Alternative splicing regulates distinct subcellular localization of Epithelial splicing regulatory protein 1 (Esrp1) isoforms
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Epithelial-Splicing-Regulatory-Protein 1 (Esrp1) is a cell-type specific RNA-binding protein (RBP) that is essential for mammalian development through maintenance of epithelial cell properties including barrier function. Esrp1 also regulates splicing during the epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). It contains three highly conserved RNA recognition motifs (RRMs) in the absence of other clearly defined protein domains. Esrp1 itself is also alternatively spliced to produce multiple protein isoforms. Here we determined that two competing alternative 5′ splice sites in exon 12 yield Esrp1 isoforms with differential nucleocytoplasmic localization. We carried out a detailed characterization of the Esrp1 peptide that is sufficient to confer nuclear localization. Furthermore, we identified splice variants encoding distinct nuclear and cytoplasmic isoforms of fusilli, the D. Melanogaster Esrp1 ortholog. Our observations demonstrate that the production of both nuclear and cytoplasmic Esrp1 isoforms through alternative splicing is phylogenetically conserved; strongly suggesting it is biologically significant. Thus, while previous studies have described extensive regulation by nuclear Esrp1 to promote epithelial specific splicing, it will be of great interest to study the contribution of cytoplasmic Esrp1 in maintenance of epithelial cell functions.

RNA binding proteins (RBPs) play crucial roles at each step in RNA processing, including 5′ capping, splicing, polyadenylation, mRNA transport, localization, mRNA stability, and translation. Emerging evidence suggests that many RBPs regulate multiple steps in RNA processing1-4. For example, the embryonic lethal abnormal vision like 1 (ELAVL1, also known as HuR) can regulate alternative splicing and promote mRNA stability and/or translation efficiency5-5. In some cases, the multifunctional roles of RBPs depend on the ability of a single gene to produce multiple isoforms with different nucleocytoplasmic localization through alternative splicing. For example, three splice isoforms of QKI with differential subcellular localization have been found to regulate multiple post-transcriptional processes, including splicing, mRNA localization, mRNA stability and protein translation6-9. Recent studies have also revealed novel functions of the well-known splicing factor Rbfox1 in regulation of mRNA stability and translation through the production of cytoplasmic isoforms by alternative splicing10. Hence, it is increasingly recognized that many RBPs that have well characterized roles in one specific step of post-transcriptional regulation may have other unrecognized functions in RNA processing. Emerging evidence suggests that integrated post-transcriptional regulation by a specific RBP can function to shape biologically coherent post-transcriptional regulons1.

Our lab previously identified Epithelial Splicing Regulatory Proteins 1 and 2 (ESRP1 and ESRP2) as epithelial cell-type-specific proteins that enforce genome-wide epithelial splicing programs in diverse epithelial cell types11-13. Loss of ESRP1 and ESRP2 expression during the Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) plays a major role in splicing switches that occur during this crucial developmental process that also has disease relevant consequences11, 13, 14. Taken together, our previous studies demonstrated that the ESRPs regulate alternative splicing of hundreds of gene transcripts. Our group developed Esrp1 knockout (KO) mice and showed that they were post-natal lethal with fully penetrant cleft lip associated with cleft palate (CL/P)15. Whereas Esrp2 KO mice had
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no apparent defects, *Esrp1/Esrp2* double knockout mice manifest more extensive developmental phenotypes than *Esrp1* KO mice, including abnormal upper limb development, lung and salivary gland agenesis, colonic atresia, and epidermal defects. Hence, while there is some redundancy in the function for *Esrp1* and *Esrp2*, both in vitro and in vivo evidence indicate that *Esrp1* has a more crucial role. Furthermore, conditional ablation of *Esrp1* and *Esrp2* in the skin resulted in a lethal epidermal barrier defect indicating that the *Esrps* are also required for maintenance of epithelial cell barriers.

*Esrp1* is a highly conserved RBP, with orthologs in all vertebrates as well as *D. Melanogaster* (fusilli) and *C. elegans* (sym-2) that are also regulators of alternative splicing. It has three highly conserved RNA recognition motifs (RRMs) in the absence of other clearly defined protein domains. Several alternative splicing events that are conserved between human and mouse are present in the C-terminus of *Esrp1*, downstream of all three RRM domains, including an alternative 5′ splice site (SS) at the end of exon 12 and two consecutive cassette exons (exon 14 and 15) that can be included individually, together in tandem, or skipped. While our previous studies have demonstrated a role for *Esrp1* as a splicing factor in the nucleus, a recent study described a function of *Esrp1* in the cytoplasm to regulate translation. Based upon examples of other splicing factors with nuclear and cytoplasmic isoforms generated through alternative splicing, we considered the possibility that different *Esrp1* splice isoforms might account for this differential localization. In this study, we demonstrate that differential subcellular localization of *Esrp1* results from the alternative 5′ splice sites at the end of exon 12. We identified the minimal peptide sequence that is necessary and sufficient for nuclear localization of *Esrp1* nuclear isoforms, which we propose is most likely to function as a nuclear localization signal (NLS) and is therefore designated a putative NLS (pNLS). This element is different from previously characterized NLS consensus sequences. We further showed that the production of both nuclear and cytoplasmic isoforms through alternative splicing is also exhibited by the fly ortholog *fusilli*. These findings strongly suggest that *Esrp1* and its orthologs have conserved functions in yeast-to-defined post-transcriptional regulatory roles in the cytoplasm beyond splicing regulation in the nucleus.

**Results**

**Choice of different alternative 5′ splice sites downstream of exon 12 gives rise to *Esrp1* isoforms with differential subcellular localization.** The alternative 5′ splice sites in *Esrp1* exon 12 consists of two identical 5′ splice site consensus sequences that are separated by 12 nucleotides (5′-TGAAGTTACCAT-3′), usage of which generates protein isoforms that differ by four amino acids “Cys-Lys-Leu-Pro” (CKLP) (Fig. 1a). Cassette exons 14 and 15, which are 151 nt and 72 nt in length respectively, can be included or skipped individually or together. While translation terminates in exon 16 when both exons are skipped (NA), inclusion of both exons (2A) introduces a stop codon in exon 15 (Fig. 1a,b). To investigate whether the sequence required for nuclear localization is encoded by the differential splicing of alternative 5′ splice sites downstream of exon 12 or exons 14 and/or 15, we generated cDNA constructs expressing four full length mouse *Esrp1* protein isoforms (2A + CKLP, 2A – CKLP, NA + CKLP and NA – CKLP) fused with a fluorescence tag (mCherry) and transfected them into HeLa cells (Fig. 1b). As shown in Fig. 1c, isoforms containing the CKLP amino acids (derived from the distal 5′ss) were predominantly nuclear while isoforms lacking the CKLP (derived from the proximal 5′ss) were predominantly localized to the cytoplasm. However, the inclusion or skipping of exon 14 and 15 together did not influence subcellular localization (Fig. 1c). Quantification of cells with different subcellular localization patterns from each transfection in this and subsequent experiments are summarized in Table 1. We also did not detect differences in localization of *Esrp1* isoforms that resulted from inclusion or skipping of exons 14 and 15 alone (data not shown). These observations suggested that CKLP is part of an NLS required for the nuclear localization of *Esrp1*. To further support the presence of different isoforms of *Esrp1* in the nucleus and cytoplasm, we constructed stable clones that express either the nuclear (2A + CKLP) or cytoplasmic (2A-CKLP) isoform as a mCherry fusion protein in human H358 epithelial cell line. As shown in Fig. 1d, mCherry fluorescence is primarily detected in the nucleus for *Esrp1* 2A + CKLP clone and predominantly in the cytoplasm for *Esrp1* 2A-CKLP clone. Furthermore, we obtained cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions from both H358 stable clones through subcellular fractionation (Fig. 1d). For the *Esrp1* 2A-CKLP protein, we noted a greater amount of *Esrp1* in the cytoplasm compared to the *Esrp1* 2A + CKLP protein. For the *Esrp1* 2A + CKLP clone, we detected *Esrp1* protein in both the nucleus and cytoplasm. While the reason we detect the protein in the cytoplasmic fraction is not clear, it may be related to leakage of soluble nuclear protein during extract preparation, although we also can’t rule out the possibility that this specific *Esrp1* isoform shuttles between the nucleus and the cytoplasm. To determine how these alternative 5′ splice sites are used in endogenous *Esrp1* pre-mRNAs, we designed primers flanking both 5′ splice sites and confirmed alternative splicing of these 5′ splice sites across a panel of human, mouse, and rat cell lines with some variation in ratios between the splice variants (Supplementary Fig. S1). To further determine how both isoforms are produced at protein level endogenously, we obtained nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions for H358 cells. The Western blot results supported the presence of *Esrp1* in both nucleus and cytoplasm, although the differences in size of isoforms with or without CKLP cannot be resolved by our Western analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1).

**Determination of the *Esrp1* sequence element that confers nuclear localization.** To further characterize the peptide sequence that is necessary and sufficient for nuclear localization, we aligned *Esrp1* and *Esrp2* sequences from different species and focused on peptide sequences around the CKLP region to identify conserved amino acids (Fig. 2a). Importantly, this analysis also revealed that the expression of isoforms that do or do not contain CKLP is highly conserved across vertebrate species. Notably, due to the incomplete annotation of genomes, we were not able to identify both isoforms for some species. Based on the sequence conservation in the alignment, we selected several peptides around the CKLP region, and cloned them into a well-defined cDNA reporter that has previously been used to identify and characterize NLSs. This vector encodes chicken pyruvate kinase (18–443 aa), a cytoplasmic protein and we further modified this reporter by adding a fluorescent...
Figure 1. Choice of different alternative 5' splice sites downstream of exon 12 gives rise to Esrp1 isoforms with differential subcellular localization. (a) Schematic of the genomic organization and alternative splicing of Esrp1. Gray boxes indicate constitutive exons. Exons 14 (yellow box) and 15 (blue box) are cassette exons, and exon 12 has an alternative 5' splice site (highlighted in red) with the sequences shown below. (b) Schematic of vectors expressing cDNAs for the different full length Esrp1 isoforms as FLAG and mCherry fusion proteins for transfection and microscopy in (c). (c) Representative images of HeLa cells (20x) transfected with expression vectors of the different Esrp1 isoforms depicted in (b), showing that CLKP is required for predominant nuclear localization. mCherry represents the localization of Esrp1 protein, and DAPI represents the nucleus. For each transfection, a qualitative measurement of subcellular localization was quantified for at least 20 cells from at least 3 randomly chosen microscopic fields as described in Methods. The same measurements were done for each transfection in subsequent experiments and these quantifications are summarized in Table 1. (d) mCherry fluorescence and Western blots of nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions from human H358 stable clones expressing full length Esrp1 2A+ CKLP or 2A− CKLP isoforms confirmed predominant nuclear localization for Esrp1 2A+ CKLP protein isoform and cytoplasmic localization for Esrp1 2A− CKLP protein isoform. β-tubulin was used as a cytoplasmic marker, and U2AF65 was used as a nuclear marker.
tag (mCherry) to the C-terminus. We then inserted sequences encoding these peptides from Esrp1 that included CKLP into the reporter and tested whether they could translocate chicken pyruvate kinase into the nucleus of HeLa cells (Fig. 2a,b). The SV40 NLS was used as a positive control and two unrelated peptides (G-rich and TP-rich sequences) were used as negative controls. In conclusion, we identified a fifteen-amino-acid stretch “GLSPPPCKLPCLSPP” that is sufficient for the nuclear localization while the same peptide excluding CKLP showed a cytoplasmic localization (Fig. 2c). The fact that this sequence confers nuclear localization both in the context of the Esrp1 protein and a widely used NLS reporter construct makes it most likely that it does function as an NLS, but cannot rule out that at least some of this function could be accounted for by promoting nuclear retention. We therefore will subsequently refer to this element as a putative nuclear localization signal (pNLS).

Table 1. Quantification of cells from each transfection on the subcellular localization of target protein. N > C represents predominantly nuclear; N = C represents similar presence in the nucleus and cytoplasm; N < C represents predominantly cytoplasmic.
Determination of key residues in the Esrp1 pNLS that are required for the nuclear localization.

After identification of a 15-amino acid peptide sequence sufficient for nuclear localization, we sought to further define the key amino acids within the element that are required for nuclear import. We therefore introduced single amino acid mutations at each position in the Esrp1 pNLS and examined their effects on subcellular localization using the chicken pyruvate kinase vector. Mutation of CKLP to AAAA completely abolished the nuclear localization (Fig. 3a). Additionally, we identified seven amino acids for which single alanine substitution led to nearly complete cytoplasmic localization, six amino acids that displayed a mixed cytoplasmic and nuclear localization after alanine substitution, and two that remained nuclear after mutation (Fig. 3a). Since Leucine and Valine are both nonpolar amino acids and share a similar structure, we also mutated L to V at 2nd and 9th position. Interestingly, L2A and L2V both showed a mixed phenotype, in contrast, while L9A completely abolished nuclear localization, L9V remained nuclear, suggesting L9V preserves nuclear localization (Fig. 3b). It has been reported that phosphorylation within NLSs can positively or negatively affect nuclear import20, 21. The Serine residue at the third position in the Esrp1 pNLS is a known phosphorylation site that is also conserved in human22–25. Therefore, we generated a mutation which mimics the phosphorylated status of serine residue. While S3A exhibited a mixed cytoplasmic and nuclear localization, S3D restored nearly complete nuclear import, suggesting that phosphorylation of Serine at this position may play a role in facilitating the nuclear localization of Esrp1 (Fig. 3b). It will be of interest in future studies to determine conditions under which phosphorylation at this position might regulate Esrp1 localization. Since “Glycine” at the first position is not required for the nuclear localization, the minimal Esrp1 sequence conferring nuclear localizations is “LSPPPCKLPCLSPP” (Fig. 3c).

Fusilli, the D. Melanogaster ortholog of Esrp1 also expresses both nuclear and cytoplasmic isoforms as a result of alternative splicing. The conservation of the alternative splicing event leading to both nuclear and cytoplasmic isoforms among Esrp1 orthologs in vertebrates suggested functional roles for both protein isoforms in post-transcriptional regulation. We sought to further investigate the degree of conservation for the expression of nuclear and cytoplasmic Esrp1 orthologs through alternative splicing by studying...
the subcellular localization of different isoforms of fusilli, the Esrp1 ortholog in *D. Melanogaster*. We previously showed that fusilli can regulate alternative splicing when ectopically expressed in mammalian cells, strongly suggesting that it has a conserved role as a splicing factor. We noted that the fusilli gene has several alternative promoters and splicing events that generate multiple protein isoforms. Three splicing mRNA variants (A, B, and H) result from a distal promoter and lack the majority of the first highly conserved RRM and therefore were not studied further. All other transcript variants encoding longer isoforms that contain all three RRMs are derived from alternative splicing, including a 93 nt retained intron within exon 9 and an alternative 5′ splice site coupled to alternative polyadenylation (APA5) that leads to distinct C-termini. We were able to generate cDNAs encoding isoform D that contains the retained intron as well as isoform G that utilizes the alternative 5′ splice site (Fig. 4a). Transfection of cDNAs encoding both isoforms as mCherry fusion proteins into HeLa cells showed that isoform D was nuclear while isoform G was predominantly cytoplasmic. To determine whether a presumed NLS in isoform D was present within the region encoded by the retained intron or the C-terminus, we made a truncated form of isoform D lacking the region encoded by the distinct C-terminus and determined that it was cytoplasmic, similar to isoform G. We therefore concluded that the sequence conferring nuclear localization resides in the C-terminus of isoform D, not the retained intron (Fig. 4b). To further pinpoint this sequence, we generated multiple truncations from the C-terminus of isoform D and tested their subcellular localization (Fig. 4c). While truncation 1 preserved nuclear localization, all subsequent truncations generated isoforms that were cytoplasmic localized. Therefore, we narrowed down the peptide to the region between the end of truncation 2 and truncation 1, which is a 37 amino acid fragment (peptide 1). To guide our determination of the minimal peptide sequence that is sufficient for the nuclear localization, we aligned this peptide sequences in 12 different fly species as well as other insects and noted the last 14 amino acids (peptide 3) are highly conserved compared to

---

**Figure 3.** Determination of key residues in the Esrp1 pNLS that are required for nuclear localization. (a) Representative images of HeLa cells (20x) transfected with reporter vectors containing the control SV40 NLS and the 15 amino acid Esrp1 sequence as well as representative examples of amino acid substitutions with alanine that abolished (e.g. K8A and L12A) or preserved (e.g. G1A and C7A) nuclear localization. The numbers indicate amino acid positions within the 15 amino acid Esrp1 sequence as shown in (c). (b) Examples of other amino acid substitutions. (c) Summary of key residues in the fifteen amino acid Esrp1 sequence based on alanine substitution. Since the first Glycine is not required for nuclear localization, “LSPPCCLPCLSPP” is the minimal Esrp1 sequence required for nuclear localization.
the first 23 amino acids (peptide 2) (Fig. 4d). Using the previously described chicken pyruvate kinase reporter, we determined that peptide 3 “QSMKRSYENAFQQE” is sufficient for the nuclear localization, thereby accounting for nuclear localization of isoforms that contain this peptide in fusilli (Fig. 4e). These observations thus indicate that while the sequences used to derive both nuclear and cytoplasmic isoforms of fusilli differ from that in vertebrate Esrp1, there has been functional conservation of a mechanism to express both isoforms via alternative splicing, suggesting biological significance over a long period of evolution.

**Discussion**

It has become increasingly appreciated that many RBPs play multiple roles in mRNA-processing. The subcellular localization of RBPs is one of many mechanisms that affect their regulatory functions. Our study adds Esrp1 and its orthologs to the list of RBPs that are known to expand their potential post-transcriptional regulatory functions through AS to generate both nuclear and cytoplasmic isoforms. The conservation in the fruit fly ortholog fusilli strongly suggests that there is an important biological function for both isoforms. While the alternative 5’ splice site only leads to a difference by four amino acids (CKLP) among isoforms, the functional consequences can be substantial. A well-known example, the Wilms’ Tumor (WT1) gene produces two protein isoforms that differ by only three amino acids (lysine-threonine-serine, or KTS), WT1\(^{-}\)KTS and WT1\(^{+}\)KTS, which are encoded by transcript variants that are alternatively spliced through the usage of two adjacent 5’ splice sites in the pre-mRNA. While the WT1\(^{-}\)KTS is a transcription factor that binds to DNA and act as either transcriptional activator or repressor, the WT1\(^{+}\)KTS isoforms has been suggested to bind to RNA and regulate splicing or other post-transcriptional processes. Since the 5’ splice sites in Esrp1 that lead to +CKLP and −CKLP isoforms...
share the same 5′ splice site consensus sequence (CAT|GTAATG) and are separated by only 3 nucleotides, we initially surmised that the choice of either site during splicing was stochastic. However, we did note some differences in the ratios of nuclear and cytoplasmic isoforms in different cell lines that express Esrp1, suggesting the possibility that this splicing event may be regulated in different epithelial cell populations or at different stages of development. Regulation of such alternative splicing events can be fundamental for development. For example, there is a decrease in the inclusion of exon 5 in MBNL1 during cardiac morphogenesis41. Exon 5 is functionally important in regulating the subcellular localization as well as function of MBNL1. Specifically, isoforms containing exon 5 are predominantly nuclear while the loss of exon 5 leads to a cytoplasmic localization. Therefore, the nuclear localization of MBNL1 in the early stage of heart development is required for alternative splicing regulation and the loss of exon 5 is essential for the fetal-to-adult transitions of alternative splicing. Moreover, the alternative splicing of exon 19 in Rbfox1 is also regulated by nuclear Rbfox1 upon neuronal depolarization which leads to a switch from cytoplasmic to nuclear isoform32. While we have extensively characterized the role of Esrp1 in alternative splicing, the function of cytoplasmic Esrp1 requires further investigation. One study identified conserved Esrp binding motifs enriched in 5′UTRs and proposed a role for Esrp1 in the regulation of mRNA stability based on the correlation between the expression levels of Esrp1 and mRNAs with Esrp1 binding motifs in their 5′ UTRs among different tissues33. However, direct experimental evidence to support a function for Esrp1 as an mRNA stability regulator in the cytoplasm is required. On the other hand, another study provided evidence for a role of Esrp1 in negatively regulating translation of several pluripotency genes34. To broadly identify the direct mRNA targets and binding sites in the cytoplasm for Esrp1 in vivo, experiments such as RIP-Seq and/or CLIP-Seq in the cytoplasmic fraction will provide valuable information. Experiments such as metabolic labeling of nascent mRNA by pulsed nucleotide analogs followed by RNA-Seq and ribosome profiling will further define the roles for cytoplasmic Esrp1 in mRNA stability, translation or mRNA localization.

A nuclear localization signal (NLS) is a short stretch of amino acids that mediates the transport of proteins into the nucleus35. The best characterized “classical NLS” (cNLS) consists of either one (monopartite) or two (bipartite) stretches of basic amino acids, for examples the well-known SV40 large antigen NLS and the nucleoplasmmin NLS18,36. Proteins containing a cNLS are translocated by the importin-α/β heterodimer. Importin-α (Impα; also known as Karyopherin-α) is a protein adaptor that directly binds to the cNLS and importin-β (Imp3; also known as Karyopherin-β1), which is associated with nuclear pore complex (NPC) to facilitate the nuclear import35. The other well-characterized NLS is the “PY-NLS”. While the sequences for PY-NLSs show limited similarity, they usually consist of a loose N-terminal hydrophobic or basic motif and a C-terminal RX2–5PY motif37–39. Proteins containing a PY-NLS, for example HnRNP A1, are imported by Karyopherin-β2 (Kap32)40,41. However, many NLSs have been identified with highly diverse sequences that do not belong to either class42. In addition, only a limited number of transport cargos are known for other importins39. The putative NLS sequences identified in this study for both Esrp1 and fusilli do not conform to any of the well-characterized NLS consensus sequences and potentially represent a novel class of NLS. It will also be of interest to further confirm its function as an NLS and determine whether it represents a larger class of NLS motifs and to define the import pathway that it uses to translocate to the nucleus to regulate splicing.

Methods

Plasmids. The pIBX-C-FF(B) expression plasmid for expression of FLAG tagged proteins was described previously19. We PCR amplified the cDNA sequence for mCherry and inserted it into the vector using Nhel and NsiI to make the pIBX-C-FF(B)-mCherry vector for expression of mCherry fusion proteins. We then PCR amplified the cDNA sequences for different isoforms of mouse Esrp1 and cloned them into the pIBX-C-FF(B)-mCherry vector using EcoRV and NotI sites to make the expression vectors. mCMV-myc-PK vector was described previously19. PCR amplified coding sequence for EGFP was inserted into Nhel and NsiI digested pCMV-myc-PK to drive pCMV-myc-PK-EGFP. We then PCR amplified the coding sequence for 2x FLAG tag followed by SV40 NLS and cloned it downstream of pyruvate kinase coding sequence and upstream of EGFP coding sequence to drive pCMV-myc-PK-FF-NLS-EGFP using NotI and Nhel sites. We replaced the coding sequence for EGFP with that for mCherry to generate pCMV-myc-PK-FF-NLS-mCherry. We digested the vector with NotI and Nhel in order to clone in other sequences. To determine the minimum peptide sequences sufficient for Esrp1 nuclear localization and to identify key residues in the Esrp1 pNLS, for the fifteen amino acid peptide and all tested mutants, the corresponding sense and antisense oligos were annealed and ligated into the cut reporter vector. pIBX-C-FF(B)-fusilli D has been described previously19. We cloned in mCherry coding sequence into NheI and NsiI digested pIBX-C-FF(B)-fusilli D to drive pIBX-C-FF(B)-fusilli D-mCherry. A series of reverse primer in the C-terminus of fusilli D and the universal forward T7 primer were used to make all the C-terminal truncation proteins. fusilli G was made by gene synthesis. To determine the minimum peptide sequences sufficient for fusilli nuclear localization, for peptide 1, 2 and 3, the corresponding sense and antisense oligos were annealed and ligated into the cut reporter vector. Primers used for cloning are summarized in Supplementary Table S1. The pTet-On advanced vector was purchased from Clontech (631069). We cloned the rtTA-Advanced cassette into the pIBX vector using EcoRV and NotI sites to make the pIBX-C-FF(B)-mCherry vector. A subsequent construct, pcDNA3-TRE-CMVmin-C-FF(B)-mCherry was derived by inserting a sequence encoding a 2X FLAG tag followed by the coding sequence for mCherry. The coding sequence for Esrp1 2A + CKLP or Esrp1 2A – CKLP was subsequently inserted upstream of the sequences encoding the FLAG tag and mCherry to derive pcDNA3-TRE-CMVmin-C-FF(B)-mCherry-Esrp1 2A + CKLP or 2A – CKLP. Complete annotated sequence files and maps for all vectors are available on request.
RNA extraction and RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted using TRizol (15596018, Life technologies). Reverse transcription was performed as described previously2. Primers used to detect the nuclear and cytoplasmic isoforms are in Supplementary Table S1.

Cell culture and transfection. HeLa cells were maintained in DMEM medium with 10% FBS (SH30071.03, GE). Human non-small cell lung cancer cell line H358 (obtained from the American Type Culture Collection) were maintained in RPMI1640 with 10% FBS. To make the tet-on inducible H358 stable clone that express either Esrp1 2A + CKLP or Esrp1 2A-CKLP: First, H358 cells were transfected with the pJBX-Tet-On plasmid using Lipofectamine® 2000 (11668027, Life technologies) according the manufacturers’ protocols, selected in 10 μg/ml Blasticidin for two weeks, and single cell derived clones were obtained using serial dilution in 96 well plates. Second, pcDNA3-TRE-CMVmin-C-FF(B)-mCherry-Esrp1 2A + CKLP or 2A-CKLP was transfected into the H358 Tet-On clone using Lipofectamine® 2000, selected in 500 μg/ml G418 (10131035, Life technologies) and single cell derived clones were obtained by serial dilution. To induce the expression of Esrp1 protein isoforms, the Esrp1 2A + CKLP and 2A-CKLP stable clones were treated with 25 ng/ml or 5 ng/ml doxycycline for 16 hours respectively. For transfection, HeLa cells were seeded on coverslips in 6-well plates at 200,000 cells per well and incubated overnight. Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine® 2000 according the manufacturers’ protocols. 2 μg of plasmids were used for each transfection.

Antibodies and Western blotting. Total cell extracts were harvested in RIPA buffer with protease inhibitor cocktail, PMSF and sodium orthovanadate (sc-24948, Santa Cruz). Cytoplasmic fractions were obtained using buffer A (10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT) with 0.2% NP-40 and protease inhibitor cocktail; nuclear fractions were obtained using 1x PXL buffer (1x PBS without Mg2+/Ca2+, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% NP-40) with protease inhibitor cocktail. Immunoblotting was performed as described2. Antibodies used are as follows: ESRP1 (27H12, mouse, 1:200); FLAG (F1804, Sigma, mouse, 1:5000); mouse monoclonal U2AF65 (kindly provided by Juan Valcarcel, 1:5000); Secondary antibody was purchased from GE Healthcare Life Sciences (sheep anti-Mouse IgG NA931 from 1:2000 to 1:10000).

Microscopy. 24 hours post transfection, the coverslip containing transfected cells was washed once with cold 1xPBS, the cells were then fixed with acetone for three minutes and washed with cold 1xPBS for four times. The coverslips were mounted to a clear glass slide using mounting media with DAPI staining (P36931, Thermo Scientific). For each transfection, at least three randomly chosen microscopic fields were imaged. At least 20 cells were qualitatively measured for the subcellular localization as follows: N > C represents predominantly nuclear; N = C represents similar presence in the nucleus and cytoplasm; N < C represents predominantly cytoplasmic. These quantifications are summarized in Table 1.
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