(T \to 0)$ and $\gamma(T \to 0)$ are large compared with those of the corresponding ideal Fermi gas, with $R_{SW}$ remaining of order of unity. On the other hand, in the model [25] that already hosts a FC at $T = 0$, $\chi(T)$ diverges at $T \to 0$ as $T^{-1}$, while the FC contribution to $\gamma(T)$ evidently vanishes, implying a huge enhancement of $R_{SW}$. This scenario is in agreement with data on the specific heat $C(T)$ of the $P$-type heavy-fermion metal YbIr$_2$Si$_2$, for which the FL term $C(T) \propto T$ exists only at extremely low temperatures below $T_\phi$, whose value is presumably less than 1 K. The corresponding value of $\gamma(T \to 0)$ is so enhanced that already at $T \simeq 0.7K$, the entropy value has become surprisingly large: $S/N \simeq (\ln 2)/2$. At $T > T_\phi$ a collapse of $C(T)$ occurs, and the Landau term linear in $T$ completely disappears. Thus, the $P$-type of the compound YbIr$_2$Si$_2$

presents the first example of a new class of metals, in which flattening of the single-particle spectrum results in an ordinary NFL shape of $C(T)$ at extremely low $T$.

Next, recall that in conventional Fermi liquids, the value of the susceptibility $\chi(T, H)$ is proportional to the density of states at finite field $H$, but is almost independent of $H$. By contrast, as witnessed in Fig. 6 an external magnetic field suppresses the NFL contribution to $\chi$ in Fermi systems whose spectra $c(p)$ exhibit a flat region (and to the same extent independent of which model is chosen). The larger the magnitude of the dimensionless parameter $\mu_f H/T$, the more pronounced is the suppression.

These results, evaluated within the scheme elaborated in Refs. 17,31,44, elucidate the NFL behavior of dense liquid $^3$He films reported in Refs. 7–10. Taking for $H$ a typical value of 1 T and for $\mu_f$ the magnitude of the $^3$He atom's magnetic moment, the inequality $\mu_f H > T$ is met at $T < 0.5 mK$. Since temperatures below 0.2 mK are currently attainable, we suggest that it is experimentally feasible to verify or refute the predicted existence of a domain of the $(T, H)$ phase diagram of 2D liquid $^3$He sensitive to the magnitude of $H$.

We have investigated topological transitions arising in strongly correlated Fermi systems beyond a quantum critical point of the Fermi-liquid type, at which the density of states diverges while the Sommerfeld-Wilson ratio remains finite. We have attributed these transitions to violation of the necessary condition for stability of the Landau state. We have shown that in the QCP density region, the relevant phase diagram features different topological crossovers, occurring between states of the same symmetry but with different numbers of sheets of the Fermi surface. Importantly, the QCP scenario based on topological crossovers does not entail any order parameters; hence it is free from the persistent ambiguity of conventional fluctuation scenarios associated with hidden order parameters. Our analysis predicts the existence of a domain of the $(T, H)$ phase diagram of 2D liquid $^3$He that is sensitive to the magnitude of the magnetic field. This prediction is subject to experimental test.
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