Crosstalk Between Iron and Sulfur Homeostasis Networks in Arabidopsis
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The widespread deficiency of iron (Fe) and sulfur (S) is becoming a global concern. The underlying mechanisms regulating Fe and S sensing and signaling have not been well understood. We investigated the crosstalk between Fe and S using mutants impaired in Fe homeostasis, sulfate assimilation, and glutathione (GSH) biosynthesis. We showed that chlorosis symptoms induced by Fe deficiency were not directly related to the endogenous GSH levels. We found dynamic crosstalk between Fe and S networks and more interestingly observed that the upregulated expression of IRT1 and FRO2 under S deficiency in Col-0 was missing in the cad2-1 mutant background, which suggests that under S deficiency, the expression of IRT1 and FRO2 was directly or indirectly dependent on GSH. Interestingly, the bottleneck in sulfite reduction led to a constitutively higher IRT1 expression in the sir1-1 mutant. While the high-affinity sulfate transporter (Sultr1;2) was upregulated under Fe deficiency in the roots, the low-affinity sulfate transporters (Sultr2;1, and Sultr2;2) were down-regulated in the shoots of Col-0 seedlings. Moreover, the expression analysis of some of the key players in the Fe–S cluster assembly revealed that the expression of the so-called Fe donor in mitochondria (AtFH) and S mobilizer of group II cysteine desulfurase in plastids (AtNFS2) were upregulated under Fe deficiency in Col-0. Our qPCR data and ChIP-qPCR experiments suggested that the expression of AtFH is likely under the transcriptional regulation of the central transcription factor FIT.
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INTRODUCTION

Iron (Fe) and sulfur (S) are essential nutrients for plants. Plant responses to the limited supply of S or Fe have been extensively investigated with different experimental approaches (Lewandowska and Sirko, 2008; Watanabe et al., 2010). Since both of them are closely linked, the deficiency of one nutrient is supposed to regulate the uptake and availability of the other (Forieri et al., 2013; Zuchi et al., 2015). Therefore, the crosstalk between Fe and S has sparked interest. S deficiency has become a major concern in plant nutrition in recent years, especially due to decreased emission of SO2 and lower S supply through mineral fertilization (McGrath et al.,...
The responses of the plants to the S deficiency have been well documented in numerous studies (Hirai et al., 2003; Nikiforova et al., 2005; Watanabe et al., 2010). However, the mechanisms governing Fe and S sensing/signaling are far from being well understood. In contrast to soils that are depleted in S, Fe is abundant in soil, but its availability is very poor due to its insolubility in the soil matrix. Most of the studies aiming to elucidate Fe or S deficiency responses in plants were mainly focused on a single nutrient deficiency in Arabidopsis with few exceptions (Zuchi et al., 2009, 2015; Pii et al., 2015). However, in an agroecosystem, plants are likely subjected to the simultaneous deficiency of both nutrients, and it is reasonable to assume that the simultaneous limitation of the two nutrients may trigger responses quite distinct from those triggered by the individual limitations of the two nutrients. For example, earlier reports have shown that Fe deficiency induced more ethylene production in tomato roots, but this did not take place under dual starvation of Fe and S (Zuchi et al., 2009), suggesting that S deficiency alters the typical Fe deficiency responses in tomato (Zuchi et al., 2015). Other reports have demonstrated that the availability of S influences Fe uptake and that Fe deficiency results in modulation of S uptake and assimilation (Zuchi et al., 2009, 2015; Forieri et al., 2013). Since most of the metabolically active Fe is mainly conjugated with S to form Fe–S clusters, the provision of substrates (i.e., chelated Fe and reduced S in the form of cysteine) must be tightly regulated to meet the changing demands of plants for the assembly of Fe–S clusters and to avoid potentially toxic free Fe and sulfide (Forieri et al., 2013).

Recently, the correlation of an essential S-containing compound named glutathione (GSH) with tolerance to Fe has been demonstrated in Arabidopsis (Shanmugam et al., 2015). The GSH-deficient mutant zir1 showed sensitivity to Fe limitation, whereas overexpression of GSH increased tolerance to Fe deficiency. These findings suggest that GSH plays an essential role in Fe-limited conditions. Moreover, this study further demonstrated that GSH-deficient mutants accumulated lower levels of Fe under Fe-limited conditions compared to wild-type plants. Interestingly, significantly higher Fe translocation from roots to shoots has been reported in GSH-overproduced Brassica napus lines. This study implied that GSH overproduction via the overexpression of the key primary S metabolism-related genes may be a biotechnological means to increase Fe in plants (Rajab et al., 2020).

There is accumulating evidence to support that Fe and S do interact with each other and that the deficiency of one nutrient may affect the uptake and availability of the other. Moreover, plants exposed to the simultaneous deficiency of S and Fe in different agroecosystems may trigger more complex responses. It is, therefore, imperative not only to understand the impact of deficiency of one nutrient over the other but also to understand how plants react to dual Fe and S deficiency. The present work aimed to study the crosstalk between Fe and S in terms of individual and dual nutrient deficiencies via various molecular and experimental approaches using Arabidopsis mutants impaired in Fe homeostasis, sulfite reduction, and GSH biosynthesis.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant Material

The seeds of Arabidopsis mutant impaired in Fe homeostasis (fit1-2), sulfite reduction (sir1-1), and GSH biosynthesis (cad2-1, and sir1-1X cad2-1) were surface sterilized with 10% commercial bleach solution containing 0.1% Triton-X 100 for 15 min and then washed with sterile water 3–4 times. After 3 days of cold stratification at 4°C, the seeds were plated on solidified half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium plates consisting of 2.165 g/l MS basal salt mixture (PhytoTech United States), 0.5 g/l MES, 2% sucrose, 1% agar, pH 5.8. The seedlings were grown at 22°C under long-day conditions (16 h light and 8 h dark) for 7 days. 7-day-old seedlings were then used for further analysis.

#### Treatments of Fe and S Deficiency Stress

One-week old seedlings were transferred to half-strength MS medium with Fe and S (1/2MS+Fe+S), without Fe supply (1/2MS-Fe+S), without S supply (1/2MS+Fe-S), and without Fe and S supply (1/2MS-Fe-S) and cultivated for 4 days at 22°C under long-day conditions (16 h light and 8 h dark). The performance of the wild type (Col-0) and mutant lines under deficient conditions of nutrients were judged by documenting their phenotypes with the help of a digital camera.

#### Chlorophyll Measurement

One-week old seedlings of Col-0, fit1-2, sir1-1, cad2-1, and s1c2 grown on 1/2 MS were transferred to treatment plates for another 4 days. All shoots were collected, and fresh weights were determined. Chlorophyll was extracted in 10 ml of 90% acetone in the dark at room temperature. The supernatant was subjected to spectrophotometry at 647 and 664 nm. The total chlorophyll content was calculated as described previously (Jeffrey and Humphrey, 1975).

#### Root Length Measurement

One-week old seedlings of Col-0, fit1-2, sir1-1, cad2-1, and s1c2 grown on 1/2 MS were transferred to treatment plates for another 4 days and photographs were taken. Root length of seedlings was measured by Image J. For each experiment, at least 10 seedlings were measured for each repeat. Mean values of the three independent experiments were given.

#### RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNA was extracted using TRIZol Reagent (Invitrogen, United States) and treated with DNase I (Ambion, United States) to eliminate genomic DNA contamination. Revert Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific, United States) was used to synthesize first-strand cDNA from total RNA. Gene expression analysis was performed on the roots and shoots of the seedlings exposed to individual and dual Fe and S stress for 4 days. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on a LightCycler480 machine (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland) with the gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table S1) and...
SYBR Premix Ex Taq polymerase (Takara, Japan). The relative expression levels of genes were calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method using AtGADPH expression as a standard.

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay**

For quantitative Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChiP)-PCR assays, the roots of 35S:FIT-GFP (expressing the fusion protein FIT-GFP in wild type Col-0) were harvested after growing on half-strength MS agar plates without iron supply for 7 d. ChiP was performed as described by Bowler et al. (2004). The antibody against GFP was used to immunoprecipitate DNA/protein complexes from the chromatin preparation. DNA precipitated from the complexes was recovered, purified, and analyzed using the multiple-quantitative ChiP-PCR method, as described by Fan et al. (2014). The primers were designed to amplify fragments of 140–390 bp within the promoter region of genes and are provided in Supplementary Table S1.

**Statistical Analysis**

The statistics for the experimental data was performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.1. The data were analyzed by two Way Repeated Measures Analysis of Variance (Two-Way ANOVA) followed by the Dunnett’s test for the comparisons of all groups with the Col-0 control group.

**RESULTS**

**Effect of Fe and S Deficiency Stress on the Phenotype of Seedlings**

The physiological responses of GSH-deficient mutants sir1, cad2-1, and pad2-1 under Fe-deficient conditions have been previously reported (Shanmugam et al., 2015). These findings suggested that sir1, cad2-1, and pad2-1 mutants were more sensitive to Fe deficiency, and the endogenous levels of GSH in these mutants were associated with the Fe-sensitive phenotypes under Fe deficiency. To further investigate the association of steady-state levels of GSH with sensitivity to Fe limitation and its possible crosstalk with S metabolism, we used Fe-homeostasis related mutant fit1-2 and mutant impaired in sulfite reduction sir1-1 (Khan et al., 2010) along with cad2-1 (Cobbett et al., 1998), and the double mutant sir1-1Xcad2-1 (Speiser et al., 2018) in our study. The double mutant sir1-1Xcad2-1 will be hereafter referred to as slc2. It should be noted that despite severe sulfite reduction, the steady-state levels of GSH in the sir1-1 mutants were still similar to Col-0 (Khan et al., 2010). After 4 days of exposure to Fe-deficient conditions, sir1-1 and slc2 showed more chlorosis compared with Col-0 (Figures 1B,D). The cad2-1 mutant, which has 39% of the wild-type level of GSH, also looked paler than that of Col-0, but the extent of chlorosis was lower compared to slc2. Similarly, exposure of the seedlings to S-deficient conditions for 4 days led to a significant reduction in the overall growth of all the lines, including Col-0 (Figures 1C,E). However, the seedlings of all the mutants including Col-0 looked greener, compared to the seedlings growing on the Fe-deficient media.

Direct germination of seeds on Fe-deficient media for 2 weeks revealed an obvious Fe-sensitive phenotype for sir1-1 and slc2 compared to Col-0 (Supplementary Figure S1). The cad2-1 mutant did not exhibit such Fe-sensitive phenotype. The slc2 mutant was more chlorotic compared to sir1-1. The chlorosis became more and more pronounced over time under Fe-deficient conditions.

**Expression Analysis of the Fe-Homeostasis Related Genes in the Roots of Arabidopsis**

In strategy-1 plants like *Arabidopsis*, the Fe-regulated metal transporter (IRT1) and ferric chelate reductase (FRO2) are induced in the root epidermal cells in response to Fe deficiency (Connolly and Guerinot, 2002; Connolly et al., 2003; Schmidt, 2003), partly under the transcriptional regulation of the basic helix–loop–helix transcription factor FER-LIKE IRON DEFICIENCY-INDUCED FACTOR (FIT; Bauer et al., 2007). FIT is a central transcription factor and is itself upregulated by Fe deficiency (Colangelo and Guerinot, 2004; Yuan et al., 2005). In addition to Fe limitation, we analyzed the expression of the genes related to Fe uptake under dual (Fe and S) and individual (S) nutrient limitations. Apart from Fe deficiency, S deficiency also upregulated the expression of IRT1 in Col-0 (Figure 2A). Quite remarkably, the limitation of sulfate assimilation due to the lack of sulfite reduction in sir1-1 mutant (Khan et al., 2010) constantly activated IRT1 expression. Interestingly, the upregulated expression of IRT1 under S deficiency was directly or indirectly dependent on GSH because the upregulated expression of IRT1 disappeared in the cad2-1 mutant.

Just like IRT1, the expression of FRO2 was induced under S deficiency in addition to Fe limitation in Col-0 (Figure 2B). However, unlike IRT1, the lack of sulfite reduction in sir1-1 mutant did not constantly activate FRO2 expression. Moreover, the disappearance of upregulated FRO2 expression under S deficiency in sir1-1, cad2-1, and slc2 suggested that the expression of FRO2 was also directly or indirectly dependent on GSH1 and/or SIR. Under sole Fe and combined Fe and S deficiency, the expression of FIT and one of its activating partners called basic helix–loop–helix protein bHLH38 was induced in all lines (Figures 2C,D). However, unlike IRT1 and FRO2, the expression of FIT and bHLH38 did not change under S deficiency in Col-0. Under the dual deficiency of Fe and S, the expression levels of IRT1, FRO2, FIT, and bHLH38 were statistically similar to those observed under Fe deficiency.

As a major chelator of ferrous ions, nicotianamine (NA) plays a critical role in long-distance transport of Fe and transfer within the cell. The induction of two nicotianamine synthetase genes, NAS1, and NAS2, under Fe-starved conditions in *Arabidopsis* has already been reported (Kim et al., 2005; Klatte et al., 2009). In addition to Fe deficiency, we analyzed the expression of NAS1 and NAS2 in response to S deficiency and dual Fe and S deficiency. The expression of NAS1 was induced by Fe deficiency in wild type and all mutants (Figure 2E). In response to S deficiency, the expression of NAS1 was 1.9-fold higher in Col-0.
compared to control conditions. However, in the mutant backgrounds, S deficiency did not cause any differential regulations of NAS1 except cad2-1. Moreover, compared to individual Fe deficiency, dual starvation of Fe and S did not have any overriding effect on the expression of NAS1 gene in the roots. Under normal growth conditions, the expression of NAS2 gene was 2.1-fold, 1.9-fold, and 3.3-fold higher in fit1-2, sir1-1, and cad2-1 mutant than that of Col-0, respectively (Figure 2F). In response to Fe deficiency, NAS2 was highly upregulated in Col-0 and all mutants except fit1-2. Interestingly, in response to Fe starvation, the upregulation was 2.1-fold and 3.4-fold higher in sir1-1 and cad2-1 mutant, respectively, compared with Col-0. S starvation did not have any effect on the expression of NAS2 gene in all the tested lines. Moreover, in the case of dual nutrient starvation, the expression pattern of NAS2 for all the lines was not significantly different compared to those observed under Fe starvation alone.

Fe homeostasis is tightly regulated to maintain the optimal Fe level in plants. Arabidopsis FERRIC REDUCTASE DEFECTIVE 3 (FRD3) is an important component of the iron homeostasis (Rogers and Guerinot, 2002; Green and Rogers, 2004). Although the transcript level of FRD3 is only slightly regulated in response to Fe status (Rogers and Guerinot, 2002), we observed a significant accumulation of FRD3 transcripts in the roots of all mutants compared to Col-0, under the conditions of Fe deficiency (Figure 2G). Remarkably, the lack of sulfite reduction in sir1-1 and mutation in GSH1 allele in cad2-1 mutant constantly upregulated the expression of FRD3 under nonstress conditions. In response to S starvation, a tendency of downregulation was observed in the transcript levels of FRD3 in wild type and all mutant lines, compared to their respective normal growth conditions. Moreover, under dual Fe and S starvation, the expression of FRD3 was significantly low in fit1-2 and sir1-1 mutants compared to their respective Fe starved conditions.

Expression Analysis of Sulfate Transporter Genes
Expression patterns of the sulfate transporter genes from group 1 and group 2 were evaluated (Figure 3). The high-affinity sulfate transporter (AtSULTR1;2) is expressed predominately in roots...
and is responsible for the uptake of sulfate from soil solution into the root cells (Shibagaki et al., 2002; Yoshimoto et al., 2002). In response to Fe and S deficiency, the transcript of sultr1;2 was 4.6-fold and 3-fold higher than that of Col-0 under the normal growth conditions, respectively (Figure 3A).

In the fit1-2 mutant, the expression of AtSULTR1;2 tended to be higher than that of Col-0 under normal growth conditions. Interestingly, the upregulated expression of AtSULTR1;2 under Fe deficiency was directly or indirectly dependent on FIT and GSH1 because the upregulated expression of AtSULTR1;2 disappeared in fit1-2 and cad2-1. In the cad2-1 mutant, AtSULTR1;2 was significantly upregulated in response to dual nutrient starvation, but remained unchanged under the imposition of sole Fe or S starvation. The low-affinity sulfate transporters of group 2 are responsible for the translocation of sulfate within the plant. AtSULTR1;2 and AtSULTR2;2 are expressed throughout the plant in vascular tissues (Buchner et al., 2004). The expression of AtSULTR1;2 was significantly downregulated under the sole
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Fe deficiency in the shoots of Col-0, and sir1-1 (Figure 3B). However, contrary to the downregulated expression of AtSULTR2;1 under Fe deficiency in Col-0, and sir1-1, the expression of AtSULTR2;1 was rather significantly upregulated under Fe deficiency in the GSH-deficient cad2-1 and s1c2 mutants. It is noteworthy to mention that the lack of FIT in the fit1-2 mutant, constantly downregulated the expression of AtSULTR2;1, irrespective of the nutrient status. Moreover, the expression of AtSULTR2;1 remained unchanged in response to 4 days of S starvation in Col-0. Dual nutrient limitations triggered quite distinct and opposite responses compared to those observed under sole Fe or S deficiency in GSH-deficient mutants. The expression of AtSULTR2;2 was significantly downregulated only in response to sole Fe deficiency but remained unchanged in response to 4 days of S or dual nutrient starvation in the shoots of Col-0. Strikingly, contrary to the expression of AtSULTR2;1, the lack of FIT in the fit1-2 mutant constantly activated the expression of AtSULTR2;2 under all experimental conditions except dual nutrient limitations (Figure 3C). Similarly, bottleneck in assimilatory sulfate reduction and GSH biosynthesis constantly activated the expression of AtSULTR2;2 in the sir1-1 and cad2-1mutant. The expression of AtSULTR2;2 was maintained at a significantly higher level in s1c2 mutant irrespective of the S status. However, its expression was downregulated under dual nutrient limitation, compared to Col-0.

Expression Profiles of the Genes Related to Fe–S Cluster Biosynthesis in Arabidopsis

CyaY/frataxin (annotated as AtFH in Arabidopsis) is an essential component for Fe–S cluster biosynthesis (Lill and Mühlenhoff, 2008; Stemmler et al., 2010), and plays a central role in regulating Fe homeostasis in mitochondria (Radisky et al., 1999; Bulteau et al., 2004). CyaY functions as Fe donor in the assembly of Fe–S (Klinge et al., 2007; Jain et al., 2014). The expression of CyaY/AtFH was induced by Fe and dual Fe and S deficiency in the roots of all lines (Figure 4A). However, the upregulated expression of CyaY/AtFH under Fe and dual Fe and S deficiency was directly or indirectly dependent on FIT because the upregulated expression of CyaY/AtFH disappeared in the fit1-2 mutant. To further check the role of FIT in the regulation of CyaY/AtFH expression, we analyzed the expression profiles of CyaY/AtFH in the double overexpressor of FIT and its activating
partner \( bHLH38 \) (i.e., OxFITXOxbHLH38). \( CyaY/AtFH \) was constitutively overexpressed in the OxFITXOxbHLH38 under nonstress conditions (Supplementary Figure S2). These experiments indicated that FIT might initiate \( AtFH \) expression through directly binding its promoter. We then performed ChIP-qPCR experiments to determine whether FIT directly interacts with the promoters of \( AtFH \). Our ChIP-qPCR results support the \( in vivo \) binding of FIT to the promoters of \( AtFH \) (Figure 5). The deficiency of \( S \) alone did not cause any significant change in the expression \( CyaY \) in all the lines. In \( Escherichia coli \), ISC and SUF are the two \([Fe-S]\) biosynthesis systems. The group I cysteine desulfurase IscS (annotated as \( AtNFS1 \) in Arabidopsis) mobilizes \( S \) from cysteine in mitochondria for the biosynthesis of \( Fe-S \) clusters. The expression of \( AtNFS1 \) did not change in response to sole \( Fe \) or \( S \) and dual deficiency of \( Fe \) and \( S \) in the shoots of all lines (Figure 4D). However, in the roots \( S \) deficiency did cause a significant upregulation of \( AtNFS1 \) expression in \( sic2 \) mutant (Figure 4B). SufS (also annotated as \( AtNFS2 \) in Arabidopsis) is a group II cysteine desulfurase and is homologous to IscS/\( AtNFS1 \) in the plastids.

The expression of \( AtNFS2 \) was upregulated in the shoots of \( Col-0 \) under \( Fe \) deficiency (Figure 4C). The imposition of sole \( S \) starvation downregulated its expression in the shoots of all tested mutants compared to \( Col-0 \). It is noteworthy that in the GSH-deficient mutants (i.e., \( cad2-1 \) and \( sic2 \)), sole \( Fe \) deficiency also significantly reduced its expression. Strikingly, in the \( fit1-2 \) mutant, the expression of \( AtNFS2 \) was upregulated under nonstress conditions.

**DISCUSSION**

The crosstalk between \( Fe \) and \( S \) at the physiological and molecular level has been documented in some early studies in different members of strategy I (Zuchi et al., 2009, 2015; Forieri et al., 2013, 2017; Muneer et al., 2014; Paolacci et al., 2014; Vigani et al., 2018) and strategy II plants (Astolfi et al., 2010; Ciaffi et al., 2013; Zamboni et al., 2017). The biogenesis of the \( Fe-S \) clusters is the best example of the interaction between \( Fe \) and \( S \). The provision of reactive and potentially
toxic components \( (\text{Fe}^{2+} \text{ and } \text{S}^{2-}) \) in defined stoichiometric ratios for the Fe–S clusters biogenesis requires a strict and multi-level control for their balanced acquisition and distribution within the plant to prevent toxicity. Growing evidence in recent years suggests dynamic crosstalk between Fe and S networks. To understand plant responses to the individual (Fe or S) and combined (Fe and S) nutrients limitations at the physiological and molecular level, we exposed *Arabidopsis thaliana* in wild type and different mutant backgrounds for 4 days to Fe, S, or combined Fe and S deficiencies. We observed that the GSH-deficient double mutant \((s1c2)\) was highly sensitive to Fe deficiency compared to Col-0, *cad2-1*, and *sir1-1* mutants (Figure 1). The association of the endogenous GSH with tolerance to Fe deficiency has been suggested recently (Shanmugam et al., 2015). The GSH-deficient mutant *zir1*, which has about 15% of the wild-type GSH levels, was more sensitive to Fe deficiency compared to *pad2* \((21\% \text{ of the wild-type GSH levels})\), *cad2* \((39\% \text{ of the wild-type GSH levels})\), and Col-0 (Shanmugam et al., 2015). Since the GSH steady-state level of \(s1c2\) is indistinguishable from that of \(cad2-1\) (Speiser et al., 2018), the higher sensitivity of \(s1c2\), compared to \(cad2-1\), under Fe deficiency suggests that the Fe sensitive phenotype is not solely dependent on lower GSH contents as assumed earlier (Shanmugam et al., 2015). This is further supported by the observation, that despite the significantly higher GSH levels (Speiser et al., 2018), the *sir1-1* mutant was even slightly more sensitive than *cad2-1* (Figure 1; Supplementary Figure S1). The sensitivity of the GSH-deficient mutant under Fe limitation is therefore intriguing and is not solely dependent on the endogenous level of total GSH or the amount of soluble protein-bound S, as the amount of soluble protein-bound S in the *s1c2* was significantly higher than *sir1-1* but lower than *cad2-1* under normal growth conditions (Speiser et al., 2018). Although other possibilities could not be ruled out, the sensitivity of *s1c2* could partially be linked to the more oxidized state of GSH in the plastids of *s1c2* compared to *cad2-1*, *sir1-1*, and Col-0 as demonstrated in the same study. Alternatively, all these factors (i.e., redox state of GSH in plastids, amount of soluble protein-bound S, and endogenous level of total GSH) may have an overall additive effect in modulating plant responses under Fe limited conditions.

We also observed that irrespective of the mutant background, the growth of seedlings was negatively affected under S deprivation, but they all looked greener compared to the seedlings grown under Fe deficiency. The latter observation is not in agreement with the previous studies reporting that S deficiency induces chlorosis in strategy II plant species (Ciaffi et al., 2013; Zamboni et al., 2017). The Fe deficiency symptoms (chlorosis) induced under S deficiency can be attributed to the inability of the strategy II plants to synthesize the S-derived phytosiderophores that are required for Fe uptake (Mori and Nishizawa, 1987). In addition to the basic differences between strategy I (reduction-based Fe uptake) and strategy II
(chelation-based Fe uptake) plants, additional levels of regulation may exist to fine-tune plant's response to Fe or S deprivation (Mendoza-Cózatl et al., 2019). The less pronounced chlorosis in Arabidopsis plants exposed to dual deficiency of Fe and S compared to plants exposed to sole Fe starvation has been recently reported (Robe et al., 2020). These authors have suggested that experimental conditions and species- or developmental stage-specific (i.e., seedlings vs. mature plants, young vs. mature leaves) mechanisms may be modulating plant response to the individual (S or Fe) and combined (Fe and S) nutrient starvation.

While the upregulation of IRT1 in response to Fe deficiency (Forieri et al., 2013; Muneer et al., 2014; Zuchi et al., 2015) and S deficiency (Muneer et al., 2014; Zuchi et al., 2015) has been previously reported, here we demonstrated that the cad2-1 allelic mutation of GSH1 (γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase) gene overrode the typical signal of IRT1 upregulation in the cad2-1 and s1c2 mutants under S deficiency. Moreover, the bottleneck in sulfate assimilation (Khan et al., 2010) due to SIR knockdown mutation constitutively upregulated IRT1 expression in the sir1-1 mutant under all experimental conditions.

The induction of FRO2 in response to Fe deficiency is well documented (Muneer et al., 2014; Zuchi et al., 2015; Forieri et al., 2017). However, in addition to sole Fe starvation, S deficiency also upregulated the expression of FRO2 in Col-0 seedlings compared to control conditions. These findings are consistent with the previous reports (Muneer et al., 2014; Zuchi et al., 2015). However, the upregulated FRO2 expression under S deficiency disappeared in the sir1-1, cad2-1, and s1c2, suggesting that the expression of FRO2 may be directly or indirectly dependent on GSH1 and/or SIR. Moreover, given the fact that the sir1-1, cad2-1, and s1c2 seedlings are already suffering from S deficiency even under normal growth conditions (Speiser et al., 2018), the reduced expression of FRO2 in the sir1-1, cad2-1, and s1c2 seedlings compared to Col-0 suggest that under severe/prolonged S starvation, the expression of FRO2 is downregulated as a consequence of secondary adaptations. It is noteworthy that contrary to the expression pattern of IRT1, the expression of FRO2 was not constitutively upregulated in the sir1-1 mutant. The differential expression of IRT1 and expression of FRO2 under S deficiency in the sir1-1 mutant suggests that some other unknown signals in the sir1-1 mutant might be triggering the expression of IRT1.

The induction of FIT in response to Fe deficiency is well known (Colangelo and Guerinot, 2004). FIT-dependent protein complexes through hetero-dimerization with clade Ib bHLHs (e.g., bHLH38) are required for the activation of the expression of genes involved in the maintenance of Fe homeostasis such as IRT1 and FRO2. We observed similar expression patterns (induction in response to sole Fe or combined S and Fe starvation) for FIT and bHLH38 in our study. The upregulation of FIT and bHLH38 upon Fe starvation is consistent with the previous reports (Colangelo and Guerinot, 2004; Ivanov et al., 2012). Moreover, the upregulation of bHLH38 in the fit1-2 mutant corroborates the previous findings that the expression of the clade Ib bHLH genes (i.e., bHLH38, bHLH39, bHLH100, and bHLH101) is not dependent on FIT and that the expression of FIT and bHLH38 is controlled by different pathways, presumably by different signals upon Fe starvation (Wang et al., 2007). Unlike the expression pattern of IRT1 and FRO2, the expression of FIT and bHLH38 did not change under S deficiency in Col-0 and the sir1-1, cad2-1, and s1c2. It is also worth mentioning that although these expression profiles are consistent with many of the previous reports, however, under different experimental sets up opposite expression patterns, i.e., repression of IRT1 (Forieri et al., 2013), FRO2, and FIT (Forieri et al., 2017) under S starvation have also been reported. Different growth conditions, durations of the nutrient starvations (4 days starvation in this study vs. 5 weeks starvation) and developmental stage-specific (i.e., seedlings vs. mature plants) mechanisms may account for these differences. Moreover, contrary to (Forieri et al., 2013, 2017), the presence of sucrose; the end product of photosynthesis, in growth medium in our experiments can expected to alter plant's responses to Fe or S deprivation.

Mounting evidence suggests critical roles for GSH in cell signaling (Zhang and Forman, 2012) and its cross-communication with other established signaling molecules (Ghanta and Chattopadhyay, 2011). A variety of signaling pathways are involved in the regulation of GSH1 (reviewed in Zhang and Forman, 2012). Therefore, it remains to be elucidated whether the differential regulation of IRT1 and FRO2 under S deficiency is due to mutations in the GSH1 gene in the cad2-1 mutant or GSH1 itself acts as a major player in regulating their expression. The ROS dependent regulation of Fe homeostasis related genes has been recently reported in several studies (Astolfi et al., 2021; von der Mark et al., 2021; Mcinturf et al., 2022). Although other possibilities could not be ruled out, the non-canonical responses of some of the known marker genes of Fe homeostasis in GSH deficient mutants suggest that presumably, the elevated ROS levels in these mutants might be responsible for the differential regulation of these genes.

NAS1, NAS2, and FRD3 are key genes involved in the transport of Fe through the phloem and xylem conducting tissues, respectively. In line with the previous reports, the expression of NAS1 and NAS2 was upregulated under Fe-starved conditions to enhance the translocation of Fe (Kim et al., 2005; Klatte et al., 2009). S deficiency did not cause any significant changes in the expression of these two genes, which is contrary to the previous report showing S deficiency completely blocked the expression of the NA synthase gene (Zuchi et al., 2009) and NA accumulation (Zuchi et al., 2015) in tomato independently from the availability of Fe. The observed discrepancy might be linked to the different threshold levels of S deficiency perceived by plants or different mechanisms operating in the Arabidopsis model system and tomato as described previously. The expression of NAS1 and NAS2 in our study was rather upregulated under S starvation in the GSH-deficient cad2-1 but not in s1c2 mutant. Irrespective of the availability of S, the deficiency of Fe caused slight to moderate induction in the expression of FRD3 in all lines which corroborates previous findings (Rogers and Guerinot, 2002). Interestingly, in wild type and all mutant lines the transcripts of FRD3 tended to be low (although non-significantly) under S starvation, which
may be an adaptation to the lowered need of the partner nutrient Fe for Fe–S clusters biosynthesis. Under nonstress conditions, the lack of sulfite reduction in sir1-1 and cad2-1 allelic mutation in GSH1 gene constantly upregulated the expression of FRD3.

The uptake and subsequent assimilation of sulfate are dependent both on plant S demand for growth and external S supply (Hawkesford and De Kok, 2006). The expression of the high-affinity group 1 sulfate transporter (AtSULTR1;2), that is responsible for the primary uptake of sulfate by the root (Buchner et al., 2010), was upregulated in response to S deficiency in agreement with previous reports (Lewandowska and Sirk, 2008; Takahashi et al., 2011; Zuchi et al., 2015; Forieri et al., 2017). Remarkably, there was a significant increase in the AtSULTR1;2 transcript abundance after imposition of sole Fe deficiency. This is consistent to the expression pattern of AtSULTR1;2 in tomato (Zuchi et al., 2015), and increased total S concentration in tomato (Paolacci et al., 2014) and wheat (Ciaffi et al., 2013) plants exposed to short term Fe starvation like our experimental conditions, but opposite to what has been reported in Arabidopsis in response to long term (4–11 days vs. 5 weeks) Fe starvation (Forieri et al., 2017). The upregulated expression of AtSULTR1;2 disappeared in the mutant fit1-2, which suggest that under Fe deficiency, the expression of AtSULTR1;2 may be directly or indirectly dependent on FIT. Alternatively, these observations also suggest that perception of Fe starvation signals beyond certain threshold level leads to secondary adaptations to adjust plants demands to changing requirement of the partner nutrient S. This is supported by the fact that in the fit1-2 mutant, which is already suffering from Fe starvation even under normal Fe supply, the expression pattern of AtSULTR1;2 exhibited an opposite but comparable trend to what has reported in Arabidopsis in response to long-term Fe starvation (Forieri et al., 2017).

In contrast to the expression pattern of the high-affinity group 1 sulfate transporter (AtSULTR1;2) in the root, the low-affinity sulfate transporters (AtSULTR2;1 and AtSULTR2;2) that are responsible for translocation of sulfate within the plant (Takahashi et al., 2000) showed a different expression pattern in the shoots (Figures 3B,C). First, short-term exposure (4 days) to S starvation did not change the expression of these two low-affinity sulfate transporters in Col-0, which is not consistent with the previous reports showing their upregulation in response to medium term (10 days) S starvation (Zuchi et al., 2015). Second, in contrast to the significant upregulation of the high affinity sulfate transporter, sole Fe starvation significantly lowered the expression of AtSULTR2;1 and AtSULTR2;2 in Col-0. These observations suggest that Fe deficiency signals are perceived and integrated earlier in plant’s responses compared to S. However, contrary to the downregulated expression of AtSULTR2;1 under Fe deficiency in Col-0, the expression of AtSULTR2;1 was significantly upregulated in the GSH-deficient cad2-1 and s1c2 mutants, which suggest that GSH might act as a signaling molecule to modulate the expression of AtSULTR2;1. It is noteworthy to mention that the lack of FIT in the fit1-2 mutant, constantly downregulated the expression of AtSULTR2;1, irrespective of the nutrient status. It is noteworthy that in the sir1-1 and fit1-2 mutants, which are already sufferings from S and Fe starvation, respectively, even under natural conditions, the expression of AtSULTR2;1 was kept at a significantly lower level compared to Col-0. However, the expression of AtSULTR2;2 in the sir1-1 and fit1-2 mutants under unstress conditions was significantly high compared to Col-0 (Figure 3C). Such contrasting differences in the expression of AtSULTR2;1 and AtSULTR2;2 suggest different functions for these two transporters in plants exposed to long term S or Fe deficiency. Similarly, like sir1-1 mutant, bottleneck in GSH biosynthesis also constantly upregulated the expression of AtSULTR2;2 in the cad2-1 mutant under all experimental conditions. AtSULTR2;1 and AtSULTR2;2 tend to express in vascular tissues throughout the plant (Buchner et al., 2004). AtSULTR2;1 is believed to be responsible for uptake of S from the apoplastic within the vascular bundle and involved in root to shoot transport (Takahashi et al., 2000). Our data suggest that the reduced uptake of sulfate from the apoplast is one of the adaptation mechanisms to adjust S requirements under Fe deficiency. The adjustment of S uptake and assimilation as a Fe deficiency adaptation has been previously demonstrated (Astolfi et al., 2006; Ciaffi et al., 2013; Paolacci et al., 2014). Our work indicates that dual limitation of Fe and S can trigger quite distinct responses compared to sole Fe or S limitations, especially in the background of cad2-1 mutant. For example, AtSULTR1;2 was significantly upregulated in response to dual nutrient starvation, but remained unchanged under the imposition of sole Fe or S starvation in the cad2-1 mutant. Similarly, the expression of AtSULTR2;1 (in cad2-1 and s1c2) and AtSULTR2;2 (in s1c2) under dual nutrient limitations showed an opposite trend compared to sole Fe or S starved conditions. These observations underlined the significance of understanding the underlying mechanisms that govern plant responses under dual nutrient limitation to figure out strategies for sustainable plant growth in nutrient-limited environments.

The reciprocal influence between Fe and S in terms of uptake, transport, and assimilation has been demonstrated in many studies (Astolfi et al., 2006; Zuchi et al., 2009, 2015; Ciaffi et al., 2013; Forieri et al., 2013, 2017; Paolacci et al., 2014). However, the crosstalk between Fe and S in terms of regulation of genes involved in the biosynthesis of Fe–S clusters has been rarely investigated, despite the fact that the major portion of the metabolically active Fe is bound to S in the form of Fe–S clusters. Fe and S interact for the building of Fe–S assembly, and three types of machinery for Fe–S cluster assembly have been identified and are distributed in the cytosol (CIA for cytosolic Fe–S protein assembly), the mitochondria (ISC for Fe–S cluster) and the chloroplasts (SUF for S mobilization) in plants (Lill and Mühlhoff, 2008). Since mitochondria are one of the cellular compartments where the Fe–S cluster assembly takes place. Therefore, mitochondria might be expected to play a central role in the regulation of Fe and S for the Fe–S cluster assembly. In mitochondria, frataxin (FH) plays a pivotal role in regulating Fe homeostasis (Radisky et al., 1999; Bulteau et al., 2004) and Fe–S clusters biosynthesis (Lill and Mühlhoff, 2008; Stemmler et al., 2010). The significant upregulation of FH in the roots of Col-0 and all mutants only in response to sole Fe or dual Fe and S
deficiency suggests that the S status of the plant has apparently no role in modulating the expression of \( FH \). Quite interestingly, the up-regulated expression of \( FH \) under Fe deficiency is directly or indirectly dependent on \( FIT \) because the upregulated expression of \( FH \) disappeared in the \( fit1-2 \) mutant. The constitutive upregulation of \( FH \) expression in the double overexpresser of \( FIT \) and its activating partner \( bHLH38 \) (i.e., \( OxFITXObxHLH38 \)) under nonstress conditions and our ChIP-qPCR experiments supports \textit{in vivo} binding of FIT to the promoters of \( AtFH \). This is an exciting new prospect for future research and additional evidence is required to validate this hypothesis. In mitochondria and plastids, the required S atoms for the assembly of Fe–S are extracted from cysteine by pyridoxal phosphate-dependent cysteine desulfurases, IscS/NFS1, and SufS/NFS2, respectively (Couturier et al., 2013). NFS2 is specifically adapted to oxidative stress and Fe starvation (Stemmler et al., 2010). Interestingly, the expression of NFS2 was significantly upregulated in response to Fe deficiency in the shoots of Col-0 seedlings but dramatically reduced in response to S starvation in all the mutants and to a lesser extent in Col-0. Moreover, in the \( fit1-2 \) and the rest of the mutants, which are already suffering from Fe and S starvation, respectively, the deficiency of Fe either down-regulated \( (cad2-1 \) and \( s1c2 \)) or did not change \( (fit1-2 \) and \( sir1-1 \)) the expression of NFS2. Overall, these findings suggest that in terms of Fe–S clusters biogenesis, major adaptations in response to Fe deficiency occur in mitochondria \( (via \) regulation of NFS2), whereas adaptations to S deficiency are mainly taking place in plastids \( (via \) regulation of NFS2), to rebalance overall requirements of the plants for the two partner nutrients.

Our findings related to Fe sensitivity of the GSH-deficient mutants add significant new insights to the existing knowledge, linking the endogenous level of total GSH to Fe sensitivity under Fe limitation. The relative sensitivity of \( sir1-1, cad2-1, s1c2 \) under Fe starvation suggests that Fe sensitivity is not solely dependent on the endogenous level of total GSH as hypothesized earlier (Shanmugam et al., 2015). We demonstrated that the known reciprocal signals between iron and sulfur networks are differentially regulated by bottlenecks in sulfite reduction and/or GSH biosynthesis (Figure 6). We also demonstrated that bottlenecks in sulfite reduction and/or GSH biosynthesis differentially regulate the expression of some of the known marker genes of Fe homeostasis, S uptake/transport, and Fe–S clusters biogenesis. We also elucidated the crosstalk between Fe and S in terms of expression of some of the key players involved in the assembly of Fe–S clusters biogenesis machinery in the plastids and mitochondria. We observed major adaptations in the mitochondria in response to Fe deficiency and the plastids in response to S deficiency. Our data suggest that \( FH \) is a potential target of FIT. While the dual limitation of Fe and S mostly generated overruling and/or synergistic signals, quite distinct responses, as opposed to sole Fe or S limitations, were also observed. These observations underscored the significance of elucidating plant responses under dual nutrient limitations for sustainable plant growth in nutrient-limited environments.
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