Naphthalenediimide-Linked Bisbenzimidazole Derivatives as Telomeric G-Quadruplex-Stabilizing Ligands with Improved Anticancer Activity
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ABSTRACT: Human telomeric G-quadruplex DNA stabilization has emerged as an exciting novel approach for anticancer drug development. In the present study, we have designed and synthesized three C2-symmetric bisubstituted bisbenzimidazole naphthalenediimide (NDI) ligands, ALI-C2, BBZ-ARO, and BBZ-AROCH2, which stabilize human telomeric G-quadruplex DNA with high affinity. Herein, we have studied the binding affinities and thermodynamic contributions of each of these molecules with G-quadruplex DNA and compared the same to those of the parent NDI analogue, BMSG-SH-3. Results of fluorescence resonance energy transfer and surface plasmon resonance demonstrate that these ligands have a higher affinity for G4-DNA over duplex DNA and induce the formation of a G-quadruplex. The binding equilibrium constants obtained from the microcalorimetry studies of BBZ-ARO, ALI-C2, and BBZ-AROCH2 were 8.47, 6.35, and 3.41 μM, respectively, with h-telo 22-mer quadruplex. These showed 10 and 100 times lower binding affinity with h-telo 12-mer and duplex DNA quadruplexes, respectively. Analysis of the thermodynamic parameters obtained from the microcalorimetry study suggests that interactions were most favorable for BBZ-ARO among all of the synthesized compounds. The ΔG‡ obtained from molecular mechanics Poisson–Boltzmann surface area calculations of molecular dynamics (MD) simulation studies suggest that BBZ-ARO interacted strongly with G4-DNA. MD simulation results showed the highest hydrogen bond occupancy and van der Waals interactions were between the side chains of BBZ-ARO and the DNA grooves. A significant inhibition of telomerase activity (IC50 = 4.56 μM) and induced apoptosis in cancer cell lines by BBZ-ARO suggest that this molecule has the potential to be developed as an anticancer agent.

INTRODUCTION

G-quadruplexes are stable secondary structures of nucleic acids (DNA as well as RNA) adapted by guanine-rich sequences, which affect gene regulation, chromatin architecture, and genomic stability.1–6 Human telomeric DNA has repeated d(TTAGGG) sequences, which cap the ends of chromosomes to protect them during replication from deleterious processes.7 Telomeres are maintained by telomerase and have been found to be present in tumor cells. It is well known that the formation of a G-quadruplex in telomeres inhibits the telomerase activity and imparts immortality to the cancer cells.8 Because telomerase is not found in most normal somatic cells, telomeric G-quadruplex stabilization is a strategic approach to inhibit telomerase activity in tumor cells to design anticancer agents.9–12 A rational design for small molecules targeting DNA G-quadruplexes requires knowledge about the structure of the G-quadruplex and the ligand–quadruplex interaction. Although a number of small molecules have been studied as G-quadruplex-binding ligands, most of them were studied with a prefolded static G-quadruplex; most of the human G-quadruplexes are unstructured dynamic sequences, and except naphthalenediimide (NDI), none of the molecules have reached the in vivo evaluation stage. Hence, a continuous search for small molecules is required for the development of anticancer drugs, which not only induce G-quadruplex formation, but also target different G-quadruplex sequences in human genomes. Considerable efforts have been made to seek effective G-quadruplex binders, such as BRACO-19,13
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RHPS4, Telomestatin, TMPyP4, and so on, from the library of natural products. Synthetic compounds, such as quinobenzoxazine, coronene, naphthalimide, NDI, isoalloxazine, anthraquinone, spermine, and recently carbazole ligands with carboxamide side chains have been shown to be nucleus-imaging probes, which selectively stabilize the c-MYC G-quadruplex. A number of di- and tetrasubstituted derivatives of NDI have been extensively studied for stabilizing the G-quadruplex. Four side chains on NDI make its structure too sterically hindered and rigid to bind to quadruplex grooves. Recently, novel NDI derivatives have been found to show anticancer activities. Doria et al. synthesized a watersoluble NDI by fusing 1,4-dihydro-pyrazine-2,3-dione with two cationic or anionic chains at the imide moiety. Similarly, quinonemethides were tethered to NDI to convert them to G-quadruplex alkylating agents. An NDI derivative showed antitumor activity in a pancreatic cancer animal model and increased the survival of animals, suggesting in vivo efficacy of G-quadruplex-stabilizing agents.

Scheme 1. Reagents and Conditions: (a) Na$_2$S$_2$O$_5$, EtOH/H$_2$O, Reflux 24 h, (b) 10% Pd/C, EtOAc/MeOH (4:1), (c) TFA/DCM, 4 °C for 4 h, (d) Glacial Acetic Acid, Reflux over 8−12 h at 130 °C.
In the present study, we have designed and synthesized three derivatives of 1,4,5,8-naphthalenetetracarboxylic dimide (NDI) as G-quadruplex-stabilizing ligands; ALI-C3 (10) (2,7-bis(3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propyl)benzo[mln][3,8]-phenanthroline-3,6,8(2H,7H)-tetraone), BBZ-ARO (11) (2,7-bis(4-(6-(4-methyl-piperazin-1-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)-benzo[mln][3,8] Phenanthroline-1,3,6,8(2H,7H)-tetraene), and BBZ-AROCH2 (12) (2,7-bis((6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2yl)methyl)benzo[mln][3,8]-phenanthroline-1,3,6,8(2H,7H)-tetraene) (Scheme 1). Herein, we have studied their interactions with two different quadruplexes, inter-strand 12-mer and an intra-strand 22-mer, and compared the results with those of the parent molecule BMSG-SH-3 (Figure 1A). BBZ-ARO and BBZ-AROCH2 have a benzimidazole ring along with piperazine in the side chain, whereas ALI-C3 has piperazine with three methylenic groups as side chains on two sides of the NDI ring. The molecules synthesized by us showed an increased aromaticity leading to increased end-stacking with G-quadruplex, and the structure with an aliphatic carbon chain provides flexibility to behave as a groove binder. As benzimidazole is structurally isosteric to purine bases, it readily interacts with biomolecules and increases the cell permeability of the ligand. Benzimidazole–carbazole conjugates are reported to have a high binding affinity to G4-DNA, and the benzimidazole carboxamide derivatives of pyridine, 1,10-phenanthroline and 1,8-naphthyridine, specifically stabilize quadruplex DNA.

Our data suggest that, among the three molecules synthesized, BBZ-ARO containing bisbenzimidazole side chains is the most potent molecule in terms of affinity toward quadruplex over the duplex DNA. Docking and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations studies were further used for structural insight. We carried out molecular mechanics Poisson–Boltzmann surface area (MM-PBSA) free energy calculations on all of the inter- and intramolecular systems to find out the actual affinities of the ligands and to correlate experimental observations. The therapeutic efficacy was validated by a TRAP-Lig assay, and the cell cycle analysis was validated by flow cytometry and a Annexin V-FITC apoptosis assay.

## RESULTS

### Synthesis of Bisubstituted NDIs

In the present study, we synthesized an intermediate compound, N4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,2-phenylenediamine (1), starting from m-chloroaniline, using a reported procedure, and then this intermediate
was refluxed with 2 and 3 to produce benzimidazole derivatives 6 and 7. Compounds 6 and 7 were condensed with 1,4,5,8-naphthalenetetracarboxylic acid anhydride (8) to yield BBZ-ARO (11) and BBZ-AROCH₂ (12). We were able to synthesize the tetrasubstituted parent analogue BMSG-SH-3 (14) from 2,6-dibromo-1,4,5,8-naphthalenetetracarboxylic acid dianhydride (13) either as a starting amine or heating it at 150 °C for 10 min in a microwave oven. We used 3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl) propan-1-amine (9) as a starting amine for the synthesis of ALI-C₃ (10) from the commercially available intermediate 2,6-dibromo-1,4,5,8-naphthalenetetracarboxylic acid dianhydride (13). We could not synthesize BBZ-ARO and BBZ-AROCH₂ using 13 because of the degradation of the final product in a microwave oven. To optimize the reaction conditions, different solvents, such as dimethylformamide (DMF), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), iPr-OH, and glacial acetic acid, were tried for the final step (Scheme 1) of the synthesis of the ligands, but the highest yield was obtained from glacial acetic acid with a formidable 12 h reaction time. We could not isolate the final product by the microwave method, as reported earlier by Neidle et al.38 The products started to degrade within 10−15 min at 150 W. The solid precipitate was filtered first, washed with glacial acetic acid, and crystallized from DMF/H₂O (2:1) and acetic acid separately. The characterization of the compounds using NMR and high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) is described in Figures S1−S26.

Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) Melting Assay Shows a Strong Binding Preference of BBZ-ARO toward G-Quadruplexes over Duplex DNA. FRET melting results suggest that three ligands display moderate to high stability for human telomeric repeats with G₄-conformation. We showed the highest binding free energy of 5.0 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ with 22-mer quadruplex as the binding of BBZ-ARO with the quadruplex sequence than with the duplex DNA favors quadruplex formation with most ligands, as we found that the melting temperature of F22T increases to 16 from 14 °C in the case of BBZ-ARO. The same trends of stability increment were found in the case of F12T and with ALI-C₃ and BBZ-AROCH₂.

Thermodynamic Parameters Suggest the Highest Binding Affinity of BBZ-ARO with G-Quadruplexes. The interaction of small molecules with macromolecules can be thermodynamically characterized by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC).39,40 The appropriate heat values obtained from the injection of the same concentration of ligand solution into the duplex/quadruplex are presented in Figure 2. ALI-C₃, BBZ-ARO, BBZ-AROCH₂, and BMSG-SH-3 bound to h-telo 22-mer quadruplex exhibited a single binding event. Stoichiometries were found to be 1.06, 1.27, 1.31, and 1.05 for ALI-C₃, BBZ-ARO, BBZ-AROCH₂, and BMSG-SH-3, respectively, suggesting nearly 1:1 binding of the ligand into the complex. BBZ-ARO shows the highest affinity of 8.4 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ with 22-mer quadruplex. These ligands showed a moderate binding affinity with inter-strand h-telo 12-mer quadruplex. BBZ-ARO showed the highest binding free energy of −7.59 kcal mol⁻¹ among the other synthesized ligands (Table 2). Entropy factors predominate over the enthalpy for BBZ-ARO. The control experiments of ligands with duplex DNA showed well-equilibrated isotherms. The binding of ligands with duplex DNA was exothermic in nature. As suggested by the single-site model fitting of ITC data, there was only one binding event for all four ligands. The result yielded a binding affinity of 5.0 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ for BBZ-ARO with a binding free energy value of −7.86 kcal mol⁻¹ with DNA, suggesting that the affinity of the ligand to bind to the duplex is lower compared to that to the quadruplex (Table 2). The ligands ALI-C₃, BBZ-AROCH₂, and BMSG-SH-3, showed low affinity toward duplex DNA over quadruplexes (Figures 2 and S28). A large enthalpy change (∆H°) of −15.16 kcal mol⁻¹ and a smaller entropy contribution (∆S°) of −8.73 kcal mol⁻¹ were observed for BMSG-SH-3 with 22-mer quadruplex. A moderate binding free energy of −7.47 kcal mol⁻¹ was observed for BMSG-SH-3, which shows effective interaction.

Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) Study Validates the Selectivity of Synthesized Compounds toward G-Quadruplex DNA. SPR is a useful technique for the screening of small molecules, to determine their relative binding affinities and selectivity for a target DNA sequence. Concentration-dependent association rate constants were observed, whereas the ligand concentration had no effect on dissociation rate constants. The equilibrium-binding constants (Kₐ) obtained for the binding of ALI-C₃, BBZ-ARO, BBZ-AROCH₂, and BMSG-SH-3 were found to be 8.7 × 10⁶, 9.5 × 10⁶, 1.4 × 10⁶, and 2.7 × 10⁶ M⁻¹, respectively, for h-telo 22-mer quadruplex, whereas the corresponding values for the duplex were found to be 0.1 × 10⁶, 0.6 × 10⁶, 0.4 × 10⁶, and 0.8 × 10⁶, respectively. The selectivities of the binding of ALI-C₃ and BBZ-ARO with 22-mer quadruplex over DNA duplex as Kₐ(h-telo 22)/Kₐ(dtdna) were found to be 87.0 and 158.3, respectively (Table 3, Figures S29 and S30), proving the stronger binding of ligands with the quadruplex sequence than with the duplex DNA.

BBZ-ARO Binds by End-Stacking to G-Quadruplex. ALI-C₃ binds to the 22-mer quadruplex in a 3′-end by end-stacking mode with G4, G10, G16, and G22 tetrad, and the protonated nitrogen atoms of piperezine, N75 and N79,

Table 1. Comparative Changes in Melting Temperature (∆Tm) Determined by FRET Experiments

| entry       | ∆Tm (°C) | F22T | F12T | F22TComp22 | F12TComp12 |
|-------------|----------|------|------|------------|------------|
| ALI-C₃     | 9 ± 1.2  | 7 ± 0.5 | 11 ± 0.6 | 7 ± 0.5     |
| BBZ-ARO    | 14 ± 0.5 | 13 ± 1.4 | 16 ± 1.5 | 15 ± 0.5     |
| BBZ-AROCH₂ | 7 ± 0.7  | 8 ± 0.4 | 9 ± 0.5 | 10 ± 1.6     |
| BMSG-SH-3  | 11 ± 1.3 | 10 ± 1.5 | 13 ± 0.9 | 12 ± 1.7     |

∗All data in this table are average of three determinations.
interacted with the phosphate backbone in the grooves. BBZ-ARO, BBZ-AROCH₂ and BMSG-SH-3 also bind by an end-stacking mode with the quadruplex, as well as interacting with the phosphate backbone in the grooves depending on the length of the side arms. The GLIDE docking score of ALI-C₃ was observed to be −11.2 (Table S1) with 22-mer G-quadruplex. The flexible side chains of ALI-C₃ allow interaction with quadruplex grooves. However, BBZ-ARO shows the best docking score of −12.6 among all four compounds synthesized with 22-mer quadruplex, which can be explained due to the extended aromaticity. However, BBZ-AROCH₂ showed a moderate score of −7.2, as it might lose its planarity because of the absence of a phenyl ring (Figures 3, S31, and S32). The positively charged nitrogen atoms in both side chains in all

![Figure 2. ITC titration profiles of 4 × 10⁻⁴ M ligands (ALI-C₃, BBZ-ARO, and BBZ-AROCH₂) with h-telo 22-mer quadruplex (1.0 × 10⁻⁵ M), h-telo 12-mer quadruplex (0.5 × 10⁻⁵ M), and duplex DNA 26-mer quadruplex (1.0 × 10⁻⁵ M) at 25 °C.](image-url)
three ligands (specifically in the case of ALI-C₃, where the side chains are more flexible because they are aliphatic) targeted the phosphate ions of Ts, T11, and T17 of G-quadruplex (in all cases, the phosphate groups of T-residues are interactive). Further, in the case of the 12-mer quadruplex, the two strands mutually form a parallel quadruplex. BMSG-SH-3 binds by end-stacking with the G5 and G11 of one strand along with G17 and G24 of another strand. Glide docking scores were found to be −8.3 and −9.4 for ALI-C₃ and BMSG-SH-3, respectively. BBZ-ARO showed a different binding behavior (docking score −10.4) with the 12-mer quadruplex and lay perpendicular to the G-tetrad, (Figure 3) formed by G5, G11, G17, and G24. The piperezine rings of BBZ-ARO interacted with T12 and T24 of the 12-mer quadruplex.

In addition, we performed a flexible docking with Auto Dock Tools to validate the Glide docking results, using the rigid docking protocol. Akin to previous docking, all four ligands showed an end-stacking mode of binding with 22-mer quadruplex and showed similar docking scores.

BBZ-ARO Stabilizes the G-Quadruplex. The MD simulation of all four ligands with the 22-mer intra-strand and the 12-mer inter-strand quadruplexes showed a stable binding of ligands with the quadruplex. There was no dissociation of the ligands from the quadruplex observed for any of the ligand—quadruplex complexes up to 100 ns. The root-mean-square deviations (RMSD) of the ligands, as well as the quadruplexes, are shown in Figure S33. The RMSD of the ligands, as well as the quadruplex, showed a converged (stable) structure throughout the complete time scale except for BBZ-AROCH₂ with 22-mer, which showed a tendency to detach one side chain of BBZ-AROCH₂ during MD. BBZ-ARO showed the smallest RMSD (~2 Å) with both quadruplexes due to the high aromaticity, and was followed by the RMSD (2.8 Å) of ALI-C₃. The smaller size of ALI-C₃ helps it to fit appropriately in the binding site in comparison to the size of BBZ-AROCH₂, BBZ-ARO, and BMSG-SH-3. Similarly, with the 12-mer quadruplex, the RMSD values were found to be 2.3 ± 0.27 and 1.7 ± 0.52 Å for BBZ-ARO and ALI-C₃, respectively. The extended chains of BBZ-AROCH₂ are flexible and do not bind tightly to the quadruplex during the dynamics shown in the MD videos in the Supporting Information, which was validated by the RMSD as BBZ-AROCH₁ has mean deviations around 2–3 Å. From the residue-wise root-mean-square fluctuation (RMSF) values, it can be easily perceived that G3, G4, G10, G11, G12, and G22 in the case of 22-mer quadruplex, and G4, T6, G10, T12, T18, and T24 in the case of 12-mer quadruplex, have small residue fluctuations (Figure S34), which might be due to the interactions with corresponding ligands. BBZ-ARO showed a higher hydrogen bond occupancy with the 22-mer quadruplex compared to that with the 12-mer quadruplex. Both ALI-C₃ and BBZ-AROCH₁ showed lower hydrogen bond occupancies with 22-mer and 12-mer quadruplexes compared to those of BBZ-ARO (Tables 4 and S2–S4). The comparison of binding sites for two quadruplexes showed different binding cavities. The dynamics of all four ligands during the 100 ns simulations is provided as videos in the Supporting Information. Higher fluctuations of all nucleotides were found in the 22-mer quadruplex with all ligands as compared with those in the 12-mer quadruplex, which was validated by hydrogen bond calculations (Tables S2–S4).

### Table 2. ITC-Derived Thermodynamic Parameters for Intra-Strand 22-mer and Inter-Strand 12-mer G-Quadruplex–Ligand Interaction at 25 °C

| ligands   | n (drug/target) | binding constant $K_b$ (M⁻¹) | $ΔH^o$ (kcal/mol) | $TΔS^o$ (kcal/(mol K)) | $ΔG^o$ (kcal/mol) |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| h-telo 22-mer |                 |                               |                   |                        |                   |
| ALI-C₁    | 1.06 ± 0.06     | 6.35 ± 0.26                   | −8.73 ± 0.03      | −1.07 ± 0.18           | −7.66 ± 0.09      |
| BBZ-ARO   | 1.27 ± 0.05     | 8.47 ± 0.65                   | −2.26 ± 0.08      | 5.54 ± 0.23            | −7.81 ± 0.41      |
| BBZ-AROCH₂| 1.31 ± 0.04     | 3.41 ± 0.22                   | −1.28 ± 0.04      | 6.26 ± 0.08            | −7.54 ± 0.15      |
| BMSG-SH-3 | 1.05 ± 0.03     | 2.92 ± 0.19                   | −5.16 ± 1.03      | −8.73 ± 0.17           | −7.47 ± 0.23      |

### Table 3. SPR Data Showing a Higher Binding Affinity for G-Quadruplex DNA

| ligands   | $K_0$ (μM) | $K_a$ (M⁻¹) | $ΔG^o$ (kcal/mol) | $K_0$ (μM) | $K_a$ (M⁻¹) | $ΔG^o$ (kcal/mol) |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------------|
| h-telo 22-mer |            |            |                  |            |            |                  |
| ALI-C₁    | 0.84       | 8.7 × 10⁶  | −6.95            | 17.1       | 0.1 × 10⁶  | −6.12            |
| BBZ-ARO   | 0.64       | 9.5 × 10⁷  | −8.12            | 36.6       | 0.6 × 10⁶  | −6.43            |
| BBZ-AROCH₂| 0.26       | 1.4 × 10⁶  | −6.14            | 55.2       | 0.4 × 10⁶  | −6.12            |
| BMSG-SH-3 | 0.27       | 2.7 × 10⁶  | −6.37            | 44.7       | 0.8 × 10⁶  | −6.83            |

| duplex DNA 26-mer |          |            |                  |            |            |                  |
| BMSG-SH-3         | 0.49      | 8.2 × 10⁶  | −7.09            | 17.1       | 0.1 × 10⁶  | −6.12            |

The reported $K_a$ and $ΔH^o$ values are mean ± SD from three experiments. The values of $ΔG^o$ are determined by $ΔG^o = ΔH^o − TΔS^o$. All ITC profiles were fit to a model of a single binding site except BMSG-SH-3 with h-telo 12-mer quadruplex.

### Table 3. SPR Data Showing a Higher Binding Affinity for G-Quadruplex DNA

| ligands   | $K_0$ (μM) | $K_a$ (M⁻¹) | $ΔG^o$ (kcal/mol) | $K_{K_a}^{h-telo}/K_{K_a}^{G-telodNA}$ |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| ALI-C₁    | 0.84       | 8.7 × 10⁶  | −6.95            | 17.1                                 |
| BBZ-ARO   | 0.64       | 9.5 × 10⁷  | −8.12            | 36.6                                 |
| BBZ-AROCH₂| 0.26       | 1.4 × 10⁶  | −6.14            | 55.2                                 |
| BMSG-SH-3 | 0.27       | 2.7 × 10⁶  | −6.37            | 44.7                                 |

The error levels for $K_a$ and $K_0$ values were ±15%.

The reported $K_a$ and Δ$H^o$ values are mean ± SD from three experiments. The values of Δ$G^o$ are determined by Δ$G^o = ΔH^o − TΔS^o$. All ITC profiles were fit to a model of a single binding site except BMSG-SH-3 with h-telo 12-mer quadruplex.
Validation of the Stronger Binding of BBZ-ARO with G-Quadruplex over ALI-C₃, BBZ-AROCH₂, and BMSG-SH-3 by MD Simulation. The total interactions were calculated using MM-PBSA free energy methods (Tables 4 and 5). It was observed that BBZ-ARO binds strongly to the 22-mer quadruplex, and both electrostatic interactions, as well as van der Waals forces, are major contributors. Besides those interactions, the central "NDI" nucleus is involved in the stacking interaction with the G-quartet of the 22-mer quadruplex. BBZ-ARO showed stronger van der Waals interactions with less entropic penalties. The quads of bases G2, G3, G4, G10, T11, G15, and G22 are the main nucleotides that participate in the residue interaction map for all four ligands with the 22-mer quadruplex (Table 5). ALI-C₃ shows a moderate binding with both quadruplexes compared with that of BBZ-ARO.

The binding affinity of BBZ-AROCH₂ is moderately high, but it has very small electrostatic interactions, and the van der Waals contribution is the only major factor driving its binding affinity, specifically in the case of the 12-mer quadruplex (Tables 4 and 6). The electrostatic interactions contribute significantly to the total binding affinity of BMSG-SH-3 with both the 22-mer and 12-mer quadruplexes, making it a strong binder, which is supported by the H-bond scheme (Tables 7 and S4).

**Table 4. Change of Free Energy for Ligands with h-telo 22-mer and h-telo 12-mer Quadruplexes**

| ligands | ΔE_vdw | ΔE_ele | ΔG_bind | TΔS | ΔG_free |
|---------|--------|--------|---------|-----|--------|
| ALI-C₃  | -34.95±3.7 | -2025.53±11.2 | -36.50±2.1 | -25.69±1.9 | -10.81±0.3 |
| BBZ-ARO | -62.94±4.6 | -746.91±9.2 | -39.34±1.9 | -23.18±2.1 | -16.16±0.2 |
| BBZ-AROCH₂ | -19.13±1.6 | -1001.88±14.2 | -15.96±2.1 | -8.25±1.2 | -0.71±0.9 |
| BMSG-SH-3 | -38.24±7.2 | -3729.91±19.3 | -18.26±4.6 | -33.56±4.2 | -14.70±0.2 |
| ALI-C₃  | -50.78±5.3 | -1018.82±10.2 | -32.60±2.4 | -18.09±1.3 | -14.51±1.1 |
| BBZ-ARO | -79.94±6.3 | -944.31±9.5 | -56.60±3.2 | -31.70±2.6 | -24.90±0.6 |
| BBZ-AROCH₂ | -51.73±4.4 | 4.08±0.2 | -35.69±2.1 | -29.68±2.6 | -60.61±0.4 |
| BMSG-SH-3 | -57.21±3.2 | -1817.56±11.4 | -42.21±4.3 | -32.42±6.3 | -0.71±0.9 |

*aAll energies are in kcal mol⁻¹.*
A computational study of these ligands with 26-mer duplex DNA was also carried out. After docking of all three ligands, along with BMSG-SH-3, followed by 50 ns MD simulation with dsDNA, ALI-C3 became completely detached from the duplex, and the other ligands were weakly associated with the duplex; all of the ligands were bound to the major groove of the 26-mer sequence. Comparative RMSD and RMSF profiles, along with the simulated structures and videos of all four ligands, are shown in Figures S35 and S36.

**BBZ-ARO Acts as a Potential Telomerase Inhibitor and Is Observed To Be Cytotoxic to Cancer Cells.** The TRAP-Lig assay is a sensitive technique that allows telomerase detection, even with a very low telomerase activity. Effective telomerase inhibition was checked by ligand concentration (1–50 μM). A G-quadruplex stabilized by ligands in a TS sequence will inhibit elongation by telomerase and hence there will be a decrease in the ladder intensity. ALI-C3 exhibited IC50 at >50 μM along with BBZ-AROCH2, whereas BMSG-SH-3 showed inhibition at 25.4 μM. BBZ-ARO was observed to be the most potent inhibitor, which inhibits 50% of the telomerase activity at 4.56 μM (Figure 4 and Table 8). BBZ-ARO is more toxic to cancerous cells than to near-normal cells. The cytotoxicity of these ligands was determined using methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT)-based cell survival assay. Mammalian cells HeLa, A549, and HEK293T were treated with increasing concentrations of ALI-C3, BBZ-ARO, BBZ-AROCH2, and BMSG-SH-3 along with the simulated structures and videos of all four ligands, are shown in Figures S35 and S36.

**BBZ-ARO Causes Cell Cycle Arrest and Apoptosis in Cancer Cell Line.** The effect of the ligands on the cell cycle profile of the HeLa cells was evaluated using flow cytometry. Our data revealed that BBZ-ARO and BMSG-SH-3 induced 7.6–15.8 and 21.2% G2/M phase arrest, respectively, as compared to that of the control (Figure 5A). Similarly, ALI-C3 and BBZ-AROCH2 showed 7.6–15.7 and 19.1% G2/M phase arrest, respectively, as compared to that of the control. In addition, we also observed cellular accumulation in the sub-G1 phase of the cell cycle fraction (7.3% with BBZ-ARO and 2.9% with BMSG-SH-3) (Figure 5A), suggesting drug-induced apoptosis.

**Table 5. Residue-Wise ΔGbind Contribution of Ligands with h-telo 22-mer Quadruplex**

| residues | ALI-C3 | BBZ-ARO | BBZ-AROCH2 | BMSG-SH-3 |
|----------|--------|---------|------------|-----------|
| T1       | 12.93  | −12.31  | −1.20      | −5.89     |
| G2       | −0.85  |         | −13.84     |           |
| G3       | −3.59  |         | −19.25     |           |
| G4       | −0.48  | −0.41   | −14.20     |           |
| T5       |         |         | −3.73      |           |
| T6       |         |         |           |           |
| A7       | −0.01  |         |           |           |
| G8       | −0.01  |         |           |           |
| G9       | −0.32  | −0.12   | −8.81      |           |
| G10      | −5.21  |         | −1.71      |           |
| T11      | −1.02  | −8.92   | −0.76      | −16.40    |
| G14      | −4.87  | −5.75   | −1.43      | −0.55     |
| G15      | −1.12  | −3.99   | −13.44     | −0.20     |
| G16      | −6.18  | −1.23   | −6.27      | −0.13     |
| T17      | −3.89  | −0.13   | −0.19      |           |
| G19      |         |         |           |           |
| A20      | −0.02  |         |           |           |
| G21      | −0.15  | −0.12   |           |           |
| G22      | −0.10  | −0.22   | −3.56      | −0.22     |

“All energies are in kcal mol−1.”

**Table 6. Residue-Wise ΔGbind Contribution of Ligands with h-telo 12-mer Quadruplex**

| residues | ALI-C3 | BBZ-ARO | BBZ-AROCH2 | BMSG-SH-3 |
|----------|--------|---------|------------|-----------|
| T1       | 12.93  | −12.31  | −1.20      | −5.89     |
| A2       | −0.01  |         |           |           |
| G3       | −0.32  | −0.12   | −8.81      |           |
| G5       | −9.25  | −8.56   | −6.91      |           |
| T6       | −3.06  | −1.90   |           |           |
| G11      | −5.35  | −6.73   | −6.79      |           |
| T12      | −14.47 | −10.70  | −10.00     |           |
| T13      | −2.81  |         |           |           |
| A14      | −0.15  | −0.12   |           |           |
| G15      | −12.28 |         |           |           |
| G16      | −5.37  |         |           |           |
| G17      | −3.18  | −11.68  | −3.37      |           |
| T18      | −4.72  | −12.02  | −1.32      |           |
| T19      | −6.58  |         | −6.85      |           |
| A20      | −7.68  |         | −2.40      |           |
| G21      |         |         | −8.62      |           |
| G22      | −4.72  |         |           |           |
| G23      | −3.96  | −2.44   |           |           |
| T24      | −11.16 | −10.63  | −3.48      |           |

“All energies are in kcal mol−1.”

**Table 7. H-Bond Occupancy Table for h-telo 22-mer BBZ-ARO and h-telo 12-mer BBZ-ARO Complexes**

| donor   | acceptor   | occupancy (%) |
|---------|------------|---------------|
| G5-side | LIG23-side | 27.90         |
| LIG23-side | T12-side | 9.6           |
| LIG23-side | T11-side | 27.20         |
| LIG23-side | T15-side | 10.50         |
| LIG23-side | G14-side | 24.10         |
| G5-side | LIG23-side | 9.20          |
| T12-side | LIG23-side | 40.10         |
| G14-side | LIG23-side | 2.70          |
| LIG23-side | T18-side | 15.99         |
| LIG23-side | G11-side | 1.48          |
| LIG23-side | G12-side | 3.14          |
| LIG23-side | T6-side | 28.06         |
| LIG23-side | A20-side | 1.88          |
| LIG23-side | G22-side | 2.86          |
cells, whereas the control cells did not show any signiﬁcant apoptosis. However, ALI-C₃ and BBZ-AROCH₂ did not induce any sub-G1 phase accumulation. Further, the Annexin V assay suggested that ALI-C₃ and BBZ-AROCH₂ induced 74 and 40% apoptotic cells, respectively. Similarly, ALI-C₃ and BMSG-SH-3 exhibited 35 and 36% Annexin V–FITC positive cells, whereas the control cells did not show any signiﬁcant evidence of apoptotic cell populations (Figure 5B,C).

## DISCUSSION

The present study is the ﬁrst report of the incorporation of bisbenzimidazoles on NDI moieties as G-quadruplex binders and stabilizers. Here, we have synthesized three molecules with different side-chain lengths to monitor their effect on binding with G-quadruplex DNA as well as duplex DNA (Scheme 1). The rationale for the design of such agents is as follows: (1) benzimidazole, being the isostere of natural purine, will have enhanced interactions with the biopolymer;41,42 (2) the extra phenyl ring in BBZ-ARO, purposely placed for the increment of aromaticity as well as for better stacking interactions proved to be the most potent from different experimental data as compared to that of the other counterparts, that is, BBZ-AROCH₂ and ALI-C₃. The results from the stacking; (3) the positive charge on piperazine increases interactions with the negatively charged phosphate backbone of DNA; and (4) the substitution on two sides of the NDI ring will provide these molecules with a crescent shape, which will contribute to binding with the DNA. The current study was focused on understanding the effect of the two side-chain modiﬁcations by increasing the aromaticity and the surface area on stacking with the G-quartet. Our study supports the fact that bisbenzimidazole, which was earlier reported as a DNA minor groove binder, can also bind to G-quadruplexes irrespective of their conformations. Here, we analyzed the FRET melting proﬁle of all ligands, which clearly indicates that these ligands have a preference for quadruplex DNA binding as compared to duplex DNA binding. The ligand-induced stabilization of the quadruplex in the presence of competitor DNA strands suggests that ALI-C₃, BBZ-ARO, BBZ-AROCH₂, and BMSG-SH-3 induce the formation of the G-quadruplex and are selective toward the quadruplex structure over that of duplex DNA. However, when we compare all of the ligands, BBZ-ARO appears to be the most potent in terms of the increment of FRET melting in the presence or absence of the complementary DNA sequence. Therefore, the presence of ligands causes a shift in the equilibria toward the formation of quadruplex DNA and not duplex DNA. In addition, we evaluated the effect of all three synthesized ligands on quadruplex stability by studying the thermal melting proﬁles. Thermal melting was found to be independent of quadruplex concentration, both with and without ligands, indicating the formation of an intramolecular structure (in the case of h-telo 22-mer quadruplex). Among all of the ligands, BBZ-ARO was observed to show the highest binding afﬁnity and Gibb’s binding free energy ($\Delta G^\circ$) when titrated with 12-mer. The reaction was found to be exothermic with a positive entropy and a negative enthalpy. The strong enthalpy contributions make the binding free energy ($\Delta G^\circ$) favorable. The hydrogen bonding and van der Waals interactions between BBZ-ARO and BBZ-AROCH₂ with the quadruplex are assumed to contribute to the favorable enthalpy. The large entropic contribution in the case of BBZ-ARO suggests a completely different mode of binding from that of the others. ALI-C₃ and BMSG-SH-3, however, showed better enthalpic contributions and negligible entropies, with one and greater than one stoichiometry, respectively. It has been observed in the literature that only intramolecular quadruplexes give accurate results in SPR, as intermolecular quadruplexes undergo a fast regeneration step. Hence, we did not do SPR experiments with 12-mer, which is an intermolecular quadruplex.

The extra phenyl ring in BBZ-ARO, purposely placed for the increment of aromaticity as well as for better stacking interactions proved to be the most potent from different experimental data as compared to that of the other counterparts, that is, BBZ-AROCH₂ and ALI-C₃. The results from the

![Figure 4. TRAP-Lig assay at increasing concentration of ligands ALI-C₃, BBZ-ARO, BBZ-AROCH₂, and BMSG-SH-3. The control, C; inactivated telomerase without ligand, CT; with active telomerase but without ligand, 1−50 μM indicates concentration of ligands used to check their effect on enzyme activity; internal control, IC.](image)

![Table 8. Determination of Telomerase Enzyme Inhibition Activity through TRAP-Lig Assay and Cytotoxicity of Ligands in Two Cancer Cell Lines (A549 and HeLa) and One Near-Normal (HEK 293T) Cell Line](table)
different experiments suggest that BBZ-ARO binds the most strongly, and stabilizes quadruplex DNA, of the three ligands synthesized. G-quadruplex binding selectivity determined as a fraction of two equilibrium-binding constants \( (K_{G4DNA}/K_{dsDNA}) \) was determined by SPR. We also calculated \( \Delta G^\circ \) obtained from \( K (K = k_{on}/k_{off}) \) of the binding from \( \Delta G = -RT\ln K \) and compared the values to the free energy values obtained by ITC, and further validated by MD/docking. The MM-PBSA calculations are comparable with the determined thermodynamic parameters and suggest more effective binding of BBZ-ARO with quadruplexes as compared to that of ALI-C3 and BBZ-AROCH\(_2\). We also suggest that the MM-based free energy computations based on MD simulations are more sensitive for correlating the data obtained from experiments. Thus, the thermodynamic parameters obtained from MD, as well as from docking, can be taken in consideration to conclude that BBZ-ARO most effectively binds to both quadruplexes of all of the ligands, in terms of \( \Delta G^\circ \). Equilibrium constants obtained from ITC and SPR are not comparable directly as the two techniques follow entirely different principles, but the trends of the results are noticeably comparable. The data obtained showed a similar binding trend with all four ligands and quadruplexes. BBZ-ARO displayed the highest inhibition of telomerase activity with considerable ligand-induced toxicity to cancerous cells. The cell cycle experiments showed that BBZ-ARO causes G2/M arrest and induces apoptosis in cells. Thus, suggesting BBZ-ARO can be further developed as an anticancer agent.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The introduction of benzimidazole, along with a phenyl side chain, into the scaffold of NDI improved the stability of G-quadruplexes. Replacement of the phenyl ring with a \("\text{CH}_2\) group in BBZ-AROCH\(_2\) drastically lowered the stability as compared to that of its aromatic counterpart. Out of the three, BBZ-ARO showed the higher preference (>158 times) for the G-quadruplex structure as compared to that of dsDNA. This indicates the association of BBZ-ARO with \(G_4\)-DNA is an entropically driven phenomenon with an intrinsic association constant of the order of \(10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\). \(\Delta E_{vdW}\) contributed a major part in the stacking of the ligands with the quadruplexes, which was the main reason that BBZ-ARO showed the most effective binding with both quadruplexes of the three ligands. The MM-PBSA calculations clearly indicate better effective packing of BBZ-ARO with the quadruplexes than that of both ALI-C3 and BBZ-AROCH\(_2\). Further, BBZ-ARO inhibited telomerase enzyme activity at five times lower concentration as compared to that of the reported BMSG-SH-3. BBZ-ARO showed less cytotoxicity to near normal cells as compared to cancer cells increasing its therapeutic index. Ligands used in this study help to discriminate G-quadruplex from dsDNA, and further, those ligands have the unique ability to recognize topologically different G-quadruplexes, which can be exploited in their development as anticancer agents.

**METHODS**

**Materials.** The \(^1\text{H} (400 \text{ MHz})\) and \(^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR} (100 \text{ MHz})\) spectra were recorded using CDCl\(_3\), MeOD, and DMSO-\(d_6\) as...
the solvent on an ECX-400P, Jeol NMR spectrometer with an internal standard. HRMS spectra were taken using an Agilent 6520 Accurate Mass Q-TOF liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) mass spectrometer and IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer, FTIR system, spectrum BX.

**Synthesis. Tert-Butyl (6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1H-benzo[di]imidazol-2-yl)methylcarbamate (4).** To the ethanolic solution of the freshly prepared diamine (0.5 g, 1.0 equiv) 1, a mixture of 2 (tert-butyl formyl methylcarbamate, 1.5 equiv) and a solution of Na2S2O5 (0.5 equiv) in water (1 mL/100 mg) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at reflux for 24 h, then cooled to room temperature and filtered through a bed of celite. The solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was found to be the semi-solid title compound. Brown semi-solid; (58% yield, 0.58 g).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ ppm 1.39 (s, 9H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.57 (s, 4H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 5.94 (s, 1H), 6.91–6.93 (m, 2H), 7.24 (d, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ ppm 44.9, 49.1, 54.2, 122.8, 123.3, 125.8, 127.8, 130.5, 134.4, 135.0, 137.0, 148.1. FTIR (KBr, cm−1): 3442, 2934, 2792, 1710, 1657. 1340, 1162, 1008, 976.

2,7-Bis(3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propyl)benzo[llmn][3,8]-phenanthroline-1,3,6,8(2H,7H)-tetracene (10). A mixture of 1-(3-aminopropyl)-4-methylpiperazincemop 9 (2 mmol) and naphthalene tetracarboxylic dianhydride 8 (0.268 g, 1 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (50 mL) was heated under reflux for 9 h. The obtained solid was filtered off, washed with acetic acid, and crystallized from DCM/MeOH/NH4OH (9:0:9:0:01) as the mobile phase in quantitative yield of the title compound. Finally, the product was purified by preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as a dark yellowish solid. Brown solid; (33% yield, 0.58 g); mp 225–228 °C.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3 + TFA-d4): δ ppm 2.09 (s, 4H), 2.49 (s, 4H), 2.86 (s, 6H), 3.31–3.43 (m, 16H), 4.14 (4H), 8.69 (s, 4H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ ppm 24.8, 34.9, 45.9, 52.9, 55.0, 55.9, 119.9, 126.6, 130.8, 162.8. FTIR (KBr, cm−1): 3430, 2934, 2792, 1710, 1657, 1340, 1162, 976, 599. HRMS (ES+) calecd: C46H43N4O2 [M + H]+ 647.2955. Found: 647.2976. Purity (HPLC, 280 nm): 99.9%.

The crude product was then purified by column chromatography over silica gel (60–120 mesh size) using DCM/MeOH/NH4OH (9:0:9:0:01) as the mobile phase in quantitative yield of the title compound. Finally, the product was purified by preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as a dark yellowish solid. Brown solid; (33% yield, 0.58 g); mp 225–228 °C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3 + TFA-d4): δ ppm 2.09 (s, 4H), 2.49 (s, 4H), 2.86 (s, 6H), 3.31–3.43 (m, 16H), 4.14 (4H), 8.69 (s, 4H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ ppm 24.8, 34.9, 45.9, 52.9, 55.0, 55.9, 119.9, 126.6, 130.8, 162.8. FTIR (KBr, cm−1): 3430, 2934, 2792, 1710, 1657, 1340, 1162, 768, 599. HRMS (ES+) calced: C46H43N4O2 [M + H]+ 647.2955. Found: 647.2976. Purity (HPLC, 280 nm): 99.9%.
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162.9. FTIR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3400, 2807, 1711, 1668, 1688, 1447, 1347, 1247, 963, 800. HRMS (ES+) calcld.: C\(_{41}\)H\(_{40}\)N\(_{10}\)O\(_{5}\) [M + H\(^+\)] \(850.5875\). Found: 850.5881. Purity (HPLC, 280 nm): 1458, 1113, 773, 618. HRMS (ES+) calcld.: C\(_{16}\)H\(_{12}\)N\(_{4}\)O\(_{3}\) [M + H\(^+\)] 285.0000 at 362 nm for 25 °C.

Sample Preparation. Throughout the study, we used three oligomeric HPLC purified DNA sequences; human telomeric 22-mer sequence (\(h\text{-}telo\) 22-mer quadruplex) 5'-AGGTTAGGG-TAGGTTAGG-3', 12-mer inter-strand forming quadruplex sequence (\(h\text{-}telo\) 12-mer) 5'-TAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGG-3', and self-complementary duplex sequence (26-mer duplex DNA) 5'-CAATCGGATCGAAAATCCGATCGGATT-3' as the positive control, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Singapore. The molar absorption coefficient values used for the 22-mer and 12-mer oligonucleotide sequences d(AGGTTAGGG-TAGGTTAGG) were obtained from equally concentrated solutions of the monomers in buffer solution (10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 25 mM KCl, pH 7.0), heated to 95 °C for 10 min and then cooled gradually to 4 °C. Liquid stock solutions were made with a working buffer solution and their concentration was determined spectrophotometrically in cuvettes of 1 cm path length using the molar absorption coefficients (M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)):

- 285 000 at 362 nm for ALI-C\(_{25}\), 256 270 at 350 nm for BBZ-ARO, 238 000 at 360 nm for BBZ-AROCH\(_{2}\), and 244 950 at 369 nm for BMSG-SH-3. Freshly prepared working stock solutions were used beforehand. We used the same (above mentioned) buffer throughout all our experiments except the SPR study.

FRET Experiments of G-Quadruplexes with Ligands in the Presence of Duplex DNA. HPLC purified dual-labeled G-rich oligonucleotides (5'-fluorescein and 3'-TAMRA) and their respective unlabeled complementary strands were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, India, for fluorescence melting experiments. 5'-Fluorescein-TAGGGTTAGGG-3'-TAMRA (F12T) and 5'-fluorescein-AGGTTAGGGTTAGGG-TAGGG-3'-TAMRA (F22T) were used for fluorescence experiments conducted in a Cary Eclipse Spectrofluorimeter at constant temperature with the help of peltier. Both oligos were prepared by incubating F22T and F12T quadruplex forming strands (0.25 \(\mu\)M) with their respective 10 times high concentration of complementary unlabeled single strand (2 \(\mu\)M) for 24 h at 25 °C. The different melting experiments were performed with or without 2 \(\mu\)M concentrations of each ligand (ALI-C\(_{25}\), BBZ-ARO, and BBZ-AROCH\(_{2}\)) in buffer solutions with both quadruplex samples mentioned above. The fluorescein signal at 520 nm was analyzed as described earlier.

The molar absorption coefficient values used for the 22-mer and 12-mer oligonucleotide sequences d(AGGTTAGGG-TAGGTTAGG) were obtained from equally concentrated solutions of the monomers in buffer solution (10 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 25 mM KCl, pH 7.0), heated to 95 °C for 10 min and then cooled gradually to 4 °C. Liquid stock solutions were made with a working buffer solution and their concentration was determined spectrophotometrically in cuvettes of 1 cm path length using the molar absorption coefficients (M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)):

- 285 000 at 362 nm for ALI-C\(_{25}\), 256 270 at 350 nm for BBZ-ARO, 238 000 at 360 nm for BBZ-AROCH\(_{2}\), and 244 950 at 369 nm for BMSG-SH-3. Freshly prepared working stock solutions were used beforehand. We used the same (above mentioned) buffer throughout all our experiments except the SPR study.

FRET Experiments of G-Quadruplexes with Ligands in the Presence of Duplex DNA. HPLC purified dual-labeled G-rich oligonucleotides (5'-fluorescein and 3'-TAMRA) and
linker (Bn-TTTTTTTT-5′-AGGGTT-AGGGTTAGGGTT-TAGGG-3′ and Bn-TTTTTTTTT-5′-CAATCGGAT-CGAATTC-GATCCGATTG-3′) were heated to 95 °C and allowed to anneal to form a quadruplex by gradual cooling in 10 mM HEPES buffer containing 100 mM KCl with 0.005% surfactant IGEPAL (pH 7.4). The immobilized oligonucleotide surface was equilibrated with running buffer for 2 h at 20 μL/min flow rate at 25 °C. Different analyte concentration solutions (1.0 × 10^{-9} to 10^{-6} M) in running buffer were injected for 300 s at the same flow rate randomly to overcome systematic error. 1 M NaCl in 50 mM NaOH was used for regeneration. Binding sensorgrams by a two independent binding site model were analyzed by BIA evaluation software 3.1. All experiments were conducted in triplicate and values are mean ± standard error (SEM).

**Molecular Docking Protocol.** The initial structures for intra-strand G-quadruplex DNA (PDB accession code: 143D)[49] and inter-strand G-quadruplex DNA (PDB accession code: 1K8P)[50] were taken from the protein data bank. All four ligands, ALI-C3, BBZ-ARO, BBZ-AROCH2, and BMSG-SH-3, were docked in 22-mer and 12-mer G-quadruplexes using Glide v 5.7. Ligands were prepared by the Ligprep module and quadruplexes were minimized using an Amber force field implemented in Schrodinger suits. A grid with dimensions of 50.10 × 48.63 × 40.56 Å3 over the G-quadruplex was used. The defined grid covers the entire topology of the G-quadruplex so that the ligand position and orientation relative to the receptor can be sampled sufficiently; the conformation of the receptor was also fixed during the docking. The standard precision mode of the software was used for the initial screening of the molecules. Selected top scoring molecules binding to the desired core of the G-quadruplex were selected for the extra precision mode of docking. Glide uses rigid docking protocol; therefore, to account for the conformational dynamics during docking, we used AutoDock4 in addition to Glide. We used standard protocol for receptor grid generation and ligand docking in Auto dock tools. The best docking pose, ranked according to docking scores, was selected for further MD simulations study.[51-54]

**MD Simulations.** We used Amber[14][55] for all MD simulations and free energy calculations. As the parameters for the ligands were not present in the Amber library, therefore parameters for all ligands were prepared by the antechamber module. HF/6-31 G* level of theory was taken for ab initio calculation from Gaussian 03 and calculation of partial charges were done by the restrained electrostatic potential method. The whole system was neutralized with K+ ions and taken into a rectangular box of TIP3P water extending over 10.0 Å from the quadruplex exterior. Prtraj module of Amber14 was used for post MD analysis. VMD 1.6.7 was used to perform analysis of trajectories, whereas trajectory visualization was done using Chimera-1.5[56] and Maestro[57] graphical programs. The analysis of free energy from the production trajectories using the single trajectory MM-PBSA approach was used.[57,60] The free energy difference of binding was measured with the following equation

\[
\Delta G_{\text{free}} = \Delta G_{\text{electrostatic}} + \Delta G_{vdW} + \Delta G_{\text{polar}} + \Delta G_{\text{nonpolar}} - T \Delta S
\]

where \(\Delta G\) and \(\Delta H\) are the binding free energy and enthalpy change at temperature \(T\), respectively.

**Determination of Inhibition of Cellular Telomerase Activity Using TRAP-Lig Assay.** The TRAP-Lig assay was performed as reported earlier from A549 cells.[51,62] Briefly, 100 000 cells were collected and lysed in a 100 μL ice-cold NP-40 lysis buffer. After 30 min incubation, the supernatant was collected for the TRAP-Lig assay. 0.5 μL of this supernatant was treated with increasing concentrations of ligands (1, 5, 10, 25, 50 μM). Each reaction tube contained 50 μM final concentrations of the dNTP mix, 1× TRAP buffer, 20 μg of final concentration ultrapure bovine serum albumin (BSA), 200 ng of the TS primer (′5′-Cy3-AATCCGTCGAGACAGTT, HPLC purified), and 1 μL of the primer mix recipe mentioned below was further added for each sample.

The final 50 μL reaction volume was achieved adding RNase-free water. Primer mix content: 10 μg of each ACX primer: 5′-GCCCGCGCTTACCTTTACCCTT-ACCCCTAACC-3′ and NT primer: 5′-ATCGCTTCTCGGCCCTT-3′ and 1 μL (TSNT oligonucleotide: 5′-AATC-CGTCGAGACAGTT-TAAAGCCGAGAACGAT-3′) diluted to final concentration up to 1.0 × 10^{-18} mol μL^{-1}) was mixed and the volume made up to 100 μL by adding RNase-free water. After 30 min incubation at 37 °C, telomerase extension products were column purified (QIA quick nucleotide purification kit, Qiagen). Purified telomerase extended samples were mixed with equal volumes of the master mix containing 1 μL of the primer mix, 200 ng of the TS primer, TRAP buffer, 20 μg of BSA final concentration, 50 μM dNTPs (final concentration) and equal Taq polymerase. Samples were amplified as per reported polymerase chain reaction conditions. Subsequent amplification yielded a 6-bp incremental ladder, which was further analyzed by 10% w/v nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in 0.5× TBE. The gel was then fixed in 50% ethanol for 30 min and scanned under Cy3 (green) fluorescence with a phosphorimager.

**In Vitro Cell Proliferation Assay.** Human cervical (HeLa), lung adenocarcinoma epithelial (A-549), and human embryonic kidney (HEK 293T) cells were obtained from the National Center for Cell Science, Pune, India. After 48 and 72 h incubation with ALI-C3, BBZ-ARO, and BBZ-AROCH, at 37 °C, the ligand containing medium was replaced with 200 μL of fresh medium with equal MTT reagent and incubated for 4 h. The formazon product was dissolved in equal volumes of the DMSO and the samples were read at 570 nm using a microplate reader (Infinite M 200, Tecan, Singapore).

**Annexin V-FITC/PI Staining.** Ligand mediated apoptosis in HeLa cells was studied by Annexin V-FITC/PI Staining following the manufacturer’s guidelines (V13242, Invitrogen, Life technology, India). Briefly, 2 × 10^5 cells per 60 mm plate were treated with indicated ligands at 1 μM concentration. Cells were collected after 24 h, washed through PBS twice and resuspended in the provided buffer at a final concentration of 1 × 10^6 cells/mL. Equal volumes of Annexin V-FITC were added to all samples, mixed well, and further incubated for 15 min in the dark at room temperature. The final volume was achieved with a binding buffer and samples were analyzed on LSR II (Becton Dickinson).

**Cell Cycle Analysis by Flow Cytometry.** Cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry was carried out as described in the literature[61] with minor modifications. Briefly, subconfluent HeLa cells were treated with indicated ligands at 1 μM concentration for 24 h. Cells were collected, washed twice with PBS, and fixed in chilled 70% ethanol. Subsequently, cells were treated with RNase (0.5 mg/mL) and then stained with propidium iodide (50 μg/mL). Acquisition was done on a
FACS BD LSR Fortessa and analyzed using BD FACs Diva software.
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