1. Introduction

Human immuno deficiency virus (HIV) has emerged as a global epidemic disease since its emergence in 1981 and is spreading steadily throughout the world, mostly affecting the developing and under-developed countries[1]. Ever since its discovery, acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) has killed more than 25 million people, making it one of the most destructive pandemics in recorded history[2]. Nepal reported its first AIDS case in 1988[3]. Since then, HIV has evolved in Nepal from a low prevalence to a concentrated epidemic, mostly affecting commercial sex workers, injecting drug users, men who have sex with men, children and labour migrants to India[4]. Four major transmission routes for HIV have been identified: sexual route, parenteral route, mother to child transmission and blood or blood products.

The global HIV prevalence rate among women of reproductive age is reported to be 0.6% while among the pregnant women in low and middle income countries, it is reported to be 2.3%[2]. Infection among pregnant women poses a particular risk to their families, offspring and health workers at the time of delivery[5], and is associated with adverse maternal and foetal outcomes[6]. The effects of this include morbidity, vertical transmission and severe anaemia[7,8]. About 90% of the HIV-infected children acquire the infection from their mothers during pregnancy and childbirth[9,10]. Therefore, screening of pregnant women at an early stage of pregnancy may help in prompt counselling and therapy, thereby reducing the risk of transmission to the child.

The role of HIV/AIDS in maternal mortality in Nepal is difficult to evaluate as the HIV status of pregnant women in this region is largely unknown[7]. Estimation of the sero-prevalence of HIV in pregnant women provides essential information for an effective implementation of HIV/AIDS control programmes and also for the monitoring of HIV spread within a country especially from mother to child. To the best of our knowledge, only a few studies on HIV prevalence in antenatal women are available from Nepal, and in fact none indicates the current trend...
in seroprevalence from this area. Hence, we sought to determine the rate and distribution of HIV among pregnant women visiting a tertiary care hospital in Kathmandu.

2. Materials and methods

This study was conducted among pregnant women of age group 15–40 years old attending the antenatal clinic in Paropakar Maternity and Women’s Hospital (PMWH), Thapathali Kathmandu during May to November, 2011. With the help of 5 mL disposable sterile syringe (Lifeline, Nepal), 5 mL blood was drawn and disposed in a test tube labeled with sample number. All the collected blood samples were first incubated at 37 °C for 15–30 min and then centrifuged at 2 000 r/min for 4 min. Serum thus separated from the blood samples were tested for the presence of anti HIV-1 and 2 antibodies using WHO testing strategy II (two different rapid screening assays i.e. determine test kit followed by uni-gold rapid test kit and SD Bioline test kit) following all the standard operating protocols (Figure 1). All the collected positive samples were evaluated by advanced HIV diagnostic kit for antibody to HIV (Sandwich ELISA test kits) following standard manufacturer’s protocol (BEP III).

1 524 pregnant women in between age 15–39 years attending PMWH during May to November, 2011
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Figure 1. Flow diagram of sampling of the patients.

2.1. Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed using SPSS Version 17.0. Chi-square was done and the value of significance for all statistical tests was $P<0.05$.

3. Results

During May to November 2011, there were 1 524 pregnant women in between 15–39 years old seeking for cure in PMWH. Of these, blood sample could not be taken from 87 (6%) patients. A total of 1 440 samples from pregnant women were screened for the presence of anti HIV antibodies (Figure 1). Among them, 624 were aged between 20–25 years of age, 536 were in the second trimester of gestation and 946 were housewives. The overall HIV positive cases were 9 (0.62%) in both rapid screening assay and ELISA tests.

Age wise distribution of HIV positives showed the highest sero–prevalence of HIV in 35–39 years old aged pregnant women which accounts for 1.4% while the lowest sero–prevalence was observed in pregnant women aged 25–29 years. The highest number of HIV cases in association to pregnancy was found in second trimester (0.75%) followed by third trimester. The association of HIV with sexual relation revealed that 6 (30.00%) women with multiple sexual partners had HIV infection shown in Table 1.

### Table 1

| Characters | No. of patients | RSA and ELISA reactive No. (%) | $P$ value |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Age       |                |                               |           |
| 15–19     | 256            | 2 (0.78)                      | 0.570     |
| 20–24     | 624            | 3 (0.48)                      |           |
| 25–29     | 343            | 1 (0.29)                      |           |
| 30–34     | 146            | 2 (1.30)                      |           |
| 35–39     | 71             | 1 (1.40)                      |           |
| Pregnancy |                |                               |           |
| First trimester | 406  | 2 (0.49)                      | 0.860     |
|  Second trimester | 536  | 4 (0.75)                      |           |
|  Third trimester | 498      | 3 (0.60)                      |           |
| Sexual relation |        |                               |           |
| Single partner | 1 420  | 3 (0.21)                      | 0.001*    |
| Multi partner  | 20      | 6 (30.00)                     |           |
| Total      | 1 440         | 9 (0.62)                      |           |

*$P<0.05$.

Education wise distribution of HIV cases revealed that the highest number of cases (6) were among the illiterates followed by primary and lower secondary level. Association of HIV with occupation showed that the highest sero–prevalence of HIV was seen among commercial sex workers (CSW) which was 10.00% followed by the housewives which accounted for 0.74% (Table 2).

### Table 2

| Characters | No. of patients | RSA and ELISA reactive No. (%) | $P$ value |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Education |                |                               |           |
| Illiterate| 311            | 6 (1.92)                      | 0.044*    |
| Primary   | 235            | 2 (0.85)                      |           |
| Lower secondary | 269 | 1 (0.37)                      |           |
| Secondary | 322            | –                             |           |
| Higher secondary | 207 | –                             |           |
| Graduate  | 84             | –                             |           |
| Postgraduate | 12      | –                             |           |
| Occupation |                |                               |           |
| Housewife | 946            | 7 (0.74)                      | 0.001*    |
| Agriculture | 204            | –                             |           |
| Nursing   | 8              | –                             |           |
| Banking   | 25             | –                             |           |
| Hotel/restaurant | 25 | –                             |           |
| Business/industry | 73 | –                             |           |
| Student   | 17             | –                             |           |
| Service   | 31             | –                             |           |
| CSW       | 20             | 2 (10.00)                     |           |
| Teaching  | 30             | –                             |           |
| Labour    | 45             | –                             |           |
| Others    | 16             | –                             |           |
| Total     | 1 440          | 9 (0.62)                      |           |

*$P<0.05$. CSW: Commercial sex worker.

4. Discussion

Nepal’s socio–economic status, traditional and social ills, cultural myths on sexuality and a huge population
of marginalized people, geographic proximity to India which is inhabited by millions of HIV positive people make it extremely vulnerable to HIV/AIDS. The findings of this study have a great significance because it provides clear insight of an increasing trend of sero–prevalence at a rate of 0.62% among pregnant women. It is similar to the findings reported in some studies[2], whereas it is relatively higher than in a previous study which reported the overall sero–prevalence of 0.49% among the pregnant women[11]. Such differences in sero–prevalence might be due to geographical variation, actual epidemic situation, sensitivity and specificity of test kits as well as diagnostic algorithms used in each study.

In this study, the highest prevalence of HIV was among the 35–39 years age group, followed by 15–19 years. Majority of the cases had second trimester of gestation especially in the housewives while the pregnant women who had worked as CSW had a prevalence rate of 10%. This is probably because housewives in comparison to other women, who are engaged in job, are less educated and hence less aware about HIV and more engaged in unhygienic sexual activity with their partner which is the leading risk factor for HIV infection. Apart from this, most of those infected housewives acquired infection from their husband. Similarly, CSW have multiple sexual partners which increases the exposure rate, thus being a major risk factor for HIV infection. In our study, majority of the cases were found to have multiple sexual partners. Men or women with a high number of lifetime partners are at greater risk of HIV infection probably due to increased sexual activity which makes them prone to vaginal or penile mucosal lacerations[12]. Education-wise distribution of the cases revealed an inversely proportional relation between education and HIV prevalence, the highest prevalence being among the illiterates. This could be the result of positive influence of education which makes public enlightened and aware about their health and health related habits.

The limitations of the study include the small sample size and short study period. The unavailability of the molecular techniques for the diagnosis was a major limitation of the study. The use of virological and molecular tests instead of serological tests to confirm the cases in such studies would be more promising. However, the findings of this study are helpful in assessing the major risk factors associated with HIV infection among the pregnant women. This will help the health authorities and related department to develop an appropriate HIV control program among pregnant women so that the perinatal transmission could be avoided along with their progression to AIDS. It is essential to initiate the prevention program which should aim to modify the unhygienic sexual activities. Further studies are needed in a larger population to find out the exact scenario of HIV cases, so that the intervention steps would be effective.

The sero–prevalence of HIV among pregnant women in Kathmandu is higher. The results of this study provides essential information for an effective implementation of HIV/AIDS control programmes and also for monitoring HIV spread within a country especially from mother to child.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the doctors, nurses, staffs and technicians of Paropakar Maternity and Women’s Hospital for their kind support during the study. We would like to express our sincere gratitude and respect to all the pregnant women who were the most important part of this study.

References

[1] Kwatra A, Bangal VB, Shinde K, Padaliya K. HIV seroprevalence among the pregnant population and utilization of integrated counseling and training centre facilities at a teaching hospital in Rural Maharashtra. Australas Med J 2011; 4(10): 566–570.

[2] UNAIDS. UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemics. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2010. [Online] Available from: http://www.unaids.org/globalreport/Global_report.htm [Accessed on 23th January, 2014]

[3] Gurubacharya VL, Rana T, Suvedi BK. Profile of AIDS cases in Nepal. J Nep Med Assoc 1994; 31: 337–339.

[4] Pokharel BR, Aryal S, Bhattarai A, Pyakuryal A, Suvedi BK. Situation analysis of HIV/AIDS in Nepal. Final draft. Teku, Kathmandu: National Center for AIDS and STD control; 2004. [Online] Available from: http://www.academia.edu/1015133/Situation_analysis_of_HIV_AIDS_in_Nepal [Accessed on 2nd September, 2013]

[5] Egessie UG, Mbooh RT. Seroprevalence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in pregnant women in Amaassoma, Nigeria. Afr J Biomed Res 2008; 11: 111–113.

[6] Mclytyre J. Mothers infected with HIV reducing maternal deaths and disability during pregnancy. Br Med Bull 2003; 67(1): 127–135.

[7] Uneka CJ, Duhlinska DD, Ighinedion EB. Prevalence and public–health significance of HIV infection and anaemia among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in South–eastern Nigeria. J Health Popul Nutr 2007; 25(3): 328–335.

[8] Behets FM, Matendo R, Vaz LM, Kilese N, Nanlele D, Kokolomami J, et al. Preventing vertical transmission of HIV in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo: a baseline survey of 18 antenatal clinics. Bull World Health Organ 2008; 86(12): 969–975.

[9] Centre for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV/AIDS surveillance report. Vol 15. Atlanta: Centre for Disease Control and Prevention; 2003.

[10] Minkoff H. Human immunodeficiency virus infection in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 2003; 101: 797–810.

[11] National Centre for AIDS and STD Control. Cumulative HIV and AIDS and situation of Nepal. Nepal: NCASC, Ministry of Health and Population; 2008.

[12] WHO. HIV infected women and their families: psychosocial support and related issues. Geneva: WHO; 2003. [Online] Available from: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2003/WHO_RHR_03.07.pdf?ua=1 [Accessed on 15th March, 2014]