Epithelial Dysplasia in Oral Mucosa and its Association with Betel Quid Chewing
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Abstract

Objectives: Current study was performed to determine the frequency of epithelial dysplasia in pan chewers and to calculate association between the quantity and quality of pan chewing and epithelial dysplasia and its grades.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional, analytical study. Cytological smears from 300 subjects were collected. After fixation with alcohol, smears were then air dried and stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin, Giemsa and Pap’s stain. The material was then examined under an optical microscope. Epithelial dysplasia was identified and graded using the method of Speight.

Results: Epithelial dysplasia was observed in 57.7% of the habitual pan chewers which was significantly associated with number of pans consumed per day as well as duration of pan consumption. These were variables were positively correlated with grading of epithelial dysplasia.

Conclusions: The study concludes that epithelial dysplasia was common among chronic pan chewers in Lahore city. Significant association and correlation was found between epithelial dysplasia and number of pans consumed per day as well as duration of pan consumption.
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Introduction

Pan, also called Betel quid, consists of the betel leaf from the Piper betle, with a mixture of areca nut, and slaked lime (calcium hydroxide) [1]. In Pakistan, pan is made by smearing a betel leaf with slaked lime (choona), catechu paste (katha), chopped areca nut, a variety of condiments, with or without sweeteners and flavors [2]. Approximately 600 million people around the world use areca nut in some form, often mixed in condiments, with or without sweeteners and flavors [2].

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced in substantial amounts in oral cavity during chewing of betel quid/ betel nut [4]. These ROS are detrimental to the oral mucosa as they are reported to be directly involved in initiating the tumorigenesis, either by inducing mutations, or by making the oral mucosa vulnerable to environmental toxicants [5]. Areca nut and betel quid, without tobacco, have been declared by International Association for Research on Cancer (IARC) as Group 1 carcinogens to humans and a cause of oral cancer [6].

The morphological forerunner of an oral cancer is epithelial dysplasia which is defined as ‘a pre-cancerous lesion of stratified squamous epithelium characterized by cellular atypia and loss of normal maturation and stratification short of carcinoma in situ’ [7]. There is no specific clinical appearance for oral epithelial dysplasia but lesions such as leukoplakia and erythroplakia are associated with dysplastic changes [8]. Therefore, only cytological examination can reveal the epithelial dysplasia and predict the future oral cancer in high risk populations [9]. Current study was performed to determine the frequency of epithelial dysplasia in pan chewers and to calculate association between the quantity and quality of pan chewing and epithelial dysplasia and its grades.

Materials and Methods

This was a cross-sectional, analytical study. It was conducted in the department of Morbid Anatomy and Histopathology at the University of Health Sciences, Lahore during April 2011 and December 2012 after approval of Ethics Review Committee of the institution. Cytological smears from 300 subjects were collected from Punjab Dental Hospital; Mayo hospital Lahore and different pan shops within Lahore city. The samples were collected from subjects on basis of non-probability convenience sampling, due to their convenient accessibility and proximity to the researcher. Chronic pan chewers of all ages (5 or >5 years duration) and both genders were included in the study after their written consent. Occasional pan chewers and inadequate smears were excluded. Socio-demographic information (name, age, sex, full address, history of other risky habits, average number of pan consumption/day and type of pan etc) was obtained along with relevant clinical details. For the purpose of categorizing, 1–5 pan consumed per day was taken as ‘light’, 6–10 pan consumed per day was considered ‘moderate’ and more than 10 pan consumed per day was taken as ‘heavy’ pan chewing. All the information was collected on a specially designed proforma and recorded.

Before taking smears, every subject was asked to rinse the oral cavity. Scrapings were then taken from oral mucosa with a wooden spatula and carefully transferred onto a glass slide for fixation. The
contents of the slide were fixed by keeping the slide in a fixative solution for 30 seconds. 100 millimeters fixative solution consisted of 30 parts of ether into 70 parts of ethyl alcohol. After fixation, slides were then air dried. All the slides were stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin, Giemsa and Pap's stain. The material was then examined under an optical microscope. Epithelial dysplasia was identified and graded using the method mentioned by Speight et al. [10].

Results

In this study, 300 betel quid chewers having mean age 32.28 ± 0.59 (Range: 17–70) years and male to female ratio 19:1 were included. Epithelial dysplasia was ascertained on the basis of cytomorphological features as mentioned in Materials and Methods. Oral epithelial dysplasia was observed in 57.7% of the habitual pan chewers. Mild dysplasia was found in 22% cases as shown in Figure 1. Moderate dysplasia was found in 25% cases as shown in Figure 2. Severe or high grade dysplasia was found in 11% of cases as shown in Figure 3. The subjects who were diagnosed to have epithelial dysplasia were compared with those who were diagnosed to have no epithelial dysplasia in terms of quantitative and qualitative parameters pertaining to betel quid.
Table 1 shows the frequency association between the numbers of ‘pan’ consumed per day with the development of dysplasia. After checking homoscedasticity with F-test, 2-sample t-test was applied which showed that the proportion (72.1%) of heavy ‘pan’ consumers developing oral mucosal dysplasia was significantly (p value=0.001) higher than the proportion (47.1%) of light ‘pan’ consumers. Another important association was observed between the frequency of squamous epithelial dysplasia and the duration of pan chewing. In the group of subjects using pan for more than 10 years, the frequency of epithelial dysplasia was 79.6% as compared to 42.3% among the group of subjects using pan for less than 10 years. This difference was statistically significant (p value=0.0001) when a 2-sample t-test was applied proceeded by F-test for homoscedasticity. Thus, H0 was rejected in the above mentioned scenario. The comparison between pans containing tobacco versus pan free of tobacco was done. The proportion (45.2%) of subjects using tobacco containing pan was compared with the proportion (33.3%) of subjects using tobacco free pan with a 2-sample t-test which showed no statistical difference of frequency of epithelial dysplasia between the two groups (p = 0.730) and H0 was accepted in this case. So, pan was found as an independent risk factor of the development of phenotypic epithelial dysplasia.

Table 1: Associations between epithelial dysplasia and pan consumption

| Parameters                                | Epithelial dysplasia | p value |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
|                                           | Present | Absent |       |
| Number of pans chewed per day             |         |        | 0.001 |
| 1 – 5 (n=157)                             | 47.1%   | 52.9%  |       |
| 6 – 10 (n=100)                            | 62.0%   | 38.0%  |       |
| > 10 (n=43)                               | 72.1%   | 27.9%  |       |
| Duration of pan chewing                   |         |        | 0.0001|
| < 10 years (n=177)                        | 42.4%   | 57.6%  |       |
| ≥ 10 years (n=123)                        | 79.7%   | 20.3%  |       |
| Type of pan                               |         |        | 0.730 |
| With tobacco (n=115)                      | 45.2%   | 54.8%  |       |
| Without tobacco (n=9)                     | 33.3%   | 66.7%  |       |

Correlation was determined between the severity (grades) of oral mucosal dysplasia and pan consumption using r (rho=0) as null hypothesis. Grades of epithelial dysplasia were found to have significant positive correlation with number of pans consumed per day (r=0.184, p=0.001) and duration of pan chewing (r=0.304, p=0.0001) as shown in Table 2.

Discussion

In the present study, early morphological changes within buccal mucosa of habitual pan chewers have been described. A total of 300 habitual pan chewers with a male preponderance from Lahore City were included in the study, however, the dysplastic changes in mucosa were not affected by gender or other demographic features. Male preponderance was due to the fact that pan chewers were collected from various pan shops and due to religious and socio-cultural reasons, females avoid coming and buy pan at pan shops which are mostly run by males. Secondly, males are more commonly found to be pan chewers. Cytological features of epithelial cell dysplasia along with its grade were observed which were then related with various parameters of pan consumptions like number of pan consumed per day and total duration of pan chewing to determine the dose-response relationship between pan chewing and oral epithelial dysplasia.

Table 2: Correlations between pan consumption and grades of epithelial dysplasia

| Variables                      | Grades of Epithelial Dysplasia |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Duration of pan eating in years| Correlation Coefficient         |
|                                | p value (2-tailed)              |
| Number of pan consumed per day | N                               |
| Correlation Coefficient         | 0.304**                        |
| p value (2-tailed)              | 0.0001                         |
| N                               | 300                             |
| Number of pan consumed per day | Correlation Coefficient         |
|                                | p value (2-tailed)              |
| N                               | 300                             |

Dysplastic change is reported to be the best forecaster of future malignancy, the more severe the dysplasia, the greater the likelihood of malignant transformation [11]. In current study, oral epithelial dysplasia was observed in 57.7% of the habitual pan chewers. Among them, mild 22%, moderate 25% and 11% had severely dysplastic epithelial cells. Jaber et al. [12] conducted a study on large cohort of residents in Western Europe and reported that majority of the cases were mild or moderately dysplastic whereas severely dysplastic cases were uncommon. This was in coherence with the current study.

Pre-malignant lesions of oral cavity showed dysplastic cells characterized by nuclear enlargement with variation in size and shape of the cells and eosinophilic cytoplasm. Only a small percentage of cells showed severe dysplasia, characterized by perinuclear condensation of chromatin and abnormal mitosis [12]. Another study consisted of 250 individuals with a definite history of betel nut with smoking for duration of more than 5 years. Cytological mild dysplasia was seen in 4 whereas 2 cases showed moderate dysplasia. None of the cases showed severe dysplasia or malignant cells on cytology [13]. Tennakoon and Bartlett [14] observed that 14% of betel chewers demonstrated epithelial cell dysplasia. In another study, Chen et al. [15] collected 80 specimens of buccal mucosa from betel quid chewers. Half of all these samples were identified with epithelial dysplasia. Among these samples, 20 showed the mild dysplasia and 20 samples moderate to severe dysplasia. In a retrospective case control cohort study, oral epithelial dysplasia was identified among 4% of the cases. Among these cases, only one case depicted moderate degree of dysplasia while the remaining three showed mild dysplasia [16].

A study from India found that the average number of pan consumed per day in that population was quite high i. e; 10-15 pans/day and therefore, the risk of oral dysplasia and precancerous lesions was higher than general population [17]. Another study showed that those subjects who had consumed quid containing tobacco for more than 5 years were at a greater risk to develop dysplastic lesions as compared to those who were consuming it for less than 5 years [18]. A study from United States revealed that the use of habitual tobacco chewing is on the rise among its population. This practice therefore poses a threat of oral epithelial cell dysplasia and later on oral malignancy in susceptible individuals [19]. Wen and colleagues conducted a study that supported the findings of above mentioned studies that chewing betel quid induces local irritation and trauma in...
the oral mucosa, thus leading to chronic inflammation, oxidative stress, and cytokine production. The resultant traumatic wound therefore provides easier access to the system for carcinogens contained in betel quid [20]. They also found the genomic instability in betel quid chewers which could play a role in the malignant transformation of oral mucosa.

Conclusions

The study concludes that epithelial dysplasia was common among chronic pan chewers in Lahore city. Significant association and correlation was found between epithelial dysplasia and number of pans consumed per day as well as duration of pan consumption.
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