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Abstract

Background: The vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene variants are known as the main risk factor for low bone mass.  
Objectives: In this study, the association of vitamin D receptor genetic variants, BsmI (rs1544410) and FokI (rs2228570), with bone mass in Iranian children and adolescents, was evaluated.  
Methods: The study population comprised of children and adolescents aged between 9 to 18 years (FokI: 123 boys and 120 girls, BsmI: 108 boys and 110 girls). Vitamin D, calcium, phosphorus, total cholesterol (TC), High-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) and triglyceride (TG) concentrations were assayed. Bone mineral density and body composition parameters were measured by the Hologic system DXA. BMD Z-score ≤ -2 was considered as low bone density for chronologic age. PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism was done for genotyping of BsmI and FokI polymorphisms. The association between VDR variants and bone mineral density was investigated using logistic regression analysis.  
Results: No significant differences in body composition and biochemical parameters were detected among the evaluated VDR genotypes. For VDR BsmI, the mean values for Z-score of the lumbar spine, neck, inter and total femur was greater in the bb genotype compared to BB and Bb genotypes. Logistic regression analysis revealed a significant association between femoral neck Z-score and VDR BsmI genotypes in an additive genetic model (unadjusted model (P = 0.035; Bb vs. bb), model 1 (adjusted for age and sex, P = 0.021; Bb vs. bb), model 2 (adjusted for age, sex and BMI, P = 0.013; Bb vs. bb) and model 3 (adjusted for age, sex, BMI and puberty, P = 0.011; Bb vs. bb) and dominant genetic model ((unadjusted model, P = 0.033; BB+Bb vs. bb), model 1 (adjusted for age and sex, P = 0.023; BB+Bb vs. bb), model 2 (adjusted for age, sex and BMI, P = 0.012; BB+Bb vs. bb and model 3 (adjusted for age, sex, BMI and puberty, P = 0.012; BB+Bb vs. bb)).  
Conclusions: This investigation indicated that VDR BsmI polymorphism may be associated with BMD Z-score of the femoral neck but not the lumbar spine, in Iranian children and adolescents.
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1. Background

Childhood and adolescence are important periods for bone growth in life, and deficiencies in the bone mass achieved in early life may lead to the development of osteoporosis in later life (1). Various factors such as calcium consumption, physical activity, body mass, and genetic factors can influence the peak bone mass in childhood (2). The vitamin D receptor (VDR) is known as a key genetic factor in discovering the risk of low bone mass and osteoporosis (3).

The VDR and its biologically active ligand, 1-alpha, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 (calcitriol), act together to conduct various types of biological processes, through interaction with response elements in target genes (4). This receptor-ligand complex plays a key role in bone formation and re sorption by stimulating osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and calcium homeostasis. According to the multi-aspect role of VDR in biological pathways, genetic variations (polymorphism) in VDR sequences could be the leading cause of gene activation failure which affects the bone metabolism (4).

Several polymorphic regions of the VDR gene: BsmI, Apal, FokI, Trul, EcoRV, Cdx2, have been validated in different populations such as postmenopausal Italian woman (5), Japanese girls (6), and healthy Caucasian men (7).

In the Iranian population, there are a few studies on adults, in which the association of VDR polymorphisms
with osteoporosis and bone mineral density were investigated. Dabirnia et al. evaluated the association of vitamin D receptor polymorphisms, TaqI (rs731236) and Apal (rs7975232), with osteoporosis in menopausal Azari women of the Zanjan province (8). In another study, the link between Apal, TaqI, BsmI variants of the vitamin D receptor gene and bone parameters in women aged 45 years and above, in southwestern Iran was evaluated (9). Furthermore, Mohammadi et al. investigated the effect of VDR polymorphisms (FokI) (rs2228570) on bone mineral density, in the Kurdistan population (10). FokI and BsmI (rs1544410) single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been widely studied, and the association of these polymorphic loci with bone mineral density (BMD) has also been investigated (11). Even though practical data have been indecisive for BsmI, few studies have revealed significant associations between BsmI and osteoporosis (12-16). Also, there are only a few studies on the effect of BsmI on BMD in healthy children and adolescents (17, 18). Ames et al. found a great association between FokI, calcium absorption and whole body BMD in a cross-sectional study on children aged 7-12 years (2).

The VDR FokI polymorphism occurs at the start codon of the VDR gene. Wild allele (F) leads to production of full length protein of 427 amino acids while mutant alleles (f) code shorter VDR proteins which are more active than the longer isof orm (4, 11). The VDR FokI polymorphism may also have an impact on BMD, through alterations in calcium absorption (3).

The VDR BsmI polymorphism is located in the intronic region (intron 8 near the 3’ end). It is thought to affect VDR translational activity due to its strong linkage disequilibrium with a poly adenosine (poly(A)) microsatellite repeat in the 3’ untrans lated region (19). In addition to vitamin D levels, the BsmI polymorphism has also been shown to be associated with obesity, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes in some populations (19).

So far, it has not been precisely identified whether VDR genotypes have positive or negative effects on bone mass achievement and bone loss (20), and further in-depth studies are needed to uncover the truth. Also, most of the studies assessing the correlation between VDR and bone mineral density have been accomplished in pre- and post-menopausal women and older age (21). Furthermore, gender and ethnicity are important factors that influence osteoporosis and bone mineral density (12).

2. Objectives

Ethnic differences in VDR genotype are one of the reasons which explain the ethnic differences in BMD. Thus, due to the critical role of VDR in bone metabolism (5); the limited data on the association between VDR and BMD, in healthy children and adolescents; and also, the controversial results; we aimed to evaluate the association between VDR (BsmI and FokI) gene variants and BMD in healthy children and adolescents from the Iranian population.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Population

The study population comprised of 250 children and adolescents aged between 9 to 18 years, 127 boys and 123 girls, who were picked from Kawar (an urban area located 50 km east of Shiraz, the central city of Fars province in the south of Iran), using the age-stratified systematic sample. In the present cross-sectional investigation, the participants were randomly selected and enrolled for genotype analysis. Children and adolescents with systemic impairment (e.g. thyroid complications, diabetes, renal problems, adrenal deficiency), history of developed postponed puberty, using any medications (e.g. anticonvulsants or steroids), were omitted from the study. Our study was accepted by the Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. An informed consent was obtained from participants and their parents.

3.2. Blood Collection, DNA Extraction and Genotyping

All the fasting blood samples were collected and stored in Shiraz Endocrinology Research Center. Genomic DNA was obtained from the blood samples, using the QIAamp blood kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The VDR gene allelic variants were identified by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length (PCR-RFLP).

PCR amplification was performed using the following primers: For FokI, forward 5’GCACTGACTCTGGCTCTGAC 3’; reverse 5’ACAGCAACCTCAGGAAAGCGA 3’ and for BsmI, forward 5’TGAAGGGAGACGTAGCAA 3’; reverse 5’ACCTCATCACGACATCA 3’. Conditions of the thermocycler were 94°C for 5 min; followed by thirty cycles of 94°C for 30 s; 58 and 51°C for FokI and BsmI, respectively, for 1 min; and 72°C for 30 s. After PCR, RFLP was performed by FokI and BsmI restriction enzymes. Digested PCR outcomes were determined on 2% agarose gel and detected by a UV transilluminator.

3.3. Anthropometric Measurements and Tanner Stages

Anthropometric parameters including body height and weight of the participants were measured using a wall-mounted meter and standard scale (Seca, Germany), respectively. Height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm and
weight to the nearest 0.1 kg. Waist circumference was evaluated at the level of the umbilicus to the nearest millimeter. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as weight (kg) divided by square of height (m²). Pubertal stage of the children and adolescents was determined by an endocrinologist, through quantifying the bone mass by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) (22). Pubertal stage was assessed according to the Tanner standard classification, during the visit for Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scan. Children and adolescents with Tanner classification of 1 were defined as pre-pubertal, 2 and 3 as early pubertal, and those with stages 4 and 5 were categorized as pubertal.

3.4. Biochemical Parameters

Blood samples were collected in Shiraz Endocrinology Research Center, after overnight fasting. Serum levels of total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), triglyceride (TG), calcium, and phosphorous were quantified by enzymatic reagents (Biosystems, Barcelona, Spain), using an A-25 Biosystem Autoanalyser with a standard automated technique. The concentration of 25-hydroxy vitamin D (25OHD) was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Young Lee 9100, South Korea) in ng/mL. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) concentrations were calculated from the quantified levels of TG, HDL-C, and TC according to the Friedewald equation. Non-HDL-C was calculated by subtracting HDL-C from total cholesterol. The factor of variance (CV %) for TG, TC, and HDL methods was 1.7% - 2.6%, 1% - 1.9.5%, and 1.3% - 1.5%, correspondingly.

3.5. Bone Mineral Density Measurements

The Hologic system DXA (Discovery QDR, USA) was applied to quantify BMD (g/cm²), bone mineral content (BMC) in grams, and bone area (BA) in square centimeters. The coefficients of variation for the femoral neck, lumbar spine, and total body (created on the quantities in ten segments) consisted of arms, ribs, spine, pelvis, and legs of both right and left sides; total spinal measures consisting within L1, L2, L3 and L4; and total femoral measures including the neck, trochanteric, and intertrochanteric; were demonstrated in BA (cm²), BMC (g) and BMD (g/cm²). Larger bones had a higher areal BMD, due to their larger width. Predictable volumetric BMD was measured for both the lumbar spine (LSBMAD), and femoral neck (FNBMAD) as defined in the calculations below:

\[ \text{LSBMAD} = \frac{\text{BMC of L2 - L4}}{\text{area}^{15}} \]
\[ \text{FNBMAD} = \frac{\text{BMC of femoral neck}}{\text{area}^{2}} \]

According to the International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD) guideline, Z-score values were distributed among the two groups: a Z-score of -2.0 or lower was described as “Low BMD for chronological age”, and above -2.0 as “Normal BMD for chronological age” (23). Total body composition was presented as fat mass (g), lean mass (g), lean+BMC (g), and total mass (g).

3.6. Statistical Analysis

IBM® SPSS® Statistics V. 22.0 for Windows was applied for obtaining all statistical outcomes. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

Children and adolescents’ height, weight, age, BMI, and biochemical parameters (TC, LDL, Non-HDL, HDL, and TG) were tested for normal distribution by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Chi-square test was used to evaluate the differences between grouped variables. The chi-square goodness of fit test was applied to assess the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. In addition, genotype and allele frequencies were calculated using chi-square.

Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) test was employed for evaluating the differences between the VDR polymorphisms, and biochemical and demographic parameters adjusted for age and sex. Logistic regression analysis was used to observe the association between VDR polymorphisms and lumbar spine and, neck Z-scores, under additive, dominant and recessive genetic models adjusted for age, sex, BMI, and puberty, in 3 statistical models. Model 1 was adjusted for age and sex, Model 2 for age, sex and BMI; and Model 3 for age, sex, BMI and the Tanner stage of puberty. Linear regression was performed to evaluate the possible impact of VDR genetic variations on BMD. The minor allele for each SNP was considered as the reference allele. P values less than 0.05 reflect statistically significant results.

4. Results

4.1. Basic Characteristics

General, clinical and biochemical features of the studied population are presented in Table 1. There was no significant variance (P > 0.05) regarding age, BMI, waist circumferences and phosphorus concentration between girls and boys; while weight, height, vitamin D and calcium levels were significantly higher in boys than girls (P < 0.05).

4.2. Genotype Frequencies and Distribution of VDR Polymorphisms

Genotype counts and frequencies of the VDR polymorphism are presented in Table 2. Genotype and allele frequencies of the studied VDR gene polymorphic sites were in agreement with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05).
Table 1. General, Clinical and Biochemical Characteristics in Our Studied Population

| Data                  | Girls, N = 123 | Boys, N = 127 | P Valueb |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|
| Age, y                | 15.5 ± 2.9     | 15.75 ± 2.7   | 0.632    |
| Weight, kg            | 39.4 ± 11.7    | 44.7 ± 14.9   | 0.001    |
| Height, cm            | 148.8 ± 12.1   | 157.4 ± 16.2  | 0.001    |
| BMI, kg/cm²           | 17.4 ± 3.2     | 17.5 ± 3.1    | 0.797    |
| BMD Z-score           | -0.77 ± 0.2    | -0.68 ± 0.3   | 0.60     |
| Waist circumference, cm | 68.1 ± 10.3  | 67.7 ± 10.7   | 0.775    |
| 25OHvit D, ng/mL      | 14.1 ± 5.2     | 16.1 ± 5.9    | 0.004    |
| Calcium, mg/dL        | 9.8 ± 0.4      | 9.9 ± 0.5     | 0.027    |
| Phosphorus, mg/dL     | 4.1 ± 0.5      | 4.1 ± 1.1     | 0.816    |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BMD, bone mineral density.

Values are expressed as mean ± SD.

aData Significant at 5%.

For FokI 243 children and adolescents (123 boys and 120 girls) were successfully genotyped. The allele frequency of the VDR FokI polymorphism was 79.6% for F allele (n = 387) and 20.4% for f allele (n = 99).

For BsmI, 218 children and adolescents (108 boys and 110 girls) were successfully genotyped. The allele frequency of the VDR BsmI polymorphism was 44% for B allele (n = 110) and 56% for b allele (n = 244).

4.3. Effect of VDR Polymorphisms on General and Biochemical Parameters

For FokI, the means ± SD of height, weight, BMI, serum 25(OH)D, serum calcium and serum phosphorus in the studied population (243 subjects), were 153.43 ± 15 cm, 42.60 ± 13.78 kg, 17.34 ± 3.1 kg/m², 15.09 ± 5.75 ng/dL, 9.8 ± 0.5 mg/dL and 4.07 ± 0.87 mg/dL, respectively. No significant variances were observed in the means of height, weight, BMI, vitamin D, calcium, and phosphorus serum levels, between FokI genotype groups.

For BsmI, the means ± SD of height, weight, BMI, serum 25(OH)D, calcium and phosphorus in the studied population (218 subjects) were 152.41 ± 14.86 cm, 41.35 ± 13.36 kg, 17.61 ± 3.2, 15.09 ± 5.54 ng/dL, 9.8 ± 0.49 mg/dL and 4.1 ± 0.89 mg/dL, respectively. There were no significant variances in the means of BMI, weight, height, vitamin D, calcium, and phosphorus levels, between BsmI genotype groups. The data are summarized in Table 3.

4.4. Effect of VDR Polymorphisms on Body Composition and DXA Bone Densitometry

The effect of VDR polymorphisms (FokI and BsmI) on body composition and DXA bone densitometry outputs were analyzed. No significant association was observed between VDR polymorphisms (FokI and BsmI), and total fat mass, total lean+BMC, total fat (%), total lean mass, and total body mass. In addition, there was no significant association between VDR FokI and BMD, area and BMAD of the lumbar spine, femur and total body without the head. For VDR BsmI, similar observations were obtained. In addition, analysis of variance (ANOVA) results did not show any significant association between VDR polymorphisms and lumbar spine Z-score, neck, intertrochanteric and total femur Z-scores.

4.5. Effect of VDR Polymorphisms on Bone Mineral Density Z-Score

The effect of VDR polymorphisms (FokI and BsmI) on BMD Z-score of the categorized groups in three genetic models (additive, dominant and recessive) is presented in Table 4. Multivariate logistic regression analysis results demonstrated no significant association between genotype groups of VDR FokI polymorphisms and Z-scores of the lumbar spine and femoral neck, in low and normal groups, under all studied genetic models. Unlike FokI, VDR BsmI genotypes showed a significant association with femoral neck Z-score under the additive and dominant genetic models. Under additive genetic model, with and without adjustment for different confounders there was a significant increased risk for low femoral neck Z-score for Bb genotype. Under a dominant genetic model (BB+Bb) vs. bb, we found a significant association between VDR BsmI polymorphisms and neck Z-scores under an unadjusted model ($P = 0.033$, OR (95% CI) = 3.3 (1.5 - 6.7)), model 1 ($P = 0.023$, OR (95% CI) = 2.7 (1.1 - 6.2), model 2 ($P = 0.012$, OR (95% CI) = 3.2 (1.2 - 8)) and model 3 ($P = 0.012$, OR (95% CI) = 3.5 (1.3 - 9.3)). Considering the significant associated risk with Bb genotype (under additive model) and BB+Bb genotypes (under dominant model) we tested the over dominate model to reveal the probable significant role of Bb genotype in increasing the risk of low femoral neck Z-score. Results showed marginal significance in adjusted models, result in favor of suggesting B allele as the risk allele.

The R square for logistic regression analysis was about 80%. It seems that the selected independent variables had a major impact on the dependent variables compared to the other unselected variables. In addition, linear regression was done to assess the possible impact of VDR genetic variations on BMD. No significant association was detected between VDR genetic variations and lumbar spine-BMD and femoral neck-BMD (Table 5).
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5. Discussion

In the current study, the influence of VDR gene polymorphisms (FokI rs2228570 and BsmI rs1544410) on biochemical parameters, body composition, BMD and BMD Z-score in Iranian children and adolescents was investigated. A significant association was observed between VDR BsmI and BMD Z-score, while no significant association was found between VDR gene polymorphisms (FokI and BsmI) and biochemical parameters, body composition, and BMD. Also, VDR FokI did not show any significant effect on BMD Z-score. We found that Bb genotype and B allele of VDR BsmI are associated with low BMD compared to bb genotype and b allele, respectively.

Bone mass and body composition achievements in children and adolescents are affected by factors such as weight, height, hormonal status, and lifestyle features (exercise and calcium consumption), some of which might be under the control of genetic factors (21, 24). Finding genetic determinants that influence BMD, might be a useful approach in preventive medicine for identifying individuals at the risk of osteoporosis (21).

Most previous studies on the correlation between VDR and BMD were conducted on twin pairs, pre and postmenopausal women, elderly women, healthy women and in women with osteoporosis or even comparing black and white women (5, 6, 17, 25-27). Also, these investigations have been performed on different ethnic groups, such as Caucasian, British, Italian, Finnish and North American (4, 7, 27, 28).

In the present study, no significant association was observed between BMD, area, and BMAD (total body without the head, lumbar spine and femur) and VDR FokI, in healthy Iranian children and adolescents. The mean values of BMD, area, and BMAD were higher in the Ff genotype compared to ff genotype and F allele, respectively.

Table 2. Genotype Counts and Frequencies of the VDR Polymorphism

| Sex          | FokI (rs2228570), N = 243b | BsmI (rs1544410), N = 218c |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|              | FF | Ff | ff | Total | BB | Bb | b | Total |
| Boys         | 83 (67.5) | 38 (30.9) | 2 (1.6) | 123 | 20 (18.5) | 55 (50.9) | 33 (30.6) | 108 |
| Girls        | 73 (60.8) | 37 (30.9) | 10 (8.3) | 120 | 24 (21.8) | 49 (44.5) | 37 (3.6) | 110 |
| All Subjects | 156 (64.2) | 75 (30.9) | 12 (4.9) | 243 | 44 (20.2) | 104 (47.7) | 70 (32.1) | 218 |

a Values are expressed as No. (%).
b Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for FokI (P = 0.44)
c Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for BsmI (P = 0.63)

Table 3. Effect of the VDR Polymorphism on Demographic and Biochemical Parameters in Our Studied Population

| Data               | Genotype |
|--------------------|----------|
|                    | FokI (rs2228570), N = 243 | BsmI (rs1544410), N = 218 |
|                    | FF         | Ff         | ff         | Total  | BB          | Bb           | b          | Total  |
| Height, cm         | 152.95 ± 15.11 | 155.89 ± 14.59 | 144.25 ± 13.30 | 0.15 | 152.88 ± 15.75 | 151.43 ± 14.48 | 153.56 ± 15 | 0.17 |
| Weight, Kg         | 42.75 ± 14.34 | 43.33 ± 12.88 | 40.26 ± 10.72 | 0.09 | 41.30 ± 12.83 | 40.41 ± 11.93 | 42.79 ± 15.0 | 0.26 |
| BMI, kg/cm²        | 17.76 ± 3.43 | 17.41 ± 2.82 | 16.93 ± 2.43 | 0.09 | 17.21 ± 2.56 | 17.25 ± 2.92 | 17.56 ± 3.68 | 0.87 |
| 25OH vit D, ng/mL  | 14.95 ± 5.93 | 15.84 ± 5.49 | 12.22 ± 4.12 | 0.35 | 14.58 ± 4.60 | 15.35 ± 6.15 | 15.31 ± 5.39 | 0.76 |
| Calcium, mg/dL     | 9.84 ± 0.47 | 9.90 ± 0.57 | 9.78 ± 0.36 | 0.62 | 9.77 ± 0.42 | 9.88 ± 0.49 | 9.79 ± 0.52 | 0.40 |
| Phosphorus, mg/dL  | 4.04 ± 0.53 | 4.11 ± 1.36 | 4.19 ± 0.47 | 0.53 | 4.14 ± 0.47 | 4.18 ± 1.18 | 4.00 ± 0.53 | 0.46 |

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

a Values are expressed as mean ± SD.
Table 4. Genetic Association Between VDR Polymorphisms (FokI and BsmI) and BMD Z-Score of Lumbar Spine and Neck in Groups Categorized (Low BMD and Normal BMD)\(^a\)\(^b\)

| Data                  | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | P Value | Model 1 OR (95% CI) | P Value | Model 2 OR (95% CI) | P Value | Model 3 OR (95% CI) | P Value |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| **Lumbar spine**      |                        |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| Additive              |                        |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| FF                    | 0.34 (0.86 - 1.4)      | 0.10    | 0.35 (0.86 - 1.4)   | 0.35    | 0.25 (0.05 - 1.1)   | 0.07    | 0.37 (0.07 - 2)     | 0.25    |
| Ff                    | 0.32 (0.86 - 1.2)      | 0.13    | 0.32 (0.83 - 1.2)   | 0.10    | 0.30 (0.07 - 1.2)   | 0.10    | 0.43 (0.09 - 2.1)   | 0.30    |
| ff                    | Ref.                   |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| Dominant (Ff+FF) vs. ff| 0.33 (0.09 - 1.2)      | 0.10    | 0.33 (0.09 - 1.2)   | 0.10    | 0.29 (0.07/1.2)     | 0.08    | 0.41 (0.08 - 2)     | 0.28    |
| Recessive FF vs. (Ff+FF)| 1.2 (0.6 - 2.4)       | 0.52    | 1.3 (0.6 - 2.5)     | 0.48    | 1.0 (0.5 - 2.1)     | 0.97    | 1.0 (0.5 - 2.1)     | 0.10    |
| **Femoral Neck**      |                        |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| Additive              |                        |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| FF                    | 1.5 (0.4 - 5.9)        | 0.6     | 1.1 (0.3 - 4.7)     | 0.86    | 0.9 (0.2 - 4)       | 0.94    | 1.0 (0.2 - 4.6)     | 0.9     |
| Ff                    | 0.8 (0.4 - 1.7)        | 0.6     | 0.8 (0.4 - 1.7)     | 0.63    | 0.6 (0.2 - 1.2)     | 0.17    | 0.6 (0.3 - 1.5)     | 0.3     |
| ff                    | Ref.                   |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| Dominant (Ff+FF) vs. ff| 0.6 (0.2 - 2.5)       | 0.53    | 0.8 (0.2 - 3.3)     | 0.8     | 0.9 (0.2 - 3.6)     | 0.8     | 0.9 (0.2 - 3.9)     | 0.9     |
| Recessive FF vs. (Ff+FF)| 1.0 (0.5 - 2.1)       | 0.8     | 1.1 (0.6 - 2.2)     | 0.7     | 1.6 (0.8 - 3.1)     | 0.2     | 1.4 (0.7 - 3.1)     | 0.4     |
| **BsmI, N = 218**     |                        |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| **Lumbar spine**      |                        |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| Additive              |                        |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| BB                    | 0.9 (0.3 - 2.5)        | 0.8     | 0.9 (0.4 - 2.4)     | 0.8     | 1.0 (0.3 - 3)       | 0.9     | 0.9 (0.3 - 2.9)     | 0.9     |
| Bb                    | 1.5 (0.7 - 3.1)        | 0.3     | 1.4 (0.8 - 3.2)     | 0.3     | 1.6 (0.7 - 3.7)     | 0.1     | 1.3 (0.6 - 3.4)     | 0.5     |
| bb                    | Ref.                   |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| Dominant (BB+Bb) vs. bb| 1.3 (0.6 - 2.8)       | 0.5     | 1.3 (0.6 - 2.8)     | 0.5     | 1.4 (0.6 - 3.2)     | 0.4     | 1.2 (0.5 - 2.7)     | 0.6     |
| Recessive BB vs. (BB+Bb)| 0.7 (0.2 - 1.7)       | 0.4     | 0.7 (0.2 - 1.7)     | 0.4     | 0.7 (0.3 - 1.9)     | 0.5     | 0.8 (0.3 - 2.1)     | 0.6     |
| **Femoral Neck**      |                        |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| Additive              |                        |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| BB                    | 2.3 (0.8 - 6.4)        | 0.1     | 2.3 (0.8 - 6.6)     | 0.1     | 2.9 (1 - 9)         | 0.056   | 3.2 (1 - 10)        | 0.049   |
| Bb                    | 2.5 (1 - 6)            | 0.035   | 2.8 (1.1 - 6.8)     | 0.021   | 3.3 (1.3 - 8.6)     | 0.013   | 3.7 (1.3 - 10)      | 0.011   |
| bb                    | Ref.                   |         |                     |         |                     |         |                     |         |
| Dominant (BB+Bb) vs. bb| 2.5 (1 - 5.6)         | 0.033   | 2.7 (1.1 - 6.2)     | 0.023   | 3.2 (1.2 - 8)       | 0.012   | 3.5 (1.3 - 9.3)     | 0.012   |
| Recessive BB vs. (BB+Bb)| 1.2 (0.5 - 2.7)       | 0.6     | 1.2 (0.5 - 2.7)     | 0.7     | 1.3 (0.5 - 3.1)     | 0.5     | 1.3 (0.5 - 3.2)     | 0.5     |
| Over dominant BB vs. (BB + bb) | 0.57 (0.29 - 1) | 0.1 | 0.52 (0.25 - 1) | 0.066 | 0.49 (0.23 - 1) | 0.059 | 0.46 (0.21 - 1) | 0.053 |

Abbreviations: VDR, vitamin D receptor; BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratio.

\(^a\) According to the International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD) guideline in additive, dominant and recessive models with adjustment for confounding factors. Adjustment was done in 3 models. Model 1 was adjusted for age and sex, model 2 for age, sex and BMI, and model 3 was adjusted for age, sex, BMI and the tanner stage of puberty.

\(^b\) P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Statistically significant. Similarly, a higher BMD in individuals heterozygote for FokI (Ff genotype) was also reported by a previous study (29). In line with the results of the current study, no significant association was detected between FokI polymorphism and total body BMD in Danish or Lebanese girls (30).

In contrast to our findings, Ames et al. determined the impact of the VDR FokI genotype on BMD in children aged 7.5 - 12 years (6); indicating the association of ff genotype with higher BMD (2). Furthermore, in another study on prepubertal girls, Ferrari showed the relationship between the FF genotype and markedly decreased BMD (31).
In the present study, no significant link was found between VDR FokI and height, in healthy Iranian children and adolescents; while Jakubowska-Pietkiewicz et al. (31) concluded that occurrence of the F allele in FokI polymorphism of the VDR receptor gene leads to an increase in body height.

In the current study, we did not observe any significant association of FokI with lumbar spine, neck, inter and total femur Z-scores; while Jakubowska-Pietkiewicz et al. revealed that in children with a Z-score between -1.0 and -2.0, the f allele was related to higher bone mass (31). For FokI, in the unadjusted model and adjusted models, no significant association with BMD Z-scores was found. It seems that confounding factors (age, sex, BMI, and puberty) did not correlate with changes in BMD Z-score in Iranian children and adolescents.

In this study, we also examined the association between VDR BsmI polymorphisms and anthropometry, biochemical parameters and BMD in healthy children and adolescents. Variations in 3’ untranslated region (UTR) of VDR (BsmI) sequence can change the mRNA steadiness and protein translation efficacy (32).

Also, we did not discover a significant association between VDR BsmI and serum levels of vitamin D, calcium, phosphorus, cholesterol, TG, and HDL. In addition, no significant correlation was observed between VDR BsmI and anthropometric characteristics (height and weight) in the current study. In a study by van der Sluis et al., an association between haplotypes assembled of the BsmI, Apal, and TaqI polymorphisms, and height and vertebral body width was reported in Caucasian children and young adults (21).

Association of VDR BsmI with BMD showed controversial results. In our study, we found a significant association between VDR BsmI ((Bb vs. bb) and (BB+Bb vs. bb)) and femoral neck Z-score. The BB genotype showed a higher risk for lower femoral neck Z-score in comparison with the bb genotype. Recently, a correlation of the B allele with BMD was described in two meta-analyses conducted by Thakkinstian et al. (15). Sainz et al. reported that femoral and vertebral bone density was higher in girls with bb genotypes than those with BB (33). Conversely, a longitudinal study of Norwegian children found no effect of the BsmI genetic variants on BMD in children or adolescents. Similarly, Willing’s team did not observe any statistically significant connection between BsmI VDR polymorphism and bone mass, in 428 healthy children aged 4.5 - 6.5 years (34). In the unadjusted model, model 1 and model 2, no significant association between BsmI (bb vs. BB) and femoral neck Z-score was observed; while in model 3, a slightly significant difference was observed. It seems that age, sex, BMI and puberty had a major effect on femoral neck Z-score; so that when these factors entered the model, an alteration in P value occurred. We concluded that BsmI site polymorphism is independently related to femoral neck Z-score, when adjusted for age, sex, BMI, and puberty. Comparing Bb vs. bb reveals a significant association in all 4 models; meaning that, BsmI is independently correlated with change in neck Z-score. In VDR BsmI, the Bb genotype is associated with the lower neck BMD Z-score risk in Iranian children and adolescents. Analysis under over dominate model showed no significant effect of heterozygosity. In the additive model we found that individual with BB genotype had higher risk for lower femoral neck Z-score when compared to bb genotype, and in the dominant model, we observed that Bb+BB group showed this effect when compared to the reference genotype (bb). On the other hand the BB group showed significant increased risk (OR 3.2, 95% CI = 1 - 10) under additive model and after adjustment for age, sex, BMI and the Tanner stage of puberty, result in favour of suggesting B allele as the risk allele.

Our study had some limitations. Because of the relatively small sample size, statistical power to detect associations of VDR variants with the risk of hyperlipidemia was limited. Subjects enrolled in the present study were selected from a cohort study from the south of Iran and may not represent the general population of Iranian children.

The difference between the results of our investigation and other studies, may be due to ethnic variations and diversity in geographical and environmental conditions of the studied populations. The limitation of our study was the small sample size; increasing the sample size lowers the variance in the results and increases the power of the
experiment. Other interacting factors such as physical activity, diet and environmental factors, besides VDR polymorphisms, are important in bone mass acquisition; thus, it is recommended that they are considered in future studies.

5.1. Conclusions
Bb genotype of VDR gene BsmI site polymorphism (rs1544410) is associated with an elevated risk of low BMD in Iranian children and adolescents. Differences in the results of our study and some previous studies, regarding the association of VDR polymorphisms and BMD, may be attributed to ethnic variations.

Footnotes
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