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Comparative analyses of diversity and similarity indices of west bank and block A forest of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) were carried out by the vegetation survey using transects and plot sampling techniques. Six transects \{(A \(270^\circ\)W), B \(90^\circ\)E), C \(180^\circ\)S), D, E \(0^\circ\)N), and F \(180^\circ\)W)\} were constructed with the aid of prismatic compass in west bank forest and block A forest. 10 sampling plots of 10m × 10m were demarcated along each transect making 30 plots in each forest, and a total number of 60 plots were used for the study. Complete enumeration and identification of plants were carried out in each plot. The results showed that block A forest had 167 plant species from 58 families while west bank forest had 146 plant species from 56 families. A total number of 219 plant species from 70 families and 5804 individual plants were recorded in the two forests. West bank forest had higher values of all the diversity indices and Gamma diversity except Margalef’s community diversity index and alpha diversity index which were high in block A forest. Sorensen’s and Jaccard similarity indices of plants between west bank forest and block A forest were 59.42% and 42.66%, while the dissimilarity index of 40.58% was recorded. Thus, the two forests are richer and diverse in plant species; adequate protection of the two forests should be a priority to prevent loss of diversity of plants. Cutting of poles from the forests should be stopped.

1. Introduction

Biodiversity is the totality of heritable variations or differences in characteristics that exist in all living things, individuals, and their species in various ecosystems in different parts of the earth [1]. According to the Convention on Biological Diversity [2], biodiversity is defined as “the variability among living organisms from all sources including \(\textit{inter alia}\), terrestrial, marine, and other aquatic ecosystems, and the ecological complexes of which they are a part of; this includes diversity within species, between species, and of ecosystems.” Moreover, biodiversity has become an increasingly popular topic within the discussion of sustainability in the last decade, though the maintenance of diversity of forest ecosystems is required since many years [3, 4]. This interesting topic was stressed especially in the Rio Declaration and renewed by the Lisbon Conference in 1998 [5]. Generally, biodiversity measurement typically focuses on the species level, and species diversity is one of the most important indices which are used for the evaluation of ecosystems at different scales [6]. Local diversity can be studied with various indices, such as number of species per unit area (species richness) or the Shannon index, amongst others. These are used as indicators of the degree of complexity of the under study communities and provide information on the homeostatic capacity of the system to unforeseen environmental changes [7].

Distinguishing plant communities has been at the heart of vegetation science for centuries, with a traditional focus on the distribution, composition, and classification of plant communities [8]. Plant communities are defined as an assemblage of functionally similar species populations that
Occur together in time and space [7]. Plant communities are separated from each other based on indicator species in combination with a distinctive floristic composition. The latter is considered as one of the major distinguishing characters of a community, and therefore, any depletion of biodiversity is bound to alter the community attributes [9, 10].

Biodiversity includes genetic diversity, species richness, and ecosystem diversity and assumes that these are interdependent and quantified through taxonomic inventories within specified areas [11, 12]. The number of different species within a geographical area depends on migration and adaptation to environmental conditions and how they in turn modify the environment [13, 14]. Biological conservation is concerned with the protection of living species including the sustainable use of soils and environmental resources [15]. Biologists have long realized the value of biodiversity to humanity, but local communities also value biodiversity in their own terms for “use” and traditional conservation systems exist [16, 17]. A range of species may have no direct value to people, but provide common ecological services to the wider community through tourism revenue and an ecosystem that is more sustainable.

The west bank and block A forests of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) are a mosaic of abandoned villages and farmland at various stages of forest regrowth. The plots that were under cultivation before the acquisition fifty three years ago are now mainly a thicket of Chromolaena odorata. It is interesting that although some tree saplings are now emerging through this undergrowth, there is so far little sign of the structure and diversity of the original primary forest. On the other hand, it is remarkable to note that many of the forest species of plants and animals have managed to survive or even flourish in this extended “bush fallow”. The natural vegetation in this area could be classified as tropical semideciduous forest with various pockets of vegetation types ranging from derived savanna, secondary forest, and riparian types. According to Ezealor [18], the area resembles mature Guinea-Congo lowland rainforest with scattered emergence of trees which include Ceiba pentadra, Milicia excelsa, and Terminalia species. Large clumps of bamboo (Bambusa vulgaris) are common; stands of Raphia vinifera are found along watercourses while scattered oil palms Elaeis guineensis grow in both low-lying and the relatively better-drained upland areas.

The west bank forest had been under active protection as an informal forest and nature reserve for some years while block A forest is open to villagers living at the adjoining villages of IITA perimeter fence for collection of Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs) such as firewood, bamboo, waterleaf, and palm kernel and also to IITA staff for collection of poles, pegs, and firewood for experimental field. Few authors have worked on the vegetation structural diversity and similarity index of west bank forest but no information is available on the comparative analysis of diversity and similarity indices of the two forests with the view of comparing the species composition, richness, diversity, and similarity of the two forests. It is against this backdrop this work was designed to compare the diversity and similarities indices of the two forests.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area. The study areas are the west bank and block A forests of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. IITA is located at longitude 7°30′8″N, latitude 3°54′37″E, and 243 m above sea level [19]. The site falls within humid tropical lowland region with two distinct seasons: the longer wet season and shorter dry season. The wet season lasts for eight months, and it extends from March to October while the dry season lasts for four months from November to February. The rainfall pattern is bimodal with an annual total which ranges from 1,300 to 1,500 mm most of which falls between May and September. The average daily temperature ranges between 21°C and 23°C, while the maximum is between 28°C and 34°C. Radiation is about 5285 MJ/m²/year. Mean relative humidity is in the range of 64% to 83% [19].

2.2. Data Collection. The method of data collection for this study was based on vegetation survey using transects and plot sampling techniques [20–26].

2.3. Transects and Plot Designs. Six transects {A (270°W), B (90°E), C (180°S), D, E (0°N), and F (180°W)} were constructed with the aid of prismatic compass in west bank forest and block A forest of IITA. The transects were established with minimal disruption to the environment and marked with flagging tape at every 10 m. Each transect was 500 m long. 10 sampling plots of 10 m by 10 m each were demarcated along each of the transect making a total number of 60 sampling plots (6 transects by 10 plots). A distance of 40 m was left between each of the plot to minimize repetition of plant species, while 20 m was left at the beginning and at the end of each transect as the border row to minimize edge effects (Figure 1). Complete enumeration and identification of plants were carried out in each plot. The identification of plant species was carried out with the assistance of a retired taxonomist from the Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria. Samples of plants that cannot be identified on the field were coded and taken to the Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan (FRIN) herbarium, for proper identification. The number of individuals of each species and the total number of plants were recorded. The geographical positioning system (GPS) was used to take the coordinates of the plots and transects; this was plotted on the GPS arc view to know the position of each transect within the two forests and to obtain the study location map (Figures 2 and 3).

2.4. Data Analysis. The data were analyzed using diversity indices and similarity indices.
2.4.1. Diversity Indices. Three levels of diversities have been distinguished. These are alpha, beta, and gamma diversities [27, 28]. Community studies vary in the number of species encountered in a sample (alpha diversity) and in the total number of species encountered in a study (gamma diversity) [28]. For the measurement of diversity, the Alpha (Simpson diversity index) and Beta (Sorensen similarities indices) diversities were used to determine and compare the plant species diversity of the two sites. The diversity indices of plant community were computed on the basis of density values or total number of individuals of the plants in all the transects and in west bank and block A forests. Seven different indices for describing the species diversity have been devised by various scientists:

(i) Simpson’s diversity index $D$ [29] was calculated using the following formula:

$$D = \frac{\sum ni (ni - 1)}{N(N - 1)}, \quad (1)$$

where $ni$ is the total number of each species and $N$ is the total number of all the species.

(ii) The Shannon and Weiner index ($H'$) was computed from the formula as modified by Shannon and Weiner [30]:

$$H' = -3.3219 \frac{\sum ni \log ni/N}{N \log (ni/N)}, \quad (2)$$

where $ni$ is the total number of each species and $N$ is the total number of all the species.

(iii) The evenness ($E$) was computed from Pielou’s index [31]:

$$E = \frac{H'}{\ln S}, \quad (3)$$

where $H'$ = Shannon and Weiner diversity and $\ln S$ is the natural log of the total number of species recorded.

(iv) Margalef’s community diversity index was calculated by using the formula given by Margalef [32]:

$$d = \frac{S - 1}{\log N}, \quad (4)$$

where $S$ = total number of species and $N$ = total number of individuals.

(v) The McIntosh diversity index was calculated using the formula given by McIntosh [33]:

$$DI = \sum \sqrt{S \cdot ni^2}, \quad (5)$$

where $S$ is the total number of species and $ni$ is the number of individual species.

(vi) The Menhinick diversity index was calculated by using the formula given by Menhinick [34]:

$$d = \frac{S}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad (6)$$

where $S$ is the total number of species and $N$ is the total number of individuals.

(vii) The concentration of dominance ($C_d$) was calculated by using the formula given by Simpson [29]:

$$C_d = \left(\frac{N_i}{N}\right)^2, \quad (7)$$

where $N_i$ = proportion of individual belonging to $i$th species and $N$ = total number of individuals.

2.4.2. Similarity Indices (SI). The similarity index determines the interspecific association between the species of plant communities. Sorensen similarity index [35] and Jaccard similarity index were used to determine and compare the similarities of plant species in transects $A$ and $B$, $A$ and $C$, $A$ and $D$, $A$ and $E$, $A$ and $F$, $B$ and $C$, $B$ and $D$, $B$ and $E$, $B$ and $F$, $C$ and $D$, $C$ and $E$, $C$ and $F$, $D$ and $E$, $D$ and $F$, $E$ and $F$, and SA and SB (site $A$: west bank forest and site $B$: block A forest) because the plots and transects are of equal sizes.

(viii) Sorensen’s species similarity index (SI) between the transects and the two sites was calculated according to Nath et. al. [36]:

$$SI = \left(\frac{2C}{(a + b)}\right) * 100, \quad (8)$$

where $C$ is the number of species in sites $a$ and $b$; $a$ and $b$ are the number of species in sites $a$ and $b$.

(ix) Jaccard similarity index ($C_j$) between the transects and the two sites was calculated following Magurran [37]:

$$C_j = \frac{a}{(a + b + c)}, \quad (9)$$
where \( a \) is the number of species common to both sites (site \( A \): west bank forest and site \( B \): block A forest), \( b \) is the number of species in site \( A \) not in site \( B \) (species in transect \( A \) not in transect \( B \)), and \( c \) is the number of species in site \( B \) not in site \( A \) (species in transect \( B \) not in transect \( A \)).

(x) Dissimilarity index (DI) is the reverse sequence of similarity index. It was calculated by the following formula:

\[
DI = 1 - SI, \tag{10}
\]

where \( SI \) is the similarity index.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Diversity Indices of Plant Community in the West Bank and Block A Forests. Species diversity is a useful parameter for the comparison of communities under the influence of biotic disturbances or to know the state of succession and stability in the community [38]. The diversity indices of plant community in all the transects are depicted in Table 1. The Simpson index value ranges from 0.00142 to 0.02260. Transect A had the highest plant diversity index of 0.00619, transects D and B had 0.00710 and 0.00858, respectively, and transects E and C had 0.01072 and 0.01198. Transect F had the least Simpson diversity index of 0.02260. It follows that transect A was richer in plant species than all other transects, followed by transects D, B, E, and C and lastly transect F. West bank forest consisting of transects A, B, and C was more richer in plant species with the value of 0.00215 index compared with block A forest (transects D, E, and F) which had a Simpson diversity index of 0.00931. The results of the two forests (west bank forest and block A forest) combined together gave a much higher Simpson diversity index of 0.00142. The Simpson diversity index was however low when compared with the value of over 0.90 obtained for the species-rich evergreen forests of the Western Ghats [39].

The Shannon–Weiner and evenness indices were high for transects A, D, and B with the values of 0.28947 and 0.14603, 0.30150 and 0.15142, and 0.31886 and 0.16198, respectively. Transects E and C had Shannon–Weiner and evenness indices in the order of 0.33962 and 0.16945, 0.34291 and 0.17221, while transect F had the least value of 0.41198 and 0.21587, respectively. West bank forest gave higher Shannon–Weiner and evenness indices of 0.20587 and 0.09512, and least values of 0.25042 and 0.11266 were recorded for block A forest. However, pooling the results of the two forest together gave a much higher value of 0.17840 and 0.07622, respectively.

Margalef’s community diversity index was higher for transects E, C, D, and A with the values of 33.48, 32.70, 31.66, and 30.80, respectively, while transects B and F had the lowest indices of 30.67 and 29.31. Block A forest was higher (48.46) in Margalef’s diversity index than west bank forest (41.47). Both forests combined gave Margalef’s diversity index of 57.92. The McIntosh diversity index recorded 11894.72, 11463.62, and 9758.43 for transects A, D, and E. Transects B, C, and F had indices of 9634.01, 9166.93, and 4824, respectively. West bank forest showed a higher McIntosh diversity index of 37928.69 than block A forest which had 34439.39. A value of 85891.36 index was observed for both west bank and block A forest.

Menhinick diversity index values of 2.75526, 2.87986, 2.94239, and 3.22048 were recorded for transects A, D, B, and C while 3.24124 and 3.49867 were for transects E and F. This index was high (2.60590) for west bank forest and low (3.23495) for block A forest. An index of 2.87462 was observed for both west bank and block A forest combined together. The concentration of dominance were high for transects A, D, B, and E with 0.00625, 0.00716, 0.00867, and 0.01082, respectively. Transects C and F had the least concentration dominance of 0.01120 and 0.02284. West bank forest and block A forest had 0.00216 and 0.00393, respectively. The two forests combined together had the concentration dominance of 0.00142. The results of these indices were higher than the findings of Ektà [38] which recorded average values of 0.44, 2.66, 0.32, 0.30, 11.05, and 3296.58 for Simpson diversity index, Shannon and Weiner diversity index, evenness index, concentration dominance, Margalef’s diversity index, and McIntosh diversity index for vegetation around sewage drains.

In terms of intraspecies (alpha diversity), transect E had the highest diversity (101 species), followed by transects C and D which had equal diversity of 98 species. Transects A, B, and D had alpha diversity of 96 species, 93 species, and 81 plant species, respectively. However, block A forest (transects D, E, and F) showed higher alpha diversity of 167 plant species from 58 families than west bank forest (transects A, B, and C) which had 146 plant species from 56 families. In terms of Gamma diversity (individual species), transect A recorded the highest diversity of 1214 plants followed by transects D (1158) and B (999) while transects C, E, and F had 971, 926, and 536 plants, respectively. West bank forest showed a higher gamma diversity of 3139 plants than block A forest which had 2665 plants. A total number of 219 plant species from 70 families and 5804 plants were recorded as alpha and gamma diversities for the two forests. West bank forest which had higher values of all the diversity indices and Gamma diversity except Margalef’s community diversity index and alpha diversity index could be due to the protection which the forest has undergone over period of years while increase in Margalef’s community diversity index and alpha index of block A forest could be due to dam and river which run through the side of the forest and floods which normally inundate the forest during the raining season from the outside fence. This creates riparian effect whereby there is enough moisture and favourable conditions for plant growth. According to Hengaveld [40], species diversity is an important attribute of a natural community that influences the functioning of an ecosystem. Ecosystem functioning according to De Groot et. al. [41] is the capacity of the natural process and components to provide goods and services that satisfy human needs, directly or indirectly. This simply implies that the higher the biodiversity, the more efficient the functioning of the ecosystem. Logging decreased the species diversity [42, 43]; since logging was not allowed in both west bank forest and block A forest, species diversity was expected to be high. However, the results from the two forests showed a high diversity of plant species despite the disturbance that had occurred in the areas such as illegal hunting, land clearing for experiment, construction of physical structure, and firewood, poles, and stake collection [24, 44]. Mishra et. al. [10] reported that moderately or slightly disturbed tropical forest ecosystem tends to support more number of plant species in comparison with a forest which is dense and undisturbed. According to Isehi [45], succession restores and increases the diversity of life in an area after disturbance. Also Ayodele and Lameed [1] stated that species diversity is well correlated with the annual amount of rainfall with the water areas tending to be rich in species diversity in the southern parts of Nigeria. The
abundance of plant species in the forest reserves may be due to the combined effects of favourable climatic conditions and protection from anthropogenic activities over many years which enhance regeneration.

3.2. Sorensen’s Similarity Indices of Plant Species in West Bank and Block A Forest. Table 2 shows Sorensen’s similarity indices of each transect and the two forests. Beta diversity which compares the similarity between transects as suggested by Wolda [20], Ariyo [44], and Ariyo et al. [24] showed that Sorensen’s similarity indices of each transect and the two forests varied from 70.90% to 48.45% for transects A and B, and A and D, respectively. Transects A and B had the highest similarity indices of 70.90% followed by transects B and C, and A and D which had 68.06% and 67.01%. Transects B, E, B and F, D and E, and A and E had 58.76%, 57.47%, 55.28%, and 54.82%, respectively. An equal value of 53.63% was recorded for transects C and F and D and F, while transects C and E, A and F had 53.27% and 53.12%, respectively. Sorensen’s similarity index between west bank forest and block A forest and between transect E and F was 59.42% and 52.75%. Transects B, D, C and D had close values of 51.31% and 51.02%, while transects A and D had the least similarity index of 48.45%. Transects A and B which had the highest percentage of Sorensen’s similarity indices (70.90%) are similar to each other in terms of plant species between the two transects. Transects A and B had 67 plant species in common, transect A had 96 plant species, while transect B had 93 plant species. Also, transect A had 28 plant species that were not found in transect B while transect B had 26 plant species that were not found in transect A. West bank forest and block A forest had 93 plant species in common, west bank had 146 plant species, while block A forest had 167 plant species. Sorensen’s similarity indices of plants between west bank forest and block A forest were high with a value of 59.42%. The result generally showed that Sorensen’s similarity indices of plants between all transects (apart from transects A and D), west bank forest, and block A forest were high, above 50%. Ariyo [44] and Ariyo et al. [24] found low similarities indices of plants less that 50% between the forests’ transect apart from transects B and C. According to Ekta [38], high similarity indices could be due to high moisture content in soil, comparatively low temperature, bright light, and higher organic content through humification which mostly bring about uniformity in the weather conditions which results in good plant growth.

3.3. Jaccard Similarity Indices of Plant Species in West Bank and Block A Forest. Jaccard similarity index of plant species on Table 3 shows that transects A and B, B and C, and A and C had values above 50% with transects A and B being the highest (55.37%); this was followed by transects B and C, and A and C which had 51.59% and 50.78%. Transects B and E, and B and E had low values of 40.32% and 40.14%, respectively, while values less than 40% were recorded for transects D and E, A and D, C and F, D and F, and A and F. West bank forest and block A forest recorded a Jaccard similarity index of 42.66%. West bank forest and block A forest had 93 plant species in common, west bank forest had 52 plant species that were not found in block A forest, while block A forest had 73 plant species that were not found in west bank forest.

3.4. Dissimilarity Index of Plant Species in West Bank and Block A Forest of IITA. The dissimilarity index which is a reverse sequence of similarity index as found on Table 4 was high for transects A and D with 51.55%. Transects B and E, A and C, A and E, A and F, B and C, B and D, B and F, C and E, C and F, D and E, F and E, and C and D had dissimilarity indices ranging between 41.24% and 48.98%. West bank forest and block A forest had a dissimilarity index

### Table 1: Diversity indices of plants community at west bank forest and block A forest of IITA

| Transects | n  | N  | No. of families | Simpson (D) | Shannon–Weiner (H) | Evenness (E) | Margalef’s (d) | Mc Intoch (DI) | Menhinick (d) | Concentration of dominance (C_D) |
|-----------|----|----|----------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| A         | 96 | 1214 | 0.00619 | 0.28947 | 0.14603 | 30.80 | 11894.72 | 2.75526 | 0.00625 |
| B         | 93 | 999  | 0.00858 | 0.31886 | 0.16198 | 30.67 | 9634.01 | 2.94239 | 0.00867 |
| C         | 98 | 926  | 0.01198 | 0.34291 | 0.17221 | 32.70 | 9166.93 | 3.22048 | 0.01120 |
| WBF       | 146 | 3139 | 0.00215 | 0.20587 | 0.09512 | 41.47 | 37928.68 | 2.60590 | 0.00216 |
| D         | 98 | 1158 | 0.00710 | 0.30150 | 0.15142 | 31.66 | 11463.62 | 2.87986 | 0.00716 |
| E         | 101 | 971  | 0.01072 | 0.33962 | 0.16945 | 33.48 | 9758.43 | 3.24124 | 0.01082 |
| F         | 81 | 536  | 0.02260 | 0.41198 | 0.21587 | 29.31 | 4824.00 | 3.49867 | 0.02284 |
| BAF       | 167 | 2665 | 0.00391 | 0.25042 | 0.11266 | 48.46 | 34439.39 | 3.23495 | 0.00393 |
| WBF and BAF | 219 | 5804 | 0.00142 | 0.17840 | 0.07622 | 57.92 | 85891.36 | 2.87462 | 0.00142 |

Source: computed from vegetation survey data, 2017.

### Table 2: Sorensen’s similarity index of plant species in west bank and block A forest of IITA

| Transect | A (%) | B (%) | C (%) | D (%) | E (%) | F (%) | BAF (%) |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| A        | *     | 70.90 | 67.01 | 48.45 | 54.82 | 53.12 | *       |
| B        | *     | 68.06 | 51.31 | 58.76 | 57.47 | *     |         |
| C        | *     | 51.02 | 53.27 | 53.63 | *     |       |         |
| D        | *     | 55.28 | 53.63 | *     |       |       |         |
| E        | *     | 52.75 | *     |       |       |       |         |
| F        | *     | *     |       |       |       |       | 59.42   |

Source: computed from vegetation survey data, 2017.
of 40.58% while the least value of 29.10% was recorded between transects A and B. According to Ekta [38], more or less uniform environmental conditions are revealed by higher value of similarity index; in contrast, lower value indicates distinct heterogeneity.

4. Conclusion and Recommendation

Based on the findings of the study, it can be concluded that there was high diversity of plants (both alpha and gamma diversity) from seventy families in the two forests. Block A forest had the highest number of species (intraspacific or alpha diversity) from fifty-eight families, while west bank forest was high in individual species (gamma diversity) from fifty-six families. Besides, west bank forest was high in all the diversity indices considered apart from alpha and Margalef’s diversity indices. It can also be concluded that the similarity indices of plants between west bank and block A forests were high for both Sorensen’s (above 50%) and Jaccard (above 40%).
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