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1 Introduction

General linear methods have been extensively studied for solving ODEs. Among the large family of general linear methods the diagonally implicit multistage integration methods (DIMSIMs) in [1] are the special cases, which exhibit considerable potential for efficient implementation, providing the global error of the same order as the local truncation error. In [2], it was demonstrated that finite difference methods for PDEs can be constructed such that their convergence rates, or the order of their global errors, are higher than the order of the truncation errors. Following this idea, Ditkowski and Gottlieb devised the error inhibiting strategy in [3] by inhibiting the lowest order term in the truncation error from accumulating over time and thus showed that the global error of the scheme is one order higher than the local truncation error. The form of the error inhibiting scheme is inspired by the work of [7], where a block of \( s \) new step values is obtained at each step. The key idea of this method is to construct a coefficient matrix that has the null space where the local truncation error resides.

In this work, we further improved the original error inhibiting method by introducing an additional free parameter used in the radial basis function
(RBF) approximations. The main idea of the proposed method is to adopt the free parameter in the reconstruction of the error inhibiting method and to control it for further possible error cancellations. This results in a higher order of convergence than the original method. One advantage is that the proposed method does not need any additional conditions, so it is efficient to implement.

The next section will review the explicit error inhibiting block one-step method. In Sect. 3, we will explain the RBF interpolation. In Sect. 4, we show how the new method can be derived followed by Sect. 5 where numerical results are provided verifying that the convergence rate of the proposed method is increased by one order. A brief conclusion and an outline of our future research are presented in Sect. 6.

2 Error Inhibiting Block One-Step Method

Consider the initial value problem for the first-order ODE below

\[
\begin{align*}
    u'(t) &= f(t, u(t)), \quad t \geq a \\
    u(a) &= u_a
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

where we assume \( f(t, u) \) is uniformly Lipschitz continuous in \( u \) and continuous in \( t \). We choose a value \( h \) for the step size and set \( t_n = a + nh \) a discrete sequence in the time domain. Denote the numerical approximation of the solution \( u(t_n) \) by \( v_n \).

Define the solution vector \( U_n \) by

\[
    U_n = \left[ u_{n+\frac{1}{s}}, \ldots, u_{n+\frac{s}{s}}, u_n \right]^T,
\]

where \( u_{n+\frac{j}{s}} = u(t_n + jh/s) \) is the exact solution at \( t = t_n + \frac{jh}{s} \) for \( j = 0, \ldots, s - 1 \). The corresponding approximation vector \( V_n \) is defined as

\[
    V_n = \left[ v_{n+\frac{1}{s}}, \ldots, v_{n+\frac{s}{s}}, v_n \right]^T.
\]

In [3], the scheme is formulated as

\[
    V_{n+1} = QV_n
\]  

(2)

where the operator \( Q \) is represented by the following

\[
    Q = A + hBf
\]
and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s}$. There are 4 sufficient conditions imposed on the matrices $A$ and $B$ in order to be error inhibiting:

1. $\text{rank}(A) = 1$.
2. The only non-zero eigenvalue of $A$ is 1 and its corresponding eigenvector is $[1, \cdots, 1]^T$.
3. $A$ can be diagonalized.
4. The matrices $A$ and $B$ are constructed such that when the local truncation error is multiplied by the discrete solution operator, we have

$$||Q\tau_v|| \leq O(h) \cdot ||\tau_v||.$$  

This is accomplished by requiring that the leading order term of the local truncation error is in the eigenspace of $A$ associated with the zero eigenvalue.

We derive those matrices of $A$ and $B$ with symbolic computation. As an example of the derivation of the error inhibiting method, we consider the construction of the scheme with $s = 2$. The solution vector is then

$$U_n = [u_{n+1/2}, u_n]^T,$$

and the corresponding approximation vector is given by

$$V_n = [v_{n+1/2}, v_n]^T.$$  

In order to satisfy those conditions listed above we first select

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \nu & \nu \\ 1 - \nu & \nu \end{bmatrix},$$

(3)

which can be diagonalized as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \nu & \nu \\ 1 - \nu & \nu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu - 1 & \nu \\ \nu - 1 & \nu - 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \nu \\ \nu - 1 & 1 - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(4)

Then conditions 1, 2 and 3 are satisfied. Further suppose that

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(5)
Then

\[ V_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \nu & \nu \\ 1 - \nu & \nu \end{bmatrix} V_n + h \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1/2} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix} \]

(6)

where \( f_{n+1/2} = f(t_{n+1/2}, v_{n+1/2}) \) and \( f_n = f(t_n, v_n) \). The components of \( V_{n+1} \) are

\[ v_{n+3/2} = (1 - \nu)v_{n+1/2} + \nu v_n + h(b_{11} f_{n+1/2} + b_{12} f_n), \]
\[ v_{n+1} = (1 - \nu)v_{n+1/2} + \nu v_n + h(b_{21} f_{n+1/2} + b_{22} f_n). \]

We write each difference equation in the form of error normalized by the step size and then insert the exact solutions to the ODE into the difference equation. Expanding \( u_{n+3/2}, u_{n+1} \) and \( u_{n+1/2} \) around \( t = t_n \) in Taylor series gives the local truncation error

\[ \tau_n = (\tau_{n+1/2}, \tau_n)^T, \]

where

\[ \tau_{n+1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(2 - 2b_{11} - 2b_{12} + \nu)u_n' + \frac{1}{8}(8 - 4b_{11} + \nu)u_n'' h + \frac{1}{48}(26 - 6b_{11} + \nu)u_n^{(3)} h^2 + O(h^3), \]

(7)

\[ \tau_n = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2b_{21} - 2b_{22} + \nu)u_n' + \frac{1}{8}(3 - 4b_{21} + \nu)u_n'' h + \frac{1}{48}(7 - 6b_{21} + \nu)u_n^{(3)} h^2 + O(h^3). \]

(8)

Vanishing the coefficients of the constant term and the term \( h \) in (7) and (8), and equating the quotient of the coefficient of the terms \( h^2 \) in (7) and (8) to \( \frac{\nu}{\nu-1} \), the condition 4 is satisfied.

Finally we have the desired scheme as in [3]

\[ V_{n+1} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 7 \\ -1 & 7 \end{bmatrix} V_n + \frac{h}{24} \begin{bmatrix} 55 & -17 \\ 25 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1/2} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}, \]

(9)

and correspondingly the local truncation error is 2nd order convergent as expected

\[ \tau_n = \frac{23}{576} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u_n^{(3)} h^2 + O(h^3). \]

(10)
3 RBF Interpolation

Now we briefly explain the RBF interpolation in one dimension. Suppose that for a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, a data set $\{(x_i, u_i)\}_{i=0}^N$ is given where $u_i$ is the value of the unknown function $u(x)$ at $x = x_i \in \Omega$. We use the RBFs $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\phi_i(x) = \phi(|x - x_i|, \epsilon_i)$, where $|x - x_i|$ is the distance between $x$ and $x_i$ and $\epsilon_i$ is a free parameter. The reconstruction of a function, $u(x)$, is then made by a linear combination of RBFs

$$I_N^R u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N \lambda_i \phi(|x - x_i|, \epsilon_i), \quad (11)$$

where $\lambda_i$ are the expansion coefficients to be determined. Using the interpolation condition $I_N^R u(x_i) = u_i, \quad i = 0, \cdots, N$, we could find the expansion coefficients $\lambda_i$ by solving the linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\phi(|x_0 - x_0|, \epsilon_0) & \phi(|x_0 - x_1|, \epsilon_1) & \cdots & \phi(|x_0 - x_N|, \epsilon_N) \\
\phi(|x_1 - x_0|, \epsilon_0) & \phi(|x_1 - x_1|, \epsilon_1) & \cdots & \phi(|x_1 - x_N|, \epsilon_N) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi(|x_N - x_0|, \epsilon_0) & \phi(|x_N - x_1|, \epsilon_1) & \cdots & \phi(|x_N - x_N|, \epsilon_N)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\lambda_0 \\
\lambda_1 \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_N
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
u_0 \\
u_1 \\
\vdots \\
u_N
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

If we choose the multiquadric RBF with all the free parameters equal, then the interpolation matrix, $A$, becomes a symmetric matrix with all diagonal entries 1,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2(x_0 - x_1)^2} & \cdots & \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2(x_0 - x_N)^2} \\
\sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2(x_1 - x_0)^2} & 1 & \cdots & \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2(x_1 - x_N)^2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2(x_N - x_0)^2} & \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2(x_N - x_1)^2} & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

(13)

Consider the case of three equally spaced nodes $x_0, x_1, x_2$ with $x_0 < x_1 < x_2$. Let $h$ be the grid spacing. Then the linear system becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2 h^2} & \sqrt{1 + 4\epsilon^2 h^2} \\
\sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2 h^2} & 1 & \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2 h^2} \\
\sqrt{1 + 4\epsilon^2 h^2} & \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2 h^2} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\lambda_0 \\
\lambda_1 \\
\lambda_2
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
u_0 \\
u_1 \\
u_2
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

(14)
By the closed-form expression for the RBF interpolant in [4],

$$I_2^R u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{u_i}{\text{det}(A)} \cdot \text{det}(A_i(x)).$$  \hfill (15)

where $A_i(x)$, a $3 \times 3$ matrix, is obtained by replacing the $i$th row of $A$ with the row vector

$$\left[ \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2(x - x_0)^2} \quad \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2(x - x_1)^2} \quad \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2(x - x_2)^2} \right].$$

Differentiating the interpolant, we obtain the first-order derivative

$$\frac{d}{dx} I_2^R u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{u_i}{\text{det}(A)} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} \text{det}(A_i(x)).$$  \hfill (16)

We then estimate the derivative of $u$ at $x = x_1$ as we do in polynomial interpolation for the central difference formula:

$$\frac{d}{dx} I_2^R u(x_1) = \sqrt{1 + 4\epsilon^2 h^2 + 1} \frac{u_2 - u_0}{4h\sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2 h^2}}.$$  \hfill (17)

By employing the Taylor expansion of the quotient on the right-hand side of (17), we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} I_2^R u(x_1) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon^2 \frac{h}{4} + O(h^3) \right] (u_2 - u_0).$$  \hfill (18)

The main feature of the RBF method is that it contains a free parameter, $\epsilon$, which we could make use of to further inhibit the errors. In the following section, we will show that using the parameter $\epsilon$ coupled with $h^p$ terms, where $p \geq 2$, we can increase the order of local truncation error and further promote the order of global error by adopting the error inhibiting scheme.

### 4 Construction of the Adaptive Error Inhibiting Scheme

Following the main feature of the RBF method explained in the preceding section, we try to establish a similar explicit block one-step scheme that provides a higher order of convergence by adding one more block of the free parameters $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$.
coupled with $h^p$ term. With $p = 3$, we have

$$V_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \nu & \nu \\ 1 - \nu & \nu \end{bmatrix} V_n + h \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1/2} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix} + h^3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon_1 \\ 0 & \epsilon_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1/2} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

We measure the one-step error normalized by the step size as in Sect. 2. Expanding $u_{n+3/2}$, $u_{n+1}$ and $u_{n+1/2}$ around $t = t_n$ in Taylor series again yields the local truncation error

$$\tau_n = [\tau_{n+1/2}, \tau_n]^T,$$

where

$$\tau_{n+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} (2 - 2b_{11} - 2b_{12} + \nu) u_n' + \frac{1}{8} (8 - 4b_{11} + \nu) u_n'' h + \left( -\epsilon_1 u_n' + \frac{1}{48} (26 - 6b_{11} + \nu) u_n^{(3)} \right) h^2 + \frac{1}{384} (80 - 8b_{11} + \nu) u_n^{(4)} h^3 + O(h^4),$$

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{2} (1 - 2b_{21} - 2b_{22} + \nu) u_n' + \frac{1}{8} (3 - 4b_{21} + \nu) u_n'' h + \left( -\epsilon_2 u_n' + \frac{1}{48} (7 - 6b_{21} + \nu) u_n^{(3)} \right) h^2 + \frac{1}{384} (15 - 8b_{21} + \nu) u_n^{(4)} h^3 + O(h^4).$$

Annihilating the first two terms in (20) and (21), and equating the quotient of the coefficient of the terms $h^3$ in (20) and (21) to $\frac{\nu}{\nu-1}$, we have the scheme

$$V_{n+1} = \frac{1}{7} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 8 \\ -1 & 8 \end{bmatrix} V_n + \frac{h}{28} \begin{bmatrix} 64 & -20 \\ 29 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1/2} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix} + h^3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon_1 \\ 0 & \epsilon_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1/2} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

We can easily check that the scheme (22) satisfies those four conditions in Sect. 2. Further annihilating the coefficients of the term $h^2$, we get the optimal values of $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$:

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{47 u_n^{(3)}}{168 u_n'}, \quad (23)$$

$$\epsilon_2 = \frac{9 u_n^{(3)}}{224 u_n'}. \quad (24)$$
Our new scheme has the truncation error

\[ \tau_n = \frac{55}{2688} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] u_n^{(4)} h^3 + O(h^4). \]  

(25)

Note that in our new scheme, we need the value of \( u_n^{(3)} \) at each step. This higher order derivative can be computed by repeated differentiation of the function \( f \) on the right-hand side of (1) twice. However, we choose to estimate the third-order derivative. For \( u_n' \), we use the given condition from (1), i.e. \( u'(t) = f(t, u(t)) \).

For the third-order derivative \( u_n^{(3)} \), we employ the second-order central difference formula for \( f''(t, u(t)) \) at \( t = t_n \) as

\[ u_n^{(3)} = f''(t_n, u_n) \approx \frac{4(f_{n+1/2} + 2f_n - f_{n-1/2})}{h^2}, \]  

(26)

where \( f_{n+1/2}, f_n \) and \( f_{n-1/2} \) are given values. For this computation, no additional conditions are necessary. The truncation error is still third order accurate, \( O(h^3) \), as in (25), so by the error inhibiting strategy we end up with a global error that is \( O(h^4) \), which will soon be confirmed in the following section.

We conclude this section with a comparison of three methods. For DIMSIM of type 3,

\[ \begin{bmatrix} v_{n+2} \\ v_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -3 \\ 7 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n+1} \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} + \frac{h}{8} \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -7 \\ -3 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} v_{n+3/2} \\ v_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 \\ -1 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n+1/2} \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} + \frac{h}{24} \begin{bmatrix} 55 & -17 \\ 25 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1/2} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}, \]

two steps \( v_n \) and \( v_{n+1} \) are employed to update the step \( v_{n+1} \) and obtain the step \( v_{n+2} \).

For error inhibiting scheme,

\[ \begin{bmatrix} v_{n+3/2} \\ v_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{7} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 8 & 0 \\ -1 & 8 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n+1/2} \\ v_n \\ v_{n-1/2} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{h}{168} \begin{bmatrix} 572 & -496 & 188 \\ 201 & -48 & 27 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1/2} \\ f_n \\ f_{n-1/2} \end{bmatrix}, \]

we use previous three steps \( v_{n-1/2}, v_n \) and \( v_{n+1/2} \) to evolve the next two steps \( v_{n+1} \) and \( v_{n+3/2} \). In [5] the stability analysis has been done for the adaptive radial basis function methods for IVPs and it has been shown that some adaptive methods have a
better stability condition than the original ones. However, it seems that the adaptive error inhibiting method is more computationally expensive than the original one when the approximation of (26) is used.

5 Numerical Results

We start with the nonlinear first-order differential equation used in [3]

\[
\begin{align*}
u' &= -u^2, \quad t \geq 0 \\
u(0) &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]  

(27)

The exact solution of the example is \( u(t) = 1/(t+1) \). The left figure of Fig. 1 shows the global errors at the time \( t = 1 \) versus \( N \), the number of steps, in logarithmic scale for the type-3 DIMSIM (blue), the original error inhibiting scheme (red) and our proposed method (green). As seen in the figure, our proposed method is the most accurate among those three methods and yields high order convergence which is 4th order. Table 1 shows the convergence with \( N \) for (27). The type-3 DIMSIM yields the 2nd order accuracy, the original error inhibiting scheme yields the 3rd order accuracy and our proposed method yields the 4th order accuracy.

Next we consider the following problem used in [6] where the solution changes rapidly between \([-2, 2]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
u' &= -4t^3 u^2, \quad t \geq -10 \\
u(-10) &= 1/10001.
\end{align*}
\]  

(28)

![Fig. 1](image)

**Fig. 1** Global error versus \( N \) in logarithmic scale. Left: (27). Right: (28). Blue: DIMSIM (DIMSIM\(^3\)) 2nd order. Red: error inhibiting scheme (EIS) 3rd order. Green: our proposed method (EIS with \( h^3 \)) 4th order.
Table 1 Global error and order of convergence for $u' = -u^2$ with $u(0) = 1$

| Method                        | N   | Global error | order |
|-------------------------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| DIMSIM type-3                 | 10  | 6.60E−3      |       |
|                               | 20  | 1.60E−3      | 2.0702|
|                               | 40  | 3.82E−4      | 2.0402|
|                               | 80  | 9.41E−5      | 2.0208|
|                               | 160 | 2.34E−5      | 2.0105|
|                               | 320 | 5.82E−6      | 2.0053|
| Error inhibiting scheme       | 10  | 2.17E−4      |       |
|                               | 20  | 2.89E−5      | 2.9118|
|                               | 40  | 3.73E−6      | 2.9536|
|                               | 80  | 4.74E−7      | 2.9763|
|                               | 160 | 5.97E−8      | 2.9880|
|                               | 320 | 7.50E−9      | 2.9940|
| Error inhibiting scheme with $h^3$ term | 10  | 2.71E−5      |       |
|                               | 20  | 2.24E−6      | 3.5935|
|                               | 40  | 1.64E−7      | 3.7781|
|                               | 80  | 1.11E−8      | 3.8833|
|                               | 160 | 7.22E−10     | 3.9400|
|                               | 320 | 4.61E−11     | 3.9698|

The exact solution is $u(t) = 1/(t^4 + 1)$. The right figure of Fig. 1 shows the global errors at $t = 0$ versus $N$ in logarithmic scale for the type-3 DIMSIM (blue), the original error inhibiting method (red) and our proposed method (green). We verify again that our proposed method is indeed the most accurate and yields the highest order of convergence. Table 2 shows the convergence with $N$ for (28). Although the type-3 DIMSIM does not reveal the 2nd order accuracy in the beginning, it eventually exhibits the order of accuracy as expected. The original error inhibiting scheme is 3rd order accurate and our proposed method 4th order accurate.

6 Conclusions

In this note, we modified and improved the original error inhibiting block one-step method proposed in [3] by introducing a free parameter. By exploiting the parameter, the local truncation error is further reduced resulting in higher order of the global error. It is numerically demonstrated that, with the proposed method, the local truncation error is of the 3rd order and the global error of the 4th order. As mentioned in Sect. 4, we will investigate the stability of the error inhibiting method and our proposed method as well as relaxing the fourth constraint in error inhibiting method in our future research.
Table 2  Global error and order of convergence for $u' = -4t^3u^2$ with $u(-10) = 1/10001$

| Method                        | N   | Global error | Order  |
|-------------------------------|-----|--------------|--------|
| DIMSIM type-3                 | 200 | 9.05E−1      |        |
|                               | 400 | 7.24E−1      | 0.3221 |
|                               | 800 | 4.07E−1      | 0.8293 |
|                               | 1600| 1.49E−1      | 1.4476 |
|                               | 3200| 4.24E−2      | 1.8158 |
|                               | 6400| 1.10E−2      | 1.9475 |
| Error inhibiting scheme       | 200 | 1.86E−1      |        |
|                               | 400 | 2.80E−2      | 2.7294 |
|                               | 800 | 3.60E−3      | 2.9639 |
|                               | 1600| 4.50E−4      | 2.9965 |
|                               | 3200| 5.63E−5      | 3.0002 |
|                               | 6400| 7.03E−6      | 3.0005 |
| Error inhibiting scheme with $h^3$ term | 200 | 1.14E−2      |        |
|                               | 400 | 6.57E−4      | 4.1132 |
|                               | 800 | 3.94E−5      | 4.0620 |
|                               | 1600| 2.41E−6      | 4.0307 |
|                               | 3200| 1.49E−7      | 4.0123 |
|                               | 6400| 9.91E−9      | 3.9122 |
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