Successful Transfer of a Model T-DNA Plasmid to *E. coli* Revealed Its Dependence on Recipient RecA and the Preference of VirD2 Relaxase for Eukaryotes Rather Than Bacteria as Recipients
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In *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation (AMT) of plants, a single-strand (ss) T-DNA covalently linked with a VirD2 protein moves through a bacterial type IV secretion channel called VirB/D4. This transport system originates from conjugal plasmid transfer systems of bacteria. The relaxase VirD2 and its equivalent protein Mob play essential roles in T-DNA transfer and mobilizable plasmid transfer, respectively. In this study, we attempted to transfer a model T-DNA plasmid, which contained no left border but had a right border sequence as an origin of transfer, and a mobilizable plasmid through the VirB/D4 apparatus to *Escherichia coli*, *Agrobacterium* and yeast to compare VirD2-driven AMT with Mob-driven one. AMT was successfully achieved by both types of transfer to the three recipient organisms. VirD2-driven AMT of the two bacteria was less efficient than Mob-driven AMT. In contrast, AMT of yeast guided by VirD2 was more efficient than that by Mob. Plasmid DNAs recovered from the VirD2-driven AMT colonies showed the original plasmid structure. These data indicate that VirD2 retains most of its important functions in recipient bacterial cells, but has largely adapted to eukaryotes rather than bacteria. The high AMT efficiency of yeast suggests that VirD2 can also efficiently bring ssDNA to recipient bacterial cells but is inferior to Mob in some process leading to the formation of double-stranded circular DNA in bacteria. This study also revealed that the recipient recA gene was significantly involved in VirD2-dependent AMT, but only partially involved in Mob-dependent AMT. The apparent difference in the recA gene requirement between the two types of AMT suggests that VirD2 is worse at re-circularization to complete complementary DNA synthesis than Mob in bacteria.
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INTRODUCTION

The T-DNA transfer system is derived from bacterial conjugal plasmid DNA transfer systems (Lawley et al., 2004), which exchange genetic material between bacterial species. There are convincing similarities between the T-DNA and bacterial conjugal transfer systems (Lessl and Lankas, 1994). Agrobacterium VirD2 relaxase, in collaboration with VirD1, makes a nick at the right border (RB) and left border (LB) sequences and covalently attaches to the 5′ end of the resulting single-stranded (ss) T-DNA (Ward and Barnes, 1988; De Vos and Zambrayski, 1989; Vogel and Das, 1992; Scheiffele et al., 1995). Essentially the same reaction takes place in bacterial conjugation. TraI encoded in the F plasmid binds to and makes a nick at the origin of transfer (oriT) with assistance by the TraY protein (Ippen-Ihler and Skurray, 1993). MobA encoded in the mobilizable plasmid RSF1010 recognizes oriT as its DNA substrate (Scholz et al., 1989). The TraI and MobA relaxases produce a nick at oriT and covalently attach to the 5′ end of the resulting ssDNA of the respective plasmids (Pansegrau and Lanka, 1991; Scherzinger et al., 1993; Bohne et al., 1998; Fullner, 1998). The complexes between the relaxase and ssDNA from each plasmid DNA are transported through a T4SS into recipient cells (Frey and Bagdasarian, 1989; Zambrayski, 1992; Firth et al., 1996; Alvarez-Martinez and Christie, 2009; Wong et al., 2012).

The large operon virB in Ti plasmids (Suzuki et al., 2009) harbors 11 genes for the formation of a T4SS, called the VirB/D4 channel (Alvarez-Martinez and Christie, 2009). A similar set of genes is dedicated to the construction of a T4SS for the transfer of F and RP4/RK2 plasmids (Lawley et al., 2003; Christie et al., 2014). Transfer via the T4SS requires another factor called a coupling protein, e.g., VirD4 for the T-DNA of Ti plasmids and TraD for F. Specifically, the coupling proteins recognize nucleo-protein substrates and then pass appropriate substrates to the T4SS membrane-spanning channel, and therefore are also called the gatekeepers of the channel (Lawley et al., 2003; Christie et al., 2014).

Conjugal plasmid transfer among Gram-negative bacteria is generally recognized as the integration of four steps, namely the formation and inter-cellular transfer of ssDNA, re-circularization of the transferred DNA and completion of the complementary lagging strand DNA synthesis in recipient bacterial cells (Bhattacharjee and Meyer, 1991). The ssDNAs emerging in the recipient bacterial cytoplasm would bind to SSBs and probably to RecA before the completion of the re-circularization and complementary DNA synthesis. Conjugal transfer is quite similar to T-DNA transfer but has several differences, not only of the relaxases, but also their processes in recipient cells. During T-DNA transfer, VirD2 at the 5′-end of the ssT-DNA should remain intact in the eukaryotic recipient cytoplasm (Gelvin, 2012), while rapid re-circularization in recipient bacterial cells would be required for a high yield of plasmid transconjugants. The ssDNA binding protein VirE2 is essential for plant tumorigenesis by agrobacteria and AMT (Zupan et al., 1996), whereas the significance of plasmid-encoded SSBs remains obscure in conjugal plasmid transfer (Lanka and Pansegrau, 1999).

T-DNA transfer by Agrobacterium tumefaciens can genetically transform a broad range of eukaryotic organisms including fungi and mammalian cells under laboratory conditions (Lacroix et al., 2006). This wide transfer range suggests that the factors provided by recipient cells are so conserved that they can associate well with those from Agrobacterium. Such exotic combinations of donor and recipient organisms or T4SS and substrate DNAs could give insights into the mechanisms involved. Mobilizable plasmids are delivered through conjugation, though they possess no gene for any membrane-spanning channel (Smillie et al., 2010). Such plasmids employ a carrier T4SS supplied by a conjugative plasmid, e.g., RP4/RK2. Several mobilizable plasmids can also be transferred by the Agrobacterium VirB/D4 T4SS, e.g., RSF1010 and pTF-FC2 to plant cells (Bravo-Angel et al., 1999; Dube et al., 2004) and RSF1010 to other Agrobacterium cells (Beijersbergen et al., 1992).

At present, little is known about T-DNA transfer to bacteria. Only one paper, by Kelly and Kado (2002), has reported T-DNA transfer to a Gram-positive bacterium, Streptomyces lividans. Extensive investigation of T-DNA transfer to bacteria might reveal the differences between the processes of T-DNA transfer and conjugative transfer and how T-DNA transfer evolved to adapt to eukaryotic recipients.

In this study, we constructed a model T-DNA plasmid that contained an RB, and attempted VirD2-mediated transfer of this plasmid to bacteria and compared the results with transfer to yeast and with Mob-mediated transfer of a mobilizable plasmid. In the VirD2-driven transport experiments, the recipient E. coli exhibited much lower efficiency than yeast. Inversely, in Mob-driven transport, the recipient E. coli showed higher efficiency than yeast. These results indicate that the T-DNA transfer system retains the features of conjugal transfer, but has a functional inclination toward eukaryotes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions

The bacterial and yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. E. coli strain BW25113 and a set of knockout mutant derivatives of BW25113 (Baba et al., 2006) were supplied by the National BioResource Project (National Institute of Genetics, Japan). The recAA mutant in the set was endowed with streptomycin resistance by spontaneous mutation and a kanamycin resistance gene cassette was removed by site-specific recombination using FLP recombinase according to Baba et al. (2006). Yeast cells were cultured in liquid YPD medium at 28°C, while E. coli and Agrobacterium strains were grown in liquid LB medium at 37°C and 28°C, respectively. Co-cultivation for yeast AMT was performed as described in our previous papers (Kiyokawa et al., 2009, 2012; Ohmine et al., 2016), and is briefly explained below. Co-cultivation for AMT of bacteria was carried out essentially following that for the yeast AMT, with some modifications as mentioned in the corresponding subsection.
Plasmid Construction

The plasmids and primers used in this study are listed in Tables 1, 2, respectively. The binary plasmid pSRK-R316 was constructed as follows. pSRKKm (Khan et al., 2008) was digested with SpeI and the resulting 4.1-kbp DNA fragment lacking the mob1 gene, was amplified using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with 5phE1 and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR. A 2.9-kbp fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers pSRKKm-rep-fw2 and pSRKKm-Km-rv with pSRKsp as a template. The resulting 3.0-kbp PCR product was digested with SpeI and then self-ligated to form the plasmid pSRK-KR.
Targeted gene replacement in vivo by HR was carried out as described previously (Kiyokawa et al., 2012).

**PCR**
Amplification by PCR was carried out using KOD Plus NEO DNA polymerase (TOYOBO, Osaka) for plasmid DNA construction and DNA preparation for transformation.

**Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation of Yeast and Bacterial Strains**

AMT of the yeast strain was performed as described in our previous papers (Kiyokawa et al., 2009, 2012; Ohmine et al., 2016). In short, Agrobacterium donor cells were pre-treated with liquid AB induction medium containing 100 μM AS at 28°C for 24 h, and then co-cultivated with recipient yeast cells on solid AB mating medium containing 100 μM on solid LB medium supplemented with 50 μg/ml kanamycin and 400 μg/ml streptomycin to select for AMT transformant colony. The region around the T-DNA inserted HR sequence was amplified by PCR using the primers T-circle-fw and T-circle-rv. The PCR products were applied to sequencing reactions and analyzed with a Genetic Analyzer 3130XL (Applied Biosystems).

**Statistical Analysis**
All experiments in this study were independently repeated at least three times. Each datum shown in figures and tables represents a mean with a standard deviation. Statistical analyses were carried out using the R program version 3.3.3 and its expansion packages. Individual methods for statistical comparisons are described in each table and figure. Data of no AMT colony were excluded from the statistical analyses.

**RESULTS**
We performed AMT using Agrobacterium strain C58m and two E. coli strains, LE392sm and BW25113sm, as recipients. In this experiment, the donor Agrobacterium strain EHA105 was used with two types of plasmids. As shown in Figure 1A,
the IncQ plasmid pAY205, which is a derivative of the broad-host-range plasmid RSF1010, encodes the mob gene and oriT of RSF1010 (mobRSF1010 gene and oriTRSF1010) (Nishikawa et al., 1992). The broad-host-range plasmid pSRKKm (Figure 1B) is a pBBR1-based plasmid and encodes the mob gene and oriT of pBBR1 (mobpBBR1 gene and oriTpBBR1) (Khan et al., 2008). The new plasmid pSRK-R316 (Figure 1B) is a pSRKKm-derivative plasmid. pSRK-R316 lacks the mob gene but contains an RB and overdrive sequence set derived from the binary plasmid pBIN19 (Bevan, 1984). The RB and overdrive sequence set is abbreviated to RB in this paper. Therefore, pSRK-R316 was expected to be recognized by VirD2 and transported through the VirB/D2 channel.

**Successful Transfer of the Model T-DNA Plasmid to E. coli and Agrobacterium**

In the test of DNA transfer to bacteria, mobilization of pAY205 to Agrobacterium strain C58m occurred at high efficiency ($2.7 \times 10^{-4}$) (Table 3A). However, when incubated with the donor Agrobacterium containing pSRK-R316, C58m produced only a few offspring colonies at an efficiency of $2.3 \times 10^{-7}$ (Figure 2 and Table 3A). Similar results with lower efficiencies were obtained when E. coli strain LE392sm was employed as a recipient (Figure 2 and Table 3A), whereas the experiment using E. coli strain BW25113sm as a recipient produced many more transformant colonies than that using LE392sm. The AMT efficiency of BW25113sm for pSRK-R316 reached an order of $10^{-5}$ ($2.4 \times 10^{-5}$) (Figure 2 and Table 3A).

**Reverse Fitness of AMT to Recipient Organisms Depending on VirD2 and Mob**

Next, we tested the AMT ability of the plasmids pSRK-R316 and pAY205 using yeast as a eukaryotic recipient. As shown in Figure 2, high AMT efficiencies were achieved not only with pAY205 but also pSRK-R316. pSRK-R316 exhibited a fivefold
higher efficiency \( (1.7 \times 10^{-2}) \) than pAY205 did \( (3.6 \times 10^{-3}) \) (Figure 2 and Table 3A).

The AMT efficiency of the Mob-driven transfer (pAY205) was 6-fold and 46-fold higher than that of the VirD2-driven transfer (pSRK-R316) when the recipient cells were \( E. coli \) BW25113sm and LE392sm, respectively (Table 3A). These AMT data of the bacterial recipients were contrary to the AMT data of the yeast strain (Figure 2 and Table 3A). The AMT efficiency of Mob-driven transfer (pAY205) was fivefold less than that of VirD2-driven transfer (pSRK-R316) when the recipient cells were yeast. When the VirD2-mediated AMT efficiency was normalized by dividing by the Mob-mediated AMT efficiency in each recipient species, VirD2-mediated AMT of yeast was superior by 33-fold to that of \( E. coli \) BW25113sm. AMT of Agrobacterium strain C58sm was inferior by more than 100-fold to that of BW25113sm.

In this study, AMT efficiency was calculated by dividing the AMT transformant colony number by the output recipient cell number. To confirm the reliability of the formulas used to evaluate the VirD2 and Mob relaxases, we repeated the above experiments but measured input cell numbers and output donor cell numbers in addition to the output recipient cell number. As shown in Table 4, calculations using other denominator factors including the square root of (donor number \( \times \) recipient number) (Simonsen et al., 1990) consistently demonstrated the preference of VirD2-driven transport for yeast, similar to calculations using the standard formulas.

### Table 3 | AMT of Gram-negative bacteria and a yeast.

| Transferred plasmid (Relevant characteristics) | Recipient | AMT efficiency | %pSRK-R136 transfer |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|
| **(A)**                                      |           |               |                     |
| pSRK-R316 (RB, oriT<sup>BBRI</sup>)          | C58m      | NT            | 100                 |
| pAY205 (ori<sup>RSF1010</sup>, mob<sup>RSF1010</sup>) | C58m      | NT            | 117391              |
| **E. coli**                                   |           |               |                     |
| pSRK-R316 (RB, oriT<sup>BBRI</sup>)          | LE392sm   | NT            | 100                 |
| pAY205 (ori<sup>RSF1010</sup>, mob<sup>RSF1010</sup>) | LE392sm   | NT            | 4576                |
| pSRK-R316 (RB, oriT<sup>BBRI</sup>)          | BW25113sm | <(9.3 ± 1.3) \times 10^{-8} | 100 |
| pSRK-R316A-RB (ori<sup>BBRI</sup>)           | BW25113sm | <(6.7 ± 0.1) \times 10^{-8} | 0 |
| pAY205 (ori<sup>RSF1010</sup>, mob<sup>RSF1010</sup>) | BW25113sm | <(1.9 ± 0.6) \times 10^{-7} | 667 |
| **Yeast**                                     |           |               |                     |
| pSRK-R316 (RB, oriT<sup>BBRI</sup>)          | BY4742    | <(1.8 ± 0.6) \times 10^{-5} | 100 |
| pAY205 (ori<sup>RSF1010</sup>, mob<sup>RSF1010</sup>) | BY4742    | <(1.7 ± 0.1) \times 10^{-5} | 21 |

| Donor Agrobacterium (Transferred plasmid) | Relevant genotype and characteristics in donor | Recipient | AMT efficiency | %WT |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----|
| **(B)**                                   |                                               |           |               |     |
| **E. coli**                               |                                               |           |               |     |
| WT (pSRK-R316)                            | virE<sup>+</sup>, virD2<sup>+</sup> (RB, oriT<sup>BBRI</sup>) | BW25113sm | (2.7 ± 1.2) \times 10^{-5} | 100 |
| virE<sup>Δ</sup>, virD2<sup>+</sup> (RB, oriT<sup>BBRI</sup>) | BW25113sm | (1.8 ± 0.6) \times 10^{-5} | 66 |
| virD2<sup>Δ</sup> (pSRK-R316)              | BW25113sm | <(3.5 ± 0.9) \times 10^{-9} | 0 |
| WT (pAY205)                               | virE<sup>+</sup>, virD2<sup>+</sup> (ori<sup>RSF1010</sup>, mob<sup>RSF1010</sup>) | BW25113sm | (1.5 ± 0.7) \times 10^{-4} | 100 |
| virE<sup>Δ</sup>, virD2<sup>+</sup> (ori<sup>RSF1010</sup>, mob<sup>RSF1010</sup>) | BW25113sm | (1.2 ± 0.5) \times 10^{-4} | 80 |
| virD2<sup>Δ</sup> (pAY205)                 | BW25113sm | (1.2 ± 0.8) \times 10^{-4} | 80 |
| **Yeast**                                 |                                               |           |               |     |
| WT (pSRK-R316)                            | virE<sup>+</sup>, virD2<sup>+</sup> (RB, oriT<sup>BBRI</sup>) | BY4742    | (3.9 ± 0.5) \times 10^{-3} | 100 |
| virE<sup>Δ</sup>, virD2<sup>+</sup> (RB, oriT<sup>BBRI</sup>) | BY4742    | (1.4 ± 0.6) \times 10^{-3} | 36 |
| virD2<sup>Δ</sup> (pSRK-R316)              | BY4742    | <(3.0 ± 0.4) \times 10^{-7} | 0 |
| WT (pAY205)                               | virE<sup>+</sup>, virD2<sup>+</sup> (ori<sup>RSF1010</sup>, mob<sup>RSF1010</sup>) | BY4742    | (1.7 ± 0.5) \times 10^{-4} | 100 |
| virE<sup>Δ</sup>, virD2<sup>+</sup> (ori<sup>RSF1010</sup>, mob<sup>RSF1010</sup>) | BY4742    | (5.8 ± 2.1) \times 10^{-5} | 34 |
| virD2<sup>Δ</sup> (pAY205)                 | BY4742    | (1.2 ± 0.1) \times 10^{-4} | 71 |

The donor Agrobacterium strain EHA105 was loaded with the plasmids as transfer substrates. Mutant strains EHA105BowE2<sup>Δ</sup> and EHA105BowD2<sup>Δ</sup> were also used instead of EHA105. Data are expressed as output Km<sup>+</sup> colony number (for bacteria) or Ura<sup>+</sup> colony number (for yeast) per output recipient colony number. Data for yeasts. Single asterisks indicate significant difference (P < 0.05) by Student’s t-test against pSRK-R316 as transfer substrates in each recipient strain. Double asterisks indicate significant difference (P < 0.01) by Dunnett’s test against Wild-type donor strain harboring either pSRK-R316 or pAY205.
Consequently, we conclude that VirD2 is superior to Mob in AMT of yeast, and vice versa Mob is better than VirD2 in AMT of bacteria.

Involvement of VirD2, RB and Virulence Gene Expression in Transfer of the Model T-DNA Plasmid to E. coli and Yeast

The AMT of E. coli strains depended on Vir proteins because no transformant colonies appeared when the inducer chemical AS for expression of vir genes was omitted from the co-cultivation medium (Table 3A). Although pSRK-R316 lacked a mob^BBR1 gene, it still contained an oriT^BBR1 in addition to an RB. The region around the oriT site was required for the stable replication of pSRK-R316 in the Agrobacterium cells (data not shown); thus, the site could not be eliminated. To confirm whether pSRK-R316 was genuinely transferred in an RB-dependent manner, we constructed pSRK-R316ΔRB, which was pSRK-R316 lacking the RB but retaining oriT^BBR1. When pSRK-R316ΔRB was used in the transfer experiment to the E. coli BW25113sm strain, no transformant colony appeared, no transformant colony appeared, and no transformant colony appeared (Table 3A). Furthermore, a virD2Δ mutant and a virE2Δ mutant were used in the AMT test to determine whether the T-complex component proteins VirD2 and VirE2 are important for AMT to bacteria as well as AMT to yeast. As expected, the virD2Δ mutation in the donor Agrobacterium cells resulted in inability to transform E. coli using pSRK-R316, while the same mutation had a negligible effect (20% reduction) on AMT with pAY205 (Table 3B). These results demonstrated that AMT of E. coli by pSRK-R316 requires RB on the plasmid and VirD2 protein. In addition, the AMT of E. coli with pSRK-R316 and pAY205 occurred in a completely AS-dependent manner (Table 3A). These data demonstrated that the two plasmids were mobilized through the VirB/D4 T4SS not only in the transfer to yeast but also to E. coli, and that the transfer of pSRK-R316 was driven not by Mob^BBR1, but by VirD2, while that of pAY205 was executed by Mob^RSF1010.

Limited Effect of virE2 Null Mutation on the Transfer of Plasmids

As shown above, Mob^RSF1010-driven transfer was apparently less efficient than VirD2-driven transfer to the yeast recipient (Figure 2 and Table 3). Conversely, the AMT efficiency of Mob^RSF1010-driven transfer was obviously higher than that of VirD2-driven transfer to bacterial recipients (Table 3A). One feasible explanation for the lower efficiency of VirD2-driven AMT than Mob-driven AMT of yeast is suppression of VirE2 protein export to recipients by RSF1010-derived plasmids in the donor Agrobacterium cells (Binns et al., 1995; Stahl et al., 1998; Bravo-Angel et al., 1999). Supply of VirE2 is required for efficient AMT of yeast (Bundock et al., 1995; Kiyokawa et al., 2012), and a prerequisite for AMT of plants (Binns et al., 1995). Therefore, the RSF1010-derived plasmid pAY205 might decrease its own MobA-driven AMT efficiency of yeast due to decreased VirE2 transport.

To check the validity of the above presumption, we examined the effect of a null mutation in the virE2 gene. As shown in Table 3B, however, virE2Δ mutation in the donor Agrobacterium strain barely affected AMT of E. coli BW25113 in either of the two types of transfer. Conversely, the same mutation decreased the AMT efficiency of yeast to one-third in both types of transfer. Even in the absence of VirE2, therefore, replacement of the yeast recipient with a bacterial one increased the AMT efficiency of Mob-driven transfer and decreased the efficiency of VirD2-driven transfer (Table 3B). In conclusion, the decreased VirE2 supply due to the presence of the RSF1010-derived plasmid in the donor cells has little effect, if any, on AMT of bacteria and a limited effect on AMT of yeast.
| TABLE 4 | AMT efficiency calculated by different formulas. |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Donor** (Transferred plasmid: Relevant characteristics) | **Recipient** | AMT colony number divided by output recipient colony number | AMT colony number divided by input donor colony number | AMT colony number divided by input recipient colony number | AMT colony number divided by SquareRoot (input donor colony number \times input recipient colony number) | AMT colony number divided by SquareRoot (output donor colony number \times output recipient colony number) |
| **E. coli** | | %pSRK-R136 transfer | %pSRK-R136 transfer | %pSRK-R136 transfer | %pSRK-R136 transfer | %pSRK-R136 transfer |
| EHA105 (pSRK-R316: RB, oriT pBBR1) | BW25113sm | (1.2 ± 0.5) \times 10^{-5} | 100 | (4.3 ± 2.2) \times 10^{-6} | 100 | (4.7 ± 3.6) \times 10^{-5} | 100 | (1.4 ± 0.9) \times 10^{-5} | 100 | (9.1 ± 4.3) \times 10^{-6} | 100 |
| EHA105 (pAY205: oriT RSF1010, mob RSF1010) | BW25113sm | (6.6 ± 1.0) \times 10^{-5} \times b | 550 | (3.9 ± 0.6) \times 10^{-6} \times b | 910 | (2.8 ± 0.9) \times 10^{-4} \times b | 596 | (1.0 ± 0.2) \times 10^{-4} \times b | 714 | (6.2 ± 0.3) \times 10^{-5} \times b | 681 |
| **Yeast** | | | | | | | | |
| BY4742 | | | | | | | | |
| EHA105 (pSRK-R316: RB, oriT pBBR1) | BY4742 | (1.9 ± 0.6) \times 10^{-2} | 100 | (9.5 ± 1.2) \times 10^{-5} | 100 | (2.8 ± 0.6) \times 10^{-2} | 100 | (1.6 ± 0.2) \times 10^{-3} | 100 | (1.1 ± 0.2) \times 10^{-3} | 100 |
| EHA105 (pAY205: oriT RSF1010, mob RSF1010) | BY4742 | (1.5 ± 0.8) \times 10^{-3} \times b | 7.9 | (3.6 ± 2.2) \times 10^{-5} \times b | 38 | (8.3 ± 4.7) \times 10^{-3} \times b | 30 | (5.4 ± 3.1) \times 10^{-4} \times b | 34 | (2.3 ± 1.3) \times 10^{-4} \times b | 21 |

| VirD2’s yeast preference index \(^a\) | 70 | 24 | 20 | 21 | 32 |

\(^a\)VirD2’s yeast preference index normalized by Mob’s performance (AMT efficiency of Mob-driven transfer to bacterium/AMT efficiency of VirD2-driven transfer to bacterium)/(AMT efficiency of Mob-driven transfer to yeast/AMT efficiency of VirD2-driven transfer to yeast) = Mob’s bacterium preference index normalized by VirD2’s performance (AMT efficiency of VirD2-driven transfer to yeast/AMT efficiency of VirD2-driven transfer to bacterium)/(AMT efficiency of VirD2-driven transfer to bacterium). \(^b\)Single, double, and triple asterisks indicate significant difference (P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001, respectively) by Welch’s t-test against pSRK-R316 as transfer substrates in each recipient strain.
Whelming Importance of recA Gene in Recipient Cells for VirD2-Driven AMT, and Less but Significant Importance for Mob-Driven AMT

As shown above, E. coli strains BW25113sm and LE392sm were competent to receive pSRK-R316 from the Agrobacterium donor. However, the AMT efficiencies of the two strains differed by more than 10-fold. Therefore, we applied the VirD2-driven transfer system to other E. coli strains. As shown in Figure 3, the DH10B strain was incompetent for AMT of pSRK-R316. As DH10B is a recA deficiency mutant, and BW25113 and LE392 are recA+, the trial was extended to two more recA− mutant strains, S17-1, pir and HB101. Similar to DH10B, both strains were apparently unsuitable as recipients for VirD2-driven AMT (Figure 3).

The defectiveness of the recA− strains suggested the involvement of the recA gene in recipient cells for VirD2-driven AMT in E. coli. This idea was confirmed by experiments using a recAA derivative of the BW25113 strain. As indicated in Figure 4, VirD2-driven AMT was 32-fold less efficient in the recAA strain than in BW25113sm.

In contrast to the large variation among the laboratory E. coli strains due to the recA-dependence of VirD2-driven transfer, all five E. coli strains were apparently competent for Mob-driven AMT (Figure 3). All strains except HB101 exhibited efficiencies ranging from 10^{-6} to 10^{-5}. HB101 showed a much higher efficiency that reached 10^{-3} (Figure 3).

As shown in Figure 4, the recAA mutant of the BW25113 strain showed a threefold lower Mob-driven AMT efficiency than the wild type strain. The ratio was much lower than that (32-fold) of VirD2-driven AMT, but still apparent. This finding suggests some role for the RecA protein even in Mob-driven AMT.

Evaluation of Two recA+ E. coli Strains by DNA Transformation

VirD2-driven AMT was successfully carried out using E. coli strain BW25113sm. Apparent, but less efficient, AMT was observed when BW25113sm was replaced with LE392sm. According to their genotypes (Table 1), there was no difference in genes that might affect DNA and cellular processes such as DNA repair and modification. Their plasmid DNA transformation ability was measured to see whether the two strains had any difference in their ability to block foreign DNAs. Electroporation was carried out using intact pSRK-R316 and its PCR product as circular and linear DNA substrates, respectively. The latter was a blunt-ended dsDNA containing almost the entire plasmid sequence. As shown in Figure 5, the transformation frequency of LE392sm was approximately 10-fold higher than that of
BW25113sm for both DNA substrates. When the transformation frequency of the linear DNA substrate (L) was normalized to that of the circular DNA substrate (C), the resulting linear versus circular (L/C) ratio was comparable between the two strains (Figure 5), demonstrating that there was no difference in the ability to circularize double-stranded DNA between the strains. These data suggest that the high AMT ability of the BW25113sm strain was specific for VirD2-driven transfer.

Intact Structure of Plasmid DNA After VirD2-Driven Transfer to E. coli

The structure of pSRK-R316 after its AMT transfer to recipient E. coli cells was examined as demonstrated in Figure 6. VirD2-driven transfer was performed on BW25113sm, and then the plasmid DNAs were extracted from eight colonies. Restriction enzyme digestion of the plasmid DNAs suggested that the transferred plasmid DNAs retained their native structures.
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**FIGURE 7** | Schematic models of AMT of bacteria with an emphasis on the DNA structure of transfer intermediates, relaxase and ssDNA binding proteins (SSB and VirE2). ssDNA covalently linked with a relaxase protein, either Mob (filled red circle) or VirD2 (red circle marked with a star), is formed by the action of the relaxase on its target plasmid DNA. Rolling circle replication produces a monomer (I) molecule with a relaxase at each 5′-terminus. The nucleoprotein is mobilized via a VirB/D4 channel to a recipient bacterium. Upon entry of the nucleoprotein molecule, DNA polymerase starts lagging strand synthesis. Simultaneously, ssDNA portions are bound by ssDNA binding proteins, namely VirE2 from the donor, recipient SSB, RecA and proteins whose expression is enhanced by RecA. Mob catalyzes re-circularization at high efficiency, while VirD2 re-circularizes less efficiently in the recipient bacterial cell. The nucleoprotein molecule in the donor cell can also participate in another process that was previously proposed for yeast AMT (Rollos et al., 2014). Two molecules are merged to form a concatemer linked with a relaxase (II); this reaction releases a relaxase molecule. Rolling circle replication sometimes produces a concatemer (III) molecule having a relaxase at each 5′-terminus. The concatemers (II,III) enter the recipient bacterium and finally produce monomeric circles through HR directed by RecA.

(Figures 6A,B). Further analysis of the extracted plasmids confirmed that the nucleotide sequence at/around the RB was identical to that of pSRK-R316 (Figure 6C).

**DISCUSSION**

**Successful Model T-DNA Plasmid Transfer to Bacteria, and Its Impact**

T-DNA was transmitted to a Gram-positive bacterium, *Streptomyces lividans*, from *Agrobacterium* via the VirB/D4 system (Kelly and Kado, 2002), and derivatives of the RSF1010 plasmid were mobilized to *Agrobacterium* (Beijersbergen et al., 1992). This paper has shown that two Gram-negative bacteria, *E. coli* and *A. tumefaciens*, are capable of receiving the model T-DNA plasmid pSRK-R316 from *Agrobacterium*. These data suggest that the VirB/D4 transfer apparatus has the fundamental potential to cover the domain Bacteria as the recipient range in AMT.

The model T-DNA plasmid pSRK-R316 contains an RB but no LB, just like conjugative and mobilizable plasmids including pAY205 possess an oriT. The transfer of pSRK-R316 depended strictly on VirD2 (Figures 2–4 and Table 3B), and the plasmid DNA showed the same structure after transfer as the original plasmid DNA (Figure 6). These results suggest that the VirD2-driven transfer system retains the functionality of the ancestral conjugal transfer system.

The strain BW25113sm was the best recipient for VirD2-driven transfer of the model T-DNA plasmid among the *E. coli* strains we examined in this study. Various tools are available in the strain BW25113. Notably, systematic resources including mutants have been constructed using BW25113 and its near identical strain W3110 (Baba et al., 2006; Rajagopala et al., 2010), and their phenotypes in several conditions have been described. Such resources would assist the study of the molecular processes of DNA transfer in recipient cells.

**Characteristics of VirD2 Revealed in Reference to Mob**

This study indicated that the fitness of Mob-driven AMT (transfer of pAY205) to recipient organisms is inverse to that of VirD2-driven AMT (transfer of pSRK-R316) (Figure 2 and Table 3). High and very low frequencies of VirD2-driven AMT were observed in the transfer to yeast and bacterial strains, respectively. This result is reasonable if we consider that plants are the native target recipients for VirD2 and yeast belongs to the domain Eukaryota as do plants. Based on the high frequency of Mob-driven AMT of bacteria and the high frequency of VirD2-driven AMT of yeast via the same T4SS, we speculate that pSRK-R316 is transferred more abundantly to bacterial cells.
than was estimated based on the VirD2-driven AMT frequencies for bacterial recipient strains (Table 3A). The difference of AMT output productivity depending on host types is primarily attributable to the properties of the two relaxase proteins, and second to differences in the processes and interactions of the relaxases with recipient factors.

All data in this study show the superiority of Mob over VirD2 for plasmid transfer in bacteria. Though VirD2 has evolved from the relaxase for conjugation, we presume that VirD2 has adapted to function in plants so much that it has become weak at interacting with bacterial proteins.

**Insight Into the Roles of Relaxases, RecA and RAD Proteins in Plasmid Reception in Recipient Cells**

It is noteworthy that in this study recAΔ mutation caused a 32-fold decrease in VirD2-driven AMT in *E. coli*, while the same mutation caused a threefold decrease in Mob-driven AMT. Though the latter value is tiny compared with the large decrease in VirD2-driven AMT, the value one-third of the wild type level might reflect a short transient exposure of the ssDNA to the recipient cytoplasm during Mob-driven transfer, and some role played by RecA.

RecA plays multiple roles in bacteria (Bell and Kowalczykowski, 2016). Primarily, RecA binds to single-stranded portions of damaged DNA and directs its repair, and upon binding to ssDNAs triggers the expression of a set of genes for DNA repair and recombination. RecA also plays a role in accepting exogenous ssDNA in competent *Bacillus subtilis*. The protein binds to a competency protein, GomGA, that is localized at the cell pole and imports exogenous DNAs (Kidane and Graumann, 2005; Kidane et al., 2012). RecA also associates with RecN, which attaches to the 3'-OH of ssDNA and might sequester the extreme end of the ssDNA within nucleoid structures (Sanchez and Alonso, 2005). The behavior of RecA in *B. subtilis* could represent a step in transformation for the inclusion of exogenous DNA into recipient genomic DNAs through recombination, and also suggest a role in conjugation.

Interestingly, the recipient yeast genes central to the HR process are also involved in AMT of yeast using a similar but different set of T-DNA plasmids. Rolloos et al. (2014) and Ohmine et al. (2016) performed yeast AMT using similar but different sets of model T-DNA plasmids having *RB* and *LB* borders. T-DNA circles were formed in the recipient yeast at high frequency. The yeast *RAD51* gene is a homolog of the bacterial *recA* gene (Shinohara et al., 1992; Krogh and Symington, 2004), and Rad52 helps Rad51 to perform strand exchange in yeast (Shinohara and Ogawa, 1998). The AMT efficiency is decreased by *rad51Δ* and *rad52Δ* (Rolloos et al., 2014; Ohmine et al., 2016). The defect caused by these mutations seems to not be in simple HR because the hyper HR mutation *srs2Δ* does not increase but decreases AMT as seriously as *rad52Δ* (Ohmine et al., 2016). We have data that show the yeast *srs2Δ* mutation also has a similar apparent negative effect on the transfer of pSRK-R316 (Kiyokawa, personal communication).

We suppose that the ssDNAs from donor cells are bound by RecA and by some proteins whose expression requires RecA (Fernandez De Henestrosa et al., 2000), and that these proteins help VirD2 and Mob to re-circularize the ssDNAs (Figure 7 pathway I). Because VirD2-driven yeast AMT and Mob-driven *E. coli* AMT were efficient, it is likely that the model T-DNA plasmid is easily transferred to *E. coli* cells. A plausible explanation for the low AMT efficiency in *E. coli* is that the plasmid circularization process that occurs through the DNA-joining activity of VirD2 (Pansegrau et al., 1993) proceeds only slowly in recipient *E. coli* cells, probably because of VirD2’s inability to associate with *E. coli* proteins, and therefore most transferred DNA molecules are degraded in the bacteria. In contrast, Mob can interact with recipient bacterial proteins better, and therefore perform AMT of bacteria efficiently, even though the *in vitro* ssDNA ligase ability of VirD2 (Pansegrau et al., 1993) looks much higher than that of Mob (Bhattacharjee and Meyer, 1991). Conversely, VirD2 is superior to Mob for yeast AMT, because VirD2 can interact well with several yeast proteins that are conserved among eukaryotes including plants.

In parallel with pathway I, which employs VirD2 and Mob proteins for the re-circularization in recipient cells, two other pathways are pictured in Figure 7. **Figure 7** pathway II involves concatemer formation through merging two monomers by VirD2 and Mob in donor *Agrobacterium* cells, and then circularization by HR in recipient cells, as proposed by Rolloos et al. (2014). The last model (**Figure 7** pathway III) involves no DNA-joining activity by any relaxase. In the formation of ssDNA, the cycle of monomeric ssDNA formation sometimes does not terminate and therefore generates multimeric forms of ssDNA, which could be turned into a monomer in recipient cells by HR.
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