Risk factor analysis of intravesical recurrence after retroperitoneoscopic nephroureterectomy for upper tract urothelial carcinoma
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Abstract
Background: One of the major concerns of patients with upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) treated with nephroureterectomy is intravesical recurrence (IVR). The purpose of the present study was to investigate the predictive risk factors for IVR after retroperitoneoscopic nephroureterectomy (RNU) for UTUC.

Methods: Clinicopathological and surgical information were collected from the medical records of 73 patients treated with RNU for non-metastatic UTUC, without a history of or concomitant bladder cancer. The association between IVR after RNU and clinicopathological and surgery-related factors, including preoperative urine cytology and pneumoretroperitoneum time, was analyzed using the Fisher exact test.

Results: During the median follow-up time of 39.1 months, 18 (24.7%) patients had subsequent IVR after RNU. The 1- and 3-year IVR-free survival rates were 85.9% and 76.5%, respectively. The Fisher exact test revealed that prolonged pneumoretroperitoneum time of \( \geq 210 \text{ min} \) was a risk factor for IVR in 1 year after RNU \((p = 0.0358)\) and positive urine cytology was a risk factor for IVR in 3 years after RNU \((p = 0.0352)\).

Conclusions: In UTUC, the occurrences of IVR in 1 and 3 years after RNU are highly probable when the pneumoretroperitoneum time is prolonged \((\geq 210 \text{ min})\) and in patients with positive urine cytology, respectively. Strict follow-up after RNU is more probable recommended for these patients.
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Background
Upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) is a relatively uncommon condition and accounts for 5–10% of all urothelial malignancies [1]. Nephroureterectomy (NU) with excision of the bladder cuff is the gold standard treatment for non-metastatic UTUC. However, intravesical recurrence (IVR) after NU for UTUC frequently occurs, with an incidence rate of approximately 22–47% [1–4]. Several studies have investigated the risk factors of IVR after NU for UTUC. Reportedly, the risk factors for IVR after NU for UTUC include positive preoperative urine cytology, preoperative diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy for UTUC, surgery-related factors, such as laparoscopic surgery or endoscopic approach of the bladder cuff excision, lymphovascular invasion (LVI), and concomitant carcinoma in situ (CIS) [4–8].

Recently, laparoscopic NU (LNU) and retroperitoneoscopic NU (RNU) are being performed globally for UTUC. However, there have been discussions about whether LNU and RNU increase the risk of postoperative IVR compared to open NU, and a consensus is yet to be reached [9–13]. On the other hand, few studies have
investigated the risk factors of IVR after LNU and RNU, including surgery-related factors.

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the association between IVR after RNU for UTUC and clinicopathological and surgical factors, including preoperative urine cytology, urinary bladder tumor antigen (BTA), urinary nuclear mitotic apparatus protein (NMP22), and pneumoretroperitoneum time.

Methods
Patient selection
We retrospectively identified 102 patients treated with RNU for non-metastatic UTUC at Nippon Medical School Hospital between 2012 and 2020. UTUC was diagnosed using computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and urine cytology. A diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy was performed when required. All patients underwent preoperative cystoscopy. Of the 102 patients, 29 patients with a history of bladder cancer or concomitant bladder cancer were excluded from our study. Finally, 73 patients were included in the study.

Clinicopathological data
From the medical records, we collected clinicopathological and surgical information of the patients, including age, sex, laterality and location of the main tumor, presence or absence of hydronephrosis, preoperative urine cytology, preoperative urinary BTA level, preoperative urinary NMP22 level, necessity of diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy, pneumoretroperitoneum time, total operating time, multifocality of the tumor, tumor size, pathological characteristics, necessity of adjuvant systemic chemotherapy (ASC), and oncological outcomes. Tumors were staged according to the 2002 American Joint Committee of Cancer tumor-node-metastasis classification and were graded according to the 2004 World Health Organization classification [14].

Surgical procedure
While performing RNU, retroperitoneoscopic procedures were performed in the kidney position, with 8 mmHg CO2 gas pressure in all cases. The CO2 gas pressure was increased temporally when necessary. The maximum pressure of the CO2 gas was 12 mmHg. In the retroperitoneoscopic procedure, we clamped the ureter after ligation of the renal arteries. In all patients, a small iliac incision (Gibson incision) or lower abdominal midline incision was made to retrieve the kidney and ureter and to perform bladder cuff resection with sufficient surgical margin using the extravesical approach. In our institution, we have performed RNU in patients with non-metastatic localized or locally advanced UTUC (cTaNOM0). Lymphadenectomy was not performed in this study.

Adjuvant therapy and follow-up
Adjuvant intravesical therapy is not administered at our institution. Four courses of ASC, such as the gemcitabine/cisplatin regimen or gemcitabine/carboplatin regimen, were administered to select pT2–4 patients. Of these patients, those with an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of < 30 ml/min/1.73 m² received ASC with the gemcitabine/cisplatin regimen, and the other patients received ASC with the gemcitabine/carboplatin regimen. After RNU, all patients were generally followed-up using blood tests, urine analysis, urine cytology, cystoscopy, and CT scan every three months for two years, and every six months thereafter. We defined IVR as a pathologically diagnosed bladder cancer after RNU. We also defined progression disease as radiologically diagnosed local or distance recurrence.

Endpoint of the present study
The primary endpoint of the present study was to investigate the association between IVR after RNU for UTUC and clinicopathological and surgical factors, including preoperative factors of urine cytology, urinary BTA, urinary NMP22, and pneumoretroperitoneum time.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using JMP® 13 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The value of statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). The categorical variables were compared using the Fisher exact test and continuous variables using the t-test or the Mann–Whitney U test, depending on the results of the one-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan–Meier method. To determine the risk factors for IVR in 1 and 3 years after RNU, the Fisher exact test was performed. In the analyses of IVR and progression 1 year after RNU, no IVR and progression cases without 1 year or >1 year of follow-up were excluded. In the analyses of IVR and progression 3 years after RNU, no IVR and progression cases without 3 years or >3 years of follow-up were excluded. The cut-off value of the pneumoretroperitoneum time of RNU was 210 min, which was defined as the maximum pneumoretroperitoneum time in the technical certification test of RNU by the Japanese Society of Endourology [15].

Results
Table 1 demonstrates the characteristics of 73 patients treated with RNU for UTUC. Surgical margins of the bladder cuff were negative in all patients.
During the median follow-up of 39.1 months after RNU, 18 (24.7%) patients had IVR. The 1-year and 3-year IVR-free survival rates were 85.9% and 76.5%, respectively (Fig. 1A). The histological type of bladder cancer in 18 patients was urothelial carcinoma. Table 2 demonstrates the multifocality and location of IVR tumors. In 50% of these bladder cancers, the grade was lower than that of the initial UTUC diagnosis. In the other 50% of bladder cancer cases, the grade was the same grade as that of the initial UTUC. None of

Table 1 Characteristics of patients treated with RNU for upper urinary tract carcinoma

| Preoperative factors                                      | n = 73 (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Age (years)                                               | Median (IQR 25–75) 74 (67–79) |
| Gender                                                    | Male/female 56 (76.7)/17 (23.3) |
| Laterality                                                | Right/left 37 (50.7)/36 (49.3) |
| Location of main tumor                                    | Ureter/renal pelvis 33 (45.2)/40 (54.8) |
| Hydronephrosis                                            | Yes/no 24 (32.9)/49 (67.1) |
| Urine cytology                                            | Positive/negative 32 (43.8)/41 (56.2) |
| Urinary BTA                                               | Positive/negative 29 (39.7)/44 (60.3) |
| Urinary NMP22                                             | Positive/negative 36 (49.3)/37 (50.7) |
| Diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy                           | Yes/no 24 (32.9)/49 (67.1) |
| Intraoperative and postoperative factors                  |            |
| Pneumoretropentoneum time (min)                           | Median (IQR 25–75) 202 (170–268) |
| Total operating time (min)                                | median (IQR 25–75) 352 (302–402) |
| Multifocality                                             | multiple/ single 11 (15.1)/62 (84.9) |
| Tumor size (cm)                                           | ≥ 3/ < 3 40 (54.8)/33 (43.8) |
| Pathological T stage                                      | ≤ 1/2/ ≥ 3 30 (41.1)/18 (24.7)/25 (34.2) |
| Grade                                                     | 1, 2/3 37 (50.7)/36 (49.3) |
| LVI                                                       | Positive/negative 18 (24.7)/55 (75.3) |
| INF                                                       | a/b, c 21 (28.8)/52 (71.2) |
| ASC                                                       | Yes/ no 23 (31.5)/50 (68.5) |

IQR interquartile range, BTA bladder tumor antigen, NMP22 nuclear mitotic apparatus protein, LVI lymphovascular invasion, INF infiltrative growth, ASC adjuvant systemic chemotherapy
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**Fig. 1** IVR-free survival and PFS in 73 patients. A Kaplan–Meier curves of IVR-free survival in 73 patients. B Kaplan–Meier curves of PFS in 73 patients
the bladder cancers had a higher grade than the initial UTUC diagnosis.

The Fisher exact test revealed that prolonged pneumoretroperitoneum time of ≥210 min was a risk factor for IVR in 1 year after RNU \((p = 0.0358)\) (Table 3) and positive urine cytology was a risk factor for IVR in 3 years after RNU \((p = 0.0352)\) (Table 4).

Table 5 demonstrates two group analyses based on the pneumoretroperitoneum time of 210 min to investigate the presence of bias. No significant difference between these two groups was noted.

Of the 73 cases, 15 (20.5%) were positive for urine cytology, NMP22, and BTA, and 18 (24.7%) were positive for two of these three.

During the median follow-up of 41.9 months after LNU, 12 (16.4%) patients had a metastatic recurrence. The 1-year and 3-year progression-free survival (PFS) rates were 92.9% and 84.5%, respectively (Fig. 1B). The Fisher exact test revealed that pathological T ≥ 3 was a risk factor for progression in 1 year after RNU \((p = 0.0439)\) (Table 6), and pathological T ≥ 3 \((p = 0.0007)\), Grade 3 \((p = 0.0145)\), LNI \((p = 0.0073)\), and ASC \((p = 0.0088)\) were the risk factors for progression in 3 years after RNU (Table 7).

| Multifocality | Single | Multiple |
|--------------|--------|----------|
| n (%)        | 14 (77.8) | 4 (22.2) |

Table 2 Multifocality and location of intravesical recurrence

Discussion

LNU is the mainstream surgery for UTUC and RNU is not popularly performed \([8, 16, 17]\). Therefore, most studies are focused on LNU. Here, we focused on RNU. This is the first report investigating the risk factors, including the pneumoretroperitoneum time, for IVR after RNU.

In this study, prolonged pneumoretroperitoneum time of ≥210 min was a risk factor for IVR in 1 year after RNU, with 8 mmHg CO₂ gas pressure (Table 3). In a previous study, Shigeta et al. reported that prolonged pneumoperitoneum time of LNU for UTUC was an independent risk factor for IVR \([8]\). They performed LNU (62.8%) and RNU (37.2%) for their cohort. The results of the present and the previous studies suggested that CO₂ gas pressure time impact on IVR. Shigeta et al. analyzed a cohort similar to this study that included patients with a history of bladder cancer or concomitant bladder cancer; the IVR rate was 47.3% during the median follow-up of 31.1 months postoperatively. They performed LNU or RNU with 10 mmHg CO₂ gas pressure and the median pneumoperitoneum or pneumoretroperitoneum time was 150 min; meanwhile, the median pneumoretroperitoneum time of the present study was 202 min, which was significantly longer than that of their study. However, in the present study, the IVR rate after RNU was 24.7% during the median follow-up of 39.1 months postoperatively, which was significantly lower than that of the study by Shigeta et al. When the two studies were compared, the differences were observed in CO₂ gas pressure and surgical procedure. It was suggested that a low CO₂ gas pressure of 8 mmHg and/or RNU in the present study might have influenced the low IVR rates. Further studies with large cohorts comparing different CO₂ gas pressures are needed to investigate the impact of CO₂ gas pressure on IVR postoperatively. Moreover, we only analyzed RNU in this study; therefore, it remains unclear whether the results of this study apply to LNU, because the pressure on the ureter during surgery might be different between RNU and LNU. Further studies comparing RNU and LNU are required.

This study was a retrospective study without a pilot study. The study began in February 2021, and the results were disclosed to all urologists at our institution in April 2021. Therefore, there was no bias of knowledge in the study results. We also analyzed the factors related to prolonged pneumoretroperitoneum time. However, any factors related to prolonged pneumoretroperitoneum time were not present (Table 5).

Recent molecular genetic studies have suggested that intraluminal seeding is one of the main mechanisms of IVR after NU \([18–20]\). It was also reported that continuous intravesical irrigation with distilled water or physiological saline solution during LNU decreased the rate of IVR incidence \([16]\). They concluded that continuous intravesical irrigation might eliminate cancer cells floating in the bladder during surgery before they become engrafted on the mucous membrane of the bladder. This result suggests that IVR after NU occurs due to intraluminal seeding. Recent studies demonstrated that prolonged CO₂ gas pressure time and diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy are independent factors of IVR after NU \([5, 8]\). Based on these results of past studies, long-term CO₂ gas pressure to the tumor and direct destruction of the tumor by diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy might
### Table 3  Risk factor analysis of IVR in 1 year after RNU

| Variables                          | No IVR n = 60 (%) | IVR n = 10 (%) | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (years)                       |                   |               |         |
| ≥ 70                              | 42 (70.0)         | 5 (50.0)      | 0.2790  |
| Gender                            |                   |               |         |
| Male                              | 45 (75.0)         | 8 (80.0)      | 1.0000  |
| Laterality                        |                   |               |         |
| Right                             | 32 (53.3)         | 4 (40.0)      | 0.5079  |
| Location of main tumor            |                   |               |         |
| Ureter                            | 25 (41.7)         | 6 (60.0)      | 0.3203  |
| Hydronephrosis                    |                   |               |         |
| Yes                               | 19 (31.7)         | 3 (30.0)      | 1.0000  |
| Urinary cytology                  |                   |               |         |
| Positive                          | 24 (40.0)         | 7 (70.0)      | 0.0956  |
| Urinary BTA                       |                   |               |         |
| Positive                          | 22 (36.7)         | 6 (60.0)      | 0.1833  |
| Urinary NMP22                     |                   |               |         |
| Positive                          | 28 (46.7)         | 5 (50.0)      | 1.0000  |
| Diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy   |                   |               |         |
| Yes                               | 18 (30.0)         | 5 (50.0)      | 0.2790  |
| Multifocality                     |                   |               |         |
| Multiple                          | 6 (10.0)          | 3 (30.0)      | 0.1120  |
| Tumor size (cm)                   |                   |               |         |
| ≥ 3                               | 29 (48.3)         | 3 (30.0)      | 0.3263  |
| Pathological T stage              |                   |               |         |
| ≥ 3                               | 19 (31.7)         | 5 (50.0)      | 0.2945  |
| Grade                             |                   |               |         |
| 3                                 | 29 (48.3)         | 5 (50.0)      | 1.0000  |
| INF b, c                          |                   |               |         |
| 41 (68.3)                         | 9 (90.0)          | 0.2618        |
| Total operating time (min)        |                   |               |         |
| ≥ 360                             | 25 (41.7)         | 6 (60.0)      | 0.3203  |
| ASC                               |                   |               |         |
| Yes                               | 19 (31.7)         | 4 (40.0)      | 0.7192  |

IVR intravesical recurrence, BTA bladder tumor antigen, NMP22 nuclear mitotic apparatus protein, LVI lymphovascular invasion, INF infiltrative growth, ASC adjuvant systemic chemotherapy

*p < 0.05

### Table 4  Risk factor analysis of IVR in 3 years after RNU

| Variables                          | No IVR n = 38 (%) | IVR n = 16 (%) | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (years)                       |                   |               |         |
| ≥ 70                              | 26 (68.4)         | 7 (43.8)      | 0.1280  |
| Gender                            |                   |               |         |
| Male                              | 29 (76.3)         | 12 (75.0)     | 1.0000  |
| Laterality                        |                   |               |         |
| Right                             | 18 (47.3)         | 7 (43.8)      | 1.0000  |
| Location of main tumor            |                   |               |         |
| Ureter                            | 18 (47.3)         | 8 (50.0)      | 1.0000  |
| Hydronephrosis                    |                   |               |         |
| Yes                               | 11 (28.9)         | 6 (37.5)      | 0.5402  |
| Urinary cytology                  |                   |               |         |
| Positive                          | 15 (39.5)         | 12 (75.0)     | 0.0352* |
| Urinary BTA                       |                   |               |         |
| Positive                          | 15 (39.5)         | 9 (56.3)      | 0.3695  |
| Urinary NMP22                     |                   |               |         |
| Positive                          | 17 (44.7)         | 8 (50.0)      | 0.7718  |
| Diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy   |                   |               |         |
| Yes                               | 12 (31.6)         | 8 (50.0)      | 0.2301  |
| Multifocality                     |                   |               |         |
| Multiple                          | 3 (7.9)           | 3 (18.8)      | 0.3461  |
| Tumor size (cm)                   |                   |               |         |
| ≥ 3                               | 16 (42.1)         | 7 (43.8)      | 1.0000  |
| Pathological T stage              |                   |               |         |
| ≥ 3                               | 11 (28.9)         | 6 (37.5)      | 0.5402  |
| Grade                             |                   |               |         |
| 3                                 | 16 (42.1)         | 9 (56.3)      | 0.3836  |
| INF b, c                          |                   |               |         |
| 7 (18.4)                         | 5 (31.3)          | 0.3090        |
| Total operating time (min)        |                   |               |         |
| ≥ 360                             | 16 (42.1)         | 10 (62.5)     | 0.2358  |
| ASC                               |                   |               |         |
| Yes                               | 13 (34.2)         | 5 (31.3)      | 1.0000  |

IVR intravesical recurrence, BTA bladder tumor antigen, NMP22 nuclear mitotic apparatus protein, LVI lymphovascular invasion, INF infiltrative growth; ASC adjuvant systemic chemotherapy

*p < 0.05
contribute to intraluminal seeding. In the present study, the grade of bladder cancer with IVR was not higher than that of initial UTUC. It has also been suggested that IVR tumors are caused by intraluminal seeding from UTUC.

Table 5 The characteristics of patients according to pneumoretroperitoneum time

| Variables                        | PT < 210 min n = 41 (%) | PT ≥ 210 min n = 32 (%) | p-value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                      | Median (IQR 25–75)      | 75 (67–80)              | 74 (67–78) | 0.4168 |
| Gender                           | Male                    | 32 (78.0)               | 24 (75.0) | 0.7865 |
| Laterality                       | Right                   | 18 (43.9)               | 19 (59.4) | 0.2405 |
| Location of main tumor           | Ureter                  | 19 (46.3)               | 14 (43.8) | 1.0000 |
| Hydrenephrosis                   | Yes                     | 15 (36.6)               | 9 (28.1)  | 0.4655 |
| Urine cytology                   | Positive                | 15 (36.6)               | 17 (53.1) | 0.2345 |
| Urinary BTA                      | Positive                | 12 (29.3)               | 17 (53.1) | 0.1496 |
| Urinary NMP22                    | Positive                | 20 (48.8)               | 16 (50.0) | 1.0000 |
| Diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy  | Yes                     | 15 (36.6)               | 9 (28.1)  | 0.4655 |
| Multifocality                    | Multiple                | 6 (14.6)                | 5 (15.6)  | 1.0000 |
| Tumor size (cm)                  | ≥ 3                     | 19 (46.3)               | 14 (43.8) | 1.0000 |
| Pathological T stage             | ≥ 3                     | 15 (36.6)               | 10 (31.3) | 0.8041 |
| Grade                            | 3                       | 22 (53.7)               | 14 (43.8) | 0.4818 |
| LVI                              | Positive                | 10 (24.3)               | 8 (25.0)  | 1.0000 |
| INF                              | b, c                    | 30 (73.2)               | 22 (68.8) | 0.7958 |
| ASC                              | Yes                     | 13 (31.7)               | 10 (31.3) | 1.0000 |

PT pneumoretroperitoneum time, IQR interquartile range, BTA bladder tumor antigen, NMP22 nuclear mitotic apparatus protein, LVI lymphovascular invasion, INF infiltrative growth, ASC adjuvant systemic chemotherapy

Table 6 Risk factor analysis of progression in 1 year after RNU

| Variables                        | No progression n = 65 (%) | Progression n = 5 (%) | p-value |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                      | ≥ 70                      | 42 (64.6)             | 5 (100.0) | 0.1639 |
| Gender                           | Male                      | 48 (73.8)             | 5 (100.0) | 0.3255 |
| Laterality                       | Right                     | 33 (50.8)             | 3 (60.0)  | 1.0000 |
| Location of main tumor           | Ureter                    | 28 (43.1)             | 3 (60.0)  | 0.6489 |
| Hydrenephrosis                   | Yes                       | 20 (30.8)             | 2 (40.0)  | 0.6463 |
| Urine cytology                   | Positive                  | 31 (47.7)             | 0 (0.0)   | 0.0616 |
| Urinary BTA                      | Positive                  | 27 (41.5)             | 1 (20.0)  | 0.6415 |
| Urinary NMP22                    | Positive                  | 32 (49.2)             | 1 (20.0)  | 0.3608 |
| Diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy  | Yes                       | 21 (32.3)             | 2 (40.0)  | 1.0000 |
| Multifocality                    | Multiple                  | 9 (13.8)              | 0 (0.0)   | 1.0000 |
| Tumor size (cm)                  | ≥ 3                       | 28 (43.1)             | 4 (80.0)  | 0.1710 |
| Pathological T stage             | ≥ 3                       | 20 (30.8)             | 4 (80.0)  | 0.0439*|
| Grade                            | 3                         | 30 (46.2)             | 4 (80.0)  | 0.1921 |
| LVI                              | Positive                  | 15 (23.1)             | 2 (40.0)  | 0.5887 |
| INF                              | b, c                      | 45 (69.2)             | 5 (100.0) | 0.3117 |
| Pneumoretroperitoneum time (min) | ≥ 210                     | 30 (46.2)             | 2 (40.0)  | 1.0000 |
| Total operating time (min)       | ≥ 360                     | 29 (44.6)             | 2 (40.0)  | 1.0000 |
| ASC                              | Yes                       | 20 (30.8)             | 3 (60.0)  | 0.3221 |

BTA bladder tumor antigen, NMP22 nuclear mitotic apparatus protein, LVI lymphovascular invasion, INF infiltrative growth, ASC adjuvant systemic chemotherapy

*p < 0.05
The BTA test detects the human complement factor H-related protein secreted in the urine. While the NMP22 test detects the protein level of the nuclear mitotic apparatus. Positive urinary BTA and NMP22 have been reported as predictors of the presence of bladder cancer and UTUC, along with positive urine cytology [21–24]. In the present study, the risk factor for IVR 3 years after RNU was not positive urinary BTA or urinary NMP22, but positive urine cytology (Table 4). Additionally, only 20.5% of patients had all three positive urine cytology, urinary BTA, and urinary NMP22. There is not much overlap between urine cytology, urinary BTA, and urinary NMP22. Urinary BTA and NMP22 are considered unsuitable for predicting IVR after RNU because the values of urinary BTA and NMP22 generally have a positive correlation with tumor volume; however, urinary BTA and NMP22 do not directly detect cancer cells.

Physical injury to the bladder is associated with increased adherence of tumor cells to the urothelium [25]. In NU, the bladder cuff and ureteral orifice are resected, while a urethral catheter is maintained in the bladder during and several days after NU. Physical injury to the urothelium, such as bladder cuff resection and stimulation of the bladder mucosa with a ureteral catheter, might support the growth of tumor cells in the urothelium [26]. In the present study, 11 (61.1%) and 5 (27.8%) patients had IVR tumors located at scar site and bladder neck, which could have been stimulated by the urethral catheter (Table 2). Hence, this result is consistent with the that of the previous study [26].

Recently, two prospective randomized trials have demonstrated that a single early intravesical chemotherapeutic cycle using mitomycin C or pirarubicin after NU decreased the risk of IVR [27, 28]. However, the type of patients that will benefit from this treatment remains unclear. In this study, prolonged pneumoretroperitoneum time of ≥210 min was a risk factor for IVR in 1 year after RNU and positive urine cytology was a risk factor for IVR in 3 years after RNU. From our results, we strongly recommend that patients with pneumoretroperitoneum time of ≥210 min and/or with positive urine cytology should receive a single early intravesical chemotherapy after RNU with 8 mmHg CO2 gas pressure.

In this study, pathological findings of UTUC were the risk factors for progression after RNU, not pneumoretroperitoneum time (Tables 6, 7). Therefore, when the pneumoretroperitoneum time of RNU is prolonged, an attending physician can perform a follow-up imaging after RNU at normal intervals.

The present study has several limitations. UTUC is a relatively uncommon condition. We excluded patients with a history of bladder cancer or concomitant bladder cancer, because the purpose of the present study was to investigate the risk factors for IVR after RNU for UTUC. In addition, this study was conducted in a single institution; therefore, the cohort in this study was small. Since the study was a retrospective analysis, there might be a

---

**Table 7** Risk factor analysis of progression in 3 years after RNU

| Variables                        | No progression | Progression | p-value |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------|
|                                  | n = 40 (%)     | n = 10 (%)  |         |
| Age (years)                      |                |             |         |
| ≥ 70                             | 24 (60.0)      | 7 (70.0)    | 0.7222  |
| Gender                           |                |             |         |
| Male                             | 29 (72.5)      | 8 (80.0)    | 1.0000  |
| Laterality                       |                |             |         |
| Right                            | 17 (42.5)      | 6 (60.0)    | 0.4804  |
| Location of main tumor           |                |             |         |
| Ureter                           | 19 (47.5)      | 6 (60.0)    | 0.7252  |
| Hydronephrosis                   |                |             |         |
| Yes                              | 12 (30.0)      | 3 (30.0)    | 1.0000  |
| Urine cytology                   |                |             |         |
| Positive                         | 19 (47.5)      | 5 (50.0)    | 1.0000  |
| Urinary BTA                      |                |             |         |
| Positive                         | 18 (45.0)      | 3 (30.0)    | 0.4880  |
| Urinary NMP22                    |                |             |         |
| Positive                         | 18 (45.0)      | 5 (50.0)    | 1.0000  |
| Diagnostic ureteroscopic biopsy  |                |             |         |
| Yes                              | 12 (30.0)      | 3 (30.0)    | 1.0000  |
| Multifocality                    |                |             |         |
| Multiple                         | 4 (10.0)       | 0 (0.0)     | 0.5710  |
| Tumor size (cm)                  |                |             |         |
| ≥ 3                              | 14 (35.0)      | 8 (80.0)    | 0.0836  |
| Pathological T stage             |                |             |         |
| ≥ 3                              | 8 (20.0)       | 8 (80.0)    | 0.0007* |
| Grade                            |                |             |         |
| 3                                | 22 (55.0)      | 9 (90.0)    | 0.0145* |
| LVI                              |                |             |         |
| Positive                         | 6 (15.0)       | 6 (60.0)    | 0.0073* |
| INF                              |                |             |         |
| b, c                             | 28 (70.0)      | 10 (100.0)  | 0.0920  |
| Pneumoretroperitoneum time (min) |                |             |         |
| ≥ 210                            | 20 (50.0)      | 5 (50.0)    | 1.0000  |
| Total operating time (min)       |                |             |         |
| ≥ 360                            | 19 (47.5)      | 5 (50.0)    | 1.0000  |
| ASC                              |                |             |         |
| Yes                              | 12 (30.0)      | 8 (80.0)    | 0.0088* |

BTA bladder tumor antigen, NMP22 nuclear mitotic apparatus protein, LVI lymphovascular invasion, INF infiltrative growth, ASC adjuvant systemic chemotherapy

*p < 0.05
selection bias for the surgeons. In this study, 13 surgeons performed the RUN procedure. However, three experienced surgeons who had performed more than 100 laparoscopic surgeries performed or supervised all of the RUN procedures. In addition, the rate of IVR incidence in our study was lower than that reported in previous studies. Based on these facts, we believe that the participation of inexperienced surgeons in RUN had little impact on the IVR rate in the present study. To reduce these limitations, prospective studies with larger cohorts from several institutions are required. Currently, lymphadenectomy is recommended for pathological T ≥ 2 UTUC. However, lymphadenectomy was not performed in this study. The reasons are that there are several discrepancies between the clinical T stage and the pathological T stage, and there are technical issues with retroperitoneoscopic lymphadenectomy. The lack of lymphadenectomy in this study might impact on PFS. In our institution, we performed open NU and lymphadenectomy only in cases suspected of visible lymph node metastasis on CT. There is an urgent need to improve the accuracy of diagnostic imaging for staging and lymphadenectomy for clinical T ≥ 2 UTUC. Finally, the risks of IVR logically related to the time from infusing CO₂ gas pressure to the clipping of the ureter. However, we were only able to collect the data on clipping time of the ureter for some patients using their operation and intraoperative nursing records. Therefore, it was difficult to analyze association between IVR after RNU and the time from infusing CO₂ gas pressure to the clipping of the ureter. Further studies analyzing the association between IVR after RNU and the time from infusing CO₂ gas pressure to the clipping of the ureter are required.

Conclusions
In UTUC, the occurrence of IVR in 1 year after RNU is highly probable when the pneumoretroperitoneum time is prolonged (≥ 210 min) and the occurrence of IVR in 3 years after RNU is highly probable in patients with positive urine cytology. Strict follow-up after RNU is more probable recommended for these patients.
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