GUP corrections to the Dirac oscillator in the external magnetic field
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Abstract – We have studied the (2+1)-dimensional Dirac oscillator (DO) in an external magnetic field in the framework of the generalized uncertainty principle (GUP). We have calculated the perturbative corrections for the first few energy levels. We show that the infinite degeneracy of lowest Landau level is partially lifted due to GUP correction and obtain a critical value of the magnetic field for which there is no GUP correction and the DO stops oscillating.
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Introduction. – According to the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle (HUP) the position and momentum cannot be measured simultaneously and arbitrarily accurate. In HUP, it is assumed that the position continuously varies from \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\). However, with the emergence of various theories on quantum gravity and black hole physics, it has been predicted the existence of a certain minimum measurable length called the Planck length \((l_{pl} \approx 10^{-35}\text{ m})\) [1–7]. The restriction \(\Delta x \geq l_{pl}\) leads to the modification in HUP, known as the generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) [4,8–12]. The modified commutation relations for the GUP, which are consistent with string theories, black hole physics, doubly special relativity (DSR), etc., theories, have been constructed in various ways [6,11,13–16]. The simplest and commonly used commutation relation in GUP is

\[
[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar \left( \delta_{ij} - a \left( p_0 \delta_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p} \right) \right) + a^2 \left( p^2 \delta_{ij} + 3p_i p_j \right)...
\]

\(\Delta x \geq l_{pl}\) is the momentum operator at low energies. \(\Delta x = 0\), \(\bar{p} = 0\).

where \(a = \frac{\alpha}{M_{pl} c} = \frac{\alpha c}{\hbar} \). \(M_{pl}\) is the Planck mass and \(M_{pl} c^2 \approx 10^{19}\text{ GeV}\) is the Planck energy. The order of \(a\) is assumed to be unity. The generalized commutation relation in eq. (1) can be expressed equivalently as [7]

\[
[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar (\delta_{ij} + a \delta_{ij} p^2 + 2a p_i p_j),
\]

where \(p^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{3} p_j p_j\). The position and momentum operators in GUP have been further expressed as follows:

\[
[x_i, x_j] = 0, \quad [p_i, p_j] = 0,
\]

and \(\Delta x \geq l_{pl}\).

where \(p_0\) is the momentum operator at low energies. \(x_0\), and \(p_0\) satisfy the usual canonical commutation relations \(\{x_0, p_0\} = i\hbar\delta_{ij}\). The position operator \(x_i\) and momentum operator \(p_i\) in GUP are known as high energy, position and momentum operators, respectively. \(a\)-dependent terms in eq. (3) become crucial only at momentum (energy) scale comparable to Planck energy scales.

GUP and its consequences have been extensively studied over the last few years [3,14,17–42]. Klein-Gordon [30] and Dirac equations [22,31,36–38] have been modified under GUP corrections. The modified Dirac equation has found its application in graphene [43]. GUP has found wide applications in various non-relativistic quantum systems, such as a particle in a box, simple harmonic oscillator, Landau levels [7,32,39,44], coherent and squeezed states [26–29], PT symmetric non-Hermitian systems [14,23–25], Schwinger’s model of angular momentum [45,46], etc. GUP has led to the discretization of time which resembles a crystal lattice in time known as
The Dirac Hamiltonian for a free particle with a linear oscillator by Moshinsky and Szczepaniak [72].oscillator with a strong spin orbit coupling and so referred to as Dirac oscillator. In the non-relativistic limit, it reduces to a harmonic potential. This has also been studied in the gravitational wave event [63]. The consequences of GUP have been extended to field theories as well [64–68], such as non-local field theories [64], no cloning theorem [65] and Lifshitz field theories [66], supersymmetric field theories [67] and topological defects in deformed gauge theory [68]. The path integral approach to quantization has also been studied [69]. The supersymmetry breaking has been found as a new source of the GUP and the relation between GUP and Lee-Wick field theories has been established [70].

Ito et al. [71] studied the Dirac equation by adding a linear harmonic potential \(-im\omega\beta r\) and later it was found that in the non-relativistic limit, it reduces to a harmonic oscillator with a strong spin orbit coupling and so referred to as Dirac oscillator by Moshinsky and Szczepaniak [72]. The Dirac Hamiltonian for a free particle with a linear harmonic potential term is written as

\[ H = c\alpha \cdot (p - im\omega\beta r) + \beta mc^2. \]  

\[ H = c\alpha \cdot (p - im\omega\beta r) + \beta mc^2. \]  

\(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are the usual Dirac matrices. \(m, c\) and \(\omega\) are the rest mass of the particle, speed of light and oscillator frequency, respectively. The Dirac oscillator has wide applications in various branches of physics [73–90]. In the recent years, the Dirac oscillator has been studied in the framework of GUP correction. It has been shown that the Dirac oscillator in a magnetic field with GUP corrections in ordinary quantum mechanics has a single left-right chiral quantum phase transition. The existence of GUP correction modifies the degeneracy of states and some of the states do not exist in the ordinary quantum mechanics limit [22,91].

In this letter, we solve the \((2 + 1)\)-dimensional Dirac equation in the presence of an external magnetic field with GUP correction using the perturbation theory and we investigate the behaviour of the system at critically high magnetic field. For that purpose, we first calculate the modified Hamiltonian for DO in the framework of GUP up to first-order corrections. The additional momentum-dependent term arises due to GUP correction, then it deals with perturbation. Degeneracy of Landau levels increases due to GUP corrections. We obtain a critical value of magnetic field for which there are no GUP corrections and the dynamics of DO stops.

In an earlier work [92], we showed that the \((2 + 1)\)-dimensional Dirac oscillator in an external magnetic field can be mapped onto the Dirac oscillator without magnetic field but with the reduced angular frequency given by the following equation [92,93]:

\[ H = c\alpha \cdot (p - im\tilde{\omega}\beta r) + \beta mc^2, \]

where \(\tilde{\omega} = \omega - \frac{\omega_c}{2}\). The Dirac Hamiltonian in eq. (6) can be expressed in the matrix form as

\[ H = \begin{pmatrix} mc^2 & 2cp_z + im\tilde{\omega}ez \\ 2cp_z + im\tilde{\omega}ez & -mc^2 \end{pmatrix}, \]

where the angular frequency \(\tilde{\omega}\) is reduced by half the value of cyclotron frequency \(\omega_c = \frac{eB}{mc}\). The momentum \(p\) in the above equation is the high energy momenta. The magnetic field \(B\) is taken along the \(z\)-direction for convenience. \(A\) is the vector potential chosen in the symmetric gauge as \(A = (-\frac{B}{2}y, \frac{B}{2}x, 0)\) and \(e\) is the charge of the Dirac oscillator. The Dirac Hamiltonian in eq. (6) up to the first order in \(a\) can be expressed as [94]

\[ H = c\alpha \cdot (p - im\tilde{\omega}\beta r) + \beta mc^2\psi, \]

\[ = [\epsilon (\alpha \cdot px - a(\alpha \cdot px)(\alpha \cdot px)) - \alpha \cdot (im\tilde{\omega}\beta r)]\psi. \]

\[ = E\psi. \]

We drop the subscript from the position and momentum operator and henceforth all the variables are low-energy variables which satisfy usual commutation relations.

The usual Hamiltonian in eq. (6) with the GUP correction can be expressed as the following Hamiltonian:

\[ H = \begin{pmatrix} mc^2 - acp^2 & 2cp_z + im\tilde{\omega}ez \\ 2cp_z + im\tilde{\omega}ez & -mc^2 - acp^2 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ = \begin{pmatrix} mc^2 & 2cp_z + im\tilde{\omega}ez \\ 2cp_z + im\tilde{\omega}ez & -mc^2 \end{pmatrix} - a \begin{pmatrix} cp^2 & 0 \\ 0 & cp^2 \end{pmatrix}, \]

where the two component \(|\psi\rangle = (|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle)\). The above Hamiltonian can be expressed as

\[ H = H_0 + H', \]

where \(H_0\) is the usual Hamiltonian. The Dirac equation for \(H_0\) has been solved by writing \(|\psi\rangle = (|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle)\) and

\[ H' = - \begin{pmatrix} acp^2 & 0 \\ 0 & acp^2 \end{pmatrix}, \]

is dealt with perturbative techniques. The normalized negative and positive energy states for \(H_0\) are given by [92]

\[ |\psi_n^\pm\rangle = c_n^\pm |n; \frac{1}{2}\rangle + d_n^\pm |n - 1; -\frac{1}{2}\rangle. \]
where the coefficients $c_{n}^{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{E_n^{\pm} \pm mc^2 \over 2E_n}$ and $a_n^{\pm} = \sqrt{E_n^{\pm} \pm mc^2 \over 2E_n}$. In eq. (14), the notation adopted is $|n, {1\over 2}m_z\rangle \approx \psi_n(z, \bar{z}) \Phi_m^z$. $\psi_n(z, \bar{z})$ is the spatial part of the wave function, whereas $\Phi_m^z$ is the spin part of the wave function. $n$ is the eigenvalue of the number operator $a^+a$ and $m_z = \pm 1$ are the eigenvalues of the spin operator $\sigma_z$. When the creation and annihilation operators are defined as [92]

$$a = {1 \over \sqrt{mc^2}} p_z - {i \over 2} \sqrt{mc^2 \over h} z,$$

$$a^\dagger = {1 \over \sqrt{mc^2}} p_z - {i \over 2} \sqrt{mc^2 \over h} \bar{z},$$

(15)

the relativistic Landau levels due to the unperturbed Hamiltonian $H_0$ are given by [92]

$$E_n^{\pm} = \pm mc^2 \sqrt{1 + 4(\hbar \bar{\omega} \pm mc^2 \omega) n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \ldots$$

(16)

For $n = 0$, the state is $|\psi_0^{\pm}\rangle = c_0^{\pm}|0, {1\over 2}\rangle$. The GUP corrected part $H'$ can be dealt with perturbative techniques as it is proportional to a which is extremely small. Using eq. (8) and eq. (15), $p^2$ can be expressed as

$$4p_z p_z = 2m\bar{\omega} \left[ a^\dagger a + aa^\dagger - {m\bar{\omega} \over 2\hbar} z \bar{z} + \bar{L}_z \right].$$

(17)

The first-order correction to the $n$-th state is given by

$$\langle \psi_n | 4p_z p_z | \psi_n \rangle = 2m\bar{\omega} \langle \psi_n | a^\dagger a + aa^\dagger | \psi_n \rangle - \langle m\bar{\omega} \rangle^2 \langle \psi_n | z \bar{z} | \psi_n \rangle + 2m\bar{\omega} \langle \psi_n | \bar{L}_z | \psi_n \rangle.$$  

(18)

It is difficult to obtain a general expression for the correction in the $n$-th level due to degeneracy of the levels $n \geq 2$.

The ground-state energy correction arising out of the perturbative Hamiltonian $H'$ for $n = 0$ in eq. (18) can be obtained as

$$\langle \psi_0 | a(c p^2) | \psi_0 \rangle = ac_0^{\pm} \langle \psi_0 | a^\dagger a + aa^\dagger | \psi_0 \rangle - \langle m\bar{\omega} \rangle^2 \langle \psi_0 | z \bar{z} | \psi_0 \rangle.$$  

(19)

For the ground state $l = 0$, hence there is no contribution from the last term. The space part of the ground-state wave function $\psi_0 = C_0 \exp{\mp i\bar{\omega} z \bar{z}}$ is used to calculate the middle term in eq. (18). The correction to the ground state is then given by

$$E_0' = -acm\bar{\omega}.$$  

(20)

Using eqs. (14) and (18), one can obtain the energy correction for the first excited state as

$$\langle \psi_1 | 4p_z p_z | \psi_1 \rangle = -{5m\bar{\omega} \over 2} - 2m\bar{\omega} \langle \psi_1 | \bar{L}_z | \psi_1 \rangle,$$

$$E_1' = -{5acm\bar{\omega} \over 2}.$$  

(21)

(22)

The second excited state is a 4-fold degenerate, therefore we need to use the degenerate perturbation theory to calculate the correction for these states. We write the matrix as

see eq. (23) above

The perturbative energy corrections are obtained by diagonalizing the above matrix. The energy eigenvalues obtained are

$$E_2^{(1)} = -8.7308acm\bar{\omega}; \quad E_2^{(2)} = -8acm\bar{\omega};$$

$$E_2^{(3)} = -7.3192acm\bar{\omega}; \quad E_2^{(4)} = 2.05acm\bar{\omega}.$$  

(24)

The corresponding eigenvectors are as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\langle \psi_2_1 | \\
\langle \psi_2_2 | \\
\langle \psi_2_3 | \\
\langle \psi_2_4 |
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
2.36839 & 2.36839 & -6.42909 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-0.468884 & -0.468884 & -0.189917 & 1 \\
0.900498 & 0.900498 & 0.819005 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\times
\begin{pmatrix}
\langle \psi_2_0 | \\
\langle \psi_2_1 | \\
\langle \psi_2_3 | \\
\langle \psi_2_4 |
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

(25)

In conclusion, we have studied the consequences of the generalized uncertainty principle in the modified $(2+1)$-dimensional Dirac oscillator in the presence of a magnetic field. The perturbative corrections up to the first few energy levels have been explicitly calculated. The degeneracy of Landau levels are partially lifted due to GUP corrections. An interesting situation occurs for a critical value of the magnetic field, i.e., $B = {2mc \over |\bar{\omega}|}$, when $\bar{\omega} = 0$.  

30004-p3
The Dirac oscillator stops oscillating even with the GUP corrections. Recently the discreteness of space is shown to arise in the Schwarzschild metric due to the GUP correction but still we do not have it in the most generic curved space time [95,96]. The Dirac oscillator in the context of the gravity rainbow has been studied where the modified energy levels have been obtained [97]. It would be very interesting to extend our approach to the most generic curved space time and to the gravity rainbow for future studies.
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