Effects of Dietary Protein Levels for Gestating Gilts on Reproductive Performance, Blood Metabolites and Milk Composition
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ABSTRACT: This experiment was conducted to evaluate the effects of dietary CP levels in gestation under equal lysine content on reproductive performance, blood metabolites and milk composition of gilts. A total of 25 gilts (F1, Yorkshire×Landrace) were allotted to 4 dietary treatments at breeding in a completely randomized design, and fed 1 of 4 experimental diets containing different CP levels (11%, 13%, 15%, or 17%) at 2.0 kg/d throughout the gestation. Body weight of gilts at 24 h postpartum tended to increase linearly (p = 0.09) as dietary CP level increased. In lactation, backfat thickness, ADFI, litter size and weaning to estrus interval (WEI) did not differ among dietary treatments. There were linear increases in litter and piglet weight at 21 d of lactation (p<0.05) and weight gain of litter (p<0.01) and piglet (p<0.05) throughout the lactation as dietary CP level increased. Plasma urea nitrogen levels of gilts in gestation and at 24 h postpartum were linearly elevated as dietary CP level increased (p<0.05). Free fatty acid (FFA) levels in plasma of gestating gilts increased as dietary CP level increased up to 15%, and then decreased with quadratic effects (15 d, p<0.01; 90 d, p<0.05), and a quadratic trend (70 d, p = 0.06). There were no differences in plasma FFA, glucose levels and milk composition in lactation. These results indicate that increasing dietary CP level under equal lysine content in gestation increases BW of gilts and litter performance but does not affect litter size and milk composition. Feeding over 13% CP diet for gestating gilts could be recommended to improve litter growth. (Key Words: Gilt, Protein, Reproductive Performance, Milk Composition, Litter Growth)

INTRODUCTION

Protein and amino acids play crucial roles in reproductive performance, blood metabolites and milk composition of gilts (Kim et al., 2009). Pettigrew and Yang (1997) reported that an adequate supply of protein and amino acids during gestation allowed sows to maintain their productivity, and that high body protein content of sows could maximize milk production and subsequent reproductive performance. NRC (1998) recommended 12.9% CP in gestation diets for gilts (average 125 kg of BW at breeding). However, modern gilts, genetically improved to have large litter and high milk production, require more nutrients to carry on normal reproductive cycle and body maturation (Boyd et al., 2000).

In previous studies, restricted dietary protein for gestating gilts did not affect litter size and litter birth weight but caused detrimental effects on litter weight gain although sufficient protein was provided during lactation (Baker et al., 1970; Kusina et al., 1999b). On the other hand, high dietary protein for gestating gilts increased litter weight and weight gain (Mahan, 1998), and elevated milk yield as well (Kusina et al., 1999b). Recently, Zhang et al. (2011) reported sows fed gestation diets containing higher lysine levels (0.65 to 0.75%) than NRC (1998) recommendation under the same content of CP showed improvements in reproductive performance and litter growth, indicating lysine content in the gestation diets need to be increased. However, there is no evidence for the effect of dietary CP levels under high lysine content in gestation for gilts. Even though lysine has been considered the first-limiting amino acid in corn-soybean meal diets for sows in gestation and lactation, the other essential amino acids play important roles in the
efficiency of protein utilization by gestating sows (Kim et al., 2009). Increased supply of dietary CP in gestation could allow gilts to consume adequate amino acids to maximize reproductive performance and ensure body development. Therefore, the objective of this experiment was to evaluate the effect of dietary CP levels for gestating gilts on reproductive performance including milk composition and their progeny’s appearance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal management, housing and experimental diets**

At 180 d of age and approximately 105 kg BW, a total of 30 gilts (F₁, Yorkshire×Landrace, Darby, Icheon, Korea) were housed in environmentally controlled pens (2.5×3.5 m²) with 3 gilts per pen. The gilts were given ad libitum access to feed and water through a feeder and a water cup nipple. At 220 d of age, the gilts were moved into individual gestation stalls (2.40×0.64 m²) and fed a total of 2 kg/d of a commercial gestation diet twice daily. After estrus check at approximately 240 d of age and 140 kg of BW, the gilts were artificially inseminated (Darby AI center, Choongju, Korea) by 3 times at 12 h interval. Then, 25 gilts were selected and allotted to 1 of 4 dietary treatments in a completely randomized design. Four experimental diets containing different CP levels of 11%, 13%, 15%, and 17% were provided to the gilts during gestation. Each diet in gestation contained 3,265 kcal of ME/kg, 0.74% of total lysine and the assigned content of CP, respectively. The protein content was adjusted with corn, soybean meal and corn gluten meal to meet each assigned CP level of gestation treatments. A lactation diet containing 3,269 kcal of ME/kg, 0.74% of total lysine was provided after farrowing regardless of gestation treatments. All nutrients met or exceeded NRC (1998) nutrient requirement estimates. The formula and chemical composition of experimental diets are presented in Table 1.

Gestating gilts were housed in individual stalls (2.40×0.64 m²) which were installed on a concrete floor in a temperature-controlled room with automatic fans and individually fed a total of 2 kg/d divided equally between two meals fed at 08:00 and 16:00 during the entire gestation period. Pregnancy was confirmed on 28 d of gestation via ultrasound (Eagle scan, Dong Jin BLS Co., LTD., Gwangju, Korea). After 110 d of gestation, all gilts were moved into farrowing crates (2.5×1.8 m²) and housed until weaning.

After parturition, the lactation diet was provided and gradually increased from 1.0 kg/d by 0.5 kg/d until 5 d postpartum with a free access to water. From 5 d postpartum, feed and water were provided ad libitum to primiparous sows. Within 24 h postpartum, Fe-dextran (150 ppm) injection, ear notching, needle teeth clipping and tail docking were practiced to each piglet. Piglets were cross-fostered across treatments within 3 d after birth to balance suckling intensity across sows with equalization of litter size, and thus to minimize any impact of initial litter size potentially affecting litter growth.

**Data collection**

Body weight and backfat thickness of gilts were measured at mating, 110 d of gestation, 24 h postpartum and 21 d of lactation. An ultrasound device (Lean-meter, Renco Corp., Minneapolis, US) was used for measuring backfat thickness at P2 position (mean value from both sides of the last rib and 65 mm away from the backbone). The numbers of total born, born alive, stillborn and mummified piglets were recorded within 24 h postpartum and the number of pigs was recorded after cross-fostering and at 21 d of lactation. Lactation feed intake was recorded weekly. Piglet weight was recorded at 24 h postpartum, after cross-fostering and at 21 d of lactation. Litter weight was calculated by summing the individual piglet weights. Weaning to estrus interval (WEI) was determined by monitoring for estrus from 3 to 10 d after weaning.

**Sample collections and analysis**

For the initial, blood samples were randomly taken from 11 gilts immediately before mating. Colostrum, milk and blood samples were collected from 5 sows with 10 to 12 piglets from each treatment and 5 piglets (1/sow) were used to collect blood. Blood samples were collected from gilts through jugular vein into EDTA tubes at 15, 35, 70, 90, and 110 d of gestation as well as 24 h and 21 d postpartum and from nursing piglets through anterior vena cava at 24 h and 21 d after birth. Blood samples were centrifuged at 1,700 g at 4°C for 15 min (Eppendorf centrifuge 5810R, Hamburg, Germany) to separate plasma. Colostrum and milk were collected from the first and second teats at 24 h and 21 d postpartum after an intravascular injection with 5 IU oxytocin (Komi oxytocin inj. Komipharm International Co., Ltd., Siheung, Korea) in the ear. All samples were stored at -20°C until analysis.

Diets were analyzed for CP by the Kjeldahl method (984.13; AOAC, 2005). Proximate analysis of colostrum and milk and milk was conducted using MilkoScan FT 120 (FOSS Electric, Sungnam, Korea). Plasma urea nitrogen (PUN) level was analyzed using a blood analyzer (Ciba-Corning model, Express Plus, Ciba Corning Diagnostics Co., Massachusetts, US). Plasma free fatty acid (FFA) levels were determined using Wako FFA C Kit (Wako Chemical, Osaka, Japan), and plasma glucose level was detected by an enzymatic kit (Glucose Hexokinase Kit, Bayer, Pennslyvania, US). The amino acid contents in colostrum, milk and diets were determined by ion-exchange chromatography (Amino Acid Analyzer L-8900, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) with post-column derivatization with
ninhydrin. Performic acid was used in oxidizing amino acids and neutralized with sodium citrate dihydrate, and then hydrolyzed with 6 N HCl for 22 h at 110°C to be liberated from the protein. Amino acids were quantified with the internal standard method (amino acid mixture standard solution Type H, Wako Chemical, Osaka, Japan; L-cysteic acid, Tokyo Chemical Industry, Tokyo, Japan; DL-methionine sulfone, Sigma, Missouri, US) by measuring the absorption of reaction products with ninhydrin at 570 nm.

### Table 1. The formula and chemical composition of experimental diets in gestation and lactation (as-fed basis)

| Item                        | Protein level in gestation (%) | Lactation |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Items (%)                   | 11.44                          | 12.93     | 15.04 | 17.13 |
| Ingredients                 |                                |           |       |       |
| Corn                        | 82.16                          | 77.25     | 72.33 | 67.40 | 67.42 |
| Soybean meal (45% CP)       | 8.86                           | 13.20     | 17.52 | 21.80 | 24.62 |
| Wheat bran                  | 3.50                           | 3.50      | 3.50  | 3.50  | 2.50  |
| Corn gluten meal            | 0.00                           | 0.85      | 1.70  | 2.55  | 0.00  |
| Sugar molasses              | 0.50                           | 0.50      | 0.50  | 0.50  | 1.05  |
| Soybean oil                 | 0.90                           | 1.00      | 1.10  | 1.20  | 1.00  |
| L-lysine-HCl                | 0.40                           | 0.27      | 0.13  | 0.00  | 0.38  |
| DL-methionine               | 0.07                           | 0.02      | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| Dicalcium phosphate         | 2.62                           | 2.30      | 1.96  | 1.64  | 1.50  |
| Limestone                   | 0.28                           | 0.42      | 0.60  | 0.76  | 0.78  |
| Vitamin premix<sup>1</sup>  | 0.20                           | 0.20      | 0.20  | 0.20  | 0.20  |
| Mineral premix<sup>3</sup>  | 0.10                           | 0.10      | 0.10  | 0.10  | 0.10  |
| Salt                        | 0.26                           | 0.24      | 0.21  | 0.20  | 0.30  |
| Choline chloride-50         | 0.15                           | 0.15      | 0.15  | 0.15  | 0.15  |
| Analyzed chemical composition (%) |                      |           |       |       |       |
| CP                          | 11.44                          | 12.93     | 15.04 | 17.13 |       |
| Essential amino acids       |                                |           |       |       |       |
| Arg                         | 0.58                           | 0.80      | 0.87  | 1.06  |       |
| His                         | 0.26                           | 0.36      | 0.36  | 0.42  |       |
| Ile                         | 0.39                           | 0.53      | 0.57  | 0.69  |       |
| Leu                         | 0.97                           | 1.31      | 1.42  | 1.69  |       |
| Lys                         | 0.67                           | 0.84      | 0.76  | 0.82  |       |
| Met                         | 0.27                           | 0.32      | 0.25  | 0.30  |       |
| Phe                         | 0.46                           | 0.64      | 0.69  | 0.83  |       |
| Thr                         | 0.38                           | 0.54      | 0.55  | 0.66  |       |
| Val                         | 0.55                           | 0.74      | 0.77  | 0.91  |       |
| Non-essential amino acids   |                                |           |       |       |       |
| Ala                         | 0.58                           | 0.78      | 0.82  | 0.96  |       |
| Asp                         | 0.87                           | 1.25      | 1.32  | 1.61  |       |
| Cys                         | 0.22                           | 0.23      | 0.25  | 0.28  |       |
| Glu                         | 2.01                           | 2.75      | 2.84  | 3.42  |       |
| Gly                         | 0.41                           | 0.57      | 0.59  | 0.71  |       |
| Pro                         | 0.67                           | 0.90      | 0.91  | 1.07  |       |
| Ser                         | 0.48                           | 0.69      | 0.70  | 0.83  |       |
| Tyr                         | 0.34                           | 0.43      | 0.49  | 0.60  |       |

<sup>1</sup>Daily feed provision in gestation was 2.0 kg/d and lactation feed was provided ad libitum.

<sup>2</sup>Provided per kg of diet: vitamin A, 10,000 IU; vitamin D₃, 1,500 IU; vitamin E, 35 IU; vitamin K, 3 mg; vitamin B₂, 4 mg; vitamin B₆, 3 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 15 µg; pantothenic acid, 10 mg; biotin, 50 µg; niacin, 20 mg; folic acid 500 µg.

<sup>3</sup>Provided per kg of diet: Fe, 75 mg from FeSO₄; Mn, 20 mg from MnSO₄; Zn, 30 mg from ZnSO₄; Cu, 55 mg from CuSO₄; Se, 100 µg from Na₂SeO₃; Co, 250 mg from CoSO₄; I, 250 µg from Ca(IO₃)₂.

### Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed by ANOVA for a completely randomized design using the GLM procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, 2004). Least squares means were calculated for each independent variable. Orthogonal polynomial contrasts were used to determine linear and quadratic effects by increasing dietary CP levels in gestation for all
measurements of sows and piglets. Individual sows and their litters were used as the experimental unit. Blood plasma data were analyzed by repeated measures ANOVA. Alpha level used for the determination of significance for all analysis was 0.05 and tendency for all analysis was p>0.05 and p<0.01.

RESULTS

One sow was removed from 11% CP treatment due to severe delivery problem and her piglets were cross-fostered to balance the number of suckling piglets. Body weight and backfat thickness of gilts in gestation and lactation did not differ among dietary treatments (Table 2). However, BW at 24 h postpartum (p = 0.09) tended to be linearly increased by increasing dietary CP level. No differences were observed in ADFI and WEI in lactating sows among dietary treatments.

No significant differences were found in litter size as well as litter and piglet weight at birth and after cross-fostering (Table 3). Linear increases on weight of litter and piglet (p<0.05) at 21 d of lactation and weight gain of litter (p<0.01) and piglet (p<0.05) were observed as dietary CP level increased.

Plasma urea nitrogen level was linearly increased at 15, 35, 70, 90 (p<0.01) and 110 d (p<0.05) of gestation by increasing dietary CP level in gestation (Table 4), and gestating gilts fed 17% CP diet had the highest PUN level at 15, 35, 70, 90, and 110 d of gestation among dietary treatments. Plasma FFA levels were increased as dietary CP level increased up to 15%, then decreased with quadratic effects at 15 d (p<0.01) and 90 d (p<0.05), and a quadratic trend at 70 d (p = 0.06) of gestation. In plasma glucose level, there were a quadratic effect at 15 d (p<0.05) and a similar trend at 70 d (p = 0.06) of gestation. Plasma values in gestation were changed over time (p<0.01). Plasma levels of FFA and glucose were the highest at 110 d of gestation whereas PUN level was the lowest. There was a day×treatment interaction (p<0.05) in PUN level in which the difference of PUN levels from 11% to 17% CP treatments decreased at 110 d of gestation. During lactation (Table 5), a linear increase at 24 h postpartum (p<0.01) but a trend of linear decrease at 21 d of lactation (p = 0.10) were observed in PUN levels by increasing dietary CP level. Quadratic trends in plasma FFA level at 24 h postpartum (p = 0.07) and in plasma glucose at 21 d of lactation (p = 0.10) were observed by increasing dietary CP level. Plasma glucose and PUN levels of lactating sows were changed over time (p<0.01). The PUN level of sows increased but plasma glucose level decreased from 24 h postpartum to 21 d of lactation. There was a day×treatment interaction (p<0.01) in PUN level in which a linear increase in PUN levels at 24 h postpartum by increasing dietary CP levels was not detected at 21 d of lactation. No differences were detected on PUN and plasma glucose levels of nursing pigs in lactation. Plasma glucose (p<0.05) and PUN (p<0.01) levels in nursing pigs were changed over time and PUN level decreased but plasma glucose level increased from birth to 21 d of lactation.

Dietary CP levels in gestation did not affect colostrum and milk compositions (Table 6). Arginine content in colostrum (Table 7) showed a linear decrease (p = 0.06) as

| Item                        | Protein level in gestation (%) | SEM1 | p-value   |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------|-----------|
|                             | 11.44 | 12.93 | 15.04 | 17.13 | Linear | Quadratic |
| No. of sows                 | 5     | 7     | 6     | 6     |         |          |
| BW (kg)                     |       |       |       |       |         |          |
| At mating                   | 140.5 | 139.1 | 139.7 | 139.8 | 3.00    | 0.902    | 0.813    |
| 110 d of gestation          | 201.9 | 207.1 | 204.0 | 205.3 | 3.77    | 0.694    | 0.610    |
| Gestation gain (d 0 to 110) | 61.4  | 67.9  | 64.3  | 65.5  | 2.08    | 0.377    | 0.213    |
| 24 h postpartum             | 179.6 | 189.3 | 186.7 | 189.7 | 3.40    | 0.095    | 0.338    |
| 21 d of lactation           | 174.1 | 175.2 | 177.6 | 177.8 | 5.67    | 0.611    | 0.940    |
| Lactation change (d 0 to 21) | -5.5  | -14.1 | -9.1  | -11.8 | 4.32    | 0.492    | 0.509    |
| Backfat thickness (mm)      |       |       |       |       |         |          |
| At mating                   | 20.8  | 21.5  | 19.7  | 20.5  | 1.48    | 0.694    | 0.965    |
| 110 d of gestation          | 25.2  | 27.4  | 24.6  | 27.7  | 1.86    | 0.603    | 0.821    |
| Gestation gain (d 0 to 110) | 4.4   | 5.9   | 4.9   | 7.2   | 1.31    | 0.243    | 0.786    |
| 24 h postpartum             | 22.8  | 25.4  | 22.6  | 24.6  | 1.97    | 0.762    | 0.879    |
| 21 d of lactation           | 19.2  | 20.9  | 19.9  | 22.2  | 1.72    | 0.333    | 0.881    |
| Lactation change (d 0 to 21) | -3.6  | -4.4  | -2.7  | -2.4  | 0.92    | 0.224    | 0.563    |
| Lactation feed intake (kg/d) | 3.75  | 4.11  | 4.17  | 4.08  | 0.457   | 0.626    | 0.626    |
| Weaning to estrus interval (d) | 5.2   | 5.1   | 5.3   | 5.0   | 0.42    | 0.836    | 0.746    |

1 Standard error of the mean.
Table 3. Effect of CP levels in gestation diets on litter size and litter performance of primiparous sows

| Item                                      | Protein level in gestation (%) | SEM<sup>1</sup> | p-value          |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                           | 11.44 | 12.93 | 15.04 | 17.13 | Linear | Quadratic |
| No. of sows                               | 5     | 7     | 6     | 6     |         |           |
| Litter size, no. of piglets               |       |       |       |       |         |           |
| Total born                                | 12.20 | 11.86 | 12.00 | 10.50 | 0.806   | 0.199    | 0.482 |
| Stillbirth                                 | 0.40  | 0.29  | 0.67  | 0.00  | 0.259   | 0.502    | 0.300 |
| Mummified                                 | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | -       | -        | -     |
| Born alive                                | 11.80 | 11.57 | 11.33 | 10.50 | 0.838   | 0.299    | 0.722 |
| After cross-fostering                     | 11.60 | 11.43 | 11.50 | 11.50 | 0.223   | 0.827    | 0.706 |
| Weaning pigs (d 21)                       | 11.00 | 11.14 | 11.33 | 11.17 | 0.243   | 0.547    | 0.533 |
| Preweaning mortality (%)                  | 5.00  | 2.38  | 1.52  | 2.90  | 1.723   | 0.381    | 0.260 |
| Litter weight (kg)                         |       |       |       |       |         |           |       |
| At birth                                  | 16.20 | 15.46 | 16.13 | 13.63 | 1.121   | 0.193    | 0.445 |
| After cross-fostering                     | 14.58 | 14.91 | 15.52 | 15.22 | 0.764   | 0.486    | 0.683 |
| 21 d of lactation                         | 46.72 | 56.40 | 51.87 | 58.98 | 2.498   | 0.011    | 0.614 |
| Litter weight gain (d 0 to 21, kg/d)       | 1.54  | 1.96  | 1.72  | 2.10  | 0.108   | 0.008    | 0.874 |
| Piglet weight (kg)                         |       |       |       |       |         |           |       |
| At birth                                  | 1.29  | 1.33  | 1.35  | 1.33  | 0.067   | 0.651    | 0.656 |
| After cross-fostering                     | 1.27  | 1.33  | 1.35  | 1.33  | 0.067   | 0.482    | 0.593 |
| 21 d of lactation                         | 4.25  | 5.06  | 4.60  | 5.31  | 0.257   | 0.032    | 0.844 |
| Piglet weight gain (d 0 to 21, kg/d)       | 0.14  | 0.18  | 0.16  | 0.19  | 0.011   | 0.035    | 0.952 |

<sup>1</sup> Standard error of mean.

dietary CP level increased. Histidine (p<0.05) content decreased as dietary CP level increased up to 15%, then increased with a quadratic effect. Isoleucine (p<0.05) and lysine content tended to decrease as dietary CP level

Table 4. Effect of CP levels in gestation diets on blood profiles of gilts in gestation<sup>1</sup>

| Item                                      | Protein level in gestation (%) | SEM<sup>2</sup> | p-value          |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                           | 11.44 | 12.93 | 15.04 | 17.13 | Linear | Quadratic |
| Plasma urea nitrogen (g/dL)<sup>3,4</sup> |       |       |       |       |         |           |
| At mating<sup>5</sup>                      | 10.9  | 10.9  | 10.9  | 10.9  | -      | -        |           |
| 15 d                                      | 9.2   | 10.3  | 12.2  | 15.1  | 0.71   | <0.001   | 0.225 |
| 35 d                                      | 8.6   | 10.2  | 12.0  | 16.0  | 0.76   | <0.001   | 0.130 |
| 70 d                                      | 7.0   | 9.8   | 11.8  | 15.6  | 0.68   | <0.001   | 0.447 |
| 90 d                                      | 6.2   | 7.7   | 10.1  | 13.1  | 0.42   | <0.001   | 0.113 |
| 110 d                                     | 8.2   | 7.8   | 10.0  | 11.0  | 1.02   | 0.031    | 0.510 |
| Plasma free fatty acids (µEq/L)<sup>3</sup>|       |       |       |       |         |           |       |
| At mating                                  | 69.6  | 69.6  | 69.6  | 69.6  | -      | -        | -     |
| 15 d                                      | 33.4  | 42.4  | 45.8  | 29.0  | 4.10   | 0.600    | 0.006 |
| 35 d                                      | 29.6  | 31.8  | 33.2  | 26.0  | 3.16   | 0.515    | 0.156 |
| 70 d                                      | 29.4  | 34.4  | 36.8  | 27.4  | 3.56   | 0.824    | 0.061 |
| 90 d                                      | 32.2  | 26.8  | 68.2  | 34.2  | 6.26   | 0.110    | 0.037 |
| 110 d                                     | 108.0 | 127.4 | 132.6 | 85.5  | 6.06   | 0.356    | 0.794 |
| Plasma glucose (mg/dL)<sup>3</sup>        |       |       |       |       |         |           |       |
| At mating                                  | 73.0  | 73.0  | 73.0  | 73.0  | -      | -        | -     |
| 15 d                                      | 61.0  | 68.4  | 68.2  | 63.2  | 2.92   | 0.631    | 0.050 |
| 35 d                                      | 63.4  | 70.6  | 67.6  | 58.4  | 4.84   | 0.418    | 0.110 |
| 70 d                                      | 70.2  | 64.4  | 54.2  | 65.6  | 4.19   | 0.218    | 0.057 |
| 90 d                                      | 67.0  | 61.6  | 69.4  | 64.6  | 3.52   | 0.970    | 0.933 |
| 110 d                                     | 78.4  | 77.6  | 73.2  | 76.4  | 6.06   | 0.706    | 0.746 |

<sup>1</sup> Least squares means of 5 observations per treatment.  
<sup>2</sup> Standard error of mean.  
<sup>3</sup> Day effect (p<0.01; repeated measures ANOVA).  
<sup>4</sup> Day×treatment interaction (p<0.05; repeated measures ANOVA).  
<sup>5</sup> Blood samples (n = 11) were randomly taken from gilts immediately before mating.
increased up to 13% (p = 0.09), then increased with quadratic effects. With a quadratic effect (p < 0.05) the highest cysteine concentration was observed when gilts were fed the 15% CP diet in gestation than the other treatment diets. Glycine (p < 0.05) content increased as dietary CP level increased up to 13%. Quadratic increases were observed with trends in serine (p = 0.06) and tyrosine (p = 0.08) contents as dietary CP level increased up to 15%. In milk (Table 8), linear decreases were observed with trends in serine (p < 0.05) and proline (p = 0.07) content increased linearly by increasing CP levels.

### DISCUSSION

Effect of high protein intake in gestation has been reported to increase milk production and BW at farrowing, and improve subsequent reproductive performance of gilts (Belstra et al., 1998; Mahan, 1998; Kusina et al., 1999b). In the current study, BW of gilts at farrowing tended to be linearly increased by increasing CP level. Previous studies consistently reported high protein intake in gestation increased sow BW at farrowing, and weight gain in

### Table 5. Effect of CP levels in gestation diets on blood profiles of primiparous sows and their progeny in lactation

| Item                              | Protein level in gestation (%) | SEM²         | p-value       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
|                                  | 11.44                        | 12.93        | 15.04         | 17.13         | Linear | Quadratic |
| Sows                             |                               |              |               |               |        |           |
| Plasma urea nitrogen (g/dL)³,⁵    |                               |              |               |               |        |           |
| 24 h postpartum                  | 8.8                          | 11.7         | 13.8          | 14.6          | 0.96   | 0.001     | 0.294     |
| 21 d of lactation                | 15.8                         | 15.8         | 15.9          | 14.4          | 0.97   | 0.095     | 0.783     |
| Plasma free fatty acids (μEq/L)  |                               |              |               |               |        |           |
| 24 h postpartum                  | 333.5                        | 187.6        | 127.0         | 229.6         | 60.21  | 0.254     | 0.068     |
| 21 d of lactation                | 164.6                        | 335.8        | 200.8         | 163.5         | 68.30  | 0.625     | 0.194     |
| Plasma glucose (mg/dL)¹          |                               |              |               |               |        |           |
| 24 h postpartum                  | 97.8                         | 89.0         | 99.0          | 92.2          | 6.04   | 0.804     | 0.871     |
| 21 d of lactation                | 83.6                         | 87.6         | 85.0          | 79.2          | 2.78   | 0.222     | 0.097     |
| Nursing pigs                     |                               |              |               |               |        |           |
| Plasma urea nitrogen (g/dL)³      |                               |              |               |               |        |           |
| At birth                         | 16.4                         | 19.1         | 14.5          | 19.1          | 2.32   | 0.724     | 0.684     |
| 21 d of lactation                | 10.2                         | 7.9          | 7.6           | 9.7           | 1.65   | 0.833     | 0.206     |
| Plasma glucose (mg/dL)¹          |                               |              |               |               |        |           |
| At birth                         | 97.6                         | 98.0         | 92.0          | 96.0          | 13.13  | 0.856     | 0.893     |
| 21 d of lactation                | 116.8                        | 118.6        | 105.8         | 115.0         | 4.77   | 0.407     | 0.450     |

1 Least squares means of 5 observations per treatment. ² Standard error of mean. ³ Day effect (p < 0.01); repeated measures ANOVA. ⁴ Day effect (p < 0.05; repeated measures ANOVA). ⁵ Day × treatment interaction (p < 0.01; repeated measures ANOVA).

### Table 6. Effect of CP levels in gestation diets on milk composition of primiparous sows

| Item                              | Protein level in gestation (%) | SEM²         | p-value       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
|                                  | 11.44                        | 12.93        | 15.04         | 17.13         | Linear | Quadratic |
| Colostrum at 24 h postpartum (%) |                               |              |               |               |        |           |
| Fat                              | 8.78                         | 6.99         | 7.15          | 7.32          | 0.869  | 0.104     | 0.138     |
| Protein                          | 8.01                         | 8.64         | 7.79          | 7.41          | 1.145  | 0.611     | 0.664     |
| Lactose                          | 3.80                         | 3.89         | 4.03          | 4.04          | 0.227  | 0.415     | 0.862     |
| Total solids                     | 25.68                        | 22.86        | 22.05         | 21.84         | 1.896  | 0.165     | 0.523     |
| Solids not fat                   | 11.58                        | 12.30        | 11.75         | 11.36         | 0.813  | 0.743     | 0.501     |
| Milk at 21 d of lactation (%)    |                               |              |               |               |        |           |
| Fat                              | 6.77                         | 6.97         | 6.76          | 6.69          | 0.400  | 0.808     | 0.744     |
| Protein                          | 4.85                         | 4.64         | 4.78          | 4.83          | 0.121  | 0.871     | 0.304     |
| Lactose                          | 5.40                         | 5.59         | 5.52          | 5.58          | 0.065  | 0.117     | 0.301     |
| Total solids                     | 19.13                        | 19.22        | 19.05         | 19.19         | 0.450  | 0.995     | 0.955     |
| Solids not fat                   | 10.31                        | 10.21        | 10.27         | 10.39         | 0.120  | 0.578     | 0.387     |

1 Least squares means of 5 observations per treatment. ² Standard error of mean.
gestation (Mahan and Mangan, 1975; Heo et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2011), indicating that increasing protein intake in gestation could increase body reserves with protein deposition (Mahan, 1998; Kusina et al., 1999b). Similarly, Jones and Maxwell (1982) reported a quadratic increase of daily weight gain of gilts by increasing protein intake in gestation even though protein intake did not affect BW of gilts. Therefore, the increase of protein intake in gestation could increase body protein reserves of gestating gilts through the slight increase of BW.

### Table 7. Effect of CP levels in gestation diets on amino acid composition (g/100 g milk protein) in colostrum of primiparous sows

| Item   | 11.44 | 12.93 | 15.04 | 17.13 | SEM\(^2\) | p-value \(\text{Linear}\) | p-value \(\text{Quadratic}\) |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|------------------|
| Essential amino acids |       |       |       |       |          |                 |                  |
| Arg    | 4.94  | 4.91  | 4.85  | 4.70  | 0.080    | 0.057          | 0.461            |
| His    | 2.72  | 2.63  | 2.60  | 2.73  | 0.040    | 0.958          | 0.021            |
| Ile    | 3.68  | 3.49  | 3.51  | 3.70  | 0.071    | 0.778          | 0.023            |
| Leu    | 9.13  | 9.27  | 9.10  | 9.26  | 0.135    | 0.710          | 0.954            |
| Lys    | 7.24  | 7.09  | 7.12  | 7.37  | 0.108    | 0.428          | 0.093            |
| Met    | 2.15  | 1.90  | 2.03  | 1.95  | 0.078    | 0.239          | 0.284            |
| Phe    | 4.20  | 4.24  | 4.25  | 4.18  | 0.080    | 0.932          | 0.493            |
| Thr    | 5.20  | 5.41  | 5.48  | 5.19  | 0.172    | 0.941          | 0.177            |
| Val    | 6.72  | 6.77  | 6.67  | 6.69  | 0.193    | 0.846          | 0.945            |
| Non-essential amino acids |       |       |       |       |          |                 |                  |
| Ala    | 4.08  | 4.14  | 4.14  | 4.14  | 0.050    | 0.362          | 0.552            |
| Asp    | 8.27  | 8.09  | 8.12  | 8.15  | 0.121    | 0.582          | 0.412            |
| Cys    | 1.74  | 1.77  | 1.83  | 1.53  | 0.054    | 0.040          | 0.011            |
| Glu    | 17.67 | 17.41 | 17.38 | 18.19 | 0.482    | 0.503          | 0.289            |
| Gly    | 3.11  | 3.29  | 3.25  | 3.05  | 0.083    | 0.586          | 0.047            |
| Pro    | 8.93  | 9.10  | 8.89  | 9.13  | 0.143    | 0.569          | 0.840            |
| Ser    | 5.78  | 5.97  | 6.05  | 5.70  | 0.125    | 0.811          | 0.059            |
| Tyr    | 4.49  | 4.54  | 4.74  | 4.33  | 0.121    | 0.657          | 0.080            |

\(1\) Least squares means of 5 observations per treatment. \(2\) Standard error of mean.

### Table 8. Effect of CP levels in gestation diets on amino acid composition (g/100 g milk protein) in milk of primiparous sows at 21 d of lactation

| Item   | 11.44 | 12.93 | 15.04 | 17.13 | SEM\(^2\) | p-value \(\text{Linear}\) | p-value \(\text{Quadratic}\) |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|------------------|
| Essential amino acids |       |       |       |       |          |                 |                  |
| Arg    | 4.59  | 4.64  | 4.52  | 4.43  | 0.040    | 0.009          | 0.145            |
| His    | 2.67  | 2.72  | 2.69  | 2.67  | 0.038    | 0.944          | 0.379            |
| Ile    | 3.93  | 3.93  | 3.94  | 3.84  | 0.051    | 0.307          | 0.377            |
| Leu    | 8.56  | 8.36  | 8.51  | 8.61  | 0.097    | 0.492          | 0.153            |
| Lys    | 7.58  | 7.55  | 7.59  | 7.50  | 0.059    | 0.490          | 0.642            |
| Met    | 2.54  | 2.02  | 2.22  | 1.84  | 0.101    | 0.002          | 0.505            |
| Phe    | 3.84  | 3.78  | 3.80  | 3.84  | 0.038    | 0.847          | 0.188            |
| Thr    | 4.26  | 4.13  | 4.23  | 4.23  | 0.040    | 0.751          | 0.119            |
| Val    | 5.89  | 5.99  | 5.96  | 5.94  | 0.048    | 0.538          | 0.229            |
| Non-essential amino acids |       |       |       |       |          |                 |                  |
| Ala    | 3.51  | 3.53  | 3.56  | 3.52  | 0.055    | 0.811          | 0.697            |
| Asp    | 8.11  | 8.06  | 8.03  | 7.96  | 0.067    | 0.151          | 0.836            |
| Cys    | 1.56  | 1.46  | 1.50  | 1.24  | 0.071    | 0.019          | 0.297            |
| Glu    | 20.42 | 20.91 | 20.72 | 21.13 | 0.139    | 0.012          | 0.751            |
| Gly    | 2.96  | 3.08  | 2.98  | 3.07  | 0.067    | 0.491          | 0.837            |
| Pro    | 10.47 | 10.84 | 10.58 | 11.09 | 0.174    | 0.071          | 0.690            |
| Ser    | 5.15  | 5.04  | 5.19  | 5.15  | 0.041    | 0.419          | 0.393            |
| Tyr    | 3.98  | 3.98  | 3.99  | 3.90  | 0.081    | 0.575          | 0.637            |

\(1\) Least squares means of 5 observations per treatment. \(2\) Standard error of mean.
When gestating gilts were fed 11% CP diet, the lowest BW change was observed numerically in overall lactation among dietary treatments. Heo et al. (2008) reported a high protein intake in gestation and lactation reduced sow BW loss in lactation. However, in this study, the sows consumed the same lactation diet during lactation. Thus, this observation might be associated with ADFI of sows and litter growth in lactation. Even though ADFI of sows in lactation did not differ by dietary CP levels, the lowest litter performance was observed when gilts were fed 11% CP diet in gestation. Therefore, it is likely that low piglet growth in 11% CP treatment with similar feed intake may result in lower maternal BW loss compared with the other treatments.

Litter size and WEI were not affected by dietary treatments in the current study, which agreed with previous studies. Tydlitat et al. (2008) reported increasing protein level in gestation diets did not affect total number born but resulted in decreased total number of born alive and increased stillborn piglet. Shields et al. (1985) also reported similar results in which litter size was not affected by dietary protein level in gestation. Concerning WEI, Greenhalgh et al. (1977) observed a longer WEI in sows fed low protein diet for gestation (9% CP) and lactation (13% CP) compared with those fed high protein diet for gestation (11% or 13% CP) and lactation (17% CP). The WEI could be delayed due to low plasma insulin concentration in lactation, and high glucose but low FFA concentrations in plasma of weaning sows (Armstrong et al., 1986) as well as high maternal protein loss during lactation (Clowes et al., 2003), resulting in a reduced subsequent reproductive performance. In this study, plasma glucose and FFA concentrations of sows at weaning had no considerable differences among dietary treatments, indicating that dietary protein level did not affect WEI.

In the current study, piglet birth weight was not affected by dietary CP level, which agreed with Tydlitat et al. (2008) who reported mean birth weight of piglets did not differ by dietary protein levels in gestation. Even though low CP level (11%) in gestation diet showed no negative effects on litter and piglet birth weight in this study, litter growth was the lowest among dietary treatments, which agreed with the previous work of Mahan (1998) who reported high dietary CP levels with high feed intake in gestation increased litter weight and gain, more in primiparous sows than old sows. Based on the results of the current study, 11% CP level in the gestation diet under equal lysine content was not enough to maximize litter growth which may be attributed to lack of the other essential amino acids available in the diet compared with the other diets. Insufficient amino acid content in gestation diets caused failure to normal litter growth in lactation, because of inadequate body protein reserves to produce milk, limitation of mammary gland development and damage to fetuses during gestation (Kusina et al., 1999a). Therefore, high CP level had a positive influence on litter growth but 11% CP level in gestation diet was not sufficient for gestating gilts to maximize piglet growth.

The PUN level in gestation increased linearly by increasing CP level, which agreed with Kusina et al. (1999b) who reported that PUN level increased by increasing protein content in gestation diets. The PUN is a good indicator of protein utilization in animal body and low concentration of blood urea may be derived from reduced availability of ammonia caused by enhanced protein synthesis and reduced amino acid oxidation (Wu and Morris, 1998). In this study, with equal lysine content 11% CP diet showed the lowest PUN level in gestation, meaning that protein utilization was the highest among dietary treatments. Additionally, the reduced patterns of PUN levels as gestation progressed indicated protein utilization increased in late gestation. The PUN is affected by several factors such as genders, feeding methods, protein level and quality (Eggum, 1970). A partial replacement of protein sources by animal- or plant-based protein ingredients had no effect on PUN concentration in pigs (Sun et al., 2009) whereas protein level in the diet had a positive correlation with PUN concentration in pigs (Eggum, 1970). In this study, dietary protein level was adjusted by cereal grains (soybean meal and corn gluten meal), and gilts fed high protein diets in gestation showed high PUN level throughout gestation with linear increases by dietary protein levels, which agreed with these previous studies. On the other hand, plasma values of sows at 21 d of lactation were not significantly different among dietary treatments because lactating sows were fed the same diet ad libitum and lactation feed intake was not different among dietary treatments. In addition, PUN and plasma glucose level of suckling pigs were not affected by protein levels in gestation diets, which resulted from similar milk composition among treatments. These results indicated that there were no major effects on blood profiles of lactating sows and their progeny by increasing protein level in gestation diets, which was in agreement with Heo et al. (2008).

In this study, plasma FFA levels were the highest at 110 d of gestation and the gestating gilts fed 15% CP diet showed the highest plasma FFA level in gestation with quadratic responses. The FFA levels were represented for satisfying energy demands and reflected fat mobilization from body reserves (Chilliard, 1993). Belstra et al. (1998) reported that plasma FFA level in gestation reached a peak level when energy needs for sows were the greatest, and that it reflected body fat mobilization. These results might indicate the energetic requirement of sows during gestation was the highest in late gestation and increased as dietary CP
level increased up to 15% for mammary gland development, and thereby those sows could have high litter growth in lactation. However, there are a few evidences about the effect of dietary protein level on blood FFA of sows, thus further study is needed to demonstrate this effect clearly.

In the current study, dietary CP levels in gestation diets did not affect proximate composition of colostrum and milk. In contrast, it has been reported that high protein intake in gestation increased protein content in colostrum (Heo et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2011). In this study, quadratic effects on histidine, isoleucine, cysteine, glycine, and with tendencies lysine, serine and tyrosine content in colostrum and linear effects on arginine, methionine, cysteine, glutamic acid, and with a tendency proline in milk were observed as dietary CP level increased in gestation but the results were variable by dietary CP level. Elliott et al. (1971) reported that milk composition was not affected by dietary factors, especially protein but essential amino acids in colostrum of sows fed low CP diet were the lowest and increased by increasing dietary protein level. Consequently, the litter growth was linearly increased by increasing dietary CP levels. Based on these results, increased litter growth by increasing CP levels in gestation diets might be explained by increase of milk yield, not improvement of milk composition. Further study is needed to clearly demonstrate a possible correlation between protein level in gestation and milk production and quality.

**IMPLICATIONS**

Several effects of increasing protein levels in gestation diets were observed in reproductive performance and blood parameters even though lysine content was similar between dietary treatments: i) High CP content in gestation diets for gilts had positive effects on sow body reserves. ii) Increased dietary CP level in gestation for gilts improved litter growth during lactation but did not affect litter size and litter birth weight. iii) The PUN level in gestation increased as dietary CP level increased but plasma FFA levels were the highest when 15% CP diet was provided to gestating gilts. Consequently, above 13% CP may be recommended for gestating gilts to maximize piglet growth.
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