CD26 as a Promising Biomarker for Predicting Prognosis in Patients with Pancreatic Tumors
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Purpose: Pancreatic cancer is associated with a high mortality rate owing to insufficient approaches for early diagnosis and the invasive biological behavior of the cancer. CD26 is a membrane-anchored protein involved in multiple physiological and pathological processes. Here, we investigated correlations between CD26 expression and clinicopathological features in patients with pancreatic tumors.

Methods: We collected 170 tumor tissue specimens and 138 paired paratumoral tissues from patients with pancreatic tumors and evaluated CD26 expression using immunohistochemistry.

Results: CD26 was expressed in 79.4% of pancreatic tumors, which was significantly (P < 0.001) higher than that in paratumoral pancreatic tissues (23.2%). High expression of CD26 was correlated with ABO blood type (P = 0.035), malignancy degree (P = 0.001), CA199 (P = 0.01), and CA242 (P = 0.027). In pancreatic malignancies, CD26 expression was observed in 80.7% (130/161) of cases. Lower CD26 expression was correlated with longer disease-free survival (P = 0.048) and overall survival (P = 0.024) and was an independent predictor of overall survival (hazard ratio [HR]: 1.713; P = 0.042). Similar results were observed in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) tissues, and CD26 expression level (HR: 2.117; P = 0.008) was an independent predictor of overall survival in patients with PDAC. CD26 expression was significantly increased in pancreatic tumors and gradually increased with increasing malignancy degree, suggesting that CD26 may be involved in the tumorigenic proliferation of pancreatic tumors.

Conclusion: Therefore, CD26 is a potential marker for early diagnosis and a promising therapeutic target in pancreatic tumors.
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Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is associated with a high mortality rate and was previously reported to be the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death in the United States of America.1 In recent years, important advances have been made in the diagnosis and treatment of pancreatic cancer. However, in 2015, according to Chinese cancer registration data, the estimated mortality rate of pancreatic cancer was still similar to the estimated incidence (79,400 versus 90,100 cases).2 The high mortality may be related to the lack of symptoms during early-stage disease and the high potential for invasion and metastasis of pancreatic cancer cells. Therefore, exploration of biomarkers that may be involved in tumorigenesis and have potential applications in early diagnosis and as treatment targets are urgently required.

CD26, also known as dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPPIV), is a serine peptidase that belongs to the dipeptidyl peptidase family 9b.3 This multifunctional protein has...
proline-specific dipeptidyl peptidase activity that is involved in diverse biological processes, such as T cell activation, and may cleave several chemokines under physiological conditions. The major substrates of CD26 are gastric inhibitory polypeptide and glucagon-like peptide-1; thus, CD26 inhibitors have been used to treat type II diabetes. Moreover, CD26 was recently found to be overexpressed in several types of cancers, including malignant mesothelioma, thyroid carcinoma, and urothelial carcinoma. Our previous work showed that CD26 overexpression promotes the growth and invasion of pancreatic cancer cells, whereas suppression of CD26 inhibits malignant behaviors. However, CD26 expression in specimens from patients with pancreatic tumors has not been extensively investigated.

Accordingly, in this study, we aimed to investigate CD26 expression in benign and malignant pancreatic tumors and to clarify the correlations between CD26 expression and clinicopathological features or prognosis in patients with pancreatic cancer. Our findings are expected to help elucidate the mechanisms of pancreatic cancer progression and to establish CD26 as a potential prognostic factor and therapeutic target.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patients and Samples**

In total, 170 patients with pancreatic masses who underwent surgical treatment at the Department of Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Surgery of Peking University Cancer Hospital during 2011–2017 were included in this study. Among these 170 cases, 109 were cases of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and its variants (PDACs), 28 were cases of solid-pseudopapillary neoplasms (SPTs), 18 were cases of neuroendocrine neoplasms (NEs), three were cases of serous neoplasms, two were cases of mucinous neoplasms (MCNs), three were cases of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs), three were cases of pancreatitis, one was a case of acinar cell neoplasm, and three were cases of secondary pancreatic metastasis. Patients who received adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy were excluded. During the experimental process, 32 paratumoral tissues were lost during processing or were too small for evaluation and were thus unable to be assessed. Overall, there were 170 pancreatic tumor specimens and 138 paired paratumoral tissues included in subsequent analyses. The average age of the 170 patients with pancreatic tumors was 55.9 ± 15.6 years old. The detailed baseline features of all patients are described in Table S1. Tumor specimens and paired paratumoral tissues were immediately fixed in formalin within 30 min after resection and then embedded with paraffin for long-term conservation. Specimens were cut into 4-μm-thick slices for staining.

**Immunohistochemical Analysis**

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded specimens were baked at 60°C for 2 h, dewaxed in xylene, and rehydrated through graded concentrations of alcohol. Antigen retrieval was performed with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (pH 8.0; Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Co., Ltd., China) for 2.5 min in a pressure cooker. After natural cooling to room temperature, the slices were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.0; Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) three times. Slices were then soaked in 3% hydrogen peroxide for 15 min to eliminate endogenous peroxidase activity. After washing in PBS three times, the sections were blocked with goat serum (Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) at 37°C for 1 h, followed by incubation with primary rabbit polyclonal anti-DPPIV antibodies (1:1200; cat. no. NB100-59021; Novus Biologicals, USA) overnight at 4°C. Unconjugated primary antibody was then removed by washing in PBS, and ready-to-use EnVision reagent (EnVision Detection System Peroxidase/DAB, Rabbit/Mouse; Dako, Denmark) was used to bind the primary antibody. A 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride kit (EnVision Detection System Peroxidase/DAB, Rabbit/Mouse; Dako) was used to develop substrates. After counterstaining with hematoxylin, the sections were dehydrated and transparentized with graded alcohol and xylene. Positivity for anti-CD26 antibody staining was determined in epithelial ovarian cancer and colorectal tumors (Figure S1).

**Evaluation of Staining**

Two independent pathologists who were blinded to the clinical data of the patients examined and scored CD26 expression under a microscope using the immunoreactivity score (IRS) system. The IRS was the product of the percentage of positive cells and staining intensity. IRSs of 0–1, 2–4, 5–8, and 9–12 were evaluated as “-”, “+”, “++”, and “+++”, respectively. In subsequent analyses, “-” and “+” were considered low CD26 low expression, and “++” and “+++” were considered high CD26 expression.
Statistical Analysis
SPSS Statistics (version 22) was used to perform statistical analysis. Two-tailed \( \chi^2 \) or Fisher tests were used to compare the expression of CD26 between different groups. In order to assess the correlations between CD26 expression and clinicopathological parameters, we used \( \chi^2 \) tests and Spearman’s rank correlation analysis. Overall survival (OS) rates and disease-free survival (DFS) rates were analyzed by Kaplan-Meier survival tests, and \( p \) values were calculated using Log rank tests to evaluate the correlations between prognosis and CD26 expression. Univariate and multivariate survival analyses were performed with Cox proportional hazard regression model to identify independent parameters affecting overall survival. Results with \( p \) values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results
CD26 Expression Was Markedly Higher in Pancreatic Tumor Tissues Than in Paratumoral Tissues
The 170 cases of pancreatic tumors were grouped into nine pathological types according to the World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Digestive System. Of the 170 cases, PDACs accounted for 64.1%; SPTs accounted for 16.5%; NENs accounted for 10.6%; serous neoplasms, IPMNs, pancreatitis, and secondary pancreatic metastases each accounted for 1.8%; MCNs accounted for 1.2%; and acinar cell neoplasms accounted for only 0.6% (Table S1).

CD26 expression was assessed in these cases using immunohistochemistry. Of the 170 tumor specimens, 135 were CD26 positive, whereas only 32 of the 138 paratumoral tissues were positive. CD26 expression was markedly higher in tumor tissues than in paratumoral tissues (79.4% versus 23.2%, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \); Table 1).

| Table 1 CD26 Expression of 170 Pancreatic Tumor Patients |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
|                              | Total | CD26 Positive | CD26 Negative | \( P \) value |
| Total                        | 170  | 135(79.4)     | 35(20.6)      | \( P<0.001 \) |
| PDACs                        | 109  | 90(82.6)      | 19(17.4)      | \( P<0.001 \) |
| N                            | 86   | 27(31.4)      | 59(68.6)      |               |
| SPTs                         | 28   | 22(78.6)      | 6(21.4)       | \( P<0.001 \) |
| N                            | 25   | 1(4.0)        | 24(96.0)      |               |
| NENs                         | 18   | 15(83.3)      | 3(16.7)       | \( P<0.001 \) |
| T                            | 18   | 2(15.4)       | 11(84.6)      |               |
| N                            | 13   | 2(15.4)       | 11(84.6)      |               |
| Mucinous cystic neoplasms    | 2    | 1(50.0)       | 1(50.0)       |               |
| T                            | 2    | 0(0)          | 1(50.0)       |               |
| N                            | 2    | 0(0)          | 1(50.0)       |               |
| Serous neoplasms             | 3    | 3(100.0)      | 0(0)          |               |
| T                            | 3    | 0(0)          | 3(100.0)      |               |
| N                            | 3    | 0(0)          | 3(100.0)      |               |
| IPMNs                        | 3    | 1(33.3)       | 2(66.7)       |               |
| T                            | 3    | 1(33.3)       | 2(66.7)       |               |
| N                            | 3    | 0(0)          | 2(66.7)       |               |
| Pancreatitis                 | 3    | 1(33.3)       | 2(66.7)       |               |
| T                            | 3    | 1(50.0)       | 1(50.0)       |               |
| N                            | 2    | 1(50.0)       | 1(50.0)       |               |
| Acinar cell neoplasms        | 1    | 0(0)          | 1(100.0)      |               |
| T                            | 1    | 0(0)          | 1(100.0)      |               |
| N                            | 1    | 0(0)          | 1(100.0)      |               |
| Secondary pancreatic metastasis | 3   | 1(33.3)       | 3(100.0)      |               |
| T                            | 3    | 2(66.7)       | 3(100.0)      |               |
| N                            | 3    | 0(0)          | 3(100.0)      |               |

Notes: “T” represented as “Tumor tissues”; “N” represented as “Paratumoral pancreatic tissues”; The bold \( P \) value indicated significant difference.

CD26 Expression Was Significantly Higher in PDACs, NENs, and SPTs
The positive rates of CD26 expression in tumor and non-cancerous tissues were 82.6% versus 31.4%, respectively, in PDACs (90/109 versus 27/86, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)); 83.3% versus 15.4%, respectively, in NENs (15/18 versus 2/13, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)); and 78.6% versus 4.0%, respectively, in SPTs (22/28 versus 1/25, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)). No statistical significance was found in other types of pancreatic tumors owing to the small sample size. All data are shown in Table 1. Typical cytoplasmic staining of CD26 in various types of tumors is shown in Figure 1.

Pancreatic Tumors with Different Degrees of Malignancy Had Different CD26 Expression
According to the degree of malignancy, we classified the 170 cases of pancreatic tumors into three categories, i.e,
benign pancreatic tumors, low-grade malignant pancreatic tumors, and high-grade malignant pancreatic tumors. As shown in Figure 2, nine patients were histologically assessed as having benign tumors, among which the positive rate of CD26 expression was 55.6% (5/9). Patients with SPTs were assessed as having low-grade malignant tumors, among which 22 cases were CD26 positive (78.6%). The remaining pancreatic tumors were high-grade malignant tumors, exhibiting a positive rate of CD26 expression of 81.2% (108/133). Although there were no significant differences among benign, low-grade, and high-grade malignant pancreatic tumors (P = 0.239), high-grade malignant pancreatic tumors tend to have higher CD26 expression.

Correlations Between CD26 Expression Levels and Clinicopathological Features in Patients with Pancreatic Tumors

Next, we assessed the correlations between CD26 expression levels and clinical features in patients with pancreatic tumors. As shown in Tables 2 and 3, among 170 cases of pancreatic tumors, high CD26 expression was associated with ABO blood type (P = 0.035), tumor malignancy (P = 0.001), CA199 (P = 0.010), and CA242 (P = 0.027). We did not find associations of high CD26 expression with sex (P = 0.463), age (P = 0.119), smoking (P = 0.317), drinking (P = 0.852), abnormal blood glucose (P = 0.787), tumor size (P = 0.503), or tumor site (P = 0.175) for all patients.

Figure 1 Typical cytoplasmic staining of CD26 in (A) PDAC; (B) SPT; (C) NEN; (D) paratumoral pancreatic tissue; (E) IPMN; (F) serous neoplasms; (G) pancreatitis; (H) acinar cell neoplasm; (I) secondary pancreatic metastasis from oviduct serous adenocarcinoma and (J) MCN. The upper ones of each figure were ×100, and the lower ones were ×200.

Figure 2 CD26 expression in paratumoral tissues, benign pancreatic tumors, low-grade malignant pancreatic tumors and high-grade malignant pancreatic tumors. * represented P<0.05. *** represented P <0.001.
Table 2 Correlation Between CD26 Expression Level and Clinicopathological Features (Enumeration Data) in 170 Pancreatic Tumor Patients

| Characteristics         | CD26 High Expression [n (%)] | CD26 Low Expression [n (%)] | P value |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Gender                  |                              |                             |         |
| Male                    | 50 (56.2)                    | 39 (43.8)                   | 0.463   |
| Female                  | 50 (61.7)                    | 31 (38.3)                   |         |
| Smoking*                |                              |                             |         |
| Deny                    | 73 (57.0)                    | 55 (43.0)                   | 0.317   |
| Present                 | 27 (65.9)                    | 14 (34.1)                   |         |
| Drinking*               |                              |                             |         |
| Deny                    | 80 (58.8)                    | 56 (41.2)                   | 0.852   |
| Present                 | 0 (0.0)                      | 13 (100.0)                  |         |
| Abnormal blood glucose* |                              |                             |         |
| Absent                  | 75 (58.6)                    | 53 (41.4)                   | 0.787   |
| Present                 | 25 (61.0)                    | 16 (39.0)                   |         |
| Blood type*             |                              |                             |         |
| A type                  | 39 (60.9)                    | 25 (39.1)                   | 0.035   |
| B type                  | 21 (55.3)                    | 17 (44.7)                   |         |
| AB type                 | 16 (84.2)                    | 3 (15.8)                    |         |
| O type                  | 21 (45.7)                    | 25 (54.3)                   |         |
| Tumor site              |                              |                             |         |
| Head                    | 46 (56.1)                    | 36 (43.9)                   | 0.175   |
| Body and tail           | 51 (60.0)                    | 34 (40.0)                   |         |
| Whole pancreas          | 3 (100.0)                    | 0 (0.0)                     |         |
| Malignancy              |                              |                             |         |
| Benign                  | 3 (33.3)                     | 6 (66.7)                    | 0.001   |
| Low-grade malignant     | 9 (32.1)                     | 19 (67.9)                   |         |
| High-grade malignant    | 88 (66.2)                    | 45 (33.8)                   |         |

Notes: *Clinicopathological data of several patients were incomplete, yet do not affect the overall results; The bold P value indicated significant difference.

High CD26 Expression was Correlated with Pathological Type and Serum Tumor Markers in Patients with Malignant Pancreatic Tumors

Further analyses were conducted among the 161 patients with malignant pancreatic tumors. The results showed that high CD26 expression was associated with pathological type (P = 0.001), CA199 (P = 0.013), and CA242 (P = 0.025), but not with sex (P = 0.174), age (P = 0.056), smoking (P = 0.132), drinking (P = 0.808), abnormal blood glucose (P = 0.547), blood type (P = 0.052), tumor size (P = 0.490), tumor site (P = 0.213), blood vessel invasion (P = 0.600), tumor invasion (P = 0.317), lymph node metastasis (P = 0.444), distant metastasis (P = 0.246), tumor stage (P = 0.451), carcinoembryonic antigen (P = 0.174), or CA72.4 (P = 0.351; Tables S2 and S3).

Next, subgroup analyses were performed in PDACs, NENs, and SPTs. High CD26 expression was found to be associated with ABO blood type (P = 0.033) in patients with PDAC. No other significant relationships were found in these patients (data not shown).

Higher CD26 Expression was Correlated with Poorer Overall Survival in Patients with Malignant Pancreatic Tumors

Among malignant pancreatic tumors, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and log rank tests showed that higher CD26 expression was associated with poorer DFS (P = 0.048) and poorer OS (P = 0.024), as shown in Figure 3A and B. The median DFS times were 47.00 ± 5.02 months in the high CD26 expression group and 47.80 ± 4.04 months in the low CD26 expression group. The median OS times were 31.43 ± 4.00 months in the high CD26 expression group and 38.43 ± 3.71 months in the low CD26 expression group.

Various clinicopathological features that may affect DFS and OS in patients with malignant pancreatic tumors were evaluated by univariate survival analysis. Tumor pathological type (hazard ratio [HR]: 4.259; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.015–17.879; P = 0.048), distant metastasis (HR: 194.821; 95% CI: 42.064–902.316; P < 0.001), and tumor stage (HR: 10.842; 95% CI: 5.360–21.929; P < 0.001) were confirmed to be prognostic factors of DFS. These prognostic factors and CD26
expression were included in the Cox multivariate model. Only tumor stage (HR: 10.558; 95% CI: 5.156–21.622; \( P < 0.001 \)) was shown to be an independent prognostic factor for DFS (Table S4).

Univariate survival analysis suggested that age (HR: 1.045; 95% CI: 1.025–1.064; \( P < 0.001 \)), lymph node metastasis (HR: 1.862; 95% CI: 1.126–3.081; \( P = 0.016 \)), tumor stage (HR: 2.031; 95% CI: 1.171–3.524; \( P = 0.012 \)), and high CD26 expression (HR: 1.774; 95% CI: 1.071–2.939; \( P = 0.026 \)) were prognostic factors of OS. Cox multivariate survival analyses showed that age (HR: 1.048; 95% CI: 1.025–1.071; \( P < 0.001 \)), tumor stage (HR: 2.364; 95% CI: 1.337–4.179; \( P = 0.003 \)), and high CD26 expression (HR: 1.713; 95% CI: 1.019–2.880; \( P = 0.042 \)) were independent prognostic factors for OS. Detailed information is shown in Table 4.

Further subgroup analyses showed that patients with PDAC with lower CD26 expression had favorable OS compared with those with higher CD26 expression (\( P = 0.023 \)). The median OS times were 29.38 ± 3.93 months for patients with low CD26 expression and 17.93 ± 1.96 months for patients with high CD26 expression (Figure S2). No other

Table 4 Cox Proportional Hazard Regression Model Analysis of Overall Survival in 161 Malignant Pancreatic Cancer Patients

| Characteristics  | Univariate Analysis | 95% Confidence Interval | P value | Hazard Ratio | 95% Confidence Interval | Multivariate Analysis | P value | Hazard Ratio | 95% Confidence Interval |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Gender           | 0.377               | 1.245                   |         | 0.066–2.025  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Age (years)      | <0.001              | 1.045                   |         | 1.025–1.064  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Smoking          | 0.080               | 1.602                   |         | 0.944–2.719  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Drinking         | 0.783               | 0.915                   |         | 0.488–1.717  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Abnormal glucose | 0.090               | 1.594                   |         | 0.930–2.732  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Blood type       | 0.489               | 1.221                   |         | 0.694–2.148  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Size             | 0.965               | 1.002                   |         | 0.909–1.105  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Blood vessel invasion | 0.342     | 1.272                   |         | 0.774–2.089  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Tumor invasion   | 0.056               | 1.644                   |         | 0.987–2.740  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Lymph node metastasis | 0.016   | 1.862                   |         | 1.126–3.081  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Metastasis       | 0.124               | 1.754                   |         | 0.857–3.591  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| Tumor stage      | 0.012               | 2.031                   |         | 1.171–3.524  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |
| CD26 level       | 0.026               | 1.774                   |         | 1.071–2.939  |                         | <0.001                | 1.048   | 1.025–1.071  |                         |

Note: The bold P value indicated significant difference.
significant results were found in NENs or SPTs owing to the small sample size and lower malignancy of the tumors; detailed information is shown in Figure S3.

Finally, we performed univariate and multivariate survival analyses of DFS and OS in PDACs. Similar to the results for all pancreatic malignancies (Tables S5 and S6), tumor stage (HR: 8.689; 95% CI: 3.986–18.943; \( P < 0.001 \)) was an independent factor affecting DFS. Tumor stage (HR: 3.398; 95% CI: 1.879–6.145; \( P < 0.001 \)) and higher CD26 expression (HR: 2.117; 95% CI: 1.214–3.691; \( P = 0.008 \)) were independent prognostic factors in patients with PDAC.

**Discussion**

CD26 was first characterized as a type II transmembrane glycoprotein of approximately 110 kDa and was showed to have peptidase activity that could cleave oligopeptides with an L-proline or L-alanine at the penultimate position into dipeptides from the N-terminal.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^10\) CD26 is a multifunctional serine proteinase involved in various physiological processes, including immune modulation and signal transduction, and pathological processes, such as inflammation, autoimmune diseases, and tumor invasion.\(^10\) Furthermore, CD26/DPPIV cleaves multiple substrates with broad functions.

Importantly, CD26 plays various roles in different tumors.\(^10\)\(^,\)\(^11\) In this study, CD26 expression was found to be markedly higher in tumor tissues than in paratumoral tissues. Detailed analyses of the pathological subtypes in patients with pancreatic tumors revealed that positive rates of CD26 expression in patients with PDACs, SPTs, NENs, and benign pancreatic tumors were 82.6%, 78.6%, 83.3%, and 55.7%, respectively. Busek et al have evaluated the enzymatic activity of CD26 in pancreatic tumor tissues and circulation of PDAC patients and found that 95% of the tumors expressed CD26.\(^12\) Furthermore, Arias-Pinilla et al have shown that 61% of the 34 tissue samples expressed CD26.\(^13\) These two studies are consistent with our results. Here, we assessed CD26 expression using a larger sample size and analyzed in detail its correlation with various clinicopathological features. We further determined its expression in NENs and SPTs. Our results indicated that CD26 was aberrantly expressed in pancreatic tumors and may be involved in pancreatic tumorigenesis as an oncogene, which corroborates the findings of our previous study.\(^9\) Similarly to our results, CD26 was overexpressed in patients with thyroid cancer,\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^14\) malignant mesothelioma,\(^15\) ovarian carcinoma,\(^16\) and HCC.\(^17\) Particularly, in patients with HCC, CD26 overexpression was correlated with the proliferation marker ki-67 in tumor cells, thereby indicating that CD26 expression may be involved in tumorigenic proliferation.\(^17\) However, in melanoma, decreased CD26 expression was found in tumor tissues, and subsequently, its role in tumor suppression has been suggested.\(^18\) The diverse roles of CD26 may be attributed to the various ligands it interacts with in different kinds of cancers and its specific location in tumor cells. In malignant mesothelioma, CD26 was mainly overexpressed in the cytoplasm, whereas surface expression was lacking. In pancreatic tumors, we similarly found that CD26 was expressed in the cytoplasm, the cytoplasmic region of CD26 was associated with tumor growth through inhibiting the somatostatin receptor 4 in malignant mesothelioma.\(^19\) Thus, the mechanism of CD26 in pancreatic tumors needs to be elucidated.

The diagnostic value of CD26 in distinguishing between benign, low-grade malignant, and high-grade malignant pancreatic tumors was assessed, and we found that CD26 was expressed in 55.6% of benign, 78.6% of low-grade malignant, and 81.2% of high-grade malignant pancreatic tumors. Although these differences were not statistically significant, the findings suggested that CD26 expression was relatively higher in tumors with high-grade malignancy, which may be due to the high proliferation activity in high-grade malignancies. A similar trend was also observed in thyroid tumors, ovarian tumors, and malignant mesotheliomas.\(^15\)\(^,\)\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^20\)\(^,\)\(^21\) In pancreatic tumors, the diagnostic value of CD26 is understudied. Differences in CD26 expression among paratumoral tissues, precancerous lesions (eg, panINs), and pancreatic cancers should be investigated to confirm the diagnostic value of CD26.

In pancreatic malignancies, positive expression of CD26 was associated with tumor invasion (T1, T2, T3, T4: 56.0%, 87.8%, 84.1%, and 75.0%, respectively; \( P = 0.013 \); detailed data not shown). This result was similar to the results of previous studies in thyroid cancer\(^7\) and urothelial carcinoma.\(^8\) Our results showed that patients with distant metastases had lower CD26 expression; therefore, we further performed CD26 IHC staining in cancer tissues, paired noncancerous tissues, and liver metastases from four patients with pancreatic cancer (Figure S4). Our results showed that CD26 expression was generally lower in metastatic specimens than primary tumor tissues and was not stronger than that in paratumoral hepatic tissues. Based on the various functions of CD26, CD26 may be involved in tumor invasion and metastasis by cooperating
with other proteins or its substrates. For example, CD26, together with fibroblast activation protein, is involved in the degradation of the extracellular matrix, which has roles in tumor invasion. Additionally, overexpression of CD26 increases adhesion potential between ovarian cancer cells and mesothelium cells mediated by fibronectin. Further investigations are still needed to elucidate the different roles of CD26 in pancreatic cancer. Interestingly, we found that CD26 expression was related to ABO blood type. Consistent with this finding, a previous study has shown that the ABO blood type correlates with the risk of pancreatic cancer and diabetes. However, further studies are needed to clarify the potential correlations between ABO blood type, CD26 expression, and pancreatic diseases.

Unlike in other cancers, in pancreatic malignancies, the expression status of CD26 was not associated with DFS or OS, which may be attributed to the high positive rate. However, when patients were classified into CD26 high-/low-expression groups, higher CD26 expression was correlated with poorer DFS and OS and was an independent predictive factor of OS. In addition, we also found that the level of CD26 expression was correlated with CA199 and CA242, suggesting that CD26 may have applications as an early marker of pancreatic tumorigenesis and that CD26 expression level may be a predictor of prognosis. Furthermore, our previous study showed that CD26 expression was higher in serum samples from patients with pancreatic cancer than in that from healthy donors, and postoperation serum CD26 levels decreased compared with the corresponding level before the operation. Therefore, future studies are needed to determine the prognostic significance of combined serum CD26 levels and CD26 immunostaining to monitor the progression of pancreatic cancer. In our analysis of 109 patients with PDAC, we did not find any correlations between CD26 expression and clinicopathological features. Univariate and multivariate analyses showed that higher CD26 expression and tumor stage were independent factors of OS, but not DFS. In patients with NEN and SPT, CD26 expression level was not associated with OS or DFS as these two types of pancreatic tumors were relatively less malignant. Moreover, although we observed the separation of DFS curves, log-rank analysis showed that there was no significant difference, potentially because of the relatively small sample size. Accordingly, further studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up are needed to validate our findings.

Conclusions
In conclusion, we found that pancreatic tumorigenesis and progression were closely associated with CD26 overexpression. Additionally, CD26 expression was higher in tumors with high-grade malignancy. Thus, CD26 may have potential applications in the early and differential diagnosis and treatment of pancreatic tumors.
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