The effect of different genotype cattle grazing on the nutrient content of saline grasslands vegetation
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INTRODUCTION

More than 250 000 ha of the 1.1 million ha of grasslands in Hungary are covered by nature conservation protection (Ángyán et al. 2003, Kárpáti 2007, Tasi et al. 2014). Therefore, the conservation or restoration of these areas requires the development of a nature conservation and grass management method where it is of paramount importance coordination of agriculture and nature conservation (Valkó et al. 2016). The two most common treatments for these grasslands are mowing and grazing (Tälle et al. 2016, Nagy and Tasi 2017). Traditional grazing plays an important role in nature conservation programs for grassland conservation worldwide (Pinksza et al. 2010, 2013, Szabó et al. 2010/2011, 2011, Török et al. 2014).

Grazing requires a thorough planning and needs to be adjusted to local circumstances (Tölgyesi et al. 2015, Halász et al. 2016, Tóth et al. 2016), so comprehensive programs are required: using results of ecological, botanical, agronomic and animal husbandry research.

In addition to the general effects of the grazing process, nature conservation is of particular importance as regards the grazing of certain animal species, and indeed varieties, as they may have significant differences in both their effect on vegetation and soil (Béri et al. 2004). It is crucial to choose the breed according to the yield of the pasture and the quality of lawn management. Variety selection must take into account the animal's ability to grazing readiness, its abilities, and its weight (Nagy and Tasi 2017, Net1).

Indigenous breeds have important role in the grazing of protected areas (Gencsi 2005). According to Mihók (2005), the low-fertile grasslands can only be utilized with indigenous domestic species adapted to the domestic geographic conditions reasonably. The native Hungarian grey cattle was spread mainly in the plain lowlands (Kárpáti et al. 2004). Thanks to the "gentler" grazing of the Hungarian grey, the living conditions of several natural species may remain, which may give rise to richer pasture areas (Szentes et al. 2009a). The demand for natural food products is growing, which entails the growth of the Hungarian economic importance of grey cattle. This breed is less suitable for fattening and slaughter, because it has a medium-sized growth potency, and does not exhibit good meat patterns. On the contrary, beef market my accept crossbreeds from extensive farms due to water scarcity (Halász 2016). It is not competitive with the world production breeds, but today it is a major
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animal of the national parks, nature conservation and rural development programs (Net1).

Among the intensive varieties, charolais is one of the world's best beef cattle breeds because of its excellent properties, growing ability and meat quality. It is well adapted to the most varied areas, whether dry or wet, plain or mountainous. Because of their large body mass, they need a greater amount of grass, and are also more demanding on the quality of the feed. They play a smaller role in lawn management for nature conservation, although they have many properties (excellent pasture, feed utilization and adaptation to extreme conditions) that favour sustainable grazing. They are suitable primarily for the grazing of dry areas. Since they are large-scale species, they have a significant impact on soil and vegetation, especially in wet areas (Net2). Types of variety also include, in extensive and intense conditions, the decisive majority of the quality features that make the variety a world-class - with excellent fatigue, cutting properties and favourable meat shapes.

Grazing affects the species composition of the lawn, the trampling affects the grass: the proportion of the grass, the spread of the weeds and the amount of Fabaceae (Nyárai Horváth et al. 2005). According to Bánszki (1988) “the nutrient content of the lawn varies considerably according to the plant groups of the lawn and the quantity and proportion of species and varieties within the plant groups”. Differences between the species can be caused by the specie’s fibrillation susceptibility, the foliage and the stem ratio (Horn et al. 2006). In addition, there is considerable variation in the protein content among herbaceous plant species (Martin et al. 1972). The Fabaceaeae are larger; the lawns have a more moderate protein content (Schmidt 1996).

The examination of feed quality is of great importance in the first growth, because most grasses only develop stems at that time (Steinwidder 2001). Martin et al. (1972), the fresh lawn must contain 18% dry matter, 20–26% crude fibre, 16–23% crude protein and 55% net energy concentration in the dry matter. In addition, the dry matter content was found to be highly volatile, mainly depending on the weather and the moisture conditions of the site, and that the dry matter content increases with the aging of the plants. Crude protein is one of the most important determinants of quality. The protein content of the grasslands depends heavily on plant height and developmental phase. As we progress to the late stage of development, protein content decreases (Daccord 1998, Tasi and Barscák 2001). Raw fibre content shows an aging trend with increasing tendency. The young grass, which is often used, is poorer than the rarely utilized, aged grass. The process involves a decrease in feed digestibility and net energy content. At ruminants, net energy is used to determine the energy value of the energy and feed values. The life-sustaining energy needs of animals include the energy necessary for the metabolism replacement (heart function, respiration, excretion, nervous system function) and the energy consuming, digesting and absorbing nutrients of life-saving feeding stuffs (Martin et al. 1972).

We have already mentioned that the value of meadows and pastures (nature conservation and grassland management) depends to a great extent on their botanical composition (Harasztí 1973, Barscák and Kertész 1986, Winczeffy 1993, Bajnok et al. 2000, Kelemen et al. 2013). The reason for this is mainly due to differences in the nutritional content of grass-gramma species (Horn et al. 2006). Based on our previous research results (Kovácsné et al. 2017), both grazing, weed types and cattle have a significant effect on plant species, on the botanical composition of grasslands. Thus it became questionable whether the same effects also apply to the nutrient content of vegetation of the examined grasslands.

Our research is an area of nature conservation and agriculture that is closely linked to work under the LIFE + project (LIFE11 NAT/HU/000924, www.legelotavak.hu). The program significantly increases the number of pasture animals in the project area and introduces a nature conservation grazing system. We have been involved in this research at this point in the LIFE + program. The primary purpose of our study is to analyze the effect of pasture use on the pasture vegetation and the nutrient content of the smaller extensive and the greater intensive cattle in order to get an answer if a more profitable, more economical and more intensive varieties can fulfill the conservation grazing needs.

In the course of our work, we searched the answers for the following questions: (i) What is the impact of grazing, (ii) of different grasslands and (iii) different cattle species (Hungarian grey or intensive beef) on nutrient content of the vegetation of the grassland?

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

The research was carried out in the Hortobágy National Park. The average annual temperature in the region is 9.5 °C, with an average annual rainfall of 550 mm (Lukács et al. 2015). For our studies sites with similar soil, vegetation (sward composition, stage of development of grasses) and microterrain were chosen. During the baseline survey no significant differences were found between the soil parameters (pH, water soluble salt content, organic nitrogen, nitrate nitrogen, organic carbon in humus). The 1200 hectares land grazed by Hungarian grey is located on the northern part of the Hortobágy, Pap-ere, belonging to the Mátapuszta. Several major but highly degraded pastures can be found there, where the natural water movement is currently hampered by the presence of the Tonnás canal. This area was grazed by 540 Hungarian grey cattle and breeds (480 calves). The grazed area of the mixed genotype with intensive cattle grazing is located in the southern part of Hortobágy, at the village of Fuluvéghalma at Zám. Zám-pusztá is one of the most important representatives of the southern grasslands of the Hortobágy, with numerous plains and pastures. This 1100-hectare area was grazed by intensive crossbreed
(charolais crossed hereford and limousine F1) from 550 cows and breeds (500 calves). The grazing intensity of the selected areas was lower (0.25 livestock/ha) than the year of treatment, from 2015 onwards. From that year the pastures were utilized with 0.46 livestock/ha intensity. The intensity of grazing was determined from the grazed area size and the livestock value of grazed animals.

Examined types of grasslands

Associations were selected along a moisture gradient, which were the following: wet saline marshes (Bolboschoenetum maritimi) and dry salt meadows (Beckmannion eruciformis) (Deák et al. 2014ab). The salty swamps occur in the deeper lands, so they are underwater longer than the salty meadows, making them more peatgy (Deák et al. 2014c). Typical species are Bolboschoenus maritimus, Eleocharis palustris and E. uniglamis, Agrostis stolonifera, Carex melanostachya and Potentilla reptans. Salty meadows occur in areas that are also well but slightly elevated. Typical species are Alopecurus pratensis, Agrostis stolonifera, in drier areas: Carex praecox, C. stenophylla and Festuca pseudovolina.

Sampling

Our studies were carried out in May 2016 and May 2017, studying the vegetation of wet saline marshes (Bolboschoenetum maritimi) and drier saline meadows (Beckmannion eruciformis). In Pap-ere and Zám, we designated our sampling areas for the monitoring of the effect of grazing on 1 x 8x8 m sample, and 8x8 m in control areas. At the same time, a vegetal sample was collected to determine nutrient content. A total of 16 samples/control areas were analyzed. One average sample were made per sample area which was cut out of the selected location at 10 places (point pattern), leaving a 6–7 cm stubble. Weende analysis of the cut patterns was carried out at the University of Debrecen MÉK’s Agricultural Center Laboratory. The original dry matter content, crude protein, crude fat, ash and crude fibre contents are based on MSZ-6830.

Data processing

The name of the species follows the nomenclature of Király (2009). In the statistical analysis, an independent model t-test was compared for two groups, and two variables were analysed for univariate variance analysis followed by Tukey-Kramer post hoc test. We compared the characteristics of the vegetation with the SPSS 22 program package for different bovine species (extensive or intensive beef, fixed factor), plant type (wet or dry grass, fixed factor) and treatment (low grazing pressure, elevated grassing pressure or control, fixed factor). 5% significance level was calculated.

RESULTS

When all three effects (grazing, grassland type and cattle) were evaluated simultaneously, statistically significant effects could only be detected for life sustaining net energy. It can be seen that in all types of breeds and bovine species the life-sustaining net energy (Table 1) increased in all cases after years. We could not detect any other effects on the investigated parameters.

The effect of grazing, plant type and the cattle’s interaction on the parameters examined

Table 1

| Examined parameters | Extensive dry | Extensive dry | Intensive dry | Intensive dry | Extensive wet | Extensive wet | Intensive wet | Intensive wet |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                     | 2016 | 2017 | year | 2016 | 2017 | year | 2016 | 2017 | year | 2016 | 2017 | year |
| Dry matter (m/m%) | 33.44 | 29.60 | 35.90 | 36.38 | 32.30 | 31.60 | 27.01 | 33.60 | 34.70 | 28.35 | 32.20 | 38.80 |
| Crude protein (m/m%) | 9.86 | 13.70 | 12.70 | 10.71 | 13.40 | 14.30 | 10.21 | 10.60 | 10.80 | 11.63 | 13.30 | 12.30 |
| Crude fibre (m/m%) | 31.71 | 29.80 | 28.10 | 29.51 | 31.70 | 34.10 | 27.92 | 31.20 | 33.20 | 32.34 | 29.34 | 29.50 | 32.80 |
| Life-sustaining net energy (MJ/kg d.m.) | 4.53 | 4.95 | 4.84 | 4.60 | 5.20 | 5.22 | 4.54 | 4.97 | 4.81 | 4.56 | 5.09 | 5.00 |

The effect of grazing

Grazing had an effect on crude protein and life sustaining net energy content (p<0.001). The highest value for raw protein content was measured in 2017 (12.75 m/m %), the lowest in lower dry matter yield in 2016 (10.6 m/%). The same trend is observed for the life-sustaining net energy content (Table 2). The highest value was obtained in 2017 (5.05 MJ/kg body weight), so in the area grazed for two years with a higher number of cattle, the lowest in 2016 (4.55 MJ/kg body weight). However, no significant effect was found on either dry matter or crude fibre content.

The effect of grassland type

We did not have any significant effect on the examined parameters for different types of grassland (Table 3).

The effect of the cattle breed

The type of cattle showed a significant effect only in one case: on the life-sustaining net energy content (p<0.001). Greater value was measured in the area grazed with intensive cattle (5.15 m/m%). For the other parameters, the differences were not statistically verified (Table 4).
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Table 2

| Examined parameters | Year 2016* | Year 2017** | Control*** |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Dry matter (m/m%)   | 31.27      | 31.93       | 35.25      |
| Crude protein (m/m%)| 10.60      | 12.75       | 12.52 b    |
| Crude fibre (m/m%)  | 29.62      | 30.55       | 32.05      |
| Life-sustaining net energy (MJ/kg d.m.) | 4.55 a | 5.05 b | 4.97 b |

Note: * results of measurement of nutritional content of grassland areas (grazed over one year with higher cattle density), ** results of measurement of nutritional content in pasture areas of 2017 (grazed two years with higher cattle density), *** results of measurement of nutrient content in our control area of 2017 (excluded areas from two grazing seasons).

Table 3

| Examined parameters | Wet grassland* | Drier grassland** |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Dry matter (m/m%)   | 32.90          | 30.95             |
| Crude protein (m/m%)| 11.95          | 13.55             |
| Crude fibre (m/m%)  | 30.35          | 30.75             |
| Life-sustaining net energy (MJ/kg d.m.) | 5.03 | 5.08 |

Note: * results of nutritional content of wetland with extensive and intensive cattle in 2017, ** the results of the nutritional content of the dry areas with extensive and intensive cattle in 2017.

Table 4

| Examined parameters | Extensive beef cattle* | Intensive beef cattle** |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Dry matter (m/m%)   | 31.60                  | 32.25                   |
| Crude protein (m/m%)| 12.12                  | 13.35                   |
| Crude fibre (m/m%)  | 30.50                  | 30.60                   |
| Life-sustaining net energy (MJ/kg d.m.) | 4.96 a | 5.15 b |

Note: * the results of the nutritional content of dry and wet grasslands grazed by extensive cattle in 2017, ** the results of the nutritional content of dry and wet grasslands grazed by intensive cattle in 2017.

DISCUSSION

Based on our results we found that grazing had an impact on raw protein and life-sustaining net energy content. The highest crude protein content (12.75 m/m%) was obtained in the area of grazing in the year 2017, i.e. for two years, with a higher animal density, probably due to the increased coverage of Fabaceae species (Trifolium repens and Trifolium angulatum). This 23.9% crude protein content is considered to be very good for feeding (Opitz, 1994). Our protein fibre ratio was 1:2 which is consistent with the results of Vinczeffy (1998) and Tasi (2006) that the protein-fibre ratio reaches a favourable ratio of 1:2 in the majority of grasses in mid-May. For the life-sustaining net energy, the highest value is also measured in 2017 and the smallest in 2016. However, no significant effect was found on either dry matter or crude fibre content.

There was no significant difference between the grassland types.

Furthermore, it was found that there is no significant difference between the effects of the two bovine species on the parameters examined. Significant effects were observed only in the case of life-sustaining net energy: in the area of intensive beef cattle we received a higher value (5.15 MJ/kg body weight) than in the area with extensive beef cattle (4.96 MJ/kg body weight). However, we could not detect a significant effect for the other parameters.

Our results have also shown that cattle grazing is of the utmost importance for the maintenance of both wet and mesophilous habitats. Based on our three-year study, we can say that grazing by both extensive and intensive cattle is suitable for managing saline habitats.
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