Raman spectroscopy of $K_xCo_{2−y}Se_2$ single crystals near the ferromagnet–paramagnet transition
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Abstract
Polarized Raman scattering spectra of the $K_xCo_{2−y}Se_2$ single crystals reveal the presence of two phonon modes, assigned as of the $A_{1g}$ and $B_{1g}$ symmetry. The absence of additional modes excludes the possibility of vacancy ordering, unlike in $K_xFe_{2−y}Se_2$. The ferromagnetic (FM) phase transition at $T_c ≈ 74$ K leaves a clear fingerprint on the temperature dependence of the Raman mode energy and linewidth. For $T > T_c$ the temperature dependence looks conventional, driven by the thermal expansion and anharmonicity. The Raman modes are rather broad due to the electron–phonon coupling increased by the disorder and spin fluctuation effects. In the FM phase the phonon frequency of both modes increases, while an opposite trend is seen in their linewidth: the $A_{1g}$ mode narrows in the FM phase, whereas the $B_{1g}$ mode broadens. We argue that the large asymmetry and anomalous frequency shift of the $B_{1g}$ mode is due to the coupling of spin fluctuations and vibration. Our density functional theory (DFT) calculations for the phonon frequencies agree rather well with the Raman measurements, with some discrepancy being expected since the DFT calculations neglect the spin fluctuations.

Keywords: Raman spectroscopy, electron–phonon, lattice dynamics

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)
Huang et al [11] revealed that proximity effects of the two phases result in the Fermi surface deformation due to interlayer hopping and, consequently, suppression of superconductivity. On the other hand, a large antiferromagnetic order protects the superconductivity against interlayer hopping, thus explaining relatively high $T_c$ in K$_x$Fe$_{2−y}$Se$_2$ [11]. However, the correlation between the two phases and its impact on superconductivity are still not fully understood.

Although the absolute values of resistivity are much smaller for the Ni-member of the K$_x$M$_2−y$Se$_2$ (M = transition metal) series than for the iron member, this material does not exhibit superconductivity down to 0.3 K [12]. As opposed to K$_x$Fe$_{2−y}$Se$_2$, vacancy ordering has not been observed in the K$_x$Ni$_{2−y}$Se$_2$ single crystal [13]. These materials, together with the Co- and Ni-doped K$_x$Fe$_{2−y}$Se$_2$ single crystals, have very rich structural, magnetic and transport phase diagrams. This opens a possibility for fine tuning of their physical properties by varying the sample composition [14, 15]. First results obtained on K$_x$Co$_{2−y}$Se$_2$ single crystal revealed the ferromagnetic ordering below $T_c \sim 74$ K, as well as the absence of the superconducting phase [16].

Raman spectroscopy is a valuable tool not only for measuring vibrational spectra, but it also helps in the analysis of structural, electronic and magnetic properties, and phase transitions. There are several recent studies of the influence of the antiferromagnetic order, [17, 18] ferromagnetism, [19, 20] and magnetic fluctuations [21] on the Raman spectra.

In this paper the Raman scattering study of the K$_x$Co$_{2−y}$Se$_2$ single crystal ($x = 0.3$, $y = 0.1$), together with the lattice dynamics calculations of KCo$_2$Se$_2$, is presented. The polarized Raman scattering measurements were performed in the temperature range from 20 K up to 300 K. The observation of only two Raman active modes when measuring from the (001)-plane of the sample, only two Raman modes reveals a clear fingerprint of the phase transition. A large linewidth of the $B_{1g}$ mode and its Fano line shape indicate the importance of spin fluctuations.

The rest of the manuscript is organized as follows. Section 2 contains a brief description of the experimental and numerical methods, section 3 are the results, and section 4 contains a discussion of the phonon frequencies and linewidths and their temperature dependencies. Section 5 summarizes the results.

2. Experiment and numerical method

Single crystals of K$_x$Co$_{2−y}$Se$_2$ were grown by the self-flux method, as described in [12]. The elemental analysis was performed using energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) in a JEOL JSM-6500 scanning electron microscope. Raman scattering measurements were performed on freshly cleaved (001)-oriented samples with size up to $3 \times 3 \times 1$ mm$^3$, using a TriVista 557 Raman system equipped with a nitrogen-cooled CCD detector, in a backscattering micro-Raman configuration. The 514.5 nm line of an Ar$^+$Kr$^+$ ion gas laser was used as an excitation source. A microscope objective with $50 \times$ magnification was used for focusing the laser beam. All measurements were carried out at low laser power, in order to minimize local heating of the sample. Low temperature measurements were performed using KONTI CryoVac continuous flow cryostat with 0.5 mm thick window. Spectra were corrected for the Bose factor.

The electronic structure of the ferromagnetic (FM) and paramagnetic (PM) phases is calculated within the density functional theory (DFT), and the phonon frequencies at the Γ-point are obtained within the density functional perturbation theory (DFPT) [22]. All calculations are performed using the QUANTUM ESPRESSO package [23]. We have used projector augmented-wave (PAW) pseudo-potentials with Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional with nonlinear core correction and Gaussian smearing of 0.005 Ry. The electron wave-function and the density energy cutoffs are 40 Ry and 500 Ry, respectively. The Brillouin zone is sampled with a $16 \times 16 \times 8$ Monkhorst–Pack k-space mesh. The phonon frequencies were calculated with relaxed unit cell parameters and, for comparison, with the unit cell size taken from the experiments and the relaxed positions of only Se atoms. The forces acting on individual atoms in the relaxed configuration were smaller than $10^{-4}$ Ry/a.u. and the pressure smaller than 0.5 kbar.

3. Results

KCo$_2$Se$_2$ crystallizes in the tetragonal crystal structure of ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-type, $I4/mmm$ space group, which is shown in figure 1. The experimental values of the unit cell parameters are $a = 3.864(2)$ Å and $c = 13.698(2)$ Å [24]. The potassium atoms are at $2a$: (0, 0, 0), Co atoms at 4$d$: (0, $1/4$, $1/2$), and Se atoms at 4$e$: (0, 0, z) Wyckoff positions, with the experimental value $z = 0.347$.

The KCo$_2$Se$_2$ single crystal consists of alternatively stacked K ions and CoSe layers, isostuctural to the KFe$_2$Se$_2$ [25]. Factor group analysis for the $I4/mmm$ space group yields a normal mode distribution at the Brillouin-zone center, which is shown in table 1. According to the selection rules, when measuring from the (001)-plane of the sample, only two
The ratio of the appropriate modes ($A_{1g}$ and $B_{1g}$) are expected to be observed in the Raman scattering experiment. Displacement patterns of the experimentally observable Raman modes are illustrated in figure 1. The $A_{1g}$ ($B_{1g}$) mode represents the vibrations of the Se (Co) ions along the c-axis, whereas the $E_{2g}$ modes (which are not observable for our scattering configuration) involve the vibration of both Co and Se ions within the (001)-plane.

Figure 2 shows polarized Raman scattering spectra of the K$_4$Co$_2$Se$_2$ single crystal, measured from the (001)-plane of the sample at room temperature, in different sample orientations. Only two modes, at about 187 and 198 cm$^{-1}$, are observed, which is in agreement with the selection rules for (001)-oriented samples. In some iron-chalcogenide compounds, the appearance of additional Raman active modes due to the iron vacancy ordering and, consequently, symmetry lowering, has been observed [8, 26]. The absence of additional phonon modes in figure 2 suggests that in K$_4$Co$_2$Se$_2$ single crystals vacancy ordering does not occur in our samples.

Selection rules imply that the $A_{1g}$ mode may be observed for any sample orientation, provided that the polarization vector of the incident light $\mathbf{e}_0$ is parallel to the scattered light polarization vector $\mathbf{e}_s$, whereas it vanishes if these vectors are perpendicular. On the other hand, the intensity of the $B_{1g}$ mode strongly depends on the sample orientation ($I_{B_{1g}} = |c|^2 \cos^2(\theta + 2\beta)$, where $\theta = \angle(\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_s)$ and $\beta = \angle(\mathbf{e}_s, \mathbf{x})$) [8]. This implies that, in parallel polarization configuration ($\theta = 0^\circ$), the intensity of the $B_{1g}$ mode is maximal when the sample is oriented so that $\mathbf{e}_s \parallel \mathbf{x}$, gradually decreases with increasing $\beta$ and finally vanishes for $\beta = 45^\circ$. In crossed polarization configuration ($\theta = 90^\circ$), $B_{1g}$ mode intensity decreases from its maximal value for $\beta = 45^\circ$ to zero, which reaches when $\beta = 0^\circ$. From figure 2 it can be seen that the intensity of the Raman mode at about 187 cm$^{-1}$ coincides with theoretically predicted behavior for the $B_{1g}$ mode; thereby, this phonon mode is assigned accordingly. The phonon mode at ~198 cm$^{-1}$, which is present in Raman spectra only for the parallel polarization configuration ($\theta = 0^\circ$) and whose intensity is independent on the sample orientation, can be assigned as the $A_{1g}$ mode. The intensity ratio of the two Raman modes can be obtained from the spectrum measured in ($\theta = 0^\circ$, $\beta = 0^\circ$) scattering geometry as $I_{B_{1g}}/I_{A_{1g}} \approx 1.38$. Having in mind that the $A_{1g}$ mode intensity is given by $I_{A_{1g}} \sim |a|^2 \cos^2 \theta$, the ratio of the appropriate Raman tensor components can be estimated as $|c|/|a| \approx 1.17$. 

Table 1. Atomic types with their Wyckoff positions and the contribution of the each site to the Γ-point phonons, the Raman tensors and the selection rules for the K$_4$Co$_2$Se$_2$ single crystal (I4/mmm space group).

| Atoms   | Wyckoff positions | Raman tensors |
|---------|------------------|--------------|
| K       | 2a               | $A_{2u} + E_u$ |
| Co      | 4d               | $A_{2u} + B_{1g} + E_u + E_u$ |
| Se      | 4e               | $A_{1g} + B_{1g} + E_u + E_u$ |

Activity and selection rules

$\Gamma_{Raman} = A_{1g}(\alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy}) + B_{1g}(\alpha_{xx} - \alpha_{xy}) + 2E_u(\alpha_{zz} - \alpha_{zz})$

$\Gamma_R = 2A_{2u}(\mathbf{E}[y]) + 2E_u(\mathbf{E}[x], \mathbf{E}[y])$

$\Gamma_{acoustic} = A_u + E_u$
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The experimentally determined frequencies are compared with those obtained with DFT numerical calculations. The experimental lattice constants [24] are shown in table 2, together with their values from the DFT calculation which relaxes or keeps fixed the unit cell size. The DFT phonon frequencies obtained using the fully relaxed atomic positions in both FM and PM phases are given in table 3, with the corresponding values obtained with the fixed unit cell size and relaxed only fractional coordinate $z_{Se}$ given in the parenthesis.

The equilibrium atomic positions in the PM solution are given by $a = 3.893 \, \text{Å}$, $c = 13.269 \, \text{Å}$, and $z_{Se} = 0.350$. The corresponding phonon frequencies are 199.5 cm$^{-1}$ for $A_{1g}$ mode and 171.2 cm$^{-1}$ for $B_{1g}$ mode. When we enforce the PM solution, we obtain $a = 3.766 \, \text{Å}$, $c = 13.851 \, \text{Å}$, and $z_{Se} = 0.368$, and 212.6 cm$^{-1}$ for the frequencies of the $A_{1g}$ and $B_{1g}$ mode, respectively. These values agree rather well with the experimental data, and agree with recently published numerical results [27]. They can be used to confirm the experimental assignment of the modes, but cannot resolve subtle changes of the phonon frequencies near the FM–PM transition. This level of discrepancy is expected for metallic materials with magnetic ordering since the DFT calculations neglect spin fluctuations. However, they show anomalous behavior near $T_c$, see figure 4.

4. Discussion

There are several factors that affect the phonon frequencies (energies) and linewidths, and their changes across the FM–PM transition. In general, the temperature dependence of the phonon frequency of the mode $i$, $\omega_i(T)$, is influenced by thermal expansion and magnetostriction, anharmonicity effects, electron–phonon and magnetic exchange interaction (spin-phonon coupling) [29, 30].

$$\omega_i(T) - \omega_i(T_0) = \Delta \omega_i(T) = (\Delta \omega_i)_{\text{lat}} + (\Delta \omega_i)_{\text{anh}} + (\Delta \omega_i)_{\text{el-ph}} + (\Delta \omega_i)_{\text{sp-ph}}.$$  

The first term is the frequency shift due to the change of the unit cell size caused by the thermal effects and magnetostriction. $(\Delta \omega_i)_{\text{anh}}$ is the anharmonic frequency shift. $(\Delta \omega_i)_{\text{el-ph}}$ appears due to the change in the electron–phonon interaction primarily influenced by changes in the electronic spectrum near the Fermi level, and $(\Delta \omega_i)_{\text{sp-ph}}$ is the spin-phonon contribution caused by the modulation of exchange interaction by lattice vibrations.

In our case of K$_x$Co$_2$–Se$_2$, for temperatures above $T_c$, $\omega_i(T)$ decreases and $\Gamma_i(T)$ (full width at half-maximum, FWHM) increases with increasing temperature for $A_{1g}$ and $B_{1g}$ modes, similar as in the Raman spectra of many other materials. However, they show anomalous behavior near $T_c$, see figure 4. In the following, we analyze $\omega_i(T)$ and $\Gamma_i(T)$ more closely.

4.1 Phonon frequencies

The frequencies of the $A_{1g}$ and $B_{1g}$ modes change by less than 2 percent in the temperature range between 20 K and 250 K. The red solid lines in figures 4(a)–(c) represent the fits of the phonon energy temperature dependence (see below), following the frequencies of the two modes in the high-temperature PM phase. The red dotted line is the extrapolation to $T = 0$. For $T > T_c$, the temperature dependence of the frequency looks conventional for both modes: the frequency decreases with increasing temperature. This behavior is expected both due to the thermal expansion and the anharmonicity. These two effects can be standardly analyzed as follows.

The temperature dependent frequency of the vibrational mode $i$ is given by

$$\omega_i(T) = \omega_{i,0} + \Delta_i(T),$$

where $\omega_{i,0}$ denotes the temperature independent term and $\Delta_i(T)$ can be decomposed as $[19, 31, 32]$

$$\Delta_i(T) = \Delta_i^V + \Delta_i^A.$$  

$\Delta_i^V$ describes a change of the Raman mode energy as a consequence of the lattice thermal expansion and can be expressed with [31]...

Table 2. Optimized lattice constants and internal coordinate $z_{Se}$ in the FM and PM solution.

|       | $a$ (Å) | $c$ (Å) | $z_{Se}$ |
|-------|---------|---------|-----------|
| FM$^{rel}$ | 3.893  | 13.269  | 0.350     |
| PM$^{rel}$ | 3.766  | 13.851  | 0.368     |
| FM$^{fixed}$ | 3.864  | 13.698  | 0.3486    |
| PM$^{fixed}$ | 3.864  | 13.698  | 0.3496    |
| Exper.    | 3.864  | 13.698  | 0.347     |

Note: The next two rows give the relaxed $z_{Se}$ when the unit cell size is taken from the experiment, and the last row contains the atomic positions from the experiment [24].
IR 246.7 (241.4) 250.9 (241.4) Co(z), K(-z)
IR 239.0 (229.7) 231.0 (229.9) Co(xy), Se(-xy)). The Raman 93.1 (100.7) 92.7 (99.0) Co(xy), Se(xy) is a fitting between nearest magnetic ions. This term should fit. We follow,1
IR 115.1 (99.0) 113.7 (102.9) K(z), Se(-z)
Raman 237.9 (237.6) 257.2 (235.6) Co(xy), Se(xy)
y.
, for FeSe, given in [35], is used. The best fit shown in our IR 97.9 (95.0) 100.1 (95.0) K(xy)

\[ \Delta_i^V = \omega_{0,i} \left( e^{-3\beta \int_0^T \alpha(T) dT'} - 1 \right), \]

where \( \gamma_i \) is the Grüneisen parameter of the Raman mode \( i \) and \( \alpha(T) \) is the thermal expansion coefficient of a considered single crystal. \( \Delta_i^V \) represents the anharmonic contribution to the Raman mode energy. If we assume, for simplicity, that anharmonic effects are described by three-phonon processes, this term is given by [31, 33]

\[ \Delta_i^A = -C \left[ 1 + \frac{2\lambda_{p-p,i}}{e^{\hbar\omega_{0,i}/2kT'} - 1} \right], \]

where \( C \) is the anharmonic constant and \( \lambda_{p-p,i} \) is a fitting parameter which describes the phonon–phonon coupling, including the nonsymmetric phonon decay processes.

The relative importance of the thermal expansion and anharmonicity to frequency changes is, to the best of our knowledge, not yet firmly established for pnictides and chalcogenides. In several cases [13, 17] the anharmonic formula, equation (5), is used for the \( \omega(T) \) fit. We follow here the arguments from [19, 28, 34] that \( \omega(T) \) is dominated by the thermal expansion. To the best of our knowledge, the thermal expansion coefficient \( \alpha(T) \) of the K\(_{x}Co_{2-x}Se_{2} \) single crystal is unknown. For estimating the lattice thermal expansion contribution to the phonon energy change, the coefficient \( \alpha(T) \) for FeSe, given in [35], is used. The best fit shown in our figure 4 is obtained with \( \omega_{0,A1g} = 201.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \gamma_{A1g} = 1.23 \) and \( \omega_{0,B1g} = 194.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \gamma_{B1g} = 1.7 \).

There exists a shift in phonon frequencies as the temperature is lowered below \( T_c \). This shift does not show clear discontinuity (as well as the corresponding shift in the linewidths) and no additional modes are registered in the Raman spectra, which suggest that the FM–PM transition is continuous, without structural changes. There are several causes of the sudden frequency change as the sample gets magnetized. It can change due to the magnetostriction, modulation of the magnetic exchange by lattice vibrations (spin-phonon coupling), and due to the changes in the electronic–phonon interaction due to spin polarization and changes in the electronic spectrum.

The effect of spin-phonon interactions, caused by the modulation of magnetic exchange interaction by lattice vibrations, may be quantitatively examined within the framework developed in [29] for insulating magnets, and recently applied also to several itinerant ferromagnets [36–39]. In this model, the shift of the Raman mode energy due to the spin-phonon interaction is proportional to the spin–spin correlation function \( \langle S_i S_j \rangle \) between nearest magnetic ions. This term should have the same temperature dependence as \( (M(T)/M_0)^2 \), where \( M(T) \) is the magnetization per magnetic ion at a temperature \( T \) and \( M_0 \) is the saturation magnetization.

\[ \Delta \omega(T) = \omega_{\text{exp}}(T) - \omega_{\text{fit}}(T) \propto \pm \left( \frac{M(T)}{M_0} \right)^2, \]

where \( \omega_{\text{fit}}(T) \) is the extrapolation from the high-temperature data. This model does not predict the sign of the phonon energy shift—softening or hardening. From the inset in figure 4(c) it can be seen that the \( B_{1g} \) mode energy renormalization scales well with the \( (M(T)/M_0)^2 \) curve. However, the effect of the

Table 3. The experimental phonon energies measured at 20 K in the FM phase and the extrapolated value to 0 K from the PM phase (see the text).

| Symmetry | Activity | Experiment FM (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Experiment PM (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Calculation FM (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Calculation PM (cm\(^{-1}\)) | Main atomic displacements |
|----------|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| \( A_{1g} \) | Raman    | 201.9                       | 201.3                      | 199.5 (193.2)               | 212.6 (193.1)               | Se(\( z \))               |
| \( B_{1g} \) | Raman    | 195.3                       | 194.2                      | 171.2 (172.7)               | 176.6 (168.1)               | Co(\( z \))               |
| \( E_{g}^1 \) | Raman    | 93.1 (100.7)                | 92.7 (99.0)                | 237.9 (237.6)               | 257.2 (235.6)               | Co(\( xy \)), Se(\( xy \))|
| \( E_{g}^2 \) | Raman    | 237.9 (229.7)               | 231.0 (229.9)              | 115.1 (99.0)                | 113.7 (102.9)               | K(\( z \)), Se(\( z \))   |
| \( A_{1u} \) | IR       | 246.7 (241.4)               | 250.9 (241.4)              | 97.9 (95.0)                 | 100.1 (95.0)                | Co(\( z \)), K(\( z \))   |
| \( E_{u} \) | IR       | 240.0 (229.9)               | 231.0 (229.9)              | 239.0 (229.7)               |                            | Co(\( xy \)), Se(\(-xy\)) |

Note: The phonon frequencies at the \( \Gamma \) point are calculated with fully relaxed atomic positions. The frequencies obtained with only relaxed internal coordinate are given in parenthesis.
magnetostriction (change of the unit cell size due to the magnetization) cannot be excluded based just on this plot, especially since the \( A_{1g} \) mode corresponding to the vibrations of nonmagnetic Se ions also shows a similar shift in frequency.

The DFT calculations can give us some guidance for understanding the changes of the phonon frequencies and linewidths, but one has to be aware of its limitations. The DFT calculations (see table 2) give a rather large magnetostriction, i.e. rather large change in the size of the unit cell between the FM and PM phases (\( a \) changes by 3.2\% and \( c \) by 4.3\%). This leads to very large changes in the phonon frequencies, see table 3. The calculated frequencies are lower in the FM phase, as opposed to the experimental data. This already points to the limitations of the DFT calculations, which is expected near the phase transition. A similar conclusion is also present in [21]. The DFT ignores spin fluctuations which often lead to quantitative discrepancy in various physical quantities [40] and, in some cases, even predicts wrong phases. In the case of \( K_{2}Co_{2−x}Se_{2} \), the DFT calculations correctly predict the FM ground state, but the calculated magnetic moment \( m = 0.947 \, \mu_{B} \) is much larger than the experimental value \( m \approx 0.72 \, \mu_{B} \) [16]. This already shows the importance of correlations and quantum fluctuations which are neglected within the DFT. Strong correlation effects can be captured using screened hybrid functional [41] or within the dynamical mean field theory combined with DFT (LDA+DMFT) [42], which is beyond our present work.

Since the magnetostriction effects are overestimated in the DFT calculations with relaxed unit cell size, we repeated the DFT (DFPT) calculations keeping the experimental value for the unit cell size and relaxing only the fractional coordinate (positions of the Se atoms). This is often done in the literature on iron based superconductors and related compounds [21]. Our calculated frequencies are given in the parenthesis in table 3. We see that the frequency changes between the two phases are small, in better agreement with the experiment.

4.2. Phonon linewidths

The phonon linewidths of the \( A_{1g} \) and \( B_{1g} \) modes are very large, \( \Gamma_{A_{1g}} \sim 10 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \) and \( \Gamma_{B_{1g}} \sim 20 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \), which implies the importance of disorder (impurities, nonstoichiometry, lattice imperfections) in measured samples. In general, the broadening of the phonon lines can be a consequence of the electron–phonon interaction, disorder, spin fluctuations and anharmonicity effects. The temperature dependence of the linewidth in the PM phase is, however, very weak, which indicates that the anharmonicity effects are small. The DFT calculation of the linewidth is usually based on the Allen’s formula, [43] \( \Gamma_{q,i} = \pi N(E_F) \lambda_q \omega_{q,i}^2 \). Here, \( N(E_F) \) is the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level, \( \lambda_{q,i} \) is the electron–phonon coupling constant, and \( \omega_{q,i} \) is the phonon frequency of the mode \( i \) and wavevector \( q \). A straightforward implementation of Allen’s formula in the \( q \to 0 \) limit corresponding to the \( \Gamma \) point is, however, unjustified, as explained for example in [44, 45]. In addition, structural disorder and impurities break the conservation of the momentum, which means that phonons with finite wave vectors also contribute to the Raman scattering spectra. The standard DFT calculation for the Brillouin zone averaged electron–phonon coupling constant \( \lambda \) gives too small value to explain the large width of the Raman lines in pnictides and chalcogenides, [33] and several other metallic systems like MgB\(_2\) [44] and fullerides [46]. A correct estimate of the phonon linewidth can be obtained only by explicitly taking into account the disorder and electron scattering which enhances the electron–phonon interaction, [44, 46] which is beyond the standard DFT approach and scope of the present work.
The Raman mode linewidth is not directly affected by the lattice thermal expansion. Assuming that the three-phonon processes represent the leading temperature dependent term in the paramagnetic phase, full width at half-maximum, \( \Gamma(T) \), is given by
\[
\Gamma(T) = \Gamma_0 \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_{p-p,i}}{e^{2k_B T/(2m)} - 1} \right) + A_i.
\]

The first term represents the anharmonicity induced effects, where \( \Gamma_0,i \) is the anharmonic constant. The second term \( A_i \) includes the contributions from other scattering channels, i.e. structural disorder and/or coupling of phonons with other elementary excitations, like particle-hole and spin excitations. These effects, typically, depend very weakly on temperature, but can become important near the phase transition. The best fit parameters are \( \lambda_{p-p,i} = 0.2 \) for both modes, \( A_{\text{an}} = 6.6 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( A_{\text{B}} = 17.3 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). The value \( \Gamma_0,i = 2 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) is adopted from [28] for related compound K\(_{x}\)Fe\(_{2-y}\)Se\(_2\), where the anharmonic effects dominate the temperature dependence. We see that \( \lambda_{p-p,i} \) assumes values much smaller than 1. Small and sometimes irregular changes in \( \Gamma(T) \) are also observed in other materials whose Raman spectra are considered to be dominated by spin fluctuations [21, 33]. Therefore, we believe that a simple separation of \( \Gamma(T) \) to the anharmonic and temperature independent term, which works well in many systems, is not appropriate for itinerant magnetic systems like K\(_{x}\)Co\(_2\)Se\(_2\). We conclude that the spin fluctuations and electron–phonon coupling are likely to affect the linewidth even above \( T_c \).

The electron–phonon interaction strength is proportional to the density of states at the Fermi level \( N(E_F) \). Our DFT calculations for the DOS agree with those in [47]. The calculated DOS in the FM phase, \( N(E_F) = 3.69 \text{ eV}^{-1} \), is smaller than, \( N(E_F) = 5.96 \text{ eV}^{-1} \), in the PM phase. (Though, in reality, it is possible that the DOS significantly differs from the one given by the DFT calculations due to the spin fluctuations and disorder effects.) Therefore, one expects that the phonon line is narrower in the FM phase than in the PM phase. This is indeed the case for the \( A_{1g} \) mode, but the opposite is observed for the \( B_{1g} \) mode.

It is also interesting to note that the \( B_{1g} \) mode is much more asymmetric than the \( A_{1g} \) mode and almost twice broader. These two observations are in striking similarity with the Raman spectra in the quasi-one-dimensional superconductor K\(_x\)Cr\(_2\)As\(_3\) [21]. In this material the vibrational mode that modulates the distance between the magnetic Cr atoms also features large asymmetry and linewidth. In our case, the distances between the magnetic Co ions are modulated by the vibrations of the \( B_{1g} \) mode, see figure 1. This leads us to the conclusion that the anomalous features of the \( B_{1g} \) mode are the consequence of spin fluctuations coupled to the electronic structure via lattice vibrations (in addition to the magnetostriction and spin polarization, which change the electronic spectrum near the Fermi level and, therefore, affect the electron–phonon interaction for both modes). It should be noted that similar anomalous properties of \( B_{1g} \) phonon were experimentally observed in the cuprate high-temperature superconductor YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_7\) [48, 49], and explained as a consequence of the out-of-phase nature of this mode which couples to oxygen-oxygen in-plane charge fluctuations [50–52]. In the case of iron-based superconductors and related compounds, the chalcogen atoms and Fe (or Co) are not in the same plane and phonons of \( A_{1g} \) symmetry can also directly couple with the electrons. A satisfactory agreement of theory and Raman experiments remains to be established [53].

The asymmetric \( B_{1g} \) phonon line can be described by the Fano profile [21, 36, 54, 55]
\[
I(\omega) = I_0 \frac{(\epsilon + q)^2}{1 + \epsilon^2},
\]
where \( \epsilon = 2(\omega - \omega_0)/\Gamma, \omega_0 \) is the bare phonon frequency, \( \Gamma \) is the linewidth, \( I_0 \) is a constant and \( q \) is the Fano asymmetry parameter. It serves as a measure of a strength of the electron–phonon coupling: an increase in \( |I(q)| \) indicates an increase in the electron–phonon interaction, more precisely, an increase in the electron-mediated photon–phonon coupling function [51, 53]. From the inset of figure 4(d) it can be seen that \( |I(q)| \) increases as the temperature is lowered and reaches the highest values around \( T_c \) when the spin fluctuations are the strongest. Spin fluctuations increase the electron–phonon scattering, similarly does the disorder. Technically, the electronic Green function acquires an imaginary component of the self energy due to the spin fluctuations, and this implies the increase in the damping term in the phonon self-energy, as explained in, e.g. [44]. This leads us to conclude that the spin fluctuations strongly enhance the electron–phonon interaction for the \( B_{1g} \) vibrational mode affecting its frequency and linewidth near \( T_c \).

5. Conclusion

In summary, the Raman scattering study of the K\(_x\)Co\(_2\)Se\(_2\) \((x = 0.3, y = 0.1)\) single crystals and lattice dynamics calculations of the KCo\(_2\)Se\(_2\), have been presented. Two out of four Raman active phonons are experimentally observed and assigned. The lack of any additional modes indicates the absence of vacancy ordering. The Raman spectra show sudden changes in the phonon energy and linewidth near the FM–PM phase transition. Above \( T_c \) the energy and linewidth temperature dependence of the \( A_{1g} \) and \( B_{1g} \) modes look conventional, as expected from the thermal expansion and anharmonicity effects. The linewidth, though, has very weak temperature dependence even above \( T_c \) which may be the consequence of the proximity of the phase transition and spin fluctuations. The \( B_{1g} \) vibrational mode has particularly large linewidth and features a Fano profile, which is likely the consequence of the magnetic exchange coupled to the vibrations of the Co atoms. Interestingly, the \( A_{1g} \) mode linewidth decreases below \( T_c \), whereas the linewidth of the \( B_{1g} \) mode increases. The DFT calculations generally agree with the measured phonon frequencies. However, fine frequency differences in the two phases cannot be correctly predicted since the DFT calculations do not account for the spin fluctuation effects.
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