The Tricuspid Insufficiency—Pulsating Varicocele Connection: A Syndrome and Its History

Cardiologists rarely examine the scrotum, and men with scrotal problems rarely consult cardiologists. There are times, however, when each should.

Puzzling Abnormality
When I was an intern in 1954, one of my patients was a 24-year-old man who had cardiac failure consequent to rheumatic heart disease. On physical examination, he had prominent systolic waves in his jugular venous pulse and murmurs of mitral and tricuspid insufficiency. The most striking finding, however, was a left-sided, non-tender scrotal mass—believed to be an enlarged testicle—that pulsed with each heartbeat, without associated murmur or thrill. He had not been aware of this abnormality and reported no genital complaints. None of the students, house officers, or attending physicians on the various ward teams had ever seen or heard of a similar finding. Despite our curiosity, the severity of the patient’s heart disease prevented definitive investigation of the mass. (In those days, reliable noninvasive methods of studying the scrotum were not available.) Consequently, the precise nature of the mass and the reason for its pulsations remained conjectural for the next 24 years.

Clarification
The mystery ended for me in 1978, when physicians from California described the case of a 39-year-old man with rheumatic heart disease who presented with a one-year history of pain and swelling in his scrotum.1 On admission, he had congestive heart failure; results of subsequent cardiac catheterization indicated severe tricuspid insufficiency (TI). Examination of his scrotum uncovered a prominent right-sided varicocele (dilated or tortuous veins of the pampiniform plexus within the spermatic cord) and a moderate-sized, mildly tender, pulsatile left-sided mass. A left gonadal venogram showed the mass to be a large varicocele. The authors attributed its pulsations to the widened venous pulse pressure of TI coupled with the frequent absence or incompetence of valves in left-sided gonadal veins of men.

Because this case and mine were remarkably similar, I concluded that the scrotal mass in my patient must have been a pulsating varicocele (PV)—not a pulsating testicle as I had originally thought.

Together, these 2 cases suggested a link between TI and PV. The suggestion made physiologic sense, but it raised important questions. Are 2 cases enough to validate the link? Have other similar cases been reported? Do other peripheral veins pulsate in patients with TI? Why is PV so rare when heart failure with TI is so frequent and when 20% of men have varicoceles?2

Building Evidence
For answers, I launched a 2-step review of the medical literature, focusing initially on PV, then on TI. Step 1 yielded 3 additional cases of PV in foreign medical publications.3,4 One of them stands as the first case of PV ever reported.5 It concerned a 22-year-old man in Argentina who, coincidentally, had come under observation the same year as did my patient (1954). He had severe cardiac failure of unstated cause, but the evidence strongly favored rheumatic heart disease. His physical examination showed cardiomegaly, a regular heart rate, prominent systolic pulsations in distended...
jugular veins, systolic thrills over the mitral and tricuspid areas, functional TI, a pulsatile liver, lower-leg edema, and bilateral varicoceles with veins that were "thick as thumbs." On inspection and palpation, both varicoceles pulsed in synchrony with the systemic pulse. The patient had not reported a scrotal problem but did say that his scrotum became larger when he stood up. His physician attributed the varicoceles to venous hypertension (venous pressure increased from 19 cm H₂O to 26 cm H₂O when the patient raised his legs) and concluded that PV is another sign of TI.

The second and third cases were reported in a single publication from Romania in 1967. Both patients presented with worsening signs and symptoms of congestive heart failure. Neither, however, was aware of his scrotal abnormality. One patient was 25 years old, and the other, 38 years old. Both had rheumatic heart disease with cardiomegaly, atrial fibrillation, and murmurs of mitral stenosis and TI. The younger patient had a pulsatile liver and a right-sided PV, whereas the older patient had bilateral PVs, more prominent on the right. In both patients, the superficial scrotal veins were dilated. A transducer placed over the jugular veins, liver, and scrotum recorded nearly identical venous pressure waveforms that differed only in progressively diminishing amplitude and upstroke as distance from the right ventricle increased. That diagnostic approach—a forerunner of venous Doppler imaging⁵—provided strong technical evidence of a link between TI and PV.

This report was especially noteworthy because it cited evidence from other investigators that TI can cause peripheral venous pulsations in almost any part of the body. That evidence effectively answered one of the questions that I had posed earlier.

**Bolstering the Connection**

Step 2 uncovered a wealth of information on TI and pulsating peripheral veins, leaving no doubt that a link between the 2 entities does exist. For example, in patients with TI, venous pulsations have been observed largely in the forehead, neck, and forearms, but also in the eyeballs, retinas, buttocks, thighs, lower legs, and femoral area; the liver and spleen can pulsate as well.⁷⁸

Pulsating peripheral veins were noted as early as 1815.⁵ Their mechanism was debatable until 1836, when Benson⁶ correctly contended that they were consequent to a regurgitant impulse from the right ventricle through the auriculoventricular orifice into and throughout the distended veins, no matter how competent the venous valves might be. In 1866, Friedreich¹¹ considered liver pulsations to be pathognomonic of TI. Then, in 1925, Kerr and Warren¹² emphasized that pulsating peripheral veins were an almost constant sign of TI, that their early appearance heralded the onset of cardiac failure, that their magnitude was proportional to the degree of cardiac failure, and that their detection depended on the diligence with which they were sought. And, in 1936, Teufl¹³ pointed out that if the venous pulsations disappeared when right-sided heart failure ended, the valve itself was normal and the TI was relative (functional). Conversely, if the venous pulsations persisted after signs of heart failure had disappeared, the valve was diseased and the TI was organic in nature.

My review led me to an important conclusion: except for rupture of an abdominal aneurysm into the vena cava,⁴¹⁵ and excluding rare and controversial instances among chronic intravenous-drug users,⁴¹⁶ pulsating peripheral veins are pathognomonic of TI.

Unexpected Add-On

At this juncture, I had assembled reports on 5 patients with congestive heart failure, prominent TI, and PVs. Curiously, in none of these reports were systolic venous pulsations mentioned anywhere on the body other than in the neck and scrotum. Still unanswered was the question of why PVs are so rare when heart failure, TI, and varicoceles are so prevalent. In addition, I never expected to encounter another PV. But I was wrong again.

In 1986, a 19-year-old man with Ebstein anomaly and acute heart failure came under my care. This time, I took advantage of what I had learned from my literature review and examined him carefully. He had sinus rhythm, cardiomegaly, congested lungs, a harsh systolic murmur in the tricuspid area, prominent systolic pulsations in distended jugular veins, lower-leg edema, and conspicuous scrotal varices, especially on the left (Fig. 1). Although the varices did not pulsate, an asymptomatic left-sided scrotal mass did. Results of a radionuclide technetium scrotal scan confirmed my clinical diagnosis of PV.⁶¹ None of his other peripheral veins pulsated. As his heart failure improved, the magnitude of pulsations in his varicocele diminished.

This case of PV is the sixth overall and, as far as I can determine, the first to result from congenital disease of the tricuspid valve. Now, as of 2017, I am unaware of other reported cases.

**Discussion**

Each case by itself would be considered a medical oddity. Together, however, these 6 cases constitute a distinct syndrome characterized by the unique combination of
tricuspid insufficiency from any origin (the cause) with a pulsating varicocele (the effect). Because either abnormality can be the presenting manifestation, careful physical examination of the heart and of the scrotum is all that it takes to make the diagnosis. I suspect that additional examples of this relationship have been observed but not reported, or perhaps reported but not found during my review of the relevant medical literature. Either way, I titled this article to underscore the syndrome’s mechanism, specify its 2 essential elements, and facilitate its recognition.

Five of these patients presented with heart failure. One patient presented with pain and swelling in his scrotum, but he, too, had concomitant heart failure and evidence of severe TI upon cardiac catheterization. The origin of TI was rheumatic heart disease in 5 and Ebstein anomaly in 1.

The PV was left-sided in 3 patients, right-sided in 1, and bilateral in 2. One patient had noticed that his scrotum became larger when he stood up, but there was no associated discomfort. Four patients did not know that they had a scrotal abnormality.

Among pulsating scrotal masses—all extremely rare—varicocele is the only one directly linked to TI. Therefore, if the affected patient does not have TI, he does not have PV. He has, instead, one of the 3 other types of pulsating scrotal masses:

1) arteriovenous malformation (which has a murmur and thrill)22;
2) “arteriocele” (enlarged internal spermatic artery providing collateral blood supply to the right lower limb in men with distal aortic—Leriche syndrome23—or iliac artery24 occlusion); or
3) dilated scrotal arteries perfused at high flow after aortobifemoral bypass surgery and accompanied by a high-pitched scrotal systolic murmur.25

In each of these conditions, the medical history and physical findings should point immediately to the fundamental cause and enable appropriate corrective therapy. If diagnostic uncertainty remains, results of radionuclide scanning,21 ultrasonography,26,27 or angiography22-25 of the scrotum will settle the issue.

Take-Home Message
- Any man with TI should have his scrotum examined for PV.
- Any man with PV should have his heart examined for TI.
- All patients with TI should be examined carefully for pulsating peripheral veins, and all patients with pulsating peripheral veins should be examined for TI.
- With the 2 exceptions noted, pulsating peripheral veins (including varicoceles) are pathognomonic of TI.

Addendum
On 13 September 2017, I formally named the described constellation of physical findings “The Fred Syndrome.”

James T. Willerson, MD,
Editor-in-Chief,
Texas Heart Institute Journal
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