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Abstract

In the United States, the National School Breakfast (SBP) and School Lunch Program (NSLP) meals are provided for free or at a reduced price to eligible children, and are a nutrition safety net for low income children. Consuming both meals could provide 58% of daily intake. This paper evaluates the contribution of SBP and NSLP meals to the dietary intakes of 5–18 year old children participating in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) from 2007 through 2012. The participants completed 24-hour dietary recalls. Least-square means and standard errors of the mean for energy and food group intakes for the total day and by school meal, and the percent of daily energy and food groups contributed by school meals were computed by analysis of covariance, with BMI, ethnicity, sex, age and poverty level as covariates. Of the 7800 participating children aged 5–18 years in the entire dataset, 448 consumed both SBP-NSLP meals on a weekday. Almost one-half (47%) of the day’s energy intake was provided by the two school meals. For the major food groups, the contribution of school meals ranged from between 40.6% for vegetables to 77.1% for milk. Overall, these results provide important information on contribution of the SBP and NSLP meals to low income children’s daily dietary intake.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The National School Lunch Program (NSLP) was the first federally funded meal program in the United States, created in 1946 as a “measure of national security, to safeguard the health and well-being of the Nation’s children...” (Food and Nutrition Service - U.S. Department of Agriculture. Program History - National School Lunch Program (NSLP), 2014). The School Breakfast Program (SBP) began as a pilot project in 1966, and was made permanent in 1975 (Food and Nutrition Service – U.S. Department of Agriculture. School Breakfast Program Fact Sheet, 2013). Both programs provide meals for free or at a reduced price (FRP) to children who are eligible according to income guidelines, as well as full price meals for children who do not qualify for the FRP meals. School districts receive monetary reimbursements for providing these meals. In fiscal year 2014, approximately 30.5 million children received an NSLP meal each day of which 71.6% were FRP meals (Food and Nutrition Service - U.S. Department of Agriculture. National School Lunch Program: Participation and Lunches Served, 2016); 13.6 million children ate a SBP meal each day of which 84.9% were FRP meals (Food and Nutrition Service - U.S. Department of Agriculture. School Breakfast Program Participation and Meals Served, 2016). The annual cost of these two programs was $16.3 billion (Congressional Budget Office, 2015).

All SBP and NSLP meals must meet federal nutrition standards that are consistent with the Dietary Guidelines for Americans (DGA) (Government Accountability Office, 2014). The SBP and NSLP meal patterns include fruit, vegetables, grains, protein foods, and milk; these standards have changed over the years in response to new DGA standards and meal patterns were implemented in the fall of 2012 (Food and Nutrition Service - U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2012). The menu patterns provide specifications for fruit, vegetables, whole grains, lean proteins, and nonfat or low fat milk (Crepinsek and Paxton, 2015).

The contribution of school meals to the total dietary intake of children in the United States has been documented in only one national study, the School Nutrition Dietary Assessment Study III (SNDA III), conducted during the 2004–2005 school year. The SBP and NSLP meals accounted for 22% and 31%, respectively, of school children's daily energy intake; 51% for those eating both meals (Gordon et al., 2007). No data on the contribution of the foods offered at those meals to the day’s daily total was provided. Based on the nutrition standards in effect at that time, SBP and NSLP meals were to offer 25% and 33% of daily energy intake, respectively (Fox et al., 2012).

This study assessed the contribution of school meals to the daily dietary intakes for children ages 5–18 who consumed both the SBP and NSLP meals, using the 2007 to 2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data (NHANES). During these years, the menu...
patterns followed the old guidelines (Government Accountability Office, 2014; Fox et al., 2012; Food and Nutrition Service - U.S. Department of Agriculture. Menu planning in the School Lunch Program, 2009).

2. Methods

The NHANES is a cross-sectional survey conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The NHANES uses a sampling design to be representative of the US civilian non-institutionalized population, aged 2 months and older. The survey included administration of an in-person household interview and a full medical examination in a special mobile examination center (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - National Center for Health Statistics, 2015). The datasets from cycles 2007–2008, 2009–2010, and 2011–2012 were combined and analyzed in this study.

The NHANES 24-hour dietary intake data were obtained utilizing a multi-pass method (Blanton et al., 2006; Mosfagh et al., 2008). Two 24-hour dietary recalls were collected for most of the participants. The first recall was completed in-person at the mobile examination centers, while the second recall was completed over the telephone some days later, but from a smaller subsample. Parents assisted with the recalls for the young children. Only data from the first in-person dietary recall was used in the present analysis, which is acceptable as noted in NHANES documentation (U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control - National Center for Health Statistics, n.d.). The use of only the first in-person recall has been used in previous published papers (Mesirow and Welsh, 2015; Powell et al., 2016; Storey and Anderson, 2016; Slining and Popkin, 2013).

The family monthly poverty level index category served the indicator of socioeconomic status. Less than or equal to 1.85 was classified as low income and those above 1.85 as higher income.

Height and weight were measured according to NHANES protocols (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - National Center for Health Statistics, 2015). Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated using the standard formula (weight [kg]/height [m²]) and the gender- and age-specific standardized percentile scores were translated using the SAS program for Growth Charts for the United States available from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Centers for Disease Control - National Center for Health Statistics. CDC Growth Charts: United States, 2000). Children were classified into one of three weight categories: healthy weight (<85th percentile for BMI), overweight (≥85th percentile and <95th percentile for BMI), and obese (≥95th percentile for BMI).

2.1 Participants

The participants were children and adolescents aged 5–18 who had consumed school breakfast and/or lunch (responded “Breakfast” and/or “Lunch” to question “Name of Eating Occasion”), and reported “Cafeteria in a K-12 school” for the question “Where did you get (this/most of the ingredients for this) **Foodname**?” on weekdays. A school meal was defined as “a) ate 3 or more meal components (fruit, vegetables, grains, meat/meat alternate, milk) from the school cafeteria and b) ate 2 meal components from the school cafeteria and no food from outside of the school cafeteria” (Cole and Fox, 2008). The NHANES 2007–2012 data included 7800 children aged 5–18 years; 3883 reported breakfast or lunch data on a weekday, 1035 reported only eating an NSLP meal, and 448 reported consuming both the SBP and NSLP meals on the day of the recall (5.7% of the sample).

2.2 Procedures

The NHANES dietary recall data were linked with the USDA MyPyramid Equivalents Database (MPED 2.0) and the Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion Addendum to the MPED 2.0, to obtain the number of MyPyramid equivalents for major food groups and subgroups (Bowman et al., 2008). The output included the food groups in the school menu patterns: total grains [ounce equivalents (oz eq)], including whole and non-whole grains; fruit [cups]; total vegetables [cups], plus dark-green, red-orange, other, and starchy vegetables; legumes [cups]; total milk [cup equivalents (cup eq)], including milk, yogurt, and cheese; milk; and total lean protein from meat, poultry, and fish (oz eq).

2.3 Data analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, 2014). Frequencies were calculated using PROC SURVEYFREQ. The descriptive analyses of energy and food group consumption for the entire day, for the SBP and NSLP meals, and the percent of energy and food groups contributed by school meals were calculated using PROC SURVEYMEAN. All the results were weighted by the estimated sample weights to account for the complex sample design of NHANES, and to enable inferences to the total U.S. population.

3. Results

Four hundred and forty-eight students reported eating both a SBP and NSLP meal on the day of the recall: 64% were in elementary school, 57% were male, 30% Hispanic, 32% non-Hispanic Black, and 33% non-Hispanic White. Approximately 82% were classified as low income, with 59% normal weight, 16% overweight and 17% obese.

Mean breakfast intake did not meet the federal breakfast meal patterns (Table 1), and accounted for only 21% of the daily energy intake. Less than 0.50 cup of fruit or 100% fruit juice was consumed and only about 70% of the dairy products.

On average, only 27% of daily energy intake was consumed from the school lunch meal (Table 1). Vegetable and protein consumption was low.

Almost one-half of the days' energy intake (47%) was supplied for those eating both school meals. The range for the major food groups was 40.6% for total vegetables to 77.1% for milk (Table 1).

4. Discussion

This is one of the first studies to assess the contribution of SBP and NSLP meals to the daily dietary intake of energy and food groups of 5–18 year old children in the United States using 24-hour dietary recalls from the 2007–2012 NHANES. Because the SBP and NSLP meals were planned to provide 25% and 33% of student daily energy needs, respectively, children who consumed both meals could have received 58% of their daily intake at school (Fox et al., 2012). The primarily low income students (82%) in the current study group obtained 47% of their daily total energy intake, 58% of fruit, 41% of total vegetables, 52% of total grains, 70% of total milk and 77% of milk from school meals (Table 1). These results suggest that school meals were a safety net for these students, but could provide even more of these important food groups if all the foods in the meal were consumed.

These results can be compared to data from the SNDA III national study conducted in the 2004–2005 school year (Gordon et al., 2007), and one conducted in Minnesota (Robinson-O'Brien et al., 2010). The SNDA III study included a single 24-hour dietary recall from a random sample of 2314 children in grades 1 through 12 attending a nationally representative sample of US schools (Gordon et al., 2007). The race/ethnicity (22% Hispanic, 17% non-Hispanic Black, 54% non-Hispanic White), and weight status (−59% normal weight, −15% overweight and 24% obese) of the SNDA III sample were also similar to the current NHANES study results (Gordon et al., 2007). Up to 51% of daily energy intake was supplied for the SNDA III students who consumed both SBP-NSLP meals, compared to the 47.2% in our study, but no data were provided on the contribution of food groups consumed to total daily intake (Briefel et al., 2009).
The mean daily intake for the SNDA III students who consumed an NSLP meal was 2149 kcal (kcal) (Gordon et al., 2007). This is slightly higher than the daily intake for children from the current study (2031 kcal). The SNDA III SBP intake of 451 kcal provided approximately 22% of total daily energy intake (Gordon et al., 2007). Children in the current study consumed 387 kcal from the SBP, providing 20.6% of daily energy intake. The SNDA III NSLP intake was approximately 633 kcal, and provided about 31% of total daily energy intake (Gordon et al., 2007). The students in the current study reported lower NSLP intakes (538 kcal), representing 27.3% of total daily energy from the NSLP meal.

The study conducted in Minnesota in 2006 assessed the proportion of fruit and vegetables consumed at school for 103 primarily low income (90%) children ages 9–12 years (Robinson-O’Brien et al., 2010). Their mean daily fruit and vegetable intake was 3.6 servings; 64% of daily fruit and 44% of daily vegetables consumed were provided by school meals. These proportions for the total daily intake are close to the NHANES results (57.7% fruit and 40.6% vegetables).

Differences between the current study and the two previous studies may be due to the dietary assessment methods. All used the 24-hour dietary recall, but the SNDA III and Minnesota study had the school menus and could identify school foods in the dietary recalls. In the NHANES data, food source was by self-report with no school menus, a method that has been used in previous studies with NHANES data (Cole and Fox, 2008).

The SBP and NSLP intakes reported by the students in the current study did not meet the previous school meal guidelines in place before 2012. The new meal patterns and nutrition standards that were implemented in the fall of 2012 might improve children’s dietary intakes at school as they provide fruit, more vegetables (with specific subgroups required over a week), and whole grains, compared with the previous nutrition standards and meal patterns (Food and Nutrition Service - U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2012). Students have to select at least one serving of a fruit or vegetable for the SBP or NSLP meal to count as a reimbursable meal, under the offer versus serve option, which is optional for elementary schools, but not for middle and high schools (Food and Nutrition Service - U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2012). The opportunity to select more fruit and vegetables at meals may increase student fruit and vegetable consumption. Evaluating the impact of the new school meal patterns on school dietary intakes as well as over 24 h is an important area for future study.

A major weakness of this study is that the NHANES data did not directly identify whether each food was obtained from the school meal program, but was defined by eating occasion, place, food source, and day of week as reported by each participant. The nutrient composition of specially formulated foods for school meal programs might not have been available in the USDA Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies (Bowman et al., 2008). In addition, measurement errors are associated with self-reported dietary intake. Only 5.7% of the NHANES child participants consumed both a school breakfast and lunch on the recall day and 57% were male, reducing generalizability. Strengths of this study include the use of the nationally representative NHANES study data and dietary intake collected with the multiple pass method.

### Table 1

| Daily intake | School breakfast intake | School lunch intake | Percent of daily intake from SBP + NSLP meals |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|              | Mean | SE  | Mean | SE  | Mean | SE  | Mean | SE  | Mean | SE  | Mean | SE  |
| Energy (kilojoules) | 2031 | 53  | 387  | 27  | 538  | 16  | 47.2 | 0.9 | 1.9  |      |      |
| Total fruit (cup eq) | 1.37 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.55 | 0.07 | 57.7 | 2.7 | 3.4  |      |      |
| Total vegetable (cup eq) (excludes legumes) | 1.02 | 0.08 | na  | 0.38 | 0.04 | 0.38 | 40.6 | 1.9 | 2.8  |      |      |
| Dark-green vegetables | 0.04 | 0.01 | na  | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 43.0 | 7.2 | 3.4  |      |      |
| Orange tomato vegetables | 0.31 | 0.03 | na  | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 48.2 | 3.4 | 3.4  |      |      |
| Starchy vegetables | 0.39 | 0.05 | na  | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 28.6 | 2.8 | 2.8  |      |      |
| Other vegetables | 0.27 | 0.04 | na  | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 35.1 | 3.0 | 3.0  |      |      |
| Legumes (cup eq) | 0.03 | 0.01 | na  | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 37.8 | 12.2 | 1.5  |      |      |
| Total grains (oz eq) | 6.96 | 0.26 | 1.42 | 0.18 | 2.47 | 0.16 | 52.4 | 1.5 | 1.5  |      |      |
| Whole grains (oz eq) | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 44.1 | 5.1 | 5.1  |      |      |
| Non-whole grains (oz eq) | 6.60 | 0.25 | 1.27 | 0.18 | 2.37 | 0.15 | 51.3 | 1.5 | 1.5  |      |      |
| Total dairy (milk, yogurt, cheese) (cup eq) | 2.56 | 0.13 | 0.72 | 0.08 | 1.40 | 0.07 | 69.5 | 1.7 | 1.7  |      |      |
| Milk | 1.77 | 0.09 | 0.63 | 0.08 | 1.07 | 0.06 | 77.1 | 3.1 |      |      |      |
| Total lean proteins (oz eq) | 3.77 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.13 | 1.11 | 0.15 | 37.9 | 3.0 |      |      |      |

| Abbreviations: SBP, School Breakfast Program; NSLP, National School Lunch Program; SE, standard error; cup eq, cup equivalent; oz eq, ounce equivalent. |
| 4 School breakfast menu pattern: 1 serving of fruit or 100% fruit juice (1/2 cup), 2 grain servings (or 1 grain and 1 oz eq of protein), and 1 cup of milk; 25% of daily energy; Grades K-12: 2318 554 kcal; Grades 7–12: 618 kcal (Government Accountability Office, 2014; Fox et al., 2012; Food and Nutrition Service - U.S. Department of Agriculture. Menu planning in the School Lunch Program, 2009). |
| 5 School lunch meal pattern: 2 servings of fruit and/or vegetables (up to 1/2 cup each), 1 serving (2 oz eq) of a protein food, 1–2 servings of grains and 1 cup of milk; 33% daily energy. Kindergarten to Grade 3: (633 kcal); Kindergarten to Grade 6: 664 kcal; Grade 4 to Grade 8: 785 kcal; Grade 7 to Grade 12: 825 kcal (Government Accountability Office, 2014; Fox et al., 2012; Food and Nutrition Service - U.S. Department of Agriculture. Menu planning in the School Lunch Program, 2009). |

### 5. Conclusions

These results provide data on the contribution of the SBP and NSLP meals on the daily dietary intake of children in the United States who ate both the school meals prior to the implementation of the new school meal patterns. These meals serve as a nutrition safety net for low-income children; the school lunch program is the second largest food assistance program in the US (Congressional Budget Office, 2015; Government Accountability Office, 2014). Research assessing the impact of the federal meals program on children’s dietary intake should continue. Similar analyses could be undertaken with future NHANES data collected after the new school meal rules were implemented in the fall of 2012.
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