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The Eulerian variational formulation of the gyrokinetic system with electrostatic turbulence is presented in general spatial coordinates by extending our previous work [H. Sugama et al., Phys. Plasmas 25, 102506 (2018)]. The invariance of the Lagrangian of the system under an arbitrary spatial coordinate transformation is used to derive the local momentum balance equation satisfied by the gyrocenter distribution functions and the turbulent potential which are given as solutions of the governing equations. In the symmetric background magnetic field, the derived local momentum balance equation gives rise to the local momentum conservation law in the direction of symmetry. This derivation is in contrast with the conventional method using the spatial translation in which the asymmetric canonical pressure tensor generally enters the momentum balance equation. In the present study, the variation of the Lagrangian density with respect to the metric tensor is taken to directly obtain the symmetric pressure tensor which includes the effect of turbulence on the momentum transport. In addition, it is shown in this work how the momentum balance is modified when the collision and/or external source terms are added to the gyrokinetic equation. The results obtained here are considered useful for global gyrokinetic simulations investigating both neoclassical and turbulent transport processes even in general non-axisymmetric toroidal systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Gyrokinetics has been used for several decades as a basic theoretical framework to study microinstabilities and turbulent processes in magnetized plasmas. A significant number of large-scale simulations are being performed based on gyrokinetic equations to analyze and predict turbulent transport fluxes of particles, heat, and momentum which are suitable for long-time and global transport simulations. Variational formulations based on the action integral of the Lagrangian provide a useful and systematic means to obtain governing equations and conservation laws of energy and momentum for considered systems. The gyrokinetic equations derived from the Lagrangian and/or Hamiltonian describing the gyrocenter motion possess conservation properties which are suitable for long-time and global transport simulations. Variational formulations based on the action integral of the Lagrangian provide a useful and systematic means to obtain governing equations and conservation laws of energy and momentum for considered systems. Variational formulations based on the action integral of the Lagrangian provide a useful and systematic means to obtain governing equations and conservation laws of energy and momentum for considered systems.

Because background flow profiles are considered as one of the key factors for improving plasma confinement, momentum transport processes which determine the flow profiles are investigated by large-scale gyrokinetic simulations. Thus, the momentum balance equation is obtained by taking the variational derivative of the Lagrangian density with respect to the metric tensor so that it is convenient to employ flux surface coordinates (e.g., Hamada coordinates and Boozer coordinates) to express these equations for analytic and numerical studies. In our formulation, the momentum balance equation is derived using the invariance of the action integral under arbitrary coordinate transformations. In this paper, the previous work is extended to present the Eulerian variational formulation of the gyrokinetic system with electrostatic turbulence in general spatial coordinates and to derive the local momentum balance equation with the symmetric pressure tensor including the effects of electrostatic turbulent fluctuations on the momentum transport.
to the metric tensor, which is in contrast to the conventional technique using the spatial translation transformation for the derivation of the canonical momentum balance including the asymmetric pressure tensor in the presence of the magnetic field. The canonical pressure tensor is asymmetric and gauge-dependent because of the vector potential included in the canonical momentum. In order to obtain the symmetric pressure tensor from the asymmetric canonical pressure tensor, additional complicated procedures of the Belinfante-Rosenfeld type using the angular momentum conservation law derived from the rotational symmetry are required. On the other hand, our method can more directly derive the symmetric pressure tensor which is clearly shown in this work to describe both neoclassical and turbulent transport of the momentum in the gyrokinetic system.

We should note here that while employing the Hamiltonian gyrocenter motion equations, an irreversible collision term must be included into the gyrokinetic equation in order to treat neoclassical and turbulent transport simultaneously. It is shown in our formulation how the momentum balance equations are modified when the collision and/or external source terms are added into the gyrokinetic equation. This is possible because the momentum balance equations are derived from the invariance of the Lagrangian under the general spatial coordinate transformations, with the help of the gyrocenter motion equations and the gyrokinetic Poisson’s equation while we have freedom in choosing the governing equation for the gyrocenter distribution function. The momentum balance equations including the effects of collisions and external sources are useful for checking and analyzing results of global gyrokinetic simulations using the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian equations to investigate neoclassical and turbulent transport in plasmas with particle, momentum, and/or heat sources.

It is valuable to make comparisons of the present work with recent works on similar subjects. In Ref., a constrained Eulerian variational principle presented by Brizard is used to derive the governing equations and local energy-momentum conservation laws of the electromagnetic gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell system, for which the gyrocenter motion equations are expressed only in terms of the perturbed electric and magnetic fields by including the perturbed fields in the Poisson-bracket structure. In Ref., the field theory presented by Qin et al. for particle-field systems on heterogenous manifolds is applied to derive local energy and momentum conservation laws in the electromagnetic gyrokinetic system. Except for dropping magnetic fluctuations and some of the second or higher order terms with respect to the small perturbation amplitude, the gyrokinetic system treated here is basically the same as seen in other earlier works, and it contains full finite gyroradius effects due to electrostatic fluctuations with high wavenumbers which are not included in Ref. Also, in the same way as in our previous work, the governing equations for the gyrokinetic system are derived in this paper based on the Euler-Poincaré formulation which is historically older than the methods employed in Refs. The Euler-Poincaré formulation was used in the pioneering work by Newcomb to derive the ideal MHD equations, and later it was applied to the derivation of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations, the guiding-center (or drift kinetic) system as well as the gyrokinetic system as shown in Refs. Here, the Eulerian formulation implies that, for the present gyrokinetic case, the phase-space velocity (or the temporal change rate of the gyrocenter coordinates in the phase space) of the gyrocenter is regarded as a field function of time and phase-space coordinates of the gyrocenter at the time when the gyrocenter passes through the considered point. In the formulation presented in Refs., the gyrocenter (or particle) phase-space velocity is described by not the Eulerian but Lagrangian view and is coupled with the Eulerian description of the electromagnetic fields. In Refs., not general but isometric transformations such as spatial translation and rotation are considered to derive local conservation laws of canonical linear and angular momentum in collisionless systems. In the present work, recognizing that the Lagrangian and the governing equations of the gyrokinetic system can be expressed in the invariant form under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations in the Eulerian framework, this invariance property is used to derive the local momentum balance equation even in the presence of collisions and external sources, and the derived balance equation is shown to give the local momentum conservation law in the direction of symmetry for collisionless systems without external sources.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the Lagrangian for describing the single-particle gyrocenter motion is given, in which the electrostatic potential fluctuation with the wavelength of the order of the gyroradius is included. Next, in Sec. III, we use the general spatial coordinates and define the Lagrangian of the whole system including particles of all species and turbulent electrostatic fields to present the Eulerian variational principle, from which the collisionless gyrokinetic equations for the gyrocenter distribution functions and the gyrokinetic Poisson’s equation for the electrostatic potential are derived. In Sec. IV, we make use of the invariance of the Lagrangians for the single-species and whole systems under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations to derive the momentum balance equations for both systems while allowing the collision and/or external source terms to be included in the gyrokinetic equations. Then, the symmetric pressure tensors, which enter these momentum balance equations, are obtained from the variational derivatives of the Lagrangians with respect to the metric tensor components and they are verified to describe both neoclassical and turbulent transport of the momentum. Finally, conclusions are given in Sec. V. In addition, Appendix A is given to briefly explain covariant derivatives and Christoffel symbols, which are used in the general spatial coordinates. Variations in the functional forms of vector and tensor fields under the infinitesimal
transformation of the spatial coordinates and variational derivative with respect to the metric tensor components are described in Appendices B and C, respectively. In Appendix D, the WKB representation is used to express the turbulent part of the pressure tensor in the form comparable with the one obtained in the past work on the momentum transport. Another derivation of the momentum balance equations, in which the asymmetric canonical pressure tensors appear, is shown in Appendix E and the energy balance equations are derived in Appendix F. The case of the symmetric background magnetic field is considered in Appendix G where it is shown how the local conservation law of the canonical momentum in the direction of symmetry is derived in the present formulation.

II. THE GYROCENTER LAGRANGIAN

The Lagrangian for describing the gyrocenter motion of the particle with mass $m$ and charge $e$ is given by

$$L_{GY} = \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(X, t) + mv_\parallel \mathbf{b}(X, t) \cdot \dot{X} + \frac{mc}{e} \mu \dot{\vartheta} - H_{GY}, \quad (1)$$

where the gyrocenter phase-space coordinates $X$, $v_\parallel$, $\mu \equiv mv_\parallel^2/(2B)$, and $\vartheta$ denote the gyrocenter position, the velocity component parallel to the magnetic field, the magnetic moment, and the gyrophase angle, respectively, and $\dot{} \equiv d/dt$ represents the time derivative along the trajectory in the phase space. The vector potential and the unit vector parallel to the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ are written by $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{b} \equiv \mathbf{B}/B$, respectively. Here, we suppose that $\mathbf{A}$ can weakly depend on time $t$ and accordingly the background magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ is allowed to slowly vary in time. Thus we can treat the inductive electric field $-c^{-1} \partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t$ which drives the ohmic current in tokamaks.

The gyrocenter Hamiltonian which appears on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) is defined by

$$H_{GY} \equiv \frac{1}{2} mv_\parallel^2 + \mu B + e\Psi, \quad (2)$$

and its electrostatic fluctuation part is written as

$$e\Psi \equiv e \langle \phi(X + \rho, t) \rangle_\vartheta - \frac{e^2}{2B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} (\langle \phi \rangle^2)_\vartheta, \quad (3)$$

where the gyroradius vector is denoted by $\rho \equiv \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{v}/\Omega$, $\mathbf{v}$ is the particle’s velocity, $\Omega \equiv eB/(mc)$ is the gyrofrequency, and the average of the electrostatic potential $\phi$ at the particle position $X + \rho$ over the gyrophase $\vartheta$ is given by

$$\langle \phi(X + \rho) \rangle_\vartheta \equiv \oint \frac{d\vartheta}{2\pi} \phi(X + \rho), \quad (4)$$

and the gyrophase-dependent part is denoted by

$$\tilde{\vartheta} \equiv \phi(X + \rho) - \langle \phi(X + \rho) \rangle_\vartheta. \quad (5)$$

The last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) is of the second order in the gyrokinetic ordering parameter $\epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim e\tilde{\vartheta}/(mv_\parallel^2) \sim \rho/L$ with $L$ representing the equilibrium gradient scale length is assumed. This second-order term is retained because it is necessary for deriving the gyrokinetic Poisson’s equation correctly including the polarization effect as shown in Sec. III.C. However, other second-order terms shown in Ref. are neglected in the gyrocenter Hamiltonian given by Eqs. (2) and (3). Therefore, rigorously speaking, the accuracy of the present model is up to the first order. The turbulent fluctuations are assumed to have the characteristic wavelength $\sim (k_\perp)^{-1} \sim \rho$. Then, the fluctuation terms in Eq. (3) are considered to contain all-order terms in $k_\perp \rho(\sim 1)$ even though small amplitude terms of higher order in $\epsilon \sim \rho/L(\ll 1)$ are neglected. In Eqs. (1) and (5) as well as in the equations shown below, the time variable $t$ on which $\phi$ depends is omitted for simplicity.

In this section, the Cartesian spatial coordinates are used and three-dimensional vectors are represented in terms of boldface letters. Then, the electrostatic potential $\phi(X + \rho)$ is Taylor expanded about the gyrocenter position $X$ as

$$\phi(X + \rho) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j_1=1}^{3} \cdots \sum_{j_n=1}^{3} \rho^{j_1} \cdots \rho^{j_n} \frac{\partial^n \phi(X)}{\partial X^{j_1} \cdots \partial X^{j_n}}, \quad (6)$$

where $X^{j}$ and $\rho^{j}$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ and the Cartesian spatial coordinates of the gyrocenter position vector $X$ and the gyroradius vector $\rho$, respectively. Substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (1), we obtain

$$\langle \phi(X + \rho) \rangle_\vartheta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j_1=1}^{3} \cdots \sum_{j_n=1}^{3} \alpha^{j_1 \cdots j_n} \frac{\partial^n \phi(X)}{\partial X^{j_1} \cdots \partial X^{j_n}}, \quad (7)$$

where the gyrophase average of a product of $n$ gyroradius vector components is denoted by

$$\alpha^{j_1 \cdots j_n} \equiv \langle \rho^{j_1} \cdots \rho^{j_n} \rangle_\vartheta. \quad (8)$$

Obviously, $\alpha^{j_1 \cdots j_n}$ is symmetric with respect to arbitrary permutations of the indices $j_1, \cdots, j_n$. It can be shown that

$$\alpha^{j_1 \cdots j_n} = 0 \quad \text{for odd } n, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\alpha^{j_1 \cdots j_2 l} = \frac{1}{(2l)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2l}} \eta^{(1) \cdots (2l)}_{j_1 \cdots j_2 l} \equiv h^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}_{l}, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{2l}$ is the symmetric group of permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2l\}$ and $\eta^{(1) \cdots (2l)}_{j_1 \cdots j_2 l}$ is defined by

$$\eta^{j_1 \cdots j_2 l} = \frac{(2l)!}{(l!)^2} \frac{\rho^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}}{h^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n}_{l}}, \quad (11)$$

with

$$\rho \equiv \frac{e}{c} \sqrt{\frac{2m\mu}{B}}. \quad (12)$$
and
\[ \delta_{ij} \equiv \delta^{ij} - b^i b^j. \]  
(13)

Here, \( b^i \) is the \( i \)th contravariant component of \( \mathbf{b} \equiv \mathbf{B}/B \) and \( \delta^{ij} \) represents the Kronecker delta; \( \delta^{ij} = 1 \) (for \( i = j \)), 0 (for \( i \neq j \)). In Eq. (3), we also find that
\[ \langle \phi \rangle_\phi = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3 \sum_{i_1=1}^{3} \cdots \sum_{j_1=1}^{3} \cdots \sum_{j_n=1}^{3} \beta^{i_1 \cdots i_n ; j_1 \cdots j_n} \]
\[ \times \frac{\partial^m \phi(X)}{\partial X^{i_1} \cdots \partial X^{i_m}} \frac{\partial^n \phi(X)}{\partial X^{j_1} \cdots \partial X^{j_n}}. \]  
(14)

where
\[ \beta^{i_1 \cdots i_n ; j_1 \cdots j_n} \equiv a^{i_1 \cdots i_n} \alpha^{j_1 \cdots j_n} \]
(15)
is defined and it satisfies
\[ \beta^{i_1 \cdots i_n ; j_1 \cdots j_n} = 0 \quad \text{for odd} \ (m + n). \]  
(16)
The expressions given in Eqs. (7), (13), and (14) are valid in the Cartesian spatial coordinates although they can be easily transformed into those in general spatial coordinates as shown in Sec. III.

III. EULERIAN VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE FOR DERIVATION OF THE COLLISIONLESS GYROKINETIC SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS IN GENERAL SPATIAL COORDINATES

In this section, the governing equations for the distribution functions and the turbulent electrostatic potential in the collisionless gyrokinetic system are derived from the Eulerian variational principle using the Lagrangian density represented in general spatial coordinates.

A. The Lagrangian density represented in general spatial coordinates

The action integral \( I_{GKF} \) for the Eulerian variational principle to derive all of the governing equations of the collisionless electrostatic gyrokinetic turbulent system is written as
\[ I_{GKF} \equiv \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \mathcal{L}_{GKF} \equiv \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_V d^3x \mathcal{L}_{GKF}, \]  
(17)
where the Lagrangian density \( \mathcal{L}_{GKF} \) is given by
\[ \mathcal{L}_{GKF} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{GK} + \mathcal{L}_F \]
\[ = \sum_a \int d^3v \ F_a(x,v,t) L_{GY_a}(x,v,t) + \frac{\sqrt{g}}{8\pi} \bar{g}^{ij}(x)(E_L)_i(x,t)(E_L)_j(x,t), \]  
(18)
Here, \( d^3x = dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 \) and \( d^3v = dv_i d\mu d\vartheta \) are used and the subscript \( a \) represents the particle species with mass \( m_a \) and charge \( e_a \). We use \( x \equiv (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) and \( v \equiv (v_i, \mu, \vartheta) \) as the gyrocenter phase-space coordinates. It should be emphasized that, in this section, \( x \equiv (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) represent general spatial coordinates of the gyrocenter position which can be either Cartesian or any other curved coordinates. However, here we assume that the spatial position vector \( \mathbf{r} = r(x) \) is a function of only the spatial coordinates \( x \equiv (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) and it is independent of time \( t \). The gyrocenter distribution function on the \((x,v)\)-space is denoted by \( F_a \), and the number of particles of species \( a \) in the phase-space volume element \( d^3xd^3v \) is given by \( F_a(x,v,t)d^3xd^3v \). The field part \( \mathcal{L}_F \) of the Lagrangian density \( \mathcal{L}_{GKF} \) in Eq. (18) is written in terms of the covariant components of the electric field due to the electrostatic potential,
\[ (E_L)_i = \frac{\partial \phi(x,t)}{\partial x^i}. \]  
(19)

In this paper, we employ the summation convention that the same symbol used for a pair of upper and lower indices within a term [such as seen in Eq. (18)] as well as in the equations shown below] indicates summation over the range \( \{1, 2, 3 \} \) of the symbol index. The contravariant metric tensor components \( g^{ij} \) in the general spatial coordinates \( x \equiv (x^i) \) are related to the covariant components \( g_{ij} \) by \( g^{ik} g_{kj} = \delta^i_j \), where \( \delta^i_j \) represents the Kronecker delta. The determinant of the covariant metric tensor matrix is denoted by
\[ g(x) \equiv \det[g_{ij}(x)]. \]  
(20)

Note that \( g_{ij}(x) \), \( g^{ij}(x) \), and \( g(x) \) are all independent of time \( t \) because the spatial position vector \( \mathbf{r} \) is assumed to be given by a function of only the spatial coordinates \( x \equiv (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \), independently of time \( t \) as mentioned earlier.

The gyrocenter Lagrangian for species \( a \), which is multiplied by \( F_a \) to define \( \mathcal{L}_{GK} \) in Eq. (18), is represented in the Eulerian picture by
\[ L_{GY_a} \equiv \left( \frac{e_a}{c} A_j(x,t) + m_a v_{ij} b^i(x,t) g_{ij}(x) \right) u_{ax}(x,v,t) \]
\[ + \frac{m_a c}{e_a} \mu \nu_a\delta(x,v,t) - H_{GY_a}(x,v,\mu,\vartheta), \]  
(21)
where \( A_j \) is the \( j \)th covariant component of the vector potential, \( b^i \equiv B^i/B \) is the \( i \)th contravariant component of the unit vector parallel to the background magnetic field, and the magnetic field strength is given by
\[ B(x,t) = \sqrt{g_{ij}(x) B^i(x,t) B^j(x,t)}. \]  
(22)
The covariant components \( (B^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) of the magnetic field are expressed in terms of the covariant components \( (A_i)_{i=1,2,3} \) of the vector potential as
\[ B^i(x,t) = \frac{e_i^j}{\sqrt{g(x)}} \frac{\partial A_k(x,t)}{\partial x^j}. \]  
(23)
where the Levi-Civita symbol is denoted by
\[ \epsilon^{ijk} \equiv \epsilon_{ijk} \]
\[ \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & ((i,j,k) = (1,2,3), (2,3,1), (3,1,2)) \\ -1 & ((i,j,k) = (1,3,2), (2,1,3), (3,2,1)) \\ 0 & \text{(otherwise)} \end{cases} \] (24)

The gyrocenter Hamiltonian is written here as
\[ H_{GYa}(x,v,\mu,t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} m_a v_{i}^2 + \mu B(x,t) + e_a \Psi_a(x,\mu,t), \] (25)
and the electrostatic fluctuation part is given by
\[ \Psi_a(x,\mu,t) \equiv \phi(x,t) + \Psi_{E1a}(x,\mu,t) + \Psi_{E2a}(x,\mu,t), \] (26)

where
\[ \Psi_{E1a}(x,\mu,t) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_a^{y_{1}\cdots y_{n}}(x,\mu,t)}{n!} \nabla_{j_{1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n}} \phi(x,t), \] (27)
and
\[ \Psi_{E2a}(x,\mu,t) \equiv -e_a \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{(m+n) \beta_a^{y_{1}\cdots y_{m} i_{1}\cdots i_{n}}(x,\mu,t)}{4m!n!} \times (\nabla_{i_{1}} \cdots \nabla_{i_{m}} \phi(x,t)) \frac{1}{\nabla_{j_{1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n}} \phi(x,t)}. \] (28)

Here, \( \alpha_a^{y_{1}\cdots y_{n}}(x,\mu,t) \) and \( \beta_a^{y_{1}\cdots y_{m} i_{1}\cdots i_{n}}(x,\mu,t) \) are defined by Eqs. (39)-(12) and (13) in which \( h^{ij} \) is represented in the general spatial coordinates \( x \equiv (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) by
\[ h^{ij} = g^{ij} - b^i b^j, \] (29)
and the covariant derivative \( \nabla_i \) is defined in Appendix A.

The Eulerian representation of the gyrocenter Lagrangian \( L_{GYa} \) shown in Eq. (21) contains the temporal change rates of the gyrocenter position coordinates, parallel velocity, magnetic moment, and gyrophase at time \( t \) which are represented by the functions \( u_{ax}(x,v,t), u_{av}(x,v,t), u_{am}(x,v,t), \) and \( u_{ao}(x,v,t), \) respectively, in the same way as in Ref. 20. Then, the distribution function \( F_a(x,v,t) \) satisfies
\[ \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}(F_a u_{ax}^j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}(F_a u_{av}^i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}(F_a u_{am}) \]
\[ + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}(F_a u_{ao}) = 0. \] (30)

We find here that the gyrocenter Hamiltonian \( H_{GYa} \) given in Eq. (25) takes a functional form,
\[ H_{GYa} = H_{GYa}[v, \partial_j A_i(x,t), \{ \partial_j \phi(x,t) \}, \{ \partial_j g_{ij}(x) \}], \] (31)
which depends on the velocity space coordinates (except for \( \phi \)) as well as the general spatial coordinates \( x \equiv (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) through the field variables \( \{ \partial_j A_i(x,t), \{ \partial_j \phi(x,t) \}, \{ \partial_j g_{ij}(x) \} \}. \) Here, we use the notation \( J \equiv \{ j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n \} \) (for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n = 1, 2, 3 \) to write
\[ \partial_J F \equiv \begin{cases} F & (n = 0) \\ \frac{\partial^n F}{\partial x^{j_1} \partial x^{j_2} \cdots \partial x^{j_n}} & (n \geq 1) \end{cases} \] (32)
where \( F \) is an arbitrary function of \( x \equiv (x^i)_{i=1,2,3}. \) Then, the compact notations \( \{ \partial_j \phi(x,t) \} \) and \( \{ \partial_j g_{ij}(x) \} \) in Eq. (31) imply
\[ \{ \partial_j \phi \} \equiv \{ \partial_j \phi, \partial_j \phi, \partial_j \phi, \partial_j \phi, \partial_j \phi, \cdots \}, \] (33)
and
\[ \{ \partial_j g_{ij} \} \equiv \{ g_{ij}, \partial_k g_{ij}, \partial_k g_{ij}, \partial_k g_{ij}, \partial_k g_{ij}, \cdots \}, \] (34)
respectively. Note that the high-order spatial derivatives included in Eqs. (33) and (34) enter the gyrocenter Hamiltonian \( H_{GYa} \) due to finite gyroradius as seen in Eqs. (27) and Eqs. (28) where the covariant derivatives contain the spatial derivatives of \( g_{ij} \) through the Christoffel symbols defined by Eq. (A3) in Appendix A.

In the same way as in Eq. (31), the functional form of the gyrocenter Lagrangian \( L_{GYa} \) is written as
\[ L_{GYa} = L_{GYa}[v, u_{ax}(x,v,t), u_{av}(x,v,t), A_i(x,t), \]
\[ \partial_j A_i(x,t), \{ \partial_j \phi(x,t) \}, \{ \partial_j g_{ij}(x) \}], \] (35)
where the Eulerian representations of the temporal change rates of the gyrocenter position and the gyrophase, \( u_{ax}(x,v,t) \) and \( u_{av}(x,v,t), \) are additionally included. In Eq. (35), \( u_{ax}(x,v,t), u_{av}(x,v,t), \) and \( \phi(x,t) \) are the functions, the governing equations of which are derived from the variation principle in Sec. III.C while the dependence of \( L_{GYa} \) on \( A_i(x,t), \partial_j A_i(x,t), \) and \( \partial_j g_{ij}(x,t) \) is also explicitly shown because their variations need to be taken into account to evaluate the variation of \( L_{GYa} \) in Sec. IV where, in order to derive the local momentum balance, we consider the general spatial coordinate transformation which causes the variations in the functional forms of both \( (u_{ax}, u_{av}, \phi) \) and \( (A_i, g_{ij}). \) Here, using Eq. (19) and (33), we note that \( \{ \partial_j \phi \} \) can be replaced by
\[ \{ \phi, \{ \partial_j (E_L) \} \}, \] (36)
where
\[ \{ \partial_j (E_L) \} \equiv \{(E_L)_i, (E_L)_i, (E_L)_i, (E_L)_i, (E_L)_i, \cdots \}. \] (37)

### B. The Lagrangian density associated with polarization

We find from Eqs. (21) and (24)-(25) that the part of the Lagrangian density \( L_{GYa} \) which includes the perturbed potential is given by
\[ L_{\Psi a} \equiv - \int d^3 v F_a \Psi_a = -e_a N_a^{(g)} \phi + L_{E1a} + L_{E2a}, \] (38)
where the gyrocenter density \( N_a^{(g)} \) is defined by
\[ N_a^{(g)} \equiv \int d^3 v F_a, \] (39)
\( \mathcal{L}_{E1a} \) is given in the linear form with respect to the longitudinal electric field \( (E_L)_i \equiv -\partial \phi / \partial x^i \) and its covariant derivatives,

\[
\mathcal{L}_{E1a} = - \int d^3v \ F_a \ e_a \psi_{E1a} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_{0a}^{j_1 \cdots j_{2k}} \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{2k-1}} (E_L)_{j_{2k}}, \tag{40}
\]

and \( \mathcal{L}_{E2a} \) is given in the quadratic form,

\[
\mathcal{L}_{E2a} = - \int d^3v \ F_a \ e_a \psi_{E2a} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Q_{Ea}^{i_1 \cdots i_m} \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_{m-1}} (E_L)_{i_m}
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_a^{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_n} \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_{m-1}} (E_L)_{i_m}
\times \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_{j_n}. \tag{41}
\]

In this paper, the longitudinal (irrotational) and transverse (solenoidal) parts of any vector field are represented by the subscripts \( L \) and \( T \), respectively. In Eq. \( \text{(10)} \), there appear the multipole moments \( Q_{0a}^{j_1 \cdots j_{2k}} \) of the electric charge distribution of species \( a \) induced by finite gyroradius,

\[
Q_{0a}^{j_1 \cdots j_{2k}} \equiv e_a \int d^3v \ F_a \ \sigma_a^{j_1 \cdots j_{2k}} (2k)!, \tag{42}
\]

which can exist even without the electric field \( (E_L)_i \). The multipole moments \( Q_{Ea}^{i_1 \cdots i_m} \) of the electric charge distribution of species \( a \) induced by the longitudinal electric field \( (E_L)_i \) is shown in Eq. \( \text{(11)} \), and they are given in the linear form with respect to \( (E_L)_i \) and its covariant derivatives as

\[
Q_{Ea}^{i_1 \cdots i_m} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_a^{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_n} \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_{j_{n}}. \tag{43}
\]

Here, the coefficients \( \chi_a^{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_{n}} \) are defined by

\[
\chi_a^{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_{n}} \equiv e_a^2 \int d^3v \ F_a \ \sigma_a^{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_{n}} \frac{m + n + \left( j_1 + \cdots + j_{n} \right)}{2 \mu B} \frac{(m + n)! \left( j_1 + \cdots + j_{n} \right)!}{m! \ n!}, \tag{44}
\]

which are regarded as the generalized electric susceptibility produced by finite gyroradius. It is remarked that \( Q_{Ea}^{i_1 \cdots i_m} \) and \( Q_{Ea}^{i_1 \cdots i_m} \) are both symmetric with respect to arbitrary permutations of the indices \( i_1, \cdots, i_m \) because \( \sigma_a^{i_1 \cdots i_m} \) has the same symmetry.

Taking the summation of Eq. \( \text{(38)} \) over species \( a \), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{L}_\Psi = \sum_a \mathcal{L}_{\Psi a} = -\rho^{(g)} \phi + \mathcal{L}_{E1a} + \mathcal{L}_{E2a}, \tag{45}
\]

where the gyrocenter charge density \( \rho^{(g)} \) is given by

\[
\rho^{(g)} = \sum_a e_a \mathcal{N}_a^{(g)}. \tag{46}
\]

and the parts of the Lagrangian density associated with polarization are represented by \( \mathcal{L}_{E1} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_{E2} \). Here, \( \mathcal{L}_{E1} \) is defined by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{E1} = \sum_a \mathcal{L}_{E1a} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_{0a}^{j_1 \cdots j_{2k}} \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{2k-1}} (E_L)_{j_{2k}}, \tag{47}
\]

with the multiple moments induced by finite gyroradius,

\[
Q_{0a}^{j_1 \cdots j_{2k}} \equiv \sum_a Q_{0a}^{j_1 \cdots j_{2k}}, \tag{48}
\]

and \( \mathcal{L}_{E2} \) is defined by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{E2} = \sum_a \mathcal{L}_{E2a} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Q_{Ea}^{i_1 \cdots i_m} \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_{m-1}} (E_L)_{i_m}
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{a}^{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_{n}} \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_{m-1}} (E_L)_{i_{m}}
\times \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{N-1}} (E_L)_{j_{N}}, \tag{49}
\]

with the multiple moments induced by the longitudinal electric field \( (E_L)_i \) and its covariant derivatives,

\[
Q_{E}^{i_1 \cdots i_m} \equiv \sum_a Q_{Ea}^{i_1 \cdots i_m}
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{a}^{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_{n}} \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_{j_{n}}, \tag{50}
\]

and the generalized electric susceptibility due to finite gyroradius,

\[
\chi_{a}^{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_{n}} \equiv \sum_a \chi_{a}^{i_1 \cdots i_m j_1 \cdots j_{n}}. \tag{51}
\]

C. Derivation of the governing equations of the collisionless electrostatic gyrokinetic turbulent system

Here we virtually allow the phase-space trajectories for all species and the electrostatic potential to vary infinitesimally. Following the same procedure as in Ref. \( \text{30} \), the variations in the gyrocenter position, parallel velocity, magnetic moment, and gyrophase of the phase-space trajectory are represented in the Eulerian picture by \( \delta x_a^E(x, v, t) \), \( \delta v_a^E(x, v, t) \), \( \delta \mu_a^E(x, v, t) \), and \( \delta \theta_a^E(x, v, t) \), respectively. We also denote the variation in the electrostatic potential by \( \delta \phi \). Then, in terms of \( \delta x_a^E \), \( \delta v_a^E \), \( \delta \mu_a^E \), and \( \delta \theta_a^E \), the variations in the functional forms of \( u_a^E, u_a^T, u_a^\mu, \) and \( u_a^\theta \) due to the virtual...
displacement are written as
\[ \delta u^i_a \equiv \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^k_{a\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + u_{av_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\parallel}} + u_{a\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + u_{a\vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \right) \delta x^i_a, \]
\[ \delta u_{av_i} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^k_{a\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + u_{av_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\parallel}} + u_{a\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + u_{a\vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \right) \delta v_{a\parallel}, \]
\[ \delta u_{a\mu} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^k_{a\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + u_{av_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\parallel}} + u_{a\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + u_{a\vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \right) \delta u_{a\parallel}, \]
\[ \delta u_{a\vartheta} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^k_{a\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + u_{av_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\parallel}} + u_{a\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + u_{a\vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \right) \delta u_{a\vartheta}, \]
and the variation in the distribution function \( F_a \) is given by
\[ \delta F_a = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(F_a \delta x^i_a) - \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{a\parallel}}(F_a \delta v_{a\parallel}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}(F_a \delta \mu_a) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}(F_a \delta \vartheta_a). \]
Using Eqs. (17), (18), (45), (52) and (53), the variation in the action integral \( I_{GKF} \) is expressed as
\[ \delta I_{GKF} = \sum_a \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_V d^3x \int d^3v F_a \times \left[ \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial x^i} \right)_a - \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)_a \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial u^i_{a\parallel}} \right) \right] \delta x^i_a + \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial v_{a\parallel}} \right)_a \delta v_{a\parallel} + \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial \mu} \right)_a \delta \mu_a + \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial \vartheta} \right)_a \delta \vartheta_a \right] \left[ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_V d^3x \int d^3v \rho(\phi - 1/4\pi \partial D^i/\partial x^i) \right] \delta \phi + \text{B.T.}, \]
where \( D^i \) represents the electric displacement vector defined in Eq. (23) and B.T. represents boundary terms that appear due to partial integrals. Here, \( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial x^i} \), \( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial v_{a\parallel}} \), \( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial \mu} \), and \( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial \vartheta} \) denote the derivatives of \( L_{GKF} \) in \( x^i \), \( v_{a\parallel} \), \( \mu \), and \( \vartheta \), respectively, with \( (u^i_{a\parallel}, u_{a\vartheta}) \) kept fixed in \( L_{GKF} \), and the time derivative along the phase-space trajectory is represented by
\[ \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)_a \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^k a_{a\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + u_{av_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{a\parallel}} + u_{a\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + u_{a\vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}. \]
We now employ the Eulerian variation principle which implies that the collisionless gyrokinetic equations for the distribution functions of all species and the gyrokinetic Poisson’s equation for the electrostatic potential can be derived from the condition that \( I_{GKF} = 0 \) for arbitrary variations \( \delta x^i_a, \delta v_{a\parallel}, \delta \mu_a, \delta \vartheta_a \), and \( \delta \phi \) which vanish on the boundaries of the integral region to make B.T. disappear in Eq. (53).
We first use \( \delta I_{GKF}/\delta x^i_a = 0 \) to obtain
\[ \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right) p_{ai} = \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial x^i} \right)_a, \]
where \( p_{ai} \) represents the covariant vector component of the canonical momentum defined by
\[ p_{ai} \equiv \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial u^i_{a\parallel}} = \frac{e_a}{c} A_i(x, t) + m_a v_{\parallel} b_i(x, t) \equiv \frac{e_a}{c} A^a_{x}(x, v_{\parallel}, t). \]
We should note that the distribution function \( F_a \) is included as a factor in \( \delta I_{GKF}/\delta x^i_a = 0 \) although it is omitted from Eq. (53) for simplicity. This omission of \( F_a \) is also performed in the other equations obtained below from \( \delta I_{GKF}/\delta v_{a\parallel} = 0 \), \( \delta I_{GKF}/\delta \mu_a = 0 \), and \( \delta I_{GKF}/\delta \vartheta_a = 0 \) although it does not make a difference in deriving the resultant collisionless gyrokinetic equation in Eq. (69). We can rewrite Eq. (56) as
\[ m_a u_{a\parallel} b_i = c_a \left( -\frac{\partial \Psi_a}{\partial x^i} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A^a_{x}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} e a \sqrt{\gamma} \epsilon_{ijk} u^j_{a\parallel} B^k \right) - \frac{\mu B}{\partial x^i}, \]
where the modified magnetic field is defined by
\[ B^a_{x} = \frac{\epsilon_{ijk} \partial A^a_{x}}{\sqrt{\gamma} \partial x^j}, \]
respectively.
Next, \( \delta I_{GKF}/\delta v_{a\parallel} = 0 \) is used to obtain
\[ \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial v_{a\parallel}} \right)_u = m_a \left( u^i_{a\parallel} b_i - v_{\parallel} \right) = 0, \]
from which we have
\[ u^i_{a\parallel} b_i = v_{\parallel}. \]
Furthermore, \( \delta I_{GKF}/\delta \mu_a = 0 \) and \( \delta I_{GKF}/\delta \vartheta_a = 0 \) yield
\[ \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial \mu} \right)_u = m_a c_e u_{a\vartheta} - B - e_a \frac{\partial \Psi_a}{\partial \mu} = 0, \]
and
\[ \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)_a \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial u_{a\vartheta}} \right)_u = m_a c_e u_{a\mu} = 0, \]
respectively.
Equations \( \ref{eq:58}, \ref{eq:61}, \ref{eq:62}, \) and \( \ref{eq:63} \) are rewritten as
\[
v_{ax}^i = \frac{1}{B_{ax}^i} \left[ v_{\parallel} B_{ax}^i + c e^{ijk} b_j \left( \frac{\mu}{e_a} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^k} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A_{ax}^i}{\partial t} \right) \right],
\]
\[
m_a v_{ax}^i = -\frac{B_{ax}^i}{B_{ax}^i} \left[ \frac{\partial B}{\partial x^i} + e_a \left( \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^i} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A_{ax}^i}{\partial t} \right) \right],
\]
\[(64)\]
\[(65)\]
\[
u_{ax}^i = 0,
\]
\[
u_{ax}^i = \Omega_a + \frac{e^2}{m_a c} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mu},
\]
\[
(66)\]
\[
B_{ax}^i = B_{ax}^i b_i.
\]
\[
(67)\]
Equations \( \ref{eq:64} \) and \( \ref{eq:65} \) are obtained by taking the vector and scalar products between the magnetic field and Eq. \( \ref{eq:58} \), respectively. We can verify that the right-hand sides of Eqs. \( \ref{eq:64} \)–\( \ref{eq:67} \) are all independent of \( \vartheta \) and that the magnetic moment \( \mu \) is an invariant of motion as seen from Eq. \( \ref{eq:66} \).

Substituting Eqs. \( \ref{eq:64} \)–\( \ref{eq:67} \) into Eqs. \( \ref{eq:58} \) and taking its average with respect to the gyrophase \( \vartheta \), the collisionless gyrokinetic equation is derived as
\[
\frac{\partial F_a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left[ F_a \frac{1}{B_{ax}^i} \left( v_{\parallel} B_{ax}^i + c e^{ijk} b_j \left( \frac{\mu}{e_a} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^k} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A_{ax}^i}{\partial t} \right) \right) \right] \]
\[
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left[ F_a \frac{B_{ax}^i}{m_a B_{ax}^i} \left( -e_a \left( \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^i} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A_{ax}^i}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{\partial B}{\partial x^i} \right) \right] = 0,
\]
\[
(69)\]
where \( F_a \) denotes the gyrophase-averaged distribution function,
\[
F_a \equiv \langle F_a \rangle_\vartheta \equiv \int \frac{d\vartheta}{2\pi} F_a.
\]
\[
(70)\]
The remaining governing equation of the system, namely, the gyrokinetic Poisson’s equation is derived from the condition that the variational derivative of the action integral \( L_{GKF} \) with respect to the electrostatic potential \( \phi \) vanishes, \( \delta L_{GKF}/\delta \phi = 0 \). Since the time derivative of \( \phi \) never appears in the Lagrangian density \( L_{GKF} \), the above-mentioned condition can be replaced using the Lagrangian \( L_{GKF} \) instead of \( I_{GKF} \) by
\[
\delta L_{GKF}[\phi] \equiv \left. \frac{d}{de} \left. L_{GKF}[\phi + e \delta^3 \phi] \right|_{e=0} \right. = 0,
\]
\[
(71)\]
where \( \delta^3 \) with the subscript \( x = (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) represents the function that takes a value \( \delta_{x^i}(x) = \delta(x^1 - x^1) \delta(x^2 - x^2) \delta(x^3 - x^3) \) at \( x = (y^i)_{i=1,2,3} \). Equation \( \ref{eq:71} \) gives the gyrokinetic Poisson’s equation,
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left( \sqrt{\rho} \Pi^i \right) = 4\pi \rho \delta^3(y),
\]
where the electric displacement vector \( D^i \) is written as
\[
\sqrt{\rho} D^i = \sqrt{\rho} E^i + 4\pi P_G^i.
\]
\[
(72)\]
\[
(73)\]
Here, the generalized polarization vector density \( P_G^i \) is defined by
\[
P_G^i = \frac{\delta L_{GKF}}{\delta (E_L)_i} \equiv \frac{d}{de} L_{GKF}[(E_L)_i + e \delta^3 \phi] \bigg|_{e=0} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{(1)^m-1} \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_{m-1}} Q^{(1)\cdots(1)}_{n+1} \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_m-1} \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_m} (E_L)_j \bigg|_{j=0},
\]
\[
(74)\]
in which not only the dipole moment but also other multipole moments occurring due to finite gyroradius are included. The multipole moments \( Q^{(1)\cdots(1)} \) in Eq. \( \ref{eq:74} \) are generally given by the sum of the two parts,
\[
Q^{(1)\cdots(1)} = Q^{(1)\cdots(1)}_0 + Q^{(1)\cdots(1)}_E,
\]
\[
(75)\]
where \( Q^{(1)\cdots(1)}_0 \) and \( Q^{(1)\cdots(1)}_E \) are defined by Eqs. \( \ref{eq:45} \) and \( \ref{eq:40} \), respectively. It should be noted that \( Q^{(1)\cdots(1)}_0 \) vanishes unless \( m \) is an even integer. Taking only the contribution of \( m = 1 \) to the summation over \( m \) in Eq. \( \ref{eq:75} \), we obtain the dipole moment density which is denoted by
\[
P_D^i = Q^{(1)}_0 = \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial (E_L)_i}.
\]
\[
(76)\]
The presence of the infinite series due to the finite gyroradius in Eq. \( \ref{eq:74} \) and other places has an analogy with that for the case of macroscopic electromagnetism described in detail in Ref. \( \ref{51} \) where the macroscopic charge density is evaluated for the system consisting of molecules. The contribution of each molecule to the macroscopic charge density is calculated by spatially averaging the microscopic charge density (given by the point charges constituting the molecule) around the center of mass of the molecule. Then, the resultant expression of the macroscopic charge density is given by the series expansion associated with the multipole moments due to the finite distance of each point charge from the center of mass of the molecule. The local spatial average of the microscopic charge density in the system of molecules is replaced by the phase-space integration in the present case of the gyrokinetic system to represent
the macroscopic charge density as
\[ \rho^{(\partial)}(x, t) = -\nabla \cdot P_G(x, t) \]
\[ = \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi(x)} \left[ \sum_a e_a \int d^3x' \int d^3v F_a(x', v, t) \Psi_a(x', v, t) \right] \]
\[ = \sum_a e_a \int d^3x' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\infty d\mu d^3F_a(x', v_{\parallel}, \mu) \times \left[ \delta(x' + \rho_a - x) - \frac{e_a}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left\{ \phi(x', \mu, \vartheta) \delta(x' + \rho_a - x) \right\} \right], \]
which contains the polarization effect due to the finite gyroradius and the microscopic electrostatic fluctuations.

In Eq. (77), the Cartesian spatial coordinates are used and three-dimensional vectors are represented in terms of boldface letters. In the square brackets on the right-hand side of Eq. (77), the first and second terms give the point charge density of the particle at hand side of Eq. (77), the first and second terms give the microscopic electrostatic fluctuations.

IV. DERIVATION OF THE MOMENTUM BALANCE

In this section, we use the invariance of the Lagrangian under arbitrary infinitesimal transformations of spatial coordinates to derive the momentum balance equation for the single-particle-species system and that for the whole system including all species and the field.

A. Invariance of the Lagrangian under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations

We now consider the infinitesimal transformation of the spatial coordinates from \( x = (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) to \( x' = (x'^i)_{i=1,2,3} \), which is written as
\[ x'^i = x^i + \xi^i(x). \]  
(78)
Here, the infinitesimal variation in the spatial coordinate \( x^i \) is denoted by \( \xi^i(x) \) which is an arbitrary function of only the spatial coordinates \( x = (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) and independent of time \( t \).

From Eq. (18), the gyrokinetic part of the Lagrangian for species \( a \) is found to be given by
\[ L_{GK_a} = \int_V d^3x L_{GK_a} \equiv \int_V d^3x \int d^3v F_a L_{GY_a}, \]  
(79)
where the gyrocenter Lagrangian \( L_{GY_a} \) is defined in Eq. (21). Since \( L_{GK_a} \) shown in Eq. (79) is a scalar constant which is invariant under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations including the infinitesimal transformation given by Eq. (78), we have
\[ \overline{\delta L_{GK_a}} = \int_V d^3x \left( \frac{\delta (\xi^i L_{GK_a})}{\delta x^i} + \overline{\delta L_{GK_a}} \right) = 0. \]  
(80)

Note that here and hereafter we use \( \overline{\delta} \cdots \) to represent the variation associated with the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation which should be distinguished from the variation \( \delta \cdots \) due to the virtual displacement treated in Sec. III. The divergence term in the integrand of Eq. (80) appears due to the difference between the domains of integrations in \( x = (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) and \( x' = (x'^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) while \( \overline{\delta L_{GK_a}} \) in the integrand represents the variation in the spatial functional form of \( L_{GK_a} \) due to the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation.

As shown in Appendix B, the variation in the spatial functional form of an arbitrary tensor field (as well as an arbitrary tensor field density) due to the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation given in Eq. (80) is written as the opposite sign of its Lie derivative with respect to the generating vector field \( \xi^i \), and it is represented by \( \overline{\delta} = -L_{\xi} \). It is also noted that since the Lagrangian density behaves as a scalar field density under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations, the Lie derivative of \( L_{GK_a} \) is given by
\[ L_{\xi} L_{GK_a} = \frac{\partial (\xi^i L_{GK_a})}{\partial x^i}. \]  
(81)

Therefore, the integrand in Eq. (80) is simply rewritten as \( L_{\xi} L_{GK_a} = L_{\xi} L_{GK_a} = 0 \), from which its spatial integral \( \overline{\delta L_{GK_a}} \) is naturally found to vanish as shown in Eq. (80).

We now use Eq. (79) and the Leibniz rule for the derivative operation by \( \overline{\delta} = -L_{\xi} \) to write the variation in the spatial functional form of the Lagrangian density \( L_{GK_a} \) as
\[ \overline{\delta L_{GK_a}} = \int d^3v \overline{\delta (F_a L_{GY_a})} = \int d^3v (\overline{\delta F_a} \cdot L_{GY_a} + F_a \cdot \overline{\delta L_{GY_a}}). \]  
(82)
Then, using Eqs. (81)–(82) and \( \overline{\delta} = -L_{\xi} \), Eq. (80) is rewritten as
\[ \overline{\delta L_{GK_a}} = \int_V d^3x \int d^3v \left[ -\delta (F_a L_{GY_a}) + \overline{\delta (F_a L_{GY_a})} \right] = \int_V d^3x \int d^3v F_a(-\overline{\delta L_{GY_a}} + \overline{\delta L_{GY_a}}) \]
\[ = \int_V d^3x \int d^3v F_a \left( \xi^i \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial u_{ax}} \overline{\delta u_{ax}} \right) \]
\[ + \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial u_{a\vartheta}} \overline{\delta u_{a\vartheta}} + \sum_j \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial (\partial_j A_i)} \left( \overline{\delta (\partial_j A_i)} + \sum_k \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial (\partial_k g_{ij})} \overline{\delta (\partial_k g_{ij})} \right) = 0. \]  
(83)
Equation (83) is found to hold by noting that $L \delta L_{GY_a} = \xi^i \partial L_{GY_a} / \partial x^i$ (because $L_{GY_a}$ behaves as a scalar field under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations) and that the chain rule is applied to the derivative operation $\delta = -L \xi$ on $L_{GY_a}[u^i_{ax}, u^i_{a\phi}, \{ \partial J A_i \}, \{ \partial J \phi \}, \{ \partial J g_{ij} \}]$ as

$$\delta L_{GY_a} = -\xi^i \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial x^i}$$

$$= \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial u^i_{ax}} u^i_{ax} + \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial u^i_{a\phi}} u^i_{a\phi} + \sum_j \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial (\partial J A_i)} \delta(\partial J A_i)$$

$$+ \sum_j \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial (\partial J \phi)} \delta(\partial J \phi) + \sum_j \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial (\partial J g_{ij})} \delta(\partial J g_{ij}),$$

(84)

where $\partial L_{GY_a}/\partial (\partial J A_i) = 0$ when the order of $J$ is greater than or equal to two [see Eq. (35)].

We next consider the invariance of the Lagrangian $L_{GKF}$ of the whole system under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation, which is written in the same way as in Eq. (80) by

$$\delta L_{GKF} = \int_V d^3 x \left( \frac{\partial (\xi^i L_{GKF})}{\partial x^i} + \delta L_{GKF} \right) = 0,$$

(85)

where the gyrokinetic and field parts as shown in Eqs. (17) and (18). Procedures similar to those leading to Eq. (80) can be made to obtain

$$\delta L_{GKF} = \int_V d^3 x \left[ \sum_a \int d^3 v F_a \left( \frac{\partial (\xi^i L_{GKF})}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial u^i_{ax}} u^i_{ax} \right) + \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial u^i_{a\phi}} \phi^i_{a\phi} + \sum_j \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial (\partial J A_i)} \delta(\partial J A_i) \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial (\partial J \phi)} \delta(\partial J \phi) + \sum_j \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial (\partial J g_{ij})} \delta(\partial J g_{ij}) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\partial (\xi^i L_{GKF})}{\partial x^i} = 0.$$  

(86)

The invariance shown in Eq. (80) can also be confirmed using Eq. (81) and the following formula for the derivative of $L_F(\partial \phi/\partial x^i, g_{ij})$ with respect to $\delta = -L \xi$,

$$\delta L_F = -\frac{\partial (\xi^i L_F)}{\partial x^i}$$

$$= \frac{\partial L_F}{\partial (\partial \phi/\partial x^i)} \phi^i + \frac{\partial L_F}{\partial g_{ij}} g_{ij},$$

(87)

It is summarized from Eqs. (83), (84), (85), and (86) that the invariance of the scalar constants of $L_{GKF}$ and $L_{GY_a}$ under the infinitesimal spatial transformation can be verified using the chain rule formulas for the derivative operation $\delta = -L \xi$ on the scalar field $L_{GKF}$ and the scalar field density $L_F$. The invariance formulas shown in Eqs. (83) and (80) are used to derive the momentum balance equation for the single-species system in Sec. IV.B and that for the whole system including all species and the field in Sec. IV.C, respectively.

### B. Derivation of the momentum balance for a single particle species

We now use the Euler-Lagrange equations for gyrocenter motion [Eqs. (56), (60), (62), and (63)] and perform partial integrals to rewrite (83) as

$$\delta L_{GKF} = \int_V d^3 x \left[ \int d^3 v \left( \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial u^i_{ax}} \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial u^i_{ax}} + \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial u^i_{a\phi}} \phi^i_{a\phi} \right) + \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi \right. \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial g_{ij}} \delta g_{ij} \right) + B.T.$$  

(88)

where, instead of using Eq. (30), the gyrocenter distribution function $F_a$ is assumed to satisfy

$$\frac{\partial F_a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left( F_a u^j_{ax} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j} \left( F_a u^j_{av} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left( F_a u^j_{a\mu} \right) = 0.$$  

(89)

Here, $K_a$ represents the rate of temporal change in $F_a$ due to collisions and/or external sources for the species $a$. In the present work, we assume that

$$\sum_a e_a \int d^3 v K_a = 0$$  

(90)

is satisfied by $K_a$. In fact, it is shown in Ref. 23 that Eq. (80) corresponds to the intrinsic ambipolarity of the classical particle fluxes when $K_a$ represents the collision operator in the gyrocenter coordinates. The variational derivative of $L_{GKF}$ with respect to $A_i$ is given by

$$\frac{\delta L_{GKF}}{\delta A_i} = \sum_j (-1)^{j-1} \partial_j \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial (\partial J A_i)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial A_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial (\partial J A_i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) = \frac{e_a}{c} \Gamma_a,$$

(91)

where the particle flux of species $a$ is represented by

$$\Gamma_a^{\phi} = \int d^3 v F_a u^j_{ax} \frac{c e^{ij}}{e_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left( \int d^3 v \frac{F_a}{\sqrt{g}} \left[ -\mu b_j + \frac{m_a v_j}{B} \{ (u_{ax})_j - (u_{ax}) b_{b_j} \} - e_a \frac{\partial \psi_a}{\partial B} \right] \right).$$

(92)

The variational derivatives $\delta L_{GKF}/\delta \phi$ and $\delta L_{GKF}/\delta g_{ij}$ are written as

$$\frac{\delta L_{GKF}}{\delta \phi} = \sum_j (-1)^{j-1} \partial_j \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial (\partial J \phi)} \right) = -e_a N_a^{(p)}$$

(93)

and

$$\frac{\delta L_{GKF}}{\delta g_{ij}} = \sum_j (-1)^{j-1} \partial_j \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial (\partial J g_{ij})} \right) = \frac{1}{2} P_{ij}^{(a)},$$

(94)
respectively, where the particle density \( N_a^{(p)} \) and the symmetric pressure tensor density \( P_a^{ij} \) of species \( a \) are defined by

\[
e_a N_a^{(p)} = e_a N_a^{(q)} - \nabla_i P_{Ga}^i \tag{95}\]

and

\[
P_a^{ij} = 2 \sum J \{ -1 \}^J \int d^3v \; F_a \frac{\partial L_{Ga}}{\partial (g_{j}^{(a)})} = P_{CGLa}^{ij} + \pi_{na}^{ij} + P_{\Psi a}^{ij}, \tag{96}\]

respectively, and \( \#J = n \) represents the order of \( J = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n) \).

On the right-hand side of Eq. (96), \( P_{CGLa}^{ij} \) represents the contribution of species \( a \) to the generalized polarization vector density \( P_G^{ij} \) defined in Eq. (74) and it is written as

\[
P_{CGLa}^{ij} = \frac{\delta L_{Ga}}{\delta (E_{Lj})} = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{2k-1}} G_{0a}^{ij_{2k-1}} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m-1} \times \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_{m-1}} A_{a}^{j_{i_1} \cdots i_{m-1} j_{i_1} \cdots j_{i_{m-1}}}(E_{Lj}), \tag{97}\]

On the right-hand side of Eq. (96), \( P_{CGLa}^{ij} \) is given in the Chew-Goldberger-Low (CGL) form, as

\[
P_{CGLa}^{ij} = \int d^3v \; F_a \left[ m_a v_e^2 b^j b^i + \mu B (g^{ij} - b^i b^j) \right], \tag{98}\]

and \( \pi_{na}^{ij} \) is defined by

\[
\pi_{na}^{ij} = \int d^3v \; F_{na} v \left[ b^i (u_x)^j_b + (u_x)^i_b b^j \right]. \tag{99}\]

Here, the perpendicular component of the gyrocenter velocity is represented by \( (u_{ax})^j_b = u_{x}^j - u_{x}^b b^i b^j \). We find that Eqs. (88) and (99) agree with those given by Eqs. (124) and (125) in Ref.20 except for the effects of the electrostatic fluctuations included in \( F_a \) and \( (u_{ax})^j_b \) on the right-hand side of Eqs. (88) and (99). We also note that Eq. (88) contains the product of the fluctuation parts of \( F_a \) and \( (u_{ax})^j_b \), the ensemble average of which does not disappear but contributes to the turbulent momentum transport. In the neoclassical transport theory,\(^{21,22} \) it is considered that the CGL pressure tensor shown in Eq. (98) contains the scalar (or isotropic) part, which represents background pressure, and the anisotropic part, the magnitude of which is smaller than the background pressure by the factor \( \sim \rho / L \) where \( \rho \) and \( L \) represent the gyroradius and the equilibrium gradient scale length, respectively. The anisotropic part of the CGL pressure tensor causes the viscous force which plays an essential role in the neoclassical transport processes when the distribution function deviates from the local Maxwellian under the influence of collisions.\(^{21,42} \)

The magnitude of \( \pi_{na}^{ij} \) defined in Eq. (99) is regarded as \( \sim (\rho / L)^2 \).

The last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (96) is given by

\[
P_{\Psi a}^{ij} = -2 \sum J \{ -1 \}^J \int d^3v \; F_a e_a \frac{\partial \Psi_a}{\partial (g_{j}^{(a)})} = P_{E1a}^{ij} + P_{E2a}^{ij}, \tag{100}\]

where \( P_{E1a}^{ij} \) and \( P_{E2a}^{ij} \) are defined in Eqs. (CS.5) and (CS.8) of Appendix C, respectively. We note that the effects of the turbulent electrostatic potential are included in the definitions of \( P_{\Psi a}^{ij} \) explicitly as well as in \( \pi_{na}^{ij} \) through the turbulent drift velocity part of \( (u_{ax})^i_b \). Then, the nonlinear interaction of the turbulent potential and the fluctuation part of the gyrocenter distribution function included in \( P_{\Psi a}^{ij} \) and \( \pi_{na}^{ij} \) causes the turbulent momentum transport. In Appendix D, the ensemble-averaged pressure tensor describing the turbulent momentum transport is given by the WKB representation.

Performing further partial integrals in Eq. (88) finally gives

\[
\delta L_{Ga} = \int d^3x \; \xi_j J_{GKa}^{ij} + B.T. = 0, \tag{101}\]

where

\[
J_{GKa}^{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( m_a N_a^{(g)} V_{ag} \right) - \int d^3v \; v K_a m_a v \left[ b^i - b^j \right] - e_a \left[ N_a^{(g)} g^{jk} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^k} + c_a N_a^{(g)} \frac{\partial A^j}{\partial t} \right] - \frac{1}{c} \frac{e^{ijkl}}{\sqrt{g}} \Gamma_{ak} B_l + \nabla_i P_{ai}^{ij}, \tag{102}\]

and

\[
N_a^{(g)} V_{ag} = \int d^3v \; F_a v. \tag{103}\]

In deriving Eq. (101), we have deformed Eq. (88) using Eqs. (89), (91), (93), (94), \( \delta A_i = -\xi_i (\partial / \partial t) A_j \) [see Eq. (B13)], \( \delta \phi = -\xi_j (\partial / \partial t) \phi \) [see Eq. (B13)], \( \delta g_{ij} = -\nabla_i \xi_j - \nabla_j \xi_i \) [see Eq. (B11)] and partial integration. Especially, the term \( \nabla_i P_{ai}^{ij} \) in Eq. (102) is derived from the term \( \delta L_{Ga} / \delta g_{ij} \) which is rewritten as

\[
\delta L_{Ga} / \delta g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} P_{ai}^{ij} (\nabla_i \xi_j + \nabla_j \xi_i) = \xi_j (\nabla_i P_{ai}^{ij}) - \nabla_i (\xi_j P_{ai}^{ij}), \tag{104}\]

where \( P_{ai}^{ij} = P_{ai}^{ji} \) is also used and the spatial integral of the last term \( \nabla_i (\xi_j P_{ai}^{ij}) \) becomes one of the boundary terms. We now recall that an arbitrary infinitesimal vector field can be employed as \( \xi^i \). Then, in order for Eq. (104) to hold for any \( \xi^i \), we need to have \( J_{GKa}^{ij} = 0 \), which gives the momentum balance equation as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( m_a N_a^{(g)} V_{ag} \right) - \int d^3v \; v K_a m_a v \left[ b^i - b^j \right] - e_a \left[ N_a^{(g)} g^{jk} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^k} + c_a N_a^{(g)} \frac{\partial A^j}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{e^{ijkl}}{\sqrt{g}} \Gamma_{ak} B_l \right] - \nabla_i P_{ai}^{ij}. \tag{104}\]
As seen in Eqs. (126) and (152) of Ref. 30, the relation between the symmetric and canonical pressure tensors is obtained from the other condition for the sum of the boundary terms (B.T.) in Eq. (106) to vanish although its complicated expression is not shown here. We see that the inertia term in the momentum balance equation, Eq. (106), contains only the parallel momentum component while the electric current $e_a \Gamma^k_a$ in the Lorentz force term consists of the guiding-center current and the magnetization current as shown in Eq. (92) [see also Eq. (114)]. For comparison with Eq. (106), the canonical momentum balance equation derived by the conventional method is shown in Eq. (135) of Appendix E where the divergence of the asymmetric canonical pressure tensor appears. In addition, the energy balance equation for the single-species gyrokinetic system is given in Eq. (F2) of Appendix F.

C. Derivation of the momentum balance for the whole system

In the same way as in deriving Eq. (88), performing partial integrals in Eq. (86) and using Eqs. (89), we have

$$\delta L_{GKF} = \int_V d^4x \frac{\xi}{a} \sum_a \int d^3v \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( F_a \frac{\partial L_{GYa}}{\partial v_{ax}} \right) - K_a \frac{\partial L_{GYa}}{\partial u_{ax}} \right\} + \frac{\delta L_{GKF}}{\delta A_i} \frac{\partial \delta \phi}{\partial A_i} + \frac{\delta L_{GKF}}{\delta \phi} \frac{\partial \delta \phi}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\delta L_{GKF}}{\delta g_{ij}} \frac{\partial \delta g_{ij}}{\partial \phi} + \text{B.T.},$$

(105)

Now, substituting Eq. (91) into $\delta L_{GKF}/\delta A_i = \sum_a \delta L_{GKF}/\delta A_i$ and using the gyrokinetic Poisson’s equation, $\delta L_{GKF}/\delta \phi = 0$, and Eq. (94), Eq. (105) is finally rewritten as

$$\delta L_{GKF} = \int_V d^4x \xi \sum_a J_{GKF}^a + \text{B.T.} = 0,$$

(106)

where

$$J_{GKF}^a = \sum_a \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( m_a N_{a} V_{ag} b^j \right) \right] - \int d^3v K_a m_a v^l b^j$$

$$+ \sum_a \frac{e_a}{c} \left( N_{a} \frac{\partial A^j}{\partial t} + \frac{e^{jkl} \varepsilon^{k} B_{l}}{\sqrt{g}} \Gamma_{ak} B_{l} \right) + \nabla \cdot \Theta^j.$$

(107)

Here, the symmetric pressure tensor $\Theta^j$ is defined by

$$\Theta^j = \frac{2}{\delta g_{ij}} \left[ \sum_j (-1)^{\#j} \int d^3v F_a \frac{\partial L_{GYa}}{\partial (\partial g_{ij})} + \frac{\partial L_{E}}{\partial g_{ij}} \right]$$

$$= P_{GKL}^{ij} + \pi_{\phi}^{ij} + P_{\Psi}^{ij}$$

$$+ \frac{\sqrt{g}}{4\pi} \left\{ (E_L)^i (E_L)^j - (E_L)^j (E_L)^i \right\},$$

(108)

where the last group of terms including $(E_L)_i$ represents the Maxwell stress tensor due to the electrostatic field with the opposite sign. Taking the summation of Eqs. (95, 96), and (100) over species defines $P_{GKL}^{ij}$, $\pi_{\phi}^{ij}$, and $P_{\Psi}^{ij}$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (108) as

$$P_{GKL}^{ij} = \sum_a \int d^3v F_a [m_a v^l b^j + \mu B (g^{ij} - b^i b^j)],$$

(109)

$$\pi_{\phi}^{ij} \equiv \sum_a \int d^3v F_a m_a v^l [b^j (u_{ax})^j_\perp + (u_{ax})_\perp b^j],$$

(110)

and

$$P_{\Psi}^{ij} \equiv \sum_a P_{\Psi}^{ij} = \sum_a (P_{E1a} + P_{E2a}),$$

(111)

respectively. As mentioned after Eq. (106) as well as in Appendix F, the turbulent momentum transport caused by the nonlinear interaction of the turbulent potential and the fluctuation part of the gyrocenter distribution function is included in $P_{\Psi}^{ij}$.

From Eqs. (106) and (107), we obtain $J_{\partial KF}^a = 0$ which represents the momentum balance equation for the whole system,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_a m_a v^l b^j \right) - \int d^3v K_a m_a v^l b^j + \nabla \cdot \Theta^j$$

$$= \sum_a e_a \left( -N_a \frac{\partial A^j}{\partial t} + \frac{e^{jkl} \varepsilon^{k} B_{l}}{\sqrt{g}} \Gamma_{ak} B_{l} \right).$$

(112)

For comparison with Eq. (112), the canonical momentum balance equation derived by the conventional method is shown in Eq. (111) of Appendix E where the divergence of the asymmetric pressure tensor appears. The condition for the sum of the boundary terms (B.T.) in Eq. (106) to vanish results in a complicated expression which is not shown here while it gives the relation between the symmetric pressure tensor $\Theta^j$ and the asymmetric canonical pressure tensor in Eq. (111). Here, using Eq. (92), the electric current density can be written as

$$J^k = \sum_a e_a \Gamma_a^k = \sum_a e_a \int d^3v F_a u_{ax}^k + \frac{e a}{\sqrt{g}} \Gamma_{ak} B_{l}.$$
where \( \rho^{(g)} \) is defined in Eq. (40). Combining Eq. (72) and (115), we obtain
\[
J^a_L + \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial (\sqrt{\gamma} D^a_L)}{\partial t} = 0,
\] (116)
where the subscript \( L \) represents the longitudinal part. It should be noted that the polarization current \( 4\pi \partial P^*_G/\partial t \) is included not in \( J^a_i \) but in \( \partial (\sqrt{\gamma} D^a_i)/\partial t \).

It can be shown by performing further vector calculations that Eq. (112) is rewritten as
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a \int d^3 v F_a m_a v^j b + \frac{1}{4\pi c} (D_L \times B) \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( P_{GGL} + \pi \cdot \nabla + P_{\Psi} \right) + \nabla \left( \frac{|E_L|^2}{2\pi} + \frac{E_T \cdot D_L}{4\pi} \right) - \nabla \left( B^2/8\pi \right) - \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{B B}{4\pi} \right) = \sum_a \int d^3 v K_a m_a v^j b + \left( \frac{\nabla \times B}{4\pi c} - \frac{J_T}{c} \right) \times B,
\] (117)
where the Cartesian spatial coordinates are used and three-dimensional vectors are represented in terms of boldface letters. The longitudinal part of the electric displacement vector defined in Eq. (73) is represented by \( D_L \). The longitudinal part of the electric field is written as \( E_L = -\nabla \phi \) while \( E_T = -\nabla \times A \) gives the transverse part under the Coulomb gauge condition \( \nabla \cdot A = 0 \).

We see that the time derivative of the momentum density \( (D_L \times B)/(4\pi c) \) due to the electromagnetic field and the spatial divergences of the pressure tensors produced by both the electric and magnetic fields (the opposite sign of the Maxwell stress tensor) appear in the momentum balance equation in Eq. (117) where the effects of the polarization \( P_G \) [see Eq. (74)] are included through \( D_L \).

Here, recall that \( F_a(x, v, t) \) represents the gyrocenter distribution function of the gyrocenter (not particle) position coordinates \( x \equiv (x^i)_{i=1,2,3}, v \equiv (v^i)_{i=1,2,3} \equiv (v_j, \mu, \vartheta) \) and time \( t \) [see descriptions after Eq. (15)]. Then, from typical gyrokinetic codes using the gyrocenter position coordinates as independent variables for distribution functions, we can directly evaluate the term \( \int d^3 v F_a m_a v^j b \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\vartheta \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\mu F_a(x, v, \mu, \vartheta) m_a v^j b(x, t) \) in Eq. (117), for which we do not need to specify the transformation from the gyrocenter position coordinates to the particle coordinates. As explained at the end of Sec. III.C, the difference between the gyrocenter and particle positions is taken into account in Eq. (117) through the terms related to the polarization due to the effects of the finite gyroradius and the electrostatic fluctuation. Such polarization terms also appear in the energy balance equations as seen in Eqs. (F7) and (F8) in Appendix F.

When the polarization \( P_G \) is eliminated from Eq. (117), the terms including the electric field are given by \( \partial ((E_L \times B)/(4\pi c))/\partial t \) and \( \nabla \left( |E_L|^2/(8\pi) + (E_T \cdot E_L)/(4\pi) \right) - \nabla \left. \left[ (E_L \cdot E_L + E_T E_T + E_T E_L)/(4\pi) \right] \right| \) which are verified to be the same as given in the momentum conservation law for the Vlasov-Poisson-Ampère (or Vlasov-Darwin) system [see Eq. (32) of Ref. 20]. In the case using the quasineutrality condition and the self-consistent magnetic field given by \( \nabla \times B = (4\pi c) J \) \( (J = J_T \) from \( \nabla \times J = 0 \) due to the quasineutrality) as well as removing polarization effects and \( K_a \), we find that \( (D_L \times B)/(4\pi c) \) and the part of the pressure tensor caused by the electric field disappear from Eq. (117) and that Eq. (117) agrees with the momentum conservation law for the drift kinetic system shown in Eq. (151) of Ref. 20.

The energy balance equation for the whole system is derived in Appendix F [see Eqs. (F7) and (F8)] where, in the same way as seen in Eq. (117), we can confirm the consistency of the derived energy balance with the energy conservation laws obtained for the Vlasov-Poisson-Ampère (or Vlasov-Darwin) system and the drift kinetic system.

We note that, if the Lagrangian \( L_{GKF} \) for the gyrokinetic system defined by Eqs. (17) and (18) is modified to \( L_{GKF} \equiv L_{GKF} - \int d^3 x \frac{\sqrt{g} B^2}{(8\pi)} \), the variational equation \( \delta L_{GKF} / \delta A = 0 \) yields \( \nabla \times B = (4\pi c) J_T \) which makes Eq. (117) take the form of the total momentum conservation law in the case of \( \sum_a \int d^3 K_a m_a v^j \parallel \) \( = 0 \). Nevertheless, in the gyrokinetic turbulent system, this condition is not generally imposed on the given equilibrium magnetic field \( B \). It is because \( B \) is considered not to contain the fluctuation part while \( J_T \) can have fluctuations. However, when the background magnetic field satisfies spatial translation, rotation, or helical symmetry and the effect of \( K_a \) is neglected, the local conservation law of the canonical momentum in the direction of symmetry can be derived from \( \nabla L_{GKF} = 0 \) with Eq. (105) as shown in Appendix G.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the governing equations of the gyrokinetic system with electrostatic turbulence are derived in the general spatial coordinates based on the Eulerian variational principle. The local momentum balance equation for each particle species and that for the whole system, which the gyrocenter distribution functions and the potential field satisfy, are obtained from the invariance of the Lagrangians of these systems under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations.

It is shown that, when the background magnetic field satisfies the consistency condition that its rotation is given by the solenoidal part of the current density as in the Darwin model, the momentum and energy balance equations for the whole system are rewritten in the complete conservative forms where contributions of the turbulent electric field and the background magnetic field
are clearly given in the expressions of the momentum and energy densities, the pressure tensor, and the energy flux. The effects of the collision and/or external source terms added into the gyrokinetic equation on the momentum and energy balance equations are clarified as well.

The symmetric pressure tensor is directly obtained by the variational derivative of the Lagrangian with respect to the metric tensor components and it is shown to contain the CGL part representing the neoclassical viscosity as well as the turbulent momentum transport part, the ensemble average of which is confirmed to agree with the previous result obtained from the gyrokinetic theory using the WKB representation.

The representation in terms of the general spatial coordinates is useful in treating complex toroidal plasmas in suitable coordinates such as the flux coordinates. The momentum and energy balance equations obtained here are applicable as a reference for verification of long-time global gyrokinetic simulations based on the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations to study neoclassical and turbulent transport in plasmas with external sources. It may seem troublesome for global simulation codes to treat the finite gyroradius effect represented by the infinite series expansions appearing in Eq. (27) and other expansions are truncated or approximated by other simpler expressions such that the Lagrangian corresponding to the reduced model given by the truncation or approximation is clearly defined, the same technique as shown in this work can be applied to that Lagrangian to derive the local energy and momentum balance equations which can be compared with those simulation results. Such applications of the present work to the global simulations based on the reduced gyrokinetic model are considered as future works. For comparison with local flux tube gyrokinetic simulations treating the full finite gyroradius effect, useful informations such as expressions of local turbulent momentum transport can be obtained from this work using the WKB approximation as shown in Appendix D. The extension of the present work to the case with magnetic microturbulence also remains as a future study.
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Appendix A: COVARIANT DERIVATIVES AND CHRISTOFFEL SYMBOLS

This Appendix briefly describes definitions of covariant derivatives and Christoffel symbols which are used in the main text of the present paper. In the general spatial coordinates \( x = (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \), the components \( \nabla_i S \) \((i = 1, 2, 3)\) of the covariant derivative of an arbitrary scalar field \( S(x) \) is given by

\[
\nabla_i S = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^i},
\]

while those of the covariant derivatives of arbitrary contravariant and covariant vector fields, \( V^j \) and \( W_j \) \((j = 1, 2, 3)\), are written as

\[
\nabla_i V^j = \frac{\partial V^j}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma^j_{ik} V^k,
\]

and

\[
\nabla_i W_j = \frac{\partial W_j}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma^i_{jk} W_k,
\]

respectively. Here, the Christoffel symbols \( \Gamma^k_{ij} \) \((i, j, k = 1, 2, 3)\) are defined by

\[
\Gamma^k_{ij}(x) \equiv g^{kl}(x) \Gamma_{l,ij}(x) = \frac{1}{2} g^{kl}(x) \left[ \frac{\partial g_{lj}(x)}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{ij}(x)}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial g_{il}(x)}{\partial x^j} \right].
\]

The covariant and contravariant components of the metric tensor are denoted by \( g_{ij} \) and \( g^{ij} \), respectively, and they satisfy

\[
g^{ik} g_{kj} = \delta^i_j, \tag{A5}
\]

where \( \delta^i_j \) represents the Kronecker delta defined by

\[
\delta^i_j = \begin{cases} 1 & (i = j) \\ 0 & (i \neq j). \end{cases} \tag{A6}
\]

In general, the components of the covariant derivative of an arbitrary mixed tensor field \( T_{k_1 \cdots k_r}^{j_1 \cdots j_r} \) of type \((r, s)\) are defined by

\[
\nabla_i T_{k_1 \cdots k_s}^{j_1 \cdots j_r} = \frac{\partial T_{k_1 \cdots k_s}^{j_1 \cdots j_r}}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma^l_{ik} T_{k_1 \cdots k_s}^{j_1 \cdots j_r} + \cdots + \Gamma^l_{is} T_{k_1 \cdots k_s}^{j_1 \cdots j_r-1 l} - \Gamma^l_{ik} T_{k_1 \cdots k_s-1 l}^{j_1 \cdots j_r-1 l} \cdot \tag{A7}
\]

Here, it is also noted that the covariant derivatives of \( g_{jk} \), \( g^{ik} \), and \( g \equiv \det(g_{ij}) \) all vanish,

\[
\nabla_i g_{jk} = 0, \quad \nabla_i g^{jk} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_i g = 0. \tag{A8}
\]

We also note that when the covariant derivatives act on arbitrary tensors, the commutative property,

\[
\nabla_i \nabla_j = \nabla_j \nabla_i, \tag{A9}
\]

holds for the case of the present paper where the considered real space is a flat one with no Riemann curvature.
Appendix B: VARIATIONS IN THE FUNCTIONAL FORMS OF VECTOR AND TENSOR FIELDS UNDER THE INFINITESIMAL TRANSFORMATION OF SPATIAL COORDINATES

It is shown in this Appendix how to represent variations in the functional forms of vector and tensor fields under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation. The infinitesimal transformation from \(x = (x^i)_{i=1,2,3}\) to \(x' = (x'^i)_{i=1,2,3}\) is given by Eq. (B5) with the infinitesimal variation \(\xi^i(x)\) in the spatial coordinate \(x^i\). Here, \((\xi^i(x))_{i=1,2,3}\) can be regarded as components of a vector field \(\xi(x)\).

We first consider a scalar field \(S(x)\) which is invariant under the spatial coordinate transformation,

\[
S'(x') = S(x), \quad (B1)
\]

and define the variation \(\delta S\) in the functional form of a scalar field \(S(x)\) under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation by

\[
\delta S(x) \equiv S'(x) - S(x). \quad (B2)
\]

Note that the spatial arguments of \(S'\) and \(S\) are the same as each other on the right-hand side of Eq. (B2) while they are different in Eq. (B1). Next, substituting \(S'(x') \approx S'(x) + \xi^i(x)\partial S'(x)/\partial x^i \approx S'(x,t) + \xi^i(x)\partial S(x)/\partial x^i\) into Eq. (B1) and using Eq. (B2), we obtain

\[
\delta S(x) = -\xi^i(x)\frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x^i} \equiv -(L_\xi S)(x) \quad (B3)
\]

where \(L_\xi\) denotes the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field \(\xi\) with the components \((\xi^i)\).

Under the general spatial coordinate transformation, the components of a contravariant vector field \(V^i(x)\) are transformed as

\[
V'^i(x') = \frac{\partial x'^i}{\partial x^j} V^j(x). \quad (B4)
\]

In the same way as in Eq. (B2), we define the variation \(\delta V^i(x)\) in the functional form of \(V^i(x)\) under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation by

\[
\delta V^i(x) \equiv V'^i(x) - V^i(x). \quad (B5)
\]

Substituting the formulas \(V'^i(x') \approx V'^i(x,t) + \xi^j(x)\partial V^i(x)/\partial x^j + \partial x'^i/\partial x^j \approx \delta^i_j + \xi^j(x)/\partial x^j\) into Eq. (B4) and using Eq. (B5), we obtain

\[
\delta V^i(x) = -\xi^j(x)\frac{\partial V^i(x)}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'^i}\frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x^j} V^j(x)
\]

\[
\equiv -(L_\xi V^i)(x), \quad (B6)
\]

where we see that the Lie derivative \(L_\xi\) can be used again to represent \(\delta V^i(x)\). The components of a covariant vector field \(W^i(x)\) are transformed as

\[
W^i_i(x') = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'^i} W^j_j(x). \quad (B7)
\]

Next, following the procedure similar to those used in deriving Eqs. (B3) and (B5), the variation in the functional form of \(W^i_i(x)\) under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation is derived as

\[
\delta W^i_i(x) = -(L_\xi W^i_i)(x) = -\xi^j \frac{\partial W^i_j}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'^i} W^j_j. \quad (B8)
\]

It is shown in the same way as shown above that the variation in the functional form of a mixed tensor field \(T^i_{j_1...j_r}(x)\) is given by the opposite sign of its Lie derivative as

\[
\delta T^i_{j_1...j_r}(x) = -(L_\xi T^i_{j_1...j_r})(x)
\]

\[
= -\xi^j \frac{\partial T^i_{j_1...j_r}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'^i} T^j_{k_1...k_s} + \cdots + \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'^i} T^j_{k_1...k_s} - \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'^i} T^j_{k_1...k_s-1...l} + \cdots - \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'^i} T^j_{k_1...k_s-1...l} \quad (B9)
\]

It is also shown that the variations in the functional forms of \(g_{ij}\) and \(g^{ij}\) under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation are expressed as

\[
\delta g_{ij} = -L_\xi g_{ij} = -\xi^k \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x'^i} g_{jk} + \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x'^j} g_{ik} = -\nabla_i \xi_j - \nabla_j \xi_i, \quad (B10)
\]

\[
\delta g^{ij} = -L_\xi g^{ij} = -\xi^k \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x'^i} g^{kj} + \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x'^j} g^{ik} = \nabla^i \xi^j + \nabla^j \xi^i. \quad (B11)
\]

We here note that an arbitrary scalar density \(S\) is transformed in the same manner as \(\sqrt{g}\) under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations,

\[
S'(x') = \left| \det \left( \frac{\partial x'^i}{\partial x^j} \right) \right| S(x), \quad (B12)
\]

and that \(S(x)/\sqrt{g}(x)\) is regarded as a scalar field. Then, the variation \(\delta S(x)\) in the functional form of \(S(x)\) under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation is given by

\[
\delta S(x) = -(L_\xi S)(x) = (\delta \sqrt{g}) \frac{S}{\sqrt{g}} + \sqrt{g} \delta \left( \frac{S}{\sqrt{g}} \right)
\]

\[
= -\frac{\partial (\sqrt{g} \xi^i)}{\partial x'^i} S - \sqrt{g} \xi^i \frac{\partial S}{\partial x'^i} \left( \frac{S}{\sqrt{g}} \right) = -\frac{\partial (\xi^i S)}{\partial x'^i}. \quad (B13)
\]

Since the distribution function \(F(x,v,t)\) behaves as a scalar density under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations, the variation \(\delta F\) in its spatial functional form
due to the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation is written using Eq. (B14) as

\[ \delta F = -L_\xi F = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (F \xi^j). \]  

(B14)

Note that \( u^i_{ax}, u^i_{av}, \) and \( u^i_{a\theta} \) are the contravariant components of the gyrocenter velocity vector field while \( u_{av1}, u_{av}, \) and \( u_{a\theta} \), which represent the temporal change rates of the parallel velocity, magnetic moment, and gyrophase, respectively, behave as scalar fields under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations. Then, we find from Eqs. (B10) and (B13) that the variations in the functional forms of \( u^i_{ax}, u^i_{av}, \) and \( u^i_{a\theta} \) under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation are given by

\[ \delta u^i_{ax} = -L_\xi u^i_{ax} = -\xi^j \frac{\partial u^i_{ax}}{\partial x^j} + u^j_{ax} \frac{\partial \xi^i}{\partial x^j}, \]
\[ \delta u^i_{av} = -L_\xi u^i_{av} = -\xi^j \frac{\partial u^i_{av}}{\partial x^j}, \]
\[ \delta u^i_{a\theta} = -L_\xi u^i_{a\theta} = -\xi^j \frac{\partial u^i_{a\theta}}{\partial x^j}. \]  

(B15)

It is also useful to know that even though the Christoffel symbols are not regarded as tensor components, their derivatives with respect to \( \xi \) can be defined by the variations in their functional forms under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation and written as

\[ \delta \Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} \left( \nabla^k g_{lj} + \nabla^l g_{kj} - \nabla_j \delta g_{kj} \right). \]  

(B16)

Equation (B16) can be derived from Eqs. (A13), (A7), (B10), and the commutative property,

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \delta = \delta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}. \]  

(B17)

Appendix C: VARIATIONAL DERIVATIVE WITH RESPECT TO METRIC TENSOR COMPONENTS

We here consider the parts of the Lagrangian including the polarization effects denoted by \( L_{E_{1a}} \) and \( L_{E_{2a}} \),

\[ [L_{E_{1a}}, L_{E_{2a}}] = \int_V d^3x \left( L_{E_{1a}}, L_{E_{2a}} \right), \]  

(C1)

where the \( L_{E_{1a}} \) and \( L_{E_{2a}} \) defined in Eqs. (10) and (11) appear due to finite gyroradius for species \( a \) and they are given, respectively, in the linear and quadratic forms of the longitudinal electrostatic field and its spatial gradients. For the purpose of deriving the pressure tensor \( P^i_{\theta a} \) due to electrostatic gyrokinetic turbulence, we need to evaluate the variational derivatives of \( L_{E_{1a}} \) and \( L_{E_{2a}} \) with respect to the metric tensor components \( g_{ij} \). It should be noted here that partial derivatives with respect to \( g_{ij} \) need to be carefully performed because 3 x 3 metric tensor components \( g_{ij} \) are not completely independent of each other due to the constraint \( g_{ij} = g_{ji} \). Here, for an arbitrary function \( f \) of \( g_{ij} \), the notation \( \partial f / \partial g_{ij} \) is defined such that the infinitesimal variations \( \delta g_{ij} \) in \( g_{ij} \) give rise to the variation \( \delta f = (\partial f / \partial g_{ij}) \delta g_{ij} \) in \( f \) where both \( \delta g_{ij} \) and \( \partial f / \partial g_{ij} \) must be symmetric under exchange of the indices \( i \) and \( j \). For example, we have \( \partial g_{kl} / \partial g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) \) according to the aforementioned definition. In the same manner, derivatives with respective to \( \partial g_{ij} / \partial x^k \) are defined taking into account the symmetry under exchange of the indices \( i \) and \( j \).

The variation in \( L_{E_{1a}} \) caused by the variation in the metric tensor with keeping the gyrocenter distribution function \( F_a \) fixed in \( L_{E_{1a}} \) is written as

\[ \left( \partial \gamma L_{E_{1a}} \right)_F = \left( \frac{d}{dt} L_{E_{1a}}[g_{ij} + \epsilon \delta g_{ij}] \right)_{t=0} F_a \]
\[ = \int_V d^3x \left( \partial \gamma L_{E_{1a}} \right)_F \]
\[ = \int_V d^3x \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \partial \gamma Q_{j1 \cdots jk} \right)_F \nabla_{j1} \cdots \nabla_{jk-1} (E_L)_{jk} \right. \]
\[ + \left. L_{E_{1a}}(\partial \gamma g_{ij}) \delta g_{ij} \right] \]
\[ = \int_V d^3x \left( \frac{\partial \gamma L_{E_{1a}}}{\partial g_{ij}} \right)_F \delta g_{ij} + \text{B.T.}, \]  

(C2)

where integration by parts is repeatedly performed to finally derive \( (\partial \gamma L_{E_{1a}}) \delta g_{ij} \). Here, \( \left( \cdots \right)_F \) implies that the gyrocenter function \( F_a \) is fixed when taking the variation with respect to the metric tensor. From Eqs. (A7), we have

\[ \left( \partial \gamma \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_{j_n} \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{l-1}} \left( \delta \gamma \nabla_{j_l} \nabla_{j_{l+1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_{j_n} \right), \]  

(C3)

where

\[ \left( \partial \gamma \nabla_{j_l} \nabla_{j_{l+1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_{j_n} \right) \]
\[ \equiv -(n-l)(\delta \gamma \Gamma^p_{j_l j_{l+1} \cdots j_{n-1}}) (E_L)_p \]
\[ = -(n-l) \left( \frac{g_{pq}}{2} \left( \nabla_{j_l} \delta g_{j_{l+1} \cdots j_{n-1}} + \nabla_{j_l} \delta g_{j_{l+1} \cdots j_{n-1} q} - \nabla_q \delta g_{j_{l+1} \cdots j_{n-1}} \right) \right) \times \nabla_{j_{l+1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_p. \]  

(C4)

We note that, in Eq. (C4), \( \delta \gamma \Gamma^p_{j_l j_{l+1} \cdots j_{n-1}} \) is expressed in the same form as in Eq. (B16). Now, the pressure tensor

\[ \delta \gamma \Gamma^p_{j_l j_{l+1} \cdots j_{n-1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} \left( \nabla^p \delta g_{j_{l+1} \cdots j_{n-1}} + \nabla^p \delta g_{j_{l+1} \cdots j_{n-1} q} - \nabla_q \delta g_{j_{l+1} \cdots j_{n-1}} \right) \times \nabla_{j_{l+1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_p. \]  

(C4)
\(P_{E1a}^{ij}\) is given by two times of \((\delta L_{E1a}/\delta g_{ij})_F\) as

\[
P_{E1a}^{ij} = 2 \left( \frac{\delta L_{E1a}}{\delta g_{ij}} \right)_F
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{\partial Q_{a1}^{j1\cdots jk}}{\partial g_{ij}} \right)_F \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{k-1}} (E_L)_{j_k}
+ \sum_{l=1}^{2k-1} (-1)^{l-1}(2k-l) \left\{ \left( \delta_{jl} g^{jp} + \delta_{jk} g^{ip} \right) \nabla_{j_l} - \delta_{jl} \delta_{jk} P \right\} \right)
\times \left( \nabla_{j_{l+1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{k-1}} (E_L)_{j_k} \right) \right] ,
\]

where \(\delta_{jl}\) are used and \(\left( \frac{\partial Q_{a1}^{j1\cdots jk}}{\partial g_{ij}} \right)_F\) is given by using Eq. \(\text{(42)}\) and

\[
 \frac{\partial Q_{a1}^{j1\cdots jk}}{\partial g_{mn}} = k \left[ \frac{h_{mn}^{\alpha_{j1\cdots jk}}}{2} + \frac{1}{(k!)^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{mn}} \right)_{F} \right]
\times \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{2k}} \frac{\partial g_{m\sigma}}{\partial g_{mn}} h_{\sigma_{(3)}\sigma_{(4)} \cdots h_{\sigma_{(2k-1)}\sigma_{(2k)}}} .
\]

Next, the variation in \(L_{E2a}\) caused by the variation in the metric tensor with keeping the gyrocenter distribution function \(F_a\) fixed is written as

\[
(\delta g L_{E2a})_F = \int_V d^3x (\delta g L_{E2a})_F
\]

\[
= \int_V d^3x \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial Q_{E2a}^{j1\cdots jn}}{\partial g_{ij}} \right)_F \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_{j_n}
\times \nabla_{j_{n+1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{m-1}} (E_L)_{j_m} + \frac{1}{2} \left( k! \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{ij}} \right)_F \right]
\times \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_{j_n}
\cdots \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{2k}} \frac{\partial g_{m\sigma}}{\partial g_{mn}} h_{\sigma_{(3)}\sigma_{(4)} \cdots h_{\sigma_{(2k-1)}\sigma_{(2k)}}} .
\]

Then, using Eqs. \(\text{(C8)}\), \(\text{(C4)}\), and \(\text{(C7)}\), we obtain the pressure tensor \(P_{E2a}^{ij}\) which is given by two times of \((\delta L_{E2a}/\delta g_{ij})_F\) as

\[
P_{E2a}^{ij} = 2 \left( \frac{\delta L_{E2a}}{\delta g_{ij}} \right)_F
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial Q_{E2a}^{j1\cdots jn}}{\partial g_{ij}} \right)_F \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_{j_n}
\times \nabla_{j_{n+1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{m-1}} (E_L)_{j_m}
\times \nabla_{j_1} \cdots \nabla_{j_{n-1}} (E_L)_{j_n}
\times \nabla_{j_{n+1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{m-1}} (E_L)_{j_m} \right]
\times \left( \nabla_{j_{n+1}} \cdots \nabla_{j_{m-1}} (E_L)_{j_m} \right) \right] ,
\]

where \(\left( \frac{\partial Q_{E2a}^{j1\cdots jn}}{\partial g_{ij}} \right)_F\) is given by using Eqs. \(\text{(A1)}\), \(\text{(A4)}\), \(\text{(A6)}\), and

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{ij}} \left( \frac{1}{B} \right) = - \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial g_{ij}} = \frac{h_{ij}}{2B} .
\]
and \( \langle \mathbf{P}_\psi \rangle_{\text{ens}} \) is written as
\[
\langle \mathbf{P}_\psi \rangle_{\text{ens}} = \sum_{k_\perp} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \right] \sum_a e_a \times \int d^3v \ k_\perp \rho_a \ J_1(k_\perp \rho_a) \text{Re}[\hat{h}_{a k_\perp} \phi_{k_\perp}]_{\text{ens}},
\] (D3)
where \( \mathbf{I} \) denotes the unit tensor.

We now consider the axisymmetric toroidal system, in which the magnetic field is given by
\[
\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I} \nabla \zeta + \nabla \times \nabla \zeta \times \nabla \chi,
\] (D4)
where \( \zeta, \chi \), and \( \chi \) represent the toroidal angle, the covariant toroidal magnetic field component, and the poloidal flux function, respectively. Then, using \( \mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \chi = -BR^2 \nabla \zeta \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \), the radial transport of the toroidal angular momentum due to the turbulent field are obtained from Eqs. (D2) and (D3) as
\[
\nabla \chi \cdot \mathbf{p}_\perp + \langle \mathbf{P}_\psi \rangle_{\text{ens}} \cdot R^2 \nabla \zeta = - \sum_{a} \sum_{k_\perp} \int d^3v \ \frac{ci}{B} m_a v_{\parallel} \rho_a J_1(k_\perp \rho_a) \text{Im}[\hat{h}_{a k_\perp} \phi_{k_\perp}]_{\text{ens}} \times (k_\perp \cdot R^2 \nabla \chi) + e_a k_\perp \rho_a J_1(k_\perp \rho_a) \text{Re}[\hat{h}_{a k_\perp} \phi_{k_\perp}]_{\text{ens}} \times (k_\perp \cdot \nabla \chi)(k_\perp \cdot R^2 \nabla \chi) \frac{1}{k_\perp^2}.
\] (D5)

The flux-surface average of Eq. (D5) agrees with the electrostatic and low-flow ordering limit of the result given in Eq. (53) of Ref.24 where the turbulent radial transport of the toroidal angular momentum double-averaged over the ensemble and the flux surface is presented for the general case allowing the turbulent magnetic field and the high-flow ordering.25,26,60 It should be emphasized here that Eq. (D5) is not surface-averaged but it presents the spatially-local expression. In the axisymmetric configuration with up-down symmetry, the flux-surface average of Eq. (D5) is shown to vanish in the case of the low-flow ordering25,26 although it does not imply that the local value of Eq. (D5) itself vanishes as well.

**Appendix E: ANOTHER DERIVATION OF THE MOMENTUM BALANCE**

In Sec. IV, the momentum balance is derived using the invariance of the Lagrangian of the system under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation induced by the vector field \( \xi'(x) \) which has an arbitrary functional form. For comparison with that derivation, another derivation of the momentum balance is given in this appendix in the way closer to the conventional derivation of the canonical momentum conservation law which generally involves the asymmetric canonical pressure tensor.

Equation (89) for the invariance of the gyrokinetic Lagrangian \( L_{GKa} \) of species \( a \) can be rewritten without separating boundary terms from the spatial integral as
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} x^i J_{GKa} \right] = 0,
\] (E1)
where
\[
J_{GKa} = \int_V d^3x \ J_{GKa} = \int_V d^3x \ J_{GKa} = \int_V d^3x \ J_{GKa} = 0,
\] (E2)
represents the variation in the functional form of the single gyrocenter Lagrangian \( L_{GKa} \) under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation with \( (x^i_a, u^i_a) \) kept fixed in \( L_{GKa} \). The term \( \langle \partial L_{GKa}/\partial x^i \rangle_u \) in Eq. (E3) is written down as
\[
\frac{\partial L_{GKa}}{\partial x^i}_u = u^i_a \left( \frac{\partial p_{ai}}{\partial x^i} - \mu \frac{\partial B}{\partial x^i} - e_a \frac{\partial \psi_a}{\partial x^i} \right) \] (E4)

Equations (50), (59), (B15), and (E3) are used for deriving Eq. (E2). Since Eq. (E4) holds for an arbitrary spatial integral domain \( V \), we find \( J_{GKa} = 0 \) for any \( \xi^i \). Then, the canonical momentum balance equation for the gyrocenters of species \( a \) is derived from Eq. (E2) as
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int d^3v F_a p_{ai} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left[ \int d^3v F_a m_a u^i_a p_{ai} \right] = \int d^3v K_a p_{ai} + \int d^3v F_a \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKa}}{\partial x^i} \right)_u.
\] (E5)

Equation (E5) also can be derived directly from multiplying Eq. (89) by \( p_{ai} \), taking its \( v \)-space integral, and using Eq. (E6). It should be recalled that the general spatial coordinates \( (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) are used here. For example, when \( (x^i)_{i=1,2,3} \) represent the Cartesian coordinates \( (x, y, z) \), Eq. (E5) represents the linear canonical momentum balance. As another interesting example, we can treat the canonical angular momentum balance in toroidal plasmas such as tokamaks and stellarator/heliotron devices, for which it is convenient to use the cylindrical coordinates and/or the magnetic flux coordinates. In these coordinate systems, the toroidal angle component of Eq. (E5) represents the toroidal angular momentum balance. It is also noted that the momentum balance equations shown in Eqs. (104) and (E5) are equivalent to each other although the transformation from Eq. (E5) to Eq. (104) requires complicated procedures involving infinite number of times of partial integration.
We next consider the invariance of the Lagrangian $L_{GKF}$ of the whole system under the infinitesimal spatial coordinate transformation shown in Eq. (60). In contrast to Eq. (105), we now rewrite Eq. (86) without separating boundary terms from the spatial integral as

$$
\overline{\delta L_{GKF}} = \int_V \delta^3x J_{GKF} = 0, \quad (E6)
$$

with

$$
J_{GKF} = \frac{1}{c} \int d^3v \left[ \epsilon^i \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (F_a p_{ai}) - K_a p_{ai} \right\} \right.
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon^i} \left( \epsilon^i F_a u_{ax} \right) + \left. J_{GKF_{\phi}} + J_{GKF_{\phi}} \right]
= 0, \quad (E7)
$$

where Eqs. (56), (72), (93), and (113) are used. Here, $J_{GKF_{\phi}}$ and $J_{GKF_{\phi}}$ originate from the terms including $\delta A_j$ and $\delta g_{ij}$ in Eq. (80), respectively, and they are written as

$$
J_{GKF_{\phi}} = \frac{1}{c} \int d^3v F_a \sum_j \frac{\partial L_{GKF_{\phi}}}{\partial (\partial_j g_{ij})} \overline{\delta (\partial_j g_{ij})}.
$$

The last term $J_{GKF_{\phi}}$ included in Eq. (67) is given in terms of the turbulent electrostatic field as

$$
J_{GKF_{\phi}} = \frac{\partial (\epsilon^i L_{GKF})}{\partial \epsilon^i} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n!} \frac{\partial^{n-1} (\epsilon^i \phi / \partial x^i)}{\partial (\partial_{x^1} \cdots \partial_{x^n})} \times \frac{\partial^{n-1} L_{GKF}}{\partial (\partial_{x^1} \cdots \partial_{x^n})}.
$$

Here, because of the symmetry with respect to permutations of the indices $j_1, \cdots, j_n$, the variables $\partial^m \phi / \partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_n} \equiv \partial^m (E_L)/\partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_n}$ are not regarded as completely independent variables and the meaning of the expression $\partial L_{GKF}/\partial (\partial^m \phi / \partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_n})$ in Eq. (110) needs to be clearly mentioned. It is defined such that the infinitesimal variations $\delta (\partial^m \phi / \partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_n})$ give rise to the variation $\delta L_{GKF} = \{ \partial L_{GKF}/\partial (\partial^m \phi / \partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_n}) \} \partial (\partial^m \phi / \partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_n})$.

We now suppose that $\xi$ represents a Killing vector field or a vector field which generates an isometric transformation so that $\overline{\delta g_{ij}} = -L_{GKF} g_{ij} = 0$, $\overline{\delta (\partial_j g_{ij})} = \overline{\delta (\partial_j g_{ij})} = 0$ and accordingly $J_{GKF_{\phi}} = 0$. The isometry is generated by the infinitesimal linear translation and the infinitesimal rotation, and they are represented by the Killing vector fields, $\xi = c n$ and $\xi = c n \times r$, respectively, where $c$ is an infinitesimal constant, $n$ a constant unit vector, and $r$ the spatial position vector. Here and hereafter, the Cartesian coordinate system is used for $(x^i)_{i=1,2,3}$ and three-dimensional vectors are represented in terms of boldface letters. For example, the canonical momentum of the gyrocenter of species $a$ is denoted by $p_a = \partial L_{GKF} / \partial u_{ax}$.

Recall again that $J_{GKF}$ defined in Eq. (67) vanishes because Eq. (63) holds for any $V$. Then, substituting $\xi = c n$ into Eq. (67) and noting that $J_{GKF_{\phi}} = 0$ is satisfied for any direction vector $n$, we obtain the linear canonical momentum balance equation for the whole system,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_a p_a \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_a u_{ax} p_a \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( -(B \cdot M) I + BM + \frac{|E_L|^2}{8\pi} - \frac{E_L}{4\pi} + \Pi_\phi \right) = \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_a u_{ax} \right) \cdot \nabla A + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} + \sum_a \int d^3v K_a p_a, \quad (E11)
$$

where $M$ is the magnetization vector defined in Eq. (114) and $\Pi_\phi$ is defined using Eq. (115) as

$$
(\Pi_\phi)^{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \frac{\partial^{n-k} (E_L)^i}{\partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_{n-1}}} \times \left( \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial (\partial^m \phi / \partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_n})} \right). \quad (E12)
$$

Like $P_\phi$ given in Eq. (117), $\Pi_\phi$ contains the effect of the turbulent electrostatic field on the momentum transport although $P_\phi$ and $\Pi_\phi$ are symmetric and asymmetric tensors, respectively. Using Eqs. (90) and (115), Eq. (111)
also can be rewritten as
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_a m_v v_\parallel b \right ) \\
+ \nabla \cdot \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_a m_v v_\parallel u_{az} b \right ) \\
+ \nabla \cdot \left[ - (B \cdot M) I + B M + \left( \frac{E_L^2}{8\pi} I - \frac{E_L E_L}{4\pi} \right) \right] \\
= - \left( \sum_a e_a \int d^3v F_a \right) \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} J \times B \\
+ \sum_a \int d^3v \kappa_a m_v v_\parallel b. 
\] (E13)

The momentum balance equation shown in Eq. (E13) can be transformed into Eq. (112) although again it is so complicated involving infinite number of times of partial integration.

Substituting \( \xi = e n \times r \) into Eq. (E7) and using \( J_{GKF} = 0 \) for any direction vector \( n \), the angular momentum balance equation for the whole system is derived as
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( r \times \sum_a \int d^3v F_a m_v v_\parallel b \right ) \\
+ \nabla \cdot \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_a m_v v_\parallel u_{az} r \times b \right ) \\
+ \nabla \cdot \left\{ \left( B \cdot M \right) I - B M - \frac{E_L^2}{8\pi} I + \frac{E_L E_L}{4\pi} \right\} \times r + T_\Psi \\
= r \times - \left( \sum_a e_a \int d^3v F_a \right) \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} J \times B \\
+ \sum_a \int d^3v \kappa_a m_v v_\parallel b, 
\] (E14)

where \( T_\Psi \) is the asymmetric tensor representing the transport of the angular momentum due to the electrostatic field and defined by
\[
(T_\Psi)_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \left[ \frac{\partial^{n-k}(r \times E_L)^j}{\partial x^{j_1} \ldots \partial x^{j_{n-k}}} \right] \times \frac{\partial^{k-1} \nabla \Psi}{\partial x^{j_1} \ldots \partial x^{j_{n-k}}} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial^{n-1}(E_L^1)/\partial x^{j_1} \ldots \partial x^{j_2})} \right). 
\] (E15)

Appendix F: ENERGY BALANCE IN THE GYROKINETIC SYSTEM

In this Appendix, the energy balance in the electrostatic gyrokinetic turbulent system is derived. In contrast to the case of in Sec. IV where the momentum balance is derived, we here use only the Cartesian coordinate system and represent three-dimensional vectors in terms of boldface letters. Then, the metric tensor components are represented by the Kronecker delta, and they form the \( 3 \times 3 \) unit matrix with determinant unity.

The partial time derivative of the gyrokinetic Lagrangian density \( L_{GK_a} \) for particle species \( a \) is written as
\[
\frac{\partial L_{GK_a}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int d^3v F_a L_{GY_a} \right) \\
= \int d^3v \left[ \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial t} L_{GY_a} + F_a \left( \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial u_{az}} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{az}}{\partial t} \right) \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial u_{a\theta}} \frac{\partial u_{a\theta}}{\partial t} + \left( \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{a}} \right], 
\] (F1)

where \( (\partial L_{GY_a}/\partial t)_{\text{a}} \) denotes the time derivative of \( L_{GY_a} \) with \( (u_{az}, u_{a\theta}) \) kept fixed in \( L_{GY_a} \). Here, we consider the gyrocenter distribution function \( F_a \) satisfying Eq. (89) which includes the term \( K_a \) representing the rate of temporal change in \( F_a \) due to collisions and/or external sources for the species \( a \). Substituting Eq. (89) into Eq. (F1) and using \( \delta I_{GK}/\delta x_a = 0 \) \( \{ \text{Eq. (56)} \} \), \( \delta I_{GK}/\delta v_{a\parallel} = 0 \) \( \{ \text{Eq. (63)} \} \), \( \delta I_{GK}/\delta v_{a\theta} = 0 \) \( \{ \text{Eq. (62)} \} \), and \( \delta I_{GK}/\delta \vartheta_a = 0 \) \( \{ \text{Eq. (60)} \} \), we obtain the energy balance equation,
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int d^3v F_a \mathcal{E}_a \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \int d^3v F_a \mathcal{E}_a u_{az} \right) \\
= \int d^3v \left( F_a \dot{\mathcal{E}}_a + K_a \mathcal{E}_a \right), 
\] (F2)

where the gyrocenter velocity \( u_{az} \) is given by Eq. (64) and \( \mathcal{E}_a \) represents the energy of the single particle (or the gyrocenter Hamiltonian \( H_{GY_a} \)) defined by
\[
\mathcal{E}_a \equiv H_{GY_a} \equiv \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial u_{az}} \cdot u_{az} + \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial u_{a\theta}} u_{a\theta} - L_{GY_a} \\
= \frac{1}{2} m_a v_{a\parallel}^2 + \mu B + e_a \Psi_a. 
\] (F3)

The rate of change in the particle’s energy is given by
\[
\dot{\mathcal{E}}_a \equiv - \left( \frac{\partial L_{GY_a}}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{a}} = e c \frac{\partial \Psi_a}{\partial t} + \mu c \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} - \frac{e_a}{c} u_{az} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_a}{\partial t}. 
\] (F4)

The energy balance equation shown in Eq. (F2) agrees with Eq. (C7) in Ref. 30 except for the effects of the turbulent electrostatic potential included here. It can be seen in Eq. (F2) how the energy balance is modified when the collision (or source) term \( K_a \) is added into the gyrokinetic equation.

We now consider the energy balance in the extended system consisting of particles of all species and the self-consistent electrostatic field. The partial time derivative of the Lagrangian density \( L_{GKF} \) of this extended system...
is written as

\[
\frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (L_{GK} + L_F) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a L_{GKA} + L_F \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a \int d^3v \, F_a^* L_{GYA} + L_F \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_a \int d^3v \left( \frac{\partial F_a^*}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{ax}} L_{GKY} + F_a \frac{\partial L_{GKY}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{ax}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{ax}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial L_{GKY}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{ax}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{ax}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{ax}} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial L_{GKF}}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right) \right], \quad (F5)
\]

In the same way as in deriving Eq. (F2), we use the Euler-Lagrange equations [Eqs. (65), (66), (69), and (73)] for \((\mathbf{u}_{ax}, \mathbf{u}_{av}, \mathbf{u}_{ag}, \mathbf{u}_{ad})\), Eq. (80) for \(F_a\), and Eq. (72) for \(\phi\) in order to rewrite Eq. (F5) as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a \int d^3v \, F_a^* H_{GYA} - L_F \right)
\]

\[
+ \nabla \cdot \left( \sum_a \int d^3v \, F_a^* H_{GYA} \mathbf{u}_{ax} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1}
\]

\[
\times \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left( \frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial x^1 \cdots \partial x^{k-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{L}_{GKF}} \left( \mathbf{u}_{ax} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right) \right)
\]

\[
\times \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left( \frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial x^1 \cdots \partial x^{k-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{L}_{GKF}} \left( \mathbf{u}_{ax} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}_T + \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{c} \mathbf{E}_T \times \mathbf{M} \right) + \sum_a \int d^3v \, \mathbf{K}_a
\]

\[
+ \left\{ \frac{1}{2} m_a v_a^2 + \mu B + e_a (\Psi_{E1a} + \Psi_{E2a}) \right\}, \quad (F7)
\]

where \(\mathbf{E}_T \equiv -e^{-1} \partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t\) represents the electric field induced by the temporal change in the background magnetic field and the magnetization vector \(\mathbf{M}\) is defined by Eq. (114). It should be recalled that the background magnetic field is allowed to temporally change in this paper so that the long time evolution of the system over the transport time scale can be treated. It is also noted that Eq. (100) is used in deriving Eq. (F6).

It can be shown after several analytical manipulations that Eq. (F6) is further rewritten as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \sum_a \int d^3v \, F_a \left( \frac{1}{2} m_a v_a^2 + \mu B \right) + \frac{\mathbf{E}_L^2}{8 \pi} \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{\mathbf{E}_L \cdot \mathbf{P}_D}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^n (\mathbf{E}_L \cdot \mathbf{P}_D)}{\partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_n}} \left( Q^{j_1 \cdots j_n} \right) + \frac{[\mathbf{B}]^2}{8 \pi}
\]

\[
+ \nabla \cdot \left[ \sum_a \int d^3v \, F_a \left( \frac{1}{2} m_a v_a^2 + \mu B + e_a (\Psi_{E1a} + \Psi_{E2a}) \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ \left\{ \frac{1}{2} m_a v_a^2 + \mu B + e_a (\Psi_{E1a} + \Psi_{E2a}) \right\}ight), \quad (F8)
\]

where the longitudinal (irrotational) part of the electric displacement vector [see Eq. (123)] is denoted by \(\mathbf{D}_L \equiv (\mathbf{E} + 4\pi \mathbf{P}_G) \cdot \mathbf{M} \equiv -\nabla \phi_D\), and the magnetic intensity field \(\mathbf{H}\) is defined by \(\mathbf{H} \equiv \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbf{M}\) with the magnetic induction field \(\mathbf{B}\) and the magnetization vector field \(\mathbf{M}\) [see Eq. (114)]. Equations (F7) and (F8) take the conservative form including no other terms than the time derivative of the total energy density and the divergence of the total energy flux when the right-hand sides vanish. The effect of the collision (or source) term on the total energy balance is shown by the integral term which appears on the right-hand sides of
Eqs. (F7) and (F8). This term vanishes when $K_a$ represents the collision operator which conserves the summation of the gyrocenter kinetic and polarization energies $\sum_a \int d^3v \left\{ \frac{1}{2} m_a v_i^2 + \mu B + e_a (\Psi_{E1a} + \Psi_{E2a}) \right\}$. In addition, the right-hand sides of Eqs. (F7) and (F8) contain the difference between the transverse current density $J_T$ and $(c/4\pi) \nabla \times B$ which vanishes if the self-consistency condition $\nabla \times B = (4\pi/c)J_T$ is imposed as is done in the Darwin model and in our previous work by including the magnetic energy with the minus sign into the Lagrangian for the drift kinetic system with self-consistent fields. We also find from Eqs. (F7) and (F8) that the terms including $E_T$ appear on their left-hand sides in the way consistent with the case of the energy conservation law for the Vlasov-Poisson-Ampère (or Vlasov-Darwin) system shown in Eq. (22) of Ref. 29.

All the kinetic energy, the electric energy, and the magnetic energy with the polarization including the dipole and other multipole moment effects are described in Eqs. (F7) and (F8), where the energy flux contains the kinetic energy flow due to the gyrocenter motion, the Poynting vector, and the extra energy flux due to electrostatic turbulent fluctuations with wavelengths of the order of gyroradius.

Appendix G: THE LOCAL CONSERVATION LAW OF THE CANONICAL MOMENTUM IN THE DIRECTION OF SYMMETRY

It is shown in this Appendix how the local conservation law of the canonical momentum in the direction of symmetry can be derived for the gyrokinetic system considered in the present paper. We start from Eq. (105) for which the gyrokinetic equation including the collision (or source) term $K_a$ [see Eq. (59)] is used. Equation (105) is rewritten as

$$
\delta L_{GKF} = \int \left[ \xi_j \sum_a \int d^3v \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (F_{apai}) - K_{apai} \right\} \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{c} J^i \delta A_i + \frac{1}{c} \Theta^a j \delta g_{ij} + \frac{1}{c} \delta L_{GKF} \sum_k \delta \phi_k + B.T., \quad (G1)
$$

where we have used the canonical momentum of the single gyrocenter, the electric current density, and the symmetric pressure tensor defined by $p_{ai} \equiv \partial L_{GYA}/\partial v_{ai}$, $J^i \equiv \sum_a c e_a p_{ai} = c \sum_a \delta L_{GKF}/\delta A_i$, and $\Theta^a j \equiv 2 \delta L_{GKF}/\delta g_{ij}$, respectively, as shown in Eqs. (57), (91), (113), and (108). Next, we use $\delta L_{GKF}/\delta \phi = 0$, which is equivalent to the gyrokinetic Poisson’s equation [see Eq. (52)], and substitute $\delta A_i = -\xi_j (\partial_j A_i) - \xi_i (\partial_j A_j)$ [Eq. (158)], $\delta g_{ij} = -\nabla_i \xi_j - \nabla_j \xi_i$ [Eq. (110)] into Eq. (G1). Then, Eq. (G1) is rewritten after performing partial integrals as

$$
\delta L_{GKF} = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{c} \left[ \sum_a \int d^3v F_{apai} \right] - \sum_a \int d^3v K_{apai} \right\}
$$

where we can also write the last term of the integrand as $\xi_j \nabla_i \Theta^j = \xi^i \nabla_j \Theta_j^i$ by using $\xi_j \equiv g_{jk} \xi^k$, $\Theta_j^i \equiv g_{jk} \Theta^k_i$, and Eq. (A8). We now recall the invariance of the Lagrangian $L_{GKF}$ under an arbitrary spatial coordinate transformation which implies that $\delta L_{GKF} = 0$ for any $\xi^i$. Consequently, the canonical momentum balance equation is obtained from Eq. (G2) as

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_{apai} \right) - \sum_a \int d^3v K_{apai}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{c} \left[ \partial_i (J^i A_j) - J^i (\partial_j A_i) \right] + \nabla_i \Theta_j^i = 0. \quad (G3)
$$

It is emphasized here that Eq. (G3) is valid for arbitrary spatial coordinates $(x^i)_{i=1,2,3}$ in which the spatial position vector in the Cartesian coordinate system is represented as $r = r(x^1, x^2, x^3)$.

Hereafter, we assume that the background magnetic field has symmetry with respect to continuous isometric transformations (spatial translations, rotations, or screw motions generated by the combination of translations and rotations) in a certain direction. Owing to the use of general spatial coordinates, translation, rotation, and screw (or helical) symmetries can be treated in a unified manner. Under the symmetry assumption for the background magnetic field, there exists a certain coordinate system $(x^i)_{i=1,2,3}$ where all components of the magnetic field, the vector potential, and the metric tensor become independent of one coordinate for which $x^3$ is chosen here without loss of generality,

$$
\partial_3 B^i = 0, \quad \partial_3 A_i = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_3 g_{ij} = 0. \quad (G4)
$$

For example, such coordinate systems are given by Cartesian, cylindrical (or spherical), and helical coordinate systems for the cases of translation, rotation, and screw (or helical) symmetries, respectively. Then, from Eq. (G3) with $j = 3$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum a \int d^3v F_{apai} \right) + \frac{1}{c} \partial_i (J^i A_3) + \nabla_i \Theta_3^i
$$

$$
= \sum a \int d^3v K_{apai}. \quad (G5)
$$

Here, we define the basis vector $e_i = \partial r/\partial x^i$ associated with the coordinate $x^i$. For translation symmetry, $e_i$ represents the constant vector parallel to the direction of symmetry while, for rotation symmetry, we have $e_i = n \times r$ where $n$ is the direction vector of the rotation axis. For
helical symmetry, \( \mathbf{e} \) is given by the combination of the two types of the vectors described above. Then, the boldface notation \( \mathbf{p}_a \) is used to represent the vector with the covariant components \((p_{aj})_{j=1,2,3} \), and the inner product of the vectors \( \mathbf{p}_a \) and \( \mathbf{e} \) is represented by \( \mathbf{p}_a \cdot \mathbf{e} = p_{aj}e^j = p_{a3} \) where the \( j \)th contravariant component of \( \mathbf{e} \equiv \partial \mathbf{r} / \partial x^3 \) is given by \( e^j = \partial x^j / \partial x^3 = \delta^j_3 \). Thus, \( p_{a3} \) is hereafter treated as a scalar field produced by the inner product of the vectors. In the same way, using \( \mathbf{A} \) to represent the vector with the covariant components \((A_{aj})_{j=1,2,3} \), we can write \( A_3 \equiv \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e} \) which is treated as a scalar field produced by the inner product of \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{e} \).

It is noted that, with respect to the spatial coordinate transformation, the distribution function \( F_a \) is transformed as a scalar density field [see Eq. (2.12)], which means \( F_a / \sqrt{g} \) is regarded as a scalar field. Since \( F_a \) enters the definitions of \( J^i \) [Eq. (1.13)] and \( \Theta^{ij} \) [Eq. (1.21)], \( J^i \) and \( \Theta^{ij} \) are the components of the contravariant vector density field and the symmetric contravariant tensor density field, respectively. Correspondingly, \( J^i / \sqrt{g} \) and \( \Theta^{ij} / \sqrt{g} \) represent the vector field and the tensor field. In the Cartesian coordinates where \( \sqrt{g} = 1 \), the scalar, vector, and tensor density fields have the same components as the corresponding scalar, vector, and tensor fields so that we do not need to distinguish these density fields from the corresponding fields. Using the notation \( \mathbf{J} \) to represent the vector field with the contravariant components \((J^j / \sqrt{g})_{j=1,2,3} \), we can regard \( J^3 / \sqrt{g} \) as the 3th contravariant component of the vector field \( \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e}) \). We also use Eqs. (A2), (A8), and the formula \( \Gamma^i_{ij} = (\partial_j \sqrt{g}) / \sqrt{g} \) to write

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (J^i A_3) = \sqrt{g} \left\{ \partial_t (J^i / \sqrt{g}) A_3 \right\} + \Gamma^i_{ik} (J^j / \sqrt{g}) A_3 + \sqrt{g} \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e})]. \tag{G6}
\]

From Eq. (A4) and \( \partial_a g_{ij} = 0 \) in Eq. (4.4), we find that \( \Gamma^i_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t (g_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{e}) = -\Gamma^i_{ij} \), which is combined with \( \Theta^{ij} = \Theta^{ji} \) to obtain \( \Gamma^i_{ij} \Theta^{ij} = \Gamma^i_{ij} \Theta^{ji} = 0 \). We now use the notation \( \Theta \) to represent the tensor field with the contravariant components \( \Theta^{ij} / \sqrt{g} \). Also, the tensor-vector contraction \( \Theta \cdot \mathbf{e} \) is used to represent the vector field, the \( i \)th component of which is written as \( (\Theta^i / \sqrt{g}) e_j = (\Theta^i_j / \sqrt{g}) e_j = \Theta^i_j / \sqrt{g} \). Then, using Eq. (A7) and (A8), we obtain

\[
\nabla \Theta^i_j = \sqrt{g} \nabla^i \Theta^i_j / \sqrt{g} = \sqrt{g} \partial_i \Theta^i_j / \sqrt{g} + \Gamma^i_{ij} (\Theta^i_j / \sqrt{g}) = \sqrt{g} \partial_i (\Theta^i_j / \sqrt{g}) + \Gamma^i_{ij} (\Theta^i_j / \sqrt{g}]] = \sqrt{g} \nabla \cdot (\Theta \cdot \mathbf{e}) = \partial_i \Theta^i_j. \tag{G7}
\]

where \( \Gamma^i_{ij} = (\partial_j \sqrt{g}) / \sqrt{g} \) and \( \Gamma^i_{3j} \Theta^j = 0 \) are used.

Using Eqs. (G6)–(G7), the local canonical momentum balance equation in the direction of symmetry is written as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_a p_{a3} \right) + \partial_i \left( \frac{1}{c} J^i A_3 + \sqrt{g} \right) = \sum_a \int d^3v K_a p_{a3}, \tag{G8}
\]

in the spatial coordinates where \( x^3 \) is the coordinate in the direction of symmetry. Equation (G8) is also written using the conventional vector and tensor notations in the Cartesian coordinates (with \( \sqrt{g} = 1 \) as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_a (p_a \cdot e) \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{\Theta} \cdot \mathbf{e} \right] = \sum_a \int d^3v K_a (p_a \cdot \mathbf{e}). \tag{G9}
\]

Finally, we clearly see that Eqs. (G8) and (G9) represent the local conservation law of the canonical momentum conjugate to the coordinate in the direction of symmetry when the collision (or source) term \( K_a \) satisfies \( \sum_a \int d^3v K_a (p_a \cdot \mathbf{e}) = 0 \).

Let us compare Eqs. (G8)–(G9) with the result derived from the conventional method explained in Appendix E. In deriving Eqs. (G8) and (G9), after the invariance of the Lagrangian under arbitrary spatial coordinate transformations is used to obtain the local momentum balance equation, Eq. (C1), in the general spatial coordinates, Eq. (C1) is represented in the special coordinates, one of which is the coordinate in the direction of symmetry. On the other hand, in Appendix E, the invariance of the Lagrangian under not arbitrary but only translational and rotational coordinate transformations are used to derive the local linear and angular momentum equations in Eqs. (E11) and (E14). In the case where the background magnetic field has translation symmetry along the constant direction vector \( \mathbf{e} \), the inner product of Eq. (E11) and \( \mathbf{e} \) results in the local canonical momentum conservation law,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \sum_a \int d^3v F_a (p_a \cdot \mathbf{e}) \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{II}_e \cdot \mathbf{e} \right] = \sum_a \int d^3v K_a (p_a \cdot \mathbf{e}), \tag{G10}
\]

with the asymmetric pressure tensor \( \mathbf{II}_e \) given by

\[
\mathbf{II}_e \equiv \sum_a \int d^3v F_a u_{ax} u_{ax} - (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{M}) I + \text{BM} \cdot \| E_L \|^2 / 8\pi - E_L E_L / 4\pi + \mathbf{II}_\Psi, \tag{G11}
\]

where Eqs. (113), and \( \mathbf{e} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A} = 0 \) are used, and the term including \( K_a \) is still retained for comparison with Eq. (G9). When the background field has rotational symmetry around the axis which is parallel to the direction vector \( \mathbf{n} \) and passes through the origin of the
position vector \( \mathbf{r} \), \( \mathbf{A} \) satisfies \((\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{A}\). Then, we can use the inner product of Eq. (E14) and \( \mathbf{n} \) to derive the local canonical angular momentum conservation law which has the same form as shown in Eqs. (G10)–(G11) where \( \mathbf{e} \) is regarded as given by \( \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{r} \). In the same way, it can be shown that, for the case of helical symmetry, the local conservation law of the canonical momentum in the direction of symmetry is written again by Eqs. (G10) and (G11), where we put \( \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{n} + \alpha \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{r} \) with a constant \( \alpha \) to represent the direction of helical symmetry. Now, it is clear that the difference between Eqs. (G9) and (G10) is expressed by that between the symmetric and asymmetric pressure tensors denoted as \( \Theta \) and \( \Pi_\alpha \), respectively. It is not easy to find out the well-known CGL tensor \( P_{CGL} \) [Eq. (109)] in \( \Pi_\alpha \), while \( P_{CGL} \) naturally appears in \( \Theta \) [Eq. (108)]. Incidentally, the procedure of the Belinfante-Rosenfeld type is known as a gyration tensor, which has the same form as shown in Eqs. (G10)–(G11), and it is regarded as given by \( \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{r} \). Then, it is not easy to find out the well-known CGL tensor \( P_{CGL} \) [Eq. (108)] in \( \Pi_\alpha \), while \( P_{CGL} \) naturally appears in \( \Theta \) [Eq. (108)]. Incidentally, the procedure of the Belinfante-Rosenfeld type is known as a gyration tensor, which has the same form as shown in Eqs. (G10)–(G11), and it is regarded as given by \( \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{r} \).
bridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980), Chap. 6.

59 H. Sugama, T.-H. Watanabe, and M. Nunami, Phys. Plasmas 16, 112503 (2009).

60 E. A. Belli and J. Candy, Phys. Plasmas 25, 032301 (2018).

61 F. I. Parra, M. Barnes, and A. G. Peeters, Phys. Plasmas 18, 062501 (2011).

62 A. N. Kaufman and P. S. Rostler, Phys. Fluids 14, 446 (1971).