Green love talks; cell–cell communication during double fertilization in flowering plants
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Abstract

Background

Flowering plant seeds originate from a unique double-fertilization event, which involves two sperm cells and two female gametes, the egg cell and the central cell. For many years our knowledge of mechanisms involved in angiosperm fertilization remained minimal. It was obvious that several signals were required to explain how the male gametes are delivered inside the maternal reproductive tissues to the two female gametes but their molecular nature remained unknown. The difficulties in imaging the double-fertilization process prevented the identification of the mode of sperm cell delivery. It was believed that the two sperm cells were not functionally equivalent.

Scope

We review recent studies that have significantly improved our understanding of the early steps of double fertilization. The attractants of the pollen tube have been identified as small proteins produced by the synergid cells that surround the egg cell. Genetic studies have identified the signalling pathways required for the release of male gametes from the pollen tube. High-resolution imaging of the trajectory of the two male gametes showed that their transport does not involve the synergid cells directly and that isomorphic male gametes are functionally equivalent. We also outline major outstanding issues in the field concerned with the barrier against polyspermy, gamete recognition and mechanisms that prevent interspecies crosses.

Introduction

Flowering plants (angiosperms) have evolved a unique fertilization process, called ‘double fertilization’. Two sperm cells fertilize two female gametophytic cells: the egg cell and the central cell (Fig. 1; Appendix). After fertilization, the embryo develops from the fertilized egg cell and the central cell gives rise to the endosperm, which nourishes the embryo for its development. These two fertilization events are tightly controlled temporally and spatially, and take place in a coordinated manner to ensure successful embryogenesis. Unlike animals and lower land plants, such as bryophytes, lycophytes and ferns, flowering plant sperm cells are immotile and delivered to the female gametophyte by pollen grains. The pollen grain consists of two sperm cells inside a vegetative cell. After pollen deposition on the stigma,
the vegetative cell elongates the pollen tube into the ovary to deliver two sperm cells (Fig. 1). A successful double fertilization depends on (i) proper guidance of the pollen tube to the unfertilized embryo sac (Appendix), (ii) release of the two sperm cells towards the egg cell and the central cell, (iii) recognition and fusion between each pair of gametes (plasmogamy, Appendix), and (iv) fusion between gamete nuclei (karyogamy, Appendix) and zygotic activation. During the past few years, identification of gamete-specific genes and promoters made it possible to mark gametes, allowing gamete transcriptome analyses (Borges et al. 2008) and in vivo imaging of double fertilization (Berger 2011). Moreover, in vivo live-cell imaging with high resolution has addressed controversial questions of double fertilization (Ge et al. 2011; Hamamura et al. 2011). Here, we review recent findings pertaining to signalling events during double fertilization.

**Pollen tube guidance: directional pollen tube growth to the female gametophyte**

On the stigma, pollen grains hydrate and germinate to initiate pollen tube growth into the transmitting tissues of the ovary (Fig. 1). The pollen tube then emerges on the surface of the placenta and is guided to grow onto the funiculus (funicular guidance), which connects the embryo sac and placenta. The pollen tube eventually reaches the micropyle (Appendix) of the embryo sac (micropylar guidance). Remarkable advances have been made in our understanding of micropylar guidance (Marton and Dresselhaus 2010; Okuda and Higashiyama 2010; Sprunck 2010). It was first demonstrated experimentally in vitro that the synergid cells (Appendix) of the female gametophyte primarily govern micropylar guidance in *Torenia fournieri* (Higashiyama et al. 2001). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, MYB98 is a transcription factor expressed preferentially in synergid cells (Fig. 2A). The *myb98* mutant shows defects in the organization of the filiform apparatus of synergid cells and micropylar guidance of pollen tubes, demonstrating that proper function of synergid cells is essential for micropylar guidance (Kasahara et al. 2005).

Several attempts have been made to identify pollen tube attractants secreted by synergid cells. Many MYB98-dependent synergid-specific transcripts were identified, including those encoding cysteine-rich polypeptides (CRPs) that are secreted to the filiform apparatus (Punwani et al. 2007, 2008). Whether these secreted CRPs are required for pollen tube micropylar guidance in *A. thaliana* remains to be determined, but pollen tube attractants were identified in *Zea mays* (maize).
and *Torenia* (Fig. 2A). The maize gene ZmEA1 encodes a polymorphic small protein and the ZmEA1–GFP fusion protein is detected in the cell wall that surrounds the synergid cells. Knockdown of ZmEA1 affects the entrance of the pollen tube in the intercellular space of the micropyle (Marton et al. 2005). In vitro analyses showed that the predicted mature ZmEA1 protein can attract maize pollen tubes directly (Dresselhaus and Marton 2009; Marton and Dresselhaus 2010), further supporting the idea that the ZmEA1 protein is the attractant for micropylar guidance. In *T. fournieri*, LURE1 and LURE2 encode CRPs, which belong to a subgroup of the
defensin-like gene superfamily, and are expressed highly in the synergid cells (Okuda et al. 2009). LUREs are secreted from the synergid cells to the filiform apparatus, and morpholino knockdown prevents proper pollen tube attraction. In vitro pollen tube attraction assay demonstrated that LURE1 and 2 indeed attract *Torenia* pollen tubes (Okuda et al. 2009), confirming that LURE1 and 2 are truly the attractants for micropylar guidance. LURE homologues from *Torenia concolor* are also expressed in synergid cells but are poor attractants for pollen tubes of *T. fournieri*, suggesting that LUREs might participate in the prevention of cross-fertilization between species (Kanaoka et al. 2011). *Arabidopsis* synergid cells also express and secrete defensin-like CRPs to the filiform apparatus (Punwani et al. 2007, 2008), and further analyses will be necessary to understand whether defensin-like CRPs secreted by *Arabidopsis* synergid cells are also involved in micropylar guidance.

**Pollen tube perception: pollen tube growth arrest and rupture**

After arriving at the micropylar end of the female gametophyte, the pollen tube stops elongation. This is followed by pollen tube rupture and sperm cell release for successful double fertilization (Fig. 2; Weterings and Russell 2004). Genetics in *Arabidopsis* have identified several gametophytic factors involved in pollen tube perception. For example, *ABSTINENCE BY MUTUAL CONSENT* (AMC) encodes a peroxin, which is important for protein transport into peroxisomes, and the *amc* mutation causes defects in pollen tube perception only when both the male and female gametophytes carry the mutant *amc* allele (Boisson-Dernier et al. 2008). By contrast, many factors found to be important for pollen perception are female or male gamete specific, and analyses on these factors revealed that communications between the pollen tube and synergid cells are essential, as detailed in the following paragraph.

**How do synergid cells sense pollen tube arrival?**

*FERONIA* (*FER*) encodes a receptor-like serine/threonine kinase (RLK) (Escobar-Restrepo et al. 2007). When faced with *fer* female gametophytes, wild-type pollen tubes fail to arrest growth and invade the female gametophyte without sperm release (Huck et al. 2003; Rotman et al. 2003). The *FER–GFP* fusion protein is expressed in synergid cells and localized at the filiform apparatus, presumably playing a role in sensing pollen tube arrival. Recently, other mutants exhibiting female gametophytic *fer*-like phenotype have been identified: *lorelei* (*lre*, *LRE* encodes a putative glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein) (Capron et al. 2008; Tsukamoto et al. 2010), *scylla* (*syl*) (Rotman et al. 2008) and *nortia* (*nta*, *NTA* encodes a MILDEW RESISTANCE LOCUS O family protein) (Fig. 2B; Kessler et al. 2010). *LRE* and *NTA* are expressed in synergid cells, and *NTA–GFP* fusion protein localizes at the filiform apparatus which extends the membrane of the synergid cells toward the micropyle. Furthermore, the filiform apparatus localization of *NTA–GFP* fusion protein takes place upon pollen tube arrival, and is *FER* dependent (Kessler et al. 2010). This suggests that the *FER* pathway is important for sensing pollen tube arrival and re-localizes *NTA* in the synergid cell upon pollen tube arrival (Boisson-Dernier et al. 2011). It remains unclear, however, how the re-localization of *NTA* is important for pollen tube perception and whether the *FER* pathway is involved only in the pollen tube and synergid cell communication. Interestingly, the *NTA* gene belongs to the plant-specific MILDEW RESISTANCE LOCUS O family, which was first identified in the context of powdery mildew susceptibility in barley (Büschges et al. 1997). Furthermore, it was possible to obtain *fer* homozygous mutant plants, and these showed powdery mildew resistance (Kessler et al. 2010), implying that pollen tube perception and the fungal invasion pathway share some molecular components. Genes closely related to *FER* and *NTA* are found in bryophytes (Devoto et al. 2003; Lehti-Shiu et al. 2009), suggesting that *FER* and *NTA* in flowering plants might have evolved from ancestral proteins with functions unrelated to pollen tube perception. It is still unclear whether *FER* and *NTA* homologues in bryophytes have functions in fungal invasion. What the ancient functions of original *FER* and *NTA* proteins were, and how *FER* and *NTA* developed those functions in flowering plants, remain elusive.

**Are other female gametophyte cells involved in pollen tube perception?**

Additional evidence suggested that *FER* might also be involved in the communication between female gametophytic cells for pollen tube perception (Rotman et al. 2008). In addition to a *fer*-like phenotype, *syl* triggers autonomous endosperm development without fertilization, a trait associated with the FERTILIZATION INDEPENDENT SEED (*FIS*) class mutants. This discovery led to re-investigations of the *fer* mutant using the *sirene* (*srn*) allele. *srn/fer* mutants also showed autonomous endosperm development and further demonstrated synergistic interactions with the *fis2* mutant. *fis2* also displayed defects in pollen tube discharge as in *srn/fer*, demonstrating that the central cell is also important for pollen tube perception and that *FER* might also play a role in pollen tube perception, which involves
the communication between synergid cells and the central cell (Fig. 2B; Rotman et al. 2008). A possible involvement of the central cell for pollen tube perception is also pointed out by the analysis of CENTRAL CELL GUIDANCE (CCG), encoding a putative transcriptional regulator expressed in the central cell. The cgg mutation causes a loss of pollen tube micropylar guidance (Fig. 2A; Chen et al. 2007). Consistently, the communication between the central cell and other female gametophytic cells for proper development has also been recently reported (Kägi et al. 2010). Further studies are now required to identify FER ligands and where these are secreted (from the central cell and/or the pollen tube) to activate the FER pathway in the synergid cell for pollen tube perception.

How does the pollen tube control its growth and rupture?

The closest paralogues of SRN/FER, ANXUR1 and 2 (ANX1 and 2), expressed in the pollen tube, are also critical for pollen tube perception (Fig. 2C; Boisson-Dernier et al. 2009; Miyazaki et al. 2009). The double knockout mutant pollens are able to germinate and elongate the pollen tube, but rupture prematurely without reaching the female gametophyte, indicating that the ANX pathway is preventing premature pollen tube rupture (Miyazaki et al. 2009). The possible function of ANX for pollen tube perception has been postulated; the ANXs might function in a cell-autonomous manner (Berger 2009). In this scenario, the pollen tube would maintain ANXs activation in a positive feedback loop until the tip reaches the synergid cell where the extracellular environment would contain factors involved in quenching the ANXs pathway. Further analyses are anticipated that will reveal more of the precise molecular mechanisms by which ANXs control pollen tube growth arrest and rupture.

Defensin-like Zea mays Embryo Sac 4 (ZmES4) protein was identified as a putative signalling molecule controlling pollen tube rupture (Fig. 2C; Amien et al. 2010). ZmES4 is expressed in maize synergid cells, and is capable of triggering pollen tube rupture in vitro. Furthermore, the authors demonstrated that ZmES4 is able to open a potassium channel (K\textsuperscript{+}-channel Zea mays 1) expressed in the pollen tube in a heterologous system. Previously, the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} pump ACA9 in the Arabidopsis pollen tube has been shown to be involved in pollen tube discharge (Schielt et al. 2004). The cellular and molecular mechanisms by which ions such as K\textsuperscript{+} and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} play roles in pollen tube perception remain to be determined.

**Sperm cell dynamics: sperm cell release and gamete recognition**

The lack of high-resolution in vivo methods to analyse sperm dynamics during fertilization prevented an understanding of how sperm cells migrate and many hypotheses arose to explain the cellular mechanisms of double fertilization (Berger et al. 2008). However, rapid advances in technology and methodology, such as spatiotemporal high-resolution confocal microscopy coupled with cell-specific fluorescent markers, now allow the process of double fertilization to be dissected in much greater detail (Berger 2011).

**When and where are the two sperm cells released?**

Once the pollen tube reaches the micropylar end of the female gametophyte, its growth arrest and release of the two sperm cells take place by rupture of the pollen tube tip through interaction with the synergid cells (Fig. 2C). In vivo observations suggested that degeneration of the synergid cell takes place at the time of pollen tube arrival in Arabidopsis (Rotman et al. 2003; Sandaklie-Nikolova et al. 2007) or at pollen tube rupture in Torenia (Higashiyama et al. 2000). However, the mechanisms responsible for the transport of the two sperm cells remain unclear. It was proposed that the two sperm cells are released into the degenerated synergid cell and are transported to the gamete fusion site by an active mechanism involving the cytoskeleton (Huang and Russell 1994). An alternative hypothesis proposes that the two sperm cells are transported passively by the cytoplasmic flow of the pollen tube content discharged between the synergid and the egg cell. This issue was addressed by Hamamura et al. (2011) using high temporal resolution imaging of Arabidopsis pollen tube rupture. With a sampling rate of 0.20–0.33 s, the authors demonstrated that the maximum velocity of the sperm cells right after pollen tube discharge is 10 μm s\textsuperscript{-1} and the duration of the rapid migration phase is of the order of 10 s. After sperm cell release is completed, the two sperm cells apparently become wedged between the central cell and the egg cell, and do not change position until gamete fusion (plasmogamy). If sperm cells were deposited in synergids and transferred to the female gametes by cytoskeletal elements, a pause after the initial pollen burst should be observed, followed by migration at a rate of 0.1–1 μm s\textsuperscript{-1} (the typical range of speed of transport along the cytoskeleton) (Staiger 2000). Hence, live imaging observations indicate that the cytoplasmic flow by pollen tube rupture is sufficient for the sperm cells to reach the site of gamete fusions. This finding is consistent with the observation in Torenia (Higashiyama et al.
and this may indicate that the sperm cell delivery by cytoplasmic flow is conserved among flowering plants. The pollen tube cytoplasm could be injected between the egg cell and one synergid cell, creating a channel for the delivery of sperm cells between the plasma membranes of the synergid cell and the egg cell. The end of this channel reaches the contact between the egg cell and the central cell plasma membranes where sperm cells remain immobile until plasmogamy (Hamamura et al. 2011). Synergid cell degeneration would be a secondary event, resulting either from a specific signalling mechanism or from the mechanical stress caused by the burst of pollen tube cytoplasm (Fig. 2C).

Do dimorphic sperm cells prevent polyspermy in double fertilization?

Successful double fertilization relies on two independent gamete cell fusions. One sperm cell must fuse with the egg cell and the other with the central cell. As Hamamura et al. (2011) demonstrated, the two sperm cells are released at the site of gamete fusion simultaneously. If one sperm cell is able to fuse with the egg cell while the other is able to fuse with the central cell, coordination of the two fertilization events is secured and polyspermy (Appendix) is prevented. Plumbago zeylanica produces dimorphic sperm cells, which express different sets of transcripts (Gou et al. 2009). Each sperm cell shows preferential fertilization to the egg cell or the central cell, depending on its identity (Russell 1985). Arabidopsis, like most flowering plant species, produces isomorphic sperm cells that cannot be distinguished by gene expression—so far (Ge et al. 2011). However, only one of the two sperm cells is associated in closer vicinity with the vegetative nucleus of the pollen (Fig. 2A and B) (McCue et al. 2011). This association involves the outer endocytic membrane that surrounds both sperm cells. It is thus possible that one sperm cell receives specific signals from the vegetative nucleus that would determine its capacity to fuse preferentially with one female gamete (McCue et al. 2011). Therefore, it has been an issue of debate whether sperm cells are functionally equivalent.

The question of sperm functional differentiation was initially tested in Arabidopsis mutants that produce multiple egg cells or a single sperm cell (Berger 2011). Mutants eostre (Pagnussat et al. 2007) and retinoblastoma related 1 (Ingouff et al. 2006, 2009) generate two egg cells, which can be fertilized by both sperm cells delivered by a single pollen tube. Furthermore, mutants chromatin assembly factor 1 (Chen et al. 2008) and cyclin dependent kinase a1 (cdka1) (Aw et al. 2010) produce a single sperm cell per pollen grain, which shows the ability to fertilize either the egg cell or the central cell. Only a single sperm-like cell formed by translational inhibition in the male germ cell shows preferential fertilization to the central cell (Frank and Johnson 2009). Although these studies supported an equivalent functional identity for both sperm cells, they were not conclusive because they did not involve wild-type sperm cells and wild-type female gametates. To end this controversy, Hamamura et al. (2011) used a photo-convertible fluorescent protein to mark each sperm cell differently and examined the preferentiality of fertilization of two sperm cells delivered by a wild-type pollen tube to a wild-type ovule. Both sperm cells in either position (associated with the vegetative nucleus or not) fertilize the egg cell and the central cell with a similar probability, demonstrating that Arabidopsis sperm cells are functionally equivalent.

The equivalence of Arabidopsis sperm cells raises the issue of polyspermy block (Appendix). Circumstantial evidence has suggested the presence of polyspermy block in plants (Spielman and Scott 2008). Arabidopsis mutants tetraspore (Scott et al. 2008) and retinoblastoma related 1 (Ingouff et al. 2009) also indicated the presence of polyspermy block in the egg cell. Live-cell imaging in wild type has provided support to these results (Hamamura et al. 2011). The two plasmogamies take place simultaneously within less than 1 min, and in spite of simultaneous fusions of the sperm cells, the authors did not observe two fusion events with the egg cell or with the central cell. Because the two sperm cells are both equally able to fuse with either female gamete, these observations suggest that polyspermy is prevented not only in the egg cell but also in the central cell. It remains unknown what cellular and molecular mechanisms prevent polyspermy (polyspermy block) in the egg cell and the central cell, and whether there are any differences in polyspermy block between the two female gametates.

A few factors essential for plasmogamy have been identified. GENERATIVE SPECIFIC CELL 1/HAPLESS 2 (GCS1/HAP2) was first discovered in Lilium longiflorum to be sperm cell specific and affect plasmogamy when mutated (Mori et al. 2006). The GCS1/HAP2 protein localizes at the sperm cell membrane and its function is essential for gamete fusion and is highly conserved among major eukaryotic taxa (Besser et al. 2006; Mori et al. 2006; Wong and Johnson 2010). Although there is no known functional domain identified in GCS1/HAP2, the putative extracellular N-terminus, containing the conserved HAP2–GCS1 domain, seems critical for its function (Mori et al. 2010; Wong et al. 2010). In Chlamydomonas reinhardii, GCS1/HAP2 proteins are targeted for rapid degradation immediately after gamete fusion,
presumably involved in polygamy block (Liu et al. 2010). What molecular mechanisms control the GCS1/HAP2 pathway for gamete fusion and whether rapid degradation of GCS1/HAP2 occurs in flowering plants for polyspermy block remain to be determined.

ANKYRIN REPEAT PROTEIN 6 (ANK6) has recently been identified as another factor essential for gamete fusion in Arabidopsis (Yu et al. 2010). ANK6 encodes a mitochondria-targeted protein with ankyrin repeats which are believed to act in protein–protein interaction. ANK6 is highly expressed in both the male and female gametophytes and gamete fusion is impaired between mutant ank6 gametates (Yu et al. 2010). ANK6 interacts with SIG5, a mitochondrial transcriptional initiation factor, implying that ANK6 controls gamete fusion by regulating mitochondrial gene expression. Further analyses will be awaited to reveal molecular mechanisms by which mitochondria play a role in gamete fusion through ANK6.

**Post-plasmogamy**

After plasmogamy, each sperm nucleus joins and fuses with either the egg cell nucleus or the central nucleus. This step, called karyogamy (Appendix), is followed by zygotic activation and embryo and endosperm development. Mutations in a chaperone involved in karyogamy in yeast affect polar nuclei (Appendix) fusion in the Arabidopsis central cell but do not impair karyogamy directly (Maruyama et al. 2010), similar to other mutants affecting polar nuclear fusion in the female gametophyte (Portereiko et al. 2006). The molecular mechanisms controlling karyogamy remain unknown. Similarly, little is known concerning zygotic activation. Karyogamy in the central cell is immediately followed by the onset of mitosis and translational activation, whereas it takes ~6–8 h in the zygote to detect the first sign of de novo zygotic transcription and translation (Aw et al. 2010; Ingouff et al. 2010). Surprisingly, it has recently been discovered that plasmogamy is sufficient to activate mitosis in the central cell (Aw et al. 2010). The cdkα1 mutant produces pollen with one or, more often, two sperm cells. When wild-type ovules are fertilized by cdkα1 pollen that delivers two sperm cells, embryogenesis is initiated correctly although the developing seed eventually aborts. The embryo aborts results from endosperm development arrest after a few mitotic divisions. Endosperm arrest is caused by failure of karyogamy after fertilization of the central cell. These results imply that plasmogamy in the central cell is sufficient to activate mitotic division, but the maternal genome is required for subsequent endosperm development. By contrast, mitotic activation by sperm entry is not observed in the egg cell (Aw et al. 2010).

These findings indicate that (i) plasmogamy signals mitotic activation in the central cell and (ii) the molecular mechanisms associated with zygotic activation could differ between the egg cell and the central cell. This hypothesis is also supported by earlier findings showing that activation of the central cell, but not the egg cell, takes place in the absence of the Polycorn group pathway (Ohad et al. 1996; Chaudhury et al. 1997; Guitton et al. 2004) while autonomous egg cell activation depends on the WD40 protein MS11 but not on the Polycorn group pathway (Guitton and Berger 2005). What activates the mitotic onset in the egg cell and the central cell remains elusive. Factors involved in plasmogamy such as the GCS1/HAP2 pathway (Besser et al. 2006; Mori et al. 2006), cytoplasmic activation signals in the sperm cell (Bayer et al. 2009) or calcium signalling as shown in the brown alga Fucus and maize (Roberts et al. 1994; Antoine et al. 2000) might be contributing to the central cell mitotic activation.

**Conclusions and forward look**

Many factors controlling successful double fertilization have been identified in recent years, including important signalling pathways. It is now obvious that communication between the female and male gametophytes is essential. Furthermore, communication within the gametophyte, such as the central cell and the synergid cell, seems critical for double fertilization. Chemical visualization of LUREs will allow an understanding of the spatiotemporal dynamics of pollen tube attraction (Goto et al. 2011). Yet, there are still many pieces missing in the signalling pathway jigsaw puzzle. What are the receptors of LUREs and ZmEA1 in the pollen tube for micropylar guidance? What are the ligands of SRN/FER and ANXs, and what is the receptor of ZmES4 for pollen tube perception? How do these pathways coordinate a successful double fertilization? These questions await answers. Identification of factors associated with fertilization processes and high-resolution live-cell imaging now enable us to investigate other aspects of fertilization such as the interspecies barrier and the polyspermy block. Micropylar guidance attractants such as LUREs and ZmEA1 belong to highly polymorphic families, and indeed LUREs show species preferentiality on pollen tube guidance (Okuda and Higashiya 2010; Kanazawa et al. 2011). In addition, species specificity of GCS1/HAP2 has also been explored (Mori et al. 2010; Wong et al. 2010), indicating that the interspecies barrier even exists in the last steps of fertilization. Polyspermy block also appears to be present in flowering plants to reinforce successful double fertilization (Scott et al. 2008; Spielman and Scott 2008; Ingouff et al. 2009; Hamamura et al. 2011).
The fact that there is a considerable time gap between sperm cell release and plasmogamy suggests cell–cell communication among gametes, presumably involved in polyspermy block and preparation for plasmogamy. We have just started to lend an ear to the conversation between male and female gametophytes, ‘green love talks’, and, surely, we will soon acquire deeper mechanistic insights into double fertilization.

**Sources of funding**

T.K. and F.B. and this work were funded by Temasek Life-Science Laboratory.

**Contributions by the authors**

Both authors wrote the review.

**Conflicts of interest statement**

None declared.

**References**

Amien S, Kliwer I, Marton M, Debener T, Geiger D, Becker D, Dresselhaus T. 2010. Defensin-like ZmE54 mediates pollen tube burst in maize via opening of the potassium channel KZM1. *PLoS Biology* 8: e1000388.

Antoine AF, Faure JE, Cordeiro S, Dumas C, Rougier M, Feijó JA. 2000. A calcium influx is triggered and propagates in the zygoate as a wavefront during in vitro fertilization of flowering plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 97: 10643–10648.

Aw SJ, Hamamura Y, Chen Z, Schnittert A, Berger F. 2010. Sperm entry is sufficient to trigger division of the central cell but the paternal genome is required for endosperm development in *Arabidopsis*. Development 137: 2683–2690.

Bayer M, Nawy T, Giglione C, Galli M, Meinell T, Lukowitz W. 2009. Paternal control of embryonic patterning in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Science 323: 1485–1488.

Berger F. 2009. Reproductive biology: receptor-like kinases orchestrate love songs in plants. *Current Biology* 19: R647–R649.

Berger F. 2011. Imaging fertilization in flowering plants, not so abominable after all. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 62: 1651–1658.

Berger F, Hamamura Y, Ingouff M, Higashiyama T. 2008. Double fertilization—caught in the act. *Trends in Plant Science* 13: 437–443.

von Besser K, Frank AC, Johnson MA, Preuss D. 2006. *Arabidopsis HAP2* (GCS1) is a sperm-specific gene required for pollen tube guidance and fertilization. *Development* 133: 4761–4769.

Boisson-Dernier A, Fritsche S, Kim T, Dizon MB, Schroeder JI. 2008. The pexin loss-of-function mutation abstinence by mutual consent disrupts male–female gametophyte recognition. *Current Biology* 18: 63–68.

Boisson-Dernier A, Roy S, Kritsas K, Grobel MA, Jacuubeck M, Schroeder JI, Grossniklaus U. 2009. Disruption of the pollen-expressed *FERONIA* homologs *ANXUR1* and *ANXUR2* triggers pollen tube discharge. *Development* 136: 3279–3288.

Boisson-Dernier A, Kessler SA, Grossniklaus U. 2011. The walls have ears: the role of plant CRLK1Ls in sensing and transducing extracellular signals. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 62: 1581–1591.

Borges F, Gomes G, Gardner R, Moreno N, McCormick S, Feijo JA, Becker JD. 2008. Comparative transcriptomics of *Arabidopsis* sperm cells. *Plant Physiology* 148: 1168–1181.

Büschges R, Hollricher K, Panstruga R, Simons G, Wolter M, Frijters A, van Daalen R, van der Lee T, Diergaarde P, Groitendijk J, Töpsch S, Vos P, Salamin F, Schultze-Lefert P. 1997. The barley *Mlo* gene: a novel control element of plant pathogen resistance. *Cell* 88: 695–705.

Capron A, Gourgueus M, Neiva LS, Faure J, Berger F, Pagnussat GC, Krishnan A, Alvarez-Mejía C, Vielle-Calzada J, Lee Y, Liu B, Sundaresan V. 2008. Maternal control of male–gamete delivery in *Arabidopsis* involves a putative GPI-anchored protein encoded by the *LORELEI* gene. *Plant Cell* 20: 3038–3049.

Chaudhury AM, Ming L, Miller C, Craig S, Dennis ES, Peacock WJ. 1997. Fertilization-independent seed development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 94: 4223–4228.

Chen Y, Li H, Shi D, Yuan L, Liu J, Sreenivasan R, Bashur R, Grossniklaus U, Yang W. 2007. The central cell plays a critical role in pollen tube guidance in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 19: 3563–3577.

Chen Z, Tan JLH, Ingouff M, Sundaresan V, Berger F. 2008. Chromatin assembly factor 1 regulates the cell cycle but not cell fate during male gametogenesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Development* 135: 65–73.

Devato A, Hartmann HA, Piffanelli P, Elliott C, Simmons C, Taramino G, Goh C, Cohen FE, Emerson BC, Schultze-Lefert P, Panstruga R. 2003. Molecular phylogeny and evolution of the plant-specific seven-transmembrane MLO family. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 56: 77–88.

Dresselhaus T, Marton ML. 2009. Micropylar pollen tube guidance and burst: adapted from defense mechanisms? *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 12: 773–780.

Escobar-Restrepo J, Huck N, Kessler SA, Gagliardini V, Ghyselinck J, Yang W, Grossniklaus U. 2007. The *FERONIA* receptor-like kinase mediates male–female interactions during pollen tube reception. *Science* 317: 656–660.

Frank AC, Johnson MA. 2009. Expressing the diphteria toxin A subunit from the HAP2(GCS1) promoter blocks sperm maturation and produces single sperm-like cells capable of fertilization. *Plant Physiology* 151: 1390–1400.

Ge L, Gou X, Yuan T, Straw GW, Nakashimo J, Blancaflor EB, Tian HQ, Russell SD. 2011. Migration of sperm cells during pollen tube elongation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: behavior during transport, maturation and upon dissociation of male germ unit associations. *Planta* 233: 325–332.

Goto H, Okuda S, Mizukami A, Mori H, Sasaki N, Kurihara D, Higashiyama T. 2011. Chemical visualization of an attractant peptide, LURE. *Plant & Cell Physiology* 52: 49–58.

Gou X, Yuan T, Wei X, Russell SD. 2009. Gene expression in the dimorphic sperm cells of *Plumbago zeylanica*: transcript profiling, diversity, and relationship to cell type. *Plant Journal* 60: 33–47.

Guitton A, Berger F. 2005. Loss of function of *MULTICOPY SUPPRESSOR OF IRA 1* produces nonviable parthenogenetic embryos in *Arabidopsis*. *Current Biology* 15: 750–754.
Guitton A, Page DR, Chambrier P, Liannet C, Faure J, Grossniklaus U, Berger F. 2004. Identification of new members of Fertilisation Independent Seed Polycorm Group pathway involved in the control of seed development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 131: 2971–2981.

Hamamura Y, Saito C, Awai C, Kurihara D, Miyawaki A, Nakagawa T, Kanooma MM, Sasaki N, Nakano A, Berger F, Hegashiyma T. 2011. Live-cell imaging reveals the dynamics of two sperm cells during double fertilization in Arabidopsis thaliana. Current Biology 21: 497–502.

Hegashiyma T, Kuroiwa H, Kawano S, Kuroiwa T. 2000. Explosive discharge of pollen tube contents in Torenia fournieri. Plant Physiology 122: 11–14.

Hegashiyma T, Yabe S, Sasaki N, Nishimura Y, Miyagishima S, Kuroiwa H, Kuroiwa T. 2001. Pollen tube attraction by the synergid cell. Science 293: 1480–1483.

Huang BQ, Russell SD. 1994. Fertilization in Nicotiana tabacum—cytoskeletal modifications in the embryo sac during synergid degeneration—a hypothesis for short-distance transport of sperm cells prior to gamete fusion. Planta 194: 200–214.

Huck N, Moore JM, Federer M, Grossniklaus U. 2003. The Arabidopsis mutant $\text{feronia}$ disrupts the female gametophytic control of pollen tube reception. Development 130: 2149–2159.

Ingouff M, Jullien PE, Berger F. 2006. The female gametophyte and the endosperm control cell proliferation and differentiation of the seed coat in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 18: 3491–3501.

Ingouff M, Sakata T, Li J, Sprunk S, Dresselhaus T, Berger F. 2009. The two male gametes share equal ability to fertilize the egg cell in Arabidopsis thaliana. Current Biology 19: R19–R20.

Ingouff M, Rademacher S, Holec S, Soljić L, Xin N, Readshaw A, Foo SH, Lohouze B, Sprunk S, Berger F. 2010. Zygotetic resetting of the HISTONE 3 variant repertoire participates in epigenetic reprogramming in Arabidopsis. Current Biology 20: 2137–2143.

Kágá C, Baumann N, Nielsen N, Stierhof Y, Gross-Hardt R. 2010. The gametic central cell of Arabidopsis determines the lifespan of adjacent accessory cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 107: 22350–22355.

Kanooma MM, Kawano N, Matsubara Y, Susaki D, Okuda S, Sasaki N, Hegashiyma T. 2011. Identification and characterization of TcCRP1, a pollen tube attractant from Torenia concolor. Annals of Botany (in press). doi:10.1093/aob/mcr011.

Kasahara RD, Portereiko MF, Sandaklie-Nikolova L, Rabiger DS, Drews GN. 2005. MYB98 is required for pollen tube guidance and synergid cell differentiation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 17: 2981–2992.

Kessler SA, Shimosata-Asano H, Keinath NF, Wuest SE, Ingram GC, Panstruga R, Grossniklaus U. 2010. Conserved molecular components for pollen tube reception and fungal invasion. Science 330: 968–971.

Lehti-Shiu MD, Zou C, Hanada K, Shiu S. 2009. Evolutionary history and stress regulation of plant receptor-like kinase/pelle genes. Plant Physiology 150: 12–26.

Liu Y, Misamore MJ, Snell WJ. 2010. Membrane fusion triggers rapid degradation of two gamete-specific, fusion-essential proteins in a membrane block to polygamy in Chlamydomonas. Development 137: 1473–1481.

Marten M, Dresselhaus T. 2010. Female gametophyte-controlled pollen tube guidance. Biochemical Society Transactions 38: 627–630.

Marten M, Cords S, Broadhvest J, Dresselhaus T. 2005. Micropylar pollen tube guidance by egg apparatus 1 of maize. Science 307: 573–576.

Maruyama D, Endo T, Nishikawa S. 2010. BiP-mediated polar nuclei fusion is essential for the regulation of endosperm nuclei proliferation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 107: 1684–1689.

McCue AD, Cresti M, Feijó JA, Slotkin RK. 2011. Cytoplasmic connection of sperm cells to the pollen vegetative cell nucleus: potential roles of the male germ unit revisited. Journal of Experimental Botany 62:1621–1631.

Miyazaki S, Murata T, Sakurai-Ozato N, Kubo M, Demura T, Fukuda H, Hasebe M. 2009. ANKUR1 and 2, sister genes to FERONIA/SIRENE, are male factors for coordinated fertilization. Current Biology 19: 1327–1331.

Mori T, Kuroiwa H, Hegashiyma T, Kuroiwa T. 2006. GENERATIVE CELL SPECIFIC 1 is essential for angiosperm fertilization. Nature Cell Biology 8: 64–71.

Mori T, Hirai M, Kuroiwa T, Miyagishima S. 2010. The functional domain of GCS1-based gamete fusion resides in the amino terminus in plant and parasite species. PloS one 5: e15957.

Ohad N, Margossian L, Hsu YC, Williams C, Repetti P, Fischer RL. 1996. A mutation that allows endosperm development without fertilization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 93: 5319–5324.

Okuda S, Hegashiyma T. 2010. Pollen tube guidance by attractant molecules: LURES. Cell Structure and Function 35: 45–52.

Okuda S, Tsutsui H, Shiina K, Sprunk S, Takeuchi H, Yui R, Kasahara RD, Hamamura Y, Mizukami A, Susaki D, Kawano N, Sakakibara T, Namiki S, Itoh K, Otsuka K, Matsuizuki M, Nozaki H, Kuroiwa T, Nakano A, Kanooma MM, Dresselhaus T, Sasaki N, Hegashiyma T. 2009. Defensin-like polypeptide LURES are pollen tube attractants secreted from synergid cells. Nature 458: 357–361.

Pagnussat GC, Yu H, Sundaresan V. 2007. Cell-fate switch of synergid to egg cell in Arabidopsis eostore mutant embryo sacs arises from misexpression of the BEL1-like homeodomain gene BLH1. Plant Cell 19: 3578–3592.

Portereiko MF, Sandaklie-Nikolova L, Lloyd A, Dever CA, Otsuga D, Drews GN. 2006. NUCLEAR FUSION DEFECTIVE1 encodes the Arabidopsis RPL21M protein and is required for karyogamy during female gametophyte development and fertilization. Plant Physiology 141: 957–965.

Punwani JA, Rabiger DS, Drews GN. 2007. MYB98 positively regulates a battery of synergid-expressed genes encoding filiform apparatus localized proteins. Plant Cell 19: 2557–2568.

Punwani JA, Rabiger DS, Lloyd A, Drews GN. 2008. The MYB98 subcircuit of the synergid gene regulatory network includes genes directly and indirectly regulated by MYB98. Plant Journal 55: 406–414.

Roberts S, Gilliot J, Brownlee C. 1994. Cytoplasmic calcium and fucus egg activation. Development 120: 155–163.

Rotman N, Rozier F, Boavida LC, Dumas C, Berger F, Faure J. 2003. Female control of male gamete delivery during fertilization in Arabidopsis thaliana. Current Biology 13: 432–436.

Rotman N, Gourgues M, Guiltton A, Faure J, Berger F. 2008. A dialogue between the SIRENE pathway in synergids and the fertilization independent seed pathway in the central cell controls...
male gamete release during double fertilization in Arabidopsis. Molecular Plant 1: 659–666.

Russell SD. 1985. Preferential fertilization in Plumbago: ultrastructural evidence for gamete-level recognition in an angiosperm. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 82: 6129–6132.

Sandaklie-Nikolova L, Palanivelu R, King EJ, Copenhaver GP, Drews GN. 2007. Synergid cell death in Arabidopsis is triggered following direct interaction with the pollen tube. Plant Physiology 144: 1753–1762.

Schropp M, Romanowsky SM, Baeggaard L, Jakobsen MK, Palmgren MG, Harper JF. 2004. A plant plasma membrane Ca$^{2+}$ pump is required for normal pollen tube growth and fertilization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 101: 9502–9507.

Scott RJ, Armstrong SJ, Doughty J, Spielman M. 2008. Double fertilization in Arabidopsis thaliana involves a polyspermy block on the egg but not the central cell. Molecular Plant 1: 611–619.

Spielman M, Scott RJ. 2008. Polyspermy barriers in plants: from preventing to promoting fertilization. Sexual Plant Reproduction 21: 53–65.

Sprunk S. 2010. Let’s get physical: gamete interaction in flowering plants. Biochemical Society Transactions 38: 635–640.

Stoiger CJ. 2000. Signalling to the actin cytoskeleton in plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 51: 257–288.

Tsukamoto T, Qin Y, Huang Y, Dunatunga D, Palanivelu R. 2010. A role for LORELEI, a putative glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein, in Arabidopsis thaliana double fertilization and early seed development. Plant Journal 62: 571–588.

Weterings K, Russell SD. 2004. Experimental analysis of the fertilization process. Plant Cell 16(Suppl): S107–S118.

Wong JL, Johnson MA. 2010. Is HAP2-GCS1 an ancestral gamete fusogen? Trends in Cell Biology 20: 134–141.

Wong JL, Leydon AR, Johnson MA. 2010. HAP2(GCS1)-dependent gamete fusion requires a positively charged carboxy-terminal domain. Plos Genetics 6: e1000882.

Yu F, Shi J, Zhou J, Gu J, Chen Q, Li J, Cheng W, Mao D, Tian L, Buchanan BB, Li L, Chen L, Li D, Luan S. 2010. ANK6, a mitochondrial ankyrin repeat protein, is required for male–female gamete recognition in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 107: 22332–22337.

Appendix: Glossary of terms

Central cell
The female gametophytic cell that develops as the endosperm after fertilization. In contrast to the haploid egg cell, the central cell is a homodiploid cell.

Double fertilization
Flowering plants have evolved a unique fertilization process, which involves two sperm cells and two female gametophytic cells, the egg and the central cell. Each sperm cell fertilizes with the egg or the central cell, giving rise to the embryo and the endosperm, respectively.

Egg cell
The female gametophytic cell that develops as the embryo after fertilization.

Embryo sac
This is the mature female gametophyte. The two terms are somehow synonymous.

Karyogamy
Fusion of the nuclei of the male and female gametes.

Micropyle
The part of the ovule where the pollen tube accesses the embryo sac.

Plasmogamy
The fusion of the plasma membrane between a male and a female gamete.

Polar nuclei
The central cell inherits two haploid nuclei, which localize to the micropylar pole of the cell and are called polar nuclei. The polar nuclei fuse during central cell maturation and the central cell thus become homodiploid.

Pollen tube guidance
In order to deliver immotile sperm cells to the female gametophyte in flowering plants, the pollen tube carrying the sperm cells grows towards the unfertilized female gametophyte. This directional growth of the pollen tube is controlled by the maternal tissue and the female gametophyte.

Polyspermy block
The process that prevents the egg cell or the central cell from being fertilized by more than one sperm cell. Because the pollen tube delivers two sperm cells at the position where the fertilization takes place, it has been suggested that a polyspermy block is required for successful double fertilization.

Synergid cell
The female gametophytic cell that secretes small peptides that attract the pollen tube. In A. thaliana, there are two synergid cells positioned on either side of the egg cell at the micropylar end of the female gametophyte.