Vardenafil oral jellies as a potential approach for management of pediatric irritable bowel syndrome
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Abstract

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS); a widespread disorder in gastrointestinal tract especially in children, burdens their healthcare systems and upsets families. Great attention was paid to understand the pathophysiological cause of disorder. However, developing a convenient treatment especially for children remains a challenge. Phosphodiesterase inhibitors were recently introduced for IBS management. Vardenafil (VDF), a phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor, exhibiting limited bioavailability when taken orally due to extensive first-pass effect, was the choice for study. This study aimed to formulate VDF jellies as a buccal dosage form to improve pediatric compliance and achieve maximum drug efficacy. VDF oral jellies were prepared by heat and congeal method, and were evaluated for their pH, content uniformity, physical stability, general appearance, and in-vitro drug release. VDF jellies (F1), with satisfactory organoleptic properties and highest percent of drug released compared to other formulations was selected as a master formula for further study to ensure in-vivo efficacy. cyclic Guanosine Mono Phosphate (cGMP), used as indicator of VDF concentration in blood, was highly increased after administration of VDF jellies (F1), compared to oral VDF suspension. Increased defecation with improved fecal consistency strongly favored oral jellies as a potential alternative route for VDF for IBS management with high pediatric acceptance.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is one of the most common gastrointestinal disorders with a global prevalence estimated between 2% and 24% in pediatrics (Devanarayana et al. 2015). Children suffering from IBS have altered bowel activity and severe disabling upper and lower gastrointestinal symptoms (Devanarayana and Rajindrajith, 2018). This negatively affects their performance and quality of life and would consequently represent a burden on their normal daily activities (Rajindrajith et al. 2017). Unfortunately; researches in the field of pediatric neuro-gastroenterology couldn’t yet reveal IBS pathophysiology, despite considering diet, genetics, and the disruption in mutual communication between brain and gut leading to visceral hypersensitivity, the most recognized risk factors (Black and Ford, 2020; Oświećimska et al. 2017; Sinagra et al. 2016). To date, developing safe and effective treatments for IBS remains a challenge as no specific treatment is documented. Diet and stress management are recognized non-pharmacological approaches in controlling IBS but may be limited by issues of long-term patient adherence and potential hazard of nutritional insufficiencies (Foxx-Orenstein, 2016). Pharmacological remedies including bulking agents, laxatives and probiotics may help normalizing alterations in bowel habits, while tricyclic antidepressants, acid suppressing agents and antispasmodics may help to minimize visceral pain accompanying the disease, however, the overall efficacy of pharmacological agents in IBS is low (Devanarayana and Rajindrajith, 2018; Halland and Saito, 2015).

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is one of the most common gastrointestinal disorders with a global prevalence estimated between 2% and 24% in pediatrics (Devanarayana et al. 2015). Children suffering from IBS have altered bowel activity and severe disabling upper and lower gastrointestinal symptoms (Devanarayana and Rajindrajith, 2018). This negatively affects their performance and quality of life and would consequently represent a burden on their normal daily activities (Rajindrajith et al. 2017). Unfortunately; researches in the field of pediatric neuro-gastroenterology couldn’t...
yet reveal IBS pathophysiology, despite considering diet, genetics, and the disruption in mutual communication between brain and gut leading to visceral hypersensitivity, the most recognized risk factors (Black and Ford, 2020; Oświecińska et al. 2017; Singagra et al. 2016). To date, developing safe and effective treatments for IBS remains a challenge as no specific treatment is documented. Diet and stress management are recognized non-pharmacological approaches in controlling IBS but may be limited by issues of long-term patient adherence and potential hazard of nutritional insufficiencies (Foxx-Orenstein, 2016). Pharmacological remedies including bulking agents, laxatives and probiotics may help normalize alterations in bowel habits, while tricyclic antidepressants, acid suppressing agents and antispasmodics may help to minimize visceral pain accompanying the disease, however, the overall efficacy of pharmacological agents in IBS is low (Devanarayana and Rajindrajith, 2018; Halland and Saito, 2015).

The role of intestinal Guanylate Cyclase-C (GC-C) and extracellular cyclic Guanosine Mono Phosphate (cGMP) in maintaining the gastrointestinal tract normal physiological function has recently been recognized and so disruption of their pathways would be linked to numerous gastrointestinal disorders including inflammatory bowel diseases and colorectal cancers. In 2012, linaclotide; GC-C agonist, gained FDA approval for the treatment of constipation-predominant IBS (Eswaran et al. 2014; Love et al. 2014). However, the use of GC-C agonists in pediatrics was limited as they may cause severe diarrhea (Sedky and Magdy, 2020). cGMP-elevating agents such as phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE-5) inhibitors are recently reported as a promising medication in pediatrics (Eswaran et al. 2014).

Vardenafil (VDF) is a selective inhibitor for PDE-5 used in management of impotence. Nonetheless, recent reports have emphasized the potential use of PDE-5 inhibitors; Sildenafil and Tadalafil, for treatment of IBS (Sedky and Magdy, 2020; Sharman et al. 2017). VDF acts by inhibiting PDE-5 thus protecting cGMP from hydrolysis, and consequently, the increment in serum cGMP levels, which in turn, can lead to smooth muscle vasodilation and thus could be beneficial to treat constipation-predominant IBS in children.

VDF suffers from low oral bioavailability (~15%) owing to hepatic metabolism besides its low aqueous solubility (Fahmy, 2015). This elicited the need to develop an alternative dosage form to bypass first pass effect and improve its in vivo fate.

Currently, VDF is an effective therapy for erectile dysfunction. Nonetheless, many reports have emphasized the effect of phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors on IBS by only clinical trials. Unfortunately, no studies were focused to develop a formulation and study its in-vitro/in-vivo correlation to confirm the clinical findings for more prospective benefits gained by patients and consequently opens new avenues for pharmaceutical companies.

The drug is marketed only as conventional tablets, fast disintegrating tablets, or even oral jellies designed to be completely ingested and were intended for sexual impotence. Herein, the present work is addressed for pediatric, or even dysphagic patients, by exclusively developing more patient-friendly dosage form of VDF.

Pharmaceutical industry paid attention to formulate more palatable and elegant dosage forms, to achieve convenient administration and more compliance for pediatric patients. Oral Medicated Jellies (OMJs) are considered useful alternatives for wide segment of populations especially children and dysphagic patients and have been listed as a type of dosage form in the Japanese Pharmacopoeia, 16th Edition, enforced in 2011 in Japan, till now (Kakino et al. 2017). Jellies are dulcet solid dosage forms for administration into the buccal cavity, designed to dissolve in either mouth or pharynx for local or systemic effect. They are described as “transparent or translucent semisolid formulations with non-greasy nature used for either lubrication or medication” (Kadhim and Ali, 2019; Sarojini et al. 2018). Numerous studies on OMJs have been made to solve bioavailability problems and improve patient compliance (Patel et al. 2020).

In this study, OMJs with VDF were prepared as demulcent jellies using gelling polymers of certain viscosities aimed to be sucked in the mouth for buccal absorption of the drug with the hope to help those having difficulty in swallowing. The formulation is beneficial for better bioavailability of VDF through by passing entero-hepatic circulation faced by the marketed oral tablets and ingested jellies. Moreover, it would overcome the problem of dosage wastage, improper measurement, dose dumping, and improve patient compliance to more palatable and elegant dosage forms more than other marketed VDF products. They were evaluated for its content uniformity, physical stability, general appearance, and in-vitro release. Additionally, measurement of cGMP serum level was measured for the in-vivo evaluation. Intestinal transit, fecal output and consistency were also tracked and evaluated. Results revealed that OMJs improved the absorption of VDF through buccal mucosa and consequently succeeded to enhance its bioavailability with very promising clinical results.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

VDF was a gift from G.N.P Company (6th of October City, Giza, Egypt). Gelatin, sodium alginate, carbopol 974, gum arabic and pectin were kindly supplied by EIPICO Pharmaceuticals Chemical Co., Egypt. Gum tragacanth, citric acid, sodium benzoate were purchased from Al - Gomhoria Company for medicines and medical supplies (Cairo, Egypt).

2.2. Preparation of oral jellies:

Various polymers were examined to formulate jellies loaded with VDF by heating and congealing technique. Polymers were optimized at a concentration that succeeded to formulate jellies of optimized consistency as illustrated in Table 1.

Jellies were manufactured using a protocol modified from Sarojini et al. (2018). Briefly sucrose syrup was prepared in a beaker by dissolving 67 g sucrose in water to a final volume of 100 mL by heating to 80 °C and stirring continuously. The gelling agent (Gelatin Na alginate Carbopol 974 Gum Arabic Gum tragacanth or Pectin) was added to 10 mL warmed water under constant stirring along with the sucrose solution. To a gelling agent-sucrose solution 20 mg of VDF was added along with the calculated amounts of citric acid and sodium benzoate under continuous stirring. The prepared solutions were transferred in to single moulded units settle undisturbed and left to cool. After the jellies were set they were wrapped individually into butter paper and stored in dry place till further testing (Prakash et al. 2014; Jadhav et al. 2017; Taranum and Mittapally, 2018). All ingredients were calculated based on % w/w.

2.3. Characterization of OMJs

The prepared OMJs were characterized and evaluated as per the standard procedures reported in the literature (Patel et al., 2020), and outlined below.

2.3.1. Syneresis

All jellies were noticed at ambient room temperature for syneresis over a 24 h period, and those showing any sign of syneresis were rejected and not considered for further studies.
2.3.1.1. Physical appearance. The formulated jellies were inspected visually for their organoleptic properties; clarity, color and presence of any particle aggregation. The test is important regarding patient compliance.

2.3.1.2. Measurement of pH. The value of pH would influence the stability and the acceptance of the formulation taste as well (Jadhav et al. 2017). The pH of all prepared jellies was determined using digital pH meter, by dispersing exactly 0.5 g of formulation in 50 mL of distilled water and pH values were recorded.

2.3.1.3. Stickiness and grittiness. Texture of OMJs was visually inspected after mildly rubbing the sample by two fingers to feel any stickiness or grittiness.

2.3.1.4. Rheological properties. A Viscostar-R FUGNILAB viscometer, spindle (R6) and speed of 10 rpm at 25 °C, was used in rheological study. The values of viscosity were displayed in centipoise.

2.3.1.5. Drug content. Jellies selected from each batch were individually dissolved in exactly 50 mL of Sörensen's phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 which was then filtered diluted and analyzed spectrophotometrically at 270 nm using UV–visible spectrophotometer. All the formulations should serve as a reference standard for subjective evaluation.

2.3.1.6. Stability study of oral soft jellies. A freshly prepared formulation should serve as a reference standard for subjective evaluation. Samples of the optimized formula (F1) were kept at room temperature and in refrigerator for one month and were observed for syneresis, appearance, pH, viscosity, and noticed at the interval of one month.

2.3.1.7. In vitro release studies. The in vitro study for VDF release from medicated formulations was processed using a modified diffusion cell and cellophane membrane. Each jelly (5 g) was placed on cellophane membrane which was then fixed on to diffusion cell. The cellophane membrane was placed in Sörensen's phosphate buffer solution of pH 6.8 (37 ± 0.5 °C, stirring rate 100 rpm), as a receptor medium for this investigation. A sample of 2 mL was withdrawn from reservoir compartment at 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min and spectrophotometric measurements were made at 270 nm (Cardoz and Ravikumar, 2017).

2.3.1.8. Kinetics analysis of the in vitro release data. The data of in vitro release of VDF from the tested formulations was fitted into zero-order, first-order, Higuchi kinetic models, where the model with the highest correlation coefficient best describes the drug release (Abou El Ela et al. 2016).

2.4. In vivo study

2.4.1. Animals

Adult albino male rats, approximately 0.20–0.25 kg weight, from the animal center, Faculty of Pharmacy, Zagazig University, Egypt, were used for in vivo study. The procedures were adapted to Ethical Committee of animal handling in Zagazig University (Approval number: ZU-IACUC/3/F/80/2020). They were housed in clean cages in well-ventilated animal house for the period of experiment, maintained on sawdust bedding at 25 ± 5 °C and 50–80% relative humidity.

2.4.1.1. In-vivo experimental design. The rats were divided into four groups, 6 rats each, according to experimental design illustrated in Table 2. Rats in the first group served as a control group (received only distilled water). Oral administration of 0.5 mL of loperamide (3 mg/kg body weight daily for successive 3 days) was sufficient to induce constipation in the other groups (Wintola et al. 2010); and was noticed on the fourth day by putting reduced fecal pellets with stiff nature. After constipation was induced, rats in group 3 received a suspension of an equivalent amount of 20 mg VDF/Kg of body weight via gastric gavage (Matsumoto et al. 2008). For administration of VDF oral jellies; rats in the fourth group were anaesthetized by a 25 mg/kg dose of pentobarbital (IV injection) to ensure OMJs existence in buccal cavity without escaping down to the gut (Reimer et al., 2020). Rats were placed on a table with the lower jaw horizontally fixed for insertion of the selected formula (F1) on the rat’s tongue Table 2.

2.4.1.2. Measurement of intestinal transit. Three rats of each group were separated for measurement of the intestinal transit and cCMP level. According to the literature the intestinal transit was measured by administration of powdered charcoal via gastric gavage (Sagar et al. 2005; Sedky and Magdy, 2020; Sharman et al. 2017). After one hour; they were sacrificed and the small and large intestines were isolated. The percentage of length of small intestines were processed using a modified diffusion cell and cellophane membrane.

Table 1
Composition of different VDF oral jellies (5 g).

| Ingredient | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 |
|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Gelatin    | 8  | —  | —  | —  | —  | —  |
| Sodium alginate | —  | 3  | —  | —  | —  | —  |
| Carbopol 974 | —  | —  | 3  | —  | —  | —  |
| Gum arabic | —  | —  | —  | 20 | —  | —  |
| Gum tragacanth | —  | —  | —  | —  | 15 | —  |
| Pectin     | —  | —  | —  | —  | —  | 5  |
| Glycerin   | 2  | —  | —  | —  | —  | —  |
| Triethanolamine | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| Citric acid | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| Sugar syrup | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Water      | 18.6| 25.6| 25.6| 8.6| 13.6| 23.6|
| Sodium benzoate | 0.01| 0.01| 0.01| 0.01| 0.01| 0.01|
| VDF        | 0.4| 0.4| 0.4| 0.4| 0.4| 0.4|

Table 2
Distribution of rat for in vivo study.

| Group | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| 1     | (control) Untreated rats, received distilled water orally. |
| 2     | Constipated control rats |
| 3     | Constipated rats, received 1 mL of VDF suspension (equivalent to calculated animal dose) via gastric gavage |
| 4     | Constipated rats, received oral VDF jellies |
tine containing the carbon to total bowel length gives an indication for intestinal transit rate × 100%.

2.4.1.3. Measurement of cGMP serum level. Blood samples collected from sacrificed rats were left at room temperature for 30 min, then centrifuged at 5000 rpm at 4 °C for 15 min to obtain serum (Gomaa et al., 2018; Abu Lila et al., 2020). cGMP Direct Immunoassay Kit (Abcam, MA, USA) according to general principle of ELISA technique and the manufacturer’s recommended instructions was used for quantitative measurement of cGMP (Itoh et al. 2004). The percentage of increase in cGMP level was calculated as follows: (average cGMP of test group- average cGMP of control group)/ average cGMP of the control group X 100%.

2.4.1.4. Measurement of fecal output (number and water content %). The other three rats of each group were evaluated for their fecal output. Rats were separated in individual cages and fecal pellets of each rat were collected for 6 h and counted. For determination of fecal water content, the weight of the collected wet fecal samples was measured and then redetermined after being allowed to dry in air. The fecal water content was calculated as follows: (the wet weight of fecal pellets – the dry weight of fecal pellets)/ the wet weight of fecal pellets X 100 % (Wu et al. 2017).

2.5. Statistical analysis

All values are expressed as the mean ± S.D. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA using GraphPad InStat software (GraphPad Software, CA, USA). The level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

3. Results and discussion

Due to their ease of preparation and administration, OMJs have become very popular pharmaceutical dosage forms. Their properties have made them suited especially to pediatric settings since children have become more exposed to these dosage forms. Six different jelly formulations containing VDF were developed and evaluated their physical properties and drug release characteristics in vitro and in vivo as well. Additives were incorporated to best alleviate the formulation. For example, citric acid was added to adjust pH of the formulae, sugar syrup was applied as a bulking agent to provide body of the jelly, sodium benzoate was also incorporated as a preservative to prevent deterioration that may develop by high-water content in the prepared formulations, and some other additives may be used as stabilizers to prevent dryness of formulation or improving the mouth feel.

3.1. Characterization of OMJs

Different polymers were tested for their success in formulating jelly formulations and judged for syneresis, and those showed shrinkage upon storage or break-up of water from the jelly were excluded from further study. The selected six formulae were developed using different concentrations of gelling polymers to incorporate VDF. All six formulations showed subtle variations in their color from white to a pale yellow as denoted by the gelling agent (Table 3). Formulations F1, F2, F3 and F6 proved to be translucent while F4 and F5 being opaque in style. The opacity of F4 and F5 was likely to be as a result of incorporating gum in the formulations which proved to be stickier and grittier than the other formulations. However, no sugar crystallization and no drug precipitation were noticed in the prepared formulations.

Table 3

| Formulation | Appearance | Color         | Grittiness & Stickiness             | pH        | Viscosity (cps) |
|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| F1          | Translucent| Pale Yellow   | None                                | 7.3 ± 0.1 | 10500 ± 100     |
| F2          | Translucent| Off-white     | None                                | 7.12 ± 0.2| 12000 ± 100     |
| F3          | Translucent| White         | None                                | 7.26 ± 0.2| 11200 ± 100     |
| F4          | Opaque     | Yellow        | Sticky and gritty                   | 7.28 ± 0.3| 14400 ± 160     |
| F5          | Opaque     | Yellow        | High stickiness and grittiness      | 7.2 ± 0.1 | 15000 ± 150     |
| F6          | Translucent| Pale Yellow   | None                                | 7.25 ± 0.2| 12200 ± 100     |

Fig. 1. Cumulative percent release of VDF from the formulations.
A more or less neutral pH would be ideal due to avoid irritation of the mucosa (Abu Lila et al. 2020). All formulations showed a pH value ranged between 7.12 ± 0.2 to 7.3 ± 0.1 by being near to neutral providing acceptability among the patients.

The viscosity was found in the range of 10500 ± 100 to 15000 ± 150 cps. The drug content of F1 to F6 formulations was within the specified range of 95–105%. All formulations showed no syneresis at room temperature after incubation period of a 24 h. Physically stable OMJs had retained their color, clarity, odor and viscosity throughout shelf-life.

3.2. In vitro study of drug release

Gelatin, Na alginate, carbopol 974, gum arabic, gum tragacanth and pectin are gelling agents that have been appropriately utilized to form gel-like matrix (Kadhim and Ali, 2019; Taranum and

| Formulation | Zero-order ($R^2$) | Diffusion ($R^2$) | First-order ($R^2$) | Observed order |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| F1          | 0.7004             | 0.7908            | 0.7672              | Diffusion     |
| F2          | 0.6278             | 0.7334            | 0.6703              | Diffusion     |
| F3          | 0.8262             | 0.9014            | 0.8468              | Diffusion     |
| F4          | 0.7446             | 0.8429            | 0.7739              | Diffusion     |
| F5          | 0.7060             | 0.8039            | 0.7300              | Diffusion     |
| F6          | 0.7947             | 0.8812            | 0.8422              | Diffusion     |

Fig. 2. Intestinal transit for rat groups (as a percentage distance travelled).

Fig. 3. Serum cGMP level for rat groups.
Mittapally, 2018). The in vitro release results for all medicated jel-
lies were demonstrated in Fig. 1. After one-hour release period, the
highest release (52%) was observed for F1 prepared with gelatin,
followed by F6, F2 and F3. This could be attributed to the low vis-
cosity of F1 compared to other formulations. Similar results were
obtained by Kadam et al., who showed that incorporating gelatin
showed the highest release in the prepared formulations. Jellies
prepared using gum tragacanth (F5) exhibited slower release
(≤30%). This could be attributed to the stickiness of the formulated
jelly and high viscosity.

The respective rate constants of zero-order, first order and
Higuchi kinetics equations were compared to best describe the
drug release from OMJs. Table 4. showed the kinetics data of the
release of VDF oral jellies in pH 6.8 and it was a diffusion model.

Formulations F1, F2, F3 and F6 all showed some suitability for
further consideration, however, F1 was chosen due to its
organoleptic properties and in vitro performance compared to
other formulae. Short-term stability studies were additionally per-
formed for the optimized formula (F1) and showed no significant
changes in pH, viscosity, appearance and syneresis at room tem-
perature and 4 °C, ensured its suitability for further studies.

3.3. In vivo results

From (Figs. 3, 4 & 5); it was clear that induction of IBS was cap-
able significantly (P < .05) of increasing intestinal transit time
recorded as a reduced percentage of the distance travelled, com-
pared to control group. The increase in intestinal transit time
was significantly reversed by oral VDF and a greater improvement
was seen with VDF oral jellies that was noticed by the highest per-
cent of travelled distance.

cGMP, a relevant biomarker of VDF level in blood, was used as
indicator for therapeutic effect of F1. Rats were either exposed to
VDF via F1, via oral suspension or were left untreated and their
cGMP levels were measured (Fig. 2). After measurements, the
VDF oral suspension raised cGMP in serum by 55.8% over the con-
control. This was expected because of its pharmacological activity and results were in agreement with Wang et al, who found that VDF was able to increase cGMP and alter homeostasis in intestinal epithelium of mice (Wang et al. 2014). After absorption of VDF through the oral mucosa, cGMP showed a marked elevation by 289.6% after application of (F1). This came in agreement with the pharmacokinetics of drug and provided an evidence that the buccal route offers an efficient approach to bypass liver metabolism of drugs via direct penetration to blood via buccal mucosa.

Induction of IBS- predominant constipation, decreased defecation expressed by count of fecal pellets compared to control group. This was reversed by VDF administration, either oral suspension or OMJs, by significant increase in fecal pellets (P < .05), relative to IBS untreated group. A greater improvement was noticed in the fourth group received VDF oral jellies, and this could be explained by the capability of buccal route to serve VDF from degradation by hepatic metabolism.

On the same pattern; induction of constipation significantly decreased water content in fecal samples compared to control group. That was significantly improved for the third and fourth groups relative to IBS untreated group. A greater improvement was recorded for oral jellies. These results proved the superior therapeutic benefits of VDF oral jellies compared to oral solution and is considered a more convenient dosage form, especially for children who find difficulty in swallowing solid oral dosage forms.

4. Conclusion

In the current study, medicated soft jellies of VDF were designed for absorption through buccal mucosa to bypass enterohepatic circulation and consequently improving the drug bioavailability. The physicochemical parameters such as pH, appearance and viscosity showed all formulation were within the standard USP limits. Jelly F1 was promising by the highest capability of buccal route to serve VDF from degradation by hepatic metabolism. The physicochemical parameters such as pH, appearance and viscosity showed all formulation were within the standard USP limits. Jelly F1 was promising by the highest capability of buccal route to serve VDF from degradation by hepatic metabolism.
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