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Abstract: The coordination chemistry of the title ligands with Mo metal centers was investigated. Thus, the synthesis and characterization (NMR, X-ray diffraction) of four mononuclear formally Mo(6+) complexes of (Z)-1-R-2-(4′,4′-dimethyl-2′-oxazolin-2′-yl)-eth-1-en-1-ates (L: R = –Ph, –Ph-OMe; –Ph-p-OMe; –Ph-p-OMe and –t-Bu), derived from the part enols (LH), is described. The resulting air-stable MoO2L2 complexes (1–4) exist, as shown by single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments, in the cis-dioxido-(N)-κ2-N,O-L conformation in the solid state for all four examples. This situation was further probed using semi-empirical PM6(tm) calculations. Complexes 1–4 represent the first Mo complexes of this ligand class and, indeed, of Group 6 metals in general. Structural and spectroscopic comparisons were made between these and related Mo(6+) compounds. Complex 1 (R = –Ph) was studied for its ability to selectively catalyze the production of poly-norbornene from the monomer in the presence of MAO. This, unfortunately, only resulted in the synthesis of insoluble, presumably highly cross-linked, polymeric and/or oligomeric materials. However, complexes 1–4 were demonstrated to be highly effective for catalyzing benzoin to benzil conversion using DMSO as the O-transfer agent. This catalysis work is likewise put into perspective with respect to analogous Mo(6+) complexes.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, we have been investigating the coordination chemistry and catalytic potential of metal and non-metal complexes derived from what we call Tohda’s Ligands (Scheme 1: LH). These ligand precursors were studied from an organic chemistry perspective for some time [1–10], particularly in the area of heterocyclic synthesis. The materials themselves can exist in at least three tautomers, i.e., two oxazoline forms, existing as an enol (Scheme 1, left) or keto isomer (Scheme 1: middle) or in the examine heterocyclic configuration (Scheme 1, right). In the solid state, the latter of these is the predominant isomer [7,9], with the keto isomer sometimes being observed in solution depending on “R”, the solvent(s), and other factors (e.g., protonation at N) [1–10].

Scheme 1. Three possible tautomers of Tohda’s Ligands (i.e., LH).
Our primary interest in these materials stems from their potential to act as ligands, either in a monomeric sense, likely solely via N(oxazoline) binding, or by chelation (or bridging) bonding motifs involving the same N-atom and the non-heterocyclic-O. This latter atom can potentially bind in a neutral sense or anionically following deprotonation of the LH unit. Thus far, we have only observed the $\kappa^2$-$N,O$ bonding motif following formal H$^+$ loss from LH; hence, metal-bound enolate anions (L$^-$) are found within the metal coordination sphere. This has been shown in the chemistry of Co$^{2+}$/$3^+$, Cu$^{2+}$ [9,11], Ni$^{2+}$ [12], and Ir$^{+}$/$3^+$ [13], in addition to main group examples [14]. Herein, we detail our exploration into the reactivity of formally Mo$^{6+}$ metal centers with LH derivatives, as the reactivity of these compounds with Group 6 metals is currently completely unexplored. In this regard, several examples with general formula MoO$_2$(L)$_2$ will be described in addition to some preliminary experiments probing the catalytic potential of these new complexes. This Article is part of a special issue of Molecules in celebration of the 80th birthday of Prof. Gerard van Koten [15].

2. Results

2.1. Synthesis and Characterisation

The synthesis of the (Z)-1-$R$-2-(4',4'-dimethyl-2'-oxazolin-2'-yl)-eth-1-en-1-ols used here (L$^1$H: $R = –Ph$; L$^2$H: $R = –Ph-p$-NO$_2$; L$^3$H: $R = –Ph-p$-OMe; L$^4$H: $R = –t$-Bu: Scheme 2) was carried out as previously described [2,9,16]. The treatment of alcoholic solutions (L$^n$H; $n = 1, 2$ and 4: MeOH; L$^3$H: 95% aq. EtOH) of LH (~2 equivalents) with cis-MoO$_2$(acac)$_2$ (acac = $\kappa^2$-$O,O'$-acetylacetonato) [17–19] leads to the facile formation of complexes 1–4, presumably involving the loss of acacH (Scheme 2), in good to moderate yields (see Section 4).

\[
\text{Scheme 2. General synthesis methodology to yield complexes 1–4 (1: R = –Ph; 2: R = –Ph-p$\text{-}$NO$_2$; 3: R = –Ph-p$\text{-}$OMe; 4: R = –t$\text{-}$Bu).}
\]

Complexes 1–4 are air- and moisture-stable solids and range in color from yellow-orange to orange to red. Elemental analysis of all four species was consistent with materials having the empirical composition of MoO$_2$(L)$_2$. Spectroscopic characterization ($^1$H and $^{13}$C) revealed a situation in which both the methyl and the methylene protons of the oxazoline ring of L were in a non-symmetrical environment, and hence diastereotopic H atoms were noted. This suggested a lack of mirror plane symmetry with respect to these units. Hence, the all-trans isomer and the trans-dioxido-cis-bis-$\kappa^2$-$N,O$-L isomers of a hypothetical mononuclear octahedral Mo$^{6+}$ complex were unlikely structural variants (Scheme 3: I and II). However, the above NMR studies could not confirm either the mononuclear nature of these materials (i.e., [MoO$_2$(L)$_2$]$^n$; $n = 1$) or the most stable of the cis-MoO$_2$ isomer(s) (Scheme 3: III–V). We therefore approached these isomeric possibilities using a combination of X-ray diffraction studies and semi-empirical PM6(tm) calculations. This latter technique has been found useful for relatively complex systems in terms of approximating the relative stability of various isomeric forms of transition metal complexes [20,21].

2.2. Semi-Empirical PM6(tm) Treatment of Hypothetical Complex 5

A semi-empirical treatment at the PM6(tm) level of theory was initially used to gain some insight into the likely ligand disposition around the MoO$_2$(L)$_2$ unit [21]. For computational simplicity, a hypothetical complex in which $R = –CH_3$ (Scheme 2: complex 5) was used for the five possible structural forms (Scheme 3: I–V). The PM6(tm) results clearly suggested (see Section 4.3) that the two trans-dioxido isomers I and II were indeed energetically unfavorable with respect to the cis-forms, as attempts to model these led to convergence at
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the cis-isomers. This observation is in agreement with the spectroscopic characterization of the isolated complexes 1–4. Hence, it is likely that 1–4 retain the cis-orientation of the two Mo=O units known to be present in the starting complex MoO$_2$(acac)$_2$ [17–19]. Of the cis-dioxido isomers, form III (trans-Nox: ox = oxazoline) was found to be the most stable (relative values of Δ$H_f$ = 0.00; +56.8 and +44.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ respectively, for III, IV and V). As both the level of theory and the adjusted R group on L may inadvertently skew these results, we took to employing solid-state X-ray diffraction studies of complexes 1–4 to unequivocally solve this isomeric dilemma.

Scheme 3. The five possible structural isomers of a hypothetical unit of MoO$_2$(L)$_2$.

2.3. X-ray Structural Studies

Suitable crystalline material of the complexes described herein were obtained by the solvent layering technique using either CHCl$_3$ (1, 3 and 4) or acetone (2) solutions of the complex that had been thereafter layered with hexanes and then left sealed and undisturbed for several hours or days to induce crystal formation. ORTEP representations [22] of a unit cell molecule of each complex are displayed in Scheme 4. Table 1 below reports the observed crystal parameters for the solid-state characterization of complexes 1–4, and Table 2 presents selected bond lengths and angles for the four complexes [23,24].

These data (Tables 1 and 2 and Supplementary Information) confirmed the presumed mononuclear nature, i.e., [MoO$_2$(L)$_2$]$_n$: $n = 1$, of all four complexes described. As anticipated from the computational evaluation, the complexes adopted a distorted octahedral ligand atom arrangement around the Mo center in all cases. A cisoidal orientation of the oxido ligands was observed with trans-spanning imine N-atoms of the oxazoline units, thus requiring a cisoidal positioning of the remaining, formally enolate, O-donor atoms. These results were fully consistent with the PM6(tm) treatment.

2.4. Catalytic Explorations

2.4.1. Norbornene Polymerization

Compound 1 was tested for its potential as a promoter of the ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) of norbornene as the test substrate (Scheme 5: top). Structurally related Mo$^{VI}$ complexes are known to be effective at such polymerization in the presence of alkyl-aluminum promoters such as methylaluminoxane (MAO). Thus, complex 1 in catalytic proportions (10 mol%) was exposed to a solution of norbornene with excess MAO under typical polymerization conditions (see Section 4.4.1). Although polymerization was noted, the resulting polymeric and/or oligomeric matrix was found to be completely insoluble in any tested solvents. It was assumed that highly cross-linked poly-norbornene was the likely product [25], and thus further attempts to optimize this process were abandoned.
**Table 1.** Crystallographic parameters for the solid-state characterization of complexes 1–4 a.

| Data                                      | Complex 1                  | Complex 2                  | Complex 3                  | Complex 4                  |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Empirical Formula                         | C_{26}H_{38}N_{2}O_{6}Mo    | C_{26}H_{26}N_{4}O_{10}Mo  | C_{28}H_{32}N_{2}O_{8}Mo   | C_{22}H_{36}N_{2}O_{6}Mo   |
| Formula Weight (g/mol)                    | 560.44                      | 650.45                     | 620.50                     | 520.47                     |
| Temperature (K)                           | 150(2)                      | 150(2)                     | 150(2)                     | 150(2)                     |
| Wavelength (Å)                            | 0.71073                     | 0.71073                    | 0.71073                    | 0.71073                    |
| Crystal System                            | monoclinic                  | monoclinic                 | orthorhombic               | trigonal                   |
| Space group                               | P 21/n                      | C 2/c                      | P b c n                    | P3c1                       |
| Unit Cell Dimensions (Å)                  | a = 11.1664(4)              | a = 20.5793(6)             | a = 11.2202(2)             | a = 19.9342(10)            |
|                                           | b = 11.1623(4)              | b = 8.1384(3)              | b = 12.9081(3)             | b = 19.9342(10)            |
|                                           | c = 20.4516(4)              | c = 17.5927(6)             | c = 18.7510(4)             | c = 11.5030(4)             |
| (°)                                       | α = 90                      | α = 90                     | α = 90                     | α = 90                     |
|                                           | β = 95.6150(19)             | β = 113.6580(18)           | β = 90                     | β = 90                     |
|                                           | γ = 90                      | γ = 90                     | γ = 90                     | γ = 120                    |
| Volume (Å³)                               | 2563.91(14)                 | 2698.84(16)                | 2715.73(10)                | 3958.6(3)                  |
| Z                                         | 4                           | 4                          | 4                          | 6                          |
| Density (calcd; mg/m³)                    | 1.467                       | 1.601                      | 1.518                      | 1.310                      |
| Absorp. Coefficient (mm⁻¹)                | 0.560                       | 0.551                      | 0.536                      | 0.532                      |
| F(000)                                    | 1152                        | 1328                       | 1280                       | 1632                       |
| Crystal Size (mm³)                        | 0.40 × 0.30 × 0.22          | 0.20 × 0.18 × 0.16         | 0.18 × 0.14 × 0.12         | 0.20 × 0.20 × 0.10         |
| θ range for Data Collection (°)           | 2.59–27.48                  | 2.58–27.51                 | 2.64–27.48                 | 2.70–27.48                 |
| Index Ranges                              | −14 ≤ h ≤ 14               | −26 ≤ h ≤ 23               | −14 ≤ h ≤ 14               | −22 ≤ h ≤ 22               |
|                                           | −14 ≤ k ≤ 14               | −10 ≤ k ≤ 10               | −16 ≤ k ≤ 16               | −25 ≤ k ≤ 25               |
|                                           | −21 ≤ l ≤ 26               | −22 ≤ l ≤ 22               | −24 ≤ l ≤ 24               | 0 ≤ l ≤ 14                 |
| Reflections Collected                     | 16,606                      | 12,858                     | 19,994                     | 3021                       |
| Independent Reflections                   | 5765 [R(int) = 0.0492]       | 3089 [R(int) = 0.0391]     | 3120 [R(int) = 0.0455]     | 3021 [R(int) = 0.049]      |
| Completeness to θ = x° (%)                | x = 25.25 (99.6)            | x = 27.51 (99.3)           | x = 27.48 (99.9)           | x = 27.48 (99.5)           |
| Absorption Correction                     | Semi-empirical from equivalents | Semi-empirical from equivalents | Semi-empirical from equivalents | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max./min. Transmission                    | 0.888/0.752                 | 0.917/0.851                | 0.770/0.629                | 0.949/0.897                |
| Refinement Method                         | Full-matrix least squares on F^2 | Full-matrix least squares on F^2 | Full-matrix least squares on F^2 | Full-matrix least squares on F^2 |
| Data/Restraints/Parameters                | 5765/0/320                  | 3089/0/188                 | 3120/0/180                 | 3021/0/146                 |
| Goodness of Fit on F^2                    | 1.069                       | 1.107                      | 1.071                      | 1.041                      |
| Final R indices [I > 2σ(I)]               | R1 = 0.0441; wR2 = 0.0917   | R1 = 0.0404; wR2 = 0.0949   | R1 = 0.0449; wR2 = 0.1142   | R1 = 0.0522; wR2 = 0.1352   |
| R indices (all data)                      | R1 = 0.0689; wR2 = 0.1034   | R1 = 0.0521; wR2 = 0.1022   | R1 = 0.0702; wR2 = 0.1339   | R1 = 0.0852; wR2 = 0.1511   |
| Largest diff. peak and hole (eÅ⁻³)        | 1.525 and 0.816             | 1.715 and 0.784            | 2.105 ad 0.975             | 1.437 and −0.742           |

a Estimated standard deviations for the measured parameters are in parentheses.
Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complexes 1–4.

| Observed Data | Complex 1            | Complex 2            | Complex 3            | Complex 4            |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Mo=O          | 1.705(2); 1.709(2)   | 1.700(2)             | 1.690(3)             | 1.697(3)             |
| Mo-O          | 2.101(2); 2.119(2)   | 2.103(2)             | 2.096(2)             | 2.072(3)             |
| Mo-N          | 2.117(3); 2.135(3)   | 2.150(2)             | 2.138(3)             | 2.147(3)             |
| C=N           | 1.331(4); 1.314(4)   | 1.310(4)             | 1.324(4)             | 1.311(4)             |
| C=C           | 1.369(5); 1.373(5)   | 1.370(5)             | 1.371(5)             | 1.351(5)             |
| O=Mo=O        | 102.10(11)           | 102.39(16)           | 104.3(2)             | 101.5(2)             |
| N-Mo-N        | 158.37(10)           | 159.75(12)           | 166.28(14)           | 162.96(16)           |
| O-Mo-O        | 80.08(9)             | 79.44(13)            | 77.41(19)            | 78.9(2)              |

*Estimated standard deviations for the measured parameters are in parentheses; C=N refers to the formal C=N bond of the oxazoline group(s); C=C refers to the formal C=C of the C–C=C–O unit.

These data (Tables 1 and 2 and Supplementary Information) confirmed the presumed mononuclear nature, i.e., \([\text{MoO}_2(\text{L})_2]_n\): \(n = 1\), of all four complexes described. As anticipated from the computational evaluation, the complexes adopted a distorted octahedral ligand atom arrangement around the Mo center in all cases. A cisoidal orientation of the oxido ligands was observed with trans-spanning imine \(N\)-atoms of the oxazoline units, thus requiring a cisoidal positioning of the remaining, formally enolate, \(O\)-donor atoms. These results were fully consistent with the PM6(tm) treatment.

Scheme 4. ORTEP representations of unit cell molecules of 1 (top, left), 2 (top, right), 3 (bottom, left), and 4 (bottom, right). Thermal ellipsoids are at the 30% calculated level.

2.4. Catalytic Explorations

2.4.1. Norbornene Polymerization

Compound 1 was tested for its potential as a promoter of the ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) of norbornene as the test substrate (Scheme 5: top). Structurally related Mo\(^{6+}\) complexes are known to be effective at such polymerization in the presence of alkyl-aluminum promoters such as methylaluminoxane (MAO). Thus, complex 1 in...
3.1. Synthesis and Structural Investigations

Complexes 1–4 represent the first Group 6 compounds incorporating metal-bound enolates derived from the LH ligand set. The straightforward reaction of readily available cis-MoO$_2$(acac)$_2$ to give the desired air-stable materials occurred in the absence of an added base, a situation that is typically required to produce reaction conditions necessary to coordinate the enolate fragment obtained from LH. Hence, these Mo$^{6+}$-based materials formed more readily when compared to most of the Ni$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, and Ir$^+$ complexes reported previously [9,11–13]. Molecular modeling (Section 2.2) suggested that the likely ligand orientation around a mononuclear Mo$^{6+}$ center was that of isomer III (Scheme 3). A confirmation of that concept was obtained for all four complexes, regardless of the “R” group functionality on the ene-scaffold (Scheme 2), as revealed by the X-ray diffraction studies. The structural results support the notion that the use of relatively simple PM6(tm) semi-empirical calculations to probe relative isomer stability in such systems is effective and quite computationally undemanding [20,21]. Surprisingly, little structural diversity of complexes 1–4 was noted in terms of ligand framework or ligated atoms around each Mo atom (Table 2; Supplementary Information). The cis-orientation of the dioxido unit was confirmed in addition to the presence of trans-spanning N atoms and cis-enolate O-groups. The bond lengths between the metal and these atoms can be compared to structurally related examples and are otherwise typical [25–41]. These data did not show large differences in magnitude throughout the series. The main observed variation involved the N–Mo–N bond angle, which varied from ~166° in 3 to ~158° in 1.

3.2. Catalysis

High-valent Group 6 oxo complexes are well known to be active complexes for the catalytic ROMP of olefins [42–47]. Unfortunately, our study of complex 1 in this regard did not yield conclusive results concerning the nature of the polymers derived from norbornene under typical conditions. Indeed, completely insoluble and presumably highly cross-linked
products (Section 2.4.1) were isolated [25]. In contrast, complexes 1-4 were useful in oxygen-transfer chemistry (Section 2.4.2), and the activity of these materials parallels similar systems [26–41,48] in the benchmark formation of benzil from benzoin (Scheme 5).

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Synthesis

4.1.1. General Information

All reactions and purifications were performed under ambient conditions. Solvents were dried/purified using an mBraun Solvent Purification System (SPS). All reagent chemicals and solvents used herein were purchased commercially. NMR experiments were performed with a Bruker AV 400 Spectrometer at ambient temperature. All NMR spectral data are reported in values of δ against external TMS (δ = 0.00 ppm: 1H, 13C).

Abbreviations for NMR experimental line listings are as follows: s—singlet; d—doublet; m—multiplet. Uncorrected melting points were obtained using a Fisher Scientific melting point apparatus. UV–Vis. spectra were recorded from CH2Cl2 solutions using an Agilent Cary 5000 spectrometer with a 1 cm-path length cuvette. IR spectra were recorded in the solid state using an Agilent Cary 630 FTIR spectrometer. Compounds L1H–L4H were synthesized as previously reported [2,9,16].

4.1.2. Complex 1: MoO2(k2-N,O-L)2

A sample of L1H (0.50 g; 2.3 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of MeOH. To this solution MoO2(acac)2 (0.36 g; 1.1 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature (RT) for 6 h. During this time period, a bright orange-colored precipitate formed. This material was filtered off, washed with further MeOH (3 mL), and then allowed to dry under ambient conditions. The yield of this material, complex 1, was 0.49 g (75%). Crystals of 1 suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by the slow mixing of a CHCl3 solution of 1 that had been layered with hexanes. Mp = 219–220 °C (decomp.); selected IR (ν): 1524, 961, 881, 758 cm−1; UV-Vis. (λmax [log ε]): 284 [4.42], 326 [4.27], 393 [3.78] nm; 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 1.67 (s, 6H, -CH2), 1.73 (s, 6H, -CH3), 4.05 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, -CH2), 4.28 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, -CH2), 5.56 (s, 2H, =CH), 7.24–7.38 and 7.57–7.59 (m, 10H ArH); 13C{1H}-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 26.8, 27.5, 68.9, 79.3, 83.2, 126.8, 128.0, 130.5, 137.2, 171.3, 177.7; elemental analysis calc (%) for C26H28N2O6Mo (560.42): C 55.72, H 5.04, N 5.00; found: C 55.96, H 5.31, N 4.96.

4.1.3. Complex 2: MoO2(k2-N,O-L)2

A sample of L2H (0.50 g; 1.9 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of MeOH. To this solution MoO2(acac)2 (0.31 g; 1.0 mmol) was added, and the mixture was heated to reflux temperature for 20 h. During this time period, a yellow-orange-colored precipitate formed. This material was filtered off, washed with further MeOH (3 mL), and then allowed to dry under ambient conditions. The yield of this material, complex 2, was 0.50 g (76%). Crystals of 2 suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by the slow mixing of a CHCl3 solution of 2 that had been layered with hexanes. Mp = >230 °C (decomp.); selected IR (ν): 1512, 971, 747 cm−1; UV-Vis. (λmax [log ε]: 2.38 × 10−2 M; 258 [4.22], 266 [4.57] nm; 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 1.66 (s, 6H, -CH3), 1.74 (s, 6H, -CH3), 4.12 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, -CH2), 4.36 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, -CH2), 5.58 (s, 2H, =CH), 7.70 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H ArH), 8.14 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H ArH); 13C{1H}-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 26.7, 27.4, 69.3, 79.6, 85.1, 123.5, 127.4, 142.7, 148.9, 171.1, 174.2; elemental analysis calc (%) for C26H26N4O10Mo (622.40): C 48.01, H 4.03, N 8.61; found: C 48.14, H 4.05, N 8.54.

4.1.4. Complex 3: MoO2(k2-N,O-L)3

A sample of L3H (0.50 g; 2.0 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of 95% aq. EtOH. To this solution MoO2(acac)2 (0.33 g; 1.0 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at RT for 20 h. During this time period, a red-colored precipitate had formed. This material was filtered off, washed with further EtOH (3 mL), and then allowed to dry under ambient...
conditions. The yield of this material, complex 3, was 0.54 g (86%). Crystals of 3 suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by the slow mixing of an acetone solution of 3 that had been layered with hexanes. Mp = 201–203 °C; selected IR (υ): 1532, 882, 778 cm⁻¹; UV-Vis. (λmax [log ε]): 297 [4.52], 313 [4.96], 378 [3.91] nm; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.66 (s, 6H, -C₆H₃), 1.72 (s, 6H, -C₆H₃), 3.79 (s, 6H, -OC₆H₃), 4.02 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, -C₆H₂), 4.28 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, -C₆H₂), 5.55 (s, 2H, =C₆H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H ArH), 7.55 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H ArH); ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 26.7, 27.4, 55.3, 68.8, 79.2, 82.0, 113.2, 128.6, 129.8, 161.5, 171.2, 177.5; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₈H₃₂N₂O₈Mo (620.47): C 54.20, H 5.20, N 4.51; found: C 54.38, H 5.15, N 4.46.

4.1.5. Complex 4: MoO₂(κ₂-N,O-L₄)₂

A sample of L₄H (0.50 g: 2.5 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of MeOH. To this solution MoO₂(acac)₂ (0.41 g; 1.3 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at RT for 3 h. During this time period, an orange-colored precipitate formed. This material was filtered off, washed with further MeOH (3 mL), and then allowed to dry under ambient conditions. The yield of this material, complex 4, was 0.39 g (59%). Crystals of 4 suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by the slow mixing of a CHCl₃ solution of 4 that had been layered with hexanes. Mp = 180–182 °C; selected IR (υ): 1533, 870, 774 cm⁻¹; UV-Vis. (λmax [log ε]; 3.54 × 10⁻² M): 266 [4.28], 308 [3.86], 434 [2.99] nm; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.06 (s, 18H, -C₆H₃), 1.57 (s, 6H, -C₆H₃), 1.64 (s, 6H, -C₆H₃), 3.94 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, -C₆H₂), 4.13 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, -C₆H₂), 5.10 (s, 2H, =CH), ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 27.0, 27.6, 27.9, 39.3, 68.6, 79.0, 80.6, 171.3, 192.8; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₂H₃₆N₂O₆Mo (520.44): C 50.77, H 6.97, N 5.38; found: C 49.35, H 6.43, N 5.08. Note that the obtained C% was found to be outside the typical acceptable limits.

4.2. Semi-Empirical PM6(tm) Calculations

Calculations at the PM6(tm) level of theory were performed using the Gaussian 16.0 suite of software [49]. Vibrational energy calculations revealed no imaginary frequencies, and hence a stable conformation on the potential energy surface was assumed.

4.3. X-ray Diffraction

X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer using Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) obtained using Collect [50]. Cell refinement and data reduction were performed with Denzo–SMN [51]. The structure solution employed SIR-92 [52], and the structure refinement was carried out with SHELXL [53]. The molecular graphics were obtained using PLATON [54].

4.4. Catalysis

4.4.1. Norbornene Polymerization

Norbornene (5.76 g: 61.2 mmol), toluene (5 mL), and 1 (0.67 g: 1.2 mmol) were mixed together in a flame-dried Schlenk flash under an N₂ (g) atmosphere. To the mixture under stirring MAO (2.0 M toluene; 1.2 mL: 2.4 mmol) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 5 h at RT. The color of the mixture gradually changed from orange to brown to eventually a shade of off purple. The solid mass that resulted from this process, which eventually became so viscous that it prevented further stirring, was found to be completely insoluble in all tested solvents.

4.4.2. Benzoin Oxidation

In a typical reaction, 21 mg of benzoin (0.10 mmol), 0.01 mmol of catalyst (10 mol%), and an internal standard (30 mg C₆Me₆) were dissolved in 0.7 mL of deoxygenated d₆-dmso in an NMR tube. The tube was heated to 120 °C for 24 h and then cooled to RT. The mixture was then diluted with 0.7 mL of CDCl₃, and the yield of benzin was thereafter determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy [32,33,36,37,40,41,48].
5. Conclusions

The first examples of Group 6 metal complexes of the title ligands were reported, represented by a series of MoO$_2$L$_2$ derivatives. These materials appeared to be active catalysts in O-atom transfer chemistry. Overall, the transition metal chemistry of Tohda’s Ligands, i.e., (Z)-1-R-2-(4',4'-dimethyl-2'-oxazolin-2'-yl)-eth-1-en-1-ols, continues to yield rich coordination chemistry and catalytic potential. Future studies will continue this exploration including both late and early transition metal chemistry, in addition to the lanthanide elements.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online, Tables S1–S45: Full X-ray structural datasets for 1–4, relevant .mol files for isomers I–V. CCDC 2131173-2131176 also contains supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures (accessed on 26 December 2021).
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