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Abstract: Multiple sclerosis (MS) is the most common disabling neurologic condition of young adults after trauma. The establishing of an unraveling database carries a potentially important role in clarifying the nature of the disease in Iraq. This study had been designed to find the frequency distribution of MS patients according to different demographic variables like the age at onset, age at diagnosis, gender and place of birth and residence, etc, to estimate the frequency of different neurological symptoms and signs in patients with MS, to estimate the frequency of different clinical types of MS in Iraqi patients and assessing the differences between different clinical types of MS in regard to gender, age at onset, age at diagnosis, the diagnostic delay, disease duration and the EDSS, accordingly. This retrospective study was carried out at the Multiple Sclerosis Clinic, Medical City in Baghdad/Iraq. The medical files of 900 MS patients were thoroughly revised; they included all patients who had attended the MS Clinic from the day of establishment of the clinic at 2001 to the end of February 2006. Six hundreds seventy six (676) patients were considered as MS patients fulfilling the Poser's criteria and continued visiting the clinic for follow up and treatment. Patient's distribution according to the clinical type of their MS was RRMS= 67.3%, PPMS= 19.1%, SPMS= 13.6% , main age distribution of this sample was between 30-39 years (39.3%)., Females were 64.9 %, males were 35.1%., Age of onset was mainly between 20-29 years of age regardless of the gender or type of MS, Diagnostic delay was mainly between 1-3 years, Distribution according to place of birth was seen mainly in 3 geographical clusters in Iraq, Motor symptoms were the commonest experienced by the patients (95.7%). This study had shown that during the last 2 decades, MS was increasingly encountered in Iraq, particularly during the last 5 years after the establishment of MS clinic in Baghdad, MS cases in Iraq distributed in a three-main-clusters pattern rather than North-South gradient, primary progressive MS showed a more even gender distribution and older age at onset with the shortest diagnostic delay.
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1. Introduction

Multiple sclerosis is the most common autoimmune inflammatory demyelinating disease of the central nervous system, and the most common disabling neurologic condition of young adults after trauma [1]. Since Charcot described the disease in 1868, a complete medical understanding of the disease has proved elusive. Theories and therapies litter the history of multiple sclerosis. It is a true historical maze from Robert Carswell's lithographic illustrations of post-mortem tissue "lesion (s) of the spinal cord accompanied with atrophy" of 1838, to MRI now used to visualize lesions non-invasively in the living; from Charcot's triad of intention tremor, nystagmus, and scanning speech, to 20th century diagnostic criteria; from a therapeutic approach, championed by Galen, of balancing the four humors to licensing of interferon beta in 1993 [2]. Only one aim was shared by all of these efforts, that was reaching the point of well understanding about the nature of this disabling illness.

Geography and MS: from the epidemiological point of view, the potentially important role played by the geographic
factors, inducing this wide variability in the incidence and prevalence of multiple sclerosis in different geographic areas of the world had stimulated many research workers. Epidemiologic studies indicate that multiple sclerosis is much more common in persons of western European lineage who live in temperate zones [3]. Some authors reported that despite the wealth of epidemiological data deriving from systematic studies of multiple sclerosis that had been carried out for over 70 years, any attempt at redefining the pattern of multiple sclerosis' geographic distribution is still a difficult task [4]. In fact, comparing prevalence studies of different areas and at different times implies a number of problems, the variability of the surveyed populations in terms of size, age structure, ethnic origin and composition [5], the difference when determining the numerator, i.e. the recognition of benign and very early cases [6]. The extent to which complete case ascertainment is achieved based on geographic and time variables, access to medical care, local medical expertise, number of neurologists, availability of and accessibility of new diagnostic procedures, degree of public awareness about multiple sclerosis, and on the investigators' zeal and resources [6, 7], the use of different diagnostic criteria and the inter-observer variability when applying them [5].

As mentioned above, differences in the risk of multiple sclerosis by region have been reported [8] The disease tends to be rare in tropical areas but common in temperate areas, although there are some exceptions [8, 9] Kurtzke has collated existing surveys and defined bands of multiple sclerosis prevalence [10]. Interestingly, no population at high risk for multiple sclerosis exists between latitudes 40°N and 40°S. Genetic, dietary, and climatic factors can not account for these differences [2] Iraq is a Country located in the Middle East, has an area of 438,317 sq km at latitudes 37°.25'-29°5'[11]. Therefore, Iraq as well as Middle East, geographically speaking, is considered as a low risk zone. However, the pattern of distribution of multiple sclerosis in this area of the world emphasizes the importance of genetic-historical factor in deriving the distribution of multiple sclerosis [4]. It had been reported that variations in prevalence are apparent over very small geographic distances [12, 13], partly reflecting geographic variations in ethnicity. This variability is not confined to low risk areas only; but even in areas where disease is common, some groups at lower risk, including the Samis, Turkmen, North and South Amerindians, Canadian Hutterites, African Americans, Eskimos and New Zealand Maoris [9, 14]. Studies of US army veterans identified a north-south gradient of decreasing risk and reflects the distribution of Scandinavian ancestry throughout the USA [15]. In the Middle East, this phenomenon is obvious on reviewing the results of an epidemiological study done at Kuwait 1988. This study showed that with a population of nearly two millions of whom about 75% were Arabs, the overall multiple sclerosis prevalence rate was 10 per 100 000. However, the prevalence rate observed among Palestinians living in Kuwait was 24 per 100 000. This difference can not be explained by environmental factors alone, since about 60% of the Palestinians in Kuwait were born there and the majority of the remaining 40% had migrated to Kuwait more than 20 years prior to prevalence day. An association with HLA-DR2 and HLA-DQWI, similar to that reported in Caucasians, observed in Palestinians multiple sclerosis patients but not in Kuwaitis, might explain a difference in the genetic control over the susceptibility to the disease [4]. Hopes have long been pinned on the identification of an interaction between multiple sclerosis susceptibility gene(s) and a mysterious environmental agent in the pathogenesis of this unsolved disease. Much is known about the genetics of MS, but little is known about the gene(s) [16].

Clinical Notes on MS: MS is characteristically variable in its manifestations and disease course, both between patients and within individual patients over time. Motor manifestations include weakness, spasticity, and ataxia. Weakness in MS is usually due to involvement of central motor pathways and is accompanied by hyperreflexia, spasticity, and abnormal cutaneous reflexes (e.g. a Babinski sign). MS can produce loss of sensation in any anatomic distribution and with any combination of loss of pain, temperature, light touch, vibratory sense, or position sense. Positive sensory phenomena are common, sensory symptoms were reported as the first symptom in 43% of patients [17].

Almost any pattern of visual loss has been reported in MS, depending on the site of involvement of the afferent visual system. Most typically, optic neuritis presents with unilateral eye pain, overall risk for the development of MS after 10 years was 38% [18]. Abnormalities of eye movements, with diplopia as a common symptom, involvement of ocular cranial nerve nuclei, their fasciculi, or higher centers or their connections can produce virtually any eye movement abnormality. Lesions involving other cranial nerves or brain stem structures can produce loss of taste, facial weakness, loss of hearing, tinnitus/or vertigo, dysarthria and swallowing dysfunction. Cognitive dysfunction is a common, but frequently overlooked, manifestation of MS. The prevalence of cognitive problems has been estimated as high as 50% to 75% [19]. Detrusor hyperactivity, urinary urgency, frequency and nocturia; detrusor-sphincter dyssynergia, hesitancy, increased postvoid residual or urinary retention are all signs and symptoms of bladder dysfunction, occurring in up to 90% of patients with MS [20]. Often patients complain of constipation, which can be aggravated by fluid restriction or anticholinergic medications used to treat urinary symptoms. Some patients have bowel urgency and incontinence, erectile dysfunction in combination with bladder or bowel disturbances.

Fatigue is one of the most important sources of disability in MS in 60-90% of patients with MS [21]. Paroxysmal symptoms in MS occur in variable frequencies, including trigeminal neuralgia, tonic seizures, paroxysmal dysarthria &/or aphasia, hemifacial spasm, abrupt loss of muscle tone and Lhermitte's sign [22].

Whether MS is a single disease with several subtypes or a combination of different diseases with similar clinical
features complicates the issue of the clinical course of the disease [23].

2. Aims of the Study

This study had been designed to:
Make the starting point to build an unraveling database that includes all of the potentially important information about MS patients in Iraq.
Find the frequency distribution of MS patients according to different demographic variables like the age at onset, age at diagnosis, gender and place of birth and residence, etc. Estimate the frequency of different neurological symptoms and signs in patients with MS. Estimate the frequency of different clinical types of MS in Iraqi patients.
Assess the differences between different clinical types of MS in regard to gender, age at onset, age at diagnosis, the diagnostic delay, disease duration and the EDSS, accordingly.

3. Patients and Methods

This retrospective study was carried out at the Multiple Sclerosis Clinic, Medical City in Baghdad/Iraq, which is the only specialized clinic for treating MS patients in the country. Patients are referred from all over Iraq to this clinic in order to be enrolled in the program of treatment and follow-up services for this disease. The medical files of 900 MS patients were thoroughly revised; they included all patients who had attended the MS Clinic from the day of establishment of the clinic at 2001 to the end of February 2006. Six hundreds seventy six (676) patients were considered as MS patients fulfilled the Poser’s criteria [63] and continued visiting the clinic for follow up and treatment. Two hundreds twenty four patients had been excluded from the study either because they did not fulfill the Pose's criteria or because they did not continue visiting the clinic.

4. Results

The mean age of the 676 patients, whose files had been evaluated in this retrospective study, was 37.9 ± 9.1 year old. 388 out of them were younger than 40 year-old (57.3% of the sample) and 13 patients (1.9% of the sample) were younger than 20 year-old. Table-1 show age distribution of the sample. This study's sample composed from 439 female (64.9% of the sample) and 237 male patients (35.1% of the sample) with female to male ratio (F: M ratio) of about 1.85:1. Table-2 show the frequency distribution of the sample according to gender.

The mean age at onset of multiple sclerosis had been estimated to be 29.17 ± 8.8 year-old. This study showed that 5% of the sample (34 patients) had started to complain from their symptoms since being younger than 20 year-old, while the onset of the complaints of 2.5% of the sample (17 patients) was at the age of 50 years or older. Table-3 show patients distribution according to their age at onset of symptoms suggestive of multiple sclerosis.

The mean age at diagnosis of multiple sclerosis was 32.6 ± 8.9 year-old (range: 9-58year-old). Multiple sclerosis had been diagnosed before the age of 20 in 31 patients (4.6% of the sample) and at the age of 50 years or older in 23 patients (3.4% of the sample). Table-4 show patients distribution according to their age at time of diagnosis.

The time of delay between the onset of the symptoms and the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis was in an average of about 3.38 ± 3.8 years. The diagnosis of multiple sclerosis had been established within 1 year from the onset of multiple sclerosis related symptoms in 140 patients (20.7% of the sample). Furthermore, the diagnostic delay was less than 4 years in 457 patients (67.6% of the sample). Table-5 shows patients’ distribution according to the delay in their diagnosis of multiple sclerosis.

The onset of multiple sclerosis in the patients who had been enrolled in this study, was between 1980 to 2005. Only 10 patients (1.5% of the sample) had an onset of multiple sclerosis before the year of 1985, while the onset in 314 patients (46.4% of the sample) was at the year of 2000 or after. In regard to the year of diagnosis of multiple sclerosis, no one had been diagnosed before the year of 1985, while the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis had been achieved at the year of 2000 or after in 518 patients (76.6% of the sample), it should be emphasized that the figures represent the patients in the MS Clinic, and that they do not mean that there was no MS patients in the country before the mentioned years. Table-6 shows patients’ distribution according to the year of onset and year of diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. The duration of having multiple sclerosis ranged between to 26 years (8.6 ± 5.06 years). Table-7 shows patients’ distribution according to the duration of their multiple sclerosis.

Table-8 and figure-1 show patients' distribution according to their place of birth.
Table-9 and figure-2 show patients' distribution according to their residence.

Five hundred fifty eight (82.5% of the sample), 96 (14.2% of the sample) and 16 patients (2.4% of the sample) were Arabs, Kurds or Turkmen respectively. Table-10 shows patients' distribution according to their ethnic origin.

Muslims comprised 97% of the sample (656 patients), Christians were 12 patients (1.8% of the sample) and Mandaeans were 7 patients (1.04% of the sample). Table 11 shows patients' distribution according to their religion.

Positive family history of multiple sclerosis among 1st and 2nd degree relatives had been detected in 45 patients (6.7% of the sample). Table-12 show patients distribution according to their family history of multiple sclerosis.

Symptoms of motor, sensory, urinary and cerebellar abnormalities had been noticed in 647 (95.7%), 441 (65.2%), 400 (59.2%) and 345 patients (51.03%), respectively. Table-13 shows patients' distribution according to their symptoms of multiple sclerosis.

Signs of pyramidal tract, optic nerve, and cerebellar involvements had been observed in 517 (76.5%), 350 (51.8%), and 344 patients (50.9%), respectively. Table-14 show patients distribution according to their observed
Regarding the clinical course of multiple sclerosis, it was relapsing remitting in 455 patients (67.3% of the sample), primary progressive in 129 patients (19.1% of the sample) and secondary progressive in 92 patients (13.6% of the sample). Table-15 show patients distribution according to the clinical type of their multiple sclerosis.

Female to male ratio among patients with primary progressive multiple sclerosis was 1.01:1, while it was 2.3:1 among patients with relapsing remitting type. The mean age of onset observed in patients with PPMS was 39.6 ± 12.2 years, while it was 26.3 ± 7.9 years in patients with SPMS. Similarly, the mean age at diagnosis was 44.3 ± 9.6 years and 27.2 ± 10.2 years in patients with PPMS and SPMS, respectively. The mean time of delay between the onset and the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis was 1.3 ± 1.9 years and 3.5 ± 3.7 years in patients with PPMS and RRMS, respectively. Table-16 show patients distribution according to the clinical type of multiple sclerosis and different demographic features.

The Kurtzke Expanded Disability Status Score (EDSS) of the patients included in this study ranged between 1-9 (3.9 ± 1.8). This study had shown that the mean of the EDSS of patients having RRMS was 3.5 ± 1.69 with a range between 1-8, while its mean among patients with SPMS was 6.2 ± 1.01, with no one of them had an EDSS of less than 4. Table-17 show patients distribution according to clinical type of multiple sclerosis and their EDSS.

At the time of diagnosis, all of patients with PPMS and SPMS and 97.8% of those with RRMS (445 patients had been treated with methyl prednisolone. At the time of this study, 121 patients 409 patients with RRMS (89.9%), 29 patients with SPMS (31.5%) and 8 patients with PPMS (6.2%) were treated with Rebif®. Table-18 show patients distribution according to the clinical type of multiple sclerosis they had, and their treatment at diagnosis and at the time of the study.

The mean of EDSS among male patients was 3.98 ± 2.02 with a range between 1-9, while it was 3.4 ± 1.83 with a range of 1-7.5 among female patients. In addition, 72.2% of female patients (317 patients) and 57.4% of the male patients (136 patients) had EDSS of 5 or less. Table-19 shows patients' distribution according to their gender and EDSS.

This study indicated that 72% of those who had MS for less than 5 years (108 patients) had an EDSS of 0-3.5, and only 1.3% of them (2 patients) had an EDSS of 7 or more. Table-20 show patients distribution according to their EDSS and disease duration.

**Table 1.** Patients' distribution according to their current age.

| Current age (year-old) | Female | %     | Male | %     | F:M | Regardless gender |
|------------------------|--------|-------|------|-------|-----|-------------------|
| <20                    | 13     | 1.9%  |      |       |     | 38                |
| 20-29                  | 109    | 16.1% | 66   | 10.1% | 1.6:1| 302               |
| 30-39                  | 266    | 39.3% | 142  | 21%   | 1.6:1| 253               |
| 40-49                  | 214    | 31.7% | 132  | 19.1% | 1.6:1| 70                |
| 50-59                  | 64     | 9.5%  | 48   | 7.0%  | 1.6:1| 17                |
| 60                     | 10     | 1.5%  |      |       |     | 676               |
| Total                  | 439    | 64.9% | 237  | 35.1% |     |                   |
| Range                  | 13-62  |       |      |       |     |                   |
| Mean ± SD              | 37.9 ± 9.1 |   |     |       |     |                   |

**Table 2.** Patients' distribution according to their gender.

| Gender | No. | %     |
|--------|-----|-------|
| Female | 439 | 64.9% |
| Male   | 237 | 35.1% |
| F:M ratio | 1.85:1 | |

**Table 3.** Patients' distribution according to their age at onset with MS.

| Age at onset (year-old) | Female | %     | Male | %     | F:M | Regardless gender |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|------|-------|-----|-------------------|
| <20                     | 21     | 4.8%  | 13   | 5.5%  | 1.6:1| 38                |
| 20-29                   | 199    | 45.3% | 103  | 43.5% | 1.9:1| 302               |
| 30-39                   | 156    | 35.5% | 97   | 40.9% | 1.6:1| 253               |
| 40-49                   | 54     | 12.3% | 16   | 6.8%  | 3.4:1| 70                |
| 50-59                   | 9      | 2.1%  | 8    | 3.40% | 1:1  | 17                |
| Total                   | 439    | 100%  | 237  | 100%  | 1.85:1| 676               |
| Range                   | 7-56   |       |      |       |     |                   |
| Mean ± SD               | 29.17 ± 8.8 |   |     |       |     |                   |

**Table 4.** Patients' distribution according to their age at time of diagnosis of MS.

| Age at diagnosis (year-old) | No. | %     |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------|
| <20                         | 31  | 4.6%  |
| 20-29                       | 219 | 32.4% |
| 30-39                       | 261 | 38.6% |
| 40-49                       | 142 | 21%   |
| 50-59                       | 23  | 3.4%  |
| Total                       | 676 | 100%  |
| Range                       | 9-58|       |      |       |     |                   |
| Mean ± SD                   | 32.6 ± 8.9 |   |     |       |     |                   |
Table 5. Patients' distribution according to the diagnostic delay observed between the time of onset and the diagnosis of MS.

| Delay in diagnosis (year) | No. | %   |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|
| <1 year                  | 140 | 20.7% |
| 1-3                      | 317 | 46.9% |
| 4-6                      | 88  | 13%  |
| 7-9                      | 62  | 9.2%  |
| 10                       | 69  | 10.2% |
| Total                    | 676 | 100% |
| Mean ± SD                | 3.38 ± 3.8 |

Table 6. Patients' distribution according to the time of onset and diagnosis of MS.

| Year         | Onset of MS | %   | Diagnosis of MS | %   |
|--------------|-------------|-----|-----------------|-----|
| 1980-1984    | 10         | 1.5% | 0               | 0%  |
| 1985-1989    | 47         | 6.9% | 15              | 2.2% |
| 1990-1994    | 135        | 19.9%| 58              | 8.6% |
| 1995-1999    | 171        | 25.3%| 85              | 12.6%|
| 2000         | 314        | 46.4%| 518             | 76.6%|
| Total        | 676        | 100% | 676             | 100% |
| Range        | 1986-2005  |      |                 |     |

Table 7. Patients' distribution according to the duration of their disease.

| Duration (year) | No. | %   |
|-----------------|-----|-----|
| 2-4.9           | 4   | 0.6% |
| 5-9.9           | 146 | 21.6%|
| 10-14.9         | 282 | 41.7%|
| 15-19.9         | 143 | 21.2%|
| 20-24.9         | 78  | 11.5%|
| 25              | 19  | 2.8% |
| Total           | 676 | 100% |
| Mean ± SD       | 8.6 ± 5.06 |

Table 8. Patients' distribution according to their place of birth.

| Place of birth | No. | %   | Place of birth | No. | %   |
|----------------|-----|-----|----------------|-----|-----|
| Algeria        | 1   | 0.15| Karbala        | 16  | 2.4%|
| Anbar          | 20  | 2.96%| Karkuk         | 16  | 2.4%|
| Babil          | 19  | 2.8% | Wasit          | 22  | 3.3%|
| Baghdad        | 320 | 47.3%| Missan         | 13  | 1.9%|
| Basra          | 25  | 3.7% | Naynawa        | 52  | 7.7%|
| Qadisiyah      | 19  | 2.8% | Muthana        | 6   | 0.9%|
| Diyala         | 30  | 4.4% | Najaf          | 16  | 2.4%|
| Dobuk          | 8   | 1.2% | Dhiqar         | 10  | 1.5%|
| Erbil          | 18  | 2.7% | Suleimaniyah   | 22  | 3.3%|
| Finland        | 1   | 0.15%| Salahuddin     | 37  | 5.5%|
| Iran           | 5   | 0.7% |                |     |     |

Table 9. Patients' distribution according to their residence at time of registration in the clinic.

| Residence | No. | %   | Residence | No. | %   |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|-----|-----|
| Anbar     | 19  | 2.8%| Karkuk    | 16  | 2.4%|
| Babil     | 22  | 3.3%| Wasit     | 21  | 3.1%|
| Baghdad   | 323 | 47.8%| Missan    | 10  | 1.5%|
| Basra     | 19  | 2.8%| Naynawa   | 53  | 7.8%|
| Qadisiyah | 25  | 3.7%| Muthana   | 6   | 0.9%|
| Diyala    | 47  | 6.9%| Najaf     | 16  | 2.4%|
| Dobuk     | 8   | 1.2%| Dhiqar    | 6   | 0.9%|
| Erbil     | 11  | 1.6%| Suleimaniyah | 22 | 3.3%|
| Jordan    | 1   | 0.15%| Salahuddin | 35  | 5.2%|
| Karbala   | 16  | 2.4%|           |     |     |
Figure 1. Patients distribution according to their place of birth in different Iraqi Governorates.

Figure 2. Patients distribution according to their site of residence in different Iraqi Governorates.
Table 10. Patients’ distribution according to their ethnic origin.

| Ethnicity  | No. | %    |
|------------|-----|------|
| Indians    | 1   | 0.15%|
| Iranians   | 5   | 0.7% |
| Kurds      | 96  | 14.2%|
| Turkmen    | 16  | 2.4% |
| Arabs      | 558 | 82.5%|
| Total      | 676 | 100% |

Table 11. Patients’ distribution according to their religion.

| Religion    | No. | %    |
|-------------|-----|------|
| Christian   | 12  | 1.8% |
| Yazidi      | 1   | 0.15%|
| Mandaean    | 7   | 1.04%|
| Muslim      | 656 | 97%  |
| Total       | 676 | 100% |

Table 12. Patients’ distribution according to their family history of MS.

| Family history | No. | %    |
|----------------|-----|------|
| Positive family history | 45  | 6.7% |
| Negative family history | 631 | 93.3%|
| Total           | 676 | 100% |

Table 13. Patients' distribution according to their symptoms.

| Symptoms of MS             | No. | %    |
|----------------------------|-----|------|
| Motor symptoms             | 647 | 95.7%|
| Sensory symptoms           | 441 | 65.2%|
| Cerebellar symptoms        | 345 | 51.03%|
| Brainstem symptoms         | 338 | 50%  |
| Visual symptoms            | 347 | 51.3%|
| Urinary symptoms           | 400 | 59.2%|
| Fecal symptoms             | 65  | 9.6% |
| Cognitive impairment       | 75  | 11.1%|
| Epilepsy                   | 21  | 3.1% |

Table 14. Patients’ distribution according to their observed signs.

| Signs of MS                  | No. | %    |
|------------------------------|-----|------|
| Facial weakness              | 84  | 12.4%|
| Pyramidal signs              | 517 | 76.5%|
| Cerebellar signs             | 344 | 50.9%|
| Optic nerve signs            | 350 | 51.8%|
| Brainstem signs              | 341 | 50.4%|
| Sensory signs                | 335 | 49.6%|
| Cognitive impairment         | 93  | 13.8%|

Table 15. Patients’ distribution according to the clinical type of their MS.

| Clinical type                          | No. | %    |
|----------------------------------------|-----|------|
| Relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) | 455 | 67.3%|
| Primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS) | 129 | 19.1%|
| Secondary progressive multiple sclerosis | 92  | 13.6%|
| Total                                  | 676 | 100% |

Table 16. Patients’ distribution according to the type of MS they had and to their demographic features.

|                       | PPMS (n= 129) | SPMS (n = 92) | RRMS (n= 455) |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                       | No. | %    | No. | %    | No.  | %   |
| According to gender   |     |      |     |      |      |     |
| Male (n= 237)         | 64  | 49.6%| 36  | 39.1%| 137  | 30.1%|
| Female (n= 439)       | 65  | 50.4%| 56  | 60.9%| 318  | 69.9%|
| F:M ratio             | 1.01:1        | 1.5:1         | 2.3:1         |
| According to age at onset <20 (n = 34) | 5   | 3.9% | 13  | 14.1%| 16   | 3.5% |
| 20-39 (n = 555)       | 66  | 51.2%| 64  | 69.6%| 422  | 92.7%|
5. Discussion

The past fifteen years has witnessed an explosion of interest in multiple sclerosis sparked by a combination of advances in unraveling the basic science of the disease and the introduction of the first medications that alter the natural history of this still-mysterious disorder [24]. Focused efforts over the past two decades have resulted in development of powerful longitudinal databases that allow assessment of the contribution of individual genetic and environmental influences on MS susceptibility and disease course. These efforts has allowed refinement of risk assessment for individuals deemed at risk for MS, facilitated the development of preventive therapies, and identified factors that influence treatment decisions such as prediction of response to a specific therapy [25]. MS can be considered as one of the most important health problems that consume
good portion of the health cost. About 300,000 to 350,000 people are estimated to have MS in the United States, incurring a cost of about $10 billion per year at 1994 [26]. One can imagine the economic burden of MS as up to 2 million people are affected worldwide, an all of the economic effect of this disease in term of cost of direct health care, in addition to lost productivity [27]. Despite the introduction of disease modifying treatments with modest effect on overall disease outcome, current costs are much higher [28-29].

The prevalence of MS across most of Asia is low [30]. Low prevalence rates were reported in Taiwan (0.8 per 100,000) [31], China (0.22-0.6 per 100,000) [32-33], India (0.22-0.6 per 100,000) [34], Korea (1.8-2.0 per 100,000) [35-36], Malaysia (2.0 per100,000) [37], and Thailand (2.0 per 100,000) [38]. Medium prevalence rates have been reported in Arabic populations, particularly in Mediterranean populations (Jordan, 20 per 100,000 and Palestine 42 per 100,000) [39] in which prevalence is higher than in Gulf populations (Kuwait, 9.5 per 100,000, and Saudi Arabia, 8.0 per 100,000) [40, 41]. In Iraq, no specific idea about the frequency of MS among different Iraqi populations could have been obtained before establishing the MS clinic in 2000 at Baghdad Teaching Hospital. A report on MS in Iraq, published at 1958, described only 13 patients with MS, seen between 1944 and 1954 [42]. During our study period, 676 files had been evaluated, furthermore, additional 245 files had been excluded from the evaluation because they were did not continue their visiting to the Clinic and did not complete their investigations which are required for definite diagnosis of MS. This major increase in the number of cases seen over 60 years must rise many questions to be asked in order to reach the point of well understanding about the nature and the size of MS as a health problem in our country. This study revealed that there was a progressive rise in the number of diagnosed cases over more than 25 years period, it had been found that no cases had been diagnosed before 1985, while 518 out of 676 cases (76.6% of the evaluated cases) had been diagnosed after the establishment of the MS clinic i.e. 2000 and after (see table-6). This considerably larger number of patients diagnosed with MS during the current decade can be explained by the following factors:

1- Better case ascertainment and the higher number of well-trained neurologist available to supply their diagnostic and medical care services. Benediket al showed in their study that over 100 year period, the incidence of MS in Iceland raised from 0.25 per 100,000 population at 1901-1905 to 3.71-5.28 cases per 100,000 population during the 1990s. This progressive increase in the incidence had been associated with similar increase in number of neurologist in Iceland that there was no neurologist in Iceland at 1909 while 15 neurologists provided their medical care to the population of Iceland in the 1990s [43].

2- Recent improvements in MS therapy, particularly the introduction of the interferons in the 1990s, have heightened public awareness of the disease and may have brought patients to medical attention earlier [44].

3- The availability of modern investigatory techniques such as MRI and inclusion of these investigations as a diagnostic criteria for MS. Barnett et al found that the introduction of MRI finding into the diagnosis of MS was consistent with the finding that a greater proportion of patients with shorter disease duration (<10 years) were included in the recent years of their study [45].

4- It is important to consider that prevalence studies performed at different time points are subject to certain hazards. The diagnostic criteria for MS continue to evolve. In most studies, the diagnosis has been ascertained by clinical criteria, generally the Schumacher or Poser criteria. The application of the incompletely validated McDonald criteria will increase the prevalence because MRI lesion activity, sufficient for the MRI-based diagnostic criteria, commonly precedes clinical activity. Increasing awareness of MS, earlier recognition of cases, and prolonged survival pose additional challenges for the epidemiologist [46].

5- This increase in the number of MS cases that had been diagnosed during this decade might point also toward an undetermined environmental or socioeconomic factors.

A female preponderance of nearly two women to every man with MS has long been recognized [47, 48], in agreement with the data from other autoimmune disorders, such as systemic lupus erythematosus [49] and rheumatoid arthritis [50]. Our study indicated a female to male ratio of about 1.85:1, which is quite similar to the ratio of 2 females to 1 male described above. The role of gender in transmission is yet to be clearly defined. In a paper published at 2002, it had been hypothesized that microchimerism increases susceptibility to MS and may have a direct relationship to the gender bias in MS [51]. In addition, Riepert B. in his short review about MS and the difference in gender distribution proposed that this difference is probably due to sex hormones and he had concluded from animal studies that testosterone has some protective effect against the disease [52]. However, gender distribution of cases with MS seems to be affected by the age of onset, varied geographically, and might be reversed in certain clinical types of MS.

It had been considered that the female to male ratio seems to increase with onset prepuberty [53, 54]. In a study done at Japan and enrolled patients with childhood onset MS, it had been revealed that 19 out of the 27 cases included in the study was female carrying a female to male ratio of about 2.4:1 [55]. However, the results of our study showed no higher female preponderance specific to prepuberty age group, it showed that the female to male ratio was 1.6:1 among those who were younger than 20 year-old which was not higher or even it was less than the ratio observed in the other age groups. This finding could be related to the geographic variation that could affect the gender distribution of MS patients. On reviewing the female to male ratio of different countries enlisted one can found the prominent
difference in this ratio between different areas of the world, in addition, the ratio of 1.85:1 that had been estimated from the data of our study appeared to be quite similar to the ratios estimated in the neighboring countries like Jordan (1.9:1) [56], Saudi Arabia (1.34:1) [57], Kuwait (1.7:1) [58], and Iran (1.52:1) [59].

| Country           | F:M  | Country           | F:M  |
|-------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| Iraq (current study) | 1.85:1 | England [96] | 2.6:1 |
| Jordan [80]       | 1.9:1  | Malaysia [78] | 5:1   |
| Saudi Arabia [81] | 1.34:1 | Thailand [79] | 4:1   |
| Kuwait [82]       | 1.7:1  | Taiwan [72]    | 3.2:1 |
| Iran [95]         | 1.52:1 | Hong Kong [73] | 2.9:1 |
|                   |       | Korea [76]     | 0.47:1|
|                   |       | Japan [97, 98] | 1.5-6:1 |

Table 21. Female to male ratio estimated in different countries.

The other important feature in the gender distribution of multiple sclerosis is that female preponderance could be less prominent or even reaching the degree of equal frequency between male and female populations, as in cases of primary progressive MS where male might be more equally affected between male and female populations, as in cases of primary progressive MS, observed in our study. This percentages were little bit lower than what had been reported the mean age at onset, in cases with PPMS, as 43 by Shepherd [75], 37.3 by Confavreux et al [76], 37.5 by Minderhoud et al [77], 38.5 by Weinshenker et al [78], 41.2 by Andersson et al [79] and 39.5 by McDonnel and Hawkins [80]. Furthermore, our study indicated that 58 out of 87 patients (66.7%), whose were 40 years or older, had progressive course from the onset of their MS. This is supported by the results of Leibowitz et al [81] and Cazullo et al [82] who found that 57% and 49%, respectively, of those with onset after age 40 had a primary progressive course. Interestingly, the Ontario cohort has also demonstrated that with each passing decade, there is a steadily increasing proportion of patients with progressive disease from onset [83].

In addition, it had been observed from analyzing the data obtained from our study, that the diagnostic delay was the shortest in patients with PPMS (mean diagnostic delay was 1.3 years) with 41.1% of those with PPMS diagnosed within the first year from the onset of their symptoms. This finding of earlier diagnosis can be related to the agreement of many other studies that the time required to reach a given level of disability is shorter in patients with primary progressive form [84, 85].

In this study, it had been observed that the mean EDSS at the time of registration in the MS clinic was significantly lower in the RRMS group (3.5) compared to 6.2 in SPMS and 4.6 in PPMS patients. As mentioned above, RRMS had been considered as one of the good prognostic feature in the natural history of MS. In a total population based 50 year prospective study done at Iceland by Benedikz et al [86], it had been shown that after 15 years, only 20% have progressed beyond and EDSS of 3.5, thus 80% have remained in the benign group. While by 15 years, 80% of those with primary progressive course have reached EDSS of 4 or more, and of those half become severe i.e. ≥7. After 30 years more than 60% of those with primary progressive course have become severe and the rest have an EDSS of 4 or more [84]. The current study showed also that 82.6% of those with MS for 20 years or more had an EDSS of 4 or more.

In this study sample, all of those with secondary progressive course had an EDSS of 4 or more with a mean EDSS of about 6.2, which was the highest in comparison
with the means of other clinical types. This finding can be supported by that in the Lyon Natural History MS cohort, it had been concluded that the time course of disability accumulation during the progressive phase of the disease was more rapid in secondary progressive MS than in primary progressive MS [87, 88]. This finding is in agreement with those from other series [89, 90].

On reviewing the maps showing the distribution of Iraqi MS patients according to their place of birth and place of current residence, no clear geographic gradient can be concluded. Although the number of cases born in Naynawa was 52 and those born in Muthana in the south of Iraq was only 6, but the geographic distribution of the patients seemed to be concentrated in a geographic clusters. These clusters included, from north to south, Naynawa (52 patients), Salahuddin (37 patients), Diyala (30 patients), Baghdad (320 patients), and Basra (25 patients). These clusters sited in areas where medical facilities were available more and where well trained physicians and/or neurologist were available in larger number. What can support this finding, was that just adjacent to these clusters, there were areas having only few patients in their territories. One can notice that the number of Iraqi MS patients born in Dohuk governorate at the far north of Iraq, which is adjacent to the cluster of Naynawa, was just 8 patients. Similarly, the number of patients born in Muthana Governorate in the far south of Iraq, which is adjacent to the cluster of Basra, was just 6 patients. One of the possible explanation is that the differences in the performance and availability of medical services between adjacent governorates and the difference in the knowledge and index of suspicion about MS. This can be supported by the finding that in Baghdad, where the medical services and performance supposed to be the best in Iraq, the number of cases born or lived in Baghdad was 10 times or more higher in comparison to any other governorate.

The interesting finding about the nature of MS in Iraqi patients was the predominance of motor symptoms and signs at the time of registration in the MS clinic. 95.7% of the patients (647 patients) had motor symptoms at registration or at anytime prior to it, followed in term of frequency by the sensory symptoms (65.2% of the sample). Rot and Mesec showed in their retrospective study, which had been published recently, that motor and sensory symptoms were more equally distributed in relapsing remitting MS, while motor symptoms predominated in primary progressive MS and were found in over 90% of patients [91]. However, in a study done at Iran by Ale-Yasin et al, it had been shown that only 13.7% of their sample had motor symptoms at presentation [95]. This higher frequency of motor dysfunction detected in our sample in comparison with the Iranian sample, might be related to the higher frequency of primary progressive MS observed in our sample. Furthermore, this big difference in frequency of motor disabilities can be caused by the differences in the method of case ascertainment between our study and the Iranian study. Our method was much closer to the method used by Rot and Mesec who analyze retrospectively MS patients attending the biggest medical center in Slovenia. While, the method of case ascertainment in the Iranian study was by announcement in 2 national newspapers inviting MS patients to participate in the study, this could cause loss of many cases with motor dysfunction, who could not walk and present themselves to the research workers in the Iranian study. It is vital to mention that the importance of discovering motor signs in patients with MS comes from that involvement of motor functions is considered as one of the unfavorable prognostic indicators in multiple sclerosis [92].

6. Conclusions and Recommendations

During the last 2 decades, MS was increasingly encountered in Iraq, particularly during the last 5 years after the establishment of MS clinic in Baghdad.

Regardless of the clinical type or age, females predominate the frequency of MS in Iraq in a ratio that is not quite different from what was observed in other epidemiological studies.

The age of onset of most of the MS cases in Iraq laid in the 3rd and the 4th decades of life.

MS cases in Iraq distributed in a three main clusters pattern rather than North-South gradient.

Relapsing remitting MS was the most frequent form encountered in Iraq.

Primary progressive MS showed a more even gender distribution and older age at onset with the shortest diagnostic delay.

Secondary progressive MS was the least common clinical type, with younger age at onset, and most severe disability.

Most of MS patients had negative family history of the disease.

Motor symptoms and signs were the most frequently encountered clinical features followed by the sensory symptoms and signs.

Most of the cases had either mild or moderate disease depending on their EDSS scoring.

Relapsing remitting form of MS and being female were associated with the mildest severity.

With increasing duration of the disease, functional disabilities showed a progressive increase in frequency and more cases pass toward the moderate or the severe forms.

It is recommended to establish an electronic medical filing system, that can be used as a fundamental base for an informative database which can help the medical staff and research workers to know more about the nature of MS as one of the evolving health problems in Iraq and allowing proper planning for better medical services provision to such unlucky patients with such a disabling disease.

It is recommended also to arrange and make prospective studies that involve good bulk of MS patients in Iraq and focus on any possible difference in response to the available treatment and its effect on the overall natural history of this disease in patients receiving such a costly medication.
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