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Abstract

In this paper, the structural, electronic, and optical properties of MoS$_2$ multilayers are investigated by employing the first-principles method. Up to six-layers of MoS$_2$ have been comparatively studied. The covalency and ionicity in the MoS$_2$ monolayer are shown to be stronger than those in the bulk. As the layer number is increased to two or above two, band splitting is significant due to the interlayer coupling. We found that long plateaus emerged in the imaginary parts of the dielectric function $\varepsilon_{xx}(\omega)$ and the joint density of states (JDOS) of MoS$_2$ multilayers, due to the Van Hove singularities in a two-dimensional material. One, two and three small steps appear at the thresholds of both the long plateau of $\varepsilon_{xx}(\omega)$ and JDOS, for monolayer, bilayer, and trilayer, respectively. As the number of layers further increased, the number of small steps increases and the width of the small steps decreases accordingly. Due to interlayer coupling, the longest plateau and shortest plateau of JDOS are from the monolayer and bulk, respectively.
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Introduction

Molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$) is one of the typical transition metal dichalcogenides and has been widely used as a catalyst [1] and hydrogen storage material [2, 3]. Owing to the strong in-plane interactions and weak van der Waals interactions between MoS$_2$ atomic layers [4, 5], MoS$_2$ crystals have been known as an important solid lubricant for many years [6, 7]. The monolayer MoS$_2$, so-called $1H$-MoS$_2$, has been exfoliated from bulk MoS$_2$ by using micromechanical cleavage [8]. The so-called $2H$-MoS$_2$ (among $1T$, $2H$, $3R$) is the most stable structure of bulk MoS$_2$ [9, 10] and is a semiconductor with an indirect bandgap of 1.29 eV [4, 11, 12]. The monolayer MoS$_2$ has also drawn great attention due to its two-dimensional nature and graphene-like honeycomb structure. It is interesting that monolayer MoS$_2$ has a direct bandgap of 1.90 eV [4, 13] which can be used as a conductive channel of field-effect transistors [14]. On the other hand, the zero band gap of graphene restricts its applications in optics and transistor application [15–18]. Moreover, the theoretical and experimental works show that the electronic bandgap decreases as the number of MoS$_2$ layers is increased [19–22]. Interlayer coupling of multilayer MoS$_2$ is sensitive to layer thickness [21]. Some investigations on the multilayer MoS$_2$ are available [19–25]; however, the electronic structures and optical properties of multilayer MoS$_2$ are still not well-established, especially for the layer-dependent physical properties related to the interlayer coupling. Van Hove singularity (VHS) plays an important role in optical properties [26, 27]. The only available critical points in two-dimensional materials are those of the $P_0$ ($P_2$) and $P_1$ type, which show as a step and a logarithmic singularity [26, 27]. In this paper, we analyze the electronic and optical
properties of MoS2 related to Van Hove singularity, layer by layer and up to six atomic layers.

Nowadays, first-principles calculations have been successfully performed to study the structural, electronic, and optical properties of a wide variety of materials. In this work, we have systematically studied the electronic and optical properties of monolayer, multilayer and bulk MoS2 by using ab initio calculations. Discussions on the optical properties are emphasized. Our results show that, for \( E(\omega) \), the imaginary parts of the dielectric function \( e^{\text{xx}}(\omega) \) possess long plateaus. At these thresholds of these plateaus, \( e^{\text{xx}}(\omega) \) of the monolayer, bilayer, and trilayer exhibit one, two, and three small steps, respectively. The imaginary part of the dielectric function is also analyzed by the joint density of states and the transition matrix elements. JDOS combined with the band structures and the Van Hove singularities are discussed in detail.

### Methods

The present calculations have been performed by using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [28, 29], which is based on the density functional theory, the plane-wave basis and the projector augmented wave (PAW) representation [30]. The exchange-correlation potential is treated within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in the form of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional [31]. In order to consider the weak interlayer attractions in this layered crystal, PBE-D2 calculations [32] which include the semi-empirical van der Waals correction have been performed. In order to obtain more accurate band gaps, the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof hybrid functional (HSE06) [33–36] calculations are also performed in this work. The wave-functions of all the calculated systems are expanded in plane waves, with a kinetic energy cutoff of 500 eV. Brillouin zone (BZ) integrations are calculated by using a special \( \mathbf{k} \)-point sampling of the Monkhorst-Pack scheme [37], with a \( 45 \times 45 \times 1 \) \( \Gamma \)-centered grid for the monolayer and multilayer MoS2 and \( 45 \times 45 \times 11 \) grid for the bulk MoS2 for PBE-D2 calculations. For HSE06 calculations, a \( 9 \times 9 \times 1 \) \( \Gamma \)-centered grid is used for the monolayer and multilayer MoS2. For the monolayer and multilayer MoS2, all the calculations are modeled by a supercell with a vacuum space of 35 Å in the Z-direction to avoid the interactions between adjacent MoS2 slabs. All the atomic configurations are fully relaxed until the Hellmann-Feynman forces on all the atoms are smaller than 0.01 eV/Å. Our spin-polarized calculations show that the band structures of MoS2 multilayers are rather insensitive to the spin-polarized effect (see Additional file 1: Figure S1); therefore, all the calculation results presented are based on the non-spin-polarization scheme.

Excitonic effects in monolayer MoS2 are found to be significant and have been observed by photoluminescence. We have employed the quasi-particle \( G_0W_0 \) method [38], and the Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE) [39, 40] to account for the excitonic effects. The band gaps of monolayer MoS2 are calculated to be 2.32 and 2.27 eV for the \( \mathbf{k} \)-point meshes of \( 15 \times 15 \times 1 \) and \( 24 \times 24 \times 1 \) \( \Gamma \)-centered grid, obtained by the \( G_0W_0 \) with SOC calculations. The imaginary parts of the dielectric function are shown in Fig. 1, calculated from both the \( G_0W_0 \) and the \( G_0W_0 + \) BSE methods. Two exciton peaks at 1.84 and 1.99 eV are found, which agrees well with experimental observations [4, 41]. Although the \( G_0W_0 + \) BSE scheme could describe the excitonic effects better, in this paper, we present only the results (without excitonic peaks) under the GGA-PBE functional.

### Results and Discussion

#### Electronic Structures of MoS2 Multilayers

Crystalline MoS2 occurs naturally and has three crystal types: \( 1T \), \( 2H \), and \( 3R \), which corresponds to crystals with trigonal, hexagonal, and rhombohedral primitive unit cells, respectively [9]. \( 2H \)-MoS2 is known as the most stable structure [10]; therefore, we consider only the \( 2H \) type of bulk MoS2 in this work. Bulk \( 2H \)-MoS2 has a hexagonal-layered structure consisting of layers of molybdenum atoms surrounded by six sulfur atoms, with S-Mo-S sheets piled up oppositely (showed in Fig. 2). The neighboring sheets in bulk \( 2H \)-MoS2 are weakly connected with weak van der Waals interactions. A monolayer MoS2 can then be easily exfoliated from the bulk. The lattice constants of bulk MoS2 are calculated to be \( a = b = 3.19 \) Å, \( c = 12.41 \) Å, which are consistent with the reported values of \( a = b = 3.18 \) Å, \( c = 13.83 \) Å [18]. The optimized lattice constants for monolayer MoS2 are \( a = b = 3.19 \) Å, which are in accord with the bulk MoS2.

![Fig. 1 The imaginary parts of the dielectric function for monolayer MoS2 by using the \( G_0W_0 \) and \( G_0W_0 + \) BSE methods, respectively. The experimental absorption spectrum for MoS2 is extracted from Ref. [4]](image-url)
As shown in Table 1, the calculated lattice constants in the \(a\), \(b\) directions are the same for different number of layers of MoS\(_2\). It was also reported by Kumar et al. \([19]\) that the lattice constants \((a, b)\) of monolayer MoS\(_2\) are nearly identical to the bulk.

Figure 3 depicts the calculated band structures and electronic density of states (DOS) of different number of layers of MoS\(_2\). Results for monolayer, bilayer, trilayer, and four-layer as well as bulk MoS\(_2\) are given in Fig. 3, while results for five-layer and six-layer MoS\(_2\) are very similar to those of four-layer and bulk. For monolayer MoS\(_2\), both the valence band maximum (VBM) and the conduction band minimum (CBM) appear at \(K\)-point of the BZ, exhibiting a direct bandgap of 1.64 eV. For bilayer and trilayer MoS\(_2\), both the VBM locates at \(\Gamma\) point while both the CBM lies at \(K\) point, causing indirect gaps of 1.17 and 1.08 eV, respectively. However, as the number of MoS\(_2\) layers increases to four and above four, all the multilayers MoS\(_2\) show same characters that the VBM locates at \(\Gamma\) point while the CBM lies between \(\Gamma\) and \(K\) points, which is the same as in the bulk. Indirect band gaps are 1.03 eV, 1.01 eV, 0.99 eV, 0.93 eV for four-, five-, six-layer MoS\(_2\), and bulk, respectively. Both the PBE-D2 and HSE06 calculations (Table 1) show that the fundamental band gap increases monotonically when the number of MoS\(_2\) layers decreases, which is due to a large confinement of electrons in the slab \([4, 5, 19, 42]\).

Moreover, when the bulk MoS\(_2\) slab is lessened to a single-layer, it turns into a direct bandgap semiconductor, as mentioned previously, the bulk MoS\(_2\) is an indirect gap semiconductor. In Fig. 3a, band structures plot of bulk MoS\(_2\) show splitting of bands (as compared to those of monolayer MoS\(_2\)), mainly around the \(\Gamma\)-point, owing to interlayer coupling \([16]\). Band structures for two-layers (2L) and more than 2L MoS\(_2\) exhibit similar splitting of bands owing again to the interlayer coupling. However, splitting of bands in the bulk is somewhat more significant than those in the multilayers MoS\(_2\), indicating a (slightly) stronger interlayer coupling in the bulk than in the multilayers. On the other hand, splitting of bands in the vicinity of point \(K\) in BZ is very small. The electronic states at point \(K\) for the highest occupied band are mainly composed of \(d_{xy}\) and \(d_{x^2−y^2}\) orbitals of Mo atoms, as well as small parts of \((p_x, p_y)\)-orbitals of S atoms (shown in Fig. 4b). The Mo atoms are situated in the middle layer of S-Mo-S sheet, which causes a negligible interlayer coupling at \(K\) point (since the nearest atoms between MoS\(_2\) layers are S and S). As shown in Fig. 4, stronger interlayer coupling at point \(\Gamma\) can be found when compared with that at point \(K\), since electronic states at point \(\Gamma\) for the highest occupied band are dominated by \(d_{z^2}\) orbitals of Mo atoms and \(p_z\) orbitals of S atoms. Therefore, S-S coupling (interlayer coupling) is clearly stronger at point \(\Gamma\) than that at point \(K\). Our results are consistent with other theoretical work \([21]\).

![Fig. 2](image_url) a Top view and \(b\) side view of bulk-MoS\(_2\). c Side view of monolayer, bilayer, trilayer, as well as four-, five-, and six-layered structures of MoS\(_2\). A unit cell is shown in \(b\). Purple and yellow balls represent Mo and S atoms, respectively.

### Table 1 Geometrical parameters, band gaps and the static dielectric constants for bulk and multilayer MoS\(_2\). \(E_{g1}, E_{g2}, E_{g3},\) and \(E_{g4}\) are the band gaps calculated by our PBE-D2 method, by our HSE06 method, by the GGA-PW91 method, and from the experimental data, respectively.

|       | 1L   | 2L   | 3L   | 4L   | 5L   | 6L   | Bulk |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| \(a\) (Å) | 3.19 | 3.19 | 3.19 | 3.19 | 3.19 | 3.19 | 3.19 |
| \(d(\text{Mo-S})\) (Å) | 2.41 | 2.41 | 2.41 | 2.41 | 2.41 | 2.41 | 2.41 |
| \(E_{g1}\) (eV) | 1.64 | 1.17 | 1.08 | 1.03 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.93 |
| \(E_{g2}\) (eV) | 2.09 | 1.66 | 1.56 | 1.50 | 1.49 | 1.48 | 1.45 |
| \(E_{g3}\) (eV) | 1.57[22] | 1.20[22] | – | – | – | – | 0.89[22] |
| \(E_{g4}\) (eV) | 1.90[4], 1.82[20] | 1.60[4], 1.65[20] | 1.46[4], 1.35[20] | 1.41[4] | 1.37[4] | 1.35[4] | 1.29[4], 1.23[11] |
| \(\varepsilon^{\infty}(0)\) | 15.29 | 15.44 | 15.45 | 15.48 | 15.48 | 15.47 | 15.57 |
Fig. 3 Calculated band structures and density of states of a monolayer (full lines) and bulk (dash lines), b bilayer, c trilayer, and d four-layer MoS$_2$. In a, the highest occupied bands for bulk and monolayer at point K are set to the same energy. Conduction band minimum of bulk is at point B0.

Fig. 4 The charge distributions of the highest occupied band at a point $\Gamma$ and b point K for bulk MoS$_2$. The isosurface value is set to be $0.004 \, \text{e/Å}^3$. 
Generally speaking, the electronic density of states of few-layer MoS$_2$ are similar to those of bulk MoS$_2$ (see Fig. 3), since bulk MoS$_2$ is actually a layered material with weak interactions between the MoS$_2$ layers.

To deeply explore the bonding nature in the monolayer MoS$_2$, the deformation charge density is shown in Fig. 5a. The deformation charge density is given by \( \Delta \rho_1(r) = \rho(r) - \sum \mu \rho_{\text{atom}}(r - R_{\mu}) \) where \( \rho(r) \) is the total charge density and \( \sum \mu \rho_{\text{atom}}(r - R_{\mu}) \) stands for the superposition of independent atomic charge densities. The results demonstrate that the bonding in the MoS$_2$ monolayer is characterized by clear covalent (solid contours lines in between the Mo-S atoms), as well as strong ionic interactions (represented by alternating areas of dashed and solid contours). To see the bonding strength in the monolayer MoS$_2$ as compared to those in the bulk, the charge density differences between monolayer and bulk MoS$_2$, \( \Delta \rho_2(r) \), is also presented in Fig. 5b. The charge density difference is defined as \( \Delta \rho_2(r) = \rho_{1L}(r) - \rho_{\text{bulk}}(r) \), where \( \rho_{1L}(r) \) and \( \rho_{\text{bulk}}(r) \) are the total charge densities of monolayer and bulk MoS$_2$, respectively. Figure 5b indicates a stronger electronic binding in the monolayer case than those in the bulk, which is reflected by the larger charge accumulation (solid contours lines) in between the Mo-S atoms in the monolayer, as well as by stronger ionic bonding in the monolayer MoS$_2$ since the alternating areas of dashed and solid contours in the Fig. 5b are more significant than those in the bulk. Moreover, the charge differences plot (Fig. 5b) indicates that Mo atom of monolayer lost more electrons than Mo atom in the bulk; therefore, the ionicity of monolayer is stronger than bulk. However, it should be pointed out that the order of magnitude of the charge differences in the Fig. 5b are fairly small (the contour interval in the Fig. 5b is only \( 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \) e/Å$^3$).

Judge from the quantum confinement effect, again, the intra-layer interaction of monolayer should be stronger than bulk. Hence, the bandgap of the monolayer (1.64 eV) is expected to be larger than bulk (0.93 eV). Quantum confinement decreases with the increasing layer number \([4, 42]\), which enhances interlayer coupling and reduce intra-layer interaction. Thus, the band gap of MoS$_2$ decreases with the increase of interlayer coupling. The interlayer charge density redistributions for bilayer MoS$_2$, \( \Delta \rho_3(r) \), are also presented in Fig. 5c. The \( \Delta \rho_3(r) \) is given by \( \Delta \rho_3(r) = \rho_{2L}(r) - \rho_{\text{layer1}}(r) - \rho_{\text{layer2}}(r) \), where \( \rho_{2L}(r), \rho_{\text{layer1}}(r), \rho_{\text{layer2}}(r) \) are the charge densities of the bilayer MoS$_2$, the first layer of bilayer MoS$_2$ and the second layer of bilayer MoS$_2$, respectively. The charge densities of layer1 and layer2 of bilayer MoS$_2$ are calculated by using the corresponding structure in bilayer MoS$_2$. Charge transfer from MoS$_2$ layers (bilayer) to the intermediate region between the MoS$_2$ layers are clearly seen in Fig. 5c, shown as solid contour lines. The ionic interactions between atomic layers in bilayer MoS$_2$ are also clear, as seen from the alternating areas of dashed and solid contours. Again, the order of magnitude of interlayer charge densities, \( \Delta \rho_3(r) \), are very small (the
contour interval is only \(2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ e/Å}^3\)). Generally, the inter-layer charge density redistributions in 2L, 3L, ..., bulk MoS\(_2\) systems are all very similar.

**Optical Properties of MoS\(_2\) Multilayers**

Once the ground state electronic structures of a material are obtained, the optical properties can then be investigated. The imaginary part of the dielectric function \(\varepsilon^\beta(\omega)\) is determined by the following equation [43]:

\[
\varepsilon^\beta(\omega) = \frac{4\pi^2 \varepsilon^2}{\Omega} \lim_{q \to 0} \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{c,\nu,\alpha} 2w_k \delta(E_{ck} - E_{\nu k} - \hbar\omega) \times \langle u_{ck+\nu q} | u_{\nu k} \rangle \langle u_{ck+\nu q} | u_{\nu k} \rangle^* \tag{1}
\]

where the indices \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) denote Cartesian directions, \(c\) and \(\nu\) refer to conduction and valence bands, \(E_{ck}\) and \(E_{\nu k}\) are the energies of conduction bands and valence bands, respectively. The Kramers-Kronig inversion can be applied to acquire the real part of the dielectric function \(\varepsilon^\alpha(\omega)\) determined by the imaginary part \(\varepsilon^\beta(\omega)\):

\[
\varepsilon^\alpha(\omega) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\varepsilon^\beta(\omega')\omega'}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2 + i\eta} d\omega' \tag{2}
\]

in which \(\eta\) represents the principal value. Since MoS\(_2\) has a uniaxial structure, \(\varepsilon^\alpha(\omega)\) is then identical to \(\varepsilon^{\eta\eta}(\omega)\). In this work, we need only discuss the electric vector \(\mathbf{E}\) which is parallel the \(x-y\) plane, i.e., \(\mathbf{E}|x\) is parallel to the MoS\(_2\) \(x-y\) plane.

For investigating detailed optical spectra of MoS\(_2\) system, the absorption coefficient \(\alpha(\omega)\) and the reflectivity \(R(\omega)\) were calculated by the real part \(\varepsilon^1(\omega)\) and the imaginary part \(\varepsilon^2(\omega)\) of the dielectric function. Equations of parameters mentioned are presented below:

\[
\alpha(\omega) = \sqrt{2} \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\varepsilon^2(\omega) + \varepsilon^2(\omega) - \varepsilon^1(\omega)} \tag{3}
\]

\[
R(\omega) = \left| \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^1(\omega) + i \varepsilon^2(\omega) - 1}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^1(\omega) + i \varepsilon^2(\omega) + 1}} \right|^2 \tag{4}
\]

If the matrix element \(\langle u_{ck+\nu q} | u_{\nu k} \rangle\) varies slowly as \(k\)-vector, the term \(\langle u_{ck+\nu q} | u_{\nu k} \rangle \langle u_{ck+\nu q} | u_{\nu k} \rangle^*\) in Eq. (1) can be taken outside the summation. In Eq. (1), most of the dispersion in \(\varepsilon^\beta(\omega)\) is due to the summation over the delta function \(\delta(E_{ck} - E_{\nu k} - \hbar\omega)\). This summation can be transformed into an integration over energy by defining a joint density of states (JDOS) [25, 44],

\[
J_{cv}(\omega) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{dS_k}{V_k(E_{ck} - E_{\nu k})} \tag{5}
\]

in which \(h\omega\) equals \(E_{ck} - E_{\nu k}\). \(S_k\) represents the constant energy surface denoted by \(E_{ck} - E_{\nu k} = h\omega = \text{const}\). The joint density of states \(J_{cv}(\omega)\) is associated with the transitions from the valence bands to the conduction bands, and the large peaks in \(J_{cv}(\omega)\) will originate in the spectrum where \(V_k(E_{ck} - E_{\nu k}) = 0\). Points in \(k\)-space where \(V_k(E_{ck} - E_{\nu k}) = 0\) are called critical points or van Hove singularities (VHS), and \(E_{ck} - E_{\nu k}\) are called critical point energies [26, 27]. The critical points \(V_k(E_{ck} - E_{\nu k}) = 0\) usually occur only at high-symmetry points, while critical points \(V_k(E_{ck} - E_{\nu k}) \neq 0\) may occur at any general points in the Brillouin zone [27, 45]. In the two-dimensional case, there are three types of critical points, i.e., \(P_0\) (minimum point), \(P_1\) (saddle point), and \(P_2\) (maximum point). At the points \(P_0\) or \(P_2\), step function singularity occurred in JDOS, while at the saddle point \(P_1\), JDOS was described by a logarithmic singularity [27]. In more detail, the \(E_{(k_x, k_y)} - E_{(k_x, k_y)}\) can be expanded in a Taylor series about the critical point. Limiting the expansion to quadratic terms, with the linear term does not occur due to property of the singularity, we then have

\[
E_c(k_x, k_y) - E_v(k_x, k_y) = E_0 + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( b_x \frac{k_x^2}{m_x} + b_y \frac{k_y^2}{m_y} \right) \tag{6}
\]

Therefore, three types of critical points emerge. For \(P_0\), \((b_x > 0, b_y > 0)\), for \(P_1\), \((b_x > 0, b_y < 0)\) or \((b_x < 0, b_y > 0)\), and for \(P_2\), \((b_x < 0, b_y < 0)\). In this paper, for the case of MoS\(_2\) multilayers, only the \(P_0\) critical point is involved.

Figure 6a gives the imaginary parts of dielectric function, \(\varepsilon^\eta\eta(\omega)\), of MoS\(_2\) multilayers for \(E|x|\) is parallel to the MoS\(_2\) \(x-y\) plane. We found an interesting phenomenon that the imaginary parts of dielectric function \(\varepsilon^\eta\eta(\omega)\) possess plateaus, and the plateaus of different layers of MoS\(_2\) are nearly equal in the range of 1.75 eV~2.19 eV. From the threshold energy up to 1.75 eV, \(\varepsilon^\eta\eta(\omega)\) are quite different for different multilayer of MoS\(_2\). The threshold and ending energies of the plateaus in different layers are different, especially, the energy range of \(\varepsilon^\eta\eta(\omega)\) plateau of the monolayer is significantly broader than those of other multilayers. The threshold energy of monolayer MoS\(_2\) dielectric function is equal to its direct bandgap of 1.64 eV. However, the threshold energy of bilayer dielectric function is not the indirect bandgap of 1.17 eV but the minimum of direct energy gap of 1.62 eV between the valence and conduction bands. This is because that we study only the transitions between valence and conduction bands with the same electron wave vector, which are classified as direct optical transitions [36, 47]. As the number of MoS\(_2\) layers increased to 4, we found that \(\varepsilon^\eta\eta(\omega)\) of multilayer MoS\(_2\) systems were almost indistinguishable from bulk. Hence, we discuss here in details only the plateaus of the monolayer, bilayer, and trilayer, as well as bulk MoS\(_2\). The \(\varepsilon^\eta\eta(\omega)\) plateaus of monolayer, bilayer, trilayer, and bulk MoS\(_2\) ended at 2.57 eV, 2.28 eV,
2.21 eV, and 2.19 eV, respectively. To explain this more precisely, JDOS of monolayer, bilayer, trilayer, and bulk MoS$_2$ are shown in Fig. 7. From Fig. 7, the plateaus are also shown to be in the JDOS. The plateaus of monolayer, bilayer, and trilayer JDOS ended at 2.57 eV, 2.28 eV, 2.21 eV, respectively, which are exactly the same as those in their $\varepsilon_{xx}^2(\omega)$. For bulk MoS$_2$, the plateau of JDOS ended at 2.09 eV, which is slightly smaller than 2.19 eV in the dielectric function $\varepsilon_{xx}^2(\omega)$.

To analyze accurately the electronic transitions and for a detailed analysis of the dielectric function $\varepsilon_{xx}^2(\omega)$, the direct energy gaps, $\Delta E(\text{NC} - \text{NV})$, between conduction and valence bands of monolayer, bilayer, trilayer, and bulk MoS$_2$ are presented in Fig. 8. The notations NC and NV represent the ordinal numbers of conduction and valence bands. Hence, NC = 1, 2, and 3 signify the lowest, the second lowest, and the third lowest unoccupied band of material. On the other hand, NV = 9, 18, and 27 (which is dependent on the number of electrons in the unit cell) signify the highest occupied band of monolayer, bilayer, and trilayer MoS$_2$, respectively. For monolayer, in the region of 0 ~ 2.57 eV, the electronic transitions are found to be contributed only from the highest occupied band NV = 9 to the lowest unoccupied band NC = 1. From Fig. 8a, a minimum appears at high symmetry point K and the threshold of JDOS (Fig. 7a) appears at 1.64 eV which is actually the direct bandgap of the monolayer MoS$_2$. In the vicinity of high symmetry point K, the curve of $\Delta E(\text{NC} = 1 - \text{NV} = 9)$ is similar to a parabola for monolayer MoS$_2$. Therefore, $\nabla_\mathbf{k}(E_{\mathbf{k}} - E_{\mathbf{k}0}) = 0$ at K point, which means a critical point at high symmetry point K. In a two-dimensional structure, this critical point belongs to $P_0$ type singularity [27], and therefore it leads to a step in the JDOS. Thus, the threshold energy of the JDOS plateau is found at critical point energy 1.64 eV. The ending energy of the JDOS plateau is near 2.57 eV, which is resulted from the appearance of two $P_0$ type singularities at point B1 ($\mathbf{k} = (0.00, 0.16, 0.00)$) and point B2 ($\mathbf{k} = (-0.10, 0.20, 0.00)$). The slopes of the $\Delta E(\text{NC} = 1 - \text{NV} = 9)$ curve near the two critical points B1

![Fig. 6](image)

Fig. 6  a The imaginary parts of the dielectric function, b the real parts of the dielectric function, c the absorption coefficients, and d the reflectivity spectra, for different number of MoS$_2$ layers. The inset in c also shows the experimental data [46].
and B2 are very small, which give rise to a rapid increase in JDOS (see Eq. (5)). Main critical points for these long plateaus of JDOS are listed in Table 2, including type, location, transition bands, and the direct energy gap $\Delta E(NC - NV)$. Furthermore, we found that $\nabla_k E_{ck} = \nabla_k E_{vk} = 0$ happened at high symmetry point K where the slopes of the valence and conduction bands are horizontal. While $\nabla_k E_{ck} = \nabla_k E_{vk} \neq 0$ happened at points B1 and B2, which means that slopes of two bands are parallel. Simultaneously, analysis on the band structures and direct energy gaps (see Fig. 8a) for the monolayer show that, when the direct energy gap $\Delta E$ is below 2.65 eV, only the transitions between $NV = 9$ and $NC = 1$ contribute to JDOS; when the $\Delta E$ is larger than 2.65 eV, the transitions of $NV = 9$ to $NC = 2$ also begin to contribute to JDOS; while when the $\Delta E$ reaches above 2.86 eV, the $NV = 9$ to $NC = 3$ transitions have effect on JDOS. It should be pointed out that for energy larger than 2.65 eV, many bands in Fig. 8a will contribute to JDOS. JDOS of monolayer MoS$_2$ exhibits a plateau in the range of 1.64 ~ 2.57 eV and the variation of the expression $|M_{vc}|^2/\omega^2$ is found to be small in this range. According to Eqs. (1) and (5), the imaginary part of the dielectric function $\varepsilon_{xx}^\Im(\omega)$ is mainly decided by the JDOS and the transition matrix elements, this gives a similar plateau for the imaginary part of dielectric function $\varepsilon_{xx}^\Im(\omega)$ as compared to JDOS.

For bilayer MoS$_2$, in the region of 0 ~ 2.28 eV (the endpoint of JDOS plateau), the electronic transitions are contributed to $NV = 17, 18$ to $NC = 1, 2$. The minimum energy in $\Delta E(NC - NV)$ is situated at the K point with a gap of 1.62 eV. In Fig. 8b, similar to monolayer MoS$_2$, bilayer MoS$_2$ holds two parabolic curves going upward (which come from $\Delta E(NC = 1 - NV = 18)$ and $\Delta E(NC = 2 - NV = 18)$) at K point. Therefore, there are two $P_0$ type singularities ($\nabla_k(E_{ck} - E_{vk}) = 0$) at K point, causing a step in the JDOS. The critical point energies are both 1.62 eV, this is because that the conduction bands ($NC = 1$ and $NC = 2$) are degenerate at point K (as shown in Fig. 3b), which results in the same direct energy gap between transitions of $NV = 18$ to $NC = 1$ and $NV = 18$ to $NC = 2$. 

---

Fig. 7 Joint density of states for the monolayer, bilayer, trilayer, and bulk MoS$_2$
There are three, six, twelve, and six critical points in interband transitions for the monolayer, bilayer, trilayer, and bulk MoS$_2$, respectively.

**Table 2** Main critical points in interband transitions below 3 eV. For the meaning of the parameters in the table, please refer to Fig. 3 and Fig. 8.

| Type | Location | Interband transition | Direct energy gap $\Delta E(\text{NC} - \text{NV})$ |
|------|----------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1L   | $P_0$    | K (−0.33, 0.66, 0.00) | NV = 9 → NC = 1 | 1.64 eV |
|      | $P_0$    | B1(Γ − M)(0.00, 0.16, 0.00) | NV = 9 → NC = 1 | 2.57 eV |
|      | $P_0$    | B2(Γ − K)(−0.10, 0.20, 0.00) | NV = 9 → NC = 1 | 2.57 eV |
| 2L   | $P_0$    | K (−0.33, 0.66, 0.00) | NV = 18 → NC = 1, 2 | 1.62 eV |
|      | $P_0$    | K (−0.33, 0.66, 0.00) | NV = 17 → NC = 1, 2 | 1.69 eV |
|      | $P_0$    | Γ(0.00, 0.00, 0.00) | NV = 18 → NC = 1, 2 | 2.28 eV |
| 3L   | $P_0$    | K (−0.33, 0.66, 0.00) | NV = 27 → NC = 1, 2, 3 | 1.61 eV |
|      | $P_0$    | K (−0.33, 0.66, 0.00) | NV = 26 → NC = 1, 2, 3 | 1.66 eV |
|      | $P_0$    | K (−0.33, 0.66, 0.00) | NV = 25 → NC = 1, 2, 3 | 1.72 eV |
|      | $P_0$    | Γ(0.00, 0.00, 0.00) | NV = 27 → NC = 1, 2, 3 | 2.21 eV |
| Bulk | $P_0$    | K (−0.33, 0.66, 0.00) | NV = 18 → NC = 1, 2 | 1.59 eV |
|      | $P_0$    | K (−0.33, 0.66, 0.00) | NV = 17 → NC = 1, 2 | 1.73 eV |
|      | $P_0$    | Γ(0.00, 0.00, 0.00) | NV = 18 → NC = 1, 2 | 2.09 eV |

**Fig. 8** Direct energy gaps, $\Delta E(\text{NC} - \text{NV})$, between conduction and valence bands for the a monolayer, b bilayer, c trilayer, and d bulk MoS$_2$. a–d There are three, six, twelve, and six critical points in interband transitions for the monolayer, bilayer, trilayer, and bulk MoS$_2$, respectively.
From Fig. 8b, as the direct energy gap is increased to 1.69 eV, two new parabolas (which come from $\Delta \varepsilon(\text{NC} = 1 \rightarrow \text{NV} = 17)$ and $\Delta \varepsilon(\text{NC} = 2 \rightarrow \text{NV} = 17)$) appear and two new singularities emerge again at K point in the direct energy gap graph, leading to a new step in JDOS for bilayer MoS$_2$ (see Fig. 7b). As a result, the JDOS of the bilayer MoS$_2$ has two steps around the threshold of long plateau (see inset in Fig. 7b). Two parabolas (in Fig. 8b) contribute to the first step and four parabolas contribute to the second step in JDOS. It means that the value of the second step is roughly the double of the first one. As the $\Delta \varepsilon$ reaches to 2.28 eV, two new singularities appear at $\Gamma$ point (where interband transitions come from $\Gamma(\text{NV} = 18 \rightarrow \text{NC} = 1)$ and $\Gamma(\text{NV} = 18 \rightarrow \text{NC} = 2)$), which have great contribution to the JDOS and bring the end to the plateau. Our calculations demonstrate that $\nabla_k E_{\Gamma k} = \nabla_k E_{\Gamma k'} = 0$ are satisfied not only at high symmetry point K, but also at high symmetry point $\Gamma$. Similar to the case of monolayer, we found that the term of $|M_{\text{tot}}|^2/\omega^2$ is a slowly varying function in the energy range of bilayer JDOS plateau; hence, $\xi_2^{\alpha}(\omega)$ of bilayer have a similar plateau in the energy range.

For trilayer MoS$_2$, in the region of $0 \sim 2.21$ eV, the JDOS are contributed from electronic transitions of $\text{NV} = 25, 26, \text{and 27 to NC} = 1, 2, \text{and 3}$. As shown in Fig. 8c, trilayer MoS$_2$ have nine singularities at three different energies ($\Delta \varepsilon = 1.61$ eV, 1.66 eV, and 1.72 eV, respectively) at the K point. Figure 3c depicts that the conduction bands (NC = 1, 2, 3) are three-fold degenerate at point K; this means that there are three singularities at each critical point energy. According to our previous analysis, the JDOS and $\xi_2^{\alpha}(\omega)$ of trilayer MoS$_2$ will show three steps near the thresholds of the long plateaus, the endpoints of the long plateaus of trilayer JDOS, and $\xi_2^{\alpha}(\omega)$ are then owing to the appearance of three singularities at $\Gamma$ point with $\Delta \varepsilon = 2.21$ eV (see Fig. 7c), which come from the interband transitions of $\Gamma(\text{NV} = 27 \rightarrow \text{NC} = 1, 2, 3)$.

For bulk MoS$_2$, the thresholds of $\xi_2^{\alpha}(\omega)$ and JDOS are also located at K point, with the smallest $\Delta \varepsilon(\text{NC} \rightarrow \text{NV})$ equals to 1.59 eV. Nevertheless, there is no obvious step appeared in the thresholds of plateaus for both the $\xi_2^{\alpha}(\omega)$ and JDOS (see Fig. 6a and Fig. 7d). Based on the previous analysis, the number of steps in the monolayer, bilayer, and trilayer MoS$_2$ are 1, 2, and 3, respectively. As the number of MoS$_2$ layers increases, the number of steps also increases in the vicinity of the threshold energy. Thus, in the bulk MoS$_2$, the JDOS curve is composed of numerous small steps around the threshold energy of the long plateau, and finally the small steps disappear near the threshold energy since the width of the small steps decreases. In the region of $0 \sim 2.09$ eV, the electron transitions of bulk MoS$_2$ are contributed to NV = 17, 18 to NC = 1, 2. The 2.09 eV is the endpoint of JDOS plateau of bulk MoS$_2$, which is attributed to two singularities, i.e., the interband transitions of $\Gamma(\text{NV} = 18 \rightarrow \text{NC} = 1)$ as well as $\Gamma(\text{NV} = 18 \rightarrow \text{NC} = 2)$, as presented in Fig. 8d. However, the plateau endpoint of the imaginary part of dielectric function $\xi_2^{\alpha}(\omega)$ is 2.19 eV, which is greater than the counterpart of JDOS (e.g., 2.09 eV). Checked the transition matrix elements, it verified that some transitions are forbidden by the selection rule in the range of 2.09 eV to 2.19 eV. Therefore, the imaginary part of the dielectric function $\xi_2^{\alpha}(\omega)$ is nearly invariable in the range of 2.09 $\sim$ 2.19 eV. As a result, the plateau of $\xi_2^{\alpha}(\omega)$ of bulk MoS$_2$ is then 1.59 $\sim$ 2.19 eV.

It has been shown that these thresholds of the JDOS plateaus are determined by singularities at the K point for all of the studied materials, see Fig. 8. The endpoint energy of the monolayer JDOS plateau is determined by two critical points at B1 and B2 (Fig. 8a). Nevertheless, the endpoint energies of bilayer, trilayer, and bulk JDOS plateaus are all dependent on the critical points at $\Gamma$ (Fig. 8b–d). The interlayer coupling near point $\Gamma$ is significantly larger than the near point K for all the systems of multilayer MoS$_2$. The smallest direct energy gap decreases and the interlayer coupling increases as the number of layers grow. With the layer number increases, a very small decrease of direct energy gap at point K and a more significant decrease of direct energy gap at point $\Gamma$ can be observed, as a result, a faint red shift in the threshold energy and a bright red shift in the end of both JDOS and $\xi_2^{\alpha}(\omega)$ plateaus can also be found. For monolayer MoS$_2$, the smallest $\Delta \varepsilon(\text{NC} \rightarrow \text{NV})$ at point $\Gamma$ is 2.75 eV which is larger than that at point B1 (or point B2) with a value around 2.57 eV. When it goes to multilayer and bulk MoS$_2$, the strong interlayer coupling near point $\Gamma$ makes the smallest $\Delta \varepsilon(\text{NC} \rightarrow \text{NV})$ at $\Gamma$ less than those at point B1 (or point B2). Hence, monolayer owns the longest plateau of JDOS, which is between 1.64 eV and 2.57 eV. The shortest plateau of JDOS (from 1.59 eV to 2.09 eV) is shown in the bulk.

As the energy is increased to the value larger than the endpoint of long platform of the dielectric function, a peak A can be found at the position around 2.8 eV, for almost all the studied materials (Fig. 6a). The width of peak A for monolayer is narrower compared with those of multilayer MoS$_2$; however, the intensity of peak A for monolayer is found to be a little stronger than multilayers. The differences between the imaginary parts of dielectric function for the monolayer and multilayer MoS$_2$ are evident, on the other hand, the differences are small for multilayer MoS$_2$.

In order to explore the detailed optical spectra of MoS$_2$ multilayers, the real parts of the dielectric function $\epsilon_1(\omega)$, the absorption coefficients $\alpha(\omega)$, and the reflectivity spectra $R(\omega)$ are presented in Fig. 6b–d. Our
calculated data of bulk MoS2 for the real and imaginary parts of the dielectric function, ε₁(ω) and ε₂(ω), the absorption coefficient a(ω) and the reflectivity R(ω) agree well with the experimental data, except for the excitonic features near the band edge [48–50]. The calculated values of ε₁(0), which is called the static dielectric constant, for MoS2 multilayers and bulk can be found in Table 1. From Table 1, the calculated values of ε₂²(0) for multilayers and bulk MoS2 are all around 15.5, which is very close to the experimental value of 15.0 for bulk MoS2 [50]. The values of ε₂²(0) increase with the increasing number of MoS2 layers. For monolayer MoS2, a clear peak B of ε₂²(ω) appears about 2.54 eV. Peak B of monolayer is clearly more significant than multilayers, and they are all similar for multilayer MoS2. As the layer number increases, the sharp structures (peak B) also move left slightly. In Fig. 6c, we also observe the emergence of long plateaus in the absorption coefficients, and absorption coefficients are around 1.5 × 10^5 cm⁻¹ at the long plateaus. There are also small steps around the thresholds for the absorption coefficients, which are consistent to those of the imaginary parts of dielectric function. With the layer number increases, the threshold energy of absorption coefficient decreases, while the number of small steps increases at the starting point of the plateau. For monolayer and multilayer MoS2, strong absorption peaks emerge at visible light range (1.65–3.26 eV), and the monolayer MoS2 own a highest absorption coefficient of 1.3 × 10⁶ cm⁻¹. The theoretical absorption coefficients for different number of MoS2 layers are compared with confocal absorption spectral imaging of MoS2 (the inset) [46], as shown in Fig. 6c. For monolayer and multilayer MoS2, a largest peak of a(ω) can be found at the position around 2.8 eV for both the calculation and experiment [46, 51]. Furthermore, a smoothly increase of a(ω) can be found between 2.2 and 2.8 eV for both the theoretical and experimental curves. Therefore, from Fig. 6c, the calculated absorption coefficients of MoS2 multilayers show fairly good agreement with the experimental data [46], except for the excitonic peaks. The reflectivity spectra are given in Fig. 6d. The reflectivity spectra of MoS2 multilayers are all about 0.35–0.36 when energy is zero, which means that MoS2 system can reflect about 35% of the incident light. In the region of visible light, the maximum reflectivity of monolayer MoS2 is 64%, while the maxima of multilayer and bulk MoS2 are all about 58%. Because of the behaviors discussed, MoS2 monolayer and multilayers are being considered for photovoltaic applications.

**Conclusions**

In this study, by employing ab initio calculations, the electronic and optical properties of MoS2 multilayers are investigated. Compared to bulk MoS2, the covalency and ionicity of monolayer MoS2 are found to be stronger, which results from larger quantum confinement in the monolayer. With the increase of the layer number, quantum confinement and intra-layer interaction both decrease, meanwhile, the interlayer coupling increases, which result in the decrease of the band gap and the minimum direct energy gap. As the layer number becomes larger than two, the optical and electronic properties of MoS2 multilayers start to exhibit those of bulk. Band structures of multilayers and bulk show splitting of bands mainly around the Γ-point; however, splitting of bands in the vicinity of K point are tiny, owing to the small interlayer coupling at point K.

For optical properties, Van Hove singularities lead to the occurrence of long plateaus in both JDOS and ε₂²(ω). At the beginnings of these long plateaus, monolayer, bilayer, and trilayer structures appear one, two, and three small steps, respectively. With the layer number increases, the number of small steps increases and the width of the small steps decreases, leading to unobvious steps. A small red shift in the threshold energy and a noticeable red shift in the energy of absorption coefficient decreases, while the number of small steps increases at the starting point of the plateau. For monolayer and multilayer MoS2, strong absorption peaks emerge at visible light range (1.65–3.26 eV), and the monolayer MoS2 own a highest absorption coefficient of 1.3 × 10⁶ cm⁻¹. The theoretical absorption coefficients for different number of MoS2 layers are compared with confocal absorption spectral imaging of MoS2 (the inset) [46], as shown in Fig. 6c. For monolayer and multilayer MoS2, a largest peak of a(ω) can be found at the position around 2.8 eV for both the calculation and experiment [46, 51]. Furthermore, a smoothly increase of a(ω) can be found between 2.2 and 2.8 eV for both the theoretical and experimental curves. Therefore, from Fig. 6c, the calculated absorption coefficients of MoS2 multilayers show fairly good agreement with the experimental data [46], except for the excitonic peaks. The reflectivity spectra are given in Fig. 6d. The reflectivity spectra of MoS2 multilayers are all about 0.35–0.36 when energy is zero, which means that MoS2 system can reflect about 35% of the incident light. In the region of visible light, the maximum reflectivity of monolayer MoS2 is 64%, while the maxima of multilayer and bulk MoS2 are all about 58%. Because of the behaviors discussed, MoS2 monolayer and multilayers are being considered for photovoltaic applications.
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