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Clinical profile and outcome of shock in mechanically ventilated patients in pediatric intensive care unit of tertiary care centre

Swati M. Gadappa*, Manas Kumar Behera

Department of Pediatrics, Smt. Kashibai Navale Medical College and General Hospital, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Received: 15 November 2018
Accepted: 22 November 2018

*Correspondence:
Dr. Swati M. Gadappa,
E-mail: swatigadappa@yahoo.co.in

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: The clinical syndrome of shock, a clinical state characterized by inadequate tissue perfusion, is one of the most dramatic, dynamic and life-threatening problems faced by the physician in the critical care setting.

Methods: Retrospective observational study of all critically ill children between 1 month-12 years who were admitted and mechanically ventilated in our 8-bedded PICU between January 2015 to June 2016; and had clinical evidence of shock. PIM3 (Paediatric Index of Mortality 3) was calculated. Authors noted morbidity and mortality pattern in all types of shock including outcome in Paediatric ICU. The data collected were compiled and tabulated.

Results: The frequency of shock in authors’ Paediatric intensive care unit was 8.6% (n=780). However, among mechanically ventilated patients it was present in 65.5% patients. Septic shock was the most commonly encountered shock (n=48, 61.5%). Mortality was highest in cardiogenic shock (n=12, 80%) and obstructive shock (n=4, 80%). Survival was best in Hypovolemic shock. Authors found significant correlation between LOS MV and mortality (p=0.018). Type of shock had no correlation with PIM3 score (p=0.374) and mortality (p=0.884). Blood culture yield was positive in 26.9% patients with Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and MRSA being most common organisms isolated.

Conclusions: Shock is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in children especially below 5yrs of age. Septic shock was the commonest form of shock in children. Severe pneumonia was the commonest illness causing septic shock. Mortality was associated with longer length of stay on mechanical ventilation. Larger prospective multicentric study in developing countries is desirable.
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INTRODUCTION

The clinical syndrome of shock, a clinical state characterized by inadequate tissue perfusion, is one of the most dramatic, dynamic and life-threatening problems faced by the physician in the critical care setting. Shock is an acute syndrome in which the circulatory system is unable to provide adequate oxygen and nutrients to meet the metabolic demands of vital organs. Due to the inadequate ATP production to support function, the cell reverts to anaerobic metabolism, causing acute energy failure. This energy failure results in the cell being unable to maintain homeostasis, the disruption of ionic pumps, accumulation of intracellular sodium, efflux of potassium, accumulation of cytosolic calcium and eventual cell death. Widespread cell death results in multi-organ dysfunction. Shock accounts for more morbidity and mortality in children worldwide than any other diagnosis, esp. if shock is accompanied by need of mechanical ventilation. There is a paucity of data on the epidemiology of shock in developing countries.
METHODS

The present study is retrospective analytic-descriptive study in Pediatric intensive care unit of a tertiary care hospital. Authors serve low to middle income population as an economical and tertiary referral unit for pediatric medical and surgical cases, however this excludes pediatric patients who are post-cardiac surgery or those who need extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

**Inclusion criteria**

All critically ill children between 1month to 12yrs of age, who were mechanically ventilated and developed shock in authors’ 8-bedded PICU between January 2015 to June 2016; were included in the study.

**Exclusion criteria**

- New-borns, preterm infants, patients intubated for more than 24hours prior to PICU admission with us or no
- Patient having incomplete data for PIM3.

Authors followed standard antibiotic policy and management algorithms for paediatric shock and sepsis.

Authors utilized database through file records of those children between 1month to 12years of age who were admitted and mechanically ventilated in authors’ 8-bedded PICU between January 2015 to June 2016 and had clinical evidence of shock. Authors recorded the age, sex, weight, clinical assessment on admission, duration of admission to PICU, duration of mechanical ventilation, reintubations if any, chief etiology that required admission, any comorbidities developed during the PICU stay and investigation profile including arterial blood gas analysis, radiographic investigations. Shock was identified as per standard PICU protocol.

Shock was identified by the presence of at least one of the following parameters i.e., tachycardia and/or hypotension along with signs of systemic hypoperfusion.4.

- Tachycardia: Infants >160beats per minute, toddlers >140bpm, school going age >120bpm, adolescents >100bpm.5
- Hypotension: Systolic blood pressure in Infants <70mmHg; above 1 year <70mmHg + (2 × age in years).6
- Signs of systemic hypoperfusion are taken by noting the following; pulse volume, skin temperature/color, capillary refill time >2 seconds, level of consciousness, urine output. Patients were classified into compensated or decompensated shock according to the presence of hypotension.

Warm septic shock was identified by the presence of bounding pulses, CFT <2 seconds, wide pulse pressure, normal urine output. Cold septic shock was identified with the presence of hypotension and cold extremities.7,8

Shock was then classified functionally into hypovolemic, cardiogenic, septic, obstructive and distributive on the basis of history and physical examination.

**PIM -3 (Paediatric index of mortality) was calculated as**

Calculation of PIM3 (and PIM3 risk of death%)-
PIM3val= (3.8233 * Pupils) - (0.5378 * Elective) + (0.9763 * MechVent) + (0.0671 * (absolute BaseExcess)) - (0.0431*SBP) + (0.1716*(SBP*SBP/1000)) + (0.4214 *(100*FiO2/PaO2)) -(1.2246*Recov_CardBypPr) - (0.8762*Recov_CardNonBypPr) - (1.5164*Recov_NonCardPr) +(1.6225* VHRdiag) + (1.0725*HRdiag) - (2.1766*LRdiag) - 1.7928.

PIM3 risk of death = ePIM3val / (1 + ePIM3val)

Where, SBP:Systolic Blood Pressure, Recov_CardByp/ NonBypPr: Recovery from a Cardiac Bypass/Non Bypass procedure, HR/VHR/LRdiag: High risk/Very high risk/Low risk diagnosis.

Categorisation of diagnosis will be done based on PIM3 guidelines. This will be calculated automatically through data entered in Anzics CORE -Severity Score and Risk of Death Calculator-PIM3(Excel version).9

PICU LOS (length of stay) is a commonly used clinical endpoint reflecting both severity of illness and resource utilization. However, because LOS is influenced by a variety of clinical and logistic factors that may not be completely apparent in a retrospective chart review, hence we will analyse the data in relation to the duration of mechanical ventilation (LOS MV) and outcome (survived, death).

**Statistical analysis**

All the data collected were compiled and tabulated. The statistical analysis was done by chi-square test, ANOVA and paired t-test whichever applicable. The p value was calculated and <0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

During the study period, 119 patients were mechanically ventilated of which 78 (65.5%) were diagnosed with shock and were included in the study.

Overall frequency of shock during the study period in authors’ PICU was 8.6%. 78 children with Shock and requiring mechanical ventilation included 45 (57.7%) males and 33 (42.3%) females. Median age was 2.28 years i.e. 16months (min.0.16yr- max 12yrs). 26 (33.3%) patients were <1yr age, 45 (57.7%) were between 1-5yrs age and 07 (8.9%) between 6-12yrs age.
Severe acute Malnutrition was seen in 29 (37.2%) patients, whereas 44.9% had no malnutrition. Median PIM3 Score was 12.43. Majority (n=44, 56.4%) required intubation within 24 hours of admission to PICU due to clinical deterioration. The chief systems requiring mechanical ventilation and developing shock during admission or PICU stay were as follows: respiratory disease (n=24, 30.7%); cardiovascular disease (n=17, 21.8%); neurological disease (n=14, 17.9%); gastroenterology (n=11, 14.1%) and hepatic diseases (n=6, 1.3%); renal disease (n=2, 2.5%) and Others (n=8, 10.2%) (Figure 1).

By analyzing through two-tailed hypothesis on t-test, authors found significant correlation of mortality with LOS MV [length of stay on mechanical ventilation (p=0.018)]. Only on utilizing one-tailed hypothesis, age and duration of MV had statistically significant association with mortality (Table 1). Gender, malnutrition, anemia, CRP showed no correlation with mortality in shock on mechanical ventilation. There was no significant correlation between PIM3 score and mortality.

Septic shock was the most commonly encountered shock (n=48, 61.5%). However, mortality was highest in cardiogenic shock (n=12/15, 80%) and obstructive shock (n=4/5, 80%). Survival was best in hypovolemic shock (Table 2). Since most hypovolemic shock did not require mechanical ventilation, their number is low in this study. 61 patients (78%) had compensated shock and required more than one Inotrope support. Overall mortality in patients with shock on mechanical ventilation was 73.1%. Authors found no correlation between type of shock and mortality (p=0.884). Type of shock showed no significant correlation with PIM3 score (p=0.374).

Anemia was present in 61.5% (n=48) patients. Thirty four (43.6%) children had hyponatremia. Leucocytosis was noted in 67.9% (n=53) of patients. The mean LOS MV was 6.3 days (min 1 day-max 52 days).

![Figure 1: Disease distribution in cases with shock.](image)

By analyzing through two-tailed hypothesis on t-test, authors found significant correlation of mortality with LOS MV [length of stay on mechanical ventilation (p=0.018)]. Only on utilizing one-tailed hypothesis, age and duration of MV had statistically significant association with mortality (Table 1). Gender, malnutrition, anemia, CRP showed no correlation with mortality in shock on mechanical ventilation. There was no significant correlation between PIM3 score and mortality.

Septic shock was the most commonly encountered shock (n=48, 61.5%). However, mortality was highest in cardiogenic shock (n=12/15, 80%) and obstructive shock (n=4/5, 80%). Survival was best in hypovolemic shock. (Table 2). Since most hypovolemic shock did not require mechanical ventilation, their number is low in this study. 61 patients (78%) had compensated shock and required more than one Inotrope support. Overall mortality in patients with shock on mechanical ventilation was 73.1%. Authors found no correlation between type of shock and mortality (p=0.884). Type of shock showed no significant correlation with PIM3 score (p=0.374).

Anemia was present in 61.5% (n=48) patients. Thirty four (43.6%) children had hyponatremia. Leucocytosis was noted in 67.9% (n=53) of patients. The mean LOS MV was 6.3 days (min 1 day-max 52 days).

| Table 1: Group statistics. |
|---------------------------|
| Age                       |
| Survived                  |
| No                        |
| Yes                       |
| N                         | 57 | 21 |
| Mean                      | 1.9630 | 3.1224 |
| Std. deviation            | 2.41164 | 3.2436 |
| Std. error                | 0.31943 | 0.70780 |
| Mean                      | 0.045 | 0.018 |
| Total LOS days            |
| Survived                  |
| No                        |
| Yes                       |
| N                         | 57 | 21 |
| Mean                      | 9.67 | 6.05 |
| Std. deviation            | 10.345 | 2.692 |
| Std. error                | 1.370 | 0.587 |
| Mean                      | 0.047 | 0.047 |
| Duration of MV days       |
| Survived                  |
| No                        |
| Yes                       |
| N                         | 57 | 21 |
| Mean                      | 6.72 | 4.14 |
| Std. deviation            | 7.210 | 2.330 |
| Std. error                | 0.955 | 0.508 |
| Mean                      | 0.047 | 0.047 |
| PIM3 Score                |
| Survived                  |
| No                        |
| Yes                       |
| N                         | 57 | 21 |
| Mean                      | 7.096277757 | 26.92696734 |
| Std. deviation            | 25.767813379 | 48.557447503 |
| Std. error                | 3.413030259 | 10.596103749 |
| Mean                      | 0.87 | 0.87 |

| Table 2: Frequency and survival in shock. |
|------------------------------------------|
| Type of shock                            |
| Count                                    |
| Cardiogenic                              |
| % within type of shock                   |
| No                                       | 12 | 3 |
| Yes                                      | 80.0% | 20.0% |
| 100.0%                                   | 15 |
| Septic                                  |
| Count                                    |
| % within type of shock                   |
| No                                       | 34 | 14 |
| Yes                                      | 70.8% | 29.2% |
| 100.0%                                   | 48 |
| Obstructive                              |
| Count                                    |
| % within type of shock                   |
| No                                       | 4 | 1 |
| Yes                                      | 80.0% | 20.0% |
| 100.0%                                   | 5 |
| Distributive                             |
| Count                                    |
| % within type of shock                   |
| No                                       | 6 | 2 |
| Yes                                      | 75.0% | 25.0% |
| 100.0%                                   | 8 |
| Hypovolemic                              |
| Count                                    |
| % within type of shock                   |
| No                                       | 1 | 1 |
| Yes                                      | 50.0% | 50.0% |
| 100.0%                                   | 2 |
| Total                                   |
| Count                                    |
| % within type of shock                   |
| No                                       | 37 | 21 |
| Yes                                      | 73.1% | 26.9% |
| 100.0%                                   | 78 |
Pneumonia was noted in majority of patients (n=48, 61.5%). 65.4% (n=51) had sepsis, while ventilator associated pneumonia was noted in 51.3% cases (n=40). 32 patients (41%) had coagulopathy. Hepatic dysfunction and acute kidney injury were seen in 24 (30.8%) and 17 (21.8%) patients respectively. CRP was positive in 49 patients (62.8%). Parenteral nutrition was provided to 24 patients (30.8%). Blood culture demonstrated growth in 26.9% patients (n=21) (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Shock is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in critically ill children worldwide. The frequency of shock noted in paediatric intensive care was 8.6%. Septic shock was the most commonly encountered shock in these children followed by cardiogenic shock. In the Western countries, shock occurs in approximately 2% of all hospitalised infants, children and adults. The mortality considerably varies depending on the etiology and clinical scenario.\(^7\) There is sparse data about the incidence of shock in developing countries. Few Indian studies have reported a frequency of 4.3%, while another has reported it to be 9% which corresponds to present study findings.\(^8,9\) Majority of patients (91%) in present study were under 5 years of age, of which 36% were infants. Present study findings are consistent with the previous studies.\(^10,11\)

Critically ill and mechanically ventilated children were found to have leukocytosis (67.9%), anaemia (61.5%), positive CRP (62.8%) and hyponatremia (43.5%). Benamer et al, reported leukocytosis in 50% patients, anaemia in 40% and raised liver enzymes in 43%.\(^11\) Authors observed higher proportion of the above data in present study, which could be attributed to most children being under 5 yrs age and one-third of the patients being infants. Also, malnutrition (37.2% in present study) being common in a developing country like ours can make children vulnerable to infections as well as higher incidence of anemia. Authors noted evidence of sepsis in 65.4% patients. However, due to financial constraints and logistic reasons, authors could not perform quantitative CRP and arterial lactate levels.

Severe pneumonia was the commonest illness leading to mechanical ventilation and presenting with septic shock. Militaru et al, from Romania also reported respiratory infection to be the most (64%) common etiology followed by digestive tract infection and urinary tract infection.\(^12\)

Majority of patients with cardiogenic shock had decompensated shock requiring early intubation and inotropic support. Ventilator associated pneumonia developed in 40 patients. This could be attributed to Longer LOS MV and vice versa, which was statistically significant in present study (p=0.018). Authors also noted Hepatic dysfunction and acute kidney injury in 30.8% and 21.8% patients respectively.

In the present study, we obtained Blood culture yield of 26.9%. The most common organisms isolated were Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and MRSA. While mortality rate was highest in Klebsiella pneumonia, the survival was better in Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Authors obtained very few culture proven cases of septic shock as compared to other studies and more cases with Gram negative organisms as reported in other studies.\(^13,14\) Low culture positivity may be attributed to patients having received oral or parenteral antibiotics prior to referral to authors’ ICU. Majority of patients were fluid refractory and in decompensated shock and required multiple inotropic support. Lack of early recognition of sepsis and shock and delayed referral may have contributed to decompensation. Early detection and management of shock increases the rate of survival before hypotension develops.\(^15,16\)

Mortality noted in present study was high (73%). Critically ill children requiring Mechanical ventilation have high chance of morbidity and mortality. In addition,
Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared

Valoor et al, also reported high mortality of 65.8% in fluid refractory shock. A few other Indian studies have also reported mortality rates of 47% in Punjab, 58% in Haryana and 50% in AIIMS, New Delhi.12 Another study in Romania reported a mortality rate of 53% in children with shock.13 Need for mechanical ventilation and decompensated shock were significantly associated with mortality. Han YY et al, reported that non-survivors required more inotropic therapies as compared to survivors.22 Since authors have included on those children who required mechanical ventilation, present study mortality rate is proportionately higher in intensive management as per standard Surviving Sepsis guidelines and other standard protocols. Authors did not find any correlation of mortality in shock with PIM3 score. Kaur G et al, demonstrated that mortality among children with sepsis, severe sepsis, and septic shock were not predicted by any individual factors including the time lag to PICU transfer, duration of PICU stay, presence of multigorgan dysfunction, and PRISM score at admission.23 However, it had small sample size hence further research in this is imperative. Present study being retrospective had its limitations since management decisions could not be effectively standardized in poor resource setting.

Han YY et al, noted reversal of shock at a median time of 75 minutes associated with 96% survival and more than 9-fold increased odds of survival while each additional hour with persistent shock was associated with more than 2-fold increased odds of mortality.22 Thus, the role of early detection of shock in improving survival rate is indispensable.23

Management of Septic shock esp. in those children requiring mechanical ventilation requires good infrastructure, trained staff and protocol-based management. Inspite of these, the morbidity and mortality in this condition is high. Developing countries need more feasible, clear and practical guidelines which can be utilized in resource limited settings.

CONCLUSION

Shock is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in children especially below 5yrs of age. Septic shock is the commonest form of shock in children who developed shock and required mechanical ventilation. Severe pneumonia was the commonest illness causing Septic shock. Mortality was associated with longer length of stay on mechanical ventilation. Larger prospective multicentric study in developing countries is desirable.

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee
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