D-peaks: A visual tool to display ChIP-seq peaks along the genome
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ChIP-sequencing is a method of choice to localize the positions of protein binding sites on DNA on a whole genomic scale. The deciphering of the sequencing data produced by this novel technique is challenging and it is achieved by their rigorous interpretation using dedicated tools and adapted visualization programs. Here, we present a bioinformatics tool (D-peaks) that adds several possibilities (including user-friendliness, high-quality, relative position with respect to the genomic features) to the well-known visualization browsers or databases already existing. D-peaks is directly available through its web interface http://rsat.ulb.ac.be/dpeaks/ as well as a command line tool.

These very last years, researchers have been challenged by the development of novel techniques derived from high-throughput sequencing (e.g., genome, RNA or exome sequencing and epigenetic sequencing approaches). Among those, a very popular approach is ChIP-sequencing (ChIP-seq), which is currently widely used to analyze protein interactions (e.g., transcription factors and chromatin modifying enzymes) with DNA. ChIP-seq replaces now ChIP-chip as the method of choice allowing the exhaustive discovery of precise global DNA binding sites for a protein of interest.1

Briefly, ChIP-seq consists in chemically cross-linking DNA to proteins (among which is the protein of interest) to DNA with a chemical agent, then fragmenting the DNA into pieces of about 50 to 500 bp. The DNA pieces linked to the protein of interest are then immunoprecipitated using an antibody directed against this protein. Finally, the DNA pieces, enriched in the binding sites of the protein of interest, are sequenced (Fig. 1).

The following step is performed in silico. Indeed, it consists in identifying the portions of the genome that are enriched in short sequenced fragments (short reads), which are potential binding sites for the studied proteins. These regions are named peaks as they correspond to areas of the genome that are highly covered by the sequencing. This step, called peak-calling, is a big challenge in current bioinformatics as illustrated by the impressive number of tools dedicated to this task (for reviews see refs. 2 and 3). These tools not only specify where the peaks are located but also generally export the results by attributing a score to each position of the genome. This score generally corresponds to the number of sequenced reads (i.e., sequenced reads enrichment level) of a given genomic position. When high scores are plotted vs. the genomic position they can appear as peaks (Fig. 3).

Plotting the ChIP-seq peaks can be achieved using numerous bioinformatics libraries, tools like Seqminer4 or browsers such as EnsEMBL, UCSC or Igv.5,7 However, even if the possibilities offered by these well-established tools are numerous and impressive (mainly for their exhaustivity and flexibility), limitations do appear when the displayed figures are exported. Those figures are sometimes not very aesthetic and may not fulfill the classical criteria for a publication or a presentation. Moreover, the software mentioned above are generally so powerful and complete that it may seem difficult for the non-expert user to find the exact combination of options and manipulations that should be chosen to get a simple image of his/her ChIP-seq results that is comparable to the standards of the field. Finally, to our knowledge, none of these tools allow the user to display the chromosome coordinates from a given point (i.e., relative coordinates) allowing the user to view the distance between a peak and a feature of interest (e.g., transcription start or end site). Aware of these limitations, we developed D-peaks (draw-peaks), an user-friendly tool (with a few simple options) able to render several tracks of continuous values along the genes and the genome in high quality pictures and to specify coordinates relative to any position on the chromosome (Fig. 2). Its main advantages over the popular genome browsers mentioned above are its user-friendliness, the titles and labels easy customization, the possibility to display genomic relative coordinates and the high quality of the resulting figures.

As mentioned above, together with the position of potential binding sites, continuous values scoring files (WIGGLE or WIG files) are generally generated by the peak-calling programs. Up to five (compressed or not) WIG files of total size smaller than 200 Mb (no restriction with the command line tool) can easily be submitted to D-peaks. As it is the case for some online browsers, the files remain stored on the server and thus must not be re-uploaded for each picture using the same data. Some options, such as the absolute (and the relative) genomic position, the DNA strand and some other aesthetic possibilities (labels and
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Figure 1. ChIP-seq principle. Classically, DNA and DNA binding proteins are cross-linked with formaldehyde in cell extracts. DNA is then extracted and sonicated into small pieces and these fragments, which are linked to the protein of interest are immunoprecipitated using a specific antibody. The cross-link reaction is then reversed and the precipitated DNA fragments are sequenced. The output of the sequencer consists in millions of short reads that should correspond to the DNA binding sites of the protein of interest.
visualization of these results, a nice and high quality rendering of these data is, to our knowledge, not easily achieved. We thus developed D-peaks, a ChIP-seq result analysis tool which draws a precise representation of several ChIP-seq experiments along the genomes in a few very simple steps. We are convinced that this tool may be of high interest to any scientist working and publishing in the ChIP-seq field, as indicated by some threads going in that direction on online specialized forums and as this type of representation has become a standard of the field.
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Figure 2. D-peaks main screen. Users are invited to submit the WIG files and to choose between various simple parameter possibilities to ensure an optimal rendering of their ChIP-seq data.
Figure 3. D-peaks results. This figure is a partial reproduction of a figure produced in Whyte et al (2012) where the authors showed that Sox2, Nanog and Oct4 (and other not shown factors) bind in the same regions that the histone demethylase LSD1 in the promoter of the Lefty1 gene (here). Note that the relative position of the peaks compared with the Lefty1 transcription start site is clearly visible with our tool. Color code of the gene: Red, UTR; blue, introns; green, exons.
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