Mutations in the parkin or PINK1 genes are the leading cause of the autosomal recessive form of Parkinson’s disease. The gene products, the E3 ubiquitin ligase parkin and the serine/threonine kinase PINK1, are neuroprotective proteins, which act together in a mitochondrial quality control pathway. Here, we review the structure of parkin and mechanisms of its autoinhibition and function as a ubiquitin ligase. We present a model for the recruitment and activation of parkin as a key regulatory step in the clearance of depolarized or damaged mitochondria by autophagy (mitophagy). We conclude with a brief overview of other functions of parkin and considerations for drug discovery in the mitochondrial quality control pathway.

Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a degenerative death of dopamine-producing neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta of the mid-brain. Studies linking PD to defects in the electron transport chain suggest that damaged mitochondria may play a central role in PD pathology [1]. While most PD cases occur sporadically, research on inherited forms of PD in the past decade have shed new light on the disease and pathogenesis. Studies of two recessive PD genes, PINK1 (PTEN-induced putative kinase protein 1 or PARK6) and parkin (PARK2), have provided direct evidence for the contribution of damaged mitochondria in PD pathology [2]. Parkin is a cytosolic E3 ubiquitin ligase and PINK1 is the only known protein kinase with a mitochondrial targeting domain. These two proteins are involved in a common pathway regulating mitochondrial quality control and promoting the selective autophagy of depolarized mitochondria (mitophagy) [3]. Under basal conditions, parkin E3 ligase activity is repressed in the cytoplasm and PINK1 is imported into mitochondria via TOM40 and TOM20 core containing complexes [4] and cleaved sequentially by mitochondrial proteases such as MPP and PARL [5–8]. Cleaved PINK1 then degrades rapidly in the cytosol via the N-end rule pathway so that levels of PINK1 are low in healthy cells [9]. However, when the organelle loses its inner membrane electrochemical gradient, import of PINK1 to the mitochondria is inhibited and the protein is stabilized on the mitochondrial outer membrane with its kinase domain on the cytosolic face [10,11]. The accumulation of PINK1 kinase activity on the mitochondria triggers parkin recruitment and activation. Activated parkin produces
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ubiquitin chains on various outer mitochondrial membrane proteins leading to autophagic elimination of the damaged organelle [12–15]. Pathogenic mutations in either of these genes lead to loss of this quality control pathway and accumulation of impaired mitochondria, which are thought to be a source of toxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) and contribute to neuronal cell death and PD.

**Parkin is an RBR E3 ubiquitin ligase**

Ubiquitination is a post-translational modification that typically marks proteins for degradation through the covalent attachment of ubiquitin and ubiquitin chains to lysine residues or the N-terminal amino group of a substrate protein [16]. In addition to degradation via the proteasome, ubiquitination can act as a signal for autophagy [17] – degradation via lysosomes – as well as alter substrate protein activity or location [18]. Ubiquitination is carried out through the sequential action of three enzymes: E1 ubiquitin-activating enzymes, E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes and E3 ubiquitin ligases (Fig. 1A). In the pathway, E1 first uses ATP to activate ubiquitin for conjugation by forming a thioester between its catalytic cysteine and the C-terminal carboxyl group of ubiquitin. The ubiquitin is then passed to a second cysteine of an E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzyme. In the final step, the ubiquitin-charged E2 enzyme interacts with a specific E3 ubiquitin ligase and transfers the ubiquitin to the amino group of a substrate protein. Ubiquitin contains seven lysine residues as well as an N-terminal amino group that can be used to build chains of polyubiquitin. The most common chain types are K48 and K63 chains in which multiple ubiquitin molecules are linked in a linear arrangement with the C terminus of one molecule attached to lysine 48 (or 63) of the next. In the ubiquitination pathway, the E3 ubiquitin ligases typically provide the majority of the specificity and regulation in recognition of substrates and control of activity [19]. Based on considerations of structure and chemistry, three classes of E3 ligases are distinguished: RING-type (including U-box ligases), HECT-type and RING-HECT hybrids [20] (Fig. 1B). RING-type E3s are characterized by the presence of a canonical C3HC4-type RING (really interesting new gene) domain that binds the E2 enzyme but does not participate directly in catalysis. This class of E3s functions as inert scaffolding ligases that facilitate the direct transfer of ubiquitin from the E2 onto the substrate. HECT-type E3s contain a HECT (homologous to the E6-AP carboxyl terminus) domain with an active site cysteine, which accepts ubiquitin from an E2 enzyme in the form of a thioester intermediate and then transfers it to substrates. The third class consists of the RBR (RING-between-RING) family of E3 ubiquitin ligases that combine the chemistry of HECT-type ligases with structural similarity to RING-type ligases [21,22]. RBRs contain a canonical C3HC4-type RING (named RING1), followed by two conserved Cys/His-rich Zn-binding domains, in-between-RING (IBR) and RING2 domains, that contain an active site cysteine residue. This cysteine accepts ubiquitin from the E2 enzyme and transfers it onto substrates; hence, ligases in this class are sometimes referred to as RING-HECT hybrids [22].

Parkin, a member of RBR E3 ubiquitin ligases, ubiquitinates a wide variety of cytosolic and outer mitochondrial membrane proteins upon mitochondrial depolarization [23,24]. It forms multiple types of ubiquitin chains, most frequently K63, K48, K11 and K6 linkages [25]. Parkin also shows relatively lax substrate specificity [23,24]. Accumulation of polyubiquitin chains on mitochondria signals recruitment of the autophagosome and proteasome machinery to initiate mitophagy [12–14,23]. Parkin itself becomes ubiquitinated by the attachment of K6 ubiquitin chains, which may play a role in its own degradation [26]. The activity of parkin is tightly regulated and normally repressed [27–30]. In cells, parkin activity can be activated under a variety of conditions such as depolarization of mitochondria or epidermal growth factor signaling [31]. A large number of treatments that disrupt its structure are also known to de-repress its ligase activity *in vitro*. These include heat treatment, N-terminal deletions and some point mutations [28–30,32].

**Lessons from the structure**

Recent structures of parkin have revealed much about its regulation and function (Fig. 2A). Parkin consists of a ubiquitin-like domain (Ubl) at its N terminus and four zinc-coordinating RING-like domains: RING0, RING1, IBR and RING2. More than 120 pathogenic PD mutations are spread throughout parkin domains, attesting to critical functions for each of the individual domains [33]. The Ubl domain is involved in substrate recognition, binding SH3 and ubiquitin interacting motif (UIM) domains, proteasome association, and regulation of cellular parkin levels and activity [27,31,34–37].

Last year, several groups reported high-resolution crystal structures of a parkin fragment consisting of the RING0, RING1, IBR and RING2 domains (PDB: 4K7D, 411H, 411F, 4BM9) along with a low-resolution
structure of the full-length protein (PDB: 4K95) [28–30,38]. In the structures, parkin adopts a compact arrangement, stabilized by multiple hydrophobic interactions, similar to a coiled snake [39] (Fig. 2B). The N-terminal Ubl domain uses a hydrophobic surface centered around Ile44 to bind to the RING1 domain, while the C-terminal catalytic domain is tightly associated with the RING0 domain. Domains RING0 through RING2 (collectively referred to as R0–RBR) each coordinate two zinc ions through histidine and cysteine residues, confirming the stoichiometry of eight zinc ions per parkin [40]. The RING0 domain binds zinc ions in a hairpin arrangement unique to parkin while the RING2 and IBR domains show a sequential arrangement of zinc-coordinating residues. RING0 and RING2 were originally identified as RING domains based on the primary amino acid sequence but their structural topology differs from a classical RING fold. The RING1 domain is the only RING domain with the cross-brace zinc coordination topology observed in other RING-type E3 ligases. The similarity of RING1 to other RING E3 ligases suggested that it is the E2 binding site on parkin (Fig. 2C). NMR titrations, mutagenesis and molecular modeling
confirmed this and identified an $\alpha$-helix (residues 263–271) and two loops (residues 239–244 and 290–292) in RING1 as the E2 binding site [28–30]. The RING2 domain is the catalytic module harboring the catalytic cysteine (Cys431). While RING0 is unique to parkin, the IBR domain is conserved among the RBR E3 family; the precise function of the domains is currently unknown. Parkin contains two interdomain linkers that are flexible and not observed in the crystal structures. The first consists of 70 poorly conserved residues of unknown function that follow the Ubl domain. The second, within the R0–RBR fragment, occurs between the IBR and RING2 domains and is composed of disordered segments and a conserved $\alpha$-helix (residues 391–403) that binds to the RING1 domain. This helix has been termed the repressor element of parkin (REP) due to its role in the regulation of parkin activity.

Parkin is a difficult protein to work with unless certain precautions are taken [41]. The ligase activity is normally repressed and surprisingly some mutations or heat treatment increase activity [27–30]. The bound zinc ions are required for structural stability and properly regulated enzymatic activity [40,42]. While supplemental zinc is not required during purification, metal-chelating agents such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) need to be avoided since they denature the protein. Unfortunately, some published co-immunoprecipitation experiments have used EDTA and need to be interpreted with caution. With a total of 35 cysteine residues, parkin requires a high concentration of a reducing agent such as dithiothreitol to maintain the cysteine thiols reduced and available for coordinating zinc ions.

The parkin structure provides rationales for many of the mutations associated with PD (Fig. 2A). Certain mutations compromise the structural integrity of the protein. Others interfere with binding of substrates or directly affect the enzyme catalysis. Among the best understood, mutations C212Y, C289G and C441R affect zinc coordination, while R42P, K211N and T351P disrupt protein folding or stability. C431F and G430D are in the catalytic site, and T240R prevents E2 binding. The effects of other mutations such as R33Q, D280N, G328E or T415N are less clear. These may disrupt the interdomain interactions or affect
binding of other proteins by parkin. A comprehensive list of known disease-causing parkin mutations together with the predicted structure rationale and biochemical effects is provided as supplementary material by Wauer and Komander [30].

**Autoinhibition**

The activity of parkin is tightly controlled by multiple mechanisms of autoinhibition. The first is access to the catalytic RING2 domain, which is blocked by RING0. Other RBR E3 ligases show similar mechanisms of autoinhibition [43–45]. The Ariadne domain of the RBR E3 HHARI and the UBA domain of the RBR E3 HOIP both participate in inhibiting the catalytic domain. Deletion of the N terminus of parkin through to the RING0 domain leads to very high ubiquitin ligase activity in vitro [28–30].

A second mechanism is the control of binding of the upstream E2 enzyme to parkin. Modeling and mutagenesis have confirmed that the E2 binding site is on the RING1 domain but the site is occluded by the Ubl domain and REP linker (Fig. 2C). Deletion of the Ubl domain and mutagenesis of the REP linker both increase the affinity of E2 binding and parkin activity [27,29]. Finally, the large distance between the E2 binding site and catalytic site on RING2 prevents transfer of ubiquitin from the ubiquitin–E2 conjugate to the parkin catalytic cysteine (Fig. 2C).

**Catalytic mechanism**

The detailed structure of parkin RING2 domain reveals conserved chemistry across the RBR family of E3 ligases for ubiquitin transfer to the target protein (Fig. 3). Catalytically, ubiquitination proceeds through two steps: (a) formation of a ubiquitin–cysteine thioester intermediate where the C terminus of ubiquitin is covalently linked to the parkin catalytic cysteine, and (b) acyl transfer where the ubiquitin is transferred from parkin to an amino group of the substrate.
The catalytic cysteine residue (in the RING2 domain) is conserved in all the members of the RBR family as are the residues involved in zinc coordination. Following the cysteine, there is partial conservation of a histidine residue and an acidic residue. In parkin, these residues adopt a linear arrangement that has been proposed to act as a catalytic triad where the histidine acts as a general base to promote catalysis [28–30]. The structures of two other RBR ligases are known: HHARI, a member of the Ariadne family of E3 ligases [43], and HOIP, a component of the linear ubiquitination assembly complex (LUBAC) [44]. The RING2 domains are highly conserved and share the linear arrangement of the three residues (Fig. 3B). Nonetheless, the results of mutagenesis are ambiguous about the importance of the catalytic triad. The effect of the loss of the histidine is substrate dependent and can be suppressed in vitro by raising the pH [28,44]. In cultured cells, mutation of the histidine only moderately slows parkin-mediated mitophagy. And, while the mutation E444Q reduces autoubiquitination [29] and may be implicated in PD [46], it has no effect on parkin activity in cells [28].

Additional insight into the catalytic mechanism comes from the crystal structure of HOIP, which contains two ubiquitin molecules in contact with catalytic RING2 domain (Fig. 3C). The C terminus of one ubiquitin molecule is positioned to mimic the thioester linkage with the catalytic cysteine while the amino group of the second ubiquitin molecule approaches the cysteine from the other side and occupies the position of the acceptor molecule. Transposition of the two ubiquitin molecules onto the parkin crystal structure generates a hypothetical model of the active site with a thioester intermediate prior to acyl transfer. While the donor ubiquitin can be accommodated to fit in a groove on the surface of the RING2 domain, the position of the acceptor ubiquitin or substrate protein clashes with the RING0 domain, implying that this domain must move in order for a substrate amino group to access the active site.

**Parkin activation**

Recent studies have made tremendous progress in understanding how parkin is activated. *In vitro* studies of parkin have found that a wide variety of effectors can promote parkin activity. These include point mutations that disrupt inhibitory interdomain interactions as well as N-terminal deletions [27–30]. The Ubl domain plays a special role in parkin activation and a number of binding partners that bind the Ubl domain are associated with parkin activation [27]. The Ubl domain recruits these binding partners such as the SH3 domain and UIMs of endophilin A1, Eps15, proteasomal subunits and ataxin-3 [31,35–37] through the same hydrophobic surface centered around Ile44 that it uses to interact with the RING1 domain, indicating that the Ubl dissociates from RING1 upon activation.

PINK1 acts upstream of parkin and is required for parkin activation and recruitment to depolarized mitochondria [12–15,47]. PINK1 phosphorylates parkin Ubl domain on residue Ser65 to activate parkin [48–50]. Although the phospho-mimetic S65E mutation stimulates parkin ligase activity *in vitro*, this mutation is not able to bypass the PINK1 requirement for mitochondrial recruitment in cells and the non-phosphorylatable S65A mutation is not completely impaired [48]. These observations led to the search for an additional PINK1 substrate involved in the parkin activation and the breakthrough discovery that ubiquitin can be phosphorylated by PINK1 [51–53]. Three groups concurrently observed that PINK1 can phosphorylate ubiquitin on Ser65, the same position that is phosphorylated in the parkin Ubl domain. Further, they showed that phosphorylated ubiquitin or phospho-mimetic ubiquitin mutants (S65D/E) directly activate parkin by enhancing the rate of E2–ubiquitin discharge [51–53]. Parkin binds strongly to phospho-ubiquitin with an affinity of 400 nM. Phosphorylation of Ser65 of parkin further increases the affinity 20-fold. In cells, expression of the non-phosphorylatable S65A ubiquitin delays parkin recruitment to the depolarized mitochondria, and mutation of both parkin and ubiquitin at Ser65 abolishes parkin activation [53]. Further studies have revealed that PINK1 is not only a ubiquitin kinase but is also capable of phosphorylating ubiquitin in ubiquitin chains [25,54,55]. While phospho-ubiquitin can still become activated by E1 and charged onto E2 enzymes, its activity in ubiquitination assays is E3 dependent [55]. Parkin shows somewhat less activity with phospho-ubiquitin–E2 than with ubiquitin–E2 [51]. Potentially more relevant is the observation that phosphorylated ubiquitin chains were more resistant to hydrolysis by 10 out of 12 deubiquitinases tested [55]. One possible explanation is the presence of a minor conformation of phospho-ubiquitin that was detected by NMR [55]. The minor conformation, corresponding to about 30% of molecules, shows a β-strand slippage that disrupts the Ile44 hydrophobic patch involved in many ubiquitin interactions.

The structural details of parkin activation are unknown but most of the evidence is compatible with a simple two-state system. Inputs that activate parkin shift the equilibrium between an inactive and active conformation. There is no evidence of multiple steps...
in the pathway: disruption of the RING0–RING2 interface increases ligase activity as does disruption of the REP–RING1 interaction. The conformational change between the two states has been suggested to be a ‘butterfly’ movement in which parkin folds along the axis between the RING0 and RING1 domains to bring the two functional sites together [28]. Alternatively, the active conformation could consist of an ensemble of structures where the E2 binding and catalytic sites transiently interact like beads on a string. The release of the inhibitory interactions would suffice to activate the RING1 and RING2 domains to carry out the ubiquitin ligase activity.

The site of parkin phosphorylation is in close proximity to the REP linker between IBR and RING2 domain in the autoinhibited conformation (Fig. 2B) and could promote displacement of the REP linker and Ubl domain to allow E2 binding. The site of phospho-ubiquitin binding to parkin is also unknown and it is not clear if the phospho-ubiquitin and phosphoUbl binding sites are the same or distinct. In one of the crystal structures, a pocket formed by three solvent exposed positively charged residues Lys161, Arg163 and Lys211 in the RING0 domain was occupied by a sulfate ion, suggesting a potential phosphate binding site [30]. While the PD-associated mutants K161N and K211N fail to recruit to depolarized mitochondria [12–14], mutation of the basic patch did not affect the binding affinity towards phospho-ubiquitin, suggesting another role for this pocket [25].

**Parkin recruitment to mitochondria**

In cultured cells, PINK1/parkin pathways can be activated by depolarizing mitochondria with uncouplers, such as carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP). Following CCCP treatment, parkin shows a very robust and complete recruitment to mitochondria within several hours, which is then followed by the clearance of mitochondria. Parkin recruitment to mitochondria requires PINK1 kinase activity; however, there is no fixed stoichiometry between PINK1 levels and parkin recruitment. This suggests that a molecule other than PINK1 is recognized by parkin. This contrasts with the surprising observation that PINK1 artificially targeted to peroxisomes is sufficient to recruit and activate parkin on peroxisomes [4]. A further enigma is the requirement for parkin ubiquitin ligase activity for its recruitment. Catalytically inactive mutants of parkin do not show detectable recruitment following mitochondrial depolarization [12,21].

The solution to this puzzle is now emerging with a model of the events leading to the recruitment and activation of parkin by phospho-ubiquitin (Fig. 4). In the first step, the selective accumulation of PINK1 on depolarized mitochondria leads to the phosphorylation of low, basal levels of ubiquitin or parkin present on mitochondria [25,51–53]. The system exhibits feedforward control as both ubiquitin and parkin phosphorylation are positive effectors of parkin ubiquitin ligase activity [25,51–53]. Phosphorylation of ubiquitin chains was recently shown to inhibit the action of
deubiquitinases [55], which would act as an additional feedforward mechanism. Full activation of the system requires a positive feedback loop where activation of parkin increases the amount of mitochondrially conjugated ubiquitin, which is then phosphorylated by PINK1 to recruit more parkin. The positive feedback explains the requirement for both PINK1 and parkin catalytic activities as well as how high levels of parkin can be recruited and depleted from the cytosol by low, endogenous levels of PINK1. In agreement with the model, the requirement for parkin ligase activity can be bypassed by using overexpressed tetra-ubiquitin chains artificially targeted to mitochondria [56]. The requirement for PINK1 activity can be further bypassed by the use of phospho-mimetic chains for S65E tetra-ubiquitin [54].

A number of questions remain unanswered. The relative importance of the feedforward pathways is unknown as is the order of the initial events. It is possible, although unlikely, that ubiquitin phosphorylation precedes chain formation and that parkin incorporates phospho-ubiquitin directly into chains [25,51,52]. The relative importance of mono-ubiquitin and polyubiquitin chains is also unknown. Mono-ubiquitin tethered to mitochondria is not sufficient to recruit catalytically inactive parkin which suggests that ubiquitin chains may play a special role [56].

Parkin/PINK1-mediated mitophagy in neurons

Although parkin recruitment to depolarized mitochondria is a robust phenomenon in diverse mammalian cell lines, it has been controversial whether mitophagy is applicable in neurons and in PD pathogenesis. Concerns are that most of these experiments use overexpressed parkin and that CCCP treatment leads to rapid depolarization of the entire mitochondrial network and non-physiological levels of damage to mitochondria, which probably is never the case in PD. In experiments in primary neurons, parkin recruitment to depolarized mitochondria is modest and only happens after prolonged CCCP treatment or in the presence of lysosomal or apoptosis inhibitors or special culture conditions [57,58]. In part, this could arise from the fact that most cell lines are glycolytic while neurons are strictly dependent on oxidative phosphorylation for mitochondrial ATP production; neuronal mitophagy studies may require more physiological methods for induction of depolarized mitochondria. In a recent paper, the short mitochondrial ARF protein was shown to induce mitochondrial depolarization and parkin/PINK1 autophagy both in cell lines and in neurons [59]. Moreover, light activated ROS-induced mitochondrial depolarization was also shown to initiate parkin- and PINK1-dependent mitochondrial degradation by autophagy in axonal mitochondria [60]. This confirms that parkin recruitment and activation on mitochondria is relevant for PD.

Other functions of parkin

Parkin plays a number of roles outside of the induction of mitophagy. Parkin ubiquitination of outer mitochondrial membrane proteins such as mitofusins, optic atrophy 1 (OPA1) and Miro alters the balance of fission to fusion and mitochondrial motility, facilitating the isolation of dysfunctional mitochondria from the mitochondrial network for mitophagy [61]. Parkin and PINK1 also work together to repair mildly damaged mitochondria in response to mild oxidative stress through the formation of mitochondria derived vesicles (MDVs) enriched in oxidized proteins [62]. These MDVs carry damaged cargo to lysosomes for degradation [63]. Complementary to mitophagy, parkin and PINK1 balance the turnover of mitochondria by promoting the synthesis of new mitochondria [64]. Overexpressing parkin in proliferating cells and SH-SY5 cells increases mitochondrial transcription through interaction with TFAM [65,66].

Parkin has been reported to promote cell survival through various mechanisms although how parkin becomes activated in these pathways is not always clear. Parkin has been ascribed as preventing cell death through proteosomal degradation of several proteins such as parkin interacting substrate (PARIS), aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase complex-interacting multifunctional protein-2 (AIMP2) and Fbw7b, a substrate-binding adaptor protein subunit of SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase complex [67–69]. Parkin may activate prosurvival pathways by increasing nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) signaling [70] or decreasing activation of c-jun N-terminal kinase [71–73]. A number of recent papers describe interactions between parkin and Bcl proteins in the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway [69,74–77]. Parkin transcription is under the control of p53, and reportedly mediates the Warburg effect of p53 on glucose metabolism [78]. Parkin has also been implicated in cell surface signaling by controlling epidermal growth factor receptor internalization and Akt signaling by ubiquitination of the endocytic scaffold protein Eps15 [31]. Parkin is a tumor suppressor and its inactivation has been reported in various human cancers. Parkin is deleted in 30% of human tumors and parkin-deficient mice are more susceptible to tumorigenesis [78–82].
Parkin also has a key role in pathogen defense through xenophagy, a pathway related to mitophagy. In xenophagy, bacteria are marked with ubiquitin chains that recruit ubiquitin-binding autophagy adaptors, leading to autophagosome formation and eventually fusion with the lysosome. The ubiquitinated substrates and ligases involved in this pathway are poorly understood. Genomic studies identified parkin as a susceptibility factor for the intracellular bacterial pathogen *Mycobacterium leprae* [83]. In a recent paper, parkin has been shown to be required for resistance to intracellular pathogens such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Salmonella enterica* through an autophagy-dependent mechanism [84]. The shared ancestry between mitochondria and bacteria points to a common mechanism of parkin-mediated autophagy, but whether PINK1 or a related kinase is required for xenophagy is unknown.

**Is parkin a good therapeutic target?**

The recent progress in understanding the regulation of parkin activity may seem appealing for new routes for treating diseases with mitochondrial dysfunction. Parkin displays low basal activity and a small increase in the activation of parkin could be sufficient to slow the progression of PD in sporadic forms of the disease where the wild-type protein is present [41]. Although simplistic, small molecules that mimic phospho-ubiquitin or disrupt autoinhibitory interactions might enhance its neuroprotective action. In cultured cells, mutation of Trp403 or Phe463 speeds recruitment of parkin to mitochondria in a regulated process that remains dependent on PINK1 and mitochondrial depolarization [29]. A small molecule that binds tightly to the pocket occupied by the amino acid side chains would be expected to have the same effect. Alternatively, the deubiquitinating (DUB) enzymes USP30 and USP15 were recently found to oppose parkin/ PINK1 in mitophagy, making inhibitors of these DUBs prime candidates for drug design [85,86]. In contrast, USP8 promotes parkin-mediated mitophagy and thus agonists of this DUB could be developed [26]. While it remains speculative with many challenges, the quality control pathway mediated by PARK1 and parkin appears to offer multiple therapeutic targets for the treatment of PD and other diseases caused by dysfunctional mitochondria.
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