Pain threshold correlates with functional scores in osteoarthritis patients
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Background and purpose — Pain sensitization may be one of the reasons for persistent pain after technically successful joint replacement. We analyzed how pain sensitization, as measured by quantitative sensory testing, relates preoperatively to joint function in patients with osteoarthritis (OA) scheduled for joint replacement.

Patients and methods — We included 50 patients with knee OA and 49 with hip OA who were scheduled for joint replacement, and 15 control participants. Hip/knee scores, thermal and pressure detection, and pain thresholds were examined.

Results — Median pressure pain thresholds were lower in patients than in control subjects: 4.0 (range: 0–10) vs. 7.8 (4–10) (p = 0.003) for the affected knee; 4.5 (2–10) vs. 6.8 (4–10) (p = 0.03) for the affected hip. Lower pressure pain threshold values were found at the affected joint in 26 of the 50 patients with knee OA and in 17 of the 49 patients with hip OA. The American Knee Society score 1 and 2, the Oxford knee score, and functional questionnaire of Hannover for osteoarthritis score correlated with the pressure pain thresholds in patients with knee OA. Also, Harris hip score and the functional questionnaire of Hannover for osteoarthritis score correlated with the cold detection threshold in patients with hip OA.

Interpretation — Quantitative sensory testing appeared to identify patients with sensory changes indicative of mechanisms of central sensitization. These patients may require additional pain treatment in order to profit fully from surgery. There were correlations between the clinical scores and the level of sensitization.

The proportion of osteoarthritis (OA) patients suffering from long-term pain after arthroplasty ranges from about 10% to 34% after total knee replacement (TKR) (Murray and Frost 1998, Vavrik et al. 2009, Beswick et al. 2012). Preoperative pain sensitization may be one of the reasons for persistent pain after technically successful TKR in up to 30% of patients (Murray and Frost 1998, Brander et al. 2003, Wylde et al. 2011). Earlier, pain in OA patients was only evaluated by using subjective questionnaires (Boeckstyns and Backer 1989, Gruener and Dyck 1994) and not by measurement of the somatosensory nervous system. A newer method of assessing sensory function—quantitative sensory testing (QST) (Gruener and Dyck 1994)—has since been implemented, providing a reliable, scientifically based method of measuring temperature and pressure and of pain thresholds. By using this standardized, computer-controlled method, small fiber function (Zaslansky and Yarnitsky 1998, Geber et al. 2009) and the corresponding central pathways can be measured. Thus, QST can detect sensory changes due to chronic pain (Rolke et al. 2006).

Patients with OA-related knee pain have shown a range of somatosensory abnormalities, with pressure hyperalgesia (Arendt-Nielsen et al. 2010, Wylde et al. 2012) and tactile hypoesthesia as the most prevalent (Wylde et al. 2012).

The pressure pain threshold (PPT) in the most painful area (Kosek and Ordeberg 2000) was found to be lower in hip OA patients who required surgery than in healthy control subjects; indeed, the PPT returned to normal after successful surgery (Kosek and Ordeberg 2000).

In patients who have been sensitized preoperatively, pain relief may fail postoperatively. Results from previous studies have shown that changes in nociception and central perception are maintained by chronic joint pain. Clinicians need to be able to select patients who are at risk according to easily assessable criteria. It would be easiest to use clinical scores to screen for pain sensitization without supplementary tests being required. To date, however, there have been no studies
investigating whether there is any correlation between clinical functional scores and QST parameters preoperatively.

The purpose of this controlled cohort study was therefore to determine whether pain sensitization of knee and hip OA patients is related to joint function and clinical state preoperatively. Our data could be useful in identifying those patients who have been sensitized to pain. Such patients should be given more attention and perhaps a more intense multimodal pain therapy postoperatively in order to achieve a satisfactory clinical outcome.

**Material and methods**

The patient groups are presented in Table 1. Initially, 50 healthy subjects were recruited at the same time as the patients. After age and sex matching, 15 subjects were included as a control group (median age 63 (54–70) years; 8 women) (Figure).

In all patients, an orthopedic surgeon had prescribed total knee/hip replacement surgery because of painful OA. Patients with 1-compartment knee OA (for example, recipients of par-knee/hip replacement surgery because of painful OA) were excluded. Other exclusion criteria for both groups were neurological and psychiatric criteria for both groups were neurological and psychiatric diseases, infection of the joints being tested, bursitis trochanterica, chronic low back pain with referred pain into the joint under test, and severe other diseases. OA in other joints and replaced joints on the contralateral side or other joints in the lower extremities were not counted as exclusion criteria as long as these joints were not painful at the time of measurement.

Current medication, VAS for pain at the time of testing, and the total duration of pain were assessed. The patients were not advised to discontinue taking analgesics on the day of testing.

The following questionnaires were used: VAS, American Knee Society score 1 (knee rating, AKSS 1) and 2 (functional rating, AKSS 2), Oxford knee score (original version with the overall score from 12 to 60, with 12 being the best outcome), Harris hip score, and functional questionnaire of Hannover for osteoarthritis (FFbH-OA) (Hewitt et al. 2011).

**Quantitative sensory testing**

A battery of 4 thermal QST parameters was used: cold detection threshold (CDT), warm detection threshold (WDT), cold pain threshold (CPT), and heat pain threshold (HPT).

The palm of the non-dominant hand over the area of the thenar eminence was additionally chosen as a control region to detect hyper-/hypoalgesia independent of any pre-existing knee/hip pain (Mao 2002). One investigator performed all tests, in order to exclude different testers as a confounding factor. The temperature in the QST room was between 20°C and 23°C during testing (Hirosawa et al. 1984, Meh and Denislic 1994). Before the test, the patients were given 5 uninterrupted minutes in the quiet QST room to relax and enhance their concentration. The different testing devices and the test procedure were then explained in detail using a standardized information text.

QST was performed using the Thermal Sensory Analyzer TSA II (Medoc Inc., Ramat Ishay, Israel). A contact thermode (3 x 3 cm) was gently attached and secured with a band—first to the non-dominant hand and later to the lower skin surface of the distal patellar pole in knee OA patients or to the greater trochanter in hip OA patients. This was performed bilaterally, starting with the side that would not be operated on. The control subjects were tested at the palm of the non-dominant hand first, then at the left greater trochanter, and at the left distal patellar pole last.

From the baseline temperature of the thermode, 32°C, the temperature either increased or decreased continuously at a rate of 1°C per second. As a safety precaution, cut-off temperatures were set at a maximum of 50°C and minimum of 0°C. All temperature tests were then performed 3 times. The mean of the 3 measurements was used as an outcome parameter.

The testing started by determining CDT and WDT. Subjects were instructed to stop the stimulation when they first perceived a change in temperature. The temperature of the thermode was recorded when the stop button was pushed.

The testing continued by determining CPT and HPT, in that order. As the thermode temperature dropped/increased, the patients pressed the stop button as soon as they sensed discomfort.

The testing was completed by determining the pressure pain threshold (PPT) with a pressure algometer (FDN 100; Wagner Instruments, Greenwich, CT) calibrated to deliver between 100 and 1,000 kPa, corresponding to 0.1 kg applied weight, increasing at a rate of 0.5 kg/cm2s with a contact surface of 1 cm². The patient was instructed to stop the algometer as soon as discomfort was sensed. 4 pressure measurements were...
taken at the same locations as the thermal thresholds; however, the first measurement was only performed to introduce the patient to the technique. The average of the last 3 measurements was used to calculate the pressure sensitivity. All PPT data are presented with the unit 100 kPa.

Statistics
If not otherwise stated, data are presented as median with range in parentheses. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 21.0. Measures of central tendency and dispersion were calculated for all variables, and goodness of fit to normal distribution was assessed by using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The Wilcoxon paired-rank test was used for comparisons between the 2 knees/hips within the patient groups, and the Kruskal-Wallis test and Mann-Whitney U-test (MWU test) were used for group comparisons. The Spearman correlation coefficient between the QST values and the clinical scores was calculated.

After logarithmic transformation of PPT, we performed z transformation as previously described (Wylde et al. 2012) in order to analyze the proportion of altered values. Furthermore, 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined. Any z-scores outside the 95% CI of the data from healthy subjects were classified as altered.

The significance level was set at 5%. Due to the observational nature of the study, we did not perform adjustments for multiplicity issues within endpoints or for multiplicity caused by multiple endpoints.

Ethics
The investigation was approved by the ethics committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg (study number 299/2006). The institutional review board approved the research protocol.

Results
Knee OA patients had been suffering from pain for a longer period than hip OA patients (Table 1). At the time of testing, 36 knee OA patients and 30 hip OA patients were taking pain medication. However, neither the pain medication nor the time since onset of the OA pain had any influence on the QST results. PPT values were lower in the affected knee and hip than in the joints of healthy subjects (Table 2). Altered PPT values were found at the affected joint in 26 of the 50 knee OA patients and in 17 of the 49 hip patients.

Knee OA
PPT correlated with all clinical scores in the affected knee and the contralateral knee, and in the hand. In the affected knee, all QST thresholds were different from those in the contralateral knee (Table 2), with earlier detection and pain sensation (lower values for warmth/heat and higher for cold).

Hip OA
The clinical scores correlated with CDT in the affected hip, in the contralateral hip, and in the hand. HPT was lower in the affected hip than in the contralateral one (Table 2).
Discussion

Clinical scores

The most important finding of the present study was that PPTs were significantly lower in the affected joints of both knee OA patients and hip OA patients than in healthy control subjects. PPTs correlated with the knee scores and FFbH-OA at all locations tested in knee OA patients, while the Harris hip score and FFbH-OA correlated with CDT in hip OA patients. QST parameters provided additional information as to whether a particular patient was already affected by a clinically relevant degree of sensitization. Furthermore, this method identified which sensory and nociceptive pathways were altered.

Our results suggest that poor clinical scores are highly likely to indicate pain sensitization. These patients would thus require a different kind of pain treatment in addition to conventional treatments such as medication and surgery. In addition, if an examiner’s clinical impression diverges from score values, QST tests could also help to monitor the patient’s condition and pain history. Joint function in OA patients is related to QST parameters.

PPT measured at the patellar tendon has been found to be a predictor in the VAS and in the pain section of the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities osteoarthritis index in knee OA patients (Imamura et al. 2008). In the present study, the VAS score for pain was higher in those patients than in our knee OA patient group. Therefore, a higher degree of central sensitization could have developed.

OA patients compared to healthy subjects

We found lower PPT at the affected joint in knee and hip OA patients than in healthy subjects. These results confirm previous findings in knee OA patients (Wylde et al. 2011, 2012) and in hip OA patients (Kosek and Ordeberg 2000). However, neither hyperalgesia nor allodynia was found in patients with hand OA (Westermann et al. 2011). The impact of OA might therefore depend on the affected joint.

Previous studies have also found that the threshold for perceiving punctate stimuli is lower and brainstem activity is greater in hip OA patients than in controls, indicating central sensitization (Gwilym et al. 2009). Reduced PPT was previously interpreted as central sensitization (Imamura et al. 2008).

PPT values have varied least when testing for reliability in OA patients (Wylde et al. 2011). This could explain why we did not find more differences in the other QST parameters between OA patients and healthy controls. The relatively low pain level (measured by VAS) might also be another reason for relatively few QST differences being seen between healthy individuals and OA patients. Negative correlations between VAS and PPT (i.e. the higher the pain level, the lower the PPT) have been described (Arendt-Nielsen et al. 2010). Compared with previous studies in OA patients, pain level as measured by VAS was only moderate in our patients.

A small number of patients might also be suffering from neuropathy of small fibers that has not yet been diagnosed. Previously, localized pressure pain sensitization was found in one third of knee OA patients (Wylde et al. 2012). In our knee OA patient group, more than half of the patients showed altered PPT values after z-transformation. In our hip OA group, the proportion was smaller: only one third of the patients.

Pain treatment could be adapted and differentiated by knowing that different kinds of pain thresholds correlate with clinical scores in knee and hip OA patients. An elaborate regimen of acute pain therapy in the immediate postoperative phase may limit the development of chronic pain with a neuropathic component (Cousins et al. 2000). Classic pharmaceutical therapy involving NSAIDs, COX-2 inhibitors, NMDA antagonists, cryotherapy, and regional anesthesia with additives is commonly used, with good evidence that such treatment reduces the need for opioids postoperatively. In TKR, femoral nerve block represents an additional treatment option (Parvizi et al. 2011). However, with regard to the importance of psychological and environmental factors in the chronicification process (Cousins et al. 2000), additional treatment might be needed.

We did not assess psychological factors such as comorbidity with depression, which could possibly bias the results. However, in our department, TKR/THR is only prescribed by orthopedic specialists after other major sources of chronic pain have been excluded and clear functional restrictions found. When there is doubt, a psychological assessment is carried out in the pain management division before prescribing surgery. If “catastrophizing” behavior and comorbidities are found in that assessment, we recommend a multidisciplinary pain therapy in our pain management division. This multidisciplinary pain management is based on a bio-psychosocial treatment concept which involves physiotherapy, individual psychological and medical sessions, group sessions with relaxation techniques, behavioral training, general endurance and strength training, and other components. It is also offered to patients suffering from chronic, unexplained pain after TKR/THR—with good short- to medium-term results (Merle et al. 2014).

In summary, quantitative sensory testing appears to reveal and differentiate altered sensory and nociceptive pathways in knee and hip OA patients who show lower PPT than healthy control subjects. In the present study, more knee OA patients than hip OA patients showed signs of sensitization. Commonly used clinical scores showed a high correlation with QST parameters in both groups of OA patients. These results might help to optimize pain treatment for those OA patients with the worst score results. However, these patients would require additional pain treatment. Further studies should be conducted to validate this hypothesis.
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