Article

Intelligent Lecturer Tracking and Capturing System Based on Face Detection and Wireless Sensing Technology
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Abstract: In this paper, we propose an intelligent lecturer tracking and capturing (ILTC) system to automatically record course videos. Real-time and stable lecturer localization is realized by combining face detection with infrared (IR) thermal sensors, preventing detection failure caused by abrupt and rapid movements in face detection and solving the non-real-time sensing problem for IR thermal sensors. Further, the camera is panned automatically by a servo motor controlled with a microcontroller to keep the lecturer in the center of the screen. Experiments were conducted in a classroom and a laboratory. Experimental results demonstrated that the accuracy of the proposed system is much higher than that of the system without IR thermal sensors. The survey of 32 teachers from two universities showed that the proposed system is a more practical utility and meets the demand of increasing online courses.
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1. Introduction

With the rapid development of education informatization, a wide variety of online courses have received much attention in recent years [1,2]. Most of the top 50 universities in the world, such as Stanford University, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), and Harvard University, offer online courses on Coursera [2] and edX [3]. This rapid growth makes education, especially higher education, beyond time–space constraints, cross-domain, and borderless. The massive open online course (MOOC) is the most popular type of online course. In 2012, MIT and Harvard University co-invested a free online courses platform called edX. Up to now, edX has offered more than 2500 courses provided by top-ranked universities in the world and industry-leading companies [3]. In the same period, two Stanford university professors, Andrew Ng and Daphne Koller launched Coursera which has opened 3664 courses with 195 partners from 45 countries, according to the official statistics published in July 2019 [2]. These platforms benefit millions of students from all over the world each term, without space–time constraints and borderless.

However, most of the videos in online course platforms mentioned above are recorded by a technical expert in the professional studios. As described at the top of Figure 1, the videos of MOOCs are usually recorded in studios or offices by photographic specialists using expensive photographic equipment [4,5]. This recording mode not only requires a longer production cycle, but also leads to a higher economic cost [6,7]. Meanwhile, it is hard to excite the lecturer’s enthusiasm without facing any students when the lecture video is recorded in a studio [7]. Certainly, the videos of some online courses are recorded in classrooms directly. For example, the Stanford CS231n course taught by Professor Feifei Li in the Spring of 2017; its videos have been uploaded to YouTube [8]. Nevertheless,
the fixed camera limits the scope of the lecturer’s movement as shown in the middle of Figure 1, and the recording process requires manual intervention. Some automatic recording systems are designed to capture the lecturer in a classroom via automatic camera panning and zooming [9], but they cannot be widely applied because of their requirements in specific recording equipment and limitations in frequently changing the focus during the recording operation.

In order to solve the above problems, an intelligent lecturer tracking and capturing (ILTC) system with low cost, stable performance, and convenient construction is proposed in this study, combining artificial intelligence and wireless sensing technology.

**Figure 1.** Three modes for recording online course videos. Mode A: Capturing in an office or a professional studio. Mode B: Capturing with a static camera in a classroom. Mode C: Automatic tracking and capturing in a classroom (the proposed system).

There are two functions in the lecturer tracking and capturing system: tracking the lecturer and panning the camera to aim it towards the lecturer as shown in the bottom of Figure 1. First, localization technologies are used to identify the lecturer’s position. Then, the camera is panned automatically to
place the lecturer in the center of the screen. By following the above steps, the functions of tracking and capturing are realized.

The existing localization technologies usually use special photographic equipment, such as panoramic cameras [10], PTZ cameras [11], or multiple cameras [12], which require high costs to set up in a classroom and especially to construct a large number of intelligent classrooms. Some classrooms in cram schools have been equipped with an automatic recording system based on contactless sensors, partly having the function of automatic camera panning. However, the rotation angle of camera panning has only a few choices (maybe 2). The more serious problem is that time synchronization amongst the sensors is difficult to achieve, and time-delay occurs when the localization is only based on sensors [13]. Another branch of localization technology is locating the tracked object via portable sensors, such as magnetometers [14], accelerometers, and photodiodes [15]. These methods are also not suitable to track lecturers due to the accompanying inconvenience.

In this study, face detection is combined with wireless sensing technology to realize real-time and stable lecturer localization. After that, a microcontroller, providing communication and control mechanism, and a servo motor with low power are used to pan the camera.

In summary, the main contributions of the ILTC system are threefold:

1. Proposing an intelligent lecturer tracking and capturing system with low-cost, real-time, stable, self-adjusting, and contactless devices.
2. Realizing face detection and capturing by one camera and optimizing the network model with Intel OpenVINO Toolkit to implement the system on CPU in real-time without pre-installing Caffe or TensorFlow.
3. Preventing detection failure caused by abrupt and rapid movements in face detection and solving the non-real-time sensing problem for IR thermal sensors through the combination of face detection and wireless sensing technology.

2. Proposed Method

In this section, we propose an intelligent lecturer tracking and capturing system, as depicted in Figure 2, comprising the following three modules: (a) face detection module, (b) capturing module, and (c) infrared tracking module. First, face detection is employed to locate the lecturer. If the lecturer is detected in the face detection module, the camera is panned by a servo motor in the capturing module based on the detected result. Otherwise, the infrared tracking module is involved to locate the lecturer via two IR thermal sensors and transmits the location of the lecturer to the capturing module via the wireless communication network. Finally, the lecturer is captured by the camera and kept in the center of the screen during the capturing process.

2.1. Face Detection Module

Tracking-by-detection is a popular method for object tracking [16,17]. In the proposed lecturer tracking and capturing system, face detection is used to estimate the location of the lecturer because other body parts of the lecturer may be occluded by the podium. In the past few years, face detection has matured to be used ubiquitously in computer vision systems. Face detection for advanced driving-assistance systems (ADAS) [18] is employed in the face detection module to realize tracking-by-detection in the ILTC system, which is designed for driver monitoring and suitable for lecturer tracking. Both scenarios focus on capturing a person’s face and upper limbs. Furthermore, the optimizer of Intel OpenVINO Toolkit [19] is utilized to improve the computation efficiency of face detection.
Figure 2. Framework of the intelligent lecturer tracking and capturing (ILTC) system: (a) face detection module; (b) capturing module; (c) infrared tracking module.

2.1.1. Backbone

Face detection for ADAS is inspired by Single Shot MultiBox Detector (SSD) [20] and MobileNet [21]. The former is a one-stage detection method, which imposes prior boxes to handle different-sized objects on several feature maps with different resolutions and predicts both location and confidences for each prior box. The latter replaces the standard convolution with the depthwise and $1 \times 1$ pointwise separable convolution [22] to improve the detection speed.
In the proposed face detection module, MobileNet is introduced to avoid the degradation of the detection speed for SSD on high-resolution input. By introducing depthwise and pointwise convolutional layers, the computational load is greatly reduced. For the standard convolution layer with $H \times W$ input size, $C$ channels and $K \times 3 \times 3$ kernels, its computational cost is $H \times W \times C \times K \times 3 \times 3$. On the contrary, the computational costs of the depthwise and the pointwise separable layer are $H \times W \times C \times 3 \times 3$ and $H \times W \times C \times K \times 1 \times 1$, respectively. The total computational cost is $H \times W \times C \times (3 \times 3 + K)$. The compression ratio of the computational costs is calculated by the following equation:

$$ R = \frac{H \times W \times C \times (3 \times 3 + K)}{H \times W \times C \times K \times 3 \times 3} = \frac{3 \times 3 + K}{K \times 3 \times 3} \quad (1) $$

For example, if $K$ is 128, $R$ is approximately equal to 0.12. The backbone of the face detection module is illustrated in Figure 3. The similar parts in the architecture of the network are condensed and the layers are named according to ref. [18]. Both the depthwise and pointwise convolutional layers are followed by the nonlinear Batchnorm operation and ReLU activation function.

2.1.2. OpenVINO

OpenVINO is an Intel DL deployment toolkit [19] for quickly accomplishing object detection, action recognition, and other behaviors that emulate human vision, which can accelerate and deploy CNNs on Intel platforms: CPU, GPU, or VPU. As illustrated in Figure 4, the model trained in Caffe or TensorFlow is optimized by OpenVINO. The configuration and weight files of the model are converted to bin and XML files, respectively. For this reason, the CNNs can run on a general-purpose CPU in real-time [23,24].

In the proposed method, the face detection model is optimized by OpenVINO and runs at 25 frames per second on CPU. When the lecturer moves at normal speed, he or she is captured by the camera and is in the center of the screen via the panning of a servo motor to adjust the angle of the camera. The servo motor is controlled by Arduino Uno Wifi [25] and rotates to a certain angle based on the location result of the face detection module, which are described in detail below.

2.2. Capturing Module

Arduino Uno WiFi is the most important component in the proposed capturing module, which integrates the microcontroller ATmega328 and WiFi ESP8266 [25]. As depicted in Figure 2, Arduino Uno WiFi provides three functions:

1. Communicating with the computer via the universal serial bus.
2. Controlling the servo motor to rotate the camera mounted on the motor.
3. Receiving data collected by wireless stations, which are connected with IR thermal sensors.

As mentioned in the previous section, the frames captured by the camera are processed in the face detection module to locate the lecturer. Based on the location of the lecturer’s face, the servo motor rotates to a certain angle. The angle is calculated using the following equation:

$$ A_i = \begin{cases} A_{i-1} & |C_l - C| \leq T \\ A_{i-1} - (C_l - C)/C & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2) $$

where $A_i$ and $A_{i-1}$ are respectively the angles of the servo motor for the current frame and the previous frame. $C$ is the center of the frame in the horizontal direction, which is equal to one-half of the width of the frame. $C_l$ is the center of the lecturer in the horizontal direction. $T$ is a threshold for rotation. $T$ is set to 50 when the resolution of the frame is $450 \times 320$. Specifically, if the horizontal offset between the lecturer and the screen center is less than 50 pixels, the camera need not be rotated by the servo motor to avoid frequent movement. Meanwhile, the rotation speed is less than 1 degree per frame to make the camera move smoothly.
Figure 3. Architecture of the face detection network.
2.3. Infrared Tracking Module

For smooth motion, the lecturer can be detected in the proposed face detection module. However, if the lecturer moves abruptly or rapidly, the face detection method will fail to track the object. It is a general problem in learning-based tracking methods [26,27]. To overcome this difficulty, IR thermal sensors are introduced to detect the lecturer when the face detection method does not work in the ILTC system. As shown in the lower right part of Figure 2, two AMG8833 IR thermal sensors with a sampling rate of 10 fps are employed to detect the lecturer. Furthermore, two WiFi modules (WiPy 3.0) are connected with the two sensors, respectively, to transmit temperature data to the Arduino Uno WiFi via the wireless communication network.

2.3.1. IR Thermal Sensors

AMG8833 (GRIDYE) is an $8 \times 8$ array of IR thermal sensors, which can measure temperatures ranging from 0 °C to 80 °C and detect a human from a distance of up to 7 m [28–30]. The 8-connected component labeling algorithm [31] is used to distinguish the human from noise. If the maximum value in the $8 \times 8$ array is higher than room temperature, subsequently, it is determined whether the amount of the grids connected to the grid with the maximum temperature is greater than or equal to 2.
Accordingly, it is considered that the lecturer is detected only when the maximum value is higher than room temperature and the corresponding amount of the grid of the connected grids is greater than or equal to 2. In both scenarios, room temperature is always kept below 27 °C using air conditioners. When the maximum value among the 64 grids is higher than 27, the 8-connected component labeling method is introduced to identify the noise. The process of 8-connected component labeling is indicated by the following equation:

\[
l_{r,c} = \begin{cases} 
0 & i_{r,c} = 0 \\
\min \exists (i, j) \in \{(r - 1, c - 1), (r - 1, c), (r - 1, c + 1), (r, c - 1)\}, l_{i,j} > 0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}
\]

(3)

For the current pixel \((r, c)\), its label \(l_{r,c}\) is set to zero if the intensity of the pixel \(i_{r,c}\) is zero. Alternatively, if there exist nonzero values in the upper left, upper, upper right, and left neighbors, \(l_{r,c}\) is set to the minimum of the labels in the four neighbors. Otherwise, \(l_{r,c}\) is set to a new value which is numbered sequentially. Afterwards, the connected pixels are relabeled with the same number by traversing the image (top-to-bottom, left-to-right). Finally, the total amounts of the connected pixels for each number are counted. In the ILTC system, it need only count the total amount of the connected pixels to the grid with maximum temperature.

The data obtained by AMG8833 sensors include maximum temperature, row, column, and the totality of the connected pixels. The next step is transferring the data to Arduino UNO WiFi in the capturing module via the wireless communication device.

2.3.2. Wireless Communication

WiPy 3.0 is introduced to realize communication between the AMG8833 and the Arduino UNO WiFi, which is an enabled WiFi and Bluetooth IoT development platform [32]. Two WiPy 3.0 modules are connected to two AMG8833 sensors respectively. Arduino UNO WiFi sends out ‘ID1’ and ‘ID2’ commands alternately via the wireless communication network. Two WiPy 3.0 modules respond to ‘ID1’ and ‘ID2’ respectively. The execution flowchart of WiPy 3.0 named ‘ID1’ is indicated in Figure 5.

First, the wireless network connection is initialized and the counter is set to zero. Then, the data from Arduino UNO WiFi in the capturing module is read via the wireless network. If the data is ‘ID1’, the processed data is transmitted from AMG8833 back to Arduino UNO WiFi. Further, the blocking mode is set to False before reading data from the socket and True before transmitting data to switch between blocking mode and non-blocking mode. The goal here is to avoid blocking up the program and ensure transmitting all pending data. Furthermore, soft resetting is executed to solve the memory shortage problem caused by synchronizing, according to the advice from the official website of Pycom [33].

In summary, the face detection module is responsible for locating the lecturer and the capturing module is responsible for rotating the camera. If the face detection module fails to obtain the location of the lecturer, the infrared tracking module is involved to locate the lecturer via detecting his/her temperature. The rotation angle of the servo motor is determined by the detected results of the face detection module or the infrared tracking module.
3. Experimental Results

In this section, the experimental results are presented to evaluate the performance of the proposed ILTC system. The first subsection describes the experimental environment. The qualitative and quantitative analyses are then described. The last subsection shows the survey of 32 teachers on three modes for recording online course videos.

3.1. Experimental Environment

The experiments were carried out in a classroom and a laboratory, respectively, by use of Python 3.6 with a 3.2 GHz Intel i7-8700 CPU and 32 GB RAM. The resolution of the videos is $450 \times 320$ and the frame rate is 24 fps. Figure 6 shows one of the experimental scenarios, the classroom.
The experimental results are related to four distances, which determine the capturing range. As depicted in Figure 6, they are (1) the distance between two AMG8833 sensors, (2) the distance between the sensor and the ground, (3) the distance between the camera and the whiteboard and (4) the range of the lecturer’s movement. Table 1 gives the values of the distances in the classroom and the laboratory.

| Scenario  | (1)     | (2)     | (3)     | (4)     |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Classroom | 2.85 m  | 2.69 m  | 1.50 m  | 3.60 m  |
| Laboratory| 2.02 m  | 3.30 m  | 1.52 m  | 2.45 m  |

As shown in Figure 7a, a computer was placed in the first row of the classroom, connected with Arduino UNO WiFi, shown in Figure 7b. Figure 7c presents AMG8833 integrated with WiPy 3.0. Table 2 shows the list of hardware and software platforms used in the ILTC system.

Figure 6. One of the experimental scenarios.

Figure 7. Devices used in experiments: (a) Computer placed in the first row; (b) Arduino UNO WiFi connected with the computer; (c) AMG8833 integrated with WiPy 3.0.
Table 2. Hardware and software platforms used in the intelligent lecturer tracking and capturing (ILTC) system.

| Hardware | Software |
|----------|----------|
| Arduino UNO WiFi | Arduino IDE 1.8.9 |
| Servo Motor MG996R | Pycharm in Python 3.6 |
| Logitech C170 webcam | |
| Adafruit AMG8833 IR thermal sensor | ATOM 1.37.0 |
| Pycro MiPy 3.0 | |

3.2. Qualitative Analysis

The key technologies of the ILTC system are human localization and tracking. Accordingly, we compared the pros and cons of the proposed system with the existing localization and tracking methods, as described in Table 3. There are two typical categories of the existing methods: device-based [10,12–15] and learning-based methods [26,27]. Some of the device-based methods require special and expensive devices. Further, most of them are the contact method and the non-real-time system. For state-of-the-art learning-based human localization and tracking methods, GPU is essential to achieve high accuracy and performance. Furthermore, abrupt and rapid movements cause tracking failures in the learning-based methods.

Table 3. Comparative Analysis among the existing localization and tracking methods.

| Technology | Pros | Cons |
|------------|------|------|
| Panoramic camera and WiFi [10] | Convenient construction and low cost | Distorted images, not suitable for great varying illumination and blurred face |
| Multi cameras [12] | Indoor and outdoor localizations under different time and weather condition | Selected places, multi cameras, contact devices and non-real-time system |
| Ultra wide band [13] | More robust time-delay localization | Contact devices and non-real-time system |
| Magnetic field and WiFi [14] | Convenient construction and high accuracy | Contact devices in a fixed body position |
| Accelerometer and optical receivers [15] | High accuracy | Sensitive to light noise, contact devices |
| Multi-domain convolutional neural networks [26] | Fast and accurate | GPU-only, fail to track object with abrupt or rapid movement |
| deep reinforcement learning [27] | Semi-supervised learning and high accuracy | 15 fps on GPU, fail to track object with abrupt or rapid movement |
| Camera, WiFi and IR thermal sensors (the proposed ILTC System) | Low cost, real-time stable performance, contactless devices and convenient construction | Temporary detecting failure |

Table 3 shows that the proposed method is the optimal scheme for lecturer tracking and capturing system in the compared methods, benefiting from low cost, real-time stable performance, contactless devices, and convenient construction. However, although switching between blocking and non-blocking modes and soft resetting were introduced to improve the stability of the ILTC system, the temporary detecting failure still occurred because of the limitations of the sensor performance. That is to say, the tracked object is lost temporarily. This may be due to two reasons: the low sampling rate of AMG8833 IR thermal sensors and the time-consuming procedure for soft resetting of the sensors to free up the memory. This topic is worth pursuing in future work.
3.3. Quantitative Analysis

To evaluate the accuracy of the ILTC system, \( Center\_rate \), the ratio of the number of the frames, in which the lecturer is in the center of the screen, and the number of all frames is calculated based on the following equation:

\[
Center\_rate = \frac{Center\_num}{Frame\_num},
\]

where \( Center\_num \) is the number of the frames, in which the lecturer is captured in the center of the screen. According to Section 2.2, if the horizontal offset between the lecturer and the screen center is less than 50 pixels, it implies that the lecturer is in the center of the screen. \( Frame\_num \) is the number of all frames in the video. When the lecturer moves frequently, there are lots of frames captured by the panning camera, so another ratio is considered as below.

\[
In\_rate = \frac{In\_num}{Frame\_num},
\]

\( In\_num \) is the number of the frames in which the lecturer appears on the screen. To illustrate the role of IR thermal sensors, the ratios are recalculated after removing the AMG8833 sensors from the ILTC system. Ten videos are recorded by the system without AMG8833 sensors. To compare with them, another ten videos are further recorded by the entire ILTC system. Table 4 gives the comparative result of the ratios in the two cases.

| Video | Entire System | Without AMG8833 |
|-------|---------------|-----------------|
|       | Frame_Num | Center_Rate (%) | In_Rate (%) | Frame_Num | Center_Rate (%) | In_Rate (%) |
| Video1 | 1705     | 55.72           | 83.28       | 1124     | 43.68           | 69.13       |
| Video2 | 2405     | 60.50           | 91.10       | 1215     | 41.07           | 71.77       |
| Video3 | 1928     | 59.02           | 85.53       | 1531     | 53.23           | 66.04       |
| Video4 | 1945     | 66.02           | 89.97       | 1693     | 52.22           | 66.69       |
| Video5 | 1999     | 63.08           | 86.99       | 2234     | 46.20           | 65.76       |
| Video6 | 2259     | 64.81           | 83.05       | 1978     | 49.80           | 66.73       |
| Video7 | 2181     | 58.28           | 84.09       | 2089     | 41.31           | 61.51       |
| Video8 | 2405     | 60.29           | 87.03       | 1355     | 48.63           | 65.17       |
| Video9 | 2086     | 65.00           | 92.14       | 1475     | 45.36           | 63.73       |
| Video10| 2401    | 63.81           | 86.30       | 1666     | 38.90           | 59.00       |
| Average| 2131     | 61.65           | 86.95       | 1636     | 46.04           | 65.55       |

As indicated in Table 4, \( Center\_rate \) in the entire system varies between 55.72% and 66.02%. \( In\_rate \) in the entire system varies between 83.05% and 92.14%. However, the values of these items in the system without AMG8833 sensors are much lower than the values in the entire system. As shown in the last row of Table 4, the average of \( Center\_rate \) of the entire system is greater than that of the system without AMG8833 sensors by 15.61%, and its \( In\_rate \) average is 21.40% greater. Consequently, the introduction of IR thermal sensors greatly improves the accuracy of the ILTC system.

A total of 20 videos are recorded by the entire ILTC system in two scenarios. The results of twenty videos are depicted in Table 5. The first seven videos are captured in the laboratory, and others are captured in the classroom. The average \( Center\_rate \) and \( In\_rate \) of the videos captured in two scenarios are calculated respectively. The total average of 20 videos is also calculated and shown in the last row of Table 5.

| Video | Entire System | Without AMG8833 |
|-------|---------------|-----------------|
|       | Frame_Num | Center_Rate (%) | In_Rate (%) | Frame_Num | Center_Rate (%) | In_Rate (%) |
| Video1 | 1705     | 55.72           | 83.28       | 1124     | 43.68           | 69.13       |
| Video2 | 2405     | 60.50           | 91.10       | 1215     | 41.07           | 71.77       |
| Video3 | 1928     | 59.02           | 85.53       | 1531     | 53.23           | 66.04       |
| Video4 | 1945     | 66.02           | 89.97       | 1693     | 52.22           | 66.69       |
| Video5 | 1999     | 63.08           | 86.99       | 2234     | 46.20           | 65.76       |
| Video6 | 2259     | 64.81           | 83.05       | 1978     | 49.80           | 66.73       |
| Video7 | 2181     | 58.28           | 84.09       | 2089     | 41.31           | 61.51       |
| Video8 | 2405     | 60.29           | 87.03       | 1355     | 48.63           | 65.17       |
| Video9 | 2086     | 65.00           | 92.14       | 1475     | 45.36           | 63.73       |
| Video10| 2401    | 63.81           | 86.30       | 1666     | 38.90           | 59.00       |
| Average| 2131     | 61.65           | 86.95       | 1636     | 46.04           | 65.55       |

As shown in Table 5, \( Center\_rate \) of the videos captured in the laboratory varies between 55.72% and 68.38%. \( In\_rate \) varies between 83.05% and 91.10%. \( Center\_rate \) of the videos captured in the classroom varies between 58.28% and 72.79%. \( In\_rate \) varies between 84.09% and 93.90%. The average \( Center\_rate \) of the videos recorded in the classroom is 2.26% greater than the laboratory, and the average \( In\_rate \) is 1.68% greater. In theory, the shorter the distance between the sensor and the ground, the higher the accuracy of the ILTC system. Taken altogether, seven out of twenty videos have \( Center\_rate \)
greater than 65% and six out of them have \( \text{In\_rate} \) greater than 90%. The average \( \text{Center\_rate} \) is 63.97%, and the average \( \text{In\_rate} \) is 88.20%.

| Video Captured in the Laboratory | Center_Num | In_Num | Frame_Num | Center_Rate (%) | In_Rate (%) |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------|----------------|------------|
| Video 1                          | 950        | 1420   | 1705      | 55.72          | 83.28      |
| Video 2                          | 1455       | 2191   | 2405      | 60.50          | 91.10      |
| Video 3                          | 1138       | 1649   | 1928      | 59.02          | 85.53      |
| Video 4                          | 1284       | 1750   | 1945      | 66.02          | 89.97      |
| Video 5                          | 1261       | 1739   | 1999      | 63.08          | 86.99      |
| Video 6                          | 1464       | 1876   | 2259      | 64.81          | 83.05      |
| Video 11                         | 1546       | 2030   | 2261      | 68.38          | 89.78      |
| Average                          | 1300       | 1808   | 2072      | 62.50          | 87.10      |

| Video Captured in the Classroom  | Center_Num | In_Num | Frame_Num | Center_Rate (%) | In_Rate (%) |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------|----------------|------------|
| Video 7                          | 1271       | 1834   | 2181      | 58.28          | 84.09      |
| Video 8                          | 1450       | 2093   | 2405      | 60.29          | 87.03      |
| Video 9                          | 1356       | 1922   | 2086      | 65.00          | 92.14      |
| Video 10                         | 1532       | 2072   | 2401      | 63.81          | 86.30      |
| Video 12                         | 2067       | 2773   | 2953      | 70.00          | 93.90      |
| Video 13                         | 2096       | 2742   | 3190      | 65.71          | 85.96      |
| Video 14                         | 1857       | 2460   | 2716      | 68.37          | 90.57      |
| Video 15                         | 2231       | 2784   | 3065      | 72.79          | 90.83      |
| Video 16                         | 1880       | 2482   | 2834      | 66.34          | 87.58      |
| Video 17                         | 1657       | 2446   | 2762      | 59.99          | 88.56      |
| Video 18                         | 2953       | 4060   | 4614      | 64.00          | 87.99      |
| Video 19                         | 2421       | 3679   | 3965      | 61.06          | 92.79      |
| Video 20                         | 2800       | 3651   | 4223      | 66.30          | 86.46      |
| Average                          | 1967       | 2692   | 3030      | 64.76          | 88.78      |

Total Average: 1733 2383 2695 63.97 88.20

3.4. Survey

In order to assess the practicality of the ILTC system, we surveyed 32 teachers from two universities including departments of mechanics, chemistry, electronics, management, and general education on three modes for recording online course videos described in Figure 1, which are:

1. Mode A: Capturing in office or professional studio.
2. Mode B: Capturing with static camera in classroom.
3. Mode C: Auto tracking and capturing in classroom.

The 32 teachers scored the four items of the three modes described on the survey questionnaire, using a 5-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree). The surveyed items include:

1. Acceptability: reasonable time and financial costs.
2. Simplicity: simple to operate, non-manually.
3. Appeal: helpful to bring out the enthusiasm of the lecturer.
4. Effectiveness: effective to satisfy the audience’s requirement.

For easy comparison between the three modes, the mean score is calculated on each item. As shown in Figure 8, all of the scores in mode C are greater than those of the other two modes, especially in terms of acceptability and simplicity. That is to say, most of the respondents considered that mode C has more reasonable costs than the other two modes. Meanwhile, they thought that Mode C was the simplest way to operate the capturing system among the compared modes. All modes were considered effective to satisfy the audience’s requirement. Of the survey respondents 37.5% had experience in recording course video in professional studios. All of them gave the lowest scores in acceptability to mode A for its high time and financial costs.
In order to further improve the accuracy of the ILTC system, learning-based tracking methods for abrupt and rapid movements and sensing technologies with low memory requirements can be considered as a topic for future research.
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