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Abstract

Background: Given the persistent challenges to the higher education business model, private higher education institutions (PHEIs) are exploring myriad ways to increase enrolment and income, while aggressively managing spending. Many PHEIs are facing financial distress and struggling because of decreasing budgets and declining revenue. Thus, carving unique strategies that direct the institution to focus on its core competencies, making additional budget cuts without compromising quality, developing new revenue streams, embracing new technology, and offering affordable programs, will ultimately lead to financial success. Frugal innovation (FI) can shed light on these challenges.

Methods: This paper presents a systematic literature review to investigate and analyse prior research that focused on FI within the sphere of intellectual capital (IC) and information technology capabilities (ITC) research, and their relationships in PHEIs. Transfield’s five phases were employed to extract journal articles published over a thirty-year period (1990 to 2020) from major online databases using keyword searches. Although an initial search generated 76,025 papers, the search for IC and FI yielded 41 papers, and finally only two papers were selected as they clearly related IC with FI.

Results: There was a research gap in the literature published from...
1990 to 2020 regarding IC applications to achieve FI. This work revealed that IC and ITC research for FI in PHEI remain insufficiently explored.

**Conclusions:** Further research is required on the evaluation model of IC, ITC and FI, methodologies, empirical analysis, and the development of measurement metrics. A limitation to this study is the number of keywords selected.
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Introduction
Over the recent decades, higher education institutions (HEIs) have been challenged by the shifting landscape of employment, technologies and demand. In the globalisation era, HEIs require a paradigm shift which is expected to focus more on ‘global, digital and information’-based rather than being conventionally ‘national, analogue, industrial economy’-orientated. HEIs play a critical role in the knowledge-based society and serve as a reservoir of knowledge. Recently, HEIs faced considerable competition and challenging situations to gain a competitive advantage in national and international settings. In particular, private higher education institutions (PHEIs) encounter considerable challenges to strike a balance between maximising shareholders’ financial growth and improving educational quality. Many local PHEIs are encountering difficulties to persist in the industry, with 53% of PHEIs incurring losses before taxes and 55% incurring losses after taxes. This tremendous fiscal strain has caused various impacts including of job losses and learning disruptions for approximately 5,800 academic staff and 121,000 students in Malaysia, given the inadequate quality of education in unprofitable institutions.

In addition, PHEIs have been blooming in Malaysia to meet the increasing population demand. As a result, intense competition is apparent among PHEIs. PHEIs are transforming education into a business model where the curriculum and programmes are tailored to accommodate the masses and are expected to generate high commercial value. Reductions in funding will have implications on the quality of education. An urgent need exists for quality revolution in PHEIs, and for building a 21st century model to adapt to current social and technological changes. PHEIs should be ready to explore and innovate their curriculum design to produce graduates with high competency and skills. Furthermore, PHEIs must secure additional resources to finance their modernisation and development in a period of tight or decreasing public budgets, without forcing students to pay for the benefits of higher education which accrue to society at large.

Recently, PHEIs have been experiencing unexpected challenges because of limited budgets, declining revenues, resources constraints and increasing cost. Therefore, business sustainability has become the main issue for the higher education system. Sazonov et al. asserted that only HEIs with stable and sound financial positions will be able to persist and meet the requirements of their various stakeholders, to provide high quality yet equitable and affordable education while maximising shareholder wealth. Additionally, PHEIs face pressure from industry and academia to boost innovation through alternative approaches in a resource-constrained environment without considerable investment, and provide solutions that are substantially more affordable. Therefore, financially challenged PHEIs are adopting low-cost approaches which require new innovative paradigms such as frugal innovation (FI).

Most importantly, a paradigm shift in the mindset and approach among leaders is needed and will require PHEIs to respond to a rapidly changing environment, by aligning their strategies to meet the current landscape. Moreover, according to private education has been the main contributor to the nation’s economic development and gross domestic product (GDP). A consistent increase in GDP contribution has arisen between 2015 and 2019, at RM14.09bil, RM14.84bil, RM15.70bil, RM16.62bil and RM30bil. Moreover, PHEIs must focus on core functions, create closer integration with industry, collaborate with local and international communities and promote greater efficiency in operations.

Intellectual capital (IC) is an emerging issue for academics, governments and investors and has been studied in developed countries, as it is regarded as a crucial indication of organisational development in a knowledge economy. Youndt et al. asserted that, in knowledge-intensive organisations such as universities, prudent management of IC can ensure that procedures are successful and that entities can produce value. IC is vital in improving PHEI performance, innovation and creativity. Several calls have been made for research on improving the management of IC in PHEIs. However, studies that focuses on IC management and resource efficiency in PHEI are still limited.

FI involves managing the entire value chain with limited physical and financial resources, resulting in improved product quality and cost savings. Therefore, a company can benefit through cost reduction, because of prudent resource management, full utilisation of existing components, adoption of cost-effective technology, and simplified design.
By adopting FI, PHEIs will be able to meet stakeholder expectations and eventually lead to increased profitability and sustainability. Therefore, developing a resource-saving agenda and services, by aiming at core elements and avoiding wasteful spending, is crucial in a resource-constrained environment. FI is observed as ‘core functionality’, performance-based, focuses on usage of resources, ‘ruggedisation’, cost saving, ‘no-frills strategy’ and environmental concern. Information technology capability (ITC) supports innovation processes for increasing productivity, improving customer relationships and lowering operating expenses. An organisation’s success is not solely contributed to by investing in an IT system, but also arises from the ability of firms to acquire ITC in an ever-changing business environment. Brown and Sambamurth define capability as ‘the distinctive organisational skills for combining available resources and sustaining superior performance’. Thus, ITC refers to particular resources, skills, information, knowledge, procedures and relationships that empower companies to viably acquire, adopt and control IT applications and administrations, to gain innovations and superior performance. As such, a PHEI with efficient IC management can achieve frugal innovation with the existence of robust ITC, as the institutions would be able to scan the environment and sense, monitor and strengthen their organisational capabilities.

Therefore, managing IC incorporated with technologies to establish IT capabilities will be able to add value to the company. IT helps organisations, whether it is through better decision-making, developing relationships with customers, business partners, and suppliers, automating manual processes, or fostering organisational innovation. Therefore, there is a need to grasp the role of IT capabilities and proposed relationships with IC and frugal innovation to achieve greater business performance and fully use IT capabilities. Previous studies show that IC is insufficient to create improved company success on its own. However, organisations’ performance will be determined by IT capabilities combined with IC management that encourages innovation. Numerous past studies have shown that there is a mixed findings on the relationship between IC and innovation and their effect on firm performance, however, that research overlooked on the role played by IT capability in supporting, accumulating, and developing IC competencies that encourages innovation. The achievement of the firm is associated also with their ability to discover and acquire new knowledge to be used in innovation and gain success.

IC, ITC and FI together will be able to provide new opportunities for PHEIs to redesign their business processes and model. Therefore, this gap prompted the researchers to investigate and analyse prior research that focused on FI, within the sphere of IC and ITC literature and their relationships in PHEIs.

Given this backdrop, the research questions for this study were as follows:

1. How did the corresponding literature of IC and FI in PHEIs evolve?
2. What are the research gaps on the influence of ITC on IC and FI in PHEI?
3. What are the possible future research directions?

The objectives of this study were as follows:

1. To identify the main areas of IC and FI in the PHEI context.
2. To analyse research gaps in the literature on the influence of ITC on IC and FI.
3. To identify the possible future research directions.

Hence, the main contribution of this paper is to fill the gap in existing literature on PHEI, underlining the growing importance of IC and FI. In addition, the researchers aim to provide a review on past studies related to applying IC to achieve FI in PHEI. This paper is organised as follow: first, the scope of this research is explained; the following section describes the method to collect and compare the existing literature; the Results section represents keyword search result, and gap analysis; the Discussion provides avenue for future research, and the Conclusions section outlines the conclusions of this research.
Methods

This paper was designed to present a systematic literature review on effects of IC on FI. Our assessment of the literature was based on the five steps of systemic review that were presented by, which entails five phases as shown and described in Figure 1. Major online databases that were selected include IEEE, Sage Publications, Emerald, JSTOR, Scopus, ProQuest, and Science Direct. The search technique employed in each database differed depending on the terms and the capacity to combine the terms using Boolean operators, and the usage of truncations such as 'intellectual capital' AND 'information technology capability' AND 'frugal innovation' AND 'Higher education institution' OR 'higher learning institution' OR 'university' OR 'institute of higher learning'. The final search method was customised for each database to optimise the retrieval of relevant research. Each article was reviewed for eligibility after checking title, abstract and keyword. Two authors (JJ, MD) independently filtered papers that discussed IC and FI. Any disputes about study inclusion were solved by negotiations among the authors until consensus was reached. The papers analysed were further selected based on inclusion and exclusion criteria as shown in Figure 2, and the selection process is shown in Figure 3.

Figure 1. Five stages of systematic literature review.

Figure 2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.
Studies were included if they were (i) published between 1990 and 2020, (ii) peer-reviewed journal articles and (iii) focused specifically on IC and FI. Studies were excluded if they were (i) discussing only one term i.e., either IC or FI without relating them, (ii) not published in English and (iii) books, conference proceedings, dissertation or magazines. Prior to the screening procedure, duplicate studies were eliminated. Relevant data were extracted and summarised in tables based on study design, paper type, methodology and publication trend by year. There are a few limitations to this systematic study that should be mentioned. First, because the articles included were only acquired from journal publications, the data on the IC and FI may be incomplete. Second, the review only considered English-language publications. Several publications discovered in this review were in foreign languages, however they were removed as the authors did not have the capacity to comprehend them.

During the data charting, we will test a predefined data extraction sheet that has been accepted by consensus of authors (JJ, MD). We will extract year of publication, source of information, design and study-specific information, and findings that address our research questions. The first author (JJ) extracted the data while the second author (MD) carried out a cross-check to provide an overview of the extracted data items in the results. Results are presented graphically. JJ provided a summary of the study’s features based on both abstract and full-text screening and a random check conducted by the second reviewer. The full spreadsheet listing all extracted items was stored in a database and included in the ‘Data availability’ section and reference list. Figure 3 illustrates the process of document selection based on title and abstract, followed by full text selection. Only published, peer-reviewed journal articles were considered while other publications such as newspaper articles, books and conference proceedings were not included.

**Figure 3. PRISMA extraction flow.**

(Prisma extraction flow). Studies were included if they were (i) published between 1990 and 2020, (ii) peer-reviewed journal articles and (iii) focused specifically on IC and FI. Studies were excluded if they were (i) discussing only one term i.e., either IC or FI without relating them, (ii) not published in English and (iii) books, conference proceedings, dissertation or magazines. Prior to the screening procedure, duplicate studies were eliminated. Relevant data were extracted and summarised in tables based on study design, paper type, methodology and publication trend by year. There are a few limitations to this systematic study that should be mentioned. First, because the articles included were only acquired from journal publications, the data on the IC and FI may be incomplete. Second, the review only considered English-language publications. Several publications discovered in this review were in foreign languages, however they were removed as the authors did not have the capacity to comprehend them.
**Ethical considerations**  
This study was approved by the Research Ethical Committee (REC) of the Multimedia University (EA1362021). The study was conducted according to the guidelines of and was approved by the REC.

**Results**  
The authors used a thematic analysis, summarizing the results by year, source database, methodology used in the study and classification by type of paper. A total of 76,025 papers was obtained with the keyword ‘intellectual capital’. For the keywords search of ‘information technology capability’ and ‘frugal innovation’, 14,122 and 2,320 journal papers were listed, respectively. However, when the researcher entered a combination of ‘intellectual capital’, ‘information technology capability’ and ‘frugal innovation’ keywords, no journal was found. Similarly, when the researcher used the keyword sets ‘intellectual capital’ + ‘information technology capability’ + ‘frugal innovation’ + ‘Higher education institution’ or ‘higher learning institution’ or university or ‘institute of higher learning’, no papers were obtained.

**Publication per year**  
The authors selected journal articles from five main databases from the year 1990 to 2020 (Figure 7). The authors analyzed the trend of publication over the years for IC and FI (34 articles). Increasing trends were observed in terms of number of publications over the years (Figure 7). There was also a drastic increase during the year 2020, which indicates the topic gained popularity.

**Publication by methodology**  
From the collection of articles, this section aims to discuss the main methodology applied by each paper. Table 3 describes the themes associated to each publication based on whether they used quantitative methods (survey or archival), qualitative (case study approach or observation), or conceptual model approaches (literature review studies or theoretical concept). As summarized in Figures 5 and 6, approximately 44% were empirical papers, followed by conceptual papers (41%) and reviews (15%).

**Publication by source database**  
The articles were grouped according to whether they were obtained from searching IEEE, Sage Publications, Emerald, JSTOR, Scopus, ProQuest, and Science Direct to analyse the one yielding the most publications. Paper grouping per database is presented in Table 2 and Figure 4. As shown in Table 1, 41 papers were listed for IC and FI. The 41 papers were obtained from five online databases as shown in Table 2 and Figure 3. Most papers were published in Emerald and ProQuest. Only 34 papers were related to IC and FI from the total of 41 papers. The seven remaining papers were duplicates which appeared in multiple online databases and were removed from the analysis. Further investigation of the 34 papers revealed only two papers related to IC and FI.

![Figure 4. Percentage of 41 papers by source database.](image-url)
yielded 41 papers but only two clearly related IC with FI. Referring to Table 2 and Figure 4, 51% of the papers were from ProQuest database, followed by Emerald (39%).

From a comparison of the articles published over the years, research on IC and FI was found to be discussed in 34 papers after removing duplicate publications. Further screening on titles resulted in the selection of 12 papers relevant to the

![Figure 5. Percentage of 41 papers by source.](image)

![Figure 6. Percentage of paper by type.](image)

![Figure 7. Publication trend by year.](image)
Table 1. Summary of keyword search results.

| No. | Online database | Intellectual Capital | Keywords combinations |
|-----|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1   | JSTOR           | 181                  | Intellectual Capital AND Higher education Institution or Higher learning Institutions or universities or Institution of higher learning |
|     |                 | 146                  | Information technology Capability |
|     |                 | 12522                | 67                     |
| 2   | Emerald         | 8246                 | Intellectual Capital AND Information technology Capability AND Higher education Institution or Higher learning Institutions or universities or Institution of higher learning |
|     |                 | 229615               | 121719                |
|     |                 | 619                  | 552                   |
| 3   | ProQuest        | 19768                | Intellectual Capital AND Information technology Capability |
|     |                 | 7,027,625            | 394                   |
|     |                 | 763                  | 21                    |
| 4   | Scopus          | 3946                 | Intellectual Capital AND Information technology Capability |
|     |                 | 172                  | 237                   |
|     |                 | 70                   | 1                     |
| 5   | IEEE            | 17                   | Intellectual Capital AND Information technology Capability |
|     |                 | 0                    | 67                    |
|     |                 | 67                   | 6                     |
| 6   | Science Direct  | 4989                 | Intellectual Capital AND Information technology Capability |
|     |                 | 1                    | 1029                  |
|     |                 | 127                  | 2                     |
| 7   | Sage Publications | 38878             | Intellectual Capital AND Information technology Capability |
|     |                 | 849499               | 35                    |
|     |                 | 21                   | 0                     |
| Total: | 76025           | 8,107,058            | 14122                 |
|      |                 | 121786               | 2320                  |
|      |                 | 41                   | 0                     |
|      |                 |                      | 0                     |
|      |                 |                      | 0                     |
Table 2. Source of 41 Papers.

| Online database | No of papers | Percentage | Selected for this study |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|
| JSTOR           | 1            | 2%         | 0                       |
| Emerald         | 16           | 39%        | 1                       |
| ProQuest        | 21           | 51%        | 1                       |
| Scopus          | 1            | 2%         | 0                       |
| Science Direct  | 2            | 5%         | 0                       |
| Total           | 41           | 100%       | 2                       |

Table 3. Descriptive information of the 34 core papers.

| No | Author                  | Year | Source database | Respondents                                      | Methodology          | Type of paper              |
|----|-------------------------|------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 1  | Abbas et al. (2019)     | 2019 | Proquest        | SMEs in Pakistan                                | Quantitative         | Empirical                 |
| 2  | Arshi et al. (2020)     | 2020 | Emerald         | 713 entrepreneurs in India, Oman and the United Arab Emirates | Quantitative         | Empirical                 |
| 3  | Bashir and Farooq (2018)| 2018 | Emerald         | Nil                                              | Systematic Literature Review | Empirical               |
| 4  | Bencsik et al. (2016)   | 2016 | Proquest        | Hungarian Firms                                 | Nil                  | Conceptual                |
| 5  | Bhattacharya et al. (2020)| 2020 | Emerald        | Construction companies in India                   | Qualitative          | Empirical                 |
| 6  | Botha (2019)            | 2019 | Emerald         | Nil                                              | Qualitative          | Conceptual                |
| 7  | Brem et al. (2014)      | 2014 | Proquest        | Nil                                              | Nil                  | Conceptual                |
| 8  | Deborah (2016)          | 2016 | Science Direct  | Nil                                              | Nil                  | Conceptual                |
| 9  | Dhamija & Bag (2020)    | 2020 | Emerald         | Nil                                              | Systematic Literature Review | Review               |
| 10 | Diaw et al. (2020)      | 2020 | Proquest        | African Industry                                 | Nil                  | Conceptual                |
| 11 | Dost et al. (2019)      | 2019 | Emerald         | SMEs                                             | Quantitative         | Empirical                 |
| 12 | Durst et al. (2018)     | 2018 | Emerald         | 671 Turkish firms operating in five industries   | Quantitative         | Empirical                 |
| 13 | Farooq (2020)           | 2020 | Emerald         | Organisation                                     | Systematic Literature Review | Review               |
| 14 | Gupta et al. (2016)     | 2016 | Scopus          | Nil                                              | Nil                  | Conceptual                |
| 15 | Gupta (2019)            | 2019 | Proquest        | Nil                                              | Nil                  | Conceptual                |
| 16 | Hart et al. (2016)      | 2016 | JSTOR           | nil                                              | Nil                  | Conceptual                |
| 17 | Herting et al. (2020)   | 2020 | Proquest        | Nil                                              | Qualitative          | Empirical                 |
| 18 | Horn & Brem (2013)      | 2013 | Proquest & Emerald | Nil                                                | Systematic Literature Review | Empirical |
research topic. Furthermore, the authors’ abstract screening led to only six papers being selected while others were excluded, as they were not widely discussing the research topic. Finally, full-text screening was carried out by the authors, and it appeared only two papers appeared had carried out relevant research on the topic. The remaining four articles excluded were either discussing only one of the concepts (IC or FI) and no relationship was found to be explored between the two, or they discussed general issues in innovation (as shown in Figure 3). Of the two papers (Tables 3 and 4), Dost et al.\textsuperscript{36} investigated the effect of internal and external sources of knowledge management on FI, which is moderated by technological turbulence and market turbulence. Bencsik et al.\textsuperscript{37} wrote a conceptual paper on FI and knowledge management. The goal of FI does not only focus on providing low-cost products and services, but rather on establishing a flexible thinking to uncover human knowledge, and the capability and usage of internal or external technologies, resulting in lower innovation cost of processes and products.\textsuperscript{38} Thus, this study aims to enhance the understanding of the components of IC: ‘human capital’, ‘structural capital’, and ‘relational capital’ as main factors in the generation of FI through ITC.

Some of the challenges faced throughout the search included selecting the right keywords and terms during the data extraction process, where there is a risk of either too much or not enough results being obtained, depending on the keywords use. Apart from that, the description of inclusion and exclusion criteria can have practical implications too. Finally, ‘low recall’ for keywords such as IC, ITC and FI altogether seems to be not appearing in HEIs context. Finding the optimum combination of keywords and adjusting the precision to maximize system accuracy is difficult. The goal of this systematic reviews was to include knowledge from all relevant research. Some of the limitations include a lack of

| No | Author | Year | Source database | Respondents | Methodology | Type of paper |
|----|--------|------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| 19 | Hossain (2017) | 2017 | Proquest & Emerald | Nil | Systematic Literature Review | Review |
| 20 | Hossain et al. (2016) | 2016 | Emerald | Nil | Systematic Literature Review | Review |
| 21 | Kabadurmus (2020) | 2020 | Emerald | Business Enterprise | Qualitative | Empirical |
| 22 | Koerich et al. (2019) | 2019 | Proquest | Nil | Nil | Conceptual |
| 23 | Kok (2017) | 2017 | Proquest | 3 China Banks | Qualitative | Empirical |
| 24 | Liotta et al. (2018) | 2018 | Proquest | Health | Nil | Conceptual |
| 25 | Michelam et al. (2020) | 2020 | Proquest | Nil | Nil | Conceptual |
| 26 | Nag et al. (2020) | 2020 | Proquest | Nil | Nil | Conceptual |
| 27 | Penco et al. (2020) | 2020 | Emerald | Italian SME - F&B Industry | Quantitative | Empirical |
| 28 | Rese et al. (2020) | 2020 | Proquest & Emerald | 95 German workers | Quantitative | Empirical |
| 29 | Sahay (2016) | 2016 | Proquest | Public health | Nil | Review |
| 30 | Snehvrat & Dutta (2018) | 2018 | Emerald | NA | Qualitative | Empirical |
| 31 | Hosung et al. (2018) | 2018 | Proquest | Korean manufacturing | Mixed Method | Empirical |
| 32 | Sordi et al. (2019) | 2019 | Emerald | 46 entrepreneurs | Qualitative | Empirical |
| 33 | Yin et al. (2019) | 2019 | Science direct | China Firms | Nil | Conceptual |
| 34 | Zhifeng et al. (2020) | 2020 | Proquest | Chinese Enterprise | Nil | Conceptual |
Table 4. Analysis of 34 core papers by research objectives, variables, moderator, mediator and findings.

| No | Author | Research objectives | Intellectual capital variables | Mediator | Moderator | Findings |
|----|--------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| 1  | Abbas et al. (2019) | To investigate the link between corporate social responsibility and firm performance through the moderating role of the social media marketing application in small firms. | Corporate social responsibility (CSR), social media marketing | Social media technology application | Customers' engagement and firm performance can be enhanced for firm that focuses on CSR practices when social media integrated with marketing strategies. |
| 2  | Arshi et al. (2020) | To propose 'Start-up Evaluation Calculus Using Research Evidence' (SECURE) business model to allow the measurement of the impact of business model design on start-up performance in emerging economies. | Business model | | | It is suggested that the business model framework is required for businesses with ex ante indicators shows positive impact to firm performance. |
| 3  | Bashir and Farooq (2018) | To provide a systematic review of the linkage between 'knowledge management', 'business model innovation' and 'firm competence'. | Knowledge management | | | The integration of knowledge management and business model innovation leads to a sustainable competitive advantage. |
| 4  | Bencsik et al. (2016) | To show the relationship between frugal innovation and knowledge management. | Knowledge management, knowledge sharing | | | Hungarian companies have shown that there is relationship between 'human, relationships, knowledge and creativity' and the way of thinking. |
| 5  | Bhattacharya et al. (2020) | To give suggestion of a conceptual framework on the enablers of growth and performance metrics. | Human and operational capabilities | | | Capabilities for 'operational and process excellence,' 'unique products and services,' and 'visionary leadership' highest ranked enabler of growth. |
| 6  | Botha (2019) | To suggest future evolution of 'innovation from a human only initiative, to human–machine co-innovation, to autonomous machine innovation'. | Innovation from a human only initiative | | | Evolution of Innovation is from a 'human-only activity, to human–machine co-innovation, to incidences of autonomous machine innovation, based on the growth of machine intelligence and the adoption of human–machine partnership management models in future'. |
| 7  | Brem et al. (2014) | To provide overview of the following terms 'jugaad, frugal innovation, frugal engineering, constraint-based innovation, Gandhian innovation, catalytic innovation, grassroots innovation, indigenous innovation, and reverse innovation.' | | | | Research and development (R&D) in developed market firms (DMFs) framework in emerging markets. |
| No | Author | Research objectives | Intellectual capital variables | Mediator | Moderator | Findings |
|----|--------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| 8  | Deborah (2016) | To explore how organizational fields developed from local entrepreneurs' effort to initiate their start-ups. | Organisational entrepreneur | | | Organizational field formation influenced by an entrepreneur to become supportive under some circumstances, move innovation through the legitimation stages of local validation, diffusion and general validation' |
| 9  | Dhamija & Bag (2020) | To review past literatures artificial intelligence. | Artificial intelligence | | | 6 clusters: 'Artificial Intelligence and Optimization, Industrial Engineering/Research and Automation, Operational Performance and Machine Learning: Cluster, Sustainable Supply Chains and Sustainable Development, Technology Adoption and Green Supply Chain Management and Internet of Things and Reverse Logistics' were identified. |
| 10 | Diaw et al. (2020) | To explore the industrialization strategy advantages at 'manufacturing entry-level products'. | Potential of industrial development | | | Entry-level product lines play a crucial role in industrialization of Africa |
| 11 | Dost et al. (2019) | To examine the relationship between internal and external sources of knowledge on frugal innovation (FI), through the moderating 'role of market and technological turbulence'. | Internal and external source of knowledge | | Technological turbulence and Market turbulence | There is a relationship between internal and external sources of knowledge on FI. 'The moderation of technological turbulence strengthens the effects internal and external sources of knowledge had on FI. Market turbulence strengthened the effects of external sources of knowledge but surprisingly weakens the effects of internal sources of knowledge on FI'. |
| 12 | Durst et al. (2018) | To investigate how the different factors effect the new product development process in Turkish firms. | Human capital and organisational capabilities | | | Human capital, leadership, marketing capabilities, and business and institutional networks shown some differences in terms of the commercialization of newly developed products in domestic and international markets.' |
| No | Author | Research objectives | Intellectual capital variables | Mediator | Moderator | Findings |
|----|--------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| 13 | Farooq (2020) | To investigate the relationship between knowledge management and value creation. | knowledge management (KM) | Social capital | | Knowledge management able to influence value creation by having good interpersonal relationships with all stakeholders by managing ‘social capital through knowledge management processes’. |
| 14 | Gupta et al. (2016) | To plead for more ‘reciprocal, respectful and responsible exchanges of knowledge between formal and informal sector adding value to the contributions of grassroots green innovators’. | Relational or social capital | | | Conventional understanding of open innovation theory is highly inadequate for dealing with emerging challenges in leveraging contingent conditions of climate risks, asymmetry of knowledge and power and lack of reciprocity and responsibility among the formal and informal actors.’ |
| 15 | Gupta, (2019) | To support ‘research propositions on the various proposed relationships in the GRI framework’. | Grassroots innovation | | | To develop framework to show the relationship among the contributing factors of “feasibility and value of GRI in society”. |
| 16 | Hart et al. (2016) | To propose a set of construct to predict successful BoP business innovation. | BoP business innovation | | | BoP for poverty alleviation and sustainable development. |
| 17 | Herting et al. (2020) | To find out how ‘disruptive dynamics’ able to effect business models with different items from practitioner’s view. | Disruptive dynamics | | | developed the ‘business model components;’ From practitioner viewpoint. |
| 18 | Horn & Brem (2013) | To identify from the past research the new emerging themes in innovation management. | Innovation management | | | Seven major fields for future research in innovation management theory and practice: customer orientation, network organisation, sustainability, frugality, intellectual property, business model and global innovation’. |
| 19 | Hossain (2017) | To provide literature review on business model innovation (BMI) and suggest upcoming research work that can be done. | Business model innovation | | | the findings identified most important themes and logical arguments in the current research. |
| No | Author                  | Research objectives                                                                 | Intellectual capital variables | Mediator | Moderator | Findings                                                                                                                                 |
|----|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 20 | Hossain et al. (2016)   | To provide extensive literature review on the evolution of open innovation.          | open innovation                |          |           | Proposed a framework on open innovation through analysis of past gap.                                                                  |
| 21 | Kabadurmus (2020)       | To investigate the relationship between ‘organizational and environmental (competition, capital scarcity and organization of labor) factors and firms’ innovation activities within the supply chain’. | organizational resources capability |          |           | There is a relationship between strategic resources and firm’s competitive advantage which also depends on type of innovation activities in SCM. |
| 22 | Koerich et al. (2019)   | To study on evolution of frugal innovation and the trends in current literatures and suggestion on further work to be done. | Evolution of Frugal innovation |          |           | development of few ‘measurement tools for frugal innovation’.                                                                         |
| 23 | Kok (2017)              | To examine the approach of ‘Financial innovation’ in large commercial bank in China. | Bank’s innovation strategy and local government policy |          |           | The study found that regulation can hinder financial innovation and it is depending on whether bank’s innovation strategy coherent with local government policy. |
| 24 | Liotta et al. (2018)    | To propose a ‘model for building African health capacity through regional health innovation networks through commercialization of health technologies’. | Network based Health innovation strategy |          |           | the study found that building business operation capacity and local legal aspects are very crucial for ‘product-focused, network-based health innovation strategy’. |
| 25 | Michelam et al. (2020)  | To examine extensive literature review on the main aspects of KBUD in promoting smart sustainable cities. | KnowledgeBased Urban Development (KBUD) and smart and sustainable cities |          |           | proposed ‘multi-dimensional and integrated approach for smart and sustainable urban development.’                                    |
| 26 | Nag et al. (2020)       | To evaluate techniques and methods to achieve ‘Blue Ocean Strategy’.                 | Blue ocean Strategy             |          |           | The study shown that management operational efficiency will help to firm to achieve competitive advantage by applying the ‘principles of Operations Management’. |
| 27 | Penco et al. (2020)     | To investigate the effect of ‘strategic orientation (defined using the Miles and Snow’s paradigm) on the processes of strategic decision-making and organisational design in medium-sized firms (MEs) in Italian family food and beverage industry (F&B). | impact of strategic orientation on the processes of strategic decision-making and organisational design in medium-sized firms |          |           | To describe the use of the ‘prospector orientation in modifying the behavioural models among the selected companies.’ |
| No | Author | Research objectives | Intellectual capital variables | Mediator | Moderator | Findings |
|----|--------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| 28 | Re et al. (2020) | To examine factors influence ‘knowledge sharing which focuses on attitude, behavior and individual creativity.’ | Knowledge sharing and sharing behaviour | | | there is a relationship between ‘knowledge sharing attitude and actual sharing behavior in CWS improve coworkers’ creativity.’ |
| 29 | Sahay (2016) | To investigate the drivers and challenges of big data in health system. | Big Data and health systems | | | highlighted the key ‘implications for LMICs governments on the potential of big data to address public health concerns’. |
| 30 | Snehvrat & Dutta (2018) | To study on ‘multi-faceted role of metaroutines in dealing with nested ambidexterity challenges experienced during new product introductions (NPIs) at Tata Motors, an Indian automotive giant’. | ambidexterity dynamics across strategic, ‘business unit’ and ‘functional levels’. | | | “The role of embedded NPI metaroutine aspects in promoting multi-level ambidexterity offers a distinct form when compared with other academically established forms of structural, contextual and temporal ambidexterity”. |
| 31 | Hosung et al. (2018) | To investigate the ‘role of social entrepreneurship, product innovation attributes and social capital on value creation and financial performance’. | Social entrepreneurship | Social capital | Social entrepreneurship has relationship with product innovation and social capital in SEs and products’ simplicity, usability and standardization positively affect the social value creation of SEs’. |
| 32 | Sordi et al. (2019) | To examine the ‘exaptation creation capability with practitioners and academics in the field of management’ and ability to generate organisational performance. | exaptation - capability to generate performance for firms | | | the study found ‘13 cases of exaptation associated with 9 different kinds of organizational entities’. |
| 33 | Yin et al. (2019) | To compare ‘rural and urban innovation system and propose new theoretical structural model’. | rural and urban innovative system | | | The study proposed all the ‘future challenges in fostering a strong rural innovation system’. |
| 34 | Zhifeng et al. (2020) | To explore the development of ‘Chinese style innovation’ by analysing past studies and propose future work. | Development of Chinese-style innovation | | | the study found relationship amongst the ‘New Economic Era, Chinese-style innovation and the International Repercussions’. |
information from some research, which can jeopardize the validity of a review. This is due to inadequate data due to only a few studies being published, or because of insufficient reporting within a published article. These issues fall under “publication biases”, even though these biases are due to unpublished comprehensive research and the publication of selected results in relation to authors’ conclusions.

This study adds to the improvement of IC research in the education sector, in which experiences from FI play an essential role in the growth of HEIs’ performance. The present article classification can assist future scholars in reviewing references based on their study requirements. Future research can utilize this literature review as a starting point to further comprehend FI to obtain quality literature. In the field of IC, scholars may involve more information system application, as well as Artificial Intelligence in their future work including system development, methodologies and strategies for PHEIs.

Discussion
Evolution of IC and FI research
Prior research has thus far considered the key components of IC and its measurement indicators. PHEI performance levels are measured through ranking, teaching, research, internationalisation and other academic indicators. However, an innovative operational approach has remained largely unaddressed in PHEIs. Therefore, PHEIs must adopt new business approaches and methods that have been developed by the business sectors, such as FI. Accordingly, this study seeks to fill the gap by examining the effect of IC on FI through ITC dimensions.

Research gaps
Four research gaps (Table 5) identified in this study were as follows:

**Gap 1.** PHEIs under resources constraint must emphasise utilising internal and external knowledge to build learning skills in a frugal environment, so that they may continue to deliver value-added service to their clients and increase shareholder wealth. Scholars have found that IC has a crucial role in generating innovation in a firm. Thus, further investigations that evaluate the impact of IC are necessary to ensure sustained and improved firm performance using new context or perspectives, i.e., FI. Therefore, future research must address this significant issue by examining the extent to which IC in PHEIs can develop capabilities to fulfil the criteria identified in FI.

**Gap 2:** Technology and IT management will increase IC utilisation by exploiting technology in information management and processing, to enhance business capabilities and generate benefits for the organisation. Hence, the effectiveness of IC management and utilisation is driven by the effectiveness of ITC and subsequently facilitate creation of value.

**Gap 3:** As IT becomes a fundamental business operation resource, employees with superior business knowledge would be able to utilise viable IT solutions and use their specialised technical skills to realign business strategies to meet the needs in a dynamic business environment, by creating business procedures and cost-feasible frameworks to achieve competitive advantage. Many studies have revealed the relationship between ITC and competitive advantage. Meanwhile, argued that financial performance is higher for firms with higher digital innovation capabilities. Therefore, the ability of ITC to influence FI in PHEI must be emphasised.

| Table 5. Research gaps. |
|-------------------------|
| Intellectual Capital (IC) And Frugal Innovation (FI) | Intellectual Capital (IC) And IT Capabilities (ITC) | IT Capabilities (ITC) And Frugal Innovation (FI) | Mediating Effect of IT Capabilities Between Intellectual Capital (IC) And Frugal Innovation (FI) |
| Less Explored Context | Inconclusive results between IC and organizational performance and innovation | Limited Research on IC and ITC within PHEI context | Limited Findings ITC has not been used as one of the tools necessary in supporting Frugal innovation achievement in PHEI |
| Inconclusive results between IC and organizational performance and innovation | There is hardly any research that focuses on IC and Frugal innovation in the context of PHEI | There is a need to include some new indicators in dynamic business world such as information technology Capability (ITC) | The investigation on Frugal innovation in higher education context still lacking |
| Limited Research on IC and ITC within PHEI context | Limited Findings ITC has not been used as one of the tools necessary in supporting Frugal innovation achievement in PHEI | The investigation on Frugal innovation in higher education context still lacking | Less Explored Context The investigation of the mediating effect of ITC between Intellectual Capital and Frugal innovation in PHEI has not been explored |
Gap 4: As no studies focused on the effect of IC on FI through IT capability, the rationale behind the mediation effect of ITC is that a firm with a strong IC management is in a better position to deliver ITC to satisfy customers and optimise their resources to ‘produce more with less resources’ for cost efficiency. This situation mainly arises because of the high possibility that the relationship between IC and FI is not exclusively direct. Conceptually, ITC plays an important role in PHEI that has the attributes to gather all organisational knowledge resources and convert them to achieve FI (refer to Figure 8). Hence, a PHEI must have the capacity to effectively utilise its knowledge resources to generate dynamic capabilities that allow businesses to respond rapidly to changes in the environment. The prevailing knowledge created from IC can create an opportunity to be ‘sensed and transformed’ to influence ITC, to enhance organisational efficiency and effectiveness through FI. As such, ITC can play a mediating role by translating IC into better performance to achieve FI (Figures 8 and 9).

Overall, there are many studies that focuses on the performance outcomes of several forms of innovation, including radical, incremental, and process innovation. The performance consequences of frugal innovation, on the other hand, remain scarce. Frugal innovation aims to reduce costs while maintaining consumer value, and it is flexible in its approach. Changes in the operating environment (e.g., globalisation, resource scarcity and competition) force businesses to adapt new tactics to maintain a competitive edge. In an extremely complex corporate and economic environment, the goal of such tactics is to provide unique outcomes that differentiate them from their rivals. Due to this intense market rivalry, businesses are expected to expand their innovation capabilities. Many businesses rely primarily on internal knowledge-based resources from a variety of sources, including research and development, knowledge exchange, and staff innovations to gain a competitive advantage. Incorporating input from internal and external sources can improve the effectiveness of ICs. Companies with IC competencies might use these sources to undertake FI or create cost-effective goods and services, which is more valuable. This study intends to explore the effects of IC knowledge creation from the perspective of frugal innovation, due to the prevalence of IC in innovation and lack of studies on frugal innovation as a
distinctive source of innovation. Frugal innovation differs from other forms of innovation as it focuses more on achieving low-cost and long-term sustainable results. Frugal innovation focuses on key functionality, targeted consumers, optimum performance, and delivers a significant cost savings while meeting standard criteria. Study of the impact of IC on frugal innovation can add to the body of knowledge management research already done. Knowledge, according to knowledge-based view, is a major driving factor for innovation. Therefore, IC is required for innovation to continuously transform knowledge and ideas to adapt to market competition and capture commercial possibilities. However, IC integration is made feasible by reorganizing resources with IT capabilities in order to respond to social and economic requirements, resulting in frugal innovation. Moreover, firms’ capacity to absorb and use new knowledge for firm innovation is limited by their existing knowledge base and additional new information is always beneficial to product and service innovation. Therefore, based on dynamic capability theory, businesses with greater IT capability can more efficiently analyze, absorb, and influence firm’s IC capabilities, which is advantageous to their varied innovation effort.

**Future research**

The authors engaged in examining the relationship among IC, IT capability and FI, possibly may consider the main findings of this paper to examine the opportunities for future research. The two major themes for future articles include

- Theme 1: Evaluation model of IC, IT capability and FI in PHEIs
- Theme 2: Investigating the methodologies.
- Theme 3: Empirical analysis and developing measurement metrics for each variable.

This study is limited by the number of keywords selected. Keyword selection is based on research focus. However, it would be possible to obtain more articles if the keywords were expanded to fields of study that are not specific in nature, such as PHEI. This could possibly lead to a publication bias.

**Conclusions**

As mentioned in the Introduction, research that explores the relationships between organisational IC dimensions, ITC and FI in the PHEIs in Malaysia remains insufficient. There has been little empirical study on the influence of IC on innovation as a process or a outcome. Only a few studies have looked at the influence of IC on innovation, which they perceive as a basis to firm success. In these studies, the interrelationships between the various IC components and their impacts on innovation yielded inconsistent results. Hence, further research is required in this area. Indeed, as Ref. 92 has noted, present IC research is very narrow and mostly focused on the issues pertaining to reporting and disclosure. There is a need to explore IC as strategic resources for driving innovation and value creation processes in organisation. In addition, having more IC would encourage greater innovation. As a result, when company’s IC rises, its innovative capability will be better, resulting in improved performance.

In this paper, we propose that information technology capabilities enable and sustain the IC. We claim in this research that ITC can aid in the development of a firm’s knowledge capacity. Firms must establish, cultivate, and nurture their knowledge capacities to continuously reinvent their business operations using IT enabled knowledge to achieve frugal innovation in order to derive strategic value from IC. The findings compel crucial questions from the academic industry’s perspective. First and foremost, academic institutions must be able to utilise their IT resources to stimulate knowledge sharing and expand knowledge capabilities. Second, academic institutions must use IT capabilities to build human capital, structural capital, and relational capital to assist in the creation of a knowledge network that will help them expand their knowledge capabilities. Thirdly, regardless of how well a firm’s internal and external resources are integrated, whether or not it is able to achieve frugal innovations is very much depending on an organization’s ability to utilise its IT capabilities. All three actions will strengthen a company’s capacity and ability to explore new possibilities, enhancing its potential to create cost effective solutions through frugal innovations.

Innovation is not only about creating new items; it also encompasses “creative methodologies, organisational structure, programme management, unique business models, and difference in customer service”. Furthermore, majority of the research in this subject use manufacturing businesses as empirical settings, and they often focus on product innovations over other forms of innovations such as services, organizational, process. So far, empirical data for service sector enterprises, particularly in frugal innovation, has been scant. In the literature on frugal innovation, the Knowledge Based View (KBV) is utilised as a theoretical framework to explain the function of knowledge as a resource and how organisation use their resources and capabilities in resource constrained environments when developing their
innovations. The role of academic institutions in promoting frugal innovation, focusing on its simplicity of use, and linking them to the external markets has recently been highlighted in emerging studies. More studies are required to enhance understanding especially in respect to the techniques used by entrepreneurial universities to promote frugal innovation. In this vein, some unanswered calls for developing new research areas which is not only focusing on the role of FI in the education sector, but also to comprehend the application of the business model concept. Knowledge generated by IC can only be used to create opportunity if it can be “sensed” and “transformed” using ITC in order to accomplish frugal innovation that improves organisational efficiency and effectiveness. As a result, IC competence combined with IT capabilities will enable the creation of new products, services, processes and business models, hence increasing the relationship between intellectual capital and frugal innovation. Thus, PHEIs should concentrate more on core activities, improve industry integration, engage with local and international communities, and increase operational efficiency. This study aims to inform IC scholars about the research gaps in the literature published from 1990 to 2020 regarding IC applications to achieve FI. The findings of this review suggest three major issues: firstly, an urgent need exists for scholars to streamline the use of concepts pertaining to FI in PHEIs. Secondly, more work is required to ascertain if IC and ITC share similar goals or otherwise to achieve FI. Finally, the use of the FI approach and its relationship with IC and ITC remains unexplored in the literature. Therefore, the extent to which the IC dimension interacts with FI in the PHEI context could be further explored. Finally, additional empirical research is needed to fully comprehend the relationship between IC, ITC and FI in the context of PHEIs.

Data availability
Underlying data
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Extended data
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Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).

References
1. Hughes JC: Are our universities ready for a paradigm shift? The Globe and Mail, 2013. Retrieved May 25, 2021.
2. Williams G: Private varsities struggling. New Straits Times, December 3.
3. Tajudeen ABA, Raja SS: Malaysia’s Private Higher Education Sector As Provider Of Accessibility: A Wider View Of Its Historical Growth And Roles Vis-A-Vis The Public Higher Education Sector. Sejarah: Journal of the Department of History, 2021; 30(1).
4. Mgaiwa SJ: The Paradox of Financing Public Higher Education in Tanzania and the Fate of Quality Education: The Experience of Selected Universities. SAGE Open, 2018; 8: 215204081877172.
5. Halai N: Quality of private universities in Pakistan. Int. J. Educ. Manag. 2013; 27(7): 775-786.
6. Mpezihamigo M: Challenges of maintaining quality education in private universities in Uganda: A case study of the Islamic University in Uganda. Paper presented 18th Conference of Commonwealth Education Ministers Mauritius, August 28-31, 2012.
7. Sarker F, Davis H, Tiropanis T: A review of higher education challenges and data infrastructure responses. International Conference for Education Research and Innovation (ICERI 2018), Madrid Spain, November 15-17, 2010.
8. Lapovoky L: The higher education business model: Innovation and financial sustainability, 2014.
9. Sazonov SP, Kharlamova EE, Chekhovskaya IA, et al.: Evaluating financial sustainability of higher education institutions. Asian. Soc. Sci. 2015; 11(20): Publisher Full Text
10. Secundo G, Margherita A, Eila G, et al.: Intangible assets in higher education: mission, performance or both?. J. Intellect. Cap. 2010; 11(2): 140–157. Publisher Full Text
11. Zeschky M, Widenmayer B, Gassmann O: Organizing for reverse innovation in Western MNCs: the role of frugal product innovation capabilities. Int. J. Technol. Manag. 2014; 64(2-4): 255–275. Publisher Full Text
12. Weyrauch T, Herstatt C: What Is Frugal Innovation? Three Defining Criteria. Journal of Frugal Innovation. 2016; 2(1): 1-17. Publisher Full Text
13. Bhuian SN: Evidences of service quality from an emerging educational hub, Qatar. Int. J. Manag. Educ. 2016; 10(4): 353-369. Publisher Full Text
Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: 

Version 2

Reviewer Report 30 May 2022

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.134168.r138483

© 2022 Indiran L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Logaiswari Indiran
Univerriti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahr, Malaysia

I would like to approve after going through the new version.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 27 May 2022

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.134168.r138482

© 2022 Anggraini F. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Fivi Anggraini
Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Bung Hatta, Padang, Indonesia

I have gone through this manuscript. I believe that the authors have revised the manuscript accordingly. Therefore, it resulted in an improved manuscript and is suitable for publication in this journal.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Management accounting, intellectual capital

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of
expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 18 February 2022

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.76973.r99071

© 2022 Indiran L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Logaiswari Indiran
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru, Malaysia

General Comments:
Despite the fact that this is an intriguing study, the authors have obtained a unique dataset through the comprehensive literature. On the whole, the paper is well-written and well-structured. However, I believe the study has several flaws, particularly on the reason for this research to be conducted, mainly on the importance/need of the relationship between IC, ITC and FI, and how the findings contribute significance contribution to the theoretical gaps.

Introduction:
The problem statement is too general without any supporting detail. The reason for introducing IC and ITC towards FI is not clear.

Method:
I would advise removing Figure 4, 5, and 6 as they are not suitable to systematic literature review study. Discussion for Figure 8 is not clear.

Result and Discussion:
The analysis and discussion of the finding is too general and did not demonstrate any contribution to the theoretical gap. Need further discussion on the research gaps derived from Table 5.

Summary:
I would advise adding details on the theoretical and contextual contribution of this study in the summary. Example: how is it significant to the existing knowledge and how it plays its role in context?

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?
Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?**
Yes

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** Intellectual capital

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 17 May 2022

**Jayamalathi Jayabalan**, University Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kajang, Malaysia

1. Problem statement: included more clear and with contextual detail to establish why it is important to include ITC
2. Findings: Figure 4,5,6, and 7 is only added for descriptive analysis purposes as it might be useful for future research
3. Results and discussion: Provided more explanations on the major findings. Commented more on the significance of the studies and overall interpretation of the results
4. Summary: Provided detailed discussion to strengthen the section

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 03 February 2022

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.76973.r119603

© 2022 Anggraini F. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Fivi Anggraini**
Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Bung Hatta, Padang, Indonesia

1. **General:**
   In general, this research is very interesting. The author has reviewed the literature extensively to produce this paper. However, there are some things that need to be improved so that this paper is more valuable for indexing.

2. **Title:**
   The title is incomplete because the author did not include information technology capabilities (ITC) variables.
3. **Introduction:**
   The research problem is not sharp to portray the issues. In fact, the argument is also not clear on why the author uses three variables in this study.

4. **Method:**
   What is the reason for the authors making statistics in Figures 4, 5, 6, and 7? In my opinion, the data is not related to the research objectives, because this research is a just systematic literature review, not investigational research.

5. **Results and discussion:**
   The discussion is very shallow; the authors have reviewed a lot of literature, but the discussion of the results is less in-depth.
   The intended research gap (in table 5) is not clear. Need to write down the author's analysis.

6. **Summary:**
   I think the authors failed to conclude significant findings as to the new theory in this study.
   What is the reason the authors concluded the frugal innovation, intellectual capital, and ITC insufficient? Need justification on the matters.

**Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?**
No

**Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**
Yes

**Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**
Not applicable

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?**
No

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** management accounting, intellectual capital

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

---

Author Response 17 May 2022

**Jayamalathi Jayabalani**, University Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kajang, Malaysia

1. Title: Title is changed according to the suggestion given to include information technology Capabilities

2. Problem statement: included more clear and with contextual detail to establish why it
is important

3. Findings: Figure 4, 5, 6, and 7 is only added for descriptive analysis purposes as it might be useful for future research

4. Results and discussion: Provided more explanations on the major findings

5. Summary: Provided detailed discussion to strengthen the sections

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.