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HIGHER HOMOTOPY INVARIANTS FOR SPACES AND MAPS

DAVID BLANC, MARK W. JOHNSON, AND JAMES M. TURNER

Abstract. For a pointed topological space $X$, we use an inductive construction of a simplicial resolution of $X$ by wedges of spheres to construct a “higher homotopy structure” for $X$ (in terms of chain complexes of spaces). This structure is then used to define a collection of higher homotopy invariants which suffice to recover $X$ up to weak equivalence. It can also be used to distinguish between different maps $f : X \to Y$ which induce the same morphism $f_* : \pi_* X \to \pi_* Y$.

Introduction

We describe two sequences of higher order operations constituting complete invariants for the homotopy type of a topological space or map, respectively.

Higher homotopy and cohomology operations, such as Massey products and Toda brackets, are among the earliest known examples of homotopy invariants which are not primary. They have played an important computational role in algebraic topology (see, e.g., [A, T]). However, no truly satisfactory theory of general higher homotopy operations has been proposed so far, despite several attempts (see, e.g., [Sp1, Sp2]). Here we follow the point of view taken in [BM, BJT2], where more precise definitions are given.

0.1. Higher homotopy operations. A higher homotopy operation is an obstruction to rectifying a homotopy commutative diagram $X : \Gamma \to \text{ho} \mathcal{C}$ in some pointed model category $\mathcal{C}$, where $\Gamma$ is a finite directed category with a weakly initial object $v_i$ and weakly final object $v_f$. When the longest composable sequence in $\Gamma$ has length $n + 1$, we have an $n$-th order operation, with a value in $[\Sigma^{n-1} X(v_i), X(v_f)]$. The obstructions are constructed by induction on initial (or terminal) subdiagrams $I$ of $\Gamma$ of increasing length: if the $k$-th order obstruction vanishes, we choose a rectification for the appropriate subdiagram, which allows us to define the $(k + 1)$-st order obstruction. The various choices made along the way contribute to the indeterminacy of the operation: we say that a $(k + 1)$-st order operation vanishes if the obstruction does so for some such choice. See [BM] and [BJT2] §3 for more details.

In general there is more than one way to define obstructions for a given rectification problem. The point of view espoused here is that any two constructions of higher order operations which yield the same answer at each stage are considered to be equivalent.

In this paper we consider higher homotopy operations in the narrower sense, where $\mathcal{C}$ is some model for $\text{Top}_*$, and all spaces $X(v)$ (except perhaps $X(v_f)$) are wedges of spheres. The values of such an operation thus indeed lie in $\pi_* X(v_f)$, and
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in fact the whole diagram $X$ can be described as a collection of elements in $\pi_\ast X(v_f)$, which vanish under the action of certain primary homotopy operations, together with a system of (higher) relations among such primary operations.

0.2. Linear higher homotopy operations. We shall not need any more of the general theory, but we briefly sketch the linear case, also known as a long Toda bracket (see $2.10$ below). This is not quite the version we need here, but is simpler to describe, and best conveys the basic ideas we use.

Start with a “chain complex in $\text{ho} \mathcal{C}$” — that is, a finite sequence of maps

\begin{equation}
X_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} X_0
\end{equation}

in a pointed simplicial model category $\mathcal{C}$ with $\partial_{k-1} \circ \partial_k \sim 0$ for every $n \geq k > 1$. Rectifying this homotopy-commutative diagram means replacing each space and map $\partial_k^1 : X_k \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ by a weakly equivalent $'\partial_k : X_k \rightarrow X_{k-1}$, with $'\partial_{k-1} \circ '\partial_k$ now actually zero.

In line with the general approach of $\S 0.1$ we use a double induction to try to rectify $\S 0.3$: in the outer (ascending) induction on $n \geq 2$, we use the vanishing of the $(n-1)$-st order Toda bracket to rectify $(0.3)$ through dimension $n$. In the inner (descending) induction on $1 \leq k \leq n$, we calculate the next Toda bracket, corresponding to the final segment of length $k + 1$.

The simplest case is $n = 2$, where there is no obstruction (and thus no descending induction): by changing $\partial_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ into a fibration $\partial_1^{(1)} : X_1^{(1)} \rightarrow X_0^{(1)} := X_0$, a standard model category argument shows that we can then choose $\partial_2^{(1)} : X_2^{(1)} := X_2 \rightarrow X_0^{(1)}$ so that $\partial_1^{(1)} \circ \partial_2^{(1)} = 0$ (see $[BJT1]$ Lemma 5.11).

In the $n$-th stage of the outer induction, we assume not only that we have rectified $(0.3)$ through dimension $n-1$, but also that we have made it into a fibrant $(n-1)$-truncated chain complex $X_\ast^{(n-1)}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ (in the injective model category structure). This means that if we write $Z_n X_\ast^{(n-1)} := \text{Ker}(\partial_n)$, and use the rectification to factor $X_\ast^{(n-1)}$ through dimension $n-1$ as

\begin{equation}
X_\ast^{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}} Z_{n-2} X_\ast^{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-2}} Z_{n-3} X_\ast^{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-3}} Z_{n-1} X_\ast^{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}} Z_n X_\ast^{(n-1)},
\end{equation}

then we require each $\delta_k$ to be a fibration, so that

\begin{equation}
Z_k X_\ast^{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{v_k} X_\ast^{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{\delta_k} Z_{k-1} X_\ast^{(n-1)}
\end{equation}

is a (strict) fibration sequence $(1 \leq k < n)$.

Now we choose a nullhomotopy $F_n : \partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_k \sim 0$: if we could lift it to a nullhomotopy $\hat{F}_n : \hat{\partial}_{n-1} \circ \partial_k \sim 0$, we would be done (by the case $n = 2$). However, in any case we see that $\hat{\partial}_{n-2} \circ F_n$ is a self nullhomotopy $0 \sim \hat{\partial}_{n-2} \circ \partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_n \sim 0$, so it induces a map $a_{n-1} : \Sigma X_\ast^{(n-1)} \rightarrow X_\ast^{(n-3)}$. This is in fact a value of the ordinary Toda bracket $\langle \partial_{n-2}, \partial_{n-1}, \partial_n \rangle$. If $a_{n-1}$ is nullhomotopic, we choose a nullhomotopy $F_{n-1} : a_{n-1} \sim 0$, and again see that $\hat{\partial}_{n-2} \circ F_{n-1}$ is a self nullhomotopy so it induces a map $a_{n-2} : \Sigma^2 X_\ast^{(n-1)} \rightarrow X_\ast^{(n-4)}$, which is a value of the tertiary Toda bracket $\langle \partial_{n-3}, \partial_{n-2}, \partial_{n-1}, \partial_n \rangle$. If $a_{n-1}$ is not nullhomotopic for any choice of $F_n$, we
cannot proceed any further, and must backtrack to choose a different rectification of
a shorter final segment of (0.3).
As long as the intermediate Toda brackets vanish, we can proceed, until we end up
with the last obstruction, which is the \((n - 1)\)-st order Toda bracket
\[
\langle \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_{n-2}, \partial_{n-1}, \partial_n \rangle \subseteq \left[ \Sigma^{n-2} X^{(n-1)}_n, X_0^{(n-1)} \right].
\]
A more precise description of the process is given in \(\S\) 2.10 below.

In this paper we elaborate on the idea, first enunciated in [B4] (see also [BJT3]),
that there is a complete set of invariants for weak homotopy types of spaces consisting
of higher homotopy operations. The main improvements on previous results are:

(a) Using higher order operations which are linear – in the sense of requiring a
single choice in each simplicial dimension – rather than the more complicated
simplicial operations of [B4, BJT3];
(b) Making precise the relation between the vanishing of the \((n - 1)\)-st order
operations and our ability to define the \(n\)-th order operation.
(c) Explaining how the higher operations based on different algebraic resolutions
are related.
(d) Constructing a similar set of invariants for maps.

0.7. Main results. We can now describe the most significant results of this paper.
For simplicity we state them here for our main motivating example – the usual
homotopy groups \(\pi_* Y\) of a pointed connected topological space, with their \(\Pi\)-
algebra structure coming from the action of the primary homotopy operations on
them – although in fact we prove them in a more general model category setting.
We start with two technical facts which play a central role in the proofs:

**Theorem A.** Any resolution \(V_*\) of the \(\Pi\)-algebra \(\pi_* Y\) can be realized by an
augmented simplicial space \(W_* \to Y\), with each \(W_n\) a wedge of spheres, obtained
as the limit of a sequence of \(n\)-truncated approximations \(\{W^n_\bullet\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\).

See Theorem 2.29 below.

We call the system of successive approximations \(W = \{W^n_\bullet\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) a sequential
realization of \(V_*\) for \(Y\) (see \(\S\) 2.23). We then prove:

**Theorem B.** Any two sequential realizations \(W\) and \('W\) of two CW resolutions \(V_*\)
and \('V_*\) for the same space \(Y\) are connected by a zigzag of split weak equivalences.

See Theorem 3.18 below.

This allows us to compare the system of higher operations \(\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle\) associated to
different sequential realizations for \(Y\), and then show that we can use any one such
\(W\) to determine their vanishing:

**Theorem C.** Given an abstract isomorphism of \(\Pi\)-algebras \(\vartheta : \pi_* Y \to \pi_* Z\), the
associated system of higher homotopy operations vanishes coherently for some sequential
realization \(W\) for \(Y\) if and only if it does so for every sequential realization if and
only if \(Y\) and \(Z\) are weakly equivalent.

See Theorem 6.35 below.
By extending the ideas sketched above, one can use any sequential realization for $Y$ to define a system of higher homotopy operations associated to any two maps $f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}: Y \to Z$ which induce the same map in $\pi_*$, and show:

**Theorem D.** If $Y$ and $Z$ are CW complexes, the system of higher operations associated to $f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}: Y \to Z$ as above vanishes if and only if $f^{(0)}$ and $f^{(1)}$ are homotopic.

See Theorem 7.10 below.

To illustrate our methods, in Section 8 we define a filtration index invariant for mod $p$ cohomology classes, dual to the Adams filtration on homotopy groups, and show how it may be interpreted in terms of certain higher homotopy operations using a reverse Adams spectral sequence.

**0.8. Main techniques.** As explained above, the “deconstruction” of a space $Y$ (or map) into its constituent higher order structure is carried out inductively, using a sequence of (finite) approximations to a simplicial resolution of $Y$.

However, simplicial techniques tend to be rather complicated, and the main technical tool we shall be using is a sort of “Dold-Kan correspondence for spaces”, which allows us to do the heavy work in the inductive step using chain complexes of spaces (see Section 1.B below). As one might expect, the passage from simplicial objects to chain complexes is straightforward, using Moore chains (see §1.21). The reverse direction is functorial, and thus can be thought of as a formal black box (in which we lose the ability to describe the resulting simplicial object explicitly).

Nevertheless, the first step in the reverse passage, in which we simply replace a chain complex by the corresponding restricted simplicial object (with higher faces zero and no degeneracies, and thus no change in the individual spaces) is completely explicit, and contains precisely the information needed to fully describe our higher homotopy operations.

**0.9. Notation.** Let $\Delta$ denote the category of non-empty finite ordered sets and order-preserving maps (see [Ma, §2]), and $\Delta_{\text{res}}$ the subcategory with the same objects, with only monic maps. Similarly, $\Delta_+$ denotes the category of all finite ordered sets (and order-preserving maps), and $\Delta_{\text{res, +}}$ the corresponding subcategory of monic maps.

A simplicial object $G_\bullet$ in a category $C$ is a functor $\Delta^{\text{op}} \to C$, a restricted simplicial object is a functor $\Delta_{\text{res}}^{\text{op}} \to C$, while an augmented simplicial object is a functor $\Delta_+^{\text{op}} \to C$, and a restricted augmented simplicial object is a functor $\Delta_{\text{res, +}}^{\text{op}} \to C$. We write $G_n = G_\bullet(\{0 < 1 < \ldots < n\})$ for the value of $G_\bullet$ at $[n]$. There is a natural embedding $c(-) = c(A)_\bullet: C \to C^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$, with $c(A)_\bullet$ the constant simplicial object and similarly $c_+(A)_\bullet$ for the constant augmented simplicial object. The inclusion of categories $\sigma: \Delta \to \Delta_+$ induces a functor $\sigma^*(-): C^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \to C^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ forgetting the augmentation.

The category of compactly generated Hausdorff spaces (see [Ste] and [Hir, §7.10.1]), called simply topological spaces, will be denoted by $\text{Top}$, that of pointed topological spaces by $\text{Top}_*$, and that of pointed connected topological spaces by $\text{Top}_0$.

The category of simplicial sets will be denoted by $\text{Set}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$, that of pointed simplicial sets by $\text{Set}_*^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$, and that of simplicial groups by $\text{Gp}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ (see [GJ, I, §3]).
For maps $f : A \to X$, $g : B \to X$, and $h : A \to Y$ in any (co)complete category $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by $f \perp g : A \amalg B \to X$ and $f \uplus h : A \to X \times Y$, respectively, the induced maps from the coproduct and into the product, and by $\inc_A : A \to A \amalg B$ the inclusion.

0.10. **Caveat.** The general results (though not the examples nor the application in Section 8) are for the most part Eckmann-Hilton dual to those of [BS] and [BBS1]. Nevertheless, we feel that they deserve a separate treatment, since
(a) this duality is not formal, and the differences need to be spelled out carefully;
(b) a great deal of work is needed to translate these results to the dual setting, even
where the approach is the same; and
(c) the invariants here apply to arbitrary weak homotopy types of (connected) spaces, rather than just to $R$-types of $R$-good spaces for $R = \mathbb{F}_p$ or $\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, they can potentially be extended to topologically enriched categories.

0.11. **Acknowledgements.** We wish to thank the referee for his or her detailed and pertinent comments. The first author was supported in part by Israel Science Foundation Grant No. 770/16 and the third author by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1207746.

1. Background

We first set up the framework in which our theory works, and recall some basic facts and constructions about simplicial objects and algebraic theories.

1.1. **Assumption.** Throughout this paper we work in a cellular pointed simplicial model category $\mathcal{C}$ (see [Hir, §9.1, 11.1, & 12.1.1]) with functorial factorizations (see [Ho, §1.1.1]), and assume all objects in $\mathcal{C}$ are fibrant (so $\mathcal{C}$ is right proper, by [Hir, 13.1.3]). The main examples we have in mind are $\mathcal{C} = \text{Top}_*$ or $\mathcal{G}$ (see [Q1, II, §3] and [Hir, §11.1.9, 13.1.11]), but in §6.8 below we also consider the category $\text{dgLI}$ of differential graded Lie algebras over $\mathbb{Q}$.

In such a category $\mathcal{C}$ we define the standard cone $C_X$ and suspension $\Sigma X$ of a (cofibrant) object $X$ by the pushouts of $* \leftarrow X \leftarrow X \otimes \Delta^1$ and $* \leftarrow X \leftarrow C_X$, respectively, with $q : C_X \to \Sigma X$ the induced map.

1.2. **Definition.** Given (cofibrant) $X$ and $Y$ in such a model category $\mathcal{C}$, and maps $G : C_X \to Y$ and $\gamma : \Sigma X \to Y$, note that the cofibration sequence $X \leftarrow X \otimes \Delta^1 \to C_X$ induces a coaction $\psi : C_X \to C_X \vee \Sigma X$ (see [Q1, I, 3.5ff.]). The concatenation $G \ast (\gamma \circ q) : C_X \to Y$ is then defined to be $(G \perp \gamma) \circ \psi$.

**ΠA. ΠA-algebras**

Let $A = \Sigma A'$ be a fixed cofibrant suspension (and thus a homotopy cogroup object) in a pointed model category $\mathcal{C}$ as in §1.1. Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the full sub-category of $\text{ho} \mathcal{C}$ generated by $A$ under suspensions and arbitrary coproducts (so all objects in $\mathcal{A}$ may be assumed cofibrant in $\mathcal{C}$), and by $\Pi A$ the full sub-category of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all coproducts of cardinality $< \kappa$, for a given limit cardinal $\kappa$ (needed in order to guarantee that $\Pi A$ is small, so the functor categories from it are well-behaved).
1.3. Definition. A $\Pi_A$-algebra is a product-preserving functor $\Lambda : \Pi^\op_A \to \text{Set}_*$ (where the products in $\Pi^\op_A$ are the coproducts of $C$), and the category of such is denoted by $\Pi_A\text{-Alg}$. We write $\Lambda\{B\}$ for the value of $\Lambda$ at $B \in \Pi_A$. There is a forgetful functor $\hat{U} : \Pi_A\text{-Alg} \to \text{gr Set}_*$ to the category of non-negatively graded pointed sets, with $\hat{U}(\Lambda)_k := \Lambda\{\Sigma^k A\}$. A free $\Pi_A$-algebra is one in the image of the left adjoint of $\hat{U}$, denoted by $\mathcal{F} : \text{gr Set}_* \to \Pi_A\text{-Alg}$.

For each $Y \in C$ we have a realizable $\Pi_A$-algebra $\pi_*^A Y$, defined by setting $(\pi_*^A Y)(B) := [B, Y]$ for each $B \in \Pi_A$. We say that a map $f : X \to Y$ in $C$ is an $A$-equivalence if the induced map $f^\# : \pi_*^A X \to \pi_*^A Y$ is an isomorphism in $\Pi_A\text{-Alg}$ or equivalently, if $f^\# : \text{map}_C(A, X) \to \text{map}_C(A, Y)$ is a weak equivalence of pointed simplicial sets.

In particular, any $\Pi_A$-algebra of the form $\pi_*^A B$ for $B \in \text{Obj } \Pi_A \subseteq \text{Obj } C$ is free, as is any coproduct of such. However, we make the additional assumption that for any $B = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \Sigma^n A \in A$ and $k \geq 0$, we have a natural isomorphism

\[(\Sigma^k A, B)_c \cong \text{colim}_B[\Sigma^k A, B']_c,\]

where the colimit is taken over all sub-coproducts $B' = \bigsqcup_{i \in I'} \Sigma^n A$ with $I' \subseteq I$ of cardinality $< \kappa$ (so that $B' \in \Pi_A$). This implies that $\pi_*^A B = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \pi_*^A \Sigma^n A$, (as a coproduct in $\Pi_A\text{-Alg}$), so $\pi_*^A B$ is free for all $B \in A$ (see §1.3 below for a specific example).

We can use the fact that a $\Pi_A$-algebra $\Lambda$ preserves products in $A^\op$ to define $\Lambda\{B\}$ for any $B \in A$. The Yoneda Lemma then implies:

1.5. Lemma. If $\Lambda$ is any $\Pi_A$-algebra and $B \in A$, there is a natural isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\Pi_A\text{-Alg}}(\pi_*^A B, \Lambda) \cong \Lambda\{B\}$.

This suggests the notation

\[\Lambda\{V\} := \text{Hom}_{\Pi_A\text{-Alg}}(V, \Lambda)\]

for any $\Pi_A$-algebras $\Lambda$ and $V$ with $V$ free.

Moreover, we have:

1.7. Proposition (see [BP] §6). For $A$ as above, the category $\Pi_A\text{-Alg}^{\op}$ of simplicial $\Pi_A$-algebras has a model category structure, in which the weak equivalences and fibrations are those of the underlying graded simplicial sets.

1.8. Example. When $C = \text{Top}_*$, $A = S^1$, and $\kappa = \omega$, we see that $\Pi_A$ is the full sub-simplicial category of $\text{Top}_*$ whose objects are finite wedges of spheres. In this case a $\Pi_A$-algebra is just a $\Pi$-algebra, in the sense of [Sto] §4, with $\pi_*^A Y = \pi_*^A \Omega^\infty Y$ (equipped with an action of the primary homotopy operations on it), and an $A$-equivalence is just a weak equivalence of (base point components of) topological spaces, in the usual sense. In this case our assumption [1.7] holds by compactness of $S^n$ and $S^n \times [0,1]$ for all $n \geq 1$.

We could also let $A = S^n$ for some $n > 1$, or use localized spheres $A = S^n_R$ in the category $C$ of $R$-local pointed spaces, for $R$ a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$, or algebraic models thereof (such as differential graded Lie algebras, in the rational case – see §6.3 below). In the latter two cases we refer to either (equivalent) notion of a $\Pi_A$-algebra as a $\Pi_R$-algebra.
1.9. Assumptions. We shall henceforth assume that, in addition to (1.4), the category \( \Pi_A\text{-Alg} \) (associated to the given \( A \) in \( \mathcal{C} \) as in §1.1) satisfies the following requirements:

(a) By definition, any free \( \Pi_A \)-algebra \( \Lambda \cong \mathcal{F}(X_n) \) is (non-canonically) isomorphic to a coproduct \( \Lambda = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_n \), where \( \Lambda_n \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{F}(X_n) \) for \( X_n \in \text{gr Set} \), concentrated in degree \( n \). We assume that \( L_n := \Lambda_n\{\Sigma^n A\} \) is a free \( R \)-module for some principal ideal domain \( R \), or possibly a free group when \( n = 0 \), and choices of generators for \( L_n \) are in bijection with choices of generators for \( \Lambda_n \).

(b) If \( j : \Lambda' \to \Lambda \) is a map of free \( \Pi_A \)-algebras which has a retraction \( r : \Lambda \to \Lambda' \), then \( \Lambda \) splits (non-canonically) as a coproduct \( \Lambda' \amalg \Lambda'' \) with \( \Lambda'' \) also free.

(c) For any \( U \) and \( V \) in \( \mathcal{A} \), the inclusions \( i_U : U \to U \amalg V \) and \( i_V : V \to U \amalg V \) and their retraction \( \rho_U : U \amalg V \to U \) and \( \rho_V : U \amalg V \to V \) induce a natural decomposition of groups

\[
[A', U \amalg V] = [A', U] \times [A', V] \times C_{A'}(U, V)
\]

for any \( A' := \Sigma^n A \) \((n \geq 0)\), with the cross-term \( C_{A'}(U, V) \) (the kernel of \( (\rho_U)_\# \amalg (\rho_V)_\# \)) represented by maps \( f : A' \to U \amalg V \) with \( \rho_U \circ f = \ast = \rho_V \circ f \).

We now show:

1.11. Lemma. If \( R \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \) and \( W = \bigvee_{i=1}^{N} S_R^n \) for \( n \geq 2 \), any basis \( B = \{\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_N\} \) for \( \pi_n W \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} R \) is a generating set for the free \( \Pi_R \)-algebra \( \pi_* W \).

Proof. We first show that if \( R \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \) and \( W = \bigvee_{i=1}^{N} S_R^n \) for \( n \geq 2 \), then any basis \( B = \{\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_N\} \) for \( \pi_n W \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} R \) is a generating set for the free \( \Pi_R \)-algebra \( \pi_* W \).

Let \( E = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N\} \) be the basis for \( \pi_n W \) corresponding to the standard generators for \( \pi_* W \) (associated to the given coproduct decomposition of \( W \)), and \( M \in \text{SL}_N(\mathbb{Z}) \) the change of basis matrix with respect to \( B \). The corresponding map \( \varphi^M : W \to W \) induces an automorphism of \( H_n(W; R) \), so it is a self-homotopy equivalence by the \( R \)-local Hurewicz and Whitehead Theorems (cf. [HMH II, 1.2]), with homotopy inverse \( \psi^M : W \to W \) with \( \varphi^M(\lambda_i) = \kappa_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq N \).

By Hilton’s Theorem (see [HMH] or [WH] XI, Theorem 6.7)), for any \( t \geq n \) and \( \alpha \in \pi_t W \) we may write \( \beta = \psi^M(\alpha) \) uniquely in the form

\[
\beta = \sum_{\ell} \eta^\#_{\ell} \omega_{\ell}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N)
\]

where \( \omega_{\ell}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N) \) is some \( k_{\ell} \)-fold iterated Whitehead product in a chosen Hall basis in the free Whitehead-Lie algebra on elements of \( E \), and \( \eta^\#_{\ell} \in \pi_t S_R^{k_{\ell}(n-1)+1} \). Therefore,

\[
\alpha = \varphi^M(\beta) = \sum_{\ell} \eta^\#_{\ell} \omega_{\ell}(\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_N)
\]

so \( B \) generates \( \pi_* W \).

Conversely, the result of applying any primary operation \( \phi \) to the set \( B \) can be written in the form (1.13), so \( \beta := \psi^M(\alpha) \) has the form (1.12) with respect to \( E \), and this vanishes if and only \( \phi \) was trivial. Thus \( B \) generates \( \pi_* W \) freely. \( \square \)
1.14. Proposition. The assumptions of §1.9 hold for the motivating example of $A = S^n$ ($n \geq 1$) in $C = \text{Top}_*$, with $R = \mathbb{Z}$, as well for $A = S^n_R$ an $R$-local sphere in $C = \text{Top}_R$ (the $R$-local model category of pointed spaces), where $R$ is any sub-ring of $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. The statement of §1.9 follows from Lemma 1.11 (with the non-finitely generated case following from §1.4), which follows in turn from the compactness of $S^n$ and $S^n\times[0,1])$.

If $j : \Lambda' \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ is a map of free $\Pi$-algebras with a retraction $r : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$, we may prove §1.9(b) by induction on the degree: by our convention we use the loop space grading, so the fundamental group is in degree 0 and thus $\Lambda_0$ (the sub-$\Pi$-algebra generated by all elements in $\Lambda \{S^1\}$) is just a free group, as is $\Lambda_0'$. One can show that if we set $\Lambda_0'' := \text{Ker}(r_0 : \Lambda_0 \rightarrow \Lambda_0')$, which is also a free group (and thus a free $\Pi$-algebra), then $\Lambda_0 \cong \Lambda_0' \Pi \Lambda_0''$.

If we assume by induction that

$$\Lambda_{\leq n} := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \Lambda_k \cong \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \Lambda_k' \Pi \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \Lambda_k''$$

we have a map of free $\Pi$-algebras $j_0 : \Lambda'_0 \hookrightarrow \Lambda_n$ with retraction $r_0 : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda'_n$, inducing a split inclusion of free $R$-modules $L'_n \hookrightarrow L_n$, and thus a decomposition $L_n \cong L'_n \oplus L''_n$. Since $R$ is a PID, $L''_n$ is also a free $R$-module. This allows us to complete a basis for $L'_n$ to one for $L_n$, yielding a corresponding decomposition of free $\Pi$-algebras $\Lambda_n \cong \Lambda'_n \Pi \Lambda''_n$ by Lemma 1.11.

Finally, §1.9(c) holds for any suspension $A = \Sigma A'$ in $\text{Top}_*$, by the Hilton-Milnor Theorem (see [Mi]). Note that it also holds for any small $A$ in a stable model category (see [Ho, §7.2]), since all cross-terms then vanish. \hfill \Box

1.15. Remark. In fact, the $A$-equivalences as defined in §1.3 are the weak equivalences in the right Bousfield localization of $C$ with respect to $A$ (see [HI, §5.1]). In particular, the natural map $CW_A Y \rightarrow Y$ is an $A$-equivalence, where the cellularization $CW_A Y$ serves as a functorial cofibrant replacement for $Y$ (see [DE, §2 A]).

Two maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ in $C$ are $A$-equivalent if and only if they are related by a zigzag of $A$-equivalences. In particular, if all objects in $C$ are fibrant (which will be the case in the examples of interest to us), this implies that the induced maps $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g} : CW_A X \rightarrow CW_A Y$ are homotopic. We write $[X, Y]_A$ for the set of $A$-equivalence classes of maps (i.e., the set of maps in the homotopy category $\text{ho} C$ for this model structure).

In the motivating example, where $C = \text{Top}_0$ (see §0.3) and $A = S^1$, if $X$ and $Y$ are CW-complexes we see that $A$-equivalences are actually homotopy equivalences, and two maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are $A$-equivalent if and only if they are homotopic, so $[X, Y]_A = [X, Y]$. 
1.B. Chain complexes

For $\mathcal{C}$ any pointed category, an augmented chain complex in $\mathcal{C}$ is a diagram $A_\ast$ of the form

\begin{equation}
\cdots A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} A_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} A_{n-2} \cdots A_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} A_{-1}
\end{equation}

with $\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. We denote the category of such chain complexes by $\text{Ch}_\mathcal{C}$, that of $n$-truncated chain complexes by $\text{Ch}_\leq n \mathcal{C}$, and that of bounded-below chain complexes with $A_i = \ast$ for $-1 \leq i < n$ by $\text{Ch}_\geq n \mathcal{C}$, with the obvious truncation functors $\text{sk}_n : \text{Ch}_\mathcal{C} \to \text{Ch}_\leq n \mathcal{C}$ (the usual skeleton, or restriction) and $\text{csk}_n : \text{Ch}_\mathcal{C} \to \text{Ch}_\geq n \mathcal{C}$.

1.17. Definition. For any object $G$ in a pointed category $\mathcal{C}$, let $G \ast S^{n-1}$ be the chain complex in $\text{Ch}_\mathcal{C}$ having $G$ in dimension $n$ (and $\ast$ elsewhere). Similarly, $G \otimes e^n$ has $G$ in dimensions $n$ and $n-1$, with the identity between them as boundary (and $\ast$ elsewhere). We write $\iota_n : G \ast S^{n-1} \hookrightarrow G \ ast e^n$ for the inclusion.

1.18. Model categories of chain complexes. When $\mathcal{C}$ is a pointed model category as in §1.1, we will consider projective model category structures on $\text{Ch}_\mathcal{C}$ and $\text{Ch}_\leq n \mathcal{C}$, in which the weak equivalences and fibrations are both defined levelwise, so all objects will be fibrant. For $\text{Ch}_\leq n \mathcal{C}$, the cofibrant objects are the strongly cofibrant $n$-chain complexes $A_\ast$, where for each $k \leq n$ the natural map $\text{Cok}(\partial_{k+1}) \to A_{k-1}$ is a cofibration (with $A_{n+1} := \ast$). See [Hir, §11.6].

There is a dual injective model category structure on $\text{Ch}_\mathcal{C}$ and $\text{Ch}_\leq n \mathcal{C}$, in which the weak equivalences and cofibrations are defined levelwise, and the fibrant objects are described in [0.5].

1.19. Attaching cells to chain complexes. The usual way to construct a chain complex $A_\ast$ in $\text{Ch}_\mathcal{C}$ is by means of attaching maps $\overline{\partial} : \overline{A}_n \otimes S^{n-1} \to \text{sk}_{n-1} A_\ast$ in $\text{Ch}_\leq n \mathcal{C}$. The next skeleton $\text{sk}_n A_\ast$ is then the pushout

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{A}_n \otimes S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\bigbar{\partial}} & \text{sk}_{n-1} A_\ast \\
\iota_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\overline{A}_n \otimes e^n & \xrightarrow{\text{PO}} & \text{sk}_n A_\ast
\end{array}
\end{equation}

(see §1.17), with $\overline{\partial}$ in degree $n-1$ equal to $\partial_n : A_n \to A_{n-1}$.

When $\mathcal{C}$ is a model category, in order to make this process homotopy meaningful we generally use a (strongly) cofibrant replacement of the source $\overline{A}_n \otimes S^{n-1}$ of the attaching map $\overline{\partial}$.

1.C. Augmented simplicial objects

We now collect some standard facts and constructions related to augmented simplicial objects in a category $\mathcal{C}$:
1.21. **Definition.** In a pointed and complete category \( \mathcal{C} \), the \( n \)-th Moore chains object of a restricted augmented simplicial object \( G \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{res,op}} \) is defined to be:

\[
C_n^M G := \cap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}\{d_i : G_n \to G_{n-1}\},
\]

that is, the limit of the diagram

\[
G_n \overset{d_1}{\longrightarrow} G_{n-1} \overset{\cdots}{\longrightarrow} \overset{*}{G_0}
\]

with differential \( \partial_n^M := d_0|_{C_n^M G} : C_n^M G \to C_{n-1}^M G \).

The \( n \)-th Moore cycles object is \( Z_n^M G := \text{Ker}(\partial_n^M) \) (the analogous limit including \( d_0 \)). Write \( w_n : C_n^M G \to G_{n-1} \) and \( v_n : Z_n^M G \to C_n^M G \) for the inclusions.

We use the same notation for unrestricted or unaugmented \( G \), although the reader should note that for non-trivial augmented \( G \), \( Z_0^M (G) \) differs from \( Z_0^M (\sigma^*(G)) = G \).

1.24. **Definition.** For a (possibly \( (n-1) \)-truncated) simplicial object \( G \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{op}} \) in a cocomplete category \( \mathcal{C} \), the \( n \)-th **latching object** for \( G \) is the colimit

\[
L_n G := \colim_{\theta^\text{op} : [k] \to [n]} G_k,
\]

where \( \theta \) ranges over the surjective maps \([n] \to [k]\) in \( \Delta \) (for \( k < n \)). There is a natural map \( \sigma_n : L_n G \to G_n \) induced by the indexing maps \( \theta \) of the colimit for any \( n \)-truncated simplicial object, and any iterated degeneracy map \( s_l = \theta_* : G_k \to G_n \) factors as

\[
s_l = \sigma_n \circ \text{inc}_\theta,
\]

where \( \text{inc}_\theta : G_k \to L_n G \) is the structure map for the copy of \( G_k \) indexed by \( \theta \).

Note that the inclusion \( \Delta^\text{res} \hookrightarrow \Delta \) induces a forgetful functor \( \mathcal{U} : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{op}} \to \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{res,op}} \), and its left adjoint \( \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{res,op}} \to \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{op}} \) is given by \( (\mathcal{L} G)_n = G_n \amalg L_n G \) with degeneracies given by \( \mathcal{L} \) and face maps coming from the simplicial identities. It follows that any augmentation of \( G \) also serves as an augmentation of \( \mathcal{L} G \) and vice versa, so this remains an adjunction for the augmented categories.

Dually, the \( n \)-th **matching object** for \( G \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{op}} \) is defined to be

\[
M_n G := \lim_{\phi^\text{op} : [k] \to [n]} G_k,
\]

where \( \phi \) ranges over the injective maps \([k] \to [n]\) in \( \Delta \). As above, there is a natural map \( \zeta_n : G_n \to M_n G \) induced by the structure maps of the limit for any \( n \)-truncated restricted simplicial object, and every (iterated) face map factors through it (see [BK] X,§4.5).

For an augmented \( G \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{op}} \), matching objects are defined similarly, but now \( M_0 G = G_{-1} \) and \( M_1 G \) is the pullback of \( G_0 \to G_{-1} \leftarrow G_0 \), rather than a product.

1.28. **Remark.** When \( \mathcal{C} \) is a model category, we shall use the Reedy model structure of [Hir] §15.3, which differs from the projective structure, on \( \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{op}} \), \( \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{res,op}} \), \( \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{op}} \), and \( \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\text{res,+}} \). Note that the constant augmented object \( c_+ (A) \) for a fibrant object
$A \in \mathcal{C}$ is Reedy fibrant in $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{op}}$ but $c(A)_\bullet$ is not Reedy fibrant in $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{op}}$ (see §11 below).

1.29. Comparing chain complexes and simplicial objects. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a pointed category, the Moore chain functor $C^M : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{op},+} \to \mathfrak{Ch}$ just described has a left adjoint (and right inverse) $\mathcal{E} : \mathfrak{Ch} \to \mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{op},+}$ with $(\mathcal{E}A)_n = A_n$, $d^n_0 = \partial^n_m$, and $d^n_i = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. This also holds for $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{op},+}$ if we truncate $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{op},+}$. Moreover:

1.30. Lemma. For $\mathcal{C} = \text{Top}_*$ or $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gp}^{\Delta_{op}}$, the functor $C^M : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{op},+} \to \mathfrak{Ch}$ preserves fibrancy and weak equivalences among fibrant objects with respect to the Reedy model structure of §1.28 in $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{op},+}$ and the injective model structure of §1.18 on $\mathcal{Ch}$.

Proof. See [DKS2] Proposition 5.7 and [Sto] Lemma 2.7. \qed

We recall the following augmented dual of [BK X, Proposition 6.3(ii)]:

1.31. Lemma. Let $X_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{op}}$ be a Reedy fibrant augmented simplicial object over a model category $\mathcal{C}$, and $\overline{B}$ a cofibrant homotopy cogroup object in $\mathcal{C}$. Then for any Moore chain $\beta \in C^M_n[\overline{B}, X_\bullet]$ for the augmented simplicial group $[\overline{B}, X_\bullet]$:

(a) $\beta$ can be realized by a map $b : \overline{B} \to C^M_nX_\bullet$.

(b) If $\beta$ is a Moore cycle, in $Z^M_n[\overline{B}, X_\bullet]$, we can choose a nullhomotopy for $d^M_n \circ b$, $H : C\overline{B} \to C^M_{n-1}X_\bullet$.

Proof. Since $X_\bullet$ is Reedy fibrant (see [Hin Ch. 15]), the augmented simplicial space $U_\bullet = \text{map}_*(\overline{B}, X_\bullet) \in S^{\Delta_{op}}$ is Reedy fibrant, so by [Sto] Lemma 2.7, for every $j > 0$ the inclusion $\iota : C^M_nU_\bullet \hookrightarrow U_n$ induces an isomorphism $\iota_* : \pi_jC^M_nU_\bullet \to \pi_jU_n$. Since $C^M_n$ is a limit, $C^M_nU_\bullet = \text{map}_*(\overline{B}, C^M_nX_\bullet)$. Since $\overline{B}$ is a homotopy cogroup object, $\pi_0U_\bullet$ is still a group, so the above holds for $j = 0$ too.

Note that in both the augmented and non-augmented case $C^M_0U_\bullet = U_\bullet$, so the result also holds in dimensions $n = 0, -1$. \qed

By analogy with the mapping cone for chain complexes (see [Weq §1.5]) we have the following notion, which will play a key technical role in what follows:

1.32. Definition. For any map $f : A_\bullet \to B_\bullet$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta_{op},+}$ we define the restricted augmented simplicial object $C_\bullet = \text{Cone}(f)$ by setting $C_n := B_n \amalg A_{n-1}$ (where $A_{-1} = *$), with

$$d^n_i := \begin{cases} \text{inc}_{B_{n-1}} \circ (d^n_0 \amalg f_{n-1}) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ d^n_i \amalg d^{A_{n-1}}_i & \text{if } i \geq 1 \end{cases},$$

in the notation of §0.9 and a natural inclusion of restricted augmented simplicial objects $\ell : B_\bullet \hookrightarrow \text{Cone}(f)$ which is the identity in degree $-1$.

For the required face identity, we may verify that

$$(d_0 \circ d_j)|_{A_{n-1}} = (d_0^{A_{n-1}})|_{A_{n-2}} \circ d^{A_{n-1}}_{j-1} = f_{n-2} \circ d^{A_{n-1}}_{j-1} = d^{B_{n-1}}_{j-1} \circ f_{n-1} = (d_{j-1} \circ d_0)|_{A_{n-1}}$$

for all $0 < j$, while

$$(d_i \circ d_j)|_{A_{n-1}} = d^{A_{n-1}}_{i-2} \circ d^{A_{n-1}}_{j-1} = d^{A_{n-2}}_{i-2} \circ d^{A_{n-1}}_{j-1} = (d_{j-1} \circ d_i)|_{A_{n-1}}$$

for all $1 \leq i < j$. 

1.33. Example. Suppose that \( A \) is concentrated in one dimension, for example, \( A = E(G_n \otimes S^{n-1}) \) and \( B \) is \((n - 1)\)-truncated. Then in dimensions \( k < n \), the inclusion \( \ell_k \) is an isomorphism, \( B_k \cong B_k \ast \) (since \( C \) is pointed), with the face maps defined through these isomorphisms. In dimension \( k = n \), we have \( \text{Cone}(f)_n = \ast \Pi G_n \cong G_n \) with \( d_0 \cong f_{n-1} \) and all higher face maps zero.

1.34. Definition. An unaugmented simplicial object \( G \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \) over a pointed category \( \mathcal{C} \) is called a \textit{CW object} if it is equipped with a \textit{CW basis} \((G_n)^{\infty}_{n=0} \in \mathcal{C} \) such that \( G_n = G_n \ast L_n G \), and \( d_i|_{G_n} = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). By the simplicial identities the restriction of the 0-th face map \( d_0|_{G_n}: G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \) factors as the composite

\[
G_n \xrightarrow{\partial_0^G} \bigotimes_{n-1} Z_{n-1} G_n \xrightarrow{\nu_{n-1}} C_{n-1} G_n \xrightarrow{w_{n-1}} G_{n-1}
\]

(in the notation of \( \text{(1.21)} \) with \( \nu_{n-1} \circ \partial_0^G = (\partial_0^M)|_{G_n} \), and we call \( \partial_0^G \) the \textit{n-th attaching map} for \( G \).

The following observation essentially follows from Example \( \text{1.33} \) and the construction of \( L \).

1.36. Lemma. Any CW object \( G \) over \( \mathcal{C} \) with CW basis \((G_n)^{\infty}_{n=0} \in \mathcal{C} \) can be constructed inductively as follows, starting with \( \text{sk} \circ G : c(G_0) \rightarrow \) (see \( \text{1.10} \)): given the \((n - 1)\)-truncated simplicial object \( \text{sk}_{n-1} \circ G \), the attaching map \( \partial_0^G : G_n \rightarrow Z_{n-1}^G(\text{sk}_{n-1} \circ G) \) is equivalent to a chain map \( f: G_n \otimes S^{n-1} \rightarrow C_{n}^G(\text{sk}_{n-1} \circ G) \) (see \( \text{1.17} \)) and so to an adjoint restricted simplicial map \( \overline{f}: E(G_n \otimes S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \text{sk}_{n-1} \circ G \) (see \( \text{1.29} \)): we define \( \text{sk}_{n} \circ G \) to be the pushout in \text{n-truncated} simplicial objects

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{U} \circ \text{sk}_{n-1} \circ G & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & \text{sk}_{n-1} \circ G \\
\mathcal{L} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{L} \text{Cone}(f) & \xrightarrow{\text{PO}} & \text{sk}_{n} \circ G,
\end{array}
\]

where \( \vartheta: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Id} \) is the counit for the adjunction of \( \text{1.24} \) and \( \ell \) is as in \( \text{1.32} \) (see \( \text{1.20} \)).

This yields an explicit description of \( G_n = G_n \ast L_n G \), since by induction we see that the \text{n-th} latching object of \( G \) is given by:

\[
L_n G := \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \bigcup_{0 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_{k-1} \leq n-1} G_k,
\]

where the iterated degeneracy map \( s_i s_{i+1} \ldots s_{i+1} s_{i} \), restricted to the basis \( G_k \), is the inclusion into the copy of \( G_k \) indexed by \( k \) (in the first coproduct) and \( (i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1}) \) (in the second).

We note for future reference the following useful fact (which we shall not need here):

1.39. Lemma. Every free simplicial \( \Pi_{A^k} \)-algebra \( V \) has a CW basis \((\nabla_n)^{\infty}_{n=0} \).

Proof. This follows from \( \text{1.9} \) by induction on the simplicial dimension \( n \geq 0 \), since the simplicial identity \( d_i s_i = \text{Id} \) shows that \( V_{n-1} \) splits off \( V_n \) in various ways, so \( L_n V \) does, too, as in \( \text{1.38} \). \( \square \)
1.40. Definition. A *CW-resolution* of a $\Pi_A$-algebra $\Lambda \in \Pi_A$-Alg is a cofibrant replacement $\varepsilon : G_\bullet \xrightarrow{\simeq} c(\Lambda)_\bullet$ (in the model category of simplicial $\Pi_A$-algebras from §7), which is also a CW object with CW basis $(G_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ consisting of free $\Pi_A$-algebras.

1.41. Assumptions. In order to formulate our results most efficiently, in addition to the assumptions of §1.1 and §1.9 we henceforth also require:

1. The category $C^{\Delta^op}$ of simplicial objects over $C$ has a resolution model category structure (see §J and compare [DKS]) with respect to $A$.

2. There is a realization functor $\| - \| : C^{\Delta^op} \to C$, equipped with initial augmentation $\eta : W_\bullet \to \| W_\bullet \|$, such that for any augmented simplicial object $\varepsilon : W_\bullet \to Y$ over $C$ where the associated augmented simplicial $\Pi_A$-algebra $\varepsilon_\# : \pi_A W_\bullet \to \pi_A Y$ is acyclic (that is, $\varepsilon_\# : \pi_A W_\bullet \to c(\pi_A Y)_\bullet$ is a weak equivalence, as in §1.7), the natural map $\| W_\bullet \| \to Y$ induces an isomorphism in $\Pi_A$-Alg.

This would typically be defined as a coend, as for the usual geometric realization (but see §BJT §4.10)).

These assumptions hold in our motivating example of §1.8.

1.42. Example. Let $C = Top_0$ (see §1.9) and $A = S^n$ for some $n \geq 1$. In this case, $\| W_\bullet \|$ is the geometric realization, and condition 2 follows from the collapse of the Bousfield-Friedlander spectral sequence under the given hypotheses (see [BF] Theorem B.5). However, $R$-local spaces in $Top_0$ also satisfy these assumptions, as do differential graded (Lie) algebras over $Q$ (see [Q2]), with $\| - \|$ a suitable homotopy colimit – and more generally, for other $E^2$-model categories in the sense of [BJT] §4.8).

1.43. Remark. If we set $Z_{-1} G_\bullet := \Lambda$ and $\overline{G_n} := \varepsilon$, any CW object $G_\bullet$ for which each $\overline{G_n}$ is a free $\Pi_A$-algebra and each attaching map $\partial_0 \overline{G_n}$ surjects onto $Z_{-1} G_\bullet$, $(n \geq 0)$ is a CW-resolution of $\Lambda$. We can then make $G_\bullet$ into an augmented simplicial CW object by setting $G_{-1} := \Lambda$ with $\varepsilon_0 : G_0 \to \Lambda$ as the augmentation.

2. Realizing simplicial $\Pi_A$-algebra resolutions

The main technical tool needed in this paper is an explicit version, and generalization, of [35] Theorem 3.16], which states that any algebraic resolution $V_\bullet$ of a realizable $\Pi_A$-algebra $\Lambda$ may be realized by a simplicial space $W_\bullet$. This $W_\bullet$ must be of a particular form, which we now describe. Throughout this section we assume that $A \in C$ is as in §1.11 and $\Pi_A$ as in §1.1.

Our goal here is to show how to realize a CW (algebraic) resolution $V_\bullet$ of a realizable $\Pi_A$-algebra $\Lambda = \pi_A Y$, with CW basis $\{V_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, by an augmented simplicial object $W_\bullet : Y$ in $C$. We would like to mimic the CW construction of $V_\bullet$ by exhibiting $W_\bullet$ as a homotopy colimit of a sequence of maps

\[
W^{[0]}[0] \xrightarrow{\iota[1]} W^{[1]}[1] \xrightarrow{\iota[2]} W^{[2]}[2] \to \ldots W^{[n-1]}[n-1] \xrightarrow{\iota[n]} W^{[n]}[n] \to \ldots,
\]

where $W^{[n]}$ realizes $V_\bullet$ through simplicial dimension $n$.

In the induction step, we pass from $X_\bullet = W^{[n-1]}$ to $W^{[n]}$ by attaching an object $\overline{B}$ realizing $V_n$ in simplicial dimension $n$, as for $V_\bullet$. By Lemma 1.36 it
is enough to find an attaching map \( f : \mathbb{B} \boxtimes S^{n-1} \rightarrow C_*^M X_* \) in \( \mathbf{Ch} \). Unfortunately, there are obstructions to doing so in general (see \cite{BJT1, BJT3}), hence we must:

1. replace \( \mathbb{B} \boxtimes S^{n-1} \) with a (strongly) cofibrant object \( D_* \);
2. realize the algebraic attaching map \( f \) of Lemma 1.36 by a map \( F : D_* \rightarrow C_*^M (X_*) \) in \( \mathbf{Ch} \); and
3. modify the result of Lemma 1.36 to obtain a Reedy cofibration \( X_* \rightarrow X_* \{F\} \), with Reedy fibrant target (see (2.14)), playing the role of \( \iota[n] \) above.

This section will treat each of these steps separately.

### 2. A. Strongly cofibrant chain complexes

Recall from §1.18 that weak equivalences in \( \mathbf{Ch}^{\leq n} \) are defined entrywise and that an \( n \)-chain complex \( D_* \) is strongly cofibrant precisely when the structure map \( \text{Cof}(\partial_{k+1}) \rightarrow D_k-1 \) (out of “\( k \)-chains modulo boundaries”) is a cofibration for each \( k \). Thus if \( D_* \in \mathbf{Ch}^{\leq n-1} \), \( D_k \) must be contractible for \( k \neq n-1 \), since then \( \mathbb{B} \boxtimes S^{n-1} \) is a strongly cofibrant approximation to \( \mathbb{B} \boxtimes S^{n-1} \).

As explained in \cite[§15.2]{Hir}, it is natural to construct \( D_* \) by a descending induction on \( 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \), starting with \( D_{n-1} = \mathbb{B} \) (assumed cofibrant by §1A). Since \( D_n = \ast \), also \( \text{Cof}(\partial_n) = \mathbb{B} \), therefore by construction \( D_{n-2} \) must be a cone on \( \mathbb{B} \) in the sense of \cite[§2]{Q1}.

We could of course choose \( D_{n-2} \) to be the standard cone \( C\mathbb{B} \) of §1.1, but we will require more general (strongly) cofibrant objects, in order to replace certain maps by fibrations (see Lemma A.2). Therefore, for each \( 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \) we merely require that there be given a (strict) cofibration sequence in \( \mathbf{C} \):

\[
\Sigma^k \mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{\iota^{n-k}} C\Sigma^k \mathbb{B} \rightarrow C\Sigma^{k+1} \mathbb{B}
\]

with \( C\Sigma^k \mathbb{B} \simeq \ast \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \) (with the convention that \( C\Sigma^{-1} \mathbb{B} := \mathbb{B} \)). Thus \( \Sigma^k \mathbb{B} \) is indeed a model for the suspension of \( C\Sigma^{k-1} \mathbb{B} \), and, as a consequence, the \( k \)-th suspension of \( \mathbb{B} \), in the sense of \cite[loc. cit.]{Q1} (see (2.27) below).

If we let \( D_k := C\Sigma^{n-k-2} \mathbb{B} \), the differential \( \partial^D_k : D_k \rightarrow D_{k-1} \) is defined to be the composite of

\[
C\Sigma^{n-k-2} \mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{\tau^{n-k-2}} \Sigma^{n-k-1} \mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{\tau^{n-k-1}} C\Sigma^{n-k-1} \mathbb{B} ,
\]

even for \( k = 0 \). Moreover, \( \Sigma^{n-k} \mathbb{B} = \text{Cof}(\partial^D_k) \) (a strict cofiber, since \( \tau^{n-k-2} \) is epic), so the cofibration \( \tau^{n-k} \) shows that \( D_* \) is indeed strongly cofibrant.
The first three stages of the process are depicted in the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Sigma B \\
\downarrow \tau^2 \\
\Sigma^2 B
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\Sigma B \\
\downarrow \tau^1 \\
C \Sigma B
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
B \\
\downarrow \partial^D \\
\partial^D_n
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
\ast \\
\rightarrow \partial_n
\end{array}
\]

The parallelograms on the left are (homotopy) pushouts, and the triangles are used to define the differentials, with cofibrations and weak equivalences as indicated.

When we use standard cones and suspensions throughout, we obtain the standard cofibrant replacement for \( B \boxtimes S^{n-1} \), which we denote by \( D_s^{[n]}(B) \).

2B. Realizing attaching maps

Assume given a CW resolution \( V_n \) of \( \Lambda = \pi^* \mathcal{Y} \) in \( \Pi_A\text{-}Alg^{\Delta^n} \), with CW basis \( \{ V_n \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \), and a Reedy fibrant \((n-1)\)-truncated augmented simplicial object \( X_n \) in \( C \), realizing \( V_n \) through simplicial dimension \( n-1 \), with \( X_1 = Y \). In addition, assume we have (cofibrant) \( B \) realizing \( V_n \), and we would like to construct a map \( \Sigma B \boxtimes S^{n-1} \rightarrow C^M X_n \) in \( Ch^{<n} \) realizing the (algebraic) chain map \( f : \Sigma V_n \boxtimes S^{n-1} \rightarrow C^M (sk_{n-1} V_n) \), in order to apply Lemma 1.36. As noted above, we must first replace \( B \boxtimes S^{n-1} \) by a (strongly) cofibrant \( D_s \) to produce \( F : D_s \rightarrow C^M X_n \), using the following.

2.5. Proposition. Given a CW resolution \( V_n \), a Reedy fibrant \((n-1)\)-truncated augmented simplicial object \( X_n \), an object \( B \in C \) realizing \( V_n \), and a strongly cofibrant \( D_s \) as above, the algebraic attaching map \( f : \Sigma V_n \boxtimes S^{n-1} \rightarrow C^M (sk_{n-1} V_n) \) can be realized by a chain map \( F : D_s \rightarrow C^M X_n \).

Proof. We construct \( F_k \) by a downward induction on coskeleta (see §1.B), for \(-1 \leq k \leq n-1\).

To start the induction we must choose \( F_{n-1} : D_{n-1} = B \rightarrow C^M X_n \). Since \( \pi^* \mathcal{X}_k = V_k \) for all \( 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \) by assumption, the algebraic attaching map \( \partial^D_0 : V_n \rightarrow V_{n-1} \) can be thought of as a homotopy class

\[
\alpha \in [B, X_{n-1}] = \pi^* \mathcal{X}_{n-1}(B) \cong V_{n-1}(B)
\]

\[
\cong \text{Hom}_{\Pi_A\text{-}Alg}(\pi^* \mathcal{B}, V_{n-1}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Pi_A\text{-}Alg}(\mathcal{V}_n, V_{n-1})
\]

where the next to last isomorphism follows from Lemma 1.5.

Since by Definition 1.34 \( \partial^D_0 : V_n \rightarrow V_{n-1} \) actually lands in \( C^M_{n-1} V_n \), this \( \alpha \) is a Moore chain in \( \pi_0 \text{map}_C(B, X_n) \), so by Lemma 1.31(a), \( \alpha \) can be represented by a
map $F_{n-1} : \overline{B} \to C^M_{n-1}X_*$. By Lemma 1.35, $\partial^V_{n-1}$ lands in the $(n-1)$-Moore cycles, so by Lemma 1.31(b) the $(n-2)$-Moore chain $a_{n-2} := \partial^C_{n-1} \circ F_{n-1}$ has a nullhomotopy $F_{n-2} : \partial^C_{n-1} \circ F_{n-1} \sim 0$, and thus a map $F_{n-2} : D_{n-2} = C\overline{B} \to C^M_{n-2}X_*$. 

In the $k$-th stage of the induction, we assume given $F : csk^k D_* \to csk^k C^M_*X_*$ for $X_*$ and $D_*$ as above, with $0 \leq k \leq n-2$. We shall show that we can always extend $F$ to the $(k-1)$-coskeleton by modifying $F_k$.

Note that we can decompose $\partial^C_k$ as $v_{k-1} \circ \partial^C_k$, and already $\partial^C_k \circ v_k = 0$. As a consequence, $0 = \partial^C_k \circ v_{k-1} \circ F_{k+1} = \partial^C_k \circ F_k \circ \partial^D_{k+1} = \partial^C_k \circ F_k \circ \tau^{n-k-2} \circ \tau^{n-k-3}$, and $\tau^{n-k-3}$ is epic, so we see $\partial^C_k \circ F_k \circ \tau^{n-k-2} = 0$. Thus, the pushout property in (2.4) implies there is a unique $a_{k-1} : \Sigma^{n-k-1}B \to Z^M_{k-1}X_*$ in

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{k+1} = \Sigma^{n-k-3}B & \xrightarrow{\partial^D_{k+1}} & \Sigma^{n-k-2}B \\
\downarrow \partial^C_{k+1} & & \downarrow \partial^C_k \\
\Sigma^{n-k-2}B & \xrightarrow{a_k} & Z^M_{k-1}X_* \\
\downarrow \partial^C_k & & \downarrow v_k \\
D_k = \Sigma^{n-k-2}B & \xrightarrow{\partial^D_k} & \Sigma^{n-k-1}B \\
\downarrow \partial^C_k & & \downarrow \partial^C_k \\
\Sigma^{n-k-1}B & \xrightarrow{a_{k-1}} & Z^M_{k-1}X_* \\
\downarrow v_{k-1} & & \downarrow v_k \\
D_{k-1} = \Sigma^{n-k-1}B & \xrightarrow{\partial^D_{k-1}} & \Sigma^{n-k}B \\
\end{array}
\end{equation}

satisfying

\begin{equation}
v_{k-1} \circ a_{k-1} \circ \tau^{n-k-2} = \partial^C_k \circ F_k.
\end{equation}

Note that $a_k$ in (2.7) is constructed similarly, satisfying (2.8) for $k$ rather than $k-1$, and that $F_k$ makes the upper square commute precisely when it is a nullhomotopy for $v_k \circ a_k$, that is

\begin{equation}
v_k \circ a_k = F_k \circ \tau^{n-k-2}.
\end{equation}

Similarly, $a := v_{k-1} \circ a_{k-1}$ is nullhomotopic if and only if $F_{k-1}$ extends the chain map to dimension $k-1$. Hence it remains to show that there is a choice of nullhomotopy $F_k$ such that the induced map $a$ will also be nullhomotopic.

Recall from [Sp1, §2] that choices of (homotopy classes of) nullhomotopies for the map $v_k \circ a_k : \Sigma^{n-k-2}B \to C^M_*X_*$ are in one-to-one correspondence with homotopy classes $[\eta] \in [\Sigma^{n-k-2}B, C^M_*X_*]$, where $\eta$ acts on $F_k$ by concatenation to yield $F_k \ast (\eta \circ \tau^{n-k-2})$ (see (1.2)). Furthermore, replacing $F_k$ by $F_k := F_k \ast (\eta \circ \tau^{n-k-2})$ changes $[a]$ to $[a] := [a] + [\partial^C_k \circ \eta] = [a] + \partial^\eta_k [\eta]$.

Since $0 = \partial^C_{k-1} \circ v_{k-1} \circ a_{k-1}$, it follows that $0 = [\partial^C_{k-1} \circ (-[a]) = \partial^\eta_{k-1}(-[a])$ in

\begin{equation}
\pi_* C^M_{k-1}X_*\{\Sigma^{n-k-1}B\} = C^M_{k-2} \pi_* A_* X_* \{\Sigma^{n-k-1}B\} = C^M_{k-2} V_* \{\Sigma^{n-k-1}B\}
\end{equation}
using Lemma 1.31 so 
\[-[a] \in \mathbb{Z}_k^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{V}_s \mathcal{M} / \Sigma_{k-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \]. By acyclicity of \( \mathcal{V}_s \), there is a class 
\[
[\eta] \in C_k^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{V}_s \mathcal{M} / \Sigma_{k-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B} = \pi_*^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{X}_s \mathcal{M} / \Sigma_{k-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B} = [\Sigma \Sigma_{k-1} \mathbf{B}, C_k^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{X}_s]
\]
with \([-a] = \partial_k[\eta] \). Therefore, replacing \( F_k \) by \( F_k' = F_k \circ (\eta \circ \eta_{k-2}) \) yields a nullhomotopic \( a' \), and thus allows us to extend \( F \) to dimension \( k-1 \).

2.10. Long Toda brackets. Proposition 2.5 suggests the following quick (if somewhat ad hoc) definition of long Toda brackets as the last in a bigraded collection of obstructions for rectifying certain diagrams:

Assume given an \((n+1)\)-homotopy chain complex

\[
Y_n \xrightarrow{d_n} Y_{n-1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow Y_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} Y_{-1}
\]

in \( \text{ho}\mathcal{C} \) so \( d_{k-1} \circ d_k \sim 0 \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq n \). Assume further by induction that we have rectified the final \( n \)-segment and replaced it by a diagram:

\[
C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} C_{n-2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} C_{-1},
\]

which is (strongly) fibrant (in the injective model structure on \( \mathbf{C}_n^{\mathcal{C} \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \)). This means that each \( C_k \simeq Y_k \) and \( \partial_{k-1} \circ \partial_k = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq k < n \), and that we have a map \( \hat{\partial} : Y_n \to C_{n-1} \) such that \( \partial_{n-1} \circ \hat{\partial} \sim 0 \). This defines a “chain map up to homotopy” \( \Phi : Y_n \boxtimes S^{n-1} \to C_s \) between two \((n-1)\)-truncated (augmented) chain complexes over \( \mathcal{C} \).

Using the standard strongly cofibrant replacement \( D_k^n(Y_n) \) for \( Y_n \boxtimes S^{n-1} \) (see 2-A), we can try to realize \( \Phi \) by a strict map of chain complexes \( F : D_k \to C_s \), constructed by a downward induction on \(-1 \leq k \leq n-1\). The successive obstructions to doing so are the maps \( a_k : \Sigma_{n-k-1} Y_n \to Z_k^{\mathcal{M}} C_s \) of the proof of Proposition 2.5.

As we saw in that proof, a partial chain map \( (F_i : D_i \to C_i)_{i=k+1}^n \) can be extended to dimension \( k \) if and only if \( v_k \circ a_k \sim 0 \). Thus we think of the homotopy classes of \( v_k \circ a_k \) as the intermediate obstructions to obtaining the value \( [v_k \circ a_k] \in [\Sigma_{n-k-1} Y_n, C_{-1}] \) of the \( n \)-th order Toda bracket \( \langle d_0, \ldots, d_n \rangle \). (In fact, \( v_{-1} \) is the identity in this last case.)

See [BBG, BM, BJT2, BJT4, BBS2] for more conceptual alternative definitions of higher Toda brackets.

2.C. Passage to simplicial objects

Having constructed a realization \( F : D_s \to C_s^{\mathcal{M}} X_s = C_s^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{U} X_s \) (see 1.24) of the \( n \)-th algebraic attaching map for \( X_s \), as described in 3-B, we wish to complete the passage from \( X_s = W_{s-1}^{[n]} \) to a new augmented simplicial object \( X_s[F] = W_s^{[n]} \) as in 2.1, in such a way that \( X_s[F] \) will still be Reedy fibrant and cofibrant, and the inclusion \( j : X_s \hookrightarrow X_s[F] \) will be a Reedy cofibration (two properties which will be needed for future applications).

For this purpose, let \( \tilde{F} : \mathcal{E} D_s \to \mathcal{U} X_s \) be the adjoint of \( F \) (see 1.29), with \( \ell : \mathcal{U} X_s \to \text{Cone}(\tilde{F}) \) the natural inclusion into the cone (see 1.32). Note that \( \ell \) is an acyclic cofibration in simplicial dimensions \( \leq n-1 \), so the same is true of \( \mathcal{L}(\ell) \).
We add on the degeneracies to obtain $\hat{X}_*[F]$, defined to be the following pushout in the category of augmented simplicial objects (all having the given object $Y$ in degree $-1$):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  \mathcal{C}U & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{C}X \\
  \mathcal{C}(t) \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{j} \\
  \mathcal{C} \text{Cone}(\hat{F}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{j}} & \hat{X}_*[F]
\end{array}
\]

(2.13)

where $\theta$ is the counit of the adjunction (compare (1.37)). Again, $\hat{j}$ is an acyclic cofibration in dimensions $< n$.

Choose a Reedy fibrant replacement $p^! : \hat{X}_*[F] \xrightarrow{\sim} X'_*[F]$ by factoring $\hat{X}_*[F] \to *$ as an acyclic cofibration followed by a fibration in the model category $\mathcal{C}^{X^{op}}$ of Lemma 2.15. Since $Y$ is fibrant in $\mathcal{C}$, we can choose $X'_*[F]$ to still have $Y$ in degree $-1$, because no compatibility is required in that lowest degree.

Finally, factor the composite $X_* \xrightarrow{\hat{j}} \hat{X}_*[F] \xrightarrow{p^!} X'_*[F]$ as a cofibration followed by an acyclic fibration to obtain $X_* \xrightarrow{j} X*[F] \xrightarrow{p'} X'_*[F]$, where $X*[F]$, our candidate for $W*[n]$ in (2.11), is now Reedy fibrant and cofibrant, since $X_*$ is Reedy cofibrant by assumption, and the map $v[n] : W*[n-1] \to W*[n]$ is the Reedy cofibration $j$ (which is the identity in degree $-1$):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  W*[n-1] & \xrightarrow{j} & X*[F] \\
  j[n] \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\
  W*[n] & \xrightarrow{p'} & X'_*[F] \xrightarrow{\sim} *
\end{array}
\]

(2.14)

2.15. Lemma. The objects $\hat{X}_*[F]$ and $X'_*[F]$ constructed as above are Reedy cofibrant.

Proof. By Definition 1.32 we have the following explicit description of $\text{Cone}(\hat{F})$:

\[
\text{Cone}(\hat{F})_k = X_k \amalg C\Sigma^{n-k-1}B
\]

(2.16)

for $0 \leq k \leq n$, where the new 0-th face map is $d_0|_{C\Sigma^{n-k-1}B} = F_{k-1}$ (landing in $C\Sigma^{n-k-1}X_* \subseteq X_{k-1} \subseteq \text{Cone}(\hat{F})_{k-1}$) and the new first face map is $d_1|_{C\Sigma^{n-k-1}B} = \partial_{n-1} = \partial_{n-k} \circ \tau^{n-k}$ (landing in $C\Sigma^{n-k}B \subseteq C\Sigma^{n-1}X_* \subseteq X_{k-1} \subseteq \text{Cone}(\hat{F})_{k-1}$). All other face maps $d_j$ for $j \geq 2$ restrict to 0 on $C\Sigma^{n-k-1}B$.

If we use (1.38) to define $L_*G_*$ (where $G_* := C\Sigma^{n-k-1}B$), we see that $\hat{X}_*[F]$ may be described explicitly by

\[
\hat{X}_*[F]_k = X_k \amalg C\Sigma^{n-k-1}B \amalg L_*G_*
\]

(2.17)

as in the proof of Lemma 1.36. Moreover, $L_k\hat{X}_*[F]$ splits naturally as the coproduct of $L_kX_*$ and $L_*G_*$, and the map $\sigma_k : L_k\hat{X}_*[F] \to \hat{X}_*[F]_k$ of (1.24) is the coproduct of $\sigma_k : L_kX_* \to X_k$ (which is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$, since $X_*$ is Reedy cofibrant) and
the inclusion \( L_k G_* \hookrightarrow L_k G_* \amalg G_k \) (which is also a cofibration since each \( G_i \), and thus \( L_k G_* \) and \( G_k \), are cofibrant in \( C \)).

2.18. **Lemma.** The construction of \( Z_* \rightarrow Z_*[F] \) is natural in the sense that whenever the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_* & \xrightarrow{F} & C^M(Y_*) \\
H \downarrow & & \downarrow C^M(h) \\
E_* & \xrightarrow{G} & C^M(Z_*)
\end{array}
\]

commutes in \( \text{Ch}_C \), there is an induced commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_* & \xrightarrow{j_F} & Y_*[F] \\
\downarrow h & & \downarrow \\
Z_* & \xrightarrow{j_G} & Z_*[G]
\end{array}
\]

in \( C^\Delta^+ \).

**Proof.** Take the adjoint of the original square and extend to cones by the naturality in Definition 1.32 to produce a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E}(D_*) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{U}Y_* \xrightarrow{\ell_Y} \text{Cone}(\tilde{F}) \\
\mathcal{E}(H) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{U}(h) \\
\mathcal{E}(E_*) & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathcal{U}Z_* \xrightarrow{\ell_Z} \text{Cone}(\tilde{G})
\end{array}
\]

The right square, together with the naturality square for the unit of adjunction \( \theta \), combine to produce a map of pushouts sitting in a commutative square of simplicial objects

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_* & \xrightarrow{j_F} & Y_*[F] \\
\downarrow h & & \downarrow \\
Z_* & \xrightarrow{j_G} & Z_*[G]
\end{array}
\]

in \( C^\Delta^+ \). Now recall that by Assumption 1.1 we have functorial factorizations in \( C \), and thus in \( C^\Delta^+ \) with respect to the Reedy model category (see the constructions in \cite{Hir} §15.3)).

\[ \square \]

2.D. **Sequential realizations of algebraic resolutions**

We may now summarize the procedure described above in the following

2.23. **Definition.** Assume given a CW-resolution \( V_* \) of a realizable \( \Pi_A \)-algebra \( \Lambda = \pi_*^A Y \), with CW basis \( \{ V_n \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \). A sequential realization of \( V_* \) for \( Y \) is a tower

\[
W_*^{[0]} \xrightarrow{i^{[1]}} W_*^{[1]} \xrightarrow{i^{[2]}} W_*^{[2]} \rightarrow \ldots W_*^{[n]} \rightarrow W_*^{[n]} \rightarrow \ldots
\]
Remark. 2.26. the objects \( W \) (2.27) we have a commutative diagram of horizontal cofibration sequence \( C \) such that:

(i) The augmented simplicial object \( W^{[n]} \) realizes \( V \rightarrow \Lambda \) through simplicial dimension \( n \) – that is, the \( n \)-truncation of the augmented simplicial \( \Pi \)-algebra \( \pi^A W^{[n]} \rightarrow \pi^A Y \) is isomorphic to the \( n \)-truncation of \( V \rightarrow \Lambda \), with \( W^{[0]} = Y \) and \( \iota^{[n]} = \text{Id} \).

(ii) Each \( W^{[n]} = W^{[n-1]}[F^{[n]}] \) (as in §2.C) where \( F^{[n]} : D^{[n]} \rightarrow C^M(W^{[n-1]}) \) realizes the attaching map \( \partial^V_0 \) as in §2.B.

(iii) We have an acyclic cofibration \( T^{[n]} : D^{[n]}(W_n) \rightarrow D^{[n]}_s \) of chain complexes in the projective model category structure, where \( D^{[n]}(W_n) \) is the standard strongly cofibrant replacement for \( W_n \otimes S^{n-1} \), as in §2.A.

A finite tower as in (2.24) ending at \( W^{[N]} \) will be called an \( N \)-stage sequential realization of \( V \) for \( Y \).

2.25. Lemma. The colimit \( W \) of (2.24) (with \( W_{-1} = Y \)) realizes \( V \) in the sense that \( \pi^A W \rightarrow \pi^A Y \) is isomorphic to \( V \rightarrow \Lambda \).

Proof. We can deduce from (ii) that \( W_k \) is the homotopy colimit (over \( n \geq k \)) of the objects \( W^{[n]}_k \), so it realizes \( V_k \). \( \Box \)

2.26. Remark. Condition (iii) of Definition 2.23 implies that for each \( 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \) we have a commutative diagram of horizontal cofibration sequences

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\Sigma^k W_n & \xrightarrow{\sigma^k} & C \Sigma^k W_n & \xrightarrow{\sigma^k} & \Sigma^{k+1} W_n \\
\cong & & \cong & & \cong \\
\Sigma^k W_n & \xrightarrow{\tau^k} & C \Sigma^k W_n & \xrightarrow{\tau^k} & \Sigma^{k+1} W_n
\end{array}
\]

in \( C \), in which the vertical maps are all acyclic cofibrations.

By convention, we set \( \Sigma^{-1} W_n := \ast \) and \( \Sigma^0 W_n = C \Sigma^{-1} W_n := W_n \), with the identity map as

\[
(2.28) \quad \tau^{-1} : C \Sigma^{-1} W_n \rightarrow \Sigma^0 W_n.
\]

We now have the following analogue of [BS, Theorem 2.33]:

2.29. Theorem. For \( A \in C \) as in (1.44) any CW-resolution \( V \) of a realizable \( \Pi \)-algebra \( \Lambda = \pi^A Y \) has a sequential realization

\[
W = (W^{[n]}, \iota^{[n]}, D^{[n]}, F^{[n]}, T^{[n]}{\infty})_{n=0}.
\]

Proof. For each \( n \geq 0 \), we choose once and for all a fibrant and cofibrant object \( W_n \in A \) realizing \( V_n \). We construct a sequential realization \( W \) by induction on \( n \).

We begin the induction with \( W_n^{[-1]} := c_+(Y) \) (which is Reedy (co)fibrant in \( C^{\Delta^+} \)), since \( Y \) is (co)fibrant in \( C \) – see Remark 1.38.

Note that because \( V_0 \) is a free \( \Pi \)-algebra, the \( \Pi \)-algebra augmentation \( \varepsilon : V_0 \rightarrow \Lambda \) corresponds to a unique element \( [\varepsilon_0] \in \Lambda \{V_0\} \), (see (1.6)) for which we may choose a representative \( \varepsilon_0^{[0]} : W_0 \rightarrow Y \), by Lemma 1.3. Since \( D^{[0]} := \)
$\overline{W}_0 \boxtimes S^{-1}$ is already strongly cofibrant, such a choice of $\varepsilon^{[0]}_0 : \overline{W}_0 \to Y$ defines $F^{[0]} : D^{[0]}_0 \to C^{M}_* \overline{W}^{-1}$ and thus $\overline{W}^{-1} = c(\overline{W}_0)$ (augmented to $Y$), with $\overline{W}^{[0]}_* = W^{[-1]}F^{[0]}$ a fibrant and cofibrant replacement for this, as in $\S 2.C$. The general induction step (for $n \geq 1$) is described in $\S 2.A-C$. In particular, Proposition 2.5 yields $F^{[n]} : D^{[n]} \to C^{M}_* W^{[-1]}$, and thus $W^{[n]} = W^{[-1]}[F^{[n]}]$. □

2.30. Example. In the case $n = 1$ in the proof of Theorem 2.29 (covered in the general induction step), we choose a map $\overline{W}_1 \to \overline{W}_0$ realizing the first attaching map $\partial^1_0 : V_1 \to V_0 = \overline{V}_0$, and let $\overline{C\Sigma^1 W}_1 := \overline{C W}_1$ (the usual cone). We then have a 1-truncated augmented simplicial object depicted by

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{W}^{[1]}_1 &= \overline{W}^{[1]}_0 & \overline{W}^{[1]}_0 & = \overline{W}^{[1]}_0 & \overline{W}^{[1]}_0 & = Y \\
\downarrow d^0_0 & \downarrow d^1_0 & \downarrow \varepsilon^{[1]} & \downarrow \varepsilon^{[0]} & \downarrow F_{-1} & \downarrow F_{-1} \\
\overline{W}^{[1]}_0 & = \overline{W}^{[1]}_0 & \overline{W}^{[1]}_0 & = \overline{W}^{[1]}_0 & \overline{W}^{[1]}_0 & = \overline{W}^{[1]}_0
\end{align*}
$$

To define the augmentation $\varepsilon^{[1]} : \overline{W}^{[1]}_0 \to Y$ extending $\varepsilon^{[0]}$, we use the fact that $\varepsilon \circ \partial^0_0 = 0$ in $\Pi_A$-Alg to deduce that $\varepsilon^{[0]} \circ \partial^0_0$ is nullhomotopic, and any nullhomotopy $F_{-1}$ defines $\varepsilon^{[1]}$ on $\overline{C W}_1$. Now apply the process of $\S 2.C$ to obtain $W^{[1]}$.

3. Comparing sequential realizations

Sequential realizations, and the resulting simplicial resolutions as constructed in Section 2, play a central role in our theory of higher homotopy operations, but they depend on many particular choices. We now explain how any two such simplicial spaces are related by a zigzag of maps of a particularly simple form.

We first note the following general fact about model categories, which allows us to embed any two weakly equivalent objects as strong deformation retracts of a common target:

3.1. Lemma ([BS Lemma 3.1]). If $X$ and $Y$ are two weakly equivalent fibrant and cofibrant objects in a pointed simplicial model category $\mathcal{C}$, there are maps as in the
following commuting diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{
Y \ar[rr]^{\text{inc}} \ar[d]_{\rho} & & X \amalg Y \ar[dl]_{\ell} \ar[dll]_{k} \ar[r]^{\phi} & Z' \ar[dl]_{\rho'} \ar[dllll]_{p} \ar[r]^{\psi} & \ar[dllll]_{e} \ar[dl]_{i} \ar[dd]_{q} \ar[dr]_{\epsilon} & \\
X & & & & & & Z''
}\end{array}
\]

with (co)fibrations and weak equivalences as indicated, such that

\[\phi = (\text{Id}_X \uplus \rho \circ u) \circ (\ell \circ k \downarrow \text{Id}_Y) = (\text{Id}_X \uplus \ell \circ k) \downarrow (\rho \circ u \downarrow \text{Id}_Y) : X \amalg Y \to X \times Y\]

factors as \(X \amalg Y \xrightarrow{F} Z \xrightarrow{G} X \times Y\), where \(F\) is a cofibration which is an acyclic cofibration on each summand, and \(G\) is a fibration which is an acyclic fibration onto each factor.

3.3. Definition. Given two CW resolutions \(\varepsilon : V_* \to \Lambda\) and \(\varepsilon' : V_*' \to \Lambda\) of the same \(\Pi_A\)-algebra \(\Lambda\), with CW bases \((\nabla_n)_{n=0}^\infty\) and \((\nabla'_n)_{n=0}^\infty\), respectively, an algebraic comparison map \(\Psi : V_* \to V_*\) between them is a system

\[
(3.4) \quad \Psi = \langle \phi, \rho, (\nabla_n, \nabla'_n)_{n=0}^\infty \rangle,
\]

where \(\phi : V_* \to V_*\) is a split monic weak equivalence of simplicial \(\Pi_A\)-algebras with retraction \(\rho : V_* \to V_*\) (satisfying \(\varepsilon' \circ \nabla_0 = \varepsilon\)), induced by inclusions of coproduct summands \(\nabla_n : \nabla_n \hookrightarrow \nabla'_n\) with retractions \(\nabla_n : \nabla_n \twoheadrightarrow \nabla'_n\) for each \(n \geq 0\).

In this context we can sharpen Lemma 3.1 as follows:

3.5. Lemma ([BS, Lemma 3.7]). For any two free CW resolutions \(\varepsilon^{(i)} : V_*^{(i)} \to \Lambda\) of the same \(\Pi_A\)-algebra \(\Lambda\), with CW bases \((\nabla_n^{(i)})_{n=0}^\infty\) \((i = 0, 1)\), there is a CW resolution \(\varepsilon : V_* \to \Lambda\) with CW basis \((\nabla_n \amalg \nabla_n^{(0)} \amalg \nabla_n^{(1)})_{n=0}^\infty\) and algebraic comparison maps \(\Psi^{(i)} : V_*^{(i)} \to V_*\) for \(i = 0, 1\).

3.6. Definition. Given an algebraic comparison map \(\Psi = \langle \phi, \rho, (\nabla_n, \nabla'_n)_{n=0}^\infty \rangle\) between \(V_*\) and \(V_*'\), as in 3.3, and sequential realizations \(\mathcal{W}\) of \(V_*\) and \(\mathcal{W}'\) of \(V_*'\), a comparison map \(\Phi : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}'\) over \(\Psi\) is a system

\[
(3.7) \quad \Phi = \langle e^{[n]}, r^{[n]}, \tilde{e}^{[n]}, \tilde{r}^{[n]}, \hat{e}^{[n]}, \hat{r}^{[n]}, \nabla^{[n]}_n, \nabla'^{[n]}_n \rangle_{n=0}^\infty
\]

consisting of:

(i) A split augmented simplicial map \(e^{[n]} : \mathcal{W}^{[n]}_* \to \mathcal{W}'^{[n]}_*\) with retraction \(r^{[n]} : \mathcal{W}^{[n]}_* \to \mathcal{W}^{[n]}_*\), realizing \(\phi : V_* \to V_*'\) and \(\rho\), respectively, through simplicial dimension \(n\).
(ii) a split cofibration of chain complexes, $\hat{\varphi}^{[n]} : D_{r}^{[n]} \to D_{l}^{[n]}$ with retraction $\hat{\varphi}^{[n]} : D_{r}^{[n]} \to D_{s}^{[n]}$.

(iii) a split cofibration $\tau^{[n]} : \mathfrak{W}_{r} \to \mathfrak{W}_{l}$ of objects in $C$, realizing $\varphi_{r}$ with retraction $\tau^{[n]} : D_{r}^{[n]}(\mathfrak{W}_{r}) \to D_{l}^{[n]}(\mathfrak{W}_{l})$ induced by $\tau^{[n]}$. We then require that the following diagram in chain complexes commute (in each vertical direction):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{s}^{[n]}(\mathfrak{W}_{r}) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{[n]}} & D_{s}^{[n]} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{s}^{[n]}(\mathfrak{W}_{l}) & \xrightarrow{\tau^{[n]}} & D_{s}^{[n]}
\end{array}
\]

(3.8)

Note that $\hat{\varphi}^{[n]}$ induces a map of chain complexes $\hat{\varphi}^{[n]} : D_{r}^{[n]}(\mathfrak{W}_{r}) \to D_{s}^{[n]}(\mathfrak{W}_{l})$ with retraction $\tau^{[n]} : D_{s}^{[n]}(\mathfrak{W}_{l}) \to D_{s}^{[n]}(\mathfrak{W}_{l})$ induced by $\tau^{[n]}$. We then require that the following diagram in chain complexes commute (in each vertical direction):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{W}_{r} & \xrightarrow{\tau^{[n]}} & \mathfrak{W}_{l} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{W}_{l} & \xrightarrow{\tau^{[n]}} & \mathfrak{W}_{l}
\end{array}
\]

(3.11)

If $e^{[n]} : W_{r}^{[n]} \to W_{l}^{[n]}$ (hence also $r^{[n]} : W_{r}^{[n]} \to W_{l}^{[n]}$) is a Reedy weak equivalence, and in addition each induced map $\hat{\varphi}^{[n]} : \Sigma^{k}W_{r} \to \Sigma^{k}W_{l}$ (hence each map $\hat{\varphi}_{k}^{[n]} : \Sigma^{k}W_{r} \to \Sigma^{k}W_{l}$) is a weak equivalence in $C$, we say that $\Phi$ is a trivial comparison map.

If we only have

\[
\Phi = (e^{[n]}, r^{[n]}, \hat{\varphi}^{[n]}, \tau^{[n]}, \tau^{[n]}_{n=0})
\]

as above, we say that $\Phi : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}$ is an $N$-stage comparison map over $\Psi$. This completes our Definition.

3.10. Remark. We note for future reference that a comparison map $\Phi$ as above yields maps fitting into commuting diagrams as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma^{k}W_{r} & \xrightarrow{r^{[n]}} & C\Sigma^{k}W_{r} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma^{k}W_{l} & \xrightarrow{r^{[n]}} & C\Sigma^{k}W_{l}
\end{array}
\]

(3.12)

for each $0 \leq k < n$, in which both upward and downward squares commute, as well as satisfying $C\tau_{r}^{[n]} \circ C\tau_{r}^{[n]} = \text{Id}$ and $\tau_{k}^{[n]} \circ \tau_{k}^{[n]} = \text{Id}$.

Moreover, for each $0 \leq k < n$, both squares in the following diagram commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma^{n-k-1}W_{r} & \xrightarrow{F_{k}} & C\Sigma^{n-1}W_{r}^{[n-1]} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma^{n-k-1}W_{l} & \xrightarrow{F_{k}} & C\Sigma^{n-1}W_{l}^{[n-1]}
\end{array}
\]

(3.13) Remark. Consider the (strict) cofibration sequence:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma^{k}W_{r} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{r}^{[n]}} & \Sigma^{k}W_{l} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma^{k}X_{r} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{r}^{[n]}} & \Sigma^{k}X_{l}
\end{array}
\]

(3.14)

(which defines the right map and space). Because of the splitting $\tau_{r}^{[n]}$ for $\tau_{r}^{[n]}$, mapping (3.14) into $\Sigma^{k}W_{r}$ yields a Puppe exact sequence. Since $[\text{Id} - \tau_{r}^{[n]} \circ \tau_{r}^{[n]}] \in$
\[ \Sigma^k \mathcal{W}_n, \Sigma^k \mathcal{W}_n \] is in \( \text{Ker}(\tau^k_{[n]} \#) \), we obtain a map \( \tau^k_{[n]} : \Sigma^k X_n \to \Sigma^k \mathcal{W}_n \) with \( \tau^k_{[n]} \circ m^k_{[n]} \sim \text{Id} \circ \tau^k_{[n]} \). Thus

(3.15) \[ \Sigma^k \mathcal{W}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma^k X_n \sqcup \Sigma^k \mathcal{W}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma^k \mathcal{W}_n \]

are inverse weak equivalences for each \( 0 \leq k < n \).

3.16. **Definition.** We say two \( n \)-stage sequential realizations \( \mathcal{W} \) and \( \mathcal{W}' \) for \( Y \in \mathcal{C} \) are **weakly equivalent** if there is a finite zigzag of cospans of \( n \)-stage comparison maps connecting \( \mathcal{W}' \) to \( \mathcal{W} \), say

(3.17) \[ \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)}} \mathcal{W}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}^{(2)}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}^{(N-1)}} \mathcal{W}^{(N-1)} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}^{(N)}} \mathcal{W}^{(N)} = \mathcal{W}' \]

We say sequential realizations \( \mathcal{W} \) and \( \mathcal{W}' \) for \( Y \in \mathcal{C} \) are weakly equivalent if each of their \( n \)-stage approximations are such, with respect to a given (possibly infinite) zigzag which is “locally finite” in the sense that for each \( n \), all but a finite number of maps in the zigzag are isomorphisms on the \( n \)-truncations.

The following result is used below to show that our main constructions are independent of choices of sequential realizations; it is dual to [BBS1, Theorem 3.20]. The proof is in Appendix A.

3.18. **Theorem.** Given two \( \Lambda \)-equivalent spaces \( Y \) and \( Y' \) with \( \Lambda \cong \pi_*^A Y \cong \pi_*^A Y' \), any two sequential realizations \( \mathcal{W} \) and \( \mathcal{W}' \) of two CW resolutions \( V_* \to \Lambda \) and \( V_* \to \Lambda \) for \( Y \) and \( Y' \), respectively, are weakly equivalent in the sense of Definition 3.16.

4. **Higher Homotopy Operations**

We are now in a position to define our notion of higher homotopy operations based on sequential realizations. These are simpler than the full simplicial operations studied in [BM, BJT2, BBS1], though not strictly linear in the sense of [BJT3, §6] (see also [BJT4, §7]).

Our operations appear as the successive obstructions to augmenting a given simplicial object \( \mathcal{W}_* \), obtained as the colimit of (2.24) for some sequential realization \( \mathcal{W} \), to a fixed object \( Z \in \mathcal{C} \): the \( n \)-th operation will be the obstruction to extending an augmentation \( \mathcal{W}_*^{[n]} \to Z \) to \( \mathcal{W}_*^{[n+1]} \). Thus in this and the following three sections we will be working with unaugmented (possibly restricted) simplicial objects, implicitly applying \( \sigma^*(-) \) (see 0.9) throughout.

4.1. **Remark.** An augmentation from a simplicial object \( \mathcal{W}_* \) to \( X \) in \( \mathcal{C} \) is just a map \( \mathcal{W}_* \to c(X)_* \) in \( \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{op}} \). However, since the target is not fibrant as an unaugmented simplicial object (see Remark 1.28), we choose once and for all a fibrant replacement \( c(X)_* \xrightarrow{\simeq} U_* \to * \) in the Reedy model category \( \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{op}} \). We thus can think of a homotopy augmentation \( \varepsilon : \mathcal{W}_* \to U_* \) as a homotopy meaningful version of an augmentation (which need not factor through a strict augmentation \( \varepsilon : \mathcal{W}_* \to c(X)_* \), in general).
Recall now that the standard cosimplicial simplicial set $\Delta^\bullet$ is given by the standard simplicial maps between the standard simplices $(\Delta^k)^{\infty}_{k=0}$, where $\eta^i : \Delta^{k-1} \to \Delta^k$ is the inclusion of the $i$-th facet, and $\sigma^j : \Delta^k \to \Delta^{k-1}$ is the $j$-th collapse map (see [BK, X, 2.2]). Applying the simplicial exponentiation $X(-)$ to each $\Delta^k$ (for the fixed fibrant object $X$) yields a simplicial object $U^\bullet := X^{\Delta^\bullet}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$, which will serve as our canonical fibrant replacement for $c(X)_\bullet$. The Reedy weak equivalence $p^*: c(X)_\bullet \to X^{\Delta^\bullet}$ is induced by $p : \Delta^\bullet \to \ast$.

4.2. Definition. We distinguish two levels of data needed to define our higher operations: the basic initial data consists of

$$(*) = \langle Y, X, \vartheta \rangle,$$

where $Y$ and $X$ are objects in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\vartheta : \pi_*^A Y \to \pi_*^A X$ is a map of $\Pi_A$-algebras.

while the specific initial data consists in addition of

$$(**) = \langle W, E^{[0]} \rangle,$$

with

$W$ a sequential realization of a CW-resolution $V_\bullet \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \pi_*^A Y$,

and $E^{[0]} : W_\bullet^{[0]} \to U_\bullet$ realizing $\vartheta \circ \varepsilon_0 : V_0 \to \pi_*^A X$.

Further conditions on the map $E^{[0]}$ will depend on the specific contexts we have in mind in Sections 5 and 7 below.

Given $(**)$, our goal is to extend $E^{[0]}$ inductively to $n$-maps $E^{[n]} : W_\bullet^{[n]} \to U_\bullet$ realizing $\vartheta \circ \varepsilon : V_\bullet \to \pi_*^A X$ through simplicial dimension $n$. A strand for $(**)$ is an infinite sequence $E^{[\infty]} = (E^{[0]}, E^{[1]}, \ldots)$ of such $n$-maps satisfying $E^{[n-1]} = E^{[n]} \circ \varepsilon^{[n]}$ for each $n \geq 1$.

4.3. Remark. We would like to think of

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{E}D_\bullet \xrightarrow{\widehat{F}} \mathcal{U}W_\bullet^{[n-1]} \xrightarrow{\ell} \text{Cone}(\widehat{F})$$

of $\mathcal{U}C$ as a homotopy cofibration sequence of restricted simplicial objects, with the homotopy class of $E^{[n-1]} \circ \widehat{F} : \mathcal{E}D_\bullet \to \mathcal{U}U_\bullet$ — more precisely, of the realization of corresponding full simplicial objects — as our obstruction to extending the $(n-1)$-map $E^{[n-1]}$ to a map $\widehat{E} : \text{Cone}(\widehat{F}^{[n]}) \to \mathcal{U}U_\bullet$ — and so, by the pushout property of $(2.13)$, to a map $\widehat{E}^{[n]} : \widehat{W}_\bullet^{[n-1]}[F^{[n]}] \to U_\bullet$.

4.5. Lemma. If we can extend an $(n-1)$-map $E^{[n-1]} : W_\bullet^{[n-1]} \to U_\bullet$ for $(**)$ to $\widehat{E}^{[n]} : \widehat{W}_\bullet^{[n-1]}[F^{[n]}] \to U_\bullet$, then it extends further to an $n$-map $E^{[n]} : W_\bullet^{[n]} \to U_\bullet$.

Proof. Choosing a lift $E'$ in the diagram

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
W_\bullet^{[n-1]}[F^{[n]}] & \xrightarrow{p'} & \ast \\
& \mathcal{E}D_\bullet & \mathcal{U}W_\bullet^{[n-1]} \xrightarrow{\ell} \text{Cone}(\widehat{F}) \quad \mathcal{U}U_\bullet \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} \mathcal{U}U_\bullet
\end{array}$$
(compare (2.14)) defines a map $E'' := E' \circ p : W^{n-1}_\bullet \to U_\bullet$, fitting into the following solid diagram:

![Diagram](image)

where the composite $W^{n-1}_\bullet \to U_\bullet$ agrees with $E''$ only up to homotopy. Since $i^{[n]}$ is a cofibration, by [BJT1, Corollary 4.20], we can alter $E''$ within its homotopy class to obtain the required $E^{[n]}_\bullet$, with $E'' = E^{[n]}_\bullet \circ i^{[n]}$. □

4.8. Extending $n$-maps. We need a more concrete identification of the extension of a given $(n-1)$-map $E^{[n-1]}_\bullet : W^{n-1}_\bullet \to U_\bullet$ to $\hat{E}^{[n]}_\bullet : \hat{W}^{n-1}_\bullet \to X^{\Delta^k}$ in order both to exhibit the obstruction to obtaining $\hat{E}^{[n]}_\bullet$ as the value of a higher operation, and to verify that it has the necessary properties (in particular, the ability to compare values for various sequential realizations).

From the proof of Lemma 2.15 we see that in order to construct $\hat{E}^{[n]}_\bullet$ we need entries $\hat{E}^{[n]}_k : C\Sigma^{n-k-1}W_n \to X^{\Delta^k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$ (see (2.7)). In order for this $\hat{E}^{[n]}_\bullet$ to be a simplicial map, we must have:

$$\begin{align*}
(\eta^i)^* \circ \hat{E}^{[n]}_k &= E^{[n-1]}_k \circ w_{k-1} \circ F_{k-1}, \\
(\eta^i)^* \circ \hat{E}^{[n]}_k &= \hat{E}^{[n-1]}_k \circ \partial^D_{n-k-1}, \\
(\eta^i)^* \circ \hat{E}^{[n]}_k &= 0 \text{ for } i \geq 2,
\end{align*}$$

(4.9)

where $\partial^D_i$ is the differential of $D_\bullet$, given by (2.3), and $\eta^i : \Delta^{k-1} \to \Delta^k$ is the $i$-th coface of $\Delta^\bullet$, given by $\Delta^{k-1} \cong \partial_i \Delta^k \hookrightarrow \Delta^k$.

4.10. Folding polytopes. For any $K \in C$, we can iterate the quotient maps $C\Sigma K \to \Sigma K$ to obtain $\theta^{n-k-1} : C^{n-k-1}W_n \to \Sigma^{n-k-1}W_n$. Precomposing $\hat{E}^{[n]}_k : C\Sigma^{n-k-1}W_n \to X^{\Delta^k}$ with this, together with $\tau^{n-k-1}$ of (2.27), yields

$$
C^{n-k}W_n \xrightarrow{\hat{E}^{[n]}_k} \Sigma^{n-k}W_n \xrightarrow{\tau^{n-k-1}} \Sigma^{n-k-1}W_n \xrightarrow{\hat{E}^{[n]}_k} \Sigma^{n-k}W_n \xrightarrow{\tau^{n-k-1}} \Sigma^{n-k-1}W_n \xrightarrow{\hat{E}^{[n]}_k} X^{\Delta^k}.
$$

Since $\Delta^{i+1} \cong C\Delta_i$ for each $i$, this composite is adjoint to a pointed map $\hat{E}^{[n]}_k : W_n \otimes \Delta^n \to X$. There are $n + 1$ such maps, corresponding to (co)simplicial dimensions $0 \leq k \leq n$.

If we denote the copy of $\Delta^n$ associated to $\hat{E}^{[n]}_k$ by $\Delta^n_{(k)}$, then the first $k + 1$ facets $\partial_0 \Delta^n_{(k)}, \ldots, \partial_k \Delta^n_{(k)}$ of $\Delta^n_{(k)}$ are associated with the corresponding facets of the $\Delta^k$ in $X^{\Delta^k}$ under the adjunction, the next $n - k - 1$ facets are associated to the suspension directions of $C\Sigma^{n-k-1}W_n$ (so $\hat{E}^{[n]}_k$ maps them to the basepoint), and the $n$-th (last) facet corresponds to the cone direction.
For each \( n \geq 1 \), the \( n \)-th folding polytope \( P^n \) is then constructed from the disjoint union of the \( n + 1 \) \( n \)-simplices \( \Delta^n_{(0)}, \ldots, \Delta^n_{(n)} \) by identifying the \( n \)-facet \( \partial_n \Delta^n_{(k)} \) of \( \Delta^n_{(k)} \) with the 1-facet \( \partial_1 \Delta^n_{(k+1)} \) of \( \Delta^n_{(k+1)} \) for each \( 0 \leq k < n \), in keeping with the second line of (4.9) (see [BBS1, §4], and compare the cubical version in [BS, §5]).

The sub-simplicial complex of the boundary \( \partial P^n \) consisting of the union of the \( (n - 1) \)-facets \( \partial_0 \Delta^n_{(k)} \) \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) will be called the edge of \( P^n \), and denoted by \( E P^n \). See Figures 4.11 and 4.12, as well as Figure 4.16 below.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta^1_{(0)} & \quad \text{identify} \quad \Delta^1_{(1)} \\
\text{the edge } E P^1
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 4.11.** The folding polytope \( P^1 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta^2_{(0)} & \quad \text{identify} \quad \Delta^2_{(1)} \\
\Delta^2_{(2)}
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 4.12.** The folding polytope \( P^2 \)

We readily see by induction:

4.13. **Lemma.** For each \( n \geq 1 \), the realization of the triple \((P^n, \partial P^n, E P^n)\) is homeomorphic to \((B^n, S^{n-1}, B^{n-1}_+)\), where \( B^{n-1}_+ \) is the upper hemisphere of \( S^{n-1} \) in the unit ball \( B^n \).

4.14. **Example.** We show how to use the folding polytopes in the case \( n = 3 \). Given the solid 2-homotopy for \( \tilde{W}_[2]^n \) in the following diagram, we wish to extend it by the dotted maps to the (given) 3-truncated restricted augmented simplicial space \( \tilde{W}_[3]^n \). For simplicity of notation, we assume here that we have used the standard cofibrant
replacement $D_3^{[3]}(\overline{W}_3)$.

Using the identifications of (4.10) the putative map $\hat{E}_0^{[3]} : \overline{C\Sigma^2 W}_3 \to X$ would be given by a map $\tilde{E}_0^{[3]} : \overline{W}_3 \times \Delta^3_{(0)} \to X$ whose restriction to the boundary is described in adjoint form on the left in Figure 4.16.

In $\partial\Delta^3_{(0)}$, facet 3 corresponds to the cone base $\Sigma^2 W_3$, facets 1 and 2 correspond to the two suspension directions (and thus map to * in $X$). In general, facets $2, \ldots, n-1$ of $\partial\Delta^3_{(k)}$ all map to * as in (4.9), while the $n$-th facet, corresponding to the cone base, agrees with the 1-facet $\partial_1 \Delta^3_{(k+1)}$ of $\Delta^3_{(k+1)}$ if $k < n$.

The remaining maps $\hat{E}_1^{[3]}$ and $\hat{E}_2^{[3]}$ can be read off Figure 4.16, where only the boundaries of the four tetrahedra are shown. The edge $EP^3$ consists of the three 2-simplices outlined in bold. The full explanation of how each facet of every $\Delta^3_{(k)}$
maps to $X^W$ is given in [BS §5], where each $n$-simplex is thought of as a quotient of an $n$-cube.

4.17. Proposition. Given an $(n - 1)$-map $E^{[n-1]}$ for data $(**)$ as in 4.4 any choice of maps $\hat{E}_k^{[n]} : C\Sigma^n - k - 1 W_n \to X^{\Delta^k}$ $(0 \leq k \leq n)$ satisfying (4.19) determines a unique map $\hat{E}^{[n]} : W_n \otimes P^\infty \to X$ with the restriction $\hat{E}_k^{[n]}$ to $W_n \otimes \Delta^n(\tau)$ adjoint as in 4.8 to $\hat{E}_k^{[n]} \circ \tau^{n-k} : C\Sigma^n - k - 1 W_n \to X^{\Delta^k}$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, and conversely.

Proof. Given maps $\hat{E}_k^{[n]} : C\Sigma^n - k - 1 W_n \to X^{\Delta^k}$ $(0 \leq k \leq n)$, we obtain maps $\hat{E}_k^{[n]} : \bar{W}_n \otimes \Delta^n \to X$ as in 4.10. The first condition in (4.19) says that the restriction of $\hat{E}_k^{[n]}$ to $\partial_k \Delta^k$ equals $\hat{E}_k^{[n-1]} \circ w_{k-1} \circ F_{k-1}$. The second condition says that its restriction to $\partial_0 \Delta^k$ equals $\hat{E}_k^{[n-1]} \circ \partial_{n-k-1} = \hat{E}_k^{[n-1]} \circ \tau^k \circ \eta_{k-1}$, corresponding to the restriction to the base of the cone and thus to the restriction of $\hat{E}_k^{[n]}$ to $\partial_n \Delta^n$, by the convention of §4.10. Since the face maps $d_i$ on $C\Sigma^n - k - 1 W_n$ vanish for $i \geq 2$, and $\hat{E}_k^{[n]}$ also vanishes at the other end of the cone direction and at both ends of the suspension directions, we obtain a map $\hat{E}^{[n]} : \bar{W}_n \otimes P^\infty \to X$ as required.

Conversely, given such a map $\hat{E}^{[n]}$, its restrictions to the $n$-simplices $\Delta^n(\tau)_0, \ldots, \Delta^n(\tau)_n$ define the maps $\hat{E}_k^{[n]}$, and thus maps $\hat{E}_k^{[n]} : C\Sigma^n - k - 1 W_n \to X^{\Delta^k}$. We now show by induction on $k \geq 0$ that these extend to maps $\hat{E}_k^{[n]} : C\Sigma^n - k - 1 W_n \to X^{\Delta^k}$ with

\begin{equation}
E_k^{[n]} = \hat{E}_k^{[n]} \circ \tau^n - k - 1
\end{equation}

satisfying

\begin{align}
(\eta^1)^* \circ \hat{E}_k^{[n]} & = E_k^{[n-1]} \circ w_{k-1} \circ F_{k-1}, \\
(\eta^1)^* \circ \hat{E}_k^{[n]} & = \hat{E}_k^{[n-1]} \circ \partial_{n-k-1}, \\
(\eta^1)^* \circ \hat{E}_k^{[n]} & = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 2.
\end{align}

To start the induction for $k = 0$, where (4.19) is vacuous, use the fact that $\tau_n - 1$ is an acyclic cofibration and $X^{\Delta^0} = X$ is fibrant to extend $\hat{E}_0^{[n]}$ to $\hat{E}_0^{[n]}$.
In the induction step, the given map \( \tilde{E}_{k-1}^{[n]} \) induces a map \( L : C\Sigma^{n-k-1}W_n \to X^{\partial \Delta^k} \) fitting into the following solid commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
C\Sigma^{n-k-1}W_n & \xrightarrow{\tilde{E}_{k-1}^{[n]}} & X^{\Delta^k} \\
\uparrow_{\tau^{n-k-1}} & & \downarrow_{\text{inc}^*} \\
C\Sigma^{n-k-1}W_n & \xrightarrow{E_{k-1}^{[n]}} & X^{\partial \Delta^k} \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

(4.20)

where the bottom portion of the diagram fits together to define \( L \) by (4.19), and the whole diagram commutes by (4.9) and (4.18).

Since the cofibration \( \text{inc} : \partial \Delta^k \hookrightarrow \Delta^k \) induces a fibration \( \text{inc}^* \) by [Q1, II, §2, SM7], and \( \tau^{n-k-1} \) of (2.27) is an acyclic cofibration, we have the lifting \( E_{k-1}^{[n]} \) by the left lifting property. The fact that (4.20) commutes implies that (4.19) and (4.18) hold for \( k \) as well, completing the induction step.

4.21. **Assumption.** Assume now that the pointed simplicial model category \( \mathcal{C} \) has an underlying unpointed simplicial model category \( \hat{\mathcal{C}} \) (see [Ho, §1.1.8]). This is the case when \( \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}_* \) or \( \text{Top}_* \), for example. Note that the two simplicial tensorings are different: thus in \( \hat{\mathcal{C}} = \text{Top} \) we have the product \( A \times K \) for \( A \in \hat{\mathcal{C}} \) and \( K \in \mathcal{S} \) as the simplicial tensor \( A \otimes K \), while for \( \mathcal{C} = \text{Top}_* \) we must set \( A \otimes K = A \times K/(\ast \times K) \), where \( \ast \) is the given basepoint in \( A \) (because \( A \otimes K \in \mathcal{C} \) must itself be pointed, while \( A \times K \) has no chosen basepoint, since \( K \) is in \( \mathcal{S} \), not \( \mathcal{S}_* \)). See [Hir] §9.1.14.

However, when \( K \) has a basepoint \( k \), we write \( A \wedge K \) for \( A \otimes K/(A \otimes \{k\}) \). We also write \( K \times L \) for the product in \( \mathcal{S} \).

In this case we have an explicit description of the following classical fact (see [BJ]):

4.22. **Lemma.** If \( A \) is cofibrant in \( \mathcal{C} \) as in 4.21, and \( B \in \mathcal{S}_* = \text{Set}_*^{\Delta_{	ext{top}}} \) is connected, with basepoint \( b \), there is a canonical weak equivalence

\[
(4.23) \quad \varphi : \Sigma A \otimes B \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma A / (\Sigma A \otimes \{b\}) \otimes B \quad \Pi \quad \Sigma A \otimes \{b\} \simeq (\Sigma A \wedge B) \vee \Sigma A
\]

where \( \varphi \) onto the first summand is the natural projection, and the reverse weak equivalence on the second summand is induced by \( \{b\} \hookrightarrow B \).
**Proof.** We have the following diagram of pushout squares with vertical cofibrations:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes (B \times \{0, 1\}) & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_1} & A \otimes (B \times I_2) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A \otimes (B \times I_1) & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & A \otimes I_2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A \otimes (B \times S^1) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & A \otimes (B \times S^1)
\end{array}
\]

(4.24)

where $I_1$ and $I_2$ are two 1-simplices and $\text{inc}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are the inclusions of the two endpoints $\{0, 1\}$ into each, so that the resulting pushout is a two-cell model of the circle $S^1$, and $\text{proj} : B \times I_1 \to I_1$ is the projection. Note that $A \otimes (B \times S^1) = (A \otimes B) \otimes S^1$.

Here $B \times S^1$ (the case where $A = \ast$) is a model of the half-smash in $S$ consisting of the unreduced suspension $SB$ with an arc connecting the two suspension points. Under the quotient map $SB \to \Sigma B$ to the reduced suspension (which is a weak equivalence, by Lemma 4.13) this becomes a wedge $\Sigma B \vee S^1$.

Note that $A \otimes (B \times S^1) \cong (A \wedge S^1) \otimes B$. Thus $A \otimes (B \times S^1)$ is a model for $\Sigma A \otimes B$, which is weakly equivalent to $\Sigma A \wedge B \vee \Sigma A$. □

4.25. **Definition.** We associate to any $(n - 1)$-map $E^{[n-1]}$ as in §4.2 a map $e_{n-1} : \mathcal{W}_n \otimes \partial P^n \to X$ which sends $\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \partial \Delta^k_{(n)}$ to $X$ by $E^{[n-1]} \circ F_{k-1}$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, and all other $(n - 1)$-simplices of $\partial P^n$ to the basepoint. Here we use the convention of the beginning of the proof of Proposition 4.2, so $F_{n-1} = d^n_0$.

Since at most two additional $(n - 1)$-facets of $\Delta^k_{(n)}$ are identified with $(n - 1)$-facets of $\Delta^k_{(k+1)}$, we may think of $C \Sigma^n - k \mathcal{W}_n$ as a quotient of $\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \Delta^k_{(n)}$, so the map induced by $E^{[n]} \circ F_{k-1}$ is well-defined. Moreover, these maps are compatible for adjacent values of $k$ (see Figure 4.16 and (4.15)).

Assuming $C$ satisfies the assumptions of §4.2 by Lemma 4.13 we can think of $e_{n-1}$ as a map $\mathcal{W}_n \otimes S^{n-1} \to X$, and because $C$ is pointed, the source is a half-smash $\mathcal{W}_n \times S^{n-1} := (\mathcal{W}_n \times S^{n-1})/(\ast \times S^{n-1})$ in the corresponding unpointed simplicial model category.

We see from the previous description that if $v_0$ is the 0-vertex of $\Delta^0_{(0)}$, then $E^{[n-1]}$ maps $\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \{v_0\}$ to $\ast$. Therefore, if we choose $v_0$ as the basepoint of $S^{n-1} \cong \partial P^n$, we see that $h_{n-1}$ is trivial when restricted to the second summand in (4.23), and is thus uniquely determined up to homotopy by the induced map $g_{n-1} : \Sigma^{n-1} \mathcal{W}_n \to X$. We define the value of the $(n - 1)$-map $E^{[n-1]}$ to be the class

\[
\text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}) := [g_{n-1}] \in [\Sigma^{n-1} \mathcal{W}_n, X] \cong \Lambda \{\Sigma^{n-1} \mathcal{W}_n\}.
\]

for $\Lambda := \pi_* X$.

4.27. **Proposition.** Given data $(\ast \ast) = (\mathcal{W}, E^{[0]})$ as in §4.2, the value for a corresponding $(n - 1)$-map $E^{[n-1]} : \mathcal{W}_n^{[n-1]} \to X^{\Delta^{\ast \ast}}$ is zero if and only if it extends to an $n$-map.

**Proof.** Evidently, $g_{n-1}$ is nullhomotopic if and only if the original map $e_{n-1}$ extends to $\mathcal{W}_n \otimes P^n$. 

If \( E^{[n-1]} \) extends to an \( n \)-map \( E^{[n]} : W^{[n]} \to X^{\Delta^*} \), as in the proof of Lemma 4.5, the acyclic fibration \( p : W^{[n]} \to W^{[n-1]}[F^{[n]}] \) in (4.17) has a section \( s : W^{[n-1]}[F^{[n]}] \to W^{[n]} \), and precomposition with the acyclic cofibration \( p' : \tilde{W}^{[n-1]}[F^{[n]}] \to W^{[n-1]}[F^{[n]}] \) yields the restriction \( \tilde{E}^{[n]} := E^{[n]} \circ s \circ p' : \tilde{W}^{[n-1]}[F^{[n]}] \to X^{\Delta^*} \) (an \( n \)-map).

Since \( s \) and \( p' \) are weak equivalences, \( W^{[n-1]} \) is Reedy cofibrant, and \( X^{\Delta^*} \) is Reedy fibrant, \( \tilde{E}^{[n]} \circ \tilde{j} : \tilde{W}^{[n-1]} \to X^{\Delta^*} \) is homotopic to \( E^{[n]} = E^{[n]} \circ \tilde{r}^{n-1} \). By the description in Definition 4.25, we see that the map \( e_{n-1} : \tilde{W}_n \times S^{n-1} \to X \) induced by \( E^{[n-1]} \) is thus homotopic to the corresponding map \( \tilde{e}_{n-1} : \tilde{W}_n \times S^{n-1} \to X \) induced by \( \tilde{E}^{[n]} \circ \tilde{j} \). The same is therefore true for the restrictions of the induced maps, \( g_{n-1} \sim \tilde{g}_{n-1} : \Sigma^{n-1} \tilde{W}_n \to X \). Since \( \tilde{g}_{n-1} \) is nullhomotopic (because \( \tilde{E}^{[n]} \circ \tilde{j} \) extends to \( \tilde{E}^{[n]} \)), so is \( g_{n-1} \), and thus \( \text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}) = 0 \).

Conversely, if \( g_{n-1} \) is nullhomotopic, then \( e_{n-1} \) extends to \( \tilde{E}^{[n]} : \tilde{W}_n \times P^n \to X \), and we let \( \tilde{E}_{k}^{[n]} \) denote the restriction of \( \tilde{E}^{[n]} \) to \( \Delta^n_{(k)} \) for each \( 0 \leq k \leq n \). By Definition 4.25 for \( h_{n-1} \) we see that the maps \( \tilde{E}_{k}^{[n]} \) satisfy (4.9), so together with the original \( (n-1) \)-map \( E^{[n-1]} \) they define a map of \( n \)-truncated restricted simplicial spaces \( \tilde{E} : \text{Cone}(\tilde{F}^{[n]}) \to X^{\Delta^*} \), and thus \( \tilde{E}^{[n]} : \tilde{W}^{[n-1]}[F^{[n]}] \to U^\bullet \) (see 4.13). Lemma 4.13 then yields the required extension. □

5. Comparing obstructions

The value we have assigned to an \( (n-1) \)-map serves as the obstruction to extending it to an \( n \)-map, but only with respect to a fixed sequential realization \( W \). We now wish to investigate to what extent the vanishing or otherwise depends on this choice of \( W \). For this purpose we require the following

5.1. Definition. Let \( (\ast) = (Y, X, \emptyset) \) be basic initial data as in §4.2 with \( c(X) \rightarrow U^\bullet \) a fibrant replacement in \( \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^op} \). Assume given in addition \( (W, E^{[0]}) \) and \( (\mathcal{W}, E^{[0]}) \) as two choices of specific initial data \( (\ast\ast) \), equipped with extensions to \( (n-1) \)-maps \( E^{[n-1]} \) and \( E^{[n-1]} \), respectively.

If \( \Phi : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \) is an \( n \)-stage comparison map, as in (3.9), write \( E^{[n-1]} = r_{\#}(E^{[n-1]}) \) if \( E^{[n-1]} = E^{[n-1]} \circ r^{[n-1]} \) and \( E^{[n-1]} = e_{\#}(E^{[n-1]}) \) if \( E^{[n-1]} = E^{[n-1]} \circ e^{[n-1]} \).

By (3.11), (3.12), and (4.15), we see that

\[
\text{Val}(r_{\#}(E^{[n]})) = (\overline{r}_{n-1}^{[n]})^*(\text{Val}(E^{[n]})) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Val}(e_{\#}(E^{[n]})) = (\overline{e}_{n-1}^{[n]})^*(\text{Val}(E^{[n]})),
\]

in the notation of (3.11).

As a result we have:

5.3. Lemma. Assume given an \( n \)-stage comparison map \( \Phi : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \), an \( (n-1) \)-map \( E^{[n-1]} \) for \( (\mathcal{W}, X, \emptyset) \), and an \( (n-1) \)-map \( E^{[n-1]} \) for \( (\mathcal{W}, X, \emptyset) \). Then:

(a) \( \text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}) = 0 \) if and only if \( \text{Val}(r_{\#}(E^{[n-1]})) = 0 \).

(b) If \( \text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}) = 0 \) then \( \text{Val}(e_{\#}(E^{[n-1]})) = 0 \), but not necessarily conversely.

This explains the need for the following:
5.4. **Definition.** Assume given basic data \((\star) = \langle Y, X, \vartheta \rangle\), with two choices of specific data \((\star\star)\) of the form \(\langle W, E^{[0]} \rangle\) and \(\langle W', E'^{[0]} \rangle\). If \(E^{[n]}\) and \(E'^{[n]}\) are \(n\)-maps associated respectively to these choices, we write \(E^{[n]} \sim E'^{[n]}\) if there is an \(n\)-stage comparison map \(\Phi : W \to W\) such that \(e_\#(E^{[n]})) = E'^{[n]}\). The equivalence relation generated by \(\sim\) is called the weak equivalence relation on \(n\)-maps, and equivalence classes are denoted by \([E^{[n]}]\).

5.5. **The universal homotopy operations.** Given basic data \((\star) = \langle Y, X, \vartheta \rangle\) as above, we think of each sequential realization \(W\) for \(Y\) as a template for an infinite sequence \((\langle \star \rangle) = ((\langle \star \rangle)_n)_{n=1}^\infty\) of higher homotopy operations, with \(\text{Cone}(E^{[n]})\) of its \((n-1)\)-st stage \(W^{\ast}_{n-1}\) serving as the template for the universal \(n\)-th order homotopy operation \(\langle \star \rangle_n\), for each \(n \geq 2\), as in \(\ref{5.3}\).

Formally, \((\langle \star \rangle)_n\) is the function which assigns to any choice of specific data \((\star\star) = \langle W, E^{[0]} \rangle\) and \((n-1)\)-map \(E^{[n-1]}\) for \((\star\star)\) the value \(\text{Val}(E^{[n-1]})\) in \(\Lambda\{\Sigma_{n-1}W_n\}\), as in \(\ref{5.2}\). We write \(\text{Vals}[E^{[n-1]}]\) for the set of all values at all such \((n-1)\)-maps \([E^{[n-1]}] \in [E^{[n-1]}]\).

We say that \((\langle \star \rangle)\) vanishes coherently for \((\star\star) = \langle W, E^{[0]} \rangle\) if for each \(n \geq 2\), we are given an \((n-1)\)-map \(E^{[n-1]}\) for \((\star\star)\) such that \(\text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}) = 0\) (and thus \(0 \in \text{Vals}[E^{[n-1]}]\)), so that \(E^{[n-1]}\) extends by Proposition \(\ref{5.2}\) to an \(n\)-map \(E^{[n]}\). Taken together, we thus obtain a strand \(E^{[\infty]}\) for \((\star\star)\).

Finally, we say that \((\langle \star \rangle)_n\) vanishes for \(X\) if there is some \((\star\star) = \langle W, E^{[0]} \rangle\), with an \((n-1)\)-map \(E^{[n-1]}\) such that \(\text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}) = 0\): that is, if \(0 \in \text{Vals}[E^{[n-1]}]\).

The following consequence of Theorem \(\ref{3.18}\) shows that we can in fact disregard the notion of \(\text{Vals}[-]\) defined for equivalence classes of \((n-1)\)-maps \([E^{[n-1]}]\), and concentrate instead on any one sequential realization \(W\) of \(Y\) to determine vanishing of \((\langle \star \rangle)_n\):

5.6. **Key Lemma.** Given \((\star) = \langle Y, X, \vartheta \rangle\) as in \(\ref{5.3}\), \((\langle \star \rangle)_n\) vanishes for \(X\) if and only if for every \((\star\star) = \langle W, E^{[0]} \rangle\) (in fact, for any \(n\)-stage sequential realization \(W\) for \(Y\)), there is an \((n-1)\)-map \(E^{[n-1]}\) with \(\text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}) = 0\).

**Proof.** By definition, \((\langle \vartheta \rangle)_n\) vanishes for \(X\) if there is some \(n\)-stage sequential realization \(\tilde{W}\) of \(Y\) and an \((n-1)\)-map \(E^{[n-1]}\) for \((\star\star) = \langle \tilde{W}, E^{[0]} \rangle\) such that \(\text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}) = 0\). By Theorem \(\ref{3.18}\) (with \(Y = \tilde{W}\)) we know that there is a finite zigzag of cospans of comparison maps connecting \(\tilde{W}\) to \(W\), say

\[
\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)} : \tilde{W}^{(0)} = \tilde{W} \to \tilde{W}^{(1)}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{(2)} : \tilde{W}^{(2)} \to \tilde{W}^{(1)}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{(3)} : \tilde{W}^{(3)} \to \tilde{W}^{(3)},
\]

and so on until \(\tilde{\Phi}^{(N)} : \tilde{W}^{(N-1)} \to \tilde{W}^{(N)} = W\). If \(\tilde{\Phi}^{(1)} = \langle e^k, r^k, \ldots \rangle_{k=0}^n\) as in \(\ref{5.3}\), we set \(E^{[n-1]}_1 := e_\#(E^{[n-1]}_1)\) (an \((n-1)\)-map for \(W^{(1)}\)), and see from \(\ref{5.2}\) that \(\text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}_1) = 0\). Similarly, if \(\tilde{\Phi}^{(2)} = \langle e^k, r^k, \ldots \rangle_{k=0}^n\) we set \(E^{[n-1]}_2 := e_\#(E^{[n-1]}_2)\) (an \((n-1)\)-map for \(W^{(2)}\)), and again see from \(\ref{5.2}\) that \(\text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}_2) = 0\). Continuing in this way we finally obtain an \((n-1)\)-map \(E^{[n-1]} = E^{[n-1]}_N\) for \(W^{(N)} = W\) with \(\text{Val}(E^{[n-1]}) = 0\), as required.

6. **Higher homotopy invariants for objects**

In this section we assume given a free simplicial \(\Pi_A\)-algebra resolution \(V_*\) of a realizable \(\Pi_A\)-algebra \(\Lambda = \pi_A^* Y\), for some \(A \in C\) as in \(\ref{1.1}\) and any \(Y \in C\).
Because each $V_n$ is a free $\Pi_A$-algebra, $V_\bullet \to \Lambda$ can be realized by an augmented simplicial object $W_\bullet \to Y$ in the homotopy category $\text{ho} \mathcal{C}$, unique up to weak equivalence. Theorem 2.29 showed that this can always be rectified to $W_\bullet \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ through a sequential realization $W$ of $V_\bullet$.

The main question we address in this section is the following: if we are given some other (cofibrant) realization $Z$ of $\Lambda$ - or equivalently, an isomorphism $\vartheta : \Lambda \to \pi_*^A Z$ - can we similarly rectify $W_\bullet \to Z$? More precisely, can we augment the given rectification $W_\bullet$ of $W_\bullet$ to $Z$ instead, at least up to homotopy?

6.1. The 0-augmentation. From the proof of Theorem 2.29 we expect a 0-augmentation, the analog of a 0-map in this context, to be completely determined by a choice of a realization $\varepsilon_0 : W_0 \to Z_0$ of $\vartheta \circ \varepsilon : V_0 \to \pi_*^A Z_0$. Indeed, such a map always exists, is unique up to homotopy, and defines a map $\varepsilon_0 : c(W_0)_\bullet \to c(Z)_\bullet$. Composing it with $p^* : c(Z)_\bullet \to Z^\Delta^\bullet = U_\bullet$ (see Definition 4.25) yields $\varepsilon^0 : c(W_0)_\bullet \to U_\bullet$.

As in (1.6) we obtain $\varepsilon^0 : W^{(-1)}_0[t^0] \to U_\bullet$, and the composite

$$W^{(0)}_\bullet = W^{(-1)}_0[t^0] \to W^{(-1)}_0[t^0] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^0} U_\bullet$$

defines the 0-augmentation $\varepsilon^0 : W^{(0)}_\bullet \to U_\bullet$. Thus, even though $\varepsilon^0$ is formally part of the specific initial data $(\bullet \bullet)$, we shall omit mention of it henceforth.

6.2. Definition. In this version of §1.2 the basic initial data consists of $(\star) = (Y, Z, \vartheta)$, with $Z$ cofibrant and $\vartheta : \Lambda \to \pi_*^A Z$ an isomorphism of $\Pi_A$-algebras while the specific initial data $(\bullet \bullet)$ consists of a sequential realization $W$ of a CW-resolution $\varepsilon : V_\bullet \to \Lambda := \pi_*^A Y$ for $Y$. As before, we let $U_\bullet = Z^\Delta^\bullet$ be our Reedy fibrant replacement for $c(Z)_\bullet$.

The corresponding $n$-maps will then be called $n$-augmentations - that is, maps $\varepsilon^{[n]} : W^{[n]}_\bullet \to U_\bullet$ realizing $\vartheta \circ \varepsilon : V_\bullet \to \pi_*^A Z \cong \pi_*^A \|U_\bullet\|$ though simplicial dimension $n$.

6.3. Definition. As in §1.25 given an $(n - 1)$-augmentation $\varepsilon^{[n-1]} : W^{[n-1]}_\bullet \to U_\bullet$ for $(\bullet \bullet)$, we define its value $\text{Val}(\varepsilon^{[n-1]})$ in $\Lambda\{\Sigma^{n-1}W_n\}$ using (1.20), and deduce from Proposition 1.27 that this is zero if and only if $\varepsilon^{[n-1]}$ extends to an $n$-augmentation $\varepsilon^{[n]} : W^{[n]}_\bullet \to U_\bullet$.

We denote by $\langle \langle Y \rangle \rangle = (\langle \langle Y \rangle \rangle_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ the universal homotopy operation $\langle \langle * \rangle \rangle = (\langle \langle * \rangle \rangle_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ as in §5.5 associated to $(\star) := (Y, Z, \vartheta : \pi_*^A Y \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_*^A Z)$.

6.4. Example. For $(\star) = (Y, Z, \vartheta)$ with $\vartheta = f_\#$ induced by an $A$-equivalence $f : Y \to Z$, we see that $\langle \langle Y \rangle \rangle$ vanishes coherently for $Z$ at any sequential realization $(\bullet \bullet) = \langle W \rangle$ of $Y$, since by assumption we have an actual augmentation $\varepsilon : W_\bullet \to c(Y)_\bullet$, inducing a homotopy augmentation $p^* \circ c(f)_\bullet \circ \varepsilon : W_\bullet \to U_\bullet$ (in the notation of §1.1). Restricting this to each $W^{[n]}_\bullet$ yields $\varepsilon^{[n]}$. This shows that $\text{Val}(\varepsilon^{[n]}) = 0$ for each $n \geq 1$, by Proposition 1.27.

We may then formulate our next main result as follows:

6.5. Theorem. For $A \in \mathcal{C}$ as in §1.4 let $\vartheta : \pi_*^A Y \to \pi_*^A Z$ be an isomorphism of $\Pi_A$-algebras. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) The system of higher homotopy operations $\langle \langle Y \rangle \rangle$ vanishes coherently for some sequential realization $W$ for $Y$;
(ii) The system \( \{ \langle Y \rangle \} \) vanishes coherently for every sequential realization for \( Y \);
(iii) \( \vartheta \) is realizable by a zigzag of \( A \)-equivalences between \( Y \) and \( Z \) (that is, \( Y \) and \( Z \) are \( A \)-equivalent).

Proof. The equivalence of the first two conditions follows from Key Lemma 5.7 and Proposition 4.27. As noted in §4.1, the equivalence of the first and third conditions then reduces to the existence of suitable homotopy augmentations:

If the system of higher operations vanishes coherently for some sequential realization \( W \) of \( Y \), there is a strand \( \varepsilon^{[\infty]} \) for \( (W, Z, \vartheta) \), and thus augmentations \( \varepsilon^{[n]} : W^n_\bullet \to U_\bullet \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). These fit together to define a homotopy augmentation \( \varepsilon : W_\bullet \to U_\bullet \) for \( W_\bullet := \text{hocolim } W_n^\bullet \), which induces an isomorphism \( \pi_0 \pi_* W_\bullet \to \pi_* \|U_\bullet\| \). By assumption §4.1(2), \( Y \) is \( A \)-equivalent to the realization \( \|W_\bullet\| \), and thus the map \( \varepsilon : \|W_\bullet\| \to \|U_\bullet\| \cong Z \) induced by the augmentation \( \varepsilon \) realizes \( \vartheta \), so \( Y \) and \( Z \) are related by a cospan of \( A \)-equivalences.

Conversely, if \( Y \) and \( Z \) are \( A \)-equivalent, they are related by a span (or cospan) of \( A \)-equivalences, so it suffices to consider the following two cases:

(a) Given an \( A \)-equivalence \( f : Y \to Z \) and a sequential realization \( W \) for \( Y \), we may assume \( f \) lifts to a map \( \hat{f} : T_\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} U_\bullet \) between the fibrant replacements for \( c(Y)_\bullet \) and \( c(Z)_\bullet \), respectively (using the functorial factorizations in \( C \), and thus in \( C^{\Lambda^{op}} \), assumed in §1.11). Postcomposing the \( n \)-augmentations \( \varepsilon^{[n]} : W^n_\bullet \to T_\bullet \) with \( \hat{f} \), we obtain \( n \)-augmentations \( \hat{f} \circ \varepsilon^{[n]} : W^n_\bullet \to U_\bullet \) still realizing \( V_\bullet \to \Lambda \), since \( f_\# : \Lambda \to \pi_* A Z \) is an isomorphism. Thus, \( \{ \langle Y \rangle \} \) vanishes coherently for \( (W, Z, \vartheta) \) by Proposition 4.27.

(b) On the other hand, given an \( A \)-equivalence \( g : Z \to Y \) and a sequential realization \( 'W \) for \( Z \), by postcomposing the \( n \)-augmentations \( \varepsilon^{[n]} : W^n_\bullet \to U_\bullet \) with \( \hat{g} : U_\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} T_\bullet \) as in (a), we obtain \( n \)-augmentations \( \hat{g} \circ \varepsilon^{[n]} : W^n_\bullet \to T_\bullet \) realizing \( V_\bullet \to \Lambda \), and thus making \( 'W \) itself, with the corresponding actual augmentations \( \alpha_Y \circ \hat{g} \circ \varepsilon^{[n]} \), into a sequential realization \( 'W \) for \( Y \). By §6.4 we thus have a strand \( \varepsilon^{[\infty]} \) for \( ('W, Y, \text{Id}_\Lambda) \), and of course the actual augmentations \( \alpha_Z \circ \varepsilon^{[n]} : W^n_\bullet \to Z \) themselves form a corresponding strand \( \varepsilon^{[\infty]} \) for \( ('W, Z, \vartheta) \), showing that the system of higher operations vanishes coherently for \( ('W, Z, \vartheta) \).

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

6.6. Corollary. If \( Y \) and \( Y' \) are weakly equivalent \( A \)-cellular spaces, any sequential realization \( W \) for \( Y \) is also a sequential realization for \( Y' \). In particular, \( W_\bullet \) has an augmentation to its realization \( \|W_\bullet\| \) inducing an \( A \)-equivalence.

Proof. Since \( Y \) and \( Y' \) are fibrant and cofibrant in the \( A \)-model category structure of §1.15 there is a homotopy equivalence \( h : Y \to Y' \) which we may compose with the augmentation \( W_\bullet \to Y \) to obtain a strict augmentation to \( Y' \). \( \square \)

6.7. The moduli space of weak homotopy types. Theorem 6.3 provides a more geometric approach to the “moduli space” \( \mathcal{M}_A \) of all \( A \)-homotopy types in the model category \( C \), described in [BDG] for \( A = S^1 \) in \( C = \text{Top}_{\Lambda} \) (see also [L]):

The primary decomposition of \( \mathcal{M}_A \) is, of course, into connected components corresponding to non-isomorphic realizable \( \Pi_A \)-algebras \( A \). For a given \( A \), we first
choose some $Y$ with $\pi^A_0 Y \cong \Lambda$ as our base point, with a sequential simplicial realization $W$ for $Y$.

We then filter the other realizations $Z$ of $\Lambda$ (not weakly equivalent to $Y$) by the greatest $n$ for which some $n$-augmentation $\varepsilon^{[n]}_{\langle W, Y, \text{id}_A \rangle}$ exists for $Z$. Up to a shift in this indexing, this corresponds to the cohomological filtration of the component of $Y$ in $\mathcal{M}_A$ given in [BDG] (see also [BJT1]).

6.8. An example in rational homotopy theory. As noted in [L42] we may apply our theory to Quillen’s model $\text{dgCL}$ of reduced differential graded Lie algebras over $\mathbb{Q}$ (DGLs) for the homotopy theory of simply-connected rational spaces (see [Q2 §1]), with $A$ the standard model for $S^0_\mathbb{Q}$, as in the following example:

Let $A_* := \mathbb{L}\langle a, b, c, x, y, z, w, e \rangle$ denote the free DGL with generators in degrees $|a| = |b| = |c| = m$ (for $m$ even), $|x| = |y| = |z| = 2m + 1$, $|c| = 3m + 1$, and $|w| = 3m + 2$, and non-zero differentials $d(x) = [b, c]$, $d(y) = [c, a]$, $d(z) = [a, b]$, and $d(w) = f$ for the Lie-Massey product $f := [a, x] + [b, y] + [c, z]$ of degree $3m + 1$. All other differentials are zero.

Similarly, let $B_* := \mathbb{L}\langle a, b, c, x, y, z \rangle$ with $|a| = |b| = |c| = m$, $|x| = |y| = |z| = 2m + 1$, and non-zero differentials $d(x) = [b, c]$, $d(y) = [c, a]$, and $d(z) = [a, b]$.

We truncate $A_*$ and $B_*$ in degree $4m$, so all Lie brackets vanish in $H_* A_* \cong H_* B_*$. Using the obvious free simplicial $\mathbb{L}_*$-algebra resolution (as graded Lie algebras), we obtain the following augmented simplicial DGL $W_* \to A_*$ in simplicial dimensions $\leq 2$ (with degrees indicated by subscripts):

(a) In dimension 0 we have $W_0 = W_0 \mathbb{L} C \mathcal{W} \mathbb{L} C \mathcal{W} \mathbb{L}$, where

(i) $W_0 = \mathbb{L}\langle 0, b_0, c_0, e_0 \rangle$ with simplicial augmentation $\varepsilon : W_0 \to A_* \mathbb{L}$ given by $0 \mapsto a$, $b_0 \mapsto b$, $c_0 \mapsto c$, and $e_0 \mapsto e$.

(ii) $C \mathcal{W}_1 = \mathbb{L}\langle \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_2, \tilde{z}_2 \rangle$ with differential $d(\tilde{x}_2) = \tilde{x}_2$, $d(\tilde{y}_2) = \tilde{y}_2$, and $d(\tilde{z}_2) = \tilde{z}_2$.

(iii) $C \mathcal{W}_2 = \mathbb{L}\langle \tilde{w}_3 \rangle$ with differential $d(\tilde{w}_3) = \tilde{w}_3$.

(These are the usual ones for the suspension in chain complexes).

(b) In dimension 1 we have $W_1 = W_1 \mathbb{L} C \mathcal{W} \mathbb{L} C \mathcal{W} \mathbb{L} W_0$, where $s_0 W_0$, as a coproduct summand, is the image of $W_0$ under the simplicial degeneracy $s_0 : W_0 \to W_1$.

We have:

(i) $W_1 = \mathbb{L}\langle x_2, y_2, z_2 \rangle$ with simplicial face maps $d_0(x_2) = [b_2, c_0]$, $d_0(y_2) = [c_2, a_0]$, and $d_0(z_2) = [a_2, b_0]$, while $d_1(x_2) = \tilde{x}_2$, $d_1(y_2) = \tilde{y}_2$, and $d_1(z_2) = \tilde{z}_2$.

(ii) $C \mathcal{W}_2 = \mathbb{L}\langle \tilde{w}_3 \rangle$ with differential $d(\tilde{w}_3) = \tilde{w}_3$.

(c) Finally, in dimension 2 $W_2 = \mathbb{L}\langle w_3 \rangle$, with simplicial face maps

$d_0(w_3) = [s_0 a_3, x_2] + [s_0 b_3, y_2] + [s_0 c_3, z_2] - s_0 [a_3, x_2] - s_0 [b_3, y_2] - s_0 [c_3, z_2]$.
If we try to augment this simplicial DGL to $B_*$, rather than $A_*$, we see that necessarily $\hat{w}_{3m+1} \mapsto f$, but then we have nowhere to map $\hat{w}_{3m+2}$ precisely because the Massey product $f := [a, x] + [b, y] + [c, z]$ survives in $B_*$. This shows us that $A_*$ and $B_*$ are not homotopy equivalent, as expected.

7. Higher homotopy invariants for maps

The systems of higher homotopy operations described in Section 6 for a $\Pi A$-algebra $\Lambda = \pi_\Lambda Y$ may be thought of as obstructions to realizing an algebraic isomorphism $\vartheta: \Lambda \cong \pi_\Lambda Z$ (necessarily an $A$-equivalence) — as well as constituting a complete set of higher invariants for the $A$-homotopy type of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ realizing the given $\Lambda$. In this section we address the analogous problem for arbitrary morphisms of $\Pi A$-algebras.

7.1. $A$-invisible maps. We begin with the simple but important case of a map $f: Y \to Z$ which is "$A$-invisible" — that is, induces the zero map $0 = f_\#: \pi_\Lambda Y \to \pi_\Lambda Z$. We can think of the associated higher invariants as obstructions to $f$ being nullhomotopic. (Note that we do not have an analogous situation for objects $Y \in \mathcal{C}$: if $\pi_\Lambda Y = 0$, the map $Y \to *$ is an $A$-equivalence, so $Y$ is $A$-weakly contractible.)

Consider a sequential realization $W$ for $Y$, with (actual) augmentations $\varepsilon^n: W^n \to Y$ ($n \geq 0$), starting with $\varepsilon^0: W_0 \to Y$. Since we assumed $f_\# = 0$, the composite $f' := f \circ \varepsilon^0: W_0 \to Z$ is nullhomotopic, and we may choose a nullhomotopy $H_0: f' \sim 0$. On the other hand, we have a nullhomotopy $F_0: C\Sigma W_1 \to Y$ for $\varepsilon^0_0 \circ d_0^1$ (as in the second step in the proof of Proposition 2.5). Thus we have the (ordinary) Toda bracket

$$\langle f, \varepsilon^0_0, d_0^1 \rangle \subseteq [\Sigma W_1, Z],$$

associated to the diagram

![Diagram](compare (0.3)). This serves as the first obstruction to extending $H_0$ to compatible nullhomotopies of the augmentations $f \circ \varepsilon^n: W^n_* \to Z$, which would induce a nullhomotopy of the map $\|f \circ \varepsilon\|: \|W_*\| \simeq Y \to Z$ (homotopic to the original $f$).

This is a special case of a more general setup:

7.3. $n$-homotopies. For our new version of $\S 4.2$, the basic initial data (*) consists of two maps $f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}: Y \to Z$ in $\mathcal{C}$ which induce the same homomorphism of $\Pi A$-algebras $\psi: \pi^A_\Lambda Y \to \pi^A_\Lambda Z$. The specific initial data (**) consists of a sequential realization $W$ of a CW-resolution $\varepsilon: V_* \to \Lambda := \pi^A_\Lambda Y$ for $Y$ (induced by the augmentation $\varepsilon: \Lambda \to Y$) together with a homotopy $H_0^{(0)}: \Sigma W_0 \to \text{Path}(Z)$.
between \( f^{(0)} \circ \varepsilon_0 \) and \( f^{(1)} \circ \varepsilon_0 \) making the following diagram commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{W}^{(0)}_0 & \xrightarrow{H^{(0)}_0} & \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \\
\varepsilon_0^{[0]} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \circ \varepsilon_1 \\
\mathsf{Y} & \xrightarrow{f^{(0)} \circ f^{(1)}} & \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}.
\end{array}
\]

Here factoring the diagonal \( \Delta : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \) as an acyclic cofibration \( \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \) followed by a fibration \( e : \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \) makes \( \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \) a path object for \( \mathbb{Z} \) in the sense of Huang §7.3.1. In general, \( \text{ev}_j : \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \) \((j = 0, 1)\) are given by the structure maps for the product; if \( \mathcal{C} = \text{Top} \), we may choose \( \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) := \mathbb{Z}^{[0,1]} \), with the obvious evaluation maps \( \text{ev}_j \) induced by the inclusions \( i_j : \{j\} \hookrightarrow [0, 1] \) \((j = 0, 1)\).

Since \( \mathbb{Z} \) is fibrant, the projections \( \text{proj}_j : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \) are fibrations, and thus the composite \( \text{proj}_j \circ e : \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \) is a trivial fibration. Since \( \mathbb{Z} \) is also cofibrant, this map has a splitting \( \sigma_j : \mathbb{Z} \to \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \) \((j = 0, 1)\). When \( \mathcal{C} = \text{Top} \) and \( \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) := \mathbb{Z}^{[0,1]} \), we may let \( \sigma_0 = \sigma_1 = 1 \), sending \( z \in \mathbb{Z} \) to the constant path at \( z \).

As in §6.1, the map \( H^{[0]} \) in (7.4) defines a 0-map \( H^{[0]} := H^{[0]} \circ \varepsilon : \mathsf{W}^{[0]} \to \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) = \star \), which we call a 0-homotopy for \( (\mathcal{W}, f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}) \).

For any \( n \geq 1 \), we then have a corresponding notion of an n-map as in §4.2 with \( \mathcal{X} := \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \), called an n-homotopy for \( (\mathcal{W}, f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}) \) namely, a map \( H^{[n]} : \mathsf{W}^{[n]} \to \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) = \star \) extending the given 0-homotopy \( H^{[0]} \). More generally we say \( H^{[n]} \) extends an \((n-1)\)-homotopy \( H^{[n-1]} \) if \( H^{[n]} \circ \varepsilon^{[n]} = H^{[n-1]} \) (see (2.24)). A difference strand is a sequence \( H^{[\infty]} := (H^{[n]})_{n=0}^{\infty} \) such that \( H^{[n]} \) extends \( H^{[n-1]} \) for each \( n \geq 1 \).

7.5. Extending n-homotopies. By Proposition 4.17 given an \((n-1)\)-homotopy \( H^{[n-1]} : \mathsf{W}^{[n-1]} \to \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) = \star \) any choice of maps \( \hat{H}^{[n]} : C_{\sum^{n-k=1}n} \to \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \) \((0 \leq k \leq n)\) satisfying (4.19) determines a unique extension to \( H^{[n]} : \mathsf{W}^{[n]} \to \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \) as in §4.23 and (if \( \mathcal{C} \) satisfies the assumptions of §4.21) this map is uniquely determined up to homotopy by the induced map \( g_{n-1} : \sum^{n-1} \to \mathsf{W}^{[n]} \to \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) \). The value of the \((n-1)\)-homotopy \( H^{[n-1]} \) is then the class \( \text{Val}(H^{[n-1]}) := [g_{n-1}] \) in \( \Lambda \{ \sum^{n-1} \mathsf{W}^{[n]} \} \), for \( \Lambda := \pi_2^* \mathsf{Z} \).

Moreover, by Proposition 4.27 the value for \( H^{[n-1]} \) is zero if and only if the \((n-1)\)-homotopy extends to an n-homotopy. However, unlike the values \( \text{Val}(\varepsilon^{[n]}) \) of §6.3 this depends also on our initial choice of a 0-homotopy \( H^{[0]} \) for \( (\mathcal{W}, f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}) \), (see §7.3). Thus the specific initial data \( \text{(**) \text{ consists here of }} (\mathcal{W}, H^{[0]}) \).

7.6. Definition. Given \( (\ast) = (f^{(0)}, f^{(1)} : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z}) \) as in §7.3 an n-stage comparison map \( \Phi : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \) between two sequential realizations for \( \mathcal{Y} \) as in (3.9), and two n-homotopies \( H^{[n]} \) and \( H^{[m]} \) for \( \mathcal{W} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \), respectively, as in §5.1 we write \( \tilde{H}^{[n]} = r_#(H^{[n]}) \) if \( H^{[m]} = r_#(H^{[m]} : \mathsf{W}^{[m]} \to \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) = \star \) and \( H^{[n]} = e_#(H^{[n]}) \) if \( H^{[m]} = e_#(H^{[m]} : \mathsf{W}^{[m]} \to \text{Path}(\mathbb{Z}) = \star \) for each \( 0 \leq k \leq m \leq n \).

By (5.2) we have

\[
(7.7) \quad \text{Val}(r_#(H^{[n]})) = (\tau_n)_*(\text{Val}(H^{[n]})) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Val}(e_#(H^{[n]})) = (\tau_n)_*(\text{Val}(H^{[n]})),
\]

between \( f^{(0)} \circ \varepsilon_0 \) and \( f^{(1)} \circ \varepsilon_0 \) making the following diagram commute:
so by Lemma 5.3:

\[(7.8) \quad \begin{align*}
    (a) & \quad \text{Val}(H^{[n]}) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \text{Val}(r_{\#}(H^{[n]})) = 0 \\
    (b) & \quad \text{If} \quad \text{Val}(H^{[n]}) = 0 \quad \text{then} \quad \text{Val}(e_{\#}(H^{[n]})) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

7.9. Definition. Given \((*) = (f(0), f(1)) : Y \to Z\) as in \(5.3\) the universal homotopy operation \(\langle\langle (*) \rangle\rangle\) of \(5.3\) will be denoted by \(\langle\langle f(0,1) \rangle\rangle = (\langle f(0,1) \rangle)^{\infty}_{n=2}\).

We then have the following analogue of Theorem 6.5:

7.10. Theorem. Let \(f(0), f(1) : Y \to Z\) be maps between fibrant and cofibrant objects in \(C\), inducing the same map of \(\Pi_A\)-algebras \(\psi : \pi_{*}^\Delta Y \to \pi_{*}^\Delta Z\). Then \(f(0)\) and \(f(1)\) are \(A\)-equivalent (see \(1.13\)) if and only if the associated system of higher operations \(\langle\langle f(0,1) \rangle\rangle\) vanishes for some (and thus for any) sequential realization \(W\) of \(Y\).

**Proof.** If \(f(0)\) and \(f(1)\) are \(A\)-equivalent, then by Remark 1.15 \(\text{CW}_A f(0)\) and \(\text{CW}_A f(1)\) are homotopic. By post-composing the augmentation of a sequential realization \(W\) of \(\text{CW}_A Y\) with the \(A\)-equivalence \(\text{CW}_A Y \to Y\), we may think of \(W\) as a sequential realization of \(Y\) (see Corollary 6.3). Similarly, we have a natural levelwise \(A\)-equivalence \(h : \text{Path}(\text{CW}_A Z) \to \text{Path}(Z)\). Therefore, given \(G : \text{CW}_A Y \to \text{Path}(\text{CW}_A Z)\) providing a homotopy \(\text{CW}_A f(0) \sim \text{CW}_A f(1)\) in \(C\) (see §7.3.1), the map \(h \circ G : \text{CW}_A Y \to \text{Path}(Z)\), composed with each augmentation \(e^{[n]} : W_0^{[n]} \to \text{CW}_A Y\), defines a map \(G^{[n]} : W_0^{[n]} \to \text{Path}(Z)\), which lifts by the splitting \(p^* : \text{Path}(Z) \to \text{Path}(Z)^{\Delta^*}\) (see \(4.1\)) to \(G^{[n]} : W_0^{[n]} \to \text{Path}(Z)^{\Delta^*}\). This defines compatible \(n\)-homotopies (see §7.3) for all \(n \geq 1\), showing that \(\langle\langle f(0,1) \rangle\rangle\) vanishes by Proposition 4.27.

Conversely, compatible \(n\)-homotopies for \(n \geq 1\) define a map \(H : W_0 \to \text{Path}(Z)^{\Delta^*}\) fitting into a commutative diagram of simplicial objects:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W_0 & \xrightarrow{H} & \text{Path}(Z)^{\Delta^*} \\
\varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon \\
c(Y) & \xrightarrow{c(Y)} & Z^{\Delta^*}
\end{array}
\]

where \(\varphi^{(j)} := p^* \circ c(f^{(j)})_0\), and the maps \(\varepsilon, \text{ev}_j, \sigma_j\) \((j = 0, 1)\) are induced by the corresponding maps of \(5.3\) and \(p^* : c(Z)_0 \to Z^{\Delta^*}\) is the Reedy weak equivalence of \(5.1\) (this time for \(Z\)).

Applying geometric realization to \((7.11)\) yields a path object

\[
\text{ev}'_0, \text{ev}'_1 : \|\text{Path}(Z)^{\Delta^*}\| \to \|Z^{\Delta^*}\| \simeq Z
\]

(see \(Q1\), I, §1), and thus \(\|H\| : \|W_0\| \to \|\text{Path}(Z)^{\Delta^*}\|\) is a homotopy between \(\|p^*\| \circ f(0) \circ \|\varepsilon\|\) and \(\|p^*\| \circ f(1) \circ \|\varepsilon\|\). Since \(\|p^*\| : Z \to \|Z^{\Delta^*}\|\) is a weak equivalence and \(\|\varepsilon\| : \|W_0\| \to \|Y\|\) is an \(A\)-equivalence, this implies that \(f(0)\) and \(f(1)\) are \(A\)-equivalent. \(\square\)

From Theorem 7.10 and Proposition 4.27 we deduce:
7.12. Corollary. If \( f^{(0)}, f^{(1)} : Y \to Z \) have \( f^{(0)} = f^{(1)} : \pi_*^A Y \to \pi_*^A Z \), the system of higher operations \( \langle \langle f^{(0,1)} \rangle \rangle \) is a complete set of invariants for distinguishing between the \( A \)-equivalence classes \([f^{(0)}]\) and \([f^{(1)}]\) in \([Y, Z]_A\) (see [1.15]).

7.13. An example of an \( A \)-invisible map. Consider the pinch map \( \nabla : \Sigma^{n-1} \mathbb{R}P^2 = S^n \cup_2 e^{n+1} \to S^{n+1} \), which collapses \( S^n \) to a point. If we apply the \( (n + 1) \)-Postnikov section functor \( P^{n+1} \) to it, we obtain a map \( f : Y \to Z = K(\mathbb{Z}, n + 1) \) which represents \( \beta_\mathbb{Z}(\iota_n) \), where \( \beta_\mathbb{Z} : H^n(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{n+1}(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \) is the Bockstein and \( 0 \neq \iota_n \in H^n(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \) (see [MT, Ch. 3]). In particular, \( f \) is trivial in \( \pi_* \). Thus we can use the simplified approach of §7.1.

The cofibration sequence

\[
(7.14) \quad S^n \xrightarrow{\partial_1} S^n \xrightarrow{\iota} \Sigma^{n-1} \mathbb{R}P^2 \xrightarrow{\nabla} S^{n+1}
\]

is also a fibration sequence in the stable range, so for \( n \geq 3 \) we have a free chain complex resolution of \( (n + 1) \)-truncated \( \Pi \)-algebras:

\[
(7.15) \quad \nabla_3 = \pi_* S^{n+1} \xrightarrow{2} \nabla_2 = \pi_* S^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\eta^n} \nabla_1 = \pi_* S^n \xrightarrow{2} \nabla_0 = \pi_* S^n \to \Lambda = \pi_* Y.
\]

Thus the simplicial resolution \( \widetilde{W}_i \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} W_0 \xrightarrow{\xi} Y \) in dimensions \( \leq 1 \), together with the map \( f : Y \to \mathbb{Z}_n \), is given by

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^n & \xrightarrow{\iota_0 = 2} & S^n \\
\downarrow d_1 & \inc & \downarrow H \\
CS^n & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & S^{n+1}
\end{array}
\]

where \( H \) is a nullhomotopy for \( 2 \cdot \inc \). Since \( \nabla \circ \inc \) is zero, diagram \( (7.2) \) simplifies to the solid portion of

\[
(7.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
CS^n & \xrightarrow{\Id \cup \circ} & CS^n \\
\downarrow g & \inc \uparrow & \downarrow 0 \\
S^n & \xrightarrow{\iota} & S^n \\
\downarrow f_1 & \inc \uparrow & \downarrow f_0 \\
CS^n & \xrightarrow{\iota_0 = 2} & CS^n
\end{array}
\]

Here \( g \), the structure map for the pushout defining \( S^n \cup_2 e^{n+1} \), is the identity on the interior of \( CS^n = e^{n+1} \). Since the left copy of \( S^n \) maps by \( 0 \) to \( S^{n+1} \), the associated Toda bracket is simply the map \( \Sigma S^n \cong CS^n / CS^n \xrightarrow{\neq} S^{n+1} \), which has degree 1.

Since the indeterminacy is \( 2 \cdot [\Sigma S^n, S^{n+1}] = 2\mathbb{Z} \) inside \( \pi_{n+1} S^{n+1} = \mathbb{Z} \), the Toda bracket does not vanish, which shows (as expected) that \( f \) is non-trivial, despite inducing the zero map in \( \pi_* \) in the relevant range.
8. A filtration index invariant

As an application of our methods, we show how our constructions can be used to describe explicitly a certain filtration index invariant for mod \( p \) cohomology classes, dual to the Adams filtration for elements in \( \pi_* \tilde{Y}_p \).

\[ \text{[8.1] A reverse Adams spectral sequence} \]

In order to define our index, we now set up an ad hoc cohomological reverse Adams spectral sequence (see \([8.2]\)), which is not particularly well behaved or accessible to computation, but suffices to show that our index is indeed homotopy invariant.

From now on, let \( C = \text{Top}_s \), \( A = S^1 \), and \( \kappa = \omega_1 \), as in \([8.8]\) and let \( Y \in \text{Top}_s \) be simply connected, with the \( \Pi \)-algebra \( A := \pi_* Y \) of finite type (i.e., a finitely generated abelian group in each degree). We choose some CW-resolution \( V_* \) of \( A \), with CW basis \( \{ V_n \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \), and a sequential realization \( W \).

Next, fix a prime \( p \) and let \( K \) be a strict topological Abelian group model of \( K(F_p, N) \), for some \( N >> 0 \) to be determined later. Applying the functor \( \text{map}_*(\_, K) \) dimensionwise to each simplicial space in \([2.2]\) yields

\[
\ldots \rightarrow X_{[n]}^* \xrightarrow{\iota(n+1)^*} X_n^* \xrightarrow{\iota(n)^*} X_{n-1}^* \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow X_1^* \xrightarrow{\iota(1)^*} X_0^* ,
\]

with \( X_n^* := \text{map}_*(W_n^*, K) \). Since \( M^n \text{map}_*(W_n, K) = \text{map}_*(L_n+1 W_n, K) \) for any simplicial space \( W_n \) (see \([CJ]\), VII, §1.4]), we see that each \( X_n^* \) is Reedy fibrant (since \( W_n^* \) is Reedy cofibrant), and the maps in \([8.1]\) are Reedy fibrations. Thus the (homotopy) limit of this tower is \( X^* := \text{map}_*(W^*, K) \).

Moreover, applying \( \text{Tot} := \text{map}_*(\Delta^*, \_) \) to \([8.1]\) also yields a tower of fibrations

\[
\ldots \rightarrow \text{Tot} X_{[n+1]}^* \xrightarrow{\iota(n+1)^*} \text{Tot} X_n^* \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \text{Tot} X_1^* \xrightarrow{\iota(1)^*} \text{Tot} X_0^* ,
\]

by \([Q1]\), II, §2, SM7], with \( \text{Tot} X_n^* \cong \text{map}_*(\|W_n^*\|, K) \). By \([BK]\), XII, 4.3, its (homotopy) limit is thus:

\[
\text{Tot} X^* \cong \text{map}_*(\|W^*\|, K) \simeq \text{map}_*(Y, K)
\]

8.4. Identifying the fibers. Let \( \Sigma D_n^* \) denote the chain complex in \( \text{Top}_s \) with \( C\Sigma^n W_{n-k-1} \) in dimension \( k \) (see \([2]\), and \( \Sigma D_n^* := C\xi \Sigma D_n^* \) the corresponding simplicial space (see \([1.2]: 1.24])

By \([2.28]\)(ii), \( W_{n-1}^* \hookrightarrow \tilde{W}_n^* \rightarrow \Sigma D_n^* \) is a (homotopy) cofibration sequence in \( \text{Top}_s^{\text{op}} \), so if we set \( \Sigma E_n^* := \text{map}_*(\Sigma D_n^*, K) \) and \( \tilde{X}_n^* := \text{map}_*(\tilde{W}_n^*, K) \), we have a (homotopy) fibration sequence

\[
\Sigma E_n^* \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}_n^* \rightarrow X_{n-1}^* \]

of cosimplicial spaces. Applying \( \text{Tot} \) to \([8.5]\) yields another fibration sequence. Since \( W_n^* \) and \( \tilde{W}_n^* \) are weakly equivalent Reedy cofibrant simplicial spaces, by
(2.14) \( (8.7), (8.3), \) and the fact that \( C\pi \) the cohomotopy groups \( H \) moreover, since \( E \) and thus we have a weak equivalence of 

(8.6) \( \text{Tot} \Sigma E^*_n \to \text{Tot} X^*_{n} \to \text{Tot} X^*_{n-1} \) 

by \( [BK \text{ XI, 4.3}] \).

Since the restricted simplicial space \( E \Sigma D^*_n \) is contractible in all simplicial dimensions but \( n \), and \( E \Sigma E^*_n \) is a strict cosimplicial simplicial Abelian group, the homotopy spectral sequence for \( E \Sigma E^*_n \) (see \( [BK \text{ X, 6}] \)) collapses at the \( E_2 \)-term, and thus we have a weak equivalence of \( F_p \)-GEMs:

(8.7) \( \text{Tot} \Sigma E^*_n \simeq \Omega^n \text{map}_*(\nabla^n, K) \).

8.8. Identifying the \( E_2 \)-terms. Now consider the homotopy spectral sequence of the tower of fibrations \( \Sigma S^2 \), with

\[
E^{n,i}_1 := \pi_i \text{Tot} \Sigma E^*_n \Rightarrow \pi_i \text{Tot} X^*.
\]

From \( (8.7), (8.3) \), and the fact that \( K = K(F_p, N) \) we see that this is:

(8.9) \( E^{n,i}_1 = H^{N-i-n}(\nabla^n, F_p) \Rightarrow H^{N-i}(Y; F_p) \).

In fact, from the description in \( \Sigma B \) we see that the \( n \)-th normalized cochain object \( N^nX^* \) of the cosimplicial space \( X^* \simeq \text{map}_*(\nabla^n, K) \) is weakly equivalent to \( \text{map}_*(\nabla^n, K) \), so in fact from the \( E_1 \)-term on our spectral sequence is naturally isomorphic to the homotopy spectral sequence for \( X^* \) (see \( [BK \text{ X, 6}] \)).

Note that \( \nabla^n \) is, up to homotopy, a wedge of spheres realizing the free \( \Pi \)-algebra \( V_n \), the \( n \)-th CW basis of the given free simplicial resolution \( V_\bullet \to \Lambda := \pi_*Y \). Moreover, since \( H^k(\nabla^n, F_p) \cong \text{Hom}(H_k\nabla^n, F_p) \) and we have a natural identification of \( H_*\nabla^n \) with \( QV_\bullet \), where \( Q : \Pi A\text{-Alg} \to \text{gr Abgp} \) is the indecomposables functor of \( BH \) \( \$2.2.1 \), we can write \( E^{n,i}_1 \cong T^{N-i-n}\nabla^n \), where the graded functor \( T : \Pi A\text{-Alg}^{op} \to \text{gr Vect}_{F_p} \) is defined for any \( \Pi \)-algebra \( V \) by \( T(V) = \text{Hom}(QV, F_p) \).

Moreover, since \( TV_\bullet \) is a cosimplicial graded \( F_p \)-vector space, we can calculate the cohomotopy groups \( \pi^nTV_\bullet \) using the Moore cochain complex \( C^*TV_\bullet \) (see \( 21 \) and compare \( BS \) \( \$1.8 \)), and as in \( BJT3 \) \( \$2 \) we have a natural isomorphism \( C^nTV_\bullet \cong TV_\bullet \). Therefore, as in \( BH \) \( \$3 \), we can identify the \( E_2 \)-term of our spectral sequence as

(8.10) \( E^{n,i}_2 \cong [L_nT^{N-i-n}]\Lambda \).

the \( n \)-th derived functor of \( T \) (in degree \( N-i-n \)), applied to the \( \Pi \)-algebra \( \Lambda := \pi_*Y \).

8.11. Remark. Since any two sequential resolutions are connected by zigzags of comparison maps (as we saw in Section \( 3 \)), and these induce weak equivalences of simplicial resolutions (in the sense of Proposition \( 1.7 \)), we see that the associated spectral sequences are all isomorphic from the \( E_2 \)-term on.

Moreover, since we assume that \( \Lambda := \pi_*Y \) is of finite type, we can choose a CW resolution \( V_\bullet \to \Lambda \) with each \( V_n \) (and thus each \( V_\bullet \)) of finite type – so each \( E^{n,i}_1 \), and thus each \( E^{n,i}_2 \), will actually be a finite dimensional \( F_p \)-vector space, and
thus a finite set. This guarantees that the spectral sequence converges strongly for any choice of sequential realization \( \mathcal{W} \) (see [BK IX, 3]).

Finally, although the \( E_1 \)-term for this spectral sequence as defined vanishes unless \( 0 \leq i \leq N - n \), it is clear from the construction that replacing \( K = K(F_p, N) \) by \( K(F_p, N - 1) \) has the effect of applying loops to every space in the tower (8.1), and thus in the tower (8.2), too – which results in simply re-indexing the spectral sequence by one in the \( i \)-grading. Thus in order to calculate a differential on a particular element \( \alpha \) in \( E_r^{n,i} \), we may simply choose \( N \) large enough so both the source and target are defined, and disregard the dependence of our construction on \( N \).

We may summarize our results so far in the following:

8.12. **Proposition.** For each sequential realization of a simply-connected finite type \( Y \in \text{Top}_n \), \( \mathcal{W} = (W_0, n), D_n, F_n, T_n \) and \( N \geq 1 \), there is a strongly convergent spectral sequence with

\[
E_1^{n,i} = H^{-i-n}(W_n; F_p) \implies H^{-i}(Y; F_p).
\]

The \( E_2 \)-term is independent of the choice of \( \mathcal{W} \), and if we replace \( N \) by \( N' = N + 1 \), then \( E_2^{n,i} \) for the new spectral sequence is isomorphic to \( E_2^{n-1,i} \) for the old whenever the latter is non-zero.

**S.B. The filtration index**

The \( E_1 \)-exact couple for our spectral sequence has the form:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\pi_{N-k+1} \text{Tot } X_n & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_n} & H^{k-n-1}W_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & \pi_{N-k} \text{Tot } X_n & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} \\
\end{array}
\]

8.14. **Definition.** Consider an element \( \gamma \in H^k(Y; F_p) \cong \pi_{N-k} \text{map}_*(Y, K) \cong \pi_{N-k} \text{Tot } X_n \). Its **filtration index** \( I(\gamma) \) is the least \( n \geq 0 \) such that the image of \( \gamma \) in \( \pi_{N-k} \text{Tot } X_n \) under the iterated fibrations \( (\epsilon[n])^* \) is non-zero. Convergence of the spectral sequence implies that \( I(\gamma) = \infty \) if and only if \( \gamma = 0 \).

From (8.13) we see that this image lifts (though not uniquely) to \( \pi_{N-k} \text{Tot } \Sigma \gamma \cong H^{k-n}(W_0; F_p) \). This means that \( \gamma \) is represented by an element in \( E_\infty^{n-N-k} \), and thus in \( E_\infty^{n-N-k} \), which is independent of \( \mathcal{W} \).

8.15. **Lifting nullhomotopies.** We can represent \( \gamma \in H^k(Y; F_p) \) by a map \( g : Y \to K := K(F_p, k) \). Precomposing with the augmentations \( \epsilon[n] : W_0 \to Y \) yields a particularly simple map \( \Gamma[n] : ||W_0|| \to K \), which we can think of as a 0-simplex in \( \text{Tot } X_n \) map_*(||W_0||, K).

Noting that \( ||W_0|| \simeq W_0 \), we see that \( \Gamma[0] \) is nullhomotopic – that is, \( I(\gamma) = 0 \) – if and only if \( g \) is “visible to homotopy” – that is, \( g# : \pi_* Y \to \pi_* K \)
is non-trivial. Otherwise we can choose a nullhomotopy $G^{[0]}$ for $\Gamma^{[0]}$, and try to extend it inductively to a nullhomotopy $G^{[n]}$ for $\Gamma^{[n]}$, for the largest possible $n \geq 0$.

We therefore assume that we have a nullhomotopy $G^{[n-1]}$ for $\Gamma^{[n-1]}$. To extend it, it is more convenient to work with the explicit description of $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_n^{[n]}$ in \cite{21B} — in fact, in view of the coproduct decomposition of $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_n^{[n]}$, it suffices to extend $G^{[n-1]}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_n^{[n]}$. By Remark 3.11 (and Section 3) we can use any sequential realization we like, so we may assume for simplicity that we use the standard sequential realization with $C\Sigma^n \hat{\mathcal{W}}_n = C\Sigma^n \mathcal{W}_n$. for all $-1 \leq j < n$.

From the usual description of $\|\hat{\mathcal{W}}^{[n]}_n\|$ in \cite[X, 3.2]{31}], we think of $\Gamma^{[n-1]}$ as a map of simplicial spaces $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_n^{[n-1]} \to K^{\Delta^j}$ (which happens to factor through the constant simplicial space $c(Y)_*$). However, $G^{[n-1]} : \hat{\mathcal{W}}_n^{[n-1]} \to K^{C\Delta^j}$ (viewed as a reduced path space) does not have this simple form (unless $g$ itself is nullhomotopic). An extension to $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_n^{[n]}$ thus consists of a sequence of maps $H_j = H_j^{[n]} : C\Sigma^{n-j} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_n \to K^{C\Delta^j}$ fitting into a commutative diagram:

![Diagram](image-url)

for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, where the path fibration $p : K^{C\Delta^j} \to K^{\Delta^j}$ is induced by the inclusion of the cone base $\Delta^j \subset C\Delta^j$.

Note that the maps $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_j^{[n]} : \mathcal{W}_j^{[n]} \to C\Sigma^{n-j} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_n \to K^{\Delta^j}$ have the form $\Gamma_j^{[n-1]} \hat{n}_j$, where $h_j : C\Sigma^{n-j} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_n \to K^{\Delta^j}$ factors through the iterated face map $D_j : C\Sigma^{n-j} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_n \to Y$, and thus through $d_j$, which vanishes for $j \geq 2$ by the description in the proof of Lemma 2.13.

Identifying $C\Delta_j$ with $\Delta^{j+1}$, as in \[1.10\] the adjoint of each $H_j^{[n]}$ defines a pointed map $\widehat{H}_j^{[n]} : \mathcal{W}_n \otimes \Delta^{n+1} \to K$. If we denote the copy of $\Delta^{n+1}$ associated to $\widehat{H}_j^{[n]}$ by $\Delta^{n+1}_{(j)}$, then the 0-th facet of $\Delta^{n+1}_{(j)}$ corresponds to the cone direction of $C\Delta_j$ in the adjunction to $K^{C\Delta^j}$, facets $1, \ldots, j+1$ correspond to facets $0, \ldots, j$ of $\Delta_j$ the next $n-j-1$ facets correspond to the suspension directions of $C\Sigma^{n-j-1} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_n$, and the $(n+1)$-st facet corresponds to the cone direction of $C\Sigma^{n-j} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_n$. 


Commutativity of (8.16) translates into the requirement that

\[
\tilde{H}_j^{[n]} \circ \eta^i = \begin{cases} 
  \tilde{h}_j & \text{if } i = 0 \\
  G_j^{[n]} w_j F_j & \text{if } i = 1 \\
  H_j^{[n]} \partial^D & \text{if } i = 2 \text{ and } j \geq 1 \\
  H_j^{[n]} \partial^D & \text{if } i = n + 1 \text{ and } j < n \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

for each \(0 \leq i \leq j \leq n\).

8.18. **Definition.** For each \(n \geq 1\), the \(n\)-th modified folding polytope \(\tilde{P}^n\) is obtained from the disjoint union of \(n\) simplices \(\Delta^{(0)}_n, \ldots, \Delta^{(n-1)}_n\) by identifying \(\partial_n \Delta^{(j-1)}_n\) with \(\partial_n \Delta^{(j)}_n\) for each \(1 \leq j \leq n\) (see [BBS], §4.11]). Its boundary \(\partial \tilde{P}^n\) is the image of all facets of the \(n\)-simplices \(\Delta^{(j)}_n\) \((1 \leq j \leq n)\) not identified as above.

Note that a nullhomotopy \(\tilde{G}^{[n-1]} : \tilde{W}^{[n-1]}_n \to K^C\Delta\) for \(\Gamma^{[n-1]}\) determines a pointed map \(\tilde{\Psi}'_{(F,G)} : \tilde{W}^{[n]}_n \to K\) with \(\tilde{\Psi}'_{(F,G)}|_{\partial \tilde{P}^{n+1}_n} = G_j^{[n]} w_j F_j\), and \(\tilde{\Psi}'_{(F,G)} = *\) on all other (non-identified) facets of \(\Delta^{(n+1)}_n\). As in (4.25) \(\tilde{\Psi}'_{(F,G)}\) induces a unique map \(\tilde{\Psi} = \Psi_{(F,G)} : \tilde{W}^{[n]}_n \to \tilde{P}^{n+1}_n \to K\).

We now have the following analog of Lemma 4.13.

8.19. **Lemma.** For each \(n \geq 1\), the pair \((\tilde{P}^n, \partial \tilde{P}^n)\) is homeomorphic to \((D^n, S^{n-1})\).

Choosing \(f = *\) in Propositions 4.17 and 4.27 we have:

8.20. **Proposition.** Given a sequential realization \(W\) for \(Y\) as above, a map \(g : Y \to K = K(F_p, k)\) extending by iterated face maps to \(\Gamma^{[m]} : ||W^{[m]}_n|| \to K^{C\Delta}\) for each \(m \geq 0\), and a nullhomotopy \(\tilde{G}^{[n-1]} : \tilde{W}^{[n-1]}_n \to K^C\Delta\) for \(\Gamma^{[n-1]}\), the map \(\tilde{\Psi}_{(F,G)} : \tilde{W}^{[n]}_n \to K\) of §8.18 is null-homotopic if and only if \(G^{[n-1]}\) extends to a nullhomotopy \(G^{[n]} : \tilde{W}^{[n]}_n \to K^C\Delta\) for \(\Gamma^{[n]}\).

8.21. **Higher homotopy operations and the filtration index.** Although the maps \(\tilde{\Psi}_{(F,G)}\) were formally defined only for standard sequential realizations (with \(C\Sigma^j \tilde{W}^n = C\Sigma^j \tilde{W}^n\) for all \(j\) and \(n\)), one can show (as in the proof of Proposition 4.17) that Proposition 8.20 in fact holds for any sequential realization \(W\).

This allows us to think of the cohomology class \(\tilde{\Psi}_{(F,G)}\) as the value of a system of higher homotopy operations \( \langle \gamma \rangle \) (\(\langle \gamma \rangle\)) \(1 \leq n \leq \infty\) associated to the class \(\gamma \in H^k(Y, F_p)\). This value is determined by the choice of a nullhomotopy \(G^{[n-1]}\) in \(\text{Tot} X^{[n]}_n = \text{map}_*(|\tilde{W}^{[n]}_n|, K)\), and serves as the obstruction to lifting it to \(G^{[n]}\).

Moreover, one can use Theorem 3.18 to show, as in the proof of Lemma 5.3, that if for some sequential realization \(W\), \(\Gamma^{[n]} : ||W^{[n]}_n|| \to K^C\Delta\) has a nullhomotopy \(G^{[n]}\), then this holds for every sequential realization.

We may thus summarize the situation in the following
8.22. Proposition. The filtration index \( I(\gamma) \) of a cohomology class \( \gamma \in H^k(Y; \mathbb{F}_p) \) is the largest \( n \) for which \( \langle \langle \gamma \rangle \rangle_n \) does not vanish. In particular, the system \( \langle \langle \gamma \rangle \rangle \) vanishes coherently if and only if \( \gamma = 0 \).

8.23. Example. Let \( Y = \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(S^n \cup e^{n+1}) \) (the \((n+1)\)-Postnikov section of a Moore space), with \( f : Y \to Z = K(\mathbb{Z}, n + 1) \) induced by the pinch map, as in §7.13 and let \( g : Y \to K(\mathbb{F}_2, n + 1) \) be the composite \( p \circ f \), with \( p : K(\mathbb{Z}, n + 1) \to K(\mathbb{F}_2, n + 1) \) the reduction mod 2 map. Thus \( \gamma := [g] = \text{Sq}^1 \circ p \), with \( p : Y \to K(\mathbb{F}_2, n) \) the Postnikov fibration.

As in (7.15), \( g_\# : \pi_* Y \to \pi_* K(\mathbb{F}_2, n + 1) \) is trivial, and since the Toda bracket \( \langle \langle \gamma, \text{inc}, 2 \rangle \rangle \) of (7.16) is nontrivial, the same is true for \( \langle g, \text{inc}, 2 \rangle \) (see [1]). Thus \( \langle \langle \gamma \rangle \rangle_1 \neq 0 \), so \( \gamma \) has filtration index 1.

Appendix A. Comparison Maps

In this appendix we state and prove two facts about the comparison maps of Section 8 needed in the paper; we deferred the proofs until now because they are somewhat technical.

A.1. Definition. A sequential realization \( W \) will be called fibrational if for each \( n \geq 1 \), the chain map \( F[n] : D_*^{[n]} \to C_* W^{[n-1]} \) is a (levelwise) fibration in the (projective) model category of chain complexes \( \text{Ch}^{\leq n-1} \) of §7.18.

We now have a mild extension of Theorem 2.29.

A.2. Lemma. For \( A \subset C \) as in §1.3, any CW-resolution \( V_* \) of a realizable \( \Pi_A \)-algebra \( \Lambda = \pi_* Y \) has a fibrational sequential realization

\[
W = \langle W_*^{[n]}, v_*^{[n]}, D_*^{[n]}, F[n], T[n] \rangle_{n=0}^\infty.
\]

Proof. To make the sequential realization \( W \) of Theorem 2.29 fibrational, we factor \( F \) as an acyclic cofibration \( T[n] : D_*^{[n]}(\omega_n) \to D_*^{[n]} \) followed by a fibration \( F[n] : D_*^{[n]} \to C_* W^{[n-1]} \) in the model category \( \text{Ch}^{\leq n-1} \).

Note that the vertical acyclic cofibrations of (2.27) are obtained from the map \( T[n] \).

A.3. Proposition. For any algebraic comparison map \( \Psi : V_* \to V_* \) for \( Y \) and sequential realization \( W \) of \( V_* \) with a comparison map \( \Phi : W \to W' \) over \( \Psi \).

Proof. We construct \( W' \), with the cofibrations \( e_*^{[n]} : W_*^{[n]} \to W_*^{[n]} \) and retractions \( r_*^{[n]} : W_*^{[n]} \to W_*^{[n]} \) by induction on \( n \geq 0 \):

Since \( \bar{V}_n \) is a coproduct summand in \( \bar{V}_n = \bar{U}_n \amalg \bar{V}_n \) say, if we realize \( \bar{V}_n \) by \( \bar{W}_n \) and \( \bar{U}_n \) by \( \bar{X}_n \), then \( \bar{V}_n \) is realized by \( \bar{W}_n := \bar{X}_n \amalg \bar{W}_n \). By Definition 2.23 the \( n \)-th stage of \( W \) is determined by the choice of strongly cofibrant replacement \( D_* \) of \( \bar{W}_n \amalg S^{n-1} \), equipped with a levelwise fibration \( F : D_* \to C_* W^{[n-1]} \) realizing the given attaching map \( \bar{v}_n : \bar{V}_n \to C_{n-1} V_* \). If \( G_* \) is similarly a strongly cofibrant replacement for \( \bar{X}_n \amalg S^{n-1} \), note that the attaching map \( \bar{v}_n : \bar{V}_n \to C_{n-1} V_* \) has the form \( \bar{v}_n = \bar{K} \), and we may realize \( \bar{K} : U_n \to C_{n-1} V_* \) by \( T : G_* \to C_* W^{[n-1]} \),
with $\tau_n : X_n \to W_n$ inducing $R : G_* \to D_*$. We then realize $\rho_n : V \to V_n$ by $\tau_n \bot \text{Id}_W : X_n \amalg W_n \to W_n$.

Following §2A-B, we now consider the following diagram in the projective model category of $n$-truncated chain complexes over $C$, in which $P_*$ is the pullback of the lower right square.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G_*/ & \text{inc} & D_* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E_* & \leftarrow & W^*_{[n-1]}
\end{array}
\]

and the section $e$ for $p$ is induced by the section $C^M_{e^{[n-1]}}$ for $C^M_{e^{[n-1]}}$.

Since by Definition 3.3 $C_{n-1} \rho \circ \partial_0 = \rho_0 \circ \rho_n$, also $C_{n-1} \rho \circ \tau = \partial_0 \circ \rho_n |_{\tau_n}$, so the outer square in (A.4) commutes up to homotopy. Since $F$ is a fibration, we may change $R$ up to homotopy to make it commute on the nose by [BJT1, Lemma 5.11]. The maps $R$ and $T$ then induce $S$ as indicated. This allows us to extend (A.4) to the solid commuting diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{inc} & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E_* & \leftarrow & W^*_{[n-1]}
\end{array}
\]

with $G_* \amalg D_*$ strongly cofibrant.

If we now factor $S \amalg e$ as an acyclic cofibration $j : G_* \amalg D_* \hookrightarrow E_*$ followed by a fibration $Q : E_* \to P_*$ and set $G : E_* \to C^M_{e^{[n-1]}}$ equal to $q \circ Q$, $\tau : D_* \to E_*$ equal to $j \circ \text{inc}$, and $\tau : E_* \to D_*$ equal to $p \circ Q$, we see that $E_*$ is strongly cofibrant (since $j$ is a cofibration), $F$ is a levelwise fibration, and they fit into a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
E_* & \text{inc} & D_* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C^M_{e^{[n-1]}} & \leftarrow & C^M_{W^*^{[n-1]}}
\end{array}
\]

in which the squares commute in both horizontal directions, and $\tau \circ \tau = \text{Id}$.

By Lemma 2.18 and the fact that the map induced by the identity clearly is another identity, we obtain an $n$-stage comparison map $\Phi : W \to \check{W}$ extending the given $(n-1)$-stage comparison map. ∎
We now prove Theorem 3.18 which we re-state as follows:

**A.7. Theorem.** Any two sequential realizations $\mathcal{W}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{W}^{(1)}$ of two CW resolutions $V^{(0)}_\cdot$ and $V^{(1)}_\cdot$, for two A-equivalent spaces $Y^{(0)}_\cdot$ and $Y^{(1)}_\cdot$, respectively, are weakly equivalent under a (locally finite) zigzag of comparison maps, in the sense of Definition 2.16.

**Proof.** Assume $\mathcal{W}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{W}^{(1)}$ are associated respectively to the two CW-resolutions $V^{(0)}_\cdot$ and $V^{(1)}_\cdot$ of the $\Pi_A$-algebra $\Lambda = \pi_\ast^A Y^{(0)} \cong \pi_\ast^A Y^{(1)}$, with CW bases $(V^{(i)}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $i = 0, 1$.

By Lemma 3.5, there is a third CW resolution $\varepsilon : V_\cdot \to \Lambda$, with CW basis $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, equipped with algebraic comparison maps $\Psi(i) : V^{(i)}_\cdot \to V_\cdot$ ($i = 0, 1$). By Proposition A.3, there are then two fibrational sequential realizations $\mathcal{W}^{(i)}$ of $V_\cdot \to \Lambda$, for $i = 0, 1$, each equipped with a comparison map $\Phi(i) : \mathcal{W}^{(i)} \to \mathcal{W}^{(i)}$ over $\Psi(i)$. Thus we are reduced to dealing with the case where the two fibrational sequential realizations $\mathcal{W}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{W}^{(1)}$ (i.e., the $\Psi(i)$ just constructed) are of the same CW resolution $V_\cdot \to \Lambda$ (i.e., the above $V_\cdot$), with CW basis $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We construct a zigzag of comparison maps between them, by induction on $n \geq 0$ (where the case $n = 0$ is trivial).

We assume by induction the existence of a cospan of $(n-1)$-stage trivial comparison maps $\tilde{\Phi}^{(i)} : \mathcal{W}^{(i)} \to \mathcal{W}$ ($i = 0, 1$) over $\text{Id}_{V_\cdot}$. By Definition 2.23, the $n$-th stage for $\mathcal{W}^{(i)}$ is determined by the choice of strongly cofibrant replacements $D^{(i)}_n$ of $\mathcal{W}_n \boxtimes S^{n-1}$ (where $\mathcal{W}_n$ is some realization of the $n$-th algebraic CW basis object $\mathcal{V}_n$), together with levelwise fibrations $F^{(i)} : D^{(i)}_n \to C^M_{(i)} \mathcal{W}_n^{[n-1]}$ ($i = 0, 1$) realizing the given attaching map $\partial^n : \mathcal{V}_n \to C_{n-1} V_\cdot$.

Again following 2.2-A-B, we consider the following diagram in the projective model category of $n$-truncated chain complexes over $C$, in which $P^{(i)}_n$ is the pullback of the lower square

![Diagram](A.8)

The section $e^{(i)}$ for $p^{(i)}$ is induced by the section $C^M_{(i)} e^{[n-1]}_{(i)}$ for $C^M_{(i)} r^{[n-1]}_{(i)}$. We then factor $e^{(i)}$ as a cofibration $\xi^{(i)}$ followed by the acyclic fibration $S^{(i)} : E^{(i)}_n \to P^{(i)}_n$ (so $E^{(i)}_n$ is a cofibrant replacement for $P^{(i)}_n$).
Applying Lemma 2.18 to the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
E^i & \overset{\gamma^i}{\longrightarrow} & D^i \\
\downarrow^{q^i \circ \alpha^i = G^i} & & \downarrow^{F^i} \\
CMW [n-1] & \cong & CMW [n-1]^{(i)} \\
\end{array}
\]

(A.9)

we obtain \(n\)-stage trivial comparison maps \(\Phi^i : W^i \rightarrow W^i (i = 0, 1)\) extending the given ones to \(W\).

Note, however, that \(G^0\) and \(G^1\) are weakly equivalent fibrant and cofibrant objects in the slice category \(Ch_{\leq n} / CMW [n-1]\), with its standard model category structure (see [Hir, Theorem 7.6.5(a)]). We can therefore apply Lemma 3.1 to obtain an intermediate object \(G\) in the slice category fitting into the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
E^0 & \overset{s^0}{\longrightarrow} & E^1 \\
\downarrow^{G^0} & & \downarrow^{G^1} \\
CMW [n-1] & \cong & CMW [n-1]^{(i)} \\
\end{array}
\]

(A.10)

in which all four triangles commute, and \(s^i \circ f^{(i)} = \text{Id} \quad (i = 0, 1)\).

Applying Lemma 2.18 yields a new \(n\)-stage sequential realization \(W\) (corresponding to \(G : E_e \rightarrow CMW [n-1]\)), with two new \(n\)-stage trivial comparison maps \(\Phi^i : W^i \rightarrow W^i (i = 0, 1)\).

The two composites:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W^0 & \overset{\Phi^0}{\longrightarrow} & W^0 \\
\downarrow^{\Phi^0} & & \downarrow^{\Phi^0} \\
W^1 & \overset{\Phi^1}{\longrightarrow} & W^1 \\
\end{array}
\]

(A.11)

then yield the required cospan of \(n\)-stage comparison maps.

\[\square\]
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