Synaptic and peptidergic connectome of a neurosecretory center in the annelid brain
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Abstract
Neurosecretory centers in animal brains use peptidergic signaling to influence physiology and behavior. Understanding neurosecretory center function requires mapping cell types, synapses, and peptidergic networks. Here we use transmission electron microscopy and gene expression mapping to analyze the synaptic and peptidergic connectome of an entire neurosecretory center. We reconstructed 78 neurosecretory neurons and mapped their synaptic connectivity in the brain of larval Platynereis dumerilii, a marine annelid. These neurons form an anterior neurosecretory center expressing many neuropeptides, including hypothalamic peptide orthologs and their receptors. Analysis of peptide-receptor pairs in spatially mapped single-cell transcriptome data revealed sparsely connected networks linking specific neuronal subsets. We experimentally analyzed one peptide-receptor pair and found that a neuropeptide can couple neurosecretory and synaptic brain signaling. Our study uncovered extensive networks of peptidergic signaling within a neurosecretory center and its connection to the synaptic brain.
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Introduction
Nervous system signaling occurs either at synapses or via secreted diffusible chemicals that signal to target cells expressing specific receptors. Synapse-level connectomics using electron microscopy allows mapping synaptic networks, but fails to reveal non-synaptic signaling. In addition to acting in a neuroendocrine fashion, non-synaptic volume transmission by neuropeptides and monoamines can have neuromodulatory effects on synaptic signaling (Bargmann, 2012; Marder, 2012; Nusbaum et al., 2017; Jékely et al., 2017). Overlaying synaptic and peptidergic maps is challenging and requires knowledge of the expression of the modulators and their specific receptors as well as synaptic connections. Such mapping has only been achieved for relatively simple circuits, such as the stomatogastric nervous system of crustaceans where synaptic connections are known and the effect of neuropeptides on the activity of single neurons can be analyzed experimentally (Stein et al., 2007; Thirumalai and Marder, 2002; Blitz et al., 1999). Likewise, connectome reconstructions combined with cellular-resolution neuropeptide and receptor mapping allow the dissection of peptidergic signaling in Drosophila (Schlegel et al., 2016; Diao et al., 2017). In Caenorhabditis elegans, the spatial mapping of monoamines and neuropeptides and their G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) revealed interconnected networks of synaptic and non-synaptic signaling (Bentley et al., 2016).

Neurosecretory centers, such as the vertebrate hypothalamus and pituitary, are found in the anterior brain of many animals and show exceptionally high levels of neuropeptide expression (Herget and Ryu, 2015; Siegmund and Korge, 2001; Campbell et al., 2017) suggesting extensive non-synaptic signaling. These centers coordinate many processes in physiology, behavior, and development, including growth, feeding, and reproduction (Sakurai et al., 1998; Bluet-Pajot et al.,...
2001; Sternson et al., 2013). The combined analysis of synaptic and peptidergic networks is particularly challenging using single marker approaches for neurosecretory centers that express dozens of neuropeptides. To understand their function, a global mapping of peptidergic networks within these brain regions and how they connect to the rest of the nervous system is required.

Here, we analyze synaptic and peptidergic signaling in the anterior neurosecretory center in larval Platynereis dumerilii, a marine annelid. Annelid and other marine larvae have an anterior sensory center, the apical organ, involved in the detection of various environmental cues (Hadfield et al., 2000; Conzelmann et al., 2013a; Page, 2002; Chia and Koss, 1984). The apical organ is neurosecretory and expresses diverse neuropeptides that are thought to regulate various aspects of larval behavior and physiology, including the induction of larval settlement and metamorphosis (Mayorova et al., 2016; Thorndyke et al., 1992; Tessmar-Raible et al., 2007; Conzelmann et al., 2011; Marlow et al., 2014). Apical organs have a conserved molecular fingerprint across marine larvae, suggesting that they represent a conserved sensory-neuroendocrine structure (Marlow et al., 2014). The apical organ area or apical nervous system (ANS) in Platynereis larvae shows a distinct molecular fingerprint with similarities to other neuroendocrine centers, including the anterior medial neurosecretory center of arthropods and the vertebrate hypothalamus, suggesting a common ancestry (Tessmar-Raible et al., 2007; Steinmetz et al., 2010; Conzelmann et al., 2013b; Hunnekuhl and Akam, 2014). Molecular and developmental similarities in various protostomes and deuterostomes further suggest a more widespread conservation of neuroendocrine centers (Hartenstein, 2006; Wirmer et al., 2012; Tessmar-Raible, 2007; Hunnekuhl and Akam 2014). The study of marine invertebrate larval apical organs could thus inform about the evolution of neuroendocrine cell types and signaling mechanisms in metazoans.

Platynereis larvae represent a powerful system to analyze gene expression and synaptic connectivity in a whole-body context, allowing linking distinct neuropeptides and other molecules to single neurons (Asadulina et al., 2012; Williams and Jékely, 2016; Shahidi et al., 2015; Achim et al., 2015; Pettit et al., 2014). To understand how synaptic and peptidergic signaling is integrated in the Platynereis ANS, we combine serial section electron microscopy with the cellular analysis of neuropeptide signaling. This combined analysis revealed extensive non-synaptic peptidergic signaling networks within the ANS distinguishing this area from the rest of the nervous system. Through connectomics and functional studies we also reveal how this endocrine region can interact with the synaptic nervous system by peptidergic modulation of the ciliomotor circuitry.

Results

Ultrastructural reconstruction of the anterior neurosecretory center

To comprehensively map a neurosecretory center with ultrastructural detail, we focused on the larvae of the marine annelid Platynereis. Due to their small size, the larvae are amenable to whole-body connectomic analysis (Randel et al., 2015; Shahidi et al., 2015). We used a previously reported full-body serial-section transmission electron microscopy (ssTEM) dataset of a 3-day-old larva (Randel et al., 2015) and reconstructed its entire anterior neurosecretory nervous system (Figure 1A–D). Platynereis and other annelids have an anterior neurosecretory plexus containing the projections of peptidergic sensory-neurosecretory neurons (Tessmar-Raible et al., 2007; Aros et al., 1977). The neurosecretory plexus forms an anatomically and ultrastructurally distinct area that can be clearly distinguished from other neuropils, including the adjacent optic and nuchal organ neuropils (Randel et al., 2014; Shahidi et al., 2015)(Figure 1D). Neurites in this area have a high number of dense-core vesicles and very few synapses (Figure 1E,F). Classic neurotransmitter synapses can be identified by large clusters of clear synaptic vesicles in the axons, while peptidergic synapses appear as smaller clusters of dense core vesicles (Randel et al., 2014; Shahidi et al., 2015). We reconstructed all neurons that project to this region (Figure 1B and Figure 1—figure supplement 1, Video 1) and identified 70 sensory neurons and eight projection interneurons, the latter project in and out of the neurosecretory plexus. Most of the sensory neurons are bilaterally symmetric pairs with distinct axonal projection patterns, except for a few asymmetric neurons (Figure 1—figure supplement 1). The sensory neurons have diverse apical sensory specializations. Based on these morphological criteria we could distinguish at least 20 different sensory cell types with likely different sensory functions. For example, there are four ciliary photoreceptor cells (cPRC)
Figure 1. EM reconstruction and mapping of proneuropeptide expression in the apical nervous system of Platynereis larvae. (A) Scanning electron micrograph of a 3-day-old Platynereis larva. (B) Reconstructed apical nervous system neurons (ANS) (shades of blue) in a full-body serial section transmission electron microscopy (ssTEM) dataset of a 3-day-old larva, shown against a framework of reconstructed ciliated cells and axonal scaffold (light grey). Axons and dendrites appear as lines and cell body positions are represented by spheres. (C) ANS neurons project to an apical neurosecretory plexus in the center of the head, which forms a small sphere dorsal and apical to the optic neuropil and nuchal organ neuropil. (D) Close-up view of neurosecretory plexus indicated by box in C. (E) TEM image of a section in the larval head. The neurosecretory plexus and the optic neuropil are highlighted. (F) Close-up view of the neurosecretory plexus indicated by boxed area in E. Yellow arrowheads point at dense core vesicles. (G) Examples of bilaterally symmetric pairs of sensory neurons that innervate different regions of the neurosecretory plexus in the reconstructed ANS (grey), ventral view. (H) Heat map of the expression of 58 proneuropeptides in the 3-day-old Platynereis larva, apical view, projected on a reference scaffold of cilia and axonal scaffold (grey). Color scheme indicates number of different proneuropeptides expressed in a cell. An apical bursicon-A-expressing neuron of the ANS (SNIRP2-burs) is overlaid for spatial reference (green). (I) Examples of average gene expression patterns of individual proneuropeptides from the 3 day proneuropeptide expression atlas. (J) ssTEM reconstruction of the 3-day-old larval ANS showing neurons that could be assigned specific proneuropeptide expression based on position and sensory cilia morphology. Abbreviations: nsp, apical neurosecretory plexus; onp, optic neuropil. Scale bars: A, 70 μm; H, 30 μm; F, 1 μm.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.002

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 1:

Source data 1. Reconstructed skeletons of Platynereis neurons in the 3-day-old larva ssTEM data stack have been submitted to the NeuroMorpho database http://neuromorpho.org/dableFiles/Jekely/Supplementary/Williams_Archive.zip.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.012

Figure supplement 1. TEM reconstruction of ANS neurons.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.003

Figure supplement 2. Average gene expression patterns of individual proneuropeptides from a whole-body gene expression atlas from 2-day-old larvae, anterior view.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.004
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Arendt et al., 2004) with highly extended ciliary membranes, one asymmetric neuron with five sensory cilia (SNYFa5cil), a pair of neurons with two long parallel cilia (SNWLD1), a pair with long branched sensory cilia (SNNS29), and three uniciliary neurons that are part of the nuchal organ (SNnuchNS), a putatively chemosensory annelid organ (Purschke, 1997). Twenty-five uniciliated neurons (23 SNDLSO cells, SNPDF-dcl2, and SNPDF-dcr3) are part of a dorsolateral sensory cluster (Figure 1—figure supplement 1). Most of the sensory neurons have axonal projections that are extensively branched within the neurosecretory plexus (Figure 1—figure supplement 1) and that are filled with dense-core vesicles (Figure 1F). The pairs of left-right symmetric sensory neurons project to similar areas of the neurosecretory plexus revealing a fine-scale organization within the plexus (Figure 1G and Figure 1—figure supplement 1). We refer to all neurons that project to the neurosecretory plexus as the apical nervous system (ANS).

Comprehensive mapping of neuropeptide expression in Platynereis larvae

The Platynereis larval ANS is known to express many neuropeptides, including vasotocin, FMRFamide, and myoinhibitory peptide (MIP) (Tessmar-Raible et al., 2007; Conzelmann et al., 2011, 2013a). To comprehensively analyze neuropeptide expression in the whole 2-day-old and 3-day-old larvae, we used whole-mount in situ hybridization for 53 and 58 Platynereis proneuropeptides respectively (of 98 total [Conzelmann et al., 2013b]). This work built upon previously published gene expression atlases for the 2-day-old and 3-day-old larval stages, which contained average registered gene expression patterns for 11 and 8 proneuropeptide genes respectively (Conzelmann et al., 2011, 2013a; Asadulina et al., 2015). We used image registration to spatially map all proneuropeptide expression patterns to common nuclear reference templates for 2-day-old and 3-day-old [(Arendt et al., 2004)] with highly extended ciliary membranes, one asymmetric neuron with five sensory cilia (SNYFa5cil), a pair of neurons with two long parallel cilia (SNWLD1), a pair with long branched sensory cilia (SNNS29), and three uniciliary neurons that are part of the nuchal organ (SNnuchNS), a putatively chemosensory annelid organ (Purschke, 1997). Twenty-five uniciliated neurons (23 SNDLSO cells, SNPDF-dcl2, and SNPDF-dcr3) are part of a dorsolateral sensory cluster (Figure 1—figure supplement 1). Most of the sensory neurons have axonal projections that are extensively branched within the neurosecretory plexus (Figure 1—figure supplement 1) and that are filled with dense-core vesicles (Figure 1F). The pairs of left-right symmetric sensory neurons project to similar areas of the neurosecretory plexus revealing a fine-scale organization within the plexus (Figure 1G and Figure 1—figure supplement 1). We refer to all neurons that project to the neurosecretory plexus as the apical nervous system (ANS).

Comprehensive mapping of neuropeptide expression in Platynereis larvae

The Platynereis larval ANS is known to express many neuropeptides, including vasotocin, FMRFamide, and myoinhibitory peptide (MIP) (Tessmar-Raible et al., 2007; Conzelmann et al., 2011, 2013a). To comprehensively analyze neuropeptide expression in the whole 2-day-old and 3-day-old larvae, we used whole-mount in situ hybridization for 53 and 58 Platynereis proneuropeptides respectively (of 98 total [Conzelmann et al., 2013b]). This work built upon previously published gene expression atlases for the 2-day-old and 3-day-old larval stages, which contained average registered gene expression patterns for 11 and 8 proneuropeptide genes respectively (Conzelmann et al., 2011, 2013a; Asadulina et al., 2015). We used image registration to spatially map all proneuropeptide expression patterns to common nuclear reference templates for 2-day-old and 3-day-old
larvae (Asadulina et al., 2012). We summed all binarized average expression domains and found that the region with the highest proneuropeptide expression corresponds to the ANS (Figure 1H). Some voxels in the gene expression atlases co-express up to 10 different proneuropeptides in both 2-day-old and 3-day-old larvae. Proneuropeptides that were expressed in the ANS region include two Platynereis insulin-like peptides (IRP2, IRP3), two bursicons (bursicon-A, -B), achatin, MIP, and several homologs of hypothalamic peptides (Mirabeau and Joly, 2013; Jékely, 2013) including NPY (three homologs, NPY1, NPY4, NKY2), orexin/allatotropin, tachykinin, galanin/allatostatin-A, and allatostatin-C/somatostatin (Figure 1I and Figure 1—figure supplement 3). The majority of proneuropeptides (34 genes) had very similar expression patterns between 2-day-old trochophore and 3-day-old nectochaete larval stages (Figure 1—figure supplements 2 and 3). Apart from this trend, a number of proneuropeptides (17 genes) showed a prominent expansion in spatial expression domain between 2-day-old and 3-day-old stages. Expansions of gene expression were mainly in the dorsolateral area of the larval head, possibly reflecting the differentiation of further peptidergic neurons in this region during development. Additionally, for six neuropeptides (CLCCY, FVMa, HFAa, NPY2, QSGa and SiFa) we could only detect expression through in situ hybridization in 3-day-old larvae.

The acetylated tubulin antibody we used to counterstain the in situ samples labels cilia and axonal scaffold. With this counterstaining signal in conjunction with wholemount in situ hybridization or immunohistochemistry in 2-day-old and 3-day-old larvae, we correlated neurons expressing specific proneuropeptides and with distinct ciliation to sensory ANS neurons reconstructed from ssTEM data (Figure 1J, Figure 1—figure supplements 4 and 5, Video 2). Although gene expression patterns in individual larvae had been previously published for some proneuropeptides (e.g. YFa, WLD, FMRFa (Conzelmann et al., 2011), RGWa (Conzelmann and Jékely, 2012) MIP (Conzelmann et al., 2013b), we repeated wholemount in situ hybridization and/or immunohistochemistry for these genes in order to obtain high resolution anterior scans to study the morphology of anterior sensory cilia. For other ANS neurons (SNPDFc, INRGW) we previously assigned neuropeptides based on direct immunogold labeling on the same EM series (Shahidi et al., 2015). Overall, we mapped neuropeptide expression to 26 reconstructed ANS neurons, including sensory neurons co-expressing IRP2 and FMRFamide (SNIRP2-FMRF), IRP2 and bursicon (SNIRP2-burs), or expressing ASTC/somatostatin (SNASTC1) (Figure 1J and Figure 1—figure supplement 3).

**Low level of synaptic connectivity within the ANS**

We next analyzed how the ANS neurons are synthetically connected, based on our reconstruction of the anterior neurosecretory nervous system. We found that most neurons have no or only very few synapses (Figure 2). 33% of the neurons have 0–2 synapses despite highly branched axonal projections filled with dense core vesicles (Figure 2). This suggests that these neurons predominantly use volume transmission. The synapses we identified in most neurons contained dense-core vesicles, indicative of their peptidergic nature. The four cPRCs, an asymmetric sensory neuron (SNSTACH), and the eight projection neurons have the highest number of synapses. In addition, 15 ANS sensory neurons have 10 or more peptidergic synapses. The cPRCs express a cholinergic marker (Jékely et al., 2008) and contain large synapses with clear vesicles as well as dense core vesicles. The eight projection neurons...
Figure 2. Low level of synaptic connectivity of ANS neurons. (A) Full matrix of synaptic connectivity of ANS neurons. With the exception of the ciliary photoreceptors (cPRC), a few sensory cells, and the IN\textsuperscript{RGW} and IN\textsuperscript{NOS} projection interneurons, the ANS shows very sparse synaptic connectivity. (B) Histogram of the number of presynaptic sites in ANS neurons. (C) Comparison of a cholinergic synapse of a ciliary photoreceptor (arrow) to a...
neurons also contain large synapses with clear vesicles and dense core vesicles. The other sensory cells have ultrastructurally distinct, small peptidergic synapses characterized by dense core vesicles clustering at the membrane (Figure 2).

Analysis of peptidergic signaling networks in the ANS

The high number of neuropeptides expressed in the ANS and the low degree of synaptic connectivity of the cells prompted us to further analyze peptidergic signaling networks in the Platynereis larva. We used two previously published resources: an experimentally determined list of Platynereis neuropeptide GPCRs (Bauknecht and Jékely, 2015) and a spatially-mapped single-cell transcriptome dataset (Achim et al., 2015). The GPCR list included 18 deorphanized neuropeptide receptors. To this we added a further three deorphanized neuropeptide receptors. We deorphanized a corazonin receptor activated by both Platynereis corazonin-1 (CRZ1) and corazonin-2 (CRZ2), originally described as GnRH1 and GnRH2 (Conzelmann et al., 2013a) but renamed to follow the nomenclature in (Zandawala et al., 2017; Tian et al., 2016; Hussain et al., 2016), and second receptors for vasotocin and myomodulin (Figure 3—figure supplement 1). The single-cell transcriptome data consisted of cells of the head (episphere) of 2-day-old Platynereis larvae, most of which are neurons (Achim et al., 2015).

To comprehensively analyze potential peptide-receptor signaling networks, we first created a virtual larval brain with cells arranged in an approximate spatial map (Figure 3A and Figure 3—figure supplement 2). To this virtual map, we mapped the expression of all proneuropeptides and deorphanized receptors (Figure 3C,D). The combined expression of 80 proneuropeptides showed a similar pattern to the in situ hybridisation map with a highly peptidergic group of cells in the ANS region, as defined by the endocrine marker genes Phc2, dimmed, Otp and nk2.1 (Conzelmann et al., 2013a; Tessmar-Raible et al., 2007) (Figure 3B). Given the high level of peptide expression in the ANS, the mapping of peptidergic signaling networks will mostly reveal potential signaling partners within this region or from the ANS to the rest of the brain. Most GPCR expression was also concentrated in the ANS in peptidergic cells. Several cells expressed a unique combination of up to nine deorphanized GPCRs (Figure 3D).

We also used the spatially mapped single cell transcriptome data to map the expression of different types of sensory receptor genes in the opsin and transient receptor potential (Trp) channel families. These sensory receptors are known for their function in the detection of light and pain/temperature/mechanical stimuli, respectively (Terakita, 2005; Moran et al., 2004). Neurons in the ANS of 2-day-old larvae express diverse combinations of these genes (Figure 3—figure supplement 3). This supports our conclusion from the morphological data that these neurons are responsible for detecting a variety of different environmental cues.

Co-expression analysis with small neurotransmitter synthesis enzymes revealed 1 cell (1% of total) with only neurotransmitter markers but no neuropeptide, 76 (71% of total) purely peptidergic cells, and 21 cells that co-express small transmitters and neuropeptides (19.6% of total)(Figure 3—figure supplement 4). The remaining 9 cells expressed neither neuropeptides nor neurotransmitter markers and thus are likely non-neuronal cells (8.4% of total). Strikingly, 2 ANS cells co-express up to 24 different proneuropeptides. Based on specific neuropeptide expression and the spatial mapping we could correlate 15 cells from the spatially mapped single cell transcriptome dataset to ANS cells reconstructed from our ssTEM data (Supplementary file 1). This was possible despite the two resources being derived from different larval stages, because many ANS cells are already differentiated in 2-day-old larvae and readily identifiable between stages until at least 6-day-old larvae (Figure 3—figure supplement 5).

To establish peptidergic signaling networks, we treated peptide-expressing cells from the spatially mapped single-cell transcriptome dataset as source nodes and GPCR-expressing cells as target nodes. We define edge weights in the directed graphs as the geometric mean of normalized
Figure 3. Mapping the peptidergic connectome in the Platynereis larval head. (A) Positions of cells (nodes) from single cell RNA-Seq from 2-day-old larvae placed in an approximate spatial map, projected on an acetylated tubulin immunostaining (grey, anterior view). Samples with predicted bilateral symmetry were represented as two mirror-image nodes in the map. (B) Expression of neuroendocrine marker genes projected on the single-cell map. Color intensity of nodes reflects magnitude of normalized log_{10} gene expression. (C) Map of combined expression of 80 proneuropeptides expressed in each single-cell sample. (D) Map of combined expression of 80 proneuropeptides and 23 deorphanized GPCRs expressed in each single-cell sample. (E–L) Connectivity maps of individual neuropeptide-GPCR pairs, colored by weighted in-degree (red) and proneuropeptide log_{10} normalized expression (blue). Arrows indicate direction of signaling. Arrow thickness determined by geometric mean of log_{10} normalized proneuropeptide expression of signaling cell and log_{10} normalized GPCR expression of corresponding receiving cell. (M) Connectivity map of all possible known neuropeptide-GPCR signaling, color and node size represent weighted in-degree. (N) Connectivity map of an IRP2-expressing cell with incoming neuropeptide signals. (O) Connectivity map of an IRP2-expressing cell with outgoing neuropeptide signals.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.016

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 3:

Source data 1. Platynereis reference transcriptome version 2.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.026

Source data 2. Normalized transformed read counts for scRNA-seq data from Achim et al. (2015) mapped to our Platynereis reference transcriptome.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.027

Source data 3. All-against-all pairwise correlation coefficients for normalized transformed read counts of scRNA-seq data from Achim et al. (2015) mapped to our Platynereis reference transcriptome.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.028

Source data 4. Gexf connectivity map files generated from scRNA-Seq data for each peptide-receptor pair, and for all peptides by all receptors.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.029

Figure supplement 1. Dose-response curves of Platynereis deorphanized GPCRs treated with varying concentrations of peptides.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.017

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Raw data (luminescence measurements) from deorphanization experiments.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.018

Figure supplement 2. Spatial map of single cell RNA-Seq data from (Achim et al., 2015).
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349.019

Figure supplement 3. Expression of opsins and Trp channels in the Platynereis larval head.
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proneuropeptide expression in the source and normalized GPCR expression in the target. This way, we also consider the expression level of peptides and receptors. Receptor expression can correlate with neurophysiological sensitivity to a neuropeptide (Garcia et al., 2015; Root et al., 2011; Hussain et al., 2016). For each peptide-receptor pair, we projected these networks onto the virtual map (Figure 3E–L and Figure 3—figure supplement 4). The chemical connectivity maps of individual ligand-receptor pairs show very sparse and specific chemical wiring. On average, less than 1% of all potential connections are realized (0.8% graph density averaged for all peptide-receptor pairs). Single cells expressing many different neuropeptides generally link to non-overlapping target nodes by each peptide-receptor channel (Figure 3O and Figure 3—figure supplement 4O). Conversely, multiple signals can converge on one cell that expresses more than one GPCR (Figure 3N).

The combined multichannel peptidergic connectome of 23 receptor-ligand pairs forms a single network with an average clustering coefficient of 0.49 and an average minimum path length of 1.54, forming a small-world network (Watts and Strogatz, 1998). Analysis of the combined network revealed highly connected components, including nodes that can act as both source and target as potential mediators of peptide cascades (Figure 3N,O). The three neuron types with the highest weighted in-degree and authority value include a pair of dorsal sensory neurons, a central pair of IRP2 neurons, and a pair of RGWamide-expressing neurons (Figure 3M and Figure 4A). The IRP2 neurons are under the influence of an NPY peptide and EFLGamide, the Platynereis homolog of thyrotropin-releasing hormone (Bauknecht and Jékely, 2015) and express 20 different proneuropeptides.

Conserved molecular signature between Platynereis ANS and mouse hypothalamus cells

To identify similarities in gene expression between the Platynereis ANS and vertebrate hypothalamus, we compared the single cell transcriptome data of Platynereis (Achim et al., 2015) to a single cell transcriptome data set from a central column of the mouse hypothalamus, consisting of 3131 cells (Romanov et al., 2017), and a single cell transcriptome data set of 13,079 neurons from the mouse hypothalamic arcuate-medial eminence complex (Campbell et al., 2017). By comparing the expression across cells of orthologous neuropeptides, neuropeptide receptors, transcription factors and other marker genes in these data sets (Figure 3—figure supplement 6), we identified significant coexpression for a subset of genes in both mouse and Platynereis marking a cell population with a likely function in regulating circadian oscillations. In all three datasets the genes bmal/armtl, otp, synaptotagmin/syt5, and 7B2/SCG5 showed significant co-expression. In both the Romanov et al. mouse data and the Platynereis data, these genes are also co-expressed with ASTA2/galanin neuropeptide. The bmal gene encodes an important component of the circadian clock in flies and vertebrates (Van Gelder et al., 2003) and is expressed in the Platynereis ANS, in the same region as several other circadian clock markers (Arendt et al., 2004; Tosches et al., 2014). Co-expression correlation analyses of the two datasets also revealed co-expression in all three datasets of the neuropeptide pair ASTC/somatostatin and sulfakinin/cholecystokinin. Additionally, neuropeptide pairs
ASTA2/galanin and glycoprotein beta/Gpha2, and NPY and ASTC/somatostatin showed co-expression in both the Romanov et al. mouse and the Platynereis datasets. These co-expressions may indicate neuropeptides involved in conserved combinatorial signaling in both the mouse and Platynereis neuroendocrine systems.
Projection interneurons connect the peptidergic ANS to the synaptic nervous system

Based on the spatially mapped Platynereis single cell transcriptome dataset, the RGWamide-expressing neurons express VAChT, two NPY receptors (NPY4 and NKY), an achatin receptor, and 17 proneuropeptide genes. By position and RGWamide-expression we identified these cells in our TEM reconstruction as INRGW projection neurons. We also identified cells in the single cell transcriptome data that likely correspond to INNOS projection neurons in our ssTEM reconstruction, based on RYamide expression and expression of nitric oxide synthase (unpublished results). The four INRGW projection interneurons as well as the four INNOS projection interneurons have a distinct anatomy (Figure 4B). They lack sensory dendrites or cilia and their axons are extensively branched, spreading around the circumference of the ANS neuropil and projecting posteriorly out of this area to the ventral neuropil of the synaptic nervous system. Here the INRGW cells form many large (likely cholinergic) synapses with clear synaptic vesicles on two serotoninergic ciliomotor neurons (Ser-h1) (Figure 4C) that are known to play a role in the regulation of ciliary beating (Veraszto et al., 2017). The axons of the INRGW cells are also filled with dense core vesicles throughout the region where they innervate the neuropil (Figure 4—figure supplement 1, Video 3). These vesicles accumulate in bulbous thickenings of the INRGW neurites, however no peptidergic synapses were evident in these cells. This indicates that the INRGW cells can signal either synaptically with neurotransmitters or via peptidergic endocrine release. The INNOS cells only synapse on the INRGW cells in the ventral neuropil. The INNOS cells synapse on the INRGW cells with mixed clear and dense core vesicle synapses (data not shown). The INRGW neurons also receive synapses in the ventral neuropil area from two non-ANS neurons. These neurons (cMNvl and pygPBbicil) belong to the ciliomotor circuit of the larva (Figure 3D–F). From the ANS, the INRGW projection neurons receive small transmitter synapses from the cPRC cells, mixed clear and dense core vesicle synapses from the SN47Ach neuron in the ventral neuropil, and peptidergic synapses from three other ANS sensory neurons in the neurosecretory plexus (Figure 4). The distinct anatomy and connectivity as well as the high authority values of the projection neurons in the peptidergic network indicate that these cells are important in relaying peptidergic signals from the ANS to the rest of the brain.

To test how the projection interneurons respond to neuropeptides, we used calcium-imaging experiments. The INRGW and INNOS neurons express seven deorphanized GPCRs (Figure 4F), including a receptor for achatin that is specifically activated by achatin peptide containing a D-amino acid (Bauknecht and Jéky, 2015). When we treated larvae with D-achatin, neurons in the ANS were rhythmically activated. These neurons correspond by position to the INRGW cells (Figure 4I). The rhythmic activation was in-phase with the activation of the Ser-h1 neurons and a ventral motorneuron (vMNasync), but out of phase with the main cholinergic motorneuron of the head ciliary band, the MC neuron (readily identifiable by calcium imaging [Veraszto et al., 2017]). We could not observe a similar effect upon L-achatin treatment (data not shown). Platynereis larvae have a cholinergic and a serotoninergic ciliomotor circuit that oscillate out-of-phase. The cholinergic phase arrests the cilia and the serotoninergic phase correlates with ciliary beating (Veraszto et al., 2017). Correlation analysis of neuronal activity patterns revealed that D-achatin coupled the activity of the INRGW cells to the serotoninergic cells, and increased the negative correlation between the activity patterns of serotoninergic neurons and the MC neuron (Figure 4I and Figure 4—figure supplement 1, Video 3).
supplement 2). This provides an example of how peptidergic signaling in the ANS could recruit neurons to a rhythmically active circuit, enhance the rhythm, and thereby potentially influence locomotor activity.

**Discussion**

Here we presented a comprehensive anatomical description of the ANS in the *Platynereis* larva. We combined this with the cellular-resolution mapping of neuropeptide signaling components to analyze potential peptidergic signaling networks. The use of scRNA-seq has a great potential to reveal such signaling networks but also has limitations. For example, we could only score proneuropeptide and receptor mRNA expression and not protein expression levels, peptide release, or the degree of neuronal activation. We also only analyzed a relatively small single-cell dataset derived from the head of the larva and thus could not investigate long-range neuroendocrine signaling from the ANS to the rest of the body. The first analyses we present here can be extended when more data become available and can also be applied to other species (e.g., [Campbell et al., 2017; Romanov et al. 2017]). Nevertheless, our approach can reveal all potential peptidergic connections and allows the identification of target cells for different neuropeptide signaling channels, and the development of hypotheses on peptidergic signaling that can be experimentally tested, as we have shown here for achatin.

We found that the *Platynereis* larval ANS has a low degree of synaptic connectivity, a strong neurosecretory character, and a high diversity of neuropeptide expression. This suggests that the ANS is wired primarily chemically and not synaptically. We identified very specific peptidergic links based on proneuropeptide and GPCR transcript expression that connect only a small subset of ANS neurons. Strikingly, it is not only the proneuropeptides that are expressed with high diversity in the ANS, but also their receptors, suggesting that most peptidergic signaling in the brain occurs within the ANS. Through our analysis of peptidergic signaling networks in the ANS, we could also find evidence that the ANS undergoes autoregulation, at the level of single cells or cellular subpopulations. In *Platynereis*, autoregulation of cells in the ANS can occur through allatotropin/orexin, NPY4, achatin, diuretic hormone, excitatory peptide, FMRFa, MIP or myomodulin signaling. These are cells where co-expression of the neuropeptide and its corresponding deorphanized receptor occurs in the same cell, based on the single cell RNA-Seq data analysis. A high diversity of neuropeptide receptor expression has also been reported for the mouse hypothalamus (Campbell et al., 2017). These observations suggest that there is more extensive peptidergic integration within these centers than was previously appreciated. Neurosecretory centers may thus also function as chemical brains wired by neuropeptide signaling where the specificity is derived not from direct synaptic connections but by peptide-receptor matching. Given a high enough number of specific peptide-receptor pairs and allowing the possibility of combinatorial signaling, it is theoretically possible to wire arbitrarily complex neural networks. We interpret these results as an indication that complex signaling is already occurring between the cells of the ANS before any endocrine signals are released to the other regions of the body. This extends the concept of neurosecretory centers as organs that release peptides to influence external downstream targets.

Previously, it was found that the *Platynereis* larval ANS expressed the neuropeptides FMRFa and vasotocin, suggesting this region has homology to the vertebrate hypothalamus (Tessmar-Raible et al., 2007; Tessmar-Raible, 2007). Through the analyses carried out here, we could assign orthologs of additional neuropeptides known to be involved in hypothalamic signaling, including NPY, orexin, tachykinin, galanin and somatostatin, to the *Platynereis* ANS. By comparing the *Platynereis* ANS scRNA-seq data to scRNA-seq data from mouse hypothalamus, we could also identify shared molecular fingerprints relating to circadian rhythmicity. These molecular similarities strengthen the argument for an evolutionary relationship between the ANS and the hypothalamus.

The *Platynereis* ANS incorporates the ciliary photoreceptor cells, previously postulated to function in non-visual light detection for regulating circadian cycles in the free-swimming larvae (Tosches et al. 2014). The ANS also includes a subset of cells associated with the *Platynereis* larval apical sensory organ (Marlow et al., 2014). These are namely the central-most cells of the ANS, such as SN<sup>WLD</sup>, SN<sup>MP2</sup>, and SN<sup>MP</sup>, implicated to play a role in larval settlement (Marlow et al., 2014; Conzelmann et al., 2013a). Not all cells of the apical organ as described by Marlow et al. project to the ANS neuropil, however – the mechano-sensory cells bypass this neurosecretory center to synapse...
on a separate synaptic circuit (unpublished results). In many marine invertebrate larvae, the apical sensory organ is lost following settlement and metamorphosis (Nielsen 2005). We found that while the central ciliated crescent cell is lost in 6-day-old settled postlarvae, the sensory cells SN\text{WLD}, SN\text{MIP}, and SN\text{IRP2} remain and may continue to play a sensory-neurosecretory role later in the life cycle. In Platynereis, parts of the larval nervous system are thought to persist in the adult nervous system, however exactly which cells remain into adulthood beyond the 6-day-old postlarval stage has not yet been described at the level of specific individual cells. Certainly the sensory systems of the ciliary photoreceptors and nuchal organs, which project to the ANS neuropil, remain into adulthood (Orrhage and Müller, 2005; Purschke, 2005; Zantke et al., 2013). This suggests that the ANS, which initially integrates environmental cues for regulating larval swimming and settlement behavior in free-swimming, non-feeding Platynereis larvae, will later integrate environmental cues in order to regulate the timing of feeding and other cyclic behavioral or physiological activities, likely including the maintenance of circadian rhythm throughout the life cycle (Zantke et al. 2013). The neuropeptides investigated here also continue to be expressed during the adult stages of the Platynereis life cycle (Conzelmann et al., 2013a). Neuropeptide function in Platynereis adult stages has not yet been characterized, however, in other annelid groups - leeches and earthworms - vasopressin/oxytocin family peptide hormones induce adult reproductive behavior or egg-laying behavior respectively (Oumi et al., 1996; Wagenaar et al., 2010).

The ANS, a primarily neurosecretory anterior brain region, is directly sensory in Platynereis, possibly reflecting an ancestral condition for neurosecretory centers (Tessmar-Raible et al., 2007; Hartenstein, 2006). An anatomically and molecularly distinct ‘chemical’ and ‘synaptic’ brain as we found in the Platynereis larva may have originated early in the evolution of the nervous system. This idea is consistent with the chimeric brain hypothesis, which posits the fusion of a neurosecretory and a synaptic nervous system early in animal evolution (Tosches and Arendt, 2013) and is also supported by the distinct molecular signature of ANS cells (Achim et al., 2017). Interestingly, the projection interneurons and ciliary photoreceptor cells exhibit features of both the chemical (dense core vesicles, neuropeptide and GPCR expression) and synaptic (large synapses with clear vesicles, neurotransmitter marker expression) nervous system cell types. The dual peptidergic-synaptic nature of the projection interneurons, which are themselves under peptidergic control, enables them to act as translators of environmental cues integrated through the ANS into locomotor outputs in the synaptic nervous system. Specifically, the IN\text{RGW} projection interneurons link the sensory-neurosecretory ANS to the serotoninergic ciliomotor neurons through synaptic connections. This would allow regulation of ciliary swimming, a larva-specific feature.

Neuropeptides are ideal molecules for chemical signaling due to their small size and high diversity. Many of the neuropeptides expressed in the Platynereis ANS and the vertebrate hypothalamus belong to ancient peptide families that evolved close to the origin of the nervous system (Jékely, 2013; Mirabeau and Joly, 2013). Neuropeptide genes have been identified in bilaterians, cnidarians, and even the early-branching metazoan Trichoplax adhaerens, that lacks a synaptic nervous system but has neurosecretory cells (Nikitin, 2015; Smith et al., 2014). Chemical signaling by neuropeptides may have been important early during nervous system evolution in small metazoans where only peptide diffusion could coordinate physiological activities and behavior (Varoqueaux and Fasshauer, 2017; Senatore et al., 2017). As metazoans grew larger, the coordination of their large complex bodies required control by a synaptic nervous system (Keijzer and Arnellos, 2017). The separate origins of the chemical and the synaptic nervous system may still be reflected to varying degrees in contemporary brains. The Platynereis larval nervous system, and possibly the nervous system of other marine invertebrate larvae, shows a particularly clear segregation of non-synaptic and synaptic nervous systems. The extent of non-synaptic signaling, even in the relatively simple nervous system of the Platynereis larva, highlights the importance of the combined study of connectomes and chemical signaling networks.
Materials and methods

Electron microscopy reconstruction of the anterior neurosecretory plexus in *Platynereis*

We reconstructed the circuitry of cells in the anterior plexus from a 3-day-old *Platynereis* ssTEM dataset we generated previously (Randel et al., 2015). The dataset consists of 4845 layers of 40 nm thin sections. Preparation, imaging, montage, and alignment of the dataset is described (Randel et al., 2015). The cells were reconstructed, reviewed, 3D visualized, and the resulting synaptic network was analyzed in Catmaid (Saalfeld et al., 2009; Schneider-Mizell et al., 2016).

Morphology and distribution of dense core vesicles and synapses in the IN*RGWa-dcr1* projection interneuron was reconstructed using TrakEM2 (Cardona et al., 2010; Cardona et al., 2012). For comparing the morphology of cells from in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry scans to cells reconstructed from the TEM data, morphology of the cell body, dendrites and cilia of the sensory neurons SN*IRP2*, SN*MIP1*, SN*WLD* and SN*YF5cil* was reconstructed using TrakEM2. TrakEM2 reconstructions were exported as wavefront files and imported into Blender 2.7.1 (http://www.blender.org/) for the generation of 3D videos.

Neuropeptide Expression Atlas in 2-day-old and 3-day-old *Platynereis*

DIG-labeled antisense RNA probes were synthesized from clones sourced from a *Platynereis* directionally cloned cDNA library in pCMV-Sport6 vector (Conzelmann et al., 2013a) or PCR amplified and cloned into the vectors pCR-BluntII-TOPO or pCRY-TOPO. Larvae were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 1 X PBS with 0.1% Tween-20 for 1 hr at room temperature. RNA in situ hybridization using nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT)/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP) staining combined with mouse anti-acetylated-tubulin staining, followed by imaging with a Zeiss LSM 780 NLO confocal system and Zeiss ZEN2011 Grey software on an AxioObserver inverted microscope, was performed as previously described (Asadulina et al., 2012), with the following modification: fluorescence (instead of reflection) from the RNA in situ hybridization signal was detected using excitation at 633 nm in combination with a Long Pass 757 filter. Animals were imaged with a Plan-Apochromat 40x/1.3 Oil DIC objective.

We registered thresholded average gene expression patterns of >5 individuals per gene to common 2-day-old and 3-day-old whole-body nuclear reference templates generated from DAPI nuclear staining. New reference templates were generated as previously described (Asadulina et al., 2012), using a combination of individual larva scans contributed by the Jékely lab and the lab of Detlev Arendt, European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL), Heidelberg, Germany. The 2-day-old nuclear reference template was generated from scans of 145 larvae, and the 3-day-old template was generated from scans of 139 larvae. Acetylated tubulin counterstaining from each larva was also registered to the nuclear reference template, then averaged to generate a morphological framework of cilia and axonal tracts upon which to project gene expression patterns. The acetylated tubulin average was generated from 94 and 75 scans for the 2- and 3-day-old larval stages, respectively. Gene expression atlases were set up in the visualization software Blender (https://www.blender.org/) as described (Asadulina et al., 2015).

For comparing the morphology of cells from in situ hybridization scans to cells reconstructed from the ssTEM data, we carried out high-resolution scans of the anterior larval head. Snapshots and 3D videos of these cells were constructed using Imaris 7.6.3 software.

Deorphanization of receptor-peptide pairs

*Platynereis* GPCRs were identified in a reference transcriptome assembled from cDNA generated from 13 different life cycle stages (Conzelmann et al., 2013b). GPCRs were cloned into pcDNA3.1 (+) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) and deorphanization assays were carried out as previously described (Bauknecht and Jékely, 2015). We carried out deorphanization assays for corazonin receptor (ATG31107), myomodulin receptor 2 (ATG31108), and vasotocin receptor 2 (ATG31106).

Analysis of single cell transcriptome data

Fastq files containing raw paired-end RNA-Seq data for 107 single cells from the 48 hr old *Platynereis* larval episphere (Achim et al., 2015) were downloaded from ArrayExpress, accession number
Fastq files with raw paired-end read data were loaded into CLC Genomics Workbench v6.0.4 (CLC Bio). Data were filtered to remove Illumina adapter primer sequences, low quality sequence s (Quality Limit 0.05) and short fragments (less than 30 base pairs). Filtered data were mapped to the assembled Platynereis reference transcriptome including only sequences with a BLASTX hit e-value <1e-5 to the SwissProt database, plus all previously described Platynereis genes, including 99 proneuropeptides, a total of 52,631 transcripts. Mapping was carried out in CLC Genomics Workbench v6.0.4 using the RNA-Seq Analysis function, with the following mapping parameters: paired distance 100–800 base pairs; minimum length fraction 0.8, minimum similarity fraction 0.9, maximum number of mismatches 2. The total number of reads mapped to each gene, normalized by gene length (reads per kilobase million (RPKM)) in each sample was assembled into one spreadsheet using the ‘Experiment’ function, and this spreadsheet was exported as an Excel file. RPKM data for each gene and sample were converted in Excel to counts per million (cpm) by dividing RPKM by the total number of mapped reads in each sample and multiplying by 10^9 followed by conversion to a log base 10.

Single cell samples were sourced from populations consisting of dissociated cells of several individual larvae (Achim et al., 2015), therefore some of the RNA-Seq samples could represent sequencing of the same cell from different larvae. To determine a cutoff for transcriptome similarity to merge samples representing the same cell from different individuals, we calculated the all-against-all pairwise correlation coefficients. Plotting these correlation coefficients as a histogram showed a prominent peak of highly correlated samples. We interpret these as samples deriving from the re-isolation of the same cell from different larvae. We used a cutoff of 0.95 Pearson correlation as a cutoff, above which we merged samples as representing the same cell by using the mean normalized expression value for each gene.

Sample names were imported as nodes into software for graph visualization and manipulation, Gephi.0.8 beta (http://gephi.org). Nodes were manually placed in position in a Gephi map in an approximate 2D representation of the 3D spatial predictions of each cell generated by Achim et al. (Achim et al., 2015) based on a wholemount in situ hybridization gene expression atlas of 72 genes (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/~jbpettit/map_viewer/?dataset=examples/coord_full.csv&cluster0=examples/resultsBio.csv). Samples with predicted bilateral symmetry were represented as two mirror image nodes in the map (left and right), while samples with predicted asymmetry were represented as single nodes. Node position coordinates for each sample were saved and exported as gexf connectivity file for use in generating virtual gene expression patterns and peptide-receptor connectivity maps.

Virtual expression patterns for each gene were generated by converting normalized log10 gene expression values into node color intensity RGB values in the Gephi map. Connectivity files for each peptide-receptor pair were generated by preparation of connectivity data files as csv files where the geometric mean of normalized log10 peptide expression from the ‘sending cell’ and corresponding GPCR expression from the ‘receiving cell’ was used as a proxy for connectivity strength. These connectivity data csv files were imported into Gephi to generate gexf connectivity maps, and random node positions were replaced with node position coordinates for each cell from the spatial position gexf map described above. An ‘all-by-all’ connectivity map representing the potential cellular signaling generated by all known peptide-receptor pairs was generated by adding the connectivity data from each peptide-receptor pair into a single connectivity file.

Connectivity maps were analyzed for degree of connectivity, graph density, modularity, number of connected components, and clustering coefficient in Gephi.0.8 beta. Nodes in connectivity maps were colored by weighted in-degree (average number of incoming edges per node, adjusted for connectivity strength). Edge thickness was used to represent connectivity strength (geometric mean of peptide X receptor normalized gene expression). Authority was assigned to nodes using a Hyperlink-Induced Topic Search (HITS). Higher authority values indicate nodes that are linked to greater numbers of other nodes, or in the case of our peptidergic signaling maps, neurons with the capacity to receive signals from and send signals to the greatest number of other cells. Following export from gephi as svg files, corresponding virtual neuropeptide expression for each connectivity map was used to color signaling cells by overlaying in Adobe Illustrator CS6 V6.0.0 (Adobe Systems Inc.)
Comparison of mouse and Platynereis single-cell transcriptomes

For the comparison of Platynereis ANS and mouse hypothalamus cells, mouse hypothalamus single cell transcriptomic counts were downloaded from the Gene Omnibus Database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE74672 for the ‘Romanov et al.’ dataset, file GSE74672_expressed_mols_with_classes.xlsx.gz file, 24341 genes and 2881 hypothalamus cells) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE93374 for the ‘Campbell et al.’ dataset, file GSE9374_Merged_all_020816_DGE.txt.gz, 26774 genes and 13079 neuronal cells).

We established a list of 84 informative Platynereis cell type marker genes from the literature, and used BLAST searches (e-value cutoff 1e-10) to derive a list of orthologous marker genes between Platynereis and mouse (Figure 3—figure supplement 6—source data 1). For large gene families, we constructed phylogenetic trees. Some of the orthology assignments were based on the literature. Each Platynereis marker gene had one or more orthologous genes in mouse. For each orthologous gene pair, the Pearson correlation was computed using the count values of all Platynereis and mouse cells. When there were several mouse genes associated with a Platynereis gene, only the gene associated with the lowest p-value of a correlation test between the Platynereis and mouse genes was retained. The correlation test p-values were generated with the R function rcor.test with default parameters. To control for multiple testing on each of the gene-to-gene correlations tested and to assess the significance of the global correlation between Platynereis and the two mouse datasets on the orthologous genes, we used a 1000X randomization scheme. This scheme was used both to infer a significance value for the test of global correlation between orthologous genes, and to correct for multiple testing of correlations between gene pairs.

Specifically, the 1000 randomised genes X cells matrix of transcript counts were generated as follows. For every gene, a new permutation was made on the cells. For that gene each cell was re-assigned an expression value that corresponded to the value of that gene from a different cell, following a random permutation that was recomputed for that gene. This was done 1000 times, both for the Platynereis data and the two mouse datasets. Then, using the orthology table, we computed a global Platynereis-mouse correlation on the correlation of the marker genes, excluding values corresponding to the correlation between identical markers. Most of the excluded values lie on the diagonal and are one in both the Platynereis and the mouse datasets, and would induce a spurious correlation if they were taken into account in the calculation of the global correlation. This global correlation was statistically significant according to both the R rcor.test (correlation = 0.11, p-value=3.2e-11, ‘Romanov et al.’ dataset, correlation = 0.12, p-value=2.3e-13, ‘Campbell et al.’ dataset) and the p-value generated through the 1000X randomized scheme (p-value<0.001, ‘Romanov et al., 2017’ dataset, p-value<0.001, ‘Campbell et al. (2017)’ dataset) (Figure 3—figure supplement 6D, E).

We listed the pairs of orthologous genes that were found in our data to be significantly co-expressed in both species (Figure 3—figure supplement 6—source data 1). For this we needed to correct for multiple testing of correlations. We re-used the 1000X randomized data to derive a distribution of p-values for each of the correlation tests and based on the comparison between the actual correlation test p-values and the simulated data-based p-values we derived corrected p-values accounting for the multiple testing.

For all three datasets, correlations between genes were visualized using the R package corrplot in which we masked the correlation where the corrected p-value was >0.05. The order of the orthologous marker genes was kept identical between the Platynereis and mouse datasets to enable a direct visual comparison of the correlation patterns. In addition, for every gene pair, a Fisher’s exact test was computed using the R function fisher.test on the presence/absence of expression of a given gene in cells, and the Maximal Information Coefficient (MIC, [Reshef et al., 2011]) was calculated to provide information on the possible non-linear relationship between the genes. These are provided in the summary table of significant marker gene associations (Figure 3—figure supplement 6—source data 1).

The calculations were done in the R package with a custom script ([Williams et al., 2017, copy archived at https://github.com/elifesciences-publications/Williams_et_al_2017]).
**Immunohistochemistry**

Wholemount triple immunostaining of 2 and 3 day old *Platynereis* larvae fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde were carried out using primary antibodies raised against RGWa neuropeptide in rat (CRGWa) and achatin neuropeptide in rabbit (CGFGD), plus a commercial antibody raised against acetylated tubulin in mouse (Sigma T7451). Double immunostaining was carried out with primary antibodies raised against MIP ([Conzelmann et al., 2011](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349)), MLD, FMRFa ([Shahidi et al., 2015](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349)), or YFa neuropeptides raised in rabbit and commercial acetylated tubulin antibody raised in mouse. The synthetic neuropeptides contained an N-terminal Cys that was used for coupling during purification. Antibodies were affinity purified from sera as previously described ([Conzelmann and Jékely, 2012](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349)). Immunostainings were carried out as previously described ([Conzelmann and Jékely, 2012](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349)).

For comparing the morphology of cells from immunohistochemistry scans to cells reconstructed from the TEM data we carried out high-resolution scans of the anterior larval head using a Leica TCS SP8 confocal system with an HC PL APO 40x/1.30 OIL CS2 objective and LAS X software. Snapshots and 3D videos of these cells were constructed using Imaris 7.6.3 software.

**Calcium imaging experiments**

Fertilized eggs were injected as previously described ([Conzelmann et al., 2013b](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349)) with capped and polA-tailed GCaMP6 RNA generated from a vector (pUC57-T7-RPP2-GCaMP6s) containing the GCaMP6 ORF fused to a 169 base pair 5' UTR from the *Platynereis* 60S acidic ribosomal protein P2. The injected individuals were kept at 18°C until 2-days-old in 6-well-plates (Nunc multidish no. 150239, Thermo Scientific). Calcium imaging was carried out on a Leica TCS SP8 upright confocal laser scanning microscope with a HC PL APO 40x/1.10 W Corr CS2 objective and LAS X software (Leica Microsystems) GCaMP6 signal was imaged using a 488 nm diode laser at 0.5–4% intensity with a HyD detector in counting mode. 2-day-old *Platynereis* larvae were immobilized for imaging by gently holding them between a glass microscope slide and a coverslip raised with 2 layers of tape as spacer. Larvae were mounted in 10 μl sterile seawater. For peptide treatment experiments, individual larvae were imaged for 2 min in the plane of the RGWamide interneurons and MC cell to assess the state of the larval nervous system prior to peptide treatment. For treatment, larvae were imaged for a further 5.5 min. 10 μl 50 μM synthetic D-achatin (G{dF}GD) (final concentration 25 μM) dissolved in seawater was added to the slide at the 1 min mark by slowly dripping it into the slide-coverslip boundary, where it was sucked under the coverslip. As a negative control, larvae were treated with synthetic L-achatin (GFGD) at the same concentration. Receptor deorphanization experiments have shown that the D-form of achatin activates the achatin receptor GPCR, whereas the L-form of achatin does not ([Bauknecht and Jékely, 2015](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26349)). D-achatin response was recorded from 12 larvae, and L-achatin response was recorded from six larvae. Calcium imaging movies were analyzed with a custom Fiji macro and custom Python scripts ([Verasztó, 2017](https://github.com/elifesciences-publications/Veraszto_et_al_2017)). Correlation analyses were created using Fiji and a custom Python script ([Verasztó et al., 2017](https://github.com/elifesciences-publications/Veraszto_et_al_2017)).
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