Comprehensive molecular phylogeny of the grassbirds and allies (Locustellidae) reveals extensive non-monophyly of traditional genera, and a proposal for a new classification
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A B S T R A C T

The widespread Old World avian family Locustellidae (‘grassbirds and allies’) comprises 62 extant species in 11 genera. In the present study, we used one mitochondrial and, for most species, four nuclear loci to infer the phylogeny of this family. We analysed 59 species, including the five previously unsampled genera plus two genera that had not before been analysed in a densely sampled dataset. This study revealed extensive disagreement with current taxonomy; the genera Bradypterus, Locustella, Megalurus, Megalarus and Schoenicola were all found to be non-monophyletic. Non-monophyly was particularly pronounced for Megalarus, which was widely scattered across the tree. Three of the five monotypic genera (Amphilus, Buettikoferella and Malia) were nested within other genera; one monotypic genus (Chiasmornis) formed a clade with one of the two species of Schoenicola; whereas the position of the fifth monotypic genus (Elaphromis) was unresolved. Robenius was confirmed as sister to the other genera. We propose a phylogenetically informed revision of genus-level taxonomy, including one new generic name. Finally, we highlight several non-monophyletic species complexes and deep intra-species divergences that point to conflict in taxonomy and suggest an underestimation of current species diversity in this group.

1. Introduction

Sylvioid songbirds (Sylvioidea sensu Fregin et al., 2012) include for example all Old World ‘warblers’ (several families), ‘babblers’ (several families), swallows (Hirundinidae), bulbuls (Pycnonotidae) and larks (Alaudidae) (review in Alström et al., 2013a). One of the ‘warbler’ families in this assemblage is the Locustellidae (‘grassbirds and allies’). This family has erroneously been referred to as Megaluridae (e.g. by Alström et al., 2006; Johansson et al., 2008), but Locustellidae has priority (Bock, 1994: p. 152). This family consists of 62 extant and one recently extinct species (Gill and Donsker, 2017), which are widely distributed across Africa, Eurasia and Oceania. The family has a chequered taxonomic history, both at the generic and species level (review in Alström et al., 2013a).

Only one broad phylogenetic analysis has been published (Alström et al., 2011a), which revealed several non-monophyletic genera, and proposed a taxonomic revision. For instance, the Asian Bradypterus were synonymised with Locustella and Dromaeocercus with Bradypterus (hence restricting the latter to African and Malagasy species), and Eremiornis and Cincloramphus were synonymised with Megalarus.
However, these authors stressed that the circumscription of *Megalousus* was preliminary, as the type species (*M. palustris*) was sister to the *Bradypterus sensu stricto* clade in their analysis, albeit with low support. In contrast, Dickinson and Christidis (2014) and del Hoyo and Collar (2016) split *Megalousus* into three genera (*Megalousus sensu stricto, Poo- dytes* and *Cinclorampus*) based on the results of Alström et al. (2011a). Oliveros et al. (2012) unexpectedly found *Robsonius* and *Malia* to be part of Locustellidae.

Five genera traditionally placed in Locustellidae have not been analysed phylogenetically: *Amphilais* (monotypic, Madagascar), *Megalousus* (six species, Melanesia), *Buettikoferella* (monotypic, Timor), *Chaetornis* (monotypic, Indian Subcontinent) and *Elaphornis* (monotypic, Sri Lanka) (Gill and Donsker, 2017). Here we reconstruct the phylogeny of Locustellidae, including all genera and 59 of 62 recognised species (Gill and Donsker, 2017) using mitochondrial and nuclear loci. We present a chronogram, which includes multiple subspecies for some polytypic species, and propose a revised taxonomic classification of the Locustellidae.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study group

We analysed sequence data from 122 individuals of 59 extant and one recently extinct (*Megalousus rufescens*) species, representing all genera and all but three species (Gill and Donsker, 2017; Supplementary Table S1). As outgroups, we used representatives of the presumed most closely related families, Bernieridae, Donacobiidae and Acrocephalidae (Fregin et al., 2012; Supplementary Table S1).

2.2. Lab work

DNA was extracted from fresh material using the Qiagen DNA Mini Kit and following the manufacturer’s protocol. Twenty three toepad samples (17 species) were obtained from museum specimens (Supplementary Table S1), and most of these were extracted in clean aDNA-dedicated spaces, using the Qiagen DNA Micro Kit and the protocol detailed in Frestedt et al. (2006). We sequenced the mitochondrial cytochrome b (cytb) gene and four nuclear regions: myoglobin intron 2 (myo), ornithine decarboxylase (mainly) introns 6–7 (ODC), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase intron 11 (GAPDH) and lactate dehydrogenase intron 3 (LDH). Amplification and sequencing of the fresh samples followed the protocols described in Fregin et al. (2012). The toepads were sequenced in short (150–300 bp) segments with specifically designed primers and specific amplification profiles (Supplementary Table S2). Not all loci were obtained for all species, and for eight species only cytb was available (Supplementary Table S1). In addition, we downloaded sequences from GenBank of the recombination-activating gene 1 (RAG1) for the 9 Locustellidae species for which this gene was available as well as 9 outgroup species (GenBank numbers in Supplementary Fig. S4).

Authenticity of sequences obtained from toepad samples is supported by several lines of evidence. (1) When independent samples from the same species were included, the sequences were always highly similar. (2) Phylogenetic relationships based on individual PCR amplicons were the same as those using full cytb contigs. (3) No fragment was identical to any other species included in this study. (4) Overlapping forward and reverse sequence fragments were identical. (5) The mitochondrial sequences showed no double signal in the electropherograms or stop codons, insertions or deletions, and a vast majority of nucleotide substitutions were found in the 3rd codon position and resulted in few amino acid substitutions (of which a majority also was found in sequences obtained from the fresh samples). The mitochondrial sequences from fresh samples were also validated in the same way.

2.3. Phylogenetic analyses

Sequences were aligned and checked using Geneious 7.1.9 (Biomatters Ltd.). For the nuclear loci, heterozygous sites were coded as ambiguous. Trees were estimated by Bayesian inference using BEAST 1.8.4 (Drummond et al., 2012) with different data partitioning schemes: (1) all loci were analysed separately (single-locus analyses, SLAs); (2) all sequences except RAG1 were concatenated and partitioned by locus (RAG1 excluded because only few species were available); and (3) nuclear loci except RAG1 were concatenated and partitioned by locus. All analyses were run under the best-fit models according to the Bayesian Information Criterion calculated in jModeltest 2.1.7 (Darriba et al., 2012). The following models were selected: cytb, GTR + G + I; myo, HKY; GAPDH, LDH and RAG1, HKY + I; and ODC, HKY + I. An uncorrelated relaxed clock model with a lognormal distribution was applied to all partitions. Substitution models and clock models were unlinked. A ‘birth-death incomplete sampling’ tree prior was applied. Default priors were used except for the birthDeath.meanGrowthRate, for which a normal prior with an initial value 1.0, mean 2.0 and standard deviation 1.0 was applied. Xml files were generated in the BEAST utility program BEAUti version 1.8.4. The analyses were run for 50–100 million generations and sampled every 1000 generations, and each analysis was run twice.

Integrative species tree estimation was performed using *BEAST* (Heled and Drummond, 2010) in BEAST 1.8.4, with gene trees and species trees estimated simultaneously. We ran analyses under the same substitution models per partition as in the previous analyses, and an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock prior (Drummond et al., 2006). A piecewise linear population size model with a constant root was used as a prior for the multispecies coalescent and a birth-death model as prior on divergence times. Default settings were used for the priors. 100–150 million generations were run in different runs, sampled every 1000 generations; the analysis was repeated four times.

In order to estimate divergence times and intraspecific variation, the cytb data set with multiple subspecies was analysed in BEAST version 1.8.4 (Drummond et al., 2012). Analyses were run under the GTR + I model (cf. Weir and Schluter, 2008) with a ‘birth-death incomplete sampling’ species tree prior with a normal distribution with mean 2.0 and standard deviation 1.0. A strict clock with a mean rate of 2.1%/million years (Weir and Schluter, 2008) and a normal prior distribution with standard deviation 0.001 was applied. Default settings were used for the other priors. 100 million generations were run, sampled every 1000 generations. The analysis was run twice. Nodes B and I were constrained in the final analysis based on the results from the multilocus analyses (cf. Fig. 1), as these clades were not supported by cytb alone (and no alternative topology was strongly supported in the unconstrained cytb tree).

In all BEAST and *BEAST* analyses, convergence to the stationary distribution of the single chains was inspected in Tracer 1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014). The effective sample sizes (ESS) for the joint likelihood and other parameter values were > 1000, representing good mixing of the MCMC, except in the *BEAST* analyses, where ESSs were 100–150. We also examined convergence and reproducibility by running each analysis at least twice, with random starting points, and comparing the results. In all analyses, including the *BEAST* analyses with low ESSs, the topologies (including relative branch lengths) and posterior probabilities (PPs) were similar across different runs. The first 25% of generations were discarded as ‘burn-in’, and the PPs were calculated from the remaining samples. Samples were combined in LogCombiner 1.8.4, and trees were summarized using TreeAnnotator version 1.8.4 (both included in the BEAST package), choosing ‘Maximum clade credibility tree’ and ‘Mean heights’, and displayed in FigTree version 1.4.3 (Rambaut 2002). Xml files for all multilocus analyses are available as Supplementary Table S2.

The concatenated sequences (except RAG1) partitioned by locus were also analysed by Maximum Likelihood bootstrapping (MLBS).
MLBS (1000 replicates) was conducted with RAxML-HPC2 version 8.2.10 (Stamatakis, 2014). Default parameters were used. Because Robsonius was sister to the rest of Locustellidae in all preliminary analyses, we tested that this genus is indeed part of this clade and not more distantly related by analysing a dataset including representatives from the primary clades within the Passerida group as revealed by previous studies (e.g. Alström et al., 2006; Fregin et al., 2012; Alström et al., 2014; Moyle et al., 2016), using sequences from Alström et al. (2014). This was run in MrBayes 3.2 (Husonbeck and Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist and Hueslenbeck, 2003) using concatenated sequences partitioned by locus and the following models: cyt and ODC GTR + I + f; myo and GAPDH HKY + I; and LDH GTR + I. Default priors in MrBayes were used. Four Metropolis-coupled MCMC chains were run for 5 million generations and sampled every 1000 generations. Convergence was checked as for the BEAST analyses, as well as by the average standard deviation of split frequencies passing below 0.01 and the potential scale reduction factor (PSRF) being close to 1.00 for all parameters.

Several of the BI and the MLBS analyses were run on the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al., 2010).

2.4. Song comparisons

Sound recordings of territorial songs were obtained from all Locustella species (own recordings and from xeno-canto [www.xeno-canto.org] and British Library National Sound Archive). Sonograms were generated in Raven Pro 1.5 (Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, Ithaca, USA) to graphically illustrate differences among species. All of our own sound recordings used for this study have been deposited in xeno-canto (www.xeno-canto.org), and detailed information is available as Supplementary Table S3.
3. Results

3.1. Species-level phylogeny

The *BEAST phylogeny based on all sequences except RAG1 (Fig. 1) identified Robsonius as sister to all other locustellid species, which were divided into two primary clades (A and B). Clade A (PP 1.00) comprised all genera except Locustella, and clade B (PP 0.94) contained all Locustella and one Bradypterus. Within clade A, five strongly supported (PP 1.00) clades were found (C–G), each containing 1–4 genera. Clades C–E plus Schoenicola brevirostris and Elaphornis pallieri formed the poorly supported (PP 0.84) clade H; and clades F–G formed clade I (PP 0.94). Clade H comprised six genera from the Afrotropical, Madagascan and Oriental regions, and clade I included four genera mainly distributed in the Indo-Pacific. Within clade B, two main clades (J, K) were strongly supported (PP 1.00). All of the polytropic genera were non-monophyletic. The Afrotropical Bradypterus clade (C) also contained the monotypic genus Amphilais from Madagascar, whereas the Afrotropical Bradypterus alfredi was in one of the Locustella clades (J). Megalurus and Megalurulus were scattered across clades D, F and G, and the monotypic Buettikoferella and Malia were also nested among these. Finally, the two Schoenicola species were not sisters. Instead, the Oriental S. platyurus was strongly supported as sister to the monotypic Chaetornis, whereas the position of the Afrotropical S. brevirostris was uncertain.

The topology of the BEAST tree based on the concatenated sequences (except RAG1) was mostly in agreement with the *BEAST tree (Supplementary Fig. S1). The former found stronger support for clade B (1.00 vs. 0.94) and I (0.98 vs. 0.94) as well as a number of the relationships within smaller clades (highlighted in blue in Supplementary Fig. S1). The position of Robsonius as sister to the rest of Locustellidae was confirmed in the analysis of a broader sampling from Passeriformes (Supplementary Fig. S2). The tree based on concatenated nuclear loci (except RAG1; Supplementary Fig. S3) strongly supported relationships among clades J1–J5 that were incongruent with those in the *BEAST (Fig. 1) and cyt b (Fig. 2) trees. Single-locus analyses, especially of the nuclear loci, were generally not well resolved (Supplementary Figs. S4 and S5). Maximum Likelihood bootstrapping of the complete dataset (except RAG1) was generally in agreement with the BI analyses, especially the BEAST analysis of concatenated sequences (Fig. 1).

3.2. Dating and intraspecific variation

The chronogram based on cyt b and containing subspecies of some species (Fig. 2) has generally wide confidence intervals. Our dating suggests that Robsonius diverged from the rest of Locustellidae at 22.7 mya (95% HPD 16.9–29.2 mya), and the age of the split between clades A and B was estimated at 15.4 mya (95% HPD 12.1–19.0 mya). The two primary clades A and B were estimated to have diversified since around 14.5 mya (95% HPD c. 11–18 mya). Six of the main clades (C, E, F, G, J, K) diversified during the period c. 7.8–11.4 my (95% HPD c. 5.6–14.3 mya).

Several of the polytropic species included subspecies estimated to have separated > 2 mya: Bradypterus lopez utipae vs. B. l. mariae (2.1 mya; 95% HPD 1.3–3.0 mya); Megalurus palustris forbesi vs. M. p. toklae (4.0 mya; 2.7–5.5 mya); and Megalurus punctatus caudatus vs. M. p. vealeae (2.2 mya; 1.4–3.1 mya). Also the two samples of Locustella caudata unicolor were deeply diverged (2.7 mya; 1.8–3.7 mya), as were the two samples of the monotypic Bradypterus brunneus (5.7 mya; 4.1–7.5 mya). In addition, Megalurus timoriensis and M. macrurus were inferred to be non-monophyletic, with deep divergences between M. timoriensis tweedalii–M. t. crex and M. macrurus macrurus–M. m. interscapularis, respectively. The non-sisters Locustella ochotensis–L. certhiola were not monophyletic, as one individual of the former was nested within the latter.

3.3. Morphological, vocal and behavioural differences between species in clades J and K

Within Locustella (clade B), the species in clades J and K differ in that the latter are generally larger (clade J: 13–18 cm, 12–33 g; clade J: 12–18 cm, 9–21 g; del Hoyo et al., 2006). The lower mandible is always pale in the species in clade K, whereas it is all black in the breeding season, at least in males, in many of the species in clade J (Kennerley and Pearson, 2010; pers. obs.).

The songs of the species in clade K consist of short (c. 2–5 s) strophes separated by distinct pauses (c. 2–15 s; shorter strophes when excited; Fig. 3). All or most of the elements in the strophes are either different from each other (in L. amnicola, L. fasciolata, L. pryrieri) or arranged in a few to several different “blocks” of similar notes (in L. certhiola, L. ochotensis, L. pryrieri). In contrast, the songs of the species in clade J consist of short, comparatively simple syllables, which are monotonously repeated at very short intervals (e.g. in L. accentor, L. thoracica, L. davidii) or in drawn-out, rattling ree (e.g. in L. naevia, L. luteovenustis; Fig. 3). The songs of the species in clade J may continue without any distinct pauses for up to a few minutes. The song of L. pryrieri in clade K may seem rather similar to that of L. tascansowskia in clade J, but the song elements are more varied, without the regularly repeated pattern of L. tascansowskia and the other species in clade J (Fig. 3). In all species in clade K except L. fasciolata and L. amnicola, the song is regularly delivered in a short song-flight, unlike in the species in clade J (Fig. 3; Kennerley and Pearson, 2010; pers. obs.).

4. Discussion

4.1. Phylogeny

Our study is the most comprehensive analysis of the Locustellidae. It includes five previously unsampled monotypic genera and in total 11 previously unstudied species and three additional species that have not been analysed in a study with a large number of species. It also includes one extinct species, Megalurus rufescens. Only Bradypterus grandis, Megalurus albolimbatus and Megalurus ilaneae were not analysed.

The majority of nodes (~60%) are well supported (PP ≥ 0.95). However, some deep relationships remain uncertain. In particular, the support is poor for clade H, and the relationships among clades C, D, E, Schoenicola brevirostris and Elaphornis pallieri are equivocal, likely due to their short internode distances. We note that the support for the position of Schoenicola brevirostris as sister to clade C is stronger in the concatenation analysis of all loci (PP 1.00; MLBS 83%) and in the concatenation analysis of the nuclear loci (PP 1.00), and the support for clade I is stronger in the concatenation analyses of all loci (PP 0.97; MLBS 78%). This makes sense from a biogeographical point of view – S. brevirostris is an Afrotropical species, like all Bradypterus.

The lack of evidence for a close relationship between Schoenicola brevirostris and S. platyurus is surprising, because they have been treated as conspecific due to their very similar appearances (e.g. Watson et al., 1986), while they differ from Bradypterus in for example their broader and more gradually graduated tails with pale tips (Madge, 2017). In contrast, Chaetornis striata, which we found as sister to S. platyurus, is morphologically markedly different, although it shares the pale-tipped rectrices with the two Schoenicola species, and it occurs in the same geographical area as S. platyurus (Indian subcontinent) (Madge, 2017). We hypothesise that S. brevirostris is sister to clade E (as suggested by cyt b, although with no support), and that the plumage similarity between S. brevirostris and S. platyurus is plesiomorphic.

The Sri Lankan endemic Elaphornis pallieri was previously placed in Bradypterus (e.g. Watson et al., 1986), and its move to Elaphornis was only based on it being “entirely distinct from the genus Bradypterus” (Dickinson, 2003). The monotypic Elaphornis is here shown to be distinct, although a close relationship with the Bradypterus clade (C) cannot be excluded. In contrast, the Malagasy endemic Amphilais
seboehmi is firmly nested in Bradypterus, as sister to the Malagasy endemic B. brunneus. Amphialis seboehmi is sometimes placed in the genus Dromaeocercus, together with B. brunneus (e.g. Watson et al., 1986), and is treated as incertae sedis by Dickinson and Christidis (2014).

The position of Megalurus palustris in clade H is not strongly supported in any of our multilocus analyses, and the only SLA that strongly corroborates this is cytb, whereas analysis of a small set of RAG1 sequences (Supplementary Fig. S5) strongly supports a sister relationship between M. palustris and M. matthesii (which is the only representative of clade I in that analysis). The same was found by Alström et al. (2011a), who discussed this at length, and concluded that inclusion of Megalurus palustris in the equivalent of clade H is surprising from both a
morphological, vocal and biogeographical point of view. If *M. palustris* indeed belongs in clade H, the most parsimonious position would be as sister to the others, as the strong similarities in plumage, structure, size and voice to some of the other species in clade I could then be explained as being plesiomorphic.

The non-monophyly of *Megalurus* is further exaggerated within clade I, as the two monotypic genera *Malia* and *Buettikoferella* as well as the five species of *Megalurulus* are intermixed with *Megalurus*. Alström et al. (2011a) also found the equivalent of this clade to contain a non-monophyletic *Megalurus* (see below), although they did not include *Malia, Buettikoferella* or *Megalurulus*. Oliveros et al. (2012) recently discovered that *Malia grata*, with previously unknown affinities, is part

---

**Fig. 3. Sonograms of Locustella sensu lato.** All of the species in clade K are shown, but not all of the ones in clade J, though the ones missing from clade J have songs that are reminiscent of species illustrated. The species in clade K have short complex strophes separated by pauses of varying length (length of pauses mainly depending on level of excitement); only in two of the individuals shown here are the pauses short enough to show two consecutive strophes. The species in clade J have “continuously flowing” songs, except *L. tacsanowskia*, which has well defined strophes of varying length separated by pauses of variable length. The three lowermost sonograms are shown at higher temporal resolution than the others to highlight the greater complexity and less regular structure of *L. pryeri* compared to *L. tacsanowskia* and *L. luteoventris*. The photos are a collage of a *L. pryeri* singing in song-flight, which all in clade K except *L. amnicola* and *L. fasciolata* regularly do (photos: Lars Petersson; Honshu, Japan, June 2017). See Supplementary Table S3 for details about the recordings used to create the sonograms.
of Locustellidae; it was recovered with strong support as sister to *Megalurus timoriensis*, which was the only representative for our clade I in their study. *Buetikoferella bivittata* is firmly anchored in a clade with *Megalurus mathewsi, M. timoriensis, M. macrurus* and the five *Megalurus* species, although its sister relationship with *M. mathewsi* is poorly supported. Also *Megalurus* is not monophyletic; none of the relationships among the species are strongly supported by *BEAST*, although the relationships among *M. rufus, M. mariae* and *M. whitemyi* are well supported in the BI and ML analyses of concatenated data.

The strong non-monophyly of *Megalurus* and *Megalurus* is unexpected. Except for *M. carteri* and male *M. cruralis*, the members of the genus *Megalurus*, including *M. palustris*, form a morphologically fairly homogeneous group (del Hoyo et al., 2006; cf. Fig. 1). From a morphological perspective, the species in *Megalurus* and *Buetikoferella bivittata* are fairly similar, while they diverge much from *Megalurus* by their more uniform and more saturated brown plumage colorations (del Hoyo et al., 2006; cf. Fig. 1). The differences appear to be adaptive: *Megalurus* species occur in understory of evergreen mesic habitats, whereas *Megalurus* species are associated with grasslands or more arid scrubby habitats. *Malia grata* is highly aberrant in plumage and ecology (mainly arboreal, social; Collar and Robson, 2017; pers. obs.) compared to all other members of Locustellidae (cf. Fig. 1). Strongly divergent plumages, such as the green and yellow plumage of *Malia grata* and the boldly patterned male *Megalurus cruralis*, could probably evolve fairly fast under strong selection, as has been suggested to have happened multiple times in other families within Sylviaeidae (Aldrovà et al., 2011b, 2012b). Niche shifts, such as in *Malia grata*, have likely triggered strong morphological divergence in some other Indonesian island endemics (Fjeldsa et al., 2010; Aldrovà et al., 2015). However, it cannot be excluded that phylogenetic results suggesting unexpectedly distant relationships between morphologically similar species might be the result of stochastic processes, such as lineage sorting across multiple speciation events (‘hemiplasy’; Avis and Robinson, 2008) rather than parallel evolution.

Within clade B, subclades J and K are well supported and deeply diverged. As further support of this subdivision, there are average divergences in size and song between them (see below). *Bradypterus alfredi* is well supported as sister to *Locustella luscinioides + L. fluviatilis* within clade J. It is the only Afrotropical species in clade B.

The three species of *Robsonius* form a strongly supported sister clade to the rest of Locustellidae. This agrees with Oliver et al. (2012), who disclosed this unexpected position of *Robsonius*, which was previously considered a “babbler” (e.g. Dickinson 2003).

4.2. Dating and intraspecific variation

Recent, broadly sampled, genome-scale studies suggest generally younger ages for sylviovid passerines than we recovered here (Prum et al., 2015; Moly et al., 2016). However, our results largely agree with those from a multilocus phylogenetic analysis of all Himalayan passerines, which was dated using multiple fossils and biogeographic dates (Price et al., 2014), as well as with an analysis of the modern birds (Neornithes) using a multigene matrix and a large number of fossil calibrations (Claramunt and Cracraft, 2015). In particular the date inferred here for the divergence of *Robsonius* should be treated with caution.

Several polytypic species harbour deep cytb divergences, which are considerably deeper than between some other taxa treated as separate species. Because the morphological variation within some groups is relatively slight and because many of the species are poorly known, it seems likely that some currently recognised species are better treated as two (or more) species. Further studies of larger samples and using independent data, such as nuclear markers and vocalisations, are warranted to shed light on the taxonomic status of these taxa.

The rather widely allopatric *Bradypterus lopesi ufcape* and *B. l. mariae* are deeply diverged (2.1 mya; 95% HPD 1.3–3.0 mya). This species consists of two subspecies groups (Kennerley and Pearson, 2010; del Hoyo and Collar, 2016), but *B. l. ufcape* and *B. l. mariae* belong to the same group. However, these two taxa inhabit different montane areas in central and eastern Africa. The divergence within the Madagascar endemic *Bradypterus brunneus*, which was estimated at 5.7 mya (95% HPD 4.1–7.5 mya) is exceptional, as this species is considered monotypic (Dickinson and Christidis, 2014; del Hoyo and Collar, 2016; Gill and Doncker, 2017).

The divergence between *Megalurus palustris* forbesi from the Philippines and northern Borneo and *M. p. toklao*, which is patchily distributed across southern continental Asia, is pronounced (4.0 mya; 95% HPD 2.7–5.5 mya). Much denser sampling will be needed to evaluate the taxonomy of this complex. A deep split (2.2 mya; 95% HPD 1.4–3.1 mya) was also found between the *Megalurus punctatus caudatus* (confined to Snares Island, New Zealand) and *M. p. vealeae* (North Island, New Zealand). The former has recently been treated as a distinct species based on morphological characters (del Hoyo and Collar, 2016). Our single sample of the extinct *M. rufescens* from Chatham Island, New Zealand is deeply diverged from *M. punctatus*. These two taxa are often treated as conspecific (e.g. Dickinson and Christidis, 2014), although del Hoyo and Collar (2016) treated them as separate species based on morphological differences. However, the suggestion by del Hoyo and Collar’s (2016) that *M. rufescens* might be most closely related to *Megalurus rufus* is strongly rejected by our data.

*Megalurus timoriensis* and *M. macrurus* are parapleptic with respect to each other, with deep divergences between *M. t. tweeddalei* and *M. t. crez* and between *M. m. macrurus* and *M. m. interscapularis*, respectively. The two latter taxa belong to different subspecies groups, which differ in elevational distribution and number of tail feathers (Schoodle and Mason, 1999; Dickinson and Christidis 2014; del Hoyo and Collar, 2016), whereas to our knowledge the other taxa have not been suggested to be markedly different. A taxonomic revision may be warranted, but more extensive research is needed.

*Locustella certhiola, L. ochotensis and L. pleskei* have long been considered closely related, and have been treated variously as either conspecific or different species (review in Kennerley and Pearson, 2010). Our study supports a sister relationship and recent divergence (0.68 mya; 95% HPD 0.35–1.06 mya) between the allopatric *L. pleskei* and two of the three *L. ochotensis*, with *L. certhiola* and the third *L. ochotensis* as more deeply diverged sisters (2.9 mya; 95% HPD 2.0–4.0 mya). The *L. ochotensis* with a *L. certhiola* cyt b haplotype was collected at Magadan, Russia (Takema Saitoh, in litt.). The same topology (except for paraply of *L. ochotensis*/*L. certhiola*) was previously found using a smaller mitochondrial dataset (Drovetski et al., 2004). A more comprehensive study by Drovetski et al. (2015) also found *L. certhiola* as sister to the two others in a mitochondrial ND2 tree, but recovered *L. pleskei* to be parapleptic with respect to *L. ochotensis*, and also identified one phenotypic *L. certhiola* from Khararovsk with a *L. ochotensis* ND2 haplotype. In contrast, in a species tree based on 12 nuclear introns, the same authors recovered *L. certhiola* and *L. ochotensis* as sisters, with *L. pleskei* sister to these two, with strong support. The dating of the deepest node in their ND2 tree was considerably younger than in our study (1.6 mya; 95% HPD 1.2–2.0 mya), and the ages estimated by the nuclear introns were even younger.

4.3. Taxonomic implications

Aldrovà et al. (2011a) found the genus-level taxonomy of Locustellidae to be strongly incongruent with the phylogeny, and proposed a major reclassification. The present analysis, which includes 13 species whose phylogenetic position has either not been tested previously or only in a narrower context, revealed further conflict between taxonomy and phylogeny. The revised taxonomy of Aldrovà et al. (2011a) recognised only four genera: *Locustella* (comprising the traditional *Locustella*) and all Asian *Bradypterus*, *Bradypterus* (restricting this genus to the African species), *Schoenicola* (only *S. brevirostris* studied) and...
Megalurus (including Eremiornis carteri, Cincloramphus cruralis and C. mathewsi).

Alström et al. (2011a) stressed that their proposed Megalurus was probably non-monophyletic, but noted that the support was based mainly on cytb, and that this was contradicted by other data. Therefore, the authors preliminarily retained Megalurus for a potentially non-monophyletic group. However, Dickinson and Christidis (2014) and del Hoyo and Collar (2016), based on the same study by Alström et al. (2011a), restricted Megalurus to M. palustris (type species of this genus). Moreover, Dickinson and Christidis (2014) and del Hoyo and Collar (2016) applied the name Cincloramphus to M. cruralis, M. mathewsi, M. timoriensis and M. macrurus (the two former species were previously placed in this genus). They also resurrected the genus Poodytes for M. gramineus, M. punctatus, M. caudatus, M. rufescens, M. carteri and M. albolimbatus (though M. caudatus and M. rufescens were not given species status by Dickinson and Christidis, 2014). Megalurus albolimbatus has not yet been analysed phylogenetically.

Our results call for further taxonomic revision, although due to the uncertain relationships especially with respect to Megalurus, none of the alternative classifications are fully satisfactory. Synonymising Amphilais with Bradypterus is straightforward, and so is moving Bradypterus alfredi to Locustella. Due to the strong support for a sister relationship between Chaetornis striata and Schoeniola platyrus, we propose synonymising the former genus with the latter (based on priority). As there is no unanimous support for a close relationship between these and Schoeniola brevirostris, we hesitantly propose reinstating the name Catricas Cabanis, 1851 for the latter (which then becomes a monotypic genus). Because Elaphornis has no obvious close relatives, we suggest retaining this monotypic genus.

With respect to Megalurus, we follow Dickinson and Christidis (2014) and del Hoyo and Collar (2016) in restricting this name to M. palustris and applying the name Poodytes to clade G. We also accept the use of the name Cincloramphus for clade F excluding M. grata, but also propose to synonymise Megalurula and Buettikoferella with Cincloramphus. Malta grata is strongly supported as belonging to clade F, and was estimated to have separated less than one million years before C. cruralis diverged. Accordingly, it would be appropriate to include it in Cincloramphus (by priority); however, to maintain taxonomic stability and also to highlight its morphological and ecological uniqueness, we prefer to retain the name Malta. Application of the name Robsonius is unproblematic.

With respect to Megalurus, Alström et al. (2011a) proposed placing all of the taxa in clade B in Locustella (except L. alfredi, which they did not analyse), and this was followed by Dickinson and Christidis (2014), del Hoyo and Collar (2016) and Gill and Donsker (2017). However, in order to use the genus category in a more consistent way across the two main clades of Locustellidae, we propose splitting Locustella into two genera. The name Locustella is restricted to clade J. However, no name is available for clade K. Accordingly, we here propose a new genus name for this clade:

**Helopsaltes, new genus**

*Type species: Motacilla Certhiola Pallas, 1811. Gender masculine.*

* Included taxa: All of the species in clade K in Figs. 1 and 2, which should now be named Helopsaltes certhiola, Helopsaltes ochotensis, Helopsaltes pleskei, Helopsaltes pryeri, Helopsaltes fasciolatus and Helopsaltes amnicola. All species epithets except fasciolatus are invariable, and therefore must not change ending due to change of gender of the scientific name.

*Diagnosis:* The songs consist of short (c. 2–5 s) strophes separated by distinct pauses (c. 2–15 s; highly variable depending on level of excitement). All or most of the elements in the strophes are different from each other, or arranged in different “blocks” of similar notes. The songs of the species of Locustella sensu stricto are less clearly separated into strophes, and consist of very fast rattling reeds or monotonous repetitions of rather simple syllables. See Section 3.3 and Fig. 3. No diagnostic morphological characters are known to us, but there are average differences between Helopsaltes and Locustella sensu stricto in overall size (see Section 3.3).

*Etymology:* The name means “the marsh musician”, from Greek helos (ἕλος), marshy ground, and Greek psaltes (ψαλτης), a musician playing a string instrument.
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