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Abstract

Androgen Deprivation Therapy (ADT) would benefit prostate cancer patients initially but cancer cells can eventually develop castration resistance. In this study, we compared androgen-dependent and androgen-independent cell lines to find potential genes associated with acquired resistance to ADT. Using RNaseq, we found 4397 mutations distributed in 2579 genes, out of which, 1574 mutated genes could also be found in prostate cancer tumor samples collected in Cosmic database (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic). We also discovered 157 and 549 genes which were down and up-regulated respectively in both PC3 and DU145 compared to LNCaP. Network analysis resulted in 3 dominant connection notes: GCR/MCR (NR3C1) and PKA-cat kinase (PRKACB) and PKC family (PRKD1). By ChimeraScan analysis, 48, 117 and 60 chimeric transcripts were discovered in DU145, LNCaP and PC3 respectively. Among them, six predicted fusions expressed specifically in androgen-independent cell lines (DU145 and PC3). Some of these gene mutations and transcription alterations have been reported in tumor samples from prostate cancer patients and may have certain associations with acquired resistance to anti-hormone therapy in prostate cancer. A proportion of mutations are enriched in genes involved in immune response pathways, suggesting new targets to develop effective treatments to overcome castration resistance.
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Introduction

Prostate cancer is the third most common cause of death from cancer in men in Europe [1]. Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT), as a first-line therapy, usually leads to a response with suppression of prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels, symptomatic palliation and prolonged overall survival in most patients. However, all patients would eventually become resistant to the treatment and median overall survival after ADT is 48 to 54 months [2,3]. Metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) is the main cause of cancer death in prostate cancer patients.

It has been shown that prostate cancer cells can grow and proliferate at low or even near-zero androgen levels when they become castration resistant [4]. A hypersensitive androgen receptor (AR) pathway can be evolved through accumulation of molecular alterations including AR overexpression, gain of function mutations in AR gene, transcriptional activity of the AR altered by coactivators or corepressors, intra-tumoral testosterone synthesis, and ligand-independent activation of AR [5]. Other alterations include a) changes in growth factor and corresponding receptors, e.g. transforming growth factor a (TGFa), epidermal growth factor (EGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), keratinocyte growth factor (KGF), insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) [6-10], b) apoptotic signaling e.g. phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), bcl-2 and Myb [11-13], and c) Wnt/β-catenin signaling [14].

Despite vigorous efforts only a few prostate cancer cell lines have been established. Among them, LNCaP was derived from a lymph node metastasis. It is androgen-dependent and can represent the early stage of metastatic prostate cancer. PC3 and DU145 were derived from bone and brain metastases respectively. They are androgen-independent and can represent the later and rapid progressing metastatic prostate cancer stage. In this article, we compared androgen-independent cell lines (PC3 and DU145) versus androgen-dependent cell line (LNCaP) to discover which genomic changes are possibly connected to the development of castration resistance.

Methods

RNaseq

Total RNA was extracted by TRizol (Invitrogen, Catalog #15596018) following by phenol/chloroform. RNA Integrity Number (RIN) was used to control RNA quality by Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer System. PolyA selection from total RNA samples was done in SciLifeLab, Stockholm, and sequencing was conducted on HiSeq 2000 according to manufacturer's instructions.
Analysis of mutations, differentially expressed and fusion genes

RNAseq fastq data was aligned to reference genome hg38 by STAR 2 pass and GATK (base quality score recalibration, indel realignment, duplicate removal and INDEL discovery) was applied to call variants across all 15 samples according to GATK Best Practices recommendations [15-17]. A published TopHat and Cufflinks protocol was used to find differentially expressed genes [18] and ChimeraScan was employed to discover fusion transcripts [18]. Panther (http://www.pantherdb.org) and Thomson Reuters (https://portal.genego.com) were applied for functional enrichment analysis [19].

PCR validation

We used cloned AMV first-strand synthesis kit (Life Technologies, Catalog # 12328) and PCR to validate expressions and fusions. Forward primer for fusion transcripts was designed upon fusion sequence given by RNAseq. The forward primer located in 5’ gene and reverse primer on the 3’ gene. PCR was done by Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Life Technologies, Catalog # 12328) and PCR to validate expressions and fusions.

Results

Mutations acquired in castration resistant cell lines

We used triplicates of each cell line (Du145, PC-3 and LNCaP) for whole transcriptome RNA-sequencing and compared “hormone resistant” (PC-3 and DU145) and “hormone sensitive” (LNCaP) cell lines to find mutations acquired after hormone treatment. Only mutations, which were present in PC-3 and Du145 but absent in LNCaP triplicates were chosen as ‘stably acquired mutations’.

We found, in 2579 genes, 4397 mutations which were consistently mutated after cell lines acquired resistance to hormone treatment (S1). All 2579 mutated genes distributed relatively even in all chromosomes without preference. GO term analysis showed that binding (GO: 0005488) and catalytic activity (GO:0003824) are two most widely distributed groups among all mutated genes (Figure 1A), and the two most enriched pathways are 1) Immune response B cell antigen receptor (BCR) pathway, and 2) development positive regulation of STK3/4 (Hippo) pathway and negative regulation of YAP/TAZ function.

| Gene      | Full name                                           | COMIC mutation rate in Pca % | COSMIC mutation rate in all cancers % | Protein Expression in Pca Tissue (Human Protein ATLAS) | Possibly Involved in immune response | Possibly Involved in EMT* |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| K-RAS     | KRAS Proto-oncogene                                  | 3.0                          | 19.2                                  | Up-regulated                                           | Yes15                               | Yes16                      |
| PLCG1     | Phospholipase C gamma 1                              | 1.2                          | 1.5                                   | No alteration                                          | Yes17                               | Yes18                      |
| NOTCH1    | Translocation-associated Notch protein TAN-1         | 1.4                          | 5.7                                   | No alteration                                          | Yes19                               | Yes20                      |
| NCO2      | Nuclear receptor corepressor 2                       | 1.4                          | 1.8                                   | No alteration                                          | Yes21                               | Yes22                      |
| JAK1      | Janus kinase 1                                       | 1.3                          | 1.3                                   | Up-regulated                                           | Yes23                               | Yes24                      |
| FASN      | Fatty acid synthase                                  | 1.1                          | 1.7                                   | Up-regulated                                           | Yes25                               | Yes26                      |
| PREE1     | Phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-triphosphate dependent rac exchange factor 1 | 1.1                          | 1.6                                   | Up-regulated                                           | Yes27                               | Yes28                      |
| MYLK      | Myosin light chain kinase                            | 1.4                          | 1.7                                   | N/A                                                   | -                                    | Yes29                      |

*EMT: Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition

Table 1: Selected mutated genes from 10 enriched pathways in Figure 1B [15-29].

Some mutations among these 10 enriched pathways had a higher mutation rate in patient samples (COSMIC) and many of them are involved in immune response (Figure 1B) (Table 1). Cosmic database shows that there are 22909 genes mutated in 4763 prostate cancer tumor samples in total, and 1574 of the 2579 genes mutated in our cell line study showed also mutations in tumor samples from patients.

Genes with alternative expressions in castration resistant cell lines

By comparison of “PC3 vs LNCaP” and “DU145 vs LNCaP”, we aimed to identify down- or up-regulated genes in androgen-independent cell lines (S2). We set a cut-off (expression fold-change more than 3 and expression more than 3) and found 157 and 549 genes which were down- and up-regulated respectively in both PC3 and DU145 compared to LNCaP (S3). We selected 30 genes randomly and 28 out of them were validated by PCR (S4). The most up- or down-regulated genes were shown in Tables 2 and 3.

All up- and down-regulated genes in androgen independent PC3 and DU145 cell lines (706 genes) were analyzed by MetaCore from Thomson Reuters to build network which resulted in 3 dominant connection notes (Figure 1C): GCR/MCR (NR3C1) and PKA-cat kinase (PRKACB) and PKC family (PRKD1).
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Figure 1: GO term analysis of mutations by Panther (A), pathway enrichment of mutations by MetaCore (B) and Network analysis of up/down-regulated genes by MetaCore from Thomson Reuters (C).
Table 2: Top 9 up-regulated genes when cells acquired resistance to androgen.

| Gene*  | Full name                                    | Related pathways or function                                      | Expression level | Stably mutated in our cell lines/Cosmic tumor samples? |
|--------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| INPP1  | inositol polyphosphate-1-phosphatase         | Metabolism                                                        | 0.007            | Yes/Yes                                               |
| GSTP1  | Glutathione S-transferase Pi 1               | Pathways in cancer                                                | 0.6              | No/Yes                                                |
| CYP1B1 | Cytochrome P450, Family 1, Subfamily B, Polypeptide 1 | AhR pathway                                                     | 0.03             | No/Yes                                                |
| MYEOV  | Myeloma overexpressed                        | Myeloma                                                           | 0.1              | Yes/Yes                                               |
| KLF12  | Kruppel-like factor 12                       | Involved in vertebrate development and carcinogenesis             | 0.01             | Yes/Yes                                               |
| GPRC5A | G protein-coupled receptor, Class C, group 5, member A | Cancer pathway and neuroscience                                   | 0.2              | No/Yes                                                |
| IGFBP4 | Insulin-Like growth factor binding protein 4 | IGF binding                                                       | 0.2              | No/Yes                                                |
| CAV2   | Caveolin 2                                   | Prostate cancer                                                   | 0.1              | Yes/Yes                                               |
| B3GNT3 | UDP-GlcNAc:BetaGal Acetylgalcosaminyltransferase 3 | Beta-1,3-N- Metabolism                        | 0.04             | Yes/Yes                                               |

*all the 9 genes were among top 50 in both “PC-3 vs LNCaP” and “DU145 vs LNCaP”

Table 3: Top 8 down-regulated genes when cells acquired resistance to androgen.

| Gene*  | Full name                                    | Related pathways or function                                      | Expression level | Stably mutated in our cell lines/Cosmic tumor samples? |
|--------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| CSMD1  | CUB and Sushi multiple domains 1             | benign adult familial myoclonic epilepsy                           | 13.6             | No/Yes                                                |
| TMEFF2 | Transmembrane protein with EGF-Like and two follistatin-like domains 2 | function as both an oncogene and a tumor suppressor depending on the cellular context | 122.5            | No/yes                                                |
| SPON2  | Spondin 2, extracellular matrix protein      | ERK signaling                                                     | 868.4            | No/yes                                                |
| UGT2B17| UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2 Family, polypeptide B17 | Metabolism                                                      | 156.3            | No/Yes                                                |
| MAGEA4 | Melanoma antigen family A4                  | embryonal development and tumor transformation or progression     | 126.3            | No/yes                                                |
| UGT2B15| UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2 family, polypeptide B15 | UDP-glucuronyltransferase                                     | 146.9            | No/yes                                                |
| GLYAT1 | Glycine-N-acetyltransferase-like 1           | Metabolism and biological oxidations                              | 86.1             | No/yes                                                |
| DDC    | Dopa decarboxylase (Aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase) | Metabolism                                                     | 206.7            | No/Yes                                                |

*all the 8 genes were among top 30 in both “PC-3 vs LNCaP” and “DU145 vs LNCaP”

Fusions associated with castration-resistant prostate cancer

By ChimeraScan analysis, 117, 48 and 60 fusions were discovered in LNCaP DU145 and PC3 (S5) respectively. Venn diagram showed that only one fusion (AF086285-ATP6V1E2) was universally expressed in all 3 cell lines. Six fusions were transcribed commonly in androgen-independent cell lines (PC3 and DU145) but not in androgen-dependent cell line (LNCaP) (Figure 2A) (Table 4). Three of those fusion partners are long non-coding RNA (FLJ39739, LOC100286793...
and LOC728855), and a recent study showed that FLJ39739 (LINC01138) was directly AR-targeted lncRNAs and associated with Gleason score and tumor stage [30].

| 5’ gene | 5’ chr | 5’ full name | 3’ gene | 3’ chr | 3’ full name |
|---------|--------|--------------|---------|--------|--------------|
| CTSD    | 11     | Cathepsin D  | IFITM10 | 11     | Interferon Induced Transmembrane Protein 10 |
| FLJ39739| 1      | LINC01138 long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 1138 [ Homo sapiens (human) ] | BC065231 | 1 | Homo sapiens cDNA clone IMAGE:5548407, partial cds |
| HMGA1   | 6      | High mobility group AT-Hook 1 | BTNL8 | 5 | Butyrophilin Like 8 |
| LOC100286793 | 1 | LINC00875 long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 875 | BC065231 | 1 | Homo sapiens cDNA clone IMAGE:5548407, partial cds |
| LOC728855 | 1 | LINC00623 long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 623 | BC065231 | 1 | Homo sapiens cDNA clone IMAGE:5548407, partial cds |
| BC110832 | 1 | Homo sapiens cDNA clone IMAGE:5770060 | BC065231 | 1 | Homo sapiens cDNA clone IMAGE:5548407, partial cds |

Table 4: Fusions specifically expressed in androgen-independent cell lines (DU145 and PC3) compared to androgen-dependent cell line (LNCaP).

Using “Unique alignment positions” more than 5 as a cut-off provided a total of 25 chimeric transcripts, out of which, 11 selected validations were done by PCR (Table 5). PCR validation found that eight of eleven (72.7%) suspected fusion transcripts could be found in PC3, DU145 or LNCaP cell lines (Figure 2B). Among all the validating fusions, four chimeric transcripts (MIPOL1-DGKB, GPS2-MPP2, TFDP1-GRK1, and RERE-PIK3CD) expressed only in androgen-dependent cell line LNCaP, while three chimeric transcripts (SMAGP-TFCP2, KDM5B- CR936711, and SAMD8-ADK) expressed only in androgen-resistant cell line (Figure 2C).

Table 5: Fusion transcripts selected and validated by PCR [31-33].

Discussion

The development of castration resistance can have different molecular mechanisms as given in the introduction. They can be generally classified into two major categories as AR-dependent and AR-independent. The former requires a functionally normal or hyperactive AR i.e. cancer cells have AR expression. The latter requires no direct AR functional involvement. In line with previous published results, this study showed that PC3 and DU145 cell lines didn't express AR [34-36]. Therefore, possible molecular changes found in either PC3 or DU145 cell lines may represent the AR-independent mechanisms. In this context, the present study identified genes with mutations, expression changes and fusion transcripts in androgen-independent prostate cancer cells as compared to androgen-dependent cancer cells.
Mutations are relatively common in cancer cell lines. They can be cancer specific mutations or random mutations acquired during the cell culture. To discriminate these random mutations, we used more than one cell lines and each cell line with triplicates. Furthermore, molecular changes in cell lines, despite not random, do not always represent molecular changes in tumor samples from patients.

To overcome this limitation, we focused on the importance of those molecular changes that have also been identified in tumor samples from patients in previously published results including the COSMIC database. Our study found 2579 mutated genes which were probably acquired when cells became resistant to hormone treatment. Among them, 1574 (61%) could be found in mutations of Cosmic tumor samples, for instance, SPEG (1.7%) and NCO R2 (1.6%) in tumor samples (COSMIC).

Most interestingly, the most common pathway enriched with mutated genes in androgen-independent cell lines is the Immune response B cell antigen receptor (BCR) pathway, in line with a recent proposed concept epithelial immune cell-like transition (EIT) [37,38]. These findings may indicate that cancer cells can employ cytokine and immune pathways to suppress host’s immune activity and escape from control and surveillance by immune system. Immunotherapy combined with hormone therapy could probably become effective treatments for metastatic prostate cancer.

The MetaCore analysis identified NR3C1, PRKACB, PRKD1 and PKD1 as the up-regulated genes with potential functions in hormone resistant cell lines. NR3C1 was significantly up-regulated in PC3 (expression level: 9.5) and DU145 (expression level: 63.8) compared with LNCaP (expression level: 0.2). NR3C1 encodes glucocorticoid receptor (GR), which shares several transcriptional targets with AR.

Previous researches showed that increased GR expression contributed to acquire resistance to ADT in prostate cancer in vitro and in vivo [39-41]. A phase II trial of enzalutamide (MDV3100) plus the glucocorticoid receptor antagonist mifepristone for patients with metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) has been performed (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT02012296?view=record).

PRKACB (protein kinase cAMP-dependent catalytic beta) is a member of serine/threonine protein kinase family and a key effector involved in proliferation, apoptosis metabolism and differentiation. In our study, it was down-regulated in PC3 (expression level: 8.9) and DU145 (expression level: 14.7), compared with LNCaP (expression
Acknowledgements

Our study discovered mutations, fusion transcripts and genes with altered expression profiles in castration-resistant prostate cancer cell lines, adding insights into androgen resistance in prostate cancer at transcriptomic level.
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