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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was to develop and validate a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) for the quantification of lenvatinib (LT) in human plasma.

Methods: A simple, sensitive and specific LC-MS/MS method was developed for quantification of LT in human plasma using LTD4 as internal standard (IS). The analytical method consists of liquid-liquid extraction of plasma sample followed by the determination of LT by LC-MS/MS. The analyte was separated on a Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 (150×4.6 mm, 5 µ) column with an isocratic mobile phase of acetonitrile:0.1% formic acid (80:20 v/v) at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/minutes. The protonated ions were formed by a turbolon spray in a positive mode were used to detect analyte and IS. The MS/MS detection was made by monitoring the fragmentation of m/z 427.10→370.10 for LT and m/z 430.30→370.10 for IS on a MS.

Result: The method was validated with the correlation coefficients of (r²) ≥0.995 over a linear concentration range of 10.20-501.60 pg/mL. This method demonstrated intra- and inter-day precision within 1.06-2.42% and 0.03-0.55% and accuracy within 95.64-100.08% and 97.16-100.07%.

Conclusion: This method is suitable and convenient to pharmacokinetics and bioavailability studies for estimation of LT in biological samples by LC-MS/MS.

Keywords: Lenvatinib, Validation, Analysis, Liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry.

INTRODUCTION

Lenvatinib (LT) is a multiple receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor indicated for the treatment of thyroid cancer. LT mesylate is chemically known as 4-[3-chloro–4-(cyclopropyl carbamoyl amino) phenoxyl]-7-methoxyquinoline–6–carboxamide; methane sulfonic acid (Fig. 1).

The chemical formula of LT is C19H15ClN2O4 and its molecular weight is 426.853 [1-8].

Literature review reveals that very few analytical methods have been reported for the determination of LT which includes high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [9], LC–mass spectrosopy (MS), [10,11] and pharmacokinetics studies [12-15].

From the literature review, it was concluded that the reported methods used the lack of deuterated internal standard (IS) using HPLC-ESI-MS/MS methods. There is no method reported for estimation of LT using deuterated IS in biological samples [16].

The main goal of this study is to develop and validate the novel simple, sensitive, selective, rapid, rugged, and reproducible analytical method for quantitative determination of LT in human plasma by HPLC-ESI-MS/MS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Instrumentation

The Agilent 1200 Series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) connected to the API 4000 triple quadrupole instrument (ABI-SCIEX, Toronto, Canada) with turbo electrospray interface in positive ionization mode was used. Data processing was performed on Analyst 1.4.1 software package (SCIEX).

Reagents/materials

LT was obtained from Cadila Pharmaceuticals, India. Lenvatinib-D4 (LTD4) was procured from ClearSynth, India. Water (HPLC Grade), formic acid (analytical grade) was purchased from Merck, Mumbai, India. Acetonitrile (HPLC Grade), ethyl acetate, and dichloromethane (HPLC grade) were obtained from J.T. Baker, USA. Human plasma was procured from Navjeevan Blood Bank, Hyderabad. Milli-Q water was taken from the in-house Milli-Q system.

DETECTION

Detection was done by turbolon spray (API) positive mode with unit resolution. Mass transitions were obtained from 427.10→370.10 for LT and m/z 430.30→370.10 for LTD4.

CHROMATOGRAPHIC CONDITIONS

Chromatographic separation was performed using an Xbridge Zorbax EclipseXDB-C18 (150×4.6 mm, 5µ) at a temperature of 40°C. The mobile phase was composed of acetonitrile:0.1% formic acid (80:20, v/v) at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/minutes. Deuterated LTD4 (IS) was used as the appropriate IS in terms of chromatography and extractability. LT and LTD4 were eluted at 4.53 minutes, approximately, with a total runtime of 8 minutes for each sample.

PREPARATION OF STANDARDS AND QUALITY CONTROL (QC) SAMPLES

Standard stock solutions of LT (1.00 mg/mL) and LTD4 (1.00 mg/mL) were prepared in acetonitrile. The IS spiking solution (100.0 pg/mL) was prepared in mobile phase solution acetonitrile:0.1% formic acid (80:20, v/v) from LTD4 stock solution. Standard stock solutions and IS spiking solutions were stored in refrigerator conditions of 2-8°C until analysis. Standard stock solutions of LT (1.00 mg/mL) were added to drug-free screened human plasma to obtain concentration levels of 10.2, 20.1, 35.1, 70.2, 100.3, 200.6, 301.2, 401.3, and 501.6 pg/mL for analytical standards, and 10.2 (lower limit of quantification [LLOQ]), 30.1 (lower QC [LQC]), 250.1 (middle QC [MQC]) and 461.6 pg/mL (high QC [HQC]) for QC standards, and stored in the freezer at 30°C until analysis. The aqueous standards were prepared in a mobile phase solution acetonitrile:0.1% formic acid.
The linearity of the method was determined using standard plots associated with nine-point standard curve including LLOQ and an upper limit of quantification (ULOQ). Concentration of calibration curve standards was calculated against the calibration curve, and the linearity of the method was evaluated by ensuring the acceptance of precision and accuracy of calibration curve standards. Two consecutive calibration curve standards should not be beyond the acceptance criteria. The LLOQ was the lowest concentration at which the precision expressed by relative standard deviations (RSD, %CV) is better than 20% and the accuracy (bias) expressed by a relative difference of the measured and true value was also <20%.

Precision and accuracy
The within-run and between-run percentage mean of precision and accuracy of the LT were measured by the percent coefficient using six replicate samples of variation over the concentration range of LLOQ, LQC, MQC, and HQC QC samples for the three precision and accuracy batches to their nominal values. The acceptable % coefficient of precision and accuracy should be <15%. The between and within batch % mean precision and accuracy for LQC, MQC, and HQC samples were within the range of 85.00-115.00% and for the LLOQ within the range of 80.00-120.00%, respectively.

Recovery
The % mean recoveries were determined by comparing the mean peak area of the six replicates of extracted plasma QC samples at high, middle 1 and 2 and low concentrations against respective mean peak area of the six replicates of un-extracted QC samples at MQC concentration against the mean peak area of IS in the un-extracted QC samples at MQC concentration.

Dilution integrity
The dilution integrity of the method was evaluated by diluting the stock solution prepared as spiked QC sample at concentration 1.5-2 times above the concentration of the highest standard in the calibration curve in the screened biological matrix. Conduct dilution integrity experiment using six replicates each of diluted QC (1/2) and diluted QC (1/10) samples. Process and analyze the diluted QC samples along with freshly spiked calibration curve standards and at least two sets of batch qualifying QC samples at lower and higher.

Ruggedness
Ruggedness of the method was evaluated using different analyst and different equipment of the same make and model or different equipment of the same make and model. The ruggedness experiment should meet the acceptance criteria for linearity and intra-batch accuracy and precision.

Matrix effect
To predict the variability of matrix effects in samples from individual subjects, matrix effect was quantified by determining the matrix factor, which was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Matrix factor} = \frac{\text{Peak response ratio in presence of extracted matrix}}{\text{Peak response ratio in aqueous standards}}
\]

Six lots of blank biological matrices were extracted each in triplicates and post spiked with the aqueous standard at the mid-QC level, and compared with aqueous standards of the same concentration. The overall precision of the matrix factor is expressed as %CV and it should be <15%.

Stability of LT and LTD4
Short-term stock solution stability
Short-term stock solution stability for LT and IS was performed at the stock concentration using six consecutive injections of aqueous standard equivalent to ULOQ concentration and working concentration, respectively, after storage of at least 6 hrs at ambient temperature. Stability was assessed by comparing the stock dilutions of LT and IS...
prepared from the freshly prepared stock solutions (comparison) against stock dilutions of IS prepared from the stock solutions stored at ambient temperature (stability). Short-term stock solution stability was evaluated by comparing the mean response of stability samples against mean response of comparison samples. The precision and accuracy for the stability samples must be within ±15 and ±15%, respectively, of their nominal concentrations.

**Long-term stock solution stability**

Long-term stock solution stability for LT and LTD4 (IS) was performed at the stock concentration using six consecutive injections of aqueous standard equivalent to ULLOQ concentration and working concentration, respectively, after storage of at least 4 days in the refrigerator at 2-8°C. Stability was assessed by comparing the stock dilutions of LT and LTD4 (IS) prepared from the freshly prepared stock solutions (comparison) against stock dilutions of LT and LTD4 (IS) prepared from the stock solutions stored at 2-8°C (stability). Long-term stock solution stability was evaluated by comparing the mean response of stability samples against mean response ratios of comparison samples.

**Working solution stability**

Short-term stability (at least 6 hrs at ambient temperature) and long-term stability (at least 4 days at 2-8°C) for working solutions of drug (stock solution ULLOQ and LLOQ) and IS were performed using six consecutive injections of equivalent aqueous standards prepared from fresh and stored solutions.

Short-term stability and long-term stability of working solution were evaluated by comparing the mean response of stability samples against mean response of comparison samples.

**Stability of drug in biological matrix**

Perform the matrix stability experiment using freshly prepared calibration curve standard and three replicates of freshly prepared batch qualifying QC samples at HQC and LQC levels. The precision and accuracy for the stability samples must be within ±15 and ±15%, respectively, of their nominal concentrations. Stability studies in biological matrix were conducted in the various conditions at LQC and HQC levels as described below:

**Freeze-thaw stability**

Freeze-thaw stability of the spiked QC samples was determined after first and third freeze-thaw cycles stored at −20±5°C. Six replicates of each HQC, and LQC samples were used for assessing each freeze-thaw experiment (for first and third cycle at both the freezing temperatures). The first freeze-thaw cycle was of at least 24 hrs followed by a minimum of 12 hrs for subsequent cycles. Process and analyze freeze-thaw stability samples along with freshly spiked calibration curve and comparison samples (six replicates of each LQC and HQC) in screened biological matrix. Evaluate the freeze-thaw stability on the basis of % change of LQC and HQC samples. The % accuracy and % CV of LQC and HQC should be within ±15.00 and ±15.00, respectively.

**Bench top stability**

Spiked QC samples (six replicates of each LQC and HQC) were stored in a deep freezer at temperature −20±5°C, which was retrieved after minimum 12 hrs of freezing and was kept at ambient temperature on working bench for recommended period of at least 24 hrs. Six replicates of each HQC and LQC samples were used for assessing the bench top stability experiment. On the completion of recommended period, process and analyze bench top stability samples along with freshly spiked calibration curve and comparison samples (six replicates of each LQC and HQC) in screened biological matrix.

Evaluate the bench top stability on the basis of % accuracy and % CV of LQC and HQC samples.

**Autosampler re-injection reproducibility**

Autosampler re-injection reproducibility was evaluated by re-injecting accepted precision and accuracy batch, which were stored preferably in either autosampler or in refrigerator for at least 55 hrs or as per requirement. Autosampler re-injection reproducibility was evaluated by % accuracy and % CV of LQC and HQC samples.

**Long-term stability in biological matrix**

The long-term stability samples of LQC, MQC, and HQC samples were kept frozen in vials at −20±5°C for 40 days were assessed along with freshly processed calibration and comparison samples (six samples each of LQC, MQC, and HQC). The initial bacterial endotoxins test concentration freshly after sample treatment preparation was assumed to be 100%. The selection of the stability duration on the basis of the characteristic of the analyte(s).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**Method development**

LC–MS/MS has been used as one of the most powerful analytical tools in clinical pharmacokinetics for its selectivity, sensitivity, and reproducibility. The goal of this work is to develop and validate a simple, sensitive, rapid, rugged, and reproducible assay method for the quantitative determination of LT from human plasma samples.

Chromatographic conditions, especially the composition and nature of the mobile phase, usage of different columns, different extraction methods such as solid phase, precipitation, and liquid-liquid extraction methods were optimized through several trials to achieve the best resolution and increase the signal of LT and LTD4. The MS optimization was performed by direct infusion of solutions of both LT and LTD4 into the ESI source of the MS. The critical parameters in the ESI source include the needle (ESI) voltage, capillary voltage, source temperature, and other parameters such as nebulizer gas, heater gas, and desolvation gases were optimized to obtain a better spray shape, resulting in better ionization of the protonated ionic LT and LTD4 molecules. Product ion spectrum for LT and LTD4 yielded high-abundance fragment ions of m/z 370.10 and m/z 370.10, respectively (Figs. 2 and 3). After MS parameters optimized, chromatographic conditions such as mobile phase optimization, column optimization, and extraction method optimization were performed to obtain a fast and selective LC method. A good separation and elution were achieved using acetonitrile:0.1% formic acid (80:20, v/v) as the mobile phase, at a flow-rate of 0.6 mL/minutes, and injection volume of 10 μL. Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 (150×4.6 mm, 5 μ) column and liquid-liquid extraction method was optimized for the best chromatography (Fig. 4).

**Method validation [17,18]**

**System suitability**

System performance experiment was performed by injecting six consecutive injections at the beginning of the analytical batch. % CV was 3.52.

**Carryover test**

For carryover test, two samples of the upper LOQ (ULOQ) and four samples of blank plasma were processed. These samples were injected in the following sequence.

a. 2 blank samples
b. 2 ULOQ samples
c. 2 blank samples.

The step (b) and (c) were repeated 2 times. The results demonstrate that there was no interference from the previous injection.

**Selectivity and specificity**

The analysis of LT and LTD4 using multiple reaction monitoring function was highly selective with no interfering compound.
Chromatograms obtained from plasma spiked with LT (10.2 pg/mL) and LTD4 (100 pg/mL).

Limit of detection (LOD) and LOQ
The limit of detection was used to determine the instrument detection levels for LT even at low concentrations. 5 µL of a 0.5 pg/mL solution was injected and estimated LOD was 2.5pg/ml with S/N values ≥3-5. The LOQ for this method was proved as the lowest concentration of the calibration curve which was proved as 0.5 pg/mL.

Calibration curve standards, precision, and accuracy
Calibration curves were plotted as the peak area ratio (LT/LTD4) versus (LT) concentration. Calibration was found to be linear over the concentration range of 10.2-501.60 pg/mL. The CV% was <5% and the accuracy ranged from 85% to 102%. The determination coefficients (r²) were >0.9995 for all curves (Table 1). As shown in Table 2, the intra-batch CV% was <5% and the accuracy ranged from 98% to 101.0%. The inter-batch CV% was <5% and the accuracy ranged from 98.0% to 101.3%. These results indicate the adequate reliability and reproducibility of this method within the analytical range.

Recovery
The recovery following the sample preparation using liquid-liquid extraction with methyl tertiary butyl ether was calculated by comparing the peak area of LT in plasma samples with the peak area of solvent samples and was estimated at control levels of LT. The recovery of LT was determined at three different concentrations 30.1, 250.10, and 461.10 pg/mL, was found as 83.81, 93.08, and 94.53%, respectively (Table 3). The overall average recovery of LT and LTD4 was found to be 90.48 and 85.51%, respectively.

Matrix effect
Six lots of blank biological matrices were extracted each in triplicates and post spiked with the aqueous standard at the mid-QC level, and compared with neat standards of same concentration in alternate injections. The overall precision of the matrix factor is 10.13 for LT. There was no ion suppression and ion enhancement effect observed due to IS and analyte at respective retention time.

Short-term stock solution stability
Short-term stock solution stability at room temperature
Stock solution each of LT and IS was stable after approximately 9 hrs and 30 minutes at room temperature. For LT and LTD4 (IS) the % accuracy was 100.21 and 101.00, respectively.
Short-term stock solution stability at refrigerator (2-8°C)
Stock solution each of LT and IS was stable after approximately 9 hrs and 30 minutes at refrigerated temperature 2-8°C. For LTD4 (IS) the % accuracy was 101.00.

Stability (freeze-thaw, autosampler, bench top, long term)
Quantification of the LT in plasma subjected to three freeze-thaw (−30°C to room temperature) cycles shows the stability of the analyte. The % accuracy ranged from 100.58% to 105.42% of the theoretical values. No significant degradation of the LT was observed even after 55 hrs storage period in the auto sampler tray, and the % accuracy ranged from 100.96% to 104.77% of the theoretical values. No significant degradation of the LT was observed even after 24 hrs storage period in the room temperature, and the % accuracy ranged from 100.81% to 100.91% of the theoretical values. In addition, the long-term stability of LT in QC samples after 40 days of storage at −20°C was also evaluated.
The concentrations ranged from 99.67% to 100.56% of the theoretical values. These results confirmed the stability of LT in human plasma for at least 40 days at −20°C (Table 4).

CONCLUSION

The LC-MS/MS validated method has proved to be very simple, sensitive and reliable and successfully applied for the pharmacokinetic study in human plasma. The assay method is specific due to the inherent selectivity of tandem MS. The major advantage of this method is the use of deuterated LT4 as an IS. The run time is within 8 minutes, and only 0.200 mL of plasma was required for each determination of LT, and thus the stress to volunteers or patients in clinical studies were greatly reduced. This method is suitable and convenient to pharmacokinetics and bioavailability studies for estimation of LT in biological samples by LC-MS/MS.
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