Search for an invisibly decaying $Z'$ boson at Belle II in $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-(e^±\mu^∓)$ plus missing energy final states

I. Adachi,21,18 P. Ahlborg,94 H. Aihara,110 N. Akopov,116 A. Aloisio,85,33 N. Anh Ky,30,12 D. M. Asner,2 H. Atmacan,96 T.Aushev,55 V. Aushev,77 T. Aziz,78 V. Babu,10 S. Baehr,44 P. Bambade,49 Sw. Banerjee,99 V. Bansal,68 M. Barrett,21 J. Baudot,93 J. Becker,44 P. K. Behera,24 J. V. Bennett,103 E. Bernieri,38 F. U. Bernlochner,94 M. Bertemen,27 M. Bessner,97 S. Bettarini,88,36 C. Cecchi,87,35 D. Červenkov,6 M.-C. Chang,15 R. Cheaiti,95 V. Chekelian,53 Y. Q. Chen,106 Y.-T. Chen,60 B. G. Cheon,29 K. Chilikin,56 K. Cho,46 S. Cho,177 S.-K. Choi,19 S. Choudhury,23 D. Cinabro,114 L. Corona,88,36 L. M. Cremaldi,103 S. Cunliffe,10 T. Czank,111 F. Dattolla,10 E. De La Cruz-Burelo,5 G. De Nardo,85,33 M. De Nuccio,10 G. Di Pietro,89,38 R. de Sangro,32 M. Destefanis,90,39 S. Dey,80 A. De Yra-Hernandez,5 F. Di Capua,85,33 Z. Dolčelj,6 I. Domínguez Jiménez,84 T. V. Dong,16 K. Dort,43 D. Dosssett,102 S. Dubey,97 S. Duell,94 G. Dujany,93 S. Eidelman,3,64,50 M. Elchichvitch,94 J. E. Fast,68 T. Ferber,10 D. Ferelwiecz,102 G. Finocchiaro,32 S. Fiore,37 A. Fodor,54 F. Forti,88,36 B. G. Fulsom,98 E. Ganiev,91,40 M. Garcia-Hernandez,5 R. Gaur,69 V. Gaur,113 A. Gaz,57,58 A. Gellrich,10 J. Gemmell,44 T. Gekle,43 R. Giordano,85,33 A. Giri,23 B. Gobbo,40 R. Godang,107 P. Goldenweig,44 B. Golob,98,76 P. Gomis,31 W. Gradl,42 E. Graziani,85,33 D. Greenwald,79 Y. Guan,96 C. Hadjivasiliou,68 S. Halder,78 T. Hara,21,18 O. Hartzbrich,97 K. Hayasaka,63 H. Hayashi,59 C. Heart,95,26 M. T. Hedges,97 I. Heredia de la Cruz,5,9 M. Hernández Villanueva,103 A. Hershenhorn 95 T. Higuchi,111 E. C. Hill,95 M. Hoek,42 C.-L. Hsu,109 Y. Hu,28 T. Iijima,57,58 K. Inami,57 G. Inguglia,27 J. Irakidakis Jabbir,44 A. Ishikawa,21,18 R. Itoh,21,18 Y. Iwasaki,21 W. W. Jacobs,25 D. E. Jaffe,7 E.-J. Jang,19 H. B. Jeon,48 S. Jia,1 Y. Jin,40 C. Joo,111 K. K. Joo,8 J. Kahn,44 H. Kakuno,83 A. B. Kalikh,78 J. Kandra,9 G. Karyan,9 Y. Kato,57,58 T. Kawasaki,45 B. H. Kim,73 C.-H. Kim,20 D. Y. Kim,75 K.-H. Kim,117 S.-H. Kim,20 Y. K. Kim,117 Y. Kim,47 T. D. Kimmel,113 H. Kindo,21,18 C. Kleinwort,10 P. Kodyś,6 S. Kohani,97 I. Komarov,10 S. Korpar,101,76 N. Kovalchuk,10 T. M. G. Kratzschmar,53 P. Krizan,96,78 R. Kroeger,103 P. Krokovny,3,64 T. Kuhr,51 J. Kumar,4 M. Kumar,52 R. Kumar,71 K. Kunnara,114 S. Kurz,10 A. Kuzmin,57,64 Y.-J. Kwon,117 S. Lacaprara,34 C. La Licata,111 L. Lanceri,40 J. S. Lange,43 K. Lautenbach,43 I.-S. Lee,29 S. C. Lee,48 P. Leitl,53 D. Levi,79 L. K. Li,96 Y. B. Li,70 J. Libby,24 K. Lieret,51 L. Li Gioi,53 Z. Lipták,97 Q. Y. Liu,16 D. Liventsev,113,21 S. Longo,112 T. Luo,16 Y. Maeda,57,58 M. Maggiora,90,39 E. Manoni,35 S. Marcello,90,39 C. Marinas,91 A. Martini,89,38 M. Masuda,13,67 T. Matsuoka,104 K. Matsuoka,57,58 D. Matvienko,3,50,64 F. Meggendorfer,53 J. C. Mei,16 M. Meier,13 M. Merola,85,33 F. Metzner,44 M. Milesi,102 C. Miller,112 K. Miyabayashi,59 H. Miyake,21,18 R. Mizuk,50 K. Azmi,100 G. B. Mohanty,78 T. Moon,73 T. Morii,111 H.-G. Moser,53 F. Mueller,53 F. J. Müller,10 Th. Muller,44 G. Muroyama,57 R. Mussa,39 E. Nakano,56 M. Nakao,21,18 M. Nayak,80 G. Nazaryan,116 D. Neverov,57 C. Niebuhr,10 N. K. Nisar,105 S. Nishida,21,18 K. Nishimura,97 M. Nishimura,21 B. Oberhof,32 K. Ogawa,63 Y. Onishchuk,77 H. Ono,63 Y. Onuki,110 P. Oskin,50 H. Ozaki,21,18 P. Pakhlov,50,56 G. Pakhlov,61,50 P. Paladino,88,36 A. Panta,103 E. Paoloni 88,36 H. Park,48 B. Paschen,94 A. Passeri,38 A. Pathak,99 S. Paul,79 I. Peruzzi,32 R. Peschke,97 R. Pestotnik,76 M. Piccolo,32 L. E. Pillon,113 V. Popov,55,50 C. Praz,10 E. PREPICE,14 M. T. Prim,44 M. V. Purohit,65 P. Rados,10 R. Rasheed,93 S. Reiter,43 M. Remnev,3,50 P. K. Resmi,24 R. Ipp-Baudot,93 M. Ritter,51 G. Rizzo,88,36 L. B. Rizzuto,76 S. H. Robertson,54,29 D. Rodríguez Pérez,84 J. M. Roney,112,29 C. Rosenfeld,108 A. Rostomyan,10 N. Rout,24 G. Russo,85,33 D. Sahoo,79 Y. Sakai,21,18 S. Sandilya,96 A. Sangal,96 L. Santelj,98,76 P. Sartori,84 Y. Sato,81 V. Savinov,105 B. Scavino,42 J. Schueler,97 C. Schwanda,27 R. M. Seddon,54 Y. Seino,63 A. Seice,35 K. Senyo,115 C. Sfienti,42 C. P. Shen,1 J.-G. Shin,69 B. Shwartz,3,50 A. Sibidanov,112 F. Simon,53 R. J. Sobie,112 A. Soffer,80 A. Sokolov,26 E. Soloviev,50 S. Spataro,90,39 B. Spruck,42 M. Starič,76 S. Steklova,10 R. Stroili,86,34 J. Strube,68 M. Sumihama 17,67 T. Sumiyoshi,83 D. J. Summers,103 S. Y. Suzuki,21,18 M. Tabata,7 M. Takizawa,72,22,74 U. Tamponi 39 S. Tanaka,21,18 K. Tanida,41 N. Taniguchi,21 P. Taras,92 F. Tenchini,10 E. Torassa,34 K. Trabelsi,94 T. Tsuyopama,21,18 M. Uchida,82 K. Unger,44 Y. Umno,20 S. Uno,21,18 Y. Ushiroda,21,18,110 S. E. Vahsen,94 R. van Veen,97 G. S. Varner,97 K. E. Varvell,109 A. Vinokurova,3,64 L. Vitale,91,40 A. Vossen,11 M. Wakai,95 H. M. Wakeling,54 W. Wan Abdullah,100 C. H. Wang,51 M.-Z. Wang,60 A. Warburton,54 M. Watanabe,63 J. Webb,102 S. Wehle,10 C. Wessel,94 J. Wieczynski,36 H. Windel,53 E. Won,47 B. Yabsley,109 S. Yamada,21 W. Yan,106 S. B. Yang,47 H. Ye,10 J. H. Yin,28 M. Yonenaga,83 C. Z. Yuan,28
Y. Yusa, L. Zani, Z. Zhang, V. Zhilich, Q. D. Zhou, X. Y. Zhou, and V. I. Zhukova (Belle II Collaboration)

1Beihang University, Beijing 100191
2Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973
3Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090
4Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213
5Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politecnico Nacional, Mexico City 07360
6Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, 121 16 Prague
7Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522
8Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186
9Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnologia, Mexico City 03940
10Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, 22607 Hamburg
11Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708
12Institute of Theoretical and Applied Research (ITAR), Duy Tan University, Hanoi 100000, Vietnam
13Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0032
14Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich
15Department of Physics, Fu Jen Catholic University, Taipei 24205
16Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) and Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 20043
17Gifu University, Gifu 501-1193
18The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), Hayama 240-0193
19Kyungpook National University, Jinju 52829
20Department of Physics and Institute of Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763
21High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801
22J-PARC Branch, KEK Theory Center, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801
23Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Telangana 502285
24Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036
25Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47408
26Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino 142281
27Institute of High Energy Physics, Vienna 1050, Austria
28Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049
29Institute of Particle Physics (Canada), Victoria, British Columbia V8W 2Y2
30Institute of Physics, Hanoi
31Instituto de Física Corpuscular, Paterna 46980
32INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, I-00044 Frascati
33INFN Sezione di Napoli, I-80126 Napoli
34INFN Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova
35INFN Sezione di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia
36INFN Sezione di Pisa, I-56127 Pisa
37INFN Sezione di Roma, I-00185 Roma
38INFN Sezione di Roma Tre, I-00146 Roma
39INFN Sezione di Torino, I-10125 Torino
40INFN Sezione di Trieste, I-34127 Trieste
41Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Naka 319-1195
42Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen, 35392 Gießen
43Institut für Experimentelle Teilchenphysik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, 76131 Karlsruhe
44Institut für Experimentelle Teilchenphysik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, 76131 Karlsruhe
45Kitasato University, Sagamihara 252-0373
46Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon 34141
47Korea University, Seoul 02841
48Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566
49Laboratoire de l’Accélérateur Linéaire, IN2P3/CNRS et Université Paris-Sud 11, Centre Scientifique d’Orsay, F-91898 Orsay Cedex
50P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 119991
51Ludwig Maximilians University, 80539 Munich
52Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur, Jaipur 302017
53Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, 80805 München
54McGill University, Montréal, Québec, H3A 2T8
55Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow Region 141700
56Moscow Physical Engineering Institute, Moscow 115409
57Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602
58Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602
59Nara Women’s University, Nara 630-8506
Theories beyond the standard model often predict the existence of an additional neutral boson, the $Z'$. Using data collected by the Belle II experiment during 2018 at the SuperKEKB collider, we perform the first searches for the invisible decay of a $Z'$ in the process $e^+e^-\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-Z'$ and of a lepton-flavor-violating $Z'$ in $e^+e^-\rightarrow e^\pm\mu^\mp Z'$. We do not find any excess of events and set 90% credibility level upper limits on the cross sections of these processes. We translate the former, in
the framework of an \(L_\mu - L_\tau\) theory, into upper limits on the \(Z'\) coupling constant at the level of \(5 \times 10^{-2} \div 1\) for \(M_{Z'} \leq 6\) GeV/c\(^2\).

PACS numbers: 12.60.-i, 14.80.-j, 13.66.De, 95.35.+d

The standard model (SM) is a successful and highly predictive theory of fundamental particles and interactions. However, it cannot be considered a complete description of nature, as it does not account for many phenomena, including dark matter.

The \(L_\mu - L_\tau\) extension of the SM \([1, 2]\) gauges the difference of the leptonic muon and tau number, giving rise to a new vector boson, the \(Z'\). The \(Z'\) couples to the SM only through the \(\mu, \tau, \nu_\mu\) and \(\nu_\tau\), with coupling constant \(g'\). The \(L_\mu - L_\tau\) model is potentially able to address important open issues in particle physics, including the anomalies in the \(g - 2_\mu\) \([4]\), and dark matter phenomenology, if extra matter is charged under \(L_\mu - L_\tau\) \([1, 5]\). We investigate here, for the first time, the specific invisible decay topology \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- Z'\), \(Z' \rightarrow \text{invisible}\), where the \(Z'\) production occurs via radiation off a final state muon. The decay branching fractions (BF) to neutrinos are predicted to vary between 33\% and 100\% depending on the \(Z'\) mass \([5]\). This model ("standard \(Z'\) in the following) is poorly constrained at low masses. Related searches have been performed by the \(\text{BaBar}\) and CMS experiments for a \(Z'\) decaying to muons \([6, 7]\). Our search is, therefore, the first to have sensitivity to \(Z'\) masses \(m_{Z'} < 2m_\mu\). If the \(Z'\) is able to decay directly into a pair of dark matter particles \(\chi\), one expects \(\text{BF}(Z' \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}) \approx 1\). We provide separate results for this scenario, which is not constrained by existing measurements.

The second scenario we consider postulates the existence of a lepton-flavor-violating (LFV) boson, either a scalar or a vector (\("\text{LFV} Z'\) in the following), which couples to leptons \([8, 9]\). We focus on the LFV \(e - \mu\) coupling. While the presence of LFV mediators can be constrained by measurements of the forward-backward asymmetry in \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\) \([9, 10]\), we present here a direct, model-independent search of \(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+\mu^- Z'\), \(Z' \rightarrow \text{invisible}\). The presence of missing energy decays make these searches especially suitable for an \(e^+e^-\) collider.

The Belle II detector \([11]\) operates at the SuperKEKB electron-positron collider \([12]\), located at the KEK laboratory in Tsukuba, Japan. Data were collected at the center-of-mass (CM) energy of the \(7(4S)\) resonance from April to July 2018. The energies of the electron and positron beams are 7 GeV and 4 GeV, respectively, resulting in a boost of \(\beta\gamma = 0.28\) of the CM frame relative to the lab frame. The integrated luminosity used in this analysis amounts to 276 \(pb^{-1}\) \([13]\).

The Belle II detector consists of several subdetectors arranged around the beam pipe in a cylindrical structure. A superconducting solenoid, situated outside of the calorimeter, provides a 1.5 T magnetic field. Subdetectors relevant for this analysis are briefly described here; a description of the full detector is given in \([11, 14]\). The innermost subdetector is the vertex detector (VXD), which includes two layers of silicon pixels and four outer layers of silicon strips. Only a single octant of the VXD was installed during the 2018 operations \([15]\). The main tracking device (CDC) is a large helium-based small-cell drift chamber. The electromagnetic calorimeter (ECL) consists of a barrel and two endcaps made of CsI(Tl) crystals. The \(z\) axis of the laboratory frame is along the detector solenoidal axis in the direction of the electron beam. "Longitudinal" and "transverse" are with respect to this direction, unless otherwise specified.

The invisible \(Z'\) signature is a peak in the distribution of the invariant mass of the system recoiling against a lepton pair. "Recoil" quantities such as mass and momentum refer to this system. They coincide with \(Z'\) properties in the case of signal events and typically correspond to undetected SM particles in the case of background events. The analysis uses events with exactly two tracks, identified as \(\mu\mu\) or \(e\mu\), and minimal other activity in the ECL. The standard \(Z'\) selection is optimized using simulated events prior to examining data; the same criteria, aside from an electron in the final state, are used for the LFV \(Z'\) search. The dominant backgrounds are SM final states with missing energy and two tracks identified as leptons. These are radiative muon pairs \((e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma(\gamma))\) with one or more photons which are not detected due to inefficiency or acceptance, \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-\gamma(\gamma)\), and \(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-\) with electrons outside the acceptance. Control samples are used to check background rates predicted by simulation and to infer correction factors and related uncertainties. Upper limits on the standard \(Z'\) cross section are computed with a counting technique in windows of the recoil mass distribution. For the LFV \(Z'\) model-independent search, upper limits are interpreted in terms of signal efficiency times cross section. Details of each of these steps are described below.

Signal events are generated with \texttt{MadGraph} 5 \([16]\) for standard \(Z'\) masses ranging from 0.5 to 8 GeV/c\(^2\) in steps of 0.5 GeV/c\(^2\). The following background sources are generated using the specified generators: \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma(\gamma)\) \((\text{KKMC} [17]);\) \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma(\gamma)\) \((\text{PHOKHARA} [18]);\) \(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma(\gamma)\) \((\text{BabaYaga}\_\text{NLO} [19]);\) \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-\gamma(\gamma)\) \((\text{KKMC} [17] \text{ with } \text{TAOULA} [20]);\) \(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-\); and \(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-e^+e^-\) \((\text{AAFH} [21]).\) The detector geometry and the interactions of the final
state particles with the material are simulated using Geant4 [22] and the Belle II Analysis Software Framework [23].

The standard Z’ search uses the CDC two-track trigger, which selects events with at least two tracks with an azimuthal opening angle larger than 90°. The LFV Z’ search uses the ECL trigger, which selects events with total energy in the barrel and part of the endcap above 1 GeV. Both triggers reject events that are consistent with being Bhabha scatterings.

To reject spurious tracks and beam induced background, “good” tracks are required to have transverse and longitudinal projections of the distance of closest approach with respect to the interaction point smaller than 0.5 cm and 2.0 cm, respectively. Photons are classified as ECL clusters with energy greater than 100 MeV, which are not associated with tracks. Quantities are defined in the laboratory frame unless specified otherwise. Events are required to pass the following selection criteria.

1. Exactly two oppositely charged good tracks, with polar angles in a restricted barrel ECL acceptance $\theta \in [37, 120]^\circ$ and with azimuthal opening angle $> 90^\circ$, to match the CDC trigger requirement.

2. Recoil momentum pointing into the ECL barrel acceptance $\theta \in [32, 125]^\circ$, to exclude inefficient regions where photons from radiative backgrounds can pass undetected. This selection is applied only for recoil masses below 3 GeV/c$^2$; missed radiative photons are unlikely to produce higher masses.

3. An ECL-based particle identification (PID) selection: $0.15 < E < 0.4$ GeV and $E/pc < 0.4$ for muons; $0.8 < E/pc < 1.2$ and $E > 1.5$ GeV for electrons, where $E$ is the energy of the ECL cluster associated to a track of momentum $p$.

4. No photons within a 15° cone around the recoil momentum direction in the CM frame, to suppress radiative lepton pair backgrounds.

5. Total photon energy less than 0.4 GeV and no $\pi^0$ candidates (pairs of photons with invariant masses within 10 MeV/c$^2$ of the nominal $\pi^0$ value)

After this selection, the background for recoil masses below 7 GeV/c$^2$ is dominated by $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- (\gamma)$ events with $\tau \rightarrow \mu$, or $\tau \rightarrow \pi$ where the pion is misidentified as a muon.

In subsequent steps of the analysis, events are grouped into windows of recoil mass. The width of these windows is $\pm 2\sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the recoil mass resolution. It is obtained by fitting each Z’ recoil mass distribution with a sum of a Crystal Ball (CB) [24–26] and a Gaussian function with coincident peaks. The resolution is computed as the sum in quadrature of the CB and Gaussian widths weighted according to their contributions. The choice of $\pm 2\sigma$ maximizes a figure of merit (FOM) [27] over the full spectrum. Mass window widths vary from 1150 MeV/c$^2$ at $M_{Z'} = 0.5$ GeV/c$^2$ to a minimum of 51 MeV/c$^2$ at $M_{Z'} = 6.9$ GeV/c$^2$. There are in total 69 mass windows below 8 GeV/c$^2$.

A final selection, denoted as “$\tau$ suppression”, exploits the kinematics of the Z’ production, which occurs radiatively from a final state muon, to further suppress $\tau^+\tau^-$ events in which the missing momentum arises from neutrinos from both $\tau$ decays. The variables, defined in the CM frame, are: the transverse recoil momentum with respect to the lepton with the higher momentum $p_{\text{rec}}^{T,\text{limax}}$, with respect to the lower momentum $p_{\text{rec}}^{T,\text{limin}}$, the transverse momentum of the dilepton pair ($p_{\mu\mu}$ or $p_{\mu\mu}$). Figure 1 shows $p_{\text{rec}}^{T,\text{limax}}$ versus $p_{\text{rec}}^{T,\text{limin}}$ for a standard Z’ mass of 3 GeV/c$^2$ and for the total simulated background in the corresponding recoil mass window.

For the standard Z’ search, a linear cut is imposed in the $p_{\text{rec}}^{T,\text{limax}}$–$p_{\text{rec}}^{T,\text{limin}}$ plane and a selection $p_{\mu\mu}^{T} > p_{\text{cut}}^{T}$ where the cut values are determined using an optimization procedure that numerically maximizes the FOM in each recoil mass window. $p_{\text{cut}}^{T}$ is typically 1.5–2.0 GeV/c and is effective in suppressing the remaining $\mu^+\mu^-$ ($\gamma$) and $e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ backgrounds. For masses higher than 7 GeV/c$^2$, signal and background overlap in the $p_{\text{rec}}^{T,\text{limin}}$–$p_{\text{rec}}^{T,\text{limax}}$ plane and effective separation lines are not found. The same values are used for the LFV Z’ search.

Trigger, tracking, and particle identification efficiencies are studied on control samples. The performance of the CDC two-track trigger is studied on data samples, mostly radiative Bhabha scattering events, selected by means of the ECL trigger. The efficiency is $(79 \pm 5\%)$ when both tracks are within the acceptance of selection 1; the un-
certainty is systematic and is due to kinematic dependencies. The performance of the ECL trigger is studied using $e^+e^-\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ events with $E_\gamma > 1$ GeV that are selected with the CDC two-track trigger. The efficiency is found to be uniformly $(96 \pm 1)\%$ in the ECL barrel region.

The tracking efficiency for data is compared to simulation using samples of four-lepton events from two-photon mediated processes. Discrepancies at the level of 2% per track are found, resulting in a systematic uncertainty of 4%.

The dimuon recoil mass resolution of data is compared to simulation using $e^+e^-\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ events that are consistent with the full event energy, and which satisfy selections 1–5 except selection 4, which they are required to fail ($\mu\mu\gamma$ sample). The two-dimensional muon momentum distributions are reweighted to produce analogous distributions for $e^+e^-\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-Z'$ events with $Z'$ masses up to 3 GeV/$c^2$. The recoil mass widths for data and simulation are consistent, and no systematic uncertainty is assigned.

The selection criteria before the $\tau$ suppression are studied using signal-free control samples in data and simulation. The $\mu\mu\gamma$ sample is useful for the low recoil mass region. Similar ee$\gamma$ and $e\mu\gamma$ control samples are used for consistency checks. We also select $\mu\mu$ and $e\mu$ samples that satisfy requirements 1–5, but which fail the $p^{T,\text{max}}_{\text{rec}}, p^{T,\text{min}}_{\text{rec}}$ requirement. These studies indicate that the efficiency before the $\tau$ suppression is 35% lower for $\mu^+\mu^-$ events in data than in simulation, and 10% lower for $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ events. The latter is explained by tracking inefficiency, leaving a $-25\%$ unexplained deficit in dimuon events. A variety of studies failed to uncover the source of this discrepancy, which is consistently found to be independent of all checked quantities, including the recoil mass. The background predictions from simulation and the signal efficiency are thus corrected with scaling factors of 0.65 for $\mu^+\mu^-$ events and 0.9 for $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ events. The background level before the $\tau$ suppression selection is measured with a 2% statistical uncertainty in both samples [28], which is used as a systematic uncertainty. This is a strong constraint for the standard $Z'$ signal efficiency as well, as the topology of background and signal events (a pair of muons and missing energy) is identical for signal and background and the discrepancy in the measured yield is found not to depend on kinematic quantities (see above). We nevertheless conservatively assign a systematic uncertainty of 12.5% on the correction factor to the signal efficiency for the dimuon sample, half the size of the observed discrepancy.

To study the $\tau$ suppression, we use an $e^+e^-$ sample selected using the same analysis criteria, but with both tracks satisfying the electron criteria in selection 3. The resulting sample includes $e^+e^-\gamma$, $e^+e^-e^-e^-$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$ events where both leptons decay to electrons. The latter has the same kinematic features of the most relevant background source to both searches. Agreement between data and simulation is found after the $\tau$ suppression, within a 22% statistical uncertainty. This is taken as a systematic uncertainty on the background; no systematic uncertainty due to this effect is considered for the signal, as the selection has a high efficiency (around 50%, slightly depending on the $Z'$ mass), and the distributions on which it is based are well reproduced in simulation.

After the corrections for the two-track trigger efficiency and for the data/simulation discrepancy in $\mu^+\mu^-$ events, signal efficiencies are found to range between 2.6% and 4.9% for $Z'$ masses below 7 GeV/$c^2$. Signal efficiencies are interpolated from the generated $Z'$ masses to the center of each recoil mass window. An additional binning scheme is introduced with a shift of a half bin, to cover hypothetical signals located at the border of two contiguous bins, where the signal efficiency is reduced. Systematic uncertainties are summarized in Table I.

| Source                      | $\mu^+\mu^-$ | $e^+\mu^+$ |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Trigger efficiency          | 6%           | 1%         |
| Tracking efficiency         | 4%           | 4%         |
| PID                         | 4%           | 4%         |
| Luminosity                  | 0.7%         | 0.7%       |
| $\tau$ suppression (background) | 22%        | 22%        |
| Background before $\tau$ suppression | 2%        | 2%         |
| Discrepancy in $\mu\mu$ yield (signal) | 12.5%      | -          |

![Fig. 2: Recoil mass spectrum of the $\mu^+\mu^-$ sample. Simulated samples (histograms) are rescaled for luminosity, trigger efficiency (0.79) and correction factor (0.65, see text). Histogram bin widths indicate the recoil mass windows.](image)
The final recoil mass spectrum of the $\mu^+\mu^-$ sample is shown in Fig. 2, together with background simulations. We look for the presence of possible local excesses by calculating for each recoil mass window the probability to obtain a yield greater or equal to that obtained in data given the predicted background, including statistical and systematic uncertainties. No anomalies are observed, with all results below $3\sigma$ local significance in both the normal and shifted-binning options [28]. A Bayesian procedure [29] is used to compute $90\%$ credibility level (CL) upper limits on the standard $Z'$ cross section. We assume flat priors for all positive values of the cross section, while Poissonian likelihoods are assumed for the number of observed and simulated events. Gaussian smearing is used to model the systematic uncertainties. Results are cross-checked with log-flat priors and with a frequentist procedure based on the Feldman-Cousins approach [30] and are found to be compatible in both cases [28]. Cross section results are translated into $90\%$ CL upper limits on the coupling constant $g'$. These are shown in Fig. 3, where only values $g' \leq 1$ are displayed.

![Fig. 3: 90\% CL upper limits on coupling constant $g'$. Dark blue filled areas show the exclusion regions for $g'$ at 90\% CL, assuming the $L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$ predicted BF for $Z' \to \text{invisible}$; light blue areas are for BF($Z' \to \text{invisible}$) = 1. The solid and dashed lines are the expected sensitivities in the two hypotheses. The red band shows the region that could explain the muon anomalous magnetic moment $(g - 2)_\mu \pm 2\sigma$ [1, 5].](image)

The final recoil mass spectrum of the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ sample is shown in Fig. 4, together with background simulations. Again, no anomalies are observed above $3\sigma$ local significance [28]. Model-independent $90\%$ CL upper limits on the LFV $Z'$ efficiency times cross section are computed using the Bayesian procedure described above and cross-checked with a frequentist Feldman-Cousins procedure (Fig. 5). Additional plots and numerical results can be found in the supplemental material [28].

In summary, we have searched for invisibly decaying $Z'$ boson in the process $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^- Z'$ and for a LFV $Z'$ in the process $e^+e^- \to e^\pm\mu^\mp Z'$, using 276 pb$^{-1}$ of data collected by Belle II at SuperKEKB in 2018. We find no significant excess and set for the first time $90\%$ CL upper limits on the coupling constant $g'$ in the range $5 \times 10^{-2}$ to 1 for the former case and to the efficiency times cross section around 10 fb for the latter. The full Belle II data set, with better muon identification, a deeper knowledge of the detector, and the use of multivariate analysis techniques should enable the full $(g - 2)_\mu$ band to be probed in the future.
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FIG. 1: Recoil mass spectrum for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ sample before the $\tau$ suppression selection. Simulated samples (histograms) are rescaled for luminosity, trigger efficiency (0.79) and correction factor (0.65, see text). Histogram bin widths indicate the recoil mass windows.

FIG. 2: Recoil mass spectrum for the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ sample after the $\tau$ suppression selection. Simulated samples (histograms) are rescaled for luminosity, trigger efficiency (0.96) and correction factor (0.9, see text). Histogram bin widths indicate the recoil mass windows.
FIG. 3: 90% CL upper limits on cross section $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- $invisible). The dashed line is the expected sensitivity.

FIG. 4: Probability $p$ to get a result greater or equal to the observed one given the predicted background level as a function of the recoil mass for the $\mu^+ \mu^-$ sample.
FIG. 5: Probability $p$ to get a result greater or equal to the observed one given the predicted background level as a function of the recoil mass for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ sample, evaluated with a half bin shift.

FIG. 6: Probability $p$ to get a result greater or equal to the observed one given the predicted background level as a function of the recoil mass for the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ sample.
| $Z'$ mass window [GeV/c$^2$] | $N_{\text{obs}}$ | $\epsilon$ | $N_{\text{bkg}}$ | $\sigma$ [fb] | $g'$ |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|------|
| -0.150-1.150                | 0      | 0.028 | 0.438  | 316    | 0.051|
| 1.150-1.632                 | 0      | 0.049 | 0.213  | 178    | 0.070|
| 1.632-1.982                 | 0      | 0.052 | 0.167  | 173    | 0.086|
| 1.982-2.287                 | 0      | 0.052 | 0.145  | 169    | 0.100|
| 2.287-2.562                 | 0      | 0.052 | 0.121  | 167    | 0.115|
| 2.562-2.805                 | 0      | 0.052 | 0.092  | 167    | 0.129|
| 2.805-3.018                 | 0      | 0.052 | 0.078  | 166    | 0.144|
| 3.018-3.208                 | 1      | 0.051 | 0.073  | 290    | 0.209|
| 3.208-3.381                 | 0      | 0.049 | 0.114  | 182    | 0.180|
| 3.381-3.542                 | 0      | 0.047 | 0.104  | 188    | 0.198|
| 3.542-3.695                 | 0      | 0.047 | 0.102  | 185    | 0.226|
| 3.695-3.840                 | 0      | 0.048 | 0.136  | 187    | 0.254|
| 3.840-3.979                 | 0      | 0.048 | 0.169  | 183    | 0.275|
| 3.979-4.110                 | 0      | 0.048 | 0.151  | 181    | 0.296|
| 4.110-4.236                 | 0      | 0.047 | 0.153  | 190    | 0.327|
| 4.236-4.355                 | 0      | 0.046 | 0.160  | 197    | 0.356|
| 4.355-4.469                 | 0      | 0.045 | 0.171  | 199    | 0.381|
| 4.469-4.580                 | 0      | 0.044 | 0.182  | 202    | 0.408|
| 4.580-4.688                 | 1      | 0.043 | 0.143  | 322    | 0.545|
| 4.688-4.794                 | 0      | 0.043 | 0.186  | 204    | 0.461|
| 4.794-4.898                 | 0      | 0.042 | 0.180  | 208    | 0.494|
| 4.898-4.998                 | 0      | 0.042 | 0.206  | 207    | 0.520|
| 4.998-5.094                 | 0      | 0.041 | 0.166  | 212    | 0.554|
| 5.094-5.184                 | 0      | 0.040 | 0.264  | 218    | 0.590|
| 5.184-5.268                 | 0      | 0.039 | 0.152  | 230    | 0.635|
| 5.268-5.347                 | 1      | 0.039 | 0.175  | 366    | 0.833|
| 5.347-5.422                 | 1      | 0.038 | 0.233  | 371    | 0.873|
| 5.422-5.493                 | 0      | 0.037 | 0.200  | 232    | 0.723|
| 5.493-5.562                 | 0      | 0.037 | 0.211  | 232    | 0.751|
| 5.562-5.630                 | 0      | 0.036 | 0.190  | 247    | 0.803|
| 5.630-5.697                 | 0      | 0.036 | 0.221  | 245    | 0.827|
| 5.697-5.765                 | 0      | 0.035 | 0.291  | 254    | 0.874|
| 5.765-5.834                 | 0      | 0.034 | 0.228  | 262    | 0.920|
| 5.834-5.903                 | 0      | 0.034 | 0.252  | 265    | 0.960|
| 5.903-5.972                 | 0      | 0.033 | 0.202  | 264    | 0.994|
| 5.972-6.042                 | 0      | 0.032 | 0.196  | 272    | > 1   |
| 6.042-6.112                 | 1      | 0.031 | 0.374  | 434    | > 1   |
| 6.112-6.180                 | 1      | 0.030 | 0.194  | 474    | > 1   |
| 6.180-6.248                 | 1      | 0.030 | 0.268  | 472    | > 1   |
| 6.248-6.313                 | 0      | 0.029 | 0.237  | 308    | > 1   |
| 6.313-6.377                 | 1      | 0.028 | 0.342  | 487    | > 1   |
| 6.377-6.439                 | 0      | 0.027 | 0.248  | 329    | > 1   |
| 6.439-6.499                 | 0      | 0.026 | 0.225  | 330    | > 1   |
| 6.499-6.557                 | 0      | 0.026 | 0.186  | 327    | > 1   |
| 6.557-6.613                 | 0      | 0.027 | 0.354  | 320    | > 1   |
| 6.613-6.668                 | 2      | 0.028 | 0.367  | 690    | > 1   |
| 6.668-6.721                 | 2      | 0.028 | 0.537  | 662    | > 1   |
| 6.721-6.773                 | 0      | 0.029 | 0.860  | 314    | > 1   |
| 6.773-6.825                 | 0      | 0.030 | 0.766  | 287    | > 1   |
| 6.825-6.876                 | 1      | 0.030 | 0.959  | 413    | > 1   |
| 6.876-6.927                 | 1      | 0.031 | 1.176  | 388    | > 1   |
| 6.927-6.979                 | 0      | 0.032 | 1.203  | 278    | > 1   |
| 6.979-7.032                 | 3      | 0.033 | 2.004  | 582    | > 1   |
| 7.032-7.087                 | 2      | 0.038 | 6.069  | 318    | > 1   |
| 7.087-7.144                 | 12     | 0.044 | 11.357 | 778    | > 1   |
| 7.144-7.204                 | 16     | 0.050 | 15.229 | 804    | > 1   |
| 7.204-7.267                 | 22     | 0.057 | 21.406 | 885    | > 1   |

(Continued on next page)
| $Z'$ mass window [GeV/c$^2$] | $N_{\text{obs}}$ | $\epsilon$ | $N_{\text{bkg}}$ | $\sigma$ [fb] | $g'$ |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| 7.267-7.334                 | 12             | 0.064     | 26.799         | 425          | > 1   |
| 7.334-7.403                 | 36             | 0.071     | 32.765         | 1060         | > 1   |
| 7.475-7.547                 | 58             | 0.084     | 45.061         | 1413         | > 1   |
| 7.547-7.620                 | 40             | 0.082     | 47.553         | 836          | > 1   |
| 7.620-7.691                 | 44             | 0.081     | 49.545         | 959          | > 1   |
| 7.691-7.761                 | 42             | 0.079     | 49.448         | 883          | > 1   |
| 7.761-7.827                 | 62             | 0.077     | 51.369         | 1471         | > 1   |
| 7.827-7.892                 | 57             | 0.076     | 52.360         | 1345         | > 1   |
| 7.892-7.953                 | 58             | 0.074     | 50.480         | 1413         | > 1   |
| 7.953-8.014                 | 53             | 0.073     | 52.640         | 1287         | > 1   |
| 8.014-8.072                 | 64             | 0.071     | 54.018         | 1566         | > 1   |
TABLE II: LFV $Z'$ mass window, detected yields $N_{\text{obs}}$, expected number of background events $N_{\text{bkg}}$, 90% CL upper limits on efficiency times cross section $\epsilon \times \sigma (e^+e^- \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\mp$ invisible).

| $Z'$ mass window (GeV/$c^2$) | $N_{\text{obs}}$ | $N_{\text{bkg}}$ | $\epsilon \times \sigma$ (fb) |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| -0.150-1.150                | 0                | 0.000-0.010     | 9.62             |
| 1.150-1.632                 | 0                | 0.018           | 9.67             |
| 1.632-1.982                 | 0                | 0.030           | 9.64             |
| 1.982-2.287                 | 0                | 0.036           | 9.64             |
| 2.287-2.562                 | 0                | 0.006           | 9.62             |
| 2.562-2.805                 | 0                | 0.048           | 9.62             |
| 2.805-3.018                 | 0                | 0.030           | 9.60             |
| 3.018-3.208                 | 0                | 0.065           | 9.62             |
| 3.208-3.381                 | 0                | 0.068           | 9.66             |
| 3.381-3.542                 | 0                | 0.153           | 9.65             |
| 3.542-3.695                 | 1                | 0.096           | 16.36            |
| 3.695-3.840                 | 1                | 0.078           | 16.41            |
| 3.840-3.979                 | 1                | 0.117           | 16.41            |
| 3.979-4.110                 | 0                | 0.163           | 9.61             |
| 4.110-4.236                 | 0                | 0.077           | 9.63             |
| 4.236-4.355                 | 0                | 0.145           | 9.65             |
| 4.355-4.469                 | 0                | 0.115           | 9.63             |
| 4.469-4.580                 | 1                | 0.172           | 16.20            |
| 4.580-4.688                 | 0                | 0.083           | 9.62             |
| 4.688-4.794                 | 0                | 0.139           | 9.63             |
| 4.794-4.898                 | 0                | 0.107           | 9.66             |
| 4.898-4.998                 | 0                | 0.131           | 9.64             |
| 4.998-5.094                 | 1                | 0.065           | 16.46            |
| 5.094-5.184                 | 0                | 0.151           | 9.64             |
| 5.184-5.268                 | 0                | 0.143           | 9.65             |
| 5.268-5.347                 | 0                | 0.178           | 9.64             |
| 5.347-5.422                 | 0                | 0.131           | 9.64             |
| 5.422-5.493                 | 0                | 0.172           | 9.65             |
| 5.493-5.562                 | 0                | 0.143           | 9.63             |
| 5.562-5.630                 | 0                | 0.187           | 9.63             |
| 5.630-5.697                 | 0                | 0.167           | 9.62             |
| 5.697-5.765                 | 0                | 0.177           | 9.61             |
| 5.765-5.834                 | 0                | 0.101           | 9.62             |
| 5.834-5.903                 | 0                | 0.151           | 9.65             |
| 5.903-5.972                 | 0                | 0.243           | 9.61             |
| 5.972-6.042                 | 0                | 0.127           | 9.61             |
| 6.042-6.112                 | 0                | 0.133           | 9.63             |
| 6.112-6.180                 | 0                | 0.113           | 9.64             |
| 6.180-6.248                 | 0                | 0.151           | 9.64             |
| 6.248-6.313                 | 0                | 0.098           | 9.62             |
| 6.313-6.377                 | 0                | 0.149           | 9.61             |
| 6.377-6.439                 | 0                | 0.053           | 9.64             |
| 6.439-6.499                 | 0                | 0.080           | 9.63             |
| 6.499-6.557                 | 0                | 0.098           | 9.62             |
| 6.557-6.613                 | 1                | 0.109           | 16.32            |
| 6.613-6.668                 | 1                | 0.151           | 16.20            |
| 6.668-6.721                 | 0                | 0.172           | 9.64             |
| 6.721-6.773                 | 0                | 0.207           | 9.64             |
| 6.773-6.825                 | 1                | 0.338           | 15.66            |
| 6.825-6.876                 | 0                | 0.310           | 9.65             |
| 6.876-6.927                 | 0                | 0.461           | 9.64             |
| 6.927-6.979                 | 0                | 0.450           | 9.65             |
| 6.979-7.032                 | 1                | 0.849           | 14.30            |
| 7.032-7.087                 | 3                | 4.905           | 16.66            |
| 7.087-7.144                 | 10               | 8.327           | 32.04            |
| 7.144-7.204                 | 18               | 11.817          | 54.09            |
| 7.204-7.267                 | 19               | 16.685          | 46.06            |
| 7.267-7.334                 | 24               | 19.384          | 57.71            |

Continued on next page
| $Z'$ mass window [GeV/c$^2$] | $N_{\text{obs}}$ | $N_{\text{bkg}}$ | $\epsilon \times \sigma$ [fb] |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 7.334-7.403                 | 26             | 22.504         | 58.24             |
| 7.403-7.475                 | 31             | 25.539         | 69.66             |
| 7.475-7.547                 | 34             | 26.179         | 77.82             |
| 7.547-7.620                 | 26             | 26.301         | 52.73             |
| 7.620-7.691                 | 16             | 23.941         | 31.35             |
| 7.691-7.761                 | 26             | 22.620         | 59.28             |
| 7.761-7.827                 | 19             | 19.767         | 41.71             |
| 7.827-7.892                 | 15             | 17.611         | 34.88             |
| 7.892-7.953                 | 20             | 15.609         | 51.65             |
| 7.953-8.014                 | 20             | 13.736         | 56.78             |
| 8.014-8.072                 | 16             | 12.557         | 44.62             |