Interactions between deep formation fluid and gas hydrate dynamics inferred from pore fluid geochemistry at active pockmarks of the Vestnesa Ridge, west Svalbard margin
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ABSTRACT

Seafloor seepage sites along the Vestnesa Ridge off west-Svalbard have been, for decades, a natural laboratory for the studies of fluid flow and gas hydrate dynamics along passive continental margins. The lack of ground truth evidence for fluid composition and gas hydrate abundance deep in the sediment sequence however prohibits us from further assessing the current model of pockmark evolution from the region. A MARUM-MeBo 70 drilling cruise in 2016 aims to advance our understanding of the system by recovering sediments tens of meters below seafloor from two active pockmarks along Vestnesa Ridge. We report pore fluid composition data focusing on dissolved chloride, stable isotopes of water ($\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{34}$S), and the isotopic composition of dissolved boron ($\delta^{11}$B). From one of the seepage sites, we detect a saline formation water with two layers where gas hydrates were recovered. This saline formation pore fluid is characterized by elevated chloride concentrations (up to 616 mM), high B/Cl ratios ($9 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol), high $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{34}$S isotopic signatures (+0.6‰ and +3.8‰, respectively) and low $\delta^{11}$B signatures (+35.0‰), which collectively hint to a high temperature modification at great depths. Based on the dissolved chloride concentration profiles, we estimated up to 47% of pore space occupied by gas hydrate in the sediments shallower than 11.5 mbsf. The observation of bubble fabric in the recovered gas hydrates suggests formation during past periods of intensive gaseous methane seepage. The presence of these gas hydrates without associated positive anomalies in dissolved chloride concentrations however suggests that the decomposition of gas hydrate is as fast as its formation. Such a state of gas hydrates can be attributed to a relatively low methane supply transported by the saline formation water at present. Our findings based on pore fluid composition corroborate previous inferences along Vestnesa Ridge that fluids sustaining seepage have migrated from great depths and that the variable gaseous and aqueous phases through the gas hydrate stability zone control the distributions of authigenic carbonates and gas hydrates.

1. Introduction

Pockmarks are seafloor manifestations of sub-surface fluid discharge across the sediment-water interface (Hovland et al., 2002; Hovland and Svensen 2006). The presence of pockmarks has been reported from a large water depth range comprising continental shelf (e.g., Hovland et al., 2002) to deep sea regions (e.g., Ondrás et al., 2005; Sahling et al., 2008; Marcon et al., 2014; Sultan et al., 2014). Pockmarks in association with deep water gas hydrate systems have also been long recognized along the Vestnesa Ridge off the west Svalbard margin (Vogt et al., 1994, 1999). For decades, the Vestnesa Ridge pockmarks are natural laboratories for studying methane seepage along the passive continental
margin. There are pockmarks along the ridge with the active ones, as inferred from the seepage activities by sonar data, located at the eastern Vestnesa Ridge segment (e.g., Smith et al., 2014; Bünz et al., 2012). These pockmarks have been studied for their sub-surface fluid plumbing systems (Bünz et al., 2012, Goswami et al., 2017, Knies et al., 2018, Singhroha et al., 2019, 2019), seepage periodicity and its link to tectonic stress and glacial history over geological time (Plaza-Faverola et al., 2015; Plaza-Faverola and Keiding 2019; Himmler et al., 2019), controls of gas hydrate stability zone dynamics (Plaza-Faverola et al., 2017), gas flux in the water column (Smith et al., 2014), as well as sources of light hydrocarbons and the biogeochemical consequence of fluid flow through fractures (Hong et al., 2016; Yao et al., 2019; Pape et al., 2020).

All the active pockmarks along Vestnesa Ridge are connected to chimney-like conduits characterized by brecciation/fracturing of the sediment that result in scattering of the seismic signal (e.g., Petersen et al., 2016; Plaza-Faverola et al., 2015; Waage et al., 2019). These fault-associated conduits were regulated by tectonic stress and glacial dynamics with more persistent seepage activities since the Pleistocene (Bünz et al., 2012, Goswami et al., 2017, Knies et al., 2018, Plaza-Faverola and Keiding 2019). However, it is not clear how the composition of this long-lasting fluid system evolves during the history of these pockmarks as ground truth observations beyond a few meters subsurface are not yet available along the ridge. Specifically, substantial knowledge gaps exist in the geochemical composition of fluids as well as the interplay between pockmark evolution, near-seafloor gas hydrate dynamics and fluid migration at a meter-scale. Such a lack of information hinders the evaluation of the present model about pockmark evolution from Vestnesa Ridge. During the 2016 MARUM-MeBo drilling campaign (MeBo stands for Meeres-boden-Bohrgeräte, for seaﬂoor drill rig in German), we obtained pore fluid samples from as deep as 16 m below seafloor (msbf) from the Lunde and Lomvi pockmarks (Bohrmann et al., 2017), two of the active pockmarks in terms of seafloor gas emissions along Vestnesa Ridge (Bünz et al., 2012). The results obtained from this unique set of samples provide the ground truths for fluid sources and gas hydrate dynamics in shallow depths.

For such purposes, we report pore fluid composition (concentrations of chloride and boron) and isotopic ratios (δ18O-H2O, δD-H2O, and δ11B). Dissolved chloride is a conservative tracer whose concentration is unaffected by biogeochemical activities. Downcore chloride concentration profiles have been widely used to infer the in-situ production and consumption of water (such as due to gas hydrate dissociation and formation) as well as physical mixing of water from different sources (e.g., Kastner et al., 1991; Torres et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2016; Wallmann et al., 2018; Hong et al., 2019). Positive chloride concentration anomalies could occur when gas hydrate is actively forming and expelling chloride at a rate much higher than chloride diffusion, which results in the accumulation of chloride in the pore fluid (Ussler and Paul 2001). Such anomalies have been successfully applied to infer formation conditions and quantify the rates of gas hydrate formation in numerous studies (e.g., Ussler and Pauli 2001; Haackel et al., 2004; Milkov et al., 2004; Torres et al. 2004, 2011; Tomaru et al., 2006; Peszynska et al., 2016; Hong and Peszynska 2018).

To further differentiate the various processes in the pore fluid, 18O/H2O and δD/H2O (hereafter as δ18O and δD throughout the text) could serve as additional constraints (e.g., Kastner et al., 1991; Martin et al., 1996; Hong et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020). Different diagenetic processes, such as authigenic clay transformation, ion filtration as well as gas hydrate formation and decomposition, result in variable degrees of fractionation on δ18O and δD (Kastner et al., 1991; Maekawa et al., 1995). Dissolved boron, a minor constituent in the pore fluid but abundant in various silicate minerals (see the review by Marshall 2018 and references therein), could be used to detect the modification of fluids by silicate minerals under elevated temperatures. The diagenetic reactions that involve silicate minerals (such as clays) release abundant dissolved boron with low δ11B values due to the large isotopic fractionation associated with boron desorption under medium to high temperatures (Palmer et al., 1987; Spivack et al., 1987; You et al., 1995a, b; James and Palmer 2000). Repeated observations of these distinct δ11B signatures in pore fluids, and later confirmed by laboratory experiments, have proven the applicability of the boron proxy to evaluate the origin of fluids generated at greater depths (You et al., 1995a, b; 1996; Deyhle and Kopf 2001; Kopf and Deyhle 2002; Hüpers et al., 2016).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Drilling/coring sites during cruise MSM57-1/2

The sediment cores studied herein (Table 1) were collected at a water depth of ca. 1200 m during the cruise MSM57-1/2 onboard ‘RV MARIA S. MERIAN’ with the seafloor drill rig MARUM-MeBo70 (Freudenthal and Wefer 2013). Coring positions were determined by high resolution bathymetry data collected during a cruise no.1606 onboard R/V G.O. Sars a few months before the MSM57 cruise (Fig. 1b). We combined the results from gravity cores taken close to MeBo drill sites to obtain a better coverage in the uppermost few meters of the sediments (Table 1). The three locations investigated from the Lunde pockmark include a non-seepage site from the central depression of the pockmark (GeoB21601-1, GeoB21605-1, and GeoB21610-1) and two sites with high methane fluxes (SW seepage site: GeoB21621-1 & GeoB21637-1 and SE seepage site: GeoB21619-1) (Fig. 1b). As a comparison to the Lunde pockmark, we also report results from two gravity cores recovered from the seepage area of the Lomvi pockmark (GeoB21623-1 & GeoB21624-1). In addition, a reference site with low methane flux (GeoB21606-1 & GeoB21613-1) that is outside any pockmark footprint is included (Fig. 1a). Gas hydrates were only recovered from the seepage sites of both pockmarks (Fig. 1a and 1b).

2.2. Pore water sampling and analyses

The procedures for sediment core handling were detailed in Bohrmann et al. (2017). Briefly, sectioning of the sediment cores was done at an ambient temperature of ~10 °C. Before splitting the core into two halves, the surfaces of the core liners were scanned with infrared radiation (IR) camera (see Pape et al., 2020) to detect negative thermal anomalies that are associated with the endothermic gas hydrate dissociation (Trebu et al., 2004). We sampled 2–3 cm sections and 8–10 cm sections from the working halves of the gravity cores and the MeBo cores, respectively, for pore water extraction by using the GEOMAR argon-gas squeezers. In average, it took 30–45 min to squeeze 5–10 ml of pore water. Squeezing was performed in a 10 °C refrigerated room. Gas pressure was monitored and never exceeded 5 bars during the squeezing. Water samples from hydrate-bearing sediments were also

Table 1

| Site | Core code  | Tool-site # | Cored/Drilled Depth |
|------|------------|-------------|---------------------|
|      | [GeoBXXXXX-X] |             | [mbsf]              |
| Reference site | 21606-1 | GC-3 | 5.84 |
|              | 21613-1 | MeBo-126 | 62.50 |
| Lunde pockmark | 21601-1 | GC-1 | 5.77 |
| non-seepage site | 21605-1 | GC-2 | 7.65 |
|              | 21610-1 | MeBo-125 | 22.80 |
| Lunde pockmark | 21621-1 | MeBo-128 | 7.75 |
| SW seepage site | 21637-1 | MeBo-138 | 23.95 |
| Lunde pockmark | 21619-1 | GC-9 | 4.78 |
| SE seepage site | 21623-1 | GC-11 | 1.55 |
| Lomvi pockmark | 21624-1 | GC-12 | 2.62 |
Fig. 1. Location maps, seismic data, and core photos from the investigated pockmarks along Vestnesa Ridge. (a) An overview map for Vestnesa Ridge with detailed bathymetry from the Lunde and Lomvi pockmarks (see Table 1 for core details). The locations of the three study sites from Lunde pockmark (non-seepage, SW seepage, and SE seepage) and the reference site are indicated. Cores with recovery of gas hydrate are labeled in blue. GC: gravity core. (b) High resolution bathymetry of the Lunde pockmark (Himmler et al., 2019) with a NE-SW-trending seismic line across the pockmark shown in (d). Cores with recovery of gas hydrate were labeled in blue. MeBo: cores obtained by a MeBo seafloor drill rig. (c) Photographs of carbonate-cemented sediments and gas hydrates with bubble fabric (black arrows) recovered from the Lunde SW and SE seepage sites. (d) A seismic profile showing the sub-surface structure of the Lunde pockmark. The dashed white boxes showing roughly the penetration of the drilling from the Lunde non-seepage and SW seepage sites. The high reflectance in the seismic data was interpreted as buried carbonate-cemented sediment strata (Plaza-Faverola et al., 2015) which is confirmed by the presence of seep carbonate formations (or MDAC, methane-derived authigenic carbonate, in the figure) in the drilled cores that are the results of past methane seepage activities (Himmler et al., 2019). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
Fig. 2. Pore fluid profiles of sulfate, methane, chloride ([Cl]), $\delta^{18}O$, $\delta D$, B/Cl ratio, and $\delta^{11}B$ in MeBo and gravity cores from the investigated sites in the Lunde and Lomvi pockmarks. “GH” stands for the pore fluid samples collected from the sediment sections containing gas hydrate. The abundance of gas hydrate (horizontal dark grey bars in subplots (i), (n), and (t); in % of pore space) was estimated from the differences between [Cl]$_{obs}$ (empty symbols) and [Cl]$_{fit}$ (grey solid lines) with the values reported in Table 2. The gaps in the geochemical profiles are due to poor recovery of sediments within those intervals. Note the different depth scales for the various sites.
collected. After gas hydrates completely dissociated, as indicated by the dissipating temperature anomalies, samples were collected with acid-washed rhizons and syringes (Seeberg-Elverfeldt et al., 2005) and treated identical to other pore water samples.

Additional water samples from dissociated gas hydrates (referred to as gas hydrate samples in the text) were analyzed for their composition within liquid nitrogen for storage at MARUM. Centimeter-sized gas hydrate subsamples were later left to dissociate at room temperature to obtain water samples from gas hydrate for analyses of cation concentrations and stable boron isotopic signatures. The hydrate-bound water was filtered with 0.2 µm disposable in-line syringe filters and preserved with acid-washed vials.

After extraction, pore water was divided into sub-samples for further analysis. Downcore methane and sulfate concentrations were reported by Pape et al. (2020) and are presented in Fig. 2. Shipboard analyses of dissolved chloride concentrations ([Cl]) were conducted through titration with silver nitrate as documented in Bohrmann et al. (2017). For the analyses of δ18O and δD, pore water sub-samples were filled into 2 ml glass vials without headspace. Sub-samples for cations were acidified with 10 µl of concentrated reagent grade nitric acid. Separated acid-washed 1.5 ml Eppendorf vials were used for the analyses of δ11B in the pore fluid. No nitric acid was added to these sub-samples. δ18O and δD signatures were determined with a Delta plus XP isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as detailed in Wallmann et al. (2018). These values are reported against the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (V-SMOW) standard. For analysis of δ11B in pore water, 10 µl of non-acidified samples was put through boron-specific anionic exchange resin Amberlite IRA 743 (Kiss 1988; Yoshimura et al., 1998) to isolate 2–10 ng of boron, following the protocol described by Foster (2008). Analyses of the isotopic ratios were performed with a Neptune multicollector inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (MC-ICPMS) at the St. Andrews Isotope Geochemistry (STAIg) Laboratory of the University of St. Andrews following methods detailed previously (Rae et al., 2011, 2018; Foster et al., 2013) with long-term reproducibility of 0.2‰ (2σ). Values are presented against the NIST 951 standard.

2.3. Quantification of gas hydrate abundance from pore water chloride concentration profiles

During core recovery, dissociation of gas hydrate releases fresh water into the ambient pore space. This process results in lower [Cl] when comparing to the background [Cl] within the hydrate-bearing sediments (Matsumoto 2000; Ussler and Paull 2001; Hesse 2003; Torres et al., 2004; Tomaru et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2013). Through calculating the difference, we can estimate the gas hydrate saturation (S_h) in Fig. 2i, 2n and 2t and Table 2. Defining the background profile of [Cl] is critical as the water samples containing or adjacent to gas hydrate may be affected by gas hydrate dissociation. We used the [Cl] from sediment samples without any sign of hydrate presence (e.g., no thermal anomaly and visual observation) to establish the background [Cl] profiles. Such an exercise was applied to the seepage sites in the Lomvi and Lunde pockmarks (Table 2 and grey lines in Fig. 2i, 2n and 2t). For the three gravity cores with less than 5-m of recovery (GeoB21619-1 from the Lunde SE seepage site; GeoB21623-1 and GeoB21624-1 from the Lomvi pockmark), we fit the downcore [Cl] with linear regressions and extrapolated to the depth range where gas hydrates were recovered. We fit the [Cl] from hydrate-free samples of the Lunde SW seepage site with a 4th order polynomial regression to interpolate the background [Cl] for the two hydrate-bearing intervals. The values of S_h from these cores at various depths were calculated according to:

$$S_h(\% \text{ pore space}) = \frac{\beta \times \left( [Cl]_{\text{obs}} - [Cl]_{\text{fit}} \right)}{[Cl]_{\text{fit}} + \beta \times \left( [Cl]_{\text{obs}} - [Cl]_{\text{fit}} \right)} \times 100$$

where [Cl]_{fit} is the interpolated or extrapolated chloride concentrations while [Cl]_{obs} is the observed chloride concentrations (Table 2). Factor β (1.257) is a dimensionless constant that accounts for the density change from gas hydrate to water during hydrate dissociation (Ussler and Paull, 2001). It is important to note that the S_h calculated with [Cl] is a first order estimation of gas hydrate abundance. From Vestnesa Ridge, it is anticipated that gas hydrates also form in fractures, which are not accounted for here.

3. Results

3.1. Downcore distribution of gas hydrates from the seepage sites in the Lunde and Lomvi pockmarks

The hydrate-bearing intervals for all the sediment cores investigated were determined by shipboard visual inspection, thermal anomalies with IR measurements, and later confirmed by the freshening observed from pore fluid composition (i.e. lower [Cl] as compared to seawater). Much shallower gas hydrate occurrences were documented from the Lunde SW seepage site by Pape et al. (2020) with a depth of 0.45 mbsf reported for the gravity core GeoB21609-1. This core is however not investigated for pore fluid geochemistry. For the two MeBo cores recovered from the Lunde SW seepage site, gas hydrates were observed exclusively from two depth intervals: 5.80 to 8.28 mbsf and 10.29 to 11.54 mbsf (Fig. 2n). Gas hydrates were sampled below 3.30 mbsf from the gravity core in the Lunde SE seepage site (Fig. 2i). Three thin layers of gas hydrates between 1.54 mbsf and 2.62 mbsf were recovered by two gravity cores from the Lomvi pockmark (Fig. 2t). Gas hydrate saturations calculated from these cores range from <1% to 47% of the pore space (Table 2).

| Core # | Depth (mbsf) | [Cl]_{obs} (mM) | [Cl]_{fit} (mM) | S_h (%) | Core # | Depth (mbsf) | [Cl]_{obs} (mM) | [Cl]_{fit} (mM) | S_h (%) |
|--------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| SE seepage:GeoB21619-1 | 3.35 | 633.5 | 634.8 | <1 | 5.80 | 429.6 | 584.2 | 31 |
| 3.51 | 512.3 | 637.8 | 24 | GeoB21637-1 | 6.28 | 454.1 | 587.1 | 27 |
| 3.61 | 591.2 | 639.7 | 9 | 6.42 | 471.0 | 588.1 | 24 |
| 3.90 | 376.4 | 645.1 | 47 | 6.54 | 520.9 | 589.1 | 14 |
| 4.00 | 608.9 | 647.0 | 7 | 6.90 | 514.9 | 592.1 | 16 |
| 4.34 | 483.8 | 653.3 | 31 | 7.11 | 543.9 | 594.1 | 10 |
| 4.43 | 490.7 | 655.0 | 30 | 7.38 | 555.9 | 596.8 | 9 |
| 4.53 | 475.9 | 656.9 | 32 | 8.28 | 534.9 | 608.3 | 15 |
| 4.63 | 417.8 | 658.7 | 42 | 10.29 | 456.6 | 648.3 | 35 |
| 4.73 | 537.0 | 660.6 | 22 | 10.88 | 584.8 | 664.4 | 15 |
| 4.88 | 592.5 | 593.9 | 13 | 11.29 | 556.9 | 677.4 | 21 |
| Lomvi-seepage: | 2.38 | 578.8 | 597.3 | 4 | 11.54 | 607.8 | 685.8 | 14 |
| GeoB21624-1 | 2.62 | 578.8 | 597.3 | 4 |
| GeoB21623-1 | 1.54 | 541.8 | 580.0 | 8 | GeoB21621-1 | 6.51 | 424.1 | 588.9 | 32 |
3.2. Downcore variation in pore water composition

We report the downcore concentration profiles of dissolved sulfate and chloride as well as pore water B/Cl ratios, $\delta^{18}$B, $\delta^{18}$O, and $\delta$D to investigate the sources of fluid and gas hydrate dynamics. All pore water data were compiled based on the coring locations and presented in Fig. 2. Dissolved sulfate concentrations decrease rapidly from a seawater value (28 mM) towards the sulfate-methane-transition (SMT), which is defined by the shallowest depths where significant amounts of methane can be detected and sulfate concentrations drop to a sub-milliliter level (Fig. 2a, 2e, 2h, 2m, and 2s). SMT depths range from ca. 10 mbsf at the reference site, 2.5 mbsf in the non-seepage site and less than one mbsf for the seepage sites (Fig. 2). A few milliliter sulfate can still be observed in the deeper sediments, which is a sign for the contamination by seawater during core recovery and handling (Bohrmann et al., 2017; Pape et al., 2020).

We report pore water B/Cl ratios, instead of absolute concentrations of dissolved boron, to correct for the effect of gas hydrate dissolution and formation. Pore water B/Cl ratio profiles from the reference and the non-seepage site in the Lunde pockmark exhibit downcore decreasing trends in general from $9 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol close to the sediment-water interface to $6 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol at the bottom of the sites (Fig. 2c and 2g). In the Lunde SE seepage site, pore water B/Cl ratios are fairly constant with depth (ca. $8 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol) except for the two anomalous values (around $9 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol) from the hydrate-bearing interval (3.35–4.73 mbsf, Fig. 2i). In the Lunde SW seepage site, pore water B/Cl ratios slightly decrease with depth from $8 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol close to the sediment-water interface to $6 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol at four mbsf and increase abruptly within the two hydrate-bearing horizons (5.80–8.28 mbsf and 10.29 to 11.54 mbsf) with ratios as high as $9 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol (Fig. 2e). From the Lomvi pockmark, pore water B/Cl ratios decrease with depth within the uppermost 2.5 m of sediments from $8 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol close to the sediment-water interface to $5 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol at 2.5 mbsf. Only the two samples from 2.56 to 2.62 mbsf show anomalous pore water B/Cl ratios as high as $9 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/mol (Fig. 2u).

The $\delta^{11}$B in pore water were measured for samples from the reference and the Lunde SW seepage sites as well as from Lomvi pockmark sites. The $\delta^{11}$B profile from the reference site shows a slight decreasing trend from the expected seawater value of $+39.6$‰ at seafloor to $+37.0$‰ at five mbsf and an increase with depth to $+41.0$‰ between five mbsf and the bottom of the core (ca. 60 mbsf) with a few fluctuations in between (Fig. 2d). From the Lunde SW seepage site, the $\delta^{11}$B values also slightly decrease from the expected seawater value at seafloor to $+37.0$‰ at four mbsf (Fig. 2p). The values increase to $+39.5$‰ at 11.11 mbsf with low values ($+35.0$‰ to $+37.5$‰) within the intervals where gas hydrates were recovered (Fig. 2p). A slight decrease in $\delta^{11}$B values with depth (from $+39.0$‰ to $+37.0$‰) was observed from the four available measurements in the top 2.62 m in the Lomvi pockmark cores (Fig. 2v). The two gas hydrate samples have $\delta^{11}$B values of $+26.5$‰ and $+30.3$‰ that are significantly lower than all the values from the pore fluid samples investigated.

Downcore [Cl] at the Lunde reference and the non-seepage sites show small variations ranging between 540 and 560 mM (Fig. 2b and 2d). Larger variations in the [Cl] (424.1–890.7 mM) were observed from the Lunde SW and SE seepage sites as well as from the Lomvi seepage site (Fig. 2i, 2n, and Table 2). The [Cl] at the Lunde SE seepage site shows a gradual increase in the uppermost third of sediments from 572.4 mM to 618.8 mM. In the hydrate-bearing sediments below three mbsf, [Cl] fluctuate between 376.4 mM and 608.9 mM. The [Cl] profile from the Lunde SW seepage site shows a more complicated structure. The range of [Cl] from the hydrate-free sediments for the uppermost six m of sediments is fairly narrow (575.4 mM–591.3 mM) with a pronounced downcore increase observed from ca. 6 mbsf to 15.11 mbsf (528.8 mM–890.7 mM, respectively). For the samples taken from the two hydrate-bearing intervals of this site, [Cl] fluctuate between 424.1 mM and 607.8 mM. At the Lomvi seepage site, [Cl] show a general downcore increasing trend with anomalously low values down to 541.8 mM in the three sediment horizons where gas hydrates were recovered (1.54, 2.38, and 2.62 mbsf; Fig. 2t & Table 2).

$\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D were analyzed for seepage sites in the Lunde and Lomvi pockmarks. At the Lunde SE seepage site, the values of both isotopes decrease slightly with depth from $+0.2$‰ to $0$‰ for $\delta^{18}$O and $-0.1$‰ to $-0.5$‰ for $\delta$D within the uppermost 3 m of hydrate-free sediments (Fig. 2k and l). Water samples taken from the hydrate-bearing samples deeper than 3.35 mbsf at this site have values up to $+1.1$‰ and $+7.9$‰ for $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D, respectively (Fig. 2k and 2i). At the Lunde SW seepage site, the downcore $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D profiles also exhibit complex structures with relatively little fluctuation in values above six mbsf and high values observed in the two hydrate-bearing intervals (Figs. 2q and 2r). Between six and ten mbsf the isotopic values gradually increase with depth in the hydrate-free sediments and decrease towards lower values ($+0.3$‰ for $\delta^{18}$O and $+1.8$‰ for $\delta$D) in the deepest three samples (14.74–15.12 mbsf; Figs. 2q and 2r). In general, there are relatively smaller changes in both $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D signatures from the Lomvi seepage site. Only one sample at 2.38 mbsf shows slightly higher $\delta^{18}$O ($+0.5$‰) and $\delta$D values ($+2.4$‰) as compared to values for bottom seawater (Figs. 2w and 2x).

4. Discussion

4.1. Pore water sources inferred from chloride concentration, $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D

We investigate the sources of water and processes that contribute to the observed changes in fluid composition from the Lunde seepage sites by studying the profiles of [Cl], $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D. We compared our data ([Cl]obs, $\delta^{18}$Oobs and $\delta$Dobs) with values of assigned references ([Cl]ref, $\delta^{18}$Oref and $\delta$Dref) to investigate the effect of fluid mixing and gas hydrate dynamics (Fig. 3). We chose two sets of reference composition: modern seawater (Lunde SE seepage site) and the composition of a sample from 8.78 mbsf (Lunde SW seepage site) for reasons discussed below.

Following the approach developed by Tomaru et al. (2006), we defined the normalized chloride concentrations, f, as the ratios between the observed and reference dissolved chloride concentrations (i.e. [Cl]obs/[Cl]ref, Fig. 3). The f values reflect the mixing of fluids with different [Cl]. The f values larger than one indicate consumption of fresh water through processes such as gas hydrate formation, while values smaller than one refer to fresh water addition through processes such as gas hydrate decomposition. We also defined parameters that describe the differences between the observed and reference isotopic signatures of water as $\Delta \delta^{18}$O ($= \delta^{18}$Oobs $-$ $\delta^{18}$Oref) and $\Delta \delta$D ($= \delta$Dobs $-$ $\delta$Dref) (Fig. 3). Gas hydrate formation is known to preferentially concentrate $^{18}$O and $^3$H in the hydrate lattice, which results in lower $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D values in the residual fluids (Maekawa, 2004). The degree of such isotropic fractionation can be quantified experimentally and expressed as isotopic fractionation factors ($\alpha$ = 1.0023 to 1.0034 and $\alpha$ = 1.014 to 1.024; Maekawa (2004)) assuming Rayleigh-type fractionation in a closed system. The values of $\alpha$ were derived by knowing the heavy-to-light isotopic ratios (R) between the final and initial solutions as well as the fraction of water from gas hydrate in the final solution (see Maekawa, 2004 for more detail). During gas hydrate decomposition, the release of $^{18}$O- and $^3$H-enriched fresh water from hydrate lattice results in higher $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D values of the pore water.

When analyzing the pore fluid data with this approach, all the data from the Lunde SE seepage site can be well explained when modern seawater composition was chosen as the reference ([Cl]ref) 574 mM; $\delta^{18}$O ref = $+0.2$‰ and $\delta$D ref = $-0.1$‰ (Fig. 3a and b). The data from hydrate-bearing samples can be explained as the buried seawater modified by gas hydrate dissociation during core recovery. The downcore increase in [Cl]obs (up to 633 mM) from the hydrate-free samples at this site may hint to ongoing gas hydrate formation. However, this inference is only marginally supported by the $\delta^{18}$Oobs and $\delta$Dobs signatures as the pore
Fig. 3. Normalized chloride concentration ($f$) versus differences in $\delta^{18}O_{\text{obs}}$ (Fig. 3a and 3c) and $\deltaD_{\text{obs}}$ (Fig. 3b and 3d) relative to the assigned reference composition ($\Delta\delta^{18}O$ and $\Delta\deltaD$) (GH = gas hydrate). The uncertainty in $f$ is smaller than the symbol size as $[\text{Cl}]$ is precisely determined through titration. For the Lunde SE seepage site (Fig. 3a and 3b), we chose modern seawater composition as the reference (green squares; $[\text{Cl}]_{\text{ref}} = 574$ mM, $\delta^{18}O_{\text{ref}} = +0.20$‰, $\deltaD_{\text{ref}} = +0.06$‰). Most of the data from hydrate-bearing samples can be explained by the expected fractionation factors ($\alpha$) for gas hydrate dissociation and formation determined from laboratory experiments (e.g., Maekawa 2004). For the Lunde SW seepage site (Fig. 3c and 3d), we chose the composition from a sample at 8.78 mbsf as the reference (purple squares; $[\text{Cl}]_{\text{ref}} = 616$ mM, $\delta^{18}O_{\text{ref}} = +0.60$‰, $\deltaD_{\text{ref}} = +3.76$‰) as modern seawater composition fails to explain the distribution of data (see Suppl. Fig. 1). We propose that the values of the sample at 8.78 mbsf represent the composition of a saline formation fluid that, in addition of mixing with local bottom seawater (red dash lines), was modified by hydrate formation at greater depths and gas hydrate dissociation during core recovery. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
water sample from 2.53 mbsf, where the deepest hydrate-free sediment sample was obtained, are only slightly depleted in $^{18}O$ and $^4$H. In addition, $\delta^{18}O_{\text{obs}}$ and $\delta^4D_{\text{obs}}$ signatures from samples deeper than 2.53 mbsf were affected by hydrate dissociation during core recovery and thus cannot be used to infer any likely formation of gas hydrate.

In contrast, the changes in $\delta^{18}O_{\text{obs}}$ and $\delta^4D_{\text{obs}}$ from the Lunde SW seepage sites cannot be explained with hydrate dynamics if the composition of modern seawater is assigned as the reference (Suppl. Fig. 1). Instead, the data can be better fitted when the composition of the sample from 8.78 mbsf is used as the reference ([Cl]$_{\text{ref}}$ 616 mM, $\delta^{18}O_{\text{ref}}$ +0.6‰, $\delta^4D_{\text{ref}}$ +3.8‰) (Fig. 3c and 3d). This composition hints to the presence of a saline formation fluid that, when compared to modern seawater, it has higher [Cl] by 42 mM as well as higher $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^4D$ values by 0.4‰ and 3.8‰, respectively. Between seafloor and 5.80 mbsf, [Cl], $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^4D$ reflect mixing between this saline formation water and modern bottom seawater (red dash lines in Fig. 3c and 3d). Between 5.80 and 11.54 mbsf, this saline formation water was modified by gas hydrate dissociation during core recovery (open squares in Fig. 3c and 3d), which results in $\Delta\delta^{18}O$ and $\Delta\delta^4D$ values up to 1.0‰ and 7.0‰, respectively. Below 11.54 mbsf, the enrichment in [Cl] up to 890 mM as well as higher $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^4D$ values by 0.4‰ and 3.8‰, respectively. Between seafloor and 5.80 mbsf, [Cl], $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^4D$ reflect mixing between this saline formation water and modern bottom seawater (closed circles in Fig. 3c and 3d).

We propose that this saline formation water originated from old evaporated seawater that was buried with the strata at great depths. Though not without uncertainties, this explanation is supported by the overall similar Br/Cl ratios between our pore fluid samples and seawater (Suppl. Fig. 2). An alternative explanation for the high [Cl], $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^4D$ of the proposed saline fluid is the residual fluids after clay ion filtration, which leads to the enrichments of anion as well as high $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^4D$ values (Phillips and Bentley 1987). This process however fails to explain why the same saline formation water is not observed from other sites investigated (e.g., Lunde non-seep and reference sites, Fig. 2), which should all be situated in a similar clay mineral composition. Authigenic clay formation is also known to result in residual fluids with high [Cl] (Kastner et al., 1991; Sheppard and Gilg 1996; Dahlmann and De Lange 2003). This explanation can however be ruled out as clay formation fractionates $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^4D$ in opposite directions (Kastner et al., 1991; Sheppard and Gilg 1996; Dahlmann and De Lange 2003) and cannot explain the high values in both $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^4D$ of this saline formation fluid from the Lunde SW seepage site.

4.2. Modes of gas hydrate formation controlled by methane supply

The flux of methane as well as the composition of the fluid, such as salinity, are two of the most important factors that determine gas hydrate abundance. When combining the knowledge of downcore variations of gas hydrate abundance and the time scales of local methane seepage, as derived from the authigenic carbonate records (e.g., Himmler et al., 2019), one could investigate the different modes of gas hydrate formation. We estimated $S_h$ up to 35% pore space from the two hydrate-bearing horizons in the Lunde SW seepage site based on the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{obs}}$ (Fig. 2n and Table 2). At the Lunde SE seepage site and the Lonvi seepage site the maximum $S_h$ is 47% and 13%, respectively (Fig. 2l, 2t and Table 2). Our estimation is much higher than that derived from waveform inversion modeling of ocean-bottom seismic (OBS) (0-2% pore space in the upper 50 m of sediments from Singhroha et al., 2019), but similar to the estimation based on controlled source electromagnetic (CSEM) (ca. 30% pore space; Goswami et al., 2017) from Vestnessa Ridge. We acknowledge that part of the differences can be attributed to the different methods used (geophysical vs. geochemical), different physical scales focused by these methods, and the locations where these measurements were performed (i.e. ridge crest vs. flank) with the OBS stations located outside the pockmark footprint and the CSEM transmitter being towed along the ridge. Nonetheless, while the OBS estimation may represent a gas hydrate distribution under a diffusive flow system (Singhroha et al., 2019), our results and the CSEM estimation likely represent the saturation from the chimney where gas hydrate formation is actively sustained by focused gaseous methane supplied through fractures (Pape et al., 2020). We however note that these geophysical methods are not able to differentiate free gas from gas hydrate (Singhroha et al., 2020). Therefore, direct comparison of hydrate saturation may be problematic.

We are able to differentiate the status of gas hydrate deposits, namely active formation versus dynamic equilibrium under ambient pressure and temperature conditions. It has been shown from Hydrate Ridge, Cascadia Margin (NE Pacific Ocean), and Ulleung Basin (Sea of Japan or East Sea) that positive chloride concentration anomalies are not necessarily associated with all hydrate deposits (see Hong and Pesynska 2018 for a review of data from these locations). When hydrate formation slows down, deposits of gas hydrate can reach a status of dynamic equilibrium. In other words, there is no net gain or loss of gas hydrate under such equilibrium status as hydrate formation is as fast as its dissolution. The supply of methane is only high enough to maintain the dissolved methane concentration at a saturation level and compensate for methane loss via diffusion and microbial consumption (e.g., anaerobic oxidation of methane, Boetius et al., 2000), but too low to support additional hydrate formation. Dissolved chloride that is expelled during an early stage of active formation slowly diffuses away under the dynamic equilibrium.

Hong and Pesynska (2018) applied a kinetic model on the data obtained from IODP Site1328 (Cascadia Margin). They showed that the gas hydrate deposits not associated with positive chloride concentration anomalies could be explained by either a slow formation or periodically fast formation with a prolonged relaxation period. In the former explanation, the rates of hydrate formation would be only slightly higher than that under dynamic equilibrium which takes a time scale of 250,000 years (250 thousand years or 250 kyr) to form 40% (pore space) of gas hydrate. The dissolved chloride that is expelled during hydrate formation has sufficient time to diffuse away and results in no accumulation of chloride in the pore water. For the periodically fast formation with a prolonged relaxation period, massive gas hydrate can form in a very short period of time (200 years for 40% of gas hydrate in pore space) sustained by pulses of methane gas supply. The rapid formation is followed by a prolonged relaxation stage (40 kyr for the gas hydrates at 233 mbsf from IODP Site1328) that allows sufficient time for the large positive chloride concentration anomalies to diffuse away.

The temporal constraints obtained from U-Th dating of seep carbonates from the Lunde SW seepage site allow us to further differentiate the two hydrate formation modes from this site. The U-Th ages from seep carbonates suggest two major past seepage events at the Lunde SW seepage site: 40–50 thousand years ago (ka) (determined from 5 to 10 mbsf at MeBo drill site GeoB21616-1) and 133–160 ka (determined from >15 mbsf from MeBo drill site GeoB21637-1; Fig. 1b) (Himmler et al., 2019). Based on these carbonate age inferences, the event-driven rapid hydrate formation is a more likely scenario as the slow hydrate formation requires a minimum formation time that exceeds the ages of seep carbonates and hosting formation (i.e., 250 kyr to built-up 40% of gas hydrates as estimated by Hong and Pesynska 2018). As the gas hydrate recovered from the Lunde SW seepage site is notably shallower (<11.54 mbsf; Table 2) than that at IODP Site1328, the length of time required for the positive chloride concentration anomalies to mix with seawater is expected to be significantly shorter than 40 kyr. To form gas hydrate within a few centuries under the periodically fast hydrate formation condition, a large upward flux of methane gas is required, a conclusion supported by previous studies (Haeckel et al., 2004; Torres et al., 2004; Liu and Flemings 2006; Sultan et al., 2014; Hong et al., 2018), and by the bubble fabric in the gas hydrate pieces recovered (Fig. 1c) (Bohrmann et al., 1998; Sultan et al., 2014). Even though the recovered gas hydrates from the Lunde SW seepage site likely formed during periods of intensive gas seepage events in the past, the absence of
positive [Cl] anomalies associated with them (Fig. 2n) suggests that these gas hydrates have reached a status of dynamic equilibrium that their formation is as fast as decomposition.

4.3. Migration of saline fluid from deep formations inferred from stable boron isotopic signatures

In the previous sections, we show that [Cl], δ18O and δD signatures from the Lunde SW seepage site can be best explained by a saline formation fluid mixed with modern seawater and modified by gas hydrate dynamics. We propose that such a saline formation fluid originated from much greater depths and its flow conduit was intercepted at the SW seepage site, an inference supported by the B/Cl molar ratios and δ11B signatures (as compared to the value from GH sp1) can be explained by ca. 30% mixing from local bottom seawater, likely as a result of contamination during sampling. Neither gas hydrate dissociation nor seep carbonates dissolution (blue square) can explain the observed boron signatures from pore fluids (cross, solid triangle, and circle). This observation supports the inference that the pore fluid boron signatures reflect in-situ signal from a deeply-buried fluid source. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

4.4. Interaction between fluid flow and shallow gas hydrate dynamics from the Lunde pockmark

The pore fluid geochemistry from the Lunde SW seepage site hints to a saline formation water modified by high-temperature diagenesis. This formation water supplies the sediment with barely sufficient methane to maintain the two gas hydrate deposits at dynamic equilibrium, which is inferred from the lack of positive [Cl] anomaly associated with gas hydrates recovered. We integrate these findings with previous studies from the Lunde pockmark and propose an evolution model for the fluid pumping systems (Fig. 5). The internal structure of a gas chimney is highly complex with fractures and zones of low permeability materials (e.g., gas hydrates and carbonates) that influence the migration pathways of ascending fluids (e.g., Gay et al., 2011 and Sultan et al., 2014). Based on the high resolution 3-D P-cable seismic data, Plaza-Faverola et al. (2015) documented buried mounds at several seismic horizons (e.g., H50 in Fig. 5c) along the Vestnesa Ridge. One of these buried features observed in seismic data beneath the Lunde pockmark was correlated with the carbonates-cemented sediments recovered from the Lunde SW seepage site and confirmed to be an indication of a past seepage event (see Fig. 5b and Himmler et al., 2019). These carbonate-cemented sediments formed as a result of the alkalinity increase during anaerobic oxidation of methane gradually blocked the primary conduits for fluid flow, such as what happened beneath the main depression of the Lunde pockmark (the buried pockmarks/seep carbonate in Fig. 5a that corresponds to ca. 1.75 s two-way travel time in Fig. 1d). As a result, the flow was diverted from the main depression and flowed southwesterly towards the SW seepage site (Fig. 5a) as well as the SE part of the
Fig. 5. A conceptual evolution model for the fluid pumping system of Lunde pockmark that integrates seismic interpretation, U–Th ages of seep carbonates and the pore fluid geochemistry. (a) When the main fluid channel was blocked by the buried pockmarks/seep carbonates observed from the seismic profile (corresponds to the H50 horizon in Fig. 1d), a deflected fluid conduit was created which directed the fluid flow towards the SW seepage site. Gaseous methane was diverted and forced to flow along this conduit, which triggered active formation of gas hydrate that was recovered in this study. (b) The fluid conduit was gradually blocked by the seep carbonate cementation, which also decreases the gas discharge on the seafloor through this conduit. (c) At the present day, the dynamic equilibrium status of the gas hydrates from the SW seepage site suggests a halt of gaseous supply, likely due to the complete blockage by the carbonate cemented sediments that were recovered during drilling (Fig. 1c). The saline formation water is still able to migrate along the same fluid conduit used by gaseous methane in the past. Signs of active hydrate formation can be observed in the pore fluid from the bottom of the soft sediments recovered at SW seepage site. This hints to an active formation of gas hydrate in the laterally or horizontally adjacent sediments. The active fluid seepage and hydrate formation from SE seepage site indicate the genesis of a new deflected conduit from this location (similar to the SW seepage site in (a)). Age data of seep carbonates that are associated with previous methane seepage events were determined by the U–Th method (Himmler et al., 2019), while the age of H50 horizon was determined by local sedimentation rates (Knies et al., 2014; Mattingsdal et al., 2014).
pockmark (i.e. SE seepage site) (Fig. 5c). Such a mechanism explains why only low to moderate methane fluxes can be inferred from the pore fluid profiles of the Lunde non-seepage site (Figs. 1 and 5 for location and quantification from Pape et al., 2020). The gas hydrates recovered from the SW seepage site formed during a period of seepage with high gaseous methane supply (i.e. Fig. 5a and 5b), as indicated by the bubble fabric observed (Fig. 1c). Such gaseous methane supply gradually diminished as a result of the formation of carbonate crusts that were recovered at the SW seepage site (Fig. 5b). Such a mechanism has been proposed through numerical modeling (e.g., Luff et al., 2004; Luff et al., 2005) and the investigations of seep carbonates texture along the Norwegian margin (Hovland et al., 2002; Mazzini et al., 2003). Despite the partly blocked fluid conduit, the same fluid conduit still connects to a deep sourced aqueous fluid. Dissolved methane and other pore fluid constituents are able to reach the shallow sub-surface through diffusion, as reflected by the presence of saline formation water and boron anomalies. The lack of [Cl] positive anomalies associated with these gas hydrates supports the inference of a weaker methane supply as the gas hydrates are currently in a dynamic equilibrium status with formation as fast as its decomposition (Fig. 5c).

5. Conclusions

The pockmarks along Vestnesa Ridge have been excellent field laboratories for the studies of fluid migration and gas hydrate dynamics along the passive continental margins. Multi-disciplinary approaches integrating the knowledge of fluid geochemistry, geology, and geophysics, similar to what is achieved in this work, are critical for a holistic understanding of the system. With the access to sediment and samples tens of meters below seafloor, we are able to constrain the source of fluids and processes that modify fluid composition. The transition from brief but strong gaseous methane seepage episodes to a state of low diffusive methane supply is inferred from the presence of gas hydrate deposits without co-occurring positive chloride concentration anomalies. Such a transition is likely the result of fluid divergence by seep carbonates that were formed during the strong seepage periods but are now buried deep in the sediment sequence. The presently low methane flux is sustained by the flow of a saline formation fluid that originated from great depths. Our findings highlight the importance of conduit quality and fluid phase in controlling the migration of methane as well as the dynamics of gas hydrates in pockmarks.
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