Observations of Corrosion Product Formation and Stress Corrosion Cracking on Brass Samples Exposed to Ammonia Environments
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The corrosion product formation and the stress corrosion cracking of brass in different ammonia environments have been observed and characterized. Optical and scanning electron microscopy techniques were used to analyze the corrosion phenomena on the systems. The testing methodology was designed to investigate the variables affecting stress corrosion cracking behaviour, these parameters were: stamp geometry, immersion time, Cl- concentration, pH, copper ions concentration, exposure to vapour/liquid. From this investigation, stress corrosion cracking of brass was shown to occur most severely in ammonia vapour. The increase of the amount of ammonia and copper ions in the form of copper sulphate was found to increase the corrosion of the brass samples.
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1. Introduction

Stress corrosion cracking (SCC) of brass in ammonia

Stress corrosion cracking (SCC) have long occurred for various types of brass and copper-base alloys in aqueous ammonia solutions. SCC is a form of environmentally assisted cracking that occurs as a result of internal strains. It was known that brasses stored in a dry and clean atmosphere, although carrying high internal strains, cracked only after a long time; while a damp atmosphere was especially active in producing such cracking, particularly if it carried ammonia vapor from decaying organic matter. SCC of brass was first identified when brass cartridge cases used by the British Army in India were found to suffer from cracking in ammonia from the decay of horse urine. The effect was termed ‘season cracking’ because it occurred during the rainy season and, secondly, because the cracks resembled the cracks in seasoned wood.

Several major disasters have involved stress corrosion cracking, including the rupture of high-pressure gas transmission pipes, the explosion of boilers, and the destruction of power stations and oil refineries. While SCC of brass remains a problem, the occurrence is reduced by the substitution of plastics for many applications previously dominated by brass. Past experience has also indicated that cracking was more frequent in brasses carrying a higher percent of zinc (Zn). The identified two modes of cracking are intergranular and transgranular, for which extensive systematic studies were initiated by Mattsson's work, published in 1961.

Brass pipe manufacturing and associated defects

In this investigation, segments of a brass pipe are examined for their stress corrosion cracking behavior. For this reason, the manufacturing process for brass piping and the associated microstructural effects are examined in this section. Brass pipes may be forged or extruded due to their excellent hot working properties, good machinability, high strength and corrosion resistance. Hot extrusion of brass is the main processing method by which pipes are formed. Brass billets at 595-995°C are extruded through a die at ram speeds ranging from 50 to 400 mm/s.

Defects such as surface cracks, tears or delamination may occur during processing if the die is insufficiently or excessively heated, if the ram speed is too high, or if there is high friction between the billet and die walls. Intergranular cracking may also occur due to local cooling of impurities at grain boundaries. Residual stresses occur within the extruded part by non-uniform flow patterns or by mechanical working and increase its susceptibility to stress corrosion cracking in specific environments. In addition to these stresses that may already be present in the material, stamping also induces residual stresses where corrosion can occur preferentially. Lead is often added to brass (0.10% in Cu30Zn) to increase machinability.

Solutions of Aqueous Ammonia

For the present work, five different ammonia based solutions have been established for testing brass samples. Brass has been shown to corrode in aqueous solutions of ammonia, sodium nitrite, citrates, sulfur dioxide and sulfate solutions. The key features of the solutions are given in this section to compare the differences in solution composition, concentration and pH as they relate to the corrosion behavior of brass. Four out of five solutions contain ammonium ion compounds such as NH4SO4, NH4OH, (NH4)2SO4, while one is a pure ammonia solution. The common species present in all solutions is the ammonium ion. The following chemical equations describe the method by which brass may corrode in the presence of ammonia.
\[ Cu + 2NH_3 \rightarrow Cu(NH_3)_2^+ + e^- \]

(Oxidation of copper cuprous complex ions)

\[ Zn + 4NH_3 \rightarrow Zn(NH_3)_4^{2+} + 2e^- \]

(Oxidation of zinc cuprous -> complex ions)

\[ 2Cu(NH_3)_2^+ + \frac{1}{2}O_2 + H_2O + 4NH_3 \rightarrow 2Cu(OH)_2 + 2OH^- \]

(Formation of cupric complex ions)

\[ 2Cu(OH)_2 + 2OH^- \rightarrow Cu_2O + 4NH_3 + H_2O \]

(Formation of cupric oxide)

In solutions containing cuprous complex ions Cu(NH_3)_2^+, the rate of zinc dissolution will be faster than copper dissolution and a “dezincification layer” with high tensile stress forms. Induced tensile stresses encourage SCC. In solutions where the pH is raised, by the addition of ammonium hydroxide, for example, OH⁻ suppresses the reaction forming cupric complex ions and that of zinc oxidation so that a black, passive cuprous oxide film forms. Acidifying the solution, by the addition of H_2SO_4, for example, reduces the rate of reactions promoting the formation of a dezincification layer and a passive CuO film forms. Other species that are present in solutions used in this experiment are copper ions (Cu²⁺) and chloride ions (Cl⁻); the last one is considered as the most aggressive species. It is expected that the addition of NaCl to solution will increase the severity of corrosion.

SCC of brass occurs in the presence of dissolved copper. This is because copper dissolves to form a complex ion in solution, which acts as an oxidizer to promote the cathodic reduction reaction. It can be inferred that test solutions containing a high amount and availability copper ions in the form of copper sulphate will promote more severe SCC. An oxide film or tarnish forms at some critical concentration of copper, which is approximately 2.8 g/l, and reduces the rate of corrosion but not of stress corrosion cracking. Visual inspection enables the determination of compounds that may be present in solution. For example, a blue-ish colour indicates the presence of copper sulphate in solution. The concentration of each compound influences the colour intensity. Solution transparency indicates a low relative concentration of the compound, while increased intensity of the colour present in solution indicates a high relative concentration of the compound. Ammonia, containing no Cu²⁺, is clear, while high pH solutions containing 0.05 g/l CuSO_4 are dark blue. Solutions that contain CuSO_4 but are diluted by the presence of (NH_4)_2SO_4 or NaCl are light blue.

### 2. Experimental

Stress corrosion cracking of brass in ammonia solutions was carried out with guidelines given by ASTM standard G37-98: Standard Practice for Use of Mattson’s Solution of pH 7.2 to Evaluate the Stress Corrosion Cracking Susceptibility of Copper-Zinc Alloys. This test is performed to determine the degree of stress corrosion cracking of brass under one or specific stress conditions.

Seven test pieces of brass were given. Samples were stamped with the letter ‘D’ and a number, if applicable, on the top. Samples were stamped with a ‘T’ or a ‘U’ on the bottom to determine the effect of pre-straining and letter geometry on the corrosion behaviour of the sample.

Five ammonia solutions, whose compositions are given in Table 1. The samples were exposed to the solutions for durations of 2.5 hours to 72 hours at room temperature (25°C).

Samples were hung in beakers containing 60 mL of each solution. Fishing line was threaded through the drilled holes in the sample so that they hung with about 1 cm of the sample left out of solution. The rest of the container had a small amount of air in it. Samples 1-6 were immered up to the stamp at the top of the sample. Sample 7 was suspended above the solution. A summary of the test conditions for each sample is given below.

The samples were removed from the solution, placed on clean tissues and examined by visual inspection and optical microscopy. The samples were then cleaned in 1M sulphuric acid to remove the corrosion product, rinsed in deionized water, and dried with tissues. They were then re-examined visually, by optical microscopy and by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). For samples that were expected to undergo stress corrosion cracking (samples 2, 3, 5, 6, and 7), thinner samples were supplied, placed in their respective solutions for 72 hours and examined by similar methods.

The variables affecting stress corrosion cracking behavior that were investigated in these experiments:
- Stamp Geometry (Samples: 1, 2, 6, 7 & 3, 4, 5)
- Immersion time (Samples: 3 & 4)
- Cl⁻ Concentration (Samples: 3 & 5)
- pH (Samples: 1 & 2)

### Table 1. Experimental solution composition

| Solution Label | pH  | Composition                                           |
|----------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------|
| A              | 4.62| Ammonium Sulphate with copper (ii) 0.5M (NH₄)SO₄ + 0.05 g/L CuSO₄ |
| B              | 11.8| Ammonia solution with copper (ii) 2.5M NH₄OH + 0.05 g/L CuSO₄ |
| C              | 7.4 | Mattsson’s solution at pH 7.4                        |
| D              | 3.9 | Modified Mattsson’s solution, containing 5% by volume 0.1M NaCl |
| E              | 11.6| Ammonia solution 1M NH₃                              |
• Copper Concentration (Samples: 2 & 6)
• Exposure to vapor/ Liquid (Samples: 6 & 7)

3. Results

Evidence of SCC that can be differentiated by optical microscopy images are presented in Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure 7 below. SCC detected on the exposed samples by the use of SEM is presented in Figure 8.

4. Discussion

Brass Corrosion Behavior in Ammonium Sulphate with Copper and Ammonia Solution with Copper (Samples 1&2)

There was a significant visual difference between Sample 1 and Sample 2. Sample 1, submerged in 0.5 M (NH₄)SO₄ + 7.9 E⁻⁴ M CuSO₄, was essentially not corroded while Sample 2, submerged in 2.5 M (NH₄)OH + 7.9 E⁻⁴ M CuSO₄, was totally corroded with corrosion products on the brass surface. The immersed surface the corrosion product was gray and silver and the non-immersed surface corrosion product was white, blue, and black. This is due to a combination of cuprous oxide and complex cuprous and cupric ions. When oxides/corrosion products were removed in pickling solution, a reddish brown surface layer of copper could be seen on the samples.

\[ Cu₂ + H₂O + 2e^- \rightarrow 2Cu + 2OH^- \]

copper plating on pickled brass surface

On the left side of SEM Image 2A in Figure 8, striations are shown where cleavage may have occurred. However, most of the cracks in the SEM images were indicative of intergranular SCC rather than transgranular.

Effect of Ammonia Concentration in Brass Corrosion

The main difference between these two tests is the ammonium compounds. The first is ammonium sulphate and the second is ammonium hydroxide. The concentration of the solution with ammonium hydroxide is five times more concentrated than the solution of ammonium sulphate. Due to this difference in concentration in the ammonium compounds, brass Sample 2 is more corroded than the brass in Sample 1.

The remarkable difference in the corrosion products in brass in Sample 2 was due to the concentration of ammonium in the gas part of the beaker. The volatility of ammonium and the concentration of ammonia in the gas part of the beaker increased and created a very corrosive environment, which has affected the non-immersed part of the brass. The submerged part of the brass corroded as well, and it showed a very notable dezincification, and due to this dezincification, a gray film of zinc hydroxide and zinc oxide was covering the entire submerged surface.

The sulphate present in the solution in test 1 may have acted as an inhibitor for the corrosion process, however, the lower pH (discussed in the subsequent section) is more likely the cause for reduced corrosion and susceptibility to SCC.

Effect of pH in Corrosion of a Brass Sample in Ammonia and Ammonium Environments

Figure 1. Front (top) and back (bottom) images of brass samples 1 through 7 (left to right) after corrosion testing in ammonia solutions

Figure 2. Front (top) and back (bottom) images of brass samples 1 through 7 (left to right) after corrosion testing in ammonia solutions and cleaning in 1M sulphuric acid pickling solution.

Figure 3. Optical microscopy images of exposed sample2 that presented clear evidence of cracking on the surface.
From the results of the test 1 & 2, the effect of pH in brass corrosion with ammonium and ammonia environments was identified. Based on the E-pH diagrams in Cu-Zn alloys created by Tromans, it can be established that a higher pH (more than 10) brass suffers from corrosion in ammonium solution. Since Sample 1 was exposed to a solution pH of 4.62 and Sample 2 was exposed to a solution pH of 11.8; the corrosion in brass Sample 2 is more aggressive than that of Sample 1, as shown in Figure 1.

The explanation of corrosion of brass at high pH is based on a phenomenon called dezincification. Dezincification is the selective loss of zinc from brass by the electrochemical reaction between zinc and aqueous solutions. Based on the results of the solubility of zinc in aqueous media published by 10. At pH between 8-9, the solubility of zinc increases, but increasing the pH from 9-14 decreases the solubility of zinc and it forms corrosion products or passive scale layers. Since the pH of Solution B in test 2 was 11.8, the process of dezincification occurred and affected the brass but a scale layer of zinc products also formed because the solubility of zinc in the solution was not high in basic pH. Moreover, lower pH environments reduce the thickness of the dezincification layer and therefore its associated tensile stresses, reducing the susceptibility of SCC.

**Optical Microscopy of SCC of a brass sample submerged in ammonia solution with copper 2.5 M (NH₄)OH + 7.9 E⁻⁴ M CuSO₄**

![Figure 3](image_url)

Figure 3 shows microscopic images of cracks on the brass sample submerged in 2.5 M (NH₄)OH + 7.9 E⁻⁴ M CuSO₄ solution. The cracks could be observed on the sample at different locations. In image 2D, a large crack forms around a grain. This crack runs from the hole added for the string extends outward to the edge of the sample. In image 2E, a crack is shown at the base of the impression of the letter “H”. With SEM is easier to notice the cracks in a sample because of the images are produced by scanning it with a focused beam of electrons. The electrons interact with atoms in the sample, producing various signals that can be detected and that contain information about the sample’s surface topography.

As it can be seen from the Figure 3, the crack initiates at the edge of the sample and propagates through the center of the sample in a perpendicular direction. The reason for cracks in the edges is the cold working applied in the middle of the sample that induces tension in the edges of the sample. Another reason for cracks occurring along the edges is the sample cutting process, which could influence the residual stress on the sample edges.

In image 2C some small cracks are visible in the sample that initiate from a scratch in a perpendicular to the scratch in the left side near the edge. A scratch is part of the cold work and it can initiate cracks. In image 2D we can see a very deep crack from the bottom of the sample in the left side. In this image, the shape of the crack is notable, as is the perpendicular propagation from the edge to the center of the sample.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) of SCC of a brass sample submerged in ammonia solution with copper 2.5 M (NH₄)OH + 7.9 E⁻⁴ M CuSO₄**

Figure 8 shows the SEM images for the brass sample submerged in 2.5 M (NH₄)OH + 7.9 E⁻⁴ M CuSO₄ solution. The cracks could be observed on the sample at different locations. In image 2D, a large crack forms around a grain. This crack runs from the hole added for the string extends outward to the edge of the sample. In image 2E, a crack is shown at the base of the impression of the letter “H”. With SEM is easier to notice the cracks in a sample because of the images are produced by scanning it with a focused beam of electrons. The electrons interact with atoms in the sample, producing various signals that can be detected and that contain information about the sample's surface topography.

![Figure 4](image_url)}
Corrosion Behaviour of Brass Samples in Mattsson’s Solution, Modified Mattsson’s Solution (Samples 2, 3, & 5)

In this section the different results that have been observed in Samples 3, 4, and 5 are discussed. Based on the solutions these samples have been immersed in, which are displayed on Table 2, two major observations are analyzed. First, the influence of the immersion time could be analyzed as the solutions have no substantial variation on its components. Second, the effects of the presence of Cl⁻ ions on the environment for stress corrosion cracking on brass.

It was possible to appreciate the effects of the immersion time of the samples just with simple inspection of the brass pieces. The first difference that could be observed was the formation of corrosion products on the surface of the brass.

Figure 5. Optical microscopy images of exposed sample 5 that presented clear evidence of cracking on the surface.
showed no substantial corrosion. The first phenomenon that applies in these kinds of solutions is dezincification. From the galvanic series it is known that zinc is highly reactive so it could be dissolved from alloys when in contact with corrosive environments such as ammonia. Sample 3 presents a dark red film on the corroded surface which is presumed to be cuprous oxide (Cu₂O) as Cu ions will react in solution and deposit on the metal surface. Sample 5 shows less corrosion as brass edges were noticed among the corroded surface. Corrosion on Sample 5 shows a shiny copper color which could be the result of dezincification.

In order to verify the existence of cracks, the samples were taken to an optical microscope. From Figure 4 and Figure 5, dezincification is shown to take place on the surface of the samples; surface morphology on both pieces of metal corroborates this statement. Much and more evident cracks were found in Sample 3 (Figure 4) than on Sample 5 (Figure 5). The later also presents some cracks that were found near the stamped letters such as Figure 3 2C, coming out from scratches as shown in Figure 3 2d and a couple near the edges (Figure 3: 2a, 2b). It seems to be that sample Sample 3 has more cracks spread over its whole surface than sample 5. Here is where Cl⁻ must be playing a role on enhancing or inhibiting SCC. According to chloride ions will have an inhibiting effect on SCC of brass. One of the protective mechanisms that could be acting is that in which the adsorption of Cl⁻ interferes with the adsorption of the aggressive ammonia species. It has also been reported that chloride could slow down crack propagation; however, care should be taken as this species is also known for enhancing crack tip dissolution. From these observations it could be said that Cl⁻ has slow down crack propagation on Sample 5. In addition, it can be inferred that some specific Cl⁻ concentration should be attained to achieve the desired behavior of chloride on brass.

For Sample 3, SEM images shown in Figure 8 show the cracking mechanism. From what it can be noticed mainly on images 3D and 3B (Figure 8) is that cracks seem to be following an intergranular path. This means that grain boundaries of the material are affected in such a way that they allow crack propagation. It has been reported for samples under pH 7.4 Mattsson’s solution, that intergranular cracking will take place following a certain process. First, micro pits will form on dislocations and Cu₂O and Cu will be produced. Then, Cu₂O and Cu films will grow and act as a cathode enhancing brass corrosion. Finally, dislocations will be forced into grain boundaries thus forming cracks. Intergranular cracking should be expected when using pH 7.4 Mattsson’s solution.

Regarding the presence of chloride ions in solution, Samples 3 and 5 are used to define the effect of this species in brass. Sample 3 was immersed in Mattsson’s solution, which is composed of CuSO₄ + (NH₄)₂SO₄. Sample 5 was immersed in a modified Mattsson’s solutions containing an extra 5% by volume of 0.1M of NaCl. From visual examination of the samples once they were cleaned, both pieces show corrosion on the immersed surface. The part that was not immersed showed no substantial corrosion. The first phenomenon that applies in these kinds of solutions is dezincification. From the galvanic series it is known that zinc is highly reactive so it could be dissolved from alloys when in contact with corrosive environments such as ammonia. Sample 3 presents a dark red film on the corroded surface which is presumed to be cuprous oxide (Cu₂O) as Cu ions will react in solution and deposit on the metal surface. Sample 5 shows less corrosion as brass edges were noticed among the corroded surface. Corrosion on Sample 5 shows a shiny copper color which could be the result of dezincification.

In order to verify the existence of cracks, the samples were taken to an optical microscope. From Figure 4 and Figure 5, dezincification is shown to take place on the surface of the samples; surface morphology on both pieces of metal corroborates this statement. Much and more evident cracks were found in Sample 3 (Figure 4) than on Sample 5 (Figure 5). The later also presents some cracks that were found near the stamped letters such as Figure 3 2C, coming out from scratches as shown in Figure 3 2d and a couple near the edges (Figure 3: 2a, 2b). It seems to be that sample Sample 3 has more cracks spread over its whole surface than sample 5. Here is where Cl⁻ must be playing a role on enhancing or inhibiting SCC. According to chloride ions will have an inhibiting effect on SCC of brass. One of the protective mechanisms that could be acting is that in which the adsorption of Cl⁻ interferes with the adsorption of the aggressive ammonia species. It has also been reported that chloride could slow down crack propagation; however, care should be taken as this species is also known for enhancing crack tip dissolution. From these observations it could be said that Cl⁻ has slow down crack propagation on Sample 5. In addition, it can be inferred that some specific Cl⁻ concentration should be attained to achieve the desired behavior of chloride on brass.

For Sample 3, SEM images shown in Figure 8 show the cracking mechanism. From what it can be noticed mainly on images 3D and 3B (Figure 8) is that cracks seem to be following an intergranular path. This means that grain boundaries of the material are affected in such a way that they allow crack propagation. It has been reported for samples under pH 7.4 Mattsson’s solution, that intergranular cracking will take place following a certain process. First, micro pits will form on dislocations and Cu₂O and Cu will be produced. Then, Cu₂O and Cu films will grow and act as a cathode enhancing brass corrosion. Finally, dislocations will be forced into grain boundaries thus forming cracks. Intergranular cracking should be expected when using pH 7.4 Mattsson’s solution.

In modified Mattsson’s solution, the presence of chloride may cause pitting corrosion to dominate as the local corrosion process instead of SCC. This was not seen as no SEM images were observed for Sample 5 in modified Mattsson’s solution and pits were not visible in the optical images.
Figure 8. SEM micrographs of thin samples 2, 3 and 7 at different magnifications.
Dislocation pile up due to pre-straining, as well as inherent vacancies and impurities that are present on grain boundaries encourage crack propagation along these sites instead. Cu$_2$O surface films that develop along grain boundaries also contain defects that enable diffusion of corrosion product to the underlying metal and accelerate corrosion and crack propagation$^{11}$. 

Crack branching occurred in Sample 7, as shown in Figure 7, image 7B. Branching may have occurred because the sample possessed a higher stress. The different points of failure, or branches, are driven by a combination of chemistry, microstructure and stress. In Image 7D two cracks are seen separated by a plane of brass lattices. It was suggested that these cracks may meet below the sample surface. Cracks also occurred frequently along the edge of the brass sample, where geometrical discontinuity and a high-energy free surface promote crack propagation.

Effect of Pre-straining and Induced Stress by Stamping on SCC

Stress is required for failure by SCC to occur in a material. This stress must exceed failure stress threshold of that material to enable failure to occur. Components contain defects as a result of design processes like cold working. Macroscopically, cold working may create geometric discontinuities whose shapes create stress concentration on some part of the material. If this stress exceeds the stress threshold, SCC will occur once the material is exposed to environment under a particular service$^{11}$. The stress required to cause a crack is usually below the macroscopic yield strength and is tensile in nature$^{14}$. In fracture mechanics, the stress intensity factor, $K$, which is a function of the crack tip geometry, helps to determine what governs crack tip propagation.

$$ K = \sigma (\pi a)^{1/2} $$

where $\sigma$: applied stress, $2a$: depth of crack (stress intensity factor)

Microscopically, applied stress results in dislocation movement to form slip steps, which are preferred sites of SCC$^{15}$. 

In this experiment, the brass alloy samples were subjected to cold work before they were immersed in solution. Cold working included cutting the samples from bulk material, hammering and stamping letters. This created stress (both compressive and tensile stress) in the samples that caused
grain boundary dislocation movement and created localised strains within the sample.

Cracks were observed as lines penetrating the sample in the direction perpendicular to the direction of the machining lines, often extending from the corners of sample, from stamped letters, and around the sample edges. In the images of Sample 2 in Figure 8, cracks are shown extending from the hole where strings were used to suspend the samples in solution and from the impressed letter H. Image 2d in Figure 3, and 6c and 6d in Figure 6 show cracking on the tips of the samples and across the engraved letter edges. These were observed after the samples were immersed in Mattsson’s solution and are a result of stress concentration at the stamped area and tip of the samples causing dislocation of grain boundaries and tension around the tip of the sample. Also, the anodic reaction occurring at the edge of the sample causes dissolution of the metals.

The engraved letters on the samples created a compressive stress around the area engraved on the sample which caused inhomogeneous distribution of dislocation movement within the microstructure with a tendency to segregate at grain boundaries, thereby resulting in local strain gradients. The shape (depth and sharpness) of the letter engraved on the samples determined the direction stress will propagate and raised the stress that led to SCC of brass.

**Comparisons, similarities and correlations within the parameters applied**

Stamp geometry, immersion time, Cl- concentration, pH, copper ions concentration, exposure to vapor/liquid were the parameters applied to brass alloy samples.

A similarity founded in this investigation is the susceptibility of brass in ammonia environments related with time. As degradation process corrosion is mostly time-depending, hence the higher the exposure time of brass alloys in ammonia environments the higher the susceptibility to present SCC effects. A very marked consistency on this time behaviour is the comparison with sample 4 in which the exposure time was only 2.5 hours and in which a lower degradation could be observed in relation with samples exposed more than 24 hours.

An interesting chemical similarity is the dezincification process in which it happened in practically all the tests. The zinc part of the brass alloy tends to dissolve in all the ammonia environments that were tested. A double behaviour related with the pH of the solution can be notable from tests 1 and 2, in which the electrochemical process of zinc dissolution in the aqueous environment also has proctetive behaviour due to the low solubility of it; as a result of this is the production of a scale layer that slow down the corrosion process.

In terms of vapour/liquid phases is clear that the vapour phase of ammonia creates an extremely corrosive environment for brass alloys. The main difference with immersed tests lays on the evident dependent relationship with the nature of the environment (pH, concentrations) the exposure time, and visibly the mechanical stresses.

**5. Conclusions**

From this investigation, stress corrosion cracking of brass was shown to occur most severely in ammonia vapour. The amount of ammonia and of copper ions in the form of copper sulphate was found to increase the corrosion of the brass samples.

Over 48 hours, thick samples did not exhibit cracking, while thin samples did. Macroscopically, these cracks occurred along geometric discontinuities such as edges of the sample and of the stamped lettering.

Microscopically, it was shown that transgranular and intergranular cracking of the samples occurred. The type of microscopic cracking was dependent on the amount and type of corrosion product present, the accessibility of oxidizing agents, or copper ions in solution, the pH of the environment and the amount of residual stress in the brass sample. These will either promote diffusion of ionic species into the sample or emphasize the presence of defects within the sample. Slip dissolution and dezincification were the underlying mechanisms causing SCC.
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