QTL Mapping of Quality Related Traits in Peanut Using Whole-Genome Resequencing
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Abstract

Background: Oil and protein content, as well as fatty acid composition, are important quality traits in peanut. Elucidating the genetic mechanisms underlying these traits may help researchers to obtain improved cultivars through molecular breeding techniques.

Results: Whole-genome resequencing of an RIL population of 318 lines was performed to construct a high-density linkage map and identify QTLs for peanut quality. The map, containing 4561 bin markers, covered a length of 2032.39 cM with an average marker density of 0.45 cM. A total of 109 QTLs for oil content, protein content, and fatty acid compositions were mapped on the 18 peanut chromosomes. The QTL qA05.1 was detected in four different environments and exhibited a major phenotypic effect on the content of oil, proteins, and six fatty acids. The genomic region spanned by qA05.1, corresponding to a physical interval of approximately 1.50 Mb, contains two polymorphic SNPs between two parents that could cause missense mutations. The two SNP sites were employed as KASP markers and validated using lines with extremely high and low oil contents; these sites may be useful in the marker-assisted breeding of peanut varieties with high oil contents.

Conclusions: A high-density genetic map with 4561 bin markers was constructed, and a major and pleiotropic QTL located on LG05 was stably detected for oil, protein and fatty acids across four different environments.

Background

Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L., 2n = 4x = 40) is one of the most important industrial crops worldwide [1]. In China and India, peanut seeds are primarily utilized as a source of vegetable oil, whereas in Western countries, they are also consumed as edible food products [2–4]. Peanut seeds primarily contain oil (approximately 40–56%) along with proteins (approximately 20–30%) and carbohydrates (approximately 10–20%) [4]. Peanut oil is composed of different fatty acids, including palmitic acid (C16:0), stearic acid (C18:0), oleic acid (C18:1), linoleic acid (C18:2), arachidic acid (C20:0), behenic acid (C22:0) and arachidonic acid (C20:1). The ratio between saturated (palmitic, stearic, arachidic, and behenic) and unsaturated (oleic, linoleic and arachidonic) fatty acids is approximately 1:4 [5]. Peanut proteins contain essential amino acids that are easily adsorbed by the human body [6].

Depending on final product destinations, protein and oil content are important quality traits in peanut. In addition, fatty acid composition, particularly oleic acid content, is one of the most important quality traits, as oleic acid can increase the shelf life of peanut products and is beneficial for human health [7]. Elucidating the genetic mechanisms underlying the quality traits mentioned above may help researchers to develop improved cultivars through marker-assisted breeding.

Several quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been reported to be linked with oil content and fatty acid composition in peanut [1–4, 8]. Using two RIL populations and simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers, a total of 78 main-effect QTLs and 10 epistatic QTLs were detected for oil content and oil quality traits [2]. Another SSR-based QTL mapping study identified 12 QTLs for eight quality-related traits with phenotypic variation explained (PVE) values ranging from approximately 1.72–20.20% [3]. Two major QTLs located on chromosomes A02 and A10 and twenty major QTLs on chromosomes A05, A07-A10, B01, B04 and B09 were detected for oil content and
fatty acid compositions, respectively, using two F$_2$ populations and DArT markers [4]. Finally, Liu et al. [1], utilizing SNP markers from ddRAD sequencing, mapped the major and consensus QTL $qOCA08.1$ to an approximately 0.8-Mb genomic region containing two annotated genes predicted to affect oil biosynthesis.

With advances in next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology and the availability of reference genomes for diploid progenitors and cultivated peanut [9–12], high-resolution mapping has been successfully performed for complex traits in peanut, such as yield [13, 14] and disease resistance [15–17]. In peanut, various NGS methods have been employed to generate a large number of SNPs, such as restriction-site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) [17–18], single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array [19–20], specific-locus amplified fragment sequencing (SLAF-seq) [13, 21], diversity array technology (DArT) [4, 22], and whole genome resequencing (WGRS) [15].

In this study, WGRS was applied to an RIL population segregating for peanut quality traits, which enabled the mapping of QTLs for the content of oil, protein, and seven fatty acids. Candidate genes involved in the pathway of fat biosynthesis were predicted, and SNPs covered by these genes were validated using lines with extremely high and low oil contents. The results of this study may help to establish a foundation for further genetic research and for the development of high-oil peanut cultivars.

Results

Phenotypic analysis of the quality related traits

Nine quality-related traits, i.e., the contents of oil, protein, palmitic acid, stearic acid, arachidic acid, behenic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid, and arachidonic acid, were assessed in four environments (Zhengzhou, 2018 and 2019; Shangqiu and Weifang, 2019) on the male parental line P1 (W1202), the female parental line P2 (Yuhua15), and 329 segregating RILs. ANOVA indicated that genotypic effects significantly affected all the traits (Table 1). P1 exhibited higher contents of oleic, behenic, and arachidonic acids, whereas P2 exhibited higher contents of oil, proteins, palmitic acid, stearic acid, linoleic acid and arachidic acid (Table 1). For all the traits and environments, wide phenotypic variation and transgressive segregation were observed in the RIL population (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The CV ranged from 4.16% for oil content to 20.65% for arachidonic acid content, while broad-sense heritability ranged from 0.74 for linoleic acid content to 0.91 for behenic acid content (Table 1).
### Table 1

**Basic statistics and genetic heritability of nine quality traits**

| Trait           | P1 (%) | P2 (%) | Range (%) | Mean (%) | SD  | CV (%) | Skewness | Kurtosis | Sig  | $H^2$ |
|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|--------|----------|----------|------|-------|
| Oil             | 51.88  | 53.80  | 45.15     | 52.97    | 2.20| 4.16   | -0.08    | -0.20    | ***  | 0.90  |
| Protein         | 22.97  | 22.70  | 18.15     | 23.78    | 1.56| 6.57   | -0.06    | 0.02     | ***  | 0.86  |
| Palmitic acid   | 11.62  | 12.10  | 8.3~14.75 | 12.42    | 0.73| 5.86   | -0.35    | 2.13     | ***  | 0.83  |
| Stearic acid    | 3.15   | 4.12   | 1.03~5.84 | 3.88     | 0.62| 15.86  | -0.20    | 0.31     | ***  | 0.89  |
| Oleic acid      | 37.94  | 37.03  | 27.87     | 40.64    | 4.06| 9.99   | 0.32     | 1.22     | ***  | 0.78  |
| Linoleic acid   | 34.55  | 36.62  | 21~51.15  | 37.35    | 3.68| 9.86   | -0.20    | 0.83     | ***  | 0.74  |
| Arachidic acid  | 1.38   | 1.50   | 0.96~1.93 | 1.48     | 0.14| 9.40   | -0.08    | 0.13     | ***  | 0.89  |
| Behenic acid    | 2.13   | 2.01   | 1.55~2.88 | 2.12     | 0.18| 8.58   | 0.11     | -0.20    | ***  | 0.91  |
| ArArachidonic acid | 0.65  | 0.52   | 0.19~1.41 | 0.72     | 0.15| 20.65  | 0.32     | 1.11     | ***  | 0.88  |

$P1$ male parent; $P2$ female parent; $SD$ standard deviation; $CV$ coefficient of variation; $Sig$ significance; $H^2$ heritability per mean

The oil content displayed a negative correlation with the protein (-0.79), oleic acid (-0.39) and arachidonic acid (-0.53) contents and exhibited a positive correlation with the palmitic acid (0.40), stearic acid (0.75), linoleic acid (0.12), arachidic acid (0.87), and behenic acid (0.85) contents (Table 2). In addition, negative correlations were observed between protein content and behenic acid (-0.83), arachidic acid (-0.62) and palmitic acid (-0.33) contents. Among fatty acids, a strong negative correlation was observed between oleic and linoleic acid (-0.91), as well as between stearic and arachidonic acid (-0.87), whereas a strong positive correlation was observed between stearic and arachidic acid (0.86).
Table 2
Pairwise correlation among the contents of oil, proteins, and six different fatty acids

|           | Oil  | Protein | Palmitic acids | Stearic acids | Oleic acids | Linoleic acids | Arachidic acids | Behenic acids |
|-----------|------|---------|----------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Protein   | -0.79*** |        |                |               |             |                |                |              |
| Palmitic acid | 0.40*** | -0.06   |                |               |             |                |                |              |
| Stearic acid | 0.75*** | -0.33*** | 0.78***        |               |             |                |                |              |
| Oleic acid | -0.39*** | 0.21*** | -0.91***       | -0.64***      |             |                |                |              |
| Linoleic acid | 0.12* | 0.01    | 0.78***        | 0.41***       | -0.91***    |                |                |              |
| Arachidic acid | 0.87*** | -0.62*** | 0.53***       | 0.86***       | -0.50***    | 0.18**         |                |              |
| Behenic acid | 0.85*** | -0.83*** | 0.28***       | 0.53***       | -0.30***    | -0.02          | 0.77***        |              |
| Arachidonic acid | -0.53*** | 0.08    | -0.74***      | -0.87***      | 0.66***     | -0.50***       | -0.78***       | -0.27***     |

*, **, and *** denote significance levels of 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively.

Snps and Bin Marker Discovery Through Whole-genome Sequencing

Whole-genome resequencing of the two parental lines and 329 RILs generated approximately 700 Gb of clean data (9.10 billion reads). For each sample, the rate of mapped reads and the rate of mapped reads with unique positions were over 96% and 73%, respectively. The effective sequencing depths were 34.42 × and 34.58 × for P1 and P2, respectively, and ranged from 1.20 × to 1.40 × for the RIL population (Table S1). The coverage rate was 99.1% for P1 and 98.49% for P2 and ranged from 52.03–63.99% for the RIL population (Table S1). All the clean sequence data obtained in this study are available from the NCBI database under Sequence Read Archive (SRA) submission SUB8691701. Following alignment and the application of the GATK protocol, 741,564 SNPs were obtained. Further filtering enabled the definition of 213,868 SNPs homozygous and polymorphic between the two parents, which were utilized to identify bin markers.

Construction Of A High-density Genetic Linkage Map

As the RIL population was sequenced at low depth, the SNP dataset was converted into bin markers using a sliding window approach [23]. In total, 7595 bin markers were detected, and eleven lines exceeding 10% of the heterozygosis rate were removed from further analysis (Table S2). After redundant markers were filtered out, 4565 bin markers were finally used to construct a linkage map. Four bin markers remained unlinked, whereas the remaining 4561 bin markers were assigned to 20 linkage groups (LGs), as reported in Fig. 2 and Table 3. As the total map length was 2032.39 cM, the average map density per marker was 0.45 cM (Table 3). The number of
markers per LG ranged from 173 (LG11) to 323 (LG13), the LG length varied from 77.50 cM (LG20) to 170.15 cM (LG06), and the average marker density per marker ranged between 0.37 cM (LG15) and 0.59 cM (LG06) (Table 3). The maximum marker interval was 13.41 cM on LG06, while more than 90% of marker intervals were below 1 cM (Table 3).

Table 3
Summary of the high-density linkage groups (LGs) obtained for the RIL population

| ID   | Number of Markers | Map Length (cM) | Marker Density (cM) | Max Interval (cM) | Ratio of marker interval <= 1 cM |
|------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| LG01 | 233               | 97.08           | 0.42                | 1.96             | 93.13%                          |
| LG02 | 206               | 103.91          | 0.50                | 3.03             | 89.81%                          |
| LG03 | 319               | 148.74          | 0.47                | 6.62             | 93.73%                          |
| LG04 | 198               | 100.87          | 0.51                | 7.84             | 89.39%                          |
| LG05 | 228               | 83.94           | 0.37                | 2.13             | 96.05%                          |
| LG06 | 290               | 170.15          | 0.59                | 13.41            | 91.38%                          |
| LG07 | 189               | 85.32           | 0.45                | 2.65             | 89.42%                          |
| LG08 | 260               | 102.03          | 0.39                | 2.48             | 94.62%                          |
| LG09 | 197               | 94.22           | 0.48                | 2.48             | 88.83%                          |
| LG10 | 189               | 86.80           | 0.46                | 3.91             | 89.42%                          |
| LG11 | 173               | 95.53           | 0.55                | 3.36             | 86.13%                          |
| LG12 | 212               | 106.07          | 0.50                | 5.62             | 90.09%                          |
| LG13 | 323               | 125.53          | 0.39                | 3.37             | 94.74%                          |
| LG14 | 235               | 92.18           | 0.39                | 1.96             | 93.19%                          |
| LG15 | 260               | 95.67           | 0.37                | 8.32             | 94.62%                          |
| LG16 | 189               | 79.55           | 0.42                | 3.91             | 89.95%                          |
| LG17 | 232               | 99.30           | 0.43                | 2.31             | 90.95%                          |
| LG18 | 197               | 91.59           | 0.46                | 3.72             | 90.86%                          |
| LG19 | 237               | 96.40           | 0.41                | 2.30             | 91.98%                          |
| LG20 | 194               | 77.50           | 0.40                | 2.65             | 90.72%                          |
| Whole Genome | 4561 | 2032.39         | 0.45                |                  | 91.45%                          |

Identification Of QTL For Peanut Quality-related Traits
With a LOD threshold of 3.3 being employed, 109 QTLs were identified for the nine quality-related traits under investigation. QTLs were distributed on all the LGs, except for LG15 and LG19 (Tables 4 and 5 and Table S3).
| QTL    | Position | Marker Interval | LOD  | PVE (%) | Trait (Environment; Additive Effect)                                                                 |
|--------|----------|-----------------|------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| qA05.1 | 0.0 ~ 0.5| bin1572 ~ bin1581| 4.77 | 0.76    | Oil (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ, 2019SQ, 2019WF; -0.88~0.65); Protein (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ, 2019WF; 0.25 ~ 0.28); Palmitic (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ, 2019SQ, 2019WF; -0.22~0.13); Stearic (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ, 2019SQ, 2019WF; -0.25~0.19); Oleic (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ; 0.84 ~ 0.95); Arachidic (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ, 2019SQ, 2019WF; -0.05~0.04); Behenic (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ, 2019SQ, 2019WF; -0.07~0.05); Arachidonic (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ, 2019SQ; 0.04) |
| qA05.2 | 6.0      | bin1593 ~ bin1594| 52.35| 10.39   | Linoleic (2018ZZ; 1.832)                                                                             |
| qA05.3 | 6.7      | bin1598 ~ bin1600| 70.92| 16.43   | Linoleic (2018ZZ; -2.30)                                                                             |
| qA05.4 | 7.3      | bin1601 ~ bin1602| 8.25 | 5.41    | Oleic (2019WF; 0.96)                                                                                |
| qA05.5 | 10.2     | bin1611 ~ bin1612| 5.53 | 5.37    | Stearic (2019WF; -0.13); Arachidonic (2019WF; 0.05)                                                  |
| qA05.6 | 42.9     | bin1790 ~ bin1791| 3.60 | 2.72    | Protein (2019WF; 0.21)                                                                               |
| qA05.7 | 46.3     | bin1798 ~ bin1800| 3.31 | 2.42    | Behenic (2019SQ; -0.03)                                                                             |
| qA05.8 | 48.2 ~ 48.6| bin1802 ~ bin1803| 7.64 | 5.23    | Oil (2019SQ; -0.48); Protein (2019SQ; 0.42)                                                          |
| qA05.9 | 51.7 ~ 52.7| bin1808 ~ bin1812| 4.37 | 2.83    | Protein (2019ZZ; 0.22); Palmitic (2019SQ; -0.25); Stearic (2019SQ; -0.19); Oleic (2019SQ; 1.25); Arachidonic (2019SQ; 0.05) |
| qA05.10| 55.3 ~ 56.8| bin1817 ~ bin1824| 5.05 | 0.29    | Palmitic (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ, 2019SQ, 2019WF; 0.15 ~ 0.42); Stearic (2018ZZ, 2019SQ, 2019WF; 0.09 ~ 0.27); Oleic (2018ZZ, 2019SQ, 2019WF; -2.08~0.73); Linoleic (2018ZZ, 2019SQ, 2019WF; 0.77 ~ 1.02); Arachidonic (2018ZZ, 2019SQ; -0.07~0.03) |

ZZ represents Zhengzhou, SQ represents Shangqiu and WF represents Weifang.
| QTL   | Position | Marker Interval | LOD | PVE (%) | Trait (Environment; Additive Effect) |
|-------|----------|-----------------|-----|---------|-------------------------------------|
| qA05.11 | 66.4     | bin1852 ~ bin1853 | 4.92 | 3.14    | Oleic (2019WF; 0.73)                |
| qA05.12 | 69.2     | bin1860 ~ bin1861 | 7.24 | 1.01    | Linoleic (2018ZZ; 0.57)             |

ZZ represents Zhengzhou, SQ represents Shangqiu and WF represents Weifang.
### Table 5
QTLs identified on LG08, LG12 and LG14 for oil, protein and fatty acid content in four environments

| QTL   | Position | Marker Interval | LOD  | PVE(%) | Trait (Environment; Additive Effect)                  |
|-------|----------|-----------------|------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| qA08.1| 28.6     | bin2648 ~ bin2649 | 5.76 | 0.79   | Linoleic (2018ZZ; 0.51)                               |
| qA08.2| 37.7 ~ 37.9 | bin2711 ~ bin2718 | 4.40 | 3.24 ~ 4.08 | Arachidic (2019SQ; -0.03); Behenic (2019SQ; -0.03) |
| qA08.3| 59.3     | bin2780 ~ bin2781 | 4.13 | 3.52   | Arachidic (2018ZZ; -0.02)                             |
| qA08.4| 59.8 ~ 60.5 | bin2782 ~ bin2787 | 6.30 | 3.88 ~ 12.58 | Oil (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ, 2019WF; -0.64 ~ 0.42); Protein (2018ZZ, 2019WF; 0.33 ~ 0.37); Behenic (2018ZZ, 2019WF; -0.04 ~ 0.03) |
| qA08.5| 62.0 ~ 62.4 | bin2788 ~ bin2789 | 5.70 | 5.59 ~ 9.59 | Oil (2019SQ; -0.52); Protein (2019ZZ, 2019SQ; 0.32 ~ 0.42); Arachidic (2019ZZ; -0.03); Behenic (2019ZZ; -0.05) |
| qA08.6| 76.8     | bin2831 ~ bin2832 | 4.04 | 3.35   | Arachidic (2019WF; -0.03)                             |
| qA08.7| 97.9 ~ 98.0 | bin2884 ~ bin2885 | 5.57 | 4.22 ~ 4.62 | Protein (2018ZZ, 2019WF; 0.26 ~ 0.28)                 |
| qA08.8| 101.9    | bin2896 ~ bin2898 | 6.10 | 4.00   | Protein (2019ZZ; 0.27)                                |
| qA12.1| 36.3 ~ 37.0 | bin4060 ~ bin4061 | 4.79 | 3.00 ~ 4.11 | Oil (2018ZZ; 0.37); Behenic (2018ZZ; 0.03)            |
| qA12.2| 39.7     | bin4065 ~ bin4066 | 7.02 | 5.45   | Protein (2019WF; -0.30)                               |
| qA12.3| 40.6     | bin4067 ~ bin4068 | 4.60 | 3.49   | Protein (2018ZZ; -0.24)                               |
| qA12.4| 42.7     | bin4071 ~ bin4072 | 4.09 | 3.10   | Behenic (2019ZZ; 0.03)                                |
| qA12.5| 43.9     | bin4074 ~ bin4075 | 3.57 | 3.42   | Stearic (2019WF; 0.11)                                |

ZZ represents Zhengzhou, SQ represents Shangqiu and WF represents Weifang.
| QTL  | Position | Marker Interval | LOD   | PVE(%)  | Trait (Environment; Additive Effect)                                                                                                                                 |
|------|----------|----------------|-------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| qA12.6 | 45.0     | bin4076 ~ bin4077 | 3.78  | 5.23    | Oleic (2019SQ; -0.70); Linoleic (2019SQ; 0.73)                                                                                                                     |
| qA12.7 | 46.5 ~ 47.2 | bin4078 ~ bin4079 | 3.96  | 9.72    | Oil (2019ZZ, 2019SQ; 0.38 ~ 0.48); Protein (2019ZZ, 2019SQ; -0.33~0.34); Stearic (2019SQ; 0.10); Arachidic (2019SQ; 0.02) |
| qA12.8 | 51.4     | bin4092 ~ bin4096 | 7.20  | 5.41    | Behenic (2019SQ; 0.04)                                                                                                                                              |
| qA12.9 | 54.6     | bin4111 ~ bin4112 | 4.14  | 6.80    | Oil (2019WF; 0.46); Behenic (2019WF; 0.03)                                                                                                                        |
| qA12.10 | 105.7     | bin4491 ~ bin4492 | 4.55  | 2.06    | Stearic (2019SQ; 0.10)                                                                                                                                              |
| qA14.1 | 28.0     | bin4971 ~ bin4972 | 3.53  | 2.24    | Oleic (2019WF; -0.62)                                                                                                                                              |
| qA14.2 | 32.3     | bin4985 ~ bin4987 | 4.67  | 4.50    | Stearic (2019WF; 0.12)                                                                                                                                              |
| qA14.3 | 38.5     | bin5019 ~ bin5020 | 4.23  | 4.62    | Linoleic (2019WF; 0.68)                                                                                                                                              |
| qA14.4 | 39.8     | bin5059 ~ bin5069 | 5.64  | 3.99    | Linoleic (2019ZZ; 0.69)                                                                                                                                              |
| qA14.5 | 40.3     | bin5139 ~ bin5149 | 4.26  | 5.98    | Stearic (2019ZZ; -0.10); Arachidic (2019ZZ; -0.03)                                                                                                                 |
| qA14.6 | 41.2 ~ 41.5 | bin5338 ~ bin5404 | 4.21  | 13.74   | Oil (2019ZZ; -0.38); Oleic (2018ZZ; -0.65); Linoleic (2018ZZ; 0.82); Behenic (2018ZZ, 2019ZZ, 2019WF; -0.04~0.03) |
| qA14.7 | 42.2     | bin5413 ~ bin5416 | 10.72 | 7.41    | Oil (2019SQ; -0.58)                                                                                                                                                 |
| qA14.8 | 42.5 ~ 42.6 | bin5417 ~ bin5420 | 4.08  | 7.66    | Stearic (2019SQ; -0.10); Arachidic (2019SQ; -0.03); Arachidonic (2019SQ; 0.03)                                                                                   |
| qA14.9 | 43.1 ~ 43.4 | bin5428 ~ bin5438 | 4.17  | 8.30    | Oil (2018ZZ, 2019WF; -0.45~0.39); Stearic (2018ZZ, 2019WF; -0.17~0.09); Arachidic (2018ZZ, 2019WF; -0.03)                                                         |

ZZ represents Zhengzhou, SQ represents Shangqiu and WF represents Weifang.
| QTL     | Position | Marker Interval | LOD  | PVE(%) | Trait (Environment; Additive Effect) |
|---------|----------|----------------|------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| qA14.10 | 51.2     | bin5511~bin5512 | 7.34 | 5.52   | Behenic (2019SQ; -0.04)             |

ZZ represents Zhengzhou, SQ represents Shangqiu and WF represents Weifang.

Twelve QTLs were mapped on LG05 (Table 4). Among these QTLs, QTL qA05.1 covered a region of 0.5 cM and was associated with all traits, except for linoleic acid content (Table 4). QTL qA05.1 showed a negative additive effect on five traits (oil, palmitic acid, stearic acid, arachidic acid, and behenic acid content), which was identified in all four environments. This QTL also exhibited positive additive effects on the protein, oleic acid and arachidonic acid contents, which were detected in two or three environments. The qA05.1 region flanked by the markers bin1572 and bin1573 exhibited a considerable effect on the traits, being associated with PVE values of approximately 10.44–26.99% and LOD scores of 10.42 to 28.44 for oil content, stearic acid, arachidic acid and behenic acid. Another major QTL on LG05, qA05.10, covered a region of 1.5 cM and had a pleiotropic effect on the content of five fatty acids (except for arachidic acids and behenic acids) (Table 4). The LOD score associated with qA05.10 varied from 5.05 to 39.85, whereas the PVE values were approximately 0.29–15.18%.

Several QTL loci were mapped on LG08, 12 and 14 (Table 5). On LG08, a region of 2.6 cM, covered by QTLs qA08.4 and qA08.5, was associated with oil, protein and behenic acid content, with LOD scores of approximately 5.70-14.67 and PVE values of approximately 3.88–12.58%. Associations with oil and protein content were consistent for all four environments being tested, whereas association with behenic acids was confirmed for three environments (Table 5). A large genomic region containing several QTLs with minor phenotypic effects was identified on LG12 (Table 5). In particular, QTLs for oil, protein, and behenic acid content that were consistent for all four environments were detected in regions spanning 18.30 cM, 7.40 cM, and 17.60 cM, respectively. On LG14, QTLs from qA14.5 to qA14.9, which were included in the interval between 40.3 cM and 43.4 cM, were detected in four environments for oil, stearic acid and arachidic acid content and three environments for behenic acid content (Table 5).

Among the 69 QTLs mapped on LGs different from those mentioned in the previous paragraph, some exhibited pleiotropy on several traits and exhibited consistent effects in more than one environment (Table S3). In particular, a region of 3.4 cM covered by the QTLs qA06.3 and qA06.4 was associated with protein content in three environments with LOD ranging from approximately 15.40-18.81 and PVE values of approximately 10.84–15.78%. QTL qA06.4 was associated with behenic acid in all four environments, with LODs scoring of approximately 13.92 to 24.79 and PVE values of approximately 11.01–17.55%. QTL qA06.6 showed a major effect on arachidic acid content, exhibiting a PVE value of 10.03% and a LOD score of 11.73.

Annotation of genes and validation of the SNPs in the QTL intervals

The genes in the intervals of qA05.1, qA05.9 and qA05.10 on LG05, qA06.3 and qA06.4 on LG06, qA08.4 and qA08.5 on LG08, qA12.1 to qA12.7 on LG12, qA14.5, qA14.6, qA14.7, and qA14.8 on LG14 were extracted and screened for polymorphic SNPs between two parents, and a total of 84 polymorphic SNPs in 71 genes were identified (Table S4). Among these SNPs, 17 resulted in missense mutations (Table 6), whereas the remaining 67 were in introns or resulted in silent mutations. KASP (Kompetitive allele specific PCR) markers were designed
on the 17 SNPs associated with missense mutations (Table S5), and the markers were validated using the two parents and 44 lines of the RIL population displaying contrasting oil content. Two SNPs at sites Arahy05:6599714 and Arahy05:6709559 were closely linked with the oil content (Fig. 4). Specifically, the average oil content was 55.40% in RILs displaying G at Arahy05:6709559, whereas RILs exhibiting nucleotide A at the same loci displayed an oil content of 50.62% (Fig. 5). The two SNPs were included in the genes Arahy.T0P5W2 and Arahy.YR3A5K, encoding a scarecrow-like transcription factor PAT1-like and a galactosyl transferase GMA12/MNN10 family protein, respectively (Table S4).

Table 6
Mutation type of SNPs located in candidate genes

| Gene name     | Chromosome | Position     | P1 | P2 | Mutation type |
|---------------|------------|--------------|----|----|---------------|
| Arahy.T0P5W2  | Arahy.05   | 6599714     | C  | A  | P-T           |
| Arahy.YR3A5K  | Arahy.05   | 6709559     | A  | G  | Y-C           |
| Arahy.DH8F8S  | Arahy.05   | 6917629     | G  | A  | V-I           |
| Arahy.UMS880  | Arahy.05   | 7033745     | C  | T  | G-R           |
| Arahy.5YK3TE  | Arahy.05   | 7297191     | T  | A  | L-M           |
| Arahy.S4GWG4  | Arahy.05   | 7514948     | T  | G  | N-T           |
| Arahy.925H3N  | Arahy.06   | 6000283     | C  | T  | G-R           |
| Arahy.7E2TSQ  | Arahy.06   | 6317756     | A  | T  | V-D           |
| Arahy.EYYU9K  | Arahy.08   | 37430658    | T  | A  | W-R           |
| Arahy.LF06ED  | Arahy.08   | 37441082    | T  | C  | W-R           |
| Arahy.W9QXGB  | Arahy.08   | 37701095    | A  | G  | H-R           |
| Arahy.W26JNR  | Arahy.12   | 3782327     | A  | T  | F-I           |
| Arahy.V6I7WA  | Arahy.12   | 4236238     | G  | C  | K-N           |
| Arahy.0EHV1A  | Arahy.12   | 4281118     | G  | A  | D-N           |
| Arahy.N0BKZ2  | Arahy.12   | 4908333     | T  | G  | K-Q           |
| Arahy.X5Q10C  | Arahy.12   | 4995776     | C  | T  | S-L           |
| Arahy.C4F96H  | Arahy.12   | 5159247     | T  | C  | H-R           |

Discussion

NGS technologies have enabled the development of ultrahigh-density linkage maps in various crops; these maps are fundamental for accurate marker-assisted breeding [24]. The genetic map employed in this study consisted of 4561 bin markers and spanned a length of 2032.39 cM with an average genetic distance of 0.45 cM. Both marker number and marker density were larger than those reported for other recent peanut linkage maps [1, 14–15, 19–20]. This finding might be attributable to the large size of the population used in this study and/or the whole genome resequencing strategy adopted, which is more appropriate for tetraploids with respect to other
sequencing technologies. Similar to the linkage map reported by Liu et al. [15], the marker order in our map was consistent with the physical order, except for two translocations between LG3 and LG13, LG6 and LG16.

For oil content, a total of 27 QTLs were mapped on 12 LGs (Tables 4 and 5 and Table S3). Among these QTLs, those mapped on LG05, 08, 12 and 14 were detected in at least two environments. QTL qA05.1, detected in all four environments, displayed LOD scores of approximately 13.62–26.94, PVE values of approximately 9.62–22.74% and additive effects of approximately −0.88 to -0.65 (Table 4). The interval of 0.5 cM spanned by qA05.1, corresponding to an approximately 6.3–7.8 Mb physical region of chromosome A05, might be the same as that reported by Pandey et al. [2], flanked by the markers GM1878 and GM1890, which were mapped to the approximately 6.4-10.9-Mb region of A05 [1]. QTL qA08.4 was detected in three environments, whereas the neighboring QTL qA08.5 was detected in the remaining environment (Table 5). Taken together, the two QTLs spanned an interval of 2.6 cM, corresponding to a physical region of approximately 37.0-38.2 Mb, close to the hotspot region (approximately 39.9–43.8 Mb) on chromosome A08 for genes controlling oil content, as reported by Liu et al. [1].

qA05.1 was repeatedly detected for eight of nine peanut quality-related traits investigated in this study, thereby indicating that it has pleiotropic effects. In the physical regions of 1.5 Mb spanned by qA05.1, six polymorphic SNPs between two parents could cause missense mutations of six genes (Table 6). Additionally, 11 other polymorphic SNPs in qA06.4, qA08.4, qA12.1, qA12.2, qA12.4 and qA12.5 could affect the genes encoding different proteins (Table 6). The 17 SNPs were designed into KASP markers and validated using the two parents and 44 RIL lines. Of these SNPs, two sites on Arahy 05 (Arahy05:6599714 and Arahy05:6709559) were linked with the oil content (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). Compared with the reference genome, the bases of the high oil content parent Yuhua15 at the sites Arahy.05:6599714 and Arahy.05:6709559 changed from C to A and A to G, respectively, and the encoded proteins changed from proline (P) to threonine (T) and from tyrosine (Y) to cysteine (C) (Table 6).

A total of 2559 genes were involved in the metabolism of fatty acids and lipid storage, which were unevenly distributed on the 20 peanut chromosomes [12]. The SNP Arahy.05:6709559 was located in the exon of the gene Arahy. YR3A5K encodes a galactosyl transferase GMA12/MNN10 family protein. This gene is involved in transferring glycosyl groups and xyloliglucan metabolic processes in Arabidopsis thaliana [25]. In the high oil-content peanut variety, the average expression level of this gene (1.29) was lower than that (4.74) in the low oil-content peanut variety (from unpublished transcriptome data). The SNP Arahy.05:6599714, located in the gene Arahy. T0P5W and encoding the scarecrow-like transcription factor PAT1-like, is involved in phytochrome A (phyA) signal transduction in Arabidopsis thaliana [26]. This gene may not be involved in the fatty acid biosynthetic pathway, as its expression level did not differ between the high and low oil-content peanut varieties.

**Conclusion**

A high-density genetic map with 4561 bin markers was constructed, and a major QTL for the content of oil, protein and several fatty acids, which was located on LG05, was consistently detected across four environments. The SNPs Arahy05:6599714 and Arahy05:6709559 were employed to design KASP markers, which were validated on lines displaying contrasting oil contents. These two markers may facilitate marker-assisted breeding to develop high oil-content peanut cultivars.
Methods

Plant materials

A population of 329 RILs was derived from a cross between Yuhua15 (female parent) and W1202 (male parent), which was undertaken by the corresponding author’s lab in 2012. Yuhua15 is an irregular peanut cultivar with high oil content (54.00%) released by the Institute of Industrial Crops, Henan Academy of Agricultural Science, in 2001. W1202 is a breeding line with relatively low oil content (52.60%) developed and preserved in the author’s lab. F_{10} RIL lines were obtained through the SSD (single seed descent) method, which was performed in the Chinese provinces of Hainan and Henan to reduce generation time. Single plants from parental lines and RILs were used for DNA isolation and sequencing and propagated by self-pollination for phenotyping.

Field Trails And Phenotyping

The RIL population and the two parental lines were grown in Zhengzhou ( Henan Province) in 2018 and three locations (Zhengzhou and Shangqiu, Henan Province; Weifang, Shandong Province) in 2019. Twenty seeds for each line were sown in a 3 m × 0.5 m plot according to a complete randomized block design with two replicates. After harvesting, approximately 10 dried and plump seeds for each genotype were used to estimate the content of oil, protein and fatty acids by near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) (Perten 7200). In particular, fatty acids assessed were palmitic acid (C16:0), stearic acid (C18:0), oleic acid (C18:1), linoleic acid (C18:2), arachidic acid (C20:0), behenic acid (C22:0), and arachidonic acid (C20:1).

Statistical Analysis Of Phenotypic Data

Statistics from phenotypic data, i.e., the mean, standard deviation (SD), coefficient of variation (CV), skewness, and kurtosis, were obtained using SAS software. Combined analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each trait and a correlation study among traits were performed using the AOV module implemented in QTL IciMapping software [27]. Broad-sense heritability on the basis of the mean across replications and environments (or heritability per mean) was estimated by

\[ H^2 = \frac{V_G}{V_G + \frac{2}{e} V_{GE} + \frac{1}{re} V_e} \]

where \( e \) represents the number of environments and \( r \) represents the number of replicates.

Sequencing And Snp Calling

Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh leaves using the Plant Genomic DNA Kit (TIANGEN). DNA quality, concentration, and integrity were assessed using a NanoDrop-2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo), a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo), and agarose gel electrophoresis. DNA that passed the quality control step was further randomly sheared by sonication, and fragments of approximately 300 bp DNA were recovered by electrophoresis. DNA fragments with adapters were used to prepare DNA clusters, which were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq Xten platform with PE151.
Clean data were obtained after filtering for adapters and low-quality reads (i.e., > 50% of bases with a quality score $\leq 20$ and $\geq 1\%$ of missing bases) by the SOAPnuke tool [28]. Trimmed reads were aligned to the peanut reference genome [20] using the aln command implemented in bwa-0.7.10 software [29]. Unique mapped reads were used to identify SNPs by the GATK3.3.0 pipeline [30]. After obtaining the raw SNP dataset, low-quality SNPs between the two parents were excluded based on missing values, heterozygosity, sequencing depth $< 10$ and GQ $< 20$. Homozygous and polymorphic loci between the two parents were used to genotype the RIL population.

**Genetic Map Construction And Qtl Mapping**

A sliding window approach for genotype calling and recombination breakpoint determination [23] was applied to convert SNPs into bin markers. These were used to construct a linkage map and QTL mapping using QTL IciMapping software [27]. First, the BIN module was used to filter the redundant markers by missing rate. Second, filtered markers were used to construct the linkage map by the MAP module. For grouping, the group number was set as 20 according to the chromosome number of peanut. For ordering, REC and 2-OptMAP of the k-optimality method were chosen, as they gave a higher proportion of correct order in less time [31]. For QTL mapping, the mapping step was set to 0.10 cM, and the LOD threshold was set as 3.30, as calculated using the equation given by Sun et al. [32].

**Abbreviations**

QTLs; quantitative trait loci; RIL: recombinant inbred line; LG: linkage group; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphisms; KASP: kompetitive allele-specific PCR; SSR: simple sequence repeat; NGS: next-generation sequencing; RAD-seq: restriction-site-associated DNA sequencing; SLAF-seq: specific-locus amplified fragment sequencing; DArT: diversity array technology; WGRS: whole genome resequencing; PVE: phenotypic variance explained; CV: coefficient of variation; BAM: binary alignment mapping; SSD: single seed descent; NIRS: near infrared reflectance spectroscopy; SD: standard deviation; ANOVA: combined analysis of variance;
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Figures
**Figure 1**

Phenotypic distribution of nine quality-related traits for the RILs in four environments. Figure 1a-1i shows the phenotypic distribution of the contents of oil, protein, palmitic acid, stearic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid, arachidic acid, behenic acid, and arachidonic acid. ZZ represents Zhengzhou, SQ represents Shangqiu and WF represents Weifang.
Figure 2

Genetic map obtained from the Yuhua15 × W1202 RIL population.
Figure 3

LOD curves of oil and protein content across the whole genome under four environments
Figure 4

KASP validations for the two SNPs on LG05
Figure 5

Phenotypic difference between two genotypes at the SNP site Arahy05:6709559 for 44 lines of the RIL population displaying contrasting oil content
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