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Abstract Background: Big Data is a relatively new field of research and technology, and literature reports a wide variety of concepts labeled with Big Data. The maturity of a research field can be measured in the number of publications containing empirical results. In this paper we present the current status of empirical research in Big Data. Method: We employed a systematic mapping method with which we mapped the collected research according to the labels Variety, Volume and Velocity. In addition, we addressed the application areas of Big Data. Results: We found that 151 of the assessed 1778 contributions contain a form of empirical result and can be mapped to one or more of the 3 V’s and 59 address an application area. Conclusions: The share of publications containing empirical results is well below the average compared to computer science research as a whole. In order to mature the research on Big Data, we recommend applying empirical methods to strengthen the confidence in the reported results. Based on our trend analysis we consider Volume and Variety to be the most promising uncharted area in Big Data.
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1 Introduction

A sharp increase in the number of publications related to the Big Data field in the past years makes it difficult to get a good overview of the current state-of-the-art. Big Data technology is diverse and can be applied to many areas. Big Data features in many trend reports and academic publications. In order to get an overview of the field, we have performed a systematic mapping study and assessed to which degree empirical results have been reported. In our study empirical results mean that a technology or concept has been tested and evaluated so that the result can be seen as a part of an evidence base. Concepts or technology that are merely referred to and not tested or evaluated are excluded from this study. Generally speaking, Big Data is a collection of large data sets with a great diversity of types so that it becomes difficult to process by using state-of-the-art data processing approaches or traditional data processing platforms[156]. In a 2011 Gartner report[104] Doug Laney explains the concept of Volume, Variety and Velocity in data management. These are known as the 3V’s and characterize the concept of Big Data. In addition to these 3 fundamental V’s, many other V’s have emerged, though these differ per the special feature the authors of these publications happen to need.

In 2012, Gartner revised and gave a more detailed definition[1] as: Big Data are high-volume, high-velocity, and/or high-variety information assets that require new forms of processing to enable enhanced decision making, insight discovery and process optimization. More generally, a data set can be called Big Data if it is formidable to perform capture, curation, analysis and visualization on it at the current technologies.

1 http://www.gartner.com/resId=2057415
NIST defines Big Data as: Big data consists of advanced techniques that harness independent resources for building scalable data systems when the characteristics of the datasets require new architectures for efficient storage, manipulation, and analysis.

All agree to the fact that Big Data needs to be big, and in order to be assessed as Big Data, one needs to address at least one of the aspects of volume, velocity or variety. However, when one looks into the literature, one finds quite quickly that publications that through their title, keywords or abstract give the impression to deal with Big Data in fact do not address these aspects.

Sjøberg et al. state that empirical research seeks to explore, describe, predict, and explain natural, social, or cognitive phenomena by using evidence based on observation or experience. It involves obtaining and interpreting evidence by, e.g., experimentation, systematic observation, interviews or surveys, or by the careful examination of documents or artifacts. Work done in an empirical manner can be used as an evidence base for further research. In order to separate the sheep from the wool, we committed a systematic mapping study taking into account only publications that provide empirical results or address 3 V aspects of Big Data.

1.1 Study approach and contribution

During our mapping of the Big Data literature we found no systematic review of empirical work carried out in the field of Big Data. We did identify related studies and describe these in Section 4.1. In order to create an overview of the areas that are addressed, this paper describes how we carried out a systematic literature mapping with a method similar to [124] to map existing Big Data literature with a form of empirical evidence to the three V’s of Big Data as well as application areas. The method is described in detail in Section 2. We chose to limit the mapping to the 3 V’s as these are the fundamental issues for Big Data. Many other V-terms have been defined later though none of these are used consistently, which limits the mapping possibilities.

The main contributions of our study are:

- Systematic mapping of the findings of empirical Big Data Studies
- Identification of Big Data studies containing empirical evidence
- Overview of application areas of empirical Big Data Studies
- Trend analysis of empirical Big Data Research
- Identification of and discussion about related surveys

A summary of some of our conclusions:

- The number of reports on Big Data are rising, both empirical and non-empirical
- Roughly 10 percent of the contributions on Big Data include empirical results
- Application areas have been getting more attention over time
- We recommend applying empirical methods to strengthen the confidence in the reported results
- Based on our trend analysis we consider Variety to be the most promising uncharted area in Big Data

1.2 Structure of this paper

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes the general method employed in the work presented in this paper as well as our specific implementation of this method. The results of the different stages of this work are presented in Section 3. Section 4 presents an analysis of the results and Section 5 discusses the limitations of our study. Finally, Section 6 describes our conclusion and our recommendations for further research.

2 The systematic mapping process

The systematic mapping process is an iterative process where each step builds upon the previous. The process starts with a research question and ends with a systematic map, see Figure 1. We have based our systematic mapping procedure on Kai Petersen’s and Robert Feldt’s work [155]. In this section we first highlight the step (in a text box) in the systematic mapping process as described by Petersen and Feldt, each step in the process description is then followed by a description of how we implemented this step in the process.

Fig. 1: The stages of the systematic mapping process [155].
2.1 Definition of Research Questions (Research Scope)

The main goal of a systematic mapping study is to provide an overview of a research area, and identify the quantity and type of research and results available within it. Often one wants to map the frequencies of publication over time to see trends. A secondary goal can be to identify the forums in which research in the area has been published.

We have defined the following research questions:

**Research Question 1:** Have mapping studies with similar goals to ours been carried out?

**Research Question 2:** What is the share of studies that ground their results with empirical methods?

**Research Question 3:** How are studies that provide empirical results grouped according to the “three Vs”? And what is the distribution of these different groups?

**Research Question 4:** What are the application areas of Big Data and how are they distributed?

**Research Question 5:** Which publication outlets are most prominent?

**Research Question 6:** Can we identify any trends within Empirical Big Data Research?

2.2 Conduct Search

The primary studies are identified by using search strings on scientific databases or browsing manually through relevant conference proceedings or journal publications. A good way to create the search string is to structure them in terms of population, intervention, comparison, and outcome [98]. The structure should of course be driven by the research questions. Keywords for the search string can be taken from each aspect of the structure. For example, the outcome of a study (e.g., accuracy of an estimation method) could lead to key words like “case study” or “experiment” which are research approaches to determine this accuracy.

In this study we used Elsevier’s Scopus for our search. Scopus delivers the most comprehensive overview of the world’s research output in the fields of science, technology, medicine, social sciences and arts and humanities. It claims to be the largest abstract and citation database of peer-reviewed literature and within our domain it is a valid choice. Test searches done within other databases all returned subsets of the result from Scopus (e.g. the results from “(Big and Data) and (PublishedAs:journal) and (Keywords:Big AND Keywords:Data)” limited to 2014 and earlier in ACM digital library was all part of the Scopus results.)

We searched for “Big Data” in the title, abstract and keywords. We included only papers that are accepted in journals, or in press for journals as well as reviews. This resulted in the following search string:

```
TITLE-ABS-KEY("Big Data") AND DOCTYPE(ar OR re) AND PUBYEAR < 2015 AND (LIMIT-TO(LANGUAGE,"English")) AND (LIMIT-TO(SRCTYPE,"j") OR LIMIT-TO(SRCTYPE,"k"))
```

The string above is defined by the Scopus search query language which can be accessed at Scopus where “DOCTYPE(ar OR re)” limits to article or review, “PUBYEAR < 2015” limits to publication from before 2015, “(LIMIT-TO(LANGUAGE,"English"))” limits to publications with English as the source language and “LIMIT-TO(SRCTYPE,"j")” OR “LIMIT-TO(SRCTYPE,"k")” limits to journals and book series.

At the time of the query this also resulted in three publications [25,70,197] dated 2015 in direct conflict with the query parameters. This may be because of some journal predating an article (indexing it when it is accepted, but before it is actually published). We have included these papers in the data for completeness. These publications were later excluded in our selection process and thus will not be included in any of the analysis except from the graphs presenting the total number of publication and any calculation or analysis that depends on the total number of publications.

2.3 Screening of Papers for Inclusion and Exclusion (Relevant Papers)

Inclusion and exclusion criteria are used to exclude studies that are not relevant to answer the research questions. The criteria show that the research questions influenced the inclusion and exclusion criteria. It is useful to prototype inclusion and exclusion parameters with a limited set of papers.
Rather than having specific inclusion criteria other than the selection by the search query described above, our method includes every paper from the base corpus until excluded. Exclusion criteria are used to exclude studies that are not relevant to answer the research questions. One may however regard the inverse of criterion 3 and 4 as inclusion criteria. See Table 1 for the criteria.

Given the inclusion and exclusion criteria used in the study described in the process description, we defined criteria suitable for our data-set. Out of the full dataset, we randomly chose 100 papers to test the inclusion and exclusion parameters, prior to reading the full set of papers. The random selection was based on numbering in Endnote, we simply took approximately every 15th paper and included it in our random set. The first exclusion criteria is “no abstract” (some of the results appeared to be short-papers in magazines, and these typically do not include abstracts), as, if there is no abstract, we simply cannot see whether the publication is relevant or not. The second exclusion criteria is “Source language other than English”. Some abstracts were written in a way that we typically see when a machine translation is applied, which caused doubt regarding the actual content of the contribution. Upon further investigation of the meta-data, we noticed that some contributions do not have English as a source language. This will make us unable to do further investigation when needed and therefore we chose to exclude these articles. Once the contribution passed the first two criteria, we looked into the content. Only clear contributions to Big Data are of interest for this mapping study and therefore we exclude (criterion 3) abstracts that do not clearly define contribution of work, as well as abstracts (criterion 4) that are clearly not related to Big Data (e.g. [154] talks about “Big Data reduction”, however it is clearly not related to the modern term “Big Data”). Publications with very small data sets that claim that the solution will work on a huge dataset without having a convincing strategy for this are also excluded by criterion 4. See also Table 1.

### Table 1: Exclusion criteria

| Exclusion criteria number | Criteria                                      |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1                         | No abstract.                                  |
| 2                         | Source language other than English            |
| 3                         | Abstract does not clearly define contribution of work |
| 4                         | Clearly not related to Big Data               |

2.4 Keywording of Abstracts (Classification Scheme)

Keywording is a way to reduce the time needed in developing the classification scheme and ensuring that the scheme takes the existing studies into account.

We adopted the systematic process for classification from [155]. However, instead of searching for keywords to base the cluster map on, in our case, the keywords were already defined by Laney [104] as explained in the introduction. In addition to the 3 V’s we also defined "application area" as a keyword to map to. As for the mapping to empirical keywords, we extracted a list of empirical method keywords, which has been compiled in a matrix showing co-occurrences in Table 3.

![Fig. 2: The three stages of the systematic mapping.](image)

2.5 Data Extraction and Mapping of Studies (Systematic Map)

When having the classification scheme in place, the relevant articles are sorted into the scheme, i.e., the actual data extraction takes place. The classification scheme evolves while doing the data extraction, like adding new categories or merging and splitting existing categories. A scheme, for example in Excel, should be used to document the data extraction process. The table should contain each category of the classification scheme. When the reviewers enter the data of a paper into the scheme, they provide a short rationale why the paper should be in a certain category (for example, why the paper applied evaluation research). From the final table, the frequencies of publications in each category can be calculated.

Mapping data in graphs is a useful aid for the reader to understand the analysis. Visualization
alternatives could be found in statistics, HCI and information visualization fields.

We began by categorizing all articles based on their abstracts into four categories. We determine whether the article in question have contributed to the Big Data field itself in term of either volume, variety or velocity. If the article is simply applying one or more Big Data techniques in a case, we identified whether this is a Big Data experiment that has contributed to the field itself by proving that “doing X is possible with these techniques” to a reasonable degree or if this has little effect on the field. In addition, we categorized the contribution according to the empirical methods used:

1. Volume: Describes improvements and progress within technologies and methods for handling increases to the volume of data. E.g. Optimizing analysis methods to reduce runtime ($O(N^3) \rightarrow O(N^2)$) thus enabling users to handle larger volume of data, but not approaching stream/real-time speed (velocity), or improved methods for handling storage and transfer of Big Data.
2. Variety: Describes improvements and progress within technologies for handling variety of data. E.g. new methods for classification that exploits very large amounts of data.
3. Velocity: Describes improvements and progress within technologies for coping with the speed of incoming data. E.g. Decreasing turnaround/response time for analysis, approaching real-time/stream analysis or completion time guarantees. Technologies and methods for handling a fire hose of incoming data $^4$ one pass algorithms for computing approximate statistic/analytics.$^{109}$
4. Application area: Describes Big Data technology different application areas; not innovating through new Big Data technology but through applying Big Data Technology to new areas.

3 Findings from Data

This section describes the outcomes of the steps in the method described in Section 2. The results chapter map directly to the stages of the systematic mapping process described in Figure 1. The following section is structured as follows. Subsection 3.1 describes the result of Definition of research question, conducting the search and screening of papers. Subsection 3.2 describes the result of

“Keywording using abstracts”. Subsection 3.3 describes the result of “Data Extraction and Mapping Process”

The method used can be summarized in three main stages, as shown in Figure 2.

The outcome of stage 3 is described in Section 3.3

3.1 Review scope, all papers and relevant papers

Review scope: The goal of this study is to understand the state-of-the-art within the field of Big Data. We aim to identify past and current trends. A secondary goal is to identify the forums that publishes research in the field of study. Our research questions reflects these goals.

All papers: The query as described in Subsection 2.2 was sent to Elsevier’s SCOPUS February 12th 2015, and resulted in 1778 publications. However some of the publications had duplicate entries in the Scopus database, typically registered in two different years or in two different publications. These duplicate entries were removed and the final number of unique publications is 1749. In Figure 4 we have listed the distribution of publications per year.

Relevant papers: In Figure 3 we show the number of included papers per year. We also present which of the journals were most prominent in Table 2.

![Fig. 3: Distribution of the included journal articles according to published year.](http://cacm.acm.org/blogs/blog-cacm/155468-what-does-big-data-mean/fulltext)
3.2 Classification scheme

First we produced our primary corpus by applying the exclusion criteria (see Table 1) to the initial population of papers described in the previous section. When reading the title and abstract we first checked if the paper was affected by any of our exclusion parameters. After this check was passed, keywording was applied in the sense that main contributions were highlighted in the metadata. This process is outlined in Figure 5. Some articles turned out to be application area descriptions rather than contributions to any of the V’s. These were classified accordingly.

3.3 Systematic map

Through our classification we mapped the publications onto four categories Volume, Velocity, Variety and

3.4 Empirical Methods

In Table 3 we provide an overview of the means that were identified as methods for being evaluated as being empirical. In the cross matrix we see that some contribution use several methods in order to prove the value of their work.

| Reference Year | Variety | Velocity | Volume |
|----------------|---------|----------|--------|
| 2009           | 1       | 0        | 1      |
| 2011           | 0       | 0        | 2      |
| 2012           | 1       | 3        | 9      |
| 2013           | 19      | 8        | 39     |
| 2014           | 28      | 12       | 54     |
| Total          | 49      | 23       | 105    |

Table 4: Three V’s according to publishing year.
Table 3: Cross matrix of empirical methods, showing which empirical method keyword was used how many times, and their co-occurrence. The top row is an abbreviated version of the first column.

|          | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------|------|------|------|------|
| Benchmark| 14   | 9    | 4    | 0    |
| Case study| 0    | 6    | 1    | 0    |
| Demonstrate| 4    | 1    | 48   | 10   |
| Evaluate | 0    | 1    | 10   | 35   |
| Experiment| 8    | 0    | 32   | 14   |
| Implement| 4    | 0    | 2    | 4    |
| Model | 0    | 0    | 3    | 3    |
| Simulation| 0    | 0    | 2    | 1    |
| Validate | 1    | 0    | 3    | 1    |
| Verify | 1    | 0    | 1    | 4    |
| Total | 32   | 8    | 106  | 70   |

Table 5: Empirical methods according to reference year.

|          | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------|------|------|------|------|
| Benchmark| 8    | 5    | 1    | 0    |
| Case study| 4    | 2    | 0    | 0    |
| Demonstrate| 25   | 20   | 2    | 1    |
| Evaluate | 20   | 10   | 4    | 1    |
| Experiment| 67   | 37   | 4    | 0    |
| Implement| 14   | 5    | 2    | 1    |
| Model | 10   | 12   | 1    | 0    |
| Simulation| 5    | 4    | 2    | 0    |
| Validate | 7    | 4    | 1    | 0    |
| Verify | 4    | 2    | 1    | 1    |
| Total | 164  | 101  | 18   | 4    |

Table 7: Total number of journal publications per year.

|          | before 2009 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | sum |
|----------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
|            | 31           | 11   | 8    | 31   | 187  | 504  | 974  | 3    | 1749 |
|            | 0            | 1    | 0    | 2    | 17   | 73   | 118  | 0    | 211  |
| total      | 31           | 11   | 8    | 31   | 187  | 504  | 974  | 3    | 1749 |
| included   | 0            | 1    | 0    | 2    | 17   | 73   | 118  | 0    | 211  |
| %          | 0,00         | 9,09 | 0,00 | 6,45 | 9,09 | 14,48| 12,11| 0,00 | 12,01|

4 Analysis

From the total of 1749 included studies, Figure 3 depicts the distribution of the included studies sorted by publication year. Starting with a total of 3 papers in 2009-2011, we see that in 2012 there was an increase in relevant publications and near fourfold in 2013, this trend continues into 2014. So, we can state there is a clear up-going trend in relevant publications.

Of the 210 included studies, 151 could be mapped onto one or more of the V’s, the remaining 59 are papers describing Big Data technologies applied to application areas. In the VENN diagram we chose to exclude to view the application areas and keep the focus on the V’s. The most addressed area is volume with 85 publications, followed by variety with 33 and velocity with 11. Research addressing both variety and volume is most prominent with 11 included contributions, whereas volume and velocity is combined 5 times. Finally, variety and velocity have five included contributions and only four studies [36,47,106,115] mention all three of the main areas of Big Data. From this we can conclude that the most mature areas in terms of published results are Velocity and Volume. We do want to note that many of the contributions mention Hadoop and MapReduce as a basis platform while the focus of content is directed towards velocity and/or variety. This may indicate that the storage is taken for granted when this is used.

Table 7 and Figure 8 give an overview of the total number of journal papers per year that we have assessed, as well as the number of included paper. It becomes very clear that the majority of publications do not have empirical findings. These numbers are also presented in Figure 3 (included papers per year) and Figure 4 (total papers per year). The inclusion percentage can be seen graphed in Figure 7 and also per V and Application area in Figure 9.
Table 6: Number of publications classified to that V and the references to those publication.

| V                  | Publication count | Publications |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Variety            | 33                | 2 11 12 16 23 25 44 53 62 72 90 104 102 113 119 111 125 143 159 132 135 150 173 170 185 189 217 233 |
| Volume             | 85                | 3 4 5 14 17 18 19 21 22 27 25 29 32 34 35 37 39 41 49 58 60 63 64 66 67 71 73 78 81 82 83 88 93 94 97 105 107 110 116 118 122 123 132 134 136 137 143 146 148 151 160 169 172 173 180 181 182 188 193 190 192 194 200 193 203 209 213 210 213 215 216 221 226 227 230 232 234 |
| Velocity           | 11                | 51 52 77 78 84 105 169 185 219 249 |
| Volume and Velocity| 5                 | 135 117 137 235 |
| Velocity and Variety| 2               | 63 241 |
| Variety and Volume | 11                | 95 125 170 125 165 120 185 174 176 179 229 |
| Volume, Variety & Velocity| 4| 36 47 199 115 |

Fig. 7: Percentage of empirical (included) studies per year.

Fig. 8: Percentage of empirical (included) studies per year per mapped region: Volume, Velocity, Variety and Application Area.

We have mapped the included studies to 4 categories, Variety, Velocity, Volume and Application area. The latter means that a paper does contribute empirically to Big Data by applying Big Data technology to a field, however, without forwarding the technology itself. Some papers address multiple V’s, and if so, are mapped accordingly. Hence, the total number of V’s is higher than the total number of included papers.

Table 8 gives an overview of the included papers mapped according to the V’s and application areas. The column “% change” indicates the change from the year before and is meant to give an indication on whether there is growth. We see that in the past 3 years there has been an increase for all categories in absolute numbers. Though, measured in percentage growth compared to the previous year we see a decline.

Table 9 gives an overview of the included papers mapped according to the V’s and application areas. The column “included %” indicates the percentage of included papers. For example, in 2009 we included 1 paper which was mapped on both Variety and Volume (also explaining why the total can be higher than 100% per year). In the past 3 years, for Variety we see that the percentage of included papers has increased quite a bit from 2012 to 2013, with a little decline to 2014. The inclusion percentage of Volume is stable from 2012 to 2015. Velocity seems to drop from 2012 to 2013 and stabilize to 2014, but the amount of publications in 2012 is so low that it is not statistically significant. Though, the total number of publications is still increasing.

59 of the included studies is not classified as a direct contributor to any of the three V’s, rather the
Table 8: Included publications and their mapping to V and application area. The table also reflects the change over time in percentage.

| Year | Variety | Velocity | Volume | Application |
|------|---------|----------|--------|-------------|
| 2009 | 1       | 0        | 1      | 0           |
| 2010 | -       | -        | -      | -           |
| 2011 | 0       | 0        | 2      | 0           |
| 2012 | 1       | 3        | 9      | 6           |
| 2013 | 19      | 8        | 39     | 18          |
| 2014 | 28      | 12       | 54     | 35          |

Table 9: Overview of the included publications mapped to V’s and the applications areas.

| Application area                  | #    | Publications |
|-----------------------------------|------|--------------|
| Social (network) analysis         | 8    | [13, 53, 60, 129] |
| (Cyber) Security and privacy      | 6    | [95, 22, 206] |
| Visual analytics                  | 5    | [223, 232] |
| Predictive analytics              | 4    | [20, 229, 230] |
| Intelligent Transport Systems     | 4    | [38, 121, 218] |
| Search engine/data exploration    | 3    | [108, 159] |
| Environmental monitoring          | 3    | [130, 177] |
| (Bio)Medical                      | 3    | [20, 120, 140] |
| Text Extraction                   | 3    | [74, 109, 158] |

Table 10: Publications grouped by application area.

In addition we have studies within Recommendations [141, 165], Cost reduction [30], Image and video classification tasks [43], Stimulation of learning experience [15], Clustering [40], ATC [80], Telecom [91], Cloud [131], Kernel spectral clustering [138, 139] (However these were very close to be classified as applicable to Velocity and Variability), Knowledge provision [142], Smart Grid [167], Analytics [175], Space [179], Criminal investigation [10], Marketing [183], retrieval of learning objects [186], Bibliometrics [202], Service operation [112], recreational studies [195].

We cannot give a conclusive trend analysis based on our study, though as we do have indications, we wanted to see if these coincide with generally available trend reports. Trend reports and predictions are abundant; a quick Google search on “latest trends in Big Data” returns millions of results. At the time of search (on Google), the first hits were:

Gartner Predicts Three Big Data Trends for Business Intelligence

F1 By 2020, information will be used to reinvent, digitalize or eliminate 80% of business processes and products from a decade earlier.

F2 By 2017, more than 30% of enterprise access to broadly based Big Data will be via intermediary data broker services, serving context to business decisions.

F3 By 2017, more than 20% of customer-facing analytic deployments will provide product tracking information leveraging the IoT.

Table 11: Trends within Big Data predicted by Gartner

Top Big Data and Analytics Trends for 2015

5 http://www.forbes.com/sites/gartnergroup/2015/02/12/gartner-predicts-three-big-data-trends-for-business-intelligence/

6 http://www.zdnet.com/article/2015-interesting-big-data-and-analytics-trends/
Table 12: Big Data and Analytics trends predicted in 2015 by ZDnet.

| Z1  | More Magic          |
|-----|---------------------|
| Z2  | Datafication        |
| Z3  | Multipolar Analytics |
| Z4  | Fluid Analysis      |
| Z5  | Community           |
| Z6  | Analytic Ecosystems |
| Z7  | Data Privacy        |

Table 13: CIO’s Big Data Technology predictions for 2015.

- C1: Data Agility Emerges as a Top Focus
- C2: Organizations Move from Data Lakes to Processing Data Platforms
- C3: Self-Service Big Data Goes Mainstream
- C4: Hadoop Vendor Consolidation: New Business Models Evolve
- C5: Enterprise Architects Separate the Big Hype from Big Data

CIO’s 5 Big Data Technology Predictions for 2015.

We have enumerated the headings from the trend reports for easier referencing.

We are aware that this is a limited subset of all available trend reports, though these should give at least a general impression and a basis for comparing our trend indication based on the literature study. We have omitted reports that require registration.

Based on our literature study, we can indicate that Application is more on the rise than Variety, Velocity and Volume, thus Big Data technology is becoming more applied.

The latter is reflected in F1, F2, C3, C4, C5 and Z2. Volume and Velocity do not seem to be reflected in these reports.

On general terms, we can state that the reports agree that Big Data is becoming more mature and therefore more applied and that analytics is the path to choose if you want to stay in front of the state-of-the-art. This is supported by Kambatla et al. [92].

4.1 Related mappings, surveys and reviews

In addition to the above, we also identified studies that did not meet our inclusion criteria; though do provide a contribution in creating an overview of a part of the Big Data field. Below we summarize the type of contribution and their conclusions.

Sakr et al. [162] provide a comprehensive survey for a family of approaches and mechanisms of large-scale data processing mechanisms that have been implemented based on the original idea of the MapReduce framework and are currently gaining a lot of momentum in both research and industrial communities. They also cover a set of introduced systems that have been implemented to provide declarative programming interfaces on top of the MapReduce framework. In addition, they review several large-scale data processing systems that resemble some of the ideas of the MapReduce framework for different purposes and application scenarios.

Gorodov and Gubarev [54] have done a review of methods for visualizing data and provided a classification of visualization methods in application to Big Data.

Ruixan [161] presents Bibliometrical Analysis on the Big Data Research in China and summarizes research characteristics in order to study Big Data in-depth development and the future development of Big Data. They also provide reference information for studies related to Library and Information Studies. They conclude that research based on Big Data has taken shape though most of these papers in the theoretical stage of exploration, lack adequate practical support and therefore recommend to intensify efforts based on theory and practice.

Chen and Zhang [156] have done a comprehensive survey of Big Data technologies, techniques, challenges and applications. They offer a close view of Big Data applications opportunities and challenges as well as techniques that is currently adopted and used to solve Big Data problems.

Jeong and Ghani [87] have done a review of semantic technologies for Big Data and conclude that their analysis shows that there is a need to put more effort into proposing new approaches, and that tools must be created that support researchers and practitioners in realizing the true power of semantic computing and solving the crucial issues of Big Data.

Gandomi and Haider [50] present a consolidated description of Big Data by integrating definitions from practitioners and academics. The paper’s primary focus is on the analytic methods used for Big Data. A particular distinguishing feature of this paper is its focus on analytics related to unstructured data, which according to these authors constitute 95% of Big Data.

Wang and Krishnan [191] present a review with an objective to provide an overview of the features of clinical Big Data. They describe a
few commonly employed computational algorithms, statistical methods, and software tool kits for data manipulation and analysis, and discuss the challenges and limitations in this realm.

Fernández et al. [46] focus on systems for large-scale analytics based on the MapReduce scheme and Hadoop. They identify several libraries and software projects that have been developed for aiding practitioners to address this new programming model. They also analyze the advantages and disadvantages of MapReduce, in contrast to the classical solutions in this field. Finally, they present a number of programming frameworks that have been proposed as an alternative to MapReduce, developed under the premise of solving the shortcomings of this model in certain scenarios and platforms.

Polato et al. [157] have conducted a systematic literature review to assess research contributions to Apache Hadoop. The objective was to identify gaps, providing motivation for new research, and outline collaborations to Apache Hadoop and its ecosystem, classifying and quantifying the main topics addressed in the literature.

Wu and Yamaguchi [196] presents a survey of Big Data in life sciences, Big Data related projects and Semantic Web technologies. The paper helps to understand the role of Semantic Web technologies in the Big Data era and how they provide a promising solution for the Big Data in life sciences.

Kambatla et al. [92] provide an overview of the state-of-the-art and focus on emerging trends to highlight the hardware, software, and application landscape of big-data analytics.

Hashem et al. [68] have assessed the rise of big data in cloud computing. The definition, characteristics, and classification of big data along with some discussions on cloud computing are introduced. The relationship between big data and cloud computing, big data storage systems, and Hadoop technology are also discussed. Furthermore, research challenges are investigated, with focus on scalability, availability, data integrity, data transformation, data quality, data heterogeneity, privacy, legal and regulatory issues, and governance. Lastly, they give a summary of open research issues that require substantial research efforts.

As for ongoing projects, The Byte project (EU FP7) is also investigating the research field of Big Data. And the Big Data Value Association is an initiative with the goal to provide the Big Data Value strategic research agenda (SRIA) and its regular updates, defining and monitoring the metrics of the cPPP and joining the European Commission in the cPPP partnership board.

None of the studies above have thoroughly mapped the existing knowledge against the Big Data V concepts, nor assessed whether the contributions have created empirical results.

5 Discussion

There are some limitations to this study. The first limitation is that we used a single source for our search. Scopus claims to be “the largest abstract and citation database of peer-reviewed literature: scientific journals, books and conference proceedings”, making it a valid choice. Scopus also returned a super set of the search results we got from trying the same query on IEEE Xplore, ACM digital library and Compendex. With regards to the mapping processes, one could claim that both researchers should have read all abstracts and discussed all. Instead, we did a pre-mapping in tandem and after that split the work. Each was working for himself, excluding the clear outliers based on the exclusion criteria and including the clear paper to include. In case of even the slightest doubt, we marked the publication and discussed these publications later on. One can also argue that it is a limitation to limit the mapping to the 3V’s as well as application areas and not include the other “V’s” that appear in the papers. We argue that sticking to the original 3 V’s gives a much more concise overview than also including non-standard V’s that emerge. The 3 basic V’s are well defined, whereas others V’s are open for interpretation. Another limitation is the definition of the empirical work. However we do not use the definitions, we just record the words used in abstracts that are also word that describe empirical methods. If an authors claims that they have done an experiment and that the results has been evaluated, we noted this and did not read the full publication in order to investigate if this is really true. We have not assessed the quality of the work carried out in detail, other than noting that the publication is a peer reviewed journal. A possible point of critique of this mapping study can be that we only searched for publications that was part of a publication that was reported as a journal as the field of data science, analytics, databases also has a large amount of high quality contributions disseminated through top level conferences. However the researchers had to make a practical choice between not being able to perform this mapping study or reducing the number of studies to be included. Removing all studies not being part of a journal seemed like a fair decision given our hard choice, as it does not discriminate across the different sub-field
that contributes to Big Data research. It can be argued that we did not find very clear trends in the analyzed data. Finally, the method for comparing the correlation between our result and the non-scientific trend reports can be argued as being weak as the method of selection of these reports were not as rigourous as the methods applied in selecting the studies. However, the trends do coincide.

6 Conclusion and Recommendations

Typically a mapping study does not assess quality, though as Big Data is and has been a very "hot topic" over the past years, the term appears in very many papers including papers that have not contributed to Big Data research. Therefore, we chose to only include papers that have some form of empirical approach in order to eliminate the chance of analyzing papers that are not contributing towards forwarding the evidence base of Big Data research. A total of 210 articles were included and 151 of these have been coded against one or more of the three "main V’s". In addition, we have an overview of application areas (meaning Big Data technology has been applied, though not contributed to forwarding one of the V’s).

Research Question 1: Have mapping studies with similar goals to ours been carried out?

Answer: At the time of search we found [24] and [86] that are labeled as reviews, however they were not systematic. In [150] Park et al. use a systematic approach; the paper presents findings on the social networks of authors in co-authored papers within the Big Data field.

Research Question 2: What is the share of studies that ground their results with empirical methods?

Answer: We found that on average a bit less than 10% (for details, refer to Table 7) of the retrieved publications include a form of empirical approach. We also identified the type of empirical method was used. For details see Table 5 and Table 6. In the paper “The Future of Empirical Methods in Software Engineering Research”, Sjøberg et al. [168] state that "an average of the reviews indicates that about 20% of all papers report empirical studies". This means that the use of empirical methods in Big Data research is below average.

Research Question 3: How are studies that provide empirical results grouped according to the “three Vs”? And what is the distribution of these different groups?

Answer: We identified papers that could clearly be classified as contributing to the Big Data field within either an application area, or technology within Volume, Velocity or Variety. The analysis that followed revealed that Volume (105 papers) has received the most attention from researchers, whereas Variety (50 papers) and Velocity (22 papers) is respectively half and a quarter of volume in number of publications. When one looks into the deviation per year, we can see that all V’s are still increasing in absolute numbers. For more information see section 8 and figure 4.

Research Question 4: What are the application areas of Big Data and how are they distributed?

Answer: Big data is within many different application areas, we identified 65 papers describing the use of Big Data technology within an application area. We can see an increase in papers addressing an application area over time. For more details see section 4.

Research Question 5: Which publication outlets are most prominent?

Answer: From the studies retrieved by our search, limiting to contributions that are classified as journals by Scopus, we found that Lecture Notes in Computer Science is the most prominent channel featured in our selected papers, followed by Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment and Future Generation Computer Systems, for the full list see table 2.

Research Question 6: Can we identify any trends within Empirical Big Data Research?

Answer: Based on our literature study, we can indicate that Application is more on the rise than Variety, Velocity and Volume, thus Big Data technology is becoming more applied. Referring to the analysis in section 4, we can -on general terms- state Big Data is becoming more mature and therefore more applied and that analytics is the path to choose if you want to stay in front of the state-of-the art.

Recommendations: The share of publications containing empirical results is well below the average compared to computer science research as a whole. In order to mature the research on Big Data, we recommend to both use the evidence base of existing empirical studies in Big Data and we recommend applying empirical methods to strengthen the confidence in the reported results. As seen in Table 7 and 9 all of the V’s seem to be stable in their share of publications within the Big Data field. Publications of Application of Big Data technologies is rising, a natural explanation for this is that the Big Data field
and technologies has matured enough for applications. The least addressed areas of Big Data are Velocity and Variety.
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