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ABSTRACT

Introduction: European Society of Endocrinology (ESE) guidelines provide goals for hypoparathyroidism management but do not define characteristics of chronic hypoparathyroidism that is not adequately controlled. Three European country-specific Delphi panels were conducted to gain consensus on these characteristics.

Methods: Delphi panels were conducted in the UK, Sweden, and Portugal using similar methodology. At each round, panellists considered patients with chronic hypoparathyroidism whose disease is not adequately controlled on conventional therapy according to a matrix of four presentations of patients with chronic hypoparathyroidism: normal biochemical levels/well (group 1), abnormal biochemical levels/well (group 2), normal biochemical levels/unwell (group 3), and abnormal biochemical levels/unwell (group 4), with wellness defined by the patient’s persistent symptoms, comorbidities, and complications. For groups 2–4, panellists rated characteristics in five categories (patient characteristics, family history, comorbidities, biochemistry, and symptoms/impact on quality of life [QoL]) with respect to defining a patient as having chronic hypoparathyroidism that was not adequately controlled on conventional therapy. Consensus was achieved when more than 80% of respondents agreed.

Results: Among the three countries, panellists agreed that characteristics within four of the five categories (patient characteristics, comorbidities, biochemistry, and symptoms/impact on quality of life [QoL]) were important for defining inadequate control. Characteristics deemed important in groups 2–4 included a history of compliance problems and chronic kidney disease stages 4 and 5. In groups 2 and 4, the biochemical parameters deemed important were...
serum calcium, urinary calcium, and serum creatinine. In groups 3 and 4, tingling or numbness in the hands/feet and face was the only symptom deemed important in all three countries.

**Conclusion:** Delphi panels conducted in three European countries provided national consensus on key parameters of patient characteristics, biochemistry, comorbidities, and symptoms/impact on QoL that define not adequately controlled chronic hypoparathyroidism. These characteristics should be tested more widely for their applicability in clinical practice.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Chronic hypoparathyroidism is a rare endocrine disorder characterised by absent or inappropriately low levels of endogenous parathyroid hormone, resulting in impaired mineral homeostasis [1]. Many patients with hypoparathyroidism are managed with conventional therapy of orally administered calcium and active vitamin D [2–4]; however, some remain in a disease state that is not adequately controlled [2, 4, 5]. Despite conventional treatment, a subset of patients continues to experience symptoms of fatigue, muscle cramps, paraesthesia, and complications (e.g. nephrocalcinosis, chronic renal disease) that negatively affect quality of life (QoL) [1, 3, 6, 7].

Recent guidelines from the European Society of Endocrinology (ESE) [3] and the First International Conference on the Diagnosis, Management and Treatment of Hypoparathyroidism [4] outline recommendations for the diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring of chronic hypoparathyroidism in adults. The guidelines include general goals for the management of hypoparathyroidism but do not provide a standardised definition for inadequate control in patients treated with conventional therapy. Management guidelines are largely centred on maintaining appropriate biochemical levels, including serum calcium; 24-h urinary calcium; and serum phosphate, magnesium, and vitamin D. In addition, the patients who require high doses of orally administered calcium and/or vitamin D (e.g. > 2.5 g of calcium and/or > 1.5 μg of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 or > 3.0 μg alfacalcidol) to maintain serum calcium within an appropriate range are considered to have disease that is not adequately controlled [4]. Although biochemical levels and supplement dosing are important, the guidelines also emphasise the importance of recognising the symptoms, complications, and impact on QoL associated with chronic hypoparathyroidism. However, there is a lack of a European consensus regarding which symptoms and complications are relevant in defining patients whose disease is not adequately controlled. In addition, regional differences in patient management exist across healthcare systems. The objective of these three European country-specific Delphi panels was to obtain national consensus regarding the characteristics that define patients with chronic hypoparathyroidism whose disease is not adequately controlled on conventional therapy.

**METHODS**

**Patient Presentation Matrix**

Fourteen endocrinologists, endocrine surgeons, and nephrologists convened to provide a framework to characterise the severity and inadequate control of disease in clinical practice. These experts proposed a patient presentation matrix to define the severity of chronic hypoparathyroidism. The matrix uses two axes, biochemical levels and wellness (includes symptoms, comorbidities, and complications), to define four groupings of adult patients with hypoparathyroidism. This study did not take into account any effect of comedications related to hypoparathyroidism.

Abnormal biochemistry was defined as meeting any of the following criteria: albumen-adjusted serum calcium levels < 2.0 mmol/L on
at least 3–4 annual measurements despite ≥ 3 μg/day of active vitamin D; broad fluctuations in serum calcium concentrations without apparent cause but with considerable time outside the normal range; serum phosphate concentrations > 1.6 mmol/L; and 24-h urinary calcium excretion > 7.5 mmol/24 h in men and > 6.25 mmol/24 h in women.

Patient wellness was defined on the basis of the severity of hypoparathyroidism-related symptoms, comorbidities, and complications. Severity was classified into three categories: disease-specific symptoms and signs (those independently indicative of severe hypoparathyroidism; e.g. seizures, hypocalcaemic hospitalisations, progressing nephrocalcinosis, chronic kidney disease [CKD] stages 4 and 5, > 2.5 g orally administered calcium daily); disease-related symptoms and signs (those indicative of severe hypoparathyroidism if at least two appear together, occur repeatedly, and substantially impair QoL; e.g. paraesthesia, cramps, brain fog/memory loss, nonprogressing nephrocalcinosis, nonprogressing impaired estimated glomerular filtration rate); and general, nonspecific symptoms and signs (those indicative of severe hypoparathyroidism according to the physician’s discretion and taking the whole history of the patient into account [e.g. cardiac arrhythmias, anxiety, fatigue] and nonspecific comorbidities [e.g. obesity, hypertension, atherosclerosis, acute cardiovascular events, depression, malabsorption syndromes]).

According to the proposed patient presentation matrix (Fig. 1), the following four patient groupings were identified: group 1, patients with normal biochemistry who feel well; group 2, patients with abnormal biochemistry who feel well; group 3, patients with normal biochemistry who feel unwell; and group 4, patients with abnormal biochemistry who feel unwell.

Study Design

The Delphi panel method is a group facilitation technique designed to achieve agreement by group consensus [8, 9]. The Delphi technique aims to attain confluence of opinion from knowledge-area experts using iterative but anonymous voting to assess group opinion. Overall and individual results from each round of voting are reviewed by Delphi panellists before the initiation of subsequent voting rounds.

Delphi panels were employed in the UK, Sweden, and Portugal to determine the characteristics of patients with chronic hypoparathyroidism that is not adequately controlled on conventional therapy, according to the patient presentation matrix. In all three countries, consensus via the Delphi method was sought through a series of structured rounds, conducted either as a face-to-face meeting or as an electronic self-administered questionnaire. In the UK, a three-round process was used comprising a face-to-face meeting followed by two rounds of an electronic, self-administered questionnaire. In Sweden, a modified two-round process was used in which the electronic questionnaire was administered first, followed by a face-to-face discussion in which areas of agreement and disagreement were explored (no rating of characteristics occurred). After the panel discussion, a second questionnaire was administered. A two-round process was used in Portugal consisting of a face-to-face meeting, followed by an electronic self-administered questionnaire. At each Delphi round, consensus was defined as at least 80% of respondents in agreement. All three Delphi panels were conducted sequentially and independently, and
were blinded to each other. The study did not involve any interventions with human participants and are therefore exempt from institutional review board approval. All panellists consented to participate in the Delphi panels and complete the survey. However, as all data were anonymised and aggregated, and as individual responses could not be identified or attributed to the panellists, consent for publication was not required.

**Participants**

A total of 20 participants with expertise in hypoparathyroidism were invited to serve on the Delphi panels. Panellists were invited by vendors facilitating the Delphi panels (UK: OPEN VIE, an OPEN Health company, Marlow; Portugal: Exigo Consultores, Lisbon; Sweden: PAREXEL International, Stockholm). Because hypoparathyroidism is a rare disease with a small number of medical experts, Shire, a member of the Takeda group of companies, provided vendors with a list of hospitals with known expertise in treating hypoparathyroidism or names of physicians with demonstrated experience managing hypoparathyroidism, a publication history related to hypoparathyroidism, or current participation in advocacy groups for patients with hypoparathyroidism. In the UK, Shire directly approached all experts on hypoparathyroidism who were then invited by vendors. All participants received a modest financial incentive for participation offered by the study sponsor. Shire was blinded to panellist responses. In the UK, 10 endocrinologists from across the country participated. In Sweden, five clinical endocrinologists active at university hospitals throughout Sweden were recruited. In Portugal, participants included four endocrinologists and one endocrine surgeon.

**Questionnaire and Panel Interaction**

The first panel was conducted in the UK. The questionnaire was developed by identifying variables associated with chronic hypoparathyroidism based on the published literature and later validated in interviews with clinical experts; patient perspective was captured by taking key descriptors from the patient support and advocacy website Hypopara UK (www.hypopara.org.uk). To ensure that the terminology included in the questionnaire was relevant to patients, for the first round in the UK a patient expert was engaged face-to-face to provide input. The UK questionnaire was then used in Sweden and Portugal following validation from a local country perspective. A copy of the full questionnaire used in Sweden is provided as an example (Table S1 in the supplementary material).

In all three countries, participants were asked to consider the level of importance of various characteristics for defining chronic hypoparathyroidism that is not adequately controlled on conventional therapy for groups 2–4 in the patient presentation matrix. In the UK, characteristics were rated on a five-point Likert scale during round one, a three-point Likert scale during round two, and a binary response during round three. In Sweden, characteristics were rated on a five-point Likert scale during round one and a three-point Likert scale during round two. In Portugal, characteristics were rated on a five-point Likert scale during round one. In round two, characteristics for which consensus was obtained in round one were rated on a binary response scale (“agree” or “disagree”); characteristics for which consensus was not obtained in round one were rated on a three-point Likert scale. For several questions, the electronic self-administered questionnaires also included an open field for participants to expand on their responses. Characteristics were grouped into the following categories: patient characteristics, family history, comorbidities, biochemistry, and patient-reported symptoms/impact on QoL.

**Data Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used and tabulation of data is presented in this manuscript.
RESULTS

Characteristics of Patients with Chronic Hypoparathyroidism Not Adequately Controlled with Conventional Therapy

The Delphi panellists’ estimated proportion of patients with chronic hypoparathyroidism across the patient presentation matrix is shown in Table 1. The characteristics that achieved consensus as “very important” or “important” when considering a patient whose disease is not adequately controlled on conventional therapy are shown in Table S2 (supplementary material). None of the characteristics deemed “very important” were common within the three countries for groups 2–4 of the patient presentation matrix. However, in group 4 (patients with abnormal biochemistry who felt unwell), serum calcium was found to be “very important” in the UK and Sweden, and gastrointestinal disorder associated with malabsorption was “very important” in Sweden and Portugal.

Commonalities in characteristics deemed “very important” or “important” in all three countries for groups 2–4 of the patient presentation matrix are shown in Fig. 2. In group 2 (patients with abnormal biochemistry who felt well), commonalities were found in the patient characteristics, comorbidities, and biochemistry categories; no symptom characteristics were deemed “important” because the wellness status of these patients was considered normal. In group 3 (patients with normal biochemistry who felt unwell), one commonality each was found in the categories of patient characteristics (history of compliance problems) and patient-reported symptoms/impact on QoL (tingling or numbness in the hands or feet and in the face); no biochemical characteristics were deemed “important” because the biochemical status of these patients was considered normal. In group 4 (patients with abnormal biochemistry who felt unwell), commonalities were found in the patient characteristics, comorbidities, biochemistry, and patient-reported symptoms/impact on QoL categories. In groups 2 and 4, four specific renal comorbidities (stone risk, CKD stages 4 and 5, renal calcifications, and hypercalciuria) were identified as “very important” or “important,” whereas in group 3 no renal comorbidities were identified in all three countries. Although family history of endocrine disorders was deemed an “important” characteristic in groups 2–4 within Sweden, this was not the case in the other two countries.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first publication reporting the results of Delphi panels

| Patient presentation matrix | Estimated proportion of patients, % |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                            | UK       | Sweden   | Portugal |
| Group 1                    | 62       | 59       | 68        |
| Group 2                    | 13       | 10       | 15        |
| Group 3                    | 14       | 15       | 8         |
| Group 4                    | 12       | 7        | 9         |
| Unknown                    | –        | 9        | –         |

Panellists provided the level of importance of characteristics for defining chronic hypoparathyroidism that is not adequately controlled on conventional therapy for groups 2–4; however, the patient presentation matrix is a tool to assist physicians in the definition of “not adequately controlled” and it does not infer that all patients in groups 2–4 are not adequately controlled as these are only estimates provided by the Delphi panellists.
conducted independently, but sharing similar methodology, to obtain consensus regarding the characteristics that define patients with chronic hypoparathyroidism whose disease is not adequately controlled on conventional therapy. Despite the lack of a consensus definition for not adequately controlled chronic hypoparathyroidism, in clinical practice it is often assessed on the basis of biochemistry, specifically maintenance of serum calcium within a target range [3, 4]. However, mineral homeostasis extends beyond control of serum calcium [3], and clinical evaluation of patients with hypoparathyroidism must consider more than just biochemistry. Interestingly, Al-Sharefi et al. recently reported the applicability of a “no calcium” regimen in a cohort of 24 patients with hypoparathyroidism who had previously experienced adverse effects from calcium supplements [10]. However, there is a discernible difference between the two studies. The cohort of patients in Al-Sharefi et al. appears to be not well managed whereas the focus of this manuscript is patients with chronic hypoparathyroidism that is not adequately controlled with conventional therapy. Nevertheless, these results corroborate previous findings that chronic hypoparathyroidism managed with conventional therapy (i.e. orally administered calcium and/or supplementation with active forms of vitamin D) may exacerbate the risk of hypercalciuria and ectopic soft tissue calcification, including nephrolithiasis and nephrocalcinosis [4, 11–13]. Defining disease control or severity in hypoparathyroidism is challenging, in part because it can refer to either the current status of the patient or the prognosis of the patient. In addition, disease severity is subjective, given that patients experience symptoms differently, and is multifactorial, in that comorbidities can substantially affect the severity of the condition.

In an effort to provide a clinically applicable means of defining chronic hypoparathyroidism that is not adequately controlled, a group of clinicians with expertise in hypoparathyroidism

| Group 1 | Normal biochemical levels, well |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| Patient Characteristics | - History of compliance problems |
| Comorbidities | - Stone risk or preexisting renal stones |
| Biochemistry | - Serum calcium |
| Patient-Reported Symptoms/Impact on QoL | - Tingling or numbness in hands or feet |

| Group 2 | Abnormal biochemical levels, well |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| Patient Characteristics | - History of compliance problems |
| Comorbidities | - Stone risk or preexisting renal stones |
| Biochemistry | - Serum calcium |
| Patient-Reported Symptoms/Impact on QoL | - Tingling or numbness in hands or feet |

| Group 3 | Normal biochemical levels, unwell |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| Patient Characteristics | - History of compliance problems |
| Comorbidities | - GI disorder associated with malabsorption |
| Biochemistry | - Serum calcium |
| Patient-Reported Symptoms/Impact on QoL | - Tingling or numbness in hands or feet |

| Group 4 | Abnormal biochemical levels, unwell |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| Patient Characteristics | - History of compliance problems |
| Comorbidities | - GI disorder associated with malabsorption |
| Biochemistry | - Serum calcium |
| Patient-Reported Symptoms/Impact on QoL | - Tingling or numbness in hands or feet |

### Biochemical Levels

| CKD chronic kidney disease, GFR glomerular filtration rate, GI gastrointestinal, QoL quality of life. aDefined as GFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m² on dialysis |
developed the patient presentation matrix (Fig. 1). This matrix of four quadrants combines the biochemistry, symptoms, comorbidities, complications, and medication burden dimensions of the disease to create a tool that is consistent with the general management goals of chronic hypoparathyroidism outlined in the European guidelines [3, 4] while also providing a framework that begins to differentiate severity within the patient population. Using the Delphi method, we sought characteristics of patients with chronic hypoparathyroidism that is not adequately controlled on conventional therapy for groups 2–4 of the matrix.

Specific characteristics that were considered “very important” or “important” in defining patients who are not adequately controlled on conventional therapy varied within the three countries for groups 2–4, which may reflect country-specific considerations for chronic hypoparathyroidism. Nonetheless, characteristics that achieved consensus as “very important” or “important” included factors related to patient characteristics, comorbidities, biochemistry, and patient-reported symptoms/impact on QoL. The only patient characteristic common in all three countries in all three patient groups was history of compliance problems.

In groups 2 and 4, comorbidities pertaining to renal function were found to be “very important” or “important” characteristics in all three countries in defining chronic hypoparathyroidism that was not adequately controlled. The importance of renal comorbidities is consistent with the current guidelines for treatment goals of hypoparathyroidism [3, 4], which aim to avoid renal complications, including hypercalciuria, impaired renal function, nephrolithiasis, and calcifications.

In groups 2 and 4, serum calcium, urinary calcium, and serum creatinine were found to be “very important” or “important” biochemical characteristics that helped define chronic hypoparathyroidism that was not adequately controlled in all three countries. No biochemical characteristics were deemed “important” in group 3 within all three countries because these patients had normal biochemical levels. Routine monitoring of serum calcium, urinary calcium, and creatinine is consistent with the current treatment guidelines [3, 4]. In groups 2 and 4, the importance of serum phosphate and calcium–phosphate product as characteristics that define chronic hypoparathyroidism that is not adequately controlled on conventional therapy varied by country [3]. Portugal was the only country in which both of these parameters were deemed “important” in groups 2 and 4. In Sweden, serum phosphate was deemed “important” in group 2 and “very important” in group 4, whereas in the UK, neither parameter achieved consensus as “important” or “very important.” Differences among the three countries with regard to the importance of phosphate and calcium–phosphate product in defining chronic hypoparathyroidism that was not adequately controlled may reflect traditional disease management with conventional therapy of orally administered calcium supplements and active vitamin D; conventional treatment focuses on serum calcium control but does not fully address overall mineral homeostasis, including that of phosphate, which is under the physiological regulation of endogenous parathyroid hormone [14, 15]. According to ESE guidelines [3], disease management strategies should focus on how to better achieve normal mineral homeostasis, beyond just maintaining serum calcium control. Although consensus within the three countries was not reached regarding the importance of serum phosphate, some patients might fail to meet biochemical targets defined by the ESE guidelines for this parameter [5], highlighting the need to monitor serum phosphate in clinical practice.

In groups 3 and 4, tingling or numbness in the hands or feet and in the face was found to be a “very important” or “important” symptom characteristic that defines disease that is not adequately controlled in all three countries. In group 4, twitching or trembling muscles was also found to be “important”. According to the ESE guidelines [3], patients with hypoparathyroidism should be educated regarding symptoms of paraesthesia and spasms/twitches because they are associated with hypocalcaemia. These findings highlight the importance of incorporating patient-reported symptoms into disease management strategies.
symptomatology into disease characterisation and management.

Collectively, these findings stand to inform an area of clinical practice that is currently limited in information. The patient presentation matrix provides a clinically relevant framework to assess each individual case. In particular, the biochemical parameters on the x-axis are measurable and their normal ranges are derived from the existing guidelines, and the wellness parameters on the y-axis can also be objectively assessed and measured. In this study, consensus was gained on identified characteristics for defining not adequately controlled chronic hypoparathyroidism, and these characteristics were aligned to the four quadrants in the patient presentation matrix. Overall, this approach provides a preliminary framework which can be further refined in real-world clinical settings; it could be used by clinicians for monitoring patients and to aid in treatment decision-making.

This analysis is not without limitations. First, the findings reported here may not be accurate for all patients, and consensus does not necessarily imply correctness. Although chronic hypoparathyroidism is a rare disease, the selection of participants was subjective and thus may lend itself to bias. Additionally, although the overall methodology was similar for all three countries, there were some minor differences in the design of the three panels. The use of an open field in some of the questionnaire responses may also have introduced bias by the study researcher during interpretation of the findings. Furthermore, Delphi panellists were asked to estimate the percentage of patients in each quadrant of the patient presentation matrix which does not constitute a formal epidemiological study. In addition, the patient presentation matrix is a tool to assist physicians in the definition of “not adequately controlled” and it does not infer that all patients in groups 2, 3, and 4 are not adequately controlled as these are only estimates provided by the Delphi panellists. A final limitation is that expert opinion, even consensus expert opinion, does not rank high in the hierarchy of clinical evidence [16, 17]. Despite these caveats, the Delphi method is well established for accommodating the input of a range of experts across widespread geographic areas and generating consensus on complex multidisciplinary topics [9]. Specific to this study, good participation rates from national experts enabled us to demonstrate agreement on characteristics of not adequately controlled chronic hypoparathyroidism, addressing a knowledge gap in the published literature.

CONCLUSION

Delphi panels conducted in these three countries provide a national consensus around characteristics that define patients with chronic hypoparathyroidism that is not adequately controlled on conventional therapy with calcium and active vitamin D. General consensus was achieved among the experts within the three countries on key parameters of patient characteristics (groups 2–4: history of compliance problems), biochemistry (groups 2 and 4: serum calcium, urinary calcium, and serum creatinine), comorbidities (groups 2 and 4: CKD stages 4 and 5, stone risk, renal calcifications, hypercalciuria; group 4 only: gastrointestinal disorder associated with malabsorption), and patient-reported symptoms/impact on QoL (group 3 and 4: tingling or numbness in the hands or feet and in the face; group 4 only: twitching or trembling muscles). In particular, consensus was reached regarding the high importance of serum calcium level in determining chronic hypoparathyroidism that was not adequately controlled. The list of characteristics derived from the Delphi panels should be tested more widely for their applicability in clinical practice.
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