Evaluation of water quality and potential metal contamination in ecologically important Bhindawas bird sanctuary, India
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Abstract

Considering the environmental, agricultural, and ecological significance of Bhindawas wetland, the present study is the first comprehensive investigation to assess the water quality, determine the suitability of water for aquatic life in the wetland; and its suitability for irrigation in areas around the wetland. Twenty samples of water from Bhindawas wetland were analyzed and spatial variations of dissolved oxygen (DO), dissolved phosphate, nitrate, and biological oxygen demand (BOD₅) were observed. The concentration of DO was higher in areas with shallow depth and rich growth of submerged vegetation compared to deeper areas with no vegetation. Spatial variations of phosphate, nitrate, and heavy metals correlated with nesting zone of birds, runoff from agricultural fields, and wastewater from adjoining villages, respectively. Values of heavy metal pollution index (HPI), heavy metal evaluation index (HEI), and degree of contamination ($C_d$) in water confirmed high level of metal contamination of the medium. Based on the water quality index (WQI), the water was unsuitable for aquatic life and use in agricultural utilization. It can be concluded that water quality of Bhindawas wetland was adversely affected by heavy metals, which is a cause of concern since this wetland is a temporary resort of migratory birds. Immediate intervention is required to improve the water quality, especially scrutiny and inspection of the added wastewater from surrounding villages, and runoff from adjoining agricultural fields.
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Introduction

Water is an essential natural resource for every living organism on this planet. It is the most important factor for sustaining life. Fortunately, a huge amount of water is present on earth’s surface, but safe drinking water is available as a limited fraction in rivers, lakes, streams, and wetlands. The wetlands are most diverse and highly productive aquatic ecosystems and are known as natural sponges of ecosystem as they absorb excess water, store nutrients/pollutants from agricultural runoff and drainage system. Wetlands act as a buffer between land and water ecosystem and maintain sediment and nutrient balance between them (Haritash et al. 2015). These act as habitats for birds and provide a platform to native as well as migratory birds for resting, breeding, nesting, and rearing young ones. Water quality is a major factor in managing wetlands, which is affected by a number of parameters like seasons (Sharma et al. 2015), topography, type of soil, quantity of water, and vegetation present in it (Shelef et al. 2011). Increasing stress due to burgeoning population, intensified anthropogenic activities, urbanization, rapid industrialization, lack of proper legislation and lack of awareness contributed to rapid decline in water quality which ultimately will impose harmful effects on health of inhabitants (Senthil et al. 2012; Giri and Singh 2014). Many researchers assessed the water quality in order to draw out some information for management and conservation of wetlands. The water quality is an important tool, which classifies not only the type of water but also determines health status of the ecosystem. Whereas wetlands are important source of freshwater, these have also got in application in nutrient/pollutant removal and improving the water quality. Several studies have reported the role of wetlands in removal of suspended solids (Tanner et al. 1995), nutrients (Haritash...
et al. 2015, 2017), organic impurities (Fisher and Acreman 2004; Mohanty et al. 2014), pathogens (Rogers 1983), and even metals (Prasanna et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2012). Realizing the ecological and environmental importance of wetlands, various conservation plans are going on in different states to conserve wetlands. India has 26 wetlands (Ramsar Sites) of international importance besides a number of other wetlands of national importance. Since the Northern states of India (J&K, Himachal Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Haryana) lie enroute of migratory birds, the wetlands of these states have gained significant environmental importance as nesting and breeding grounds for migratory birds. Haryana is an important North Indian State with two important wetlands (Sultanpur and Bhindawas) which offer temporary habitat to migratory birds. Whereas Sultanpur wetland goes dry during summer season, Bhindawas is a man-made wetland with Jawahar Lal Nehru (JLN) Canal as source of water to maintain its water level. This man-made wetland is surrounded by the agricultural fields. During monsoon, water from the water-logged fields is pumped into the wetland which adds nutrients like phosphate and nitrate which and acts as a stimulus to eutrophication (Kaur and Singh 2012; Yadav et al. 2015; Haritash et al. 2015). Bhindawas and Sultanpur Bird Sanctuaries have also been identified under National wetland conservation programme as these water bodies have several species of animals, birds, and plants. Bhindawas wetland is also identified as an important wetland under International Wetland Conservation Programme of Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MOEFCC), Govt. of India, because of its ecological importance. Over the past years, due to declining water level in the Bharatpur Bird Sanctuary in Rajasthan, the migratory water birds have started nesting in Bhindawas wetland during winter season (Haritash et al. 2015). Some studies have been carried out for physiochemical characterization of water and its eutrophication potential (Kumar and Dhankhar 2012; Saluja and Garg 2014), but studies dedicated to evaluation, overall quality and contamination due to heavy metals are very limited. Poor water quality has become an important issue, which ultimately affects ecosystem health and functioning and underpins biodiversity and is also responsible for major alterations in water use (Liang et al. 2016). In view of its ecological significance, it becomes imperative to monitor the water quality of Bhindawas wetland regularly. Since the water quality is an aggregated outcome of number of parameters, single numerical value called water quality index (WQI) has been suggested to represent it in simpler terms. It is evaluated by assigning weightage and rating to individual parameters against their standard prescribed values. Similarly, heavy metal evaluation index (HEI), heavy metal pollution index (HPI) and degree of contamination ($C_d$) can also be used to represent quality with respect to toxic heavy metals. Since the water of Bhindawas supports aquatic life and irrigation (in downstream stretch), and it receives the wastewater from adjoining villages, agricultural fields, and overflow from drain no. 8; addition of excess nutrients, organic impurities, and pollutants like heavy metals are significantly affecting the quality of water subsequently causing an effect of aquatic flora and fauna, agricultural crops and soil health. The present study was devised to carry a comprehensive assessment of water quality and metal toxicity in Bhindawas wetland.

Materials and methods

Study area

The present study was undertaken in Bhindawas wetland, which is located in Jhajjar district of Haryana state. The wetland is located in a semiarid region with average temperature of 14 °C in winter and 47 °C during summer with annual average rainfall of 800 mm. The wetland was a protected forest and was declared as a Bird Sanctuary in the year 1986 by Ministry of Environment and Forests. Bhindawas wetland is located at 28°32’ North latitude and 76°32’ East longitude in Jhajjar district of Haryana state. The wetland spreads over an area of 1074 Acres and gets water from monsoonal rains and excess spilling of JLN Canal. Almost 50% of the area of wetlands provide permanent water surface to plants like Eichhornia, Vallisneria, Salvinia etc. The wetland has sufficient number of trees like Acacia, Eucalyptus, Albizia etc. which provide surface for nesting within the wetland. It is regarded as Kaeoladeo National Park of Haryana (Gupta et al. 2011). This saucer shaper marshy area receives water from Jawahar Lal Nehru Canal during power failure and release extra water in Drain no.8. It is the largest wetland in all over Haryana with a periphery of 12 km and average water depth 6 feet. Due to water crisis in Kaeoladeo National Park (Bharatpur) last few years, Bhindawas wetland has gained increasing interest by migratory birds. It is being used by the migratory and resident birds of about 250 species. This sanctuary is situated in the centre of a number of villages namely Kanwah, Bilochpura, Nawada, Redhuwas, Shahjahanpur and Chadwana.

Collection of samples and analysis

For collection of samples of surface water, the wetland was divided into grids (20 in number) and one representative sample was collected from each grid (Fig. 1). The sampling was performed during the day time (11 a.m. to 2 p.m.) in during monsoon season (September, 2015). One sample each was collected JLN Canal (inlet of water) and drain no. 8 (outlet) to arrive at difference of different parameters within the wetland. Some of the parameters like pH, EC,
TDS, temperature and DO were analysed onsite using Orion (USA) make Star 320A+ model. The samples were collected in pre-rinsed polypropylene bottles (1L), stored in an ice box and were transported to the laboratory immediately after collection. Total suspended solids (TSSs) were measured using gravimetric analysis; chlorides (Cl⁻), total alkalinity (TA), and total hardness (TH) were determined by volumetric analysis; sulphate, nitrate, phosphate and dissolved ammonia were measured spectrophotometrically (Labtronics make LT-290 model); Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ were measured flame photometrically (Systronics make 128µ model); and heavy metals (Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb, Zn) were measured using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Analytik Jena Nova 350). All the experiments were conducted in triplicates using AR Grade chemicals and type-1 ultrapure water; and as per the standard methods prescribed by APHA (2005). The calculation of WQI for aquatic life and irrigation; and HPI and HEI for metal contamination was also undertaken. Statistical analysis of data was performed on SPSS version 20 to establish spatial similarities using cluster analysis; and major parameters affecting water quality by principal component analysis (PCA).

**Water quality index**

The water quality index (WQI) is a useful technique of rating that determines the collective influence of individual water quality parameter on the overall quality of water (Al-Mohammed and Mutasher 2013; Singh et al. 2015). WQI of Bhindawas Bird Sanctuary was calculated using the weighted arithmetic index method Brown et al (1972). For calculating the WQI in the present study, total 21 parameters (namely temperature, pH, total dissolved solids, total suspended solids, dissolved oxygen, chemical oxygen demand, chlorides, nitrate nitrogen, ammonium nitrogen, phosphate, sulphate, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Ni²⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺) were considered (Table 1). The quality rating (Qi) for each parameter (Qi) was calculated by using this expression: Quality rating(Qi) = [(Cn − Ci)/(Cs − Ci)] * 100 where Cn: actual value of nth parameter; Ci: ideal value of this parameter; Ci = 0 (except Ci = 7 for pH; and Ci = 14.6 mg/l for DO); and Cs: recommended standard of corresponding parameter. Relative weight (Wi) was calculated by a value inversely proportional to the recommended standard (Si) of the corresponding parameter.

\[ Wi = \frac{1}{Si} \]

Overall WQI was calculated by using equation: \[ WQI = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} QiWi \right) / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} Wi \right) \]  where Qi is the sub quality index of ith parameter; W is the unit weight of each parameter; and n is the number of parameters.

The classification of water quality based on WQI was done as per the criteria given below (Chatterji and Raziuddin 2002).

| WQI value | Rating of water quality | Grading |
|-----------|------------------------|---------|
| 0–25      | Excellent               | A       |
| 26–50     | Good                   | B       |
| 51–75     | Poor                   | C       |
| 76–100    | Very poor              | D       |
| Above 100 | Unsuitable for drinking| E       |

**Calculation of metal contamination**

Indexing concept helps in determining the status of water quality with respect to selected parameters. To understand
the contamination level of the lake with respect to heavy metal, two different quality indices were used to calculate the metal pollution of Bhindawas wetland.

**Heavy metal pollution index (HPI)**

HPI index helps in determining the water quality status of surface water with respect to various selected parameters. For calculating HPI, each chosen parameter is assigned a rating or weightage (Wi) which is an arbitrary value, lies between 0 and 1 and defined as inversely proportional to standard permissible values (Si) for each selected parameter. HPI is calculated by using following equation (Mohan et al. 1996):

\[
HPI = \frac{\sum i = 1 - n QiWi}{\sum i = 1 - nWi}
\]

where Wi and Qi are the unit weight of ith parameter and sub index, respectively; and n is the number of parameters considered. The value of sub index (Qi) is calculated by using equation:

\[
Qi = \frac{1}{\sum i = 1 - n[(Ci - Ii)/(Si - Ii)]} * 100
\]

where Ci, Si, and Ii are the observed value of heavy metal, standard value of ith parameter, and ideal values, respectively. And the (−) sign denotes the numerical difference between two values, ignoring the algebraic sign.

**Heavy metal evaluation index (HEI)**

In metal index, the relative contamination of different metals was calculated and a single representative number is identified which is calculated by dividing sum of all the concentrations of heavy metals by sum of maximum allowable concentrations of each heavy metal studied. If the concentration of a metal is higher as compared to its respective MAC value, it represents the worse quality of the water. MI value > 1 is a threshold of warning (Bakan et al. 2010). The MI is calculated by using the following formula (Edet and Offiong 2002):

\[
HEI = \frac{1}{\sum i = 1 - n(Ci/MACi)}
\]

where Ci is the observed concentration of each element, and MAC is the maximum allowable concentration.

**Degree of contamination (Cd)**

Combined effect of various water quality parameters is summarized in a single index called contamination index (Backman et al. 1997) and is expressed as:

\[
Cd = \sum i = 1 - nCfi
\]

whereCfi = (Coi/Cni) − 1. where Cfi, Coi, and Cni are contamination factor, observed value, and normative value, respectively. Here, normative value is taken same as MAC value.

**Results and discussion**

The physicochemical characteristics of collected samples of water revealed that almost all the cations, anions, and other organic pollutants were present to detectable levels (Table 1). Another important observation was the significant differences in levels of dissolved oxygen, phosphate, sulphate, nitrate, dissolved oxygen, and organic carbon in inlet and outlet, as well as spatially within the wetland.
General observations

The average temperature of water within the wetland was 33 °C with negligible/insignificant spatial variations, but it was significantly higher than the temperature at inlet and outlet. The reason for the relatively low temperature of inlet and outlet may be ascribed to more depth and turbulence, respectively. This could be affirmed by relatively lower value of dissolved oxygen (0.7 mg/l) in inlet (owing to more depth); and higher value (6.6 mg/l) at outlet. Rest of the parameters like TDS, pH, TSS and chloride had minimum spatial variations with mean value of 243 mg/l, 8.0, 465 mg/l and 58 mg/l respectively. The levels of dissolved oxygen were observed to be higher at location S11 (12.3 mg/l) and S15 (13.3 mg/l) which may be ascribed to lower depth at the locations, which favoured rich growth submerged vegetation particularly Hydrilla and Vallisneria at these locations. Higher rate of subsurface photosynthetic activity at such locations may raise levels of dissolved oxygen. Similar observations have been reported in other studies too (Haritash et al. 2015). Average concentration of organic impurities in terms of BOD and COD was 4 mg/l and 23 mg/l, respectively. Major source of organic impurities in the wetland in autochthonous originating from death and decomposition of plant and animal biomass. Relatively high values of BOD at location S19 and S20 may be attributed to accumulation of dead plant biomass of Eichhornia, Salvinia etc. along the northern shallow region of wetland. The level of hardness and alkalinity, calcium, magnesium, sodium, and potassium were almost uniform throughout the wetland. Lower level of calcium at location S11 may be ascribed to its precipitation as CaCO₃ at pH ≥ 9.0. It may also result in precipitation of phosphate subsequent upon its binding with calcium. Lower concentration of phosphate at S11 location (0.42 mg/l) is a confirmation of co-precipitation of Ca²⁺ and PO₄³⁻. Similar relationship of phosphate was observed with pH at other locations too. Very high concentration at locations S10 (10.6 mg/l) and S18 (10.2 mg/l) is contributed by more bird droppings at these locations. These locations are near to the shore with sufficient number of trees serving as nesting grounds for the aquatic birds. Disposal of PO₄³⁻ rich droppings at these locations resulted in higher concentration, and relatively low pH at these locations keeps the phosphate in water soluble state. Significant difference in concentration of phosphate in inlet (1.1 mg/l) and outlet (7.8 mg/l) indicates that phosphate has accumulated in the water body over the period of time and most of it circulates within the wetland ecosystem. The other non-quantified source of import of phosphate to the wetland is pumping of water from adjoining water-logged fields during monsoon and post monsoon. Similarly, the concentration of nitrate in inlet (9 mg/l) and outlet (19.7 mg/l) had significant difference, which may also be ascribed to import from pumped of water from agricultural fields. Spatial variations in level of nitrate were observed within the wetland with values varying from 3.8 to 44.1 mg/l. Higher values at certain locations may be an outcome of higher oxidising potential and improved microbial activity. Dissolved ammonia, on the other hand, was very low in concentration with an average value of 0.5 mg/l. Its concentration was high in inlet (1.1 mg/l) and less in the wetland owing to relatively stronger oxidising conditions in the wetland. Similarly, Total Kjeldahl’s nitrogen (TKN) was moderate in concentration with an average value of 3.4 mg/l.

Apart from the general parameters, heavy metals (Fe, Zn, Ni, Cu, Pb) were also reported in water of Bhindawas wetland. The average value of iron, zinc and nickel was found to be 0.7 mg/l, 0.01 mg/l and 0.2 mg/l, respectively, which may be a cause of concern for the aquatic life present in the wetland since these metals are bio accumulative in nature. Similarly, high mean concentration of copper (0.03 mg/l) and lead (0.96 mg/l) that the metals are gradually accumulating in the wetland compared to the level in inlet confirms that these metals are gradually accumulating in the wetland (Table 2).

Exceedance of levels and its effect depends on degree and frequency of exceedance, chemical nature of the pollutant, its toxicity, and its interaction with other pollutants. Comparison of individual parameters against the prescribed standards will not provide sufficient information to arrive at aggregated quality. Water quality index is an aggregated numerical value which represents the quality of water based on values of given set of parameters. In the present study, evaluation of WQI has been done to determine the water quality for survival of aquatic life and use in irrigation. Based on the values of WQI for agriculture use, the water was classified as very poor or unsuitable for use upon comparison with standards prescribed by FAO (1994). The major parameters, which exceeded the prescribed standards, were nitrate, phosphate, and potassium at most of the location, which clearly indicates the input from NPK fertilisers being employed in fields. The water being pumped into the wetland from adjoining water-logged fields during monsoon is, therefore, the dominant source and reason for compromised quality of water. Based on WQI for survival of aquatic life, the water was found to be unsuitable upon comparison with standards prescribed by CCME (2007).

Heavy metal pollution index (HPI)

HPI is based on the weight (Wi) of the metals analysed in a study. The weight or rating varies between 0 and 1 and it indicates the relative significance of an individual metal and it is evaluated by inverse proportion of the standard/normative value (Si) of that metal. The normative value used for calculated HPI values for irrigation and aquatic use
have been extracted from FAO (1994) and CCME (2007), respectively. In the present study, the degree of pollution was observed to be low for 65%; medium for 35% and high for only 5% of samples for aquatic life. The observed values of HPI for aquatic life varied from 25 to 160. Relatively higher values were observed at locations S12 to S18 adjoining Chadwana village in northeast region of the wetland. Higher levels of heavy metals in that particular region may be ascribed to pumping of domestic sewage from the adjoining village. This indicates that the water quality is questionable for aquatic life. It becomes a cause of concern since Bhindawas wetland is a temporary nesting ground for the migratory birds during the winter season. On the other hand, degree of pollution was low for use in irrigation. The values varied between 0.4 and 0.9 indicating that the heavy metals were within the safe limits for use in agriculture. Since the heavy metal uptake by plants is selective and restrictive, relatively smaller fractions are assimilated in the plant tissue. Therefore, the standard limits for use in irrigation are relaxed/higher which results in low values of HPI for agricultural use. The classification of degree of pollution for aquatic and agricultural use is given in Table 3.

### Heavy metal evaluation index (HEI)

HEI is an indication of aggregated quality of water with respect to the analysed heavy metals. It is used for a clear understanding and validation of other pollution indices by synchronising the classification criteria of the other indices. Based on the values of HEI, 65% of the samples add low degree of pollution; 30% had medium; and 5% of the sample had the high degree of the pollution as observed in case of HPI. The only sample, which had maximum HEI value, was collected from S12 location adjoining Chadwana village. Unlike HPI 85% of the samples add low degree of pollution and 15% add medium degree of pollution for use in irrigation. The locations S12 and S15 were observed to have relatively high metal concentration. The classification of water based on HEI is given in Table 3.

### Degree of contamination ($C_d$)

The degree of contamination/contamination index ($C_d$) is another representation of the quality of water with respect to the heavy metal concentration. Based on the values of $C_d$, 65% samples had low; 30% medium; and 5% samples having high degree of pollution confirming to the classification as observed for HPI and HEI of water for aquatic life. Similarly, for use in irrigation, 85% samples had low degree of pollution and 15% add medium degree of pollution for use in irrigation. The locations S12 and S15 were observed to have relatively high metal concentration. The classification of water based on HEI is given in Table 3.
Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of the obtained data was performed to determine significantly correlated parameters which affect the different biochemical processes in a wetland. The correlation coefficients of selected parameters were determined using the data of all 20 locations. Significant positive correlation was observed for DO and BOD, Ca and K, Mg, Cu, and Fe, PO₄³⁻ with NO₃⁻, and Pb, NO₃⁻ and Fe. PCA was carried out to compare the compositional pattern between the physicochemical parameters along with heavy metal concentration of water samples from various sites of the wetland and to identify the factors influencing each one. PCA for the total data set is shown in Table 3, which explained eight components with eigen values > 1 having about 84.74% of total variance in the water quality. The first component accounting for 25.73% of the total variance and was correlated (loading ≥ 0.50) with EC, TSS, Ca²⁺ and K⁺. Cluster analysis is applied to the water quality parameters (both physicochemical and heavy metal concentration) of various sites of the lake. A dendrogram was obtained where all the 20 sampling sites of the lake were grouped into three statistically significant clusters. Cluster 1 comprises of S1 to S9 and S12 to S20; cluster 2 comprises of only S10; and lastly cluster 3 comprises of S11, respectively (Fig. 2).

Table 3  Principal component analysis for selected parameters of water in Bhindawas wetland

| Variables | Components |
|-----------|------------|
|           | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 |
| pH        | -0.86 0.35 -0.11 0.29 -0.02 -0.06 0.02 -0.05 |
| Temp      | -0.17 0.58 0.03 0.32 0.22 0.13 -0.34 -0.28 |
| EC        | 0.80 0.24 0.20 0.21 0.23 -0.28 0.06 -0.04 |
| TSS       | 0.50 0.65 -0.32 -0.17 -0.15 0.07 0.12 0.00 |
| DO        | -0.82 0.17 -0.13 0.35 0.17 0.17 -0.07 -0.06 |
| BOD       | -0.73 0.34 0.14 0.18 0.15 0.16 0.27 -0.16 |
| Cl⁻       | -0.17 0.50 0.05 -0.23 -0.28 -0.28 0.08 0.19 |
| SO₄²⁻     | 0.12 -0.01 -0.09 0.39 0.36 0.01 -0.62 0.46 |
| Ca²⁺      | 0.74 0.20 0.33 0.06 0.45 0.03 0.05 0.15 |
| Mg²⁺      | 0.31 -0.36 -0.37 0.67 0.04 0.10 0.15 -0.11 |
| Na⁺       | 0.24 0.75 0.25 0.38 -0.06 0.01 0.11 -0.20 |
| K⁺        | 0.80 0.09 0.45 0.03 0.26 0.03 0.21 -0.02 |
| NO₃⁻      | -0.20 -0.68 0.23 0.06 -0.13 -0.27 -0.21 -0.32 |
| NH₃       | 0.38 0.17 -0.01 -0.27 -0.39 0.55 -0.37 -0.05 |
| TKN       | 0.28 -0.14 -0.57 0.12 0.08 0.42 0.36 -0.09 |
| PO₄³⁻     | 0.18 -0.56 0.40 -0.14 0.23 0.42 -0.02 0.30 |
| Cu        | 0.43 -0.29 -0.69 0.02 0.11 0.05 -0.01 0.13 |
| Fe        | 0.08 -0.56 0.29 0.56 -0.39 -0.15 0.10 0.05 |
| Ni        | -0.58 -0.23 -0.03 -0.15 0.46 -0.05 0.31 0.35 |
| Pb        | -0.58 -0.08 0.46 -0.15 0.16 0.43 0.06 0.23 |
| Zn        | 0.12 0.03 0.32 0.41 -0.56 0.31 0.15 0.46 |
| Eigen values | 5.40 3.37 2.14 1.85 1.60 1.28 1.12 1.04 |
| CV%       | 25.73 41.77 51.93 **60.76** 68.36 74.45 79.79 84.74 |

Values in bold represent p < 0.05

Conclusion

The physicochemical characterisation revealed that the water quality of Bhindawas was compromised w.r.t. the levels of heavy metals. The general parameters (cations and anions) had minimum spatial variations and were within the safe limits level for aquatic life. The growth of submerged vegetation facilitated improved water quality, adding photosynthetically produced oxygen to water and filtering the suspended impurities. But, the addition of wastewater from adjoining villages and runoff from nearby fields has resulted in increased concentration of phosphates and heavy metals. Since these chemical species cause eutrophication and impart toxicity, respectively, the health of ecosystem and aquatic life is affected adversely. The results of this study are even more important when migratory birds use this wetland as a temporary refuge during winters. The present quality of water is unsuitable for aquatic life based on various indices of heavy metal pollution (HPI, HEI, C₅). The wetland
requires regular monitoring of water quality; and treatment of wastewater before being pumped into it during monsoon.
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