Evaluation of Ovarian Potential for In Vitro Embryo Production on Indian Buffaloes

Eias Elzein I Osman1*, Sharma RK2 and Majdi E Badawi3

1Department of Clinical studies, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Nyala, A43, Nyala, Sudan
2Physiology and Reproduction Division, Central Institute for Research on Buffaloes, Sirsa Rd, Sector 14, Hisar, Haryana 125001, India
3Department of Medicine and animal surgery, College of Veterinary Medicine, Sudan University of Sciences and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan

*Corresponding Author
Eias Elzein I Osman

Article History
Received: 22.10.2019
Accepted: 31.10.2019
Published: 11.11.2019

Abstract: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of CL, ovary weight and ovary size, on follicular population, oocytes recovery, oocytes grades, oocytes index and cleavage rate on Indian buffalos. A total of 296 buffalo ovaries were collected from slaughterhouse and transported to laboratory for determination of ovaries weight, length, width, thickness, follicular population and oocytes grades, oocytes index and cleavage rate. The results obtained revealed that the number of small and large follicles were significantly higher in ovaries having weight more than 5g as compared to ovaries weight between 3-5g and less than 3g. The number of small follicles in large size ovaries was significantly higher as compared to small size ovaries. The mean number of oocytes recovery, number of oocytes for IVEP and number of cleavage, obtained from ovaries with CL was lower than that of ovaries without CL. No significant difference observed between presence and absence of CL, follicular population and oocytes grades.
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INTRODUCTION

Biotechnologies are being used in animal and agriculture to improve production, and to develop specialized food products and pharmaceutical products. Manipulations of reproductive processes are necessary to accomplish these goals. In this direction dairy industry was perfected the application of the first reproductive biotechnology is artificial insemination (AI). The dairy industry also remains the number one user of embryo transfer technology (ET). In addition, recently the dairy industry has also adopted the field of in vitro embryo production [1]. In vitro Embryo Production (IVEP) is reproductive techniques that supplement AI in the genetic improvement of local cattle breeds [2]. IVEP permits the preservation of genetic potential of sub-fertile or dead animals [3] by the creation of a gene bank with oocytes recovered from slaughterhouses [4] for the improvement of livestock productivity [5]. The embryo production is carried out through a combination of techniques of collection of immature oocytes, in vitro maturation (IVM), fertilization (IVF) and culture (IVC). However, the significantly contributing factors in the success of IVEP are the quality and number of collected oocytes [6]. In addition, in vitro production technologies not only help in production of high genetic merit animals, but also consider an excellent source of embryos for biotechnological applications such as embryo sexing, cloning, nuclear transfer, transgenesis etc. Furthermore, it allows analyzing developmental potential of embryos, including the pattern of gene expression, epigenetic modifications and cytogenetic disorders during the development [7]. Early stages of bovine embryo development show many similarities with human embryos. Therefore, bovine embryos are used as a model organism [8]. Also, IVP can be used to rescue and save irreplaceable genetic material following slaughter for infectious disease control or culling for other reasons [9]. There is no information available about evaluation of ovarian potential for In Vitro Embryo Production in study area. The objective of this study was to evaluate of ovarian potential for In Vitro Embryo Production on Indian buffaloes.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area
The present research was conducted in year 2018 – 2019 at Central Institute for Research on Buffalo, Hisar Haryana, India, located between Latitude: 29°09′14″ N Longitude: 75°43′22″ E and Elevation above sea level: 216 m.

Experiment Design

Medium Preparation
All chemicals and media used in the present study were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), and the ware plastic was from Falcon (Paignton, UK), unless stated otherwise. Media and reagents were prepared using standard protocol of embryo laboratory technique at Central Institute for Research on buffaloes, India under aseptic conditions. All media were filtered using 0.22 µm pore size filter (Durapure® membrane filter, Carrigtwohill, Ireland) and culture medium was routinely equilibrated in incubator at 38.5°C with 5% CO₂ in humidified air for at least 2 hrs before use.

Ovaries Collection
Two hundred ninety six buffalo ovaries were collected immediately after slaughtering from Delhi slaughterhouse and transported to the laboratory in an insulated container containing 0.9% normal saline with antibiotics 400 IU/ml penicillin and 500 μg/ml streptomycin at 32–37°C within 4–5 h. In laboratory, all tissues attached to ovaries were removed and all ovaries were washed twice in saline solution containing antibiotics [10]. After wash all ovaries were classified into two groups: group ovaries with CL and without CL.

Determination of Varies Diameters

Length
The length of the ovary was taken as the distance from anterior pole to posterior pole along an axis parallel to the ovarian mesenterial attachment expressed in centimeter [11].

Width
Width of the ovary was taken as the greater distance from the medial to the lateral surfaces or borders expressed in centimeter [12].

Thickness
Thickness of the ovary was recorded as the greatest distance along an axis vertical to the longitudinal axis (base) at its center or distance from attached to the free borders expressed in centimeter [13].

Weight
Weight of the ovary was taken on the electronic balance and expressed in gram [14].

Follicular Population
The visible follicles were counted and follicle size was measured with electronic Vernier calipers. Follicles were classified into 3 categories: small (<3 mm), medium (3 to 8 mm) and large (> 8 mm) [15].

Oocytes Collection
Oocytes were collected by aspiration of surface follicles (2–8 mm diameter) using 18-gauge disposable needle attached to a 10 ml syringe in aspiration medium consisting of TCM-199 and 0.6% (v/w) bovine serum albumin (BSA). The follicular fluid was collected in tube and kept for 15 minutes. The sediment was collected in 60 mm petri dish and oocytes were searched under stereo zoom microscope [10].

Oocytes Grading
The oocytes were graded as: grade A: having evenly granulated homogenous ooplasm with cumulus cells more than 4 compact layers, grade B: having evenly granulated homogenous ooplasm with 2 to 3 layers of cumulus cells, grade C: having evenly granulated homogenous ooplasm with less compact cumulus cells and grade D: having irregular dark ooplasm and highly expanded cumulus cells. Oocytes selected as grade A and B for IVM [16].

Oocyte recovery rate per ovary
The oocyte recovery rate was calculated by following formula: ORR = (No of recovered oocytes / total No of ovaries) [17].

Oocyte Index
It was calculated as an index using the formula [(G I x 1 + G II x 2 + G III x 3 + G IV x 4) / Total number of oocytes recovered] as described by [15]. Index values that approach one reflected good quality oocytes.
In Vitro Maturation of Oocytes

Oocytes were washed three times with the washing medium (TCM-199+10% FBS+0.81 mM sodium pyruvate+50 μg/ml gentamycin sulphate) and then twice with the IVM medium (TCM-199+10% FBS+5 μg/ml porcine FSH+1 μg/ml estradiol-17β+0.81 mM sodium pyruvate+5% buffalo follicular fluid+50 μg/ml gentamycin sulphate). The washed cumulus oophorus complexes were then placed in 80-μl droplets (15–20 oocytes/droplet) of the IVM medium, covered with sterile paraffin oil, in a 35 mm Petri dish (Becton, Dickinson and Co., Lincoln Park, NJ, USA) and cultured for 24 h in a CO₂ incubator (5% CO₂ in air, 90–95% relative humidity) at 38.5°C [10]. After 24 h of incubation the maturation of oocytes was assessed based on the degree of cumulus expansion. Expansion of COCs was characterized by its sticky nature and enlargement of the cumulus mass to at least 2-3 diameters from the zona pellucida [18].

In Vitro Fertilization

The matured oocytes were washed three times in BO medium having 10 μg/ml heparin, 137.0 μg/ml sodium pyruvate and 1.942 mg/ml caffeine sodium benzoate then transferred to 50 μL droplets (15–20 oocytes/droplet) of the IVF medium (BO medium containing 10 μg/ml fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin (BSA). Thereafter two straws of frozen-thawed ejaculated buffalo semen were washed twice with BO medium. The spermatozoa in 50 μL of the IVF medium (3 million spermatozoa/ml) were then added to the droplets containing the oocytes, covered with sterile mineral oil and placed in a CO₂ incubator (5% CO₂ in air) for 18 h at 38.5°C [10].

In Vitro culture of fertilized oocytes

Presumptive zygotes were denuded from cumulus cells and the extra spermatozoa by gentle pipetting and washed three times in IVC washing medium (T2) supplemented with 1% fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin (BSA). Then fertilized oocytes were cultured in IVC (Research Vitro Cleave Medium (K-RVCL-50; Cook, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia) by making two to three droplets in 35mm petridish and overlaid with mineral oil, at 38.5 °C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator for 8 days [10].

Cleavage embryos were identified according to their cell number during specific time as 1- cell on day 1, 2-cells on day 2, 4-cells on day 3, 8-cells on day 4, 16-cells on day 5 as well as morula and blastocyst stages on day 6 and 7 respectively [19].

Cleavage Rate

The cleavage rate was calculated as follows: Cleavage rate (CR) = number of cleavage / total of oocytes inseminated X 100 [17].

Blastocyst Rate

The blastocyst rate was calculated as follows: Blastocyst rate = number of Blastocyst / total of oocytes inseminated X 100.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The study data were analyzed by Student t- test analysis using SPSS Version 18. Statistically significant confidence interval was taken as P<0.05.

RESULTS

Effect of presence and absence of CL, ovary weight and size on follicular population:

The effects of presence and absence of CL, weight and size of ovary on follicular population are presented in Table-1. No significant difference was observed between ovaries with CL and ovaries without CL on follicular population. On the other hand, the number of small (1.76±0.37) and large follicles (0.41±0.51) found significantly higher (P<0.05) numbers in ovaries having weight more than 5g as compared to ovaries weight between 3-5g and less than 3g. Otherwise, no significant difference was observed between number of medium follicles and ovaries weight. The average number of follicles per ovary was found significantly higher (P<0.05) in ovaries having weight more than 5g (2.59±0.48) as compared to ovaries had weight between 3-5g (2.22±0.14) and less than 3g (1.80±0.10) respectively. This effect was due to high number of small and large follicles in group ovaries of weight more than 5g. Upon comparison of ovary size, we found that the number of small follicles in large size ovaries (1.43±0.12) was significantly higher (P<0.05) as compared to small size ovaries (0.90±0.10). Overall, the average number of follicles per ovary in large size ovaries (2.25±0.13) was shown significantly higher (P<0.05) than small size ovaries (1.76±0.10). This effect was owing to more number of small follicles in group. Otherwise no significant difference was observed between number of medium, large follicles and ovary size.

Effect of presence and absence of CL on oocytes grades

The effect of presence and absence of CL on oocyte grade is presented in Table 2. The results showed that there is no significant difference observed between presence and absence of CL and oocytes grades.

Effect of present or absent of CL on oocytes recovery, oocytes index, cleavage and blastocyst rates

The effect of CL on oocytes recovery, oocytes index, cleavage and blastocyst rates are presented in Table 3. The result showed the mean number of oocytes recovery obtained from ovaries with CL (145) was lower than that of ovaries without CL (335).
The mean number of cleavage obtained from ovaries with CL (23) was lower as compared with those without CL (55). Otherwise, the oocytes recovery per ovary, oocytes index, percentages of cleavage and blastocyst were not different between groups.

Table 1: Means (± SE) values of CL, ovary weight and ovary size:

| Factors          | No. of ovaries | Small | Medium | Large | Average number of follicle /ovary |
|------------------|----------------|-------|--------|-------|----------------------------------|
| CL               | Present        | 109   | 1.26±0.14 | 0.52±0.09 | 0.28±0.05 | 2.06±0.15 |
|                  |Absent          | 187   | 1.15±0.1 | 0.56±0.07 | 0.30±0.04 | 2.01±0.11 |
| P < 0.05         | -              | .519  | .744    | .817   | .763                             |
| Ovary weight(g)  | <3             | 147   | 1.01±0.11 | 0.57±0.08 | 0.22±0.04c | 1.80±0.10c |
|                  | 3-5            | 132   | 1.32±0.12b | 0.54±0.09 | 0.36±0.05b | 2.22±0.14b |
|                  | >5             | 17    | 1.76±0.37a | 0.41±0.26 | 0.41±0.51a | 2.59±0.48a |
| P < 0.05         | -              | .034  | .808    | .050   | .015                             |
| Ovary size(cm)   | Small size     | 134   | 0.90±0.10b | 0.60±0.08 | 0.26±0.04 | 1.76±0.10p |
|                  | Large size     | 162   | 1.43±0.12a | 0.51±0.08 | 0.32±0.05 | 2.25±0.13a |
| P < 0.05         | -              | .001  | .427    | .342   | .004                             |

a,b,c in each column different letters (a, b) indicated significant difference between group (p<0.05). No =number  CL = corpus luteum  SE = Standard Error  g = gram  cm = centimeter

Table 2: Effect of presence or absence of CL (Means ± SE) on oocytes grades

| Factors          | No. of ovaries | I     | II    | III   | IV     | Selected oocytes for IVEP. I and II |
|------------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| CL               | Present        | 109   | 0.25±0.11 | 0.41±0.18 | 0.62±0.38 | 0.05±0.03 | 0.66±0.28 |
|                  |Absent          | 187   | 0.27±0.19 | 0.84±0.60 | 0.66±0.50 | 0.00     | 1.11±0.78 |
| P < 0.05         | -              | 940   | .592  | .933  | .069  | .670                               |

No=number  SE = Standard Error  CL = corpus luteum

Table 3: Effect of CL on oocytes recovery, oocytes index, cleavage and blastocyst rates

| Factors | No. of ovaries | No. of Oocytes recovery | Oocytes recovery rate / ovary | Oocytes Index | No. of Oocytes for IVEP. I and II | No. of Cleavage | Cleavage rate % | No. of Blastocyst | Blastocyst rate % |
|---------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| CL      | Present        | 109                     | 1.3                           | 2.35          | 72                                | 23              | 31.9           | 1                | 1.3               |
|         | Absent         | 187                     | 1.7                           | 2.23          | 207                               | 55              | 26.6           | 1                | 0.5               |
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**Relationship between ovary size and follicular population**

![Graph showing follicular population](image1)

**Relationship between present or absent CL and oocytes grades**

![Graph showing oocytes grades](image2)

**Effect of CL on cleavage and blastocyst rates**

![Graph showing cleavage and blastocyst rates](image3)

**DISCUSSION**

The present study demonstrated that the number of small and large follicles were significantly higher in ovaries having weight more than 5g as compared to ovaries weight 3-5g and less than 3g. No significant effect was observed between number of medium follicles and ovary weight. This result simulate to that of found in cow heifers [20, 21], indicated that the variation in ovarian weight is not only due to the weight of antral follicles and CL but there is number of microscopic follicles and these follicles at least partially contributed to the weight of the ovary. The number of follicle per ovary was significantly higher (P < .004) in ovaries have large size when compared with ovaries of small size. Our results are in agreement with early report of buffalo [11] and sheep [22]. This study demonstrated that no significant difference observed between presence or absence of CL and oocytes grades. The results are also similar to that reported in bovine [14].

The mean of oocyte recovery rate obtained from ovaries with CL (1.3%) was significantly lower than that ovary without CL (1.7%). Our results is similar to that found in buffalo by Das et al., [23] and Raza et al., [24] and they reported that the presence of a CL significantly reduces the number of ovarian follicles as well as the quality of oocytes in buffaloes. Because the follicular
The number of good oocyte/ovary in buffalo and number of cleavage, obtained from ovaries with follicular development of the ovaries and yield and quality, and biochemical composition of serum and embryo production in the Adama plateau (Cameroon), Open Veterinary Journal, 4(2): 128-136.

Acar, D. B., Birdane, M. K., Dogan, N., & Gurler, H. (2013). Effect of the stage of estrous cycle on follicular oocyte, yield and quality, and biochemical composition of serum and follicular fluid in Anatolian water buffalo. Animal reproduction science, 137(1-2), 8-14.

Alves, T., & Felton, D. (2014). TrustZone: Integrated Hardware and Software Security-Enabling Trusted Computing in Embedded Systems (July 2004).

Laine, L., Abid, S., & Albillos, A. (2011). Portal Hypertension V: Proceedings of the Fifth Baveno International Consensus Workshop.

De Loos, F., Van Beneden, T., Kruip, T. A. M., & Van Maurik, P. (1992). Structural aspects of bovine oocyte maturation in vitro. Molecular reproduction and development, 31(3), 208-214.

The number of oocytes recovery, number of oocytes for IVEP and number of cleavage, obtained from ovaries with CL was lower than that of ovaries without CL. The buffaloes ovaries have a good potential for IVEP but less than cattle.

CONCLUSION
This study revealed that the number of small and large follicles were significantly higher in ovaries having weight more than 5g as compared to ovaries weight between 3-5g and less than 3g. The number of small follicles in large size ovaries was higher than small size ovaries. The number of oocytes recovery, number of oocytes for IVEP and number of cleavage, obtained from ovaries with CL was lower than that of ovaries without CL. The buffaloes ovaries have a good potential for IVEP but less than cattle.
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