Intergeneric hybridization of two stickleback species leads to introgression of membrane-associated genes and invasive TE expansion
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Interspecific hybridization has occurred relatively frequently during the evolution of vertebrates. This process usually abolishes reproductive isolation between the parental species. Moreover, it results in the exchange of genetic material and can lead to hybridogenic speciation. Hybridization between species has predominately been observed at the interspecific level, whereas intergeneric hybridization is rarer. Here, using whole-genome sequencing analysis, we describe clear and reliable signals of intergeneric introgression between the three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) and its distant mostly freshwater relative the nine-spined stickleback (Pungitius pungitius) that inhabit northwestern Russia. Through comparative analysis, we demonstrate that such introgression phenomena apparently take place in the moderate-salinity White Sea basin, although it is not detected in Japanese sea stickleback populations. Bioinformatical analysis of the sites influenced by introgression showed that they are located near transposable elements, whereas those in protein-coding sequences are mostly found in membrane-associated and alternative splicing-related genes.
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1 Introduction

The process of hybridization between two different species, which is known as interspecific hybridization, is one of the most crucial events occurring during the evolutionary process for all kingdoms of life. Previously, interspecific hybridization has long been considered as a rare process, resulting in the destruction of reproductive isolation between species (Borkin and Litvinchuk, 2013). Moreover, this point of view was strengthened by the concept of postzygotic isolation (PSI), which postulates that allele incompatibility between different species makes distant hybridization more difficult (Dobzhansky, 1940). Recent studies, however, have shown that evolutionary success in interspecific hybridization is widely common in nature. At least 25% of plant and more than 10% of animal species (including vertebrates) show traces of hybridization in their genomes (Mallet, 2005).

It seems that, despite PSI, successful introgressive hybridization plays an important role in the evolutionary process it is involved in vertebrate speciation (Abbott et al., 2013; Mallet et al., 2016), by helping to acquire new traits (Chiba, 2005; Pereira et al., 2014a; Nichols et al., 2015), increase genetic variation, and conquer new habitats (Lee, 2002; Dlugosch and Parker, 2008; Prentis et al., 2008; Yoshida et al., 2016). Traces of introgressive hybridization between species can, nowadays, be precisely detected using high-throughput sequencing, which allows us to conduct studies of this phenomenon on an interspecific and even intergeneric level (Jombart and Ahmed, 2011; Payseur and Rieseberg, 2016; Runemark et al., 2019; Taylor and Larson, 2019).

Interspecific hybridization is also quite common among fish species (Cui et al., 2013; Wallis et al., 2017). The development of whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and bioinformatic methods allowed to show that interspecific hybridization between fish species is a relatively common source for the acquisition of new traits (Ford et al., 2015; Souissi et al., 2018; Runemark et al., 2019).

The three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) and its quite distant mostly freshwater relative the nine-spined stickleback (Pungitius pungitius), both in the order Gasterosteiformes, are widely used for ecological, evolutionary, and functional biology studies (Ford et al., 2015; Souissi et al., 2018; Runemark et al., 2016; Artemov et al., 2017; Rastorguev et al., 2018; Yoshida et al., 2019). The nine-spined stickleback is mostly a freshwater species, whereas the three-spined stickleback has marine and freshwater ecological forms which are significantly distinct because of divergent selective biotic and abiotic pressures that influence their natural selection. Interestingly, the marine form of three-spined stickleback can survive in freshwater conditions for extended periods and often spawns in freshwater streams and rivers flowing into a sea. Previously, it has been shown that the freshwater three-spined stickleback has genomic sites, also known as "divergence islands," which increase its capacity for adaptation to freshwater conditions (Jones et al., 2012; Terekhanova et al., 2014; Terekhanova et al., 2019).

The possibility of hybridization between the marine and freshwater forms of three-spined stickleback has been demonstrated, and the resulting hybrid offspring have expanded ecological potential compared with their parental forms (Taylor et al., 2006; Lucek et al., 2010; Rudman and Schluter, 2016). Moreover, interspecific hybridization between two Gasterosteus species—G. aculeatus and G. nipponicus—apparently facilitates freshwater habitat colonization by the three-spined stickleback (Yoshida et al., 2016). The possibility of interspecific hybridization between Pungitius species has also been observed. The nine-spined stickleback (P. pungitius) can form fertile interspecific hybrids with either the Sakhalin stickleback (P. tymensis) or the Ukrainian stickleback (P. platygaster), with the ability to induce viable backcrosses (Kobayashi, 1959).

Genomic divergence between three- and nine-spined sticklebacks is very high. The divergence time of these species is estimated to be within 25.5–28.8 M years (Varadharajan et al., 2019). Notably, the chromosome number of the nine-spined stickleback coincides with that of the three-spined stickleback, but differs from much more closely related species such as the four-spined stickleback (Apeltes quadracus) and the brook stickleback (Culaea inconstans), of which the karyotypes have 23 pairs of chromosomes (Urton et al., 2011). However, it should be noted that nine-spined stickleback chromosomes have 70 chromosome arms, whereas three-spined stickleback chromosomes have only 58 (Varadharajan et al., 2019). This may result in difficulties in chromosome segregation during meiosis and to fertility reduction of the hybrids. Nevertheless, the artificial hybrids between three- and nine-spined sticklebacks that grow to maturity can reproduce themselves (Hart, 2003), which implies their existence is likely a result of occasional hybridization between these two species in natural conditions.

In our previous study based on transposable elements (TEs) and restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-Seq) analyses, we described, for the first time, the existence of genomic introgression between three- and nine-spined stickleback species (Nedoluzhko et al., 2021). RAD-Seq analysis is applicable for the identification of hybridization traces in admixture genomes, but it is much more complex to reveal the genomic location of these introgressed loci. At the same time, the analysis of genomic localization of these loci could shed light on the molecular mechanisms of interspecific introgression between these distant species. TE-analysis and RAD-sequencing of eight specimens from the White Sea stickleback population revealed one that produced a clearly noticeable sign of admixture. This finding prompted us to suspect the possibility of introgression between these two sympatric stickleback species residing in northwestern Russia (Nedoluzhko et al., 2021).
In the present study, using whole-genome sequencing of three- and nine-spined stickleback specimens from the White Sea basin, we clearly demonstrate a moderate level of introgression between three- and nine-spined sticklebacks in White Sea populations of these species. We show that introgressed loci in the nine-spined stickleback genome are most frequently located in genes encoding membrane-related proteins and alternative splicing-associated factors.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sampling

Three- and the nine-spined sticklebacks were collected from the White Sea area near the Chkalovsky village in the Republic of Karelia, Russia. Two three-spined stickleback specimens were collected in the coastal zone of the White Sea and in the estuary (near the tidal zone) of the Chkalovsky stream, which drains into the White Sea. Nine-spined stickleback specimens were collected in the estuary (near the tidal zone) and in the headwaters of the Chkalovsky stream as well as in a quarry located near Chkalovsky village (Table 1). One nine-spined stickleback specimen (Pun1) which presented a reduction in the number of spines and showed introgression traces in its genome based on transposable and RAD-sequencing analyses (Nedoluzhko et al., 2021) was also used to conduct whole genome sequencing.

2.2 DNA extraction and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from fin clips using the phenol–chloroform extraction method. The digestion was performed with proteinase K at 60°C for 4–5 h (Sambrook et al., 2006). DNA quantity was determined with a Qubit 2.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States). DNA integrity was assessed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

DNA libraries were constructed using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, United States). Amplified DNA libraries were quantified using a high-sensitivity chip on a 2100 Bioanalyzer instrument (Agilent Technologies, United States). The S2 flow cell of the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 genome analyzer (Illumina, United States) was used for DNA library sequencing of 150 bp paired end reads.

2.3 Illumina read mapping, SNP calling, genotyping analysis

Raw Illumina reads from three-spined stickleback libraries were mapped to the three-spined stickleback reference genome (Ensembl accession: BROAD S1) and Illumina reads from nine-spined stickleback libraries were mapped to the nine-spined stickleback reference genome (NCBI accession: PRJEB33823) (Varadharajan et al., 2019) using the Bowtie2 software package (v. 2.3.4.1) with the --very-sensitive parameter (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Mapping statistics is presented in Table 3.

The mapped data, in SAM format, were converted to BAM format, and were then sorted and indexed using the SAMtools package (v 0.1.19) (Li et al., 2009). SNP calling was conducted with BCFtools software (v 1.9) (Li et al., 2009) with a minimum base quality of 30 (--min-BQ parameter) and with depth coverage information for each SNP loci as an INFO tag to output in the VCF file (---annotate DP parameter). The VCF files, which were obtained using BCFtools, were loaded into the R statistical environment (www.r-project.org) using the vcfR package (Knaus and Grunwald, 2017). Then, we filtered out the SNP

---

**TABLE 1** Nomenclature and sampling location of the three- and nine-spined stickleback (G. aculeatus and P. pungitius, respectively) specimens used in this study.

| Specimen | Library name | Species | Sampling location (GPS) | Sampling date | Sampling positions |
|----------|--------------|---------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Gas1     | LibB3–PP     | G. aculeatus | CS, E                   | 08/07/2014    | 66.298057, 33.400795 |
| Gas2     | Lib311       | G. aculeatus | WS                      | 08/07/2014    | 66.298404, 33.320415 |
| Pun1     | LibB2–P9M    | P. pungitius | CS, E                   | 07/07/2014    | 66.298057, 33.400795 |
| Pun2     | LibChu62     | P. pungitius | CS, E                   | 07/07/2014    | 66.298057, 33.400795 |
| Pun3     | LibChu83     | P. pungitius | CS, E                   | 07/07/2014    | 66.298057, 33.400795 |
| Pun4     | LibD1        | P. pungitius | CS, upstream            | 07/07/2014    | 66.296781, 33.398263 |
| Pun5     | LibChM42     | P. pungitius | CS, upstream            | 07/07/2014    | 66.296781, 33.398263 |
| Pun6     | LibChM72     | P. pungitius | CS, upstream            | 07/07/2014    | 66.296781, 33.398263 |
| Pun7     | LibB9–1      | P. pungitius | Quarry near, CV         | 06/07/2014    | 66.298542, 33.344362 |
| Pun8     | LibK3        | P. pungitius | Quarry near, CV         | 06/07/2014    | 66.298542, 33.344362 |
| Pun9     | LibK7        | P. pungitius | Quarry near, CV         | 06/07/2014    | 66.298542, 33.344362 |

*All specimens were collected in the Republic of Karelia, Russia. Chkalovsky stream (CS), Chkalovsky village (CV), Estuary (E), White Sea (WS).*

---
loci according to their coverage. Only the high-quality SNPs (with $p$-value $< 0.05$; coverage $> 10x$) were used in the subsequent analysis. We converted data in VCF format into the genlight format of the adegenet R package (Jombart and Ahmed, 2011) and used the StAMPP R package to calculate Nei’s distances (Pemberton et al., 2013). Clustering based on Nei’s distance matrix was conducted using the nj () function in the ape R package (Paradis and Schliep, 2019).

2.4 ABBA-BABA test

The genome of European bass (Dicentrarchus labrax, diclab1, PRJEB5099) was used as an outgroup for the ABBA-BABA (or D-statistic) test. D-statistic test is used to detect introgression between samples (e.g., species). This test requires three samples (e.g., species) and an outgroup. Positive test results indicate the presence of introgression. The sequencing data of each stickleback specimen were mapped to the D. labrax reference genome using the Bowtie2 software package (v. 2.3.4.1) with the –very-sensitive-local parameter (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Mapping statistics is presented in Table 3. The mapped data, in SAM format, were converted to BAM format, and were then sorted and indexed using the SAMtools package (v 0.1.19) (Li et al., 2009). The resulting BAM files and ANGSD software suite (Korneliussen et al., 2014) were used for the ABBA-BABA test (where diclab1 genome FASTA file represents the outgroup). This type of analysis provides a simple and powerful test for a deviation from strict bifurcating evolutionary history by considering only those loci for which the genotypes are known in all the specimens studied.

2.5 Mobile elements analysis

De novo identification and analysis of transposable elements in both reference genomes were conducted as previously described (Arkhipova, 2017; Sharko et al., 2019) using the REPET package (Flutre et al., 2011), which combines three mutually complementary repeat identification tools (RECON, GROUPER, and PILER). The outputs were subjected to additional classification with the RepeatClassifier tool from the RepeatMasker package (www.repeatmasker.org), which was also used to build the corresponding TE landscape divergence plots. TEs described in three- and nine-spined stickleback genomes were then used in the method described in Section 2.7: “Correlation of introgressed genomic intervals to genes and transposons.”

We used BAM files of three- and nine-spined stickleback from Japanese populations to identify transposable elements that were specific only for three-spined stickleback. We collected TE loci which were completely covered in the three-spined stickleback genome, though not completely covered in the nine-spined stickleback genome (depth of coverage $> 100x$; breadth of coverage $< 80%$). The number of such “three-spined” transposons was estimated for each specimen. The breadth of coverage was determined using the coverage function after converting BAM files to the BED format using the bedtools package (Quinlan and Hall, 2010).

2.6 “Alien” read rate estimation

The main problem in the specimen comparison was significant genomic differences between the three- and nine-spined stickleback species. In this study, we could not compare the genomic differences of our specimens based on a single reference genome.

The Illumina reads of each specimen were mapped to the three-spined stickleback (Ensembl accession: BROAD S1) and to the nine-spined stickleback (NCBI accession: PRJEB33823) reference genomes using the Bowtie2 software package (v. 2.3.4.1) with the–very-sensitive parameter (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012).

The mapped data, in SAM format, were analyzed with a custom Perl script that counted reads that mapped to the reference genome of other species but not mapped to the species’ own reference genome. For three-spined stickleback specimens, we counted reads which mapped to the nine-spined stickleback genome but not to the three-spined stickleback genome, and vice versa for the nine-spined stickleback reads. An overview of this pipeline is presented in Figure 1. To normalize the results, the counts were scaled by the total number of reads, which were produced for each DNA library.

2.7 Identification and analysis of introgressed loci

We used the sppIDer package to define loci of the three-spined stickleback that were transferred to the admixed specimen (the source of introgression) (Langdon et al., 2018). We created a joined reference genomic sequence for three- and nine-spined sticklebacks according to the software algorithm, and all of the DNA reads were then mapped against this. The fragments of the three-spined stickleback genome covered by the reads from the nine-spined stickleback specimen were expected to be a source of introgression loci.

However, to provide further support to our date, we mapped DNA paired-end reads to three- and nine-spined stickleback reference genomes but considering the relationship between forward and reverse tags to determine the introgression target regions in the nine-spined stickleback genome.

This detailed analysis was necessary to link the three-spined stickleback genome’s loci considered as the source of introgressed
loci with the nine-spined stickleback genome representing the target of the introgressed loci.

Note that, we determined only those paired-end reads in which one of tag of the pair-end read exclusively mapped to the three-spined stickleback genome, whereas the second type of tag exclusively mapped to the nine-spined stickleback genome. The coordinates of these second type of tags were considered to represent introgressive loci. The resulting coordinates of introgression target loci were converted to BED format and merged into a single BED file using the bedtools package (Quinlan and Hall, 2010).

2.8 Correlation of introgressed genomic intervals to genes and transposons

Genomic intervals corresponding to introgressed loci of each specimen were defined with sppIDer software (Langdon et al., 2018). Then, we removed genomic positions with low coverage (threshold >10) and merged adjacent nucleotides into extended intervals using the bedtools merge command (Quinlan and Hall, 2010).

Mobile element intervals were taken as described above in Section 2.4, “Mobile elements analysis”. The genomic intervals corresponding to three-spined stickleback genes were obtained from the Ensembl database FTP server (ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-100/gtf/gasterosteus_aculeatus/) (Howe et al., 2020), and gene annotation for the nine-spined stickleback genome was obtained from the Figshare service https://figshare.com/collections/The_assembly_and_annotation_of_stickleback/4548146 (Varadharajan et al., 2019).

Genomic intervals were loaded from BED files to the GenomeRanges R package (Lawrence et al., 2013) and GenometriCorr R package (Favorov et al., 2012) was used to assess for intersections and the proximity of each type of interval. Pairwise analyses were conducted for introgressed loci versus TEs, as well as introgressed loci versus genes.

2.9 Introgressed gene set analyses

Sequences of introgressed loci were obtained as described above in Section 2.6: “Introgressed loci identification and analysis.” The “alien” reads were de novo assembled into “alien” contigs using SPAdes (v.3.10) software with the--rna parameter (Bankevich et al., 2012). These contigs were aligned to the three-spined stickleback gene database using the BLAST + package (Camacho et al., 2009), three-spined stickleback genes were obtained from the Ensemble database (Howe et al., 2020) using the BioMart data mining tool (Kinsella et al., 2011).

The BLAST results table was parsed using a custom Perl script to define the highest scored genes. The top 500 stickleback
gene names were converted to official gene names with the BioMart tool (Kinsella et al., 2011). Only 205 of the 500 selected genes had universal names, and these genes were analyzed using the DAVID 6.8 functional annotation web service (Huang et al., 2009).

### 3 Results

The total number of reads generated for eleven three- and nine-spined stickleback specimens varied from 54,187,021 to 129,103,432 per DNA library. Furthermore, DNA reads of two

| Specimen name | Library name | Species | Population | Number of PE reads | Source | SRA accession |
|---------------|--------------|---------|------------|-------------------|--------|---------------|
| Gas1          | LibB3-PP     | G. aculeatus | White sea | 75,948,125        | This study | SRR11611415  |
| Gas2          | Lib311       | G. aculeatus | White sea | 73,927,012        | This study | SRR11611416  |
| Pun1          | LibB2-P9M a  | P. pungitus | White sea | 124,576,543       | This study | SRR11611426  |
| Pun2          | LibChu82     | P. pungitus | White sea | 129,103,432       | This study | SRR11611420  |
| Pun3          | LibChu83     | P. pungitus | White sea | 81,714,662        | This study | SRR11611421  |
| Pun4          | LibD1        | P. pungitus | White sea | 69,716,994        | This study | SRR11611422  |
| Pun5          | LibChM42     | P. pungitus | White sea | 54,187,021        | This study | SRR11611418  |
| Pun6          | LibChM72     | P. pungitus | White sea | 75,794,201        | This study | SRR11611419  |
| Pun7          | LibB9-1      | P. pungitus | White sea | 70,767,251        | This study | SRR11611417  |
| Pun8          | LibK3        | P. pungitus | White sea | 54,073,367        | This study | SRR11611423  |
| Pun9          | LibK7        | P. pungitus | White sea | 58,358,776        | This study | SRR11611424  |
| GasJ          | Japan3       | G. aculeatus | Japan 104,697,312 | Yoshida et al. (2019) | DRR067872 |
| PunJ          | Japan9       | P. pungitus | Japan 142,279,188 | Yoshida et al. (2019) | DRR013346 |

Note that all quantities are expressed as percentage (%).

| Specimen name | Library name | Ref. genome 1 G. aculeatus | ME | BC |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------|----|----|
| Gas1          | LibB3-PP     | 85.36                       | 95.00 | 17.36 | 28.95 | 4.82 | 4.30 |
| Gas2          | Lib311       | 91.30                       | 94.58 | 18.54 | 29.72 | 3.78 | 3.74 |
| Pun1          | LibB2-P9M a  | 17.94                       | 50.55 | 77.54 | 84.71 | 2.14 | 4.68 |
| Pun2          | LibChu82     | 9.84                        | 30.16 | 42.64 | 84.58 | 2.49 | 4.77 |
| Pun3          | LibChu83     | 11.50                       | 29.64 | 47.34 | 84.30 | 3.11 | 4.54 |
| Pun4          | LibD1        | 17.61                       | 29.17 | 75.22 | 84.57 | 3.91 | 3.76 |
| Pun5          | LibChM42     | 20.53                       | 25.70 | 74.64 | 82.09 | 5.76 | 4.02 |
| Pun6          | LibChM72     | 12.72                       | 28.24 | 53.46 | 84.30 | 3.98 | 3.57 |
| Pun7          | LibB9-1      | 18.64                       | 28.02 | 79.66 | 84.43 | 4.96 | 4.46 |
| Pun8          | LibK3        | 21.06                       | 27.74 | 79.27 | 83.34 | 4.21 | 4.28 |
| Pun9          | LibK7        | 19.42                       | 28.64 | 80.15 | 84.32 | 5.05 | 4.02 |
| GasJ          | Japan3       | 98.85                       | 91.11 | 20.56 | 27.90 | 3.84 | 3.41 |
| PunJ          | Japan9       | 17.96                       | 27.81 | 17.91 | 39.45 | —    | —    |

Note that all quantities are expressed as percentage (%).
stickleback specimens from Japanese populations were obtained from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA). SRA accession numbers are presented in Table 2. Genome mapping efficiency is presented in Table 3.

3.1 Genotype analysis of stickleback specimens

To perform all standard measurements for our specimens, we performed a cluster analysis of the specimens based on Nei’s distances. The results of neighbor-joining clustering are shown in Figure 2. The admixed specimen, which was described in a previous study (Nedoluzhko et al., 2021), clustered more distant from other nine-spined stickleback specimens. Moreover, the branch of the admixed specimen in the neighbor-joining reconstructions is inverted against other specimens because this specimen has a negative Nei’s distance score. This phenomenon is obviously a result of incorrect operation of the neighbor-joining algorithm with non-bifurcation phylogenetic events, such as in the case of admixtures. When the algorithm tries to fit admixed data to a bifurcating tree, the clustering malfunctions and produces these inverted branches.

Other three- and nine-spined stickleback specimens show proper clustering according to their phylogenetic position. The neighbor-joining clustering reconstruction distinguishes the stickleback species quite well from each other, and specimens from Japanese populations are located in their species clusters among the White Sea stickleback population specimens.

3.2 Analysis of mobile elements

To evaluate the effect of an admixture on the distribution of mobile elements, we estimated TE profiles for each specimen. In particular, we were interested in “three-spined stickleback” TE distribution in the genomes of nine-spined stickleback. The results for three-spined TE expansion are presented in Figure 3. Here, we show only the TEs that were present in the Japanese three-spined stickleback specimen but were not present in the Japanese nine-spined stickleback specimen. We are thereby able to observe TE expansion from the genomes of three-spined stickleback into those of the nine-spined stickleback in the White Sea.

In total, we defined 442 TE families from the three-spined stickleback genome which were longer than 300 nucleotides in length. At the same time, only 216 TE families remained after filtering by depth of coverage (>100×). Such deep coverage is necessary to be sure of selecting the differences between nine-spined stickleback and three-spined stickleback TE sequences. We selected only TEs which were fully covered in length by sequences from Japanese three-spined stickleback specimens (DRR067872) but were not covered by Japanese nine-spined stickleback specimens (DRR013346). Finally, only 59 TEs remained, and all of them were present in three-spined White Sea three-spined stickleback specimens, as well as in Japanese specimens. We showed that the admixed nine-spined stickleback specimen (Pun1) significantly differed from other nine-spined stickleback specimens in terms of the number of “three-spined” transposable elements. It had 37 of 59 “three-spined” TEs, whereas most of the other nine-spined stickleback specimens had no such TE in its entirety (except Pun4, Pun6, and Pun9, which had only one or two fully covered TEs) (Figure 3B).

In this part of the study, we deliberately used Japanese three- and nine-spined stickleback SRA datasets while supposing that other nine-spined stickleback specimens from the White Sea population (in addition to the admixed Pun1 specimen) could also have traces of being admixing with three-spined stickleback. Our results allow us to assert that TE analyses are very sensitive to hybridization detection, obviously due to the ability of mobile elements to uncontrollably propagate in hybrid genomes.

3.3 ABBA-BABA test of “nine-spined” specimens

In our previous study using RAD-Seq with eight specimens of three- and nine-spined stickleback (Nedoluzhko et al., 2021), we defined traces of three-spined stickleback introgression into the genome of a single nine-spined stickleback specimen (Pun1).

Here, using the WGS data, we decided to repeat this type of analysis for 11 three-spined stickleback and nine-spined stickleback specimens in order to obtain more precise results. To demonstrate the possibility of admixture between these two species, we used an ABBA-BABA test, among other methods. Surprisingly, although we estimated a three-spined stickleback introgression level when comparing a
nine-spined stickleback specimen from the Japanese population (DRR013346), all White Sea nine-spined stickleback specimens as well as the admixed specimen (Pun1) had traces of admixing with three-spined stickleback (Figure 4A). Positive D-statistic value indicates an allelic shift between H2 to H3 specimens, compared to H1 specimen.

Compared with the Japanese nine-stickleback specimen, all tested nine-spined stickleback specimens from the White Sea had traces of admixture with three-spined stickleback in their genomes; moreover, the statistical support for this admixture is quite robust (Figure 4B). It is well-known that results with Z-scores greater than three in absolute value qualify as being statistically significant (Green et al., 2010). Here, we definitively showed that the Z-score for the White Sea nine-spined stickleback freshwater population varies from 12.6 to 37.9. This evidence suggests that a background level of hybridization exists between the three- and nine-spined stickleback inhabiting the White Sea basin.

It should also be noted that when we use the nine-spined stickleback reference (Pun7) to test the admixture level compared with other White sea specimens, we found that only the Pun1 specimen, which was previously found, showed a high Z-score. The admixture level of other specimens is hidden because the Pun7 specimen has the same level of admixture by itself.

3.4 Distribution of introgressed loci

To estimate the admixture level for White Sea nine-spined stickleback specimens, we counted DNA reads which mapped to the reference genome of three-spined stickleback but not that of nine-spined stickleback. We showed that the Pun1 specimen, previously described as admixed, had the greatest number of "three-spined stickleback" reads. At the same time, other White Sea nine-spined stickleback specimens also host high numbers of these "alien" reads. Moreover, White Sea three-spined stickleback specimens had a much greater number of "nine-spined" reads than Japanese specimens (Figure 5). The Japanese nine-spined stickleback specimen’s mapping efficiency was lower than that inhabiting the White Sea (Table 3). Most probably, this difference contributed to the lower number of alien origin reads in this specimen.

The Japanese nine-spined stickleback specimen’s mapping efficiency was lower than that inhabiting the White Sea (Table 3). Most probably, this difference contributed to the lower number of alien origin reads in this specimen.

Regarding the methods, on a general line, while the alien read analysis provides evidence on the number of genome segments of alien origin incorporated to the derivatives of the white-sea nine-spined stickleback, the D-statistic test is supported on the SNP alleles.

Moreover, for conserved genome regions of three- and nine-spined stickleback genomes, the alien read analysis show the
possibility of introgression, while the D-statistic test did not support this because genotypes in this locus are the same. In contrast, alien reads analysis was more efficient in analyzing the loci of transposable or repetitive elements (TEs) due to their high density in the vertebrate genomes and probability of bias during genotype analysis.

The assessment of introgressed loci in the source (three-spined stickleback) and target genome (nine-spined stickleback) revealed their nonuniform distribution across the genome. Using tests and correlation procedures described by Favorov and colleagues (Favorov et al., 2012), we estimated the distribution of source introgressed loci in three-spined stickleback genome versus genes and versus transposable element locations (Table 4).

Briefly, the ECDF test evaluates whether two sets of genomic intervals are spatially correlated across the entire genome. The deviation from the uneven distribution of one set of intervals in relation to another is estimated. The indicator of unevenness is relative distances empirical cumulative distribution function (ECDF) deviation area, while if the value is greater than zero, then the correlations between the two intervals are positive, and if less than zero, then the correlations are negative. If the test value is zero, then the distribution of the second interval, relative to the first, is random. The ECDF correlation value for TE-containing intervals was positive, but was negative for gene intervals, with positive values coinciding with the occurrence of introgressed loci with mobile elements. Our results reveal the tendency of introgressed alleles to coincide with transposable elements, but not in three-spined stickleback genes (Table 4). Table 4 shows the distribution of introgression fragments for an admixed specimen (Pun1) and contains the following information—the quantity of genomic intervals of each type (query/reference.population), the total length of the intervals in nucleotides (query/reference.coverage), and the relative distance statistics from each genomic interval of the query set to the nearest interval of the reference set. Low p-values suggest a high significance of the non-uniformity in introgressed locus distribution across genomes.

3.5 Gene ontology analysis of introgressed loci

Previously described “alien” DNA reads (Figure 5) were used for the de novo assembly of “alien” DNA contigs. A BLAST search allowed us to identify their orthologs in the three-spined stickleback reference genome. We showed that several of these contigs were aligned to protein-coding sequences, despite the fact that the majority of introgressed loci tended to be located in repeat and transposable element regions (Table 4). We defined the genes which corresponded to introgressed sequences in an admixed specimen (Pun1), performed the functional annotation of the genes, and reveal the gene categories most enriched for the list of introgressed genes (Table 5).

4 Discussion

Interspecific hybridization between closely related species is a very common event in nature. It allows species to improve their ecological potential, increase genetic diversity, and conquer new habitats (Lee, 2002; Chiba, 2005; Dlugosch and Parker, 2008; Prentis et al., 2008; Pereira et al., 2014a; Nichols et al., 2015; Yoshida et al., 2016). In teleost genomes, traces of such hybridization are widely presented in different taxa (Pereira et al., 2014b; Dowling et al., 2016; Yamasaki et al., 2020). Intergeneric hybridization in natural conditions is a rare process; previously, it was described only in few cases, including fish species (LeClere et al., 2012; Dowling et al., 2016).

The Gasterosteus and Pungitius species (predominantly three- and nine-spined stickleback) are well-known scientific models which became popular in evolutionary and ecological
studies decades ago (Ziuganov et al., 1987; Merila, 2013; Reid et al., 2021). Recently published studies have described the possibility of successful hybridization among *Pungitius* species (*P. sinensis*, *P. tymensis*, *P. platygaster*, and *P. pungitius*) (Kobayashi, 1959; Yamasaki et al., 2020) as well as among *Gasterosteus* species (*G. aculeatus* and *G. nipponicus*) (Yoshida et al., 2016). Moreover, it has been shown that two species, three- and nine-spined stickleback, can have fertile intergeneric artificial hybrids (Hart, 2003). The three- and the nine-spined stickleback are eurybiont species, which are ecologically similar in many aspects (including taste preferences); they also have the same chromosome numbers and sympatric distribution in the Northern hemisphere (Mikhailova and Kasumyan, 2006; Tibblin et al., 2020). Our previous study showed the possibility of occasional intergeneric hybridization between three- and nine-spined stickleback inhabiting northwestern Russia (Nedoluzhko et al., 2021).

The main result of this study is the discovery that the exchange of genetic material between distant taxa is much more common than previously expected. The modern biological species concept assumes a species as a group of organisms that are genetically isolated from other species (Butlin and Stankowski, 2020). Based on the biological species concept interspecific hybridization, as mentioned above, occurs only between evolutionary close species and usually stops on F1 generation hybrids because of their infertility or low fertility. But in the study, we describe hybridization traces in genomes of fairly distant species belonging to different genera. Intriguing that all nine-spined stickleback specimens studied undergoing intergeneric introgression. Our findings are unexpected and surprising since they contradicted the view of the interspecific barrier and seem to suggest a possible important biological role of introgression.

In the present study, using whole-genome sequencing of three- and nine-spined stickleback specimens from the White Sea basin, we clearly show the presence of a moderate level of introgression between three- and nine-spined stickleback species distributed in this location. By contrast, the Japanese nine-spined stickleback specimen did not have a significant shift in allele frequencies compared with three-spined stickleback. We suggest that the moderate level of allele shift in the nine-spined stickleback population and the relatively low genetic distance between White Sea nine-spined stickleback and three-spined

---

**TABLE 4** Analysis of relative distances and intersections of introgressed loci with protein-coding genes and transposable elements (TE) versus source of introgressed loci in three-spined stickleback genome and target introgressed loci for LibB1-B9M nine-spined stickleback genome is presented.

| Source of introgression | Target of introgression |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Statistic name**      | **Introgressed loci vs. TE** | **Introgressed loci vs. genes** | **Introgressed loci vs. TE** | **Introgressed loci vs. genes** |
| Query population (fragments) | 123,104 | 120,716 | 157,417 | 29,013 |
| Reference population (fragments) | 494,288 | 22,270 | 303,483 | 7,405 |
| Query coverage (nt.) | 15,945,795 | 15,603,801 | 365,694,254 | 21,596,797 |
| Reference coverage (nt.) | 66,062,554 | 189,993,805 | 95,089,834 | 28,967,551 |
| Relative distances Kolmogorov-Smirnov p-value | 0.0001518632 | 0.0498455334 | 0.0020492999 | 0.0033891999 |
| Relative distances ECDF deviation area | 0.02075064 | 0.001288394 | 0.0498455334 | 0.0020492999 |
| Relative distances ECDF area correlation | 0.08293058 | −0.00525788 | 0.0015108528 | 0.0130496244 |

---

**FIGURE 5** Bar chart of normalized number of reads mapped to the “alien” genome. Three-spined stickleback specimens marked in red; nine-spined stickleback specimens marked in green. White Sea stickleback population marked as quarry, upstream, estuary, and sea, according to the sampling location.
stickleback specimens are related to admixture events between these two species in this region of moderate salinity. Our results also show that the introgression process between the two species happens as a regular event across the White Sea specimens and populations included in this study. This is indicated by the results of D-statistic tests of three-spined stickleback specimens versus White Sea nine-spined stickleback as well as versus Japanese nine-spined stickleback specimens. If we assume the adaptive nature of introgression associated with adaptation to marine conditions, then the presence of introgression in freshwater specimens can be explained by irregular interbreeding with marine individuals who migrate from time to time between marine and freshwater populations. Whether this is an adaptive genomic introgression or a consequence of accidental hybridization of these species remains unclear and will be analyzed in subsequent studies.

We also show that genotype analysis of specimens that have introgression events during evolution could have bias because most clustering tools assume a divergence sequence model and are unable to adequately handle admixed data (Balaban et al.,)

### TABLE 5 Gene ontology (GO) analysis of introgressed stickleback genes, conducted using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID v6.8) for functional annotation by gene ontology (GO) categories. This analysis assigned 205 genes, revealed by BLAST searching the database of three-spined stickleback genes for de novo assembly of "alien" contigs. The results are limited using a false discovery rate (FDR) value of 1%.

| Category                  | Term                                      | Number of counts | %       | p-value | Pop hits | Fold enrichment | FDR       |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| UP_KEYWORDS               | Alternative splicing                      | 150              | 73.2    | 1.97E-10| 10587    | 1.422           | 2.54E-07  |
| UP_KEYWORDS               | Membrane                                  | 112              | 54.6    | 1.09E-07| 7494     | 1.5             | 1.40E-04  |
| UP_SEQ_FEATURE            | Glycosylation site: N-linked (e.g., GlcNAc) | 77               | 37.6    | 5.09E-08| 4234     | 1.797           | 7.97E-05  |
| UP_KEYWORDS               | Glycoprotein                              | 77               | 37.6    | 5.93E-07| 4551     | 1.699           | 7.64E-04  |
| UP_KEYWORDS               | Cell membrane                             | 64               | 31.2    | 1.93E-08| 3175     | 2.024           | 2.49E-05  |
| UP_SEQ_FEATURE            | Topological domain: cytoplasmic           | 64               | 31.2    | 7.69E-07| 3456     | 1.83            | 1.29E-03  |
| UP_SEQ_FEATURE            | Topological domain: extracellular         | 54               | 26.3    | 2.37E-06| 2787     | 1.915           | 3.70E-03  |
| UP_KEYWORDS               | Cell adhesion                             | 27               | 13.2    | 2.03E-12| 479      | 5.659           | 2.62E-09  |
| UP_KEYWORDS               | Calcium                                    | 25               | 12.2    | 6.86E-06| 877      | 2.862           | 8.83E-03  |
| UP_KEYWORDS               | Cell junction                              | 24               | 11.8    | 2.70E-07| 675      | 3.57            | 3.48E-04  |
| GOTERM_BP_DIRECT          | Homophilic cell adhesion via plasma membrane adhesion molecules | 12               | 5.8     | 1.94E-06| 158      | 6.642           | 3.08E-03  |
| GOTERM_CC_DIRECT          | GO:0043204, perikaryon                     | 10               | 4.9     | 1.97E-06| 106      | 8.817           | 2.56E-03  |
| UP_SEQ_FEATURE            | Domain: cadherin 2                        | 10               | 4.9     | 2.49E-06| 115      | 8.594           | 3.90E-03  |
| UP_SEQ_FEATURE            | Domain: cadherin 1                        | 10               | 4.9     | 2.49E-06| 115      | 8.594           | 3.90E-03  |
| INTERPRO                  | Cadherin                                  | 10               | 4.9     | 5.32E-06| 118      | 7.825           | 7.65E-03  |
| INTERPRO                  | Cadherin-like                             | 10               | 4.9     | 6.10E-06| 120      | 7.694           | 8.78E-03  |
| UP_SEQ_FEATURE            | Domain: EGF-like 2                        | 9                | 4.4     | 3.23E-06| 89       | 9.994           | 5.06E-03  |
| UP_SEQ_FEATURE            | Repeat: desmoglein repeat 2              | 4                | 1.9     | 3.99E-06| 4        | 98.833          | 6.25E-03  |
| UP_SEQ_FEATURE            | Repeat: desmoglein repeat 1              | 4                | 1.9     | 3.99E-06| 4        | 98.833          | 6.25E-03  |
| INTERPRO                  | Desmoglein                                | 4                | 1.9     | 4.89E-06| 4        | 92.333          | 7.04E-03  |
Taking into account the high prevalence of interspecific hybridization in natural populations (Mallet, 2005), prior to clustering, it would probably be reasonable to determine and exclude admixed specimens from these types of analyses.

TE analysis has a dramatic ability to define introgression traces in specimens that have relatively recent admixture events (Belyayev, 2014). Despite the D-statistic test determining a moderate level of admixture in all White Sea specimens, TE analysis defined traces of introgression only in the Pun1 specimen. However, we found a very strong sign of admixture in this nine-spined stickleback specimen, whereby it had 62.7% of the most abundant “three-spined” TEs. Theoretically, interspecific transposon transfer can occur through parasites (Piskurek and Jackson, 2012). Nevertheless, distinct loci with interspecific origin were observed in the White Sea nine-spined stickleback genomes, in addition to transposable elements. Thus, an assumption about hybridization between these two stickleback species makes more sense.

Considering the number of “aliens” reads described in this study, we revealed a higher introgression rate in the White Sea nine-spined stickleback population compared with the Japanese specimen. This finding can indicate different interspecies relationships in sticklebacks across the Northern hemisphere. It was recently shown that the Japanese three-spined stickleback hybridizes with the closely related species G. nipponicus (Yoshida et al., 2016) in their native habitats. Our data demonstrate that in the moderate-salinity White Sea basin, three-spined stickleback found a more evolutionary distant mating partner.

Analysis of the proximity of introgressed loci of admixed specimens to protein-coding gene and transposable element positions showed a high number of intersections with transposed stickleback TEs. ECDF correlation analysis revealed a negative correlation value for introgressed loci versus protein-coding genes and the converse with TE loci. This means that the sources of the introgressed loci tend to be located in transposable element sequences. Nevertheless, intragenic sequences were less common among them than would be expected due to randomness. We suppose that the introgression to protein-coding sequences could lead to conflict with other genes (peptides) of the hybrid, as was suggested for PSI (Dobzhansky, 1940), whereas mobile elements could spread across the genome more freely without obstacles. Moreover, it is also important to note that transposable elements play a significant role in microevolutionary processes in populations, and the exchange of these elements between species should have evolutionary significance (Bonchev and Parisod, 2013).

Interestingly, analysis of the targets of introgressed loci in the nine-spined stickleback genome showed that they are more likely to be located in gene-rich regions (Table 5), whereas the ECDF area correlation between introgression targets and TEs is close to zero and statistical support for this is also low (slightly less than 5%). This supports the assumption that the loci of the admixed specimen (Pun1), in which the genetic material of the three-spined stickleback was introgressed, are randomly distributed regarding transposons, but are “attracted” to genes. This implies that introgression has functional significance and possibly affects gene expression in the outbred organisms.

Gene ontology analysis of loci that introgressed to the nine-stickleback genome revealed categories associated with cell membranes. The cell membrane is a compartment that acts as the barrier of the cell and is more exposed to the environment (Lombard, 2014). It is possible that loci introgressed from three-spined stickleback result in three-spined stickleback × nine-spined stickleback hybrids being more resistant to brackish water.

The content of introgressed genes associated with alternative gene splicing was also observed to be increased in an admixed specimen (Pun1). We speculate that this could be a mechanism of adaptation to genome disturbance, which is usually associated with hybridization. As we have already pointed out, according to the PSI hypothesis, parent allele incompatibility appears in hybrid genomes. The linkage between introgression and alternative splicing has previously been shown to be a rather common phenomenon, even in archaic humans (Rotival et al., 2019). We suppose that alternative splicing loci can increase allele variability, thereby reducing hybrid incompatibility.

In the present study, a direct association of the introgression process mediated by the White Sea’s moderate salinity is not revealed. However, we speculate that this unique environmental condition under specific conditions occasionally controls the hybridization event between three- and nine-spined sticklebacks. Furthermore, the genomic analysis showed that such events remain rare but allow an increase in the genetic diversity of local populations and are possibly an alternative option in the adaptation processes to survive the global climate and environmental changes.
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