The iron load of lipocalin-2 (LCN-2) defines its pro-tumour function in clear-cell renal cell carcinoma
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BACKGROUND: We aimed at clarifying the role of lipocalin-2 (LCN-2) in clear-cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC). Since LCN-2 was recently identified as a novel iron transporter, we explored its iron load as a decisive factor in conferring its biological function.

METHODS: LCN-2 expression was analysed at the mRNA and protein level by using immunohistochemistry, RNAscope® and qRT-PCR in patients diagnosed with clear-cell renal cell carcinoma compared with adjacent healthy tissue. We measured LCN-2-bound iron by atomic absorption spectrometry from patient-derived samples and applied functional assays by using ccRCC cell lines, primary cells, and 3D tumour spheroids to verify the role of the LCN-2 iron load in tumour progression.

RESULTS: LCN-2 was associated with poor patient survival and LCN-2 mRNA clustered in high- and low-expressing ccRCC patients. LCN-2 protein was found overexpressed in tumour compared with adjacent healthy tissue, whereby LCN-2 was iron loaded. In vitro, the iron load determines the biological function of LCN-2. Iron-loaded LCN-2 showed pro-tumour functions, whereas iron-free LCN-2 produced adverse effects.

CONCLUSIONS: We provide new insights into the pro-tumour function of LCN-2. LCN-2 donates iron to cells to promote migration and matrix adhesion. Since the iron load of LCN-2 determines its pro-tumour characteristics, targeting either its iron load or its receptor interaction might represent new therapeutic options.
biomarker for disease progression. It was speculated that the functional outcome of LCN-2 largely depends on its iron load. Previous studies pointed out that LCN-2 ameliorates ischaemia/reperfusion injury by supplying proximal tubule cells with iron. Along the same lines, it was described that LCN-2 ameliorates ischaemia/reperfusion injury by supplying proximal tubule cells with iron. Although its ability to bind and transport iron seems to be the crucial mechanism of how LCN-2 promotes cell survival, inhibits the inflammatory outcome and reduces tubular apoptosis during acute renal injuries, the decisive role of the iron load of LCN-2 has not been investigated so far in renal cancer.

In this study, we provide evidence that the iron load of LCN-2 might play a decisive role for the pro-tumour characteristics in ccRCC. These findings offer the possibility of novel treatment strategies that interfere with and/or block the iron-binding ability of LCN-2, whereby tumour growth might be significantly reduced.

**METHODS**

**Cell culture**

Human renal cancer cell lines CAKI 1, 786-O, A498, and RCC4 cells were a kind gift of PD Dr. Anja Urbach and were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Gibco, Dreieich, Germany, 41965) with high glucose, supplemented with penicillin (100 U/ml) (Sigma Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany, P4333), streptomycin (100 mg/ml) (Sigma Aldrich, S8636) and 10% FCS (Capricorn, Germany, M2574) was added to the culture medium. T-TECHuman renal tumour tubular epithelial cells (T-TEC) were isolated as previously described. Brieﬂy, Human renal tumour tubular epithelial cells (T-TEC) were isolated as previously described. 

**Spheroid experiments**

Renal carcinoma cell CAKI1 spheroids were generated in 1.5% agarose-coated 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen). The iron load of lipocalin-2 (LCN-2) de

**RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)**

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and qPCR were performed as previously described. Brieﬂy, RNA was isolated (peqlab, Darmstadt, Germany), reverse transcribed into cDNA (Thermo Fisher, Dreieich, Germany, K1642), served as a template in a qPCR mix (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany, 1725006CUST). 18S mRNA expression served as an internal housekeeping gene control. Primers were bought from Bio-Rad (LCN-2; qHsaCED0045408) or from Biomers (Ulm, Germany, 18.5 RNA 5′→3′ GTA-ACC-CGT-TGA-ACC-CCA, 3′→5′ CCA-TCC-AAT-CGG-TAG-TAG-G; LCN-2 5′→3′ GCT-CTT-GCG-GTC-TCT-GGT-CAT, 3′→5′ TGG-CAT-TGG-GAG-GCT-GCT; fibronectin 5′→3′ GTG-ACC-GAA-ATC-ACA-GCC-AGT-AG, 3′→5′ TAC-TGT-GGC-TCA-TCT-CCC-TCC-TCA; Snail 5′→3′ CGC-CTC-CAA-AAA-GCC-AAA-CTA, 3′→5′ GTC-GAG-GAT-CTC-TGG-TGG-TAT; CDH1 5′→3′ TTC-CCT-CCA-ATA-CAT-CTC-CC, 3′→5′ TTG-ATT-TTG-TAG-TCA-CCC-ACC; CD24 5′→3′ CGC-GCC-CAG-CCA-TCA-
LCN-2 immunoprecipitation (IP)

Immunoprecipitation was performed according to the protocol previously described by Mertens et al. Human tissue samples were snap frozen, homogenised and sonicated. Unlysed material was removed by centrifugation, and the supernatant was subjected to LCN-2 IP by applying an LCN-2 specific antibody (R&D systems, Wiesbaden, Germany, MAB1757) and bound to magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher, 10004D). After elution from the beads, iron content of samples was analysed by atomic absorption spectrometry.

Equal amounts of 50 μl from whole-cell lysate (WCL), IP eluate, IP wash step, and eluted IgG-treated beads were denatured and subjected to gel electrophoresis. Protein transfer to a PVDF membrane was analysed by Ponceau-S stain (Sigma Aldrich, P3504), and specific detection of LCN-2 by western blot analysis was performed by using an LCN-2 antibody (R&D systems, MAB1757; 1:500 in 2.5% BSA in TBS-T). The membrane was incubated with IRDye secondary antibody (LI-COR, Bad Homburg, Germany, 926-32219; 1:5000 in 5% BSA/TBS-T) for subsequent protein detection and visualisation by Odyssey infrared imaging system.

Atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS)

Iron measurements were performed as previously described. Patient-derived whole-tissue homogenates were either measured as whole homogenates or underwent LCN-2 IP with the final eluate being analysed for its iron content, which was normalised to the total homogenate/IP protein amount. In order to define the amount of LCN-2-bound iron within the pool of total iron in tumours, we calculated the amount of LCN-2-bound iron as well as the total amount of iron in whole homogenates per 1 × 10⁶ cells and determined the fraction of LCN-2-bound iron in the total iron pool of the respective means.

For cell culture experiments, cells were harvested in lysis buffer (62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 50% glycerol, and 2% SDS). The iron amount was quantified relative to the total protein content and normalised to untreated control.

Perl’s stain

Perl’s stain was performed according to the manufacturer’s kit protocol (Sigma Aldrich, HT20). Briefly, 4-μm tissue sections were deparaffinised and hydrated before staining in ‘Working Iron Stain Solution’ for 30 min. After rinsing in deionised water, slides were incubated for 2 min in nuclear fast red solution. After washing for 5 min under running water, slides were rapidly dehydrated in 95 and 100% EtOH, before incubating for 15 min in xylol and mounted. Pictures were acquired on an Axioskop 40 (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Database analysis

For violin blot visualisation of LCN-2 expression, gene expression data of the Cancer Genome Atlas were selected (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) and analysed. For Kaplan–Meier overall survival curves for patients with high and low expression of LCN-2 and LCN-2R, the R2 Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform was employed. The ‘Tumor Kidney Renal Clear Cell Carcinoma – TCGA – 533’ data set was used for visualisation by using the Kaplan Scan tool of the R2 Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform, where the optimum survival cut-off is established based on statistical testing within the web application. The scanner separates samples of the selected data set based on gene expression cut-offs into two groups and tests the p-value in a log-rank test. Default settings of the Kaplan Scan including a log-rank comparison between the groups were used to determine an optimum survival cut-off as described in the portal. The resulting p-value as well as the Bonferroni correction of the log-rank comparison are included in the plots. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of individuals in each group.

Vector generation of non-iron-binding LCN-2 mutant

QuikChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany, 200522) was used to subsequently insert point mutations into the pGEX-4T3_LCN-2 plasmid, resulting in an amino acid exchange. Following PCR, the parental DNA template was digested by using Dpn I (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt (Main), Germany, R0176). The mutated plasmids were transformed into XL10 Gold ultracompetent cells (Agilent Technologies, 200314). Single clones were used for plasmid amplification and isolation, by applying the NucleoSpin Plasmid kit (Macherey&Nagel, Düren, Germany, 740588). Successful point mutations were confirmed by sequencing. Arginine 81 was mutated to glycine 81, lysine 125 to glutamine 125 and lysine 134 to glutamine 134, finally yielding the pGEX-4T3_LCN-2_mutant plasmid.

Generation of recombinant LCN-2 and complex formation

Recombinant human LCN-2 was produced by transformation of E. coli (New England Biolabs, CB2527H) with either a pGEX-4T3-LCN-2 or the pGEX-4T3_LCN-2_mutant plasmid, both containing an insert for human LCN-2 tagged with glutathione-S-transferase (GST) for purification. LCN-2 expression of bacterial culture was initiated by supplementing Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranosid (IPTG, Sigma Aldrich, I6758). LCN-2 was purified by using Pierce Glutathione Agarose (Thermo Fisher, 16100). Detoxi-Gel Endotoxin Removing Resin (Thermo Fisher, 20339) was utilised for eliminating bacterial endotoxins.

LCN-2 iron–catechol complex formation was monitored by UV–Vis spectrometry in the range of 300–800 nm. The iron-free (apo-) protein spectrum was obtained from a 6.25 μM LCN-2 solution. For acquisition of spectra of both iron-loaded (holo-) LCN-2 and mutant LCN-2, the protein solution was mixed with an aliquot of 5 mM iron–catechol (3:1 mol:mol) solution, resulting in equimolar concentration of LCN-2 and iron–catechol.

Adhesion assay

Cells were stimulated with 1 μg/ml recombinant human LCN-2 for 24 h and marked with cell tracker green (Invitrogen, Dreieich, Germany, C7025). Afterwards, cells were counted and seeded onto collagen I (10 μg/ml; Thermo Fisher, CB354249) or fibronectin (10 μg/ml; Sigma Aldrich, F11411) precoated wells for 2 h, washed and fixed with 4% PFA. Five pictures were taken from each group from at least three independent experiments by using triplicates. The number of attached cells was determined by using ImageJ automated makro-based analysis (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA).

Migration assay

The RTCA DP xCELLigence instrument (OLS, Bremen, Germany) was used to measure the migration of cells. Cells were added into the upper chamber of a two-chamber CIM plate (OLS) and stimulated with apo-, holo- or mutant LCN-2 (1 μg/ml). Continuous recording of migration was accomplished for 24 h. Data are displayed as a measure for time-dependent impedance changes, which is represented as the normalised slope per hour (slope 1/h) of the normalised cell index. The RTCA Software 1.2 (OLS) was used for acquisition and analysis.

Proliferation assay

Proliferation of human CAKI 1 and primary patient-derived T-TEC cancer cells was measured by using the RTCA DP xCELLigence instrument (OLS) as described previously. Cells were stimulated
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with apo-, holo- or mutant LCN-2 (1 µg/ml). Continuous recording of proliferation was accomplished for 72 h, and data are displayed as time-dependent impedance changes, represented as the normalised slope per hour (slope 1/h) of the normalised cell index. The RTCA Software 1.2 (OLS) was used for acquisition and analysis.

Immunocytochemistry

Cells were stimulated with recombinant human LCN-2 (1 µg/ml) for 3 h, washed, fixed with 4% PFA and permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X 100 (CARL ROTH, Karlsruhe, Germany, 3051). After blocking with 3% BSA (CARL ROTH, 8076) in PBS, primary antibody against the GST-tag (Thermo Fisher, 13-6700; 1:250 in 1% BSA/PBS) of LCN-2 was applied overnight at 4 °C. AF488-coupled anti-mouse secondary antibody (Life Technologies, Dreieich, Germany, A11001; 1:1000 in 1% BSA/PBS) was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Before mounting (Southern Biotech, Eching, Germany, 0100-01), nuclei were stained with DAPI (Sigma Aldrich, D9542). Images were acquired on an LSM 800 (Zeiss) confocal microscope and evaluated by using ImageJ software.

In situ hybridisation by RNAscope® technique was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Advanced Cell Diagnostics (ACD), Newark, CA, USA). Four-micrometer paraffin-embedded patient tissue sections were deparaffinised and treated with H2O2, followed by antigen retrieval and protease treatment according to the RNAscope® Multiplex Fluorescent v2 assay kit’s instructions. For human kidney (normal and tumour) sections, probes to Hs-LCN-2 (ACD, 559441) and negative controls (3-plex negative control probe (DapB), ACD, 320871) were used. Probes were hybridised for 2 h followed by three amplification steps. The signal was detected with RNAscope® Multiplex fluorescent detection reagent kit v2 (ACD, 323110) by using Opal dyes (PerkinElmer, Rodgau, Germany).

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was adapted from previously described protocols19,20 with antibodies recognising LCN-2 (R&D Systems, MAB1757; 1:100) with the Opal 4-color Automation IHC Kit (PerkinElmer, NEL820001KT) on 4-µm paraffin-embedded patient tissue sections. As secondary antibody for the LCN-2 antibody, a HRP-coupled anti-rat antibody (GE Healthcare, Solingen, Germany, NA933V; 1:500) was employed. Vectra automated imaging system (PerkinElmer) was used for image acquisition, while analysis was accomplished by using InForm software (PerkinElmer). First, nuclei were defined by DAPI fluorescence, whereby the total number of cells was obtained. Afterwards, cell size around the nucleus was adjusted, by defining the area of quantification, and a threshold for positive staining was determined. The software then counted the overall number of cells by DAPI fluorescence and the number of positive cells, resulting in a percent ratio of positive cells.

Flow cytometric analyses

Patient tissue was dissociated by using the human Tumor Dissociation Kit (Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany, 130-095-929) and the GentleMACS system (Miltenyi Biotech). Sample acquisition was done on a LSRII/Fortessa flow cytometer (BD, San Jose, CA, USA) expressed as mean fluorescence intensity (MFI). Both antibodies and secondary reagents were titrated to determine optimal concentrations. CompBeads (BD) were used for single-colour compensation to create multi-colour compensation matrices. For gating, fluorescence minus one (FMO) controls were used. Cytometer Setup and Tracking beads (BD) were used for daily control of instrument calibration. Staining of patient-derived single-cell suspensions contained CD45 AF700 (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA, 368513) to exclude immune cells and CD326 PE-CFS594 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA, 565399) to gate CD326+ healthy and tumour epithelial cells, respectively. LCN-2R (Thermo Fisher, PAS-20543) was stained intracellularly in combination with an AF546-labelled secondary antibody (Life technologies, A-11035), after fixation and permeabilisation with Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD, 554714).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using Prism software (GraphPad Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to check for normal distribution. Accordingly, non-Gaussian distributed samples were analysed by applying the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Data sets with normal distribution were analysed by using two-tailed paired Student’s t test, and were not corrected for multiple testing. Cell culture experiments were performed at least five times (independent experiments using three technical replicates). Graphs depict the means ± SEM. P-values were considered significant at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

RESULTS

LCN-2 mRNA expression is unaltered in ccRCC

In order to verify the role of LCN-2 in renal cancer, we first analysed the survival probability of ccRCC patients by applying the R2 Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform using the cancer genome atlas (TCGA) data, including 533 patients of the KIRC data set. We found a significantly reduced patient survival probability associated with higher LCN-2 expression (Fig. 1a). Therefore, we measured LCN-2 mRNA expression in our own patient cohort (Table 1). We did not observe significant changes by comparing tumour tissue with adjacent healthy control tissue (Fig. 1b). However, we determined two populations of patients, one having a very high LCN-2 mRNA expression and one with a very low expression. These observations could not be confirmed upon analysing the TCGA KIRC cohort, where a significant decrease in LCN-2 mRNA expression was determined in ccRCC patients compared with healthy controls (Fig. 1c). By analysing the association of LCN-2 mRNA expression to tumour grade (Fig. 1d) and tumour stage (Fig. 1e) in our small cohort, we found that LCN-2-high patients were associated with enhanced tumour grades and stages. By analysing LCN-2 expression related to tumour grade and tumour stage using the KIRC data set in the TCGA database, we observed only small change towards higher LCN-2 expression in high-grade/high-stage tumours (Fig. 1f). We then performed RNAscope® in situ hybridisation to detect LCN-2 transcripts in ccRCC tissues compared with adjacent healthy tissue of our own cohort and to localise it within the tissue (Fig. 1g). In tumour tissue, we observed equal and in most of the cases a higher number of overall LCN-2 reactivity, with the notion that staining of LCN-2 mRNA was even enhanced in high-grade tumours. Specificity of the RNA probe was tested by staining for DapB (negative control).

LCN-2 protein expression is elevated in ccRCC and determines patient outcome

We then determined LCN-2 protein expression in ccRCC tissues compared with adjacent healthy control tissue. An overall significantly elevated positive signal for LCN-2 protein was observed in tumour tissue compared with healthy control tissue by using immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 2a, left). Quantification of the LCN-2-positive signal normalised to the total number of cells confirmed a significant increase in LCN-2 protein expression in ccRCC samples (Fig. 2a, right), which was associated with tumour grade (Fig. 2b) and pT stage (Fig. 2c). Taking the hypothesis into account that the iron load might determine the biological function of LCN-2,16,23,24 we next aimed at identifying the iron status of tumour tissue as well as the LCN-2 iron load in ccRCC tissue. To determine LCN-2R expression, which is relevant...
Fig. 1 LCN-2 mRNA expression in human clear-cell renal cell carcinoma samples. a Kaplan–Meier curve of high or low LCN-2 expression, number of patients in brackets. R2 bioinformatics platform was used to probe available TCGA data on ccRCC (KIRC data set). b LCN-2 mRNA expression normalised to the housekeeping gene 18S of whole-tissue homogenate of matched renal tumour and adjacent healthy tissue (n ≥ 20). c Analysis of LCN-2 mRNA expression by using the TCGA database, applying the KIRC data set. d Expression of LCN-2 mRNA of higher differentiated tumours (G1–G2) and less differentiated tumours (G3–G4) in comparison with healthy tissue. e LCN-2 mRNA expression of healthy tissue compared with lower (pT1–pT2) and higher (pT3–pT4) T stage (n ≥ 20). f Association of tumour stage and LCN-2 mRNA expression analysed in TCGA KIRC data set. g Representative pictures of ccRCC tumour and healthy tissue showing LCN-2 transcripts (red) with RNAscope in situ hybridisation and DAPI (white) as nuclear stain. Representative pictures from two ccRCC patients (one G2 and one G3) with their respective healthy controls are shown. Staining for DapB served as control staining. Red rectangle defines the area for the enlarged detailed picture (n = 4). Graphs are displayed as means ± SEM with *p < 0.05.
for the uptake of LCN-2, we analysed LCN-2R mRNA (Fig. 2d) and protein (Fig. 2e) expression in whole-tissue homogenates from renal cancer samples compared with matched healthy adjacent control tissue. LCN-2R mRNA levels were significantly induced in ccRCC tissues (Fig. 2d). To compare LCN-2R protein expression in ccRCC samples with healthy adjacent tissue, CD326+ epithelial cells of healthy and tumour tissue were analysed by flow cytometry (Fig. 2e). CD326+ tumour cells expressed significantly more LCN-2R. To determine the functional outcome of enhanced LCN-2R expression in our cohort for patient prognosis, the cancer genome atlas data set of 533 ccRCC samples was analysed with regard to survival (Fig. 2f). Corroborating our own observations, higher LCN-2R expression correlated with lower overall survival probability analysed by the R2: Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform applying the 'Tumor Kidney Renal Clear Cell Carcinoma - TCGA – 533' data set.
LCN-2 is iron loaded in ccRCC compared with adjacent healthy renal tissue.

First, we stained iron deposits in ccRCC tissues by using Perl's staining (Fig. 3a). We found significantly more iron-rich areas in tumour tissue than in adjacent healthy tissue. We quantified the iron amount of whole-tissue homogenates by applying atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) (Fig. 3b) and confirmed higher iron amounts in tumour tissue than in adjacent healthy tissue. Since
we previously described LCN-2 as an iron transporter in the tumour context.8,19 We next aimed at identifying the LCN-2 iron load in both healthy and tumour tissue. Therefore, we first established immunoprecipitation (IP) of LCN-2 by using primary human tissue. After immunoprecipitation and gel-electrophoretic separation of samples, Ponceau-S staining was used to control specificity, showing only defined bands in the IP sample (Fig. 3c).

Western blot analysis confirmed specificity of the IP, since LCN-2 was exclusively detected in the IP sample at its predicted sizes of 25-kDa monomer and 42-kDa dimer (Fig. 3d). In order to quantify LCN-2-bound iron, AAS was performed by using IP samples. We detected significantly enhanced LCN-2-bound iron in tumour tissue compared with healthy controls (Fig. 3e). Taken together, LCN-2-bound iron accounts for ~2% in healthy tissue as compared with ~20% in tumour tissue (Fig. 3f). By comparing the LCN-2 iron load with tumour grade (Fig. 3g) and pT stage (Fig. 3h), we noticed an association of high LCN-2 iron amount to enhanced grade and stage.

The iron load of LCN-2 determines its pro-tumour function. In order to test the assumption that LCN-2 transports iron to tumour cells, we generated a recombinant LCN-2 mutant protein (Fig. 4a). The positively charged amino acids arginine 81 (R81), lysine 125 (K125) and lysine 134 (K134) within the LCN-2 calyx confer binding of iron, as they form non-covalent cation–π interactions with electron-rich, aromatic structures of catecholate-type low-molecular-weight molecules, such as bacterial side-ophores.22 These residues were mutated into non-charged ones (arginine to glycine, and lysin to glutamine). A scheme of the newly generated plasmid is depicted in Fig. 4a and the model of iron–catechol binding within the LCN-2 calyx applying the PDB code 3FW4 is depicted in Fig. 4b. The iron-binding ability of the recombinant mutant protein was monitored by UV–Vis spectrometry (Fig. 4c). Iron-free (apo-) LCN-2 served as a reference and showed no peak between 400 and 700 nm (black line). Upon iron loading, holo-LCN-2 displayed a maximum at 497 nm (red line), whereas iron loading of the mutant LCN-2 protein displayed a shift of the peak to 572 nm (green line), indicating substantially impaired iron binding. To test whether recombinant proteins are taken up by tumour cells, renal ccRCC CAKI1 cells were stimulated with apo-, holo- and mutant LCN-2. In order to exclusively detect LCN-2 taken up by tumour cells, we used recombinant proteins with a GST tag and immunofluorescence detection (Fig. 4d). We observed that iron-free (apo), iron-loaded (holo) as well as the mutant protein were internalised by tumour cells in comparison with unstimulated controls and uptake occurred to a similar extent. To clarify whether LCN-2 effectively delivered iron to tumour cells, we isolated primary human patient-derived tumour cells (T-TEC) and measured cell lysates of apo-, holo- and mutant LCN-2-stimulated T-TEC by AAS for their iron amount in comparison with unstimulated cells (Ctrl) (Fig. 4e). As expected, only holo-LCN-2 increased the intracellular iron amount. In contrast, stimulation with apo-LCN-2 or the mutant protein remained without effect regarding the intracellular iron amount.

Since we found elevated levels of iron-loaded LCN-2 in ccRCC patients, we aimed at identifying if LCN-2-dependent pro-tumour effects are mediated through its iron load. Migration of primary human T-TEC was significantly reduced upon stimulation with apo-LCN-2, while holo-LCN-2 significantly induced tumour cell migration. The mutant LCN-2 had no effect (Fig. 4f). Similarly, adhesion to fibronectin (Fig. 4g) or collagen I (Fig. 4h) matrices was significantly enhanced upon holo-LCN-2 stimulation, whereas apo-LCN-2 blocked adhesion.

In order to test if the observed effects could be extended to other cell lines, we tested the ccRCC cell lines 786-O (Fig. 5a–c), RCC4 (Fig. 5d–f), A498 (Fig. 5g–i) as well as Caki1 (Fig. 5j–l). In all cell lines, we observed that iron-free LCN-2 reduced migration and matrix adhesion. In contrast, stimulation with iron-loaded LCN-2 enhanced migration and adhesion. Cellular proliferation remained unaltered upon stimulation with apo-, holo- or mutant LCN-2 protein (Supplementary. Fig. S1). Next, we analysed the effects of LCN-2 in a physiologically relevant 3D spheroid model (Fig. 5). Caki1 tumour spheroids were stimulated with 1 µg/ml apo-, holo- or the non-iron-binding LCN-2 mutant every second day over a period of 12 days. Growth characteristics were determined by analysing the spheroid diameter. Representative pictures are given in Fig. 6a. Software-based analysis of the spheroid diameter (Fig. 6b) showed a significantly reduced tumour growth upon apo-LCN-2 stimulation, whereas holo-LCN-2 enhanced spheroid size. Stimulation with the mutant LCN-2 showed similar effects as apo-LCN-2. Since we previously observed a strong effect of holo-LCN-2 on tumour cell migration (Fig. 5), we then performed qRT-PCR analysis of migration-associated genes, such as the transcription factor Snail (Fig. 6c), the epithelial marker CDH1 (Fig. 6d), the mesenchymal marker fibronectin (Fig. 6e) as well as the stromeness markers CD24 (Fig. 6f) and CD44 (Fig. 6g). Holo-LCN-2 generally provoked an EMT (epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition) phenotype with enhanced Snail, fibronectin and CD44 expression, while expression of CDH1 and CD24 was reduced. In contrast, apo-LCN-2 showed the opposite effect. The non-iron-binding mutant LCN-2 followed the same pattern as apo-LCN-2. In order to test if these observations translate into functional consequences, we performed a spheroid invasion assay (Fig. 6h). We stimulated Caki 1 spheroids over a period of 12 days every second day with apo-, holo- or the mutant LCN-2 (1 µg/ml). After this stimulation period, we transferred spheroids into a collagen I matrix and allowed them to spread for additional 4 days. We found that the holo-LCN-2 stimulation significantly promoted the dissemination and invasion of cells from the spheroid into the collagen matrix, whereas apo- and mutant LCN-2-stimulated spheroids remained unaltered compared with control spheroids.

**DISCUSSION**

We present evidence that LCN-2, specifically iron-loaded LCN-2, is significantly elevated in tumour tissue isolated from patients with renal cancer as compared with adjacent healthy tissue. As LCN-2 was found in its iron-bound form in primary human renal cancer samples, and its presence being associated with tumour grade and stage, we further investigated the role of the LCN-2 iron load in determining its pro-tumour function.
**Fig. 4** LCN-2 delivers iron to cells and promotes tumour growth. 

**a** Schematic overview of pGEX-4T3_LCN-2 template and its point mutations of amino acids involved in iron chelation to generate an LCN-2 triple mutant (R81→G, K125→Q, K134→Q). The LCN-2 sequence is flanked by BamHI and EcoRI restriction sites. N-terminal glutathione S-transferase tag (GST) serves for purification. Point mutations are highlighted by boxes.

**b** RCSB PDB Protein data bank was used to generate the model of LCN-2 iron binding by using the PDB code 3FW4. The model shows the complex of LCN-2 with ferric catechol.

**c** UV–Vis absorption spectra of apo- (iron free, black), holo- (iron loaded, red) and iron-loaded triple mutant LCN-2 (mutant, green) show different absorption spectra [arbitrary units, a.u.]. Spectra were detected between 300 and 800 nm.

**d** LCN-2 uptake was investigated by immunofluorescence. Auto-fluorescence (AF) and secondary antibody stain (α-mouse) served as controls for specificity. Except for AF image, nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Recombinant LCN-2 is detected via its GST tag (green). CAKI1 cells were stimulated with apo-, holo- and iron-loaded mutant LCN-2 in comparison with untreated (Ctrl) cells (n ≥ 3; five pictures each; 1 µg/ml LCN-2 for 24 h).

**e** Intracellular iron amount of T-TEC cells was determined by AAS after stimulation with apo-, holo- or iron-loaded mutant LCN-2 (n ≥ 3).

**f** T-TEC cells were stimulated with apo-, holo- or iron-loaded mutant LCN-2 and migration was recorded (n ≥ 3 with three technical replicates).

**g** Matrix adhesion was probed after stimulation with 1 µg/ml apo-, holo- or iron-loaded mutant LCN-2 to (g) fibronectin- or (h) collagen I-coated plates (n ≥ 3 with three technical replicates and five pictures each). Graphs are displayed as means ± SEM, with *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
The iron load of lipocalin-2 (LCN-2) defines its pro-tumour function in renal renal cell carcinoma (RCC). By analysing the TCGA KIRC data set, we found LCN-2 expression in ccRCC samples and did not show any association to tumour grade or stage. While the importance of LCN-2 during the development of acute and chronic renal pathologies is widely accepted, its role in renal tumours remains largely elusive. Previous studies identified increased urinary and serum LCN-2 in RCC patients without an association to disease type, tumour stage or grade.14,15 However, tissue LCN-2 protein positively correlated with histological grade in ccRCC and pRCC.16 Due to the low sample number for high-grade tumours, we could not draw any significant conclusion if LCN-2 protein might be associated with tumour grade and/or stage, which remains to be addressed in further studies. Interestingly, LCN-2 mRNA was not significantly elevated in ccRCC samples and did not show any association to tumour grade or stage. By analysing the TCGA KIRC data set, we found reduced LCN-2 expression in ccRCC patients. However, we observed two populations of patients in our cohort: one with a high LCN-2 mRNA expression and one with low LCN-2 mRNA expression. The apparent clustering still remains to be investigated in detail by using higher sample numbers for high-grade tumours. Since previous studies in renal cancer pointed to a close association of high LCN-2 expression and reduced progression-free survival in pRCC,27 ccRCC28 and sunitinib-treated RCC patients,29 it might be very interesting to follow-up the association of these high LCN-2-expressing tumours with overall or progression-free survival. These observations are in accordance with the role of LCN-2 as a pro-tumour factor in a variety of other urogenital neoplasms, including prostate,30 endometrial,31 ovarian32 and breast cancer.33 However, the underlying mechanisms of its pro-tumour activity are still poorly understood.

We recently found that the unique iron-transporting capacity of LCN-2 is of crucial importance for its pro-tumour activity. Blocking LCN-2 iron release from TAM significantly reduced mammary tumour growth.19 Due to their high proliferative rate, cancer cells require high amounts of iron in order to sustain their enhanced metabolic turnover.34 Hence, tumour cells show enhanced iron retention, which results in the development of more aggressive tumours.35 In this context, a large number of studies revealed the
Fig. 6 LCN-2 affects tumour growth and progression in a 3D spheroid model. CAKI 1 renal carcinoma cells were grown as 3D spheroids, and were either left untreated (Ctrl) or stimulated with apo-, holo- or mutant LCN-2 (1 µg/ml) over a period of 12 days. \( n = 4 \) independent experiments with five technical replicates each. **a** Representative pictures of days 0 and 12 are given for each condition. Scale bar: 250 µm. **b** Quantitative analysis of spheroid growth was assessed by using ImageJ software and is displayed as spheroid diameter (µm). **c–g** Spheroids were picked at day 12 and dissociated by using accutase for further RNA processing. qRT-PCR analysis for (c) Snail, (d) CDH1, (e) fibronectin, (f) CD24 and (g) CD44, normalised to the housekeeping gene 18S. **h** CAKI 1 spheroids were treated with apo-, holo-, or mutant LCN-2 (1 µg/ml) every second day, or remained unstimulated (Ctrl) for 12 days and were embedded into a collagen I matrix for additional 4 days. Representative pictures are shown from day 0 directly after embedding the spheroids into the collagen I matrix and day 4. The distance of spheroid cell invasion from the spheroid body into the matrix is depicted by the punctuated line. The assay was performed in four independent experiments with three technical replicates. Scale bar: 250 µm. Graphs are displayed as means ± SEM, with *\( p < 0.05 \), **\( p < 0.01 \), ***\( p < 0.001 \).
role of iron-trafficking proteins in the context of cancer, associating the overexpression of transferrin receptor (TfR), ferroportin (SLC22A17), or the iron-regulatory protein-2 with a higher tumour grade and increased chemoresistance. Whether LCN-2 also has to be included as an iron-trafficking protein in the context of cancer, still needs detailed analysis of its mechanism of action and its iron-transporting as well as iron-donating function. Interfering with the iron availability reduced growth and progression in both human and mouse mammary carcinomas. Interestingly, repeated systemic iron administration enhanced deposition of iron in the kidney, which induced oxidative stress in renal tubular epithelial cells, in turn, fostering the development of RCC. Since iron availability is tightly interlinked with the physiology of the hypoxic response, it is crucial to control the expression of iron-regulated genes, one of which might be LCN-2. Importantly, the transcriptional activity of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-α is of central importance to the development of ccRCC. HIF-α stability is regulated by the von Hippel Lindau (VHL) protein. Interestingly, the VHL gene is mutated or hypermethylated in about 90% of all ccRCC patients, with its loss of function leading to the accumulation of HIF-α. In turn, HIF-α is essential to regulate the expression of a variety of iron-regulated genes, including TfR, ferritin and ferroportin. Thus, the HIF-α signalling pathway might be essential to control cellular iron trafficking and mobilisation, which is important to promote tumour growth. Moreover, it was previously described that LCN-2 is able to induce tumour neovascularisation through the HIF-1α-VEGF axis, which adds to the therapeutic potential of LCN-2 in renal tumours.

However, we speculate that a more potent treatment strategy would imply inhibition of the tumour iron supply in order to deprive tumour cells of this essential nutrient. In this context, we recently described TAM as a pivotal source of iron in the tumour microenvironment by adopting an iron-release phenotype during tumour growth. Interfering with the iron-release phenotype by using iron chelators significantly reduced the pro-tumour effect of TAM. Renal TAM also releasing LCN-2 is still not clearly defined and is currently under investigation. However, since LCN-2 is a secreted protein, the possibility exists that iron-loaded LCN-2 is rapidly captured by tumour cells due to the enhanced expression of SLC22A17, the LCN-2 receptor. Indeed, we observed enhanced expression of SLC22A17 in ccRCC patients in our cohort, thus pointing towards the possibility that the LCN-2 receptor might play an important role. Given the observation that the LCN-2 iron-loaded form was significantly elevated in ccRCC patients, we postulated that the iron load defines the pro-tumour characteristics of LCN-2 in renal cancer. We tested this hypothesis by generating a mutant LCN-2 protein, deficient of its iron-binding capacity. Iron-loaded (holo-) LCN-2 enhanced migration and matrix adhesion of a variety of ccRCC cell lines as well as primary patient-derived tumour cells, while the iron-free (apo-) protein significantly reduced migration and adhesion of all tumour cells investigated. However, it was surprising that the mutant LCN-2 form, which is unable to bind iron, differed significantly from the apo form of LCN-2. It was previously described that apo-LCN-2 binds iron within the cell and exports it to the outside, whereby cells are deprived of iron, and as a result, become apoptotic. In our set-up, we ruled out this possibility due to the fact that the intracellular iron amount of cells treated with either apo- or the mutant LCN-2 did not differ. We assume that intracellular iron is captured by the apo form and no longer available for cell growth. In the case of the mutant form, no iron binding is possible, and thus, no antitumour effect is observed. These considerations still need experimental proof. Interestingly, cellular proliferation remained without an effect for both holo- and apo-LCN-2. These observations suggest that the pro-tumour effects of LCN-2 are more potent during later phases of tumour development and/or during the metastatic process. By employing physiologically more relevant 3D tumour models, we confirmed these observations.

Our study underscores the significance of the iron load in defining the pro-tumour characteristics of LCN-2. However, detailed mechanistic insights are still lacking and need to be defined before transferring these observations into clinically relevant tumour models.
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