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Cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains the single leading cause of death in both men and women. A large proportion of the population with CVD will die with a diagnosis of congestive heart failure (CHF). It is becoming increasingly recognized that sex differences exist in the etiology, development, and outcome of CHF. For example, compared to male counterparts, women that present with CHF are typically older and have systolic cardiac function that is not impaired. Despite a growing body of literature addressing the underlying mechanisms of sex dimorphisms in cardiac disease, there remain significant inconsistencies reported in these studies. Given that the development of CHF results from the complex integration of genetic and nongenetic cues, it is not surprising that the elucidation and subsequent identification of molecular mechanisms remains unclear. In this review, key aspects of sex differences in CVD and CHF will be highlighted with an emphasis on some of the unanswered questions regarding these differences. The contention is presented that it becomes critical to reference cellular mechanisms within the context of each sex to better understand these sex dimorphisms.

1. Introduction

Risk assessment for cardiovascular disease (CVD) begins with a close examination of genetic modifiers (age, sex, family history) and nongenetic environmental modifiers (smoking, alcohol, diet). The prevailing thought among contemporary investigators is that the severity of CVD depends on contributions from both genetic and non-genetic factors. Of the genetic factors, much attention has been paid to biological sex or gender as a potent modifier of cardiovascular health. (It is generally accepted that biologic sex is defined as being chromosomally male or female while gender is a function of biologic sex, culture, behavior, and environment. For simplicity, we have decided to use the term sex in this review.) Although the vast majority of clinical and laboratory studies have been carried out in males, there is a growing body of literature directly addressing sex-specific differences in cardiovascular disease and outcomes. Premenopausal women consistently have a better prognosis than men in response to hypertension, aortic stenosis, myocardial infarction (MI), and hypertrophic cardiomyopathies [1–3]. The hearts of women with these disorders maintain adequate or elevated cardiac function whereas men typically demonstrate increased chamber dilation and wall thinning, both of which contribute to the observed poor contractility [4, 5]. The same is also true for congestive heart failure (CHF); women have better survival than men even when adjusted for severity of cardiac function [6, 7] and the long-term prognosis is better for women than for men [8, 9].

Because of this sex difference, estrogen has been proposed as a major cardioprotective agent in premenopausal women. However, a recent study showed that hormone replacement therapy (HRT) in postmenopausal women increased their CVD risk [10] forcing reconsideration of estrogen as being cardioprotective. Moreover, it seems unlikely that the male/female dimorphisms in CVD can be attributed to a single factor such as estrogen. This review will not explicitly discuss the impact of estrogen on cardiovascular health and disease as we have addressed this previously [11]. Nevertheless, estrogen is positioned to play a unique role in CVD since estrogen can respond to environmental, nongenetic cues and subsequently impact genetic expression [11]. Consequently, difficulty arises when attempting to understand how environmental factors, such as blood lipid profiles, impact CVD in men and women. For example, although statin therapy reduces cardiovascular events in both
men and women equally, women do not have the same reductions in mortality and stroke as their male counterparts [12]. To further complicate matters, plasma triglycerides are better predictors of cardiovascular risk in women, whereas LDL-cholesterol concentration is a stronger predictor in men [13–16]. However, this discrepancy disappears in older, postmenopausal (estrogen-free) women where LDL levels exceed those in men and become better correlated with cardiovascular risk [17, 18]. Consequently, elucidating the cellular and molecular mechanisms of cardiac disease progression and how it differs between the sexes becomes tantamount to the discovery of clinical treatment strategies. Despite an increasing knowledge regarding the sex dimorphisms in the pathophysiology of cardiac disease, which we have extensively reviewed previously [11], many inconsistencies remain regarding the identification of these differences. More importantly, as will be discussed below, interpretation of these mechanisms explicitly depends on context, that is, how these underlying mechanisms act within each sex. In this review, we will focus on these inconsistencies in sex-specific differences in cardiac disease development. Considering that CHF is characterized by progressive impairments in cardiac function and contractility and that pharmacological manipulation of cardiac contractility is the predominant therapeutic strategy, there will be a particular emphasis on detailing the underlying contractile function in a sex specific manner.

2. Heart Failure in Women

This review is not intended to be a comprehensive or clinical exposition on the etiology, diagnosis, and treatment of CHF in women; other reports are available for this information (see [19]). Nevertheless, a few key facts require highlighting. Of all-cause mortality in women, CVD ranks as the highest [20]. Over one-third of CVD deaths in women are due to CHF. Interestingly, the Rotterdam Study shows an increasing incidence and prevalence of CHF for both men and women with age; yet, the rate in men always exceeds that in women independent of age [21, 22]. In the same study, no differences between men and women were found in heart failure prognosis [22]. Another publication, citing a self-reported heart failure survey administered by the National Center for Health Statistics in 1999, reports a greater prevalence of heart failure in men than women ages 65–74 but that after age 74, this difference significantly diminishes [23]. On the other hand, a recent study reports that idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy is a much deadlier disease in women than in men [24].

In most cases, measures of cardiac contractility are valuable prognostic indicators in patients with severe CHF [25, 26]. Data suggest that the risk of death increases with worsening left ventricular (LV) systolic function [27]. Yet, when broken down by sex, the data are less conspicuous. In general, both men and women show increased mortality associated with impaired LV function [27]. However, more women than men with CHF present with preserved, not impaired, LV systolic function [28, 29]. It seems logical to assert that the increased survival in women over men is due to this elevated contractile function. However, more recent studies argue that there is a lack of survival benefit for patients with preserved LV function as measured by ejection fraction over patients with impaired systolic function [30, 31]. The suggestion is that the sex dimorphism in CHF survival may not necessarily be due to differences in CHF presentation but, instead, a fundamental difference in the ability of the female heart to tolerate the physiological changes associated with CHF (for review see [19]).

These apparent inconsistent reports underscore the difficulty in interpreting the CHF mortality literature and any sexual dimorphisms that may be revealed by these studies. A potentially major source of error contributing to these discrepancies lies in the definition of CHF. CHF is an end-result of many CVD etiologies and it is unclear whether there are sex differences in the etiology of CHF [19, 32]. Similarly, the clinical definition of CHF with preserved LV systolic function (which presents in over 50% of the patient population [33]) may be misleading. These patients typically experience underlying diastolic dysfunction, which, according to the studies cited above, may lead to similar mortality rates as those patients with impaired LV function.

The presentation of diastolic dysfunction with preserved systolic LV function is a clinically relevant phenotype [33, 34]. Impairments in LV relaxation, distensibility, and stiffness are rooted in the cardiac cell and, thus, have underlying cellular mechanisms [35–37]. The findings that more women than men have CHF with normal LV function indicate that females do not demonstrate a similar risk to diastolic dysfunction as their male counterparts. To date, there are no studies directly examining the mechanism of sex dimorphisms in diastolic dysfunction and CHF.

Since treatment strategies are typically not sex specific, the extent and intensity of the medical intervention could also affect the outcome of these studies [38, 39]. For example, when the attainment of recommended LDL cholesterol levels is the goal, even if appropriate LDL cholesterol levels are reached, there is no clear evidence that men and women benefit equally [39, 40]. The findings with LDL cholesterol may also reflect fundamental sex differences in the hydrolysis of LDL and substrate delivery to cardiomyocytes as elaborated below. Furthermore, studies attempting to delineate the differential impact of preserved or impaired LV systolic function and CHF survival struggle to maintain consistency with the above parameters. Apart from investigating the underlying causes of the apparent sex differences in CHF, investigators are challenged to find appropriate models to study the impact of sex on the development, response, and progression of heart disease.

3. Sex Differences in Cardiac Function

Considering that cardiac pathophysiology is unique among men and women, it is reasonable to assume that basal cardiac physiology is similarly unique among the sexes. Unfortunately, the data supporting this assertion vary from study to study and are not clear. Whether examining humans or rodents, the anatomical arrangement of the heart and peripheral cardiovascular system is identical between males.
and females. However, the size of the female heart is smaller than age- and race-matched men [41]. This appears to be consistent among species; the larger the animal, the larger the heart. If cardiac physiology is proportional to organism size, it is not surprising to find that the difference is eliminated when heart size is adjusted for body mass. We have examined cardiac morphometrics in mice detailing similar findings [42, 43].

It has been suggested that male and female hearts begin with an equivalent number of cardiac myocytes and, therefore, the difference in cardiac size, although proportional to body mass, is due to larger myocyte size in males compared to females [44–46]. Considering that males are typically larger in body mass, the corresponding increase in myocyte size is most likely a result of differences in physiological demand. However, since prevailing systemic pressures are equivalent among men and women, males and females utilize unique mechanisms to maintain homeostasis as discussed by Huxley (2007) [47].

Differences in cardiac myocyte size translate into differences in ventricular chamber dimensions. Looking at a study population (57 years of age on average) free of clinically overt cardiovascular disease, all volumetric parameters measured by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are elevated in males, even when adjusted for subject height or body surface area [48, 49]. In these same studies, no differences in LV functional parameters are evident although these data are not always consistent [47–49].

In a more invasive approach employed by Shioura et al. (2008) [50] male and female mice were instrumented with a conductance catheter able to accurately and simultaneously measure LV pressure and volume. The authors demonstrate similar baseline hemodynamic parameters in male and female mice. More importantly, this is the first study that shows no significant differences between male and female hearts using the end-systolic pressure-volume relationship (ESPVR) to index ventricular contractility. The ESPVR provides a measure of ventricular contractile state that is independent of heart rate and, more importantly, loading conditions within the physiological range [51–53]. In addition, a measure of diastolic function as indexed by the end-diastolic pressure-volume relationship (EDPVR) can be derived from these studies [50]. Using these parameters of ventricular function, these investigators evaluated male and female mice at different timepoints following an MI. Both male and female mice demonstrate an immediate deterioration in function following MI. Ten weeks post MI, female hearts improve contractility to baseline levels as measured by the ESPVR while male hearts do not [50]. Female mice show a similar attenuation in volumetric parameters. Interestingly, only female hearts display diastolic dysfunction but only at an early timepoint.

Interestingly, the authors document similar baseline volumetric parameters in both male and female hearts using this technique. Considering that male hearts are typically larger than female hearts under normal physiological conditions, one would predict a sex dimorphism in end-systolic and end-diastolic volumes as indicated in the human MRI studies. Although this most likely would not alter fundamental contractile characteristics, it does point out the limitations of these studies regarding absolute volume calculations [54]. Nevertheless, many noninvasive techniques such as MRI or echocardiography harbor more significant limitations when measuring cardiac contractility [55, 56]. Using the pressure-volume technique, investigators will be able to monitor cardiac function and contractility with and without disease and/or disease interventions in the appropriate context; that is, within the same sex. Thus, this paper represents a significant advancement and establishes the benchmark for detecting sex dimorphisms in cardiac function under normal and diseased states. More importantly, it predicts a cellular mechanism underlying changes in contractile function detailed below.

4. Cardiac Cellular Dynamics and Contractility

Despite the technical limitations, the use of the ESPVR and the EDPVR, and resultant measures from this approach, provides an important link to cardiac cellular dynamics. When addressing sex dimorphisms in cardiac physiology and pathophysiology, it becomes necessary to integrate intact ventricular function (systolic and diastolic) with myofilament function, the ultimate determinant of cellular dynamics. The ability to maintain contractile force at a given cytosolic Ca2+ concentration is in part determined by the sensitivity of the myofilaments to Ca2+ with the output of tension development as the endpoint. At the cellular level, this type of analysis provides an index of cardiac contractility and is directly related to contractility as indexed by the ESPVR (Figure 1). The reason is that we and others have proposed that a fundamental association exists between myofilament Ca2+-sensitivity, sarcomere length and tension of the cardiac cell and pressure and volume in the intact ventricle. In this context, the relationship between length and tension (end-systolic tension-length relationship; ESTLR) of isolated cardiac muscle preparations determines the shape of the pressure-volume relationship at end-systole (ESPVR) of the intact ventricle (Figure 1) [57–59].

However, the literature examining sex dimorphisms in myofilament function is equivocal. Some studies illustrate that isolated cardiac muscle preparation from female hearts responds with greater tension than males at a given amount of Ca2+ indicative of enhanced Ca2+-sensitivity [60, 61]. Other studies document the exact opposite results; female hearts are less sensitive to Ca2+; that is, isolated cardiac muscle preparations respond with lower tension than males at a given level of Ca2+ [62, 63]. We have similarly found a reduced Ca2+-sensitivity in the hearts of female mice compared to males (unpublished observations). In support of reduced myofilament Ca2+-sensitivity in females, the loss of estrogen by ovariectomy increases the sensitivity of the myofilaments to Ca2+ [64–66]. Interestingly, loss of testosterone in males reduces Ca2+-sensitivity, which can be reversed by testosterone replacement [67]. Using a similar approach, another study shows no differences in Ca2+-sensitivity between male and female cardiac trabeculae [68]. In this same study, female trabeculae fail to generate equivalent levels of force with increasing stimulating frequencies.
Figure 1: Relationship between Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-sensitivity, length, and tension to the pressure-volume relationship. The sensitivity of cardiac myofilaments to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} indicates the contractile state of the cardiac muscle cell. The relationship between Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and tension is illustrated in the bottom panel labeled Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-sensitivity of tension. There exists a unique Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-sensitivity of tension relationship at each length (SL: sarcomere length) and these relationships can be used to create the Length-tension relationship (upper left panel) as indicated by the arrows. The Length-tension relationship can alternatively be called the end-systolic tension-length relationship (ESTLR) and is the underlying cellular mechanism of the end-systolic pressure-volume relationship (ESPVR; upper right panel).

compared to males. This effect is exaggerated at longer lengths and at higher extracellular [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}].

The reasons for these inconsistencies are multifold. Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-responsiveness of isolated cardiac muscle was determined using either chemically permeabilized preparations or cardiac muscle preparations with membranes intact. Chemical permeabilization removes membrane-bound organelles that include the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-handling machinery. Therefore, it is not surprising that differences in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-sensitivities are observed since sex dimorphisms exist in excitation-contraction coupling, which remains intact in nonpermeabilized preparations [69–71]. Additionally, 2 critical confounding factors are differences in (1) species (rats, mice, or cats) and (2) ages of the animals used in the studies described above. Although the impact of species on myofilament function is rather apparent, age-dependent changes highlight how signaling components within the cardiac myocyte can alter myocellular function. Similarly, the impact of estrogen and/or testosterone predicts a potential sex-dimorphism in intracellular cardiac signaling. This becomes especially important in the context of sex, cardiac disease, and disease progression.

There are numerous signaling mediators in the cardiac cell, many of which target myofilament proteins and are involved in excitation-contraction coupling, growth signaling, and other processes involved in cellular remodeling as occurred with cardiac disease progression [72–74]. Predicting \textit{a priori} how sex impacts these signaling pathways, which, in turn, impacts contractile properties and cellular remodeling to disease, becomes problematic. Moreover, it is not known how sex, and potentially sex hormones, influences these signaling pathways in the short- and long-term. Consequently, an integrated approach to understanding how signaling pathways modulate both cellular remodeling and contractile dynamics in a sex-specific manner is required of future investigations, again pointing to the context in which these studies must be performed and interpreted.

To illustrate this contention and the complexity of how sex can modify signaling at multiple steps, we can use \(\beta\)-adrenoceptor stimulation as the model. During a cardiac insult such as MI, posttranslational modification of myofilament proteins and the subsequent impact on Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-sensitivity becomes a key mechanism of short- and long-term remodeling within the cardiac cell [75–77]. Short-term \(\beta\)-adrenoceptor stimulation immediately increases rates of relaxation and contraction in the myocardium [78, 79]. This is accomplished by enhancing Ca\textsuperscript{2+} cycling in the myocyte through phosphorylation of phospholamban [79–82], decreasing the sensitivity of the myofilaments to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} [83, 84], and accelerating the rate-limiting steps in the crossbridge cycle [85, 86]. Thus, the integrated short-term response of \(\beta\)-adrenergic stimulation results from
targeting Ca^{2+} cycling machinery, phospholamban, leading to enhanced reuptake of Ca^{2+} by the sarcoplasmic reticulum [79–82] and direct phosphorylation of contractile proteins, troponin I, and myosin binding protein C [87, 88] to alter contractile mechanics.

Yet, prolonged β-adrenergic stimulation induces pathological hypertrophy, cellular remodeling, and decreased myofilament protein phosphorylation that leads to progressive deterioration of cardiac function [72, 73, 89, 90]. In a recent study that investigated the interaction of estrogen and β-adrenergic stimulation, concomitant exposure of neonatal ventricular myocytes with norepinephrine (β-adrenergic agonist) and estrogen mitigated the hypertrophy that occurs with norepinephrine treatment alone [91]. These data represent some of the first indications that hypertrophic, pathologic stimulation is directly affected by estrogen and may be blunted in the presence of estrogen.

Given this defined combinatorial integration of cellular signaling, the resultant impact on myofilament and intact ventricular function depends on the species, sex, and timepoint in disease progression of the animals used in the study. It is possible that sex dimorphisms exist at each step in the above signaling pathway leading to a more hastened worsening of cardiac pathophysiology in males as compared to females. Yet, the sex-dependent mechanism of the differential pathogenesis necessitates an integrative understanding from the cellular to the whole heart level.

Another example where this integrative approach may shed major insight can be illustrated in transgenic mice expressing a mutant myosin heavy chain transgene (R403Q) corresponding to a human mutation causing hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) [43, 92, 93]. We have studied sex dimorphisms in R403Q mice and characterized some of the cellular and molecular mechanisms behind the sex differences [43, 92]. These include a number of pathologic indicators such as fibrosis, induction of β-myosin heavy chain, inactivation of glycogen synthase kinase-3β, and activation of proapoptotic pathways in males but not females [43, 92, 94]. Males harboring the R403Q mutation show progressive deterioration in ventricular function associated with chamber dilation whereas females R403Q mice do not [92, 95, 96].

At the myofilament level, a recent study reports that demembranated (permeabilized) cardiac fibers from males develop more tension at a given [Ca^{2+}] compared to females indicating that the contractile apparatus is more sensitive to Ca^{2+} in males [63]. Moreover, the R403Q mutation does not impact Ca^{2+}-sensitive tension development in cardiac fibers when compared to controls from either sex. Using another R403Q transgenic model, we find that Ca^{2+}-sensitivity is similarly greater in males compared to females and that the R403Q mutation does not impact Ca^{2+}-sensitivity in males only (unpublished observations). However, cardiac muscle from female mice expressing the R403Q mutation is significantly more sensitive to Ca^{2+} than controls and both WT and R403Q cardiac fibers from males.

Again, the factors underlying the differences between our data and the previous report are the unique timepoints during disease progression at which the experiments were performed. In the comparable study by Palmer et al. (2008), measurements were performed in fibers taken from animals that were 10–20 weeks of age where mice expressing the R403Q mutation exhibit no observable HCM pathology [63, 93]. We performed our studies at 10–12 months of age, a timepoint where R403Q mice exhibit significant HCM pathology, functional deficits, and sex differences [92, 95, 96]. The implication from our data is that the increased Ca^{2+} sensitivity may provide sufficient contractile support in female R403Q hearts maintaining a compensated state, a characteristic not exhibited in males.

It is possible that as disease progresses, posttranslational changes occur to modify Ca^{2+}-sensitivity and ultimately contribute to the phenotypic and functional differences between male and female R403Q mice. Interestingly, previous reports demonstrate that the R403Q mutation results in enhanced left-ventricular systolic function accompanied by compromised left-ventricular diastolic function at an early timepoint (4–6 months of age) [96–98]. Considering that females with clinically significant CHF typically present with diastolic dysfunction and preserved (nonimpaired) systolic function, this particular model may be useful to more fully elucidate the cellular mechanisms underlying sex dimorphisms in CHF presentation [28, 29]. As outlined above, an approach that integrates myofilament function, cellular signaling, and intact ventricular function at distinct timepoints during the progression of cardiac disease is needed. Once again, the context in which these studies are performed is critical.

5. Sex-Dependent Impact of Substrates on Cardiac Function

When discussing cardiac contractility and CHF, the impact of energy generation, utilization, and delivery on cardiac function cannot be ignored. Given the extreme energetic demands, cardiac muscle preferentially fulfills energy requirements through the oxidation of fatty acids rather than glucose. On a molar basis, fatty acid oxidation (FAO) provides the greatest yield of ATP compared to glycolytic or other substrates such as lactate [99]. However, during the early stages of pathological cardiac hypertrophy such as occur in HCM where cardiac function is not compromised, substrate preference in the heart switches to glucose while FAO either stays the same or decreases [100–102]. As compensated hypertrophy progresses to decompensated cardiac hypertrophy where severe ventricular dysfunction ensues, both glycolytic and FAO capacity diminish thereby decreasing the overall energy reserve in the heart [103–105]. At this point, cardiac energetic pathways no longer display the plasticity required for adaptation to a diseased state and contribute to the deterioration of the failing myocardium.

Since the major goal of metabolic pathways in the heart is to provide a sufficient supply of ATP for myocyte function, it is reasonable to predict that the molecular underpinnings of the metabolic derangements during cardiac disease or myocardial metabolism in general display sex differences. Clues as to these differences are revealed from studies using transgenic or knock-out mice targeting cellular mediators...
of fatty acid metabolism. Pharmacologic inhibition of carnitine palmitoyltransferase I (CPT 1), a critical regulator in mitochondrial fatty acid import, is lethal in male mice null for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α (PPAR-α) whereas only 25% of female PPAR-α null mice die [106]. In mice overexpressing lipoprotein lipase (LPL) in cardiac and skeletal muscle but lacking global PPAR-α, over 50% of males die within 4 months of age while the remaining males do not survive past 11 months of age [107]. Females, on the other hand, survive for more than 12 months of age. Interestingly, the differences in plasma free fatty acid (FFA) levels as a result of the genetic manipulations cannot account for the extreme disparity in mortality [107]. In both sexes of double transgenics, circulating FFA is elevated while triglycerides are reduced. Therefore, the implication is that female hearts may be better adapted to utilize the circulating FFA as a substrate for myocardial energy utilization or that female hearts are protected against this elevation in circulating FFA than their male counterparts.

From the above studies, it appears that there are sex dimorphisms in the ability to utilize specific energy substrates. Yet, very little is known regarding any sex dimorphisms and the impact of substrates on contractile function. Consequently, even less is known about how this changes with cardiac disease progression. The delivery and utilization of substrates by cardiac muscle cells maintains a dynamic component such that the flux of these substrates undoubtedly plays an integral role in contractile dynamics. Again, any sex dimorphisms in substrate utilization necessitate a need to put these data in the context of each sex. Consequently, some key differences in lipid metabolism (providing about 95% of the substrate for cardiac cells) between the sexes need to be highlighted.

The delivery of these substrates is accomplished through the circulation, and the release of these substrates depends on metabolic mediators and tissues that include liver, adipose tissue, and muscle. For example, studies have shown that the basal rate of lipolysis as defined by the rate of appearance of plasma FFA is greater in women than in men [14, 108]. The released FFAs will either be oxidized for fuel or stored as TG. Therefore, VLDL-TG production depends on the availability of hepatic FFA and thus is a major determinant of VLDL-TG production [109]. The higher rate of FFA release is consistent with the finding that women demonstrate higher rates of VLDL production compared to men, which are unaltered by obesity like in men [15]. Interestingly, in the same study, the amount of plasma VLDL-TG between men and women was similar, suggesting that the rate of VLDL-TG clearance is greater in women when compared to men [15].

These sex differences in VLDL-TG dynamics were further investigated in a recent study by Magkos et al. (2007) [110]. In this study, the molar ratio between hepatic VLDL-TG and VLDL-apolipoproteinB-100 (VLDL-apoB-100, which provides the structural framework of the VLDL particle and permits the incorporation of TG, cholesterol and cholesterol esters, and other apolipoproteins before VLDL secretion occurs [111]) was determined in age-matched lean men and women [110]. The authors find a greater molar ratio of hepatic VLDL-TG and VLDL-apolipoproteinB-100 secretion rates in women compared to men. Because only one apolipoproteinB-100 molecule is found in a VLDL particle [112], the suggestion from these data is that the liver in women secretes larger VLDL particles containing more TG than those secreted by men [110]. A potential mechanism underlying these differences in VLDL-TG secretion and clearance rates between men and women begins with the observation that the lipolysis of TG that are in large, TG-rich lipoproteins is more efficient than lipolysis of TG in small, TG-poor particles [113]. Since the hydrolysis of lipoproteins including VLDL particles is largely mediated by lipoprotein lipase (LPL) in muscle and adipose tissue [114, 115], elevated LPL activity in females can further explain the differences in VLDL dynamics, which has been found in skeletal and adipose tissue [116, 117] (see Figure 2 for a summary). Interestingly, estrogen decreases LPL activity in plasma [118] and adipose tissue [119] in humans and it also inhibits LPL transcriptional activity [120].

From the above discussion, it is apparent that there are sex differences in lipid metabolism and substrate flux through the circulation. There is, however, a lack of study directly examining how these sex dimorphisms impact cardiac function and potentially translate into a protection during cardiac disease and CHF. In general, when cardiac muscle is exposed to fatty acids, contractility decreases whether measured in isolated cardiac cells [121, 122] or the whole heart [123, 124]. Recent evidence suggests that this
mechanism in intact cardiac cells is through augmentation of voltage-gated K+ current responsible for repolarization of the cardiac cell [122]. Interestingly, demembranated cardiac muscle strips from rainbow trout fed a diet high in omega-3 fatty acid are less sensitive to Ca2+ than those from trout fed a diet low in omega-3 fatty acid [125]. In contrast to these results, permeabilized cardiac cells from mice with cardi-specific overexpression of fatty acid transport protein 1, which leads to increased fatty acid uptake, accumulation, and usage, are more sensitive to Ca2+ than wild-type controls [121]. The inconsistencies in the latter phenomenon using permeabilized cardiac muscle may be explained by the differential impact of FFA on intracellular signaling pathways such as those including protein kinase A and protein kinase C [126–128].

When looking at cardiac disease and CHF, manipulation of FFA delivery is controversial. In the long-term, suppression of glycolytic oxidation by increasing FFA delivery decreases cardiac inotropy [129]. Consequently, lowering cardiac FAO by reducing circulating FFAs or inhibiting mediators of mitochondrial FFA has been suggested as a therapeutic measure to increase cardiac contractility for CHF (for review see [129]). However, this has been recently challenged [130, 131]. More importantly, given sex dimorphisms in lipid dynamics described above, there are no reports detailing whether this approach is effective in females. Considering that estrogen may inhibit LPL activity as detailed above [118–120], it has been hypothesized that estrogen provides protection against adipogenesis and the clinical sequelae associated with increased adiposity. Therefore, females may exist at an inotropic state that is distinct from males due to this lack of FFA delivery in females because of this LPL inhibition and consequently decreased FFA delivery to myocardial cells.

This particular topic of substrate generation, utilization, and delivery and how it differs between the sexes perhaps best illustrates the need to understand the impact of lipids on the heart in the context of sex. For example, FFAs can impact myocardial contractile function and signaling. But, this effect will depend on the flux of substrate delivery and metabolism by the myocardium, which we know is different between males and females. Clearly, the dynamics of lipid metabolism and the delivery of these substrates to the heart will have a profound impact on cardiac function and, consequently, sex differences in cardiac disease development.

6. Conclusions

The difficulty that is presented to the contemporary scientific investigator is that differences between males and females exist. This difference is clinically relevant; yet there remain insufficient data to make global recommendations, especially when it comes to cardiac disease and CHF. The goal of this review was to highlight that, despite a growing body of literature describing sex dimorphisms in cardiac disease and some potential molecular mechanisms underlying these differences, there are lingering questions. For example, what is the relationship between diastolic dysfunction and CHF and how is this modified by sex? Furthermore, we must begin to define how comparisons between males and females are to be presented and whether it is appropriate to perform studies that directly compare males and females without providing how these underlying mechanisms operate in the proper sexual context. Despite implicit difficulties with the study of sex differences, significant advancements defining sex dimorphisms in CVD and CHF have been made.
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