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The differential and partially integrated cross sections are considered for bremsstrahlung from high-energy electrons in atomic field with the exact account of this field. The consideration exploits the quasiclassical electron Green’s function and wave functions in an external electric field. It is shown that the Coulomb corrections to the differential cross section are very susceptible to screening. Nevertheless, the Coulomb corrections to the cross section summed up over the final-electron states are independent of screening in the leading approximation over a small parameter \(1/mr_{\text{scr}}\) (\(r_{\text{scr}}\) is a screening radius, \(m\) is the electron mass, \(\hbar = c = 1\)). Bremsstrahlung from an electron beam of the finite size on heavy nucleus is considered as well. Again, the Coulomb corrections to the differential probability are very susceptible to the beam shape, while those to the probability integrated over momentum transfer are independent of it, apart from the trivial factor, which is the electron-beam density at zero impact parameter. For the Coulomb corrections to the bremsstrahlung spectrum, the next-to-leading terms with respect to the parameters \(m/\varepsilon\) (\(\varepsilon\) is the electron energy) and \(1/mr_{\text{scr}}\) are obtained.

I. INTRODUCTION

Bremsstrahlung in the electric field of atoms is the fundamental QED process. Its investigation, started in 30s, is important for various applications. In the Born approximation both differential cross section and spectrum of the bremsstrahlung have been obtained for arbitrary electron energies and atomic form factors [1] (see also Ref. [2]). High-energy asymptotics of the bremsstrahlung cross section in a Coulomb field has been studied in detail in Ref. [3] exactly in the parameter \(Z\alpha\) (\(Z\) is the atomic number, \(\alpha = 1/137\) is the fine-structure constant). In these papers the differential cross sections and the bremsstrahlung spectrum have been obtained. For a screened Coulomb field, the high-energy asymptotics of the differential cross section has been derived in Ref. [4]. Influence of screening on the spectrum has been studied in Refs. [5, 6]. For the spectrum, it turned out that screening is essential only in the Born approximation. In other words, the Coulomb corrections (CC) to the spectrum are not significantly modified by screening. By definition, CC are the difference between the result obtained exactly in the external field and that obtained in the Born approximation. In the recent paper [7] it has been claimed that CC to the differential cross section of the bremsstrahlung are also independent of screening.

In the present paper we investigate the bremsstrahlung cross section in the electric field of a heavy atom. We assume that \(\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \gg m\), where \(\varepsilon\) and \(\varepsilon'\) are the initial and final electron energies, respectively. In Sec. [8] we consider in detail the differential cross section in the leading approximation, i.e., neglecting corrections in the parameters \(m/\varepsilon\) and \(1/mr_{\text{scr}}\). In contrast to the statement of Ref. [8], screening may strongly modify CC to the differential cross section. We demonstrate explicitly that this fact does not contradict the final-state integration theorem [9] from which it follows that CC to the spectrum are independent of screening. We also study the influence of the electron beam finite size on CC. Again, CC to the differential cross section are very sensitive to the shape of the electron beam, while the spectrum is independent of it, except for a trivial factor. In Sec. [10] we consider corrections to CC in the spectrum. It turns out that, in the first non-vanishing order, they enter the spectrum as a sum of two terms. The first term is proportional to \(m/\varepsilon\) and is independent of screening. The second term is small in the parameter \(1/mr_{\text{scr}}\) and is independent of the energy.

Our approach is based on the use of the quasiclassical Green’s function and the quasiclassical wave function of the electron in an external field. Earlier this method was successfully applied to the investigation of the photoproduction process at high energy [11, 12].
II. DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTION

The cross section of the electron bremsstrahlung in the external field has the form

$$d\sigma = \frac{\alpha}{(2\pi)^4\omega} \frac{dp'}{dk} \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon' - \omega)|M|^2,$$

where $k$ is the photon momentum, $p$ and $p'$ are the initial and final electron momenta, respectively, $\omega = |k|$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_p = \sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$, and $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon_p'$. The matrix element $M$ has the form

$$M = \int dr e^{-ikr} \psi_{p'}^{(\text{out})}(r) \psi_{p}^{(\text{in})}(r).$$

Here $\psi_{p}^{(\text{in})}$ and $\psi_{p'}^{(\text{out})}$ are the wave functions of the $\text{in}$- and $\text{out}$-state of the electron in an external field, containing in their asymptotics the diverging and converging spherical waves, respectively, and the plain wave with 4-momentum $P'$; $\epsilon^* = e^*\gamma$, $\epsilon_{\mu}$ is the photon polarization 4-vector, $\gamma_{\mu}$ are the Dirac matrices.

In [10] the quasiclassical wave function of electron in arbitrary localized potential has been found with the first correction in $m/\varepsilon$ taken into account. For the calculation of bremsstrahlung and $e^+e^-$ photoproduction cross section in the leading approximation one can use the following form of the wave function [10]:

$$\psi_{p}^{(\text{in, out})}(r) = \pm \int \frac{dq}{i\pi} \exp \left[ iq \cdot r + i\lambda \int_0^\infty dx V(r_x) \right] \left\{ 1 \mp \frac{1}{2p} \int_0^\infty dx \alpha \cdot \nabla V(r_x) \right\} u_p,$$

$$r_x = r \mp x n + q \sqrt{2|r \cdot n|/p}, \quad \lambda = \text{sgn} P^0, \quad n = p/p.$$ (3)

In this formula $q$ is a two-dimensional vector lying in the plane perpendicular to $p$, the upper sign corresponds to $\psi_{p}^{(\text{in})}$, $u_p$ is the conventional Dirac spinor. We remind one that the wave function $\psi_{p'}^{(\text{in})}$ corresponds to the positron in the final state with the 4-momentum $(\varepsilon_p, p)$. For a Coulomb field, the wave function [3] coincides with the usual Furry-Sommerfeld-Maue wave function. When the angles between $p$ and $r$ in $\psi_{p'}^{(\text{in})}(r)$, and between $p$ and $-r$ in $\psi_{p'}^{(\text{out})}(r)$ are not small, it is possible to replace $r_x$ in Eq. (3) by $R_x = r \mp x n$. Then the integral over $q$ can be taken, and we come to the conventional eikonal wave function

$$\psi_{p', eik}^{(\text{in, out})}(r) = \exp \left[ iq \cdot r + i\lambda \int_0^\infty dx V(r_x) \right] \left\{ 1 \mp \frac{1}{2p} \int_0^\infty dx \alpha \cdot \nabla V(r_x) \right\} u_p.$$ (4)

We direct the $z$-axis along the vector $\nu = k/\omega$, then $r = z\nu + \rho$. In this frame the polar angles of $p$ and $p'$ are small. We split the region of integration in Eq. (4) into two: $z > 0$ and $z < 0$. The corresponding contributions to $M$ are denoted as $M_+$ and $M_-$ so that $M = M_+ + M_-$. For $z > 0$ the function $\psi_{p'}^{(\text{out})}(r)$ has the eikonal form and we obtain for $M_+$

$$M_+ = \int_{z>0} dr \int \frac{dq}{i\pi} \exp \left\{ iq^2 - i\Delta \cdot r - i \int_0^\infty dx \left[ V(r - nx + q\sqrt{2z/p}) + V(r + nx') \right] \right\}$$

$$\times \bar{u}_p \left[ \hat{e}^* - \frac{1}{2p} \int_0^\infty dx \hat{e}^* \alpha \cdot \nabla V(r - nx + q\sqrt{2z/p}) - \frac{1}{2p'} \int_0^\infty dx \alpha \cdot \nabla V(r + nx') \hat{e}^* \right] u_p,$$

where $\Delta = p' + k - p$ is the momentum transfer.

In Eq. (4) we have replaced $\sqrt{2|r \cdot n|/p}$ in the definition of $r_x$ in Eq. (3) by $\sqrt{2z/p}$. It is easy to see that within our accuracy we can also replace in Eq. (4) the quantity $V(r + nx')$ by $V(r + nx + q\sqrt{2z/p})$ and consider the vector $q$ to be perpendicular to $z$-axis. After that we shift $p \rightarrow p - q\sqrt{2z/p}$ and take the integral over $q$. We obtain

$$M_+ = \int_{z>0} dr \exp \left\{ -i\frac{z}{2p} \Delta^2 - i\Delta \cdot r - i \int_0^\infty dx \left[ V(r - nx) + V(r + nx') \right] \right\}$$

$$\times \bar{u}_p \left[ \hat{e}^* - \frac{1}{2p} \int_0^\infty dx \hat{e}^* \alpha \cdot \nabla V(r - nx) - \frac{1}{2p'} \int_0^\infty dx \alpha \cdot \nabla V(r + nx') \hat{e}^* \right] u_p,$$ (5)
In the same way, we obtain for \( M_- \):

\[
M_- = \int_{z<0} dr \exp \left\{ i \frac{z}{2p'} \Delta_\perp^2 - i \Delta \cdot r - i \int_0^\infty dx [V(r - nx) + V(r + n'x)] \right\} \\
\times \bar{u}_{p'} \left[ \hat{e}^* - \frac{1}{2p'} \int_0^\infty dx \hat{e}^* \alpha \cdot \nabla V(r - nx) - \frac{1}{2p'} \int_0^\infty dx \alpha \cdot \nabla V(r + n'x) \hat{e}^* \right] u_p
\]

(7)

There are two overlapping regions of the momentum transfer \( \Delta \),

I. \( \Delta \ll \frac{m\omega}{\epsilon} \), II. \( \Delta \gg \Delta_{\text{min}} = \frac{m^2\omega}{2\epsilon\epsilon'\epsilon''} \).

(8)

In the first region we can neglect the terms \( \propto \Delta_\perp^2 \) in the exponents in Eqs. (9) and (10). Then the sum \( M = M_+ + M_- \) reads

\[
M = \int_{z<0} dr \exp \left\{ -i \Delta \cdot r - i \int_0^\infty dx [V(r - nx) + V(r + n'x)] \right\} \\
\times \bar{u}_{p'} \left[ \hat{e}^* - \frac{1}{2p'} \int_0^\infty dx \hat{e}^* \alpha \cdot \nabla V(r - nx) - \frac{1}{2p'} \int_0^\infty dx \alpha \cdot \nabla V(r + n'x) \hat{e}^* \right] u_p
\]

(9)

We can make the replacement \( n, n' \rightarrow \nu \) in the preexponent in Eq. (9). In the exponent we should take into account the linear term of expansion of the integral with respect to \( n - \nu \) and \( n' - \nu \). As a result we have

\[
M = \int_{z<0} dr \exp [-i\Delta \cdot r - i\chi(\rho)] \\
\times \int_0^\infty dy \bar{u}_{p'} \left[ \hat{e}^* [y(n - \nu) - \alpha/2p] \cdot \nabla V(r - \nu y) + [-i y(n' - \nu) - \alpha/2p'] \cdot \nabla V(r + \nu y) \hat{e}^* \right] u_p,
\]

\[
\chi(\rho) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz V(r).
\]

(10)

In the arguments of \( V(r \pm \nu y) \) we make the substitutions \( z \rightarrow z \mp y \). After that we take the integral over \( y \) and obtain

\[
M = A(\Delta) \cdot \left( \bar{u}_{p'} \left[ \frac{(n - n') \hat{e}^*}{\Delta_\perp^2} - \frac{\hat{e}^* \alpha}{2p' \Delta_\perp} + \frac{\bar{\alpha} \hat{e}^*}{2p' \Delta_\perp} \right] u_p \right), \quad A(\Delta) = -i \int dr \exp [-i\Delta \cdot r - i\chi(\rho)] \nabla_\rho V(r).
\]

(11)

Let us pass to the calculation of \( M \) in the second region where \( \Delta \gg \Delta_{\text{min}} \). In Eq. (6) for \( M_+ \) we can replace \( n' \rightarrow n \) and \( z\Delta_\perp^2/2p \rightarrow \hat{z}\Delta_\perp^2/2p \), where \( \hat{z} = r \cdot n \). Due to the smallness of the polar angle of \( n \) we can integrate in Eq. (6) over the half-space \( \hat{z} > 0 \). After the integration over \( \hat{z} \) we obtain

\[
M_+ = -i \int d\rho \exp [-i\Delta \cdot \rho - i\chi(\rho)] \frac{\bar{u}_{p'} \hat{e}^* \left[ 2p + \alpha \cdot \Delta_\perp \right] u_p}{2p \Delta \cdot \rho + \Delta_\perp^2}.
\]

(12)

The calculation of \( M_- \) is performed quite similar. As a result we have

\[
M = -i \int d\rho \exp [-i\Delta \cdot \rho - i\chi(\rho)] \bar{u}_{p'} \left[ \frac{\hat{e}^* \left( 2p + \alpha \cdot \Delta_\perp \right)}{2p \Delta \cdot \rho + \Delta_\perp^2} - \frac{(2p' + \alpha \cdot \Delta_\perp) \hat{e}^*}{2p' \Delta \cdot \rho + \Delta_\perp^2} \right] u_p.
\]

(13)

Now we can write the representation for \( M \) which is valid in both regions

\[
M = \frac{\epsilon\epsilon'}{\omega} A(\Delta) \cdot \left\{ \bar{u}_{p'} \left[ -2\hat{e}^* \frac{p_\perp + p_\perp'}{\delta\delta'} + \hat{e}^* \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon\delta'} - \frac{\bar{\alpha} \hat{e}^*}{\epsilon'\delta} \right] u_p \right\}, \quad \delta = m^2 + p_\perp^2, \quad \delta' = m^2 + p_\perp'^2.
\]

(14)
This expression coincides within our accuracy with Eq. 11 in region I and with Eq. 13 in region II. Using the explicit form of the Dirac spinors, we finally obtain

\[ M = \frac{1}{2\delta \delta'} A(\Delta) \cdot \left\{ \varphi^{\dagger} \left[ (p_\perp + p'_\perp) \left( \frac{\varepsilon + \varepsilon'}{\omega} e^* (p_\perp + p'_\perp) - i[\sigma \times e^*] \cdot (p_\perp + p'_\perp) \right) + 2i \varepsilon [\sigma \times e^*]_\perp \right) - (\delta + \delta') \left( \frac{\varepsilon + \varepsilon'}{\omega} e^* - i[\sigma \times e^*]_\perp \right) \right\} \varphi \right. \tag{15} \]

This expression is in agreement with that obtained in \( \text{Ref.} \ [8] \) by another method. We emphasize that the potential enters the amplitude only via \( A(\Delta) \).

\section{CC to the differential cross section in a screened Coulomb potential}

Let us discuss CC to the differential cross section of bremsstrahlung. We remind that these corrections are the difference between the exact (in the external field strength) cross section and that obtained in the Born approximation which is proportional to \( |A(\Delta)|^2 - |A_B(\Delta)|^2 \) with \( A(\Delta) \) from Eq. 11 and

\[ A_B(\Delta) = -i \int dr \exp[-i\Delta \cdot r] \nabla \rho V(r) = \Delta \int dr \exp[-i\Delta \cdot r] V(r). \tag{16} \]

The screening modifies the Coulomb potential of the nucleus at distances \( r_{scr} \gg \lambda_C = 1/m \). In the region \( \Delta \gg \max(\Delta_{min}, r_{scr}^{-1}) \) the quantities \( A(\Delta) \) and \( A_B(\Delta) \) are of the form

\[ A(\Delta) = A_B(\Delta) \frac{\Gamma(1-iZ\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+iZ\alpha)} \left( \frac{4}{\Delta^2} \right)^{iZ\alpha} = -\Delta \pi Z\alpha \frac{\Gamma(1-iZ\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+iZ\alpha)} \left( \frac{4}{\Delta^2} \right)^{1-iZ\alpha}. \tag{17} \]

Thus \( |A(\Delta)|^2 = |A_B(\Delta)|^2 \) for \( \Delta \gg \max(\Delta_{min}, r_{scr}^{-1}) \) and CC to the differential cross section vanish in this region in the leading approximation. Therefore, CC are important only in the region \( \Delta \ll \max(\Delta_{min}, r_{scr}^{-1}) \ll m \). In this region we can use Eq. 11 for the matrix element. Substituting Eq. 11 in Eq. 11 and using the relation \( d\Omega_p^i d\Omega_k = d\phi d\Delta \cdot d\Delta / (\omega \varepsilon \varepsilon') \) we obtain for CC after integration over the azimuthal angle \( \phi \) and summation over polarizations

\[ d\sigma_C^\Delta = \frac{\alpha d\omega d\Delta \cdot d\Delta}{16\pi \varepsilon^2 \varepsilon' \Delta^2} \left[ \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon'^2 + \frac{m^2 \omega}{\Delta^2} + \frac{m^4 \omega^2}{\varepsilon \varepsilon' \Delta^2} \right] \left[ |A(\Delta)|^2 - |A_B(\Delta)|^2 \right]. \tag{18} \]

Note that in this formula we can assume that the \( z \)-axis is directed along the vector \( \mathbf{p} \). Then \( \Delta \) is negative and \( |\Delta| \gg \Delta_{min} = m^2 \omega / 2 \varepsilon \varepsilon' \). The potential \( V(r) \) and the transverse momentum transfer \( \Delta \) enter Eq. 18 only as the factor \( dR \)

\[ dR = d\Delta \cdot \left[ |A(\Delta)|^2 - |A_B(\Delta)|^2 \right]. \tag{19} \]

It follows from the definition of \( A(\Delta) \) that for \( r_{scr} \gg |\Delta|^{-1} \) screening can be neglected. However, it is obvious from Eq. 11 that screening drastically modifies the \( \Delta \)-dependence of the differential cross section for \( r_{scr} \ll |\Delta|^{-1} \). We illustrate this statement on the example of the Yukawa potential \( V(r) = -Z\alpha \exp[-\beta r] / r \). After the straightforward calculation we have

\[ \Delta \frac{dR}{d\Delta} = 32\pi^3 (Z\alpha)^2 \left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \beta^2}} \right] \left[ \varepsilon \int_0^\infty dx x J_1(x\zeta) K_1(x) \exp[2iZ\alpha K_0(\gamma x)] \right]^2 - \frac{\zeta^4}{(1 + \zeta^2)^2} \right], \tag{20} \]

We emphasize that \( \Delta \) enters the right-hand side of Eq. 20 only via the variable \( \zeta \), so that \( \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \beta^2} \) is the characteristic scale of the distribution 20. For \( \beta \gg |\Delta| \) this scale is entirely determined by the radius of screening \( r_{scr} = \beta^{-1} \). In this case the \( \Delta \)-distribution is much wider than that in the absence of screening. Therefore we conclude that, in contrast to the statement in Ref. 8, CC to the differential cross section strongly depend on screening. Note that screening also affects the shape of the \( \Delta \)-distribution 20 via the parameter \( \gamma \), which varies from 0 to 1. In Fig. 14 we show the dependence of \( \Delta \) \( dR / d\Delta \) on scaling variable \( \zeta \) for \( Z = 80 \) and different values of the parameter \( \gamma \).

Note that, in contrast to bremsstrahlung, CC to the differential cross section of \( e^+ e^- \) photoproduction in the atomic field are important only in the region \( \Delta \sim m \) where screening may be neglected 8.
FIG. 1: The quantity $\Delta_\perp dR/d\Delta_\perp$ as a function of $\zeta$ for $Z = 80$ and $\gamma = 1$ (solid curve), $\gamma = 0.5$ (dashed curve), and $\gamma = 0.01$ (dotted curve). The variable $\zeta$ is defined in Eq. (20).

B. Integrated cross section

It was shown in Ref. [5] that CC to the cross section of bremsstrahlung integrated over $\Delta_\perp$ are independent of screening in the leading approximation. The statement was based on the possibility to obtain this cross section from that for $e^+e^-$ photoproduction. In this subsection we perform the explicit integration of $d\sigma/\gamma_C$, Eq. (18), over $\Delta_\perp$.

We show that the strong influence of screening on the shape of $d\sigma/\gamma_C$ does not contradict the statement of Ref. [5].

Our consideration is quite similar to that used in Ref. [11] at the calculation of CC to the $e^+e^-$ pair production in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions.

Let us consider the quantity $R$

$$R = \int d\Delta_\perp \int d\Delta_\perp \left[|A(\Delta)|^2 - |A_B(\Delta)|^2\right].$$

This integral is converging due to the compensation in the square brackets in Eq. (21). The main contribution to the integral comes from the region $\Delta_\perp \lesssim \max(\Delta_{\text{min}}, r_{sc})$. Substituting the integral representation for $A(\Delta)$, Eq. (11), and for $A_B(\Delta)$, Eq. (16), to Eq. (21), we have

$$R = \int d\Delta_\perp \int dr_1 dr_2 \exp[i\Delta \cdot (r_1 - r_2)] \{\exp[i\chi(\rho_1) - i\chi(\rho_2)] - 1\} [\nabla_1 V(r_1) : \nabla_2 V(r_2)].$$

(22)

It is necessary to treat this repeated integral with care. If we naively change the order of integration over $\Delta_\perp$ and $r_{1,2}$ and take the integral over $\Delta_\perp$ then we obtain $\delta(\rho_1 - \rho_2)$. After that the integration over $\rho_1$ leads to zero result. This mistake was made in Ref. [4] in the explicit check of the independence of the integrated cross section on screening. Therefore, the proof of this independence given in Ref. [4] and widely cited in textbooks is not consistent. The correct integration of the cross section can be performed as follows. Let us first integrate over the finite region, $\Delta_\perp < Q$, of $\Delta_\perp$. Then we can change the order of integration and first take the integral over $\Delta_\perp$. After that $R$ is obtained by taking the limit $Q \to \infty$:

$$R = \lim_{Q \to \infty} 2\pi Q \int dr_1 dr_2 J_1(Q|\rho_1 - \rho_2|) \frac{|A(\Delta)|^2}{|\rho_1 - \rho_2|} \exp[i\Delta \cdot (z_1 - z_2)] \{\exp[i\chi(\rho_1) - i\chi(\rho_2)] - 1\} [\nabla_1 V(r_1) : \nabla_2 V(r_2)].$$

(23)

After the substitution $r_{1,2} \to r_{1,2}/Q$ we can pass to the limit $Q \to \infty$ in the integrand using the asymptotics.
V(r) → −Zα/r and χ(ρ) → 2Zα(ln ρ + const) at r → 0. Then we have
\[ R = 8\pi(Z\alpha)^2 \int d\rho_1 d\rho_2 \frac{\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2}{|\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2|} \left( \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right)^{2iZ\alpha} - 1 \]
\[ = -32\pi^3(Z\alpha)^2 |\text{Re} \psi(1+iZ\alpha) + C| = -32\pi^3(Z\alpha)^2 f(Z\alpha), \tag{24} \]
where C is the Euler constant, and \( \psi(x) = \frac{d\ln \Gamma(x)}{dx} \). Using this formula and taking the integral over \( \Delta \) from \( -\infty \) to \( -\Delta_{\text{min}} \) in Eq. (24), we reproduce the well-known result obtained in Ref. [3].

Thus, we come to a remarkable conclusion: CC to the integrated over \( \Delta_\perp \) cross section are independent of screening, although the main contribution to the integral comes from the region \( \Delta_\perp \lesssim \max(\Delta_{\text{min}}, \lambda_C/r_{\text{scr}}) \) where, for \( \Delta_{\text{min}} \ll r_{\text{scr}}^{-1} \), the differential cross section is essentially modified by screening. We emphasize that this result is valid in the leading approximation with respect to the parameters \( m/\varepsilon \ll 1 \) and \( \lambda_C/r_{\text{scr}} \ll 1 \). In the next section we show that in the limit \( m/\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \) the screening contributes to \( d\sigma_C/d\omega \) only as a correction in the parameter \( \lambda_C/r_{\text{scr}} \).

### C. Beam-size effect on CC

It is interesting to consider the effect of the finite transverse size \( b \) of an electron beam on CC to bremsstrahlung in a Coulomb field of a heavy nucleus. This consideration should be performed in terms of the probability \( dW \) rather than the cross section. Similarly to the effect of screening, the finite beam size can lead to the substantial modification of CC to the differential probability, \( dW_C \), while CC to the probability integrated over \( \Delta \) is a universal function. To illustrate this statement, let us consider bremsstrahlung from the electron described in the initial state by the wave function of the following form
\[ \psi(r) = \int d\Omega_p h(p)\psi^{(\text{in})}_p(r). \tag{25} \]
Here the function \( h(p) \) is peaked at \( p = p_0 \). If the width \( \delta p \) of the peak satisfies the condition \( \delta p \ll \sqrt{\Delta_{\text{min}}^2} \lesssim m \) then
\[ \psi(r) \approx \int d\Omega_p h(p) \exp[i(p - p_0) \cdot \rho] \psi^{(\text{in})}_p(r) \approx \phi(p) \psi^{(\text{in})}_p(r), \tag{26} \]
where the function \( \phi(p) \) is normalized as \( \int |d\rho| |\phi(p)|^2 = 1 \) and has the width \( b \gg 1/\sqrt{\Delta_{\text{min}}^2} \ll \lambda_C \). The quantity \( dW_C \) is given by the right-hand side of formula (18) where the functions \( A(\Delta, A_B(\Delta)) \) are given by Eq. (11) and Eq. (16) with the additional factor \( \phi(p) \) in the integrands. Substituting \( V(r) = -Z\alpha/r \) we have
\[ A(\Delta) = -2iZ\alpha \Delta \int d\rho \phi(\rho) \exp[-i\Delta_\perp \cdot \rho] K_1(\Delta_z \rho) |\rho|^{1+2iZ\alpha}, \]
\[ A_B(\Delta) = -2iZ\alpha \Delta \int d\rho \phi(\rho) \exp[-i\Delta_\perp \cdot \rho] K_1(\Delta_z \rho) |\rho|^{1+2iZ\alpha}. \tag{27} \]
If \( b \gg |\Delta_z|^{-1} \sim \Delta_{\text{min}}^{-1} \) then we can simply replace \( \phi(p) \rightarrow \phi(0) \) in Eq. (27) so that the differential distribution does not change as compared with the case of a plain wave. Therefore, we consider the case \( b \ll \Delta_{\text{min}}^{-1} \), when the finiteness of the beam size is very important. In this case we can replace \( K_1(\Delta_z \rho) \rightarrow (\Delta_z \rho)^{-1} \) in Eq. (27).

Substituting the functions \( A(\Delta) \) and \( A_B(\Delta) \) from Eq. (27) into \( dR \) as defined by Eq. (19) and repeating all the steps of the derivation of \( R = \int dR \) in the previous subsection, we obtain
\[ R = -32\pi^3(Z\alpha)^2 f(Z\alpha) |\phi(0)|^2. \tag{28} \]
We see that CC to the integrated probability depend on the shape of the wave packet only through the factor \( |\phi(0)|^2 \), corresponding to the electron density at zero impact parameter. Thus their dependence on \( Z\alpha \) coincides with that in the case of a plain wave (24). However, the shape of \( \phi(p) \) can essentially modify the \( \Delta_\perp \)-dependence of \( dW_C \). As an illustration, in Fig. we show the dependence of \( \Delta_\perp \) on \( \zeta \) for \( Z = 80 \) and \( \phi(p) = \phi_0(p) \) (solid curve) and \( \phi(p) = \phi_1(p) \) (dashed curve), where
\[ \phi_0(p) = \frac{\exp[-p^2/2\rho_0^2]}{\sqrt{2\pi\rho_0^2}}, \]
\[ \phi_1(p) = \frac{(p/\rho_0)^2 \exp[-p^2/2\rho_0^2]}{\sqrt{2\pi\rho_0^2}}, \quad \zeta = \rho_0 \Delta_\perp. \tag{29} \]
It is seen that the behavior of \( \Delta_\perp \) differs drastically for the two cases considered. In accordance with Eq. (28), \( R = -32\pi^3(Z\alpha)^2 f(Z\alpha)/\rho_0^2 \) for \( \phi(p) = \phi_0(p) \) and \( R = 0 \) for \( \phi(p) = \phi_1(p) \). Note that in the latter case the function \( \Delta_\perp \) itself is different from zero.
As known the modification of the high-energy asymptotics of CC to the spectrum due to the effect of screening is small. Below we show that the same is true also for the next term in $m/\varepsilon$. In this section we explicitly calculate the screening correction in the main term of the high-energy asymptotics and neglect screening when calculating the next-to-leading term in $m/\varepsilon$. In other words, we calculate the first corrections in the small parameters $m/\varepsilon$ and $1/mr_{scr}$ to the bremsstrahlung spectrum

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} = \frac{\alpha \omega p}{2(2\pi)^3} \int d\Omega_p \int d\Omega_k \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'} |M|^2;$$

the amplitude $M$ is given by Eq. (2) and summation is performed over the polarizations of all particles. It is convenient to calculate $d\sigma/d\omega$ using the Green’s function $G(r_2, r_1 | \varepsilon)$ of the Dirac equation in an external field. This Green’s function can be represented as

$$G(r_2, r_1 | \varepsilon) = \sum_{\lambda, n} \frac{\psi_n(r_2) \bar{\psi}_n(r_1)}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_n + i0} + \sum_{\lambda} \int \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \frac{\psi_p(r_2) \bar{\psi}_p(r_1)}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_p + i0} + \frac{\psi_{-p}(r_2) \bar{\psi}_{-p}(r_1)}{\varepsilon + \varepsilon_p - i0} \right],$$

where $\psi_n$ is the discrete-spectrum wave function, $\varepsilon_n$ is the corresponding binding energy, $P = (\varepsilon_p, p)$. In Eq. (31), one can use the set of either in- or out- wave functions. The regularization of denominators in Eq. (31) corresponds to the Feynman rule. From Eq. (31),

$$\sum_{\lambda} \int d\Omega_p \psi_{p}^{(\text{in})}(r_1) \bar{\psi}_{p}^{(\text{in})}(r_2) = \sum_{\lambda} \int d\Omega_p \psi_{p}^{(\text{out})}(r_1) \bar{\psi}_{p}^{(\text{out})}(r_2) = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{\varepsilon_p p} \delta G(r_1, r_2 | \varepsilon_p),$$

where $\Omega_p$ is the solid angle of $p$, and $\delta G = G - \tilde{G}$. The function $\tilde{G}$ is obtained from $G$ by the replacement $i0 \leftrightarrow -i0$. Since the spectrum of bremsstrahlung is independent of the direction of the vector $p$, we can average the right-hand side of Eq. (31) over the angles of this vector. Then we obtain, using Eq. (31)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} = \frac{-\alpha \omega}{2\varepsilon_p} \int \frac{d\Omega_k}{4\pi} \int dr_1 dr_2 e^{-ik \cdot r} \sum_{\lambda, \gamma} \text{Sp} \left\{ \delta G(r_2, r_1 | \varepsilon) \hat{e} \delta G(r_1, r_2 | \varepsilon') \hat{e} \right\},$$

FIG. 2: The quantity $\Delta_{\perp} dR/d\Delta_{\perp}$ in units $(\pi R_0^2)^{-1}$ as a function of $\zeta = \rho_0 \Delta_{\perp}$ for $Z = 80$ and $\phi(p) = \phi_0(p)$ (solid curve), $\phi(p) = \phi_1(p)$ (dashed curve). The functions $\phi_{0,1}$ are defined in Eq. (29).
where \( r = r_2 - r_1 \) and \( \varepsilon' = \varepsilon - \omega \) is the energy of the final electron. Here and below we use linear polarization basis \( e^* = e \). Note that the integration over \( d\Omega_k \) is trivial since the integrand is independent of the angles of \( k \), so the integral \( \int d\Omega_k / 4\pi \) is omitted below. It is convenient to represent \( d\sigma / d\omega \) in another form using the Green’s function \( D(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon) \) of the squared Dirac equation,

\[
G(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon) = \left[ \gamma^0(\varepsilon - V(r_2)) - \gamma \cdot p_2 + m \right] D(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon), \quad p_2 = -i \nabla_2
\]  

Performing transformations as in Refs. \[11\], we can rewrite Eq. (38) in the form

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} = -\frac{\alpha \omega}{2ep} \text{Re} \iint dr_1 dr_2 e^{-ik \cdot r} \times \sum_{\lambda,} \text{Sp}\{[(2e \cdot p_2 - \hat{\varepsilon}\hat{k})\delta D(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon)][(2e \cdot p_1 + \hat{\varepsilon}\hat{k})\delta D(r_1, r_2|\varepsilon')]} \bigg\},
\]

For the first two terms of the high-energy asymptotic expansion of the spectrum, the main contribution to the integral in Eqs. (33), (35) is given by the region \( r = |r_2 - r_1| \sim 1/\Delta_{\text{min}} = 2\varepsilon'/\omega m^2 \gg 1/m \). This estimate is in accordance with the uncertainty relation. Substituting in Eq. (35) \( \delta D \) as \( \delta D = D - \bar{D} \), we obtain four terms. Within our accuracy the terms containing \( D(\varepsilon)D(\varepsilon') \) and \( \bar{D}(\varepsilon)\bar{D}(\varepsilon') \) can be omitted and we have

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} = \frac{\alpha \omega}{2ep} \text{Re} \iint dr_1 dr_2 e^{-ik \cdot r} \times \sum_{\lambda,} \text{Sp}\{[(2e \cdot p_2 - \hat{\varepsilon}\hat{k})D(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon)][(2e \cdot p_1 + \hat{\varepsilon}\hat{k})\bar{D}(r_1, r_2|\varepsilon')]} \bigg\},
\]

Here and below we assume the subtraction from the integrand of its value at \( Z\alpha = 0 \). For calculations in the leading approximation in \( m/\varepsilon \), the following form of the function \( D(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon) \) can be used \[12\]

\[
D(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon) = \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha \cdot (p_1 + p_2)}{2\varepsilon} \right] D^{(0)}(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon),
\]

where \( D^{(0)}(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon) \) is the quasiclassical Green’s function of the Klein-Gordon equation in the external field. The function \( \bar{D} \) is obtained from Eq. (37) by the replacement \( D^{(0)} \rightarrow D^{(0)}* \). The representation (37) can be directly used for the calculation of the screening correction to the spectrum. It will be shown below that it can be used for the calculation of the correction in \( m/\varepsilon \) as well.

Substituting Eq. (37) in Eq. (36) and taking the trace, we obtain

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} = \frac{2\alpha \omega}{\varepsilon^2} \text{Re} \iint dr_1 dr_2 e^{-ik \cdot r} \sum_{\lambda,} \left\{ 4[\epsilon \cdot p_2 D_2^{(0)}][\epsilon \cdot p_1 D_1^{(0)}] \right\} - \frac{\omega^2}{\varepsilon^2} [\epsilon \cdot (p_1 + p_2) D_2^{(0)}][\epsilon \cdot (p_1 + p_2) D_1^{(0)}],
\]

\[
D_2^{(0)} = D^{(0)}(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon), \quad D_1^{(0)} = D^{(0)*}(r_1, r_2|\varepsilon').
\]

At the derivation of Eq. (38) we integrated by parts the terms containing second derivatives of \( D^{(0)} \). We are interested in CC which can be obtained from Eq. (38) by the additional subtraction from the integrand of the Born term \( \propto (Z\alpha)^2 \).

### A. Next-to-leading term in \( m/\varepsilon \) for CC to the spectrum

We start with Eq. (36) and introduce the variables

\[
r = r_2 - r_1, \quad \rho = r \times [r_1 \times r_2], \quad z = -\frac{(r \cdot r_1)}{r^2}.
\]

Note that the variable \( \rho \) in this section has quite different meaning than the variable \( \rho \) in the representation for \( A(\Delta) \) in the previous section, see Eq. (11). The analysis performed shows that the main contribution to the term under discussion originates from the region \( \rho \sim 1/m \) and \( \theta, \psi \sim m/\varepsilon \ll 1 \), where \( \theta \) is the angle between the vectors.
\[ r_2 \text{ and } -r_1, \text{ and } \psi \text{ is the angle between the vectors } r \text{ and } k. \] Then screening can be neglected and we can use the quasiclassical Green’s function \( D \) in a Coulomb field obtained in Ref. 9.

\[
D(r_2, r_1|z) = \frac{i k_0 e^{ikr}}{8\pi^2 r_1 r_2} \int dq \exp \left[ i \frac{kr^2}{2r_1 r_2} \right] \frac{2\sqrt{r_1 r_2}}{q - \rho} \frac{2i \omega_{\alpha}}{2q} \left(\frac{\pi(Z\alpha)^2}{4\kappa^2} \left(\gamma^0 \lambda - \gamma \cdot \frac{r}{r} \frac{\gamma \cdot (q - \rho)}{|q - \rho|^3}\right)\right),
\]

\( \lambda = \text{sgn } \varepsilon, \quad \kappa = \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - m^2}, \quad \alpha = \gamma^0 \gamma. \) (40)

Here \( q \) is a two-dimensional vector in the plane perpendicular to \( r \). Note that due to the smallness of the angle \( \theta \) we can assume that the variable \( z \) belongs to the interval \((0, 1)\) and \( r_1 = rz, r_2 = r(1 - z). \) The function \( D \) entering Eq. (39) is obtained from Eq. (40) by the replacement \( \kappa \to -\kappa \) and \( \lambda \to -\lambda. \) The contribution of the last term in the expression \( D(r_2, r_1|z) \) vanishes after taking the trace in Eq. (39). Therefore, this term can be omitted in the problem under consideration. The remaining terms in Eq. (40) can be represented in the form (41) with

\[
D^{(0)}(r_2, r_1|z) = \frac{i k_0 e^{ikr}}{8\pi^2 r_1 r_2} \int dq \exp \left[ i \frac{kr^2}{2r_1 r_2} \right] \frac{2\sqrt{r_1 r_2}}{q - \rho} \frac{2i \omega_{\alpha}}{2q} \left(\frac{\pi(Z\alpha)^2}{4\kappa^2} \left(1 + i \frac{\pi(Z\alpha)^2}{2\kappa |q - \rho|}\right)\right).
\]

Then, using the relation

\[
(e \cdot p_{1,2})D^{(0)}(r_2, r_1|z) = \frac{i k_0 e^{ikr}}{8\pi^2 r_1 r_2} \int dq \exp \left[ i \frac{kr^2}{2r_1 r_2} \right] \frac{2\sqrt{r_1 r_2}}{q - \rho} \frac{2i \omega_{\alpha}}{2q} \left(1 + i \frac{\pi(Z\alpha)^2}{2\kappa |q - \rho|}\right)(e \cdot r + e \cdot q),
\]

and passing from the variables \( r_{1,2} \) to the variables \( r, \rho, \text{ and } z, \) we obtain from (41).

\[
\frac{d\sigma_i(r)}{d\omega} = \frac{\omega_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} }{32\pi^2 \varepsilon} \text{Re} \int \frac{dr}{r^3} \int_{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{2}} dq_1 dq_2 dp \exp \left[ i \frac{\omega r}{2} \left(\frac{2}{m^2} + \frac{2i \omega_{\alpha}}{2q(z - 1) + \frac{2i \omega_{\alpha}}{2q(z + 1)}}\right) + i \frac{\omega q_1}{2q} - i \frac{\omega q_2}{2q}\right]
\times \left\{\frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \left\{\frac{i Q_2}{Q_1} \frac{(Q_2 Q_1)}{Z_\alpha} - 1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon Q_1} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon Q_2}\right)\right\}\right\} + \sum_{\lambda_{\alpha}} \left\{4 \varepsilon_{\alpha} (e \cdot r + e \cdot q) (e \cdot r + e \cdot q) + \frac{\omega^2}{2(z - 1)}(e \cdot q_1)(e \cdot q_2)\right\},
\]

where \( Q_{1,2} = |q_{1,2} - \rho|. \) The integral over \( \rho \) can be taken with the help of the relations (see Appendix B in 9)

\[
f(Z\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi |Z\alpha|^2} \int dp \left[\left.\frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \left(\frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \right)^{2i \omega_{\alpha}} - 1 \right] \right| = \text{Re} [\psi(1 + i Z\alpha) + C],
\]

\[
g(Z\alpha) = i \frac{4\pi q}{Q_2} \int dp \left[\left.\frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \left(\frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \right)^{2i \omega_{\alpha}} - 1 \right] \right| = Z\alpha \frac{\Gamma(1 - i Z\alpha) \Gamma(1/2 + i Z\alpha)}{\Gamma(1 + i Z\alpha) \Gamma(1/2 - i Z\alpha)},
\]

(44)

where \( \psi(t) = d \ln \Gamma(t)/dt, C = 0.577... \) is the Euler constant, \( q = |q_1 - q_2|. \) Then we perform summation over photon polarization, pass to the variables \( \tilde{q} = q_1 + q_2, \) \( q = q_1 - q_2, \) and take all integrals in the following order: \( d\Omega_r, dq, \) \( dq, dr, dz. \) The final result for CC to the bremsstrahlung spectrum reads

\[
\frac{y}{4\sigma_0} \left[\left(y^2 + \frac{4}{3}(1 - y)\right) f(Z\alpha) + \frac{\pi^3 (2 - y)^m}{8(1 - y)} \frac{y^2}{2(1 - y)} \text{Re} g(Z\alpha)\right],
\]

\[ y = \omega/\varepsilon, \quad \sigma_0 = \alpha |Z\alpha|^2 / m^2. \] (45)

In this formula, the term \( \propto f(Z\alpha) \) corresponds to the leading approximation \( \delta \), the term \( \propto \text{Re} g(Z\alpha) \) is \( O(\varepsilon/m) \)-correction. In our recent paper \( \delta \) this result has been obtained by means of the substitution rules from the spectrum.
of pair production by photon in a Coulomb field. The formula describes bremsstrahlung from electrons. For the spectrum of photons emitted by positrons, it is necessary to change the sign of $Z\alpha$ in (45). The $O(\frac{m}{\varepsilon})$-correction becomes especially important in the hard part of the spectrum as seen in Fig. 3, where $\sigma_0^{-1}yd\gamma_C/dy$ with correction (solid line) and without correction (dashed line) are shown for $Z = 82$ and $\varepsilon = 50$ MeV. Note that in the whole range of $y$ the relative magnitude of the correction is appreciably larger than $\frac{m}{\varepsilon}$ due to the presence of large numerical coefficient.

B. Screening corrections

In this subsection we calculate the screening correction to the high-energy asymptotics of $d\sigma_C^\gamma/\omega$, considering $\lambda_C/r_{scr}$ as a small parameter.

We start from Eq. (38) and use the quasiclassical Green’s function $D^{(0)}(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon)$ for an arbitrary localized potential $V(r)$. This Green’s function has been obtained in [10] with the first correction in $\frac{m}{\varepsilon}$ taken into account. The leading term has the form (see also [12])

$$D^{(0)}(r_2, r_1|\varepsilon) = \frac{i\epsilon r_2}{8\pi^2 r_1 r_2} \int dq \exp \left[ \frac{i\epsilon q^2}{2 r_1 r_2} - i\lambda r \int_0^1 dx V(r_1 + x r - q) \right].$$

(46)

Similar to Eq. (48) we obtain

$$\frac{d\sigma_C^\gamma}{d\omega} = \frac{\alpha \omega e'}{32\pi^2 \varepsilon} \text{Re} \left[ \int \frac{dr}{\lambda_C} \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{z^2(1-z)^2} \int d\rho \int dq_1 dq_2 d\rho \right] e^{-i\Phi}$$

$$\times \exp \left[ i\Phi + \frac{i\omega r}{2} \left( \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon e'} + \frac{\varepsilon q_1^2 - \varepsilon' q_2^2}{2 r z(1-z)} \right) \right]$$

$$\times \sum_{\lambda, \gamma} \left\{ 4\varepsilon e' \left(-e \cdot r + \frac{e \cdot q_1}{1-z} \right) \left(e \cdot r + \frac{e \cdot q_2}{z} \right) + \frac{\omega^2}{z^2(1-z)^2} (e \cdot q_1)(e \cdot q_2) \right\},$$

(47)

where

$$\Phi = r \int_0^1 dx [V(r_1 + x r - q_2) - V(r_1 + x r - q_1)].$$

(48)
As we shall see, it is meaningful to retain the screening correction only in the case $r_{scr} \ll \Delta^{-1}_{\text{min}}$, which is considered below. Then the main contribution to the integral (47) comes from the region $1/m \lesssim \rho \lesssim r_{scr} \ll r$ and $q_{1,2} \sim 1/m$. Under these conditions, the narrow region $\delta x = r/r \ll 1$ around the point $x_0 = -r_1 \cdot r/r^2 = z$ is important in the integration over $x$ in Eq. (53). Therefore, we can perform this integration from $-\infty$ to $\infty$. After that the phase $\Phi$ becomes

$$\Phi = 2Z\alpha \ln(Q_2/Q_1) + \Phi^{(scr)}$$

$$= 2Z\alpha \ln(Q_2/Q_1) + r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx [\delta V(r_1 + xr - q_2) - \delta V(r_1 + xr - q_1)],$$

(49)

where $\delta V(r)$ is the difference between an atomic potential and a Coulomb potential of a nucleus. The notation in Eq. (47) and in Eq. (53) is the same as in Eq. (13). It is seen that $\Phi^{(scr)} \sim \rho \delta V(\rho) \sim Z\alpha \delta V(\rho)/V(\rho) \ll 1$ for $\rho \sim m$ and $\Phi^{(scr)} \sim q_{1,2}/\rho \sim 1/m\rho \ll 1$ for $\rho \sim r_{scr} \gg 1/m$. Thus, expression (47) can be expanded in $\Phi^{(scr)}$. In our calculation of the screening correction $d\sigma_C^{(scr)}/d\omega$, we retain the linear term of expansion in $\Phi^{(scr)}$. The function $\delta V(\mathbf{R})$ can be expressed via the atomic electron form factor $F(Q)$ as follows

$$\delta V(\mathbf{R}) = \int \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} e^{iQ \cdot \mathbf{R}} F(Q) \frac{4\pi Z\alpha}{Q^2}.$$  

(50)

Substituting this formula into Eq. (50) and taking the integral over $x$ from $-\infty$ to $\infty$, we obtain for $\Phi^{(scr)}$

$$\Phi^{(scr)} = \int \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^2} \left( e^{iQ \cdot (\rho - q_2)} - e^{iQ \cdot (\rho - q_1)} \right) F(Q) \frac{4\pi Z\alpha}{Q^2},$$

(51)

where $Q_\perp$ is a two-dimensional vector lying in the plane perpendicular to $\mathbf{r}$. Then we use the identity (see Eqs. (22) and (23) in [13])

$$\int d\rho \left( \frac{\rho - q_2}{\rho - q_1} \right)^{2iZ\alpha} \exp \left[ iQ_\perp \cdot (\rho - q_{1,2}) \right] = \frac{q^2}{4Q_\perp^2} \int df \left( \frac{f_2}{f_1} \right)^{2iZ\alpha} \exp \left[ iq \cdot f_{1,2}/2 \right],$$

(52)

where $q = q_1 - q_2$ and $f_{1,2} = f \mp Q_\perp$. Expanding the exponential function in Eq. (51) with respect to $\Phi^{(scr)}$ and using the relation (51), we take the integrals over $q_{1,2}$, $r$, and $z$ and obtain

$$\frac{y}{dy} \frac{d\sigma_C^{(scr)}}{dy} = \frac{4\alpha(Z\alpha)}{\pi} \ln \left[ \frac{dQ}{Q^2} \right] F(Q) \int \frac{df}{2\pi f_1} \left( \frac{f_2}{f_1} \right)^{2iZ\alpha} \ln \left[ \frac{f_2}{f_1} - S(\xi_1) - S(\xi_2) \right],$$

(53)

$$S(\mu) = \frac{(\mu - 1)}{\mu^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \left( y^2(3 - \mu) + (y - 1)(\mu^2 + 2\mu - 3) \right) \right] \ln \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\mu + 1}}{\sqrt{\mu - 1}} \right] - 3y^2 - (y - 1)(\mu - 3) \right),$$

$$y = \omega/\varepsilon, \quad \xi_{1,2} = 1 + 16m^2/f_{1,2}^2.$$  

(53)

Using the trick introduced in [13], we can rewrite this formula in another form. Let us multiply the integrand in (53) by

$$1 = \int_{-1}^{1} dx \delta \left( x - \frac{2f \cdot Q_\perp}{f^2 + Q_{\perp}^2} \right) \int_{-1}^{1} dx \delta \left( f - Q_\perp / x \right)^2 - Q_{\perp}^2 (1/x^2 - 1),$$

(54)

change the order of integration over $f$ and $x$, and make the shift $f \rightarrow f + Q_\perp / x$. After that the integration over $f$ can be easily performed. Then we make the substitution $x = \tanh \tau$ and obtain

$$\frac{y}{dy} \frac{d\sigma_C^{(scr)}}{dy} = 16\sigma_0 m^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dQ_{\perp}}{2\pi} \frac{F(Q_{\perp})}{Q_{\perp}^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau \frac{\sin(2Z\alpha \tau)}{2Z\alpha} - \tau.$$  

(54)
FIG. 4: The dependence of $A_1/f(Z\alpha)$ (solid curve) and $A_2/f(Z\alpha)$ (dashed curve) on $Z$.

\[
\times \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \left[ e^{\tau} S(\mu_2) - e^{-\tau} S(\mu_1) \right],
\]

\[
\mu_{1,2} = 1 + \frac{8m^2e^{2\tau} \sinh^2 \tau}{Q_1^2 (\cosh \tau + \cos \varphi)}.
\] (55)

According to Eq. (53) the correction $y_0 \sigma_C^{\gamma(scr)} / dy$ has the form

\[
y \frac{d\sigma_C^{\gamma(scr)}}{dy} = \sigma_0 \left[ A_1(1-y) + A_2y^2 \right] \] (56)

Shown in Fig. 4 is the $Z$ dependence of the ratio $A_{1,2}/f(Z\alpha)$ calculated numerically with the use of form factors from \[14\]. For the less realistic Yukawa potential, we can perform analytical calculations of the functions $A_i$. It turns out that their dependence on the parameter $\beta = \lambda_c / r_{scr}$ has the form

\[
A_i = (Z\alpha)^2 \beta^2 \left( a_i \ln^2 \beta + b_i \ln \beta + c_i \right),
\] (57)

where $b_i$ and $c_i$ are some functions of $Z\alpha$, while $a_i$ does not depend on $Z\alpha$. Recollecting that $\beta$ is proportional to $Z^{1/3}$ in Thomas-Fermi model, we see that $A_i$ depend on $Z$ mainly via the factor $(Z\alpha)^2 \beta^2 \propto (Z\alpha)^2 Z^{2/3}$. Therefore it is quite natural that $y_0 \sigma_C^{\gamma(scr)} / dy$ calculated with the use of the exact form factors is well fitted by the following expression

\[
y \frac{d\sigma_C^{\gamma(scr)}}{dy} \approx 8.6 \cdot 10^{-3} \sigma_0 (Z\alpha)^2 Z^{2/3} [1.2(1-y) + y^2].
\] (58)

In fact, the accuracy of this fit for all $Z$ is better than a few percent.

It follows from Eq. 57 that for $r_{scr} > \Delta_{min}^{-1}$ the factor $\beta^2$ in the screening correction is extremely small, $\beta^2 \lesssim (m/\varepsilon)^2$. The terms of such order were systematically neglected in our consideration. Hence, within our accuracy, the account of screening correction is meaningful only for $r_{scr} \ll \Delta_{min}^{-1}$.

### IV. CONCLUSION

In the present paper we have performed the detailed analysis of CC both to the differential and the integrated cross sections of bremsstrahlung in an atomic field. We have calculated the next-to-leading term in the high-energy asymptotics of the bremsstrahlung spectrum. Similar to the leading term of the high-energy asymptotics of CC to the spectrum, this term is independent of screening in the leading order in the parameter $\lambda_c / r_{scr}$. We have also calculated the first correction to the spectrum in the parameter $\lambda_c / r_{scr}$.
We have shown that, in contrast with CC to the spectrum, CC to the differential cross section strongly depend on screening even in the leading approximation. This dependence is very important in the region giving the main contribution to the integral over $\Delta_\perp$. We have performed the explicit integration over $\Delta_\perp$ of $d\sigma_C^*$ for arbitrary screening and have verified the independence of the final result on screening.

We also examined the effect of the finite beam size on CC to bremsstrahlung in a Coulomb field of a heavy nucleus. Similar to the effect of screening, the finiteness of the beam size leads to the strong modification of CC to the differential probability while the probability integrated over $\Delta_\perp$ depends only on the density of the electron beam at zero impact parameter.
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