Correlation between asymmetric profiles in slits and standard prewetting lines
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The adsorption of Ar on substrates of Li is investigated within the framework of a density functional theory which includes an effective pair potential recently proposed. This approach yields good results for the surface tension of the liquid-vapor interface over the entire range of temperatures, \( T \), from the triple point, \( T_t \), to the critical point, \( T_c \). The behavior of the adsorbate in the cases of a single planar wall and a slit geometry is analyzed as a function of temperature. Asymmetric density profiles are found for fluid confined in a slit built up of two identical planar walls leading to the spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) effect. We found that the asymmetric solutions occur even above the wetting temperature \( T_w \) in a range of average densities \( \rho_{ssb1} \leq \rho_{av} \leq \rho_{ssb2} \), which diminishes with increasing temperatures until its disappearance at the critical prewetting point \( T_{cpw} \). In this way a correlation between the disappearance of the SSB effect and the end of prewetting lines observed in the adsorption on a one-wall planar substrate is established. In addition, it is shown that a value for \( T_{cpw} \) can be precisely determined by analyzing the asymmetry coefficients.

I. Introduction

The study of physisorption of fluids on solid substrates had led to very fascinating phenomena mainly determined by the relative strengths of fluid-fluid (f-f) and substrate-fluid (s-f) attractions. In the present work we shall refer to two of such features. One is the prewetting curve identified in the study of fluids adsorbed on planar surfaces above the wetting temperature \( T_w \) (see, e.g., Pandit, Schick, and Wortis [1]) and the other is the occurrence of asymmetric profiles of fluids confined in a slit of identical walls found by van Leeuwen and collaborators in molecular dynamics calculations [2, 3]. It is known that for a strong substrate (i.e., when the s-f attraction dominates over the f-f one) the adsorbed film builds up continuously showing a complete wetting. In such a case, neither prewetting transitions nor spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) of the profiles are observed, both these phenomena appear for substrates of moderate strength.

The prewetting has been widely analyzed for adsorption of quantum as well as classical fluids. A
A summary of experimental data and theoretical calculations for $^4$He may be found in Ref. [4]. Studies of other fluids are mentioned in Ref. [5]. These investigations indicated that prewetting is present in real systems such as $^4$He, H$_2$, and inert gases adsorbed on alkali metals.

On the other hand, after a recent work of Berim and Ruckenstein [6] there is a renewal of the interest in searching for the SSB effect in real systems. These authors utilized a density functional (DF) theory to study the confinement of Ar in a slit composed of two identical walls of CO$_2$ and concluded that SSB occurs in a certain domain of temperatures. In a revised analysis of this case, reported in Ref. [7], we found that the conditions for the SSB were fulfilled because the authors of Ref. [6] had diminished the s-f attraction by locating an extra hard-wall repulsion. However, it was found that inert gases adsorbed on alkali metals exhibit SSB. Results for Ne confined by such substrates were recently reported [8].

The aim of the present investigation is to study the relation between the range of temperatures where the SSB occurs and the temperature dependence of the wetting properties. In this paper we illustrate our findings describing the results for Ar adsorbed on Li. Previous DF calculations of Ancilotto and Toigo [9] as well as Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations carried out by Curtarolo et al. [10] suggest that Ar wets Li at a temperature significantly below $T_c$. So, this system should exhibit a large locus of the prewetting line and this feature makes it very convenient for our study as it was already communicated during a recent workshop [11].

The paper is organized in the following way. The theoretical background is summarized in Sec. II. The results, together with their analysis, are given in Sec. III.. Sec. IV. is devoted to the conclusions.

II. Theoretical background

In a DF theory, the Helmholtz free energy $F_{\text{DF}}[\rho(r)]$ of an inhomogeneous fluid embedded in an external potential $U_{sf}(r)$ is expressed as a functional of the local density $\rho(r)$ (see, e.g., Ref. [12])

$$
F_{\text{DF}}[\rho(r)] = \nu_{af} k_B T \int dr \rho(r) \left\{ \ln[N^3 \rho(r)] - 1 \right\} + \int dr \rho(r) f_{\text{HS}}[\bar{\rho}(r); d_{\text{HS}}] + \frac{1}{2} \int dr dr' \rho(r) \rho(r') \Phi_{\text{attr}}(r-r') + \int dr \rho(r) U_{sf}(r).
$$

(1)

The first term is the ideal gas free energy, where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $\Lambda = \sqrt{2 \pi \hbar^2 / m k_B T}$ the de Broglie thermal wavelength of the molecule of mass $m$. Quantity $\nu_{af}$ is a parameter introduced in Eq. (2) of [13] (in the standard theory it is equal unity). The second term accounts for the repulsive $f-f$ interaction approximated by a hard-sphere (HS) functional with a certain choice for the HS diameter $d_{\text{HS}}$. In the present work we have used for $f_{\text{HS}}[\bar{\rho}(r); d_{\text{HS}}]$ the expression provided by the nonlocal DF (NLDF) formalism developed by Kierlik and Rosinberg [14] (KR), where $\bar{\rho}(r)$ is a properly averaged density. The third term is the attractive $f-f$ interactions treated in a mean field approximation (MFA). Finally, the last integral represents the effect of the external potential $U_{sf}(r)$ exerted on the fluid.

In the present work, for the analysis of physisorption we adopted the \textit{ab initio} potential of Chmieszyna, Cole, and Zaremba (CCZ) [15] with the parameters listed in Table 1 therein.

i. Effective pair attraction

The attractive part of the $f-f$ interaction was described by an effective pair interaction devised in Ref. [5], where the separation of the Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential introduced by Weeks, Chandler and Andersen (WCA) [16] is adopted

$$
\Phi_{\text{attr}}^{\text{WCA}}(r) = \begin{cases} 
-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ff}, & r \leq r_m \\
4\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ff} \left[ \left( \frac{\tilde{a}_{ff}}{r} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\tilde{a}_{ff}}{r} \right)^6 \right], & r > r_m.
\end{cases}
$$

(2)
Here $r_m = 2^{1/6} \sigma_{ff}$ is the position of the LJ minimum. No cutoff for the pair potential was introduced. The well depth $\varepsilon_{ff}$ and the interaction size $\sigma_{ff}$ are considered as free parameters because the use of the bare values $\varepsilon_{ff}/k_B = 119.76$ K and $\sigma_{ff} = 3.405$ Å overestimates $T_c$.

So, the complete DF formalism has three adjustable parameters (namely, $\nu_{id}$, $\varepsilon_{ff}$, and $\sigma_{ff}$), which were determined by imposing that at $l-v$ coexistence, the pressure as well as the chemical potential of the bulk $l$ and $v$ phases should be equal [i.e., $P(\rho_l) = P(\rho_v)$ and $\mu(\rho_l) = \mu(\rho_v)$]. The procedure is described in Ref. [5]. In practice, we set $d_{\text{HS}} = \sigma_{ff}$ and imposed the coexistence data of $\rho_l$, $\rho_v$, and $P(\rho_l) = P(\rho_v) = P_0$ for Ar quoted in Table X of Ref. [17] to be reproduced in the entire range of temperatures $T$ between $T_t = 83.78$ K and $T_c = 150.86$ K.

ii. Euler-Lagrange equation

The equilibrium density profile $\rho(r)$ of the adsorbed fluid is determined by a minimization of the free energy with respect to density variations with the constraint of a fixed number of particles $N$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(r)} \left[ F_{\text{DF}}[\rho(r)] - \mu \int dr \rho(r) \right] = 0 .$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here the Lagrange multiplier $\mu$ is the chemical potential of the system. In the case of a planar symmetry where the flat walls exhibit an infinite extent in the $x$ and $y$ directions, the profile depends only on the coordinate $z$ perpendicular to the substrate. For this geometry, the variation of Eq. (3) yields the following Euler-Lagrange (E-L) equation

$$\frac{\delta (F_{\text{id}} + F_{\text{HS}})/A}{\delta \rho(z)} = \frac{\delta F_{\text{HS}}[\rho(z); d_{\text{HS}}]}{\delta \rho(z)} + \int_0^L dz \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(z)} \rho(z) \Phi_{\text{attr}}(| z - z' |) + U_s(z) = \mu ;$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where

$$\frac{\delta (F_{\text{id}})/A}{\delta \rho(z)} = \nu_{id} k_B T \ln [\Lambda^3 \rho(z)] , \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

and

$$\frac{\delta (F_{\text{HS}}/A)}{\delta \rho(z)} = f_{\text{HS}}[\rho(z); d_{\text{HS}}] + \int_0^L dz \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(z)} \frac{f_{\text{HS}}[\rho(z); d_{\text{HS}}]}{\delta \rho(z)} \frac{\delta \rho(z)}{\delta \rho(z)} \delta \rho(z) = \mu .$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Here $F_{\text{id}}/A$ and $F_{\text{HS}}/A$ are free energies per unit of one wall area $A$. $L$ is the size of the box adopted for solving the E-L equations. The boundary conditions for the one-wall and slit systems are different and will be given below. The final E-L equation may cast into the form

$$\nu_{id} k_B T \ln [A^3 \rho(z)] + Q(z) = \mu ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where

$$Q(z) = f_{\text{HS}}[\rho(z); d_{\text{HS}}] + \int_0^L dz \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(z)} \frac{f_{\text{HS}}[\rho(z); d_{\text{HS}}]}{\delta \rho(z)} \frac{\delta \rho(z)}{\delta \rho(z)} + U_s(z) \right) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The number of particles $N_s$ per unit area, $A$, of the wall is

$$N_s = \frac{N}{A} = \int_0^L \rho(z) dz .$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

In order to get solutions for $\rho(z)$, it is useful to rewrite Eq. (7) as

$$\rho(z) = \rho_0 \exp \left( - \frac{Q(z)}{\nu_{id} k_B T} \right) ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

with

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} \exp \left( - \frac{\mu}{\nu_{id} k_B T} \right) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The relation between $\mu$ and $N_s$ is obtained by substituting Eq. (10) into the constraint of Eq. (9)

$$\mu = - \nu_{id} k_B T \ln \left[ \frac{1}{N_s \Lambda^3} \int_0^L dz \exp \left( - \frac{Q(z)}{\nu_{id} k_B T} \right) \right] .$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

When solving this kind of systems, it is usual to define dimensionless variables $z^* = z/\sigma_{ff}$ for the distance and $\rho^* = \rho \sigma^2_{ff}$ for the densities. In these units the box size becomes $L^* = L/\sigma_{ff}$. 
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III. Results and Analysis

In order to quantitatively study the adsorption of fluids within any theoretical approach, one must require the experimental surface tension of the bulk liquid-vapor interface, $\gamma_{lv}$, to be reproduced satisfactorily over the entire $T_t \leq T \leq T_c$ temperature range. Therefore, we shall first examine the prediction for this observable before studying the adsorption phenomena.

i. Surface tension of the bulk liquid-vapor interface

Figure 1 shows the experimental data of $\gamma_{lv}$ taken from Table II of Ref. [18]. In order to theoretically evaluate this quantity the E-L equations for free slabs of Ar, i.e. setting

$$U_{sf}(z) = 0,$$

were solved imposing periodic boundary conditions $\rho(z = 0) = \rho(z = L)$. At a given temperature $T$, for a sufficiently large system one must obtain a wide central region with $\rho(z \approx L/2) = \rho_l(T)$ and tails with density $\rho_v(T)$, where the values of $\rho_l(T)$ and $\rho_v(T)$ should be those of the liquid-vapor coexistence curve. The surface tension of the liquid-vapor interface is calculated according to the thermodynamic definition

$$\gamma_{lv} = (\Omega + P_0 V)/A = \Omega/A + P_0 L,$$

where $\Omega = F_{DF} - \mu N$ is the grand potential of the system and $P_0$ the pressure at liquid-vapor coexistence previously introduced. We solved a box with $L^* = 40$. The obtained results are plotted in Fig. 1 together with the prediction of the fluctuation theory of critical phenomena $\gamma_{lv} = \gamma^0_{lv}(1 - T/T_c)^{1/26}$ with $\gamma^0_{lv} = 17.4 \text{ K}/\text{Å}^2$ (see, e.g., [19]). One may realize that our values are in satisfactory agreement with experimental data and the renormalization theory over the entire range of temperatures $T_t \leq T \leq T_c$, showing a small deviation near $T_t$.

ii. Adsorption on one planar wall

It is assumed that the physisorption of Ar on a one wall substrate of Li is driven by the CCZ potential, i.e.,

$$U_{sf}(z) = U_{CCZ}(z).$$

The E-L equations were solved in a box of size $L^* = 40$ by imposing $\rho(z > L) = \rho(z = L)$. The solution gives a density profile $\rho(z)$ and the corresponding chemical potential $\mu$. Adsorption
isotherms at a given temperature were calculated as function of the excess surface density. This quantity, also termed coverage, is often expressed in nominal layers $\ell$

$$\Gamma_{\ell} = \left(1/\rho_l^{2/3}\right) \int_0^{\infty} dz [\rho(z) - \rho_B] , \quad (16)$$

where $\rho_B = \rho(z \to \infty)$ is the asymptotic bulk density and $\rho_l$ the liquid density at saturation for a given temperature. By utilizing the results for $\mu$ obtained from the E-L equation and the value $\mu_0$ corresponding to saturation at a given temperature $T$, the difference $\Delta \mu = \mu - \mu_0$ was evaluated. Figure 2 shows the adsorption isotherms for temperatures above $T_w$, where an equal area Maxwell construction is feasible. This is just the prewetting region characterized by a jump in coverage $\Gamma_{\ell}$. The size of this jump depends on temperature. The largest jump occurs at $T_w$ and diminishes for increasing $T$ until its disappearance at $T_{cpw}$. Density profiles just below and above the coverage jump for $T = 114$ K are displayed in Fig. 3, in that case $\Gamma_{\ell}$ jumps from 0.5 to 3.6. Therefore, the formation of the fourth layer may be observed in the plot.

The wetting temperature $T_w$ can be obtained from the analysis of the values of $\Delta \mu/k_B$ at which the jump in coverage occurs at each considered temperature. The behavior $\Delta \mu_{pw}/k_B$ vs $T$ is displayed in Fig. 4. A useful form for determining the temperature $T_w$ was derived from thermodynamic arguments [20]

$$\Delta \mu_{pw}(T) = \frac{\mu_{pw}(T) - \mu_0(T)}{k_B} = a_{pw}(T - T_w)^{3/2} . \quad (17)$$

Here $a_{pw}$ is a model parameter and the exponent 3/2 is fixed by the power of the van der Walls tail of the adsorption potential $U_{sf}(z) \approx -C_3/z^3$. The fit of the data of $\Delta \mu/k_B$ to Eq. (17) yielded $T_w = 110.1$ K and $a_{pw}/k_B = -0.16$ K$^{-1/2}$.

On the other hand, according to Fig. 2, the critical prewetting point $T_{cpw}$ lies between $T = 118$ and 119 K. At the latter temperature, the film already presents a continuous growth. Our values of $T_w$ and $T_{cpw}$ are smaller than those obtained from prior DF calculations [9] ($T_w = 123$ K and $T_{cpw} \approx 130$ K) and GCMC simulations [10] ($T_w = 130$ K). The difference with the DF evaluation of Ref. [9] is due to the use of different effective pair potentials as we explain in Ref. [5], where the adsorption of Ne is studied. The present approach gives a reasonable $\gamma_w$, while that of Ref. [9] fails dramatically close to $T_\Gamma$. The difference with the GCMC results cannot be interpreted in a straightforward way.
iii. Confinement in a planar slit

In the slit geometry, where the Ar atoms are confined by two identical walls of Li the s-f potential becomes

\[ U_{sf}(z) = U_{CCZ}(z) + U_{CCZ}(L - z) \, . \]  

The walls were located at a distance \( L^* = 40 \), this width guarantees that the pair interaction between two atoms located at different walls is negligible. In fact, this width is wider than \( L^* = 29.1 \), which was utilized in the pioneering molecular dynamics calculations [2, 3]. Accordingly, the E-L equations were solved in a box of size \( L^* = 40 \).

The result labeled 1 is a small asymmetric profile, where the asymmetric solutions exhibit a lower free energy than the corresponding symmetric ones. In spite of the fact that there is a general idea that a connection exists between the SSB effect and nonwetting, we have found, by contrast, that SSB behavior extends above the wetting temperature. Furthermore, we have also found a relation between prewetting and SSB.

Figure 5 shows the free energy per particle, \( f_{DF} = F_{DF}/N \), for both symmetric and asymmetric solutions for the Ar/Li system at \( T = 115 \) K \( > T_w \) as a function of the average density. According to this picture, the ground state (g-s) exhibits asymmetric profiles between a lower and an upper limit \( \rho^*_a = 0.057 < \rho^*_a \leq \rho^*_b \). Out of this range no asymmetric solutions were obtained form the set of Eqs. (7)-(12). Similar features were obtained for higher temperatures until \( T = 118 \) K, above this value the profiles corresponding to the g-s are always symmetric. Figure 6 shows three examples of solutions determined at \( T = 115 \) K. The result labeled 1 is a small asymmetric profile, that labeled 2 is the largest asymmetric solution at this temperature. So, by further increasing \( \rho^*_a \), the SSB effect disappears and the g-s becomes symmetric, as indicated by the curve labeled 3. When the asymmetric profiles occur, the situation is denoted as partial (or one wall) wetting. The symmetric solutions account for a complete (two wall) wetting. These different situations can be interpreted in terms of the balance of surface tensions, carefully discussed in previous works [2, 3, 7]. Here we shall restrict ourselves to briefly outline the main features. When the liquid is adsorbed symmetrically like in the case of profile 3 in Fig. 6, there are two s-l and two l-v interfaces. Hence, the total surface excess energy may be written as

\[ \gamma_{\text{tot}}^{\text{asym}} = 2 \gamma_{sl} + 2 \gamma_{lv} \, . \]  

On the other hand, for a asymmetric profile \( \gamma_{\text{tot}}^{\text{sym}} \) becomes

\[ \gamma_{\text{tot}}^{\text{sym}} = \gamma_{sl} + \gamma_{lv} + \gamma_{sv} \, . \]  

The three quantities of the r.h.s. of this equation are related by Young’s law (see, e.g., Eq. (2.1) in Ref. [21])

\[ \gamma_{sv} = \gamma_{sl} + \gamma_{lv} \cos \theta \, , \]  

where \( \theta \) is the contact angle defined as the angle between the wall and the interface between the liquid and the vapor (see Fig. 1 in Ref. [21]). By using Young’s law, the Eq. (20) may be rewritten as
\[ \gamma_{\text{tot}}^{\text{asy}} = 2\gamma_{sl} + \gamma_{lv} (1 + \cos \theta), \]  

with \( \cos \theta = (\gamma_{sv} - \gamma_{sl})/\gamma_{lv} < 1. \) If one changes \( \gamma_{sl} \) by increasing enough \( N_s \) (as shown in Fig. 5), and/or \( T \), and/or the strength of \( U_{sf}(z) \), eventually the equality \( \gamma_{sv} - \gamma_{sl} = \gamma_{lv} \) may be reached yielding \( \cos \theta = 1. \) Then, the system would undergo a transition to a symmetric profile where both walls of the slit are wet.

\[ \Delta N = \frac{1}{N_s} \int_0^{L/2} dz \left[ \rho(z) - \rho(L - z) \right]. \]

According to this definition, if the profile is completely asymmetrical about the middle of the slit, i.e. for: (i) \( \rho(z < L/2) \neq 0 \) and \( \rho(z \geq L/2) = 0; \) or (ii) \( \rho(z < L/2) = 0 \) and \( \rho(z \geq L/2) \neq 0 \) this quantity becomes +1 or −1, respectively, while for symmetric solutions it vanishes.

We evaluated the asymmetry coefficients of solutions obtained for increasing temperatures up to \( T = 118 \) K. The results for LAS profiles at tem-
peratures larger that \( T_w \) are displayed in Fig. 7 as a function of the average density. One may observe how the range \( \rho_{\text{ssb}}^* \leq \rho_{\text{av}} \leq \rho_{\text{sat1}}^* \) diminishes under increasing temperatures. The SSB effect persists at most for the critical \( \rho_{\text{av}}(\text{crit}) = (17/24) \sigma_{\text{ff}}^2 \times 10^{-2} \approx 0.074 \) with \( \sigma_{\text{ff}} \) expressed in \( \text{Å} \).

We shall demonstrate that by analyzing the data of \( \Delta_N \) for \( \rho_{\text{av}}(\text{crit}) \) it is possible to determine the critical prewetting point. Figure 8 shows these values for both the LAS and RAS profiles, calculated at different temperatures, suggesting a rather parabolic shape. So, we propose a fit to the following quartic polynomial

\[
T = T_{\text{cpw}} + a_2\Delta_N^2 + a_4\Delta_N^4. \tag{24}
\]

This procedure yielded \( T_{\text{cpw}} = 118.4 \text{ K}, a_2 = -14.14 \text{ K}, \) and \( a_4 = -16.63 \text{ K} \). The obtained value of \( T_{\text{cpw}} \) is in agreement with the limits established when analyzing the adsorption isotherms of the one-wall systems displayed in Fig. 2. These results indicate that the disappearance of the SSB effect coincides with the end of the prewetting line.

**IV. Conclusions**

We have performed a consistent study within the same DF approach of free slabs of Ar, the adsorption of these atoms on a single planar wall of Li and its confinement in slits of this alkali metal. Good results were obtained for the surface tension of the liquid-vapor interface. The analysis of the physisorption on a planar surface indicates that Ar wets surfaces of Li in agreement with previous investigations. The isotherms for the adsorption on one planar wall exhibit a locus of prewetting in the \( \mu - T \) plane. A fit of such data yielded a wetting temperature \( T_w = 110.1 \text{ K} \). In addition, these isotherms also show that the critical prewetting point \( T_{\text{cpw}} \) lies between \( T = 118 \) and \( 119 \text{ K} \). These results for \( T_w \) and \( T_{\text{cpw}} \) are slightly below the values obtained in Refs. [9, 10], the discrepancy is discussed in the text.

On the other hand, this investigation shows that the profiles of Ar confined in a slit of Li present SSB. This effect occurs in a certain range of average densities \( \rho_{\text{ssb}}^* \leq \rho_{\text{av}}^* \leq \rho_{\text{sat1}}^* \), which diminishes for increasing temperatures. The main output of this work is the finding that above the wetting temperature the SSB occurs until \( T_{\text{cpw}} \) is reached. To the best of our knowledge this is the first time that such a correlation is reported. Furthermore, it is shown that by examining the evolution of the asymmetry coefficient one can precisely determine \( T_{\text{cpw}} \). The obtained value \( T_{\text{cpw}} = 118.4 \text{ K} \) lies in the interval established when analyzing the adsorption on a single wall.
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