Amorphous silicon under mechanical shear deformations: Shear velocity and temperature effects
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Mechanical shear deformations lead, in some cases, to effects similar to those resulting from ion irradiation. Here we characterize the effects of shear velocity and temperature on amorphous silicon (α-Si) modeled using classical molecular-dynamics simulations based on the empirical environment-dependent interatomic potential (EDIP). With increasing shear velocity at low temperature, we find a systematic increase in the internal strain leading to the rapid appearance of structural defects (fivefold-coordinated atoms). The impacts of externally applied strain can be almost fully compensated by increasing the temperature, allowing the system to respond more rapidly to the deformation. In particular, we find opposite power-law relations between the temperature and the shear velocity and the deformation energy. The spatial distribution of defects is also found to depend strongly on temperature and strain velocity. For low temperature or high shear velocity, defects are concentrated in a few atomic layers near the center of the cell, while with increasing temperature or decreasing shear velocity, they spread slowly throughout the full simulation cell. This complex behavior can be related to the structure of the energy landscape and the existence of a continuous energy-barrier distribution.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The structural and dynamical properties of amorphous silicon (α-Si) have been extensively investigated using classical force fields,1–12 tight-binding,15–18 and ab-initio simulations.13,14,19 Much attention, in particular, has been devoted to the characterization of defects.12,20–31 In spite of these efforts, the nature and role of defects in disordered materials is not fully understood and numerous questions remain, especially with regard to relaxation. For example, the usual definition of defects—such as vacancies and interstitials—cannot be directly applied to disordered or amorphous materials: while threefold- and fivefold-coordinated atoms are often taken as defect centers in α-Si, other medium-range defects, such as strings of short or long bonds, might also have to be considered, as shown recently by Drabold and collaborators.32,33

We study here the role of defects with respect to relaxation by examining the response of α-Si to plastic deformations. In crystalline materials, where the phenomenon is well understood, plasticity is attributed to defect nucleation or dislocation motion.34–43 In disordered materials, the response is harder to define structurally, as demonstrated by a number of studies in systems ranging from metallic44 and polymeric45 glasses to granular materials46 and colloids.47 Helder et al.,48 however, have shown that, during irradiation with high-energy heavy ions, α-Si deforms plastically in the same manner as conventional glasses, i.e., defects, irradiation, and plasticity are directly related in amorphous materials. It is this still imperfectly understood relation that motivates the work presented here.

Following initial suggestions by Argon,49 it has been proposed recently that plasticity is caused by collections of shear transformation zones that operate as localized centers for the deformations.50–53 In α-Si, these plastic deformations are attributed to the presence of liquidlike particles52,53 associated with fivefold-coordinated atoms. To verify these ideas, elastic and plastic deformations in α-Si were investigated by Talati et al.,54 using classical molecular-dynamics (MD) simulations based on the Stillinger-Weber7 and the Tersoff8 potentials; it was concluded that, even though the general behavior of the stress-strain curves associated with elastic and plastic deformations is similar to that for other disordered materials, details as to the nature of the defect responsible for plasticity depend on the particular potential used.

We revisit this question here using classical MD simulations and the environment-dependent interatomic potential (EDIP).5,6 Various points defects and their effects on elastic constants have been characterized by Allred et al.,55 using the EDIP potential.5,6 These authors have shown that the elastic constants vary in a roughly linear fashion with defect concentration up to ~3%, in line with experiment, suggesting that the EDIP is suitable for investigating the elastic and plastic deformations in α-Si. Our simulations were performed using the classical MD package (LAMMPS).36

Most previous studies of elastic and plastic deformations in amorphous materials have been performed at 0 K or at low temperature, with a focus on the disordering process. Here we examine the situation in α-Si by investigating the system’s response to variations in shear velocity and temperature, as both parameters contribute, in their own way, to forcing the system to overcome energy barriers and explore the potential-energy surface; as mentioned previously, structural changes are strongly correlated with imposed strain.54,55

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we describe the details of our simulation model. In Sec. III, we present the results obtained by applying mechanical shear deformations at different shear velocities and temperatures. In Sec. IV, we present the structural analysis as a function of shear velocity. After a discussion (Sec. V) of our results, we will give our main conclusions (Sec. VI).

II. COMPUTER SIMULATION MODEL

Classical MD simulations have limitations, but they are unavoidable to simulate systems large enough to limit the
impact of size effects. For the problem at hand, charges and electronic effects should not play a direct role, and therefore a description in terms of suitable classical interactions is appropriate.

The EDIP functional form involves a two-body radial term for bond-stretching interactions and a three-body angular term for bond-bending interactions, and each of these depends strongly on an effective coordination number Z. We have chosen this potential for its ability to reproduce a wide range of zero-temperature properties of Si, including elastic constants, bulk crystal structures, and point defects—in particular, EDIP describes accurately the vacancy formation energy. This potential has been used to study the ion-beam induced amorphization of crystalline silicon and the crystallization of a-Si starting from amorphous-crystalline interfaces.

The melting temperature of crystalline silicon obtained using EDIP is 1500 K, and it is 1200 K for a-Si. In both cases, this is roughly 200 K below experimental values (1685 and 1420 K, respectively). Both phases are therefore stable or, at least, metastable in the temperature range investigated here.

A. Sample preparation

Experimentally, a-Si can be obtained by laser-melting and quenching, by chemical vapor deposition, or by ion irradiation. Numerically, our models of a-Si are generated using the modified Wooten-Winer-Weaire (WWW) bond-switching algorithm, which can produce perfect fourfold-coordinated random networks in good structural and electronic agreement with experiments. A 1000-atom a-Si cell was first constructed, then duplicated to obtain a cubic box containing 8000 atoms. The latter was then annealed in NPT (constant number of particles N, constant pressure P, and constant temperature T) with periodic boundary conditions over 150 ns at 300 K so as to obtain a well-relaxed model of amorphous silicon. The final structure was found to contain less than 3% of defects (mostly fivefold-coordinated atoms), the rest being perfectly fourfold-coordinated, in agreement with the best finite-temperature models available in the literature.

B. Procedure for mechanical shear deformations

The mechanical shear deformations on the 8000-atom a-Si model are introduced as follows: the cell is first equilibrated (at the target temperature—see below) with periodic boundary conditions in all three directions, for at least 5 ns. Three different regions are then defined, as shown in Fig. 1: an upper and a lower “wall,” each containing 1000 atoms, and a region of mobile or active particles; periodic boundary conditions are now imposed only in the lateral (x and z) directions, while surface atoms are fixed in the y direction. This procedure is similar to that used by Mokshin et al. on single-component Lennard-Jones amorphous systems. The thickness of the walls, about 6 Å, is larger than the EDIP cutoff, thus ensuring that all particles within the active region (~40-Å-thick) share the same physics.

The mechanical shear deformations are generated by moving the walls at fixed shear velocity (shear rate) v_s along the shear direction (x). The shear velocity (v_s) measures the speed of the deformation while the strain rate (˙ε) gives the change in strain with respect to time and it corresponds to the shear velocity divided by the distance between the walls. In practice, the lower-wall position is fixed and only the particles in the upper wall are moved by imposing a constant displacement v_s × h at every time step h (1 fs)—the wall particles are otherwise frozen in place. The amorphous nature of the walls ensures that crystal growth, if it occurs, is not induced by the boundaries, as in the case of crystallization studies using amorphous-crystalline interfaces. Note that the cell is aged during 1 ns before applying the deformations to ensure proper relaxation after releasing the periodic boundary conditions along y.

The equations of motion for mobile particles are integrated using the velocity Verlet algorithm. Since an additional force is imposed in the shear direction (x), only the components in the “neutral” y and z directions are considered for computing and rescaling the velocities to constant temperature during the deformation process. The pressure at time t is computed from instantaneous atomic positions \( \tau_i(t), i = 1, N \) and forces acting on the particles \( \vec{F}_i(t), i = 1, N \) using \( P(t) = \frac{1}{\beta V}, N \) and \( kB T + \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i(t) \cdot \vec{F}_i(t), \) with N the number of particles, \( V \) the volume, \( T \) the temperature, and \( kB \) Boltzmann’s constant. Statistics such as potential energy and coordination number were obtained at zero shear velocity and will be used as reference for assessing the effect of the shear deformations.

The elastic and plastic deformations of materials are usually analyzed in terms of stress-strain curves, where the strain corresponds to the maximum displacement in the shear direction with respect to the distance between the two walls, which is kept constant. We prefer to characterize the deformations in terms of the potential-energy difference (PED) \( \Delta E = E_p - E_0 \), where \( E_p \) and \( E_0 \) are the potential energies of sheared and nonsheared systems; \( E_0 \) is computed before switching on the shear deformations. This analysis has the advantage of providing direct microscopic information and relates more readily to structural changes during shearing. Structural properties such
as radial distribution functions and the coordination number will also be used to determine how the properties of \(a\)-Si are affected by mechanical shear deformations.

### III. SHEAR DEFORMATION RESULTS

In this section, we examine the effects of varying the shear velocity and the temperature on the system’s structural disorder and defect concentration.

#### A. Mechanical shear deformations at 300 K

We fix the temperature at 300 K and vary \(v_s\) between \(10^{-5}\) and \(8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Å/ps}\). For all values of \(v_s\), but the smallest, simulations are run until a strain of 20% is reached; e.g., for a shear velocity of \(10^{-2} \text{ Å/ps}\), the simulation time is 0.8 ns. For the lowest shear velocity (\(v_s = 10^{-5} \text{ Å/ps}\)), because of computational limitations, we stopped at 12% strain, corresponding to a simulation time of 500 ns. In all cases, simulations are long enough for the plastic deformation threshold—defined by the onset of irreversible deformations—to be reached; this occurs in \(a\)-Si at a strain of about 10%. The exact value of this threshold depends on the shear velocity, and we found it to increase with \(v_s\), in agreement with previous results (see Ref. 57, for example).

It is well known that stress appears immediately following a shear deformation. At short times or small strain values, the stress increases almost linearly and saturates at high strain values. This constant stress corresponds to the sheared steady-state character of plastic deformations. This general behavior is common for polymeric materials, metallic glasses, colloids, and amorphous materials. The response of the system to mechanical shear deformations can be analyzed, in general, in terms of stress-strain curves. As mentioned above, we prefer to use the PED between sheared and nonsheared cells, as it provides a direct and simple description of the microscopic structural deformations that take place under shear deformations.

Figure 2 shows (a) \(\Delta E\), (b) the internal pressure as a function of strain for different shear velocities, and (c) \(\Delta E\) higher strain values using a shear velocity of \(8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Å/ps}\) (arrows indicate the direction of increasing shear velocity). Evidently, changes in the potential energy are a manifestation of structural rearrangements that have taken place with respect to the initial, unstrained model. Thus, mechanical shear—and therefore strain—increases the potential energy, i.e., the disorder, of the system (cf. also Ivashchenko et al. 57).

Changes in the potential energy as a function of shear take place over two different regimes. For small shears, a quadratic behavior is observed, associated with elastic and reversible deformations; the quadratic nature of the energy is independent of the rate at which the strain is applied, as one can see from the overlap of the curves corresponding to different shear velocities. The point at which the system crosses over to the second, high-strain regime, however, does depend on \(v_s\); the larger \(v_s\) is, the longer the elastic regime persists. This behavior is perfectly echoed in the pressure—a larger \(v_s\) allows the system to reach a much higher negative pressure before plastic deformations are forced. The potential-energy maximum also corresponds to a stress maximum in the stress-strain curves.

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) (a) Potential-energy difference and (b) pressure difference between the sheared and the nonsheared system at 300 K as a function of strain, for shear velocities in the range \(10^{-3}\) to \(8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Å/ps}\). The potential energy of the nonsheared system (\(-4.3707 \text{ eV/atom}\)) is used as reference to calculate the PED. The arrows refer to the direction of increasing shear velocities. (c) Potential-energy difference for higher strain values using a shear velocity of \(8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Å/ps}\). The inset zooms on the low-strain values.
or the yield stress (not shown). This behavior is common for elastic and plastic deformations of polymeric, metallic glasses, and amorphous materials.

The onset of plastic deformations is characterized by an overall relaxation of the system, as we observe in Fig. 2(a). The higher the yield stress (defined by the pressure, for example), the larger the relaxation; for the smallest $v_\text{f}$, 10$^{-5}$ Å/ps for example, there is very little relaxation after the plastic deformation peak is reached. We note, however, that after an initial drop, the potential energy increases again, but at a relatively slow rate. It would eventually saturate to a steady-state level, beyond the reach of our simulations, which corresponds to the plasticity regime associated with a flowing steady state, as one can see in Fig. 2(c). In this simulation, performed with a significantly faster shear velocity, the potential energy saturates within the run time, indicating that the system has reached the flowing steady state and therefore its maximum disorder. The inset of this figure shows the details for strain values up to 1.0. For all shear velocities considered here, the mean value of the potential energy in the plastic steady state is higher than its value before shear deformations are applied. In other terms, the system exhibits an irreversible plastic deformation leading to increased internal strain, as in the case of metallic and silica glasses under high-energy irradiation. As for irradiation, the mechanical shear deformations lead to the formation of new defects in $a$-Si; this will be discussed in Sec. IV B.

In the case of plastic deformations, the mean value of the steady-state potential energy increases with $v_\text{f}$, as can be seen in Fig. 2(a)—the curves for different values of $v_\text{f}$ are almost parallel, shifted to lower energies for smaller shear velocities. In all cases, we observe a drop in the potential energy after the crossover from elastic to plastic deformations. This suggests that, at this point, the system has to overcome an energy barrier to launch a relaxation cascade. The height of this barrier decreases with increasing shear, and the probability of crossing it at lower strain increases for systems under slower shear rates, explaining the observed behavior. Because of the Boltzmann factor governing the jump rate, the transition is also pushed to lower strain values with increasing temperature. For example, the minimum strain value is found to lie between ~2% for the lowest shear velocity and ~8% for the highest in our 300 K runs, while according to Talati et al., it can reach 20% at 0 K. Clearly, temperature plays an important role on the yield-stress value.

At 300 K, the PED between sheared and annealed $a$-Si is about 0.02 eV/atom for $v_\text{f} = 10^{-5}$ Å/ps and 0.08 eV/atom for $v_\text{f} = 8 \times 10^{-2}$ Å/ps: evidently, the structural changes taking place in $a$-Si are strongly correlated to the applied shear velocity. While it was not possible to apply a slower strain rate, these results suggest that the steady-state PED from the annealed state would tend to zero as the strain rate decreases. This question will be discussed in more detail in Sec. V.

The shear-induced disorder leads to the formation of higher-density regions associated with higher-coordinated, “liquidlike” particles. This would explain the increase in amplitude of the negative pressure observed in Fig. 2(b) for different shear velocities. For very small strain, the pressure decreases harmonically and reaches a minimum before settling to a steady-state value associated with the plastic-deformation regime, which is strain-independent (as in the case of the PED). For larger strain, the pressure does depend on the shear velocity: the change is 2 GPa for $v_\text{f} = 8 \times 10^{-2}$ Å/ps, while it is only 1 GPa for $v_\text{f} = 10^{-3}$ Å/ps. The plastic deformations are accompanied by a noticeable drop in the pressure amplitude. This behavior does not appear to be caused solely by the unusual phase diagram of silicon, where the liquid is denser than the solid, as it is also observed in metallic glasses and is rather due the concentration of defects observed in the plastic regime (see below).

B. Deformations at fixed shear velocity: Temperature effects

In the previous section, we saw that a higher shear velocity leads to a larger deformation energy in the plastic deformation regime at a given temperature. In this section, we discuss the role of temperature on the elastic and plastic properties of $a$-Si at fixed shear velocity. Very few such studies have been reported on disordered systems, and most studies on $a$-Si were carried out at low temperature—0 or 300 K. The effects of

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Potential-energy difference (PED) as a function of strain at fixed shear velocity $v_\text{f} = 10^{-3}$ Å/ps for temperatures (from top to bottom) 10, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, 1000, 1100, and 1200 K. For clarity, the high-temperature curves (800–1200 K) are reproduced in (b) on a finer scale.
temperature and shear velocity in amorphous polymers and Lennard-Jones glasses were investigated by Rottler et al.,73 who found that they are akin to redefining the time scale for structural modifications: these parameters modify the properties of the glassy state by altering the aging process and inducing rejuvenation. At low temperature, atomic diffusion in glassy materials is completely local and negligible. Increasing the temperature or the strain facilitates the possibility for particles to escape from the cage formed by surrounding particles, thus accelerating diffusion and relaxation.

To isolate the specific effects of temperature, we varied the latter between 10 and 1200 K at fixed shear velocity \( v_s = 10^{-3} \) Å/ps, during 8 ns for a total strain of 20%, allowing the system to age during at least 5 ns at each temperature. Figure 3(a) shows the strain dependence of the PED as a function of temperature. For temperatures up to 900 K, the low-strain harmonic regime is again observed, and this is followed by an elastic to plastic transition leading to a steady state. The steady-state PED decreases rapidly with temperature, from \( \sim 0.12 \) eV/at at 10 K to \( \sim 0.04 \) eV/at at 300 K, to less than 0.01 eV/at at 900 K. Increasing temperature is therefore similar to reducing the strain rate.

The results are different for temperatures above 900 K, on which we zoom in in Fig. 3(b): rapid, very small (\( \sim 0.01 \) eV/at) energy fluctuations, but no saturation, are observed: clear plastic deformations can no longer be defined and shear effects are completely compensated by thermal relaxation.

Interestingly, the steady-state PED curves are all nearly parallel, a behavior also observed by Rottler et al.,73 on stress-strain curves at different temperatures. At low temperatures, the potential-energy increase is essentially controlled by the shear-imposed displacements, and we observe almost no self-diffusion; at high temperature, in contrast, our results reveal a competition between the stress imposed by shear deformations and temperature-enhanced self-diffusion that favors annealing.

IV. STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS DURING SHEAR DEFORMATIONS

We discuss in this section the microscopic changes that take place under shear, focusing on the radial distribution function (RDF), \( g(r) \), that provides a global picture of structural changes, and local coordination, which we can relate to solidlike or liquidlike behavior.

A. Radial distribution functions

Figure 4(a) shows the average RDF computed for different strain rates at 300 K. To correct for boundary effects, the local, atom-specific RDF is normalized by the fraction of the surface of the sphere surrounding it, \( 4\pi r^2 \), that fits into the system. Thus, the normalization factor depends on the distance of the particle from the walls in the \( y \) direction. Because deformations in the elastic regime do not lead to permanent damage, we focus here on the plastic steady-state regime and average over the last 200 configurations at the maximum strain of 20%.

Overall, very little difference between the various RDF’s is observed: the positions of the first and second peaks are essentially unchanged and match those of the annealed model.

While the width of the first-neighbor peak increases slightly, most changes take place near the second-neighbor peak (cf. inset): as the height of this peak decreases, a new structure appears at shorter distances (\( \sim 2.8 \) Å), which develops as the shear velocity is increased. This feature is related to the appearance of fivefold-coordinated atoms, as already shown in Refs. 52 and 53: plastic deformations are associated with the presence of higher-coordination liquidlike particles, so that the coordination number increases with shear velocity, which confirms the tendency of mechanical shear deformations to increase disorder.

At fixed shear velocity (\( v_s = 10^{-3} \) Å/ps), the new structure formed by shear deformation is more pronounced at lower temperatures, as one can see from the inset to Fig. 4(b), where we present the RDF’s at different temperatures. As temperature increases, the system can more easily compensate for the applied strain, which leads to a less pronounced structure between the first and second peak, until it vanishes.
completely at high temperature, thus confirming that the action of shear diminishes as temperature increases. The fact that the amplitude of the new structure increases with shear velocity and decreases with temperature signals, again, the existence of a competition between shear velocity and temperature as to their effects on the structure.

B. Coordination number and defects fraction

We examine now the evolution of the number of coordination defects under shear, counting atoms within a cutoff of 2.8 Å which corresponds to the minimum between the first- and second-neighbor peaks in the annealed-cell RDF. We focus on fivefold-coordinated atoms since the number of other coordination defects remains very small during the whole process: the initial system contains \( \sim 97\% \) of perfectly coordinated atoms, less than 3% of fivefold-, and only 0.06% of threefold-coordinated atoms.

The evolution with strain of the population of fivefold-coordinated defects is displayed in Fig. 5 for the various shear velocities considered, at 300 K. While the behavior of these curves is similar to that of the PED and the pressure presented earlier, with well-defined elastic and plastic regimes, it differs in two notable ways: First, there is no decrease in the number of defects at the yield stress, but only a sharp flattening of the curve. This suggests that, although the concentration of defects definitely increases in the elastic regime, there is no significant structural reorganization. At the elastic to plastic transition, even though some energetic relaxation takes place at the onset of plasticity, the defects created do not anneal. Even for the highest defect levels, other defects (e.g., threefold- and sixfold-coordinated atoms) remain rare, well below 0.5%. Interestingly, these results, obtained using the EDIP potential, are in good agreement with those obtained by Talati et al. using the Stillinger-Weber potential.\(^{54}\)

C. Localization of plastic deformations: Defect distribution

The propagation of plastic deformations can be studied by monitoring the proportion of defects—here fivefold-coordinated atoms—throughout the cell. To this end, we divide the system into 12 layers along the \( y \) direction and calculate the concentration of defects as a function of strain; the first and last layers (the walls) are not considered since they are used for applying the strain.

The results are shown in Fig. 6 for three different shear velocities at 300 K. For all cases considered we find that, at low strain, in the elastic regime, the distribution of defects is low but uniform across the system; at the elastic-to-plastic transition, however, strong inhomogeneities appear along the \( y \) direction. At high shear velocities, \( 10^{-1} \) Å/ps [Fig. 6(c)], fivefold defects form near the center of the system and reach a very high concentration (>30%) in the steady-state regime: plastic deformations are highly localized in these central layers and the three to four layers near the walls remain largely unaffected. At smaller shear velocities, plastic deformations propagate through a wider portion of the cell, reaching the full width of it for \( \nu = 10^{-2} \) Å/ps [Fig. 6(a)]. For the latter case, at low strain, defects first appear in the layers closest to the walls (layers 2 and 11) before propagating across the system. In the plastic regime, the defect density increases almost linearly with the distance to the walls, with all layers affected by plastic deformations.

With a direct comparison of the figures [Figs. 6(b), 6(c), and 6(d)] or by computing the distribution of the defects fraction across the \( y \) directions, we found that the width of the region affected by shear deformations—where the defects fraction increases significantly—is almost the same for two different systems. According to these observations, the localization of the shear deformations in a few layers is not size-dependent, at least for systems larger than 8000 particles.

The effect of temperature can be assessed in Fig. 7, where we plot the corresponding results at temperatures of 500, 700, and 900 K, using an intermediate shear velocity \( 10^{-2} \) Å/ps. While defects are localized in the few layers near the middle of the structure at 300 K [Fig. 6(c)], the distribution broadens with temperature: all layers are affected by the shear at 700 K and the distribution becomes almost flat at 900 K, even at small strain.
layers along the y direction; layers 1 and 12 are not shown as they correspond to the frozen walls. Part (d) corresponds to a system of 16,000 particles and a shear velocity of $5 \times 10^{-4}$ Å/ps. The number of layers is 22.

FIG. 6. (Color online) Fraction of fivefold-coordinated atoms as a function of strain and layer index; (a), (b), and (c) correspond to shear velocities (a) $10^{-3}$ Å/ps, (b) $10^{-3}$ Å/ps, and (c) $10^{-1}$ Å/ps, at 300 K. The configurations are divided into 12 layers along the y direction; layers 1 and 12 are not shown as they correspond to the frozen walls. Part (d) corresponds to a system of 16,000 particles and a shear velocity of $5 \times 10^{-4}$ Å/ps. The number of layers is 22.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Fraction of fivefold-coordinated atoms as a function of strain and layer index, at (a) 500, (b) 700, and (c) 900 K, for a shear velocity of $10^{-3}$ Å/ps.

V. DISCUSSION

In agreement with the results observed on stress-strain curves of the elastic and plastic deformations of different materials, the system’s response to strain can be divided into three regimes. At low strain, the system responds with strain-independent elastic deformations, as observed in the PED and the pressure, for example. Although the number of local defects, here overcoordinated atoms, increases during this phase, a large fraction of these are reversible. The second regime, at intermediate strain, depends on the shear velocity and is associated with the elastic to plastic transition. Here, the absolute values of the PED and the pressure reach a maximum, then fall off to smaller values following a cascade of bond rearrangements that reduces the strain buildup, the drop being larger for faster shear rates and lower temperatures. Surprisingly, however, this is not accompanied by a similar drop in the number of defects. As the energy is released,
the defects are therefore stabilized by irreversible atomic repositioning. The third regime corresponds to steady-state plastic deformations and occurs at high strain values. In this regime, the various microscopic and thermodynamic quantities are essentially strain-independent. For the pressure, the plastic regime rapidly reaches a plateau after the breakdown. For the potential energy, the convergence is somewhat slower and the steady-state value is only slightly lower than the maximum at the elastic-to-plastic transition. The curves are also shifted to lower energies with decreasing shear velocity or increasing temperature. This contrasts with the microscopic density of fivefold defects, which shows a steady increase and is not yet converged for most values of the shear velocity and temperature at a strain of 0.2.

Our results on the effects of shear and temperature allow us to better characterize the spatial inhomogeneities involved in the response to shear. While defects form almost uniformly across the atomic layers in the elastic phase, plastic deformations are concentrated within a narrow region near the center of the box at low temperatures and high shear rates, and the fraction of liquidlike fivefold-coordinated atoms in these layers reaches almost 40%, in line with the results of Refs. 52 and 53. Indeed, the cell is breaking into two parts with an almost fluid interface between them. Such a sharp break is costly, however, and is imposed by kinetic considerations: the relaxation time is too short to permit a global response of the system to the external perturbation. The system is, in effect, frozen, and stress can build up significantly, which can only be released by a size-wide rearrangement, creating the observed sharp break. This inhomogeneity explains why the radial distribution function is only slightly affected by an average defect concentration of more than 20%.

As the temperature rises or the shear velocity decreases, the relaxation time becomes comparable with the shear rate and the response to perturbation is spread to larger and larger regions, preventing a large build-up characterized by a significant increase in potential energy or defect concentration. And while fivefold-coordinated atoms remain the main type of defects by which this response takes place, it is no longer possible to speak of localized centers of deformation, since the whole system is affected in the plastic regime.

The relation between temperature and shear velocity can be seen in Fig. 8, which shows the evolution of the maximum PED and the mean value of the PED in the plastic deformation regime as a function of shear velocity and temperature; the data are extracted from Figs. 2 and 3. For the PED, we observe almost the same power-law relation between the shear-velocity and the energy at the yield stress and in the steady-state plastic regime, in both cases with an exponent of \( \approx 0.18 \) in a wide range of shear velocities between \( 10^{-3} \) Å/ps and \( 8 \times 10^{-2} \) Å/ps. For the smallest shear velocity, the power-law relation seems to be less reliable and the effects of shear appear to be more important than might be expected. Since thermal effects are included in the reference potential energy, this suggests that there is no critical rate for which thermal energy completely obliterates the effects of shearing, even though plasticity will spread to always larger fractions of the system.

In the bottom panel of Fig. 8, a similar power-law behavior is observed for temperatures between 150 and 900 K, with an exponent of \(-0.9\). Again, even at high temperature (but below melting), the effects of shear are not fully compensated but are strongly reduced. At low temperature, the power-law relation breaks down because the binding energy introduces a hard threshold on the amount of strain that can be stored in the system.

The origin of these power-law relations can be related to the energy landscape structure of the system. Because the activation energy necessary to cross a barrier is uncorrelated with the energy difference between the top of the barrier and the final minimum, only the shape of the forward activation-energy barrier distribution is important. From numerical calculations, it is known that this activation-energy barrier distribution at \( T = 0 \) K is a continuous function that can be fitted to

\[
G_{FB}(E) = AE \exp \left\{ -\frac{E - (E_{FB}^{0})^2}{2\sigma_{FB}^2} \right\},
\]

where \( E \) is the barrier energy, \( A \) is a normalization factor, and \( E_{FB}^{0} \) and \( \sigma_{FB} \) are two parameters that depend on the system and the degree of relaxation. At finite temperature, for a well-relaxed sample, barriers below \( k_BT \) are already consumed.
The shearing here effectively increases the system’s energy, thus decreasing the height of available barriers, and, from there, increases the crossing probability at a given temperature. Because energy barriers of any height may exist, it is possible for the system to accommodate shearing at least partially, at any temperature, as long as the shearing rate is slow with respect to the attempt-to-jump frequency.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have investigated the properties of amorphous silicon subject to external mechanical shear deformations using classical MD simulations with the environment-dependent interatomic potential (EDIP). The shear deformations are introduced by moving one wall in the shear direction. We find that both energetic and structural properties of the system depend strongly on the shear velocity and the imposed strain, as well as the temperature at which the deformations are applied. At low temperatures and for all shear velocities investigated, we observe a systematic increase in disorder associated with an increase in the fraction of coordination defects, in agreement with the results of Refs. 54 and 73. Interestingly, the shear-induced energy can be written in terms of a power-law of both temperature and shear velocity: \( \Delta E \propto \nu^{\gamma} T^{-\beta} \), with \( \alpha \simeq 0.18 \) and \( \beta \simeq 0.9 \). Finally, we observe a very strong dependence of the spatial distribution of defects on these two quantities. For low temperatures or high shear velocities, defects are localized in a narrow region in the middle of the cell. As the temperature increases or the shear rate slows down, this region becomes wider until it covers the whole system. This effect is due to the existence of a continuous distribution of activation energy barriers that allows the system to relax at any temperature provided that it has ample time to do so, as determined by the shear rate.
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