Reactive oxygen species in exercise and insulin resistance: Working towards personalized antioxidant treatment
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A B S T R A C T

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are well known for their role in insulin resistance and the development of cardiometabolic disease including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D). Conversely, evidence supports the notion that ROS are a necessary component for glucose cell transport and adaptation to physiological stress including exercise and muscle contraction. Although genetic rodent models and cell culture studies indicate antioxidant treatment to be an effective strategy for targeting ROS to promote health, human findings are largely inconsistent. In this review we discuss human research that has investigated antioxidant treatment and glycemic control in the context of health (healthy individuals and during exercise) and disease (insulin resistance and T2D). We have identified key factors that are likely to influence the effectiveness of antioxidant treatment: 1) the context of treatment including whether oxidative distress or eustress is present (e.g., hyperglycemia/lipidaemia or during exercise and muscle contraction); 2) whether specific endogenous antioxidant deficiencies are identified (redox screening); 3) whether antioxidant treatment is specifically designed to target and restore identified deficiencies (antioxidant specificity); 4) and the bioavailability and bioactivity of the antioxidant which are influenced by treatment dose, duration, and method of administration. The majority of human research has failed to account for these factors, limiting their ability to robustly test the effectiveness of antioxidants for health promotion and disease prevention. We propose that a modern “redox screening” and “personalized antioxidant treatment” approach is required to robustly explore redox regulation of human physiology and to elicit more effective antioxidant treatment in humans.

Key points

• Research-to-date provides a confusing narrative on whether antioxidant treatment has the potential to be an effective strategy for improving health and/or treating and preventing chronic disease.
• Factors influencing effective antioxidant treatment include 1) whether oxidative distress/eustress is present (sedentary behavior, poor diet, disease, or exercise), 2) whether an endogenous antioxidant deficiency exists, 3) whether the antioxidant treatment is specifically designed to target and restore a deficiency (antioxidant specificity), 4) and the bioavailability and bioactivity of the antioxidant which are influenced by treatment dose, duration, and method of administration.
• We propose that a modern “redox screening” and “personalized antioxidant treatment” approach to research and clinical practice, which considers the context of treatment and the individual, is required to robustly explore redox regulation of human physiology and to elicit more effective antioxidant treatment in humans.

1. Introduction

Oxidation-reduction (redox) reactions occur ubiquitously in biology and are necessary to maintain an environment optimal for cell signaling and function. These redox reactions involve reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide (O2•-) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) which regulate several physiological and pathophysiology processes ranging from cell apoptosis and death, to cell differentiation and growth [1–6]. In humans, some of the most well-known stimuli for inducing ROS production include postprandial substrate oxidation and the mechanical and physiological stress induced through exercise [7–15]. To maintain
cellular redox homeostasis, numerous enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defenses have evolved to regulate cellular levels of ROS [1,2,16–21]. Examples of enzymatic antioxidant sources include superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, and catalase, whereas examples of non-enzymatic sources include glutathione (GSH) and vitamins C and E. An increase in ROS or a decrease in antioxidant activity can lead to a cellular redox environment commonly referred to as “oxidative stress”.

Overwhelming evidence exists supporting the pathological role of ROS in the development of chronic conditions and diseases including insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes (T2D) [9,10,13,22–27]. In stark contrast, accumulating evidence now supports the physiological role of ROS in maintaining cardiometabolic health and the prevention of conditions and diseases including insulin resistance and T2D [22,24,28–34]. Acknowledging this dual role, the simplified concept of oxidative stress as being exclusively “bad” has been vetoed by many in the field of redox biology and physiology in favor of the more recently preferred descriptive terms of oxidative distress and oxidative eustress [35,36].

Antioxidant is a broad all-encompassing term used to describe endogenous and exogenous compounds or biological processes that can directly (e.g., free radical scavenging) or indirectly (e.g., the regulation of ROS-producing and/or antioxidant enzymes) alter the oxidative stress/eustress redox environment [8]. Although the literature on the use of antioxidant treatment for decreasing “oxidative stress” continues to expand [8,22,37,38], a unifying consensus on the effectiveness of treatment for improving health and/or preventing disease has yet to arise. Inconsistent findings and a lack of consensus likely stem from generic antioxidant treatment practices which fail to acknowledge the dynamic and dual role of ROS in both human physiology and pathophysiology. In this narrative review of the literature, we discuss previous and emerging research that has investigated oxidative stress, antioxidants and insulin and glucose regulation under various oxidative eustress and distress conditions, including acute and regular exercise, hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia, and overt T2D. Our discussion is focused on research in humans, however, where necessary we refer to findings from relevant animal models or in vitro studies that may provide a mechanistic basis for the observations in human studies. The redox health paradox is further explored through the discussion of antioxidant treatment under the varying conditions of oxidative distress and eustress, and the subsequent effects on insulin and glucose regulation. Finally, we synthesize and contextualize current antioxidant treatment evidence to help guide a new era of research - one that harnesses the potential of personalized antioxidant treatment to robustly explore redox regulation of human physiology.

2. Oxidative distress: implications for glycemic control and disease

Reactive oxygen species cause oxidative modification to lipids, proteins, and DNA. This can lead to impaired transcription and translational processes, altered protein function, and production of secondary by-products and metabolites which lead to further ROS production and/or cellular damage [39–46]. One of the most well-documented stimuli for producing ROS in biological organisms is through postprandial metabolism of carbohydrates, lipids, and protein [9,22,23,47,48]. The detrimental effects of substrate metabolism on insulin and glucose regulation are largely thought to occur through excess lipid and carbohydrate intake [9,10,13,23–27]. Hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia at rest (basal) or following meal ingestion (postprandial) can lead to increased cellular oxidative distress through mitochondrial electron leak and/or incomplete fatty acid oxidation, the formation of advanced glycation end products and other oxidation products including lipid hydroperoxides, and increased circulation of free fatty acids (FFA), diacylglycerol, and ceramides [9,10,23,49–51].

Pathways of oxidative distress-induced insulin resistance are reviewed in detail elsewhere [9,22,28]. In brief, hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia-induced production of ROS and ROS byproducts can lead to impaired insulin sensitivity through structural and functional changes to the insulin molecule decreasing its bioactivity [52], and through activation of redox-sensitive cell signaling pathways that interfere with insulin signaling and glucose cell transport [22,28]. Redox-sensitive components of signaling pathways identified to contribute to insulin resistance include p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (p38 MAPK), c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB), extracellular-signal regulated kinase (ERK), and protein kinase C (PKC), and the lipid peroxidation product 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal (4-HNE) [22,24,27,28,52–62]. Activation of these pathways increase serum and threonine phosphorylation of the insulin receptor substrates (IRS) 1 and 2, inhibiting downstream insulin signaling through attenuated tyrosine phosphorylation and IRS proteosomal degradation and subcellular re-localization [22,24,28,53–62]. Additionally, increased activity of the redox-sensitive protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) family also leads to inhibition of the insulin signaling pathway, as observed during high-lipid culture conditions in skeletal muscle cells [14]. Insulin resistance and mitochondrial dysfunction promote a vicious cycle of increased hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia, ROS production, impaired insulin sensitivity, and the eventual development of overt T2D and other cardiometabolic diseases [22,28,51]. Although the authors acknowledge the complex and integrated nature of tissue-specific regulation of glucose homeostasis and ROS production, the current review focuses predominantly on skeletal muscle as it is one of the major sites for insulin dependent and independent glucose disposal [63,64].

Oxidative distress and impaired glycemic control. Elevated biomarkers of systemic oxidative stress and/or decreased antioxidant activity in blood, urine, or muscle, are reported among individuals who are sedentary or obese, have impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), and/or have T2D [10,65–70]. For example, skeletal muscle mitochondrial H2O2 emissions in obese individuals at rest (fasting basal conditions) are two-fold higher compared to lean individuals [10]. Furthermore, both the ingestion of a single high-fat meal or a 5-day high-fat diet in healthy individuals leads to increased muscle oxidative stress, as measured by a decrease in the GSH and oxidized glutathione (GSSG) ratio and elevated skeletal muscle mitochondrial H2O2 production, to similar levels of that observed in obese insulin-resistant individuals [10]. Additional experiments revealed that comparable high-fat conditions in rodents lead to a similar shift towards a more oxidative environment in muscle alongside the development of insulin resistance [10]. Human studies have also reported greater measures of systemic oxidative stress and lower antioxidant activity in blood from T2D and IGT individuals at rest (fasting) and following high-fat meal ingestion compared to healthy controls [68], supporting the notion of increased oxidative distress in populations characterized by insulin resistance. However, oxidative distress and insulin resistance can also occur in healthy individuals. For example, independent of health status, markers of systemic oxidative distress can remain elevated for up to 4 h following the ingestion of pure carbohydrate [71,72], fat, or protein [48], and mixed macronutrient meals containing high-fat [73–75] and high-carbohydrate content [79]. Larger meals and meals higher in lipid content generally elicit greater postprandial oxidative stress [80,81], which are proposed to contribute to the development of acute and chronic insulin resistance [9,10,23,51]. Transient hyperlipidemia in healthy humans elicited by intravenous lipid infusion for 6 h leads to a dose-dependent increase in plasma free fatty acids (FFA), glucose, insulin and oxidative distress as measured by increased plasma thio-barbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) and a decrease in GSH/GSSG ratio [82]. Furthermore, under the same 6 h intravenous lipid infusion conditions, insulin stimulated whole-body glucose uptake measured via euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp is impaired [82]. Unfortunately, due to the indirect nature of manipulating the cellular redox environment, these findings in humans are unable to directly
| Author, (year) | Participants | Oxidative Stress Stimuli | Antioxidant Treatment | Oxidative stress/ Antioxidant outcomes | Health Outcomes |
|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Anderson et al., 2009 [10] | 8 male adults who were lean and healthy (n = 5) or morbidly obese with no metabolic disease history (n = 3) | Acute high-fat meal (fat > 60% kcal, 35% daily kcal intake). High-fat diet for 5 days (fat > 60%, iso-caloric). | None | Obese compared to lean: ↑ 2-fold higher mTHO₂ emissions ↓ 50% cellular GSH ↓ 50% cellular GSH/GSSG ratio | Obese compared to lean: ↓ HOMA-IR |
| Chen et al., 2006 [70] | 37 adults with T2D with or without essential hypertension and plasma vitamin C < 40 μM | T2D | Vitamin C (800 mg/day) for 4 weeks | Vitamin C: ↑ plasma vitamin C | Vitamin C: no effects on fasting glucose, clamp insulin sensitivity, blood lipids or forearm blood flow in response to acetylcholine, sodium nitroprusside or insulin |
| Darko et al., 2002 [102] | 35 adults with uncomplicated T2D | T2D | Vitamin C (3 x 500 mg/day) for 21 days | Vitamin C: ↔ F₂-isoprostanes ↑ plasma vitamin C | Vitamin C: ↔ endothelial function ↔ blood pressure ↔ glycemic control |
| Gregersen et al., 2012 [103] | 15 healthy adult participants with no family history of T2D (FH+, n = 8) or a family history of T2D (FH-, n = 7) | high-fat and high-carbohydrate meals (CHO) (1000 kcal) | None | Following high-CHO meal: ↓ plasma TAS ↓ muscle Cu/Zn-SOD ↔ GPX1 | High-CHO Vs high-fat meal: ↑ blood glucose ↑ serum insulin |
| Koreopka et al., 2015 [104] | 25 obese insulin-resistant women with PCOS and 14 lean women (baseline control) | Participants consumed a high-fat mixed meal (35% of DGI, avg: 874 kcal) | None | Following high-fat meal: ↑ mTHO₂ emissions | High-fat meal post-exercise training: ↓ glucose AUC ↓ insulin AUC | Vitamin C: ↑ total cholesterol ↔ no effects on glycemic control, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol or microvascular reactivity |
| Lu et al., 2005 [95] | 17 adults with T2D | T2D | Vitamin C (3 x 1000 mg/day) for 14 days | Vitamin C: ↑ plasma vitamin C ↔ oxLDL, IL-6, IL-1Ra, hs-CRP, and fibrinogen | Vitamin C: ↓ postprandial glucose AUC ↓ 24-h hyperglycaemia ↓ 24-h average glucose ↓ blood pressure |
| Mason et al., 2019 [97] | 31 adults with T2D | T2D | Vitamin C (2 x 500 mg/day) for 4 months | Vitamin C vs. placebo: ↓ F₂-isoprostanes (8,12-iso-IPF₂αs-VI) ↔ plasma vitamin C | Vitamin C: ↑ skeletal muscle insulin sensitivity (Δ Rd) ↔ glycemic control measures ↔ liver insulin sensitivity |
| Mason et al., 2016 [96] | 13 adults with T2D | T2D | Vitamin C (2 x 500 mg/day) for 4 months | Vitamin C: ↓ skeletal muscle DCFH oxidation during hyperinsulinaemia ↔ plasma vitamin C ↔ skeletal muscle or blood F₂-isoprostanes and GSH/ GSSG | Vitamin C: ↓ glucose AUC during OGGT ↑ total body glucose disposal and nonoxidative glucose metabolism during clamp |
| Paulisson et al., 1993 [97] | 10 healthy controls with normal glucose tolerance and 15 patients with T2D | T2D | Vitamin E (900 mg/day) for 4 months | Vitamin E (both T2D and control): ↓ GSSG/GSH ↑ plasma vitamin E | Vitamin E vs. Placebo: In control patients: ↓ glucose AUC during OGTT ↑ total body glucose disposal and nonoxidative glucose metabolism during clamp |
| Paulisson et al., 1996 [82] | 30 healthy young adults | Four-part study all conditions performed following a 12-h fasting and 6-h control | GSH infusion (15 mg/min for 6-h) | Lipid-infusion dose-response: Fasting study: Fasting plasma FFA correlated with | (continued on next page)
| Author, (year)          | Participants | Oxidative Stress Stimuli                  | Antioxidant Treatment | Oxidative stress/ Antioxidant outcomes | Health Outcomes                                      |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Sanguanswong et al., 2016 [165] | 100 adults with T2D | T2D Fasting study: All participants (n = 30) · relationship between fasting glucose, insulin, FFA, TG and total cholesterol | Vitamin C (1 g/day) for 60 days | fasting plasma TBARS and lipid peroxides | ↑ plasma insulin                                     |
|                        |              |                          | Lipid-infusion time-course: | Lipid-infusion dose-response: | ↑ plasma insulin with increasing doses of intralipid |
|                        |              |                          | ↑ TBARS (peak at 24 h) | ↑ fasting plasma FFA | Insulin sensitivity/ stimulation: |
|                        |              |                          | ↑ 3-fold plasma FFA | ↓ GSH/GSSG ratio at 6 h & 24 h | Lipid infusion only |
|                        |              |                          | ↓ GSH/GSSG ratio (no difference from 0.3 mg/min) | Lipid infusion only |
|                        |              |                          | ↓ plasma TBARS | Antioxidant insulin only |
|                        |              |                          | ↓ plasma TBARS until a plateau was reached between the last two infusion rates (0.3 and 0.4 mg/min) | Antioxidant insulin only |
|                        |              |                          | ↓ GSH/GSSG ratio | Antioxidant lipid infusion |
|                        |              |                          | ↓ plasma TBARS at 6 h & 24 h | Antioxidant insulin 
|                        |              |                          | ↓ lipid-induced TBARS | lipid-induced insulin resistance |
| Siavash et al., 2014 [93] | 67 adults with T2D | T2D | None | Vitamin C: fatty acids, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, QUICKI | Vitamin C: fatty acids, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, QUICKI |
|                        |              |                          | Vitamin C (1 g/day) or gemfibrozil (600 mg/day) or combined vitamin C (1 g/day) and gemfibrozil (600 mg/day) for 6 weeks | Vitamin C: fatty acids, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, QUICKI | Vitamin C: fatty acids, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, QUICKI |
|                        |              |                          | Vitamin C (1 g/day) or combined | Vitamin C: fatty acids, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, QUICKI | Vitamin C: fatty acids, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, QUICKI |
|                        |              |                          | vitamin C (1 g/day) and gemfibrozil (600 mg/day) for 4 weeks | Vitamin C: fatty acids, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, QUICKI | Vitamin C: fatty acids, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, QUICKI |
|                        |              |                          | Vitamin C (2 g/day) or atorvastatin (10 mg/day) for 4 weeks | Vitamin C: fatty acids, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, QUICKI | Vitamin C: fatty acids, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, QUICKI |
|                        |              |                          | Vitamin C: ↔ serum IL-6, TNF-α, sVCAM-1, CRP and ADMA | Vitamin C: ↔ serum IL-6, TNF-α, sVCAM-1, CRP and ADMA | Vitamin C: ↔ serum IL-6, TNF-α, sVCAM-1, CRP and ADMA |
|                        |              |                          | Atorvastatin: maximal hyperaemic forearm blood flow (FBB) | Atorvastatin: maximal hyperaemic forearm blood flow (FBB) | Atorvastatin: maximal hyperaemic forearm blood flow (FBB) |
|                        |              |                          | ↑ reactive hyperemia | ↑ reactive hyperemia | ↑ reactive hyperemia |
|                        |              |                          | ↔ on other blood flow measures | ↔ on other blood flow measures | ↔ on other blood flow measures |
|                        |              |                          | Vitamin C: ↔ on blood flow measures | Vitamin C: ↔ on blood flow measures | Vitamin C: ↔ on blood flow measures |
|                        |              |                          | Following lipid infusion: | Following lipid infusion: | Following lipid infusion: |
|                        |              |                          | ↑ plasma FFA | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose |
|                        |              |                          | ↑ plasma triglycerides | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose |
|                        |              |                          | ↑ PMNs at 2 and 4 h | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose |
|                        |              |                          | ↑ MNCs at 4 h | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose |
|                        |              |                          | ↑ plasma TBARS at 2 h | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose |
|                        |              |                          | ↔ plasma TBARS at 4 h and 6 h | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose |
|                        |              |                          | ↑ plasma triglycerides | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose |
|                        |              |                          | ↑ plasma TBARS at 4 h and 6 h | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose |
|                        |              |                          | Saline or low dextrose (control): | Saline or low dextrose (control): | Saline or low dextrose (control): |
|                        |              |                          | ↔ in measures | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose | ↑ plasma insulin and glucose |
|                        |              |                          | Following consecutive high-fat meals: | Following consecutive high-fat meals: | Following consecutive high-fat meals: |
|                        |              |                          | None | None | None |
|                        |              |                          | Following consecutive high-fat meals: | (continued on next page) | (continued on next page) |
Table 1 (continued)

| Author, (year) | Participants | Oxidative Stress Stimuli | Antioxidant Treatment | Oxidative stress/ Antioxidant outcomes | Health Outcomes |
|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Vincent et al., 2009 [98] | 48 healthy young adults who were normal weight (n = 25) or overweight (n = 23) | Overweight | Vitamin E (800 IU/d), vitamin C (500 mg/d) and β-carotene (10 mg/d) for 8 weeks | ↓ FMD | baseline: ↑ plasma glucose |
| Xiao et al., 2008 [92] | Study 1: 9 overweight or obese males | Studies 1 & 2: Intravenous infusion of intralipid for 48 h | Intralipid infusion: ↑ plasma MDA ↔ plasma 4-HNE ↓ MDA NAC ↔ lipid-induced MDA ↔ 4-HNE Taurine: ↓ lipid-induced MDA ↓ 4-HNE | Intralipid infusion: ↑ plasma MDA ↔ plasma 4-HNE ↓ MDA NAC ↔ lipid-induced MDA ↔ 4-HNE Taurine: ↓ lipid-induced MDA ↓ 4-HNE | ↑ insulin clearance rate (Cl_b) ↓ insulin sensitivity index (SI) ↓ disposition index (DI) NAC + lipids: ↑ Cl_b ↔ S_b and DI Taurine + lipids: ↑ Cl_b, S_b and DI |

Abbreviations: 4-HNE: 4-Hydroxynonenal, CHO: Carbohydrate, DCFH: 2,7-dichlorodihydrofluorescein, FFA: Free fatty acids, FMD: Flow-mediated dilation, GSH: Reduced glutathione, GS/GSSG: Ratio of reduced (GSH) to oxidized (GSSG) glutathione, MDA: Malondialdehyde, MNCs: Mononuclear cells, NAC: N acetyl cysteine; oxLDL: Oxidized low-density lipoprotein, PCOS: Polycystic ovary syndrome, PMN: Polymorphonuclear leukocytes, RCT: Randomized controlled trial, ROS: Reactive oxygen species, TAS: Total antioxidative status, TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid-reactive substance, VCAM-1: Vascular cell adhesion protein-1.

Demonstrate a causal relationship between increased oxidative distress and impaired insulin sensitivity. This is especially true given that ROS are necessary for insulin signal transduction and glucose cell transport [30,31,83,84]; prohibiting the conclusion that systemic oxidative stress measured during conditions of excess lipid and carbohydrate intake in humans is exclusively attributed to pathological ROS production. Nevertheless, findings in humans are consistent with findings from rodent and cell culture studies that have directly manipulated the ROS and/or antioxidant redox environment to link increased oxidative distress, including during excess substrate metabolism [13,24], to impaired insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism [13,24–26,22].

**Antioxidant treatment and oxidative distress.** Exogenous antioxidant treatment in rodents and cell culture have provided strong evidence supporting the restoration of redox homeostasis and insulin sensitivity during acute and chronic conditions of elevated oxidative distress [10,13,24,26,27,85–88]. In humans, however, the effectiveness of exogenous antioxidant treatment for enhancing or restoring insulin sensitivity and glycemic control is far less consistent [70,89–95]. Nevertheless, acute intravenous GSH infusion (1 and 6 h infusions) or longer-term oral ingestion of vitamins E and C (over 4 months) improves insulin sensitivity in healthy individuals [65,67,82] and patients with T2D [65,67,96]. Other measures of glycemic control and insulin sensitivity including HbA1c, HOMA, and both fasting and postprandial glucose and insulin excursions, have also been reported to improve with various antioxidant treatment regimes in populations spanning the IGT and T2D continuum [67,97–101]. A recent meta-analysis of twenty-eight studies (n = 1574) reported that oral vitamin C supplementation in people with T2D significantly lowers HbA1c [89]. However, the current certainty of evidence is low due to many studies being mostly short term (less than 6 months) and with a small number of participants (n<100) [89]. High quality, large and long-term randomized controlled trials are still required to establish the efficacy of vitamin C for the treatment of T2D. Furthermore, an equal number of studies have reported null or limited effects with antioxidant treatment on glycemic control [70,90,92–95], questioning the efficacy of antioxidant treatment in humans.

In addition to longer-term models of insulin resistance and T2D, antioxidant treatment has also been reported to attenuate insulin resistance in humans caused by short-term excess nutrient intake. Research by Paolisso et al., 1996 [82] revealed that impairments in insulin sensitivity after 6 h of lipid infusion in healthy individuals is partially restored with the intravenous co-infusion of GSH [82]. Moreover, lipid-induced impairments in insulin sensitivity correlated with increased plasma TBARs, whereas restoration of insulin sensitivity via GSH co-infusion correlated with decreased plasma TBARs [82]. Similar research investigating oral taurine ingestion in overweight and obese individuals also reported restoration of insulin sensitivity and the prevention of increased plasma malondialdehyde and 4-HNE following 48 h of lipid infusion [92]. Rodent and cell culture models of lipid-induced insulin resistance confirm the potential of antioxidant treatment for preventing high-fat-diet induced insulin resistance [10,13,25,87]. As such, antioxidant treatment may yet prove to be a promising candidate for restoring systemic redox homeostasis and improving insulin sensitivity in a variety of populations under a variety of oxidative distress conditions (Table 1).

3. Oxidative eustress: implications for glycemic control and exercise

Despite evidence for the contribution of oxidative distress to insulin resistance, oxidative eustress, on the other hand, is necessary for physiological insulin signaling and glucose regulation. As a key regulator in the proximal insulin signaling pathway, the protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) family which includes PTP1B, phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), and protein phosphatase 2 (PP2A), can be reversibly oxidized to either inhibit or propagate insulin signal transduction [22, 28]. Under basal conditions, endogenous catalase and peroxiredoxin antioxidant activity creates a reduced intracellular redox environment promoting PTP activity which, via dephosphorylation, suppresses activation of the insulin signaling cascade [21,47,107]. Upon insulin stimulation, the binding of insulin to the insulin receptor leads to a localized burst of endogenous O2 and H2O2 production through increased enzymatic activation of the plasma membrane bound NADPH oxidases that, via reversible oxidation, inactivate peroxiredoxin I in the vicinity of the receptor complex [21,30,31,83,84,108]. This leads to a localized oxidative redox environment which decreases PTP activity and permits...
insulin-stimulated propagation of the insulin signaling cascade [9,30,31, 83,84]. As such, insulin-induced ROS production is a requirement for the initiation of insulin signaling and glucose cell transport.

**Oxidative eustress and enhanced glycemic control.** Skeletal muscle contraction and exercise are stimuli that lead to conditions of oxidative eustress [8,22,109–111]. Skeletal muscle contraction directly increases ROS production [11,12,15,112–116], which contributes to beneficial cardiometabolic responses to exercise including improved vascular health and function [117–122], mitochondrial biogenesis and the upregulation of antioxidant defenses [32,110,111,121–130], skeletal muscle force production [131], and skeletal muscle inflammatory response and repair capabilities [129,132]. Furthermore, exercise-induced ROS is a factor in mediating both contraction-mediated and post-exercise insulin-stimulated glucose metabolism [29,32,33, 133–135]. In rodents, knockout mice lacking a key antioxidant enzyme (Gpx1<sup>−/−</sup>) required for the reduction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, demonstrate improved insulin sensitivity 1 h after treadmill exercise compared to wild type mice [29]. Henriquez-Olguin et al., 2019 [133] also recently reported that mice lacking the O<sub>2</sub> producing enzyme NADPH oxidase 2 (NOX2) exhibit lower exercise-induced cytosolic ROS production compared with impaired glucose metabolism compared to wild type mice [133]. These findings suggest the direct role of increased ROS in both contraction-mediated and post-exercise stimulated glucose metabolism, at least in rodents. However, the dynamic and complex redox reactions elicited by exercise, nutrient intake, and substrate-oxidation, combined with the difficulties in directly and accurately measuring ROS and their functional role in humans, presents challenges for studying their effects. Nevertheless, we previously showed that enhanced insulin sensitivity in middle-aged obese men in the hours after acute high-intensity cycling exercise, was associated with greater exercise-induced phosphorylation of redox-sensitive proteins JNK, p38 MAPK and NF-xB in skeletal muscle [135]. Additionally, plasma SOD and CAT activity increased, plasma TBARS and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decreased, and 4-HNE increased in skeletal muscle after both exercise and insulin stimulation [135], whereas skeletal muscle mitochondrial H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production decreased [136]. As such, exercise and insulin stimulation dynamically change the redox environment in humans in a tissue and subcellular organelle specific manner. Combined with numerous other reports of increased oxidative stress in muscle and blood alongside increased redox-sensitive cell signaling during and after exercise in humans [11,32,133,137–140], a time-period in which insulin-dependent and independent glucose uptake are consistently enhanced [22,141], exercise-induced ROS likely leads to a state of oxidative eustress [142]. However, research by our team and others have also discussed and identified the potential contribution of oxidative distress during exercise which may limit exercise capacity and muscle force production [7,131,143]. As such, caution when interpreting systemic markers of oxidative stress in humans is required.

**Antioxidant treatment, oxidative eustress, and glycemic control.** Directly linking ROS to the regulation of insulin and glucose metabolism in humans remains technically challenging. Nevertheless, exogenous antioxidant compounds have been used to manipulate redox homeostasis in vivo. Seminal research by Ristow et al., 2009 [32] suggested a link between exercised-induced oxidative eustress and improved insulin sensitivity in humans. In this study, oral supplementation of vitamin C (1000 mg/day) and vitamin E (400 IU/day) not only blocked the acute exercise-induced increase in muscle TBARS, but also prevented the improvement in insulin stimulated glucose uptake during aerobic exercise training in both previously untrained and trained individuals [32]. Furthermore, exercise training led to increased skeletal muscle Cu/Zn-SOD, Mn-SOD and Gpx1 antioxidant gene expression; beneficial training effects that were prevented in the antioxidant treatment group [32]. Another study showed that intravenously infusing the antioxidant N-acetylcysteine (NAC) in healthy adults during aerobic cycling exercise increased the muscle GSH/GSSG ratio, decreased protein carbonylation, and lead to a small but significant decrease in post-exercise insulin sensitivity [134]. Trewin et al., 2013 [144] also reported that NAC infusion during high-intensity interval exercise in well-trained cyclists increased blood glucose levels alongside increased fat oxidation, indicating an alteration of substrate oxidation during exercise with antioxidant treatment which coincided with impaired time trial cycling performance. In rodents, administration of NAC prevents the enhancement of post-exercise insulin sensitivity in Gpx1<sup>−/−</sup> mice [29], and impairs contraction-mediated glucose uptake during ex vivo mouse skeletal muscle contraction [145]. Together, findings suggest that exercise-induced ROS play a key role in insulin and glucose regulation and raises doubt over the use of antioxidants as a one-stop-shop treatment for improving health and wellbeing.

**Exercise as an antioxidant.** The potential benefits of exercise-induced oxidative eustress on insulin and glucose regulation may also extend beyond their direct involvement in mediating insulin-dependent and -independent glucose uptake. It is well-established that acute exercise transiently increases ROS generation, which over-time with regular exercise training, promotes the adaptation and upregulation of endogenous antioxidant defenses and/or decreases markers of systemic oxidative stress [32,124,125,128–130]. Exercise-induced changes in redox homeostasis, including decreased markers of systemic oxidative distress, often coincide with improvements in insulin and glucose regulation [104,146,147]. Twelve weeks of moderate-intensity cycling exercise training in obese individuals increased skeletal muscle Cu/ZnSOD and MnSOD protein content, decreased urinary 8-OHdG and 8-isoprostanates, decreased skeletal muscle 4-HNE and protein carbonyls, while improving HOMA-IR and 2 h OGTT glucose and insulin levels [146]. Associations between reductions in urinary 8-OHdG and reduced glycated albumin following 12 months of moderate intensity aerobic training in T2D patients have also been reported [147]. Konopka et al., 2015 [104] reported that 12 weeks of aerobic exercise training in obese females with polycystic ovary syndrome decreased fasting skeletal muscle mitochondrial H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production and muscle 8-oxo-dG, increased muscle catalase activity, and prevented the increase in muscle protein carbonyls observed over 12-weeks of sedentary behavior in obese controls [104]. These changes in muscle redox homeostasis coincided with improved insulin sensitivity as measured by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp, HOMA and postprandial responses to a high-fat mixed nutrient meal [104]. Exercise intensity may also play a role in altering redox homeostasis and glycemic control [50,138,140, 148], with one study revealing that 12 weeks of high-intensity interval-exercise training in adults with T2D led to improved HOMA-IR, decreased TBARS and von Willebrand factor, and increased glutathione peroxidase and nitric oxide, effects that were absent in the continuous training comparison group [149].

Redox homeostasis and measures of glycemic control are, however, not always consistently altered by exercise training [150–153]. For example, despite lower baseline fasting levels of urinary 8-iso PGF<sub>2α</sub> correlating with lower minimum glucose levels measured by continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) over a 24 h period in T2D patients, urinary 8-iso PGF<sub>2α</sub> was unchanged after 2 weeks of interval walking training despite improvements in CGM-derived measures of glycemic control [152]. In adults with T2D, neither 1 year of high-intensity interval training or moderate-intensity continuous training significantly altered total antioxidant capacity, 8-iso-prostanates, glutathione peroxidase, or protein carbonyls, although sex-specific sub-analysis revealed MICT decreased protein carbonyls in females and decreased total antioxidant capacity in males [153]. Krause et al., 2014 [150] reported an increase in plasma catalase antioxidant activity and decrease in plasma protein carbonyls in participants with T2D after 16 weeks of moderate-intensity exercise training, however, measures of glycemic control were unaffected. Furthermore, an energy-restricted diet combined with 12 weeks of aerobic exercise training in overweight and obese patients with T2D led to similar changes as the diet alone including decreased plasma MDA, increased total antioxidant status (TAS), and improved measures of body fat, blood pressure, lipids, blood glucose, HbA1c and HOMA2-IR.
| Author (year) | Participants | Study Design/Intervention | Oxidative stress/Antioxidant outcomes | Health Outcomes |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Farinha et al., 2015 [151] | 23 women with metabolic syndrome (MS) | Exercise: 12 weeks, 30–60 min treadmill exercise, 3 x p/wk at 50–65% HRR | Oxidative stress and antioxidant status, plasma parameters: ↓ TBARS ↓ AOPP ↑ total thiols ↔ NOx ↓ protein carbonyls | ↔ BP or resting HR ↓ body weight ↓ BMI ↓ glucose |
| Henriquez-Krause et al., 2014 [152] | 3 young healthy men | Exercise: 30 min of cycling exercise at 65% peak power output | Oxidative stress marker: ↑ muscle dichlorodihydroflourescein diacetate oxidation | Human component: None measured. Animal component: Mouse model with loss of function NOX2 subunit - > lack of ROS generation - impaired glucose uptake during exercise |
| Kasimay et al., 2010 [155] | 14 adults with IGT | Diet & Exercise Program: 12 weeks, 40 min treadmill exercise, 3 x p/wk at 35–60% HR reserve, combined with a diet intervention (daily calorie intake reduced by 500 kcal/d based on daily energy expenditures) | Diet & Exercise Program: ↓ TBARS ↑ sulfhydryl groups ↔ carbonyl groups ↓ plasma NO | Diet & Exercise Program: ↓ BMI, BF, BP ↓ glucose ↓ postprandial glucose ↓ HbA1c |
| Krause et al., 2014 [150] | 25 sedentary obese men with (n = 13) or without T2D (n = 12) | Exercise: 12 weeks, 30 min treadmill exercise, 3 x p/wk at low (fat-max: 30–40% VO2peak) or moderate (Tpeak: 55–65% VO2peak) intensity | Exercise in obese men without T2D: ↓ muscle nNOS and tNOx (Tmax only) ↔ AOPP, GSSG, GSH, GSSG/GSH, CAT, protein carbonyls or TAC | All groups: ↔ body composition ↔ aerobic fitness ↔ insulin resistance |
| Karstoft et al., 2017 [152] | 14 older adults with T2D | Exercise: 2 weeks crossover, interval walking training (IWT), continuous walking training (CWT), and control (habitual lifestyle). 10 x supervised treadmill sessions, CWT 10 × 60 min walking sessions alternating 3 min repetitions at slow (~54% VO2peak) and fast (~89% VO2peak) walking speed | All interventions: ↔ 8-iso PGF2α | All interventions: ↔ body composition ↔ physical fitness or BP ↓ fasting glucose ↓ MAGE (mean amplitude of glycemic excursions) ↔ HbA1c CWT intervention: No changes in measures Baseline: 8-iso PGF2α associated with baseline glucose levels Post-interventions: 8-iso PGF2α not associated with glycemic control changes |
| Konopka et al., 2015 [104] | 25 obese IR women with PCOS | Exercise: 12 weeks, 60 min cycling exercise, 5 d/wk, at 65% VO2peak (n = 12). Control (n = 13) no training. High-fat mixed nutrient meal ingested before and after training | After exercise training: ↓ muscle catalase activity ↓ muscle mH2O2 production ↓ muscle 8-oxo-dG ↔ muscle protein carbonyl ↓ muscle mH2O2 production 4 h after ingesting meal Control: ↓ protein carbonyl ↔ other measures | Exercise training group: ↓ fasting glucose ↓ insulin Control: ↓ BMI, weight, BF and maintained in AET group. |
| Mallard et al., 2017 [153] | 36 adults with T2D | Two parallel groups: High-intensity interval training (HIIT, n = 20) or moderate-intensity continuous training (MICT, n = 16), 12 weeks of combined supervised/home-based training followed by 40 weeks of home-based training. HIIT: 40 min treadmill exercise, 4 × 4 min intervals at 90–95% HRmax (3 min recovery periods at 70% HRmax), 3 x p/wk. MICT: 120 min p/wk of home-based exercise at ~70% HRmax (exercise bout classified as a minimum of 10 min). | Both MICT and HIIT: ↔ F2-isoprostanones ↔ Glutathione peroxidase ↔ TAC ↔ Protein carbonyls ↔ Interleukin-10, -6, -8, or TNF-α Sub-analysis revealed sex-specific differences. | None reported. |
| McNeilly et al., 2012 [156] | 11 obese middle-aged adults with IGT | Exercise: 12 weeks, 30 min walking exercise, 5 d/wk at 65% HRmax | Exercise: ↓ blood 34% lipid hydroperoxides ↓ blood SOD | ↓ BMI, %BF, WC, HC significant ↔ adiponectin ↔ mean glucose ↔ insulin |
Table 2 (continued)

| Author (year)                          | Participants                  | Study Design/Intervention                                                                 | Oxidative stress/Antioxidant outcomes | Health Outcomes |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Medeiros Nda et al., 2015 [157]       | 25 obese sedentary adults     | Exercise: 2 parallel groups underwent 26 sessions of concurrent training, 70 min at 50-75% VO_2peak (5 min warmup, 30 min walking, 30 min resistance training, 5 min stretching). Concurrent training (CT1) – frequency: 5 d/wk, n = 12. Concurrent training 2 (CT2) – frequency: 3 d/wk, n = 13. Antioxidant intervention: None. | CT1 Only: ↓ GPx activity, ↓ CAT, ↓ protein carbonyls. CT2 group: ↓ CAT, ↓ protein carbonyls. TRARS = SOD. | CT1 only: ↓ fasting glucose, ↑ HOMA-IR, ↑ body weight, BMI, and body fat %, ↑ fat free mass. CT2 group: ↓ fasting glucose, ↔ fasting insulin, ↓ HOMA-IR, ↓ body weight, BMI, and body fat %, ↔ fat free mass. |
| Merry et al., 2010 [158]              | 9 healthy adult males         | Exercise: 80 min cycling exercise at ~62% VO_2peak. Antioxidant intervention: IV infusion of N-acetylcysteine (NAC) or saline infusion (control). | Exercise – Control and NAC: ↔ GSH or GSSG. NAC infusion: ↓ S-glutathionylation. | NAC condition: ↔ plasma glucose. |
| Mitranun et al., 2014 [149]          | 43 adults with T2D            | Three parallel groups: Sedentary (Sed, n = 15), continuous exercise (Con, n = 14), and interval exercise (Int, n = 14) training, matched for exercise duration and energy expenditure. Sed: Remaining sedentary. Con: Treadmill exercise intervals of 30–40 min alternating between 50-60% and 80-85% VO_2peak. 3 d/wk over 12 weeks. Int: Continuous treadmill exercise of 30–40 min at 60–65% VO_2peak. 3 d/wk over 12 weeks. Antioxidant intervention: None. | Con and Int: ↓ SOD activity. Int only: ↓ TRARS, ↓ von Willebrand factor, ↓ nitric oxide, ↓ glutathione peroxidase. Sed: No changes in parameters. | Con and Int: ↓ fasting glucose, ↓ HOMA-IR. Int only: ↓ HbA1c. Sed: No changes in parameters. |
| Nojima et al., 2008 [147]            | 103 adults with T2D           | Three parallel groups: aerobic training combined with the use of a fitness center (group A, n = 43), aerobic training only (group B, n = 44), or standard care control (group C, n = 16). Exercise: Group A & B 30 min at 50% VO_2peak, 3 x p/w over 12 months. Antioxidant intervention: None. | Group A and B (exercise) ↓ urinary 8-OHdG. Control: ↔ urinary 8-OHdG. | Group A and B (exercise): ↔ VO_2peak. Control: ↔ VO_2peak. |
| Parker et al., 2017 [146] and Parker et al., 2018 [138] | 8 healthy adults             | Crossover design, cycling exercise: SIE: 4 x 30 s all-out sprints; 4.5 min recovery periods. HIIE: 5 x 4-min cycling bouts at 75% of Wmax; 1 min recovery periods. CMIE: 30 min at 50% of Wmax. Antioxidant intervention: None. | All Ex. Modes: ↓ SOD activity, ↓ TRARS, ↓ CAT activity, ↓ hydrogen peroxide. SIE versus CMIE: ↓ peak hydrogen peroxide, ↓ peak CAT activity, ↓ peak hydrogen peroxide. HIIE versus CMIE: ↓ peak hydrogen peroxide. | Between exercise modes. Exercise-intensity and post-exercise time-course effects on insulin protein signaling in skeletal muscle. |
| Parker et al., 2016 [135]            | 11 middle-aged obese men      | Exercise: 30 min cycling interval exercise, 4 min at 95% HRpeak, 2 min passive recovery periods. Two-hour hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp at rest (control day) and 60 min after exercise (separate exercise day) Antioxidant intervention: None. | Insulin stimulation both at rest and after exercise, comparable increase in: ↓ SOD activity, ↓ CAT activity, ↓ skeletal muscle 4-HNE. Significant decreases in: ↓ TRARS, ↓ hydrogen peroxide. | Exercise compared to rest: ↑ insulin sensitivity. |
| Parker et al., 2016 [50]             | 27 inactive obese or overweight sedentary adults | Standard breakfast ingested on a control day, or 1 h before undergoing either low-volume high-intensity interval exercise (LV-HIIE) or continuous moderate-intensity exercise (CMEI) LV-HIIE Group: 24 min of cycling interval exercise, 8 x 1 min cycling bouts at 100% of Wmax, with a 5 min warm up, 1 min active recovery between sets, and 3 min cool down CMIE Group: ~38 min cycling exercise at 50% Wmax. Antioxidant intervention: None. | 1 h post-breakfast: ↓ CAT activity, ↓ SOD activity, ↓ TRARS, ↓ hydrogen peroxide. 3 h post-breakfast: ↓ CAT activity, ↓ SOD activity, ↓ hydrogen peroxide. LV-HIIE group: ↓ 3 h postprandial TBARS, ↓ 3 h postprandial hydrogen peroxide, ↓ SOD or CAT. CMIE group: ↓ 3 h postprandial TBARS, ↓ 3 h postprandial SOD, ↓ hydrogen peroxide or CAT. | Both exercise groups: ↓ 24-hr average glucose levels, ↓ 24-hr hyperglycemic excursions, ↓ 24-hr peak glucose, ↓ 2-h postprandial glucose response to dinner, ↓ postprandial glucose response to breakfast. LV-HIIE: ↑ plasma insulin and glucose compared to CMEI. |
| Ristow et al., 2009 [32]             | 20 healthy young men who were untrained (n | Exercise: 4 weeks, 85 min exercise sessions (warm-up, cycle/running, circuit training, cool-down), 5 x p/wk. Post-Exercise: ↓ adiponectin levels, ↓ TRARS. Exercise intervention: ↓ insulin sensitivity. Antioxidant treatment and | | |

(continued on next page)
Table 2 (continued)

| Author (year) | Participants | Study Design/Intervention | Oxidative stress/Antioxidant outcomes | Health Outcomes |
|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Sanjoo et al., 2013 [146] | 9 obese and 9 lean men (matched for age and training status) | Exercise: 12 weeks, 30–60 min cycling exercise, 2–3 x p/wk at 50–70% VO<sub>2peak</sub>  Antioxidant intervention: None | † Cu/ZnSOD  † MnSOD  † GPx1  Exercise & antioxidant treatment: Prevented ↑ in TBARS  Post-training in obese men: ↓ 4-HNE  ↓ protein carbonyls  ↓ 8-isoprostane  ↓ MnSOD  ↔ catalase  ↔ Cu/ZnSOD  ↔ urine 8-OHdG | Post-training (obese and lean): ↓ postprandial glucose  ↔ HOMA-IR  ↑ VO<sub>2peak</sub>  ↓ WC  ↓ gynoid fat %  ↓ leg fat % |
| Schaun et al., 2011 [159] | 20 middle-aged healthy sedentary men | Exercise: Three parallel groups, 12 weeks of exercise: CT group (n = 10): concurrent (aerobic & strength) training, 20 min of cycling exercise and ~15-min of strength exercises, 3 x p/wk for at 65%–80% HRmax  AT group (n = 10): 30 min cycling exercise, 3 x p/wk at 65% HRmax  Antioxidant intervention: None | CT group: ↓ lipoperoxides  ↓ exercise-induced lipoperoxides  ↓ exercise-induced GSSG/GSH  ↓ GSSG  ↔ GSH  ↔ basal GSSG/GSH  AT group: ↓ lipoperoxides  ↓ exercise-induced GSSG/GSH  ↔ GSSG  ↔ GSH  ↔ basal GSSG/GSH | CT group: ↓ blood glucose  ↑ AT group: ↓ blood glucose |
| Trewin et al., 2015 [134] | 7 young healthy adults | Exercise: 55 min cycling exercise at 65% VO<sub>2peak</sub> and 5 min at 85%VO<sub>2peak</sub>  Antioxidant intervention: IV infusion of N-acetylcysteine (NAC) or saline (control), crossover design | Antioxidant (NAC) Intervention: ↓ post-exercise protein carbonylation  ↓ GSH/GSSG | NAC (post-exercise) compared to Control: ↓ antioxidant treatment in TBARS  ↓ 24-h urinary nitrate/nitrite  ↓ plasma MDA  ↓ blood glucose  ↓ insulin sensitivity |
| Trewin et al., 2017 [136] | 9 middle-aged obese men | Exercise: 30 min cycling interval exercise (4 × 4 min intervals at 95% HRpeak). Insulin clamp 1 h post-exercise.  Antioxidant intervention: None | Post-exercise: ↔ no change in GSSG  ↔ no change in GSH/GSSG  ↔ JH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 1-hr post-exercise  Training group: ↓ plasma POVC  ↓ plasma PGPC  ↓ PBMC PGPC or POVC  Control group: ↓ plasma PGPC or POVC  ↓ PBMC PGPC or POVC | Training group: ↑ insulin sensitivity  ↓ WC, total and LDL cholesterol  ↓ HOMA-IR  ↓ fasting insulinemia  ↔ HbA1c and BW  Control group: ↔ in above measures  Diet and exercise: ↓ BW, BF, WC, BP  ↓ insulin sensitivity  ↓ HOMA-IR  ↓ HbA1c  ↓ total and LDL cholesterol  Diet only: ↓ BW, BF, WC, BP  ↓ glucose  ↓ HOMA-IR  ↓ HbA1c  ↓ total and LDL cholesterol  Change in MDA correlated with changes in glucose, HOMA-IR and HbA1c  Similar in both groups after training: ↑ insulin sensitivity  ↑ VO<sub>2peak</sub>  ↔ glucose |
| Vinetti et al., 2015 [160] | 20 older males with T2D | Antioxidant intervention: None  Training group: ↓ plasma POVC  ↓ plasma PGPC  ↓ PBMC PGPC or POVC  Control group: ↓ plasma PGPC or POVC  ↓ PBMC PGPC or POVC | Training group: ↑ insulin sensitivity  ↓ WC, total and LDL cholesterol  ↓ HOMA-IR  ↓ fasting insulinemia  ↔ HbA1c and BW  Control group: ↔ in above measures  Diet and exercise: ↓ BW, BF, WC, BP  ↓ insulin sensitivity  ↓ HOMA-IR  ↓ HbA1c  ↓ total and LDL cholesterol  Diet only: ↓ BW, BF, WC, BP  ↓ glucose  ↓ HOMA-IR  ↓ HbA1c  ↓ total and LDL cholesterol  Change in MDA correlated with changes in glucose, HOMA-IR and HbA1c  Similar in both groups after training: ↑ insulin sensitivity  ↑ VO<sub>2peak</sub>  ↔ glucose |
| Wycherley et al., 2008 [161] | 37 overweight or obese sedentary adults | Diet and exercise (n = 13): 12 weeks, 25–60 min walking/jogging, 4–5 x p/wk at 60–80% HRpeak. Combined with high-protein, energy-restricted diet (~5500 kJ/day)  Diet only (n = 16): 12-week high-protein, energy-restricted diet (~5500 kJ/day)  Antioxidant intervention: None | Diet and exercise: ↔ no change in TASM  ↔ 24-h urinary nitrate/nitrite  ↓ plasma MDA  ↓ 24-h urinary nitrate/nitrite  Diet only: ↔ no change in TASM  ↔ 24-h urinary nitrate/nitrite  ↓ plasma MDA  ↓ 24-h urinary nitrate/nitrite | None |
| Yianti et al., 2011 [162] | 21 moderately trained young men | Exercise: 12 weeks, cycling exercise 5 x p/wk, alternating interval sessions (75–91% Power<sub>max</sub> and duration 60–80 min) and continuous sessions (55–66% Power<sub>max</sub> and duration 85–155 min)  Antioxidant intervention: oral supplementation with vitamin C (ascorbic acid, 500 mg daily) and vitamin E (RRR-α-tocopherol, 400 IU daily) (n = 11) or placebo (n = 10) for 16 weeks | None | Similar in both groups after training: ↑ insulin sensitivity  ↑ VO<sub>2peak</sub>  ↔ glucose |
Thus, improvements in redox homeostasis are possibly also due to improvements in cardiometabolic health that accompanies weight loss, in addition to the direct effects of exercise. This creates a unique redox health paradox in which exercise and muscle contraction increase ROS production, yet possess the capacity to decrease ROS production directly by increasing antioxidant activity, and/or indirectly by decreasing ROS that is produced through lifestyle factors such as physical inactivity and excess adiposity [9,22].

The most direct evidence for the role(s) of exercise-induced ROS and oxidative eustress in regulating insulin and glucose homeostasis comes from rodent and cell culture studies. Attempts to link training-induced improvements in glycemic control to altered redox homeostasis in human studies remain inconclusive. The lack of consensus likely stems from methodological limitations in human research which, to-date, lack the ability to use the mechanistic approaches that are possible in genetic rodent models and cell culture experiments. In the absence of more sophisticated study design and mechanistic experiments in humans, it is difficult, if not impossible, to delineate the complex and dynamic interactions between redox biology and insulin and glucose regulation. The vast array of exogenous antioxidants used, variation in doses and duration of treatment, and differing methods of administration, likely also influence the efficacy of the treatment and thus contribute to inconsistent findings (Table 2).

4. Working towards an era of personalized antioxidant treatment

Antioxidant treatment: a one-stop shop solution, snake oil, or a bit of both? Despite convincing evidence from rodent and cell culture research [10,13,24,26,27,85-87], experimental studies exploring antioxidant treatment in humans do not always translate to observable improvements in insulin and glucose regulation [70,90,92-95]. For example, high-dose oral NAC treatment in obese individuals (initial dose of 140 mg/kg followed by 70 mg/kg every 4 h during lipid infusion) fails to mitigate insulin resistance induced by 48 h of lipid infusion [92]. Furthermore, oral vitamin C ingestion (800 mg/day over 4 weeks) in T2D patients was not found to improve fasting measures of insulin, glucose, QUICKI, and HOMA, or insulin sensitivity measured by isoglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp [70]. Numerous other studies have also reported minimal to no effect of vitamin C treatment on fasting blood glucose, insulin and/or HbA1c in T2D patients [93-96]. Finally, a meta-analysis of seventy-eight randomized trials involving 296,707 participants also concluded that antioxidant treatment (beta-carotene, selenium, or vitamins A, C, and E) does not lead to significantly better overall health outcomes or survival in healthy participants or patients with various diseases including those with T2D [90].

Similar ineffective antioxidant treatment or null findings have been reported during conditions of oxidative eustress including muscle contraction and exercise. For example, Yfanti et al. [162] reported that antioxidant supplementation (vitamin C: 500 mg daily, vitamin E: 400 IU daily) in young and healthy men did not alter insulin sensitivity after 12-weeks of intensive cycling exercise training, performed five times per week [162]. Likewise, NAC infusion during exercise in active young healthy men did not affect glucose metabolism during 80 min of cycling exercise at ~62% VO2peak despite attenuation of exercise-induced oxidative stress measured by S-glutationylation [158]. NAC treatment also failed to alter insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in isolated mouse skeletal muscle [145] or post-exercise insulin sensitivity in wild type mice [29]. A possible contributing factor to the contradictory or null findings is the large redox interindividual variability in humans, or in other words, the large variation in redox phenotypes, [142]. In this unique study, redox screening in healthy individuals revealed markedly varied levels in an array of redox biomarkers at rest (basal), and in response to acute and chronic exercise training [142]. Importantly, this study showed that individuals who exhibited greater systemic oxidative stress in response to acute exercise (urinary F2-isoprostanes) also exhibited greater training-induced improvements in VO2peak, time trial and Wingate performance, compared to individuals with lower exercise-induced oxidative stress. These findings, and others [143,163,164], indicate that even in apparently healthy individuals, measures of redox homeostasis can be markedly different at the individual level which has important implications for health (e.g., exercise capacity) and the potential effectiveness of antioxidant treatment.

Current evidence is lacking to provide a clear consensus on antioxidant treatment as a one-stop-shop solution for improving insulin and glucose regulation in humans. On the contrary, due to the large variation in antioxidant treatment regimens and populations investigated, and the acknowledged coexistence of oxidative distress and eustress (Tables 1 and 2), it is possible that the effectiveness of antioxidant treatment is influenced largely by the context of treatment.

Context-sensitive treatment. In a meta-analysis of 22 RCT trials involving 937 adults ranging across the healthy and T2D spectrum, it was concluded that vitamin C treatment did not lead to significant improvements in common measures of fasting and postprandial glycemic control [91]. Notably, however, subgroup analysis revealed that despite a lack of an effect of treatment in healthy individuals, improvements in fasting and/or postprandial glucose concentrations were observed in individuals with T2D or coronary heart disease [91]. As such, antioxidant treatment that is designed to restore redox homeostasis may be more effective at improving health outcomes in populations with a pre-existing history of a redox imbalance or antioxidant deficiency.

Recently, nicotinamide riboside supplementation was found to improve muscle isometric peak torque and fatigue only in older individuals whom, compared to younger controls, exhibited lower basal erythrocyte glutathione and NAD(P)H levels, and higher urine F2-isoprostanes [165]. Similarly, one study found that antioxidant treatment with vitamin C or E for 2 months reduced plasma F2-isoprostane levels only in individuals that had high baseline levels of F2-isoprostane [166]. Furthermore, 1 h of intravenous glutathione infusion in T2D patients improves the intracellular GSH/GSSG ratio (~47%) to that of similar levels measured in healthy control individuals alongside substantially improved insulin sensitivity (~24%), whereas comparatively only marginal improvements in the GSH/GSSG ratio (~18%) and insulin sensitivity (~6%) were observed in healthy controls [65]. Vitamin E treatment (900 mg/day for 4 months) leads to substantially greater improvements in insulin stimulated glucose disposal in T2D patients (~48%) compared to healthy individuals (~22%) [67]. Likewise, vitamin C treatment in individuals with T2D appears to be more effective at reducing HbA1c levels when baseline HbA1c levels are higher [89]. Measures of redox homeostasis can be markedly varied even among apparently healthy individuals (i.e., even healthy individuals can be in a state of high, moderate or low systemic oxidative stress), which importantly has been shown to directly influence the effectiveness of antioxidant treatment [142,143]. The impact of

Abbreviations: AOPP: advanced oxidation protein products; BF: body Fat; BP: blood Pressure; BW: body weight; CAT: Catalase, CuZnSOD: copper-zinc-superoxide dismutase, Gpx1: glutathione peroxidase 1, GSH: reduced glutathione, CMIE: continuous moderate-intensity exercise, GSSG: glutathione disulphide, GSH/GSSG: ratio, HiIE: high-Intensity Interval Exercise, HbA1C: Hemoglobin A1C, HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, HRR: Heart rate reserve, IGT: impaired glucose tolerance, IR: insulin resistant, LV-HiIE: low-volume high-intensity interval-exercise, MDA: malondialdehyde, mHtO2: mitochondrial hydrogen peroxide, NAC: N-acetylcysteine, NOx: nitrogen oxides; NOX2: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase 2, oxPAPC: oxidation of 1-palmitoyl-2-araehidonyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphorylcholine, PBMC: peripheral blood mononuclear cells, POVPc: 1-palmitoyl-2-[5-oxa]valeryl]-sn-glycero-3-phosphorylcholine, PGPC: 1-palmitoyl-2-glutaryl-sn-glycero-3-phosphorylcholine, SOD: superoxide dismutase, T2D: type 2 diabetes, TAS: total antioxidant status, TBARS: thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances; TSH: total thyroid content, WC: waist circumference.
different redox phenotypes in healthy individuals on glycemic control warrants future investigation. Overall, findings suggest a greater restorative capacity of antioxidant treatment in populations that are characterized by perturbed redox homeostasis and insulin resistance [10,65–69].

Another necessary factor to consider when prescribing antioxidant treatment for health promotion is the potential diametrical effects during conditions of oxidative eustress or distress. For example, glutathione infusion improves insulin sensitivity in healthy adults [65], yet the infusion of n-acetylcysteine in healthy adults during exercise impairs the post-exercise insulin sensitizing response [134]. It is possible that antioxidant treatment designed to improve glycemic control and insulin sensitivity in adults with T2D, may also lead to blunting of the beneficial insulin sensitizing response elicited by acute and chronic exercise training, warranting a specific antioxidant treatment plan that considers both oxidative distress and eustress conditions. Although, to our knowledge, this type of research in humans has yet be conducted. We propose that a context-sensitive and considered approach to prescribing
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**Fig. 1.** ROS play both a physiological and pathophysiological role in human health and disease. As such, effective antioxidant treatment will need to consider the dynamic and ever evolving context of oxidative eustress and distress in humans.
antioxidant treatment for health promotion and disease prevention is required. An approach which has yet to be fully realized due to limitations in current research.

Redox screening and targeted antioxidant treatment. The identification of oxidative distress (i.e., through measuring systemic markers of oxidative stress such as F2-isoprostanes) is an important step for identifying the potential effectiveness of an antioxidant treatment regime [166]. However, recent work by our group has shown that testing for an array of antioxidant deficiencies is likely to be a more sensitive and robust approach to effective redox screening and personalized antioxidant treatment [143,167]. For example, both targeted and non-targeted antioxidant treatment regimes in healthy adults lead to a similar decrease in systemic oxidative stress as assessed by decreased urinary F2-isoprostanes [143]. However, improvements in exercise performance including measures of \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \), isometric peak torque, time trial, and/or Wingate performance, were only consistently observed when low basal levels of vitamin C or GSH levels were restored by 30 days of treatment with the respective treatments of vitamin C or NAC [143,165,166]. In the context of disease, critically ill patients exhibit similar elevated levels of systemic oxidative stress (F2-isoprostanes) yet deficiencies in specific antioxidant defenses are distinct and varied among patients over a 7-day Intensive Care Unit stay [167]. Taken together, these findings suggest that a similar “redox screening” for antioxidant deficiencies and subsequent “personalized antioxidant treatment” approach may be required to elicit more effective antioxidant treatment for chronic diseases including T2D.

Treatment duration, dose, and antioxidant bioavailability. Increasing doses of oral vitamin C treatment in vitamin C deficient women leads to a dose-dependent increase in protection of plasma samples against ex vivo peroxyl radical insult [168]. In vivo, a recent meta-analysis reported that antioxidant treatment with vitamin C in T2D patients is likely to be more effective at improving \( \text{HbA1c} \) levels when supplementation periods are longer than 12 weeks [89]. Likewise, the effect size of improvements in glucose concentrations (fasting or post-prandial) from over 22 randomized control trials in humans were associated with longer treatment periods (>30 days) [91]. In one study plasma vitamin C levels in T2D patients were only modestly improved, and were still well below healthy normative values, after oral vitamin C treatment for 4 weeks at a daily dose 800 mg [70]; a treatment regime which may have contributed to the lack of reported improvements in insulin sensitivity. In the context of exercise, higher dose vitamin C [(1000 mg/day)] and vitamin E (400 IU/day) treatment in healthy adults attenuates improvements in insulin sensitivity after 4 weeks of endurance exercise training [32], whereas lower dose treatment (500 mg vitamin C and 400 IU vitamin E, per day) has little effect on similar health outcomes after a 12-week training program [162]. As such, it is possible that many studies reporting ineffective antioxidant treatment may be limited by short-term treatment durations and low doses.

Antioxidant bioavailability is also affected by the route of administration. Acute intravenous vitamin C infusion (80 min infusion protocol) substantially increases plasma ascorbic acid levels (~15-fold) in sedentary older men, whereas a more modest increase in plasma ascorbic acid levels (~2-fold) was achieved by oral ingestion (500 mg per day for 30 days) [169]. Notably, brachial flow-mediated dilation in the older sedentary men was improved only with the intravenous vitamin C infusion, suggesting that both bioavailability and bioactivity of the antioxidant are influenced by the method of administration [169]. The effectiveness of oral antioxidants such as NAC and mitoQ may also be limited when taken orally due to extensive first pass metabolism and subsequent low bioavailability in the circulation [170,171]. Oral NAC treatment during 48 h of lipid infusion fails to prevent lipid-induced oxidative stress and insulin resistance in obese men [92], whereas intravenous NAC infusion in rodents [172] and glutathione infusion in healthy humans [82] attenuates insulin resistance during similar lipid-induced oxidative distress conditions. In the same cohort of obese individuals where oral NAC treatment was ineffective, it was established that two-weeks of pre-treatment with the oral antioxidant taurine attenuated both lipid-induced oxidative stress and insulin resistance [92]. Recent evidence in humans indicates that pre-treatment with the mitochondrial-targeted coenzyme Q10 (mitoQ) for 21 days attenuated biomarkers of nuclear and mitochondrial DNA damage in lymphocytes and skeletal muscle following a single bout of high-intensity interval exercise [173]. In contrast, a single dose of mitoQ (20 mg) taken 1 h before exercise had no effect under the same experimental conditions [173]. Adequate treatment duration, dose, and method of administration may therefore be necessary for some oral antioxidants to reach sufficient bioavailability.

Methodological differences in study design likely contribute to contradictory findings among the literature (Tables 1 and 2). Despite this, current evidence supports that antioxidant treatment dose, duration, method of administration, and the subsequent bioavailability, are important factors influencing the effectiveness of treatment in both health and disease. It should be noted however that increasing antioxidant doses does not always lead to a linear increase in bioavailability, such is the case with NAC and vitamin C [174,175], and in some cases may be linked to greater adverse effects including nausea, flatulence, abdominal discomfort, and diarrhea [175,176]. Furthermore, larger doses can even have the opposite effect and lead to a pro-oxidant redox environment depending on the type of antioxidant [177]. As such, treatment duration and dose need to be carefully considered when investigating the effectiveness of antioxidant treatment for altering redox homeostasis and health outcomes (Fig. 1).

Subcellular redox regulation of insulin resistance and glucose metabolism. Inconsistent reports and lack of clarity around redox mechanisms regulating insulin dependent and independent glucose uptake are not surprising given that redox homeostasis and redox-sensitive cell signaling, especially in humans, are commonly measured at the systemic level (i.e., measured in whole blood or tissue homogenates). Growing evidence now supports that ROS-mediated cellular function, including cell metabolism and many exercise-mediated health adaptations, are likely to be dictated by complex redox reactions and redox cell signaling that occur at the subcellular and intra-organelle level [5,24,34,109,133,178–180].

Elevated mitochondrial ROS production in skeletal muscle is consistently reported during chronic and acute experimental conditions of insulin resistance including high-fat and high-glucose conditions in cell culture, rodents, and humans [9,10,13,25,85]. In contrast, ROS produced during exercise is suggested to be largely cytosolic in nature and occur through non-mitochondrial derived sources, such as NADPH oxidase 2 (NOX2), which reside within the plasma membrane or transverse tubules of skeletal muscle [8,109]. Our team also reported that skeletal muscle mitochondrial ROS production (\( \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{2} \)) is decreased in the hours after exercise [136,181], which in obese middle-aged males occurred alongside improved post-exercise insulin sensitivity [136]. Similar findings in rodents using redox-sensitive fluorescent probes have provided direct evidence that cytosolic ROS production increases in skeletal muscle after acute exercise, whereas mitochondrial ROS is decreased [133]. As such, redox regulation of ROS and antioxidant activity, and the subsequent effects on insulin dependent and independent glucose uptake, are likely to be controlled in a compartmentalized and spatiotemporal manner. However, precise subcellular redox reactions that contribute to divergent outcomes on insulin dependent and independent glucose metabolism remain to be elucidated.

Targeting mitochondrial ROS production with antioxidants that localize within the mitochondria such as SS31, MitoTEMPO, and MitoQ, are reported to be largely effective at improving glycemic control and insulin sensitivity in rodent and cell culture models of insulin resistance [10,13,25]. However, the effectiveness of mitochondrial targeted antioxidants in humans are mixed [173,182–184]. Recently, it was reported that 6 weeks of daily MitoQ (20 mg) treatment in middle-aged men led to a small suppression of mitochondrial \( \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{2} \), yet treatment failed to impact mitochondrial function or measures of insulin resistance.
including HOMA-IR, HbA1c, and fasting insulin and glucose levels [182]. In the context of exercise, markers of mitochondrial damage are decreased during acute exercise with 21 days of daily MitoQ treatment (20 mg) in healthy men [173]. However, research investigating functional outcomes such as exercise capacity are mixed, and like systemic antioxidant treatment (e.g., vitamin C), are likely influenced by the context of treatment including the presence of oxidative eu/distress [183,184].

5. Conclusions and future directions

Research-to-date provides a confusing narrative on whether antioxidant treatment has the potential to be an effective strategy for improving health and/or treating and preventing chronic disease. However, considerable limitations in research methodology, including inadequate study design leading to ineffective antioxidant treatment, preclude accurate interpretation of findings and are likely to be masking the true effects, if any, of antioxidant treatment in humans. Factors influencing effective treatment include 1) whether oxidative distress/eustress is present (sedentary behavior, poor diet, disease, or exercise), 2) whether an endogenous antioxidant deficiency exists, 3) whether the antioxidant treatment is specifically designed to target and restore a deficiency (antioxidant specificity), 4) and the bioavailability and bioactivity of the antioxidant which are influenced by treatment dose, duration and method of administration. However, most studies have failed to account for these factors, leading to ineffective study design and a perpetual cycle of contradictory findings that have not yet been able to fully elucidate the potential of antioxidant treatment for improving health and preventing disease.

Research to-date does not support the consensus that antioxidant treatment is a one-stop-shop solution for improving health and preventing disease. It does, however, provide strong support that, depending on the context of treatment, exogenous antioxidants may be either “good”, “bad”, or “ineffective” at altering health outcomes. For example, pathological conditions characterized by perturbed redox homeostasis and elevated oxidative distress, such as observed with transient and chronic states of insulin resistance and T2D, may benefit from targeted and personalized antioxidant treatment. Whereas under physiological conditions where redox homeostasis is likely to be retained or in balance (e.g., in healthy individuals with intact antioxidant defenses), antioxidant treatment is less likely to be effective and, in some cases (e.g., exercise), may decrease oxidative eustress leading to diminished health benefits or adverse health outcomes. Surprisingly, research has yet to adequately explore the complex interaction between antioxidant treatment, insulin resistance/sensitivity, and oxidative dis/eustress in humans. Investigating whether antioxidant treatment that is targeted at decreasing oxidative distress in clinical populations (i.e., insulin resistance), may also lead to an inadvertent decrease in oxidative eustress (e.g., exercise and insulin sensitivity) should be investigated to further inform personalized and context-sensitive treatment (Fig. 2).
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