Utilizing solar energy to improve the oxygen evolution reaction kinetics in zinc–air battery
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Directly harvesting solar energy for battery charging represents an ultimate solution toward low-cost, green, efficient and sustainable electrochemical energy storage. Here, we design a sunlight promotion strategy into rechargeable zinc–air battery with significantly reduced charging potential below the theoretical cell voltage of zinc–air batteries. The sunlight-promoted zinc–air battery using BiVO₄ or α-Fe₂O₃ air photoelectrode achieves a record-low charge potential of ~1.20 and ~1.43 V, respectively, under illumination, which is lowered by ~0.5–0.8 V compared to the typical charge voltage of ~2 V in conventional zinc–air battery. The band structure and photoelectrochemical stability of photoelectrodes are found to be key factors determining the charging performance of sunlight-promoted zinc–air batteries. The introduction of photoelectrode as an air electrode opens a facile way for developing integrated single-unit zinc–air batteries that can efficiently use solar energy to overcome the high charging overpotential of conventional zinc–air batteries.
Solar cell and rechargeable metal–air battery are two totally different systems converting energy of different forms into electricity. Intrinsically, solar cell can only convert light into instant electricity for immediate usage but cannot store energy. Rechargeable metal–air battery, on the other hand, can store (and release) energy repeatedly as needed via oxygen evolution reaction (OER) but this process is essentially plagued by the large overpotential caused by sluggish OER kinetics. Therefore, a combination of these two battery systems would theoretically guarantee a clean and energy-efficient functional integrity, which is however, poorly explored so far.

Among the very few reported studies, lithium–oxygen battery has been the mostly focused system to be successfully loaded with a photo-responsive electrode where the in situ generated holes possessing positive charges directly or indirectly catalyze the OER. Therefore, the notorious large overpotential of the OER has been efficiently suppressed via this synergistic process where solar energy is nominally stored into the rechargeable battery system and further consumed to compensate the energy needed for battery charge. Yet, the high cost and poor safety issues of lithium–oxygen battery make it unsuitable to be coupled with the widely commercialized solar cells aiming at low cost and reliable safety, which thus drives the search for appropriate battery systems.

Among the candidacy of various rechargeable battery technologies, rechargeable zinc–air batteries have attracted great interest thanks to their high energy density (1086 Wh kg⁻¹ in theory), environmental friendliness, earth-abundance of Zn, low cost, and excellent safety enabled by the use of aqueous electrolytes. Although primary zinc–air batteries have been commercialized, the development of rechargeable zinc–air batteries is still in the early stages with several major challenges that should be addressed. One of the most significant challenges is the high charge overpotential of the battery, which is caused by the practical charge voltage typically above 2 V despite the calculated theoretical voltage of 1.65 V. Therefore, the energy efficiency is generally lowered below 60%. Besides, the high charge voltage results in the possible degradation of air electrode due to the side reactions during the charging process, greatly reducing the cycle life of rechargeable zinc–air batteries. The large charge overpotential is essentially caused by the sluggish kinetics of oxygen evolution reaction (OER) process that proceeds through four proton-coupled electron transfer steps at the air electrode during the charging process. Therefore, the improvement of the OER kinetics is the central scheme for the further development and commercialization of rechargeable zinc–air battery. In this sense, it should be beneficial to effectively harvest the solar energy using the zinc–air battery system and meanwhile to reduce the charge potential with input electric energy.

In present work, we report a sunlight-promoted rechargeable zinc–air battery by integrating semiconductor photoelectrode as air electrode to significantly lower the charge potential by ~0.5–0.8 V under sunlight illumination. By utilizing two typical semiconductor photoelectrodes (i.e., BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃) with different band structures, the effect of the band structure of the semiconductor photoelectrode on the charging performance of the zinc–air battery has been clearly demonstrated and the corresponding sunlight-promoted charging mechanism has been proposed. BiVO₄- and α-Fe₂O₃-based sunlight-promoted zinc–air batteries exhibit an ultra-low initial charge potential of 1.20 and 1.43 V, respectively, even lower than the theoretical cell voltage of zinc–air batteries. Sunlight-promoted zinc–air batteries with α-Fe₂O₃ photoelectrode show robust cycling stability due to the high photoelectrochemical stability of α-Fe₂O₃ electrode. The concept of sunlight-promoted OER in rechargeable zinc–air battery offers an effective strategy to store the in situ converted energy from the solar cell system and more importantly, to enhance the OER kinetics of zinc–air battery toward its wide application in future.

**Results**

**Working mechanism of sunlight-promoted rechargeable zinc–air battery.** Figure 1a schematically illustrates the basic structure and working mechanism of the sunlight-promoted zinc–air battery. It consists of a Zn electrode and a semiconductor photoelectrode (i.e., BiVO₄ or α-Fe₂O₃) as an air electrode assembled in alkaline electrolyte (Fig. 1a). The discharging process resembles that in a conventional zinc–air battery: electrochemical oxidation of Zn to Zn²⁺ on the Zn electrode accompanied by the reduction of oxygen on the air electrode gives electricity output. The charging process is associated with...
OER on the air electrode, which has intrinsically sluggish reaction kinetics, resulting in the large overpotential and low energy efficiency. The relevant mechanism of OER is considered as the following processes.\(^6\) OER reaction begins with the adsorption of \(\text{OH}^-\) and then form adsorbed OH species (reaction 1). The following step is the reaction of \(\text{OH}^-\) with the adsorbed OH species to produce \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and adsorbed atomic \(\text{O}\) and the release of an electron (reaction 2). After that, the step in the sequence involves the reaction of an \(\text{OH}^-\) with an adsorbed \(\text{O}\) atom to form adsorbed \(\text{OOH}^-\) species (reaction 3), which then undergo reaction with additional \(\text{OH}^-\) (reaction 4), leading to the formation of adsorbed \(\text{O}_2\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and the release of an adsorbed \(\text{O}_2\) tour desorbs in the last step of the sequence (reaction 5).

\[
\begin{align*}
M + \text{OH}^- & \rightarrow M - \text{OH} + e^- \\
M - \text{OH} + \text{OH}^- & \rightarrow M - O + e^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
M - O + \text{OH}^- & \rightarrow M - \text{OOH} + e^- \\
M - \text{OOH} + \text{OH}^- & \rightarrow M - \text{O}_2 + e^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
M - \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow M + \text{O}_2
\end{align*}
\]

In comparison with OER process in conventional zinc–air battery, the charging process of sunlight-promoted rechargeable zinc–air battery under illumination is different and significantly facilitated due to the formation of photogenerated holes that have strong oxidative ability and are favorable for proton removal. During the charging process under solar light illumination, the photoelectrode absorbs photons from the light source and generates electron–hole pairs. Then the photogenerated electrons are rapidly injected into the conduction band (CB) of the semiconductor photoelectrode and further transferred to the Zn electrode through the external circuit, resulting in the reduction of \(\text{Zn(OH)}_2^2^-\) to \(\text{Zn}\) and \(\text{OH}^-\). The photexcited holes simultaneously migrate to the photoelectrode surface to oxidize water to oxygen. The reactions for a sunlight-promoted zinc–air battery during discharging and charging are illustrated in Eqs. (6)–(12):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Discharge process:}^{18,19} & \\
\text{Zinc electrode:} & \text{Zn} + 4\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Zn(OH)}_2^2^- + 2e^- E^0 = -1.25 \text{ V versus SHE} & (6) \\
\text{Air electrode:} & \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4e^- \rightarrow 4\text{OH}^- E^0 = 0.401 \text{ V versus SHE} & (8) \\
\text{Overall:} & 2\text{Zn} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{ZnO} E^0 = 1.65 \text{ V} & (9) \\
\text{Charge process:} & \\
\text{Zinc electrode:} & \text{Zn(OH)}_2^2^- + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{Zn} + \text{OH}^- & (10) \\
\text{Air electrode:} & \text{Photoelectrode} \xrightarrow{\text{hv}} e^- + h^+ & (11) \\
4\text{OH}^- + 4h^+ & \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 & (12)
\end{align*}
\]

Characterization of the photoelectrodes for sunlight-promoted zinc–air battery. The crystal structures of BiVO\(_4\) and \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) photoelectrodes are analyzed in Fig. 2a, b, respectively. The BiVO\(_4\) photoelectrode exhibits a structure identical to monoclinic scheelite BiVO\(_4\) (JCPDS Card No. 14–0688)\(^{20}\). The \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) photoelectrode is indexed to \(\alpha\)-phase Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (JCPDS Card No. 33–0664)\(^{21}\). Strong (110) diffraction peak implies preferential growth of hematite nanorods in the [110] direction\(^{22}\). All the other peaks are indexed to be SnO\(_2\) (JCPDS Card No. 46–1088) from the fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) substrate. The surface chemical compositions of both BiVO\(_4\) and \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) are confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Apparently, the characteristic spin–orbit split of the Bi 4f\(_{5/2}\) and Bi 4f\(_{7/2}\) signals (Supplementary Fig. 1a) and V 2p\(_{1/2}\) and V 2p\(_{3/2}\) signals (Supplementary Fig. 1b) were observed, and they correspond to the typical monoclinic scheelite BiVO\(_4\).\(^{23}\) In addition, in the survey scan of XPS shown in Supplementary Fig. 1d, Fe and O signals can be clearly resolved to represent \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\).\(^{24}\) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) reveals that the obtained BiVO\(_4\) product consists of interconnected columnar-like particles with an average particle size of ~110 nm, forming a three-dimensional (3D) porous network (Fig. 2c). The thickness of the BiVO\(_4\) film is estimated to be ~650 nm according to the cross-sectional SEM (Supplementary Fig. 2a). SEM image in Fig. 2d shows that the \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) film grown on the FTO substrate is composed of nanorods with an average diameter of ~70 nm. Cross-sectional SEM image indicates that \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanorods are aligned roughly vertically to the substrates and yield an average length of ~350 nm (Supplementary Fig. 2b).

Figure 3a shows the current density–potential curves for the OER on BiVO\(_4\) and \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) electrodes in the dark and under AM 1.5 G simulated solar light at 100 mW cm\(^{-2}\). Both BiVO\(_4\) and \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) electrodes exhibit small current density and high onset potential (defined as the potential where photocurrent reaches 0.1 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) above ~1.4 V for water oxidation in the dark condition. In sharp contrast, the BiVO\(_4\) and \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) electrodes show very low onset potential below ~0.9 V under simulated sunlight illumination. Furthermore, the photocurrent increases linearly with the increasing voltage and achieves high values of 0.79 and 0.60 mA cm\(^{-2}\) at 1.23 V vs. RHE, respectively, which are more than one order of magnitude larger than those under the dark condition. This indicates that the application of photoelectrodes dramatically accelerate water oxidation kinetics under illumination. The charge-transfer properties of the photoanodes were further investigated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) (Supplementary Fig. 3). In dark, both photoanodes show one semicircle reflecting the charge-transfer resistance at the electrode surface (Supplementary Fig. 3a).\(^{25,26}\) Under
illuminated, Nyquist plots show two semicircles for both photoanodes. The arcs observed in the high and the low frequencies correspond to the bulk and surface charge-transfer processes, respectively\textsuperscript{26,27}. The semicircles obtained under illumination have much smaller radius than those in the dark, indicating much smaller charge-transfer resistance due to increased carrier density when the semiconductor photoelectrodes absorbs photons, confirming the photo-responsive property of the photoelectrodes. Moreover, BiVO\textsubscript{4} electrode has a smaller semicircle radius than \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} electrode, implying its better charge-transfer efficiency.

To evaluate the band structure of BiVO\textsubscript{4}, Mott–Schottky (M–S) analysis was performed at 1 kHz (Fig. 3b, c). The positive slope of the M–S plots suggests n-type semiconducting nature of both BiVO\textsubscript{4} and \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} electrodes. The flat band potential \(E_{FB}\) and donor densities \(N_D\) of two electrodes are calculated based on the slopes of M–S plots\textsuperscript{28}, which are 0.17 V (vs. RHE) and 2.18 \(\times\) \(10^{20}\) cm\textsuperscript{-3} for BiVO\textsubscript{4} and 0.47 and 1.25 \(\times\) \(10^{19}\) cm\textsuperscript{-3} for \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. It should be noted that the M–S analysis is derived from a planar electrode model\textsuperscript{29} and therefore these values are only for the purpose of relative comparison. Compared to \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} the negative shift of \(E_{FB}\) and larger \(N_D\) of BiVO\textsubscript{4} electrode indicate that the Fermi level is closer to the CB\textsuperscript{30,31}, leading to more significant band bending in the space charge region than that of \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} due to a larger difference between the Fermi level of BiVO\textsubscript{4} photoelectrode and the redox potential of the electrolyte. This facilitates the charge separation efficiency of the photogenerated charge carriers\textsuperscript{32,33}, which is consistent with the higher photocurrent density of BiVO\textsubscript{4} electrode compared to \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} electrode (Fig. 3a).

The photoelectrochemical stability is another important factor affecting the life of the batteries for practical applications, which was investigated by chronoamperometry measurements under illumination as shown in Fig. 3d. Clearly, \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} photoelectrode exhibits excellent stability in alkaline electrolyte, retaining nearly 100\% of its original activity after 5 h. In addition, the photocurrent density–potential curve of the \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} photoelectrode remains almost unchanged after the stability test (Supplementary Fig. 4b), confirming its high stability during OER. However, the BiVO\textsubscript{4} photoelectrode suffers 84.6\% decrease in the photocurrent density within only 10 min of operation, indicating the severe photocorrosion in the BiVO\textsubscript{4} photoelectrode. The structure and morphology of two samples after the stability test are further explored. There is no noticeable change in XRD pattern of \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} after stability test (Supplementary Fig. 5b). The overall morphology of \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is well maintained (Fig. 2d vs. Supplementary Fig. 5d). On the contrary, the XRD peak intensity of the BiVO\textsubscript{4} photoelectrode remarkably decreases after the stability test (Supplementary Fig. 5a). The SEM image after stability test also shows the dissolution of BiVO\textsubscript{4} (Supplementary Fig. 5c), suggesting the existing electrode photocorrosion. This suggests that the quick decrease in the photocurrent of BiVO\textsubscript{4} compared to \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} under illumination is attributed to the photocorrosion of BiVO\textsubscript{4} photoelectrode.

Band structure of the photoelectrode. The optical absorption properties of BiVO\textsubscript{4} and \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} photoelectrodes were investigated using ultraviolet–visible (UV–vis) absorption spectra as shown in Fig. 4a. Both photoelectrodes show obvious absorption
of visible light. The absorption edge of BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃ extends to 504 nm and 590 nm, corresponding to the band gap energy ($E_g$) of 2.46 and 2.10 eV, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 6). The VB positions of the two photoelectrodes were measured by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Fig. 4b). The positions of the valence band maximum (VBM) relative to the Fermi level ($E_F$) can be estimated by linear extrapolation of the leading edges in the spectra [34], which are deduced to be 2.11 and 1.70 eV for BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃, respectively.

To further analyze the band structure, the surface work function of α-Fe₂O₃ and BiVO₄ was measured using ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS). The work function of BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃ is 5.05 and 5.30 eV, respectively (Fig. 4c, d). Furthermore, the UPS data is combined with the values of $E_F$ and VBM to calculate the positions of the CB edge [35], which lies below 0.26 V and 0.46 V reference to SHE for BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃, respectively (assuming SHE to be positioned 4.44 eV below the vacuum energy). Based on the charging mechanism mentioned above, the CB edge combined with Zn(OH)²⁻/Zn redox potential can be used to predict the theoretical charge voltage of the sunlight-promoted zinc–air batteries, as presented in Fig. 1b. This will be further discussed and confirmed in combination with performance studies of sunlight-promoted zinc–air batteries.

**Fig. 3** Photoelectrochemical performance of the photoelectrodes. **a** Current density-potential curves of BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃ measured in 1 M KOH (pH = 13.6) in the dark and under AM 1.5 G, 100 mW cm⁻² illumination. Mott-Schottky plots of the **b** BiVO₄ and **c** α-Fe₂O₃ at an AC frequency of 1 kHz in the dark. **d** Current density-time curves of the BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃ photoelectrodes under illumination at 1.23 V vs. RHE.

**The performance of sunlight-promoted zinc–air battery.** To demonstrate the potential application of photoelectrode as an air electrode, we assembled a single-body-structured zinc–air battery using the semiconductor photoelectrode. The charging curves of zinc–air battery in the dark and under illumination based on BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃ photoelectrodes are shown in Fig. 5a, b. As expected, the introduction of the light dramatically reduces the charge potential of the zinc–air battery. Upon illumination, the charge voltage of BiVO₄-based zinc–air battery achieves a very low initial charging voltage of ~1.20 V corresponding to the voltage reduction of ~0.76 V (Fig. 5a), which translates to energy savings of close to 38.8% (Supplementary Table 1). This charging voltage value is significantly lower than the theoretical working voltage (1.65 V) of the zinc–air battery, and is also a record-low value among the reported literature to the best of our knowledge. However, the charge potential keeps increasing to get close to the charge potential without illumination, which is attributed to the photocorrosion of BiVO₄ electrode (Fig. 3d). For α-Fe₂O₃-based zinc–air battery under illumination, the charge potential is also obviously lower than the theoretical working potential of the zinc–air battery with a remarkable reduction of ~0.54 V (Fig. 5b), corresponding to energy savings of 27.4% (Supplementary Table 1). Due to the high photoelectrochemical stability of α-Fe₂O₃ electrode, the battery exhibits a stable charging plateau under illumination. Moreover, the charging voltage of the battery increases instantly once the light is off while the charging potential drops rapidly with the illumination (Supplementary Fig. 7), confirming the achievement of such a low charge potential owing to the effective utilization of the solar energy and also suggesting the battery possesses a fast light-response. This significant decrease in the charge potential can be related to the
band structure of the BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃ (Fig. 1b). Both the negative shift of the onset oxidation potential (Fig. 3a) and the lowering of the charge potential are attributed to the negative shift of the quasi-Fermi level of photoelectrodes compared to the OH⁻/O₂ redox potential under illumination. Since the OER process is achieved by the photogenerated holes in photoelectrode rather than direct oxidation of OH⁻ under illumination, BiVO₄ with a more negative CBM exhibits lower charge potential at the initial charging stage. Ideally, the band edge position is pH-dependent and follows the Nernstian pH dependence in aqueous solutions (a change of the pH value shifts the potential by ~59 mV per pH36,37). Based on the estimated CBM value (–0.54 V for BiVO₄; –0.34 V for α-Fe₂O₃ at electrolyte pH = 13.6) and the discussion in Fig. 1b, the theoretical charge potential of the sunlight-promoted zinc–air battery based on BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃ is expected to be 0.71 and 0.91 V, respectively. The initial charge potential of BiVO₄-based zinc–air battery is ~0.23 V lower than the α-Fe₂O₃-based one, which matches well with the theoretical value. Considering the factors including energy gap between the photoelectrode CBM and the E₆ of electrons under working condition, the charge recombination occurring in the photoelectrode–electrolyte interface, and the internal series resistance within the device, it is reasonable to obtain a higher actual charging voltage than the theoretical value.

Figure 5c, d show the sunlight-promoted charging curves at different current densities, where the polarization degree does not drastically increase. Even with the current density increased by 5 times to 0.5 mA cm⁻², the initial charging voltage (~1.35 V) of BiVO₄-based battery is still much lower than the theoretical working voltage (1.65 V) of the zinc–air system; α-Fe₂O₃-based battery gives a larger charging potential of ~1.64 V, which is very close to the theoretical working voltage. The discharge–charge polarization curves for zinc–air battery using BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃ as the air electrode with and without light illumination are shown in Supplementary Fig. 8. The light illumination significantly decreases the charge potential for both batteries especially at low current densities, resulting from the remarkably improved OER performance under illumination (Fig. 3a). Besides, BiVO₄ delivers a lower charging voltage compared to α-Fe₂O₃ due to the higher OER photoelectrocatalytic activity of BiVO₄. BiVO₄- and α-Fe₂O₃-based batteries exhibit similar discharge behaviors in dark and under illumination, which is consistent with the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) performance of the two photoelectrodes (Supplementary Fig. 9). To demonstrate the practical applications of the zinc–air batteries, the batteries were tested via long-term galvanostatic discharge (Supplementary Fig. 10). The initial discharge voltage platforms of the two batteries are similar, in accordance with the similar ORR performance between BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃ electrodes (Supplementary Fig. 9). BiVO₄-based battery shows distinct decrease in the voltage after discharge of ~10 h while α-Fe₂O₃-based battery is relatively stable during the whole discharge for 30 h. This is attributed to the different chemical stability of BiVO₄ and α-Fe₂O₃ in the electrolyte, suggesting the applicable features of α-Fe₂O₃-based zinc–air battery. Based on the consumed zinc electrode, the discharge gravimetric capacity and energy density of zinc–air battery using
the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ are 598.7 mAh g$_{Zn}$$^{-1}$ and 694.5 mWh g$_{Zn}$ $^{-1}$ at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ with 46 mg consumed Zn. The gravimetric capacity and energy density of BiVO$_4$-based zinc–air battery are 538.5 mAh g$_{Zn}$ $^{-1}$ and 540.1 mWh g$_{Zn}$ $^{-1}$ respectively, at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ with 39 mg consumed Zn. To investigate the cycling stability, the discharge–charge tests were performed in the dark and under illumination separately. As shown in Fig. 5e, upon illumination, zinc–air battery based on the BiVO$_4$ air photoelectrode exhibits a low charge voltage of $\sim$1.30 V at the first 3 min, corresponding to an extremely small discharge–charge voltage gap of $\sim$0.16 V and a very high energy efficiency of $\sim$87.7%. Unfortunately, the charging voltage quickly increases to $\sim$2.12 V and the discharging voltage also gradually decreases to $\sim$1.07 V after 6 h test, corresponding to the increase of voltage gap to 1.05 V and the
decrease of energy efficiency to 50.5% after the test. The observed performance degradation is due to the severe photocorrosion of BiVO$_4$ (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Fig. 5a, c). In sharp contrast, the battery using α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ air photoelectrode achieves a stable cycling performance with the charge and discharge potential plateaus at ~1.64 and ~1.15 V, respectively, under illumination during the cycles for ~50 h (Fig. 5f). This corresponds to an impressively high energy efficiency of ~70.3% compared to the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-based zinc–air battery of ~54.5% in the dark condition.

To further analyze the limiting factor for the long-term cycling of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-based zinc–air battery, the new reassembled zinc–air battery was fabricated based on the disassembled α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrode from the failed battery by replacing the fresh KOH electrolyte and new zinc electrode. Interestingly, the new reassembled zinc–air battery can further cycle for ~40 h (Supplementary Fig. 11), indicating the excellent stable performance of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrode, which is not the limiting factor for the long-term cycling. According to the working mechanisms of the battery, reactions of Zn electrode during the discharge process of zinc–air battery are accompanied with consumption of OH$^-$ and formation of insoluble ZnO, leading to passivation of Zn electrode (reactions (6)–(7)), which will increase the resistance of the zinc–air battery and make it failure to discharge. Therefore, the limiting factor for the long-term cycling of zinc–air battery is the passivation of zinc electrode in alkaline electrolyte. The structure and morphology of two samples after the cycling test are further explored. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images for α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and BiVO$_4$ materials before and after cycling tests are analyzed. It can be seen that the surface morphology of BiVO$_4$ electrode exhibits significant dissolution after cycling test compared to the initial electrode, but the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of the BiVO$_4$ electrode remains a lattice spacing of 0.46 nm, which is well consistent with the (011) plane of crystalline BiVO$_4$ (Supplementary Fig. 12). With respect to the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrode, the TEM images for α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ before and after cycling test show the same nanorod morphology (Supplementary Fig. 13). Moreover, the HRTEM images (Supplementary Fig. 13) of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ before and after cycling display lattice fringes with an inter-planar spacing of 0.25 nm, matching well with the (110) plane of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The TEM results for α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and BiVO$_4$ materials after long-term cycling tests further indicate the high stability of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. In addition, XPS tests for photoelectrodes before and after cycling test are conducted to understand the possible chemical changes of the materials. The XPS for BiVO$_4$ before and after cycling performance is provided in Supplementary Fig. 1a–c, which shows the remarkable decrease of XPS peak intensity, suggesting the sever dissolution of BiVO$_4$ electrode during the cycling test. In contrast, there is no noticeable change in XPS spectra of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ after stability test (Supplementary Fig. 1d–f), indicating the well maintained chemical compositions.

Moreover, based on the EIS results of photoelectrodes (Supplementary Fig. 14), the charge-transfer resistance of BiVO$_4$ increases compared to the initial electrode (Supplementary Fig. 3) after cycling test especially under illumination, which is close to that in the dark. This is due to the severe photocorrosion in the BiVO$_4$, resulting in the performance degradation. In contrast, the charge-transfer resistance of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrode remains almost the same after cycling test compared to the initial electrode (Supplementary Fig. 3), indicating its remarkable stability. The above characterization results for α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and BiVO$_4$ materials after long-term cycling tests further highlight the performance stability of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Furthermore, after the full discharge of 66 h, the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-based zinc–air battery could be charged back under illumination. The recharged battery can continue to function over further cycling tests under illumination although there is a small decay of charge and discharge voltage which is due to the long-term consumption of zinc and electrolyte (Supplementary Fig. 15), demonstrating the sunlight-promoted charge process. Furthermore, we have replaced zinc electrode with a copper current collector for further confirming the sunlight-promoted charging process. Interestingly, it can be seen that Zn is deposited onto the copper current collector for the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-based zinc–air battery after sunlight-promoted charging of 3 h at 1 mA cm$^{-2}$. According to the XRD results (Supplementary Fig. 16a), the deposited Zn is indexed to metallic Zn (JCPDS Card No. 04–0831). All the other peaks are indexed to be metallic Cu (JCPDS Card No. 04–0836) from the copper substrate. In addition, the composition of deposited Zn is analyzed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) (Supplementary Figs. 16b, c), which also suggests the successful deposition of Zn on the copper current collector, again confirming the sunlight-promoted charging process. All the results clearly highlight the promising α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ photoelectrode as an efficient air electrode utilizing solar energy for sunlight-promoted zinc–air battery, and also demonstrate that the band structure and the photoelectrochemical/chemical stability of photoelectrode play a significant role in determining the battery performance including the charging performance, energy efficiency, and lifetime. As discussed above, the concept of sunlight-promoted OER in rechargeable zinc–air battery offers an effective strategy by utilizing solar energy to facilitate the OER kinetics of metal–air battery toward its wide application in future. To demonstrate its promising application in other metal–air batteries, we assembled the Fe-air battery based on the photoelectrode (e.g., α-Fe$_2$O$_3$) as an example. The “light-response” charging feature of the Fe–air battery is shown in Supplementary Fig. 17. Excitingly, the sunlight-promoted rechargeable Fe–air batteries exhibit charge potential of ~1.26 V based on α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Once the sunlight is cut off, it can be seen that the applied voltage increases immediately. The example of the sunlight-promoted Fe–air batteries further demonstrates that the concept of utilizing solar energy to improve the oxygen evolution reaction kinetics can be extended to other metal–air batteries for addressing the charging overpotential issue.

Discussion

In conclusion, we have successfully incorporated solar energy capturing into the charging process of a rechargeable zinc–air battery which integrates the semiconducting photoelectrode as air electrode. Under sunlight illumination, the photoelectrode undergoes photoexcitation and generates holes and electrons. The holes transfer to the photoelectrode surface to facilitate OER process, thus reducing the charging voltage. The proposed sunlight-promoted charging mechanism has been demonstrated using two typical photoelectrodes (i.e., BiVO$_4$ and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$) with different band structures. BiVO$_4$-based zinc–air battery can be initially charged with an extremely low voltage of ~1.20 V corresponding to ~0.76 V reduction compared to that in dark. However, it increases quickly due to severe photocorrosion of BiVO$_4$. In contrast, α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ exhibits promising potential as stable and efficient air photoelectrode with a low charge potential of ~1.43 V and high cycling stability during 50 h discharge–charge test. It is also interesting to note that the difference in the actual charge potential (~0.23 V) of sunlight-promoted zinc–air batteries based on two different photoelectrodes matches well with the predicted theoretical value difference (0.20 V). This work demonstrates that the introduction of photoelectrode with more negative CB and appropriate VB positions as well as high photoelectrochemical stability is promising for the development of zinc–air batteries with high energy efficiency.
Discharge current

Methods

Electrode preparation. The porous BiVO₄ photoelectrode was synthesized through electrodoposition of BiOI film on fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) substrate followed by thermal conversion to the porous BiVO₄ film. Briefly, 0.4 M KI solution was prepared by dissolving 20 mmol potassium iodide (KI, ≥99%, Sigma-Aldrich) into 50 ml deionized water and adjusting its pH to 1.7 by nitric acid (HNO₃, 65–68%, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Beijing Co., Ltd, China). Then, 2 mmol bismuth nitrate pentahydrate (Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O, ≥99%, Sigma-Aldrich) was added into the solution and stirred by 15 min. Afterwards, this solution was mixed with 20 ml of absolute ethanol containing 0.4 M p-benzoquinone (≥98%, Sigma-Aldrich), and was vigorously stirred for 30 min resulting in a dark transparent black solution with a pH of 2.6. A typical three-electrode system was carried out for the electrodoposition, with the prepared solution as electrolyte, a FTO substrate as the working electrode (WE), a platinum foil as the counter electrode (CE) and the saturated Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. After potentiostatically cathodic deposition at an optimized condition of −0.1 V vs. Ag/AgCl for 5 min, a uniform BiOI film was deposited on the FTO substrate followed by rinsing with deionized water and drying in ambient air. BiVO₄ electrodes were prepared by drop-casting the obtained BiOI layer, followed by annealing in a muffle furnace at 450 °C (ramping rate 2 °C min⁻¹) for 2 h. To remove excess V₂O₅, the obtained BiVO₄ electrodes were soaked in 1 M potassium hydroxide (KOH, ≥98%, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Beijing Co., Ltd, China) after cooling to room temperature. Finally, the electrodes were rinsed with deionized water and subsequently sintered in air at 550 °C for 2 h to form Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays. Afterwards, the formed Fe₂O₃ product was further annealed at 800 °C for 10 min for facilitating the doping of Sn from the FTO into Fe₂O₃ to increase the donor density in the electrode.

Characterization. The crystal structures of the obtained samples were determined by XRD (Bruker D8, Bruker Corp, USA) with Cu Kα radiation and operating in a 2θ range of 20–80° at a scan rate of 5° min⁻¹. The surface morphology of the samples was characterized by field-emission SEM (Hitachi S-4800, Japan) and TEM (JEOL 2100 F, Japan). The optical absorption measurements of as-prepared samples were performed using a spectrophotometer (Hitachi U-3010, Hitachi, Japan). VB analysis was carried out by XPS (Kratos Axis Ultra DLD, Kratos Analytical Ltd., UK). UPS was measured with a monochromatic He I light source (21.2 eV) and a VG Scienta 4000 analyzer (VG Scienta Ltd., UK). A sample bias of −5 V was applied to observe the secondary electron cutoff. The work function can be determined by the secondary electron cutoff at the high kinetic energy region.

Photoelectrochemical measurements. The photoelectrochemical and electrochemical performances of the photoelectrode were measured with potentiostat (Wuhan LAND Electronic Co., Ltd, China) in the dark and under illumination, respectively. The specific capacity (mAh g⁻¹) and the energy density (mWh g⁻¹) based on the weight of consumed Zn are calculated according to Eqs. 15 and 16:

\[
\text{Specific capacity} = \frac{\text{Discharge current \times Service hours}}{\text{the weight of consumed Zn}} \tag{15}
\]

\[
\text{Energy density} = \frac{\text{Discharge current \times Service hours \times Average discharge voltage}}{\text{the weight of consumed Zn}} \tag{16}
\]

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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