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Distal femoral bone mineral density decreases following patellofemoral arthroplasty: 1-year follow-up study of 14 patients

Hans-Peter W van Jonbergen*1, Kenneth Koster2, Luc Labey3, Bernardo Innocenti3 and Albert van Kampen4

Abstract

Background: The bone mineral density (BMD) of the distal femur decreases by 16-36% within one year after total knee arthroplasty (TKA) because of the femoral component's stress-shielding effect. The aim of this prospective study was to determine the quantitative change from the baseline BMD in the distal femur 1 year after patellofemoral arthroplasty using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA).

Methods: Between December 2007 and December 2008, 14 patients had patellofemoral arthroplasty for isolated patellofemoral osteoarthritis. Distal femoral BMD was assessed using DXA in 2 regions of interest (ROI) on the lateral view 2 weeks before and 12 months after patellofemoral arthroplasty. The contra-lateral knee was used as a control, with BMD measurements performed in identical ROIs.

Results: The mean change from baseline BMD in the operated knees after 1 year was -0.169 g/cm² (95% CI: -0.293 to -0.046 g/cm²) behind the anterior flange (-15%), and -0.076 g/cm² (95% CI: -0.177 to 0.024 g/cm²) in the supracondylar area 1 cm above the prosthesis (-8%) (p = 0.01 and p = 0.13, respectively). The mean change from baseline BMD in the non-operated knees after 1 year was 0.016 g/cm² (95% CI: -0.152 to 0.185 g/cm²) behind the anterior flange (2%), and 0.023 g/cm² (95% CI: -0.135 to 0.180 g/cm²) in the supracondylar area 1 cm above the prosthesis (2%) (p = 0.83, and p = 0.76, respectively).

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that patellofemoral arthroplasty results in a statistically significant decrease in BMD behind the anterior flange.
patellofemoral arthroplasty using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA).

**Methods**
In 2007, we initiated a prospective study to investigate the distal femoral BMD using DXA in patients undergoing patellofemoral arthroplasty. All patients who were planned for patellofemoral arthroplasty for isolated patellofemoral osteoarthritis at Deventer Hospital, Deventer, The Netherlands, were evaluated for inclusion in the study. Patients with known rheumatic, renal, hepatic, or gastrointestinal disease, and patients using medication that interferes with mineral metabolism (i.e. treatment for osteoporosis or long-term steroid therapy) were excluded from the study. Additionally, patients with a previous TKA or patellofemoral arthroplasty of the contra-lateral knee were excluded. The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee (NL16145.075.07, December 2007) and Institutional Review Board.

Sample size was calculated using estimates of mean femoral BMD and standard deviation (SD) behind the anterior flange after TKA [1]. The reported mean BMD behind the anterior flange of a total knee prosthesis in the replaced knee was 0.94 g/cm² (0.31), and 1.25 g/cm² (0.30) in the contra-lateral, non-replaced knee [1]. A group sample size of 13 patients achieves 95% power to detect a difference of 0.31 g/cm² between the null hypothesis that both group means are 1.25 g/cm², and the alternative hypothesis that the mean of group 2 (replaced knee) is 0.94 g/cm² with known group SDs of 0.31 g/cm² and 0.30 g/cm² with a significance level (alpha) of 0.05 using a two-tailed paired t-test (PASS 2008, NCSS software, Kaysville, Utah).

Between December 2007 and December 2008, 2 orthopedic surgeons who performed patellofemoral arthroplasty at Deventer Hospital recruited 14 patients. All patients provided written informed consent. All eligible patients were preoperatively assessed by 1 of the 2 participating orthopedic surgeons, who completed the Knee Society Knee Score (KSKS) and the Knee Society Functional Score (KSES). The Dutch version of the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index 3.1 (WOMAC) was completed by all patients. Measurement of the BMD in the distal femur was performed using DXA in the lateral view (GE Lunar Prodigy system, General Electrics, Oldelft Benelux B.V., Delft/Veenendaal, The Netherlands) 2 weeks before patellofemoral arthroplasty and 12 months after arthroplasty. Measurements of a calibration phantom were performed each day before scanning the patients. All measurements were made by an independent radiographic technician. Both the scanning procedure and positioning of the patients and knees were standardized, with the patient in the lateral decubitus position and the knee flexed 15-30 degrees to obtain a true lateral scan. Two regions of interest (ROI) were selected; one in the distal anterior area just behind the anterior flange of the prosthesis (centered between the tip of the fixation peg and the proximal end of the prosthesis) (ROI 1), and the other more proximally, in the supracondylar area 1 cm superior to the anterior flange of the femoral component (ROI 2) (Figure 1). ROI 2 was selected as a reference ROI above the prosthesis, where stress-shielding was assumed to be negligible. The measured area of each ROI was 1 × 1 cm. The contra-lateral, non-operated knee was used as a control, with BMD measurements in identical ROIs. We employed knee-specific software in all cases.

Two similarly experienced surgeons at our institution performed patellofemoral arthroplasty with the currently commercially available Richards type II prosthesis (Smith & Nephew Inc., Memphis, Tennessee). Surgery was performed under pneumatic tourniquet control and anti-

![Figure 1 Location of regions of interest (ROI) on a lateral radiograph of a right knee.](image)
otic prophylaxis using intravenous Cefazoline 1 g, 3 times daily, for the first 24 hours with the first dose administered 30 minutes before application of the tourniquet. All operations were performed in an identical manner according to the manufacturers’ instruction, as described elsewhere [10]. No intramedullary guiding rod was used during surgery. All 14 patients received the same postoperative treatment. We allowed patients protected weight bearing with crutches immediate after surgery, and full unrestricted weight bearing was allowed 6 weeks after surgery. All patients routinely received antithrombotic prophylaxis with a low-molecular-weight heparin (Fragmin) for 6 weeks.

All patients had regular clinical follow-ups at 2 and 8 weeks to evaluate wound healing and rehabilitation, DXA was not performed at these follow-up visits. At the 1-year follow-up, patients were clinically assessed using the KSKS and KSFS, and were asked to complete the WOMAC questionnaire. During follow-up, the radiological examinations consisted of 2 radiographs (anteroposterior standing and lateral non-weight bearing) performed 6 weeks and one year post surgery (Figure 2). Radiological findings were reported using the Knee Society total knee arthroplasty roentgenographic evaluation and scoring system [12].

All pertinent data were entered in a spreadsheet program and analyzed using PASW Statistics 18 software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). We performed descriptive analysis using the mean and standard deviation for continuous variables, and frequencies for categorical variables. The 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for the absolute changes in BMD from baseline. The two-tailed paired t-test was used to analyze for differences in preoperative and postoperative BMD. A linear regression model was used to evaluate for influence of BMI, age, and sex on change in BMD from baseline. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant in all the tests.

Results

Between December 2007 and December 2008, 14 patients had unilateral patellofemoral arthroplasty, receiving the Richards type II patellofemoral prosthesis. All 14 patients were available for the one year follow-up. The patient’s demographic data are presented in Table 1.

Mean KSKS improved from 61 (range, 50 to 78) preoperatively to 88 (range, 60 to 100) one year after surgery (p < 0.001). Mean KSFS improved from 65 (range, 50 to 80) preoperatively to 86 (range, 50 to 100) one year after surgery (p = 0.004). The mean preoperative WOMAC scores improved from 50 (range, 22 to 69) to 23 (range, 4 to 39) one year after surgery (p < 0.001). No complications were noted from the surgical procedure within the study period. The 1-year radiographic follow-up showed that all prostheses were in good alignment without radiolucent lines or osteolysis.

Results of the BMD measurements are summarized in Table 2. In the operated knees, there was a 15% decrease in mean BMD at 12 months in ROI 1 (p = 0.01), and an 8% decrease in ROI 2 (p = 0.13). In the non-operated knees, there was a 2% increase in the mean BMD at 12 months in both ROI 1 and ROI 2 (p = 0.83 and p = 0.76, respectively).

Regression analysis of the change from baseline BMD for both regions of interest against BMI (regression coefficient = 0.017, p = 0.3), age (regression coefficient = 0.002, p = 0.8), and sex (regression coefficient = -0.063, p = 0.6) demonstrated no significant relationships.

Discussion

The results of our prospective, 1-year DXA study demonstrate a statistically significant 15% decrease in BMD behind the anterior flange of the femoral component during the first year after patellofemoral arthroplasty. To our knowledge, there has been no previous study that

Table 1: Patient characteristics.

| Characteristic       |        |
|----------------------|--------|
| Number of knees      | 14     |
| Side (right: left)   | 7:7    |
| Mean (SD) age at surgery | 53 (10) years |
| Sex (female: male)   | 9:5    |
| Mean (SD) Height     | 175 (5) cm |
| Mean (SD) Weight     | 87 (13) kg |
| Mean (SD) body mass index | 28 (4) kg/m² |

Continuous values are given as the mean with standard deviation in parentheses.
Table 2: Bone mineral density (BMD, g/cm²) measured in the distal femur before (t = 0) and 12 months after (t = 12) patellofemoral arthroplasty.

| Operated knee | BMD (t = 0) | BMD (t = 12) | Change from baseline | 95% CI for the difference | p-value |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| ROI 1         | 1.106 (0.229) | 0.937 (0.362) | -0.169 (0.204)       | -0.293 to -0.046          | 0.01    |
| ROI 2         | 0.981 (0.272) | 0.905 (0.261) | -0.076 (0.167)       | -0.177 to 0.024           | 0.13    |
| Non-operated knee |           |             |                      |                           |         |
| ROI 1         | 1.099 (0.266) | 1.115 (0.315) | 0.016 (0.251)        | -0.152 to 0.185           | 0.83    |
| ROI 2         | 0.930 (0.175) | 0.952 (0.266) | 0.023 (0.234)        | -0.135 to 0.180           | 0.76    |

Continuous values are given as the mean with standard deviation in parentheses.
CI, confidence interval; ROI, region of interest.

attempts to use DXA measurements to evaluate changes in the distal femoral BMD after patellofemoral arthroplasty. Several investigators reported the results of BMD measurements after TKA and demonstrated periprosthetic bone loss of up to 36% adjacent to the implants [1-7]. The general BMD as measured in hip and spine, or the BMD in the contra-lateral knee, did not change after arthroplasty [1-3,7].

Finite element models were used to determine whether patterns of bone resorption behind the femoral component in TKA could be explained by strain-adaptive bone remodeling [13,14]. With a bonded femoral component, the predicted long-term bone loss would occur at the most distal part of the femur and behind the anterior part of the prosthesis [13]. These findings are in agreement with the results of clinical DXA studies, which observed loss of BMD behind the anterior flange of the femoral component in TKA [1-7]. Our results show that comparable distal femoral bone loss occurs following patellofemoral arthroplasty. This suggests that the stress-shielding effect is similar to that which occurs after TKA, even with the smaller femoral component of the patellofemoral prosthesis (Figure 2). However, the clinical results do not seem to be compromised by the observed loss of bone. In a recent study, the long-term outcomes of the Richards type II patellofemoral arthroplasty were reported [10]. The most common reasons for conversion in this series were progression of femorotibial osteoarthritis and revision for malpositioning that resulted in catching and instability. Loosening of the femoral component was not observed, which is in accordance with the literature. Furthermore, the clinical outcome of later TKA does not appear to be influenced by prior patellofemoral arthroplasty [11,15]. No technical difficulties were experienced during conversion, and the condylar support in each knee was uncompromised.

The observed decrease in the BMD in the supracondylar reference ROI 2 was not statistically significant. Other investigators demonstrated an 8% decrease in the BMD in this diaphyseal ROI at 1 year after total knee arthroplasty [5,7]. Soininvaara et al. suggested that this less pronounced bone loss represented both operation-related and postoperative immobilization-induced bone loss, because age-related bone loss is minor [5]. However, physical activity had improved in our patients, as demonstrated by the improvement in KSFS and WOMAC scores.

The current study has some limitations that should be noted. Follow-up examinations were performed at 1 year after surgery. With TKA, several investigators report that no additional remodeling occurs after 6-12 months [2,5-7], while others stated that loss of BMD stabilizes within 2 years [1,16]. Finite element models predict that bone resorption may continue after 2 years [13]. Thus, it is possible that a further decrease in BMD occurs in our patients. Another limitation is that we performed no precision measurements in our relatively small series. Therefore, we assumed a precision similar to those reported by others using the same Lunar Prodigy system, albeit with possible software differences. The reported precision for BMD measurements on the lateral view of the anterior femur ranges from 1.3% to 3.6% [3,4,7,17,18]. Because of the relatively small size of the patellofemoral prosthesis, the ROI behind the anterior flange was also necessarily small, which may have resulted in weakened precision [17].

Although our study protocol excluded medical conditions that could have affected the BMD, it is possible that other conditions may have existed that influenced the results. None of the patients had a severe medical disability that limited the ability to walk, or had a disabling disease involving other joints of the lower extremities. We did not assess the amount of physical activity at one-year
follow-up and were therefore not able to evaluate for a relationship with change from baseline BMD.

Conclusions
Our findings suggest that Richards type II patellofemoral arthroplasty results in a statistically significant decrease in BMD behind the anterior flange. Newer designs, such as the Journey patellofemoral prosthesis (Smith & Nephew) and the Zimmer Gender Solutions PFJ system, employ a broader trochlear component. In theory, the geometry, size, and material properties may result in different patterns of stress shielding. Future studies should be aimed at evaluating BMD changes in these newer patellofemoral prostheses.
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