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Abstract

Bone loss is a major health problem that many aging individuals will face and thus research focusing on enhancing bone formation is of great importance. Cell biology or in vitro studies are particularly useful in exploring the exact effects a vitamin, supplement or drug has on particular processes within a certain cell type. Although there have been many cell biology articles focusing on the effects of vitamin D, K1, or K2, addition on bone formation in vitro, there has yet to be a consensus amongst the literature. The purpose of this article is to determine the effects of vitamin D, K1, and K2, supplementation on osteoblast maturation parameters through meta-analysis of past cell biology literature. A Hedges d effect size was calculated for each experiment extracted from past literature and the experiments were grouped by experiment and cell type. Homogeneity was assessed by the Cochran’s Q test, while the effect sizes’ departure from zero was assessed by a 95% confidence interval and a non-directional test. Supplementation with vitamin D, K1, and K2, along with the combination of vitamin K1, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, increased bone mineralization, while not consistently affecting all of the other parameters associated with bone formation. Vitamin K2 and D addition had variable effects on bone formation using different cell types, which calls into question the suitability of particular cell lines as models for clinical trials. Therefore, the conditions and parameters in which bone formation is studied in vitro must be considered carefully before running a vitamin supplementation or drug-testing experiment.
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Introduction

Bone is a strong, lightweight, highly dynamic connective tissue that owes its strength to the calcified extracellular matrix produced by osteoblasts. Osteoblasts make and secrete large quantities of collagen that organize to form a fibrillar network, together with other extracellular proteins (i.e. osteocalcin [1]). Osteoblasts also play a role in the deposition of minerals, in the form of hydroxyapatite crystals composed of calcium hydroxypatite. The maturation of osteoblasts depends on two processes: proliferation and differentiation. Proliferation is an important component of the maturation of osteoblasts, since osteoblast differentiation and mineralization only occurs after the cells reach confluence [2,3]. Cell-cell contacts induce osteoblast differentiation in high confluence, ascorbic acid (AA)-treated cultures [4]. Different stages of osteoblast differentiation can be characterized by the expression of certain genes [5]. Early differentiation is defined by the expression of high levels of the enzyme alkaline phosphatase (ALP), while late differentiation is characterized by the expression of osteopontin and osteocalcin [5].

Given the prevalence of osteoporosis, studies looking at ways to enhance bone formation and/or diminish bone resorption are of high importance. Previously, vitamins D, K1, and K2, have been investigated as possible bone formation-enhancing supplements [6]. Vitamin D is found in two major forms: D3, which is obtained from the ingestion of plants and fungi, and D2, which is both synthesized in the skin and obtained through the consumption of other animals [7]. Both vitamin D2 and D3 are first hydroxylated in the liver to form 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25D), which is the major circulating form of vitamin D [8]. The second hydroxylation event occurs in the kidneys resulting in the formation of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25D), which is the active form of vitamin D [8]. Although only 1,25D is active, 1,25D, 25D and vitamin D (the prohormone) can diffuse freely through the plasma membrane of cells [9]. Vitamin D enters the nucleus of osteoblasts and binds to the vitamin D receptor [6]. This complex subsequently binds to deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequences, which modulate the transcription of certain genes to stimulate the production of proteins that are critical for bone mineralization [6]. There are two natural forms of vitamin K: vitamin K1 and vitamin K2. Vitamin K1 is synthesized by plants, while vitamin K2 is produced by bacteria [10]. Vitamin K1 is also synthesized in certain vertebrate tissue through the conversion of vitamin K1 or phylloquinone into menaquinone-4, a form of vitamin K2, using the enzyme UbiA prenyltransferase containing 1 (UBIADI1 [11]). Vitamin K functions as a cofactor for the enzyme gamma-carboxylase, which carboxylates glutamic acid and results in its
conversion to gamma-carboxyglutamatic acid [10]. Osteocalcin, which is a protein essential for the formation of hydroxyapatite crystals, requires the gamma-carboxylation of three glutamic acid residues in order to bind calcium [10].

Experiments that are performed in vitro allow one to test the effects, safety and efficacy of particular supplements or drugs on cells in a controlled environment and thus cell culture studies are commonly run as a precursor to clinical trials. Although cell culture can ignore the interactions between different tissues within the body, which may influence the phenomenon of interest, in vitro experiments are still an invaluable tool to dissect in detail an event of interest. Despite the many clinical studies looking at the effects of vitamin supplementation on bone, in vitro vitamin supplementation studies allow one to easily explore the exact bone formation parameters that are influenced by each of the vitamins.

Although many studies have looked at the effects of vitamin K1, D and/or K2 supplementation on in vitro osteoblast maturation parameters, including mineralization and ALP activity, the results of past articles failed to consistently agree with one another. We decided to resolve this conflict by performing several meta-analyses on the published cell biology literature. Meta-analysis is an quantitative analysis procedure that is used to mathematically combine results from previous research articles to make conclusions regarding that field of study [12,13]. Meta-analyses are commonly used in medical research in order to make decisions regarding treatment when results of the previous literature are diverse and conflicting [12]. In addition, the field of ecology readily uses meta-analyses, to explore heterogeneity, identify patterns and allow researchers to make decisions using the pooled data, all of which would not be possible using individual studies [14]. Just like in the medical and ecological fields, meta-analyses could be used in the cell biology field to determine the overall effect of a treatment on certain cells, when the previous literature is diverse and conflicting. In addition, the use of meta-analysis in basic research could help improve reproducibility of experiments, as well as it could identify and correct for potentially confounding variables, which could be the cause of the variability within the literature [15]. Thus, we performed several meta-analyses to conclusively determine the effect of vitamin D, K1, K2 and the combination of K2+D on several bone formation parameters in different types of osteoblasts (i.e. cell lines vs. primary cells).

**Methods**

**Literature survey and data extraction**

An extensive literature search was employed to find cell biology publications that examined the effect of vitamin D, K1 and/or K2 supplementation on osteoblast maturation parameters. We searched both PubMed and Summon databases using different combinations of the keywords: osteoblast, bone, vitamin K, vitamin D, mineralization, differentiation, vitamin K1, vitamin K2, menaquinone, phylloquinone, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25D) and 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25D). The process used to choose papers for the meta-analyses was outlined in Figure 1. Briefly, a total of 22 cell biology articles were included in the meta-analyses and contained a total of 275 experiments [16-37]. These experiments were sorted into separate meta-analyses depending on the type of vitamin(s) supplemented. The vitamin K2 experiments that were included within the meta-analysis either supplemented cells with menaquinone-4 or menaquinone-7, where both are forms of vitamin K2. In addition, the vitamin D meta-analysis included experiments that utilized the 25D or 1,25D form of vitamin D3 or vitamin D2. For the studies where quantitative data was not available, the program Plot Digitizer (version 2.6.6, http://plotdigitizer.sourceforge.net/) was used to extract the information from the figures. When only mineralization images were included in a paper without quantification, the program ImageJ (version 1.46r, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) was used to estimate the amount of mineralization based on intensity of the stain. All of the experiments included some measure of variation (i.e. standard deviation) and the number of replicates used to produce the mean measurement. The studies that qualified for our meta-analyses are listed.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical approach and the equations used are adapted from

---

**Figure 1:** Flow diagram showing the criteria for cell biology article selection for the meta-analyses.

---
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Gurevitch, Curtis and Jones [38]. Meta-analysis allows one to determine the overall effect size of a phenomenon by compiling the results of the related independent studies. In this case the phenomenon that was characterized was the effect of vitamin D, K₁ or K₂ on osteoblast maturation. For each experiment, a Hedges’ d value was calculated to determine the effect size in terms of an unbiased standardized mean difference between a vitamin treated and untreated group. In most cases, with the exception of measures of cytotoxicity and apoptosis (where a decrease in value indicates greater osteoblast survival), the mean control values were subtracted from the mean treatment values. A positive effect size thus indicated that vitamin addition increased a parameter of osteoblast maturation (i.e. amount of mineralization). Individual experiment effects were then combined into a grand mean effect. Subanalysis was used to further break the data into smaller groups to assess the effect of the vitamins on a specific osteoblast maturation parameter or cell type.

Homogeneity of the experiments analyzed in the meta-analysis increases the confidence that the overall grand mean represents any study looking at the same phenomenon. In order to assess the homogeneity of the treatment responses, Cochran’s Q test was utilized, where p<0.050 was considered significant. If the effects were considered homogeneous (Cochran’s Q was not significant), a fixed-effects model was used to calculate the grand mean effect. However, if the effects were considered heterogeneous, a mixed-effects model was utilized to calculate the grand mean effect. A mixed-effects model differs from that of a fixed-effects model in that it incorporates an estimate of between experiment variance. If the mixed-effects model was used, a final Cochran’s Q test was employed to determine the homogeneity of this model.

For each grand mean effect and Hedges’d a 95% confidence interval (CI) was constructed and observed as to whether it intersected zero, as this would indicate that the effect size is not significantly different than zero. As another assessment of the effects’ difference from zero, a non-directional test, similar to a X² test was employed as an independent estimate of the p-values (where p<0.050 indicated significance). In this test, either the variances or adjusted variances were used depending on if a fixed or mixed model was utilized to calculate the grand mean.

Results

Meta-analyses overview

We were interested in how vitamin supplementation influences bone health and began our study with a survey of the cell biology literature that tested the effects of vitamins on bone formation by osteoblasts. After an exhaustive search, peer-reviewed articles were chosen that tested the effects of vitamins K₁, K₂ D and combinations of vitamins on osteoblast bone formation. Importantly, these reports contained all the information (i.e. number of replicates) that is required for article inclusion in a meta-analysis. The final journal articles chosen are listed in Supplemental Table 1. A meta-analysis was then performed using the methodology adapted from Gurevitch, Curtis and Jones [38] for each of the vitamins and for the experiments from a single study that used a combination of vitamins, where their effect on bone formation was investigated.

Two separate subanalyses on the same experiments were performed within the meta-analyses based on: 1) type of experiment performed and 2) cell type examined. The experiments in the vitamin K₁, vitamin D, vitamin K₁ and K₂+ 1,25D (where 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D or 1,25D is a form of vitamin D) meta-analyses underwent ‘experiment type’ subanalysis by grouping them into subgroups relating to the type of experiment employed to quantitate bone formation. Unfortunately, only the experiments in the vitamin K₁ and D meta-analyses were categorized by ‘cell type’ because there were an insufficient number of experiments within each of the cell type groups for the vitamin K₁ and K₂+ 1,25D meta-analyses. The results of the homogeneity test for each meta-analysis were summarized into two tables (more detail to come in the following section), depending on if they were grouped by experiment or cell type. In addition, the effect of each vitamin or combination of vitamins on bone formation (measured as effect size) in different cell types was displayed graphically in several figures. Each figure contained the experiment or cell type classified meta-analysis of one vitamin or combination of vitamins (described later).

Homogeneity within the meta-analyses that were grouped by the type of experiment

In order to choose the model to calculate the grand mean effect sizes (the average of effect sizes in that group) for each subgroup as well as the overall grand mean, the homogeneity of the experiments used in each meta-analysis was tested (Table 1). Homogeneity of the experimental results included in the meta-analysis increases the confidence that the overall grand mean will represent any study looking at the same phenomenon. If the experiments were found to be homogeneous using the fixed-effects model (i.e. the test was not significant), then the fixed-effects model was used to calculate the overall grand mean effect size. However, if the homogeneity test using

| Vitamin | k ¹ | Q ² | Q f ² |
|---------|-----|-----|------|
| K₁      |     |     |      |
| Mineralization | 27  | 123*| 44.7*|
| ALP Activity  | 15  | 65.3*| 20.4 NS |
| Other Experiments | 7  | 16.3*| 6.43 NS |
| K₂      |     |     |      |
| Mineralization | 29  | 139*| 42.3*|
| ALP Activity  | 33  | 151*| 41.6 NS |
| Proliferation | 10  | 32.5*| 9.42 NS |
| DNA Levels  | 11  | 17.3 NS | ... |
| Osteocalcin Levels | 6  | 28.9*| 6.14 NS |
| Other Experiments | 9  | 49.5*| 13.1 NS |
| D       |     |     |      |
| Mineralization | 128 | 652*| 311*|
| ALP Activity  | 29  | 183*| 80.0*|
| Other Experiments | 28  | 60.2*| 32.1 NS |
| Osteocalcin Levels | 18  | 120*| 52.1*|
| Collagen Levels | 31  | 132*| 61.4*|
| Osteopontin Levels | 10  | 33.9*| 21.0*|
| Other Experiments | 12  | 13.2 NS | ... |

1Cochran’s Q tests were used to determine the homogeneity of the treatment responses from k # of experiments.
2The homogeneity of the mixed-effects model was only determined if the fixed-effects model was considered heterogeneous (p<0.050).
3p<0.050 indicates responses are heterogeneous and NS (no significance) indicates responses are homogeneous.

Table 1: The homogeneity test results for the fixed-effects (Q₁) and mixed-effects (Q₂) models of each vitamin meta-analysis, where the meta-analyses are grouped by type of experiment.
the fixed-effects model was significant (indicating heterogeneity), the mixed-effects model was used to calculate the grand mean.

The experiments used in the vitamin K$_1$, K$_2$, D and K$_{1,25D}$ meta-analyses, before subanalysis (in bold in Table 1), were heterogeneous using the fixed model and thus the mixed-effects model was used to calculate the overall grand mean effect sizes. Only the experiments used for the combination of K$_{1,25D}$ meta-analyses were homogeneous when using the mixed-effects model, which suggested that the experiments within the other meta-analyses should be sorted into smaller, more homogeneous groups.

To observe the effect of the vitamin supplementation (alone or in combination) on the experiment types utilized to assay bone formation, the experiments within the meta-analyses were first categorized by type of experiment (Table 1). For the vitamin D meta-analysis most of the experiment type groups were heterogeneous and thus the mixed-effects model was used to calculate the grand mean for each group. However, for the majority of the groups within the vitamin D meta-analysis, the mixed model was still not homogeneous. Unlike the vitamin D meta-analysis, the vitamin K$_1$ experiment groups were all heterogeneous using the fixed-effects model and the grand means were determined using the mixed model (Table 1). In this case however, the majority of experiment groups were considered homogeneous using the mixed model. Most of the grand means calculated for each experiment type group for the vitamin K$_1$ meta-analysis used the mixed-effects model and the majority of the experiments within the groups were considered homogeneous using this model. In contrast to the other meta-analyses, where a control untreated group was compared to a vitamin treatment group, the K$_{1,25D}$ data was used to run two meta-analyses. In this first meta-analysis the combination group was considered the treated group and the group where only vitamin K$_2$ was added was the control group, while in the second meta-analysis the group supplemented with only 1,25D was considered the control group. It should be noted that all the experiments used for the combination meta-analyses originated from the same paper [17]. In the K$_{1,25D}$ compared to K$_2$ alone meta-analysis, the data was heterogeneous within both of the experiment groups, which indicated that a mixed-effects model was required to calculate the grand mean effect sizes (Table 1). The use of the mixed model also resulted in homogeneity within the groups. The experiment type group called Mineralization within the K$_{1,25D}$ compared to 1,25D alone meta-analysis was homogeneous, while the Other Experiments group was heterogeneous using a fixed-effects model and thus the fixed and mixed models were used to calculate the grand means, respectively. Homogeneity was obtained in the group called Other Experiments through the use of the mixed effects model.

Vitamin K$_1$, K$_2$, D and K$_{1,25D}$ meta-analyses had significantly positive overall grand mean effect sizes and most of the experiment type grand mean effect sizes were significantly greater than zero.

Within the graphical representations of the meta-analyses that were grouped by type of experiment (Figures 2-5), each unlabelled bar represents a single experiment extracted from one of the papers listed within Supplemental Table 1. For each of the experiments, effect sizes were calculated and the measurement indicated if the vitamin(s) changed a parameter of osteoblast maturation, including mineralization and osteocalcin levels, compared to an untreated group. The overall effect of the vitamin(s) on osteoblast maturation parameters was determined by calculating the overall grand mean effect size (bar labelled $E_m$ in Figures 2-5). Additionally, the experiments were sorted into experiment type subgroups and shade-coded according to these groups. The grand mean of each subgroup was used to determine the effect of the vitamin(s) on particular osteoblast maturation characteristics (bars labelled $E_i$ and a short form for each experiment name in Figures 2-5).

Within the vitamin K$_1$ meta-analysis, the experiments were grouped into the following subgroups: Mineralization (included calcium and phosphorus levels), ALP Activity and Other Experiments.
In the graph displaying the vitamin K\textsubscript{1} meta-analysis that was grouped by experiment type, each unlabelled bar represents the effect size of an experiment, as measured using the Hedges’ \(d\) method. The bars were shaded according to experiment type and the error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. The grand means for each experiment type is signified by \(E\) and a short form for each experiment name and the overall grand mean is signified by \(\bar{E}_w\). The experiments used in the vitamin K\textsubscript{1} meta-analysis were extracted from 8 articles.

**Figure 3:** The vitamin K\textsubscript{1} meta-analysis revealed a significantly positive overall grand mean effect size and the majority of the experiment type grand means were significantly greater than zero.

In the graph displaying the vitamin K\textsubscript{2} meta-analysis that was grouped by experiment type, each unlabelled bar represents the effect size of an experiment, as measured using the Hedges’ \(d\) method. The bars were shaded according to experiment type and the error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. The grand means for each experiment type is signified by \(E\) and a short form for each experiment name and the overall grand mean is signified by \(\bar{E}_w\). The experiments used in the vitamin K\textsubscript{2} meta-analysis were extracted from 8 articles.

**Figure 4:** The vitamin D meta-analysis had an overall grand mean effect size that was significantly greater than zero and most of the experiment type grand mean effect sizes were significantly positive.

(Figure 2), where Other Experiments contained experiment types that did not have enough experiments (\(k\)) to have their own group. The effect sizes of the majority of experiments analyzed within the vitamin K\textsubscript{1} meta-analysis were positive with confidence intervals (CIs) that did not intersect zero, which indicated that these effect sizes were significantly greater than zero. This trend was mirrored when looking at the overall grand mean, which suggested that the addition of vitamin K\textsubscript{1} significantly and positively increased osteoblast maturation parameters. A non-directional test also indicated significance for the overall grand mean’s departure from zero (\(X^2=62.9, df=27, p=0.0001\)), which agreed with the CI test (Figure 2). The grand mean effects of the Mineralization and ALP Activity experiment groups were also positive.
and their CIs did not intersect zero. However, the non-directional tests indicated significance for the Mineralization group \((X^2=37.0, df=15, p=0.0013)\) and non-significance for the ALP Activity group \((X^2=13.6, df=7, p=0.059)\). This and any other discrepancy between the CI test and non-directional test are addressed in the Discussion section. Unlike the Mineralization and ALP Activity groups, the Other Experiment group had a grand mean effect size that was not significantly different than zero \((X^2=5.45, df=5, p=0.36)\).

The experiments in the vitamin K\(_2\) meta-analysis were sorted into the following experiment type subgroups: Mineralization (included calcium and phosphorus levels), ALP Activity, Proliferation, DNA Levels, Osteocalcin Levels (included mRNA and protein levels) and Other Experiments (Figure 3). Most of the experiments in the vitamin K\(_2\) meta-analysis had significantly positive effect sizes, which translated to a positive and significant overall grand mean \((X^2=228, df=98, p<0.0001)\). A significantly positive grand mean effect was also observed for the following experiment type groups: Mineralization \((X^2=90.3, df=29, p<0.0001)\), ALP Activity \((X^2=62.0, df=33, p=0.0016)\), DNA Levels \((X^2=104, df=11, p<0.0001)\) and Other Experiments \((X^2=34.0, df=9, p<0.0001)\) (Figure 3). This indicated that the addition of vitamin K\(_2\) significantly increased mineralization, ALP activity, DNA levels and other osteoblast parameters as compared to an untreated group. In contrast, a negative grand mean with a CI that did not intersect zero was found for the Proliferation group, which was confirmed by the results of a non-directional test being significant \((X^2=28.9, df=10, p=0.0013)\). The Osteocalcin group in the vitamin K\(_2\) meta-analysis had a grand mean effect size that was not significantly different than zero \((X^2=7.09, df=6, p=0.31)\).

Within the vitamin D meta-analysis, the experiments were arranged into the following experiment type subgroups: Mineralization (included calcium levels, phosphorus levels, quantification of mineral staining and mineralized volume), ALP Activity, Osteocalcin Levels (included mRNA and protein levels), Collagen Levels (included mRNA and protein levels), Osteopontin Levels (only mRNA levels) and Other Experiments (Figure 4). The overall grand mean for the vitamin D meta-analysis had a significantly positive effect size \((X^2=394, df=128, p<0.0001)\), which corresponded to the majority of the effect sizes being positive and significant for the experiments. A significantly positive grand mean effect size was also observed for the Mineralization \((X^2=108, df=29, p<0.0001)\), ALP Activity \((X^2=55.5, df=28, p=0.0015)\), Osteocalcin Levels \((X^2=79.0, df=18, p<0.0001)\), Collagen Levels \((X^2=78.4, df=31, p<0.0001)\) and Osteopontin Levels \((X^2=42.3, df=10, p<0.0001)\) groups. Conversely, the group called Other Experiments had a negative grand mean with a confidence interval that did not include zero (Figure 4). However, the non-directional test indicated that the grand mean effect was not significantly different than zero \((X^2=20.5, df=12, p=0.057)\).

The experiments used in both of the K\(_2\)+1,25D meta-analyses were sorted into two subgroups: Mineralization (included calcium and phosphorus levels) and Other Experiments (Figure 5A and 5B). All of the experiments had a significantly positive effect size within the K\(_2\)+1,25D compared to K\(_2\) alone meta-analysis (Figure 5A), which corresponded to a significantly positive overall grand mean effect size \((X^2=65.3, df=11, p<0.0001)\). Likewise, both the grand means were positive and significant for the Mineralization \((X^2=31.5, df=6, p<0.0001)\) and Other Experiments groups \((X^2=29.1, df=5, p<0.0001)\). For the K\(_2\)+1,25D compared to 1,25D meta-analysis (Figure 5B), the majority of the experiments had effect sizes that were positive and significant. This trend agreed with the overall grand mean being positive with a confidence interval that does not include zero.

Within the vitamin D meta-analysis, the experiments were arranged into the following experiment type subgroups: Mineralization (included calcium levels, phosphorus levels, quantification of mineral staining and mineralized volume), ALP Activity, Osteocalcin Levels (included mRNA and protein levels), Collagen Levels (included mRNA and protein levels), Osteopontin Levels (only mRNA levels) and Other Experiments (Figure 4). The overall grand mean for the vitamin D meta-analysis had a significantly positive effect size \((X^2=394, df=128, p<0.0001)\), which corresponded to the majority of the effect sizes being positive and significant for the experiments. A significantly positive grand mean effect size was also observed for the Mineralization \((X^2=108, df=29, p<0.0001)\), ALP Activity \((X^2=55.5, df=28, p=0.0015)\), Osteocalcin Levels \((X^2=79.0, df=18, p<0.0001)\), Collagen Levels \((X^2=78.4, df=31, p<0.0001)\) and Osteopontin Levels \((X^2=42.3, df=10, p<0.0001)\) groups. Conversely, the group called Other Experiments had a negative grand mean with a confidence interval that did not include zero (Figure 4). However, the non-directional test indicated that the grand mean effect was not significantly different than zero \((X^2=20.5, df=12, p=0.057)\).

The experiments used in both of the K\(_2\)+1,25D meta-analyses were sorted into two subgroups: Mineralization (included calcium and phosphorus levels) and Other Experiments (Figure 5A and 5B). All of the experiments had a significantly positive effect size within the K\(_2\)+1,25D compared to K\(_2\) alone meta-analysis (Figure 5A), which corresponded to a significantly positive overall grand mean effect size \((X^2=65.3, df=11, p<0.0001)\). Likewise, both the grand means were positive and significant for the Mineralization \((X^2=31.5, df=6, p<0.0001)\) and Other Experiments groups \((X^2=29.1, df=5, p<0.0001)\). For the K\(_2\)+1,25D compared to 1,25D meta-analysis (Figure 5B), the majority of the experiments had effect sizes that were positive and significant. This trend agreed with the overall grand mean being positive with a confidence interval that does not include zero. However, the non-directional test was not significant, meaning that the overall grand mean might not be significantly different than zero \((X^2=18.5, df=11, p=0.070)\). The Mineralization group of the K\(_2\)+1,25D compared to 1,25D meta-analysis had a significantly positive grand mean \((X^2=53.0, df=6, p<0.0001)\), while the grand mean effect size of the Other Experiment group was not significantly different than zero \((X^2=5.99, df=5, p=0.31)\). We summarized the results of the vitamin K\(_2\), K\(_2\)+D and K\(_2\)+1,25D meta-analyses, which were grouped by type of experiment, into. In conclusion, the addition of vitamin K\(_2\), K\(_2\) and D to osteoblast cell cultures resulted in a significant increase to several bone cell parameters and the addition of K\(_2\)+1,25D increased mineralization within osteoblast cultures.

The homogeneity within the meta-analyses grouped by cell type

In addition to grouping the osteoblast experiments by experiment
type, the data within the vitamin K₂ and D meta-analyses was also
categorized by the cell type utilized for the experiments (Table 2)
and the homogeneity within each of these subgroups also had to be
assessed. The overall grand mean for each of the vitamin meta-analyses
was calculated using either the fixed or mixed-effects models, as
discussed in a previous section (also in bold in Table 2). The cell type
groups within both meta-analyses were heterogeneous using the fixed
model and thus the grand means for each group was calculated using
the mixed model. In the vitamin K₂ meta-analysis, both murine groups
were homogenous, while the human groups were heterogeneous using
the mixed-effect model. Only the Murine Primary Cells group within
the vitamin D meta-analysis was homogeneous using the mixed-effects
model, while the rest of the groups were heterogeneous.

Cell type grouped vitamin K₂ and D meta-analyses had overall
grand mean effect sizes that were greater than zero and most of the cell
type grand mean effect sizes were significantly positive.

Within the graphical representations of the meta-analyses classified
by cell type (Figures 6 and 7), each unlabelled bar represents a single
experiment from one paper and its effect size indicated if the vitamin
changed a measurement of osteoblast maturation compared to an
untreated group. The overall grand mean effect size (bar labelled
E₀ in Figures 6 and 7) for each meta-analysis was the same information
that was displayed in the experiment type grouped graphs for vitamin
K₂ and D (Figures 3 and 4, respectively). The experiments were shade-
coded according to what cell type was assayed and the grand means for
each of the cell type subgroups was utilized to determine if the vitamin
affected osteoblast maturation parameters differently in various cell
types (bars labelled Eᵢ and a short form for each cell type name in
Figures 6 and 7).

The experiments in the vitamin K₂ meta-analysis were also sorted
into the following cell type subgroups: Murine Cell Line, Human Cell
Line, Murine Primary Cells and Human Primary Cells (Figure 6). As
previously stated, the overall grand mean effect size for the vitamin K₂
meta-analysis was positive and significant. The cell type grand means

| Vitamin K₂ | k¹ | Q₀ | Q₀² |
|-----------|----|----|-----|
| Murine Cell Line | 29 | 139* | 34.8 NS |
| Human Cell Line | 15 | 139* | 26.6* |
| Murine Primary Cells | 19 | 57.4* | 18.0 NS |
| Human Primary Cells | 35 | 213* | 52.5* |

| Vitamin D | k¹ | Q₀ | Q₀² |
|-----------|----|----|-----|
| Murine Cell Line | 36 | 131* | 62.7* |
| Human Cell Line | 34 | 125* | 65.0* |
| Murine Primary Cells | 14 | 44.8* | 20.7 NS |
| Human Primary Cells | 44 | 241* | 93.5* |

¹Cochran’s Q tests were used to determine the homogeneity of the treatment
responses from k # of experiments.

*p<0.050 indicates responses are heterogeneous and NS (no significance)
indicates responses are homogeneous.

²The homogeneity of the mixed-effects model was only determined if the fixed-
effects model was considered heterogeneous (p<0.050).

Table 2: The fixed-effects (Q₀) and mixed-effects (Q₀²) models’ homogeneity test
results of each vitamin meta-analysis, where the meta-analyses are grouped by

cell type.
Discussion and Conclusion

Our work represents the first cell biology meta-analysis of the effects of vitamin supplementation on parameters related to bone formation. The experiment type grouped meta-analyses revealed that the addition of vitamin K₂, K₃, or D to osteoblasts resulted in increased mineralization within the culture. Enhanced mineralization was also observed for the combination of vitamin K₂ + 1,25D against both of the singular vitamin controls. ALP activity significantly increased with vitamin K₂ or vitamin D addition, but the effects of vitamin K₁ supplementation were inconclusive given that the confidence interval and non-directional tests did not agree. The levels of osteocalcin increased with the addition of vitamin D, but did not change when vitamin K₂ was supplemented. Surprisingly, vitamin K₂ addition increased the DNA levels within the culture, but significantly decreased the amount of proliferation within the culture. Supplementation with vitamin D also resulted in increased collagen and osteopontin production by osteoblasts. The addition of vitamin K₂ significantly increased the bone formation parameters measured in the group called Other Experiments, but vitamin K₁ supplementation had no effect and vitamin D addition had inconclusive effects on the osteoblast maturation characteristics in the Other Experiments group. Interestingly, the effect of the combination of vitamin K₂ + 1,25D supplementation compared to the effect of vitamin K₂ addition alone resulted in increased bone formation characteristics in the Other Experiments group, but not when compared to the effects of 1,25D addition alone. The lack of consistency amongst the meta-analyses concerning the Other Experiments group could be

In the graph displayed the vitamin D meta-analysis that was grouped by cell type, each unlabelled bar represents the effect size of an experiment, as measured using the Hedges' d method. The bars were shaded according to cell type and the error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. The grand means for each cell type is signified by Ǝ and a short form for each cell type name and the overall grand mean is signified by Ǝ. The experiments used in the vitamin D meta-analysis were extracted from 15 articles.

Figure 7: The vitamin D meta-analysis revealed a significantly positive overall grand mean effect size and the majority of the cell type grand means were significantly greater than zero.
because there were a variety of experiments delegated to this group for each meta-analysis. Altogether this indicated that the addition of vitamin K1, K2, and D as well as the combination of vitamin K1+D, 1,25D increases bone mineralization within osteoblast cultures, but might not consistently increase all of the other osteoblast maturation parameters that are thought to be indicative of bone formation.

The cell type categorized meta-analyses revealed that both vitamin K1 and vitamin D supplementation increased bone cell parameters in murine osteoblast cell lines and human primary osteoblasts. In addition, only supplementation of vitamin K1 resulted in an increase in maturation parameters in murine primary osteoblast cell cultures, while vitamin D addition had no effect. In human osteoblast cell lines, vitamin D addition increased bone formation measurements, but vitamin K1 supplementation had inconclusive effects. In summary, addition of vitamin K2 or D has variable effects on bone cell parameters depending on cell type tested.

The vitamin K2 meta-analysis revealed that the addition of vitamin K2 to osteoblasts decreased proliferation. Since the first stage of osteoblast maturation is the proliferative phase [39], this suggests that vitamin K2 addition impairs osteoblast maturation. However, decreased human primary osteoblast proliferation after a week of 1,25D supplementation has also been linked with increased late stage mineralization [32]. The addition of 1,25D may prolong the time spent in the differentiation and mineralization phases, which explains the increased mineralization in the supplemented cultures as compared to the untreated cultures. However, our vitamin K2 meta-analysis also revealed that vitamin K2 supplementation increased DNA levels, which is another indicator of cell proliferation. This contradictory data suggests that these experimental assays should be more closely analyzed to determine their predictive value in measuring osteoblast maturation.

Homogeneity of the experiments within a subgroup of the meta-analysis will increase the confidence that the grand mean effect size will represent any study looking at the same phenomenon under the same conditions (i.e. same cell type). Some of the subgroups that were analyzed within these meta-analyses were heterogeneous and thus one cannot be completely certain that the results seen will represent every vitamin supplementation cell biology paper. Ultimately it would be ideal to continue further subanalysis of all of the heterogeneous subgroups until each group is homogeneous. However, further subanalysis on all of the subgroups was not possible with the already small number of experiments in some of the groups.

Both the confidence interval (CI) test and the non-directional test were used to assess if the grand mean effect sizes were significantly different than zero. However, there were times within the meta-analyses when the results of the confidence interval test did not agree with that of the non-directional test. The non-directional test is more conservative than the CI test and is therefore more likely to result in nonsignificance when the sample size is small and the variance is large [40]. Simultaneously, the confidence interval test is more affected by outliers than the robust non-directional test [41] and could lead to nonsignificance when there are outliers present. Altogether, this could indicate why the results of both statistical tests did not agree with each other in every scenario. In the cases where the tests do not agree, the effect sizes could still be significantly different than zero. Therefore the definition of a significant result within meta-analyses in general might need to be re-evaluated [40].

A species specific effect of 1,25D on mineralization was observed in past literature, where 1,25D supplementation resulted in increased mineralization in human osteoblast cultures [17,32,35,42,43], but had primarily negative effects on mineralization in the mouse MC3T3 cell line [25,44]. In addition, the supplementation of 1,25D to mice resulted in increased levels of pyrophosphate and therefore decreased mineralization of bones within the mouse [45], as there needs to be low pyrophosphate levels for mineralization to occur in vitro and within the body [46]. Increased pyrophosphate levels also leads to adverse effects within cell culture, including autophagic cell death observed in inorganic pyrophosphatase-mutated yeast cells under fermentative conditions [47]. Altogether, this could help explain why vitamin D addition had differential effects on osteoblast maturation parameters in murine or human osteoblasts.

Clinical meta-analyses have previously revealed that supplementation with vitamin D and vitamin K resulted in increased bone mineral density at the femoral neck and lumbar spine, respectively [48], which agrees with the significant increase in mineralization we observed using supplementation with vitamin D and K. Similar to our meta-analyses, the results of both of these studies must be treated with caution due to heterogeneity. However, we observed variable results of the effects of these vitamins on parameters indicative of bone formation depending on the type of cells used, which calls into question the use of particular cell lines and animal models to test drugs/supplements as a precursor to clinical studies.

Although there have been widespread publications on the effect of vitamin D, K1, K2, and D supplementation in vitro, a definite conclusion concerning their effect in vitro on cell lines or primary cells has yet to be made. We found through performing meta-analyses of the previous literature that the addition of vitamin K1, K2, or D, as well as the addition of K1+1,25D, to osteoblasts increased bone mineralization, but did not consistently change all of the osteoblast maturation parameters that are associated with bone formation. When the experiments were grouped by cell type, it was revealed that vitamin K1, or D supplementation had variable effects on bone cell parameters within cultures of different cell types. Ultimately, this work indicates that the conditions in which bone formation is studied must be considered carefully to determine the effect of vitamin K1, vitamin K2, and vitamin D supplementation on osteoblasts in vitro. In addition, meta-analysis is an extremely useful tool that has yet to be fully utilized in the field of cell biology.
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