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Abstract

Background: Inhalational injury is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in burns patients. This study aims to analyse the clinical outcomes, complications and bacteriology of inhalational burn patients.

Methods: A prospective study was done on consecutive admissions to Burn Department, Singapore General Hospital over 15 months from January 2015 to March 2016. Presence of inhalational injury, demographics, complications and outcomes was recorded. Diagnosis of inhalational injury was based on history, symptoms and nasoendoscopy. Diagnosis of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), acute kidney injury (AKI) and infectious complications were according to the Berlin criteria, acute kidney injury network (AKIN) classification stage 2 and above and the American Burns Association guidelines.

Results: Thirty-five patients (17.3%) had inhalational burns out of 202 patients (63.4% male, 57.4% Chinese population). The average age was 43 ± 16.7 years (range 16–86), and percentage of total body surface area (%TBSA) was 12.1 ± 18.0 (range 0–88). In patients with inhalational injury, age was 38.9 ± 17.2 years and %TBSA was 30.3 ± 32.3. In patients without inhalational injury, age was 44.1 ± 12.8 years and %TBSA was 8.3 ± 9.59. Compared to patients with cutaneous injury alone, patients with inhalational burns had more surgeries (3 ± 7.07 vs 1 ± 1.54, \( p = 0.003 \)), increased length of stay (21 days vs 8 days, \( p = 0.004 \)) and higher in-hospital mortality rate (17.1% vs 0.6%, \( p < 0.001 \)). Incidence of ARDS and AKI was 48.6% and 37.1%, respectively, compared to 0.6% and 1.2% in the patients without inhalational injury (\( p < 0.001 \)). Patients with inhalational injury had increased incidence of bacteraemia (31.4% vs 2.4%, \( p < 0.001 \)) and burn wound infection (51.4% vs 25.1%, \( p = 0.004 \)). Inhalational injury predicted AKI with an adjusted odds ratio (OR) of 17.43 (95% confidence interval (CI) 3.07–98.87, \( p < 0.001 \)); ARDS, OR = 106.71 (95% CI 12.73–894.53, \( p < 0.001 \)) and pneumonia, OR = 13.87 (95% CI 2.32–82.94, \( p = 0.004 \)). Acinetobacter baumannii was the most frequently cultured bacteria in sputum, blood and tissue cultures with inhalational injury. Gram-negative bacteria were predominantly cultured from tissue in patients with inhalational injury, whereas gram-positive bacteria were predominantly cultured from tissue in patients without inhalational injury.

Conclusions: Inhalational injury accompanying burns significantly increases the length of stay, mortality and complications including AKI, ARDS, infection and sepsis.
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Background
The importance of inhalational injury as a predictor of mortality is widely recognised [1–4]. Few prospective studies, especially in a tropical burns setting, compared clinical outcomes in patients with inhalational burns and those with cutaneous burns only [5]. This study aims to analyse the effect of inhalational injury on inpatient mortality, length of stay and complications.

Methods
A prospective observational study was done on consecutive admissions to Burn Department, Singapore General Hospital from January 2015 to March 2016. Patients were excluded if they are deceased within 24 h of admission or transferred (Fig. 1). Data was collected on our Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) database. The study was approved by the Singapore General Hospital Institutional Review Board.

In-hospital mortality, number of surgeries, length of stay and percentage of total body surface area (%TBSA) were recorded. Complications analysed were acute kidney injury (AKI), acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), pneumonia and culture-positive burns wound infection and bacteremia.

Statistical analysis
Data was analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics with institutional statistician’s help. $\chi^2$ and Mann-Whitney $U$ tests were used. Binary logistic regression was applied to determine if inhalational injury was an independent predictor of complications, removing effect of %TBSA and age. $p < 0.05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant result. Dependent variables were the outcome/complication, e.g., AKI. Covariates were inhalational injury, age and %TBSA.

Inhalational injury
Inhalational injury was diagnosed by attending doctors in patients with history of smoke/fumes inhalation/fires in an enclosed space and three or more symptoms or signs and one positive finding on nasoendoscopy (Table 1).

Bronchoscopy was performed only in patients with mucus plugging and was not part of diagnostic criteria. Patients referred from overseas with prior diagnosis were included.

Treatment principles
Patients were treated according to Advanced Burn Life Support (ABLS) [6] principles and modified Parkland’s regime (2–3 ml/kg/TBSA). In particular, if there was inhalational injury based on clinical findings or nasoendoscopy, patients with inhalational burns were monitored with hourly oxygen saturations and given a heparinised saline nebuliser (50 U heparin in 5 ml normal saline). Patients were intubated if findings on nasoendoscopy

---

**Fig. 1** Patient recruitment flowchart

| Included N=202 | Excluded* N=9 |
|---------------|--------------|
| 167 (Non-inhalational) | 35 (Inhalational) |

+ January 2015-March 2016 inpatient admission to Burn Department Singapore General Hospital

*Patients who passed away within 24 hours from presentation to the ED and patients who were transferred to another hospital before completing treatment
Suggested severe airway injury with airway or vocal cord edema and if they had stridor or had an inappropriate PaO2/FiO2 (P/F) ratio. Other factors taken into account include age—patients more than 60 years old with risk factors for poor cough reflex were intubated.

Ventilation was interleaved between conventional ventilation and airway pressure release ventilation (APRV) depending on patient response. Tidal volume was 6–8 ml/kg and positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) was titrated to P/F ratio as in the ARDSNet study/protocol [7]. Prone positioning and neuromuscular paralysis are weak recommendations in the Surviving Sepsis Guidelines [8] and also not practical (in cases of facial edema, mechanical ventilation.

Indications for antibiotic therapy was for prophylaxis for all major burns > 20%, infected burns and 5 days post graft or biobrane. Intravenous cefazolin is the usual first line.

Surgical treatment strategy was for early biobrane (epi-dermal skin dressing) or excision within 48 h. Biobrane as the first surgery has dramatically reduced the need for subsequent excision in partial thickness burns by reducing burns conversion and providing ‘coverage’. Subsequent surgeries were for further excision and grafting if burns conversions, infection or biobrane non-take occurred.

**Non-infective complications**

Berlin definition for ARDS was used [12]. Arterial blood gas was obtained in patients with inhalational injury, respiratory distress or abnormal chest radiographs. AKI was defined as ≥ acute kidney injury network (AKIN) classification stage 2 [13].

**Infective complications**

The American Burns Association recommendations for diagnosis of infectious complications in patients with thermal trauma were followed [14]. In patients with clinical suspicion of pneumonia/infection, appropriate investigations were ordered and bacteria cultures were recorded.

Sputum cultures in patients with pneumonia were obtained via induced sputum with nebulised saline. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) in patients with bronchoscopy was done in patients with desaturations refractory to mechanical ventilation.

**Results**

Characteristics of patients included in this study were listed in Table 2. The most common mechanisms of injury were fire and scalds. Thirty-five (17.3%) patients had inhalational burns out of 202 patients (63.4% male, 57.4% Chinese population). The average age was 43 ± 16.7 years (range 16–86), and %TBSA was 12.1 ± 18.0 (range 0–88). In patients with inhalational injury, age was 38.9 ± 17.2 years and %TBSA was 30.3 ± 32.3. In patients without inhalational injury, age was 44.1 ± 12.8 years and %TBSA was 8.3 ± 9.59.

Compared to patients with cutaneous injury alone, patients with inhalational burns had more surgeries (3 ± 7.07 vs 1 ± 1.54, p = 0.003), increased length of stay (21 days vs 8 days, p = 0.004) and higher in-hospital mortality rate (17.1% vs 0.6%, p < 0.001) (Table 3).

Incidence of ARDS and AKI was 48.6% and 37.1%, respectively, compared to 0.6% and 1.2% in the patients without inhalational injury (p < 0.001). Patients with inhalational injury had increased incidence of bacteraemia (31.4% vs 2.4%, p < 0.001), pneumonia (37.1% vs 1.2%, p < 0.001) and burn wound infection (51.4% vs 25.1%, p = 0.004). Inhalational injury predicted AKI with an adjusted odds ratio (OR) of 17.43 (95% confidence interval (CI) 3.07–98.87, p < 0.001); ARDS, OR of 106.71 (95% CI 12.73–894.53, p < 0.001) and pneumonia, OR of 13.87 (95% CI 2.32–82.94, p = 0.004) (Table 4).

Patients with inhalational burns had a higher %TBSA. Seventeen out of 35 patients fitted the Berlin criteria (P/F ratio < 300 and within 7 days). This is reflected in Table 4 (lowest P/F ratio < 300). Within the group of PIB, those with P/F ratios < 300 in the first week had a higher in-hospital mortality (29.4%) compared to those with P/F ratios > 300 in the first week (5.56%) (p = 0.08). We could not get statistical significance when adjusted for %TBSA and for both age and %TBSA (Table 5).

**Bacteriology**

In patients with infective complications, cultures were taken and the number of positive cultures for a microbe was expressed as a percentage of all positive cultures (Fig. 2).

In patients with inhalational injury, Acinetobacter baumannii (A. baumannii) was the most frequently cultured in sputum, tissue and blood cultures.

Pneumonia was most frequently caused by A. bauman-nii followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa) and Klebsiella pneumoniae (K. pneumoniae).
### Table 2 Patient characteristics included in the study

| Demographics | All patients n (%) | Patients with inhalational injury n (%) | Patients without inhalational injury n (%) | p value, U |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------|
| Number       | 202 (100)          | 35 (17.3)                               | 167 (82.7)                               |            |
| Age (years)  |                    |                                         |                                          |            |
| Mean (SD)    | 43.1 (16.7)        | 38.8 (17.25)                            | 44.1 (12.78)                             |            |
| Range        | 16–86              | 18–69                                   | 16–86                                    |            |
| Median (IQR) | 42 (29–56)         | 43(29–58)                               | 35 (30–48)                               | 0.176, U = 2451 |
| %TBSA, median (IQR) | 5 (2.38–13.0)   | 5 (2.5–12)                              | 9 (0–66)                                 | 0.036, U = 3582 |
| Facial burns | 72 (35.6)          | 18 (51.4)                                | 54 (32.3)                                | 0.032      |
| Upper body burns | 162 (80.2)     | 21 (60.0)                                | 141 (84.5)                               | 0.001      |
| Sex          |                    |                                         |                                          |            |
| Male         | 128 (63.4)         | 26 (74.2)                                | 102 (61.1)                               |            |
| Female       | 74 (36.6)          | 9 (25.7)                                 | 65 (38.9)                                |            |
| Race         |                    |                                         |                                          |            |
| Chinese      | 116 (57.4)         | 15 (42.8)                                | 101(60.5)                                |            |
| Indian       | 19 (9.4)           | 3 (8.6)                                  | 16 (9.6)                                 |            |
| Malay        | 22 (10.9)          | 4 (11.4)                                 | 18 (10.8)                                |            |
| Others       | 45 (22.2)          | 13 (37.1)                                | 32 (19.2)                                |            |

SD standard deviation, IQR interquartile range, %TBSA percentage of total body surface area

### Table 3 Clinical outcomes, complications and mortality of the burn patients

|                                      | Non-inhalational burns n = 167 | Inhalational burns n = 35 | p value, U |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Number of surgery sessions, median (IQR) | 1 (1–1)                  | 3 (0–10)                  | 0.003, U = 3552 |
| Length of stay (days), median (IQR)   | 8 (5–12)                  | 21 (4–43)                 | 0.004, U = 3582 |
| Average length of stay/%TBSA (sum of length of stay/sum of %TBSA) | 1.307                    | 0.976                     | NA         |
| Length of ICU stay (days), median (IQR)  | 0 (0–0)                  | 3 (0–10)                  | < 0.001, U = 4785 |
| Average length of ICU stay/%TBSA (sum of length of ICU stay/sum of %TBSA) | 0.020                    | 0.313                     | NA         |
| Days on IV antibiotics, median (IQR)  | 3 (1–6)                  | 9.5 (0–28.25)             | 0.014, U = 3552 |
| Average days on IV antibiotics/%TBSA (Sum of days of IV antibiotics/sum of %TBSA) | 0.580                 | 0.474                     | NA         |
| Days of mechanical ventilation, median (IQR) | 0 (0–0)                  | 2 (0–10)                  | < 0.001    |
| Initial P/F ratio, median (IQR)        | 532.6 (316.8–678.9)       | 370.4 (291.8–554.2)       | 0.191      |
| Lowest P/F ratio in 2 days from admission, median (IQR) | 518.5 (372.0–689.5)    | 253.6 (202.7–408.4)       | 0.026      |
| Lowest P/F ratio in 7 days from admission, median (IQR) | 518.5 (372.0–689.5)   | 246.8 (181.5–389.4)       | 0.018      |
| Admission to HD, n (%)                 | 46 (27.5)                 | 31(18.6)                  | < 0.001    |
| Admission to ICU, n (%)                | 10 (6.0)                  | 22 (62.9)                 | < 0.001    |
| Intubation, n (%)                      | 4 (2.4)                   | 22 (62.8)                 | < 0.001    |
| Mortality, n (%)                       | 1 (0.6)                   | 6 (17.1)                  | < 0.001    |
| AKI, n (%)                             | 2 (1.2)                   | 13 (37.1)                 | < 0.001    |
| ARDS, n (%)                            | 1 (0.6)                   | 17 (48.6)                 | < 0.001    |
| Bacteraemia, n (%)                     | 4 (2.4)                   | 11 (31.4)                 | < 0.001    |
| Pneumonia, n (%)                       | 2 (1.2)                   | 13 (37.1)                 | < 0.001    |
| Burn wound infection, n (%)            | 42 (25.1)                 | 18 (51.4)                 | 0.004      |

%TBSA percentage of total body surface area, ARDS acute respiratory distress syndrome, AKI acute kidney injury, IV intravenous, ICU intensive care unit, NA not applicable, HD high dependency unit, IQR interquartile range, P/F PaO2 /FiO2
### Table 4 Adjusted odds ratios (OR) of getting poorer clinical outcomes compared to the median, adjusted for age and %TBSA (except age—adjusted for %TBSA, %TBSA—adjusted for age only)

|                          | Non-inhalational burns n (%) | Inhalational burns n (%) | p value | Adjusted OR | 95% CI for OR | p value |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| %TBSA > median, 5        | 92 (55.1%)                   | 24 (68.6%)               | 0.142   | 1.66        | 0.76–3.64     | 0.204   |
| Age > median, 42 (years) | 88 (52.7%)                   | 14 (40.0%)               | 0.172   | 0.71        | 0.31–1.62     | 0.414   |
| Number of surgery sessions > median, 1 | 131 (78.4%) | 23 (65.7%) | 0.108 | 0.21 | 0.07–0.62 | 0.004 |
| Length of stay > median, 8.5 (days) | 79 (47.3%) | 22 (62.9%) | 0.094 | 1.12 | 0.47–2.67 | 0.797 |
| Days on IV antibiotics > median, 4 | 83 (49.7%) | 22 (62.9%) | 0.157 | 0.86 | 0.36–2.11 | 0.749 |
| Days of mechanical ventilation > median, 2.5 | 3 (1.8%) | 15 (42.9%) | < 0.001 | 18.38 | 2.97–113.68 | 0.002 |
| Initial P/F ratio < median, 394.02 | 3 (1.8%) | 14 (40.0%) | < 0.001 | 44.85 | 9.10–221.13 | < 0.001 |
| Lowest P/F ratio < median, 343.88 | 1 (0.6%) | 15 (42.9%) | < 0.001 | 140.58 | 13.14–1504.25 | < 0.001 |
| Lowest P/F ratio < 300 | 1 (0.6%) | 17 (48.6%) | < 0.001 | 480.70 | 28.47–8115.89 | < 0.001 |
| Mortality                | 1 (0.6%)                    | 6 (17.1%)                | < 0.001 | 0.604 | 0.01–44.53 | 0.818 |

*Odds ratio adjusted for %TBSA and age
%TBSA percentage of total body surface area, CI confidence interval, P/F PaO2 /FiO2, IV intravenous

### Table 5 Adjusted odds ratios of complications in patients with inhalational injury using logistic regression analysis

|                          | Inhalational injury | Inhalational injury, adjusted for %TBSA | Inhalational injury, adjusted for %TBSA and age (except %TBSA, adjusted for age only) |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                          | OR 95% CI p value   | Adjusted OR 95% CI p value             | Adjusted OR 95% CI p value                                                      |
| %TBSA > median, 5        | 1.78 0.82–3.87 0.146 | – –                                   | – 1.66 0.76–3.64 0.204                                                          |
| Age > median, 42 (years) | 0.60 0.29–1.26 0.175 | 0.71 0.31–1.62 0.414                   | – –                                                                               |
| All complications        | 8.33 3.62–19.17 < 0.001 | 5.31 2.17–12.98 < 0.001 | 6.27 2.49–15.77 < 0.001                                                          |
| Intubation               | 68.96 20.65–230.3 < 0.001 | 67.19 12.47–361.89 < 0.001 | 86.99 14.22–544.43 < 0.001                                                        |
| Pneumonia                | 48.75 10.30–230.55 < 0.001 | 13.87 2.32–82.94 0.004 | 35.51 3.90–323.07 0.002                                                          |
| ARDS                     | 156.78 19.69–1248.17 < 0.001 | 106.71 12.73–894.53 < 0.001 | 480.70 28.47–8115.89 < 0.001                                                      |
| AKI                      | 48.75 10.31–230.55 < 0.001 | 17.43 3.07–98.87 < 0.001 | 31.71 4.38–229.7 0.001                                                           |
| Bacteraemia              | 18.68 5.30–63.39 < 0.001 | 2.39 0.38–15.04 NS                  | 2.56 0.40–16.57 NS                                                              |
| Burn wound infection     | 3.15 1.49–6.67 0.003 | 1.28 0.49–3.35 NS                   | 1.35 0.52–3.54 NS                                                              |
| Mortality                | 34.35 3.99–295.86 0.001 | 0.38 0.01–11.29 NS                  | 0.60 0.01–44.53 NS                                                             |
| HD admission             | 20.39 6.82–60.95 < 0.001 | 15.70 4.87–50.62 < 0.001 | 15.55 4.82–50.20 < 0.001                                                         |
| ICU admission            | 26.57 10.41–67.90 < 0.001 | 16.26 5.23–50.57 < 0.001 | 16.63 5.28–52.34 < 0.001                                                         |
| Number of surgery sessions > median, 1 | 0.53 0.24–1.16 0.111 | 0.22 0.08–0.64 0.005 | 0.21 0.07–0.62 0.004                                                             |
| Length of stay > median, 8.5 | 1.89 0.89–3.99 0.098 | 1.05 0.44–2.47 0.915 | 1.12 0.47–2.67 0.797                                                             |
| Days on IV antibiotics > median, 4 | 1.71 0.81–3.63 0.160 | 0.84 0.35–2.04 0.699 | 0.86 0.36–2.11 0.749                                                             |
| Days of mechanical ventilation > median 2.5 | 41.00 10.91–154.04 < 0.001 | 11.94 2.34–60.8 0.003 | 18.38 2.97–113.68 0.002                                                          |
| Initial P/F ratio < median 394.02 | 36.45 9.67–137.40 < 0.001 | 28.89 7.02–118.89 < 0.001 | 44.85 9.10–221.13 < 0.001                                                        |
| Lowest P/F ratio in 2 days < median, 343.88 | 124.50 15.61–993.29 < 0.001 | 78.88 9.28–670.76 < 0.001 | 140.58 13.14–1504.25 < 0.001                                                      |
| Lowest P/F ratio in 1 week < 300 | 156.78 19.69–1248.17 < 0.001 | 106.72 12.73–894.54 < 0.001 | 480.70 28.47–8115.89 < 0.001                                                      |

OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, NS non-significant, ARDS acute respiratory distress syndrome, AKI acute kidney injury, IV intravenous, RF respiratory failure, ICU intensive care unit, HD high dependency unit, %TBSA percentage of total body surface area, SD standard deviation, CI confidence interval, P/F PaO2 /FiO2
These three species were also the most common in the patients with bacteraemia together with Candida tropicalis, Enterobacter and Enterococcus faecium. In tissue cultures, A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa were most common followed by Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus). A. baumannii was less common in patients without inhalational injury.

In patients without inhalational injury, burn wound infections were most commonly due to S. aureus followed by P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii. In those with inhalational injury, A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa were more common.

Discussion
Burn management has improved over the past decades with advances in fluid resuscitation, nutritional support, pulmonary care and infection control practices. This includes improvements in wound care/dressing, such as our previously described enhanced total body wrap technique using negative pressure wound therapy, which protects the wound from micro-organisms and provides an efficient channel to clear excessive exudate while keeping the wounds moist [15]. This reduces frequency of dressing changes and lowers infection rates through prevention of strikethrough [15]. Of note, incidence of burn wound infections in our centre have been reducing over the years, from 50.2% in a 2003–2005 study [5] to 29.7% in our study. Currently, infectious and respiratory complications remain a leading cause of morbidity. In burns cases with %TBSA of 40–75%, almost all deaths are due to sepsis from infectious complications and/or inhalation injury [16].

It is well-reported that inhalational injury results in longer stays and higher mortality which results in significant economic burden. In the current study, we prospectively followed patients in a burn unit and quantified the impact of inhalational injury on clinical outcomes and complications. All patients were managed uniformly using our institution’s burns protocol.

Mortality
Our study found that presence of inhalational injury was associated with increased mortality. The overall in-hospital mortality was 3.5%. In-hospital mortality in patients with inhalational injury was 17.1%, compared to those without inhalational injury of 0.6%.

The benchmark inhalational mortality is high and ranges from 9.5 to 46.6% internationally. Typically, patients with respiratory failure from inhalational burns have up to 50% risk of mortality. Our mortality rate of 17.1% (6 patients) was likely due to concomitant respiratory failure, large %TBSA burns (> 40%) and accompanying renal failure, accounting for their high mortality rate. The 6 patients were 23–48 years old, typically with severe Endorf-Gameli type 3 or 4 bronchoscopy findings with delayed resuscitation and debridement (72 h). The crux in further reduction of inhalational mortality we believe lies in early aggressive burns resuscitation, coverage and aggressive intensive care unit (ICU) respiratory care to modulate the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) inflammatory response.

Statistical significance was not reached for mortality when adjusting for %TBSA, possibly due to the low mortality in the group without inhalational injury.

Inhalational injury has been shown to be a significant predictor of mortality in various multivariable retrospective studies on the prognostic factors in burn patients (Table 6).

Shirani et al. estimated that the burn-related death rate is 20% higher in patients with combined inhalation injury and cutaneous burns than in those with cutaneous burns alone [17]. In a 2014 Taiwanese study, 21,791 burns patients from 44 hospitals were retrospectively reviewed. The overall mortality rate was 2.1%, and inhalation injuries were found in 7.9% of the patients. The mortality rate of inhalation and non-inhalation injury group was 17.9%.
### Table 6: Retrospective studies on inhalational injury, mortality, length of stay and other clinical outcomes

| Study Location | Study Type | Sample Size | PIB (%) | Age (years) (mean/median) | %TBSA (mean/median) | Mortality (%) | Length of stay (days) | Other Outcomes |
|----------------|------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| SGH Shrinai 1987 | Prospective | 202 | 17.3 | Overall: 42 | Overall: 3.5 | PIB: 21 | ARDS, AKI infection, IV antibiotics, ICU days |
| Hollingsed 1993 | Retrospective single centre | 1058 | 5.7 | PCB: 27 Abnormal xenography: 37 | Overall: 22.7 | - | - |
| Smith 1994 | Retrospective single centre | 529 | 196 | PIB: 20.2 RF: 36.6 | Overall: 6.2 | PIB - without RF: 0 | PIB: 38% PCB: 8.8% |
| Suzuky 2005 | Retrospective single centre | 1447 | 304 | Overall: 30 | Overall: 9.5 | PIB: 31 PCB: 4.3 | ARDS PIB: 20% PCB: 2% |
| E-Helbawy 2011 | Retrospective multicentre | 5560 | 46.3 | Overall: 40.1 (3 months-93 years) | Overall: 15.8 | PIB: 33.6 PCB: 8.1 | - |
| Chen 2014 | Retrospective multicentre | 281 | 7.9 | Overall: 40.1 (26.2 RF: 36.2 (27.4) | Overall: 23.1 | PIB: 41.5 PCB: 7.2 | - |
| Anand 2015 | Retrospective multicentre | 21,791 | 347 | Overall: 30.9 (22.6) | Overall 9.5 | PIB: 4x increase in mortality vs PCB | - |

Note: PIB patients with inhalational burns, PCB patients with only cutaneous burns, ARDS acute respiratory distress syndrome, AKI acute kidney injury, IV intravenous, RF respiratory failure, ICU intensive care unit, %TBSA percentage of total body surface area, SD standard deviation.
and 0.7%, respectively, similar to our results [1]. In Suzuki et al.’s 17-year study, the mortality rate was 15.8% and multivariable analysis revealed inhalational injury to be the most important predictor of death [2]. In Smith et al’s study, inhalational injury was a significant predictor for mortality, but the most important predictor of mortality was still %TBSA [18].

As in Table 6, the mortality ranges from 17% to 46.6% in inhalational burns. The reasons for high mortality in inhalational burns despite low %TBSA cutaneous burns is because of associated pneumonia, SIRS, sepsis and associated organ failure [1–3, 17].

ARDS
Patients with inhalational injury had >100 times increase in developing ARDS. Incidence of ARDS in patients with inhalational injury was 48.6%, compared to 0.6% in the other group. A 4-year review of 529 burns patients reported a 20% incidence of ARDS, compared to 2% in patients without inhalational injury (p < 0.001) [19].

During inhalational injury, the inflammatory response forms airway casts and cause V/Q mismatch, pulmonary edema and cell death, promoting airway occlusion and pulmonary dysfunction [1]. In patients with large cutaneous burns, capillary hyper-permeability occurs not only at the injured site, but also in regions distant from the injury [20, 21] resulting in worsening of pulmonary edema. This systemic response is more severe when the thermal injury is associated with smoke inhalation [22].

AKI
Inhalational injury was also a predictor of AKI. In Coca’s retrospective study, inhalational injury was an independent predictor of AKI (OR = 3.6 (95% CI 1.76–7.34), p < 0.001) [23]. Other significant factors in multivariable analysis were catheter infections and sepsis. Both inhalational injury and AKI were independent predictors of mortality.

Pneumonia
In this study, inhalational injury was an independent risk factor for pneumonia. It was associated with 13 times higher likelihood of pneumonia, independent of %TBSA. Shirani et al.’s hallmark study quantitated that both inhalational injury and pneumonia have contributions to mortality that are independent and additive [17]. In that study, expected mortality increased by a maximum of 20% in the presence of inhalation injury alone, 40% in the presence of pneumonia alone and 60% when both inhalation injury and pneumonia were present.

Length of stay
After adjusting for %TBSA (Table 3), ICU stay and length of stay was longer for inhalational burns due to respiratory complications. Days of intravenous (IV) antibiotics were comparable. This is a result of more operative sessions, complications and longer duration of IV antibiotics. We postulate the increase in operative sessions to be due to increased wound infections requiring debridement and wound conversion due to systemic sepsis, cytokine alteration and inflammatory response. A study using the National Inpatient Sample database of 506,628 admissions found inhalational injury was a factor for length of stay (OR = 2.01 (95% CI 1.84–2.21), p < 0.001) [3].

Bacteriology
Patients with inhalational burns were infected with gram-negative organisms, common agents for invasive infection. The prevalence of A. baumannii in our tropical burns unit has been previously reported [5, 24]. A. baumannii is endemic to the hospital because of the tropical climates and the particular high survivability of Acinetobacter even in adverse conditions [24] The most common agents were A. baumannii followed by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) then P. aeruginosa [24]. Interestingly, A. baumannii was the most common aetiologic agent in our patients with inhalational burns, but not in patients without inhalational burns.

Patients with burns are exposed to infections from loss of the skin barrier. In patients with inhalational burns, the respiratory tract is also damaged. The longer length of stay in hospital and duration of IV antibiotics may account for prevalence of resistant gram-negative nosocomial infections in inhalational burns patients. In an Indian study, gram-positive organisms were predominantly cultured during the first week of admission whereas gram-negative organisms with increasing levels of resistance were common from the second week onwards [16]. In patients with major burns, we may occasionally find multi-resistance organisms developing at 1–2 weeks of stay, which may be caused by antibiotic use.

Limitations
The generalizability of our results may be limited by the lack of bronchoscopy as an objective criterion for inhalational injury and different grades of severity. Our criterion for inhalational injury was a clinical diagnosis without taking into account bronchoscopy—a widely used technique [25–28] for diagnosing inhalational injury based on presence of hyperaemia, edema and soot [25]. A clinical criterion is readily applied in triage for quick stratification into patients with inhalational injury at high risks of the complications analysed in our paper. However, this diagnoses inhalational injury as being either present/absent instead of providing a scale of injury severity based on bronchoscopy proven lower tract injury. There is a lack of standard consensus in various clinical criteria, making inter-centre data comparisons difficult. Another limitation is that of inter-observer bias and reliability in each of the clinical
criteria. We have optimised the variability by ensuring final diagnostic assessments are by attendings.

Bronchoscopy is an objective means of diagnosing and grading inhalational injury—with several grading systems proposed. This can potentially allow inter-centre comparisons should a standardised and validated grading system be accepted [25]. However, if bronchoscopy were to be done for diagnostic purposes in all patients in our study, some patients would be subjected to a bronchoscopy not otherwise indicated for diagnostic/therapeutic purposes. In patients with unlikely lower airway injury, it may not be necessary to pass the endoscope below the true vocal folds for negative diagnosis.

Bronchoscopy may be uncomfortable for the patient and requires careful anaesthesia of the larynx to avoid obstruction due to laryngospasm in a potentially inflamed airway. Furthermore, some institutions may not have the equipment or expertise for bronchoscopy. Fiberoptic laryngoscopy is better tolerated by the patients, requires minimal medication, and is often more readily available [26]. One study has addressed this with an objective decision tree that can be readily replicated in different centres, including bi-level endoscopic examination of the oro-rhino-pharynx and tracheobronchial tree and also clinical criteria [25]. Studies have discussed using bronchoscopy to evaluate severity of inhalational burns [27, 28]. Several scales of severity have been proposed; these have not been able to predict clinical outcomes [25, 27].

Further bacteriology studies can include analysis of time from injury to the date of culture for bacteriology and resistance of bacteria cultured.

**Conclusion**

Inhalational injury is associated with increased length of stay, morbidity and complications such as ARDS, AKI, pneumonia and infection. Mortality is higher (29.4% to 5.6%) if P/F is < 300. Counselling patients and their family regarding the associated morbidity is essential. Statistical significance was not reached when adjusted for %TBSA and age. Larger sample sizes are needed. Inhalational burn care is an important step towards advancing burn management, given its association with morbidity. Bronchoscopy classification is also encouraged as this was lacking in this study. This requires early recognition of inhalational burns, early lung recruitment protocol, early intubation, early ICU care, earlier lavage and earlier burns debridement to reduce the SIRS response.
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