Cell migration and antigen capture are antagonistic processes coupled by myosin II in dendritic cells

Mélanie Chabaud1,*, Mélina L. Heuze1,*, Marine Bretou1, Pablo Vargas1, Paolo Maiuri2, Paola Solanes1, Mathieu Maurin1, Emmanuel Terriac2, Maël Le Berre2, Danielle Lankan1, Tristan Piolot3, Robert S. Adelstein4, Yingfan Zhang4, Michael Sixt5, Jordan Jacobelli6, Olivier Bénichou7, Raphaël Voituriez7,8, Matthieu Piel2 & Ana-Maria Lennon-Duménil1

The immune response relies on the migration of leukocytes and on their ability to stop in precise anatomical locations to fulfil their task. How leukocyte migration and function are coordinated is unknown. Here we show that in immature dendritic cells, which patrol their environment by engulfing extracellular material, cell migration and antigen capture are antagonistic. This antagonism results from transient enrichment of myosin IIA at the cell front, which disrupts the back-to-front gradient of the motor protein, slowing down locomotion but promoting antigen capture. We further highlight that myosin IIA enrichment at the cell front requires the MHC class II-associated invariant chain (Ii). Thus, by controlling myosin IIA localization, Ii imposes on dendritic cells an intermittent antigen capture behaviour that might facilitate environment patrolling. We propose that the requirement for myosin II in both cell migration and specific cell functions may provide a general mechanism for their coordination in time and space.
Dendritic cells (DCs) are in charge of capturing antigens in peripheral tissues, transporting them to lymph nodes and presenting them on major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules to T lymphocytes. This process referred to as antigen presentation leads to T-cell activation and is essential for the onset of the adaptive immune response. In tissues, immature DCs capture antigens mainly by phagocytosis and macropinocytosis. This actin-dependent mode of internalization allows the nonspecific uptake of large amounts of extracellular fluid and, in DCs, relies on the small GTPases Cdc42 and Rac1 (refs 2,3). Taken-up antigens are delivered to endolysosomes, where they are degraded into peptides to be loaded on MHC class II molecules.

How immature DCs uptake antigens in vivo to exert their patrolling function has recently started to be documented. Two-photon imaging experiments suggest that in certain tissues, such as the mouse ear and gut, DCs randomly migrate to scan the environment5,6. In contrast, in the mouse footpad and lung, DCs were shown to rather remain sessile and uptake luminal antigens through membrane projections that cross the epithelia7–10. Whether these different DC behaviours rely on cell-intrinsic mechanisms that allow the coordination between their antigen capture function and their migratory capacity remains unknown.

The mechanisms that regulate DC migration are not fully understood. An essential role was attributed to the actin-based motor protein myosin II. Its activity is required both in vivo and in vitro for migrating DCs to reach their maximal speed in three-dimensional (3D) environments11,13. Integrin-dependent adhesion was found to be dispensable to this process11. Using microfabricated channels that mimic the confined space of peripheral tissues, we have shown that the MHC class II-associated invariant chain (II or CD74) regulates the motility of immature DCs by imposing transient phases of slow locomotion12. In addition, myosin IIA and II were found to physically interact in both DCs and B cells12,14. However, neither the mechanism by which II reduces DC locomotion nor the impact of such regulation on the antigen capture function of DCs has been highlighted so far.

Here we show that antigen capture and DC migration both require myosin IIA. Efficient antigen uptake by macropinocytosis is associated with periodic enrichments of Myosin IIA at the DC front. These enrichments disrupt the back-to-front myosin IIA gradient responsible for fast locomotion and therefore slow down cell speed. These results indicate that there is a cell-intrinsic antagonism between fast cell migration and antigen uptake. We further show that this antagonism relies on the regulation of myosin IIA localization by II, which is required for the recruitment of the motor protein at the front of DCs. We propose that this migration mode imposes on immature DCs a migratory behaviour that might facilitate their ability to detect scattered antigens, as suggested by a model based on intermittent search optimization.

**Figure 1** | Myosin IIA is enriched at the front of DCs during slow motility phases. (a) Sequential epifluorescence images (×20, one image every minute) of a myosin IIA-GFP knock-in DC exhibiting one event of myosin IIA-GFP enrichment at the cell front: the average intensity of myosin IIA-GFP at the front (1) and the back (2) relative to the average intensity of the entire cell were measured together with the cell instantaneous speed (obtained by tracking the nucleus centre of mass; 3). Scale bar, 10 μm. (b) Quantification of speed fluctuations (calculated as s.d./mean instantaneous speed12) in DCs displaying or not myosin IIA-GFP enrichments at the front within 15 min (n = 50 cells and 44 cells, respectively, three independent experiments). Data are represented as box and whiskers (10–90 percentile) plus outliers. A Mann-Whitney test was applied for statistical analysis. (c) Layered curves of the three parameters described in (a) in a cell undergoing three phases of myosin IIA-GFP enrichment at the cell front. (d) Mean cross-correlation values obtained from the three parameters measured in (a) in 20 cells that underwent at least one event of myosin IIA-GFP enrichment at the front during motion (two independent experiments).
Results
Myosin II is enriched at the DC front during slow migration.
We aimed at understanding how myosin II controls the migration of immature DCs in confined environments. We have previously shown that in microchannels their speed is compromised by the addition of the myosin II inhibitor Blebbistatin\(^{12}\). A similar speed reduction was observed when analysing bone-marrow-derived DCs differentiated from conditional knockout mice for myosin IIA, the only myosin II isoform expressed in mouse DCs (Immunological Genome project http://www.immgen.org) (Supplementary Figs 1a–e). No further decrease was observed on Blebbistatin treatment, excluding a compensatory effect by myosin IIB or IIC (Supplementary Fig. 1f). Migration of *myosin* IIA\(^{−/−}\) immature DCs on epidermal ear sheets was equally

Figure 2 | Myosin IIA enrichment at the front of DCs reduces their speed of locomotion. (a) Schematic of the microfluidic device used to deliver drugs at the front or rear of DCs, composed of migration microchannels (5 × 5 μm) in between two fluidic chambers. Parallel flows were obtained by filling the ‘tanks tips’ (with medium-containing drugs or not) but leaving the ‘waste tips’ emptied, leading to the formation of a perpendicular gradient along migration channels. Clogging of a migration channel by one cell resulted in an on/off drug delivery. (b) Representative kymographs of cells receiving 200 μM Blebbistatin at t = 15 min either at their front (left), rear (middle) or on both sides (right; × 10, one image every 30 s during 55 min). Scale bar, 20 μm. (c) Ratio of the mean instantaneous speed measured before and after delivery of Blebbistatin. Data from four independent experiments are represented as box and whiskers (10–90 percentile) and outliers (30–70 cells per condition). A Kruskal–Wallis test was applied for statistical analysis. (d) Smoothed instantaneous speed versus ratio of (total myosin IIA-GFP intensity at the cell front)/(total mosin IIA-GFP intensity at the cell rear) before and after delivery of 50 μM para-nitroblebbistatin (non-phototoxic blebbistatin, left panels) or DMSO (right panels) at the front of migrating myosin IIA-GFP knock-in immature DCs. Cells were classified in two groups: cells that have high amount of myosin IIA-GFP at their front (upper panels) or cells that have almost no myosin IIA-GFP at their front (lower panels) before treatment (n = 18–20 cells per condition from four independent experiments). (e) Ratio of the mean instantaneous speed measured before and after delivery of Calyculin A. Data from four independent experiments are represented as box and whiskers (10–90 percentile) and outliers (30–70 cells per condition). A Kruskal–Wallis test was applied for statistical analysis.
decreased (Supplementary Fig. 1f,g). We conclude that, as shown for other types of cells, the migration of immature DCs in confined environments such as microchannels or the interstitial space of tissues depends on myosin II-driven contractile forces.

As previously reported, immature DCs display significant speed fluctuations during motion. To investigate the role of myosin IIA in this peculiar migration mode, we characterized its dynamics in DCs differentiated from myosin IIA heavy chain-green fluorescent protein (GFP) knock-in mice. We observed that phases of slow motion were associated with transient events of myosin IIA enrichment at the DC front (Fig. 1a, amplitude, duration and frequency quantified in Fig. 3d–f). Accordingly, migrating DCs that displayed myosin IIA enrichments at their front during the observation period also underwent more speed fluctuations (Fig. 1b). In contrast, myosin IIA was mostly located at the DC rear during phases of fast motility (Fig. 1a,c and Supplementary Movie 1). To quantify this phenomenon, we measured the levels of myosin IIA at the cell rear and front and evaluated whether these parameters were temporally cross-correlated with the speed of DCs. This analysis showed...
that slow motility phases systematically correlated with myosin IIA enrichment at the DC front (Fig. 1d, blue curve) and myosin IIA reduction at the DC rear (Fig. 1d, pink curve). Hence, myosin IIA localization at the rear of DCs correlates with fast migration, whereas myosin IIA enrichment at the front of DCs is associated with slow locomotion.

Myosin IIA enrichment at the DC front slows down migration. These data suggest that myosin IIA localization at the DC rear promotes fast motility, whereas its enrichment at the DC front slows down migration. To test this hypothesis, we built a microfluidic device in order to selectively modulate myosin II activity at the rear or front of migrating DCs and evaluated the impact of such modulation on their speed. For this, we simultaneously injected culture medium in one chamber and drug-containing medium in the opposite chamber, resulting in the formation of a gradient in the microchannels that connect them (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 2a, upper panel). Clogging of microchannels by one cell impaired gradient formation but resulted in the selective delivery of the drug to one cell pole (Supplementary Fig. 2a, lower panel)\textsuperscript{17}.

Addition of increasing concentrations of Blebbistatin at the DC rear reduced their mean instantaneous velocity in a dose-dependent manner and to a similar extent than inhibition of myosin II on both cell poles (Fig. 2b,c). Addition of Blebbistatin at the front of DCs did not significantly alter their speed at the level of the entire cell population. However, we consistently observed that some DCs that had received Blebbistatin at their front exhibited decreased speed fluctuations that correlated with increased cell velocity (Supplementary Fig. 2b). We reasoned that this differential effect of Blebbistatin might depend on whether myosin II was enriched or not at the cell front at the time of drug addition. To test this hypothesis, we used a non-phototoxic form of Blebbistatin (para-nitroblebbistatin), which impairs cell migration (Supplementary Fig. 2c) and is compatible with GFP imaging. We observed that addition of this compound during phases of myosin IIA-GFP enrichment at the cell front markedly increased the migration speed of DCs (Fig. 2d, upper left panel, grey curve). This result indicates that myosin II activity at the cell front indeed impairs cell migration. Noticeably, this acceleration was accompanied by a reduction in the pool of myosin IIA-GFP located at the cell front (Fig. 2d, upper left panel, green curve). No such effects were observed in DCs that did not show myosin IIA-GFP enrichment at their front at the time of drug addition (Fig. 2d, lower left panel) or in DCs treated with dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO; Fig. 2d, upper right panel, green curve). Hence, myosin IIA inhibition at the cell rear compromises DC migration, whereas myosin IIA inhibition at the DC front promotes fast motility.

To strengthen these results, we performed similar experiments using Calyculin A, a phosphatase inhibitor that promotes myosin II activity by increasing the phosphorylation levels of its regulatory light chain (myosin light chain (MLC); Supplementary Fig. 2d)\textsuperscript{18}. Calyculin A had no effect when applied at the DC rear (Fig. 2e), suggesting that local contractility cannot be further increased. In contrast, addition of Calyculin A at the front of DCs strongly decreased their speed, showing that activation of myosin II at the DC front compromises their locomotion. Altogether, our results indicate that (1) fast migration relies on the pool of myosin II located at the rear of DCs and (2) slow migration results from the enrichment of the motor protein at their front. We therefore conclude that speed fluctuations observed in migrating immature DCs result from the repeated disruption of the front-to-back gradient of myosin IIA because of recruitment of the motor protein to the cell front.

Enrichment of myosin IIA at the DC front requires li. We aimed at understanding how myosin IIA is recruited at the DC front. We have previously shown that (1) myosin IIA interacts with li in both B cells and DCs\textsuperscript{12,14} and (2) li-deficient immature DCs exhibit less speed fluctuations as compared with wild-type cells\textsuperscript{12}. We therefore hypothesized that myosin IIA recruitment at the DC front, which triggers phases of slow motion, might be regulated by li. Consistent with this hypothesis, structure illumination microscopy (SIM) images revealed a partial co-localization of myosin IIA heavy chain and li at both the cell rear and front of DCs (Supplementary Fig. 3a and Supplementary Movie 2). This co-localization was quantified using the PCR-based ‘Proximity Ligation Assay’, or ‘Duo-Link’\textsuperscript{19}. A strong positive signal was obtained when labelling GFP and li in myosin IIA-GFP DCs (WT MyoIIAGFP on Fig. 3a,b), indicating that the two proteins are located at a distance inferior to 50 nm. This signal was similar to the one measured when staining for GFP and li molecules in MHC class II-GFP knock-in DCs, MHC class II and li being known to directly associate (WT MHCIIIGFP on Fig. 3a). Conversely, Duo-Link signals were strongly reduced in DCs that do not express li or myosin IIA-GFP (li\textsuperscript{-/-} MyoIIAGFP and B6 on Fig. 3a). The specificity of the li–myosin IIA interaction was further supported by the negative signal obtained with the cytosolic protein gamma-tubulin (Fig. 3a). Quantification of the Duo-link-positive signal showed that li and myosin IIA interacted at both the rear and front of DCs (Supplementary Fig. 3b), confirming the observations made using SIM.
Having shown that the two proteins physically associate, we investigated whether Ii regulates myosin IIA localization during DC migration. For this, we monitored myosin IIA dynamics in DCs expressing different levels of Ii: DCs knock out for Cathepsin S (CatS), which are known to accumulate Ii because of impaired proteolysis\(^2\) and Ii-deficient DCs (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Movie 1). We found that the amplitude, the duration and the frequency of myosin IIA enrichments were all significantly decreased in Ii-deficient DCs (Fig. 3d–f). In addition, the percentage of Ii-silenced DCs showing events of myosin IIA enrichments at their front within 15 min was lower as compared with control DCs (Supplementary Fig. 3c–e), excluding a bystander effect of Ii gene deletion. These data are consistent with our previous study showing that \(R^{-/-}\) cells display less speed fluctuations during motion\(^1\). In contrast to \(R^{-/-}\) DCs, myosin IIA enrichment events were more frequent in CatS/−/− DCs, but their amplitude and duration were comparable to the ones of wild-type (WT) DCs (Fig. 3d–f). These results strongly suggest that Ii is required for transient myosin IIA enrichments at the front of migrating DCs. In agreement, we found that ectopic expression of human Ii (Ii-p33) in mouse \(R^{-/-}\) DCs reduced their speed to WT levels (Fig. 3g) and restored myosin IIA recruitment at their front (Fig. 3h,i). Strikingly, this was not observed when using the IiL7A/L17A Ii mutant (Fig. 3g–i), which was previously shown to remain at the cell surface instead of reaching endolysosomal compartments (Supplementary Fig. 3j)\(^3\). Consistent with this result, although both WT Ii and IiL7A/L17A mutant were expressed at similar levels when transfected in DCs, only WT Ii-p33 and its cleavage intermediates Ii-p25 and p16 were pulled down with endogenous myosin IIA-GFP (Supplementary Fig. 3g). We conclude that Ii triggers the periodic recruitment of myosin IIA at the DC front and thereby leads to important speed fluctuations.

**Myosin IIA at the DC front regulates macropinosome dynamics.**

As the main function of immature DCs is to sample their environment by engulfing large amounts of extracellular material, we next investigated whether the pool of myosin IIA observed at the DC front plays a role in antigen uptake. For this, we took advantage of our microfluidic device that allows introducing and rapidly exchanging fluorescent antigens in microchannels (Supplementary Fig. 4a) in order to follow and quantify the sequential steps leading to antigen internalization: vesicle formation (Supplementary Fig. 4b) and antigen arrival to endolysosomes (Supplementary Fig. 4c). We observed giant vesicles filled with extracellular antigens that formed at the front of DCs, whether fluorescent dextran or ovalbumin (OVA) were used (Fig. 4a,b and Supplementary Fig. 4b). Washout experiments combined to lysosome staining showed that the fluorescent antigens that were retained inside the cell had been delivered to endolysosomes, where antigen processing takes place (Supplementary Fig. 4c). The actin-depolymerizing agent Latrunculin A and the macropinosis inhibitor 5-(N-ethyl-N-isopropyl)amiloride (EIPA)\(^2\) impaired antigen uptake at the cell front, indicating that antigen-containing vesicles correspond to macropinosomes (Supplementary Fig. 4d). Such vesicles were not observed in migrating neutrophils, demonstrating that they were not a bystander product of confinement inside microchannels but rather resulted from the strong macropinocytic capacity of DCs (Supplementary Fig. 4e). Interestingly, tracking of single macropinosomes at high temporal resolution in wild-type DCs showed that they emptied part of their content to the extracellular milieu when reaching the area in front of the nucleus (see blue vesicle on Fig. 4c and Supplementary Movie 3). This is consistent with previous findings showing that macropinocytosis is associated with exocytic events that allow membrane recycling and prevent cell volume increment\(^2\).

To define whether the pool of myosin IIA recruited to the DC front has an impact on macropinosome formation during DC migration, we analysed the dynamics of these vesicles in immature myosin IIA-deficient DCs. Myosin IIA\(^{R^{-/-}}\) DCs displayed macropinosomes that were considerably increased in number but reduced in volume (Fig. 4d,e). Similar results were obtained when analysing Ii-deficient DCs, in which myosin IIA is not efficiently recruited at the cell front (Fig. 4e). Noticeably, characterization of macropinosome dynamics showed that they underwent a rearward displacement in WT cells, whereas they were rather static in both myosin IIA\(^{R^{-/-}}\) and Ii\(^{-/-}\) DCs (Fig. 5a,b and Supplementary Movie 4). Accordingly, measurement of macropinosome velocity revealed that it was significantly reduced in both cell types as compared with WT cells (Fig. 5c), indicating that myosin IIA enrichment at the DC front is required for intracellular macropinosome trafficking towards the cell rear. Consistent with these observations, a strong correlation between the amount of myosin IIA-GFP present at the cell front and the retrograde velocity of macropinosomes was observed (Fig. 5d). Interestingly, we found that myosin IIA enrichment at the DC front was concomitant to events of cell front shortening (Fig. 5a), suggesting that macropinosome retrograde transport might result from local myosin II-mediated contraction. We conclude that myosin IIA regulates macropinosome dynamics at the DC front by promoting (1) the formation of large macropinosomes and (2) macropinosome retrograde intracellular transport.

**Myosin IIA facilitates antigen delivery to endolysosomes.**

We next investigated whether the involvement of myosin IIA in macropinosome dynamics regulates subsequent events of antigen transport to endolysosomes. As shown above, these compartments, where antigens are ultimately delivered and processing takes place, are located behind the nucleus at the rear of DCs (Supplementary Fig. 4c). To address this question, we simultaneously monitored myosin IIA enrichment at the cell front, antigen arrival into endolysosomes and cell speed (Supplementary Fig. 5a,b). We observed that after antigen loading into microchannels the first peak of antigen arrival to endolysosomes was preceded by a cascade of events starting with progressive myosin IIA enrichment at the DC front (−10 min), cell front contraction (−8 min, as measured by front length), cell velocity decrease (−7 min) and macropinosome resorption (−5 min; Fig. 6a and Supplementary Fig. 5c). In addition, cross-correlation analyses showed that cell front shortening systematically correlated with maximal myosin IIA enrichment at the DC front (Fig. 6b, top panel) as well as with macropinosome disappearance (Fig. 6b, bottom panel). Together, these results suggest that myosin IIA enrichment at the front of DCs leads to local contraction, an event that has two consequences: (1) it reduces cell speed and (2) it promotes macropinosome resorption and antigen delivery to endolysosomes.

To confirm the role of myosin IIA in antigen transport, we monitored the kinetics of antigen arrival into endolysosomal compartments in DCs that exhibit different levels of myosin IIA at their front. For this, we labelled the OVA antigen with two dyes: (1) pH-insensitive AlexaFluor-488 and (2) Cypher5E, which fluoresces at a pH below 6.4 (refs 24,25; Fig. 7a). Antigen arrival to endolysosomes, monitored by measuring the AlexaFluor-488 fluorescence in Cypher5E-positive compartments, was visible 10–15 min on its addition to the extracellular milieu and linearly increased during the 90 min of recording (Supplementary Fig. 6a). This antigen accumulation was inhibited by EIPA, indicating that it strictly relied on macropinocytosis
Strikingly, the ability of myosin IIA/C0 DCs to accumulate taken-up antigens into endolysosomes was strongly impaired as compared with WT DCs (Fig. 7b,c, left panel and Supplementary Movie 5). II−/− DCs, which display low levels of myosin IIA at their front, also exhibited a defective antigen accumulation capacity (Fig. 7b,c, middle panel and Supplementary Movie 5). This is unlikely to result from a global endocytic defect in II−/− DCs since levels and distribution of endocytic markers, endosomal pH and ability to transport the transferrin receptor CD71 to endolysosomes were not altered in these cells (Supplementary Fig. 6c–h). Hence, myosin IIA enrichment at the DC front promotes antigen arrival into endolysosomal compartments. In contrast to II knockout DCs, the ability of CatS-deficient DCs to deliver antigens to endolysosomes was significantly increased (Fig. 7b,c, right panel and Supplementary Movie 5). We further observed that the final levels of antigen accumulation and the mean instantaneous velocity of DCs were inversely correlated (Fig. 7d): while most II−/− DCs clustered in the upper left part of the graph, indicating that their increased velocity was associated with impaired antigen accumulation, CatS−/− DCs clustered in the lower right part of the graph, showing that their reduced migration was associated with enhanced antigen capture. Altogether, our data show that, while myosin IIA localization at the cell rear promotes fast migration of DCs, its Ii-dependent transient enrichments at the cell front reduce cell speed but allow efficient antigen capture, resulting in the coordination of these two processes in time and space.
Immature DCs behave as intermittent searchers. We have shown that immature DCs alternate phases of fast and slow locomotion, the latter being associated with efficient antigen capture. Interestingly, this biphasic migration mode is reminiscent of ‘intermittent random walks’, which were shown to minimize the search time of randomly located targets\(^26\). The intermittent random walk model, which so far proved to be applicable in various contexts, from target search by proteins on DNA to animal behaviour\(^26–29\), relies on the assumption that ‘intermittent random walks’, which were shown to capture. Interestingly, this biphasic migration mode is reminiscent of ‘intermittent random walks’, which were shown to minimize the search time of randomly located targets\(^26\). The intermittent random walk model, which so far proved to be applicable in various contexts, from target search by proteins on DNA to animal behaviour\(^26–29\), relies on the assumption that ‘intermittent random walks’, which were shown to minimize the search time of randomly located targets\(^26\). The intermittent random walk model, which so far proved to be applicable in various contexts, from target search by proteins on DNA to animal behaviour\(^26–29\), relies on the assumption that

\(\begin{align*}
\text{(i) random direction and modulus } & \nu, \text{ whereas motion in phase (i) is neglected in a first approximation (Fig. 4a). Following ref. 26, the efficiency of the search process can be quantified by the mean search time } \langle t \rangle \text{ for a target, which can be obtained analytically (see Supplementary Methods). Using the values of the parameters extracted from cell track analysis and myosin II-A-GFP dynamics (Fig. 3d–f), we obtained a quantitative determination of the mean search time (Fig. 8b,c and Supplementary Methods). } \\
\text{t} & \text{1 and } t_2 \text{ could either be estimated from the analysis of myosin II-A-GFP enrichment at the cell front (Fig. 3d–f) or from using a threshold value of } 3 \mu m min^{-1} \text{ to define the slow migration phases, below which DCs efficiently uptake antigens (Fig. 7d), giving similar results. This analysis yielded a mean search time } \langle t \rangle > 15 \text{ h for a single cell, and for a mean distance } b = 100 \mu m (WT DCs). It showed that WT cells perform 30\% faster than Ii knockout DCs for a broad range of antigen concentrations (Fig. 8b). As expected from the intermittent random walk model, we found that } \langle t \rangle \text{ could be minimized by adjusting the duration } t_2 \text{ of fast migration phases (Fig. 8c and Supplementary Fig. 7). Notably, the experimental value of } t_2 \text{ was found to lie near the theoretical optimum, which only weakly depends on } b, \text{ for WT DCs. In contrast, it was significantly larger for Ii}^{-/} \text{ DCs, indicating that these cells ‘waste time’ in long fast phases of locomotion. This model}
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suggests that the observed intermittent behaviour of immature DCs is kinetically close to optimal for antigen capture in 1D microchannels.

**Discussion**

In this work, we show that myosin IIA is transiently and repeatedly recruited to the front of migrating immature DCs, an event that promotes efficient antigen capture but slows down locomotion. How does myosin IIA enrichment at the cell front reduce DC velocity? Migration under confinement was proposed to be favoured by a pressure gradient that is strongly enhanced by myosin IIA back–front polarization. This model predicts that generation of a contractile force at the cell front should reduce this pressure gradient and thereby lead to a decrease in cell velocity. Our microfluidic data showing that myosin IIA activation at the DC front decreases DC velocity strongly support this hypothesis. This implies that the loss of speed observed on recruitment of myosin IIA at the cell front does not result from a competition for myosin IIA between front and back but rather from the loss of the myosin IIA activity gradient required for fast locomotion.

We show that efficient myosin IIA enrichment at the front of DCs requires II, consistent with our previous findings demonstrating that II-deficient DCs migrate faster and exhibit less velocity fluctuations than wild-type DCs. We have previously shown that myosin IIA and II form a protein complex in DCs and B cells. In addition, super-resolution microscopy as well as Duo-Link experiments indicate that II and myosin IIA are in close proximity at the cell front, even though their association occurs all along the cell body. II might facilitate the recruitment of myosin IIA at the DC front through a direct protein–protein interaction or more indirectly, for example, by locally regulating actin dynamics or myosin II assembly. Our findings that the II7A/L7A II mutant, which was shown to remain at the cell surface instead of reaching endosomes, did neither associate with myosin IIA-GFP nor recruit the motor protein at the DC front suggest that the interaction between both proteins might take place in endolysosomal compartments. Alternatively, II Leucines 7 and 17 might be involved in its association to the motor protein. Interestingly, it was recently shown that the production of PIP₃ at the front of polarized amoebas promotes macropinoscysis but compromises chemotaxis. Whether II facilitates myosin IIA recruitment at the DC front through a

---

**Figure 6** | **Myosin IIA enables antigen accumulation by promoting cell front contraction.** (a) Relative curves representing the mean intensity of myosin IIA-GFP signal at the DC front, the DC front length, the speed of DCs and the total intensity of fluorescent OVA signal at the DC front. Data were obtained during the 10 min preceding the arrival of OVA to endolysosomes, from 12 cells showing at least one event of myosin IIA-GFP at their front (three independent experiments). Data are represented as mean ± s.e.m. (b) Mean cross-correlation values observed between the myosin IIA-GFP mean intensity at the cell front and back, the front length and the total intensity of the fluorescent OVA signal measured at the cell front. Data were obtained from 23 cells showing at least one event of myosin IIA-GFP at their front (four independent experiments).
direct protein interaction or by modifying local signalling remains to be explored.

The involvement of myosin IIA in macropinocytosis is consistent with observations made by others showing that myosin II is recruited to membrane ruffles in other cell types and that inhibition of MLC phosphorylation compromises the ability of macrophages to engulf particles. We found that enrichment of myosin IIA at the DC front allows the retrograde transport of macropinosomes, indicating that contractile forces provided by the motor protein might be required for macropinosomes to be transported inwards and for their content to reach endolysosomes. Accordingly, cross-correlation analyses indicate that progressive myosin IIA recruitment at the DC front ultimately leads to cell front contraction and macropinosome resorption. These events are followed by the arrival of antigen into endolysosomes, suggesting that myosin IIA-dependent cell front contraction allows the delivery of their antigenic content to endolysosomes. The exact step at which myosin IIA acts to favor antigen delivery from macropinosomes to endolysosomes remains to be identified. Interestingly, we recently showed that myosin II polarity and activity are controlled by the release of intracellular calcium from the ER through IP3 receptors.

**Figure 7 | Regulation of macropinosome dynamics by myosin IIA facilitates antigen transport to endolysosomes.**

(a) Experimental design to monitor antigen transport to endolysosomes using OVA coupled to both AF488 (green) and CypHer5E (red). Arrows indicate the direction of migration.

(b) Sequential wide field microscopy images (×20, one image every 2 min) of WT, myosin IIA−/−, Ii−/− and CatS−/− immature DCs migrating along microchannels filled with AF488-OVA-CypHer5E. Scale bars, 10 μm. (c) OVA accumulation into endolysosomes in migrating myosin IIA−/− versus WT DCs (left), Ii−/− versus WT DCs (middle) and CatS−/− versus WT DCs (right) immature DCs, quantified as AF488-OVA sum intensity accumulated into CypHer5E-positive compartments normalized by the amount of OVA taken up at the cell front, represented as mean ± s.e.m. Cells were pooled from two to three independent experiments (>50 cells per condition, WT DCs correspond to littermate controls). (d) Graph showing a correlation between the final levels (t = 90 min) of AF488-OVA in endolysosomes and the mean of cell instantaneous velocities.
Whether ER calcium also controls macropinosome formation and antigen transport to endolysosomes shall now be investigated.

Our work shows that the main function of DCs—antigen internalization for processing and presentation to T cells—is coupled to their migratory capacity and antagonizes it. We propose that coupling between macrocynocytosis and DC migration enables the coordination of these two processes in time and space. These results are consistent with findings showing that DC activation transiently increases antigen uptake but reduces their motility. They are further supported by in vivo two-photon imaging data showing that in the skin, lung and gut, DCs alternate between two migration phases (i) and (ii). In the slow phase (i; purple cell), mean duration \( t_1 \) of efficient antigen uptake. In the fast phase (ii; blue cell) of mean duration \( t_2 \), antigen capture is neglected and cell velocity is \( v \).

## Methods

### Mice

li and CatS knockout mice as well as I-\( \text{A}\beta\)-GFP (referred to as MHC II-GFP) and myosin IIA heavy chain-GFP knock-in mice (referred to as myosin IIA-GFP) were previously described. Myosin IIA knockout mice were generated by crossing MyoIIAflox/flox mice with CD11c-Cre mice and with Gt(ROSA)26Sor–flox-stop-flux-YFP mice (Ros-YFP) to obtain MyoIIAflox/flox-CD11c-Cre + -Ros-YFP mice that were used as bone marrow donors. Littermates or sex- and age-matched MyoIIawt/wt-CD11c-Cre + -Ros-YFP mice were used as control bone marrow donor mice. The breeder mice were previously backcrossed to C57BL/6 for at least seven generations. The experiments were performed on 6-week-old male or female mice. For animal care, we strictly followed the European and French national regulation for the protection of vertebrate animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes (Directive 2010/63; French Decree 2013-118). The present experiments, which used mouse strains displaying non-harmful phenotypes, did not require a project authorization and benefited from guidance of the Animal Welfare Body, Research Centre, Institut Curie.

### Cells

Mouse bone marrow cells were cultured during 10–12 days in medium supplemented with fetal calf serum and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-containing supernatant obtained from transfected J558 cells, as previously described.

To generate neutrophils, we differentiated PLB985 (kindly provided by P. Lutz) with All-trans Retinoic Acid as previously described. HEK293Ts were maintained in culture as recommended by the manufacturer (American Type Culture Collection).

### Antibodies and Reagents

The following reagents were used for imaging experiments: CFSE and CMTMR (Molecular Probes), Dextran AlexaFluor-647, Ovalbumin AlexaFluor-488, WGA AlexaFluor-488 or -647, Hoechst (Life Technologies), CypherSE (GE Healthcare) and pHRho Red SE (Life Technologies). For drug treatments, we used EIPA (Life Technologies), Latrunculin A (Calbiochem), Blebbistatin (Tocris Bioscience), Para-nitroblebbistatin (Ophiopharma Ltd), Calyculin A (Sigma), ConcanaGalin A (Tocris) and DMSO (VWR). We coated the microchannels with Fibronectin (Sigma). PLB985s were differentiated with All-trans Retinoic Acid (Sigma). For immunofluorescence, we used anti-myosin IIA Heavy Chain (Covance, 1/500), AlexaFluor-coupled...
Phalloidin (Invitrogen, 1/200), Atto-425-coupled Phalloidin (Sigma, 1/200), anti-li IN1 (BD Bioscience, 1/50), anti-GFP (Living Colors, Clontech, 1/200), anti-Tubulin (kind gift from M. Bornens, anti-β-Actin (BD Bioscience, 1/200), anti-γ-Tubulin (Clontech), anti-Lamp1 (Cell Signaling), anti-CD79Thermo Scientific, 1/50) and anti-human ii (PIN1 and B4U2, from cell supernatant, 1/2). Slides were mounted with Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotechnology). For immunostaining, we used anti-phospho-MLC ThreXSer19 (Cell signaling, 1/1,000), anti-myosin ii (Abcam and ECM Bioscience, both at 1/1,000), anti-myosin IIa Heavy Chain (Covance, 1/1,000), anti-li IN1 (BD Bioscience, 1/50), anti-actin (Millipore, 1/1,000) and anti-α-tubulin (Serotec, 1/1,000) and a homogeni-AK antibody (Abcam) against C-term of AK (1/10).

Flow cytometry, we used a homogeneous 24G2 anti-Fc Receptor MoAb, rabbit serum from Agro Bio as a control and the following antibodies: anti-MYH9 (Covance, 1/250), anti-CD11c (HL3 clone, 1/100) and anti-I-Aβ (AF6-120.1 clone, 1/100). For lentivirus production, HEK cells were transfected using GeneJuice (Novagen).

**DC imaging on epidermal ear sheets.** Epidermal ear sheets were removed from the ear ventral part, as previously described. They were stained by adding anti-LYVE-1 in the culture medium for 1 h at room temperature. In the meantime, WT and myosin IIA DCs were stained with CFESE 5μM and CMTMR 5μM at 10 million per ml in PBS for 20 min at 37 °C, incubated for 5 min at 37 °C in serum and washed twice in the culture medium. Overall, 50,000 cells of each cell type were mixed with 1 μl of loaded or stained epidermal sheet at the 37 °C. When the sheets were washed twice with the culture medium to remove residual cells in suspension that did not penetrate the tissue. Epidermal sheets were aged using a spinning disk microscope consisting of Yokagawa CSU-X1 spinning head mounted on an Eclipse Ti inverted microscope (Nikon) equipped with a Coolmap HQ2 camera (Photometrics) with a ×20 numeric aperture (NA) 0.75 taking one image every 2 min, on a thickness of 100 μm.

**Preparation of microchannels and speed quantification.** Microchannels were prepared as previously described. Briefly, microfluidic devices were fabricated in polydimethylsiloxane using rapid prototyping and soft lithography. PDMS pieces and glass-bottom fluorodorum (World Precision Instruments) were activated in a plasma cleaner (PDC-30G Harrick) for 30s and were stuck altogether. They were then incubated with 20 μg ml⁻¹ fibronectin for 1 h and washed with PBS. For velocity quantification, cells were loaded into microchannels and imaged during 20 h on an epifluorescence video-microscope Nikon TiE microscope equipped with a cooled CCD camera (charge-coupled device camera) (HQ2, Photometrics) with a ×10 objective, taking a phase-contrast image every phase 2 min. Kymograph extraction and instantaneous velocity analysis were performed using a homemade programme as described previously.

**Microfluidics for local drug delivery.** Microchannels with a length of 800 μm were used to deliver drugs to the rear or front of cells. In all, 10⁵ cells per well were loaded and allowed to enter microchannels overnight. The following day, P1000 tips were placed in each well, tips were filled with medium and tips were loaded on one side or left loaded on the other side (leak tips) to create a flow. During the first 15 min, medium alone was loaded into the tank tips filling the microfluidic chambers. It was then removed and replaced by medium alone on one chamber and drug-containing medium on the opposite chamber. This resulted in the formation of a gradient in the microchannels that connect the two fluidic chambers. Cells were recorded during 40 min post loading. Clogging of microchannels by one cell impaired gradient formation but resulted in the selective delivery of the drug to one cell side. Migrating cells were imaged using a wide field video-microscope Nikon TiE microscope equipped with a cooled CCD camera (HQ2, Photometrics) with a ×10 0.3 NA. Cell instantaneous velocities were calculated for each cell before and after drug delivery. To avoid artefacts induced by flow, the first 5 min post loading were not considered for speed measurements. The effect of drugs was assessed by calculating the ratio between the mean of instantaneous speeds measured before and after drug treatment. For experiments with para-nitrophenethylamine, we used myosin IIA-GFP knock-in immunoDCs. Total intracellular I-Aβ intensity corrected for background was measured by drawing rectangles at the cell front and at the cell rear for each time point. The ratio of front/back total myosin IIA intensity was calculated. In parallel, instantaneous speeds were obtained by tracking the rear of cells and were smoothed using a floating window of three time points.

**Macropinocytosis dynamics in migrating DCs.** Microchannels were modified to facilitate the diffusion of dyes and drugs. Microchannel section was 5 × 5 μm and the channels had a length of 350 μm. Immature Lifeact-GFP DCs were incubated for 30 min in 200 ng ml⁻¹ Hoechst-containing medium, washed and 10⁵ cells per well were loaded and incubated overnight to allow their entry into microchannels. The following day, treatments for macropinocytosis inhibition were performed by injecting the corresponding concentrations of EIPA (20, 50 and 100 μM) 2 h before image acquisition or increasing concentrations of Latrunculin A (100, 250 and 500 nM) just before acquisition. Next, 1 mg ml⁻¹ of 10Kd AlexaFluor488-Dextran was loaded in the drug-containing medium and cells were imaged during 2 h using a wide field video-microscope Nikon TiE microscope equipped with a cooled CCD camera (HQ2, Photometrics) with a ×40 0.75 NA. The volume of dextran internalized by each cell was quantified as dextran present inside the cell front mask given by Lifeact-GFP signal in front of Hoechst signal. For high-resolution imaging, I-Aβ-GFP knock-in cells were imaged using a spinning disk microscope consisting in a Yokagawa CSU-X1 spinning head mounted on an Eclipse Ti inverted microscope (Nikon) equipped with a Coolmap HQ2 camera (Photometrics) with a ×60 objective. 3D stacks and isosurface reconstruction were obtained using the Imaris 7.2.3 software, allowing the quantification of object volume and number.

**OVA delivery to endolysosomes in migrating DCs.** AlexaFluor-488 OVA was coupled to CypherSE, which fluoresces below pH = 6.4. Coupling was performed following the manufacturer’s manual (GE Healthcare). The sensitivity of the dye was checked by measuring the optical density at pH = 7.4 in PBS compared with pH = 5.5 in CH₃COOH using a NanoDrop (Labtech). Fluorescence of CypherSE was only detected at acidic pH. The microfluidic device used for this experiment was equivalent to the one used in macropinocytosis assays. In all, 10⁵ cells per well were loaded and left overnight to allow their spontaneous entry into microchannels. Just before image acquisition, OVA coupled to AlexaFluor-488 and CypherSE was added, and cells were immediately imaged using an epifluorescence video-microscope Nikon TiE microscope equipped with a cooled CCD camera (HQ2, Photometrics) with a ×10 or ×20 objective during 90 min. Movies were quantified using either Imaris or the Integrated Morphometry Analysis Tool of Metamorph (Molecular Devices). The CypherSE signal was used as a mask and applied on the AF488-OVA signal at different time points. The amount of OVA accumulated in endolysosomes was normalized by the amount of OVA taken up at the cell front. To address the impact of macropinocytosis inhibition on the ability of cells to accumulate OVA, DCs migrating along microchannels were pre-treated with 50 μM EIPA for 2 h. To correlate OVA delivery to endolysosomes along time to cell instantaneous speed, manual tracking of single cells was performed using the tracking point plug-in of Metamorph.
Macropinosome retrograde transport. Myosin IIA-GFP knock-in DCs migrating into 5 × 5 µm microchannels coated with 20 µg ml⁻¹ fibronectin were imaged using a spinning disc microscope consisting of Hamamatsu X1 spinning head mounted on an Eclipse Ti inverted microscope (Nikon) equipped with a Coolsnap HQ2 camera (Photometrics) with a × 60 differential interference contrast 0.75 NA taking one image every 10 s. Only the cell middle plane was imaged. Macropinosome velocity was measured over 2 min post formation by detection of its initial and final positions. During the same time frame, myosin IIA enrichment was determined in a region surrounding macropinosomes. To take only into account myosin IIA enrichment above background, myosin IIA patches were considered as clusters bigger than 10 pixels above the mean GFP intensity of the cell front GFP cytoplasmic signal.

Flow cytometry analysis. Cells were resuspended in staining buffer (see above). After Fc blocking with 2A2 monoclonal Ab, the cell suspension was stained for 20 min at 4 °C with the indicated antibodies. Cells were then washed three times and were resuspended in staining buffer. For intracellular staining, cells were first surface-stained as described above, and then permeabilized, fixed and stained with anti-Myl9 or rabbit serum using the Cytofix/Cytoperm kit from BD Bioscience as recommended by the manufacturer.

Lentivirus production and infection. Transfection of BMDCs

1. Lentivirus production and infection. Transfection of BMDCs

2. Flow cytometry analysis. Cells were resuspended in staining buffer (see above). After Fc blocking with 2A2 monoclonal Ab, the cell suspension was stained for 20 min at 4 °C with the indicated antibodies. Cells were then washed three times and were resuspended in staining buffer. For intracellular staining, cells were first surface-stained as described above, and then permeabilized, fixed and stained with anti-Myl9 or rabbit serum using the Cytofix/Cytoperm kit from BD Bioscience as recommended by the manufacturer.

Myosin IIA-GFP pull-down. For each condition, 5 × 10⁶ BMDCs transfected at day 6 were lysed 8 h post transfection at 4 °C in 25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP40 and a proteasome inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and a phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). Soluble extracts (50 µg) were loaded on a 4–20% TGX gradient gel (Bio-Rad) and were transferred on a Trans-Blot Turbo Nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked with TBS – 0.05% Tween-20 + 5% BSA, incubated with primary antibodies (1/1,000 anti-phosho-MLC and 1/5,000 anti-tubulin), washed and incubated with secondary antibodies (1/2,500 horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibodies). Signals were revealed with the SuperSignal West Dura substrate (Thermo Scientific).

Immunoblotting. DCs were lysed for 10 min in 100 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, a proteasome inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and a phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). Soluble extracts (50 µg) were loaded on a 4–20% TGX gradient gel (Bio-Rad) and were transferred on a Trans-Blot Turbo Nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked with TBS – 0.05% Tween-20 + 5% BSA, incubated with primary antibodies (1/1,000 anti-phosho-MLC and 1/5,000 anti-tubulin), washed and incubated with secondary antibodies (1/5,000 horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibodies). Signals were revealed with the SuperSignal West Dura substrate (Thermo Scientific).

CD71 pulse-chase experiments and analysis of endosomal compartments. In all, 3 × 10⁵ DCs were loaded in microchannels 10 h before the pulse-chase. First, transferrin receptors (CD71) expressed at the surface were stained at 4 °C with antibodies diluted in medium for 20 min. After three washes with medium at 4 °C, DCs were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min, and then fixed inside micro-channels. Immunofluorescence was performed as described above (see Immunofluorescence section) using phallolidin-AP546, Hoechst and endosomal markers: anti-ClathrinHC, anti-EEA1, anti-Rab11, anti-Rab7, anti-Lamp1 and phallolidin. Images were acquired on an epifluorescence Nikon microscope (Eclipse 90i Upright) with a × 100 objective. Deconvolution was performed on stacks of images taken with a 100-nm z section, using the 3D deconvolution module of META-View software and the fast iterative constrained point spread function algorithm. Co-localized pixels were detected using the RG2B co-localization plug in of Image J.

Analysis of lysosomal pH. AlexaFluor-488 OVA was coupled to pHrodo Red SE, which fluoresces below pH 6.5 and is quantitatively sensitive to acidic pH. Coupling was performed following the manufacturer’s manual (Life Technologies). Overall, 10⁶ DCs were incubated with 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ of pHrodo Red dye coupled to AF488-OVA in 1 ml of the medium for 1 h at 37 °C. After three washes with medium, DCs were loaded into microchannels with 25 mM Concanamycin A or DMSO. After 6 h, cells were recorded every 3 min on an epifluorescence video microscope Nikon TiE microscope equipped with a × 20 objective with a cooled CCD camera (HQ2, Photometrics). The relative lysosomal pH value was determined as the ratio of pHrodo Red/AF488-OVA mean intensities. For each cell, a mean ratio on five frames was calculated.
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Previous work by Képiró et al. describing the photostable blebbistatin derivative para-nitroblebbistatin was inadvertently omitted from the reference list of this Article and should have been cited at instances where this inhibitor is referred to. For example, in the Results section, Képiró et al. should have been cited as follows: ‘To test this hypothesis, we used a non-phototoxic form of Blebbistatin (para-nitroblebbistatin), which impairs cell migration (Supplementary Fig. 2c) and is compatible with GFP imaging (Képiró et al.).’

Képiró, M. et al. para-Nitroblebbistatin, the non-cytotoxic and photostable myosin II inhibitor. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 53, 8211–8215 (2014).