Immunotherapy for the rheumatoid arthritis-associated coronary artery disease: promise and future
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Abstract

Objective: To review the latest progress on the pathogenic mechanism and management of rheumatoid arthritis (RA)-associated coronary artery disease (CAD), and propose advice on future management optimization as well as prospects for research and development of new therapeutic regimen.

Data sources: This study was based on data obtained from PubMed up to May 2019 using various search terms and their combinations, including coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, cardiovascular diseases, RA, rheumatic diseases, treatment, therapy, strategies, immunotherapy, inflammation, and anti-inflammation.

Study selection: All retrieved literature was scrutinized, most relevant articles about the pathogenic mechanism and clinical management, especially anti-inflammatory therapy of RA-associated CAD were reviewed.

Results: RA is an immune-mediated chronic inflammatory disease which has a great social disease burden. In addition to typical arthritic manifestations, RA also affects extra-articular tissues and organs, within which the involvement of the cardiovascular system, especially incorporating CAD, is the leading cause of death for patients with RA. Recently, numerous basic and clinical studies have been carried out on the mechanism of CAD development and progression under the inflammatory cascade of RA. The effect of traditional RA drugs on CAD risk management has been gradually clarified, and more emerging biologic agents are being explored and studied, which have also achieved satisfactory outcomes. Furthermore, with the success of the CANTOS clinical trial, novel anti-inflammatory therapy for the prevention of cardiovascular disease is believed to have a broad prospect.

Conclusions: RA is an independent risk factor for CAD, which mainly results from the underlying inflammatory cascade; therefore, anti-inflammatory therapy, especially the emerging novel biologic drugs, is important for CAD management in patients with RA and may also be a promising approach among the general population.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an immune-mediated chronic inflammatory disease with an annual incidence of approximately 40/100,000 and a global prevalence of 0.24%. In addition to suffering from typical arthritic manifestations, RA also affects extra-articular tissues and organs, within which the involvement of the cardiovascular system, especially incorporating CAD, is the leading cause of death for patients with RA. Several high-profile reviews covering the theme of RA-associated CVD mainly focused on only part of the topic, either the novel anti-inflammatory strategies or the underlying mechanisms. Our review focuses on the research progress regarding both the pathogenic mechanism and management, especially the emerging biologic drugs of RA-associated coronary artery disease (CAD), and provides advice on management optimization and prospects for future studies about novel therapeutic regimen design based on current limitations.

All the retrieved literature was obtained from PubMed up to May 2019 using various search terms and their combinations, including coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, cardiovascular diseases, RA, rheumatic diseases, treatment, therapy, strategies, immunotherapy, inflammation, and anti-inflammation. The literature was reviewed one by one, and only the most relevant articles about the pathogenic mechanism and clinical management, especially anti-inflammatory therapy of RA-associated CAD were extracted.
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RA and CAD

In addition to the considerable impact on social disease burden due to loss of productive capacity,\textsuperscript{[8]} patients with RA also have a 54% higher mortality than the general population, mainly due to the increased risk of CVD, respiratory dysfunction, and infections.\textsuperscript{[9]}

Common RA-associated CVD includes CAD, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral arterial disease, and aortic atherosclerosis. In particular, CAD occurs early and accounts for approximately half of all deaths in RA.\textsuperscript{[10,11]}

The presence of various types of coronary plaques was higher in terms of incidence, the number of involved segments and severity in patients with RA,\textsuperscript{[10]} and a high rate of unstable coronary plaque and increased local inflammation were observed based on autopsy results.\textsuperscript{[12]} Accordingly, the risk of myocardial infarction (MI) was significantly increased in terms of both incidence and case fatality, and the recurrence rate after acute coronary syndrome (ACS) was also elevated.\textsuperscript{[13-15]} The above-mentioned studies consistently indicate that coronary plaques are more prone to occur and tend to progress to a more severe status in RA.

Common risk factors of RA and CAD

Two main common risk factors are smoking and genetic background [Figure 1]. Studies have illustrated that smoking is associated with RA disease activity, response to treatment and the prognosis of associated CAD.\textsuperscript{[16,17]}

RA contributes to CAD-related risk factors

Traditional risk factors for CAD are shown in Figure 1.\textsuperscript{[22]} RA might contribute to these factors,\textsuperscript{[23]} including hypertension,\textsuperscript{[24]} decreased nocturnal blood pressure fall,\textsuperscript{[25]} abnormal lipid metabolism,\textsuperscript{[26]} and diabetes.\textsuperscript{[27]}

High C-reactive protein (CRP) level can promote hyper-

For genetic susceptibility, the HLA-DR4 phenotype has consistently been associated with RA, and the homozygous patients have significantly increased CAD incidence and mortality.\textsuperscript{[18]} However, studies found that those RA-associated single-nucleotide polymorphisms were not associated with the occurrence of CAD in the general population,\textsuperscript{[19]} and increased risk of coronary artery calcification (CAC) in RA is not associated with the genetically regulated atherogenic mechanisms in general population.\textsuperscript{[20]} Thus, the exact shared genetic predisposition remains unclear.

For patients with newly diagnosed RA, the prevalence of CAD was not different from that of the general population before the onset of RA-related symptoms,\textsuperscript{[21]} suggesting that common risk factors might play a relatively limited role in RA-associated CAD.

RA contributes to CAD-related risk factors

Traditional risk factors for CAD are shown in Figure 1. RA might contribute to these factors, including hypertension, decreased nocturnal blood pressure fall, abnormal lipid metabolism, and diabetes. High C-reactive protein (CRP) level can promote hyper-

High C-reactive protein (CRP) level can promote hyper-

Increased levels of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and interleukin-1 (IL-1), which can induce muscle atrophy, and mesenchymal cell migration and differentiation into adipocytes, and hence might lead
to the concentric obesity observed in RA. However, instead of hyperlipidemia, decreased levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and total cholesterol (TC) were observed, and accompanied by the inflammation control with Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors or other biologic agent treatments, such as tocilizumab (TCZ), cholesterol levels were elevated simultaneously. The mechanisms behind such phenomenon remain obscure, but studies have shown that inflammation under RA has a pro-atherogenic change on lipid metabolism profile, including lipoprotein proteome composition alteration and dysfunctions scavenger receptor expression up-regulation, impaired cholesterol efflux, and amplification of foam cell formation. Such changes can, in turn, exacerbate the inflammatory process.

Insulin resistance is related to the extent of inflammation in RA. High levels of IL-6 and CRP will increase the risk of diabetes, while TNF-α can induce insulin resistance by down-regulating the activity of tyrosine kinase of insulin receptor, and after the treatment of infliximab, insulin resistance was improved significantly. Certain disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), including hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), methotrexate (MTX), and IL-1 antagonists, also appear to improve glucose metabolism markers to some extent and have been reported on the successful remission of RA and simultaneous control of diabetes after IL-1 inhibition.

**RA as an independent risk factor for CAD**

**Clinical evidence**

After the adjustment of known CAD risk factors, the incidence of CAD in RA is still 2 to 3 times higher than that in the general population, indicating that RA should be considered as an independent risk factor for CAD.

**Pathogenic mechanism**

Direct promotion of coronary atherosclerosis, together with the induction of coronary microvascular dysfunction, leads to the inadequate supply of myocardial oxygen in patients with RA, and the main incipient steps for these two changes are endothelial dysfunction and immune system dysregulation.

Endothelial dysfunction not only undermines the barrier function of endothelial cells, causing enhancement of local inflammation and atherosclerosis, but also promotes the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which affects vasomotor function, leading to microvascular dysfunction. The immune system dysregulation is mainly characterized by the abnormality of immunocytes, which promotes the alteration of vascular wall matrix, the migration, and proliferation of smooth muscle cells and the formation of foam cells. Furthermore, anomalous immunocytes, especially T and natural killer (NK) cells might inhibit the vasodilating effects of adenosine and acetylcholine while facilitate the vasoconstriction effects of the renin-angiotensin system, leading to microvascular dysfunction.

The endothelial dysfunction and immune system dysregulation are most likely due to inflammatory cascade. The relationship between inflammation and CAD can be most intuitively described by the positive correlation between CRP level or DAS28 score and CAD risk. Patients with RA with high CAC tend to have a higher erythrocyte sedimentation rate as well as more pronounced joint swelling, reflecting the important role of inflammation in the progression of CAD in RA.

However, CRP appears to be only a marker for inflammation rather than a direct participant in CAD development. The exact mechanism may include the following pathways [Figure 2].

**Cytokines**

Cytokines are believed to be one of the most important participants in inflammation, playing a central role in both atherosclerotic plaque formation and progression. For RA, three cytokines, IL-6, IL-1, and TNF-α, are typically considered to be the major players in vascular inflammation. Biopharmaceuticals targeting cytokines, such as IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibody, IL-1β monoclonal antibody, and TNF inhibitor, have been used in the treatment of RA and CAD, and have achieved significant efficacy. These inflammatory factors can cause endothelial dysfunction by reducing the synthesis and tissue utilization of NO. TNF-α can reduce the expression of endothelial cell NO synthase by inhibiting the activity of its promoter, thereby reducing NO synthesis and tissue utilization, promoting CAD. Additionally, cytokines can promote the production of ROS, while ROS can reduce tissue NO utilization by its NO oxidation effect and further increase the production of pro-apoptotic proteins, such as Bim and Bax, by increasing activity of FoxO3a, which together lead to endothelial dysfunction. The hypoxic state of tissue caused by endothelial dysfunction can induce oxidative stress, which in turn produces more ROS. The mechanism of such positive feedback continuously consolidates endothelial dysfunction and promotes the occurrence and deterioration of CAD.

**Abnormal activation of immunocytes**

RA is associated with a series of changes in the expression of CAD-related genes, particularly S100 calcium-binding proteins A8 and A12, associated with neutrophil activation. Besides, the composition of peripheral blood mononuclear cell subgroups as well as the degree of T-cell activation and differentiation of patients with RA are related to the formation of atherosclerotic plaques. Patients with RA high CAC were found to have significantly increased levels of CD4 + and CD8 + T-cell activation and effector memory subpopulations (CD28 - CD57 - CD56 + ) in peripheral blood. At the same time, the level of CD14 high CD16 + monocyte subsets in these patients was also increased. Macrophages also play an important role in both inflammatory circuits and atherosclerotic plaque destabilization, and certain functional abnormalities have been illustrated. The reorganization of metabolism in macrophages differentiated from
Peripheral blood CD14+ monocytes collected from patients with both RA and CAD was observed, which presented unopposed oxygen consumption and excessive production of tissue-destructive enzymes through a molecular defect relating to GSK3β deactivation. The role of NK T (NKT) cell dysfunction in stimulating inflammatory cascade in RA and atherosclerosis has been separately studied, both mainly through the mechanism of abnormal activation, subsequent rapid production of massive amounts of cytokines and cytotoxic proteins, and regulatory effect on the differentiation and activation of other T cells. The above-mentioned results confirm the commonality of RA and CAD regarding the abnormal activation of immunocytes, suggesting the role of immunocytes in RA-associated CAD.

Autoantibodies

Anti-citrullinated protein antibodies are present specifically in RA, and citrullinated proteins have also been found to deposit in the atherosclerotic plaque. Although the association of antibodies for citrullinated fibrinogen and citrullinated vimentin with CAD are still controversial, other studies have clearly shown that high levels of anti-citrullinated H2B antibodies are associated with high CAC; moreover, the potential mechanism of autoantibodies in atherosclerosis development might include increased uptake of LDL by foam cells as well as enhanced NETosis, the activation of neutrophil extracellular trap, which together promote inflammation, cytotoxicity, thrombosis and neutrophil activation. In addition to the citrullinated proteins, other forms of autoantibodies with different targets, such as carbamylated proteins, oxidized LDL, apolipoprotein A-1 and malondialdehyde-acetaldehyde were also found or speculated to be associated with atherosclerosis in RA. However, the specific mechanisms behind remain to be further revealed.

Complement activation

Perivascular adipose tissue can produce complement proteins, and visceral adipose tissue has been closely associated with CAD. Theoretically, the complement activation product can target structural proteins of the blood vessel wall as well as circulate immunocytes, thereby inducing local inflammation and further formation of atherosclerotic plaques. As patients RA tend to have more visceral adipose, complement synthesis and activation were assumed to be involved in CAD development in RA. The previous study indicated that in the CAD population, patients with RA have higher levels of terminal complement complexes; Pentraxin 3, which mediates the alternative pathway of complement activation; and mononuclear cell infiltration in the vessel wall compared with patients with non-RA. Complement activation can also, in turn, interfere with the normal metabolism, leading...
to insulin resistance and obesity.[80] The complement activation theory needs further validation, and complement might be used as both a biomarker for early identification and a new target for treatment of RA-associated CAD.[79]

**Prevention and Treatment**

The management of RA-associated CAD aims to reduce the incidence/recurrence, progress, and mortality of CAD, and can thus be partitioned into primary and secondary prevention management, and acute event treatment management. It should be emphasized that some of the studies mentioned below did not focus on CAD risk alone, but rather took CVD as an integrity, which is also reasonable and of great significance as much of the risk factors and mechanism underlying CVD is similar, and the holistic decrease in CVD risk is always a hint for the improvement on coronary artery condition and associated with better long-term overall outcomes.

**csDMARDs**

Commonly used csDMARDs include MTX, HCQ, leflunomide, and sulfasalazine. As a first-line medication for active-stage treatment of RA, according to a meta-analysis of observational and controlled trials, MTX can significantly reduce all cardiovascular events (CVEs) risk (no statistics significance due to the small number of events). Generally, MTX has a positive effect on CAD risk control in RA.[84] However, in a recently completed randomized, controlled trial (RCT), CIRT (NCT01594333), compared with

| Classification | Medication | cv benefits | Influence on risk factors | Current evidence | On-going trials |
|---------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Conventional synthetic DMARDs | Methotrexate | Metabolism | —— | Improved lipids | —— |
| | Hydroxychloroquine | Cardiovascular events | —— | —— | —— |
| Biological DMARDs | Adalimumab | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| | Golimumab | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| | Infliximab | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| | Etanercept | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| | Anakinra | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| | Rilonacept | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |

The shadowed blanks refer to unknown effects and no current on-going studies. * Refers to the effect based on non-RA population studies. ACS: Acute coronary syndrome; CAD: Coronary artery disease; cIMT: Carotid intima-media thickness; CV: Cardiovascular system; CVE: Cardiovascular events; DMARDs: Disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; HDL-C: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LV: Left ventricle; MACE: Major adverse cardiac event; MI: Myocardial infarction; NSAIDs: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; NSTEMI: Non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; TC: Total cholesterol; TG: Triglyceride.

Table 2: The effects of various categories of rheumatoid arthritis medications on the cardiovascular system.

| Classification | Medication | CV benefits | Influence on risk factors | Current evidence | On-going trials |
|---------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Conventional synthetic DMARDs | Methotrexate | Metabolism | —— | Improved lipids | —— |
| | Hydroxychloroquine | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| Biological DMARDs | Adalimumab | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| | Golimumab | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| | Infliximab | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| | Etanercept | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| | Anakinra | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |
| | Rilonacept | Cardiovascular events | | —— | —— |

The shadowed blanks refer to unknown effects and no current on-going studies. * Refers to the effect based on non-RA population studies. ACS: Acute coronary syndrome; CAD: Coronary artery disease; cIMT: Carotid intima-media thickness; CV: Cardiovascular system; CVE: Cardiovascular events; DMARDs: Disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; HDL-C: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LV: Left ventricle; MACE: Major adverse cardiac event; MI: Myocardial infarction; NSAIDs: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; NSTEMI: Non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; TC: Total cholesterol; TG: Triglyceride.
placebo, low-dose MTX (15–20 mg weekly) did not result in lower levels of IL-1β, IL-6, or CRP, and also fewer cardiovascular events among stable atherosclerosis patients with previous MI or multi-vessel coronary disease; thus, the beneficial effect of MTX on inflammation control and cardiovascular prevention may only apply to patients with existing systemic inflammatory conditions.[80]

According to meta-analysis, HCQ users have significantly lower overall cholesterol and LDL-C levels while higher HDL-C levels than non-users among patients with RA, and the incidence of diabetes is also lower than those who have never been treated with HCQ. Although the amount of data is not sufficient to support a meta-analysis for direct effect on CVD, HCQ has shown a tendency to reduce the incidence of insulin resistance as well as CVD risk,[86] and in a nationwide cohort study, HCQ use was associated with decreased CAD risk in RA.[87] Therefore, although relatively low efficient for disease activity control, HCQ is well tolerated and thereby has high safety, which endows a potential advantage for combination with other DMARDs to better reduce the CAD risk while avoiding severe side effects. An RCT, OXI trial (NCT02648464) was conducted and currently underway to study the effect of HCQ on the prevention of recurrent cardiovascular events among patients with MI.[88]

For sulfasalazine, although well studied in RA, the effect on CAD remains controversial. According to a multinational cross-sectional cohort in the QUEST-RA program, prolonged exposure of sulfasalazine was associated with a reduced risk of CVD in RA.[89] For the general population, an RCT has shown the control of endothelial dysfunction and carotid arterial remodeling with sulfasalazine in stable patients with CAD[90] while the findings of another RCT (NCT00554203) suggested that long-term treatment was poorly tolerated and did not improve endothelial function in patients with CAD.[91]

Prolonged exposure of leflunomide was associated with a reduction of CVD morbidity in patients with RA in an observational study[89]; however, in a retrospective study of leflunomide treatment based on patients with early stage RA, the treatment group showed an even higher incidence of hypertension.[92] It has also been observed in the TEAR trial that the use of triple therapy (MTX plus sulfasalazine plus HCQ) in early aggressive patients with RA during 2-year follow-up was associated with higher HDL-C, lower LDL-C, and lower TC/HDL-C ratio compared to those who received MTX monotherapy or MTX plus etanercept therapy.[93] These results suggest that a more optimized treatment might require consideration of the disease activity grading and stage evaluation to facilitate the selection of more appropriate drugs or combination therapy.

**NSAIDs and GC**

There is still much controversy about the use of NSAIDs and corticosteroids, mainly because of their negative effect on the cardiovascular system.

According to meta-analysis, NSAIDs did increase the risk of all CVE risk and cerebral infarction in RA, mainly due to the use of cyclooxygenase-2 selective inhibitors (COX-2i), while non-selective NSAIDs did not contribute to the increased risk of CVE. Considering that the COX-2i, rofecoxib, used in most studies had been nearly eliminated, further analysis of rofecoxib and celecoxib was performed and showed that rofecoxib would increase all CVE risk while celecoxib would not.[84] In a cohort study based on the population in Taiwan of China, the risk of CAD when taking two different COX-2i (celecoxib and etoricoxib) in patients with RA was significantly lower than that of non-users during a 10-year follow-up. Within the first 4 years, the use of celecoxib was associated with a reduced risk of CAD; however, this positive effect gradually disappeared and even increased the risk in a high-dose group after 4 years. In contrast, not only did etoricoxib reduce the risk of CAD, but this protective effect could also remain unchanged over a 10-year follow-up.[84] According to the EULAR guidelines, Naproxen, a non-selective NSAID, is perhaps the safest NSAID in CAD risk for patients with RA currently.[82]

Corticosteroids are generally considered hazardous to glucose and lipid metabolism and can increase the risk of hypertension. The results of meta-analysis have confirmed that corticosteroids significantly increase the risk of all CVE risk, MI, heart failure, cerebral infarction, and MACE in RA.[84] However, studies have also shown that all-cause mortality of corticosteroids is associated with treatment dosage as well as exposure time that above a threshold dose of 8 mg/day, all-cause mortality shows a positive correlation with daily dosage[95]; and analogously, that the risk of CVD is elevated with increasing exposure time.[92] These results prompt clinicians to choose the lowest effective dose and reduce the exposure time of corticosteroids in RA management.

As NSAIDs and corticosteroids are essential medications for symptom relief and replacement therapy, better clinical decisions might necessitate an appropriate adjustment in the type, dosage, and exposure time, which is also supported by the EULAR recommendation, highlighting the assessment at an individual level and under the instruction of certain treatment-specific guidelines.[82]

**tsDMARDs (JAK inhibitors)**

Certain cytokines associated with RA, including the IL-6, IL-23, and IL-2 cytokine families and type I and type II interferon, transduce cellular activation signals through the JAK signaling pathway. JAK inhibitors have been applied to the anti-inflammatory treatment of RA due to their function in cytokine activation blockage.[96,97] There are still no studies demonstrating the effects of JAK inhibitors on CAD risk in patients with RA, but two clinical trials (NCT01185353, NCT01262118) have shown that patients with RA presented elevated LDL-C, HDL-C, and TC levels after treatment with two JAK inhibitors, baricitinib and tofacitinib.[13,34] Although current results indicate that JAK inhibitors appear to increase the risk of CAD, the exact overall clinical impact remains to be confirmed by further long-term follow-up.
bDMARDS

The application of biologic medications has brought physicians a new methodology for regulating inflammatory reactions in a more precise, efficient, and safe way, and thus better control the disease condition. Whether biologic medications can reduce the incidence, recurrence, and mortality of CVD, especially CAD while controlling RA disease activity has become the main focus of current research.

Tumor necrosis factor inhibitor

As one of the most intensively studied and widely used biologic medications, tumor necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) has displayed positive effects on CVD prevention according to a large-scale meta-analysis, with a significant reduction in the risk of all CVE risk, MI, cerebral infarction, and MACE and no elevation of heart failure risk in RA. Moreover, the risk of CAD can be further reduced in the case of long-term treatment. Other studies have shown that patients treated with TNFi have a lower risk of MI compared with patients receiving csDMARDs treatments, which may be attributed to a more direct effect of TNFi on atherosclerosis and thus better disease activity control. TNFi also has beneficial effects on traditional CAD risk factors, such as insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. Though increases in all lipid components can be observed in researches on different TNFi, the result of meta-analysis shows only increased TC and TG, but increased HDL-C and no effect on LDL-C or atherogenic index after long-term treatment indicating a potential protective effect on lipid metabolism.

Although treatment with TNFi reduces the overall risk of CAD, it remains unclear whether such a protective effect is appropriate for all types of patients. Previous studies have shown that in RA population, good responders to TNFi treatment, as defined by EULAR, experience a significantly lower risk of ACS compared with non-responders/moderate responders, and the risk for ACS of good responders is not significantly different from that of the general population, while the risk of non-responders/moderate responders can be up to more than twice as high. Therefore, it should be realized that therapeutic assessment might be even more important than the type of medication selected.

Canakinumab (IL-1β monoclonal antibody)/anakinra (IL-1 receptor antagonist)

It is particularly gratifying that, in a large phase III clinical trial covering 10,000 people over 4 years, the CANTOS trial (NCT01327846), patients with a history of previous MI and high high-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP) levels were randomized to receive three doses of canakinumab or placebo. Compared with the placebo group, those receiving canakinumab showed significantly reduced hsCRP levels; moreover, for those receiving a dosage of 150 mg/3 months, in particular, significantly lower recurrence rates for CVEs were observed in the absence of a change in lipid levels. This is the first large-scale clinical trial to directly demonstrate the association between anti-inflammatory therapy and CVD risk reduction.

Another RCT (NCT01566201) has revealed that inhibition of IL-1 activity with anakinra can reduce oxidative stress in RA, characterized by lower levels of apoptosis and oxidation-related markers, thereby improving both the histology structure and physiology function of the cardiovascular system. In addition, a greater improvement in vascular function, LV myocardial deformation, and twisting has been observed, resulting in a significantly higher left ventricular ejection fraction after treatment with anakinra in patients with RA-associated CAD compared to those without; these findings indicate a potential advantage in secondary prevention of IL-1 pathway inhibition and propose further requirement for more delicate clarification of the relative superiority of different drugs under different clinical demands.

Tocilizumab (TCZ, IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibody)

A prospective study showed that TCZ treatment significantly improved endothelial function in flow-mediated dilation percentage in RA, while such improvement was not observed in either the csDMARDs or TNFi treatment groups. However, the treatment of TCZ and csDMARDs was also associated with an increased level of TC and LDL-C but a decreased level of HDL-C, which was not found in patients after TNFi treatment. Such a tendency to affect lipid levels negatively was observed in many other trails, such as MEASURE (NCT00335782) and ADACTA (NCT01119859). However, the risk of MACE while receiving TCZ was found to be associated with disease activity control but not lipid changes. In a multi-database cohort study, the cardiovascular risk associated with TCZ vs. TNFi treatment was similar; besides, the preliminary results posted online of an RCT (NCT01331837) comparing the cardiovascular risk in patients with RA receiving TCZ or etanercept also showed no differences between treatments. When compared with anakinra in another prospective observational trial (NCT03288584) based on the RA population, TCZ was only associated with pulse wave velocity and brachial blood pressure improvement, while anakinra treatment resulted in an improvement of coronary flow reserve. A TOCRIVAR clinical trial (NCT01752335) is currently underway, attempting to comprehensively illustrate the effects of TCZ on cardiovascular risk in RA. For use in the general CAD population, current results from an RCT (NCT01491074) showed that TCZ had no or only minor effects on the cytokines and did not improve coronary flow reserve in non-ST elevation patients with MI.

Rituximab (CD20 monoclonal antibody)

Rituximab is capable of inflammatory inhibition through rapid and sustained B lymphocyte consumption by binding specifically to their surface antigen, CD20. A recent study showed that carotid intima-media thickness was reduced after rituximab treatment, indicating a potential protective benefit to the cardiovascular system. Results from a clinical trial (NCT00844714) showed that despite a slight increase in TC and triglyceride levels, the depletion
of B cells did improve the endothelial function of both the macrovascular and microvascular systems in terms of brachial artery flow-mediated dilation and reactive hyperemia velocity.\[112\] According to an observational prospective study, rituximab treatment showed a reduced pulse wave velocity after 12-month use while such improvement occurred only after 3 months of TCZ treatment.\[113\] However, no difference in long-term outcomes and the risk of MACE between these two treatments were observed in another prospective study based on patients with inadequate response to TNFi.\[114\] The exact effect of rituximab on CAD needs to be further studied.

**Clinical Management Optimization**

(1) Emphasize the awareness of prevention management and increase compliance: Highlighted by EULAR, the awareness of risk and ensuring subsequent risk control are the foundation of all effective medical treatments of RA-associated disease. However, the current situation is still suboptimal that implementation of cardiovascular risk management remains a challenge\[115\]; thus, better clinical awareness should be urgently emphasized. Besides, for chronic diseases, it is always necessary to increase compliance, enabling continuous risk control, which is also in accordance with the EULAR recommendations, highlighting “alignment of the patient’s and provider’s considerations and aims” and “enhancing adherence.”\[83\]

(2) Optimize the dose and course of treatment: In addition to the category, changing the dosage and duration of treatment can also increase efficiency and safety. As some studies mentioned earlier, drugs might present a protective effect within a certain dose and exposure time but become detrimental when exceeding the threshold. Side effects can be minimized to make benefits more prominent through either dosage or treatment course optimization.

(3) Risk stratification and disease stage-based medications: For patients with RA after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), the risk of repeating PCI would greatly increase,\[116,117\] indicating that the CAD risk is changing dynamically and needs stratification. However, no validated RA-specific CAD risk evaluation model has currently been established.\[118,119\] Besides, drugs can display various effects depending on the disease stage,\[120\] and treatment in early stage can take a greater account of the impact of the overall outcomes.\[120\] Therefore, CAD risk stratification and disease staging under RA is demanded to assist more appropriate clinical decisions.

(4) Drug response monitor: As one above-mentioned study about the TNFi treatment, different drug responses resulting from the heterogeneity in genetic background, disease activity, lifestyle, and concomitant diseases and medications\[113\] can lead to disparate outcomes. Therefore, drug response should be highlighted and promptly monitored to avoid the imbalance of medical dual effects.

**Prospects**

(1) Precision and personalized medicine: Heterogeneity among the RA population, which might lead to differences in drug response and clinical outcomes can be further disclosed by cluster analysis and machine learning techniques integrating genetic information, clinical manifestations, laboratory findings, and imaging performance. Various omics results obtained from deep sequencing, immunoassays, and mass spectrometry can be further incorporated to explore the relationship between genotypes, phenotypes, drug responses and prognosis, facilitating precision, and personalized medicine.

(2) Appropriate evaluation of the drug efficacy: According to a large-scale cohort study, the inflammatory states have improved over time; however, the overall prevalence of comorbidity has increased significantly, mainly due to CAD.\[120\] Therefore, simply pursuing disease activity control and serologic or imaging remission, while ignoring the long-term negative cardiovascular effect should be questioned. The central issue is to find a balance between efficiency and safety.\[120\] To clarify the exact drug effect, studies should not be limited to individual cases or changes in a single indicator. Ultimate outcomes should be emphasized in terms of occurrence and morbidity of CAD or more credible progression-free survival and overall survival, rather than certain laboratory tests, surrogate markers or a single risk factor.

(3) Immune regulation therapy: Most existing studies are restricted to the effect of single drugs. However, as a specific type of drug is unique in mechanism, the efficacy of mono-drug immune inhibition can be limited. Combining drugs to conduct a multifaceted intervention might better display the advantages of each drug,\[98\] and lead to comprehensive immune regulation and better outcomes.

(4) Target exploration: It is necessary to clarify central participants in the complex inflammatory system as potential targets for immune regulation therapy.\[121\] Firstly, it is indispensable to construct animal models that conform to pathologic and pathophysiologic changes resembling those under RA-associated CAD to provide reliable study materials. Additionally, molecules and pathways shared by RA and CAD can be further excavated to reveal targets involved in the common pathway. It might also be helpful to take advantage of the single-cell sequencing to reveal specific cell subpopulations and cell evolution through principal component analysis, pseudotime analysis, and TCR analysis. Lastly, current research has merely focused on therapy targeting immunocytes, such as T lymphocytes, macrophages, and NK T cells. Considering the central regulatory and effector role of these cells, the efficacy of existing immunocyte-targeted drugs, such as abatacept should be tested, and more novel therapy should be explored.

(5) Extension of medical indications: RA provides a natural model for exploring the commonality shared by CAD and inflammation. Current studies have provided notable ideas and basis for innovative pharmaceutical R&D in anti-inflammatory treatments of CAD, such as the completed CANTOS,\[102\] CIRT,\[85\] NCT01491074,\[110\] trials, and the ongoing OXI trial.\[118\] Researches are needed to extend the indications under more clinical conditions,
such as subclinical atherosclerosis or the acute phase of ACS.

Conclusions

RA is an independent risk factor for CAD, which predominantly results from the underlying inflammatory cascade; therefore, anti-inflammatory therapy, especially the emerging novel biologic drugs, is indispensable for CAD risk management in patients with RA and may also be efficient among general population. In this review, we provide a comprehensive overview of the research progress on the pathogenic mechanism and the management of RA-associated CAD, and further provide advice on management optimization and prospects for future study.
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