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Abstract: Sound-absorbing boundaries can attenuate noise propagation in practical long spaces, but fast and accurate sound field modeling in this situation is still difficult. This paper presents a coherent image source model for simple yet accurate prediction of the sound field in long enclosures with a sound absorbing ceiling. In the proposed model, the reflections on the absorbent boundary are separated from those on reflective ones during evaluating reflection coefficients. The model is compared with the classic wave theory, an existing coherent image source model and a scale-model experiment. The results show that the proposed model provides remarkable accuracy advantage over the existing models yet is fast for sound prediction in long spaces.
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1. Introduction

Sound prediction is very important for design of practical long spaces such as traffic tunnels and subway stations to evaluate acoustical qualities such as speech intelligibility of public address systems [1]. For noise control in such long spaces, it is often the case to apply acoustical liners to the space ceiling for larger noise attenuation. Regarding sound prediction in such spaces, classic room acoustics formulas are unsatisfactory [2,3] because the sound field is not diffused due to the extreme dimensions. The commonly used incoherent geometrical acoustics models [4–8] cannot account for the interference between multiple sound reflections on impedance boundaries, which were experimentally observed to be distinct and can notably affect the sound prediction accuracy in this situation [3,9,10], especially at lower frequencies and in early parts of the impulse response [10].

For the coherent geometrical acoustics models, Li et al. developed a numerical model for coherent sound prediction inside long spaces [3,11] and afterwards applied this prediction model into full-scale tunnels [9] and a long space with impedance discontinuities [12]. It was shown that their coherent prediction model provides much better prediction accuracy than the usual incoherent ones for long spaces with reflective boundaries. However, for applications with sound-absorbing boundaries, the applicability of their model may be limited. The numerical model of Li et al. [3] originated from a coherent image source method by Lemire and Nicolas [13], in which it is implicitly assumed that the wave front shapes remain spherical during each successive reflection of the initial spherical wave radiation [13]. This assumption may hardly hold for reflections on sound-absorbing boundaries.

Recently, Min et al. [14] proposed a coherent image source method for fast yet accurate sound prediction in flat spaces with absorbent boundaries. They proposed different reflection coefficients to evaluate the reflections on the absorbent and reflective
boundaries, which avoids the prediction difficulties with absorbent boundaries in the method of Lemire and Nicolas. Unfortunately, their model is currently limited to spaces with two parallel infinite boundaries in theory.

Upon reviewing the studies above, there is still the problem of sound prediction in long spaces with sound-absorbing boundaries for practical noise control. In this paper, a coherent image source model is extended and examined theoretically and experimentally for fast yet accurate sound prediction in long spaces with sound-absorbing boundaries.

2. Theoretical Method

Figure 1 shows the cross-sectional geometry of a long rectangular space with a height of \( H \) and width \( W \). For simplicity, four boundaries in this space, the ceiling, ground, and right and left walls, are assumed to be locally reactive with a uniform normalized specific admittance of \( \beta_s, \beta_y, \beta_r \), and \( \beta_w \) respectively. The ceiling is defined to be sound absorptive with a relatively high sound absorption coefficient, while other boundaries are sound reflective with a relatively low absorption coefficient. The space extends infinitely along the \( y \)-direction as a typical case and a point source is located at \((x, 0, z)\) and a receiver is located at \((x, y, z)\) inside.

![Figure 1. Cross-sectional geometry of a long rectangular space with height \( H \) and width \( W \), and the image sources formed by multiple reflections on its four boundaries.](image)

To model the sound field, we first assume that \( kW \gg 1 \) and \( kH \gg 1 \) (with \( k \) for the wavenumber) so that the boundaries may be considered infinity for each sound reflection on them [13]. The total sound pressure field at receiver can be approximated as a summation of successive sound reflections on four boundaries:

\[
    P_{\text{tot}} \approx \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} P_{n,m}
\]  

(1)

where \( n, m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \), and \( P_{n,m} \) represents the sound field contribution from the \((n, m)\)-th order image source, in which a positive \( n \) (or \( m \)) is for an image source located above the ceiling (or rightwards from the right wall) while a negative \( n \) (or \( m \)) is for that located below the floor (or leftwards from the left wall), as shown in Figure 1. Particularly, \( P_{0,0} \) denotes the direct sound from the real source \( S_{0,0} \). Based on the assumption of
where $n_g$, $m_l$, $m_r$, and $m_r$ are used to count reflection times on the ground, ceiling, and left and right walls in the path from $S_{n,m}$ to the receiver, respectively. They can be determined from the order $(n, m)$ by

$$
n_{g,l} = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(n) \text{rem}(n,2)$$

$$m_{l,r} = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor + \frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(m) \text{rem}(m,2)
$$

In Equation (2), $R_{n,m} = (R_{n,m} \sin \theta_{n,m}, \cos \phi_{n,m}, R_{n,m} \cos \theta_{n,m}, R_{n,m} \cos \theta_{n,m})$ represents the distance vector from $S_{n,m}$ to the receiver, with explicit azimuth angles $\theta_{n,m}$ and $\phi_{n,m}$, $V_g(\theta)$ and $V_c(\theta)$ are the plane wave reflection coefficients on the “infinite” ground and ceiling with the incidence angle $\theta$, respectively, while $V(\alpha)$ and $V(\alpha)$ are those on the left and right walls with the incidence angle $\alpha = \pi/2 - \theta$, respectively. These plane wave reflection coefficients can be correspondingly evaluated by [16]

$$V_{g,c,r,l}(\zeta) = \frac{\cos \zeta - \beta_{g,c,r,l}}{\cos \zeta + \beta_{g,c,r,l}}$$

Through an identical mathematical transformation similar to that in Equations (8-12) in Ref. [14], the evaluation of $P_{n,m}$ in Equation (2) can be simplified as

$$P_{n,m} \approx \frac{jk}{8\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi/2} V(\theta) \sin \theta \cdot H_0^1(kr \sin \theta) e^{j R_{n,m} \cos \theta \cos \theta_{n,m}} \, d\theta$$

where $V(\theta)$ represents the term $[V_g(\theta)]^{n_l} [V_c(\theta)]^{n_c} [V_l(\alpha)]^{m_l} [V_r(\alpha)]^{m_r}$, $r = R_{n,m} \sin \theta_{n,m}$, and $H_0^1(.)$ is the first Hankel function with zero-th order. In Equation (5), the ray field from single reflection on the reflective boundaries, $P_{-1,0}$ for example, can be further evaluated as [13,17]

$$P_{-1,0} = Q_{ref}(S_{-1,0} \mid GB) \cdot e^{j R_{-1,0}}$$

where $Q_{ref}(S_{-1,0} \mid GB)$ represents the single reflection coefficient on the reflective ground boundary (GB) and is evaluated as [13,17]

$$Q_{ref}(S_{-1,0} \mid GB) = V_g(\theta_{-1,0}) + [1 - V_g(\theta_{-1,0})]F(w_n),$$

in which

$$F(w_n) = 1 + j \sqrt{\pi} \cdot w_n \cdot g(w_n),$$

$$w_n = \sqrt{kR_{-1,0} \cdot \frac{1 + j}{2} \cdot (\cos \theta_{-1,0} + \beta_g)},$$

$$g(w_n) = e^{-w_n^2} \text{erfc}(j w_n).$$

Further analytical approximation of $g(w_n)$ is available in Ref. [18].
It was shown that the wave front shape before and after each reflection on the reflective boundaries can almost remain the same [14,19]. This suggests that single reflection coefficients $Q_{nj}$ shall be weakly dependent on $\theta$ and be almost uniform for different spatial parts of incident wave fronts of any shapes [14]. Accordingly, during the ray propagation from $S_{n,m}$ to receiver in Figure 1, the evaluation of each reflection upon one reflective boundary (or each “transmission” through it or its images) can be approximated by once-weighting the ray field with the corresponding single reflection coefficient $Q_{nj}$ on this boundary [14]. Thus, after the ray field being weighted for $n_j$, $m_j$ and $m_t$ times due to “transmission” through the reflective ground, left wall (LW) and right wall (RW), and their images, the evaluation of $P_{n,m}$ can be simplified by

$$P_{n,m} \approx |Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | GB)|^{n_j} \cdot |Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | LW)|^{m_j} \cdot |Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | RW)|^{m_t},$$

$$\cdot \frac{jk}{8\pi} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} [V_j'(\theta)]_{\text{ref}}^n \sin\theta \cdot H_0(kr\sin\theta) e^{jkr_r \cos\theta} d\theta,$$

where the integral involves only the reflection coefficient on the absorptive ceiling boundary (CB) and can be further evaluated through the second approximation provided by Brekhovskikh [15] to yield

$$P_{n,m} \approx |Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | GB)|^{n_j} \cdot |Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | LW)|^{m_j} \cdot |Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | RW)|^{m_t},$$

$$\cdot \left\{ V_j(\theta_{n,m} | CB, n_c) - \frac{1}{2kR_{r_{nm}}} \left[ V_j'(\theta_{n,m} | CB, n_c) \cot\theta_{n,m} + V_j(\theta_{n,m} | CB, n_c) \right] \right\} e^{jkr_{r_{nm}}},$$

where $V_j(\theta_{n,m} | CB, n_c)$ and $V_j'(\theta_{n,m} | CB, n_c)$ and $V_j(\theta_{n,m} | CB, n_c)$ are the first and second derivatives of $V_j(\theta_{n,m} | CB, n_c)$ at $\theta_{n,m}$ respectively. This equation may be rewritten as an image source model form as

$$P_{n,m} = Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}) e^{jkr_{r_{nm}}},$$

where $Q_{nj}$ represents a combined reflection coefficient corresponding to the ray with reflection order $(n,m)$ as

$$Q_{nj} = |Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | GB)|^{n_j} \cdot |Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | LW)|^{m_j} \cdot |Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | RW)|^{m_t}$$

in which $Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | CB, n_c)$ represents one reflection coefficient accounting for overall effect from successive reflections on the absorptive ceiling boundary as

$$Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | CB, n_c) \approx V_j(\theta_{n,m} | CB, n_c) - \frac{1}{2kR_{r_{nm}}} \left[ V_j'(\theta_{n,m} | CB, n_c) \cot\theta_{n,m} + V_j(\theta_{n,m} | CB, n_c) \right]$$

One can easily expand $Q_{nj}(S_{n,m}, R | CB, n_c)$ for analytical evaluation, and this is not presented here for succinctness. Equations (1), (13), and (14) provide a coherent image source model for long rectangular spaces with a sound-absorbing ceiling.

3. Results and Discussion

Numerical simulations are firstly carried out to validate the proposed coherent image source model. As the classic wave theory is analytically exact in the spaces studied in this paper [16], it is used as a reference method to provide benchmark results in validations. The coherent image source method by Lemire and Nicolas [13] that was widely
used in previous studies [3,9,12] is also investigated for comparisons. Numerical implementation of the methods above stays similar to that in Refs. [13,14], except the geometry of four boundaries in Figure 1.

In simulations, a long rectangular space with \( W \times H = 20 \text{ m} \times 5 \text{ m} \) is considered to simulate one city road tunnel with four lanes. For simplicity, four tunnel boundaries are all assumed to be rigidly backed layers of homogeneous porous material. Attenborough’s “three-parameter” approximation [14,20] is applied to evaluate surface admittances for these boundaries, in which the boundary media parameters of flow resistivity (\( \sigma \)), porosity (\( \Omega \)), tortuosity (\( T \)), pore shape factor (\( S_i \)), and thickness (\( d \)) are used for evaluation. The tunnel ceiling is defined as highly sound absorptive, with \( \sigma = 10 \text{ cgs} \) (where 1 cgs = 1 kPa s m\(^{-2}\)), \( \Omega = 1 \), \( T = 1 \), \( S_i = 0.25 \), and \( d = 0.1 \text{ m} \), such as a wool layer. The ground has \( \sigma = 10 \text{ k cgs} \), \( \Omega = 0.2 \), \( T = 1.4 \), \( S_i = 0.5 \), and \( d = 0.05 \text{ m} \) to represent a compact asphalt pavement layer. The right and left walls have \( \sigma = 0.5 \text{ k cgs} \), \( \Omega = 0.1 \), \( T = 1 \), \( S_i = 0.3 \), and \( d = 0.01 \text{ m} \) to represent cement absorptive plaster over concrete walls. Figure 2 shows the corresponding normal incident absorption coefficients of these four boundaries in simulations.

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2.** Spectra of the normal incident absorption coefficient on four boundaries of the rectangular long space in numerical simulations.

Two sets of numerical simulations are conducted. In the first set, predictions of sound pressure level (SPL) spectrum at the receiver (6 m, 50 m, 1 m) from a point source at (6 m, 0 m, 1 m) are investigated. Predictions from the proposed method, the wave theory, and the method of Lemire and Nicolas are compared in Figure 3. It is shown that the results from the proposed method agree excellently with those of the wave theory over frequencies from 500 to 2000 Hz, with only small deviations (<1 dB) at few lower frequencies. This suggests the successful extension of the coherent image source method by Min et al. [14] for spaces enclosed by four perpendicular finite boundaries in this paper. It can also be observed from Figure 3 that the predictions with the method of Lemire and Nicolas differ significantly from the benchmark results over frequencies in this situation. This indicates that the existing coherent models [3,9,12] based on the method of Lemire and Nicolas can hardly be accurate in long spaces with absorbent boundaries because the assumption of spherical wave front shapes for each successive reflection is unsatisfied in this situation. All simulations are executed in Matlab 2010b on the same personal computer with a 2.4 GHz Intel Core i5-560M processor and 8 Gbytes of random access memory. Computational time records show that, for results at all the 31 frequencies in Figure 3, evaluation of the proposed model and the method of Lemire and Nicolas takes 50.3 s and 49.7 s, respectively, while the corresponding execution time with the
wave theory takes over 2 h. This indicates the remarkable advantage of the proposed model both at accuracy and efficiency for sound predictions in long spaces with absorbent boundaries.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 3.** Comparison of predictions on sound pressure level (SPL) spectrum in a long space with 20 m wide and 5 m high in numerical simulations. The source is located at (6 m, 0 m, 1 m), and the receiver is located at (6 m, 50 m, 1 m). The solid line represents the results with the proposed method, the solid circles represent the results with a dot-dash line are those with the wave theory that is considered a benchmark, and the dash line is the result with the method of Lemire and Nicolas (Lemire’s method).

In the second simulation set, predictions of the SPL distribution inside the long rectangular space are investigated. Figure 4 presents SPL predictions at frequency of 1000 Hz versus the receiver location along the tunnel extension direction. The source is located at (6 m, 0 m, 1 m) and the receiver is located at (6 m, y, 1 m) with y moving from 5 m to 200 m along the space length-extending direction. From Figure 2, the absorption coefficients of the ceiling, ground, and walls at a frequency of 1000 Hz are 0.98, 0.02, and 0.04, respectively. Figure 4 shows remarkably good agreement between the proposed model and the wave theory, even at receiver locations far away from the source compared to the space height and width. However, large prediction differences can be found between the method of Lemire and Nicolas and the reference method in this situation. In Figure 4, prediction error from the proposed method increases at a longer source/receiver distance. The reason may be that, when the receiver moves farther away from the source compared to the space cross section dimensions, high-order reflection rays provide relatively higher contributions in the receiver total sound field. In the proposed model, reflection ray field is evaluated through Equations (13) and (14) by approximating each reflection at reflective boundaries as one single reflection. This may accumulate larger errors for higher-order reflection rays.
Nicolas proposed at the corresponding excellent with receiver level. The results shown in Figure 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 50, 52, 54, 56, 58, 60 are recorded at (6 m, yr, 1 m) with yr moving from 5 m to 200 m. The solid line represents the results with the proposed method, the solid circles with a dot-dash line are those with the wave theory that is considered the benchmark, and the dash line is the result with the method of Lemire and Nicolas (Lemire’s method).

**Figure 4.** Comparison of predictions on sound pressure level (SPL) at frequency of 1000 Hz vs. the receiver location along the y-direction. The source is located at (6 m, 0 m, 1 m) and the receiver is located at (6 m, yr, 1 m) with yr moving from 5 m to 200 m. The solid line represents the results with the proposed method, the solid circles with a dot-dash line are those with the wave theory that is considered the benchmark, and the dash line is the result with the method of Lemire and Nicolas (Lemire’s method).

Figure 5 presents the SPL predictions at frequency of 1000 Hz versus the receiver location along the tunnel width direction. The source is located at (6 m, 0 m, 1 m) and the receiver is located at (x, 50 m, 1 m) with x moving from 1 m to 19 m. The results show excellent prediction agreement between the proposed model and the wave theory, even at receiver locations close to boundary interaction corners compared to the wavelength. In Figure 5, large discrepancies remain between the method of Lemire and Nicolas, and the reference method. Predictions are also compared on the SPL at 1000 Hz versus the receiver location along the tunnel height direction, with the source at (6 m, 0 m, 1 m) and the receiver at (6 m, 50 m, z) with z moving from 0.125 m to 4.875 m. The corresponding results are presented in Figure 6. It is shown that results from the proposed model almost overlap those from the wave theory, not only at receiver locations close to the reflective ground but also at those in the vicinity of the absorbent ceiling compared to the wavelength. Predictions with the method of Lemire and Nicolas still bias much from the benchmark results in this situation. The computational time in this simulation set is also recorded for comparison. In Matlab 2010b on the same computer as mentioned above, an evaluation of the proposed model and the method of Lemire and Nicolas takes about 1.8 s and 1.7 s for results at each receiver location in Figures 4 to 6, respectively, while the corresponding calculation with the wave theory takes over 80 s. These show that the proposed coherent image source model can accurately predict the sound fields in long rectangular spaces with an absorbent ceiling, while its computational load stays at a same level with the existing models [3,9,12,13].
Figure 5. Comparison of predictions on sound pressure level (SPL) at a frequency of 1000 Hz vs. the receiver location along the x-direction. The source is located at (6 m, 0 m, 1 m) and the receiver is located at (xr, 50 m, 1 m) with xr moving from 1 m to 19 m. The solid line represents the results with the proposed method, the solid circles are those with the wave theory that is considered as a benchmark, and the dash line is the result with the method of Lemire and Nicolas (Lemire’s method).

Figure 6. Comparison of predictions on sound pressure level (SPL) at frequency of 1000 Hz vs. the receiver location along the z-direction. The source is located at (6 m, 0 m, 1 m) and the receiver is located at (6 m, 50 m, zr) with zr moving from 0.125 m to 4.875 m. The solid line represents the re-
results with the proposed method, the solid circles are those with the wave theory that is considered as a benchmark, and the dash line is the result with the method of Lemire and Nicolas (Lemire’s method).

A scale-model experiment was carried out to further verify the predictions. One model long rectangular space was built with inner dimensions of 0.7 m width, 0.45 m height, and 10 m length for the measurements, which was scaled with 1:10 to represent a tunnel with $W \times H = 7$ m $\times$ 4.5 m in full scale (all of the following dimensions referred to are scaled ones unless otherwise stated). Panels of 20 mm thick high-density fiberboard were used to build the model long space, and the model’s inner surfaces were well finished to represent sound reflective ground and wall boundaries. A layer of 50 mm thick fiberglass was used as a liner on the top panel to represent an absorbent ceiling. To minimize the sound reflection on the two ends of the model’s long space for infinite extension, liners of 200 mm thick fiberglass were applied onto those two end panels. The specific normalized admittances of the model boundaries were preliminarily measured through an impedance tube kit typed B&K 4206.

A speaker driver with a tube of internal diameter of 2 cm and length of 1 m was applied to represent a point source [3]. One microphone typed B&K 4190 was used as the receiver. Sound signals were generated and collected through one B&K Pulse system 3560D. In measurements, high enough levels of white noise were generated into the model long space to ensure the steady SPL at most locations inside remained at least 15 dB higher than the background noise. In accordance with coordinates defined in Figure 1, in the experiment, the point source (the speaker tube mouth) was located at $(0.35\,\text{m}, 0\,\text{m}, 0.2\,\text{m})$ and the receiver was located at $(0.35\,\text{m}, y_r, 0.1\,\text{m})$ with $y_r$ moving from 0.1 m to 8 m to investigate the SPL distribution along the space extension direction. Relative attenuation (RA) was used to present the measured and predicted results in the experiment, which is defined as subtracting the SPL at $(0.35\,\text{m}, 0.1\,\text{m}, 0.2\,\text{m})$ from that at receiver. The predictions from the wave theory that are used as benchmarks in simulations were firstly compared with the experimental data. Figure 7 presents the comparison results on the RA distribution along the $y$-direction. The frequency of 1000 Hz was chosen without loss of generality, at which $\beta_k$ was $(1.2068-1.4338i)$, corresponding to a normal incident absorption coefficient of 0.7, while $\beta_r$, $\beta_\theta$, and $\beta_\phi$ were $(0.0272 + 0.104i)$, corresponding to a normal incident absorption coefficient of 0.1. In Figure 7, reasonable agreement is shown between the wave theory predictions and the experimental data. By considering experimental uncertainty and errors such as those from the receiver locations and model tunnel dimensions in measurements, this agreement supports the reliability of the benchmark results used in numerical validations above.

Predictions from the proposed method and the method of Lemire and Nicolas were compared with the experimental data, as presented in Figure 7 as well. It is shown that, although the assumption of $kW \gg 1$ and $kH \gg 1$ can be hardly satisfied in this case with a wavelength of 0.344 m, the predictions from the proposed method can still have reasonable agreement with the benchmark results, which indicates that such a requirement may be relaxed in applying the proposed method. In this case, the method of Lemire and Nicolas can predict reasonably well at the receiver in the vicinity of the source, however deviating far from the benchmarks when source/receiver distance being large compared to the wavelength. These comparison results in the experimental case provide further validations on the proposed method.
Figure 7. Comparison of measurements and predictions on relative attenuation (RA) at a frequency of 1000 Hz vs. the receiver location along the y-direction inside a scale-model long rectangular space. The circles represent the experimental results averaged from several measurements, the dash-dotted line represents the prediction results with the wave theory, the solid line denotes those with the proposed method, and the dash line is the result with the method of Lemire and Nicolas (Lemire’s method).

4. Conclusions

In this paper, a coherent image source model is proposed for simple yet accurate sound prediction in long rectangular spaces with a sound absorbing ceiling. Predictions from the proposed model were compared with those from the wave theory, those from the existing coherent image source models, and the measurements in a scaled-model experiment. The results show that the proposed method can predict the sound field in long rectangular spaces with remarkable accuracy advantages over the existing coherent image source models but has computational load at the same level as the latter. The work in this study takes an important step in extending the coherent image source method proposed in Ref. [14] for versatile predictions in enclosed spaces.
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