Patients’ and therapists’ experiences with a new treatment programme for eating disorders that combines physical exercise and dietary therapy: the PED-t trial. A qualitative study protocol
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Women with bulimia nervosa and binge eating disorder often suffer for many years before they seek professional help. Evidence-based treatments like cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) might be poorly accessible, and about 50% of those who receive CBT respond to it. Such outcome may reflect the heterogeneous nature of eating disorders, and addressing this heterogeneity calls for expanding the portfolio of treatment options. In particular, it is important to explore such options’ acceptability, tolerability and affordability expressed through experiences with the treatment. This protocol outlines the rationale and design of a qualitative study. It captures experiences from patients and therapists who were involved in a randomised controlled trial (RCT) exploring the efficacy of a new group-based treatment programme combining physical exercise and dietary therapy.

Methods and analysis 15 patients with bulimia nervosa or binge eating disorder, 10 therapists (physical trainers and dietitians) and 6–10 patients who dropped out of the RCT will be semistructurally interviewed. All interviews will be analysed using a systematic text condensation approach.

Ethics and dissemination Results will be presented in peer-reviewed international journals, and at relevant international conferences. Key findings will be available to study participants as well as to patient organisations and health authorities. The overall study meets the intent and requirements of the Health Research Act and the Declaration of Helsinki. It is approved by the regional committee for medical research ethics (2013/1871).

Trial registration number NCT02079935; Pre-results.

INTRODUCTION

About 3%–5% of the adolescent and adult general population suffers from eating disorders, mostly from bulimia nervosa and binge eating disorder.1 Patients with eating disorders are preoccupied with food, eating or not eating and physical appearance. This preoccupation manifests in dieting and often extreme physical exercise behaviour, energy and/or nutritional imbalance as well as behaviours to compensate for overeating. Such behaviour may point to an unhealthy regulation of affects. Eating disorders can be severe and long standing, and the level of severity and duration usually determine the degree of impairment of the sufferers’ physical health, cognitive, emotional and interpersonal functioning as well as their quality of life.2

The most well-researched treatments for eating disorders are family-based therapy and cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT).1,3 These treatments are generally accepted as evidence based and are recommended in many leading treatment guidelines.4–7 Nevertheless, about half of patients admitted to evidence-based treatments respond suboptimally or even fail to respond to them.8 One possible reason is that psychological treatments may miss some important maintaining factors, notably those associated with energy imbalance, malnutrition and basic affective
regulatory mechanisms like excessive exercise. Another reason may be an incomplete knowledge of effectiveness and how patients experience and evaluate treatments. Although some is known about predictors of adherence to manuals of evidence-based treatments9 10 and challenges in disseminating CBT,11 little is known about aspects of therapists’ and patients’ satisfaction with the available evidence-based treatments.

There is a need to investigate the therapeutic impact of new treatments both in controlled circumstances with selected patients and in actual routine clinical use. A previous randomised controlled trial (RCT)12 has provided evidence for guided physical activity as equal to CBT in reducing eating disorder symptoms, where the physical activity may serve the purpose of regulating negative affects, and promote a positive body image. A more extensive ongoing RCT13 expands on the preliminary one12 by exploring the efficacy of a novel treatment approach combining physical exercise and dietary therapy (the PED-t) to enable patients’ functional coping and self-regulative activities. The other expansion from the originating study12 is the inclusion of qualitative and experiential data from patients and therapists in the ongoing RCT.13 Such data may provide information needed to evaluate the efficacy and effectiveness of a specific treatment. Thus, treatment effects may be in vain if for instance, the PED-t is disliked by patients, and for some reason appears to therapists as difficult to provide.

Following the logic of intention-to-treat analyses in RCTs, also experiential analyses need to include experiences from those who drop out from the treatment. This kind of information may capture negative and disadvantageous experiences with the treatment that may be otherwise under-reported. However, little is known about the nature of such experiences.

There is some knowledge about eating disorder patients’ generalised treatment experiences gained from case studies, surveys and qualitative studies. This knowledge is confined to therapist qualities, like being skilful and compassionate or to levels of satisfaction when comparing overall modes of treatments, for example, any kind of individual therapy, group therapy or family treatments.14–23 Overall, previous findings yield high level of treatment satisfaction, particularly when there is a concordance between initial treatment expectations and outcome satisfaction,24 if medical and dietary assistance is provided and if the accessibility of care is high.25–27 With respect to patient satisfaction with specific treatment methods or approaches, studies of psychodynamic28 and family therapy29 have reported rather mixed findings, while cognitive remediation therapy for anorexia nervosa has found high feasibility and acceptability.30 Within the realm of the RCT design, favourable experiences have been reported from patients with bulimia nervosa receiving a computer-based CBT31 and from patients with anorexia nervosa receiving two specialist treatment approaches.32

Searching the literature, much is written about the risk of therapist fatigue and burnout as well as challenges related to being a therapist, for instance in working with patients with eating disorder.33 To the best of our knowledge, far less is known about therapists’ experiences with conducting treatment. In many kinds of outpatient psychotherapies, for a wide range of mental disorders, a high level of therapist satisfaction has been reported,34 but intriguingly, with a low concordance between therapists’ and patients’ satisfaction ratings.35 Therapist satisfaction has also been related to the use of manualised treatments36 and how research protocols are designed in controlled treatment designs,37 thus linking satisfaction to adherence and treatment fidelity. Within the eating disorder field, one study37 highlighted therapists’ satisfaction in running a psychoeducational programme. Within an RCT design, only two studies have explored therapist satisfaction with two approaches to family therapy38 and specific specialist treatment approaches for anorexia nervosa.39

Aspects of acceptability and tolerability from both those who receive a treatment and those who provide it may help inform treatment development and modifications prior to dissemination to other eating disorder sufferers in other contexts. The dearth of studies of experiential data, especially in controlled trials of novel interventions, is thus surprising. In the present study, we aim to contributing in filling this gap of knowledge. Hence, we address three research questions related to the specific combinatory treatment programme (PED-t) for patients with bulimia nervosa and binge eating disorder provided by therapists in physical exercise and dietary therapy at 6–24 months after the end of the treatment.

1. What are the patients’ experiences of the outcome and benefits of the PED-t programme?
2. What are the therapists’ experiences of their contribution to the PED-t programme?
3. What are the experiences and explanations from the participants who dropped out of the PED-t programme?

Methods and analysis

Study context

The context of the current study is the RCT,13 which aims to test the efficacy of the combination of guided PED-t versus CBT in treating sufferers from bulimia nervosa and binge eating disorder. Both treatment arms are conducted within a group format of 20 sessions over 16 weeks, and where each group consists of five to eight individuals. Patients who are recruited while a treatment group is running are put on a waiting list condition of equal length (16 weeks) where they complete all baseline measures.

The RCT excludes men, and female participants with overly manifest comorbid personality disorders and substance abuse, those outside the age range of 18–40 years, as well as those who had received CBT within 2 years before the study start, were pregnant and women with low-weight anorexia nervosa or severe obesity. Those included complete a wide range of biological and
standardised psychological measures. Details of the RCT protocol have been published elsewhere.\textsuperscript{13}

**Participant selection and recruitment**

The current interview study comprises three samples.

Sample 1: About 15 participants will be randomly selected among the first 60 participants in the RCT,\textsuperscript{13} and who had completed at least 80\% of the PED-t treatment sessions.

Sample 2: About 6–10 patients who dropped out of the PED-t programme in the time period from completing baseline measurements in the RCT\textsuperscript{13} to completing 20\% of the treatment sessions will be randomly selected and invited to an interview in order to capture a broader range of treatment-related experiences.

Sample 3: This sample consists of all the 10 therapists who provided the PED-t. They are physical trainers and dietitians at a master level and have completed at least one treatment group.

It is assumed that data saturation will occur in all three subsamples. However, there will be room for flexibility as saturation will be currently evaluated during the data collection period. All participants will receive information by email, outlining the purpose and procedure of the study. In addition to participants’ informed consent, a signed letter from the women’s general practitioner confirming their suitability for the study is required for final enrolment.

**Data collection**

The present study started in 2016 and will end in 2019. The data collection period runs from 2016 to late 2018. Addressing the three research questions, data will be collected through semistructured qualitative interviews and carried out as conversations about the participants’ and the therapists’ experiences of the PED-t programme. No repeat interviews will be carried out. Interviews will take place at the Norwegian College of Sports Sciences where the RCT study\textsuperscript{13} is conducted or at other locations of the participants’ own choice.

The interview guide to address patients’ experiences of the outcome and benefits of the PED-t programme (research question 1) is based on relevant literature about eating disorders, the authors’ expertise on eating disorders and perspectives from user involvement in the study. This interview guide comprises several questions, for example, what are your overall experiences of participating in the programme related to outcome and benefits? Can you tell whether your daily life has changed in some way during and after the end of the programme? In what way has the programme affected your current attitude and opinion about doing physical activity? In what way has the programme affected your current attitude and opinion about nutrition and addressing nutritional needs? The interview guide to address the therapists’ experiences of their contribution to the PED-t programme (research question 2) comprises questions like What are your overall experiences of being a therapist in the programme? Can you explain whether there were aspects of your professional background that stood out as beneficial in conducting the programme? Can you tell whether you experienced incidents or situations in the programme context where your professional knowledge was not sufficient? For the third research question about experiences and explanations of those who dropped out of the PED-t programme only one question will be asked; why did you chose to drop out of the treatment programme? In general, follow-up questions will be freely adjusted to the participant’s responses. Each interview will last about 1 hour. All interviews will be audiotaped and then transcribed verbatim and anonymised.

**Data analysis and presentation**

The transcribed interviews will be analysed according to the principles of systematic text condensation.\textsuperscript{40, 41} These principles describe a four-step explorative and descriptive method for thematic cross-case analysis of qualitative data. The first step comprise reading and getting an overview of the whole data material of transcribed pages, obtaining an overall impression of experiences. In the second step, units of meaning will be identified, coded and grouped related to the experiences of the study participants. Thirdly, coded data will be condensed, meanings will be abstracted within each of the categories and subcategories and quotes will be selected to illustrate the meaning of each group. In the fourth step, the content and descriptions within each group will be summarised, synthesised and renarrated and finally, the categories will be renamed. The third author will conduct the analysis and the coding, while the other authors will validate the process of systematic text condensation. The consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research will be used to ensure high-quality qualitative research.\textsuperscript{42}

Data will be presented under each category as anonymised quotes, and with acronyms (nicknames) to indicate that the quotes reflect the full range of the sample. In addition, clarity and discussion of minor themes and diverse cases will also be included.

**Reflexivity**

A researcher’s background and position will affect what they choose to investigate and reflexivity is an attitude of attending systematically to the context of knowledge construction at every step of the research process.\textsuperscript{40} To address the need for reflexivity, the interviewer (MB) will complete a sheet of questions after each interview\textsuperscript{43} about her own emotions and reactions during interview and discuss them with the project group.

**User participants’ involvement**

To increase the clinical relevance of the research, an advisory group has been established with members of a national eating disorder patient organisation.

**DISCUSSION**

The RCT is expected to yield new knowledge about the efficacy of a new treatment option for eating disorders, that is, the combined guided PED-t programme. It is,
of course, hoped that it will bring about positive proximal and distal outcomes. The current study is expected to provide an understanding of the effectiveness of the programme in terms of acceptability and tolerance as experienced from both those who provide and those who receive the treatment. An extended source of knowledge about effectiveness will be provided by information from eligible patients who dropped out of the RCT study, from baseline measures and until 20% of the treatment attendance. In total then, the experiential data will be an important, evidence-based platform for possible adjustments of the new treatment to ease dissemination to patients with eating disorder in other contexts within or outside the healthcare services. In this way, a new treatment programme may be accessible to a larger percentage of sufferers than the relatively low proportion that seek help within the healthcare services, and actually are receiving evidence-based treatments. Moreover, potential findings may open for a debate about which professions that can or should be included in providing help to individuals with eating disorders as well as to other groups of sufferers in society.

Results from this investigation will be presented in peer-reviewed international journals. In addition, major findings will be presented at national and international conferences. Results will be made available to those who participate in the current study as well as to patient organisations and health authorities. In addition, we will bring out major findings to the general public.
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