The Protozoan Inhibitor Atovaquone Affects Mitochondrial Respiration and Shows In Vitro Efficacy Against Glucocorticoid-Resistant Cells in Childhood B-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia
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Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (cALL) accounts for about one third of all paediatric malignancies making it the most common cancer in children. Alterations in tumour cell metabolism were first described nearly a century ago and have been acknowledged as one of the key characteristics of cancers including cALL. Two of the backbone chemotherapeutic agents in the treatment of this disease, Glucocorticoids and L-asparaginase, are exerting their anti-leukaemic effects through targeting cell metabolism. Even though risk stratification and treatment regimens have improved cure rates to nearly 90%, prognosis for relapsed children remains poor. Therefore, new therapeutic approaches are urgently required.

Atovaquone is a well-tolerated drug used in the clinic mainly against malaria. Being a ubiquinone analogue, this drug inhibits co-enzyme Q10 of the electron transport chain (ETC) affecting oxidative phosphorylation and cell metabolism. In this study we tested the effect of Atovaquone on cALL cells in vitro. Pharmacologically relevant concentrations of the inhibitor could effectively target mitochondrial respiration in both cALL cell lines (REH and Sup-B15) and primary patient samples. We found that Atovaquone leads to a marked decrease in basal respiration and ATP levels, as well as reduced proliferation, cell cycle arrest, and induction of apoptosis. Importantly, we observed an enhanced anti-leukaemic effect when Atovaquone was combined with the standard chemotherapeutic Idarubicin, or with Prednisolone in an in vitro model of Glucocorticoid resistance. Repurposing of this clinically approved inhibitor renders further investigations, but also presents opportunities for fast-track trials as a single agent or in combination with standard chemotherapeutics.
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INTRODUCTION

Altered cancer cell metabolism, described nearly a century ago by Warburg (1), has gone a long way from an intriguing scientific observation to a validated drug target in the clinic. Initially believed to serve as an alternative energy source for the rapidly dividing cancer cells, “Warburg’s effect” of oxidative glycolysis is now considered an effective means of metabolic rewiring which provides multiple precursors for key biosynthetic pathways (2-4). Excellent examples showing alterations in cell bioenergetics and the clinical use of certain metabolic vulnerabilities come from acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) (4).

Childhood ALL (cALL) accounts for about one third of all paediatric cancers. The disease is characterised by undifferentiated highly proliferative lymphoid cells of B-cell (~85%), T-cell (10–15%) or mixed lineage (<5%). The genetic and epigenetic aberrations underlying this malignancy are marked by great heterogeneity among patients with a recent study highlighting that there may be over 20 sub-types of B-cell cALL (5). Furthermore, there are a number of metabolic alterations in cALL present already at diagnosis. Some examples include aberrant transcriptional profile involving upregulation of glycolysis and downregulation of citric acid cycle genes (6), mutations in mitochondrial DNA affecting Oxidative Phosphorylation (OXPhos) (7), activation of the AKT pathway in T-ALL, which can cause upregulation of glycolysis and metabolic rewiring (8, 9), and others. Many of the standard chemotherapeutics can target cell metabolism. Glucocorticoids (GCs), the backbone of induction therapy, are effectively chemotherapeutics can target cell metabolism. Glucocorticoids (GCs), the backbone of induction therapy, are effectively targeted by Prednisolone (PDS), a glucocorticoid that is known to inhibit the synthesis of nucleotides (15).

Anthracyclins inhibit topoisomerase II, but can also block Complex I of the electron transport chain (ETC) decreasing mitochondrial function (11, 12). L-Asparaginase is another critical component of standard treatment. It depletes extracellular asparagine levels, but also leads to glutamine deamination (13), glycolysis, and pyrimidine synthesis (14). Lastly, Methotrexate inhibits the folic acid cycle, thus the synthesis of nucleotides (15).

Refined risk group stratification and treatment regimens, including targeting of metabolic vulnerabilities in blast cells, have improved overall survival to 85–90% (16). Nevertheless, 5-year event-free survival after relapse for certain sub-groups of patients (e.g. with isolated bone marrow relapse, or deletion of IKZF1) may be less than 30% (17). Therefore, novel therapeutic approaches are urgently required especially for high risk groups, refractory disease, and relapsed patients.

Drug repurposing is a strategy whereby a drug, which is already approved for the treatment of a certain condition, is transferred into a different disease context. The advantage of this approach is saving considerable amount of time (at least 2–3 times quicker (18)) and money (3–10 times less on average (19)), ultimately translating into saving more lives faster and at a lower cost. Encouraging examples of drug repositioning already in use or in clinical trials range from Aspirin in colorectal cancer (20), Metformin (for diabetes) and Daunorubicin (antibiotic) for various malignancies (20, 21), retinoic acid (vitamin A) in acute promyelocytic leukaemia (APL) (22), a combination of retinoic acid and Tranylcypromine (TCP—anti-depressant) in acute myeloid leukaemia (23), and others.

Atovaquone (Ato) is an analogue of ubiquinone (the oxidised form of coenzyme Q10) making it an effective inhibitor of complex III of the electron transport chain (ETC) (24). Due to its high specificity and excellent tolerability Ato has been used against protozoa like Plasmodium spp and Toxoplasma gondii, turning it into a key component of standard anti-malarial therapy including in children (25). Interestingly, in recent years Ato has been highlighted as a potent anti-proliferative and apoptotic agent in different malignancies. For instance, Ato has proved effective in vitro in breast cancer (26), in pharyngeal and colorectal cancer cell lines in enhancing the effect of radiotherapy (27), in retinoblastoma cells (28), and in haematologic malignancies like chronic lymphoblastic leukaemia (29) and acute myeloid leukaemia (30). Nevertheless, the effect of Ato on cALL has not been studied.

Therefore, given the body of evidence in literature that cALL have alterations in their mitochondrial and metabolic function, together with encouraging results using Ato in other malignancies, the aim of our study was to investigate if Ato may be effective against cALL cells as well.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture, Drug Treatments, Cell Viability, and Cell Counts

The childhood ALL cell line REH (harbouring a TEL-AML1 fusion, isolated after 1st relapse) was kindly provided by Dr. Tino Schenk from the University Hospital of Jena (Germany), whilst Sup-B15 was purchased from DSMZ (Germany). Both cell lines were cultured in standard conditions—REH cells in RPMI with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) and 0.5% Penicillin– Streptomycin (Pen/Strep), and Sup-B15 (harbouring a BCR-ABL1 fusion, isolated after 2nd relapse) in IMDM with 20% FBS and 0.5% Pen/Strep (all from PAN-Biotech, Germany). Atovaquone, Idarubicin, and Prednisolone (Cayman Chemical, USA, European Division in Estonia) were dissolved in DMSO (Pan Biotech—Germany) to 10mg/ml and stored at 4°C. Working dilutions were made fresh daily in the culture media.

To develop Prednisolone-resistant sub-clones of Sup-B15 cells, we used alternating cycles of drug treatment with increasing concentrations of Prednisolone by small increments followed by cell expansion. In brief, the IC50 values were determined following drug treatment of 3 days and assessment of cell viability with MTT assay. All experiments were performed at least in biological duplicates and technical triplicates. Cell counts were determined using LUNA II cell counter (Logosbio, South Korea).

Establishment of Stable Prednisolone-Resistant Cells

To develop Prednisolone-resistant sub-clones of Sup-B15 cells, we used alternating cycles of drug treatment with increasing concentrations of Prednisolone by small increments followed by cell expansion. In brief, the IC50 concentration of Prednisolone for Sup-B15 was determined, and cells were treated with this concentration of 0.002 mM for 3 days. Surviving cells were centrifuged to eliminate dead cells, cell debris, and any remaining Prednisolone, washed with medium, and left to recover and expand in growth medium for 3–4 days. These
cells were then treated with 1.5× IC$_{50}$ of Prednisolone for another 3 days, then centrifuged, washed, and left in growth medium to expand again. The third cycle used 2× IC$_{50}$ of Prednisolone, and every following cycle used increments of 30–50% higher concentration, compared to the previous cycle. Every four to five cycles Prednisolone was titrated to determine the shift in IC$_{50}$ compared to the parental Sup-B15 cells. These cycles of treatment with increased concentrations of Prednisolone followed by cell recovery and expansion (>15 cycles) were repeated until we reached about 1,000 times resistance compared to parental Sup-B15 cells.

**Patient Samples**
Bone marrow aspirates from patients were collected for standard diagnosis by flow cytometry. Cells from the remaining samples were isolated using Pancoll (PAN-Biotech) following the instructions by the manufacturer. At least 300,000 cells per well were plated for Atovaquone treatment, cultured for 3 days and analysed with the Seahorse XFp Instrument. Where possible, 30, 60, and 90 μM of Atovaquone were tested. For assessment of viability and the effect of Atovaquone and Idarubicin combinations, patient samples were seeded at ~50,000 cells per well in 96-well plates. All experiments with patient samples were performed in technical duplicates and triplicates where cell numbers allowed. Samples were taken after informed consent by the parents/guardians of the patients, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee at the Medical University of Plovdiv.

**Seahorse XFp Analysis of Mitochondrial Function**
For assessment of mitochondrial function we used Seahorse XFp analyser and Mito Stress Test kit (Agilent, USA). For this Mito Stress protocol, three mitochondrial inhibitors (all from Cayman Chemical, USA, European Division in Estonia) were injected consecutively onto the cells—Oligomycin (inhibitor of the ATP Synthetase) at 1 μM final concentration, FCCP (trifluromethoxy carbonyl cyanide phenylhydrazone—an uncoupler of the ETC) at 2 μM final concentration and Rotenone (inhibitor of Complex I of the ETC) at 0.5 μM final concentration. This allowed the assessment of several parameters including basal and maximal respiration, spare respiratory capacity, ATP levels, and others. B-cell ALL cells were grown in 12- or 24-well plates with or without Atovaquone for 72 h. Cells were then centrifuged and washed twice with Seahorse-compatible bicarbonate buffer-free RPMI (AppliChem, Germany) supplemented with Pyruvate (1 mM) with adjusted final pH of 7.2–7.4. Cells were then counted with Luna II cell counter (LogosBio, South Korea) and seeded at 100–300,000/well in Seahorse microplates (Agilent, USA), which were pre-coated with Poly-D-Lysine at 1 mg/ml for 30 min. Seahorse oxygen consumption rate (OCR) data for each experiment was normalised to total live cells per well counted with Luna II cell counter (LogosBio, South Korea) using Seahorse Wave Desktop Software v 2.6.0 (Agilent, USA). Data analysis was then performed using the XF Mito Stress Report Generator within Wave Desktop Software.

**Cell Cycle and Apoptosis Assays**
Cell cycle analysis and Annexin V/PI assay for apoptosis were carried out on a Guava® Muse® Cell Analyser (Luminex, USA) using the respective standard kits and protocols optimised by Luminex.

**RNA-Sequencing**
RNA extraction from control untreated and Ato-treated (30 μM) samples in biological triplicates was carried out on day 3 after treatment from ~1 million pelleted cells per condition with Qiagen RNeasy kit (Qiagen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Initial sample concentration and quality was assessed by Nanodrop (Thermo, USA). Samples were then sent to Novogene UK for further QC, reverse transcription, library preparation, and sequencing. In brief, NENBNext® UltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, USA) was used for library preparation with AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Beverly, USA) for size selection and PCR purification steps, both performed as instructed by the manufacturers. Finally, sequencing was performed on an Illumina Sequencer generating >20M pair-end clean reads per sample.

**Synergy Testing**
Analysis of drug combinations was carried out with SynergyFinder (31). The zero interaction potency (ZIP) model (32) was chosen as the default parameter in SynergyFinder (together with four parameter logistic regression algorithm, LL4, for curve fitting) to calculate δ (delta) scores/synergy scores for the interaction between Atovaquone and Idarubicin. The reference point in the ZIP model is zero (i.e. δ = 0), which implies zero interaction between the two drugs. Synergy scores lower than zero (i.e. δ < 0) imply a likely antagonistic interaction (δ <−10 is considered most likely antagonistic), whilst δ > 0 shows additivity or synergy between the two tested drugs (δ > 10 would be interpreted as most likely synergistic) (32).

**Statistical Analysis**
Sequencing quality control, mapping, quantification, and differential gene expression analysis were also performed by Novogene using HISAT2 software, RPKM calculation for each gene, DESeq2 and EdgeR package in R to generate lists with differentially expressed genes between Atovaquone treatment and control samples. Adjusted p-values (≤0.05) and fold change (FC) of ≥1.3 and ≤−1.3 were used as thresholds to create the gene lists necessary for downstream analysis. Gene Ontology (GO) analysis was carried out by BinGO (33) in Cytoscape Software (34) where statistically significantly enriched nodes (p -≤0.05) have been colour-coded (yellow for 5 × 10⁻² to dark orange for 5 × 10⁻⁷). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed from generated lists with differentially expressed genes as described above using the GSEA software by the Broad Institute (35) (standard settings of 1,000 permutations, permutation type—gene_set, and Molecular Signature Database gene sets—H: Hallmark, C2: Curated gene sets, C5: ontology gene sets, C6: oncogenic signatures gene sets). The default FDR cut-off is 0.25; however, only gene sets with FDR ≤0.05 were plotted. GraphPad Prism (v. 8) was used for figure preparation.
and statistical analysis (parametric unpaired Student’s t-test for Seahorse and MTT data and paired Student’s t-test for patient samples’ standard cut-offs of $p \leq 0.05$).

RESULTS

Atovaquone Demonstrates Anti-Leukaemic Properties In Vitro Through Inhibition of Mitochondrial Respiration

The anti-proliferative effect of Atovaquone on REH cells was investigated first. We found that at IC$_{50}$ concentration (Supplementary Figure 1A) Atovaquone exerts its anti-leukaemic effect through both deceleration of the cell cycle (53.4 ± 7.7% in G1-phase in control cells vs 67.6 ± 3.5% in Ato-treated cells, $p = 0.044$, as well as 29.6 ± 6.3% of control cells in S-phase vs 19.3 ± 1.7% in Ato-treated cells, $p = 0.05$, Supplementary Figure 1B). Ato also increased the number of apoptotic cells (19.8 ± 2.9% apoptotic cells in control vs 30.2 ± 6.6% in Ato-treated cells, $p = 0.005$, Supplementary Figure 1C). In order to elucidate the potential mechanism of action of Ato, we examined the mitochondrial function of the cells following treatment with the drug for three days (Figure 1A). We found that Ato treatment led to a ~two-fold reduction of basal respiration (from 407.6 ± 48.8 to 219.4 ± 53.9, $p < 0.0001$) and ~3.7-fold decrease in maximal respiration (from 813.8 ± 93.1 to 220.2 ± 116, $p < 0.0001$). It nearly completely abolished spare respiratory capacity (from 406.2 ± 52.1 to 0.8 ± 63.6, $p < 0.0001$) and decreased ATP production by more than half (from 343 ± 32.3 to 137.6 ± 79.6, $p = 0.0002$) (Figure 1B and Supplementary Table 1).

RNA-seq (Figures 1C, D, Supplementary Figures 2 and 3, and Supplementary Table 2) aiming to elucidate the effect of Ato on REH cells showed that this drug changed the expression of nearly 2,000 genes compared to untreated cells (with cut-offs of adjusted $p$-value <0.05, FC $>$1.3). Gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed enrichment for cell metabolic processes (Figure 1C), apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, and a small cluster of oxidative stress genes (Supplementary Figures 2A–C), which is another confirmation of the phenotypic effects we observed. Further Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) demonstrated—upregulation of the p53 pathway, downregulation of E2F, and G2M checkpoint (both related to cell cycle control), and of hypoxia gene sets (Figure 1D). We further found that Ato treatment may enhance fatty acid metabolism and, interestingly, downregulate RNA metabolic processes (Supplementary Figure 3).

Another B-cell precursor cALL cell line—Sup-B15 was analysed as well. We also made use of a Glucocorticoid-resistant sub-clone of Sup-B15 referred to as “Sup-PR” that was established in our laboratory (Methods and Supplementary Figure 1D). We observed that Ato had similar IC$_{50}$ values as in REH cells (~30 µM, Supplementary Figure 1E) and elicited very similar changes in mitochondrial respiration and ATP production in both Sup-B15 and Sup-PR cells (Figures 2A, B). Of note, GC-resistance of around 1,000 times did not change the response to Ato, and we found significant reduction of basal and maximal respiration, as well as of ATP production in Sup-PR cells (Supplementary Table 3 and Figure 2B).

Atovaquone Enhances the Effect of Standard Chemotherapeutics and Resensitises Resistant Cells to Glucocorticoids

We also investigated if Ato may enhance the action of standard chemotherapeutics known to affect different aspects of cell metabolism. Thereby, we examined combinations with several chemotherapeutics which are part of the standard treatment regimen such as Methotrexate (targeting folic acid metabolism), Idarubicin (topoisomerase II inhibitor and inhibitor of complex I of the ETC), and Prednisolone (targeting glycolysis). We found marked synergistic effect (ZIP synergy score of 24.4) in REH cells only when Ato was in combination with Idarubicin (Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure 1F for IC$_{50}$ values) and not in any of the other two combinations (data not shown). Furthermore, this synergy had a marked effect on cell proliferation as well (from 1.96 ± 0.4 × 10$^5$ live control cells on day 3 to 0.83 ± 0.19 × 10$^5$ live cells treated with Ato and Idarubicin, $p < 0.0001$, Figure 3B and Supplementary Table 4). We also investigated if this combination would work in Sup-B15 and Sup-PR cells (Supplementary Figure 1F for IC$_{50}$ values). Interestingly, whilst the two drugs demonstrated only additive effect on Sup-B15 cells (Figure 3C, synergy score of 3.6), Sup-PR proved more sensitive to this double treatment with a synergy score of 16.7 (Figure 3C).

Glucocorticoid (GC) resistance is believed to be one of the major causes of relapse in the clinic. Since we found that Sup-PR cells remain sensitive to Ato, we then investigated if Ato can resensitise these cells to Prednisolone. Therefore, we examined Ato-Pred double combination in Sup-B15 and Sup-PR cells. What we discovered was that whilst this combination in the parental Sup-B15 cells may even prove to be counterproductive (antagonistic ZIP score of −14.2—Figure 3D), this treatment had an additive effect on Sup-PR cells (score of 7—Figure 3D).

Validation of the Effect of Atovaquone in Patient Samples

Lastly, we attempted to validate our findings in a small number of patient samples available from the Oncohaematology Unit at the University Clinic of Paediatrics (Figures 4A–D and Supplementary Table 5). Importantly, we found that Ato is capable of reducing growth in primary lymphoblasts at 30 µM concentrations (Figure 4A), which are lower than the maximum achievable plasma levels in vivo (see Discussion). Furthermore, we validated that Ato is capable of targeting mitochondrial respiration and ATP production in patient samples (Figures 4B, C and Supplementary Table 6). Lastly, we investigated the effect of combining Ato and Idarubicin in these samples. Interestingly, we found that this combination elicits a more variable response between the samples ranging from antagonistic (in one sample) to additive (in two samples), and synergistic (in one sample) (Figure 4D).

DISCUSSION

In summary, we have investigated the anti-proliferative activity of Atovaquone on two established B-cell precursor cALL cell
lines—REH and Sup-B15, as well as a Prednisolone-resistant sub-clone of Sup-B15. We found that Ato elicited a very similar effect on mitochondrial respiration and ATP production in all three cell lines at concentrations of ~30 μM. These findings are in line with previous in vitro studies [10 μM in breast cancer (26) and cervical cancer cells (36), 30 μM in colorectal, pharyngeal and lung cancer cell lines (27, 37), ~15–50 μM for glioblastoma cells (38), 20 μM in retinoblastoma (28) and thyroid cancer cells (39)]. In particular, Ato reduced the levels of basal and maximal respiration, decreased the respiratory capacity of the cells and ATP production as measured by the Seahorse Mito Stress test. Even if we could carry out these experiments on a limited number of patient samples, we observed that Ato can induce similar changes of mitochondrial respiration in primary lymphoblasts as well.

GSEA and GO analyses in REH cells confirmed some of the phenotypic observations in our study—alterations in cell metabolism, induction of apoptosis, and cell cycle arrest...
the potential effect of Ato on this pathway was beyond the scope of our study. Nevertheless, one of the most downregulated genes we found (log2 = −2.1, adjusted p-value = 0.0018) is PTEN and GSEA from our RNA-seq showed significant and strong downregulation of mTORC1 genes (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Figure 3). Of note, there are links between mTORC1 and the MYC oncoprotein, as well as between overexpression of MYC and shifts in cALL metabolism (9). Therefore, it is noteworthy that our GSEA also revealed downregulation of MYC targets in Ato-treated cells (Supplementary Figure 3).

Ato has been shown to alleviate hypoxia and sensitize cancer cells to radiotherapy (27). Our GSEA analysis highlights alterations of hypoxia as one of the top hits and indeed we see downregulation of the direct HIF1-α target VEGFα after treatment (Supplementary Table 2). There is evidence that radiation therapy for high-risk T-ALL patients may reduce rates of relapse (43). Given that hypoxia is a major factor for the efficacy of radiation therapy, that Ato reduces hypoxia in pre-clinical models (27, 44) and in our gene set enrichment analysis (Figure 1), Ato treatment may be worthy of further investigation in this clinical context of B-cell cALL too.

The combination of Ato with Idarubicin is another interesting aspect of our study. There is an independent confirmation of the synergy between Ato and anthracyclins coming from work on thyroid cancer cells. Lv and colleagues found that Ato and Doxorubicin are an effective strategy working through ETC and consequent STAT3 inhibition (39). Of note, Ato and Idarubicin were most effective in REH cells and Sup-PR cells, both of which are proliferating faster than Sup-B15. Therefore, it may be interesting to test this combination on matched patient samples at diagnosis and relapse, but this is beyond the scope of our current work.

GC-resistance is believed to be the main cause of drug-failure and relapse in cALL (45). Therefore, it was interesting to find that Sup-PR cells remain sensitive to Ato and that there was an additive effect of Ato and Prednisolone in this GC-resistant line, but not in the parental cells. Investigating the molecular mechanisms of resistance (e.g. why Sup-PR cells have significantly lower oxygen consumption rates than Sup-B15 cells—Figure 2) and of re-sensitisation to Prednisolone by Ato was another important aspect which fell out of the scope of our study. However, the effectiveness of Ato alone and in combination with Idarubicin or Prednisolone in GC-resistant cells is worthy of further pre-clinical investigations with matched samples and may add another context to a potential clinical trial.

Importantly, there are several key points related to the patient samples’ data and the potential clinical applicability of this study that need to be addressed. Due to the small number of available patient samples, which all belong to the most common type of cALL and its most frequent molecular subtype, one of the main limitations of our work is the lack of depth and representativeness of different entities within this heterogeneous disease. Even though the experimental data with these four patient samples cannot be over-interpreted and directly translated to the clinic, we show as a proof-of-concept that Ato can hit its target in primary lymphoblasts as well. We observe significant decrease in mitochondrial respiration and ATP production (Figure 4B and

(Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 2), and pointed to other interesting concomitant changes that may be due to Ato. Several papers from the past 5 years have investigated the anti-cancer effect of Ato. Most of them have focussed on inhibition of OxPhos, which we confirm herein as well, whilst two publications show that Ato may work through targeting the AKT–mTOR pathway (28). The interplay between mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and mitochondrial function and cell metabolism is well-documented (40). Activation of the AKT–mTOR pathway, which is altered in up to one third of children with T-ALL (41), may lead to considerable changes in cell metabolism and upregulation of glycolysis (4, 42). Investigating the potential effect of Ato on this pathway was beyond the scope of
Supplementary Table 6), similarly to what we have in REH, Sup-B15, and Sup-PR cells. Nevertheless, the effect of Ato on cell viability in the patient samples (Figure 4A) is not as prominent as in the cell lines. This modest decrease in cell proliferation, however, may be due to either sub-optimal concentrations of Ato or to the very slow cell division of cultured primary cells, which would not allow for the detection of potential cell cycle arrest (as seen in the fast proliferating REH cells) and would also account for the low OCR readings especially after Ato treatment. We also found that the combination of Ato and Idarubicin in patient samples elicits a range of responses—from antagonistic to synergistic. Besides potential differences in proliferation rates ex vivo, which may account for the lack of uniformity in the response to Ato and Idarubicin, the small number of patient samples does not allow for any speculations other than that there may be unidentified molecular and/or cytogenetic factors which may determine either advantageous or adverse results of the double treatments. Therefore, a larger cohort of patients including relapsed samples may be worth testing.

Lastly, the IC50 concentration we found for our cell lines of 30 μM (~11 μg/ml) (Supplementary Figures 1A, E) and the concentrations of maximum 90 μM (~33 μg/ml) that we managed to test on some of the patient samples are within the clinically recorded levels for Ato. The steady-state plasma concentration in children achieved by daily administration of 30 mg/kg for 12 days is 37.1 ± 10.9 μg/ml (as described in the prescribing information for Mepron®). Other literature data suggests similar values—Cmax and Cmin of respectively 40.5 ± 12.2 and 34.0 ± 11.7 μg/ml (of 30 mg/kg daily) (46). Furthermore, there is an interesting finding coming from a retrospective study of over 200 patients with AML who had undergone bone marrow transplantation. What Xiang et al. found was that administration of Ato for nearly two months as a part of standard anti-infection prophylaxis led to significant reduction of relapse rates (13 vs 23%, p = 0.039) (30). Therefore, considering the number of robust pre-clinical studies in other cancers, the good safety data for Ato and the clear effect on proliferation due to ETC inhibition, it is tempting to speculate...
that Ato would be worthy of further pre-clinical in vivo investigation and perhaps clinical trials as the ongoing one on Ato and radiation therapy (NCT02628080).

In conclusion, we present novel data demonstrating the anti-leukaemic effect of Atovaquone, the mechanism of action of the drug and the concomitant gene expression changes that may underpin the phenotypic changes observed. Furthermore, our results suggest that this FDA-approved ETC inhibitor may have additive or synergistic effect together with Idarubicin. GC-resistant cells may be re-sensitised to Prednisolone when treated with Atovaquone. Therefore, the findings of this study may present a promising new therapeutic approach targeting cell metabolism, which may be worthy of further investigation.

FIGURE 4 | Atovaquone shows efficacy in primary cALL lymphoblasts. (A) Isolated patient cells were cultured for 3 days with 30 μM Atovaquone, and cell viability was assessed by MTT assay. Percentage live cells normalised to control (100% viable) were calculated for each sample and plotted as shown. (B) A representative Mito Stress Test graph from patient #2 showing the effect of 30 μM Atovaquone on mitochondrial respiration. (C) Analysis of Mito Stress Tests for basal and maximal respiration as well as for ATP production as shown. The data from the highest tested concentration in each patient sample (60 μM for LeuP1 and 90 μM for LeuP2, 3 and 4) was analysed. *p ≤ 0.05, paired Student’s t-test. (D) SynergyFinder analysis of the combinatorial effect of Atovaquone (30 and 60 μM) and Idarubicin (0.0008 and 0.0016 μM) in four patient samples as annotated. LeuP, Leukaemia patient.
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