Removal of Malathion from Aqueous Solutions and Waste Water Using Fly Ash
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Abstract

Fly ash, obtained from a thermal power plant, Anpara, Sonebhadra, India has been used as an effective adsorbent for the removal of malathion from aqueous solutions. The time required to attain equilibrium was found to increase from 40 to 60 minutes as the initial malathion concentration increases from 1 to 10 mg/L. The optimum pH value for adsorption was 4.50. The removal of malathion increased by increasing the temperature indicating endothermic nature of removal process. The fly ash exhibited first order rate kinetics and followed both Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models. Endothermic nature of adsorption process was further supported from increasing values of Langmuir and Freundlich constants with increase in temperature. The adsorbent can be used as an economical product for the removal of malathion from wastewater also. A comparison of the adsorption capacity of fly ash with other adsorbents shows that fly ash can be used for the removal of malathion from aqueous solutions.
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1. Introduction

Pesticides are being used by human beings to protect crops since ages. Since 19th century and onwards the world wide production of pesticides has increased manifold because of increasing population and requirement of foodgrains. In agricultural practices these are used as insecticides, weedicides, fungicides, and herbicides. Part of them is also used to control diseases like malaria, filariasis, dengue, cholera, and Japanese encephalitis. They are also applied in industries to control vegetation, in forests and factory sites, for fumigation of buildings, ships etc. According to an estimate the pests, if not controlled, can damage about 30% of total world crops production and may pose a serious food problem to the ever increasing world population. In India the production of basic pesticides commenced in 1952 as Benzene Hexa Chloride (BHC) followed by DDT and in mid-nineties, the net production of pesticide was approximately 85,000 metric tones.

Pesticides are toxic chemicals. Many of the insecticides such as DDT, deildrin, heptachlor, and aldrin have been reported to be bioaccumulated in blood, milk, and tissues and in food commodities [1-3]. Excessive use of pesticides has resulted in polluting the surface and ground waters [4-6]. Poison information center in National School of Occupational Health (NIOH), Ahmadabad, India, has reported that organophosphorous compounds are responsible for maximum number of poisoning (73%) among all agricultural pesticides [7]. Patients of acute organophosphorous poisoning have been reported to suffer from problems like vomiting, nausea, miosis, excessive salivation, blurred vision, headache, giddiness, and disturbance in consciousness [8]. In case of malathion, which is one of the widely used organophosphorus pesticide, almost all the effects observed are due to its active metabolite malaxon [9] on the nervous system or is secondary to its primary action. Malathion is moderately toxic to birds and has a wide range of toxicity in fish and other aquatic invertebrates and is highly toxic to honeybees [10]. Because of toxic and hazardous nature, removal of pesticides from water and wastewater has been concern of scientists and activated charcoal is the most commonly used adsorbent for removing pesticide residue from contaminated water [11-13]. Materials investigated as adsorbents for pesticides includes: Char-
coals from agro waste [14], straw [15], date and olive stones, wood chips/corn cob [16], lignocellulosic substrate from agro industry [17], bark [18], bagasse fly ash [19] and coal fly ash [20]. Fly ash has also been used for removal of metallic species [21]. Out of most of the non-conventional adsorbents used for the removal of pesticides, fly ash has advantage over others as it is basically a waste of thermal power plants and is recognized as a nuisance for environment and industry. In the present study removal of malathion from water has been taken up by batch adsorption technique using fly ash as the adsorbent material.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Materials

Fly ash was obtained from Anpara thermal power plant, Sonebhadra, India. It is generated from the combustion of powdered coal or lignite and collected from flue gas of pulverized fuel fired boilers with the help of electrostatic precipitation. Its surface area and pore volume was determined by B.E.T. method using low temperature N2 adsorption and particle size was determined by sieve method. Physical and chemical characterization of fly ash is shown in Tables 1 and 2. I.R. spectra of the absorbent was also carried out and the peaks indicate presence of Al-O, Si-O and Fe-O bonding.

All chemicals were of analytical grade. Sodium ethoxide and rhodamine 6G was obtained from LOBA chemical, Mumbai, India. Malathion was obtained from northern minerals Ltd. New Delhi, India.

2.2. Equipments

pH was measured by pH meter (ELISCO L 1612), surface area and porosity were measured by surface area and porosity analyzer (ASAP-2020). Malathion concentration was determined by fluorescence spectrophotometer (CARRYECLKIPSE).

2.3. Methods

Malathion solution was prepared by dissolving a known quantity of malathion in double-distilled water. Standard base of 0.1 M NaOH and acid of 0.1 M HCl solutions were used for pH adjustment. Temperature was controlled by keeping the mixtures in a water circulation bath whose temperature varied within ±0.2 °C. To maintain a constant thermal state, the bottles were first placed into the temperature controlled bath for about 0.5 h prior to the start of the experiments. All experiments were replicated and the average values were taken in the data analysis.

Kinetic adsorption experiments were carried out to establish the effect of time on the adsorption process and to determine the rate of adsorption for malathion removal as affected by pH and temperature. The general experimental procedures are described as follows:

1) prepare one liter solution containing a certain amount of malathion in a glass bottle; 2) agitate these solutions on a mechanical stirrer at 140 rpm; 3) adjust solution pH to a desired value; 4) add a given amount of the fly ash (7 g/L) into the solution; 5) at the completion of preset time intervals, 6) determine the residual malathion concentration in each of the supernatants. The amount of malathion adsorbed in mg/g at contact time t was calculated as follows:

\[ q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)}{w} \]  

where Co (mg/L) and Ct (mg/L) are the initial malathion concentration and the malathion concentration at time t, respectively; w (g/L) is the fly ash amount in the solution.

Equilibrium experiments were performed to establish the adsorption isotherms affected by pH, and temperature. The isotherm studies were conducted by varying the initial malathion concentration at room temperature while the adsorbent was kept at 7 g/L. Detailed experimental procedures were described as follows: 1) prepare one 2.0 L solution of different malathion concentrations; 2) distribute 100 mL of solution to a series of 125 mL glass bottles; 3) adjust initial pH; 4) add a given amount of the
fly ash (7 g/L) into the solution; 5) shake these bottles on a reciprocal shaker at 140 excursions/min to reach the equilibrium; 6) at the end of shaking separate the adsorbent and the supernatant was collected for determination of malathion concentration.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Concentration

The effect of initial malathion concentration on removal efficiency of fly ash and time to reach equilibrium was studied over a wide range of malathion concentration (1-10 mg/L). On changing the initial malathion concentration from 1 mg/L to 10 mg/L, percent removal decreased from 99 to 90% at 30 ± 0.05°C, pH 4.50 and particle size 194 μm (Figure 1). It is evident from the figure that adsorption increases with time and attains a maximum value and thereafter remains constant, i.e., equilibrium is achieved. Although percent removal with higher concentration is less, the amount of malathion adsorbed on solid phase (fly ash) is higher than that at lower initial concentrations. It was also observed that as the initial concentration of malathion is increased, more and more time is needed to attain the equilibrium and at an initial malathion concentration of 1 mg/L, the equilibrium time is 40 min whereas, at a concentration of 10 mg/L it was 60 min.

3.2. Effect of pH

The removal of malathion by fly ash as a function of pH

![Figure 1](image-url)
at initial concentrations of 1 mg/L, 5 mg/L and 10 mg/L at temperature 30°C, particle size 149 μm was studied and has been shown in Figure 2. The studies were made over 3.0 to 7.0 pH range. It is clear from the figure that for each initial concentration value of malathion, the percent removal increases as pH rises and after pH 4.50, the percent removal decreases with further increase in pH value. With initial concentration of 1 mg/L, percent removal at pH 3.00 is 96.1%, at pH 4.50 it is 99% and at pH 7.00 it is 95.09%. Similarly with initial concentration of 10 mg/L, the percent removal at pH 3.00 was 88.88%, at pH 4.50 it is 90% and at pH 7.00 percent removal again decreases up to 88%. This clearly shows that the optimum pH for the present adsorbate-adsorbent system is 4.50.

3.3. Adsorption Dynamics

Study of kinetics of adsorption is very important aspect of adsorption process as it gives an idea of adsorbate uptake rate. This controls the residence time of adsorbate uptake at the solid-solution interface and defines the efficiency of adsorption. For the present system, the adsorption dynamics of removal of malathion was studied by conducting the batch adsorption experiments taking its 50 mL solution desired concentrations and 7 g/L dose of fly ash in a shaking machine operated at a fixed agitation speed (138 rpm), particle size of 149 μm, at pH 4.50, temperature 30°C and initial concentration of 10 mg/L. Lagergren equation [22] was used for the data obtained:

\[
\ln(q_e - q) = \ln(q_e) - k_{ad} \times t
\]

where \( q_e \) is the amount of solute adsorbed at equilibrium per unit weight of adsorbent (mg·g\(^{-1}\)), and \( k_{ad} \) is the rate constant of adsorption. The plot of \( \ln(q_e - q) \) vs t (Figure 3) at initial concentration of 10 mg/L, pH 4.50, particle size 149 μm and temperature 30°C is linear indicating that the adsorption follows the above equation used for kinetic modeling. The value of \( k_{ad} \), calculated from the slope of the straight line was 0.075 min\(^{-1}\) (Table 3).

3.4. Adsorption Isotherm

The study of adsorption isotherms and evaluation of isotherm constants is very helpful for judging the feasibility of an adsorbate-adsorbent system and for evaluating dose of adsorbent. The equilibrium data for the adsorption of malathion on fly ash at pH 4.50 and different temperatures, follow the rearranged form Langmuir equation [23]:

\[
1/q_e = 1/Q^0 + 1/bQ^0C_e
\]

where \( q_e \) is the amount of malathion adsorbed at equilibrium (mg·g\(^{-1}\)) and \( C_e \) the equilibrium concentration (mg/L). \( Q^0 \) and \( b \) are Langmuir constants which are related to adsorption capacity and apparent heat change.

![Figure 2](image-url) Figure 2. Adsorption of malathion on fly ash at different pH values. Initial concentrations: 1 mg/L, 5 mg/L and 10 mg/L, temperature: 30 ± 0.05°C, particle size: 149 μm.
Table 3. Rate constant and enthalpy change ΔH values.

| Parameters                  | Values  |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| Rate Constant (min⁻¹)       | 0.075   |
| ΔH (kJ mol⁻¹)               | +2.537  |

Table 4. Various constants at different temperatures.

| Parameters                  | Values at different temperatures (±0.05°C) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                            | 35°C | 45°C | 55°C |
| Langmuir constants          |      |      |      |
| b                           | 6.75 | 7.97 | 15.00|
| Q⁰                          | 0.726| 0.850| 0.99 |
| Freundlich constants        |      |      |      |
| Kf                          | 1.09 | 1.55 | 1.85 |
| n                           | 15.56| 17.66| 19.75|

Figure 4. Langmuir isotherm for adsorption of malathion on fly ash at different temperatures. pH: 4.50, particle size: 149 μm, agitation speed: 138 rpm, contact time: 90 min.
for the present adsorbate-adsorbent system. Linear form of Freundlich isotherm is indicated as follows [29]:

$$\log q_e = \log K_f + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $K_f$ and $n$ are Freundlich constants and their values were obtained (Table 4) from the plot of log$q_e$ vs log$C_e$ (Figure 5). Values of $K_f$ and $n$ both are roughly related to Langmuir’s constants $Q^0$ and $b$ and like $Q^0$ and $b$ values the values of $K_f$ and $n$ also increase with increase in temperature i.e. adsorption increases with rise in temperature.

### 3.5. Effect of Temperature

The adsorption of malathion by fly ash was found to increase from 90 to 97.5% by increasing temperature from 35 to 55°C (Figure 6) at pH 4.50, initial concentration 10 mg/L, particle size 149 μm, indicating that adsorption is endothermic. The endothermic nature of adsorption is supported by thermodynamic parameter $\Delta H$ calculated from the equation [30]:

$$b = b' - e^{-\Delta H/RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $b$ is Langmuir’s constant, $b'$ is another constant and $\Delta H$ is enthalpy change, $T$ is temperature in Kelvin and $R$ is gas constant.

Linear form of Equation (4) can be written as:

$$\ln b = \ln b' - \frac{\Delta H}{RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

On plotting a graph between $\ln b$ vs $1/T$ (Figure 7), a straight line is obtained from slope of which value of $\Delta H$ has been calculated (Table 3). The positive value of $\Delta H$ shows that adsorption is favored with increase in temperature.

### 4. Conclusions

From the studies it becomes clear that fly ash has potential for removal of malathion from aqueous solutions. Application of fly ash serves two purposes. One, fly ash is a waste material and poses disposal problems, and two, the removal of malathion, a toxic substance from environment view point. Higher (%) removal of malathion

---

Figure 5. Freundlich isotherm for adsorption of malathion on fly ash at different temperatures. pH: 4.50, particle size: 149 μm, agitation speed: 138 rpm, Contact time: 90 min.
Figure 6. Time variation of adsorption of malathion on fly ash at different temperatures. pH: 4.50, particle size: 149 µm, agitation speed: 138 rpm, initial concentration: 10 mg/L.

Conc. of adsorbate: 10 mg/L
RPM: 138
Shaking time: 1 h
Dose: 10 g/L
pH: 4.51
Particle size of adsorbent: 149 µm
Temperatures (°C)
- 35
- 45
- 55

\[ y = -8184.1x + 27.703 \]

Figure 7. Plot of \( \ln b \) versus \( 1/T \) for enthalpy change for the adsorption of malathion on fly ash at different temperatures. pH: 4.50, particle size: 149 µm, agitation speed: 138 rpm, contact time: 90 min.

\[ \Delta H^*/R = 8184.1 \text{ Kelvin} \]
was observed in low concentration ranges and this finding has industrial importance as in wastewaters, the concentration of malathion is in low ranges. The process of removal has been optimized for various parameters affecting the removal.

The data generated can serve as baseline data for designing treatment plants for the treatment of malathion containing effluents/wastewaters.
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