What are the Effects of the Cycle Ergometer on Critical Patients in the Intensive Care Unit? Systematic Review
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Abstract

The cycle ergometer is a device that has been widely used in Intensive Care Units, its main feature is the ability to provide peripheral muscle strength gain, improve the circulatory system, assist in mobility and have great effects on the optimization of patients’ cardiorespiratory system that are in bed. This study aims to describe the benefits with the use of the cycle ergometer and the possibility of reducing the time of mechanical ventilation and the length of hospital stay. This is a systematic review of the literature carried out with randomized clinical trials, using the Medline, PubMed, BVS, SciELO and PEDro databases, using the descriptors intensive care unit, respiratory exercise, early ambulation, physical therapy, exercise physical exercise, ergometry and their correlates in English and Spanish. The results included only original articles published between 2009 and 2019. 08 manuscripts made up the discussion of this work. When evaluating the use of the cycle ergometer in the ICU, it could be highlighted that the training with early exercises in highly sick patients, had a significant improvement in the recovery of the functional exercise capacity, proprioception of the functional state, muscle strength after discharge, decreased the time of hospitalization, assisted in ventilatory weaning and optimized breathing.
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Introduction

Intensive Care Units (ICUs) have as main objective to provide life support to potentially serious patients [1]. There are several factors that contribute to the worsening of these patients, such as long period under Mechanical Ventilation (MV) and treatment with the use of drugs, evolving to a condition of muscle weakness and atrophy that can occur quickly and aggressively. In addition, patients on MV have a great loss of functional capacity, resulting from decreased oxidative capacity of skeletal muscles and decreased muscle perfusion, determining factors in strength and peripheral muscle function [2], being caused by a decrease in the supply of essential energy substrates for the functioning or alteration of skeletal muscle fiber, resulting in a decrease in strength and resistance [3,4]. Both osteoarticular and musculoskeletal and cardiorespiratory factors will be predisposing to increase MV time in these patients [5,6]. Among the severe functional deficiencies that affect these patients, immobility is the most common, causing
several systemic changes and implying their recovery, increasing mortality or presenting several complications after their discharge from hospital. These deleterious consequences caused by immobilization can be reversed or improved with the performance of physiotherapy, aiming at the preservation of muscle mass and a better prognosis [7,8].

The early intervention of the physical therapist in critically ill patients is essential to avoid staying in the hospital and the respective risks caused by immobilization. The benefits presented by the therapeutic applicability have been evidenced in the literature, with the real need for assistance from the early kinesiotherapeutic resource, in order to avoid further dysfunctions and anticipate hospital discharge [9]. There are several techniques and devices described in the literature and being used early by the physiotherapist, in order to reverse or minimize the loss of muscle strength in these patients. New technologies have resulted in equipment for active or passive cycling of lower limbs while in bed, allowing early intervention in critically ill patients, improving functional status [10]. The cycle ergometer is described as a bedside ergometric bicycle [11] that is used to perform active, passive and resistance exercises, bringing benefits and can assist in the process of functional recovery and reduction in hospitalization time [12] and that seen being used in ICU in early mobilization increasing and improving functional capacity, muscle strength and decreasing hospital stay [13].

Several studies have investigated the applicability of this device in patients in the postoperative (PO) period of cardiac surgery, under MV and with several respiratory complications, they have demonstrated relevant benefits in the recovery of peripheral and cardiorespiratory muscle strength [14]. The main feature of this device is the ability to provide peripheral muscle strength gain, improve circulatory status, assist in mobility and improve the optimization of the cardiorespiratory system and can be used in the initial phase of functional and physical rehabilitation, aiming at restoringsmuscle strength, increased range of motion, clinical stability and improved cardiorespiratory function and conditioning [15]. The task force of the European Respiratory Society and the Europe Society of Intensive Care Medicine Task Force on Physiotherapy for Critically Ill Patients, stated about the effectiveness of this device at an early stage, as long as the cardiorespiratory evaluation for its use is judicious [6]. The subjective effort perception scale (PSE), also known as the Borg scale and the OMNI-Resistance Exercise Scale (OMNI-RES), were thoroughly authenticated to assess the exercise intensity, monitoring the effort exerted and clinical variables such as heart rate (HR), maximum tidal volume (CV), maximum oxygen consumption (VO2max), lactic acid concentration and ventilatory limits, the most used for exercise prescription on the cycle ergometer [16-18]. There is also the OMNI-Cycling Scale for Perception of Effort (OMNI-PE) which had its validity studied both in children and in adults, in carrying out different types of activities, such as: exercise on a cycle ergometer, exercise against resistance, walking and climbing stairs [19-21]. In view of the above, this study sought to evaluate the effects of the cycle ergometer in patients bedded in the ICU, on MV or with some respiratory complication, as well as in the significant improvement of the respiratory mechanics, the cardiovascular system, the gain of peripheral muscle strength, decreased time of admission and MV of these patients.

**Methodology**

Systematic literature review, carried out with original analytical and descriptive articles published between 2009 and 2019 in the Medline, PubMed, BVS, SciELO and PEDro databases using the descriptors intensive care unit, respiratory exercise, early ambulation, physical therapy, physical exercise, ergometry and its correlates in English and Spanish. The following inclusion criteria were used: a) randomized clinical studies; b) studies with participants who used the cycle ergometer in the ICU; c) studies that analyzed hemodynamic changes during application of the technique and as exclusion criteria: a) studies that did not meet the main objectives of the research; b) studies involving the use of the cycle ergometer outside the ICU; c) case series; d) pilot study that did not evaluate clinical intervention of the cycle ergometer in patients in the ICU; e) review articles, abstracts of dissertations and academic theses.

**Result**

**Table 1**: List of scores of selected articles, according to the PEDro scale.

| Study          | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 | Score |
|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-------|
| Burttin, et al.| +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +   | 5/10  |
| Dantas, et al.| +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +   | 5/10  |
| Almeida, et al.| +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +   | 5/10  |
| Coutinho, et al.| +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +   | 5/10  |
| França, et al.| -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +  | +   | 6/10  |
| Machado, et al.| +  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +   | 6/10  |
| Medral, et al.| -  | -  | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +   | 4/10  |
| Woo, et al.   | -  | -  | -  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  | +  | +   | 4/10  |
249,557 studies were found, of which 153,306 were in the PubMed database, 272 articles in Medline, 467 in the SciELO database, 1,201 studies found in PEDro and 94,311 articles in the BVS database. In order to approximate the findings to what the research proposed, the following filters were used: randomized clinical studies, full text, year of publication (2009 to 2019). From the defined filters, 106 articles remained. Of these, abstracts were read, and 80 articles were excluded because they did not meet the eligibility criteria. The remaining 26 were obtained in full text, of which 18 were excluded because they were duplicates of another database. Thus totaling 8 articles, 4 in English and 4 in Portuguese, 3 found in the PubMed database, 4 in SciELO and 1 in the BVS, as shown in (Figure 1). The articles selected for this review were evaluated on the PEDro scale according to the quality indicators of the evidence presented and are shown in (Table 1). Participants: There were a total of 236 participants in the 8 studies, with an average age ranging between 31 and 82 years old, of both sexes, 144 men and 92 women. Interned in the hospital environment, with different clinical diagnoses, on mechanical ventilation, with stable hemodynamics and under the care of hospital physiotherapy.

**Intervention**

The early mobilization program in the studied patients included passive and active mobilization, changes from supine to sedation, functional electrical stimulation and use of the cycle ergometer, in addition to highlighting the importance of multiprofessional intervention for the insertion of intervention protocols. It was noted that most of the patients evaluated, reported high satisfaction after performing the cycle ergometer and described improvement in functional independence after the applicability of the device. It was also observed, a reduction in the hospitalization time after frequent early mobilizations, an increase in the strength of the peripheral muscles, an increase in the functional capacity, as described in (Table 2).

**Table 2: Summary of studies and description of the main results.**

| References | Objectives | Study Type and Sample | Intervention | Analyzed Variables | Results |
|------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|
| Burtin, et al. | To investigate whether a daily exercise session with a cycle ergometer is a safe and effective intervention in patients in the ICU. | Randomized controlled study CG = 36, mean age 57 ± 17 years IG = 31, mean age 56 ± 16 years. | CG and IG received respiratory physiotherapy and 01 session / day of passive or active movement of lower limbs and upper limbs. IG performed 01 session of 20 minutes / day, using a cycle ergometer. | 6MWT at hospital discharge, functional capacity, strength in quadriceps isometry, assessment at weaning time, length of stay in the ICU and hospital. | Increased quadriceps strength, improved functional capacity and self-assessed functional status of the IG. |
| Dantas, et al. | To evaluate the effects of an early mobilization protocol on the peripheral and respiratory muscles of critically ill patients. | Clinical, controlled, and randomized trial. CG = 14 patients, mean age 50.43 ± 20.45 years and IG = 14 patients, mean age 59.07 ± 15.22. | CG: Passive mobilization in MMII and MMSS 5X / WEEK IG: Early mobilization of motor exercises and cycle ergometer in lower limbs, 2X / day, every day. | MIP, MEP, Peripheral muscle strength and decrease in hospital stay. | Increase in MIP values and strength of peripherals and evolution of functional capacity. |
| Authors          | Study Design                                                                 | Interventions                                                                 | Outcomes                                                                                       |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Almeida, et al.  | Experimental intervention study. GA = 10 patients, mean age 65.30 ± 5.59 years | GA: 5 series of 3 minute cycle ergometer in lower limbs; GB: 2 series of 10 repetitions of passive mobilization in lower limbs and upper limbs and GC: NIV with orofacial mask. | Significant increase in PEF values, significant decrease in SBP in group A, increase in HR and RR in group B, significant reduction in DBP in group C. |
| Coutinho, et al. | Randomized clinical trial. CG = 11 patients, mean age 55.2 ± 29.1 years and GI = 14 patients, mean age 61.8 ± 22.6 years. | CG: 30 min of physiotherapy (FPN and THB) and IG: 30 min of physiotherapy (FPN and THB) plus 20 min of passive cycle ergometer. | Decrease in Ppeak values; There was no change in respiratory mechanics or hemodynamics and does not result in acute physiological responses. |
| França, et al.   | Randomized clinical trial. CG = 10 patients, mean age 56.0 (44.0 - 70.5) and IG = 9 patients, mean age 77.0 (32.5 - 81.0). | IG: 20 min of passive cycle ergometer in lower limbs and GG: without any therapeutic intervention. | Increase in peripheral FM in both groups, being greater in the IG; There were no significant differences regarding the duration of MV and length of hospital stay. |
| Machado, et al.  | Randomized clinical trial. CG = 10 patients, mean age 45.13 ± 18.91 years AND GI = 22 patients, mean age 44.64 ± 19.23 years. | CG: Conventional physiotherapy and IG: Conventional physiotherapy and passive exercises on a cycle ergometer 05 times / week. | Increase in CD, CV, PSBP, MAP, RF. Only the cycle ergometer with EPS increased the CO and produced sufficient intensity of muscle work to constitute an effective intervention in early rehabilitation. |
| Mendrinal, et al.| Randomized, crossover, blinded and controlled study. n = with 19 patients, mean age (65.3 ± 9.7 years). | 04 consecutive sessions; 10 minutes of exercise in bed: 10 min of PROM, 10 min of electrical stimulation of the quadriceps, 10 min of passive cycle ergometry and 10 min of FES cycling. | Increased thigh circumference in the rectus femoris with the use of a cycle ergometer. There was no difference between the lower limbs with the use of EPS; There was no significant change in FM. |
| Woo, et al.      | The right leg received 20 minutes of cycle ergometer and the left leg received 20 min of EEF + 20 min of cycle ergometer. | Thigh circumference, transverse area of the rectus femoris and MS before and after the intervention. | |
Discussion

The use of early physical exercise in critically ill patients for the prevention of atrophy and improvement of muscles with consequent improvement in the length of stay in the ICU and functional improvement has expanded rapidly in the past years. When evaluating the benefits of the cycle ergometer in the ICU, it could be highlighted that training with early exercises in highly ill patients, had a significant improvement, in addition to recovering the capacity for functional exercise, proprioception of the state of functionality and muscle strength after hospital discharge, contributes decreasing the length of hospital stay for patients, thus reducing higher costs for the hospital unit. It has the ability to cause cardiorespiratory repercussions, increased functional capacity, improved functional self-perception and quadriceps strength, with a high degree of acceptance and their preference to move their legs freely through the cycle ergometer.

A study carried out at the ICU of the University Hospital Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium. It aimed to assess whether a daily exercise session, using the cycle ergometer, is a safe and effective procedure in preventing or reducing damage caused by immobilization and length of stay, divided into CG = 36 patients and IG = 31 patients, all in critical condition and use of MV with prolonged stay above 7 days in the ICU. The groups were submitted to respiratory physiotherapy with 01 daily session of active and passive kinesiotherapy in lower limbs and upper limbs. The IG received, in addition to respiratory physiotherapy, 01 daily session of passive or active physical training, depending on individual conditions, of 20 minutes with the aid of a cycle ergometer in lower limbs. The CG performed only conventional physiotherapy, 05 times a week. The results at hospital discharge showed an increase in the distance covered in the 6-minute walk test, in the quadriceps isometric strength, recovery of functional exercise capacity and in the subjective feeling of functional well-being in the IG [22]. Corroborating the study above, other authors conducted a study aiming to evaluate the effects of an early mobilization protocol with the use of the cycle ergometer on the peripheral and respiratory muscles of critically ill patients admitted to the ICU and MV. The volunteers were divided into a conventional physical therapy group (CPTG = 14) receiving a daily service, 05 times a week, of passive mobilization in the lower and upper limbs, being optimized for active-assisted exercises according to the patient’s improvement and collaboration, and early mobilization group (EMG = 14) who received a systematized early mobilization protocol, 02 times a day, every day of the week which consisted in addition to passive mobilization of lower and upper limbs, the use of the cycle ergometer on lower limbs for 3, 5 and 10 minutes. They concluded that there was a gain in inspiratory and peripheral muscle strength in the group that performed early mobilization associated with the use of the cycle ergometer; however, they did not observe a significant increase in hospital discharge [23].

To assess the effects of performing passive exercises with a cycle ergometer, associated with conventional physiotherapy, on peripheral muscle strength, time on MV and length of hospital stay, 38 patients were selected, divided into CG = 16, who underwent conventional physiotherapy (respiratory and motor ), 02 times a day, for 30 min, 07 times a week and IG = 22 submitted to conventional physiotherapy and passive exercises on a lower limb cycle ergometer lasting 20 minutes, fixed cadence of 20 cycles/min, 05 times a week in supine. The Medical Research Council (MRC) scale was used on the lower and upper limbs to assess peripheral muscle strength before and after intervention. The results showed a significant increase in peripheral muscle strength (basal vs. final) in both groups, with the variation in strength increase being greater in the IG. There were no significant differences between groups in terms of time on mechanical ventilation and length of hospital stay [24]. In the postoperative period, several complications can arise, including reduced functional capacity, volume, the effectiveness of myocardial contraction and muscle mass, occurrence of atelectasis and joint contractures, dysfunction of the endothelial vascular system, increased insulin resistance, pressure ulcers and increased levels of anxiety and depression [25].

When it comes to the evaluation of hemodynamic variables using the cycle ergometer, some authors have evaluated the behavior of heart frequency (HF), respiratory frequency (RF), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2) and peak expiratory flow (PEF), with all variables being assessed before and after the intervention. 30 patients divided into GA = 10 who underwent mobilization with a cycle ergometer with an intensity of 30 bpm, in 05 series of 3 minutes, with a 1-minute interval between series; GB = 10 performing active and passive mobilization in lower and upper limbs and changing from supine to sedestation with 02 sets of 10 repetitions in each exercise, with an interval of 1 minute between sets and GC = 10 that did not perform any motor activity, and used non-invasive ventilation (NIV) with an orofacial mask connected to the mechanical ventilator for 30 minutes in 03 series of 10 minutes each, with an interval of 2 minutes between sets. During the application of the protocols, the patients kept the bed at 45°. The results showed a significant increase in PEF values in all groups, a significant reduction in SBP in group A, an increase in HR and RF in group B. In the intergroup analysis, a reduction in DBP was observed in group C, with statistical significance [26].

Still comparing the variables, several authors carried out a study in order to compare hemodynamic and respiratory variables such as peak pressure (Ppeak), tidal volume (VT), positive pressure at the end of expiration (PEEP), inspired oxygen fraction (FiO2 ), respiratory frequency (RF), heart frequency (HF) and mean arterial pressure (MAP), as well as arterial blood gas analysis, lactate levels and C-reactive protein (PCR). Randomized 25 critical patients under MV admitted to the ICU in GC = 11 who did a
30-minute physiotherapy session, consisting of diagonals of the Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation method (02 series of 10 repetitions each bilateral diagonal) of lower and upper limbs and bronchial hygiene techniques such as vibrocompression, manual hyperinflation and secretion aspiration, the IG = 14 patients used the passive cycle ergometer (20 cycles / min for 20 minutes) before a physiotherapy session equal to that performed by the GC. The patient’s position for applying the cycle ergometer was supine with the head elevated at 30º. The results showed that there were no cardiorespiratory or physiological variables changes in mechanically ventilated patients, reduction in the ICU and hospital stay when compared to the early mobilization protocol without its use. There was a significant decrease in peak pressure values, comparing pre and post-intervention, in the CG [27].

The cycle ergometer can prevent hypotrophy and improve peripheral muscle strength, causing a reduction in the ICU stay and functional improvement. This muscle weakness in critically ill patients is associated with an inflammatory dysregulation that seems to contribute to myopathy. The mechanism of muscle atrophy not due to immobility is not fully understood, however, two molecular interactions are involved: oxidative stress and selected pro-inflammatory cytokines. This synergy between oxidative stress, inflammatory cytokines and inactivity is believed to cause or accelerate muscle atrophy [28]. However, its effects on oxidative stress and immune system parameters remain unknown [29, 30]. Therefore, the study aimed at analyzing oxidative stress and the parameters of the immune system after the use of passive cycle ergometry in the lower limbs in critically ill patients. 19 patients of both sexes who were on MV and admitted to the ICU participated in this study. They were divided into CG = 10 who did not perform any type of therapeutic intervention and IG = 09 who underwent passive cycle ergometry on lower limbs, with a speed of 30 cycles / minutes, for 20 minutes on a cycle ergometer. The results showed that the passive cycle ergometry in the lower limbs was sufficient to reduce the levels of nitric oxide in the cells compared to the CG, that is, it was beneficial in reducing oxidative stress; in relation to inflammatory cytokines, the use of the passive cycle ergometer did not cause changes in the immune system [31].

Several technologies are suggested for the rehabilitation of critical patients admitted to the ICU [30]. Among these technologies, there is a growing interest both in the cycle ergometer and in functional electrical stimulation (FES), because both techniques do not require voluntary movements of patients [32, 33]. It is known that FES and early physical rehabilitation prevent weakness acquired in the ICU and preserve muscle mass, but there are no studies that investigate its effects on strength and muscle mass. Thus, in a study aimed at comparing the physiological effects of four common types of exercise on the bed of intubated and sedated patients, confined to the bed in the ICU and thus determining which intervention is more intense. They selected 19 patients who performed 04 consecutive sessions of 10 minutes of exercise in bed: 10 min of passive range of motion, 10 min of electrical stimulation of the quadriceps, a rectangular bidirectional current, intermittent and without ramp, with modulated intensity to obtain a palpable muscle contraction, 10 min of passive cycle ergometry with 20 cycles / minute and 10 min of FES cycling with 20 cycles / minute and with electrical stimulation synchronized with the knee extension. A rest period of 30 minutes was allowed between each intervention, with the order of interventions being randomized. The results showed that cycling with FES was the only exercise that increased cardiac output, with an average increase of 1 L / min (15%). There was a concomitant increase in muscle oxygen uptake, suggesting that muscle work occurred. No muscular or systemic effects were induced by passive techniques [34].

Still evaluating whether functional electrical stimulation and bed cycling have a positive effect on muscle mass in critically ill patients admitted to the ICU, using MV for at least 24 hours, at Severance Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea. They selected 10 patients where the muscular strength of both legs was measured using the Medical Research Council (MRC) scale before and after the intervention. After the passive range of motion exercise, bed cycling was applied for 20 minutes at the standard speed of 20 cycles / minutes, with 1 passive minute and 19 minutes active or active assisted, according to the level of participation. There was a 10-minute rest period and immediately after rest, patients were submitted to the application of functional electrical stimulation for 20 minutes on the left thigh, with the use of 04 electrodes placed on the lateral edge of the quadriceps. During a total treatment session of 20 minutes, electrical stimulation was performed at 35 Hz, a duty cycle of 10 seconds and 12 seconds off, and a pulse time of 250 seconds. The results showed that there was a significant increase in the circumference of the right femoral rectum. Thigh circumference was also increased and statistically significant. There was no difference between left and right in relation to the application of functional electrical stimulation. There was no significant change in muscle strength before and after the intervention [35].

**Conclusion**

Studies have shown that the use of the cycle ergometer, especially early in critical patients admitted to the ICU, improves hemodynamics, enhances cardiorespiratory, peripheral, circulatory mechanics and functional capacity, accelerates hospital discharge, assists in ventilatory weaning, optimizes breathing, promotes mobility in the bed in upper limbs and lower limbs aiming at a faster return of patients to activities of daily living, in addition to not having a negative impact on the hemodynamic system, bringing safety for professionals to apply the technique safely following the evaluation criteria. However, it is necessary to emphasize that this type of therapy must be performed in a complementary way to conventional motor physiotherapy in critical patients admitted to the ICU.

**Limitations**

This study had limitations regarding the number of articles relevant to the discussion. In the selection of articles and data...
extraction, there was a scarcity of research that portrayed cardiorespiratory analyzes during or after the use of the cycle ergometer in the ICU, requiring further studies that focus on this variable.

The study’s eligibility criteria also contributed to limiting the number of articles found in view of the fact that the research is not random and has a range of filters for choosing the studies to be stratified. Other limitations found were the scarcity of randomized studies found in this area, which is an essential factor in the composition of this study.
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