Template-Less and Surfactant-Less Synthesis of CeO₂ Nanostructures for Catalytic Application in ipso-hydroxylation of Aryl Boronic Acids and the aza-Michael Reaction
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ABSTRACT: Due to its excellent physicochemical properties, CeO₂ has found great importance as an electrochemical and in electronics, photocatalysis, sensing, and heterogeneous catalysis. Herein, we report the surfactant-less and template-less synthesis of CeO₂ nanostructures by the hydrothermal method. The synthesized CeO₂ nanostructures have been characterized in detail by electron microscopy, spectroscopy, diffractometry, and other analytical methods. The XRD studies revealed the formation of pure crystalline CeO₂ possessing a cubic fluorite structure with an average crystallite size of 15.6 to 16.4 nm. Electron microscopy studies reveal the formation of cube-shaped CeO₂ nanostructures with sizes below 25 nm. The cube-shaped CeO₂ nanostructures exhibited a higher BET surface area compared to their bulk counterparts. The XPS analysis has confirmed the existence of Ce in the mixed oxidation states of +3 and +4, while O is present as O²⁻ in the sample. The as-synthesized CeO₂ nanostructures exhibit excellent catalytic activity in both the ipso-hydroxylation of aryl boronic acids and the aza-Michael reaction. The analysis of the used catalyst has confirmed its stability under the reported reaction conditions. The catalysts retain their catalytic activity up to the fifth run in both types of reactions, which is economically beneficial for industrial application.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cerium is the second element in the lanthanide family and the most abundant rare earth metal. In the ground state, one electron of cerium enters the 4f orbital, which has nearly the same energy as that of the outer 5d and 6s orbitals (Ce = [Xe] 4f↑ 5d↑ 6s²). For this reason, cerium shows exceptional cycling or redox properties between its two ionic states, Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺. Cerium is the only element which shows a solid-state critical point. Although cerium forms two oxides, viz., cerium(IV) oxide (CeO₂) and cerium(III) oxide (Ce₂O₃), cerium(IV) oxide, commonly known as ceria, is more stable compared to Ce₂O₃. Due to the reduced size, CeO₂ nanostructures possess remarkable properties compared to their bulk counterparts. Among the three low index planes, viz., (100), (110), and (111) of cubic fluorite structure of CeO₂, the (111) plane is the most stable one, while the planes (100) and (110) are more reactive because of the ease with which lattice oxygen migrates in these planes. The existence of Ce³⁺/Ce⁴⁺ redox couples and high mobility of oxygen vacancies make CeO₂ NPs a suitable candidate for use as oxygen storage media, catalysts, adsorbents, sensors, and so forth. Furthermore, the oxygen buffering capacity of CeO₂ makes it a potent catalyst for the oxidation of CO and other exhaust gases. The crystal surfaces and plane properties of CeO₂ crystals determine the interaction of adsorbed molecules on the surface of CeO₂ NPs. The literature reports revealed that CeO₂ exhibits a greater lattice expansion as the particle size decreases, leading to a decrease in its oxygen release and reabsorption capabilities. The high unsaturation of CeO₂ contributes to the instability, which is accompanied by the restructuring of the surface and eventually affects the chemical reactivity of CeO₂ nanostructures. Moreover, different active facets of CeO₂ NPs can be exposed by tuning the shapes and surface properties via different synthesis methods, thereby enhancing the chemical reactivity.

In developing new synthetic methodologies, "green chemistry" has been a central issue for centuries, where the synthetic procedures without the green approach become incompetent with time. Therefore, in designing a new synthetic methodology, it is desirable to use safe and cost-effective catalysts, reagents, and solvents, which can furnish high atom economy and minimize waste products. Accordingly, the development of environmentally friendly and cost-effective catalytic
systems for organic synthesis has been growing rapidly in recent years.\textsuperscript{20,21} In the field of modern organic synthesis, phenols and their derivatives are considered to be important building blocks for various important compounds involving pharmaceutical, agrochemical, and natural products.\textsuperscript{22–24} As a result, there have been several techniques for synthesizing phenols over the years, and traditionally, phenols were synthesized by pyrolysis of haloarenes\textsuperscript{25} and the sodium salt of aryl sulfonic acids,\textsuperscript{26} oxidation of cumene,\textsuperscript{27} hydrolyses of aryl diazonium salts,\textsuperscript{28} and so forth. However, most of the traditional synthesis procedures have less substrate scope in terms of yield and require very harsh conditions, such as the requirement of strong bases, high temperature and pressure, and so forth.\textsuperscript{29} To overcome those demerits, the ipso-hydroxylation of aryl boronic acids has been adopted in recent times to synthesize phenols due to its various advantages such as the ease of conversion of aryl boronic acids into phenols, nontoxicity, and stability of aryl boronic acids against moisture.\textsuperscript{30,31} Accordingly, different catalyst systems such as poly(N-vinylpyrrolidone)-H$_2$O$_2$ and poly(4-vinyl pyridine)-H$_2$O$_2$ complexes\textsuperscript{32} N-oxide,\textsuperscript{33} hypervelant iodine (III),\textsuperscript{34} supported Ag nanoparticles (NPs),\textsuperscript{35,36} graphene oxide,\textsuperscript{37} Cu$_2$O NPs,\textsuperscript{38} ZnO NPs,\textsuperscript{39,40} and Fe$_3$O$_4$/Al$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposites\textsuperscript{41} have been reported recently by several research groups across the world for the oxidative hydroxylation of aryl boronic acid. In most of the reported protocols involving H$_2$O$_2$ as the oxidant, it has been observed that while trying to make a green catalytic system, they often underestimate the “atom economy” principle of green chemistry. For example, many reports are available in recent years where more than 1.5 equivalents of H$_2$O$_2$ was used as an oxidizing agent while carrying out the ipso-hydroxylation of aryl boronic acid.\textsuperscript{30,37,42,43} Moreover, reports are also available on the ipso-hydroxylation of aryl boronic acids without the use of any oxidizing agent.\textsuperscript{38,44} However, those protocols require prolonged reaction times and high temperatures, yet the yield of the product is low, which is another disadvantage for commercial use.\textsuperscript{38,44} Herein, we report the development of a catalyst system for ipso-hydroxylation of boronic acids associated with a high atom economy using CeO$_2$ nanostructures as reusable catalysts. The C–N bond forming reaction via the aza-Michael reaction is another vital reaction in synthetic organic chemistry for the synthesis of various bioactive molecules, $\beta$-amino nitriles, $\beta$-amino carboxylic acid derivatives, $\beta$-amino carboxylic derivatives, pharmaceutical ingredients, and so forth.\textsuperscript{45–48} Aliphatic amines or cyclic amines usually undergo an aza-Michael reaction with acrylonitrile easily but aromatic amines not only take a longer time to undergo such reactions with acrylonitrile but also produce a poor yield of the product.\textsuperscript{49,50} Traditionally aza-Michael addition reactions are carried out under very harsh conditions requiring strong acids or bases, high temperatures, and prolonged reaction times.\textsuperscript{46,49,51,52} Further, the use of expensive solvents in those procedures limits their applicability in commercial application. To overcome those drawbacks, catalytic systems have been developed in recent years, which mostly involve nanomaterials,\textsuperscript{43,53} ionic liquids,\textsuperscript{54,55} metal–organic frameworks,\textsuperscript{56,57} and so forth. However, the requirements of strong bases,\textsuperscript{58} acid catalysts,\textsuperscript{59} high reaction temperatures,\textsuperscript{60} long reaction times,\textsuperscript{61} and low yields of the product\textsuperscript{62} are major drawbacks of those reported methods. More importantly, the developed catalytic systems for the aza-Michael reaction do not work at all for aromatic amines.\textsuperscript{59} Herein, we also report the use of CeO$_2$ nanostructures as efficient and reusable heterogeneous catalysts for both the ipso-hydroxylation of boronic acids and the aza-Michael reaction in a moderately greener approach under ultrasonic vibration at room temperature.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Reagents. Cerium nitrate hexahydrate [Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O], sodium hydroxide (NaOH) pellet, and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$; 30% (w/w); aqueous) were purchased from Merck, India, and were used without further purification. Commercial CeO$_2$ powder (AR, 99.9%) was purchased from SRL, India. Aryl boronic acids, acrylonitrile, and the other amine substrates were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used as received. The preparation of CeO$_2$ nanostructures was performed using double distilled water.

2.2. Synthesis of CeO$_2$ Nanostructures. To synthesize CeO$_2$ nanostructures, a hydrothermal method was adopted in the absence of any external capping agents. Typically, in a 100 mL stopper conical flask, 30 mL of Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.8684 g) solution and 10 mL of NaOH (2.0 M) solution were taken and mixed for 10 min under magnetic stirring at room temperature. The resultant solution was then transferred into a 50 mL capacity autoclave, which was then placed in an oven preheated to 180 $^\circ$C for ageing the reaction mixture for 3.0 h. The resulting product was then isolated by centrifugation at 9000 rpm for 10 min followed by dispersion of the product in water under ultrasonic vibration and centrifugation to get rid of the unreacted components. The process of redispersion and centrifugation was carried out three times followed by drying the wet product in a vacuum oven overnight at 60 $^\circ$C. The dried product was labeled CeO-1. Another sample (CeO-2) was also prepared by the same method with the same concentration of the precursor salt, but the concentration of NaOH was doubled, that is, the final concentration is 1.0 M against 0.5 M in CeO-1. The as-synthesized products were analyzed using different modern instrumental techniques for characterization. The details of the instrumental techniques used for characterization are provided in the Supporting Information.

2.3. Ipso-hydroxylation of Aryl Boronic Acids. In a round bottom flask of capacity 25 mL, freshly prepared CeO$_2$ nanostructures (7.0 mg) and phenylboronic acid (1.0 mmol) were mixed under ultrasonic vibration (Aczet CUB 2.5; 40 kHz) using 3.0 mL of double distilled water as the solvent. After 2.0 min, 0.125 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ (30%; w/w) solution was poured into the reaction mixture under ultrasonic vibration. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was used to track the progress of the reaction. At the end of the reaction, the crude product present in the reaction mixture was dissolved in 50 mL of ethyl acetate taken in a 250 mL separating funnel. The organic layer was washed three times using a brine solution. The isolated organic layer was then filtered through anhydrous sodium sulfate to remove the residual water molecules, if any, present in the organic layer. The organic layer was then concentrated by removing ethyl acetate in a rotary evaporator at 40 $^\circ$C. In the isolated concentrated solution of the product and ethyl acetate, an excess amount of n-hexane was added and shaken by hand for a minute. The resulting solution got split into two separate layers, and the upper layer was discarded. The pure products isolated from the bottom layer by removing n-hexane under vacuum were used for characterization by $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy.
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2.4. aza-Michael Reaction Between Amines and Acrylonitrile. In a 25 mL round bottom flask water (3.0 mL), CeO₂ nanostructures (10.0 mg), K₂CO₃ (69.0 mg), and acrylonitrile (2.5 mmol) were mixed under ultrasonic vibration (Aczet CUB 2.5; 40 kHz). Additionally, in a separate 10 mL vial, 1.0 mmol aniline was mixed with 0.5 mL of ethanol, and the whole content was poured into the round bottom flask containing the reaction mixture. Thin-layer chromatography was used to track the progress of the reaction. At the end of the reaction, a separating funnel was used to separate the organic components from the reaction mixture using ethyl acetate and brine solution. To remove the residual water molecules, the organic fraction was filtered with anhydrous sodium sulfate.

Figure 1. XRD pattern of CeO₂ nanostructures recorded from different samples.

Figure 2. SEM images of samples (a) CeO-1 and (b) CeO-2.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Studies. The XRD study was undertaken to identify the crystalline phases and to estimate the average crystallite sizes of the as-prepared CeO₂ nanostructures. The XRD pattern in Figure 1 shows the presence of high-intensity peaks at 2θ = 28.6, 33.1, 47.6, 56.4, 59.2, 69.5, 76.7, and 79.1°, which are assigned to (111), (200), (220), (311), (222), (400), (331), and (420) crystal planes, respectively, of the cubic fluorite structure of CeO₂ (JCPDS card #34-0394; space group Fm3m). The results confirmed the formation of CeO₂ nanostructures of high purity as no other peaks due to any impurity could be detected in the diffractogram. The average crystallite sizes of CeO₂ nanostructures computed using the Debye-Scherer method considering the (111), (220), and (311) reflection planes are 15.6 and 16.4 nm, respectively, for CeO-1 and CeO-2. The lattice parameters were calculated from the XRD data, and the computed value of the lattice constant was 0.54 nm, which matches well with the reported value for CeO₂ nanostructures and bulk CeO₂.

3.2. Electron Microscopy Analysis. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to investigate the surface topography of the as-prepared CeO₂ nanostructures. The SEM images of CeO-1 and CeO-2 are shown in Figure 2, which shows the formation of smaller-sized particles. However, it is very difficult to understand the morphology and size of the formed particles from the SEM images. Therefore, to understand the morphological evolution and the size of the formed CeO₂ particles, a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) study was undertaken. Figure 3a displays the TEM image of CeO-1, which shows the formation of slightly aggregated cube-shaped CeO₂ nanostructures. The aggregation might be due to the absence of any stabilizer during its growth. The sizes of these cube-shaped CeO₂ nanostructures varied from 11 to 17 nm. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (Figure 3b) recorded from CeO-1 indicated the polycrystalline nature of the products. This might be because of the aggregation of the particles on the TEM grid, which causes reflection to take place from multiple particles, forming the ring pattern accompanied by scattered dots on the ring. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of CeO-1 (Figure 3c) shows that the lattice fringes are perfectly aligned, confirming the formation of well-crystalline CeO₂ nanostructures. The d-space value, as calculated using ImageJ software (NIH, USA), is 0.33 nm, which corresponds to the (111) plane in cubic CeO₂ nanostructures. Figure 3d,e shows the TEM image of CeO-2, which also confirms the formation of cube-shaped CeO₂ nanostructures. The sizes of these cube-shaped CeO₂ nanostructures range from 9.5 to 24 nm. The size variation of the formed particles in different samples might be attributed to the different alkali concentrations that control the nucleation rate. The inset in Figure 3f presents the SAED pattern of cube-shaped CeO₂ nanostructures in CeO-2, which again indicated the polycrystalline nature of the products. The perfectly aligned lattice fringes in the HRTEM image of cube-shaped CeO₂ nanostructures in CeO-2 (Figure 3f) also confirm the formation of well-crystalline CeO₂ nanostructures. The d-space value calculated using ImageJ software is 0.32 nm, which is again assigned to the (111) plane in CeO₂ nanostructures.

3.3. Optical Properties. UV-vis and room temperature photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy studies were undertaken to examine the optical behavior of the synthesized CeO₂ nanostructures. Figure 4a displays the UV-vis spectra of CeO₂ nanostructures, which show a high UV absorption characteristic. The maximum absorption peak appeared at around 307 nm, which is blue-shifted compared to that of the bulk CeO₂ (389 nm) and is attributed to the charge transfer between the O 2p states in O²⁻ and Ce 4f states in Ce⁴⁺. The observed blue shift in the absorption spectrum of CeO₂ nanostructures might be attributed to the decrease in the size of the particles. The change in the valence state of cerium widens the charge transfer gap between the O 2p and Ce 4f bands as it moves from the +4 to the +3 state, which might also be...
responsible for the blue shift in the absorption spectrum of CeO$_2$ in the nanoscale dimension.\textsuperscript{64} The optical band gap in CeO$_2$ nanostructures, as calculated using the Planck equation is 4.11 eV compared to 3.19 eV in bulk CeO$_2$. This higher band gap energy is attributed to the nanometer dimension of the particles, as claimed earlier.\textsuperscript{65} Figure 4b presents the room temperature PL spectra of CeO$_2$ nanostructures obtained by exciting the sample at 320 nm. The spectra of both samples exhibit a strong emission peak at 418 nm, which is assumed to originate from the surface defects, while the weak emission band at 486 nm is associated with the relative oxygen vacancies in CeO$_2$ NPs.\textsuperscript{66} The transfer of the charge carrier between the Ce’s 4f conduction band and the O’s 2p valance band explains the relative oxygen vacancies in CeO$_2$ NPs.\textsuperscript{66} The yellow emission band appeared at 530 nm might be attributed to the interstitial oxygen defects.\textsuperscript{66}

3.4. N$_2$ Gas Adsorption--Desorption Study. Figure 5a shows the N$_2$ gas adsorption--desorption isotherm of CeO-1, which exhibits a typical IUPAC type IV isotherm with an H3 hysteresis loop, indicating the formation of disordered pore structures with slit-shaped pores, resulting from nonrigid, plate-like CeO$_2$ particles. Moreover, this kind of hysteresis indicated the presence of a high concentration of mesopores in the material.\textsuperscript{65} The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area of CeO$_2$ nanostructures (CeO-1), as calculated from the multipoint BET isotherm was found to be 74.1 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, which is much higher than the reported value of 5.67 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for bulk CeO$_2$.\textsuperscript{68} Moreover, the average pore diameter and volume were calculated from the adsorption--desorption isotherms using the method proposed by Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH). These values are 5.2 nm and 0.136 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$, respectively, confirming that the formed CeO$_2$ nanostructures are mesoporous. Further, the BJH pore-size distribution curve (inset in Figure 5a) confirms that uniform pores are present in the sample.

3.5. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy Study. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to study the elemental composition and the oxidation state of Ce in the sample. Figure 5b displays the survey scan spectrum of CeO-1, which exhibits the peaks due to Ce 3d and O 1s, confirming

Figure 3. TEM images of CeO$_2$ nanostructures in (a) CeO-1, (b) SAED pattern of CeO-1, and (c) HRTEM image of CeO-1. (d) Low and (e) high magnification TEM images of CeO-2, and (f) HRTEM image of CeO-2. The inset in Figure 3f represents the SAED pattern of CeO-2.

Figure 4. (a) UV–vis absorption and (b) room temperature PL spectra of CeO$_2$ nanostructures.
that the sample consists of Ce, and O. The fitted high-resolution spectrum of the 3d region (Figure 5c) shows the presence of six characteristic peaks for Ce\(^{4+}\) in the sample. The peaks centred at 916, 906.5, and 900.2 eV are attributed to 3d\(^{3/2}\), while the peaks at 897.6, 887.9, and 881.6 eV are due to 3d\(^{5/2}\) states for Ce\(^{4+}\) ions. Additionally, the presence of peaks at 901.9 and 884.3 eV further confirms that Ce\(^{3+}\) is also present in the sample. This confirms that Ce exists in the mixed-valence state of +3 and +4 in the product, which is a very common phenomenon. Figure 5d presents the fitted high-resolution spectrum of the O 1s region, which reveals the presence of a strong peak at 528.7 eV due to the presence of lattice oxygen in the O\(^{2-}\) state in the product.

### Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Condition for *ipso*-Hydroxylation of Aryl Boronic Acid

| entry | catalyst amount (mg) | 30% aq. H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (mL) | solvent (3.0 mL) | time (min) | yield\(^a\) (%) |
|-------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1     | 2.0                  | H\(_2\)O                     | 60               | 50         |
| 2     | 15                   | H\(_2\)O                     | 5                | 90         |
| 3     | 15                   | 2.0                          | H\(_2\)O         | 50         |
| 4     | 15                   | 1.0                          | H\(_2\)O         | 50         |
| 5     | 15                   | 0.5                          | H\(_2\)O         | 50         |
| 6     | 15                   | 0.3                          | H\(_2\)O         | 50         |
| 7     | 15                   | 0.2                          | H\(_2\)O + C\(_2\)H\(_6\)OH (v/v = 1:1) | 5          | 95              |
| 8     | 12                   | 0.2                          | H\(_2\)O + C\(_2\)H\(_6\)OH (v/v = 1:1) | 5          | 95              |
| 9     | 10                   | 0.2                          | H\(_2\)O + C\(_2\)H\(_6\)OH (v/v = 1:1) | 5          | 95              |
| 10    | 9                    | 0.2                          | H\(_2\)O + C\(_2\)H\(_6\)OH (v/v = 1:1) | 5          | 90              |

\(^a\)Isolated yield.

### Table 2. Optimization of Reaction Condition for *ipso*-Hydroxylation of Aryl Boronic Acid Under Ultrasonic Vibration

| entry | catalyst amount (mg) | 30% aq. H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (mL) | time (min) | yield\(^b\) (%) |
|-------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1     | 10                   | 0.20                        | 5          | 99              |
| 2     | 8                    | 0.20                        | 5          | 99              |
| 3     | 7                    | 0.20                        | 5          | 99              |
| 4     | 6                    | 0.20                        | 5          | 85              |
| 5     | 7                    | 0.18                        | 5          | 99              |
| 6     | 7                    | 0.15                        | 5          | 99              |
| 7     | 7                    | 0.13                        | 5          | 99              |
| 8     | 7                    | 0.12                        | 5          | 90              |
| 9     | 0.13                 | 10                          | 40         |

\(^b\)Isolated yield.

\(^c\)Reaction condition: phenylboronic acid (1 mmol), H\(_2\)O (3.0 mL), and catalyst (CeO-1).
Table 3. Substrate Scope for ipso-Hydroxylation of Phenylboronic Acid Under Ultrasonic Vibration

| Entry | R- | Time (min) | Yield (%) |
|-------|----|------------|-----------|
| 1     |    | 5          | 99        |
| 2     |    | 5          | 99        |
| 3     |    | 5          | 99        |
| 4     |    | 7          | 99        |
| 5     |    | 7          | 95        |
| 6     |    | 5          | 99        |
| 7     |    | 10         | 90        |
| 8     |    | 10         | 85        |
| 9     |    | 7          | 96        |
| 10    |    |            |           |

*Reaction condition: aryl boronic acid (1.0 mmol), H$_2$O$_2$ (0.13 mL), H$_2$O (3.0 mL), and catalyst (CeO-1). *Isolated yield.

Table 4. Optimization of Reaction Conditions for the aza-Michael Reaction Under Ultrasonic Vibration

| entry | catalyst amount (mg) | K$_2$CO$_3$ (mg) | time (h) | yield (%) |
|-------|----------------------|------------------|----------|-----------|
| 1     | 15                   |                  | 4        | 25        |
| 2     | 20                   |                  | 3        | 60        |
| 3     | 20                   |                  | 3        | 60        |
| 4     | 15                   | 139              | 2        | 87*       |
| 5     | 15                   | 69               | 2        | 91        |
| 6     | 15                   | 50               | 2        | 91        |
| 7     | 15                   | 45               | 2        | 80        |
| 8     | 50                   |                  | 50       |           |
| 9     | 12                   | 50               | 2        | 91        |
| 10    | 10                   | 50               | 2        | 91        |
| 11    | 8                    | 50               | 2        | 83        |
| 12    | 10                   | 50               | 4        | 60*       |

*Reaction condition: aniline (1 mmol) diluted in 0.5 mL of ethanol, acrylonitrile (2.5 mmol), and H$_2$O (3.0 mL). *Isolated yield. *Small amount of by-product formed. *The reaction was carried under magnetic stirring at 45 °C.

chosen as the standard reaction. For the initial investigation, 1.0 mmol phenylboronic acid was dissolved in 3.0 mL of water taken in a 25 mL round bottom flask under constant stirring. To this solution, 2.0 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ (30% aq.) was added, and the reaction progress was tracked by thin-layer chromatography (TLC). We observed a poor yield of 50% after 1.0 h of reaction (Table 1; entry 1). The addition of a small amount of CeO$_2$ nanostructures (15.0 mg; CeO-1) under identical reaction conditions improved the product yield significantly in 5.0 min (Table 1; entry 2). In the next step, the volume of H$_2$O$_2$ (30% aq.) was decreased continuously in the new batches of similar reactions with the fixed amount of the catalyst (15.0 mg) (Table 1; entries 3–6). It was observed that 0.2 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ (30% aq.) is enough to bring about a 90% product yield (Table 1; entry 6). We recorded a maximum yield of the product in a mixture of ethanol and water (1:1; v/v) (Table 1; entry 7), which might be due to the enhanced solubility of phenylboronic acid in the solvent mixture. Therefore, considering the ethanol–water mixture (1:1; v/v) as the best solvent system, we continuously decreased the amount of catalyst under identical reaction conditions and observed a very satisfactory yield of 95% using 10.0 mg of CeO$_2$ nanostructures (CeO-1) and 0.2 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ (30% aq.) within 5.0 min (Table 1; entry 9). We also observed a similar product yield with the other catalyst (CeO-2). When the ipso-hydroxylation was carried out under ultrasonic vibration maintaining all other reaction conditions identical, the yield of the product was surprisingly increased to 99% (Table 2; entry 1). Therefore, to further check if similar results can be obtained with a lower amount of catalyst under ultrasonic vibration, we repeated the reaction with different catalyst loadings. We were happy to note that 7.0 mg of CeO$_2$ nanostructures is enough to furnish 99% yield in 5 min (Table 2; entry 3). Moreover, while optimizing the amount of H$_2$O$_2$ (Table 2; entries 5–8), it was observed that 0.13 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ is enough to produce a 99% yield with 7.0 mg of CeO$_2$ nanostructures in 5.0 min (Table 2; entry 7). It is to be noted that under ultrasonic vibration, the addition of ethanol is not necessary, unlike in the mechanical stirring discussed above. Without the presence of the catalyst, only a 40% yield of the product could be obtained within 10.0 min under ultrasonic vibration (Table 2; entry 9). We also noticed that both the CeO-1 and CeO-2 produced an identical yield of the product under identical reaction conditions. However, the use of commercial CeO$_2$ significantly decreased the product yield to 55% under the same reaction conditions. This signifies the importance of the cube-shaped CeO$_2$ NPs in the ipso-hydroxylation of aryl boronic acid. Based on the above-mentioned observations, CeO-1 was chosen for further investigation.

To investigate the substrate scope and constraints of the newly developed procedure, different substituted aryl boronic acids were examined utilizing CeO$_2$ nanostructures (CeO-1) under ultrasonic vibration (Table 3). It was observed that the position and the type of substituted groups had slightly altered the reaction time and yield of the products. The meta- and para-substituted aryl boronic acids with electron-donating groups provided an excellent yield (Table 3; entries 2 and 3). However, the presence of the –CH$_3$ group at the ortho position of 4-methyl phenylboronic acid slightly slowed down the reaction rate without compromising the product yield (Table 3; entry 4), which might be due to the ortho effect. Further, we observed a slightly decreased product yield with the para-substituted aryl boronic acids with electron-donating bulky groups (Table 3; entry 5). Moreover, the protocol offered a good yield, taking more reaction time for electron-withdrawing groups and electronegative heteroatom in the structure of phenylboronic acids (Table 3; entries 7, 8, 9, and 10).

3.7. aza-Michael Reaction. After the successful utilization of CeO$_2$ nanostructures as a catalyst in the ipso-hydroxylation of different substituted phenylboronic acids, we wanted to see the versatility of the catalyst for use in the aza-Michael reaction
Table 5. Substrate Scope for the aza−Michael Reaction Under Ultrasonic Vibration

| Entry | Amines | Product | Time (min) | Yield\(^b\) (%) |
|-------|--------|---------|------------|-----------------|
| 1     | ![Amine 1](image1) | ![Product 1](image2) | 120         | 91              |
| 2     | ![Amine 2](image1) | ![Product 2](image2) | 120         | 80              |
| 3     | ![Amine 3](image1) | ![Product 3](image2) | 120         | 92              |
| 4     | ![Amine 4](image1) | ![Product 4](image2) | 120         | 92              |
| 5     | ![Amine 5](image1) | ![Product 5](image2) | 120         | 87              |
| 6     | ![Amine 6](image1) | ![Product 6](image2) | 120         | 30              |
| 7     | ![Amine 7](image1) | ![Product 7](image2) | 120         | trace           |
| 8     | ![Amine 8](image1) | ![Product 8](image2) | 120         | 80              |
| 9     | ![Amine 9](image1) | ![Product 9](image2) | 80          | 60              |
| 10    | ![Amine 10](image1) | ![Product 10](image2) | 120         | 90              |
| 11    | ![Amine 11](image1) | ![Product 11](image2) | 120         | 80              |

\(^a\)Reaction condition: amine (1 mmol) diluted in 0.5 mL of ethanol, acrylonitrile (2.5 mmol), and H\(_2\)O (3.0 mL). \(^b\)Isolated yield.
required amount of aniline was diluted with 0.5 mL of ethanol without adding any catalyst and base under ultrasonic vibration. We performed the preliminary study with 1.0 mmol aniline and with acrylonitrile acting as the Michael acceptor. We observed a very poor yield of \( \sim 25\% \) after 4.0 h. It is to be noted that the required amount of aniline was diluted with 0.5 mL of ethanol to enhance the solubility of aniline. When the reaction was repeated with 15.0 mg of CeO\(_2\) nanostructures (CeO-1) as the catalyst, the yield of the product improved significantly after 3.0 h (Table 4; entry 2). In another experiment, we increased the catalyst loading to 20.0 mg, but the yield of the product was not improved further (Table 4; entry 3). Therefore, we added K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (139.0 mg) to the reaction mixture containing 15 mg of the catalyst to see if any improvement in the product yield could be observed. We were delighted to note that the yield of the product increased significantly within 2.0 h but with the formation of some by-products, which might be due to the double substitution of the nitrogen atom of aniline (Table 4; entry 4). Therefore, in the next step, 69.0 mg of K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (0.5 equivalent) was added, keeping all other reaction parameters identical. Surprisingly, we noticed an increase in the product yield without any additional by-products (Table 4; entry 5). After a systematic study, it was observed that 50 mg of K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) is enough to furnish a 91% yield with the same amount of the catalyst (CeO-1, 15.0 mg) (Table 4; entries 6 and 7). However, when we performed the reaction without a catalyst but with the base K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (50.0 mg), the yield of the product decreased sharply, which indicates the necessity of the catalyst (Table 4; entry 8). Furthermore, to optimize for the catalyst loading, we varied the amount of catalyst under identical reaction conditions (Table 4; entry 9–11), and we observed that 10.0 mg of CeO\(_2\) nanostructures (CeO-1) are sufficient to furnish a maximum of 91% product yield in 2.0 h (Table 4; entry 10). Additionally, when the aza-Michael reaction was repeated at 45 °C under mechanical stirring, only a 60% product yield was recorded after 4.0 h (Table 4; entry 12). Moreover, similar results were obtained when CeO-1 was replaced by CeO-2. The similar catalytic activity of both CeO-1 and CeO-2 is attributed to the similarity in their morphologies and surface characteristics. In a controlled experiment, when we used the commercial CeO\(_2\), the product yield of the addition reaction was significantly decreased to 60% under identical reaction conditions. This signifies that the size, shape, and surface properties of the catalyst are vital for excellent product yield. Although the aza-Michael reaction involving amines and acrylonitrile is spontaneous, the rate of the reaction is very slow, as observed by many researchers. Therefore, alkaline conditions and the requirement of an acidic catalyst are necessary to make this type of conjugated addition faster. According to a previous report, the presence of K\(_2\)CO\(_3\), with the MgO catalyst enhanced the rate of reaction between amines and electron-deficient alkenes due to the formation of stronger active sites on the MgO surface because of the strong interaction between K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) and MgO. In the present study, the driving force of the proposed aza-Michael reaction might be a result of the combined effect of ultrasonic vibration, K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) and CeO\(_2\) nanostructures. We believe that, in the current study, K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) behaves as an electrolyte, which produces CO\(_3^{2-}\) ions that get selectively adsorbed on the surface of CeO\(_2\) nanostructures and thereby create active sites for the reactions. Moreover, the Ce\(^{3+}/Ce^{4+}\) redox couple present on the surface of CeO\(_2\) helps Ce\(^{4+}\) sites to undergo easy conversion into Ce\(^{3+}\) via coordination with carbonate ions, forming Ce−O−CO\(_2\)H, which is believed to be activated acrylonitrile for the reaction. The application of ultrasound in combination with K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) might also provide the required activation energy for the reaction, where ultrasound alone could not accelerate the progress of the reaction.

To investigate the substrate scope and constraints of the newly developed protocol, several substituted anilines and aliphatic amines (Table 5) were examined, which gave
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Figure 6. Bar diagram showing recycling data of the catalyst in the *ipso*-hydroxylation and aza-Michael reactions.
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Figure 7. (a) TEM image and (b) XRD pattern of the reused sample (CeO-1).
moderate to excellent yields. However, we observed an improved yield of the product when the amine was substituted at the meta and para positions with an electron-donating group, due to the positive inductive effect (Table 5; entries 3 and 4). However, the product yield was decreased, when the amine was substituted with an electron-donating group at the ortho position due to the steric hindrance (Table 5; entry 2). Moreover, the electron-withdrawing groups decrease the product yield significantly due to the negative inductive effect and negative resonance effect (Table 5; entries 5−8). The reason for the low yield in the case of aliphatic amine (ethanolamine) might be due to the high degree of solvation of the smaller Michael donor ethanolamine, where two polar groups −OH and −NH$_2$ are present (Table 5; entry 9). However, a better yield was obtained from diethylamine, which was less readily solvated by the polar solvent than ethanolamine (Table 5; entry 10). Another possibility might be the differences in the nucleophilicity of primary and secondary amines. Furthermore, a comparatively low yield was obtained from benzylamine, which was less readily solvated by the polar solvent than ethanolamine (Table 5; entry 11). Another possibility might be the differences in the nucleophilicity of primary and secondary amines. Furthermore, a comparatively low yield was obtained from benzylamine, which was less readily solvated by the polar solvent than ethanolamine (Table 5; entry 11).

3.8. Reusability of CeO$_2$ Nanostructures. The stability and reusability of a heterogeneous catalyst are vital for its potential application for commercial purposes. To assess the stability and reusability of CeO$_2$ nanostructures in both the ipso-hydroxylation reaction and the aza-Michael reaction, we isolated the used CeO$_2$ nanostructures (CeO-1) from the aqueous fraction after the catalysis reaction by centrifugation, followed by three cycles of washing using ethanol to remove any trace of surface-adsorbed organic components. The recovered catalyst was then dried under a vacuum at 60 °C overnight and reused in fresh batches of the ipso-hydroxylation reaction and the aza-Michael reaction separately. We observed that CeO$_2$ nanostructures can be reused up to the fifth cycle with a minimal loss of activity in both the ipso-hydroxylation reaction and the aza-Michael reaction (Figure 6). We believe that the possible adsorption of either the reactants or the products on the catalyst’s surface is responsible for such a slight decrease in the catalytic activity of CeO$_2$ nanostructures. Further, the fate of the used catalyst was examined by XRD, XPS, and TEM studies. It was observed from Figure 7 that no morphological change of the CeO$_2$ nanostructures took place due to catalysis. Also, the phase structure, as well as the crystalline property, remained intact after the catalysis. Besides, no significant change in the characteristic peak positions due to Ce3d and O1s was observed after the catalysis reaction (Figure 8). It can be inferred that these catalysts are stable enough under the experimental conditions and are suitable for further use in successive batches of catalysis.

4. CONCLUSIONS
In this study, we demonstrated the synthesis of cube-shaped CeO$_2$ nanostructures by the hydrothermal method without using any added template or surfactant. These cube-shaped CeO$_2$ nanostructures are pure, well-crystalline, and possess a cubic fluorite structure. The as-synthesized CeO$_2$ nanostructures act as excellent catalysts in the ipso-hydroxylation reaction.
reaction of aryl boronic acids and the aza-Michael reaction involving aromatic or aliphatic amines with acrylonitrile at room temperature under ultrasonic vibration. In the CeO₂-catalyzed ipso-hydroxylation reaction, the requirement of hydrogen peroxide is very low compared to many of the recent literature reports, so the use of CeO₂ nanostructures in the ipso-hydroxylation reaction could be a greener synthetic route for large scale synthesis. Also, the proposed protocol involving the CeO₂ nanostructures, which catalyzed the aza-Michael reaction under ultrasonic vibration, is more effective than some of the supported catalysts that require high temperatures, harsh solvents, strong bases, ligands, and long reaction times. The stability and reusability potential of the catalysts in both the studied reactions provide additional advantages for their future application in industrial use.
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