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While polymorphism is a common phenomenon in the crystallization processes of organic compounds, polyamorphism has gained importance only recently. Using sophisticated sample environments and applying \textit{in situ} scattering methods and vibrational spectroscopy, the complete crystallization process of organic compounds from solution can be traced and characterized. Diffuse scattering from amorphous intermediates can be investigated by analyzing the atomic pair distribution function (PDF) to gain further insights into molecular pre-orientation. The crystallization behavior of paracetamol was studied exemplarily under defined, surface-free conditions. Based on the choice of the solvent, the formation of different polymorphs is promoted. The thermodynamically stable form I and the metastable orthorhombic form II could be isolated in pure form directly from solution. For both polymorphs, the crystallization from solution proceeds via a distinct amorphous precursor phase. PDF analyses of these different amorphous states indicate a specific pre-orientation of the analyte molecules introduced by the solvent. The resulting crystalline polymorph is already imprinted in these proto-crystalline precursors. Direct experimental evidence for the polyamorphism of paracetamol is provided.

Introduction

The crystallization of solids is of great importance in many geological, biological and industrial processes and has been investigated for centuries.\(^1\) Crystallization from solution is the most widely applied method for the isolation and purification of compounds in fine chemistry and pharmacy. Despite considerable efforts, the understanding of nucleation and crystal growth,\(^2\) and external influences on the crystallization progress is still limited. For organic compounds the propensity to form polymorphs, e.g. different crystalline structures of the same compound, is facilitated by weak directional and non-directional intermolecular interactions like hydrogen bonds, van der Waals interaction, or electrostatic dipole interactions.\(^3\)–\(^5\) For amorphous states, polyamorphism,\(^6\)–\(^8\) the existence of more than one amorphous phase, receives increasing attention. Polyamorphism was reported for ice,\(^9\) inorganic compounds,\(^10\)\(^,\)\(^11\) and alloys.\(^12\)\(^,\)\(^13\) A correlation between polymorphism and polyamorphism is postulated for organic compounds, assuming that each amorphous phase yields a specific crystalline form.\(^14\) A definition of polyamorphism given by Hancock \textit{et al.} states that the presence of true polyamorphism prerequises the existence, or usually coexistence, of two or more condensed amorphous phases separated by a clear phase transition.\(^15\) Since the different crystal structures of a given organic substances differ only slightly in their energies, minor changes of the experimental conditions like surfaces, temperature, or humidity can influence the crystallization pathway and the resulting product.

An understanding of the early stages of the crystallization is essential to control the resulting crystalline phase, size, and morphology. The early stages of the phase transformation process is difficult to observe on the atomic and meso scale.\(^16\)

Typically, the classical nucleation theory is used to describe the crystallization from solution. The classical nucleation process was found for numerous systems both in experiments and simulations.\(^17\)–\(^20\) Theoretical prediction by simulation has been increasingly applied as a valuable possibility to characterize organic crystal structures and their packing motifs.\(^21\) New crystal forms of 5-fluorocytosine were found using crystal energy landscape and taking into account the structurally characteristic hydrogen-bonding ribbon of 5-fluorocytosine.\(^22\) Further studies of hydrochlorothiazide with the same method showed different polymorphs with a variety of structural motifs.\(^23\) Theoretical predictions bear limitations regarding the intricacy of the molecular system and the variety of influencing factors on the crystallization process. Therefore, polymorph screening and experiments with sophisticated analytical techniques are still equally important as complementary methods.

Recent experimental observations indicate that both the aggregation of primary units such as pre-nucleation clusters or nanometric building blocks as well as amorphous precursors\(^24\)–\(^26\) can trigger nucleation and growth.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^27\)–\(^29\)
Further detailed insight into this topic and the crystallization pathways can be gained using *in situ* analytics allowing experiments with high time resolution. Time-resolved Raman microspectroscopy studies supported the finding of an unusually fast crystallization phenomenon of nifedipine as the reason for the deviation from classical diffusion theory. Seefeldt and co-workers studied the crystallization process and kinetics of carbamazepin–nicotinamide cocystals from the amorphous phase under isothermal conditions in separated *in situ* experiments. *In situ* hot-stage polarized microscopy, hot stage Raman microscopy, thermomicroscopy, calorimetry, and X-ray powder diffraction were applied to gain further insights into the crystallization process. These tools facilitated the identification of two different crystallization pathways proceeding via different intermediate states depending on the heating rate. The authors could show that the thermal history has a significant influence on the crystallization.

Studies of the complete crystallization process require analytical methods which are able to detect and characterize non-crystalline and crystalline states. The atomic pair distribution (PDF) analysis is capable of determining the local structure of non-crystalline materials. This method is typically applied for the structural characterization of glasses, liquids, nanocrystalline, and disordered compounds, whereas only few studies focus on crystalline and amorphous organic compound materials. From an experimental perspective, rapid acquisition PDF (RAPDF) measurements proved to be ideal for time-resolved and parametric measurements of local structure through phase transitions. Repeated investigations of the crystallization process under the same controlled experimental conditions and the suitable analytics, in the best case, *in situ* simultaneously applied methods, offer an excellent opportunity to gain a better understanding of this process.

In this study, we examine the crystallization process of paracetamol (acetaminophen) from solution by combined *in situ* Raman spectroscopy and *in situ* synchrotron X-ray diffraction. The crystallization conditions were defined using an acoustic levitator and an enveloping gas stream to control environment, temperature, and humidity. The crystallization progress triggered by the evaporation of the solvent was followed completely. The formation of amorphous intermediates (proto-crystalline precursors) which finally crystallized to either form I or form II was elucidated. Investigations of the PDF pattern allowed a detailed analysis of the polymorphism. Based on these data, it can be concluded, that the solvent triggers the pre-ordering of the amorphous precursor and determines the fate of the resulting crystal structure.

**Results and discussion**

Paracetamol was chosen as a model system for our studies. While three crystalline modifications of paracetamol are known to exist, only the structure of the thermodynamically stable monoclinic polymorph I and the metastable orthorhombic polymorph II are well examined. In a typical crystallization experiment 5 μL of a paracetamol solution was injected in an acoustic levitator. This device offers a surface-free sample handling, controlled temperature, and humidity (see Fig. 1). As a result of the solvent evaporation, the concentration of the analyte increased leading to a supersaturated solution. At this point, the crystallization of the analyte can be observed. The crystallization process was studied simultaneously using synchrotron XRD and Raman spectroscopy at a high time resolution (approx. 15 s per XRD pattern/Raman spectrum). These measurement conditions enable the detection and unambiguous assignment of phases (solution, amorphous, crystalline) and transformations arising during the crystallization from solution to the solid final form. In a second step, total scattering experiments were performed under the same experimental conditions to gain more information about the amorphous intermediates.

Repeated experiments using different solvents led to the crystallization of either the thermodynamically stable monoclinic form I or the metastable orthorhombic form II at room temperature. The purity of the crystalline products is documented by the X-ray patterns and the Raman spectra showing exclusively characteristic signals of one of the two polymorphs (see Fig. 2, bottom left and middle). Mixtures of both forms, I and II, were never obtained. In Table 1 the used solvents and obtained polymorphs are summarized. The formation of pure form I from solution and melt has been described in literature. The isolation of the metastable modification II from solution is difficult due to the instability and the fast transformation mechanism to the stable polymorph I. The growth of pure form II crystals was only possible from saturated solution and using vaccination crystals of form II. These experiments were conducted in glass containers. As a consequence low nucleus contamination of form I could still be traced, which accelerates the
conversion to form I. Fig. 2 shows two typical examples for the crystallization progress of the modification I from 1-propanol (see Fig. 2, top) and modification II from methanol (see Fig. 2, middle). Initially, the broad amorphous X-ray scattering of the solution with the solvents is detected (0.30 min and 0.15 min). The corresponding Raman spectra show the typical signals for 1-propanol and methanol. 1-Propanol can be identified by the methyl rocking and C–C stretching vibration at 859 cm$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 2, top, marked yellow). The methyl stretching bands for 1-propanol are at 2878 cm$^{-1}$ (symmetric) and 2964 cm$^{-1}$ (asymmetric); the corresponding vibrations for methanol can be found at 2835 cm$^{-1}$ and 2941 cm$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 2, middle, marked yellow). In comparing the positions of these Raman signals with the Raman spectra of pure 1-propanol and methanol only the

---

**Table 1** Summary of the crystallized polymorphs of paracetamol from the investigated solvents

| Solvents                                      | Observed polymorphs |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Acetic acid, acetone, acetonitrile, chloroform, ethanol, 1,4-dioxane, 1-propanol, 2-propanol, tetrahydrofuran 2-Butanone, ethyl acetate, methanol, water | Form I, form II      |

---

...
asymmetric methyl stretch band of methanol is shifted to larger wave number 2945 cm\(^{-1}\) (see Fig. 2, bottom right, enlargement). This fact indicates that the C−H bond strength of the methanol methyl group is weaker in solution with paracetamol molecules. With increased reaction time, the intensity of the signals attributed to the solvents decrease and the characteristic vibration signals of amorphous paracetamol at 1236 cm\(^{-1}\), 1280 cm\(^{-1}\), 1326 cm\(^{-1}\), 1376 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1618 cm\(^{-1}\) increase (10.00 min and 18.30 min).\(^{45}\) At this time, the X-ray patterns exhibit no reflexes. After the start of the crystallization, first reflexes of the polymorphs appear (10.20 min and 18.40 min) increasing in intensity. The polymorphs were identified based on their diffractograms and Raman spectra (see Table 2).

The comparison of the two progresses shows distinct similarities: after the solvent evaporates, an amorphous phase is formed from which the crystallization of the corresponding polymorph proceeds. Through analysis of the amorphous X-ray patterns arising after solvent evaporation displays a shift of 1° in 2\(^\theta\) of the diffuse scattering backgrounds (10.05 min and 18.25 min). The simultaneously recorded Raman spectra of the amorphous states (see Fig. 2, bottom right) show characteristic vibration signals with almost identical relative intensities and broad bands, based on the distribution range of bond lengths and bond angles within these disordered phases. Differences in band positions and relative intensities can be indicated from 2800 to 3100 cm\(^{-1}\). This range can be assigned to the symmetric and asymmetric vibrations of the methyl group and the C−H stretching vibrations of the phenyl ring. The characteristic symmetric methyl stretch vibration of the corresponding solvent with low intensity can be found in both Raman spectra. Small traces of solvent are detectable next to the amorphous phases. These residues vanish completely at the onset of the crystallization. Obviously, the two amorphous phases differ which is expressed in the minor differences in diffractograms and Raman spectra. Previous studies showed that the polymorphic structures of paracetamol are determined by the intramolecular hydrogen bonds of the hydroxyl and acetamido group.\(^{46}\) An influence of the hydrogen bond strength on the hydrogen bond of the hydroxyl group resulting in folded layers (form I) and flat layers (form II) is detected during the formation of polymorph II, a shift of 1.62 nm\(^{-1}\) (2.32° 20, Cu) to smaller \(q\) is detected during the formation of the amorphous phase. For the PDF analysis, scattering data up to \(q_{\text{max}} = 170\) nm\(^{-1}\) were used to generate the \(G(r)\) functions. The evaluation of the converted PDFs requires the differentiation between intra- and intermolecular atom−atom distances. We define the distances within a paracetamol molecule as \(i \leq 8\) Å, whereas \(j \geq 2.5\) Å describes the atom−atom distance between paracetamol molecules. The overlapping interval represents intra- and intermolecular distances (see Fig. 3, shaded in grey). The PDFs of the solution and the amorphous states lack significant intermediate range order. Therefore, the PDFs can be considered as PDFs of single molecules. During the evaporation of the solvent, the intramolecular distances of a paracetamol molecule remain unaltered, the analyses focus on changes in the range in which intra- and intermolecular distances co-occur. The polymorphic structures of paracetamol are characterized by hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl group and oxygen atom of the carbonyl group forming chains. These chains are bound by further hydrogen bonds between the N−H unit and the hydroxyl group resulting in folded layers (form I) and flat layers (form II).

The C\(\equiv\)O⋯O−H and H−O⋯N hydrogen bonds in the range of 2.65−2.93 Å lead to a pronounced peak in the PDF pattern (see Table 3). At higher distances the existence of

### Table 2 Assignment of the Raman modes for crystalline monoclinic form I and orthorhombic form II\(^{41}\)

| Assignment of the vibrations       | Raman bands of form I [cm\(^{-1}\)] | Raman bands of form II [cm\(^{-1}\)] |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| C−H bending (phenyl ring)          | 652                                 | 651                                 |
| C\(\equiv\)O bending               | 798                                 | 799                                 |
| Phenyl ring                        | 838, 1169, 1278, 1324, 1372          | 861, 1170, 1280, 1327, 1375          |
| O−H bending                        | 1611, 1618                          | 1609, 1624                          |
| C\(\equiv\)O stretching            | 1649                                | 1642, 1651                          |
| N−H bending                        | 1649                                | 1649                                |
| Symmetrical methyl stretching      | 2932                                | 2935                                |
these hydrogen bonds is additionally reflected in a distribution generated by the adjacent atoms to those involved in the hydrogen bonds (3.46–4.0 Å). When looking at the PDFs of the crystallization products of both processes (see Fig. 3, top right), two characteristic atom–atom distance peaks can be found in these areas. The enlargement reveals a slight peak shift of these peaks to smaller distances in the PDF of monoclinic form I (red) when comparing with the PDF of polymorph II (blue). This displacement reflects differences in the hydrogen bond strength and length between the polymorphic crystalline structures (see Table 3). Several diffraction and spectroscopic studies of crystalline paracetamol at low and high temperature confirm the described phenomenon by demonstrating larger strength of individual hydrogen bonds between hydroxyl and acetamido group in form I resulting in higher stability.41,48 The presence of long-range order in both crystalline forms is evident in the PDFs. The intensity decrease and the peak broadening of the patterns in both PDFs can be explained by the limited q-range used for the Fourier transformation and the low motion correlation with increasing distance. The PDFs in the early stages of crystallization process show atom distance distributions up to about 8 Å. In solution and in the amorphous stages distances within molecules and no long-range order can be detected. At the beginning of crystallization two significant peaks representing hydrogen bonds in the range of 2.65 to 2.93 Å and 3.46 to 4.0 Å have low intensities, which can be explained by the lack of hydrogen bonding network between analyte molecules. During the evaporation of the solvent, the intensity of these peaks increases indicating the formation of first

**Table 3** Characteristic D⋯A hydrogen bond distances between neighboring molecules in the polymorphic structures based on database entries HXACAN27 (form I) and HXACAN31 (form II)

| Intermolecular distances (D⋯A) | Form I [Å] | Form II [Å] |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| C=O⋯O–H                      | 2.65       | 2.71        |
| H–O⋯N                        | 2.90       | 2.93        |
| C=O⋯C–OH                     | 3.46       | 3.48        |
| O⋯C–O–H                      | 3.69       | 3.76        |
| HO–C⋯N                       | 3.73       | 4.00        |
structurally defining hydrogen bonds (after 5 min in 1-propanol or 1 min in methanol). An analysis of the PDFs shortly before the crystallization (5.15 min and 8.30 min) (see Fig. 3, left) suggests a similar distance distribution compared to the corresponding crystalline forms (see Fig. 3, insets top and bottom left). At this point, the characteristic hydrogen bonds between hydroxyl and acetamido group determining the paracetamol structure are formed. This is an indication that the nature of the solvent can influence the structure of the formed amorphous phase. The distribution shift of characteristic peaks to a lower range in the amorphous state of form I highlight the development of stronger and shorter hydrogen bonds between functional groups in contrast to form II. Closer examination of the PDFs in the overlapping intra- and intermolecular indicates major differences of peak positions and relative intensities in the region of 4–5.4 Å (see Fig. 3, marked yellow), reflecting differences in the arrangement of the amorphous phases (amorphous phase I and amorphous phase II). These differences occur prior to the crystallization of form I and form II.

Comparing the PDFs of the amorphous phases with the PDFs of the resulting crystalline products in the range of 4.0–5.4 Å following observations are important: i) the PDF of the amorphous form I directly before the crystallization and the PDF of the crystalline form I show a pronounced resemblance. A similar, but stronger resemblance can be observed for the PDFs of the amorphous form II and crystalline form II. ii) For both polymorphs, the key features of the final crystalline phase is already pre-ordered and imprinted in the amorphous (proto-crystalline) precursor. The crystallization of the metastable form II proceeds from an amorphous precursor in which the key structure motifs of the crystalline form II are already formed to a great extent facilitating the fast crystallization of form II. Summarizing, the crystallization studies of paracetamol from different solvents and under controlled temperature and humidity result in two different pathways which involve two different amorphous states (see Fig. 4).

Summary and conclusions

The influence of the solvent on the crystallization of different polymorphs of paracetamol was investigated in situ under controlled conditions minimizing further influencing factors. Based on the choice of the solvent, it was possible to crystallize selectively the monoclinic form I and the metastable form II.

Two different amorphous states were identified as structure defining precursors during the crystallization. The two amorphous states are discussed in terms of an intrinsic

---

**Fig. 4** Top: Overview of the crystallization of paracetamol from solution in different solvents and amorphous precursors during the crystallization of form I and form II. Bottom: Corresponding X-ray patterns of the different stages.
structure directly related to the crystalline polymorphs I and II. These proto-crystalline structures were investigated by atomic pair distribution function analysis. Obviously, different solvents govern the local order of the amorphous intermediates selectively. For the first time, direct evidence for the occurrence of polymorphism during the crystallization of an organic compound from solution could be derived.

We conclude that the crystallization process of different polymorphs I and II of paracetamol from solutions proceeds via amorphous states. It is central that two different amorphous precursor states are formed.

Our findings indicate the possibility to control the crystallization pathways for the selective isolation of a distinct crystalline structure.

Materials and methods

Materials
Paracetamol (≥99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich) was used without purification. Based on powder X-ray diffraction (Bruker AXS, D8 Discover, Cu Ka$_\alpha$ radiation) and Raman spectroscopy the compound was identified as the thermodynamically stable monoclinic form I. Paracetamol was dissolved in different organic solvents. Acetone (≥99.5%), ethanol (≥99.8%) and 2-propanol (≥99.7%) were purchased from Th. Geyer GmbH & Co. KG (Renningen, Germany), tetrahydrofuran (≥99.8%), 1,4-dioxane (≥99.5%), 1-propanol (≥99.5%), and acetic acid (100%) from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), ethyl acetate (≥99.5%), and 2-butanol (≥99.5%) from Fluka Chemie GmbH (Buchs, Switzerland), acetonitrile (≥99.8%) from J. T. Baker (Deventer, Netherlands), methanol (≥99.9%) from Carl Roth GmbH & Co. KG (Karlsruhe, Germany), and chloroform (≥99.0%) from AppliChem GmbH (Darmstadt, Germany).

Crystallization experiments

Solutions with half the saturation concentration of paracetamol in the corresponding solvent were prepared.$^{50}$ In a typical experiment, a droplet (5–7 μL) was positioned with an Eppendorf pipette in a custom-made acoustic levitator equipped with a climate control device.$^{51}$ This setup enables the investigation of crystallization processes without any influence of surfaces under controlled temperature and humidity.$^{25,52,53}$ The temperature was kept at 22.5 ± 0.5 °C and the humidity was reduced to 17.5 ± 2.5% RH. The crystallization was analyzed by synchrotron X-ray diffraction combined with Raman spectroscopy. Total scattering experiments for pair distribution analysis were collected for the crystal formation from methanol and 1-propanol solution.

Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectra were detected using a Raman RXN1™ Analyzer (Kaiser Optical Systems, Inc., Ecully, France) with NIR excitation radiation at 785 nm, equipped with a CCD camera (1024 × 256 pixels). A non-contact probe head (working distance 1.5 cm, spot size 1 mm $\varnothing$) was used for the experiments. Spectra were recorded with an irradiance of 6.6 W cm$^{-2}$ on the sample and an acquisition time of 1 × 8 s, resulting in a time resolution of 15 s.

Scattering experiments

XRD experiments were performed at the μSpot beamline (BESSY II, Helmholtz Centre Berlin for Materials and Energy). A beam diameter of 100 μm at a photon flux of $1 \times 10^9$ s$^{-1}$ and a ring current of 300 mA was used.$^{54}$ The beam wavelength was 1.000 Å. Diffraction patterns were collected with a MarMosaic CCD detector (3072 × 3072 pixels) and a time resolution of 15 s. The entries HXACAN27 and HXACAN31 of the Cambridge Structural Database were used for the assignment of the monoclinic modification I and orthorhombic II.

Total scattering data were performed at the beamline ID11 at the ESRF (European Synchrotron Radiation Facility) with a time resolution of 1 s and 10 s using PDF method for the acquisition. A Frelon CCD detector (47.2 × 47.2 pixels) located 85.8 mm behind the sample was used. A wavelength of 0.158154 Å and a beam size of 300 × 300 μm were used. The measurements were conducted with a data acquisition time of 10 s until the crystallization process was completed. All synchrotron 2D pattern are converted into the equivalent 1D profiles (intensity versus scattering vector $q$).$^{55}$

Pair distribution function (PDF) analyses based on experimental total scattering data were generated using PDFgetX3.$^{56}$ The Fourier transformation calculates $G(r)$,

$$G(r) = 4\pi[r\rho(r) - \rho_0]$$

$$G(r)=\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\infty Q[S(Q)-1] \sin(Qr)dQ,$$

where $\rho(r)$ is the microscopic pair density, $\rho_0$ is the average number density and $Q$ the momentum transfer. $S(Q)$ is the normalized structure function determined from the experimental diffraction intensity. Further information about the PDF method can be found in the literature.$^{49}$ For qualitative analyses the PDf$s$ were compared.
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