Aeolian abrasion of rocks as a mechanism to produce methane in the Martian atmosphere
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Seasonal changes in methane background levels and methane spikes have been detected in situ a metre above the Martian surface, and larger methane plumes detected via ground-based remote sensing, however their origin have not yet been adequately explained. Proposed methane sources include the UV irradiation of meteoritic-derived organic matter, hydrothermal reactions with olivine, organic breakdown via meteoroid impact, release from gas hydrates, biological production, or the release of methane from fluid inclusions in basalt during aeolian erosion. Here we quantify for the first time the potential importance of aeolian abrasion as a mechanism for releasing trapped methane from within rocks, by coupling estimates of present day surface wind abrasion with the methane contents of a variety of Martian meteorites, analogue terrestrial basalts and analogue terrestrial sedimentary rocks.

We demonstrate that the abrasion of basalt under present day Martian rates of aeolian erosion is highly unlikely to produce detectable changes in methane concentrations in the atmosphere. We further show that, although there is a greater potential for methane production from the aeolian abrasion of certain sedimentary rocks, to produce the magnitude of methane concentrations analysed by the Curiosity rover they would have to contain methane in similar concentrations as economic reserves of biogenic/thermogenic deposits on Earth. Therefore we suggest that aeolian abrasion is an unlikely origin of the methane detected in the Martian atmosphere, and that other methane sources are required.
minerals and rocks contain gases trapped in discrete inclusions, fractures or within the grains themselves (intragranularly)\(^\text{11,17}\). This includes not only igneous rocks, such as basalts, but evaporites and mudstones, all of which are exposed on the Martian surface\(^\text{2,18}\). A recent analysis of a range of Martian meteorites has confirmed the presence of methane gas trapped within Martian basalt inclusions, with coincident concentrations of other gases indicating a Martian origin rather than later terrestrial contamination\(^\text{19}\). Methane in terrestrial basalts (and by analogy Martian basalts) most likely derives from a combination of original magmatic methane and methane generated through water-rock interactions at elevated temperatures\(^\text{19,20}\). In contrast, methane preserved within sedimentary deposits formed in surface environments on Earth, typically has a biogenic origin (from the local activity of \textit{in situ} methanogenic bacteria living within the sediments of the primary evaporitic environment) and/or a thermogenic origin (resulting from the thermal alteration of biological organic matter)\(^\text{21}\).

On Earth the release of methane from fluid inclusions is a negligible component of atmospheric methane. This is due to the far greater fluxes of methane from extant biology\(^\text{21}\), anthropogenic sources\(^\text{21}\), thermogenic sources\(^\text{21}\), and to a smaller extent abiogenic sources from active volcanism and hydrothermal activity\(^\text{21}\). On present day Mars, however, there is greater potential for fluid inclusion release to have a significant impact on atmospheric chemistry. This is owing to the combination of far lower atmospheric pressures (approximately 7–10 mbar\(^\text{22}\)), meaning the escaped methane would be less diluted, with very low background methane concentrations (sub ppm) in the Martian atmosphere\(^\text{15,19}\). Additionally, there is a lack of evidence for present day substantial methane fluxes from biogenic, thermogenic or abiogenic sources\(^\text{21}\).

Here, via unpublished and previously published laboratory measurements, we estimate the potential production of methane in the Martian atmosphere from the release of methane within fluid inclusions via aeolian abrasion.

\textbf{Estimation of methane fluxes from aeolian abrasion at varying time-scales.} We estimate methane fluxes from aeolian abrasion by combining estimates of a range of current Martian surface abrasion rates (\(\mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\)) with published and newly determined methane contents (nmol g\(^{-1}\)) from a range of SNC meteorites and analogue terrestrial rocks. For basalt, we used abrasion rates of \(1 \times 10^{-5}\) \(\mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\) as measured by Pathfinder to represent average rates since the Hesperian\(^\text{14}\) and assume a tenfold greater rate of abrasion for softer layered sedimentary rocks (e.g. mudstone)\(^\text{15}\). We used an average rate of abrasion of 0.75 \(\mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\), as measured from radiometric dating by Curiosity\(^\text{12}\), to represent long term abrasion rates in Gale Crater where Curiosity has observed background methane and methane plumes\(^\text{2}\). A rate of 0.75 \(\mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\) is also representative of rates of basalt sand abrasion under actively moving sand dunes\(^\text{15}\). To represent the highest estimates of abrasion in active sand dune fields on vertical rock faces, we used 50 \(\mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\) for basalt\(^\text{18}\), and tenfold greater (500 \(\mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\)) for evaporites and mudstones/shales. The methane contents of Martian and terrestrial basalts, and terrestrial evaporites, minerals (quartz, plagioclase feldspar, magnetite) and mudstones/shales come from a combination of published literature and new experiments were determined by a variety of different methods (Supplementary Methods).

We first calculated gas fluxes from aeolian abrasion for a period of one hour, assuming vertical mixing over a 0.5 km atmospheric height. These calculations are relevant for short-term (20 min to 1 hour) \textit{in situ} measurements taken by the Curiosity Rover around Gale Crater\(^\text{1,2}\). We also calculated gas fluxes integrated over 30 sols, assuming vertical mixing over the entire Martian atmospheric column. These calculations are relevant for the formation of larger scale methane plumes\(^\text{2}\) (Supplementary Methods).

\textbf{Aeolian abrasion of basalt is unlikely to explain observed methane plumes.} Figures 1a,d,g and 2a,d,g show the methane flux from basalt and Martian meteorite samples using three different abrasion rates over a period of 1 hour and 30 sols respectively. Over a time period of 30 sols, abrasion rates of \(1 \times 10^{-5}\) \(\mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\) and 0.75 \(\mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\) are unable to produce sufficient methane to compete with estimates generated from the breakdown of meteoritic material by UV irradiation\(^\text{3}\). Even the highest abrasion rate of 50 \(\mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\) is incapable of producing concentrations of methane above the atmospheric background levels determined by the Curiosity rover (Fig. 1). Our data (Figs 1 and 2) also demonstrate that, when analysed by the same method (crush-fast scan technique), the range of methane contents of terrestrial basalts encompasses that of Martian meteorites. Therefore, it seems unlikely that Martian basalt will have substantially higher methane contents than their terrestrial counterparts, particularly given the potential for incorporation of biogenic carbon into terrestrial basalts via plate tectonic recycling. From our data, we conclude that the aeolian erosion of basaltic-type rocks and derived sand grains on Mars is an unlikely mechanism for the elevated methane concentrations detected by both ground-based observations\(^\text{3}\) and the Curiosity rover\(^\text{2}\) unless there were substantially higher concentrations contained within other types of igneous rocks on Mars (e.g. peridotites\(^\text{23}\)).

\textbf{The potential formation of methane plumes from the aeolian abrasion of sedimentary rocks.} At Gale Crater, the surface geology is dominated by mudstones and sandstones\(^\text{12}\). The mudstones are thought to represent an ancient lake environment with potentially habitable conditions\(^\text{13}\). Clay minerals have also been identified in the east of the Arabia Terra, Nil Fossae and the southeast quadrant of Syrtis Major\(^\text{1}\). These regions represent the potential source area of the larger methane plume identified by ground-based observations. Additionally, sulphate-bearing materials have been detected by visible images obtained by the MRO High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment (HiRISE) and Context Camera (CTX)\(^\text{3}\) and by visible-near infrared reflectance spectra obtained by the Compact Reconnaissance Imaging Spectrometer for Mars (CRISM) from orbit stratigraphically above Curiosity’s current location\(^\text{35}\). The latter showed an abundance of hydration signatures interpreted as either sulphate-cemented clays or alternating thin beds of clay minerals and sulphates\(^\text{25}\). The detection of sulphates in the Gale Crater and their distribution on Mars as a whole provides evidence for widespread evaporitic environments involving surface to near subsurface aqueous processes extending into the Hesperian\(^\text{25}\).
Our results indicate that the aeolian abrasion of terrestrial analogue sedimentary rocks (evaporites, mudstones/shales) have the potential to produce significantly higher fluxes of methane to the Martian atmosphere compared to basalt. This is due to a combination of higher maximum methane contents and higher susceptibility to abrasion (Figs 1 and 2). For example, using the average abrasion rates of mudstones/shale at Gale Crater (0.75 μm yr⁻¹) over a time period of one hour produces, in some cases, more than an order of magnitude greater methane concentrations than the highest methane concentrations detected by Curiosity\(^2\). At an elevated abrasion rate of 500 μm yr⁻¹, the most methane-rich evaporites also produce methane above measured atmospheric background levels. While such high rates of abrasion are clearly implausible averaged over the long term, they may be achievable during the abrasion of e.g. vertical faces during short duration elevated abrasion events\(^\text{16}\). On a larger scale over 30 sols, our calculations demonstrate that at an abrasion rate of 0.75 μm yr⁻¹, the most methane-rich mudstone/shale terrestrial samples could even provide enough methane to exceed the fluxes required for the formation of larger plumes, as documented in the east of Arabia Terra, Nili Fossae, and the southeast quadrant of
Syrtis Major\(^3\). However, as sedimentary deposits on Mars tend to be localized, even if the vertical methane fluxes were maintained over 30 sols, the concentrations documented in Fig. 2 would be greatly diluted via horizontal mixing\(^1,26\).

Crucially, however, the relatively high methane fluxes from the aeolian abrasion of sedimentary rocks are obtained by the use (in the absence of other data sources) of terrestrial rock analogues that include organic-rich biogenic/thermogenic deposits. In contrast, analyses of the mudstones at Gale Crater by Curiosity have so far detected organic molecules up to only 24 ppm organic carbon\(^27,28\) similar to the organic content of a range of igneous Martian meteorites (20 \(\pm\) 6 ppm\(^29\)), which, as we discuss above, are a highly unlikely source of detectable Martian atmospheric methane. Furthermore, the sum of inorganic carbon gases produced from Curiosity's evolved gas analyser only suggests a total organic content of up to 2384 ppm; similar to the organic content in fine grained sediments beneath middle portions of the South Pacific Gyre (SPG) region on Earth\(^30\), rather

**Figure 2.** Estimated methane fluxes from the aeolian abrasion of analogue Martian rock samples using a period of 30 sols and assuming vertical mixing over the entire Martian atmospheric column; a – g the methane flux was calculated from: (a) basalt with abrasion rate of \(1 \times 10^{-5} \mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\), (b) evaporites, \(1 \times 10^{-4} \mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\), (c) shale, \(1 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\), (d) basalt, \(0.75 \mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\), (e) evaporites, \(0.75 \mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\), (f) mudstone/shale, \(0.75 \mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\), (g) basalt, \(50 \mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\), (h) evaporites, \(500 \mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\), (i) mudstone/shale, \(500 \mu\text{m yr}^{-1}\). The purple line is the average (33 ppb) methane flux of the plume measured by ground-based observations\(^3\), the red and blue lines are the peak (700 ppb) and average (400 ppb) values of methane measured by the Curiosity rover respectively\(^2\) and the dashed line represents the methane flux from organic breakdown\(^5\). The box that represents each sample is bound by the maximum and minimum flux from a range of measurements (see Supplementary Information), and the line situated in the box represents the median value of the fluxes from the samples.
than the highly productive marine or lacustrine environments responsible for terrestrial economic hydrocarbon deposits31. Furthermore, recent gravimetric surveys suggest that Gale Crater sediments have not been buried to sufficient depths to commence the onset of methane generation via significant organic matter thermogenesis32. Therefore, it seems highly unlikely that sediments in Gale Crater could contain comparable methane contents to methane-rich terrestrial sedimentary rocks shown in Figs 1 and 2, unless the methane is produced by a very different mechanism.

One such speculative alternative mechanism for methane production is suggested by the presence of features termed ‘hollow nodules’ within the Sheepbed member mudstones at Gale Crater. A possible interpretation of these hollow nodules is they represent ancient gas bubbles formed during authigenic mineral precipitation33. Subsequent geochemical modelling has indicated that sufficient hydrogen gas could be produced during authigenic magnetite precipitation to produce these gas bubbles26. Combined with CO₂ this hydrogen could have generated the required redox gradient to drive potential biological methanogenesis36. We note, however, that although the majority of any gas might be expected to escape during the drilling process, no elevated methane concentrations were detected during the pyrolysis of Gale Crater sediment associated with the hollow nodules44.

Finally, a recent summary of aeolian activity in Gale Crater35 demonstrates that elevated aeolian activity has occurred at Gale Crater in the southern summer season over the last several Martian years (between approximately Ls 180–Ls 360). The strong seasonality of sand fluxes at Gale Crater is consistent with observations at other sites on Mars; for example sand fluxes in the Nili Patera dune field in the Northern hemisphere are three times higher during the southern summer compared to winter35. Crucially, however, the observed sand activity at Gale Crater does not appear to have a correlation with the observed background methane concentrations detected by Curiosity at approximately Ls 180 or methane spike at Ls 90. This indicates that an alternative source of methane is required to explain the seasonal background changes and isolated higher peaks in methane detected by the MSL Curiosity.

**Production and destruction of methane gas via UV and cosmic irradiation of surface rocks.** A significant difference between Martian and terrestrial surface rocks is in their differing exposures to UV and cosmic radiation. Collectively the Earth’s atmosphere and magnetic field absorb a substantial fraction of short-wave solar UV radiation, and deflect charged particles such as galactic cosmic rays and solar energetic particles37. In contrast, Martian surface rocks are currently exposed to relatively high levels of UV-C irradiation and cosmic radiation. The shielding depth of the Earth’s atmosphere from ionising radiation is 1000 g cm⁻² compared to Mars’ 16 g cm⁻². Indeed, it has been suggested that UV photolytic processes could be responsible for the formation of the detected background methane via the breakdown of meteoric/cometary derived organic matter in surface sediments36 (see dashed line in Figs 1 and 2). It has been estimated from laboratory experiments that approximately 20% of meteoritic/cometary organic matter in Martian surface sediments could be converted to methane via UV irradiation, although the exact figure will depend on a variety of other factors, including the availability of water and mineral oxidants5. This conversion rate would input 64 tonnes of methane into the atmosphere per year, equivalent to an atmospheric column integrated concentration of 2.2 ppbv3. However, the penetration depth of UV in rocks is limited to a range of a few microns to less than a millimetre depending on composition39,40, and hence unlikely to make a significant impact on atmospheric methane fluxes over existing estimates. Below the UV penetration depth, cosmic ray irradiation (including solar energetic protons and galactic cosmic rays) will typically dominate the alteration of organic molecules in the upper few metres40. While studies have examined the effects of cosmic ray irradiation on the alteration of amino acids33 there have been no studies reporting the specific effect on methane gas concentrations in representative rocks. However, it has been suggested via analogous studies on the irradiation of organic molecules in the terrestrial crust that, at least over geological periods of time, gamma irradiation could result in the polymerization of methane gas to higher molecular weight and higher CH₄ ratio compounds, such as polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)35. If by analogy similar polymerization reactions are induced by cosmic ray irradiation of the upper metres of the Martian surface, then the survival of any initial methane gas trapped within fluid inclusions or fractures in rocks might be restricted to regions which have been relatively recently exhumed through e.g. meteorite excavation or scarp retreat12. We recommend further experimental studies quantifying the effects of cosmic ray irradiation on methane production and destruction within relevant analogue rock types.

From the data put forward in this paper, we conclude that aeolian abrasion of basaltic or sedimentary rocks on the Martian surface is an unlikely mechanism to produce methane concentrations detected by in situ observations from the MSL Curiosity rover and remote ground-based sensing observations. Hence, we suggest that other sources of methane gas must be inferred to explain both the seasonal variations in background atmospheric methane and higher concentration plumes detected on Mars.
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