Maresin 1, a Proresolving Lipid Mediator, Mitigates Carbon Tetrachloride-Induced Liver Injury in Mice
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Maresin 1 (MaR 1) was recently reported to have protective properties in several different animal models of acute inflammation by inhibiting inflammatory response. However, its function in acute liver injury is still unknown. To address this question, we induced liver injury in BALB/c mice with intraperitoneal injection of carbon tetrachloride with or without treatment of MaR 1. Our data showed that MaR 1 attenuated hepatic injury, oxidative stress, and lipid peroxidation induced by carbon tetrachloride, as evidenced by increased thiobarbituric acid reactive substances and reactive oxygen species levels were inhibited by treatment of MaR 1. Furthermore, MaR 1 increased activities of antioxidative mediators in carbon tetrachloride-treated mice liver. MaR 1 decreased indices of inflammatory mediators such as tumour necrosis factor-α, interleukin-6, interleukin-1β, monocyte chemotactic protein 1, myeloperoxidase, cyclooxygenase-2, and inducible nitric oxide synthase. Administration of MaR 1 inhibited activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κb) and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) in the liver of CCl4 treated mice. In conclusion, these results suggested the antioxidative, anti-inflammatory properties of MaR 1 in CCl4 induced liver injury. The possible mechanism is partly implicated in its abilities to inhibit ROS generation and activation of NF-κb and MAPK pathway.

1. Introduction

Hepatitis is one of the most common liver diseases. Previous reports indicate that acute or chronic inflammation caused by various pathogenic factors such as viruses, bacteria, parasites, chemicals, drugs, alcohol, and other hepatotoxic agents is main cause of severe hepatocyte damage [1–6]. Carbon tetrachloride is well-known chemicals that can induce hepatotoxicity in humans and experimental animals by producing trichloromethyl radical (CCl3∙) and trichloromethyl peroxy radical which can initiate lipid peroxidation. Lipid peroxidation occurs via reactive oxygen species (ROS) including superoxide radical (O₂⁻) and hydroxyl radical (OH⁻). Both lipid peroxidation and ROS can induce cell death and cell destruction, while lipid peroxidation increases with development of acute liver injury in CCl4 treated rats [7]. Also, CCl4 can promote production of inflammatory cytokines and recruitment of inflammatory cells and cause liver dysfunction and damage [8]. Hepatic inflammation is considered as the hallmark of liver injury and early fibrosis, which is also found in extensive fibrosis, cirrhosis, and even cancer [9]. Therefore, inhibition of oxidative stress and inhibition of inflammation are two targets of alleviating CCL4 induced liver injury.

The proresolving mediators derived from polyunsaturated fatty acid are playing an important role in controlling inflammation and oxidative stress, including lipoxins, resolvins, and protectins [10, 11]. Resolvins and protectins can be biosynthesized from Omega-3 fatty acid eicosapentaenoic
acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and cause resolution of inflammation. In addition, DHA is the biosynthetic precursor of a new family of the most recently identified macrophage-derived resolvin mediators, termed maresins [12]. These new DHA-derived mediators are biosynthesized via 12-lipoxygenase in macrophages to produce 14S-hydroperoxydocosa-4Z, 7Z, 10Z, 12E, 16Z, 19Z-hexaenoic acid. This intermediate undergoes further conversion via 13(14)-epoxidation, which is quite crucial process for generation of 7R, 14S-dihydroxydocosa-4Z, 8E, 10E, 12Z, 16Z, 19Z-hexaenoic acid, termed as maresin 1 [12]. Recently, accumulating evidences both in vitro and in vivo indicate that MaR 1 can promote inflammation resolution and exert potent protective effects comparable to those reported for resolvin and lipoxins. In addition, a few reports demonstrated lipoxin A4 and its analogue, BML-311, could attenuate liver damage and inflammation response and prevent liver fibrosis [13–15]. Also, MaR 1 has displayed anti-inflammation and protective effects in murine model of colitis [16]. However, so far it is not yet known whether MaR 1 has protective effects in CCl4 induced liver injury. In this context, we investigated the impact of MaR 1 on liver injury in CCl4 treated mice and explored the possible mechanisms involved in this process. Our data revealed that MaR 1 can exert potential protective effects in CCl4 induced liver injury by inhibiting production of inflammatory mediators and reducing ROS production and lipid peroxidation. To obtain a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms, we investigated effects of MaR 1 on NF-κB and MAPKs signal pathway in CCl4 induced liver injury.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals. All adult male BALB/c mice were purchased from the Animal Experimental Center of Wuhan University, aged 10 weeks with weights ranging from 20 to 25 g. All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology. The mice were fed with a standard laboratory diet and water ad libitum and maintained in a controlled environment under a 12 h light-dark cycle.

2.2. Reagents. 7R-MaR 1 (Ann Arbor, MI, USA) was purchased from Cayman Chemical. CCl4 and olive oil were purchased from Nanjing Chemical Reagent Co. (Nanjing, China). The detection kits used for determination of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GP-X), reduced glutathione (GSH), myeloperoxidase (MPO), and thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) were purchased from the Nanjing Jiancheng Institute of Biotechnology (Nanjing, China). 2′, 7′-Dichlorofluorescein (DCF) and 2′, 7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). TRIzol, PrimeScript RT Master Mix, and SYBR Green Master Mix were purchased from Takara (Japan). Mouse interleukin-6, interleukin-10, interleukin-1β, MCP-1, and TNF-α enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits were obtained from Dakewe Bioengineering Co., Ltd. (Shenzhen, China). Rabbit mAbs against ERK1/2, p38, JNK, phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2), phospho-p38, and phospho-JNK were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, Mass). Rabbit mAbs against NF-κB p65, inhibitor of NF-κB (IκB-α), and β-actin were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Lamin B1 antibody was obtained from Epitomics (Burlingame, CA). Fetal bovine serum and RPMI-1640 culture medium were purchased from Gibco Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). All other chemicals used were of highest commercial grade.

2.3. Experimental Procedure. Hepatic injury was induced by injecting i.p. with CCl4 0.1 mL/kg (10 mL/kg body weight, v/v = 1:99 in olive oil). To evaluate suitable dosage of MaR 1, CCl4 induced liver injury mice were treated with different dose of MaR 1 (0.03, 0.3, and 1 μg/animal i.p.) once half an hour after CCl4 injection. After appropriate doses of MaR 1 were chosen, to explore protective effects of maresin 1, animals were divided into four groups: (1) control group, given appropriate vehicle throughout entire experiment, (2) MaR 1 group that received MaR 1 0.3 μg/animal (i.p.), (3) CCl4 group that received vehicle as control group and then was given CCl4 0.1 mL/kg (10 mL/kg body weight, v/v = 1:99 in olive oil), and (4) MaR 1 + CCl4 treatment group that received MaR1 0.3 μg/animal (i.p.). Half an hour before MaR 1 administration, mice were injected with CCl4 0.1 mL/kg (10 mL/kg body weight, v/v = 1:99 in olive oil). Twenty-four hours after CCl4 injection, blood samples were collected from orbit after anesthesia by sodium pentobarbital and the mice were killed by cervical luxation. The liver was extracted quickly and weighted and then liver was cut into two pieces. One half was fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde and used for histopathological analysis. Other halves were used for other assessments.

2.4. Histopathological Examination. Halves of liver were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h and then embedded in paraffin and sectioned for 5 μm thickness. Histopathological alteration was observed after hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) staining under light microscope (Olympus IX71, Tokyo, Japan). Six random fields were assessed for necrosis by standard morphologic criteria (e.g., loss of architecture) and area percentage of necrosis was measured by Image J (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

2.5. Determination of Liver Enzymes. Serum was acquired by centrifugation of blood samples 800 g 15 min. Serum AST and ALT activities were measured with detection kits according to the manufacturer’s instruction in a microplate reader.

2.6. Measurement of TBARS. The level of lipid peroxidation was assessed by TBARS assay as previously described [17]. In brief, liver tissues were homogenized in 0.01 M phosphate buffer saline (PBS) to make 1:10 (w/v) homogenates. Then the homogenates were centrifuged at 12000 g (4 °C) for 15 min to acquire supernatants to determination of the level of TBARS according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

2.7. Measurement of ROS Generation in Tissue. ROS generation in liver was determined by using dichlorofluorescein...
diacetate (DCFH-DA). 2′,7′-Dichlorofluorescein diacetate is a nonpolar compound which can be converted to polar derivative by intracellular esterases. It can react with ROS to produce dichlorofluorescein (DCF), a highly fluorescent compound. ROS level was determined as described before with minor modifications [18]. Briefly, liver homogenates were diluted to 5 mg/ml in ice-cold 0.01 M PBS. The 1 mL reaction mixture includes PBS, 0.2 mL homogenates (5 mg/ml), and 10 μl DCFH-DA (5 μM). After 40 minutes of incubation at room temperature, the fluorescent product DCF was measured by using a spectrofluorimeter with excitation at 484 nm and emission at 530 nm. DCFH-DA in the absence of homogenates was used as background fluorescent. ROS formation was quantified from a DCF-standard curve.

2.11. Cytokine Quantification by ELISA and Liver MPO Activity. The levels of IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-10, and MCP-1 in BALB/c serum were determined by using respective enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits according to manufacturer’s instruction. The MPO activity was assessed using a MPO detection kit according to manufacturer’s instruction, which was determined according to absorbance measured at 450 nm via a spectrophotometer.

2.12. Quantitative Real-Time PCR. Total mRNAs were extracted from liver tissues by TRizol regent as determined by the supplier’s protocol and then reversely transcribed to cDNAs using PrimeScript RT Master Mix according to manufacturer’s instruction. To determine the mRNAs level of each gene, the real-time PCR was given SYBR Green Master Mix in the StepOnePlus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, USA) for 45 cycles consisting of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s, and extension at 73°C for 30 s. Reaction was duplicated for each sample. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as an internal control. The expression levels of COX-2 and iNOS were calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt method. The primer pairs of COX-2 and iNOS are listed as previously described [19]: iNOS, Forward: 5′-GCC-CTGCTTTGTGCGAAGTG-3′, Reverse: 5′-AGCCCTTTTG- TGCTGGGAGTC-3′; COX-2, Forward: 5′-CTGTGCTGTGTAATGATGTATG-3′, Reverse: 5′-GATATGACTCTGCTG- TTTGG-3′. The primer pairs of GAPDH, CAT, GP-X, and SOD are listed: GAPDH: Forward: 5′-GCCCTTCCCGTGTTCTTAC-3′, Reverse: 5′-GCCCAAGATGGCCCTT CAGT-3′; CAT: Forward: 5′-TTATCCGTTAACCAGCC TC-3′, Reverse: 5′-TGATCTGTTGAAATCTAGTG-3′; GP-X: Forward: 5′-AATCTATATCTCCGGAACTCCT-3′, Reverse: 5′-CTCTCCAGGTGTCCATAACC-3′; SOD: Forward: 5′-CGAGACATGTAGCCAAAG-3′, Reverse: 5′-GCTTCTGGCGTCTGAGT-3′.

2.13. Western Blot Analysis. Hepatic tissue samples were removed from BALB/c mice and then were homogenized manually with glass homogenizers. The tissues were processed in Radioimmunoprecipitation Assay (Beyotime Biotechnology Company, Jiangsu, China) and centrifuged at 12000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. Supernatant protein concentrations were assessed using BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime Biotechnology Company, Jiangsu, China). Cytoplasmic and nuclear fractionations were performed with NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Pierce Biotechnology) according to the producer’s instruction. Proteins were separated in 10% polyacrylamide sodium dodecyl sulfate gels and then were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membrane. The membranes were blocked using 5% nonfat milk for 2 hours and then were probed with antibodies against ERK1/2 (1:500), p38 (1:500), JNK (1:500), phospho-ERK1/2 (1:500), phospho-p38 (1:500), phospho-JNK (1:500), NF-kb (1:500), IκB-α (1:500), β-actin (1:1000), and lamin B1 (1:500), for an overnight incubation. After that, the membranes were incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) for 1 hour. After washing, the proteins were detected by BeyoECL Plus (Beyotime Biotechnology Company, Jiangsu, China) and images were captured with the UVP imaging system.

2.14. Statistical Analysis. All data are indicated as means ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) plus Student-Newman-Keuls post hoc analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
3. Results

3.1. MAR 1 Ameliorates CCl4 Induced Hepatic Pathology. As shown in Figure 1(a), MaR 1 can significantly mitigate CCl4 induced hepatic injury. Intraperitoneal injection of MaR 1 induced histological changes. Control group showed normal liver tissue without massive cell necrosis and loss of hepatocyte architecture around the blood vessels. There were severe hepatocyte damage and necrosis at the centrilobular zones and influx of inflammatory cells 24 h after CCl4 administration. However, the mice that received MaR 1 at 0.3 and 1 μg/animal showed extensively alleviated CCl4 induced liver histopathological damage and necrosis while the 0.03 μg/animal group displayed no significant protective effect (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)).

3.2. MaR 1 Decreases Serum AST and ALT Level. The serum ALT and AST activities are considered as two common biomarkers used to assess the liver damage. As shown in Figures 1(c) and 1(d), serum ALT and AST activities were significantly elevated \( (P < 0.05) \) 24 h after CCl4 administration compared to those in control group. Treatment with 0.03 μg/animal MaR 1 did not show liver protective effect.
However, treatment with 0.3 and 1 µg/animal significantly ($P < 0.05$) decreased the activities of ALT (Figure 1(c)) and AST (Figure 1(d)) compared to those in CCl4 treated group, respectively.

3.3. MaR1 Reduces ROS Level and the TBARS Content in Liver Tissue. Increasing ROS level indicates production of free radicals, leading to oxidative stress, which is quite crucial in acute hepatic disorder. For this reason, we measured ROS in each group of mice using DCFH-DA. As shown in Figure 2(a), the CCl4 treated mice displayed a significant increase of ROS level compared with that in control mice. In contrast, treatment with MaR1 at 0.3 and 1 µg/animal markedly reduced ROS activities ($P < 0.05$) in liver tissue. However, MaR1 (0.03 µg/animal) had no significant effect in reducing ROS level in CCl4 treated animals.

It is well known that thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) are formed as byproduct of lipid peroxidation. We determined hepatic TBARS level 24 h after CCl4 treatment. As shown in Figure 2(b), TBARS level significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) after CCl4 treatment compared to that in control animals. Notably, treatment of MaR1 (0.3 and 1 µg/animal) significantly reduced TBARS level ($P < 0.05$) compared with that in CCl4 treated group. As treatment with 0.3 µg and 1 µg/animal showed similar effect (Figures 1 and 2), we use the dose of 0.3 µg/animal in subsequent animal experiments.

3.4. MaR1 Inhibits CCl4 Induced ROS Generation In Vitro. As ROS plays an important role in CCl4 induced damage, we explored ROS level in HepG2 cells using DCFH-DA. In fluorescence activated cell sorter analysis, we observed that CCl4 caused a significant ROS generation in HepG2 cells (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)) compared to ROS in control group. Notably, ROS generation was significantly inhibited with different concentration of MaR1 (1, 10, and 100 nM) compared to that in CCl4 alone group (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)), and ROS level decreased in a dose-dependent manner.

Furthermore, we got a similar result (Figure 3(c)) by using fluorescence microscopy.

3.5. MaR1 Restores CCl4 Induced Antioxidants and GSH Production In Vitro. As treatment of 10 and 100 nM MaR1 showed similar effect in vitro, the dose of 10 nM was chosen for measurement of intracellular enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidants. We found that CCl4 can decrease protein and transcription of antioxidative enzymes GP-X (Figures 4(d) and 4(g)), CAT (Figures 4(c) and 4(f)), and SOD (Figures 4(a) and 4(e)) and GSH level (Figure 4(b)). However, treatment of MaR1 can markedly elevate these antioxidants (Figure 4).

3.6. MaR1 Restores CCl4 Induced Antioxidants and GSH Production in Liver. To explore whether 0.3 µg/animal MaR1 can influence antioxidants level, we measured the activities of three antioxidative enzymes (GP-X, CAT, and SOD). We found that CCl4 administration resulted in significant decrease of hepatic SOD (Figure 5(a)), CAT (Figure 5(c)), and GP-X (Figure 5(d)) activities compared to those in control group. In contrast, treatment with MaR1 greatly restored level of these antioxidative enzymes. Apart from that, glutathione (GSH) is an important endogenous antioxidant which mitigates damage caused by ROS. In our study, GSH level significantly decreased 24 h after CCl4 treatment (Figure 5(b)). However, administration of MaR1 markedly increased GSH activity compared to that in CCl4 alone group. In addition, single treatment of MaR1 had no effect on these antioxidants (Figures 5(a)–5(d)).

3.7. MaR1 Reduces CCl4 Induced Proinflammatory Mediators and MPO Activity. Cytokines were reported to play pivotal roles in hepatic injury and inflammatory response. In our study, single treatment with 0.3 µg/animal MaR1 had no influences on serum IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-10, and MCP-1 (Figures 6(a)–6(e)). In CCl4 treated group, there were higher level proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α, and MCP-1. However, treatment with MaR1 significantly ($P < 0.05$) decreased the activities of TNF-α (Figure 6(b)) and IL-1β (Figure 6(a)) compared to those in CCl4 treated group, respectively.
and MCP-1 (Figures 6(a), 6(b), 6(d), and 6(e)) compared to those in control group. The anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 also increased after MaR 1 treatment (Figure 6(c)). Treatment of 0.3 μg/animal MaR 1 effectively reduced the levels of these proinflammatory cytokines in serum (Figures 6(a), 6(b), 6(d), and 6(e)). In addition, IL-10 slightly increased (Figure 6(c)) in CCl4 + MaR 1 group compared to that in CCl4 alone group ($P < 0.05$). We also evaluated MPO activity in liver homogenates. We found that MPO activity was significantly higher in CCl4 treated group (Figure 6(f)) compared to that in control group. Such upregulation was inhibited after treatment of 0.3 μg/animal MaR 1. In addition, single administration had no influence (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)).

3.8. MaR 1 Reduced Inflammatory Response. iNOS and COX-2 are two important inflammatory mediators implicated in inflammation [17, 20]. In our study, quantitative real-time PCR analysis indicated considerable upregulation of iNOS and COX-2 mRNA in liver tissue in CCl4 treated group compared to those in control group (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)). However, 0.3 μg/animal MaR 1 administration significantly inhibited the expression of iNOS and COX-2 ($P < 0.05$) compared to CCl4 treated group (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)). In addition, treatment with MaR 1 alone had no influence (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)).

3.9. MaR 1 Inhibits CCl4 Induced MAPK Protein Phosphorylation. We examined phosphorylation of ERK, P38, and JNK in this study. Treatment of CCl4 resulted in significantly increased phosphorylation of ERK (Figure 8(a)), P38 (Figure 8(b)), and JNK (Figure 8(c)) compared to those in control group. Notably, treatment of 0.3 μg/animal MaR 1

![Figure 3: MaR 1 reduced CCl4 induced ROS generation in HepG2 cells.](image-url)

(a) Histograms of DCF green fluorescence. (b) Mean fluorescence intensity of fluorescent product of DCFH-DA of each group. Data are expressed as means ± SEM of three independent experiments. $^*P < 0.05$ versus the control group, $^+P < 0.05$ versus the CCl4 treated group. (c) Cells were stained with DCFH-DA probe and images were captured using fluorescence microscope.
Figure 4: Treatment with MaR1 restored antioxidant mediators in HepG2 cells. Effect of MaR1 on T-SOD (a), GSH (b), CAT (c), and GP-X (d) level in CCl4-stimulated cells. Effect of MaR1 on SOD (e), CAT (f), and GP-X (g) expression in CCl4 treated cells. Data are expressed as means ± SEM of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05 versus the control group, †P < 0.05 versus the CCl4 treated group.
remarkably inhibited CCl4 induced phosphorylation of ERK, P38, and JNK in animals. Single treatment of MaR 1 showed no influence on MAPK phosphorylation.

3.10. MaR1 Suppresses NF-κB p65 Nuclear Translocation and Rescues IκB-α Degradation. NF-κB pathway was tightly associated with CCl4 liver inflammation [21]. In present study, administration of CCl4 in mice greatly enhanced translocation of NF-κB p65 from cytoplasm into nucleus (Figures 9(a) and 9(b)) compared to that in control group. In contrast, treatment of 0.3 μg/animal MaR 1 significantly suppressed NF-κB p65 translocation. The expression of IκB-α significantly decreased in response to CCl4 injection. However, application of MaR1 greatly inhibited IκB-α degradation (Figure 9(c)). In addition, single treatment of MaR 1 had no influence on NF-κB p65 nuclear translocation and IκB-α degradation.

4. Discussion

CCl4 induces severe hepatic damage and oxidative stress resulting from CCl4 plays a pivotal role in this process. In our present study, administration of CCl4 significantly elicited hepatic damage, oxidative stress, and inflammatory response in BALB/c mice. However, treatment of MaR 1 can markedly reverse these above-mentioned changes. These indicated that MaR 1 mitigated CCl4 induced liver injury possibly through reducing oxidative stress and inflammation.

Liver is an important site for drug and toxicant metabolism. The metabolic process does no harm to liver in most cases while some toxic compounds can cause liver injury. ALT and AST in serum are widely used to assess hepatic function. The dramatic elevation of AST and ALT in serum denoted destruction of hepatic structure and damage of hepatocytes which caused elevation of cell membrane permeability and the release of ALT and AST into circulation. It has been well documented that CCl4 administration caused significant increase of ALT and AST in serum due to liver injury [7, 13, 17, 19]. In this study, we found treatment of MaR 1 partly reversed this effect and alleviated CCl4 induced liver dysfunction. Furthermore, MaR1 inhibited CCL4 induced histological damage by mitigating hepatocytes necrosis, the destruction of sinusoidal structure, and decreasing inflammatory cells infiltration.

Oxidative stress is critical in causing liver injury. CCl4 induced liver injury was characterized as increased oxidative stress and impairment of antioxidant defense. ROS is an important marker of oxidative stress and its generation contributed to the accumulation of lipid oxidation which leads to cell necrosis and liver injury [22]. The process of lipid peroxidation occurs when ROS oxidized membrane of cells, which can cause elevation of TBARS, eventually leading to change of cell structure and function. Reduction of oxidative stress has been implicated with significant role in alleviating hepatic damage in previous studies [19, 23]. In our study, the formation of ROS induced by CCl4 stimulation...
can be diminished by treatment of MaR1. Both neutrophils and NADPH oxidase play important role in producing ROS. MPO level can reflect the degree of neutrophils infiltration [16]. In our study, we found maresin 1 could reduce MPO level in liver tissue after CCl4 administration (Figure 6(f)), which suggested reduction of neutrophils infiltration and subsequent ROS generation. Therefore, maresin 1 prevents the formation of ROS by CCl4 that may be associated with reduction of neutrophils infiltration. As ROS generation was inhibited, the level of TBARS was diminished after treatment with MaR1, denoting that lipid peroxidation was also inhibited. Meanwhile, MaR1 restored antioxidative activity including GSH, GP-X, CAT, and SOD in vivo and in vitro (Figures 4 and 5). The loss of these mediators considerably caused free radicals accumulation and further liver damage [22]. It can be inferred that the protective effect of MaR1 on CCl4 induced liver injury is partly due to the modulation of oxidative and antioxidative balance.

Apart from oxidative stress, uncontrolled inflammation is another pathological cause implicated in CCl4 induced liver injury [22]. Proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α, and MCP-1 and several enzymes are known to be crucial in inflammatory process and hepatic damage [15, 19, 24, 25]. It was previously found that increasing of MCP-1 and TNF may be associated with CCl4 induced liver injury and pretreatment of TNF antibody attenuated

Figure 6: MaR1 markedly inhibited production of IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α, and MCP-1 in serum and MPO in liver tissue but increased IL-10 production in serum. Levels of IL-6 (a), TNF-α (b), IL-10 (c), IL-1β (d), and MCP-1 (e) in serum were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. MPO activity (f) was measured in CCl4-intoxicated mice. Data are expressed as means ± SEM. n = 6. *P < 0.05 versus the control group, #P < 0.05 versus the CCl4 treated group.
Figure 7: MaR 1 inhibited COX-2 and iNOS expression assessed by qRT-PCR. Effect of MaR 1 on liver COX-2 (a) and iNOS (b) expression in CCl4-intoxicated mice. Data are expressed as means ± SEM. n = 6. *P < 0.05 versus the control group, †P < 0.05 versus the CCl4 treated group.

Figure 8: MaR 1 treatment inhibited mitogen-activated protein kinases activation. Total protein from whole cell lysate of liver tissue was extracted to analyze p-ERK/ERK (a), p-p38/p38 (b), and p-JNK/JNK (c). The control group is set as 1.0. The figures show representative results of six independent experiments. Data are expressed as means ± SEM. *P < 0.05 versus the control group, †P < 0.05 versus the CCl4 treated group.
Figure 9: MaR1 significantly inhibited NF-κB p65 subunit translocation into the nucleus. (a) The cytoplasmic NF-κB p65 subunit decreased in the CCl4 group but markedly increased with treatment of MaR1. (b) Levels of nuclear NF-κB p65 were promoted in the CCl4 group but significantly deceased with treatment of MaR1. (c) Levels of IκB-α in cytoplasm decreased after CCl4 administration but increased with treatment of MaR1. A representative result from six independent experiments is shown. Quantification of cytoplasmic and nuclear NF-κB p65 (a and b) bands from the experiments was normalized by β-actin or lamin B1. The control group is set as 1.0. Data are expressed as means ± SEM. #P < 0.05 versus the control group, *P < 0.05 versus the CCl4 treated group.

the liver injury induced by CCl4 [25]. Many studies also demonstrated that IL-1β and TNF-α play a key role in the development and maintenance of inflammatory and those cytokines’ elevation is associated with many liver diseases [26, 27]. Proinflammatory TNF-α and IL-6 are major players in hepatic inflammation [28]. But it was found that IL-10 gene therapy attenuated CCl4 induced liver fibrosis in mice [29]. Therefore decreasing the level of those proinflammatory cytokines may be beneficial to liver and is mark of less inflammatory response. In the present study, MaR1 significantly inhibited production of several proinflammatory cytokines including IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α, and MCP-1 in serum. Meanwhile, MaR1 promoted the expression of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10. Furthermore, MaR1 inhibited iNOS and COX-2 expression in CCL4 treated livers. All these results suggest the protective effect of MaR1 may be due to its anti-inflammatory ability.

We further investigated the possible mechanism and signaling pathway underlying such protective effect. The MAPK/NF-κB pathway is important in inflammation. One type of stress that can activate MAPKs is oxidative stress induced by ROS. CCl4 induced ROS accumulation in mice liver and then ROS activated MAPKs pathway, which can further enhance the production of certain proinflammatory cytokines. In our study, administration of CCl4 activated MAPKs and treatment with MaR1 significantly inhibited its activation in mice (Figure 8). MaR1 can greatly inhibit ROS generation and oxidative stress, partly inhibiting phosphorylation of MAPKs and subsequently reducing inflammatory response. Therefore, MaR1 may exert its protective
effect by reducing ROS and subsequent MAPKs activation. NF-κB is nuclear transcription factors which can regulate inflammation, proliferation, and apoptosis. In liver, it can be activated by cytokines and ROS [8]. Its activation is critical for maximal expression of many inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1β, MCP-1, and TNF-α) which plays pivotal roles in liver injury. CCl4 can activate many signal pathways (such as MAPKs) which might converge on NF-κB activation [8]. ROS induced by CCl4 in liver also can act as a messenger to NF-κB [8]. The activation of NF-κB nuclear translocation can increase the expression of proinflammatory molecules such as IL-1β, TNF-α, COX-2, and iNOS and lead to liver injury [21, 22, 30]. Our results showed that nuclear translocation of NF-κB and phosphorylation of MAPKs both were inhibited with treatment with MaR1 in CCl4 induced hepatic injury. Together, these studies suggested that protective effect of MaR1 might be associated with suppression of ROS and inactivation of MAPK/NF-κB signaling pathways.

5. Conclusions

Our study suggested for the first time that MaR1 can protect liver by reducing oxidative stress and has potent protective effect against hepatic injury induced by inflammation through decreasing inflammatory mediators releasing. The possible mechanism, at least in part, included reduction of ROS generation through inhibiting CCl4 induced neutrophils infiltration, subsequently suppressed ROS induced activation of MAPKs and NF-κB. For one thing, reduction of ROS denoted relief of oxidative stress in liver. For another, inhibition of ROS induced MAPKs and NF-κB activation can reduce proinflammatory response which may be harmful in liver injury. Moreover, we demonstrated MaR1 mitigated CCl4 induced liver dysfunction and histopathologic change. Taken together, administration of MaR1 may be a new potential therapeutic approach in treatment of liver injury induced by toxic substance.

Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| ALT:         | Alanine transaminase |
| AST:         | Aspartate transaminase |
| CAT:         | Catalase |
| CCl4:        | Carbon tetrachloride |
| COX-2:       | Cyclooxygenase-2 |
| DCF:         | Dichlorofluorescein |
| DCFH-DA:     | Dichlorofluorescein diacetate |
| DHA:         | Docosahexaenoic acid |
| ELISA:       | Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay |
| GP-X:        | Glutathione peroxidase |
| GSH:         | Glutathione |
| IL:          | Interleukin |
| iNOS:        | Nitric oxide synthase |
| MaR1:        | Maresin 1 |
| MAPKs:       | Mitogen-activated protein kinases |
| MCP-1:       | Monocyte chemotactic protein 1 |
| MPO:         | Myeloperoxidase |
| ROS:         | Reactive oxygen species |
| SOD:         | Superoxide dismutase |
| TBARS:       | Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances |
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