Climate variables effect on fruiting pattern of Kinnow mandarin (Citrus nobilis Lour × C. deliciosa Tenora) grown at different agro-climatic regions
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Kinnow orchards grown in different agro-ecological regions of Punjab, Pakistan, namely Sargodha, Toba Tek Singh (TTS) and Vehari districts, were selected to assess the effect of climate variables on fruit-bearing patterns. Experiment was laid out in RCBD while selecting identical features Kinnow plants and labeled twigs at analogous canopy positions in all three sites. Temperature was reported higher in TTS and Vehari areas, while relative humidity in Sargodha accounted for different levels of agrometeorological indices by computing more variations in warm districts. Climate variables influenced fruit-bearing habits and vegetative growth trend in all three flushes while recording heavy fruit-bearing plants during on-year and light fruit-bearing in off-year at Vehari. Similarly, three vegetative flushes were recorded unevenly in all three sites due to different fruit-bearing patterns induced by climate variables. Harvesting pattern of orchards began earlier in Sargodha, where maximum orchards were harvested before new flowering to add evenness to fruiting habits during on & off-years. In warm conditions, fruit ripening arrived in the peak of winter and mostly domestic market-driven harvesting resulted in late start of fruit picking with more erratic fruit-bearing habits. Both physiological and pathological fruit drops have been significantly affected by climate variables with a higher degree of physiological drop in warm regions and pathological effects in the humid conditions of Sargodha on heavy fruit-bearing plants. Fruit yield and grading quality were also affected in both seasons by showing more asymmetrical trend in yield and fruit grading in warm areas of TTS and Vehari due to an irregular fruiting pattern compared to Sargodha. From now on, the climate variables of the three sites directly influenced the fruiting patterns, vegetative flushes, fruit drops, yields and grades of Kinnow mandarin.

Climate variables of a particular area decide cropping system as well as agrometeorological/thermal indices and energy use efficiencies1,2 by showing a fluctuating trend location-wise3 to count different levels in unalike crop in a specified region4. As climate variables of an agro-ecological zones depicts temperature regimes, relative humidity, sunshine duration, solar radiation intensity, precipitation and wind velocity5,6 henceforth, are used to compute thermal indices7 like growing degree days (GDDs), crop/ citrus heat unit (CHU), modified citrus heat unit (mCHU), hydrothermal units (HYTUs), photothermal index (PTI), photo thermal unit (PTU) and helio thermal unit (HTU)4,8,9. Thermal indices decide citrus fruit phenophases, peel coloration, physico-chemical quality, abiotic & biotic stress, maturity indices as well as grading volume6,10-12, while indirectly fruit-load and net-return13, fruit cosmetic outlook14, harvesting and marketing15 as well as consumers penchant16. More extremes in climate variables are seen in global warming era due to rising temperatures17 which have increased abiotic and biotic stress18 while escalating pests pressure19 in temperate20 and subtropical zones21 and showing more unevenness in changing weather conditions22 to negatively affecting perennial crops, including citrus crop as their slow acclimatization23. Therefore, climate variables have a direct effect on the growth stages.
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of citrus, external outlook, quality and bearing habits by showing further fluctuations in unlike ecological zones. Similarly, asymmetry in fruiting habits is shown in extreme climate variables by displaying more differences in its magnitude in different regions with more irregularity in warm areas. Climate variables depict phototemperature (Tp), nycotemperature (Tn), relative temperature and humidity disparities, photo & nycot humidity levels as well as vapor pressure deficit (VPD) by using temperature and relative humidity for these variables computation are further utilized in plant adoptive behavior, growth and development and survival against erratic weather to predict weather conditions suitability for certain crop in a particular area and finally reproductive span and behavior.

Biennial fruiting habit is heavy and light fruit-load in the alternative season is an inherent tendency in citrus. The relationship between the citrus plant source (leaves) and the sink (fruits & roots) determines the vegetative and floral growth trend which has become imperfect in heavy fruit-bearing plants to slow down the growth of root and aerial parts, particularly in mandarin, in order to induce biennial fruiting habit. In addition, heavy fruit-load plants source (leaves) photosynthates are more streamlined towards fruits which has depleted carbohydrates to the rest of the plant parts including aerial (shoots & leaves) and ground (roots) to induce low floral bud induction for the coming season is typically seen in citrus, avocado, olive and mango. Fruit-load specifically disrupts amino acids, coenzymes and sugars also affecting the phytotaining effect on incoming flowers to stimulate uneven fruiting patterns. Heavy fruiting during on-year leave cyclical carry-over effects that minimize carbohydrates and also string inhibitory effect of phytohormones on flowering during the off-year period by endorsing nutritional and hormonal aspects on citrus with annual fruiting pattern. Biennial fruiting tendency causes fruit loss, disrupts the supply-chain process and decreases orchard production and profit, resulting in a marketing failure.

King (Citrus nobilis Lour) used as a seed and Willow (C. deliciosa Tenora) as a pollen parent to evolve Kinnow, an F1 hybrid generation by H.B Forest, a citrus breeder at Citrus Research Institute, University of California, Riverside, the USA, was gifted to Queen Victoria and introduced in Sub-continent in 1942 during Colonial regime, had heightened citrus industry of Pakistan with sole dominancy in export. Among citrus cultivars, it has a dominant share, mainly growing in the Punjab plain and exclusive citrus fruit, exported to the world.

The same citrus cultivar, including Kinnow mandarin, behaves differently under uneven agro-climatic conditions due to oscillation in abiotic and biotic stress, changes in fruit growth, development and ripening, resulting in a marketing failure. Present work was conducted in three different Kinnow growing zones to assess the effects of climate variables on fruit-bearing habits by selecting three sites in the districts Sargodha, TTS and Vehari in the province of the Punjab, Pakistan.

Materials and methods

This study was carried out in the plains of Punjab, Pakistan, by selecting three experimental sites in different agro-climatic zones located in Sargodha, TTS and Vehari during the Kinnow orchard growing seasons 2017–2018 (on-year) and 2018–19 (off-year).

Selection of orchards. Kinnow orchards were selected in block form with similar characteristics of plants like age, health, vigor, planting system/geometry (square), density (250–260 plants/ha) and grafted on Rough lemon (Citrus Jambhiri Lush.) rootstock by tagging branches/twigs to reflect uniform canopy positions of the individual plant. Basic soil properties were analyzed in three sites with organic matters ranges (0.75–0.80%), available phosphorous (6.0–6.5 mg kg⁻¹), available potassium (230–260 mg kg⁻¹) and loamy structure soil. In each orchard, uniform dose of fertilizers i.e., Nitrogen (1000 g), phosphorous and potash (500 g each) were applied.

Plant material identification and not deposit in herbarium. The plants of Kinnow mandarin were selected by researcher (R.N) as being used in research. Plants having age 12–15 years old were not deposited in any public herbarium as it is not a new species, with no need to deposits as from orchard not uprooted.

Climatic/weather data. Weather data were collected from the Pakistan Meteorological Department (PMD), Islamabad, of three experimental sites and the office of the Deputy Director Agriculture (Extension), Vehari, used in computation of climate variables. Temperature data are shown in Fig. 1 and rainfall as well as relative humidity in Fig. 2. Total annual precipitation (511, 349 and 144 mm), average annual temperature (23.65, 25.19 and 27.11 °C) and average annual relative humidity (66.8, 63.20 and 55.6 percent) were reported in the districts of Sargodha, TTS and Vehari during 2017 and 2018 respectively.

Climate variables computation. Climate variables like relative temperature disparity (RTD), phototemperature (Tp), nycotemperature (Tn), relative humidity disparity (RHD), photo relative humidity (Rh), nycot relative humidity (Rhn), vapor pressure deficit (VPD), photo vapor pressure deficit (VPDp) and nycot vapor pressure deficit (VPDn) were calculated from weather data using computation of. Relatively humidity recorded at midnight (0000 UTC) and midday (1200 UTC) was used while computing climate variables.

\[ \text{RTD} (%) = \left( \frac{T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}}}{T_{\text{max}}} \right) \times 100 \]
\[ T_p (°C) = T_{\text{max}} - \frac{3}{4} (T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}}) \]
\[ T_n (°C) = T_{\text{min}} + \frac{3}{4} (T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}}) \]
\[ \text{RHD} (%) = \frac{\text{RH}_{0000UTC} - \text{RH}_{1200UTC}}{\text{RH}_{0000UTC}} \times 100 \]
\[ \text{VPD} (%) = \frac{\text{RH}_{1200UTC} - \text{RH}_{0000UTC}}{\text{RH}_{1200UTC}} \times 100 \]
(vi) $RH_n (%) = RH_{0000UTC} + \frac{1}{4} (RH_{1200UTC} - RH_{0000UTC})$

(vii) $VPD = (es - e)/1000$, where $e = 6.11 \times 10^{7.11 \times T_{\text{mean}}/(237.3 + T_{\text{mean}})}$ and $es = e (100 - RH_{\text{mean}})$

(viii) $VPD_p = (es - e)/1000$, where $e = 6.11 \times 10^{7.11 \times T_p/(237.3 + T_p)}$ and $es = e (100 - RH_{1200UTC})$

(ix) $VPD_n = (es - e)/1000$, where $e = 6.11 \times 10^{7.11 \times T_n/(237.3 + T_n)}$ and $es = e (100 - RH_{0000UTC})$

Thermal indices computation. Thermal indices like growing degree days (GDDs), citrus heat unit (CHU), modified citrus heat unit (mCHU), hydrothermal units (HYTUs), photothermal index (PTI), heliothermal unit (HTU), photothermal unit (PTU), phototemperature heat unit and nyctotemperature heat unit were computed from three experimental sites. Modified citrus heat unit (mCHU) derived using nyctotemperature ($T_n$) as minimum temperature and phototemperature ($T_p$) as maximum temperature in calculation.

(i) $GDDs = (T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{min}})/2 - T_{\text{base}}$, wherein citrus $T_{\text{base}}$ is 13 °C.

(ii) $CHU = (X + Y)/2$ where $X = 1.8(T_{\text{min}} - 13)$ and $Y = 3.3(T_{\text{max}} - 13) - 0.083(T_{\text{max}} - 13)^2$

(iii) $mCHU = (X + Y)/2$ where $X = 1.8(T_p - 13)$ and $Y = 3.3(T_p - 13) - 0.083(T_p - 13)^2$

(iv) $HYTUs = GDDs \times \text{mean RH}$ (individual day)

(v) $PTI = GDDs / \text{No. of days (Fruit-set to maturity)}$

(vi) $PTU = GDDs \times \text{day length in hours}$

(vii) $HTU = GDDs \times \text{bright sunshine hours}$

(viii) $p \text{ Heat unit} = \text{Phototemperature (T}_p - T_{\text{base}}$

(ix) $n \text{ Heat unit} = \text{Nyctotemperature (T}_n - T_{\text{base}}$

Thermal energy use efficiency. Thermal energy use efficiency was computed through Kinnow plant yield (kg ha$^{-1}$) basis. Accumulated thermal indices used in computation of thermal energy use efficiency were calculated from fruit-set till arrival of maturity in fruit.  

Figure 1. Temperature data of three districts.

Figure 2. Weather data (rainfall and average relative humidity) of three districts.
(i) Heat use efficiency = Yield (kg ha⁻¹)/accumulated GDDs
(ii) Heliothermal use efficiency = Yield (kg ha⁻¹)/accumulated HTU
(iii) Photothermal use efficiency = Yield (kg ha⁻¹)/accumulated PTU
(iv) Hydrothermal use efficiency = Yield (kg ha⁻¹)/accumulated HYTUs
(v) photo Heat use efficiency = Yield (kg ha⁻¹)/accumulated p Heat unit
(vi) nycto Heat use efficiency = Yield (kg ha⁻¹)/accumulated n Heat unit

Measurement of bearing habit. Randomly 10 lines with 10 plants in each line were tagged to count the bearing habit at each experimental site. Both seasons, fruiting habits were counted by recording light, medium and heavy bearing plants and value in percentage was calculated from total labeled trees.

Flush quantification. A quadrate of scale (0.5 × 0.5 × 0.5 m³) was used to quantify three vegetative flushes by counting number of twigs and leaves per twig inside the quadrate.

Orchard harvesting trend. A survey was conducted in three districts to assess the harvesting trend of Kinnow orchards. Maturity indicators in commercial orchards are mostly based on peel yellow-orange coloration and reduce in acidity with sweet taste. While in present work, in addition to peel coloration, Refractometer is used to measure total soluble solids (TSS) with its value above 10°Brix as being used as internal maturity indicator which arrive mostly on external peel complete yellow coloration.

Fruit drop trend. Physiological fruit drop at the end of June and pathological drop at the end of December, when the fruit matured, were counted from the tagged branches of the selected plants.

Fruit yield and grades percentage. At harvest time, yield and fruit grading parameters were used to measure the fruit weight, number and fruit grading of the selected plants.

Statistics design. The research was designed in randomized complete block design using factorial analysis on the Statistix 8.1 software. Analysis of variance of the individual parameter was performed using LSD while keeping P value at P ≤ 0.05. In tables data are shown in means ± standard deviation (M ± SD).

Code of ethics. No human or animals involved in this experiment. Kinnow plants in the present study comply with international, national and/or institutional guidelines. No extinct species or new used and not deposit in herbarium.

Results and discussion
A significant difference in fruit bearing habits was seen during the on- & off-year period, which is explained as below.

Climate variables of experimental sites. Relative temperature disparity (RTD) is wider in winter season and narrows down in summer with a higher trend in Sargoda and lower in Vehari. Phototemperature recorded from daylight maximum temperature and nyctotemperature to depict night duration lower temperature and both showing an increasing level in warm conditions of Vehari during summer and lower at Sargoda in winter months. However, higher phototemperature was reported in Vehari (40.1 °C) in June 2018 and lower nyctotemperature was observed in Sargoda (8.0 °C) in January 2018. Relative humidity disparity (RHD) is the difference between the relative humidity reported at midnight (0000 UTC) and midday (1200 UTC) with widening differences between April and May in both years in Sargoda, followed by TTS and narrowed down in August–September in all three districts. Photo relative humidity (RHp) recorded at midday showed higher trends in November–December and lower during April–May in both seasons and all three districts. Nycto relative humidity (RHN) was measured from midnight, showing a rising trend in December–January and a declining trend in May–June for both seasons and three locations. However, RHNp was recorded higher in TTS (77%) in November 2018 and lower at Vehari (22.26%) in May 2018. Alike RHp, nycto RH was also recorded higher at TTS (87.80%) in November 2018 and lower in May 2018 at TTS (30.71%). Vapor pressure deficit (VPD) was calculated using temperature and relative humidity regimes, showing an increasing trend in warm months with low RH levels and higher VPD was computed in May 2018 in Vehari (4.50 kPa) and lower in January 2017 in Sargoda (0.40 kPa). Photo VPD computed by using phototemperature and midday time recorded RH, showing higher levels in warm conditions of Vehari and TTS during dryer months of April–May and lower in winter month. Like VPDp, nycto VPD was calculated using nyctotemperature and midnight RH with the same rising trend in warm regions in April–May and decreased during winter months. VPDp was recorded higher in May 2018 at Vehari (4.78 kPa) while VPDn was recorded as lower during January 2017 at TTS (0.17 kPa). Data are shown in Table 1.

Different altitudes, longitudes and latitudes have variation in climatic conditions and all three experimental sites are located in three different agro-ecological and agro-climatic zones viz; Sargoda (32.0837°N, 72.6719°E) altitude 189 m, Toba Tek Singh (30.9727°N, 72.4850°E) altitude 161 m and Vehari (30.0452°N, 72.3489°E) altitude 140 m10. Sargoda district is to the north with a higher elevation, while Vehari is to the south with a low elevation. The climatic conditions are therefore different on a monthly as well as an annual basis in all three sites by having a direct effect on plant physiology and indirectly on fruit bearing habits and maturity arrival on Kinnow fruit11,12. Climate variables decide plant adaptation, developmental phase and thrive against vagaries of weather13.
### Climate variables of three experimental sites

| Variables | Sites  | Year  | Jan  | Feb  | Mar  | Apr  | May  | Jun  | Jul  | Aug  | Sep  | Oct  | Nov  | Dec  |
|-----------|--------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| **T** (°C) | SGD    | 2017  | 15.0 | 20.2 | 24.1 | 31.6 | 35.4 | 35.3 | 34.3 | 34.1 | 32.1 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 18.0 |
|           |        | 2018  | 16.5 | 20.4 | 26.5 | 30.8 | 34.8 | 35.5 | 33.4 | 34.5 | 32.9 | 28.1 | 22.7 | 17.0 |
|           | TTS    | 2017  | 15.2 | 21.0 | 25.1 | 33.0 | 36.8 | 35.3 | 35.6 | 34.8 | 34.2 | 31.6 | 20.7 | 18.9 |
|           |        | 2018  | 17.4 | 20.6 | 27.1 | 32.8 | 35.6 | 36.2 | 36.1 | 35.1 | 35.0 | 31.5 | 24.0 | 18.4 |
|           | VEH    | 2017  | 16.1 | 20.5 | 28.2 | 35.0 | 37.9 | 37.3 | 35.0 | 35.5 | 33.2 | 32.3 | 24.1 | 20.2 |
|           |        | 2018  | 19.1 | 20.6 | 28.2 | 34.3 | 39.7 | 40.1 | 37.2 | 35.5 | 37.6 | 32.3 | 24.1 | 20.2 |
| **RHD (%)** | SGD    | 2017  | 73.75| 57.32| 55.84| 45.34| 42.79| 52.71| 66.52| 63.66| 62.90| 56.10| 73.78| 65.55 |
|           |        | 2018  | 64.99| 54.05| 52.86| 49.17| 40.61| 52.44| 71.36| 68.57| 59.71| 55.80| 65.43| 72.42 |
|           | TTS    | 2017  | 74.11| 52.83| 55.60| 42.48| 40.02| 56.19| 65.10| 61.29| 58.70| 55.06| 77.00| 65.65 |
|           |        | 2018  | 64.03| 55.75| 51.52| 39.69| 38.05| 54.48| 66.16| 64.32| 60.45| 58.01| 64.18| 72.59 |
|           | VEH    | 2017  | 66.52| 52.29| 52.55| 34.28| 40.49| 46.11| 48.91| 50.09| 46.96| 54.97| 59.18| 66.28 |
|           |        | 2018  | 67.11| 53.76| 51.65| 34.63| 22.26| 27.51| 49.71| 50.09| 46.04| 44.12| 48.20| 54.07 |
| **RH (%)** | SGD    | 2017  | 85.06| 74.54| 71.52| 61.36| 55.92| 64.66| 77.12| 76.66| 77.37| 73.02| 86.26| 78.90 |
|           |        | 2018  | 82.14| 73.45| 70.52| 62.63| 55.19| 64.33| 78.73| 78.30| 75.93| 71.33| 87.85| 80.06 |
|           | TTS    | 2017  | 87.44| 73.42| 75.05| 60.05| 53.94| 69.51| 76.60| 75.68| 75.57| 74.14| 87.80| 79.58 |
|           |        | 2018  | 82.10| 76.32| 72.19| 53.41| 52.98| 65.72| 77.84| 75.48| 75.62| 76.54| 78.93| 85.96 |
|           | VEH    | 2017  | 80.77| 74.07| 64.61| 47.52| 44.92| 52.93| 60.54| 61.36| 58.81| 67.03| 69.66| 76.91 |
|           |        | 2018  | 80.31| 66.13| 64.31| 47.68| 30.71| 36.26| 61.65| 61.36| 55.66| 66.94| 70.20| 74.35 |
| **VPD (kPa)** | SGD    | 2017  | 0.40 | 0.95 | 1.25 | 2.10 | 2.57 | 2.12 | 1.42 | 1.50 | 1.38 | 1.50 | 0.54 | 0.65 |
|           |        | 2018  | 0.56 | 0.99 | 1.43 | 1.93 | 2.59 | 2.17 | 1.21 | 1.33 | 1.52 | 1.46 | 0.86 | 0.47 |
|           | TTS    | 2017  | 0.38 | 1.05 | 1.21 | 2.28 | 2.77 | 1.92 | 1.51 | 1.60 | 1.56 | 0.50 | 0.68 |
|           |        | 2018  | 0.63 | 0.93 | 1.46 | 2.47 | 2.75 | 2.12 | 1.43 | 1.54 | 1.40 | 0.93 | 0.49 |
|           | VEH    | 2017  | 0.57 | 1.08 | 1.67 | 2.97 | 3.13 | 2.73 | 2.29 | 2.30 | 2.43 | 1.83 | 1.15 | 0.80 |
|           |        | 2018  | 0.84 | 1.34 | 1.97 | 3.25 | 4.50 | 4.24 | 2.73 | 2.60 | 2.96 | 2.64 | 1.70 | 1.28 |
| **VPD (kPa)** | SGD    | 2017  | 0.65 | 1.45 | 1.76 | 2.76 | 3.18 | 2.63 | 1.80 | 1.95 | 1.88 | 2.10 | 0.89 | 1.06 |
|           |        | 2018  | 1.00 | 1.56 | 2.04 | 2.51 | 3.27 | 2.68 | 1.49 | 1.69 | 2.08 | 2.00 | 1.28 | 0.78 |
|           | TTS    | 2017  | 0.66 | 1.65 | 1.82 | 3.02 | 3.45 | 2.45 | 1.93 | 2.11 | 2.21 | 2.25 | 0.79 | 0.10 |
|           |        | 2018  | 1.09 | 1.51 | 2.15 | 3.14 | 3.47 | 2.62 | 1.85 | 1.94 | 2.08 | 2.01 | 1.39 | 0.83 |
|           | VEH    | 2017  | 0.89 | 1.63 | 2.15 | 3.63 | 3.51 | 3.14 | 2.81 | 2.76 | 2.93 | 2.28 | 1.59 | 1.14 |
|           |        | 2018  | 1.03 | 1.58 | 2.20 | 3.56 | 4.78 | 4.50 | 2.91 | 2.76 | 3.14 | 2.85 | 2.04 | 1.53 |
and also depict short and long weather conditions of a particular region. Temperature has a cumulative effect on growth, yield and productivity span of plants, as well as used in computation of climatic indices which are further utilized in management process. Phototemperature is climate variable to depict a daytime temperature which also termed as active or positive temperature just above base temperature of particular crop in most days of the year and has been widely used in the measurement of different heat units. Nyctotemperature derived from minimum temperature is referred as inactive temperature, which usually fall below the threshold temperature of sub-tropical crops like citrus in all winter, spring and autumn months except for a few summer months in warm regions and also decide on the accretion in heat units used in plant phenological studies as well as future strategies for controlling insect-pests and diseases. Temperature directly affects plant tissues and organs with death in extreme conditions while its intermediate level affects physiological processes, including reproductive organs that cause imperfections in flower and fruit development. Temperature-based climate variables influence fruit growth, quality and seasonal yield while temperature fluctuations directly affect citrus physiology and indirectly reduce yield.

Temperature and relative humidity (RH) are used to compute vapor pressure deficit (VPD), is a difference in air saturation capacity (es) to actual water content/vapor (e) in air, which is used to identify eco-physiology and hydraulic traits of plant growth, since global warming has shown a fluctuating year-round pattern and a rising level. VPD regulates stomatal conductance which triggers its cavity to open at lower and close at higher levels. Leaf to air VPD is widening in high temperature and low RH regime and vice versa, to affect photosynthesis and transpiration, is also an influential tool to judge ecological behavior of a plant under varying environmental conditions while its fluctuation or elevation directly affects plant growth. High VPD was recorded in the warm conditions of Vehari and TTS, especially in the starting months of summer season, to cause stress to Kinnow plant in both seasons, resulting in more fruit drops, which further developed unevenness in both fruiting seasons. In elevated VPD regime, more water loss due to evapotranspiration, resulting in plant-water imbalance causes plant physiological process malfunction with low carbon assimilation and high transpiration rates resulting in carbon starvation and hydraulic break-down. VDP not only indicates temperature and RH regime of a particular area, but also dictates leaf stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, carbon assimilation, uptake of nutrients, plant-water hydraulic control and stress conditions. It also controls gases exchange and defines stomatal limitation by recording a higher range in summer and a lower in winter. However both RH and temperature different regimes decide the extent of diseases, pests and fruit quality of citrus by recording more pest damage in dry conditions and diseases infestation in warm-humid areas to affect fruit quality which has justified the present work of showing more unevenness in fruiting patterns in warm conditions due to more fluctuation in climate variables. Climate variables also influence on citrus external quality features based on color development as well as internal changes in the levels of juice contents, acidity, sugars and polyphenols.

**Thermal indices and energy use efficiency.** Growing degree days (GDDs) were counted as maximum in the warm district of Vehari (5076.5 °C day) and minimum in the district of Sargodha (3929.1 °C day) in 2018 season from fruit-set till arrival of maturity. In same season 2018, citrus heat unit (CHU) and modified citrus heat unit (mCHU) were computed higher in Vehari (7316.4 and 8170.3 °C day) and lower in Sargodha (6169 and 6862.1 °C day), respectively. Similarly, a higher photothermal index (PTI) was found at Vehari (17.09 °C) and also lower one at Sargodha (13.79 °C) during 2018 season. However, hydrothermal units (HYTUs) accounted more for TTS (266,322 °C day %) in 2017 and less for Vehari region (233,308 °C day %) in 2018. Both photo and helio thermal units were accumulated more at Vehari (14,792,109 and 11,432,481 °C day hours) and less in Sargodha region (10,125,201 and 7,886,448 °C day hours), respectively during 2018. Phototemperature heat unit (pHU) and nyctotemperature heat unit (nHU) were computed by subtracting base temperature on daily basis and recorded higher in Vehari (5965.75 and 4208.75 °C day) and lower in Sargodha (4818.85 and 3113.23 °C day), respectively in 2018 season. Kinnow fruit-setting till arrival of maturity has taken maximum (297 days) at Sargodha in 2018 and minimum (282 days) in Vehari during 2017. Thermal use efficiency or thermal energy use efficiency showed a higher trend in heavy-fruiting plants during on-year and lower in light-fruiting in off-year due to significant differences in Kinnow fruit yield. However,
different heat use efficiencies were recorded as higher in TTS during on-year on heavy fruiting plants and lower at Vehari during off-year in light fruiting plants. Heat use efficiency, photo heat use efficiency, nycto heat use efficiency, all were recorded higher in heavy-fruiting plants during on-year at TTS (11.098, 9.013 and 14.168 kg °C day\(^{-1}\)) and lower on light-fruiting plants during off-year at Vehari (1.862, 1.584 and 2.245 kg °C day\(^{-1}\)), respectively. Helio and photothermal use efficiencies were recorded higher on heavy-fruiting plants during on-year at TTS (0.006 and 0.004 kg °C day\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)), respectively and lower on light-fruiting plants during off-year in all three districts and both seasons (0.001 °C day\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) each). Similarly, hydrothermal use efficiency was computed higher on heavy-fruiting plants during on-year at TTS (0.179 kg °C day\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and lowers with minute's difference on light-fruiting plants in all districts and both on-& off-years. The data is shown in Tables 2 and 3.

Temperature counted directly GDDs, different heat units and indirectly PTI, HYTUs, PTU and HTU of a specific region on the basis of the threshold temperature of certain crops\(^{1,10,12}\). Citrus growth ceases below 13 °C\(^{92}\) by keeping this temperature as threshold when computing growing degree days (GDDs) and other heat units of subtracting base temperature from mean daily temperature\(^{10,93}\). Vehari region has higher mean daily temperature, followed by TTS and lower was recorded in Sargodha\(^{15}\), accordingly GDDs, CHU, mCHU, p Heat unit and n Heat unit were counted in all three districts with leading counts in Vehari and lesser in Sargodha\(^{6,12}\).

| Thermal indices of three experimental sites | Sargodha | T.T Singh | Vehari |
|--------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|
| GDDs (°C day)                               | 4014.9   | 4289.9    | 4792.0 |
| CHU (°C day)                                | 6249.0   | 6391.5    | 7117.1 |
| mCHU (°C day)                               | 6960.6   | 7269.0    | 7992.7 |
| HYTUs (°C day %)                            | 250,786  | 262,362   | 249,051|
| PTI (°C)                                    | 13.89    | 14.64     | 16.03  |
| PTU (°C day hours)                          | 10,641,199 | 11,878,037 | 14,020,769 |
| HTU (°C day hours)                          | 8,521,921 | 8,344,101  | 10,216,209 |
| p Heat unit (°C day)                        | 4940.275 | 5282.25   | 5734.5 |
| n Heat unit (°C day)                        | 3186.925 | 3360.35   | 3866   |
| Period (Fruit-set to maturity)              | 292 days (18/03 to 31/12) | 288 days (14/03 to 31/12) | 282 days (08/03 to 31/12) |

Table 2. Thermal indices of experimental sites. GDDs (growing degree days), CHU (citrus heat unit), mCHU (modified citrus heat unit), HYTUs (hydrothermal units), PTI (photothermal index), PTU (photo thermal unit), HTU (helio thermal unit), p (photo period), n (nycto period).

| Fruiting habit plants | Thermal use efficiency | Sargodha | T.T Singh | Vehari |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|
| On-year (2017)        |                        |          |           |        |
| Heat use efficiency (kg °C day\(^{-1}\)) | Heavy fruiting | 5.080 | 11.098 | 6.542 |
|                       | Medium fruiting        | 5.211 | 6.976 | 3.934 |
|                       | Light fruiting         | 4.271 | 2.654 | 1.729 |
| Heliothermal use efficiency (kg °C day\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) | Heavy fruiting | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.003 |
|                       | Medium fruiting        | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.002 |
|                       | Light fruiting         | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Photothermal use efficiency (kg °C day\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) | Heavy fruiting | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 |
|                       | Medium fruiting        | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
|                       | Light fruiting         | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Hydrothermal use efficiency (kg °C day\(^{-1}\)) | Heavy fruiting | 0.136 | 0.179 | 0.126 |
|                       | Medium fruiting        | 0.084 | 0.112 | 0.076 |
|                       | Light fruiting         | 0.040 | 0.045 | 0.037 |
| Photo Heat use efficiency (kg °C day\(^{-1}\)) | Heavy fruiting | 6.915 | 9.013 | 5.467 |
|                       | Medium fruiting        | 4.243 | 5.665 | 3.288 |
|                       | Light fruiting         | 2.008 | 2.155 | 1.611 |
| Nycto Heat use efficiency (kg °C day\(^{-1}\)) | Heavy fruiting | 10.719 | 14.168 | 8.109 |
|                       | Medium fruiting        | 6.577 | 8.905 | 4.876 |
|                       | Light fruiting         | 3.113 | 3.388 | 2.390 |

Table 3. Thermal use efficiency of Kinnow plant in biennial bearing pattern.
More GDDs were counted of citrus fruit in the warm areas of Australia\(^9\)\(^2\) to justify this work of recording additional GDDs at warm district Vehari along with other temperature based heat units such as CHU, mCHU, pHu, nHu. Similar results were reported by\(^9\)\(^6\)\(^9\)\(^5\) of counting more GDDs in warm regions due to the observation of higher average daily temperatures. Citrus fruit different growth phases counted different levels of GDDs\(^10\) but temperature directly affects GDDs and other heat units and also determines the occurrence of phenophases of fruit\(^9\). More GDDs, CHU, mCHU, pHu and nHu were counted in the warm region of Vehari, thus influencing fruit growth and development from fruit-setting till arrival of maturity and subsequently the fruiting habit of the Kinnow mandarin.

Hydrothermal units (HYTUs) were computed directly from average relative humidity (RHa) by multiplying with GDDs\(^5\)\(^11\) and recorded more in warm-humid regions like TTS\(^6\). In this work, the GDDs were more computed at Vehari around the year, but with low level of RHa and vice versa in the case of Sargodha region, henceforth the counts of HYTUs in these two districts were lower than those of TTS. However, a fluctuating trend in HYTUs counts has been seen in three districts from fruit-setting to maturity due to climate variables supported by the work of\(^9\) on Kinnow mandarin in India to record different HYTUs counts during fruit development. There was an increasing trend in HYTUs count during the summer season due to high daily means temperature and RHa during the rainy season compared to the rest of the months\(^12\). A fluctuating degree of HYTUs has been seen in all three districts due to the fact that they are located in different agro-ecological and agro-climatic areas, affecting the growth and development of Kinnow fruit and ultimately affecting fruiting habits as well as harvesting patterns. Similar results have been found\(^13\)\(^1\) in India.

Photothermal index (PTI) is directly computed from mean daily temperature divided by time span in particular regions\(^10\)\(^9\) and varies in different growth phases of citrus fruit\(^1\) by showing a fluctuating trend across the year and locations\(^5\). In present work, PTI was more counted in warm regions and also in summer months due to higher mean daily temperature as seen in the Vehari district. PTI indicates daily photothermal index of a particular area\(^6\) and can show a fluctuating trend in fruit different phenophases by recording more in cell division and cell enlargement stages\(^10\) due to elevated temperature when Kinnow fruit these stages are continue, especially in summer months. PTI directly decides the span of citrus fruit different growth phases and indirectly fruit-setting and harvesting trend\(^10\) and eventually bearing habit.

Photo and Helio thermal units reflect day-length, bright sun-light period and temperature levels of specific area and are directly computed by multiplying GDDs with day length and bright sunshine hours\(^5\). PTU and HTU were recorded more in warm regions and also in summer period due to elevated temperature and additional day-length than the cool season in the winter months\(^6\). Similar findings were seen in present work with additional PTU and HTU counts in Vehari district in both seasons and lesser in Sargodha from fruit-set till maturity. Similar results of fluctuating PTU and HTU levels in different plum genotypes were reported by\(^9\) in different climatic conditions of semi-arid regions in India to endorse this work. Climate variables in the three districts have distinct differences in mean daily temperature and length of the day; as a result, major changes have been seen in their monthly and annual counts. Climate variables decides meteorological indicators or thermal indices of a certain crop grown in a particular regions\(^2\)\(^4\) to directly influence citrus fruit-setting, growth and development phases\(^10\), quality and yield features\(^2\), color-break and color development\(^9\) as well as biochemical properties and maturity index\(^1\). Similarly, climate variables also decides fruit-setting time\(^8\), maturity arrival\(^7\) and harvesting and marketing of Kinnow fruit\(^15\) which significantly contributed to the fruiting pattern to justify this work.

Thermal/ energy use efficiencies are computed directly on yield basis by dividing thermal indices to depict higher levels in high yielding crop and low thermal indices regions\(^5\)\(^6\) by indicating positive yield correlation and inversely with different heat units accretion based on temperature, RHa, bright sun-light and day-length\(^4\). Temperature and RH based thermal use efficiencies were recorded higher in TTS during on-year on heavy-fruiting plants due to added yield but more thermal indices than Sargodha where yields were low. Although, thermal indices were counted more at Vehari except HYTUs but yield on all three fruiting habit plants was less during both on- & off-years than TTS and Sargodha. Similar findings regarding low yield and higher agrometeorological indices to computed lesser energy use efficiency was reported by\(^9\) and\(^4\) to support present work of calculating lower thermal use efficiency on low yielding plants and districts. More yields on heavy-fruiting plants during on-year were recorded in all three sites, henceforth, energy use efficiencies were computed to be higher than light-fruiting plants in off-year period to induce irregular bearings and further fluctuations in fruiting habits were caused by extreme climate variables which adversely affected plant physiology and tree potency in uneven fruit bearings.

| Fruit bearing habit of Kinnow plants at Experimental sites | Sargodha | T.T Singh | Vehari |
|---|---|---|---|
| Heavy fruiting plants % | 15 ± 3.35j | 10 ± 2.35k | 50 ± 4.25g |
| Light fruiting plants % | 25 ± 2.4dh | 40 ± 3.78e | 21 ± 3.5i |

**Table 4.** Fruiting habit of plant of experimental sites during on- & off-years. Results are shown in means (± SD) and sharing different letters are significantly differed to each other according to LSD test (P ≤ 0.05).
the present work implying unevenness in Kinnow plant fruiting in Vehari and TTS. Heavy fruiting plant depletes carbohydrates and leaves cell enlargement\(^{10}\). Reported\(^{43}\) that large chunks of photoassimilates were consumed during fruit growth and TTS during cell division stage, thus this stage earlier wrap up its process than Sargodha and then begin prior in warm districts Vehari and TTS. In addition, GDDs, PTI, PTU and HTU were accrued as higher in Vehari and low photoassimilates for next season flowering or fruiting\(^{44}\) which imposes competition for carbohydrates and eventually resulted in alternate bearing habits in citrus\(^{45}\), mango\(^{48}\) and avocado\(^{46}\). Harvesting time decides next ing to maximum size\(^{10}\). Prior completion of cell division with the earlier commencement of the cell enlargement season crop\(^{97}\) while timely harvesting of heavy fruited plants induces evenness in fruit-bearing habit\(^{29}\). In this study for carbohydrates also defines the reproductive and vegetative growth habits of citrus plants\(^{40,41}\), development in heavy-fruiting plants, while minutes share was streamlined towards vegetative growth to endorse vegetative flush trend in different fruiting habit plants. Kinnow plants were harvested late in warm conditions due to inland market consumption and late arrival of maturity indicators for the choice of the native consumers, which resulted in more disproportion of fruiting patterns in the Vehari and TTS districts. On the other hand, early harvesting and spot-picking began in the Sargodha area, which had reduced on-tree fruit load by controlling symmetry patterns in fruit-setting and fruit-bearing habits for both on-and off-year fruiting seasons. In addition, extreme climatic variables also prompt asymmetry in the fruiting pattern\(^{39,29}\) and its magnitude was more recorded in warm regions\(^{45}\) to substantiate the present work implying unevenness in Kinnow plant fruiting in Vehari and TTS.

### Vegetative flush trend in different fruiting habit plants

Kinnow plants have three vegetative flushes, like other citrus cultivars, which showed a highly significant difference amongst spring, summer and autumn flushes and a slight difference in orchards grown in three different agro-climatic zones, as shown in Table 5. In the spring, vegetative flush was quantified as maximum on heavy-fruiting plants at Sargodha (60%) during on-year and minimum on light-fruiting plants at TTS (48%) in off-year. Maximum summer flush counts were recorded at TTS (35%) on light-fruiting plant during off-year and minimum at Sargodha (28%) on heavy-fruiting plant in on-year. However, the higher autumn flush was counted at TTS (18%) on light-fruiting plants in off-year and the lower on heavy-fruiting plants in Sargodha (12%) during on-year.

### Fruiting habit/fruit-bearing trend of plants at experimental sites

Heavy fruiting plants were recorded as maximum in on-year (35%) and minimum in off-year (9%) at Vehari. However, medium fruiting plants were counted more in Sargodha (65%) and less in Vehari (45%) during the on-year. Similarly, light fruiting plants were found more on an off-year basis (41%) and less on an on-year basis (20%) in Vehari. Data are shown in Table 4, indicating significant differences in both the three experimental sites and the on- & off-years fruiting patterns.

Fruiting patterns of citrus plants are directly influenced by environmental conditions\(^{39}\), cultivars with a bearing habit either on a single plant or on any branch or in a whole cluster / block form\(^{39}\) thus exhibiting a superfluos predisposition in the mandarin group\(^{37,39}\). Heavy fruiting plant depletes carbohydrates and leaves low photoassimilates for next season flowering or fruiting\(^{44}\) which imposes competition for carbohydrates and eventually resulted in alternate bearing habits in citrus\(^{45}\), mango\(^{48}\) and avocado\(^{46}\). Harvesting time decides next season crop\(^{97}\) while timely harvesting of heavy fruited plants induces evenness in fruit-bearing habit\(^{29}\). In this work, Kinnow plants were harvested late in warm conditions due to inland market consumption and late arrival of maturity indicators for the choice of the native consumers, which resulted in more disproportion of fruiting patterns in the Vehari and TTS districts. On the other hand, early harvesting and spot-picking began in the Sargodha area, which had reduced on-tree fruit load by controlling symmetry patterns in fruit-setting and fruit-bearing habits for both on-and off-year fruiting seasons. In addition, extreme climatic variables also prompt asymmetry in the fruiting pattern\(^{39,29}\) and its magnitude was more recorded in warm regions\(^{45}\) to substantiate the present work implying unevenness in Kinnow plant fruiting in Vehari and TTS.

| Three vegetative flushes | Fruiting habit plants | Vegetative flush trend in different fruiting habit plants |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                          | Sargodha              | T.T Singh                                               | Vehari                  |
|                          | On-year | Off-year | On-year | Off-year | On-year | Off-year |
| Spring flush (%)         | Heavy fruiting        | 60 ± 2.13a | 55 ± 2.15b | 55 ± 2.19b | 50 ± 2.22c | 57 ± 2.41a | 53 ± 2.1b |
|                         | Medium fruiting       | 58 ± 2.35a | 56 ± 1.93b | 53 ± 2.21b | 52 ± 2.85bc | 56 ± 2.54b | 55 ± 1.94b |
|                         | Light fruiting        | 55 ± 2.5b  | 57 ± 2.28ab | 54 ± 2.41b | 47 ± 3.05c | 54 ± 2.74b | 52 ± 2.32bc |
| Summer flush (%)         | Heavy fruiting        | 28 ± 2.45e | 29 ± 2.08e | 30 ± 1.74e | 34 ± 1.87d | 30 ± 2.25e | 32 ± 1.9de |
|                         | Medium fruiting       | 29 ± 2.21e | 30 ± 2.23e | 34 ± 3.15d | 33 ± 2.73d | 30 ± 2.16e | 32 ± 1.24de |
|                         | Light fruiting        | 32 ± 2.22de| 29 ± 2.62d | 32 ± 3.15de| 55 ± 3.03d | 31 ± 3.16e | 34 ± 3.21d |
| Autumn flush (%)         | Heavy fruiting        | 12 ± 3.05g | 16 ± 2.14f | 15 ± 2.01f | 16 ± 2.14f | 13 ± 2.41g | 15 ± 1.87f |
|                         | Medium fruiting       | 13 ± 1.98g | 14 ± 2.23fg | 13 ± 1.25g | 15 ± 2.13f | 14 ± 1.85g | 13 ± 1.24g |
|                         | Light fruiting        | 13 ± 2.21g | 14 ± 2.33fg | 14 ± 2.65fg | 18 ± 2.43f | 15 ± 2.2f  | 14 ± 3.15fg |

Table 5. Three vegetative flushes trend in different fruiting habits plants during on- & off-years. Results are shown in means (± SD) and sharing different letters are significantly differed to each other according to LSD test (P ≤ 0.05).
of developing fruits compete for carbohydrates in citrus\cite{45}, which also justify this study. In autumn season, meteorological indices like GDDs, HYTUs, PTI, PTU and HTU were less available to Kinnow plant in all three sites due to low means daily temperature with day-length squeezing by slowing down the net photosynthesis rate and retarding vegetative growth, wherein less photoassimilates synthesized with low carbohydrates accumulation in plant parts, although on-tree hanging fruits were in maturing and ripening phase to compete less for carbohydrates. These findings are in line with the work of\cite{52,102,103}. Vegetative flush quantification of Kinnow mandarin plant parts, although on-tree hanging fruits were in maturing and ripening phase to compete less for carbohydrates45,51–53,104 rather than phytohormones inhibitory effect on citrus flowering during on-year\cite{50,52,102,105}. In this work endorsed the nutritional aspect of floral buds initiation regulated by fruit-load and availability of carbohydrates\cite{45,51–53,104} rather than phytohormones inhibitory effect on citrus flowering during on-year\cite{50,52,102,105}.

### Harvesting trend of Kinnow orchards

Harvesting trend data for Kinnow orchards are shown in Table 6, which shows major variations in harvesting times as well as trends among three districts. Harvesting of Kinnow orchards was recorded as maximum during off-year period (46%) in Sargodha and minimum during on-year (20%) in Vehari at the end of December. Harvesting trend increased during off-year season at Sargodha (56 and 70%) and recorded low during on-year in Vehari (35 and 48%) in the midst of January and the end of January, respectively. Same increasing trend of orchard harvesting in on-year season was seen in Sargodha (85 and 92%) in mid and end of February, respectively. However, rapid harvesting began in February in both the TTS and Vehari districts, reaching over 80% during off-year period and above 70% in on-year season. In the mid-March, maximum orchards were harvested in Sargodha during the off-year (98%) compared to other districts in same period, slightly above 90%.

In certain fruit crops, including citrus, a cyclical carry-over effects of previous year’s fruit present on trees are dominantly competed for carbohydrate reserves\cite{45} and/or trigger phyto-inhibiting effects on next season’s floral bud-break/ initiation\cite{50} can stimulate irregular fruiting patterns, commonly called as biennial bearing\cite{48} while on-tree fruits influence on plant metabolism, like changes in coenzymes, sugars and amino acids, which are being accelerated when fruits are harvested late\cite{48}. Similar findings of late harvesting in avocado induce biennial bearing with reduced fruit yields\cite{106}. In this research endeavor, more alternative fruiting was recorded in TTS and Vehari due to delays in harvesting while heavy-fruitering during on-year season exhausted sugar and carbohydrate reserves in Kinnow plant to simulate low induction of floral buds and hence light fruiting on trees for the coming year (off-season). The fluctuating trend in carbohydrates reserves in citrus cultivars contributes to uneven in fruiting\cite{107}, is not an exclusive phenomenon of citrus\cite{108} but also observed in avocado\cite{106} and olive\cite{47}. Fruits load depletes carbohydrate in all plant components\cite{45} with the leading role of roots carbohydrates of supplying energy for next floral and vegetative buds inactivation in citrus\cite{108,109}. In this work, both heavy-fruitering and late harvesting plants were deprived of carbohydrates and energy reserves, especially for Kinnow orchards of TTS and Vehari, in order to impute a more biennial fruiting pattern. In Sargodha, both timely harvesting and reduction of fruit loads by spot picking used in the export of Kinnow fruit have resulted in even fruiting for the next season by maintaining a balance of carbohydrates for both seasonal fruits. Similarly, in Satsuma mandarin (Citrus unshiu Marc.), Nishikawa et al.\cite{45} found more sugar phosphate in light bearing vegetative stems and an additional accumulation of amino acids in heavy-fruitering trees to infer that fruiting habits had a direct impact on coenzymes, sugars and amino acids and had an indirect propensity on flowering and fruit-setting to justify this work. Heavy-fruitering and late harvesting plants have shown more alternative fruiting habits, as observed in this work, equally justified nutritional theory on the accessibility of carbohydrate for next season fruiting\cite{45,51–53,104} as well as on-tree fruit load inhibitory effect on coming season flowering\cite{50,52,94,102}. The present work is in line with the findings of Monselise and Goldschmidt\cite{28} that alternate bearings are an innate properties of both early (Satsuma & Michal) and medium-to-late (Kinnow, Murcott, Wilking & Dancy) cultivars with an increasing trend in irregular fruiting in the case of late harvesting.

### Fruit drop trend of Kinnow orchards

Perusal of data regarding fruit drop during on- & off-years of three experimental sites showed significant differences as presented in Table 7. In both fruiting years and fruiting habits, the physiological fruit drops were seen higher in all three districts and the maximum was recorded in Vehari (60%) on heavy-fruitering plants during on-year season and minimum in Sargodha (47%) on light-fruitering plants during off-year period. However, pathological fruit drops were more recoded on heavy-fruitering plants at Sargodha (37%) during on-year and less on light-fruitering plants in Vehari (25%) during off-year.
Fruits thinning, either natural growth and development stages before harvesting\textsuperscript{11,118} with a dominant effect of adverse weather to proliferate and pathological fruit drops from 5 to 25%\textsuperscript{117}. Physiological fruit drop is dominant during cell division stage\textsuperscript{118} fruit cell division for fruitlets retention on tree. In Kinnow mandarin physiological fruit drop ranges 40 to 63% three experimental sites, as well as altering the fruiting habit of Kinnow mandarin. Along with weather vagaries\textsuperscript{61}, in agrometeorological indices, with different extents of fruit drops seen under climatic variable conditions at diseases and resulted in increased pathological drop. Citrus plant physiology was affected by a fluctuating pattern as physiological drop, whereas extended spell of warm-humid conditions in the autumn season has proliferated fruit drop were seen on heavy bearing plants during on-year and less on light-bearing trees in off-years, is in line with previous researchers based on the availability of carbohydrates and developing fruitlets competition for photoassimilates. In addition, dry warm conditions during fruit initial growth stages caused more drops, termed as physiological drop, whereas extended spell of warm-humid conditions in the autumn season has proliferated diseases and mature fruit pests such as fruit fly infestation\textsuperscript{19}. Same trend of more physiological and pathological fruit drop were seen on heavy bearing plants during on-year and less on light bearing trees in off-years, is in line with external factors such as weather conditions. In present work, warm dry spells at TTS and Vehari have resulted in a more premature stage Kinnow fruit drops which lasted for 70–75 days in Kinnow\textsuperscript{10} and has been linked to environment, nutrition and plant-water-balance\textsuperscript{19,20} as well as pest pressure\textsuperscript{21}. Similarly, the pathological drop in citrus fruit continued throughout the fruit growth and development stages before harvesting\textsuperscript{21,218} with a dominant effect of adverse weather to proliferate diseases and mature fruit pests such as fruit fly infestation\textsuperscript{19}. Higher yields were noted during on-year at TTS (36,783.8 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1}) and lower during off-year at Vehari (15,737.9 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1}).

Table 7. Fruit drop trend at experimental sites during on- & off-years. Results are shown in means (± SD) and sharing different letters are significantly differed to each other according to LSD test (\(P \leq 0.05\)).

Table 8. Summary of fruit yields in different fruiting habit plants.

| Fruit drop | Fruiting habit plants | On-year | Off-year | On-year | Off-year | On-year | Off-year |
|------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| Physiological fruit drop (%) | Heavy fruiting | 55 ± 4.23ef | 54 ± 3.25f | 57 ± 2.88e | 57 ± 3.24e | 60 ± 3.21e | 55 ± 3.11f |
| | Medium fruiting | 52 ± 3.45f | 51 ± 2.83f | 55 ± 2.71ef | 53 ± 2.35f | 57 ± 2.14e | 54 ± 2.18f |
| | Light fruiting | 48 ± 3.25g | 47 ± 4.18g | 53 ± 3.21f | 49 ± 2.45g | 54 ± 3.14f | 51 ± 1.92 fg |
| Pathological fruit drop (%) | Heavy fruiting | 37 ± 3.2h | 35 ± 3.11h | 34 ± 2.54h | 33 ± 3.47h | 29 ± 3.45e | 32 ± 2.49h |
| | Medium fruiting | 35 ± 3.21h | 32 ± 1.73h | 33 ± 2.45h | 30 ± 3.13h | 28 ± 1.76h | 30 ± 2.74h |
| | Light fruiting | 33 ± 1.92h | 28 ± 4.02j | 30 ± 2.55j | 28 ± 2.53j | 26 ± 1.28j | 25 ± 3.01j |
| Total fruit drop (%) | Heavy fruiting | 92 ± 3.15a | 89 ± 3.24a | 91 ± 3.14a | 90 ± 3.04a | 89 ± 3.11a | 87 ± 2.47ab |
| | Medium fruiting | 87 ± 2.96ab | 83 ± 3.43bc | 88 ± 3.05ab | 82 ± 3.23bc | 85 ± 2.45b | 84 ± 3.14b |
| | Light fruiting | 81 ± 4.21c | 75 ± 1.93d | 83 ± 3.45bc | 77 ± 3.13cd | 80 ± 3.12c | 76 ± 3.25cd |

Yield and fruit grade quality of Kinnow plants. Yield and fruit grade quality of Kinnow plants are significantly differed during both on- & off-years and three different agro-climatic conditions and data are shown in Table 8. Maximum numbers of fruits were harvested on heavy-fruited plants during on-year (1058 no.) and minimum on light-fruited plants during off-year (209 no.) at TTS. Fresh fruit weight per plant was recorded as maximum on heavy-fruited plants during on-year and minimum on light-bearing plants during off-year (36.89 kg) at Sargodha. Higher percentage of A-grade was recorded in the medium-fruited plants at Sargodha (18.78%) and lower in light-fruited plants in Vehari (8.5%) during off-year season. However, more B-grade fruits were recorded on heavy-fruited plants at Vehari (57.8%) and less on light-fruited plants at Sargodha (38.75%) during off-year. Similarly, maximum C-grade percentage was noted on light-fruited plants during off-year (55.14%) and minimum on heavy-fruited plants during on-years (31%) in Vehari district. Higher yields were recorded during on-year at TTS (36,783.8 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1}) and lower during off-year at Vehari (15,737.9 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1}).

Climate variables have a direct impact on fruit growth and development\textsuperscript{10} and consequently decreased yields with a decline in fruit quality attributes\textsuperscript{22}. Holland et al.\textsuperscript{124} recorded a decrease in fruit quality in the era of global warming, while\textsuperscript{72} estimated a 1/4th reduction in citrus yields in the US. In this work, Kinnow fruits of inferior quality were harvested in warm regions during on- and off-years from light, medium and heavy bearing plants. In addition, higher temperatures during the fruit cell division stage led to even more physiological drops\textsuperscript{211} resulting in alternate bearing\textsuperscript{8} and finally yielding low-quality fruit\textsuperscript{19}. Cheong and Soodoo\textsuperscript{125} found a direct effect of climate variables on fruit yield and quality in their work on Shogain (Citrus reticulata Blanco) in Thailand. In present work, warm dry spells at TTS and Vehari have resulted in a more premature stage Kinnow fruit drops while extending the summer season period has exacerbated stem-end rot disease, causing additional drops in maturing fruits. In fact, both light and heavy fruiting seasons, the fruit drop pattern was related to the external climate, which decreases yield and grade quality while showing further variations in three experimental sites.
More declines in yield and grading quality have been seen in light fruiting seasons with high intensity in warm regions. However, during heavy fruiting season, hanging fruits were least affected by the vagaries of weather conditions. Citrus fruit A-grade quality is linked to fruit size, shape and apparent view or blemishes free outer peel, which was more harvested in Sargodha due to timely harvesting and early spot-picking for export while observing less fluctuating climate variables. The physiological mechanism of biennial fruiting trends in citrus is directly linked with external conditions, especially changing vapor pressure deficit during fruit growth and development phases to determine fruit-load for succeeding season. In addition, the relationship between the sources (leaves) photoassimilates and the sink (fruits) also has an effect on the yield and quality of the citrus fruit, which has justified this work of recording more A-grade quality fruit on medium bearing trees during on-year. More pest pressure, particularly citrus mites and thrips, was seen in warm areas that directly affected the external outlook of the fruit, reducing the exportable volume, which was recorded higher in warm TSS and Vehari districts to justify less A-grade quality Kinnow fruits produced in more climate variable regions. Present work is in line with previous works on citrus.

**Conclusion**

Climate variables determine the fruiting habit, yield and quality attributes of Kinnow Mandarin. In warm regions, the fluctuating trend in thermal indices has not only influenced plant phenophases, but also fruiting habits, fruit drops at different stages and, consequently, yield and quality characteristics. In TTS and Vehari, the more unpredictable weather behavior resulting in more variations in thermal indices causes an alternating pattern of fruiting by disrupting the source-sink relationship and deteriorating fruit quality, henceforth affecting plant thermal use energy efficiencies. Biennial fruiting pattern is an inherent character linked to citrus that is dominantly induced by external environment has become more prevalent in more climate variable regions as seen in this work. This research endeavor may be fruitful in future to decide particular region regarding citrus fruiting habit, quality as well as yield and also pinpoint major management practices in future where more fluctuations in climate variables arise.
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