Replacing maize grain with dried citrus pulp in a concentrate feed for Jersey cows grazing ryegrass pasture
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Abstract

Dried citrus pulp (DCP) is a high-fibre by-product of the citrus industry. In total mixed ration (TMR) systems it has been shown to maintain a more stable ruminal environment, improving overall production compared with maize. The aim of the study was to determine the effects of stepwise replacement of maize with DCP in a concentrate supplement on milk yield, milk composition and rumen health of Jersey cows grazing ryegrass pasture. Sixty-eight lactating Jersey cows (μ ± SD; 84.5 ± 43.8 days in milk, 20.4 ± 3.09 kg/day) were used in the trial. Cows were allocated to one of four treatments, with 17 cows per treatment, namely no DCP (NDCP): 0% replacement; low DCP (LDCP): 33% replacement; medium DCP (MDCP): 66% replacement; and high DCP (HDCP): 100% replacement. An additional six ruminally cannulated Jersey cows were randomly allocated to the NDCP and HDCP treatments in a two-period cross-over design. Milk yield decreased between 2.1 and 3.2 kg/day when maize was replaced with DCP. Milk fat content did not differ between treatments. However, treatment had a quadratic effect on milk protein and lactose content, with the LDCP and MDCP treatments having the highest values. No change in the diurnal ruminal pH curve and no differences in the rate and extent of pasture dry matter and neutral detergent fibre degradability between treatments were observed. In conclusion, replacing maize grain with DCP in a conventional concentrate diet led to a decrease in milk yield, while rumen health was maintained.
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Introduction

In pasture-based dairy systems, the use of supplemental concentrate feeding is essential to maximizing milk production from pasture. Cereal grains form the largest part of the concentrate supplement, and play an important role in determining the profitability of a dairy farm (Allen & Knowlton, 1995; NRC, 2001). The high starch content of cereal grains has a limiting effect on microbial activity in the rumen owing to lactic acid production, resulting in low ruminal pH (Calsamiglia et al., 2010; Poulsen et al., 2012; Jacobs, 2014). This affects fibre degradation and has negative production implications. Despite the problems associated with feeding starches, it is practised widely because of the high energy content, which promotes milk production. Other non-fibre carbohydrates such as sugar and pectin (prevalent in various fruit wastes) have a more positive effect on the rumen environment (Hall & Herejk, 2001; Ribeiro et al., 2005) and maintain production when replaced in TMR systems (Leiva et al., 2000; Broderick et al., 2008). Dried citrus pulp is a by-product of the juicing industry (Bampidis & Robinson, 2006) and is high in sugar (208 g/kg dry matter (DM)) and pectin (150–200 g/kg DM) and low in starch (174 g/kg DM) (Miller-Webster & Hoover, 1998; Hindrichsen et al., 2004). The inclusion of DCP in dairy rations is common practice in TMR systems, where it acts as a flavour enhancer owing to the high sugar content, promoting feed intake (Bampidis & Robinson, 2006; Penner & Oba, 2009: Oba, 2011). No previous work has been documented on the use of DCP as a feed source for cows grazing pasture. Citrus pulp is a seasonal feed source. However, there is merit in investigating its use in South Africa as it could offer an alternative to maize when the maize cost is high. Citrus pulp is often used as is, but in dried form it can be stored long term, forming an integral part of
the annual feeding plan of a farm. The aim of the study was therefore to determine how effectively maize could be replaced with DCP in a concentrate supplement fed to Jersey cows grazing annual ryegrass pasture and the possible rumen health implications.

**Materials and methods**

The trial was carried out at Outeniqua Research Farm, George, South Africa (22° 25' 16" E and 33° 58' 38" S) in early spring, that is, September to November 2013. The mean minimum and maximum temperatures were 11.7 °C and 21.5 °C, respectively, and the total rainfall during the trial period was 295 mm (ARC, 2013). Kikuyu pasture (*Pennisetum clandestinum*) had been over-sown with annual Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* var. Italicum, cv. Jeanne) on an 8.6 ha area under permanent irrigation in the previous autumn. An Aitchison seeder was used at a rate of 18 kg/ha. The area was characterized by Witfontein soil form (Swanepoel et al., 2013) and paddocks were fertilized with 42 kg N/ha using limestone ammonium nitrate (280 g N/kg) after each grazing. Ethical clearance was obtained through the Western Cape Department of Agriculture, South Africa (clearance number R13/83). Experimental treatments were defined according to the level of maize in the concentrate supplement that was replaced by DCP. No DCP (NDCP) denotes 0% replacement; low DCP (LDCP) denotes 33% replacement; medium DCP (MDCP) denotes 66% replacement; and high DCP (HDCP) denotes 100% replacement. The ingredient composition of the four concentrate supplements is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1** Ingredient composition (g/kg) of four concentrate supplements fed to 68 Jersey cows grazing ryegrass pasture

| Parameter            | Treatment*          | NDCP | LDCP | MDCP | HDCP |
|----------------------|---------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Ground maize         |                     | 750  | 500  | 250  | 0    |
| Dried citrus pulp    |                     | 0    | 250  | 500  | 750  |
| Soybean oilcake      |                     | 76   | 98   | 121  | 149  |
| Wheat bran           |                     | 94   | 81   | 67   | 38   |
| Molasses (liquid)    |                     | 40   | 40   | 40   | 40   |
| Feed lime            |                     | 20   | 10   | 0    | 0    |
| Salt                 |                     | 10   | 10   | 10   | 10   |
| Premix**             |                     | 5    | 5    | 5    | 5    |
| MgO                  |                     | 3    | 3    | 3    | 3    |
| Mono-CaP             |                     | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    |

* NDCP: no dried citrus pulp, 0% replacement; LDCP: low dried citrus pulp, 33% replacement; MDCP: medium dried citrus pulp, 66% replacement; HDCP: high dried citrus pulp, 100% replacement
** Premix: 4 mg/kg Cu; 10 mg/kg Mn; 20 mg/kg Zn; 0.34 mg/kg I; 0.2 mg/kg Co; 0.06 mg/kg Se; 6 x 10^6 IU vitamin A; 1 x 10^6 IU vitamin D₃; 8 x 10^3 IU vitamin E

The trial consisted of two components, namely the production study and the rumen metabolism study, which ran concurrently. The production study consisted of 68 lactating Jersey cows, which were blocked according to the average milk yield of the three weeks preceding the trial (μ ± SD; 20.4 ± 3.1 kg/day), days in milk (84.5 ± 43.8 days), and lactation number (4.5 ± 2.4). Cows in blocks were then assigned to treatments according to a complete randomized block design for a continuous lactation trial over 51 days. The rumen metabolism study consisted of six ruminally cannulated cows that were randomly allocated between the NDCP and HDCP treatments and subjected to a two-period cross-over design. A 14-day adaptation period was implemented after each cross-over, before rumen data collection commenced. Cows in both the production study and the rumen metabolism study received 6 kg DM/day of the concentrate supplement, which was fed over two sessions, during the morning and afternoon milking. The area used for grazing was divided into 35 x 0.25 ha paddocks, with an average grazing interval of 24 days. A rising plate meter (RPM) (Jenquip, Reid Line East, RDS, Feilding, New Zealand, 4775) was used to determine pasture height per paddock one day prior to grazing (Table 2). Pasture yield could then be estimated and allocated to cows by means of strip grazing. All cows grazed together, and water was available *ad libitum* in the pasture camps.
Cows were milked twice daily at 05:30 and 13:30, and milk yield was automatically recorded at each milking using a Dairy Master swing-over milking machine. Composite samples of the morning and afternoon milking sessions were collected once every second week, preserved with Bronopol, and analysed for fat, protein, lactose, milk urea nitrogen (MUN), somatic cell count (SCC) and pH with FOSS CombiFoss™ FT+ milk analyser (FOSS, Foss Allé 1, DK-3400 Hillerød, Denmark). The 4% fat corrected milk (FCM) yield was determined using the Gaines formula (Gaines, 1928), in which 4% FCM = (0.4*kg milk) + (15*kg fat) to correct milk yield to a constant energy basis. Cows were weighed and scored at the commencement and completion of the trial. Bodyweight (BW) was recorded over two days, and the average was used to compensate for possible differences owing to defecation, urination and water intake. Body condition scoring (BCS) was performed using the five-point scale described by Wildman et al. (1982) and Edmonson et al. (1989), in which a score of 1 indicates an extremely thin cow and 5 indicates an extremely fat cow. Average daily gain (ADG) was calculated as the weight difference obtained over 51 days.

Table 2 Mean (± SD) of the pre- and post-grazing rising plate meter height, pasture yield, pasture allowance and pasture intake determined using the seasonal linear regression

| Parameter* | Pasture values |
|------------|----------------|
| RPM height (1 unit = 5 mm) | 42.2 ± 8.93 |
| Pasture yield (kg DM/ha)** | 3640 ± 814 |
| Pasture allowance (kg DM/cow/day) | 11.2 ± 2.00 |
| RPM height (1 unit = 5 mm) | 13.0 ± 2.35 |
| Pasture yield (kg DM/ha) | 987 ± 214 |
| Estimated pasture intake (kg/cow/day) | 10.6 ± 1.85 |

*RPM: rising plate meter; DM: dry matter
** Y = 91.06*H+200.59, where Y = DM yield and H = RPM reading (Steyn, 2012)

TruTrack pH data loggers (Model pH-HR Mark 4, Intech Instruments Ltd, New Zealand) were used to record the diurnal fluctuations of ruminal pH every 10 minutes, over a 72-hour period. Loggers were carefully calibrated with two buffer solutions (pH 4 and 9) before insertion into the rumen. Rumen fluid samples were collected from ruminally cannulated cows at six-hour intervals (08:00, 14:00, 20:00 and 02:00) to analyse ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) (Broderick & Kang, 1980) and volatile fatty acids (VFA) (Siegfried et al., 1984). Samples were collected with a hand-held suction pump. After collection, samples were filtered through four layers of cheesecloth. Aliquots were then frozen at -20 °C in small airtight containers until further analysis. Pasture DM and neutral detergent fibre (NDF) degradability were determined through the use of in situ Ankom dacron bags (10 x 20 cm), with a nominal average pore size of 53 µm, containing dried and cut (5 mm) pasture samples. Seventeen bags were prepared for each cow, and bags were incubated for 2, 4, 8, 16, 30, 72 and 96 hours. Pasture residue in bags was analysed for DM (AOAC, 2002; method 934.01) and NDF (Robertson & Van Soest, 1981) using the Ankom fibre analysis system (71 Ramachandra Agrahara, Azad Nagar, Chamarajpet, Bangalore, 560 018). Pasture DM and NDF degradability were determined with the equation:

\[ p = a + b (1 - e^{-ct}) \]

Where: 
- \( p \) = actual degradation at time \( t \)
- \( a \) = intercept of degradation curve at \( t = 0 \)
- \( b \) = potential degradability of component
- \( e \) = the base of natural logarithms
- \( c \) = rate constant for degradation of coefficient \( b \) (Ørskov & McDonald, 1979)
Pasture and feed samples were collected once every second week, dried, and analysed for DM, organic matter (OM) (AOAC, 2002) (Method 942.05), crude protein (CP) (AOAC, 2002) (Method 990.03, using Leco N analyser, model FP 528), NDF (Robertson & Van Soest, 1981) (using the Ankom fibre analysis system with heat stable α-amylase followed by incineration of the residue), acid detergent fibre (ADF) (Robertson & Van Soest, 1981) (using the Ankom fibre analysis system, followed by incineration of the residue), neutral detergent insoluble nitrogen (NDIN) (NDF procedure, residue analysed for CP), acid detergent insoluble fibre (ADIN) (ADF procedure, residue analysed for CP), ether extract (EE) (AOAC, 2002) (Method 920.39), gross energy (GE) (MC 1000 Modular Calorimeter, Energy Instrumentation, Sandton, South Africa, 2146), in vitro organic matter degradability (IVOMD) (Buys et al., 1996), Ca (ALASA, 1998) (Method 6.1.1) and P (ALASA, 1998) (Method 6.1.1). Metabolizable energy (ME) was calculated with the equation of Robinson et al. (2004):

\[
\text{ME (MJ/kg DM) = GE \times IVOMD \times 0.82} \quad \text{(Robinson et al., 2004).}
\]

The NFC content was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{NFC (g/kg DM) = 100 - (NDF + CP + EE + ash)} \quad \text{(NRC, 2001).}
\]

Milk yield, milk composition, BW and BCS data were subjected to a mixed model procedure, using SAS version 9.2 (SAS, 2008). Orthogonal contrasts were used to test for the linear, quadratic and cubic effects of replacing maize with DCP at increasing levels. Covariance was not included because of the blocking of cows between treatments, which was expected to minimize variation based on animal factors. VFA and NH\textsubscript{3}-N data were analysed using a mixed model procedure over time. The in situ Dacron bag study was subjected to a main effects ANOVA. The data were fitted to the non-linear model, \( p = a + b \left( 1 - e^{-ct} \right) \), using an iterative regression analysis to determine the constants \( a \), \( b \) and \( c \) (Ørskov & McDonald, 1979). The ruminal pH data were subjected to a repeated measures ANOVA. Tukey’s test was used to compare the treatment means at 5% significance level. The null hypothesis was \( H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 \). It was rejected where \( P < 0.05 \) and a trend was identified in which \( 0.05 < P < 0.10 \). Least squares means were used to calculate a pooled standard error of treatment means. Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to test for normality (Shapiro & Wilk, 1965).

**Results**

The HDCP concentrate supplement had a higher inclusion of soybean oilcake to compensate for the low CP content of the DCP. All four concentrate supplements were formulated to be iso-nitrogenous, although the final products differed slightly (Table 3). The level of mono-CaP had to be raised as the level of DCP increased to counteract the high Ca content of DCP, thus maintaining the Ca : P ratio. The same applies to the feed lime, which was highest in the NDCP concentrate supplement. The NDCP concentrate supplement had the highest NFC content and consequently the lowest NDF content. The ME content was similar in all concentrate supplements. Daily pasture intake was estimated at 10.6 kg DM/cow, calculated as the difference in pasture yield before and after grazing, 3640 kg DM/ha and 987 kg DM/ha, respectively (Table 2). Pasture was grazed to an average of 13 on the RPM, which is slightly under-grazed. A reading of 10–12 on the RPM is indicative of well grazed pasture (Irvine et al., 2010). However, 13 on the RPM is not extreme and would imply that the animals received enough pasture.

The inclusion of DCP in the rations, at the expense of maize, resulted in a linear decrease in milk yield and 4% FCM yield (Table 4). The decreases in milk yield for a 33, 66, and 100% replacement of maize with DCP compared with 0% replacement were 2.13, 2.27, and 3.23 kg/day, respectively. Differences in milk yield between the LDCC, MDCP and HDCP treatments were not significant. Treatment did not have an effect on milk fat content or SCC. The replacement of maize had a quadratic effect on protein and lactose content \((P = 0.011 \text{ and } 0.035, \text{ respectively})\). The initial replacement of maize with DCP, namely 33% and 66%, resulted in an increase in protein and lactose content, then these two parameters decreased again. The MUN content of milk increased linearly with as the DCP inclusion level increased \((P = 0.023)\). Treatment had a linear effect on fat and protein yield \((P < 0.001)\), which decreased as the level of maize replaced with DCP increased. No linear, quadratic or cubic effects were observed for BW, ADG and BCS change.
Table 3 Chemical composition (g/kg DM; mean ± SD) of four concentrate supplements, dried citrus pulp used in the supplements and ryegrass pasture (n = 5)

| Parameter*          | Treatment** | DCP | Pasture*** |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|------------|
|                     | NDCP        | LDCP| MDCP       | HDCP       |       |
| DM                  | 892 ± 6.42  | 889 ± 8.12 | 894 ± 5.70 | 902 ± 8.72 | 870 ± 33.2 | 158 ± 21.0 |
| OM                  | 935 ± 1.47  | 930 ± 1.44 | 912 ± 3.46 | 894 ± 1.21 | 919 ± 3.58 | 884 ± 13.8 |
| CP                  | 117 ± 1.30  | 120 ± 2.91 | 117 ± 2.40 | 121 ± 2.42 | 48.1 ± 1.67 | 174 ± 26.2 |
| EE                  | 27.9 ± 6.14 | 26.8 ± 3.45 | 23.5 ± 2.98 | 18.1 ± 2.48 | 16.9 ± 2.04 | 41.5 ± 1.96 |
| NFC                 | 671 ± 15.6  | 607 ± 24.9 | 609 ± 14.5 | 581 ± 6.41 | 653 ± 3.08 | 231 ± 54.1 |
| NDF                 | 119 ± 20.0  | 168 ± 24.8 | 162 ± 12.2 | 174 ± 3.04 | 201 ± 7.02 | 438 ± 18.6 |
| ADF                 | 39.7 ± 3.34 | 96.5 ± 7.99 | 147 ± 4.48 | 198 ± 9.45 | 257 ± 14.2 | 298 ± 10.0 |
| NDIN                | 5.86 ± 0.94 | 6.81 ± 1.30 | 8.01 ± 0.50 | 9.09 ± 0.20 | 7.45 ± 0.65 | 10.6 ± 2.23 |
| ADIN                | 16.2 ± 4.72 | 8.02 ± 1.53 | 6.27 ± 0.66 | 5.21 ± 0.53 | 3.46 ± 0.32 | 5.15 ± 2.08 |
| IVOMD               | 976 ± 29.7  | 980 ± 41.6 | 994 ± 30.7 | 993 ± 30.8 | 987 ± 5.37 | 872 ± 11.8 |
| GE (MJ/kg DM)       | 17.1 ± 0.86 | 17.1 ± 1.01 | 16.6 ± 0.67 | 16.2 ± 1.15 | 16.0 ± 0.11 | 17.3 ± 0.88 |
| ME (MJ/kg DM)       | 14.0 ± 3.61 | 14.0 ± 5.20 | 13.9 ± 3.74 | 13.5 ± 3.29 | 13.2 ± 0.02 | 12.7 ± 1.38 |
| Ca                  | 11.3 ± 0.17 | 13.1 ± 0.49 | 19.4 ± 6.22 | 25.2 ± 8.90 | 15.3 ± 1.53 | 3.91 ± 0.47 |
| P                   | 5.01 ± 0.08 | 4.72 ± 0.15 | 4.37 ± 0.11 | 4.31 ± 0.20 | 1.27 ± 0.21 | 5.61 ± 0.60 |
| Mg                  | 3.62 ± 0.16 | 3.39 ± 0.20 | 3.32 ± 0.05 | 3.28 ± 0.17 | 1.21 ± 0.04 | 3.31 ± 0.21 |
| K                   | 9.4 ± 0.17  | 10.9 ± 0.32 | 12.6 ± 0.17 | 14.5 ± 0.22 | 9.59 ± 0.37 | 36.0 ± 12.5 |

* DM: dry matter; OM: organic matter; CP: crude protein; EE: ether extract; NFC: non-fibrous carbohydrates; NDF: insoluble nitrogen; IVOMD: in vitro organic matter degradability; GE: gross energy; ME: metabolizable energy
** NDCP: no dried citrus pulp, 0 % replacement; LDCP: low dried citrus pulp, 33% replacement; MDCP: medium dried citrus pulp, 66% replacement; HDCP: High dried citrus pulp, 100% replacement
*** Pasture: annual Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum, variety Italicum, cultivar Jeanne)

At 14:30 the ruminal pH of cows in the HDCP treatment reached a lower level (P = 0.04) than that of those in the NDCP treatment, that is, pH 5.95 and pH 6.20, respectively (Figure 1). No other differences in pH were observed over the 24-hour period. A sudden sharp decrease in ruminal pH was observed between 05:30 and 06:30 and between 13:30 and 14:30, which corresponded with consumption of the concentrate supplement in the milking parlour. Treatment did not have an effect on daily mean ruminal pH (Table 5). There were no differences in the duration of the ruminal pH below pH 6.2, pH 6.0 and pH 5.8. No difference was found in the total VFA concentration between cows in the NDCP and HDCP treatments (Table 5). The molar proportion of acetate, propionate, butyrate and valerate and the acetate : propionate ratio remained unchanged. Isobutyrate (P = 0.04) and isovalerate (P = 0.02) concentrations were higher for cows in the NDCP treatment. The ruminal NH$_3$-N concentration was higher (P<0.01) for cows fed the HDCP concentrate supplement than cows fed the NDCP concentrate supplement at 02:00, 14:00 and 20:00 (Figure 2). No difference in ruminal NH$_3$-N concentration was observed at 08:00. There were no differences in the degradability of pasture DM and NDF at any of the incubation times (Figures 3 and 4). All degradability parameters were the same for cows in the NDCP treatment and those in the HDCP treatment (Table 5).
Table 4 Mean milk yield, milk composition, bodyweight and body condition score changes of cows receiving one of four concentrate supplements (n = 17)

| Parameter*                  | Treatment**             | SEM  | Linear | Quadratic | Cubic |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------|--------|-----------|-------|
|                             | NDCP | LDCP | MDCP | HDCP |         |       |       |       |
| Milk yield (kg/cow)         | 21.1  | 19.0 | 18.9  | 17.9  | 0.57   | <0.001| 0.140 | 0.110 |
| 4% FCM yield (kg/cow)       | 22.5  | 20.3 | 20.0  | 19.4  | 0.55   | <0.001| 0.103 | 0.272 |
| Fat (g/kg)                  | 44.8  | 44.9 | 44.5  | 45.6  | 1.13   | 0.685 | 0.616 | 0.716 |
| Protein (g/kg)              | 34.9  | 35.8 | 35.6  | 34.4  | 0.56   | 0.352 | 0.011 | 0.956 |
| Lactose (g/kg)              | 46.5  | 47.0 | 47.0ab | 45.6  | 0.30   | 0.638 | 0.035 | 0.864 |
| SCC (x 10^3 cells/mL)       | 93.8  | 200  | 208   | 173   | 40.2   | 0.146 | 0.061 | 0.745 |
| MUN (mg/dL)                 | 9.32a | 9.38b| 10.3ac | 10.1ac | 0.35   | 0.023 | 0.647 | 0.146 |
| Fat yield (kg/cow)          | 0.94a | 0.85b| 0.83b  | 0.81b  | 0.02   | <0.001 | 0.149 | 0.480 |
| Protein yield (kg/cow)      | 0.73a | 0.68b| 0.67b  | 0.61c  | 0.02   | <0.001 | 0.909 | 0.204 |
| BW before (kg)              | 398   | 386  | 404   | 396   | 9.63   | 0.740 | 0.817 | 0.198 |
| BW change (kg)              | +12.3 | +8.62| +8.50  | +7.38  | 3.43   | 0.293 | 0.684 | 0.746 |
| ADG (kg/d)                  | 0.25  | 0.17 | 0.17   | 0.15   | 0.07   | 0.293 | 0.684 | 0.746 |
| BCS before (scale 1–5)      | 2.15  | 2.15 | 2.13   | 2.16   | 0.05   | 0.888 | 0.754 | 0.779 |
| BCS change (scale 1–5)      | +0.22 | +0.18| +0.24  | +0.19  | 0.03   | 0.844 | 1.000 | 0.173 |

---

**Row means with different superscripts differ significantly at P <0.05.**
* FCM: fat corrected milk; SCC: somatic cell count; MUN: milk urea nitrogen; BW: bodyweight; ADG: average daily gain; BCS: body condition score
** NDCP: no dried citrus pulp, 0 % replacement; LDCP: low dried citrus pulp, 33% replacement; MDCP: medium dried citrus pulp, 66% replacement; HDCP: high dried citrus pulp, 100% replacement

Figure 1 Diurnal fluctuations in ruminal pH of cows (n = 6) receiving various levels of dried citrus pulp and maize
Error bars represent SEM; NDCP: no dried citrus pulp, 0 % replacement; HDCP: high dried citrus pulp, 100% replacement
Table 5 Ruminal pH parameters, fermentation products concentrations and ryegrass pasture degradability parameters (n = 6) of cows receiving various levels of dried citrus pulp and maize

| Parameter* | Treatment** | SEM  | P-value |
|------------|-------------|------|---------|
| Mean pH    | NDCP 6.25   | 6.17 | 0.061   | 0.389   |
| Time below (hours) |          |      |         |         |
| pH 6.2     | 11.5        | 12.3 | 1.919   | 0.789   |
| pH 6.0     | 5.17        | 6.83 | 2.046   | 0.580   |
| pH 5.8     | 1.25        | 2.75 | 1.095   | 0.361   |
| Total VFA (mM) | 120        | 118  | 4.120   | 0.634   |
| Mol/100 mol |            |      |         |         |
| Acetate    | 62.4        | 62.8 | 0.839   | 0.541   |
| Propionate | 19.7        | 19.9 | 0.801   | 0.712   |
| Butyrate   | 15.2        | 14.8 | 0.521   | 0.682   |
| Valerate   | 1.17        | 1.13 | 0.059   | 0.398   |
| Isobutyrate| 0.78        | 0.62 | 0.050   | 0.045   |
| Isovalerate| 0.88        | 0.67 | 0.101   | 0.023   |
| Acetate: propionate | 3.19  | 3.18 | 0.166   | 0.931   |
| NH₃-N (mM/L) | 7.49       | 14.7 | 0.885   | < 0.001 |
| Pasture degradability*** |          |      |         |         |
| DM a       | 20.4        | 20.7 | 0.374   | 0.602   |
| b          | 68.4        | 68.4 | 0.746   | 0.984   |
| c          | 0.06        | 0.06 | 0.001   | 0.756   |
| NDF a      | 19.9        | 21.4 | 1.122   | 0.280   |
| b          | 72.9        | 70.6 | 1.791   | 0.264   |
| c          | 0.03        | 0.04 | 0.002   | 0.272   |

* VFA: volatile fatty acids; NH₃-N: ammonia nitrogen
** NDCP: no dried citrus pulp, 0 % replacement; HDCP: high dried citrus pulp, 100% replacement
*** Calculated with the equation p = a + b (1-e-ct), where a = intercept of degradation curve at t = 0; b = potential degradability of component; c = rate constant for degradation of coefficient b (Ørskov & McDonald, 1979)

Figure 2 Fluctuations in ruminal NH₃-N concentration at four sampling times of cows receiving various levels of dried citrus pulp and maize
Error bars represent SEM
NDCP: no dried citrus pulp, 0 % replacement; HDCP: high dried citrus pulp, 100% replacement
Figure 3 Extent of dry matter degradation in the rumen of cows receiving various levels of dried citrus pulp and maize over 96 hours of incubation
Error bars represent SEM
NDCP: no dried citrus pulp, 0 % replacement; HDCP: high dried citrus pulp, 100% replacement

Figure 4 Extent of neutral detergent fibre degradation in the rumen of cows receiving various levels of dried citrus pulp and maize over 96 hours of incubation
Error bars represent SEM
NDCP: no dried citrus pulp, 0 % replacement; HDCP: high dried citrus pulp, 100% replacement

Discussion
All the diets offered similar ME intakes, although cows on the NDCP treatment yielded 2.13, 2.27 and 3.23 kg/day more milk than cows on the LDCP, MDCP and HDCP treatments, respectively. In various TMR-based trials, the level of starch was decreased by adding molasses or pure sucrose or by replacing hominy chop with citrus pulp (Leiva et al., 2000; Cherney et al., 2003; Broderick et al., 2008). However, no change in milk yield was found in any of these trials. It is possible that the higher NDF content of the LDCP, MDCP and HDCP concentrate supplements could limit pasture intake owing to rumen fill. However, individual pasture intake was not measured. Furthermore, in a study by Delahoy et al. (2003) the partial replacement of maize with beet pulp (NDF content 295 g/kg DM) did not have an effect on pasture dry matter intake (DMI) and did not result in substitution for Holstein cows grazing orchardgrass (Dactylis glomerata). Similarly, Higgs et al. (2013) found no effect on pasture DMI when a high NDF supplement was fed (385 g/kg DM) to Friesian cows grazing perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne). The results of these studies make the possibility of pasture substitution owing to higher NDF content seem unlikely.

An increase in milk fat was anticipated as maize was replaced with DCP owing to the increase in NDF content (Broderick et al., 2008; Penner & Oba, 2009). However, there was no subsequent increase in ruminal pH or in degradability of pasture DM and NDF. Treatment did not affect the acetate : propionate ratio; thus no difference in milk fat content was observed. The SCC for cows on the NDCP treatment was about half of that of those on the LDCP, MDCP and HDCP treatments. However, this was not physiologically significant as it was well under the current legal standard of <500 x 10^3 cells/mL (Petzer et al., 2017) and is indicative of good udder health. The quadratic effects on milk protein and milk lactose content for cows in the LDCP and MDCP treatments could indicate possible associative effects between multiple feed components (Doyle et al., 2005). In a study by Higgs et al. (2013), a decrease in milk protein was found for cows that
received molasses as the only supplement to pasture, compared with those that received high maize-based concentrate supplements. This decrease in milk protein is probably because of a lack of NFC in the diet, lowering the ability of ruminal microbes to utilize N from pasture (Heldt et al., 1999; McCormick et al., 2001). The ruminal NH$_3$-N concentration in the LDCP and MDCP treatments was not known. However, it could be assumed that it would not have been high owing to the efficient incorporation into microbial protein. Treatment had no effect on BW gain, BCS change or ADG. However, there was an improvement in BCS for cows in all treatments, and all cows gained weight. This suggests that the concentrate supplements were sufficient to maintain and slightly improve the BCS of cows in early to mid lactation.

An increase in ruminal pH and more time spent above pH 5.8 were expected, but not found. Pectin is degraded rapidly in the rumen, but it differs from starch in that it is not fermented to lactate and does not contribute as much to the decline in ruminal pH (Strobel & Russell, 1986; Bampidis & Robinson, 2006). Pectin fermentation ceases under low ruminal pH, and there is no cumulative effect of lowered ruminal pH (Strobel & Russell, 1986; Allen, 2001). Sugar provides less carbon for VFA production per unit of mass compared with starch (Hall & Herejk, 2001) and increases the passage rate and production of MP (Sutoh et al., 1996; Ribeiro et al., 2005), essentially providing less OM for fermentation. Furthermore, rumen microorganisms are able to convert sucrose to glycerogen for short-term energy storage (Hall & Weimer, 2007), temporarily reducing VFA production in the rumen (Oba, 2011) and thereby minimizing the potential negative effect on ruminal pH. The higher NDF content of the HDCP concentrate supplement could also be expected to contribute to a higher ruminal pH. However, this NDF is not physically effective and does not help stimulate rumination and salivation.

No response on total VFA concentration was found when maize was replaced with DCP, which is similar to in vivo results reported in previous studies (Khalili & Huhtanen, 1991; Chamberlain et al., 1993, Leiva et al., 2000; Sannes et al., 2002). No change in the acetate and butyrate concentration as the sugar inclusion was increased corresponds with the milk fat content, which was also similar between the NDCP and HDCP treatments. The propionate concentration was not different between the NDCP and HDCP treatments, and does not provide an explanation for the decrease in milk yield when maize was replaced with DCP. Increased levels of sugar in the diet have been shown to decrease the acetate concentration (Khalili & Huhtanen, 1991; Chamberlain et al., 1993; Broderick et al., 2008), increase butyrate concentration (Khalili & Huhtanen, 1991, Chamberlain et al., 1993) and result in no change in acetate concentration or the acetate:propionate ratio (Khalili & Huhtanen, 1991; Leiva et al., 2000; Oelker et al., 2009). In theory, the replacement of maize with DCP should lead to a change in the VFA profile of the rumen. However, effects are confusing and no clear trend could be identified from previous literature. Cows in the NDCP treatment had a higher concentration of isobutyrate and isovalerate than those in the HDCP treatment, similar to Khalili & Huhtanen (1991). Branched chain volatile fatty acids (BCVFA) are essential for the effective functioning of cellulolytic bacteria and the synthesis of microbial protein, specifically the amino acids valine, isoleucine, leucine and proline (Cummins & Papas, 1985; Andries et al., 1987). Broderick et al. (2008) found a decrease in total BCVFA concentration as the level of sugar in the diet increased.

The concentration of NH$_3$-N is dependent on how efficiently ruminal microbes are able to utilize the N from NH$_3$-N for microbial protein production. This is determined by the availability of the energy source (Bach et al., 1999; Heldt et al., 1999; Higgs et al., 2013). The NDCP concentrate supplement had a higher NFC content than the HDCP, thus the ability of microbes of cows on the HDCP treatment to utilize the N from ruminal NH$_3$-N could have been limited by the availability of NFC. There was no difference in milk protein content between the NDCP and HDCP concentrate supplements, thus there was no indication of improved utilization of NH$_3$-N by ruminal microbes. The CP content of the HDCP concentrate supplement was slightly higher than that of the NDCP and could have contributed to the higher ruminal NH$_3$-N concentration. Cows in the HDCP treatment had a tendency towards higher MUN content, which could be indicative of an oversupply of NH$_3$-N in the rumen (Jonker et al., 1998). However, the MUN content of all four treatments was within the normal acceptable range of 8–12 mg/dL (Kohn, 2007), and the trend does not hold much biological significance. The daily ruminal NH$_3$-N concentration was higher for cows in the HDCP treatment. However, these values fall within the accepted range of 8.7–32.2 mg/dL (Bargo et al., 2003). It could be postulated that the decrease in milk yield as the level of DCP inclusion increased, which corresponded to an increase in soybean oilcake inclusion, could be owing to an oversupply of protein, with energy being diverted to deal with the excess protein supply instead of being used for milk production (Broderick, 2003). The CP content of the four experimental diets ranged from 117 to 121 g/kg DM. However, the protein fractions (rumen undegradable protein, rumen degradable protein and amino acids) were not investigated and were beyond the scope of this study. Many complex interrelationships play a role here and were not a focus of the study.

An improvement in pasture degradability was expected for cows in the HDCP treatment. However, no
improvement was found in ruminal pH, and thus no improvement in pasture degradability. A higher mean ruminal pH with fewer and shorter dips below pH 6.0 would promote cellulolytic bacteria activity, increasing the degradability of pasture (Calsamiglia et al., 2002; Jacobs, 2014). Earlier results of the effect of increasing sugar and pectin content on NDF degradability of roughages varied, with some studies finding no improvement (Penner & Oba, 2009) and others finding linear and quadratic effects (Broderick & Radloff, 2004; Broderick et al., 2008). Previous in vitro studies found that the use of molasses as a substrate increased the DM degradability of ryegrass pasture. However, ryegrass pasture NDF degradability remained unchanged (Malan, 2009) and the use of sucrose and pectin as a substrate led to linear and quadratic increases in NDF digestion at 24 hours of incubation, respectively (Holtshausen, 2004). Improved pasture DM and NDF degradability could increase pasture DMI, promoting a more stable rumen environment and increasing production. The lack of response in pasture DM and NDF degradability should be investigated.

Conclusion
Replacing maize with DCP caused a decrease in milk yield and 4% FCM yield. Milk protein and milk lactose content were not affected. Rumen health and activity were maintained, and no improvement was observed. The use of DCP as a replacement for maize in a concentrate supplement fed to Jersey cows grazing ryegrass pasture should be considered only if DCP is available at a lower cost than maize, making up for the decrease in income over feed cost because of a possible decrease in milk production.
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