Incremental Effects of Endocrine and Metabolic Biomarkers and Abdominal Obesity on Cardiovascular Mortality Prediction

Harald Jörn Schneider1,2*, Henri Wallascofski1, Henry Völzke3, Marcello Ricardo Paulista Markus3, Marcus Doerr4, Stephan B. Felix4, Matthias Nauck1, Nele Friedrich1

1 Institute of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Ernst Moritz Arndt University Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany, 2 Medizinische Klinik - Innenstadt, Klinikum der Universität, Munich, Germany, 3 Institute for Community Medicine, Ernst Moritz Arndt University Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany, 4 Department of Cardiology, Ernst Moritz Arndt University Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany

Abstract

Background: Biomarkers may help clinicians predict cardiovascular risk. We aimed to determine if the addition of endocrine, metabolic, and obesity-associated biomarkers to conventional risk factors improves the prediction of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality.

Methodology/Principal Findings: In a population-based cohort study (the Study of Health in Pomerania) of 3,967 subjects (age 20–80 years) free of cardiovascular disease with a median follow-up of 10.0 years (38,638 person-years), we assessed the predictive value of conventional cardiovascular risk factors and the biomarkers thyrotropin; testosterone (in men only); insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1); hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c); creatinine; high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hsCRP); fibrinogen; urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; and waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) on cardiovascular and all-cause death. During follow-up, we observed 339 all-cause including 103 cardiovascular deaths. In Cox regression models with conventional risk factors, the following biomarkers were retained as significant predictors of cardiovascular death after backward elimination: HbA1c, IGF-1, and hsCRP. IGF-1 and hsCRP were retained as significant predictors of all-cause death. For cardiovascular death, adding these biomarkers to the conventional risk factors changed the C-statistic from 0.898 to 0.910 (p = 0.02). The net reclassification improvement was 10.6%. For all-cause death, the C-statistic changed from 0.849 to 0.853 (P = 0.09).

Conclusions/Significance: HbA1c, IGF-1, and hsCRP predict cardiovascular death independently of conventional cardiovascular risk factors. These easily assessed endocrine and metabolic biomarkers might improve the ability to predict cardiovascular death.

Introduction

Scoring systems based on classic risk factors, including sex, age, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and smoking, predict the future risk of cardiovascular events or death [1–5]; however, these risk factors explain only part of cardiovascular risk. Thus, attempts have been made to improve the prediction of cardiovascular risk by adding multiple novel biomarkers to the classic risk factors. These biomarkers have included markers of inflammation, kidney function, cardiac damage, endothelial function, metabolism, and oxidative stress, among others [6–11].

However, these novel markers’ abilities to improve prediction were mostly disappointing. Many studies failed to detect a clinically relevant improvement in risk prediction [7,8,10]. Biomarkers that appeared to improve prediction in some studies [6,11] did not perform well in other cohorts [7]. Differences in hormone levels are associated with cardiovascular risk. Both elevated and suppressed thyrotropin concentrations...
have been associated with increased cardiovascular mortality, though not consistently [12]. Low levels of insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), a metabolic and anabolic hormone as well as a mediator of growth hormone action, have been associated with increased cardiovascular mortality in some studies [13,14], but not in all [15]. In men, low testosterone levels predict cardiovascular and all-cause mortality [16–18]. Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) predicted cardiovascular events in several studies, independently of diabetes [19–21], although these results were not confirmed by another study [22].

In addition, recent studies suggest that measures of abdominal obesity, such as the waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), are associated with cardiovascular risk, independent of classic cardiovascular risk factors [23,24]. To our knowledge, no one has studied whether a multimarker approach that includes endocrine and metabolic parameters along with abdominal obesity will improve the predictive value of classic cardiovascular risk factors.

We hypothesized that a comprehensive set of easily assessed biomarkers that reflect different potential pathways of cardiovascular risk, including hormonal imbalance, glucose metabolism, abdominal obesity, inflammation, and kidney damage, adds incrementally to the use of conventional risk factors to predict cardiovascular and all-cause death.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

The Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP) is a longitudinal, representative, population-based cohort study in West Pomerania, a region in northeast Germany. Baseline data was collected from 1997 through 2001. A total of 4,308 subjects (response proportion: 69%) participated [25,26]. All participants gave written informed consent. The study conformed to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Greifswald.

Of the 4,308 participants, 18 pregnant women, 63 with incomplete conventional cardiovascular risk factor information and 260 with history of major cardiovascular events (stroke, myocardial infarction or heart surgery) at baseline were excluded, resulting in a study population of 3,967 subjects. Vital status information was acquired at annual intervals from the time of enrollment through December 2009. Subjects were censored at either death or failure to follow-up. The median duration of follow-up was 10.0 years (25th percentile 9.3; 75th percentile 10.0). Death certificates were coded by a certified nosologist according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (ICD10).

Additionally, two internists (H.W. and M.D.) independently validated the underlying causes of death and performed a joint review of the death certificates, coding according to ICD10. In men, low testosterone levels below 10.4 nmol/l [16]. Testosterone was measured with competitive chemiluminescence immunoassays (Nichols Advantage; Nichols Institute Diagnostica GmbH, Bad Vilbel, Germany). Total testosterone levels were measured with competitive chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassays on an Immulite 2500 analyzer (Siemens Immulite 2500 Total Testosterone, ref. L5KTW, Lot 110; Siemens Healthcare Medical Diagnostics, Bad Nauheim, Germany). Serum thyrotropin levels were measured with high-performance liquid chromatography (Bio-Rad Diamat, Munich, Germany). Serum thyrotropin levels were measured with high-performance liquid chromatography (Bio-Rad Diamat, Munich, Germany). Plasma fibrinogen concentrations were assayed as described by Clauss [19], using an Electra 1600 analyzer (Instrumentation Laboratory, Barcelona, Spain). HsCRP was determined immunologically on a Behring Nephelometer II with commercially available reagents from Dade Behring (Dade Behring, Eschborn, Germany).

Statistical analyses

Categorical data were expressed as percentages; continuous data were expressed as medians (25th percentile; 75th percentile). Continuous variables were truncated at the 1st and 99th percentile. Univariate analysis was performed, with χ² testing for categorical variables and Mann–Whitney U-tests for continuous distributions. For regression analyses, skewed variables were log-transformed.

We included the following parameters as conventional risk factors: age (continuous); sex (binary); systolic blood pressure (continuous); antihypertensive medication (binary); HDL cholesterol (continuous); total cholesterol (continuous); diabetes (binary); and current smoking (binary). We assessed the following biomarkers as continuous variables, analyzing the effects of an increase of one standard deviation (SD) from the mean: thyrotropin, IGF-1, testosterone, hsCRP, fibrinogen, HbA1c, creatinine, urinary albumine-to-creatinine ratio (UACR), and WHtR. Additionally, we analyzed cutoffs that were considered clinically useful and were derived from the literature for the following variables: thyrotropin, IGF-1 below the 10th sex- and age-specific percentile [14]; and testosterone below 10.4 nmol/l [16]. Testosterone was measured in men only, and all analyses that included testosterone were performed in men only.
We proceeded in four steps to assess the prediction of cardiovascular death. First, we performed Cox proportional hazards regression analyses for single biomarkers, unadjusted and adjusted for conventional risk factors, to predict cardiovascular death. Second, we included all biomarkers that were significant after adjustment into a prediction model, using backward elimination with conventional risk factors forced into the model. Third, we used the C-statistic, as described by Pencina et al. [28], to compare the predictive values of conventional risk factors with single, novel risk factors and the models built in the second step.

| Characteristics                      | N (m/w) | Men       | Women     |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Age, years                           | 1892/2075 | 50 (36; 63) | 48 (35; 61) |
| Current Smokers, %                   | 1892/2075 | 38.2       | 28.6      |
| Diabetes, %                          | 1892/2075 | 7.7        | 6.7       |
| Antihypertensive medication, %       | 1892/2075 | 26.3       | 26.7      |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg       | 1892/2075 | 141 (129; 153) | 126 (114; 142) |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dl             | 1892/2075 | 222 (193; 251) | 218 (189; 251) |
| HDL cholesterol, md/dl              | 1892/2075 | 48 (41; 58)  | 60 (50; 71)  |

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

| Biomarkers                        | 1892/2075 | Men       | Women     |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Waist-to-height ratio             | 0.54 (0.50; 0.59)  | 0.50 (0.44; 0.57) |
| HbA1c, %                          | 5.4 (5.0; 5.8)  | 5.2 (4.8; 5.6)  |
| IGF-1, ng/ml                      | 133 (104; 170) | 135 (102; 174) |
| Testosterone, nmol/l              | 16.0 (12.7; 20.3) | -   |
| Thyrotropin, mU/l                 | 0.65 (0.43; 0.94) | 0.68 (0.44; 1.02) |
| High-sensitive CRP, mg/l          | 1.25 (0.65; 2.82) | 1.49 (0.68; 3.57) |
| Fibrinogen, g/l                   | 2.80 (2.43; 3.30) | 2.90 (2.54; 3.40) |
| Serum creatinine, μmol/l          | 90 (83; 98)   | 77 (71; 83)   |
| Urinary Albumin-to-Creatinine Ratio, mg/g | 7.1 (4.3; 15.0) | 9.3 (5.8; 17.3) |

N(m/w) = number of men and women with data on the respective parameter; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; CRP = C-reactive protein. Continuous data are expressed as median (25th; 75th percentile); nominal data are given as percentages.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t001

### Table 2. Prediction of cardiovascular death by single biomarkers.

|                          | SD | Total Events | Unadjusted HR (95%CI) | Adjusted HR (95%CI)* | p    |
|--------------------------|----|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------|
| WHR, 1-SD increase       | 0.08 | 3967 103 | 2.31 (1.95; 2.75) |
| HbA1c, 1-SD increase     | 0.90% | 3954 102 | 1.64 (1.51; 1.78) |
| IGF-1                    | 57.6 ng/ml | 3755 96 | 2.32 (1.41; 3.84) |
| <10th percentile         | 2.32 (1.41; 3.84) | 0.001 | 2.43 (1.46; 4.05) |
| 1-SD increase            | 0.37 (0.28; 0.50) | <0.001 | 0.76 (0.56; 1.04) |
| Testosterone             | 0.64 (0.48; 0.86) | 0.003 | 0.89 (0.68; 1.15) |
| Testosterone             | 0.77 mU/l | 3918 101 | 1.90 (1.05; 3.47) |
| Thryrotropin              | 0.48 (0.48; 1.15) | 0.03 | 0.89 (0.68; 1.15) |
| UACR, 1-SD increase      | 1.06 mg/g | 3488 96 | 1.72 (1.48; 1.99) |

SD = standard deviation; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; WHR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

*Models were adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, antihypertensive medication, diabetes, and current smoking.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t002
Finally, we classified subjects into groups with low (<2%), moderate (2% to 9%) and high (>9%) risk, based on the recommendations of the European Society of Cardiology [4], and calculated the net reclassification improvement (NRI) for cardiovascular death. The bias-corrected accelerated bootstrap resampling procedure of Efron and Tibshirani was used to obtain 95% confidence intervals for NRIs. Observed risk at 10-years estimated from Kaplan-Meier curve were used to estimate the expected number of subjects who died and who did not die at 10-year follow-up.

In secondary analyses, we repeated the first three steps with all-cause death as outcome. A two-sided p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Study sample
Baseline characteristics are shown in table 1. During the 38,638 person-years of follow-up, we observed 339 (8.6%) all-cause deaths (rate per 1,000 person years: 8.8), including 103 (2.6%) deaths due to cardiovascular disease (rate per 1,000 person years: 2.7).

Prediction of events by single biomarkers
The results of the Cox regression analyses for predicting cardiovascular death with single biomarkers are shown in table 2. WHtR, HbA1c, testosterone below 10.4 nmol/l, hsCRP, and IGF-1 levels below the 10th sex- and age-specific percentile remained significant predictors after adjustment for conventional risk factors.

For all-cause death, WHtR, hsCRP, fibrinogen, IGF-1 levels below the 10th percentile, and testosterone below 10.4 nmol/l remained significant predictors after adjustment for conventional risk factors (table 3).

Table 3. Prediction of all-cause death by single biomarkers.

| Trans-formation | SD         | Total Events | Unadjusted HR (95%CI) | p   | Adjusted HR (95%CI) | p   |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|
| WHtR, 1-SD increase | -          | 0.08         | 3967 339              | 1.92 (1.74; 2.12) | <.001   | 1.19 (1.05; 1.36)   | 0.008 |
| HbA1c, 1-SD increase | -          | 0.90%        | 3954 338              | 1.44 (1.36; 1.53) | <.001   | 1.09 (0.98; 1.22)   | 0.11  |
| IGF-1           |            |              | 3755 319              | 57.6 ng/ml         |         | 1.47 (1.07; 2.02)   | 0.02  |
| <10th percentile | -          |              | 1.60 (1.16; 2.20)     | <.001   | 0.87 (0.75; 1.03)   | 0.10  |
| 1-SD increase   |            |              | 6.01 nmol/l 1812 204  | 0.47 (0.40; 0.55) | <.001   | 1.53 (1.08; 2.16)   | 0.02  |
| Testosterone    |            |              | 0.85 (0.73; 0.98)     | 0.03   | 1.01 (0.88; 1.15)   | 0.94  |
| IGF-1           |            |              | 0.77 ng/ml 3918 331   |         |                     |       |
| 1-SD increase   |            |              | 0.85 (0.77; 0.94)     | 0.002  | 1.06 (0.96; 1.17)   | 0.24  |
| <0.25 mU/l (ref. 0.25–2.12) | -  |              | 1.65 (1.20; 2.29)     | 0.002  | 0.94 (0.68; 1.31)   | 0.71  |
| <0.25 mU/l (ref. 0.25–2.12) | -  |              | 1.01 (0.54; 1.90)     | 0.98   | 1.06 (0.56; 2.02)   | 0.85  |
| hsCRP, 1-SD increase log | 1.10 mg/l 3662 315  |            | 1.65 (1.49; 1.83)     | <.001   | 1.30 (1.16; 1.46)   | <.001 |
| Fibrinogen, 1-SD increase log | 0.22 g/l 3954 337  |            | 1.51 (1.39; 1.65)     | <.001   | 1.07 (0.97; 1.19)   | 0.18  |
| Serum creatinine, 1-SD increase log | 0.17 µmol/l 3957 337  |            | 1.51 (1.39; 1.65)     | <.001   | 1.07 (0.97; 1.19)   | 0.18  |

SD = standard deviation; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; WHtR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

*Models were adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, antihypertensive medication, diabetes, and current smoking.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t003

Risk prediction with combined biomarkers and risk factors
Table 4 shows the results of backward elimination models that included all significant biomarkers from the previous step with conventional risk factors forced into the model for cardiovascular disease.

Table 4. Prediction of cardiovascular death by backward-elimination.

| Conventional cardiovascular risk factors | HR (95%CI)* | p |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| age, per year                           | 1.13 (1.10; 1.16) | <.001 |
| Smoker                                  | 1.92 (1.13; 3.28) | 0.02 |
| Antihypertensive medication             | 1.15 (0.72; 1.83) | 0.56 |
| HDL cholesterol, 1-SD increase          | 0.77 (0.58; 1.01) | 0.06 |
| Female                                  | 0.67 (0.42; 1.07) | 0.10 |
| Diabetes                                | 1.50 (0.83; 2.71) | 0.18 |
| Systolic BP, 1-SD increase              | 1.18 (0.95; 1.47) | 0.13 |
| Total cholesterol, 1-SD increase        | 0.95 (0.74; 1.20) | 0.66 |
| Biomarkers                              |             |   |
| HbA1c, 1-SD increase                    | 1.28 (1.07; 1.51) | 0.005 |
| hsCRP, 1-SD increase                    | 1.50 (1.21; 1.87) | <.001 |
| IGF-1 <10th percentile                  | 2.18 (1.30; 3.67) | 0.003 |

BP = blood pressure; SD = standard deviation; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; WHtR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

*Conventional cardiovascular risk factors were forced into the model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t004
Discussion

In this population-based cohort study, we analyzed whether combining endocrine and metabolic parameters with a marker of abdominal obesity improved the prediction of cardiovascular death with conventional risk factors. We identified a set of additional biomarkers, including low IGF-1, HbA1c, and hsCRP that caused a moderate but significant improvement in the prediction of cardiovascular deaths and correctly reclassified 11% of the population at risk. The predictive value was stronger for cardiovascular than for all-cause death. This result is not surprising because the risk factors selected were aimed at cardiovascular risk and not at other causes of death.

Our results confirm the findings of previous studies showing that hsCRP is a significant predictor of cardiovascular risk in a multimarker approach [7–11]. Our results extend the findings of previous reports by assessing the associations of HbA1c, and low IGF-1 in this context.

HbA1c was included in one multimarker study, but only in subjects with diabetes [9]. Several studies focusing on HbA1c found a predictive role independent of diabetes [19–21]. We confirmed this role in combination with other biomarkers.

Testosterone in men and thyrotropin did not improve prediction. Although low levels of testosterone have been shown to predict mortality even after adjustment for cardiovascular risk factors [10], the fact that the associations became weaker after adjustment in our study suggests that the effects of testosterone are mediated by cardiovascular risk factors. This result is consistent with previous studies showing that testosterone is associated with cardiovascular risk factors [30,31]. Similar associations may play a role in the results found for thyrotropin [32].

Low IGF-1 was a significant predictor. Experimental data show IGF-1's protective effects against systemic inflammation, insulin resistance, and free fatty acid production, all of which are consequences of obesity [33]. IGF-1 remained a significant predictor among parameters that reflect these different pathways. This finding suggests that additional parameters are involved in the association between IGF-1 and cardiovascular death. Possibly, the effects of IGF-1 on endothelial function and cardiac growth and function [34,35] or other, unknown factors play a part.

Previous studies have shown that WHtR is a strong and linear predictor of cardiovascular risk, compared with other measures of abdominal and overall obesity [23,24,36] whereas BMI shows a U-shaped association with cardiovascular risk and mortality [37–39]. WHtR was not significant in this multimarker approach. Most likely, this is due to the fact that abdominal obesity, as measured by the WHtR, increases risk by promoting conventional cardiovascular risk factors.

### Table 5. Prediction of all-cause death by backward-elimination.

| Conventional cardiovascular risk factors | HR (95% CI)* | p      |
|------------------------------------------|--------------|--------|
| age, per year                            | 1.10 (1.09; 1.11) | <.001  |
| Smoker                                   | 2.17 (1.66; 2.84)  | <.001  |
| Antihypertensive medication              | 1.20 (0.93; 1.54)  | 0.16   |
| HDL cholesterol, 1-SD increase           | 0.99 (0.87; 1.12)  | 0.86   |
| Female                                   | 0.51 (0.39; 0.65)  | <.001  |
| Diabetes                                 | 1.79 (1.34; 2.38)  | <.001  |
| Systolic BP, 1-SD increase               | 1.03 (0.91; 1.16)  | 0.66   |
| Total cholesterol, 1-SD increase         | 1.11 (0.98; 1.25)  | 0.09   |

Biomarkers

| hsCRP, 1-SD increase                     | 1.29 (1.15; 1.45)  | <.001  |
| IGF-1 < 10th percentile                  | 1.49 (1.08; 2.07)  | 0.02   |

BP = blood pressure; SD = standard deviation; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; WHtR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio; Cox regression analysis with backward elimination with a p-value of 0.05.

*Conventional cardiovascular risk factors were forced into the model.

**Table 6. Improvement of prediction of cardiovascular death by biomarkers.**

| C-statistic* of cardiovascular deaths with 95% CI | Net reclassification improvement with 95% CI† (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Conventional cardiovascular risk factors only    | 0.898 (0.873; 0.923)                          |
| Biomarkers                                       |                                               |
| HbA1c, 1-SD increase                             | 0.902 (0.877; 0.927)                          | 4.52 (-3.25; 17.39) |
| hsCRP, 1-SD increase                             | 0.906 (0.881; 0.930)                          | 4.71 (-2.70; 15.92) |
| IGF-1 < 10th percentile                          | 0.902 (0.877; 0.927)                          | 5.80 (-0.66; 21.81) |
| All biomarkers                                   | 0.910 (0.886; 0.934)                          | 10.61 (-0.28; 24.86) |

CI = confidence interval; SD = standard deviation; WHtR = waist-to-height-ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein. Conventional cardiovascular risk factors include age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, antihypertensive medication, diabetes, and current smoking.

†The bias-corrected accelerated bootstrap resampling procedure was used to calculate 95% confidence intervals.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t006
The identification of biomarkers to improve the ability to predict cardiovascular risk has been a focus of interest in recent years. Our results show a modest improvement in prediction compared with other studies [7–10]. The inclusion of IGF-1 and HbA1c may have contributed to this effect. Other studies found higher incremental effects of biomarkers than our study [6,11]. Selection of high-risk patients or different outcomes might play a part in these findings.

It has been shown that biomarkers can result in relevant reclassification, even in the absence of significant increases in C-statistics [9]. In our study, 11% of subjects were correctly net reclassified by the new biomarkers. Generally, one can expect that the lower the costs for a biomarker are the greater is the willingness to accept small increments in discrimination.

We selected biomarkers that can be easily obtained in everyday clinical practice. Therefore, the increment in our study, though only moderate, might be relevant if validated, given the low cost and effort associated with biomarker assessment — HbA1c is a routine laboratory parameter and hsCRP is increasingly used in risk assessment. IGF-1 is mainly used as marker of pathological changes in growth hormone secretion in an endocrine setting. All of the biomarkers we measured can be assessed clinically or in blood and do not require fasting.

Several limitations need to be addressed. First, because we aimed to explore new biomarkers, our findings need validation in additional cohorts. We did not test other potentially relevant markers, such as troponin or B-type natriuretic peptide. We expect that these factors are more relevant in populations with higher baseline risks of cardiac damage than our sample had. As in any study of risk prediction, multiple testing is an issue, although we

Table 7. Improvement of prediction of all-cause death by biomarkers.

| Model with conventional cardiovascular risk factors only | Conventional cardiovascular risk factors only | Biomarkers | hsCRP, 1-SD increase | IGF-1, 10th percentile | All biomarkers |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| C-statistic* of all-cause death with 95% CI (3602 subjects, 311 events) | 0.849 (0.830; 0.868) | 0.852 (0.833; 0.871) | 0.850 (0.830; 0.869) | 0.853 (0.833; 0.872) |

CI = confidence interval; SD = standard deviation; WHtR = waist-to-height ratio; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; IGF-1 = Insulin-like growth factor 1; hsCRP = high-sensitive C-reactive protein. Conventional cardiovascular risk factors include age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, antihypertensive medication, diabetes, and current smoking.

* For conventional cardiovascular risk factors only or conventional cardiovascular risk factors + biomarkers.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t007

Table 8. Reclassification of cardiovascular deaths using the model with both conventional cardiovascular risk factors and biomarkers retained after backward-elimination.

| Model with conventional risk factors only | Model with conventional risk factors + biomarkers | Reclassified into new category, % |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Total, n | Lower | Higher |
| 2% 9% | 25 6 0 31 | 0.0 19.4 |
| Subjects included | 2631 58 4 2693 | 0.0 2.2 |
| Subjects who died of CVD* | 2606 52 0 2658 | 0.0 2.0 |
| Subjects who did not die of CVD | 0.93 10.73 0 |
| Observed risk, % | 153 434 58 645 | 23.7 9.0 |
| Subjects included | 4 25 9 38 | 10.5 23.7 |
| Subjects who died of CVD* | 149 409 49 607 | 24.5 8.1 |
| Subjects who did not die of CVD | 2.56 5.80 16.00 |
| Observed risk, % | 0 75 189 264 | 28.4 0.0 |
| Subjects included | 0 4 25 29 | 13.8 0.0 |
| Subjects who died of CVD* | 0 71 164 235 | 30.2 0.0 |
| Subjects who did not die of CVD | 0 5.79 13.15 |
| Observed risk, % | 28 36 35 99 | NRI = 10.61% |
| Subjects included | 2756 531 216 3503 | 0.0 0.0 |
| Subjects who died of CVD* | 1.02 6.34 13.80 |
| Subjects who did not die of CVD | 0 5.79 13.15 |
| Observed risk, % | 2784 567 251 3602 | NRI = 10.61% |

CVD = cardiovascular disease; NRI = net reclassification improvement. Conventional cardiovascular risk factors include age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, body-mass-index, antihypertensive medication, lipid-lowering medication, diabetes, and current smoking.

*10-year Kaplan-Meier estimates were used to estimate the number of subjects who died and who did not die.

†Observed risk at 10-years was estimated from the Kaplan-Meier curve.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033084.t008
tried to minimize the number of tests we administered. In addition, we only assessed cardiovascular and all-cause mortality. We do not know if our findings are generalizable to other cardiovascular events.

In summary, we found a set of biomarkers that improve the prediction of cardiovascular death. The effort required to assess these parameters is low. Thus, the increase in the C-statistic, though only moderate, and the net reclassification of 11% could have a clinical benefit, once validated.
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