Tailored approaches grounded on immunogenetic features for refined prognostication in chronic lymphocytic leukemia
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Supplementary Appendix

Supplementary Material includes detailed information regarding methodology as well as Supplementary Figures 1-6 and Supplementary Tables 1-5.

Patients-Methods

Overall, 2366 general practice patients from 10 academic institutions in Europe who were diagnosed with CLL according to the 2008 International Workshop on CLL (iwCLL) diagnostic criteria and for whom immunogenetic data was available were included in this multicenter retrospective study. Information about the evaluated cohort and biomarkers is provided in Supplementary Table 1. Following the 98% germline identity (GI) cut off, 1364 (58%) patients were classified as mutated (M-CLL) and 1002 (42%) as unmutated (U-CLL). Information regarding gender as well as age and clinical stage at diagnosis were available for the entire cohort. Data on FISH detected abnormalities were available for 1825/2366 (77%) cases with 1162/1260 (92%) of the treated cases being tested before the administration of any treatment. Mutations within the TP53, NOTCH1, SF3B1, MYD88 and BIRC3 genes were evaluated in 1544 (65%), 2097 (89%), 1449 (61%), 929 (39%) and 830 (35%) respectively. Regarding time of testing for each mutation, the proportion of treated cases tested before the administration of any treatment ranged from 77-98%. CD38 expression at the time of diagnosis was available in 1649 (70%) patients. A cut-off of 30% was used to indicate CD38 positivity.

Evaluation of biological markers

PCR amplification of IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ rearrangements - Sequence analysis

PCR amplification and sequence analysis of IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ rearrangements were performed on either genomic DNA (gDNA) or complementary DNA (cDNA) as previously reported\(^1\). PCR amplicons were subjected to direct sequencing on both strands. Sequence data were analyzed using the IMGT\(^\circ\) databases and the IMGT/V-QUEST tool (http://www.imgt.org). Only productive rearrangements were evaluated. Output data from IMGT/V-QUEST for all productive IGHV-IGHD-IGHJ rearrangements were parsed, reorganized, and exported to a spreadsheet through the use of computer programming. Information was extracted regarding IG gene repertoires, VH CDR3 length and amino acid sequence and SHM; to identify and cluster stereotyped rearrangements, we used an in-house purpose-built bioinformatics method. In brief VH CDR3 sequences were assigned to stereotyped subsets according to the following criteria: (i) Cases were initially clustered together only if they share at least 50% amino acid identity and 70% similarity within their
respective VH CDR3s; (ii) clustered sequences must have identical VH CDR3 lengths and identical locations of shared patterns; (iii) only sequences carrying IGHV genes of the same phylogenetic clan be placed in the same cluster. Iterative clustering ultimately leads to higher levels of hierarchy describing more distant, and thus relaxed, sequence relationships with more widely shared sequence patterns (affecting only the number - and rarely the location - of these patterns, but neither the VH CDR3 length nor the phylogenetic makeup of the cluster) in progressively larger clusters, which eventually form the collection of subsets.

**CD38 expression**
CD38 expression was assessed with flow-cytometry. The cut-off for positivity was 30%.

**FISH analysis**
Preparations for FISH analysis were counterstained with 4,6-diamidino-phenyl-indole (DAPI) and a minimum of 200 interphase nuclei were examined using commercially available probes for chromosomal bands 13q14-34, 11q22, 17p13 and chromosome 12.

**Analysis of gene mutations**
Mutational screening was performed for the following genes: *NOTCH1* (n=1229, 90%): entire exon 34 or targeted analysis for del7544-45/p.P2514Rfs*4; *TP53* (n=743, 54%): exons 4-8 but also exons 9-10 for some centers; *SF3B1* (n=840, 62%): exons 14-16 and, *MYD88* (n=506, 37%): exons 3 and 5 or targeted analysis for p.L265P.

**Statistical analysis**

**Proportional hazard regression**
The proportional hazard (PH) assumption was assessed for both the univariable and multivariable case, using the function `cox.zph()`, which correlates for each covariate the corresponding set of scaled Schoenfeld residuals with time, to test for independence between residuals and time. In the multivariable case it also performs a global test for the model as a whole. Within early stage M-CLL patients, from the six variables that were included as predictors in the multivariable model, only Male did not satisfy the PH assumption in both cases. The global test indicated that the PH assumption was satisfied. Within early stage U-CLL patients, all five variables that were included as predictors in the multivariable model satisfied the PH assumption in both cases. The global test also indicated that the PH assumption was satisfied. Harrell’s C-index and its standard error were calculated to assess the discriminatory ability of the Cox model within both early stage M-CLL and U-CLL patients for two scenarios. The first is when a multivariable model included as predictors the important factors according to the univariable model and was based on Binet A cases. The second is when a univariable model included as sole predictor the final prognostic index (four and five categories respectively in M-CLL and U-CLL) and was based on all cases.
**Internal validation**

A bootstrapping procedure was applied separately for M-CLL and U-CLL cases to validate internally the stability of the multivariable Cox model\(^5\). Initially, 1000 bootstrap samples equal in size to the original CLL population were randomly generated with replacement from the original CLL population. Subsequently, for each bootstrap sample, the multivariable Cox regression model was applied using the same predictors as in the original model. For each predictor, the percentage of cases it was considered statistically significant and included in the model was recorded, as well as the average number of significant predictors per bootstrap sample. A prognostically important predictor would be expected to be included in the multivariable model in the majority of bootstrap samples. In a subsequent step, 1000 additional bootstrap samples were randomly generated following the same procedure. The multivariable Cox model was applied to each bootstrap sample with the same predictors as in the original modeling. The mean of the hazard ratio and the respective 95% confidence interval were recorded for each predictor based on the 1000 bootstrap samples. 

The first step of internal validation within early stage M-CLL patients showed that within the 1000 randomly generated bootstrap samples, the average number of predictors included in the multivariable Cox model was 3.2; three variables exhibited selection percentages greater than 60%, i.e. *TP53*abn, +12 and subset #2. Therefore, we argued that these three predictors were the most important according to Cox regression analysis. The first step of internal validation within early stage U-CLL patients, showed that the average number of predictors considered significant in the multivariable Cox model was 3.5. Four variables exhibited selection percentages greater than 60%, i.e. *TP53*abn, *SF3B1*mut, del(11q) and male sex. Therefore, we argued that these four covariates were the most important within this mutational group.

**Binary Recursive partitioning**

Recursive partitioning was performed using tree-structured regression models that describe the conditional distribution of TTFT given the same predictors that were included in the multivariable Cox model.

We followed Hothorn et al. who proposed a recursive binary partitioning approach within a theoretically structured conditional inference framework\(^6\). The algorithm used for the partitioning is briefly described below:

1. Test the global null hypothesis of independence between TTFT and any of the covariates. Stop if this hypothesis cannot be rejected. Otherwise, select the covariate which exhibits the strongest association to TTFT.
2. Once the best covariate is selected, the optimal binary split is determined.
3. Recursively repeat steps 1 and 2.

This procedure enables the hierarchical classification of the significant covariates, from the most important, which splits the primary node (entire population), to those which extend to the terminal nodes. By separating the covariate selection and following the splitting
procedure algorithm, the two main problems when fitting such models are addressed, i.e. overfitting and selection bias towards covariates with many possible splits or missing values. The \textit{ctree} function from the package \textit{party} in \textit{R} was applied. Notable parameters that control aspects of the tree construction are: (i) the minimum sum of patients in a node in order to be considered for splitting (set to 20); (ii) the minimum sum of patients in a terminal node (set to 9); and (iii) the split criterion according to a log-rank scores-based statistic, which was set as $p<0.05$.

\textbf{Amalgamation}

Any two nodes within the tree that arise from the same parent node exhibit significantly different survival behavior. This is not the case for each pair of the terminal nodes that do not share the same parent. Therefore, an amalgamation algorithm is applied to merge terminal nodes that exhibit similar survival behavior\textsuperscript{7}. At first, the log-rank test is applied, for each different pair of terminal nodes, to test the null hypothesis that their survival distributions are the same, against the alternative that they differ. The $p$-value is recorded for each comparison and the maximum $p$-value of all possible comparisons is considered. When the latter is greater than 0.05, the corresponding nodes are merged to a new terminal node and the procedure is repeated until the maximum $p$-value is less than 0.05.
Supplementary Table 1. Main clinicobiological features of the entire cohort (n=2366).

| Clinical stage | Entire cohort n, % | M-CLL n, % | U-CLL n, % | X² test p-value |
|----------------|-------------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Binet A        | 1900/2366, 80%    | 1224/1364, 90% | 676/1002, 68% | <0.001         |
| Binet B        | 287/2366, 12%     | 83/1364, 6%  | 204/1002, 20% | <0.001         |
| Binet C        | 179/2366, 8%      | 57/1364, 4%  | 122/1002, 12% | <0.001         |

| Gender Male    | 1449/2366, 61%    | 806/1364, 59% | 643/1002, 64% | 0.233          |

| Age at Diagnosis | Median age (years) | | | |
|------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|----------------|
|                  | 64.3 (22-92)      | 63.7 (22-91) | 63.5 (26-92) | 0.575          |

| CD38 expression High | 293/1649, 18% | 167/1319, 13% | 126/330, 38% | <0.001         |

| FISH detected abnormalities | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| idel(13q)                   | 671/1373, 49% | 503/1013, 50% | 168/360, 47% | 0.603          |
| Trisomy 12                  | 263/1798, 15% | 114/1043, 11% | 149/755, 20% | <0.001         |
| del(11q)                    | 220/1813, 12% | 35/1047, 3.3% | 185/766, 24% | <0.001         |
| del(17p)                    | 114/1825, 6%  | 34/1057, 3.2% | 80/768, 10.5% | <0.001         |

| Recurrent gene mutations | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| MYD88                     | 21/929, 2.2% | 21/506, 4.1% | 0/423, 0% | <0.001         |
| NOTCH1                    | 166/2097, 8% | 22/1229, 1.8% | 144/868, 16.5% | <0.001         |
| SF3B1                     | 115/1449, 8% | 31/840, 3.7% | 84/609, 14% | <0.001         |
| TP53                      | 137/1535, 9% | 42/743, 5.6% | 95/801, 12% | <0.001         |
| BIRC3                     | 24/830, 3%   | 7/458, 1%    | 17/372, 5%  | 0.020          |

| TP53abn                  | 183/2095, 9%  | 55/1154, 4.8% | 128/941, 14% | <0.001         |

| Immunogenetic features Gi: 97-97.99% | 104/2366, 4.4% | 104/1364, 7.6% | - | - |
| Gi: 100%                  | 750/2366, 32%  | -            | 750/1002, 75% | - |
| Stereotyped #1            | 55/2366, 2.3%  | -            | 55/1002, 5.5% | - |
| Stereotyped #2            | 33/2366, 1.5%  | 27/1364, 2%  | 6/1002, 0.6% | 0.009          |
| Stereotyped #4            | 35/2366, 1.5%  | 35/1364, 2.6% | - | - |

High CD38 expression: positivity >30%, idel(13q): isolated deletion of chromosome 13q, del(11q): deletion of chromosome 11q, del(17p): deletion of chromosome 17p, TP53abn: deletion of chromosome 17p (del(17p)) and/or TP53 mutation, Gi: germline identity, Stereotyped #2: assignment to stereotyped subset #2, stereotyped #4: assignment to stereotyped subset #4, M-CLL: patients carrying mutated IGHV genes, U-CLL: cases carrying unmutated IGHV genes.
Supplementary Table 2. Main clinicobiological features of the validation cohort. The p-value stems from the comparison of each biomarker between the main and the validation cohort.

|                                | n, %             | p-value (X² test) |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| **Gender**                     |                  |                  |
| Male                           | 397/649, 62%     | 1                |
| **Age at diagnosis**           |                  |                  |
| Median age                     | 63.6 (29-89) years | 0.630            |
| **FISH detected abnormalities**|                  |                  |
| idel(13q)                      | 301/522, 58%     | 0.061            |
| Trisomy 12                     | 65/598, 11%      | 0.049            |
| del(11q)                       | 59/598, 10%      | 0.203            |
| del(17p)                       | 13/598, 2%       | <0.001           |
| **Recurrent gene mutations**   |                  |                  |
| SF3B1                          | 28/553, 5%       | 0.046            |
| TP53                           | 27/623, 4%       | <0.001           |
| **TP53abn**                    | 29/632, 5%       | 0.002            |
| **Immunogenetic features**     |                  |                  |
| M-CLL                          | 442/649, 68%     | 0.020            |
| Stereotyped #2                 | 20/649, 3%       | 0.008            |

idel(13q): isolated deletion of chromosome 13q, del(11q): deletion of chromosome 11q, del(17p): deletion of chromosome 17p, TP53abn: deletion of chromosome 17p (del(17p)) and/or TP53 mutation, Stereotyped #2: assignment to stereotyped subset #2, M-CLL: patients carrying mutated IGHV genes.
Supplementary Table 3. Overview of the entire cohort and the subgroup of cases included in the multivariable analysis. No significant difference is detected regarding the evaluated biomarkers.

| Feature                          | Entire cohort, M-CLL n, % | Cases included in the multivariable analysis, M-CLL; n, % | p-value |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Gender                           |                           |                                                          |         |
| Male                             | 711/1224, 58%             | 530/919, 58                                             | 0.84    |
| Age at diagnosis                 |                           |                                                          |         |
| Median (years)                   | 64.6                      | 64.5                                                     | 0.99    |
| CD38 expression                  |                           |                                                          |         |
| High                             | 127/1186, 11%             | 97/864, 11%                                             | 0.71    |
| FISH detected abnormalities      |                           |                                                          |         |
| Idel(13q)                        | 432/731, 59%              | 432/731, 59%                                            | -       |
| Trisomy 12                       | 100/972, 10.3%            | 119/919, 12.5%                                          | 0.07    |
| del(11q)                         | 23/939, 2.4%              | 34/904, 3.5%                                            | 0.1     |
| Recurrent gene mutations         |                           |                                                          |         |
| MYD88                            | 16/436, 3.7%              | 14/435, 3.2%                                            | 0.71    |
| NOTCH1                           | 15/1104, 1.3%             | 12/902, 1.3%                                            | 0.95    |
| SF3B1                            | 20/750, 2.7%              | 22/686, 3.2%                                            | 0.54    |
| TP53abn                          | 46/1035, 4.4%             | 36/919, 4%                                              | 0.56    |
| Immunogenetic features           |                           |                                                          |         |
| GI: 97-97.99%                    | 80/1224, 6.5%             | 57/919, 6.2%                                            | 0.75    |
| Stereotyped #2                   | 13/1224, 1%               | 12/919, 1.3                                             | 0.60    |

| Feature                          | Entire cohort, U-CLL n, % | Cases included in the multivariable analysis, U-CLL; n, % | p-value |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Gender                           |                           |                                                          |         |
| Male                             | 406/676, 61%              | 233/384, 61%                                            | 0.84    |
| Age at diagnosis                 |                           |                                                          |         |
| Median (years)                   | 64.4                      | 64.5                                                     | 0.79    |
| FISH detected abnormalities      |                           |                                                          |         |
| Idel(13q)                        | 125/266, 47%              | 97/194, 50%                                             | 0.52    |
| Trisomy 12                       | 108/523, 21%              | 80/384, 21%                                             | 0.94    |
| del(11q)                         | 118/529, 22%              | 89/384, 23%                                             | 0.75    |
| Recurrent gene mutations         |                           |                                                          |         |
| NOTCH1                           | 88/587, 15%               | 69/380, 18%                                             | 0.19    |
| SF3B1                            | 45/416, 11%               | 43/384, 12%                                             | 0.86    |
| BIRC3                            | 9/241, 4%                 | 9/236, 3.8%                                             | 0.96    |
| TP53abn                          | 73/636, 12%               | 36/384, 9.5%                                            | 0.29    |
| Immunogenetic features           |                           |                                                          |         |
| GI: 100%                         | 502/676, 74%              | 284/384, 74%                                            | 0.91    |
| Stereotyped #1                   | 34/555, 6%                | 17/303, 5.5%                                            | 0.26    |

*idel(13q): isolated deletion of chromosome 13q, del(11q): deletion of chromosome 11q, del(17p): deletion of chromosome 17p, TP53abn: deletion of chromosome 17p (del(17p)) and/or TP53 mutation, Stereotyped #2: assignment to stereotyped subset #2, M-CLL:*
patients carrying mutated IGHV genes, U-CLL: patients carrying unmutated IGHV genes, MV-
analysis: multivariable analysis.

**Supplementary Table 4.** Main clinicobiological features of M-CLL cases assigned to different
Binet clinical stages. Bonferroni correction was applied and the significance level was set at p < 0.017.

|                | Binet A n=1224 | Binet B n=83 | Binet C n=57 | p-value A vs B | p-value A vs C | p-value B vs C |
|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Male           | 711/1224, 58%  | 58/83, 70%   | 37/57, 65%   | 0.034         | 0.31          | 0.53          |
| CD38 expression| 127/1186, 11%  | 22/78, 28%   | 18/55, 32.8% | <0.0001       | <0.0001       | 0.57          |
| NOTCH1         | 15/1104, 1.3%  | 4/75, 5.3%   | 3/50, 6%     | 0.008         | 0.009         | 0.87          |
| MYD88          | 16/436, 3.7%   | 3/41, 7.3%   | 2/29, 6.8%   | 0.25          | 0.38          | 0.94          |
| SF3B1          | 20/750, 2.7%   | 6/53, 11.3%  | 5/37, 13.5%  | 0.0005        | 0.0002        | 0.75          |
| idel(13q)      | 459/906, 51%   | 27/64, 42%   | 17/43, 40%   | 0.2           | 0.15          | 0.78          |
| +12            | 100/972, 10.3% | 8/66, 12%    | 10/44, 22.7% | 0.63          | 0.009         | 0.14          |
| del(11q)       | 23/939, 2.4%   | 7/65, 10.7%  | 5/43, 11.6%  | 0.0001        | 0.0004        | 0.88          |
| TP53abn        | 46/1035, 4.4%  | 5/72, 7%     | 4/47, 8.5%   | 0.32          | 0.19          | 0.75          |
| GI: 97-97.99%  | 80/1224, 6.5%  | 13/83, 15.7% | 11/57, 19.2% | 0.001         | 0.0002        | 0.57          |
| Subset #2      | 13/1224, 1%    | 8/83, 9.6%   | 6/57, 10.5%  | <0.0001       | <0.0001       | 0.86          |

CD38 expression: cut-off for positivity >30%, idel(13q): isolated deletion of chromosome
13q, +12: trisomy 12, del(11q): deletion of chromosome 11q, TP53abn: deletion of
chromosome 17p [del(17p)] and/or TP53 mutation, GI: germline identity, subset #2: assignment to stereotyped subset #2.
Supplementary Table 5. Main clinicobiological features of U-CLL cases assigned to different Binet clinical stages. Bonferroni correction was applied and the significance level was set at $p < 0.017$.

|                  | Binet A $n=676$ | Binet B $n=204$ | Binet C $n=122$ | p-value A vs B | p-value A vs C | p-value B vs C |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Male             | 406/676, 61%    | 148/204, 73%    | 89/122, 73%     | 0.001          | 0.006          | 0.93           |
| CD38 expression  | 78/213, 37%     | 36/82, 44%      | 12/35, 34%      | 0.24           | 0.79           | 0.33           |
| NOTCH1           | 88/587, 15%     | 33/174, 19%     | 23/107, 21%     | 0.23           | 0.09           | 0.61           |
| BIRC3            | 9/241, 4%       | 4/71, 6%        | 4/60, 7%        | 0.48           | 0.31           | 0.81           |
| SF3B1            | 45/416, 11%     | 25/118, 21%     | 14/75, 19%      | 0.003          | 0.05           | 0.67           |
| del(13q)         | 125/266, 47%    | 24/54, 44%      | 19/40, 48%      | 0.73           | 0.95           | 0.91           |
| +12              | 108/523, 21%    | 29/138, 21%     | 12/94, 13%      | 0.92           | 0.075          | 0.11           |
| del(11q)         | 118/529, 22%    | 42/143, 29%     | 25/94, 27%      | 0.078          | 0.36           | 0.64           |
| TP53 abn         | 73/636, 12%     | 28/188, 15%     | 27/117, 23%     | 0.21           | 0.0007         | 0.07           |
| GI: 100%         | 502/676, 74%    | 152/204, 75%    | 96/122, 79%     | 0.94           | 0.29           | 0.39           |
| IGHV1-69         | 160/676, 24%    | 54/204, 26%     | 28/122, 23%     | 0.41           | 0.86           | 0.48           |
| Subset #1        | 34/555, 6%      | 12/177, 7%      | 9/115, 8%       | 0.75           | 0.49           | 0.73           |

CD38 expression: positivity >30%, idel(13q): isolated deletion of chromosome 13q, +12: trisomy 12, del(11q): deletion of chromosome 11q, TP53abn: deletion of chromosome 17p [del(17p)] and/or TP53 mutation, GI: germline identity, subset #1: assignment to stereotyped subset #1.
Supplementary Figure 1. Kaplan Meier curve for time-to-first-treatment (TTFT) for the entire cohort (n=2366).
Supplementary Figure 2. (A) Kaplan Meier curves for time-to-first-treatment (TTFT) for M-CLL and U-CLL. (B) Kaplan Meier curves for TTFT for Binet A M-CLL and U-CLL.
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Supplementary Figure 3. Kaplan Meier curves for time-to-first-treatment (TTFT) for Binet A U-CLL cases with either isolated TP53abn, SF3B1 mutations and del(11q) vs cases with 2 concurrent of the mentioned aberrations
Supplementary Figure 4. Kaplan Meier curves for time-to-first-treatment (TTFT) in Binet A U-CLL. (A): Male sex is correlated with shorter TTFT within the non *TP53*abn/*SF3B1*mut/del(11q) Binet A. (B): No impact of male sex within the *TP53*abn/*SF3B1*mut/del(11q) Binet A cases.
Binet A, female, TP53abn/SF3B1mt/del(11q), n=116
Binet A, male, TP53abn/SF3B1mt/del(11q), n=67

p=0.63
Supplementary Figure 5. Kaplan Meier curves for time-to-first-treatment (TTFT) in the validation cohort (n=649). (A) M-CLL cases carrying TP53abn, trisomy 12 (+12) or assigned to stereotyped subset #2, display shorter TTFT compared to non TP53abn/#2/+12 cases; (B) Within Binet A U-CLL, TP53abn/SF3B1mut/del(11q) cases exhibit the shortest TTFT. Within the remaining cases the difference between male and female patients does not exhibit statistical significance.
Supplementary Figure 6. Kaplan-Meier curves for time-to-first-treatment (TTFT) within cases carrying trisomy 12 (+12). No impact of NOTCH1 mutation in cases with unmutated IGHV genes (U-CLL).
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