Intramolecular Friedel–Crafts alkylation with a silylium-ion-activated cyclopropyl group: formation of tricyclic ring systems from benzyl-substituted vinylcyclopropanes and hydrosilanes†
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A trityl-cation-initiated annulation of benzyl-substituted vinylcyclopropanes (VCPs) with hydrosilanes is reported. Two Si–C(sp³) bonds and one C(sp³)–C(sp³) bond are formed in this process where an intramolecular 5-endo-tet Friedel–Crafts alkylation of a silylium-ion-activated cyclopropane ring is the rate-determining key step. The reaction mechanism is proposed based on computations and is in agreement with experimental observations. The new reaction leads to an unprecedented silicon-containing 6/6/5-fused ring system. A phenethyl-substituted VCP derivative yields another unknown tricycle having 6/6/6 ring fusion by reacting in a related but different way involving a 5-endo-tet ring closure.

Results and discussion

Using 2 mol% of Ph₃C⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄]⁻ as an initiator, we began investigating the reaction of VCP 1a and excess Et₂SiH₂ (2a) in setup gives rise to yet another product where the aryl group becomes part of the product’s ring system (bottom right). We report here a new trityl-cation-initiated cycloaddition of benzyl-substituted VCPs and hydrosilanes involving an intramolecular Friedel–Crafts alkylation. Our study includes a full experimental and computational mechanistic analysis.

Scheme 1 Diverse outcomes from the reaction of phenyl- and benzyl-substituted VCPs and hydrosilanes (R₃SiH = 3', 2'', and 1'' hydrosilanes with R = alkyl and/or aryl).
Various arene solvents at ambient temperature (Table 1). In benzene as solvent, 2,2-dimethyl-2,3,4,9,9a-hexahydro-1H-naphtho[2,3-c]silole (3aa) was found as the major product along with ring-opened 4-methyl-5-phenylpentyl-substituted silane 4ab and six-membered ring system 5aa (entry 1). As ring-opening hydrosilylation would yield an unsaturated compound, we speculated that the formation of saturated 4ab (through initially formed 4aa, Scheme 2) involves an additional alkene hydrogenation by protonation of the VCP to form a cyclopropylcarbinyl cation followed by hydride transfer from the hydrosilane. The proton could be released from a Wheland intermediate in the course of an assumed Friedel–Crafts reaction. Addition of norbornene as a proton scavenger had no effect (entry 2). In turn, the silicon-containing ring system 5aa could be the result of the aforementioned silylum-ion-promoted hydrosilylation of cyclopropanes coupled with an endo cyclization of an allylbenezene intermediate (see discussion of the mechanism).

A diminished yield was observed at a lower loading of the dihydroxysilane (2.0 instead of 5.0 equiv.; entry 3). Poor conversion was seen with less initiator; the product distribution was different (2.0 instead of 5.0 equiv.; entry 3). Poor conversion was also seen with less initiator; the product distribution was different (2.0 instead of 5.0 equiv.; entry 3).

Yields were moderate for VCPs with aryl rings bearing an electron-donating methyl or isopropyl group; the position of the substituent made no difference (1a – 3aa). VCPs with para-halo-substituted VCP derivatives (1g – 3aa) suffered complete desilylation with none of 3aa being formed. Interestingly, the isolated yield of 80% for 3aa was significantly higher than that obtained for the parent system (54% for 1a – 3aa). We explain this with loss of a silylum ion instead of a proton, thus eliminating the aforementioned formation of the saturated byproducts 4aa and 4ab. This finding not only provides a solution of how to bypass unwanted alkene protonation but is also evidence for the intermediacy of Wheland complexes and as such a Friedel–Crafts-type mechanism. Halogen atoms were tolerated in this reaction but there was a clear difference between ortho- and para-substituted VCP derivatives (1g – 3aa). Yields were moderate for the para and low for the ortho-halogenated substrates (the preparation of the ortho-bromine-substituted VCP was unsuccessful). Both electronic and steric effects could be responsible for that. Besides, we also subjected VCP 6 with a thien-2-yl instead of the phenyl group to the procedure but did

**Table 1: Optimization of the trityl-cation-initiated cycloaddition**

| Entry | Initiator (mol%) | Solvent | Yield<sup>c</sup> (%) |
|-------|-----------------|---------|----------------------|
| 1     | Ph₃C⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄]<sup>-</sup> (2.0 mol%) | Benzene | 51 34 9 |
| 2<sup>a</sup> | Ph₃C⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄]<sup>-</sup> (2.0 mol%) | Toluene-d₈ | 61 26 9 |
| 3<sup>d</sup> | Ph₃C⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄]<sup>-</sup> (2.0 mol%) | Benze ne | 41 47 8 |
| 4<sup>e</sup> | Ph₃C⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄]<sup>-</sup> (1.0 mol%) | Benzen e | 28 28 <5 |
| 5     | Ph₃C⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄]<sup>-</sup> (5.0 mol%) | Benzene | 55 30 13 |
| 6<sup>f</sup> | Ph₃C⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄]<sup>-</sup> (2.0 mol%) | Benzene | 52 33 11 |
| 7     | Ph₃C⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄]<sup>-</sup> (2.0 mol%) | Chlorobenzene | 56 20 14 |
| 8     | Ph₃C⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄]<sup>-</sup> (2.0 mol%) | 1,2-C₆H₄Cl₂ | 61 19 9 |
| 9     | Ph₃C⁺[B(C₆F₅)₄]<sup>-</sup> (2.0 mol%) | Toluene-d₈ | 65 21 13 |
| 10    | Et₃Si⁺[CHB₁₁H₅Br₆]<sup>-</sup> (2.0 mol%) | Toluene-d₈ | 50 23 25 |
| 11    | ([C₆H₆]-H)<sup>-</sup>CHB₁₁H₅Br₆<sup>-</sup> (2.0 mol%) | Toluene-d₈ | 48 26 23 |

<sup>a</sup> All reactions were performed with VCP 1a (0.10 mmol) and the indicated amounts of the initiator and Et₂SH₂ (2a) under argon atmosphere in the indicated arene solvent (0.5 mL, 0.2 M) at room temperature. Unless otherwise noted, conversion was greater than 95% for each entry as estimated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy using CH₂Br₂ as an internal standard. <sup>b</sup> Yields were estimated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy using CH₂Br₂ as an internal standard and tend to be too high because of the long relaxation time of CH₂Br₂. <sup>c</sup> 1.0 equiv. of norbornene used. <sup>d</sup> 2.0 equiv. of Et₂SH₂ (2a) used. <sup>e</sup> 39% of VCP 1a recovered. <sup>f</sup> Performed at 0.1 M.
not obtain the cycloadduct; 6 was almost completely recovered (gray box, Scheme 2).

Regioisomeric mixtures of the cycloadducts were generated when starting from representative VCPs with meta-substituted aryl groups (1l–o → 3la–oa/3la–oa, Scheme 3, top). Bond formation occurred preferentially in the less hindered ortho-position with regioisomeric ratios ranging from 63 : 37 to 80 : 20. Conversely, VCP 1p with a naphth-2-yl group yielded the kinetically favored, more hindered cycloadduct 3pa with good regiocontrol (Scheme 3, bottom). The cycloaddition of a bis(vinylcyclopropane) system was also tested (1q → 3qa/3qa', Scheme 4, top); para-substituted 1q would first convert into a meta,para-disubstituted intermediate (not shown) which, in turn, would then give rise to a mixture of the regioisomers 3qa and 3qa' (not shown) in the second cycloaddition event. A complex reaction mixture was experimentally found, and the separation by various chromatography methods failed. Part of the problem is that 3qa is formed as a mixture of racemic dl and meso diastereomers in approximately 50 : 50 ratio; the same likely applies to regioisomeric 3qa' which we were not able to isolate (not shown). Slow evaporation of a solution of that regio- and diastereomeric mixture (four compounds; assuming only trans and no cis annulation) in ethyl acetate and cyclohexane (1 : 1) eventually led to the co-crystallization of bis-trans-isomers dl-3qa and meso-3qa. The meso compound is depicted in Scheme 4 (bottom; see the ESI† for details). The relative

Scheme 2  Scope I: variation of the ortho- and para-substituent of the benzyl group in VCPs 1. All reactions were performed on 0.30 mmol scale unless noted otherwise. Conversion was generally greater than 95% as estimated by 1H NMR spectroscopy using CH2Br2 as an internal standard. Yields were estimated by 1H NMR spectroscopy using CH2Br2 as an internal standard and tend to be too high because of the long relaxation time of CH2Br2; isolated yields in parentheses are of analytically pure material after flash chromatography on silica gel. *65% (48%) on a 1.2 mmol scale.

Scheme 3  Scope II: variation of the meta-substituent of the benzyl group in VCPs 1. See caption of Scheme 2 for further details. Regioisomeric ratios determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy after purification.

Scheme 4  Scope III: reaction of a bis(VCP) system (top; see caption of Scheme 2 for further details) and molecular structure of the bis-trans-isomer meso-3qa (bottom; thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level).
configuration of all annulation products was deduced from this molecular structure and assigned as trans, thereby confirming the result obtained from recorded and simulated $^1$H NMR spectra.

In our previous study (see Scheme 1, top right), we had already shown that, apart from dihydrosilanes, mono-hydrosilanes can be used with similar success. The reason for this is the ability of silylium ions to cleave Si–C(sp$^3$) as well as Si–C(sp$^2$) bonds, corresponding to an exchange of alkyl or aryl group between two silicon centers. Hence, when there is no Si–H bond available (as in a quaternary silane) an alkyl or aryl group can be abstracted by another silylium ion (cf. the ESI† of ref. 3). We therefore tested a few tertiary hydrosilanes (2b–d) in the cycloaddition of model VCP 1a (Scheme 5). As expected, Et$_3$SiH (2b) afforded the same product 3aa as obtained with Et$_2$SiH$_2$ (2a) albeit in lower yield. Demethylation was again preferred over cleavage of an ethyl group with EtMe$_2$SiH (2c). Dearylation occurred when employing Me$_2$PhSiH (2d). These observations are in line with those made earlier.

The results with the phenyl-substituted VCPs and those with a benzyl substituent (see Scheme 1, bottom) underscore the structural richness that becomes accessible with this chemistry. We had already reported that the corresponding VCP with a cyclohexyl group led to a complex reaction mixture. However, going from a benzyl to a phenethyl group was successful, yielding yet another unknown silicon-containing ring system (Scheme 6). The relative configuration was deduced from the $^1$H NMR spectrum of the product 3aa and those with 3,16,17-cf. the ESI† of Scheme 2 for further details.

To gain insight into the reaction mechanism, we designed control experiments to distinguish between reaction pathways with the ring opening of the cyclopropyl group happening before or after manipulation of the alkene (Scheme 7). We showed before that silylium-ion-promoted ring-opening hydrosilylation of cyclopropanes is feasible but had excluded this possibility for phenyl-substituted VCPs in the (5 + 1) cycloaddition (see Scheme 1, top right). If hydrosilylation of the cyclopropyl group in VCPs 1 is the initial step, 2-substituted allylbenzene derivatives 9 are likely intermediates. When subjecting independently prepared 9ab to the standard procedure using Et$_3$SiH (2b), an endo cyclization furnished product 3aa in high yield (top). With 3aa being formed as minor byproduct in the annulation reaction (cf. Table 1), we can state that ring opening preceding the functionalization of the alkene in the VCP is a competing pathway. In turn, potential intermediate 10aa arising from alkene hydrosilylation of VCP 1a and Et$_2$SiH$_2$ (2a) transformed cleanly into the cycloadduct 3aa upon treatment with trityl borate Ph$_3$C+$[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ in the absence of external hydrosilane (bottom). Hence, the opening of the cyclopropyl ring is interlinked with the intramolecular Friedel–Crafts-type bond formation at the aryl group and is downstream to the alkene hydrosilylation.

In another set of control experiments, we employed deuterium-labeled hydrosilane Et$_2$SiD (2b–d) and model VCP 1a–d$_1$ with an ortho-C–D bond (Scheme 8). When 1a was reacted with 2b–d$_1$, the deuterium label was exclusively incorporated into one of the angular positions of cycloadduct 3aa–d$_1$ (top). To verify whether cleavage of the ortho-C–H bond is involved in the rate-determining step, 1a–d$_1$ was subjected to the standard setup (bottom). However, H/D scrambling had occurred at all positions of the phenylene group in 3aa–d$_1$.19

---

**Scheme 5** Scope IV: variation of the hydrosilane in the cycloaddition of VCP 1a. See caption of Scheme 2 for further details.

**Scheme 6** Scope V: going from benzyl to phenethyl in the VCP. See caption of Scheme 2 for further details.

**Scheme 7** Control experiments I: verification of ring opening of the cyclopropyl group in VCPs prior to engagement of the alkene unit. See caption of Scheme 2 for further details.

**Scheme 8** Control experiments II: deuteration labeling of the hydrosilane or the VCP. See caption of Scheme 2 for further details. The deuteration grades were estimated by NMR spectroscopy.
The rough mechanistic picture was refined by density functional theory (DFT) calculations at the M06-2X/cc-PVTZ/M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level.\textsuperscript{29} Computations were performed on the model reaction of VCP 1a and Et$_3$SiH$_2$ (2a) with Ph$_3$C$^*$[B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_4$]$^*$ as the initiator (Scheme 9; see the ESI for details and Fig. S85 and S86\textsuperscript{†} for the free-energy profiles and the optimized structures). The solvent effect was taken into consideration using a polarizable continuum model (PCM)\textsuperscript{23} for both geometry optimizations and single-point energy calculations. Chlorobenzene was chosen to avoid the complexity of possible proton exchange between Wheland intermediates and toluene. The cycladoctad 3aa is obtained in moderate yield in chlorobenzene (Table 1, entry 7).

We have previously shown that β-silicon-stabilized cyclopropylcarbenyl cation I, generated from the association of VCP 1a and the hydrogen-substituted silylium ion [Et$_2$HSi(PhCl)]$^+$, is much more stable than other donor-stabilized silylium ions such as the corresponding chlorobenzene-, hydrosilane-, or cyclopropane-stabilized systems.\textsuperscript{3} Unless otherwise noted, adduct I is considered the reference minimum for the estimation of the relative energy of intermediates or transition states calculated here.

The β-silylcarbenium ion I then undergoes an intramolecular [1,3]-hydride shift from the silicon atom to the benzylic carbon atom to arrive at the chlorobenzene-stabilized silylium ion II over a barrier of 15.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$. We also calculated the potential intermolecular hydride transfer from Et$_3$SiH$_2$ (2a) to I but this pathway can be excluded because of an energetically higher transition state (19.0 versus 15.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$, Fig. S84 in the ESI\textsuperscript{†}). Subsequent reorganization can lead to several intramolecularly donor-stabilized silylium ions III (arene stabilization; see ref. 16b for a crystallographically characterized derivative) and cis-IV\textsuperscript{trans-IV} (cyclopropane stabilization with cis- or trans-configuration). In the case of benzyl-substituted VCPs, III is much more stable than either of the two cyclopropane-stabilized silylium ions IV. Conversion of III into cis-IV or trans-IV requires an activation barrier of 16.0 and 11.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The trans-isomer is kinetically and thermodynamically more accessible than the cis-isomer. It is therefore trans-IV which engages in a subsequent intramolecular Friedel–Crafts alkylation reaction of a silylium-ion-activated cyclopropane ring.\textsuperscript{18}

A 6-endo-tet ring closure by nucleophilic attack of the phenyl group at C5 with concomitant cleavage of the distal C5–C6 bond and formation of a bond between C6 and the silicon atom leads to tricyclic Wheland intermediate V (path a). This process through transition state trans-TS$_{IV-V}$ (gray box) is exergonic by $\Delta G^\ddagger = -2.8$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ with an activation barrier of 16.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$. It is also the rate-determining step, consistent with the rapid reaction rate at room temperature. The Bronsted acid V reacts with hydrosilane 2a by dehydrogenative protolysis\textsuperscript{7,22} to form the experimentally obtained product 3aa via VI along with dihydrogen and the chlorobenzene-stablized silylium-ion catalyst ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 16.6$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). The overall Gibbs free energy change $\Delta G$ is $-34.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ (calculated from the Gibbs energy difference between 3aa and 1a/2a). Based on transition state trans-TS$_{IV-V}$, the predicted relative configuration of 3aa is trans, and is supported by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy ($^1J_{HH} = 11.7$ Hz) and was eventually confirmed by X-ray diffraction (Scheme 4, bottom).

We considered other kinetically less favorable pathways such as a the 5-exo-tet cyclization (path b, cleavage of the proximal...
C4–C6 bond leading to 11aa or [1,2]-benzyl shift/ring expansion (path c, leading to 12aa). Neither 11aa nor 12aa were observed experimentally. The route to 12aa corresponds to the previously reported (5 + 1) cycladdition of phenyl-substituted VCPs1 (see Fig. S87–S90 in the ESI†). However, the annulation of a phenethyl-substituted VCP 7 to afford the 6/6/6-fused ring system 8a can be explained by a related 6-exo-tet cyclization corresponding to path b (see Schemes 6 and S12 in the ESI† for the computed pathway).

The Wheland intermediate V is the proton source in this reaction that can also be the starting point of side reactions. Instead of the above dehydrogenative protolysis of the hydrosilane over a barrier of 16.0 kcal mol−1 (V → VI, Scheme 9), this Bronsted acid can also transfer a proton to the C=C double bond of VCP 1a, releasing the product 3aa and the cyclopropycarbinyln cation* IX (Scheme 10). The barrier of this protonation step is only 10.1 kcal mol−1 and is as such kinetically competitive. Subsequent hydride transfer from Et2SiH2 (2a) to IX gives a γ-silicon-stabilized carbocation X. This undergoes hydride abstraction from another molecule of 2a to yield open-chain product 4aa; the silyl ion released at the same time forms the β-silicon-stabilized cyclopropycarbinyln cation I with VCP 1a. This ring-opening hydrosilylation of a cyclopropane promoted by a silyl ion is a known reaction and was recently studied by us in detail.3 With the low barriers involved, the formation of 4aa and eventually 4ab after rapid substituent redistribution3,10 cannot be avoided.

**Conclusion**

The present work showcases that reactions of VCPs with different electrophilic silicon reagents do lead to drastically different outcomes (cf. Scheme 1). The substituent on the VCP and the choice of the silicon electrophile together with the counteranion of the β-silicon-stabilized cyclopropyl carbocation intermediates decide their fate. With silyl-ionicike reagents, benzyl- and phenethyl-substituted VCPs lead to silicon-containing 6/6/5- and 6/6/6-fused ring systems, respectively, both of which previously unknown motifs. The corresponding phenyl-substituted VCPs engage in a (5 + 1) cycladdition under otherwise identical reaction conditions.3 The annulation sequence is initiated by the trityl cation and then maintained by self-regeneration of the silyl-ion reagent.4 Two Si–C(sp3) bonds and one C(sp3)–C(sp3) bond are formed with an intramolecular Friedel–Crafts alkylation of a silyl-ion-activated cyclopropane ring as the key step (6-exo-tet for 6/6/5 system starting from benzyl-substituted VCP and 6-exo-tet for 6/6/6 system starting from phenethyl-substituted VCP). Given the large number of possible reaction pathways, the chemoselectivity and preference for one product with any of the substrates is remarkable.
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