Humans possess the remarkable ability to perceive color, shape, and motion, and to differentiate between light intensities varied by over nine orders of magnitude. Phototransduction—the process in which absorbed photons are converted into electrical responses—is the first stage of visual processing, and occurs in the outer segment, the light-sensing organelle of the photoreceptor cell. Studies of genes linked to human inherited blindness have been crucial to understanding the biogenesis of the outer segment and membrane-trafficking of photoreceptors.

Looking at the big picture: the retina is a neural circuit composed of different cell types

The eye is an optical device that transmits and focuses light onto the neural retina (Fig. 1 A). The retina, a ~0.2-mm-thick central nervous tissue, is the first station of the visual system. In addition to acting as a light receiver, the retina carries out considerable image processing through circuits that involve five main classes of cells (i.e., photoreceptors, bipolar cells, amacrine cells, horizontal cells, and ganglion cells; Fig. 1 B). These processes collectively amplify, extract, and compress signals to preserve relevant information before it gets transmitted to the midbrain and the thalamus through the optical nerves (axons of the ganglion cells; for review see Baccus, 2007). The retinal information received by the midbrain is processed to control eye movement, pupil size, and circadian phototransduction (Huberman et al., 2008).

The vertebrate retina has an orderly laminated structure. The nuclei and processes of the retinal cells are segregated into alternate, anatomically distinct layers (see legends in Fig. 1 C). Photoreceptors lie in the outer part of the retina, the region farthest from incoming light. Light passes through transparent inner retinal layers before it can be captured by the photoreceptor. Though such an organization may seem counterintuitive, it allows the retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells that lie juxtaposed to the apical side of the photoreceptors to absorb scattered light or light unabsorbed by the photoreceptors.

Visual perception begins when the captured photon isomerizes the chromophore conjugated with the visual pigment in the photoreceptor cell. The photoexcited visual pigment then initiates a signal transduction cascade that amplifies the signal and leads to the closure of cation channels on the plasma membranes. As a result, the cells become hyperpolarized. The change in membrane potential is sensed by the synapses, which react by releasing fewer neurotransmitters (see more in Box 1; for review see Yau and Hardie, 2009). This information is relayed to the bipolar cells, and subsequently, the ganglion cells via a forward pathway. This information is also modified by their lateral interactions with the interneurons-amacrine cells and horizontal cells.

Humans and other higher primates (but not other mammals) have foveas that are ~700-µm-diameter foci near the central retina. The fovea has the highest visual acuity in comparison with other parts of the retina due to several structural and compositional modifications of this region. For example, the cell bodies of the proximal retinal neurons have been shifted to the side, enabling light paths to enter photoreceptors with minimal distortion (Fig. 1 A, boxed area). In addition, the fovea primarily consists of cone photoreceptors. The cone system has a higher resolution; each fovea cone is connected to only one bipolar and one ganglion cell. In other areas of the retina, each bipolar cell and ganglion cell serves multiple photoreceptors.

Cone and rods are the two types of photoreceptors. Cones mediate vision in bright light, including color vision. Cones are named after their conically shaped light-sensing outer segments (OS). The OS is a modified cilium, a light-sensing organelle in which phototransduction occurs. The cone OS is commonly considered as a structure containing an orderly stack of membranous lamellae that are continuous to the plasma membrane and form a highly convoluted surface membrane.
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signaling, the visual cycle, and photoreceptor fate determination (please refer to http://www.sph.uth.tmc.edu/retnet for a summary of the genes implicated in retinal degeneration). Interestingly, several of these genes encode molecules that play a significant role in morphogenesis and the vesicular trafficking of photoreceptors. These findings have drawn considerable attention to the fascinating cell biology questions brought about by these neuronal cells. Here, we present an updated overview of these findings with an emphasis on vertebrate rods.

The vertebrate rod: elegance and efficiency

Rods have evolved a unique structure to detect and process light with high sensitivity and efficiency; human rods can detect single photons (Hecht et al., 1942; Baylor et al., 1979). Each rod contains four morphologically distinguishable compartments: the OS, inner segment (IS), nucleus, and axon/synaptic terminal (Fig. 2A). The length of the rod OS ranges from ~30 to 60 µm in length (and ~1.4–10 µm in diameter), depending on the species. Basically, the rod OS is a cylindrically shaped membrane sac filled with ~1,000 flattened, lamellar-shaped membrane discs that are orderly arrayed perpendicular to the axis of the OS (Sung and Tai, 2000; Molday, 2004). These discs appear to be floating freely, although filamentous structures bridging adjacent discs and disc rims to the nearby plasma membrane do exist (Fig. 2C and D; Roof and Heuser, 1982; Molday, 2004). The visual pigment of the rod, rhodopsin, comprises ~95% of the total amount of disc protein; it is densely packed within the...
disc lamellae (i.e., ∼25,000 molecules/µm²). The high density of rhodopsin, together with its ordered alignment with respect to the light path, increases the probability of capturing an incident photon.

Viewed in its longitudinal section, the asymmetric appearance of the OS resembles a hair comb (Fig. 2 B). The “spine” of the comb is the ciliary stalk, which contains axonemal microtubules that extend distally to about half the length of the OS. The axonemal microtubules are anchored at the basal body located at the distal end of the IS, and traverse through the connecting cilium (CC). The CC is a narrow stalk (∼0.3–1.2 µm in length; ∼0.3 µm in diameter) that acts as the single physical bridge linking the IS and the OS. The rod axoneme contains nine outer microtubule doublets and lacks the central pair of microtubules seen in motile cilia (i.e., 9+0; Fig. 2 E, inset). There are fibrous structures cross-linking each microtubule doublet to the overlying plasma membrane, and rows of intramembrane particles in the proximal CC (i.e., ciliary necklace); these ciliary structures are speculated to serve as diffusion barriers (for review see Sung and Tai, 2000). The distal OS axoneme primarily contains microtubule singlets and no fibrous cross-linker. In terms of both morphology and molecular composition, the CC shares similar features with primary cilia. Primary cilia are hair-like cell protrusions present on most cells in vertebrate organisms, and are typically 3–6 µm in length. These cilia sense extracellular signals by facing a fluid-filled luminal cavity (Rosenbaum and Witman, 2002).

The IS is where metabolism, biosynthesis, and endocytosis take place. The photoreceptors have a very high energy demand and contain abundant mitochondria. Several specialized subdomains of the IS have recently received much attention. The apical portion of the IS forms a ridge-like structure that surrounds the circumference of the CC (Fig. 2 E). The apical surface of the IS ridge is closely apposed to the basal OS surface (Fig. 2 B and E). In addition, prominent microvilli extending from the apex of the IS ridge surround the proximal OS. Resembling the calyx of a flower, these protrusions are named calycal processes (Fig. 2, B and E). The lateral surface of the IS ridge (or periciliary plasma membrane) is closely apposed to the CC, forming a groove-like structure (Fig. 2, B and E). Supramolecular complexes, which are made up of scaffolding and/or adhesion molecules (e.g., usherin, VLGR1, whirlin, SANS), are enriched in the specialized (sub)plasmalemma of the lateral IS ridge and/or CC (Fig. 2, B; yellow; Maerker et al., 2008). It has been proposed that the long ectodomains of the adhesion molecules, usherin and VLGR1, tether and form fibrous structures between the apposing membranes of these subdomains. These fibrous links are analogous to the ankle links between adjacent stereocilia in developing hair cells. Consistent with this idea, mutations in each of these proteins lead to Usher syndrome, a human deaf-blind disease (Kremer et al., 2006; Williams, 2008).

**Seeing is believing: OS morphogenesis in developing rods**

Rod differentiation in rodents starts postnatally, and it takes 2–3 wk for the OS to fully mature (LaVail, 1973). OS morphogenesis among the rods is not completely synchronized throughout development until near the end. It begins with the extension of a primitive cilium from the basal body anchored on the plasma membrane (Fig. 3 A). This rudimentary cilium has the morphological appearance of a typical primary cilium. The apical end of a primitive cilium gradually becomes swollen and filled with a variety of membranous vesicles, tubules, and sacs. At postnatal days 8–10, disc-like membranous cisternae begin to fill the developing OS; however, these discs are often excessively long and highly disorganized (Fig. 3 B; De Robertis, 1956, 1960; Besharse et al., 1985). Many of them are aligned parallel to or at an oblique angle relative to the ciliary stalk. The next phase of OS differentiation involves a major remodeling that reorganizes the discs to align perpendicular to the ciliary stalk, making them stackable. The terminal phase of rod differentiation is the elongation of the OS; the lengths of OSs containing orderly disc stacks increase at an almost linear rate during this period until the OSs reach their mature size (LaVail, 1973).

Somewhat unexpectedly, rhodopsin has an indispensable role in disc formation in addition to its well-known role in signal transduction. Rods of rhodopsin knockout mice form primitive cilium without disc-containing OSs (Jansen et al., 1987; Connell et al., 1991; Lee et al., 2006). Mice lacking one allele of rhodopsin develop OSs that adopt a relatively normal appearance, but have lower levels of rhodopsin. Furthermore, transgenic mice that overexpress rhodopsin develop OSs with increased diameters (Wen et al., 2009). Peripherin-2 (also called peripherin/rds) is a photoreceptor-specific tetraspan membrane protein confined to the rim region of the rod discs, which has a characteristic hairpin loop appearance (Fig. 2, C and D; Molday et al., 1987, 2004). Like rhodopsin null mice, peripherin-2 null (or rds/rds) mice form a bare

**Box 1**

**Phototransduction.** Phototransduction occurs in the outer segments (OS) of photoreceptors. Light initiates the isomerization of the 11-cis retinal, a chromophore covalently conjugated to rhodopsin embedded within the disc membranes. Photovibrated rhodopsin activates the heterotrimeric G protein transducin by catalyzing the exchange of GDP for GTP. The dissociated α-subunit of transducin then activates cGMP-phosphodiesterase, which rapidly hydrolyzes cytoplasmic cGMP. The decrease in cGMP concentration causes closure of cGMP-gated cation channels on the plasma membrane (Fig. 2 D). As a result, the cells are hyperpolarized and release less glutamate transmitters to their connected bipolar cells.

In the recovery phase, photoactivated rhodopsin is desensitized by phosphorylation and arrestin binding. Retinal guanylyl cyclase and guanylyl cyclase activator help to replenish cGMP levels in a Ca²⁺-dependent manner. Na+/Ca²⁺ exchanger, which resides on the plasma membrane, regulates the Ca²⁺-homeostasis of the OS (Molday, 1998). All these components are highly integrated in close proximity on the disc membranes and/or adjacent plasma membranes for fast processing (Fig. 2 D).

**Visual cycle.** Photosensitization converts 11-cis retinal to all-trans-retinal, and finally to all-trans-retinol in the OS. All-trans-retinal leaves rods and “diffuses” to the RPE, where it undergoes a series of enzymatic reactions to be converted back to 11-cis-retinal. 11-cis-retinal returns back to the OS where it regenerates rhodopsin and completes the visual cycle (Lamb and Pugh, 2004). Considerable progress has been made in characterizing all the major components of this visual cycle; however, the cell biology of retinoid trafficking remains largely unknown.
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Although disc genesis mediated by rhodopsin and peripherin-2 is a cellular event specific to the rod, initial ciliogenesis of the rod is most likely characteristic of other cilia as well. It has been well established that intraflagellar transport (IFT) is essential for the assembly of cilia in all organisms tested (Rosenbaum and Witman, 2002). IFT is a bidirectional movement of multienzyme IFT particles along the microtubules of ciliary axonemes; IFT cargoes are either transported on the ciliary membrane or in a narrow subplasmalemmal space between the ciliary membrane and axonemal microtubule doublets. Anterograde and retrograde IFT transport are powered by kinesin-II and dynein 2 (DHC1b/2), respectively. The zebrafish ovl mutant, which has IFT88 (a key IFT component) disrupted, does not form a primitive cilium in postmitotic rods (Tsujikawa and Malicki, 2004). Consistently, the OSs of mouse rods with a hypomorphic IFT88 mutation or a null KIF3a (a member of heterotrimeric kinesin-II family) allele are poorly differentiated and undergo degeneration (Marszalek et al., 2000; Pazour et al., 2002). Furthermore, KIF17 associated with either peripherin-2 or rhodopsin mutations (Kajiwara et al., 1994).
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Figure 3. OS morphology during normal rod development and in disease. (A) A drawing depicting the transformation of developing rods during their OS morphogenesis. (B) Representative electron micrograph of postnatal day 10 rat rods. This morphological appearance may represent a stage in OS morphogenesis. Many discs are longer than the matured discs; running in parallel to the ciliary stalk (unpublished data). Bar, 0.5 μm. (C) A rod from a postnatal day 15 mouse lacking RPGRIP1 (RPGRIP1 -/- ) containing vertically oriented discs is shown. Reproduced from Zhao et al. (2003), copyright The National Academy of Sciences, USA. Bar, 0.2 μm.

Two additional macromolecular complexes (i.e., NPHP complex, BBSome) associated with the IFT complex are also important for early OS development. Mutations of each component in either of these complexes are linked to syndromic retinitis pigmentosa diseases, now collectively referred to as ciliopathies. Retinal degeneration is a hallmark of many of the ciliopathies (e.g., Senior-Löken syndrome, Joubert syndrome, Bardet-Biedl syndrome, Alström syndrome; Katsanis, 2004; Hildebrandt and Zhou, 2007). Affected patients also exhibit several other overlapping phenotypes such as cystic kidney, mental retardation, and polydactyly. Given the fact that a wide range of cell types are affected in patients with ciliopathies, the IFT macromolecular complex clearly participates in a general function of cilia (versus photoreceptor-specific function), such as the assembly and/or maintenance. Consistent with this idea, NPHP1 knockout mice have delocalized IFT88 and severely disrupted OS development (Jiang et al., 2009). Mice mutant or null for the BBSome component, such as BBS1M390R knockin mice or BBS2 knockout mice, also exhibit poorly developed OSs (Nishimura et al., 2004; Davis et al., 2007). However, it was found that the OSs in mice null for BBS4 developed normally, despite rhodopsin being mislocalized (Abd-El-Barr et al., 2007). This raises the interesting possibility that some of the BBSome subunits might have IFT-independent roles.

On the other hand, several additional animal models mimicking "non-syndromic" retinitis pigmentosa (e.g., mice with null or mutant alleles of RPGRIP1, RP1, prominin 1, or protocadherin 21) have developed elongated OSs, but their discs are vertically or randomly oriented (Rattner et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2008; Zacchigna et al., 2009). Their appearance is strikingly similar to that of developing rods (compare Fig. 3 C with Fig. 3 B), suggesting that these mutant rods are arrested at the developmental stage when their discs are still disorganized. This further suggests that each of these molecules plays an important role in orienting the discs for stacking. Among them, RPGRIP1 is a structural component of the fibrous links between axonemal microtubules and the ciliary membrane (Zhao et al., 2003). RP1 is a photoreceptor-specific microtubule-associated protein with particular enrichment in the OS portion of the ciliary axoneme (Gao et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2003). RP1 can stabilize microtubules in vitro; RP1 mutant rods have shorter axonemal microtubules with a kinked appearance. Thus, we predict that a timely distal elongation of the OS axoneme, likely involving RPGRIP1 and/or RP1, is critical to "slant" all the disoriented discs to one side of the OS and/or anchor discs to the axonemal microtubules.

Finally, prominin 1 is a tetraspan membrane protein that binds to cholesterol, a specialized lipid that is concentrated on the apical-most IS plasmalemma and calycal process (Fig. 2 B, green shade; Andrews and Cohen, 1983; Weigmann et al., 1997). Prominin 1 binds to protocadherin 21 and influences protocadherin 21’s distribution on the proximal basolateral OS plasmalemma (Yang et al., 2008). These findings indicate that the specialized surface domains in the IS–OS junction participate in the sculpting and/or maintenance of the topographic properties of the basal OS, a step that may be important for the discs synthesized late in development to lay and stack.

Forever young: scheduled daily disposal of aged discs

Photoreceptors are highly susceptible to environmental insult because they constantly face a high influx of photons and free radicals. OS disc stacking makes a scheduled daily repair feasible; new discs are assembled at the base of the OS, while aged discs at the tip are eliminated by neighboring RPE cells. Complete renewal of the OS takes ~10 d in higher vertebrates and 6–9 wk for lower vertebrates. This cardinal feature of rod cells was first demonstrated by Young in the 1960s using pulse-labeling and autoradiography (Young, 1967). In these experiments, newly synthesized radioactive proteins appear as a band at the base of the OS. The band is progressively displaced toward the tip of the OS, a step that may be important for the discs synthesized late in development to lay and stack.
distal OS discs of ~30 rods every day (Fig. 2 F, inset; LaVail, 1983). These cells serve as scavengers that degrade the protein/lipid components of ingested OS fragments. Considerable insight concerning the molecular machinery that underlies RPE phagocytosis has developed over the years. Of particular interest, mutations in Mer tyrosine kinase, a critical component for the phagocytic activity of RPE, are linked to retinitis pigmentosa in humans (Gal et al., 2000). This underscores the importance of timely OS renewal for the health and survival of photoreceptors.

Based on a reconstruction of a series of high resolution electron micrographs, Young proposed that the endmost OS discs are actively shed in packets preceding RPE engulfment (Young and Droz, 1968). However, Williams and Fisher, who conducted studies on frogs, demonstrated that there are no shed discs in the subretinal space, and that shedding does not take place in the absence of RPE (Williams and Fisher, 1987). Thus, they concluded that disc shedding and RPE phagocytosis should be considered as concomitant and inseparable events, at least under normal physiological conditions. These contrasting viewpoints remain to be resolved.

**Building from the bottom up: basal disc assembly**

To balance the apical loss, new discs and plasma membranes are assembled at the base of the OS by incorporating proteins and lipids delivered from the IS. An estimated ~77 cm² of disc membrane must be synthesized each day per rat retina; this surface area is equivalent to over 50 times the outer surface area of the eyeball (Mayhew and Astle, 1997). How do rods achieve this extraordinary magnitude of membrane genesis, and how do they target the newly synthesized proteins to their respective sites with high fidelity? These have been challenging questions to study because the disc renewal process is difficult to replicate in vitro. Rods dissociated from the retina undergo drastic membrane remodeling, intersegmental fusion, and polarity loss (Townes-Anderson, 1995), making such investigations impractical.

Until recently, the dominant hypothesis for basal disc renewal has been a model proposed by Steinberg et al. (1980). Based on an electron microscopic observation in which a few outfolded discs (referred to as “open discs”) were found at the basal OS, these authors proposed that discs are formed by evagination of the basal OS plasmalemma, which is subsequently pinched off by a bilateral fusion. Although widely cited, no experimental data directly supports this model thus far. A conjectural piece of evidence in favor of the evagination model was an observation made by Matsumoto and Besharse (1985). In studies of frogs, they found that a band of incorporated dye appears at the basal OS of the rod shortly after a subretinal injection of lucifer yellow, and the resulting dye-containing discs migrate distally over time. This result was interpreted to mean that the extracellular dye is incorporated into open discs during their sealing. However, it is noted that no similar observation was found in any other mammals (Latties et al., 1976). Rods of lower vertebrates (e.g., frog, zebrafish) are a popular model system for photoreceptor studies because these cells are large and the procedure to generate transgenic animals is relatively easy. However, comparative studies of protein localization, reporter targeting assay, and OS renewal in amphibians and mammals have resulted in inconsistent conclusions (Sung and Tai, 2000; Ding and Naash, 2006; Chuang et al., 2007; Baker et al., 2008; Kwok et al., 2008), indicating that the morphological differences between these dissimilar species might play an important role in their divergent strategies to adapt to their respective cellular physiologies and habitats.

A recently proposed vesicular targeting model suggests that basal discs are assembled by the repeated fusion of rhodopsin vesicles (Chuang et al., 2007). In this model, rhodopsin vesicles at the basal OS axonemes carry Smad anchor for receptor activation (SARA) and syntaxin 3, and SARA binds directly to rhodopsin’s C terminus and syntaxin 3. The FYVE domain of SARA tethers axonemal vesicles to nascent discs through its high-affinity interaction with PI3P located on the immature discs. The close proximity of these membranes then permits the SNARE-mediated fusion event to happen. Panels A and B are reproduced from Chuang et al. (2007) with permission from Elsevier.
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led to aberrant accumulation of vesicles at the base of the OS, the axonemal shaft, and the CC (Fig. 4 B). These results suggest the interaction between the FYVE domain of SARA (on axonemal vesicles) and phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI3P; on basal nascent disc) primes the axonemal vesicles to discs for SNARE-mediated fusion (Fig. 4 C). These findings also provide convincing pieces of evidence that the precursors of the discs are membranous vesicles.

The vesicular targeting model suggests that the disc incorporation of rhodopsin and the formation of nascent discs occur concomitantly. SARA (Fig. 4 A), syntaxin3, and PI3P all display a gradient of expression along the proximal ~3–8-µm OS, with the strongest signal toward the base. Spatial restriction of these proteins could function as a programmed timer that determines both the proper size and the number of new discs to be generated per day.

High resolution EM results provided by Chuang et al. (2007) also showed that the basal OS plasma membrane enwraps the entire set of disc stacks, with no “open discs” observed (Fig. 2 E; Fig. 4 B). A similar conclusion can be drawn by using cryofixation techniques, namely, rapid freezing or high pressure freezing combined with freeze substitution (Obata and Usukura, 1992). Thus, the open disc–like structures are conceivable artifacts due to the histological damage of the basal OS plasmalemma.

**Getting to the top: targeting of rhodopsin and other proteins to the OS**

Rhodopsin has an autonomous OS targeting signal located within its cytoplasmic C-terminal tail. The VX PX motif within this targeting signal is critical. This was first shown by the observation that the transgenically expressed retinitis pigmentosa rhodopsin mutant, which lacks the last five residues of the protein, loses its polarized OS distribution (Sung et al., 1994). The C-terminal region of rhodopsin is highly conserved among species, and is a hot spot for human retinitis pigmentosa mutations (Sung and Tai, 2000). The sufficiency of rhodopsin’s C terminus for OS targeting was later demonstrated in transgenic frogs and zebrafish using a reporter assay (Tam et al., 2000; Perkins et al., 2002).

The manner in which rhodopsin’s C terminus confers its vectorial transport and polarized OS targeting has been delineated by identifying proteins that interact with this region of rhodopsin. In addition to the aforementioned SARA, which is critical for the final docking/fusion of rhodopsin onto discs, two additional molecules, Arf4 (ADP ribosylation factor 4) and Tctex-1 (or DYNLT1), also interact with this region of rhodopsin (Tai et al., 1999; Mazelova et al., 2009). In the Golgi, the rhodopsin–Arf4 interaction promotes the assembly of a complex that also contains ASAP1. The BAR domain of ASAP1 facilitates membrane curving and, hence, budding from the trans-Golgi network (Mazelova et al., 2009). The post-Golgi rhodopsin vesicle is then transported on microtubules based on cytoplasmic dynein activity (Fig. 5). Tctex-1, which is a cytoplasmic dynein light chain, serves as a cargo adaptor linking the rhodopsin vesicle with the dynein motor (Tai et al., 1999). The motor-driven vesicular translocation is especially important because the distance between the trans-Golgi network and the distal IS is quite far; rods with cytoplasmic dynein (DHC1) suppressed had aberrant post-Golgi vesicle accumulation in the IS (Insinna et al., 2010). Several C-terminal retinitis pigmentosa mutant rhodopsins display a reduced affinity for Tctex-1, which may explain their mislocalization in rods (Tai et al., 1999).

Besides rhodopsin, photoreceptor retinol dehydrogenase also uses a (V/I)XPX motif for its OS targeting (Luo et al., 2004). No other major OS proteins are known to contain a similar motif, suggesting that a variety of OS targeting signals and/or strategies must be operating in rods. For example, the piggyback/hitch-hiking model is likely to be a common strategy for OS trafficking by other molecules simply interacting with rhodopsin or rhodopsin-bearing vesicles, which are abundant in rods (Concepcion et al., 2002). A selective retention strategy is also used to trap molecules via high affinity protein–protein (or protein–lipid) interactions. As an example, photoactivated rhodopsin snatches
arrestin from its IS localization upon illumination (Strissel et al., 2004). It was also shown that the OS plasmalemma location of the cGMP-gated channel relies on its direct binding with a membrane skeletal protein ankryin-G (Kizhatil et al., 2009). It is plausible that many of these mechanisms occur redundantly and/or successively to ensure the fidelity of the targeting of these molecules to their respective OS membrane domains.

Finally, a strategy completely independent of rhodopsin’s targeting must be used for the disc rim targeting of peripherin-2 because no mislocalized peripherin-2 has been found in any mouse model in which rhodopsin is mislocalized (Hagstrom et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2003; Abd-El-Barr et al., 2007). It was suggested that a “checkpoint” system selectively permits peripherin-2 prepacked as homotetramers or even higher oligomers, but not dimers, into the OS (Loewen et al., 2003). The ability of peripherin-2 to further oligomerize with ROM-1 and to interact with the cGMP-gated channel adjacent to the OS may further promote and/or stabilize its disc rim distribution (Fig. 2 D).

**Crossing the narrow bridge: ciliary transport of rhodopsin**

One of the most pressing questions pertinent to rhodopsin trafficking is how rhodopsin passes through the bottleneck-shaped CC. Rhodopsin immunoreactivity has rarely been detected anywhere on the CC. This might be a consequence of several technical limitations, such as the high concentration of rhodopsin in the OS or poor penetration of reagents into the CC, among other possibilities (Besharse and Horst, 1990; Liu et al., 1999; Wolfrum and Schmitt, 2000). The presence of rhodopsin on the cilary membrane has, however, been detected when a heavy-etching technique and a mixture of anti-rhodopsin antibodies were used in combination (Wolfrum and Schmitt, 2000). A study of shaker1 mice, in which the myosin VIIa gene is disrupted, found an increased rhodopsin signal on the CC membrane (Liu et al., 1999; Wolfrum and Schmitt, 2000). The importance and direct nature of myosin VIIa on the CC’s transport of rhodopsin remain unclear because rhodopsin was not detectably mislocalized in the IS and other parts of the rods. Furthermore, CC is largely devoid of microfilaments, although G-actin immunoreactivity is detected (Wolfrum and Schmitt, 2000). Instead, the actin meshwork of rods is prominent at both the proximal and distal ends of the CC (Sung and Tai, 2000).

Many reviews have suggested that rhodopsin at the apical IS plasmalemma is able to laterally diffuse and then traverse through the ciliary membrane by using kinesin-motored IFT anterograde transport (Fig. 5 A). This proposition is analogous to a working model for the transmembrane protein that travels on primary cilia (Rosenbaum and Witman, 2002). Rab8 GTPase has a proposed role in docking/fusing cargoes near the base of primary cilia to replenish membrane materials for cilia elongation (Nachury et al., 2007; Yoshimura et al., 2007). In agreement with this notion, transgenic frog rods that overexpress dominant-negative Rab8 have aberrant vesicular accumulation at the base of the CC (Moritz et al., 2001). Paradoxically, rhodopsin cannot be efficiently targeted to the primary cilia in epithelial cultures (Chuang and Sung, 1998). Rhodopsin is actually largely absent from the base of the primary cilium, a specialized lipid zone that prevents free diffusion between the apical surface and cilium (Vieira et al., 2005).

To the best of our knowledge, rhodopsin has not been shown to be a cargo of IFT. In addition, there is currently no evidence supporting the idea that rhodopsin is transported through IFT. The improper rhodopsin distribution seen in the abnormally differentiated and rapidly degenerated hypomorphic IFT88 and null KIF3A rods should not be used to conclude IFT’s role in rhodopsin transport (Marszalek et al., 2000; Pazour et al., 2002). This is because rhodopsin is not completely polarized in the OS until PN12, and rhodopsin fails to integrate efficiently once the OS is shortened and/or degenerated. A recent study using a different strain of rod-specific KIF3A knockout that has a slower degeneration rate demonstrated that rhodopsin’s OS targeting is KIF3A independent (Avasthi et al., 2009). The same study also showed that KIF3A is not required for OS plasmalemma localization of the cGMP-gated channel. Thus, the nature of the membrane cargo(es) transported by IFT in photoreceptors remains to be identified. In this regard, a biochemical study showed that retinal guanylyl cyclase binds (indirectly) to IFT88, making it a potential IFT cargo (Bhowmick et al., 2009).

Assuming that rhodopsin is transported on the ciliary membrane, a mechanism must exist to pinch off the membrane to generate discs. In addition to the evagination model discussed before, the pinching off of internalized ciliary membrane has also been proposed (Fig. 5 A; Obata and Usukura, 1992; Usukura and Obata, 1995). Evidence for the latter is limited to studying fluid phase marker uptake performed in dissociated immature rods.

An attractive alternative model is that rhodopsin vesicles are shipped directly from the IS through the axonemal shaft (Fig. 5 B). The SARA’s syntaxin5a axonemal vesicles then undergo fusion at the base of the OS to produce new discs, as suggested by the vesicular targeting model (Fig. 4 C). This model is appealing because rods may have evolved from other ciliated cells to develop a means to effectively move cargo in bulk and quickly generate disc membranes. The presence of small vesicles in CC (Fig. 2 E; Dowling, 1967; Chuang et al., 2007), but not other types of cilia, supports this model.

No IFT retrograde transport is needed to return the OS transmembrane proteins back to the cell body for degradation. However, three major signaling components (i.e., arrestin, transducin, and recoverin), which are all peripheral membrane proteins, shuttle back and forth between the IS and OS in a light-dependent manner. This is one remarkable mechanism that is unique to photoreceptors, and is used for light adaptation (Strissel et al., 2004). A parallel light-dependent translocation is also conserved in fly photoreceptors, in which the light-sensing organelles are actin-based microvilli called rhodomeres. Light-dependent protein transport in photoreceptors has been comprehensively reviewed elsewhere (Strissel et al., 2004).

**Our vision: concluding remarks**

Compared with other types of polarized cells, the high spatial and temporal resolution of photoreceptors has much to offer for those who are interested in cell polarity, vesicular trafficking, and organelle biogenesis. Many discoveries and new working models described in this review provide a starting point for advancement. There is much more to be learned about protein transport and turnover in cone photoreceptors, which are also rich in membranes.
The cell biology study of other neurons in the visual system is still in its infancy. The inner retinal neurons come in a striking diversity. For example, ~20 and 30 types of ganglion cells and amacrine cells, respectively, have been identified based on their different shapes, molecular, and electrophysiological properties (for review see Masland, 2004). Each of these individual cell types appears to be specialized in distinct functions that are not completely understood. How are their diversified morphologies established? How do these cells develop their orderly stratified architecture? How are the processes of neurons that are involved in visual perception wired in such a complex yet remarkably precise manner? How do retinal neurons undergo morphological adaptation in response to environmental cues (e.g., light, circadian), and what are their functional implications (Burnside, 1976; Vollrath and Spiwoks-Becker, 1996; Spiwoks-Becker et al., 2004)? The recent completion of the inventory of all retinal neuron types (Wässle, 2004) and our increasing capability to target, manipulate, and visualize specific cell types in the visual system provide great promise for our deeper understanding of the cell biology of our vision system.
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