Finite-temperature corrections to the effective potential and the energy-momentum tensor of a scalar field are computed in a perturbed Minkowski spacetime. We consider the explicit mode decomposition of the field in the perturbed geometry and obtain analytical expressions in the nonrelativistic and ultrarelativistic limits to first order in scalar metric perturbations. In the static case, our results are in agreement with previous calculations based on the Schwinger-DeWitt expansion which indicate that thermal effects in a curved spacetime can be encoded in the local Tolman temperature at leading order in perturbations and in the adiabatic expansion. We also study the shift of the effective potential minima produced by thermal corrections in the presence of static gravitational fields. Finally, we discuss the dependence on the initial conditions set for the mode solutions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Finite-temperature corrections to the effective potential in quantum field theory play a fundamental role in the description of phase transitions in the early Universe. In particular, symmetry restoration at high temperatures is an essential ingredient of the Higgs mechanism for electroweak symmetry breaking. In flat spacetime, such thermal corrections were computed for the first time in the seminal papers of Dolan and Jackiw [1] and Weinberg [2] using thermal Green functions methods. The possibility of extending those methods to more realistic scenarios incorporating spacetime curvature meets certain difficulties since finite-temperature field theory is only well defined provided the geometry possesses a global timelike Killing field. Thus, for example, for static or stationary spacetimes, the thermal Green functions method has been applied for homogeneous and isotropic Einstein static spaces in [3]. These methods were extended to conformally static Robertson-Walker backgrounds in [4]. The conditions for the construction of a thermal field theory in more general expanding universes (not strictly static) were discussed in [5,6], where the adiabatic techniques were introduced.

An alternative approach to the adiabatic expansion for thermal field theory in general curved spacetime is the so-called Schwinger-DeWitt [7,8] expansion of the effective action. Both approaches are known to agree in the results for the ultraviolet divergences in zero-temperature field theory. The Schwinger-DeWitt expansion, being a local curvature expansion, is manifestly covariant, but it is not sensitive to the global properties of the spacetime such as the presence of boundaries and does not contain information about the nonlocal part of the effective action. Going beyond the Schwinger-DeWitt approximation requires brute force methods based on explicit mode summation [9]. Thus, for example, in [10], phase transitions in homogeneous but anisotropic Bianchi I and Kasner cosmologies were studied using explicit modes sum. In recent works [11,12], we started this program in the case of weak inhomogeneous gravitational fields by studying the one-loop corrections to the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the energy-momentum tensor and the effective potential of a massive scalar field. Thus, in [11], using a regularization procedure based on a simple comoving cutoff, a nonvanishing contribution of metric perturbations to the effective potential was obtained. However, the renormalization procedure required the use of noncovariant counterterms. In contrast, dimensional regularization was used in...
[12] to isolate the divergences, applying techniques developed specifically to deal with nonrational integrands. In this case, the renormalized effective potential, being explicitly covariant, did not contain contributions from the inhomogeneous gravitational fields at the leading order in metric perturbation and in the adiabatic expansion in both static and cosmological spacetimes.

In this work, we extend these methods to include finite-temperature effects. The inclusion of the Bose-Einstein factor, accounting for the statistical distribution of the energy states, produces a smooth behavior of all quantities involved at large energies, making it unnecessary to apply any regularization technique (once the vacuum contribution is renormalized). As mentioned above, in order to compute the aforementioned contribution, we apply the “brute force” method described in [11,12], i.e., performing a summation over the perturbed modes of the quantum field obtained as solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation. We are able to get analytical expressions for the effective potential and the energy-momentum tensor in the nonrelativistic and the ultra-relativistic limits. In the static limit, we find that local gravitational effects can be taken into account through the Tolman temperature [13]. This is in accordance with computations of the energy-momentum tensor of a scalar field at finite temperature in a static spacetime using the Schwinger-DeWitt approach, [14,15]. However, we also obtain the explicit time dependence of the expectation values for finite times, which shows that the Tolman temperature can only be defined in the asymptotic time regions.

The work is organized as follows. Section II describes the general approach to compute an expectation value over a thermal state in a perturbed FRW metric. The particular expressions to be computed in the case of static spacetimes are presented in Sec. III. Sections IV and V explain the approximations applied to obtain the final result in the nonrelativistic and ultrarelativistic limits, respectively. Shifts in the minimum of the effective potential produced by thermal correction are discussed in Sec. VI. Our conclusions are presented in Sec. VII.

II. FINITE-TEMPERATURE CORRECTIONS

Given a scalar field $\phi$, with potential $V(\phi)$, its classical action in a $(D + 1)$-dimensional spacetime with metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ can be written as

$$S[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}] = \int d^{D+1}x \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - V(\phi) \right).$$

As is well known, the solutions $\phi = \hat{\phi}$ of the classical equation of motion,

$$\Box \hat{\phi} + V'(\hat{\phi}) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

are those that minimize the action. On the other hand, quantum fluctuations around the classical solution $\delta \phi = \phi - \hat{\phi}$ satisfy the equation of motion,

$$(\Box + m^2(\hat{\phi})) \delta \phi = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

with

$$m^2(\hat{\phi}) = V''(\hat{\phi}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Let us consider a metric which can be written as a scalar perturbation around a flat Robertson-Walker background,

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta) \left[ (1 + 2\Phi(\eta, x))d\eta^2 - (1 - 2\Psi(\eta, x))d\mathbf{x}^2 \right],$$

where $\eta$ is the conformal time, $a(\eta)$ the scale factor, and $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are the scalar perturbations in the longitudinal gauge. Given this geometry, the mode solutions $\delta \phi_k$ to (3) can be found using a WKB approximation to first order in metric perturbations and to the leading adiabatic order as [12]

$$\delta \phi_k(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = \delta \phi_k^{(0)}(\eta, \mathbf{x}) (1 + P_k(\eta, \mathbf{x}) + i\delta \theta_k(\eta, \mathbf{x})), \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where

$$\delta \phi_k^{(0)}(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} a(\eta)^{D-1/2}} e^{i k \mathbf{x} - i \int^\eta a_i(\eta')d\eta'}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

are the unperturbed mode solutions with

$$a_i^2(\eta) = k^2 + m^2 a^2(\eta).$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The explicit expressions for $P_k(\eta, \mathbf{x})$ and $\delta \theta_k(\eta, \mathbf{x})$ in Fourier space are shown in Appendix A.

The effects of quantum fluctuations on the classical field configuration can be taken into account using the one-loop effective potential [12,16]

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\phi}) = V(\hat{\phi}) + \frac{1}{2} \int \! m^2(\hat{\phi}) \, d^4 \delta \phi^2,$$

where $V(\hat{\phi})$ is the tree-level potential and the expectation value of the operator $\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle$ is taken over a particular quantum state of the field. Taking into account (6) and (7) and assuming that the quantum state has a fixed number of particles per mode $n_k$, the one-loop contribution to the effective potential reads.
where we have defined
\[ \hat{P}_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\delta(1 - x^2)^{(D-3)/2} P_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}), \quad (11) \]
where \( \hat{x} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}/(kp) \) and including the general integration measure in \( D \) dimensions.

From now on, we consider a thermal quantum state. Then, the number of particles per mode is given by the Bose-Einstein distribution,
\[ n_k^T = \frac{1}{e^{E_k/T} - 1}, \quad (12) \]
where \( T \) is the temperature of the state, for the moment understood as a parameter of the Bose-Einstein distribution (see next section).

Let us define \( V_1(\hat{\phi}) \) as the one-loop quantum vacuum contribution, i.e.,
\[ V_1(\hat{\phi}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D-1}a^{D-1}(\eta)} \frac{2\pi^{(D-1)/2}}{\Gamma((D - 1)/2)} \int_{0}^{m^2(\hat{\phi})} \mathrm{d}m^2 \]
\[ \times \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k k^{D-1} n_k^T \frac{1 + \hat{P}_k(\eta, \mathbf{p})}{\sqrt{k^2 + m^2 a^2(\eta)}}, \quad (13) \]
and \( V_T(\hat{\phi}) \) as the term that includes finite-temperature corrections,
\[ V_T(\hat{\phi}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D-1}a^{D-1}(\eta)} \frac{2\pi^{(D-1)/2}}{\Gamma((D - 1)/2)} \int_{0}^{m^2(\hat{\phi})} \mathrm{d}m^2 \]
\[ \times \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k k^{D-1} \frac{1 + \hat{P}_k(\eta, \mathbf{p})}{2 \sqrt{k^2 + m^2 a^2(\eta)}}, \quad (14) \]
so that we can write the one-loop effective potential at finite temperature as
\[ V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\phi}) = V(\hat{\phi}) + V_1(\hat{\phi}) + V_T(\hat{\phi}). \quad (15) \]

It is important to notice that both the vacuum and the thermal contributions have a homogeneous term, corresponding to the background geometry, and an inhomogeneous one, proportional to the perturbations. Then,
\[ \langle T^{\mu \nu}(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = \rho(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^D a^{D-1}} \int \mathrm{d}^D k \left( \frac{1}{2} + n_k^T \right) \omega_k \left[ 1 + 2 \frac{k^2}{a^2_k} \Psi(\mathbf{p}) + 2 P_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) + 2 i \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{a^2_k} \delta \theta_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \right]. \quad (21) \]
\[ \langle T^i_j(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = -p_i(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{a^{D+1}} \int d^Dk \left( \frac{1}{2} + n_k^i \right) \left[ \frac{k^2}{\omega_k} (1 + 2\Phi(\mathbf{p}) + 2p_k(\eta, \mathbf{p})) + 2i\frac{k_i p_i}{\omega_k} \delta\theta_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \right] \]  
\[ (22) \]

\[ \langle T^0_0(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{a^{D+1}} \int d^Dk \left( \frac{1}{2} + n_k^0 \right) \left[ k_i \left( 1 + 2p_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) + 2i\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{\omega_k} \delta\theta_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \right) + ip_i \delta\theta_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \right] \]  
\[ (23) \]

\[ \langle T^i_j(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{a^{D+1}} \int d^Dk \left( \frac{1}{2} + n_k^i \right) \left[ k_j k_i \left( 1 + 2\Phi(\mathbf{p}) + 2p_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \right) + i\frac{k_j p_i + k_i p_j}{\omega_k} \delta\theta_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \right] \]  
\[ (24) \]

\[ \langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{a^{D+1}} \int d^Dk \left( \frac{1}{2} + n_k^\mu \right) \frac{m^2}{\omega_k} \left( 1 + 2p_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \right) \]  
\[ (25) \]

Let us divide the energy-momentum tensor, in the same way as for the potential case, in a vacuum contribution, which does not depend on the number of particles per mode \( n_k^i \), and a thermal contribution.

\[ \langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = \langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_{\text{vac}} + \langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_{T}. \]  
\[ (26) \]

each one having a homogeneous and an inhomogeneous part. It can be shown [12] that the energy-momentum tensor of the vacuum is given by \( \langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_{\text{vac}} = \rho_{\text{vac}} \delta^{\mu_\nu} \), where the energy density \( \rho_{\text{vac}} \) and pressure \( p_{\text{vac}} \) are given in the MS renormalization scheme with \( D = 3 + \epsilon \) by

\[ \rho_{\text{vac}} = -p_{\text{vac}} = \frac{m^4}{64\pi^2} \left[ \log \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\mathbf{r}^2} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \right]. \]  
\[ (27) \]

This implies that the inhomogeneous part of the vacuum contribution is zero when dimensional regularization is used, therefore metric perturbations do not contribute to the leading adiabatic order. In this paper, we compute the homogeneous and inhomogeneous parts of \( \langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_{T} \).

III. STATIC SPACETIMES

Although the expressions for the perturbed solutions given in Appendix A are valid for general perturbed FRW spacetimes, in this work we focus on static spacetimes; i.e., we will take \( a = 1 \) and \( \Phi = \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \), \( \Psi = \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \). The general case is of great interest for cosmological scenarios, nevertheless the time dependence of the scale factor increases the complexity of the computations, making extremely difficult to obtain analytical expressions. In addition, in order to define a thermodynamic temperature, there must be a timelike Killing vector field; namely, the spacetime must be static or stationary.

In order to compute \( V_T(\hat{\phi}) \) and the energy-momentum tensor \( \langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_T \) thermal contributions, our first step will be to expand the \( p_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \) and \( \delta\theta_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \) functions in powers of \( p \hat{\eta} \) (Appendix B). These expansions allow us to find a common structure of the integrals involved.

A. Effective potential

Taking into account (B1) and (10), it is clear that we have to deal with the following kind of integrals,

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{m^2(\hat{\phi})} \frac{dm^2}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dk k^{D-2} \frac{1}{e^{m^2/\eta} - 1} \frac{1}{\omega_k} \left( \frac{k}{\omega_k} \right)^{2\alpha} \left( \frac{m}{\omega_k} \right)^{2n} \]  
\[ \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \]  
\[ (28) \]

to compute the finite-temperature correction to the effective potential.

It is convenient to use the dimensionless variables \( u = \omega_k/T \) and \( x = m/T \) instead of \( k \) and \( m \), respectively. In terms of these new variables, the integral reduces to (extracting a global factor \( T^{D+1} \))

\[ I_{\alpha,n}^X \equiv \int_0^X dx \int_x^\infty du \frac{1}{e^u - 1} u^{\alpha+2n} (u^2 - x^2)^{D/2 + \alpha - 1}, \]  
\[ (29) \]

where \( X = m(\hat{\phi})/T \).

It is also useful to interchange the order of integration of this integral and divide it in the following way,

\[ I_{\alpha,n}^X = \left( \int_0^X du \right) \int_0^u dx + \int_X^\infty du \int_0^X dx \times \frac{1}{e^u - 1} u^{\alpha+2n} (u^2 - x^2)^{D/2 + \alpha - 1}, \]  
\[ (30) \]

where the first part takes into account the contribution from modes with energies below the mass of the field, while the second part includes the contribution from modes with energies above the mass of the field.

B. Energy-momentum tensor

To compute the energy-momentum tensor, the following integrals appear:
\[ \int_0^\infty dk k^{D-1} \frac{1}{e^{\omega/k}-1} \alpha_k \left( \frac{k}{\alpha_k} \right)^{2n} \left( \frac{m}{\alpha_k} \right)^{2n} \]
\[ \alpha = 0,1,2,\ldots \quad n = 0,1,2. \]  
(31)

Using the same dimensionless variables \( u \) and \( x \), we get (also extracting a global factor \( T^{D+1} \))

\[ J_{\alpha,n}^X \equiv \int_X^\infty du \frac{1}{e^u-1} \frac{X^{2n}}{u^{2n+2\alpha-2}} (u^2 - X^2)^{D/2+\alpha-1}. \]  
(32)

Only modes with energies above the mass of the field contribute to the energy-momentum tensor.

In the following, we compute the integrals \( I_{\alpha,n}^X \) (30) and \( J_{\alpha,n}^X \) (32) in the nonrelativistic and the ultrarelativistic limits.

**IV. NONRELATIVISTIC LIMIT**

**A. Effective potential**

In the nonrelativistic limit \( m(\hat{\phi})/T \to \infty \) (or \( X \to \infty \)), the contribution from modes with energies above the mass of the field is exponentially damped because of the Bose-Einstein factor; hence, the leading contribution in the mass of the field is exponentially damped because of the leading contribution in the nonrelativistic limit is given by the first part of (30) when taking \( X = \infty \),

\[ I_{\alpha,n}^\infty = \int_0^\infty du \int_0^u dx \frac{1}{e^{x-u}-1} \frac{x^{1+2n}}{u^{2n+2\alpha-2}} (u^2 - x^2)^{D/2+\alpha-1} \]
\[ = \frac{\Gamma(D/2+\alpha)n!}{2\Gamma(D/2+\alpha+n+1)} D^1 \zeta(D+1), \]  
(33)

where \( \zeta(x) \) is the Riemann Zeta function.

Therefore, using expression (10) together with the result for the integral (33) and the expansion of \( \hat{P}_x(\eta,p) \) (B1), we obtain (assuming \( D = 3 \)) for the leading contributions after resummation of the series in \( \eta p \)

\[ V_{T(L)}^{\eta}(\hat{\phi}) = \frac{\pi^2}{90} T^4 \]  
(34)

\[ V_{T(L)}^{\Phi}(\hat{\phi}) = \frac{\pi^2}{90} T^4 \Phi(p) \times 4 \left[ \frac{3 \sin(\eta p)}{(\eta p)^3} - \frac{3 \cos(\eta p)}{(\eta p)^2} - 1 \right] \]  
(35)

\[ V_{T(L)}^{\Psi}(\hat{\phi}) = \frac{\pi^2}{90} T^4 \Psi(p) \times 12 \left[ \left( \frac{6}{(\eta p)^3} \right) - \frac{1}{(\eta p)^2} \right] \cos(\eta p) \]
\[ + \left( \frac{3}{(\eta p)^3} - \frac{6}{(\eta p)^2} \right) \sin(\eta p) \].  
(36)

Note that there is no dependence on the field (which may appear through mass terms). Therefore, these expressions do not affect the field dynamics and they can be neglected. On the other hand, even though we are considering static backgrounds, there is an explicit time dependence of the result. This can be traced back to the particular mode choice in (A1) and (A2). In particular, taking the \( \eta \to \infty \) limit, which corresponds to setting initial conditions for the modes in the remote past, we recover static results for the effective potential.

In the static limit \( \eta \to \infty \), the following expression is obtained:

\[ V_{T(L)}^{\Phi}(\hat{\phi}) = \frac{\pi^2}{90} T^4(1 - 4\Phi(p)). \]  
(37)

It can be shown that the leading inhomogeneous effect in the static limit only depends on the \( \Phi \) potential and, in fact, it can be obtained from the homogeneous result replacing the temperature by the local Tolman temperature [13],

\[ T_{Tolman} = \frac{T}{\sqrt{g_{00}}} \approx T(1 - \Phi(p)). \]  
(38)

Notice, however, that in the results for finite time given in (35) and (36), the explicit time dependence of the effective potential prevents the introduction of a Tolman temperature.

The next-to-leading correction, \( V_{T(L)}^{NL} \), including terms \( \mathcal{O}(T/m(\hat{\phi})) \), can be obtained by applying a modified version of the Laplace method to the following integral,\(^1\)

\[ I_{\alpha,n}^X - I_{\alpha,n}^\infty \approx - \int_X^\infty du \int_X^u dx e^{-u} \frac{x^{1+2n}}{u^{2n+2\alpha-2}} (u^2 - x^2)^{D/2+\alpha-1} \]
\[ = \int_X^\infty du \frac{1}{2} e^{-u} u^{D} \left[ B_{X/u}^2(1 + n, D/2 + \alpha) - \frac{\Gamma(1+n)\Gamma(D/2+\alpha)}{\Gamma(D/2+\alpha+n+1)} \right], \]  
(39)

where we have replaced the Bose-Einstein factor by the Boltzmann factor. \( B_{x/y}^z \) is the incomplete Beta function. When \( u/X \gg 1 \), the integrand is exponentially damped as \( e^{-u/X} \). Then, we Taylor expand the expression inside the brackets around \( X^2/u^2 = 1 \) to obtain

\[ I_{\alpha,n}^X - I_{\alpha,n}^\infty \approx - \int_X^\infty du \frac{1}{D+2\alpha} e^{-u} u^{D} \left[ 1 - \frac{X^2}{u^2} \right]^{D/2+\alpha} \]
\[ = - \int_X^\infty du \frac{1}{D+2\alpha} \exp \left[ -u + D \log(u) \right] \]
\[ + \left( \frac{D}{2} + \alpha \right) \log\left( 1 - \frac{X^2}{u^2} \right). \]  
(40)

\(^1\)The symbol \( \approx \) stands for an approximation in the Taylor sense, while \( \sim \) stands for an asymptotic approximation, namely the quotient between both results equals 1 in the appropriate limit.
The expression inside the exponential has a maximum at 
\[ u \sim X \text{ when } X \to \infty. \]
Taylor expanding the argument of the exponential around \( u = X \) up to order \( O(u) \) (including the logarithmic divergence), the integration in \( u \) can be performed to get the following result,
\[
I_{a,n}^X - I_{a,n}^\infty \sim -2^{3(D/2+a)} \Gamma(D/2 + a) e^{-X^2 / 2} \times \left( \frac{X}{D + 6a} \right)^D X^{D+1} e^{-X X^{D/2 - a}},
\]
which does not depend on \( n \). Because of the factor \( X^{D/2-a} \) in the last expression, the expansion in \( pT \) mixes with the expansion in \( X = m(\hat{\phi}) / T \).

Finally, the next-to-leading contribution to the potential for \( pT \ll 1 \) is given by
\[
V_{T(NL)}(\hat{\phi}) = -\frac{T^4}{2\sqrt{2\pi}^{3/2}} e^{-m(\hat{\phi})/T} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^{3/2} \times \left( 1 + 3\Psi(p) - \frac{(pT)^2}{2} \Phi(p) \right).
\]
A better approximation for smaller values of \( X \) is obtained if we do not drop \( \alpha \) in the denominator in (41). This improved approximation is shown in Fig. 1 (right panel).

It is important to note that each order in \( (pT) \) is suppressed by a factor \( [T/m(\hat{\phi})] \) with respect to the previous order, because of the mixing discussed above. For instance, the correction proportional to \( \Psi \) does not depend on \( (pT) \) to leading order in \( [T/m(\hat{\phi})] \) [see Eq. (42)], then the dependence on \( (pT)^2 \) proportional to \( \Psi \) is suppressed by a factor \( [T/m(\hat{\phi})] \) with respect to the \( (pT)^2 \) correction proportional to \( \Phi \), as shown in Fig. 1 (right panel).

Because of the mixing between the expansion in \( X = m(\hat{\phi}) / T \) and \( pT \), we cannot obtain a result valid for arbitrary scales \( p \) and times \( T \). However, it is possible to obtain the static result by taking the limit \( \eta \to \infty \) directly on (10). According to this procedure, we get
\[
V_{T(NL)}(\hat{\phi}) = -\frac{T^4}{2\sqrt{2\pi}^{3/2}} e^{-m(\hat{\phi})/T} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^{3/2} \times \left( 1 - \frac{35}{8} \Phi(p) - \frac{(pT)^2}{2} \Phi(p) \right).
\]

As can be checked in a straightforward way from (43), also for the next to leading contribution in the static limit, the inhomogeneous correction can be obtained from the homogeneous result by replacing the temperature with the Tolman temperature [13].

**B. Energy-momentum tensor**

The leading order of the energy-momentum tensor is already exponentially damped, since only modes with energies above the mass of the field contribute. We write the integral (32) as
\[
J_{a,n}^X \simeq \int_X^\infty \text{d}u e^{-u} \frac{\alpha_2 n}{u^{\alpha_2 + 2n - 2}} (u^2 - X^2)^{D/2+a-1}
\]
where the Bose-Einstein factor has been replaced by the Boltzmann factor. Applying the Laplace’s method again we get

\[ J_{a,n}^X \approx X^{2n} \int_X^{\infty} du \exp \left[ -u - 2(\alpha + n - 1) \log(u) + \left( \frac{D}{2} + \alpha - 1 \right) \log \left( u^2 - X^2 \right) \right] \]

\[ \sim 2^{3(D/2 + \alpha - 1)} \Gamma(D/2 + \alpha) e^{-X} X^{D+1} \]

\[ \sim 2^{D/2 + \alpha - 1} \Gamma(D/2 + \alpha) e^{-X} X^{D/2 - \alpha + 1} \quad (45) \]

Then, taking into account the expressions given in Sec. II and the result (45), the energy-momentum tensor for \( p\eta \ll 1 \) is given by

\[ \rho_T \equiv \langle T_{00}(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_T \]

\[ \sim \frac{T^4}{2\sqrt{2\pi^{3/2}}} e^{-m(\hat{\phi})/T} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^{5/2} \]

\[ \times \left( 1 + 3\Psi(p) - \frac{(p\eta)^2}{2} \Phi(p) \right) \quad (46) \]

\[ -p_T \equiv \langle T_{ij}(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_T \]

\[ \sim -\frac{T^4}{2\sqrt{2\pi^{3/2}}} e^{-m(\hat{\phi})/T} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^{3/2} \]

\[ \times \left( 1 + 5\Psi(p) - \frac{5(p\eta)^2}{6} \Phi(p) \right) \quad (47) \]

\[ \rho_T \equiv \langle T_{00}(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_T \sim \frac{T^4}{2\sqrt{2\pi^{3/2}}} e^{-m(\hat{\phi})/T} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^{5/2} \left( 1 - \frac{39}{8} \Phi(p) - \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right) \Phi(p) \right) \quad (50) \]

\[ -p_T \equiv \langle T_{ij}(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_T \sim -\frac{T^4}{2\sqrt{2\pi^{3/2}}} e^{-m(\hat{\phi})/T} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^{3/2} \left( 1 - \frac{35}{8} \Phi(p) - \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right) \Phi(p) \right) \quad (51) \]

Once again, in the static limit, the inhomogeneous corrections, depending only on the \( \Phi \) potential and can be obtained from the homogenous one by introducing the Tolman temperature.

V. ULTRARELATIVISTIC LIMIT

A. Effective potential

In the ultrarelativistic limit, \( m(\hat{\phi})/T \to 0 \) (or \( X \to 0 \)), the dominant contribution comes from modes with energies higher than the mass of the field. Therefore, the second part of (30) gives

\[ I_{a,n}^X \approx \int_X^{\infty} du \int_0^X dx \frac{1}{e^u - 1} \frac{x^{1+2n}}{u^{D/2 + \alpha - 1}} \]

\[ = \int_X^{\infty} du \frac{1}{2} \frac{u^D}{e^u - 1} B_{\alpha/2} \left( 1 + n, D/2 + \alpha \right) \]

\[ \approx \int_X^{\infty} du \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu^{D-2n-2}}{e^u - 1} X^{2+2n} \quad (52) \]

where we have expanded the incomplete Beta function \( B_{\alpha/2}(a, b) \) for \( X \ll 1 \) in the last line. The leading contribution comes from \( n = 0 \). Replacing the lower limit of integration by 0 we get in that limit
\[ I_{a,0}^X \approx \int_0^\infty du \frac{1}{2} \frac{u^{D-2}}{e^u - 1} X^2 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(D-1)Li_{D-1}(1)X^2 \quad (53) \]

where \( Li_n(z) \) is the polylogarithm function.

Therefore, from (30) and using the expansion of \( \hat{P}_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \) in (B1) and the result (53), we can resum this contribution to get the leading contribution

\[ V_{T(L)}^h(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{T^4}{24} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^2 \quad (54) \]

\[ V_{T(L)}^\Phi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{T^4}{12} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^2 \Phi(\mathbf{p}) \times \left( \frac{\sin(\eta p)}{p\eta} - 1 \right) \quad (55) \]

\[ V_{T(L)}^\Psi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{T^4}{12} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^2 \Psi(\mathbf{p}) \times \left( \frac{\sin(\eta p)}{p\eta} \right) \quad (56) \]

The explicit time dependence of the general results obtained in a static metric can be traced back to the initial conditions of the modes. Taking the limit \( \eta \to \infty \) in (55) and (56), the initial conditions are washed out and the remaining correction in Fourier space is

\[ V_{T(L)}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{T^4}{24} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^2 (1 - 2\Phi(\mathbf{p})). \quad (57) \]

In this case we can also obtain the inhomogeneous result by replacing the temperature by the local Tolman temperature \([13]\) in the homogeneous result.

To get the real space result in the static limit, one has to compute the Fourier transform of the complete expression and then take the static limit, \( \eta \to \infty \). Following this procedure, it is possible to get the real space result for arbitrary perturbation (see Appendix C) which reads

\[ V_{T(L)}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{T^4}{24} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^2 (1 - 2\Phi(\mathbf{p})). \quad (58) \]

Therefore, as expected, the static limit and the Fourier transform commute [compare (57) and (58)]. This is a general conclusion for the functions in Fourier space appearing in this paper due to the results of Appendix C.

In real space, the corrections due to Newtonian perturbations \( \Phi_N(r) \) and \( \Psi_N(r) \) given by

\[ \Phi_N(\mathbf{p}) = \Psi_N(\mathbf{p}) = -4\pi \frac{GM}{p^2} \quad (59) \]

\[ \Phi_N(r) = \Psi_N(r) = -\frac{GM}{r}, \quad (60) \]

inside the light cone \( (r < |\eta|) \) are

\[ V_{T(L)}^\Phi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{T^4}{12} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^2 \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) \times \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} - 1 \right) \quad (61) \]

\[ V_{T(L)}^\Psi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{T^4}{12} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^2 \Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \times \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right) \quad (62) \]

while on and outside the light cone \( (r \geq |\eta|) \) are

\[ V_{T(L)}^\Phi(\mathbf{p}) = 0 \quad (63) \]

\[ V_{T(L)}^\Psi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{T^4}{12} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^2 \Psi_N(r). \quad (64) \]

The next-to-leading order corrections can be obtained by computing the first part of Eq. (30) plus next-to-leading terms coming from Eq. (52) (see Appendix D). Finally, after resummation of the series expansion (B1) we get for \( V_{T(NL)} \) [up to \( O((m/T)^5) \)]

\[ V_{T(NL)}^h(\mathbf{p}) = T^4 \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^3 \left[ -\frac{1}{12\pi} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right) \left( \log \left( \frac{T}{M} \right) + \frac{3}{4} + \gamma + 4\log(4\pi) \right) \right] \quad (65) \]

\[ V_{T(NL)}^\Phi(\mathbf{p}) = T^4 \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^3 \Phi(\mathbf{p}) \left[ -\frac{1}{12\pi} (J_0(p\eta) - 1) + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right) (\cos(p\eta) - 1) + \frac{1}{720\pi} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^2 p\eta J_1(p\eta) \right] \quad (66) \]

\[ V_{T(NL)}^\Psi(\mathbf{p}) = T^4 \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^3 \Psi(\mathbf{p}) \left[ -\frac{1}{12\pi} \left( J_0(p\eta) + J_1(p\eta) \right) + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right) \left( \cos(p\eta) + \frac{2\sin(p\eta)}{p\eta} \right) \right. \]
\[ \left. + \frac{1}{720\pi} \left( \frac{m(\mathbf{p})}{T} \right)^2 (p\eta J_1(p\eta) - 3J_0(p\eta)) \right] \quad (67) \]

where \( \gamma \) is Euler’s constant and \( J_n(x) \) Bessel functions. The leading and next-to-leading inhomogeneous thermal corrections of \( V_T \) are shown in Fig. 2.
Considering Newtonian perturbations $\Phi_N$ and $\Psi_N$, in real space we get for the region inside the light cone ($r < |\eta|$)

$$V^\Phi_{T\langle NL\rangle}(\hat{\phi}) = T^4 \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^3 \Phi_N(r)$$

\[ \times \left[ \frac{1}{12\pi} - \frac{3}{\pi^2} \arcsin \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right) \right] \] (68)

$$V^\Psi_{T\langle NL\rangle}(\hat{\phi}) = T^4 \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^3 \Psi_N(r)$$

\[ \times \left[ -\frac{1}{12\pi^2} \eta \sqrt{1 - r^2 / \eta^2} - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \arcsin \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right) \right]. \] (69)

and outside and on the light cone ($r \geq |\eta|$)

$$V^\Phi_{T\langle NL\rangle}(\hat{\phi}) = 0$$ (70)

$$V^\Psi_{T\langle NL\rangle}(\hat{\phi}) = T^4 \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^3 \Psi_N(r) \times \left[ -\frac{1}{8\pi} \right]. \] (71)

Here for simplicity we have only shown the $\mathcal{O}(m/T)^3$ contributions.

In the static limit $\eta \to \infty$ one gets

$$V_{T\langle NL\rangle}(\hat{\phi}) = -T^4 \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^3 \left( 1 - \Phi(p) \right), \] (72)

which is also valid in real space replacing $\Phi(p)$ by $\Phi(r)$ (see Appendix C). Here again we find that the inhomogeneous result can be obtained by replacing the temperature in the homogeneous contribution by the local Tolman temperature.

### B. Energy-momentum tensor

The leading contribution is given by the integral (32) when $n = 0$

$$j^{\alpha \beta} = \int_x^\infty du \frac{1}{e^u - 1} \left( u^2 - X^2 \right)^{D/2} \left( u^2 + 1 - D/2 - \alpha\right)$$

\[ \approx \int_x^\infty du \frac{u^{D-2}}{e^u - 1} \left( u^2 + 1 - D/2 - \alpha\right) \zeta(D - 1) \] (73)

where we have replaced the lower limit of integration by 0 and expanded the integrand around $X = 0$ in the second line. Therefore, we get for the energy-momentum tensor

\[ \rho_T = \frac{\langle T_{00}(\eta, p) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{30} \left( 1 - 4\Phi(p) + \frac{4\sin(p\eta)}{p\eta} \left( \Phi(p) + \Psi(p) \right) \right) \] (74)

\[ - \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + \left( 2\cos(p\eta) + \frac{4\sin(p\eta)}{3p\eta} \right) \Phi(p) + \left( 2\cos(p\eta) - 2\right) \Phi(p) \right]. \] (75)

\[ \frac{p_T}{T^4} = -\frac{\langle T^i_j(\eta, p) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{90} \left( 1 - 4\Phi(p) + \frac{4\sin(p\eta)}{p\eta} \left( \Phi(p) + \Psi(p) \right) \right) \] (76)

\[ - \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{2}{3} \cos(p\eta) + \frac{8\sin(p\eta)}{3p\eta} \right) \Phi(p) + \left( \frac{2}{3} \cos(p\eta) + \frac{4\sin(p\eta)}{3p\eta} - 2\right) \Phi(p) \right]. \]
FIG. 2. Left upper panel: Points show the numerical value of the thermal contribution to the potential taking $m(\phi)/T = 0.1$ and the continuous line corresponds to the approximations in (55) and (56). Right upper panel: Difference between the numerical value of the potential for $m(\phi)/T = 0.1$ and the approximations (55) (blue points) or (56) (black points). The next-to-leading corrections $[O((m/T)^3)]$ given by (66) (green solid line) and (67) (red solid line) for $m(\phi)/T = 0.1$. Left bottom panel: Difference between the numerical value and the $O((m/T)^3)$ approximation (blue and black points). The $O((m/T)^4)$ correction is plotted as a solid line. Right bottom panel: Difference between the numerical value and the $O((m/T)^4)$ approximation (blue and black points). The $O((m/T)^5)$ correction is plotted as a solid line.

\[
\frac{\langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, p) \rangle_r}{T^4} \approx \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{2 \sin(p\eta)}{p\eta} - 2 \Phi(p) + \frac{2 \sin(p\eta)}{p\eta} \Psi(p) \right],
\]

which does not correspond to a perfect fluid.\(^3\)

In real space, we have for Newtonian perturbations inside the light cone ($r < |\eta|$)

\[
\rho_T \frac{T}{T^4} = \frac{\langle T^0_0(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_r}{T^4} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{30} \left\{ 1 - 4 \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right) \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{r}{|\eta|} \Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right] \right\} - \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 - 2 \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + 4 \frac{r}{|\eta|} \Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right]
\]

\[
\rho_T \frac{T}{T^4} = \frac{-\langle T^1_0(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_r}{T^4} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{90} \left\{ 1 - 4 \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right) \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{r}{|\eta|} \Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right] \right\} - \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 - 2 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{|\eta|} \right) \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{8}{3} \frac{r}{|\eta|} \Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right]
\]

\[
\frac{\langle T^1_0(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_r}{T^4} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{45p^2} \partial_i \left[ r^3 (\Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \Psi_N(\mathbf{r})) \right] - \frac{1}{36p^2} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \partial_i (r^3 \Psi_N(\mathbf{r}))
\]

\(^3\)The energy-momentum tensor given by Eqs. (74)–(77) is conserved.
\[
\frac{\langle T^i_j(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx - \frac{\pi^2}{300|\eta|^3} \partial_i \partial_j [r^2(\Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \Psi_N(\mathbf{r}))]
+ \frac{1}{240|\eta|^3} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \partial_i \partial_j [r^2 \Psi_N(\mathbf{r})] \quad i \neq j
\]  
(82)

\[
\frac{\langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 - 2 \left( 1 - r/|\eta| \right)^2 \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + 2 \frac{r}{|\eta|} \Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right].
\]  
(83)

Outside the light cone \((r > |\eta|)\), we get

\[
\frac{\rho_T}{T^4} = \frac{\langle T^0_0(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{30} \left( 1 + 4\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right) - \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + 6\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right]
\]  
(84)

\[
\frac{\rho_T}{T^4} = -\frac{\langle T^i_j(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx - \frac{\pi^2}{90} \left( 1 + 4\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right) - \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{10}{3}\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right]
\]  
(85)

\[
\frac{\langle T^0_i(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx - \frac{2\pi^2 \eta^2}{45} \partial_i [\Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \Psi_N(\mathbf{r})]
+ \frac{\eta}{12} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \partial_i \left( \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{5}{3}\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right)
\]  
(86)

\[
\frac{\langle T^i_j(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx - \frac{2\pi^2 \eta^2}{225} \partial_i \partial_j [\Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \Psi_N(\mathbf{r})]
+ \frac{\eta^2}{36} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \partial_i \partial_j \left( \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{7}{5}\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right) \quad i \neq j
\]  
(87)

\[
\frac{\langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + 2\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right].
\]  
(88)

and on the light cone \((r = |\eta|)\), the results are

\[
\frac{\rho_T}{T^4} = \frac{\langle T^0_0(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{30} \left( 1 + 4\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right) - \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 - \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + 5\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right]
\]  
(89)

\[
\frac{\rho_T}{T^4} = \frac{\langle T^i_j(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{90} \left( 1 + 4\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right) - \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{3}\Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + 3\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right]
\]  
(90)

\[
\frac{\langle T^0_i(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx - \frac{2\pi^2 \eta^2}{45} \partial_i [\Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \Psi_N(\mathbf{r})]
+ \frac{\eta}{12} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \partial_i \left( \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{5}{3}\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right)
\]  
(91)

\[
\frac{\langle T^i_j(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx - \frac{2\pi^2 \eta^2}{225} \partial_i \partial_j [\Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \Psi_N(\mathbf{r})]
+ \frac{\eta^2}{36} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \partial_i \partial_j \left( \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{7}{5}\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right) \quad i \neq j
\]  
(92)

\[
\frac{\langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{r}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + 2\Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right].
\]  
(93)

In the static limit, the energy density and pressure are

\[
\frac{\rho_T}{T^4} = \frac{\langle T^0_0(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{30} \left( 1 - 4\Phi(\mathbf{p}) \right) - \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left( 1 - 2\Phi(\mathbf{p}) \right)
\]  
(94)

\[
\frac{\rho_T}{T^4} = -\frac{\langle T^i_j(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx - \frac{\pi^2}{90} \left( 1 - 4\Phi(\mathbf{p}) \right) - \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left( 1 - 2\Phi(\mathbf{p}) \right)
\]  
(95)

\[
\frac{\langle T^\mu_\nu(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \rangle_T}{T^4} \approx \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{m(\phi)}{T} \right)^2 \left( 1 - 2\Phi(\mathbf{p}) \right),
\]  
(96)

the nondiagonal terms being zero. Once again, these results can be interpreted as being the corresponding energy density and pressure for a classical gas at the local Tolman temperature [13] in agreement with [14,15]. The same expressions for the static limit apply in real space (see Appendix C).

**VI. THERMAL SHIFT OF THE EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL MINIMA**

Once the effective potential is obtained, the value of the field for which

\[
V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\phi}) = 0
\]  
(97)
determines the value attained by the classical field $\hat{\phi}$. The inhomogeneous contributions to the effective potential will now induce a spatial dependence on $\hat{\phi}$ which can be written as

$$\hat{\phi}(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = \hat{\phi}_0 + \Delta \hat{\phi}(\eta, \mathbf{x}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (98)

where $\hat{\phi}_0$ is the minimum of the potential in the absence of metric perturbations, but including the one-loop corrections, i.e.,

$$V^{h,\prime}_i(\hat{\phi}_0) = V'(\hat{\phi}_0) + V_{1i}^{h}(\hat{\phi}_0) + V_{2i}^{h}(\hat{\phi}_0) = 0, \hspace{1cm} (99)$$

then to first order in metric perturbations and taking into account that $V_1' = 0$ in dimensional regularization, we get

$$\Delta \hat{\phi} = -\frac{V_{1i}^{h}(\hat{\phi}_0)}{V^{h,\prime}_i(\hat{\phi}_0)} = -\frac{1}{V^{h,\prime}_i(\hat{\phi}_0)} \left. \frac{dV^{h}}{dm^2} \right|_{\phi=\hat{\phi}_i}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (100)

Thus, the relative classical field variation is given by the temperature correction

$$\Delta \hat{\phi} = -\frac{V''(\hat{\phi}_0)}{V^{h,\prime}_i(\hat{\phi}_0)} \left. \frac{dV^{h}}{dm^2} \right|_{\phi=\hat{\phi}_i}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (101)

The perturbation is therefore proportional to the third derivative of the tree-level potential, so that variations in the field expectation value are only generated in theories with self-interactions.

In the nonrelativistic limit and in the static limit we get in Fourier space

$$\Delta \hat{\phi}_T(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{e^{-m(\hat{\phi})/T}}{4\sqrt{2\pi}m^{3/2}} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^{3/2} \times V''(\hat{\phi}_0) \left( \frac{T^2}{V^{h,\prime}_i(\hat{\phi}_0)} \right) \Phi(\mathbf{p}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (102)

In the ultrarelativistic limit, we obtain for arbitrary $\eta$

$$\Delta \hat{\phi}(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = -\frac{V''(\hat{\phi}_0)}{12} \left( \frac{T^2}{V^{h,\prime}_i(\hat{\phi}_0)} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{\sin(p\eta)}{p\eta} - 1 \right) \Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \left( \frac{\sin(p\eta)}{p\eta} \right) \Psi(\mathbf{p}) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (103)

which in the static limit reduces to

$$\Delta \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{V''(\hat{\phi}_0)}{12} \left( \frac{T^2}{V^{h,\prime}_i(\hat{\phi}_0)} \right) \Phi(\mathbf{p}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (104)

valid also in real space replacing $\Phi(\mathbf{p})$ by $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$. In particular, in real space, we get for Newtonian potentials inside the light cone ($r < |\eta|$)

$$\Delta \hat{\phi}(\eta, r) = -\frac{V''(\hat{\phi}_0)}{12} \left( \frac{T^2}{V^{h,\prime}_i(\hat{\phi}_0)} \right) \times \left[ \left( \frac{r}{|\eta|} - 1 \right) \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{r}{|\eta|} \Psi_N(\mathbf{r}) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (105)

while outside and on the light cone ($r \geq |\eta|$)

$$\Delta \hat{\phi}(\eta, r) = -\frac{V''(\hat{\phi}_0)}{12} \left( \frac{T^2}{V^{h,\prime}_i(\hat{\phi}_0)} \right) \Psi_N(\mathbf{r}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (106)

Thus, we see that outside and on the light cone ($r \geq |\eta|$), the result reduces to minus the static limit result (104). Inside the light cone ($r < |\eta|$), the thermal shift depends on time and approaches asymptotically the static case.

From these results we see that there is a negligible shift in the classical field $\hat{\phi}$ at low temperature because of the exponential suppression, however, depending on the form of the tree-level potential, the shift generated by metric perturbations in the ultrarelativistic limit could be relevant in certain cases.

Now, let us focus on the critical temperature of the phase transition $T_c$ defined by [16]

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\phi}_0 + \Delta \hat{\phi}) = V_{\text{eff}}(0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (107)

where $V_{\text{eff}}$, $\hat{\phi}_0$ and $\Delta \hat{\phi}$ depend on the temperature $T$. Expanding Eq. (107) around the critical temperature in the absence of metric perturbations $T_c$, we get the leading order

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\phi}_0) = V_{\text{eff}}(0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (108)

which is the definition of $T_c$. Considering the next to leading order and solving for $\delta T_c = T_c - T_c^0$, we obtain the following expression for the shift in the critical temperature produced by metric perturbations\(^4\)

$$\delta T_c = -\frac{\left. \frac{d}{dT} (V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\phi}_0)) \right|_{T=T_c^0}}{\left. \frac{d}{dT} V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\phi}_0) \right|_{T=T_c^0}}.$$

\(^4\)To get this expression we have redefined the effective potential by adding a function of the temperature in such a way that $V^{h}_{\text{eff}}(0) = 0$ and $\frac{d}{dT} V^{h}_{\text{eff}}(0) = 0$ for every $T$. This does not change the dynamics of the field since the aforementioned function of the temperature does not depend on the field $\phi$.\]
therefore, in that case, the shift in the critical temperature is given by

\[
\frac{\delta T_c}{T^0_c} = \Phi(p).
\]  

(111)
i.e., once again the curvature perturbation Ψ does not contribute to the shift.

**VII. CONCLUSIONS**

Considering a scalar field at finite temperature in an inhomogeneous static spacetime, we have computed the one-loop corrections to the effective potential and to the energy-momentum tensor induced by static scalar metric perturbations around a Minkowski background to first order in metric perturbations. To this aim, we have applied the formalism developed in [11,12]. In particular we have used the explicit expressions for the perturbed field modes together with the assumptions of adiabatic evolution of the field. In order to obtain analytical expressions, the nonrelativistic and ultrarelativistic limits have been considered.

In the nonrelativistic limit, we obtained the corresponding expressions in the static limit and also the limits for large-scale perturbations (small \(p\)) or times close to the initial time. In the ultrarelativistic limit, we obtain the complete results for arbitrary \(p\) and \(\eta\) up to \(\mathcal{O}(m/T)^5\). In the static limit, our results agree with those in [14,15] which were obtained by means of the Schwinger-DeWitt expansion. The energy density and pressure in the static limit are consistent with a local thermal distributions at the local Tolman temperature. Besides, our results are sensitive to the initial conditions set at the initial time for the mode solutions.

We have also discussed the space-dependent shift in the classical field induced by the metric perturbations. As expected, in the nonrelativistic limit the shift is Boltzmann suppressed. However, in the ultrarelativistic case and depending on the shape of the potential, the shift could be non-negligible.

The results of the paper have shown that mode summation is a useful technique to obtain explicit expressions for one-loop quantities at zero and finite temperature. Unlike the more standard Schwinger-DeWitt expansion, this method allows to calculate not only the local contributions to the effective action, but also the finite nonlocal ones which will appear at second order in the perturbative expansion. Future work along this line will allow to explore this possibility.
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**APPENDIX A: PERTURBED MODE SOLUTION**

The expression for \(P_k(\eta,p)\) and \(\delta \theta_k(\eta,p)\) is given by [12] (see also [17,18]),

\[
P_k(\eta,p) = \int_0^\eta e^{-ik \cdot \mathbf{p} \theta(\eta')} H_k(\eta',p) \frac{d\eta'}{2\omega_k(\eta')}
+ e^{-ik \cdot \mathbf{p} \theta(0)} P_k(0,p),
\]

(A1)

\[
\delta \theta_k(\eta,p) = \int_0^\eta e^{-ik \cdot \mathbf{p} \theta(\eta')} G_k(\eta',p) d\eta'
+ e^{-ik \cdot \mathbf{p} \theta(0)} \delta \theta_k(0,p),
\]

(A2)

where \(P_k(0,p)\) and \(\delta \theta_k(0,p)\) are the initial conditions, and

\[
\beta_k(\eta_f,\eta_i) = \int_{\eta_i}^{\eta_f} \frac{d\eta'}{\omega_k(\eta')},
\]

(A3)

\[
H_k(\eta,p) = \omega_k Q_k(\eta,p) + T_k(\eta,p)
\]

(A4)

\[
Q_k(\eta,p) = -i \frac{k \cdot \mathbf{p}}{\omega_k} \delta \theta_k(\eta,p) + \left[D - \frac{k^2}{\omega_k^2}\right] \Psi(\eta,p)
\]

(A5)

\[
T_k(\eta,p) = p^2 \delta \theta_k(\eta,p) - ik \cdot \mathbf{p} [\Phi(\eta,p) - (D - 2) \Psi(\eta,p)]
\]

(A6)

\[
G_k(\eta,p) = -\omega_k \left[\Phi(\eta,p) + \frac{k^2}{\omega_k^2} \Psi(\eta,p)\right].
\]

(A7)

\(P_k(0,p)\) is fixed by the orthonormalization condition of the modes while \(\delta \theta_k(0,p)\) remains arbitrary. The arbitrariness in \(\delta \theta_k(0,p)\) can also be absorbed in a change of the lower integration limit in (A2). As we will see, only setting the time origin to \(\eta_0 \rightarrow -\infty\), which is equivalent to taking \(\eta \rightarrow \infty\), corresponds to the exact static limit.

Full details about the solutions (A1) and (A2), and about the orthonormalization condition, are given in [12].

**APPENDIX B: EXPANSION IN \(p\eta\)**

**FOR STATIC SPACETIMES**

The following expansions have been used for the computation of the potential and the energy-momentum tensor,

\[
\frac{V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\phi}_0)}{\frac{d}{dt}(V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\phi}_0))} = -T \Phi(p)
\]

(110)
\[
P_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = \left(3 - \frac{k^2}{\omega_k^2}\right) \Psi(\mathbf{p}) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l+1)!} (pn)^{2l} \left(\frac{k}{\omega_k}\right)^{2l+1} \frac{1}{k^2 \omega_k^2} \times [(2k^4 + (3+2l)k^2 m^2) \Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \Psi(\mathbf{p})) + (1+2l)m^4 \Phi(\mathbf{p})].
\]

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d^3 k p \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega_k^2} P_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = i \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega k}{(2l+1)!} (pn)^{2l} \left(\frac{k}{\omega_k}\right)^{2l} \\
\times \left[\frac{1}{(2l+1)k^2 \omega_k \eta} ((2l+1)m^4 \Phi(\mathbf{p}) + 3k^4 (\Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \Psi(\mathbf{p})) + k^2 m^2 ((2l+3) \Psi(\mathbf{p}) + 2(l+2) \Phi(\mathbf{p})) \right] \\
- \frac{\omega_k}{(2l+1)k^2 \eta} (m^2 \Phi(\mathbf{p}) + k^2 (\Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \Psi(\mathbf{p}))) \right]
\]

\[
\delta \theta_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l+1}}{(l+1)!} (\bar{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{p})^l \frac{1}{\omega_k} [m^2 \Phi(\mathbf{p}) + k^2 (\Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \Psi(\mathbf{p}))]
\]

\[
\delta \hat{\theta}_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = -2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l+1)!} (pn)^{2l} \left(\frac{k}{\omega_k}\right)^{2l} \omega_k \eta \frac{2l+1}{2l+1} \left[\left(\frac{m}{\omega_k}\right)^2 \Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \left(\frac{k}{\omega_k}\right)^{2l} (\Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \Psi(\mathbf{p}) \right] \\
\]

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d^3 k p \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega_k^2} \delta \theta_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l+1)!} (pn)^{2l} \left(\frac{k}{\omega_k}\right)^{2l} \left[\left(\frac{m}{\omega_k}\right)^2 \Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \left(\frac{k}{\omega_k}\right)^{2l} (\Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \Psi(\mathbf{p}) \right]
\]

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d^3 k p \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega_k^2} \delta \hat{\theta}_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) = -4 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l+1)!} (pn)^{2l} \left(\frac{k}{\omega_k}\right)^{2l} \frac{1}{\omega_k \eta} \left[\left(\frac{m}{\omega_k}\right)^2 \Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \left(\frac{k}{\omega_k}\right)^{2l} (\Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \Psi(\mathbf{p}) \right]
\]

Both \( \hat{P}_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \) and \( \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d^3 k p \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\omega_k^2} \delta \hat{\theta}_k(\eta, \mathbf{p}) \) are the main terms appearing in the computation, while the remaining ones can be obtained from these expressions.

**APPENDIX C: MULTIPOLAR EXPANSION AND FOURIER TRANSFORM**

1. **Fourier transform in three dimensions**

In this discussion we follow [19]. The Fourier transform of a function \( f(\mathbf{r}) \) is defined as

\[
f(\mathbf{p}) = \int d^3 r f(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad (C1)
\]

Then, the inverse transform is given by

\[
f(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} f(\mathbf{p}) e^{i \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad (C2)
\]

\[\text{With the usual abuse of notation for using the same label for the function and for its Fourier transform. Note the nonunitary convention (the factor } 1/(2\pi)^3 \text{ is introduced when going from Fourier space to real space).}\]
where we have introduced a regularizing factor $e^{-j p r}$. Since the spherical Bessel functions of the first kind are finite, in particular at the origin, we get that in the static limit $\eta \to \infty$, the integral goes to zero. The same argument applies for the integrals involving cosine functions.

**APPENDIX D: NEXT-TO-LEADING TERMS IN THE ULTRARELATIVISTIC LIMIT**

Next to leading-order corrections can be obtained by expanding the Bose-Einstein factor and performing the integration term by term. For instance, the integrals we are interested in are of the following form,

\[ X^4 \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{f(\bar{u})}{e^{x \bar{u}} - 1} d\bar{u}, \tag{D1} \]

where $\bar{u} = u/X$ and $X = m(\hat{\phi})/T$. Using the Taylor expansion of the Bose-Einstein factor,

\[ \frac{1}{e^{x \bar{u}} - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \bar{u}^k X^{k-1}, \tag{D2} \]

the next-to-leading corrections in $X$ can be obtained as far as the integrals are convergent. $B_k$ are the Bernoulli numbers. The function $f(\bar{u})$ appearing in the calculations behaves as $\sim 1/\bar{u}$ in the limit $\bar{u} \to \infty$; therefore, the integrals can be performed up to $k = 2$.

**APPENDIX E: EXPRESSION FOR $V_{\text{eff}}^4$ AND $\frac{d}{dp} V_{\text{eff}}^4$ IN THE STATIC LIMIT**

Let us define (following [16])

\[ J^{(\nu)}(x) = \int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{2(u^2 - x^2)^{\nu/2}}{e^u - 1} du \tag{E1} \]

\[ F^{(\nu)}(X) = \int_{0}^{X} x^{2-\nu} J^{(\nu)}(x) dx. \tag{E2} \]

Then, in the static limit we have

\[ V_{\text{eff}}^h = \frac{T^4}{4\pi^3} \Phi^{(1)} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right), \tag{E3} \]

and

\[ V_{\text{eff}}^i = -\frac{T^4}{4\pi^3} \left[ 2 F^{(1)} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right) + F^{(-1)} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right) \right] \Phi(p), \tag{E4} \]

which can be read from Eq. (10). The derivative with respect to the temperature of the homogeneous effective potential is given by
\[
\frac{d}{dT} \left( V_{\text{eff}}^h(\hat{\phi}_0) \right) = \frac{T^3}{4\pi^2} \left[ 4F^{(1)} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right) - \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^2 J^{(1)} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right) \right]. \tag{E5}
\]

The second term in the right-hand side of the last equation can be written as
\[
\left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right)^2 J^{(1)} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right) = \int_0^{m(\hat{\phi})/T} \frac{d}{dx} \left( x^2 J^{(1)}(x) \right) dx
\]
\[
= 2F^{(1)} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right) - F^{(-1)} \left( \frac{m(\hat{\phi})}{T} \right). \tag{E6}
\]

where we have used the following property of \( J^{(v)}(x) \)
\[
\frac{\partial J^{(v)}(x)}{\partial x} = -v x J^{(v-2)}(x). \tag{E7}
\]

Therefore, Eqs. (E5) and (E6) give us
\[
\frac{dV_{\text{eff}}^h(\hat{\phi}_0)}{dT} = -T \Phi(p). \tag{E8}
\]
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