Frog Virus 3 dissemination in the brain of tadpoles, but not in adult *Xenopus*, involves blood brain barrier dysfunction
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While increasing evidence points to a key role of monocytes in amphibian host defenses, monocytes are also thought to be important in the dissemination and persistent infection caused by ranavirus. However, little is known about the fate of infected macrophages or if ranavirus exploits immune privileged organs, such as the brain, in order to establish a reservoir. The amphibian *Xenopus laevis* and Frog Virus 3 (FV3) were established as an experimental platform for investigating *in vivo* whether ranavirus could disseminate to the brain. Our data show that the FV3 infection alters the BBB integrity, possibly mediated by an inflammatory response, which leads to viral dissemination into the central nervous system in *X. laevis* tadpole but not adult. Furthermore, our data suggest that the macrophages play a major role in viral dissemination by carrying the virus into the neural tissues.

The alarming increase in host range and amphibian mortality caused by ranaviruses (*Iridoviridae*) worldwide raises practical concerns about biodiversity and aquaculture, but also poses fundamental issues related to the evolution of host/pathogen interactions. Ranaviruses, such as Frog virus 3 (FV3), are large double-stranded DNA viruses that infect fish, amphibians and reptiles. Importantly, ranaviruses are capable of crossing species barriers among ectothermic vertebrates, suggesting that these pathogens possess potent immune evasion mechanisms. Furthermore, although some species are highly susceptible to ranavirus, others are relatively resistant and can serve as asymptomatic carriers that disseminate infectious virus, suggesting host specific factors which determine the course of infection.

Recent studies suggest a wider dissemination of FV3 through susceptible host species and, more specifically, within tadpoles compared to adult of the same species. In addition, FV3 can persist quiescently in asymptomatic hosts. The precise mechanisms of ranavirus dissemination within the host are still unclear. In this regard, we have proposed that the dissemination of FV3 may occur due to trafficking of infected macrophages into distal end-organs and tissues. Interestingly, although ranavirus and FV3 in particular have been detected in various organs, including the kidneys, liver, intestine and spleen, the presence of infectious virus in the brain has not been reported. The brain is an immune privileged tissue, and so may provide a relatively safe environment for FV3 to establish a reservoir. Furthermore, FV3 infections are associated with inflammation that may cause tissue damage in tadpoles irrespective of viral load, and such inflammation may compromise the blood brain barrier (BBB), which protects the brain from the rest of the body. In mammals, some viruses such as HIV can nevertheless bypass the BBB and infect brain tissues. In addition, inflammation associated with viral infection has been shown to disrupt BBB integrity and allow leukocytes to penetrate the brain and cause damage.

Although little is known about the BBB function in anuran amphibians, some studies suggest that it may be under-developed in tadpoles compared to adults. Since in *Xenopus* tadpoles, as compared to adults, FV3 is not efficiently contained in the kidney (the main site of infection in *Xenopus*), it was thought to ask if the brain of tadpoles is uniquely susceptible to FV3 infection.

**Results**

**Dissemination of FV3 in the brain of *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles.** Although FV3 infects a wide variety of organs in animals following viral exposure, its dissemination to end organs, such as the brain, is unclear,
particularly at the earliest stages of infection. In the present study we sought to determine whether FV3 dissemi-
nates into the brain of \textit{Xenopus laevis} adults and tadpoles during the first week of infection. We chose to analyze
viral spread in the brain at this time point, as it corresponds to the peak of FV3 infection and the corresponding
immune response in both \textit{X. laevis} tadpoles and adults\textsuperscript{23,24}, and other viruses, such as HIV , are known to dissem-
nitate into the brain at the peak of viremia\textsuperscript{25,26}. Accordingly, we harvested brain tissue from tadpoles and adults at
1 to 6 d.p.i. with FV3, and then assessed the presence of viral DNA by PCR. In several independent experiments
FV3 DNA was consistently detected in tadpole brains at 6 d.p.i (Fig. 1A). Compared to tadpole kidneys the FV3
genome copy number in the brain was on average two orders of magnitude lower. However, the virus load was
reliably found in all the brain samples tested and is likely to be the result of active viral replication since infectious
particles were also detected in brain by plaque assay (Fig. 1B). In addition, FV3 was found to be transcriptionally
active in tadpoles, as shown by the higher levels of vDNA Pol II gene expression in prominent regions of the brain
(Fig. 1C). Additionally, the increased expression of several pro-inflammatory genes, including TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-1\(\beta\), and
\textit{type I IFN}, in the brain of tadpoles (Fig. 1D) further implicates active viral replication. In contrast to tadpoles,
however, FV3 was not detected in adult brain during the first week of infection (Fig. 1E), and there was no signif-
icant increase in pro-inflammatory gene expression in adult brain tissues (data not shown).

We have shown previously that the inflammatory response in the peritoneal cavity and kidney during FV3
infection is associated with the infiltration of myeloid lineage cells that are positive for the macrophage-specific
marker HAM56 and express a higher level of MHC class II molecules\textsuperscript{12}. Therefore, we asked whether a similar
cellular response could be detected in the brains of infected tadpoles. Indeed, a high number of HAM56-positive
cells were detected in the brain parenchyma of tadpoles at 6 d.p.i., whereas in uninfected tadpoles only a low num-
ber of HAM56-positive cells were observed (Fig. 2). Consistent with these results, an increased number of MHC
class II-positive cells were also found in the brain of infected tadpoles as compared to uninfected control animals.
Interestingly, and in agreement with the increased pattern of pro-inflammatory gene expression, we noted that the accumulation of macrophage-like cells was more prominent in the mid-brain region.

**Effect of FV3 infection on BBB function in X. laevis tadpoles.** To understand better how FV3 enters the brain, the functional integrity of the BBB in X. laevis tadpoles before and after infection with FV3 was investigated. Further, it was asked if the BBB is fully functional at this stage of development in tadpoles. A well-known assay with sodium fluorescein (NaF), which is a dye of small molecular weight (376 daltons) that can only cross the barrier paracellularly once it has been compromised\(^\text{27-29}\), was adapted for use in X. laevis. Pre-metamorphic tadpoles (3 weeks-old, stage 55) were injected with NaF either peripherally (i.p.) or directly into the brain, and its diffusion within a few hours across the barrier was examined by fluorescence microscopy. No leakage of NaF was detected in any combination (Fig. 3A,B; also see Fig. 4A for a view of the tadpole brain at low magnification), indicating that the BBB at this developmental stage is fully functional. To further confirm this notion, the BBB was disrupted by administration of mannitol (1.36 M) in tadpoles. This reagent has been previously shown to compromise the integrity of the BBB for a short time by altering osmotic pressure across the neurovascular unit in mammals\(^\text{30,31}\). As expected, within a few minutes of exposure to mannitol, extensive leakage of the NaF was detected in tadpoles (Fig. 3C,D). As shown in Fig. 4B, it appears that BBB leakage mainly occurs in the midbrain section where large blood vessels are located. These results suggest that the BBB is fully functional in tadpoles at stage 55.

Given the evidence that the BBB is fully functional in tadpoles at stage 55, we next assessed whether the BBB was compromised during FV3 infection. Tadpoles infected for 6 days were injected with NaF peripherally or in the brain. Compared to uninfected controls, infected tadpoles exhibited significant diffusion of NaF across the barrier indicative of BBB dysfunction (Fig. 5). Eight of nine infected tadpoles from 2 different experiments exhibited evidence of BBB leakage (Fig. 5C–E), whereas no uninfected tadpoles (n = 9) showed any sign of disruption (Fig. 5A,B). Interestingly, leakage in infected tadpoles appeared localized in discrete areas in the region between the forebrain and the midbrain (Fig. 5C–E, white arrows). To determine whether this phenomenon was associated with certain venules, tadpoles were injected with Texas Red Dextran (Fig. 4B).
Infiltration of FV3-infected peritoneal leukocytes into the brain of X. laevis tadpoles.

Previous studies in X. laevis have shown that FV3 particles are not typically present in the blood except during uncontrolled systemic infection. In contrast, peritoneal leukocytes (PLs) are readily infected by FV3 in tadpoles. We...
have postulated that these cells, especially monocytes, can contribute to dissemination of ranavirus to different organs. This possibility was evaluated by conducting adoptive cell transfer experiments using the *X. laevis* MHC homozygous inbred strain J (j/j). Specifically, we asked whether adoptively transferred fluorescently labeled PLs could cross the BBB in tadpoles during FV3 infection.

We collected PLs from adult J frogs that were stimulated with heat killed *E. coli*. Under these conditions, the PL population is primarily constituted by macrophages (75%) as previously shown. These PLs were sham- or FV3-infected *in vitro* and cultured overnight. PL cultures were then labeled with PKH26 fluorescent membrane dye before adoptive transfer by intracardiac puncture into syngeneic tadpole recipients that were either uninfected or infected for 6 days with FV3. Infiltration of adoptively transferred cells in whole mount brain was monitored 24 h later by fluorescence microscopy on intact brain taking advantage of tadpole transparency (Fig. 6A,B). Observation of infiltrated cells at higher magnification confirmed their penetration into the brain parenchyma rather than in vascular circulation (Fig. 6C,D). In three independent experiments involving a total of 10 tadpole recipients for each group, large numbers of adoptively transferred PLs were found to infiltrate neural tissues in infected tadpole recipients as compared with their uninfected counterparts (Fig. 7A). Although it did not reach statistical significance because of individual variability, there was a trend toward increased infiltration of non-infected PLs in the brain of infected tadpoles consistent with the detection of large number of HAM56-positive cells found in infected tadpoles (Fig. 2).

Additional experiments were performed in which we infected, *in vitro*, the PLs derived from adult frogs and injected these cells into tadpoles that were either uninfected or infected for 6 d.p.i. with FV3. The migration of adoptively transferred PLs into the brain was measured as outlined above. As shown in Fig. 7A, a significantly higher number of infected PLs were found to transmigrate into the brain as compared to uninfected PLs. This pattern was more dramatic when the infected PLs were adoptively administered into the infected animals, suggesting that this may be a potential mechanism through which infectious virus gains entry into the brain. This notion was further confirmed in a parallel set of experiments in which FV3 copy number in brain specimens was measured by performing qPCR, and was also found to be increased dramatically (Fig. 7B).

Taken together, the data suggest that the PLs, possibly macrophages, harboring FV3 mediate viral entry into the tadpole brain by crossing the BBB and that the inflammation associated with FV3 infection may potentiate this phenomenon.

**Discussion**

Increasing evidence points to a central role of monocytes in amphibian host defense as well as in the dissemination and persistence of ranavirus. Here, we show that in *X. laevis* tadpoles FV3 infection alters the BBB integrity, possibly via triggering an inflammatory response, which leads to viral dissemination into the tadpole central nervous system. Furthermore, our data suggest that the macrophages play a major role in viral dissemination by carrying the virus into the neural tissues.

The presence of an effective BBB in adult frogs is well documented structurally and functionally. However, it is not clear how early during the amphibian development the BBB matures and how efficiently it functions in anuran tadpoles. Some studies have been reported in zebrafish larvae, which suggest that the zebrafish...
BBB matures between 3 to 10 days post-fertilization (d.p.f.) and that this barrier shares both structural and functional similarities with that of mammals. Our results indicate that the BBB is already mature enough to prevent leakage of NaF in immunocompetent *X. laevis* tadpoles at the pre-metamorphic developmental stage (stage 55). These results are consistent with recent findings in which the expression of claudin-5 and ZO-1 has been detected in brain postcapillary venules of zebrafish larva as early as 2 to 3 d.p.f. Analogous to our studies, these and other reports have employed fluorescent tracers to demonstrate that the size dependent exclusion occurs around 3 d.p.f. of zebrafish larvae. However, size exclusion seems to occur only in certain brain microvessels of the zebrafish larvae at this age, while others are still "leaky". In contrast to this, our results suggest that the *X. laevis* tadpoles possess tightly controlled BBB at stage 55, and the leakage of fluorescent tracer NaF following FV3 infection (6 d.p.i.) occurs mainly in the midbrain section where large blood vessels are located. In mammals, the BBB gradually matures during development, with permeability to small molecules decreasing with age. Interestingly, as in mammals, we found that the osmotic diuretic mannitol rapidly disrupts the BBB in tadpoles, which could be easily visualized owing to the transparency of these animals.

Although the kidney is the main site of viral replication, FV3 dissemination into other organs is more prominent in *X. laevis* tadpoles than in adults where the infection is rapidly controlled and cleared. Besides liver, spleen and lung, we show here that FV3 can also invade the central nervous system of tadpoles, which is not the case in adults. The detection of viral transcription and the increased expression of inflammatory genes in tadpole brain tissue suggest the presence of active viral infection. The spreading of FV3 infection into multiple tissues, including some more remote for an efficient immune response may in part explain why *X. laevis* tadpoles are unable to control FV3 and typically succumb from infection. It is intriguing that FV3 does not infect *X. laevis* adult brain despite its persistence in a quiescent state in the kidney and in macrophages of asymptomatic animals. It is possible that inflammation is better controlled (i.e. more localized and more short-lived) in adults than tadpoles, which in turn may minimize the negative impact on the BBB. Alternatively, the BBB in adult may be more efficient in preventing macrophage transmigration. Further investigation of developmental differences in BBB structure and function will be needed to resolve this issue.

Increasing evidence indicates that higher susceptibility of tadpoles to ranavirus infection is not limited to *X. laevis*. However, the increased susceptibility of tadpoles is not simply due to a lack of immune response but likely involves strategies employed by ranavirus to evade and counteract host immune responses. For example, although *X. laevis* tadpoles mount a timely and robust type III IFN-1 response, it is efficiently overcome by FV3 possibly via a rapid down regulation of the IFN-1 receptors. Our present study further suggests that FV3 may...
take advantage of the mobility of macrophages and the inflammation elicited during infection to disseminate throughout the organism, including to the central nervous system. Our adoptive transfer experiments indicate that the disrupted BBB of infected tadpoles is more permissive to the infiltration of PLs. Also interesting is the fact that the infected PLs are able to infiltrate the brain more efficiently than uninfected PLs, which suggests a strong possibility that the inflammatory factor released by these cells may promote their passage through the BBB. This may be analogous to the transmigration of PLs observed in models of other viral infections, including HIV-1.47–49.

Disruption of the BBB and dissemination of viral infection is an active research field in mammals for HIV-22,49 and other viruses.50–52. As such, the *X. laevis* tadpole may provide a useful new model system to investigate the modalities of this phenomenon. The ease by which the BBB integrity can be assessed by simple microscopic observation is an attractive feature of *X. laevis* tadpole. Its transparency, relative small size and resilience to room temperature, as well as the accessibility of the central nervous system for experimentation, and the relative low cost for testing large numbers of individuals, make *X. laevis* tadpole an ideal model for intravital investigation of the BBB.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals.** Outbred (OB) young adults (2 years old) and pre-metamorphic tadpoles (stage 54–56/3 weeks-old), as well as MHC homozygous inbred strain J (j/j) pre-metamorphic J tadpoles and young adult frogs were obtained from our *X. laevis* research resource for immunobiology at the University of Rochester (https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/microbiology-immunology/xenopus-laevis.aspx).

Experiments involving tadpoles and frogs were carried out according to the Animal Welfare Act from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), the Public Health Service Policy (A-3292-01) and the Public Health Act of New York State. Any discomfort was minimized at all time. Animal care and all the protocols have been reviewed and approved by the University of Rochester Committee on Animal Resources (Approval number 100577/2003-151).

**Cell lines, FV3 Stocks and Animal Infections.** Baby hamster kidney-21 cells (BHK-21; ATCC no. CCL-10) were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen), penicillin (100 U/mL) and streptomycin (100 μg/mL) with 5% CO2 at 37 °C. FV3
was grown by a single passage on BHK-21 cells, purified by ultracentrifugation on a 30% sucrose gradient and quantified by plaque assay on BHK-21 monolayers under an overlay of 1% methylcellulose

Tadpoles were infected by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection with 1 \times 10^6 PFU of FV3 in 10 μL volume using a glass Pasteur pipette whose small end had been pulled in a flame. Adult frogs were infected by i.p. injection with 1 \times 10^5 PFU of FV3 in 100 μL volume using a 1 mL sterile syringe. After 1 day post infection (d.p.i.), both tadpoles and adult frogs were euthanized by immersion in 1% tricaine methane sulfonate (TMS-222) buffered with bicarbonate.

**Sodium Fluorescein (NaF) assays.** Uninfected tadpoles were injected i.p. with 1 μg/mL (in a total volume of 10 μL) green fluorescent tracer NaF in absence or presence of mannitol (1.36 M in a total volume of 5 μL, also injected i.p.). In this case mannitol was used as a positive control that is known to disrupt BBB osmotically

At different time points, tadpoles (0 and 6 d.p.i.) or adult frogs (0, 1, 3 and 6 d.p.i.) were mock-infected with the same amount of amphibian phosphate-buffered saline (APBS). In parallel, some uninfected tadpoles received microinjection of NaF and mannitol directly in the brain. Briefly this was done by injection either in the brain by microinjection System, Harvard Apparatus) or i.p. After 10–30 minutes the diffusion of the NaF with or without mannitol was visualized by fluorescence microscopy as a marker of BBB dysfunction.

Additional experiments were performed in which NaF was administered (i.p.) in sham-infected or infected (6 d.p.i.) pre-metamorphic tadpoles. After the overnight incubation, the cerebral diffusion of NaF was visualized by a fluorescence microscopy.

All specimens were examined using an Axiovert 200 inverted fluorescence microscope and Infinity 2 digital camera (objectives x5/x10; Zeiss). Digital images were analyzed and processed by ImageJ software from NIH (URL: http://image.nih.gov/ij/).

**Plaque Assays.** Brain and kidney tissues were homogenized in hypotonic buffer (Tris-HCL 50 mM; pH 7.5) by 3 freeze/thaw cycles and serially diluted in DMEM supplemented with 2.5% FBS (Grayfer, L. et al., 2014). Five hundred microliters of each dilution was plated in duplicate on BHK-21 confluent monolayer in 6 well plates at room temperature for 1 hour. Supernatant were removed by aspiration, and 3 mL of overlay medium (DMEM supplemented with 2.5% FBS and 1% Methyl cellulose; Sigma) was added. Cells were incubated for 6 days at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Overlay medium was aspirated, and the cells were stained for 10 minutes with 1% crystal violet in 20% ethanol.

**Gene expression analysis.** Total RNA was isolated from tadpole and adult frog tissues using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Conventional methods were also used to isolate DNA from tissues derived from tadpoles and adult frogs. DNA (10 μg) was digested with DNAse (Ambion, Life Technologies) and used to synthetized cDNA with the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) that contained other reagents including oligo dT, dNTPs, random hexamer primers and reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). cDNA (1 μL) template was used in all reverse transcriptase (RT)-polymerase chain reactions (PCRs), whereas 100 ng DNA was used for PCR. Minus RT (−RT) controls, for DNA contamination, were included for every reaction and generated for each sample analogous to cDNA that was devoid of active RT. RT-PCR and PCR products were separated on 1.0% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide. Sizes of nucleic acid products were determined using standardized markers of 1 kb plus from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). All primers are listed in Table 1.

For quantitative PCR (qPCR), 2.5 μL of (1:2) diluted cDNA or genomic DNA (150 ng) was amplified in a mixture of 10 μL containing 200 nM of each primer and 1 × SYBR green FastMix containing 1 × ROX passive reference dye. Relative qPCR expression (RQ) was examined using the delta delta CT threshold cycle (CT) method, with the level of expression compared relative to the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) endogenous control and to the lowest observed level of expression (Grayfer et al. 2014). To measure the viral load and viral DNA Polymerase II (vDNA Pol II) gene expression, absolute qPCR analysis was
performed on DNA using a serially diluted standard curve. Briefly, FV3 vDNA Pol II fragment was cloned, amplified, quantified, and diluted between 10^5 to 10^7 plasmid copies of vDNA Pol II. These dilutions were used to create a standard curve to further determine the vDNA Pol II transcript copy numbers relative to those on the standard curve (Grayfer et al. 2014). All qPCR analyses were performed using ABI 7300 real-time PCR system and PerfeCta SYBR Green DastMix, ROX (Quanta). Relative expression was estimated by using ABI sequence detection system software (SDS). All primers were validated prior to use. Each sample was run in two replicates. Melting curve analysis was carried out after each PCR run to ensure the specificity of the reaction.

**Bacterial stimulation.** E. coli (XL1-blue, Stratagene, La Jolla, Ca.) cultured overnight at 37 °C, were boiled for 1 hour, centrifuged and resuspended in 0.1 volume (approximately 10⁶ bacteria/ml) of Xenopus cell culture medium. Frogs were injected i.p. with 300 μL of heat-killed bacteria (HK E. coli) mixture (3 × 10⁷ bacteria; corresponding to 3 mg of protein). After 3 days post stimulation, peritoneal leukocytes (PLs) were removed by peritoneal lavage with sterile APBS, washed, quantified and cultured in Xenopus medium amphibian serum free plus 10% FBS (ASF + 10% FBS).

**Infiltration of immune cells labeled with PKH26 red dye.** PLs were collected from inbred J young adult frogs that were treated with bacterial stimuli and purified cells were cultured for 1 day in Iscove-derived amphibian culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS. These cells were either sham-infected in vitro or infected with FV3 (1 multiplicity of infection, MOI). After 1 d.p.i, the cells were washed with sterile APBS, quantified and labeled with the red fluorescent membrane dye PKH26 (Sigma-Aldrich) (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, the cell suspension was mixed with an equal volume of the labeling solution containing 2 μM PKH26 in the dilution buffer and incubated for 5 to 20 minutes at 27 °C, respectively. The reaction was terminated by adding 5 mL Xenopus culture medium, and cells were washed extensively with sterile APBS. One hundred thousand PLs uninfected or infected for 1 day with FV3 cells were adoptively transferred into uninfected or FV3-infected (6 d.p.i) pre-metamorphic inbred J tadpoles by i.p. injection. One day post-transfer, tadpoles were anesthetized with 0.1% TMS and the intact brain regions were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. In another group of tadpoles, blood vessels were stained by intercardiac microinjection with 25 mg/mL (10 μL) Texas Red Dextran (Sigma-Aldrich). After 5–10 minutes incubation, tadpoles were anesthetized and blood vessels labeled PL cells were tracked by fluorescence microscopy.

All experimental specimens were examined using an Axiovert 200 inverted fluorescence microscope and Infinity 2 digital camera (objectives x5/x10/x20; Zeiss). Digital images were analyzed and processed by ImageJ software from NIH (URL: http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

**Immunohistochemical Analyses.** Sham-infected or infected (6 d.p.i.) outbred pre-metamorphic tadpoles were euthanized in 1% TMS, incubated in 8% sucrose overnight and embedded into Optimal Cutting Temperature compound (OCT) for 8 μm cryosections. Sections were sequentially rehydrated in APBS for 10 minutes, fixed with 4% cold paraformaldehyde (4 °C) for 15 minutes, permeabilized with 100% methanol (−20 °C) for 5 minutes and washed extensively with APBS (25 °C) for 5 minutes. After blocking with 1% BSA + 0.2% Tween 20 in APBS for 2 hour at room temperature, the sections were incubated overnight with the mouse monoclonal antibody HAM56 (Abcam ab45018-500) or anti-MHC class II monoclonal antibody AM20 hybridoma supernatant (Produced and validated in our X. laevis research resource for immunobiology). After washing, cells were incubated with Dylight 594-conjugated F(ab')2 donkey anti-mouse IgG (H + L) (Jackson Immuno Research, PA). Cellular nuclei were then stained with the DNA intercalator Hoechst-33258. Sections were mounted in anti-fade medium (Molecular Probes, Oregon) and visualized with a fluorescence microscope using an Axiovert 200 inverted fluorescence microscope and Infinity 2 digital camera (objectives x5/x10/x20; Zeiss). Digital images were analyzed and processed by ImageJ software from NIH (URL: http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

**Statistical analysis.** Quantitative data were analyzed using either a T-test or a One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for independent or correlated samples and performed using an online database available through Vassar Stat a website for statistical computation (URL: http://vassarstats.net/anova1u.html).
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