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ABSTRACT

Next-generation radio surveys are expected to detect tens of millions of active galactic nuclei (AGN) with a median redshift of \( z \gg 1 \). Beyond targeted surveys, the vast majority of these objects will not have spectroscopic redshifts, whilst photometric redshifts for high-redshift AGNs are of limited quality, and even then require optical and infrared photometry. We propose a new approach to measure the redshifts of lobed radio galaxies based exclusively on radio-frequency imaging and broadband radio photometry. Specifically, our algorithm uses the lobe flux density, angular size and width, and spectral shape to derive probability density functions for the most likely source redshift based on the Radio AGN in Semi-analytic Environments (RAiSE) dynamical model. The full physically based model explains 70% of the variation in the spectroscopic redshifts of a high-redshift (2 < \( z < 4 \)) sample of radio AGNs, compared to at most 27% for any one of the observed attributes in isolation. We find that upper bounds on the angular size, as expected for unresolved sources, are sufficient to yield accurate redshift measurements at \( z \gg 2 \). The error in the model upon calibration using at least nine sources with known spectroscopic redshifts is <14% in redshift (as \( 1 + z \)) across all redshifts. We provide python code for the calculation and calibration of our radio continuum redshifts in an online library.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Next-generation large-sky radio surveys (e.g. ASKAP EMU, Norris et al. 2011; ASKAP POSSUM, Gaensler et al. 2010; LOFAR LoTSS, Shimwell et al. 2017, 2019; MeerKAT MIGHTEE, Jarvis 2012; MWA GLEAM, Wayth et al. 2015; VLA VLASS, Lacy et al. 2020) are expected to catalogue tens of millions of galaxies (Norris 2017). Redshift estimates for these sources will be crucial to achieve many of the science goals. However, spectroscopic data will not be available for the vast majority of sources in large-sky surveys whilst, in many cases, optical and infrared photometry is expected to be of limited quality (Norris et al. 2019). Moreover, the active galactic nuclei (AGN) population tends to be located at higher redshifts (median \( > 1 \)) than the ‘radio quiet’ optical sources for which spectral energy distribution template fitting techniques are developed (e.g. Arnouts et al. 1999; Duncan et al. 2018a). The necessary combination of host galaxy and AGN spectral templates (i.e. their type and relative strength) further complicates template-based photometric redshift techniques (e.g. Salvato et al. 2018). Photons from the accretion disk, inner jet and dust additionally modify the spectral energy distribution in high-excitation radio galaxies which comprise the majority of AGNs above redshift \( z = 2-3 \) (e.g. Fabian 2012). Norris et al. (2013) argue that close to half the galaxy population observed by next-generation radio surveys will be AGNs, whilst up to 100 per cent of large galaxies at \( z \approx 0 \) are thought to host an active nucleus (with some level of activity) at their centre (Sabater et al. 2019). This highlights the importance of finding an alternative measurement of redshift for these rather ubiquitous objects.

Supervised machine learning has been touted as a viable alternative to conventional template-fitting techniques (see Salvato et al. 2018, for review). These methods use a ‘training set’ of radio sources with known spectroscopic redshifts to find correlations between their attributes (e.g. observed photometry) and the redshift (Firth et al. 2002; Tagliaferri et al. 2003). Machine learning based implementations include random forests, neural networks, Gaussian processes, and support vector machines, with the ability to generate probability density functions for the redshift (Amaro 2018; Duncan et al. 2018b). Norris et al. (2019) compare the performance of several machine learning algorithms to a conventional template-fitting method, in particular considering the effect of limited multi-wavelength data to supplement radio observations. The quality of photometric redshifts is found to degrade with the reducing quality in the optical, infrared, radio and X-ray observations, however some measure of the redshift is still possible in most sources. Importantly, machine learning techniques are found to perform significantly worse at higher redshifts.
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(z ≥ 1) due to the lack of training data for high redshift radio sources. Further, this approach does not provide a solution for high-redshift extended radio sources whose host galaxies are often too faint to be detected.

The quasar and extended radio galaxy subclasses of AGN have attracted more physically-based approaches to construct standard candles/rulers, primarily for use in cosmology, since their discovery over five decades ago. Watson et al. (2011) showed the distance, and thus redshift, of z < 0.3 quasars can be constrained using a known relationship between the optical luminosity and the size of the broad emission line region (see also Haas et al. 2011; Czerny et al. 2013; King et al. 2014). Optical quasars have also been standardised using a correlation between their luminosity and the time lag between the optical and dust continuum (e.g. Oknyanskij et al. 1999; Hönig et al. 2014; Yoshii et al. 2014). Meanwhile, existing techniques to standardise extended radio galaxies generally rely on large sample statistics to find a meaningful relationship with spectroscopic distance measurements (e.g. Kellermann 1993; Daly 1994; Buchalter et al. 1998; Jackson 2004). However, the maximum lobe size at a given redshift can only be found by sampling a population, and thus these techniques cannot be applied to estimate redshifts for individual objects.

Turner & Shabala (2019) proposed combining radio imaging and broadband radio-frequency observations to successfully standardise extended AGNs from the present epoch to ultra-high redshifts (0 < z < 7). They modified the analytic theory underpinning the Radio AGNs in Semi-analytic Environments (RAiSE; Turner & Shabala 2015; Turner et al. 2018a) model for the dynamical and synchrotron evolution of radio AGNs to physically link the spatial and spectral observations. In that work, the Hubble constant was accurately constrained using measurements of the integrated flux density, angular size and width, and spectral shape for the two lobes of Cygnus A. Meanwhile, previous iterations of RAiSE have found success in: (1) reproducing surface brightness and spectral age maps for canonical FR-I (3C31) and FR-II (3C436) type sources (Turner et al. 2018a); (2) deriving jet kinetic powers consistent with X-ray inverse-Compton measurements (Turner et al. 2018b); and (3) finding dynamical evolution in the lobe length, axis ratio and volume consistent with hydrodynamical simulations (Turner et al. 2018b).

Turner & Shabala (2019) suggested several other applications for their standardised extended AGNs, including: relative (i.e. uncalibrated) distance measurements of high-redshift sources to constrain the matter and dark energy densities; and radio continuum redshifts making use of a sample of objects with known spectroscopic redshifts to calibrate the model.

In this work, we extend the theory developed by Turner & Shabala (2019) to constrain the redshifts of extended radio AGNs inhabiting cosmological environments described by a Bayesian prior probability density function (Section 2); this RAiSERed algorithm only requires multi-frequency radio observations to estimate radio continuum redshifts. In Section 3, the sensitivity of the method to either large uncertainties or limits in the observed radio source attributes is assessed using a population of mock Cygnus A-like sources. The RAiSERed algorithm is applied to a sample of 17 objects across a range of redshifts (0 < z < 4) in Section 4; we assess both the error in the uncalibrated model and the error following calibration using moderate samples of sources with known spectroscopic redshifts. Finally, we make our concluding remarks about the potential applications of this work in Section 5. We provide python code for the calculation and calibration of our radio continuum redshifts in the online supplementary material.

The ΛCDM concordance cosmology with ΩM = 0.3089 ± 0.0062, ΩΛ = 0.6911 ± 0.0062 and H0 = 67.74 ± 0.46 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ (Planck Collaboration 2016) is assumed throughout the paper. The spectral index α is defined in the form S = ν⁻α for flux density S and frequency ν.

2 RADIO CONTINUUM REDSHIFTS

The powerful Fanaroff & Riley type-II (FR-II) radio lobe morphology is well modelled both analytically (e.g. Kaiser & Alexander 1997; Blundell et al. 1999; Turner & Shabala 2015; Hardcastle 2018) and numerically (e.g. Krause et al. 2012; Hardcastle & Krause 2014; Yates et al. 2018; Massaglia et al. 2019). Radio-frequency observables including the flux density, angular size and shape of the spectral energy distribution have been used to constrain not only intrinsic source properties such as jet kinetic power and age (e.g. Turner et al. 2018b), but also the line-of-sight transverse comoving distance (Turner & Shabala 2019). The radio continuum redshift, z∗ (in the context of this work), is defined as the trial redshift, z, that yields dynamical model predicted transverse comoving distances, dM(z), in closest agreement with the expectation for the concordance cosmological model, dM(h0, Ωm, ΩΛ) (z). The dynamical model can be further calibrated using radio AGNs with known spectroscopic redshifts to more confidently constrain the distance to similar sources lacking redshifts.

2.1 Theoretical background

The dynamical model-based estimate of the transverse comoving distance to an active, lobed radio source at a trial redshift z can be expressed in terms of the flux density, S′ (single lobe at observer-frame frequency ν); angular size, θ (single lobe); axis ratio, A (single lobe length divided by the lobe radius); and properties of the electron energy distribution. Particles injected into the lobe are initially described by a power law distribution of energies E(ν) = N0ν⁻α, where N0 is a constant and s = 2αinj + 1 for injection-time spectral index αinj > 0.5. The spectral index of the electron energy population steepens to αinj + 0.5 above the ‘optically-thin’ break frequency, νb, due to synchrotron radiative losses as the source ages. These parameters describing the electron population are constrained observationally by fitting the radio spectrum with the continuous injection (CI) model (Turner et al. 2018b). However, the spectral shape of remnants and jetted FR-Is are not fitted well by the functional form of the CI model (e.g. 3C28 and 3C31 in Harwood 2017), enabling these objects to be excluded even without high-resolution imaging. Meanwhile, the flux density, source size and axis ratio are readily measured from high-resolution radio images. Importantly, the monochromatic flux density, S′ ν, used in analytic models without a full treatment of radiative loss mechanisms (cf. RAiSE; Turner & Shabala 2015) must be measured at a frequency below the break frequency (ν < νb).

Following Turner & Shabala (2019), the expected distance to a lobed FR-II radio source at some trial redshift z is given
by:

\[
d_M(..., z) = \left[ \frac{A^2[10^{b_1}z]^{2-s}\rho_s(x-1)/2S_q}{f_1(s)} \right]^{1/(2-y)} \times \left[ 10^2 \rho_B^\beta f_3(A, B, \beta, z, b_3) \frac{q\rho_B}{q + 1} \right]^{2/(2-y)} \times \theta^{\nu/(2-y)}[1 + z]^{\nu(1+b_4)-y-2)/(2-y)} \]

(1)

where \( q = u_B/u_e \sim 0.019 \) is the ratio of the energy in the magnetic field to that in the particles (i.e. equipartition factor; Turner et al. 2018b), and \( \gamma \sim 300 \) is the minimum Lorentz factor of the electron population after expanding from the jet terminal hotspot to the lobe (Turner & Shabala 2019); the maximum Lorentz factor is neglected in the model as it has no significant effect on our results for \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \gg \gamma \).

The density profile, of the total gas mass, into which the radio source expands (see Section 2.2) is approximated locally as a power law of the form \( \rho(r) = \rho r^{a-3} \); the gas density \( \rho \) is defined at some galactocentric radius \( r \), which we arbitrarily set as the physical equivalent of the angular size of the lobe at the trial redshift \( z \). Meanwhile, the exponents \( x \) and \( y \) are functions of \( s, \beta \) and the lobe magnetic field strength, \( B \), defined immediately after Equation 13 in Turner & Shabala (2019). The lobe magnetic field strength at the trial redshift \( z \) is estimated using the following equation derived from Equations 3, 12 and 13 of Alexander (2000):

\[
B(..., z) = \sqrt{2\mu_0} \left[ \frac{A^2[10^{b_1}z]^{2-s}\rho_s(x-1)/2S_q[1 + z]}{f_1(s)\theta^{\nu/d_M(\Omega_0, \Omega_0, \Omega_0)}(z)} \right]^{2/(2+y)},
\]

(2)

where \( \mu_0 \) is the vacuum permeability, and \( d_M(\Omega_0, \Omega_0, \Omega_0) \) is the 200 MHz luminosity function (Franzen et al. 2016; Raouf et al. 2017) model (update of original model; Croton et al. 2006) traces the evolutionary history of baryonic matter on top of existing large scale simulations of the dark matter. In this work we use the Bolshoi model (Klypin et al. 2011) to construct the dark matter framework for SAGE. This simulation traces galaxies in a box of side length 250 Mpc/h through cosmic time with outputs at regular intervals in redshift. The Bolshoi dark matter simulation assumes a WMAP5 cosmology with \( \Omega_m = 0.27, \Omega_L = 0.73, \Omega_b = 0.0469, \sigma_8 = 0.82, h = 0.70 \) and \( n = 0.95 \); the products we derive from this simulation are quite insensitive to small changes to the cosmological parameters (see Section 3.2.3). We process Bolshoi simulation outputs at 15 redshifts up to \( z = 5 \) using SAGE to obtain mock galaxy populations across cosmic time. The RAiSERed code extrapolates empirical relationships derived for \( 0 < z < 5 \) beyond this maximum redshift to provide a good approximation of the distance to ultra-high redshift sources; however, in this work we conservatively limit ourselves to objects below \( z = 6 \). Based on the local 200 MHz luminosity function (Franzen et al., in preparation), and assuming a luminosity evolution of \( \sim(1 + z)^{4 \pm 0.5} \) (Seymour et al., in preparation), the Bolshoi simulation volume is expected to include \( 0.7 \pm 0.8 \) powerful radio galaxies with luminosities \( L_{200\text{MHz}} > 10^{25} \) W Hz\(^{-1}\). The empirical relationships (discussed below) will therefore be de-
Table 1. Summary of key model parameters that can be constrained through observations. The parameters are grouped into three categories: (1) ‘radio continuum attributes’, which must be measured for each individual source (each lobe separately or as an average); (2) ‘cosmological environments’, which are simulated at each trial redshift; and (3) ‘fixed parameters’, which take a population average for all sources.

| Parameter | Description | Symbol | Unit | Source |
|-----------|-------------|--------|------|--------|
| **Radio continuum attributes** | | | | |
| flux density | Frequency (observer-frame) | $S_\nu$ | Janskys | |
| angular size | | $\nu$ | Hertz | radio imaging |
| axis ratio | | $\theta$ | arcsec | |
| injection index | | $A$ | – | |
| break frequency | | $s$ | – | |
| **Cosmological environments** | | | | |
| density at lobe tip | | $\rho$ | kg/m$^3$ | SAGE simulations |
| density exponent | | $\beta$ | – | |
| **Fixed parameters** | | | | |
| equipartition factor | | $q$ | 0.019 | Turner et al. (2018b) |
| minimum Lorentz factor | | $\gamma$ | 300 | Turner & Shabala (2019) |

rived, or more likely validated, using at least one example of even the most massive host galaxies expected for radio AGNs. The mock galaxy populations based on the Bolshoi simulation are used to inform both the cluster mass function and the gas fraction as a function of redshift. Following Turner & Shabala (2020), we assume the low-redshift mass function of Girardi & Giuricin (2000) who find that a common Schechter function describes both galaxy groups and clusters. The Bolshoi simulations are used to extend their observations to higher redshifts by finding that the mass of the break in the Schechter function scales with redshift as approximately $(1+z)^{-3}$. The relative normalisation of the cluster mass function between redshifts is not important in this work. The cluster mass function is further weighted by the AGN duty cycle (Pope et al. 2012); high mass black holes and galaxies are known to have an enhanced probability of hosting AGNs compared to their lower mass counterparts (e.g. Sabater et al. 2019). Meanwhile, the cosmological evolution of the gas fraction as a function of halo mass is found to be well described by $f_{\text{gas}} = 10^{w_0(z) - 14w(z)} / M_{\text{halo}} / M_\odot$, where $M_{\text{halo}}$ is the simulated dark matter halo mass taken from SAGE, and $w_0(z) = \max\{-0.88 - 0.03z, -0.92 + 0.001z\}$ and $w(z) = 0.05$ describe the redshift dependence. These gas fractions are further scaled by a small, constant factor based on low-redshift observations (McGaugh et al. 2010; Gonzalez et al. 2013). The spread in the distribution of gas fractions is approximately constant in log-space for all halo masses, but depends on redshift as $\delta f_{\text{gas}} = 0.05 - 0.002z$.

The shape of the gas density profiles is based on the Vikhlinin et al. (2006) cluster observations following the method described in Turner & Shabala (2015)$^1$. The same shape profile can describe the environments of clusters with very different masses by scaling with the core density and virial radius of the halo. The virial radius is directly related to the mass of its halo through $r_{\text{halo}} = (G M_{\text{halo}} / 100 H^2(z))^{1/3}$, where $H(z)$ is the Hubble constant at redshift $z$, and $G$ is Newton’s gravitation constant. The Vikhlinin et al. (2006) gas density profile is integrated over the volume of the cluster within the virial radius and this unscaled mass is compared with the gas mass simulated using SAGE (based on the halo mass and gas fraction) to derive the density $\rho$ at the end of the lobe of radius $a$. The shape of the density profile is approximated locally using a power law of the form $\rho_{\text{gas}}(r) = \rho [r/a]^{-\beta}$ to enable analytic modelling of the radio source evolution.

2.3 Redshift probability density functions

2.3.1 Bayesian inference

Probability density functions for the radio continuum redshift of a given source are derived using Bayesian statistics informed by a Monte Carlo simulation over the parameter space. The five attributes ($S_\nu$, $\theta$, $A$, $s$ and $\nu_b$) are randomly sampled from within their uncertainty distributions in each of the Monte Carlo realisations. The three parameters describing the gas density profile of the cosmological environments ($\rho$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$) are also randomly sampled based on typical cluster density profiles, the group and cluster mass function at the trial redshift $z$, and the angular size of the source at that redshift and realised of the observables (Section 2.2). The other model parameters, such as the equipartition factor and minimum Lorentz factor, take a fixed value across all realisations in the Monte Carlo simulation; these are corrected as necessary using the calibration constants.

The probability of a given radio AGN being located at a trial redshift $z$ given the measurement uncertainty distribu-

$^1$ The gas density profile of the host galaxy can be neglected since core-confined radio AGNs (that are significantly impacted by their host galaxies) are excluded based on their ‘optically-thick’ low-frequency spectral turnover (i.e. due to free-free or synchrotron self-absorption).
tions for its five attributes is given by:

\[
p(z | \theta, A, s, v_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 1 - F(x(z | \theta, A, s, v_0, i); k) \right]
\]

where the summation, \(i\), is over the \(n\) Monte Carlo realisations with random variation in the observable and cosmological environment, and \(F(x; k)\) is the cumulative chi-squared distribution for \(k\) degrees of freedom. This distribution is exactly represented by an exponential function for \(k = 2\), and thus we assume \(k \approx 2\) for computational efficiency in our calculation. The chi-squared statistic is defined as:

\[
x(z | \theta, A, s, v_0, i) = \left[ \frac{d_M(z | \theta, A, s, v_0, i) - d_M(H_0, \Omega_m)(z)}{\sigma_{d_M(H_0, \Omega_m)}(z)} \right]^2,
\]

where \(d_M(z | \theta, A, s, v_0, i)\) is the transverse comoving distance given by Equation 1 at the trial redshift \(z\) for the \(i\)-th Monte Carlo realisation of the cosmological environment and the value of the observed attributes within their uncertainty distributions. Meanwhile, \(d_M(H_0, \Omega_m)(z)\) is the expected transverse comoving distance at that redshift for the concordance cosmological model, and \(\sigma_{d_M(H_0, \Omega_m)}(z)\) is the standard deviation in that measurement due to uncertainties in the Hubble constant and matter density, assuming a flat universe.

### 2.3.2 Prior probability density functions

The prior probability density functions for the majority of parameters are informed by their measurement uncertainty distribution, whilst the cosmological environments are based on simulations and direct observations of the AGN duty cycle and the group and cluster mass function (Section 2.2). However, we apply further constraints on these prior probability density functions by considering the Malmquist bias, imposing the speed of light as a conservative limit on the expansion speed of AGN lobes, and restricting jet kinetic powers to the broad range, \(10^{35} \leq Q \leq 10^{45}\) W Hz\(^{-1}\), expected for lobed radio sources. The expansion speed and jet kinetic power are derived from the observables in a given Monte Carlo realisation using the dynamical equations of Turner & Shabala (2015).

### 2.3.3 Fourier filtered distribution

The raw probability density function (\(p(z)\); Equation 5) includes ubiquitous high-frequency noise when sampling the parameter space with computationally practical numbers of Monte Carlo realisations (see Figure 1). The simulations presented in this work use an adaptive number of realisations based on the strength (i.e. absolute probability) of the detected ‘signal’, but capped at 100,000 realisations per trial redshift. The number of realisations can be increased in the RAiSERed code to reduce the noise level by a factor of \(1/\sqrt{n}\) but increase computation time by a factor of \(n\). The ‘signal’ noise must be suppressed as it not only prevents the peak of the distribution from being correctly identified, but in sources with a relatively low probability of matching any set of parameters, random noise spikes (resulting from just one or two realisations) can appear in any redshift bin by chance. The high-frequency noise is removed by applying a real-valued Fast Fourier Transform to the probability density function, \(p(z)\). The transformed function, \(P(1/z)\), is convolved with a decaying exponential function to suppress the amplitude of the high-frequency components in the Fourier spectrum. The noise-filtered probability density function, \(\tilde{p}(z)\), is obtained by taking the inverse Fourier Transform of the convolved function. The maximum amplitude of this Fourier filtered probability density function is arbitrarily scaled to unity for ease of comparison between sources.

The radio continuum redshift for a given lobe is mathematically defined as the mean of the approximately normally distributed Fourier filtered probability density function; i.e. \(z^* = \int z \tilde{p}(z) dz / \int \tilde{p}(z) dz\). The uncertainty in the redshift is taken as the standard deviation of the probability density function. We plot the shape of the filtered probability density function where practicable throughout this work in addition to quoting summary statistics. The probability density functions for the two lobes of a given source can also be combined to yield a single robust estimate of the photometric redshift.

### 2.4 Spectroscopic calibration

The calibration constants in the RAiSERed model described in the previous sections can be constrained using observations of at least five\(^2\) radio AGNs with known spectroscopic redshifts. The sum of squared differences between the radio continuum redshifts (i.e. mean of the probability density function) and the spectroscopic redshifts for the calibration sample is minimised to find the optimal values for \(b_1\), \(b_2\), \(b_3\) and \(b_4\). The optimisation is performed using the noisyopt

\(^2\) There are four calibration constants so an absolute minimum of five independent measurements are required.
package in python, a robust pattern search algorithm with an adaptive number of function evaluations (Mayer et al. 2016). Importantly, this algorithm does not use the function derivative to locate the minimum, an approach that would not be viable in this work given random noise is more significant that the gradient for small perturbations in the calibration constants. Despite the greatly improved computational efficiency of this algorithm over a brute force technique the computational time for a sample of 15 objects is approximately 4-6 hours on a typical laptop. The calibration of the RAiSERed model for use in large sky surveys will likely need to use supercomputer time or only a small subsample of calibrators.

3 ASSESSMENT OF METHOD ON MOCK SOURCES

3.1 Simulation of Cygnus A-like population

Cygnus A is a low-redshift radio source (spectroscopic redshift of $z = 0.056075 \pm 0.000067$; Owen et al. 1997) with a double FR-II lobe morphology. Steenbrugge et al. (2010) reported core and hotspot removed measurements of the flux density in the east and west lobes of Cygnus A at six frequencies from 151 MHz to 15 GHz. The size and axis ratio of the two lobes are measured from the 5 GHz radio images following Turner & Shabala (2019); the uncertainty in the length of each lobe is taken as the size of the synthesised beam at this frequency ($\theta_{esc}$; Carilli et al. 1996). The properties of the electron population in each lobe are constrained by fitting the radio spectra using the continuous injection (CI) model following the method of Turner et al. (2018b). The 151 MHz to 15 GHz flux density measurements are supplemented by 74 MHz observations from Cohen et al. (2007) to better constrain the electron energy injection index, $s$; the uncertainties on the Steenbrugge et al. (2010) measurements are estimated following Carilli et al. (1996). The radio continuum observations for the two lobes of Cygnus A are summarised in Table 2.

The RAiSERed model is tested for radio AGNs across redshifts $z = 0$ to 6 by shifting the observed radio continuum observations of Cygnus A to higher redshifts. The flux density and lobe angular size are converted for the cosmology assumed in this work, whilst intrinsic properties of the source (axis ratio and electron energy injection index) are unchanged. The observer-frame break frequency measured for an identical source to Cygnus A (at redshift $z_0$) but located at redshift $z$ is found from rearranging Equation 9 of Turner & Shabala (2019),

$$\nu_b(z) = \frac{1+z_0}{1+z} \left( \frac{B^2/(0.318 \text{nT})^2 + (1+z_0)^4}{B^2/(0.318 \text{nT})^2 + (1+z)^4} \right) \nu_b(z_0),$$

where $B$ is the magnetic field in the lobe. The magnetic field strength is constrained using the dynamical model in Equation 2 for each lobe to provide an estimate calibrated for any systematic errors in the model, based on the observed properties of Cygnus A at $z = 0.056$.

The radio continuum attributes of the east and west lobes of Cygnus A are shifted from $z = 0.056$ to progressively higher redshifts up to $z = 6$. The cosmological environments assumed in our model are not strictly valid for the Cygnus A-like sources shifted to substantially higher redshifts (i.e. the observed properties are influenced by the actual $z \sim 0.056$ environment). However, in order to maintain the correct model response to small variations in redshift we choose to use the cosmological environment appropriate to each trial redshift. The best estimate radio continuum redshifts for the east and west lobes of these Cygnus A-like sources are shown in Figure 2 as a function of their true redshifts (i.e. shifted spectroscopic redshifts). The radio continuum redshifts are consistent with the true redshifts within the 1σ measurement uncertainties for the majority of the simulated Cygnus A-like sources, except those at $z = 0.15-0.6$ and from $z = 1-2.5$ (these are consistent at the 2σ level). We note that the absolute scaling need not be correct at this stage since our model includes calibration terms (i.e. $b_1$, $b_2$, $b_3$ and $b_4$); however, this agreement supports both our modelling of the cosmological environments and the (uncalibrated) values chosen for the less well constrained model parameters ($q$, $\gamma$, $\mathcal{Y}$).

3.2 Sensitivity of estimates to measurement uncertainties

In this section, the simulated population of Cygnus A-like sources is used to test the ability of the RAiSERed model to estimate redshifts for unresolved sources (i.e. size upper limits) and objects with poorly constrained break frequencies.

$^3$ The findings in this section are unchanged if we assume the $z = 0.056$ environment at all redshifts, although the model becomes less stable around redshifts $z = 1.5-2$, coinciding with the turnover in the angular diameter distance–redshift function.
Table 2. Radio continuum attributes for the two lobes of Cygnus A based on the multi-wavelength study of Steenbrugge et al. (2010). The second through sixth columns list: the flux density of each lobe; lobe length; axis ratio; electron energy injection index; and the break frequency. Measurement uncertainties are quoted at the 1σ level (unless otherwise described in the text); the uncertainties for $s$ and $ν_b$ presented here do not consider any source of systematic error (e.g. flux density variations from inhomogenous magnetic fields).

| Source           | $S_{151\text{MHz}}$ (Jy) | $θ$ (arcsec) | $α$ | $s$          | $ν_b$ (log Hz) |
|------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----|-------------|----------------|
| Cygnus A East    | 5960±450                  | 58.6±0.4     | 2.8 | 2.485±0.009 | 9.243±0.017    |
| Cygnus A West    | 4750±350                  | 67.3±0.4     | 3.0 | 2.436±0.008 | 9.305±0.015    |

The other radio continuum observables have smaller (absolute) exponents in the distance measure equation (Turner & Shabala 2019) and thus modest uncertainties are not expected to adversely effect the redshift estimates.

3.2.1 Unresolved sources

The RAiSERed code (included in the online supplementary material) can take upper limits, or any asymmetric uncertainties, as inputs for any of the five radio continuum attributes. These are specified as a skewed normal distribution with location (e.g. mean), $ξ$; scale (e.g. standard deviation), $ω$; and skewness, $λ$. The skewness is set to $λ \rightarrow -∞$ for an upper limit and $λ \rightarrow ∞$ for a lower limit; this results in a uniform prior probability density function for permitted values. We test our code’s performance on unresolved sources by assuming that the simulated lobe length of the Cygnus A-like sources, $θ$, is a factor of two, ten or one-hundred lower than the size upper limit, $θ_{\text{res}}$. The best estimate redshifts for this mock population of sources are shown in Figure 3. The radio continuum redshifts broadly agree with the spectroscopic redshifts if the angular size of the lobe is half the survey resolution limit, and are strongly correlated at high-redshift ($z \geq 2$) for sizes a factor of ten below the resolution limit. For the most unresolved sources with $θ/θ_{\text{res}} < 0.01$, the radio AGN dynamics are too poorly constrained to yield accurate redshift estimates. The estimated radio continuum redshifts in this instance are always less than $z \leq 1$, so despite their ineffectiveness, they only corrupt a small region of the posterior probability density function. Size upper bounds are therefore expected to be accurate for unresolved sources with estimated radio continuum redshifts $z \geq 2$. Size upper bounds are not viable at lower redshifts unless the lobe size is comparable to the angular survey resolution limit.

It may be possible to constrain the degeneracy in the model in these situations through independent arguments or measurements. In particular, as the angular diameter distance scale peaks at 8.7 kpc/arcsec the lobes of any extended radio AGN that has expanded beyond the galaxy cannot be much less than an arcsecond in angular size (cf. typical survey resolutions of 0.2-1.5 arcsec). Radio AGNs confined to their host galaxies can be excluded by an ‘optically-thick’ low-frequency turnover (i.e. due to free-free or synchrotron self-absorption), thus providing a lower bound on the angular size (such that $θ/θ_{\text{res}} \geq 0.5$). In this manner, it may be possible to accurately constrain the radio continuum redshifts of unresolved sources at any redshift, if a sufficiently high resolution survey is employed.

Figure 3. Radio continuum redshifts estimated for an unresolved mock Cygnus A-like population as a function of their true redshifts. The violin plot shows the probability density function for the east lobe assuming its angular size is a factor two (blue), ten (purple) or one-hundred (orange) smaller than the size upper limit imposed by the survey resolution. See the caption of Figure 2 for a complete description of the plot.

3.2.2 Poorly constrained spectral breaks

The sensitivity of the radio continuum redshift estimates to the break frequency is similarly tested by considering three scenarios: (1) the break frequency is detected but has a large measurement uncertainty; (2) the break frequency is not detected but must be lower than the observed frequencies (i.e. aged spectrum; $α \geq 1$); and (3) the break frequency is not detected but must be at higher frequencies (i.e. freshly injected spectrum; $α \leq 1$). The first of these options is modelled for the Cygnus A-like sources by assuming an uncertainty of 0.5 dex. In the latter two scenarios, an upper bound is placed a factor of ten below the simulated break frequency or a lower bound a factor of ten above the break frequency respectively; the prior probability density functions are assumed to be uniform in log-space. The radio continuum redshifts estimated for the Cygnus A-like population are shown in Figure 4 for each of the three scenarios for the break frequency.

Redshifts estimated using RAiSERed remain in broad agreement with the spectroscopic redshifts despite a large measurement uncertainty in the break frequency, albeit with
3.2.3 Hubble constant tension

Hubble constant measurements using the cosmic microwave background are known to be in tension with Type-1a supernovae and Cepheid variables based estimates (e.g. Planck Collaboration 2016; Riess et al. 2016, 2019; Freedman et al. 2019). This tension is not considered in the statistical uncertainties for the cosmological distances assumed in our Bayesian inference, in particular the distance for each trial redshift in the chi-squared statistic and the scaling of the density profile of their cosmological environments. We test the sensitivity of the radio continuum redshift estimates to the value of the Hubble constant by considering a current cosmic microwave background measurement (Planck Collaboration 2016, $H_0 = 67.74 \pm 0.46 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$) and one of the more discrepant local estimates based on Cepheid variables in the Large Magellanic Cloud (Riess et al. 2019, $H_0 = 74.03 \pm 1.42 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$). The radio continuum redshifts estimated for the Cygnus A-like population are shown in Figure 5 for both values of the Hubble constant. The radio continuum redshifts are larger for mock sources with true redshifts $z \leq 1$ when using the local value of the Hubble constant, though given their large uncertainties, these are still consistent with the cosmic microwave background based estimates. Mock sources with true redshifts $z > 1$ are unaffected by the modest change in the value of the Hubble constant. Redshifts estimated using the RAiSERed model are therefore expected to be robust to the current uncertainty in the cosmological parameters. The arguments presented here also validate our earlier claim that modest differences between the Bohlshoi dark matter simulation cosmology and that assumed in this work have an insignificant effect on our results; i.e. both positive and negative differences from the Hubble constant assumed in the dark matter simulation yield consistent redshift estimates.

4 APPLICATION TO HIGH-REDSHIFT SOURCES

The RAiSERed model is now applied to a sample of observed sources across a broad range of redshifts to assess the viability of our technique in measuring redshifts for large-sky surveys. The error in the model due to uncaptured physical processes
in extended radio sources is also estimated for our calibrated algorithm.

4.1 Radio AGN samples

4.1.1 HeRGE radio sources

The Herschel Radio Galaxy Evolution project (HeRGE; Drouart et al. 2014) is a comprehensive imaging survey of 70 radio galaxies at redshifts $1 < z < 5.2$. The host galaxy of each object has been identified and includes a spectroscopic redshift. The HeRGE project sample combines literature radio-frequency observations of the integrated lobe luminosity at observer-frame frequencies across the range 10 MHz to 15 GHz (e.g. Carilli et al. 1997; Pentericci et al. 2000; De Breuck et al. 2010, full SEDs will be presented in Drouart et al., in preparation). The literature flux density measurements of 34 HeRGE objects are supplemented by broadband Murchison Widefield Array (MWA) observations from 72 to 231 MHz; these observations are split into 20 subbands of 8 MHz bandwidth (Hurley-Walker et al. 2017). The properties of the electron population in this subsample are constrained by fitting the radio spectra using the CI model (see e.g. Equations 1-3 and 8 of Turner et al. 2018b); specifically, the electron energy injection index, $s$, and break frequency, $\nu_b$, are optimised by minimising the relevant chi-squared statistic. Meanwhile, the angular size and axis ratio of the combined two lobes is measured from high-resolution Very Large Array (VLA) images at 4.7-4.9 and 8.2-8.5 GHz (see Table 3 for image references). The uncertainty in the length of the combined two lobes is taken as the size of the synthesised beam (i.e. $\theta_{\text{res}}$) as for Cygnus A, whilst the uncertainty in the axis ratio is based on the difference in the maximum width of the two lobes (i.e. near each end of the source).

The two lobes are not considered separately because the location of the core is not apparent at radio frequencies for these high-redshift objects. However, we find the redshift probability density function for the combined two lobes of 3C388 (see Section 4.1.2) is consistent with the product of the probability density function for the separate lobes, albeit the 3C388 (see Section 4.1.2) is consistent with the product of the probability density function for the combined two lobes of these high-redshift objects. However, we find the redshift probability density function for the combined two lobes of 3C388 (see Section 4.1.2) is consistent with the product of the probability density function for the separate lobes, albeit the distribution is wider by probability density functions for the separate lobes, albeit the 3C388 (see Section 4.1.2) is consistent with the product of the probability density function for the combined two lobes of these high-redshift objects. However, we find the redshift probability density function for the combined two lobes of 3C388 (see Section 4.1.2) is consistent with the product of the probability density function for the separate lobes, albeit the distribution is wider by probability density functions for the separate lobes, albeit the 3C388 (see Section 4.1.2) is consistent with the product of the probability density function for the combined two lobes of these high-redshift objects. The Fourier filtered probability density function, $\tilde{p}(z)$, is shown for the east lobe (purple), the west lobe (blue), and a combined lobe (dashed black) which assumes an average value for each of the five radio continuum attributes across the two lobes. In comparison, the solid black line (and shading) shows the product of the redshift probability density functions for the east and west lobes; i.e. acknowledging that the two lobes must be at the same redshift. See the caption of Figure 1 for a complete description of the plot.

The HeRGE sample is reduced to five high-quality candidates to test the efficacy of the RAiSERed model at high-redshift. Specifically, we exclude sources that do not have an FR-II lobe morphology, and those whose spectrum is either consistent with a straight line or has an ‘optically-thick’ low-frequency turnover (i.e. due to free-free or synchrotron self-absorption). The chosen sources further have fitted break frequencies that are robust to the removal of any two flux density measurements, 27% in the angular size, < 1% in the injection index, and 13% in the break frequency. Meanwhile, the axis ratio of two of the sources are only measured as an upper or lower bound; PKS0529−549 has an angular size within a factor of two of the beam size and only an upper bound can be placed on the width of the source, whilst the high-resolution images of USS1707+105 only show emission close to the hotspot (i.e. upper bound on the lobe width). The observed attributes derived from the multi-frequency radio images of the five HeRGE objects are summarised in Table 3.

4.1.2 3C radio sources

The Third Cambridge Catalogue of Radio Sources (3CRR) is a complete sample of extragalactic radio sources in the Northern Hemisphere with 178 MHz flux density $> 10.9 Jy$ (Laing et al. 1983). Mullin et al. (2008) presented a catalogue comprising 98 low-redshift ($z < 1$) 3C sources with measurements of the flux density, angular size and axis ratio of each lobe. Following Turner et al. (2018b), we supplement the 178 MHz flux density measurement with multi-frequency radio observations from Laing & Peacock (1980). The properties of the electron population are derived by fitting the CI model to the radio spectra for the integrated flux density arising from both lobes. We arbitrarily choose five 3C sources which have an FR-II morphology in both lobes and fitted break frequencies that are robust to the removal of two flux density measurements. The selected sources, 3C20, 3C219, 3C244.1, 3C388 and 3C438, have their observed prop-
The radio continuum attributes of HeRGE sources with confident spectral fits. The source name and its host galaxy spectroscopic redshift are listed in the first two columns. The third through seventh columns list: the average flux density (half the source flux density); average lobe length (half the total source size); average axis ratio; the electron energy injection index; and the break frequency. The source of the high-resolution radio map is listed in the final column.

| Source         | Redshift | $S_{151\text{MHz}}$ (Jy) | $\theta$ (arcsec) | $A$ | $s$ | $v_b$ (log Hz) | Reference                  |
|----------------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|----------------|-----------------------------|
| PKS 0529–549   | 2.57     | 2.78±0.22                | 0.6±0.33          | ≥1.6 | 2.474±0.005 | 9.160±0.014 | Broderick et al. (2007)      |
| PKS 1138–262   | 2.15     | 5.67±0.45                | 7.9±0.125         | 5.3±0.1 | 2.887±0.008 | 9.169±0.015 | Carilli et al. (1997)        |
| USS 1243+036   | 3.57     | 2.23±0.18                | 3±0.115           | 6.9±0.8 | 2.739±0.007 | 9.005±0.014 | van Ojik et al. (1996)       |
| USS 1558–003   | 2.52     | 2.04±0.17                | 4.6±0.115         | 4.2±0.7 | 2.670±0.009 | 9.295±0.024 | Pentericci et al. (2000)     |
| USS 1707+105   | 2.34     | 1.44±0.12                | 11.25±0.115       | ≤13.5 | 2.528±0.008 | 8.963±0.016 | Pentericci et al. (2001)     |

Properties summarised in Table 4 for both lobes. The two lobes are designated as east–west or north–south based on which axis the source is most closely aligned.

### 4.2 Calibration and systematic error estimation

The RAiSERed model is applied to the two lobes of Cygnus A, two lobes of the small subsample of 3C sources, and the combined lobes of each of the five HeRGE objects; i.e. 17 lobes are fitted with radio continuum redshifts. The redshift probability density functions for the majority of objects has a single sharp peak located approximately at the spectroscopic redshift. However, the probability density function for both lobes of 3C219 have two peaks, one at $z \approx 0.2$ and another at $z \approx 1.5$. The high-redshift peak is associated with lobe expansion rates at close to the speed of light and dissipates in the south lobe if the prior probability density function is modified to restrict velocities to be less than 0.5c. That is, our technique can still find the correct radio continuum redshift for this source. The uncalibrated redshift distributions found using our technique for the remaining 15 lobes are plotted in Figure 7(left) as a function of their known spectroscopic redshift. The radio continuum redshifts are strongly correlated with the spectroscopic redshifts although the high-redshift RAiSERed sample has their redshifts systematically underestimated. The uncalibrated model has an average log-space error of $\delta \log (1 + z^*) = 0.069 \text{dex}$ (i.e. 17% in $1 + z^*$). Importantly, the uncalibrated radio continuum redshifts explain 70% of the variation in the known spectroscopic redshift for the high-redshift HeRGE sample, compared with at most 27% for any one of the observables in isolation.

The calibration constants are constrained (initially) using the radio continuum attributes of the 15 lobes (from Cygnus A, and the 3C and HeRGE subsamples). The fitted values are found as $b_1 = 0.76 ± 0.07$, $b_2 = 0.01 ± 0.01$, $b_3 = -0.60 ± 0.07$ and $b_4 = -0.33 ± 0.03$. These fits indicate that our parameter associated with the minimum Lorentz factor is a factor of 5.8 too low, the equipartition factor and gas density at redshift $z = 0$ are correct, the lobe to hotspot pressure ratio has a weaker than expected dependence on the axis ratio, and that the cosmological environments predict gas densities a factor of $(1 + z)^{0.33}$ too high. However, caution should be taken in directly associating these fits with their intended purpose (as stated above) as the least squares optimisation will also attempt to explain other more minor factors with these calibration constants. The redshift probability density functions for the 15 calibrator lobes are shown in Figure 7(right) as a function of their spectroscopic redshifts. The correlation between these calibrated radio continuum redshifts and their spectroscopic redshifts is now centred on the one-to-one line with an average log-space error of $\delta \log (1 + z^*) = 0.040 \text{dex}$ (i.e. 9.6% in $1 + z^*$). Combining the probability density functions of the two lobes for each low-redshift source to yield a single robust radio continuum redshift does not change these results; however, the increased uncertainties on sources with less consistent estimates improves the agreement between these robust redshifts and their spectroscopic counterparts.

We further quantify the likelihood that the calibration constants improve the accuracy of redshift measurements (compared to our uncalibrated model) for sources without known spectroscopic redshifts. This is achieved by randomly selecting six or nine objects as calibrators with the same ratio of low- and high-redshift lobes as our original sample (i.e. one-third HeRGE, two-thirds Cygnus A/3C); the remainder are specified to not have spectroscopic redshifts in the RAiSERed code. Radio continuum redshifts are calculated for these remaining nine or six lobes respectively based on the calibration constants fitted using the randomly selected calibrators. This process is repeated ten times, selecting a different set of calibrators in each iteration. The redshifts estimated for the ten randomly selected subsets of lobes not used as calibrators are plotted in Figure 8 as a function of their spectroscopic redshift.

The radio continuum redshifts estimated when using six calibrators are largely consistent with their spectroscopic counterparts and centred on the one-to-one line. However, the predicted redshift for USS 1707+105 is highly sensitive to the choice of calibrators, with estimates ranging from $z = 3$ to 7; the axis ratio upper limit is likely the cause of the increased variability in this source. As a result of this source, the likelihood of agreement with the spectroscopic redshifts actually decreases (compared to our uncalibrated model) when calibrating the model with six objects; the average error, across both redshift and the ten random source selections, increases to $\delta \log (1 + z^*) = 0.098 \text{dex}$ (i.e. 25% in $1 + z^*$)\(^4\). By contrast, the radio continuum redshifts estimated using nine calibrators are much more stable due to the greater exploration of

\(^4\) The error is 14% in $1 + z^*$ if USS 1707+105 is excluded from the calculation.
Table 4. Radio continuum attributes of Third Cambridge Catalogue of Radio Sources (3C) sources with confident spectral fits. The columns are the same as for Table 3; the flux density, angular size and axis ratio radio continuum attributes are taken from Mullin et al. (2008).

| Source     | Redshift | $S_{178\text{MHz}}$ (Jy) | $\theta$ (arcsec) | $A$ | $s$ | $\nu_0$ (log Hz) |
|------------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|------------------|
| 3C20 East  | 0.174    | 21.64±0.13               | 24.55±0.22        | 5.0 | 2.22±0.001      | 9.759±0.001   |
| 3C20 West  | 0.174    | 25.12±0.11               | 25.91±0.22        | 3.7 | 2.22±0.001      | 9.759±0.001   |
| 3C219 North| 0.1744   | 21.10±1.06               | 81.82±1.40        | 3.2 | 2.43±0.004      | 9.622±0.014   |
| 3C219 South| 0.174    | 23.80±1.19               | 99.09±1.40        | 7.0 | 2.43±0.004      | 9.622±0.014   |
| 3C244.1 North| 0.428   | 12.95±0.65               | 28.45±0.40        | 10.0| 2.56±0.005      | 9.930±0.022   |
| 3C244.1 South| 0.428   | 9.18±0.46                | 25.09±0.40        | 10.5| 2.56±0.005      | 9.930±0.022   |
| 3C388 East | 0.0908   | 12.06±0.60               | 22.1±0.8          | 4.6 | 2.31±0.004      | 9.723±0.015   |
| 3C388 West | 0.0908   | 14.75±0.74               | 20.5±0.8          | 2.9 | 2.31±0.004      | 9.723±0.015   |
| 3C438 North| 0.290    | 23.44±1.17               | 12.86±0.23        | 2.9 | 2.45±0.003      | 9.106±0.006   |
| 3C438 South| 0.290    | 25.29±1.26               | 12.00±0.23        | 2.8 | 2.45±0.003      | 9.106±0.006   |

Figure 7. Radio continuum redshifts for Cygnus A, 3C sources and high-redshift HeRGE objects as a function of their spectroscopic redshift. The five HeRGE radio AGNs have the same radio continuum redshift measurement for both lobes. The left figure shows the radio continuum redshifts for the uncalibrated model whilst the right plot is for the model calibrated based on the known spectroscopic redshifts. The shading indicates the spread of the redshift measurements about the one-to-one line (1 and 2σ level shown). See the caption of Figure 2 for a complete description of the plot.

4.3 Ensemble verification metrics

There are a number of metrics used for optical photometric redshifts (e.g. Tanaka et al. 2018; Schmidt et al. 2020) that can similarly be applied to test the accuracy of the redshift probability density functions as an estimator of the true spectroscopic redshift. In particular, the probability integral transformation (PIT) is the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of a redshift probability density function evaluated at its spectroscopic redshift:

$$\text{PIT} \equiv \text{CDF}(\tilde{\rho}, z^*) = \int_{-\infty}^{z^*} \tilde{p}(z) dz. \quad (8)$$

The distribution of PIT values for accurate probability density functions is expected to be uniform from 0 to 1. An ensemble of overly broad probability density functions will

Parameter space in the calibration. Variability in the estimated redshift of USS1707+105 is now minimised between calibrator samples. Calibration of the RAiSERed model with nine sources increases the likelihood of agreement between the radio continuum and spectroscopic redshifts for all objects. The average error converges towards that found when using all 15 objects as calibrators; i.e. $\delta \log(1+z^*) = 0.058$ dex (i.e. 14% in $1+z^*$). The error in our model is empirically related to the number of degrees of freedom, $\text{df} = N - 4$ (for $N$ calibrators), as $\delta \log(1+z^*) = 0.139 \text{df}^{0.55}$ dex; i.e. the error is less than 10% with 14 calibrators and, extrapolating, falls to less than 5% with 40 sources. The proposed calibration technique will therefore be successful if a modest number of sources are used covering a comparable range of parameter space to the target sources lacking spectroscopic redshifts.
Figure 8. Radio continuum redshifts for randomly selected subsamples of our low-redshift Cygnus A/3C sources and high-redshift HeRGE objects as a function of their spectroscopic redshift. The left figure shows radio continuum redshifts (mean values) for ten randomly selected subsamples (of nine objects) are calibrated using six calibrators whilst in the right plot the random subsamples (of six objects) are calibrated using nine calibrators. The shading indicates the spread of the redshift measurements about the one-to-one line (1 and 2σ level shown). This graph is produced using a customised version of the RAiSERed_plotzz() function.

produce an excess of PIT values around 0.5, and conversely, narrow distributions lead to an excess of the lowest and highest values. In this manner, the distribution of PIT values can be used to probe the average accuracy of the redshift probability density functions for an ensemble of radio AGNs.

The accuracy of the redshift probability density functions for the calibrated subsamples considered in the previous section is assessed in Figure 9 using the probability integral transformation. These probability density functions are updated to include the model uncertainty of the RAiSERed code. The distribution of the PIT values for the random subsamples (of six objects) calibrated using nine calibrators is evenly spread from 0 to 1, though perhaps is slightly right skewed. Meanwhile, the distribution for the random subsamples (of nine objects) calibrated using six calibrators is drawn towards the centre (i.e. 0.5). Both sets of calibrated subsamples are reasonably consistent with the expected distribution of PIT values considering the size of the sample. Importantly, there are very few sources with extreme PIT values (e.g. <0.01 or >0.99) which would indicate outliers.

The distribution of PIT values for the two sets of subsamples are assessed quantitatively using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Anderson-Darling statistics. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic measures the maximum difference between the CDF of the distribution of PIT values for the test subsample of radio AGNs and the CDF for the expected PIT distribution (i.e. uniform from 0 to 1). The Anderson-Darling statistic is a variant of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic; see Equations 6 and 8 of Schmidt et al. (2020). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic for the subsample using six calibrators is $K_S = 0.19$ ($p = 0.007$) and for the subsample with nine calibrators is $K_S = 0.22$ ($p = 0.016$); both have $p$-values of approximately 0.01 suggesting the PIT distributions are likely not consistent with the expected uniform distribution. Similarly, the Anderson-Darling statistics for the two sets of subsamples are $AD = 8.3$ ($p = 0.001$) and $AD = 4.1$ ($p = 0.007$) for six and nine calibrators respectively. By contrast, when considering the full sample of 15 calibrators the Anderson-Darling statistic drops to $AD = 0.42$ ($p = 0.22$). The average accuracy of the RAiSERed redshift estimates therefore
becomes consistent with the expected PIT distribution if a sufficient number of objects are used in the calibration.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented an algorithm, based on the active galactic nucleus (AGN) standard candles of Turner & Shabala (2019), that generates redshift probability density functions using only radio-frequency imaging and photometry of extended radio AGNs. Specifically, our RAiSERed model uses five attributes measured from the radio-frequency observations to find the most likely redshift assuming a prior probability density function for the density profile of the cosmological environments; fixed values are assumed for other model parameters including the minimum Lorentz factor of the electron energy distribution and the ratio of the energy density in the magnetic field to that in the particles (i.e. equipartition factor). The observed attributes are measured individually for each lobe; they are: (i) the angular size, $\theta$; (ii) angular width, $w = 2\theta/A$ for axis ratio $A$; (iii) the ‘optically thin’ spectral break frequency, $\nu_b$; (iv) the spectral index below the break frequency, $\alpha_b = (s-1)/2$; and (v) the integrated flux density, $S_\nu$, at some frequency below the break (i.e. $\nu \ll \nu_b$).

We create a mock radio source population based on the properties of Cygnus A to assess the sensitivity of our RAiSERed algorithm to large uncertainties or upper/lower bounds on the five observed attributes. We find that upper bounds on the angular size, as in unresolved sources, are sufficient to yield accurate radio continuum redshift measurements at $z \geq 2$; at lower redshifts the angular size is a critical constraint. Meanwhile, the break frequency can have moderate uncertainties of 0.5 dex without affecting the estimated radio continuum redshifts. Radio sources with break frequencies below the range of observing frequencies (i.e. aged-spectrum with $\alpha > 1$) can have accurate redshifts measured up to $z \leq 1$, whilst those with break frequencies above the observed frequencies (i.e. $0.5 < \alpha < 1$) have accurate measurements for redshifts above $z \geq 3$.

The RAiSERed model is applied to a sample of 17 radio AGN lobes comprising Cygnus A, objects from the low-redshift ($z < 1$) Third Cambridge Catalogue of Radio Sources (3C), and a subsample of the high-redshift ($2 < z < 4$) Herschel Radio Galaxy Evolution project (HeRGE). All but two of the lobes have a single, well-defined peak in their probability density functions; 3C219 has peaks at two redshifts, one corresponding to the known spectroscopic redshift of $z = 0.1744$ and another at $z \approx 1.5$; the second peak is ruled out by imposing a maximum lobe expansion velocity of $0.5c$. The radio continuum redshifts derived for our uncalibrated model have an average error of 17% (in redshift as $1 + z^*$) compared to their spectroscopic measurement. Importantly, the redshifts derived by our uncalibrated algorithm explain 70% of the variation in the spectroscopic redshifts of the high-redshift HeRGE sample, compared to at most 27% for any one of the observed attributes in isolation. That is, the model performs significantly better than a simple redshift–flux density or redshift–angular size relationship by considering the intrinsic physics of the radio AGNs.

We calibrate the most poorly constrained parameters in our model using a modest sample (6–9 sources) with known spectroscopic redshifts. These properties include the minimum Lorentz factor, gas density at the working surface of the lobe, equipartition factor, lobe to hotspot pressure ratio as a function of axis ratio, and the evolution of cosmic environments with redshift. The uncertainty in these parameters are captured in four calibration constants, $b_1$, $b_2$, $b_3$ and $b_4$. The error in the RAiSERed model upon calibration using nine sources with known spectroscopic redshifts reduces to 14% (in redshift as $1 + z^*$) across all redshifts. The calibration of our algorithm is therefore expected to yield improved (and accurate) results compared to our uncalibrated model using our best guesses for the poorly constrained parameters.

Next-generation radio surveys are expected to observe tens of millions of AGNs with a median redshift in excess of $z \geq 1$. For example, the Evolutionary Map of the Universe (EMU) aims to detect about 70 million sources, about half of which are expected to be star-forming galaxies and the rest AGNs (Norris et al. 2019). Radio-frequency imaging and broadband photometry covering much of the frequency range from 10-1800 MHz will be available in both the northern and southern skies with ASKAP EMU (Norris et al. 2011), ASKAP POSSUM (Gaensler et al. 2010), LOFAR LoTSS (Shimwell et al. 2017, 2019), MeerKAT MIGHTEE (Jarvis 2012), MWA GLEAM (Wayth et al. 2015), and VLA VLASS (Lacy et al. 2020). There is therefore sufficient data for our RAiSERed model to be applied to any presently-active extended AGNs with a lobed morphology identified in these surveys. Crucially, beyond targeted surveys, the vast majority of these objects will not have spectroscopic redshifts, whilst photometric redshifts for high-redshift AGNs are expected to be of limited quality, and even then require optical and infrared photometry. Radio continuum redshifts are therefore likely to be a valuable tool in investigating the properties of the very numerous AGN population, whilst also offering a means to provide indirect redshift estimates to other galaxies identified as belonging to the same projected structures by machine learning algorithms. We provide python code for the calculation, calibration and plotting of our radio continuum redshifts and their probability density functions in the online supplementary material.
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