Empirical characterisation of the indoor multi-user MIMO channel in the 3.5 GHz band
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Abstract: This study presents an analysis of the capabilities of using multi-user multiple input multiple output (MU-MIMO) in indoor environments at the 3–4 GHz band through an empirical characterisation of the MU-MIMO channel, obtaining a statistical description of the degree to which this specific multi-user channel verifies the condition of ‘favourable propagation’. Different metrics have been considered to measure the degree of orthogonality between the channels, such as the orthogonality coefficient or the condition number. In addition, in order to obtain a direct measure of the goodness of the channel in terms of the achievable spectral efficiency, the capacity of the channel has been calculated for different numbers of users and base station antennas and compared with theoretical i.i.d. Rayleigh channels.

1 Introduction

The development of the new 5G communication systems, including the use, among others, of multi-user multiple input multiple output (MU-MIMO) access techniques, requires higher dedicated bandwidths. Currently, industry, governments and regulatory bodies are involved in the search and harmonization of new frequency bands for such systems. Although it is expected that a significant part of these frequencies will be allocated in the millimetre band, there is also an international consensus to start the deployment of the first 5G systems in the 3.3–4.4 GHz band. In Europe, the band ranging from 3.4 to 3.8 GHz has already been reserved for the deployment of these systems [1]. It is important to carry out studies of the MU-MIMO channel in that band in order to analyse the feasibility of the MU-MIMO scheme in a relatively low frequency band, especially in indoor environments.

In recent years, a great deal of work has been performed to empirically characterise MU-MIMO channels in different environments and frequency bands [2–6]. One of the most relevant aspects to be analysed deals with the relationship between the channels established between any user terminal (UT) and the base station (BS). In particular, it is of great interest to evaluate the degree to which a specific multi-user channel verifies the condition of ‘favourable propagation’. This condition assumes that the channels between the different UTs and the BS are increasingly orthogonal to each other when the number of antennas of the BS increases. The degree to which the predicted theoretical capacities can be achieved and which processing techniques will be more appropriate depend on the degree of fulfilment of this condition [7, 8].

In this paper, we present a study of the behaviour of the MU-MIMO channel in the 3–4 GHz band based on experimental data, taking as reference the results of a measurement campaign carried out in two indoor environments. In one of these scenarios, the UTs are all in a line-of-sight (LOS) situation with respect to the BS but, in contrast, the second one corresponds with a non-line-of-sight (NLOS) situation for all the UTs. For both cases, the sum capacity of the channel in the uplink (UL) has been evaluated for a maximum number of 6 users. Moreover, the BS is a virtual flat array consisting of 7 × 7 antenna elements, λ/4 uniformly spaced at the centre frequency (3.5 GHz), and 14 × 14 cm² in size. Both the relatively low number of antennas as well as the small size of the array make it a realistic and attractive option for the deployment of an indoor cell.

There are different metrics to measure the degree of orthogonality between the channels. The simplest one lies in calculating the scalar product between the channel vectors taken two by two. However, this method provides only a partial knowledge of the complete MU-MIMO channel as it is necessary to be aware of the degree of orthogonality when all the UTs are active, that is, the degree of global orthogonality of the columns of the channel matrix. This information can be obtained by analysing the distribution of the eigenvalues of the channel matrix, either directly or through the statistical behaviour of the ‘condition number’ [3–5]. These characteristics of the channel matrix are valid metrics of the degree to which the condition of ‘favourable propagation’ is fulfilled. However, they are not a direct measure of the goodness of the channel in terms of the spectral efficiency achievable in bits/s/Hz.

The characterisation of the channel against the achievable spectral efficiency is usually obtained by calculating the capacity of the channel. This theoretical maximum limit can be achieved in different degrees depending on the signal processing techniques used [8].

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 includes the main characteristics of the indoor radio channel measurements, including a description of both the scenarios as well as the measurement setup. Then, Section 3 describes the system model focused on the UL and Section 4 includes representative results along with their associated discussion. Finally, the main conclusions are summarised in Section 5.

2 Channel measurements

In order to analyse the behaviour of the MU-MIMO channel, a measurement campaign has been carried out at two specific sites of the Telecommunications Engineering building at the University of Cantabria, as shown in Fig. 1. Both scenarios have a concrete floor and a ceiling board, concrete columns, as well as indoor walls made of plasterboard. Regarding site 1 depicted in Fig. 1a, it corresponds to a meeting room with office furniture, including desks, chairs and shelves. In this case, both BS and all the UTs or transmitter positions are in a LOS situation. However, at site 2 the BS is placed inside a computer laboratory and the UTs are located...
either in a long corridor (UT1–4) or inside offices (UT5–6), but all of them in an NLOS situation with respect to the BS position. Computers, desks, metallic as well as wooden bookshelves and chairs complete the common furniture present at site 2.

Concerning the measurement setup, a planar scanner consisting of two linear units and step motors along with an E8362A PNA series vector network analyser (VNA) are used to build up the virtual array at the BS side and to measure the channel response for every UT. At both transmitter and receiver sides, a biconical broadband antenna has been used.

At site 1, where all the UTs are in LOS and at a similar distance from the BS, the average signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) over all the BS antenna elements was above 40 dB. At site 2, due to the different NLOS conditions of each UT, the average SNR ranges from 30 dB (UT6) to 37 dB (UT1). For the capacity analysis, a SNR of 10 dB has been selected since it is considered a middle-level SNR [3].

3 Uplink system model

Focusing the analysis on the UL, the MU-MIMO system considered is a simple cell system where the BS is equipped with $M$ antennas. The maximum number of active users is $K$ and each UT is equipped with a single antenna, as depicted in Fig. 2. It is assumed that the users transmit a total power $P_i$ which is distributed equally among all UTs. In addition, it is assumed that the BS knows the channel and that the UTs are not collaborating among each other. Considering the spatial distribution of the UTs along the measured scenarios, it can be considered that there are no significant differences between the propagation losses suffered by any of them. In any case, the normalisation made on the channel matrix does not eliminate such differences, as detailed later. Furthermore, we consider an OFDM system with $N_f$ sub-carriers, which corresponds to the 801 measured tones.

Considering this model, the signal received at the BS for the $i$th sub-carrier when the $K$ users are active will be given by

$$y(i) = H(i) \cdot s(i) + n(i); i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_f$$

(1)

where $y(i)$ is a column vector with $M$ elements corresponding to the $i$th sub-carrier and $H(i)$ is the channel matrix, of order $M \times K$, in which each one of its columns represents the narrowband channel ($h_k(i)$) of order $M \times 1$ corresponding to the $i$th sub-carrier. The signals transmitted from each UT are grouped into the signal vector $s (K \times 1)$ that is normalised, so that $E\{||s||^2\} = 1$; and, finally, $n (M \times 1)$ is a complex Gaussian noise vector with i.i.d. unit variance elements.

The matrix $H$ in (1) is normalised in such a way that verifies

$$E\left\{ \frac{1}{K} H(i) H^H(i) \right\} = M \cdot K$$

(2)

Moreover, the matrix $H$ is obtained from the matrix of the raw channel measurements ($H_{\text{raw}}$) by means of the expression

$$H(i) = H_{\text{norm}}(i) / f_{\text{norm}}$$

(3)

in which $f_{\text{norm}}$ is a normalisation factor given by

$$f_{\text{norm}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \|H_{\text{raw}}(i)\|_F^2}$$

(4)

It should be noted that the normalisation considered preserves the small and large-scale variations of the links. This normalisation is a realistic approach, especially in the UL, where the power level received from different UTs is not the same, and this fact must be taken into account in the channel matrix structure as it influences its singular values. However, there exists another normalisation method so that the unbalance between the different UTs is cancelled; so this normalisation makes sense when isolating the
these coefficients have been averaged over the 15 possible pair
favourable propagation are accomplished [8].
channel matrix as
system by means of the breakdown into singular values of the
channel matrix in which all its columns are orthogonal. On the
contrary, high values of \( \kappa \) indicate that at least two columns of the
matrix will be practically collinear. From a practical point of view,
it is more suitable to represent and interpret the results using as a
metric the inverse of the condition number (ICN), which varies
between 0 (zero orthogonality, at least between two columns of
the channel matrix) and 1 (maximum orthogonality).

4.2 Inverse condition number

The decomposition into singular values of the \( H \) matrix is an
important mathematical tool, useful for calculating the theoretical
capacities achievable by the MIMO channels and to compare
different propagation environments among themselves [3, 9]. We
can concisely state that a greater dispersion between the singular
values of the channel matrix implies a lower capacity associated
with this channel. The reason is that the dispersion of the singular
values is due to a poor orthogonality between the columns of the \( H \)
matrix, that is, between the different channels that are established
between the UTs and the BS. The dispersion of the singular values
is usually measured by the condition number, stated as follows:

\[
\kappa = \frac{\max_{i} \text{eigenvalue} \left( H^H H \right)}{\min_{i} \text{eigenvalue} \left( H^H H \right)} \quad (8)
\]

According to (8), a value of \( \kappa \) equal to one corresponds to a
channel matrix in which all its columns are orthogonal. On the
contrary, high values of \( \kappa \) indicate that at least two columns of the
matrix will be practically collinear. From a practical point of view,
it is more suitable to represent and interpret the results using as a
metric the inverse of the condition number (ICN), which varies
between 0 (zero orthogonality, at least between two columns of \( H \))
and 1 (maximum orthogonality).

Fig. 4 shows the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the
ICN for the LOS, NLOS as well as i.i.d. Rayleigh channels when
the number of antennas at the BS is set to 49 and the number of
active UTs ranges from 2 to 6. For \( K = 2 \) and \( K = 4 \), the CDF
corresponds with the ICN values of the 15 possible combinations
of six UTs taken from two by two or from four by four, respectively.
For both LOS and NLOS environments, as well as for any value of \( K \), it can be observed that the values of the ICN
achieved are lower than those obtained for the i.i.d Rayleigh case.
This allows us to state for all the measured channels that there is a
loss of orthogonality with respect to the uncorrelated theoretical
channels. Unlike the orthogonality coefficient, the statistical
distributions of the ICN do make it possible to differentiate
between the two propagation environments. From the results, it can
also be inferred that for any number of UTs, either 2, 4 or 6, the
NLOS environment presents a greater orthogonality loss than the
LOS one. When comparing the two environments, it is observed
that the LOS one presents a more favourable propagation than the
NLOS. This result differs from that obtained by other authors for
outdoor channels [3], where NLOS situations present better
orthogonality than LOS channels. However, indoor scenarios often
lead to complex propagation conditions, in which LOS situations
may present a greater scattering richness than NLOS ones.

Finally, Fig. 4 also shows how increasing the number of active
channels \( K \) leads to an orthogonality loss in the channel matrix,
that is, smaller ICN values appear, which indicates the presence of
at least two columns of the channel matrix with high correlation;
i.e. there are at least two UTs with channels that have low
orthogonality.

4.3 Sum capacity

Fig. 5 presents the CDF of the sum capacities for both propagation
environments obtained according to the model proposed in Section
3, and considering a SNR of 10 dB along with a number of active UTs ranging from 2 to 6. The theoretical CDF associated with i.i.d. Rayleigh channels is also included in the comparison. The results achieved show how the measured capacities are always lower than those corresponding to the i.i.d. channels. For $K=2$, the capacities for both environments are quite similar and show a loss of the mean value of the capacity of 1 bit/s/Hz against the i.i.d. Rayleigh channel. For $K=4$, both site 1 (LOS) and site 2 (NLOS) results differ significantly, obtaining greater capacities in the LOS scenario, according to the ICN values obtained; and in both cases the difference with the theoretical capacities of the Rayleigh channels increases. Finally, for $K=6$ the above trend continues, as site 1 and site 2 differences become higher and, at the same time, both have a greater loss of capacity with respect to the theoretical i.i.d channels, showing losses in the median capacity of 7 and 15 bit/s/Hz in LOS and NLOS environments, respectively.

From the comparison of the statistical behaviour of both the ICN parameter and the capacity, it can be concluded that the impact of the variations of the ICN on the capacity is not linear. Furthermore, from the decrease of the ICN from one environment to the other one, only general conclusions regarding the capabilities of both sites can be drawn.

Fig. 6 shows the mean capacity as a function of the number of active UTs. An antenna array with 49 elements at the BS has been considered.

To complete the analysis, Fig. 7 shows for site 1 (LOS) the mean value of the capacity of the MU-MIMO system for different numbers of active UTs ($K=2$, 3, 4, 5 and 6), as the number of antennas at the BS side increases ($M=9, 16, 25, 36$ and 49). In all cases, there is an increase in capacity as the number of antennas at the BS array becomes higher, but the measured capacities do not reach the values of the theoretical channels, with capacity losses of the order of 7 bit/s/Hz. It can also be observed that the increase in the number of active UTs leads to an increase in capacity. This gain is practically linear for the case of i.i.d channels, although in real channels the capacity gain decreases as $K$ increases.

Finally, Fig. 8 shows the mean value of the capacity of the system for both environments and different numbers of antennas at the BS. It can be seen that for the NLOS scenario the propagation conditions are less favourable and thus, the increase in capacity with the number of antennas of the BS is slower than in the LOS situation. In fact, the loss of capacity with respect to the i.i.d. channel for $K=6$ and $M=49$, reaches 13 bit/s/Hz.
Fig. 8 Mean capacity of the system against the number of antennas at the BS for both scenarios

5 Conclusion

The possibilities of using MU-MIMO in indoor environments in the 3–4 GHz band through an empirical characterisation of the MU-MIMO-OFDM channel have been investigated in this paper. The proposed model concentrates on the UL of a single cell and it considers a BS consisting of a 7 × 7 planar array and a maximum of 6 active UTs. The following paragraphs summarise the main conclusions that can be drawn from the empirical data.

The orthogonality coefficient of the sub-channels taken two by two gives an incomplete estimate of the degree to which a specific multi-user channel verifies the condition of ‘favourable propagation’. The structure of the channel matrix when the number of active UTs increases is critical to establish the joint orthogonality of the channels, and thus the achievable sum-rate.

The degree of global orthogonality of the columns of the channel matrix can be achieved by analysing the distribution of the eigenvalues of the channel matrix, either directly or through the statistical behaviour of the condition number or its inverse. Unlike the orthogonality coefficient, the statistical distributions of the ICN do make it possible to differentiate the two propagation environments. In both environments, the CDF of the ICN obtained presents medium values lower than the corresponding i.i.d. for the theoretical i.i.d channels. However, indoor scenarios often lead to complex propagation conditions, in which LOS situations may offer a greater scattering richness than the NLOS ones. Therefore, the conclusion is that in indoor environments, both the specific environment and the spatial distribution of the UTs determine the goodness of the channel. In this sense, it may be appropriate to carry out measurements and simulations where realistic situations are considered and where links with different levels of obstruction between the BS and the UT can be mixed.

A characterisation of the channel against the achievable spectral efficiency is obtained through the calculation of the CDF of the measured channels capacity against frequency. The results achieved show how the measured capacities are always lower than those corresponding to the i.i.d. channels according to the ICN values obtained. This difference increases with the number of active UTs. For K = 6, differences between sites 1 and 2 become higher, both having a high loss of capacity with respect to the theoretical i.i.d channels, showing losses on the median capacity of 7 and 15 bit/s/Hz in site 1 (LOS) and site 2 (NLOS) environments.

An important conclusion refers to how the mean capacities vary as the number of BS antennas increases for different number of UTs. In all cases, there is an increase in capacity as the number of antennas at the BS array becomes higher, but the measured capacities do not reach the values of the theoretical channels, with capacity losses of the order of 19 and 35% for LOS and NLOS scenarios, respectively.

Finally, it can be stated that despite the fact that there is a significant loss of capacity with respect to the ideal channels, important rates can still be achieved on real channels. Furthermore, the trend of the capacity curves with respect to the number of BS antennas also allows us to state that the spectral efficiency of the system could be considerably improved with a moderate increase in the number of antennas at the BS.
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