Myricetin Suppresses Ovarian Cancer In Vitro by Activating the p38/Sapla Signaling Pathway and Suppressing Intracellular Oxidative Stress
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INTRODUCTION

Cancer is one of the top life-threatening dangers to the human survival, accounting for over 10 million deaths per year (1). Ovarian cancer (OC), which affects about 225,000 women annually (2), is the 3rd commonest gynecological malignancy worldwide and is associated with a high mortality rate, killing about 125,000 people annually (2, 3). Current OC treatments include surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and a combination of the two. Despite advances in OC treatment strategies, its 5-year survival rate is about 40% (4). Moreover, almost all cancer chemotherapies cause significant toxicity to normal cells. Thus, there is an urgent need for new therapeutics with higher efficiency and fewer side effects.

Myricetin (3, 5, 7-trihydroxy-2-(3,4,5-trihydroxyphenyl)-4-chromenone, Myr), is a phenolic compound found in different plant-based dietary agents, including fresh fruits, vegetables, and herbs. It has nutraceutical effects and antioxidant properties. Its pharmacological activities include anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antibacterial, antiviral, liver protection, and cardioprotective effects (4–6). Recent studies show that Myr has anti-cancer cells activity and markedly low toxicity to normal...
cells. Myr is reported to enhance chemotherapy sensitivity in OC cell lines that are resistant to chemotherapeutics like paclitaxel (PTX) and doxorubicin (DOX) (7). Due to its low toxicity to normal cells, Myr has attracted attention as a potential anti-cancer agent (8–10). Myr inhibits cancer by inducing apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, nanobiovectors, immunotherapy, and antagonizing drug resistance in tumor cells (11).

The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) family regulates oxidative stress and pathophysiological processes in cells. This family comprises many subfamilies. Among them, p38 is the most important member that is involved in the regulation of various biological processes in mammalian cells, such as cell growth, differentiation, apoptosis and oxidative stress. Originally described as a tumor-suppressor kinase that inhibits RAS-dependent transformation, p38 has also been shown to function as a tumor promoter. On this basis, several inhibitors targeting p38 have been designed and tested in clinical trials for the treatment of several human malignancies, although without much success to date (12). Elucidating various aspects of p38 functions might uncover new possibilities for developing more effective p38-based therapies. The Saplap protein acts downstream of p38 and is a protein phosphatase regulatory subunit. In this study, we investigated the effect of myricetin on ovarian cancer cells, and whether its effects were mediated by p38/Sapla signaling pathway. The results of this study provide a theoretical basis for the development of myricetin-based drugs for cancer treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and Materials

Myr was purchased from Jingzhu Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (52944-2). The CCK-8 assay kit (96992-100TESTS-F) was purchased from Sigma. The BCA assay kit (P0011) and Hoechst 33258 (C1017) were purchased from Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology. Transwell chambers (3422, BD), artificial basement membrane (356234, BD), 0.5% crystal violet (60506ES60), and reactive oxygen species assay kit (50101ES01) were purchased from Yeasen Biotech Co., Ltd. LDH (lactate dehydrogenase, A020-2), MDA (malondialdehyde, A003-1) and SOD (superoxide dismutase, A001-3) detection kits were purchased from Nanjing Jiancheng Institute of Biotechnology.

Cell Culture

IOSE-80 (CP-H055), a non-tumor cell line and SKOV3 (CL-0215), an OC cell line, were purchased from Procell Life Science & Technology Co. Ltd and cultured in RPMI 1640 (SH30243.01, Hyclone) supplemented with 10% FBS (SH30084.03, Hyclone) and 1% pen/strep (P1400, Solarbio) at 37°C in a humidified incubator, 5% CO2.

CCK-8 Viability Assays Analysis

Working solutions of Myr (1×10⁻⁷, 1×10⁻⁶, 1×10⁻⁵ and 1×10⁻⁴M) were prepared in serum-free RPMI 1640 media. SKOV-3 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 5×10⁴ cells/well and cultured for 6, 12, 24, and 36 h. Next, 10μL of CCK8 were added into each well and cells incubated at 37°C for 2 h. Absorbance (A) was then read at 450nm on a microplate analyzer. Cell viability was calculated using the formula: experimental group A value/control group A value ×100%. Based on this analysis, an optimal Myr concentration range of 10-50μM, and treatment duration of 24 h were selected for subsequent experiments. Next, IOSE-80 and SKOV3 cells were treated with Myr at 0, 10, 20 and 40 μM for 24 h and subjected to CCK8 viability assays as described in section 2.3. The experiment was repeated for three times independently.

Analysis of SKOV3 Cell Morphology

Cells were seeded in 6-well plates at 1×10⁶ cells/well and cultured for 24 h. They were then treated with different concentrations of Myr and divided into control group (0μM Myr) and experimental group (10, 20 and 40 μM Myr) for 24 h, followed by morphological examination imaging under an inverted microscope.

Detection of ROS Levels in SKOV3 Cells

A ROS detection kit was used to determine intracellular ROS levels in each treatment group. Briefly, cells were seeded in 6-well plates at 1×10⁶ cells/well and cultured for 24 h before treatment with Myr for 24 h. Media was then replaced with 1ml of 10μM DCFH-DA and the cells incubated at 37°C for 30 min, followed by imaging on a fluorescence microscope. The experiment was repeated for three times independently.

Detection of MDA, SOD, and LDH Levels in SKOV3 Cells

Cells were seeded in 6-well plates at 1×10⁶ cells/well and allowed to adhere. They were then treated with Myr for 24 h. The culture medium was collected for measurement of MDA, SOD, and LDH levels using the thiobarbituric acid method, xanthine oxidase method, and dinitrophenylhydrazine colorimetric method, respectively, following instructions on respective kits. The experiment was repeated for three times independently.

Cell Migration Assay

A wound-healing assay was carried out to explore the migration of SKOV3 cells. Cells were grown to 80-90% confluence in 24-well plates, and a wound created by scratching across the monolayer with a plastic pipette tip. Remaining cells were then washed thrice with PBS to clear cellular debris and incubated at 37°C in serum-free media. Migrating cells at the wound front were photographed after 24 h. The experiment was repeated for three times independently.

Transwell Migration and Invasion Assay

Cell migration assays were done on non-Matrigel coated 24-well Transwells (8μm pore size, Corning). Cell invasion assays were done on Matrigel pre-coated 24-well Transwells (8 μm pore size, Corning). 1×10⁵ cells in 500μL RPMI 1640 supplemented with 1% FBS were added into the upper chamber and 750μL RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS added into the lower chamber. After 24 h incubation, the Matrigel and the cells remaining in the
upper chamber were removed using cotton swabs. Cells on the lower surface of the membrane were then fixed with 4% PFA and stained with 0.5% crystal violet. Cells in 5 fields of view were then counted and imaged at ×100 magnification. The experiment was repeated for three times independently.

**SKOV3 Apoptosis Detection Using Hoechst 33258**

Cells were seeded on 6-well plates at 1×10^6 cells/well and allowed to adhere. They were then treated with Myr for 24 h. Subsequently, the cells were collected and washed thrice with pre-cooling phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). They were then fixed with 0.5mL 10% formaldehyde at 4°C for 10 min and stained with 0.5mL of Hoechst 33258 at room temperature for 3 min. Apoptosis morphological changes were then examined and imaged by fluorescence microscopy. The experiment was repeated for three times independently.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Cells were lysed using RIPA buffer and proteins resolved by SDS-PAGE. They were then transferred onto PVDF membranes (Millipore, USA) and blocked with 5% milk, followed by incubation with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. The membranes were washed and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature. The following antibodies were purchased from ABclonal Technology Co., Ltd (Wuhan, China): p38 (A14401, 1:1000), p-p38 (A17080, 1:800), Sapla (PPP6R3, A17177, 1:800), EGFR (A4929, 1:1000), MMP-2 (A19080, 1:1000), MMP-3(A11418, 1:1000), MMP-9 (A0289, 1:1000), Bax (A19684, 1:1000), Bcl-2 (A19693, 1:800), active + pro caspase-3 (A19654, 1:1000), caspase-9 (A18676, 1:1000), GAPDH(A19056, 1:1000), HRP Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) (AS014, 1:5000). The experiment was repeated for three times independently.

**Data Analysis**

All results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Data analysis was performed with the SPSS 19.0 and GraphPad 8.0 software. The student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA was applied to compare data from different groups. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Myricetin Had No Toxic Effect on IOSE-80 Cells and Inhibited SKOV3 Cell Proliferation**

CCK-8 cell viability/cytotoxicity assays showed that the optimal concentration range for Myr inhibition of SKOV3 proliferation was 1×10^-5-1×10^-4 M for 24 h (Figure 1A). Of note, the viability of cells treated with Myr at concentrations of 1×10^-4 M for 24 h was too low. Therefore, low concentrations (0-50 μM) were chosen for subsequent experiments. At these concentrations, Myr was not toxic to IOSE-80, non-tumor cells but was inhibitory on SKOV-3 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1B). SKOV3 cells treated with Myr appeared smaller, with a reduced cell, and high rate of cell death (Figure 1C).

**Myr Inhibits Oxidative Stress in SKOV3 Cells**

Relative to controls, ROS levels in SKOV3 cells were significantly reduced by Myr at 10, 20 and 40 μM in a dose dependent manner (Figure 2, p<0.01). Relative to controls, intracellular MDA was significantly reduced dose-dependently by Myr at 10, 20 and 40 μM while SOD levels increased significantly. LDH levels in the culture medium also reduced significantly (Table 1, p<0.05 or p<0.01).

**FIGURE 1** | Effects of different concentrations of myricetin on cell cytotoxicity/viability. Determination of cell viability using CCK-8 assay and Myr cytotoxicity at 0, 10, 20 and 40 μM. (A) The effect of Myr on the viability of SKOV3 cells at different doses and times were determined by CCK-8 analysis. (B) Cytotoxic effect of different Myr concentrations on IOSE-80 cells and SKOV3 cells was determined by CCK-8 analysis. (C) SKOV3 cells were incubated with 0, 10, 20 and 40μM Myr for 24 h. Representative microscopic images are shown (×40 magnification). n = 3. **p<0.01 vs. control.
Myr Inhibits the Migration and Invasion of SKOV3 Cells

Wound-healing and Transwell analyses of whether Myr inhibits migration and invasion by SKOV3 cells, revealed that relative to controls, Myr (10, 20 and 40 μM) slowed wound closure dose-dependently ($p<0.01$, Figure 3). Relative to controls, treatment with Myr significantly reduced the number of SKOV3 cells migrating downward ($p<0.01$, Figures 4A–C) and invading Matrigel ($p<0.01$, Figures 4B–D) in a dose-dependent manner.

Myr Promoted Apoptosis in SKOV3 Cells

Relative to control SKOV3 cells, Hoechst 33258 staining revealed that the nuclei of cells treated with various Myr concentrations were densely stained or fragmented, with visible apoptotic bodies and the apoptotic effect was dose-dependent (Figure 5).

FIGURE 2 | Effects of different concentrations of myricetin on ROS levels in SKOV3 cells. After treating SKOV3 cells with Myr at 0, 10, 20 and 40 μM for 24 h, ROS assay was used to measure intracellular ROS levels. Representative fluorescence images are shown (×200 magnification). n=3; **$p<0.01$ vs. control.

FIGURE 3 | Effects of different concentrations of Myr in SKOV3 wound healing. After treating SKOV3 cells with Myr at 0, 10, 20 and 40 μM for 24 h, cell migration was analyzed using a wound healing assay and microscopy at ×40 magnification. n=3; **$p<0.01$ vs. control.
Myr Affected the Expression of Proliferation-, Migration-, and Invasion-Related Proteins in SKOV3 Cells

Next western blot analysis was used to determine the levels of the proliferation-related proteins, p38, p-p38, SAPLA, and EGFR), the migration- and invasion-related proteins, MMP2, MMP3, and MMP9, and the apoptosis-related proteins, Bax, Bcl2, cleaved caspase-3 and caspase-9 in SKOV3 cells. These analyses revealed that relative to controls, the levels of p-p38, SAPLA, Bax/Bcl-2, cleaved caspase-3 and caspase-9 were significantly increased in SKOV3 cells treated with various Myr concentrations (p<0.01, Figure 6) while the levels of EGFR, MMP2, MMP3 and MMP9 decreased significantly (p<0.05 or p<0.01). These effects on protein expression were dose-dependent.

DISCUSSION

The quality of life of ovarian cancer (OC) patients is poor, with about 75% of the patients experiencing recurrence within 2 years. This is attributable to chemotherapy’s toxicity and OC resistance to chemotherapeutics (13). Thus, effective anti-OC drugs with less toxicity and fewer side effects are urgently needed. Recent studies have proposed edible flavonoids as potential anticancer candidates. Epidemiological studies show that eating fruits, vegetables, and whole grains containing phytochemicals like flavonoids reduces cancer risk. Eating more fruits, vegetables, and fish containing flavonoids is reported to reduce breast cancer risk (14). Given that flavonoids may have better anticancer effects than conventional chemotherapeutics, we investigated the effects of myricetin (Myr) against OC aiming to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of its anticancer effect.

Myr was originally isolated from the bark of the tree Myrica rubra (Myrica rubra (Lour.) S. et Zucc.). Its chemical formula is C_{15}H_{10}O_{8} and the relative molecular mass is 318.24. According to reports, Myr inhibits the proliferation of various cancer cells through multiple signaling pathways, including PI3K/AKT, PAK1/MEK/ERK1/2, cyclin D1/PCNA, STAT3 and so on (15, 16). A study found that, Myr is able to interact with the human telomere G-quadruplex TTAGGG 3 DNA in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells, thus inhibiting human telomerase reverse transcriptase mRNA and telomerase in a concentration-dependent manner, thereby inhibiting cell proliferation (17).
Bitew et al. showed that Myr are not hepatotoxic and cytotoxic (18). Here, we found that at 10, 20, and 40 μM, Myr significantly reduces the viability of SKOV3, an OC cell line but not that of IOSE-80 cells, a non-tumor cell line, indicating that Myr can inhibit the proliferation of OC cells without toxicity on non-tumor cells. This is consistent with previous reports that Myr’s inhibitory effects on cells are selective (19, 20), highlighting its potential as a safe anti-OC agent.

Reactive species, which mainly include reactive oxygen species (ROS), are byproducts of metabolic reactions in mitochondria. In normal cells, low-level concentrations of these compounds are required for signal transduction before their elimination. However, cancer cells, which have higher metabolism, require high ROS levels to maintain high proliferation rates. The implications for ROS regulation are highly significant for cancer therapy because commonly used radio- and chemo-therapeutic drugs influence tumor outcome through ROS modulation. Oxidative stress is a chemical reaction that generates free radicals through different chain reactions. Increased levels of free radicals or ROS in cells are a signs of cancer. Studies have found that ROS levels in tumor cells are generally high (15). Importantly, Myr has antioxidant effects and can eliminate ROS. Antioxidants defend against high levels of reactive species (especially ROS) (21) and there is evidence that they prevent tumorigenesis and extend life span (22). Apart from their protective roles against cancer, antioxidant supplementation during chemotherapy may lower dose-limiting toxicities (23). Here, we found that Myr effectively reduces ROS levels in SKOV3 cells, dose-dependently. MDA is an indicator of ROS and SOD catalyzes the reduction of superoxide anion radicals to hydrogen peroxide. 3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine often interacts with SOD to indirectly reflect the severity of free radical attack and ability of oxygen free radical scavenging. On the other hand, LDH is a metalloprotein containing zinc ions and is an important enzyme involved in anaerobic glycolysis and gluconeogenesis. Elevated

FIGURE 5 | Effects of different concentrations of Myr on SKOV3 apoptosis. After treating SKOV3 cells with Myr at 0, 10, 20 and 40 μM for 24 h, Hoechst 33258 was used to detect apoptosis. Representative fluorescence images are shown at ×100 magnification. n=3.

FIGURE 6 | Effects of different concentrations of Myr on expression of proliferation-, migration-, and invasion-related proteins in SKOV3 cells. (A) Western blot analysis image. Relative levels of (B) p-p38, (C) SAPL8, (D) EGFR, (E) MMP2, (F) MMP3, (G) MMP9, (H) Bax/Bcl-2, (I) cleaved caspase-3, and (J) caspase-9, were determined using western blot analysis. n=3; *p<0.05, **p<0.01 vs. control.
LDH is common in diseases like myocardial infarction, skeletal muscle injury, and cancer (24). Here, Myr significantly reduced MDA and LDH levels in SKOV3 cells, and dose-dependently increased SOD activity. Our data show that Myr inhibits oxidative stress in SKOV3 cells, reduces ROS production, and enhances scavenging of oxygen free radicals.

Oxidative stress affects cell proliferation via various signaling pathways, including EGFR and mTOR pathways that involve key signaling proteins like Ras, Raf, and MAPK pathway factors like ERK1/2, MEK, and p38 (25, 26). p38 is a key sensor for oxidative stress, and its redox-sensing function is essential for controlling tumor development (27). In contrast to other MAPKs, p38 suppresses tumorigenesis by blocking proliferation or promoting apoptosis. Our data show that Myr activates p38 expression in SKOV-3 cells, enhances p-p38 and Salpa expression, suppresses EGFR expression, and inhibits cell proliferation.

Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is an important driver of metastasis. Numerous ROS-related signaling pathways are involved in EMT, including matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). The impact of ROS as an EMT mediator is also supported by MMP3 (matrix metalloproteinase 3), which catalyzes extracellular matrix breakdown, thereby driving metastases by degrading collagen, fibronectin, and laminin. MMP3 is reported to be upregulated in cancer, including breast cancer and is an EMT inducer in transgenic mice. In mice, MMP3 secretion is associated with Snail upregulation, E-cadherin loss, and catenin nuclear translocation, which, in turn, are dependent on the small GTP-binding protein Rac1 (Rac1b) (28). Other metalloproteinases, including MMP2 and MMP9, play roles in Rac1b stimulation to influence ROS. Most of these proteins are oncogenic in mouse models, and their overexpression contributes to human tumorigenesis. Here, wound healing and Transwell assays revealed that Myr inhibits SKOV3 cells invasion and metastasis. Western blot analysis showed that Myr significantly inhibited the expression of MMP-2, MMP-3 and MMP-9, suggesting that Myr can prevent oxidative stress in SKOV3 cells, thereby suppress cell invasion and metastasis. Additionally, the correlation between decreased ROS generation and caspase-9 activity, and increased caspase-3 activity confirmed Myr’s antioxidant and proapoptotic activity in SKOY-3 cells. Hoechst 33258 staining revealed Myr-induced apoptosis in SKOV3 cells. Moreover, treatment with Myr significantly promoted the expression of apoptosis-related proteins (Bax/Bcl-2, cleaved caspase-3 and caspase-9). These results were in line with those of cell wound healing, transwell, and Hoechst 33258 staining assays, suggesting that Myr prevents migration and infiltration, as well as enhances apoptosis of SKOV3 cells.

In summary, Myr has an anti-ovarian cancer effect in vitro, and the mechanisms underlying this may be related to oxidative stress in tumor cells. By inhibiting intracellular ROS production, Myr may inhibit proliferation, migration, and invasion in SKOV3 cells, thereby enhancing apoptosis. Our data highlight Myr as a promising candidate for OC prevention and adjuvant therapy.
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