Exposure to heat-stress environment affects the physiology, circulation levels of cytokines, and microbiome in dairy cows
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The microbiome has emerged as a new player on behavior, physiology and stress because of its significant effects on the brain-gut axis. The aim of this study was to increase our understanding of brain-gut function in dairy cows. We investigated the effects of a heat-stress (HS) environment and individual differences of heat sensitivity (IH) on bovine physiological characteristics and microbial composition. Results indicate that both HS and IH increased rectal temperature (RT) ($P < 0.05$). An HS environment increased plasma, as well as milk cortisol and cytokines in plasma; however, it decreased plasma, and milk oxytocin, triiodothyronine, and thyroxine ($P < 0.05$) levels. Exposure to an HS environment reduced the diversity of the fecal microbial population, and resulted in a higher expression of diseases, the environmental adaptation pathway, and the immune related pathway, whereas it lowered the expression of metabolic pathways ($P < 0.05$). High heat sensitive cows have upregulated metabolisms, environmental adaptation and cellular process pathways, and a downregulated neurodegenerative disease pathway ($P < 0.05$). Thus, we conclude that exposure to an HS environment modulates physiological characteristics, which may interplay with microbial activity, and in turn, alter the circulation levels of cytokines, implicating the role of the brain-gut axis in dairy cows. The HS environment affected physiological characteristics, cytokine levels, and microbial composition, but IH influenced RT and fecal microbial functions.

The microbiome and its metabolites have a crucial role in the maintenance of host homeostasis, and thus have become a booming area in both human and animal studies. With respect to microbiome studies, the activity of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis of stress on microbial composition has been well reported. Varying types of stress alter the microbial composition, such as social stress in mice, heat stress (HS) in laying hens, and weaning stress in dairy cows. The gut microbiome is associated with the circulation levels of cytokines like interleukin-6, and the modulation of the brain–gut axis function is subjected to stress and behavioral responses. Notably, indiscriminate use of antibiotics in farm animals is associated with the development of antimicrobial resistance. In addition, disturbances in the gut microbiome have been implicated in a wide range of disorders, including functional and inflammatory gastrointestinal disorders, obesity, and eating disorders in both animals and humans. Therefore, the ability of the gut microbiome to communicate with the brain, and thus modulate physiology, behavior, and immune activity is emerging as an exciting concept. To date, there are many hypotheses implicating the brain–gut axis, which are generally influenced by bidirectional communication systems, such as the enteric nervous system; vagus, sympathetic, and spinal nerves; and humoral pathways, including cytokines, hormones, and neuropeptides as signaling molecules. For example, having a normal gut microbiome moderates brain function and is essential for normal physiology and behaviors in mice, and commensal microbes may play an important role in mastitis pathogenesis in dairy cows. Contrarily, it is well known that
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HS has prolonged and negative effects on productivity, fecundity, and immunity in cows. Exposure to an HS environment has effects on physiological characteristics and circulation of the cytokines, as well as gut microbial composition, but there is still a lack of understanding of these interactions in dairy cows.

The temperature-humidity index (THI) is generally regarded as one of the indicators to evaluate HS. However, since the THI is affected by many factors, it is thus appropriate to evaluate HS at the flock level, rather than at the individual level. The panting score, which integrates the climatic variables like solar load and wind speed, as well as management factors (the effect of shade) and animal factors (genotype differences), is widely regarded as an accurate and reliable indicator of HS at the individual level. Thus, by taking the characteristics of heat sensitivity into consideration, we investigated the effect of HS on the physiological characteristics and circulation levels of cytokines and microbial composition at a flock level (HS or none/slight-HS environments) and the individual level (high and low heat sensitivity). The aim was to better understand the effects of exposure to an HS environment on bovine physiology and immune activities, and how these responses interplay with the gut microbiome in dairy cows.

Results

Physiological characteristics. The RT was affected by the HS environment and IH (both, $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 1B). High-heat-sensitivity cows under HS environment (H-HS) had higher RT than low-heat-sensitivity cows under HS environment (L-HS) ($P < 0.01$), and high-heat-sensitivity cows under none/slight-HS environment (H-nHS) and low-heat-sensitivity cows under none/slight-HS environment (L-nHS) did not show a difference. Further, RT of cows under HS environment (H-HS and L-HS) were higher than that in the cows under none/slight-HS environment (H-nHS and L-nHS) ($P < 0.01$), and the interaction between HS and IH was significant ($P < 0.01$).

Plasma hormones were affected by the HS environment ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2). The plasma cortisol level of H-HS and L-HS cows were higher ($P < 0.05$), while oxytocin, triiodothyronine (T3) and thyroxine (T4) levels were lower than in the H-nHS and L-nHS cows (none/slight-HS environment) ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2). Neither the interaction between HS and IH, nor IH affected plasma cortisol, oxytocin, and T3 and T4 levels ($P > 0.05$).

Milk hormones were also affected by an HS environment ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 3). Milk cortisol of the H-HS and L-HS cows (HS environment) were higher ($P < 0.05$), while oxytocin, T3, and T4 levels were lower than in the H-nHS and L-nHS cows (none/slight-HS environment) ($P < 0.05$). Values with different small letter (a,b) superscripts mean statistically different between HS and none/slight HS environment s ($P < 0.05$). n = 15 in each group.
Figure 2. Plasma cortisol (A), oxytocin (B), triiodothyronine (C) and thyroxine (D) concentration of cows in the four groups. HS means heat stress environment, IH means individual difference of heat sensitivity. Values with different small letters (a,b) mean statistically different ($P < 0.05$). $n = 15$ in each group.

Figure 3. Milk cortisol (A), oxytocin (B), triiodothyronine (C) and thyroxine (D) concentration of cows in the four groups. HS means heat stress environment IH means individual difference of heat sensitivity. Values with different small letters (a,b) mean statistically different ($P < 0.05$). $n = 15$ in each group.
H-nHS and L-nHS cows (none/slight-HS environment) \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 3). Neither the interaction between HS and IH, nor IH affected milk cortisol, oxytocin, T3, and T4 levels \((P > 0.05)\).

**Circulation levels of cytokines.** Heat stress environment affected the circulation levels of cytokines in plasma \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 4). The circulation levels of interleukin-1ß (IL-1ß), interleukin–6 (IL-6), interferon-\(\gamma\) (IFN-\(\gamma\)), and tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\) (TNF-\(\alpha\)) in plasma were all higher in the cows under an HS environment (H-HS and L-HS) than in the cows under a non/slight-HS environment (H-nHS and L-nHS) \((\text{All}, P < 0.05)\). Neither interactions between HS and IH, nor IH affected these cytokine levels (Fig. 4).

**Feces microbial composition.** Heat stress rather than IH affected the fecal microbial composition (Fig. 5A). The clusters of microbial composition of the H-HS and L-HS cows were similar, while the clusters of the H-nHS and L-nHS cows were similar. The microbial composition was richer in the H-nHS cows than in the L-nHS and H-HS cows \((P < 0.05)\) and did not differ in other comparisons (Fig. 5B). The dominant microbes were *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* in all groups, of which the *Firmicutes* phylum seemed higher and *Bacteroidetes* phylum seemed lower in the H-nHS and L-nHS cows than in the H-HS and L-HS cows \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 5C, D). Heat stress environment resulted in different KEGG pathways of microbial function \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 6A, B). The H-HS cows had upregulated expression of the pathways associated with diseases, including cancer, immune system disease, infectious disease, and genetic information processing (folding, sorting and degradation), and environmental adaptation pathways \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 6A). Whereas, they were downregulated in the metabolism pathways, sensory system pathway, and cell communication pathway (extremely low expression, and data are not shown) when compared with the H-nHS cows \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 6A). The L-HS cows had upregulated endocrine system pathway expression than the L-nHS cows \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 6B).

The IH affected microbial functions \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 6C, D). When exposed to an HS environment, H-HS cows had an upregulated environmental adaptation pathway, and cellular processes of membrane transport and transcription, while they had downregulated expression of the metabolism and neurodegenerative disease pathways than the H-nHS cows \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 6C). Under a none/slight-HS environment, the H-nHS cows had an upregulated expression of the cellular transport process pathway, while they had downregulated expression of the metabolic pathways \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 6D).

**Relationship of diversity of microbial composition to physiological characteristics and cytokines.** The diversity of microbial composition was negatively correlated with plasma cortisol, as assessed using both the Shannon \((r = -0.277, P = 0.032; \text{Fig. 7A})\) and Simpson indices \((r = -0.453, P < 0.001; \text{Fig. 7C})\). Furthermore, microbial composition had a trend of positive correlation with plasma oxytocin, assessed using Shannon diversity index \((r = 0.238, P = 0.067; \text{Fig. 7B})\) but not using Simpson’s diversity index, and it was also
positively correlated with plasma T3, assessed using Simpson’s index (r = 0.267, P = 0.039; Fig. 7D) but not using Shannon index. Additionally, using Shannon’s index, microbial composition was found to be positively correlated with milk oxytocin levels (r = 0.248, P = 0.056; Fig. 8A). Using Simpson’s index, a negative correlation was found between the microbial composition and circulation levels of IL-1ß (r = −0.244, P = 0.060; Fig. 8B); a negative trend of microbial composition with TNF-α was found using both Simpson’s index (r = −0.280, P = 0.030; Fig. 8C) and Shannon’s index (r = −0.266, P = 0.040; Fig. 8D). Otherwise, no significant correlations were found between microbial composition and other plasma and milk physiological characteristics, as well as other cytokines.

Discussion
The microbiome is a new indicator of the various effects on the brain-gut axis. Although the mechanism of this axis has not yet been completely understood, it is hypothesized that stressor-induced alterations of the gut microbiome result in the translocation of bacterial products to act as stimuli for the innate immune system. Our study emphasizes the effect of the HPA axis on bovine physiological characteristics, immune activity, and microbiome in response to HS environments.

As a widely used indicator to evaluate HS environments, the RT is higher under HS environment than under none/slight HS environment, suggesting that H-HS and L-HS cows suffer from HS. Heat stress results in higher cortisol concentrations in both the plasma and milk. Cortisol is one of the stress indicators modulated by the HPA axis in dairy cows and horses, and our results are consistent with previous studies. T3 and T4, as modulators, play a major role in the growth and development of the brain and central nervous system, and are known to decrease under HS simultaneously with a decrease in feed intake. They are both lower in cows under an HS environment than under a none/slight environment, which is similar to the lower values in summer (higher ambient temperature) vs those in winter (lower ambient temperature). Additionally, oxytocin decreased when dairy cows were exposed to psychological stressors. The higher oxytocin values in cows under a none/slight HS environment than under an HS environment indicated that suffering resulted from HS. All these findings suggest that the exposure to an HS environment alters physiological characteristics in cows.

The circulation levels of IL-1ß, IL-6, IFN-γ, and TNF-α, are all higher in the HS-exposed cows (H-HS and L-HS cows) than in the cows exposed to none/slight-HS environments (H-nHS and L-nHS cows), which suggests the possibility of an inflammatory condition resulting from the HS environment. This result was supported by the fact that oxidative stress caused inflammation, and plasma TNF-α and IL-6 were shown to increase under long-term heat stress in dairy cows.

The microbial population is richer in cows exposed to none/slight-HS environments (H-nHS) than those exposed to an HS condition (H-HS), which is similar with results of previous studies indicating that heat stress
changed the rumen microbial population of dairy cows and reduced alpha diversity of the gut microbiome in mice. The latter study further revealed that circulating levels of IL-6 and CCL2 correlated with stressor-induced changes in *Coprococcus* spp., *Pseudobutyrivibrio* spp., and *Dorea* spp. In our study, microbial composition was positively correlated with oxytocin and T3, and negatively correlated with the stress indicator, cortisol, as well as with the inflammatory mediators, IL-1β and TNF-α. These correlations suggest that HS induces poorer microbial composition and provides further evidence for the possible role of the brain-gut axis in the physiological characteristics and immune activities in dairy cows.

The dominant microbes are *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes*, and *Proteobacteria*, which were similar with a previous study, regardless of the age of dairy cows. The gut microbiome is crucial in optimizing dairy cow health and production efficiency and facilitated the development of gut tissue and immune system. The dynamic balances of ruminal and gut microbiomes, host physiology, and diet influence the initial acquisition and eventual stability of the rumen and gut ecosystems. The above studies emphasize that exposure to an HS environment results in the upregulation of diseases, but downregulation of metabolic pathways (H-HS vs H-nHS). Thus, these findings suggest that an HS environment affects microbial activities linking to potential diseases and lower metabolisms in cows. The upregulated immune system (H-HS vs H-nHS) and endocrine system pathways (L-HS vs L-nHS) under the HS rather than the none/slight-HS condition, provides evidence that exposure to HS leads to the alteration of microbial activities, which may in turn enhances plasma circulation levels of cytokines in cows. This may be supported by previous findings that the microbiome affected adaptive immune regulation linking to inflammatory diseases, such as asthma, in animals and humans. When exposed to HS, the heat shock transcription factor 1 is transcribed in the cell and plays an important role in the onset of elevated cell temperature. This study explains the upregulated transcription pathway found in H-HS cows than in the H-nHS cows, although we did not obtain gene expression of heat shock transcription factor 1 in this study. The upregulated environmental adaptation pathway in H-HS as opposed to that in H-nHS cows, profiles the response of cows coping with the HS condition. It is possible that high-heat-sensitivity cows readily tolerated high temperatures, for which cows would
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**Figure 6.** Feces microbiome functions between comparisons. (A) H-HS vs H-nHS; (B) L-HS vs L-nHS; (C) H-HS vs L-HS; (D) H-nHS vs L-nHS. H-HS = high heat sensitivity cows under heat stress (HS) environment, L-HS = low heat sensitivity cows under HS environment (L-HS), H-nHS = high heat sensitivity cows under none/slight-HS environment, L-nHS = low heat sensitivity cows under non/slight-HS environment. n = 15 in each group.
modulate physiological and biochemical adaptations to prevent overheating when temperatures were above the thermoneutral zone\textsuperscript{44}.

The high-heat-sensitivity cows have a higher RT than low-heat-sensitivity cows under both HS and none/slight-HS environments (H-HS > L-HS; H-nHS > L-nHS), besides increased respiratory rate\textsuperscript{45}, and decrease of T3 and T4\textsuperscript{31} concentrations to maintain homeostasis and prevent overheating. The increased respiratory rate relates to energy consumption when animals cope with the ambient environment\textsuperscript{46,47}. This explains the down-regulated pathways of metabolisms, especially energy and amid acid metabolisms in H-HS and H-nHS cows as opposed to L-HS and L-nHS cows. The upregulated pathways of environmental adaptation and membrane transport in high- rather than in low-heat-sensitivity cows under the HS environment (H-HS vs L-HS) may be modulated by the same mechanism as mentioned above, wherein HS cows readily tolerated high temperature by modulating physiological and biochemical adaptations to the thermal environment. This is also seen as evidence from the upregulated cell motility and transcription pathways in H-nHS cows rather than in L-nHS cows, in which a number of anomalies in cellular function are induced\textsuperscript{48} alongside the changes in gene transcription and metabolism shifts when coping with the thermal environment in dairy cows\textsuperscript{49,50}. However, it is not clear why the neurodegenerative disease pathway is upregulated in L-HS cows rather than in H-HS cows. In addition, the physiological characteristics and circulation levels of cytokines seem to be affected by HS rather than IH (neither differs between H-HS and L-HS, nor between H-nHS and L-nHS). These findings are further confirmed by the similarities of the microbial composition cluster between high- and low-heat-sensitivity cows under both the HS and none/slight-HS environments.

It has long been hypothesized that stressor-induced alterations of the gut microbiome results in the altered physiology and immunity. Our study emphasizes the effect of HS on physiological characteristics and circulation levels of immune activity and the microbiome. We conclude that the exposure to HS modulates physiology and immunity, which may interplay with the microbial activities and alter circulation levels of cytokines, asserting the role of the brain-gut axis in dairy cows. HS, rather than IH, affects physiological characteristics, cytokines, and microbial composition, but IH influences RT and fecal microbial functions, implying there is a difference at the individual level within the flock.
Methods

Animals and groups. All methods and animal care were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations of the Institute of Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. This study was carried out at a private farm in Shunyi district, Beijing from July to September in 2016; however, the rations were not changed during June to October, covering the experimental period. Chinese Holstein dairy cows in the same barn were used. The barn (260 m x 15 m) having the capacity of 280 cows, was concrete-floored without an outdoor area, where every cow had more than one bed with litter of rice husk. Cows were fed total mixed ration three times daily, at 07:00 am, 14:00 pm, and 19:00 pm, with free accesses to water and diet, and were milked three times daily, at 08:00 am, 15:10 pm, and 21:40 pm. Feces were removed by an automatic shaving machine.

The test initially took place over five consecutive days to select the experimental cows under high THI conditions (THI = 80 ± 1.15 (SD)). The temperature (T) and relative humidity (RH) were recorded every 10 min by automatic temperature and humidity recorder (RC-4, China) during testing days. THI was calculated based on 144 averaged values (24 h) of T and RH by the formula: THI = (1.8 × T + 32) − [(0.55 − 0.0055 × RH) × (1.8 × T − 26.8)] (NRC, 1971). During these five days, all 280 cows were scored by the panting score, measured 15 times a day, to classify the character of heat sensitivity. For panting score, a four-grade evaluation system was used for dairy cows, where 0 presented low heat sensitivity: Score 0 = Normal breath with slight panting; 1 = Slow breath, along with the movements of abdomen and hindquarters, but not spine and head; 2 = Relatively quick breath, along with movements of hindquarters, spine and head, and occasional snot; 3 = Quick breath, with mouth opening and tongue extending, and additional snot and drool. Taking the milk yield, parity, and days in milk into consideration, and based on the panting score high (n = 15) and low (n = 15), heat sensitive cows were selected as experimental cows (see Table 1).

Accordingly, previous studies suggested cows suffer HS when the thermal temperature is above the thermoneutral zone, such as THI higher than 7251, higher than 68, 69, 7252 and higher than 6853. Therefore, measurement was taken under high THI (80.5) suggesting a HS environment and low THI (66.0) indicating a none/slight-HS environment. Figure 1A shows averaged 24 h and 3 h (10:00 am to 12:00 am) THI during the experimental period. Thus, four experimental groups were merged: the high-heat-sensitivity cows under heat stress environment (H-HS, n = 15); low-heat-sensitivity cows under heat stress environment (L-HS, n = 15); high-heat-sensitivity cows under none/slight-HS environment (H-nHS), and blue circles represent low heat sensitivity cows under non/slight-HS environment (L-nHS).
Physiological characteristics and circulation levels of cytokines. Blood samples (10 mL in each) were collected at 11:00 am (neither the feeding nor milking time) under HS (THI = 80.5 ± 1.8 (SD)) and none/slight-HS (THI = 66.0 ± 3.4 (SD)) conditions, from the coccygeal vein using vacutainer tubes (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Plasma was separated through centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C and stored at −20°C for subsequent detection. Cortisol (ng/mL), oxytocin (ng/L), triiodothyronine T3 (ng/mL), T4 (ng/mL), and circulation levels (ng/L) of IL-1ß, IL-6, IFN-γ, and TNF-α were measured using the commercial assay ELISA kits (XL-Eb0187) from Shanghai Xinle Biotechnology, Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

Milk samples (10 mL in each) were collected during milking at 3:10 pm on the day before blood samplings days under HS (THI = 80.3 ± 3.4 (SD)) and less/non-HS (THI = 65.5 ± 5.3 (SD)) environments, respectively. The skimmed milk was obtained through two consecutive centrifugations at 4,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C and stored at −20°C for the measurement of cortisol, oxytocin, T3 and T4 levels by ELISA kits (XL-Eb0142) from Shanghai Xinle Biotechnology, Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

RT (°C) was manually measured by a rectal thermometer (Wuhan, China) at 10 cm insertion to minimize bias at 23:00 pm on the day after blood sampling days, under HS (THI = 80.2 ± 2.6 (SD)) and none/slight-HS (THI = 65.5 ± 2.7 (SD)) environments.

Feces microbiome. On the day after blood sampling days, 20 g rectal feces were collected immediately after measuring RT and stored at −20°C for DNA extraction. Total genome DNA was extracted using QIAamp Fast DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The V4 region of 16S rDNA was amplified by using the 515 f/806r primer set. All PCR reactions were conducted using Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (NEB, Beverly, MA, USA). PCR products were purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The libraries were generated using TruSeq® DNA PCR-Free Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and sequencing was conducted on Illumina HiSeq. 2500 platform.

Paired-end reads were merged using FLASH v1.2.7. Chimeric sequences were removed using the UCHIME algorithm. Quality filtering on the raw tags was performed by QIIME v1.7.0. Operational Taxonomic Unit (OTUs) was assigned using Uparse v7.0.1001 with a 97% similarity threshold. Taxonomic annotation was performed by comparing sequences to the GreenGenes Database. The functions of microbial composition were predicted using online PICRUSTs (http://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy). The results were analyzed by the two-tailed Welch’s t-test and the KEGG pathways, with Store FDR-corrected P-value (q-value) < 0.05 were regarded as significant, and then were depicted using the STAMP software.

Statistical analyses. All data were analyzed as mean ± SE by SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary N.C., USA) unless otherwise noted. To analyze the effects on physiological characteristics and cytokines, the following model of PROC GLM was used:

\[ Y_{ijk} = \mu + A_i + B_j + (A \cdot B)_{ij} + b_1X_{ij} + b_2X_{ij} + e_{ijk}, \quad i = 1, 2; \quad j = 1, 2; \quad k = 1, \ldots, 15 \]

where \( Y_{ijk} \) is the observation \( k \) at the level \( i \) of HS conditions and the level \( j \) heat sensitivity, \( \mu \) is the overall mean, \( A_i \) is the effect of level \( i \) of HS conditions, \( B_j \) is the effect of level \( j \) heat sensitivity, \( (A \cdot B)_{ij} \) is the effect of the interaction of level \( i \) HS conditions with level \( j \) heat sensitivity, \( b_1 \) is the covariant factor of DIM, \( b_2 \) is the covariant factor of milk yield, \( e_{ijk} \) is the random error with mean 0 and variance \( \sigma^2 \), and \( k \) is the cow. When significant interactions (\( P < 0.05 \)) were detected, adjustments to the level of significance were used to account for the comparisons between HS conditions as well as between high and low sensitive cows using Tukey-Kramer adjustments. In relation to the gut microbiome, alpha diversity analyses of Shannon, and Simpson indices were analyzed by the ANOVA test. Pearson’s correlation coefficients (\( r \)) were calculated for relationships between physiological characteristics, cytokines, and richness of microbial composition. Values with \( P < 0.05 \) were regarded as statistically significant.

Ethics statement. The experimental protocols were approved (Approval number: 2016IAS018) by the Experimental Animal Care and Committee of Institute of Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences.

Data Availability The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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