Prevalence of cardiotropic viruses in adults with clinically suspected myocarditis in South Africa
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To determine the prevalence and types of viral pathogens in the myocardium of patients presenting with clinically suspected myocarditis in South Africa.

Method This is a prospective cross-sectional study. Consecutive adults presenting to a single tertiary centre in South Africa between August 2017 and January 2021 who fulfilled the European Society of Cardiology’s diagnostic criteria for clinically suspected myocarditis and who had undergone the appropriate investigations, including cardiac MRI (CMR) and endomyocardial biopsy (EMB), were included.

Results One hundred and two patients with clinically suspected myocarditis were enrolled. Acute myocarditis (AM) was confirmed by CMR or EMB in 82 (80.39%) patients. Viral genomes were detected by PCR in EMB specimens of 50 patients with AM. Parvovirus B19 (PVB19) was the most frequently detected virus, in 37 as monoinfection and 4 as coinfection. This was followed by Epstein-Barr virus (n=6), human herpesvirus 6 (n=2) and human bocavirus (n=1). PVB19 was also detected in 9 patients with no evidence of AM on CMR or EMB.

Conclusion Viral myocarditis is the most common form of myocarditis in South Africa. Local viral prevalence appears to be similar to those of the developed world. The clinical significance and pathogenic role of PVB19 remains questioned, and its local background prevalence will have to be further investigated.

INTRODUCTION

Myocarditis is defined as an inflammatory disease of the heart muscle, diagnosed by established histological, immunological and immunohistochemical (IHC) criteria.1 Viral infections are the most common cause of myocarditis in North America and Europe.1–4 The most common aetiology of myocarditis in Africa, and particularly South Africa, is unknown.

The developed world has experienced a shift in causative viral pathogens isolated from patients with myocarditis in recent years. Enteroviruses, including coxsackie virus, were the most prevalent between the 1950s and 1990s, followed by adenovirus in the late 1990s.1–5 Parvovirus B19 (PVB19) and human herpesvirus-6 (HHV6) have been increasingly detected in endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) of patients with acute myocarditis over the past 20 years, and these are now the most commonly identified viruses in patients with viral myocarditis.1,6 The reason behind this shift is unclear but is thought to be related to the routine evaluation of a broader repertoire of viruses, along with regional climate differences influencing the...
seasonal variation of viral infections. The aetiological roles and clinical significance of PVB19 and HHV6 in myocarditis, however, remain debated.

The viral prevalence in patients with myocarditis in both South Africa and Africa as a whole is also unknown. A recent South African study found that Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) was the most common virus detected on EMB specimens of patients with HIV associated cardiomyopathy, enterovirus the most common in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy, and both enterovirus and adenovirus were the most frequently detected in heart transplant recipients. PVB19 was relatively uncommon and only isolated in 12%–18% of these patient groups. However, in this cohort, acute myocarditis was present in only 21% of patients with HIV-associated cardiomyopathy, 18% of heart transplant recipients, and none in those with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy.

This prospective study aims to determine the prevalence and types of viral pathogens in the EMB of patients presenting with clinically suspected myocarditis in a tertiary centre in Cape Town, South Africa.

METHODS

Population and study design

This is a single-centre prospective cross-sectional study. Consecutive patients over the age of 18 years presenting to Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa between August 2017 and January 2021 who fulfilled the European Society of Cardiology’s (ESC) diagnostic criteria for clinically suspected myocarditis were enrolled. All potential patients underwent the full panel of recommended investigations, including cardiac MRI (CMR) and EMB. In brief, myocarditis was clinically suspected if patients presented with symptoms compatible with myocarditis, such as chest pain or symptoms of heart failure, accompanied by at least one additional finding on investigations supporting the diagnosis of myocarditis. This includes newly developed electrocardiographic (ECG) changes such as ST-T wave changes, atrioventricular block or ventricular tachyarrhythmias, evidence of cardiomyocyte necrosis in the form of elevated cardiac troponins, global or regional dysfunction of the left or right ventricle on transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) or CMR, and findings of oedema or a typical pattern of late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) on CMR. Myocarditis was also considered in patients who present with symptoms in keeping with an acute coronary syndrome without evidence of obstructive epicardial coronary artery disease or recent plaque rupture on coronary angiography.

All patients underwent a full clinical evaluation. Routine laboratory studies were performed which included a full blood count, renal function, high sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT) and C reactive protein (CRP). Additional laboratory studies were requested at the discretion of the attending physician. All patients also underwent a standard 12-lead ECG, TTE and CMR per standardised protocols described below. Coronary angiography was performed to exclude any significant epicardial coronary artery disease, defined as ≥50% stenosis in a single coronary artery segment. Right ventricular EMB was performed on all patients.

Transthoracic echocardiography

Comprehensive functional and structural 2-dimensional TTE were performed on all patients with Vivid S7 or Vivid E95 (GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA). Measurements were performed in accordance with the British Society of Echocardiography guidelines.

Cardiac MRI

This was done in accordance with recommendations as set out in the Journal of the American College of Cardiology’s white paper on CMR in myocarditis and 2018 update of CMR criteria for myocardial inflammation, as well as the Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance’s 2013 CMR protocol update. All imaging was done at the Tygerberg Hospital using a 1.5T field strength magnet (Magnetom Avanto; Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Erlangen, Germany). CMR analysis was carried out using commercially available software (CMR42, Circle CVI, Calgary, Canada).

In short: Standard long axis-axis and a short-axis stack of breath-held, retrospectively gated, steady-state free-precession (SSFP) cine images were obtained. Post-processing and image analysis were carried out using commercially available software (CMR42, Circle CVI, Calgary, Canada). Endocardial and epicardial left ventricular (LV) borders were traced in short axis at end-diastole and end-systole to determine LV volume, mass and functional parameters. Papillary muscles were excluded from the blood pool. Quantitative analysis of Short Tau Inversion Recovery images was performed following region of interest (ROI) contouring in short axis at basal, mid and apical LV level. A skeletal muscle (serratus anterior) ROI was manually drawn in the same slice for calculation of myocardial to skeletal muscle signal intensity ratios (SIR). Precontrast native T1 mapping images were obtained using a shortened Modified Look-Locker Inversion sequence. Standard T2-mapping was performed using a balanced SSFP readout sequence preceded by a multinomial T2-preparation module (Siemens Aera 1.5T).

Early and LGE images were obtained with a T1-weighted, segmented, inversion recovery sequence performed at least 10 min after contrast administration. We used a standardised 16-segment model of the LV as outlined by the American Heart Association for regional assessment and to describe abnormalities. A gadolinium based contrast agent (Gadovist, Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany) was administered at a standard cardiac dose of 0.2 mL/kg. In patients with an estimated glomerular filtration of less than 30 mL/min/1.732 m², precluding the use of gadolinium-based contrast, native T1 time was used for assessing myocardial fibrosis.

CMR case definitions of acute myocarditis and CMR parameter analysis were based on the Lake Louise criteria.
Endomyocardial biopsy

Right ventricular septal biopsies were performed on all patients via femoral venous access with a modified Cordis bioptome (High Tech Medical, Johannesburg, South Africa) advanced through a 7 French Extra Back-Up (EBU) V.3.0 guiding catheter (Medtronic, Minneapolis, USA) under real-time fluoroscopic and TTE guidance. At least six specimens were taken from different sections of the septum to improve sensitivity. Three to four specimens were fixed in 4% buffered formalin for histological and IHC analysis, while the remaining samples were transported in 0.9% saline for viral genome detection by PCR.

Histopathological and IHC analysis

Specimens were assessed by a single anatomical pathologist at the National Health Laboratory Services. Light microscopy was performed on H&E-stained slides, along with IHC testing using anti-CD3 (T lymphocytes), anti-CD163 (macrophages) and anti-HLA-DR to define the types of immune cells. Additional stains such as Congo red was performed at the discretion of the pathologist. Myocarditis was diagnosed by either the Dallas histological criteria or the WHO/International Society and Federation of Cardiology (ISFC) IHC criteria. Electron microscopy was performed on specimens fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde.

Virological testing of samples

To investigate viruses associated with myocarditis, a combination of multiplex and singleplex PCR assays were used. EMB material was split into two, for nucleic acid extraction, respectively with the Qiagen RNA easy Mini kit, for RNA extraction, and QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), for DNA extraction. To detect Adenoviruses and Influenza viruses DNA and RNA was tested with the Anyplex RV16 assay (Seegene, Seoul, South Korea); this assay also included parainfluenza viruses, human coronaviruses (229E, NL63 and OC43), human enteroviruses, respiratory syncytial viruses and human bocavirus. Herpesviruses, including human herpesvirus 1, 2, human cytomegalovirus, EBV and HHV6, were tested for with the Seeplex Meningitis-V1 ACE Detection assay (Seegene) from the DNA extract. In addition, DNA was tested with the PVB19 R-gene assay (bioMérieux, Marcy-l’Étoile, France) and to increase the sensitivity for human enteroviruses RNA was tested using a homebrew assay as previously described.

Definition of myocarditis

For the purpose of the current study, myocarditis was diagnosed if either the original or updated LLC was met on CMR, or the Dallas histological criteria or WHO/ISFC IHC criteria fulfilled on EMB.

Statistical analysis

Normality of data was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables were expressed as absolute numbers with associated percentages, mean and SD if normally distributed, or median and IQR if not normally distributed. Categorical variables were expressed as absolute numbers and percentages. Comparisons between groups were done by the use of Kruskal-Wallis test for non-normally distributed continuous variables and Student’s t-test for normally distributed variables. The \( \chi^2 \) and Fisher’s exact test were used for comparison of categorical variables. A two-tailed \( p<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Patient and public involvement

It was not possible to involve patients or the public in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination of plans of this research study.

RESULTS

Between August 2017 and January 2021, 102 patients who presented to Tygerberg Hospital fulfilled the ESC diagnostic criteria for clinically suspected myocarditis (figure 1). Acute myocarditis was confirmed in 82 patients: 40 (48.78%) on CMR only, 15 (18.29%) on EMB only and 27 (32.93%) on both CMR and EMB. The baseline demographic data of patients with confirmed acute myocarditis and those without acute myocarditis are summarised and compared in table 1. Patients with confirmed myocarditis were significantly younger and had significantly higher...
median CRP and hsTnT at presentation. Those without myocarditis had significantly larger left ventricles on TTE.

Viral genomes were detected by PCR in 50 (60.98%) patients with confirmed acute myocarditis. The prevalence of viral genomes detected in patients with confirmed myocarditis and without myocarditis are summarised in Table 2.

### Patient characteristics

The mean age of the cohort of virus-positive patients was 43.14 years. Thirty-one patients were male. Eleven patients were HIV positive with a mean CD4 count of 262. The findings of laboratory investigations, TTE, CMR and EMB are summarised in Table 3.

There were no statistically significant differences between the mean age, median CRP and hsTnT at presentation, or mean LV ejection fraction (LVEF) and LV end diastolic diameter (LVEDD) between the virus-positive and virus-negative patients with confirmed acute myocarditis. However, those with viral myocarditis were significantly more likely to have evidence of acute myocarditis on EMB when compared with the virus-negative patients.

### Parvovirus B19

Forty-one patients with confirmed myocarditis had PVB19 detected on EMB either as monoinfection (37) or coinfection (4). Nine patients with PVB19 detected on EMB had no evidence of myocarditis on CMR or EMB (Table 4).

There were no statistically significant differences between the mean age, mean LVEF, mean LVEDD, or the median CRP between those with and without confirmed myocarditis at presentation. Those with confirmed myocarditis however, had statistically significantly higher hsTnT at presentation when compared with those without.

### DISCUSSION

The findings of this study confirm that viral myocarditis is the most common form of acute myocarditis in an African population presenting with clinically suspected myocarditis. The range of viral pathogens isolated on EMB of patients with suspected myocarditis at our centre is similar to those reported in North America and Europe.

Although enteroviruses and adenoviruses were considered the most important causes of viral myocarditis in the 1950s–1990s, there had been a shift in viral pathogens identified over the past 20 years, with PVB19 and HHV6 emerging as the most prevalent viruses isolated. The reason behind this shift remains debated and might involve geographical and temporal epidemiological differences in viral infections, or advances in diagnostic techniques, such as the routine use of PCR and in situ hybridisation, leading to the detection of a much broader range of viral genomes.
range of cardiotropic viruses. Similar to recent studies in the developed world, PVB19 appears to be the predominant viral pathogen in our setting, having been isolated in 41 out of 50 patients with viral myocarditis (82%) as both monoinfection (n=37) or coinfection (n=4). It was surprising that neither enteroviruses nor adenoviruses were detected in our cohort of 102 patients with clinically suspected myocarditis. Whether this truly represents a shift in viral pathogens leading to myocarditis locally is unclear, as there are no previous studies that assessed viral prevalence in a similar patient population.

Our findings are in contrast to those of the only other local study to assess the viral prevalence on EMB specimens. In a small cohort of patients with HIV-associated cardiomyopathy (n=14), idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy (n=8) and heart transplant recipients (n=11); EBV (64%), enterovirus (56%) and both enterovirus (50%) and adenovirus (50%) were found to be the most prevalent viruses respectively. PVB19 was only detected in 12%–18% of patients. Interestingly, a single case of human bocavirus myocarditis was diagnosed in our study. Human bocavirus is a member of the Parvoviridae family first discovered in 2005. It has been detected in individuals of all ages, although it predominantly affects infants of 6–24 months with respiratory tract disease or gastroenteritis. The detection of the virus in surgical myocardial specimens of healthy individuals indicates its cardiotropic features. Its pathogenicity is currently unknown, as it can only be cultured in differentiated human airway epithelial cells and there are no animal models available. To our knowledge, this is the first case of human bocavirus myocarditis confirmed both histologically and by viral PCR on EMB. Several cases of suspected human bocavirus myocarditis have been described, although most

| Table 3 Baseline characteristics of patients with confirmed acute myocarditis (n=82) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Virus-positive (n=50)** | **Virus-negative (n=32)** | **P value** |
| Demographics | | | |
| Age (year) | 43.14±13.39 | 37.59±12.20 | 0.362 |
| Sex, male (n, %) | 31 (62.00) | 23 (71.86) | 0.358 |
| HIV+ (n, %) | 11 (22.00) | 6 (18.75) | 0.723 |
| CD4 (cells/μl) | 262±192 | 354±182 | 0.429 |
| Laboratory investigations | | | |
| White cell count | 10.36 (IQR 8.09–13.13) | 9.29 (IQR 7.28–13.01) | 0.96 |
| CRP (mg/L) | 24.00 (IQR 5.50–71.50) | 16.00 (IQR 6.50–35.00) | 0.241 |
| hsTnT (ng/L) | 326.50 (IQR 46.50–1026.75) | 434.50 (IQR 131.00–925.50) | 0.393 |
| Echocardiographic measurements | | | |
| LVEF (%) | 39.58±13.83 | 40.61±14.24 | 0.972 |
| LVEDD (mm) | 50.74±6.70 | 51.77±6.56 | 0.285 |
| CMR (Lake Louise Criteria) | | | |
| Positive (n, %) | 39 (78.00) | 28 (87.50) | 0.278 |
| EMB (Dallas/IHC Criteria) | | | |
| Positive (n, %) | 30 (60.00) | 12 (37.50) | 0.03 |

CMR, cardiac MRI; CRP, C reactive protein; EMB, endomyocardial biopsy; hsTnT, high sensitivity troponin T; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

| Table 4 Comparison between patients with PVB19 isolated on EMB and evidence of acute myocarditis and those without evidence of acute myocarditis |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **With myocarditis (n=41)** | **Without myocarditis (n=9)** | **P value** |
| Age (year) | 45.32±13.2 | 41.89±9.36 | 0.455 |
| LVEF (%) | 39±14 | 35±12 | 0.455 |
| LVEDD (mm) | 51.17±6.87 | 53.89±5.69 | 0.176 |
| CRP (mg/L) | 22.00 (6.00–72.75) | 4.50 (2.50–50.75) | 0.138 |
| hsTnT (ng/L) | 295.00 (46.00–985.50) | 50.00 (10.00–110.00) | 0.011 |

CRP, C reactive protein; EMB, endomyocardial biopsy; hsTnT, high sensitivity troponin T; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; PVB19, parvovirus B19.
of these were in children and only one adult case.\textsuperscript{16–22} Myocarditis was confirmed histologically in only two of these cases, while viral genome was detected in nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal specimens or in blood, but not in the myocardium.\textsuperscript{18–19}

The clinical relevance and causal role of PVB19 in myocarditis remains controversial and debated, as it had been detected in between 60% and 85% of postmortem subjects and individuals undergoing cardiac surgery without any evidence of myocarditis or cardiomyopathy in German cohorts.\textsuperscript{17,22–25} This suggests that PVB19 might be a mere bystander rather than causal agent in myocarditis. However, the background prevalence of PVB19 appears to vary depending on population studied, as it was detected in only 26% and 44% of postmortem cohorts without histological evidence of myocarditis in the United States and Denmark, respectively, and 44% of an Italian cohort undergoing cardiac surgery.\textsuperscript{24–26}

Primary PVB19 infection usually occurs in childhood and manifests as erythema infectiosum.\textsuperscript{3,27–28} Although infection in most individuals is transient, there is evidence to suggest lifelong persistence of the virus in certain tissue types including liver, synovium and skin.\textsuperscript{6,27–28} This is further supported by the demonstration of the presence of a genotype of PVB19 that had stopped circulating in Europe more than 50 years ago only in specimens obtained from patients born before 1973.\textsuperscript{28} Early studies into myocarditis and dilated cardiomyopathy showed a low prevalence of PVB19 in the myocardium of control subjects, supporting the hypothesis that PVB19 plays an important role in the pathogenesis of myocarditis and dilated cardiomyopathy.\textsuperscript{6} In contrast, more recent studies had shown a very high prevalence in hearts of patients without evidence of myocarditis, suggesting that similar to other tissue, PVB19 might also persist lifelong in the myocardium, and its mere presence might be insufficient to prove a direct causal role in disease.

It has been proposed that only the presence of high copy numbers of PVB19 DNA in the myocardium should be considered as significant and causative of myocarditis, with the current threshold determined to be more than 500 copies/\(\mu\)g DNA.\textsuperscript{3,29} There may, however, be geographical variations in this threshold, as a Dutch group determined by a postmortem study on hearts of patients without myocarditis that more than 250 copies/\(\mu\)g DNA was significant for their population.\textsuperscript{30,31} Of the 50 patients with clinically suspected myocarditis who had PVB19 genomes detected on their EMB, nine had no evidence of myocardial inflammation on CMR or EMB. This supports the theory that PVB19 is a mere bystander rather than causal pathogen. Although there is no guideline-approved therapy for PVB19-associated myocarditis and inflammatory cardiomyopathy, high dose IVIG has been shown in registry data and a single pilot to lead to significant clinical improvement, reduction in myocardial inflammation and improvement in LVEF in these patients.\textsuperscript{3,27} However, the current local cost of a single course of IVIG at the recommended dose is equivalent to 90 months’ supply of heart failure therapy consisting of sacubitril/valsartan, dapagliflozin, carvedilol and spironolactone. It is thus important to further investigate the background prevalence of PVB19 and the viral load threshold for clinical significance in our resource-limited setting.

Limitations

This study was performed in a single centre and its results may not be generalisable to other populations. Although this likely represents the largest cohort of clinically suspected myocarditis patients who have all undergone EMB, the sample size remains relatively small.

CONCLUSION

Viral myocarditis is the predominant form of myocarditis in our centre. The shift in viral pathogens witnessed in the developed world over the past 20 years, from enteroviruses and adenoviruses to PVB19, also appears to have taken place locally. The clinical relevance and pathogenic role of PVB19 in myocarditis in our local population remains questioned, as its presence was demonstrated in hearts of patients with and without myocarditis. Its background prevalence and the viral load threshold for clinical significance in the local population will need to be further investigated.
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