Multi-enzymes Production Studies in Single Tray Solid State Fermentation with Opened and Closed System
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Abstract: The robustness of A. awamori and A. oryzae as enzyme producers is exploited in fungal fermentation on agricultural solid waste. High-level production of extracellular glucoamylase, protease, cellulase and xylanase has been achieved. Three different types of ‘waste’ solids (wheat bran, soybean hulls and rapeseed meal) have been used in studies of solid state fermentation (SSF). The enzymes could be produced in significant levels by continuously supplying oxygen (O$_2$) through the tray system known as “closed” and “opened” tray systems. A perforated tray system was developed in this study that permits direct access to O$_2$. Testing the tray system with different perforated mesh aperture sizes in this study did not yield different results in growth performance of A. awamori and A. oryzae. A. awamori and A. oryzae can be very versatile in producing various enzymes with different substrates with different starch, protein, hemicellulose and cellulose contents. These studies indicate that A. awamori is more suitable for the efficient production of multiple enzymes in the closed system including xylanase and cellulase, while the production of glucoamylase and protease is superior in the opened system. A. oryzae is more suitable for the efficient production of protease and cellulase in the closed system, while the production of protease is more favourable the opened system. A. awamori efficiently consumed starch in wheat bran medium and produced very high glucoamylase activity, and after that, the fungus efficiently produced other enzymes to degrade other complex nutrients such as protein, hemicellulose and cellulose. Meanwhile, A. oryzae efficiently consumed protein in rapeseed meal and produced very high protease activity. The ability of both filamentous fungi, to convert biomass through SSF bioconversion will have a great impact on food and agro-industry in every aspect of life from food and medicine to fuel.
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1. Introduction

Solid state fermentation (SSF) processes play an important role in the production of various microbial enzymes. The production of enzymes by SSF is associated with the history of the development of SSF and enzymes have been known to be produced by SSF for many years [1]. Enzymes have been established to be useful due to their wide range of applications. Enzymes have tremendous applications in a number of industrial processes such as the food industry, fermentation, the textile industry and the production of paper and also in chemical feedstocks. Therefore, there is an increasing demand for their production [2]. Although, all commercial production of enzymes as well as almost all research works on microbial enzymes employs submerged fermentation (SmF) as the technique of fermentation, SSF has also been successfully used to cultivate many microorganisms using various solid substrates.

SSF offers several potential advantages for the production of enzymes. It has been well established that enzyme titres produced in SSF are many fold more concentrated than those produced by SmF. George et al. [3] reported that the total protease activity present in one gram wheat bran (SSF) was...
equivalent to that present in 100.0 mL broth (SmF). In addition, SSF can be of special interest in those processes where the crude fermented product may be used directly as a source for enzymes [3]. The enzymes in SSF crude fermented product are concentrated; thus, they can be used directly in such agro-biotechnology applications as silage or feed additives, ligno-cellulosic hydrolysis and natural fibre processing [4].

The selection of an excellent microorganism strain for the production of enzymes is very important. The microorganism selected, especially the fungus in this case, must have relatively stable characteristics and the ability to grow rapidly and vigorously [5]. The most important characteristic to look for in the selection of the microorganism is its ability to degrade the complex solid substrates. This will lead to the production of higher yields of the desired enzymes. The selection of a particular strain of microorganism, however, remains a tedious task, especially when commercially competent enzyme yields are to be achieved [6, 7]. This will be dependent upon a number of factors, mainly including the nature of substrate and the environmental conditions.

Due to the lack of free water in SSF, smaller fermenters are required for this process, and therefore, less effort is required for downstream processing (van Breukelen et al., 2011). Tray systems are the simplest of all types of fermenters used in SSF. As has been mentioned in open literature, there are several other types of designs of fermenters that have been applied by researchers for various purposes. The bed height of a tray reactor is limited due to heat and mass transfer limitations and heat transfer gradients [8]. By contrast, rotary reactors are deemed to have complex operational systems, low fill volumes (typically less than 30%) and low shear in the substrate bed [9].

In this work, studies were carried out in a simple tray system, which was used as a closed system or an opened system. For the closed system, normal petri dishes were used. For the opened system, a circular stainless steel tray was used. The bottom of the opened tray is perforated (with different aperture sizes) in such a way that it holds the substrate and allows aeration. This system was designed to address the current problems in SSF and to ensure consistent water content in the fermented solid substrate during fermentation. The study tested fermentation with Aspergillus awamori and Aspergillus oryzae and monitored the efficiency of multi-enzyme production (glucoamylase, protease, xylanase and cellulose).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Microorganisms and Preparation of the Inoculum

The fungi A. awamori and A. oryzae were used to study enzyme production. The spores were washed by lightly scrapping with wire loop in 10.0 mL of sterile 0.1% (v/v) Tween 80. 0.5 mL of the spore suspension was further transferred onto the surface of 100.0 mL of sporulation medium in 500.0 mL Erlenmeyer flask and incubated for another 7 days at 30 °C. After the incubation period, 50.0 mL of sterile 0.1% (v/v) Tween 80 solution and several sterile glass beads (4-mm diameter) were added to the flask. The spores were suspended by shaking the flask gently and collected in one bottle as a spore suspension. The concentration of the spore suspension was measured by haemocytometer. The volume of suspension needed for the inoculation of the solid was calculated for each experiment to reach a concentration of around $1.0 \times 10^6$ spores/g solid substrate. Fungal spores in universal bottle were stored at 4 °C in agar slopes of solid sporulation medium containing 5% (w/v) whole wheat flour and 2% (w/v) agar as a stock culture.

2.2 The Substrates—Solid State Fermentation

The solid substrates: wheat bran, soybean hulls and rapeseed meal, were used. Wheat bran was obtained from Cargill Wheat Processing Plant, Manchester, UK. Soybean hulls and rapeseed meal were obtained from Brocklebank Oilseed Processing Division, Cargill Wheat Processing Plant, Liverpool, UK. All three
selected substrates were used without any treatment as a solid medium for growing *A. awamori* and *A. oryzae*. At time intervals, sample was taken out. The performance of the systems was evaluated in terms of the production of enzymes regards to fungal growth.

2.3 The Tray System

Two types of tray systems, known as a closed or opened system, were used in this study. A closed system consists of normal petri dishes with 9 cm diameter and 1.5 cm depth covered with a lid. The opened system consists of circular stainless steel trays with 10 cm diameter and 3.5 cm depth. The top of the tray is covered with a lid and the bottom of the tray is equipped with a perforated base to allow for aeration of the bottom surface of the bed and, to some extent, the inner layers within the bed.

As an opened system, the aperture size of perforated base was 45, 53, 106, 180, 300, 425, 500, 600, 710, 850, 1000, 1400, 2000 or 2800 \( \mu \)m. These trays are autoclave compatible. 12.0 g of wheat bran and soybean hulls and 15.0 g of rapeseed meal were used with both systems. These amounts were used to bring the height of the substrate bed in the tray to 1.0 cm. After autoclaving, inoculum transfer was carried out aseptically and sterile distilled water was used to moisten the solid substrates. The initial moisture content of the substrate was 65%. After the inoculation process, all the trays were placed in a growth incubator with temperature strictly under control at 30 °C. At the end of the incubation period (72 h), the performance of the two systems was evaluated in terms of the production of four enzymes, which are glucoamylase, protease, xylanase and cellulase.

2.4 Preparation of Enzymes Supernatant

After the 72 h fermentation period, samples were taken for enzyme analysis. All the fermented samples (2.0 g on a wet basis) were extracted with distilled water (40.0 mL) and shaken for 30 min on a rotary shaker (Infors A—CH 4103 Switzerland) at 250 rpm and 30 °C. Then the solid suspensions were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min (4 °C). The clear supernatant was used for the measurement of enzyme activity. A standard operational procedure for enzyme extraction developed in this study. A normal observation in SSF is un-even growth on fermented substrate. This situation will usually result in unsatisfied outcome. Therefore, a standard procedure was developed in this study to obtain a satisfactory sampling process. To overcome this issue and to satisfy the need for a homogenous sampling process, an amount of fermented mass was harvested, crashed and vigorously mix using spatula. If the experiments were conducted in petri dishes, the whole fermented mass was blended using a food-processing blender.

2.5 Enzymes

2.5.1 Glucoamylase Activity

Glucoamylase was assayed using the method as described by Ariff and Webb [10] using maltose as a substrate. Glucoamylase activity was determined by measuring the initial rates of glucose production and expresses as \( \mu \) mole of glucose liberated per minute per mL broth supernatant (\( \mu \)mole min\(^{-1}\) mL\(^{-1}\)) or unit per mL (U/mL). Glucoamylase activity is expressed throughout this study in units of U/g material measured on a dry basis.

2.5.2 Protease Activity

Protease activity was evaluated by the formation of free amino nitrogen (FAN). FAN concentration was measured using the ninhydrin colourimetric method as outlined by the European Brewery Convention [11] with modifications made by Wang [12]. The method based on the colour reaction between ninhydrin and amino acids at pH 6.7, which gives an estimate of amino acids, ammonia and in addition the terminal alpha-amino nitrogen groups of peptides and proteins. The amount of proteases for the production of one milligram FAN in one minute under controlled conditions was defined as one unit of activity (U/mL). The protease activity throughout this study was
expressed in units U/g material measured on a dry basis.

2.5.3 Xylanase Activity

Determination of xylanase activity was conducted according to the method developed by Bailey et al. [13]. The assay is based on the release of reducing sugars from 1% (w/v) xylan (Sigma-Aldrich) solution prepared in 0.05 M citrate buffer pH 5.4 by 3,5-dinitrosalycylic acid method (DNS method) at 50 °C by using xylose to generate a standard curve [14]. The xylanase activity was expressed throughout this work in units of U/g material measured on dry basis. One unit of xylanase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme producing 1 µmole xylose equivalents per minute under assay conditions.

2.5.4 Cellulase Activity

Filter paper cellulase activity was measured according to IUPAC recommendations employing filter paper Whatman No. 1 as a substrate. The procedures were designed to measure cellulase activity in terms of “filter paper units” (FPU) per millilitre (mL) of original (undiluted) enzyme solution. Quantitative results of the enzymes preparations must be compared on the basis of significant and equal conversion. The value 2.0 mg of total reducing sugars as glucose from 50.0 mg of filter paper in 60 min reaction time was designated as the intercept for calculating filter paper cellulase units (FPU) by IUPAC. The total reducing sugars was then determined by the DNS method [14]. The cellulase activity was expressed throughout this study in units of FPU/g material measured on a dry basis.

3. Results and Discussion

Food and agro-industry solid waste residues are generally considered the best substrates for SSF processes and enzyme production in SSF in terms of nutritional value [15]. These substrates, which are derived from various sources, vary in their nature, structure and composition. The quality of substrates differs due to their composition and the ratios of different ingredients. These include starch, protein, lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose. Therefore, cultures from different strains of microorganisms biodegrade substrates in varied fashions and produce different enzymes, such as glucoamylase, protease, xylanase and cellulase. In the end product of fermentation, enzymes should be present in a heterogeneous mixture as a result of the metabolic activity of the employed microorganism [16]. Preliminary results show that there are no significance differences in the values obtained for enzyme production in the opened system with different aperture sizes (perforated base aperture size 45, 53, 106, 180, 300, 425, 500, 600, 710, 850, 1000, 1400, 2000 and 2800 μm). Thus, in this article, the values obtained using the opened system will be presented as an average for the different measurements corresponding to aperture sizes ranging from 45 to 2800 μm. The data are compared with those from the closed system to investigate the performance differences between the two systems.

3.1 Enzymes Production in SSF using the Tray System

Many researchers have reviewed the production of enzymes in various modified solid state tray systems. Nahid et al. [17] reviewed glucoamylase production and Mitra et al. [18] reviewed the production of proteolytic enzymes. Babu and Satranayanam [19] examined bacterial enzyme production, while Gupta and Kar [20] reviewed the production of cellulolytic enzymes. In addition, Dhillon et al. [21] reported the production of cellulase and xylanase enzymes in tray SSF that employed mixed-cultured fungi.

3.1.1 Glucoamylase

Activity levels for glucoamylase that was produced in the two systems are shown in Figure 1. Glucoamylase activity levels of 299.47, 88.74 and 75.96 U/g [db] were obtained after 72 h of fermentation time in the closed system with A. awamori for wheat bran, soybean hulls and rapeseed meal respectively. A. awamori in the opened system
produced an average glucoamylase activity of 336.08, 90.92 and 32.82 U/g [db] obtained for wheat bran, soybean hulls and rapeseed meal respectively. Glucoamylase activity levels were higher when SSF was carried out in the opened system with wheat bran and soybean hulls. This was attributed to the efficient air circulation and nutrient diffusion caused by the perforated base resulting in an increase in $O_2$ and mass transfer. However, almost a two-fold glucoamylase activity decrease was recorded for rapeseed meal culture in the opened system compared to the closed system.

In addition, glucoamylase activity levels of 121.62, 85.96 and 79.06 U/g [db] were obtained after 72 h of fermentation time in the closed system with A. oryzae for wheat bran, soybean hulls and rapeseed meal respectively. A. oryzae in the opened system produced an average glucoamylase activity of 81.30, 76.94 and 57.30 U/g [db] obtained for wheat bran, soybean hulls and rapeseed meal, respectively. This is a contrast to the result obtained with A. awamori. Glucoamylase activity decreased in the opened system almost 1.5 times in A. oryzae culture on rapeseed meal compared to the closed system.

A. awamori produced high glucoamylase levels in the opened and the closed system respectively. A. awamori favoured growth on wheat bran and produced high glucoamylase activity on this substrate. Higher enzyme production on wheat bran can be

Fig. 1 Activity of glucoamylase produced in the closed (■) and the opened system (□) by the fungal culture of A. awamori and A. oryzae on different solid substrates. [WB]: wheat bran; [SBH]: soybean hulls and [RSM]: rapeseed meal. Data points show the average of measurements for the opened tray system with 14 different mesh aperture sizes.
correlated with its high starch content (23.3%) [22]. Nahid et al., 2011 induced production of glucoamylase (373.3 IU/g) in wheat bran when it combination with corn flour compared to wheat bran alone. However, A. oryzae does not favoured on wheat bran. A. awamori with wheat bran media resulted in double the enzyme production by A. oryzae culture.

Ellaiah et al. [23] reported that wheat bran gives higher enzyme yield compared to rice bran and other agricultural waste. Hata et al. [24] compared glucoamylase levels produced in SSF and SmF systems using A. oryzae and showed these systems exhibited different characteristics. In their work, they reported that glucoamylase produced by SSF could digest raw starch but that produced by SmF could not. Pandey [25] reported that glucoamylase production in trays occurred optimally after 36 h of fermentation in comparison to the typically required 96 h periods in flask fermentation processes.

3.1.2 Protease

Protease activity levels measured in samples in the studied systems are shown in Figure 2. Protease activity levels of 93.08, 42.05 and 40.04 U/g [db] were obtained after 72 h of fermentation time in the closed system with A. awamori for rapeseed meal, soybean hulls and wheat bran respectively. However, A. awamori in the opened system produced an average protease activity of 165.03, 114.92 and 70.32 U/g [db] obtained from rapeseed meal, soybean hulls and wheat bran, respectively. Protease activity increased about two-fold when SSF was carried out in the opened system compared to the closed system.

Meanwhile, protease activity levels of 1017.70, 972.35 and 964.71 U/g [db] were obtained after 72 h of fermentation time in the closed system with A. oryzae for rapeseed meal, soybean hulls and wheat bran respectively. In contrast to the closed system, A. oryzae in the opened system produced an average protease activity of 2029.51, 919.50 and 1327.76 U/g [db] obtained from rapeseed meal, soybean hulls and wheat bran, respectively. In line with observations with A. awamori, protease activity increased almost two-fold in the opened system compared to the closed system.

These results proved that A. oryzae is an excellent fungus for protease production with rapeseed meal as a solid substrate. This is expected since rapeseed meal content is higher in protein content (38.9%) than wheat bran (15.1%) and soybean hulls (14.2%). In this case, the opened system proved to be more favourable for protease production for both fungi. Improvement of mass transfer in the opened system might be a reason explaining this behaviour. Another can be low temperature and moisture content of the fermented solid that may be beneficial and may cause efficient degradation of substrate and uptake of nutrients. The decrease in moisture content in the opened system, especially with A. oryzae culture, did not reach a critical point that can inhibit growth. Protease produced by A. oryzae was efficient in breaking down the peptide bonds of proteins contained in rapeseed meal, and hence, forming more FAN.

George et al. [3] reported that one gram of wheat bran was found to produce about 250,000 U/mL protease activity in SSF using B. amyloliquefaciens by subjecting the microorganism to natural selection and high positive organic nitrogen pressure. Meanwhile, Belmessikh et al. [26] reported that protease activity was nine times higher in SSF (21,309 U/g) compared to SmF (2343.5 U/g) using A. oryzae on tomato pomace.

3.1.3 Xylanase

Xylan is a polysaccharide found in the hemicellulose fraction of lignocellulose. Hemicellulose of agricultural substrate can be used as an appropriate substrate for xylanase production in SSF [27]. Xylanase hydrolysates xylan to xylose or xylooligosaccharide. Activity levels for xylanase extracted in both studied systems with A. awamori and A. oryzae are shown in Figure 3.

Maximum xylanase activity levels of 728.24, 586.17 and 450.63 U/g [db] were obtained after 72 h
of fermentation time in the closed system with *A. awamori* for wheat bran, soybean hulls and rapeseed meal respectively. However, *A. awamori* in the opened system produced an average xylanase activity of 581.42, 389.65 and 349.49 U/g [db] obtained for wheat bran, soybean hulls and rapeseed meal, respectively. There were significant differences between the closed and opened system for all reported activities. *A. awamori* was observed to be superior in producing xylanase in the closed system compared to the opened system. This observation can be related to the increase in moisture content in the closed system, which may favour xylanase production. It was reported that the optimum moisture content for xylanase production by *T. longibrachiatum* [28] and *A. tereus* [29] on wheat bran were 55 and 75% respectively. Production of xylanase decreased in the opened system, which might be related to loss of moisture content and subsequent inhibition of *A. awamori* growth.

Maximum xylanase activity levels of 103.94, 52.71 and 17.14 U/g [db] were obtained after 72 h of fermentation time in the closed system with *A. oryzae* for wheat bran, soybean hulls and rapeseed meal, respectively. However, *A. oryzae* in the opened system showed an increase in xylanase activity to an average of 219.71, 138.71 and 117.87 U/g [db] observed for soybean hulls, wheat bran and rapeseed meal, respectively. In contrast to the results obtained for *A. awamori* above, the production of xylanase was higher in the opened system compared to the closed system.

![Graph showing protease activity for different fungi](image-url)
Significant differences were observed in the produced xylanase activity between *A. awamori* and *A. oryzae* in both systems. In the closed system with *A. awamori* on wheat bran, xylanase production was 7 times higher compared to *A. oryzae* in the same system. Production of xylanase seemed very poor with *A. oryzae* in both systems with all three substrates tested. These findings show that *A. awamori* is efficient in producing xylanase and in actively degrading the bonds of hemicellulose contained in wheat bran, soybean hulls and rapeseed meal and forming more reducing sugars. This is another reason TRS was higher in *A. awamori* culture. High activities were obtained for wheat bran followed by soybean hulls, both of which contained a high proportion of hemicellulose (29.7% and 12.5%, respectively) [22] and therefore induced xylanase production. Rapeseed meal, however, has lower hemicellulose content [16]. Hemicellulose of agricultural substrate can be used as an appropriate substrate for xylanase production in SSF [27]. However, *A. oryzae* is observed to be very poor in the production of xylanase.

Observations of this work indicate the production of xylanase is higher in a closed system. In the closed system, it was assumed that heat accumulation occurred and therefore incubation temperature increased and subsequently the production of xylanase. This phenomenon was also observed in glucoamylase production (Figure 1). Cai *et al.* [27] reported production of thermostable xylanase in an SSF system using *A. niger* A3 and this enzyme was more thermostable (55 °C) than that produced in SmF.

![Fig. 3](image_url)  

**Fig. 3** Activity of xylanase produced in the closed (▱) and opened system (☐) by the fungal culture of *A. awamori* and *A. oryzae* on different solid substrates. [WB]: wheat bran; [SBH]: soybean hulls and [RSM]: rapeseed meal. Data points show the average of measurements for the opened tray system with 14 different mesh aperture sizes.
Archana and Satyanarayana [30] also reported production of cellulase-free xylanase at levels 22-fold higher in SSF compared to SmF using thermophile \textit{B. licheniformis} A99. In addition, the SSF process was also observed to induce production of higher levels of xylanase by thermostable \textit{Melanocarpus albomyces} due to the close contact between the mycelium and the solid substrate compared to SmF [31]. According to Pandey et al. [4], although xylanase is produced by fungi, yeast and bacteria, filamentous fungi are preferred for commercial production as the levels of enzyme produced by fungal cultures are higher than those obtained from yeast or bacteria.

3.1.4 Cellulase

Cellulases are among the most important enzymes that are employed in the processing of ligno-cellulosic materials for the production of feed, fuel and chemical feedstocks [32-34]. Activity levels of cellulase involved in both studied systems were measured using an enzyme extract that was prepared after fermentation with \textit{A. awamori} and \textit{A. oryzae} (Figure 4).

Maximum filter paper activity levels of 17.28, 16.99 and 16.08 FPU/g [db] were obtained after 72 h of fermentation time in the closed system with \textit{A. awamori} for wheat bran, rapeseed meal and soybean hulls, respectively. However, \textit{A. awamori} in the opened system produced an average filter paper activity level of 14.03, 10.19 and 8.19 FPU/g [db] obtained for soybean hulls, rapeseed meal and wheat bran, respectively. There was no significant difference between solid substrates especially in the closed system.
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**Fig. 4** Activity of cellulase produced in the closed (■) and opened system (□) by the fungal culture of \textit{A. awamori} and \textit{A. oryzae} on different solid substrates. [WB]: wheat bran; [SBH]: soybean hulls and [RSM]: rapeseed meal. Data points show the average of measurements for the opened tray system with 14 different mesh aperture sizes.
Maximum filter paper activity levels of 15.18, 13.88 and 11.67 were obtained in the closed system with \( A. \text{oryzae} \) for soybean hulls, wheat bran and rapeseed meal, respectively. In the opened system, an average filter paper activity level of 12.57, 11.48 and 3.32 FPU/g [db] was obtained for soybean hulls, rapeseed meal and wheat bran, respectively.

\( A. \text{awamori} \) was observed to be superior in producing cellulase on wheat bran and soybean hulls in the closed and opened system. \( A. \text{oryzae} \) was observed to be excellent in producing cellulase on soybean hulls in both systems compared to the other two substrates. Cellulose content in soybean hulls (36.4%) [35] was obviously higher than that in wheat bran (10.6%) [22], and as expected, cellulose is ideal for efficient growth of fungal cultures and cellulase production. The results of static tray SSF highlighted the importance of using the closed or the opened system. Overall, cellulase production was significantly higher in closed system SSF as compared to the opened system for both fungi. Production of cellulase decreased in the open system for both fungi, which might be due to the drastic loss of moisture content in this system.

Brijwani et al. [36, 37] reported that SSF of soybean hulls supplemented with wheat bran produced a maximum filter paper activity of 10.7 FPU/g [db] after a 96 h incubation period in a static tray. According to Amorea Faracoa [38], only fungi naturally produce the needed titers of cellulases required for the complete saccharification of pretreated lignocellulose. Cellulolytic fungi (\( T. \text{reesei}, Aspergillus \text{ spp.}, \text{Fusarium \text{spp.}}, \text{Rhizopus \text{spp.}} \)) including thermophilic fungi, such as \( T. \text{emersonii} \) and \( T. \text{aurantiacus} \), produce a high concentration of cellulase enzymes to digest lignocellulose efficiently, assimilate all ligno-cellulosic sugars and convert these sugars to ethanol, showing that they naturally possess all pathways for the conversion of lignocellulose to bioethanol [39]. The efficiencies of the degradation of cellulose were dependent on the nature and concentration of the compounds added in the SSF system. The production of fermentative sugars as a result from the hydrolysis of biomass component (hemicellulose and cellulose) is largest economic and technological barrier for the production of cellulosic biofuels [40].

### 3.2 Mass Transfer Phenomenon in the Tray System

In this work, it was observed that \( A. \text{awamori} \) and \( A. \text{oryzae} \) react differently to the design applied in the tray system. There were also differences between \( A. \text{awamori} \) and \( A. \text{oryzae} \) in the degradation of the solid substrates tested. These differences led to variations in growth performance and production of enzymes. \( A. \text{awamori} \) favoured the opened system for glucoamylase production, while \( A. \text{oryzae} \) favoured the closed system. Glucoamylase production increased when access to \( O_2 \) was improved in the opened system using a perforated tray compared to the closed system. Xylanase and cellulase production was observed to be superior in the closed system with \( A. \text{awamori} \), and protease production was excellent in the opened system with \( A. \text{oryzae} \).

From visual observation (in the case of \( A. \text{awamori} \)), the morphology growth in the closed and opened systems shows some difference as shown in Figure 5. The same phenomenon was observed for \( A. \text{oryzae} \). In this work, two types of mycelia were observed in the vegetative growth of both fungi. First, the growth penetrating the surface of the solid substrate leads to the formation of penetrated mycelium. Second, the aerial growth leads to the formation of aerial mycelia. However, it is difficult to differentiate between these mycelia on the surface. According to Sudo et al. [41], after germination, the penetrated mycelium grows first, and then aerial mycelium develops above it. The sporangiophores that produce the conidiospores are formed later within the aerial mycelia. Additionally, Sudo et al. [41] studied differences between these two types of mycelium in terms of the production of \( \alpha \)-amylase in rice koji. They observed that \( \alpha \)-amylase...
was found to be produced highly by the penetrated mycelium rather than the aerial mycelium.

Figure 5(a) shows the mycelium penetrates deeply into the inner solid substrate particles of wheat bran. The deep penetrating clean white mycelium and binding together the solid substrate, covered almost every part of the fermented bed and produced a compact fermented cake. Figure 5(b) shows the large aerial mycelium on the surface of the fermented bed, showing sporangiophores, which would produce large spores. The fermented cake observed is quite loose and porous from the middle of the fermented cake to the bottom surface of the perforated base. The production of mycelium in the open system was lower compared to the closed system. It was assumed that, in the area exposed to the environment, the concentration of O$_2$ is very high yet moisture content is low. This will lead to lower diffusivity of glucose and other nutrients into solid particles of solid substrates of low moisture content. These results mean that the production of glucose and other nutrients is restricted at low moisture content and any glucose and other nutrients available are consumed rapidly before they diffuse along the particles. As a result, some starvation may occur on solid substrates of low moisture content, which may affect growth performance. Therefore, it was concluded that moisture content in the fermented substrate is one of the most important factors for the production of enzymes in SSF.

Figure 6(a) shows the closed non-aerated system showing the advantages of tray SSF; it allows for the strict control of water content in the substrate during culture by allowing internal vapour condensation. O$_2$ concentration in the system might be low, but this disadvantage might be overcome where fungi are totally dependent on water content to support growth. Internal vapour condensation might become detrimental because it can create non-homogenous conditions. Fungi grew more vigorously in the cultures with higher water content. It was observed
from the study in the lab that moisture content in the closed non-aerated system could be maintained for up to 200 h of fermentation time. Consequently, the increase in moisture content of the fermented bed could be cause-decreased porosity, change in particle structure and lower O₂ transfer in the solid substrate bed. The moisture content in the closed system was assumed to be reasonable between 65-73%.

As in the opened system (Figure 6(b)), the contribution of water evaporation as a consequence of metabolic heat production might not happen because water evaporation occurs at the perforated base. No water accumulation is observed. These results indicated that the vapour generated from microbial activity could efficiently dissipate out of the tray through the perforated base. Nevertheless, the total amount of evaporated water was estimated to be higher in the opened system. Water evaporation depends on the amount of metabolic heat evolved, so it can be suggested that for the opened system, the heat evolved reduces the moisture content of the solid substrate by evaporation in the case of both fungi.

One of the important roles and functions of the fungi in SSF is the synthesis of enzymes, in particular extracellular enzymes. These enzymes generally hydrolyse complex compounds such as starch, proteins, and polysaccharides into smaller molecules, which in turn can be taken up by any cell. As a consequence, the reduction of moisture content leads to reduce diffusion of enzymes and nutrients in the fermented substrate, lower degree of swelling and increased water tension on the microorganism.

![Schematic cross-sectional diagrams](image-url)

**Fig. 6** Schematic cross-sectional diagrams of the (a) closed system and (b) opened system. Briefly, phenomenon mass transfer during fermentation process.
4. Conclusions

From this work, the following conclusions can be made with regards to the systems used; the closed system (i) O₂ concentration is a limiting factor that influences fungal growth and (ii) the ability to maintain water is high but it creates heterogeneous conditions. The opened system; (i) O₂ concentration might not be a problem, but the drastic loss of moisture content of about 80% (especially in the culture system with *A. awamori*) after 72 h of fermentation is a limiting factor that influences fungal growth and (ii) the chance to lose water through evaporation is very high through the uncontrolled perforated base tray.

It is possible that the opened system exhibits such a high reproducibility of enzyme production because it allows efficient mass transfer and heat dissipation, although it is also observed to be more efficient in evaporation of water from the substrate. SSF in the closed system faces difficulty in controlling these parameters because it is a closed environment. On top of that, it is difficult to achieve reproducible results under appropriate culture conditions because both *A. awamori* and *A. oryzae* respond differently with varied growth performances. This can be observed to be reflected in enzyme production. However, the results obtained in this work provide an idea of how to make an air arrangement into the closed solid state tray bioreactor system. The important idea is that it is possible to achieve uniform and exact regulation of temperature and water content during the fermentation process without introducing unfavourable factors such as substrate mixing. Accumulation of high amylolytic and proteolytic enzymes observed in this study is desired as this will result in a successful hydrolysis process that follows the process of SSF and targets the production of a medium rich in fermentative sugars and nitrogen. Through a strategy for a biorefinery based on SSF, this can lead to the production of bioethanol, biofuels, biodegradable plastic and valuable chemicals.
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