Retention mucocele of distal viable remnant tip of appendix: An unusually rare late surgical complication following incomplete appendectomy
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INTRODUCTION
Appendiceal mucocele was first described by Rokitanski in 1842 and an unusual variant of appendiceal mucocele - myxoglobulosis was first described by Latham in 1897. The term “mucocele of appendix” is an inherently imprecise descriptive term that refers to any macroscopic (localised or diffuse) globular cystic dilatation of appendix (unilocular or multilocular) filled with thick tenacious mucoid/mucinous material regardless of underlying cause and is not a pathologic entity.

The majority of mucoceles of appendix arise secondary to proximal obstruction of appendiceal lumen in which the appendiceal lumen is usually in communication with caecum. The potential causes of proximal appendiceal obstruction include faecolith, epithelial/mucosal hyperplasia, post inflammatory-fibrosis, cystadenoma, cystadenocarcinoma, carcinoid tumor, endometriosis and developmental anomalies such as occlusive membrane or obstructive diaphragm at the level of appendiceal orifice. But the origin of mucocele of appendix from a distal viable appendiceal remnant following incomplete retrograde appendectomy has not been described.

We report such an extremely rare case of retention mucocele arising from a distal vascularized remnant of appendix not communicating with the caecum following incomplete retrograde open appendectomy. This unusual complication is not described in the literature and we report it in order to highlight the fact that a high index of clinical and radiological suspicion is essential for the diagnosis of mucocele arising from a distal viable appendiceal remnant in a patient who has already undergone appendectomy presenting with recurrent abdominal pain.
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CASE REPORT
We report a 67-year old man who was presented with a 6-mo history of recurrent right lower quadrant abdominal pain. On physical examination, a vague mass was palpable in the right lumbar region. His routine laboratory tests were normal. Ultrasonography showed a hypoechoic lesion in the right lumbar region anterior to the right kidney with internal echoes and fluid components. Abdominal contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) showed a well-defined hypodense cystic mass lesion lateral to the ascending colon/caecum, not communicating with the lumen of colon/caecum. After complete open excision of the cystic mass lesion, gross pathologic examination revealed a turgid cystic dilatation of appendiceal remnant filled with the mucinous material. On histopathological examination, mucinous cyst adenoma of appendix was confirmed. We report this rare unusual late complication of mucocele formation in the distal viable appendiceal remnant, which was leftover following incomplete retrograde appendectomy. This unusual complication is not described in the literature and we report it in order to highlight the fact that a high index of clinical and radiological suspicion is essential for the diagnosis of mucocele arising from a distal viable appendiceal remnant in a patient who has already undergone appendectomy presenting with recurrent abdominal pain.
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parameters and systemic examination were normal. On examination of abdomen, a vague mass was palpable in the right lumbar region suspicious of a retroperitoneal tumor. Rectal examination was normal.

Hemoglobin value was 13.8 gm/dL (reference range: 13.5-17.0 gm/dL) and other biochemical investigations, chest X-ray and electro-cardiogram were normal. Upper gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy and colonoscopy study was normal. Ultrasonography revealed a 6.5 cm × 3 cm × 6 cm hypoechoic space-occupying lesion in the right lumbar region anterior to right kidney (Figure 1). Internal echoes and fluid components are seen within the cystic mass (arrowheads) (A-C).

Figure 1 Ultrasonography shows a hypoechoic space occupying lesion measuring 6.5 cm × 3 cm × 6 cm in the right lumbar region anterior to right kidney (arrows). Internal echoes and fluid components are seen within the cystic mass (arrowheads) (A-C).

Figure 2 CECT shows a well defined hypodense blind ending tubular lesion (arrows) measuring 6.8 cm × 3.1 cm × 3.0 cm (CT value +32 HU) in the right lumbar region lateral to ascending colon along the antimesenteric border not communicating with the lumen of colon (A-C). C=Caecum, AC=Ascending colon, M=Mucocele, A=Distal appendiceal remnant not communicating with caecal lumen.

Figure 3 Macroscopic/gross pathology. A: Perioperative photograph shows a turgid cystic mass measuring 6 cm × 5 cm × 3 cm in the distal appendicular remnant with a separate mesentery located adjacent to the pulled up caecum and not communicating with lumen of caecum (arrows); B: Resected specimen shows cystic dilatation of turgid distal appendicular remnant with surrounding fibrofatty, mesenteric, and omental tissues (arrow); C: Cut open resected specimen shows protruding mucinous material from the lumen of cystically dilated turgid distal appendicular remnant (arrow).
separate mesentery with no communication with the ceacum (Figure 3A). Complete surgical excision was performed with an uneventful recovery. Gross pathological examination showed a distended turgid appendiceal remnant filled with characteristic mucinous material (Figures 3B, 3C). Histopathological examination showed that the appendix was lined by a single layer of mucinous epithelium with basally situated nuclei which did not show any atypia with underlying lymphoid aggregates. The appendiceal lumen showed mucinous secretions (lakes of mucin) with no epithelial elements suggestive of mucinous cyst adenoma-appendix with no evidence of malignancy or dysplasia. (Figures 4A, 4B, 4C). The patient was symptom-free during a 6-mo follow-up period.

DISCUSSION

Primary appendiceal mucocele, a relatively uncommon clinical entity, is most frequently an incidental finding at the time of surgery and is occasionally discovered only at pathological examination. The majority of these patients are not diagnosed preoperatively and in fact 60% of them are diagnosed incidentally during surgery for some other disease. The incidence of appendiceal mucocele is estimated to be 0.2-0.3% of appendicectomy specimens with myxoglobulosis constituting 0.35-0.8% of mucoceles.

Mucoceles are histologically subdivided into four types on the basis of World Health Organization classification. Simple/non neoplastic mucocele or retention mucocele is defined as cystic dilatation of the distal appendix with accumulation of abnormal mucoid material in the appendiceal lumen secondary to appendiceal outflow obstruction.

Benign neoplastic mucocele-mucinous cystadenoma is defined as dilated mucus/mucin filled appendix containing adenomatous mucosa lined by atypical mucinous epithelium containing basal nuclei and showing only minimal dysplastic features. Secondary changes in mucinous cyst adenoma include thinning of the wall, extensive ulceration, calcification and ossification (“porcelain appendix”). There is also a high association between appendiceal mucinous cystadenoma with ovarian mucinous cyst adenoma and synchronous or metachronous neoplasms elsewhere in the colon.

Malignant mucocele—mucinous cystadenocarcinoma is defined as adenocarcinoma associated with mucus-filled cystic dilatation of the appendix presenting as mucocele. A malignancy is suspected at surgery in about 30%. In the others the diagnosis is made during pathologic examination.

Cystadenocarcinoma is grossly indistinguishable from a cystadenoma. But histologically the former is distinguished from mucinous cystadenoma by three criteria: presence of invasive neoplasm below the level of muscularis mucosa, when the muscularis mucosa cannot be distinguished because of distortion or fibrosis, the diagnosis is made by the finding of infiltrative tongues of tumor or single tumor cells in the wall (infiltrative appearance of border of epithelial elements) as opposed to the broad pushing edge appearance of the borders of epithelial elements that characterize mucinous tumor of uncertain/undetermined malignant potential (UMP), presence of malignant epithelial cells in the lakes of mucin either in the wall of the appendix or outside the appendix.

Myxoglobulosis or Caviar appendix is an extremely rare variant of appendiceal mucocele caused by proximal obstruction of appendiceal lumen in which pieces of mucinous/mucoid material can become broken off the appendiceal wall into the appendiceal lumen resulting in the formation of characteristic pearl-like translucent “mucinous globules” or pearly luminal spheroids or a “cluster of frog eggs” 1-10 mm in diameter with surface calcification.

Mucocele of appendix is most common in the sixth or seventh decade of life with a female preponderance. The common presenting symptoms of appendiceal mucocele are episodic right lower quadrant abdominal pain, abdominal mass, weight loss, change in bowel habits, and complications of mucocele include intussusception, bleeding, perforation, peritonitis, rupture and pseudomyxoma peritonei.

Colonoscopy may show a smooth glassy submucosal...
or extra-mucosal caecal mass moving in and out with respiratory movement. This endoscopic sign has been described as the “trapped balloon sign”[14]. The classical CT scan findings[7, 15] of a mucocele in a patient who has not undergone appendectomy are a cystic low attenuation well encapsulated round or ovoid mass with smooth regular walls in the right lower quadrant adherent to cecum, mural calcification in the wall of the mucocele, and absence of peri-appendiceal inflammation or abscess which is the key differentiating point in excluding acute appendicitis. The presence of thickened wall and enhanced nodules favors the diagnosis of mucinous cystadenocarcinoma.

The clinical and radiological differential diagnosis[7,13] of mucocele of appendix includes mesenteric cyst, colonic duplication cyst, colonic lymphoma and lipoma, intussusception, right ovarian cyst and hydrosalphinx.

The treatment of mucocele of appendix is essentially by simple appendectomy but in cases of rupture or suspected malignancy a standard right hemicolecotomy is indicated[20]. At the time of surgery, a spontaneous appendiceal perforation or any extravasation from appendicular lumen is strongly suggestive of malignancy in such situations, a right hemicolecotomy[8,16] should always be performed with a curative intent. Laparoscopic appendectomy for mucocele removal has been described, but caution has also been suggested because of the risk of port site recurrences[17]

In a patient who has undergone open appendectomy, with the CT finding of a cystic well encapsulated mass in the right lumbar region adherent to the pulled up caecum/ascending colon not communicating with the lumen of colon/caecum, one should consider the possibility of mucocele of distal appendiceal remnant. Therefore, a high index of clinical and radiological suspicion is essential for the preoperative diagnosis of mucocele of distal appendiceal remnant in a patient who has undergone appendectomy. Incomplete surgical removal of appendix must be avoided in order to prevent the late complication of mucocele formation in the distal leftover vascularized remnant tip of appendix. All appendiceal mucoceles measuring at least 2 cm must be completely excised to eliminate the chance of progression to malignancy. The association between appendiceal mucocele and colonic neoplasm is more clear and logical to recommend surveillance colonoscopy in patients with diagnosis of appendiceal mucocele, at least in those with appendiceal mucinous cystadenoma.
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