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Abstract The Windjana drill sample, a sandstone of the Dillinger member (Kimberley formation, Gale Crater, Mars), was analyzed by CheMin X-ray diffraction (XRD) in the MSL Curiosity rover. From Rietveld refinements of its XRD pattern, Windjana contains the following: sanidine (21% weight, ~Or95); augite (20%); magnetite (12%); pigeonite; olivine; plagioclase; amorphous and smectitic material (~25%); and percent levels of others including ilmenite, fluorapatite, and bassanite. From mass balance on the Alpha Proton X-ray Spectrometer (APXS) chemical analysis, the amorphous material is Fe rich with nearly no other cations—like ferrihydrite. The Windjana sample shows little alteration and was likely cemented by its magnetite and ferrihydrite. From ChemCam Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectrometer (LIBS) chemical analyses, Windjana is representative of the Dillinger and Mount Remarkable members of the Kimberley formation. LIBS data suggest that the Kimberley sediments include at least three chemical components. The most K-rich targets have 5.6% K2O, ~1.8 times that of Windjana, implying a sediment component with >40% sanidine, e.g., a trachyte. A second component is rich in mafic minerals in little fieldspar (like a shergottite). A third component is richer in plagioclase and in Na2O, and is likely to be basaltic. The K-rich sediment component is consistent with APXS and ChemCam observations of K-rich rocks elsewhere in Gale Crater. The source of this sediment component was likely volcanic. The presence of sediment from many igneous sources, in concert with Curiosity’s identifications of other igneous materials (e.g., mugearite), implies that the northern rim of Gale Crater exposes a diverse igneous complex, at least as diverse as that found in similar-age terranes on Earth.

1. Introduction

The Mars Science Laboratory spacecraft mission, through its rover Curiosity, has been exploring and investigating in Gale Crater, Mars, since its successful landing in August 2012. Curiosity’s suite of sampling and analysis instruments was designed to investigate solid materials, their constituents (i.e., minerals), and the physical environment to characterize Mars’ past environments in terms of potential habitability for life [Grotzinger et al., 2012, 2014, 2015a, 2015b]. Among Curiosity’s instruments is the CheMin X-ray diffractometer [Blake et al., 2012].
which has determined the mineral contents, proportions, and compositions of several materials in Gale Crater [Bish et al., 2013; Vaniman et al., 2014]. CheMin results have shown that the windblown soil in Gale Crater has the mineralogy of a basalt, with no detectable crystalline water-bearing minerals [Bish et al., 2013] and that the mudstones of the Yellowknife Basin area (Figure 1a) contain ferromanganese smectite clays that formed in situ by aqueous alteration of olivine [Bristow et al., 2015; Vaniman et al., 2014].

After leaving the Yellowknife Bay area, Curiosity has traveled across the floor of Gale Crater (i.e., Aeolus Palus) toward its ultimate mission goal of investigating the stratigraphy of the central sedimentary mound in the Crater [Grotzinger et al., 2012]. On this trek, Curiosity traversed across sedimentary rocks of the Bradbury group (Figure 2a) and investigated these rocks (by imaging, ChemCam Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectrometer (LIBS), and Alpha Proton X-ray Spectrometer (APXS)), at the Darwin and Cooperstown outcrops [Grotzinger et al., 2015b; McLennan et al., 2014]. These localities were not investigated in detail, because the MSL science team agreed to defer investigations until Curiosity reached the more extensive outcrops of these units in the Kimberley area [Grotzinger et al., 2015b] (Figures 1 and 3).

Along its path, Curiosity encountered a wide range of clastic sedimentary rocks and clasts within or from those sediments [Sautter et al., 2014; Schmidt et al., 2014b; L. Le Deit et al., 2015]. The potassic sedimentary rocks in Gale crater, Mars as seen by ChemCam onboard Curiosity, submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research Planets, 2015] but did not stop to drill and obtain CheMin analyses of the mineralogies of these materials. Of particular interest along Curiosity’s traverse have been the abundance and variety of sediments and rocks rich in the alkali elements (Na and K) and particularly those rich in potassium [Sautter et al., 2014; Stolper et al., 2013; Thompson et al., 2014; L. Le Deit et al., submitted manuscript, 2015]. The mineralogy of these sediments and rocks had been a matter of speculation. It was fortuitous that rocks in the Kimberley area, already targeted for detailed investigation, turned out to be among the most potassium rich analyzed in Gale Crater.

So on sol 609 (‘sol’ = Martian solar day since landing) of Curiosity’s mission, a sandstone of the Kimberley area was drilled and delivered to CheMin for X-ray diffraction analysis of its mineralogy. Here we present the results of those analyses, in terms of mineralogy (mineral identities and cell parameters), elemental chemistry (in relation to APXS and ChemCam elemental analyses of rocks in the area), and regional geology for the Windjana area and the sources of its sediments from the far northern wall of Gale Crater.

1.1. Geological Setting for Kimberley and Windjana

Gale Crater is located on fluvially dissected highland crust, along Mars’ highlands-lowlands “dichotomy” boundary [Anderson and Bell, 2010]. Crater counts on Gale’s ejecta blanket and the underlying highland crust indicate that the Crater formed at ~3.7–3.6 Ga [Le Deit et al., 2013; Thomson et al., 2011]. The interior plains of Gale Crater (Aeolis Palus), Figure 1, contain fluvial valleys, eroded alluvial fan deposits, and sedimentary deposits that form a central mound (Aeolis Mons, informally Mount Sharp) cored by a possible central peak exposed on the south side of the mound [Le Deit et al., 2013; Palucis et al., 2014; Schweizer et al., 2012; Thomson et al., 2011]. Sedimentary rocks in Gale Crater have been dated to 4.21 ± 0.35 Ga, on Mars, by K-Ar systematics using data from the APXS and Sample Analysis at Mars (SAM) instruments on Curiosity [Farley et al., 2014].

Prior to landing, the bedrock and surficial materials of Aeolis Palus in the vicinity of Curiosity’s landing ellipse were mapped and subdivided into several units based on geomorphic, textural, and physical properties observed from orbit [Grotzinger et al., 2014]. Following landing and the first two years of rover operations, these geomorphic/textural units were recognized to coincide with a succession of sedimentary rocks, approximately 75 m thick, that was designated the Bradbury group, Figure 2a [Grotzinger et al., 2015b]. These rocks are interpreted to pass laterally into the Murray formation, a lacustrine mudstone that forms the basal unit of Mount Sharp [Grotzinger et al., 2015b].

The Bradbury group is composed largely of fluvial clastic sediments (conglomerates through mudstones), with interstratified eolian sandstones and siltstones (Figures 2a and 2b) [Grotzinger et al., 2015b]. Fluvial deposits were derived from the Gale Crater northern wall and rim based on southerly directed paleocurrent direction [Grotzinger et al., 2015b]. Eolian deposits show variable transport directions that suggest reworking of underlying fluvial sands. The Bradbury group is largely undivided with the exception of the basal Yellowknife Bay formation and the Kimberley formation in the middle of the group.

Between sols 574 and 631, the well-exposed outcrops in the Kimberley area (Figures 1a and 1b) were studied in detail; the rocks are all assigned to the Kimberley formation. The stratigraphic setting of the Kimberley
Figure 1. Regional geology and local setting of the Kimberley area and Windjana site. Images from NASA, JPL, Malin Space Science Systems, and the University of Arizona. (a) Curiosity’s traverse across Gale Crater, with the Kimberley site (NASA image PIA 18392). (b) HiRISE image of Kimberley area with rover and Windjana site noted. Heavy arrow indicates Windjana drill site; light arrow denotes Curiosity rover. (NASA image PIA 18018). (c) View of Kimberley area from near the Square_Top location (see Figure 1b). Undulating beds of Square_Top member in foreground; Windjana drill site noted by arrow. Rim of Gale crater in distance to right and center. From Curiosity MastCam mosaic mcam02484, acquired on sol 590. (d) MAHLI self-portrait of Curiosity in front of the Windjana site. Arrow shows Windjana drill site, with main and mini-drill holes. Dillinger (D) and Mount_Remarkable (MR) units labeled, as is a fragment of rock from the Beagle (B) unit that rolled down the hill. Note the central mound in Gale crater (Mount Sharp) in top right of image. From NASA image PIA 18930.
Facies similar to those of the Kimberley formation have been observed in the same stratigraphic sequence at several locations near the Kimberley area; Curiosity studied these rock types previously along its traverse through Moonlight Valley and Violet Valley (sols 500–552), and again at the Kylie location (sols 552–559) [Edgar et al., 2014; Grotzinger et al., 2015a].

The Kimberley formation includes several distinct subdivisions, here designated as members, each of which is a few tens of centimeters to a few meters thick (Figures 2a and 2b). The basal Point Coulomb and Liga members are interpreted as fluvial conglomerates. Overlying the Liga is the Square Top member, which is interpreted as deltaic sandstones and pebbly sandstones. In turn, the Dillinger member of uncertain depositional origin, overlies the Square Top member. The poorly exposed Mount Remarkable member tops the succession along with remnants of a capping sandstone unit, the Beagle member. Outcrops of the Liga and Dillinger members are marked by linear ridges of material that is relatively resistant to erosion. Several such ridges are near the Windjana drill location (Figure 3a), and the one investigated in detail (named Stephen) is strongly enriched in manganese [Lanza et al., 2014].
The Dillinger member of the Kimberley formation was sampled for CheMin and SAM analyses during drilling of a target named "Windjana." There, the Dillinger is composed of fine-grained sandstone to siltstone. The Dillinger member is marked by low-angle cross stratifications that dip generally northward, whereas the regional paleo-depositional dip of fluvial facies of the Kimberley formation is toward the south; this difference may suggest that the Dillinger member is eolian (J. Grotzinger, personal communication, 2015). The Dillinger and the subjacent Square Top and Liga members (fluvial sandstones) all have anomalously high K₂O abundances compared to most other rocks encountered in Gale Crater [Grotzinger et al., 2014; L. Le Deit et al., submitted manuscript, 2015].

2. Samples and Methods

2.1. Sample and Acquisition

On sol 580 of the mission, Curiosity arrived at the northernmost outcrops of Kimberley area and investigated the rocks of the Square Top and Liga members (Figure 1). Curiosity approached the Windjana drill site on sol 608, tested it with a minidrill on sol 615 for rock properties and stability, and acquired a drilled sample on sol...
The drilled sample was sieved on sol 622 to <150 μm in the CHIMRA sample processing system and delivered then to CheMin into an unused Mylar cell (#13A) for CheMin diffraction analysis and also to SAM for evolved gas analyses. The Curiosity rover departed from Windjana and the Kimberley area on sol 630 en route to its next detailed investigations at Pahrump Hills (Figure 1a) and to Mount Sharp, the central mound of Gale Crater. During this traverse, a second aliquot of Windjana sieved material was delivered on sol 640 to a Mylar cell (#12B) that had been used previously and dumped.

2.2. Methods and Data

All data used here have been released to the public and are available through the Planetary Data System (https://pds.nasa.gov) and other sources.

2.2.1. CheMin

Diffraction patterns for the Windjana powder, as two-dimensional images from the CheMin CCD [Blake et al., 2012], were acquired for a total of 23 h over sols 623–632. There were no systematic differences among the individual images (i.e., in overall intensity or in relative strengths of diffraction peaks), so all were summed to yield a single two-dimensional diffraction pattern (Figure 4a). The second aliquot, delivered on sol 640 to a used and dumped Mylar cell (#12B), was analyzed for 22 h over sols 640–656. Results for Windjana material in this cell are qualitatively identical to those for the pristine cell but show evidence that some material remained in the cell from the previous analysis of Cumberland, a mudstone from an earlier location; these data are not considered further.

The two-dimensional CCD diffraction images were corrected on the spacecraft for DC offset and some hot pixels (pixels on the CCD which register high values in the absence of light or X-ray hits), summed and manually corrected for other hot pixels on the ground, and converted to a conventional one-dimensional X-ray diffraction pattern (Figure 4a) using the computer code “GSE_ADA\textsuperscript{©} for NASA” [Dera et al., 2013], see supporting information. The GSE_ADA code sums diffraction events circumferentially around the two-dimensional diffraction image, given the following parameters: the distance from sample to CCD, the location of the X-ray beam center, the shape of the beam, and the tilt of the CCD surface with respect to the X-ray beam. The values of these parameters were obtained by analysis of beryl in an onboard CheMin standard, using its cell dimensions determined with laboratory X-ray diffractometers on Earth.

Procedures for processing and interpreting the Windjana X-ray diffraction pattern are as described earlier for CheMin [Bish et al., 2013; Blake et al., 2012, 2013; Vaniman et al., 2014]. The Windjana diffraction data were first evaluated by comparisons and searches of the International Centre for Diffraction Data®
Proportions of amorphous and poorly crystalline phases in the Windjana sample (Table 2) were calculated from the diffraction pattern using computer code FULLPAT. The calculated proportions are uncertain to 1σ of 20% of the amounts present.

2.2.2. Chemical Compositions

The chemical composition of the Windjana sample, on which much of this analysis relies, comes from the APXS instrument on the rover arm [Campbell et al., 2012; Thompson et al., 2014]. Additional detail is available in Gellert et al. [2014a, 2014b]. The APXS acquired several chemical compositions of the Windjana rock and drill powders (Table 3); of those, we rely on the analysis of the Windjana dump sample, which was the sieved powder remaining in the CHIMRA delivery system after aliquots had been delivered to SAM and CheMin. This is the best available analog for what was delivered to CheMin and SAM.

### Table 1. Refined Unit Cell Parameters of Well-Crystalline Minerals in the Windjana Sandstone

| Mineral      | a (Å)    | b (Å)     | c (Å)     | α (deg) | β (deg) | γ (deg) |
|--------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Sanidine     | 8.596(12)| 13.047(11)| 7.183(5)  | -       | 115.97(7)| -       |
| Plagioclase   | 8.166(90)| 12.87(10) | 7.126(110)| 93.5(20)| 116.5(7)| 90.0(9) |
| Olivine       | 4.790(7) | 10.298(9) | 6.031(7)  | -       | -       | -       |
| Augite        | 9.761(6) | 8.94(1)   | 5.28(1)   | -       | 106.3(2)| -       |
| Pigeonite     | 9.67(1)  | 8.905(13)| 5.215(5)  | -       | 108.7(1)| -       |
| Enstatite     | 18.4(2)  | 8.9(1)    | 5.19(1)   | -       | -       | -       |
| Magnetite     | 8.3856(11)| -        | -         | -       | -       | -       |
| Ilmenite      | 5.081(7) | -         | 14.04(4)  | -       | -       | -       |
| Hematite      | 5.022(2) | -         | 13.87(14)| -       | -       | -       |
| Pyrrhotite    | 6.85(4)  | -         | 16.99(8)  | -       | -       | -       |
| Akaganeite    | 10.61(3) | 3.11(1)   | 10.3(3)   | -       | 90.4(16)| -       |
| Apatite       | 9.428(16)| -         | 6.87(3)   | -       | -       | -       |
| Anhydrite     | 6.98(3)  | 7.01(3)   | 6.20(2)   | -       | -       | -       |
| Bassanite     | 11.9(2)  | 6.97(4)   | 12.70(8)  | -       | 86(7)   | -       |

*Numbers in parentheses are 1σ uncertainties on the cell parameters, applied to their last digits. Italics indicate that the mineral is near its detection limit, and so has uncertain unit cell parameters. For plagioclase, cell parameters are the averages from the three most similar refinements, and the uncertainties are 1σ for all refinements.*
It is possible that the sieved powder delivered to CheMin does not have the composition of the bulk rock because of grain separation and fractionation during drilling and sieving. A suggestion to this effect can be seen in Table 3 by comparing the drill fines (sol 662) with the sieve dump (sol 704); the latter is poorer in K2O, and richer in Na2O and SO3 than the former. However, the drill fines are from a different range of depths within the rock than the sample ingested into CHIMRA, and that difference may account for the range in bulk compositions (Table 3). In either case, we assume that the sieved sample analyzed by CheMin is representative of the bulk Windjana rock.

Information on the volatile constituents in rocks of the Kimberley comes from the EGA (evolved gas) instrument [Mahaffy et al., 2012]. SAM EGA analysis can provide constraints on the identity, abundance, and isotope ratios of volatile constituents, like carbonate and nitrate, that decompose or devolatilize below ~900°C. Data on the Windjana sample are from McAdam et al. [2015] and Stern et al. [2015b].

To understand the local context of the chemistry of the Windjana sample, we rely on data from the ChemCam LIBS instrument [Wiens et al., 2012], which can probe the chemistry of rocks up to a few meters from the rover. We use the June 2015 recalibration of the LIBS results (available from the NASA Planetary Data System); comprehensive views of chemostratigraphy in the Kimberley area are given in a companion paper (L. Le Deit et al., submitted manuscript, 2015). We do not compare chemical analyses by APXS and LIBS directly because of known offsets between these two methods.

### 2.2.3. Other Data

Images of the Windjana sample area and the Kimberley area are from the Mars Hand Lens Imager (MAHLI) and MastCam imagers on the Curiosity Rover. Spectral reflectance constraints on the iron-bearing minerals of the Windjana sample come from “passive spectra” in visible light from the ChemCam instrument [Johnson et al., 2015a, 2015b; Wiens et al., 2012].

| Mineral       | Mass % Crystalline | Mass % Total | John Klein Mass % Total | Cumberland Mass % Total | Rocknest Mass % Total |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Sanidine      | 28(4)             | 21(3)        | 1.2                     | 1.6                     | 0.9                   |
| Oligoclase    | 1.0(16)           | 0.8(1.2)     | -                       | -                       | -                     |
| Andesine      | 2(2)              | 2(2)         | -                       | -                       | -                     |
| Plagioclase total | 3(3)              | 3(3)         | 22.4                    | 22.2                    | 29.8                  |
| Olivine       | 6.2(14)           | 4.7(10)      | 2.8                     | 0.9                     | 16.4                  |
| Augite        | 26(3)             | 20(3)        | 3.8                     | 4.1                     | 10.7                  |
| Pigeonite     | 15(3)             | 11(2)        | 5.6                     | 8.0                     | 10.1                  |
| Enstatite     | det?              | det?         | 3.0                     | 4.1                     | -                     |
| Magnetite     | 16(3)             | 12(2)        | 3.8                     | 4.4                     | 1.5                   |
| Ilmenite      | 1.1(7)            | 0.8(5)       | -                       | 0.5                     | 0.7                   |
| Hematite      | 0.7(5)            | 0.6(4)       | 0.6                     | 0.7                     | 0.8                   |
| Pyrrhotite    | 0.4(4)            | 0.3(3)       | 1.0                     | 1.0                     | -                     |
| Akaganite     | 0.3(3)            | 0.2(2)       | 1.1                     | 1.7                     | -                     |
| Anhydrite     | 0.6(4)            | 0.4(3)       | 2.6                     | 0.8                     | 1.1                   |
| Bassanite     | 0.7(5)            | 0.5(4)       | 1.0                     | 0.7                     | -                     |
| Jarosite      | det?              | det?         | -                       | -                       | -                     |
| Fluorapatite  | 1.1(10)           | 0.8(8)       | -                       | -                       | -                     |
| Quartz        | -                 | -            | 0.4                     | 0.1                     | -                     |
| Halite        | -                 | -            | 0.1                     | 0.1                     | -                     |
| Pyrite        | -                 | -            | 0.3                     | -                       | -                     |
| Kaolinite     | det?              | det?         | -                       | -                       | -                     |
| Smectite/Illite | -              | 10(2)        | 22                      | 18                      | -                     |
| Amorphous     | -                 | 15(3)        | 28                      | 31                      | 27                    |

*Weight % in crystalline mass includes all well-crystalline materials and excludes phyllosilicates and amorphous material; based on Rietveld refinements. Mass % total includes phyllosilicates and amorphous material; based on Rietveld refinements and FULLPAT analysis. Numbers in parentheses are 1 s uncertainties on values; see Data Set S1 in the supporting information. Italics indicate that a phase is near its detection limit. “det?” indicates possible detection; present in a few of the Rietveld refinements.”
results

3. Results

3.1. Rock Classification

The Windjana sample is clearly of a clastic sedimentary rock, because its source rock contains discrete grains (Figure 3b) and is within a stratigraphic sequence of other clastic rocks. The MAHLI images of the Windjana outcrop with the greatest spatial resolution show rare grains up to ~400 μm across, and most of the detectable grains are ~80 μm across (with a limit of detection of three pixels, i.e., 48 μm). These images suggest that the dominant grain size is <125 μm, which (in the standard classification of sedimentary rocks) would make the Windjana sample a very fine sandstone or a siltstone or a mudstone. For simplicity, we refer to the Windjana rock as a sandstone.

3.2. Minerals and Compositions

3.2.1. Alkali Feldspar

The most distinctive mineralogical feature of the Windjana sandstone is its abundance of alkali feldspar, 28% by mass of the crystalline material (Table 2) and 21% by mass of the whole rock (including amorphous and poorly crystalline components). This abundance of alkali feldspar is reflected also in the significant abundance of K2O in the bulk composition (Table 3); both will be crucial facts for understanding the sources and genesis of the Windjana sandstone.

Alkali feldspars have a range of compositions and crystal structures. Compositional variability is mostly from the substitution of Na+ for K+, although other substitutions can be significant, including: B3+ for Al3+, Fe3+ for Al3+, NH4+ and/or Rb+ for K+, and Ba2+ + Al3+ for K+ + Si4+ [Cerny and Chapman, 1986]. Structural variability comes from the ordering (or distribution) of the tetrahedral Al3+ among the four (potentially) distinct tetrahedral sites in the structure [Kroll and Ribbe, 1983]. Depending on whether the Al3+ is completely ordered, partially ordered, or completely disordered, the KAlSi3O8 mineral would be identified as microcline, orthoclase, or sanidine, respectively (Figure 5). Although the name “sanidine” can connote an igneous origin, its use here is in its strict mineralogical sense, as K-rich feldspar with extensive Al-Si disorder.

Fortunately, the b and c crystal unit cell parameters of alkali feldspars vary in a monotonic and distinctive fashion with Na/K ratio and Al-Si ordering [Blasi, 1977; Kroll and Ribbe, 1983, 1987; Wright and Stewart, 1968], and the CheMin determinations for the Windjana alkali feldspar (Table 1) are sufficient for its classification. Figure 5 shows the b and c parameters of the Windjana alkali feldspar compared to those of other alkali feldspars, based on the extensive experiments and literature compilations of Kroll and Ribbe [1983]. The Windjana alkali feldspar plots are within uncertainty of being pure KAlSi3O8, although the b-c plot is not sensitive to Na.

Table 3. APXS Chemical Analyses of the Windjana Sandstone, and Calculated Compositions of Its Crystalline and Amorphous + Poorly Crystalline Materials

| Sol (wt %) | 612 Pre-DRT | 612 Post-DRT | 622 DrillFines | 704 DumpPile | ±2σ Crystalline Component | ±2σ Amorphous + Poorly Crystalline |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| SiO2      | 39.84       | 40.47       | 39.30         | 37.38       | 0.86                     | 43.5                             |
| TiO2      | 1.02        | 1.03        | 1.15          | 1.07        | 0.06                     | 0.6                              |
| Al2O3     | 6.83        | 5.62        | 5.69          | 5.62        | 0.38                     | 5.8                              |
| CaO       | 9.18        | 10.87       | 12.67         | 12.29       | 0.50                     | 9.1                              |
| Fe2O3     | -           | 0.42        | 0.44          | 0.49        | 0.02                     | 0.0                              |
| FeO       | 23.38       | 24.51       | 26.50         | 27.90       | 0.66                     | 14.2                             |
| MnO       | 0.65        | 0.67        | 0.56          | 0.53        | 0.02                     | 0.28                             |
| MgO       | 9.18        | 10.87       | 12.67         | 12.29       | 0.50                     | 9.1                              |
| CaO       | 5.50        | 5.01        | 4.91          | 5.26        | 0.12                     | 6.5                              |
| Na2O      | 2.09        | 1.21        | 0.40          | 0.96        | 0.14                     | 0.34                             |
| K2O       | 1.71        | 3.01        | 3.65          | 3.09        | 0.20                     | 4.45                             |
| P2O5      | 0.90        | 0.81        | 0.73          | 0.64        | 0.10                     | 0.6                              |
| SO3       | 6.42        | 3.95        | 2.58          | 3.57        | 0.10                     | 1.0                              |
| Cl        | 1.33        | 1.65        | 0.80          | 0.57        | 0.02                     | 0.0                              |
| Total     | 99.26       | 99.23       | 99.4          | 99.37       | 0.37                     | 98.3                             |
| Ni ppm    | 410         | 383         | 383           | 516         | 30                       |
| Zn ppm    | 3584        | 3981        | 4347          | 4775        | 145                      |
| Br ppm    | 1819        | 1979        | 348           | 123         | 5                        |

*aDrillFines is Raster Point 3, which was the longest duration analysis with the lowest uncertainties.

*bBased on Monte Carlo propagation of uncertainties in bulk composition, mineral compositions, and mineral abundances; averages and standard deviations of 2500 runs. See Data Sets S1 and S2 in the supporting information.
content of very K-rich feldspars [Kroll and Ribbe, 1983]. Given this insensitivity, we infer that the composition of the Windjana alkali feldspar is approximately Or$_{95.0}^{\pm 2.0}$Ab$_{5.0}^{\pm 2.0}$ (1σ uncertainty). Structurally, the Windjana alkali feldspar is sanidine (i.e., high K spar) and within uncertainty of having its Al$^{3+}$ fully disordered among the possible sites [Kroll and Ribbe, 1987]. Figure 5b compares this composition (Or$_{95.0}^{\pm 2.0}$Ab$_{5.0}^{\pm 2.0}$) to feldspars that have been analyzed from the SNC meteorites [Papike et al., 2009] and from the polymict breccia NWA 7034/7475 [Santos et al., 2015; Wittmann et al., 2015].

With CheMin data, we cannot evaluate other chemical substitutions in the Windjana sanidine (e.g., B$^{3+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, NH$_4^+$, Ba$^{2+}$); given the close match between its $b$ and $c$ parameters and those of nominal pure sanidine, none of them are likely to be significant. Feldspars with significant NH$_4^+$, the buddingtonite component, are known on Earth in some high-temperature metasomatic deposits and in some sedimentary phosphate deposits; the cell parameters for buddingtonite fall slightly outside the quadrilateral of Figure 5 ($b = 13.032 \, \text{Å}$, $c = 7.187 \, \text{Å}$) but near the sanidine and orthoclase end-members. It seems doubtful that CheMin data alone could distinguish buddingtonite from pure sanidine, but the high abundance of K in the bulk rock analysis (Table 3) and the lack of significant high-temperature evolution of N species during SAM EGA analysis of Windjana [Stern et al., 2015a, 2015b] suggest that its alkali feldspar contains little if any NH$_4^+$.

Other substituents have cell parameters that fall far from the quadrilateral on Figure 5. The B$^{3+}$ feldspar, reedmergnerite, occurs in authigenic deposits in B-rich saline environments [Martin, 1971]; its cell parameters are $b = 12.373 \, \text{Å}$ and $c = 6.808 \, \text{Å}$. Sanidine rich in Fe$^{3+}$ is known from extrusive alkaline igneous rocks [Turoe et al., 2008]; the cell parameter for pure KFe$^{3+}$Si$_3$O$_9$ is $b = 13.13 \, \text{Å}$ and $c = 7.347 \, \text{Å}$. The $b$-$c$ cell parameters of the Windjana alkali feldspar could be replicated by mixtures of these nominally minor components (e.g., both B$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$) [Cerny and Chapman, 1986], but the simplest explanation is that the Windjana alkali feldspar contains neither B$^{3+}$ nor Fe$^{3+}$ and is nearly pure KA$_2$Si$_3$O$_8$. This is consistent with ChemCam analyses at Windjana (and elsewhere in Gale Crater) that show concentrations of boron essentially at the detection limit (several hundred parts per million; R. C. Wiens, personal communication, 2015). There is

Figure 5. Alkali feldspar in Windjana. (a) Unit cell $b$-$c$ plot for alkali feldspars after Wright and Stewart [1968] and Cerny and Chapman [1986]. Circle shows the $1\sigma$ uncertainty ellipse in the $b$-$c$ cell parameters of the Windjana alkali feldspar (Table 1). Dot “bd” shows cell parameters for buddingtonite, (NH$_4$)$_2$AlSi$_3$O$_8$; arrows show vectors for substitutions, into high sanidine, of B$^{3+}$ (reedmergnerite component, NaBSi$_3$O$_8$) and Fe$^{3+}$ (NaFe$_3$Si$_3$O$_8$ component). (b) Compositions of the Windjana alkali feldspar and plagioclase compared to feldspars of the SNC Martian meteorites and the NWA 7034 Martian meteorite (and pairs); data from the literature, full reference list on request. Chemical components are as follows: Or, KAlSi$_3$O$_8$; Ab, NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$; and An, CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$. Windjana sanidine and andesine are plotted as 0% An and 0% Or, respectively, because we lack constraints on those components.
Iron oxide spinel is relatively abundant in the Windjana sandstone, at ~16% mass of the crystalline material and 12% mass of the whole rock. (Table 2). Because the spinel is cubic, CheMin can retrieve only limited constraints on the composition and cation ordering in a spinel—only the a cell dimension, and relative intensities of the...
spinel’s diffraction peaks. Thus, CheMin data alone cannot retrieve the complex composition of a real spinel, e.g., which could contain significant Ti, Cr, Al, and Mg in addition to Fe [Gattacceca et al., 2014]; however, CheMin data can place significant limits on the range of possible spinel compositions. Beyond that, consideration of other data from the Curiosity rover restricts the spinel to being magnetite proper, with very little excess Fe$^{3+}$ accommodated by site vacancies (maghemite component) and/or Ti (ulvöspinel component), and some small proportion of Al and/or Mg (hercynite and magnesioferrite components).

3.2.4.1. Constraints From Unit Cell Parameter

The $a$ cell parameter for the Windjana spinel is $a = 8.389 \pm 0.006$ Å (Table 1), the mean value and 1 standard deviation of the Rietveld refinements. The uncertainty on the precision of each

Figure 6. Mafic mineral compositions in the Windjana sample. (a) Figure 6. (pyx and ol Windjana et al., 11-18-15) Compositions of bulk pyroxenes (pyx) estimated by CheMin refinement for the Gale Crater samples Windjana (this study) and Rocknest and Cumberland [Morrison et al., 2015] projected onto the pyroxene quadrilateral (molar). Also plotted are pyroxene compositions from 19 SNC meteorites [Papike et al., 2009] and from NWA 7034/7475 [Santos et al., 2015; Wittmann et al., 2015]. Estimated bulk olivine (ol) compositions from Windjana, Rocknest, and Cumberland, samples are plotted as a function of Mg/(Mg + Fe), molar below the pyroxene quadrilateral. Also plotted is the estimated olivine composition at Gusev Crater [Morris et al., 2004] and olivine compositions from selected SNC meteorites [Papike et al., 2009]. (b) Estimated Mg#s based on bulk compositions of augite, pigeonite, and olivine from the Windjana sample (this study) compared to coexisting pyroxenes and olivines in low- to high-pressure experiments. Solid black line in each panel is a third-order polynomial least squares fit to the experimental data. (top) Augite Mg# versus pigeonite Mg#; (middle) augite Mg# versus olivine Mg#; (bottom) pigeonite Mg# versus olivine Mg#; where Mg# = Mg/(Mg + Fe), molar. See the supporting information for the reference list for the experimental data.
individual refinement is ~0.002 Å, which is consistent with the uncertainty on the mean value. As noted above, the accuracy of cell parameters may be worse than this because of uncertainty in the distance between analysis cell and X-ray detector. This a value is smaller than that of pure stoichiometric magnetite, Fe3O4, which has \(a = 8.3961 \pm 0.0007\) Å [Okeruda, 1997; Wechsler et al., 1984], and that difference can be interpreted in terms of the spinel’s chemical composition. Many substituents into Fe3O4 can reduce its unit cell dimension to that observed in the Windjana sandstone. The most likely in reasonable geological settings include chromium, ferric iron, magnesium, and aluminum, which can be represented as solid solutions toward the spinel end-members: chromite (FeCr2O4), \(a = 8.3765\) Å; maghemite (\(\gamma\)-Fe2O3), \(a = 8.34\) Å; magnesioferrite (MgFe2O4), \(a = 8.38–8.340\) Å, depending on ordering state; and hercynite (FeAl2O4), \(a = 8.152\) Å [Antao et al., 2005; Golla-Schindler et al., 2005; Gorski and Scherer, 2010; Hamecher et al., 2013; O’Neill et al., 1992]. The Windjana spinel is likely a complex solid solution of these (and other) components or end-members, but CheMin data cannot distinguish among them. So we consider here only binary solid solution series.

First, it would be reasonable for the Windjana magnetite to be a solid solution toward chromite (or be a physical mixture of magnetite proper and chromite), because chromite and chrome spinel are common accessory phases in basalts. If the only substituent were chromium, the a cell parameter (and uncertainty) would be consistent with composition ranges of Mt80Chr20 to Mt50Chr50 or ~Mt10Chr90 [Kurepin, 2005; Levinstein et al., 1972]. There are two possible ranges here because the a cell parameter is a highly nonlinear function of composition along the magnetite-chromite join (the former being an inverse spinel and the latter a normal spinel).

It would also be reasonable for the magnetite in Windjana to have been produced diagenetically by oxidation of olivine (as proposed for the Yellowknife Bay mudstones [Bristow et al., 2015; Vaniman et al., 2014]). In that case, one might expect it to contain significant additional Fe3+ as maghemite component (i.e., cation-deficient magnetite), but not Cr. In that case the Windjana magnetite would be consistent with a composition between Fe3+/Fe2+ = 0.35 –0.45, i.e., Mt70Mh30 to Mt50Mh50 [Gorski and Scherer, 2010; Lilova et al., 2012; Schmidbauer and Keller, 2006].

Aluminum is a common minor substituent in magnetite-rich spinels and can be represented by the hercynite component, FeAl2O4. Between magnetite and hercynite, the unit cell parameter a varies nearly linearly with composition [Golla-Schindler et al., 2005]. From that data, if the Windjana magnetite were solely a solid solution between magnetite and hercynite, its composition would be approximately Mt95Hc05.

Magnesium is also a common minor substituent in magnetite-rich spinels, as the magnesioferrite component, MgFe2O4. The a cell parameter for pure MgFe2O4 can vary significantly depending on its ordering state, with values near that of the Windjana spinel at the greatest order state (i.e., low-formation temperature, <600°C) [Antao et al., 2005; O’Neill et al., 1992]. So if the Windjana magnetite were solely a solid solution between magnetite and magnesioferrite, it would be very rich in magnesioferrite, in the vicinity of Mt93Mf7. In crustal settings (on Earth), such magnesioferrite-rich spinels are uncommon and found only in highly oxidized, extreme-temperature settings (e.g., impact spherules, meteorite fusion crusts) and in rare carbonatites and igneous rocks [Shi et al., 2009].

Titanium substitution, as in titanomagnetite and the ülvospinel component, increases the a cell parameter [Bosi et al., 2009; Wechsler et al., 1984] and is thus unlikely to be significant in the Windjana magnetite. However, Ti substitution charge balanced by vacancies (as in titanomaghemite) could yield a magnetite with a reasonable a cell parameter; i.e., a fully oxidized titanomaghemite has \(a = 8.358\) Å [Allan et al., 1989].

### 3.2.4.2. Constraints From Diffraction Peak Heights

Although spinel (being cubic) has few diffraction peaks, the relative intensities of those peaks carry some information on the composition and cation distribution of the spinel. From the Windjana pattern, one can readily extract relative intensities of three spinel diffraction peaks: 400 (\(d = 2.099\) Å); 113 (\(d = 2.53\) Å); and 111 (\(d = 4.85\) Å), see Figure 4b. Two other peaks are buried among diffraction from other phases: 222 (\(d = 2.43\) Å) and 220 (\(d = 2.97\) Å).

The peak height ratio 111/113 varies significantly among spinels: ~12% in titanomaghemite [Collyer et al., 1988]; ~10% for chromite; ~8% for magnetite; ~3% in maghemite; and <1% in pure hercynite (based on measured and synthetic patterns) [Chichagov et al., 2001]. The peak ratio 111/113 for the Windjana spinel is near 8%, as calculated from the observed pattern (subtracting from the apparent 113 peak intensity contributions from the augite <131 peak)—this can be seen qualitatively in the difference curve on
From CheMin data, the Windjana spinel could contain a moderate to large proportion of the chromite component \( \text{Mt}_{80} \text{Chr}_{20} \) to \( \text{Mt}_{10} \text{Chr}_{90} \), but the bulk rock chemical composition excludes even a moderate constituent of the spinel. A spinel with a composition of \( \text{Mt}_{80} \text{Chr}_{20} \) contains \( \sim 13.2 \text{ wt } \% \text{ Cr}_2\text{O}_3 \). Given that spinel comprises \( \sim 12.4 \% \) of the Windjana sandstone, such a spinel composition would contribute \( \sim 1.6 \text{ wt } \% \text{ Cr}_2\text{O}_3 \) to the bulk rock composition—more than three times the \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 content of the Windjana Dump Pile (Table 1). Thus, while we cannot rule out the presence of some chromite as a solid solution component in the spinel, if it is present, its abundance must be quite small, especially since some fraction of the bulk rock \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 will be present in the pyroxenes (augites in NWA 7034/7475 and in the SNCs can contain up to \( \sim 1 \text{ wt } \% \text{ Cr}_2\text{O}_3 \) [Papike et al., 2009; Santos et al., 2015; Wittmann et al., 2015]).

Discounting significant Mg substitution (as magnesioferrite component) as geologically unreasonable, we are left to infer that the Windjana spinel is most likely to be magnetite with a small proportion of Al substitution (\( \sim 5 \% \) molar of hercynite component). This inference is not unique, as we lack data to define or detect small proportions of other substitutions or of complex solid solutions that might mimic this simple result (e.g., a spinel rich in both Ti and Al)—note that most spinels in basaltic igneous rocks contain multiple end-member components [Barnes and Roeder, 2001] and that spinels formed under diagenetic conditions (e.g., \( \sim 25^\circ \text{C} \)) can contain significant Al [Schwertmann and Murad, 1990]).

3.2.5. Other Minerals

Ilmenite \( \text{(FeTiO}_3 \) is present in the Windjana sandstone but only at \( \sim 1.1 \% \) weight, near the CheMin detection limit (Table 2). Hematite is also present in the Windjana sandstone and also near the CheMin detection limit at \( \sim 0.7 \% \) mass (Table 2).

Pyrhoitite is the only sulfide mineral detected in the Windjana sandstone; it was detected in three of the six refinements and is near the detection limit of \( \sim 0.4 \% \) by mass of the crystalline material (Table 2). It is not possible to determine which polytype is present—characteristic minor diffractions (as for superstructures) are not visible above the background, and the unit cell parameters of the pyrrhotite are not characteristic of a specific polytype.

Akaganeite \( \text{[\beta-FeO(OH,Cl)]} \) is present in the Windjana sandstone at the detection limit of \( \sim 0.3 \% \) by mass (Table 2). Its unit cell parameters are distinctive for the mineral but not particularly informative about its composition, notably its proportion of Cl. The unit cell parameters (Table 1) are perhaps more consistent with Cl-rich akaganeite rather than OH rich [Takagi et al., 2010]. However, little more can be said in the absence of more precise cell parameters for the Windjana akaganeite and additional laboratory data.

Fluorapatite \( \text{[Ca}_3\text{PO}_4\text{F]} \) is almost certainly present in the Windjana sandstone, although its detection by CheMin is not entirely definitive. Four of the six Rietveld refinements detected fluorapatite \((2.5, 1.0, 0.5, \text{ and } 1.1 \% \text{ mass})\), and the abundance of \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 in the APXS chemical analysis of the sandstone (Table 3) is consistent with \( \sim 1 \% \) apatite. Fluorine has been detected in the Windjana sandstone by ChemCam LIBS [Forni et al., 2015], lending further credence to the presence of fluorapatite.

Anhydrite \( \text{(CaSO}_4 \) is present in the Windjana sandstone, although essentially at detection limit (Table 2); its refined cell parameters are distinctive for the phase but not otherwise informative. Bassanite \( \text{(CaSO}_4\cdot0.5\text{H}_2\text{O]} \) is not definitively present—four of the six Rietveld refinements gave \( \sim 1 \% \) bassanite, but the remaining two gave zero.

Minor jarosite is possible in the Windjana sandstone, but it was not detected definitively as it was at Terra Meridiami [Squyres and Knoll, 2005]. One of the Rietveld refinements converged to \( 0.8 \% \) weight of jarosite, but it was not detected (or refined to zero abundance) in the others. If present, jarosite's abundance in the Windjana rock is at or below CheMin’s detection limit.
3.2.6. Not Detected

Many minerals that are characteristic of specific geochemical processes are definitely not present in the Windjana sandstone at the CheMin detection limits. In particular, no products of hydrothermal alteration have been detected, such as might have been produced in an impact-induced hydrothermal system [Schwenzer et al., 2012; Schwenzer and Kring, 2013]. Nor has CheMin detected (at the percent mass level) soluble salts such as sulfates, halides, and perchlorates [Chipera and Vaniman, 2007; Farrand et al., 2014; Forni et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2015b]. CheMin’s nondetection of carbonate minerals is consistent with the results of SAM evolved gas analysis. Some of the CO₂ detected during SAM EGA likely derives from oxidation of organic material (e.g., from the SAM background) by O₂ released from oxysalts phases in the sample, based on its near-coincidence with a peak in release of O₂ [McAdam et al., 2015]. Part of the CO₂ detected could also derive from thermal decomposition of Fe carbonates [Sutter et al., 2015], but the abundance of carbonate implied by this CO₂ would be below CheMin detection limits.

3.3. Poorly Crystalline Components

Approximately 25% of the Windjana sandstone is poorly crystalline or amorphous, which appears in X-ray diffraction as broad “peaks” or humps. From the shapes and locations of those features, three of the contributions can be assigned to phyllosilicates and two contributions to amorphous materials.

3.3.1. Phyllosilicates

The Windjana diffraction pattern shows two features consistent with 001 diffractions from 2:1 phyllosilicate minerals: a moderately sharp peak at 10.1° 2θ and low broad peak or band from ~7° 2θ to ~12° 2θ (Figure 4b). The sharper peak gives a d spacing of ~10.2 Å, which is consistent with the 001 diffractions of illite, collapsed nontronite, or a collapsed ferromagnesian smectite. However, the 001 diffraction of the inferred collapsed smectite of the John Klein drill sample (Yellowknife Bay) is much broader than that in the Windjana sample [Bristow et al., 2015; Vaniman et al., 2014], and the Griffith Park ferromagnesian smectite used as an analog to the clays at Yellowknife Bay collapses only to 001 of 11.8 Å [Treiman et al., 2014]. The broad low peak or band is very similar to the smectite diffraction “hump” in the John Klein drill sample from Yellowknife Bay (Bristow et al., 2015; Vaniman et al., 2014), which is interpreted to represent a collapsed (dehydrated) ferromagnesian smectite, possibly with chloritic interstratifications. For the Windjana sample, we lack diffraction data on treated samples that would normally be used to distinguish among illite, nontronite, and smectite. By analogy with other Gale Crater samples, we infer that the phyllosilicates are dominantly collapsed smectites [Vaniman et al., 2014]. The presence of illite or illitic interstratifications cannot be disproven, but (as shown later) the chemical composition of the amorphous and clay material includes very little Al or K, and thus little illite component. The mass abundance of the phyllosilicates (sharp peak and broad band) was determined by FULLPAT analysis (Table 2) [Chipera and Bish, 2002].

The Windjana X-ray diffraction pattern shows a low broad peak at ~14° 2θ (Figure 4b), which suggests a small proportion of ~7 Å 1:1 phyllosilicate mineral. This peak refined to a d spacing of ~7.15 Å, which is consistent with kaolinite and less so with the serpentine minerals (which have 001 d spacings at ~7.25 Å). This phase is present at the CheMin detection limit—the peak area refining to ~1% by mass of the total sample during Rietveld analysis with the well-crystalline phases (Table 2). No other peaks assignable to kaolinite are above background and distinct from interferences from other minerals’ diffractions. Kaolinite is a reasonable identification for this diffraction peak, as it is a common product of low-temperature hydrous alteration of alkali feldspar.

3.3.2. Amorphous

The Windjana sandstone contains a significant proportion of X-ray-amorphous material, which is apparent in the diffraction pattern (Figure 4b) as both the strong rise in background below ~7° 2θ and a hump in the background level between ~15° to 45° 2θ, centered at ~30° 2θ. The rise low 2θ is consistent with a phase like hisingerite or allophane (as suggested for similar features in X-ray diffraction patterns of the Yellowknife Bay mudstones [Vaniman et al., 2014]) and with some samples of ferrhydrite. The broad background hump, centered at ~30° 2θ, is consistent with several types of amorphous material, including silicate glass and iron oxysalts (similar to ferrhydrite) [Bish et al., 2013; Morris et al., 2013]. The mass of the amorphous material (Table 2) was determined by FULLPAT analysis [Chipera and Bish, 2002]. In the discussion below, we constrain the bulk composition of the amorphous components (following the approach of Dehouck et al. [2014]) and then use the calculated bulk composition to infer possible constituents.
4. Interpretation and Discussion

4.1. Poorly Crystalline and Amorphous Material

Amorphous and poorly crystalline components comprise significant fractions of the materials analyzed by CheMin in Gale Crater [Bish et al., 2013; Blake et al., 2013; Vaniman et al., 2014], and the Windjana sandstone is no exception (Table 2). One can calculate the chemical composition of the amorphous and poorly crystalline material from the bulk composition of the rock and the proportions and compositions of the crystalline phases (Tables 1 and 2, see text above). However, the CheMin data do not provide sufficient constraints to uniquely determine the natures and compositions of these poorly crystalline constituents. Here we propose a model of the amorphous and poorly crystalline components that is generally consistent with Windjana’s elemental composition, CheMin data, and geochemical setting; this model is not unique.

The elemental composition of the Windjana sandstone was analyzed by the APXS instrument [Gellert et al., 2014a, 2014b]; analytical targets included: the unbrushed rock surface, the surface brushed clear of dust by the DRT (Dust Removal Tool), tailings from the main drill hole, and sieved drill powder dumped from CHIMRA (Table 3). The dumped material is the remainder of the sieved sample, after aliquots were portioned and delivered to the CheMin and SAM instruments. The unbrushed surface of the Windjana sandstone is clearly distinct from the brushed and internal samples (Table 3), being richer in elements characteristic of Mars’ windblown dust like S and Cl [Ming et al., 2008; Yen et al., 2005]. The brushed surface still contains more S and Cl than the drilled (interior) samples, suggesting that some dust remains on the surface. The drilled samples (the tailings and the CHIMRA dump) are not identical, which likely represents real variability of the Windjana sandstone composition. The tailings samples include more material from near the rock’s surface than its interior, suggesting that the surface of Windjana is enriched in K and Cl, and depleted in Ca, Fe, and S compared to its interior (Table 3). In any case, we assume that the CHIMRA dump sample represents the material analyzed by CheMin.

4.1.1. Chemical Composition of “Amorphous” Material

To calculate the composition of the poorly crystalline and amorphous material in the Windjana sandstone, we subtract the material of the CheMin-determined crystalline fraction (mineral proportions and compositions) from the APXS composition of the dump sample. Uncertainties on all analyses are carried through the calculation, which is done using a Monte Carlo method; see the supporting information for details and a spreadsheet of the calculation. The calculated composition of the Windjana amorphous and poorly crystalline material (amorphous + phyllosilicates) is given in Table 3. That composition is notable for its high abundances of Fe and Mg, correspondingly low abundance of SiO₂, and high abundances of SO₃ and Cl.

It is significant that the calculated composition of the amorphous and poorly crystalline material shows, within uncertainties, no negative oxide abundances and near-zero abundances of K₂O, P₂O₅, and CaO. These observations imply that the CheMin results for mineral proportions and compositions are consistent with the APXS chemical analyses. Further, it appears that all the K₂O in Windjana is contained in its sanidine, that all the P₂O₅ is in apatite, and that CheMin has missed no Ca-bearing phases. The nominal K₂O abundance in the amorphous and poorly crystalline material is negative, although within uncertainty of zero; the nominal abundance of K₂O would be zero if Windjana contained ~19% of Or₉₅ alkali feldspar, which is within uncertainty of the nominal CheMin abundance of 21 ± 3% 1σ (Table 2). All of the P₂O₅ in the Windjana APXS analysis would be explained by 1.7% fluorapatite, compared to the nominal CheMin abundance of 1.1 ± 1.0% 1σ (Table 2). We take these concordances as evidence that the CheMin and APXS analyses are consistent with each other and are of the same material (Table 3) and that the CheMin refinements represent accurately the mineral proportions of the Windjana sample.

4.1.2. A Model

Collectively, the amorphous and poorly crystalline materials in Windjana produce four distinct contributions to the CheMin XRD pattern: a broad and a sharp diffraction peak both centered at ~10° 2θ, strong intensity at low 2θ, and a broad hump between 15 and 45° 2θ (Figure 4b). One would like to constrain the chemical compositions of these components, but the calculation is severely underdetermined. Here we propose a model for the natures and compositions of these components, informed by geological constraints from the rock itself and what is known from other rocks at Gale Crater.

Our model for these components starts with the calculated bulk composition (Table 3), from subtracting the compositions of the crystalline phases (weighted by their mass fractions) from the bulk rock composition. In...
the “amorphous + poorly crystalline” compositions, abundances of several elements must be overestimates because of our limited constraints on the true compositions of the crystalline phases. The calculated TiO$_2$ and Cr$_2$O$_3$ contents of the amorphous + poorly crystalline components are certainly overestimates, because (1) TiO$_2$ and Cr$_2$O$_3$ in Martian pyroxenes can be as high as ~2 and 1 wt %, respectively [Papike et al., 2009; Santos et al., 2015; Wittmann et al., 2015], and our calculation assumed 0 wt % for both; and (2) we assumed (as discussed above) that the spinel phase is essentially pure magnetite, although it could reasonably contain small proportions of Ti and Cr. Similarly, the calculated Al$_2$O$_3$ and Na$_2$O contents of the amorphous + poorly crystalline components are certainly overestimates, because Al$_2$O$_3$ and Na$_2$O can be as high as 6–7 and ~0.6 wt %, respectively, in Martian pyroxenes, and our calculation assumes 0 wt % for both.

Then, we assign elements in the amorphous + poorly crystalline material to likely chemical components. First, approximately a third of this material should be clay minerals (Table 2). Those clay minerals can include little illite because all the K$_2$O in the Windjana whole rock is accounted for by its alkali feldspar; so we assume that the clay is all smectite/saponite as with the Yellowknife Bay rocks [Bristow et al., 2015; Vaniman et al., 2014]. We can assign Na to Cl (chloride, chlorate, or perchlorate) and MgO and the little remaining CaO to SO$_3$, assuming charge balance and that these components are present as poorly crystalline sulfate materials. The remaining amorphous + poorly crystalline material has molar (Mg + Fe + Mn)/(Si + Al) far in excess of that for smectite, so we assign all the Si and Al (what little there is) and a proportional amount of the Mg and Mn to the clay mineral.

This subtraction leaves a significant proportion of Fe and Mg unassigned to silicate or ionic components. We can suggest two possible hosts for the excess Mg: the amorphous material contains a component of Mg(OH)$_2$ brucite; or the smectite is partially chloritized. These explanations are chemically equivalent, as chlorite minerals consist of smectite-like layers alternating with brucite layers [Bailey, 1988]. Brucite is potentially reasonable, as it can be formed during aqueous alteration of olivine [O’Hanley, 1996]; partially chloritized smectite has been invoked to explain the XRD properties of clays in the Yellowknife Bay area of Gale Crater [Bristow et al., 2015].

Allophane and/or hisingerite have been proposed as phases in the Windjana sandstone (and other materials of Gale Crater) to account for the sharp rise in diffracted intensities at 2θ < 10° [Bish et al., 2013; Rampe et al., 2012]. However, the calculated bulk composition of the amorphous material in Windjana is not consistent with these inferences. Allophane is “a poorly-crystalline, hydrous aluminosilicate ... with short-range crystallographic order and molar Si/Al ratios ranging from ~0.5 to 1” [Bish et al., 2013; Rampe et al., 2012], but it cannot be abundant in the Windjana sandstone because the amorphous and poorly crystalline components contain little Al (Table 3) [Morris et al., 2013]. Hisingerite is a ferric iron analog to allophane, again with molar Si/Fe ratios of ~0.5 to 1; it has a nominal composition between Fe$_3^+$SiO$_2$·2H$_2$O and Fe$_3^+$Si$_2$O$_5$(OH)$_4$·2H$_2$O [Dehouch et al., 2014; McAdam et al., 2014]. The amorphous and poorly crystalline materials contain abundant iron but not enough silica left over after allocation to smectite to allow a significant proportion of hisingerite.

Iron accounts for the bulk of remaining unassigned elements in Windjana’s amorphous material, and it must be assigned to iron oxides and/or hydroxides [see Morris et al., 2013]. Some of the sulfur could have been assigned to a jarosite mineral or component in the amorphous material (jarosite was detected in one of the Rietveld refinements). Presence of a sulfate component in the amorphous material is confirmed by SAM evolved gas analysis, which yielded more SO$_2$ than can be attributed to the pyrrhotite and possible jarosite detected by CheMin (the Ca sulfates detected by CheMin are not expected to decompose in the SAM temperature range) [McAdam et al., 2015]. The bulk of the so-far unassigned iron could be present as nanophase (poorly diffracting) hematite and/or magnetite, ferrihydrite (approximately Fe$_3^+$O$_2$·0.5H$_2$O), or the ferric-ferrous iron substances like “green rust.” The latter are double-layer hydroxide phases, like Fe$_2^{3+}$(Fe$^{2+}$, Mg)$(OH)_{18}$·4H$_2$O, some of which contain anions besides OH$^-$, e.g., foougerite, Fe$_2^{3+}$Fe$_{2}^{2+}$(OH)$_2$CO$_3$·3H$_2$O, and Fe$_2^{3+}$Fe$_{2}^{2+}$(OH)$_2$SO$_4$·3H$_2$O. Of these, some ferrihydrite samples show strong diffraction intensity at low 2θ values [e.g., Parfit et al. 1992; Schwertmann et al. 1999] and also are consistent with the amorphous hump peaking around 30° 2θ (Figure 4b). The inference of hydrous poorly ordered iron-rich components is consistent with SAM evolved gas results for Windjana; the observed broad water release from ~100 to 450°C is consistent with laboratory SAM-like EGA analyses of such phases [McAdam et al., 2015]. Thus, we infer the presence of ferrihydrite or similar iron-rich phase from the CheMin XRD and APXS bulk composition of Windjana.

To summarize, constraints from chemistry and X-ray diffraction suggest that the amorphous and poorly crystalline materials in the Windjana sandstone consist of several components. The silica and most of the
magnesia can be assigned to a magnesian smectite; the remaining magnesia is paired with the sulfur as a MgSO₄·nH₂O component in the amorphous material. Most of the Fe must be present as poorly diffracting oxide and/or hydroxides, like ferrihydrite. There can be little allophane or hisingerite present, and there is no evidence for Mn-rich phases [Le Deit et al., 2015]. Similarly, there is no evidence that the amorphous component of Windjana contains a significant proportion of igneous or impact glasses. The chemical composition of the amorphous material in Windjana is distinctly different from the estimates of Dehouck et al. [2014] for the amorphous components in the Rocknest, Cumberland, and John Klein samples. Dehouck et al. [2014] found that those amorphous materials were far richer in SiO₂ and poorer in MgO and FeO than for Windjana (Table 3); it is not clear how these various amorphous components could be related.

4.2. Diagenesis and Cement

The Windjana sandstone experienced some diagenetic alteration, which is expressed in its mineralogy and was obviously cemented in that it is a solid rock (and not loose sand grains). Away from the Windjana site itself, the Dillinger member shows clear evidence of diagenesis by the presence of Mn-rich fracture fillings or surfaces [Lanza et al., 2014] and diagenetic concretions [Grotzinger et al., 2015b]. However, diagenesis (beyond this cementation) of the Windjana sample was limited because it still contains significant proportions of easily altered minerals, notably olivine, plagioclase feldspar, and pyrrhotite.

4.2.1. Iron Oxide Cement

Most of the sedimentary rocks examined by Curiosity are rich in iron; many contain ~20% FeO (by APXS), and the extreme (before the Windjana analysis) was the Et-Then rock with 26.3% FeO equivalent (all Fe calculated as FeO) [Schmidt et al., 2014b]. The Windjana sample is even richer in iron with 27.6% FeO equivalent (Table 3)—the iron is present both as magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and as poorly diffracting oxides/hydroxides in the amorphous material (see above).

These high FeO abundances are far greater than those expected from sediments and sedimentary rocks of basaltic parentage [Blake et al., 2013; Vaniman et al., 2014] and so have been ascribed to iron-rich cements [Anderson et al., 2015b; Blaney et al., 2014; Grotzinger et al., 2014; Schmidt et al., 2014a; Wiens and Maurice, 2015]. The Windjana sample, being Fe-rich like the other sedimentary rocks of Gale Crater, provides an opportunity to test the hypothesis of iron-rich cements. In the Windjana sample, potential iron-rich cement materials are its magnetite (12% by mass, Table 3) and its amorphous material (~15%), which as discussed above is likely to be Fe-O-(H) material like ferrihydrite or “green rust.” Lesser proportions of the iron are in augite, pigeonite, and olivine; and still smaller proportions in ilmenite, hematite, akaganeite, and pyrrhotite.

It seems reasonable that the Windjana rock is cemented by its magnetite and Fe-rich amorphous material. First, the mass proportions of these phases together, ~27%, represents a volume proportion of ~30–35%, which is reasonable for the porosity of silt or sand sediment [Freeze and Cherry, 1979]. Second, the magnetite is most likely to be nearly pure Fe₃O₄, possibly with some Al substitution (see above), which is inconsistent with an igneous origin (i.e., no evidence of Cr or Ti substitutions) but is consistent with formation at Mars ambient temperatures [Schwertmann and Murad, 1990]. Third, diagenetic, amorphous Fe-O-(H) material is known as a cement in basaltic and in pedogenic systems on Earth. And, fourth, decomposition products of Fe-O-(H) material, including a magnetite-like spinel phase, are present in the matrix of the NWA 7034 Martian meteorite [Muttik et al., 2014]. Thus, we infer that the Windjana sample was cemented by its magnetite and Fe-O-(H) amorphous material; it seems reasonable to extrapolate this inference to the other Fe-rich rocks in Gale Crater, and this can be tested with combined CheMin and chemical analyses.

4.2.2. Phyllosilicate

The Windjana sample contains a significant proportion of phyllosilicates, interpreted as smectites and possibly a small proportion of kaolinite (Table 2); there is no evidence for a significant proportion of illite. CheMin data by itself cannot show whether the Windjana phyllosilicates are diagenetic or detrital, but a diagenetic origin seems reasonable in light of a similar inference about smectite in the Yellowknife Bay mudstones [Bristow et al., 2015; Treiman et al., 2014; Vaniman et al., 2014]. As noted above, the Windjana sandstone is well cemented; however, this cement cannot be mechanically strong (e.g., like quartz), because Curiosity’s drill penetrated Windjana with relative ease. Cementation by phyllosilicates would satisfy this constraint: there is enough phyllosilicate in Windjana (Table 2) to be such a cement and similar cement has been seen in a basaltic sandstone at Griffith Park, CA (near the locality of Treiman et al. [2014], see also Critelli and Ingersoll [1995]).
Although we cannot definitively say that Windjana contains smectite, it would be a reasonable product of aqueous alteration of olivine as hypothesized for the Yellowknife Bay rocks [Bristow et al., 2015; Vaniman et al., 2014] and demonstrated for the Griffith Park saponite [Treiman et al., 2014]. If all the smectite in Windjana formed this way, the original sediment would have contained ~15% olivine rather than the observed 6% (Table 2).

The small proportion of kaolinite in Windjana could reasonably be a diagenetic alteration product of its plagioclase or its alkali feldspar [Garrels and Christ, 1990]. If so the proportions of feldspar in the original sediment would have been slightly greater than presently observed (Table 2). The presence of kaolinite also suggests that a portion of clay mineral material could be illite, as it is an intermediate alteration product between plagioclase and kaolinite [Garrels and Christ, 1990]. CheMin data cannot distinguish illite from smectite, but the calculated bulk composition of the amorphous and poorly crystalline materials has nearly no Al and thus permits little illite.

### 4.2.3. Other Materials

Akaganéite is present near detection limit in the Windjana sample (Table 2). Akaganéite typically forms on Earth in mildly acidic environments, at low temperatures, from solutions rich in Cl and Fe [e.g., Bibi et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2001; Holm et al., 1983]. Akaganéite is a common alteration product of sulfate minerals, including pyrrhotite [Harries et al., 2013; McLennan et al., 2014]. The pyrrhotite observed in Windjana could be the precursor for its akaganéite, but the fact that pyrrhotite is still present suggests again that diagenesis was relatively minor. Akaganéite has been detected on the Martian surface from orbit [Bishop et al., 2015; Carter et al., 2015], but the small proportion in Windjana would not be detectable with current technology.

The Windjana sample contains small proportions (near detection limits) of the calcium sulfates anhydrite and bassanite. These phases are likely related to the common veins of Ca sulfate in the Windjana area, like those observed all along Curiosity’s path from landing to Kimberley and beyond [e.g., Kronyak et al., 2015; Nachon et al., 2014]. Light-toned veins occur in the Liga, Square Top, and Dillinger members of the Kimberley formation [Grotzinger et al., 2015b] and are observed in the vicinity of Windjana, although no veins are seen within the drill hole.

### 4.2.4. Diagenetic Feldspar

Diagenetic effects on terrestrial sediments can include replacement/precipitation of significant proportions of alkali feldspars and plagioclase [Fedo et al., 1995; Montanari, 1991; Walker et al., 1978], which are typically ascribed to actions of alkali-rich solutions on preexisting feldspars and clay minerals. Such a diagenetic origin for the feldspars in the Windjana sample seems unlikely for several reasons. The high proportion of feldspars seems inconsistent with a diagenetic origin, although it is possible for low-temperature metasomatism action to produce rocks so rich in alkali feldspar (see below, e.g., Glazner [1988]). The presence of olivine, pyrrhotite, and amorphous materials in the Windjana sample argues against extensive diagenesis and thus formation of so much feldspar by diagenesis.

Below, we consider the possibility that the alkali feldspar in Windjana originated through diagenetic or metasomatic processes not within the Windjana sandstone but in the source area for the sediment.

### 4.3. Provenance of the Windjana Mineral Grains

Among the challenges presented by the Windjana sample is to understand the sources of mineral grains, most importantly its alkali feldspar, and thus its abundant potassium. Felsic rocks on Mars appear to be rare based on orbital spectroscopy, and the few identifications of feldspars made by the Compact Reconnaissance Imaging Spectrometer for Mars are most consistent with anorthositic compositions [Carter and Poulet, 2013; Wray et al., 2013]; to date, no alkali feldspars have been confirmed from orbit. However, alkali-rich igneous rocks have been analyzed in several places in Gale Crater [Sautter et al., 2014, 2015; Schmidt et al., 2014b; Stolper et al., 2013; L. Le Deit et al., submitted manuscript, 2015] and are known from the unique Martian meteorite NWA 7034 (and its pairs) [Agee et al., 2013; Humayun et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2015; Wittmann et al., 2015]. Alkaline igneous rocks are relatively rare on Earth [e.g., Winter, 2010]—primitive alkaline magmas are generally thought to represent low-degree partial melts of fertile, volatile-bearing, peridotite [e.g., Wyllie, 1977; Hirose, 1997; Dasgupta et al., 2007; Gupta, 2015; Stolper et al., 2013] or the partial melting of the residues of such low-degree melts that have crystallized in the lithosphere [e.g., Pilet et al., 2008]. More evolved alkaline magmas (of the types that could crystallize alkali-feldspar) are generally thought to arise via fractional crystallization [Gupta, 2015; Stolper et al., 2013] at intermediate (crustal) pressures
Because the Windjana sample is the only potassic alkali-rich rock on Mars to be analyzed for mineralogy (the mugearite rock Jake Matijevic [Sautter et al., 2014; Stolper et al., 2013] was not analyzed by CheMin), it may provide crucial clues to the origins of these rocks in general and to the igneous geology of the Gale Crater region. Here we explore what can be inferred from the Windjana sample alone and later place it into local and regional geological contexts.

Extrapolation from sedimentary rocks to the bedrock that sourced the sediment can be ambiguous, because chemical weathering and physical sorting may have intervened and can cause profound mineralogical and chemical differences between source rock and derived sediment particles. For the Windjana sample, we can infer that there was little effect from chemical weathering or alteration but have little control on determining the effects of physical sorting processes. The Windjana sample likely experienced only limited chemical weathering or alteration because most of its sediment particles are smaller than 100 μm (Figure 3b inset; detection limit with the MAHLI camera) and include easily altered minerals like olivine, sanidine, pyrrhotite, and plagioclase.

It is difficult to know if the Windjana sample is a product of significant mechanical sorting, either hydrologic or eolian. We have little evidence about the source terrains for the sediments, except that they are likely from the northern and northwest rim of Gale Crater. Because the Bradbury Group sediments were transported initially by flowing water, we can assume that some hydraulic sorting occurred by grain size and density [Siebach et al., 2015]. Sediment grains of similar densities and physical properties would not be typically separated hydraulically, i.e., that plagioclase grains would not be sorted from those of sanidine nor augite from pigeonite. However, it is possible that olivine grains could be sorted from pyroxenes, and pyroxenes from feldspars. It is more likely that magnetite would be sorted from all other minerals because of its higher density, but hydraulic sorting would not explain variations in abundance ratios of minerals with similar densities. The Windjana sandstone is interpreted as an eolian reworking and redeposition of these fluvial sediments, which represents an additional physical fractionation process. Even with these complicating factors, mineral abundance ratios and chemical compositions enable several constraints to be placed on the sources of sedimentary particles in the Windjana sandstone.

First, the minerals present in (and absent from) the Windjana sample imply that its sources cannot include most types of metamorphic or hydrothermally altered terrains, either regional or local [McSween et al., 2015; Schwenzer and Kring, 2013]. Windjana consists only of typical igneous minerals and their low-temperature alteration products (see above); it does not contain detectable proportions of minerals that are characteristic of metamorphism or hydrothermal alteration. Nor does it contain minerals that are characteristic of most chemical sediments (e.g., carbonates or silica) except iron formations (e.g., iron oxyhydroxides).

Second, the presence of sanidine indicates that the source of this mineral could not be a plutonic igneous rock or other slowly cooled rock. Under such extended cooling from high temperature, Al and Si in the potassium feldspar would have diffused to form ordered orthoclase or microcline. Potential sources of sanidine include potassic volcanic rocks [Gupta, 2015] and some potassic metasomatic rocks [Glazner, 1988].

Third, the Windjana sample includes sediment from at least two sources, because its abundant sanidine and pigeonite are unlikely to have formed in the same protolith. Nearly all potassic alkalic rocks, such as would contain abundant sanidine, contain only augite pyroxene and not pigeonite [Gupta, 2015; Williams et al., 1982]; exceptions are very rare on Earth (e.g., the Fault Canyon Flow, Arizona [Fuis, 1996]). And potassic metasomatic rocks that contain sanidine either contain no mafic silicates [Fedo et al., 1995; Woodard, 1972], hydrous mafic silicates [Glazner, 1988], or augitic pyroxene [Le Bas, 2008]. The idea that the Windjana sediment is derived from a bedrock source region with more than one igneous rock type, or from multiple source regions with distinct rock types, is not surprising in theory [e.g., Thomas 2011]; but it is gratifying that CheMin data alone allows that inference.

Fourth, the intermediate composition plagioclase and some or all of the mafic phases can be ascribed to subalkaline basaltic protolith(s) or source(s). As discussed above, intermediate to evolved alkaline magma contain augite (and often olivine) phenocrysts [see Wilson, 1989, Table 9.3], and thus augite and olivine in Windjana could have been sourced from alkaline and subalkaline protoliths. Interestingly, Figure 6b shows that the Mg#s of the olivine, augite, and pigeonite in Windjana are consistent with all three phases being in Fe-Mg equilibrium—all three pairs of phases lie on lines in Mg#Phase 1 versus Mg#Phase 2 space defined by coexisting augite-pigeonite, olivine-augite, and olivine-pigeonite from low- to moderate-pressure experiments. This
observation, and the fact that pigeonite is extremely rare in alkaline rocks (as noted above), suggests that all three mafic phases were derived from one or more subalkaline basaltic sources—however, as we discuss below, there is a hint that the abundances of K-feldspar and augite are positively correlated in rocks from the Kimberley area and, finally, it is possible that olivines and pyroxenes sourced from alkaline and subalkaline rocks could, by happenstance, fall on the equilibrium Fe-Mg curves in Figure 6b. If all the mafic phases are from the subalkaline basaltic protolith, and if plagioclase was not sorted from pyroxenes and olivine during sediment transport, this basaltic component contains little plagioclase in relation to its pyroxene—a ratio of ~1:4 compared to a typical Earth or eucrite (asteroidal) basalt with a ratio of ~1:1. Such a plagioclase-poor basaltic rock is comparable to many of the shergottite Martian meteorites [e.g., McSween and Treiman, 1998] but is quite distinct from basalts like those at Gusev Crater [McSween et al., 2006] and the basaltic sources of the Rocknest soil drift and the John Klein and Cumberland mudstones [Blake et al., 2013; Vaniman et al., 2014].

Thus, the Windjana sample represents a mixture of sedimentary particles derived from at least three bedrock protoliths. One bedrock source was a potassic trachyte or melatrichyte, which contributed the bulk of the sanidine in the Windjana rock, and possibly some of its augite and olivine. Another bedrock source was a basalt (more like the shergottite Martian meteorites) that contributed pigeonite and plagioclase, and possibly some or all of the olivine and augite. A third source, richer in plagioclase feldspar, is suggested by ChemCam LIBS chemical analyses, as discussed below. The Windjana sample contains excess magnetite compared to likely trachytes or shergottites, and this excess may represent an iron-rich cement.

5. Implications for Gale Crater Geology

The Windjana sandstone is among the few samples analyzed by the full suite of instruments on the Curiosity rover and so provides one of the few comprehensive views of the geology and petrology of sediment sources and diagenesis in Gale Crater. Here we consider the Windjana sandstone in the local context of the Kimberley outcrops, within the context of other sedimentary rocks that Curiosity has investigated, and then in the broad context of regional geology around Gale Crater.

5.1. Windjana in the Local Context of the Kimberley Area

The Windjana sample is the only one from the Kimberley area that was analyzed by CheMin, so it is crucial to know whether Windjana is typical of a particular geological unit or is somehow unique. To place Windjana into the local geochemical setting, we rely on LIBS chemical analyses by the ChemCam instrument (and their localizations by the rover’s imaging systems). The local and regional geological settings of the Kimberley area are summarized in Grotzinger et al. [2015b].

The Windjana drill site was chosen as a massive, well-exposed outcrop that satisfied engineering constraints of accessibility and stability for drilling, and not for other chemical or mineralogical reasons—thus, it can be considered as an arbitrary sample of the Dillinger member of the Kimberley formation [Grotzinger et al., 2015b]. The Dillinger member is a flat-lying cross-stratified sandstone unit that rests with geometric discontinuity upon south dipping inclined sandstone beds termed the “clinoform sandstones” [Grotzinger et al., 2015b] of the Square Top member and beneath the massive sandstones of the Mount Remarkable and Beagle members (Figures 1 and 2). In the science campaign at the Kimberley, ChemCam LIBS acquired many analyses of rocks of the Dillinger member and those above and below it, which are discussed in detail by L. Le Deit et al. (submitted manuscript, 2015).

Here we focus on element correlations with potassium because of the abundances of sanidine and K₂O in the Windjana sample. Figure 7a shows LIBS-analyzed abundances of Na₂O and K₂O for rocks in the Kimberley area, designated by rock unit (see also L. Le Deit et al. (submitted manuscript, 2015)). These rocks have higher alkali abundances than most rocks analyzed so far in Gale Crater [Sautter et al., 2014; Schmidt et al., 2014b]. Most of the analysis points from the Dillinger member (including Windjana) show a wide range of K₂O abundances at relatively constant or slightly increasing Na₂O, trending up to values of K₂O/Na₂O ~ 4 for the topmost Dillinger and the three spots of the Mount Remarkable member (Figure 7a). Four points in the Dillinger have higher Na₂O and appear intermediate between the other Dillinger points and those of Square Top and Liga (points Corrara, Wallal, Mondooma, and Yarrada). Samples from the stratigraphically lower Square Top and Liga members are rich in sodium compared to the Dillinger and Mount Remarkable members and have K₂O/Na₂O near unity (Figure 7a) [Sautter et al., 2014; Schmidt et al., 2014b]. These materials are chemically
similar to the Bathurst Inlet rock (analyzed at Yellowknife Bay) [Schmidt et al., 2014a] and related samples [Gellert et al., 2014a, 2014b]. The single analysis of the topmost unit of the Kimberley formation, the Beagle member, is essentially identical in chemical composition to the Square Top member.

The ChemCam LIBS observation points of the Windjana surface material (5 × 5 raster of the surface) and drill fines are within range of other LIBS observation points in the Dillinger member (Figure 7), and so our inferences above for Windjana mineralogy can be extended to the Dillinger member in general. Also, the spread in K2O and Na2O abundances for the Dillinger member suggests that it represents mixtures of at least two chemical components (or geochemical processes), in accord with the inferences above from the mineralogy of the Windjana sample. A similar spread of elemental abundances (from ChemCam) data is apparent for MgO and FeO(tot), see Figures 7b and 7c, which allows some additional constraints on the compositions of those components.

Figure 7. Element abundances in rocks of the Kimberley area, from ChemCam analyses (data from NASA PDS). Note that most rocks of the Dillinger member (including Windjana) and the Mount Remarkable member plot near a line on each graph and that most of the other rocks (Liga, Square_Top, Beagle) fall near a second line. This suggests mixing of three sediment components: one potassic, K2O > 5.6%, FeO(tot) ≈ 18%, MgO < 8%; one sodic, Na2O > 3%, K2O > 2.5%, FeO(tot) > 30%, MgO < 4%; and one mafic, Na2O ≈ 1.5%; K2O ≈ 1.5%, FeO(tot) ≈ 18%, MgO ≈ 12%. ChemCam analyses sorted by stratigraphic members: Liga (targets Yulleroo, Petaluma Elvire, McSherry, Matheson, Maddox, Harms, Glidden, Nullara); Square_Top (targets Square_Top, Square_Top2, Egan, Elgee, Hooper, Roebuck, TopSquare_Top, McHale, Esaw); Dillinger (targets Corrara, Forster, Fargoo, Wallal, Jarrad, Cow_Bore, Kevin’s_Dam, Thangoo, Blinker_Hill, Paperbank, Pentecost, Mondoooma, Lodestone, Tumagee, Yarrada, Dillinger); Windjana (targets Windjana_DRT; minidrill_tail; maindrill_tail; hole_RMI); Mt. Remarkable (targets Mahoney, Mahoney_2, San_Sou); and Beagle (target Beagle).
From the LIBS analyses, the K-rich component in the Dillinger and Mount Remarkable sandstones is chemically like a potassic trachyte or syenite igneous rock, with $K_2O \geq 5.5\%$, $Na_2O-Na_2O > 3.3$, $FeO(tot) \approx 18\%$, and $MgO < 8\%$ (Figures 7a–7c). This component must be at least as rich in K as the LIBS targets Dillinger (5.7% $K_2O$) and San Sou (5.6% $K_2O$). Thus, its $K_2O$ content must be $>1.8$ times that of Windjana and so would contain sanidine in a similar proportion to Windjana, i.e., $>40%$ sanidine. This component could contain significant proportions of mafic minerals (i.e., be a melantrachyte) or could be nearly pure sanidine (i.e., a trachyte).

It is not clear what this trachyte component contains besides sanidine. Abundances of $FeO$ and $MgO$ in rocks of the Kimberley (Figures 7b and 7c) are consistent with a relatively low proportions of mafic minerals but could also suggest that all the chemical components contain comparable proportions of mafic minerals. The LIBS analyses are consistent with a positive correlation between molar augite and K (Figure 7d), suggesting that the K-rich component contains $>1.25$ times as much augite as does Windjana, or $>27%$ augite. However, the correlation between molar augite and K is weak (Figure 7d) and could imply nothing. Finally, the mafic silicate minerals in Windjana are consistent with Fe-Mg equilibrium (Figure 6b), which may suggest that they formed in the same igneous protolith and that the trachyte contained only small proportions of mafic silicates [Sautter et al., 2015].

A second chemical component in the Dillinger and Mount Remarkable sandstones can be taken as the lowest-$K_2O$ analyses of that group (Figure 7) or an extrapolation beyond it to lower $K_2O$. The extrapolation line (long dashes) crosses a rough line through the Liga, Square Top, and Beagle analyses (short dashes) at $\sim 1.5%$ $K_2O$, and we take that intersection as an approximation of that second chemical component. This second component has a rough average composition of $K_2O \approx 1.5\%$, $Na_2O \approx 1.5\%$, $FeO(tot) \approx 18\%$, and $MgO \approx 11\%$ (Figures 7a–7c) and approximately half as much augite as Windjana ($\sim 10%$ mass, Figure 7d). This component would thus be fairly rich in mafic minerals, likely to be mostly pigeonite and olivine, as its $Al$ abundance would not be consistent with much feldspar. This component could represent material derived from material similar to some shergottite meteorites [e.g., McSween and Treiman, 1998]; chemically, it is similar to average Mars dust [Yen et al., 2005], but the mineralogy of the dust is poorly known.

A third chemical component, apparent in Figure 7, is represented by the most Na-rich analyses of the Liga and Square Top members. This component would have $Na_2O \geq 3\%$, $K_2O-Na_2O \approx 0.6$, $FeO(tot) \geq 30\%$, and $MgO < 4\%$ (Figures 7a–7c). This component is not apparent in the mineralogy or chemistry of the Windjana sample (see above, Figure 7). However, it could be related to dioritic or andesitic igneous rocks encountered elsewhere in Gale Crater [Sautter et al., 2014, 2015] or to some of the sedimentary rocks analyzed southwest of the Kimberley, for instance, the LIBS target Meetinghouse ($K_2O = 3.8\%$, $Na_2O = 5.2\%$, and $K_2O-Na_2O = 0.7$). For a more comprehensive discussion, see L. Le Deit et al. (submitted manuscript, 2015).

These three chemical components cannot be considered exhaustive of all chemical influences on the compositions of sandstones in the Kimberley formation. As shown above, the sediments are cemented by a material consistent with clay + iron oxyhydroxide, which certainly could constitute another chemical component. And an additional chemical component is suggested by the observation that some analyses of the Liga member are close to our hypothesized second component in Mg, Fe, and K (Figures 7b and 7c), while none are close in Na and K (Figure 7a). This discordance suggests that our second component is actually a combination of several components, which might be distinguished in more detailed analysis of the chemical composition data.

5.2. Potassic Source Material: Igneous or Metasomatic

It is clear that the Windjana sample is potassic (i.e., $K_2O-Na_2O > 1$) and that nearby rocks of the Dillinger and Mount Remarkable members are even richer in K and presumably also in alkali feldspar. A simple explanation is that this K-rich contributor to the Windjana sample is sediment from a potassic trachyte lava, an alkaline igneous rock related to others encountered in Gale Crater. However, it is also possible that the potassic component in the Windjana sample is sourced from rocks that experienced K-metasomatic or K-diagenetic processes. At least one rock in Gale Crater (named Preble) shows possible evidence of potassic metasomatism—it includes an area rich in Na, K, and Si that is interpreted as a veinlet rich in alkali feldspar and silica [Sautter et al., 2014]. On Earth, many varieties of metasomatic rock are enriched in potassium and thus alkali feldspar (Table 4), in part because potassium is readily soluble in aqueous and salt-rich fluids, such as those that can form in groundwater or be exsolved from crystallizing magmas [Beratan, 1999; Kodera et al., 2014; Sillitoe, 2010]. The
## Table 4. Potassic Metasomatic and Diagenetic Alteration: Major Varieties

| Name               | Association            | T (°C)     | Alkali Feldspar | Mafic Minerals                  | References                                      |
|--------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Fenitization       | Alkaline Igneous       | ~450 to ~700 | Microcline, Orthoclase | Pyroxene, mica, amphibole        | Le Bas [2008] and Pirajno [2013]               |
| Potassic alteration| Porphyry Copper        | ~800 to ~450 | Microcline, Orthoclase | Biotite, epidote, actinolite     | Pirajno [2013] and Sillitoe [2010]            |
| K-metasomatism     | Extensional Faulting   | <150       | Sanidine        | Illite, biotite                 | Beratan [1999] and Glazner [1988]            |
| Diagenesis         | Low Temperature        | <100       | Sanidine        | Smectite, glauconite           | Fedo et al. [1995], Hay et al. [1988] and Walker et al. [1978] |

Examples of Table 4 are from the terrestrial experience of rocks enriched in potassium and alkali feldspar, but it is not clear that these rock types (and their parent processes) are possible or present on Mars. However, we cannot discount them in part because evidence for alkaline or potassic igneous rocks on Mars has also been indirect or speculative until recently [Goodrich et al., 2013; Nekvasil et al., 2007; Santos et al., 2015; Stolper et al., 2013]. So we raise the possibility of extensive potassic metasomatism, justified indirectly by evidence and models of extensive hydrothermal alterations around impact craters [Schwenzer and Kring, 2013].

Table 4 lists the major types of potassic metasomatism known on Earth (the list is not exhaustive). Potassic metasomatism can occur in wide ranges of geological settings: local authigenesis of sediment; alteration by external formation waters enabled by faults; and magmatohydrothermal alteration around igneous intrusions. However, the potassic sediment component in Windjana, containing sanidine and possibly augite and little if any hydrous silicate minerals, is not obviously consistent with any of these major classes. Near-end-member sanidine can form diagenetically in sediment [Fedo et al., 1995; Hay et al., 1988; Marshall et al., 1986; Walker et al., 1978], in vein deposits [Cerny and Chapman, 1986], and in extensive metasomatic bodies associated with major faults [Beratan, 1999; Glazner, 1988]; in these settings, the characteristic Al-Si disorder of sanidine reflects the random availability of Al and Si in aqueous solutions and not high temperature. However, sanidine in these settings is not accompanied by augitic pyroxene but usually by hydrous mafic minerals [Fedo et al., 1995; Glazner, 1988; Hay et al., 1988]. On Earth, diagenesis that yields sanidine alone may be restricted to sediments that lack mafic minerals [e.g., Marshall et al., 1986] and thus are not likely for ancient Mars [Hay et al., 1988; Marshall et al., 1986]. Augitic pyroxene is common in fenites, a style of high-temperature potassic metasomatic rock that develops locally around intrusions of carbonatite and some alkaline igneous magmas. But, befitting their plutonic settings, the alkali feldspar in fenites is microcline or orthoclase [Castor, 2008; Le Bas, 2008], although there is a report of sanidine in fenite from a carbonatite [Morogan and Martin, 1985].

Thus, by elimination, it seems most likely that the potassium-rich sedimentary particles present in the Windjana sample and Dillinger member are erosionally derived from an igneous rock protolith, likely a potassic trachyte. This inference is dictated by the presence of sanidine feldspar and the absence of hydrous silicate minerals. In fact, Curiosity has encountered and investigated several rocks that appear to be trachytes [Sautter et al., 2015]. A problem with identifying the potassic component as igneous trachyte is the composition of the sanidine (~Or80–100), which is more potassic (K-rich) than typical for most alkaline volcanic rocks [Gupta, 2015]. This would suggest that the trachyte source was ultrapotassic, which is perhaps more characteristic of metasomatic rocks including pseudo-trachytes [Le Bas, 2008]. Thus, we cannot exclude a metasomatic source; and it is an interesting possibility that hydrothermal effects around Martian impact craters could include widespread potassic metasomatism [e.g., Currie, 1971; Pesonen et al., 2003; Pirajno, 2005, 2009; Vasconcelos et al., 2012].

### 5.3. Potassic Igneous Activity

Potassic igneous rock are rare on Earth, and their genesis is the subject of extensive study and debate [e.g., Gupta, 2015]. Silicate melts rich in alkalis can be produced via high-pressure near-solidus melting of volatile-bearing peridotite [e.g., Dasgupta et al., 2007; Filiberto and Dasgupta, 2015; Hirose, 1997; Stolper et al., 2013; Treiman and Filiberto, 2015]. However, generation of potassic and ultrapotassic rocks requires enrichment in K relative to Na. For Mars, K-enrichment cannot be ascribed to planet-wide conditions, as the Mars bulk Na/K ratio is comparable to that of Earth, although abundances of both Na and K are greater than in the Earth [Dreibus and Wänke, 1987; Taylor, 2013; Treiman et al., 1986]. The Martian meteorite basalts do show a range of Na/K [Treiman and Filiberto, 2015], but none of the Martian meteorite basalts are potassic (e.g., K2O > Na2O).
In the terrestrial mantle, K enrichment (relative to Na) is thought to reflect metasomatic processes involving the localized addition of fluids or very low-degree high-pressure melts to peridotite [e.g., Foley, 1992; Foley et al., 2009]. Within the crust, this required that fractionation of K from Na is most commonly ascribed to the action of aqueous fluids or halide melts—Na tends to stay in the silicate melt and crystals (e.g., plagioclase, omphacite pyroxene), while K is preferentially partitioned into the fluids [Pirajno, 2013; Sillitoe, 2010]. It is not clear whether fluids associated with Martian magmas are water rich or salt rich [Balta and McSween, 2013; Filiberto et al., 2014], but the distinction is not crucial here. The important point is that K-rich melts and fluids can be generated (in mantle or crust), move to other sites, and enrich the rocks there in K (e.g., to yield phlogopite-rich peridotite from normal peridotite). Once a K-rich rock has been formed in this way, it can then be melted to yield potassic magmas [Foley, 1992].

Evolved alkaline magmas such as trachytes can be generated in several ways and so are not inherently definitive of a geologic or tectonic setting. Trachytic and similar magmas are generally thought to be produced by relatively low-pressure fractional crystallization of alkaline basalts [e.g., Cousens et al., 2003; Whitaker et al., 2007; Whitaker et al., 2008]. Although it has been suggested that trachytic magmas can be produced by direct mantle melting [Ashwal, 2015], the ~1 GPa experiments of Condamine and Méard [2014] show that the peridotite source must be extremely enriched in K$_2$O and that melting must be limited to just above the solidus. Other petrologic processes for generating trachytic magmas include [Ashwal, 2015; Bonin, 2007; Condamine and Méard, 2014; Gupta, 2015; Pilet et al., 2008] moderate-volume partial melts of alkali basalts [e.g., Legendre et al., 2005], as products of magma mixing [e.g., Özdemir et al., 2011] and even as partial melts of potassically metasomatized felsic crustal rocks [e.g., Martin, 2006] such as those hypothesized in the Gale Crater area [Sautter et al., 2015]. For the Windjana trachytic sediment component, distinguishing among these modes of origin would require data beyond that available: geological and tectonic constraints on the source region and/or trace element and isotopic information.

5.4. Regional Geology of Gale Crater

One of the continuing surprises of the Curiosity MSL mission is the variety of igneous rocks (and igneous-derived sedimentary rocks) in Gale Crater, including alkali-rich types. The first hints of alkali-rich rocks came from ChemCam LIBS chemical analyses at the landing site [Sautter et al., 2014], and were quickly followed by the first APXS analyses of rock samples (Jake Matijevic [Stolper et al., 2013; Rocknest 3 and Bathurst Inlet [Schmidt et al., 2014b]). By now, there is extensive evidence for a wide range of alkaline igneous rocks (as cobbles in sediments) and sedimentary rocks derived from them [Sautter et al., 2014, 2015; Schmidt et al., 2014b; Stolper et al., 2013; L. Le Deit et al., submitted manuscript, 2015]. The concept that Mars might have produced alkali magmas is confirmed by the many alkali-rich igneous fragments found in the Martian meteorites NWA 7034 and its pairs [Humayun et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2015; Wittmann et al., 2015] and indirectly confirmed by evidence of alkali affinities of other Martian meteorites [Goodrich et al., 2013; Nekvasil et al., 2007].

However, the igneous protoliths for the Bradbury Group sedimentary rocks (Yellowknife Bay through Kimberley) are very diverse [Schmidt et al., 2014b] and comparable to those of the most diverse volcanic settings on Earth. Ultrapotassic felsic rocks are represented in the Windjana sample (and possibly also in Bathurst Inlet sedimentary rocks [Blaney et al., 2014; McLennan et al., 2014; Schmidt et al., 2014b]); “merely” highly alkaline rocks are represented by the Jake Matijevic rock [Stolper et al., 2013]; “tholeiitic” volcanic rock is the dominant sediment component in the mudstones of Yellowknife Bay [Vaniman et al., 2014]; “shergottitic” basalts (low Al and feldspar) are suggested as contributing to the Windjana sediment; and dioritic/andesitic rocks are known from ChemCam LIBS analyses of rock fragments along Curiosity’s traverse [Sautter et al., 2014; Sautter et al., 2015]. These rocks and sediments were transported into Gale Crater from its northern rim [Grotzinger et al., 2015b], which therefore must include an extensive array of igneous rocks.

The range of igneous composition seen so far in Gale Crater can be appreciated on a TAS (total alkalis versus silica) diagram, Figure 8, which is a standard presentation for classification of Earth’s igneous rocks [Le Maitre et al., 2002]. On Figure 8 are plotted LIBS chemical analyses of the recognized igneous rocks encountered by Curiosity [Sautter et al., 2015] and the composition of the Dillinger sandstone (K$_2$O/Na$_2$O ratios given on the graph). Lava compositions from the St. Helena ocean island volcano are shown for comparison [Kawabata et al., 2011]. On the TAS diagram, lavas of other ocean island volcanoes show trajectories like that of the St. Helena lavas but at different total alkalis for a given silica content or with different K$_2$O/Na$_2$O ratios. For
example, lavas of Flores volcano (Azores Islands) fall along nearly the same TAS trajectory as the St. Helena lavas, but have K2O/Na2O of ~0.3 [Genske et al., 2012], compared to St. Helena’s K2O/Na2O of ~2.5 [Kawabata et al., 2011].

From Figure 8, it is clear that the Gale Crater igneous rocks fall on several distinct TAS trajectories, as shown by Sautter et al. [2015]. The few dioritic samples (red on Figure 8) are subalkaline and could conceivably be related to the source of basaltic sediments of the Cumberland and John Klein samples [Vaniman et al., 2014]. The mildly alkaline igneous rocks (blue on Figure 8) are consistent with an igneous trend parallel to that of the St. Helena lavas, but likely represent two distinct fractionation series with different K2O/Na2O ratios (~0.1 and ~0.5). The strongly alkaline Jake Matejvic rock is unique and not related to the other igneous rocks. And the potassic component of the Dillinger (and Windjana) samples cannot be closely related to any of the other Gale igneous rocks because of its extreme K2O/Na2O value of 3.2 (Figure 8). It is reasonable that the trends with similar K2O/Na2O could represent fractionations of the same source (mantle) material at different pressures [Collinet et al., 2015; Whitaker et al., 2007], but trends of different K2O/Na2O must represent different source materials or chemical processes (e.g., metasomatism).

5.5. Comparison to Ancient Earth

The northern rim of Gale Crater exposes rocks of an extremely varied igneous province of Noachian age [Farley et al., 2014; Le Deit et al., 2013; Thomson et al., 2011], which includes several sorts of basalts (tholeiitic, “shergottitic,” alkaline), evolved igneous rocks (dioritic, syenitic, potassic), and possibly anorthosite [Sautter et al., 2014]. This igneous variety is significantly greater than that of the few known Earth rocks and mineral grains of comparable age (~4.2 Ga) and is possibly comparable in variety only to Earth rocks younger than ~2.7 Ga (near the ArcheanProterozoic transition), [see Blichert-Toft et al., 1996; Nisbet, 1987; Taylor and McLennan, 2009].

The Earth’s surface at ~4.2 Ga, when the rocks of the Gale Crater rim formed [Farley et al., 2014], is poorly known but appears to have been significantly different and less complex than at Gale. Available data suggest that it was similar to that of later, mid-Achaean times: continental masses dominated by the TTG rock association (tonalite, trondhjemite, granodiorite); ocean basins dominated by MORB-like basalts and komatites, and ubiquitous water [Valley et al., 2002]. Perhaps the only preserved rock mass from this time is the Nuvvanada [O’Neil et al., 2008, 2012]. Its rocks are typical of common Archean greenstone belts and consisted (before metamorphism) of basaltic to picritic igneous rocks with interbeds of banded iron formation [O’Neil et al., 2007], which can be interpreted as ocean basin basalts and chemical sediments (comparable
to the modern “Steinman trinity” rocks of ophiolites). Evidence for continent-like crust at ~4.2 Ga comes primarily from zircon grains in younger sandstone, notably the Jack Hills of Australia [Harrison, 2009]. Many of these ancient zircon grains contain inclusions of quartz and feldspars, linking them to felsic igneous rocks. Many of the zircon grains have heavy oxygen (δ18O values), which implies that their parent magmas interacted with low-temperature water [Harrison, 2009; Valley et al., 2002], i.e., oceans. Some of the zircons contain inclusions of muscovite mica, implying the presence of S-type granitic rocks, which are inferred to form by melting of clay-bearing (i.e., aqueously weathered) sediments [Hopkins et al., 2008]. In the Gale Crater sediments, we see evidence for all of these terrestrial materials (basalts, picrite, granodiorite, and aqueously altered sediments), although not micas nor S-type granitic rocks.

However, the sediments of Gale Crater include rocks and chemical components implying that their source regions contained alkaline igneous rocks, which are not known in the terrestrial rock record in rocks older than ~2.7 Ga [Blichert-Toft et al., 1996; Kogarko, 2006]. At that time, near the transition from Archean to Proterozoic epochs, alkaline igneous rocks appear in varied tectonic settings across the globe: the Abitibi and Wawa greenstone belts of Canada [Kitayama and Francis, 2014; Sutcliffe et al., 1990], the Yilgarn of Australia [Smithies and Champion, 1999]; the Kola peninsula of Russia [Zozulya et al., 2005], and the Asward complex of Morocco [Bea et al., 2014]. Interestingly, the Wawa alkaline rocks (emplaced ~2.7 Ga) include ferropicrites [Kitayama and Francis, 2014], similar to magma types inferred in the petrogenesis of Martian basaltic and picritic meteorites [Filiberto et al., 2008].

So in very broad terms, the igneous rocks exposed in the vicinity of Gale Crater (at least as known from sediments shed from them into the Crater), are more complex and varied than those of comparable-aged rocks on Earth. If comparison can be made, the Gale Crater igneous rocks are more comparable then to latest Archean and early Proterozoic rocks on Earth, at least in terms of the presence of alkaline igneous rocks. The reasons behind the absence of alkaline rocks in the pre-2.7 Ga Earth are not clear [Blichert-Toft et al., 1996; Kogarko, 2006]. A possible explanation for both Earth and Mars is that alkaline rocks (and their precursor mantle metasomatic effects) require low-volume partial melts and thus cannot form in hot mantles where high-volume partial melts are the norm [Blichert-Toft et al., 1996; Herzberg et al., 2010]. In this scenario, Mars would have cooled down to the point of allowing low-volume partial melts before 4.2 Ga, while the Earth only cooled this far at ~2.7 Ga. This analogy is in accord with thermochemical modeling of the Martian mantle, in which mantle potential temperatures in Mars’ Noachian time (including 4.2 Ga) are calculated to be several hundred degrees lower than the Earth’s at a comparable time [Baratoux et al., 2013; Filiberto and Dasgupta, 2015].

6. Conclusion

These mineralogical and chemical interpretations of the Windjana sample, in its geological context, provide intriguing but understandably incomplete glimpses into the history of Gale Crater and Mars. The Windjana sample is characteristic of its source unit, the Dillinger, which is interpreted as an eolian sand unit among fluvial deposits [Grotzinger et al., 2015b]. But the Dillinger is significantly richer in potassium (and presumably in sanidine) from those of the units around it, implying that the Dillinger is not merely a local reworking of the underlying sandstones. The sources of the sediment in the Windjana sample is not known, but is likely to be mostly from the northern rim of Gale Crater; and it is likely that the sand was transported primarily by flowing water and then remobilized and redeposited by wind [Grotzinger et al., 2015b]. It remains unclear how the sediment was generated from the protolith—how did nature conspire to form and transport silt- and mud-sized grains without evidence of aqueous alteration of their olivine and sanidine? The source lithologies for the Windjana sample’s sediments represent a complex igneous province, which includes (at least) potassic trachyte, plagioclase-rich basalt (i.e., tholeiitic), and mafic basalt (i.e., shergottitic). Together, these imply the actions of complex igneous processes (crustal and mantle) in Mars’ Noachian or early Hesperian epochs, and (presuming that Gale Crater is not a unique locale) that Mars’ ancient highlands should present a significant diversity of rock types [Santos et al., 2015; Sautter et al., 2015].
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