Drying kinetics and sensory characteristics of dehydrated pumpkin seeds (*Cucurbita moschata*) obtained by refractance window drying
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**A B S T R A C T**

The current importance of pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata*) in national food security has progressively encouraged research on this fruit. This is how pumpkin seeds constitute a potential raw material to obtain dehydrated products for direct consumption. In this research, we compared the drying kinetics, effective diffusivity (*D*~*e*~) and sensory perception in a non-trained panel of dehydrated pumpkin seeds through refractance window drying (RW) and convective air drying (CA). RW drying was carried out in a laboratory-scale hydro-dryer and CA drying was carried out in a dryer with hot air circulation; both at 80 ± 2 °C. Sensory acceptability (appearance, aroma, taste and texture) was evaluated by an affective test on a hedonic scale from 1 to 5 with 60 panelists. The drying curves (MR vs t) were fitted to four kinetic models: Newton, Logarithmic, Page and Midilli et al. *D*~*e*~ was determined by the second Fick’s Law solution. The best model for RW drying was logarithmic, and *D*~*e*~ = 6.60 × 10^{-10} m²/s (*R*² = 0.9927); while for CA, it was Midilli et al., with the *D*~*e*~ found through this method being 9.60 × 10^{-10} m²/s (*R*² = 0.9928). Dry seeds by RW obtained a general acceptance of 3.82, compared to 3.63 by CA. Results allow us to conclude that among the drying methods evaluated, there is not statistically significant differences, in terms of dehydration characteristics and sensory acceptability, constituting RW drying as an alternative method for obtaining dehydrated pumpkins seeds for direct consumption.

1. Introduction

The pumpkin is a plant-based product belonging to the Cucurbitaceae family (*Avila Pinilla, 2017*). In Colombia in 2017, its production was 124,001 tons (*Correa Álvarez et al., 2019*). *Cucurbita moschata* being the predominant species whose stem is long, thin, and knotted. The fruit has a medium size with a softer shell and dark colored seeds, depending on its variety. The fruit can be presented in different shapes: spherical, ellipsoidal, oblate, pear-shaped, straight or curved bottle-shaped (*León, 2000*). In addition to its high macro and micronutrient content, the pumpkin is rich in phytoconstituents and antioxidants, with antifungal, anti-inflammatory and anticancer properties also being attributed to it (especially against lung and colon cancer) (*Yadav et al., 2010*). Physiologically, a pumpkin is made up of four main parts: the pulp, shell, seeds, and strands, all of which are edible, either directly or processed as a form of food enrichment; for example, soups, creams, and homemade sweets to bakery products with partial pumpkin flour substitution, as well as different pulp and seed snacks (*Syam et al., 2020*).

Pumpkin seeds have a high nutritional content: proteins (between 274.85 and 308.92 g/kg), carbohydrates (between 122.2 and 140.19 g/kg), lipids (between 439.88 and 524.34 g/kg) and ashes (between 44.22 and 55.02 g/kg), depending on the variety (*Mi et al., 2012*). Therefore, it could constitute a response to the national or global food security policy, not only because of its nutritional value, but also because of the diversity of consumption or forms of use; from flour to enriched bakery products (*Jacinto et al., 2020*), oils, ready-to-eat snacks, whether toasted or dried, to it being an ingredient in cereal bar production (*Silva et al., 2014*).

Refractance window drying (RW) is an innovative and efficient method for drying thin materials (*Ortiz-Jerez et al., 2015*). This type of drying is theoretically based on three ways of transferring heat: conduction, convection and radiation, using water as a heating medium. Water, at atmospheric pressure conditions and below the boiling point, transfers thermal energy to the product through a transparent plastic sheet to infrared radiation (e.g. Mylar™) (*Ochoa-Martínez et al., 2012*).

In addition, to guarantee energy efficiency, hot water is recycled and reused, reducing the cost by almost half when compared to lyophilization (*Nindo and Tang, 2007; Puente-Díaz et al., 2020*). In addition, it provides benefits in terms of the retention of quality characteristics from dehydrated foods, a greater energy efficiency, and inactivation of most pathogenic vegetative bacteria (*Waghmare, 2021*).
Mathematical models of drying processes are used to design new drying systems, to improve existing ones, or even to control the drying process (Deynazy, 2007). These are important when explaining the behavior of the process and extrapolating it to other operating conditions. Some models that have been successfully adjusted to the drying conditions of seeds of agricultural products, found in the literature, are the empirical or semi-theoretical models of Page, Lewis, or Henderson-Pabis for convective drying of grapes seeds (Roberts et al., 2008), Henderson-Pabis model for convective drying of Orange seed (Penteado-Rosa et al., 2015), Wang and Sing (empirical), Midilli et al., Page, Verma, Logarithmic and Approximation of Diffusion models for hot air drying of sunflower seeds (Smanioto et al., 2017), Midilli et al., Logarithmic and Approximation of Diffusion, for convective drying of watermelon seeds (Dhurve et al., 2022), Logarithmic model for convective drying of hull-less pumpkin seeds (Sacilik, 2007), and Page model showed the best fitting result for fluidized bed drying of pumpkin seeds (Mujaffar and Ramsumair, 2019).

In order to dehydrate pumpkin seeds, several techniques have been used, such as fluidized bed drying with temperatures between 50 and 80 °C (Mujaffar and Ramsumair, 2019), solar tunnel drying (Sacilik, 2007), and traditional hot air drying (Can, 2007; Sacilik, 2007). However, there is little information on thin-layer modelling of pumpkin seeds using drying methods. There is, therefore, the need to study the thin-layer modeling of pumpkin seeds in order to understand the drying process. Currently, there are no literature reports for RW drying pumpkin seeds (C. moschata variety), nor information on the tastes and acceptability preferences by consumers for this product, which is important for knowing the market potential it may have, making it necessary to apply sensory analysis from methods known as consumer-oriented tests (COT) (Lawless and Heymann, 2010; Watts et al., 1989).

The objective of this research was to estimate the effective diffusivity and to determine the most appropriate thin layer kinetic model for refractance window (RW) drying of pumpkin seeds (C. moschata), as well as to evaluate the sensory perception of dehydrated samples in different attributes through a panel of untrained consumers.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material sampling and pretreatment

Pumpkin (C. moschata) was purchased at a local market in the city of Medellín (Colombia). It was then disinfected, peeled and cut around the equator, after which the seeds were separated from the strands and washed to remove residue. For this study, whole pumpkin seeds were used since no treatment was applied to remove the hull from the seed. They were kept at room conditions (RH = 68% and T = 25 °C) until the time of analysis. The samples’ initial moisture was determined by the progressive heating infrared balance method using an analytical balance (Shimadzu, model ATX224, Japan).

2.2. Pumpkin seeds drying

Refractance window (RW) drying was carried out in a non-commercial laboratory scale hydro-dryer by using a 5 L thermostatic bath (Thermo Scientific, model TSGP05, USA) with water at a constant temperature of 80 ± 2 °C, covered with a transparent plastic sheet for infrared, in which approximately 25 g of the sample were placed, evenly spread, for each test (Figure 1). The plastic sheet is a sheet of polyethylene terephthalate (low-density polyethylene) transparent to infrared radiation known as Mylar® (Puente-Díaz et al., 2020).

The bath temperature was set on the preliminary test basis, in which it was observed that a temperature above 80 °C created turbulence and air bubbles in the water bath, which interfered with the energy transfer through the sheet as mentioned in Clarke (2004). Additionally, according to literature revision, this temperature is equality efficient for the drying process while allows a better color and antioxidants content retention in food processing (Bernaert et al., 2019). For hot air drying (CA), a convective oven (Thermo scientific, model PR305225M, USA) was used at a constant temperature of 80 °C and an air speed of 0.8 m/s. All assays were carried out in triplicate. In each device, a single layer of pumpkin seeds distributed in the available drying area was dehydrated at the mentioned temperature (80 °C).

2.3. Sensory test

Consumer-oriented tests (COT) (Lawless and Heymann, 2010) such as preference (i.e., a comparison test) and acceptance (i.e., a hedonic scale), are easy to carry out. The latter is a test that measures the product’s subjective acceptance and preference by the consumer. It consists of delivering samples of the product to tasters and questioning them through a survey about their observations according to an established scale (Toscano-Palomar et al., 2020). In the hedonic scale, the taster expresses his or her acceptance of the product following a previously established scale, which gradually varies with the intensity of its attributes (Cordero-Bueso, 2017).

In order to know the acceptability of dried pumpkin seeds by refractance window as an alternative for direct consumption, the sensory characteristics of dehydrated products were evaluated by both drying methods: RW and CA, by the perception of an untrained panel and in a hedonic scale from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to “I dislike it very much”, 2 to “I dislike it”, 3 to “I slightly like it”, 4 to “I like it” and 5 corresponds to “I like it a lot”. An affective liking level test was applied to the attributes of appearance, aroma, taste and texture. 60 panelists of both sexes between 25 and 60 years old participated, belonging to different sectors of the city of Medellín. For all attributes, means and standard deviations were calculated for each treatment. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out using the Excel Statistical Add-in (Microsoft, version 2019). Sensory panelists were asked to sign a consent form to participate in a survey sponsored by the Universidad de Antioquia before receiving a set
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![Figure 1. Schematic of the refractance window dryer (RW).](image-url)
of paper instructions for performing the sensory test. The panelists were not informed about the differences between the samples used in the sensory tests, but they were assured of their safety and the confidentiality of data provided by each of them was guaranteed.

2.4. Kinetic drying model

Product moisture data ($X_i$) were taken at 80 °C every 5 min for 60 min, which were used to calculate the moisture dimensionless ratio (MR), defined as presented in Eq. (1):

$$MR = \frac{X_i - X_{eq}}{X_0 - X_{eq}}$$  

where $X_i$ is the moisture content at any time t; $X_0$ is the initial moisture content; and $X_{eq}$ is the equilibrium moisture content, all expressed on a dry basis (kg water/kg dry solid). A $X_0$ of 0.005 kg water/kg dry solid was considered (Can, 2007; Pinho et al., 2011), which corresponded to the moisture reached by the samples in a long period of time, in which it is assumed that equilibrium with the surrounding humidity was reached.

Experimental curves (MR vs t) were fitted using Excel (Microsoft, version 2019), to four thin-layer kinetic models, which are frequently used to describe the drying of agricultural products. The Newton, Logarithmic, Page and Midilli et al. models, shown in Table 1, were selected. The goodness of fit for the empirical models was obtained with the following statistical parameters: $R^2$ (distribution coefficient), $\gamma^2$ (chi square) and RMSE (root mean square error).

2.5. Estimation of effective diffusivity

Effective diffusivity ($D_f$) was obtained by the second Fick’s Law analytical solution (Eq. (2)) in rectangular coordinates, under the assumptions of moisture migration by diffusion in a single direction, with negligible shrinkage and external resistance. By solving Eq. (2), applying Crank’s solution (Crank, 1975), it gives Eq. (3) as a result:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = D_f \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial z^2}$$  

$$MR = \frac{X_i - X_{eq}}{X_0 - X_{eq}} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n + 1)^2} \exp\left(-\frac{(2n + 1)^2\pi^2F_0}{4L^2}\right)$$  

where the Fourier number ($F_0$) is given by $F_0 = D_f\frac{L^2}{\Delta t}$, where L is the product thickness (m).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Sampling and pretreatment

The samples had a thickness of 2.9 ± 0.3 mm and an initial moisture of 0.738 ± 0.013 kg water/kg dry solids (41.38 ± 3.12 % wb), these were dried to the final moisture content of 0.0112 kg water/kg dry solids for RW drying, and of 0.0129 kg water/kg dry solids for CA until no further changes in their mass were observed. Figure 2 shows that the CA method appears to be faster than RW drying. However, the vertical error bars may indicate that the differences are not statistically significant. The moisture ratio (MR) had values below 0.1 for both techniques. The samples reached a final moisture ratio of 0.07 for RW drying after 45 min and 0.02 for CA after 60 min. Similar results show that RW has a great capacity to dry foods in less time when is compared to CA at same temperature (Ochoa-Martinez et al., 2012; Jafari et al., 2015; Franco et al., 2019). Furthermore, RW drying has been suggested as a gentler method that can preserve the sensory attributes of the product (Jafari et al., 2015).

In RW drying, the $\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}$ variation only decreases, which indicates that the governing mechanism is humidity diffusion, since the water evaporation on the surface is immediate thanks to the refractance window, making drying faster and more efficient (Nindo and Tang, 2007). On the other hand, for convective air drying, rates correspond to two zones: constant and decreasing, controlled by the evaporative and diffusional mechanisms, respectively. A slow diffusional stage can extend the drying time because most of the drying occurs in it, since moisture diffuses through a solid state at a slower rate (Montes et al., 2008; Olawoye et al., 2017).

3.2. Kinetic modeling

Table 2 presents the Newton, Logarithmic, Page, and Midilli et al. model parameters, as well as the goodness of fit parameters of each model. These results indicate that the four models satisfactorily describe the pumpkin seeds drying curves at the studied temperature, once their distribution coefficient values ($R^2$) were higher than 95% and the relative mean errors (RMSE) less than 5%, for both drying methods. However, the model that best describes the data behavior in RW drying is the Logarithmic model, closely followed by the Midilli et al. model. Lately, this was also observed by Dhurve et al. (2022) in the convective drying of watermelon seeds. Similarly, the Midilli et al. model shows the best fit for CA, closely followed by the Page model. Likewise, Page model showed the best fitting result in a recent study about fluidized bed drying of pumpkin seeds (Mujaffar and Ramsumair, 2019).

These results indicate that the Midilli et al. model is a good model to represent the drying kinetics for both studied methods, as observed by Smaniotto et al. (2017), who studied eleven thin-layer models to adjust the sunflower seeds convective drying kinetics. In another research, Sacilik (2007) studied hull-less seed pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo L variety) drying at different temperatures with hot air, open solar drying and tunnel solar drying methods, and found that for all of them, the Logarithmic model is the one that best represents the thin-layer drying kinetics.

3.3. Estimation of effective diffusivity

It has been reported that the first three terms in Eq. (3), are enough to describe the drying process of small seed products (Can, 2007). However, considering a single direction of moisture flow and for sufficiently long times, the first term of the infinite series gives a good estimate (Disa et al., 2011; Guine and Barroca, 2012; Doymaz, 2016). The dimensionless $F_0$ ratio was optimized using Excel SOLVER (2019) by truncating the infinite series in the first term. The linear section slopes of the dimensionless ratio $F_0$ vs. time were obtained by linear regression analysis and were used to determine the effective water diffusivity coefficient ($D_f$) (Table 3).

Table 3 shows the effective diffusivity average values of pumpkin seeds dried through the RW and CA techniques, at 80 °C. A comparison of means was made with the LSD method, and it was found that there is not statistically significant differences between both dryings $D_f$ values. These values are in the same order of magnitude as those reported in a study of melon seeds convective drying at temperatures between 50 and 70 °C (Almeida et al., 2020), and of pumpkin seeds drying in a fluidized

| Model          | Equation                          | Reference         |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Newton         | $MR = \exp(-kt)$                  | Lewis (1921)      |
| Page           | $MR = \exp(-kt^2)$                | Page (1949)       |
| Logarithmic    | $MR = \exp(-kt) + c$              | Sacilik (2007)    |
| Midilli et al. | $MR = \exp(-kt^2) + bt$           | Midilli et al. (2002) |
bed at different air temperatures, including 80 °C (Mujaffar and Ramsain, 2019). In another study, Sacilik (2007) observed that the hull-less seed pumpkin effective diffusivity was in the order of $10^{-11}$ at lower drying temperatures (40–60 °C). This was also observed by Smaniott et al. (2017) during the sunflower seeds convective drying in a wide range of temperatures (35–95 °C). These variations occur because as the temperature increases, the vibration of water molecules increases and contributes to a faster diffusion (Coradi et al., 2016). It may also be due to the difference in reserves found in the seeds; because the higher the oil content inside, the lower the energy required for water removal (Smaniott et al., 2017).

### 3.4. Sensory analysis

Figure 3 shows the test results for the four attributes (appearance, aroma, taste, texture) and general perception. The ANOVA indicated no statistically significant differences between the drying treatments, with a significance level of 95% ($p < 0.05$). Dry seeds by both drying methods, obtained a general acceptance between the range of 3–4, where 3 corresponds to “I like it slightly” and 4 to “I like it”. None of the values fell into the extreme category: “I dislike it a lot” or “I like it a lot”. For both RW and CA drying, the attribute with the highest rating was texture, while the attribute with the lowest rating was aroma, as seen in Figure 3. This can also be corroborated in the radial graphs shown in Figure 4.

In a recent study with trained panelists, pumpkin seeds sensory profiles were evaluated, which were irradiated with high and low intensity electron beams. For aroma intensity, values between 4.2 and 4.5 were reported, and for taste between 6.0 and 6.3, on a scale from 1 to 10, when high irradiation intensity is used (Aisala et al., 2021), which are below those found in this study. In another study on sunflower seeds microwave drying and convective drying, according to the judges, the aroma intensity for convective drying was between 60 and 70%; this is similar to the aroma results found in the present study, whereas for the taste attribute these were lower (Goszkiewicz et al., 2020).

After texture, appearance and taste were the attributes best valued by participants. Since the appearance encompass all visually perceptible sensory impressions of foods, the advantages of drying foods using the RW technique in terms of this sensory aspect have been widely documented (Abonyi et al., 2002; Nayak et al., 2011; Baeghbali et al., 2016; Jafari et al., 2015; Puente et al., 2020). Given that it presents a slightly higher acceptability of the dry product, RW drying can be constituted as an alternative method for obtaining ready-to-eat dehydrated pumpkin seeds.

| Table 2. Parameters and goodness of fit for the thin-layer mathematical models selected to describe the pumpkin seeds drying kinetics by refractance window and convective air drying. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Model** | **Refractance window** | **Convective air** |
| Parameter | Value | $R^2$ | $\chi^2$ | RMSE | Value | $R^2$ | $\chi^2$ | RMSE |
| Newton | $k$ | 0.0657 | 0.9981 | 0.0003 | 0.0185 | 0.0896 | 0.9945 | 0.0014 | 0.0377 |
| Logarithmic | $k$ | 0.0703 | 0.9985 | 0.0003 | 0.0162 | 0.1021 | 0.9957 | 0.0007 | 0.0265 |
| | $a$ | 0.9847 | 0.0223 | | | 0.9271 | 0.0500 | 0.1626 | 0.9981 | 0.0003 | 0.0175 |
| Page | $k$ | 0.0731 | 0.9982 | 0.0003 | 0.0177 | 0.1626 | 0.9981 | 0.0003 | 0.0174 |
| | $n$ | 0.9636 | 0.9984 | 0.0003 | 0.0166 | 0.9645 | 0.9982 | 0.0003 | 0.0174 |
| Smaniott et al. | $k$ | 0.0668 | 0.9984 | 0.0003 | 0.0172 | 0.0657 | 0.9984 | 0.0003 | 0.0172 |
| | $a$ | 1.0045 | 0.0004 | -0.0001 | | 0.9974 | 0.0001 | 0.7690 | |
| | $b$ | 1.0016 | | | | 1.0016 | 0.0016 | | |
| | $n$ | 0.9829 | | | | 0.9829 | 0.9829 | 0.7690 | |

| Table 3. Pumpkin seeds thermal diffusivity according to the drying method. |
|-----------------------------|
| **Drying** | **Effective diffusivity, $D_e$ (m$^2$/s)** | $R^2$ |
| Refractance window (RW) | $6.60 \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.9927 |
| Convective air (CA) | $9.60 \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.9928 |
4. Conclusions

The drying kinetic characteristics of pumpkin seeds (*C. moschata*) are affected by the type of drying applied. The resulting effective diffusivities ($D_{ef}$) for both methods are in the same order of magnitude ($10^{-10}$) and are in agreement with reports for similar agricultural products. The four evaluated kinetic models are useful for adjusting the pumpkin seeds drying curves, Midilli et al., model being the most suitable for this adjustment by refractance window (RW) drying. The dry product sensory acceptability is the same for both RW and CA, with texture being the attribute with the best rating, with aroma being the worst. RW drying is an alternative method for obtaining dehydrated pumpkin seeds for direct consumption (snack type). For future work, it is recommended to review the effect of RW drying benefits on the nutritional and physical characteristics of seeds using instrumental methods.
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