Spectrum Sensing by Cognitive Radios at Very Low SNR
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Abstract—Spectrum sensing is one of the enabling functionalities for cognitive radio (CR) systems to operate in the spectrum white space. To protect the primary incumbent users from interference, the CR is required to detect incumbent signals at very low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). In this paper, we present a spectrum sensing technique based on correlating spectra for detection of television (TV) broadcasting signals. The basic strategy is to correlate the periodogram of the received signal with the \textit{a priori} known spectral features of the primary signal. We show that according to the Neyman-Pearson criterion, this spectra correlation-based sensing technique is asymptotically optimal at very low SNR and with a large sensing time. From the system design perspective, we analyze the effect of the spectral features on the spectrum sensing performance. Through the optimization analysis, we obtain useful insights on how to choose effective spectral features to achieve reliable sensing. Simulation results show that the proposed sensing technique can reliably detect analog and digital TV signals at SNR as low as $-20$ dB.

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the increasing proliferation of wireless devices and services, the traditional static spectrum allocation policy becomes inefficient. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has recently opened the TV bands for cognitive radio devices, which can continuously sense the spectral environment, dynamically identify unused spectral segments, and then operate in these white spaces without causing harmful interference to the incumbent communication services [1]. The IEEE 802.22 Wireless Regional Area Network (WRAN) working group is developing a CR-based air interface standard for unlicensed operation in the unused TV bands [2].

Spectrum sensing to detect the presence of primary signals is one of the most important functionalities of CRs. To avoid causing harmful interference to the incumbent users, FCC requires that unlicensed CR devices operating in the unused TV bands detect TV and wireless microphone signals at a power level of $-114$ dBm [1]. For a noise floor around $-96$ dBm in the receiver circuitry (with respect to $6$ MHz bandwidth and a $10$ dB noise figure), spectrum sensing algorithms need to reliably detect incumbent TV signals at a very low SNR of at least $-18$ dB. This requirement poses new challenges to the design of CR systems since traditional detection techniques such as energy detection and matched filtering are no longer applicable in the very low SNR region [2].

In general, there are three signal detection approaches for spectrum sensing: energy detection, matched filtering (coherent detection), and feature detection. If only the local noise power is known, the energy detector is optimal [3]. If a deterministic pattern (e.g., pilot, preamble, or training sequence) of primary signals is known, then the optimal detector usually applies a matched filtering structure to maximize the probability of detection. Depending on the available \textit{a priori} information about the primary signal, one may choose one of the above approaches for spectrum sensing in CR networks. However, energy detection and matched filtering approaches are not applicable to detecting weak signals at very low SNR. At very low SNR, the energy detector suffers from noise uncertainty and the matched filter experiences the problem of lost synchronization. To improve sensing reliability, most previous studies have focused on the development of cooperative sensing schemes using multiple CRs [4] [5] [6]. An alternative approach is to use feature detection provided that some information is \textit{a priori} known. Cyclostationary detection exploiting the periodicity in the modulated schemes [7] is such an example but requires high computational complexity. Recently, Zeng and Liang developed an eigenvalue based algorithm using the ratio of the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of the sample covariance matrix [8].

In this paper, we develop a feature detection-based spectrum sensing technique for a single CR to meet the FCC sensing requirement. The basic strategy is to correlate the periodogram of the received signal with the selected spectral features of a particular TV transmission scheme, either the national television system committee (NTSC) scheme or the advanced television standard committee (ATSC) scheme, and then to examine the correlation for decision making. By utilizing the asymptotic properties of Toeplitz matrices [9], we show that for certain signal models the spectra correlation-based detector is asymptotically equivalent to the likelihood ratio test (LRT) at very low SNR. In addition, we analyze how the spectral features can affect the sensing performance. Specifically, we formulate the sensing problem into an optimization problem. By solving this problem, we obtain useful insights on how to select or design effective spectral features to achieve reliable sensing. Extensive simulation results show that the proposed sensing technique can reliably detect TV signals from additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) at SNR as low as $-20$ dB.
A. TV Signal Characteristics

Briefly review the TV transmission schemes. ATSC is designed for the digital television (DTV) transmission, and NTSC is for the analog television (TV) transmission. On the other hand, ATSC is a standard for TV signals in North America and most of South America. The power is distributed in the frequency domain. Fig. 1 (a) and (b) illustrate the PSD functions of both NTSC and ATSC signals. NTSC is the standardized analog video system used in North America and most of South America. The power spectrum of an NTSC signal consists of three peaks across the 6 MHz channel, which correspond to the video, color, and audio carriers, respectively. On the other hand, ATSC is designed for the digital television (DTV) transmission, and it delivers a Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG)-2 video stream of up to 19.39 Mbps. The ATSC spectrum is relatively flat but has a pilot located in 310 kHz above the lower edge of the channel.

We find that both NTSC and ATSC signals have distinct spectral features, which are constant during the transmissions. This observation motivates us to design a spectrum sensing technique for TV signals by exploiting these a priori known spectral features.

B. Sensing Strategy

The spectrum sensing problem can be modeled into a binary hypothesis test at the $l$-th time instant as follows:

$$\begin{align}
\mathcal{H}_0 & : y(l) = v(l), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots; \\
\mathcal{H}_1 & : y(l) = x(l) + v(l), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\end{align}$$

(1)

where $y(l)$ is the received signal by a secondary user, $x(l)$ denotes the transmitted incumbent signal, and $v(l)$ is assumed to be complex zero-mean additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN), i.e., $v(l) \sim CN(0, \sigma_v^2)$. We assume that the signal and noise are independent. Accordingly, the PSD of the received signal $S_y(\omega)$ for different hypotheses can be written as

$$\begin{align}
\mathcal{H}_0 & : S_y(\omega) = \sigma_v^2 \\
\mathcal{H}_1 & : S_y(\omega) = S_X(\omega) + \sigma_v^2, \quad 0 \leq \omega < 2\pi,
\end{align}$$

(2)

where $S_X(\omega)$ is the PSD function of the transmitted primary signal. Our objective is to distinguish between $\mathcal{H}_0$ and $\mathcal{H}_1$ by exploiting the unique spectral signature exhibited in $S_X(\omega)$.

Generally, we can obtain an estimate of the PSD of the observations through various spectral estimation algorithms, and here we focus on the periodogram, i.e., the squared magnitudes of the $n$-point discrete-time Fourier transform (DFT) of the $n$-point received signal, denoted

$$S_y^{(n)}(k), \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1.$$ (3)

On the other hand, we suppose that the $n$-point sampled PSD of the signal under detection, $S_X^{(n)}(k) = S_X(2\pi k/n)$, is known a priori at the receiver. To detect the presence of a TV (NTSC or ATSC) signal, we perform the following test:

$$T_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_Y^{(n)}(k)S_X^{(n)}(k) \frac{\mathcal{H}_1}{\mathcal{H}_0} \geq \gamma$$

(4)

where $\gamma$ is the decision threshold. Namely, if the spectra correlation between $S_X^{(n)}(k)$ and $S_Y^{(n)}(k)$ is greater than the threshold then we would decide $\mathcal{H}_1$, i.e., presence of the signal of interest; otherwise, we would decide $\mathcal{H}_0$, i.e., absence of the primary signal.

### III. Asymptotic Optimality

In this section, we show that the proposed spectrum sensing technique (4) is asymptotically optimal at very low SNR in the Neyman-Pearson sense. The asymptotic optimality is in the sense that, as shown in Theorem 1 below, the decision statistic $T_n$ asymptotically approaches the likelihood ratio decision statistic for low SNR and large observation length.

A. LRT at Very Low SNR

Considering a sensing interval of $n$ samples, we can represent the received signal and the primary transmitted signal in vector form as $y = [y(0), y(1), \ldots, y(n-1)]^T$ and $x = [x(0), x(1), \ldots, x(n-1)]^T$. Since TV signals are perturbed by propagation along multiple paths, it may be reasonable to approximately model them as being a second-order stationary zero-mean Gaussian stochastic process, i.e.,

$$x \sim CN(0, \Sigma_n)$$

(5)
where
\[ \Sigma_n = E(xx^T) \]  
(6)
is the covariance matrix. Consequently, (1) is equivalent to the following hypothesis testing problem in the \( n \)-dimensional complex space \( \mathbb{C}^n \):
\[
\mathcal{H}_0: \ y \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_v^2 I) \quad \mathcal{H}_1: \ y \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \Sigma_n + \sigma_v^2 I)
\]
(7)
where \( I \) is the identity matrix. The logarithm of the likelihood ratio is given by [10]:
\[
\log L(y) = 2n \log \sigma_v - \log \det (\Sigma_n + \sigma_v^2 I) - y^T \left( (\Sigma_n + \sigma_v^2 I)^{-1} - \sigma_v^{-2} I \right) y
\]
(8)
Incorporating the constant terms into the threshold, we obtain the logarithmic LRT detector in the quadratic form as
\[
T_{\text{LRT}} = y^T \left( \sigma_v^{-2} I - (\sigma_v^2 I + \Sigma_n)^{-1} \right) y \geq \gamma' \quad (9)
\]
which is the optimal detection scheme according to the Neyman-Pearson criterion. This detector is also known as a quadratic detector.

From the Taylor series expansion, we have
\[
(\sigma_v^2 I + \Sigma_n)^{-1} = \left( I - \sigma_v^{-2} \Sigma_n + \sigma_v^{-4} \Sigma_n^2 - \cdots \right) \sigma_v^{-2} = (I - \sigma_v^{-2} \Sigma_n) - \sigma_v^{-4} \Sigma_n + \cdots
\]
(10)
where the convergence of the series is obtained if the eigenvalues of \( \sigma_v^{-2} \Sigma_n \) are less than unity. This condition always holds in the low SNR regime where \( \sigma_v^2 \) grows sufficiently large.

For weak signal detection in the very low SNR region, i.e., \( \det^{1/n} (\Sigma_n) \ll \sigma_v^2 \), (10) can be approximated as
\[
(\sigma_v^2 I + \Sigma_n)^{-1} \simeq \sigma_v^{-2} I - \sigma_v^{-4} \Sigma_n
\]
(11)
Plugging (11) into (9), we obtain
\[
T_{\text{LRT}} = y^T \left( \sigma_v^{-2} I - (\sigma_v^2 I + \Sigma_n)^{-1} \right) y \simeq \sigma_v^{-4} y^T \Sigma_n y
\]
Hence, the optimal LRT detector at very low SNR is given by
\[
T_{\text{LRT}, n} \simeq \frac{1}{n} y^T \Sigma_n y \quad (12)
\]
where \( \gamma_{\text{LRT}} = \sigma_v^4 \gamma' / n \).

### B. Asymptotic Equivalence

Now we show that our proposed spectra correlation-based detector (4) is asymptotically equivalent to the LRT detector at very low SNR (12). Consider a sequence of optimal LRT detectors as defined in (12):
\[
T_{\text{LRT}, n} = \frac{1}{n} y^T \Sigma_n y \quad (13)
\]
Likewise, we define a sequence of spectra correlation detectors as
\[
T_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_X^{(n)}(k) S_Y^{(n)}(k), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
(14)
Note that the LRT detectors are performed in time domain while the spectra correlation detectors are in frequency domain. The asymptotic equivalence of these two sequences of detectors is established in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1:** The sequence of spectra correlation detectors \( \{T_n\} \) defined in (14) are asymptotically equivalent to the sequence of optimal LRT detectors \( \{T_{\text{LRT}, n}\} \) at very low SNR defined in (13), i.e.,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} |T_{\text{LRT}, n} - T_n| = 0.
\]
(15)
**Proof:** The proof is sketched in Appendix A.

### IV. Spectral Feature Selection

In this section, we study the effect of spectral features on the detection performance. Although the sensing algorithm cannot control or change the spectral features of transmitted signals since these features are completely determined by the incumbent transmitter, we can obtain through analysis important insights to identify the best features for the signal detection. These insights are also useful for system engineers to design robust signals that can be reliably detected at very low SNR.

We first consider the case where there is no primary signal in the band of interest. Under hypothesis \( \mathcal{H}_0 \), we have
\[
\mathbb{E}[T_{n, 0}] = \frac{1}{n} \sigma_v^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_X^{(n)}(k) = \sigma_v^2 P_x\]
(16)
where
\[
P_x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_X^{(n)}(k)
\]
(17)
is the average power transmitted across the whole bandwidth. On the other hand, by exploiting the fact that the periodogram is an asymptotically unbiased estimate of the PSD [11], we have for sufficiently large \( n \),
\[
\mathbb{E}[T_{n, 1}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[S_Y^{(n)}(k)] S_X^{(n)}(k)
\]
\[
\approx \sigma_v^4 P_x + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [S_X^{(n)}(k)]^2
\]
(18)
under hypothesis \( \mathcal{H}_1 \).

Here we shall use the difference between \( \mathbb{E}[T_{n, 0}] \) and \( \mathbb{E}[T_{n, 1}] \) to determine the detection performance. Suppose that we can control the spectral mask \( \{S_X^{(n)}(k)\} \) of the transmitted signal, we would like to maximize the difference between
The optimal value of (20) is generally difficult to distinguish a white Gaussian signal from additive white Gaussian noise. The optimal detector for such a case is the energy detector (radiometer) [10] [3] provided that the noise power is perfectly known.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we numerically evaluate the proposed spectra feature correlation-based spectrum sensing algorithm for both ATSC and NTSC signals.

First, we obtain the “clean” baseband TV signals by capturing the TV signals in the RF front-end and then transforming the signals from the ultra-high frequency (UHF) bands to the baseband. Through processing, the TV signals in the baseband are sampled at a rate $6 \times 10^6$ samples/sec, with 6 MHz bandwidth. The TV data are divided into a number of blocks, each of which is 6 msecs. The spectral features are obtained by computing the (averaged) periodograms of the clean TV signals.

For both ATSC and NTSC signals, we choose the test thresholds such that their false alarm rates are less than 0.001. We use the white Gaussian noise to test the sensing algorithms in a real wireless propagation environment.

For both ATSC and NTSC signals, the missed detection rate of the proposed spectrum sensing algorithm is shown in Fig. 3. It is easy to see that optimal solutions fall into the intersection of the convex surface of the objective function and the hyperplane determined by the constraints. Thus, the optimal solutions are given by

$$\begin{align*}
S_X^{(n)}(j) &= nP_x, \quad j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \\
S_X^{(n)}(k) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \text{ and } k \neq j
\end{align*}$$

(21)

for any arbitrary $j$, implying that all the transmit power is concentrated in a single frequency bin. Accordingly, the optimal value of (20) is

$$\mathbb{E}[T_{n,1}] - \mathbb{E}[T_{n,0}] = n^2 P_x^2.$$  

(22)

On the other hand, the worst case occurs when

$$S_X^{(n)}(k) = P_x, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$$

(23)

which makes $\mathbb{E}[T_{n,1}] - \mathbb{E}[T_{n,0}] = nP_x^2$. In this extreme case, the spectral mask function is flat across the spectrum. A representative example of such a spectral feature is the white noise-like signal. This result is consistent with our intuition since it is
find the test thresholds for ATSC and NTSC signals, we can simulate and calculate the missed detection rates. For each SNR value, we simulate the sensing algorithms for 25,000 realizations. The simulation results for ATSC and NTSC are plotted in Figs. 3 and 4.

For both ATSC and NTSC signals, the spectral feature detector can reliably detect the signals at SNR = −20 dB with a missed detection rate less than 0.01 in the AWGN channels. It can be observed that the NTSC signal is easier to detect than the ATSC signal since the NTSC signal has three sharp spectral features while the ATSC contains a large amount of flat spectrum and has only one feature corresponding to the pilot. This observation is consistent with our optimization analysis in Section IV.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed a spectral feature detector for spectrum sensing in CR networks. The basic strategy is to use the correlation between the periodogram of the received signal and the a priori spectral features. Using the asymptotic properties of Toeplitz and circular matrices, we have shown that this spectral feature detector is asymptotically optimal at very low SNR and with a large block size. In addition, we have performed optimization analysis on the effects of spectral features on the sensing performance. The analytical results show that the signals with sharp spectral features are easier to detect compared with those with relatively flat spectra. The simulation results show that the proposed spectral feature correlation detector can reliably detect analog and digital TV signals at SNR as low as −20 dB.

APPENDIX A

PROOF OF THEOREM 1

From the definition of the two test statistics, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} |T_{\text{LRT}, n} - T_n| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} |y^* \Sigma_n y - y^* W_n^* \Lambda_n W_n y|
$$

where

$$
\Lambda_n = \begin{pmatrix}
S_X^{(n)}(0) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & S_X^{(n)}(n-1)
\end{pmatrix}
$$

is a diagonal matrix with the PSD of the incumbent signal in the diagonal, and $W_n$ is the DFT matrix defined as

$$
W_n = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & w_n & w_n^2 & \cdots & w_n^{n-1} \\
1 & w_n^2 & w_n^4 & \cdots & w_n^{2(n-1)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & w_n^{n-1} & 2w_n^{2(n-1)} & \cdots & w_n^{n-1(n-1)}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

with $w_n = e^{-j2\pi/n}$ being a primitive $n$th root of unity. Consequently,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} |T_{\text{LRT}, n} - T_n| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} |y^* (\Sigma_n - W_n^* \Lambda_n W_n) y|
$$

$$
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} |y^* (\Sigma_n - C_n) y|
$$

where $C_n \triangleq W_n^* \Lambda_n W_n$ is a circular matrix. It has been shown in [9] that the Toeplitz matrix $\Sigma_n$ is asymptotically equivalent to the circular matrix $C_n$ since the weak norm (Hilbert-Schmidt norm) of $\Sigma_n - C_n$ goes to zero [9], i.e.,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\Sigma_n - C_n\| = 0.
$$

Thus, we can establish (15) from (27).
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