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Abstract

Inflammatory bowel disease and ulcerative colitis are complex multifactorial diseases of unknown etiology. The etiological role of the sulfate-reducing bacteria *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7 and *Desulfomicrobium* sp. Rod-9 in the development of these inflammatory diseases in rats was studied. Applying sulfate-reducing bacteria, ulcerative colitis in the animals was experimentally induced for the first time. In total 45 animals in three groups were separated and used in this experiment. Animals in the first group received the standard diet containing a special certified feed for rats and were used as a control. The second group received the standard diet and a dose (1 ml per each day) of modified Kravtsov-Sorokin's liquid medium for the initiation of own potential animal intestinal microflora of sulfate-reducing bacteria. The third group of the animals received the standard diet and dose of a suspension with *D. piger* Vib-7 and *Desulfomicrobium* sp. Rod-9 (at a ratio of 1 to 1) in the medium. The changes in the colonic microbiota under these conditions were studied. The bacteria belonging to the normal colonic microbiota were associated with the etiology of inflammatory bowel disease and ulcerative colitis. The concentration of sulfide and acetate in feces from different sections of the large intestine of the rats was determined. The level of ulcerations in the second and third groups of sick animals under the specific conditions was demonstrated. The described experimental results could be particularly useful for the study of inflammatory bowel disease and ulcerative colitis and its therapeutic strategy. These data are also indispensable to apply into mechanistic details that will facilitate the better preclinical drug/therapy design to target specific components involved in the disease pathogenesis.
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1. Introduction

Sulfate-reducing bacteria *Desulfovibrio*, *Desulfomicrobium*, *Desulfobulbus*, *Desulfobacter*, *Desulfomonas* and *Desulfotomaculum* genera belong to the intestinal microbiota of humans and animals [1,2,3]. They consume sulfate as an electron acceptor and organic compounds as an electron donor and carbon source in the process of dissimilatory sulfate reduction [4,5,6]. Lactate is the most common substrate used by the species belonging to the intestinal sulfate-reducing bacteria [7,8]. The species of *Desulfovibrio* and *Desulfomicrobium* genera oxidize lactate incompletely to acetate [1,5,9]. Lactate oxidation to acetate occurs together with the concurrent reduction of sulfate to sulfide [4,6,10,11]. The presence of lactate and sulfate in the human intestine contributes to the intensive bacteria growth and the accumulation of their final metabolic products, acetate and hydrogen sulfide, which are toxic, mutagenic and cancerogenic to epithelial intestinal cells [2,7,8,13,14]. The increased number of sulfate-reducing bacteria and intense process of dissimilatory sulfate reduction in the gut can cause inflammatory bowel diseases of humans and animals [8,12,15,16]. These bacteria are often found in persons with rheumatic diseases, and with ankylosing spondylitis, etc. [1,18,19]. There is also an assumption that sulfate-reducing bacteria can cause some forms of cancer of the rectum through the formation of hydrogen sulfide [14,15,20], which affects the metabolism of intestinal cells and give rise to various inflammatory bowel diseases [12,13,17,21]. The species of *Desulfovibrio* genus cause bloody diarrhea, weight loss, anorexia, epithelial hyperplasia, abscesses and inflammatory infiltrates in animals and humans [2,3,8,14,15,19]. The increased number of sulfate-reducing bacteria was found in feces from people with ulcerative colitis compared with healthy individuals [2,3,10,17,19]. The injection of these bacteria in hamster intestine caused infection is clinically similar to human colitis [14,15]. Animal models of inflammatory bowel disease and ulcerative colitis have been used for over fifty years [21,22,23,24].
Subsequent refinement and development of this model led to a variety of chemically-induced models [21]. These models have recently been comprehensively reviewed in the context of the role of intestinal bacteria in the development of inflammatory bowel disease [21,25].

Animal models of colitis which can cause disease experimentally in the presence of sulfate containing compounds are increasingly used by the scientists. Additions of carrageenan, sodium lignosulfonate and amylopectin sulfate in drinking water of guinea pigs and rabbits caused damage to the intestine which had clinically symptoms similar to human ulcerative colitis [14]. The inflammation was localized in distal to the cecum. In humans, the disease always occurs in the distal colon. The severity of the disease was correlated with sulfate content in the polymer. The mechanisms of these diseases are not fully understood. However, the activation of the immune response occurred in these animals after the introduction of sulfates in food, and damage to the intestinal mucosa was also observed [14,15,16]. The presence of carrageenan in the intestine of mice as well as its interaction with normal microbiota can also cause colitis. Chronic colitis in mice and hamsters using dextran sulfate was experimental induced [8,22].

The studies of animal models of intestinal inflammation and ulcerative colitis in humans showed that intestinal bacteria play an important role in the initiation and maintenance of the inflammation [18,22]. The presence of sulfate is also important in the development of these diseases [1,2,8,9,12,17]. The disruption of the qualitative and quantitative composition of the microflora of the digestive tract may be due to infections, stress, antibiotics, chemotherapy, radiation action, poisoning, poor nutrition, and others [8,12]. The changes in the composition of intestinal microflora, in turn, can cause disorders of metabolism processes and immune status, which indicates the close relationships between host and his normal microflora [18].

In literature, there are a lot of data on the role of various microorganisms in the development of inflammatory bowel diseases and ulcerative colitis as well as the characteristics of the animal models of these intestinal inflammations which were chemically created [2,3,7,12,13,16,17,19,24]. However, the role of intestinal sulfate-reducing bacteria Desulfovibrio piper and Desulfomicrobium sp. in the development of these diseases has never been well-characterized. As far as it is aware, the creation of the inflammatory bowel diseases and ulcerative colitis using D. piper and Desulfomicrobium sp. has not been reported yet.

The aim of this work was to study the etiological role of the intestinal sulfate-reducing bacteria Desulfovibrio piper Vib-7 and Desulfomicrobium sp. Rod-9 in the inflammatory bowel diseases as well as to create of ulcerative colitis in rats by applying these bacterial strains.

2. Materials and Methods

**Animals.** All manipulations with the animals were carried out under the principles of the “European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals Used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes” (Strasbourg, 1986), the Decision of the First National Congress on Bioethics (Kyiv, 2001) and the Law of Ukraine “On Protection Animals from Brutal Treatment” (Kyiv, 2006).

The laboratory male rats (seven months age, weight 250±25 g) were held under standard vivarium conditions. The laboratory animals belonged to fourth class of cleanliness by microbiological status. The diet contained a standard certified feed PK-120-1 for laboratory rats.

In total 45 animals in three groups were separated (15 animals in each group) and used in this experiment. The first group received the standard diet containing the certified feed and was used as a control; second and third groups were taken for creation of an experimental animal model of ulcerative colitis. Animals of the second group received the standard feed and dose (1 ml per each day) of modified Kravtsov-Sorokin's liquid medium (without Mohr's salt) [26] for the initiation of their own potential microflora of the sulfate-reducing bacteria. Third group animals received the standard feed and dose of a suspension with *D. piper* Vib-7 and *Desulfomicrobium* sp. Rod-9 (at a ratio of 1 to 1) in the medium (1 ml per each day; the concentration of bacterial cells was 3 mg/ml). The strains of the sulfate-reducing bacteria were previously isolated from the human large intestine and identified by sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene [26,27]. Kravtsov-Sorokin's liquid medium or suspension with microorganisms was peroral introduced into the stomach using a metal probe directly during 25 days.

The qualitative and quantitative composition of intestinal microflora was studied in the animals of the control and experimental groups after 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 days from the beginning of injection of the medium or bacterial cell suspension. The animals were decapitated under ether anesthesia and the isolated colonic segments were selected for these studies.

**Bacteriology.** To study of the lumen microflora of the rat colon, squeezed contents of 3-cm size segment of the distal colon was taken by sterile forceps under sterile conditions and weighed. The weighed sample was added in a sterile tube with tenfold volume of sterile isotonic sodium chloride solution (dilution 10^-1). The mixture was thoroughly triturated with a sterile glass rod to form a homogeneous mass. Subsequently, a series of ten-fold dilutions (from 10^-2...10^-12) in sterile isotonic sodium chloride solution was prepared from the homogenate. After ten-fold serial dilutions in sterile isotonic sodium chloride solution, the dimensional volumes (0.1 ml) were passaged on the selective microbial culture media for each genus of *Enterobacteriacea* family. Identification of the isolated cultures of anaerobic and aerobic microorganisms was carried out by morphological, tinctirial, cultural, biochemical properties [5] using test system API 20E for identification of *Enterobacteriacea* family. The sulfate-reducing bacteria were grown in a nutrition-modified Kravtsov-Sorokin's liquid medium and their identification was carried out as described previously [26]. Growth of the sulfate-reducing bacteria was evidenced by black staining (ferrous sulfide) of the media. After incubation, the number of colonies was counted and population level of each group of microorganisms in log_{10} colony-forming units (CFU) per gram wet weight stool was recorded and used in calculations.

The colon microbiota was assessed by Sustainability Index (SI, %) and detection frequencies (P):
\[ SI = \frac{p}{P} \times 100; P_i = \frac{A}{B}, \]

where SI is sustainability index (%), \( p \) is number of samples containing the studied bacterial strain, \( P \) is total number of samples containing all isolates of the bacteria; \( A \) is the number of strains of the specific type, \( B \) is total number of all strains.

**Sulfide release by incubated fecal specimens.** After 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 days from the beginning of injection of the medium and bacterial cells suspension, fresh fecal samples from different sections of the large intestine were passed into preweighed containers: 20-ml polypropylene syringes for measurement of \( H_2S \) release; pre-weighted 50-ml test tubes containing 5 ml of 2% zinc acetate (ice cold) for \( H_2S \) and acetate concentration measurements; and 4-ml vials for sulfate-reducing bacteria culture [28].

Following collection of the fecal sample, the plunger was immediately reinserted into the syringe, the gas space was flushed with nitrogen (\( N_2 \)), 20 ml of \( N_2 \) was added, and the syringe was sealed with a stopcock. The syringes were incubated at +37°C, and at 1, 2, 4, and 24 h the gas volume was recorded and a 0.3-ml gas sample was removed for analysis for \( H_2S \). At 24 h, the syringes were weighed, and fecal weight determined by difference. The rate of release of \( H_2S \) per gram wet weight was calculated from the concentration of \( H_2S \), the volume of the gas space, and fecal weight [28,29].

**Sulfate concentration of feces.** The vial containing the fecal sample and zinc acetate was weighed and fecal weight determined by difference. The volume of zinc acetate was adjusted to give a 1:100 ratio of feces to zinc acetate and the sample was homogenized. A 0.5-ml aliquot of the homogenate was added to a 20-ml polypropylene syringe, 0.5 ml of 12 N HCl was instilled into the syringe, and the syringe was sealed with a stopcock. After 30 min, a 0.3-ml aliquot of gas space was analyzed for \( H_2S \) [28,29].

**Acetate concentration of feces.** The fresh fecal samples were also used for measurements of acetate concentration in different sections of the large intestine after 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 days. The concentration of acetate was determined using Acetate Colorimetric Assay Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Catalog Number MAK086).

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical calculations of the results were carried out using the software MS Office and Origin computer programs. All data were expressed as mean R standard error of the mean (S.E.M.). The research results were treated by the methods of variation statistics using Student t-test. Characteristics of different treatment groups were compared with the unpaired t-test. Paired t-tests were also used to examine changes over the trial period within each group. The equation of the straight line that best approximates the experimental data was calculated by the method of least squares. The absolute value of the correlation coefficient \( r \) was from 0.90 to 0.98. The statistical significance of the calculated parameters of line was tested by the Fisher’s F-test. The accurate approximation was when \( P \leq 0.05 \) [30].

### 3. Results and Discussion

Microbiological analysis of the intestinal microflora of the rats during the twenty-five days was carried out. The samples were selected from each group of animals on the fifth, tenth, fifteenth, twentieth, and twenty-fifth day (Figure 1). The results of these studies showed that microflora of the first group of the animals (control) receiving the standard diet during twenty-five days was almost unchanged. In total, fifteen genera of the bacteria were isolated, in particular Bacteroides, Prevotella, Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, Eubacterium, Fusobacterium, Clostridium, Peptococcus, Peptostreptococcus, E. coli (lactose-positive), E. coli (lactose-negative), Proteus, Klebsiella, Enterococcus, Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Candida, and some sulfate-reducing bacteria. The largest number and predominant of the microorganisms among these genera (log_{10} CFU/gram): Bacteroides (9.14±0.89), Prevotella (9.11±0.87), Lactobacillus (9.24±0.91), Peptococcus (9.08±0.93), and Bifidobacterium (8.99±0.86) on the fifth day were detected. A slightly lower number of the intestinal bacterial genera Eubacterium (8.72±0.85), Fusobacterium (8.25±0.82), Peptostreptococcus (8.82±0.78), Entero-coccus (7.84±0.74), Streptococcus (6.22±0.63) were observed. The lowest number of the microorganisms E. coli (lactose-positive) (5.61±0.54), Staphylococcus (5.03±0.47), Candida (4.42±0.39), Proteus (3.24±0.33), Clostridium (2.72±0.24), E. coli (lactose-negative) (0.54±0.051), Klebsiella (0.39±0.035), and sulfate-reducing bacteria (0.58±0.055) were isolated. The quantitative and qualitative ratio of these microorganisms was almost constant during 25 days in the first (control) group of the experimental animals.

Addition a dose of the modified Kravtsov-Sorokin's liquid medium which contained sulfate ions (1 ml per each day) in the standard animal diet (second group) and the dose of a suspension with D. piper Vib-7 and Desulfomicrobium sp. Rod-9 (at a ratio of 1 to 1) in the medium (third group), the changes in the microflora were already observed on the fifth day of the experiment. In this case, the injection of the medium to animal gastrointestinal tract caused a reduction in the number of Lactobacillus (20%), Peptococcus (14%), Candida (21%), and an increase in the number of E. coli (lactose-negative) (70%), Proteus (13%), Klebsiella and Staphylococcus (28%), and the sulfate-reducing bacteria (86%) in the second animal group on the fifth day. The injection of the dose of the suspension with the strains of sulfate-reducing bacteria to the tract led to the reduction in the number of Lactobacillus (30%), Peptococcus (22%), Candida (25%), and the increase in the number of Clostridium (40%), E. coli (lactose-negative) (78%), Proteus (26%), Klebsiella (36%) and Staphylococcus (30%), and the sulfate-reducing bacteria (92%) in third animal group on the fifth day of the detection compared to the control.

As this study shows, the qualitative and quantitative changes in the intestinal microflora depended on the time of the introduction of the dose of the sulfate containing medium or bacterial suspension. Each additional dose of the medium or the suspension caused significant violation of the bowel microbiocenosis in the rats on the 10th, 15th, 20th, 25th day of detection.

The greatest qualitative and quantitative changes in the microflora in the second and third animal groups were observed on the 25th day. Under these conditions, everyday introduction of the dose of the medium or the suspension to animal gastrointestinal tract led to significant reduction in the number of Bifidobacterium and...
Lactobacillus (90%), Eubacterium (30%), Peptococcus (73%), Peptostreptococcus (57%), Enterococcus (86%), Candida (77%), and the increase in the number of Clostridium (71%), E. coli (lactose-positive) (79%), E. coli (lactose-negative) (94%), Proteus (63%), Klebsiella (80%), Staphylococcus (43%), and the sulfate-reducing bacteria (95%) in the second animal group compared to the control on the 25th day.

Figure 1. The microflora of the distal colon in the rats under the effect of specific conditions during twenty-five days: 1 – Bacteroides, 2 – Prevotella, 3 – Bifidobacterium, 4 – Lactobacillus, 5 – Eubacterium, 6 – Fusobacterium, 7 – Clostridium, 8 – Peptococcus, 9 – Peptostreptococcus, 10 – Escherichia coli (lactose-positive), 11 – Escherichia coli (lactose-negative), 12 – Proteus, 13 – Klebsiella, 14 – Enterococcus, 15 – Staphylococcus, 16 – Streptococcus, 17 – Candida, 18 – Sulfate-reducing bacteria. Statistical significance of the values M ± m, n = 3; *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, compared to first (control) animals group.
Significant reduction in the number of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium (93–95%), Eubacterium (32%), Pepto-coccus (81%), Peptostreptococcus (62%), Enterococcus (91%), Candida (79%), and the increase in the number of Clostridium (73%), E. coli (lactose-positive) (81%), E. coli (lactose-negative) (96%), Proteus (67%), Klebsiella (86%), Staphylococcus (45%), and the sulfate-reducing bacteria (97%) compared to the control in the third animal group was observed on the 25th day.

The sustainability index (SI, %) and frequencies (P) of the microflora is very important indicator of the intestinal bacteria number to characterize the bowel conditions and its microbiota.

The analysis of the sustainability index and frequencies of the intestinal microflora of different animal group on the twenty-fifth day was carried out. The results of this study showed that the SI and P were significantly different for the three groups of animals (Table 1).

Table 1. Sustainability index and frequencies of the microflora of the distal colon in the rats

| Microorganisms                  | Groups of animals | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                                 | N  | SI (%) | P    | N  | SI (%) | P    | N  | SI (%) | P    |
| Bacteroides                     | 25 | 100   | 0.102| 30 | 100   | 0.101| 28 | 100   | 0.086|
| Prevotella                      | 15 | 60    | 0.062| 18 | 65    | 0.061| 21 | 70    | 0.065|
| Bifidobacterium                | 24 | 100   | 0.099| 7***| 10***| 0.024***| 4***| 8***| 0.012***|
| Lacotobacillus                 | 28 | 100   | 0.115| 9** | 14** | 0.033***| 6** | 11** | 0.018***|
| Eubacterium                    | 22 | 80    | 0.091| 26 | 75    | 0.087| 24 | 85    | 0.074|
| Fusobacterium                  | 15 | 55    | 0.062| 17 | 58    | 0.057| 19 | 60    | 0.059|
| Clostridium                    | 4  | 15    | 0.016| 1.5***| 0.051***| 1.8***| 0.056***|
| Peptococcus                    | 11 | 40    | 0.045| 8*  | 25*  | 0.027*| 6*  | 21*  | 0.018*|
| Escherichia coli (lactose-positive) | 9  | 45    | 0.037| 7   | 23*  | 0.021**| 2** | 17*  | 0.006**|
| Escherichia coli (lactose-negative) | 23 | 90    | 0.095| 25  | 95    | 0.084| 21 | 100   | 0.065|
| Proteus                        | 3  | 15    | 0.101| 7.5**| 98** | 0.071***| 25**| 100**| 0.077**|
| Klebsiella                     | 2  | 5     | 0.008| 8***| 29** | 0.027***| 10**| 42** | 0.031**|
| Enterococcus                   | 21 | 100   | 0.086| 25  | 100   | 0.084| 22 | 100   | 0.068|
| Staphylococcus                 | 15 | 100   | 0.062| 19  | 100   | 0.064| 25 | 100   | 0.079|
| Streptococcus                  | 5  | 60    | 0.021| 13**| 93*  | 0.044**| 27**| 98*  | 0.083**|
| Candida                        | 8  | 35    | 0.033| 6   | 50    | 0.020*| 10 | 72*  | 0.031|
| Sulfate-reducing bacteria      | 5  | 33    | 0.021| 25***| 100***| 0.084***| 30***| 100***| 0.093***|

Comment: N is number of the isolated strains; SI is sustainability index; P is frequencies. Data were calculated for intestinal microflora on twenty-fifth day. Statistical significance of the values M ± m, n = 3; *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, compared to first (control) animals group.

These data were also consistent to previously obtained results of the qualitative and quantitative changes in the microflora in the rats on the twenty-fifth day. The predominant bacteria of the colon of the first (control) animals group were Bacteroides (N25, Sl100%; P100.102), Prevotella (N25, Sl60%; P0.062), Bifidobacterium (N25, Sl100%; P0.099), Lactobacillus (N25, Sl100%; P0.115), Eubacterium (N25, Sl90%; P0.091), Fusobacterium (N25, Sl55%; P0.062), Escherichia coli (lactose-positive) (N25, Sl80%; P0.084), and Enterococcus (N25, Sl100%; P0.086). These data indicated that the bacterial genera were dominant representatives of the obligate microflora of the lumen distal colon in the rats. It should be also noted that the values SI and P for genera Peptostreptococcus (N25, Sl45%; P0.037), Peptococcus (N25, Sl60%; P0.044), and for yeast Candida genus (N50, Sl55%; P0.031) were lower compared to other isolated genera. The sustainability index and the frequency of detection were also lower for Proteus (N6, Sl90%; P0.035), Klebsiella (N6, Sl90%; P0.027), Staphylococcus (N6, Sl100%; P0.021) and Clostridium (N6, Sl55%; P0.061) genera, and sulfate-reducing bacteria (N5, Sl35%; P0.021). Thus, these microorganisms were representatives of the facultative, transient microflora of the large intestine of the rats.

Significant changes in the qualitative and quantitative microflora composition of the colon in the second and third animals groups was observed. The most noticeable changes were specific to genera Bifidobacterium (N7, Sl90%; P0.022), Lactobacillus (N6, Sl45%; P0.033), Clostridium (N15, Sl60%; P0.051), Peptococcus (N6, Sl25%; P0.025), Peptostreptococcus (N7, Sl25%; P0.021), E. coli (lactose-negative) (N25, Sl60%; P0.017), Proteus (N17, Sl60%; P0.057), Klebsiella (N5, Sl29%; P0.062), Staphylococcus (N19, Sl100%; P0.064), Peptococcus (N5, Sl100%; P0.064), and sulfate-reducing bacteria (N25, Sl100%; P0.084) for animals of the second group. Similar results of the sustainability index and frequencies of the microflora of the third animals group were obtained for the following genera Bifidobacterium (N4, Sl60%; P0.012), Lactobacillus (N6, Sl11%; P0.018), Clostridium (N4, Sl77%; P0.056), Peptococcus (N6, Sl25%; P0.013), Peptostreptococcus (N2, Sl17%; P0.066), E. coli (lactose-negative) (N25, Sl100%; P0.077), Proteus (N25, Sl50%; P0.089), Klebsiella (N10, Sl25%; P0.031), Staphylococcus (N25, Sl100%; P0.079), Peptococcus (N7, Sl25%; P0.05), and the sulfate-reducing bacteria (N50, Sl100%; P0.091). Thus, the most significant changes were characteristic for the following genera Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, Clostridium, Peptococcus, Peptostreptococcus, E. coli (lactose-negative), Proteus, Klebsiella, Staphylococcus, Peptococcus, and the sulfate-reducing bacteria.

Colonial bacteria produce large quantities of hydrogen sulfide [1,4,8,11]. It is known that sulfide is produced by a group of bacteria, sulfate-reducing bacteria that obtain energy via reactions involving the reduction of sulfate to sulfide [2,3,14,15]. In vitro studies indicate that fecal bacteria liberate sulfide far more efficiently from organic sulfur-containing compounds than from sulfate [11,28].

As already mentioned above, the sulfate-reducing bacteria Desulfovibrio and Desulfobacterium genera oxidize organic compounds incompletely to acetate [5,6,8].
The oxidation to acetate occurs together with the concurrent reduction of sulfate to sulfide [1,4,5,8].

Figure 2. Sulfide and acetate concentration in fecal samples from different sections of the large intestine of the rats: 1 – Caecum, 2 – Ascending colon, 3 – Transverse colon, 4 – Descending colon, 5 – Rectum. Statistical significance of the values M±m, n = 3; *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, compared to first animals group (control)
Sulfide and acetate concentration in the fecal samples from different sections of the large intestine of the rats, in particular caecum, ascending colon, transverse colon, descending colon, and rectum was determined (Figure 2). The results of these studies showed that the level of sulfide and acetate in feces in the first group (control) during the 25 days was almost unchanged.

The concentration of sulfide and acetate in fecal samples from different sections of the large intestine was slightly different from each other. The values of concentration (in µmole per gram and mmole per gram, respectively) in the fecal samples from caecum (2.08±0.19 and 16.10±1.53), ascending colon (2.31±0.21 and 17.88±1.74), transverse colon (2.45±0.23 and 18.96±1.81), descending colon (2.49±0.22 and 19.27±1.88), and rectum (2.43±0.24 and 18.81±1.79) was determined on the fifth day for sulfide and acetate, respectively. The quantitative ratio of these compounds was almost constant during the 25 days. In this case, sulfide and acetate concentration increased directly proportional to the duration of introduction of the dose of the medium or the suspension with the sulfate-reducing bacteria. The values of sulfide and acetate concentration in the fecal samples from descending colon were increased respectively for the second and third group of the rats by 32 and 65 % as well as 35 and 69 % compared to the control on the fifth day.

More significant quantitative changes in concentration of these compounds and their substantial increase were observed on the twenty fifth day. Under these conditions, the level of sulfide and acetate in the descending colon increased respectively by 88 and 95 % for the second group as well as 93 and 97 % for the third group of the animals.

A correlation analysis is related in the sense that both deal with relationships among variables. The correlation coefficient is a measure of linear association between two variables [31]. The correlation analysis between parameters of sulfide and acetate accumulation in descending colon in the animal groups during 25 days was carried out.

The correlation coefficients (r) between these parameters were defined (Table 2). A strong inversely positive correlation between sulfide and acetate accumulation was demonstrated.

### Table 2. Correlation coefficients (r) between parameters of sulfide and acetate accumulation

| Day of detection | Sulfide | Acetate |
|------------------|---------|---------|
| Fifth            | 0.974±0.095 | 0.974±0.095 |
| Tenth            | 0.963±0.094 | 0.958±0.095 |
| Fifteenth        | 0.951±0.092 | 0.969±0.094 |
| Twentieth        | 0.966±0.094 | 0.978±0.095 |
| Twenty-fifth     | 0.977±0.095 | 0.962±0.093 |

**Comments:** Correlation coefficients between parameters of accumulation of sulfide and acetate were calculated based on data obtained from feces of all colon sections. Statistical significance of the values M±m, n = 3.

The correlation coefficient ranges from -1.0 to +1.0. The closer r is to +1 or -1, the more closely the two variables are related. If r is close to 0, it means there is no relationship between the variables. These correlation coefficients are normally reported as r = (a value between -1 and +1), squaring them makes then easier to understand. Values between 0.7 and 1.0 (-0.7 and -1.0) indicate a strong positive (negative) linear relationship via a firm linear rule [30,31].

The systematic statistical analysis of the parameters of sulfide and acetate accumulation was also carried out. The results of the studies showed that the variance, pooled variance, t-statistics in the experimental groups of animals were quite variable on the 25 day of the detection (Table 3).

### Table 3. The systematic statistical analysis of the parameters of sulfide and acetate accumulation

| Parameters   | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Average      | 2.15±0.20 | 16.66±1.62 | 30±2.93 |
| Variance     | 0.028±0.003 | 1.65±0.161 | 29±2.81 |
| Pooled Variance | 0.841±0.082 | 332±32 | 2077±202 |
| t-statistics | -25±2.43 | -10±0.96 | -7±0.65 |

**Comments:** The systematic statistical analysis of the parameters of sulfide and acetate accumulation was defined on the twenty-fifth day; observation is equal to 5; t critical one-way is equal to 1.860±0.181; t critical two-way is equal to 2.306±0.224. Statistical significance of the values, M±m, n = 3; ***P<0.001, compared to first animals group (control).

These statistical parameters also depended on duration of the experiment. However, t critical one-way (1.860±0.181) and t critical two-way (2.306±0.224) were similar for each of the parameters. Sulfide exists in ionized, non-volatile states (S or HS), as well as volatile H2S [14,15]. Levitt et al. have shown that about 95% of the sulfide produced in the gut is absorbed and the vast majority of the 5% passed in feces is tightly bound to other compounds [20,29]. Thus, fecal sulfide concentration appears to reflect sulfide binding capacity rather than intraluminal production [28].

Hydrogen sulfide volatile thiol binds to the heme moiety of cytochrome a₉, blocking the terminal step in electron transport [32]. When administered systemically, H₂S has a median lethal dose (LD₅₀) for rodents similar to that of cyanide [28]. The volatility of H₂S results in its...
rapid dissociation from fecal material, and this gas has very high tissue permeability [33].

Thus, the colonicocyte is exposed to virtually the entire bacterial output of this compound, in contrast to other potentially toxic bacterial metabolites, which remain in feces or which have low permeability for the colonic mucosa [28]. While the colonicocyte efficiently detoxifies H2S via conversion to thiosulfate [20,29], there has been speculation that this highly toxic compound could play a pathogenetic role in colonic diseases, particularly ulcerative colitis [34]. Sulfide also has been linked to colon neoplasia via the observation that sulfide exposure induced proliferation in the upper crypt region of the colonic mucosa [35,36,37], a finding associated with mucosal hyperplasia [28]. Hydrogen sulfide is a highly toxic compound that has a median lethal concentration on the same order of magnitude as that of cyanide [38]. The potential for local toxicity of sulfide in the colon has been studied by Roediger et al. [35,36], who incubated human and rat colonic epithelial cells with a range of sulfide concentrations [37]. Low concentrations of sulfide inhibited butyrate oxidation, whereas higher concentrations were required for inhibition of glucose oxidation. Of interest are studies showing that colonic mucosal biopsy specimens from people with moderately severe ulcerative colitis similarly demonstrate inhibition of butyrate oxidation with preservation of glucose metabolism [34,37], whereas both reactions are altered in severe colitis. In animals, inhibition of fatty acid oxidation via rectal instillation of sodium 2-bromo-octanoate produced a colitis resembling ulcerative colitis [15].

Colon sulfite-reducing bacteria produce hydrogen sulfide and acetate, the toxic compounds postulated to play a pathogenetic role in the inflammatory bowel diseases and ulcerative colitis. Colon sulfite exposure has previously been assessed via measurements of fecal sulfide concentration [20]. Levitt et al. have shown that 1% of fecal sulfide of rats was free, the remainder being bound in soluble and insoluble complexes. Thus fecal sulfide concentrations may reflect sulfide binding capacity rather than the toxic potential of feces [20,29].

The colon bacteria ferment unabsorbed carbohydrates, producing the acetic, propionic and n-butyratic acids [39]. Vogt et al. have shown that the mean percentage of butyrate absorption (30.2±4.6%) exceeded that of acetate (24.1±3.7%) (P<0.05). The fecal molar acetate percentage was inversely correlated with the percentage of acetate absorption. There was no combination effect on short chain fatty acids absorption, and the chain-length effect suggests passive diffusion as a likely mechanism of absorption. Furthermore, fecal acetate may reflect absorption, rather than production of colonic acetate [39].

The level of ulcerations of different sections of the large intestine in rats of the first and second experimental groups under the effect of specific conditions during 25 days was studied (Table 4). Ulceration on the fifth day was not detected. However, ulcers began to form by the 10th day. The highest level of the ulceration on the twenty-fifth day in both animals groups was determined. In this case, the largest number of ulcers was found in the descending colon compared to other parts of large intestine in the rats.

| Parameters | Section of the intestine | Fifth | Tenth | Fifteenth | Twentieth | Twenty-fifth |
|------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
|            | Caecum                   | nd    | nd    | 5±0.49    | 9±0.88    | 18±1.76     |
|            | Ascending colon          | nd    | nd    | 12±1.18   | 14±1.37   | 24±2.35     |
| Group 3    | Transverse colon         | nd    | 5±0.47 | 14±1.33   | 17±1.62   | 27±2.84     |
|            | Descending colon         | nd    | 7±0.69 | 17±1.67   | 20±1.96   | 29±3.24     |
| Group 2    | Rectum                   | nd    | 6±0.59 | 15±1.47   | 22±2.16   | 26±2.75     |
|            | Caeicum                  | nd    | nd    | 11±1.06   | 22±1.12   | 51±4.90***  |
|            | Ascending colon          | nd    | 8±0.77 | 27±2.62   | 33±3.17   | 68±3.64***  |
| Group 3    | Transverse colon         | nd    | 13±1.25** | 32±3.08   | 48±4.62** | 71±6.83**   |
|            | Descending colon         | nd    | 18±1.73** | 39±3.75** | 53±5.10***| 78±7.50***  |
|            | Rectum                   | nd    | 15±1.44** | 34±3.27** | 52±4.94** | 76±7.21***  |

**Comment:** The level of ulcerations was assessed visibly and expressed as a percentage of number and size of ulcers per cm² of the intestine; "nd" is not detected. Statistical significance of the values M ± m, n = 3; *P<0.01, **P<0.001, compared to second animals group.

The data on level of ulcerations in the descending colon are consistent to the results that hydrogen sulfide and acetate accumulation was also highest in the descending colon. Comparing the ulcers formation level in both animals groups, the higher level of ulcerations was observed in the third group than the second animals group. Perhaps, the sulfite-reducing bacteria D. piger Vib-7 and Desulfitomicrobium sp. Rod-9 are more aggressive for large intestine and can be involved in much more intensive development of inflammatory bowel disease and ulcerative colitis.

Studies comparing the numbers of the sulfite-reducing bacteria or their products in feces from patients with ulcerative colitis and normal subjects have yielded varying results. One report found that people with ulcerative colitis had a 70% higher stool sulfide concentration, a twofold increase in the sulfide production rate, and a fivefold increase in the sulfite-reducing bacteria [21].

Another research group found no increase in total fecal the sulfite-reducing bacteria; however, ulcerative colitis feces contained different ratios of the various species of the sulfite-reducing bacteria, and the bacteria of ulcerative colitis subjects were more active and grew better in a confined area [2,7]. In addition, those with colitis had a 28% increase in fecal sulfide levels and more than a doubling in sulfide production rates. Another study found no difference in the feces fecal the sulfite-reducing bacteria of ulcerative colitis subjects versus that of healthy controls [11].

It should be also noted that the microflora of the lumen distal colon (number of microorganisms, their ratio, the sustainability index and frequency of detection) on the
The twenty-fifth day was significantly changed in the second and third group which had received the dose with sulfate containing medium or the *D. piper* Vib-7 and *Desulfovibrio microbium* sp. Rod-9 compared to the control group.

Under these conditions, the number of *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* genera significantly decreased, while the number of *Clostridium*, *Escherichia*, *Proteus*, *Klebsiella*, *Staphylococcus* genera, and the sulfate-reducing bacteria were significantly increased. Perhaps, these microorganisms play a major role in the development of the inflammatory bowel diseases and ulcerative colitis.

The presence of *E. coli* in patients with ulcerative colitis was investigated, and it was reported that *E. coli* could be detected only in a small proportion of tissue samples [40,41]. Studies on mucosal adhesion of pathogenic bacteria in ulcerative colitis are controversial. A significantly enhanced adhesion of isolates of *E. coli* from stool specimens and rectal biopsies from ulcerative colitis patients to buccal epithelial cells was found in comparison with patients with infectious diarrhoea or normal controls [42]. The adhesive properties were similar to those of pathogenic intestinal *E. coli*, suggesting that virulent *E. coli* strains might participate in the pathogenesis of ulcerative colitis [43,44]. Another study reported adherence of only *E. coli* subtypes to rectal mucosa, however, no differences in adhesion could be found between ulcerative colitis patients and controls [45,46]. Using a hybridisation in situ technique, a significantly higher number of bacteria was found within the mucus layer and not adherent to the surface of the epithelium in ulcerative colitis patients compared with controls, independently from the degree of inflammation. Most likely the bacteria belong to a variety of species, considering the broad specificity of the probe used in this study [47]. To summarize, there was incomplete information and continuing controversy about the role, adherent properties, and subtypes of *E. coli* which might be important in the pathogenesis of ulcerative colitis [42].

Campieri and Gionchetti (2001) have reported that the role of bacteria in the pathogenesis was shown most convincingly in animal models [42]. A causative role for *Bacteroides* species in experimental ulcerative colitis was suggested. In a carrageenan guinea pig model of experimental colitis, germ free animals did not develop colitis until after monoaassociation with *Bacteroides vulgatus* [48]. Subsequently, it was suggested that different strains of *B. vulgatus* led to considerable differences in the inflammatory response without correlation between the sources of strains and the severity of carrageenan induced lesions. In this model, pretreatment with metronidazole prevented colitis, while administration of Gram positive organisms or coliforms were not effective. These data suggest the need for an interaction between bacteria sensitive to metronidazole and dietary sulfate. More recently, the degree of caecal inflammation in HLA-B27 transgenic rats was shown to be correlated with levels of isolates on *Bacteroides* selective medium and increased anaerobic/aerobic and *Bacteroides/aerobic* ratios [49].

Indirect evidence for the interaction between luminal flora and the immune system exists from studies using animal models with disruptions in immunoregulatory molecules. It was reported that spontaneous colitis which consistently develops in knockout and transgenic murin models, does not occur when these mice are maintained in germ free conditions [50,51].

Fite and coauthors (2013) have shown that the bacteria belonging to the normal colonic microbiota were associated with the etiology of ulcerative colitis. Although several mucosal species have been implicated in the disease process, the organisms and mechanisms involved are unknown [52]. These authors have also characterized mucosal biofilm communities over time and determined the relationship of these bacteria to patient age and disease severity and duration. Multiple rectal biopsy specimens were taken from 33 patients with active ulcerative colitis over a period of 1 year. Real-time PCR was used to quantify mucosal bacteria in ulcerative colitis patients compared to 18 non inflammatory bowel disease controls, and the relationship between indicators of disease severity and bacterial colonization was evaluated by linear regression analysis. Significant differences in bacterial populations were detected between the ulcerative colitis mucosa and control group, which varied over the study period. High clinical activity indices and sigmoidoscopy scores were associated with enterobacteria, desulfovibrios, type *E. Clostridium perfringens*, and *Enterococcus faecalis*, whereas the reverse was true for *Clostridium butyricum*, *Ruminococcus albus*, and *Eubacterium rectale*. *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* numbers were linked with low clinical activity indices. Only *E. rectale* and *Clostridium clostridioforme* had high age dependence. These findings demonstrated that longitudinal variations in mucosal bacterial populations occur in ulcerative colitis and that bacterial community structure was related to disease severity [52].

In recent years, there are many reports about animal model to investigate drugs for inflammatory bowel diseases [24]. Acetic acid-induced damage of colonic mucosa is often used as the experimental animal model. Zheng and coauthors (2000) have investigated the use of this animal model by administering various concentrations of trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid [24].

The specific pathogenesis underlying inflammatory bowel disease is complex, and it is even more difficult to decipher the pathophysiology to explain for the similarities and differences between two of its major subtypes, Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. Low et al. (2013) have reported the therapeutic strategies and approaches tested on ulcerative colitis animal models. Ulcerative colitis is an idiopathic chronic relapsing-remitting inflammatory disorder that affects the colon, characterized by diarrhea and rectal bleeding [23]. The molecular etiology of ulcerative colitis development is complex and involves genetic, microbial, environmental, and other unknown factors. The discussion of the underlying pathophysiology of ulcerative colitis and how observations from animal models that mimic ulcerative colitis contribute to better understanding of this disease and lead to advancement in novel treatment design was also reported by Low et al. (2013). There is a steady increase in the global incidence of ulcerative colitis. Currently, the prevalence in Europe and North America is 24.3 and 19.2 per 100,000 individuals, respectively, and 6.3 per 100,000 people in Asia and the Middle East. Most patients develop UC between the ages of 15 and 30 years, although individuals aged 50–70 years form another potential risk group. There are no significant differences in ulcerative colitis risk between sexes. The growing prevalence of this disease increases both economic and health care burdens [23]. Animal models of inflammatory bowel diseases have
4. Conclusions

Based on all obtained studies results, we can conclude that injection of the sulfate containing medium or suspension with Desulfomicrobium sp. Rod-9 in the gastrointestinal tract of the rats caused significant changes in the microflora of the animals during 25 days of the experiment. Under these conditions, structure of the microbiocenosis, number of the microorganisms, their ratio, sustainability index and frequency on the twenty-fifth day were significantly changed in both experimental groups of the animal. The number of Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, Peptostreptococcus and Peptococcus genera were decreased. The number of Clostridium, Escherichia, Staphylococcus, Proteus genera, and the sulfate-reducing bacteria were increased. There was a significant colonization of the lumen colon by the lactose-negative Escherichia and Klebsiella genera.

According to the results of sulfide and acetate accumulation, the highest level of these bacterial metabolites was detected in the descending colon during twenty-five days. Sulfide and acetate concentration increased directly proportional to the duration of injection of the dose of the sulfate containing medium or the suspension with the sulfate-reducing bacteria. However, significantly increased concentration of these compounds was observed in the fecal samples from the third group of the rats compared to the second group of the animals, which reserved only the sulfate containing medium. These data were consistent to results of the ulcerations in the third groups where the level of ulcer formation was also much higher than second group. Perhaps, the contribution of the D. piger Vib-7 and Desulfomicrobium sp. Rod-9 injected in the gastrointestinal tract was significantly more than endogenous intestinal microflora of the rats.

Thus, the injection of the sulfate containing medium or suspension with the sulfate-reducing bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of the rats leads to a change in the qualitative and quantitative composition of the normal intestinal microflora (dysbiosis), which may be the result of the development of the inflammatory bowel disease, ulcerative colitis, and various pathological processes. These studies might help in predicting the development of diseases of the gastrointestinal tract, by providing further details on the etiology of bowel diseases which are very important for the clinical diagnosis of these disease types. Understanding the role of sulfate-reducing bacteria in colonic conditions would be enhanced by the ability to inhibit the number of the sulfate-reducing bacteria and/or reduce the production of sulfide and acetate. This would help to clarify the factors influencing sulfide production in the human colon.
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