Cyg X-3: a low-mass black hole or a neutron star
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ABSTRACT
Cyg X-3 is a highly interesting accreting X-ray binary, emitting from the radio to high-energy gamma-rays. It consists of a compact object wind-fed by a Wolf–Rayet (WR) star, but the masses of the components and the mass-loss rate have been a subject of controversies. Here, we determine its masses, inclination and the mass-loss rate using our derived relationship between the mass-loss rate and the binary period derivative (observed to be >0 in Cyg X-3). Our obtained mass-loss rate is almost identical to that from two independent estimates and consistent with other ones, which strongly supports the validity of this solution. The found WR and compact-object masses are $10^{3.3\pm0.9}$ and $2.4^{+2.1}_{-1.1}$ $M_\odot$, respectively. Thus, our solution still allows for the presence of either a neutron star or a black hole, but the latter only with a low mass. However, the radio, infrared and X-ray properties of the system suggest that the compact object is a black hole. Such a low-mass black hole could be formed via accretion-induced collapse or directly from a supernova.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Cyg X-3 is an X-ray binary possessing a number of unique and highly interesting characteristics. It is the brightest radio source among X-ray binaries (McCulloch et al. 1999), showing extremely strong radio outbursts and resolved jets (e.g. Martí, Paredes & Peracaula 2001; Mioduszewski et al. 2001). It is, so far, the only X-ray binary that is certainly powered by accretion for which emission of high-energy gamma-rays has been unambiguously confirmed (Abdo et al. 2009; Tavani et al. 2009). It is also the only known X-ray binary in the Galaxy with a Wolf–Rayet (WR) donor (van Kerkwijk et al. 1992, 1996; van Kerkwijk 1993; Fender, Hanson & Pooley 1999). Furthermore, its orbital period of $P \approx 0.2$ d is unusually short for a high-mass binary, which indicates a past spiral-in episode during a common-envelope evolutionary stage. Given the value of $P$ and estimates of the masses and mass-loss rate, the compact-object orbits within the WR photosphere.

In spite of the discovery of Cyg X-3 already in 1966 (Giacconi et al. 1967), the nature of its compact object has remained unknown; it may be either a neutron star (NS) or a black hole (BH); e.g. Hanson, Still & Fender 2000; Vilhu et al. 2009, hereafter V09). This issue is of great interest since Cyg X-3 is a likely progenitor of a double compact system, after its WR star explodes as a supernova. If it contains an NS, it may be a progenitor of double NS systems like PSR B1913+16 (Hulse & Taylor 1975). That pulsar has a relatively short spin period, 59 ms, and a weak magnetic field, $\lesssim 2 \times 10^{10}$ G. It might have been recycled by accretion in a system like Cyg X-3, which both weakened the initially strong magnetic field and spun it up before the donor exploded and formed a second NS (see, e.g., van den Heuvel 1995 and references therein). On the other hand, if it contains a BH, it may lead to formation of a BH–NS or double BH system, which merger can then be detectable in gravitational waves (Belczyński et al. 2012a). Evolutionary calculations and population synthesis for Cyg X-3 were performed by Lommen et al. (2005).

They found that either a BH or an NS could be present, although they favoured the BH.

Here, we self-consistently determine the binary parameters and the mass-loss rate, $M$, of the donor. We use published results for the radial velocities of the two components, and a relationship between the rate of the period increase, $\dot{P}$, $M$ and the total mass of the system. We close the equations by finding a tight correlation between $M$ and the WR mass, $M_{\text{WR}}$ (for the WN stellar type, identified to be present in Cyg X-3).

2 THE BINARY PARAMETERS AND MASS-LOSS RATE
The best existing determination of the mass function of the compact object appears to be that of Hanson et al. (2000), who measured the
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radial velocity of the WR stars as $K_{WR} \simeq 109 \pm 13 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Then, V09 studied orbital modulation of X-ray lines in the system using *Chandra*, in particular the lines originating close to the compact object. In their determination of the radial velocity of the compact object, $K_C$, they calculated the average based on 4 and 10 bins. They also considered the latter case with the two bins having the maximum blueshift removed. That method, which we consider questionable, gave the radial velocity substantially less than the other ones, which reduced the resulting velocity average. Here, we use their values for the 4 and 10 bins with, in addition, the *Chandra* observation of Obsid 6601 from V09. The unweighted average of the three measurements gives then the compact-object velocity of $K_C \simeq 469 \pm 88 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We have also repeated their fits for their data from fig. 7, obtaining values very similar to their, and consistent with the above average. Thus, we use this value of $K_C$ hereafter (although we find below that our conclusions change only slightly if the original value of V09 is used).

With the binary period of $P = 0.19969 \text{ d}$ (Singh et al. 2002), the mass functions are $f_{WR} = 2.13^{+1.44}_{-1.00} \text{ M}_\odot$ and $f_{C} = 0.027^{+0.014}_{-0.008} \text{ M}_\odot$. (The original value of $K_C \simeq 418 \pm 123 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of V09 implies $f_{WR} = 1.51^{+0.59}_{-0.24} \text{ M}_\odot$.) This (for our value of $f_{WR}$) implies the mass ratio and the inclination of

$$q \equiv \frac{M_C}{M_{WR}} = \frac{K_{WR}}{K_C} \simeq 0.23^{+0.09}_{-0.06},$$

$$\sin i = \left[ \frac{(K_{WR} + K_C)^2 K_C P}{2\pi G M_{WR}} \right]^{1/3} \simeq (0.69 \pm 0.12) \left( \frac{M_{WR}}{10 \text{ M}_\odot} \right)^{-1/3},$$

respectively (note some misprints in the corresponding equations of V09). Here, we have estimated the errors as corresponding to the extrema of $K$. At $M_{WR} = 10 \text{ M}_\odot$, $i \simeq 43^{+10}_{-9}$. If $i \geq 30^\circ$, which is likely given the strong IR and X-ray orbital modulation, the above constraints imply $M_{WR} \lesssim 42 \text{ M}_\odot$ and the compact-object mass of $M_C \lesssim 9 \text{ M}_\odot$.

V09 self-consistently explain the radial velocity and flux modulations with the orbital phase for both the X-ray and near-IR features (lines and continuum) in the frame of a model consisting of a WR star and a compact companion. In particular, if the minimum flux (X-ray, near-IR) occurs when the WR transits in front of the compact object, the radial velocity curves tracing the WR star (He I absorption; Hanson et al. 2000) and the compact object (Fe XXVII; V09) have the minima/maxima at the respective quadratures, i.e. are shifted by 0.25P and 0.75P, respectively, whereas the radial velocities of the IR He I emission formed in the X-ray shadow cone behind the WR star, where the wind is photonized by its EUV radiation, show a direct correlation with the light curve.1

We have then two additional constraints. The binary is detached, see equations (4) and (5) below, and thus there is no Roche lobe overflow and accretion is from the wind. Most of the wind leaves the system carrying away its angular momentum. This leads to slowing down the binary rotation at a rate (e.g. Davidsen & Ostriker 1974),

$$\dot{P} = \frac{2M}{P} \simeq \frac{M}{M},$$

where $M = M_{WR} + M_C$. Ogley, Bell Burnell & Fender (2001) critically considered assumptions made in obtaining this relationship. Based on their discussion, there appear to be no major problems with this method when applied to Cyg X-3. The most recent published estimate for $P/P$ is $(1.05 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Singh et al. 2002).2 It has been confirmed and improved by Kitamoto (private communication) by including *Suzaku* observations, which yields $P/P \simeq (1.03 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Then, $M \simeq 2M/P \simeq 19 \text{ M}_\odot/(M/10^{-3} \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1})$.

The second constraint is of the mass-loss rate versus mass relationship in WR stars. van Kerkwijk et al. (1996) classified Cyg X-3 as the WN 4–8 stellar type, which is intermediate between the WNE type, almost H free, and WNL, with substantial fraction of H, on the basis of the I and K-band emission lines. We use the sample of table 5 of Nugis & Lamers (2000, hereafter NL00), containing 34 WN stars (31 of them with the type WN 4–8) and giving M corrected for wind clumping (unlike a number of previous studies), which we show in Fig. 1. The metallicity of all these stars is close to solar, $Z = 0.0172 \pm 0.0176$. We show separately single stars (crosses, 15 stars) and those in binaries (circles, 19 stars), but see no systematic differences in $M(M_{WR})$ between the two classes. Also, we distinguish between the stars with low and high H content, defined here by their He fraction of $Y > 0.8$ and $Y < 0.8$, which we show in Fig. 1 by thick (red) and thin (blue) symbols, respectively. The hydrostatic core radii for the former are (Schaerer & Maeder 1992)

$$R_{WR} \simeq 6 \times 10^{10} \left( \frac{M_{WR}}{10 \text{ M}_\odot} \right)^{0.584} \text{ cm},$$

as adopted by NL00. The volume-averaged Roche lobe radii are (Paczynski 1971)

$$R_{RL} \simeq \left[ \frac{2GM_{WR} P^2}{(9\pi)^2} \right]^{1/3} \simeq 1.0 \times 10^{11} \left( \frac{M_{WR}}{10 \text{ M}_\odot} \right)^{1/3} \text{ cm},$$

valid for $M_{WR}/M \lesssim 0.8$ (approximately consistent with our values of $q$). Thus, $R_{WR} < R_{RL}$ for WR stars with $Y > 0.8$ at any mass of interest. On the other hand, stars with $Y < 0.8$ have substantially larger radii and their presence in Cyg X-3 can be thus excluded. However, we see in Fig. 1 that although they have on average larger masses, $M_{WR} \gtrsim 20 \text{ M}_\odot$ (which is due to their earlier evolutionary status), than those with $Y > 0.8$, they still follow the same $M(M_{WR})$ dependence. On the other hand, we see a major difference between stars with low masses, $M_{WR} < 22 \text{ M}_\odot$ (29 stars), and those with high masses, $M_{WR} = (40–57) \text{ M}_\odot$ (5 stars). The $M(M_{WR})$ relationship is rather steep for the former but it strongly flattens for the latter. Since a posteriori we find a moderate mass of the WR star in Cyg X-3, we
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1 Lommen et al. (2005) also considered the case of an NS accreting at a high rate through a Roche lobe overflow from a low-mass, $\lesssim 1.5 \text{ M}_\odot$, He star. Such a star has a negligible wind and the observed WR features originate in an outflow centred on the NS, implying that both IR and X-ray spectral features originate close to the NS. It then appears impossible to explain the orbital modulation of the continuum, the emission line fluxes and the radial velocities. Specifically, both the lines originating in the WR-like outflow and around the NS should have similar dependences on the orbital phase, contrary to the observations (Hanson et al. 2000; V09). We note that this model was proposed before the results of V09 were obtained.

2 Singh et al. (2002) and Kitamoto also fitted cubic ephemerides to their data, with $P \neq 0$, obtaining rather short time-scales of $P/P \sim (60 \pm 70$ and $-130 \pm 80 \text{ yr}$, respectively. This would predict that $P$ changes its sign in $\sim 10^2 \text{ yr}$, which is less than any evolutionary time-scale of the wind, making this model inconsistent with the $P$ being due to the loss of the angular momentum via wind. If such an ephemeris were shown to be incorrect, another explanation, e.g. a third body, would be required; however, a linear + sinusoidal ephemeris gives a very bad fit to the data. Moreover, the cubic ephemeris for the most recent data set of Kitamoto yields no improvement of the fit over the parabolic one.
The lower limits on $\dot{M}$ and $\dot{q}$, $\dot{M} < 10^{-8} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $\dot{q} - 10 = 3$, for a given $\dot{M}$, are mostly based on the observed depth of the X-ray Fe K line. The correction for wind clumping versus $10^2 \frac{M_{\text{WR}}}{M_\odot}$ is mostly $\times 0.8$ and $\dot{M}_{\text{WR}} \simeq 6.5^{+1.7}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-6} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $\dot{q} = 43^{+10}_{-12}$, almost the same as our values obtained here. A related (but independent) estimate is that of Lommen et al. (2005), who also took into account clumping. We also note that although Lommen et al. (2005) claim that their value of $\dot{M}$ for another WN 8 star, WR 16, is different from that of NL00 due to a different treatment of clumping, the difference is entirely accounted for by the different values of the used distance. Summarizing, we have not found a valid objection to the results of NL00 for their WN sample.

Equations (3) and (6) can be solved for $\dot{M}_{\text{WR}}$ and $\dot{M}$ as functions of $q$, which is given by equation (1),

$$\dot{M}_{\text{WR}} = \left[ \frac{P(1 + q)(M)^n}{2PM_0} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{7}$$

$$\dot{M} = M_0 \left[ \frac{P(1 + q)(M)^n}{2P} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{8}$$

The $\dot{M}(\dot{M}_{\text{WR}})$ uncertainty based on the rms (see above) and the $P / P$ of Kitamoto yield $\dot{M}_{\text{WR}} \simeq 10.3^{+1.9}_{-1.2} \, M_\odot$, $\dot{M} \simeq 2.4^{+1.4}_{-1.1} \, M_\odot$, $\dot{M} \simeq 6.5^{+1.7}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-6} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $\dot{q} = 43^{+10}_{-12}$ [from equation (2)]. The estimates based on the standard-deviation uncertainties yield somewhat narrower ranges of $\dot{M}_{\text{WR}} \simeq 10.3^{+1.9}_{-1.2} \, M_\odot$, $\dot{M} \simeq 2.4^{+1.4}_{-1.1} \, M_\odot$, $\dot{M} \simeq 6.5^{+1.7}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-6} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $\dot{q} = 43^{+10}_{-12}$. The lower limits on the masses and $\dot{M}$ correspond to the lower limits on $K_{\text{WR}}$, $\dot{P}$ and $n$ and the upper limits on $K_\odot$ and $M_0$. Using the original mass function of V09 changes these results only slightly. Concluding, both ways of estimating the uncertainties allow either a low-mass BH or an NS to be present. We note that our mass determination is in agreement with that of Terasawa & Nakamura (1994), who found $M_{\text{WR}} \simeq (5-10) \, M_\odot$ based on the observed depth of the X-ray Fe K edge and a standard stellar-wind model.

We then compare our results on $\dot{M}$ with other independent estimates. The most detailed study of the constraint from X-ray absorption appears to be that of Szostek & Zdziarski (2008). They took into account wind clumping as well as the presence of a homogeneous wind phase. They obtained results for the cases of a low and high mass of the WR star, 6.4 and 70 $M_\odot$, respectively. Our range of $\dot{M}_{\text{WR}}$ is much closer to the lower one, and the dependence on $\dot{M}_{\text{WR}}$ is relatively modest. For the low-mass case, they obtained $\dot{M} \simeq (6-8) \times 10^{-6} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$, almost the same as our values obtained here. A related (but independent) estimate is that of Zdziarski et al. (2012), who studied X-ray orbital modulation in Cyg X-3. Its orbital-phase dependence in hard X-rays, where the opacity is likely to be dominated by Thomson scattering, implies $\dot{M} \simeq (7-8) \times 10^{-6} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ for the terminal wind velocity of 1500–1700 km s$^{-1}$ (van Kerkwijk et al. 1996; Fender et al. 1999). Then, Waltman et al. (1996) obtained $\dot{M} \lesssim 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ from the radio delays interpreted as being due to wind opacity. This is again fully consistent with our values. The above methods are independent of the distance to Cyg X-3.

On the other hand, van Kerkwijk (1993) and Ogley et al. (2001) obtained higher values of $\dot{M}$ by interpreting the observed IR fluxes as solely due to wind emission. van Kerkwijk (1993) obtained $\dot{M} \simeq 4 \times 10^{-5} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ without taking into account clumping of the wind. Including it lowers $\dot{M}$ by a factor of several (Szostek
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**Figure 1.** $\dot{M}$ corrected for wind clumping versus $\dot{M}_{WR}$ for WN stars in the sample of NL00. The solid line shows the fit to all points with $\dot{M}_{WR} < 22 \, M_\odot$, and the dotted lines delineate the rms of these points. The crosses correspond to the lower limits on $\dot{M}$, without taking into account clumping, versus $10^2 \frac{M_{WR}}{M_\odot}$.
& Zdziarski 2008) and thus makes it consistent with our present results. Ogley et al. (2001) obtained $M \approx (4-30) \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ using different assumptions about the wind, but also neglecting clumping. The lowest value is for a strongly ionized wind. Using that assumption and taking into account clumping can again reconcile their results with ours. Furthermore, the wind-emission calculations depend on the distance as $M \propto d^{1.2}$, and the above authors assumed $d \approx 10$ kpc. On the other hand, the actual distance remains relatively uncertain. Predehl et al. (2000) obtained $d \approx 9^{+4}_{-3}$ kpc, whileDickey (1983) found $d \gtrsim 9$ kpc, but without giving an error estimate. Ling, Zhang & Tang (2009) gave a highly accurate result, but assuming the distance to the OB2 association of exactly 1.7 kpc. That distance has been measured as $1.74 \pm 0.08$ kpc (Massey & Thompson 1991). For that range, the method of Ling et al. (2009) gives $d \approx 6$–9 kpc. If $d \approx 7$ kpc, the values of $M$ of Ogley et al. (2001) should be reduced by ~10 per cent. In addition, there can be a substantial IR contribution from the synchrotron emission of the jets, which would further reduce the calculated $M$. Summarizing, all the published estimates of $M$ are compatible with our present results.

### 3 A LOW-MASS BLACK HOLE?

We have found that either an NS or a low-mass BH may be present in Cyg X-3. On the other hand, there are many pieces of evidence (though still circumstantial) for the compact object in Cyg X-3 being a BH. Its spectral states have spectra showing a general resemblance to those of the canonical spectral states of BH binaries (Szostek & Zdziarski 2008; Zdziarski, Misra & Gierliński 2010). The existing differences may be explained by scattering in the very strong stellar wind of Cyg X-3 (Zdziarski et al. 2010). The radio flux in the hard spectral state is strongly correlated with that in soft X-rays, which correlation is very similar (Gallo, Fender & Pooley 2003; Szostek, Zdziarski & McColllough 2008) to that seen in BH X-ray binaries. The normalization of the radio flux in Cyg X-3 is somewhat above that for BH binaries, which can be due to strong absorption of soft X-rays in Cyg X-3. On the other hand, NS X-ray binaries in the analogous state have the radio flux $\sim$30 times weaker on average (Migliari & Fender 2006), in stark contrast to the case of Cyg X-3. If Cyg X-3 were indeed a progenitor of PSR B1913+16, its NS should be fast spinning and with moderate or high magnetic field, $\gtrsim$ a few times $10^{10}$ G. We might expect then X-ray pulsations, which have not been found. Also, spectra expected from such a system would be rather different from those of Cyg X-3. Then, V09 (see their section 6) fitted the IR-flux orbital modulation and found that it is compatible with a low inclination, $i \sim 30^\circ$, but not with $i \sim 60^\circ$, implying the compact object to be a BH. A low inclination, $i \sim 30$–$40^\circ$, has also been found by Zdziarski et al. (2012) as accounting for the orbital modulation of the X-ray continuum.

The Eddington luminosity for He accretion is $L_E \approx \frac{2\times10^{38}(M_c/2M_\odot)}{2.7 \times 10^{-8} \text{erg s}^{-1}}$, respectively. These are orbital-phase averages; they should be increased by a factor $\sim 1.5$ and $2$ in the hard and soft states, respectively, to obtain the intrinsic values (Zdziarski et al. 2012). Assuming $d = 7$ kpc, we obtain the bolometric hard and soft state luminosities of $\lesssim 7 \times 10^{37}$ and $\lesssim 3 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$, respectively. At $M = 4 M_\odot$, they correspond to $\sim 0.07 L_E$ and $\lesssim 0.3 L_E$, respectively. These Eddington ratios are within the ranges observed for BH binaries in these two states (Done, Gierliński & Kubota 2007).

Following the above circumstantial evidence and our estimate of the compact-object mass ($M_c = 2.4^{+0.4}_{-0.1} M_\odot$), we argue that Cyg X-3 hosts a low-mass BH. The actual BH mass is most likely in the range ($M_{\text{NS, max}}-4.5 M_\odot$), where $M_{\text{NS, max}}$ is the still unknown maximum NS mass. The recent precise mass measurements of Galactic pulsars require that $M_{\text{NS, max}} > 2 M_\odot$ (Demorest et al. 2010), while dynamical BH mass estimates impose that $M_{\text{NS, max}} < 5 M_\odot$ (Ozel et al. 2010; Farr et al. 2011). Theoretical calculations of dense nuclear matter indicate that $M_{\text{NS, max}} < 2.4 M_\odot$ (Lattimer & Prakash 2010). When we impose above limits on our estimate, we obtain $M_{\text{BH}} = 2.4^{+0.4}_{-0.1} M_\odot$. This puts the BH in Cyg X-3 right into the mass gap, the dearth of compact objects in the (2–5) $M_\odot$ mass range, first noted by Bailyn et al. (1998).

The existence of the mass gap was recently discussed in the context of supernova explosion models (Belczynski et al. 2012b). For the rapidly developing explosions (within $\sim 0.2$ s after the core bounce), the mass gap appears in simulations as observed for the Galactic BH X-ray binaries. However, there is a small addition of systems with compact objects in the (2–3.5) $M_\odot$ mass range (see fig. 1 of Belczynski et al. 2012b). These have formed via accretion on to an NS (originally formed in the supernova explosion) from a binary companion. These compact objects are most likely light BHs formed via accretion-induced collapse of the NS (van den Heuvel & De Loore 1973; Bethe & Brown 1998). We note, however, that the result of Bethe & Brown (1998) that an NS can accrete as much as $\sim 1 M_\odot$ was questioned by Belczynski, Kalogera & Bulik (2002), who found that usually a much smaller mass can be accreted. The numerical calculations of Ruffert (1999) and Ricker & Taam (2008) confirm that the accretion rate onto compact objects in common-envelope events can be significantly lower (by a factor of $\sim 10$) than the Bondi–Hoyle rate.

The mass gap was also recently interpreted as a potential observational artefact caused by the systematic uncertainties in dynamical BH mass measurements (Kreidberg et al. 2012). No mass gap scenario corresponds to delayed supernova models (developing on a time-scale of $\sim 0.5$–1 s) that produce compact objects within (2–5) $M_\odot$ mass range (Belczynski et al. 2012b). In this case, the light BH in Cyg X-3 most likely have formed in supernova explosion from an $M_{\text{prot}} \sim (20$–$40) M_\odot$ progenitor (Fryer et al. 2012). As long as there is no consensus on the mass gap existence, both formation scenarios, either via accretion-induced collapse or in the delayed supernova explosion, are to be considered.

Finally, we mention that we can expect a large number of He binaries in the Galaxy with the donor masses lower than that in Cyg X-3 (and containing either NS or BH). Given our obtained low-to-moderate WR mass in Cyg X-3, many of them would have masses $\lesssim 6 M_\odot$, where the He stars would be no more of the WR type due to the weakness of their wind. These systems would then be undetectable as X-ray sources. As pointed out by van den Heuvel (1995), this would help to explain the existence of only one system like Cyg X-3 in our Galaxy.

Summarizing, we have calculated the masses of the components, the inclination and the donor mass-loss rate in the Cyg X-3 binary. We have used existing mass functions, the relationship between $M$ and $P$ and our new determination of the dependence of the mass-loss rate on the mass for WN stars of moderate mass. The obtained $M$ is in very good agreement with two independent calculations and is consistent with all other determinations, provided those based on the IR fluxes are corrected for clumping. We argue that although an NS is allowed to be present, a low-mass BH is more likely given the
radio, IR and X-ray properties of Cyg X-3. In the companion paper (Belczyński et al. 2012a), we study the fate of this system, which is likely to first form either an BH–NS or BH–BH binary, and then merge, giving rise to a detectable gravitational-wave signal.
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