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Abstract

The current pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) which was first detected in Wuhan, China in December 2019 is caused by the novel coronavirus named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). The virus has quickly spread to a large number of countries leading to a great number of deaths. Unfortunately, till today there is no specific treatment or vaccination for SARS-CoV-2. Most of the suggested treatment medications are based on in vitro laboratory investigations, experimental animal models, or previous clinical experience in treating similar viruses such as SARS-CoV-1 or other retroviral infections. The running of any clinical trial during a pandemic is affected at multiple levels. Reasons for this include patient hesitancy or inability to continue investigative treatments due to self-isolation/quarantine, or limited access to public places (including hospitals). Additional barriers relate to health care professionals being committed to other critical tasks or quarantining themselves due to contact with COVID-19 positive patients. The best research approaches are those that adapt to such external unplanned obstacles. Ongoing clinical trials before COVID-19 pandemic have the potential for identifying important therapies in the long-term if they can be completed as planned. However, these clinical trials may require modifications due a pandemic such as this one to ensure the rights, safety, and wellbeing of participants as well as medical staff involved in the conduction of clinical trials. Clinical trials initiated during the pandemic must be time-efficient and flexible due to high contagiousness of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, the significant number of reported deaths, and time constraints needed to perform high quality clinical trials, enrolling adequate sample sizes. Collaboration between different countries as well as implementation of innovative clinical trial designs are essential to successfully complete such initiatives during the current pandemic. Studies looking at the long
INTRODUCTION

The number of infectious diseases outbreaks over the past few decades have increased. This has created an enormous additional burden on the health systems of many countries around the world. As a majority of research activities during outbreaks targeting the causal organism must be completed in a timely fashion, it is of no surprise that effective preventative measures such as vaccination or therapies have not been available for many previous pandemic infectious diseases; in fact most of the previously used medications during past outbreaks have not been based on high quality evidence[1].

Despite the dedication of enormous resources, the advancement in health care systems and collaboration between different investigators across the world, only a small number of patients over the last decade have in fact benefited from clinical research performed during different outbreaks of respiratory viruses such as was the case for the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), the H1N1 flu virus (swine flu) or the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome. Most of the medical research allocated resources and funding tend to happen during the disease outbreak. Unfortunately lack of continuation of funding once the outbreak is over has led to disruption of many clinical trials assessing preventative, diagnostic, and therapeutic strategies[2].

The current pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) which was first detected in Wuhan, China in December 2019 is caused by the novel coronavirus named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2)[3]. The virus eventually spread to different regions of China and to the rest of the world leading to more than three million confirmed cases and hundreds of thousands of deaths at the time of writing of this manuscript[4].

Initial reports from the highly affected three countries China, Italy and Spain suggest that COVID-19 has caused high mortality rate and/or overwhelmed regional intensive care units (ICU) capacity[5,6].

The virus spreads via contact with infected upper respiratory droplets. The clinical presentation varies markedly from asymptomatic carriers to patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome requiring ICU admission and mechanical ventilation[7]. Certain groups of patients are at higher risk for developing complications and death. High mortality rates have been associated with advanced age, presence of underlying disease, presence of secondary infection and elevated inflammatory markers[8].

Medical personnel in many countries have also been affected, leading to absence from work and, tragically, death in some cases.

Unfortunately, till today there is no specific treatment or vaccination for SARS-CoV-2. Reports from initially affected countries describe using different medications such as Hydroxychloroquine with Azithromycin and in some adding anti-viral medications term sequelae of COVID-19 are also of importance as recent publications describe multi-organ involvement. Long term follow-up of COVID-19 survivors is thus also important to identify possible physical and mental health sequelae.
such as Lopinavir/Ritonavir, Remdesivir or Favipiravir for severe cases\[16-19\]. Other management options include Inter-Leukin 6 and extracted immunoglobulin from recovered patients that have also been used with variable results\[6-7\]. Most of the suggested treatment medications are based on in vitro laboratory investigations, experimental animal models, or previous experience in treating similar viruses such as SARS-CoV-1 or other retroviral infections.

It is important to note that in most of these trials there was no control group, therefore the safety profile and effectiveness of the studied medications cannot be accurately determined.

Due to the very contagious nature of SARS-CoV-2, overwhelming the capacity of the health care system including out-patient clinics, in-hospital wards and ICUs, and in light of the significant mortality in the absence of effective treatment or prophylactic vaccination, focused medical research on SARS-CoV-2 is a necessity during this pandemic, with all patients deserving evidence-based care. The entire world needs a coordinated effort of all the available knowledge and resources to fight this pandemic and possibly similar future pandemics.

But it is challenging to conduct research during pandemics. Indeed, the running of clinical trials during a pandemic is affected at multiple levels. Reasons for this include patient hesitancy or inability to continue investigative treatments due to self-isolation/quarantine, or limited access to public places (including hospitals). Additional barriers relate to health care professionals being committed to other critical tasks or quarantining themselves due to contact with COVID-19 positive patients\[19\]. Interruption of supply chains and monitoring of clinical trials are additional obstacles to medical research during pandemics (Figure 1). The best research approaches are those that will adapt to such external unplanned obstacles.

As example, similar to other research institutions, we are facing many challenges and obstacles at our own centers. Perhaps one of the most important barriers is a patient’s understandable anxiety and fear related to the current COVID-19 pandemic. Indeed, they are very hesitant to visit health care facilities to participate in new clinical trials, let alone continue in ongoing ones. Some patients have also been quarantined due to contact with confirmed COVID-19 cases. Investigators at our institutions especially those involved in sponsored clinical trials are also facing challenges related to interruptions in the supply chains for investigational products, coupled to the inability of trial personnel to perform visits and/or investigations on enrolled patients.

Ethics committees must apply rigorous standards to authorize research in accordance with the principles of justice, equity and solidarity. Lapses were reported during previous outbreaks such as in the West Africa Ebola Virus (EV) outbreak leading to incomplete studies and inconclusive results\[16-18\]. Although we must strive to avoid publication bias (only submitting “positive studies”), the importance of unpublished data or not publishing incomplete data and inconclusive results must also be stressed. Take for example the randomized controlled trial performed during an EV outbreak in 2014 looking at a triple monoclonal antibody cocktail (ZMapp) as treatment for EV. The investigators concluded that the estimated effect of ZMapp appeared to be beneficial, but the results in fact did not meet the prespecified statistical threshold for efficacy, thus leading to an underpowered negative trial\[19\]. An example of unpublished results that need to be widely acknowledged because of a negative outcome leading to early termination is that of a Brazilian study (CloroCovid-19) which was a parallel, double-blind, randomized, phase IIb clinical trial, which started on March 23, 2020, aiming to assess safety and efficacy of Chloroquine diphosphate (CQ) in the treatment of hospitalized patients with severe respiratory syndrome secondary to SARS-CoV-2 infection. The high dose of CQ 600 mg at twice daily for 10 days led to a higher fatality rate (27%) by day 13 of the trial compared to the lower dose group and historical data from similar patients not using CQ\[18\].

Medical research must therefore be adapted to the new socio-economic reality during the COVID-19 pandemic. Such studies can be divided into three main categories: Ongoing medical research that antedated the pandemic, new research that is initiated during the pandemic, and future research on COVID-19 that will follow it.

**CLINICAL TRIALS ALREADY ONGOING AS THE CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 PANDEMIC STARTED**

Ongoing clinical trials have the potential for benefiting millions of lives in the long-term if they can be completed as planned, for example for certain types of cancers or diseases to which no cure currently exits. Clinical trials in such patients are often the...
standard of care and may represent a patient’s only hope. Furthermore, discontinuation of ongoing trials represents a waste of resources, and of time and efforts of patients who have completed the trial as well as medical and research personal involved in their design and implementation. Supporting the efforts to continue ongoing clinical trials and modifying them, if necessary, is thus essential.

The COVID-19 pandemic poses significant challenges for many ongoing clinical research trials because of additional challenges. Indeed, examples of barriers faced by sponsors and investigators include interruptions to the supply chains for the investigational products if applicable, the inability of trials personnel to perform visits and/or laboratory or imaging tests on enrolled patients, data entry, serious adverse events notification, and more generally follow various additional aspects of published trials protocols.

Due to above constrains, as a result, a series of measures need to be instituted, ensuring the rights, safety, and wellbeing of participants as well as the medical staff involved in the conduction of clinical trials. Both sponsors and investigators must understand the critical situation faced by the medical authorities around the globe. Fairness is thus a better way of approaching the ethical issues faced by clinical trial staff and regulatory agencies during this pandemic. Fairness refers to the equitable distribution of benefits. It is about meeting everyone’s individual needs; therefore cultural, racial, social or other biases must be avoided. In addition, clinical trials sponsors as well as investigators must uphold the spirit of existing laws while remaining fair to all individuals involved in the clinical trials.[20]

Clinical trial sponsors and investigators must decide whether trial subject safety considerations allow continuation of the protocols, or rather temporarily halting administration of the interventional product or patient recruitment. Many research regulatory authorities such as the United States Food and Drug Administration and the Clinical Trials Expert Group at the European Medicines Agency have published statements to regulate medical research during the COVID-19 pandemic in order to ensure the safeguard and preservation of rights of patients, medical staff and personnel involved in conducting, monitoring and the follow-up data collection and analysis of clinical trials.[21][22] Local Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) are also encouraged to revise and modify their policies and procedures to adapt to the current situation without compromising patient care while ensuring the safety of all personnel involved in clinical trials. Additional extensions to study periods beyond those already provided by local IRBs must be allowed in order to accommodate for the unpredictable study operational time lost during this COVID-19 pandemic.

Adopted modifications must not compromise the integrity of the study methodology while the trial must continue to be conducted in accordance with Good

Figure 1 Challenges in conducting research during pandemics.
Clinical Practices and comply with local and national regulatory requirements. Modifications of protocols such as delaying recruitment of patients, postponing certain assessments and monitoring subjects through phone calls or virtual visits, limiting study visits, and preventing large gathering of study subjects as well as permitting certain tests to be done in nearby clinics rather than centrally, where appropriate, will most likely ensure patient and medical staff safety without compromising progression of the trials. Sponsors as well as investigators should develop a process to identify, document, assess and report all protocol deviations to the sponsor and local IRBs. These protocol deviations must also be documented to better complete and interpret subsequent analyses of the study findings.

As it is not clear when the pandemic will end, it is important that investigators put the aforementioned strategies into place for an adequate period of time.

NEW CLINICAL TRIALS INITIATED DURING THE CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 PANDEMIC

Medical research during COVID-19 pandemic should focus on the epidemiology of the SARS-CoV-2, its modes of transmission, COVID-19 clinical presentations, effectiveness of preventative measures and possible modalities of treatment. Medical research must be time-efficient and flexible due to high contagiousness of the SARS-CoV-2, the large number of reported deaths, and time constraints needed to perform high quality clinical trials.

Regulatory processes must facilitate the generation of data from observational studies in real world settings that may be very important in better guiding the development of vaccines and therapies of COVID-19 patients, and subsequently informing well designed, adequately powered, and well-funded randomized controlled trials. The latter should take priority, at least temporarily, over other competing clinical trials. Moreover, patients and clinicians should be encouraged to participate in such initiatives[23].

As it is not clear when the pandemic will end, it is important that investigators put the aforementioned strategies into place for an adequate period of time.

To overcome issues related to research during pandemic crises and to avoid publication bias a group of public health experts have advocated the use of a model called “core protocol”[24]. This model describes the implementation of multiple interventions addressing a single disease at the same time such as using different off label medications to treat COVID-19, or of a single intervention targeting multiple diseases across different outbreaks such as Remdesivir which was used to treat EV and now is being studies in COVID-19. This approach aims to minimize the time required to complete high quality clinical trials during outbreaks, with recognition of the additional important role of timely interim analyses to limit futility or harm and enhance the early recognition of efficacy. Subsequent publication of results would only be carried out if pre-set criteria of efficacy or effectiveness are met (which may not be the case until further enrolment is reached during subsequent pandemics).

To overcome issues related to research during pandemic crises and to avoid publication bias a group of public health experts have advocated the use of a model called “core protocol”[24]. This model describes the implementation of multiple interventions addressing a single disease at the same time such as using different off label medications to treat COVID-19, or of a single intervention targeting multiple diseases across different outbreaks such as Remdesivir which was used to treat EV and now is being studies in COVID-19. This approach aims to minimize the time required to complete high quality clinical trials during outbreaks, with recognition of the additional important role of timely interim analyses to limit futility or harm and enhance the early recognition of efficacy. Subsequent publication of results would only be carried out if pre-set criteria of efficacy or effectiveness are met (which may not be the case until further enrolment is reached during subsequent pandemics).

The rapid and unpredictable nature of many pandemic infectious diseases such as COVID-19 makes it impossible for a limited number of researchers to produce meaningful clinical results. To overcome such an obstacle, multi-center trials involving different countries with two-way exchange of information, protocols and procedures is an essential part of the global approach to any pandemic situation[25]. Such collaboration should be supported and facilitated by regulators in order to preserve resources and avoid underpowered studies, while achieving the planned outcomes. This will also help countries with limited resources that report on lower numbers of cases due to unavailability of testing. An excellent example of this kind of collaboration is the SOLIDARITY trial--a World Health Organization-funded international study of potential treatments for COVID-19 to be conducted in Asia, South Africa, Europe, and the Americas[26].

In pandemic times, therapeutic priority must be given to research focusing on interventions. Investigators must concentrate on studying the effectiveness of already developed and approved medications used for similar viruses. The development of new drugs and testing through multi-phases during such emergencies may only be of limited benefit for the current pandemic affected patients, however results will of course be of help for similar future pandemics.
As discussed above, modifications of policies and regulations established by local IRBs during COVID-19 to maintain ongoing studies should also be applied to new clinical trial submissions involving COVID-19 patients.

---

**CLINICAL TRIALS TO BE INITIATED AFTER THE CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 PANDEMIC**

It is essential to discover new drugs to effectively fight what are likely repeated infectious diseases outbreaks in time. Off-label use of available medications has disadvantages such as unknown efficacy, side effects and drug-drug interactions. Therefore, when the pandemic is over, clinical trials that started during the pandemic of COVID-19 should continue with their findings published once the planned accrual and/or efficacy, harm, or futility endpoints have been reached.

Other studies that may have used the earlier described core protocol model should extend across future multiple infectious disease outbreaks. Due to the limited time available to enroll the large sample sizes of patients required for many high-quality clinical trials, and the enormous resources required during emergency pandemic diseases, earlier preparation for future outbreaks is becoming crucial for producing meaningful research findings. Such planning should include multi-center participation from different countries to ensure sufficient sample size and representative diverse patient demographics favoring broad generalizability of results and meaningful, adequately powered subgroup analyses. Such participation requires research capacity building, especially in countries with limited resources as well as support and collaboration from regulatory bodies to ensure success.

The rapid action from concept to implementation of clinical studies is crucial during infectious disease outbreaks. This kind of approach will require innovative research designs such as “n of 1” trials, adaptive platform trials, or ring vaccination designs with pre-outbreak approval, rapid implementation and timely effective methods of data exchange across global collaborations. Continuation of research on banked patient materials such as fluids and tissue samples collected during outbreaks after appropriate consent will likely further enhance diagnostic and therapeutic discoveries.

Studies looking at the long-term sequellae of COVID-19 are also of importance. As recent publications describe multi-organ involvement, long-term follow-up of COVID-19 survivors can assist in identifying possible physical and mental health sequellae.

---

**CLINICAL TRIALS INVOLVING CELL LINES AND ANIMALS**

Laboratory based research such as life-saving vaccines and therapies, particularly against the new coronavirus must continue. Cell lines research are essential for the production of vaccines, as are testing drug metabolism, cytotoxicity and antibody production during a pandemic. Maintenance of cell lines requires uninterrupted examination of cell morphology and periodic change of medium. This kind of maintenance could be affected during COVID-19 pandemic due to reduction of research laboratory staff and interruption in supply chains.

Currently, millions of animals are used in research laboratories around the globe. Indeed, animals need to be looked after, and breeding lines must be kept intact. However, in some laboratories the work force has been reduced with researchers asked to stay away from their laboratories to minimize their risk of exposure to SARS-COV-2. These understandable measures have nonetheless affected the care and wellbeing of experimental animals. Some researchers were even asked to dispose of animals while others had to interrupt their research in a way that will likely require the use of another animals once back to work.

To minimize the impact of COVID-19 on laboratory-based research, researchers should follow local institutions’ safety regulations. Many laboratory-based research institutions have established new regulation to ensure safety of investigators and continuity of work. Some of these new regulations include reducing laboratory workforce to those conducting essential work, establishing a system of reporting symptoms and signs suggestive of COVID-19, working in small groups and keeping a distance of at least two meters between staff while also implementing working shifts.
CONCLUSION

Clinical research plays a primordial societal role during pandemic emergencies. Collaborative work with participation from different countries including the adequate support of limited resources countries are important elements that will help deal with and overcome such public health crises in the future. Preventative, diagnostic, and interventional measures should be prioritized. Ongoing studies before the pandemics arise should be rigorously evaluated by trials sponsors, investigators and local IRBs. Modifications of existing protocols to ensure the safety of patients and medical personnel is essential. Continuation of research and long term follow-up studies are required to discover therapies and to support survivors of infectious disease outbreaks.
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