Fostering socio-emotional learning through early childhood intervention

Christina F. Mondi1*, Alison Giovanelli2 and Arthur J. Reynolds3

Abstract
Educators and researchers are increasingly interested in evaluating and promoting socio-emotional learning (SEL) beginning in early childhood (Newman & Dusunbury in 2015; Zigler & Trickett in American Psychologist 33(9):789–798 https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.33.9.789, 1978). Decades of research have linked participation in high-quality early childhood education (ECE) programs (e.g., public prekindergarten, Head Start) to multidimensional wellbeing. ECE programs also have demonstrated potential to be implemented at large scales with strong financial returns on investment. However, relatively few studies have investigated the effects of ECE programs on SEL, particularly compared to smaller-scale, skills-based SEL interventions. Furthermore, among studies that have examined SEL, there is a general lack of consensus about how to define and measure SEL in applied settings. The present paper begins to address these gaps in several ways. First, it discusses conceptual and methodological issues related to developmentally and culturally sensitive assessment of young children’s socio-emotional functioning. Second, it reviews the empirical research literature on the impacts of three types of early childhood programs (general prekindergarten programs; multi-component prekindergarten programs; and universal skills-based interventions) on SEL. Finally, it highlights future directions for research and practice.
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By the turn of the twenty-first century, a national sample of teachers reported that they believed that the ability to regulate emotions and behaviors is the most important component of school readiness (Rimm-Kaufman et al., 2000).

Today, educators and researchers continue to be interested in evaluating and promoting socio-emotional learning (SEL) starting in early childhood. Early childhood SEL skills develop rapidly, are uniquely malleable, and are strongly associated with later social, academic, cognitive, and health outcomes (Zins et al., 2007). Skills-based interventions that specifically target children’s SEL have been a major area of investigation (McClelland et al., 2017). However, relatively less is known about the impacts of large-scale early childhood education (ECE) programs on SEL, despite the potential of such programs to effect broad impacts. Furthermore, despite the growing enthusiasm surrounding the concept of SEL, many of the same methodological issues that Zigler and colleagues described in the 1970s still persist. Review of the literature reveals a lack of consensus among researchers and practitioners regarding how to define, evaluate, and promote SEL.

McCabe and Altamura (2011) previously reviewed the impact of a variety of preschool interventions on SEL, including both skills-based interventions and comprehensive classroom- and home-based programs. The authors reported that many programs were associated with short-term SEL benefits, but that there was a need for additional longitudinal research in this area. Notably, the authors did not explicate their review methodology or inclusion criteria, making it difficult to ascertain the representativeness and comprehensiveness of their findings. This limitation, combined with the publication of a number of studies since 2011, signal the need for an updated review of different intervention strategies for preschool-aged children.

The present paper reviews the most methodologically rigorous research that is available on the relationship between preschool intervention and SEL. We begin by discussing what the construct of SEL is (and is not)—a topic that has been the subject of some debate and confusion in the literature. Having outlined a conceptual and methodological framework for SEL, we will then describe specific study aims and methods.

**Socio-emotional competencies in early childhood**

During the early 1990s, the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL) attempted to organize decades of empirical research on socio-emotional development into a socio-emotional learning (SEL) framework (Newman & Dusunbury, 2015). Since then, the CASEL framework has been widely used by researchers, practitioners, and policymakers alike, informing the development of federal and state state legislation and learning standards.

According to CASEL researchers, SEL is the process of learning to “integrate thinking, feeling, and behaving to achieve important life tasks” (Zins et al., 2007, p. 194). SEL encompasses children’s emerging abilities to “form close and secure... relationships; experience, regulate, and express emotions in socially and culturally appropriate ways; and explore the environment and learn—all in the context of family, community, and culture” (Yates et al., 2008, p. 2). CASEL’s SEL framework is grounded in research on typical and atypical socio-emotional development and highlights five core competency areas: (a) self-awareness; (b) self-management; (c) social
awareness; (d) relationship skills; and (e) responsible decision-making (Collaborative for Social & Emotional Learning [CASEL], 2012; “Core SEL Competencies”, 2019; Weissberg et al., 2016). These competences are outlined in Table 1.

Importantly, while CASEL’s five core competency areas are common across most cultures, specific aspects of adaptive SEL functioning may vary based on race/ethnicity, language, socioeconomic status, and other cultural factors. Cognitive, linguistic, and behavioral traits that are considered to be adaptive and desirable in non-majority culture communities may be perceived as problematic or even pathological by majority culture educators (Phillips, 1993; West-Olatunji et al., 2008). These perceptions may be partially attributed to educators’ own biases, and to disparities between the culture and structure of children’s home and school environments (Boykin, 1983; Han & Thomas, 2010; Ladson-Billings, 1995; McCarthy et al., 2006; Webb-Johnson, 2002). Thus, when assessing children’s SEL, researchers and educators should carefully consider the role that culture plays in shaping children’s behavior, and avoid conflating cultural behavioral differences with disorder.

**Conceptual and measurement issues**

**Distinguishing SEL from executive function**

SEL skills have often been referred to as “non-cognitive” skills in research and practice. Yet many researchers have argued that this designation is a misnomer, given that SEL skills are often grounded in skills related to cognition, learning, and memory. Among the most significant contributors to SEL are executive functioning (EF) skills, which include the cognitive processes necessary for planning, organizing, and problem-solving. Several studies have linked EF deficits to concurrent SEL deficits, and longitudinal work has indicated that EF skills in early childhood predict SEL competence later in life (e.g., Riggs et al., 2006). Thus, EF and SEL competencies, (including self-management, as identified by CASEL’s framework) can be conceptualized as distinct but related, and at times overlapping, constructs.

| Competency                 | Description                                                                 | Examples                                                                 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Self-awareness             | The ability to accurately evaluate one's own thoughts, emotions, abilities,   | Emotion identification, self-confidence                                 |
|                            | and values                                                                  |                                                                         |
| Self-management            | The ability to effectively regulate one's own thoughts, emotions, and actions| Impulse control, stress management                                      |
|                            | in different scenarios                                                      |                                                                         |
| Social awareness           | The ability to empathize with individuals from diverse backgrounds and to   | Perspective-taking, showing respect to others                           |
|                            | understand societal norms for behavior                                       |                                                                         |
| Relationship skills        | The ability to initiate and maintain healthy relationships                   | Communicating clearly, constructively navigating conflict               |
| Responsible decision-making| The ability to make healthy, informed choices                                | Identifying problems, analyzing the potential consequences of a decision |
Distinguishing SEL from psychopathology

Psychologists increasingly agree that mental health is most accurately conceptualized on a continuum, ranging from clinically significant psychopathology to psychological wellbeing or flourishing (Keyes, 2002). Within this model, mental health or wellbeing is conceptualized not only as the absence of psychopathology symptoms, but as the presence of competencies that enable individuals to withstand adversity and to work towards positive outcomes. As Darling-Churchill and Lippman (2016, p. 3) stated: “Problems and strengths do not fall neatly on a single continuum, and the absence of problems does not guarantee the presence of competencies; thus, it is important to measure both.” From this perspective, it is imperative that researchers and practitioners avoid conflating emergent SEL deficits with psychopathology (Halle & Darling-Churchill, 2016).

Children exhibiting emergent SEL deficits may or may not have comorbid psychiatric disorders. Children with diagnosable psychopathology must exhibit symptoms that coalesce into specific patterns and that are associated with significant functional impairment. The latter group would likely benefit from clinical treatment. Meanwhile, many children do not currently meet diagnostic criteria for a disorder, but exhibit emergent deficits in SEL skills relative to same-age peers (Jones et al., 2002; Wille et al., 2008). A multitude of factors may contribute to lagged SEL, including early deprivation or trauma, inconsistent caregiving, and cultural differences in socio-emotional expression. Children with emergent SEL deficits would likely benefit from broader-based interventions that provide opportunities for them to interact with high-quality caregivers, establish peer relationships, and practice SEL skills in the environments that they are already in (e.g., early care and education settings).

Emergent SEL deficits are distinct from clinical disorder; however, it is important to acknowledge the demonstrated link between early SEL deficits and long-term risk for the development of psychopathology. This link reflects the phenomenon of heterotypic continuity, in which an early behavior predicts the subsequent emergence of a different behavior in the same individual (Rutter et al., 2006). The concept of developmental cascades has been invoked as a potential mechanism for heterotypic continuity; in this case, an individual’s early SEL competencies interact with other individual and environmental factors (e.g., genetic, family, school) over time, influencing his or her risk of developing psychopathology (Burke et al., 2005). For example, a child who is lagging in SEL may have negative interactions with caregivers and peers and fall behind academically. These experiences may, in turn, increase the child’s probability of academic, psychological, and other difficulties over time. Conversely, a child who exhibits developmentally appropriate SEL will likely experience more social and academic success, which can lay foundations for lifelong wellbeing.

Other measurement issues

As noted above, it is critical that researchers utilize measures that assess children’s SEL skills (as distinguished from EF skills or psychopathology symptoms).

Several additional issues merit consideration when assessing SEL in early childhood (Committee on Developmental Outcomes, 2008; Darling-Churchill & Lippman, 2016; Halle & Darling-Churchill, 2016). Measurement should ideally occur across multiple time-points, as longitudinal assessment allows for stronger inference of causal
relationships. Collecting repeated measurements over time will also allow researchers to observe trajectories of socio-emotional development over time. Finally, collecting data from multiple informants is considered ideal in order to gain more comprehensive, reliable pictures of children’s functioning. Integrating reports from different informants, who may perceive children’s behaviors differently or observe different behaviors in different settings (e.g., home versus school), can be challenging; however, several methodological solutions to this problem have been proposed (e.g., Offord et al., 1996).

Present review
The present paper reviews the current state of the literature on SEL interventions for preschool-aged children. This review makes several unique contributions. First, whereas previous reviews have primarily focused on skills-based SEL interventions, this review compares and contrasts the effects of three types of early childhood interventions on SEL: (a) general prekindergarten programs; (b) multi-component prekindergarten programs; and (c) skills-based interventions. This review specifically focuses on universal programs in each of the three categories (e.g., programs that are not specifically targeted to children with emergent SEL deficits or psychopathology). Second, whereas several previous reviews have examined the effects of early intervention on child psychopathology (e.g., internalizing, externalizing symptoms), the current review examines SEL outcomes, defined as children’s acquisition of developmentally appropriate social and emotional skills. Finally, rather than reviewing the entire literature, this review focuses on the most methodologically rigorous (e.g., peer-reviewed, longitudinal) extant research. Given these combined foci, the present review offers a thorough, up-to-date overview of the effects of different types of early childhood interventions on young children’s SEL.

Notably, while we believe that it is imperative to evaluate the strength of programs’ evidence bases using specific uniform criteria, our review reveals variable methodology and construct validity across individual studies, making it challenging to assess program efficacy in a reliable or systematic way. As such, we emphasize that the purpose of this review is not to make statements about the efficacy of individual programs, but rather to describe programs that are most promising and to identify knowledge gaps for future research to investigate.

Method
Having reviewed key conceptual and methodological issues, we will now describe our review of universal interventions for preschool-aged children. We conducted searches in Web of Science, PsycInfo, Google Scholar, and PubMed. Numerous search terms were employed, including ones referencing socio-emotional skills (e.g., “socio-emotional”, “emotion regulation”, “non-cognitive”, “prosocial”), early childhood and ECE programming (e.g., “preschool,” “Head Start”), and commonly used SEL measures (e.g., “Behavior Assessment System”, “Conners”). Backwards and forward searches were conducted on landmark and highly cited articles.

Studies had to meet six inclusion criteria to be included in the present review. The purpose of these criteria was to identify the most methodologically rigorous studies on modern universal interventions and SEL. (1) studies had to be published in English in
peer-reviewed journals by December 31, 2020. (2) Only studies that investigated prekindergarten interventions implemented in 1990 or later were included. (3) Interventions had to be universal (e.g., not specifically targeted to children with baseline SEL deficits or psychopathology) and delivered by laypeople (e.g., not researchers). (4) Critically, given that the focus of the present paper is the relations between intervention and SEL included, studies had to measure one or more SEL skills as previously defined. Studies were not included if they solely measured psychopathology outcomes (e.g., externalizing or internalizing symptoms, problem behaviors) or EF outcomes. (5) Studies had to assess children's SEL skills at a minimum of two time-points, as skill development over the course of intervention can only be examined within longitudinal research designs. (6) Studies had to include a comparison or control group.

The first and second authors independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of identified studies to determine whether they met inclusion criteria. During this review process, both authors also determined which intervention category applied to each study. General public prekindergarten programs were defined as publicly funded programs administered by state and local agencies. Multi-component ECE programs were defined as programs which provide multiple academic, family and social support services (e.g., Head Start, the Child–Parent Center (CPC) Program, The High/Scope Perry Preschool Project), typically in center-based settings. Skills-based SEL interventions were defined as discrete interventions aimed at enhancing children's SEL via direct skills instruction for children and/or their ECE caregivers (e.g., Al's Pals, The Incredible Years). In cases of disagreement, both authors reviewed and discussed until consensus was reached. Overall, based on these criteria, the following studies are included in the present review: (a) one empirical study of a general public prekindergarten program; (b) three empirical studies of multi-component ECE interventions; (c) 23 empirical studies of skills-based SEL interventions; (d) three systematic reviews or meta-analyses of multi-component ECE interventions; and (e) five systematic reviews or meta-analyses of SEL skills-based interventions. See Tables 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 for details on these studies, including sample characteristics.

**Results**

**General public prekindergarten and multi-component ECE programs (Tables 2, 3, 4)**

General public prekindergarten and multi-component ECE programs (e.g., Head Start, the CPC program) are comprehensive ECE interventions, and stand in contrast to skills-based interventions which primarily target SEL. Nonetheless, there are several important distinctions between general public prekindergarten programs and multi-component ECE programs (e.g., Head Start, the CPC Program). There is often significant variability in general prekindergarten models and populations served, both across and within public school districts in the United States (Phillips et al., 2017). Meanwhile, multi-component ECE programs typically incorporate similar program elements and serve comparable populations across sites. Multi-component programs often operate in center-based settings, and typically provide a wider range of support services for children and families than general public prekindergarten programs.

Despite the differences between general public prekindergarten and multi-component ECE programs, we present our findings for both program types simultaneously below.
### Table 2  Peer-reviewed empirical studies of general public prekindergarten programs

| Citation                  | Interventions                      | Sample                                                                 | Outcomes by CASEL domain | Major findings                                                                 | Major study limitations                                                                 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Weiland and Yoshikawa (2013) | Boston Public Schools prekindergarten program | IG: 2018 4- and 5-year-old children from diverse racial, ethnic, and linguistic backgrounds | X X                       | Across the preschool year the IG exhibited significantly greater gains in working memory (ES = 0.23), inhibitory control (ES = 0.20), attention shifting (ES = 0.27), emotion recognition (ES = 0.18) than the CG | Non-randomized design  
All children (including English language learners) were tested in English |
| Citation          | Type           | Interventions                                                                 | Sample          | Measured outcomes                                                                 | Major findings                                                                 | Major study limitations                                                                 |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nelson et al. (2003) | Meta-analysis  | Preschool prevention programs for low-income children                          | 34 studies      | Parent and teacher ratings of children’s social skills and behavioral problems    | Preschool programs exerted small to moderate effects on socio-emotional functioning (Kindergarten through eighth grade $d = 0.27$; high school and beyond $d = 0.33$). Programs that began working with children at younger ages were not associated with larger socio-emotional benefits than programs that began at later ages. Programs with longer follow-up periods were associated with greater socio-emotional benefits. Programs that served predominantly African American children were associated with the greatest socio-emotional benefits. | Few studies examined long-term effects. Use of broadly constructed outcome variables (“e.g., social-emotional functioning”). Authors were unable to code for the amount of intervention that children in comparison groups received. Some continuous variables were transformed into categorical ones. |
| Citation          | Type             | Interventions                     | Sample   | Measured outcomes                                                                 | Major findings                                                                 | Major study limitations                                                                 |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Camilli et al. (2010) | Meta-analysis    | Center-based ECE interventions    | 43 studies | Measures of self-esteem, school adjustment, educational goals, aggression, and antisocial behaviors | Preschool participation also exerted small, statistically significant positive effects on children’s social skills and school progress (unweighted mean ES = 0.16 for treatment versus control groups). Effects on socio-emotional functioning did not change significantly over the course of follow-up. Teacher-directed instruction and small-group learning were positively correlated with socio-emotional gains among treatment group members. | Social-emotional and “antisocial” variables were combined into a single broad outcome. Significant variation in design quality among included studies. |
| D’Onise et al. (2014) | Systematic review | Center-based preschool interventions | 13 studies | “Social competence”, including positive social behaviors (e.g., cooperation, self-control) and problem behaviors (e.g., externalizing and internalizing problems, hyperactivity) | Eight studies found beneficial effects of preschool participation on social competence. Six studies found no significant effects on social competence. | Many included studies had high levels of bias, per the review authors. Many included studies used single measures and single ratings. |
| Citation                  | Interventions                                                                 | Sample                                                                                                           | Outcomes by CASEL domain | Major findings                                                                 | Major study limitations                                                                 |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Brown and Sax (2013)     | Arts-integrated Head Start program (Settlement Music School's Kaleidoscope Preschool Arts Enrichment Program) | IG: 174 preschool-aged children who attended the arts-integrated Head Start  
CG: 31 preschool-aged children who attended a traditional Head Start site | X                        | IG exhibited greater improvements in positive emotion regulation ($F(1200) = 16.79, p < 0.001$) and negative emotion regulation ($F(1200) = 17.93, p < 0.001$) over time than the CG | Non-randomized design  
The Kaleidoscope program is one-of-a-kind, potentially limiting generalizability of results |
| Reynolds et. al. (2016)  | Child–Parent Center (CPC) Program                                             | IG: 1006 children who attended CPC preschool (age 3 or 4)  
CG: 906 children who attended non-CPC preschool services at demographically matched schools | X X                     | At the end of preschool, teachers rated IG members as having higher overall SEL skills than CG members (mean difference = 0.44 SD) | Non-randomized design  
Reliance on teacher ratings                                                                 |
| Richardson et. al. (2017)| Child–Parent Center (CPC) Program                                             | IG: 1289 children who attended CPC preschool (age 3 or 4)  
CG: 591 children who attended non-CPC preschool services at demographically matched schools | X X                     | CPC participants received higher mean SEL scores at the end of the preschool year than CG members | Significant differences in baseline characteristics between the treatment and control groups  
Reliance on teacher ratings                                                                 |

Articles are listed in chronological order by publication date. Coding of CASEL domains was based on the information provided in the published article and (when possible) publicly available information about specific measures.

IG, intervention group; CG, control/comparison group; SA, self-awareness; SM, self-management; SoA, social awareness; RS, relationship skills; RD, responsible decision-making.
| Citation            | Type                | Interventions                                                                 | Sample                                                                 | Measured outcomes                              | Major findings                                                                                                                                      | Major study limitations                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Joseph and Strain (2003) | Systematic review | Comprehensive social-emotional curricula for children ages 3–6                | Eight empirical studies and two studies under investigation           | "Social competence" including positive and problem behaviors Used nine criteria to determine "probability of efficacious adoption" (e.g., treatment fidelity, evidence across racial groups) | Low levels of evidence (based on the nine criteria of beneficial effects on social competence for four of the studies, medium levels of evidence for two studies, and high levels of evidence for two studies) | Several studies were conducted several decades ago Several studies focused primarily on problem behaviors Many included studies had high levels of bias Many studies used single measures and single ratings |
| McCabe and Altamura (2011) | Systematic review | Intervention programs targeting social, behavioral, and/or self-regulatory skills in preschool-aged children and comprehensive prevention and intervention programs | 10 empirical studies                                                  | Parent and teacher reports, direct assessment, and observation of SEL outcomes (emotion regulation, expression, skill use, problem-solving) | Authors reported positive social, emotional, or behavioral effects for most programs reviewed | Some studies reviewed focused exclusively on problem behaviors Limitations of studies not discussed Did not clearly distinguish between school vs. home-based programs |
| Barton et al. (2014) | Systematic review | Classroom and parenting social-emotional programs for young children          | 10 classroom curricula and 8 parenting interventions focused on social-emotional development | "Social-emotional competence" and "behavioral outcomes" Used nine criteria to determine "probability of efficacious adoption" (e.g., treatment fidelity, evidence across racial/ethnic groups) | Low levels of evidence (based on the nine criteria of beneficial effects on social competence for four of the studies, medium levels of evidence for four studies, and high levels of evidence for two studies) | Many included studies had high levels of bias, per the review authors Many included studies used single measures and single ratings Several studies focused on reducing "antisocial behavior" Several studies focused on children older than preschool age (e.g., first step to success, one of programs rated most efficacious, targets kindergarteners and other early primary grades) |
| Citation         | Type                                | Interventions                                      | Sample                                                                 | Measured outcomes                                                                 | Major findings                                                                 | Major study limitations                                                  |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Luo et. al. (2020) | Systematic review and meta-analysis | Classroom-wide social-emotional interventions for preschoolers | 39 empirical studies (10,646 child participants)                      | “Social competence”; “emotional competence”; and “challenging behavior.” Also coded research designs and nine domains of study-level risk of bias | Among studies with sufficient child outcome information to calculate effect sizes: 30 studies examined intervention effects on social competence and 12 examined effects on emotional competence. Moderate effects were found in both domains (social: $g = 0.42$, 95% CI $=[0.28, 0.56]$; $z = 5.77$, $p < 0.001$; $k = 34$; emotional: $g = 0.33$, 95% CI $=[0.10, 0.56]$; $z = 2.85$, $p = 0.004$; $k = 14$). However, there was significant heterogeneity across studies. Interventions with family components had larger effect sizes for social competence ($Q(11) = 7.03$, $p = 0.08$) than those that did not include family members. | Did not investigate the grey literature. Unable to investigate possible variations in treatment effects due to clustering. Unable to conduct multivariate meta-regression analysis, to investigate potential interactions between covariates. |
| Citation              | Type          | Interventions                                | Sample                                      | Measured outcomes                      | Major findings                                                                 | Major study limitations                                                                 |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Murano et al. (2020) | Meta-analysis | Universal and targeted SEL interventions for preschoolers | 48 empirical studies (1,5498 child participants) | “Social and emotional skills” and “problem behaviors” | Both universal and targeted interventions were associated with improvements in overall social and emotional skills ($n=37$, $g=0.34$ and $n=13$, $g=0.44$, respectively). There was significant heterogeneity in effect sizes among universal programs. Meta-regression analyses indicated that 83% of this heterogeneity was attributable to intervention type. | Limited coverage of the grey literature Unable to investigate possible variations in treatment effects due to clustering |
### Table 6  Peer-reviewed empirical studies of skills-based SEL interventions

| Citation            | Interventions                                                                 | Sample                                                                 | Outcomes by CASEL domain | Major findings                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Major study limitations                                                                                       |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Denham and Burton (1996) | Intervention that included teacher training and classroom curriculum. Based on PATHS, prosocial activity guide, and I Can Problem Solve | IG: 70 children in private child care centers using the High/Scope curriculum model  
CG: 60 children from the same child care programs | X X X X X | IG exhibited decreased negative emotion, higher levels of skills interacting with peers, higher levels of productive involvement (e.g., task initiation) at post-test  
Children with lower pre-test scores benefited most in the areas of peer skill, teacher-rated social competence, and productiveness | No random assignment  
Teachers not blind to group status  
CG was 4 months younger than IG, on average  
No long-term follow-up reported |
| Webster-Stratton et. Al. (2001) | Incredible Years: parent training program and teacher training program | IG: 191 families in 23 classrooms across 9 Head Start centers  
CG: 81 families in 13 classrooms across 5 different Head Start centers | X X | By the end of the school year, IG were rated as more socially competent and prosocial than the CG  
For example, 71% of IG children who had been rated as having problems with social competence at baseline fell in the normative range at the end of school, compared to 36.6% of the CG children initially rated as lower in social competence ($\chi^2 [1, 26] = 4.12, p < 0.04$) | IG families had more risk factors than CG families and were more likely to report high stress and child behavior problems at baseline  
IG had higher percentage of minority families  
Only 50% of eligible families elected to participate  
No long-term follow-up reported |
| Lynch et. al. (2004) | A’s Pals: teacher training, classroom curriculum, and parent education | IG: 17 intervention classrooms ($n = 218$)  
CG: 16 control classrooms ($n = 181$) | X X X X | IG classrooms showed positive changes on teacher-rated prosocial skills, positive coping, and distract/avoid skills  
CG classrooms received higher mean ratings on the problem behavior scales at the end of the school year than at the beginning | Teacher report only  
No long-term follow-up reported |
| Citation | Interventions | Sample | Outcomes by CASEL domain | Major findings | Major study limitations |
|----------|---------------|--------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Han et al. (2005) | The prekindergarten RECAP program | IG: 83 preschool students in 6 classrooms at 3 school sites CG: 66 preschool students in 6 classrooms at 3 school sites | X X X X X | IG showed greater improvements in teacher-rated social skills ($F_{(1, 144)} = 5.73, p < 0.05$), including cooperation ($F_{(1, 144)} = 3.99, p < 0.05$) and assertion ($F_{(1, 144)} = 7.12, p < 0.01$), than the CG | Parents and teachers were aware of their intervention status IG and CG children differed significantly on teacher-reported levels of problems and skills and on family income IG parent group attendance was extremely low |
| Domitrovich et al. (2007) | Preschool PATHS | IG: 10 Head Start classrooms CG: 10 Head Start classrooms 246 children overall across both groups | X X X X X | IG exhibited greater improvements in emotion knowledge than the CG IG exhibited greater improvements in teacher-rated social competence and internalizing problems than the CG IG exhibited greater improvements in parent-rated social and emotional competence | No long-term follow-up |
| Bieman et al. (Bieman, Domitrovich, et al., 2008) | Head Start REDI | 44 Head Start classrooms and 356 children overall. Classrooms were randomly assigned to the IG or CG | X X X X X | IG exhibited greater improvements in emotion knowledge and social problem-solving skills than the CG | Parent component was minimal and not separately tracked or assessed |
| Citation                  | Interventions                                      | Sample                                                                 | Outcomes by CASEL domain | Major findings                                                                 | Major study limitations                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Izard et al. (2008)       | Emotion based prevention program                   | Study 1: IG: 9 classrooms  
CG: 7 classrooms  
Over 6 Head Start centers  
N = 179                  | X X X X                   | Intervention participation was associated with increased emotion knowledge and regulation skills for children who were at least four years old at pre-test  
Teacher ratings and independent classroom observations also showed that the IG evinced reduced negative emotionality and maladaptive behavior compared to the CG  
No treatment effects on measures of positive behavior | Parent component was not successfully implemented                   |
| Webster-Stratton et al. (2008) | Incredible Years Series: Dinosaur School            | 42 Head Start classrooms in Seattle; wait list control                 | X X X X                   | IG participants exhibited significant improvements in social competence, self-regulation, and aggressive behavior | Study did not include parent reports, making it impossible to determine whether treatment effects generalized to the home environment  
Reliance on teacher ratings  
Teachers were not blinded to intervention status |
| Pickens (2009)            | Peace Education Foundation (PEF) socio-emotional development program for teachers and parents | IG: 246 preschoolers who attended sites that used the PEF curriculum, and their parents  
CG: 50 children matched on IG demographic characteristics, who did not attend PEF sites | X X                     | IG showed significant improvements in positive behavior (including social cooperation, social interaction, social independence; F(1294) = 17.52, p < 0.001) over time, relative to the CG  
No treatment effects on measures of positive behavior | Reliance on teacher ratings  
Teachers were not blinded to intervention status                      |
| Conner and Fraser (2011)  | Parent and teacher training through the making choices and strong families programs | IG: 31 children  
CG: 36 children  
All children from a coalition of centers providing part-day preschool services using the High-Scope preschool curriculum | X X X X                   | IG group exhibited significantly greater improvements in social competence, academic competence, depression, and aggressive behavior than the CG | Very small sample sizes  
Moderate to high attrition rates  
Parents and raters were not blind to treatment assignment                   |
Table 6 (continued)

| Citation           | Interventions                                             | Sample                                                                 | Outcomes by CASEL domain | Major findings                                                                                     | Major study limitations                                                                 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Wilson et. al. (2012) | Tuning in to Kids (TIK) parenting program                | IG: 62 parents of Australian preschoolers (ages 4–5 years)              | X                        | At follow-up, teachers rated the IG as having significantly better social competence than the CG  | Outcomes were not assessed immediately post-intervention                                    |
|                    |                                                           | CG: 66 parents of Australian preschoolers (ages 4–5 years)              |                          | (F(1,119.80) = 43.72, p = 0.000)                                                                   | Sample comprised parent volunteers, with limited socioeconomic and cultural diversity      |
| Stefan and Miclea (2012) | Fast Track program                                       | IG: 89 preschoolers                                                    | X X X X X                | Medium-to-large treatment effects for social and emotional competencies and problem-solving.       | Teachers were responsible for both implementation and evaluation of child outcomes         |
|                    |                                                           | CG: 69 preschoolers                                                    |                          | Children from medium- and high-risk subgroups benefited the most from intervention participation  | Did not parse effects of child, teacher, or parent-focused intervention facets              |
| Morris et. al. (2013) | Foundations of Learning                                  | IG: 26 classrooms                                                       | X X X                    | IG classrooms were rated as having significantly higher levels of emotional support (ES = 0.65)    | Potentially limited generalizability, given unusually small class sizes and high levels of teacher credentialing |
|                    |                                                           | CG: 25 classrooms                                                       |                          | and lower levels of child–teacher conflict (ES = −0.40)                                         | Teachers were responsible for both implementation and evaluation                            |
|                    |                                                           | All from Head Start centers, community-based child care centers, and public schools |                          | No treatment effects were detected for social competence outcomes                                  | Unable to determine the impacts of specific intervention components on outcomes            |
| Stefan and Miclea (2013) | Socio-emotional prevention program                       | IG: 89 Romanian children and their parents, drawn from a local preschool | X                        | At follow-up, IG exhibited greater expressive emotion recognition (d = 0.50), receptive emotion    | Potentially limited generalizability, given limited socioeconomic diversity in the sample |
|                    |                                                           | CG: 69 children from the same classrooms who did not receive any intervention |                          | recognition (d = 0.36) and social problem-solving skills (d = 0.62) than the CG                   | Reliance on teacher and parent reports of child outcomes                                   |
| Citation          | Interventions                                      | Sample                                                                 | Outcomes by CASEL domain | Major findings                                                                 | Major study limitations                                                                 |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Upshur et. al. (2013) | Second Step pre/kindergarten social and emotional learning curriculum | Four community-based child-care centers participated. Two were randomized into the IG, and two into the CG. 195 children were randomized into the IG and 146 were randomized into the CG. Sample sizes varied based on outcome and time-point (ranging from 53 to 133) | X                        | No significant between-group differences in teacher-rated prosocial skill development | Small sample sizes and high rates of missing data  
Reliance on teacher ratings of child outcomes  
Researchers were not able to fully document the socio-emotional curricula that CG members were exposed to |
| Flook et. al. (2015) | Kindness Curriculum (KC)                          | IG: 30 children from 3 classrooms  
CG: 38 from 4 classrooms                                                   | X X X                    | IG exhibited greater improvements on teacher-rated social competence than the CG, ($d = 0.26$), particularly in prosocial behavior ($d = 0.29$) and emotion regulation ($d = 0.25$)  
IG exhibited greater improvements in sharing behavior ($d = −0.33$), delay of gratification ($d = 0.23$), and cognitive flexibility ($d = 0.43$) than the CG | Very small sample size  
Teachers were not blinded to study condition  
No long-term follow-up |
| Hemmeter et. al. (2016) | Pyramid Model for promoting young children's socio-emotional competence | IG: 252 preschool students and 20 teachers  
CG: 242 preschool students and 20 teachers                                | X X X                    | IG children exhibited significantly higher teacher-rated social skills and significantly lower problem behaviors ($d = −0.29$) than CG children at post-test ($d = 0.43$) | Reliance on teacher ratings of child outcomes  
Unable to determine impacts of specific intervention components |
| Citation                    | Interventions                                                                 | Sample                                                                 | Outcomes by CASEL domain | Major findings                                                                 | Major study limitations                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Poehlmann-Tynan et. al. (2016) | Kindness Curriculum                                                            | IG: 15 preschool students across two classrooms                        | X                        | IG children exhibited increased attentional focus and self-regulation from pre- to post-intervention. Increases in self-regulation were found to persist at 3-month follow-up. No significant differences in empathy or compassion were observed between groups at follow-up. | Small sample. All students enrolled in concurrent reading intervention. The two IG classrooms each had a different mindfulness intervention instructor. |
| Muratori et. al. (2017)     | Coping Power                                                                  | IG: 84 children                                                        | X                        | IG children exhibited significantly greater decreases in teacher-rated behavioral difficulties (ES = 0.36) and parent-rated behavioral difficulties (ES = 0.38) over time than the CG. The IG also exhibited greater increases in teacher-rated prosocial behavior (ES = 0.30) over time. | No direct observation of children’s SEL skills. Teachers were not blind to children’s intervention status. They delivered the intervention and also assessed outcomes. No long-term follow-up. |
| Bierman et. al. (2017)      | Head Start REDI-C (classroom intervention) and REDI-P (home-visiting intervention) | IG (REDI-C): 288 children                                               | X                        | Long-term follow-up of REDI-C suggested sustained benefits in classroom participation, relationships with peers and teachers, and social competence. The addition of REDI-P was associated with improvements in child perception of social competence and relations with peers. | REDI-C + REDI-P only compared to REDI-C alone. No direct comparison with a no-treatment group. 48% of eligible parents declined to participate in parent intervention. |
| Jensen et. al. (2017)       | VIDA                                                                          | IG: 29 preschools                                                        | X                        | No difference between IG and CG on SEL outcomes.                                                                                  | Outcomes limited to teacher ratings on a single measure (the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire). |
| Citation           | Interventions                                                                 | Sample                                                                 | Outcomes by CASEL domain | Major findings                                                                                                                  | Major study limitations                                      |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kemple et. al. (2019) | Second Step                                                                    | IG: 17 preschool-aged children, CG: 20 preschool-aged children          | X                        | IG exhibited significant improvements in teacher-rated total social skills (t(35) = 2.19, p = 0.04), cooperation (t(35) = 2.14, p = 0.04), assertion, and self-control over time, relative to the CG, with some differences based on baseline social competence | Non-randomized design, Small sample size, Reliance on teacher reports of child outcomes |
| Williams and Berthelsen (2019) | Untitled rhythm and movement intervention, aimed at improving self-regulation and executive functioning | Three early childhood centers serving low-income preschoolers (ages 4–5 years). One classroom per site was assigned to the IG, one classroom per site was assigned to the CG. Total sample size = 113 children | X                        | IG exhibited significant improvements in emotion regulation over time, relative to the CG (d = 0.35)                                                                                 | Non-randomized design, Reliance on teacher reports of child outcomes |

Articles are listed in chronological order by publication date. Coding of CASEL domains was based on the information provided in the published article and (when possible) publicly available information about specific measures.

IG: intervention group, CG: control/comparison group, SA: self-awareness, SM: self-management, SoA: social awareness, RS: relationship skills, RD: responsible decision-making.
This is because, based on our review and to our knowledge, only one peer-reviewed study (Weiland & Yoshikawa, 2013) has examined the effects of general public prekindergarten participation on SEL. A small number of studies have examined the relations between public prekindergarten participation and emotional and behavioral problems in childhood (e.g., internalizing and externalizing symptoms) (e.g., Gormley et al., 2011; Magnuson et al., 2007); however, as previously discussed, the focus of this review is on the relationship between intervention and SEL, not psychopathology symptoms. The lack of research on SEL in the context of public prekindergarten is a major gap that we will discuss in more depth later in this paper. In the interim, we present our findings on both types of non-SEL-skills-based interventions (general public prekindergarten and multi-component ECE programs).

**Meta-analyses and reviews (Table 3)**

Our review did not uncover any peer-reviewed meta-analyses or systematic reviews of the relations between public prekindergarten programming and SEL. On the contrary, several peer-reviewed meta-analyses and systematic reviews have investigated the effects of multi-component ECE programs on SEL. The authors of these publications have typically constructed outcome variables using a combination of measures assessing SEL skills, mental health symptoms, and outcomes from other domains that are related to socio-emotional functioning (e.g., special education placement, criminal justice system involvement). These publications will be briefly reviewed herein.

Nelson et al. (2003) published one of the first meta-analyses examining preschool prevention programs for low-income children and families. Inclusion criteria included (1) presence of a prospective research design, (2) control or comparison group, and (3) at least one follow-up assessment in elementary school or beyond. In all, 34 qualifying interventions were identified. The authors reported that preschool programs exerted small to moderate effects on socio-emotional functioning in both the short-term (Kindergarten through eighth grade; \( d = 0.27 \)) and long-term (high school and beyond; \( d = 0.33 \)). Age at program entry was not related to program impacts; however, higher program dosage was linked to stronger effects on socio-emotional functioning. Results also indicated that African American children were more likely to participate in the most intensive interventions, and that programs that predominately served the latter group were associated with the greatest socio-emotional benefits.

Several years later, Camilli et al. (2010) conducted another meta-analysis examining the effects of ECE participation on child outcomes. To be included in the meta-analysis, interventions had to: (1) be center-based, (2) provide direct educational services to children, with a strong focus on cognitive and/or language development; (3) take place for at least 10 h per week for two months, and (4) serve the general population. Studies also had to have a comparison group. The authors identified 123 studies spanning five decades that met inclusion criteria; however, only 43 of these examined socio-emotional outcomes. The authors combined “social/emotional and anti-social outcome[s]” for analysis, including children’s self-esteem, school adjustment, educational goals, aggression, and antisocial behaviors (p. 592). Results indicated that participation in ECE programs was associated with modest positive effects on children’s social skills and school progress (unweighted mean ES = 0.16 for treatment versus control group analyses).
These treatment effects were maintained over the course of longitudinal follow-up. Two instructional practices were positively correlated with socio-emotional gains among treatment group members: teacher-directed instruction and small-group learning.

D’Onise et. al. (2014) conducted a systematic literature review examining the effects of center-based preschool programs on health outcomes. They identified 13 studies that examined the effects of program participation on “social competence” between grades one and 11. Several of these studies utilized measures that assessed both positive social behaviors (e.g., cooperation, self-control) and problem behaviors (e.g., externalizing and internalizing problems, hyperactivity). D’Onise and colleagues reported that eight of the 13 studies identified beneficial effects of preschool participation on social competence, broadly construed, whereas six found no significant effects. Program duration and quality were not significantly associated with impacts on socio-emotional functioning.

Studies not included in meta-analyses and reviews (Tables 2 and 4)

Several additional studies have been published since the aforementioned meta-analyses and reviews on the relationship between early intervention and SEL. This notably includes the only peer-reviewed study on the relations between public prekindergarten participation and SEL that our review uncovered: Weiland and Yoshikawa’s (2013) investigation of the Boston Public Schools prekindergarten program (Table 1). Boston Public School preschoolers were compared to control group members who had a variety of experiences during the prekindergarten year, ranging from familial care to center-based preschool programming. All participants completed performance-based and observational assessments of SEL across the preschool year. Results indicated that public prekindergarten participants exhibited significantly greater growth in emotion regulation and inhibitory control over time than the control group.

Several recent studies have also investigated the relations between multi-component ECE program participation and SEL. For example, Brown and Sax (2013) reported on the SEL of preschoolers attending an arts-integrated Head Start site, the Settlement Music School’s Kaleidoscope Preschool Arts Enrichment program (“Kaleidoscope”). The Kaleidoscope site combined traditional early learning strategies with comprehensive arts programming (e.g., visual art classes, dance and creative movement, music). This programming drew from varied cultural traditions, and was designed to support children’s creativity and emotional expression. Results indicated that Kaleidoscope participants exhibited significantly greater growth in both positive and negative emotion regulation over the course of the school year, compared to children attending a traditional Head Start site. These results underscore the potential value of multi-component programming (including arts enrichment) in promoting young children’s SEL.

Several years later, Reynolds et. al. (2016) published an evaluation study examining the effects of the Child–Parent Center (CPC) program on SEL. The CPC program provides comprehensive, center-based educational and family support services to low-income children between Preschool and third grade. Reynolds and colleagues’ study utilized a quasi-experimental, matched-group cohort design which included 1724 children who attended CPC preschool, and a comparison group of 906 children who attended alternative prekindergarten programming. Teachers rated children’s SEL across the preschool year using the observation-based Teaching Strategies Gold Assessment System
(TSGOLD). Overall, teachers rated CPC participants as having significantly higher overall SEL (including self-regulation, sustaining positive relationships, and participating constructively in group situations) at the end of preschool than comparison group members (standardized mean difference = 0.44). These results should be interpreted in the context of the non-randomized design and reliance on teacher ratings; however, they suggest that participation in public school-based ECE programs may enhance the SEL of low-income children.

Richardson et. al. (2017) also examined SEL in the context of the CPC program. Their study’s intervention group included 1289 low-income children who attended CPC preschool in Chicago. The comparison group included 591 children who attended public preschool programs at matched school sites. Teachers rated children’s SEL skills at three time-points throughout the preschool year using TSGOLD. Results indicated that teachers rated CPC participants as having significantly higher SEL school readiness than control group members. Positive impacts were detected for children who attended both the full- and half-day CPC programs, and for children from free-lunch eligible and Spanish-speaking families.

**Skills-based SEL interventions**

Discrete skills-based interventions to augment SEL are appealing in that they can be delivered by a teacher in the school setting, and generally require a finite investment of time, training, and resources. These types of interventions often target student competencies through a combination of indirect methods such as teacher skills augmented through professional development and strategies to alter classroom quality or parent training, and direct methods like didactic teaching and practice of socio-emotional and self-regulation skills.

It should be noted that many programs that may come to mind when discussing interventions focusing on social skills within preschool programs use measures of psychopathology outcomes (e.g., emotional or behavioral disorders; symptoms of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder or clinically significant conduct problems) as proxies for “socio-emotional competencies” and as such, were not included in this review for reasons of construct validity discussed above. Several studies were also excluded from the present review due to lacking a control group, having a cross-sectional design, or taking place before 1990. Few studies collected long-term follow-up data, and therefore in many cases sustained effects of skills-based interventions are unknown. Every effort was made to review a representative body of evidence for the programs described below; however, for several programs, we were only able to identify one empirical study that met our inclusion criteria.

**Reviews of skills-based SEL interventions (Table 5)**

While there have been several reviews of programs designed to reduce challenging and increasing prosocial behaviors, only two comprehensive, peer-reviewed systematic reviews focused on skills-based SEL programs for young children had been published by our cutoff (Barton et al., 2014; Joseph & Strain, 2003). The scope of these reviews is somewhat broader than that of the present paper, as both included studies of interventions across the elementary years in addition to those targeting preschoolers, as well
as interventions that focused primarily on parents and parenting practices. However, both reviews did evaluate the evidence base for many programs relevant to the current review—namely, universal SEL skills-based programs designed to be implemented by teachers in preschool settings.

Both Joseph and Strain (2003; Fig. 1) and Barton et al. (2014; Fig. 2) have published reviews of socio-emotional curricula. In both reviews, studies had to meet the following criteria: (a) intervention targeted socio-emotional and behavioral competencies, (b) intervention targeted children from birth to age five, (c) intervention had a published manual, and (d) findings were published in a peer-reviewed journal article. Figures 1 and 2 summarize the number of studies that the authors identified, and the criteria that they used to judge program efficacy and implementation success. In both studies, programs were rated as having high, medium, or low levels of empirical evidence.

The aforementioned reviews have served as a valuable and informative starting point for the present study’s investigation of the current state of the research on skills-based SEL programs; however, the criteria for choosing studies in the present paper differed considerably. Specifically, several of the interventions evaluated in Joseph and Strain’s (2003) and Barton et al. (2014) reviews were last evaluated prior to 1990; were primarily focused on mental health, psychopathology, or antisocial behavior as opposed to socio-emotional functioning; or were evaluated in kindergartners or older elementary school aged children. Overall, most studies did not evaluate SEL using independent observers or multiple raters, and long-term follow-up was rare.

Meanwhile, two recently published meta-analyses investigated the effects of skills-based SEL interventions on young children’s SEL (Luo et al., 2020; Murano et al., 2020). Luo et al. (2020) meta-analysis investigated the impacts of classroom-wide social-emotional interventions (e.g., universal, skills-based interventions) on preschoolers’ social, emotional, and behavioral functioning. They identified 30 studies which reported sufficient data to calculate effect sizes, and which examined intervention effects on social competence. Meta-analytic results indicated that classroom-wide interventions had moderate positive effects on social competence ($g=0.42, z=5.77, p<0.001, k=34$), though there was significant heterogeneity in effect sizes across studies. Follow-up analyses indicated that interventions that incorporated family-focused programming had greater impacts on social competence than those that did not include family members. Meanwhile, in meta-analysis of 12 studies that examined emotional competence, Luo and colleagues reported that classroom-wide interventions had moderate positive effects on emotional competence ($g=0.33, z=2.85, p=0.004, k=14$). Interestingly, univariate moderator analyses did not identify linkages between most intervention variables (including dosage) and children's SEL outcomes.

In another recent meta-analysis, Murano et al. (2020) examined the effects of both universal and targeted skills-based SEL interventions on preschoolers’ SEL skills and problem behaviors. They identified 48 empirical studies which met their inclusion criteria, and reported that both universal and targeted interventions had moderate effects on children’s social and emotional skills ($g=0.34$ and $g=0.44$, respectively). Similar to the findings reported by Luo et al. (2020), Murano and colleagues indicated that there was significant heterogeneity in effect sizes across studies, and that 83% of this heterogeneity was attributable to the specific intervention implemented. They also reported that
Fig. 1 Summary of Joseph and Strain's (2003) review of skills-based SEL interventions

Criteria to judge levels of evidence:
1. Intervention fidelity
2. Treatment generalization
3. Treatment maintenance
4. Social validity of outcomes
5. Social validity of procedures
6. Replication across settings
7. Replication across clinical groups
8. Replication across research groups
9. Evidence across diverse groups of children

*Classroom-based programs only
**Fig. 2** Summary of Barton et al.'s (2014) review of skills-based SEL interventions.
interventions that included family members had stronger impacts on children’s SEL than those that did not include family members—also in keeping with Luo and colleagues’ results.

Taken together, Luo et al. (2020) and Murano et al. (2020) meta-analyses support the effectiveness of skills-based SEL interventions in promoting young children’s SEL. Their results indicate that both universal and targeted interventions can be beneficial, and that interventions that operate at multiple social-ecological levels tend to be most effective. Building on these findings, we will now summarize the empirical evidence bases for several SEL skills-based interventions in depth. Our intention is to describe several high-quality interventions, as a way of highlighting exemplary research as well as conceptual and methodological issues for future researchers to address.

**Child and teacher-focused skills-based interventions**

This group of interventions provides a teacher-training component combined with a child curriculum consisting of discrete, manualized lessons on SEL topics. These curricula often take the form of teaching didactic SEL skills instruction to children, typically in group-based settings in the context of Head Start and other publicly funded preschool programs. Due to space constraints, three exemplar programs will be discussed in detail below. Table 6 describes additional skills-based interventions meeting our criteria.

**PATHS**

One child and teacher-focused skills-based intervention is the Promoting Alternative Thinking Strategies (PATHS) curriculum (Domitrovich et al., 2004). PATHS is one of the most extensively evaluated SEL programs for young children (Arda & Ocak, 2012; Domitrovich et al., 2007; Hamre et al., 2012; Hughes & Cline, 2015; Stefan & Miclea, 2012). The developers describe PATHS as based on the Affective–Behavioral–Cognitive–Dynamic (ABCD) model of development (Greenberg & Kusche, 1993), which “suggests that emotional development is an important precursor to other cognitive and language skills and that the successful development of emotion knowledge and regulation is foundational to the development of the broad spectrum of social competencies described previously as central to school success (Hamre et al., 2012, p. 811).” Although implementation appears to vary slightly by site and evaluation team, the curriculum generally consists of several dozen lessons, delivered once per week by Head Start preschool teachers during “circle time,” and focuses on emotion knowledge, regulation, prosocial skills, and problem-solving. The intervention also often includes extension activities that were intended to generalize the weekly lessons and to foster an environment that would encourage children’s use of socio-emotional skills. Teachers are generally provided with support, ranging from access to a website with examples of teachers implementing PATHS to ongoing site visits and consultation from designated PATHS coordinators. Implementation fidelity has also been monitored in a variety of ways, including via the site visits or through submission and coding of videos. Studies utilized a range of tools for assessing outcomes, including direct assessment, observation, and parent and teacher reports of emotion knowledge, prosocial and problem behavior, and attentional skills. Evaluators generally reported medium effect sizes, ranging from 0.20 to 0.50, across socio-emotional and behavioral domains. However, as in many of the studies
included here, parents and teachers in all of the evaluations were not blind to the intervention condition, which could have biased ratings.

Stefan and Miclea (2012) evaluated the implementation of a program that they called “Fast Track,” in which PATHS was the SEL intervention, in a preschool population in Romania. In addition to teacher training, they utilized a parent component, which was delivered via group and individual training sessions, and focused on positive discipline strategies and increasing parents’ knowledge of how to support their child’s social and emotional development. The authors found medium to large intervention effects for all outcomes. Children in medium and high-risk subgroups appeared to benefit more from the intervention even when controlling for baseline differences on tasks. This study is distinguished by the fact that the authors conducted follow-up assessments three months after the post-intervention data was collected, and found that intervention effects were maintained for both social and emotional competencies. However, there was no direct observation of child classroom behaviors, and as teacher, parent, and child components were delivered concurrently, mechanisms of effects are unknown.

**Head Start REDI**

Another Head Start-based program, Head Start Research-based, Developmentally Informed (REDI), which uses PATHs to target socio-emotional skills in the context of a broader program intended to enhance both social competence and literacy in preschoolers, has been the subject of several studies with long-term follow-up (Bierman et al., 2014; Bierman, Domitrovich, et al., 2008; Bierman, Nix, et al., 2008; Nix et al., 2016). Initial investigations of the REDI program on preschoolers found small to medium effect sizes for social competence and executive functioning outcomes (Bierman, Domitrovich, et al., 2008; Bierman, Nix, et al., 2008). Results of a 1-year follow-up on children in Head Start REDI as preschoolers compared to those who had attended “usual practice” Head Start indicated sustained direct effects for social problem-solving ($d=0.40$) and parent and teacher-rated aggressive behaviors ($d=−0.20$ and $d=−0.25$, respectively) for kindergarten children who were in REDI as preschoolers, with effect sizes at the 1-year follow-up equal to or larger than those at the end of the intervention year. Teacher-rated social competence was also significantly higher for intervention students ($d=0.26$), but only for those in kindergarten at schools where overall student achievement was low (Bierman et al., 2014). Several years later, Bierman et al. (2017) combined the samples of the two previous randomized trials to investigate the sustained effects of REDI, three years post-intervention. Intervention group participants received either the REDI Classroom Program (REDI-C), or both REDI-C and the REDI-Parent home visiting program (REDI-P). Comparison group members attended standard Head Start programming. Results indicated that REDI-C graduates exhibited significantly higher classroom participation ($d=−0.25$), social competence ($d=−0.25$), and student–teacher relationships ($d=−0.4$) in second grade relative to the comparison group. Children who had received both REDI-C and REDI-P exhibited higher perceived social competence ($d=−0.75$) compared to children who had only received REDI-C.

Taken together, these studies provide strong evidence that the PATHS curriculum, when implemented with fidelity, can effectively increase the SEL competencies of
preschoolers both within the context of the literacy-promoting REDI intervention and when used independently.

**Kindness Curriculum**

The Kindness Curriculum, a mindfulness-based intervention designed to increase empathy, prosocial behavior, and self-regulation in preschoolers, has been evaluated in two empirical studies meeting our criteria (Flook et al., 2015; Poehlmann-Tynan et al., 2016). In both evaluations, the 10-h training was delivered to preschoolers over the course of 12 weeks via stories, music, and movement. The program emphasizes kindness, emotion regulation, and attentional capacity. Flook et al. (2015), in the initial study, found via teacher ratings and direct assessment that the intervention group showed greater improvements across domains of social competence ($d = 0.26–0.29$) compared to the control group, in addition to significant effects for cognitive flexibility ($d = 0.43$) and delay of gratification ($d = 0.23–0.37$). Children who were initially lower in social competence and executive functioning skills evinced larger gains in social competence.

Poehlmann-Tynan et al. (2016) also found promising effects when investigating this curriculum in economically disadvantaged preschoolers and assessed prosocial behavior (e.g., empathy and compassion), self-regulation, and executive functioning via direct assessment and observation. They found that the children in the KC intervention group increased their capacity for self-regulation and attention (partial $\eta^2 = 0.26–0.33$) relative to the control group; however, unlike Flook, they found no changes in observer-rated or directly assessed prosocial behaviors. The positive effects, however, persisted at a 3-month follow-up assessment. It is important to note that the curricula were delivered by “experienced mindfulness instructors.” The evidence base for effectiveness and scalability of the KC intervention in a preschool setting would be strengthened by an investigation of the program delivered by classroom teachers.

**Skills-based interventions incorporating parent involvement**

This group of interventions supplements skills-based interventions for children and/or teachers with parent involvement initiatives. Due to space constraints, three exemplar programs will be discussed in detail below. Table 6 describes additional skills-based interventions.

**The RECAP intervention**

Han et al. (2005) have published the only study to date evaluating the Reaching Educators, Children, and Parents (RECAP) program in preschoolers. The study aimed to enhance preschoolers’ problem solving and social skills and also attempted to incorporate a parenting component. The program included curricular and behavior management components, provided teachers with weekly trainings and ongoing consultations, and offered a bi-weekly parent group. Study participants were primarily low-income 4 to 5-year-old children in public prekindergarten classrooms. The evaluators collected parent- and teacher-report of internalizing and externalizing behaviors and social skills. They found no changes in children’s parent-rated functioning over time. However, they did find significant improvements for intervention group participants on teacher-rated total social skills ($F[1, 144] = 5.73, p < 0.05$), cooperation ($F[1, 144] = 3.99, p < 0.05$) and
assertion \( F[1, 144] = 7.12, p < 0.01 \). Notably, parent group attendance was extremely low, and as such, the effectiveness of the parent component of this intervention was not able to be evaluated. Other limitations included the self-report nature of the outcome measures, and baseline group differences in teacher-reported levels of problem behaviors and skills, and on family income.

**Making choices and strong families (Conner & Fraser, 2011)**

The Making Choices program is one of the few studies of an SEL skills-based curriculum for preschoolers that included a successfully implemented parenting component. The SEL-focused component, Making Choices, is a manualized program with theoretical bases in social information processing, designed for preschool-aged children. The program was delivered twice weekly for 14 weeks with the aim of strengthening social information processing, emotion regulation skills, and prosocial interactions with peers. The parent training component, Strong Families, had distinct but complementary goals, including increasing positive parent–child interaction patterns and decreasing coercion. The study sample was drawn from a group of part-day preschool centers, and the comparison group received typical Head Start services. Investigators directly assessed child-level competencies (e.g., academic competence, achievement motivation, social competence, peer acceptance, depression/anxiety and aggression/hostility) and family and child functioning. Results revealed significant effects for all child competencies \( F[20, 46] = 3.05, p < 0.001; r^2 = 0.35 \), with higher gain scores among intervention group participants, as well as positive changes in caregiver behaviors \( F[10, 56] = 6.88, p < 0.001; r^2 = 0.36 \). While these outcomes are promising, the sample sizes are quite small, and about 35% of eligible families moved or otherwise became ineligible before assignment to a treatment group. No follow-up or replication studies in preschoolers have been conducted to date, and as the interventions were delivered together, it is unclear if one or both was driving effects.

**Incredible Years (IY)**

The Incredible Years program was originally designed as a treatment for children with Oppositional Defiant Disorder or Conduct Disorder diagnoses (Webster-Stratton, 1990), with theoretical bases in social learning theory and the effects of adult–child interaction processes in child behavior. The program has been adapted for use with several age groups and populations, including as a classroom-based prevention program aiming to augment socio-emotional skills and decrease problem behaviors in preschoolers. Of particular interest to the present review are the IY Teacher Classroom Management Training (TCM), the IY Child Program: Dinosaur Classroom Curriculum, and the IY Parent Program used in conjunction with the teacher or child programs. The IY programs, implemented as universal prevention programs in preschool-aged children, have been evaluated by Webster-Stratton and colleagues (Webster-Stratton, 1998; Webster-Stratton & Reid, 2004; Webster-Stratton et al., 2001, 2008). Several independent evaluations of IY programs have also been conducted; however, many specifically evaluated children with high levels of disruptive or oppositional behaviors in the preschool setting (e.g., Baker-Henningham et al., 2012), did not utilize a control group (e.g., Shernoff & Kratochwill,
2007), or did not measure SEL outcomes (e.g., Williford & Shelton, 2008). As such, they did not meet our inclusion criteria.

Webster-Stratton et al. (2001) evaluated IY TCM program in combination with the Parent Training as a universal prevention program in Head Start. The intervention group parents and teachers participated in the IY programs, and the control group received usual practice Head Start services. The teacher training consisted of 36 h of training on classroom management, child development, and promotion of prosocial and reduction of antisocial behaviors. The parent training had similar content, adapted for the home context and focused on reducing coercive discipline and increasing positive parenting practices. Teachers and parents in the intervention group evinced more positive practices, while children engaged in more prosocial behavior and were rated as more socially competent. Specifically, 71% of intervention group children rated as having problems with social competence at baseline fell in the normative range at the end of school, compared to 36.6% of the control group children initially rated as lower in social competence ($\chi^2 [1, 26] = 4.12, p < 0.04$).

Webster-Stratton and colleagues have also evaluated the IY TCM program in combination with the Dinosaur School curriculum in Head Start settings (Webster-Stratton et al., 2008). Children received 30 bi-weekly lessons promoting socio-emotional skills, problem-solving, self-regulation, and school behavior over the course of a year, communicated via vignettes, small-group activities, puppets, and games. Teachers participated in 28 h of workshops focused on classroom management and promotion of socio-emotional competence, spread out over four months. A research staff-member led lessons alongside the classroom teacher to ensure implementation fidelity. Outcomes were measured via classroom observations, as well as direct assessment of competencies such as problem-solving skills and emotion knowledge. The authors reported that teachers in the intervention were more likely to use teaching strategies to promote SEL (e.g., teaching prosocial behavior, problem-solving, shaping peer play, encouraging feelings language, and promoting social competence) in intervention group teachers ($d = 0.96$). The intervention was also associated with higher levels of teacher-reported child social competence and self-regulation (effect sizes not reported), particularly for students with low levels at baseline, as well as improvements in problem-solving ($\eta^2 = 0.41$) and feelings knowledge ($\eta^2 = 0.14$).

Overall, the evidence base for the Incredible Years intervention is encouraging; however, evaluation methodology has been inconsistent and further investigation is needed to determine efficacy of the program as a universal prevention strategy for preschool-aged children.

Teacher-focused skills-based interventions
This group of interventions provides training and other forms of professional development to teachers, with the aim of improving teacher–child interactions and children’s socio-emotional functioning.

Pyramid Model
The Pyramid Model for Promoting Young Children’s Socio-Emotional Competence (the “Pyramid Model”; PM) is a professional development intervention that includes
research-informed practices for promoting healthy socio-emotional development and high-quality relationships between caregivers and children. PM practices include universal strategies for teachers to promote family engagement and children’s peer social skills, as well as individualized interventions strategies for children exhibiting challenging behaviors. These practices are taught through multi-day workshops and implementation guides. Teachers also receive classroom materials (e.g., puppets, books) for implementing the practices.

Hemmeter et. al. (2016) conducted a cluster-randomized controlled potential efficacy trial to evaluate the effects of classroom-wide implementation of PM on teacher practices and child outcomes. Teachers in the intervention group participated in the initial PM workshops, and subsequently received weekly individualized coaching, which included in-classroom observation. Results indicated that children whose teachers participated in PM had higher teacher-rated social skills at post-test than children whose teachers did not participate in PM ($d = 0.43$). These results are promising, but should be interpreted with caution given the study’s reliance on teacher ratings of children’s social skills.

**Foundations of Learning (FOL)**

Foundations of Learning is a professional development intervention that combines teacher training and mental health consultation. FOL teachers participate in workshops on proactively supporting positive behavior and managing challenging behaviors in the classroom, and on personal stress management. They also receive weekly classroom-level mental health consultation, and individualized mental health consultation as needed for children exhibiting persistent challenging behaviors. FOL’s teacher training component is adapted from the Incredible Years curriculum; the intervention is also based on the previously discussed, smaller-scale Chicago School Readiness Project (CSPR) intervention.

Morris et. al. (2013) conducted a cluster-randomized controlled study to evaluate the effects of FOL on preschool teacher practices and child outcomes. Results revealed positive impacts on teachers’ ability to manage challenging behaviors and promote a positive emotional climate in the classroom. At the child outcome level, results indicated that children whose teachers participated in FOL exhibited less problem behaviors (e.g., peer and teacher conflict, as rated by trained observer) than children whose teachers did not participate in FOL. However, no significant effects on either observer- or teacher-rated positive social behavior (e.g., communication, sociability, compliance) were detected between groups. Positive intervention effects on children’s approaches to learning (e.g., self-control, focus, and participation in classroom activities) were detected at the trend level ($p < 0.10$).

**VIDA**

Jensen et. al. (2017) evaluated the VIDA (a Danish acronym for Knowledge-based efforts for socially disadvantaged children in daycare) intervention, which aims to augment socio-emotional functioning in preschool children by altering their social context. The primary mode of change is via teacher training to improve the preschool environment. Intervention teachers attended 17 full days of training over the course of 2 years,
gaining theoretical knowledge about child development and the bioecological system, encouraging reflection, enhancing communication with students, and requiring teachers to use the training to design their own activities focused on socio-emotional skills (e.g., improving friendships, managing conflict). Jensen et. al. (2017) explain:

“The initial step of the teachers’ learning process takes place as a top-down process that presents participants with predefined topics [...] Through reflection, everyday experiences are related to the research-based knowledge and the teachers are using this to change their practice. The process transforms what was initially top-down, course-based theoretical knowledge into bottom-up, practice-oriented teacher learning and innovation” (p. 28).

Teacher ratings revealed a trending effect of the intervention on prosocial behavior. Further evaluation of the program is warranted, and results may not be generalizable due to the high dosage of the program. It is also unclear whether students retained the same teacher over the course of the intervention, which could be an important confounding factor. Finally, conclusions would be strengthened by measurement of SEL outcomes via more diverse tools, as the only outcome measure in the present study was teacher-reported.

**Discussion**

**Comparing program types**

**General public prekindergarten programs**

Access to public prekindergarten programs has expanded dramatically in the United States over the last several decades, with approximately one-third of 4-year-old children enrolled in state-funded programs in 2017 (National Institute for Early Education Research [NIEER], 2018). These programs have historically focused on enhancing children's pre-academic skills (e.g., language, numeracy), but have also increasingly targeted SEL.

Participation in public prekindergarten may enhance SEL by several mechanisms. For example, high-quality teacher–child relationships have been linked to improvements in children's SEL (Merritt et al., 2012). Prekindergarten participation also provides children with consistent opportunities for socialization with peers and social skill practice. Finally, improvements in children's academic and cognitive skills at the individual and classroom levels may also contribute to improvements in SEL over time through spill-over effects. For most children, this may be sufficient, but it is important to evaluate whether public prekindergarten programs can exert significant and sufficient benefits on children's SEL, or whether more targeted SEL services are needed.

Our review identified only one peer-reviewed study examining the effects of a general public prekindergarten program (Boston Public Schools) on SEL (Weiland & Yoshihikawa, 2013). Major strengths of this study include the socioeconomically diverse sample, utilization of performance-based measures of SEL skills, and examination of subgroup effects by race/ethnicity and free/reduced lunch status. Effect sizes on cognitive inhibitory control and emotion recognition were small, but statistically significant. The authors posited a “spill-over” hypothesis to explain program impacts on inhibitory control. The results of this study suggest that high-quality general public prekindergarten
programming may have a positive impact on children's SEL, but that children who exhibit delays in developmentally appropriate SEL skills may benefit from more targeted intervention. This finding is consistent with a significant body of research suggesting that children with higher needs tend to benefit more from early childhood intervention (Reynolds et al., 2011; Washington State Institute for Public Policy, 2014). Nonetheless, given that prekindergarten programs vary widely by school district, there is a need for additional studies in this domain. There is a particular need for studies examining the differential contributions of various program components. This is an important consideration in Weiland and Yoshikawa's (2013) study given the unusually high quality of the Boston Public Schools prekindergarten program, which includes equal educational requirements and pay scale for teachers from prekindergarten through high school, a research-based academic curriculum and a district-designed teacher coaching system.

**Multi-component ECE programs**

Multi-component ECE programs (e.g., Head Start, the Child–Parent Center (CPC) Program) typically provide a more comprehensive array of academic and family support services than general public prekindergarten programs. These programs also commonly prioritize enrollment of low-income children, who often lag behind in acquisition of pre-academic and SEL skills. Previous research has demonstrated that participation in these programs is most beneficial for children with the lowest levels of skills and the highest levels of psychosocial risk at program entry (Karoly & Bigelow, 2005; Reynolds et al., 2007). Hypothetically, multi-component programs may enhance children’s SEL by addressing risk and protective factors at multiple social-ecological levels. This is accomplished through a variety of means, from comprehensive academic curricula to wraparound family and social services.

The present review identified several literature reviews and meta-analyses examining the effects of multi-component prekindergarten programs on SEL. Many of these studies constructed outcome variables that combined SEL and mental health outcomes; thus, their results should be interpreted with significant caution. Nonetheless, aggregate results indicated that program participation was associated with small to moderate gains in SEL compared to comparison group members, with multiple studies reporting that children affected by the highest psychosocial risk exhibited the greatest gains. Findings on the impact of program duration were mixed. One meta-analysis reported that didactic instruction and small-group learning were positively associated with participants’ SEL gains (Camilli et al., 2010), suggesting that a balance of teacher-directed instruction, and child-initiated and small group activities may be beneficial for SEL. Meanwhile, two additional studies indicated that participation in the CPC prekindergarten program was associated with moderate enhancements in SEL for low-income children from diverse backgrounds (Reynolds et al., 2016; Richardson et al., 2017).

This body of research is small, but suggests that multi-component programs hold promise for promoting SEL. Notably, most studies did not examine the differential impacts of various program components on SEL (e.g., professional development, curricula, classroom structure), making it difficult to determine whether SEL benefits were driven by the overall combination of program components or a small number of “active ingredients.”
Skills-based SEL interventions

There is no shortage of skills-based SEL interventions for young children; however, they vary widely in scope, focus, size, theoretical foundations, and quality of the evidence base. Generally, such programs are based on manualized curricula, and are designed to supplement or enrich typical preschool programs. This type of intervention can be efficient, cost-effective, and scalable. For example, schools are not required to adopt an entirely new multi-component educational program, and the explicit targeting of specific SEL skills through games, songs, vignettes, role playing and modeling, and didactic teaching, and/or through teacher professional development and parent coaching can be a developmentally appropriate, engaging, and effective way to reach preschool-aged children. All of these factors likely contribute to the abundance of skills-based programs meeting our criteria for review in the present article.

We first identified two previously published reviews of skills-based SEL interventions (Barton et al., 2014; Joseph & Strain, 2003). Importantly, the authors state that they only reviewed studies of programs used in “at-risk” populations or with children demonstrating behavioral challenges. This review, in contrast, intentionally focused on empirical studies of the effectiveness of programs (some of which also appeared in the aforementioned reviews) in a general classroom setting. We felt that this was crucial, as all children can benefit from SEL skills training, and expanding the use of high-quality universal programs can help to shift the paradigm from pathologizing children with SEL “deficits” to normalizing and encouraging SEL growth for all children. Strain of the 2003 review was also an author on the 2014 paper, and as such, both papers used the same criteria for inclusion and assessment of quality. Ultimately, their conclusions were quite mixed. Of the SEL-focused programs evaluated in preschool settings after 1990s, the authors of both reviews identified one program with “high” levels of evidence, three with “medium” levels of evidence, and four with “low” levels of evidence.

We also identified two recently published meta-analyses, which examined the evidence base on skills-based SEL interventions for preschoolers (Luo et al., 2020; Murano et al., 2020). These meta-analyses indicated that both universal and targeted skills-based interventions had significant, moderate effects on preschoolers’ SEL skills. Both studies also reported limited evidence for moderating effects, and noted that interventions with family components were more effective than those that did not include family members. These results provide the strongest evidence to date that skills-based interventions can support the SEL of young children, including those affected by sociodemographic risk factors.

Overall, the results of the aforementioned systematic reviews and meta-analyses are consistent with the present review. We found considerable variation in delivery methods, assessment methods, and outcomes across interventions, in addition to variation in the use of control groups, random assignment, outcome measurement, follow-up, and other crucial elements of empirical research. While some programs were explicitly grounded in theory (some in social learning theory and principles of social information processing; others in more broadly defined developmental, self-regulation, and systems theories), effect sizes varied considerably within and across programs.

Despite these challenges, several programs with strong theoretical bases have been evaluated with large sample sizes, random assignment, multiple sources of outcome
assessment, and short-term follow-up, and as such, it is our cautious conclusion that skills-based programs can be an effective way to augment SEL skills in young children. Whether such programs are the optimal way to augment these skills (as opposed to the other approaches examined in the present paper) remains to be seen. The next step is to investigate impacts in well-designed quasi-experimental or randomized designs, while establishing and maintaining construct validity around SEL and ensuring that programs can be effectively delivered in real-world settings.

Synthesis: three intervention approaches

There are clear benefits and drawbacks to the three intervention approaches that were reviewed in the present paper. From a developmental perspective, there is considerable evidence for the use of multi-component ECE programs, which aim to promote holistic development by enhancing protective factors and reducing risk factors at multiple social-ecological levels. However, there is also promising evidence for several skills-based SEL programs, which have the benefit of facilitating adoption and implementation within existing frameworks.

Decades of developmental research have indicated that sensitive, responsive caregiving is an essential catalyst for healthy development in infancy and early childhood (Ainsworth et al., 1978; Landry et al., 2000). Given this evidence, prekindergarten programs that facilitate high-quality teacher–child relationships (e.g., via professional development, small class sizes) and safe, stable learning environments are likely to exert positive impacts on children’s SEL. Multi-component ECE programs, which typically include interventions at multiple levels of children’s social ecologies, may have an advantage in this domain over skills-based SEL programs. To this end, multi-component ECE programs fall under the category of promotion programming, as identified by the Center on the Social and Emotional Foundations for Learning (CESFEL; Duran et al., n.d.). Promotion programming includes interventions, practices, and policies that ensure that all children are receiving high-quality caregiving and education, which will facilitate developmentally appropriate SEL. Universal skills-based interventions that are offered to all children in a classroom may also fall under the promotion realm. Skills-based interventions may also be offered at the prevention level (Duran et al., n.d.). Programming at the prevention level provides targeted SEL support services for children with emergent SEL challenges, with the goal of addressing these challenges before they develop into more serious psychopathology. Offering skills-based SEL interventions at the prevention level for indicated populations may be more cost-effective than universal implementation; however, this strategy requires a screening process for identifying children at risk. School-based, skills-based SEL interventions may not be sufficient for children with more serious SEL deficits and/or clinical psychopathology; this population may benefit from more intensive intervention services in a mental health setting (Duran et al., n.d.).

Beyond program efficacy, several other factors must be considered when selecting an intervention, including cost, ease of implementation, and scale-up potential. Substantial financial resources and infrastructure are required to implement multi-component ECE programs and public prekindergarten programs; however, cost–benefit analyses have indicated that these initial investments may yield significant returns over time. For example, Heckman and colleagues have estimated that high-quality ECE programs can
produce financial returns of as much as 13% per annum (Garcia et al., 2016). Longitudinal research has also demonstrated that ECE programs can exert enduring benefits on many aspects of wellbeing (e.g., Consortium for Longitudinal Studies, 1983; Reynolds & Ou, 2011).

Researchers have also investigated the monetary value of interventions that specifically target SEL, and have found that such programs can yield substantial economic returns (e.g., Belfield et al., 2015). Studies indicate that these savings stem from improved functioning among program graduates, including reductions in substance abuse and increases in earnings, often mediated through variables such as educational attainment and self-esteem (Araujo & Lagos, 2013; Klapp et al., 2017). Aspects of SEL often characterized as "self-control" variables (e.g., executive functioning, self-regulatory skills) may also help to explain returns on investment in SEL programs. Childhood self-control has been found to predict costly outcomes, including physical health, substance use, income, and crime in adulthood (Moffitt et al., 2011). Finally, several studies have investigated a subset of SEL-informed intervention programs which narrowly focus on reducing delinquency and substance use. They note that these programs tend to target a small subset of SEL-related skills (e.g., impulse control) and can yield cost savings by reducing involvement in the criminal justice system (Miller & Hendrie, 2008).

**Future research directions**

The present paper aimed to review the highest quality literature available on the relationship between prekindergarten programs and SEL. Our review indicated a number of common methodological issues which should be addressed in future work.

**Definitions and measurement of SEL**

Evaluation studies of prekindergarten programs have typically examined cognitive and academic outcomes, with few studies investigating impacts on children's SEL. Meanwhile, studies that have examined socio-emotional outcomes have typically focused on maladaptive behaviors and psychopathology (e.g., internalizing and externalizing symptoms). This is problematic, given that prekindergarten programming is not primarily intended to prevent or treat psychological symptoms. Rather, prekindergarten programs are designed to promote acquisition of developmentally appropriate skills. As such, researchers should carefully attend to construct validity by: (a) clarifying whether they are measuring psychopathology outcomes, SEL, or both; and (b) specify the SEL domains they are investigating, how they are operationalizing them, and how they are tracking growth in SEL competencies over time. At the broader field level, efforts must also be made to develop consensus on critical issues related to SEL measurement, concepts, and dimensions of relevance. While the work of CASEL (2012) and others has provided some clarity on these issues, researchers continue to use a wide array of labels for SEL phenomena (e.g., SEL, social competence, wellbeing, self-regulation) without clear definitions or parameters. Many studies have also stated that they are investigating "SEL" or similar phenomena, while solely utilizing outcome measures that assess psychopathology. Developing consensus on these issues will help to ensure construct validity, and also enable more rigorous comparative evaluations of different interventions.
When examining all three types of interventions addressed in this review, it is also important for researchers to consider other potentially salient program components. For example, curriculum type, parent involvement, timing and duration of SEL components, and teacher and student supports that are not necessarily explicit components of the SEL training may all affect children’s outcomes.

Cultural considerations

The present review indicates that relatively few studies have carefully attended to potential differential impacts of prekindergarten interventions on SEL for children from diverse cultural backgrounds. Research examining whether and how interventions impact the SEL of different subgroups of children could inform efforts to tailor interventions to the needs of specific populations.

Researchers should also carefully select assessment measures that are appropriate for use with multicultural populations. The present review indicates that most previous studies have utilized deficits-focused outcome measures (e.g., assessing the effects of intervention on psychopathology symptoms). Moving towards strengths/skills-focused outcome measures (e.g., assessing the effects of intervention on developmentally and culturally appropriate SEL skills) will likely increase the cultural sensitivity of research in this domain, and help to ensure that children from non-dominant cultures are not being improperly identified as having SEL deficits.

Informants

Our review revealed that numerous studies relied on non-blinded, single-informant reports of SEL outcomes—typically, reports from classroom teachers who were delivering interventions. Previous research has demonstrated the importance of utilizing multiple informants to minimize reporting bias (Totura et al., 2009). For example, surveying both teachers and parents can provide a more nuanced perspective on children’s SEL skills in multiple environments (school and home). The use of trained observers or performance-based measures may also yield unique information about children’s functioning.

Control groups

Our review identified and excluded a number of program evaluation studies that lacked control/comparison groups. The absence of control groups makes it impossible to determine whether changes in children’s SEL are due to program participation as opposed to other factors like developmental maturation. It is essential that future studies include well-defined control/comparison groups so that program impacts can be adequately estimated.

Measurement of multiple intervention components

Several of the skills-based programs had professional development, didactic child skills, and parent-focused aspects, with little investigation of differential impacts of each program element or mechanism. The issue of mechanisms is not confined to multi-pronged interventions; rather, none of the programs reviewed analyzed how child-focused programs transmit positive impacts to the outcomes of interest. Future research should
determine which and how specific aspects of programs (e.g., didactic instruction in problem-solving and conflict resolution; teacher-facilitated emotion recognition and expression) impact knowledge and behavior.

**Implementation fidelity**
We identified relatively few studies that provided information about implementation fidelity. Fidelity measurement is essential to accurately estimate program impacts. Fidelity measurement can also provide important information about whether program scale-up is feasible, or whether adaptations are needed to increase the program’s practicality or cultural relevance (e.g., replacing doctoral-level clinicians with trained laypeople).

**Longitudinal follow-up**
Our review revealed a paucity of studies examining the longitudinal impacts of prekindergarten programs on SEL. Studies that did include multiple time-points rarely continued past early elementary school. This is an important limitation that raises questions about the stability of program impacts on SEL over time. Moving forward, there is a need for longitudinal studies that include pre-program assessments of baseline SEL, and that investigate participant outcomes through the school years and beyond.

**Conclusions and implications**
Interest in scalable strategies for enhancing children’s SEL has grown steadily since the 1970s, when Edward Zigler argued that promoting ‘social competence’ should be the primary aim of early childhood interventions (Zigler & Trickett, 1978). During the 1990s and 2000s, the development of the interdisciplinary SEL framework spurred additional research and policy initiatives in this domain. Numerous skills-based interventions have been developed for use in early care and education settings (Tables 5, 6), and general public prekindergarten programs and multi-component interventions have also demonstrated impacts on SEL (Tables 2, 3, 4). These developments are promising; however, moving forward it is essential that stakeholders define and measure SEL in ways that are consistent, developmentally appropriate, and culturally sensitive. Collaboration among diverse groups of stakeholders (e.g., community-based researchers, policymakers, parents, and early childhood leaders) will be essential to accomplishing these aims.

Finally, investments should be made into efforts to support children’s SEL at multiple ecological levels, from home- and school-based interventions to public policies that support healthy development. Specifically, early childhood educators should place SEL skills alongside literacy and numeracy skills as an important part of a balanced early childhood curriculum. Policymakers, parents, and early childhood leaders can assist teachers in implementing SEL interventions or infusing SEL into existing programming by advocating for increased funding and materials for these efforts.
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