Motor outcomes of patients with a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct
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Research Highlights
(1) This study, for the first time, reported the motor outcomes of upper and lower extremities in patients with a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct.
(2) After receiving rehabilitation treatments for 3–6 months, about 70% of patients were able to walk independently, but no patient achieved functional hand recovery.
(3) Results from this study will provide supporting evidence for developing rational rehabilitation strategies and establishing proper goals for stroke patients.

Abstract
Detailed knowledge of motor outcomes enables to establish proper goals and rehabilitation strategies for stroke patients. Several previous studies have reported functional or motor outcomes in patients with a middle cerebral artery territory infarct. However, little is known about motor outcome in patients with a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct. In this study, we investigated the motor outcomes in 23 patients with a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct. All of these patients received comprehensive rehabilitative management, including movement therapy and neuromuscular electrical stimulation of the affected finger extensors and ankle dorsiflexors, for more than 3 months. Motor outcomes were measured at 6 months after stroke onset using the Medical Research Council, Motricity Index, the modified Brunnstrom Classification, and Functional Ambulation Category scores. The motor function of the lower extremities was found to be better than that of the upper extremities. After receiving rehabilitation treatments for 3–6 months, about 70% of these patients were able to walk independently (Functional Ambulation Category scores > 3), but no patient achieved functional hand recovery.
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INTRODUCTION

Middle cerebral artery territory infarction is the most common type of cerebral vascular territory infarct, and accounts for two-thirds of all cerebral infarcts[1]. Because the middle cerebral artery supplies the largest brain territory, middle cerebral artery territory infarcts are associated with many types of neurological deficits[2]. The middle cerebral artery territory comprises the corticospinal tract, which is responsible for fine motor activity of the hands, and the corticoreticulospinal tract, which is involved in postural control and locomotor function, and therefore, motor weakness is one of the most disabling sequelae of a middle cerebral artery infarct[1, 3–7]. Previous studies on complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct
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mainly focus on evaluating mortality rates and elucidating proper initial treatments\textsuperscript{[8–9]}. It has been reported that all patients show motor weakness during the acute stage following a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct\textsuperscript{[2]}. Detailed knowledge about motor outcomes enables to develop rehabilitative strategies and establish proper goals for stroke patients. Several studies have been reported regarding functional or motor outcomes in patients with a middle cerebral artery territory infarct\textsuperscript{[2, 10–13]}. However, little is known about motor outcomes in patients with a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct. In this study, we investigated motor outcomes and the clinical characteristics of motor deficits in patients with a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct.

**RESULTS**

**Medical Research Council (MRC) and Motricity Index (MI) scores**

At 6 months after the onset of complete middle cerebral artery territory infarction, all patients showed motor weakness in both the upper and lower extremities. Mean MRC score was highest for knee extensors, followed by hip flexors, elbow flexors, shoulder abductors, ankle dorsiflexors, finger flexors, and lastly finger extensors (Table 1). The mean MI score of lower extremities was significantly higher than that of upper extremities ($P = 0.014$).

**Modified Brunnstrom Classification (MBC) and Functional Ambulation Category (FAC) scores**

Mean MBC and FAC scores were $1.35 \pm 0.49$ and $2.74 \pm 0.96$, respectively (Table 1). All patients had a functional ability score of $< 3$ on the MBC, indicating that they were unable to move their fingers to a functional degree. Sixteen (70%) patients had an FAC score of $\geq 3$ (Table 2), indicating that they were capable of independent walking.

**DISCUSSION**

Some controversies exist concerning the definition of complete middle cerebral artery territory infarction, and currently three different definitions are applied, that is\textsuperscript{[2, 12, 14]}; > 75% involvement of the middle cerebral artery territory, > 90% involvement of the middle cerebral artery territory, and involvement of three middle cerebral artery territories, including superficial anterior, superficial posterior, and deep territories.

In this study, we considered involvement of all three middle cerebral artery territories as a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct. As a result, the clinical characteristics of patients, in terms of motor function and

| Index          | Score            |
|----------------|------------------|
| MRC Shoulder abductor | 1.09±1.00(0–4)   |
| Elbow flexor    | 1.35±1.15(0–4)   |
| Finger flexor   | 0.74±0.75(0–3)   |
| Finger extensor | 0.35±0.49(0–1)   |
| Hip flexor      | 2.30±1.18(0–4)   |
| Knee extensor   | 2.39±1.50(0–4)   |
| Ankle dorsiflexor | 1.07±1.47(0–4)   |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD (ranges). *P = 0.014, vs. upper extremity. Independent t-test was used to assess differences between the mean MI scores of upper and lower extremities. Medical Research Council (MRC) scores range 1–5 and higher scores indicate better motor function. Motricity Index (MI) scores (maximum 100) are derived by a modification of the MRC scale. The upper MI score was the average of the MI scores for shoulder flexor, elbow flexor, and prehension, and the lower MI score was the average of the MI scores for hip flexor, knee extensor, and ankle dorsiflexor. The total MI score is the average of the upper and lower MI scores. Functions of affected hands were categorized using the Modified Brunnstrom Classification (MBC; scores 1–6 and higher scores indicate better upper extremity function). Walking ability was assessed using Functional Ambulation Category (FAC; scores 0–5 and higher scores indicate better walking ability), which is based on levels of assistance required during a 15 meter walk.

| Score | Patient number (n) | Percentage (%) |
|-------|--------------------|----------------|
| MBC   |                    |                |
| 1     | 15                 | 65.2           |
| 2     | 8                  | 34.8           |
| 3     | 0                  | 0              |
| 4     | 0                  | 0              |
| 5     | 0                  | 0              |
| 6     | 0                  | 0              |
| FAC   |                    |                |
| 0     | 1                  | 4.3            |
| 1     | 1                  | 4.3            |
| 2     | 5                  | 21.7           |
| 3     | 12                 | 52.2           |
| 4     | 4                  | 17.4           |
| 5     | 0                  | 0              |

Functions of affected hands were categorized using the MBC and scored 1–6 (higher scores indicate better hand function). Walking ability was assessed using FAC (scores 0–5 and higher scores indicate better walking ability), which is based on levels of assistance required during a 15 meter walk.
Several studies have investigated the clinical outcomes of middle cerebral artery territory infarction\textsuperscript{[2, 10-13, 15-17]}. In 1998, Heinsius and colleagues\textsuperscript{[2]} reported functional outcomes at 1 month after onset in 208 patients with a large middle cerebral artery territory infarct (at least 2 out of 3 middle cerebral artery sub-territories were involved). One hundred and thirty-nine (66.8\%) patients presented severe disability or death, and 62 (86.2\%) of 72 patients with a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct (more than 90\% of the middle cerebral artery territory was involved) presented severe disability or death. Moreover, they found that 99\% of patients with a large middle cerebral artery territory infarct and 100\% of patients with a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct experienced motor deficits. In 1999, Miyai et al.\textsuperscript{[12]} compared characteristics of motor recovery according to the presence of premotor cortex lesions in 31 patients with a middle cerebral artery infarct, and found that patients with an intact premotor cortex showed better recovery in terms of mobility and motor function. In 2007, Ng et al.\textsuperscript{[13]} reported that functional outcomes, which were measured using the Functional Independence Measure at 1 month after onset, were poorest in patients with a middle cerebral artery infarct among 2 213 patients with different types of vascular territory infarcts. In 2009, Goto et al.\textsuperscript{[11]} demonstrated a negative correlation between infarct size and functional locomotion at 6 months after onset in 247 patients with a middle cerebral artery territory infarct. Recently, Balaban et al.\textsuperscript{[10]} evaluated functional outcomes using the Functional Independence Measure and Bathel Index at 6 months after stroke onset in 80 patients with a middle cerebral artery infarct and found that age was negatively correlated with functional outcome. As we described above, the majority of studies have focused on functional outcomes and relatively few have reported on a part of motor outcomes\textsuperscript{[2, 10-13]}. We believe that this is the first study to report detailed motor outcome data for patients with a complete middle cerebral artery infarct. However, direct comparisons between our results and those of previous studies are not possible because different assessment scales and inclusion criteria were used.

In conclusion, we investigated the motor outcomes of complete middle cerebral artery territory infarcts and found that motor function of the affected lower extremity is better than that of the affected upper extremity. As a result, about 70\% of our patients were able to walk independently, but no patient achieved functional hand recovery. This study is limited to relatively small number of subjects used.

Moreover, because over half of the patients in this study received initial treatment for cerebral infarct in other hospitals, we were not able to show more detailed information about these patients, like infarct etiology, initial treatment, and initial states of patients. Therefore, further studies are required to compensate for these limitations. Nonetheless, we believe that this study provides useful information for clinicians regarding patients with a complete middle cerebral artery infarct.

\section*{Subjects and Methods}

\subsection*{Design}
A retrospective study.

\subsection*{Time and setting}
This study was performed at the Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Yeungnam University Hospital, Republic of Korea from March 2004 to February 2012.

\subsection*{Subjects}
This study was performed retrospectively among patients admitted for rehabilitation at Yeungnam University hospital. Twenty-three patients, 16 males and 7 females, aged 58.9 ± 11.3 (range, 40–74) years, were admitted to the Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation at Yeungnam University hospital for rehabilitation treatments.
and recruited in this study. Fourteen patients had right hemisphere infarction. The inclusion criteria included (1) first-ever stroke; (2) aged 20–75 years; and (3) a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct (superficial anterior, superficial posterior, and deep territories were involved)\(^\text{[12]}\). Patients with additional involvement of the anterior cerebral artery and/or posterior cerebral artery territories were excluded. Of these 23 patients, 10 underwent initial treatment for cerebral infarction at Department of Neurology in our hospital, and were then transferred to Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. The remainders received initial treatment at Department of Neurology in other hospitals, and were then transferred to our hospital for rehabilitation. The mean Barthel Index score of the patients was 49.5 ± 29.9\(^\text{[25]}\). The Mini-Mental State Examination (23.0 ± 8.3) and the Motor-Free Visual Perception Test (19.5 ± 8.5) were performed in 18 of the 23 patients\(^\text{[26-27]}\).

**Methods**

**MRI protocol**

MRI information was obtained within 1 week of onset (Figure 1). MRI was performed using a sensitivity-encoding head coil on a 1.5-T Philips Gyroscan Intera (Hoffman-LaRoche, Ltd., Best, the Netherlands) with single-shot echo-planar imaging and navigator echo. Diffusion-weighted MR images (matrix = 176 × 172, field of view = 220 × 220 mm\(^2\), echo time = 83.3 ms, repetition time = 3 431.0 ms, \(b\) = 1 000 mm\(^2\)/s, number of excitations = 4.0, with a 5 mm slice thickness) and T2-weighted MR images (matrix = 300 × 250, field of view = 210 × 210 mm\(^2\), echo time = 100.0 ms, repetition time = 4 202.0 ms, number of excitations = 3.0, with a 5.0 mm slice thickness) were acquired parallel to the bicommissure line of the anterior commissure-posterior commissure.

**Clinical evaluations**

Motor recovery usually reaches a plateau at 6 months after stroke\(^\text{[28-29]}\); thus, we evaluated motor outcome at or around 6 months after onset. Motor outcomes were evaluated by physiatrists using the MRC, MI, MBC, and FAC scores. MRC scores were determined as follows: 0, no contraction; 1, palpable contraction but no visible movement; 2, movement without gravity; 3, movement against gravity; 4, movement against a resistance lower than the resistance overcome by the healthy side; and 5, movement against a resistance equal to the maximum resistance overcome by the healthy side. MI scores (maximum score 100) are derived using a modification of the MRC scale. The MI score, except for prehension, is as follows: 0; no movement, 28; palpable contraction, but no movement, 42; movement, but not full range or against gravity, 56; movement, full range against gravity, not against resistance, 74; movement against resistance, weaker than the contralateral side, 100; normal strength. The MI score for prehension is as follows: 0; no movement, 33; beginning of prehension, 56; grips cube, without gravity, 65; holds cube, against gravity, 77; grips against pull, but weaker than the other side, 100; normal. The upper MI score is the average of the MI scores for shoulder flexor, elbow flexor, and prehension, and the lower MI score is the average of the MI scores for hip flexor, knee extensor, and ankle dorsiflexor. The total MI score is the average of the upper and lower MI score.

![Figure 1](image_url) Diffusion-weighted (upper panel) and T2-weighted (lower panel) magnetic resonance images of a 55-year-old male patient with a complete middle cerebral artery territory infarct (blue arrows).
Functions of affected hands were categorized using the MBC and scored as follows: 1, unable to move fingers voluntarily; 2, able to move fingers voluntarily; 3, able to close the affected hand voluntarily, but unable to open the hand; 4, able to grasp a card between thumb and the medial side of the index finger, and able to extend fingers slightly; 5, able to pick up and hold a glass and extend fingers; and 6, able to catch and throw a ball in a near-normal fashion, and able to button and unbutton a shirt. Walking ability was assessed using FAC, which is based on levels of assistance required during a 15 meter walk. The six categories are described as follows: 0, non-ambulatory; 1, a need for continuous support from one person; 2, a need for intermittent support from one person; 3, a requirement for verbal supervision only; 4, help required on stairs and uneven surfaces; and 5, able to walk independently anywhere. The reliabilities and validities of the MRC, MI, MBC, and FAC have been well established[14-20].

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using SPSS15.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Independent t-test was used to assess differences between mean MI scores of the upper and lower extremities. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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