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ABSTRACT

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are found in a range of commercial products due to their proven antibacterial properties. The unused silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) may make its way into the soil via biosolids that come from wastewater treatment or the effluent that comes from industrialisation processes, where it could be harmful to the organism that live in terrestrial ecosystems. In addition, silver ions are one of the most toxic forms of heavy metal released from dissolved silver nitrate (AgNO₃) and AgNPs through dissolution or oxidation. The study examined the effect of engineered AgNPs, and AgNO₃ on earthworms which are one of the most important bioindicator for determining toxicity in soil environment. Epigeic earthworm, Eudrilus eugeniae was exposed to soils spiked with equivalent concentrations of AgNPs or AgNO₃ at 0, 10, 100, and 200 mg kg⁻¹ in soil for 56 days of experiments. The survival and growth rate was recorded at 7th, 14th, 21st, 28th days and accumulation of Ag in earthworm tissue at 14th and 28th days, antioxidant enzymes at 28th days and reproduction at 56th days of experiment. Further, a short-term exposure of AgNPs and AgNO₃ was conducted to observe avoidance behaviour after 48 h of exposure. The result indicated that survivability was relatively low on exposure of AgNO₃ (83.3%) than AgNPs (86.7%) in 200 mg kg⁻¹ spiked soils, besides the growth was inhibited in both AgNPs (3.68%) and AgNO₃ (3.25%) at 28th days. The uptake of Ag from AgNO₃ in the earthworm tissue was slightly higher than uptake of Ag from AgNPs and it showed concentration-dependent inhibitory effects on reproduction. In AgNO₃ spiked soil, a high level of the Malondialdehyde (MDA) based lipid peroxidation and increased activity of antioxidant enzyme catalase (CAT) was observed than AgNPs spiked soil. Similarly, glutathione (GSH), a cofactor for GPx and GST enzymes, was lower in AgNO₃-spiked soil than in AgNPs-spiked soil. In terms of avoidance behaviour, there was no discernible difference between the distribution of earthworms in AgNPs and AgNO₃ after 48 h. The study found E. eugeniae exhibits concentration-dependent alterations in its competence to survive, antioxidant enzymes, and reproduction. AgNO₃ was found to be more sensitive than AgNPs in the study. The research investigates the effect of AgNPs on earthworms in the soil ecosystem since this understanding is crucial for a comprehensive evaluation of AgNPs' environmental consequences.

1. Introduction

New materials with unique properties are being developed using nanotechnology, which is attracting a lot of attention as a potential nanomaterials. The production of these materials involves the use of a number of different chemical components, including copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), gold (Au), titanium (Ti), and silver (Ag). Among various NPs, silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are predicted to largely enter in terrestrial system, generally through accidental leaks during synthesis and transformation, water and soil remediation technologies and atmospheric upshots (such as waste explosion and use of various agrochemicals). However, the AgNPs deposition in soils is currently by discharging sewage sludge or biosolids from wastewater treatments plant. Thus, soils establish a major environmental sink for nanoparticles, frequently through the application...
and formulation of various commercial products (Courtois et al., 2021). The use of AgNPs in commercial products accounts for about 25 percent of all nanoparticles. Paints, cosmetics, fabrics, food packaging, electrical equipment, and biological goods are few examples of their widespread applications (McGillicuddy et al., 2017).

AgNPs are found in a range of commercial products due to their proven antibacterial properties. Despite the fact that AgNPs are employed all over the world due to their novel and promising features, the fate of these NPs has not been well researched. When nanoparticles enter the environment, the soil is one of the primary places where they end up. It’s possible that engineered nanoparticles may affect organisms living in terrestrial ecosystems if they permeate the soil via biosolids, which are one of the main sources of AgNPs left behind after treating wastewater which produced by industry. Further, when activated sludge is used as agricultural fertilizer, there is a high probability that silver from AgNPs will make its way into terrestrial ecosystems. Nevertheless, agriculture stands to benefit greatly from the development of more effective nanoformulations and nanosensors in order to produce agrochemicals with lower contamination levels and to detect biotic or abiotic stress, respectively. Developing these technologies will likely result in a dramatic shift in agricultural methods, as well as a considerable reduction in the environmental effect of contemporary agriculture. Subsequently, AgNPs are one of the prevalent groups of engineered NPs existing in the environment, which in sequence has led to their accumulation in food webs. The discharge of nanoparticles in the environment raises worries about their toxicity and the probable threats they represent to the ecosystems as a result of their widespread use (Abbas et al., 2020). As a result, an ecotoxicological assessment of these particles is required prior to their extensive use in agricultural ecosystems. Eco-toxicological studies have shown that AgNPs and Ag ions may have a deleterious influence on aquatic species, such as Daphnia magna, Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata, Lemna minor, and Danio rerio (Hlavkova et al., 2019; Sikder et al., 2022). Though, in contrast to freshwater and marine organisms, the potential harm of AgNPs in soils has been insufficiently explored. However negative effects of AgNPs were observed in earthworms by Hlavkova et al. (2020).

Therefore, examining the effects of AgNPs on them is crucial for understanding the potential consequences of nanoparticles in soils. In terrestrial invertebrates, AgNPs have been found to cause oxidative stress by releasing highly reactive oxygen species (ROS) that may harm cell components including DNA, proteins, and membranes (Flores-López et al., 2019). Further, there are a number of parameters that may influence the toxicity of nanoparticles including their size, shape, surface area, surface dispersion, solubility, and stability, as well as environmental factors such as pH, organic matter, light, and salt (Sukhanova et al., 2018). It is essential to have an understanding of the whole process that is involved in toxicity mechanisms, which is required in order to safeguard both the health of humans and the environment.

Earthworms play an important role in the breakdown of organic matter and nutrient recycling processes in terrestrial ecosystems and occupy 60–80% of the total soil volume. They are also recognized as soil biodiversity and health bioindicator (Phillips et al., 2021). As a consequence of this, earthworms are more readily available to accumulate the biodegradation of organic contaminants and to modify the bioavailability of inorganic pollutants including heavy metals (Josko et al., 2021). They have been extensively accepted as a model organism for the effect of soil ecosystem health as well as for predicting the potential impact of nanoparticle pollution in the environment (Boardman et al., 2023).

The aim of the present study was to comprehend and assess the potential dangers that AgNPs pose to the soil ecosystem. The epigeic earthworm Eudrilus eugeniae was preferred for the study because they feed on variety of substrates and tolerates maximum environmental changes (Samrot et al., 2018). The goal of the study was to see the response of earthworm to chemically synthesized silver nanoparticles spiked soil and compare this to with AgNO3 amended soil in order to determine a potential role of free Ag ions, as well as to compare sensitivity for avoidance along with endpoints like growth, survivability, reproduction and oxidative stress under short-term and sub-chronic exposures to various concentrations of AgNPs and AgNO3. Silver nitrate (AgNO3) was used as a reference material in the experiment which was conducted to determine whether the effects of Ag are generated by the particles themselves or by the ions. Overall, the final hypothesis of this study was examining the biological responses (biochemical and behavior biomarkers) which are indicative for toxic effects induced by AgNPs and AgNO3; it can be valuable as early warning signals in environment.

2. Materials and methodology

2.1. Synthesis of silver nanoparticle

Silver nanoparticles were chemically produced using a chemical reduction process as previously described by Girilal et al., 2015. Reacting components solution was prepared by adding 1 mM AgNO3 (>99.0% purity, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) in 50 ml miliQ water and heating until to boil. After that 5 ml of 1% trisodium citrate added to this solution and vigorously stirred for complete mixing. Once it was heated the colour of this solution shifted from pale yellowish to brown. Further the solution was swirled until it reached room temperature.

2.2. Characterization of NPs

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), field emission scanning microscopy (FESEM) equipped with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to determine the morphological structure of synthesized nanoparticles. The shape of silver nanoparticles was determined using SEM at 20 kV on a lyophilized sample of AgNPs. FESEM was used to investigate the elemental mapping of AgNPs. Briefly, the lyophilized sample was first sonicated until complete dispersion, and making a thin smear of AgNPs on platinum grid and left for dry. Further the grid was coated with a thin layer of palladium and subjected to FESEM. The sample was prepared for TEM analysis by placing a drop of colloidal AgNPs solution on a carbon-coated copper grid and drying it in vacuum desicator. The crystalline nature of AgNPs was determined using X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern obtained from X-ray diffractometer at a 2θ (theta) range of 20–80°. The sample for XRD was made by pouring AgNPs powder on a glass slide and then air drying under ambient conditions, with CuK radiation at wavelength of 1.5406 at a voltage of 40 kV and a current of 15 mA was used to record the XRD pattern at a scan rate of 10° per minute. FTIR (Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy) was used to determine the functional groups study which present in AgNPs. The generated spectra with an IR range of 500–4000 cm−1 were collected with a 4 cm resolution.

2.3. Collection, maintenance, and pre-treatment of earthworms

The epigeic earthworm E. eugeniae was collected from vermicomposting unit (23° 49’41.2”N 78° 46’22.2”E) located at Dr. Harisingh Gour University, Sagar. The collected worms were cultured in plastic container (30 l × 12 h × 15 w cm) containing garden soil in the Earthworm Biology Laboratory (23° 50’03.7”N 78° 47’01.2”E) of the University. Prior to the experiment, matured earthworms (n = 220) in the plastic container were removed and acclimatized in control conditions (25° C temp. and 65% humidity) for 24 h in a beaker filled with little pieces of blotting paper to completely clean their gut.

2.4. Exposure of AgNPs/AgNO₃

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs), particle size approximately 33 nm–44 nm (>50 nm) were suspended in deionised water to make final concentrations of 10 mg kg⁻¹, 100 mg kg⁻¹, and 200 mg kg⁻¹. The same concentration doses were prepared for the silver nitrate (AgNO3). Besides, one set of controls was prepared that was not exposed to any nanoparticles
and was only given deionized water to keep the moisture constant. The prepared doses were spiked in the experimental pots. Briefly, 21 clay pots were filled with garden soil and covered with gunny bags. Each pot had 1.0 kg of soil and mature citellate earthworms (n = 10) with same magnitude. All the pots were kept in the plastic tray filled with 35% water to maintain optimum moisture content. The following experiment was run for 56 days. The reproductive behaviour was observed in the end of the experiment while other parameters were observed at 7th, 14th and 28th days following OECD guidelines. Two earthworms per pot were considered for atomic absorption spectrophotometer, AAS (Model: 55B AA, Agilent Technology, Australia) analysis after 14th days, and the same was performed after 28th days. Throughout the experiment, each group’s mortality and growth/biomass were monitored, as well as the weight of earthworms at 7th days, 14th days, 21st days and 28th days. Furthermore, after 28 days, two earthworms from each group were stored in a –80 °C deep freezer for enzyme assay analysis.

2.5. Exposure of AgNP/AgNO₃ to E. eugeniae

2.5.1. Survivality

The earthworm’s survival was examined after exposure to AgNPs and AgNO₃ in a different range of concentrations, including 0, 10, 100, and 200 mg kg⁻¹. Surviving earthworms were hand-sorted and counted at 7th, 14th, 21st, and 28th days of exposure. The following equation was used to compute the survivability (percentage):

\[
\text{Survivability} = \left( \frac{N - D}{N} \right) \times 100/N
\]

where N is the total number of earthworms at the beginning of the experiment, and D is the number of dead earthworms after t days.

2.5.2. Estimation of growth

Earthworms were collected from the treated soil and rinsed twice with distilled water and placed on wet blotting paper for 2–3 h to remove their gut content, and weighed after 7th, 14th, 21st, and 28th days of exposure. The following equation as described by Liang et al. (2017) was used to compute the growth inhibition of earthworms after varied exposure phases in each group.

\[
\text{Gin} = \left( \frac{W0 - Wt}{W0} \right) \times 100/W0
\]

where W0 is the weight on day 0, and Wt is the weight after t days of exposure, where Gin is the growth inhibition for n dose groups.

2.5.3. Reproductive behaviour

The reproductive behaviour was observed at the end of the experiment (56 day), following OECD guideline 222 (OECD, 2004). The data was recorded in triplicates (n = 3) for all treatments. The juveniles in each test pot were counted at 56th day of exposure to different variables.

2.5.4. Ag accumulation

The total concentration of silver in soil treatments was measured on 0, 14th, and 28th days and in earthworm tissue on 14th, and 28th days using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS, Model: 55B AA; Agilent Technology, Australia).

For soil sample analysis three soil samples from each treatment were oven-dried at 80 °C for 24 h, and 0.1 g dried mass of soil was placed in microwave vessels for digestion. The contents were digested by adding 2 ml of aqua regia solution (3 parts conc. hydrochloric acid and 1 part conc. nitric acid) and placed it in hot air oven at 100–120°C for 30 min. The filtrates were subjected to AAS analysis. After each reading, the equipment was recalibrated using a multi-element standard reagent.

To determine the amount of silver in earthworm tissues, the earthworms were first placed on moist filter/blotting paper for 48 h to remove gut contents. The earthworms were then freeze-dried at ~80 °C for 24 h. Furthermore, the digestion and AAS analysis was carried out following the same protocol opted for soil analysis.

2.5.5. Biochemical markers assay

Earthworms were homogenised in phosphate buffer saline (0.15 M; pH 7.4) at a ratio of 1:3 (w/v) by ultra-homogenizer for 25 s in ice on 28th day of experiment. The homogenate was then centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C at 16500 rpm to remove cell debris and most of the cell organelles. After centrifugation, aliquots of the supernatant were transferred to 15 ml plastic tubes and stored on ice at ~20 °C for enzyme assay examination by following Han et al. (2021). The antioxidant enzymes as well as lipid peroxidation (LPO) were measured using spectrophotometric methods following standard protocols (UV-1800, Shimadzu UV spectrophotometer).

2.5.5.1. Total protein

The total protein concentration was estimated following Lowry method (Lowry 1951), folin phenol reaction assay with BSA (Bovine serum albumin) used as a standard. In the tissue homogenate supernatant, all antioxidant enzyme activities, LPO and GSH are expressed as a function of protein concentration.

2.5.5.2. Superoxide dismutase (SOD)

The activity of the SOD was measured following Zhou and Prognon (2006). This assay uses a water-soluble tetrazolium salt (WST1) to create a water-soluble formazan dye which can be detected at 450 nm after reduction with superoxide anion. WST1 decrease rate is proportional to the inhibitory effect of SOD on xanthine oxidase (XO). SOD catalyses the dismutation of superoxide anion into H₂O₂ and molecular oxygen, resulting in a decrease in WST1 reduction. SOD activity was measured in percentage of inhibition rate and moles min⁻¹ mg of protein.

2.5.5.3. Catalase activity (CAT)

The activity of CAT enzyme was measured in supernatant of whole body tissue to determine degradation of H₂O₂, which used as a substrate. Further, 0.5 ml of earthworm supernatant was mixed, and the kinetics was evaluated by calculating the absorbance at 240 nm in every 1.5 min, as described by Aebi (1984), CAT was measured in moles min⁻¹ mg of protein. As a blank, the buffer solution was employed.

2.5.5.4. Total glutathione assay (GSH)

The protocol provided by Hasan and Haider (1989) applied for spectrophotometric detection of the production of 5-thio,2-nitrobenzoate from 5,5'-Dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) in the presence of glutathione reductase and NADPH to determine the reduced glutathione concentration in tissue. In addition, a calibration curve was created using glutathione as the standard. The total glutathione value was calculated as μg GSH mg⁻¹ protein.

2.5.5.5. Lipid peroxidation (LPO)

The malondialdehyde (MDA) content in tissues, an LPO index, was determined by the protocol of Ohkawa et al. (1979). The thiobarbituric acid reaction (TBARS) assay was measured spectrophotometrically at an absorbance of 548 nm for the level of LPO in samples. The value was represented in nanomoles of Malondialdehyde mg⁻¹ protein.

2.6. Avoidance behaviour

In two-sectioned boxes, worms were treated to four concentrations (0, 10, 100, and 200 mg kg⁻¹) of AgNPs and AgNO₃ according to the ISO recommendation (De Silva et al., 2009). To test avoidance, one side box was filled with 1 kg of soil spiked with varying concentrations of AgNPs or AgNO₃ while the other side box was filled with 1 kg of control/un-treated soil. The buffer zone, which is situated in the middle of the box without soil, separates the untreated and treated soil in the box. In three replicates (n = 3), the plastic box was filled with spiking soil with either AgNP or AgNO₃. In an equivalent volume of soil (i.e. 1 kg), three AgNP/AgNO₃ treatments were conducted successively for each group. Because they were exposed to a natural environment, the spiking soil was of a natural sort. A total of 10 earthworms were placed in the buffer zone
of each testing box (control + treatment). After all earthworms had grouped their way into the soil substrate, the boxes were covered with fine holes gunny bags to allow ventilation and left in the dark for 48 h at a temperature 15–21°C. Following that, earthworms were removed from boxes and counted in control and treated soil, if any earthworms were found outside of the buffer zone being counted as half rescued from each part.

2.7. Statistical analysis

All experimental data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Differences between the means \( n = 3 \) at each concentration were analysed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using IBM SPSS statistics 26, to determine the significance level between the control and experimental groups and also within experimental groups, for multiple comparisons Tukey’s pair-wise comparisons at significance level of 0.05 \( (p < 0.05) \) were performed. To determine the interrelationship between AgNPs and AgNO\(_3\) in response to biochemical variables was analysed and visualised by Minitab software package (v19, USA) and heatmap was generated by ClustVis software package (Metsalu and Vilo, 2015).

3. Results

3.1. Characterization of nanoparticles

SEM images of the lyophilized silver nanoparticles revealed predominantly square and spherical shape particles with a size of 33 nm–44 nm approximate, less than 50 nm (Figure 1a). The nanoparticles were also examined using FESEM (Figure 1b). EDX examination of AgNPs reveals the presence of 73.71% Ag, 19.74% carbon, and 6.55% oxides (Figure 1c). FESEM-EDX also verified the elemental mapping of AgNPs (Figure 1b). A high signal of the peak was seen at 3 keV, which is typical of metallic silver nanoparticle absorption. The absence of other components indicates the purity of the nanoparticles.

Transmission electron microscopy was also used to provide insight into the morphology and size features of AgNPs. The AgNPs were spherical/square in shape (Figure 2a). The image exhibits agglomerates and scattered nanoparticles, validating the results of SEM and FESEM (Guzmán et al., 2009). The produced AgNPs have a diameter of around 33.53–44.93 nm. In addition, Figure 2c and 2d confirmed the distribution of average size and area of synthesized AgNPs respectively, which represent the diversity of particles on the basis of their size and area that conforming by ImageJ software from TEM image. The crystalline structure was examined using high resolution TEM (HRTEM) images, where the interplanar distances \( d \) was 0.2809 nm between structures of particle observed in Figure 2b.

The face-centered cubic (FCC) crystalline structure of metallic silver was confirmed by the specified region diffraction pattern (Figure 3a). The XRD pattern of silver nanoparticles (Figure 3b) showed the crystalline structure of AgNPs. The primary five characteristic diffraction peaks for Ag were identified at \( 2\theta = 32.8, 38.18, \) and 46.5, which correspond to the principal (111), (200), and (220) crystallographic planes of Ag crystals, respectively. The characteristics of the XRD spectra of the synthesized AgNPs referenced to the JCPDS Card (Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards).

![Figure 1](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

*Figure 1.* (a) SEM picture of synthesized silver nanoparticles showing well-distributed nanoparticles with a few regions of an agglomerated particles (b) FESEM image showing elemental mapping of synthesized AgNPs (c) Elemental analysis of AgNPs by EDX indicating 47% of silver.
Powder Diffraction Standards, JCPDS Card No. 00–004-0783, indicated that the nanoparticle had a crystalline nature (Waghmode et al., 2013). The primary functional groups and their likely involvement in the production and stability of silver nanoparticles were identified using FTIR studies. Figure 4 depicts the FTIR spectrum of produced AgNPs. The bands occurring at 1511 cm⁻¹ in chemically produced AgNPs were assigned to nitro compound stretching, 1244 and 1394 to amide groups (N–H) and C–H group (aromatic) respectively, and 1057 cm⁻¹ for C–N.

Figure 2. (a) TEM images of synthesized nanoparticles showing particle size ranging from 33 nm and 44 nm approx. (b) HR TEM images showing the interplanar distances (d = 0.28 nm) (c) size distribution and (d) Area distribution of synthesized silver nanoparticles.

Figure 3. (a) SAED image reveals that the silver nanoparticles have polycrystalline structure (polycrystalline ring) (b) XRD pattern of silver nanoparticles specifying diffraction peaks at 2θ, crystallographic planes of fcc (111, 200, and 220) Ag crystals.
bond stretching. The strong connection between AgNPs and various stabilising groups that participate in AgNP synthesis is represented by the obtained FTIR bands (Ibrahim 2015; Chahar et al., 2018).

3.2. Growth inhibition test

AgNO₃ and AgNPs affected the growth rate of earthworm; Table 4 shows the growth of earthworms. In general, when earthworms were exposed to the control, 10, 100, and 200 mg kg⁻¹ of AgNPs/AgNO₃ groups, growth was induced (<2%) in control and lowest concentration (10 mg kg⁻¹). The inhibitory effects of AgNPs and AgNO₃ appeared to be time-dependent from 7th to 28th days, with the maximum levels of AgNPs and AgNO₃ inhibiting growth by roughly 3.68% and 3.25% respectively, at highest concentration on 28th days.

3.3. Survivability

After 7th days and 14th days, survivability was found 100% at 10 mg kg⁻¹ and 100 mg kg⁻¹ concentration for both AgNPs and AgNO₃ exposure. While, at 200 mg kg⁻¹ survivability decreased, relatively high rate of decline in AgNO₃ compared with AgNPs (Table 2). In addition, the survival ranges from 83.3%–96.7% (Table 2) in AgNPs and AgNO₃ exposure respectively at 200 mg kg⁻¹ after 28 days. After 28 days of exposure, worms didn’t show significant mortality. Furthermore, earthworms were thought to be dead if they did not respond a thick mechanical stimulation on the anterior region of the earthworm, and they were considered died if they were missing.

3.4. Reproductive behaviour

Exposure to both AgNO₃ and AgNPs caused reduction in number of juveniles produced per earthworm in a concentration-dependent manner. After 56 days, the number of juveniles in AgNPs and AgNO₃ assays is shown in Table 3. In comparison to similar concentrations of AgNPs and AgNO₃, exposure to low 10 mg kg⁻¹ to high 200 mg kg⁻¹ concentrations resulted in drop of juvenile production. Both AgNPs and AgNO₃ treatment dramatically reduce the quantity of young worms as compared to the control condition. The concentration–dependent statistically significant reduction of earthworm reproduction was found in the replicate test with AgNPs and AgNO₃. AgNPs cause reproduction inhibition ranging from 8.60% at the lowest concentration and 36.55% at the highest concentration, while AgNO₃ causes reproduction inhibition ranging from 11.70% mg kg⁻¹ to 44.68% mg kg⁻¹, yielding an EC50 value 48.50 mg kg⁻¹ and 29.98 mg kg⁻¹ for AgNPs and AgNO₃ respectively (Table 3). AgNPs have the highest EC50 value when compared to AgNO₃; hence, AgNO₃ was more toxic to earthworms than AgNPs.

3.5. Silver content in tissues

The pH of soils spiked with AgNPs and AgNO₃ was measured between range 6.83 and 8.09 at 0, 14th and 28th days (Table 1). The Ag concentration in soils spiked with AgNPs and AgNO₃ were almost similar to initial concentration and suggest that both AgNPs and AgNO₃ were dispersed uniformly across the experimental substrate (Table 1). In both treatment of AgNO₃ and AgNPs exposure, there was no significant (p < 0.05) change in distribution of total Ag concentration in soil between control and lowest concentration of AgNPs and AgNO₃, while significant changes with intermediate to high concentration (100 mg kg⁻¹ and 200 mg kg⁻¹). There are total silver concentrations in earthworms increased with increasing silver concentration in the spiked soil (Table 1). The total concentration of silver in earthworms was evaluated at 14th and 28th days of exposure to AgNPs and AgNO₃, revealing a positive relationship between silver uptake concentrations in earthworms (Table 5). At all concentration levels, larger Ag accumulation was found in AgNO₃ in comparison to AgNPs; the differences were significant (p < 0.05) with respect to control. After 14 days, Ag content in tissues was 2.01 mg kg⁻¹ and 2.10 mg kg⁻¹ on exposure of 200 mg kg⁻¹ AgNPs and AgNO₃ respectively and after 28 days, 12.48 mg kg⁻¹ and 12.86 mg kg⁻¹ respectively.

3.6. Avoidance behaviour

The earthworms escaped from the 100 and 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil test boxes during the initial phase of the experiment. However, after 24 h, all earthworms returned to the soil, while some earthworms attempted to stay in the soil just at bottom of the box. The avoidance behaviour was observed for 48 h after exposure of AgNPs and AgNO₃ spiked soil. In this trial, neither AgNPs nor AgNO₃ treatments resulted in significant mortality. At the highest concentrations, there was no significant difference

Figure 4. FTIR spectrum of silver nanoparticles showing presenting peaks.
Table 1. Total silver (Ag) concentration (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) expressed as mean ± SD, in soil spiked with AgNPs and AgNO\(_3\) and associated pH at 0, 14\(^{th}\) and 28\(^{th}\) days of experiments.

| [Ag] Nominal Concentration (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Mean [Ag] Concentration in soil spiked with AgNP (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | pH | Mean [Ag] Concentration in soil spiked with AgNO\(_3\) (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | pH |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 0 day                                     | 0.33 ± 0.09\(^a\)                                             | 6.83 ± 0.02 | 0.28 ± 0.08\(^a\)                                             | 6.81 ± 0.01 |
| 10                                        | 4.61 ± 0.59\(^a\)                                             | 6.82 ± 0.04 | 4.85 ± 0.31\(^a\)                                             | 6.81 ± 0.02 |
| 100                                       | 103.13 ± 3.94\(^d\)                                          | 5.79 ± 0.03 | 95.58 ± 4.15\(^a\)                                            | 6.77 ± 0.02 |
| 200                                       | 211.86 ± 2.17\(^e\)                                          | 6.59 ± 0.06 | 213.99 ± 2.69\(^a\)                                            | 6.56 ± 0.06 |
| 14 days                                   | 0.31 ± 0.09\(^n\)                                             | 7.59 ± 0.03 | 0.28 ± 0.10\(^n\)                                             | 7.65 ± 0.03 |
| 10                                        | 4.58 ± 0.59\(^n\)                                             | 7.51 ± 0.02 | 4.84 ± 0.27\(^n\)                                             | 7.54 ± 0.01 |
| 100                                       | 104.71 ± 8.45\(^n\)                                          | 7.58 ± 0.03 | 94.18 ± 2.23\(^n\)                                            | 7.59 ± 0.05 |
| 200                                       | 210.14 ± 3.28\(^n\)                                          | 7.65 ± 0.04 | 211.55 ± 2.42\(^n\)                                            | 7.66 ± 0.04 |
| 28 days                                   | 0.30 ± 0.09\(^n\)                                             | 7.94 ± 0.08 | 0.26 ± 0.07\(^n\)                                             | 7.94 ± 0.06 |
| 10                                        | 4.56 ± 0.56\(^n\)                                             | 7.89 ± 0.02 | 4.78 ± 0.18\(^n\)                                             | 7.86 ± 0.03 |
| 100                                       | 101.16 ± 2.13\(^n\)                                          | 7.92 ± 0.04 | 92.63 ± 4.37\(^n\)                                            | 7.96 ± 0.03 |
| 200                                       | 206.28 ± 5.95\(^n\)                                          | 8.05 ± 0.07 | 209.78 ± 4.32\(^n\)                                            | 8.09 ± 0.05 |

SD = Standard deviation. 
Note- Means with different letters in table indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) from control, between treatments and within treatments (n = 10 worms per treatment) at each point for Ag.

Table 2. Survivability of earthworm over the course of the experiment (at 7\(^{th}\), 14\(^{th}\), 21\(^{st}\), and 28\(^{th}\) days) with AgNPs and AgNO\(_3\) exposed soil. The data expressed as percent value (%).

| [Ag] Nominal concentration (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Survival % Day 7 | Survival % Day 14 | Survival % Day 21 | Survival % Day 28 |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| AgNPs                                     |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| 0                                        | 100              | 100              | 100              | 100              |
| 10                                       | 100              | 96.7             | 96.7             | 96.7             |
| 100                                      | 100              | 96.7             | 93.7             | 93.7             |
| 200                                      | 96.7             | 93.7             | 90.0             | 86.7             |
| AgNO\(_3\)                                |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| 0                                        | 100              | 100              | 100              | 100              |
| 10                                       | 100              | 96.7             | 96.7             | 93.7             |
| 100                                      | 100              | 96.7             | 93.7             | 90.0             |
| 200                                      | 96.7             | 93.3             | 93.3             | 83.3             |

Table 3. Total number of juveniles at 56 days of exposure in AgNPs and AgNO\(_3\) at 0, 10, 100 and 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\), the mortality (%) at 56 days and effective concentration (EC50; mg kg\(^{-1}\)) calculations for the reproduction of E. eugeniae.

| [Ag] Nominal concentration (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Mortality (%) | Mean number of Juveniles per test vessel ±SD | Inhibition (%) to control | EC50 value (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| AgNPs                                     |               |                                              |                           |                             |
| 0                                        | 0.0           | 31.00 ± 2.01\(^d\)                           | -                         |                             |
| 10                                       | 6.7           | 28.33 ± 2.08\(^e\)                           | 8.60                      |                             |
| 100                                      | 6.7           | 25.33 ± 2.08\(^f\)                           | 18.28                     |                             |
| 200                                      | 13.3          | 19.67 ± 3.06\(^g\)                           | 36.55                     |                             |
| AgNO\(_3\)                                |               |                                              |                           |                             |
| 0                                        | 0.0           | 31.33 ± 3.79\(^d\)                           | -                         |                             |
| 10                                       | 6.7           | 27.67 ± 2.08\(^e\)                           | 11.70                     |                             |
| 100                                      | 10.0          | 21.67 ± 3.06\(^e\)                           | 30.85                     |                             |
| 200                                      | 16.7          | 17.33 ± 2.52\(^f\)                           | 44.68                     |                             |

SD = Standard deviation. 
Note- Means with different letters in table specify significant differences at p < 0.05 level from control and between treatments and also within treatments (n = 10 worms per treatment).

in the distribution of earthworms in the AgNO\(_3\) and AgNPs spiked soil, but there was significant variance in the distribution of earthworms with control soil in comparison to AgNO\(_3\)/AgNPs spiked soil.

3.7. Biochemical markers

3.7.1. Superoxide dismutase (SOD)

A concentration-dependent decrease was found in SOD enzyme activity in earthworms exposed to AgNPs and AgNO\(_3\) (Figure 5), differences was not significant (p < 0.05). The decrease in SOD enzyme activity in earthworms exposed to AgNO\(_3\) was nearly as large as that seen in worms exposed to AgNPs.

3.7.2. Catalase activity (CAT)

Figure 6 shows the CAT enzyme activity at 28 days of exposure of AgNPs/AgNO\(_3\). At most time points, a concentration-dependent significant increase with control to control (p < 0.05) was explored, with the increased activities of the CAT enzyme, most evident in earthworms exposed to AgNO\(_3\).

3.7.3. Total glutathione (GSH)

GSH is one of the most essential antioxidants, it act as detoxifying enzymes in the mitochondrial redox environment, which helps to break or repair oxidative damage. Figure 7 shows that both AgNPs and AgNO\(_3\) causes a considerable reduction in GSH levels in earthworms compared to control group worms, significance differences between control and treated group (p < 0.05).

3.7.4. Lipid peroxidation (LPO)

LPO was determined by MDA formation in exposure to AgNPs and AgNO\(_3\), LPO increased in a concentration-dependent manner. Over a 28-day period, earthworms exposed to silver nitrate had a larger LPO than those exposed to AgNPs, although the difference was significant among treated group (p < 0.05) (Figure 8).

3.8. Interrelationship between biochemical variables

The principal component analysis (PCA) (Figure 9a) and heatmap (Figure 9b) were executed to evaluate the interrelationships between biochemical variables i.e. enzyme assays in earthworms after 28 days exposure of AgNPs and AgNO\(_3\) (Figure 9a-b). In PCA plot (Figure 9a),
AgNPs and AgNO3 for 7th, 14th, 21st and 28th days (mg kg$^{-1}$). The data represented as percent value ± SD.

| [Ag] Nominal concentration (mg kg$^{-1}$) | Growth inhibition % Day 7 | Growth inhibition % Day 14 | Growth inhibition % Day 21 | Growth inhibition % Day 28 |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| AgNPs                                   |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| 0                                       |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| 10                                      | -0.53 ± 0.04              | -1.33 ± 0.05              | -1.86 ± 0.06              | -2.66 ± 0.05              |
| 100                                     | 0.53 ± 0.03               | 1.34 ± 0.03               | 2.14 ± 0.03               | 3.21 ± 0.03               |
| 200                                     | 1.05 ± 0.10               | 1.84 ± 0.11               | 3.15 ± 0.11               | 3.68 ± 0.10               |
| AgNO3                                   |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| 0                                       |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| 10                                      | -0.48 ± 0.27              | -1.21 ± 0.26              | -1.45 ± 0.28              | -1.94 ± 0.30              |
| 100                                     | 0.81 ± 0.16               | 1.63 ± 0.16               | 2.17 ± 0.16               | 3.17 ± 0.17               |
| 200                                     | 0.73 ± 0.14               | 1.46 ± 0.14               | 2.68 ± 0.14               | 3.25 ± 0.14               |

SD = Standard deviation.

98.7% of information was explained by the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2), in which PC1 depicted 91.5% and PC2 for 7.2% of total explained variance. This specified that PC1 could reveal the high level of complete data variability. Moreover, the GSH and SOD enzymes were positively correlated with control and lower concentration at 10 mg kg$^{-1}$ (AgNPs and AgNO3) groups, but negatively correlated with middle to higher concentration at 100 mg kg$^{-1}$, 200 mg kg$^{-1}$ (AgNPs and AgNO3) groups. The LPO and CAT activity were positively correlated with higher concentration groups (100 and 200 mg kg$^{-1}$) and negatively correlated with lower concentration groups. Furthermore, GSH and SOD activities were depicted negative correlation with LPO and CAT activity. In addition, heatmap (Figure 9b) was used to explore visual variation in enzymatic assay within different groups, the red colour showing positive correlation while blue colour showing negative correlation. Furthermore, the vertical clustering was showing similarity in different groups.

### 4. Discussion

In present study, certain endpoints i.e. survivability, growth inhibition, Ag accumulation, reproduction (Valério-Rodríguez et al., 2020), avoidance behaviour and antioxidant enzymes were studied to evaluate the toxicity of Ag on earthworm, E. eugeniae. Garden soil was employed in this study so that the results could be related to environmental variables. The findings show that at 200 mg kg$^{-1}$ silver concentrations, both AgNPs and AgNO3 showed 13.3% and 16.7% mortality respectively in earthworm (AgNPs- 86.7% and AgNO3- 83.3% survivability). However, below 100 mg kg$^{-1}$ (10 mg kg$^{-1}$), a little increase in weight of adult worms was found in both AgNPs and AgNO3 while at 100 mg kg$^{-1}$ and 200 mg kg$^{-1}$ concentration growth was slightly inhibited. The reproductive behaviour showed a very little change in the number of juveniles at AgNPs and AgNO3. Further, there was a little variation in toxicity with EC50 values ranging 29.98 mg kg$^{-1}$ and 48.50 mg kg$^{-1}$ respectively. Furthermore, during the 48 h of avoidance test, the earthworms made an effort to avoid the contaminated soil, a behaviour that persisted until the adult worms were removed from the box.

In respect to avoidance behaviour, earthworms tended to avoid contaminated soil with AgNPs and AgNO3 at varying concentrations. Earthworms could detect silver content in the soil but could not distinguish between various silver slats (AgNPs and AgNO3). Some previous study was found that coated AgNPs were nearly ten times less hazardous than the uncoated AgNPs, with no significant negative effects on growth, mortality, or reproduction, although the results for AgNO3 were equivalent (Jesmer et al., 2017; Brani et al., 2017). However, the present study demonstrated that E. eugeniae does have sensitivity to differentiate between uncoated AgNPs and AgNO3 in soil.

Nonetheless, it may be assumed that particle parameters such as particle size and coating features have a significant impact in AgNPs toxicity to earthworms. Our findings reveal that reproduction is a highly sensitive endpoint that is influenced by both AgNPs and AgNO3. However, in a prior study (Shoultz-Wilson et al., 2011), earthworms were able to recognize silver as both free Ag ionic form (as in AgNO3) and nanoparticles (as in AgNPs). Subsequently, the EC50 for AgNPs was larger than AgNO3 in respect to reproduction, at this point it may be due to the possible slow internalization of AgNPs than AgNO3 via earthworm. Furthermore, E. eugeniae subjected to AgNO3 uptake slightly more Ag caused lower reproduction than those exposed to AgNPs at similar concentrations, it may be due to slightly more accumulation in AgNO3 exposed tissues than AgNPs. The study found uptake of more Ag in AgNO3 exposed worm inhibit the reproduction rate in E. eugeniae. Ag ions have been shown to be more harmful than AgNPs in aquatic toxicity studies (Yu et al., 2016; Tortella et al., 2020) despite the fact that the results are in disagreement with previous studies of Choi et al. (2008), Tortella and Yu (2020). It’s possible that the erratic reactions to Ag ions and AgNPs are the result of comparing endpoints in different test species and exposure media. It could possibly be due to the various sizes and surface chemistries of the AgNPs being studied. As a result, future studies of AgNPs toxicity and accumulation should be evaluated in terms of AgNPs oxidation and dissolution, with the goal of understanding potential toxicity from AgNO3 as well as AgNPs.

Our investigation indicated the changes in SOD, CAT antioxidant enzymes and LPO along with GSH suggest that the earthworms are responding to increased oxidative stress through antioxidant activity or via its role as a co-factor for GSH (Gomes et al., 2015). The antioxidant enzymes SOD, CAT, and GSH, as well as LPO were used as oxidative indicators in this study, where CAT and LPO significantly increased and SOD, GSH reduced considerably after 28 days exposure in both AgNO3 and AgNPs treatments. SOD enzymes play an important role in protecting cells from oxidative stress by catalysing the dismutation of O$_2$ anions to H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2$. CAT is a unique crucial antioxidant enzyme that plays an important role through breaking of hydrogen peroxide to maintaining cellular redox homeostasis (Ray et al., 2019). The glutathione reductase is necessary for converting oxidised glutathione to its reduced form. Glutathione reductase is critical for maintaining the supply of reduced glutathione and is required for redox homeostasis; additionally, GSH can be regenerated from Glutathione disulfide (GSSG), and blocking this recycling pathway had no effect on GSH levels (Couto et al., 2016). Oxidative damage was one of the problems of uncontrolled oxidative stress in numerous cells, tissues and organs to cause direct damage to lipids of membrane (Ayala et al., 2014). Lipid peroxidation is commonly defined as a process in which oxidants, such as free radicals destroy lipids with a carbon-carbon double bond, particularly polyunsaturated fatty.
acids. Both AgNPs and AgNO3 alter antioxidant enzyme activity and LPO levels in the present investigation. The CAT activity and LPO were increased in AgNPs and AgNO3 treated worm in comparison to control worm, and further AgNO3 caused a considerable increase in CAT activity and LPO in comparison to AgNPs. Furthermore, the SOD enzyme activity and GSH were considerably decreased in the AgNO3 treatment than in AgNPs treatments, and these were also lower in both treatments when compared to control worms. AgNPs dissociate to silver ions, while AgNO3 is already found as ionic form, therefore AgNO3 causing increased toxicity than AgNPs; however, over time AgNPs in soil bind with soil ligands and reducing Ag bioavailability and toxicity (Novo et al., 2015). It has been found that AgNO3 are $5.6 \times 10^{14}$ times more concentrated than an equal mass of AgNPs in previous studies (Tatarchuk et al., 2013; Saleeb et al., 2020). Given this enormous difference in molarity, it is reasonable to assume that AgNPs toxicity may be due to the conversion of AgNPs into ionic form of silver, which can occur over time. Thus, silver ion produced from AgNPs can increase in earthworms (Saleeb et al., 2020).

The soil invertebrate *Enchytraeus crypticus* was exposed to AgNPs which revealed that the mechanism of oxidative stress induced by AgNPs differs slightly from that of AgNO3, as well as that AgNPs take longer to produce toxic effects than AgNO3 (Zhang et al., 2020). CAT appears to be the most triggered antioxidant enzyme which discussed earlier (Zhang et al., 2020). This is consistent with the findings of our current investigation, which revealed an increase in CAT activity in AgNO3 and AgNPs treated tissues. Increased CAT activity was seen in *Drosophila melanogaster* exposed to AgNPs through food (Ahamed et al., 2010), which was associated with increased SOD and LPO, suggesting that oxidative stress was triggered by AgNPs exposure. SOD activity was considerably suppressed in the modest (100 mg kg$^{-1}$) and high (200 mg kg$^{-1}$) tested groups after 28 days. The explanation for this might be owing to the overpowering effect on SOD by the amount of reactive superoxide anion.

**Figure 5.** SOD enzyme activity (mean ± standard deviation) in *E. eugeniae* exposed to AgNP and AgNO3 at similar concentration (0, 10, 100 and 200 mg kg$^{-1}$), with respect to control at 28 days of exposure. Note. Same letters in graph denote not significantly difference (p < 0.05) from control group, and between Ag treated group.

**Figure 6.** CAT enzyme activity (mean ± standard deviation) in *E. eugeniae* exposed to AgNP and AgNO3 experiment of 28 days, with respect to control (0 exposures). Note. Means with different letters on bars, graph indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) from control and between treatments then within treatments (n = 10 worms per treatment) for both Ag (AgNPs and AgNO3).
induced by AgNPs (Zhang et al., 2016; Bhattacharjee, 2019). In contrast to SOD activity, CAT was significantly increased in a concentration-dependent manner \((p < 0.05)\), demonstrating that the CAT has sufficient time to eliminate excess \(H_2O_2\). Apart from that, considerable increase in CAT activity caused by early SOD activation revealed their comparable mechanism in earthworms. Further, via assessing MDA level in earthworms, LPO was detected which is used as a biomarker to measure oxidative stress (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2015). There was a consistent dosage response pattern of MDA content in earthworms, as shown in Figure 8, with the peak occurring after 28 days of incubation. The fact that MDA levels in earthworms exposed to the pollutant suggest that the organisms were damaged by excessive ROS (Sun et al., 2021), as shown in Figure 8. After exposure to AgNPs and AgNO\(_3\), it was established that ROS production followed a clear dose-dependent pattern.

Furthermore, the findings of this study demonstrated that the production of ROS may induce oxidative distress in earthworms. Overall, the current study showed that AgNO\(_3\) to be more harmful than AgNPs in ways of different parameters, i.e. growth and survivability, uptake and reproduction rate. There are a number of reasons why AgNO\(_3\) might be more hazardous than AgNPs specifically, a) AgNO\(_3\) have a much higher solubility than AgNPs because AgNO\(_3\) is a salt, even though AgNPs are reflected to be a base that custom a colloidal solution in water; b) AgNO\(_3\) have a higher molarity than an equal mass of AgNPs; c) Ag accumulates in cellular membranes from AgNPs, but silver ions are contained by the cytosolic fraction (Sun et al., 2021) and d) Ag from AgNPs showing a higher rate of elimination than AgNO\(_3\).

According to the findings, the poisoning of terrestrial ecosystems by heavy metals of anthropogenic origin has long been recognized as a
severe threat. Heavy metals, particularly Ag accumulate in earthworms as a result of soil intake and ion exchange of dissolved heavy metals across the lipophilic outer membrane, or as a result of membrane surface absorption. It appears that silver metal accumulates at different rates in earthworms, and these variances might be due to changes in earthworm species as well as exposure concentration. The paucity of tools available to detect NPs in particular was a limitation of our work. It would be examined in future studies for data speciation to assess the likely transition of AgNPs to ionic species or Ag2S in soil or worms. Additionally, some imaging techniques in worms might provide insight into the internalization process of Ag ion uptake from the AgNPs.

5. Conclusion

The toxicological effect of AgNPs/AgNO3 on earthworms, E. eugeniae was investigated through numerous biochemical and behavioural biomarkers including survivability, growth, reproduction, avoidance behaviour, enzymatic activity (SOD and CAT), GSH and MDA content and silver accumulation in tissues. A concentration-dependent effect on silver accumulation, reproduction and antioxidant enzymes, as well as time-dependent effects on growth and survivability were observed. This study reveals the biochemical markers such as SOD and GSH were decreased, while CAT and LPO elevated in both AgNO3 and AgNPs after 28 days of exposure i.e. responsible to generate oxidative stress. Furthermore, exposure to AgNO3 showed maximum dose-dependent increase in CAT and decrease in SOD along with elevated LPO with respect to AgNPs. Moreover, E. eugeniae could not differentiate between AgNPs and AgNO3 in soil, while compared with control, the AgNPs and AgNO3 provoked the most avoidance across the all exposure concentrations. The negative effect of AgNO3 is more than AgNPs on the earthworm’s individual characteristics for instance: survivability, growth, reproduction and antioxidant enzymes were clearly visible, it may be possible due to a little more Ag accumulation in AgNO3 exposed tissue. As a result, AgNO3 was found more toxic to earthworm. The study concluded AgNPs toxicity is related to release of Ag ions not to nanoparticle specific toxicity. In terrestrial ecosystem, more research related to AgNPs toxicity is necessary, especially the long-term effects on accumulation of various silver compounds as well as the aging processes of AgNP to understand the fate of nanoparticles in soil ecosystem.
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