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Summary

The receptor for granulocyte/ macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) is composed of two chains, α and βc. Both chains belong to the superfamily of cytokine receptors characterized by a common structural feature, i.e., the presence of at least two fibronectin-like folds in the extracellular domain, which was first identified in the growth hormone receptor. The GM-CSF receptor (GMR)-α chain confers low affinity binding only (5–10 nM), whereas the other chain, βc, does not bind GM-CSF by itself but confers high affinity binding when associated with GMR-α (25–100 pM). The present study was designed to define the assembly of the GMR complex at the molecular level through site-directed mutagenesis guided by homology modeling with the growth hormone receptor complex. In our three-dimensional model, R280 of GMR-α, located in the F°–G° loop and close to the WSSWS motif, is in the vicinity of the ligand Asp112, suggesting the possibility of electrostatic interaction between these two residues. Through site-directed mutagenesis, we provide several lines of evidence indicating the importance of electrostatic interaction in ligand-receptor recognition. First, mutagenesis of GMR-αR280 strikingly ablated ligand binding in the absence of βc common (βc); ligand binding was restored in the presence of βc with, nonetheless, a significant shift from high (26 pM) to low affinity (from 2 to 13 nM). The rank order of the dissociation constant for the different GMR-αR280 mutations where Lys > Gln > Met > Asp, suggesting the importance of the charge at this position. Second, a mutant GM-CSF with charge reversal mutation at position Asp112 exhibited a 1,000-fold decrease in affinity in receptor binding, whereas charge ablation or conservative mutations were the least affected (10–20-fold). Third, removal of the charge at position R280 of GMR-α introduced a 10-fold decrease in the dissociation rate constant and only a 2-fold change in the association rate constant, suggesting that R280 is implicated in ligand recognition, possibly through interaction with Asp112 of GM-CSF. For all R280 mutants, the half-efficient concentrations of GM-CSF required for membrane (receptor binding) to nuclear events (c-fos promoter activation) and cell proliferation (thymidine incorporation) were in the same range, indicating that the threshold for biologic activity is governed mainly by the affinity of ligand-receptor interaction. Furthermore, mutation of other residues in the immediate vicinity of R280 was less drastic. Sequence alignment and modeling of interleukin (IL)-3R and IL-5R identified an arginine residue at the tip of a β turn in a highly divergent context at the F°–G° loop, close to a conserved structural element, the WSSWS motif, suggesting the possibility of a ligand association mechanism similar to the one described herein for GMR.
Human GM-CSF is a cytokine that promotes the proliferation, survival, and functional activation of cells in the granulocytic and monocytic lineage (1-4). Gene cloning indicates that the receptor for GM-CSF is composed of two chains, α (5) and β (6). The human GM-CSF receptor (GMα) subunit is 378 amino acid (aa) in length (5), most of which constitutes the extracellular domain. GMα-α confers low affinity binding and has been shown to be species specific for its ligand (5, 7), whereas β, which is required for signal transduction, comprises 881 aa with a 432 aa cytoplasmic tail (6). Both α and β cytoplasmic domains lack intrinsic enzymatic activities. Interestingly, the β chain, referred to as β common or βc, is shared with the receptors for IL-3 and -5, two cytokines that exhibit significant overlap in biological activity with GM-CSF (for review see reference 8). Our previous data suggest that the transition from low affinity to high affinity binding results from the association of βc to the GM-CSF-GMα-α complex, resulting in a more stable ternary complex (9). Data from many groups also suggest that only the high-affinity receptor mediates the biologic response of the cells to GM-CSF (3, 5, 7). Expression of the two chains of the GM-CSF receptor in NIH 3T3 cells results in GM-CSF-induced signal transduction (10), morphological transformation (11, 12), and cell proliferation (13).

Both chains of the GM-CSF receptor are members of the superfamily of cytokine receptors, characterized by conserved structural features in the extracellular domain, i.e., four conserved cysteine residues, and a typical WXSWS motif in the juxtamembrane region. According to the model predicted by Bazan (14), cytokine receptors are made up of two domains, each containing seven antiparallel β strands similar to the fibronectin fold. These strands are coded A-G for the N-β terminal domain and A'-G' for the COOH-β terminal domain. Together, these two domains form a common cytokine receptor motif (CRM). This predicted structure was confirmed through crystallization of the growth hormone (GH)-GHR complex (15) and of the tenth type III segment of human fibronectin (16). The tenth segment of fibronectin has, in fact, been shown to bind integrin through the NH2-terminal domain and A'-G' for the COOH-terminal domain. Together, these two domains form a common cytokine receptor motif (CRM). The predicted structure was confirmed through crystallization of the growth hormone (GH)-GHR complex (15) and of the tenth type III segment of human fibronectin (16). The tenth segment of fibronectin has, in fact, been shown to bind integrin through the NH2-terminal domain and A'-G' for the COOH-terminal domain. Together, these two domains form a common cytokine receptor motif (CRM).

A-Ca, Ca, and -5R- of the GMα subunit is 378 amino acid (aa) in length (5), most of which constitutes the extracellular domain. GMα-A confers low affinity binding and has been shown to be species specific for its ligand (5, 7), whereas β, which is required for signal transduction, comprises 881 aa with a 432 aa cytoplasmic tail (6). Both α and β cytoplasmic domains lack intrinsic enzymatic activities. Interestingly, the β chain, referred to as β common or βc, is shared with the receptors for IL-3 and -5, two cytokines that exhibit significant overlap in biological activity with GM-CSF (for review see reference 8). Our previous data suggest that the transition from low affinity to high affinity binding results from the association of βc to the GM-CSF-GMα-α complex, resulting in a more stable ternary complex (9). Data from many groups also suggest that only the high-affinity receptor mediates the biologic response of the cells to GM-CSF (3, 5, 7). Expression of the two chains of the GM-CSF receptor in NIH 3T3 cells results in GM-CSF-induced signal transduction (10), morphological transformation (11, 12), and cell proliferation (13).

Both chains of the GM-CSF receptor are members of the superfamily of cytokine receptors, characterized by conserved structural features in the extracellular domain, i.e., four conserved cysteine residues, and a typical WXSWS motif in the juxtamembrane region. According to the model predicted by Bazan (14), cytokine receptors are made up of two domains, each containing seven antiparallel β strands similar to the fibronectin fold. These strands are coded A-G for the N-β terminal domain and A'-G' for the COOH-β terminal domain. Together, these two domains form a common cytokine receptor motif (CRM). The predicted structure was confirmed through crystallization of the growth hormone (GH)-GHR complex (15) and of the tenth type III segment of human fibronectin (16). The tenth segment of fibronectin has, in fact, been shown to bind integrin through the NH2-terminal domain and A'-G' for the COOH-terminal domain. Together, these two domains form a common cytokine receptor motif (CRM).

The human GM-CSF cDNA was cloned in the expression vector pM E18S (10). The cDNA for βc (KH97) was cloned in E. coli and purified as described (24). The GMα-A receptor complex and hormone were performed using the same methodology, and the stability of each new complex was determined using energy calculations as well as short (5-10 ps) molecular dynamics simulations.

The approach was used to construct the model of IL-3, -5, and Epo hormone receptor complex. Since the crystal structures of IL-3 and Epo are not available, we used the GM-CSF and GH coordinates as templates for the hormone in the IL-3 and Epo receptor complex models, respectively, for the following reasons. On one hand, structure prediction for IL-3 (21) and nuclear magnetic resonance data of a human IL-3 variant (22) show that the IL-3 structure is folded into the same up-up-down-down arrangement of the four helices bundle observed for the GM-CSF structure. On the other hand, the α helices of erythropoietin are longer and Epo is classified as a long chain helical-bundle subclass, to which belongs growth hormone (23). Site-directed mutagenesis of Human GM-CSF and of GMα-α. The human GM-CSF cDNA was mutated at the indicated positions (Table 1), expressed in E. coli, and purified as described (24). The GMα-A receptor complex and hormone were performed using the same methodology, and the stability of each new complex was determined using energy calculations as well as short (5-10 ps) molecular dynamics simulations.
Table 1. Binding of Mutant G M-C SF to Wild-type G M-R-α

| GM-C SF position | Mutation | Kd (nM) |
|------------------|----------|---------|
| Wild type        | -        | 1.7     |
| E 108            | A        | 3.8     |
|                  | Q        | 8.1     |
|                  | K        | 3.0     |
| N 109            | A        | 2.8     |
|                  | D        | 15.0    |
|                  | K        | 7.9     |
| K 111            | A        | 1.4     |
|                  | Q        | 2.7     |
|                  | E        | 2.1     |
| D 112            | N        | 19      |
|                  | A        | 31      |
|                  | R        | 335     |
|                  | K        | 1,400   |

CHO cells expressing the GMR-α chain were generated as described. GM-C SF mutants were generated by directed mutagenesis and expressed in E. coli. Kds of the various purified GM-C SF mutants were determined by competition analysis on GMR-α-transfected CHO cells.

The dissociation rate constant (Koff) was estimated using the following equation: ln (Bt/Bo) = Koff × t, where Bo is the observed binding at equilibrium and Bt the binding observed at a given time t. Linear regression of the slopes of these lines (Koff) as a function of ligand concentrations provided an estimate of the association rate constant Kon. For dissociation experiments, GM-C SF binding was performed at equilibrium at a concentration of 2 nM of radioligand. Cells were then incubated with a 100-fold excess of cold GM-C SF in IMDM 1% BSA for time periods ranging from 5 to 110 min. Supernatants were removed before counting of bound GM-C SF.

The dissociation rate constant (Koff) was estimated using the following equation: ln (Bt/B0) = -Koff × t where Bt is the specific binding at equilibrium before dissociation, and B0 is the specific binding remaining after a period of t minutes.

Table 1. Binding of Mutant G M-C SF to Wild-type G M-R-α

| GM-C SF position | Mutation | Kd (nM) |
|------------------|----------|---------|
| Wild type        | -        | 1.7     |
| E 108            | A        | 3.8     |
|                  | Q        | 8.1     |
|                  | K        | 3.0     |
| N 109            | A        | 2.8     |
|                  | D        | 15.0    |
|                  | K        | 7.9     |
| K 111            | A        | 1.4     |
|                  | Q        | 2.7     |
|                  | E        | 2.1     |
| D 112            | N        | 19      |
|                  | A        | 31      |
|                  | R        | 335     |
|                  | K        | 1,400   |
μg) in NIH 3T3 by the calcium-phosphate method. Transiently transfected cells were then incubated for 24 h in serum-free medium in the presence or absence of GM-CSF, lysed, and luciferase activity was determined in nuclear extract as described (13) after adjustment for growth hormone activity driven by the Rous sarcoma virus LTR (2 μg), which was co-transfected as an internal control.

Results

Modeling GM-GMR Interaction Based on the Crystal Structure of the GH-GHR Complex. The initial event in GH binding to its receptor is the association of site 1 on GH with GHR I to form a 1:1 complex (binding affinity, dissociation constant (Kd) = 0.3 nM), followed by the association of site 2 with GHR molecule 2 (GHR II) to form a ternary complex GH-GHR2 (27, 28). The first binding step involving GHR I results in the burial of a 1,230-Å surface, whereas only 900 Å is buried on binding of GHR II, consistent with a higher affinity of interaction of GHR I with site 1. The modeling for GMR-α is therefore based on GHR I interaction with site 1 and for βc on GHR II interaction with site 2. Our model identifies a contact surface between βc and the ligand that includes H367 on βc (29, 30) and residue E21 (31) on the ligand as previously documented (Fig. 1). Finally, domains of the ligand that appear to be involved in intermolecular contacts correspond to those identified previously through the use of human mouse chimeras (32), and of truncated GM-CSF (33) i.e., helix A, the AB loop, and helix D.

Importance of the Negative Charge at Position 112 of GM-CSF Defined by Site-directed Mutagenesis. Modeling identified several hydrophilic and charged surface residues of helix D of GM-CSF as potential contact points with GMR-α: E108, N109, K111, and D112. Sequence analysis indicates that these are aligned with residues that are shown to be at the interface of growth hormone with GHR I (28). Mutations at two of these residues on GM-CSF, E108 and D112, were previously shown to decrease ligand-receptor binding (24). We therefore generated further mutations at all four positions identified by modeling. Quantitative analysis of GM-CSF binding to CHO cells stably expressing GMR-α is therefore based on the crystal structure of the growth hormone complex. The extracellular domains of GMR-α (left) and membrane proximal CRM of βc (right) are shown complexed with GM-CSF, each receptor chain being organized in two fibronectin-like domains with seven β strands. Two basic surface residues (white) that are potentially involved in electrostatic interaction with surface residues (magenta) on the ligand are highlighted. The location of the conserved WSXWS motif is shown in green. R275 located on the F strand, potentially involved in α-cation interaction with the two Trp residues of the WSSWS box (similarly observed in the crystal structure of EpoR complexed with a peptide ligand, 40), is shown in blue.
the interaction between D112 and the receptor is mainly electrostatic.

Proximity of R280 of GMR-α and D112 of the Ligand. Because of the importance of the charge at position 112 of the ligand, the residues surrounding D112 were further evaluated in the ligand–receptor binding pocket of the three-dimensional GM–GMR model shown in Fig. 1. The acidic residue D112 is oriented towards GMR-α and is positioned at a distance of 3–4.7 Å from the basic residue R 280. The estimated distance remains within the possibility of intermolecular interaction at this position. For example, E21 on GM-CSF is located within 4.19 Å of H 367 on βc. We therefore proceeded to site-directed mutagenesis of R280 of GMR-α.

Loss of GM-CSF Binding to the GMR-αR280 Mutants. Several mutations were introduced at this position on the basis of charge conservation (R280K), charge removal (R280Q), and hydrophilic to hydrophobic conversion (K280M) or charge reversal (R280E). N1H 3T3 cells were transiently transfected with these various GMR-α mutants alone and submitted to GM-CSF binding assays. All of the mutants showed a complete loss of specific binding compared to the wild-type GM R-α chain at 2 nM of iodinated GM-CSF (Fig. 2A), a concentration shown to be in the range of the Kd of the low affinity GM-CSF binding site. Even at high concentrations of GM-CSF (i.e., 8–20 nM) we did not detect any specific binding for three mutants tested, R280K, R280M, and R280E (data not shown). All GMR-αR280 mutants were detected by the anti-GMR-α antibody in an immunoperoxidase assay (Fig. 2B). This indicates that the mutations did not induce important structural changes or modification of the expression level of GMR-α. Furthermore, mutation of another surface Arg into Gin, R280Q, did not affect ligand binding in the absence (data not shown) or in the presence of βc (see Table 3). These data underscore the crucial role of R280 in GM-CSF binding by GMR-α.

Physical Interaction of Mutated GMR-α with βc Restores Ligand Binding with Intermediate to Low Affinity. GM-CSF binding assays were performed with mutated GMR-α and βc. Surprisingly, specific binding was detected in all cases, but was drastically reduced as compared to the wild-type receptor (Fig. 3 and Table 2). Cotransfection of wild-type GMR-α and βc results in both high and low affinity GM-CSF binding (6). In contrast, all GMR-α R280 mutants exhibit a single GM-CSF binding site of intermediate to low affinity with Kd’s in the following order: R280K < R280Q < R280M < R280E. The extensive loss of binding of GMR-α R280E in presence of βc indicates a charge effect at this position. As βc alone does not bind the ligand (data not shown), one can conclude that a mutated GMR-α can associate with wild-type βc to form a lower affinity complex.

A association between GMR-α and βc may create additional contact points between GMR-α and the ligand itself, in addition to R280. To address this question, we cotransfected the mutant αR280M with either wild-type βc or βH367A that can no longer form a high affinity GM-CSF complex. In contrast to wild-type βc, cells expressing αR280M and βH367A were unable to bind GM-CSF (Fig. 3). Hence, preassociation with βc is unlikely to create additional contact points between GMR-α and the ligand apart from those that are affected by the mutations.

Comparative Kinetics of Binding of R280M Mutant and Wild-type GMR-α. Previous observations (5, 34) suggest that the difference in Kd between low (GMR-α alone) and high (GMR-α-βc) affinity GM-CSF binding sites is mainly due to differences in the dissociation rate constants, whereas the association rate constants are in the same range. We therefore compared the binding kinetics of mutant GMR-αR280M with that of wild-type GMR-α. The association rate constant (on rate) was 10-fold lower for the mutant receptor, as compared to the wild-type receptor (Fig. 4 and Table 3). In contrast, there was only a twofold difference in the dissociation rate constants (off rate). These results suggest that the 20–30-fold decrease in binding affinity ob-
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Table 2. Binding of Wild-type GM-CSF to Mutated GM-R-α in Association with βc

| α + wt β | Kd (nM) | EC50 (nM) |
|----------|---------|-----------|
| wt       | 0.03    | 0.08      |
| R280K    | 0.9     | 1.8       |
| R280Q    | 1.7     | 3.0       |
| R280M    | 2.8     | 2.5       |
| R280E    | 13      | 15.1      |

NIH 3T3 cells were transiently transfected with the indicated GM-R-α mutants and wild-type (wt) βc. Dissociation constants determined by saturation analysis and competition analysis with 125I-GM-CSF were similar. Data shown were determined by saturation analysis, using radioligand concentrations that were in the range of 5 pM–30 nM. Non-specific binding was determined through the addition of a 200-fold excess of cold GM-CSF. With wild-type GM-R-α and βc, the data were better described by a two-site model, a high-affinity binding site as shown in the first row, and a low-affinity binding site of 2–20 nM, which were also the values observed with GM-R-α alone. In contrast, in the presence of mutant GM-R-α and wild-type βc, the data were better described by a one binding site equation. Half-efficient concentration (EC50) for GM-CSF were estimated by analysis of the proliferation curves shown in Fig. 5 with the program ALLFIT.
Discussion

In the present study, we provide evidence for an essential contribution of R280 in establishing a salt bridge with GM-CSF when binding was performed in the absence of βc. Similarly, Asp112 on the ligand appears to play a crucial role in receptor binding. The proximity of R280 on GM-Rα with Asp112 on the ligand strongly supports the possibility of electrostatic interaction between these two residues. In the presence of wild-type βc, our data indicate that R280 contributes to high affinity GM-CSF binding since mutations at this position result in a significant shift towards low affinity binding. Together, our observations indicate the crucial role of a single charged residue at the F’-G’ loop of GM-Rα in GM-CSF binding R280 that interacts with an acidic residue on the ligand, most likely Asp112.

Importance of the Charge at Positions R280 of GM-Rα and Asp112 of GM-CSF. Mutagenesis of a single residue can potentially introduce structural perturbations that alter protein–protein interaction at positions not directly involved in establishing contact points. The case of GM-Rα, given the large size of Arg and its location at the tip of the loop, we chose to substitute Arg with residues of larger chain size than Ala, which is a common choice for substitution, for the purpose of steric complementarity. In addition, all mutant proteins reacted equally with a neutralizing monoclonal antibody directed against wild-type GM-Rα. This suggests that mutations at position R280 of GM-Rα are likely localized to this residue without affecting the overall structure of the protein.

The importance of the charge at positions R280 of GM-Rα and Asp112 of the ligand was inferred from the rank order of a series of mutations that introduce a reduction in charge, a change in hydrophilic to hydrophobic residue, or simply charge reversal. Among GM-Rα mutants evaluated in the context of wild-type βc, R280M and R280E behaved similarly because of a decrease in charge at this position, whereas the conservative mutation R280K was the least affected, and the charge reversal R280E was drastically shifted in affinity. Similarly, mutations at Asp112 of GM-CSF displayed the expected rank order with the charge reversal being the most drastically affected, whereas homologous mutations at positions not crucial for receptor binding, i.e., N109 or K111, were almost silent. Nonetheless, we were not able to observe a complementation between GM-CSF-D112K and GM-Rα-R280E mutants, possibly because mutations on the ligand were more exacerbated in phenotype due to their locating on the surface of a structural element, i.e., an α helix. Together, our observations suggest a crucial role for the charge at these positions, not only for appropriate electrostatic interaction, but also for the local context of the ligand-binding pocket.

R280 of GM-Rα contributes to Ligand Recognition by a Factor of 10. The importance of electrostatic interactions in hormone–receptor recognition was previously identified for hGH. Indeed, mutations at Arg residues present on hGH were shown to affect association by a factor of 20, although each Arg individually may not have contributed by more than a factor of 2–3 to the on rate (35). In contrast, we identify here a single Arg at position 280 on GM-Rα that contributes to the on rate by a factor of 10, and to the off rate by only a factor of 2, indicating its crucial role in ligand recognition. Interestingly, the nature of ligand binding for the GM-RαR280M-wild-type βc complex is intrinsically different from that established for wild-type GM-Rα alone, despite the fact that the Kds for both receptors were in the same nM range. The low affinity of GM-Rα binding to the ligand in the absence of βc may be attributed mainly to a major difference in the off rate when compared to that of the GM-Rα-βc complex (5, 8, and our unpublished results), whereas the on rates were not significantly different.
suggesting that recruitment of βc into the complex results in stabilization. In contrast, mutations at position R280 of GMR-α resulted in a drastic decrease in the on rate when wild-type βc was present, indicating its crucial role in the association step. Our observations further underscore the importance of kinetic studies for molecular recognition processes.

Mutations of R280 differ from the mutations observed with Asp278 with regards to their immunoreactivities with a monoclonal antibody shown previously to prevent GM-CSF binding. Thus, all R280 mutations and wild-type GMR-α reacted with the antibody, whereas all D278 mutants displayed enhanced immunoreactivity, suggesting a structural difference and the possibility of intrachain salt bridging with a positively charged residue, Lys191, on the linker region of GMR-α. It is thus possible that the β turn which presents R280 to the ligand is held in place by two structural elements, a salt bridge conferred by Asp278 and the π-charge interactions assigned to the WSXWS box with a conserved Arg in the F9 strand, as discussed underneath (Fig. 1 and reference 36).

Table 3. Kinetic Association Constants of Wild-type and Mutant GMR-α

| GMR-α + β  | Antibody reactivity | Kinetic constants | Dissociation constants |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|            | OD490               | K_on (min⁻¹M⁻¹)   | K_off (min⁻¹)         | K_off/K_on (nM) | K_d (nM) |
| wild type  | 0.241               | 1.3 x 10⁸         | 5.6 x 10⁻³           | 0.04           | 0.03     |
| R280M      | 0.210               | 1.4 x 10⁷         | 1.3 x 10⁻²           | 0.93           | 3.0      |
| D278A/V279A| 0.653               | 5.2 x 10⁶         | 5.1 x 10⁻³           | 0.98           | 1.33     |
| D278Q/V279Q| ND                  | 7.5 x 10⁶         | 8.2 x 10⁻³           | 1.09           | 1.98     |
| D278A      | 0.481               | 3.8 x 10⁶         | 3.2 x 10⁻³           | 0.84           | 2.5      |
| D278N      | ND                  | 1.4 x 10⁷         | 2.0 x 10⁻²           | 1.43           | 0.59     |
| I281A/L282A| ND                  | 1.1 x 10⁶         | 6.0 x 10⁻³           | 0.06           | 0.05     |
| I281Q/L282Q| 0.297               | 3.4 x 10⁷         | 8.2 x 10⁻³           | 0.24           | 0.09     |
| R258Q      | 0.285               | 0.9 x 10⁸         | 6.2 x 10⁻³           | 0.07           | 0.12     |

The kinetic association (K_on) and kinetic dissociation (K_off) constants of wild-type and mutated GMR-α were determined by using transfected NIH 3T3 cells as described in Materials and Methods and as shown in Fig. 4. The dissociation constants determined at equilibrium were estimated by analysis of full saturation curves for each mutant, using the program ALLFIT (9, 26). The reactivity of the different GMR-α mutants with the monoclonal anti-GMR-α were determined by immunoperoxidase as described in Fig. 2.

Figure 5. Ligand-induced biological response of NIH 3T3 cells expressing both GMR-αR280 mutants and βc. (Top) NIH 3T3 cells were co-transfected with GMR-αR280 mutants and βc. Transfected cells were then incubated for 40 h in serum-free medium supplemented with the indicated concentrations of GM-CSF. Luciferase activity was evaluated by measuring luciferase activity in cell extracts as described in Materials and Methods. Data are the mean of triplicate cultures. For each point, the standard error was no more 15% of mean values. (Bottom) NIH 3T3 cells were transfected with GMR-α mutants, βc, and c-fos promoter reporter construct. Transfected cells were then incubated for 24 h in serum-free medium supplemented with the indicated concentrations of GM-CSF. Cell proliferation was evaluated by measuring [3H]thymidine incorporation as described in Materials and Methods. Data are the mean of triplicate cultures.
The F-G loop of the second fibronectin domain of the GM-CSF, IL-3, and -5 α chain receptors: sequence alignment and three-dimensional models and homology modeling of the erythropoietin receptor complex with its ligand. The alignment is adapted from Bazan (14) and Goodall et al. (19). Residues in bold are shown through site-directed mutagenesis to be important for proper ligand binding (hummcsfr, GM-CSFR-α, shown herein; humil3r, IL-6R-α (17); fn10, fibronectin type III tenth repeat (16); humepor, EpoR (41, 42). Conserved residues within the F-G strands are boxed, as well as the conserved WSXWS sequence. Comparison of the F-G loop (yellow) facing helix D (red) of the ligand in the GM-CSFR-α, IL-3R-α, and IL-5R-α models, highlights a possible electrostatic interaction between a positively charged residue, Arg (green), and a negatively charged residue, Asp or Glu (blue). In GM-CSFR-α, R280 is at the tip of the β turn pointing towards the ligand, whereas the aspartic acid (D278, green) is in the vicinity of a lysine (K191, magenta) located in the linker region between the two fibronectin domains. Note that in the IL-3 model, an aromatic residue on the F-G loop, Tyr261, is close to another aromatic residue, Phe113 from helix D. In the lower right panel, the extracellular domains of the two Epo receptor chains are shown complexed with the modelized Epo hormone. The Epo-EpoR 2 complex is based on the structure of the GH-GHR 2 complex, and was generated as described in the Materials and Methods. The α-charge interaction in EpoR consisting of one arginine (white) and two tryptophan (green) from the WSXWS motif is illustrated. The two disulfide bridges formed between the four conserved cysteines are shown in blue.
the absence of GM-CSF (9, 37). This preassociation is nonetheless of low affinity, and the presence of ligand results in stabilization of the $\alpha$-$\beta$ complex by 1,000-fold (9). Although $\beta$C does not bind GM-CSF by itself, previous data indicate that it can do so when associated with GM-R-$\alpha$. The contact point was identified by scanning mutagenesis of GM-CSF (31) and sequence alignment of GHR with $\beta$C (19). Consistent with this result, our data clearly indicate that $\beta$C binds the ligand even when associated with an $\alpha$ chain that, by itself, no longer recognizes the ligand. In addition, our observations also suggest that the association of GM-R-$\alpha$ with $\beta$C is unlikely to generate additional GM-CSF binding sites on GM R-$\alpha$ beyond those affected by the R280 mutations.

Role of the F'-G' Loop of Cytokine Receptors in Ligand Binding. Evidence is provided here that mutagenesis of members of the superfamily of cytokine receptors can be directed to specific residues that are predicted to be at the ligand–receptor interface through homology modeling with the x-ray crystal structure of the hGH bound to its receptor. It was previously shown that the functional interface of growth hormone with its receptor is much smaller than the physical interface and that electrostatic interactions are crucial for the first step in ligand–receptor recognition (35). Our data underscore the importance of a single charged residue at the F'-G' loop of the fibronectin-like domain of the GM-CSF receptor, R280. For the GM-CSF receptor complex, data reported here for GM R-$\alpha$ and elsewhere for $\beta$C and GM-CSF indicate that the functional interface may be restricted to a limited number of residues, GM R-$\alpha$R280, $\beta$C His367 (29, 30) and Tyr421 (38), and GM-CSF Asp112 and Glu21 (31). Furthermore, the on/off kinetics suggest that the GM R-$\alpha$R280-GM-CSF interaction may determine the recognition step, whereas the association with $\beta$C would stabilize the complex possibly through interaction of H367 and Tyr421 with the ligand.

Primary sequence alignment of the three closest members of the cytokine receptors superfamily, IL-3R-$\alpha$, -5R-$\beta$, and GM R-$\alpha$, highlights the presence of one Arg at the F'-G' loop in an otherwise diverging context (Fig. 6). Interestingly, the IL-3 and -5 receptor complex models reveal that a negatively charged residue (Glu or Asp) on the ligand is in close contact with the Arg of the F'-G' loop, indicating a potential electrostatic interaction. Thus, the contribution of the Arg residue to ligand recognition may also be extended to these receptors. Sequence divergence in this loop among the GM-CSF, IL-3, and -5 $\alpha$ chain receptors suggests that this region is likely to be important for the observed specificity of the corresponding receptor subunit.

Furthermore, the F-G loop has been shown to contain binding determinants. Short peptides containing the sequence Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) from the F–G loop of the tenth fibronectin type III repeat specifically block interactions with integrins by binding integrins (39). The F'-G' loop of IL-6R-$\alpha$ contains residues that are critical for IL-6 binding: E278-F279 and G282-E283 (17). Similarly, recent data suggest the involvement of Y421 at the F'-G' loop of $\beta$C in high-affinity GM-CSF binding (38). Moreover, the F'-G' loop of cytokine receptors has, at its COOH-terminal end, a highly conserved WS box that plays a crucial role in maintaining the structure required for the presentation of side chains involved in ligand binding (36).

The modeling of the Epo receptor and hormone was performed using the same technique to compare our modeling approach with a cytokine receptor of known crystal structure (Fig. 6). Our model for EpoR illustrates the $\alpha$-cation interaction between the two Trp of the WSXWS motif and an Arg of the F' strand that was also observed in the crystal structure (40). Furthermore, the two disulfide bridges identified between the four conserved Cys in the crystal structure are present in our model. Finally, our EpoR model shows two phenylalanines, Phe93 (E–F loop) and Phe205 (F'-G' loop), that point towards the hormone consistent with their locating within the hydrophobic core formed between the peptide and the receptor in the crystal structure (40) as well as their importance in ligand binding (41, 42).

In summary, the presence of a highly conserved structural element in the F'-G' loop, the WS motif, imposes a $\beta$ turn to a loop that is highly divergent in sequence, allowing the conserved Arg to be oriented towards the ligand and further strengthens the possibility that this loop may be involved in ligand interaction. The presence of the integrin-binding determinant RGD in the F-G loop of the fibronectin, which does not have a WS box, further underscores the crucial role of electrostatic interactions in ligand binding for cytokine receptors with fibronectin-like domains.
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