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Abstract

**Background:** ASB is a common problem in pregnant women. About 40% of women with untreated asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy develop pyelonephritis, this has the possibility of leading to low birth weight, preterm, premature rupture of membranes and preterm labour. This study is aimed to assess the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern isolating among pregnant women attending the antenatal clinical care of Assosa General Hospital in western Ethiopia.

**Methods:** A facility-based cross-sectional study was conducted from January to February 2019. Well-mixed and uncentrifuged urine specimens obtained from the pregnant women were directly inoculated on cystine lactose electrolyte deficient agar (CLED) (Oxoid, Ltd, England) media by streak plate method. Bacterial isolates were identified as per the standard bacteriological procedure using colony characteristics, gram-staining, and series of biochemical tests. Antimicrobial susceptibility test was carried out by Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion technique on Muller-Hinton agar medium and the diameter of zone of inhibition was interpreted according to Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guidelines.

**Result:** The overall prevalence of ASB among pregnant women in this study was 14.85%. E-coli was the most predominant isolate (50 %), followed by K. pneumoniae (16.7%), S. aureus (14.3%), coagulase-negative staphylococci (CONS) (11.9%), and group B streptococci (GBS) (7.1%). Gram-negative bacteria were highly resistant for tetracycline (96.4%), ampicillin. Gram-positive bacteria were 100% sensitive for ceftazidime.

**Conclusion:** Significant bacteriuria was observed in asymptomatic pregnant women. Therefore, routine laboratory diagnosis of ASB in pregnant women in addition to providing appropriate treatment should be needed to reduce its complications.

**Background**

Asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) is defined as the presence of $10^5$and more colony forming units (CFU) per milliliter(mL) of urine in the absence of specific symptoms of acute UTIs (1,2). Pregnant women are at increased risk of asymptomatic bacteriuria due to mechanical factors, hormonal changes, urinary stasis and reflux of urine from bladder to ureters(3). Therefore, screening for bacteriuria during pregnancy irrespective of whether the patient is symptomatic or not is important in first care setting as early treatment can prevent subsequent complications(4,5). Both Gram-negative and positive bacteria are predominantly responsible for ASB during pregnancy[1]. Screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria should become standard obstetric care as most antenatal guidelines today include routine screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria. The United States Preventive Services Task Force strongly recommends screening and treatment, and similar recommendations are included in guidelines from Infectious Diseases Society of America, the National Institute for Clinical Excellence, the European Association of Urology, the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Care, and most recently from the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network.[6–11]. Even Standard Treatment Guidelines in Ethiopia recommend screening and treatment of ASB, and yet screening and treatment of pregnant women for asymptomatic bacteriuria are not aimed for ANC follow-up practice in Ethiopia. Due to in-most developing countries including Ethiopia having limited health care budgets, lack of adequate laboratory facilities, and trained microbiologists affects the healthcare system significantly in addition to patient outcomes. (12,13). Antimicrobial resistance in bacteriuria is increasing worldwide, some bacteria are virulent and capable of acquiring multidrug resistance to antimicrobials. Rates of antimicrobial resistance vary according to geographic locations, they are directly proportional to the use and misuse of antimicrobials. Antimicrobial therapy of a pregnant woman is a serious concern during pregnancy. Studies show different resistance patterns. However, there's a lack of data on the prevalence of ASB and antimicrobial susceptibility of the bacterial isolates among pregnant women in Benishangul-Gumuz Region, Ethiopia. Therefore, this study was aimed to assess the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria, antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the bacterial isolates and related risk factors among pregnant women attending antenatal care (ANC) clinic of Assosa General Hospital, Western Ethiopia.

**Materials And Methods**

This study was conducted at Assosa General Hospital from January to February 2019. The hospital is found in Assosa town; 670 km west of the capital city of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa. All pregnant women attending the ANC clinic of Assosa General Hospital for ANC services in addition to pregnant women without signs and symptoms of UTIs were included consecutively as study subjects. After taking written informed consent from the pregnant women roughly 5 mL of freshly voided midstream urine samples were
collected from each study participant using a sterile screw-capped, wide-mouth container. The urine samples Culture plates were incubated in the aerobic environment at 37 °C for 24-48 hrs. Following overnight incubation, plates were checked for growth. All plates with 10^5 and more bacterial colonies per millilitre (ml) of urine were sub-cultured onto MacConkey agar (Oxoid, England), and 5% sheep blood agar (Oxoid, England) for further identification. Bacterial isolates were identified as per the standard bacteriological procedure using colony characteristics, gram-staining, and series of biochemical tests. Anti-microbial susceptibility test were carried out by Kirby- Bauer disk diffusion technique on Muller-Hinton agar medium and the diameter of zone of inhibition was interpreted according to Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guidelines. About 3-5 pure bacterial colonies were suspended in normal saline and mixed smoothly until 0.5 McFarland standards were reached. By using a sterile swab, the prepared standard suspension was evenly swabbed onto the surface of Muller-Hinton agar and the inoculated plates were stored at room temperature for 3-5 minutes to allow the medium to absorb the moisture from the inoculums and dried by placing the plates in incubators at 35-36.5 °C. The antibiotic discs were placed at equal distance to the dried Muller-Hinton agar media containing the inoculated bacteria and were incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 hrs. The diameter of zone of inhibition around the disc was measured and interpreted according to CLSI.

**Ethical consideration**

The study was ethically approved by the Ethical review board of Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences, School of pharmacy (ERB). Official permission was obtained from Benishangul Gumuz Region Health Bureau and Assosa General Hospital administrative bodies. During data collection, each study participant was informed about the purpose of the study, written informed consent was obtained from the pregnant mothers. Anyone who was not willing to participate in the study was excluded. Any information concerning the study participants was kept confidential and the specimen collected from the study participants was only analyzed for the intended purposes. Pregnant women who had significant bacteriuria received appropriate treatment according to the national guideline.

**Operational Definitions**

**Asymptomatic UTI (ASB):**

It is the presence of significant bacteria (≥ 10^5 cfu/ml) in a patient without signs or symptoms of UTI.

**Midstream urine:**

A specimen obtained from the middle part of urine flow.

**Multidrug resistance:**

is antimicrobial resistance shown by a species of microorganism to three or more antibiotics of different classes.

**Results And Discussion**

A total of 283 pregnant mothers without signs and symptoms of UTI were included in this study. The prevalence of ASB among pregnant women in this study was 14.85% (n = 42/283). In this study, gram-negative bacteria (66.7%) were more prevalent than gram-positive bacteria (33.4%) which is consistent with other studies done in Adigrat Northern Ethiopia (64.1%) [14], studies done in Nairobi Kenya (78.8%) [15], central region of Iran (69.6%) [16] and Bengal India (62.3%) [17]. The prevalence of gram-negative bacteria could be because most uropathogenic bacteria in pregnant women usually originates from the bowel and ascends to the upper urinary tract due to the proximity of the female urethra to the anal area; also during pregnancy, difficulties in cleaning the genital area during defecation might result in contamination of the female urinary tract with fecal bacteria (mostly gram-negative). Our finding is contrary to studies done in Dessie Northeast Ethiopia [18], and Hawassa Southern Ethiopia [19] which reported the
predominance of gram-positive bacteria over gram-negative bacteria among pregnant women with ASB. The possible explanation for this discrepancy might be due to differences in environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity between these different study areas and differences in the level of antimicrobial usage by patients among these different study sites, all of which could affect the distribution of bacteria in different countries and among different regions in the same country.

Regarding bacterial species, E. coli (50%) was the predominant bacterial isolates observed in this study followed by K. pneumonia (16.7%), S. aureus (14.3%), CoNS (11.9%) and GBS (7.1%). The predominance of E. coli in our study is consistent with studies done in Bahir Dar Northwest Ethiopia [21], Hawassa Southern Ethiopia [20] and studies done in Ghana [22], Egypt [23] and India [18]. The acquired ability of E. coli to produce several virulence factors that facilitate colonization and invasion of the urinary epithelium might be one possible explanation for the predominance of E. coli in pregnant women with ASB [24]. Regarding the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacterial uropathogens, the finding of our study showed that bacterial uropathogens isolated from pregnant women with asymptomatic UTI develop resistance to commonly used antimicrobial agents. In this study most of the Gram-negative bacterial isolates were sensitive to meropenem (96.4%), and ceftazidime (85.7%), while others were highly resistant to tetracycline (96.4%), and ampicillin (92.9%). Our finding were in line with studies done in Dessie Northeast Ethiopia [24], Baghdad, Iraq [25], and Kanpur, India[26]. Which show that most of the Gram-negative isolates were sensitive to ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, amikacin, tobramycin, ciprofloxacin and resistant to tetracycline and amoxicillin. Our finding are contrary to studies done in Kashmir [27], and Adigrat Northern Ethiopia [28]. Which show that most of the Gram-negative isolates were sensitive trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole augmentin, nalidixic acid and gentamycin. The easy accessibility of the commonly prescribed over-the-counter antimicrobials combined with the misuse of the antibiotics by both patients and clinicians due to lack of facilities and trained personnel for urine culture in most health facilities in Ethiopia. The frequent use of common antimicrobial agents by peoples without prescription or medical supervision might be responsible for the observed high prevalence of antimicrobial resistance. Increased resistance of E. coli to β-lactamase inhibitors combined with the emergence of extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) inhibitors (meropenem) resistant E. coli isolates (4%) in this study area is a worrying situation that needs continuous monitoring and surveillance of antimicrobial resistance of E. coli mainly in highly vulnerable groups such as pregnant women.

The finding of our study also showed that Gram-positive bacterial isolates were highly resistant to tetracycline (100%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (78.6%), penicillin (71.4%), augmentin (75%) and nalidixic acid (57.1%). Relatively similar resistance rates of Gram-positive isolates for these antibiotics were also reported from studies done in, Gondar Northwest Ethiopia [29], and India [18] which might be due to indiscriminate misuse of the antibiotics for empirical therapy. In this study most Gram-positive bacteria isolates were sensitive to ceftazidime (100%), vancomycin (92.9%), clindamycin (92.9%), tobramycin(85.7%),chloramphenicol (78.6%), norfloxacin (70.4%), ciprofloxacin(64.3%) and erythromycin (64.3%). A relatively similar susceptibility rate of Gram-positive isolate is found in in Most of these antimicrobial agents were reported from studies done in Adama Central Ethiopia [15] which might be due to the relative inaccessibility of antibiotics over the counter.

In this study, multi-drug resistance (MDR = resistance in ≥ 3 drugs) was seen in 76.2% of the isolated bacterial europathogens. Our finding are higher than studies done in Dessie Northeast Ethiopia (72.4%) [19], and Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital Addis Ababa (74%) [30]. The high prevalence of MDR reported in this study might be due to the unrestricted availability and high rate of use of prescribed drugs. It could also be related to the rapid spread of resistant bacteria and high misuse of antimicrobial drugs such as self-medication, unnecessary use, failure to adhere to standard treatment guidelines, amd lack of appropriate infection prevention. strategies and inadequate or absence of antimicrobial drug resistance surveillance program.
Table 1
Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of gram-negative bacteria isolated from the urine of pregnant women

| Bacterial isolates (no.) | Antimicrobial agents tested |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
|                         | TER | NA  | CIP | SMT | GEN | AMK | TOB | AMP | AMX-C | CEF | CTX | CAZ | MER |
| E. coli (n = 21)        |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |     |     |     |     |
| S                       | 1   | 9   | 14  | 5   | 10  | 18  | 21  | 2   | 5     | 15  | 16  | 17  | 20  |
| 4.8                     | 42.9| 66.6| 23.8| 47.6| 85.7| 100 | 9.5 | 23.8| 71.4  | 76.2| 81  | 95.2|     |
| R                       | 20  | 12  | 7   | 16  | 3   | 0   | 19  | 6   | 5     | 4   | 4   | 1   |     |
| 95.2                    | 57.1| 33.4| 76.2| 52.4| 14.3| 0   | 90.5| 76.2| 28.6  | 23.8| 19  | 4.8 |     |
| K. pneumonia(7)         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |     |     |     |     |
| S                       | 0   | 2   | 2   | 0   | 2   | 3   | 3   | 0   | 2     | 3   | 2   | 7   | 7   |
| 0                       | 28.6| 28.6| 0   | 28.6| 42.9| 42.9| 0   | 28.6| 42.9  | 28.6| 100 | 100 |     |
| R                       | 7   | 5   | 5   | 7   | 5   | 4   | 4   | 7   | 5     | 4   | 5   | 0   | 0   |
| 100                     | 71.4| 71.4| 100 | 71.4| 57.1| 57.1| 100 | 71.4| 57.1  | 71.4| 0   | 0   |     |
| Total (n = 28)          |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |     |     |     |     |
| S                       | 1   | 11  | 16  | 5   | 12  | 21  | 24  | 2   | 7     | 18  | 18  | 24  | 27  |
| 3.6                     | 39.3| 57.1| 17.9| 42.9| 75  | 85.7| 7.1 | 25  | 64.3  | 64.3| 85.7| 96.4|     |
| R                       | 27  | 17  | 12  | 23  | 16  | 7   | 4   | 26  | 21    | 10  | 10  | 4   | 1   |
| 96.4                    | 60.7| 42.9| 82.1| 57.1| 25  | 14.3| 92.9| 75  | 35.7  | 35.7| 14.3| 3.6 |     |

S: susceptible; R: resistant; CPR: ciprofloxacin; TER: tetracycline; STX: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; CEF: ceftriaxone; AMP: ampicillin; Amox-clav: amoxicillin-clavulanic acid; CAZ: ceftazidime; GEN: gentamycin; AMK: amikacin; MER: meropenem; NA: nalidixic acid; COF: cefotaxime.
Table 2
Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of gram-positive bacteria isolated from the urine of pregnant women

| Bacterial isolates (no.) | Antimicrobial agents tested |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|
|                          | CLI | ERY | CAF | PE  | CAZ | NA  | TOB | CIP | STX | NOR | VAN | TET |
| S. aureus (6)            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| S. aureus (6)            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|                          | 6   | 4   | 5   | 1   | 6   | 3   | 6   | 4   | 1   | 5   | 5   | 0   |
|                          | (100)| (66.7)| (83.3)| (16.7)| (100)| (50)| (100)| (66.7)| (16.7)| (83.3)| (83.3)| (0)  |
| S. aureus (6)            | 0   | 2   | 1   | 5   | 0   | 3   | 0   | 2   | 5   | 1   | 1   | 6   |
|                          | (0) | (33.3)| (16.7)| (83.3)| (0) | (50)| (0) | (33.3)| (83.3)| (16.7)| (16.7)| (100) |
| CoNS (5)                 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| CoNS (5)                 | 4   | 3   | 4   | 2   | 5   | 2   | 4   | 3   | 1   | 3   | 5   | 0   |
|                          | (80)| (60)| (80)| (40)| (100)| (40)| (80)| (60)| (20)| (60)| (100)| (0) |
| CoNS (5)                 | 1   | 2   | 1   | 3   | 0   | 3   | 1   | 2   | 4   | 2   | 0   | 5   |
|                          | (20)| (40)| (20)| (60)| (0) | (60)| (20)| (40)| (80)| (40)| (0) | (100) |
| GBS (3)                  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| GBS (3)                  | 3   | 2   | 2   | 1   | 3   | 1   | 2   | 2   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 0   |
|                          | (100)| (66.7)| (66.7)| (33.3)| (100)| (33.3)| (66.7)| (66.7)| (33.3)| (66.7)| (100)| (0)  |
| GBS (3)                  | 0   | 1   | 1   | 2   | 0   | 2   | 1   | 1   | 2   | 1   | 0   | 3   |
|                          | (0) | (33.3)| (33.3)| (66.7)| (0) | (66.7)| (33.3)| (33.3)| (66.7)| (33.3)| (0) | (100) |
| TOTAL (14)               |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| TOTAL (14)               | 13  | 9   | 11  | 4   | 14  | 6   | 12  | 9   | 3   | 10 | 13 | 0   |
|                          | (92.9)| (64.3)| (78.6)| (28.6)| (100)| (42.9)| (85.7)| (64.3)| (21.4)| (71.4)| (92.9)| (0) |
| TOTAL (14)               | 1   | 5   | 3   | 10  | 0   | 8   | 2   | 5   | 11  | 4   | 1  | 14  |
|                          | (7.1)| (35.7)| (21.4)| (71.4)| (0) | (57.1)| (14.3)| (35.7)| (78.6)| (29.6)| (7.1)| (100) |

CLN: clindamycin; ERY: erythromycin; CAF: Chloramphenicol; Pen: penicillin; CAZ: ceftazidime; CPR: ciprofloxacin; TET: tetracycline; STX: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; NOR: norfloxacin; VAN: vancomycin;

Conclusion

E. coli was the most predominant bacterial isolate followed by K. pneumoniae, S. aureus, CONS and GBS. A large number of the bacterial isolates were resistant to the commonly used antimicrobial drugs (tetracycline, ampicillin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and augmentin) but were sensitive to ceftazidime, clindamycin, cefotaxime and meropenem. The prevalence of MDR bacterial isolates among pregnant women with ASB in study area was high. Routine laboratory diagnosis of ASB in pregnant women and providing appropriate treatment should be needed to reduce complications. In addition, since antibiotic resistance complicates empirical regimens, local resistance rates need to be taken into consideration when deciding on therapy.

Limitations

The cross-sectional nature of the study which makes testing of an association difficult is one of the main limitations of the study. Due to final constraints, we were unable to determine the antimicrobial susceptibility of some important antimicrobial agents such as nitrofurantoin and fosfomycin.
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**Figure 1**

Frequency of bacterial europathogens isolated from pregnant women