Physical activity and overweight/obesity among academic stressed adolescents
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Abstract

Objectives: This study investigated the relationship between physical activity level and overweight/obesity among academically-stressed Chinese middle school students.

Methods: One thousand eight hundred and ten (1,810) high school students were recruited from the 10th-12th grades (805 girls and 1005 boys) from a high-school located in Jiangxi Province of China. Students’ risk behaviors were assessed using the Youth Risk Behavior Survey. The Kruskal-Wallis H test and ordinal regression model analysis were employed to identify the relationship between physical activity, academic stress and overweight/obesity.

Results: The Kruskal-Wallis H test and ordinal regression model analysis indicated a statistically significant correlation between academic stress and overweight/obesity among Chinese adolescents, and perhaps physical inactivity is a mediator of this association.

Conclusion: Low physical activity and increasing obesity trajectories among Chinese high school adolescents have become a concern in China; thus, it is imperative to promote participation in physical activity and to build a long-standing mechanism to improve the overall health condition of youths.
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Introduction

It has been recommended that school-aged children and adolescents should accumulate at least 60 minutes of moderate to vigorous intensity level of physical activity (MVPA) per day for health benefits.1 Traditionally, the foremost objective of studies reporting on levels and patterns of physical activity performed by adolescents has been to determine the amount of coherence between recommended and actual MVPA levels. However, data suggest that the majority of youth do not meet these guidelines. Specifically, more than 80% of adolescents spend less than 60 min of MVPA per day.2

In recent years, there has been a decline in the physical activity levels of Chinese adolescents.3 Researchers have claimed that the most basic and direct reason for a decline in fitness is insufficient physical activity.4,5 Participation in physical activity is not only associated with the external environment factors but is also related to attitude towards an activity or sport.6,7

With the increasingly fierce competition, the employment pressure is becoming more and more serious, brings more academic stress and pressure of getting into a key university to high school students. Previous studies have suggested that a proper amount of physical activity time can effectively reduce stress to a certain extent, eliminate fatigue, and maintain optimal mood for learning. In addition, proper levels of physical activity can improve concentration, attention, and on-task behavior. Although the benefits of physical activity are obvious, why are Chinese high school students’ physical fitness levels declining?

High school students are the hope and the future of our society, but their physical health condition is becoming worse, which has already caused or will cause certain negative impacts on their current and future well-being.8 The primary objective of this study was to determine the prevalence and investigate factors associated with daily health behaviors and physical activity in high school students in China. The relationships between overweight/obesity or academic stress and physical activity levels were investigated. It was hypothesized that the results of this study would show further evidence of an emerging threats of obesity, academic stress, and inadequate physical activity in high school students in China while also showing novel correlations between these outcomes and diverse causes of fitness decline in this context.

Methods

Setting and data source

A group of 10th grade and 11th grade students enrolled in a high school in Jiangxi Province was recruited in this study. This was a cross-
sectional study, and a questionnaire was used to assess their health risk behaviors. We did not survey 12th graders because their study time for the entrance examination was too valuable to disrupt. Students in this study came from urban and rural areas. A total of 1900 questionnaires were initially administrated, removing the five students who had left the school or were absent; the rest of the students participated in the questionnaire. A total of 1810 questionnaires were completed and returned, for a response rate of 95.26%.

Questionnaire design

The Youth Risk Behavioral Surveillance System Survey (YRBSS) was used to assess participants’ risk behaviors. This survey is a biennial state-based epidemiologic surveillance system coordinated by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Questions were modified to reflect Chinese culture. The survey consisted of 84 questions in eight categories: (1) Demographic characteristics; (2) Harm behavior; (3) Smoking behavior; (4) Drinking behavior; (5) Eating behavior and body weight; (6) Physical activity; (7) Sexual behavior and other health-related behaviors; (8) Academic stress. The survey was administered to each class as a unit. Students were asked to answer the questions anonymously and independently, and the questionnaires were collected immediately following completion.

Study variables

Physical activity, time spent studying, and academic performance

Table 1 Description of specific health risk behaviors and categorizations.

| Health behavior                  | YRBSS Question                                                                 | Recoding of Responses                        |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Physically active for 60 min per day | During the past 7 days, on how many days were you physically active for a total of at least 60 minutes per day? | sedentary time: 0 days                         |
| Hours of study per day           | How many hours per day do you study on a school day?                          | light intensity: 1-2 days                      |
| Grades over past 12 months       | During the past 12 months, how would you describe your grades in school?     | moderate intensity: 3-4 days                   |
|                                  |                                                                                | vigorous intensity: 5-7 days                  |
|                                  |                                                                                | Short time: ≤ 7 hours,                        |
|                                  |                                                                                | Long time: ≥ 8 hours,                         |
|                                  |                                                                                | Good grades: Mostly A’s, Mostly B’s            |
|                                  |                                                                                | Average grades: Mostly C’s, Mostly D’s        |
|                                  |                                                                                | Poor grades: Mostly E’s                       |
|                                  |                                                                                | Other grades: None of these grades, Not sure   |

Body Mass Index

Body Mass Index (BMI) is a body composition measure that is derived from weight and height (kg/m²) of an individual. The BMI attempts to quantify the amount of tissue mass (muscle, fat, and bone) and is then categorized the individual into different weight zones: “underweight,” “normal weight,” “overweight,” or “obese.” In this study, high school students’ weight and height were self-reported. BMI was then calculated and categorized into a weight zone using Chinese youth BMI criteria (Table 2).

Quality control

Both the sample selection and the questionnaire survey for this study were conducted in strict accordance with the design plan. The questionnaire was designed for the specific needs of this study based on the United States CDC YRBSS questionnaire by professors from the University of Nevada, Reno in the U.S., and Nanchang University in China. Qualified and trained graduate students from the two institutions implemented and conducted the survey. In addition, teachers at the high school were trained to use the same language when explaining the directions to students. The survey was completed independently by students based on their own understanding of the questions in order to avoid introducing bias. Investigators and data collectors participated in a debriefing meeting to examine the data, and logical verification of the responses was conducted to help ensure data accuracy.
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**Figure 1** The number distribution of the answers to the primary reason for academic stress

**Table 2** Chinese cut off points for body mass index for overweight and obesity by sex between 7 and 18 years.

| Age | Male | Female |
|-----|------|--------|
|     | Overweight | Obese | Overweight | Obese |
| 7~  | 17.4  | 19.2  | 17.2  | 18.9  |
| 8~  | 18.1  | 20.3  | 18.1  | 19.9  |
| 9~  | 18.9  | 21.4  | 19.0  | 21.0  |
| 10~ | 19.6  | 22.5  | 20.0  | 22.1  |
| 11~ | 20.3  | 23.6  | 21.1  | 23.3  |
| 12~ | 21.0  | 24.7  | 21.9  | 24.5  |
| 13~ | 21.9  | 25.7  | 22.6  | 25.6  |
| 14~ | 22.6  | 26.4  | 23.0  | 26.3  |
| 15~ | 23.1  | 26.9  | 23.4  | 26.9  |
| 16~ | 23.5  | 27.4  | 23.7  | 27.4  |
| 17~ | 23.8  | 27.8  | 23.8  | 27.7  |
| 18  | 24.0  | 28.0  | 24.0  | 28.0  |

**Statistical analyses**

A database of the survey responses was created using EpiData 3.1 software. SPSS Version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was then employed for descriptive and statistical analyses. A descriptive analysis was conducted to describe the sample characteristics. Wilcoxon rank sum test and Kruskal-Wallis H test were then used for the single factor analysis. Ordinal regression was conducted for the multi-factor analysis. The significance level was set at 0.05 for all statistical analyses.

**Results**

**Demographic data**

Of the 1810 total senior high school students in the study, 1005 (55.5%) were male and 805 (44.5%) were female. The students were rarely under 14 years old (n=5, 0.3%). Ninety-one (5.0%) students were 15 years old, 553 (30.6%) were 16 years old, 867 (47.9%) were 17 years old, and 294 (16.2%) were 18 years or older. Most of the students (81.0%) come from the countryside, while 19.0% of the students were from the town. Nearly half of the students were living with both parents (45.5%, n=824) or in the school dormitory (45.5%, n=824); students rarely lived with other family/relatives (n=135, 7.5%), with other students (n=12, 0.7%), or in a house by themselves (n=15, 0.8%). There were 874 (48.3%) students whose father, mother or both parents leave for work. Forty-three-point-four percent of students’ education track was science, and 56.6% of students’ education track was arts (Table 3).

**Related demographic or behavior characteristics of different levels of youth physical activity**

The Kruskal-Wallis H test was employed to examine the differences on students’ demographic or behavior characteristics, and physical activity level. The results indicated that students’ height, weight, gender, BMI, weight classification, learning time, and academic stress were significantly correlated with physical activity level (Table 4).

**Estimation of ordinal regression model on physical activity influential factors**

The model structure is depicted in three sections: Physical activity was classified into sedentary time, light intensity, moderate intensity and vigorous intensity as the dependent variable, the gender, BMI classification, learning time, and academic stress were as the independent variables, using Logit as link function and assigning them to variables (Table 5).

The likelihood ratio test of the model showed that ordinal regression model was significant, and the test of the parallel line means the fitting model has statistical significance. The model showed that there was a statistically significant influence of gender, BMI classification, time spent learning, and level of academic stress on physical activity level. Girls spent less time participating in physical activity than boys did. Students who spend more time on learning tended to be less physically active.
active. A negative relationship was found between physical activity and academic stress; in other words, students who had a lower level of academic stress tended to have a higher physical activity level. Finally, students who were overweight or obese were less physically active than students whose weight was normal according to their BMI.

Table 3 Demographic characteristics of the sample, number (n) and percentages (%).

| Characteristic         | Female (n,%) | Male (n,%) | All (n,%) |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Age                    |             |            |           |
| 14 years old or young  | 4(0.5)      | 1(0.1)     | 5(0.3)    |
| 15 years old           | 44(5.5)     | 47(4.7)    | 91(5.0)   |
| 16 years old           | 250 (31.1)  | 303 (30.1) | 553 (30.6) |
| 17 years old           | 373 (46.3)  | 494 (49.2) | 867 (47.9) |
| 18 years old or older  | 134 (16.6)  | 160 (15.9) | 294 (16.2) |
| Place of residence     |             |            |           |
| Rural                  | 651 (80.9)  | 816 (81.2) | 1467 (81.0) |
| Urban                  | 154 (19.1)  | 189 (18.8) | 343 (19.0) |
| Living arrangement     |             |            |           |
| With parents           | 336 (41.7)  | 488 (48.6) | 824 (45.5) |
| With other family/relatives | 52 (6.5)    | 83 (8.3)   | 135 (7.5)  |
| In school (dormitory)  | 409 (50.8)  | 415 (41.3) | 824 (45.5) |
| In a house with other students | 4 (0.5)     | 8 (0.8)    | 12 (0.7)   |
| In a house by yourself | 4 (0.5)     | 11 (1.1)   | 15 (0.8)   |
| Parents leave for work |             |            |           |
| Yes                    | 388 (48.2)  | 486 (48.4) | 874 (48.3) |
| No                     | 417 (51.8)  | 519 (51.6) | 936 (51.7) |
| Science or Humanities Division | | | |
| Sciences               | 235 (29.2)  | 550 (54.7) | 785 (43.4) |
| Arts                   | 570 (70.8)  | 455 (45.3) | 1025 (56.6) |
| total                  | 805         | 1005       | 1810       |

Table 4 Youth physical activity status according to selected independent variables (χ² ± 5 or %).

| Demographic or Behavior Characteristics | Sedentary time | Light intensity | Moderate intensity | Vigorous intensity | χ² or H | p-value |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------|---------|
| Height                                 | 164.8±21.4     | 165.8±21.3      | 166.7±7.9          | 170.0±31.0        | 50.795 | 0.000   |
| Weight                                 | 54.0±9.6       | 55.1±9.6        | 55.4±10.2          | 54.0±8.4          | 9.056  | 0.029   |
| Age (years)                            |                |                 |                    |                   |        |         |
| ≤14                                    | 46.2           | 7.6             | 30.8               | 15.4              |        |         |
| 15                                     | 69.9           | 17.2            | 5.4                | 7.5               |        |         |
| 16                                     | 63.5           | 20.6            | 8.5                | 7.4               |        |         |
| 17                                     | 60.7           | 19.8            | 9.1                | 10.4              |        |         |
| ≥18                                    | 65.6           | 18.2            | 7.0                | 9.2               |        |         |
| Gender                                 |                |                 |                    |                   | 63.882 | 0.000   |
| Female                                 | 71.6           | 18.1            | 5.2                | 5.1               |        |         |
| Male                                   | 55.8           | 20.9            | 10.8               | 12.5              |        |         |
| Place of residence                     |                |                 |                    |                   | 1.606  | 0.658   |
| Rural                                  | 63.5           | 19.2            | 8.3                | 9.0               |        |         |
Table Continued

| Characteristics                  | Physical Activity Level | Sedentary time | Light intensity | Moderate intensity | Vigorous intensity | \( \chi^2 \) or \( H \) | \( p \)-value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Urban                            |                         | 59.8           | 21.7            | 8.7               | 9.8               |                |             |
| **Living arrangement**           |                         |                |                 |                   |                   | \( 6.662 \)   | 0.879       |
| With parents                     |                         | 61.3           | 20.4            | 8.7               | 9.6               |                |             |
| With other family/relatives       |                         | 63.5           | 19.0            | 8.0               | 9.5               |                |             |
| In school (dormitory)            |                         | 64.0           | 19.3            | 8.1               | 8.6               |                |             |
| In a house with other students    |                         | 53.8           | 15.4            | 15.4              | 15.4              |                |             |
| in a house by yourself           |                         | 80.0           | 6.7             | 0.0               | 13.3              | \( 1.260 \)   | 0.739       |
| **Parents leave for work**       |                         |                |                 |                   |                   |                |             |
| Yes                              |                         | 64.0           | 18.9            | 8.0               | 9.1               |                |             |
| No                               |                         | 61.6           | 20.4            | 8.7               | 9.3               | \( 3.509 \)   | 0.320       |
| **Science-humanities division**  |                         |                |                 |                   |                   |                |             |
| Sciences                         |                         | 62.4           | 18.5            | 9.6               | 9.5               | \( 11.654 \)  | 0.009       |
| Arts                             |                         | 63.1           | 20.5            | 7.4               | 9.0               |                |             |
| **Hours of learning per day**    |                         |                |                 |                   |                   | \( 8.179 \)   | 0.516       |
| Short time                       |                         | 68.0           | 16.0            | 7.2               | 8.8               |                |             |
| Long time                        |                         | 60.1           | 21.5            | 9.0               | 9.4               |                |             |
| **Grades over past 12 months**   |                         |                |                 |                   |                   | \( 95.847 \)  | 0.000       |
| Good grades                      |                         | 66.6           | 17.2            | 7.4               | 8.8               |                |             |
| Average grades                   |                         | 61.1           | 20.7            | 9.6               | 8.6               |                |             |
| Poor grades                      |                         | 62.2           | 20.5            | 7.2               | 10.1              |                |             |
| Other grades                     |                         | 63.7           | 18.1            | 6.9               | 11.3              |                |             |
| **Academic stress**              |                         |                |                 |                   |                   | \( 41.994 \)  | 0.000       |
| Very stressed                    |                         | 70.0           | 17.9            | 6.5               | 5.6               |                |             |
| Above average stress             |                         | 63.5           | 19.2            | 8.4               | 8.9               |                |             |
| Average stress                   |                         | 61.1           | 21.0            | 9.7               | 8.2               |                |             |
| Below average stress             |                         | 51.4           | 23.6            | 8.3               | 16.7              |                |             |
| No stress                        |                         | 31.1           | 21.6            | 10.8              | 36.5              |                |             |
| **BMI Classification**           |                         |                |                 |                   |                   | \( 41.994 \)  | 0.000       |
| Normal weight                    |                         | 64.2           | 18.9            | 7.6               | 9.3               |                |             |
| Overweight                       |                         | 43.1           | 28.7            | 16.0              | 12.2              |                |             |
| Obese                            |                         | 71.5           | 16.8            | 6.7               | 5.0               |                |             |

Table 5 Variable assignment of ordinal regression.

| Characteristics    | Variable name | Factor assignment | Number | Ratio(%) |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------|----------|
| Physically activity | Y             | sedentary time=1  | 1135   | 62.7     |
|                    |               | light intensity=2 | 356    | 19.7     |
|                    |               | moderate intensity=3 | 152   | 8.4      |
|                    |               | vigorous intensity=4 | 167  | 9.2      |
| Gender             | X             | female=1          | 805    | 44.5     |
|                    |               | male=2            | 1005   | 55.5     |
Table Continued

| Characteristics          | Variable name | Factor assignment       | Number | Ratio(%) |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------|----------|
| Hours of learning per day| X1            | short time=1            | 602    | 33.2     |
|                          |               | long time=2             | 1208   | 66.8     |
| Academic stress          | X2            | very stressed=1         | 513    | 28.3     |
|                          |               | above average stress=2  | 595    | 32.9     |
|                          |               | average stress=3        | 555    | 30.7     |
|                          |               | below average stress=4  | 73     | 4.0      |
|                          |               | no stress=5             | 74     | 4.1      |
| BMI                      | X3            | about the right weight=1| 1446   | 79.9     |
|                          |               | overweight=2            | 183    | 10.1     |
|                          |               | obesity=3               | 181    | 10.0     |

Discussion

This study was designed to investigate the relationship between overweight/obesity and academic stress. The results suggested that the gender was an independent factor of high school students’ physical activity. Specifically, the male participants in this study demonstrated higher physical activity level than their female counterparts; the same finding has been echoed by studies that were conducted in both China and abroad and indicates preferential differences for physical activity between genders. Male students prefer to engage in intense extracurricular physical exercise to express their youth and vitality. As adolescent girls tend to become more conscious of and pursue cosmetic beauty, they become quiet and less active, and show less interest in exercising intensively.

Once adolescents reach high school they have a more mature way of thinking, study hard, and pursue their own ideals; however, the academic stress is more prominent at this age. Furthermore, they are socially inexperienced and cannot always solve the contradictions they face very well, and they may also have psychological problems. According to the results of our investigation, academic stress can affect students’ participation in physical activity. The results showed that most of the students feel stress as a result of their studies (27.9% very stressed, 33.1% above average stress, 30.9% average stress, and 4.0% below average stress stress). To achieve a premium graduation rate is the paramount task of the high school program in China due to the traditional Chinese exam-oriented education philosophy. Young students experience high levels of tension, anxiety, fear, and frustration when they face the stresses of frequent testing, score ranking, and the ultimate pressure of the college entrance examination. They also put pressure on themselves, which makes them sacrifice their leisure time to study. Consequently, their physical activity may become insufficient. Our results confirm that most students spend less time on physical exercise and more time studying. This is unfortunate because students are actually eager to take part in physical exercise and show their youth and vitality, but because of academic stress and other social and family-related factors, they have chosen to quit exercise and instead engage in numerous problem sets and practice exams.

Epidemiological studies have shown that obesity has a certain family genetic predisposition, is not only embodied biologically but also in the tendency to be physically active. For example, if the parents like sports, their children tend to have a common love of sports as they grow older. Many studies have shown that physical activity has an important role on weight control and improving the physical quality, and the formation of childhood physical activity patterns can continue into adulthood. These have important roles in preventing chronic disease. In the past 30 years, the global (including China) incidence of childhood obesity is rising rapidly. The reduction of physical activity and an increase of a static way of life become risk factors for continuing the problem of obesity. The present study showed that students who are overweight did less physical activity.

Stress has long been suspected to be interrelated to obesity, stress may play a major role in the development and maintenance of obesity in individuals who have an increased glucocorticoid exposure or sensitivity. The results were consistent with previous studies that show an association between overweight/obesity and physical inactivity. According to the academic stress categories and learning time in this study, we demonstrated an inverse relationship between academic stress and physical activity. Although they know the benefits of physical activity, many Chinese students feel that they must spend most of their free time studying under the entrance examination system and are thus academically stressed; however, this study is cross-sectional and that cannot verify whether there is a causal association between academic stress and physical inactivity. Future research should explore whether these associations are maintained by observational and cohort studies.

Suggestions

The reason for adolescents’ stress include “parents” and “teachers” in the study, they should be increased physical activity time at school to being integrated with changes in family lifestyle at home as well. Schools and family can have important role in preventing adolescent obesity by offering physical activities and physical education of health behavior management opportunities for students. Schools should offer or even require health education classes or provide health education lectures to expose students to knowledge about obesity, good eating habits, and the importance of physical activity to cultivate health awareness. The family should develop their personality and special skills through sports after school. Having more time and energy in their favorite sports should help students understand the importance of physical activities. If these messages can play to adolescents’ subjective initiative, and teenagers participate in sports consciously, we can build a long-standing mechanism to improve the physical fitness of youth.
Conclusion
This study described the time and the frequency of daily behaviors of high school students and revealed complex relationships between overweight/obesity or academic stress and physical activity levels among adolescents in China. More research is needed to investigate whether the associations of academic stress and physical inactivity is causal. Our findings highlight the importance of preserving time in youth schedules for physical activity every day and requiring physical education in schools as a strategy to increase overall physical activity levels and build a long-standing mechanism to improve adolescent health.
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