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Abstract: As the engineering world are growing fast, the usage of data for the day to day activity of the engineering industry also growing rapidly. In order to handle and to find the hidden knowledge from huge data storage, data mining is very helpful right now. Text mining, network mining, multimedia mining, trend analysis are few applications of data mining. In text mining, there are variety of methods are proposed by many researchers, even though high precision, better recall are still is a critical issues. In this study, text mining is focused and conceptual mining model is applied for improved clustering in the text mining. The proposed work is termed as Meta data Conceptual Mining Model (MCMM), is validated with few world leading technical digital library data sets such as IEEE, ACM and Scopus. The performance derived as precision, recall are described in terms of Entropy, F-Measure which are calculated and compared with existing term based model and concept based mining model.

Key words: Meta data conceptual mining model, clustering, text mining, data mining

INTRODUCTION

Data mining is an iterative knowledge model to discover hidden knowledge through either automatic or manual methods. Data mining is the most useful field of study, in which new, valuable and nontrivial information in large volumes of data are handled by innovative and efficient methodologies.

The major tasks (Kantardzic, 2003) in the data mining are, Classification-discovery of a predictive learning function that classifies a data item into one of several predefined classes; Regression-discovery of a predictive learning function, which maps a data item to a real-value prediction variable; Clustering-a common descriptive task in which one seeks to identify a finite set of categories or clusters to describe the data; Summarization-an additional descriptive task that involves methods for finding a compact description for a set (or subset) of data; Dependency Modelling-finding a local model that describes significant dependencies between variables or between the values of a feature in a data set or in a part of a data set; Change and Deviation Detection-discovering the most significant changes in the data set.

In the above, the focus of recent research in the data mining is further reduced as clustering, prediction and the classification. The Prediction is the process which predicts unknown or future values of interest by using some variables or fields in the data set and the prediction produces the model of the system described. Classification is the process which is used for finding patterns by describing the data that can be interpreted and the classification produces new, nontrivial information based on the available data set. In order to execute these processes in the data mining requires clustering and outlier analysis for reducing as well as identifying useful dataset.

Cluster analysis is a methodology for classifying given samples into a number of defined groups using a pre-defined measure of association. Therefore, the samples in one group are similar and the samples belonging to different groups are dissimilar. Simply says, when a set of samples and a measure of similarity (or dissimilarity) between two samples are given as input to the clustering model, which return number of groups (clusters) that form a partition, or a structure of partitions, of the data set.

Mathematical model of clustering and literature survey: Consider that an ordered pair (X, s), or (X, d) are input samples, where X is a set of descriptions of samples and s and d are measures for similarity or dissimilarity between the samples, respectively. The output of the clustering system is a partition A = {G1, G2, ..., GN} where Gk, k = 1, ..., N is a crisp subset of X such that Eq 2:
It is obvious that when \( p = 1 \), then \( d \) coincides with similar; if \( x \) and \( x' \) are not similar \( d (x, x') \) is large. A distance \( d (x, x') \) is small when \( x \) and \( x' \) are similar samples; the value of \( s (x, x') \) is small when \( x \) and \( x' \) are not similar. Very often a measure of dissimilarity is used instead of a similarity measure. The word “similarity” in clustering means that the value of \( s (x, x') \) is large when \( x \) and \( x' \) are similar; if \( x \) and \( x' \) are not similar \( d (x, x') \) is large.

A dissimilarity measure is denoted by \( d (x, x') \), and \( x' \) are not similar. Very often a measure of dissimilarity is used instead of a similarity measure. The word “similarity” in clustering means that the value of \( s (x, x') \) is large when \( x \) and \( x' \) are similar; if \( x \) and \( x' \) are not similar \( d (x, x') \) is large.

The clustering is processed using Quantitative features and Qualitative features. The Quantitative features can be subdivided as (1) continuous values (e.g., real numbers where \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R} \)), (2) discrete values (e.g., binary numbers \( P = \{0, 1\} \), or integers \( P \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \)) and (3) interval values (e.g., \( P = \{x_i \leq 20, 20 \leq x_i < 40, x_i \geq 40\} \). The Qualitative features can be subdivided as (1) nominal or unordered values (e.g., color is “blue” or “red”) and (2) ordinal values (e.g., military rank with values “general”, “colonel”).

The word “similarity” in clustering means that the value of \( s (x, x') \) is large when \( x \) and \( x' \) are two similar samples; the value of \( s (x, x') \) is small when \( x \) and \( x' \) are not similar. Very often a measure of dissimilarity is used instead of a similarity measure. The word “similarity” in clustering means that the value of \( s (x, x') \) is large when \( x \) and \( x' \) are similar; if \( x \) and \( x' \) are not similar \( d (x, x') \) is large.

It is obvious that when \( p = 1 \), then \( d \) coincides with \( L_1 \) distance and when \( p = 2 \), \( d \) is identical with the Euclidean metric. For example, for 4-dimensional vectors \( x_1 = \{1, 0, 1, 0\} \) and \( x_2 = \{2, 1, -3, -1\} \) these distance measures are \( d_1 = \sqrt{1^2 + 1^2 + 4^2 + 1^2} = 1.66 \) and \( d_2 = \sqrt{(1-2)^2 + (1+3)^2 + (4+1)^2} = 7.41 \).

Text mining is a new and ongoing research domain, which needs efficient clustering methods. In initial stages of data mining research, various classifiers using association rules are applied for knowledge discovery. Most of the classifiers uses positive rules as similarity measures. Kundu et al. (2008) proposes negative rules for associative classifier. The generation of negative associations from datasets has been attacked from different perspectives by various authors and this has proved to be a very computationally expensive task. The authors proposes the classifier, which termed as “Associative Classifier with Negative rules” (ACN) is not only time-efficient but also achieves significantly better accuracy than four other state-of-the-art classification methods by experimenting on benchmark UCI datasets.

The comparison shown by Mazid et al. (2009) gives the detailed study of Association ruled based mining model. In which the Rule based mining (which may be performed through either supervised learning or unsupervised learning techniques) are compared with recent research proposals using predefined test sets. In terms of accuracy and computational complexity, the author concluded Apriori is a better choice for rule based mining task.

Later on 2009, hybrid mining model are proposed for classification, for ex, concept classification proposed by Brown and Forouraghi (2009) and Rahman et al. (2010). As already concluded that, apriori is a well-known algorithm which is used extensively in market-basket analysis and data mining. The algorithm is used for learning association rules from transactional databases and is based on simple counting procedures. In hybrid model, Apriori is further improved by C4.5 decision tree and k-means clustering algorithms, respectively.

El-far et al. (2011) proposed k-means classifier for data mining which applied for Three-dimensional data models to visualize realistic objects. This study is proposes k-means for application such as CAD/CAO, medical simulations, games, virtual reality. There are two major approaches for drawing or building 3d objects, (1) the search in the database can be done via requests that are either 3D objects, (2) via some 2D views of the 3D object. This study contributes an extract characteristic views of 3D models using Data Mining algorithms which comprises Apriori, Charm, Close+ and Extraction of association rules. The work tested using a database that contains 120 numbers of 3D models selected from the Princeton Shape Benchmark, for 342numbers of 2D views.

The recent text mining research shows that effective usage and update of discovered patterns is still an open research issue (Zhong et al., 2012a). To improve the effectiveness of using and updating discovered patterns for finding relevant and interesting information, this study proposes effective pattern methods. For detailed survey of text mining, for clustering (Koteeswaran et al, 2012), a survey of evolutionary algorithm by Barros et al. (2012) and survey of twenty of years of mixture of experts by Yuksel 2012 are recommended.

The concept based mining model proposed by (Shehata and Kamel, 2010), used concept based analysis for text clustering. The concept on the sentence, documents and corpus levels rather than a single term analysis on the document are the objective of this study. The Conceptual Term Frequency (CTF) in sentences, Term Frequency (TF) are calculated and based on these calculation, the text are classified as particular nature.

This was further modified by Cai et al. (2012), in which the authors used Nonnegative Matrix Factorization for text categorization. NMF can only be performed in the original feature space of the data points and it gives acceptable result than existing system.
Pattern taxonomy for text classification (Zhong et al., 2012b) proposes closed sequential patterns, which used the well-known Apriori property in order to reduce the searching space. The advancement of DBSCAN, named TSCAN (Chen and Chen, 2012) defined an event as a significant theme development that continues for a period of time. In general, all these events are temporally disjoint and which may be taken together form the message of the topic. Moreover, events in different themes may be associated because of their temporal proximity and context similarity. The authors proposed a model to identify the themes and the events from the given documents and associated events.

The recent development of conceptual text mining includes string mining which concentrates low memory usage (Dhaliwal et al., 2012), Text deduction methodology (Chenghua et al., 2012) which proposes a novel probabilistic modeling framework called Joint Sentiment-Topic (JST) model based on Latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) are recommended implementation of recent research.

Proposed work: The k-means algorithm uses the number of terms appeared in the documents, based on these calculations, the documents are sorted by the list of terms which appeared most frequently in the documents. The terms are filtered and analyzed by a technical person for categorizing the documents. So that it needs technical person for clustering for accurate manipulations.

In the Term Based Method proposes by Li et al. (2000), information retrieval provided many using rough set method or support vector machine based filtering model. The advantages of term based methods include efficient computational performance as well as mature theories for term weighting, which have emerged over the last couple of decades from the IR and machine learning communities. However, term based methods suffer from the problems of polysemy and synonymy, where polysemy means a word has multiple meanings and synonymy is multiple words having the same meaning. The semantic meaning of many discovered terms is uncertain for answering what users want.

In order to avoid technical person interpretation and manipulation, as it involves more costly job, the concept based mining model proposes concept analysis. In the concept analysis, the ctf, tf are calculated and based on these calculations higher ctf and tf are sorted. These most frequent ctf and tf terms are verified with the technical terms which appeared in the preprocessing stage. Therefore, it needs any clerical level staff member to classify the documents.

In the proposed work, the Meta data Conceptual Mining Model (MCMM), used for effective text classification. The proposed MCMM executes in two stages of manipulation, which are training phase and testing phase as shown in Fig. 1.

The proposed MCMM are explained in the following.

Training phase: In the preprocessing stage, the di-grams (such as in, as, it) and tri-grams (such as are, for, ing) terms are removed from the documents.

Significant Term List (STL) is a list of keywords which prepared by a technical person based on their domain of study. STL are prepared one each for each field of study, i.e., each clustering groups. The STL which has basic terminology will be updated each time, the text is clustered. And the STL has unique, primary key terms which appeared in only one STL and it will not re-appear in another.

In the conceptual analysis stage, the terms which appeared in each STL are searched in the given training documents.

The ctf values of the documents are shown in the Eq. 1, $ctf = \frac{\text{number of frequent terms}}{\text{total number of terms in the documents}}$ (1)

In the classification stage, the highest values of ctf which appeared in any one field of STL is identified and clustered as the name of STL. This process continues for each training documents and each additional relevant terms identified in the training phase is added in the concern STL.

Testing phase: Similar to training phase, in the preprocessing stage, the di-grams (such as in, as, it) and tri-grams (such as are, for, ing) terms are removed from the documents.

In the conceptual analysis stage, the ctf values of each term which appeared in every STL are calculated from the given document.

In the classification stage, the highest values of ctf which appeared in any one field of STL is identified and clustered as the name of STL.

MCMM Algorithm: The algorithm of proposed work which explained in the above section is given in the following sub-section:

A. Training Phase
Step 1: Apply preprocessing (remove di-grams and tri-grams)
Step 2: Prepare Significant Term List (STL) for each field of study
Step 3: Check the metadata stored in each STL is unique and primary data
Fig. 1: Design of proposed MCMM

Step 4: Read training documents until all training documents are read otherwise goto step 9.
Step 5: Calculate the number of matching terms in the given documents which matching the STL are ‘m’ and calculate the total number of sentences in the given documents are ‘n’
Step 6: Apply Concept Analysis model for finding ctf, ctf = m/n
Step 7: Sort the ctf in decreasing order and check the terms which has higher ctf are available in the STL, if available goto step 8 otherwise goto step 9.
Step 8: Update these new terms to concern STL and goto step 3
Step 9: End the training process

B. Testing Phase
Step 1: Apply preprocessing (remove di-grams and tri-grams)
Step 2: Collect Significant Term List (STL) for each field of study
Step 3: Check the metadata stored in each STL is unique and primary data
Step 4: Apply the input test document
Step 5: Read each term in every STL and Calculate the number of matching terms in the given test document which matching the STL are ‘m’ and Calculate the total number of sentences in the given test document is ‘n’
Step 6: Calculate ctf, ctf = m/n
Step 7: Sort the ctf in decreasing order,
Step 8: Check the terms which has higher ctf
Step 9: Check this highest ctf term is available in the given STL, if available goto step 10 otherwise goto step 11.
Step 10: Classify the given test document as the field of matching STL
Step 11: Identify next higher ctf term until ctf become zero and goto step 9

RESULTS

The manipulation methodologies implemented in k-means algorithm, concept based model and proposed methods are shown in the Table 1. The base technique shows the methodology used for clustering, the classification shows the mode of operation used for clustering and the stage shows the implementation paradigm of each methodology, the last metric is given answer for the preprocessing is implemented in each methodology.

The proposed work implemented and compared with Term based method and concept based method. The result of the implementation are recorded and shown in the Table 1 and 2. The inputs are collected from the world leading technical study consortium such as IEEE, ACM and Scopus. The IEEE is a collection of technical data base which available online through IEEE Explore. The IEEE Explore is a digital library and search engine which contains high quality of technical articles from international conference proceedings and transactions. The ACM is also a high quality digital library which contains technical articles from varies ACM Transactions. The Scopus has world largest collection of technical articles which contains almost all leading technical and management journals like IEEE, ACM, Elsevier, Willey, Oxford, Springer and Taylor-Francis.

The accuracy and performance of text mining is measured using two measures, namely F-measure and entropy. The F-measure is the metric used for measuring performance of the clustering technique, which is calculated based on following Eq. 3-6.

The F-measure is calculation which combines the precision and recall function from the information retrieval procedure.

The precision P of a cluster ‘i’ with respect to a class ‘i’ are defined in the following Eq. 3:
Table 1: Comparison of manipulation models of existing Vs proposed methods

| Description          | Term based model | Concept based model | Proposed MCMM |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Base technique       | Frequent itemset | Concept term frequency | Concept term frequency, Significant term list |
| Performance updating | Not possible     | Not possible        | Possible. By proper training, the performance may be achieved as higher as possible. |
| Stage                | One Stage        | One Stage           | Two Stage (Training and Testing) |
| Preprocessing        | Yes              | Yes                 | Yes           |
| No of Search         | non              | non                 | T∞n (∀ T < n) |

Table 2: Comparison of F-Measure of existing Vs proposed methods

| Field of Study | Data Set | Term based model | Concept based model | Proposed MCMM |
|----------------|----------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Electrical     | IEEE     | 0.697            | 0.741               | 0.823         |
|                | ACM      | 0.767            | 0.812               | 0.876         |
|                | Scopus   | 0.724            | 0.807               | 0.859         |
| Electronics    | IEEE     | 0.688            | 0.731               | 0.812         |
|                | ACM      | 0.757            | 0.801               | 0.865         |
|                | Scopus   | 0.715            | 0.797               | 0.848         |
| Civil          | IEEE     | 0.756            | 0.804               | 0.892         |
|                | ACM      | 0.832            | 0.881               | 0.950         |
|                | Scopus   | 0.785            | 0.875               | 0.932         |
| Computer       | IEEE     | 0.746            | 0.793               | 0.881         |
|                | ACM      | 0.821            | 0.869               | 0.938         |
|                | Scopus   | 0.775            | 0.864               | 0.919         |
| Mechanical     | IEEE     | 0.736            | 0.783               | 0.869         |
|                | ACM      | 0.810            | 0.858               | 0.925         |
|                | Scopus   | 0.765            | 0.853               | 0.907         |

R = recall(i, j) = \( \frac{M_{ij}}{M_j} \) \hspace{1cm} (4)

where, \( M_{ij} \) is the number of members of a class ‘i’ in a cluster ‘j’, \( M_j \) is the number of members of class ‘i’.

From the Eq. 3 and 4, the F-Measure of a class ‘i’ is defined in the following Eq. 5:

\[ F(i) = \frac{2 \times P \times R}{P + R} \] \hspace{1cm} (5)

The overall F-measure is calculated based on the following Eq. 6:

\[ F = \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} (|i| \times F(i))}{\sum |i|} \] \hspace{1cm} (6)

The comparison of F-measure of various existing methods and proposed MCMM are shown in the Table 2.

The one more metric of performance calculation for text mining is Entropy, which explained in the following Eq. 7 and 8.

The Entropy is a measure of quality for untested clusters, which also defined as quality of clusters at one level of a hierarchical clustering. Entropy measures the homogeneous of a cluster and the higher the homogeneous of a cluster replies the lowest entropy of the cluster. Suppose, the cluster has perfect homogeneity, the entropy of the concern cluster becomes zero.

The Entropy of class ‘i’ is defined in the following Eq. 7:

\[ E_i = -\sum_{p_i} p_i \times \log(p_i) \] \hspace{1cm} (7)

The overall Entropy of cluster is defined in the following Eq. 8:

\[ E = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{M_j}{M} \times E_j \right) \] \hspace{1cm} (8)

The recall function R of a cluster ‘j’ with respect to a class ‘i’ is defined in the following Eq. 4:
The Entropy of the existing and proposed methods is displayed in the Table 3.

The graphical representation of performance result which shown in the Table 2 and 3 are shown in the Fig. 2 and 3.

**CONCLUSION**

The Entropy shows in the Fig. 3 and Table 3 shows that the homogeneity of the proposed clustering is better than existing methods. The entropy of the proposed work is improved as a minimum of 4% than existing system and it leads to maximum of 20%. The zero homogeneity is also possible in the proposed methods, if the proposed method is trained with more number of documents. The F-measure of the proposed work is shown in the Table 2 and Fig. 2 and Fig. 2 are shown that the performance is improved as a minimum of 5% than existing system and it leads to maximum of 14%. From these results it is concluded that the proposed MCMM will effective than existing methods.

Therefore the precision and recall are optimal than existing system in the proposed MCMM. From the result, the proposed Meta data conceptual mining model (MCMM) proves that it is an effective process for text clustering. And the proposed MCMM leads to more number of classifications per unit time than existing methods.
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