Development of A4 antibody for detection of neuraminidase I223R/H275Y-associated antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza virus
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The emergence and spread of antiviral drug-resistant viruses have been a worldwide challenge and a great concern for patient care. We report A4 antibody specifically recognizing and binding to the mutant I223R/H275Y neuraminidase and prove the applicability of A4 antibody for direct detection of antiviral multidrug-resistant viruses in various sensing platforms, including naked-eye detection, surface-enhanced Raman scattering-based immunoassay, and lateral flow system. The development of the A4 antibody enables fast, simple, and reliable point-of-care assays of antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza viruses. In addition to current influenza virus infection testing methods that do not provide information on the antiviral drug-resistance of the virus, diagnostic tests for antiviral multidrug-resistant viruses will improve clinical judgment in the treatment of influenza virus infections, avoid the unnecessary prescription of ineffective drugs, and improve current therapies.
Influenza is the leading cause of serious respiratory infections, which can lead to hospitalization and death. Traditionally, two types of antiviral drugs have been used in therapy: adamantanes and neuraminidase inhibitors (NAIs). However, adamantane resistance among circulating influenza A viruses, including the A/H1N1 2009 pandemic influenza virus, is already prevalent worldwide due to increased selection pressure in the presence of drug treatment. Thus NAIs remain the only antiviral drugs (e.g., zanamivir and oseltamivir) used in current clinical settings. Owing to its oral bioavailability and easy administration, oseltamivir has been the first choice for treating A/H1N1 2009 pandemic influenza virus infection and for inclusion in pandemic antiviral stockpiles. Unfortunately, the emergence of oseltamivir-resistant variants, especially among A H1N1 viruses, has been reported and has become a great concern for patient care. In early clinical trials in the UK, resistance after oseltamivir treatment has been reported in up to 27% of children infected with A H1N1 viruses. Moreover, approximately 25% of H5N1-infected patients develop resistance after oseltamivir treatment, leading to fatalities. Early optimism was eventually dispelled by the emergence of oseltamivir-resistant A H1N1 viruses even in the absence of drug use and the spread of this resistance to the epidemic A H1N1 viruses.

In 2010, an isoleucine-to-arginine change at position 223 (I223R, N1 numbering) in the neuraminidase (NA) of A H1N1 virus isolated from an immunosuppressed child on prolonged oseltamivir and zanamivir therapy was reported [11]. In contrast to the frequently observed H275Y (N1 numbering) change, which causes selective resistance to oseltamivir, the I223R mutation confers a phenotype resistant to both oseltamivir and zanamivir. In clinical cases, the I223R change has been found mostly in combination with H275Y. This double mutation (I223R/H275Y) in the NA of the 2009 pandemic H1N1 influenza virus has been reported as an antiviral multidrug-resistant virus for both zanamivir and oseltamivir. In animal models and in vitro studies, I223R/H275Y double-mutant virus has shown high levels of resistance to oseltamivir and zanamivir, and the combination of I223R with H275Y does not compromise the replication capacity or transmissibility of the virus. Considering that the oseltamivir and zanamivir is the two most widely used drugs for the treatment of influenza virus, the diagnosis of double-mutant viruses is critical.

Commercially available rapid influenza diagnostic tests (RIDTs) are immunoassays that utilize influenza virus-specific antibody affinity for the presence of the influenza virus antigens. Although RIDT is easy to use, cost-effective, and can efficiently diagnose and treat influenza viruses within short processing times (minutes), RIDTs currently in use do not provide information on the antiviral drug resistance of influenza viruses, because antiviral drug-resistant influenza virus-specific antibodies have not yet been developed. For the identification of antiviral-resistant viruses, conventional plaque reduction assays, DNA sequencing of genes, and real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) have been used. However, these methods are time consuming, labor intensive, expensive, and require highly trained personnel. In order to diagnose drug-resistant viruses in immunoassays, it is priority to secure antibodies with high affinity for binding to mutant viruses.

Here we develop a monoclonal antibody, A4, specific to I223R/H275Y NA. According to the experimental and simulation results, the binding affinity of A4 for oseltamivir- and zanamivir-resistant mutant I223R/H275Y NA is approximately 600 times stronger than its binding affinity for oseltamivir- and zanamivir-susceptible wild-type (wt) NA. This difference in the binding affinity of the A4 antibody for I223R/H275Y NA and wt NA allows to develop several detection methods for antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza viruses. First, the A4 antibody is tested on a naked-eye detection using A4-gold nanoparticle (Au NP) aggregation. Only in the presence of I223R/H275Y pH1N1, color of A4-Au NPs changes from red to purple. Second, we apply A4 antibody to the surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS)-based immunoassay for I223R/H275Y viruses. The combination of A4 antibody with SERS improves the detection limit of I223R/H275Y virus to 1.5 plaque-forming units (PFU). Third, A4-based lateral flow immunoassay (LFA) system is developed for the rapid diagnosis of I223R/H275Y pH1N1. The developed LFA system can identify I223R/H275Y pH1N1 even in the mixture with wt pH1N1. Furthermore, we successfully detect the mutant viruses in nasopharyngeal samples from patients, suggesting the practical applicability of the A4-based LFA for the diagnosis of multidrug-resistant influenza virus-infected patients. We anticipate that the A4 antibody-based rapid, simple, and efficient detection of antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza viruses will prevent both the unnecessary administration of ineffective drugs and the spread of antiviral-resistant viruses due to continuous drug administration.

Results

Production of A4 antibody. For the development of A4 antibody specific to I223R/H275Y NA, we first prepared influenza virus subtype H1N1 NA proteins, i.e., drug-susceptible wt NA and oseltamivir- and zanamivir-resistant mutant I223R/H275Y NA. These NAs were expressed in Spodoptera frugiperda (Sf9) cells using a baculovirus expression system, purified, and confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (Supplementary Fig. 1A) and western blot analysis (Supplementary Fig. 1B). Previously, it has been reported that I223R/H275Y NA exhibits an overall loose structure with disturbed positions but with a local rearrangement of the compact array at the drug-binding site. The Kd of I223R/H275Y NA for oseltamivir was significantly increased by 7500 times compared to that of wt NA due to the increase in the dissociation rate constant (koff) for oseltamivir (15-fold). The Kd of I223R/H275Y NA for zanamivir was 22-fold greater than that of wt NA due to the reduced association rate constant (kass) and increased koff. A monoclonal A4 antibody specifically recognizing I223R/H275Y NA over wt NA was developed using a biopanning protocol and a phage display library of fragment antigen binding (Fab) against I223R/H275Y NA. Each round of panning included a subtraction step against wt NA followed by panning against I223R/H275Y NA to remove wt NA binders. After the third and fourth rounds of panning, the enriched pool was screened for I223R/H275Y NA-specific binders (Fig. 1A). Randomly selected clones were subjected to soluble Fab expression and screened by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for their binding affinity to I223R/H275Y NA. Among them, clone strongly bound to I223R/H275Y NA was selected and subjected to DNA sequencing, which confirmed the presence of unique clone (Fig. 1B). Immunoglobulin G (IgG) was converted from the Fab form to the whole IgG form for further application (Fig. 1C). IgG bivalency leads to binding affinities much higher than those of Fabs through avidity effects.

Binding of A4 antibody to I223R/H275Y NA protein. The binding affinities of the purified whole antibodies to I223R/H275Y NA protein were measured by ELISA. The selected A4 antibody bound to I223R/H275Y NA in a concentration-dependent manner with Kd of 3.50 nM (Supplementary Fig. 2A). Kd of A4 to wt NA was 2.03 μM (Supplementary Fig. 2B), demonstrating the approximately 600-fold tighter binding of A4 to I223R/H275Y NA than to wt NA. The affinity and kinetics of the A4 binding
interaction to I223R/H275Y NA was also determined by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) (Fig. 2a). A4 was tested over a concentration range of 31.25–2000 nM on a low-density antigen-coated chip. The $k_\text{on}$ and $k_\text{off}$ of A4 to I223R/H275Y NA were $2.84 \times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $7.22 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively; thus steady state affinity ($K_D$) was determined to be 0.254 nM. A4 was further characterized for its binding specificity to I223R/H275Y NA compared to wt NA using a dot-blot test by spotting different amounts of I223R/H275Y and wt NA proteins on a nitrocellulose (NC) membrane. The intensity of the dots corresponding to the binding interaction between I223R/H275Y NA and A4 was significantly stronger than that between wt NA and A4 (Fig. 2b, c).

In addition, we tested the recognition of single-mutant influenza NA protein (H275Y NA) using A4 antibody. H275Y mutation is the most frequently observed drug-resistant mutation$^{10}$. The A4 antibody bound to H275Y NA in a concentration-dependent manner with $K_D$ of 0.12 µM (Supplementary Fig. 3A). Supplementary Fig. 3B displays the interaction between A4 antibody and pH1N1/H275Y mutant virus (10$^7$ PFU mL$^{-1}$) by dot-blot analysis. For the comparison, I223R/H275Y pH1N1 (10$^7$ PFU mL$^{-1}$) and wt NA were also examined. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 3B, the dot was observable only from the double-mutant virus. This suggests the low affinity of A4 antibody to the single-mutant influenza virus.

**Structural feature of A4 antibody and NA epitope.** Although three-dimensional (3D) structure of A4 has not been solved yet, we found the G6 antibody specific for binding to vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) as the structural template of A4 because the sequence identity amounts to 85.6% (Supplementary Fig. 4). It has been reported that the root-mean-square deviation between the experimental and the predicted structures has fell within 1.5 Å when the sequence identity between the template and the target exceeds 50%$^{30}$. Figure 3a, b are the comparative view of the docking poses of A4 in the wt NA and I223R/H275Y NA. The calculated binding modes of the epitope models for wt NA and I223R/H275Y NA reveal the different patterns for complexation. The binding free energy of the A4–I223R/H275Y NA complex is 1.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$ lower than that of the A4–wt NA complex, which is in agreement with the higher binding affinity of the former than the latter. The wt NA appears to be stabilized in complementarity-determining region (CDR) of A4 through the hydrogen bonds with the backbone amionic carboxylate of Asp101 in the heavy chain and with the side-chain carboxylate group of Asp103 in the heavy chain (Fig. 3c). On the other hand, the side-chain carboxylate ion of Glu100 and the two backbone amionic moieties of Asn30 and Ser31 in the heavy chain are involved in the hydrogen-bond stabilization of I223R/H275Y NA in CDR of A4 (Fig. 3d). Judging from the structural features derived with docking simulations, the higher binding affinity of I223R/H275Y NA to A4 than the wt NA may be attributed in a large part to the strengthening of the hydrogen-bond interactions in the antibody–epitope complex. Remarkably, the side chain of Leu224 of the wt NA is accommodated in a small hydrophobic pocket comprising the light and heavy chains of CDR. As a consequence of the double mutations, on the other hand, the side-chain phenolic moiety of Tyr275 binds to the hydrophobic pocket in the A4–I223R/H275Y NA complex instead of Leu224. This van der Waals contact seems to be stronger than that in the A4–wt NA complex because aromatic–aromatic hydrophobic interactions are stronger in general than aliphatic–aromatic ones.
kinds of mutant A4 antibodies (H94A and W33A mutant A4 antibodies). We note that the mutation of A4 antibody at position 94 in the light chain from His to Ala leads to approximately 50-fold increase in the $K_D$ value associated with binding of I223R/H275Y NA (183 nM). This indicates the significant role of His94 in the light chain in the stabilization of the epitope. Similarly, the $K_D$ value of I223R/H275Y NA increases from 3.50 to 150 nM in going from the wt to the W33A mutant in the heavy chain. The results of mutational analyses are thus consistent with those of docking simulations indicating that the strengthening of hydrophobic interactions with aromatic side chains are responsible for tight binding of I223R/H275Y NA in the CDR of A4. Judging from the consistency between the experimental and computational results, the capability of forming a van der Waals contact with the aromatic residues in CDR seems to be a determinant for selective binding to A4 antibody.

Application of A4 antibody to I223R/H275Y virus detection. Development of bioreceptor is the key requirement of the biosensor production. Until now, antiviral drug-resistant influenza viruses were not routinely diagnosed because of the absence of the proper bioreceptor. We found that the A4 antibody exhibited highly increased binding capacity to the I223R/H275Y mutant virus. Since A4 is the first discovered antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza virus-specific antibody, it can be directly employed for the development of multidrug-resistant virus diagnostic methods. This prompted us to develop various kinds of I223R/H275Y mutant virus-sensing methods by using A4 antibody as shown in Fig. 4. First, A4 antibody was applied to the Au NPs to distinguish I223R/H275Y pH1N1 with wt pH1N1 in the naked eye. In the presence of I223R/H275Y pH1N1, color of A4-Au NPs changes from red to purple. Second, SERS-based immunoassay for I223R/H275Y mutant virus was demonstrated by using A4 antibody. SERS is a fascinating phenomenon that significantly increases the Raman signal of molecules in plasmonic hot spots. Since SERS has been employed for the sensitive detection of viruses due to its single-molecule sensitivity, the adoption of A4 in SERS-based immunoassay enables the sensitive detection of mutant virus. Third, A4-based LFA system was developed for the diagnosis of I223R/H275Y pH1N1. LFA is easy to use, cost-effective, and can diagnose influenza viruses rapidly, therefore A4-based LFA system can be used for the practical diagnosis of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 in real world.

A4-based colorimetric detection of I223R/H275Y virus. We designed an A4-based colorimetric assay to directly detect I223R/H275Y mutant virus in the naked eye. A4-Au NPs were generated by conjugating Au NPs to A4 via Au-S bond formation. The mean size of the A4-Au NPs was 28.5 ± 6.7 nm as determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS). The Au NPs showed maximum absorbance at 520 nm and were deep red in color. The A4-Au NPs remained monodispersed in the aqueous phase and deep red in color without aggregation (Supplementary Fig. 6A). As shown in Fig. 5a, the color of the A4-Au NP solution obviously changed from deep red to purple as the I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus concentration increased because the A4-Au NPs aggregated owing to the binding interaction between A4 and the I223R/H275Y NA of the virus. Simultaneously, the ultraviolet (UV)–visible absorption spectrum was redshifted, with the absorbance at 520 nm (Abs$_{520}$) gradually decreasing and that at 580 nm (Abs$_{580}$) increasing (Supplementary Fig. 6B). The presence of wt pH1N1 virus and H275Y pH1N1 virus in the A4-Au NP reaction solutions caused little change in color or absorption spectral shift (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Figs. 6C and 7A). The absorbance ratio of these two wavelengths (Abs$_{580}$/Abs$_{520}$) was plotted versus various virus

Besides the increase in the number of hydrogen bonds, the strengthening of hydrophobic interactions may also be invoked to explain the higher binding affinity of the A4–I223R/H275Y NA complex than the A4–wt NA counterpart.

Docking simulation results with mutational analysis. In order to assess the importance of the hydrophobic interactions to stabilize the epitopes in the CDR of A4, we carried out the mutational analysis at positions His94 in the light chain and Trp33 in the heavy chain. These mutant A4 antibodies were purified with the same method as the wts. Supplementary Fig. 5 shows the binding affinities of I223R/H275Y NA with respect to the two

**Fig. 2 Analysis of the antigen-binding activity of A4.** a Affinity determination of A4 by SPR. Each experiment was repeated three times independently with similar results. b Specific interaction between A4 and NA by dot-blots analysis. A4 was applied to wt NA and I223R/H275Y NA dotted in a twofold dilution series (0.78–100 ng mL$^{-1}$), and HRP-conjugated anti-human IgG Fc was applied for detection. Each experiment was repeated three times independently with similar results. c The binding activity of A4 by densitometric analysis. All ELISA values were obtained from triplicate wells and are expressed as the mean. Error bars = standard deviation (n = 3).
concentrations for quantitative analysis as shown in Fig. 5b. At maximum Abs580 and Abs520, the A4-Au NPs were purple-colored aggregates and red-colored dispersed particles, respectively. Thus, as the Abs580/Abs520 value increased, the solution became increasingly purple, indicating more aggregation. The Abs580/Abs520 values exhibited a linear correlation with the I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus concentration. Even with 10^2 PFU of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus, the Abs580/Abs520 value was approximately 0.7. This indicates that the oseltamivir- and zanamivir-resistant I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus can be detected directly by the naked eye using the A4-Au NPs.

**A4-based SERS detection of I223R/H275Y virus.** For the SERS-based immunoassay of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus, Au nanoplate
and Au NP were selected as immune substrate and immunoprobe, respectively. The single-crystalline Au nanoplates can be excellent immune substrates due to their flatness and clean surfaces. We prepared the Au nanoplates as reported previously and immobilized three cysteine-tagged protein G (Cys3-protein G) and A4 antibodies onto the Au nanoplates sequentially. Protein G can bind to the Fc region of the antibodies and has been widely used to immobilize the antibodies with optimal orientation. The immunoprobes were prepared by modifying the Au NPs with malachite green isothiocyanate (MGITC) and hemagglutinin (HA) antibodies simultaneously. MGITC is a well-known Raman reporter and HA antibodies can bind to both wt and mutant viruses. For the detection of influenza viruses, the immune substrates were reacted with I223R/H275Y pH1N1, wt pH1N1, or H275Y pH1N1, and then the immunoprobes were reacted (Supplementary Fig. 8). Figure 6a is the SERS-based immunoassay results for I223R/H275Y pH1N1 (blue spectrum) and wt pH1N1 (black spectrum). The number of both viruses is 1500 PFU. Each experiment was repeated ten times independently with similar results. B Plot of Abs580/Abs520 versus the number of influenza virus. Data represent the average plus/minus standard deviation from ten measurements.

**Fig. 5** Colorimetric detection of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 using A4. A Optical images of A4-Au NPs in the presence of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 (upper panel) and wt pH1N1 (lower panel). Each experiment was repeated three times independently with similar results. B Plot of Abs580/Abs520 versus the number of influenza virus. Data represent the average plus/minus standard deviation from three measurements.

**Fig. 6** SERS-based detection of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 using A4. A SERS spectra of MGITC obtained from NPs-on-plate structures in the presence of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 and wt pH1N1. The number of both viruses is 1500 PFU. Each experiment was repeated ten times independently with similar results. B Plot of 1175 cm\(^{-1}\) band intensity versus the number of influenza virus. Data represent the average plus/minus standard deviation from ten measurements.

A4-based LFA of I223R/H275Y virus. LFA, a most widely used point-of-care testing format, allows the rapid, visual, and direct analysis of targets with high specificity and sensitivity. Currently available LFAs for influenza viruses do not provide information on the antiviral drug resistance of viruses. We produced an LFA structures, which we obtained from SERS spectra of Fig. 6a. The immunoprobes were well assembled on the Au nanoplate without aggregation after incubating in the mutant virus sample, whereas a few NPs were present on the nanoplate after incubating in the wt pH1N1 sample. Figure 6b shows the plot of 1175 cm\(^{-1}\) band intensity versus the number of viruses. On increasing the number of mutant viruses, the SERS intensity increased. However, the intensities are negligible through the whole number of wt viruses. The corresponding SEM images also agree well with the SERS results (Supplementary Fig. 10). The numbers of immunoprobes increased by increasing the concentration of mutant virus but a few immunoprobes were observed regardless of the concentration of wt pH1N1. By combining the developed A4 antibody and SERS-based immunoassay, we could detect I223R/H275Y mutant virus as low as 1.5 PFU.
for multidrug-resistant influenza virus by employing A4 antibody. Briefly, A4-Au NPs were added to the conjugation pad, and the capture antibody and goat anti-human IgG were immobilized on the NC membrane as the test and control lines, respectively. Therefore, two lines in the test indicate the presence of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus. After the assembly of LFA components, virus sample was applied to the sample pad. The antiviral-resistant I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus reacts and binds to A4-Au NPs, and then the complex is captured by immobilized anti-influenza A nucleoprotein IgG on the test line, resulting in a positive signal. On the other hand, wt pH1N1 virus and H275Y pH1N1 virus are not able to interact with A4-Au NPs, and thus a signal from the test line is not observed. Figure 7a and Supplementary Fig. 7C are the micrographs of the LFAs after detection of wt, double-mutant, and single-mutant viruses. When the I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus samples were applied, the red test lines were observed clearly. Importantly, even in the cases of high concentrations of wt pH1N1 virus (10⁶ PFU) and H275Y pH1N1 virus (10⁶ PFU), only the control line was observed in the absence of the I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus. The sensitivity of A4-based LFA for the detection of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus was tested at various virus concentrations (10⁶–10² PFU), and positive signals were confirmed for samples containing 10⁶, 10⁵, 10⁴, and 10³ PFU of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus in a concentration-dependent manner. In addition, the dose effect of I223R/H275Y detection in nasopharyngeal swab samples was demonstrated (Supplementary Fig. 11). These results demonstrate that this A4-based LFA has a high detection specificity depending on the dose of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus, with a limit of detection (LOD) of 10³ PFU per test.

The diagnostic efficiency and specificity of the A4-based LFA was further verified by treating a mixture of antiviral-susceptible wt pH1N1 and oseltamivir- and zanamivir-resistant I223R/H275Y pH1N1 viruses at various ratios under human nasal fluid conditions (Fig. 7b). The total virus concentration was 1 × 10⁴ PFU per test ( = 1 × 10³ PFU mL⁻¹), and virus-free buffer was used as the control. As the concentration of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus decreased, the detection signal in the test line gradually decreased from strong to weak. The signal from the test line was observed even in a mixed sample containing a high concentration of the wt pH1N1 virus (9 × 10³ PFU) and a small concentration of the I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus (1 × 10³ PFU). No signal was observed in the test line for the sample containing only the wt pH1N1 virus (1 × 10⁴ PFU) and not the I223R/H275Y pH1N1
virus. These results demonstrate that A4-based LFA has a high detection specificity depending on the dose of mutant I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus even in the mixture with wt virus, with an LOD of 10^3 PFU per test. Considering that the RIDTs are mainly performed by using the human nasal samples including small amounts of mutant viruses and overwhelming amounts of wt viruses, this result is quite impressive.

Finally, we investigated that the A4-based LFA can be used for the diagnosis of multidrug-resistant influenza virus in real human samples. Because the current diagnosis in the hospital does not confirm the presence of antiviral drug resistance of influenza viruses, nasopharyngeal samples from patients with influenza-like symptoms were collected and mixed with I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus (10^3 PFU). Figure 8a is an optical image of A4-based lateral flow systems after detection of influenza-positive nasopharyngeal swab samples in the absence of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus where only the control line was observed. On the other hand, the red test lines were observed clearly after detection of influenza-positive nasopharyngeal swab samples in the presence of I223R/H275Y pH1N1 virus (Fig. 8b). Totally, we tested 40 human nasopharyngeal swab samples (Supplementary Fig. 12). The sensitivity and specificity of A4-based LFA developed for rapid antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza virus diagnostic tests are 100% (40/40) and 100% (40/40), respectively. According to the guideline of National Institute of Food and Drug Safety Evaluation of Korea, a minimum of 20 sample results are required for approval of an in vitro diagnostic system. We also tested the present mutant virus-sensing methods by using four kinds of influenza virus subtypes (A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1), A/Brisbane/10/2007 (H3N2), A/swine/Korea/GC0503/2005 (H1N1), and A/canine/Korea/MV1/2012 (H3N2)). The virus samples were prepared by mixing each of the I223R/H275Y influenza viruses (10^3 PFU) with influenza-positive nasopharyngeal swab samples (n = 6). Figure 9 and Supplementary Fig. 13 are optical images of A4-based lateral flow system after detection of influenza-positive nasopharyngeal swab samples in the absence or presence of I223R/H275Y influenza viruses, in which positive test lines were clearly observed in influenza-positive nasopharyngeal swab samples taken with various subtypes of I223R/H275Y influenza virus. Based on this result, we anticipate that the A4-based LFA can be used for the rapid antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza virus diagnostic test in near future.
The accurate diagnosis of an infectious disease has a significant impact on clinical decisions made in response to the disease. Drug susceptibility testing prior to therapy will prevent drug abuse and inhibit the spread of drug-resistant viruses. In this study, we developed an A4 antibody specifically recognizing and binding to the mutant I223R/H275Y NA and demonstrated that it is possible to directly detect antiviral multidrug-resistant viruses in various sensing platforms. We have verified the applicability of A4 antibody for the development of quantitative detection tools on a variety of detection platforms, including naked-eye detection, SERS-based immunoassay, and lateral flow system. The development of the A4 antibody in this study enables fast, simple, and reliable point-of-care assays of antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza viruses. In addition to current influenza virus infection testing methods, diagnostic tests for antiviral multidrug-resistant viruses will improve clinical judgment in the treatment of influenza virus infections, avoid the unnecessary prescription of ineffective drugs, and greatly improve current therapies.

Methods

Materials. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise specified. NA-Fluor® Influenza NA Assay Kit was purchased from Applied Biosystems. Viral RNA Extraction Kit was purchased from Qiagen. Absorbent pads (CFS203000, cellulose fiber) and NC membrane (HF090MC100) were purchased from Millipore. A polyester conjugate pad was purchased from Boreda Biotech. Anti-influenza A virus nickoloprotein antibody were purchased from Abcam. Influenza virus strains, including A/California/07/2009 (H1N1), A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1), and A/Brussels/10/2007 (H3N2), were originally provided from the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Korea. A/swine/Korea/GC0503/2005 (H1N1) and A/canine/Korea/MV1/2012 (H3N2) were kindly provided by Professor Dae-Sub Song (Korea University). The pH1N1/H275Y mutant virus (H275Y mutation A/Colorado/2758/2009 pdm: NCPC 42017) was obtained from the National Culture Collection for Pathogens (NCCP) operated by the Korea National Institute of Health. The titers of viruses were measured by an RT-PCR assay from Promega according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Expression and purification of recombinant protein. The wt NA and mutant NA (I223R/H275Y) genes derived from A/H1N1 2009 pandemic influenza virus were amplified by PCR. The PCR products were cloned into the pAcGP67A vector (BD Biosciences) and transfected into the Sf9 insect cell line to produce recombinant proteins. The culture medium was collected, clarified by centrifugation at 110 × g, and subjected to further viral purification. The cultured Sf9 cells were inoculated with recombinant baculovirus at a multiplicity of infection of 10 and incubated at 27 °C. The cells were lysed after centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 3 h. The cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 16,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. After the cell lysate was sonicated to disrupt the cell membrane viscosity, the cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 16,000 × g. The soluble protein from the cell supernatant was applied to Ni-NTA column (Qiagen), washed, and eluted with buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M imidazole, pH 8.0). The purified proteins were dialyzed against phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and further purified by Q-Sepharose anion-exchange chromatography (GE Healthcare).

A4 panning and screening. For antibody screening, large naive human antigen-binding fragment phase display library (3 × 1010) in Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology was used. A phagemid vector (KRIBB-Fab) contains a bicistronic operon under the control of LacZ promoter. Immunobots (Nunc) were coated with 100 μg of wt NA or I223R/H275Y NA at 4 °C, washed twice with PBS, and blocked with 4% skim milk in PBS at 37 °C for 1 h. The antibody library phages were preincubated with wt NA at 37 °C for 2 h. The subtracted phages were then incubated with I223R/H275Y NA at 37 °C for 1 h. After washing with 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS (PBST), bound phages were eluted with 0.1 M glycine–HCl (pH 2.2) and neutralized with 2 M Tris base. The eluted phages were amplified by infecting TG1 cells followed by superinfection with helper phages (VCSM13). The amplified phages were then subjected to another round of panning. Four rounds of panning were conducted, and the stringency of selection was increased with each round by gradually increasing the number of washes from 10 to 40.

To screen individual clones for specific binding to I223R/H275Y NA, 500 colonies were randomly selected from the output plate after the third or fourth round of panning, cultured in Superbroth medium containing 100 μg mL−1 ampicillin until optical density of 0.5, and induced for Fab expression in E. coli. The clarified cell culture supernatants were subjected to immunoblotting using polyclonal rabbit antibody to I223R/H275Y NA and goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Abcam, 1:1000) antibody was used for the colorimetric detection of bound clones using the tetramethylbenzidine substrate. Clones showing positive signals in ELISA were subjected to DNA sequencing, and the nucleotide sequences of variable heavy chain (VH) and variable kappa light chain (VK) regions were determined.

Expression and purification of whole IgF. To convert the selected Fab into whole IgF format, the VH and VK sequences were amplified by PCR and combined with the leader sequences of IgG heavy and light chains, respectively, by overlap extension PCR using Pfu DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific). The VH and VK with leader sequences were sequentially cloned into the antibody expression cassette (pdCMV-dhrF) containing the human constant region of γ1 heavy chain (Cγ1) and κ gene36. For transient IgG expression, the resulting expression plasmid was introduced into HEK293T cells using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and the transfected cells were cultured in protein-free medium (CD293, Invitrogen). The IgG was purified from the culture supernatant by affinity chromatography on protein A (Millipore), and its expression and purity were analyzed by western blotting and SDS-PAGE, respectively. The protein concentration was determined by UV spectrophotometry (Nanodrop; Thermo Scientific).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Microwell plates were coated with the purified NA (100 ng) in 50 mM sodium carbonate buffer (pH 9.6) at 4 °C overnight, blocked with bovine serum albumin (BSA) (2%) in PBS, and washed with PBST. A reaction mixture containing purified antibody (10 nm) and various concentrations (10−11–10−5 M) of NA as a competing antigen were preincubated at 37 °C for 1–2 h. The mixture was then added to each well previously coated with 100 ng of NA. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-human IgG (Pierce, 1:3000) was used for the detection of bound IgG. Color was developed with the 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine substrate reagent set (BD Biosciences), and the absorbance at 450 nm was measured using a microtiter plate reader (Enmax; Molecular Devices). Affinity was determined as the antigen concentration required to inhibit 50% of binding activity and binding affinity (Kd) value was calculated from a Klotz plot.
SPR analysis. SPR experiments were performed to determine the binding affinity between the target proteins and antibodies on a BIAcore 3000 (GE Healthcare). A4 antibody (7 μg mL \(^{-1}\)) was covalently immobilized on sensor chip CM5 by standard amine coupling protocol. Briefly, a mixture of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide (0.2 M) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (0.05 M) was injected onto the chip at a flow rate of 5 μL min \(^{-1}\), and various concentrations of proteins (0–2000 nM) were injected across the sensor surface at flow rate 5 μL min \(^{-1}\). Data were fitted to a 1:1 Langmuir-binding model using the BLAevaluation software provided by the manufacturer for the kinetic analysis.

Homology modeling of A4 antibody. 3D structure of A4 antibody was obtained through the homology modeling with MODELLER program\(^{27}\) using the X-ray crystal structure of the G6 antibody fragment specific for binding to VEGF\(^{26}\), G6 antibody served as a template for building the structure of A4 antibody suitable for docking simulations with epitopes (Supplementary Fig. 4). During the homology modeling, we adopted the conjugate gradient methods for structural optimizations and the molecular dynamics simulations to minimize the violations of spatial restraints. The atomic coordinates for the gap regions were optimized from the X-ray crystal structure of the G6 antibody (Protein Data Bank: 4B7R)\(^{40}\). Docking simulations were carried out using the AutoDock program to estimate the binding free energy (ΔG\(_{\text{bind}}\)) of the epitope in the CDR of A4, which can be expressed mathematically as follows\(^{41}\):

\[
\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = W_{\text{ele}} \sum_{i>j} \frac{A_{ij} G_{ij} - B_{ij} G_{ij}}{R_{ij}^6} + W_{\text{hbond}} \sum_{i>j} E(i,j) \left( \frac{C_{ij}}{D_{ij}} - \frac{D_{ij}}{C_{ij}} \right) + W_{\text{des}} \sum_{i>j} \frac{q_i q_j}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R_{ij}} + W_{\text{ele-N-ter}} + W_{\text{mol-N-ter}} \sum_i \left( \max \left( 0, C_{\text{opt}} - \sum_j \nu_j \right) \right) \cdot \nu_i
\]

The weighting parameters for van der Waals contacts (W\(_{\text{vdw}}\)), electrostatic interactions (W\(_{\text{ele}}\)), entropic penalty (W\(_{\text{des}}\)), and ligand dehydration free energy (W\(_{\text{water}}\)) were set to 0.1485, 0.0656, 0.1146, 0.3113, and 0.1711, respectively, as in the original AutoDock program. \(r_{ij}\) stands for the interatomic distance, and \(A_{ij}, B_{ij}, C_{ij}\), and \(D_{ij}\) are associated with the well depth and the equilibrium distance in the potential energy function. The hydrogen bond term has the additional weighting factor \(E(i,j)\) to describe the angle-dependent directionality. To compute the electrostatic interaction energy between A4 antibody and the epitopes, we used the smigoldian function with respect to \(r_{ij}\) proposed by Mehler et al. as the distance-dependent dielectric constant\(^{35}\). In the entropic penalty term, \(N\) indicates the number of rotatable bonds in the epitope. In the hydration free energy term, \(S_i\) and \(V_i\) denote the solvation energy per unit volume and the fragmental atomic volume, respectively, while Occ\(_{\text{max}}\) represents the maximum occupancy of each atom in the epitope\(^{45}\). All the energy parameters in Eq. (1) were extracted from the original AutoDock program to derive the binding modes of wt and I223R/H275Y mutant NA in the CDR of A4. Among 20 conformations generated with the genetic algorithm, those clustered together had similar binding modes differing by <1.5 Å in positional root-mean-square deviation. The lowest-energy conformation was selected as the final structural model for antigen–antibody complexes.

Colormetric detection of antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza virus. To prepare A4-conjugated Au NPs, the A4 antibody (10 μg) was added to a mixture of 1 mL of a colloidal of Au NPs and 0.1 mL of borate buffer (0.1 M, pH 8.5). After incubation for 30 min at room temperature, 0.1 mL of 1% BSA was added to block the surface of the Au NPs. After incubation for 60 min at 4 °C, the conjugates were resuspended in deionized water. The changes in size of the particles were confirmed by using DLS (ELS-Z, Otsuka Electronics). The color change was observed and measured using a multidetection microparticle reader (Cytation 5, BioTek).

ERSA-based detection of antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza virus. Au nanoparticles were synthesized in a horizontal hot-wall single-zone furnace system with a 1-inch diameter inner quartz tube. The system was equipped with pressure and mass flow controllers. A 2 cm in a quartz-containing Au slug- containing aluminna boat was placed at the center of a heating zone. Before the reaction, the quartz tube was purged with N₂ gas for 30 min to maintain an inert atmosphere, and the pressure was lowered to 5–10 Torr with a gas flow rate of 100 scm. The Au slug-containing aluminna boat was heated to 1100–1170 °C, and the reaction time was 60–90 min. During the reaction, the Au slug was transposed by the carrier gas from the high-temperature zone to the low-temperature zone, where substrates were present. For the preparation of immune substrate, the Au nanoparticles on silica wafer were incubated with 5 nM Cys3-protein G solution in PBS buffer for 12 h at 4 °C and rinsed three times with 0.1x PBS. Cys3-protein G-modified Au nanoparticles were immobilized on sensor chip CM5 for 12 h at 4 °C and rinsed three times with 0.1x PBS. For the preparation of immunoprobes, 100 μL of MGITC (1 μM in ethanol) solution was added to the Au NP solution to prepare a 1-mL solution. Next, the Au NP solution was incubated for 45 min at room temperature with orbital shaking. Simultaneously, we mixed 10 μL of HS-(CH₂)₁₀-NH₂ (10 μM in tetrahydrofuran) and 100 μL of antibody solution (100 ng mL \(^{-1}\) in PBS) for 45 min at room temperature with orbital shaking. Fifty microliters of the mixed solution was added to the prepared Au NP solution, and this solution was incubated for 45 min at room temperature with orbital shaking. After that, 1.15 μL of 1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 6.8) was added to the Au NP solution for 30 min at room temperature with orbital shaking. Finally, the Au NP solution was centrifuged (19,800 x g) for 15 min and re-suspending in 0.1x PBS.

The I223R/H275 and wt viruses were diluted in PBS buffer with various concentration and added to the prepared immune substrates for 6 h at 4 °C with shaking. Then the immune substrates were rinsed three times with 0.1x PBS and incubated in the prepared Au NP solution for 45 min at room temperature. After washing with distilled water and drying with N₂ gas, SERS spectra and SEM images were obtained from the samples. SERS measurements were carried out using a LabRAM HR system (HORIBA Jobin Yvon, France). The excitation source was a He-Ne laser operating at λ = 633 nm, and the laser spot was focused on a sample through a 50x objective lens. The SERS signals were recorded with a thermodynamically cooled electron multiplying charge-coupled device (Andor) mounted on a spectrometer with a 1200-grain mm \(^{-1}\) grating. SEM images were obtained using a Nova230 system at an accelerating voltage of 15 keV.

LFA of antiviral multidrug-resistant influenza virus. The LFA test strip consists of four components: a sample pad, an NC membrane, a conjugation pad, and an absorbance pad. The sample pad was saturated with PBS solution containing 0.2% Tween-20 and 1% (w/v) BSA. The sample pad was dried at room temperature and stored in a desiccator for future use. The colloidal Au NP probes were added to the conjugation pad, dried at room temperature for 2 h, and then stored in a desiccator. The anti-influenza A nucleoprotein IgG (2 mg mL \(^{-1}\)) and goat anti-human IgG (1 mg mL \(^{-1}\)) were immobilized on the NC membrane as the test and control lines, respectively. After the NC membranes were dry, the membranes were blocked with 1% BSA. After all the components were assembled on the backing card, the test strip was assembled on a strip cassette. During the assays, 150 μL of the sample was dispensed to the sample pad, and this volume spread across the whole strip within 5 min. The LFA was also evaluated in virus-containing 10% nasal fluid (Lee Biosolution, Inc.) as well as in virus mixtures prepared by mixing double mutant and wt viruses in a same way as described above. Lastly, the LFA was examined in the influenza-positive nasopharyngeal swab samples.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this Article.

Data availability. All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published Article and its Supplementary Information files, and the source data underlying all figures and Supplementary Figures are provided as a Source Data file.
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