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**Abstract**
Several studies demonstrated that chromosome anchoring to nuclear structures is involved in the organization of the interphase nucleus. The Rabl configuration, a well-studied chromosome organization in the interphase nucleus, has been deeply studied in organisms with monocentric chromosomes but just slightly touched in species with holocentric chromosomes. In the present paper, by means of the isolation and chromosomal mapping of the C₀t DNA fraction and chromatin immunoprecipitation with anti-LEM-2 antibodies, we evidenced the presence of few foci where telomeres and subtelomeric regions cluster in the aphid interphase nuclei, suggesting the occurrence of a Rabl-like chromosome configuration. The same experimental approaches also evidenced that most of the repetitive DNA of the 2 X chromosomes is located at the periphery of the nucleus, whereas the ribosomal genes, located at 1 telomere of each X chromosome, are present towards the inner portion of the nucleus, favoring their transcriptional activity.

Interphase is an important phase of the eukaryotic cell cycle, since it is during this stage that the genetic information contained in chromosomes is transcribed [Dong and Jiang, 1998; Shaw et al., 2003; Schneider and Grosschedl, 2007]. In view of this important role, the relationship between structure and function of chromatin in the nucleus has been an area of speculation since the Rabl finding more than a century ago [Rabl, 1885].

In the interphase nucleus each chromosome occupies a well-defined territory, as assessed by chromosome painting of animal chromosomes and genomic in situ hybridization in plants [for a review, see Leitch, 2000]. In particular, a detailed examination of the chromosome organization in interphase nuclei has been performed in human cells, showing that in each chromosomal territory chromatin is folded differently in order to define domains that reflect different types and/or activities of chromatin [Leitch, 2000].

The right positioning of chromosomes is favored by their anchoring to nuclear structures (such as the nuclear envelope, the nuclear lamina, and the nucleolus) by means of centromeres and/or telomeres [Gilson et al., 1993; Ostashevsky, 2002]. These attachments are important not only from a cytological point of view but also since they are involved in the regulation of gene expression so that, as a general rule, the location of genes in
proximity to telomeres or centromeres favors their silencing [Cockell and Gasser, 1999; Gasser, 2001; Santos et al., 2002].

The relevance of these nuclear structures, which contribute to the functional regulation of the genome, is demonstrated by the laminopathies. Indeed, numerous pathologies have been recently studied with regard to mutations in protein constituents of the nuclear envelope/lamina [Spector, 2003]. In the X-linked Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy (X-EDMD) disease, for instance, mutations have been identified in the gene coding for emerin, a type II integral membrane protein localized in the inner nuclear envelope [Wilson, 2000]. In particular, it has been observed that a mutated emerin gene makes cells unable to properly sequester inactive chromatin at the nuclear periphery, thereby leading to an altered gene regulation [Wilson, 2000].

Due to their functional relevance in genome regulation, several nuclear membrane proteins are highly conserved, as reported for the LEM-2 protein, a transmembrane protein containing the LEM domain, that has homologs in a wide variety of organisms (including yeast, mouse, fly, human, and the worm Caenorhabditis elegans) and is expressed in every human, mouse and C. elegans cell where it has been localized in the inner nuclear membrane [Lee et al., 2000; Brachner et al., 2005; Ulbert et al., 2006; Grund et al., 2008]. In human and C. elegans, LEM-2 interacts with lamins in vitro and requires them for its localization to the nuclear membrane [Lee et al., 2000; Brachner et al., 2005; Ulbert et al., 2006; Grund et al., 2008].

The presence of chromosome anchoring is at the basis of some peculiar chromosomal configurations that have been described in the literature [Ostashevsky, 2002]. A well-studied example is the Rabl chromosome configuration where centromeres and telomeres serve as anchors, with centromeres forming a cluster at one side of the nuclear envelope while the telomeres are located at another side [Ostashevsky, 2002].

Even if telomeres and centromeres are frequently attached to nuclear structures, whether the Rabl configuration is a conserved feature of the eukaryotic cells is still an open question. In fact, despite its occurrence in dividing tissues of several plant, yeast, and mammalian species [Comings, 1980; Sperling and Luedke, 1981; Cremer et al., 1982a, b; Funabiki et al., 1993; Dong and Jiang, 1998; Jin et al., 1998; Schubert and Shaw, 2011], the Rabl model seems not to be universal. Chung et al. [1990], for instance, used FISH to analyze the positions of telomeres in Trypanosoma brucei and showed that telomeres appeared scattered across the nucleus, suggesting that the Rabl configuration is absent. Similarly, Zea mays, Sorghum bicolor, and Arabidopsis thaliana chromosomes do not exhibit the Rabl configuration [Rawlins and Shaw, 1990; Dong and Jiang, 1998; Schubert and Shaw, 2011].

Altogether, it seems that the occurrence of the Rabl configuration is not a consequence of the genome organization; neither is it related to the genome size, because some small genomes (such as yeasts) show it whereas the small Trypanosoma genome does not [Schubert and Shaw, 2011]. Similarly, the presence of the Rabl configuration is also not related to the genome size in mammals, suggesting that other features may drive the presence of this peculiar chromosome organization [Schubert and Shaw, 2011].

Interestingly, most data about the presence of the Rabl configuration have been obtained in organisms with monocentric chromosomes whereas species with holocentric chromosomes have been just slightly investigated. Holocentric chromosomes are unusual chromosomes, since they possess a diffuse centromeric activity so that they bind to microtubules along their entire length and move broadside to the pole from the metaphase plate [Hughes-Schrader and Schrader, 1961; White, 1973; Wrensch et al., 1994]. These chromosomes, also termed holokinetic because chromatids move apart in parallel and do not form the classical V-shaped figures typical of monocentric chromosomes [Wrensch et al., 1994], have been observed in different metazoa, including some insects (such as moths and butterflies, aphids, coccids, earwigs, and triatomines), nematodes, and arachnids [Wrensch et al., 1994].

At this regard, the Rabl configuration has been studied in the holocentric chromosomes of the plant Cuscuta approximata where the analysis of the mitotic and meiotic chromosome behavior did not show any evidence of a chromosomal Rabl orientation [Guerra and García, 2004]. Similarly, Schweizer and Loidl [1987] suggested that the dispersed distribution of heterochromatin observed in holocentric chromosomes (in place of its equilocal distribution typically reported in monocentric chromosomes) should make organisms with holocentric chromosomes not dependent on the Rabl configuration, also considering that the centromere-attached Rabl configuration is impossible for holocentric chromosomes. However, the discontinuous distribution of holocentric in protista, animals, and plants suggested that holocentric chromosomes have arisen multiple times during evolution [Mandrioli and Manicardi, 2012] so that the absence of the Rabl configuration in plants could not be indicative of its absence in all species possessing...
holocentric chromosomes. Furthermore, the use of a quantitative model to study large-scale chromatin organization in different organisms suggested that a Rabl-like configuration could be possible, even if limited to telomeres, in the worm Caenorhabditis elegans that possesses holocentric chromosomes [Ostashevsky, 2002].

In view of the presence of a low chromosome number and taking into account that aphids could be particularly useful for cytogenetic studies since mitotic chromosomes can be easily obtained from their embryonic tissues, aphids can be intriguing experimental models for studying the chromosomal configuration in the nucleus of organisms possessing holocentric chromosomes. In view of recent papers showing that the chromosomal mapping of the repeated C0t DNA fraction represents a powerful, inexpensive, and not time-consuming tool to understand the genomic organization of highly repetitive sequences [Cabral-de-Mello et al., 2011], we isolated and mapped the C0t DNA fraction in 3 clones of the aphid *M. persicae*. This approach allowed us to analyze the distribution of repetitive DNAs which have been utilized as markers in order to highlight chromosome organization. Moreover, we used 2 satellite DNAs, the Hind200 satellite and the subtelomeric repeat that label the intercalary heterochromatin bands of the X chromosomes [Mandrioli et al., 1999a] and the subtelomeric regions of each autosome and a single subtelomeric region on both the X chromosomes, respectively [Spence et al., 1998], in order to evaluate their distribution in the aphid interphase nuclei. Lastly, we analysed the distribution of these 2 repetitive DNAs by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP) experiments using anti-LEM-2 antibodies, assessing that most of the repetitive DNA of the X chromosomes in *M. persicae* is located at the nucleus periphery, whereas the ribosomal genes (located at 1 telomere of the 2 X chromosomes) are present toward the inner portion of the nucleus, favoring their transcriptional activity.

**Material and Methods**

Specimens of *M. persicae* were obtained from 3 aphid strains (labeled as lav1, lav2, and lav3) collected in Reggio Emilia (Italy) on Lavanda sp. plants. Each population was established as a clone from a single female aphid originally collected from the field and thereafter maintained as a colony of parthenogenetic females on pea (*Pisum sativum*) plants at 19 °C with a light-dark regime of 16 h light and 8 h darkness.

Chromosome preparations were carried out from parthenogenetic females by spreading embryo cells. In particular, adult females were dissected in a 0.8% hypotonic solution of sodium citrate saline solution, and embryos were kept in the same solution for 30 min. Afterwards, embryos were transferred to minitubes and centrifuged at 3,000 g for 3 min. Methanol-acetic acid 3:1 was added to the pellet which was made to flow up and down for 1 min through a needle of a 1 ml hypodermic syringe to obtain disgregation of the material followed by a further centrifugation at 3,000 g for 3 min. This step was repeated with fresh fixative. Finally, the pellet was resuspended in new fixative, and 20 μl of cellular suspension were dropped onto clean slides.

DNA extraction has been performed following the phenol-chloroform protocol described in Mandrioli et al. [1999a].

The isolation of the DNA fraction enriched for highly repetitive DNA sequences (C0t DNA) was done using the protocol described by Zwick et al. [1997], including modifications later published [Ferreira and Martins, 2008]. Briefly, DNA samples (50 μl of a 500-ng/ml solution of genomic DNA in 0.3 M NaCl) were autoclaved for 3 min at 120 °C, and the fragmented DNA (consisting of fragments ranging in size from 100–800 bp) was separated by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. DNA samples were subsequently denatured at 95 °C for 10 min, placed on ice for 10 s, and transferred into a 65 °C water bath for renaturation for 3 min. Samples were subsequently incubated at 37 °C for 8 min with 1 U of S1 nuclease to permit the digestion of single-stranded DNA. The samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and the DNA was extracted using the previously reported phenol-chloroform procedure. The reported C0t protocol has been defined in order to allow the renaturation of only the highly repetitive AT-rich DNA, avoiding the presence of other repetitive DNA, such as ribosomal genes, in the C0t DNA.

The C0t DNA fraction was labeled with the random priming DIG labeling kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and used as a probe in FISH experiments, performed as described by Mandrioli et al. [2011].

Staining with 100 ng/ml propidium iodide in phosphate buffer has been carried out for 15 min at room temperature in order to evaluate the chromosome number. For each different karyotype observed, measurements of chromosome length were performed on 80 metaphase plates using the software MicroMeasure, available at the Biology Department of Colorado State University website (http://rydberg.biology.colostate.edu/MicroMeasure).

Propidium-stained and FISH slides were observed using a Zeiss Axiosplan epifluorescence microscope. Photographs of the fluorescent images were taken using a CCD camera (Spot, Digital Instrument, Madison, Wis., USA) and the Spot software supplied with the camera and processed using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, Calif., USA).

ChiP experiments with LEM-2 antibodies (Novus Biologicals, Littleton, Colo., USA) have been performed using mixed-stage aphid embryos as described by Ercan et al. [2007], including the modifications reported by Ikekagi et al. [2010] related to the use of 10 μg polyclonal anti-LEM-2 antibodies immobilized onto Protein A-conjugated sepharose beads which were subsequently incubated at 4 °C for over 12 h with the chromatin extract.

Amplification of the subtelomeric repeat has been performed using the specific oligonucleotide primers MpR-F (5′-TCAAGGTTCCTGGTTCCTCC-3′) and MpR-R (5′-GTTTAAACAGTG-GCTGG-3′), designed using the subtelomeric repeat sequence available in the literature [Spence et al., 1998]. The reaction conditions were 94 °C for 90 s (denaturation), a total of 25 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 51 °C for 30 s (annealing) and 72 °C for 30 s (extension), and a final extension step at 72 °C for 7 min.
Amplification of the Hind200 satellite DNA has been performed using the specific oligonucleotide primers Hind200-F (5′-ACGTCTCTCTAAAGACGGGTG-3′) and Hind200-R (5′-GCTTTCTTTTGACTAGAATATGG-3′), designed using the Hind200 sequence available in GenBank (AF161255). The reaction conditions were 94°C for 90 s, a total of 25 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 51°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min.

The 28S rDNA genes have been amplified using the primers F (5′-AACAAACAACCGATACGTTCCG-3′) and R (5′-CTCTGTCCGTTCACAACCGAGC-3′), designed using to the insect 28S rDNA sequences available in GenBank. Amplification was performed using a Hybaid thermal cycler at an annealing temperature of 60°C for 1 min with an extension time of 1 min at 72°C. For each primer pair, the amplification mix contained 80 ng LEM-2 immuno-precipitated DNA as template, 1 μM of each primer, 200 μM dNTPs, and 2 U of DyNAZyme II polymerase (Finnzymes Oy, Vantaa, Finland). The results of the PCR amplification have been evaluated by 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Results

The isolation and chromosomal mapping of the M. persicae AT-rich C₀t fraction revealed that highly repetitive DNA sequences were located on chromosome ends at prometaphase (figs. 1a, 2d–f) and clustered at interphase (fig. 1b). In particular, most of the AT-rich C₀t fraction labeled the nucleus periphery, whereas no signals occurred near the nucleolus, evidenced by simultaneous FISH with the GC-rich 28S rDNA probe (fig. 1b).

By combining the C₀t probe localization and the measurement of the chromosomal length, it has been possible to analyze the spatial arrangement of chromosomes during prometaphase (fig. 1a, c), revealing that the terminal portions of different non-homologous chromosomes were tightly associated in the observed foci.

The karyotypes of the clones lav1, lav2, and lav3 have been analysed by staining with propidium iodide only (fig. 2a–c) and after hybridization with the C₀t probe (fig. 2d–f). Information obtained after FISH with the C₀t fraction combined with chromosome measurements demonstrated that the clone lav1 possessed a standard karyotype consisting of 12 chromosomes, including 5 pairs of autosomes with both the telomeres labeled and 2 X chromosomes that can be easily identified since they are the longest in the complement and unique with a single labeled telomere and fluorescent intercalary bands (fig. 2d). The metaphase plates observed in clone lav2 consisted of 13 chromosomes with a fission involving a single autosome 1 (fig. 2e), whereas the lav3 clone presented 14 chromosomes due to fissions involving autosomes 1 and 4 (fig. 2f).

PCR amplification of the DNA samples obtained after ChIP with anti-LEM-2 antibodies evidenced that both the Hind200 satellite DNA (fig. 3a) and the subtelomeric DNA repeat (fig. 3b) were present in this fraction, whereas the 28S rDNA genes were absent (fig. 3c), suggesting that the 2 satellite DNAs were LEM-2-associated at the nuclear membrane whereas the rDNA genes were within the inner portion of M. persicae nuclei. ChIP results are in agreement with cytogenetic data regarding the localization of the Hind200 satellite in the M. persicae nuclei (fig. 3d), suggesting that most of the repetitive DNA of the 2 X chromosomes is associated with LEM-2 at the periphery of the nucleus (fig. 3e), whereas NOR regions are located in inner portions of the nucleus where they form the nucleolus.
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Discussion

A detailed understanding of the distribution and organization of chromatin in the nucleus is an important goal for current biosciences, since the nuclear architecture is essential for regulating several events including gene expression and chromosome pairing and recombination [Spector, 2003]. Interestingly, the architecture of the interphase nucleus follows similar rules in distantly related species so that, for instance, the nuclear envelope-associated chromatin possesses the same characteristics, such as high levels of histone H3K9 dimethylation and H3K27 trimethylation, low gene density and low gene expression [Pickersgill et al., 2006; Guelen et al., 2008; Peric-Hupkes et al., 2010], in human, mouse, and fly.

At present, several data are available about the organization of the interphase nucleus and the role of chromosome anchoring at nuclear structures to obtain the right positioning of each chromosomes in the nucleus of plant and animal species with monocentric chromosomes [Leitch, 2000; Ostashevsky, 2002]. In contrast, few studies have been focused on these aspects in organisms possessing holocentric chromosomes [Guerra and García, 2004]. Therefore, isolation and chromosomal mapping of the Cot fraction and ChIP with anti-LEM-2 antibodies have been applied in this work in order to understand the structure of the M. persicae interphase nucleus. The Cot DNA hybridization allowed us to simultaneously map the distribution of all the AT-rich highly repeated DNA sequences favoring the generation of information about the position of specific chromosomes in the nucleus. We used a short reannealing time for the Cot fraction in order to avoid the hybridization of GC-rich DNA sequences, such as ribosomal genes, thus allowing a clear-cut distinction.

Fig. 2. Propidium iodide staining (a–c) and in situ hybridization of the Cot DNA fraction on chromosomes in clones lav1 (a, d), lav2 (b, e), and lav3 (c, f) allowed the reconstruction of the karyotype in each clone. Arrows indicated the X chromosomes. Asterisks in e and f indicate chromosome fissions. Scale bar = 10 μm.
The clustering of telomeric regions has been frequently observed in the literature, also as a part of the well-studied Rabl configuration [Ostashevsky, 2002]. Organisms possessing holocentric chromosomes (with a diffuse centromere) cannot adopt a sensu stricto Rabl configuration since centromere clustering is not possible so that, as suggested by Schweizer and Loidl [1987], they may not dependent on the Rabl configuration. However, the study of chromatin organization at a large scale suggested that a telomere clustering could constitute a Rabl-like configuration involved in the nucleus organization in the holocentric chromosomes of C. elegans [Ostashevsky, 2002]. Our data as a whole suggested an anchored positioning to the nuclear lamina of M. persicae chromosomes by means of both telomeres for autosomes and a single telomere for the X chromosomes.

Interestingly, LEM-2 proteins have been used to better understand the position of the holocentric chromosomes in the C. elegans interphase nucleus, showing that the distal regions of autosomes are associated with the nuclear membrane through LEM-2 and are transcriptionally inactive, whereas the central regions are not connected with the membrane and are actively transcribed [Ikegami et al., 2010]. Similarly, genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation-on-chip analyses indicated that Src1, an inner nuclear membrane protein homologous to the vertebrate LEM-2, is highly associated with telomeres and subtelomeric regions of yeast chromosomes [Grund et al., 2008].

ChIP experiments with LEM-2 antibodies showed that both M. persicae satellite DNAs (Hind200 and the subtelomeric DNA repeat) are present in the LEM-2 immunoprecipitated genome fraction, whereas 28S rDNA genes have not been amplified by PCR using the LEM-2 immunoprecipitated DNA as a template. Taking into account that Hind200 has been reported as uniquely present on the X chromosomes [Mandrioli et al., 1999a], ChIP experiments support the results with the C0t DNA in situ hybridization, suggesting a preferential localization of a portion of the X chromosomes at the nuclear periphery. However, the absence of the 28S rDNA genes in the LEM-2 immunoprecipitated fraction suggested that the NOR-bearing portion of the aphid X chromosomes is not at the periphery but is located inside the nucleus in order to form the nucleolus where the rDNA genes have to be expressed.

LEM-2 ChIP data are also interesting in view of esterase-based pesticide resistance, well-studied in M. persicae. Indeed, it has been observed that the autosomic A1-A3 reciprocal translocation enhances the expression level between the 2 X telomeres, one being AT-rich (labeled after C0t DNA hybridization) and the other consisting of GC-rich rDNA genes [Mandrioli et al., 1999a].

At the chromosome level, C0t hybridization signals mainly correspond to the interstitial heterochromatic bands of the M. persicae X chromosomes [Mandrioli et al., 1999a] and to the heterochromatic subtelomeric regions of autosomes [Spence et al., 1998]. In the aphid interphase nucleus, FISH with the M. persicae C0t probe revealed that highly repeated DNA sequences are clustered into few foci, generally located near the nuclear periphery. Similar results have been observed in the initial meiotic cells and in the interphase nuclei of other species, and they mostly correspond to clustered telomeres or telomere-associated repeated DNA sequences [Guelen et al., 2008].

Heterochromatin is presumed to be largely inactive, and it is often found either attached to or associated with the nuclear envelope as well as at internal locations in the nucleus [Leitch, 2000]. Cavalier-Smith [1978] postulated that heterochromatin may play a structural role, facilitating chromosome organization at interphase via nuclear envelope interactions. According to our data, despite the different distribution in respect to monocentric chromosomes, heterochromatin may play a similar role also in organisms possessing holocentric chromosomes.

Fig. 3. PCR of Hind200 (a), the subtelomeric repeat (b), and 28S rDNA (c) in the samples of chromatin immunoprecipitated with anti-LEM-2 antibodies (LEM) and in control DNA samples (C). Combining the in situ hybridization results with Hind200 (a–c) and those obtained from ChIP (d–f), the 2 X chromosomes are present at the nuclear periphery with several LEM-2 domains attached to the nuclear lamina, as schematized in e. M = Molecular weight marker.
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of the E4 esterase genes so that aphids with this rearrangement produce a greater amount of the enzyme, thereby becoming resistant to a range of insecticides, i.e. organophosphates, carbamates, and pyrethroids [Blackman et al., 1978; Foster et al., 2007; Loxdale, 2009]. This effect is very interesting, considering that the E4 array is generally located near the subtelomeric region of the \textit{M. persicae} autosome 3 which contains the tandem repetition of the subtelomeric satellite DNA [Blackman et al., 1978], and as a consequence of the translocation on autosome 1, the esterase genes are distant from chromosomal regions associated with the LEM-2 protein. Considering that chromosomal associations with the nuclear membrane influence the epigenomic characteristics of a DNA trait [Reddy et al., 2008], the repositioning of the esterase genes far from lamina-interacting regions could increase their expression level.

Previous analyses reported a clear-cut structural difference between the 2 telomeres of the X chromosome due to the absence of telomere-associated repeated sequences, such as satellite DNAs and TRAS retrotransposable elements, at the NOR telomeres [Spence et al., 1998; Monti et al., 2013]. This structural difference has been previously related to the need of favoring rDNA genes pairing which is involved in the reduction of the number of X chromosomes at the basis of the male determination in aphids [Mandrioli et al., 1999b]. LEM-2 data suggest that the observed structural difference could be also related to the spatial organization of the X chromosomes in the interphase nucleus. The clear-cut structural difference between the 2 telomeres could indeed permit their different positioning in the nucleus related to their different transcriptional activity.

According to literature data [Cremer et al., 2001], large chromosomes were frequently located toward the nuclear periphery, whereas small chromosomes were located toward the center of the nuclear projection. Interestingly, the same data suggested that chromosomes at the nuclear periphery were generally gene-poor so that also small chromosomes can be arranged at the periphery if containing few genes [Cremer et al., 2001]. On the basis of this assumption, our data suggest that aphid X chromosomes could be poor of genes despite their large dimension that makes them the largest chromosomes in the aphid complement. This hypothesis is supported not only by the large portion of the X chromosomes that appears to be heterochromatic [Mandrioli, 1999b] but also by estimations performed in the pea aphid \textit{Acyrthosiphon pisum} suggesting that less than 12% of the annotated aphid genes are X-linked and that autosomes have a greater gene density than the X chromosome [Bickel et al., 2013].

According to previous data on the karyotype [Monti et al., 2012a, b], \textit{M. persicae} chromosomes are frequently involved in rearrangements at their terminal portions. Therefore, it could be interesting to verify if the presence of telomere clustering could prefer the illegitimate end-joining of non-homologous chromosomes favored by the presence of several compounds with clastogenic effects (such as nicotine) in the aphid diet.
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