A Box of Chemistry to Inhibit the MEN1 Tumor Suppressor Gene Promoting Leukemia
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Targeting protein-protein interactions (PPIs) with small-molecule inhibitors has become a hotbed of modern drug development. In this review, we describe a new class of PPI inhibitors that block menin from binding to MLL proteins. Menin is encoded by the MEN1 tumor suppressor, but acts as an essential cofactor for MLL/KMT2A-rearranged leukemias. The most promising menin-MLL inhibitors belong to the thienopyrimidine class and have recently entered phase I/II clinical trials for treating acute leukemias characterized by MLL/KMT2A translocations or NPM1 mutations. As single agents, thienopyrimidine compounds eradicate leukemia in a xenograft models of primary leukemic cells belonging to the MLL-rearranged or NPM1-mutant subtypes. These compounds are well tolerated with few or no side effects, which is remarkable given the tumor-suppressor function of menin. The menin-MLL inhibitors highlight how leukemia patients could benefit from a targeted epigenetic therapy with novel PPI inhibitors obtained by directed chemical evolution.

1. Introduction to Menin-MLL PPI Inhibitors

Protein-protein interactions (PPIs) are abundant in human proteomes and they play crucial roles in the regulation of gene transcription and chromatin function. These regulatory pathways are directly involved in many human pathologies including several types of cancers and immunological or neurodegenerative diseases, which raised the prospects of targeting transcription and chromatin PPIs by tailored chemical probes. However, due to their large and flat interaction surface areas, PPIs were believed for many years to be unsuitable for the development of small molecule inhibitors. This paradigm was challenged by several successes including natural compounds like rapamycin and cyclosporin. This revealed that, in principle, PPI surfaces are “druggable”. These surfaces can center around so-called “hotspots” conferring most of the binding energy. Interaction hotspots typically cover a surface area compatible with small molecule binding, tend to be hydrophobic and display conformational flexibility. These molecular features have motivated the many discovery and development programs for small-molecule PPI inhibitors of today.

Computational, biophysical and chemical approaches such as fragment-based lead discovery facilitated the development of potent PPI inhibitors. Several inhibitors have now reached clinical trials or have already been approved for clinical use. To exemplify this, anti-apoptotic BCL family members are targeted by small molecule inhibitors called BH3 mimetics (e.g., ABT-263, ABT-199, WEHI-539 and UMI-77). ABT-199 (Venetoclax) is now an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.
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2. The MEN1 Gene: A Tumor Suppressor for Endocrine Tissue but a Tumor Promotor for MLLr

The transforming ability of MLL FPs in acute leukemias relies on their interaction with the menin protein.\(^9\) Menin is an integral subunit of MLL1, MLL2 and MLL FP complexes, which interact through two short N-terminal sequences (Figure 1): menin binding motif 1 (MBM1) and 2 (MBM2), which encompass the RWRFP sequence.\(^9\) MBM1 possesses higher affinity to menin in comparison to MBM2 (\(K_D\) values of 56 nM and 1 \(\mu\)M, respectively). Both motifs bind in a competitive manner indicating that they use the same binding pocket of menin. Both MBM1 and MBM2 are crucial for the oncogenic activities of MLL FPs and target gene regulation.\(^10\)
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The menin protein is encoded by the MEN1 gene, which was identified in 1997 as the tumor suppressor gene of the familial multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (MEN1) syndrome.\textsuperscript{[13]} MEN1 patients are predisposed to develop tumors with a high frequency in the parathyroid glands, which are concomitant but at lower frequencies with neoplasias in the pancreas, and pituitary gland.\textsuperscript{[12]} Female MEN1 patients have an elevated risk of breast cancer development.\textsuperscript{[13]} In addition, somatic MEN1 gene mutations have been observed in a large proportion of sporadic non-functioning, pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.\textsuperscript{[14]}

MEN1 is a prototypical tumor suppressor gene as MEN1 patients display loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at the MEN1 locus.\textsuperscript{[15]} Other than by LOH, inactivation of second allele can also be achieved by somatic mutations\textsuperscript{[16]} or post-transcriptional decrease in menin levels via microRNAs.\textsuperscript{[17]} Germline MEN1 mutations are invariably loss-of-function mutations scattered over its ten exons or in pre-mRNA splice sites.\textsuperscript{[18]} Frameshift and nonsense mutations constitute the majority of mutations, which mostly result in premature stop codons leading to truncated proteins susceptible to degradation and to truncated mRNAs suppressed by nonsense-mediated RNA decay.\textsuperscript{[19,18]} Missense mutations (\textasciitilde20\% of the total) are often located in hydrophobic core of menin resulting in unstable proteins subjected to ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation.\textsuperscript{[20]} The small number of mis-sense mutations in the surface-exposed residues of menin are most informative. In analogy with the other mutants, this group of mutations might result in the loss of menin protein function(s).

Menin is ubiquitously expressed in both endocrine and non-endocrine tissues\textsuperscript{[21]} but its tumor-suppressor function is exclusive for endocrine organs.\textsuperscript{[22]} The 610-residue menin protein resides predominantly in the nucleus and it has been involved in various DNA-mediated processes such as gene transcription, DNA repair and DNA replication. For gene transcription menin can act both as an activator and as a repressor. And as it lacks a DNA binding domain, menin relies on \textit{bona fide} transcription factors to achieve gene specificity. Indeed, protein interactions with many DNA sequence-specific transcription have been reported,\textsuperscript{[23]} including the transcription factors JUND, MYC, NF-\textit{x}, SMAD1, SMAD3, SMAD5, TCF3 and the Forkhead box proteins, FOXN1, FOXO1 and FOXA1. Ligand-dependent interactions with receptors for estrogens, vitamin D3 and glucocorticoids and with PPAR\textit{y} have also been found.\textsuperscript{[23,24]} Interactions with these activated nuclear receptors depend on a conserved LXXLL motif of menin.\textsuperscript{[24]} Interestingly, menin can both act as an adapter for estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1)-regulated transcription and as a direct activator of ESR1 transcription.\textsuperscript{[24,25]} Many of the transcription factor interactions with menin have been found in targeted assays. Unbiased quantitative proteomics in HeLa cervical carcinoma cells showed, that only JUND-containing AP1 and ATF transcription factors form stable menin complexes.\textsuperscript{[26,27]} Interestingly, menin only interacts with the long JUND isoform to mediate transcriptional repression of JUND target genes like \textit{hTERT}.\textsuperscript{[27,28]}

A breakthrough in menin research came from the Meyerson and Cleary laboratories, which reported that menin interacts with the MLL2 (official name KMT2B) protein in 293 human embryonic kidney cells\textsuperscript{[29]} and with MLL (KMT2A) in K652 erythroleukemia cells.\textsuperscript{[30]} These results were confirmed and extended by quantitative proteomics showing that menin is an integral but sub-stoichiometric subunit of the MLL1 and MLL2 complexes and not of the other SET1/MLL complexes.\textsuperscript{[28]} LEDGF was also confirmed as a menin interactor, but other chromatin regulators like SIN3A, SIRT1, PRMT5, HDACs or SUV39H1 were not stable interactors in this proteomic screen.\textsuperscript{[26]} Structural studies detailed how the hydrophobic pocket of menin interacts with MBM1 of MLL (Figure 2A).\textsuperscript{[31]} The MBM1 motif (RWRFPRP) adopts an almost cyclical form to display hydrophobic interactions with Tyr 319, Tyr323 and M278 and electrostatic interactions of acidic residues (D285/E288/E290 and E366/D370) with the several arginines of MBM1.\textsuperscript{[32–34]} In addition, mutation of H139, A182 and C241 indicated their involvement in MLL-MBM1 interaction.

The prevalent model is that menin acts as an epigenetic activator of transcription by recruiting the MLL1 and MLL2 complexes to deposit the activating H3K4me3 mark.\textsuperscript{[24,25,36]} Menin-MLL target genes are within the HOX clusters,\textsuperscript{[30,36]} MEIS1, PBX1,\textsuperscript{[37]} the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitors CDKN1B and CDKN2D,\textsuperscript{[37]} and estrogen-regulated genes.\textsuperscript{[26]} Furthermore, menin-MLL1/2 complexes also activate the Wnt-signaling pathway by changing chromatin accessibility of several WNT genes\textsuperscript{[38]} and the expression of the GATA3\textsuperscript{[29]} and MKN1/HLXB9\textsuperscript{[40]} transcription factors. Menin links the MLL1 and MLL2 complexes to LEDGF, which interacts with methylated H3K36 nucleosomes through its PWWP domain.\textsuperscript{[41]} Thereby, LEDGF is crucial for stable interaction of MLL1, MLL2 complexes as well as MLL FPs with target chromatin to activate transcription of HOX and CDKN genes.\textsuperscript{[5]} HOXA9 transcription is reduced by menin mutations affecting the LEDGF interaction.\textsuperscript{[5]} Intact LEDGF binding is indispensable for leukemic transformation driven by MLLs.\textsuperscript{[42]} An artificial construct tethering the PWWP domain of LEDGF to MLL-ENL can transform myeloid progenitors regardless of menin. This would imply that the PWWP domain of LEDGF and CXXC domain\textsuperscript{[43]} of MLL proteins are the minimum targeting module for transcription activation by MLL.\textsuperscript{[5]} In this scenario, chromatin with unmethylated CpGs and H3K36me2/3 mark would be the major targets for MLL FP complexes.

3. The Normal Functions of the Mixed-Lineage Leukemia Gene

MLL is ubiquitously expressed in diverse tissue types including, colon, lungs, spleen, liver, brain, thyroid, kidneys, heart, testes. The MLL protein is cleaved into the MLL-N and MLL-C polypeptides,\textsuperscript{[44]} which associate with each other (Figure 1). MLL-N harbors the binding motifs for menin protein and LEDGF\textsuperscript{[45]} and also contains a CXXC domain mediating binding to nonmethylated CpG DNA sites.\textsuperscript{[46]} Sequences on both side of CXXC are crucial for binding to polymerase-associated factor complex (PACf), which facilitates recruitment of MLL to target gene loci.\textsuperscript{[46]} Other regions of MLL-N, namely a bromodomain
and plant homology domains (PHDs) are responsible for interaction with acetylated lysines or H3K4me2/3 modified histones, respectively.\(^{47}\) MLL-N also possess AT-hook motifs participating in DNA binding\(^{48}\) as well as the homodimerization-facilitating FYRN domain.\(^{49}\) MLL-C consists of transactivator domain (TAD) and functional SET \([\text{Su(Var)3–9, enhancer-of-zeste, trithorax}]\) domain. This domain carries the H3K4 methylation function,\(^{50}\) which requires integration of the WRAD module (Figure 1).

Similar to MLL FPs, wild-type MLL regulates expression of genes important for embryonic development\(^{51}\) and differentiation like the genes in the HOXA cluster (e.g., Hoxa7, Hoxa9 and Hoxa10)\(^{52,53}\) as well as HOX cofactor genes, MEIS1 and PBX1.\(^{54}\) HOX gene regulation by MLL and MLL2 requires the menin and LEDGF binding motifs.\(^{55}\) The HOXA and MEIS1 genes are highly transcribed in hematopoietic stem cells to maintain undifferentiated and proliferative state, whereas their expression decline during differentiation.\(^{56}\) While MLL expression is crucial for stem cell self-renewal in adult bone marrow, the gene is dispensable for the mature adult hematopoietic lineages.\(^{57}\) Mis-regulation of HOXA and MEIS1 in hematopoietic progenitor cells trigger leukemogenesis\(^{58}\) and HOXA9 and MEIS1 overexpression can drive the myeloid phenotype in mice.\(^{59}\)

Figure 2. Structural models for menin bound A) to the MBM1 peptide of MLL or to analogs of menin-MLL PPI inhibitors now in clinical trials: B) VTP-50469, C) MI-503, and D) MI-3454. The menin residues of the central cavity contacting the MLL peptide or PPI inhibitors are indicated by numbers. The structures are based on PDB IDs: 3 U85, 6PKC, 6O5I and 4X5Y.

4. MLL Rearrangements and Oncogenic Fusion Proteins

MLL chromosomal rearrangements are observed frequently in patients with de novo AML, ALL and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS).\(^{56}\) AML is characterized by defective differentiation, maturation and accelerated proliferation of cells in the bone marrow and blood, which interferes with normal hematopoiesis.\(^{57}\) ALL, on the other hand, arises from transformed lymphoid cells. In adult patients MLLr leukemia constitutes 5% of ALL cases and 5–10% of AML cases.\(^{58}\) MLLr is much more prevalent in pediatric leukemias with 70% of ALL infants\(^{59}\) and 50–66% of AML infants.\(^{60}\) MLLr leukemia patients have a very poor prognosis for recovery. The five-year event free survival of MLLr infant ALL patients is 34–39% depending on the treatment regimen and is much worse than for non-MLLr ALL infants.\(^{61}\) Similarly, adult MLLr leukemia patients also have a poor prognosis with current treatments.\(^{62,63}\)

MLL rearrangements are mostly triggered by DNA damage.\(^{64}\) MLLr can involve exposure to mutagens including ionizing radiation, cytotoxic agents, DNA alkylating and intercalating agents, DNA topoisomerase II inhibitors and/or anti-tubulin agents used as chemotherapeutic agents for non-hematological cancers.\(^{65}\) The non-homologous end joining DNA repair system predominantly causes reciprocal chromosome translocations, which result in MLL recombination with
other genes. Partial tandem duplications (PTD) of MLL are also encountered in AML patients.\(^{[63]}\) Although identified rarely in AML, MLL amplification is another mechanism of oncogenic transformation.\(^{[65]}\)

MLL FPs resulting from MLLr received broad interest as they are capable of initiating tumorigenesis with none to very few accompanying mutations.\(^{[60]}\) The translocation partner bestows novel functions to MLL FPs, which also preserve (some of the) MLL functions in target recognition. In general, MLL FPs constitutively activate transcriptional programs of MLL target genes involved in the hematopoietic program (e.g., HOX and MEIS genes as well as ATRA in case of AF4 fusions).\(^{[69–71]}\) The elevated levels of MLL target genes enhance proliferation and block hematopoietic differentiation, which consequently drives leukemia.\(^{[72,73]}\) At present, 94 TPGs for MLLr have been identified.\(^{[74]}\) These genes regulate diverse cellular processes including DNA binding, RNA decay, chromatin, transcription elongation, cell adhesion, metabolism, apoptosis and the cell cycle.\(^{[56]}\) Despite the large number of MLLr TPGs only a subset represents > 80% of all clinical cases. Among those, most frequently encountered TPGs are AF4/ AFF1 (36%), AF9/MLLT3 (19%), ENL/MLLT1 (13%), AF6 (4%), ELL (4%), and AF10/MLLT10 (8%; Figure 3).\(^{[74]}\) This set of most frequent MLL FPs acts within same protein complex. The leukemogenic activity of the MLL-AF4, MLL-AF9 and MLL-ENL fusions has been recapitulated in various mouse models,\(^{[75,76]}\) which also represent valid models for drug testing.

5. Formation and Function of MLL/AEP, MLL/SEC and MLL/DOT1L Hybrid Complexes

The most prevalent MLLr fusion partners belong to the AF4 family (AF4/AF5q31 and AFF3/LAF4) and ENL family (MLLT1/ENL and MLL3/AF9). MLL FP members of these families form the AEP (AF4 family/ENL family/P-TEFb) complex together with the P-TEFb transcription elongation factor (Figure 3).\(^{[77]}\) P-TEFb can release paused RNA polymerase II (pol II) by phosphorylating its CTD at Ser2, DSIF and NELF.\(^{[78]}\) The pol II elongation factor ELL interacts with AF4 family members to form the super-elongation complex (SEC).\(^{[79]}\) This SEC is crucial for MLL FP-mediated HOX gene expression in leukemic cells.\(^{[79]}\)

MLL-ENL and MLL-AF9 fusions also interact with disruptor of telomeric silencing 1-like (DOT1L; Figure 4).\(^{[80]}\) DOT1L is a histone H3K79 methyltransferase capable of mono-, di- and trimethylation, which may counteract repressive histone deacetylases like SIRT1 to maintain transcriptional activity.\(^{[81]}\) The DOT1L link is supported by modulated H3K79me2 levels at MLL target genes after MLL-ENL expression or mutation.\(^{[82]}\)

Other MLL FPs like MLL-AF10 and MLL-AF17 also nucleate formation of a MLL/DOT1L hybrid complex.\(^{[83,84]}\) AF10 contains a “reader” domain P2P that senses and binds unmodified H3K27 to regulate DOT1L-mediated methylation of the H3K79.\(^{[85]}\) The MLL/DOT1L complex also recruits AEP to activate transcription through interactions with AEP component ENL.\(^{[52]}\) The interactions of multiple MLL FPs with DOT1L underscore the importance of this histone methyltransferase during hematopoietic transformation, which is supported by observations that genetic perturbation of DOT1L results in loss of the transforming activity of MLL-AF9, MLL-AF10 and MLL-AF4.\(^{[86–88]}\) Not surprisingly, DOT1L inhibitors (e.g., Pinometostat) are promising candidates for therapeutic interventions in MLLr leukemias.\(^{[89]}\)

The low-frequency MLLr partners EP300\(^{[90]}\) and CREBBP\(^{[91]}\) encode the p300 and CBP histone acetyltransferases capable of modifying H3K18, H3K27 and H3K36. The YEATS domain of ENL can bind these activating marks,\(^{[92]}\) which further recruits AEP and DOT1L to link histone acetylation to oncogenic gene expression in AML.\(^{[93]}\) ELL interacts with p300,\(^{[94]}\) which

![Figure 3. Pie chart of the prevalence of each MLL TPG in MLLr leukemia cases. Abundant fusion partners of MLL explanatory for clinical leukemia cases are AF4/AFF1 (36%), AF9/MLLT3 (19%), ENL/MLLT1 (13%), AF6 (4%), ELL (4%), and AF10/MLLT10 (8%) genes. PTD, partial tandem duplication. The right part of the figure depicts the protein interactions maintained and formed by the most frequent MLL FPs. Adapted from ref. [58].](image-url)
represents a similar pathway for MLL-ELL mediated leukemogenesis.

6. Therapeutic Targeting of the Menin-MLL Interaction in Acute Leukemias

Targeting menin-MLL interaction would be general approach to inhibit the leukemogenic activity of MLL FPs regardless of the fusion partner. Indeed, pharmacological inhibition of this interaction abrogates progression of AML in vivo,\(^\text{[9,10,35]}\) and now represents a promising strategy for the treatment of MLLr leukemias. Various small molecule inhibitors against menin-MLL have been developed, which were shown to modulate expression of MLL FP target genes involved in proliferation, differentiation and transformation process. Below, we describe and discuss the discovery and development of several chemical classes of menin-MLL inhibitors. All available structures of these PPI inhibitors are depicted in Figure 5.

6.1. Peptidomimetics

Peptidomimetics are small molecule menin-MLL interaction inhibitors, which probe the MLL1 binding pocket of menin. MCP-1 was initially discovered in 2012 through synthesis and screening of optimized macrocyclic peptidomimetics mimicking MBM1 region of MLL-N, which displays high-affinity binding to menin.\(^\text{[95]}\) MCP-1 possessed low-nanomolar inhibitory potential (IC\(_{50}\) = 18.6 nM), low molecular weight (815 Da) and high cell-permeability. Cellular activity of the peptidomimetic inhibitors have not been reported up to date and their further development has been stalled.

6.2. Hydroxy-/aminomethylpiperidine scaffold

The second class of menin inhibitors are compounds with hydroxy or amino methylpiperidine scaffold. ML227, which is the first with sub-micromolar inhibitory potential (IC\(_{50}\) = 390 nM), was discovered via high-throughput screening of small molecules.\(^\text{[96]}\) However, ML227 displays poor metabolic stability and off target activities. Through optimization the more potent ML399 (IC\(_{50}\) = 90 nM) with a hydroxymethylpiperidine scaffold was discovered and shown to inhibit cell growth in MLL-AF9 leukemia cells and to have increased half-life in vivo.\(^\text{[97]}\) Introduction of an amino moiety to hydroxymethylpiperidine scaffold resulted in the discovery of the MIV-6 amino methylpiperidine compound, which showed increased inhibitory potential (IC\(_{50}\) = 67 nM).\(^\text{[98]}\) MIV-6 downregulated expression of HOXA9 and MEIS1, selectively blocked proliferation and induced differentiation in MLL-AF9 leukemic cells.\(^\text{[98]}\)
Modification of the MIV-6 resulted in the covalent menin-MLL inhibitor M-525. This compound inhibited menin much more potently ($IC_{50} = 3$ nM), reduced expression of $MEIS1$, $HOXA9$ and $HOXA11$ in tumor tissues and prohibited cell growth in leukemic cell lines carrying distinct MLL FPs. M-525 demonstrated high cellular selectivity over non-MLL leukemia cells. M-89 was developed through structure-based optimization of MIV-6. M-89 was shown to be more potent than MIV-6 ($IC_{50} = 25$ and $54$ nM, respectively, in the MV-4-11 and MOLM-13 cells) and 100 times more selective on MLLr leukemia cells over non-MLL leukemia cells. Its high cellular selectivity and potency encouraged testing menin-MLL inhibitors on cell line-derived xenograft tumor models of mice. Xenograft tumor models rely on the subcutaneous or orthotopic implantation of human tumor cells into immunocompromised mice to examine in vivo potency of drug candidates. M-89 efficiently downregulated $HOXA9$ and $MEIS1$ expression in xenograft tumors and induced apoptosis and differentiation in leukemic cells. By
optimization of M-525, Xu et al. described another covalent inhibitor, M-808, in 2020.\(^{[103]}\) M-808 had elevated inhibitory potential (IC\(_{50}=1 \) and 4 nM in the MV-4-11 and MOLM-13 cell lines, respectively) and blocked proliferation of MLLr leukemic cells. This inhibitor also resulted in partial tumor regression in an AML xenograft mouse model.

6.3. Thiopurinylidines and their derivatives

Thiopurinylidines were the first menin-MLL inhibitors discovered in 2012 and their cellular activity was illustrated in various MLLr leukemia models.\(^{[102,103]}\) These compounds were initially identified through a high-throughput screening of 49000 small molecules using a fluorescence polarization (FP) assay with a fluorescein-labeled MBM1 peptide and recombinant menin. Chemical optimization of screen-derived compounds led to discovery of rigid MI-2 (IC\(_{50}=446 \) nM) and MI-3 (IC\(_{50}=648 \) nM), which bind reversibly to the central cavity of menin and mimic interaction with MBM1 of MLL. MI-2 and MI-3 reversed the oncogenic potential of MLL FPs through reducing promoter occupancy and downmodulating HOX9 and MEIS1, inhibiting proliferation, inducing hematopoietic differentiation and boosting apoptosis of MLLr leukemia cells in vitro.\(^{[102]}\) These findings further encourage development of analogs of the thiopurinylidine class of inhibitors. The more potent analog MI-2-2 was obtained through substitution of n-propyl with trifluoroethyl moiety, which resulted in affinity enhancement (IC\(_{50}=46 \) nM) and a four-fold improvement in cellular activity.\(^{[103]}\) MI-2-2 strongly reduced HOx9 and Meis1 expression resulting in a pronounced hematopoietic differentiation reflected by increased CD11b. Taken together, the initial thiopurinylidines inhibitors were promising with their low molecular weight and high affinity, but the poor pharmacokinetics of these compounds prevented in vivo evaluation and stressed the need for more stable derivatives.\(^{[103,104]}\)

Such molecules, which bear an amino-piperidine linker, were described by Borkin et al.\(^{[104]}\) MI-136 was developed by introduction of cyano-indole ring and demonstrated increased inhibitory potential on menin-MLL interaction by a higher affinity for menin (K\(_d=24 \) nM, IC\(_{50}=31 \) nM). MI-136 was further optimized through substitutions on the indole ring leading to MI-463 (IC\(_{50}=15.3 \) nM) and MI-503 (IC\(_{50}=14.7 \) nM).\(^{[104]}\) MI-503 interacts with the menin-MLL pocket via hydrogen bonding with Y276, W341 and E366 (Figure 2C). The MI-436 and MI-503 compounds are very efficient in promoting differentiation of AML cell lines as well as in xenograft models. This orally bioavailable compound combines a high permeability with a high metabolic stability, which improved in vitro and in vivo bioavailability. All leukemic cell lines tested were sensitive to BAY-155 and this inhibitor does not have anti-proliferative effects on breast, prostate or bone cancer cell lines as opposed to MI-503.\(^{[107]}\)

Another thiopurinylidine derivative, namely MI-1481, has been identified by structure-based optimization through introduction of saturated six-membered rings at the indole nitrogen of MI-463 and MI-503 compounds.\(^{[104]}\) MI-1481 demonstrated low nanomolar activity (IC\(_{50}=3.6 \) nM) and more than ten-fold improved inhibitory activity over MI-503 due to polar and hydrophobic interactions with menin. In comparison to MI-463 and MI-503, MI-1481 has profoundly increased activity on MLLr leukemia cells (~10-fold) with high selectivity. The compound resulted in downmodulation of HOX9 and MEIS1 mRNA expression and elevated CD11b expression in AML cell models and in xenograft models of MLLr leukemias.

A novel orally bioavailable, low-toxicity menin inhibitor, the thiopurinylidine derivative KO-539 (structure has not been disclosed yet) was developed through high-throughput screening and chemical optimizations by Kura Oncology in 2018. KO-539 is very potent growth inhibitor of MLLr leukemic cells (IC\(_{50}=22 \) nM). The compound induced differentiation of leukemic cells and provided survival benefit both in AML cell line and in patient-derived xenografts. KO-539 earned the FDA Orphan Drug Designation and entered in phase I/II clinical trials for relapsed or refractory AML (NCT04067336). The structurally related analog, MI-3454 displays sub-nanomolar inhibitory properties (IC\(_{50}=0.51 \) nM) and binds to the menin-MLL pocket via hydrogen bonds with Y276, W341, and E366 on menin as well as hydrophobic interactions with M278, C241, Y276, and A279 (Figure 2D). Furthermore, the methyl-bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane group introduced at the indole nitrogen of MI-3454 is engaged in hydrophobic interactions including V367, V371 and E366, while the terminal amide is involved in hydrogen bonding with E366.\(^{[105]}\) MI-3454 diminished clonogenic ability and induced differentiation of AML cell lines as well as MLLr or NPM1 leukemic cells from AML patients. Through downregulating key regulatory genes (e.g., MEIS1), MI-3454 blocked leukemia
progression in PDX models for MLLr leukemia. This compound was not toxic to mice and did not interfere with normal hematopoiesis, which adds another inhibitor for clinical exploration.

In 2019, another thienopyrimidine derivative, orally bioavailable and selective menin inhibitor, VTP-50469 (Syndax Pharmaceuticals’ analog SNDX-5613) was described by Krivtsov et al.\[6\] VTP-50469 has nanomolar inhibitory potential (IC50 < 40 nM) on menin-MLL interaction and its binding to the menin-MLL pocket involves H-bonding with Y276 and W341 and pi-cation interactions with the Y319 and W323 side chains (Figure 2B). VTP-50469 showed potent antiproliferative activity on MLLr leukemic cell lines by modulating MEIS1 expression and by inducing differentiation and apoptosis. Interestingly, this inhibitor did not repress expression of the HOXA locus. VTP-50469 strongly reduced leukemic burden in PDX models of MLLr leukemias (AML or ALL)\[6\] as well as NPM1c AML.\[8\] After a three-week treatment regime, engrafted mice remained disease-free for longer than 1 year. Encouraged by PDX studies, SNDX-5613 phase I clinical trials were initiated for treatment of relapsed or refractory acute leukemias, whereas phase II trials are recruiting patients with MLLr AML, NPM1c AML or MLLr ALL (NCT04065399).

7. Summary and Outlook

The continued development of menin-MLL PPI inhibitors has resulted now in the testing of the most promising candidates in clinical trials for treatment of ALL and AML subtypes. These subtypes are characterized by 11q23 translocations involving the MLL gene or by specific NPM1 mutations. Similar to MLLr leukemic cells, NPM1-mutant cells display dysregulated HOXA and MEIS1 gene transcription, which can be reverted by effective menin-MLL inhibitors. While for MLLr, the molecular action of the inhibitors relates to removing the menin cofactor from the dominant MLL FP oncoprotein, their effects in NPM1-mutant leukemias seems to be linked to inhibiting menin to interact with the wild-type MLL protein. The latter effects could also indicate that besides blocking menin-MLL interaction to regulate gene transcription, these inhibitors could affect other aspects of menin biology, like the interactions of menin with (ligand-dependent) gene-specific transcription factors or with ubiquitin ligases. A recent study showed that menin-MLL inhibitors could reduce menin levels by Hsp70/CHIP-mediated proteasomal degradation.\[109\] In this respect, it is interesting to note that menin-MLL inhibitors have been tested in other cancer modalities. For example, it was published that menin is a critical cofactor of androgen receptor (AR) signaling in prostate cancer through direct interactions and MLL recruitment.\[110\] On the other hand, treatment of mice with menin-MLL inhibitors did not affect normal hematopoiesis, weight gain and did not reveal any toxicity,\[6,2\] which is remarkable for a tumor suppressor inhibitor.

Decades of cancer research have shown that a single drug is seldom efficient and that drug resistance develops easily. Despite a remarkable single-agent activity,\[6,2\] there is little reason to assume that treatment with menin-MLL PPI inhibitors will remain the exception. But when resistance to menin-MLL inhibitors is evolving in patients, the molecular nature of resistance mechanisms will provide directions for the development of effective drug combination approaches. Candidates to increase clinical effectiveness include inhibitors of MLLr-relevant pathways (e.g., HDAC, p300/CBP, DOT1L and/or kinase inhibitors) or future inhibitors of menin-LEDGF interactions. A recent study combined HDAC inhibitor chidamide with Mi-3 to show showed increased inhibition of human MLLr AML cell lines in vitro and in the xenograft model.\[111\] In addition, synergistic effects of the DOT1L inhibitor EPZ004777 and Mi-2-2 have been observed in MLLr xenografts.\[112\]

From our discussions, it is clear that questions on the exact molecular pathway involved in the growth-suppressing, differentiation- and apoptosis-inducing effects of menin-MLL inhibitors still remain. With the entrance of the most promising of these PPI inhibitors into clinical trials, research spanning from fundamental mechanism and medicinal chemistry to pharmaceutical development and clinical applications is entering an exciting time. Will the current promises of menin inhibitors bear fruit for the companies involved and, most importantly, improve the life expectancy and quality of life of patients diagnosed with MLLr and NPM1-mutated leukemias? The MENT1 gene was cloned as a tumor suppressor gene in endocrine tissues in 1997. Who could have thought back then that inhibiting the molecular function of this tumor suppressor would have potential for the clinical treatment of aggressive forms of leukemias? In analogy to Tom Hanks in Forrest Gump: “Biomedical research is like a box of chocolates. You never know what you are gonna get.”
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