Distinct aspects of frontal lobe structure mediate age-related differences in fluid intelligence and multitasking
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Ageing is characterized by declines on a variety of cognitive measures. These declines are often attributed to a general, unitary underlying cause, such as a reduction in executive function owing to atrophy of the prefrontal cortex. However, age-related changes are likely multifactorial, and the relationship between neural changes and cognitive measures is not well-understood. Here we address this in a large (N = 567), population-based sample drawn from the Cambridge Centre for Ageing and Neuroscience (Cam-CAN) data. We relate fluid intelligence and multitasking to multiple brain measures, including grey matter in various prefrontal regions and white matter integrity connecting those regions. We show that multitasking and fluid intelligence are separable cognitive abilities, with differential sensitivities to age, which are mediated by distinct neural subsystems that show different prediction in older versus younger individuals. These results suggest that prefrontal ageing is a manifold process demanding multifaceted models of neurocognitive ageing.
Age-related changes are widespread, but not homogeneous in terms of cognitive function or brain substrates. Executive functions and frontal brain regions, for example, show disproportionately strong age-related declines\(^1,2\) compared to other cognitive functions. These declines have far-reaching consequences for successful day-to-day functioning in old age\(^3\). This is concerning, given that a rapidly ageing population in western countries (a 50% increase in over 65’s expected by 2035 (ref. 4)) engenders an increasing demand for high-functioning older adults. Together, these issues present an urgent challenge for the cognitive neuroscience of ageing: how exactly do higher cognitive functions differ across the lifespan, and how are they related to concurrent neural changes?

Prominent theories of age-related cognitive decline include models that focus on a single cause or factor that is posited to influence a wide range of cognitive functions. Many one-factor models of aging suggest that declines in cognition represent a continuum, where the pathological neurocognitive extremes (for example, Alzheimer’s disease) represent the ends of that continuum\(^5\). This unitary view has often focused on a set of complex cognitive operations grouped under the label of executive functions. Although a precise definition has proved elusive, executive functions are commonly considered to include abilities such as ‘choosing and initiating goal-directed behaviours’, ‘set shifting’ and ‘cognitive flexibility’\(^2\), all of which show steep age-related declines relative to other cognitive functions. Concurrent decline in grey matter (GM)\(^1\) and white matter integrity (WMI)\(^6\) of the frontal lobe has been observed in longitudinal samples, leading to an influential theory of ageing known as the frontal lobe hypothesis\(^7,8\), in which declines in executive functions are attributed to age-related changes in the frontal lobe. However, the precise nature of age-related changes within the frontal lobe, such as the connection between GM and WM changes, remains unclear. This issue is key, as improved understanding of the effects of frontal atrophy on cognitive performance is necessary to develop age-related interventions that may preserve executive function into old age.

In this paper, we estimate the unique contributions of age-related differences in frontal GM and WMI structures for two executive functions: fluid intelligence and multitasking. Fluid intelligence has been defined as the ability to think logically and solve problems in the absence of task-specific knowledge or experience\(^9\). Fluid intelligence lies at the core of psychometric analyses of intelligence\(^9\) and predicts real world outcomes including life expectancy, expected income and work performance\(^10\). Moreover, fluid intelligence correlates highly with tests that assess successful day-to-day functioning in society such as the Basic Skills Test\(^11\), even across intervals of several years\(^3\). Multitasking, on the other hand, is the general ability to perform several tasks simultaneously without adverse effects on performance. Lower multitasking ability is associated with a history of other age-related challenges such as recurrent falls\(^12\) and possibly underlies age-related problems on other cognitive abilities such as working memory\(^13\).

More generally, behavioural tests of executive functions are likely to share certain cognitive components, and are generally positively correlated\(^14\). They therefore represent a valuable avenue of insight into models of age-related changes in executive function: They allow for comparison of single versus multifactorial models, and an examination of distinct contributions of different neural properties of the frontal lobe. Our goal here is not to exhaustively cover the domain of executive functions, but instead to focus on two specific tests that are likely to measure dissociable executive functions (fluid intelligence and multi-tasking)—to put to test the prevalent unidimensional theories of executive function in ageing.

More specifically, our goals are to examine whether age-related differences in these executive functions are unitary or multifactorial; whether the dependence on frontal lobe differences is global or specific and to what extent neural differences explain age-related differences in these cognitive factors. In a large \(N = 567\) age-heterogeneous population-based sample from the Cambridge Centre for Ageing and Neuroscience (Cam-CAN), we use (confirmatory) structural equation modelling (SEM), a powerful multivariate technique that fits observed covariances between variables\(^15\), and allows for the joint testing of several \(a\ priori\) predictions. Structural equation modelling has been used to model age-related differences in cognition, and is increasingly applied to capture cognitive and neural differences across lifetime\(^16\)—22. Using these techniques, we show how age-related differences in fluid intelligence and multitasking are related to distinct measures of frontal lobe integrity.

The challenge in any neuroscientific study of ageing is how to best assess and interpret age-related differences\(^23\). As extant models of ageing rely largely on age-heterogeneous cross-sectional data, it is important to keep in mind that such studies likely reflect a combination of intra-individual decline and inter-individual cohort effects (for example, year of birth). Methodological approaches have focused on the challenges for neurocognitive ageing studies. First, the relationship between brain and behaviour should be significant when age is taken into account, to ensure that the cross-sectional correlation is not merely due to co-occurrence of decline\(^31\). Second, the age-cognition relationship should be attenuated when age-associated neural differences are taken into account\(^19\). Finally, to establish if there is a difference in the brain–behaviour relationship for young and old, this difference should be formally tested, as opposed to comparing significant versus non-significant relationships in the young and old. We will show that the data reported here satisfy all three criteria.

We focus on four neural properties selected based on the current literature—(see Methods), two involving grey matter volume (GMV; Brodmann Area 10 (BA10) and the Multiple Demand (MD) System), and two involving WMI (the Forceps Minor (FM) and the Anterior Thalamic Radiations (ATR)). Fitting a series of structural equation models, we show that multitasking and fluid intelligence are distinct cognitive abilities that show diverging age-related differences, and are mediated by distinct neural subsystems and show differential brain–behaviour patterns in older versus younger individuals. These results show that the relation between age-related differences in executive function and lifetime changes in neural structure is multidimensional. Principled application of formal statistical models will further increase understanding of these processes.

Results

Competing factor models of brain and behavioural differences.

Following best practice in SEM\(^22\), we first report our measurement model on the full sample of \(N = 567\) (for further details on the sample and model fitting, see Methods). For this model, we hypothesize that two latent variables (fluid intelligence and multitasking; tasks shown in Fig. 1, see Methods for more detail) capture the covariance between the six behavioural variables described in the Methods section, freely estimating every factor
loading. This model fits the data well, $\chi^2 = 15.40$, degrees of freedom (df) = 8, $P = 0.052$, root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) = 0.04 (0.00–0.070), comparative fit index (CFI) = 0.993, standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) = 0.023, Satorra–Bentler scaling factor = 1.009. As the two latent factors are positively correlated (standardized estimate = 0.325, $Z = 6.17$, $P < 0.001$), we can ask whether a more parsimonious model with only a single cognitive factor (for example, ‘executive function’) shows better fit. Such a model would be compatible with a unitary perspective on the age-related decline of higher cognitive function. However, this one-factor model fits very poorly: $\chi^2 = 334.149$, df = 9, $P < 0.00001$, RMSEA = 0.252 (0.231–0.275), CFI = 0.685, SRMR = 0.121, Satorra–Bentler scaling factor = 1.109, significantly worse than the two-factor model ($\chi^2_{\text{diff}} = 46.224$, df$_{\text{diff}}$ = 1, $P < 0.00001$). One additional alternative, a hierarchical model with a third, higher-order latent variable, fits the data equivalently to the single-factor model above, but has one extra parameter, so the more parsimonious model is preferred ($\text{AIC}_{\text{diff}} = 2$).

Together these findings suggest that multitasking and fluid intelligence are separable cognitive factors. We subsequently estimate the impact of age on both cognitive factors. Figure 2 shows that although both factors differ significantly with age, scores on the latent variable of fluid intelligence decline significantly more quickly ($r(565) = −0.67$, $P < 0.00001$, 95% confidence interval (CI): $−0.71$ to $−0.61$) than scores on multitasking ($r(565) = −0.29$, $P < 0.00001$, 95% CI: $−0.35$ to $−0.20$): William’s test for dependent correlations sharing a variable: $t(564) = 10.66$, $P < 0.00001$.

There is a small but significant increase in inter-individual variability with age on both latent variables (age and fluid intelligence: Breusch–Pagan test $\chi^2 = 15.4876$, df = 1, $P < 0.001$; age and multitasking: Breusch–Pagan test $\chi^2 = 17.0027$, df = 1, $P < 0.00001$). To ensure that the significant increase in residuals does not affect our estimates of age-related decline in fluid intelligence and multitasking, we estimate both a traditional parametric regression and a heteroscedasticity-consistent robust sandwich estimator. As can be seen in Table 1, the parameter estimates and s.e. are virtually identical, suggesting no adverse effects of the heteroscedastic residuals.

Having established the proper fit of our measurement model, we then relate these factors to the four neural variables based on the literature (see Methods for more details). These four neural variables are GMV in the frontopolar cortex (BA10), GMV in the MD system, WMI of the FM and WMI of the ATR, as shown in Fig. 3.

Next, we fit the full model relating behavioural variables to the brain variables (for the full covariance matrix, see Supplementary Table 1) using a type of SEM called a Multiple Indicators, Multiple Causes model (MIMIC model$^{33,34}$, see Methods for further details). This model captures the hypothesis that individual differences in the two cognitive factors are causally dependent on the combination of neural properties in the prefrontal cortex (PFC). The full model, shown in Fig. 4, fits the data well: $\chi^2 = 52.912$, df = 24, $P = 0.001$, RMSEA = 0.046 (0.029–0.063), CFI = 0.979, SRMR = 0.029, Satorra–Bentler scaling factor = 1.028. The good fit of the full model allows us to further investigate the relations between the cognitive factors and the neural variables.

First and foremost, the model shows clear diverging predictions within PFC: GMV in BA10 and WMI of the FM strongly
predict individual differences in fluid intelligence but not in multitasking, whereas WMI of the ATR predicts performance on multitasking, but not fluid intelligence. Contrary to our expectations, GMV in the MD system does not predict fluid intelligence above and beyond GMV of BA10. We formally test the equality of the neural parameters using a $\chi^2$-test between the fit of the full model and that of another model where the brain-behaviour parameters are constrained to be equal for fluid intelligence and multitasking. This comparison shows that the model in which the brain parameters are freely estimated fits the data significantly better than the model in which they are constrained to be equal ($\chi^2_{\text{diff}} = 79.914$, $df = 4$, $P < 0.0001$), suggesting that specific prefrontal brain properties have different impacts on the cognitive factors.

To further quantify these relationships, we regress the behavioural factor scores on the respective neural variables. This shows that BA10 and FM together strongly predict individual differences in fluid intelligence: adjusted $R^2 = 0.471$, $F(2, 564) = 253.4$, $P < 0.00001$. The relationship between multitasking and ATR is less strong but also pronounced, adjusted $R^2 = 0.074$, $F(1, 546) = 46.21$, $P < 0.00001$. To test the specificity of the four neural variables shown in the model in Fig. 4, we fit an additional model shown in Supplementary Materials (Supplementary Fig. 1). For this analysis, we include two additional control measures (GMV in the temporal pole and WMI in the forceps major).

Figure 3 | Four frontal regions-of-interest. The four neural structures-of-interest: (a) Brodmann Area 10 (BA10), (b) the Multiple Demand (MD) system, (c) the Forceps Minor (FM), passing through the genu of the corpus callosum, (d) the Anterior Thalamic Radiations (ATR) and (e) all four structures superimposed.

Figure 4 | Full MIMIC model relating four frontal brain variables to fluid intelligence and multitasking. Significant brain-behaviour parameters are shown in green solid lines. All parameter estimates shown are fully standardized. This model fits the data well, $\chi^2 = 52.912$, $df = 24$, $P = 0.001$, RMSEA = 0.046 (0.029-0.063), CFI = 0.979, SRMR = 0.029, Satorra-Bentler scaling factor = 1.028. C1–C4 refer to the four sub-scores on the Cattell test of fluid intelligence; TTM (total time misallocated) and #tasks (number of tasks attempted) are two indices of performance on Hotel test of multitasking. *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.001$. These $P$-values are based on a $z$-test statistic derived from a Maximum Likelihood Structural Equation Model using robust standard errors and a Satorra-Bentler scaled test statistic. See ref. 67 for further details.

Figure 5 | Frontal lobe model. This model represents the hypothesis that age-related individual differences in frontal lobe structure can be captured by a single factor, representing overall PFC integrity. This model fits the data poorly, $\chi^2 = 670.11$, $df = 32$, $P < 0.00001$, RMSEA = 0.188 (0.176-0.200), CFI = 0.728, SRMR = 0.158, Satorra-Bentler scaling factor = 1.05).
this global PFC model shows poor fit, $\chi^2 = 670.11$, $df = 32$, $P < 0.00001$, RMSEA = 0.188 (0.176–0.200), CFI = 0.728, SRMR = 0.158, Satorra–Bentler scaling factor = 1.050, suggesting that structural brain properties of the PFC cannot be captured by a single factor.

We fit a second control model, where the two types of neural property—grey matter (GMV) and white matter (WMI)—are separate latent variables, each of which affects fluid intelligence and multitasking. This ‘neural latent variable’ model, shown in Supplementary Fig. 2, fits the data better than the frontal lobe and multitasking. This ‘neural latent variable’ model, shown in separate latent variables, each of which affects fluid intelligence property—grey matter (GMV) and white matter (WMI)—are known to predict important general aspects of aging16, they may miss more fine-grained details in the specific brain regions/tracts involved. This differentiation within the PFC is further exemplified by closer inspection of age-related differences in each of the neural variables, as can be seen in Fig. 6. The GM variables MD and BA10 show similar age-related differences (age and BA10: $r(565) = –0.20$, $P < 0.0001$, 95% CI: (–0.28, –0.12), MD and age: $r(565) = –0.18$, $P < 0.0001$, 95% CI: (–0.26, –0.10)), William’s test for dependent correlations sharing a variable: ($t(564) = 11.84$, $P < 0.00001$), and WMI in ATR ($r(565) = –0.77$, $P < 0.00001$, 95% CI: (–0.80, –0.73)) than WMI in FM ($r(565) = –0.51$, $P < 0.00001$, 95% CI: (–0.57, –0.44)), William’s test for dependent correlations sharing a variable: ($t(564) = 13.42$, $P < 0.00001$). Together, these results show that there is clear differential ageing within PFC, and that this has different consequences for specific cognitive factors.

**Mediation of age-related differences in cognition.** Having shown that individual differences in fluid intelligence and multitasking can be predicted by specific brain measures, we next examine whether age-related differences in these measures might explain concurrent age-related differences in the two cognitive factors. To this end, we use mediation analysis, commonly used to study neurocognitive ageing19. Mediation models hypothesize three paths, a, b and c, between three variables-of-interest, and that taking into account the indirect paths (a and b) should attenuate the key relationship (c, in this case the relationship between age and cognition). We fit mediation models within a SEM framework that simultaneously models all paths, giving more powerful, accurate and robust estimation of mediation effects25 than more traditional tests based on sequential regressions. As noted above, we here model age-related differences in brain structure as a partial cause of the concurrent age-related differences in the two cognitive dimensions, which is not identical to observing concurrent age-related decline (rates of change). To ensure that possible mediation effects are not solely due to concurrent age-related decline of brain and behaviour (which may create artificial patterns of mediation31,36), we first examine the partial correlations between brain and behaviour after controlling for
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**Figure 6 | Differential prefrontal ageing.** Plots show differential ageing patterns of the four PFC structures-of-interest. Forceps minor shows greatest age-related differences, followed by the anterior thalamic radiations. The two GMV regions-of-interest show equal age-related differences. This pattern further supports differentiation within the PFC.
The attenuation of the age-related differences in multitasking when taking into account WM in the ATR, is shown in Fig. 7b. It too fits the data well ($\chi^2 = 0.515$, df = 1, $P > 0.47$, RMSEA = 0.0 (0.0–0.0), CFI = 1, SRMR = 0.003, Satorra–Bentler scaling factor = 0.94) demonstrating that age-related differences in multitasking ability ($c_{\text{age > multitasking}} = -0.189$, $Z = -3.49$, $P < 0.001$) are mediated by WM of the ATR ($c_{\text{ATR > multitasking}} = -0.51$, $Z = -13.00$, $P < 0.0001$, $b_{\text{ATR > multitasking}} = 0.155$, $Z = 2.73$, $P < 0.01$, $ab_{\text{mediation}} = -0.079$, $Z = -2.63$, $P < 0.01$), with an effect size of 29.4% variance explained, corresponding to a large mediation effect. Again, the significant b-path in this mediation model indicates an age-independent role for the ATR in supporting multitasking performance. For both fluid intelligence and multitasking, the brain measures-of-interest mediate the age-related differences in cognition. However, the mediation effects are partial, as is the case in almost all well-powered mediation analyses. The residual age-related differences in the two factors may be explained by additional brain measures, or other types of (neural, genetic or environmental) variables.

Multigroup neural models. Finally, we explore whether the relationship between brain and behavioural factors is identical among the youngest and oldest in our sample. To do this, we create a ‘young’ and ‘old’ subset, balancing the conflicting goals of large samples for accurate estimation with maximal age-differences across groups. We implement a common guideline of having 10 participants per free parameter estimated in the model. This yields 210 young ($M = 34.76$, s.d. = 7.35) and 210 old ($M = 74.16$, s.d. = 6.48) participants with an equal age-range (Levene’s test for homogeneity of variance, $P > 0.05$) for the model shown in Fig. 4. First, we fit the full model without any constraints, showing it fit this data well ($\chi^2 = 65.222$, 4 degrees of freedom, $P = 0.0001$). This model shows an age-independent role for the ATR in multitasking ($c_{\text{ATR > multitasking}} = 0.199$, $Z = -2.29$, $P < 0.01$, $b_{\text{ATR > multitasking}} = 0.155$, $Z = 2.73$, $P < 0.01$, $ab_{\text{mediation}} = -0.079$, $Z = -2.63$, $P < 0.01$), with an effect size of 29.4% variance explained, corresponding to a large mediation effect. Again, the significant b-path in this mediation model indicates an age-independent role for the ATR in supporting multitasking performance. For both fluid intelligence and multitasking, the brain measures-of-interest mediate the age-related differences in cognition. However, the mediation effects are partial, as is the case in almost all well-powered mediation analyses. The residual age-related differences in the two factors may be explained by additional brain measures, or other types of (neural, genetic or environmental) variables.

![Figure 7](image_url) | Mediation models. (a) Mediation model for fluid intelligence. (b) Mediation model for multitasking. In both models, the brain structures-of-interest significantly mediate the age-related differences in cognitive abilities. $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, $^{***}P < 0.001$. These $P$-values are based on a z-test statistic derived from a Maximum Likelihood Structural Equation Model using robust standard errors and a Satorra–Bentler scaled test statistic. See ref. 67 for further details.
df = 48, $P = 0.05$, RMSEA = 0.041 ($0.003–0.065$), CFI = 0.974, SRMR = 0.038, Satorra–Bentler scaling factor = 1.009. We then fit the model for both young and old by separately, imposing equality constraints on both (i) the behavioural factor loadings to ensure measurement invariance and (ii) the brain–behaviour relationships (but allowing the means to differ). Next, we use modification indices to ask the following question: which brain–behaviour relationships, if any, would significantly improve model fit if we were to allow them to differ in young and old? This comparison showed that two fixed parameters in the constrained model would significantly improve model fit if estimated freely. Specifically, the influence of both ATR ($\chi^2_{\text{diff}}$ = 6.044, $df$ = 1, $P < 0.05$) and FM ($\chi^2_{\text{diff}}$ = 5.84, $df$ = 1, $P < 0.05$) on multitasking is more positive in the older group when estimated freely. Notably, no such difference was observed for GMV. However, we note that although the age variance in both groups is equal, the variance in behaviour (Levene’s test for homogeneity of variance $P < 0.0001$ for both fluid intelligence and multitasking) and WMI (Levene’s test for homogeneity of variance $< 0.0001$ for both ATR and FM), but not in GMV, also increases significantly in the elderly (see Raz and Lindenberger25 for a discussion of the importance of increasing variance with age). This leaves open the possibility that the greater importance of ATR is due to greater variance to be explained, reorganization or a combination of both.

**Discussion**

A key question in the cognitive neuroscience of aging is whether aging represents a single- or multi-factorial process. Our findings show that even for two relatively similar executive functions and four exclusively frontal brain measures, unifactorial models of prefrontal aging, such as the frontal lobe hypothesis or the disconnection hypothesis, are oversimplifications. A single-factor model of the four PFC variables fits poorly, and these four neural variables show distinct age-related differences and specific, differential, predictions of two cognitive factors. Second, we show that GMV in BA10 predicts individual differences in fluid intelligence beyond prefrontal WM, showing that disconnection alone is unlikely to explain age-related differences in fluid intelligence. We further extend the role of WM connectivity in mediating age-related cognitive decline, by showing that, for multitasking, WMI becomes increasingly important for preserved cognitive function with advancing age. A related single-factor model of ageing that we do not explicitly address here is the ‘processing speed’ hypothesis, which suggests that age-related slowing in mental processing underlies decline on a diversity of cognitive tasks. However, we note that, if an age-related decline in processing speed was the only underlying cause of cognitive ageing in executive functions, we would not expect to observe the complex multifactorial pattern of age-related differences described here.

Although we show considerable age-related differences in both executive functions, we also note that the specificity of the findings here offers avenues for potential interventions. Recent work has increasingly shown evidence for plasticity induced by various interventions extending into old age, which affect WM, GM and the interplay between brain structure, brain activity and complex cognitive functions. The present findings raise the possibility that targeted interventions might offer more selective improvement in cognitive skills.

Fluid intelligence lies at the heart of psychometric analyses of cognitive abilities, with strong statistical relationships with other higher cognitive abilities. Here we replicate the common finding of a strong age-related decline in fluid intelligence, but furthermore show that these age-related differences are multiply mediated by two PFC properties, GMV of BA10 and integrity of WM, that together explain 47.1% of individual differences in fluid intelligence scores. This provides evidence for models of PFC function that suggest key roles in integrating information for BA10 (ref. 42) and cross-hemispheric communication for FM. Recent studies in patients with focal brain lesions have linked impaired fluid intelligence to damage in both MD regions and anterior frontal cortex, suggesting an important role for both. In our data, despite strong correlation of GMVs in the two regions, it was BA10 that was the stronger predictor. Our multimodal approach thus suggests that preserved fluid intelligence in old age depends both upon the complex information processing in BA10 and on the successful interhemispheric integration of those processes by means of an intact FM. Moreover, the partially independent contributions of GMV and WMI suggest a multifactorial role in individual differences, such that people with relatively poor WMI might have comparable fluid intelligence if complemented by having greater GMV, and vice versa. In addition, we observe an age-related increase in inter-individual variability in the behavioural scores for both cognitive dimensions, which is compatible with prior empirical and theoretical work. Importantly, our brain data suggest that one mechanism contributing to this behavioural phenomenon is likely to be the differential rate of ageing of frontal lobe systems.

In contrast to fluid intelligence, age-related differences in multitasking ability are significantly mediated by a distinct WM structure, the ATRs, a structure also known to be important for performance on complex, timed tasks. Though older adults show consistent impairments in multitasking, these effects are less pronounced than differences in fluid intelligence. It is known that ageing increases the dependency on external cues (or environmental support) compared to internally generated cues. Moreover, performance of impaired adults on multitasking has been shown to improve by the addition of trivial external cues, suggesting that a failure to internally cue the key cognitive steps (switching to other subtasks at the appropriate time) might be a possible mechanism of age-related differences in multitasking. However, recent work suggests that increased age-related dependence on external cues is not deterministic, but instead varies across tasks and likely across individuals, such that successfully aging individuals can, under certain circumstances, switch to increased reliance on internal cues, diminishing age-related performance differences. This suggests that our finding of a more positive influence of frontal WMI in older adults, most notably the ATR, may represent a possible structural correlate that underlies this ability to shift from external to internal cues, (page 6). This could be interpreted as a form of neurocognitive scaffolding, although testing this hypothesis would require further structural and functional investigation. Together, these findings suggest that ATR may be fundamental in the automated generation of time-related internal cues relevant for high multitasking performance by facilitating communication between the thalamus and PFC.

In summary, we have examined the relationship between four prefrontal structures and age-related differences in two related yet distinct executive functions in a large and unique new cohort of population-based individuals across the adult lifespan. Our results show that cognitive tasks within the domain of executive function can be differentiated, and that their decline across lifespan is multiply-determined by specific patterns of GMV and WMI, within a number of sub-regions of PFC. These results provide evidence against the dominant, unitary accounts of age-related prefrontal brain atrophy and cognitive decline, revealing instead a new perspective, where distinct prefrontal systems make independent contributions to executive functioning. Multimodal neuroimaging combined with psychometric models will allow...
us to investigate the most promising targets for ameliorating age-related differences in executive functions.

**Methods**

**Sample.** A healthy, population-based sample (N = 610) was collected as part of the Cam-CAN Study (CCS). This included 1,718 participants (MMSE) (<24), poor hearing (failing to hear 35 dB at 1,000 Hz in either ear), poor vision (<20/50 on Snellen test), poor English knowledge (non-native or non-natural bilingual English speakers), self-reported substance abuse and current serious health conditions (for example, self-reported major psychiatric conditions, current chemotherapy for cancer, history of stroke). A unified health screen was included prohibiting participants who are not appropriate for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or magnetoencephalography scanning, which included those with safety- and health-related contraindications (for example, disallowed implants, pacemakers, recent surgery or any previous brain surgery, current pregnancy, facial or very recent tattoos or a history of multiple sclerosis) as well as those with a prior stroke. A total of 287 samples were removed (N = 4) prior to further analysis, leaving N = 576, 286 female participants. All participants took part in a range of psychological tests (no other cognitive domains were analyzed in the context of this analysis). No equipment failure were included, resulting in N = 571 in the final sample (mean age 54.5, s.d. = 18.14, range 18.46–86.89 at the time of first contact, 287 female). Outliers were detected using region-specific GM or WM values with z-scores exceeding 4 or –4 and were removed (N = 4) prior to further analysis, leaving N = 567, 286 female participants. All participants took part in a range of psychological tests (no other cognitive domains were analyzed in the context of this analysis). Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Cambridge 2 (now East of England—Cambridge Central) Research Ethics Committee. Participants gave full informed consent. P values for specific tests reported are corrected for multiple comparisons by means of False Discovery Rate at 0.05.

**Behavioural tasks.** We assess fluid intelligence using the Cattell Culture Fair Test57, consisting of pencil-and-paper subtests that yield four summary scores (series completions, odd-one-out, matrices and topology) used in further modelling. We administered Scale 2, Form A, according to the standard protocol. This consisted of four subtests yielding a sum score each. In contrast to the Cattell test of fluid intelligence, the Hotel test48 simulates a hotel environment and measures the ability to distribute performance across multiple tasks, which will refer to here from on multitasking. Figure 1 shows both tasks. For the Hotel task, participants were asked to spend a total of 10 min performing five different tasks in a simulated hotel administration environment, where each individual task would take at least 10 min to complete. Participants were instructed to spend as much time as possible on each of the tasks. This task requires goal maintenance, cognitive control and task shifting abilities in the sense that participants who became too absorbed in one task at the expense of others would score lower (2 min per task represents optimal performance). Variables-of-interest are how many of the tasks people performed in the 10-min interval (with a range between 1 and 10, with 5 being optimal) and the total time misallocated (defined as the summed deviation of the optimal performance of 120 min, ranging from 0 to 960). Although other tasks of multitasking are available, recent work suggests that this more naturalistic form of multitasking has greater ecological validity, and provides greater sensitivity in detecting real-life changes in such abilities in clinical conditions such as dementia, bipolar disorder attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, than more traditional, lab-based cognitive tests of multitasking.

**Neural measures.** The first neural variable is GMV in frontopolar BA10. BA10 has been found to be active during a wide range of cognitively demanding tasks, particularly those that require retaining multiple rules of a task. Lesions in BA10 have been associated with declining performance both in multitasking and general intelligence. The second (non-overlapping) neural variable is mean GMV within the frontal section of the MD system. This comprises a set of distributed frontoparietal regions that include the superior and middle frontal gyri, and is known to be active across a wide range of cognitively demanding tasks, and whose damage is selectively associated with reduced fluid intelligence. We here focus on the right hemisphere (left hemisphere function provided a weaker correlation). The third variable of interest is FA in the frontal region and to the rest of the brain, and likely play an important role in coordinating the function of these regions. The MD represents the interhemispheric pathway connecting left and right BA10 through the genu of the corpus callosum. WMI of the WM has been associated with better performance in higher cognitive faculties relevant to fluid intelligence and multitasking, such as working memory and shifting and cognitive control. Conversely, the ATR (also known as the frontostriatal tract) connects the thalamus to anterior prefrontal cortices including the anterior superior frontal gyrus, the anterior middle frontal gyrus and BA10. Thus the frontal terminus of this classically defined WM tract has considerable connectivity with the prefrontal component of the MD network, which is known to be active across a wide range of cognitively demanding tasks, and is known to be active in a simulated hotel administration environment, where each individual task would take at least 10 min to complete. This task requires goal maintenance, cognitive control and task shifting abilities in the sense that participants who became too absorbed in one task at the expense of others would score lower (2 min per task represents optimal performance). Variables-of-interest are how many of the tasks people performed in the 10-min interval (with a range between 1 and 10, with 5 being optimal) and the total time misallocated (defined as the summed deviation of the optimal performance of 120 min, ranging from 0 to 960). Although other tasks of multitasking are available, recent work suggests that this more naturalistic form of multitasking has greater ecological validity, and provides greater sensitivity in detecting real-life changes in such abilities in clinical conditions such as dementia, bipolar disorder attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, than more traditional, lab-based cognitive tests of multitasking.
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