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Abstract: Investors have been avoiding the Okurike Barite due to paucity of information on its economic worth in spite of the high demand for barites by the local industries. This study was embarked upon to determine the spread and industrial quality of the barite deposit in order to bridge the information gap. The Okurike Barite mineralised site was investigated using geophysical Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) and Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) and geological techniques. The geophysical data were acquired along three profiles while the barite samples were analysed using the laboratory techniques in order to determine their elemental compositions and physical characteristics. Results show that the barites occur with gravels, breccias and weathered basement materials within a very limited region. The resistivity values of the barite mineralised region were observed to vary between 731 and ~1500 Ωm. The barites are characterised by specific gravity of 4.4, density of 3.64 g/cm$^3$, porosity of 28%, uniaxial compressive strength of 29.4 kN/mm$^2$ and water absorption capacity of 1.5%. The elements Ba and Fe dominate the elemental composition with 77.28 and 19.98% respectively. BaO, Fe$_2$O$_3$ and MnO are preferentially enriched with abundance of 71.28, 21.40 and 6.10% respectively. These results show that the industrial quality of the Okurike Barite is high although its spread is limited.
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Introduction

In the Cretaceous Calabar Flank and the adjoining Pre-Cambrian Oban Massif, different economic minerals are deposited at many locations. Some of these economic mineral deposits, such as limestones, barites, ironstones and granites have been mapped using geological and geophysical techniques in order to assess their economic viability though on unequal scales (Akpan et al., 2011; 2014). Economic minerals whose worth have been established and are presently being mined at commercial scale include limestones and granites in Akamkpa Local Government Areas (LGAs) (Fig. 1A) (Akpeke et al., 2006; Akpan et al., 2011; 2014). However, commercial exploitation of these economic minerals in other locations e.g., Ikom and Biase, is yet to commence probably due to paucity of information on their economic viability. Economic viability and proximity to markets are the two major considerations that must be met before commercial exploitation of any mineral deposit. Barite is an economic mineral that is widely utilized in several industrial applications, operations and processes including weighting agents in the drilling industries, manufacturing of industrial wares e.g., safety glasses and radiation shields and as a source of barium chemicals in the chemical industries (Ene et al., 2012). The suitability of barite ores for industrial applications is basically due to their relatively high specific gravity of ~4.5. Prior to the utilization of barites ores for their various industrial applications, the physical characteristics and chemical compositions of barite ores must compare favourably well with industrial quality standards for such minerals.

Barite mineralisation occurs extensively in both the Cretaceous and Pre-Cambrian geologic terrain of central and northern Cross River State in the form of vein-filling materials (Akpeke et al., 2006; Oden, 2012). These veins are of variable thicknesses that usually range from less than 1 to ~2 m in some locations. Generally barite mineralisation in the Middle and Lower Benue Troughs in Nigeria is either associated with lead-zinc mineralization (Oladope and Adeoye-Oladapo, 2011; Ene et al., 2012) (Fig. 2) or as a precipitate from hydrothermal solutions in the northern parts (Daspan and
In northern Cross River State, three major barite fields located at Aliforkpa, Gabu and Osina, have been identified and mapped (Ene et al., 2012). Other LGAs in Cross River State where barite mineralisation occurs include Ikom, Obubra, Etung and Biase (Akpeke et al., 2006; Oden, 2012) (Fig. 1A). Presently, there is no record of large-scale exploitation in any of these barites fields. Rather, small-scale miners throng these fields searching for barite veins to mine. This study is aimed at mapping and delineating the spatial distribution and characteristics of the Okurike Barite deposit in Biase, Nigeria using the electrical resistivity and geological techniques. The findings of this study are expected to bridge the existing information gap. The industrial properties of the barite ores will also be compared with industrial quality standards in order to assess their suitability for industrial uses.

Site Description and Geology

Location, Physiography and Climate of the Study Area

Okurike Community is located in Biase LGA in Cross River State, Nigeria (Fig 1A, B and 2). It lies between Longitudes 8.0543 and 8.9596 degrees E and Latitudes 5.6414 and 5.6437 degrees N. The study area lies in the southern parts of the Cross River Plain Province (CRPP) and belongs to the lowlands and scarplands of southeastern Nigeria (CRBDA, 1982; Petters, 1989). The area has a rugged and undulating topography with elevations varying between 50 m in the north-western and south-western regions and 120 m above mean sea level in the north-eastern and south-eastern regions. A couple of sub-parallel stream channels that flow in a trellis drainage pattern drain the area. The NE-SW flowing Cross River is the major river that drains the area.

Okurike Community lies within the sub-equatorial south climatic region of West Africa. The annual rainfall in the area varies between 200 and 300 mm while ambient temperature fluctuates between ~24°C in the rainy season and soars to ~32°C in the dry season. Relative humidity hovers around 90% throughout the year. Two main climatic conditions, wet and dry seasons, are dominant in the area (Iloje, 2001). The wet season usually starts in April and ends in October with an annual break in August. Conventionally, the dry season usually begins in November and lasts until February. The two seasons are controlled by two dominant air masses that blow across the entire area. These are the Tropical Maritime air mass (SE Monsoon wind) that originates from the Atlantic Ocean and the southward blowing Tropical Continental air mass that blows across the Sahara Desert.

Fig. 1. Map of Cross River State showing the locations of Biase and Akamkpa LGAs and the study area (A), (B) generalized geological map of Nigeria (modified from Ekwueme et al., 1995) and (C) the distribution of VES stations and ERT profiles in the study site. Insert in (A): Map of Nigeria showing the location of Cross River State
Geology and Stratigraphy

The Okurike area falls within the Lower Benue Trough (Fig. 1C) and its sedimentation is equivalent to that of the Calabar Flank (CF), which Reyment (1965) called Odukpani Formation. The CF is one of the marginal basins in southern Nigeria. It consists of a series of sandstones, limestones and shales that rest unconformably on the basement complex. In the north, it is bounded by the Oban Massif while the Calabar Hinge Line that separates the basin from the Niger Delta Basin borders it in the south. The NE-SW trending Ikpe Platform also bound it in the west. In the east, the CF extends up to the Cameroun Volcanic Line. The CF consists of horsts e.g., Ituk High and grabens e.g., Ikang Trough, structures that are aligned in a predominantly NW–SE direction like other South Atlantic marginal basins (Reijers and Petters, 1987).

Sedimentation started in the CF with the deposition of fluvio-deltaic clastics and calcareous arkosic sandstones called Awi Formation in the Aptian time on the Precambrian Oban Massif (Adeleye and Fayose, 1978). The earliest marine transgression occurred in the Mid-Albian times with the deposition of the Mfamosing Limestone. This carbonate rock was deposited in a variety of depositional environments, which influenced their mineralogical compositions. The Mfamosing Limestone is overlain by the Late Cenomanian–Turonian thick sequence of black to gray shale unit, the Ekenkpon Shale Formation (Ekwueme et al., 1995). The Ekenkpon Shale Formation is characterised by minor intercalation of marls and calcareous mudstones. A thick marl unit called the New Netim Marl Formation overlies the Ekenkpon Shales Formation. The New Netim Marl Formation is unconformably overlain by the Late Campanian–Maastrichtian dark gray to bluish-black, friable to flaggy carbonaceous shales with bands of marly and silty to sandy shales and mudstones of the Nkporo Shale Formation (Reyment, 1965). The Nkporo Shales Formation sequence is overlain by a pebbly sandstone unit of the Tertiary Benin Formation.

Methodology

Electrical Resistivity data Acquisition and Modelling

Two field procedures of conducting electrical resistivity investigation (VES and ERT) were adopted to acquire the field data in the barite mineralized site and the adjoining areas. The SSR-MP-ATS model of a resistivity meter made by IGIS (India) that can measure earth resistances with an accuracy of 1µΩ was used in
field data acquisition. Four stainless steel electrodes of ~50 cm in length and 1.5 cm in diameter with one end tapered were used for transmitting electrical current into the ground and receiving the potentials generated by the passage of the transmitted current. At the investigated site, space limiting conditions such as topography and the heavily forested nature of the adjoining terrain constrained the maximum current electrode spacings (AB) to vary from 100 m to 400 m. Corresponding receiving (potential) electrode spacings (MN) varied from 0.5 m at minimum current electrode spacing (AB = 2 m) to 20 m at AB = 400 m. The Schlumberger electrode configuration was employed to acquire the VES data. The VES field procedure was executed by placing all the four electrodes collinearly with a common midpoint and gradually increasing the distance of the current electrodes from the centre after every successive reading. The spacing of the potential electrodes was only increased either when the apparent resistance reading was observed to be too low (Gowd, 2004) or an error message pops on the display prompting for the potential electrodes to be increased. The data recording sheets were designed to promote in-situ data quality checking. This was achieved by designing the data sheets in such a way that all new MNs values at the ‘cross-over’ points take on integer multiples of their previous MN values so that visual conversion and correlation of observed apparent resistances can be snapily done. The measured field resistances were later transformed to apparent resistivity domain using Equation 1:

$$r = \frac{p R}{2} \left( \frac{AB}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{MN}{2} \right)^2$$

(1)

where R is the measured apparent resistance. The transformed apparent resistivity values were manually plotted against half-current spacing (AB/2) on a bilogarithmic graph to generate curves. Where necessary, the curves were smoothened to remove the effects of lateral in homogeneities and other noisy signatures and thus enhance signal to noise ratio.

Conventional partial curve matching procedure, which was performed using auxiliary curves and charts, was adopted in the preliminary interpretation of the smoothened curves (Orellana and Mooney, 1966; Keller and Frischknecht, 1966). Initial layer parameters (thicknesses and resistivities) generated from the interpretation and the smoothened field data were used as the inputs into the inverse modelling phase. A VES data modelling code-RESIST, developed by Vender Velpen (1988) was used to model the data. Layer thicknesses observed on the walls of the locally mined pits were measured and used to constrain the thicknesses of each layer.

Using the RESYST code, a set of theoretical data was obtained from the initial layer parameters. The theoretical data were compared with the field data and the difference between the two was quantified using the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) technique, which 5% was set as the maximum acceptable value. At the beginning, the RMSE values in some cases were higher than the threshold value. These high RMSE values were eventually reduced to acceptable limits by performing a couple of iterations. At the end of the inverse modeling exercise, models that best fit the measured data in least squares sense using ridge regression were produced (Inman, 1975). Based on the results of the inversion, best fitting one Dimensional (1-D) resistivity models consisting of thicknesses and depths of the various geoelectric layers penetrated by the injected current were obtained. Figure 3 A, B and C show samples of the modeled VES curves observed and their 1-D equivalents.

The ERT data were acquired using SAS 1000 model of resistivity meter and the ES 10-64 switching unit from ABEM Instruments, Sweden. The ERT technique has the ability to image both lateral and vertical changes in electro-stratigraphy with a high accuracy (Caputo et al., 2003; Wilkinson et al., 2010). Three profiles were laid out at the Okurike Barite mineralization site. ERT 1 profile was aligned in tandem with a prominent NE-SW trending barite vein. ERTS 2 and 3 profiles were 50 m away on either side of ERT 1 but on the same direction as ERT 1. The arrangement of the VES stations and the ERT profiles are shown in Fig. 1C.

The lengths of ERTs 2 and 3 profiles were 172 m while ERT 1 was 150 m long. The Wenner electrode configuration that is reputed for having good vertical contact resolution (Zhou et al., 2002) was adopted. Minimum electrode separation was 4 m for ERTs 2 and 3 profiles and 3 m for ERT 1. The RES2DINV software (Loke, 2002) was used in performing the inversion of the apparent resistivity data. To produce a resistivity model, the software divides the subsurface into a number of blocks with fixed dimensions equal in number to the total number of data points. The smoothness-constrained least squares optimization technique was adopted to fit the synthetic model to the observed data (Loke and Barker, 1996). The RMS error technique was used in quantifying the extent of fit between the two sets of data. The subsurface models of each profile were stacked to form the pseudo 3-D model as shown in Fig. 4.

Collection and Analyses of Barite Samples

Five barite samples were collected from different locations within the barite mineralized area (Fig. 1C). The elemental composition of the barite samples were analysed using the Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS).
Fig. 3. Modelled VES curves observed at VES stations 1 (A), 5 (B) and 9 (C). Insert: correlation between 1-D resistivity model and Lithologic Model (LM).

Fig. 4. Pseudo 3-D model of the study area generated by stacking 2-D images.
A pre-calibrated Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (IC-PMS) was used in determining the major ore composition of the samples. References certified by the National Institute of Standards and Technology were used in calibrating the IC-PMS instrument. Specific gravity and porosity of the barite samples were determined using the pycnometric method in the laboratory. An unconfined compressive strength testing instrument manufactured by ELE International Ltd, UK was used in measuring the compressive strength of the samples.

Results

The results from the modelling of the VES data are summarized in Table 1. The results show that the shallow subsurface can be represented by a 3-4-layered structure with low to high resistivity values.

| VES station number | Approximate number of layers | Modelling RMS error (%) | Electrical resistivity of the geoelectric layers (Ωm) | Approximate thickness of the observed geoelectric layers (m) | Approximate depth to bottom of the observed geoelectric layers (m) | Estimated thickness of the barite (m) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| ρ₁                  | ρ₂                          | ρ₃                      | ρ₄                        | h₁                | h₂                | h₃                        | t₁                | t₂                | t₃                |
| 1                   | 4                            | 2.4                     | 506.3                      | 88.3              | 34.3              | 133.0                     | 2.1                | 3.3                | 12.3              | 2.1                | 5.4                | 17.7              | -                  |
| 2                   | 4                            | 2.3                     | 275.9                      | 21.9              | 63.7              | 124.1                     | 1.0                | 3.9                | 21.8              | 1.0                | 4.8                | 26.5              | -                  |
| 3                   | 4                            | 3.9                     | 813.1                      | 34.6              | 53.1              | 742.7                     | 1.4                | 4.8                | 26.2              | 1.4                | 6.2                | 32.4              | -                  |
| 4                   | 4                            | 2.5                     | 630.8                      | 51.3              | 67.3              | 225.5                     | 1.3                | 6.8                | 20.0              | 1.3                | 8.1                | 28.1              | -                  |
| 5                   | 3                            | 2.5                     | 407.4                      | 28.9              | 141.3             | -                         | 1.4                | 10.9               | -                 | 1.4                | 12.3               | -                 | -                  |
| 6                   | 4                            | 2.1                     | 4822.8                     | 1013.6            | 132.4             | 401.6                     | 2.5                | 7.7                | 25.6              | 2.5                | 10.7               | 35.8              | 2.5                |
| 7                   | 4                            | 3.3                     | 967.8                      | 24.5              | 21.5              | 489.9                     | 1.7                | 7.0                | 5.5               | 1.7                | 8.7                | 14.2              | -                  |
| 8                   | 4                            | 3.8                     | 3254.2                     | 1456.2            | 318.8             | 50.5                      | 1.0                | 1.6                | 18.8              | 1.0                | 2.5                | 22.5              | 1                  |
| 9                   | 4                            | 3.1                     | 2010.8                     | 656.4             | 62.5              | 224.5                     | 2.6                | 6.1                | 26.7              | 2.6                | 8.7                | 35.4              | 2.6                |
| 10                  | 4                            | 5.8                     | 5243.1                     | 388.3             | 64.1              | 1671.1                    | 3.0                | 9.1                | 30.8              | 3.0                | 12.2               | 43.0              | -                  |
| 11                  | 4                            | 5.5                     | 1133.4                     | 211.7             | 44.8              | 196.8                     | 1.8                | 1.0                | 16.6              | 1.8                | 2.4                | 19.0              | -                  |
| 12                  | 4                            | 3.3                     | 2241.3                     | 214.7             | 33.8              | 750.3                     | 1.0                | 3.3                | 11.5              | 1.0                | 4.3                | 15.8              | -                  |
| 13                  | 4                            | 4.6                     | 4544.2                     | 485.0             | 124.8             | 82.8                      | 2.1                | 7.4                | 23.0              | 2.1                | 9.5                | 32.4              | 2.1                |
| Minimum             |                              |                          |                            |                   |                   |                           |                    |                    |                   |                    |                    |                   |                    |
| Maximum             |                              |                          |                            |                   |                   |                           |                    |                    |                   |                    |                    |                   |                    |
| Range               |                              |                          |                            |                   |                   |                           |                    |                    |                   |                    |                    |                   |                    |
| Mean                |                              |                          |                            |                   |                   |                           |                    |                    |                   |                    |                    |                   |                    |
| Standard deviation  |                              |                          |                            |                   |                   |                           |                    |                    |                   |                    |                    |                   |                    |

Table 2. Mean major elemental composition of barite samples

| Trace element | Composition in ppm | % Composition |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Ba            | 1976.7             | 77.28        |
| Fe            | 511.1              | 19.98        |
| Cr            | 8.0                | 0.31         |
| Cd            | 0.9                | 0.03         |
| Pb            | 6.7                | 0.26         |
| Cu            | 14.4               | 0.56         |
| Ag            | 1.4                | 0.05         |
| Ni            | 2.2                | 0.09         |
| Mn            | 18.9               | 0.74         |
| Hg            | 1.5                | 0.06         |
| V             | 16.0               | 0.63         |
arenaceous materials as confirmed by the exposed part of the mining pit dominate this layer. Depths to the bottom of this layer were estimated to vary from 275.9 to 5243.1 Ωm (mean of 2065.5 Ωm). The thickness of this layer was estimated to vary from ~1 to 3 m (average of 1.8 m). The wide resistivity range (>4960 Ωm) is reflective of the heterogeneity in materials that cap the entire lithostratigraphic sequence. Where moderately resistive argillaceous materials such as clay, silt and lateritic materials were dominant, resistivity values were observed to be low (<300 Ωm). In locations where poorly sorted clastic materials such as breccias, gravels, arkosic sandstones and other weathered basement materials were exposed, resistivity was high (>1000 Ωm). The materials with high resistivity were dominant near the VES stations 6, 8 and 13 since the area under investigation is within a sediment-basement contact. The gravelly and breccial materials were considered products of weathering and fragmentation of basement materials that are close to their provenance areas.

The second layer is characterised by relatively low resistivity (<220 Ωm) values except around the VES stations 6, 8, 9, 10 and 13 where comparatively high resistivity values resulting from the gradational sequence of the gravelly materials were observed. Argillaceous materials mixed with varying degrees of arenaceous materials as confirmed by the exposed part of the mining pit dominate this layer. Depths to the bottom of this layer were estimated to vary between 2.4 and 12.3 m (mean of 7.4 m).

The materials in the third layer were speculated to be dominated by sandy-clay and fractured basement materials with characteristic resistivity values that range from 21.5 to 318.8 Ωm (mean resistivity of 89.4 Ωm). Depth to the bottom of this layer is ≤43 m. The materials in the fourth layer are characterised by comparatively high resistivities ≥400 Ωm except around the VES stations 1, 2, 4, 8, 9 and 13 where lower resistivities were observed. This layer was speculated to be dominated by weathered/fractured/fresh basement materials. Thicknesses of the materials in layer 4 could not be determined from the data acquired in the field.

The subsurface image from ERT 1 show that the area is overlain by thin (<5 m) materials. The resistivity of these materials varies from ~300 Ωm at the areas dominated by sandy clay to 4,057 Ωm at areas dominated by clastic materials. The wide range in the observed resistivity values is once again indicative of the variability in the textural properties of this layer. The area where the barite vein is exposed lies between 40- to 100-m along the profile and is characterised by resistivity values that range from 731 to ~1500 Ωm. The thickness of the barite-enriched region of the profile is ~4 m. The wide range in resistivity values suggest that the barites occur along with more resistive clastic materials (Oden, 2012). Beyond 100 m, the clastic materials with their characteristic high resistivities were exposed towards the end of the profile.

A layer dominated by clayey sand and sandy clay underlies the resistive top layer. These materials are nearly homogeneous with narrow resistivity range of 45 Ωm (from ~10 to 55 Ωm and depth to bottom of ~20 m. These clayey materials are exposed in the mining pits and towards the end of ERT 2 profile. The third layer is a sand dominated layer with resistivity values higher than that of the second layer. The electrostratigraphic sequence observed in ERT 1 profile was also observed in ERTs 2 and 3 profiles (Fig. 4). At about 110 m along ERT 2, the thin gravelly overburden materials thin out leaving the underlying clayey materials on the surface.

The results show that barite enrichment in the area is limited in both lateral and vertical extents. Along ERTs 2 and 3 profiles, there is no evidence of barite mineralisation. The VES results also reveal that barite mineralized at the site is a localized feature with thickness less than 4 m and no reasonable lateral spread beyond ERT 1. Identifying the barite-enriched layer from the resistivity results outside the major vein was difficult. This problem is usually caused by the thin barite vein and the adjoining gravelly/breccial and weathered basement materials having nearly the same resistivity thereby making the thin barite layer to be an equivalent layer to the adjoining thick materials. This
problem can be explained by the principles of equivalence and suppression and can be resolved using an integrated approach where possible. Yadav et al. (2010) have presented discussions on the influence of these problems on resistivity data interpretation. The ERT subsurface images show the barites as mineralised structures with limited vertical and lateral spread (vein structures). Correlation of the ERT results with the exposed lithologies on the abandoned mining pits and other surface exposures in the area show that the barite veins are thin compared to the thickness of the adjoining materials. Such subsurface conditions might have caused the electrical signature of the barite vein to be suppressed in both the VES curves and the ERT images outside the major vein.

The high value of the UCS of the barite ores was attributed to the frictional resistance by its associated minerals (Ene et al., 2012). Standard specifications of barites and other minerals exist for different industrial purposes and any barite deposit whose composition falls below these specifications are considered to be of low quality and hence not acceptable. For instance, the American Petroleum Institute (API) specifies that for barite to be suited for use as a weighting agent in the oil industries and its specific gravity value must not be less than 4.2. Since the specific gravity of the Okurike Barite deposit is higher than this API-specified threshold value, then the Okurike Barites may be suitable for use in the industry as a weighting agent, production of barium-based pharmaceuticals, paints, glass and other chemicals product.

Conclusions

This study reveal that the Okurike Barites consist of stratiform-diagenetic deposits, stratiform deposits, veinlets with sandstones and clays as host rocks. Barite mineralisation occurs as a thin vein-filling structure within a limited area in the Okurike Community. The barite ores are occasionally mixed with gravel, breccias and weathered basement materials with resistivity values that vary between 731 and ~1500 Ωm. However, since the dominant geophysical method used in this study is the electrical resistivity method, the sandstone-limestone interface might not have been properly delineated due to lack of reasonable resistivity contrast in some locations. Thus, it may be necessary to conduct a direct investigative method such as core drilling in order to establish the economic worth of the barite deposit. Other geophysical techniques such as seismic refraction tomography and ground penetrating radar should also be performed in order to generate an independent shallow subsurface image.

The physical characteristics of the barites are 4.4 for specific gravity, 3.64 g/cm³ for density, 28% for porosity, 29.4 kN/mm² for UCS and 1.5% for water absorption. The barites are preferentially enriched in Ba (77.28%) and Fe (19.98%). The composition of other elements was less than 1%. BaO, Fe₂O₃ and MnO dominate the ore composition of the samples with percentage composition of 71.28, 21.40 and 6.10% respectively. These results show that the Okurike Barites are of high industrial quality with specific gravity higher than the API-specified value of 4.2.
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