Survival in acidic and alcoholic medium of Shiga toxin-producing
Escherichia coli O157:H7 and non-O157:H7 isolated in Argentina

Pablo M Molina, Alberto E Parma* and Marcelo E Sanz

Address: Laboratorio de Inmunooquímica y Biotecnología, Animal Health Department, Universidad Nacional del Centro-CIC, Tandil (7000), Argentina

Email: Pablo M Molina - pablo-m@vet.unicen.edu.ar; Alberto E Parma* - aparma@vet.unicen.edu.ar; Marcelo E Sanz - msanz@vet.unicen.edu.ar

* Corresponding author

Abstract

Background: In spite of Argentina having one of the highest frequencies of haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS), the incidence of Escherichia coli O157:H7 is low in comparison to rates registered in the US. Isolation of several non-O157 shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) strains from cattle and foods suggests that E. coli O157:H7 is an uncommon serotype in Argentina. The present study was undertaken to compare the survival rates of selected non-O157 STEC strains under acidic and alcoholic stress conditions, using an E. coli O157:H7 strain as reference.

Results: Growth at 37°C of E. coli O26:H11, O88:H21, O91:H21, O111:H1, O113:H21, O116:H21, O117:H7, O157:H7, O171:H2 and OX3:H21, was found to occur at pH higher than 4.0. When the strains were challenged to acid tolerance at pH as low as 2.5, viability extended beyond 8 h, but none of the bacteria, except E. coli O91:H21, could survive longer than 24 h, the autochthonous E. coli O91:H21 being the more resistant serotype. No survival was found after 24 h in Luria Bertani broth supplemented with 12% ethanol, but all these serotypes were shown to be very resistant to 6% ethanol. E. coli O91:H21 showed the highest resistance among serotypes tested.

Conclusions: This information is relevant in food industry, which strongly relies on the acid or alcoholic conditions to inactivate pathogens. This study revealed that stress resistance of some STEC serotypes isolated in Argentina is higher than that for E. coli O157:H7.

Background

Shiga toxin-producing E. coli (STEC) is now considered to be a common cause of haemorrhagic colitis (HC), haemolytic-uraemic syndrome (HUS) and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura in human [1].

Argentina has an exceptionally high frequency of HUS, with 300 to 400 cases per year, and this is the most common cause of acute and chronic renal failure in young children [2–5]. HUS is considered a foodborne disease, early linked to consumption of hamburgers, ground beef and milk derivatives contaminated with STEC [6]. Bovine meat consumption in Argentina is estimated at 60 kg/hab-
In 1982, *E. coli* O157:H7 was isolated from hamburgers in the US and was considered as an etiologic agent of HC [7]. Since then, more than 100 serotypes of STEC have been isolated from animals and foods [8]. In Argentina, *E. coli* O157:H7 does not seem to be as common as in the US [3]. We have described many non-O157 strains, most of them isolated from cattle and foods [9].

When food products serve as the vehicle of infections, the ingested inoculum may be quite high, as bacteria may have had the opportunity to replicate in food to a high titre before consumption [10]. The importance of gastric juice to control foodborne infection is well known. Previous research with STEC and *Shigella* species brought out the ability of a microorganism to survive the acidic environment of the stomach as an important determinant of the infective dose [11,12]. Definitive volunteer studies to determine the infective dose experimentally have not been done and are unlikely to be conducted with STEC [10], although it is suspected to be as low as 10 cells for *E. coli* O157:H7. The bacterial acid tolerance may lower the infective dose when the source of infection is a mildly acidic food [13].

Several investigators have noted the ability of the human pathogen *E. coli* O157:H7 to survive stress conditions encountered in some foods, in the stomach and *in vitro* [11,14–19]. Despite this, there is still a lack of knowledge on many non-O157:H7 STEC, also described as leading cause of human illness [20].

Previous research showed that some strains of *E. coli* are able to survive at pH values as low as 2.5 [11,17], but it does not grow at pH values less than 4.4 [21]. Many studies were designed to examine how microorganisms cope with environmental stress and have referred to the acid survival systems as the acid tolerance response (ATR), acid habituation and acid resistance (AR). A major problem is encountered when attempting to compare acid survival results among various laboratories, which use different strategies to induce and test acid survival. Frequently, those procedures differ in the use of complex versus minimal medium, log phase versus stationary phase cells, and acid challenge at various pH and temperatures [21,22]. It appears that there are indeed numerous acid survival mechanisms, some of which have long-term dramatic effects while others have more subtle, yet significant consequences [22]. It has been difficult, if not impossible, to determine from the literature if the systems described are truly different or simply reflect different strategies of measuring the same system [21].

Ethanol has previously been implicated in the low level of survival of *E. coli* O157:H7 in certain foods. Therefore, we investigated the potential ability of this acceptable food additive to kill selected STEC strains [23].

Stationary phase bacteria are 100 to 10000 times more resistant to acid than exponentially growing organisms and do not need prior exposure to a low pH to exhibit acid resistance [10].

The objective of this study was to determine: (i) the pH required to inhibit the growth of autochthonous non-O157:H7 STEC in a liquid medium acidified with HCl; (ii) the survival characteristics of STEC exposed to the following stress conditions in independent experiments: pH 2.5, pH 3.0, ethanol 6% and ethanol 12% at 37°C, and to compare them to an *E. coli* O157:H7 strain isolated from a human patient, which is presumably resistant to food preparation and the acidic environment in the stomach.

**Results**

**Acid growth limit and viability of STEC at acidic pH**

The minimum growth pH for STEC strains was 4 (Fig 1). The pH of the culture media was raised to neutrality during the experiment at pH 4 (Fig 2) allowing all cultures to reach the stationary phase within 24 h (data not shown).

The results indicated that all STEC strains examined, were acid tolerant at pH as low as 2.5. In all cases, except *E. coli* O26:H11, it took more than 8 h until viability disappeared (Fig 3A). Only *E. coli* O91:H21 was able to survive longer than 24 h at pH 3.0 (data not shown). Various serotypes showed different behaviour throughout the experiments at pH 2.5 and pH 3.0 (p < 0.05) (Fig 3A and 3B). *E. coli* O91:H21 was the most resistant autochthonous serotype after 510 minutes exposure to pH 2.5 and pH 3.0. On the contrary, *E. coli* O111:H- and O26:H11 were the most sensitive strains at these acidic conditions.

**Effect of alcohol on viability of STEC**

Within 24 h, 12% ethanol dramatically decreased the viability of all STEC tested. Viability of *E. coli* O91:H21 decreased at a slower rate (p < 0.05) than the remaining serotypes. All strains were resistant to 6% ethanol beyond 24 h (Fig 4).

**Discussion**

Argentina has the highest frequency of HUS (300–400 cases/year). Nevertheless, the incidence of *E. coli* O157:H7 is low in comparison to rates in other countries such as US and Canada, suggesting that O157:H7 is an uncommon serotype in the Argentina [3]. On the other hand, we have found a high percentage of non-O157 autochthonous strains, most of them isolated from cattle and foods [9].
Growth of ten STEC serotypes at different pH.

Figure 1
Growth of ten STEC serotypes at different pH. Ordinates correspond to Optical Density and abscises correspond to time in hours.

Key: pHs 2,5•, 3•, 4••, 5−, 6×, 7•

The ability of the human pathogen *E. coli* O157:H7 to survive stress conditions encountered in various foods, in the stomach and in vitro assays, was studied by several researchers [13–19]. Despite this, there is still a lack of knowledge on several non-O157:H7 STEC known as the leading cause of HUS [20].

The general stress response of *E. coli* is characterised by numerous alterations in cellular physiology and even morphology, which enhance survival by increasing cellular stress resistance and preventing cellular damage rather than by repairing it. The concept of general stress response in *E. coli* has emerged from the analysis of the molecular process in stationary-phase cells [24].

The six STEC serotypes here assayed for viability at pH 2.5 and pH 3.0, were described as enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* strains isolated from patients with HUS or HC [1,25]. Their extreme acid survival, which is defined as survival at pH below the growth range [21], and the acid limit for growth are considered to be two relevant properties for a bacterium to resist environment aggression.

We compared the abilities of *E. coli* serotypes to either grow or survive at low pH in Luria Bertani (LB) broth acidified with HCl. Our study showed that all STEC tested can grow at pH higher than 4.0. These findings differ from those obtained by Lin et al. [21] possibly because we did not buffer the LB broth, allowing pH to change freely. The pH of the culture medium rose to neutrality during the experiment at pH 4, and the growth reached stationary phase within 24 h. In each case, rising pH was preceded by biomass increase; in agreement with Lazar et al. [26], since the main carbon source in LB medium are peptides and amino acids, these cultures become alkaline in the stationary phase due to the release of excess amine-containing compounds.

The results indicated that the six STEC strains were acid tolerant at pH as low as 2.5 and in all cases, it took at least 8 h until viability disappeared. Normal fasting stomach conditions are pH below 3 and gastric emptying time is less than 2 h. As a consequence, all serotypes tested here would probably resist those conditions.

None of the serotypes assayed, except O91:H21, was able to survive longer than 24 h at pH 3. These findings are relevant to the food industry, which frequently relies upon the acid condition to inactivate food pathogens.

After 510 minutes exposure to pH 2.5 or pH 3.0, *E. coli* O91:H21 was the most resistant serotype. The autochthonous most sensitive strain corresponded to *E. coli* O26:H11 and O111:H-, in coincidence with the results obtained by Benjamin and Datta [11], who found two O111:H- strains with a very low acid stress resistance.
At 12% ethanol that is a common level in many beverages such as wine, the viability of all STEC strains was dramatically reduced within 24 h. Under this level, *E. coli* O91:H21 was the most resistant strain. Viability however was not greatly affected by 6% ethanol.

In this study we noted resistance of some autochthonous STEC strains to acidic and alcoholic stress. The stress response of some serotypes isolated in Argentina was shown to be higher than the corresponding to *E. coli* O157:H7.

Finally, our results demonstrated the importance of STEC serotypes other than O157:H7 for laboratory food challenge studies, HUS prevention and control strategies in Argentina.

### Methods

#### Bacterial strains and growth conditions

*E. coli* strains are listed in Table 1. They had been isolated from cattle or meat in Argentina [9] except an O157:H7 strain that was isolated from a human stool sample. Bacteria were incubated for 16 to 18 h at 37°C in LB broth medium (Yeast extract, Casein peptone, Sodium chloride, Merck) reaching the stationary phase under these conditions.

#### Acid growth limit and viability of STEC at acidic pH

The kinetics of acid sensitivity of STEC was studied in culture medium. LB broth was adjusted to the desired pH with HCl (pH 2.5; 3.0; 4.0; 5.0; 6.0 and 7.0) and autoclaved. Fresh overnight cultures were diluted 1:50 in 25 ml of this medium and incubated at 37°C with gentle shaking. From the start of incubation (time 0), samples were periodically withdrawn, and the optical density (OD) determined. The pH of LB broth was measured through the experiment.

Acid resistance was studied for six STEC strains (O26:H11, O91:H21, O111:H-, O157:H7, O171:H2 and OX3:H21) that were selected on the basis of their behaviour at pH 4. The bacterial population at pH 2.5 and 3.0 was determined by plating on LB-agar plates 0.1 ml portions of appropriately diluted cultures and incubating at 37°C with gentle shaking. From the start of incubation (time 0), samples were periodically withdrawn, and the optical density (OD) determined. The pH of LB broth was measured through the experiment.

Acid resistance was studied for six STEC strains (O26:H11, O91:H21, O111:H-, O157:H7, O171:H2 and OX3:H21) that were selected on the basis of their behaviour at pH 4. The bacterial population at pH 2.5 and 3.0 was determined by plating on LB-agar plates 0.1 ml portions of appropriately diluted cultures and incubating at 37°C for 24 h. Finally, the end of viability was determined by extending the time of the experiment until no CFU were detected.

#### Effect of alcohol on STEC viability

*E. coli* O157:H7, O91:H21, O111:H-, O157:H7, O171:H2 and OX3:H21, strains were used in this experiment. Stationary phase fresh overnight cultures were diluted 1:50 in 25 ml of sterile LB broth supplemented with ethanol up to either 6% vol/vol or 12% vol/vol, and incubated at 37°C with gentle shaking. Aliquots were taken from all cultures at

| Serotype      | stx     | Source     |
|---------------|---------|------------|
| O157:H7a      | stx2    | Human      |
| O13:H21a      | stx2    | Hamburger  |
| O88:H21       | stx2    | Hamburger  |
| O91:H21a      | stx1/stx2 | Hamburger |
| O116:H21a     | stx2    | Hamburger  |
| OX3:H21a      | stx2    | Hamburger  |
| O171:H7a      | stx2    | Hamburger  |
| O26:H11       | stx2    | Bovine     |
| O111:H-       | stx1    | Bovine     |

* Shared between cattle and meat. stx: Shiga toxin gene.
appropriate intervals and serially diluted in saline, plated on LB-agar and incubated 24 h at 37°C with the aim to detect survival limit.

**Statistical analysis**
Data from each independent experiment (pH 2.5; pH 3.0; ethanol 6%; ethanol 12%) were analysed using the General Linear Models procedures of SAS [27]. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. The least significant difference test was used to determine significant (p < 0.05) differences between STEC serotypes.
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