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Chromatin architecture is highly dynamic during different phases of cell cycle to accommodate DNA-based processes. This is particularly obvious during mitotic exit, where highly condensed rod-like chromatids need to be rapidly decondensed. Such chromatin structural transitions are tightly controlled and organized as any perturbance in this dynamic process can lead to genome dysfunction which may culminate in loss of cellular fitness. However, the mechanisms underlying cell cycle-dependent chromatin structural changes are not fully understood. In this mini review, we highlight our current knowledge of chromatin structural organization, focusing on mitotic exit. In this regard, we examine how nuclear processes are orchestrated during chromatin unfolding and compartmentalization and discuss the critical importance of cell cycle-controlled chromatin landscaping in maintaining genome integrity.
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INTRODUCTION

The cell cycle of proliferating cells is defined by two major events, first, error-free duplication of the genome during synthesis phase and second, faithful transmission of genetic material into the daughter cells during mitosis. Since genetic material is packaged in the form of chromatin, the proper execution of nuclear processes is critically dependent on cell cycle regulated chromatin organization and restructuring (Ma et al., 2015). This process is orchestrated by a variety of factors notably histone PTMs (posttranslational modifications) and chromatin protein complexes (Antonin and Neumann, 2016). In this mini review, we highlight how daughter cells inherit proper chromatin structure and discuss its importance in the execution of genome-wide nuclear functions.

CHROMATIN STRUCTURE IN INTERPHASE

The fundamental repeating unit of chromatin is the nucleosome, which is formed by ~147 bp of DNA wrapped around an octamer histone core (Luger et al., 1997). Individual nucleosomes are connected by linker DNA and organized into long linear arrays, which interact with nucleosomes in the neighboring arrays to create a chromatin fiber (Luger et al., 2012; Belmont, 2014; Hansen et al., 2018). Interactions among adjacent chromatin fibers may contribute to increased folding, finally reaching the maximal degree of compaction (~10,000 fold) observed in the metaphase chromosome (Tremethick, 2007; Batty and Gerlich, 2019). It is now widely established that chromosome
territories/domains are positioned in a non-random manner within the nucleus where gene density, chromosome size and morphology play a major determining role in their organization (Nagano et al., 2017; Nozaki et al., 2017; Finn et al., 2019; Mirny et al., 2019). Gene-rich areas tend to locate at the center of the nucleus whereas gene-poor regions tend to be located at the periphery where they are associated with the nuclear lamina (NL) (Nunez et al., 2009; Van Steensel and Belmont, 2017; Lochs et al., 2019; Sivakumar et al., 2019).

High-throughput sequencing based approaches have markedly advanced the understanding of chromatin folding patterns and their relevance to nuclear functions. In particular, different versions of chromosome conformation capture-based methods (Denker and De Laat, 2016; Sati and Cavalli, 2017; Han et al., 2018) were developed to measure the frequency at which two genomic loci physically associate in 3D space (Sati and Cavalli, 2017). The most recent of such methods termed Hi-C measures frequencies of all the possible genomic contacts (all-versus-all). This method has been used to identify three primary landscapes of chromatin folding: i) loops, ii) TADs (topologically associating domains) and, iii) compartments (Denker and De Laat, 2016; Beagrie and Pombo, 2017; Nagano et al., 2017).

Chromatin loops are formed when two small genomic regions typically 100 to 750 kb (kilobases) apart come in close proximation through association with CTCF (the CCCTC-binding factor) (Rao et al., 2014). Hi-C mapping at a higher resolution has annotated ~2,000 sharply defined regions as TADs (Dixon et al., 2012). TADs are relatively isolated genomic regions around 100 kb to 2 Mb (megabases) in size that exhibit preferential intra-domain contacts. Finally, at the Mb scale, chromosomes are segregated into A-type (active) and B-type (inactive) compartments that are defined by their transcriptional activity (Simonis et al., 2006) (Figure 1A). In the context of this review, we focus on the major components of the B-type compartment, including LADs (lamina-associated domains), NADs (nucleolar-associated domains), as described in Box 1.

CHROMATIN STRUCTURE DYNAMICS AROUND MITOSIS

Chromatin Condensation During Mitosis

The massive structural reorganization of chromatin during mitosis is mediated by the eukaryotic members of SMC (structural-maintenance-of-chromosomes) protein complexes, namely condensins and cohesins (Belmont, 2006; Wood et al., 2010; Houlard et al., 2015; Piskadlo and Oliveira, 2017). Together with topoisomerase II and other non-histone proteins, condensins and cohesins help orchestrate higher order chromatin folding and chromosome-wide compaction leading to cytologically distinct and longitudinally compacted chromosomes (Hirano, 2012; Antonin and Neumann, 2016; Piskadlo and Oliveira, 2017; Schalbeter et al., 2017). Whereas condensins organize and condense large-scale chromosome rearrangements by loop formation and lateral/axial compaction, histone PTMs generally promote inter-nucleosomal association and hence, drive close-range chromosome compaction (Antonin and Neumann, 2016). Among the various histone PTMs, phosphorylation of several of the histone H3 amino acid residues surge during different stages of mitosis (Sawicka and Seiser, 2012; Wang and Higgins, 2013), however, the exact mechanism by which they contribute to mitotic chromosome condensation in mammalian cells remains elusive.

In addition to histone H3 phosphorylation, different methylation states of histone H4 lysine 20 (H4K20me1/2/3) have also been implicated in chromatin compaction (Houston et al., 2008; Oda et al., 2009). However, the mechanistic details of their role in chromosome condensation are not well understood. SET8, the enzyme responsible for genome-wide deposition of H4K20 monomethylation, is tightly regulated during the cell cycle and peaks around G2 phase (Tardat et al., 2010; Jorgensen et al., 2013). Majority of H4K20me1 is subsequently converted into H4K20me2 and H4K20me3 by the action of SUV4-20H1 and SUV4-20H2 enzymes during M and G1 phases (Nishioka et al., 2002). However, about 10% of H4K20me1 persists and is found to be significantly enriched in the gene bodies of highly transcribing genes (Barski et al., 2007; Van Nuland and Gozani, 2016). The presence of H420me1 in transcriptionally active and hence, more open chromatin regions suggest that chromatin compaction functions are most likely regulated by H4K20me2 and H4K20me3 states. These observations support the idea that both SMC and histone PTM-mediated chromosome structural changes may function in parallel, albeit at different levels of chromosome architecture. It is highly likely that mitotic chromosome condensation requires a cross-talk between both these mechanisms (Figure 1B).

Chromatin Decondensation After Mitosis

Mitotic exit is characterized by two major nuclear events, first, the nuclear envelope is reformed to provide an enclosed space for the segregated genomic material. Second, the re-establishment of functional interphase chromatin within the nuclear envelope, where rod-shaped chromatids rapidly decondense into more loosely arranged, non-random structures, fully competent for DNA-based processes. Indeed, simulation on a mitotic chromosome-like polymer shows that the large-scale 3D organization of TADs and A/B compartments during mitotic exit occurs simply as a result of partial decondensation in an inflation-like process (Kumar et al., 2019). In this regard, while TADs and loops are established rapidly following mitotic exit, the larger A/B compartments form more slowly and continue to grow as cells advance through the cell cycle (Abramo et al., 2019).

In the context of this review, we focus on how the major B-type compartment components i.e. LADs and NADs, are organized at mitotic exit. During interphase, LADs interact dynamically with the NL however, they move only within a layer <1 μm thick (Kind et al., 2013). Furthermore, there is a degree of both cooperativity and stochasticity in the positioning of LADs within individual cells (Jurisic et al., 2018). Intriguingly, the nuclear positioning of majority of LADs is not inherited following mitosis but instead some LADs (termed facultative LADs or fLADs) are stochastically reshuffled between other repressive environments. However, around 30% of LAD
regions, termed cLADs (constitutive LADs) appear to be cell-type invariant in their association with the nuclear periphery and may serve to anchor chromosomes to the NL (Kind et al., 2015). Anchoring of cLADs, that display the highest NL contact frequencies and form the most stable NL contacts, likely contributes to the overall organization of interphase chromatin after mitotic exit (Falk et al., 2019). In this regard, H3K9me2 (histone H3 lysine 9 dimethyl) has recently been shown to be
Box 1

**Lamina-associated domains (LADs)**

Condensed chromatin regions corresponding to B-type domains that lie in proximity to the nuclear lamina (NL) are termed as lamina-associated domains (LADs) (Van Steensel and Belmont, 2017). There are approximately 1,000–1,500 LADs, typically 0.1–10 Mb in size that cover more than one-third of the genome and are distributed along all chromosomes (Quelen et al., 2008). LADs have sharply defined borders enriched for active promoters leading away from the LADs, CpG islands and CTCF binding sites.

**Nucleolar-associated domains (NADs)**

Nucleolar-associated domains (NADs) are heterochromatic regions that associate with the nucleolus (Nemeth et al., 2010; Van Koningsbruggen et al., 2010). NADs are relatively gene-poor, enriched in satellite repeats and approximately 0.1–10 Mb in size. NADs are formed by active processes through tethering proteins in addition to more physical proximity to the nucleolus (Potapova and Gerton, 2019). There is substantial overlap between NADs and LADs with some studies showing that these loci could switch positions following mitotic exit (Kind et al., 2013; Ragoczy et al., 2014). Additionally, NADs are also found to locate near to the NL in a subset of cells.

preserved across mitosis and is required for the re-establishment of LADs in the daughter cells (Poleshko et al., 2019).

NADs are also found to locate in the proximity of NL in a subset of cells. In this regard, there may be a substantial overlap between NADs and LADs with some studies showing that these loci could switch positions following mitotic exit (Van Koningsbruggen et al., 2010; Kind et al., 2013; Ragoczy et al., 2014). A recent study identified two distinct classes of NADs in mouse embryonic fibroblasts, which differ primarily in their frequency to associate with the nucleolar periphery and with the NL (Vertii et al., 2019). While type I NADs display characteristics of constitutive heterochromatin and associate with both nucleolar periphery and NL, type II NADs are more specifically associated with the nucleolus. Considering a substantial overlap between type I NADs and LAD regions, their mode of inheritance is expected to be largely the same (Kind et al., 2013; Vertii et al., 2019). However, it is unclear at the moment how type II NADs are inherited in the daughter cells.

At the nucleosomal level, the chromatin landscape at mitotic exit is marked primarily by reappearance of histone acetyl marks and loss of histone phosphorylation (Wang and Higgins, 2013). The phosphatase PP1 plays an essential role in removing mitotic H3 phosphorylation, including H3T3p, H3S10p, H3T11p, and H3S28p. In this regard, Repo-Man, the principal PP1-recruiting factor is targeted to anaphase chromosomes and is required for timely removal of H3T3p and H3T11p (Qian et al., 2011; Vagnarelli et al., 2011). Although Repo-Man is dispensable for chromatin decondensation at mitotic exit, it has been shown to play a role in nuclear envelope reformation in a PP1-independent manner (Vagnarelli et al., 2011). In this regard, the nucleolar protein Ki-67 might function redundantly with Repo-Man to target PP1 onto anaphase chromosomes (Booth et al., 2014). Further into mitosis, PNUTS, the PP1 nuclear targeting subunit localizes PP1 to the reforming nuclei and its loading onto chromatin has been linked to decondensation (Landsverk et al., 2005). However, as this occurs following dephosphorylation of H3S10, the exact mechanism by which PNUTS facilitates chromosome decompaction is not currently understood. Removal of H3S10p leads to the dissociation of the chromosome passenger complex and promotes re-establishment of HP1 (heterochromatin protein 1) binding to H3K9me3 (histone H3 lysine 9 trimethyl) to maintain heterochromatin at mitotic exit. Establishment of the H3K9me3–HP1 axis facilitates loading of cohesin by the histone H4K20 methyltransferase SUV4-20H2 that is itself targeted through HP1 binding. This initial loading of cohesin seems to be crucial for the establishment of pericentromeric heterochromatin as cells enter interphase (Hahn et al., 2013). Furthermore, H4K20 methylation is in itself important for finetuning chromatin compaction states during mitotic exit. In this context, we have uncovered that loss of H4K20me leads to abnormal chromatin decompaction in cells exiting mitosis, which has significant functional implications in terms of DNA replication and genome stability during the next cell cycle (discussed below) (Shoaib et al., 2018).

Additional protein complexes have also been shown to play a role in chromatin decondensation at the mitotic exit. Firstly, removal of the mitotic kinase Aurora B from the chromatin seems to be a prerequisite for chromatin decondensation and nuclear envelope reformation. This is carried out by the hexameric ATPase p97 that binds to the ubiquitylated form of Aurora B and evicts it from the chromatin thereby, facilitating chromatin decondensation (Ramadan et al., 2007). Apart from p97, a second class of ATPases, RuvBL1 and RuvBL2, seems to be essential for chromatin decondensation. Using purified chromatin and Xenopus egg extracts to recapitulate mitotic exit events in a cell free system, Magalska et al. showed that decompaction of metaphase chromosomes is an active process that requires the activity of these AAA-ATPases (Magalska et al., 2014). Finally, nuclear actin filament (F-actin) polymerization during early G1 phase of the cell cycle is thought to aid nuclear volume expansion and chromatin decondensation (Baarlink et al., 2017). In this context, the nuclear targeting of actin-disassembling factor Cofilin-1 during mitotic exit spatiotemporally controls the assembly and turnover of F-actin polymers in turn regulating chromatin reorganization and nuclear architecture of the newly formed daughter cells (Figure 1B).

Establishing proper ‘ground state’ chromatin structure entails massive structural reorganization of the chromatin. Using single-cell Hi-C analysis, Nagano et al. compared chromatin structure in different cell cycle phases, starting from mitotic exit (Nagano et al., 2017). As the cells exit mitosis, a dramatic expansion of TADs containing active genes was observed, which subsequently decreases as cells enter S phase. On the contrary, compartmentalization increases as the cells progress through the cell cycle and reaches its peak before next mitosis (Nagano et al., 2017). More recently, detailed Hi-C mapping at defined time points following mitotic exit was presented to describe the reorganization of chromatin landscape specifically at the M-G1 transition (Abramo et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Similar to Nagano et al., the authors observed that TADs and A/B
compartmentalization is accompanied by contact domain formation in a “bottom-up” manner where smaller sub-TADs are the first to form followed by their convergence into multi-domain TAD structures. Interestingly, Zhang et al. found that CTCF is strongly retained at a significant proportion of its binding sites in mitotic chromosomes, whereas, cohesin is completely evicted during mitosis and is only loaded onto chromatin with delayed kinetics. Intriguingly, cohesin binding is followed by the formation of structural chromatin loops co-anchored with CTCF. Furthermore, the authors showed that chromatin loops can also be formed through contact between cis-regulatory elements (promotor-enhancer loops). These data suggest that a dynamic hierarchical network of mutually influential, yet distinct forces drive post-mitotic chromatin landscaping.

**CHROMATIN LANDSCAPING AT MITOTIC EXITS MEETS NUCLEAR FUNCTION**

The large-scale spatial segregation of locally folded loops, TADs, compartments that define interphase 3D chromatin organization is largely absent in mitotic chromosomes (Nagano et al., 2017; Abramo et al., 2019). Thus, during mitotic exit, chromatin is not simply decondensed but also needs to be landscaped into hierarchically folded chromatin domains. Additionally, the de novo establishment of functional chromatin domains needs to be well coordinated with the genome-wide execution of DNA-based processes in particular transcription and replication. In this regard, it is unclear at the moment whether nuclear functions (transcription, replication etc.) drive chromatin domain unfolding or vice versa. Below we discuss how cells coordinate chromatin reorganization and nuclear processes during their transition to the next cell cycle.

**Coordinated Transcription Around Mitosis**

To achieve maximum chromatin condensation during mitosis, the landscape of interphase chromatin including intra- and inter-chromosomal contacts is lost. In this regard, many chromatin modifiers and transcription factors are dissociated from chromatin, facilitating segregation of genomic material into the daughter nuclei (Kadauke and Blobel, 2013; Naumova et al., 2013; Festuccia et al., 2017; Raccaud and Suter, 2018; Zaidi et al., 2018). In contrast to previous reports that all bound proteins are simply decondensed but also needs to be landscaped into hierarchically folded chromatin domains, it is unclear at the moment whether nuclear functions (transcription, replication etc.) drive chromatin domain unfolding or vice versa. Below we discuss how cells coordinate chromatin reorganization and nuclear processes during their transition to the next cell cycle.

**Chromatin Decondensation at Mitotic Exit Is Coupled to DNA Replication Licensing**

DNA replication is a tightly controlled chromatin process that ensures faithful duplication of genetic material once per cell cycle. Replication is temporally divided into two-steps, where first the future replication origins are ‘licensed’ by loading of pre-RC (pre-replication complex) starting in late telophase and continued through G1 phase, followed by ‘firing’ of origins at the start of S phase (Remus and Difflay, 2009; Fragkos et al., 2015; Yeeles et al., 2015). Pre-RC assembly starts with loading of
ORC1-6 (origin recognition complex subunits 1-6), whose binding in higher eukaryotes is largely sequence independent (Mechali et al., 2013). Later, CDC6 (Cell Division Cycle 6) and CDT1 (Chromatin Licensing and DNA Replication Factor 1) act to recruit the replicative helicase MCM2-7 (minichromosome maintenance protein complex 2-7) to ORC-bound genomic loci. Since the assembly of ORC complex starts in late mitosis, it needs to be coupled with chromosome decondensation and chromatin...
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**FIGURE 2** | Regulated decompaction at M-G1 transition preserves genome stability. **(A)** A transient spike in transcriptional output from annotated TSSs (transcription start sites) is observed during M-G1 transition while chromatin undergoes regulated decompaction and before cells enter interphase. However, increased decompaction at this point could lead to dysregulation of gene activity wherein unplanned transcription at cryptic promoters or non-annotated TSSs could lead to replication-transcription collisions in turn causing replication stress and genomic instability further into the cell cycle. **(B)** Regulated decompaction at M-G1 transition facilitates restricted licensing of origins in preparation for DNA replication during the following S phase. However, in case of abnormal decompaction, increased chromatin accessibility is accompanied by over-licensing that can lead to replication stress and genome instability arising from aberrant origin firing at the start of subsequent S phase.
reorganization into functional territories and domains. The exact mechanism of recruitment of ORC complex to chromatin is not yet elucidated, however, it has been shown that ORC1, the largest subunit of ORC complex, is the first subunit to bind to mitotic chromosomes at the start of mitosis, followed by the rest of the subunits in late mitosis (Kara et al., 2015). The absence of DNA sequence specific binding of ORC complex allows a more chromatin-regulated recruitment and loading process (Cayrou et al., 2015). In this regard, the N-terminal BAH domain of ORC1 has been shown to specifically recognize H4K20me2, which in itself is being established on histone H4 around late mitosis and early G1 phase (Kuo et al., 2012). ORC complex has also been shown to interact with three repressive chromatin marks namely, H3K9me3, H3K27me3 and H4K20me3 (Bartke et al., 2010; Vermeulen et al., 2010). These findings strongly argue for a key role of specific chromatin environment that stabilizes ORC1 at human replication origins during mitosis and early G1 phase. However, it is not clear at the moment if ORC complex loading and eventually pre-RC loading is dictated or affected by chromatin loops and TADs in the daughter nuclei.

Chromatin enforces specificity of replication initiation by restricting non-specific ORC binding to origins (Devbhandari et al., 2017; Kurat et al., 2017). Thus, tightly regulated chromatin compaction threshold limits replication licensing at the M/G1 transition. In particular, recent data from our group showed that the H4K20me pathway plays a key role in establishment of ground-state chromatin compaction upon mitotic exit (Shoaib et al., 2018). In the absence of proper H4K20me levels, aberrant loading of ORC and MCM2-7 complexes promotes single-stranded DNA formation and DNA damage in the ensuing S phase. Importantly, restoration of chromatin compaction at the cellular transition from mitosis to G1 restricts uncontrolled replication licensing and thus preserves genome stability. In line with this, Kurat et al. previously showed that while chromatin does not completely inhibit assembly of CMG (CDC45/MCM/GINS) complex, DNA synthesis is strongly restricted due to the presence of chromatin and requires additional factors for replisome progression (Kurat et al., 2017). Additionally, “open chromatin” can induce replication stress by facilitating activation of dormant replication origins further threatening the fidelity of DNA replication (Conti et al., 2010) (Figure 2B). Finally, re-establishment of interphase chromatin domains is important for maintaining replication timing. TADs represent stable regulatory units of replication timing in a cell-type specific manner and follow characteristics of active and repressed compartments of the genome (Pope et al., 2014). In this regard, DNA replication is synchronized with transcription, initiating within the TADs permissive for transcription and later advance into repressive TAD regions. Aberrant chromatin reorganization at mitotic exit could effectively abolish TAD boundaries and hence, may endanger genomic integrity through replication-transcription conflicts.

**CONCLUSIONS/PERSPECTIVES**

To coordinate and regulate various nuclear functions, chromatin inherited by the daughter cells during mitotic exit maintains the structural organization of their predecessor. It is an important mechanism for cells to maintain their cellular identity. This inevitably requires highly regulated chromatin decondensation, which is dictated by both chromatin modifications and non-histone chromatin structural proteins. The molecular events leading to reversal of highly condensed chromosomes into loosely organized interphase chromatin are not fully elucidated. In this regard, several key questions require further investigations. A comprehensive analysis of chromatin factors that remain on mitotic chromosomes during cell division is lacking. Also, it is unresolved at the moment how much mitotic bookmarking contributes to reestablishment of interphase chromatin states and how extensive it is throughout the genome. For certain genomic regions such as LADs, there is a de novo establishment of chromatin state (cLADs vs fLADs) at mitotic exit. It is unclear how cells push certain LAD regions to the nuclear periphery while others remain in the nuclear interior. The question remains if chromatin landscaping at mitotic exit is largely a stochastic process or there is a method to this randomness. By employing high throughput ‘omics’ approaches, future studies will shed light on chromatin landscaping at mitotic exit and how it regulates nuclear processes thereby maintaining genome integrity and cell identity.
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