Atmospheric mercury monitoring survey in Beijing, China
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Abstract

With the aid of one industrial, two urban, two suburban, and two rural sampling locations, diurnal patterns of total gaseous mercury (TGM) were monitored in January, February and September of 1998 in Beijing, China. Monitoring was conducted in six (two urban, two suburban, one rural and the industrial sites) of the seven sampling sites during January and February (winter) and in four (two urban, one rural, and the industrial sites) of the sampling locations during September (summer) of 1998. In the three suburban sampling stations, mean TGM concentrations during the winter sampling period were 8.6, 10.7, and 6.2 ng/m³, respectively. In the two urban sampling locations mean TGM concentrations during winter and summer sampling periods were 24.7, 8.3, 10, and 12.7 ng/m³, respectively. In the suburban-industrial and the two rural sampling locations, mean mercury concentrations ranged from 3.1–5.3 ng/m³ in winter to 4.1–7.7 ng/m³ in summer sampling periods. In the Tiananmen Square (urban), and Shijingshan (suburban) sampling locations the mean TGM concentrations during the summer sampling period were higher than winter concentrations, which may have been caused by evaporation of soil-bound mercury in warm periods. Continuous meteorological data were available at one of the suburban sites, which allowed the observation of mercury concentration variations associated with some weather parameters. It was found that there was a moderate negative correlation between the wind speed and the TGM concentration at this suburban sampling location. It was also found that during the sampling period at the same site, the quantity of TGM transported to or from the sampling site was mainly influenced by the duration and frequency of wind occurrence from certain directions. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Mercury occurs in several different geochemical forms, including elemental (Hg⁰), ionic (oxidized; Hg(II), speciated; Hg²⁺), and organic (methylmercury; CH₃Hg⁺) mercury. Mercury in atmosphere is mostly in the form of elemental mercury vapor. Due to its volatility and chemical stability, elemental mercury emissions can circulate in the atmosphere for 1–2 years, allowing its wide dispersion and long-distance transportation (Lin and Pehkonen, 1999). Atmospheric...
mercury originates from natural and anthropogenic sources (Fitzgerald et al., 1998). Natural sources include volcanoes, natural mercury deposits, and volatilization from the oceans. Fifty to seventy-five percent of mercury emission to the atmosphere is related to human activities (Nriagu and Pacyna, 1988; Nriagu, 1989; Xu et al., 1999). Major anthropogenic sources of mercury emission worldwide are: combustion of fossil fuel and coal, municipal waste combustors, sewage sludge and medical waste incinerators, mining activities, electric utility boilers, and manufacturing sources (Nriagu and Pacyna, 1988; Tatsutani, 1998). China is the world’s third largest producer of energy and consumed 1.36 billion metric tons of coal in 1997 (59% more than 1987). Coal use in China could double the present rates by the year 2015 (Godley, 1997).

This paper presents a short-term monitoring study of total gaseous phase mercury (TGM) in Beijing, China, that was a result of a joint cooperation between the Environmental Research Institute of the University of Connecticut and the Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. The continuous monitoring technique allowed the observation of more detailed diurnal pattern of atmospheric mercury pollution that had not been observed before in the Beijing area.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Sampling locations

Based on the zoning plan defined by the authorities of Beijing municipality, all sampling locations encircled in the city boundaries were classified as urban sites. The suburban sampling sites were located within a radius of 20 km of the city center and the city boundaries. The rural sites were all beyond the suburban boundary limits and the land was mainly used for agricultural activities. To represent urban-industrial, urban-residential and rural habitats of Beijing, seven sampling sites were selected for ambient mercury monitoring (Fig. 1, Table 1).

Site 1 was in Tiananmen Square, which is a tourist area located in the center of Beijing about 30 m east of the Tiananmen Gateway. Site 2 was in Xuanwu district (Xiaxiejie Street), which is located about 3 km southwest of the city center. Site 3 was in Shijingshan, which is near the eastern entrance of the Capital Iron Steel Plant complex and was located about 20 km west of the city. Sites 4 and 5 were located at the Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, which is located 12 km northwest of Beijing in a suburban area. Site 4 was situated in a laboratory on the fourth floor of the main building. Outdoor air samples were taken through the laboratory window.

Fig. 1. Beijing municipality and the sampling locations: (1) Tiananmen Square, (2) Xuanwu, (3) Shijingshan, (4) Research Center-1, (5) Research Center-2, (6) Atmospheric Research Institute, (7) Changping, (8) Huairou.

This sampling location was about 12 m above the ground level. Site 5 was placed at the ground level on a paved area behind the building. Site 6 was at the Institute of Atmospheric Physics of Chinese Academy of Sciences, which is a suburban site located about 9 km north of Beijing. A weather station capturing continuous meteorological data (wind speed, wind direction, temperature and humidity) at every 20 s was located at this site. No tall buildings were located in the vicinity of site 6. Site 7 was in Changping, which is a rural site located about 40 km north of Beijing. Site 8 was in Huairou, which is another rural site located about 60 km northeast of Beijing.

2.2. Instrumentation

A Tekran Model 2537A (Tekran Inc., Toronto, Canada) ambient vapor analyzer was used for this study. This vapor analyzer provided continuous ambient monitoring of TGM with a detection limit of 0.2 ng/m³. Every 5 min, 7.5 l of air sample were collected on a cartridge packed with gold-coated particles and subsequently analyzed with cold atomic fluorescence method. The TGM concentration values along with calibration data and operation conditions were transferred to a personal computer through the RS-232 port of the mercury analyzer.

2.3. Quality assurance/quality control

The Tekran instrumentation was equipped with a built-in mercury permeation source and was able to automatically calibrate itself at preset time intervals. Each time the instrument was set up in the field, it ini-
tiated with a cleaning procedure to establish a blank and was followed by initial calibration. The instrument was programmed to automatically calibrate itself every 24 h in the field at 12:00 PM. The duration of autocalibration was 20 min, and the generated calibration data were reviewed for any significant changes. The response factor changes were all below 15% (the preset acceptance criterion). After completion of the calibration

Table 1
Descriptive statistics of atmospheric mercury concentrations for seven sampling locations in Beijing area

| Site # | Site location | Site category | Sampling dates (1998) | Mean | S.D. | Min. | Max. | Occurrence timea |
|-------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------------|
| 1     | Tiananmen Square (winter–daytime) | City center | Feb. 16–20 | 7.9 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 16.9 | 9:00 AM |
|       | Tiananmen Square (winter–nighttime) | | Feb. 16–20 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 19.5 | 8:00 PM |
|       | Tiananmen Square (summer–daytime) | | Sep. 1–3 | 13.8 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 22.2 | 12:00 PM |
|       | Tiananmen Square (summer–nighttime) | | Sep. 1–3 | 11.4 | 2.6 | 7.6 | 17 | 3:00 AM |
| 2     | Xuanwu (winter–daytime) | Urban residential | Jan. 21–24 | 11.6 | 13.3 | 5 | 87 | 9:00 AM |
|       | Xuanwu (winter–nighttime) | | Jan. 21–24 | 34.9 | 36.2 | 2.5 | 120 | 11:00 PM |
|       | Xuanwu (summer–daytime) | | Sep. 4–8 | 8.1 | 3.4 | 4 | 19.6 | 8:00 AM |
|       | Xuanwu (summer–nighttime) | | Sep. 4–8 | 12.1 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 31 | 10:00 PM |
| 3     | Shijingshan (winter–daytime) | Suburban, industrial | Feb. 4–9 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 8.1 | 10:00 AM |
|       | Shijingshan (winter–nighttime) | | Feb. 4–9 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 14 | 11:00 PM |
|       | Shijingshan (summer–daytime) | | Sep. 10–15 | 7.3 | 2 | 4.4 | 11 | 11:00 AM |
|       | Shijingshan (summer–nighttime) | | Sep. 10–15 | 9 | 4.2 | 2 | 21.2 | 12:00 AM |
| 4     | Research Center 1 (winter–daytime) | Suburban | Jan. 12–14 | 8.7 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 18.8 | 1:00 PM |
|       | Research Center 1 (winter–nighttime) | | | 8.4 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 14 | 10:00 PM |
| 5     | Research Center 2 (winter–daytime) | Suburban | Jan. 30–Feb. 4 | 8.6 | 5 | 3.9 | 35 | 9:00 AM |
|       | Research Center 2 (winter–nighttime) | | | 12.4 | 8.8 | 3.4 | 45 | 8:00 PM |
| 6     | Atmospheric Research Institute (winter–daytime) | Suburban | Jan. 16–21 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 14.6 | 10:00 AM |
|       | Atmospheric Research Institute (winter–nighttime) | | | 6.4 | 3.1 | 3 | 13.8 | 1:00 AM |
| 7     | Changping (summer–daytime) | Rural | Sep. 16–20 | 5 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 11:00 AM |
|       | Changping (summer–nighttime) | | Sep. 16–20 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 7.1 | 5:00 AM |
| 8     | Huairou County (winter–daytime) | Rural | Feb. 10–13 | 3.6 | 1 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 11:00 AM |
|       | Huairou County (winter–nighttime) | | Feb. 10–13 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 8:00 PM |

All concentrations are reported in ng/m³.

aTime of maximum concentration occurrence.
procedure, no stepwise shifts in the TGM measurements were observed which indicated that the Tekran system remained stable during operation.

Prior to being used for TGM measurements in the Beijing urban and suburban areas, the Tekran instrumentation was used by our research team at two of eight Connecticut sampling locations (East Hartford and Mohawk Mountain) that were set to continuously measure the levels of gaseous atmospheric mercury in Connecticut. The Tekran instrument provided a QA/QC check for the field and laboratory methods that were being used at these sampling stations. The 5-min interval samples were averaged over a week period and the results were compared with the weekly gold trap/GC-CVAA analyzed samples (details of the Connecticut long-term mercury monitoring study and the QA/QC for that study can be found in Nadim et al., 2001). The comparison results were tabulated in Table 2. The results in Table 2 depict a good agreement between the mean weekly TGM concentrations measured with the two different methods and validate Tekran’s field operating procedure.

The long-term investigation study of atmospheric mercury contamination in Connecticut indicated that 24 h was a sufficient interval for the Tekran instrument’s calibration.

2.4. Meteorological data (data analysis)

Winds were categorized according to wind direction by dividing the azimuth (0–360°) into 36 zones, clockwise from the north with 10° intervals. A correlation analysis was conducted to address the association of atmospheric mercury concentrations with wind speed and wind direction distribution. The 5-min weather data were used to study the correlation between ambient concentration of mercury and weather parameters.

Samples taken during January and February were classified as winter samples and samples taken during September were considered as summer samples. TGM was continuously monitored from three to six days at each sampling location (Table 1).

3. Results

3.1. Hourly variations of TGM in winter and summer sampling periods

To present the data, 5-min samples were transformed to hourly concentrations of mercury by averaging over 60-min periods. Descriptive statistics of atmospheric mercury concentrations for the seven sampling locations in Beijing area are presented in Table 1.

During the winter sampling period, mean concentration of mercury at the urban residential site (Xuanwu) was more than twice the concentration of mercury at all other sampling locations. In this sampling location, there were two episodes (about 10 h) of high mercury concentrations, when mean TGM concentrations were reached and exceeded 100 ng/m³ (Fig. 2). This phenomenon contributed to high values of mean concentration of mercury during the monitoring period. In the Xuanwu sampling location, there were many traditional one-story residential houses. Almost every house had its own coal-burning furnace for heating in winter. Houses in the Beijing area are traditionally one-story high with a chimney that extends ~1–2 m horizontally away from the building’s roof. Most households in the Beijing area, and in China in general, use coal for heating purposes during cold periods and they account for 10% of the total coal consumption in the country. In 1995, it was estimated that 86% of the coal used for heating homes, power generation or other purposes in China were directly burned (Linqing, 2001). Therefore it is likely that high concentrations of TGM observed in early hours of January 24 and 25 (Fig. 2) were most likely caused by coal combustion in household and wind direction for those certain periods. During the nighttime winter sampling periods, high concentrations of atmospheric mercury were observed at site 5 (suburban) and site 2 (urban-residential) sampling locations (Figs. 2 and 3). Lower wind speed during night that is typical of Beijing’s winter may have increased the residence time of TGM in the boundary layer leading to high TGM concentrations. Due to the use of coal for heating homes in rural sectors (sites 7 and 8), elevated levels of TGM

| Sampling location | Sampling period          | Mean TGM (ng/m³) | Tekran 2537A | ERI laboratory | % Difference |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| East Hartford     | September 12–19, 1997    | 2.32             | 2.56         | 9.2            |
|                   | September 19–26, 1997    | 1.99             | 2.06         | 3.4            |
|                   | November 7–14, 1997      | 1.67             | 1.75         | 4.5            |
| Mohawk Mountain   | December 5–12, 1997      | 1.79             | 1.82         | 1.8            |
|                   | December 12–19, 1997     | 1.92             | 1.92         | 0.0            |
concentrations (2–3 times higher than the average marine background concentration of 1.5 ng/m$^3$ for the Northern Hemisphere) were observed. The mean TGM concentrations at site 3 (suburban-industrial) were lower than mean TGM concentrations at site 2 which was an urban residential site in both winter and summer sampling periods. The reason may be due to the fact that the steel plant located in the vicinity of site 3 was equipped with an emission control device and used a mixture of washed and raw coal that had less mercury content than the raw coal used for residential home heating. Through the coal washing process, 80% of coal ash could be eliminated. Advanced coal washing technologies can substantially reduce sulfur, arsenic and mercury contents of coal but they could become prohibitively expensive. Starting in 1998, the government of China has imposed regulations on the sulfur content of coal used in urban environments and the clean coal technology is continuing to gain progress (Linqing, 2001).

Comparison of the atmospheric mercury concentrations obtained in this study with some historical atmospheric mercury data of other urban and rural locations in the Northern Hemisphere shows that the mean concentration of mercury measured during summer at the Xuanwu (10.5 ng/m$^3$) and Tiananmen Square (12.7 ng/m$^3$) sampling locations were comparable to concentrations of ~10 ng/m$^3$ measured during summer of 1994 at Hayama Point and Chiba University in Nishichiba Station that are both urban locations in Japan. The atmospheric concentration of mercury measured in Tiananmen Square during summer sampling period was also similar to atmospheric mercury concentrations measured in the summer of 1988 in Olympic Park and Han Nam Dong sampling stations located in Seoul, South Korea, at 13 and 15 ng/m$^3$, respectively (Fig. 4).

The atmospheric mercury concentrations measured in the rural sampling locations of this study were similar to rural concentrations measured in Seoul Park and Mountain Stations measured in South Korea (Kim and Kim, 1996) but were slightly higher than the concentrations measured over northeast US and eastern Canadian provinces (1.5–2.1 ng/m$^3$) (Nadim et al., 2001; D’Urso et al., 1998), and Harwell in central region of southern England (1.7 ng/m$^3$) (Lee et al., 1998). Higher mercury concentrations observed during summer when compared to the winter sampling period in Tiananmen Square and Shijingshan sampling locations were similar to the findings of Nakagawa (1995) at an urban location about 25 km east of Tokyo, Japan. Sohn et al. (1993) found a similar seasonal trend during their measurement.
of atmospheric mercury in the Seoul area in South Korea in 1988. Mean concentration of TGM in the Seoul area was 10–40% higher during summer than winter sampling period. TGM concentrations measured in Shijingshan (suburban Beijing) were very similar to TGM concentrations measured in Halle–Leipzig which is a suburban industrial area in Germany (Ebinghaus et al., 1995).

Elevated episodes of hourly concentrations of atmospheric mercury observed at the Xuanwu sampling location during summer sampling period have also been observed in other urban locations by other researchers. Keeler et al. (1994) studied the atmospheric mercury contamination in the Great Lakes Basin of North America in July and August of 1992 in two rural and one urban sampling locations. During their measurements, average concentration of TGM ranged from 2 to 8.7 ng/m³ and the highest 12-h mean value was 62.7 ng/m³ at the urban (Chicago) sampling site. Monitoring the atmospheric mercury levels in Han Nam Dong quarter of Seoul in South Korea in 1988, Kim and Kim (1996) measured a maximum 3-h mean TGM concentration of 112.8 ng/m³, and an overall mean TGM concentration of 15 ng/m³. Measuring the atmospheric gaseous phase mercury concentration in Hayama Point, an urban area in Japan, during 1978–1986 sampling period, Nakagawa (1995) reported a maximum hourly concentration of 217 ng/m³ for atmospheric mercury, while the overall mean value was 58.7 ng/m³.

3.2. Effect of wind speed on atmospheric concentration of mercury

At the Atmospheric Research Institute (site 6), weather data (wind speed, wind direction, and humidity) were captured every 20 s by the meteorological station located in the institute. There was a moderate overall negative correlation between the ambient TGM concentrations and the wind speed ($r = -0.65, p < 0.0001$) at site 6. It should be noted that elevated TGM concentrations caused by emissions were not dispersed due to the absence of high winds. Correlation between mercury concentration data points corresponding to wind speeds lower than 1.5 m/s ($r = -0.62$) was stronger than the correlation between data points and wind speeds higher than 1.5 m/s ($r = -0.32$). Change in mercury concentration decreased with wind speeds higher than 1.5 m/s (Fig. 5). Wind speed and mercury concentration data collected at 5 min are shown in Table 3. The mercury concentration data were sorted according to wind speed and grouped with an interval of 0.5 m/s.

4. Discussion

4.1. Diurnal changes in atmospheric mercury concentrations

For winter sampling period, air samples taken between 8 AM and 7 PM were categorized as daytime
samples and samples taken between 7 PM and 8 AM were considered as nighttime samples. For summer sampling period, air samples taken between 7 AM and 8 PM were categorized as daytime samples and samples taken between 8 PM and 7 AM were considered as nighttime samples. At site 5, the mean TGM concentration during night hours (12.4 ng/m³) was 44% higher than the mean TGM concentration (8.6 ng/m³) during daytime hours. In the other two (site 4 and site 6) suburban sampling locations there was no significant difference between the daytime and nighttime TGM concentrations (Table 1). At the Xuanwu (urban-residential) sampling location, mean concentrations of TGM during nighttime were higher than daytime sampling hours for both winter and summer sampling periods (Table 1). At the Tiananmen sampling location the mean TGM concentration for daytime sampling hours was 21% higher than the nighttime sampling hours during the summer sampling period. At the suburban-industrial site (site 3), mean TGM concentration during nighttime–summer was 23% higher than the daytime concentrations. No significant difference was observed between the daytime and nighttime TGM concentrations at the two rural (site 7 and site 8) sites during winter and summer sampling periods. Highest 1-h average TGM concentration was observed at the Xuanwu site (120 ng/m³) at 11 PM, during the winter sampling hours.

4.2. Effect of coal consumption in winter, solar radiation and temperature in summer on atmospheric concentration of mercury

Pirrone et al. (1996) studied the regional differences in worldwide emissions of mercury to the atmosphere. They stated that coal combustion was the dominant source of human-related atmospheric mercury contamination in Asia (~42%). In assessing worldwide
80% of the vapor phase mercury was present in the
flue gas recorded for January and February in the meteorolog-
ic conditions during summer. Average low and high temperatures
from combustion that contribute to elevated TGM levels
were a few factors contributing to high TGM concen-
trations during the September sampling period. Coal is
not used for heating homes during summer in Beijing
area, but it is used for production of hot water and
cooking purposes. There are factors other than coal
combustion that contribute to elevated TGM levels
during summer. Average low and high temperatures
recorded for January and February in the meteorologi-
cal conditions during summer. Average low and high temperatures in August and September were 18 and 28 °C, respectively. Due to the combustion of coal for
heating homes and buildings, large quantities of mercury
are emitted to the atmosphere during wintertime. Low
temperatures and precipitation bring a portion of the
emitted mercury back to the ground in the form of dry
or wet deposition. During summer hours when the heat
index is high, mercury in the soil evaporates back to the
atmosphere, causing an increase in atmospheric mercury
concentrations. However, this phenomenon needs fur-
ther investigation by measuring the soil flux of mercury
and its interaction with TGM in urban sectors of Beijing
during warm periods. Measuring the water–air and soil–
air exchange rate of TGM in the summer of 1995 in the
southern part of Quebec, Canada, Poissant and Casimir
(1998) found that mercury flux from a grass covered soil was relatively constant (2.3–3 ng/m² h) in the temperature
range of 10–20 °C. In the temperature range of 20–
30 °C mercury flux rose to ~7–8 ng/m² h. They also
found that mercury flux was positively correlated with wind speed (r² = 0.3906). Capri and Lindberg (1997)
conducted a study on the effect of sunlight on the
emission of elemental mercury from soils amended with
municipal sewage sludge. They found a strong positive
correlation between the soil temperature and Hg⁰
emissions. They also concluded that the dominant factor affecting soil Hg⁰ emissions was solar radiation. At one
of their sites, they measured soil Hg emissions from July
26 to 27, 1995. During this sampling period, highest Hg⁰
fluxes from soil occurred between 10 AM and 3 PM. They suggested that reduced Hg was oxidized to volatile Hg⁰ in the upper layer soil due to sunlight and
abiotic reduction of Hg²⁺ and this phenomenon was
highest on exposed fields. The same phenomenon
investigated by Capri and Lindberg (1997), and Poissant
and Casimir (1998) could partially be responsible for
elevated levels of atmospheric mercury in Beijing during
summer hours.

4.3. Effect of wind frequencies on atmospheric mercury flux

The 5-min mercury concentration data were sorted
by wind direction and grouped for every 10° as discussed
in Section 2.4 (Table 3). All the measured data at site 6
(Atmospheric Research Institute) were grouped together
and used in Table 3. During the monitoring period, the
prevalent wind was from the 20° to the 140° sector, with
maximum frequency occurring at 70° (Fig. 6).

The highest mean concentration of mercury occurred
when the wind direction was in the northeast direction
(30–50° sectors). In this range of sectors, the average
wind speed was lower than 1.0 m/s. The lowest average
mercury concentration occurred when the wind was in
the 230–260° southwest direction. In this range of sec-
tors, the average wind speed was higher than 1.5 m/s
(Fig. 7).

The relative flux parameter (F) was measured using
the modified version of a mass balance equation used by
Poissant and Casimir (1998) for computation of mercury
flux with the dynamic flux chamber technique. If site 6
was considered as a mercury receptor, the relative flux
parameter (F) measuring the mercury flux in all direc-
tions could be written as

\[ F_i = (C_i - C_{\text{min}}) \times WS \times WF_i, \] (1)
where $F_i$ is the relative flux parameter for a certain direction (ng/m$^2$ s%), $C_i$ is the mean ambient air concentration of mercury (ng/m$^3$), $C_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum concentration at site 6 (used as background concentration) (ng/m$^3$), $W_S$ is the average wind speed at any direction, used as a linear flow rate of mercury flux (m/s), and $W_F$ is the percentage wind direction frequency (%).

Concentration multiplied by the flow rate ($W_S$) on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) was relatively constant, making the relative flux of mercury proportional to the wind frequency in different directions. Therefore, during the sampling period at site 6, the quantity of TGM transported to or from the sampling site was mainly influenced by the duration and frequency of wind occurrence from certain directions (Fig. 6).

5. Conclusion

Atmospheric mercury was monitored for short durations (3–6 days) at seven sampling locations in Beijing municipality during January, February and September of 1998. High concentrations of TGM were observed in urban sections (urban residential and city center) of Beijing during daytime and nighttime sampling hours in summer and winter sampling periods with a few episodes of very high levels of TGM concentrations. Highest concentration of TGM was measured in an urban-residential section of Beijing (Xuanwu) (120 ng/m$^3$) in January, suggesting that a positive correlation existed between high atmospheric mercury and coal consumption.

If, for each sampling location, the minimum concentration of TGM is considered as the background level of mercury for that sampling location, the collected data showed that at Xuanwu, and Tiananmen Square sampling sites, the background levels of TGM concentrations in September were higher than the January and February sampling periods (Table 1). Although during summer coal is not used for heating purposes, higher TGM concentrations may be due to evaporation of soil-bound mercury caused by high temperatures and solar radiation. To verify this assumption, future studies should include longer monitoring periods of TGM.
Continuous monitoring can provide more detailed information regarding ambient air mercury pollution trends in populated cities and industrial regions of China. Hourly averages seem to be a sufficient reflection of the temporal and diurnal variation of mercury concentration. TGM concentration data combined with wind speed and wind direction can aid in characterizing mercury pollution. Wind speed appears to be a major factor in mercury concentration variation. Lower wind speed may have increased the residence time of TGM in the boundary layer leading to high TGM concentrations during certain sampling hours. The transporting air mass at higher wind velocities could have diluted and dispersed TGM in the boundary layer resulting in lower TGM concentrations.
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