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ABSTRACT

A well-tested and validated Gibbs sampling code, that performs component separation and cosmic microwave background (CMB) power spectrum estimation, was applied to the WMAP five-year data. Using a simple model consisting of CMB, noise, monopoles, and dipoles, a “per pixel” low-frequency power-law (fitting for both amplitude and spectral index), and a thermal dust template with a fixed spectral index, we found that the low-ℓ (ℓ < 50) CMB power spectrum is in good agreement with the published WMAP5 results. Residual monopoles and dipoles were found to be small (≤3 μK) or negligible in the five-year data. We comprehensively tested the assumptions that were made about the foregrounds (e.g., dust spectral index, power-law spectral index prior, templates), and found that the CMB power spectrum was insensitive to these choices. We confirm the asymmetry of power between the north and south ecliptic hemispheres, which appears to be robust against foreground modeling. The map of low-frequency spectral indices indicates a steeper spectrum on average (β = −2.97 ± 0.21) relative to those found at low (∼GHz) frequencies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Accurate separation of diffuse Galactic foreground emissions is one of the major challenges of cosmic microwave background (CMB) data analysis. Foreground emission must be subtracted accurately and errors should be correctly propagated through the data analysis pipeline to extract precise cosmological information. Furthermore, the use of Galactic masks reduces the sky area that can be exploited for cosmological analyses thus increasing the sample variance at large angular scales. At angular scales > 1°, the dominant foregrounds are from synchrotron, free-free and vibrational dust emission from the Galaxy. In addition, there is evidence for an additional “anomalous” foreground at low frequencies (∼10–60 GHz), which is still not well understood (Davies et al. 2006; Dickinson et al. 2006, 2007, 2009; Bonaldi et al. 2007; Hildebrandt et al. 2007; Dobler & Finkbeiner 2008a; Gold et al. 2009).

Based on earlier pioneering work by Jewell et al. (2004) and Wandelt et al. (2004), Eriksen et al. (2008a) describe a Gibbs sampling algorithm that can perform component separation and power spectrum estimate at the same time, by sampling from the joint posterior probability distribution for all fitted parameters, i.e., it is based on Bayesian statistics. This method has the advantage of full propagation of error information through the CMB power spectrum (errors for each Cl estimate), including the effects of mask geometry by effectively marginalizing over mask geometry (Jewell et al. 2004; Wandelt et al. 2004). The method also allows considerable flexibility in fitting for any parametric form of a foreground emission model. These can include fixed index templates, free templates at each frequency, monopoles/dipoles, and any spectral function (e.g., power law at each pixel). A detailed description of the algorithm and the implementation of the parallelized Fortran90 code (henceforth, Commander) are given by Eriksen et al. (2008b). The code also takes into accounts finite bandpass responses at each frequency.

In a previous work (Eriksen et al. 2008a), Commander was used to analyze the three-year WMAP data (Hinshaw et al. 2007), at a resolution of 3° (Nside = 64). The analysis confirmed the accuracy of the CMB power spectrum up to ℓ = 30 and provided estimates of the foreground components including a map of the low-frequency foreground amplitude and spectral index at each pixel. Due to the limited number of frequency channels and frequency range of the WMAP data, a number of assumptions had to be made such as using a thermal dust template with a fixed spectral index and a prior on the foreground spectral index. Furthermore, they discovered the existence of significant monopoles (offsets) and dipoles in the three-year WMAP data. Although this did not significantly affect the cosmological interpretation (but did affect the details of the foregrounds) it was later confirmed to be an error in the processing of the three-year data (Hinshaw et al. 2009).
In this paper, we analyze the new WMAP five-year data using the Commander code and confirm the CMB results from the WMAP team. Section 2 outlines the data and pre-processing along with a brief summary of diffuse foreground components and the templates and models that are used to fit them. The basic WMAP analysis is presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we investigate the sensitivity of the results on a variety of different foreground models. We show that the results are largely insensitive to the assumptions made. A comparison of low-\(\ell\) CMB and foreground spectral index maps is made in Section 5. Conclusions are given in Section 6.

2. DATA

2.1. WMAP Data and Preprocessing Steps

The main input data are the five-year WMAP temperature maps provided on Lambda.\(^2\) We perform the same pre-processing steps taken by Eriksen et al. (2008a), to allow the Gibbs sampling to be performed relatively efficiently. The \(1^\circ\) smoothed maps frequency maps were further smoothed to a common resolution of \(3^\circ\) and downgraded to a HEALPix\(^3\) resolution of \(N_{\text{side}} = 64\), corresponding to a pixel size of \(55'\). The single frequency band maps are at nominal frequencies of 23, 33, 41, 61, and 94 GHz. We assume a top-hat bandpass response function with widths given by the radiometer specifications in Jarosik et al. (2003). An additional \(2 \mu \)K white noise is added to the maps to regularize the noise covariance matrix at high \(\ell\)-values and thus reduce the number of conjugate gradient descent (CGD) iterations when solving the map-making equation. The additional noise has a negligible effect for \(\ell \lesssim 50\).

Note that the amount of smoothing and additional noise is somewhat arbitrary, and was a choice that was made to balance the accuracy of the results and the amount of CPU time. A typical analysis takes \(\sim 1\) minute per Gibbs iteration on a modern 3 GHz CPU. Thus for a single run, with 10000 Gibbs samples distributed over four chains (\(4 \times 5 = 20\) CPUs in total), takes a total of \(\sim 40\) hr. We note that Jewell et al. (2009) have recently developed a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm that effectively solves the problems associated with direct Gibbs sampling in the low signal-to-noise regime.

2.2. Foreground Components and Templates

Diffuse foreground emission consists of at least three well-known components: synchrotron, free-free, and thermal (vibrational) dust. In addition to this, there is a significant evidence for an anomalous component, possibly due to spinning dust. We briefly review the components and simple models that are used when fitting to real data.

Synchrotron radiation is emitted by relativistic cosmic ray electrons spiraling in the Galactic magnetic field. If the energy spectrum of the cosmic rays is a power law, \(dN/dE = N_0 E^{-\gamma}\), then the intensity is given by \(I(\nu) = L N_0 B_{\text{eff}}(\nu)^{p+1/2} \nu^{-(p-1)/2} c(\nu)\), where \(c(\nu)\) is a weak function of frequency, \(L\) is the length along the line-of-sight of the emitting volume, \(B_{\text{eff}}\) is the effective magnetic field strength, and \(\nu\) is the frequency. A power law, \(T(\nu) = A \nu^{p}\), is therefore a reasonable approximation for synchrotron radiation with \(\beta_s \sim -2.7\) at radio wavelengths. At higher frequencies, a single source of electrons will lose energy faster (spectral aging) resulting in a steepening of the spectral index, by \(\sim 0.5\). However, multiple synchrotron components along the same line-of-sight will tend to result in a flattening of the spectral index at higher frequencies. At WMAP frequencies, the values of \(\beta_s \approx -3.0 \pm 0.3\) have been reported. The steep spectral index makes low-frequency radio maps ideal templates for synchrotron radiation. The most widely used is the all-sky 408 MHz map of Haslam et al. (1982).

Free-free (bremstrahlung) emission is emitted by free electrons in warm (\(T_e \approx 10^4\) K) gas. At radio frequencies, the radio spectrum has the form \(T_{\nu} \propto \nu^{1.35} \nu^{-2.1}\) EM, where EM is the emission measure. The spectrum therefore closely follows a power law with a spectral index of \(\beta_{\text{ff}} \approx -2.1\). A more accurate calculation, taking into account the Gaunt factor, gives a slightly steeper index at WMAP frequencies, with \(\beta_{\text{ff}} \approx -2.15\) and some weak dependence on \(T_e\) and other environmental conditions (Dickinson et al. 2003). However, without a large lever arm in frequency, the separation of synchrotron and free-free emission can be difficult. An alternative method is to use a spatial template of the free-free. Recombination lines (e.g., H\(\alpha\)) are also proportional to EM and therefore provide a reliable tracer of free-free emission, if \(T_e\) is known; at high latitudes, \(T_e \approx 8000\) K. The major limitation when using optical lines is the visual dust extinction. Fortunately, at high Galactic latitudes, the extinction by dust is small. Full-sky maps are now available including corrections for dust extinction (Finkbeiner 2003; Dickinson et al. 2003).

Thermal (vibrational) dust emission arises from the heating of dust grains by the UV interstellar radiation field. At an equilibrium temperature of \(T_d \approx 18\) K, it emits mostly in the far infrared, peaking at \(\lambda \approx 100\) \(\mu\)m. For CMB experiments, it is only a major foreground at \(\nu \gtrsim 100\) GHz. A common approximation to the thermal dust spectrum is a modified black body: \(T(\nu) \propto \nu^\epsilon B(\nu, T_d)\) where \(\epsilon\) is the emissivity index, with typical values \(\sim 2\) (Table 1). At WMAP frequencies, we see the Rayleigh–Jeans (RJ) tail of the thermal dust, and hence the spectrum can be approximated by a power law, based on the emissivity index, \(\epsilon\). However, thermal dust is relatively weak at WMAP frequencies, therefore spatial templates are often used as a tracer instead. The most widely used template is the dust prediction of Finkbeiner et al. (1999), relative to 94 GHz. Based on IRAS/DIRBE data, it represents a global fit to the all-sky data, with two dust components with different temperatures and emissivities.

Anomalous emission is still not well understood. It appears to be an excess of emission at \(\sim 10–60\) GHz and is tightly correlated to FIR dust emission. The best explanation is in terms of electro-dipole radiation from rapidly spinning small dust grains. Theoretical models predict a peaked (convex) spectrum with a peak frequency in the range \(\sim 20–40\) GHz (Draine & Lazarian 1998a, 1998b; Ali-Haïmoud et al. 2009). The anomalous emission is difficult to separate spectrally in the WMAP channels since it is falling off quickly in the lower WMAP bands, with a spectral similar to synchrotron. As with free-free, a separation based on spatial morphology has been the most successful way to separate the anomalous emission with the other components, due to the remarkably tight correlation with FIR templates (Davies et al. 2006).

In this paper, we use standard foreground templates to trace diffuse foreground components (also downloaded from the Lambda Web site\(^2\)). For the synchrotron emission, we use the destriped and source-removed NCSA version of the Haslam et al. (1982) 408 MHz all-sky map. For free-free emission, we use the all-sky dust-corrected H\(\alpha\) map produced by Finkbeiner (2003). We check the consistency with the similar template

\(^2\) http://healpix.jpl.nasa.gov/
\(^3\) http://healpix.jpl.nasa.gov
by Dickinson et al. (2003). For thermal dust, we use the extrapolation of model 8 of Finkbeiner et al. (1999; hereafter FDS99) to 94 GHz. We check the consistency with the original 100 μm intensity map, and also the dust reddening $E(B-V)$ map. All maps were smoothed to a common 3° resolution and downgraded to $N_{\text{side}} = 64$.

### 3. BASIC WMAP5 ANALYSIS

#### 3.1. Signal Model and Priors

To begin with, we apply the signal model as used by Eriksen et al. (2008a) to the WMAP five-year data (WMAP5). For this case, the signal model being fitted to the data, is of the form:

$$ T_{\nu}(p) = s(p) + m_{\nu}^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} m_i^\nu [\mathbf{t}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}(p)] $$

$$ + b [r(p) a(\nu)(v/v_0)^{0.8}] + f(p)a(\nu)(v/v_0)^{0.8} \beta(p) .$$

The thermodynamic temperature $T_{\nu}(p)$, in each pixel $p$, consists of terms from the CMB (assumed to be Gaussian and defined by a power spectrum up to $\ell = 150$), monopoles and dipoles at each frequency, a single dust template amplitude, $b$, based on the FDS99 model 8 prediction $r(p)$ and with a fixed index of $\beta_d = +1.7$, and a single power-law (amplitude and spectral index) for each pixel in the RJ convention; $a(\nu)$ is the conversion from thermodynamic to RJ temperature units. The power law accounts for all the low-frequency foreground emission. We adopt a weak uniform prior on the power-law spectral index of $-4.0 < \beta < -1.0$ and a more constraining Gaussian prior with mean ($\langle \beta \rangle = -3.0$ and standard deviation $\Delta \beta = 0.3$. These are multiplied by the appropriate Jeffreys prior to account for the different volumes of likelihood space for different spectral indices (Eriksen et al. 2008b). The mask is a downgraded version of the standard Kp2 mask (not including the majority of extragalactic sources) where any masked sub-pixels result in a masked pixel at $N_{\text{side}} = 64$, resulting in 14.4% of pixels being masked.

#### 3.2. CMB Results

The CMB temperature power spectrum from Commander and the WMAP team is shown in Figure 1. The maximum likelihood value and 68% confidence regions were calculated using the “Gaussianized Blackwell-Rao” estimator of Rudjord et al. (2008). The power spectrum is in very good agreement with those from WMAP three-year data and those from the WMAP team (Nolta et al. 2009), on a $\ell$-by-$\ell$ basis. The error bars show asymmetric 68% confidence limits for Commander, and Gaussian $1\sigma$ uncertainties for the WMAP spectrum. Bottom: difference between the WMAP team and Commander power spectra. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

### Table 1

| Component       | Models                                                                 |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| CMB             | 1. Gaussian random field with a known frequency spectrum               |
|                 | 2. Uncorrelated pixels, CMB spectrum: $T_{\nu} = A \times (e^{\nu/\nu_0} - 1)^2/(h\nu/kT)^2 e^{\nu/\nu_0}$ |
| Synchrotron     | 1. Spatial template: Haslam et al. (1982) 408 MHz all-sky map          |
|                 | 2. Spatial template: $K - \text{WMAP}$ difference map                  |
|                 | 3. Uncorrelated pixels, power law: $T_{\nu} = A \times (v/v_0)^{0.8}$   |
|                 | 4. Uncorrelated pixels, power law with running: $T_{\nu} = A \times (v/v_0)^{0.8} \text{Cl}(v/v_0)$ |
| Free-free       | 1. Spatial template: $H_\alpha$ maps (Finkbeiner 2003; Dickinson et al. 2003) |
|                 | 2. Uncorrelated pixels, fixed index power-law: $T_{\nu} = A \times (v/v_0)^{0.8} \text{Cl}(v/v_0)$ |
| Anomalous dust  | 1. Spatial template: Dust map extrapolated to 94 GHz (Finkbeiner et al. 1999) |
|                 | 2. Spatial template: $K - \text{WMAP}$ difference map                  |
|                 | 3. Uncorrelated pixels, power law: $T_{\nu} = A \times (v/v_0)^{0.8}$   |
| Thermal dust    | 1. Spatial template: Dust map extrapolated to 94 GHz (Finkbeiner et al. 1999) |
|                 | 2. Uncorrelated pixels, power law: $T_{\nu} = A \times (v/v_0)^{0.8}$   |
|                 | 3. Uncorrelated pixels, modified black-body $T_{\nu} = A \times v^0 B(v, T_\nu)$ |

**Notes.** Spectra are given in terms of a Rayleigh–Jeans temperature, $T_{\nu}$. The conversion from thermodynamic to RJ temperature units. The thermodynamic temperature $T_{\nu}(p)$, in each pixel $p$, consists of terms from the CMB (assumed to be Gaussian and defined by a power spectrum up to $\ell = 150$), monopoles and dipoles at each frequency, a single dust template amplitude, $b$, based on the FDS99 model 8 prediction $r(p)$ and with a fixed index of $\beta_d = +1.7$, and a single power-law (amplitude and spectral index) for each pixel in the RJ convention; $a(\nu)$ is the conversion from thermodynamic to RJ temperature units. The power law accounts for all the low-frequency foreground emission. We adopt a weak uniform prior on the power-law spectral index of $-4.0 < \beta < -1.0$ and a more constraining Gaussian prior with mean ($\langle \beta \rangle = -3.0$ and standard deviation $\Delta \beta = 0.3$. These are multiplied by the appropriate Jeffreys prior to account for the different volumes of likelihood space for different spectral indices (Eriksen et al. 2008b). The mask is a downgraded version of the standard Kp2 mask (not including the majority of extragalactic sources) where any masked sub-pixels result in a masked pixel at $N_{\text{side}} = 64$, resulting in 14.4% of pixels being masked.

#### 3.2. CMB Results

The CMB temperature power spectrum from Commander and the WMAP team is shown in Figure 1. The maximum likelihood value and 68% confidence regions were calculated using the “Gaussianized Blackwell-Rao” estimator of Rudjord et al. (2008). The power spectrum is in very good agreement with those from WMAP three-year data and those from the WMAP team (Nolta et al. 2009), on a $\ell$-by-$\ell$ basis. The error bars show asymmetric 68% confidence limits for each $C_\ell$ while we plot just the Gaussian symmetric error bars from the WMAP team. The CMB map and low-frequency foreground amplitude and power-law maps are shown in Figure 3. By eye, the maps look similar to those produced in the three-year analysis. The error in the CMB map is dominated by the dipole aligned with the Galactic mask (dipole X) while the foreground errors increase at lower latitudes due to the increased foreground levels. Overall, the errors are very small ($< 3 \, \mu K$ except for a few pixels very close to the edge of the Galactic mask). Note that in the case
of the error associated with the dipole, the error will be highly correlated between pixels, which is not encapsulated in a simple rms map.

To determine the impact on the cosmological parameters we ran the derived WMAP5 power spectrum through cosmomc\textsuperscript{14} (Lewis & Bridle 2002) for the standard 6-parameter \LambdaCDM model (Dunkley et al. 2009). In a similar way to the WMAP team, and also Chu et al. (2005), we use the Blackwell–Rao estimates for $\ell < 32$, and fix the $C_\ell$’s for $\ell = 33–50$ during the run, corresponding to a constant in the log likelihood. The Commander and WMAP likelihoods for the 6-parameter set are compared in Figure 2. The distributions are almost identical. The Commander marginal means and standard deviations for these parameters are $\Omega_m h^2 = 0.02285 \pm 0.00063$, $\Omega_{cdm} h^2 = 0.1089 \pm 0.0064$, $\sigma_8 = 0.793 \pm 0.037$, $H_0 = 72.5 \pm 2.7$, $n_s = 0.9675 \pm 0.0146$, and $\tau = 0.0898 \pm 0.0177$. These are in excellent agreement with the WMAP five-year likelihood values: $\Omega_m h^2 = 0.02278 \pm 0.00062$, $\Omega_{cdm} h^2 = 0.1093 \pm 0.0067$, $\sigma_8 = 0.793 \pm 0.038$, $H_0 = 72.2 \pm 2.7$, $n_s = 0.9645 \pm 0.0147$, and $\tau = 0.0891 \pm 0.0175$.

### 3.3. Foreground Results

The FDS99 dust template amplitude is 0.949 $\pm$ 0.003 relative to 94 GHz. This is in good agreement with the WMAP three-year results and indicates that the dust model of FDS99 is a remarkably accurate prediction when averaging over the high latitude sky. Note that the formal error is likely to be underestimated, since it is based on the contribution from the noise model (2 $\mu$K white noise) and does not include model-dependent uncertainties.

A recent improvement in the Commander code is the ability to fit for foreground parameters inside the Galactic mask, without affecting the CMB power spectrum. In masked regions, the large-scale CMB power and any templates (foregrounds, monopoles/dipoles) are subtracted first, based on the fits of the unmasked regions. The residual is then fitted by any remaining foreground parameters on a pixel-by-pixel basis. In this case, the low-frequency power law (amplitude and spectral index) is fitted to each pixel inside the mask. The result is a full-sky map of the foregrounds (Figure 3). Except for a small discontinuity between at the mask boundary, the spectral index map is within the expected range. The dominance of free-free emission, with a theoretical spectral index $\beta_{ff} \approx -2.1$, is apparent in the Galactic plane and in well-known star-forming regions such as Orion $(l, b) \sim (210^\circ, -10^\circ)$, the Gum nebula $(l, b) \sim (260^\circ, -10^\circ)$, and Ophiucus $(l, b) \sim (5^\circ, +25^\circ)$. The average spectral index for the whole sky is $\beta = -2.90 \pm 0.26$. At high latitudes $(|b| > 20^\circ)$, the spectral index has a range of $-3.8 < \beta < -2.1$ with an average of $\beta = -2.97 \pm 0.21$. The average is therefore very close to the prior mean $(\langle \beta \rangle = -3.0)$ but with a standard deviation significantly less, suggesting that the actual variation in $\beta$ is less than the standard deviation of the prior, $\Delta \beta = 0.3$. In Section 4.4.2, we will explicitly test the sensitivity of the results on the prior. We note here that in the current implementation, the amplitude and spectral index are fitted without taking into account correlations with nearby pixels. In the high signal-to-noise ratio regime, this gives close to optimal results. However, at low signal-to-noise ratios (as in some areas at high Galactic latitudes), additional information can be gained by taking into correlations between pixels. A future option, currently being investigated, is to fit for spectral indices using larger pixels, while fitting for amplitudes using smaller pixels, thus increasing the signal-to-noise ratio of the results (see, e.g., Eriksen et al. 2006 for an application of this methodology).

### 3.4. Monopoles and Dipoles

An important result of the WMAP three-year analysis of Eriksen et al. (2008a) was the discovery of significant residual monopoles and dipoles in WMAP maps. This was later confirmed to be due to an error in the processing of the maps when estimating the offset in each map based on a model of the foregrounds at the Galactic poles (Hinshaw et al. 2009). The best-fit monopoles and dipoles in the WMAP five-year data are given in Table 2. The magnitude of the monopoles and dipoles is clearly

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{14} http://cosmologist.info/cosmomc/}
The Galactic mask is extremely important for obtaining the optimal CMB estimates by masking out bright regions dominated by foreground emission (which becomes increasingly

### Table 2

| Band     | Monopole (μK) | Dipole X (μK) | Dipole Y (μK) | Dipole Z (μK) |
|----------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| K-band   | 3.2 ± 0.5      | 2.3 ± 1.2     | 0.4 ± 0.8     | −1.1 ± 0.1    |
| Ka-band  | 3.6 ± 0.5      | 1.0 ± 1.2     | −2.6 ± 0.8    | 0.5 ± 0.1     |
| Q-band   | 5.7 ± 0.5      | 1.9 ± 1.2     | −2.1 ± 0.8    | 0.8 ± 0.1     |
| V-band   | 2.6 ± 0.5      | 2.4 ± 1.2     | 0.6 ± 0.8     | −1.3 ± 0.1    |
| W-band   | 2.6 ± 0.5      | 2.1 ± 1.2     | 0.9 ± 0.8     | −1.6 ± 0.1    |
| K-band   | 0.5 ± 0.5      | 2.9 ± 1.2     | 1.2 ± 0.8     | −0.8 ± 0.1    |
| Ka-band  | 0.3 ± 0.5      | 2.0 ± 1.2     | −1.3 ± 0.8    | 0.8 ± 0.1     |
| Q-band   | 2.6 ± 0.5      | 2.8 ± 1.2     | −0.7 ± 0.8    | 1.1 ± 0.1     |
| V-band   | −0.0 ± 0.5     | 3.0 ± 1.2     | 1.6 ± 0.8     | −1.0 ± 0.1    |
| W-band   | −0.0 ± 0.5     | 2.7 ± 1.2     | 1.6 ± 0.8     | −1.4 ± 0.1    |

Notes. Top: for the basic model using 1 “index” template (see Section 3). Bottom: for the same model but with 2 “index” templates, including the Finkbeiner (2003) Hα template (see Section 4.2.1).
Figure 5. Map of $\chi^2$ for the basic WMAP five-year analysis. Most pixels are at $\chi^2 < 15$ and are consistent with the model, given the assumed errors. There is a slight increase in the $\chi^2$ in the ecliptic plane due to the additional unmodeled instrumental noise. A few pixels close to the Galactic plane have $\chi^2 > 50$, with one pixel at $\chi^2 = 138$.

complex, particularly at low Galactic latitudes) but still allowing the maximum sky coverage (to reduce cosmic variance). From the analysis with the Kp2 mask, the $\chi^2$ map gives a handle on how good the signal model and where it is a poor fit. Figure 5 shows the map of $\chi^2$. The mean value at high latitudes is $\chi^2 = 6.9$. Most pixels have $\chi^2 < 15$, which indicates a model consistent with the data and the errors; statistically, a value of 15 corresponds to a model that is rejected at the 99% confidence level. There is a small increase in $\chi^2$ along the ecliptic plane, due to the additional unmodeled instrumental noise, although this is an improvement over the WMAP three-year results due to the additional data. A few pixels have particularly large $\chi^2$ values ($\chi^2 > 50$). An obvious mask choice would be to only include pixels with a $\chi^2$ below some limit. We tried a mask for $\chi^2 < 30$ and found that the CMB power spectrum remained unchanged.

We explore the sensitivity of the derived CMB and foreground parameters by first using the exact same signal model as in Section 3 but employing five different masks. The masks are (1) Kp2 mask (14.4\% as used in Section 3), (2) a 10$'$ smoothed Kp2 mask (31.4\%), (3) WMAP5 KQ85 temperature mask (17.5\%), (4) WMAP5 temperature processing mask (6.5\%) and (5) no mask (full-sky). The coverage of each mask is shown in Figure 6.

Figure 7 shows the CMB temperature power spectra for each mask. The signal model is the same in each case. For full-sky (no mask) there are significant foreground contributions across the entire $\ell$-range considered here ($\ell = 2–50$) with an excess power of $\approx 500 \mu\text{K}^2$. With the WMAP processing mask (6.5\% masked out), the spectrum is quite close to the spectra employing larger masks. However, there is some positive bias at some $\ell$-values (e.g., $\ell = 22–27$), indicating that for this model, this mask is not adequate to mask out the Galaxy and thus have a negligible effect on the power spectrum. The temperature KQ85 mask and Kp2 mask power spectra are in excellent agreement.

We checked that the impact on the cosmological parameters is negligible ($< 1\sigma$ for all parameters).

4.2. H$\alpha$ templates

A major issue with the signal model used so far is that the majority of the foreground emission is fitted by a single power law at each pixel. This is a broad assumption given that we know that there are at least two distinct Galactic components emitting at the lower frequencies: synchrotron radiation (which has a spatially varying spectral index) and free-free emission (which as a flatter spectral index but varies little with position). There is also substantial evidence for an anomalous dust-correlated component, possibly due to spinning dust grains, which is strong in the lower WMAP bands. The anomalous component appears to have a spectral index of $\beta \approx -2.15$ at these frequencies (Dickinson et al. 2003). If the free-free emission is significant in the higher WMAP bands, the power-law model will clearly not be a good model and the CMB estimates could be affected. Fortunately, there are full-sky free-free templates available, based on H$\alpha$ surveys (Dickinson et al. 2003; Finkbeiner 2003). These are expected to be good tracers of the free-free emission for most of the sky, with the exception of low latitudes where dust absorption will affect the H$\alpha$ intensity.

4.2.1. H$\alpha$ Fixed Index Template

We included a free-free template based on H$\alpha$ (Finkbeiner 2003; Dickinson et al. 2003) as an additional template with a fixed spectral index $\beta_{\text{ff}} = -2.15$. This was not included
in the analysis of Eriksen et al. (2008a) because simulations showed that with this model, for the WMAP frequency range, the code did not reproduce the exact input values. In particular, the free-free amplitude was underestimated by \( \approx 10\% \) (depending on the typical assumed synchrotron spectral indices) due to aliasing between the power-law component and the free-free template. This is due to a degeneracy between synchrotron and free-free emission over the WMAP frequencies: with effectively only three available frequencies (\( K, K\alpha, Q \)) and two free components (synchrotron and free-free), the resulting joint posterior becomes strongly non-Gaussian and asymmetric. As a result, the corresponding univariate marginal means are no longer unbiased estimators, and not useful as point estimators. For an explicit example of this effect, see Eriksen et al. (2007). In the simulation, the other components (dust template amplitude, monopoles, and dipoles) were reproduced correctly (2007). In the simulation, the other components (dust template amplitude, monopoles, and dipoles) were reproduced correctly (2007). The CMB power spectra, computed with and without the additional H\( \alpha \) template, are shown in Figure 8. The differences are negligible relative to the error bars. This tells us, assuming the H\( \alpha \) map is a good template for free-free emission, that the single power-law model is an adequate model for this particular data set and the Kp2 mask. This is justified by the fact that the total level of free-free emission at high latitudes is actually quite small, relative to the CMB and other foregrounds. The H\( \alpha \) intensity at high latitude is \( \sim 1 \) R, which corresponds to \( \sim 10 \) \( \mu \)K at 23 GHz and \( \sim 0.5 \) \( \mu \)K at 94 GHz. For comparison, at 23 GHz and at high latitudes, the foregrounds are \( \sim 100 \) \( \mu \)K while at 94 GHz, the signal is dominated by CMB at \( \sim 30 \) \( \mu \)K. The free-free component is therefore not a dominant component at WMAP frequencies, except in the Galactic plane and in regions of intense star formation. Indeed, the resulting CMB map appears (by eye) to be identical to the single template model. However, the difference map does reveal that there is a residual Galactic component, as shown in Figure 9. This residual is \( \sim 1 \) \( \mu \)K for most of the sky, increasing nearer the plane to \( \sim 5 \) \( \mu \)K, hence why it is not visible in the CMB map itself. The structure of this residual component (Figure 9) is similar to the H\( \alpha \) map. Although it does not have an impact on the CMB power spectrum, such errors may have an impact on sensitive non-Gaussianity tests.

The CMB temperature power spectra, computed with and without the additional H\( \alpha \) template, are shown in Figure 8. The differences are negligible relative to the error bars. This tells us, assuming the H\( \alpha \) map is a good template for free-free emission, that the single power-law model is an adequate model for this particular data set and the Kp2 mask. This is justified by the fact that the total level of free-free emission at high latitudes is actually quite small, relative to the CMB and other foregrounds. The H\( \alpha \) intensity at high latitude is \( \sim 1 \) R, which corresponds to \( \sim 10 \) \( \mu \)K at 23 GHz and \( \sim 0.5 \) \( \mu \)K at 94 GHz. For comparison, at 23 GHz and at high latitudes, the foregrounds are \( \sim 100 \) \( \mu \)K while at 94 GHz, the signal is dominated by CMB at \( \sim 30 \) \( \mu \)K. The free-free component is therefore not a dominant component at WMAP frequencies, except in the Galactic plane and in regions of intense star formation. Indeed, the resulting CMB map appears (by eye) to be identical to the single template model. However, the difference map does reveal that there is a residual Galactic component, as shown in Figure 9. This residual is \( \sim 1 \) \( \mu \)K for most of the sky, increasing nearer the plane to \( \sim 5 \) \( \mu \)K, hence why it is not visible in the CMB map itself. The structure of this residual component (Figure 9) is similar to the H\( \alpha \) map. Although it does not have an impact on the CMB power spectrum, such errors may have an impact on sensitive non-Gaussianity tests.

The effect on the low-frequency foreground spectral index is shown in Figure 10. For much of the sky, the differences are small (\( \lesssim 0.1 \)), with the largest variations occurring near well-known regions of strong free-free emission such as Orion, Gum nebula, and Cygnus. In these regions, the spectral index decreases by \( \sim 0.5 \), as expected with a transition from synchrotron to free-free emission.

![Figure 9. Difference map of the mean CMB maps from the basic WMAP5 model (1 template) and when an additional H\( \alpha \) template is included (2 templates). Units are \( \mu \)K.](image)

![Figure 10. Difference map of the low-frequency spectral indices derived from the basic WMAP5 model (1 template) and when an additional H\( \alpha \) template is included (2 templates). The full range is \( -0.083 \) to \( 1.3 \).](image)
4.2.2. Hα Free Template

Rather than assume the known spectral dependence for a template (the “index” template option), Commander allows for an independent fit to each frequency channel (the “free” template option), i.e., fitting for a template amplitude coefficient for each frequency. When this option is used for the Finkbeiner (2003) Hα template, we recover the following amplitudes: 5.67, 2.72, 1.78, 0.68, 0.27 (± 0.08) μK R⁻¹, at 23, 33, 41, 61, and 94 GHz, respectively. These values are slightly lower than those obtained with a fixed spectral index (6.3 ± 0.8 μK R⁻¹ at 23 GHz). The amplitudes follow a free-free spectrum very closely, with a best-fit spectral index to the amplitudes of β = −2.12 ± 0.05, consistent with our assumption of βd = −2.15. These coefficients are consistent with a power law, with a slight steepening at V- and W-bands, which is actually expected for free-free emission (Dickinson et al. 2003). For this model we find no evidence for a broad bump, centered at ~40–50 GHz in the Hα amplitudes, that has been claimed by Dobler & Finkbeiner (2008b) and Dobler et al. (2009). This may be due to this particular effect, only being visible at lower Galactic latitudes, and hence not seen with our larger mask. Indeed, we note the large variation in template coefficients when different masks are used (Section 4.5).

4.3. Thermal Dust

Thermal (vibrational) dust emission comes from warm (T_d ~ 18 K) dust-emitting black-body radiation, peaking at ~100 μm (3000 GHz). Thermal dust is a relatively weak component in most of the WMAP channels. In the lowest bands (K, Ka, Q) it is almost negligible, while at the W band it begins to dominate over the other components. One of the assumptions that is commonly used, in analyses of WMAP data, is that the thermal dust can be subtracted using a single FIR template (e.g., FDS99)—either via a cross-correlation with each band, or with a fixed spectral index. This appears to work well, and surprisingly, the amplitude is remarkably close to the that of the template, i.e., ~1.0 at 94 GHz (see Section 3). The best-fitting model of FDS99 (model 8) has an effective spectral index of β_d ~+1.7 at WMAP frequencies. Dust is known to emit with a range of indices, with a total range of ~1–3 (Finkbeiner et al. 1999; Dupac et al. 2003) but with an average of ~2 in the diffuse ISM. We now investigate the sensitivity of the results on the assumption of a spectral index for the thermal dust, and if other templates, can provide a better fit.

4.3.1. The Thermal Dust Spectral Index

To test the sensitivity on the assumed spectral index of the dust template, we repeated the WMAP five-year analysis but varied the assumed dust template spectral index with β_d = +1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, covering the likely range for the average value. To reduce the effects of free-free emission, which could be significant at the higher frequencies, the Finkbeiner (2003) Hα template was included.

In terms of goodness of fit, the total χ² values were 302,240, 301,890, 301,555, 301,234, 300,928, 300,638, 300,364, 300,106, for increasing β_d. This implies that the steeper the dust index, the better the fit. We therefore tried higher values, β_d = 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0...10.0. The minimum of χ² occurs at β_d = +6.0. This is beyond the range of plausible physical values (β_d ~ 1–3) and must be a consequence of modeling errors. A possible explanation is that residuals due to the assumption of a single power law for the low-frequency foregrounds (which accounts for both synchrotron and anomalous dust emission) bias the thermal dust template fit. More precisely, it is known that the anomalous emission is tightly correlated with the thermal dust emission based on FIR data (Davies et al. 2006). If the anomalous emission is indeed due to spinning dust, the spectrum will drop off much more rapidly than synchrotron in the ~40–100 GHz range, resulting in a flatter spectral index being fitted in the lower frequency channels. This in turn will result in too much of the dust-correlated foreground being subtracted at the higher WMAP frequencies (V- and W-bands) and thus the dust template will prefer a steeper index. In fact, the dust template amplitudes do decrease with increasing β_d with a value of 0.52 for β_d = 6.0, in support of this explanation. The resulting dust spectral index (β_d = +6.0) can, in principle, give information on the residual and hence the spectrum of the modeled component. However, the effective spectral index for the combined synchrotron and spinning dust components is very sensitive on the exact peak of the spinning dust spectrum, since it is close to the lowest frequency channels of WMAP, in the range ~20–40 GHz (Draine & Lazarian 1998a, 1998b; Ali-Haïmoud et al. 2009). Still, given that the majority of spinning dust models fall off steeper than β = −4, it is not inconceivable that a thermal dust spectrum of β_d = +6.0 is compatible with such a residual.

On visual inspection of the low-frequency amplitude map, for β_d = 1.7 and β_d = 6.0, we actually find clear evidence of differences attributed to both dust and free-free. In fact, the difference map is dominated by regions closely correlated to tracers of free-free emission (Hα), with the amplitude map corresponding to β_d = 6.0 being brighter by several tens of μK (at 23 GHz) in regions of strong free-free emission (e.g., near Orion, Eridanus, Gum nebula, etc.). The impact on the CMB temperature power spectrum is negligible because of the absolute level of thermal dust emission at WMAP frequencies is relatively small. Similarly, the effect on the absolute amplitude/spectral index of the low-frequency foreground is small, with typical variations in Δβ ≈ 0.1 for high latitude features.

4.3.2. Thermal Dust Templates

So far, we have assumed that the FDS99 template, at 94 GHz, is the best spatial template of thermal dust at WMAP frequencies. But given the simplicity of the fitted model used by Finkbeiner et al. (1999; two-component dust model), one can imagine that there may be some errors in the extrapolation. To see how sensitive the results were on this template, we replaced the FDS99 template with other templates. First, we tried the E(B–V) reddening maps, which are temperature corrected, and are essentially proportional to the dust column density. The results were almost identical to the FDS99, with a small increase in the χ² value; 295842 for the E(B–V) case compared to 295355 in the FDS99 case. This indicates that, at least spatially, the E(B–V) map and the FDS99 maps are very similar.

We also tried the raw SFD98 100 μm intensity map. For this case, χ² = 350863, which is significantly higher than in previous cases. Visual inspection of the maps revealed that a few pixels, in the Orion region (near Barnard’s loop), were anomalous. The CMB map was strongly negative (~494 μK) in this region, while the low-frequency spectral index was at ~4.0, i.e., pegged at the prior. Also, the power spectrum showed additional power at ℓ ~ 10, indicative of foreground residuals. With a larger mask, this disappeared. As expected, the FDS99 template is more representative of the morphology of the real sky, than the raw 100 μm intensity map.
emission at lower frequencies, as seen in Section 4.5. Several model is that the FDS99 template should trace the anomalous now relax this constraint by fitting for the same FDS99 template.

amplitude was \(8^{+1}_{-1}\) \(\alpha\), combinations were tried, such as including a H

combinations were tried, such as including a H\(\alpha\) template with a fixed spectral index e.g., \(\beta = +1.7\). We now relax this constraint by fitting for the same FDS99 template “freely” at each frequency. The most important aspect of this model is that the FDS99 template should trace the anomalous emission at lower frequencies, as seen in Section 4.5. Several combinations were tried, such as including a H\(\alpha\) template with a fixed spectral index or not. The results of these were similar with no significant variations in the CMB spectrum. For a fixed spectral index H\(\alpha\) template, and the Kp2 mask, the H\(\alpha\) amplitude was \(8.02 \pm 0.04 \, \mu K \, \text{R}^{-1}\) at 23 GHz, similar to what was found in Section 4.5 (Table 3). The FDS99 amplitude coefficients were \(0.67, 0.08, 0.136, 0.36, 1.12 \pm 0.09 \, \mu K \, \text{K}^{-1}\) at 23, 33, 41, 61, and 94 GHz, respectively. The thermal dust contribution appears to be robust, with an amplitude at high frequencies remains at close to the predicted level from FDS99; this is in contrast to the template only fits (Section 4.5/Table 3) where the values varied considerably with the exact model and mask. The dust contribution then decreases substantially at frequencies immediately below the W band with a minimum at 33 GHz. At 23 GHz, the dust-correlated contribution then rises quickly, which is indicative of spinning dust. However, the value at 33 GHz appears to be much lower than expected for typical spinning dust models. This is likely to be due to aliasing of power between the template and the foreground captured by the power-law term in each pixel. Moreover, the FDS99 amplitude at 23 GHz is \(\approx 1/10\) of the value found with template only analyses in Section 4.5 and previous analyses (Davies et al. 2006). Indeed, simulations showed that the dust template coefficients were unreliable, and were very sensitive on the details of the spinning dust model. We can speculate that only a fraction of the anomalous dust signal is truly correlated with the FDS99 template and therefore the rest is accounted for by the low-frequency power-law component. This would also explain why a single low-frequency power-law appears to be an adequate model, at least for WMAP data, for the combination of synchrotron and anomalous dust foregrounds.

### 4.3.3. Free Dust Template

Up to now, the FDS99 dust template has been used to account for the thermal dust contribution at higher frequencies; we fitted for a template with a fixed spectral index e.g., \(\beta = +1.7\). We now relax this constraint by fitting for the same FDS99 template “freely” at each frequency. The most important aspect of this model is that the FDS99 template should trace the anomalous emission at lower frequencies, as seen in Section 4.5. Several combinations were tried, such as including a H\(\alpha\) template with a fixed spectral index or not. The results of these were similar with no significant variations in the CMB spectrum. For a fixed spectral index H\(\alpha\) template, and the Kp2 mask, the H\(\alpha\) amplitude was \(8.02 \pm 0.04 \, \mu K \, \text{R}^{-1}\) at 23 GHz, similar to what was found in Section 4.5 (Table 3). The FDS99 amplitude coefficients were \(0.67, 0.08, 0.136, 0.36, 1.12 \pm 0.09 \, \mu K \, \text{K}^{-1}\) at 23, 33, 41, 61, and 94 GHz, respectively. The thermal dust contribution appears to be robust, with an amplitude at high frequencies remains at close to the predicted level from FDS99; this is in contrast to the template only fits (Section 4.5/Table 3) where the values varied considerably with the exact model and mask. The dust contribution then decreases substantially at frequencies immediately below the W band with a minimum at 33 GHz. At 23 GHz, the dust-correlated contribution then

### 4.4. The Spectral Index Prior

An important parameter is the Bayesian prior that is imposed on the parameters, and in particular, the spectral index of the power-law component. Commander implements two types of priors on the spectral index. The first is a uniform prior that

### 4.3.4. Thermal Dust as a Power Law at Each Pixel

Rather than using a fixed spatial template, we relaxed this assumption by fitting for a dust amplitude in each pixel. This means fitting for two power laws in each pixel, one with a free spectral index (for the low frequency foregrounds) and one with a fixed index (for the thermal dust). WMAP data do not allow fitting for a spectral index as well (both in terms of degrees of freedom and frequency coverage), so this has to be fixed for the dust power law, e.g., \(\beta = +1.7\). The first attempt looked quite reasonable, although the fitted monopoles were biased high (4–7 \(\mu K\)). Since the results so far indicated that residual monopoles/dipoles were small in the WMAP five-year data, these were fixed at zero. The CMB power spectrum was consistent with the previous results.

The marginal mean map for the dust amplitude is compared with the FDS99 template in Figure 11. You can see that, due to the limited frequency range of WMAP, the dust amplitude is relatively noisy at high latitudes. However, there is a good overall correspondence between the two maps; most of the brighter features are in both maps, with similar amplitudes, particularly at lower latitudes where the emission is stronger. The FDS99 does a remarkable job of predicting the thermal dust amplitude at 94 GHz. We did not see a significant improvement in the \(\chi^2\) map, except inside the mask (in the Galactic plane), where the FDS99 prediction is unlikely to be accurate due to the complexities of a wider variation in dust properties in the Galaxy. Additional high-frequency channels, as will be provided by the upcoming Planck satellite, will provide a much better view of the thermal dust component at frequencies \(\geq 100\) GHz.

### Table 3

Template Amplitude Coefficients for a Three Template Fit of Haslam et al. 408 MHz, Finkbeiner H\(\alpha\), and FDS99 94 GHz

| Template | Band | KQ85     | KQ75     | Kp0     | Kp2     |
|----------|------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| 408 MHz  | K    | 4.34 ± 0.08 | 4.24 ± 0.11 | 4.43 ± 0.13 | 4.57 ± 0.08 |
|          | Ka   | 1.67 ± 0.08 | 1.80 ± 0.11 | 1.88 ± 0.13 | 1.81 ± 0.08 |
|          | Q    | 1.02 ± 0.08 | 1.20 ± 0.11 | 1.26 ± 0.13 | 1.15 ± 0.08 |
|          | V    | 0.42 ± 0.08 | 0.64 ± 0.11 | 0.69 ± 0.13 | 0.55 ± 0.08 |
|          | W    | 0.25 ± 0.08 | 0.48 ± 0.11 | 0.52 ± 0.13 | 0.37 ± 0.08 |
| H\(\alpha\)| K    | 6.67 ± 0.09 | 6.76 ± 0.16 | 7.38 ± 0.14 | 8.52 ± 0.09 |
|          | Ka   | 3.87 ± 0.09 | 4.62 ± 0.16 | 4.49 ± 0.14 | 5.20 ± 0.09 |
|          | Q    | 2.82 ± 0.09 | 3.67 ± 0.16 | 3.37 ± 0.14 | 4.20 ± 0.09 |
|          | V    | 1.62 ± 0.09 | 2.50 ± 0.16 | 2.11 ± 0.14 | 2.74 ± 0.09 |
|          | W    | 1.19 ± 0.09 | 2.06 ± 0.16 | 1.64 ± 0.14 | 2.26 ± 0.09 |
| FDS99 94 GHz | K    | 6.03 ± 0.11 | 5.64 ± 0.11 | 5.58 ± 0.16 | 5.62 ± 0.09 |
|          | Ka   | 1.79 ± 0.11 | 1.15 ± 0.11 | 1.23 ± 0.16 | 1.33 ± 0.09 |
|          | Q    | 0.81 ± 0.11 | 0.11 ± 0.11 | 0.22 ± 0.16 | 0.30 ± 0.09 |
|          | V    | 0.36 ± 0.11 | −0.36 ± 0.11 | −0.25 ± 0.16 | −0.18 ± 0.09 |
|          | W    | 0.89 ± 0.11 | 0.13 ± 0.11 | 0.26 ± 0.16 | 0.34 ± 0.09 |

Notes: Units are K K\(^{-1}\), \(\mu K \, \text{R}^{-1}\), and \(\mu K \, (\mu K)^{-1}\), respectively.
defines the range of valid spectral indices. For the low frequency foregrounds, we typically use a range that encompasses any plausible value, e.g., \(-4.0 < \beta < -1\). The second is a more restrictive Gaussian prior, defined by a mean spectral index and standard deviation. The advantage of such a prior is that in the high signal-to-noise regime, where the data may prefer a value several standard deviations away from the mean, the solution will move toward the preferred value since the Gaussian prior never goes completely to zero (until it hits the uniform prior). An example of this can be seen in Figure 3 where near the plane, \(\beta \approx -2.1\), which is \(3\sigma\) away from the prior mean. On the other hand, in the low signal-to-noise regime, the prior becomes more important, defining the range of values that the samples can take. It is therefore important to choose a prior that represents the best available knowledge without being too weak or too strong.

For the analyses so far, we have used a Gaussian prior\(^{15}\) with mean \(\langle \beta \rangle = -3.0\) and standard deviation \(\Delta \beta = 0.3\). We now investigate what impact this assumption has on the results.

4.4.1. Mean

We ran the basic model (as in Section 3) but varied the Gaussian prior mean, \(\langle \beta \rangle\). We tried \(-3.3 < \langle \beta \rangle < -2.7\) in steps of 0.1. The total \(\chi^2\) values were 284,519, 284,030, 283,901, 284,157, 284,839, 286,012, 287,771, indicating that \(\langle \beta \rangle = -2.9\) gave a marginally better fit. The CMB temperature power spectrum remains unchanged over this range, showing the robustness of the model.

The FDS99 dust template amplitude varied from 0.978 (for \(\langle \beta \rangle = -2.7\)) to 0.892 (for \(\langle \beta \rangle = -3.3\)), showing that the choice of prior is relatively important for interpreting the individual foreground components. Similarly, the monopoles varied systematically as a function of \(\langle \beta \rangle\), by up to \(\approx 10 \mu K\) over the range of priors used here. The correlation between \(\langle \beta \rangle\) and the monopole amplitude has negligible effect on the CMB power spectrum since it only affects the overall offset in the map.

Figure 12 shows the foreground spectral index map derived for \(\langle \beta \rangle = -2.7, -3.0, -3.3\). The bright regions of emission do not change by much (\(\Delta \beta \lesssim 0.1\)), showing the robustness of the result irrespective of the prior. However, at high latitudes, there is a significant difference, indicating that the prior is important in regions of weak emission. The most striking difference is in the broad \(\sim 180^\circ\) diameter “ring” that is very clear for the \(\langle \beta \rangle = -2.7\) prior, evident for the \(\langle \beta \rangle = -3.0\) prior, but is not visible for the \(\langle \beta \rangle = -3.3\) prior (Figure 12). The ring feature is unlikely to be emanating from a particular structure in the Galaxy. It is probably a consequence of low signal-to-noise regions lying outside the ring, which will tend toward the prior mean (e.g., \(\langle \beta \rangle = -2.7\) or \(\langle \beta \rangle = -3.0\)) and the steeper spectrum regions lying inside, coming from radio spurs (the North Polar Spur) and flatter spectrum emission (e.g., free-free) near the Galactic center. When the prior mean is at \(\langle \beta \rangle = -3.3\), this matches closely the spectrum of the nearby emission making the feature largely disappear (Figure 12). We also note that around the Galactic center region, outside the Kp2 mask, the low-frequency spectral index is steeper than average (\(\beta \approx -3.3\)), which is in disagreement with the hard synchrotron hypothesis to account for the “haze” (Dobler & Finkbeiner 2008a). A similar analysis was repeated for the case with two templates, and similar results were found.

4.4.2. Standard Deviations

We now vary the standard deviation, keeping the mean fixed at \(\langle \beta \rangle = -3.0\). We tried \(\Delta \beta = 0.1, 0.2...0.5\) in steps of 0.1. The \(\chi^2\) values were 313191, 289437, 284157, 282694, 282280. As expected, the goodness of fit increases steadily with increasing \(\Delta \beta\), but with a significant jump for \(\Delta \beta \lesssim 0.2\). This suggests that the prior dominates for \(\Delta \beta \lesssim 0.2\) and hence there are real fluctuations of \(\Delta \beta \gtrsim 0.2\) on the sky. The maps of the spectral index mean for \(\Delta \beta = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5\) are shown in Figure 13. It is clear that \(\Delta \beta = 0.1\) strongly dampens the variation of spectral index at high latitudes. Our original choice of \(\Delta \beta = 0.3\) is justified since there is only a very small change from \(\Delta \beta = 0.3\) to \(\Delta \beta = 0.5\).

4.4.3. Running of the Spectral Index

The assumption of a power law, for the low-frequency foregrounds, is unlikely to be accurate over a wide range of frequencies. Synchrotron emission is known to steepen with frequency due to spectral aging of the cosmic ray electrons, although multiple synchrotron components (with different spectral indices) may result in a flattening of the effective index. Anomalous emission could also modify the effective spectral index, probably resulting in a steepening at higher frequencies. A first order correction to the power-law is to fit for an additional parameter in the exponent, usually referred to as the “running of the index,” or curvature parameter, \(C\) (see Table 1). Note that positive values of \(C\) represent a flattening of the spectral index with increasing frequency, while negative values represent a steepening with frequency.

The WMAP data alone do not allow for a reliable estimate of \(C\) for each pixel. Instead, we fit a model with a fixed value of \(C\) for the whole sky, relative to 23 GHz. We found that there was a slight preference for a flattening of the

\(^{15}\) In practice, the priors need to be multiplied by the appropriate Jeffrey’s ignorance prior to account for the different volumes of parameter space for nonlinear parameters; see Eriksen et al. (2008b) for details.

Figure 11. Top: FDS99 thermal dust prediction template at 94 GHz. Bottom: the marginal mean map for the thermal dust amplitude (normalized at 94 GHz), when modeled as a power law with a fixed spectral index, \(\beta_0 = +1.7\).
spectral index, with $C > 0$ preferred; the $\chi^2$ values were 295,362, 293,725, 292,757, 292,386, 294,427, for $C = 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$, respectively. The best-fitting value therefore appears to be for $C = 0.3$, i.e., a flattening of the spectral index at higher frequencies. This is also the preferred value found by Kogut et al. (2007) for the polarized synchrotron, although they found $C \approx -0.4$ for the unpolarized emission. The discrepancy is likely to be due to modeling errors and the additional components in temperature, that do not emit strongly in polarization (e.g., free-free, spinning dust). The flattening of the index is not what one would initially expect in temperature, since a strong spinning dust component would likely result in a negative curvature. There are several explanations that could account for this: (1) multiple synchrotron components with a range of spectral indices would result in a flattening of the index at higher frequencies; (2) free-free emission unaccounted for by the Hα template; and (3) thermal dust emission not accounted for by the FDS99 template. It is interesting to note that de Oliveira-Costa et al. (2008) observed an overall flattening ($C > 0$) when fitting data from 10 MHz to 100 GHz, adopting a reference frequency of 5 GHz.

4.5. Template Only Fits

The fitting of spatial templates to CMB data has been one of the most effective ways of reducing and understanding foreground emissions (Hinshaw et al. 2007). The template amplitude coefficients can also give additional insight into the scaling of the foregrounds. We investigate the performance and results of fitting templates only to the WMAP five-year data.

4.5.1. Haslam 408 MHz, Hα and FDS99

We begin by using “standard” templates for three components: Haslam et al. (1982) 408 MHz as a tracer of synchrotron, Hα for free-free emission, and FDS99 for thermal dust (the FDS99 template also accounts for the low-frequency anomalous dust-correlated emission). Each template is fitted to each
frequency channel independently, using pixels outside the Galactic mask. Monopoles and dipoles were not fitted for.

The template amplitude coefficients are given in Table 3 for different Galactic masks. It can be seen that there are significant variations in the coefficients, particularly at the higher frequencies. It is clear that strong emission just outside the mask can have a strong effect on these single-fit numbers. Overall, the numbers are similar to those found by previous authors (Banday et al. 2003; Bennett et al. 2003; Davies et al. 2006). The FDS99-correlated foreground is a minimum at V-band but increases quickly at lower frequencies. This result is one of the key arguments for an anomalous component while the spinning dust origin naturally explains the strong correlation with traditional dust emission, as traced by the FDS99 template. The spectral index of this foreground, in the WMAP frequency range, is \( \beta \approx -4 \) which is consistent with a spinning dust type of the spectrum. The individual coefficients correspond to a synchrotron spectral index of \( \beta_s \approx -3.0 \), consistent with a slight steepening relative to GHz frequencies. The 408 MHz coefficients on the other hand fall off somewhat slower than what would be expected from synchrotron emission, with \( \beta_s \approx -2.5 \), probably due to aliasing of power between the templates and the low signal of synchrotron emission at the higher WMAP frequencies. The \( \text{H} \alpha \) coefficients also fall off slower than expected, with \( \beta_s \approx -1.4 \), rather than the expected value for free-free emission, \( \beta_{\text{ff}} = -2.15 \). Again, this is likely to be due to correlations between the templates. Still, the \( \text{H} \alpha \) coefficients are significantly lower than what is expected from theory, by almost a factor of 2. For example, at 22.8 GHz we obtain coefficients in the range 6.67–8.52 \( \mu K \ R^{-1} \) at 22.8 GHz, while for a typical electron temperature of \( T_e = 8000 \) K, the theoretical value is \( 11.4 \ \mu K \ R^{-1} \) (Dickinson et al. 2003). The origin of this is still not understood, but is likely to be related to the correlations between the various components.

The CMB power spectrum from this template analysis and for the Kp2 mask is shown in Figure 14. The power spectrum is clearly strong contaminated by foreground emission with a significant positive bias, particularly at \( \ell < 20 \). This is largely because the synchrotron emission at 23 GHz is not perfectly traced by the 408 MHz map. Spatial variations in the synchrotron spectral index will effect the morphology of the map resulting in an imperfect template. Moreover, the 408 MHz map is known to have baseline offsets resulting in stripes in the final maps. Finally, there are residual extragalactic sources that have not been accounted for, although at a working resolution of \( 3^\circ \) the impact of these residuals is expected to be small.

As shown above, using the “standard” templates does not fully remove all the foreground emissions on large angular scales. A better synchrotron template, proposed by the WMAP team, is the \( K - \text{Ka} \) difference map (Hinshaw et al. 2007). This has the advantage of perfectly canceling out the CMB and thus containing only foreground emission at an effective frequency within the WMAP frequency range. Furthermore, the absolute calibration will be perfectly matched to the other WMAP frequencies. The disadvantages are (1) the \( K \)-band and \( \text{Ka} \)-band maps cannot be used for cosmological analyses and (2) the template amplitude coefficients are more difficult to quantify, since they are effectively a contribution of at least two (or more) Galactic components.

We analyzed the WMAP5 data using the \( K - \text{Ka} \) difference template, fixing the dust spectrum to \( \beta_d = +1.7 \) and the free-free spectrum to \( \beta_{\text{ff}} = -2.15 \). The corresponding CMB power spectrum, for the FKS85 mask, is compared with the traditional templates in Figure 14. The power spectrum is very close to the basic WMAP5 analysis (Section 3) indicating the improvement in using the \( K - \text{Ka} \) map over the Haslam et al. (1982) 408 MHz. The improvement is partly due to the more accurate low-frequency template, but also the fact that the \( K \)- and \( \text{Ka} \)-bands can no longer be used because these data are the bases of the template. The template amplitude coefficients are given in Table 4. The numbers are close to the WMAP values from Hinshaw et al. (2007) but not exactly the same. The differences are likely to be due to the lower resolution of our analysis (3° compared to 1°) and the modification of the temperature mask due to the additional smoothing used here. We also tried fitting of monopoles and dipoles with similar results.

### 4.6. CMB Pixel Fitting

The results from the previous sections included full-sky foreground amplitude and spectral index maps where the low-frequency foreground is fitted inside the mask. One issue when interpreting the foreground results is that only the large-scale CMB fluctuations are constrained within the mask, resulting in CMB residuals inside the masked area that can affect the foreground reconstruction. This is the reason for the discontinuity between the masked and unmasked region, as seen in Figure 3. To obtain a more accurate foreground map, Commander can also fit for the CMB in the pixel domain. In this model, the CMB is simply fitted by an amplitude in each pixel, since the CMB spectrum is known (Table 1); this is similar to the MCMC algorithm described by Eriksen et al. (2006). Each pixel is treated as independent, therefore spatial correlations are not taken into account, which can result in a loss of information and hence noisy solution at small angular scales.

Figure 15 shows the margin mean maps for the CMB, foreground amplitude, and index, and their associated rms uncertainties. For most of the sky, the results are very similar to
the results already presented. The largest difference is that the CMB error map is not dominated by dipolar structure (compare with Figure 3) because no mask is used in this analysis. A similar structure is expected to be seen because of the strong foreground signal in the Galactic plane, but the errors in the dipoles are now much smaller ($\approx 0.02 \mu K$) and hence this effect is sub-dominant to the noise errors (1.2–3.6 $\mu K$). There are also significant differences inside the mask due to the different treatment of the CMB in these pixels. The CMB map reveals where the model/frequency range is not adequate. First, the strong free-free regions are seen as bright pixels in the CMB map (e.g., Cygnus at $l \approx 90^\circ$) due to the flatter free-free spectral index. In the Galactic center region, there is a slight lack of power. Examination of the spectrum at these pixels reveals nothing special, except for a flattening at the W band due to thermal dust. The likely reason for this is the mis-scaling of the FDS99 94 GHz dust template at low latitudes. In the Galactic plane, there is a complicated mix of dust properties (composition, temperatures, emissivities, etc.) which is unlikely to be well represented by the simple two-component fit made by Finkbeiner et al. (1999).

Rather than using a fixed spatial template, it is also possible to fit for the thermal dust component as an additional foreground to be fitted in each pixel. Clearly there are not enough channels to fit for a more complicated model. What can be done, is to fit for the thermal dust amplitude with a fixed spectral index (as in Section 4.3.4). This reduced the negative values observed near the Galactic center. We found that $\beta_3 = 2.0$ gave a better fit with $\chi^2 = 1,804,915$ compared to $\chi^2 = 1,885,888$ for $\beta_3 = +1.7$. As we saw in Section 4.3.1, but in a different context, the preferred value is actually steeper than this and reflects the unmodeled flatter spectral index (e.g., free-free) emission that biases the low-frequency spectral index. The monopoles and dipoles for this model are in good agreement with the previous models, although they are statistically much more accurate (ignoring modeling errors). From low to high frequency they are $0.177 \pm 0.003$, $-1.844 \pm 0.011$, $3.188 \pm 0.007$, $-1.930 \pm 0.011$, and $0.408 \pm 0.003 \mu K$.

5. COMPARISON OF CMB AND FOREGROUNDS WITH OTHER WORKS

5.1. CMB Maps And Low-\(l\) Power Spectra

In this paper, we have produced several versions of the CMB map with different assumptions on the foreground signal model. We now make a more detailed comparison between these maps and those available in the literature. We take our CMB map from the 2-template plus power-law model (Section 4.2.1) and compare it with (1) the WMAP five-year ILC map Hinshaw et al. (2009), (2) the Harmonic ILC map of Kim et al. (2008), and (3) the WMAP five-year MCMC-derived best-fit CMB map of Gold et al. (2009). The maps were smoothed to a common 3$^\circ$ resolution and downgraded to $N_{side} = 64$. The MCMC map is already at $N_{side} = 64$ thus we simply smooth to 3$^\circ$ resolution.

A by eye visual inspection of the CMB temperature maps reveals no obvious differences, except in the Galactic plane, which is clearly strongly contaminated by foregrounds. To make a more accurate comparison, we mask the Galactic plane and make difference maps as shown in Figure 16.

There is good overall agreement with the WMAP ILC (WILC) map with very little large-scale power. There are a few regions close to the Galactic plane mask and LMC that are clearly different, with more power in the ILC map (blue regions on Figure 16). This is to be expected due to the extra degrees of freedom afforded by the power law at each pixel, compared to the weights of the ILC method which are computed over large regions. The most apparent difference is the significant amount of small-scale power, which is a consequence of the bias correction term employed in the WILC map, resulting in significant “noise” at scales of $\sim 1^\circ$.

However, there appears to be significant differences, even on large angular scales, between the Commander map and the Harmonic ILC map. Indeed, Kim et al. (2008) noted that most of the low-$\ell$ powers of the HILC map are higher than those of the WILC map. This suggests that the HILC map contains some residual foreground power, as seen in Figure 16.

The WMAP MCMC-derived map appears to show a significant positive bias. Outside the mask, the MCMC map has a mean of $-8.6 \mu K$ while the difference map has a mean of $+8.5 \mu K$. Furthermore, there are large-scale features (particularly in regions of known strong Galactic emission) that can be seen in the difference map, that are likely to be due to foreground modeling errors and residual monopoles/dipoles.

Recently, Pietrobon et al. (2008) used needlets to detect non-isotropic features in the CMB sky, i.e., non-Gaussianity. By masking out the brightest (3$\sigma$) detections of hot and cold spots, they claimed that a significant reduction in the CMB power occurred between $\ell = 8$ and 30 at the 1$\sigma$ level. We repeated our analysis using the additional areas masked out by the hot/cold spots. The CMB temperature power spectra are compared in Figure 17. The spectra are in good agreement with the standard
Figure 16. CMB temperature difference maps, relative to the Commander 2 index template plus power-law model. All maps have been smoothed to 3° resolution and are displayed with a linear scale ranging from $-15 \mu K$ to $+15 \mu K$. Top: WMAP five-year ILC map; middle: Harmonic ILC map; bottom: WMAP five-year MCMC map.

KQ85 mask, except at $\ell \lesssim 20$ where we confirm a slight decrease ($\approx 100 \mu K^2$) in power.

5.2. North versus South Ecliptic Asymmetry

A number of analyses of WMAP data have revealed departures from statistical isotropy on large angular scales. One of the most apparent is the CMB power asymmetry between the northern and southern ecliptic hemispheres. Besides for possible low- $l$ alignments, there appears to be more power in the southern hemisphere, than in the north (see, for example, Hansen et al. 2004, 2009; Eriksen et al. 2004, 2007; Bernui 2008).

We ran Commander with the same basic model as used in Section 3, using a number of different masks for the ecliptic areas, based on a cut at ecliptic latitude 30° e.g., the north ecliptic Kp2 covers ecliptic latitudes $>30^\circ$ in addition to the Galactic Kp2 mask, while the ecliptic plane is for latitudes within 30° of the ecliptic plane. The left plot in Figure 18 shows the Commander CMB map, with Kp2 mask in gray, and ecliptic region boundaries shown as black lines. We also tried the same using the WMAP KQ85 temperature mask and the 10° smoothed Kp2 mask (see Section 4.1).

Figure 18 shows the power spectra for a selection of masks, based on the Galaxy and ecliptic latitudes. For clarity, we plot binned power spectra with $\Delta \ell = 5$. The north–south asymmetry is visible, mostly at $\ell \lesssim 15$. The three lowest $\ell$-bins are $\approx 2\sigma$ discrepant between the north and south ecliptic hemispheres. The CMB in the ecliptic plane is in between, but closer to the southern values. Interestingly, the power spectra for the southern ecliptic hemisphere, when using a larger Kp2 smoothed Galactic mask contain less power at $\ell \lesssim 10$. This can be understood by simply looking at the CMB map and observing where the large-scale features lie relative to the ecliptic (left panel of Figure 18).

The two bright large-scale features are both near the edge of the standard Kp2 mask, a hot spot near south of the Gum nebula region ($l, b \approx (260^\circ, -20^\circ)$), and a cold spot to the southwest of the Galactic center, at ecliptic latitude $\approx -30^\circ$. Both these will be largely masked out in the smoothed Kp2 mask, thus reducing the power at low-$\ell$. However, both these features appear to remain robust against foreground subtraction and therefore remain a mystery.

5.3. Synchrotron/Low-frequency Foreground Spectral Index Maps

One of the products of running Commander, besides the CMB posteriors, are the posteriors for the foreground parameters. In Section 3, we presented the CMB and foreground results when applied to WMAP five-year data. An important product is the spectral index of the low-frequency foregrounds, as fitted over the WMAP frequency range, for each pixel. This accounts for all the diffuse foreground components at low frequencies, and could include contributions from synchrotron, free-free, and anomalous emission. There now exist several versions of synchrotron/low-frequency spectral index maps available in electronic form.

Figure 19 shows spectral index maps from several authors, smoothed to a common resolution of $\sim 3^\circ$. Table 5 lists the maps, the frequency range, reference, and the mean/rms spectral index...
Figure 18. Left: Commander CMB map, with the Kp2 mask region in gray. The ecliptic regions (defined by ecliptic latitudes above or below $|30^\circ|$) are marked. Right: Commander power spectra for the standard Kp2 mask, and various ecliptic regions, combined with Galactic masks. Each data point is the power spectrum in $\ell$-bins with $\Delta \ell = 5$. Symbols are shifted in $\ell$ for clarity.

Figure 19. Comparison of synchrotron/low-frequency spectral index maps. Top row: low-frequency spectral index maps, from left to right: Finkbeiner 408 MHz to 2.3 GHz, Giardino et al. (2002) 408 MHz to 2.3 GHz, Platania et al. (2003) 408 MHz to 2.3 GHz. Bottom row: spectral index maps including WMAP data, from left to right: Gold et al. (2009) 23–94 GHz, Giardino 408 MHz to 23 GHz, Commander 23–94 GHz.

Table 5

| Frequency Range | Reference                     | $\beta \pm \Delta \beta$ ($|b| > 20^\circ$) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 0.408–2.3 GHz   | D. P. Finkbeiner (2009, private comm.) | $-2.69 \pm 0.12$                        |
| 0.408–2.3 GHz   | Giardino et al. (2002)         | $-2.90 \pm 0.06$                        |
| 0.408–2.3 GHz   | Platania et al. (2003)         | $-2.68 \pm 0.11$                        |
| 23–94 GHz       | Gold et al. (2009)             | $-3.18 \pm 0.17$                        |
| 0.408–23 GHz    | G. Giardino (2009, private comm.) | $-2.89 \pm 0.13$                        |
| 23–94 GHz       | This paper                    | $-2.97 \pm 0.21$                        |

**Notes.** The last column gives the mean spectral index ($\beta$), and the rms variation ($\Delta \beta$) at high latitude ($|b| > 20^\circ$).

... at high latitude ($|b| > 20^\circ$). The top row of maps in Figure 19 was derived using low-frequency data at 408 MHz (Haslam et al. 1982), 1420 MHz (Reich & Reich 1986), and 2326 MHz (Jonas et al. 1998). The bottom row includes WMAP data, either on their own, or combined with low-frequency data (Table 5).

There is considerable variation between these maps, which can be partly attributed to the different frequency ranges used, and also different assumptions that were made in their production. The mean spectral index and rms variation at high latitude ($|b| > 20^\circ$) are given in Table 5. The low-frequency spectral index maps are typically flatter, with $\beta \approx -2.8$, while at WMAP frequencies, $\beta \approx -3.0$. This is in broad agreement with previous studies (Reich & Reich 1988; Davies et al. 1996). de Oliveira-Costa et al. (2008) analyzed radio maps from 10 MHz to 100 GHz and found $-2.6 < \beta < -2.3$ at 150 MHz, but $-3.3 < \beta < -2.1$ at 5 GHz. This is consistent with what is expected for synchrotron radiation, where spectral aging of cosmic ray electrons reduces the power at higher frequencies.

The low-frequency spectral index maps of D. P. Finkbeiner (2009, private communication) and Platania et al. (2003) are very similar. They used similar data sets and Fourier filtering techniques for reducing the striping artifacts that exist in the radio maps. The Giardino et al. (2002) map is somewhat different, both in absolute terms but also in morphology, due to different processing techniques, particularly for dealing with striations in the radio maps.

The Gold et al. (2009) spectral index map has a similar morphology to the Commander map, with steeper indices toward the Galactic center region, and flatter indices outside. The Commander map is typically flatter in the Galactic plane region, which is probably a result of using spatial templates for free-

---

16 Software and maps available at http://astro.berkeley.edu/dust/.
free/thermal-dust, compared to the MCMC analysis of Gold et al. (2009). The G. Giardino (2009, private communication) 408 MHz-23 GHz spectral index map is somewhat different in nature. Much of this is attributed to the larger frequency range employed and the reliance on the WMAP synchrotron maximum entropy method (MEM) model (Hinshaw et al. 2007) as a reliable map of the synchrotron radiation.17

We note once again that we do not see a significant evidence for a “WMAP Haze,” as was first seen by Finkbeiner (2004), and later suggested to be flat spectrum synchrotron from dark matter annihilation (Hooper et al. 2007; Dobler & Finkbeiner 2008a). First of all, we do not see a clear flattening of the spectral index near the Galactic center region (e.g., Figure 3); in fact, the integrated foreground is typically steeper in this region outside the mask, although the “haze” is only a sub-component of the total emission. Second, there is no clear sign of an extra unmodeled component in the $\chi^2$ goodness-of-fit map of Figure 5, although we do note that the $\chi^2$ does increase slightly toward the Galactic plane (as expected from the increasing complexity) and also in the ecliptic plane (due to additional unmodeled instrumental noise) which happens to pass through the Galactic center region.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The Gibbs sampling algorithm provides a self-contained method to perform component separation and CMB power spectrum estimation for multi-frequency data. As implemented in the Commander code, we can fit for a variety of signal models, including spatial templates and/or parametric functions on a per pixel basis, and propagate errors seamlessly the CMB likelihood. The method and code have now been tested and validated on a variety of simulations and real data.

In this paper, we applied the Commander code to the five-year WMAP data using a signal model consisting of CMB, noise, a power law with amplitude and spectral index in each $N_{\text{side}} = 64$ pixel, a spatial template for thermal dust, and monopoles/dipoles at each frequency. For the Kp2 mask, the recovered power spectrum, up to $\ell = 50$, is in very good agreement with the published WMAP team spectrum and the cosmological parameters are negligibly affected. Using larger masks did not improve the power spectrum, in terms of reducing foreground residuals. However, smaller masks ($\lesssim 15\%$ masked out) did result in a bias in the power spectrum, indicating significant residuals. A full-sky analysis results in $\sim 500 \mu K^2$ of additional power for $\ell < 50$.

Residual monopoles and dipoles, that were originally found to be a problem with WMAP three-year data, are found to be small in the five-year data, within a few $\mu K$ of zero. Although very small, not accounting for them, can affect the details of the recovered foreground parameters. The thermal dust template amplitude at 94 GHz is remarkably close (within $\approx 5\%$) to the prediction by Finkbeiner et al. (1999), assuming a dust spectral index $\beta_{\text{dust}} = +1.7$. A power law, with amplitude and spectral index in each pixel, accounts for all the low-frequency diffuse foregrounds, and is found to be an adequate model outside the Kp2 mask at WMAP frequencies. The best-fitting thermal dust spectral index, based on the $\chi^2$ goodness-of-fit statistic, appears to be much steeper than would be expected, and is likely to be an artifact of modeling errors due to dust-correlated emission with a different spectrum. Again, the effect on the CMB power spectrum is negligible, due to the low absolute levels of thermal dust at WMAP frequencies.

We tested the assumptions that were made about the signal model including the spectral index prior (mean and width), thermal dust spectral index, monopoles/dipoles. In all cases, the impact on the CMB power spectrum was very small to negligible. However, the assumptions did change the details of the recovered foreground parameters, particularly at very high latitudes, where the signal-to-noise ratio in each pixel is relatively low, and therefore the priors have an impact.

To account for free-free emission, we fitted for a Hz template and found amplitudes that were lower than theory (assuming $T_e \approx 8000$ K), but is similar to those found by other authors. The effect on the CMB map is small ($\lesssim 1 \mu K$) for most of the sky but rises to $\approx 5-10 \mu K$ at lower latitudes near the edge of the Kp2 mask. Similarly, the effect on the map of a low-frequency spectral index is also small ($\Delta \beta \lesssim 0.1$) except near well-known star-forming regions (e.g., Orion, Gum nebula) where free-free emission dominates at WMAP frequencies. This is as expected, since a single power law cannot account for two components (e.g., synchrotron and free-free) that are approximated by power laws with different indices.

When fitting for templates only (i.e., no spatial variation in spectral index), we find that using a combination of 408 MHz, Hz, and FDS99 templates, results in residual foreground power at low $\ell$. This indicates that this model is not sufficient to account for all the foreground complexities, particularly at the lower WMAP frequency channels. We found that the template amplitudes were sensitive to the details of the Galactic mask. The FDS99 template amplitudes rise at the lower WMAP channels, indicative of spinning dust, and similar to previous analyses. Replacing the 408 MHz template with the $K - Ka$-band difference map, and fitting to $Q$, $V$, $W$ bands, results in a cleaner separation and an unbiased power spectrum.

The Commander CMB map was compared with maps available in the literature. Differences of $\sim 10-20 \mu K$ were observed, and can be attributed to the differences in techniques and assumptions of component separation. The asymmetry of power between the north and south ecliptic hemispheres is confirmed and remains robust against foreground modeling. The Commander low-frequency spectral index has a similar morphology and range to the MCMC analysis of Gold et al. (2009), with $\beta = -2.97 \pm 0.21$ at high latitude. The spectral index is typically steeper by $\Delta \beta \approx 0.2$ than is observed at low ($\sim 1$ GHz) frequencies.

Commander will be a valuable low-$\ell$ tool for interpreting future CMB data, such as the upcoming Planck mission. The increased sensitivity, and more importantly, larger frequency range will allow a more complete foreground model to be fitted for, and should avoid the need for spatial templates (Leach et al. 2008). In a forthcoming paper, we will investigate the effectiveness of WMAP+Planck data when fitting for CMB and multiple foreground components. The increased sensitivity in polarization will allow the same procedure to be applied to the Q and U polarization maps.
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