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We report on the study of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow D^0(D0)K^+$ where $D^0$ and $D0$ decaying to $K\pi\pi^0$, with the Atwood Dunietz and Soni (ADS) method. We measure the ratios $R_{ads}$, $R_+^+$, and $R_-^+$ since the processes $B^+ \rightarrow D^0K^+$ and $B^+ \rightarrow D^0K^+$ are proportional to $V_{cb}$ and $V_{ub}$, respectively, are sensitive to $r_B$ and to the weak phase $\gamma$.

1. Introduction

During recent years, several methods have been proposed to obtain the information on the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) \cite{1,2}, phase angle $\gamma$. In the Standard Model (SM), the angle $\gamma$ is the relative phase between $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$ and $b \rightarrow u\bar{c}s$ transitions as indicated in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

This phase angle $\gamma$ can be measured using a variety of methods involving $B$-meson decays that mediated by either only tree-level or both tree-level and loop-level amplitudes. A theoretical source of information on the angle $\gamma$ is provided by $B^- \rightarrow D^{(*)0}K^-$ decays. The $D^{(*)0}$ represents an admixture of $D^{(*)0}$ and $\bar{D}^{(*)0}$ states. These decays exploit the interference between $B^- \rightarrow D^{(*)0}K^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)0}K^-$ that occurs when the $D^{(*)0}$ and $\bar{D}^{(*)0}$ decay to common final states.

In the Gronau-London-Wyler (GLW) method \cite{3,4}, the $D^0$ meson is reconstructed based on Cabibbo-suppressed to CP-eigenstates, such as $K^+K^-$. In order to determine the angle $\gamma$ from $B^\pm$ decays, we define the two experimental observables direct-CP-violating partial decay rate asymmetries as

$$A_{CP\pm} = \frac{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^-) - \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^+)}{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^-) + \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^+)} = \frac{\pm 2r_B \sin\delta_B \sin\gamma}{1 + r_B^2} \pm 2r_B \cos\delta_B \cos\gamma \tag{1}$$

We also define the two ratios of charged averaged partial rates using $D$ meson decays to $CP$ and flavor eigenstates as

$$R_{CP\pm} = \frac{2}{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow D^0K^-) + \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow D^0K^+)} \frac{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^-) + \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^+)}{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^-) + \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow D_{CP\pm}K^+)} = 1 + r_B^2 \pm 2r_B \cos\delta_B \cos\gamma \tag{2}$$
respectively.

In the Adwood-Dunietz-Soni (ADS) method \[5, 6\], the \(D\) meson mixing, we define the the charge ratio of \(B\) and \(D\) eigenstates.

\[
R^+ = \frac{\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow [K^-\pi^+]K^+)}{\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow [K^-\pi^+]K^+)} = r_B^2 + r_D^2 + 2r_Br_Dk_D \cos(\gamma_D + \delta_B + \delta_D)
\]

and

\[
R^- = \frac{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow [K^+\pi^-]K^-)}{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow [K^+\pi^-]K^-)} = r_B^2 + r_D^2 + 2r_Br_Dk_D \cos(\gamma_D - \delta_B + \delta_D)
\]

where \(r_B\) and \(r_D\) are the suppressed to favored \(B\) and \(D\) amplitude ratios as

\[
r_B = \frac{|A(B^+ \rightarrow D^0 K^-)|}{|A(B^+ \rightarrow D^0 K^-)|}
\]

and

\[
r_D^2 = \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)}{\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)}
\]

and \(\delta_B\) and \(\delta_D\) are the strong phase differences between the two \(B\) and the two \(D\), respectively.

2. \(BaBar\) Detector

The results presented in this paper are based on the entire \(B\bar{B}\) data sample collected with the \(BaBar\) detector at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy \(B\) factory at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. The \(B\bar{B}\) pairs are produced from the decays of \( \Upsilon(4S) \) resonance (on-resonance) that originate in collisions of 9.0 GeV electrons and 3.1 GeV positrons. The on-resonance data sample has a mean energy of 10.58 GeV and an energy rms spread of 4.6 MeV. The off-resonance (continuum) data sample has a center-of-mass (CM) energy 40 MeV below the resonance.

A detailed description of the \(BaBar\) detector and the algorithms used for track reconstruction and particle identification is provided elsewhere \[7\]. A brief summary is given here. High-momentum particles are reconstructed by matching hits in the silicon vertex tracker (SVT) with track elements in the drift chamber (DCH). Lower momentum tracks, which do not leave signals on many wires in the DCH due to the bending induced by a magnetic field, are reconstructed in the SVT alone. Electrons are identified by the ratio of the track momentum to the associated energy deposited in the calorimeter (EMC), the transverse profile of the shower, the energy loss in the drift chamber, and information from a Cherenkov detector (DIRC). The \(BaBar\) detector Monte Carlo simulation is based on GEANT4 \[8\]. We use EVTGEN \[9\] to model the kinematics of \(B\) meson decays and use JETSET \[10\] to model off-resonance process \(e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q} \) (\(q = u, d, s, \) or \(c\) quark).

3. Data Sample

In the GLW method \[11\], we use \((467 \pm 5) \times 10^6\) \(B\bar{B}\) pairs, approximately equally divided into \(B^0\bar{B}^0\) and \(B^+\bar{B}^-\). The data have been collected in the years from 1999 until early 2008. We have reconstructed \(B^\pm \rightarrow DK^\pm\) decays, with \(D\) mesons decaying to non-\(CP\) \((K\pi)\), and \(CP\)-even \((K^+K^+, \pi^+\pi^-)\), and \(CP\)-odd \((K_s^0\pi^0, K^0\bar{\phi}, K_s^0\omega)\) eigenstates.

In the ADS method, we use two results where \(D^0\) mesons are reconstructed into two modes, \(D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\) \[12\] and \(D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\) \[13\], respectively. In \(D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\) mode we use a data of \((467 \pm 5) \times 10^6\) \(B\bar{B}\) pairs. We
present a search of the decays $B^- \to D^{(*)}K^-$, where the neutral $D$ mesons decay into $K^\pm\pi^-$ final state (WS). In this paper, we first applied to $B^- \to D^{(*)}\pi^-$, where the neutral $D$ mesons decay into the Cabibbo-favored ($K^-\pi^-$) and doubly suppressed mode. In $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^0$, we use $(474 \pm 5) \times 10^6$ $B\bar{B}$ pairs. An additional off-resonance data sample of $45 \, fb^{-1}$, collected at a center-of-mass energy $40 \, MeV$ below the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance, is used to study the $e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}$ background. In this paper, we studied the decays $D^0$ and $\bar{D}^0$ in which decays to $K^{\pm}\pi^\mp\pi^0$ final states.

4. Measurement of the CKM angle $\gamma$

4.1. CP Observables in $B^{\pm} \to D_{CP}K^{\pm}$ (GLW)

We identify signal $B \to DK$ and $B \to D\pi$ candidates using two defined kinematic variables. The first variable is the difference between the CM energy of the $B$ meson ($E^*_B$) and the beam energy ($\Delta E$) and the beam-energy-substituted mass ($m_{ES}$), respectively.

$$\Delta E = E^*_B - \sqrt{s}/2$$

(8)

We estimate the irreducible background yields in our sample by exploiting the fact that the $D$ invariant mass distribution for this background is approximately uniform, while the signal event peaks around the nominal $D$ mass.

Figure 3 shows the $\Delta E$ projections of the final fits to the $CP$ subsamples. The curves are the full PDF (solid, blue) and $B \to D\pi$ (dash-dotted, green) stacked on the remaining backgrounds (dotted, purple). The region between the solid and the dash-dotted lines represents the contribution of $B \to DK$. Figure 4 shows $m_{ES}$ projections as well as projections to the fit to the $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ flavor mode. The line definitions are the same as described in Fig. 3. We obtain the most precise measurements of the GLW parameters $A_{CP^{\pm}}$ and $R_{CP^{\pm}}$:

$$A_{CP^+} = 0.25 \pm 0.06(stat) \pm 0.02(syst) \quad A_{CP^-} = -0.09 \pm 0.07(stat) \pm 0.02(syst)$$

(9)

$$R_{CP^+} = 1.18 \pm 0.09(stat) \pm 0.05(syst) \quad R_{CP^-} = 1.07 \pm 0.08(stat) \pm 0.04(syst)$$

(10)

We measure a value of $A_{CP^+}$ which is 3.6 standard deviations from zero, which constitutes the first evidence for direct $CP$ violation in $B \to DK$ decays.
The parameters for the non-peaking use the data sample of \( B \) uncertainties. The parameters for the continuum events are determined from the off-resonance data sample. We

4.3. CP Observables in \( B^\pm \rightarrow [K^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^0]K^\pm \) (ADS)

In this paper the off-resonance background events, in contrast to \( B^\pm \rightarrow D^*K^- \) events, are characterized by a jet-like topology. We use a Fisher discriminant \( F \) to discriminate between the two categories of events. The Fisher discriminant is a linear combination of six variables. We choose the coefficients of the linear combination to maximize the separation between the signal and the off-resonance background. For the signal the Fisher discriminant \( F \) peaks at 1 and -1 for the off-resonance events. Since the correlations among the variables are negligible, we write the PDFs as products of one dimensional distributions of the \( m_{ES} \) and \( F \). We use MC samples to check the absence of the correlation between these distributions.

The PDF parameters are derived from data sample when possible in order to reduce the systematic uncertainties. The parameters for the continuum events are determined from the off-resonance data sample. We use the data sample of \( B^+ \rightarrow D^\pi^+ \) with \( D \rightarrow K^{+\mp}\pi^0 \) to extract the parameters for the \( m_{ES} \) distribution. The parameters for the non-peaking \( B^\pm \rightarrow D^* \) distributions and the signal Fisher PDF are determined from the MC sample.
The fits to the $m_{ES}$ for $F > 0.5$ and the Fisher discriminant $F$ distribution with $m_{ES} > 5.27$ GeV/c$^2$ for the combined $B^+$ and $B^-$ samples are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 respectively. The data are well described by the overall fit results (solid blue line) which is the sum of the signal, continuum background, non-peaking $B\bar{B}$ background, and peaking $B\bar{B}$ background. We have presented a study of the decays $B^\pm \rightarrow D^0 K^\pm$ and $B^\pm \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^\mp$, in which the $D^0$ and $\bar{D}^0$ mesons decay to $K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^0$ final state using the ADS method. The final results are

$$R^+ = (5^{+12+1}_{-10-4}) \times 10^{-3}$$  
$$R^- = (12^{+12+2}_{-10-4}) \times 10^{-3}$$  

(13)
from which we obtain 90% probability limits

\[ R^+ < 23 \times 10^{-3} \quad \quad R^- < 29 \times 10^{-3} \] (14)

Following a Bayesian approach [15, 16], the probability distributions for the \( R^+ \) and \( R^- \) ratio obtained in the fit are translated into a probability distribution for \( r_B \). Figure 9 shows the posterior probability distribution. Since the measurements are not statistically significant, we integrate over the positive portion of that distribution and obtain the upper limit \( r_B < 0.13 \) at 90% probability, and the range

\[ r_B \in [0.01, 0.11] \] (15)

at 68% probability and 0.078 as the most probable value.
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