Dual role of HupF in the biosynthesis of [NiFe] hydrogenase in Rhizobium leguminosarum

Marta Albareda1,2, Hamid Manyani1,2, Juan Imperial1,2,3, Belén Brito1,2, Tomás Ruiz-Argüeso1,2, August Böck4 and Jose-Manuel Palacios1,2*

Abstract

Background: [NiFe] hydrogenases are enzymes that catalyze the oxidation of hydrogen into protons and electrons, to use H2 as energy source, or the production of hydrogen through proton reduction, as an escape valve for the excess of reduction equivalents in anaerobic metabolism. Biosynthesis of [NiFe] hydrogenases is a complex process that occurs in the cytoplasm, where a number of auxiliary proteins are required to synthesize and insert the metal cofactors into the enzyme structural units. The endosymbiotic bacterium Rhizobium leguminosarum requires the products of eighteen genes (hupSLCDEFGHIJKhypABFCDEX) to synthesize an active hydrogenase. hupF and hupK genes are found only in hydrogenase clusters from bacteria expressing hydrogenase in the presence of oxygen.

Results: HupF is a HypC paralogue with a similar predicted structure, except for the C-terminal domain present only in HupF. Deletion of hupF results in the inability to process the hydrogenase large subunit HupL, and also in reduced stability of this subunit when cells are exposed to high oxygen tensions. A ΔhupF mutant was fully complemented for hydrogenase activity by a C-terminal deletion derivative under symbiotic, ultra low-oxygen tensions, but only partial complementation was observed in free living cells under higher oxygen tensions (1% or 3%). Co-purification experiments using StrepTag-labelled HupF derivatives and mass spectrometry analysis indicate the existence of a major complex involving HupL and HupF, and a less abundant HupF-HupK complex.

Conclusions: The results indicate that HupF has a dual role during hydrogenase biosynthesis: it is required for hydrogenase large subunit processing and it also acts as a chaperone to stabilize HupL when hydrogenase is synthesized in the presence of oxygen.
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and its transfer into the hydrogenase large subunit have been thoroughly studied in the *Escherichia coli* hydrogenase-3 system [2]. In that system, cyano ligands are synthesized from carbamoylphosphate through the concerted action of HypF and HypE proteins [4,5] and transferred to an iron atom exposed on a complex formed by HypC and HypD proteins [6]. The source and biosynthesis of the CO ligand likely follows a different path [7-9] whose details are still unknown, although recent evidence suggests that gaseous CO and an intracellular metabolite might be sources for the ligand [10].

When the iron is fully coordinated, HypC transfers it to pre-HycE, the precursor of the large subunit of *E. coli* hydrogenase-3. After incorporation of the precursor cofactor into HycE, proteins HypA, HypB, and SlyD mediate Ni incorporation into the active site [11]. After nickel insertion, the final step is the proteolytic processing of the hydrogenase large subunit by a nickel-dependent specific protease [12].

Hydrogen is produced in soils as a result of different metabolic routes. A relevant source of this element is the process of biological nitrogen fixation, in which at least 1 mol of hydrogen is evolved per mol of nitrogen fixed as a result of the intrinsic mechanism of nitrogenase [13]. As a consequence, many diazotrophic bacteria, including some rhizobia, induce [NiFe] hydrogenases along with nitrogenase to recover part of the energy lost as hydrogen [14]. The genome of the legume endosymbiotic bacterium *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *viciae* UPM791 encodes a single hydrogenase that is expressed under symbiotic conditions by the concerted action of eighteen genetic determinants (hupSLCDEFGHIJKhyp-ABFCDEX) clustered on the symbiotic plasmid [15]. Symbiotic expression of hydrogenase structural genes (hupSL) is controlled by the NifA-dependent promoter P1 [16]. In addition, an FnRN-type promoter controls the expression of the *hupBFCD* operon under microaerobic and symbiotic conditions [17]. For practical purposes, the NifA-dependent *hupSL* promoter has been replaced by the FnRN-dependent *fixN* promoter (P*fixN*), thus allowing expression of hydrogenase in microaerobic vegetative cells [18]. A single FnRN-dependent promoter drives the expression of *hupSL* and all downstream hydrogenase genes in cosmid pALPF1. This plasmid and its deletion derivatives, along with the *hup*-deleted *R. leguminosarum* strain UPM 1155, have been used as a model to study hydrogenase synthesis in this bacterium [19].

The *R. leguminosarum* hydrogenase cluster encodes two proteins (HupF and HupK) not present in *E. coli* but conserved in other hydrogenase systems such as those from *Ralstonia eutropha* [20], *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* [21], and *Rhodobacter capsulatus* [22]. In the case of *Thiocapsa roseopersicina*, HupK and two copies of HypC have been described [23].

HupF is a paralog of HypC but, apart from this, no further data are available on the function of this protein in the *R. leguminosarum* system. HoxL, the HupF homolog in the *R. eutropha* system, is essential for the synthesis of active hydrogenase [20]. Recently, a model has been proposed for the synthesis of the oxygen-tolerant hydrogenase from *R. eutropha* [24]. According to this model, the interaction between HoxV, the HupK homolog in that system, and HypC plays a key role as intermediate able to accommodate the Fe(CN)₃CO cofactor precursor from the HycCD complex prior to its incorporation into a complex containing the hydrogenase large subunit (HoxG) and HoxL [20]. This model is further supported by the fact that HypC2 from *T. roseopersicina* was able to interact with HupK and HupD [23].

In this work we present evidence indicating that *R. leguminosarum* chaperone HupF has a second role in hydrogenase biosynthesis: in addition to its proposed role in assisting the transfer of Fe-containing precursor cofactor from HupK to HupL, it plays a protective role on hydrogenase structural subunit HupL when cells are exposed to oxygen.

**Results**

The existence of *hupF* and *hupK* correlates with the presence of *hypC* in the genome of aerobic bacteria

A BLAST search for homologues to *R. leguminosarum* HupF and HupK proteins in a set of 408 completed genomes from *Proteobacteria* in the NCBI database revealed the presence of two *hupF*/*hypC*-like genes in 21 out of 77 proteobacterial genomes encoding [NiFe] hydrogenases. In all these cases, a *hupK*-like gene was identified in the DNA region between *hupF* and *hypC* (Table 1) suggesting a structure for hydrogenase gene clusters similar to that described for *R. leguminosarum* [15]. Interestingly, all organisms encoding the three HufF, HypC and HupK proteins were able to express hydrogenase in the presence of oxygen. Anaerobic bacteria (sulphate-reducers and other anaerobes) encoded only one *hypC/hupF*-like gene, and no *hupK*-like gene, and the same situation was found in *Enterobacteriaceae*.

The availability of the 3D structure of HypC from *Thermococcus kodakarenis* [25] allowed us to model both *R. leguminosarum* HypC and HupF proteins on that template (Figure 1A). We found that the model derived for HupF is compatible with a structure highly similar to that of HypC, except for the C-terminal domain present only in HupF (Figure 1C). This structural similarity suggests a related function for both proteins.

We used the *hupF/hypC* sequences identified above to build a phylogenetic tree for this group of proteins (Figure 1B). In this tree we included the sequences corresponding to *hupF* and *hypC* genes shown in Table 1, along with sequences from HupF/HypC-like proteins
from the well studied hydrogenase systems from \textit{R. leguminosarum} and \textit{R. eutropha}. Analysis of this phylogenetic tree revealed that HupF clusters as a coherent branch separated from HypC, suggesting a divergent evolution from a common ancestor driven by selection for potential functional differences of the two proteins.

**HupF is required for hydrogenase activity**

Previous transposon mutagenesis of the \textit{R. leguminosarum} hydrogenase region did not result in insertions located in \textit{hupF} [28,29]. In order to test the essentiality of this gene for hydrogenase activity we analyzed the hydrogenase activity associated to cosmid pALPF5, a pALPF1 derivative harboring the \textit{hup/hyp} gene cluster with a precise deletion on \textit{hupF} gene (see Methods). In these experiments, microaerobic (1\% O$_2$) cultures of the \textit{hup}-complete strain UPM 1155(pALPF1) showed high levels of hydrogenase activity, whereas the \textit{hupF}-deleted strain UPM 1155(pALPF5) showed only basal levels of activity similar to those observed for the \textit{hypC}-deleted strain UPM1155(pALPF14) used as negative control (Table 2). The \textit{ΔhupF} mutant was fully complemented by plasmid pPM501, encoding a HupF protein C-terminally fused to a StrepTagII affinity tail (HupF\textsubscript{ST}). These data also indicate that HupF\textsubscript{ST} is fully functional.

**HupF contributes to HupL stability under elevated oxygen tensions**

The existence of \textit{hupF} in hydrogenase systems from bacteria synthesizing this enzyme in the presence of oxygen prompted us to study the potential role of this protein in protection against oxygen. To this aim, we analyzed the possible effect of HupF on the status of hydrogenase large subunit in cultures maintained under different oxygen tensions (1\% and 3\%). The higher oxygen tension (3\%) still allowed the expression of hydrogenase in \textit{R. leguminosarum} wild-type strain, although at a reduced level (40\% of the level induced under 1\% O$_2$, Table 2). The presence and processing status of the hydrogenase large subunit (HupL) were analyzed in crude cell extracts from microaerobic cultures through immunoblot (Figure 2). In these experiments we found that the wild-type cells contained a clear band associated to the mature form of HupL, irrespective of whether cells were induced under 1\% or 3\% oxygen (Figure 2A and 2B,

---

**Table 1 Location of genes encoding HupL, HupF, HupK, and HypC proteins in genomes from Proteobacteria**

| Bacterial species             | #a | HupL Locus designation | HupF Locus designation | HupK Locus designation | HypC Locus designation |
|-------------------------------|----|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Alkalimnicola ehrlichei       | 1  | Mlg_2028               | Mlg_2025               | Mlg_2020               | Mlg_2016               |
| Azorarcus sp. BH72            | 2  | azo3787                | azo3793                | azo3798                | azo3802                |
| Azotobacter vinelandii        | 3  | Avin_50580             | Avin_50550             | Avin_50500             | Avin_50460             |
| Beijerinckia indica           | 4  | Bind_1151              | Bind_1154              | Bind_1158              | Bind_1162              |
| Bradyrhizobium sp. ORS278     | 5  | BRADO1685              | BRADO1688              | BRADO1693              | BRADO1698              |
| Bradyrhizobium japonicum USDA110 | 6  | bsl6941                | bsl6938                | bsl6933                | bsl6929                |
| Bradyrhizobium sp. BTA1       | 7  | BBta_1997              | BBta_2000              | BBta_2005              | BBta_2009              |
| Burkholderia vietnamiensis    | 8  | Bcep1808_5932          | Bcep1808_5935          | Bcep1808_5940          | Bcep1808_5944          |
| Burkholderia phymatum         | 9  | Bphy_7264              | Bphy_7261              | Bphy_7257              | Bphy_7253              |
| Dechloromonas aromatica       | 10 | Daro_3988              | Daro_3985              | Daro_3980              | Daro_3967              |
| Magnetococcus sp.             | 11 | Mmc1_2503              | Mmc1_2501              | Mmc1_2497              | Mmc1_2490              |
| Magnetospirillum magneticum   | 12 | amb1647                | amb1645                | amb1644                | amb1640                |
| Methylibium petroleophilum    | 13 | Mpe_A2826              | Mpe_A2821              | Mpe_A2817              | Mpe_A2813              |
| Paracoccus denitrificans      | 14 | Pden_3098              | Pden_3102              | Pden_3106              | Pden_3110              |
| Polaromonas naphthalenivorans | 15 | Pnap_1974              | Pnap_1970              | Pnap_1965              | Pnap_1961              |
| Ralstonia metallidurans       | 16 | Rmet1297               | Rmet1292               | Rmet1287               | Rmet1283               |
| Rhodobacter sphaeroides ATCC17029 | 17 | Rsph17029_2147         | Rsph17029_2151         | Rsph17029_2155         | Rsph17029_2159         |
| Rhodobacter ferrireducens     | 18 | Rfer_4091              | Rfer_4093              | Rfer_4118              | Rfer_4098              |
| Rhodopseudomonas palustris     | 19 | RPA0963                | RPA0967                | RPA0972                | RPA0976                |
| Rhodospirillum rubrum ATCC11170 | 20 | RuA1162                | RuA1165                | RuA1167                | RuA0307                |
| Xanthobacter autotrophicus    | 21 | Xaut_2174              | Xaut_2177              | Xaut_2181              | Xaut_2185              |

---

*aTaken from KEGG gene database (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/genes.html).
bOrdinal numbers used in phylogenetic tree of Figure 1.
This band was absent in a ΔhupL mutant used as negative control (Figure 2A). Analysis of the cell extracts from the ΔhupF strain grown at 1% oxygen revealed the presence of HupL, although in the unprocessed form (Figure 2A, upper panel). Interestingly, HupL was not detected when cultures from the same mutant strain were incubated under 3% O2 (Figure 2B). In contrast, extracts from a R. leguminosarum mutant lacking HypC, used as a hydrogenase non-processing control, showed a clear band of unprocessed HupL after exposure to both 1% and 3% oxygen tension (Figure 2A and 2B). Similar levels of an immunoreactive band corresponding to HypB were detected in all the extracts (Figure 2, lower panels), indicating that the microaerobic induction of Hup expression was equally effective for all strains in each treatment. These data suggest that, in the presence of 3% oxygen, HupL is either unstable or not synthesized in the absence of HupF. In order to further evaluate these possibilities, we analyzed the in vivo stability of HupL as a function of the presence/absence of HupF. To address this question, we first induced R. leguminosarum cultures for hydrogenase expression under 1% oxygen, and then the induced cells, carrying either processed HupL (wild-type strain) or unprocessed HupL (ΔhupF and ΔhupF/ST mutants), were exposed to atmospheres containing either 1% O2 or 21% O2 for up to 3 hours. After such treatments, the amount and processing status of HupL was determined through immunoblot assay in cell extracts.

### Table 2 Hydrogenase activity induced by R. leguminosarum strains in microaerobic cultures and in pea bacteroids

| Strain          | Genotype          | 1% O2        | 3% O2        | Bacteroids |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| UPM1155(pALPF1) | wild type         | 13440 ± 1720 | 4970 ± 472   | 5980 ± 852 |
| UPM1155 (pALPF14) | ΔhypC           | < 200        | < 200        | < 200      |
| UPM1155 (pALPF5) | ΔhupF           | < 200        | < 200        | 470 ± 84   |
| UPM1155 (pALPF5/pPM501) | ΔhupF/hupFST | 12890 ± 230  | 5900 ± 779   | 5350 ± 728 |
| UPM1155(pALPF5/pPM501C) | ΔhupF/hupFST | 4780 ± 228   | 1025 ± 255   | 5400 ± 683 |

*Values, expressed in nmoles H$_2$ h$^{-1}$ (mg protein)$^{-1}$, are the average of three independent assays ± SE.*
The result of these experiments revealed that, as expected, the level of HupL was not significantly altered by incubation under 1% O2 in all the strains tested. Also, incubation of wild-type cells under 21% oxygen revealed that the mature form of hydrogenase large subunit was fully stable under these conditions. In contrast, incubation of ΔhupF cultures under 21% O2 resulted in the gradual disappearance of unprocessed HupL, virtually undetectable after 3 h, whereas the unprocessed form in the ΔhypC mutant was significantly more stable upon incubation under 21% oxygen. A similar analysis performed with an anti-HypB antiserum, used as control, revealed that the levels of this protein were stable during the incubation, irrespective of whether cells were incubated under 1% or 21% O2 (Figure 3B).

**HupF participates in protein complexes with HupL and HupD during hydrogenase biosynthesis**

The observed role of HupF on stabilization of HupL in the presence of oxygen prompted us to examine the existence of interactions between both proteins. We studied such interactions through pull-down experiments with soluble extracts from *R. leguminosarum* cultures expressing HupFST from plasmid pPM501. In this plasmid the expression of hupFST is under the control of the same PfixN promoter used for the remaining hup/hyp genes in pALPF1. In order to "freeze" intermediate complexes produced during the biosynthetic process, this plasmid was expressed in strain UPM 1155(pALPF4), carrying an in-frame deletion in the gene (hupD) for the protease involved in the final step of HupL maturation.

Soluble fractions from *R. leguminosarum* UPM 1155 (pALF4, pPM501) cultures grown under microaerobic conditions (1% O2) were loaded into *Strep* Tactin columns, and desthiobiotin-eluted fractions were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed through immunoblot (Figure 4, upper panels). When membranes were probed with *Strep*Tactin-AP conjugate, a strong band of the expected size for HupFST (ca. 10 kDa. Figure 4B) was detected, indicating that the system was efficient in recovering this protein. Similar immunoblots were developed with an anti-HupL antiserum. In these experiments we found in the eluates a strong immunoreactive band of a size corresponding to the unprocessed form of HupL.
the hydrogenase large subunit (ca. 66 kDa, Figure 4A). This band could be detected also in the soluble extract. The co-purification of this protein along with HupFST suggests the existence of a complex between HupF and HupL.

Immunoblot analysis was also carried out with an anti-HupK antiserum (Figure 4C). This analysis identified several immunoreactive bands in the soluble fraction of the ΔhupD mutant, one of which likely corresponded to HupK, since it showed the expected molecular size (ca. 37 kDa) for this protein, and was absent in the extract from the Δhupk mutant. Analysis of the StreptTactin eluates with the same antiserum revealed that the same specific band co-eluted with HupFST in the ΔhupD mutant, but was absent in the eluate from the hupk-deficient strain, strongly suggesting the existence of a complex involving HupF and HupK. It has to be noted that eluates obtained from the hupk-deficient mutant contained reduced levels of HupFST (and hence, of coeluted HupL), suggesting that HupF might require the presence of HupK for full stability (Figure 4A and 4B).

In order to obtain additional confirmation for the existence of the complexes deduced from the pull-down experiments described above, the eluates were further analyzed using non-denaturing conditions. To this aim, the immunoblot analysis was repeated after the proteins eluted from StreptTactin columns were resolved in 4-20% gradient polyacrylamide native gels (Figure 4, lower panels). When the immunoblot was developed with anti-HupL antiserum, a major immunoreactive band was detected in eluates from the ΔhupD derivative strain (Figure 4A). A band of similar size and mobility was detected when a replicate immunoblot was developed with the StreptTactin-AP conjugate (Figure 4B), suggesting that both bands correspond to a HupL-HupF complex. In both cases, the absence of HupK was associated to the virtual absence of HupFST-containing complexes (Figure 4A and 4B). Finally, a third replicate of the same immunoblot developed with the anti-HupK antiserum revealed a fainter band, with a slightly lower mobility (Figure 4C), suggesting a different, less abundant HupK-HupF complex. As before, non-specific bands were detected by this antiserum in the ΔhupK mutant, likely corresponding to complexes of the non-specific bands detected in the SDS-PAGE experiments described above.

Further confirmation on the composition of the complex or complexes detected by immunoblotting was sought by peptide mass fingerprinting analysis of the major complex present in the eluate obtained from the ΔhupD strain UPM 1155 (pALPF4, pPM501). Such eluate was resolved by 4-20% gradient native PAGE, followed by Coomassie Blue staining. In this gel we identified a clear band with a mobility similar to that of the complexes identified above (data not shown). This band was excised and subjected to MALDI-TOF analysis after trypsin digestion. The analysis led to the identification of peptides corresponding to proteins HupL and HupF (data not shown), indicating the

Figure 4 Pull-down analysis of HupF interactions with HupL and HupK proteins. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE (top panels) or 4-20% gradient native PAGE (bottom panels). Immunoblots were revealed with antisera raised against HupL (panel A) or HupK (panel C), or with StreptTactin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate (panel B) to detect HupFST. Eluates (E) were obtained from extracts from R. leguminosarum UPM 1155 derivative strains harboring pALPF1-derivative plasmids deficient in hupD (pALPF4) or in hupK (pALPF10) and expressing HupFST from plasmid pPM501. Soluble extracts (S) of the corresponding cultures were loaded as controls for detection of HupL and HupK proteins. Arrows indicate the relevant bands identified in the eluate from the ΔhupD mutant. Proteins subjected to SDS-PAGE (top panels) were loaded in gels with different amounts of polyacrylamide (9% for HupL, 15% for HupFST, and 12% for HupK). Numbers on the left margin of the panels indicate the position of molecular weight standards (kDa, top panels), or the position of BioRad Precision Plus Standards (1, 250 kDa; 2, 150 kDa, 3, 75 kDa; 4, 100 kDa) in native gels (bottom panels).
presence of a major complex involving these two proteins. In this analysis no peptides corresponding to HupK, nor to any other Hup/Hyp proteins, were detected. Taken together, data from immunoblot and mass spectrometry analyses suggest the presence of two different complexes: a major complex containing HupF and HupL, and a second, much less abundant complex involving HupF and HupK, only detectable through immunoblot analysis.

Functional analysis of the HupF C-terminal region

A distinctive domain of *R. leguminosarum* HupF is the extended C-terminal region, absent in the otherwise structurally related HypC protein (Figure 1). In order to elucidate the relevance of this region for HupF function, we constructed plasmid pPM501C, a pPM501 derivative in which the hupF gene was modified to produce a truncated version of HupFST (HupFCST) with a precise deletion of the C-terminal 24 amino acid residues of HupF (see Methods). When this plasmid was introduced into the HupF-deficient strain UPM 1155(pALPF5), only partial restoration of hydrogenase activity (37%) was observed under standard inducing conditions (1% O₂, Table 2) and, consistently, the amount of processed protein was significantly reduced (Figure 5A, top panel). These data indicate that the truncated form of the protein is partially impaired in its role when hydrogenase biosynthesis is carried out in an atmosphere of 1% O₂. Since HupF was shown to contribute to HupL stability under higher oxygen tensions (Figure 2), we also tested the effect of the C-terminal deletion under these conditions. Interestingly, when hydrogenase was induced in an atmosphere containing 3% oxygen, the truncated form of the protein supported only 17% of the activity associated to the complete form of the protein (Table 2), which corresponded to virtually undetectable amounts of processed HupL protein (Figure 5B, top panel). Since the evidence pointed towards a more relevant role for the C-terminal region of HupF under higher oxygen tensions, we hypothesized that such an effect should be less relevant under symbiotic conditions. Bacteroids within the legume nodule are maintained under oxygen tensions in the nanomolar range [30], at least three orders of magnitude lower than those present in microaerobic cultures. We determined hydrogenase activity and HupL processing in pea bacteroids induced by *R. leguminosarum* strains carrying either the whole or the truncated version of HupF. In this experiment, both forms of the protein complemented the ΔhupF mutant to wild-type levels of activity, irrespective of the presence of the C-terminal region (Table 2). Also, immunoblot analysis of bacteroid crude extracts indicated that the level of HupL processing was not significantly altered by the deletion (Figure 5C). These data indicate that the C-terminal region of the protein is not required at ultra-low oxygen tensions.

Discussion

The maturation of metalloenzymes such as [NiFe] hydrogenase requires the biosynthesis and insertion of metal cofactors through the action of auxiliary proteins. The soluble, hydrogen-evolving hydrogenase-3 enzyme from *E. coli* has served as a model to elucidate the intricate biosynthetic pathway for the [NiFe] cofactor [2]. However, there is increasing evidence indicating that the model for biosynthesis of this cofactor might not be universal [20]. Ancient enzymes such as hydrogenase had to evolve to accommodate into an O₂-containing environment. From a biotechnological point of view, oxygen tolerance is a relevant characteristic with obvious
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interest [31]. The initial model described for the oxygen-sensitive hydrogenase from Desulfovibrio gigas [32] has been enriched by recent crystal structures of oxygen tolerant hydrogenases from Hydrogenovibrio marinus, R. eutropha, and E. coli, showing that in the case of oxygen-tolerant enzymes, the iron-sulfur cluster proximal to NiFe cofactor corresponds to an unprecedented [4Fe3S] type coordinated with six cysteines [33-35]. This cluster provides redox protection to the NiFe cofactor, by allowing the enzyme to catalyze reduction of O2 to water “in situ” as well as the oxidation of hydrogen. An oxidative environment may also require protection during enzyme biosynthesis. From a genetic point of view, a relevant variation lies in the presence of two additional genes, hupF and hupK and their homologues, encoding auxiliary proteins in hydrogenase systems from aerobic bacteria. Using a specific deletion mutant we have shown in this work that HupF is essential for hydrogenase activity in R. leguminosarum, as it has been described in the R. eutropha system [20]. The results obtained here indicate that HupF has a dual role during hydrogenase biosynthesis: it is required for hydrogenase large subunit processing and also acts as a chaperone to stabilize HupL when hydrogenase is synthesized in the presence of oxygen.

Data from experiments on exposure of HupL-containing cells to different oxygen tensions indicate that, in the absence of HupF, unprocessed HupL gradually disappears at high oxygen tensions. Since there is no pO2-driven expression of hupL at 21% O2 [18], the decrease in the level of HupL is likely due to a loss of stability of the protein. Analysis of the C-terminal deletion mutant of HupF suggests that this domain might be relevant for HupL stabilization and might provide additional support for the role of HupF as an oxygen protective chaperone. The C-terminally truncated protein is functionally indistinguishable from the full-size protein under symbiotic, ultra-low oxygen conditions, whereas the functionality of the truncated protein is increasingly compromised in free-living cells under 1% and 3% O2. Preliminary analysis of the mutant protein indicates that it still binds HupL, although at lower level, whereas it appears as fully competent in HupK binding (data not shown).

The results presented in this work indicate the existence of physical interactions between HupF, HupK, and HupL. During biosynthesis of the hydrogenase large subunit in R. leguminosarum. This subunit contains cysteine motifs involved in the binding of the NiFe cluster [1]. The identification of similar motifs in HupK-like proteins had led to the hypothesis of a scaffolding role for HupK similar to that of NiFe protein in nitrogenase synthesis [36]. Experimental evidence showed that HoxV, the HupK homolog in the R. eutropha system, was indeed able to bind the cofactor precursor with the cyano- and carbonyl ligands bound to a Fe atom, thus assigning a key role to this protein in the incorporation of the cofactor into hydrogenase [20]. In the same system, the existence of HoxL-HoxG and HypC-HoxV complexes was inferred from SDS-PAGE analysis of proteins obtained in co-purification experiments [20]. The data from immunoblot analysis under native conditions and from mass spectrometry analysis presented here provide a direct evidence of the existence of two such complexes in R. leguminosarum: a major HupL-HupF complex and a much less abundant one involving HupF and HupK. The high recovery of HupL with HupFST points towards a strong interaction between both proteins in the ΔhupD mutant, where the NiFe cofactor is supposed to be inserted into HupL but the protein is still unprocessed. In this situation HupF is firmly attached to unprocessed HupL, and we hypothesize that this immature protein might require the oxygen-protective function of HupF to protect the labile NiFe cluster prior to proteolytic processing, when the protein is still in an open conformation. Following the model described for the R. eutropha system [24] we propose that R. leguminosarum proteins in these complexes interact to transfer the iron-containing hydrogenase cofactor precursor from HupK to HupL, prior to the final HupD-mediated proteolytic step. But HupF protein also contributes to the stability of hydrogenase large subunit at high oxygen tensions. Data from experiments performed in a ΔhupS background indicate that HupF is not bound to HupL after HupD-mediated proteolytic processing (our unpublished results), indicating that mature HupL is stable enough to not require any additional chaperones, as suggested also by the results on stability of mature enzyme under 21% O2 presented in this paper. This model might not be the only possibility for the biosynthesis of oxygen-tolerant hydrogenases, since recent evidences indicate that hydrogenase-1 from this E. coli is an oxygen-tolerant hydrogen uptake hydrogenase [37], and neither HupF- nor HupK-like proteins are present in this bacterium.

Previous data from our lab and from other laboratories suggest that adaptations to the presence of oxygen also exist for the synthesis of hydrogenase small subunit HupS through the participation of HupGHIJ proteins or their homologues [19,38]. In the case of endosymbiotic bacteria, such as R. leguminosarum, the synthesis of hydrogenase under the ultra-low oxygen tensions prevalent in symbiotic conditions is less severely dependent on such auxiliary proteins [19]. The low, although significant, levels of hydrogenase activity detected in bacteroids induced by the ΔhupF mutant, but not in vegetative cells, might indicate that for R. leguminosarum this major HupC -> HupK -> HupF -> HupL pathway for cofactor synthesis
transfer might coexist in bacteroids with a low level of alternative biosynthesis, perhaps via the direct HypC -> HupL mode established for E. coli [2].

The assembly and incorporation of non-protein ligands is a critical aspect in hydrogenase synthesis for which we still have a limited knowledge. The newly described role for HupF in this process is probably one of the adaptations to the presence of oxygen, a condition that likely affected the evolutionary history of this metalloenzyme originated in an ancient, mainly anaerobic period of the biosphere. A better understanding of the molecular basis of these adaptations will hopefully allow the design of oxygen tolerant hydrogenase enzymes for biotechnological purposes.

**Conclusions**

Analysis of mutants induced for hydrogenase activity under different conditions indicate that HupF has a dual role during hydrogenase biosynthesis: it is required for hydrogenase large subunit processing, and also acts as a chaperone to stabilize HupL when hydrogenase is synthesized in the presence of oxygen. The HupF-HupL and HupF-HupK complexes identified in pull-down experiments and mass spectrometry analysis are likely involved in such functions.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions**

Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 3. *R. leguminosarum* strains were routinely grown at 28°C in YMB [39]. E. coli DH5α was used for standard cloning procedures and E. coli S17.1 for conjugative plasmid transfer between *E. coli* and *R. leguminosarum*. Antibiotic concentrations used were as follows (μg ml⁻¹): ampicillin, 100; kanamycin, 50; tetracycline, 5 (for *R. leguminosarum*) or 10 (for *E. coli*).

**Plant tests**

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L. cv. Frisson) seeds were surface-disinfected, pregerminated on agar plates, sown in Leonard jar-type assemblies, and inoculated with *R. leguminosarum* bv. *viciae* strains, as previously described [45]. Plants were grown for 21 days under bacteriologically controlled conditions with a nitrogen-free plant nutrient solution in a greenhouse adjusted to 18/25°C.

**Table 3 Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this work**

| Strain or plasmid | Relevant genotype or phenotype | Source or reference |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| *Rhizobium*       |                               |                     |
| *leguminosarum*   |                               |                     |
| UPM791            | 128C3 wild type; St' Nod⁺ Fix⁺ Hup⁺ | [40]               |
| UPM1155           | UPM791 (Δhup/hyp cluster) Hup  | [19]               |
| Escherichia coli  |                               |                     |
| DH5α              | recA1 endA1 gpyA96 thi hsdR17 supE44 relA1 Δ[λacZYA-argF]U169 80d[λacZAM15 |
| S17.1             | thi pro hsdR' hsdM⁺ recA RP4-2-Tc-Mu-Kan-T7; (Sp' Sm') | [42]               |
| **Plasmids**      |                               |                     |
| pAL618            | pLAFR1-based cosmid containing the whole *R. leguminosarum* hydrogenase gene cluster | [40]               |
| pALPF1            | pAL618 with *hupSL* promoter replaced by *fixN* promoter (*P*<sub>fixN</sub>) | [18]               |
| pALPF2            | pALPF1 Δ*hupL* | [19]               |
| pALPF4            | pALPF1 Δ*hupD* | [19]               |
| pALPF5            | pALPF1 Δ*hupF* | This work          |
| pALPF10           | pALPF1 Δ*hupK* | This work          |
| pALPF14           | pALPF1 Δ*hypC* | This work          |
| pALPF382          | pALPF1 derivative carrying *hupF⁺* gene | This work          |
| pBBR1MCS-2        | Broad-host-range plasmid; Km⁺ mob⁺ | [43]               |
| pKD3              | Template plasmid harbouring FLP-mediated excision sequences flanking Cm⁺ gene | [44]               |
| pPM71             | PKD3 derivative containing Strep-tag II sequence for C-terminal end fusion | This work          |
| pPM1350           | pBBR1MCS-2 derivative containing a DNA fragment harbouring *P*<sub>fixN</sub> promoter from *R. leguminosarum* | [19]               |
| pPM501            | pPM1350 derivative containing an *NdeI-XbaI* fragment harbouring *HupF⁺* under the control of *P*<sub>fixN</sub> | This work          |
| pPM501C           | pPM501 derivative containing a deletion of the 25 3'codons of *hupF* | This work          |
| pPCR2.1-TOPO       | PCR cloning vector | Invitrogen         |
(night/day) temperatures. Nitrogen-free plant nutrient solution was supplemented with 170 μM NiCl₂ on day 10 after seedling inoculation. Bacteroid suspensions were obtained from nodules as previously described [40].

### Hydrogenase activity assays

Hydrogenase activity in bacteroid suspensions and in free-living microaerobic cell cultures was measured by an amperometric method using a Clark-type electrode with oxygen as electron acceptor [45]. Hydrogenase activity in vegetative cells was induced in 40-ml cultures grown under continuous bubbling with a gas mixture containing O₂ concentrations of 1 or 3% in N₂. Strains were aerobically grown in YMB medium to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of ca. 0.4. From these cultures a 1:4 dilution was made in fresh YMB medium. Flasks were capped with a stoppered-tube system adapted to continuous flushing with 1% or 3% O₂ on N₂, and incubated at 28°C for 20 h. For HupL stability studies, bacterial cultures were maintained in a bottle with continuous bubbling with either 1% O₂ or air during 3 hours after standard microaerobic induction (1% O₂). Cell cultures were centrifuged and suspended in 5 ml Dixon buffer (32 mM K₂HPO₄, 24 mM KH₂PO₄ and 0.24 mM MgCl₂) before amperometric determinations. To prevent damage of hydrogenase due to O₂ exposition, extracts were bubbled with argon during preparation. Protein contents of vegetative cells and bacteroids were determined by the bicinchoninic acid method [46] after alkaline digestion of cells at 90°C in NaOH for 10 min, with bovine serum albumin as the standard.

### DNA manipulation techniques and mutant construction

DNA manipulations, including purification, restriction, ligation, agarose gel electrophoresis, PCR amplification, and transformation into E. coli cells were carried out by standard methods [47].

In-frame deletions of hupF, hupK and hypC genes were generated in plasmid pALPF1 as described by Manyani et al. [19], resulting in plasmids pALPF5, pALPF10, and pALPF14, respectively. Primers used for deletions and plasmid generation are included in Table 4.

To generate the HupF::StrepTagII (HupFST) fusion protein, a modification of the Datsenko and Wanner deletion system [44] was used. The modification consisted in insertion of the sequence coding for the StrepTagII peptide (WSHPQFEK) in the 5' end of the antibiotic resistance gene of the pKD3 plasmid [19] resulting in plasmid pPM71. This plasmid was used as template for in-frame fusing of the StrepTagII sequence to the 3' end of hupF from pALPF1 plasmid using TAGF31-TAGF32 by a procedure previously described [19]. The resulting plasmid derivative pALPF382 harbors a hydrogenase gene cluster encoding hupF::StrepTagII (hupFST).

In order to express hupFST gene in microaerobically grown cultures of R. leguminosarum in a compatible way with Hup expression from pALPF1 derivatives, a pBRR1MCS derivative plasmid (pPM501) harboring hupFST was constructed. To this end we amplified this gene using plasmid pALPF382 as template and FNDE-MANG3 primers. Amplified fragment was cloned (NdeI-XbaI) in pPM1350 plasmid [19]. This plasmid harbors the PfixN promoter from pALPF1 that is expressed in microaerobic conditions under the control of the FnrN protein.

A truncated form of HupFST lacking the C-terminal region (HupFST) was generated by using plasmid pALPF1 as template for the in-frame deletion of the 25 codons at the 3' end of hupF gene. The sequence coding for the StrepTagII peptide was fused in frame to the corresponding site of hupF using primers FNDE and
HUPF-3413 L-Strep. Amplified DNA was cloned in PCR 2.1-TOPO, and the construct was confirmed by sequencing. Then, the DNA region containing the truncated hupF gene (hupFCST) was excised with NdeI and XbaI and cloned downstream the PgaN promoter of plasmid pPM1350, resulting in plasmid pPM501C. For this cloning we took advantage of the NdeI site generated with primer FNDE and the XbaI site from plasmid PCR2.1.-TOPO.

**Purification of HupF-StrepTag II fusion protein**

Protein purification was carried out from 3 l of bacterial cultures of *R. leguminosarum* induced for hydrogenase activity under continuous bubbling with a 1% O₂ gas mixture. 40 ml portions of cultures were centrifuged, and cells were resuspended in 5 ml Dixon buffer and assayed for hydrogenase activity as described before. Cell suspensions and extracts used for protein purification were bubbled with argon to avoid damage of hydrogenase from O₂ exposure, and centrifuged at 6000 rpm at 4°C for 10 minutes. The pellets were suspended in 2 ml of buffer W (100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl) containing a protease inhibitor mixture (Complete-mini; Roche Diagnostics GmbH). Cells were disrupted by three passages using a French pressure cell (SLM Roche Diagnostics GmbH) operated by gravity flow. The column was centrifugal filter device (Amicon Ultra 0.5 ml, 3 K). Fractions were cleared from cell debris and membranes by ultracentrifugation at 135,000 × g at 4°C for 1 h. The supernatant (soluble extract) was added to a 0.2-ml Strep-Tactin Superflow column (IBA, Göttingen, Germany) operated by gravity flow. The column was washed five times with 400 μl of buffer W to remove unbound proteins, and the tagged protein was eluted by the addition of 600 μl (6 × 100 μl) of buffer W supplemented with 2.5 mM D-desethylbiotin. Relevant fractions were pooled and concentrated using a centrifugal filter device (Amicon Ultra 0.5 ml, 3 K).

**Western immunoblot and peptide mass fingerprinting**

Proteins were resolved by either standard sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) or native PAGE in commercial gradient 4-20% polyacrylamide gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA), and were transferred onto Immobilon-P membrane filters (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) as previously described [48]. HupL, HupK and HypB proteins were detected immunologically using antisera raised against *R. leguminosarum* HupL (1:400 dilution), HupK (1:100 dilution) and HypB (1:2,000 dilution). Blots were developed by using a secondary goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G-alkaline phosphatase conjugate and a chromogenic substrate (bromochloriodol phosphate-nitro blue tetrazolium) as recommended by the manufacturer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. Hercules, CA, USA). For HupFST identification we used StrepTactin conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (1:2,500; IBA, Göttingen, Germany). Immunoblot analyses were performed with 60 μg and 20 μg (total protein) of vegetative cells and bacteroids crude extracts, respectively, for HupL, or 10 μg for HypB detection. For purification of HupFST protein and study of interactions, immunoblot analysis was performed with 4 μg of protein from pooled eluate fractions and 60 μg of protein from soluble fraction samples. For identification of complexes by peptide mass fingerprinting, 20 μg (total protein) of pooled desthiobilin-eluted fractions from bacterial cultures of *R. leguminosarum* UPM1155(pALPF4, pPM501) were resolved in native 4–20% gradient polyacrylamide gels. Then, gels were stained by Coomassie brilliant blue G-250, and bands were excised and sent to the CBGP proteomics facility for analysis by mass spectrometry on a Kratos MALDI-TOF MS apparatus (Kratos Analytical, Manchester) after trypsin digestion. Peptide profile was compared to MASCOT database supplemented with sequences from UPM791 *hup/hyp* gene products.
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