Study of the combined treatment of lung cancer using gene-loaded immunomagnetic albumin nanospheres in vitro and in vivo
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Abstract: Combination therapy for lung cancer has garnered widespread attention. Radiation therapy, gene therapy, and molecular targeted therapy for lung cancer have certain effects, but the disadvantages of these treatment methods are evident. Combining these methods can decrease their side effects and increase their curative effects. In this study, we constructed a pYr-ads-8-HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG plasmid (a gene circuit that can express IFNγ), which is a gene circuit, and used that plasmid together with C225 (cetuximab) to prepare gene-loaded immunomagnetic albumin nanospheres (IMANS). Moreover, we investigated the therapeutic effects of gene-loaded IMANS in combination with radiation therapy on human lung cancer in vitro and in vivo. The results showed that this gene circuit was successively constructed and confirmed that the expression of INFγ was increased due to the gene circuit. Gene-loaded IMANS combined with radiation therapy demonstrated improved results in vitro and in vivo. In conclusion, gene-loaded IMANS enhanced the efficacy of combination therapy, solved problems related to gene transfer, and specifically targeted lung cancer cells.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is a malignant tumor that displays one of the highest incidence and mortality rates, and these rates are significantly increasing. Lung cancer has become one of the greatest hazards to human health and life. According to its pathological and clinical characteristics, lung cancer can be classified into two major categories: small cell–lung cancer (SCLC) and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC).¹ The primary treatment strategy for lung cancer is surgery. Because the early symptoms of lung cancer are difficult to detect, from 70% to 80% of lung cancer cases were identified at an advanced or metastatic stage. Most of the lung cancer patients choose only chemotherapy or radiotherapy. However, due to cancer metastasis, multidrug resistance and other factors such as the survival rates of NSCLC and SCLC patients remain below 10% and 3%, respectively. Searching for new strategies for lung cancer treatment has become a research focus.²–⁴ Due to the rapid development of tumor immunology, molecular biology, bioinformatics, and other disciplines, gene diagnosis, gene therapy, and targeted therapy for tumors have gradually become possible. More importantly, these advances have provided novel approaches for the treatment of lung cancer.

Gene therapy refers to the transfer of selected genes into the body of a patient to correct an aberrancy in gene expression via molecular biology techniques as a treatment for a disease. Gene therapy is primarily used to treat diseases that cause serious damage to human health, including malignant tumors, infectious diseases, genetic diseases, and...
cardiovascular diseases.\textsuperscript{5} As a new clinical therapy with high specificity and good targeting, gene therapy for lung cancer has attracted increasing attention.\textsuperscript{6} Gene immunotherapy that can interact with the body’s immune function is becoming an area of focus of lung cancer gene therapy. Local expression of immune-related genes in tumor cells could increase the immunogenicity of the tumor or stimulate and enhance the body’s antitumor immune responses. After tumor cells are killed, the initial triggering activity is halted. IFN\(\gamma\) exerts direct antitumor effects by inhibiting tumor cell proliferation, induction differentiating, and promoting apoptosis\textsuperscript{7,8} and exerts indirect antitumor effects by activating macrophages and natural killer cells, inducing the expression of major histocompatibility complex class I and class II molecules, enhancing the T-cell–mediated death of tumor cells and inhibiting tumor angiogenesis.\textsuperscript{9} The transfer of the IFN\(\gamma\) gene into tumor cells, which then abundantly expresses IFN\(\gamma\), resulting in their death, has been the basis of many research prospects.

In radio-gene therapy, therapeutic genes are fused to a radiation-induced regulatory sequence. When the tumor is irradiated by radiotherapy/radionuclide, gene expression is induced, and the tumor is attacked by both the radiation and the induced gene. On the one hand, the equivalent radiation dose can be reduced to decrease damage to normal tissues; on the other hand, the localization of antitumor gene expression can be achieved via local irradiation.\textsuperscript{10}

However, this method displays the same disadvantages as conventional gene therapy, such as specificity, safety, low efficiency and short duration of gene expression, low cytotoxic effect, lack of effective control measures after gene transfer, and other issues. Moreover, the prevalent hypoxic environment of solid tumors decreases radiation-induced promoter activity, thereby negatively affecting the efficacy and application of this therapy.\textsuperscript{11,12}

Gene circuit technology represents a new concept for the development of tumor radio-gene therapies. A gene circuit refers to the network which consists of specific genes has the signal processing functions to regulate the target gene like the physical circuit. The characteristic of a gene circuit is that different genes exhibit feedback regulation, in which upstream genes induce the expression of downstream genes and the protein products of downstream genes regulate the expression of upstream genes, such that the genes form a circuit. Constructing a physiological genetic circuit and transferring it into cells may regulate and amplify target gene expression.\textsuperscript{13,14} Gene circuit design must fully consider the inhibitory effects of the hypoxic tumor environment on radiation-induced promoter activity. The hypoxia-response element (HRE) is a hypoxia-sensitive enhancer sequence. Studies have shown that inserting an HRE sequence upstream of a gene promoter enhances the transcriptional activity of the promoter in a hypoxic environment and that the number of HREs influences the sensitivity of the promoter to hypoxia.\textsuperscript{15,16}

However, naked DNA is not stable, as it is easily degraded by nucleases.\textsuperscript{17} Therefore, gene transfer is mediated by a gene vector. Currently, the most common gene vectors are viral vectors and nonviral vectors.\textsuperscript{18} The viral vector system is by far the most effective method of gene transfer. Two-thirds of clinical trials performing tumor gene therapy used viral vectors.\textsuperscript{19,20} However, the limitations of viral vectors, such as the lack of efficient and targeted carrier systems, the difficulty in controlling genes in the body, and, especially, safety\textsuperscript{21,22} have restricted the clinical application of viral vectors for gene therapy. Although using a nonviral vector system avoids significant potential safety hazards, the transduction efficiency of nonviral vector systems has always been less than that of viral vector systems. Therefore, how to resolve the bottleneck of gene transfer has become imperative in the field of gene therapy.

With the development of nanotechnology, research on nanoparticles as gene transfer vectors has garnered widespread attention. Nanoparticle vectors represent a new system of polymer transport. Because of their small size, nanoparticles easily carry a target gene to cells, and the gene easily diffuses into cells. A variety of nanoparticle gene transfer vectors have been developed, such as gold nanoparticles, nanomagnetic particles, and albumin nanoparticles (ANPs). Studies have shown that these nanoparticle vectors are easy to prepare, display adequate DNA adsorption and high transfection efficiency, and exhibit acceptable biocompatibility. Nanoparticle vectors conjugated to specific targeting molecules (such as monoclonal antibodies or specific ligands) that can bind to cell surface receptors can provide safe and effective targeted therapy.\textsuperscript{23–30} Nanomagnetic particle vector technology uses magnetic nanoparticles as a gene vector to deliver therapeutic genes to cells; using this method, the nanoparticles release their contents in the presence of a magnetic field to achieve safe and effective targeted therapy.\textsuperscript{31,32} This technology can help to accelerate transfection while increasing the transfection efficiency. Therefore, magnetic nanoparticles have rapidly been developed as gene vectors. Many scholars have performed intensive research on this topic.
Magnetic albumin nanospheres (MANS) are albumin nanospheres that are wrapped with magnetic nanoparticles. As a carrier, albumin nanospheres can reduce the drug release rate, avoid drug inactivation during the transfer process, and increase the biocompatibility of nanomaterials. Applying a magnetic field outside the tumor site concentrates the drug at the tumor site. As a result, the antitumor effect of the drug is enhanced and the damage to normal tissue is reduced.

Molecular targeted therapy for tumors is a completely new model of biological treatment. This form of therapy targets factors that may cause cells to become malignant, such as cell signaling pathways, proto-oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes, cytokines and their receptors, tumor angiogenesis–related factors, and cell death signaling–related genes. Molecular targeted therapy reverses malignant biological behaviors at the molecular level; as a consequence, tumor cell growth is inhibited and the tumor even completely disappears. The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is expressed in various malignant tumor cells that arise from the epidermis, such as NSCLC, breast cancer, colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, and prostate cancer. Therapies targeting EGFR are extensively administered in the field of lung cancer. Such therapies include 1) monoclonal antibodies against the extracellular domain of EGFR; 2) small molecule kinase inhibitors that act on the EGFR kinase domain; 3) antisense oligonucleotides that block EGFR mRNA translation; 4) cytotoxic agents that can recognize EGFR, such as cell death factors and radioactive particles, selectively killing tumor cells displaying elevated EGFR expression; and 5) blockers of EGFR dimerization/oligomerization, thereby inhibiting the activation of EGFR.

At present, anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies and small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors are most commonly used in clinical settings. Cetuximab (C225), marketed as Erbitux, is a chimeric human/mouse immunoglobulin G1 antibody that can specially bind to the extracellular domain of human EGFR. C225 can competitively interact with the extracellular ligand binding site of EGFR, thereby inhibiting the activation of the tyrosine kinase activity of EGFR. As a result, the signal transduction pathways associated with tumor cell proliferation are blocked, and this event inhibits cell proliferation and induces apoptosis. In addition, studies have shown that anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies can enhance the sensitivity of chemotherapy and radiotherapy.

In this study, we hypothesized that inserting an HRE upstream of the radiation-induced promoter of c-Fos would form the radiation promoter that exhibits enhanced expression in a hypoxic environment. Thus, we constructed the pYr-ads-8-5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG eukaryotic expression plasmid, which expresses a gene circuit. The properties of this plasmid are that the c-Fos promoter induces the expression of the inducible nitric oxide synthase (NOS, iNOS) and IFNy genes; then, NO, which is a product of iNOS activity, induces the c-Fos promoter via a feedback loop. As a gene carrier, MANS was coupled to C225 to construct gene-loaded immunomagnetic albumin nanospheres (IMANS). In cancer treatment, the gene-loaded IMANS were targeted to lung cancer tissues via intravenous injection. We explored the efficacy of this novel combination therapy consisting of radiation therapy, immune-related gene therapy, and molecular targeted therapy for lung cancer.

Materials and methods
Main materials
Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium and fetal bovine serum were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK8) was obtained from Dojindo (Kumamoto, Japan). Agarose, bovine serum albumin, dimethyl sulfoxide, trypsin, N-succinimidyl-3-(2-pyridyldithio)propionate (SPDP), and DL-dithiothreitol were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). The human lung cancer GLC-82 cell line was provided by the Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology of the Shanghai Institute of Biological Sciences at the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Construction of the 5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG (IFNγ) plasmid
The 796 bp human c-Fos gene promoter sequence, which contained the core promoter region, was synthesized by Yingrun Corporation (Changsha, People’s Republic of China). This sequence was inserted into the MluI-EcoRI site of the pYr-adshuttle-8 vector (Yingrun Corporation), replacing the CMV promoter, thereby creating the pYr-ads-8-cfosp vector.

The 5HRE (five copies of HREs) region was excised from the pUC57-5HRE plasmid (Yingrun Corporation) via digestion with NheI and BglII. Next, this region was inserted into the NheI–BglII site of pDONR223 to obtain pYr-ads-8-5HRE-cfosp recombinant plasmid. The IFNG (IFNγ) gene sequence was excised from the pDONR223-IFNG plasmid (Yingrun Corporation) and was subcloned into the pYr-ads-8-5HRE-cfosp plasmid to generate the pYr-ads-8-5HRE-cfosp-IFNG recombinant plasmid. The iNOS gene sequence was excised from the pYr-ads-1-iNOS vector (Yingrun Corporation) via digestion with XbaI and Sall. Then, this sequence was inserted into the XbaI-Sall site of the pYr-ads-8-5HRE-cfosp-IFNG plasmid to obtain
Preparation of gene-loaded MANS via the desolvation-cross-linking method

PEI-Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles (stored at our lab) and the pYr-ads-8-5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG or pYr-ads-8-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG plasmid diluted at the indicated mass ratios (30:1) were incubated for 30 minutes. Then, bovine serum albumin (100 mg) was added and the mixture was stirred. The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 9. Next, ethanol was added drop-wise to the solution at a rate of 1 mL/min, followed by the slow addition of 50 µL of 2.5% glutaraldehyde. After stirring for 24 hours at room temperature (RT), the solution was washed three times, and the organic solvent added during the preparation was removed via high-speed centrifugation to yield gene-loaded MANS. The gene-loaded MANS that were produced included HRE-IFNG-MANS and IFNG-MANS.

Preparation of gene-loaded IMANS using a heterobifunctional cross-linker

SPDP was used to couple nanospheres to the monoclonal antibody C225 according to the following protocol:

First, an adequate amount of C225 was dissolved in 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH = 7.4) and 20 mM SPDP. Ethanol was added to the solution at a molar ratio of 1:15. After 60 minutes, the mixture was dialyzed with acetate buffer at RT to remove excess SPDP. A sufficient amount of dithiothreitol was added to the pyridine dithiobis-conjugated monoclonal antibody (McAb-PDP-SH) solution obtained in the previous step, and the mixture was gently stirred for 30 minutes at RT. Then, the reactant was dialyzed with PBS to remove excess dithiothreitol and to obtain a thiol antibody solution.

Second, an appropriate amount of gene-loaded MANS was diluted in acetate buffer and 50 µL of ethanol solution containing 20 mM SPDP was added with stirring; this was then centrifuged to remove excess SPDP.

Third, the activated gene-loaded MANS and McAb-PDP-SH mixture was incubated and shaken at 4°C for 15 hours. After washing several times via centrifugation, gene-loaded IMANS were obtained. The gene-loaded IMANS that were produced included HRE-IFNG-IMANS and IFNG-IMANS.

Transmission electron microscopy and dynamic light scattering (DLS) were used to characterize the gene-loaded IMANS. To assess the stability of the gene-loaded IMANS, the hydrated size of the gene-loaded IMANS in PBS was analyzed by DLS within 24 hours, and the particle size was measured for 32 days with storage at 4°C and ambient humidity.

Assessment of the expression of IFNG via quantitative PCR

Cell culture

Human lung cancer GLC-82 cell lines were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and incubated in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37°C (normoxia). Hypoxia was induced by culturing the cells in an incubator containing 1% O₂, 5% CO₂, and 94% N₂ at 37°C.

Cell transfection with gene-loaded IMANS

GLC-82 cells were seeded in six-well plates at an initial density of 3×10⁵ cells/well in 2 mL of growth medium. After incubation for 24 hours and once the cells had reached 80% confluence, the medium was replaced with 1.5 mL of serum-free media and 500 µL of empty IMANS, IFNG-IMANS, or HRE-IFNG-IMANS, and the cells were incubated for an additional 4 hours. Then, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing serum. After incubation for 24 hours, the IFNG-transfected GLC-82 (GLC-82/IFNG) cells were exposed to 2 Gy of X-ray under an X-ray biological irradiator (RS2000Pro; Rad Source Technologies, Inc., Suwanee, GA, USA). The negative group used normal GLC-82 cells. Then, the cells were incubated for an additional 48 hours in a hypoxic environment. Then, total RNA was extracted from the GLC-82/IFNG cells. As a blank control, total RNA was extracted from untreated GLC-82 cells. GAPDH was used as an internal reference gene. Then, we quantitatively analyzed the gene expression levels of IFNg via quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). All reagents and materials required to extract RNA were RNase-free or were soaked in diethylyprocarbonate water. The following primer sequences were synthesized by Nan Jing Jin Sirui Company (Nanjing, Jiangsu, People’s Republic of China):

- Human GAPDH primer (132 bp)
  Sense primer: 5′-TGGTATCGTGGAAGGACTCA-3′
  Antisense primer: 5′-CCAGTAGGAGCGGATGAT-3′

- Human IFNγ primer (142 bp)
  Sense primer: 5′-AGATGACCAGACCTCAA-3′
  Antisense primer: 5′-TGGCGTTGGACATTCAAGT-3′
Evaluation of GLC-82 cell-specific cytotoxicity of gene-loaded IMANS (HRE-IFNG-IMANS) in vitro

GLC-82 cells (4×10⁴) were cultured in three 96-well plates. After incubation for 24 hours, the cells were subjected to six different conditions:

1. Negative control (1640 containing 10% fetal calf serum)
2. Free C225 treatment (C225 solution)
3. Radiotherapy (2 Gy X-ray)
4. Gene therapy combined with radiotherapy (HRE-IFNG-MANS+2 Gy X-ray)
5. Free C225 combined with radiotherapy (C225 solution+2 Gy X-ray)
6. Gene-loaded IMANS combined with radiotherapy (HRE-IFNG-IMANS+2 Gy X-ray).

After incubation for 24 hours, the 96-well culture plates in the radiotherapy groups were exposed to 2 Gy of X-ray radiation under an X-ray biological irradiator. All groups were further incubated. At 24, 48, and 72 hours, 10 μL of CCK8 solution was added to each well, and the cells were incubated at 37°C for 1–4 hours. The optical density (OD) at 450 nm was recorded using a spectrophotometer (Infinite 50; TECAN, Männedorf, Switzerland). The following formula was used to calculate the relative inhibition rate (RIR) of cell proliferation:

\[
RIR = \left(1 - \frac{\text{experimental group OD} - \text{blank control group OD}}{\text{negative control group OD} - \text{blank control group OD}}\right) \times 100% 
\]

Establishment of lung cancer xenografts in nude mice

Healthy 4-week-old female BALC/c nude mice weighing 18–20 g were used for these experiments. The animal experiments were approved by and performed in accordance with the institutional guidelines of the Animal Care Committee of Jiangsu Province. All mice were housed in the Sterile Barrier System of the Medical School, Southeast University, People’s Republic of China. Exponentially growing GLC-82 cells (2×10⁶) were subcutaneously injected into the limbs of the mice to establish lung cancer xenografts. All cell and animal studies were approved by the Ethics Committee of Southeast University, Nanjing, China.

Animal experiments

When the tumor diameter reached approximately 1 cm, the mice were divided into six groups of six mice each. These groups were consistent with the CCK8 experiment described earlier. The mice bearing xenografts were injected with normal saline (group 1), C225 solution (groups 2 and 5), HRE-IFNG-MANS (group 4), or HRE-IFNG-IMANS (group 6) via the tail vein. HRE-IFNG-MANS and HRE-IFNG-IMANS contained 10 μg of the pYr-ads-8-5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG plasmid. The tumors of groups 3, 4, 5, and 6 were exposed to 2 Gy of X-ray radiation under an X-ray biological irradiator every other day for a total of three sessions. The mice were killed after 5 weeks, and the mass and the volume of each tumor were measured. Tumor growth inhibition was evaluated by measuring the percentages of tumor mass and volume inhibition. Mass inhibition was calculated as (1−relative tumor mass ×100%), where the relative tumor mass is the mean tumor mass of the experimental group divided by the mean tumor mass of the control group. Similarly, volume inhibition was calculated as (1−relative tumor volume ×100%), where the relative tumor volume is the mean tumor volume of the experimental group divided by the mean tumor volume of the control group. After killing the mice, the tumor tissues were collected and fixed with 10% formaldehyde. Then, the tumor tissues were embedded in paraffin, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and observed via light microscopy.

Statistical analysis

The values are shown as mean ± standard deviation. The data were analyzed using SPSS 16.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A P-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Characterization of the p5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG plasmid

Theoretically, digestion of the p5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG construct with EcoRI would produce a small 900 bp fragment and a large 8.3 K fragment. As shown in Figure 1, agarose gel electrophoresis of p5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG digested with EcoRI clearly showed two bands that are approximately 900 bp and 8.3 K in size in lane 2; this result confirmed the production of the p5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG recombinant plasmid.

The corresponding sequencing file is pYr-5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG. ab1. The sequencing results were compared with the template sequence and this comparison (Figure 2) showed that the sequence was completely correct. Therefore, the pYr-5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG plasmid was successfully constructed.

Preparation and characterization of gene-loaded IMANS

Transmission electron microscopy analysis showed that the self-prepared gene-loaded IMANS were approximately
spherical and uniform in size. The magnetite materials with a high electron density were fully incorporated into the cores of ANS (Figure 3A). DLS showed that the hydrated volume size of the gene-loaded IMANS particles was 134.1 ± 2.8 nm and that the polydispersity index was 0.157 (Figure 3B[1]). The size measurement by number of gene-loaded IMANS was 149.7 ± 3.1 nm.

To assess the stability of the gene-loaded IMANS, the hydrated size of the gene-loaded IMANS was analyzed by DLS. The gene-loaded IMANS showed limited variation (<10%) in volume size and number size after 32 days of storage in PBS at 4°C, indicating excellent stability in aqueous medium.

**Transfection of the IFNG gene into GLC-82 cells using gene-loaded IMANS**

The mRNA level of IFNG in GLC-82 cells was assessed via qPCR. The GAPDH gene was used as an internal control to rule out any operational error in the PCR process and to calculate the relative expression level of IFNG. The first group was the negative control group; the second group was the empty IMANS group; the third group was the IFNG-IMANS group; and the fourth group was the HRE-IFNG-IMANS group.

---

**Figure 1** The pYr-SHRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG plasmid was identified via restriction enzyme digestion.

**Notes:** pSHRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG digested with EcoRI clearly showed two bands of ~900 bp and 8.3 K in size in lane 2. Lane 1, marker; lane 2, pYr-SHRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG plasmid digested with EcoRI.

**Abbreviations:** HRE, hypoxia-response element; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase.

---

**Figure 2** The sequencing results.

**Notes:** The sequencing results were compared with the template sequence, and this comparison showed that the sequence was completely correct. Sequence 0, SHRE-cfosp template sequence; sequence 1, sequence obtained from sequencing analysis. Comparison software used was Dnassit 2.0 (Dnassit, Imola, BO, Italy).

**Abbreviation:** HRE, hypoxia-response element.
As shown in Figure 4, compared with the control group, the HRE-IFNG-IMANS group and IFNG-IMANS group displayed significantly higher IFNγ expression. But the empty IMANS group did not display any IFNγ expression. In the hypoxic environment, the IFNγ expression level in the HRE-IFNG-IMANS group was significantly higher than that in the IFNG-IMANS group (P<0.05). These results suggested that the pYr-5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG plasmid was successfully transfected into GLC-82 cells using gene-loaded IMANS and that the transgene was stably expressed at the mRNA level.

Evaluation of the GLC-82 cell-specific cytotoxicity of gene-loaded IMANS (HRE-IFNG-IMANS) in vitro

The RIR of cell proliferation in the six groups after 24, 48, and 72 hours is shown in Figure 5. The RIR significantly differed between the experimental and negative control groups (P<0.05). Reduced cell proliferation was observed in every treatment group. Compared with the single therapy groups (groups 2 and 3) and the other two therapy groups.
(groups 4 and 5), the gene-loaded IMANS combined with radiotherapy group significantly further inhibited the proliferation of GLC-82 cells \( (P<0.05) \).

### Effect of gene-loaded IMANS combined with radiotherapy against lung cancer in vivo

As shown in Table 1, treatment with the gene-loaded IMANS composite nano-system combined with radiotherapy greatly inhibited the growth of tumors in nude mice, and this effect was much stronger than that of radiation alone, C225 alone, or any other combination therapy. The percentages of tumor volume inhibition and mass inhibition in group 6 were 85.72% and 82.54%, respectively; these values were significantly higher than the respective values of 34.33% and 36.51% in group 2, 40.89% and 42.06% in group 3, 65.64% and 64.29% in group 4, and 72.57% and 70.63% in group 5.

Histological analysis of the tumor tissues is shown in Figure 6. In the negative control group, the tumor cells were irregular and exhibited varying sizes, and the cell nuclei were large and hyperchromatic. Furthermore, several cells contained two or more nuclei. The tumor tissues of the treatment groups displayed different degrees of necrosis, and we observed the infiltration of inflammatory cells surrounding the areas of partial necrosis. Tumor necrosis was the most extensive in group 6 (the necrotic areas are stained pink), in which massive necrosis was observed as demonstrated by the disintegration of tumor cells and the disappearance of nuclei.

### Discussion

Few genes are both induced by radiation and perform antitumor functions. Radiation-induced genes, such as \( c-Fos \), \( c-Jun \), \( Egr-1 \), and \( NFκB \), have been demonstrated to play an important role in cell proliferation, apoptosis, and necrosis. The expression of these genes in cells increases in response to exposure to radiation. The \( c-Fos \) gene, a carcinoma-related gene that encodes a nuclear protein, is expressed in a variety of malignant tumors. Furthermore, the \( c-Fos \) gene is an immediate early gene that plays an important role in cell adaptation to stress-induced damage. The \( c-Fos \) gene promoter contains an SRE and other transcription-regulating sequences, which are radiation-induced sequences that induce the expression of downstream genes in response to stimulation with radiation. Antitumor genes that lack the ability to be induced by radiation can interact with the \( c-Fos \) gene to obtain radiation inducibility. In recent years, another radiation-induced promoter that has been investigated is Egr-1. Since Weichselbaum linked Egr-1 to the cDNA of TNFα and successfully induced the expression of TNFα by applying radiation to tumor cells, radiation-induced gene...
therapy has been used in the research of hepatoma, lung cancer, breast cancer, and other malignant tumors. Some scholars linked Egr-1 to a hematopoietic colony-stimulating factor and transferred the fused gene into hematopoietic cells to stimulate bone marrow hematopoiesis. As a result, bone marrow suppression was alleviated during the process of radiotherapy.  

Gene circuit technology provides a new strategy for radiotherapy and serves as an effective method to resolve the difficulties in gene therapy, such as the ineffectiveness and short duration of gene expression. In our study, we used the iNOS gene to construct the gene circuit because the expression product of iNOS is NO. NOS catalyzes the production of NO in the body from l-arginine. NO has been identified as an important second messenger with the following properties.  

1) NO is a fat-soluble small molecule in a gaseous state that can freely diffuse between cells.  
2) Because its half-life is short, its range of activity is limited and it rarely induces systemic reactions.  
3) NO can rapidly activate the c-Fos gene promoter via the cGMP/GK pathway to induce downstream gene expression. Worthington et al fused the radiation-induced WAF1 promoter to the iNOS gene and found that the expression of iNOS was clearly increased in response to irradiation. Subsequently, Worthington et al constructed the E9 promoter (containing nine CarG elements) and fused this promoter to the iNOS gene to form the pE9iNOS plasmid. As a result, iNOS expression and NO production were induced by irradiation; in turn, NO activated the E9 promoter. Therefore, a positive feedback gene circuit was formed.  

Some scholars have fused the iNOS gene to a radiation-induced promoter to form gene circuit, thereby increasing the duration and the abundance of gene expression. However, these studies did not solve the problem that the activity of the radiation-induced promoter is reduced in a hypoxic environment. The center of most solid tumors contains a hypoxic environment. In our study, we inserted an HRE upstream of the radiation-induced promoter to reduce the impact of hypoxia on this promoter, as our approach even enhanced the activity of the radiation-induced promoter. In the “Assessment of the expression of IFNG via quantitative-PCR” section, we measured the relative expression levels of IFNG following the transfection of the pYr-ads-8-5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG or pYr-ads-8-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG plasmid in a hypoxic environment to evaluate the potentiation of the c-Fos promoter mediated by the HRE. The results showed that the promoter fused to the HRE induced increased expression of IFNG.

Although gene therapy has considerable advantages, the disadvantages of gene transfer must be resolved to successfully implement comprehensive tumor gene therapy. Recently developed nanotechnology has provided a new strategy for resolving the difficulties in the use of gene transfer vectors. The use of nano-vectors for gene transfer has many advantages compared to traditional carriers, including the possibility of repeated injections, the opportunity to effectively extend the response time by slowly releasing the genes, the ability to maintain an effective concentration of the products, and the potential to improve the transfection efficiency and the bioavailability of the products. Many types of magnetic nanoparticles used as gene vectors were prepared in our lab and the transfection efficiency of these nanoparticles has been established.  

Molecular targeted therapy has entered a new era due to the development of molecular biotechnology and the further understanding of the cancer pathogenesis. The specific sites

Table 1 Volume and mass inhibition of lung cancer in nude mice after different treatments

| Group                                | Tumor volume (mm$^3$) ($X \pm S, n=5$) | Tumor mass (g) ($X \pm S, n=5$) | Volume inhibition (%) | Mass inhibition (%) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Negative control group               | 1,229.86 ± 30.40                      | 1,266 ± 0.023                  | -                     | -                  |
| Free C225 therapy group              | 807.63 ± 135.87                       | 0.80 ± 0.013                   | 34.33$^a$             | 36.51$^a$          |
| Radiotherapy group                   | 726.94 ± 157.63                       | 0.73 ± 0.031                   | 40.89$^b$             | 42.06$^b$          |
| Gene therapy combined with radiotherapy group | 422.56 ± 121.89                  | 0.45 ± 0.021                   | 65.64$^{a,b}$         | 64.29$^{a,b}$      |
| C225 combined with radiotherapy group | 337.41 ± 152.46                     | 0.37 ± 0.015                   | 72.57$^{a,b}$         | 70.63$^{a,b}$      |
| GIMANS combined with radiotherapy group | 175.65 ± 125.84                | 0.22 ± 0.025                   | 85.72$^{a,b,c}$       | 82.5$^{a,b,c}$     |

Notes: Treatment with the gene-loaded IMANS combined with radiotherapy greatly inhibited the growth of tumors in nude mice, and this effect was much stronger than that of radiation alone, C225 alone, or any other combination therapy. $^aP<0.05$ compared to the blank control group. $^bP<0.05$ compared to groups 2 and 3. $^cP<0.05$ compared to groups 4 and 5. These measurements were obtained after treatment for 5 weeks.

Abbreviations: GIMANS, gene-loaded immunomagnetic albumin nanospheres; C225, cetuximab; IMaNs, immunomagnetic albumin nanospheres; S, standard deviation.
that play a key role in cell growth and proliferation are referred to as target sites. The ideal target sites have high specificity, which indicates that the target sites exhibit little to no expression in normal tissues but display specific expression in tumor tissues. By acting on target sites, tumor cells can be specifically killed while avoiding injury to normal cells. EGFR is overexpressed in 40%–50% of NSCLC cases. Therefore, EGFR is an ideal target gene for lung cancer.

C225, a monoclonal antibody against EGFR, has been used with good curative effect in the clinic.

The therapeutic effect of many monoclonal anticancer antibodies, including C225, is dependent on the cytotoxic response of the immune reaction induced against cancer cells coated with antigen-bound antibodies. Thus, the important factors to be considered when fusing monoclonal antibodies to cytotoxic drugs or to the surface of nanospheres concern

---

**Figure 6** Histological examination of tumor tissues.

**Notes:** The tumor tissues of the treatment groups displayed different degrees of necrosis (red arrows indicate necrotic tumor tissues). Tumor necrosis was the most extensive in group 6 (the necrotic areas are stained pink). Images of tissues stained with hematoxylin and eosin were captured at 200× magnification. (Group 1) negative control, (Group 2) free C225 therapy, (Group 3) radiotherapy, (Group 4) gene therapy combined with radiotherapy, (Group 5) free C225 combined with radiotherapy, and (Group 6) gene-loaded IMANs combined with radiotherapy.

**Abbreviation:** C225, cetuximab.
the necessity of retaining the primary specific immunoreactivity of the monoclonal antibodies. We fused C225 to MANS to construct IMANS; then, we used IMANS for diagnosis or treatment. In these studies, we have evaluated the immunoreactivity of immunonanospheres and the results showed that C225 fused to the particles maintain their ability to react with IgG antiserum. Hence, in this study, we adopted this technology to construct gene-loaded IMANS, but we did not reassess the immunoreactivity of gene-loaded IMANS. The transmission electron microscopy images of nanospheres (Figure 3A) showed that the magnetic nanoparticles were successfully incorporated into the matrix of gene-loaded IMANS. This observation indicates that the method for the preparation of gene-loaded IMANS is feasible. We combined nanotechnology with molecular targeted and gene therapies to explore a new treatment model for lung cancer. In our study, in addition to the negative control group, five treatment groups were examined. We compared the difference between each group to verify whether our combination therapy was more effective than any other single treatment. Based on our results, our combination therapy was successful. As shown in Figure 5, we observed that the cytotoxicity to GLC-82 cells in groups 4 (gene therapy combined with radiotherapy) and 5 (C225 combined with radiotherapy) was higher than that in the single treatment groups (the free C225 therapy group and the radiotherapy group). Furthermore, group 6 displayed the highest cytotoxicity. The results of animal experiments followed the same trend. These results showed that our combination therapy was effective. However, many studies remain to be performed. For example, the antitumor mechanism of each treatment included in this combination therapy is uncertain. We will continue to perform more detailed and intensive studies in the future.

Conclusion
In this study, the 5HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG plasmid was successfully constructed and the expression of this plasmid was validated. Gene-loaded IMANS (C225/HRE-cfosp-iNOS-IFNG) were prepared and their antitumor effect was demonstrated in vitro and in vivo. The results showed that a combination therapy consisting of radiotherapy, gene therapy, and molecular targeted therapy displays good potential for the treatment of lung cancer.
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