Article

Recombinant Protein Production in *E. coli* Using the phoA Expression System

Thomas Gundinger †, Stefan Kittler †, Sabine Kubicek, Julian Kopp and Oliver Spadiut *

Research Group Integrated Bioprocess Development, Institute of Chemical, Environmental and Bioscience Engineering, TU Wien, Gumpendorferstraße 1a, 1060 Vienna, Austria; thomas.gundinger@gmx.net (T.G.); stefan.kittler@tuwien.ac.at (S.K.); sabine.kubicek@tuwien.ac.at (S.K.); julian.kopp@tuwien.ac.at (J.K.)

* Correspondence: oliver.spadiut@tuwien.ac.at; Tel.: +43-1-58801-166473; Fax: +43-1-58801-166980
† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Auto-inducible promoter systems have been reported to increase soluble product formation in the periplasm of *E. coli* compared to inducer-dependent systems. In this study, we investigated the phosphate (PO₄)‐sensitive phoA expression system (pAT) for the production of a recombinant model antigen-binding fragment (Fab) in the periplasm of *E. coli* in detail. We explored the impact of non-limiting and limiting PO₄ conditions on strain physiology as well as Fab productivity. We compared different methods for extracellular PO₄ detection, identifying automated colorimetric measurement to be most suitable for at-line PO₄ monitoring. We showed that PO₄ limitation boosts phoA-based gene expression, however, the product was already formed at non-limiting PO₄ conditions, indicating leaky expression. Furthermore, cultivation under PO₄ limitation caused physiological changes ultimately resulting in a metabolic breakdown at PO₄ starvation. Finally, we give recommendations for process optimization with the phoA expression system. In summary, our study provides very detailed information on the *E. coli* phoA expression system, thus extending the existing knowledge of this system, and underlines its high potential for the successful production of periplasmic products in *E. coli*.
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1. Introduction

Besides mammalian cells, the bacterium *Escherichia coli* represents the most commonly used production host for biopharmaceuticals, especially antigen binding fragments (Fabs, [1–3]). *E. coli* provides several benefits, as simple genetic manipulation, high cell densities and productivities, as well as cultivation on inexpensive media [4]. The production of functional Fabs in *E. coli*, however, requires secretion into the periplasmic space as only the oxidizing conditions present there enable the correct formation of disulfide bonds [5]. Periplasmic translocation is directed by addition of an N-terminal leader peptide, which typically originates from a natively translocated protein [6], such as phoA [7], ompA [7], pelB [8] or stII [3,9]. Successful production of functional Fabs by periplasmic expression in *E. coli* was first reported by Skerra et al. [7] and Better et al. in the 1990s [10]. Skerra produced a Fab under the control of the lac promoter, whereas Better expressed a Fab under the control of the araB promoter. In both cases, however, the obtained product yields did not exceed 2 mg/L. Other promoter systems used for Fab production were the phoA promoter [3,9] and the tac promoter [8,11,12]. Since then, several studies have dealt with the commonly observed low expression levels of Fabs [13], which are mainly attributed to toxicity effects, protein degradation, inclusion body (IB) formation and translocation inefficiencies [14,15]. In this regard, different cultivation conditions, vector elements [4,16,17],
medium compositions and aeration strategies [8,18] have been investigated to boost productivity. Furthermore, the impact of co-expressed chaperones and application of protease deficient strains [11] as well as the influence of gene order (heavy and light chain), temperature and DNA sequence [13,19,20] on soluble Fab expression have been investigated.

Based on these studies, the product yield could be pushed to nearly 5 g/L for certain Fabs [9,11,21,22]. However, in most cases obtained yields are still quite low (<200 mg/L) even in high cell density cultivations (OD > 100) [12,23]. Several working groups have attributed these low yields to an uncontrolled loss of product into the culture medium, due to leakiness of the E. coli outer cell membrane [8,10,13]. Furthermore, intracellular protein loss in the form of IBs is a common phenomenon for E. coli. This undesired IB formation can be attributed to several reasons: (1) overexpression imposes metabolic burden on the biosynthetic machinery of the cell [24]; (2) non-optimal cultivation conditions affect soluble protein production [25,26] and (3) the use of strong promoters and high inducer concentrations leads to increased expression rates overcoming the capacity of the native translocation system [27,28]. Based on that, the application of strong expression systems, such as the well-established and widely used T7lac system, might not be suitable for soluble Fab production in the E. coli periplasm. However, the T7 system is still used for the production of Fabs both in academia and industry (e.g., [29–31]).

In a recent study, Luo et al. used the alkaline phosphatase (phoA) promoter (pAT system) and the stII leader peptide to successfully produce five different Fabs extracellularly in E. coli BL21DE3 [3]. They also demonstrated the superiority of the phoA-based pAT system over the commonly used T7-based pET system. Based on these interesting findings, we (1) directly compared the production of a recombinant Fab under the control of the E. coli phoA expression system (hereafter called pAT) and the T7lac expression system (hereafter called pET) under different cultivation conditions and (2) investigated in more detail the impact of extracellular PO$_4$ concentration on strain physiology and product formation during cultivation starting at high PO$_4$ content (30 mM) until PO$_4$ starvation (<0.1 mM). Since appropriate PO$_4$ analysis is essential for bioprocess control, we also analyzed and compared different methods for determination of extracellular PO$_4$ in the culture broth. Finally, we give recommendations for process intensification using the phoA expression system. In summary, this study extends current knowledge on the phoA expression system.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Strains and Product

The gene encoding the model Fab (50 kD, pI 7.4, 5 S-S bonds) was codon-optimized for E. coli and obtained from GenScript. The antibody chains coding for light chain and heavy chain were placed under the control of the promoter (order: 1. Promoter—2. light chain—3. heavy chain). Furthermore, both antibody chains were preceded by the E. coli enterotoxin II (stII) signal sequence to allow translocation to the E. coli periplasm, as shown before for five different Fabs [3]. For pET cultivations, E. coli BL21(DE3) (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) transformed with a pET26(+) vector carrying the gene coding for the Fab—placed between the restriction sites XhoI and XbaI—under the transcriptional control of the T7lac promoter was used (T7lac strain). For pAT cultivations, E. coli W3110 (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany) transformed with a modified pAT153 vector (Amp$^R$ gene was removed) carrying the gene coding for the Fab—placed between the restriction sites NotI and EcoRI—under the transcriptional control of the E. coli phoA promoter was used (phoA strain).

2.2. Bioreactor Cultivations

2.2.1. Strain Characterization

Cultivations for characterization of both the T7lac strain and the phoA strain were carried out in a DASGIP® Parallel Bioreactor System (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) with a working volume of 2 L. The CO$_2$ and O$_2$ in the off-gas were analyzed by a DASGIP® GA gas analyzer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), pH by a pH-sensor EasyFerm Plus.
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T7lac-Based Expression (pET Cultivations)

In total, 500 mL sterile DeLisa pre-culture medium [33] supplemented with 0.05 g/L kanamycin and 8 g/L glucose was aseptically inoculated from frozen stocks (T7lac strain, 3 mL, −80 °C). Pre-cultures were grown in two 500-mL high-yield shake flasks in an Infors HR Multitronshaker (Infors, Bottmingen, Switzerland) at 37 °C and 230 rpm overnight (15 h). For batch cultivation, 900 mL DeLisa batch medium [33] supplemented with 20 g/L glucose was inoculated with 100 mL of pre-culture and temperature was set to 35 °C. After sugar depletion (indicated by a drop of CO₂ in the off-gas signal), a non-induced fed-batch phase using a feed with 400 g/L glucose was carried out. The temperature was kept at 35 °C and the feed flow rate was adjusted to correspond to a specific growth rate (μ) of 0.1 h⁻¹. At a biomass concentration of around 30 g/L dry cell weight (DCW), induction was performed by addition of 0.1 mM Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Temperature and feed rate (corresponding to μ) for the different cultivations were set as following: pET 1: μ = 0.1 h⁻¹, 35 °C; pET 2: μ = 0.1 h⁻¹, 30 °C; pET 3: μ = 0.05 h⁻¹, 35 °C; pET 4: μ = 0.05 h⁻¹, 30 °C. Each culture was induced for 8 h. Applied feed flow rates ranged from 8 mL/h (start fed-batch) to 80 mL/h (end fed-batch).

phoA-Based Expression (pAT Cultivations)

A total of 500 mL sterile DeLisa pre-culture medium [33] supplemented with 0.01 g/L tetracycline and 8 g/L glucose was aseptically inoculated from frozen stocks (phoA strain, 3 mL, −80 °C). Pre-cultures were grown as described above. For batch cultivation, 900 mL DeLisa batch medium [33] containing only 1.09 g/L KH₂PO₄ and 6.04 g/L (NH₄)₂HPO₄ as P-source was used. These amounts account for approx. 50 g/L DCW based on the elemental biomass composition of E. coli W3110, and were supplemented with 20 g/L glucose. Batch was inoculated with 100 mL of pre-culture and temperature was set to 35 °C. After sugar depletion, a fed-batch phase using a glucose feed with 400 g/L glucose was carried out. Temperature and feed rate (corresponding to μ) for the different cultivations were set as following: pAT 1: μ = 0.1 h⁻¹, 35 °C; pAT 2: μ = 0.1 h⁻¹, 30 °C; pAT 3: μ = 0.05 h⁻¹, 35 °C; pAT 4: μ = 0.05 h⁻¹, 30 °C. The fed-batch was terminated at PO₄ starvation, indicated by a stagnation of CO₂ in the off-gas signal. Applied feed flow rates ranged from 8 mL/h (start fed-batch) to 80 mL/h (end fed-batch).

Sampling

For evaluation of pET cultivations, samples were taken at the beginning and end of batch and non-induced fed-batch, and after 4 h and 8 h of induction. For evaluation of pAT cultivations, samples were taken at the beginning and end of the batch phase, during the fed-batch phase at a PO₄ concentration of >1 mM (before PO₄ limitation) and at PO₄ starvation. Determination of biomass DCW was completed gravimetrically in triplicates [6]. Optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) was determined photometrically in triplicates (Photometer Genesys 20; Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). Glucose and acetate were measured in cell-free culture broth HPLC [34]. The inorganic PO₄ concentration in the cell-free culture broth was determined colorimetrically using the Cedex Bio HT analyzer (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) applying the Phosphate Bio HT test kit (Ref 06990088001). Based on
the measured PO$_4$ concentrations, the respective biomass concentrations and the time intervals between sampling points, the respective specific PO$_4$ uptake rate (mmol/g/h) was calculated.

2.2.2. Characterization of the pAT System

Cultivations were carried out in a Cplus Biostat Bioreactor System (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) with a total volume of 15 L and a working volume of 10 L. CO$_2$ and O$_2$ in the off-gas were analyzed by an off-gas analysis system (Dr. Marino Müller Systems, Esslingen, Switzerland), pH was monitored by a pH-sensor 405-DPAS-SC-K8S/120 (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA), and dissolved oxygen (dO$_2$) by an InPro 6860i nA electrode (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA). The dO$_2$ was kept above 20% oxygen saturation throughout the whole cultivation by supplying 2 vvm of a mixture of pressurized air and pure oxygen. The pH was kept at 7.2 by supplying 12.5% NH$_4$OH and 10% HCl and stirring speed was set to 1200 rpm (the commonly applied stirrer speed for E. coli cultivation in our lab). All process parameters were logged and controlled by the Process Information Management System Lucullus (Securecell, Urdorf, Switzerland).

Pre-culture was grown in 2500-mL high-yield shake flasks in an Infors HR Multitronshaker (Infors, Bottmingen, Switzerland) at 37 °C and 230 rpm overnight (15 h). A total of 550 mL of sterile DeLisa pre-culture medium [35] supplemented with 0.01 g/L tetracycline and 8 g/L glucose was aseptically inoculated from frozen stocks (phoA strain, 3 mL, −80 °C). For batch cultivation, 4500 mL of DeLisa batch medium [35] containing 1.09 g/L KH$_2$PO$_4$ and 6.04 g/L (NH$_4$)$_2$HPO$_4$ as P-source, accounting for approx. 50 g/L DCW, and supplemented with 20 g/L glucose was inoculated with 500 mL of pre-culture and temperature was set to 35 °C. After sugar depletion (indicated by a drop of the CO$_2$ off-gas signal), a fed-batch phase using a glucose feed with 400 g/L glucose was carried out. Temperature and feed rate (corresponding to µ) were set to 30 °C and µ = 0.05 h$^{-1}$. Fed-batch cultivations were performed using a feed-forward strategy (exponential feed rate as well as initial feed rate were based on Equations (1) and (2)). The cultivations were performed in triplicates.

Equation (1). Formula for feed rate $F_t$

$$F_t = F_0 \times e^{\mu t}$$

$F_t$ feed rate [g/h];
$F_0$ initial feed rate [g/h];
$\mu$ specific growth rate [1/h];
t cultivation time [h].

Equation (2). Formula for initial feed rate $F_0$

$$F_0 = \frac{\mu \times x_0 \times V_0}{c_{s, Feed} \times Y_{X/S} \times \rho_{Feed}}$$

$F_0$ initial feed rate at time point 0 [g/h];
$\mu$ specific growth rate [1/h];
$x_0$ biomass conc. at time point 0 [g/L];
$V_0$ culture volume at time point 0 [L];
c$_{s, Feed}$ glucose conc. in feed medium [g/L];
$Y_{X/S}$ biomass yield on glucose [g/g].

The feed-flowrate was adjusted with a Preciflow peristaltic pump (Lambda, Baar, Switzerland). Cultivations were performed until PO$_4$ starvation (indicated by stagnation of the CO$_2$ off-gas signal). Applied feed flow rate ranged from 18 mL/h (start fed-batch) to 125 mL/h (end fed-batch). For evaluation, samples were taken in a 2 h interval starting at a PO$_4$ concentration of 35 mM until PO$_4$ starvation. Determination of biomass DCW was completed gravimetrically [14]. Optical density at 600 nm (OD600) was determined photometrically (Photometer Genesys 20; Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). Glucose
and acetate were measured in fermentation supernatant and quantified via HPLC [36]. The inorganic PO₄ concentration in the cell-free culture broth was determined colorimetrically using the Cedex Bio HT analyzer (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) applying the Phosphate Bio HT test kit (Ref 06990088001).

2.3. Analytics

2.3.1. Sample Preparation for Product Analysis

Cell pellets of 50 mL cultivation broth were resuspended (20 mM NaH₂PO₄, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.0) to 100 g/L DCW and homogenized at 1000 bar for 10 passages (Panda 2000 Plus, GEA, Düsseldorf, Germany). After centrifugation (20 min, 14,000 rcf, 4 °C), the obtained supernatant was analyzed for soluble product, whereas the solid pellet (cell debris) was used for IB quantification.

2.3.2. Soluble Product Quantification by Affinity HPLC

Crude cell lysates were pre-treated with a de-lipidation step prior to analysis [37] Fab quantification was carried out by HPLC analysis (UltiMate 3000; Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) using a Protein L-based affinity chromatography column (POROS Capture Select LC Kappa, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) [37]. The product was quantified using purified Fab as standard. The standard deviation was quantified with 9.69% by performing triplicates of Fab standards (no technical triplicates of the single samples from the bioreactor cultivations were performed).

2.3.3. Product IB Quantification by Size Exclusion HPLC

The cell debris was washed twice with deionized water and aliquoted (200 mg DCW/tube). Washed aliquots were solubilized in 2 mL of a solution containing 1 part Tris-buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0) and 1 part solubilization buffer (50 mM Tris, 8 M guanidine hydrochloride (GnHCl), pH 8.0) and incubated on a shaker at room temperature for 2 h. Centrifugation (30 min, 14,000 rcf) was performed to remove particles prior to analysis. Product quantification was carried out by HPLC analysis (UltiMate 3000; Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) using a size exclusion column (BioBasic SEC 300, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). A total of 50 mM BisTris, pH 6.8, supplemented with 4 M GnHCl and 150 mM NaCl, was used as mobile phase with a constant flow of 0.2 mL/min and the system was run isocratically at 25 °C. The product was quantified with an UV detector (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) at 280 nm using purified Fab as standard. The standard deviation was quantified with 1.04% by performing triplicates of all the samples.

2.3.4. Investigation of PO₄ Quantification Methods

Phosphate/phosphorus was measured in cell-free culture broth (centrifugation at 14,000 rcf 4 °C and 2 min) by (1) Inductively Coupled Plasma—Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES); (2) Ion exchange—Ion Chromatography (IC); (3) a Phosphate (PO₄) Colorimetric Assay Kit and (4) a Cedex Bio HT analyzer. Depending on the analytical method, samples were diluted in deionized water to give results within the detection range.

ICP-OES

The phosphorus concentration was determined by ICP-OES using an iCAP 6000 ICP-OES instrument. Measurements and calibration, as well as standard and sample preparation, were conducted as described by Kamravamanesh et al. [33].

IC

The inorganic PO₄ concentration was determined by IC analysis (Dionex ICS 5000+ chromatography including a Dionex AERS 500 conductivity suppressor, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) using an anion exchange column (Dionex IonPac AS11, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). A guard column (Dionex IonPac AG11, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) was connected upstream for protection of the analytical column and the system was
saturated with N\textsubscript{2} to prevent dissolution of atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} forming undesired carbonates. A total of 12 mM NaOH was used as mobile phase with a constant flow of 1.2 mL/min and the system was run isocratically at 25 °C. Remaining trace anion contaminants in the hydroxide eluent were removed using an anion trap column ( Dionex ASTC 500, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). PO\textsubscript{4} was quantified with a conductivity detector (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) using dilutions of NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4} as standards [34].

Colorimetric Assay Kit

The inorganic PO\textsubscript{4} concentration was determined colorimetrically using a colorimetric assay kit [37]. Measurements and the calibration curve were conducted according to the product manual [37]. Two hundred µL samples (or diluted samples) were mixed with 30 µL PO\textsubscript{4} reagent on a 96-well plate. After 30 min incubation at room temperature, absorbance at 650 nm was measured for PO\textsubscript{4} quantification.

Cedex Bio HT Analyzer

The inorganic PO\textsubscript{4} concentration was determined colorimetrically using the Cedex Bio HT analyzer (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) applying the Phosphate Bio HT test kit (Ref 06990088001).

3. Results

In this study we directly compared the production of a recombinant model Fab in E. coli using the T7lac (pET) and the phoA (pAT) expression system under equal cultivation conditions. We analyzed and compared cell physiology as well as soluble and IB product formation.

3.1. Characterization of T7lac-Based Fab Production (pET)

In all pET cultivations we performed a non-induced fed-batch (\(\mu = 0.1 \text{ h}^{-1}, 35 ^\circ \text{C}\)) to a biomass concentration of 30 g/L DCW, followed by an IPTG induction phase at different \(\mu = 0.1 \text{ h}^{-1}\) and 0.05 h\textsuperscript{−1} and cultivation temperatures (35 °C and 30 °C). Induction was completed by commonly performed one-point addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 0.1 mM [35,36]. Strain physiology and product-related data were evaluated after 4 h and 8 h of induction which was comparable to reported induction times in literature [12,38].

3.1.1. Strain Physiology

The most important strain physiological parameters are summarized in Table 1 and extended data are given in Supplementary Table S1. Obtained Y\textsubscript{X/S} (biomass/substrate yield) and Y\textsubscript{CO2/S} (CO\textsubscript{2}/substrate yield) of the strain cultivated under different conditions were comparable, however, at the higher \(\mu = 0.1 \text{ h}^{-1}\) glucose accumulated over time indicating cellular stress. This was also underlined by calculating the real \(\mu\) of the cultures, which were only half of the set values at the end of cultivation (Supplementary Table S1). Although the Y\textsubscript{CO2/S} changed over time, indicating a metabolic shift [39], even the soft-sensor was not able to react properly to these physiological changes, leading to overfeeding of the cells and consequent glucose accumulation. At \(\mu = 0.1 \text{ h}^{-1}\) and 35 °C we also observed cell lysis (indicated by foam formation and an increase in extracellular DNA content) (Supplementary Table S5). In contrast, in cultivations completed at a lower \(\mu = 0.05 \text{ h}^{-1}\) the calculated \(\mu\) corresponded well to the set values. Recoveries of total carbon in all cultivations were similar, resulting in C-balances of 0.78–0.85 (Table 1). We attribute minor cell lysis to be the reason for non-closing C-balances [40,41]. As also shown before [42], we demonstrated that even a relatively low \(\mu = 0.1 \text{ h}^{-1}\) during induction of a pET system negatively impacts cell physiology and leads to cell lysis.
Table 1. Strain physiological parameters for pET cultivations.

| Cultivation | µ (h⁻¹) | Temp. (°C) | Induction (h) | DCW (g/L) | Glucose (g/L) | Acetate (g/L) | Y_X/S | Y_CO2/S | C-Balance (C-mol/C-mol) | C-Balance (C-mol/C-mol) |
|-------------|---------|------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| pET 1       | 0.1     | 35         | 4 h           | 40.1      | 1.52         | 0            | 0.33  | n.d.    | 0.80                    | 0.80                    |
|             |         |            | 8 h           | 40.3 *    | 93.1         | 0.42         | n.d.  | n.d.    |                         |                         |
| pET 2       | 0.1     | 30         | 4 h           | 41.3      | 93.1         | 0.87         | 0.36  | 0.47    | 0.85                    | 0.85                    |
|             |         |            | 8 h           | 42.6      | 21.7         | 0            | 0.25  | 0.61    | 0.84                    | 0.84                    |
| pET 3       | 0.05    | 35         | 4 h           | 33.9      | 0            | 0.33         | 0.33  | 0.52    | 0.85                    | 0.85                    |
|             |         |            | 8 h           | 36.9      | 0            | 0            | 0.27  | 0.56    | 0.83                    | 0.83                    |
| pET 4       | 0.05    | 30         | 4 h           | 36.4      | 0            | 0.52         | 0.37  | 0.48    | 0.85                    | 0.85                    |
|             |         |            | 8 h           | 40.4      | 0            | 0.59         | 0.27  | 0.51    | 0.78                    | 0.78                    |

* biomass concentration was calculated from OD_600 due to unreliable values obtained from gravimetrical determination resulting from cell lysis (OD_600/DCW correlation: DCW = 0.3077 × OD_600; R^2 = 1). * not determined due to cell lysis. Abbr.: Y_X/S, biomass/substrate yield; Y_CO2/S, CO_2/substrate yield.

3.1.2. Fab Productivity

Most of the recombinant Fab was found as IBs in all pET cultivations independent from the cultivation conditions and induction time (Table 2)—in fact 5–10 times more IBs than soluble product was formed (Supplementary Table S2). We confirmed that a higher temperature during induction favored IB formation [43,44]. However, we could still find soluble Fab. At 30 °C we obtained specific titers of up to 2.89 mg/g DCW resulting in a volumetric titer of nearly 120 mg Fab/L cultivation broth. We confirmed that a lower temperature during induction of a pET system favored the formation of soluble product [26,45]. In contrast to the temperature, µ had no considerable impact on specific Fab titers—neither soluble nor IBs. As expected, extended induction times led to a shift from the production of soluble Fab towards IB formation (Table 2) which can be addressed to an extended exposure to metabolic stress [24]. Possibilities to overcome this problem could be a reduction of applied inducer concentration or the continuous addition of IPTG in a specific ratio to the biomass during induction [35,46]. Summarizing, the highest specific soluble Fab titer was achieved at 30 °C after 4 h induction independent from µ. This result is comparable to data published previously [12].

Table 2. Fab productivity for pET cultivations.

| Cult. | µ (h⁻¹) | Temp. (°C) | Ind. (h) | Spec. Titer (mg/g) | Vol. Titer (mg/L) | STY (mg/g/L) | Spec. Titer (mg/g) | Vol. Titer (mg/L) | STY (mg/g/L) | IB:SP * |
|-------|---------|------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|
| pET 1 | 0.1     | 35         | 4 h      | 19.5              | 782.6            | 33.4        | 2.22              | 89.0             | 3.79        | 8.8     |
|       |         |            | 8 h      | 22.2              | 896.6            | 32.2        | 1.89              | 76.5             | 2.75        | 11.8    |
| pET 2 | 0.1     | 30         | 4 h      | 14.9              | 613.0            | 26.0        | 2.89              | 119.5            | 5.07        | 5.1     |
|       |         |            | 8 h      | 22.1              | 942.4            | 33.9        | 2.42              | 102.9            | 3.71        | 9.1     |
| pET 3 | 0.05    | 35         | 4 h      | 20.4              | 693.6            | 29.3        | 2.38              | 80.6             | 3.41        | 8.6     |
|       |         |            | 8 h      | 24.6              | 907.7            | 33.5        | 2.20              | 82.0             | 2.99        | 11.2    |
| pET 4 | 0.05    | 30         | 4 h      | 12.0              | 436.5            | 18.3        | 2.81              | 102.9            | 4.32        | 4.2     |
|       |         |            | 8 h      | 20.9              | 841.0            | 30.4        | 2.50              | 101.5            | 3.67        | 8.3     |

* ratio of insoluble (IB) Fab titer compared to soluble (SP) Fab titer. Abbr.: STY, space-time yield.

3.2. Characterization of phoA-Based Fab Production (pAT)

The main goal of the study was to investigate Fab production under the control of the E. coli phoA system in detail. In contrast to the T7lac promoter, the phoA promoter is recognized by the E. coli RNA polymerase and is regulated under PO_4-limiting conditions [3,24,47]. Successful Fab production under control of the phoA promoter has been reported before (e.g., [3,9,48]). In this study we performed a batch cultivation at 35 °C followed by a single-phase fed-batch until PO_4 starvation (indicated by stagnation of the CO_2 off-gas signal) at different µ and temperatures. Required PO_4 in the cultivation medium for generation of 50 g/L DCW was calculated based on the elemental biomass composition of E. coli W3110 and provided in the batch medium considering the PO_4 carry-over from the
pre-culture. Physiology and productivity were evaluated at PO\textsubscript{4} starvation, but also before PO\textsubscript{4} limitation was reached (>1 mM PO\textsubscript{4}) since the shake flask screening experiments indicated product formation already at non-limiting PO\textsubscript{4} conditions (data not shown).

3.2.1. Impact of Cultivation Conditions on the Overall Cultivation Time

In contrast to pET cultivations, which were all induced for 8 h, the end of pAT cultivations was determined by the time point of PO\textsubscript{4} starvation, indicated by the stagnation of CO\textsubscript{2} in the off-gas signal. Obviously, the overall cultivation time strongly depended on set cultivation conditions (Table 3).

Table 3. Impact of cultivation conditions on cultivation times for pAT cultivations.

| Cultivation | µ (h\textsuperscript{-1}) | Temp. (°C) | Sample | PO\textsubscript{4} Conc. (mM) | Cultivation Time (h) |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|-----------------|---------------------|
| pAT 1       | 0.1             | 35          | >1 mM PO\textsubscript{4} | 2.37             | 22.3                |
|             |                 |             | PO\textsubscript{4} starvation | 0.16             | 27.3                |
| pAT 2       | 0.1             | 30          | >1 mM PO\textsubscript{4} | 2.82             | 22.4                |
|             |                 |             | PO\textsubscript{4} starvation | <0.10            | 25.2                |
| pAT 3       | 0.05            | 35          | >1 mM PO\textsubscript{4} | 4.57             | 43.2                |
|             |                 |             | PO\textsubscript{4} starvation | 0.39 *           | 54.8                |
| pAT 4       | 0.05            | 30          | >1 mM PO\textsubscript{4} | 4.46             | 37.1                |
|             |                 |             | PO\textsubscript{4} starvation | 0.10             | 47.3                |

* higher due to potential cell lysis.

Interestingly, at µ = 0.05 h\textsuperscript{-1} we observed an impact of the cultivation temperature on the time needed until PO\textsubscript{4} starvation: at 35 °C the cultivation took significantly longer than at 30 °C (Table 3). Since the specific PO\textsubscript{4} uptake rates (qPO\textsubscript{4}) in cultivations pAT 3 and pAT 4 were similar (Table 4), we believe that the increased temperature in combination with the low µ = 0.05 h\textsuperscript{-1} caused partial cell lysis, thus the release of intracellular PO\textsubscript{4} into the broth. This hypothesis was underlined by the higher PO\textsubscript{4} concentration (Table 3; pAT 3) as well as significant lower Y\textsubscript{X/S} and biomass concentration at the end of the cultivation (Table 4).

3.2.2. Strain Physiology

The most important strain physiological parameters are summarized in Table 4 and extended data are shown in Supplementary Table S3. Physiological yields obtained under non-limiting conditions (>1 mM PO\textsubscript{4}) were similarly independent from cultivation conditions, except for cultivation pAT 3. It seems that for the strain harboring the phoA system, a combination of low µ and high temperature (pAT 3) implies increased metabolic burden leading to cell lysis (indicated by foam formation and an increased extracellular DNA content; Supplementary Table S5). Despite this, we observed a shift towards decreased Y\textsubscript{X/S} and increased Y\textsubscript{CO2/S} during the phase of PO\textsubscript{4} starvation in all cultivations, indicating metabolic change [49]. At 35 °C, C-balances were between 0.8 and 0.9, whereas at 30 °C C-balances were close to 1. Determined qPO\textsubscript{4} correlated with the applied µ at >1 mM PO\textsubscript{4} giving a two-fold higher qPO\textsubscript{4} at µ = 0.1 h\textsuperscript{-1}. Although hardly any PO\textsubscript{4} uptake was determined during the PO\textsubscript{4} limitation phase (Table 4), surprisingly the biomass concentration still increased. We hypothesized a metabolic shift from uptake of extracellular towards utilization of intracellular, stored PO\textsubscript{4} to be the reason [50,51]. The calculated µ correlated well with the set µ (except for cultivation pAT 3) underlining the stability of these cultivations as well as the applicability of the soft-sensor-based feeding control. Overall, strain physiological parameters of pAT cultivations showed that 35 °C negatively affects physiology and viability, especially at a low µ = 0.05 h\textsuperscript{-1}. 

Table 4. Strain physiological parameters for pAT cultivations.

| Cult. | $\mu$ (h$^{-1}$) | Temp. ($^\circ$C) | Sample (-) | DCW (g/L) | qPO$_4$ (mmol/g/h) | Glucose (g/L) | Acetate (g/L) | $Y_{X/S}$ (C-mol/C-mol) | $Y_{CO2/S}$ (C-mol/C-mol) | C-Balance (-) |
|-------|------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| pAT 1 | 0.1              | 35               | >1 mM PO$_4$ starvation | 42.8       | 0.112             | 0             | 0.28         | 0.45             | 0.42            | 0.87           |
|       |                  |                  | PO$_4$ starvation | 53.2       | 0.008             | 1.70          | 0.99         | 0.30             | 0.49            | 0.80           |
| pAT 2 | 0.1              | 30               | >1 mM PO$_4$ starvation | 47.6       | 0.097             | 0             | 0.46         | 0.44             | 0.52            | 0.96           |
|       |                  |                  | PO$_4$ starvation | 52.0       | 0.018             | 0             | 0.69         | 0.27             | 0.85            | 1.13           |
| pAT 3 | 0.05             | 35               | >1 mM PO$_4$ starvation | 31.8       | 0.052             | 0             | -            | 0.20             | 0.65            | 0.85           |
|       |                  |                  | PO$_4$ starvation | 47.1       | 0.008             | 0             | 0.34         | 0.32             | 0.57            | 0.89           |
| pAT 4 | 0.05             | 30               | >1 mM PO$_4$ starvation | 43.0       | 0.055             | 0             | 0.28         | 0.47             | 0.49            | 0.97           |
|       |                  |                  | PO$_4$ starvation | 52.6       | 0.008             | 0             | 0.58         | 0.29             | 0.70            | 0.99           |

Abbr.: qPO$_4$, specific phosphate uptake rate; $Y_{X/S}$, biomass/substrate yield; $Y_{CO2/S}$, CO$_2$/substrate yield.
3.2.3. Fab Productivity

Independent of cultivation conditions, we observed soluble Fab production already at non-limiting PO\(_4\) conditions (>1 mM PO\(_4\)), indicating incomplete promoter repression (Table 5 and Supplementary Table S4). Although the phoA promoter is usually tightly controlled, protein expression at increased PO\(_4\) concentrations has been reported before [24]. Since the phoA promoter also controls chromosomal alkaline phosphatase, it is hypothesized that competition between chromosomal and plasmid DNA for the repressors involved in regulation of phoA-based gene expression might be the reason for leaky expression [24,52].

In all pAT cultivations, soluble Fab was produced with specific titers ranging from 2.28–6.09 mg/g DCW. Interestingly, only during PO\(_4\) starvation of cultivations at \(\mu = 0.05\) h\(^{-1}\) IB formation was observed, indicating a high metabolic burden under these conditions—probably due to increased recombinant expression [53]. Cultivation of pAT 4 at \(\mu = 0.05\) h\(^{-1}\) and 30 °C delivered the highest soluble Fab titer yielding 6.09 mg/g DCW and 321 mg/L cultivation broth. However, due to the long cultivation time the space-time yield (STY) was only 6.77 mg/L/h. Summarizing, phoA-based Fab production is favored at low temperatures as well as low \(\mu\), which leads to long cultivation times, but results in high titers of soluble Fab.

3.3. Direct Comparison of T7lac- and phoA-Based Fab Production

Important criteria for industrial production processes are volumetric product titer as well as STY, whereas the latter is more important regarding economic feasibility by incorporation of process time [54]. In Figure 1 we compare the pET and the pAT system under the conditions giving the highest productivity of soluble Fab, namely cultivation pET 2 after 4 h induction time (called pET 2_a4; Table 2) as well as cultivation pAT 4 at PO\(_4\) starvation (called pAT 4_st; Table 5). Under these conditions, Fab expression under the control of the T7lac promoter led to five-fold higher formation of IBs compared to soluble Fab, whereas phoA-based expression gave comparable amounts of IBs and soluble Fab. Cultivation pAT 4_st resulted in a three times higher volumetric soluble Fab titer compared to the pET cultivation and, despite the long cultivation time, the final STY was 1.3-fold higher. Thus, we underline the great potential of the easy-to-use pAT system as an interesting alternative to the well-known pET system for the production of periplasmic products, as also reported before [3].
Table 5. Fab productivity for pAT cultivations.

| Cult. | µ (h⁻¹) | Temp. (°C) | Sample     | Spec. Titer (mg/g) | Vol. Titer (mg/L) | STY (mg/L/h) | Spec. Titer (mg/g) | Vol. Titer (mg/L) | STY (mg/L/h) | Ratio IB:SP * |
|-------|---------|------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| pAT 1 | 0.1     | 35         | >1 mM PO₄  | 0                 | 0                 | 0            | 2.28              | 97.30             | 4.36         | n.a.          |
|       |         |            | PO₄ starvation | 0                 | 0                 | 0            | 3.21              | 170.9             | 6.24         | n.a.          |
| pAT 2 | 0.1     | 30         | >1 mM PO₄  | 0                 | 0                 | 0            | 2.95              | 140.4             | 6.27         | n.a.          |
|       |         |            | PO₄ starvation | 0                 | 0                 | 0            | 2.91              | 150.1             | 5.98         | n.a.          |
| pAT 3 | 0.05    | 35         | >1 mM PO₄  | 0                 | 0                 | 0            | 2.53              | 80.62             | 1.86         | n.a.          |
|       |         |            | PO₄ starvation | 8.16              | 385.0             | 7.02         | 2.54              | 119.5             | 2.18         | 3.2           |
| pAT 4 | 0.05    | 30         | >1 mM PO₄  | 0                 | 0                 | 0            | 4.63              | 198.8             | 5.37         | n.a.          |
|       |         |            | PO₄ starvation | 7.88              | 414.2             | 8.76         | 6.09              | 321.1             | 6.77         | 1.3           |

* ratio of insoluble (IB) Fab titer compared to soluble (SP) Fab titer. n.a., not applicable. Abbr.: STY, space-time yield.
Figure 1. Comparison of cultivation times, biomass concentrations and Fab production of the T7lac (pET 2_a4) and the phoA expression system (pAT 4_st) under conditions resulting in the highest space-time yield (STY) of soluble Fab. Fab production using the pET system gave highest productivity in cultivation at μ = 0.1 h⁻¹ and 30 °C after 4 h induction time (pET 2_a4). The pAT system gave highest productivity in cultivation at μ = 0.05 h⁻¹ and 30 °C until PO₄ starvation (pAT 4_st). Presented standard deviations result from analytical measurements which were performed in triplicates.

3.4. Detailed Characterization of the pAT System

3.4.1. PO₄ Monitoring

In this study we explored the impact of extracellular PO₄ concentration on strain physiology and product formation of the pAT system in more detail, to extend knowledge about this valuable system. In this respect, we also investigated and compared different methods for determination of extracellular PO₄ in the culture broth for their suitability as an at-line PO₄ monitoring tool. An overview of the evaluated methods is given in Table 6.

| Analyte | ICP-OES | IC | Colorimetric Kit | Cedex Bio HT |
|---------|---------|----|-----------------|--------------|
| Limit of Quantification | 65 µmol/L | 4 µmol/L | 5 µmol/L | 100 µmol/L |
| Equipment costs | – | – | + | – |
| Sample preparation | – | – | – | + |
| Operator’s impact | ~ | ~ | – | + |
| Time | >>30 min | >>30 min | >>30 min | 15 min |
| Automation | – | – | – | + |
| At-line measurement | – | – | – | + |

Features are evaluated to be (1) advantageous (+); (2) disadvantageous (–) or (3) intermediate (~). Abbr.: ICP-OES, inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy; IC, ion chromatography; P, phosphorus; PO₄, phosphate.

ICP-OES

In contrast to the other investigated methods, ICP-OES determines elemental phosphorus (P) instead of inorganic PO₄. In case PO₄ describes the only P source of the sample, obtained P contents correlated well with PO₄ concentrations (data not shown). However, contamination of the sample with other P sources, e.g., organophosphates from complex media or polyphosphates, nucleic acids and membrane lipids from cells [25,27], complicate a valid correlation with PO₄. In this regard, missing selectivity for PO₄ describes a major
drawback of this method. In addition, the limit of quantification (LOQ) of 65 µM is also rather high compared to other methods (Table 6). ICP-OES also requires costly equipment as well as an argon supply for measurement. Furthermore, the method needs expertise and routine by the analyst, and is time consuming concerning sample preparation (HCl treatment) and manual dilution, which hampers the usage for at-line monitoring.

IC

IC allows direct quantification of inorganic PO₄ with a very low LOQ of 4 µM. However, PO₄ detection is highly affected by contaminating anions in the eluent, which have to be either removed (anion trap column) or their formation prevented (saturation with N₂). Furthermore, the impact of the sample matrix (E. coli culture broth) on the detection performance (peak fronting and peak maxima shifts) describes a major drawback of this method (data not shown). Acquisition costs for equipment (IC system and chromatography columns) are considerable as well as the requirement for continuous N₂ supply. Performance of IC is usually quite simple; however, the required sample treatment and manual dilution describe potential error risks. Finally, the overall procedure, comprising sample preparation and IC sequence (30 min), may take up more than 60 min, which makes IC-based PO₄ detection not suitable for at-line monitoring.

Phosphate Colorimetric Assay Kit

Performance of a PO₄ colorimetric assay (PCA) allows quantification of inorganic PO₄. The method is based on the reaction of PO₄ with a chromogenic complex that results in a colorimetric product. The PCA provides a low LOQ (5 µM; Table 6) and only requires a plate reader or simple photometer. The PCA is intended for measurement of low PO₄ concentrations (blood or wastewater) [37], which explains the very low linear detection range (5–25 µM). However, high sample dilutions are necessary, which requires tedious pipetting work. Therefore, the overall procedure (sample preparation, incubation time and measurement) can take up 1–1.5 h.

Cedex Bio HT

The Cedex Bio HT analyzer is a completely automated instrument that allows simultaneous measurement of up to 90 samples. Furthermore, up to 32 test kits can be loaded at the same time [39]. Application of the Phosphate Bio HT kit [40] allows the quantitative determination of inorganic PO₄. The LOQ is rather high (100 µM; Table 6), which describes the major drawback of this method. Furthermore, acquisition costs for the analyzer and the test kits are significantly higher compared to PCA. However, PO₄ measurement using the Cedex Bio HT provides several advantages as sample preparation is quite simple and samples are diluted automatically. Therefore, measurement results can be obtained within 15 min, which is essential for at-line based PO₄ monitoring.

Summarizing, investigation of described PO₄/P detection methods revealed the Cedex Bio HT system to be most suitable for required at-line PO₄ monitoring. Therefore, this method was chosen to be used for the detailed investigation of the pAT system.

3.4.2. Impact of PO₄ Conditions on Strain Physiology

To analyze the pAT system in more detail, we performed batch cultivations at 35 °C, followed by a single-phase fed-batch until PO₄ starvation at µ = 0.05 h⁻¹ and 30 °C. The main strain physiological parameters are summarized in Table 7 and extended data are shown in Supplementary Table S6. The bioreactor cultivation was performed in triplicates. The standard deviations for all calculated rates and yields were below 10%. The required process time (45 h) and obtained biomass concentration at cultivation end (49 g/L DCW) were comparable to the respective small-scale experiment in the 2 L scale. The CO₂ off-gas signal was monitored for process evaluation and determination of cultivation end (Figure 2).
Table 7. Strain physiological parameters of E. coli W3110 harboring the phoA expression system at different extracellular PO$_4$ concentrations.

| Process Time (h) | DCW (g/L) | \(\mu\) (h$^{-1}$) | cPO$_4$ (mM) | qPO$_4$ (mmol/g/h) | \(Y_{CO2/S}\) (C-mol/C-mol) | \(Y_{X/S}\) (C-mol/C-mol) | C-Balance (-) |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| 27.4             | 29.0      | n.a.           | 33.5        | n.a.           | 0.54                        | 0.55                        | 1.09           |
| 29.3             | 30.7      | 0.040          | 27.5        | 0.090          | 0.58                        | 0.38                        | 0.98           |
| 31.3             | 32.0      | 0.036          | 24.3        | 0.059          | 0.59                        | 0.32                        | 0.89           |
| 33.3             | 34.3      | 0.049          | 20.2        | 0.053          | 0.58                        | 0.42                        | 0.99           |
| 35.3             | 37.0      | 0.054          | 14.6        | 0.070          | 0.57                        | 0.45                        | 1.01           |
| 37.3             | 39.0      | 0.045          | 8.7         | 0.071          | 0.56                        | 0.36                        | 0.91           |
| 39.3             | 41.4      | 0.049          | 3.6         | 0.061          | 0.56                        | 0.38                        | 0.93           |
| 41.3             | 45.5      | 0.070          | 0.19        | 0.018          | 0.54                        | 0.54                        | 1.07           |
| 43.3             | 48.7      | 0.057          | 0.13        | 0.018          | 0.56                        | 0.43                        | 0.99           |
| 45.0 *           | 48.9      | 0.021          | <0.10       | 0.0003         | 0.82                        | 0.19                        | 0.99           |

n.a. not applicable, since this is the initial sample for characterization and calculation of specific rates. * time point of harvest. Abbr.: DCW, dry cell weight; \(\mu\), specific growth rate; cPO$_4$, extracellular phosphate concentration; qPO$_4$, specific PO$_4$ uptake rate; \(Y_{CO2/S}\), CO$_2$/substrate yield; \(Y_{X/S}\), biomass/substrate yield.

Figure 2. Time courses of CO$_2$ off-gas signal (black dots); extracellular PO$_4$ concentration (cPO$_4$; black squares) and E. coli dry cell weight (DCW; blue triangles) during fed-batch cultivation until PO$_4$ starvation (indicated by a stagnation of the CO$_2$ off-gas signal at around 43 h). The sudden drop of the CO$_2$ off-gas signal at the end of cultivation (45 h) resulted from stopping the feed pump (C-source limitation) prior to cultivation end.

Under non-limiting PO$_4$ conditions (>1 mM), the CO$_2$ signal showed the expected trend for an exponential feeding regime. However, during PO$_4$ limitation we observed a fast increase in the CO$_2$ signal indicating a metabolic shift of the E. coli cells. This assumption was confirmed by evaluation of physiological yields, which were quite constant under non-limiting PO$_4$ conditions, but shifted towards increased \(Y_{CO2/S}\) and decreased \(Y_{X/S}\) during PO$_4$ limitation (Supplementary Table S6). Our results confirm that PO$_4$ limitation triggers metabolic burden and physiological changes [55]. However, no considerable cell lysis was observed, which was supported by obtained C-balances between 0.9 and 1.1, even under PO$_4$ limitation (Table 7). Although hardly any PO$_4$ was taken up during PO$_4$ limitation, interestingly the biomass concentration still slightly increased (Supplementary Table S6). We assume a metabolic shift from uptake of extracellular towards consumption of intracellular, stored PO$_4$ to be the reason [50,51]. Therefore, we investigated the intracellular phosphorus (P) content during cultivation under non-limiting and limiting PO$_4$ conditions (Supplementary Figure S1). The intracellular P content (initial value of 2.3%; [56]) started to decrease already at a PO$_4$ concentration of around 5 mM. At this PO$_4$ concentration also qPO$_4$ strongly decreased (Table 7). These results confirmed our hypothesis that E. coli accumulates polyphosphate as PO$_4$ reservoir that is reused, when needed [57,58]. At PO$_4$ starvation, cell metabolism started to break down, indicated by the stagnation of
the CO₂ off-gas signal and glucose accumulation in the culture broth (data not shown). In conclusion, limiting PO₄ conditions highly affect cell physiology and PO₄ starvation, ultimately results in collapsing cell metabolism (data not shown).

3.4.3. Impact of PO₄ Conditions on Fab Productivity

Recombinant Fab production was already observed at non-limiting PO₄ conditions indicating incomplete repression of the phoA promoter (Table 8). Although the phoA promoter system is usually tightly controlled, protein expression at increased PO₄ concentrations has been reported before [24,59]: competition of plasmid and chromosomal DNA for the repressors involved in regulation of phoA-controlled gene expression have been reported to be the reason for leaky expression [24,52,59]. However, we clearly see a boost in qFab at PO₄ concentrations of <1 mM (Table 8; Figure 3). Finally, a maximum specific and volumetric Fab titer of 7.2 mg/g DCW and 350 mg/L cultivation broth, respectively, was obtained at the end of cultivation.

Table 8. Fab productivity of E. coli W3110 harboring the pAT expression system at different PO₄ concentrations.

| Process Time (h) | cPO₄ (mM) | qPO₄ (mmol/g/h) | Spec. Fab Titer (mg/g) | Vol. Fab Titer (mg/L) | qFab (mg/g/h) | Fab STY (mg/L/h) |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 27.4             | 33.5      | n.a.           | 5.26                   | 153                   | n.a.          | n.a.            |
| 29.3             | 27.5      | 0.090          | 5.46                   | 167                   | 0.31          | 9.4             |
| 31.3             | 24.3      | 0.059          | 5.65                   | 181                   | 0.29          | 9.2             |
| 33.3             | 20.2      | 0.053          | 5.56                   | 191                   | 0.23          | 7.6             |
| 35.3             | 14.6      | 0.070          | 5.49                   | 203                   | 0.26          | 9.3             |
| 37.3             | 8.7       | 0.071          | 5.72                   | 223                   | 0.37          | 14.0            |
| 39.3             | 3.6       | 0.061          | 5.77                   | 239                   | 0.31          | 12.4            |
| 41.3             | 1.9       | 0.018          | 5.73                   | 261                   | 0.38          | 16.6            |
| 43.3             | 0.13      | 0.018          | 6.43                   | 313                   | 0.69          | 32.5            |
| 45.0 *           | <0.10     | 0.0003         | 7.15                   | 350                   | 0.59          | 28.6            |

n.a.: not applicable, since this is the initial sample for characterization and calculation of specific rates. * time point of harvest. Abbr.: qFab, specific product formation rate; Fab STY, Fab space-time yield.

Figure 3. Specific product formation rate (qFab) as a function of the extracellular PO₄ concentration (cPO₄) during fed-batch cultivation until PO₄ starvation. The average standard deviation was quantified with 9.69%.

4. Discussion

In a recent study, Luo et al. showed the high potential of the pAT system for the extracellular production of a series of Fabs [3]. The pAT system allows auto-induction regulated by limitation of phosphate (PO₄) in the cultivation broth. In contrast to the
established pET system, it does not require the addition of expensive/toxic inducers and allows simple process regimes. However, detailed information regarding performance under different cultivation conditions, especially PO$_4$ concentrations, is scarce.

In this study we directly compared the commonly used T7-based pET expression system and the pAT system for the recombinant production of a model Fab in *E. coli* under equal cultivation conditions and then investigated the pAT system in detail. For directly comparing the pET and the pAT system we chose cultivation conditions which (1) have been reported in literature for these systems before (e.g., [60–63]), and (2) can be also implemented on an industrial scale. Even though literature also discusses much lower cultivation temperatures below 30 °C especially for the T7 expression system (e.g., [64–68]), we chose 30 °C and 35 °C as these temperatures are feasible and can be controlled at large scales [69]. Even though we believe that lower temperatures during induction boost the formation of soluble product, we considered potential limitations in cooling capacities at large scales for our experimental design. Besides, we aimed for a direct comparison of the two-expression systems pET and pAT under equal cultivation conditions and thus chose conditions which have been reported for both systems before [3]. We also neglected the use of an autoinduction medium based on lactose for the T7 system (e.g., [68,70,71]) in the current study, as this type of medium is not widely accepted in the biopharmaceutical industry. For T7-based pET expression systems induction by IPTG is still the state-of-the-art. Since the DE3 system is not required for phoA-based recombinant protein production, we used an *E. coli* W3110 chassis strain for investigating the pAT system. This *E. coli* strain has been used for such purposes before [50,72]. The cultivations for strain characterization of the pET and the pAT system were performed only once—however, closing C-balances confirm the accuracy of the data.

In our study, we underline the recent findings of Luo et al. [3] of the superiority of the pAT system compared to the T7-based pET system. Under comparable cultivation conditions, the pET system resulted in a five-fold higher formation of Fab IBs compared to soluble Fab, whereas the pAT system gave comparable amounts of IBs and soluble Fab. To get better understanding and extend the current knowledge of the valuable pAT system we characterized this system in more detail. The phoA-based recombinant protein production in *E. coli* is typically executed until PO$_4$ starvation (<0.1 mM; [3,9,24,73]). However, in this study we showed that cultivation until PO$_4$ starvation induces drastic physiological changes (Table 7), ultimately resulting in collapsing cell metabolism and potential product loss. As extracellular PO$_4$ already depletes several hours before PO$_4$ starvation it is tricky to identify the optimal time point of harvest in a typical fed-batch cultivation. Based on our findings that qFab is already quite high at PO$_4$ concentrations >0.1 mM (Table 8), we recommend to use the CEDEX Bio HT device to monitor the fed-batch until a PO$_4$ concentration close to 0.1 mM is reached. Then we suggest to add PO$_4$ to the feed medium to allow extended cultivation at this PO$_4$ concentration in the bioreactor and thus obtain a boosted STY. Summarizing, our study extends the knowledge on the *E. coli* phoA expression system and demonstrates its high potential for the successful production of periplasmic products in *E. coli*.
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