We propose an off-axis relativistic jet model for the Type Ic supernova SN 2007gr. Most of the energy (∼2 × 10^{51} erg) in the explosion is contained in non-relativistic ejecta which produces the supernova (SN). The optical emission comes from the decay process of {\it^{56}Ni} synthesized in the bulk SN ejecta. Only very little energy (∼10^{48} erg) is contained in the relativistic jet with initial velocity about 0.94 times the speed of light. The radio and X-ray emission comes from this relativistic jet. With some typical parameters of a Wolf–Rayet star (progenitor of Type Ic SN), i.e., the mass-loss rate \( M = 1.0 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \) and the wind velocity \( v_w = 1.5 \times 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), we can obtain multiband light curves that fit the observations well. All the observed data are consistent with our model. Thus, we conclude that SN 2007gr contains a weak relativistic jet and we observe the jet from off-axis.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are intense flashes of gamma-ray radiation in the universe (for recent reviews, see Zhang 2007; Gehrels et al. 2009). It is widely believed that outflows of GRBs are accelerated to ultrarelativistic speeds (M{\acute{e}}sz{\acute{a}}ros 2002) and usually collimated with small jet angles (Frail et al. 2001). On the other hand, core-collapse supernovae (SNe) are the explosive deaths of massive stars that occur when their iron cores collapse to form neutron stars or black holes (Wilson 1971; Barkat et al. 1974; Wheeler & Levreault 1985; Woosley & Janka 2005; Woosley & Bloom 2006; Nagataki et al. 2007; Nagataki 2009, 2010). According to their spectra, core-collapse SNe are classified as Type Ib, Ic or Type II SNe (Wheeler et al. 1993; Wheeler & Janka 2005; Woosley & Bloom 2006; Nagataki et al. 2007; Nagataki 2009, 2010). Recent observations have revealed that many nearby GRBs are associated with core-collapse SNe. Examples of such association include GRB 980419/SN 1998bw (Galama et al. 1998), GRB 030329/SN 2003dh (Berger et al. 2003), GRB 031203/SN 2003lw (Cobb et al. 2004), GRB 060618/SN 2006aj (Campana et al. 2006), GRB 091127/SN 2009nz (Cobb et al. 2010), and GRB 100316D/SN 2010bh (Fan et al. 2011), etc. These GRBs are usually soft in \( \gamma \)-ray spectra and are ubiquitously longer than 2 s. Thus, they belong to the so-called long/soft GRBs.

The observed GRB-connected SNe are all Type Ic SNe. The most favored progenitors for Type Ic SNe are Wolf–Rayet stars (Maeder & Lequeux 1982; Begelman & Sarazin 1986; Woosley & Bloom 2006). However, the GRB-connected Type Ic SNe should be different from ordinary Type Ic SNe (Soderberg et al. 2006), because they need to launch relativistic jets to produce the bursts of \( \gamma \)-rays. The kinetic energy of these SNe appears to be greater than that of ordinary SNe. In some SNe associated with GRBs, most of the explosion energy is in non-relativistic ejecta which produces the SN, while only little energy is in the relativistic jets which are responsible for making GRBs and their afterglows (Woosley & Bloom 2006).

While GRB-connected SN explosions can produce relativistic jets with Lorentz factor as large as \( \sim 100 \), we believe that there were still some Type Ic SNe that could only produce mildly relativistic jets with an initial Lorentz factor of a few (Huang et al. 2002; Granot & Loeb 2003). It has been argued that low Lorentz factor jets of this kind will produce UV or soft X-ray transients but not GRBs (Huang et al. 2002; Xu et al. 2011). The interesting Type Ic supernova SN 2009bb, which is identified as a relativistic ejecta without a detected GRB (Soderberg et al. 2010b), may be such an event.

Another event, the Type Ic supernova SN 2007gr, is much more controversial. Soderberg et al. (2010a) proposed that SN 2007gr is an ordinary Type Ic SN with an almost constant expansion speed (\( \nu \propto t^{-0.1} \)). On the contrary, Paragi et al.’s (2010) 5 GHz radio observations have revealed a relativistic jet in SN 2007gr. While the opening angle of the jet is similar to that of a typical GRB jet, its Lorentz factor seems to be far smaller than a normal GRB outflow. In view of the non-relativistic expansion of the photosphere of SN 2007gr (Valenti et al. 2008; Hunter et al. 2009), here we propose an off-axis relativistic jet model for SN 2007gr with typical parameters of circumstellar medium (CSM) of a Wolf–Rayet star. Most of the energy in the explosion is contained in non-relativistic ejecta which produces the SN, while only a small fraction of expansion energy is contained in the relativistic jet. We first describe our dynamical model in Section 2. In Section 3, we describe the parameters used in our modeling. The model results are shown in Section 4. Our conclusions and discussion are presented in Section 5.

2. MODEL

On 2007 August 15.51 UT, SN 2007gr was discovered by the Katzmann Automatic Imaging Telescope in NGC 1058 (Madison & Li 2007), a bright spiral galaxy belonging to a group of galaxies. The distance of one member of this group, NGC 935, has been derived as about 9.3 Mpc (Silbermann et al. 1996). In our study, we adopt this value for the distance of SN 2007gr. The explosion date was suggested as 2007 August 13 ± 2 (Soderberg et al. 2010a). Radio observations with the Very Large Array (Soderberg et al. 2010a) and European VLBI network (EVN; Paragi et al. 2010) revealed a radio source in
place of the SN. SN 2007gr was classified as a Type Ic SN according to its spectra (Crockett et al. 2008; Valenti et al. 2008).

2.1. Relativistic Jet

Paragi et al. (2010) reported the evidence for the existence of a relativistic jet in 2007gr (with expansion speed $v > 0.6c$) based on their radio observations, while Valenti et al. (2008) and Hunter et al. (2009) measured a non-relativistic velocity (from $\sim 11,000$ km s$^{-1}$ at 1 week after explosion to $\sim 4800$ km s$^{-1}$ at 50 days after explosion) for the photospheric expansion of SN 2007gr. These observations suggest that the average speed of the optical ejecta (i.e., optical expansion velocity) is non-relativistic while the radio ejecta is much faster and relativistic. This scenario is similar to that of Soderberg et al. (2005, 2010a), but note that the velocity of the radio ejecta here is relativistic. So, we suggest that SN 2007gr may phenomenologically contain two components: a non-relativistic component and a relativistic component. The non-relativistic component should contain most of the explosion energy and account for the photospheric expansion and the optical emission. The relativistic component should be a jet that contains only a small fraction of the explosion energy and should account for the radio emission. It is more likely central engine driven. Its behavior should be similar to a GRB jet, but note that its initial Lorentz factor is significantly smaller. In this paper, we will simulate the evolution of the relativistic jet numerically and compare the results with observations.

In our framework, the optical emission of the SN should mainly come from the decay process of $^{56}$Ni synthesized in the SN explosion. On the contrary, the radio and X-ray emission of the SN is explained as synchrotron radiation from relativistic electrons which are accelerated by the shock produced by the collision between the jet and the CSM (Chevalier 1998; Soderberg et al. 2005). The shock process is very similar to the external shock process of GRBs that gives birth to GRB afterglows.

2.2. Jet Dynamics

The dynamical evolution of a relativistic jet that collides with surrounding medium can be conveniently described by the equations proposed by Huang et al. (1999, 2000). Their method can be widely used in both ultrarelativistic and non-relativistic phases. In this study, we will adopt Huang et al.’s equations to simulate the evolution of the external shock. The evolution of the bulk Lorentz factor of the jet ($\gamma$), the swept-up mass of the medium ($m$), the radius of the shock ($R$), and the half-opening angle of the jet ($\theta$) are described by the following equations:

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dm} = -\frac{\gamma^2 - 1}{M_{ej} + \epsilon m + 2(1 - \epsilon)\gamma m},$$

$$\frac{dm}{dR} = 2\pi R^2(1 - \cos \theta)nm_p,$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \beta c\gamma(\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}),$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{c\gamma(\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1})}{R},$$

where $M_{ej}$ is the initial mass of the ejecta, $m_p$ is the mass of the proton, and $\beta = \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}/\gamma$. The radiative efficiency ($\epsilon$) is assumed as zero because the ejecta becomes adiabatic a few hours after the burst.

In the above equations, the velocity of the lateral expansion has been assumed to be the sound speed $c_s$, which can be calculated from (Dai et al. 1999)

$$c_s^2 = \frac{\dot{\gamma}(\gamma - 1)(\gamma - 1)c^2}{1 + \dot{\gamma}(\gamma - 1)},$$

where $\dot{\gamma} \approx (4\gamma + 1)/(3\gamma)$ is the adiabatic index. The number density of the CSM ($n$) is inversely proportional to the square of the shock radius, i.e.,

$$n = \frac{M}{4\pi m_p v_w R^2},$$

where $M$ is the mass-loss rate of the circumstellar wind and $v_w$ is the wind speed.

2.3. Synchrotron Radiation Process

As usual, in the comoving frame, the shock-accelerated electrons are assumed to follow a power-law distribution according to their Lorentz factors ($\gamma_e$),

$$\frac{dN_e}{d\gamma_e} = (\gamma_e - 1)^{-p},$$

where $p$ is the power-law index. Note that in the bracket 1 is subtracted from $\gamma_e$ to account for the non-relativistic phase (Huang & Cheng 2003). For a single electron with a Lorentz factor of $\gamma_e$, the synchrotron radiation power at frequency $\nu'$ is given by

$$P(\nu', \gamma_e) = \frac{\sqrt{3}\nu^3 B'}{m_e c^3} F\left(\frac{\nu'}{\nu_e}\right),$$

where $\nu$ is the electron charge, $B'$ is the magnetic intensity, $m_e$ is the mass of the electron, $\nu_e' = 3\gamma_e^2 e B'/(4\pi m_e c)$, and the function $F(x)$ is defined as

$$F(x) = x \int_x^{+\infty} K_{5/3}(k) \, dk,$$

with $K_{5/3}(k)$ being the Bessel function.

The magnetic energy density is assumed to be a fraction $\epsilon_B^2$ of the total thermal energy density, i.e. (Dai et al. 1999),

$$\frac{B^2}{8\pi} = \frac{\epsilon_B^2}{\gamma - 1} \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1} (\gamma - 1) nm_p c^2.$$

Therefore, the total synchrotron radiation power from all the shock-accelerated electrons is

$$P(\nu') = \int_{\gamma_{e,\text{min}}}^{\gamma_{e,\text{max}}} \frac{dN_e}{d\gamma_e} P(\nu', \gamma_e) d\gamma_e,$$

where $\gamma_{e,\text{max}} = 10^{9}(B'/1 \, \text{G})^{1/2}$ is the maximum Lorentz factor of electrons, $\gamma_{e,\text{min}} = \epsilon_e(\gamma - 1)m_p(\gamma - 2)/[m_e(\gamma - 1)] + 1$ is the minimum Lorentz factor of electrons, and $\epsilon_e$ is electron energy fraction. Then we can obtain the observed flux density at frequency $\nu$,

$$F_\nu = \frac{1}{\gamma^3(1 - \beta \cos \Theta)^3} \frac{1}{4\pi D_L^2} P[\gamma(1 - \beta \cos \Theta)\nu],$$

where $D_L$ is the luminosity distance and $\Theta$ is the angle between the line of sight and the velocity of emitting material.
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The synchrotron self-absorption effect (Rybicki & Lightman 1979) needs to be considered in calculating the radio flux (Chevalier 1998; Kong et al. 2009). Self-absorption reduces the synchrotron radiation flux by a factor of \((1 - e^{-\tau})/\tau\), where \(\tau\) is the optical depth. The self-absorption coefficient is given by

\[
k(v') = \frac{p + 2}{8\pi mc^2v'^2} \int_{\gamma_{\text{min}}}^{\gamma_{\text{max}}} \frac{dn_e'}{d\gamma_e} \frac{1}{\gamma_e} P(v', \gamma_e) d\gamma_e. \tag{13}\]

When calculating the observed flux, we integrate the emission over the whole equal arrival time surface (Waxman 1997; Sari 1997; Panaitescu & Mészáros 1998) determined by

\[
t = \int \frac{1 - \beta \cos \Theta}{\beta c} dR \equiv \text{const.} \tag{14}\]

3. PARAMETERS

Before fitting the observations of SN 2007gr numerically, we first give a rough estimation of several fundamental parameters of the jet based on theoretical analysis of some observed facts.

1. The initial bulk Lorentz factor \(\gamma_0\). Paragi et al. (2010) have derived a conservative lower limit of 1.7 mas for the angular diameter from their observations made with the EVN and the Green Bank Telescope during 2007 November 5–6 (~85 days after the SN explosion). This will correspond to an average expansion speed faster than 0.6 times the speed of light (\(\beta > 0.6\)). In view of the deceleration of the ejecta, we assume that the initial bulk Lorentz factor is 3, i.e., \(\beta_0 \approx 0.94\).

2. The initial half-opening angle \(\theta_0\). The typical half-opening angle of GRB jets is about 0.1 rad (Zhang 2007; Gao & Dai 2010), while SN outflows are much more isotropic. Since the Lorentz factor of the jet involved in SN 2007gr is much smaller than a typical GRB jet, its opening angle should be correspondingly much larger. Here, we assume that the initial half-opening angle of the jet in our model is \(\theta_0 = 0.6\) rad (~34').

3. The observing angle \(\theta_{\text{obs}}\), i.e., the angle between the line of sight and the symmetry axis of the jet. The 10 hr of EVN observations of SN 2007gr during 2007 November 5–6 restored an elliptical beam with the size of 15.26 × 6.85 mas (Paragi et al. 2010). In view of the non-detection of prompt emission in the early stage of SN 2007gr, we suggest that the jet is not pointed toward us, but off-axis. In this paper, the inclination angle between the jet axis and our line of sight is taken as \(\theta_{\text{obs}} = 1.1\) rad (~63'). Such an observing angle, together with the smaller initial half-opening angle of \(\theta_0 = 0.6\) rad (~34'), means that our line of sight is completely outside the jet boundary, i.e., we are observing the mildly relativistic jet off-axis.

4. The initial kinetic energy of the shock \(E\). The total kinetic energy and the ejected mass of the SN ejecta are \(\sim (1.5–3) \times 10^{51}\) erg and \(\sim 1.5–3.5 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}\), respectively (Valenti et al. 2008; Hunter et al. 2009). The total internal energy for the observed radio emission is \(\sim (0.7–4.5) \times 10^{46}\) erg (Soderberg et al. 2010a; Paragi et al. 2010). Because most of the energy in the explosion is contained in the relativistic ejecta and only very little energy is contained in the relativistic jet, we assume that the initial kinetic energy of the relativistic shock wave is \(E = 1.1 \times 10^{48}\) erg.

5. The number density of the CSM \((n)\). SN 2007gr is classified as a Type Ic SN according to its spectra (Crockett et al. 2008; Valenti et al. 2008). The most favored progenitors for Type Ic SNe are Wolf–Rayet stars (Maeder & Lequeux 1982; Begelman & Sarazin 1986; Woosley & Bloom 2006). For Wolf–Rayet stars, the typical mass-loss rate is \(\dot{M} \sim (0.6–17) \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}\) and the wind speed is typically \(v_w \sim (0.7–5.5) \times 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) (Eenens & Williams 1994; Cappa et al. 2004). In our calculations, we assume the following parameters for the progenitor of SN 2007gr, i.e., \(\dot{M} = 1.0 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}\) and \(v_w \sim 1.5 \times 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). Then the number density of the CSM can be calculated from Equation (6). The mass-loss rate in our modeling is more than 10 times higher than the ones in previous studies (Paragi et al. 2010; Soderberg et al. 2010a).

6. The electron energy fraction \(\epsilon_e\). In our model, we assume an evolving electron energy fraction as \(\epsilon_e = \epsilon_{e, 0} \times (R/R_0)^{\alpha}\). The parameter \(\epsilon_{e, 0}\) evolves with time. It is slightly different from a normal GRB model. In our calculations, we assume \(\epsilon_{e, 0} = 0.1\), \(R_0 = 3.0 \times 10^{16}\) cm, \(\alpha = 5/4\), i.e.,

\[
\epsilon_e = 0.1 \times \left(\frac{R}{3.0 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}}\right)^{5/4}. \tag{15}\]

Note that our \(\epsilon_e\) is smaller than unity during all the observing time.

For other parameters such as the magnetic energy fraction \(\epsilon_B\) and the power-law index of the energy distribution function of electrons \((p)\), we take \(\epsilon_B = 0.1\) and \(p = 3.3\), respectively, which are similar to those adopted by Soderberg et al. (2010a).

4. RESULTS

Using our off-axis relativistic jet model and the above parameters, we have numerically calculated the multiband emission of the jet in SN 2007gr. Here, we compare the theoretical light curves with observations.

Figure 1 shows the light curves of the radio emission. From this figure, we see that the theoretical curves at 1.4 GHz (Figure 1(a)), 4.9 GHz (Figure 1(b)), and 8.5 GHz (Figure 1(c)) can fit the observational data well (note the last data point in Figure 1(c) is an upper limit). The curve at 22.5 GHz (Figure 1(d)) is also consistent with the upper limit of the observation.
Fluxes sensitively depend on the parameters \( \theta \), \( \dot{M} \), and \( v_w \). The flux of a more isotropic outflow is higher than that of a narrower outflow. A fast wind with a low mass-loss rate tends to increase the emission. The parameters \( \gamma \), \( E \), \( \epsilon_B \), and \( \epsilon_e \) affect not only the intensity but also the shape and peak time of the light curve. This means that the radiation spectra depends on these parameters sensitively.

As shown in Figure 4(b), the light curve is flattened by a positive \( \alpha \). If \( \epsilon_e \) is constant as normally assumed in GRB modeling, i.e., \( \alpha = 0 \), then the flux decreases much faster than the positive \( \alpha \) scenario. A positive \( \alpha \) is necessary in our fitting, which indicates that \( \epsilon_e \) increases with time, i.e., more and more kinetic energy is gradually transformed to internal energy.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we propose an off-axis relativistic jet model to explain the multiband emission of the Type Ic supernova SN 2007gr. From the observations of SN 2007gr by the EVN (Paragi et al. 2010), we adopted a model where the outflow contains a jet component and the line of sight is off-axis. The observing angle is about \( \theta_{\text{obs}} = 1 \) rad. We suggest that the radio emission comes from synchrotron radiation of relativistic electrons accelerated by the shock produced by the collision between the jet and the CSM. Our calculations show that a jet with an initial half-opening angle of \( \theta_0 = 0.6 \) rad and an initial Lorentz factor of \( \gamma_0 = 3 \) can well reproduce the emission in the radio band. Optical and X-ray emission is also consistent with the observational restrictions.

The jet engaged in our modeling of SN 2007gr differs significantly from a normal GRB jet. First, the Lorentz factor of a normal GRB ejecta is typically several hundreds, while here it is only a few for SN 2007gr. Second, the opening angle of our SN jet (0.6 rad) is much larger than that of a GRB jet (\( \sim 1 \) rad). Additionally, we would like to point out that the lateral expansion speed of the jet is a relatively complicated factor. In our calculations, we have assumed it to be the comoving sound speed. Although this is a reasonable assumption, deviation may still be possible and the dynamics may be affected. Third, the initial energy of our SN jet (isotropically \( \sim 10^{48} \) erg) is much smaller than that of the GRB jet (\( \sim 10^{52} \) erg). We believe that the majority of the energy is deposited into the SN component in the SN 2007gr explosion. Thus, the above characteristics are not too difficult to understand.

Figure 2. Light curves of SN 2007gr in optical and X-ray bands. The solid and dashed curves correspond to optical and X-ray emission from our off-axis relativistic jet, respectively. The circular points are the observed R-band data taken from Valenti et al. (2008). The triangle point is the upper limit observed by Chandra X-Ray Observatory (Soderberg 2007).

Figure 3. Effects of the parameters \( \gamma \), \( \theta \), \( E \), and \( \epsilon_B \) on the 1.4 GHz radio light curve. Observed data points of SN 2007gr are again taken from Soderberg et al. (2010a). The solid curves are our best fit, while the dashed and dotted curves are light curves with only one parameter altered, as marked in the panels.

Figure 4. Effects of the parameters \( \epsilon_e \), \( \dot{M} \), and \( v_w \) on the 1.4 GHz radio light curve. Observed data points and the solid curves are the same as in Figure 3. The dashed and dotted curves are light curves with only one parameter altered, as marked in the panels.
In view of Wolf–Rayet stars as the progenitors of Type Ic SNe, we assume the CSM as the typical wind of a Wolf–Rayet star with the mass-loss rate $\dot{M} = 1.0 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $v_w = 1.5 \times 10^3 \text{km s}^{-1}$. A fast wind with a low mass-loss rate tends to increase the flux of the radio emission. The mass-loss rate in our model is more than 10 times higher than that in previous studies, but it is more typical for a Wolf–Rayet star. Note that in our modeling, we have assumed that the CSM is purely composed of protons and electrons. Actually, other nuclei such as helium may also appear in the wind of Wolf–Rayet stars. Although their presence in the CSM should not change the final results significantly, we may still need to consider this factor in the future, when observations become much improved.

For the electron energy fraction ($\epsilon_e$), we usually assume that it is constant ($\alpha = 0$) in the GRB model. However, in the current study, a positive $\alpha$ is necessary in our fitting. It means that $\epsilon_e$ increases with time, i.e., the fraction of energy going to internal energy increases with the deceleration of the shock. Although the assumption of a varying $\epsilon_e$ has also been engaged in modeling some special GRBs and other transient objects (Rossi & Rees 2003; Ioka et al. 2006; Kong et al. 2010), note that the underlying physical mechanism that leads to the variation of $\epsilon_e$ is still very uncertain.

The multiband emission and spectra are quite different between SN 2007gr and normal GRBs. As for GRBs, we can detect the prompt gamma-ray emission and multiband afterglows. The peak frequency of prompt emission of GRBs is typically in the gamma-ray band (about several hundred keV). The peak frequency of GRB afterglows is typically in the UV or X-ray band, and the X-ray afterglow can usually be observed. However, the emission from the jet of SN 2007gr is more like a failed GRB rather than a normal GRB, since it is only a mildly relativistic outflow. It has been proposed that the prompt emission of a mildly relativistic SN jet comes from the photosphere and is bright in the UV or soft X-ray band (Xu et al. 2011). The peak frequency of afterglow should then be in the near-infrared or radio band. The X-ray emission should be weak and hard to detect.

In the future, more and more relativistic SN ejecta will be detected. These relativistic ejecta are considered as central engine driven. In fact, SN 2009bb was observed as another example of relativistic SN ejecta recently (Soderberg et al. 2010a). If the very early emission of SNe could be detected in the future, it will be helpful for determining the speed of the SN ejecta directly.
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