Purified Dendritic Cell-Tumor Fusion Hybrids Supplemented with Non-Adherent Dendritic Cells Fraction Are Superior Activators of Antitumor Immunity
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Abstract

Background: Strong evidence supports the DC-tumor fusion hybrid vaccination strategy, but the best fusion product components to use remains controversial. Fusion products contain DC-tumor fusion hybrids, unfused DCs and unfused tumor cells. Various fractions have been used in previous studies, including purified hybrids, the adherent cell fraction or the whole fusion mixture. The extent to which the hybrids themselves or other components are responsible for antitumor immunity or which components should be used to maximize the antitumor immunity remains unknown.

Methods: Patient-derived breast tumor cells and DCs were electro-fused and purified. The antitumor immune responses induced by the purified hybrids and the other components were compared.

Results: Except for DC-tumor hybrids, the non-adherent cell fraction containing mainly unfused DCs also contributed a lot in antitumor immunity. Purified hybrids supplemented with the non-adherent cell population elicited the most powerful antitumor immune response. After irradiation and electro-fusion, tumor cells underwent necrosis, and the unfused DCs phagocytosed the necrotic tumor cells or tumor debris, which resulted in significant DC maturation. This may be the immunogenicity mechanism of the non-adherent unfused DCs fraction.

Conclusions: The non-adherent cell fraction (containing mainly unfused DCs) from total DC/tumor fusion products had enhanced immunogenicity that resulted from apoptotic/necrotic tumor cell phagocytosis and increased DC maturation. Purified fusion hybrids supplemented with the non-adherent cell population enhanced the antitumor immune responses, avoiding unnecessary use of the tumor cell fraction, which has many drawbacks. Purified hybrids supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction may represent a better approach to the DC-tumor fusion hybrid vaccination strategy.

Introduction

Dendritic cell (DC)-tumor fusion hybrids have demonstrated advantages among DC-based tumor vaccination strategies. Using the fusion approach, multiple Tumor associated antigens (TAA)s, including those yet unidentified, are endogenously processed by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) I and II pathways in the context of co-stimulatory molecules [1,2,3]. Several animal studies and early clinical trials have shown encouraging results from DC and tumor cell fusion [4,5] [6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15].

According to previous studies, the fusion efficiency (including electro-fusion and chemical fusion) between DC and tumor cells is relatively low, at less than 50% [2,16], so the total DC-tumor fusion products contain DC-tumor fusion hybrids, unfused DCs and tumor cells, and DC-DC or tumor-tumor self-fusion, as well as debris and lysate from cells that die during the process. However, the extent to which the hybrids themselves and other components are responsible for inducing anti-tumor immunity is not well understood. In addition, identification of the best components that should be used is controversial, and various fractions from the total fusion products, including purified hybrid cells [8,9,16,17,18], the adherent cell fraction [2,19,20] or the entire fusion mixture [7,21,22,23], have been used in previous studies. To the best of our knowledge, any attempt at fusion requires DCs and tumor cells to be mixed together, so potential co-stimulation and antigen presentation is possible even if no fusion occurs. Thus, it is difficult to know whether reported therapeutic responses result from the presence of a fused DC-tumor component or from unfused DCs presenting antigen through uptake of tumor-associated material or other components in the fusion mixture.

In order to investigate the roles of hybrids themselves and other fusion product components in anti-tumor immunity and to determine which components should be used in the DCs-tumor
fusion vaccination, patient-derived DCs and auto breast tumor cells were electro-fused to generate the fusion hybrids and then fluorescence activated cell sorting FACS was used to purify the truly fused cells. We then compared the antitumor immune responses induced by purified hybrids to that of other components in the total fusion mixture. The results showed that except for the DC-tumor hybrids, which play the key role in the antitumor immunity, the non-adherent cell fraction, mostly containing unfused DCs, have a large contribution to antitumor immunity. The cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) assays showed that purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell population can elicit the most effective lysis. Thus, the unfused DCs should also be taken into account during fusion hybrid research.

We further explored the mechanism of immunogenicity from unfused DC in non-adherent cell fraction. For the first time, we showed that unfused DCs can phagocytose apoptotic/necrotic tumor cells or tumor cell debris and then undergo maturation, which may be the main reason why the non-adherent cell population consisting of mainly unfused DCs was able to elicit effective antitumor immunity. We further found it is the DCs with phagocytic tumor cells that played the key role in the antitumor immune responses from the non-adherent unfused DCs. Our study may provide the experimental basis for the use of purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction instead of purified hybrids alone, the adherent cell fraction alone, or the total fusion mixture.

Materials and Methods

**Ethics Statements:** The experiment related to human peripheral blood and tumor tissue. We stated that we got the approval of IRB for human participants of Fourth military medical university and Tangdu Hospital. All the participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study and ethics committees approved this consent procedure.

1. **Generation of Patient-derived DCs**
   PBMC-derived DCs from 4 patients with breast cancer were generated as previously described [24]. Granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF; 100 ng/ml; R&D), interleukin-4 (IL-4; 50 ng/ml; R&D) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α; 1000 U/ml; R&D) were used to culture DCs. DCs were harvested from the non-adherent and loosely adherent cells, and the firmly adherent monocytes were harvested after treatment with trypsin and used as an autologous target in the CTL assay.

2. **Preparation of Autologous Tumor Cells and Tumor Cell Lines**
   Fresh breast cancer tumor cells were obtained from surgical specimens from 4 patients. Single-cell suspensions were obtained by processing solid tumor samples under sterile conditions as previously described [25]. Briefly, the surgical specimens were mechanically and enzymatically dissociated to generate a single cell suspension, which was used as the fusion partner and as targets for CTL assay. Normal breast tumor lines MCF7, SKBR3 and BT20 and K562 cell line (originated from pleural fluid of leukemia patients) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC).

3. **Fluorescence Dye Stain and Electro-fusion of DCs and Auto Breast Tumor Cells**
   DCs and auto breast tumor cells were pre-stained red and green, respectively, using PKH26-GL and PKH67-GL Kits (Sigma) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Electro-fusion of DCs and tumor cells was performed as described previously [2]. Briefly, DCs were mixed with tumor cells (irradiated 5000 cGy) at a ratio of 2:1 in the fusion medium. After centrifugation, the pellets were resuspended in the same fusion medium without BSA at a concentration of 1×10^7 cells/ml. Electro-fusion was carried out using a custom designed concentric fusion chamber connected to a pulse generator (ECM 2001, BTX Instrument, Genetronics, San Diego, CA). After fusion, the cells were suspended in the DC medium and incubated overnight at 37°C with 5% CO2.

4. **Enrichment of Hybrid Cells Through FACS Sorting**
   After overnight culture, the adherent cell population and the non-adherent cell population were harvested separately. The adherent cell population was collected and resuspended in PBS at a concentration of 1×10^7 cells/ml for sorting. The hybrid cells (dual color) were gated and sorted using a FACSCalibur cell sorter (FACSAriaTM; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA USA). The sorted cells, displaying both green and red fluorescence, were harvested and resuspended in medium for in vitro stimulation. The adherent cell population deprived of hybrid cells, which consisted of mainly tumor cells or tumor-tumor self-fusion (adherent tumor cells), were harvested for in vitro stimulation. The hybrid cell percentage in the adherent cell populations or purified hybrids population were verified using two-color flow cytometry.

5. **T Cell Proliferation Assay**
   Standard [3H] thymidine incorporation was used to determine the T cell proliferation that was induced by hybrids themselves or other components of the fusion products. Briefly, non-adherent PBMCs from the same patient were cultured through nylon wool to remove antigen-presenting cells and B cells. They were incubated with purified hybrid cells, adherent tumor cells, the non-adherent cell fraction, the adherent cell fraction, purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction, the total fusion product fraction, DCs mixed with tumor cells, or DCs at a ratio of 10:1 in the presence of 20 units/ml human IL-2 in medium containing 10% human serum. Non-adherent PBMCs cultured in the presence of 20 units/mL human IL-2 was used as control. On day 5, 1 μCi (0.037 MBq) [3H] thymidine was added to each well, then cells were harvested 18 hours later and the proliferation was evaluated based on incorporated [3H] thymidine tested using liquid scintillation. All determinations were conducted in triplicate and data is expressed as the mean± SD.

6. **IFN-gamma Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Spot Assay**
   To determine the IFN-gamma production of T cells induced by hybrids themselves or other components of the fusion products, a human IFN-gamma ELISpot kit (R&D) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, non-adherent PBMCs purified using nylon wool were co-cultured with purified hybrid cells, adherent tumor cells, the non-adherent cell fraction, the adherent cell fraction, purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction, the total fusion product fraction, or DCs were mixed with tumor cells at a ratio of 10:1 for 7 days and then harvested using nylon wool separation. These purified PBMCs were used as effector cells and auto breast tumor cells were used as stimulator cells. Resulting spots were counted using a stereomicroscope under 20× to 40× magnification. Medium alone and tumor cells without effector cells were included as negative controls.
7. CTL Assay

CTL assays against auto breast tumor cells induced by the hybrids themselves or other components of the fusion products were performed using a CytoTox 96 Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay kit (Promega,) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, non-adherent PBMCs purified using nylon wool were stimulated with purified hybrid cells, adherent tumor cells, the non-adherent cell fraction, the adherent cell fraction, purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction, the whole fusion product fraction, or DCs mixed with tumor cells for 7 days in the presence of 20 units/ml human IL-2. These PBMCs were used as the effector T cells. Then auto breast tumor cells were co-cultured with the effector T cells for 4 h at 1:12.5, 1:25, and 1:50 ratio. The absorbance values were measured at 492 nm. The percentage of cytotoxicity for each effector: target cell ratio was calculated from the equation: [A (experimental) – A (target spontaneous)]/A (target maximum) – A (target spontaneous)]. To test whether the cytotoxicity is breast tumor cell-specific, normal breast tumor cells lines MCF7, SKBR3 and BT20, natural killer-sensitive K562 cells and monocytes from the same patient were used as targets in a parallel CTL assay as controls. The ratio for effector cells and K562 cells or monocytes was 50:1.

8. Tumor Cell Apoptosis/Necrosis Analysis after Irradiation and Electro-fusion

Auto breast tumor cells (from patient1 irradiated using 5000 cGy) were suspended in fusion medium to analyze the tumor cell apoptosis/necrosis during electro-fusion. Electro-fusion was then carried out using the same process as for fusion between DC and tumor cells. After fusion, the cells were incubated overnight at 37°C with 5% CO2. Apoptosis and necrosis were then assessed using Annexin-V and Propidium iodide (PI) binding (Annexin-V apoptosis detection kit, BD Biosciences, San José, CA) and Flow Cytometric (FACS) analysis. Auto breast tumor cells without irradiation and electro-fusion were used as controls.

9. DC Phagocytosis of Apoptotic/Necrotic Tumor Cells during DC/Tumor Fusion Process

In order to analyze whether DCs can phagocyte apoptotic tumor cells during electro-fusion, fluorescence dye staining and electro-fusion of DCs and auto breast tumor cells (from patient1) 2 were performed as described previously. DCs mixed with tumor cells was used as a control. After overnight incubation, the non-adherent cell fraction, which mainly consists of unfused DCs, was harvested and then FACS analysis was performed. DC phagocytosis of apoptotic/necrotic cells was determined by the percentage of double-positive cells.

10. Enhanced DC Maturation in the Non-adherent Cell Fraction in DC/Tumor Electro-fusion Products

In order to stimulate naïve T cells, DCs must become mature and increase the expression of HLA Class II molecules and co-stimulatory signals at the cell surface that are necessary to trigger T cell priming. We next assessed the expression of MHC class II and costimulatory molecules on DCs (from patient1) in the non-adherent cell fraction of the DC/tumor fusion products. The DCs mixed with tumor cells were used as a control.

11. The Antitumor Immune Responses of DCs with Phagocytic Tumor Cells in Non-adherent Cell Fraction

The non-adherent cell fraction contain DCs and DCs with phagocytic tumor cells. In order to test which component in this non-adherent cell fraction was actually functioned in promoting antitumor immune response, we sorted the DCs and DCs with phagocytic tumor cells in the non-adherent cells fraction and compare the antitumor immune response through Ellipton and CTL assay. Purified hybrids cells or Medium were used as control.

12. Statistical Analysis

A one-way ANOVA and followed by the least standard difference (LSD) post hoc test were used to determine the difference within each T cell proliferation assays group, IFN-gamma ELISPOT assay and cytotoxicity assay. \( \chi^2 \) was used to determine the difference within tumor apoptosis/necrosis and DCs phagocytosis test. SPSS 10.0 was used to analyze statistical significance. A p value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

1. Electro-fusion of Auto DCs and Breast Tumor Cells Sorted Using FACS

After fluorescent-dye staining and electro-fusion and FACS sorting, the percentage of hybrid cells in the adherent cells fraction and purified hybrid cells fraction were verified using two-color flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 1 (from patient1), the red DCs and green tumor cells were clearly distinct (Fig. 1A, B). After fusion, the percentage of dual-colored cells in the adherent cell population was 47% (Fig. 1C). The dual-colored cells in the non-adherent cell population were then gated and sorted as purified fusion hybrids. The dual-colored cell percentage in the purified hybrids cell population was 97% (Fig. 1D). The microvascular cell population and adherent tumor cells were also harvested. T cells from patient4 were HLA-A2+/A11+ and auto breast tumor cells expressed high level tumor antigen HER2 (Human epidermal growth factor receptor) (data not show).

2. T Cell Proliferation Induced by DC-breast Tumor Fusion Cells

As shown in Fig. 2 (from patient1), purified hybrids, the adherent cell fraction, the non-adherent cell fraction, total fusion products, and purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction significantly induced T cell proliferation (\( P<0.05 \)) compared to adherent tumor cells, DCs mixed with tumor cells, DCs, or IL-2 alone. In addition, total fusion products or purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction induced the highest T cell proliferation. Purified hybrid cells or the adherent cell fraction elicited more effective T cell proliferation than did the non-adherent cell fraction (\( P<0.05 \)). There was no difference between total fusion products and purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction or between purified hybrids and the adherent cell fraction (\( P>0.05 \)).

3. IFN-gamma Production of T cells by DC-tumor Fusion Cells

Stimulation by auto breast tumor cells caused higher IFN-gamma secretion by T cells activated by purified hybrids, the adherent cell fraction, the non-adherent cell fraction, total fusion products, and purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction than by adherent tumor cells, or DCs mixed with tumor cells (\( P<0.05 \); Fig. 3 patient 1, patient2, patient3). Total fusion products or purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction induced the highest IFN-gamma production. Purified hybrid cells or the adherent cell fraction induced more IFN-gamma production than the non-adherent cell fraction.
fraction ($P < 0.05$). There was no difference between total fusion products and purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction or purified hybrids and the adherent cell fraction ($P > 0.05$).

4. CTL Responses Induced by DC-tumor Fusion Cells

Auto breast tumor cells were more effectively lysed by T lymphocytes activated by purified hybrids, the adherent cell fraction, the non-adherent cell fraction, total fusion products, and purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction compared to T cells activated by adherent tumor cells or DCs mixed with tumor cells ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 4A from patient 1, C from patient 2, E from patient 3, G from patient 4 (HLAA2+/A11+). In addition, the lysis induced by total fusion products or purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction was the most effective. Purified hybrid cells or the adherent cell fraction induced more effective lysis than the non-adherent cell fraction ($P > 0.05$). There were no differences between total fusion products and purified hybrid cells supple-

![Figure 1. FACS analysis of electro-fusion between auto DCs and tumor cells.](image1)

![Figure 2. T cells Proliferation by purified DC-tumor hybrids or other components of the fusion products.](image2)
Discussion

Despite the strong preclinical evidence supporting the use of DC/tumor fusions for cancer vaccination, conflicting results have been observed to date in clinical trials [26,27,28,29,30]. One possible reason for this controversy is that the data supporting the
Figure 4. CTL assays induced by purified DC-tumor hybrids or other components of the fusion products. The cytotoxicity assays were performed using CytoTox 96 Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay kit. (A, C, E, G) Non-adherent PBMCs stimulated with total fusion products (■), hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction (○), purified hybrid cells (●), the adherent cell fraction (▲), the non-adherent cell fraction (▲), adherent tumor cells (▲), or DCs mixed with tumor cells (■) for 7 days in the presence of 20 units/mL human IL-2 were used as the effector T cells. Then breast tumor cells were co-cultured with the effector T cells for 4 h at ratios of 1:12.5, 1:25, and 1:50, respectively. Points, mean values of triplicate samples; bars, SD. The results showed that T lymphocytes activated by purified hybrids, the adherent cell fraction, the non-adherent cell fraction, total fusion products, purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction lysed auto breast tumor cells much more effectively than T cells activated by adherent tumor cells or DC mixed with tumor cells (P<0.05). Lysis induced by total fusion products or purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction was the most effective. Purified hybrid cells or the adherent cell fraction induced more effective lysis than the non-adherent cell fraction (P<0.05). There was no difference between total fusion products and purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction or between purified hybrids and the adherent cell fraction (P>0.05). (B, D, F) Natural killer-
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formation of fusion cells that were used in the clinical trials is not definitive [31] and the level of fusion efficiency is relatively low and variable. Another possible explanation is that immunosuppressive substances such as TGF-β, which is derived from tumor cells, was used to prepare the fusion cells [32]. Tumor-derived TGF-β participates in tumor immune escape by suppressing the host’s CTL function, which is a critical requirement for killing tumor cells [33]. Kao et al. showed that tumor-derived TGF-β significantly reduces the ability of DC/tumor fusion cells to prime antitumor immunity and TGF-β-blocking strategies can enhance antitumor immunity [32,34]. Thus, the tumor cells and tumor-tumor fusion cell fraction of the total fusion products is not suggested for use in the DC/tumor fusion strategy. However, the components that should be used are controversial, and various fractions from the total fusion products have been chosen and used in previous studies, including purified hybrid cells [8,9,16,17,18], the adherent cell fraction [2,19,20] or the entire fusion mixture [7,21,22,23].

The total DC-tumor fusion product mixture contain DC-tumor fusion hybrids, unfused DCs and tumor cells and DC-DC or tumor-tumor self fusion as well as debris and lysate from cells that die during the process. Thus, it remains to be determined the extent to which the hybrids themselves are responsible for the induction of antitumor immunity, the extent to which other components of the product may contribute, and which components should be used to maximize the antitumor immunity.

In our study, fusion cells were generated between DCs from patients and patient-derived auto breast tumor cells. We purified the DC-tumor hybrids from the adherent cell fraction using FACS sorting, and harvested the non-adherent cell fraction and adherent tumor cells. Then the antitumor immunity induced by purified hybrids, the adherent cell fraction, the non-adherent cell fraction, total fusion products, purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction and DCs mixed with tumor cells were examined. The results showed that, compared to DCs mixed with tumor cells, DC-tumor hybrid cells or the non-adherent cell fraction was able to induce more efficient antitumor immune responses that were auto breast tumor cell-specific, while the adherent tumor cells did not induce any efficient responses. In addition, purified DC-tumor hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction and total fusion products were more efficient than the adherent cell fraction or purified hybrid cells alone. Furthermore, the cytotoxicity induced by purified hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction may be tumor antigen specific and restricted by MHC Class I molecule. Thus, our results showed that, in the total electro-fusion products, except for DC-tumor hybrid cells that play a key role in antitumor immunity, the non-adherent cell fraction, which contains mainly DCs, was a main contributor to antitumor immunity. In addition, purified DC-tumor hybrid cells supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction were able to maximize antitumor immunity. Holmes et al. [17] used human tumor cells fused to autologous DCs with PEG to conduct a side-by-side comparison of the ability of the un-fractionated fusion product (10% hybrids) and FACS-purified hybrids (>95% purity) to induce tumor-specific CTLs in vitro. The purified hybrids stimulated the highest level of CTL activity (~70% specific lysis), but substantial cytolytic activity was also elicited by the un-fractionated fusion product (~50% specific lysis), which contained a disproportionately lower percentage of hybrids. These results indicated that the hybrids were particularly potent but other components of the preparation may add to their activity; these results support our findings that, except for DC-tumor electro-fusion hybrid cells, the non-adherent cell fraction containing mainly DCs had a large contribution to the antitumor activity.

We investigated why the non-adherent cell fraction containing mainly unfused DCs showed effective antitumor immunity. We showed for the first time that after the irradiation and electro-
fusion process, tumor cells underwent apoptosis/necrosis and then unfused DCs phagocytosed the apoptotic/necrotic tumor cells or tumor debris, which resulted in significant DC maturation. This may be the mechanism of the non-adherent cell fraction immunogenicity. Barrio and other researchers showed that human monocyte-derived immature DCs can efficiently cross-present tumor-associated antigens when co-cultured with a mixture of tumor cells that were rendered apoptotic/necrotic by γ irradiation [35,36,37,38], which is consistent with our findings.

It has been shown that an increased dose of irradiation would induce a higher level of apoptosis [19], and the electro-fusion process can also increase apoptosis/necrosis. A high degree of cell death was observed in tumor cell populations over time after exposure to 5000 cGy in our study (data not shown). We found that after irradiation of 5000 cGy and the electro-fusion process, approximately 33% of tumor cells developed necrosis. Several publications have shown that DCs are able to process apoptotic tumor cells or phagocytose tumor cell debris and present tumor-

Figure 7. DC maturation analysis after phagocytosis of apoptotic/necrotic tumor cells. The non-adherent cell fraction from DC/tumor fusion products or from the DC tumor mixture (from patient1) was stained with fluorescence labeled antibody against MHC class II, CD80, CD86 and CD83 and FACS analysis was performed. A, Non-adherent cells (containing mainly unfused DCs) from the total fusion products demonstrated significant MHC class II (increased fluorescence intensity), CD80, CD86 and CD83 up-regulation. B, Up-regulation of these molecules in DCs cocultured with tumor cells was minimal. In each histogram the percentage of positive cells is indicated.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086772.g007

Figure 8. Antitumor immune responses of DCs with phagocytic tumor cells in non-adherent cell fraction. DCs and DCs with phagocytic tumor cells in the non-adherent cells fraction were sorted and antitumor immune response were compared through Ellispot and CTL assay (from patient4). Compared with DCs, DCs with phagocytic tumor cells can stimulate more IFN-Gamma secretion (A, P<0.05) and much more powerful CTL responses (B, P<0.05) and the immune responses were not as strong as fusion hybrids (P<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086772.g008
associated antigens [39]. In our study we showed that 42% of unfused DCs phagocytosed the apoptotic/necrotic tumor cells. Gottfried and Krause et al also showed that polyethylene glycol-induced fusion, as well as electro-fusion, also gave rise to DCs that phagocytosed apoptotic/necrotic tumor cells [40]. There is now considerable experimental evidence that phagocytosis of apoptotic/necrotic tumor cells causes DC maturation [35,37]. In addition, we showed that the unfused DCs developed significant maturation compared to the DC/tumor mixture.

The non-adherent cells fraction contains DCs and DCs with phagocytic tumor cells and we found it is the DCs with phagocytic tumor cells that play the key role in the antitumor immune responses. Thus, these unfused DCs that captured apoptotic tumor cells or phagocytosed tumor cell debris may be one of the main reasons why the non-adherent cell population, consisting mainly unfused DCs, was able to elicit efficient antitumor immunity in our study.

In conclusion, we purified the DC-tumor fusion hybrid cells and then analyzed the role that the hybrids themselves played in the induction of antitumor immunity and the contribution that other electro-fusion product components have made. We showed that, except for DC-tumor fusion hybrid cells that play the key role in the antitumor responses, the non-adherent cell fraction containing mainly unfused DCs had a main contribution to anti-tumor activity. Purified fusion hybrids supplemented with the non-adherent cell fraction elicited the most effective antitumor responses, which may represent a better approach in the DC-tumor fusion hybrid vaccination strategy.

The advantages for the use of purified fusion hybrids supplemented with the non-adherent cell population are as follows: (1) compared to the total fusion product mixture, patients need not receive many unnecessary cells especially the unfused tumor cells, which may suppress the anti-tumor immune responses due to the TGF-β that is derived from tumor cells; and (2) compared to the use of purified hybrids or the adherent cell fraction containing mainly fusion hybrids and tumor cells, purified fusion hybrids supplemented with the non-adherent cell population can induce much stronger anti-tumor immune responses.

Moreover, it should be noted that this study has examined only the electro-fusion products and not chemical fusion products. Despite this limitation, this study may represent a better approach in the DC-tumor fusion vaccine strategy, especially for clinical studies. Our study suggested that the unfused DC fraction should also be taken into account in fusion hybrid research.
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