Feature Image Watermarking Based on Bicubic Interpolation of Wavelet Coefficients Using CRT
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Abstract—The main objective of watermarking method is to improve the robustness and imperceptibility. This paper introduces an improved CRT watermarking method using absolute value of interpolated wavelet coefficients aiming to improve the imperceptibility and robustness. The standard CRT method embeds the watermark bits on the blocks of pixels evenly. Hence, it can significantly reduce the quality of watermarked images when the watermark lies on the homogeneous area. Otherwise, the proposed method is embedding the watermark bits on the heterogeneous area by sorting the absolute magnitude of wavelet coefficients descending. The wavelet coefficients are selected from high frequency wavelet sub band HH. This scheme is able to determine the appropriate embedding location in certain range of value. The watermark bits are then embedding on the selected pixel value using CRT scheme. The result shows that the average imperceptibility value the CRT is 0.9980 while the proposed method has average value of 0.9993. On robustness against compression, the proposed method achieves better result compared to the CRT with the average NC values of 0.7916 higher than the CRT value of 0.7530. These prove that the proposed method has better performance in term of imperceptibility and robustness against compression than the CRT method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In computer science, watermarking is a popular method that is used to protect the copyright of digital medium [1]. Watermarking can solve the problem of illegal copying of media [2]. The ownership information is embedded into the medium using certain algorithm. Then, it can be extracted to be used as proof of ownership. Watermarking can be implemented on most of the digital media such audio, image, or video. In current trends of digital watermarking, image becomes the most used medium.
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Generally, watermarking is a process of embedding the proprietary information called watermark into a host image which can be further extracted if it is necessary. Watermarking methods are classified by several criteria such as domain, data type, application area, and visibility [3]. Nowadays, most of watermarking algorithms are classified by the domain consisting of spatial and transform domain. The transform domain methods have complex computation which causes slower process. However, it has better robustness that can preserve the watermark. On the other hand, the spatial domain algorithms have lower computational cost, but the robustness and the imperceptibility are inversely proportional. In the last decade, the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) algorithm [4] became one of the popular methods in watermarking. The CRT is able to withstand against light compression and good robustness in additive noise. Moreover, it can be advanced into Discrete Cosine Transform method [5]. Nevertheless, the CRT can cause significant degradation on homogeneous area of the image due to its spread embedding scheme.

This paper proposes a new embedding scenario using wavelet coefficient to determine the optimum location for embedding. Wavelet coefficient is a frequency magnitudes based on wavelet transformation [1, 6, 7] which can represent the heterogeneity of image area within certain range of value. The down sampled wavelet coefficients are further expanded using bicubic interpolation to get same resolution as the host image. The interpolation of wavelet coefficients are then used to embed the watermark according to its absolute magnitude. This scheme is able to improve the imperceptibility and preserve the robustness as well.
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II. RESEARCH METHOD

A. Conventional CRT

In theory and cryptography, the fundamental of CRT is the ability to reconstruct a certain range of integers from their modular residues within pair-wise coprime integer [8]. In field of watermarking, this algorithm is further developed by Ref. [4] to embed the watermark into 6-bit of pixel value $Z$. We let

$$Z \equiv r_i \cdot \mod m_i$$

where $r_i$ is the $i$-th residue and $Z$ is 6-bit integer value that can be expressed as

$$Z \equiv \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{M}{m_i} k_i \right) \mod M$$

$m_i$ is a pair-wise coprime integer of $s$ set integers, and $M$ is the modulo which is

$$M = \Pi_{i=1}^{s} m_i$$

and it finds $k_i$ until it has fulfilled followed condition

$$\left( k_i \cdot \frac{M}{m_i} \right) \mod m_i = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The embedding scheme is to adjust the value of $Z$ that $r_i$ fulfills the condition that is determined by the bits value of watermark. The modulo are resulted from the number of $s$ and the values of $r_i$ as shown in Eq. (3) until Eq. (5). The $M$ value should close to the largest value of 6-bit integer which represents the pixel value. The CRT is embedding the watermark bits evenly on the block of pixels. It causes significant degradation when the watermark bits are embedded on small difference region or in the homogeneous area. Therefore, the authors propose a method to embed the watermark using the interpolation of wavelet coefficients to determine the embedding location of the watermark according to its absolute magnitude.

B. Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT)

In image processing, the DWT is a two-dimensional transformation which works in multilevel resolution. Spatial image pixels are decomposed into four groups of wavelet coefficients called as sub bands as shown in Fig. 1. The first sub band, LL, is generated from Low Pass Filter (LPF) in row and column order. The next sub band is HL as a result from High Pass Filter (HPF) in row, followed by LPF in column direction. Moreover, the LH sub band is coming from LPF in row and HPF in column direction. The last sub band, HH, is generated from two HPF in both row and column order. The LL is a low frequency sub band which represents the homogeneous area of image. The HL and LH are middle frequency areas that consist of horizontal and vertical feature. The HH is a high frequency sub band which contains most heterogeneous area of the image. These wavelet coefficients are most suitably used to determine the embedding location due to the capability of determining the image features in multiple representations.

C. Bicubic Interpolation

Bicubic interpolation is an algorithm developed from cubic interpolation to interpolate two-dimensional data [9]. Interpolation algorithms are rapidly developed and applied in fundamental fields of image processing such as image correlation [10], medical image processing [11], detection and estimation [12], and edge interpolation [13]. Bicubic interpolation generates smoother than the other corresponding interpolation algorithms such as bilinear and nearest-neighbor.

In this paper, the bicubic interpolation is used to expand the down sampled wavelet coefficient to get the embedding location within the resolution of the host image using 16 adjacent pixels as follows:

$$h_c(s) = \begin{cases} 1 - (c+3)s^2 + (c+2)s^3, & \text{for } 0 \leq s < 1 \\ -4c + 8cs - 5cs^2 + cs^3, & \text{for } 1 \leq s < 2 \\ 0 & \text{for } 2 \leq s. \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $s$ is the distance from reference pixel to interpolated pixel, and $c$ is a constant. The best approximation is achieved by using the constant $c = -0.5$ of the original equation that is given by

$$h_c(s) = \begin{cases} 1 - 2.5s^2 + 1.5s^3, & \text{for } 0 \leq s < 1 \\ 2 + 4s + 2.5s^2 - 0.5s^3, & \text{for } 1 \leq s < 2 \\ 0 & \text{for } 2 \leq s. \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

D. Proposed Embedding

The embedding scheme starts with decomposing host image into wavelet sub band as shown in Fig. 2. The absolute wavelet coefficients are then sorted to determine the proper embedding location based on the magnitude. The pair-wise coprime integer is determined from the previous research by Ref. [4] that can handle value of $2^6$. The detailed process is as follows:

1) Decompose host image to get high frequency wavelet sub band HH
2) Apply bicubic interpolation using Eq. (5) on the wavelet coefficients to get full resolution of HH
3) Sort the absolute magnitude of wavelet coefficients descending to determine the embedding locations that further are used as a key
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E. Proposed Extraction

The sorted absolute wavelet magnitude is used as a
key to extract the watermark bits as follows:

1) Use the absolute magnitude to determine the
embedded pixels

2) Get 6 least significant bit value of the embedded pixels Z

3) Apply CRT on 6-bit value of embedded pixel
Z to get the pair-wise residue r1 and r2 using Eq. (7) and Eq. (8), respectively

4) Extract the watermark bits w based on the following condition:

w = \begin{cases} 1, & r_1 \geq r_2 \\ 0, & r_1 < r_2 \end{cases} \tag{10}

5) Repeat the process until all of watermark bits are
extracted.

Algorithm 1:

\begin{align*}
&\text{if } (w = 1) \text{ then} \\
&\quad \text{for } (j = 1; j < 64; j++) \text{ do} \\
&\quad\quad \text{if } (Z - j \geq 0) \text{ then} \\
&\quad\quad\quad r_1 = (Z - j) \mod m_1 \\
&\quad\quad\quad r_2 = (Z - j) \mod m_2 \\
&\quad\quad\quad \text{if } (r_1 \geq r_2) \text{ then} \\
&\quad\quad\quad\quad \text{return } Z - j; \\
&\quad\quad \quad \text{end} \\
&\quad\quad \text{end} \\
&\quad\quad \text{if } (Z + j < 64) \text{ then} \\
&\quad\quad\quad r_1 = (Z + j) \mod m_1 \\
&\quad\quad\quad r_2 = (Z + j) \mod m_2 \\
&\quad\quad\quad \text{if } (r_1 \geq r_2) \text{ then} \\
&\quad\quad\quad\quad \text{return } Z - j; \\
&\quad\quad \quad \text{end} \\
&\quad \text{end} \\
&\text{end} \\

&\text{if } (w = 0) \text{ then} \\
&\quad \text{for } (j = 0; j < 64; j++) \text{ do} \\
&\quad\quad \text{if } (Z - j \geq 0) \text{ then} \\
&\quad\quad\quad r_1 = (Z - j) \mod m_1 \\
&\quad\quad\quad r_2 = (Z - j) \mod m_2 \\
&\quad\quad\quad \text{if } (r_1 < r_2) \text{ then} \\
&\quad\quad\quad\quad \text{return } Z - j; \\
&\quad\quad \quad \text{end} \\
&\quad\quad \text{end} \\
&\quad\quad \text{if } (Z + j < 64) \text{ then} \\
&\quad\quad\quad r_1 = (Z + j) \mod m_1 \\
&\quad\quad\quad r_2 = (Z + j) \mod m_2 \\
&\quad\quad\quad \text{if } (r_1 < r_2) \text{ then} \\
&\quad\quad\quad\quad \text{return } Z - j; \\
&\quad\quad \quad \text{end} \\
&\quad \text{end} \\
\end{align*}
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The experiments are conducted to compare the proposed method with the standard CRT method by Ref. [4] in term of imperceptibility and robustness against image compression and additive noise. Ten standard images within size of $512 \times 512$, and grayscale format are used as host images. These images will be embedded with a $128 \times 128$ binary watermark image. They are shown in Fig. 3.

To get the stable result, the seed value of 0 until 9 are used on host images from the aerial until woman image respectively. The seed is used on the most significant absolute magnitude to scramble the selected embedding location and to generate the additive noise location as well.

A. Imperceptibility

The imperceptibility of the CRT and the proposed method are measured using the Structural Similarity (SSIM) [14] due to its capability to measure the quality according to human vision. The result shows that the proposed method has outperform the CRT in term of imperceptibility. The average imperceptibility value of the CRT is 0.9980, while the proposed method has value of 0.9993. The proposed method has outperformed the CRT as presented in Table I and Fig. 4.

On the CRT method, the watermark bits are embedded evenly on the blocks of pixels. This scheme causes significant degradation when the watermark bits are embedded on small difference region or in the

Fig. 3. The host images: (a) Aerial, (b) Airplane, (c) Apc, (d) Cameraman, (e) House, (f) Livingroom, (g) Pirate, (h) Tank, (i) Tiffany, (j) Woman, and (k) the watermark image.
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The experiments are conducted to compare the proposed method with the standard CRT. The proposed method outperforms the CRT in term of imperceptibility. The average CRT value of 0.9834. In robustness test, the proposed method has higher performance than the CRT method in term of robustness against JPEG2000 compression and additive noise.

The proposed method embeds the watermark bits on the significant change regions which have high frequency. This area is very suitable for preserving the watermark against such compression. Generally, the compression algorithms have major impact on homogeneous area of the compressed image and minor effect on heterogeneous area.

Meanwhile, in term of robustness against ‘salt and pepper’ noise, both methods have similar result as shown in Fig. 6. The CRT results in NC value of 0.9830 while the proposed method has NC value of 0.9834. In robustness test, the proposed method has higher performance than the CRT method in term of robustness against JPEG2000 compression.

The proposed method embeds the watermark bits on the significant change regions which have high frequency. This area is very suitable for preserving the watermark against such compression. Generally, the compression algorithms have major impact on homogeneous area of the compressed image and minor effect on heterogeneous area.

B. Robustness

The robustness of watermark images are tested using common JPEG2000 compression and salt and pepper noise with the compression ratio and density of 3% and 5% respectively. They are applied on all of watermarked image. Then, the watermarks are extracted and measured using the standard Normalized Correlation (NC) to get the value and visual representation as well.

Table II and Fig. 5 show that the proposed method achieves better result compared to the CRT method in term of robustness against JPEG2000 compression. The proposed method has higher NC value of 0.9834 than the CRT value of 0.9830.

The proposed method embeds the watermark bits on the significant change regions which have high frequency. This area is very suitable for preserving the watermark against such compression. Generally, the compression algorithms have major impact on homogeneous area of the compressed image and minor effect on heterogeneous area.

Meanwhile, in term of robustness against ‘salt and pepper’ noise, both methods have similar result as shown in Fig. 6. The CRT results in NC value of 0.9830 while the proposed method has NC value of 0.9834. In robustness test, the proposed method has higher performance than the CRT method in term of robustness against JPEG2000 compression. In additive noise, both methods have similar robustness. The extracted watermark images under compression and additive noise are depicted in Table III.
The proposed method embeds the watermark bits on the significant change regions which have high frequency. This area is very suitable for preserving the watermark against such compression and additive noise. The standard CRT method embeds the watermark bits on the heterogeneous area by sorting the absolute magnitude of wavelet coefficients descending. This can improve the visual representation of extracted watermark. Meanwhile, in robustness against additive noise, both methods have similar result with NC values of 0.9830 and 0.9834 respectively. The result proves that the proposed method has better performance in imperceptibility and robustness against compression than the CRT method.

### IV. Conclusions

This paper presents an improved CRT watermarking method using interpolated wavelet coefficient to determine the proper embedding location on host image. The standard CRT method embeds the watermark bits on the blocks of pixels evenly. Hence, it can significantly reduce the quality of watermarked images when the watermark lies on the homogeneous area. Otherwise, the proposed method embeds the watermark bits on the heterogeneous area by sorting the absolute magnitude of wavelet coefficients descending. This scheme can determine the appropriate embedding location in certain range of value. The proposed method is intended to produce better embedding process than the previous method.

The result shows that the proposed method has better performance than CRT method in term of imperceptibility and robustness against compression. The average imperceptibility value of the CRT is 0.9980 while the proposed method has average value of 0.9993. On robustness test, the proposed method achieves better result compared to the CRT with the average NC values of 0.7916 than the CRT value of 0.7530. The robustness is increasing significantly by 0.04 which can improve the visual representation of extracted watermark. Meanwhile, in robustness against additive noise, both methods have similar result with NC values of 0.9830 and 0.9834 respectively. The result proves that the proposed method has better performance in imperceptibility and robustness against compression than the CRT method.

### REFERENCES

[1] V. S. Verma, R. K. Jha, and A. Ojha, “Significant region based robust watermarking scheme in lifting wavelet transform domain,” Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 42, no. 21, pp. 8184–8197, 2015.

[2] N. M. Makbol and B. E. Khoo, “A new robust and secure digital image watermarking scheme based on the integer wavelet transform and singular value decomposition,” Digital Signal Processing, vol. 33, pp. 134–147, 2014.

[3] C. R. S. Rao and M. V. Prasad, Digital Watermarking Techniques in Curvelet and Ridgelet Domain. Switzerland: Springer, 2016.

[4] J. C. Patra, A. Karthik, and C. Bornand, “A novel cbr-based watermarking technique for authentication of multimedia contents,” Digital Signal Processing, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 442–453, 2010.

[5] J. C. Patra, J. E. Phua, and C. Bornand, “A novel dct domain cbf-based watermarking scheme for image authentication surviving jpeg compression,” Digital Signal Processing, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1597–1611, 2010.

[6] C. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, B. Ma, and D. Huang, “Dither modulation of significant amplitude difference for wavelet based robust watermarking,” Neurocomputing, vol. 166, pp. 404–415, 2015.

[7] P. W. Adi and F. Z. Rahmanti, “Robust integer haar wavelet based watermarking using singular value decomposition,” Jurnal Ilmu Komputer dan Informasi, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 26–34, 2016.

[8] W. Stallings and M. P. Tahiliani, Cryptography and network security: principles and practice. New Jersey: Pearson, 2014, vol. 6.

[9] P. Zhi Yong, H. Z. Tan, and C. Di Hu, “An improved low-cost adaptive bicubic interpolation
arithmetic and vlsi implementation,” Acta Automatica Sinica, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 407–417, 2013.
[10] Z. Pan, W. Chen, Z. Jiang, L. Tang, Y. Liu, and Z. Liu, “Performance of global look-up table strategy in digital image correlation with cubic b–spline interpolation and bicubic interpolation,” Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Letters, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 126–130, 2016.
[11] J. Leng, G. Xu, and Y. Zhang, “Medical image interpolation based on multi-resolution registration,” Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2013.
[12] G. K. Birajdar and V. H. Mankar, “Blind method for rescaling detection and rescale factor estimation in digital images using periodic properties of interpolation,” AEUE – International Journal of Electronics and Communications, vol. 68, no. 7, pp. 644–652, 2014.
[13] J. W. Han, J. H. Kim, S. Sull, and S. J. Ko, “New edge–adaptive image interpolation using anisotropic gaussian filters,” Digital Signal Processing, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 110–117, 2013.
[14] Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli, “Image quality assessment: From error visibility to structural similarity,” IEEE transactions on image processing, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600–612, 2004.