Comparing the early function and complications between fluoroscopic guidance and blindly insertion of permanent hemodialysis catheter
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Abstract

Objective: Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a complicated kidney defect causing permanent failure in renal function in progressive stages. Hemodialysis is the most accepted treatment to maintain body’s fluid/electrolyte homeostasis at the terminal stages of the disease. Permanent hemodialysis catheter (permicath) may be inserted blindly or by fluoroscopic guidance. This study aimed to compare the early function and complications between fluoroscopic guidance and blindly insertion of permanent hemodialysis catheter.

Methods: This prospective randomized clinical trial was undertaken in the emergency department of Modarres hospital in Tehran, Iran during 2014 and 2015. Patients who needed catheter due to renal failure entered the study. Patients who needed emergency dialysis and those who could not wait for permicath were excluded. Patients were randomly assigned into 2 groups, under fluoroscopic guidance and blindly catheter insertion. Data were collected using a questionnaire and a checklist related to function (after 24 hours and 1 month), a need to exchange the catheter and the early adverse effects such as pneumothorax, hemothorax, and vascular injury.

Results: A total of 101 patients were enrolled in this trial. Early dysfunction (blind group = 5), a need for catheter exchange (blind group = 2), pneumothorax (blind group = 2), vascular injury (blind group = 1) were recorded but the difference between the two groups was not statistically significant (P > 0.05).

Conclusion: We did not observe a significant difference between the placement of permicath by fluoroscopic or blind method. However, more studies with larger groups are recommended.

Keywords: Permanent hemodialysis catheter, Fluoroscopic guidance, Blindly insertion

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a progressive kidney dysfunction due to irreversible decreased renal function impairment. Dialysis and kidney transplantation are available options to prevent life-threatening uremia (1,2). The worldwide prevalence of chronic renal failure is 242 per million subjects and annually eight percent are added to this rate (3). The reported prevalence and incidence rates of CKD in Iran are 357 and 57 per million, respectively (4). The most common used management method is hemodialysis with a worldwide increasing rate (5,6). Regarding low accessible kidney donors, majority of patients should continue hemodialysis (6,7).

Permanent hemodialysis catheter, due to larger diameter and flow, is an applicable method for vascular access in CKD patients (8). These catheters are concealed without restriction in physical activity and additional care by patients is not required (9). However, some adverse effects may be seen such as bleeding, hemothorax, pneumothorax, tamponade, and arrhythmia. Late side effects such as venous thrombosis, functional impairment, and infection may be seen (10,11).

Permicath is used in the maturation period of AVF to perform hemodialysis because non-tunneled central catheters may not be used up to four weeks due to the side effects such as infection and thrombosis (12,13). Hemodialysis is required due to lack of sufficient donors for kidney transplantation (14). Better hemodialysis methods with higher quality would result in increased quality of life. Also, good vascular access would increase the efficiency of treatment, quality of life, and the treatment course. In addition, it decreases the hospital...
stay and mortality rate (15–17).

Methods
In this prospective randomized clinical trial, 101 patients who visited the emergency department of Modarres hospital in Tehran during 2014 and 2015 and needed catheter because of renal failure were invited in the study as they met the inclusion criteria for permicath. Patients who needed emergency dialysis and could not wait for permicath were excluded. The inclusion criteria encompassed dialysis need for more than one month. Previous central catheterization was considered as the exclusion criteria. Early function and complications of tunneled dialysis catheterization by fluoroscopic guidance were compared with blind method. The procedures were done by one vascular surgeon. Subjects were randomized in blind and fluoroscopic guidance groups. In the blind group after usual preparation, the patient was positioned in Trendelenburg with mild extension and rotation of the neck away from the side of internal jugular insertion.

In the blind approach after local anesthesia, the puncture needle was inserted following ultrasound, between the medial and lateral heads of the sternocleidomastoid muscle and lateral to the carotid artery with 45 degrees directed to ipsilateral nipple. In the modified Seldinger technique after blood flushback, guidewire advanced through the needle and needle was removed. A small incision (#11 blade) was made at the guidewire skin enterance and extended to about 5 mm. The exit site marked on the chest wall was also incised about 5 mm. The subcutaneous tunneler was inserted into the chest incision and passed above the clavicle into the neck wound and catheter was pulled into the neck wound. The tract into the internal jugular vein was dilated over the guidewire, and the introducer sheath was inserted. Through this sheath catheter passed into central vein approximate supra vena caval and right atrium junction. Two lines were aspirated for more confidence and then were filled with serum-heparin. In the other group, fluoroscopic guide was used to see proper situation of wire and check the exact position and kinking of the catheter.

The early dysfunction and complications were seen during catheterization up to 24 hours after it and included the need to exchange the catheter because of malfunction, hemothorax, pneumothorax, vascular injury, and thoracotomy. Function status at the first 24 hours was 98.1% in blind group and 100% in fluoroscopic group respectively \((P=0.98)\). Function status in the first month in the blind group was 90.2% and it was 98% in fluoroscopic group \((P=0.31)\). Function was better in fluoroscopic guidance group without a statistically significant difference \((P>0.05)\). The need to exchange was more in blind group but we did not observe a significant difference \((P>0.05)\). Complication rate was 5.8% in the blind group but without any complication rate in fluoroscopic guidance group. Despite this difference, there was not a statistically significant difference between the two groups (Table 3).

Discussion
End-stage renal disease (ESRD) is the final stage of kidney disease requiring dialysis to prevent uremia. Nowadays the

| Disease                              | No. | %   |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Diabetes mellitus                    | 22  | 20.6|
| Hypertension                         | 20  | 19.8|
| Diabetes mellitus plus hypertension  | 21  | 19.6|
| Hypertension plus others             | 8   | 7.5 |
| Diabetes mellitus plus others        | 1   | 0.9 |
| Diabetes mellitus plus hypertension plus others | 4 | 7.3 |
| Others                               | 18  | 17.8|
| Without Disease                      | 7   | 6.5 |
| Total                                | 101 | 100 |

Table 2. Frequency of disease
hemodialysis is an active and the treatment situations are altered at each session and appropriate dialysis would result in decreased side effects and better quality of treatment (2,3). In cases needing urgent dialysis, the transient non-tunneled catheters are good options for vascular access during hemodialysis (18,19). For long-term cases, the grafts and fistulas are more optimal. During maturation of arteriovenous fistula or in hypotensive patients, permanent catheters are good options. The patient’s situation may affect the used method. Transient methods are used in 7% which then are replaced with permanent methods (20,21). The complications of vascular access are causes of admission in 16% to 25% of cases (22). Some complications can be fatal or with morbidity (23,24). We matched the age and background disease between the groups to reduce the confounding effects. Our results for this matter were similar to other studies (25,26). We found that function, side effects, and the need to exchange were similar between the two groups. The permanent catheter may be used if arteriovenous grafts and fistula are not appropriate. Previous studies have reported that early side effects are related to patient’s age and the experience of surgeon. In this regard, age was matched in our study and the procedures were done by one surgeon.

**Conclusion**

We postulate that there is no significant difference between the placement of catheter by fluoroscope and blind method. Although the early function and side effects in our study were the same between the two groups, but we did not observe long term function and complications such as thrombosis that can be due to inappropriate position of catheter. In addition, although there is no statically difference between two methods in early complications but even one side effect such as vascular injury may be disaster and we recommend to insertion of permanent catheter under fluoroscopic guidance. However, further studies in this area with larger sample size are also recommended.
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