Gravitational Light Bending Prevents $\gamma\gamma$ Absorption in Gravitational Lenses
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ABSTRACT

The magnification effect due to gravitational lensing enhances the chances of detecting moderate-redshift ($z \sim 1$) sources in very-high-energy (VHE; $E > 100$ GeV) $\gamma$-rays by ground-based Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescope facilities. It has been shown in previous work that this prospect is not hampered by potential $\gamma-\gamma$ absorption effects by the intervening (lensing) galaxy, nor by any individual star within the intervening galaxy. In this paper, we expand this study to simulate the light-bending effect of a realistic ensemble of stars. We first demonstrate that, for realistic parameters of the galaxy’s star field, it is extremely unlikely (probability $\lesssim 10^{-6}$) that the direct line of sight between the $\gamma$-ray source and the observer passes by any star in the field close enough to be subject to significant $\gamma\gamma$ absorption. Our simulations then focus on the rare cases where $\gamma\gamma$ absorption by (at least) one individual star might be non-negligible. We show that gravitational light bending will have the effect of avoiding the $\gamma-\gamma$ absorption spheres around massive stars in the intervening galaxy. This confirms previous results by Barnacka et al. and re-inforces arguments in favour of VHE $\gamma$-ray observations of lensed moderate-redshift blazars to extend the redshift range of objects detected in VHE $\gamma$-rays, and to probe the location of the $\gamma$-ray emission region in those blazars.
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1. Introduction

To date, about 40 blazars (jet-dominated active galactic nuclei with their relativistic jets oriented at a small angle with respect to the line of sight) have been detected by ground-based Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescope facilities as sources of very-high-energy (VHE; $E > 100$ GeV) $\gamma$-rays. Their distances span a redshift range $0 < z < 0.944$. This range is primarily limited by the $\gamma-\gamma$ absorption effect of the Extragalactic Background Light (EBL) on VHE $\gamma$-rays from cosmological distances (see, e.g., Stecker et al. 1992; De Jager et al. 1994; Dwek & Krennrich 2005; Franceschini et al. 2008; Finke et al. 2011; Dominguez et al. 2013). To expand the $\gamma$-ray horizon set by $\gamma-\gamma$ absorption, sources at higher redshifts either need to be unusually bright in VHE $\gamma$-rays (exhibiting an unusually hard $\gamma$-ray spectrum). Alternatively, VHE blazars of known classes can be gravitationally lensed, whereby their observed fluxes are magnified. Two $\gamma$-ray blazars detected by the Fermi Large Area Telescope (Fermi-LAT) are known to be gravitationally lensed, namely PKS 1830-211 (Barnacka et al. 2011) and S3 0218+357 (Cheung et al. 2014). The latter has been successfully detected at VHE $\gamma$-rays by the Major Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescope (MAGIC, Mirzoyan et al. 2014), thus making it the most distant known VHE $\gamma$-ray emitter to date at $z = 0.944$.

The $\gamma$-ray detection of gravitationally lensed blazars not only promises the extension of the VHE blazar catalogue to higher redshifts. Barnacka et al. (2014a, 2015) have shown that the time delay between the two images of a gravitationally lensed blazar depends very sensitively on the exact location of the emission region in the source plane. Thus, differences in the locations of the emission region dominating the variable emission at different frequency bands (e.g., radio vs. optical vs. $\gamma$-rays) may lead to different time delays between the two images in those different frequency bands. Hence, such differences in time delays may be used to probe the location of the $\gamma$-ray emission region in blazars, relative to the radio or optical emission region (Barnacka et al. 2014a, 2015). Note that this method may be applied even when the two lensed images are not spatially resolved, by searching for repeating variability patterns corresponding to the two lensed images.

An important question concerning the feasibility of such studies is whether the additional IR – optical – UV radiation field provided by the lensing galaxy and its stellar population may provide a significant source of $\gamma-\gamma$ opacity, thus effectively preventing the VHE $\gamma$-ray detection of lensed blazars in significant numbers. To investigate this, Barnacka et al. (2014b) have calculated the $\gamma-\gamma$ opacity of the collective radiation field of a typical $L_\star$ galaxy as well as the opacity provided by an individual star within the lensing galaxy. In both cases, they found that these intervening sources of soft radiation field do not lead to significant $\gamma-\gamma$ absorption. Intriguingly, even if the direct line of sight to the background blazar passes very close to a star in the lensing galaxy (i.e., closer than the characteristic radius within which the $\gamma-\gamma$ opacity exceeds one), the gravitational-lensing effect naturally bends the light path significantly further away from the star, thus helping to avoid $\gamma-\gamma$ absorption. While this is an exciting result, suggesting that excess $\gamma-\gamma$ absorption due to the lens is not a hindrance to VHE detections of distant, gravitationally lensed blazars, their study was limited to just one individual star.
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in the lensing galaxy; it is not a priori clear whether this result still holds if the light-bending effect of a realistic ensemble of (typically billions of) stars within the lensing galaxy. In this paper, we therefore extend the study of [Barnacka et al. 2014b] to simulate the paths of \( \gamma \)-rays through a representative star field in an intervening, lensing galaxy, finding that even when considering a realistic stellar population in the galaxy, the light bending effect still aids VHE \( \gamma \)-rays to avoid the \( \gamma - \gamma \) absorption spheres of all stars in the field. We describe the general model setup and the numerical method to trace the paths of \( \gamma \)-rays through the lensing galaxy in Section 2. Results are presented in Section 3 and we summarize in Section 4.

2. Numerical Setup

In order to evaluate the effect of gravitational light bending on the path of a VHE \( \gamma \)-ray (or any other photon) through an intervening galaxy, we performed ray-tracing simulations. The general setup of these simulations is as follows: For a generic case, we assume that the lens is at a distance of 3 Gpc from the observer on Earth, while the background blazar is located a distance of 3 Gpc behind the lens. The average density of stars in the region of the galaxy through which the \( \gamma \)-ray passes, is parameterized as \( n_\text{av} = 10^{-2} n^{-1}_2 \text{ ly}^{-3} \). For \( n_2 = 1 \), this is slightly larger than the density of stars in the solar neighbourhood. An approximately uniform distribution is the first, natural, follow-up to the study by Barnacka et al. (2014b), so we will focus on this case here. However, below, we also briefly discuss a more realistic scenario, in which a much denser star cluster may be located in the \( \gamma \)-ray path.

In order to assess whether the \( \gamma \)-ray passes through any \( \gamma - \gamma \) absorption sphere (and, thus, may be subject to significant \( \gamma - \gamma \) absorption by any of the stellar radiation fields), we estimate the radius \( r_{\gamma\gamma} \) of the \( \gamma - \gamma \) absorption sphere (where the \( \gamma - \gamma \) opacity \( \tau_{\gamma\gamma} = 1 \)) using \( r_{\gamma\gamma} = 10^6 (L/L_\odot) E_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1} \text{ cm} \) (Barnacka et al. 2014b), where \( L/L_\odot \) is the stellar luminosity normalized to the solar luminosity, and \( E_{\gamma\gamma} \) is the peak photon energy of the stellar spectrum. The above expression represents the maximum size of the \( \gamma - \gamma \) absorption sphere for \( \gamma \)-ray photons optimally interacting with the stellar photons, i.e., \( E_{\gamma\gamma} = 520 E_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1} \text{ GeV} \). To express \( r_{\gamma\gamma} \) solely as a function of stellar mass, \( m \equiv M/M_\odot \), we use a scaling of the stellar luminosity as \( L = L_\odot m^{3.5} \) (which is a convenient interpolation between a slightly shallower mass dependence at low masses and a slightly steeper one at larger masses, e.g., Demircan & Karamad [1991]) and peak photon energy \( E_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.5 m^{0.3} \), yielding finally

\[
 r_{\gamma\gamma} = 2 \times 10^6 m^{3/2} \text{ cm}
\]

We first estimate the probability of a \( \gamma \)-ray being subject to significant \( \gamma - \gamma \) absorption in the star field of a galaxy, irrespective of any gravitational light bending effects. We consider \( \gamma - \gamma \) absorption to be non-negligible of \( r_{\gamma\gamma} \geq 0.1 \). Due to the scaling of \( r_{\gamma\gamma} \propto b^{-1} \), with \( b \) being the impact parameter (i.e., the distance of closest approach of the direct line of sight of the \( \gamma \)-ray to the star), this means, a star of mass \( m \) has an effective cross section of \( \sigma = 100 m r_{\gamma\gamma}^2 \). Assuming an approximately constant stellar density over a scale height \( h = 1 \text{ kpc} \) of the galaxy, the probability of a \( \gamma \)-ray passing within \( 10 r_{\gamma\gamma} \) of any star, is then

\[
P_{\gamma\gamma} = 100 \pi h \int dm n(m) r_{\gamma\gamma}^2(m)
\]

where \( n(m) \) is the mass distribution of stars. For the purpose of this simple estimate, we approximate the stellar mass function as a single power-law \( n(m) = n_0 m^{-\alpha} \) with \( \alpha = 2.5 \) between \( m_1 = 0.08 \) and \( m_2 = 100 \). This then yields a probability of \( P_{\gamma\gamma} = 3 \times 10^{-7} h_{\text{gal}} n_2^{-2} \). Thus, for realistic parameters of the stellar field and the scale height of the galaxy, even without the effects of gravitational light bending, it is extremely unlikely that \( \gamma \gamma \) absorption in the radiation field of any individual star will play a significant role. In the following, we consider the rare case in which one of the stars in the field is, by chance, located close enough to the direct line of sight from the \( \gamma \)-ray source to the observer to cause significant \( \gamma \gamma \) absorption if gravitational light bending were not taken into account.

The deflection angle \( \alpha_{\text{def}} \) resulting from the \( \gamma \)-ray passing the star at an impact parameter \( b \), is given by

\[
\alpha_{\text{def}} = \frac{4 GM}{c^2 b}
\]

where \( M \) is the mass of the star, and is generally \( \alpha_{\text{def}} \leq 10^{-6} \) for main-sequence stars if \( b \) is larger than the stellar radius. Consequently, the total deflection of the \( \gamma \)-ray even when interacting with thousands of stars, is very small. We therefore restrict our simulations to a cylinder of radius \( R = 10 \text{ ly} \) and height \( h = 1 \text{ kpc} \), as a characteristic scale height of the galaxy. Thus, the gravitational influence of stars further than 5 ly away from the direct line of sight is neglected. Within our simulation volume, we randomly distribute stars with an average number density \( n_\text{av} \), except for placing one randomly chosen star deliberately close (at \( b < r_{\gamma\gamma} \)) to the direct line of sight in order to investigate the rare cases where \( \gamma \gamma \) absorption would be relevant without gravitational light deflection.

The masses of the stars are randomly drawn from a Salpeter mass function, \( N(M) \propto M^{-2.5} \). As has been shown in Barnacka et al. (2014b), low-mass stars (less than a few \( M_\odot \)) have too low luminosity to cause any significant \( \gamma - \gamma \) absorption. Furthermore, due to their small masses, any angular deflection caused by them is also expected to be negligible. In our simulations, we therefore restrict the considered mass range to \( 1 M_\odot \leq M \leq 100 M_\odot \), neglecting the influence of low-mass stars. This reduces the number density of stars actually considered in the simulations. Specifically, we conservatively include 250 stars in the mass range \( 1 M_\odot \leq M \leq 100 M_\odot \) within the simulation volume.

Our ray-tracing code then scans through a fine grid of \( \gamma \)-ray photon arrival directions into the simulation volume, to find the path which ultimately propagates to the observer on Earth (while most photon paths are being deflected in other directions which will miss the observer). Figure 1 illustrates the observed \( \gamma \)-ray photon path for one of our simulations. The code tracks the distances of closest approach to each star in the simulation volume.

For every star \( i \) in the simulation volume, the impact parameter \( b_i \) is then normalized to \( r_{\gamma\gamma} \), of the star, to check whether the \( \gamma \)-ray photon path traverses or avoids that star’s \( \gamma - \gamma \) absorption sphere. The simulation is repeated 100 times with different random seeds (to determine the stars’ positions and masses), in order to assess the statistical significance of our results.

3. Results

Figure 2 shows a histogram of the impact parameters (normalized to the \( \gamma \)-ray absorption sphere) of the \( \gamma \)-ray photon reaching the observer on Earth, for all 250 stars in one of our simulations (blue histogram). It illustrates that the observed \( \gamma \)-ray
passes no star in the simulation at a distance less than several hundred times $r_{\gamma\gamma}$. This is compared to the result corresponding to a straight photon path along the z axis, i.e., what would be expected without gravitational light-bending effects. It is clear that the gravitational light bending systematically shifts the impact parameters to larger values and, in particular, shifts the minimum impact parameter to a value clearly outside the $\gamma\gamma$ absorption sphere. This suggests that even with a realistic ensemble of stars, the gravitational light bending tends to aid $\gamma$-ray photons to avoid the $\gamma\gamma$ absorption spheres of stars potentially providing a significant $\gamma\gamma$ opacity.

Clearly the most important result of our simulations is the minimum normalized impact parameter $b_i/r_{\gamma\gamma}$, i.e., the distance of closest approach, relative to the star’s $\gamma - \gamma$ absorption sphere, to any star in the ensemble. Figure 3 shows a histogram of the minimum $b_i/r_{\gamma\gamma}$ for each of the 100 Monte-Carlo realizations of our simulations. It illustrates that in no case will any star be passed at a closer range than a few hundred times the $\gamma\gamma$ absorption sphere radius. Consequently, $\gamma$-rays will never be subject to $\gamma\gamma$ opacities larger than $\sim 10^{-5}$. This confirms our conclusion that, irrespective of the details of the stellar distribution in the intervening galaxy, even if the direct line of sight were to pass very close (within the $\gamma\gamma$ absorption sphere) of any star in the galaxy, the light bending effect will act to help VHE $\gamma$-rays to avoid the $\gamma\gamma$ absorption spheres of all stars.

4. Summary

We have re-evaluated the result of Barnacka et al. (2014b) that VHE $\gamma$-rays from a gravitationally lensed blazar are not expected to be subject to significant $\gamma\gamma$ absorption by the radiation field of the lens, because the gravitational light bending effect will cause the $\gamma$-ray paths to systematically avoid the $\gamma\gamma$ absorption spheres of lensing systems. In Barnacka et al. (2014b), only the collective radiation field of an entire galaxy and the radiation fields of one individual star within a lensing galaxy were considered. As this might be over-simplifying the situation present for $\gamma$-rays passing through the potentially dense star field of a galaxy, we have evaluated the light bending effect due to a realistic stellar population in a lensing galaxy.

We have first evaluated the probability of any star to be close enough to the direct line of sight between a background VHE $\gamma$-ray source and the observer to cause significant $\gamma\gamma$ absorption if light bending were not taken into account. We find this
probability to be very low, typically $\lesssim 10^{-6}$. We then concentrated on the few exceptional cases in which a star might be, by chance, located very close to the line of sight. For those cases, we have shown that the result of Barnacka et al. (2014b) still holds, namely that the gravitational light bending effect will deflect the $\gamma$-ray path far beyond the $\gamma\gamma$ absorption sphere of that single star, without causing it to approach any other star close to its $\gamma\gamma$ absorption sphere.

These results confirm the findings of Barnacka et al. (2014b) and reinforce the prospect to detect gravitationally lensed $\gamma$-ray blazars with ground-based VHE $\gamma$-ray observatories, especially the future Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA). As suggested by Barnacka et al. (2014a, 2015), the measurement of time delays between lensed images (which can not be directly spatially resolved at $\gamma$-ray energies) will then allow one to probe the location of the $\gamma$-ray emission region in comparison to the lower-energy emission.
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