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Short Communication

Oral and pharyngeal cancer in South Asians and non-South Asians in relation to socioeconomic deprivation in South East England
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From UK Thames Cancer Registry data, after controlling for socioeconomic deprivation of area of residence, South Asian males showed a higher relative risk of oral (1.36; 95% CI: 1.11, 1.67), but not of pharyngeal cancer than non-South Asian males, whereas South Asian females had much higher risks of these cancers (3.67; 95% CI: 2.97, 4.53 and 2.06; 95% CI: 1.44, 2.93), respectively, than non-South Asians.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

With ethical approval from the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine ethics committee, data for all oral and pharyngeal incident cancers diagnosed in the south east of England in 1985–1995 were obtained from the Thames Cancer Registry (TCR). As ethnicity data were incomplete in TCR files, a computer algorithm, SANGRA (South Asian Names and Group Recognition Algorithm) of high sensitivity and specificity (Nanchahal et al, 2001), was used to identify persons of South Asian origin on the basis of their names. Socioeconomic status at diagnosis was ascertained by the Carstairs index (Carstairs and Morris, 1989). Each of the 1999 census wards in England and Wales was assigned a deprivation score and the resulting distribution categorised into five groups (1 = least deprived to 5 = most deprived). Postcode of usual residence at diagnosis was used to allocate each subject to a ward and, hence, to a deprivation category.

Analyses were conducted separately for oral (ICD-10: C01–C06) and pharyngeal (ICD-10: C09, C10, C12–C14) cancers. The relative importance of tobacco products and alcohol intake has been found to differ for these cancers (Franceschi et al, 1999; Hindle et al, 2000a,b). Age-standardised incidence rates (per 100 000 person-years) were calculated by the direct method, in 5-year age bands, to the World Standard Population. Population denominators by ethnicity were obtained from the 1991 census; people who defined themselves as 'Indian', 'Pakistani' and 'Bangladeshi' were regarded as South Asians. Poisson regression models were fitted to estimate age-adjusted and age-deprivation-adjusted incidence rate ratios (Breslow and Day, 1993). Models were compared that included and excluded the deprivation quintiles, respectively; these were modelled both as a series of dummy variables and as a linear trend (nested models), with their relative fit compared using likelihood ratio tests.

In the late 1990s, the government commissioned the first nationally representative survey into health-related behaviours among minority ethnic groups in England. More than 1000 adults in each of the main three South Asian groups (Indian, Pakistani and Bangladeshi) were interviewed (Department of Health, 2001). Data on tobacco use and alcohol intake, by ethnicity, were extracted from the Survey’s report (http://www.archive.official-documents.co.uk/document/doh/survey99/hse99.htm).
RESULTS

The TCR held 6658 registrations of incident oral and pharyngeal cancers in 1985–1995, with 6355 (95.4%) having data on age, gender and postcode situated within its catchment area. SANGRA identified 282 (4.4%) registrations as being of South Asian origin. South Asians had higher age-adjusted incidence rates of these cancers than non-South Asians (Table 1), particularly among males. Among non-South Asians, males had over twice the risk of females. In contrast, South Asian males had a 15% lower risk of oral but a 63% higher risk of pharyngeal cancer than South Asian females.

The incidence of both oral and pharyngeal cancers increased with increasing socioeconomic deprivation of area of residence among non-South Asian males, the most deprived having over twice the risks of those in the least deprived quintile (Table 2). Among non-South Asian females, there was also a positive trend in pharyngeal cancer risk with deprivation, although less marked than in males, but no clear trend in oral cancer risk. Neither cancer was associated with deprivation among South Asians. After adjustment for both age and deprivation of area of residence (Table 3), there was only a small ethnic difference in oral cancer incidence in males, and none in pharyngeal cancer. In contrast, South Asian females had substantially greater risks of these cancers than non-South Asian females (Table 3).

These ethnic differences do not parallel the ethnic variations in alcohol intake reported by the 1999 Health Survey for England. Mean weekly consumption of alcohol units by South Asians (range: 0.0–8.6) and proportion of heavy drinkers (range: 0–14%) were substantially lower than among the general population (7.2–17.5 and 16–30%, respectively). After adjustment for underreporting as ascertained by saliva cotinine levels, current use of any form of tobacco product was substantially higher among Bangladeshi males (relative risk (RR) = 1.52) and females (RR = 1.75), mainly due to tobacco chewing, than in the general population (RR = 1.00). Prevalence in Pakistani males (RR = 0.97) was similar to that in the general population, but much lower in Indian (RR = 0.38) and Pakistani (RR = 0.31) females. Socioeconomic status was negatively associated with tobacco consumption, but positively associated with alcohol intake in the general population; there were no clear trends among South Asians.

### Table 1  Oral and pharyngeal cancer incidence by ethnicity, gender and relative deprivation, South East England, 1985–1995

| Anatomical site (ICD-10) | Deprivation quintile (Carstairs) | Non-South Asians | South Asians |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
|                          | Males N | Rate (95% CI)* | Females N | Rate (95% CI)* | Males N | Rate (95% CI)* | Females N | Rate (95% CI)* |
| Oral cavity (C01 – C06)  | 1 (least deprived) | 246 | 1.44 (1.25, 1.63) | 248 | 1.18 (1.01, 1.34) | 6 | 5.68 (0.54, 10.85) | 8 | 8.49 (2.10, 14.89) |
|                          | 2       | 311 | 1.17 (1.06, 1.27) | 295 | 0.86 (0.74, 0.97) | 8 | 3.56 (0.83, 6.29) | 5 | 3.22 (0.29, 6.29) |
|                          | 3       | 420 | 1.06 (0.94, 1.20) | 361 | 1.25 (1.01, 1.50) | 56 | 2.74 (2.04, 3.68) | 27 | 2.08 (1.31, 3.27) |
|                          | 4       | 499 | 2.38 (2.16, 2.60) | 361 | 1.25 (1.01, 1.50) | 54 | 2.74 (2.04, 3.68) | 27 | 2.08 (1.31, 3.27) |
|                          | 5 (most deprived) | 547 | 2.39 (2.18, 2.60) | 255 | 0.86 (0.74, 0.97) | 30 | 2.16 (1.33, 3.00) | 18 | 1.36 (0.69, 2.03) |
|                          | All quintiles | 2237 | 2.31 (2.21, 2.40) | 1567 | 1.17 (1.11, 1.24) | 101 | 3.97 (3.14, 4.89) | 98 | 4.65 (3.68, 5.62) |

### Table 2  Risk of oral and pharyngeal cancers by relative deprivation of area of residence in South Asians and non-South Asians resident in South East England, 1985–1995

| Anatomical site (ICD-10) | Deprivation quintile (Carstairs) | Non-South Asian IRR (95% CI) | South Asian IRR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Oral cavity (C01 – C06)  | 1 (least deprived; reference) | 1.18 (1.00, 1.40) | 0.84 (0.29, 2.43) |
|                          | 2                                 | 1.35 (1.15, 1.58) | 0.58 (0.20, 1.67) |
|                          | 3                                 | 1.60 (1.37, 1.86) | 0.85 (0.35, 2.07) |
|                          | 4                                 | 2.20 (1.91, 2.54) | 0.77 (0.33, 1.79) |
|                          | 5 (most deprived)                | 1.22 (1.18, 1.26) | 0.98 (0.83, 1.15) |
|                          | All quintiles                    | 1.05                          | 0.736                     |

95% CI = confidence interval; IRR = age- and deprivation-adjusted incidence rate ratio.
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DISCUSSION

The oral and pharyngeal cancer rates observed here are of similar magnitude to those previously estimated among English South Asians (Winter et al., 1999), but much lower than in the Indian subcontinent (Ferlay et al., 2004). Others have also found that these cancer risks in migrants are intermediate between those of the host and the countries of origin (Grulich et al., 1995; Swerdlow et al., 1995; Jain et al., 2005), probably reflecting changes in behaviour.

The positive trend in oral and pharyngeal cancer risks with socioeconomic status in non-South Asians is consistent with the socioeconomic differences in the consumption of tobacco, but not alcohol, reported by the 1999 Health Survey. Similarly, the ethnic differences in rates in females in our study do not parallel differences in their alcohol consumption but are, to a certain extent, consistent with their higher prevalence of tobacco chewing, particularly among Bangladeshi women. This would accord with tobacco chewing being associated with higher risks of oral than pharyngeal cancers (Dikshit and Kanhere, 2000), the former effect being stronger in females (Balaram et al., 2002). A substantial limitation of the Health Survey is its not covering the use of betel alone, a well-established carcinogen (Chang et al., 2005); its use is common in some South Asian (and other) minority ethnic groups (Bedi and Gilthorpe, 1995).

Our study, like similar investigations, was limited by misclassification in assigning of ethnicity on the basis of names and by its inability to distinguish subethnic groups within the South Asian population, despite evidence that risk behaviours vary greatly according to religion and region of origin. Nevertheless, the high risks of oral and pharyngeal cancers presumably reflect their higher consumption of tobacco products and betel quid alone.
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