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ABSTRACT: We consider a deformation of three dimensional BF theory by means of the antifield BRST formalism. Possible deformations for the action and the gauge symmetries are analyzed. We find a new class of gauge theories which include non-abelian BF theory, higher dimensional nonlinear gauge theory and topological membrane theory.
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1. Introduction

The nonlinear gauge theory in two dimension are proposed in [1]. It is one of Schwarz type (or BF type) topological field theory [2] and has the gauge symmetry which generalize the usual nonabelian gauge symmetry. This theory was independently analyzed by Schaller and Strobl [3] by the Hamilton formalism.

This theory has some applications. One of them is two dimension dilaton gravity [1, 4]. Recently, it is related to the string theory and a star product deformation theory. Cattaneo and Felder [5] have considered this theory on two dimensional disk. They obtained the path integral representation for the a star product on the Poisson manifold which was introduced by Kontsevich in [6]. The star product structure in the open string theory with non-zero background Neveu-Schwarz B-field appears essentially at the same mechanism [7].

Izawa [8] has recently analyzed the nonlinear gauge theory from the viewpoint of a deformation of the gauge symmetry [9]. He found that two dimensional nonlinear gauge theory is the unique consistent deformation of two dimensional abelian BF theory. He also found a higher dimensional nonlinear gauge theory.

In this paper we make a similar analysis in a higher dimension in three dimension and find deformations of the abelian BF theory,\(^1\) which give new nontrivial extensions of the nonabelian gauge symmetry and nonlinear gauge symmetry. We find all\footnote{Dayi have analyzed a deformation of the BF theories in a special case in [10].}
deformations with a Lie algebra structure in three dimension. It includes a higher dimensional nonlinear gauge theory proposed in [8].

The key in nonlinear gauge theory is the nonlinear gauge symmetry $\delta_{\text{NL}}$ on the fields:

$$
\delta_{\text{NL}} \phi_a = W_{ba} \epsilon^b,
$$

$$
\delta_{\text{NL}} h^a = d\epsilon^a + \frac{\partial W_{bc}}{\partial \phi_a} h^b \epsilon^c,
$$

where $a, b, \text{etc.}$ are Lie algebra indices (or the target space indices). $\phi_a$ is a scalar field, $h^a$ is a one-form gauge field and $W_{ab}(\phi) = -W_{ba}(\phi)$ is an arbitrary function of $\phi_a$. $W_{ab}(\phi)$ must satisfy the following identities:

$$
\frac{\partial W_{ab}}{\partial \phi_d} W_{cd} + \frac{\partial W_{bc}}{\partial \phi_d} W_{ad} + \frac{\partial W_{ca}}{\partial \phi_d} W_{bd} = 0,
$$

in order for (1.1) to be a symmetry of the theory. This eq. (1.2) is just the Jacobi identity if the following commutation relation holds:

$$
[\phi_a, \phi_b] = W_{ab}(\phi).
$$

The commutation relation on the left hand side is realized as the Poisson bracket of the coordinates $\phi_a$ and $\phi_b$ on the Poisson manifold [3]. That is, $W_{ab}$ in (1.3) define the Poisson structure.

In two dimension, the action possessing the gauge symmetry (1.1) is uniquely given by

$$
S = \int \mathcal{L}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L} = h^a d\phi_a + \frac{1}{2} W_{ab} h^a h^b.
$$

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we consider a deformations of three dimensional BF theory by means of antifield BRST formalism and construct a deformed new gauge theory. In section 3, we discuss the relations with the known theories.

2. A deformation of three dimensional BF theory

In three dimension, abelian BF theory has the following action:

$$
S_0 = \int (A^a \wedge d\phi_a + B_a \wedge dh^a),
$$

where $\phi_a$ is a 0-form 'adjoint' scalar field, $h^a$ and $B_a$ are a 1-form and $A^a$ is a 2-form gauge field. Indices $a, b, c, \text{etc.}$ represent algebra indices. We can consider the more
general term $A^a \wedge g(\phi)_a^b d\phi_b$ in the action, where $g(\phi)_a^b$ is a function of $\phi_a$ and similar one for the $B, h$ term. Then if we redefine $A^a$ as

$$A'^a = (g^{-1})^a_b (\phi) A^b,$$

(2.2)

we can obtain $A'^a \wedge d\phi_b$. It is similar for the $B, h$ term.

It has the following abelian gauge symmetry:

$$\delta_0 \phi_a = 0, \quad \delta_0 h^a = dc_1^a, \quad \delta_0 c_1^a = 0,$$
$$\delta_0 B_a = dc_2^a, \quad \delta_0 c_2^a = 0, \quad \delta_0 A^a = dc_3^a, \quad \delta_0 c_3^a = dv^a, \quad \delta_0 v^a = 0,$$

(2.3)

where $c_1^a, c_2^a$ are 0-form gauge parameters and $c_3^a$ is a 1-form gauge parameter. Since $A^a$ is 2-form, we need a 'ghost for ghost' 0-form $v^a$.

In order to analyze the theory by the antifield BRST formalism, first we take $c_1^a, c_2^a$ and $c_3^a$ to be the Grassmann odd FP ghosts with ghost number one, and $v^a$ to be a the Grassmann even ghost with ghost number two. Next we introduce antifields for all fields. Let $\Phi^*$ denote the antifields for the field $\Phi$. The Batalin-Vilkovisky action which includes the antifields is given by

$$S_{BF} = S_0 + S_1,$$
$$S_1 = \int (h^*_a \wedge dc_1^a + B^*a \wedge dc_2^a + A^*_a \wedge dc_3^a + c^*_3a \wedge dv^a).$$

(2.4)

From this, the relations $\text{deg}(\Phi^*) + \text{deg}(\Phi) = 3$ and $\text{gh}(\Phi^*) + \text{gh}(\Phi) = -1$ are required, where we define $\text{deg}(\Phi)$ and $\text{deg}(\Phi^*)$ as the form degrees of the fields $\Phi$ and $\Phi^*$ and $\text{gh}(\Phi)$ and $\text{gh}(\Phi^*)$ as the ghost numbers. The BRST transformation can then be defined by

$$\delta_0 \Phi = (\Phi, S_{BF}), \quad \delta_0 \Phi^* = (\Phi^*, S_{BF}),$$

(2.5)

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the antibracket

$$(A, B) \equiv \frac{\delta A}{\delta \Phi} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \Phi^*} - \frac{\delta A}{\delta \Phi^*} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \Phi},$$

(2.6)

for any field $A$ and $B$. This transformation reproduces the gauge transformation (2.3) for the fields. Indeed the BRST transformation are obtained from (2.3) and (2.5) are given as follows:

$$\delta_0 \phi_a = 0, \quad \delta_0 h^a = dc_1^a,$$
$$\delta_0 B_a = dc_2^a, \quad \delta_0 A^a = dc_3^a, \quad \delta_0 h^*_a = -dB_a, \quad \delta_0 A^*_a = -d\phi_a,$$
$$\delta_0 B^*_a = -dh^*_a, \quad \delta_0 c^*_1a = -dh_a^*, \quad \delta_0 c^*_2a = -dB^*_a,$$
$$\delta_0 c^*_3a = dA^*_a, \quad \delta_0 v^*a = dc^*_3a,$$
$$\delta_0 \Phi = \delta_0 \Phi^* = 0, \quad \text{for otherwise}.$$

(2.7)

In table 1, we show the form degrees and the ghost numbers for all the fields. The column and row correspond to the form degree and the ghost number, respectively.
Let us consider a deformation to the action $S_{BF}$ perturbatively,

$$
S = S_{BF} + gS_2 + g^2S_3 + \cdots
= S_0 + S_1 + gS_2 + g^2S_3 + \cdots, \quad (2.8)
$$

where $g$ is a coupling constant. The total BRST transformation is deformed to

$$
\delta \Phi = (\Phi, S), \quad \delta \Phi^* = (\Phi^*, S). \quad (2.9)
$$

In order for the deformed BRST transformation $\delta$ to be nilpotent, the total action $S$ has to satisfy the following master equation:

$$
(S, S) = 0. \quad (2.10)
$$

Substituting (2.8) to (2.10), we obtain

$$
(S, S) = (S_{BF}, S_{BF}) + 2g(S_2, S_{BF}) + g^2[(S_2, S_2) + 2(S_3, S_{BF})] + O(g^3) = 0. \quad (2.11)
$$

We solve this equation order by order. $\delta_0 S_{BF} = (S_{BF}, S_{BF}) = 0$ from the definition. At the first order of $g$ in the eq. (2.11),

$$
\delta_0 S_2 = (S_2, S_{BF}) = 0, \quad (2.12)
$$

is required. $S_2$ is given by the lagrangian:

$$
S_2 = \int a_3, \quad (2.13)
$$

where $a_3$ must be a 3-form. A deformation of the lagrangian $a_3$ should obey the following descent equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_0 a_3 + da_2 &= 0, \\
\delta_0 a_2 + da_1 &= 0, \\
\delta_0 a_1 + da_0 &= 0, \\
\delta_0 a_0 &= 0, \quad (2.14)
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_0$ is a 0-form with the ghost number 3. where $\delta_0$ cohomology on $S$ is defined up to the terms proportional to the equations of motion. Because their terms can be eliminated by the field redefinitions and these are trivial deformations $\delta_0$.

Since $\delta_0 a_0 = 0$, it should have the form

$$
a_0 = -f_{1ab}(\phi)v^ac_1^b - f_{2a}(\phi)v^a - \frac{1}{6}f_{3abc}(\phi)c_1^ac_1^bc_1^c - \frac{1}{2}f_{4ab}(\phi)c_1^ac_1^bc_2^c - \frac{1}{2}f_{5ab}(\phi)c_1^ac_2^bc_2^c - \frac{1}{6}f_{6abc}(\phi)c_2^ac_2^bc_2^c, \quad (2.15)
$$

up to the BRST trivial terms. $f_{1ab}$, $f_{2a}$, $f_{3abc}$, $f_{4ab}$, $f_{5a}$ and $f_{6abc}$ are functions.
of $\phi_a$ to be fixed later. $f_{ab}^c = -f_{db}^c$, $f_{5a}^{bc} = -f_{5a}^{cb}$, and $f_{3abc}$ and $f_{6abc}$ are completely antisymmetric with respect to $a, b, c$. Here there are not the metric dependent terms such as $f_{ab}(\phi) \partial^a v^c \partial_c c_b$ in $(2.13)$. Because these terms are proportional to the equations of motion and trivial in cohomology.

Solving (2.14) using (2.17), $a_3$ is obtained as follows:

$$a_3 = -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial^3 f_{1ab}}{\partial \phi_c \partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} A_e^* A_d^* A_c^* v^a c_1^b +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial^2 f_{1ab}}{\partial \phi_c \partial \phi_d} \left(-c_{3d} A_e^* v^a c_1^b - \frac{1}{2} A_d^* A_e^* c_3^a c_1^b - \frac{1}{2} A_d^* A_e^* v^a h^b\right) +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial f_{1ab}}{\partial \phi_c} \left(v^a v^a c_1^b + c_{3c} c_3^a c_1^b - c_{3c} a^a h^b + A_e^* A_c^* c_1^b + A_e^* c_3^a h^b - A_e^* v^a B^b\right) +$$

$$+ f_{1ab} (-\phi^a c_1^b + A^a h^b + c_3^a B^b + v^a c_1^b) - \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial^3 f_{2a}}{\partial \phi_c \partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} A_e^* A_d^* A_c^* v^a c_2^b +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial^2 f_{2a}}{\partial \phi_c \partial \phi_d} \left(-c_{3d} A_e^* v^a c_2^b + \frac{1}{2} A_d^* A_e^* c_3^a c_2^b + \frac{1}{2} A_d^* A_e^* v^a B^b\right) +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial f_{2a}}{\partial \phi_c} \left(v^a v^a c_2^b + c_{3c} c_3^a c_2^b - c_{3c} v^a B^b + A_e^* A_c^* c_2^b + A_e^* c_3^a B^b - A_e^* v^a h^b\right) +$$

$$+ f_{2a} (-\phi^a c_2^b + A^a B^b + c_3^a h^b + v^a c_1^b) - \frac{1}{36} \frac{\partial^3 f_{3abc}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e \partial \phi_f} A_f^* A_c^* A_d^* c_1^a c_1^b c_1^c +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial^2 f_{3abc}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} \left(-c_{3d} A_e^* A_c^* A_d^* c_1^a c_1^b c_1^c - \frac{1}{4} A_e^* A_d^* h^a c_1^b c_1^c\right) +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial f_{3abc}}{\partial \phi_d} \left(\frac{1}{6} v^a v^a c_1^b c_1^c - \frac{1}{2} c_{3d} h^a c_1^b c_1^c - \frac{1}{2} A_e^* B^a c_1^b c_1^c + \frac{1}{2} A_e^* h^a h^b c_1^c\right) +$$

$$+ f_{3abc} \left(\frac{1}{2} c_{2c} c_1^b c_1^c + B^a h^b c_1^c + \frac{1}{6} h^a h^b h^c\right) -$$

$$- \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^3 f_{4abc}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e \partial \phi_f} A_f^* A_e^* A_d^* c_1^a c_2^b c_2^c +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial^2 f_{4abc}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} \left(-c_{3d} A_e^* A_d^* c_1^a c_2^b c_2^c - \frac{1}{2} A_e^* A_d^* h^a c_1^b c_2^c - \frac{1}{4} A_e^* A_d^* c_1^a b c_2^c\right) +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial f_{4abc}}{\partial \phi_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} v^a v^a c_1^b c_2^c - c_{3d} h^a c_1^b c_2^c - \frac{1}{2} c_{3d} c_1^a c_1^b B^c - A_d^* B^a c_1^b c_2^c +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} A_d^* h^a h^b c_2^c - A_d^* h^a c_1^b B^c - \frac{1}{2} A_d^* c_1^a b c_2^c\right) +$$

$$+ f_{4abc} \left(c_{2c} c_1^a c_2^c + B^a h^b c_2^c - B^a c_1^b B^c + \frac{1}{2} h^a h^b B^c - h^a c_1^b h^c + \frac{1}{2} c_1^a c_1^b h^c\right) -$$

$$- \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^3 f_{5abc}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e \partial \phi_f} A_f^* A_e^* A_d^* c_1^a c_2^b c_2^c +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial^2 f_{5abc}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} \left(-c_{3d} A_e^* A_d^* c_1^a c_2^b c_2^c - \frac{1}{4} A_e^* A_d^* h^a c_2^b c_2^c + \frac{1}{2} A_e^* A_d^* c_1^a B^b c_2^c\right) +$$

$$+ \frac{\partial f_{5abc}}{\partial \phi_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} v^a v^a c_1^a c_2^b c_2^c - \frac{1}{2} c_{3d} h^a c_2^b c_2^c + c_{3d} c_1^a B^b c_2^c - \frac{1}{2} A_d^* B^a c_2^b c_2^c +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} A_d^* h^a c_2^b c_2^c - A_d^* h^a c_1^a B^c - \frac{1}{2} A_d^* c_1^a b c_2^c\right) +$$

$$+ f_{5abc} \left(c_{2c} c_1^a c_2^b c_2^c + B^a h^b c_2^c - B^a c_1^b B^c + \frac{1}{2} h^a h^b B^c - h^a c_1^b h^c + \frac{1}{2} c_1^a c_1^b h^c\right).$$
\[ + A^*_d h^a B_c c_{2c} - A^*_d c^a h^a_0 c_{2c} + \frac{1}{2} A^*_d c^a B_c B_c \]
\[ + f_{5a}^{bc} \left( \frac{1}{2} c^a_2 c_{2b} c_{2c} + B^*\alpha B_c c_{2c} - h^a h^a_0 c_{2c} + \frac{1}{2} h^a B_B c_{2c} - c_1^a c_1^c c_{2c} - c_1^a h^a_0 B_c \right) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{36} \frac{\partial^3 f_{6}^{abc}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e \partial \phi_f} A^*_f A^*_e A^*_d c_{2a} c_{2b} c_{2c} + \]
\[ + \frac{\partial^2 f_{6}^{abc}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} \left( - \frac{1}{6} c^*_{3a} A^*_d c_{2a} c_{2b} c_{2c} - \frac{1}{4} A^*_d A^*_c B_c c_{2c} \right) + \]
\[ + \frac{\partial f_{6}^{abc}}{\partial \phi_d} \left( \frac{1}{6} c^*_{3a} B_a c_{2b} c_{2c} - \frac{1}{2} A^*_d h^a_c c_{2b} c_{2c} + \frac{1}{2} A^*_d B_B c_{2c} \right) + \]
\[ + f_{6}^{abc} \left( \frac{1}{2} c^*_1 c_{2b} c_{2c} + h^a_0 B_c c_{2c} + \frac{1}{6} B_B B_B \right), \]

where the BRST trivial terms are dropped since their terms do not deform the BRST transformation. At the second order of \( \gamma \),
\[
(S_2, S_2) + 2(S_3, S_{BF}) = 0, \tag{2.17}
\]
is required. We cannot construct nontrivial \( S_3 \) which satisfies (2.17) from the integration of the local lagrangian. Therefore if we assume the locality of the action, we find \( S_i = 0 \) for \( i \geq 3 \). Then the condition (2.17) reduces to
\[
(S_2, S_2) = 0. \tag{2.18}
\]
This imposes the following conditions on the above equations \( f_i, i = 1, \cdots, 6 \):
\[
\frac{\partial f_{1ae}}{\partial \phi_e} f_{1eb} + \frac{\partial f_{1ab}}{\partial \phi_e} f_{1ec} + f_{1ae} f_{1be} = 0, \tag{2.19}
\]
\[
- f_{1eb} \frac{\partial f_{2a}^c}{\partial \phi_e} + f_{2e} \frac{\partial f_{1ab}}{\partial \phi_e} + f_{1ae} f_{5bc} - f_{2a} f_{4eb} = 0, \tag{2.20}
\]
\[
f_{2e} \frac{\partial f_{2a}^c}{\partial \phi_e} + f_{1ae} f_{6bc} + f_{2a} f_{5eb} = 0, \tag{2.21}
\]
\[
f_{1e}[b] \frac{\partial f_{4cd}^a}{\partial \phi_e} - f_{2e} a \frac{\partial f_{5cd}^e}{\partial \phi_e} + f_{4e} [a f_{4cd}]^e + f_{3e} [bc d_5]^{ae} = 0, \tag{2.22}
\]
\[
f_{1e}[b] \frac{\partial f_{5}^a}{\partial \phi_e} + f_{2e} [a \frac{\partial f_{4cd}^d}{\partial \phi_e} + f_{3e} c_6 f_{5cd} + f_{4e} [d f_{5}^a] c_e + f_{4e} c_e f_{5e} = 0, \tag{2.23}
\]
\[
f_{1e} \frac{\partial f_{6}^a}{\partial \phi_e} - f_{2e} [a \frac{\partial f_{5cd}^d}{\partial \phi_e} + f_{4e} [a f_{6 cd}]^e + f_{5e} [ac d_5 f_{5d}] e = 0, \tag{2.24}
\]
\[
f_{1e} \frac{\partial f_{5}^a}{\partial \phi_e} + f_{2e} [a \frac{\partial f_{6 cd}^d}{\partial \phi_e} + f_{4e} [d f_{5}^a] c_e + f_{4e} c_e f_{5e} = 0, \tag{2.25}
\]
\[
f_{2e} [a \frac{\partial f_{6}^b d_5}{\partial \phi_e} - f_{6} [ab] c_5 d_5 d_e = 0, \tag{2.26}
\]
\[
f_{2e} [a \frac{\partial f_{6 cd}^d}{\partial \phi_e} + f_{4e} [ab] f_{6 cd d} e = 0, \tag{2.27}
\]
where \([ \cdots ]\) represents the antisymmetrization for the indices. For example, \( \Phi_{ab} = \Phi_{ba} \).
Now we have obtained possible deformation of three dimensional BF theory. The deformed lagrangian is (2.16) and $f_i$'s satisfy identities (2.19)–(2.27). The concrete transformation on each field is listed in the appendix. We set $g = 1$ in the later part of the paper.

If we set all antifields $\Phi^* = 0$ in (2.13), we obtain the usual classical action. We can write it explicitly as

$$S = \int \mathcal{L},$$

$$\mathcal{L} = A^a \wedge d\phi_a + B_a \wedge dh^a + f_{1ab} A^a h^b + f_{2a} A^a B_b +\frac{1}{6} f_{3abc} h^a h^b h^c + \frac{1}{2} f_{4ab} h^a h^b B_c + \frac{1}{2} f_{5a} h^a B_b B_c + \frac{1}{6} f_{6} a_{abc} B_a B_b B_c. \quad (2.28)$$

There is a global symmetry $\phi \to \phi + \text{constant}$ in (2.1). However generally the deformed action (2.28) does not have such symmetry.

The equations of motion of this theory are

$$d\phi_a + f_{1ab} h^b + f_{2a} B_b = 0,$$

$$dh^a + f_{2a} A^a + \frac{1}{2} f_{4bc} h^b h^c - f_{5b} h^a h^b B_c + \frac{1}{2} f_{6} a_{bc} B_a B_b B_c = 0,$$

$$dA^a + \frac{\partial f_{1bc}}{\partial \phi_a} A^b h^c + \frac{\partial f_{2b}}{\partial \phi_a} A^b B_c + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial f_{3bcd}}{\partial \phi_a} h^b h^c h^d +\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{4bc}}{\partial \phi_a} h^b h^c B_d + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{5b}}{\partial \phi_a} h^a B_b B_c + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial f_{6}}{\partial \phi_a} B_a B_b B_c = 0. \quad (2.29)$$

The BRST transformation $\delta$ on each field is calculated to be:

$$\delta \phi_a = - f_{1ab} c_1^a - f_{2a} c_2^b,$$

$$\delta h^a = d c_1^a + f_{4bc} h^b c_1^c + f_{5b} h^a c_1^b B_c - f_{5b} h^a c_1^b c_2^c + f_{6} h^a B_c c_2^c - f_{5b} h^a c_1^b,$$

$$\delta B_a = f_{3abc} h^b c_1^c - f_{4ab} c_1^b B_c + f_{4ab} c_1^b c_2^c + f_{5a} h^a B_b c_2^c + f_{5a} h^a B_b c_2^c - f_{1ab} c_3^b,$$

$$\delta A^a = \frac{\partial f_{1bc}}{\partial \phi_a} A^b c_1^c + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{3bcd}}{\partial \phi_a} h^b h^c c_1^d + \frac{\partial f_{4bc}}{\partial \phi_a} h^c c_1^d B_d + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial f_{5b}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^b c_2^c B_d + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial f_{6}}{\partial \phi_a} B_a B_b c_2^c = 0. \quad (2.30)$$

We have obtained the above BRST transformation systematically by the antifield BRST formalism. Therefore (2.30) is the complete set of the BRST, that is, the gauge transformation. Generally, (2.30) is nilpotent only on shell. That is, the algebra of the symmetry is an open algebra.
We now consider the gauge symmetry algebra to understand the role of $f_i$’s simply. We replace the ghost fields $c_1^a$, $c_2^a$ and $c_3^a$ to gauge parameters $\epsilon_1^a$, $\epsilon_2^a$ and $\epsilon_3^a$. Then we obtain the usual gauge transformation. We decompose the gauge symmetry as
\[
\delta = \epsilon_1^a \delta_{1a} + \epsilon_2^a \delta_{2a} + \epsilon_3^a \delta_{3a}.
\]
Then the commutation relations of the algebra generators $\delta_{1a}$, $\delta_{2a}$ and $\delta_{3a}$ are derived from (2.30) and (2.29) as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
[\delta_{1a}, \delta_{1b}] &\approx f_{4ab}^c \delta_{1c} + f_{3abc} \delta_{2}^c - \left( \frac{\partial f_{3abcd}}{\partial \phi_c} h^d + \frac{\partial f_{4abcd}}{\partial \phi_c} B_d \right) \delta_{3c}, \\
[\delta_{1a}, \delta_{2b}] &\approx f_{5a}^b \delta_{1c} - f_{4ac}^b \delta_{2}^c + \left( \frac{\partial f_{4ab}^d}{\partial \phi_c} h^d - \frac{\partial f_{5a}^bd}{\partial \phi_c} B_d \right) \delta_{3c}, \\
[\delta_{2a}, \delta_{2b}] &\approx f_{6}^{abc} \delta_{1c} + f_{5c}^a \delta_{2}^c - \left( \frac{\partial f_{5d}^c}{\partial \phi_c} h^d + \frac{\partial f_{6}^bd}{\partial \phi_c} B_d \right) \delta_{3c}, \\
[\delta_{1a}, \delta_{3b}] &\approx \frac{\partial f_{1ab}}{\partial \phi_c} \delta_{3c}, \\
[\delta_{2a}, \delta_{3b}] &\approx - \frac{\partial f_{2b}}{\partial \phi_c} \delta_{3c}, \\
[\delta_{3a}, \delta_{3b}] &\approx 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Here $\approx$ means that the identities are satisfied only on shell, that is, up to the equations of motion. From (2.32), $f_i$’s are thus seen to give the ’structure constants’ in this algebra, although they actually depend on $\phi_a$. Moreover we can see that the conditions (2.19) – (2.27) are nothing but the algebra closing conditions and the Jacobi identities on this Lie algebra.

3. Relations to the known 3D theories

3.1 Nonabelian gauge symmetry

First we consider a simple case. Let only $f_{4ab}^c$ be nonzero among six $f_i$’s and be a constant. Then the conditions from (2.19) to (2.27) reduce to the following one:
\[
f_{4e[d}^a f_{4be]}^c = 0,
\]
This is nothing but the identity for structure constants of a Lie algebra. Then we find that $h_a^a$ has well known nonabelian gauge symmetry in (2.30), and the complete gauge transformation of the theory is obtained from (2.30) by setting $f_{4ab}^c$ a constant and the other $f_i$ zero.
3.2 Nonlinear gauge theory

Let \( W_{ab} \) be an arbitrary \( a, b \) antisymmetric function of \( \phi_a \). We take \( f_{1ab} = W_{ab} \), \( f_{4abc} = \partial W_{ab}/\partial \phi_c \) and other \( f_i = 0 \). Then (2.19) – (2.27) reduce to (1.2):

\[
\frac{\partial W_{ab}}{\partial \phi_d} W_{cd} + \frac{\partial W_{bc}}{\partial \phi_d} W_{ad} + \frac{\partial W_{ca}}{\partial \phi_d} W_{bd} = 0,
\]

and the action (2.28) coincides with the higher dimensional nonlinear gauge theory [8]. Moreover (2.30) give us the complete gauge transformation on this theory.

3.3 Chern-Simons-Witten gravity

Let us take \( f_{4abc} = \epsilon_{abc} \), \( f_{6abc} = \Lambda \epsilon_{abc} \) and other \( f_i = 0 \), where \( \epsilon_{abc} \) is three dimensional completely antisymmetric tensor and \( \Lambda \) is a constant. Then (2.19) – (2.27) are trivially satisfied. We rewrite \( \omega^a \equiv h^a \), \( e_a \equiv B_a \) for clarity. Then the action (2.28) becomes

\[
\mathcal{L} = A^a \wedge d\phi^a + e_a \wedge d\omega^a + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} \omega^a \omega^b e_c + \frac{\Lambda}{6} \epsilon^{abc} e_a e_b e_c.
\]

(3.3)

\( A^a \) and \( \phi_a \) completely decouple from the other fields. The remaining terms with \( e_a \) and \( \omega^a \) are the Chern-Simons-Witten gravity action with a cosmological constant \( \Lambda \) [11]. We find that \( e_a \) is a dreibein and \( \omega^a \) is a spin connection. The gauge transformation (2.30) reduces to

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta \phi_a &= 0, \\
\delta \omega^a &= dc_1^a + \epsilon_{bc}^a \omega^b c_1^c + \Lambda \epsilon_{abc} e_b c_2^c, \\
\delta e_a &= -\epsilon_{ab}^c c_1^b e_c + dc_2^a + \epsilon_{ab}^c \omega^b c_2^c, \\
\delta A^a &= dc_3^a.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.4)

The transformation of \( \omega^a \) and \( e_a \) are the gauge transformation founded in [11].

This theory also has the following the general coordinate and local Lorentz symmetry:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_G \phi_a &= -u^\lambda \partial_\lambda \phi_a, \\
\delta_G \omega^a &= -du^\lambda \omega_\lambda^a - u^\lambda \partial_\lambda \omega^a - ds^a - \epsilon_{bc}^a \omega^b s^c, \\
\delta_G e_a &= -du^\lambda e_\lambda^a - u^\lambda \partial_\lambda e_a - \epsilon_{ab}^c s^b e_c, \\
\delta_G A^a &= -\frac{1}{2} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu (\partial_\mu u^\lambda A_{\nu\lambda}^a + \partial_\nu u^\lambda A_{\mu\lambda}^a + u^\lambda \partial_\lambda A_{\mu\nu}^a),
\end{align*}
\]

(3.5)

where \( e_a = dx^\mu e_{\mu a} \), \( \omega^a = dx^\mu \omega_{\mu a} \), \( A^a = \frac{1}{2} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu A_{\mu\nu}^a \). \( u^\mu \) and \( s^a \) are the general coordinate and local Lorentz transformation parameters. We note that (3.4) and (3.5) are redundant gauge transformations. If we turn on \( f_1 \) or \( f_2 \), we can modify the action (3.3) and couple \( A^a \) and \( \phi_a \) with \( \omega^a \) and \( e_a \). However it is an unusual coupling and generally the general coordinate and local Lorentz symmetry of \( \omega^a \) and \( e_a \) in (3.3) break down. Thus we cannot interpret \( \omega^a \) and \( e_a \) as the spin connection and the dreibein in this case.
3.4 Two-brane

Two dimensional nonlinear gauge theory (1.4) has been related to the string theory. If there is the inverse of \( W_{ab} \), we can integrate \( h^a \) out, and then, we obtain

\[
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} (W^{-1})^{ab} d\phi_a d\phi_b .
\]

(3.6)

This is the Neveu-Schwarz B-field part of the string world sheet action.

M-theory contains a two dimensional extended object. Its world volume action is three dimensional field theory. When there is a nonzero 3-from \( C^{abc} \), its bosonic part is written as

\[
S = -T \left( \int d^3 x \sqrt{\det(-g_{\mu\nu})} + \frac{1}{3!} \int C^{abc} d\phi_a d\phi_b d\phi_c \right) ,
\]

(3.7)

where \( g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \phi_a \partial_\nu \phi_b \eta^{ab} \).

Now we consider 3-form field \( C^{abc} \) part in the world volume action, which is

\[
S = \frac{T}{3!} \int C^{abc} d\phi_a d\phi_b d\phi_c ,
\]

(3.8)

where \( C^{abc} \) can generally depend on \( \phi_a \). This action can be rewritten to the first order form by introducing auxiliary fields \( \eta_a \) and \( A^a \) \[12\]:

\[
S_{BSZ} = \int \left( A^a \wedge (d\phi_a - \eta_a) + \frac{T}{3!} C^{abc} \eta_a \eta_b \eta_c \right) ,
\]

(3.9)

where \( \eta_a \) is a 1-from and \( A^a \) is 2-form. If we redefine \( \eta_a \) as

\[
\eta_a \equiv -W_{ab} h^b ,
\]

(3.10)

then (3.10) becomes

\[
S_{BSZ} = \int \left( A^a \wedge (d\phi_a + W_{ab} h^b) - \frac{T}{3!} C^{abc} W_{ad} W_{be} W_{cf} h^d h^e h^f \right) .
\]

(3.11)

Eq. (3.11) can be obtained by the following procedure from our action (2.28). We can introduce a coupling constant \( t \) by redefining \( B_a \) to \( t B_a \). We take the limit \( t \to 0 \) in the action (2.28). Then (2.28) coincide with (3.11) if we identify \( f_{1ab} = W_{ab} \), \( f_3^{abc} = T W_{ad} W_{be} W_{cf} C^{def} \). The theory at finite \( t \) has been unknown so far and is quite new one.

4. Conclusion and discussion

We considered all possible deformations of three dimensional BF theory by the antifield BRST formalism. It led us to a new gauge symmetry and a deformed new action, which includes any gauge symmetry deformation with a Lie algebra structure.
This gauge symmetry gives an extension of the nonlinear gauge symmetry \[ \text{[14]} \], and the action includes the three-dimensional nonabelian BF theory, three-dimensional Chern-Simons-Witten gravity theory, and topological two-brane theory with nonzero 3-form \( C^{abc} \).

Higher dimensional extension of our discussion is straightforward, it is interesting to investigate possible deformations of the BF theory in various dimension.

It will be possible to make the discussions analogous to Cattaneo and Felder \[ \text{[5]} \], and then this theory may be related to a star product deformation theory or its extension. It will be also useful to examine possible relations with the M2 and M5-branes in M-theory.

**Acknowledgments**

The author expresses gratitude to T.Kugo for reading the manuscript carefully. He also thanks to Summer Institute 2000 at Yamanashi, Japan which inspired this work.

**A. The total BRST transformations on all fields**

The total BRST transformation on all fields without antifields are derived from (2.4) as follows:

\[
\delta \phi_a = -f_{abc} c_1^b - f_{2ab} c_{2b},
\]

\[
\delta h^a = dc_1 - \frac{\partial f_{2ab}}{\partial \phi_c} A^*_c v^b - f_{2ab} c_3^b + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{4bc}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_d c_1^c c_1^d + f_{4bc} a^h c_1^c -
\]

\[
- \frac{\partial f_{5ab} c_1}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_d c_1^c c_2^c - f_{5ab} c_1^c c_1^d + f_{5ab} c^b c_1^d B_c + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{6abc}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_d c_2^b c_2^c + f_{6abc} B_d c_2^c ,
\]

\[
\delta B_a = dc_2a + \frac{\partial f_{1ba}}{\partial \phi_c} A^*_c v^b - f_{1ba} c_3^b + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{3ab}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_d c_1^c c_1^d + f_{3ab} c_1^d B_c + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{4abc}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_d c_2^b c_2^c + f_{4abc} B_d c_2^c ,
\]

\[
\delta A^a = dc_3^a - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f_{1de}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} A^*_e A^*_b v^d c_1^e - \frac{\partial^2 f_{1cd}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} A^*_e v^d c_1^e + \frac{\partial^2 f_{1cd}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} A^*_e c_1^d c_1^d -
\]

\[
- \frac{\partial^2 f_{1cd}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} A^*_e v^d c_1^e + \frac{\partial^2 f_{1cd}}{\partial \phi_d \partial \phi_e} A^*_e v^d c_1^e - \frac{\partial f_{1d}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_a c_1^b c_1^c -
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{2e}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_e A^*_b v^d c_2^e - \frac{\partial f_{2d}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_e A^*_b v^d c_2^e - \frac{\partial f_{2e}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_e A^*_b v^d c_2^e + \frac{\partial f_{2d}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_e A^*_b v^d c_2^e
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial f_{3def}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_e A^*_b v^d c_1^f - \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial f_{5de}}{\partial \phi_d} A^*_e A^*_b v^d c_1^f
\]
\[ \begin{aligned}
- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f_{3bde}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} A_b^* c_1^d c_1^e & - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{3bed}}{\partial \phi_a} B^{ab} c_1^e c_1^d + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{3bde}}{\partial \phi_a} h^b c_1^d \\
- \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3 f_{4de}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b \partial \phi_c} A_b^* A_c^* c_1^d c_1^e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f_{4de}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} c_1^d c_1^e \\
- \frac{\partial^2 f_{4cd}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} A_b^* h^c c_1^d c_2^e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{4cd}}{\partial \phi_a} A_b^* c_1^d B_e \\
- \frac{\partial f_{4bc}}{\partial \phi_a} B^{bc} c_1^e c_2^d + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{4bc}}{\partial \phi_a} h^b c_1^e c_2^d \\
- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 f_{5de}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b \partial \phi_c} A_b^* A_c^* c_1^d c_2^e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f_{5de}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} c_1^d c_2^e \\
- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{5b}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^b B_e c_2^d + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{5b}}{\partial \phi_a} h^b c_1^b c_2^d \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 f_{6de}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b \partial \phi_c} A_b^* A_c^* c_1^d c_2^e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f_{6de}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} c_1^d c_2^e \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{6b}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^b B_e c_2^d,
\end{aligned} \]

\[
\begin{aligned}
\delta c_1^a &= f_{2ab} v^b + \frac{1}{2} f_{4bc} a^1 b^c c_1^e - f_{5b} a^1 c_1^d c_2^e + \frac{1}{2} f_{6} a^1 b^1 c_2^e, \\
\delta c_2^a &= f_{1ab} v^b + \frac{1}{2} f_{3abc} a^1 b^c c_1^e + f_{4ab} c_1^d c_2^e + \frac{1}{2} f_{5a} b^c c_2^e, \\
\delta c_3^a &= d v^a - \frac{\partial^2 f_{1cd}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} A_b^* v^e c_1^d + \frac{\partial f_{3bc}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^d c_2^c - \frac{\partial f_{3bc}}{\partial \phi_a} v^b c_2^e - \frac{\partial^2 f_{2cd}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} A_b^* v^c c_2^d + \frac{\partial f_{4bc}}{\partial \phi_a} c_2^c - \frac{\partial f_{4bc}}{\partial \phi_a} v^b c_2^e - \frac{\partial^2 f_{3cd}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} A_b^* c_1^d c_1^e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{3bed}}{\partial \phi_a} h^b c_1^d c_1^e \\
- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{5bc}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} A_b^* c_1^d c_2^e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{5bc}}{\partial \phi_a} h^b c_1^d c_2^e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{5bc}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^d c_2^e \\
- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{6cd}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} A_b^* c_2^c c_2^d c_2^e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{6cd}}{\partial \phi_a \partial \phi_b} c_2^c c_2^d c_2^e \\
- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{6}}{\partial \phi_a} A_b^* c_2^c c_2^d c_2^e - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{6}}{\partial \phi_a} B_b c_2^c c_2^d,
\end{aligned} \]

\[
\begin{aligned}
\delta v^a &= \frac{\partial f_{1bc}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^d c_1^e + \frac{\partial f_{2bc}}{\partial \phi_a} v^b c_2^e + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{3bed}}{\partial \phi_a} h^b c_1^d c_1^e + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{4bc}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^d c_1^e + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{5bc}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^d c_2^e + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{6bc}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^d c_2^e \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{5bc}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^d c_2^e c_2^d + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{6bc}}{\partial \phi_a} c_1^d c_2^e c_2^d.
\end{aligned} \]

(A.1)
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