Introduction
Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) is a useful technique to obtain specimens for histopathologic examination. However, there is a small risk of tumor cell seeding along the needle track or within the peritoneum caused by EUS-FNA [1, 2]. We report needle track seeding following EUS-FNA in a patient with a mediastinal tumor.

Case Report
The patient was a 53-year-old man who presented with hoarseness. Chest computed tomography (CT) revealed a 6-cm mass in the mediastinum (Fig. 1). EUS showed a heterogeneous, septated tumor. Transesophageal EUS-FNA was performed (Fig. 2a, b). Three passes were made with a 22-gauge needle (Olympus Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan). During the second pass, necrotic tissue was obtained. No immediate adverse events developed after the EUS-FNA. Pathology showed carcinoma with embryonal features (Fig. 2c, d, e).

Two weeks after the EUS-FNA, the patient presented with mediastinitis. Symptoms improved with medical therapy. Two weeks later, a repeat CT scan showed a mass in the esophageal wall. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy confirmed a lesion in the mid-esophagus, which was biopsied and found to be consistent with needle tract seeding after EUS-FNA. Tumor seeding in the gastrointestinal wall or peritoneum after EUS-FNA is rare, but may adversely affect the prognosis. Indications for EUS-FNA must be carefully considered.

Yokoyama Kensuke et al. Esophageal seeding after endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration of a mediastinal tumor

Endoscopy International Open 2017; 05: E913–E917

© Georg Thieme Verlag KG Stuttgart · New York
ISSN 2364-3722

ABSTRACT
Background and study aims Tumor seeding after endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) is rare. A 53-year-old man underwent transesophageal EUS-FNA for diagnosis of a 6-cm mass in the mediastinum as seen by computed tomography (CT). Four weeks later, repeat CT scan revealed a mass in the esophageal wall. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy confirmed a lesion in the mid-esophagus, which was biopsied and found to be consistent with needle tract seeding after EUS-FNA. Tumor seeding in the gastrointestinal wall or peritoneum after EUS-FNA is rare, but may adversely affect the prognosis. Indications for EUS-FNA must be carefully considered.
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sally based. We believe this is the reason for the rapid development of seeding. The tumor seeding also might have been associated with the post-FNA mediastinitis.

The literature from 2003 to 2016 contains reports on only 14 previous patients with needle tract seeding to gastrointestinal tract wall by a malignancy following EUS-FNA (▶Table 1). Needle size, number of passes, needle movement during puncture, suction, and characteristics of the tumor, might be factors in tumor seeding [3]. According to previous reports, the number of needle passes and tumor characteristics (poorly differentiated or cystic tumor) are considered to be risk factors [2]. Interestingly, needle size was not associated with seeding. In this patient, we speculate that multiple needle passes and tumor characteristics (a poorly differentiated and cystic tumor) may have contributed to development of seeding. Although EUS showed the lesion to be solid, the aspirate showed an abundance of necrotic tissue. We speculated the tumor had a fluid component similar to a cystic tumor.

Peritoneal dissemination has been reported more frequently than needle tract seeding. The exact etiology is unknown but once a patient suffers needle tract seeding, the prognosis is worse.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, in patients who have lesions that are surgically resectable for curative intent, we must carefully consider appropriate indications for performing EUS-FNA and inform these individuals about the potential for esophageal seeding, which is rare.
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Fig. 2 Endoscopic ultrasound findings and pathologic findings of biopsy specimens. a Endoscopic ultrasound showed a tumor with a heterogeneous appearance and a septum. b Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration was performed with a 22-gauge needle. c The biopsy specimen from the tumor showed tumor cells and necrotic tissue. (hematoxylin-eosin, ×4). d Tumor cells show a papillary pattern. Nuclei are overlaid and cell polarity is lost. e Cells stain positive for AFP (×4).
**Fig. 3** Endoscopic findings. a Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy showed a mass effect in the middle esophagus. b Four weeks after endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration, a protruding lesion was seen in the middle esophagus.

**Table 1** Summary of previous reports of endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration seeding.

| No.  | Author        | Year  | Aspiration site                          | Pathological diagnosis     | Seeding site                                      | Needle size | Passes | Interval from EUS-FNA until identification of seeding |
|------|---------------|-------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | Hirooka       | 2003  | Pancreatic body mass                     | Adenocarcinoma (IPMC)      | Posterior gastric wall (and peritoneal seeding)   | 22G         | 3      | 10 days                                             |
| 2    | Shah          | 2004  | Perigastric lymph node                   | Melanoma                   | Posterior gastric wall                            | 22G         | 1      | 6 months                                            |
| 3    | Paquin        | 2005  | Pancreatic tail cancer                   | Adenocarcinoma             | Posterior gastric wall                            | 22G         | 5      | 16 months                                           |
| 4    | Doi           | 2008  | Lymph node metastasis of gastric cancer  | Adenocarcinoma             | Esophageal wall                                   | 19G         | 1      | 18 months                                           |
| 5    | Ashmed        | 2011  | Cystic mass in the pancreatic body       | Adenocarcinoma             | Gastric wall of antrum and body                   | Unknown     | Multiple | 4 years                                             |
| 6    | Chong         | 2011  | Pancreatic tail cancer                   | Adenocarcinoma             | Posterior gastric wall of body                    | 22G         | 2      | 2 years                                             |
| 7    | Katanuma      | 2012  | Pancreatic body cancer                   | Adenocarcinoma             | Posterior gastric wall of upper body              | 22G         | 4      | 22 months                                           |
| 8    | Anderson      | 2013  | Celiac lymph node (and pancreatic head mass) | Adenocarcinoma             | Gastroesophageal junction                         | Unknown     | Unknown | Unknown                                             |
| 9    | Minaga        | 2015  | Pancreatic body cancer                   | Adenocarcinoma             | Posterior gastric wall                            | 22G         | 3      | 8 months                                             |
| 10   | Sakurada      | 2015  | Pancreatic body cancer                   | Adenosquamous carcinoma    | Posterior gastric wall                            | 22G         | Unknown | 19 months                                           |
| 11   | Tomonari      | 2015  | Pancreatic body cancer                   | Adenocarcinoma             | Posterior gastric wall                            | 22G         | 2      | 8 months                                             |
| 12   | Iida          | 2016  | Pancreatic body cancer                   | Adenocarcinoma             | Posterior gastric wall                            | 22G         | 3      | 6 months                                             |
| 13   | Kita          | 2016  | Pancreatic body-tail cancer              | Adenocarcinoma             | Posterior gastric wall                            | 22G         | 2      | 7 months                                             |
| 14   | Yamabe        | 2016  | Pancreatic mass beside cystic lesions    | Adenocarcinoma (IPMC)      | Posterior gastric wall                            | 25G         | Unknown | 3 months                                             |
| 15   | Our Case      |       | Mediastinal tumor                        | Embryonal adenocarcinoma   | Esophageal wall                                   | 22G         | 3      | 4 weeks                                             |

IPMC, intraductal papillary mucinous adenocarcinoma
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