Obstructive nephropathy is a frequently encountered situation in newborns. In previous studies, the urinary peptidome has been analyzed for the identification of clinically useful biomarkers of obstructive nephropathy. However, the urinary proteome has not been explored yet and should allow additional insight into the pathophysiology of the disease. We have analyzed the urinary proteome of newborns (n = 5/group) with obstructive nephropathy using label free quantitative nanoLC-MS/MS allowing the identification and quantification of 970 urinary proteins. We next focused on proteins exclusively regulated in severe obstructive nephropathy and identified Arginase 1 as a potential candidate molecule involved in the development of obstructive nephropathy, located at the crossroad of pro- and antifibrotic pathways. The reduced urinary abundance of Arginase 1 in obstructive nephropathy was verified in independent clinical samples using both Western blot and MRM analysis. These data were confirmed in situ in kidneys obtained from a mouse obstructive nephropathy model. In addition, we observed increased expression of Arginase 2 and increased total arginase activity in obstructed mouse kidneys. mRNA expression analysis of the related arginase pathways indicated that the pro-fibrotic arginase-related pathway is activated during obstructive nephropathy. Taken together we have identified a new actor in the development of obstructive nephropathy in newborns using quantitative urinary proteomics and shown its involvement in an in vivo model of disease. The present study demonstrates the relevance of such a quantitative urinary proteomics approach with clinical samples for a better understanding of the pathophysiology and for the discovery of potential therapeutic targets.
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approach with surgical intervention only if renal deterioration is detected (2). Although this medical surveillance prevents unnecessary surgery, it mostly relies on invasive follow-up. Consequently with the aim to reduce this invasive follow-up, several groups have initiated research to identify noninvasive urinary biomarkers of UPJ obstruction using both targeted and nontargeted (e.g. proteome analysis based) strategies. Targeted strategies including urinary cytokine expression analyses failed to clearly determine the need for surgery in UPJ obstruction (3, 4). On the other hand, untargeted strategies have been more successful and by using urinary proteomics, biomarkers for renal and non-renal diseases have been identified (5–9). Using urinary peptidome analysis, we identified and validated a urinary peptide panel that predicted the clinical outcome of newborns with UPJ obstruction with 97% accuracy several months in advance (3, 10). An independent small-scale study confirmed the efficiency of this biomarker panel (7). These studies indicate the potential of urinary proteomics to predict the clinical fate of patients with UPJ obstruction. Although these endogenous urinary peptide biomarkers are of great potential clinical value, sequencing of these biomarkers mainly identified collagen fragments that are less informative on the pathophysiology of the disease. In contrast, studies of the high molecular weight urinary proteome (i.e. proteins) might be more informative on the pathophysiology of disease. Different approaches have been used in the past to characterize the urinary proteome, either by 2D-gel electrophoresis coupled to mass spectrometry (11, 12) or reverse phase liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis (13–16). In-depth proteome analysis using extensive fractionation of the sample and high resolution, fast sequencing mass spectrometers have reported the identification of >2000 proteins in normal human urine (13, 15, 16). Here, we applied quantitative high-resolution label free LC-MS/MS analysis for the identification of urinary proteins associated to UPJ obstruction in newborns. Among a number of proteins uniquely associated with severe UPJ obstruction, we identified Arginase 1, not previously recognized in UPJ obstruction. Using an independent larger cohort, we further verified reduced urinary abundance of Arginase 1 using both Western blot and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). Using the mouse model of obstructive nephropathy, we observed that the expression of arginases is size for a role of arginases in the development of fibrotic lesions in obstructive nephropathy.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Participants and Urine Collection—After local ethics committee approval, informed consent was obtained from all participants (parents of the newborns). All urine samples were from male newborns of less than one year old. A total of 49 UPJ obstruction patients were studied. In addition, healthy individuals were used to establish normal urinary protein patterns. Details on urine collection procedures can be found in the supplementary Experimental Procedures.

Clinical Analysis Methods—DMSA scans were performed to determine differential renal function as described (9) and interpreted as described by Patel et al. (8). MAG3-scans to determine the degree of obstruction were performed as described by Wong et al. (17).

Patients—All patients with unilateral antenatally detected hydronephrosis, defined by a renal pelvic diameter >5 mm, were treated at the Children’s Hospital Purpan, Toulouse, France. All patients underwent an ultrasound examination within the first month of life. The degree of hydronephrosis was classified between grades I and IV according to the system developed by the Society for Fetal Urology and the ultrason appearance of the renal parenchyma and pelvi-calycal system on longitudinal ultrasonic section (18–20). A voiding cystourethrogram (VCUG) was systematically performed at the first visit. Patients were excluded if they had a vesicoureteric reflux (VUR), solitary kidney, bilateral hydronephrosis, ureteral dilatation, or lower urinary tract abnormalities. After the first visit, patients were classified into “Mild” or “Severe.” A detailed clinical description of the Mild or Severe UPJ obstruction patients can be found in the supplementary experimental procedures and in (10). For the patients classified as Severe, urine samples were collected as described above with a collection pouch, or directly obtained from the pelvis during pyeloplasty. These samples were studied as two different subgroups according to the origin of urine, designated “Severe-Bladder” or “Severe-Pelvis.” The median age (±S.D.) of newborns of each group when urinary samples were collected was 22 ± 11.5 weeks in the “Healthy” group (n = 22), 15 ± 13.7 weeks in the Mild group (n = 27), 11 ± 6.73 weeks in the Severe-Bladder group (n = 22), and 13 ± 8 weeks in the Severe-Pelvis group (n = 6). Details regarding the age of the newborns included in the study can be found in the supplementary Experimental Procedures.

Label-free Quantification Workflow—

Urine Sample Preparation for NanoLC-MS/MS Analysis—For the discovery phase, 20 urine samples (n = 5/group) were used. Urine samples (5–15 ml) were centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C to remove cell debris. The supernatants were concentrated and digested using a protocol adapted from the Filter-Aided based Sample Preparation (FASP) method (21, 22). For details of the FASP method and deviation from the published FASP protocol see the supplementary Experimental Procedures.

NanoLC-MS/MS Analysis—The resulting peptides were analyzed by nanoLC-MS/MS using an Ultimate3000 system (Dionex, Amsterdam, Netherlands) coupled to an LTQ-Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Each sample was analyzed in triplicate, resulting in 60 analytical runs (three replicates × four groups × five samples). The order of the injections was randomized over all the samples. Further details on NanoLC-MS/MS analysis can be found in the supplementary experimental procedures.

Database Search and Protein Validation—Database searches were performed using the Mascot Daemon software (version 2.3.2 Matrix Science, London, UK) using procedures described in the supplementary Experimental Procedures.

Quantification from Raw MS Data—Quantification was performed based on all peptide identifications derived from the 60 analytical runs, using the label-free quantitative module implemented in the MFPaq v4.0.0 software (Mascot File Parsing and Quantification) (http://mfpaq.sourceforge.net/) as described in the supplementary Experimental Procedures. Quantification of peptide ions was performed based on calculated Extracted Ion Chromatogram (XIC) areas values.

Data Processing and Statistical Analysis—Protein relative quantification was performed by pairwise comparisons of different groups, containing each five samples analyzed in triplicate. The method used
for missing intensity values imputation for each peptide ion is described in the supplementary Experimental Procedures. Based on the peptide quantitative data, a Protein Abundance Index (PAI) was calculated, defined as the total sum of all the XIC areas for all the tryptic peptide ions identified for this protein. To perform normalization of a group of analytical runs, we computed abundances ratios for all the PAI values between a reference run and all the other runs, and used the median of the PAI ratios as a normalization factor for each run. Statistical analysis was then performed for each pairwise comparison of sample groups. For each sample, the mean of normalized PAI values retrieved from triplicate nanoLC-MS measurements was calculated, and a Student’s t test on log transformed mean normalized PAI values was used for statistical evaluation of the significance of expression level variations (assuming nonequal variances). p values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure (23). All procedures were performed using R packages, which can be provided upon request.

Targeted Mass Spectrometry Analysis-Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) for Biomarker Verification—The design of MRM assays and urinary sample preparation for MRM analysis was performed as described in the supplementary Experimental Procedures. Each dried digested urinary sample spiked with yeast exogenous proteins was suspended with 14 μl of a mixture of synthetic stable isotope-labeled peptides (partially purified PEPotec synthetic peptides or purified AQUA Ultimate peptides, Thermo Scientific, see the supplementary Experimental Procedures) in 2% acetonitrile, and 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid. Half of the preparation was then loaded on the system and analyzed on the 5500 QTrap as described for the design of MRM assays (supplementary Experimental Procedures), using the optimized transitions list (supplemental Data S1). Quantitative measurements were performed via time-scheduled MRM acquisition, using a 4 min retention time window and a cycle time of 3s. Details of the MRM acquisition method are given in supplemental Table S1. For assessment of the repeatability of the entire analytical workflow (sample concentration on SDS-PAGE + in-gel digestion + LC-MRM analysis), replicate sample preparations and LC–MRM analysis were performed on aliquots of either a unique healthy urine sample from the cohort of the verification study or of a pooled urine sample from healthy donors (supplementary Experimental Procedures, supplemental Table S2 and supplemental Data S2–S3 for details on these preliminary experiments). For the candidate proteins monitoring with purified AQUA peptides, response curves were generated by spiking in increasing amounts the heavy standards in a pooled urine sample from healthy donors (supplementary Experimental Procedures, supplemental Table S3 and supplemental Data S4–S5). Assessment of the LC–MRM system performances during the clinical study itself was done through systematic injection of a quality control beta-galactosidase tryptic digest, interspersed between acquisition of the clinical samples from the verification cohort (supplementary Data S6), and through monitoring of the spiked-in yeast protein internal standards (supplementary Data S7). Injections corresponding to the three groups of samples from the verification cohort were randomized during LC–MRM analysis. The entire data set of the MRM analysis was loaded into PeptideAtlas/PASSEL (http://www.peptideatlas.org/PASS/PASS00454). All MRM data were analyzed with the Skyline software (http://proteome.gs.washington.edu/software/skyline). Data were manually inspected to ensure correct peak detection and integration. In addition, the AuDIT module (25) was used to assess the quality of the monitored transitions, by controlling the relative ratios between transitions in the light and heavy peptides in the preliminary triplicate test data set (supplemental Table S2), and in the clinical data set itself (supplemental Table S4). Endogenous protein amounts were estimated by QuaSAR from the calculation of peak area ratio (PAR) values between light and heavy peptides, and from the known spiked amounts of the heavy internal standard peptides (approximate amounts were used in the case of partially purified PEPotec peptides). Relative quantification and statistical analysis between sample groups was performed on one selected transition (the best) for each targeted peptide using unpaired t test assuming unequal variances (supplementary Experimental Procedures). For each sample group comparison (Mild/Healthy, Severe-Bladder/Healthy and Severe-Bladder/Mild) p values were corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure (22 p values corrected corresponding to the 22 peptides quantified per comparison) (supplemental Data S8). Adjusted p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Graphics were generated by Prism 5 GraphPad software.

Adult Mouse Model of Obstructive Nephropathy—

Animals—C57Bl/6J mice were purchased from Janvier SAS (CS 4105 Le Genest St Isle F-53941 St Berthevin Cedex). The mice were housed in a pathogen-free environment. All experiments reported were conducted in accordance with the NIH guide for the care and use of laboratory animals and were approved by a local animal care and use committee.

Unilateral Ureteral Obstruction (UUO)—UUO is a model of obstructive nephropathy mimicking in an accelerated manner the development of renal fibrosis (26, 27).

Male mice 8 weeks of age were used in all experiments. Unilateral ureteral ligation was performed as previously described (28). Mice were subjected to UUO during 3 or 8 days. Details can be found in the supplementary Experimental Procedures.

Histological Analysis and Immunohistochemistry—For histology, kidney sections were fixed in Carnoy’s solution for 24 h, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. Four-micrometer paraffin-embedded sections were used for immunohistochemistry. Sections were first dewaxed in Otix Plus (Diaphan, Martinengo, Italy) and rehydrated through a series of graded ethanol washes before endogenous peroxidase blockage (S2001, DakoCytomation, Trappes, France). For detection of collagen fibril deposits, tissues sections were incubated 1 h at room temperature with primary antibody anticalcigen type I (1:250, CL50151AP1 Cedarlane, Ontario, Canada) and further with the secondary anti-rabbit IgG Dako Envision HRP system (K4002, DakoCytomation) during 30 min. Immunological complexes were visualized by the addition of the DAB substrate during 10 min (TA-125-HDX, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin and mounted. Kidney sections were scanned using a Nanozoomer 2.0 RS (Hamamatsu Photonics SARL, Massy, France) and treated with the Morpho-expert image-analysis software (version 1.00, Explora Nova, La Rochelle, France) for morphometric analyses.

Western blot Analyses—Complete details of the procedure can be found in the supplementary Experimental Procedures. Briefly urine samples were desalted on PD10 columns (GE Healthcare Europe GmbH, Freiburg, Germany), concentrated by lyophilisation, and stored at −80 °C. Renal mouse tissues were homogenized in ice-cold RIPA cell lysis buffer and then centrifuged at 13,000 × g at 15 min at 4 °C. The resulting supernatants were stored at −80 °C and used as tissue extracts for experiments. Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). For Western blot analysis, ARG1 and 2 immunoblotting were performed by using specific rabbit polyclonal anti-Aarginase1 (Sigma) and anti-Aarginase2 (Santa–Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) antibodies. GAPDH was detected with a goat monoclonal antibody (Santa–Cruz Biotechnol–

The antigen-antibody complex was detected with Peroxydase blockage (S2001, DakoCytomation, Trappes, France). Western blot analyses were performed using a colorimetric analysis of urea production from L-arginine,
as previously described (29). Details of the arginase activity measurement can be found in the supplementary Experimental Procedures.

Quantification of Gene Expression by Real-time Quantitative PCR (RT-PCR)—Total RNA from mouse kidney samples was isolated and analyzed by RT-PCR as described in the supplementary experimental procedures. Primers used are listed in supplemental Table S5.

Measurement of Plasma Amino Acid Concentration—In each group (n = 8), plasma samples from two mice were pooled in order to obtain a sufficient volume for analysis. Arginine concentrations were determined in the resulting four samples/group by ion exchange chromatography in an Aminotrac amino acid analyzer (Aminotrac JLC-500/V, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). After reaction with ninhydrin, arginine was detected at 570 nm. Data acquisition and calculations were made using the Jeol Work station software version 3.45.

Statistical Analysis of Data from Mouse Model—Data were expressed as mean ± S.D. Results were analyzed by statistical non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. Graphics were generated by Prism 5 GraphPad software. p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Label-free LC-MS/MS Analysis of the Urinary Proteome of UPJ Obstruction Patients—We adapted the previously described filter-aided sample preparation (FASP) method (21) to process urinary samples on an ultrafiltration device with a 10 kDa cut-off membrane (Fig. 1). After proteolytic digestion, tryptic peptides were recovered in the filtrate from the last centrifugation step, free of undigested protein or other high-molecular weight material. Following this protocol, around 250 urinary proteins could be identified per nanoLC-MS/MS run on an LTQ-Orbitrap-Velos instrument. Using the same
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urine sample from an healthy individual, we obtained a median coefficient of variation (CV) for the PAI values of 5% for a triplicate consecutive repeat LC-MS measurement, whereas the variability related to a triplicate sample preparation was 14% (supplemental Data S9A). These CVs were at the lower end compared with other urinary proteome studies (30, 31), and indicated that the variability of the analytical method is much lower than the inter-individual variability expected in a clinical study (see below).

For identification of urinary proteins associated with UPJ obstruction, four different groups of five newborns were studied (Fig. 1 and Materials and Methods for detailed group descriptions): healthy individuals (Healthy), newborns with mild UPJ obstruction evolving to spontaneous resolution (Mild), and severe UPJ obstruction that needed to be surgically corrected (Severe). In the latter, we analyzed both bladder urine samples and urine samples directly taken from the pelvis during surgery (marked Severe-Bladder and Severe-Pelvis, respectively). All samples were prepared and analyzed randomly. Each of the 20 samples were measured in triplicate, which improved MS/MS sampling and increased the number of identified proteins with 30% (supplemental Data S9B). In the time course of the present clinical study (about 10 days), good chromatographic reproducibility was observed across random triplicate injections (supplemental Data S10) as well as a good analytical repeatability (median PAI CV of 13% for randomly triplicate measurements), whereas the inter-individual variability in a same study group was 50 to 60% (supplemental Data S11). Using the MFPAQ software (32), we could identify and quantify 970 unique urinary proteins from these 60 raw files (supplemental Table S6).

Candidate Marker Proteins Selection—For the identification of proteins differentially secreted in obstructed kidneys, we focused on the three pairwise combinations Severe-Pelvis/Healthy, Severe-Bladder/Healthy, and Mild/Healthy (Fig. 2A). Depending on the comparisons, 16 to 174 differentially secreted proteins were observed (p < 0.05) (Fig. 2A and 2B and supplemental Table S7). Only the comparison Severe-Pelvis/Healthy yielded proteins that resisted to the multiple-testing correction. A major aim in obstructive nephropathy is to find biomarkers of severe obstruction versus mild self-resolving obstruction. Therefore, we focused mainly on proteins specifically involved in severe obstruction and retained proteins that were found with differential abundance in the Severe/Healthy group comparison (p value <0.05), but that were not significantly modulated in the Mild/Healthy comparison. Among such markers, some had both a modified abundance in bladder urine (Severe-Bladder/Healthy comparison) and in urine collected directly from the pelvis of the pathological kidney (Severe-Pelvis/Healthy comparison), suggesting that the modification was originating specifically from the obstructed kidney (Table I, supplemental Data S12). On the contrary, markers that were found with different abundance in Severe-Bladder/Healthy comparison but were not significant in Severe-Pelvis/Healthy comparison were classified as proteins potentially modulated in the contralateral kidney (supplemental Data S12–S13).

As a first verification of the urinary proteome-based analysis we searched for the presence of proteins known to be modified in UPJ obstruction. Epidermal growth factor (EGF) has been shown to be reduced in both kidney tissue and urine of patients with UPJ obstruction (33, 34). EGF was among the top differentially excreted proteins in Table I. This reduced urinary EGF abundance in UPJ obstruction was verified by estimation of the EGF concentration by multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) using independent samples (~10/group, supplemental Data S8, S14–S15). These data on EGF clearly validate the global proteomics-based approach for the detection of differentially secreted urinary proteins in UPJ obstruction.

In the next step we selected proteins differentially expressed in the obstructed kidney for further verification. Selection for verification was based 1) on the lowest p values and highest fold increase or decrease, 2) on whether proteins were not yet shown to be involved in the obstructive nephropathy or renal disease, and finally 3) whether these proteins could be potentially involved in the major events of obstructive nephropathy, including inflammation, myofibroblast accumulation, and fibrosis (26). The protein that corresponded best to those criteria was Arginase 1 (ARG1). Urinary proteome analysis detected ~12 times less ARG1 in urine from severe UPJ individuals compared with controls in both comparisons Severe-Pelvis/Healthy and Severe-Bladder/Healthy (p value <0.05) (Table I). ARG1 may potentially be involved in the development of fibrosis in UPJ obstruction by down regulating the production of nitric oxide (NO) and by directing the use of L-arginine to cellular proliferation and collagen synthesis (Fig. 3A). We therefore subsequently focused on studying the regulation of arginases in obstructive nephropathy.

Verification of Modification of Arginase Expression in Human Obstructive Nephropathy—According to the guidelines of clinical proteomics (35, 36), we verified the reduced abundance of urinary ARG1 in UPJ obstruction using Western blot and MRM on a larger number of independent controls and UPJ obstruction patients (Fig. 1 and 4). Western blot analyses showed a significantly lower urinary ARG1 abundance in newborns with UPJ obstruction compared with controls (Fig. 4A and 4B). This modified urinary ARG1 abundance was confirmed by MRM (Fig. 4C, supplemental Table S4, supplemental Data S8, MRM chromatograms views of the peptides monitored for ARG1 are provided in supplemental Data S16–S17).

Although we observed a significant difference in age between the “Healthy” and “Severe-Bladder” groups in both the discovery and verification cohorts, we did not observe a correlation between the age of the children and the level of ARG1 excretion, irrespective of the approach (i.e. LC-MS/MS, Western blot, or MRM) we used (supplemental Data S18). However
in contrast to the initial LC-MS/MS analysis where ARG1 was decreased only during severe obstruction, here we observed reduced urinary ARG1 abundance compared with controls irrespective of the severity of UPJ obstruction and verification method used.

Analysis of Arginase Expression and Activity in a Mouse Model of Obstructive Nephropathy—There are two distinct isoenzymes of mammalian arginases that have 58% sequence identity and that are encoded by separate genes (37). Under physiological conditions, using Western blot analyses, we observed significant expression of ARG1 and ARG2 isoforms in the mouse kidney. Both arginase isoforms gave weak signals in the bladder and ARG2 also showed low expression in the ureter (Fig. 5A).

To further study the link between ARG1 and UPJ obstruction we studied the expression and activity of arginase in the obstructive nephropathy mouse model (n = 8/group, Fig. 1). Immunohistochemical studies on kidney sections validated the development of tubulo-interstitial fibrosis as exemplified by increased collagen I staining as early as day 3 after UUO (Fig. 5B). Western blot analysis showed that UUO induced a significant reduction (~60%) in renal ARG1 expression as early as 3 days after UUO. In contrast, ARG2 expression increased four–fivefold both at 3 and 8 days after UUO (Fig. 5C). In addition, total arginase activity was found significantly increased (sixfold) in both 3 or 8 days obstructed kidneys (Fig. 5D). These data suggest that the reduced urinary ARG1 abundance in newborns with UPJ obstruction can originate from its
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**DISCUSSION**

Using label-free LC-MS/MS-based urinary proteome analysis and additional studies in the mouse obstructive nephropathy model, we identified the arginase pathway as a potential player in obstructive nephropathy.

One of the main issues in urinary proteome analysis is to maximize the number of detected proteins, while using an analytical workflow compatible with relative quantitative comparison of the samples, in order to define potential biomarkers. Many in-depth proteomic studies on urine are based on extensive fractionation of the samples, final quantification of the proteins being usually performed by spectral counting (13, 15, 38–41). However, such approaches are in general time consuming which cannot be overcome with pooling of samples because this results in loss of statistical power. Other strategies to increase the depth of the proteome analysis are based on either immunodepletion of abundant urinary proteins or sample treatment with combinatorial peptide ligand libraries but require in general large quantities of starting material (14). Single-run LC-MS/MS label-free quantification

**Analysis of the Expression of Genes Involved in the Profibrotic Arginase Pathway in the Mouse Model of Obstructive Nephropathy**—We studied mRNA expression of different enzymes and proteins leading to proline and polyamine synthesis in the profibrotic arginase pathway (Fig. 3A) in the mouse model. The gene expression profiles (Fig. 6A) suggest activation of the proline formation pathway (increased Oat, ProDH, and P5cs and reduced proDH and P5cd mRNA expression at both 3 and 8 days post UUO) accompanied by reduced polyamine synthesis (reduced Odc mRNA expression). We next studied the expression of genes involved in l-Arginine availability and potential activation of the profibrotic arginase pathway (Fig. 3B).
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**TABLE 1**

| Protein description | Gene name | p value | Fold change | p value | Fold change | p value | Fold change |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| Leucine-rich repeat-containing protein 15 | LRRC15 | 0.000023 | -28.5 | 0.01087 | -6.49 | 0.089532 | -2.11 |
| cDNA FLJ568823, highly similar to Protein-glutamine gamma-glutamyltransferase E | TGM3 | 0.000201 | -64.07 | 0.005549 | -126.47 | 0.050653 | -5.87 |
| Programmed cell death 1 ligand 2 | PDCD1LG2 | 0.004265 | -2.86 | 0.009221 | -1.57 | 0.076241 | -1.52 |
| Arginase-1 | ARG1 | 0.004782 | -11.7 | 0.015897 | -12.06 | 0.117905 | -19.49 |
| Filamin-B | FLNB | 0.008334 | 2.27 | 0.017171 | 2.48 | 0.384359 | 1.37 |
| Plasma serine protease inhibitor | SERPINA5 | 0.011691 | -9.97 | 0.028392 | -3.97 | 0.41059 | -1.82 |
| Pro-epidermal growth factor | EGF | 0.011711 | -3.97 | 0.016865 | -3.42 | 0.181351 | -2.38 |
| Caspase-14 | CASP14 | 0.012268 | -3.53 | 0.029078 | -2.77 | 0.062223 | -3.63 |
| Alpha-amyrase 1 | AMY1A, AMY1B, AMY1C | 0.016001 | -7.32 | 0.019572 | -2.83 | 0.090567 | -2.51 |
| Prostaglandin-H2 o-isomerase | PTGDS | 0.017669 | 6.17 | 0.022986 | 3.49 | 0.075044 | 2.53 |
| Alpha-amyrase 2B | AMY2B | 0.018185 | -7.46 | 0.019572 | -2.83 | 0.090567 | -2.51 |
| Alpha-amyrase 1 | AMY1A, AMY1B, AMY1C | 0.018185 | -7.46 | 0.019281 | -2.64 | 0.07944 | -2.31 |
| Kallikrein-1 | KLK1 | 0.020037 | -23.34 | 0.042669 | -5.37 | 0.302193 | -1.84 |
| Cadherin-1 | CDH1 | 0.025415 | 1.97 | 0.009823 | 2.08 | 0.323939 | 1.97 |
| Cultulin | CUBN | 0.030587 | -1.42 | 0.022303 | -2.14 | 0.20031 | -1.71 |
| Versican core protein V2 | VCAN | 0.030981 | 4.85 | 0.002452 | 4.85 | 0.067847 | 2.16 |
| Versican core protein V0 | VCAN | 0.031845 | 4.71 | 0.002452 | 4.85 | 0.069362 | 2.14 |
| Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7 | IGBP7 | 0.038256 | -1.54 | 0.013847 | -2.59 | 0.219893 | -1.99 |

**Significant proteins that were identified as specifically modulated in the obstructed kidney**

Proteins that were found to be significantly different in the comparisons Severe-Pelvis and Severe-Bladder versus Healthy (columns in grey), but that were not different in the comparison Mild versus Healthy. p value was determined using a Student’s t test and Fold changes between the patient and the control groups were calculated using the median normalized area of the 5 samples per group. The table indicates raw fold changes. For ratio values <1, we performed a 1/x transformation and indicated those as negative fold changes. Reduced urinary abundance of EGF and ARG1 in pathologic samples is highlighted by blue and red characters.

Reduced expression in the obstructed kidney. However the significant increase in arginase activity in the obstructed mouse kidney most likely originates from ARG2 induction during obstructive nephropathy.

The patient and the control groups were calculated using the median normalized area of the 5 samples per group. The table indicates raw fold changes. For ratio values <1, we performed a 1/x transformation and indicated those as negative fold changes. Reduced urinary abundance of EGF and ARG1 in pathologic samples is highlighted by blue and red characters.
of proteins based on peptide ion intensity (30) allowed the identification of ~400 urinary proteins per run. We used a similar method based on single-run analysis of individual samples, and label-free quantitative analysis using the MFPaQ software.

An additional difficulty in our study was the very low amount of protein obtained from urine samples of newborn (protein concentration typically around 5–30 μg/ml versus 100 μg/ml in adults). In addition, typically, only a very limited volume (5–10 ml) of urine was obtained in newborns. A large number of protocols have been described and compared with prepare urinary proteins for proteomic analysis, consisting generally in a concentration step based on either lyophilization, precipitation, solid-phase extraction, or ultrafiltration of urine, followed by desalting and protein digestion with trypsin (31, 42, 43). In our hands, best results were obtained using an ultrafiltration protocol adapted from the (FASP) method.

Finally, our analytical workflow resulted in a fairly robust and repeatable measurement of protein abundance by nanoLC-MS/MS, with typical CVs around 15% for triplicate
preparation and MS analysis. In the discovery step of the study, four different groups (n = 5/group) were defined and 970 unique urinary proteins were identified using this label-free LC-MS/MS protocol, that could be quantified using the cross-assignment procedure of MFPaQ. Using this approach, a number of proteins with significant differences in urinary abundance originating from the obstructed kidney were identified including ARG1, EGF, LRCC15, TGM3, and PTGDS (Table I) and also proteins modulated in contralateral kidney including CDH13 and HSPA5 (supplemental Data S13). Although the urinary abundance of TGM3 was clearly decreased in the obstructed kidney, TGM3 was not selected for our first verification set because we suspected that this change in abundance was caused by epidermal contamination of the samples. Indeed, expression of TGM3 is normally restricted to superficial epidermis and to hair follicles.

Given the low number of samples per group in the discovery study, we verified these differences in new samples using targeted proteomics via Western-blot and MRM analysis. Until now only a few small-scale studies have described the successful use of MRM for the multiplexed quantification of potential clinical biomarkers in urine (44–46). In addition, in these studies, at least 10 ml of urine were used for reproducible MRM analysis. Here, we wanted to take advantage of the high sensitivity of the MRM technique to perform the verification on a number of candidate biomarkers of a larger cohort of children from which often only small volumes of urine were available (3–5 ml). We therefore developed an MRM protocol for the detection of proteins in 3 ml of urine. Although the ultrafiltration method (e.g. FASP-based) was reproducible in our hands in the discovery phase using larger volumes of urine (≥10 ml), it gave less reproducible results with small sample volumes (3 ml). This is most probably caused by loss

**Fig. 4.** Verification of modified urinary abundance of ARG1 by immunohistochemistry and MRM in a new patient cohort. A, Representative Western blot analysis shows a large variability in urinary ARG1 abundance in healthy subjects and a reduced urinary abundance in UPJ obstruction patients (10 μg total urinary proteins/lanes). B, Densitometric analysis of Western blot analyses. Values of anti-ARG1 immunoreactivities were normalized by the quantity of albumin present in each sample as determined by Ponceau coloration. The lines represent the mean ARG1 expression in each group. C, LC-MRM analysis shows that urinary ARG1 concentration is lower in UPJ obstruction patients. Logarithmic plots of the ARG1 protein concentration values calculated from 2-targeted peptides, for each group of patients are shown. Concentrations were calculated based on peptide TIGIIGAPFSK, product ion y9 (calibration curve shown in supplemental Data S5) for ARG1, spiked at 10 fmol. For purpose of relative comparison, concentrations were also estimated with QuaSAR using the PEPotec peptide DVDGEHYILK, product ion y8, although the exact spiked amount of this partially purified peptide could only be approximated (around 50 fmol). Analysis of the samples was performed using 3.57 μg of total urinary proteins and concentrations were calculated in fmol/μg of total protein. Mean values shown as an intersect line, and significance of the difference between groups based on unpaired t test assuming unequal variances corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. Adjusted p value (p value BH) *<0.05; **<0.01.
of material on the membrane that appears to be critical when dealing with small amounts of protein. We thus switched to a processing method using direct desalting, lyophilization of samples, and concentration of proteins in one gel band by 1D SDS-PAGE followed by in-gel digestion, which allowed to minimize sample loss and to optimize the final yield of tryptic peptides available for MRM analysis. Using this procedure, the targeted proteins could be detected with improved sensitivity and repeatability, and particularly ARG1 was quantified with good precision in 31 individuals starting with 3 ml of urine.

ARG1 was verified as a protein with modified abundance among the proteins specifically originating from the obstructed kidney. Modified EGF excretion was also verified by MRM, confirming the previous observation of modified urinary EGF abundance in obstructive nephropathy (33, 34, 47). According to the study of Li et al., urinary EGF could allow discrimination between mild or severe obstruction in infants (47), however, in our study, this difference, using MRM, between the Severe-Bladder and Mild groups lost significance after correction for multiple testing (supplemental Data S8). Thus, the relation between the urinary EGF abundance and the severity of the pathology should be investigated on a larger cohort of patients. The decrease in urinary abundance of LRCC15 was also confirmed, but this difference lost significance in the comparison “Severe-Bladder/Mild” after BH correction.

Although the abundance of urinary ARG1 is decreased in UPJ obstruction patients compared with healthy newborns, there is no significant difference of its abundance between mild and severe UPJ obstruction both measured by Western blot and MRM (Fig. 4B and 4C and supplemental Data S8). So, although urinary ARG1 is not a good clinical biomarker for differentiating between the severity of UPJ obstruction, the protein is potentially involved in the pathophysiology of the disease. Because biopsies from newborns with UPJ obstruction are not available, we used the mouse obstructive nephropathy model to further study the role of arginase in obstructive nephropathy. We observed that ARG1 expression was also reduced in situ, in the obstructed kidney of mice. Based on this result and because it is known that most of the excreted urinary proteins originate from the kidney and urinary tract (48), it is most likely that the modified urinary ARG1 abundance in UPJ obstruction patients reflects reduced ARG1 expression in the kidney.

Two isoforms of arginases exist: ARG1 and 2. To study the overall effects of obstructive nephropathy on arginases, we also studied the ARG2 expression and total arginase activity.
Interestingly, in contrast to ARG1, UUO strongly increased renal ARG2 expression and total renal arginase activity. Although ARG2 is the predominant arginase isoform expressed in the kidney, ARG2 was not detected in the initial LC-MS/MS discovery study. This could be explained either by an absence of excretion because of differences in the protein distribution (ARG1 is expressed in cytoplasm whereas ARG2 is mitochondrial), or, degradation of the ARG2 protein in urine or by absence of identification of the protein because of the physicochemical characteristics of ARG2 tryptic-peptides. Consistent with our observation, ARG1, but not ARG2, was already identified in urine from healthy individuals by other laboratories using urinary proteome analysis (16). In contrast with decreased ARG1 expression after obstruction observed in our study, Schwartz et al. (49) reported that both isoforms of arginases were up-regulated in a rat model of bilateral obstructive nephropathy. This difference observed for ARG1 expression in their study can have different origins. They: 1) used rats and not mice, 2) used a bilateral, instead of a unilateral, model of ureteral obstruction, 3) studied arginase expression in glomeruli, whereas we used the total renal cortex, and 4) studied arginase expression 1 day after obstruction, whereas we studied arginase expression 3 and 8 days post-obstruction. We ruled out the latter since we observed initiation of reduction of renal ARG1 expression 1 day after unilateral obstruction in our mouse model (results not shown).

In adult mammals, the majority of endogenous l-arginine synthesis takes place in the proximal tubules of the kidney where citrulline, originally released from small intestine, is metabolized into arginine by the enzymes ASS and ASL and can be subsequently exported to the systemic circulation (50). Arginase activity represents a possible route of the l-arginine metabolism (51) and has already been associated to pathogenesis of various disorders such as allergic asthma, airway inflammation, diabetes, vascular dysfunction, and atherosclerosis (34, 52–57), among others. Data from our studies on the animal model of obstructive nephropathy show the potential ability to up-regulate l-arginine transport (via Cat transporters) and to direct its metabolism to l-proline via “the pro-fibrotic arginase pathway” (Fig. 3B).
The increase in ARG2 expression/activity that we have observed in the obstructive nephropathy model could represent a key event for the development of renal fibrosis. First, it could lead to shunting of the NOS pathway (58, 59) and it has been shown that NOS inhibition leads to increased fibrosis in the UUO model (60–62). Interestingly, recent studies reported that deficiency of ARG2 confers kidney protection in diabetic mouse model and that arginase 2 represents an important mechanism in inducing e-NOS uncoupling leading to oxidative stress and vascular inflammatory responses (52, 53, 63, 64). Second, increased arginase activity could induce the production of proline, essential for synthesis and deposition of collagen a major component of the extracellular matrix (e.g. fibrosis). There is a growing interest in the role of arginase in tissue remodeling and fibrosis, particularly in the lung (56). Up-regulation of both ARG1 and ARG2 isoforms has already been reported in bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis in mice and collagen synthesis from murine pulmonary fibroblasts can be blocked by arginase inhibitors (65, 66). The role of ARG1 versus ARG2 in obstructive nephropathy remains to be clarified. Because ARG2 co-localizes with OAT in mitochondria, it has already been proposed that ARG2 would preferentially be the arginase isoform involved in proline production, whereas the co-localization of ARG1 and ODC would favor polyamine synthesis (67).

Collectively our data, starting with urinary proteome analysis in human samples of UPJ obstruction patients and combining those results with data obtained in the animal obstructive nephropathy model suggest that the arginase metabolism is disturbed in obstructive nephropathy. Induction of ARG2 could lead to activation of the proline formation pathway instead of a synthesis of polyamines that would ultimately result in synthesis/deposition of collagen. In the long term targeting the arginase pathway could have a therapeutic potential in kidney fibrosis.
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