Thermodynamic model of the macroscopically ordered exciton state
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We explain the experimentally observed instability of cold exciton gases and formation of a macroscopically ordered exciton state (MOES) in terms of a thermodynamic model accounting for the phase fluctuations of the condensate. We show that the temperature dependence of the excitation energy exhibits fundamental scaling behavior with the signature of the second order phase transition.

PACS numbers: Valid PACS appear here

Long-range order and order parameter build up are key features of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in gases [1]. All these features have been experimentally observed in cold gases of indirect excitons in coupled semiconductor quantum wells (CQW) [2]. Indirect excitons are formed by electrons and holes confined in separate layers of CQW structure. Being bosonic quasi-particles, excitons have quantum degeneracy temperatures several orders of magnitude higher than atoms [3], thus they are very attractive for studies of BEC.

Recently, the emergence of extended spontaneous coherence was observed at low temperatures in a gas of indirect excitons cooled down to 100 mK [4, 5]. High et. al. performed shift-interferometry measurements of the off-diagonal one body density in the external ring of the exciton photoluminescence pattern. The ring is formed at the boundary between electron-rich and hole-rich regions [6]. Since this boundary is far from the laser excitation spot, the ring is a source of cold excitons [7, 8]. The experimentally observed photoluminescence pattern changes drastically at the temperatures below 2K. The ring fragments into regularly spaced beads of high PL intensity, having macroscopic sizes (Fig. 1). Off-diagonal one-body density appears to be extended well beyond the thermal de Broglie wavelength in the vicinity of one bead [4].

Levitov and co-workers [9] explained the transition of the exciton system into this new macroscopically ordered state (MOES) in terms of a classical transport theory. An alternative explanation based on the existence of attractive van der Vaals interactions between the excitons which might lead to formation of the islands of electron-hole liquid was proposed simultaneously in [10]. Soon after Paraskevov et. al. [11] pointed out that both theories neglect the repulsive dipole-dipole exciton interaction which is expected to play an important role in the process of the bead formation [12]. Levitov’s system of coupled non-linear diffusion equations supplemented with the drift term due to Coulomb interactions was subsequently studied numerically in [13].

The long-range order build up was explicitly taken into account in [11]. They showed that the spatially nonuniform distribution of the condensate density can be obtained as a standing wave type solution of the quasi-one dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii equation. However, the recent studies [4] have shown that there is no coherence between different beads. The MOES is a fragmented condensate. Similarly to atomic gases, two-body interactions are expected to play significant role in the exciton condensates yielding to a rich phenomenology [15, 17].

In this context, there is an apparent need of theoretical description of a system of multiple condensates (or a fragmented condensate) periodically arranged on a ring. Here we find the critical conditions for condensate fragmentation and describe the ground state of the system by means of a thermodynamical consideration taking into account repulsive interactions between the excitons. We assume that the ring-like cloud of classical excitons undergoes both condensation and fragmentation into beads with lowering of temperature. The fragmentation, being purely quantum phenomena, can be regarded as the manifestation of the fundamental uncertainty principle for the phase and the particles number considered as canonically conjugated variables. Our model shows that formation of a fragmented condensate is driven by spatial fluctuations of the phase of the order parameter and the localization is achieved due to the increase of the entropy of the system. The model reproduces the experimental dependencies of the number of beads on the pumping power and of the energy of excitons on temperature.

At the densities achieved in the experiments on MOES [4, 5], excitons can be viewed as weakly interacting bosons [2, 15] and treated in the mean field approximation regardless the underlying band structure [18]. The macroscopic charge separation can produce an in-plane confinement for the excitons in the radial direction [19], while the repulsive electrostatic interaction between the neighboring beads provides the azimuthal autolocalizing potential. Thus each bead can be considered as a two-dimensional condensate in a trap. The latter we will assume to be of a harmonic type. The relevant energy scale of the problem will be provided by the critical temperature $T_c$ of the BEC in a trap which is determined by the total number of excitons in the ring $N$ [20] fixed by the gate voltage and the
The chemical potential. The adiabatic topological transformation of a bead, shown in the inset, conserves the number of particles and the energy of the system (see the main text).

laser excitation power [3]. Note that the healing length characterizing the variation of the exciton density at the edges of the condensate [1] is much smaller than the size of a bead [SI] and all quantities can be calculated in the Thomas-Fermi limit, neglecting the kinetic energy term in the Gross-Pitaevskii equation. In this case the density profile of each domain takes the form of the inverted paraboloid and the fragmentation of the condensate may be achieved by an adiabatic transition [14] conserving its potential energy $E$ and chemical potential $\mu$, which is set by the external reservoir. This essentially topological result can be understood from the schematic illustration in the inset of Fig. 1. The height of each paraboloid is fixed by the chemical potential according to the relation $\rho_{\text{max}} = \mu/V_0$, where $V_0$ is the interaction constant [20]. We assume the transverse diameter of the base ellipse $w$ (the ring width) to be a constant in the first approximation. Now, if one devides the conjugated diameter of the initial paraboloid (dashed line) into $n$ parts and replaces it by $n$ similar paraboloids, then the integrals $\int d^2r \rho$ and $\int d^2r \rho^2$ are conserved. The former (volume of the figure) is simply the total number of excitons $N$ and the latter, according to the 2D virial relation [SI], is related to the energy of a condensate by $E = V_0 \int d^2r \rho^2$.

Though the beads can in principle have different sizes (and, consequently, contain different number of excitons), the ground state of the system is expected to have a symmetric shape (Fig. 1), since this shape minimizes the kinetic energy in the small overlapping region between the neighboring condensates [1, 21]. Thus, the fragmented condensate will be macroscopically ordered in the thermodynamic equilibrium. Below we argue that the fluctuations of such density distribution are negligible and show that the transition of the condensate into this "number squeezed" configuration is driven by spontaneous breaking-up of the phase of the condensate, that increases the entropy of the system.

The transition from a coherent to an incoherent regime associated with the increase of the spatial fluctuations of the global phase of the condensate can be conveniently studied by means of quantized Josephson Hamiltonian, which in the $\Phi$-representation takes the form

$$\hat{H}_J = -\frac{1}{4}E_C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Phi_i^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $\Phi_i$ is the phase of the $i$-th fragment, $E_C = 2\mu/\partial N_0$ is an interaction parameter calculated at $N_0 = N/n$ and $n$ is the number of fragments. The reduced form (1) corresponds to the limit of no coherence between the beads. The eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (1) are plain waves

$$\Psi_{\{k_i\}} \sim \exp\left(i \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \Phi_i\right)$$

for set of integer values $\{k_i\}$, so that the ground state function is a constant, revealing that the phases of the beads are distributed in a random way. According to the uncertainty relation arising from the quantization of the Josephson equations [22, 23] the deviations $k_i$ of the number of particles in coherent state in each site from their equilibrium values $N_0 = N/n$ are instead vanishingly small - the fragments have well-defined number of excitons (Fock state).

The set of the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (1) is given by

$$E_{\{k_i\}} = -\frac{E_C}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i^2 \quad (2)$$

and determines the spectrum of elementary excitations associated with the phase dynamics of the condensate. One can see that the break-up of the global phase leads to the appearence of new degrees of freedom. The partition function of the system can be factorized and it takes the form

$$Z_{\Phi} = \sum_{\{k_i\}} e^{-\beta E_{\{k_i\}}} = \left(\sum_{k} e^{-\beta E_k}\right)^n, \quad (3)$$

where $E_k = E_C k^2/4$. Using Eq. (3) one can straightforwardly evaluate [SI] the entropy

$$S_{\Phi} = \frac{nkB}{2} \left[1 + \ln\left(\frac{4\pi T N}{\eta T_c n}\right)\right] \quad (4)$$

and the energy

$$E_{\Phi} = \frac{nkB T}{2} \quad (5)$$

FIG. 1. Schematic view of the exciton density profile. Excitons (blue) are created on the boundary between electron- and hole-ritch regions (green and red). In the Thomas-Fermi limit the condensate density profile takes the form of the inverted paraboloid having the height $\rho_{\text{max}} = \mu/V_0$ fixed by the chemical potential. The adiabatic topological transformation of a bead, shown in the inset, conserves the number of particles and the energy of the system (see the main text).
of the system due to the excitations \(2\), where we have substituted \(E_C = \mu/N_0\) holding in the 2D Thomas-Fermi limit \([SI]\). The parameter \(\eta \equiv \mu/k_BT_c\) characterizes the strength of interactions as discussed below (see Eq. \(8\)). Substituting the expressions \(4\) and \(5\) to the canonical potential \(F_\phi = E_\phi - TS_\phi\) one can see that the latter decreases as function of the number of beads \(n\) while \(n \ll N\). Therefore, an unfragmented state is thermodynamically unstable and the ring will irreversibly break up decreasing as function of the number of beads \(n\) while \(n \ll N\). Thus, an unfragmented state is thermodynamically unstable and the ring will irreversibly break up.

The result \(7\) can be directly compared with the experimental data (squares) using Eq. \(4\), where we substitute \(N_{\text{con}} = N(1 - t^2)\), \(N = \beta P\) for the total number of excitons as a function of the excitation power \(P\). We take \(\beta = 4150 \mu W^{-1}\) which corresponds to the average exciton density in a bead \(\bar{\rho} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) and gives a theoretical estimate \([SI]\) for \(T_c\) close to 4.5 K observed experimentally (see Fig. 3). \(T_c\) is assumed to be \(P\)-independent providing that the ring radius increases linearly with \(P\), as indeed observed experimentally (see the inset). The bath temperature \(T = 2\) K. From the fitting we deduce \(x = 3.2\) (see the main text).

The result \(4\) can be directly compared with the experimental data on the dependence of the number of beads on the pumping intensity \(P\). Following Snoke et al. \(20\) we will assume the total mean number of excitons in the steady state depending linearly on the laser excitation power at the fixed gate voltage. We argue that if, in addition, the ring radius also depends linearly on \(P\), then the critical temperature \(T_c\) would be \(P\)-independent \([SI]\). Linear dependence of the ring radius on the pumping intensity has been indeed observed experimentally (see the inset in Fig. 3) and explained theoretically within the kinetic model of the ring formation \(27\). The result of the fitting using the formula \(7\) is presented in Fig. 4. We find \(x \sim 1\) that is consistent with an estimate for the quantum pressure done in \(21\).

So far we assumed sufficiently low bath temperature, so that one could neglect thermal depletion of the condensate and temperature dependence of the chemical potential. Now we are going to extend our model to higher temperatures in order to explain the nonmonotonic temperature dependence of the exciton energy observed in \(12\). The crucial parameter of the problem will be the ratio \(\eta\) between the value of the chemical potential calculated using the Thomas-Fermi approximation at \(T = 0\) and the critical temperature \(T_c\) for noninteracting particles \(28\). It can be expressed as \([SI]\)

\[
\eta = \sqrt{\frac{\pi m V_0}{6 \hbar^2}}. \tag{8}
\]

The value of the interaction constant \(V_0\) can be estimated using the well-known plate capacitor formula corrected by a factor dependent on the distance \(d\) between the centers of the coupled quantum wells \(30\). For \(d = 12\) nm we obtain \(\eta = 1.6\).

The total energy of the system \(E\) at \(T \leq T_c\) is a sum of the condensate energy \(E_{\text{con}}\) and the energy of uncondensed excitons (thermal component) \(E_{\text{th}}\). The energy
of the condensate can be calculated by integrating the thermodynamic relation
\[
\frac{\partial E_{\text{con}}}{\partial N_{\text{con}}} = \mu - T \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial T},
\]
The temperature dependence of the chemical potential \(\mu\) in the first approximation can be obtained by substituting the estimate for the number of excitons in the condensate \(N_{\text{con}} = N(1 - t^2)\) obtained in the non-interacting limit into the 2D Thomas-Fermi expression [SI]
\[
\mu(t) = k_B T_c \eta \left( \frac{N_{\text{con}}}{N} \right)^{1/2},
\]
where \(t = T/T_c\) is the reduced temperature. One finds
\[
\frac{E_{\text{con}}}{N k_B T_c} = 2\eta (1 - t^2)^{1/2}. \tag{10}
\]
In what concerns the uncondensed excitons, at \(T \leq T_c\) they can be treated as free particles propagating in the effective mean field potential \(V_{\text{eff}}(x, y) - \mu(t) = V_{\text{ext}}(x, y) - \mu(t)\), which coincides with the trapping potential \(V_{\text{ext}}\) outside the condensate and is drastically changed inside where it becomes repulsive [31]. One can calculate the energy of the thermal component using the Bose functions [1]. Using the expression (9) for \(\mu(t)\) one can find
\[
\frac{E_{\text{th}}}{N k_B T_c} = \frac{2}{\zeta(2)} t^3 g_3[\exp(-\eta t^{-1}(1 - t^2)^{1/2})], \tag{11}
\]
where \(g_3(z)\) is a Bose function, in which the chemical potential \(\mu\) is replaced by \((V_{\text{eff}}(x, y) - \mu(t))\). Summing the results (10) and (11) we find the total energy of the system below \(T_c\):
\[
\frac{E}{N k_B T_c} = \frac{2}{\zeta(2)} t^3 g_3[\exp(-\eta t^{-1}(1 - t^2)^{1/2})]+2\eta(1 - t^2)^{1/2}. \tag{12}
\]

Above \(T_c\), the system is very dilute and can be considered as an ideal gas placed into the confining potential \(V_{\text{ext}}\). Following the general rules of statistical mechanics we derive the total energy of the ring for \(T > T_c\) in the form
\[
\frac{E}{N k_B T_c} = \frac{2}{\zeta(2)} t^3 g_3(z), \tag{13}
\]
where \(z\) is a root of the equation \(g_3(z) = \zeta(2) t^{-2}\).

Eqs. (12) and (13) are expressed in terms of only two parameters \((\eta \text{ and } t)\), which reflects the fundamental scaling behavior exhibited by the system in the limit of large \(N\). The scaling behaviour of condensates is also well-known for the 3D case [31]. The temperature dependence of the exciton energy in units of \(k_B T_c\) for different values of \(\eta\) is plotted in Fig. 3. It shows the non-monotonic behaviour with a minimum corresponding to the critical temperature. The best agreement with the experimental data is achieved at \(\eta = 1.6\), which fits excellently to the value of \(\eta\) calculated above from the microscopic model [30]. Note, that the specific heat at constant volume \(C_V = \partial E/\partial T\) exhibits a discontinuity at \(T = T_c\). This is the signature of the second order phase transition.

To conclude, we have presented a thermodynamical model of formation of a macroscopically ordered exciton state. It shows that the transition of a ring-like exciton condensate into the number squeezed fragmented state is driven by spatial fluctuations of the phase of the condensate. The steady state of the system is determined by the balance between the kinetic energy and the entropy. Minimizing the free energy yields the number of the beads on the ring which depends on the reduced temperature following the Arrhenius activation law. The method allows tracing the exciton energy as a function of temperature as well. Both dependencies exhibit the characteristic scaling behaviour. The excellent agreement of the calculated exciton energies with the experimental data [12] confirms aposteriori the presence of the second order phase transition in the exciton system.
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