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Studying entanglement growth in quantum dynamics provides both insight into the underlying microscopic processes and information about the complexity of the quantum states, which is related to the efficiency of simulations on classical computers. Recently, experiments with trapped ions, polar molecules, and Rydberg excitations have provided new opportunities to observe dynamics with long-range interactions. We explore nonequilibrium coherent dynamics after a quantum quench in such systems, identifying qualitatively different behavior as the exponent of algebraically decaying spin-spin interactions in a transverse Ising chain is varied. Computing the buildup of bipartite entanglement as well as mutual information between distant spins, we identify linear growth of entanglement entropy corresponding to propagation of quasiparticles for shorter-range interactions, with the maximum rate of growth occurring when the Hamiltonian parameters match those for the quantum phase transition. Counterintuitively, the growth of bipartite entanglement for long-range interactions is only logarithmic for most regimes, i.e., substantially slower than for shorter-range interactions. Experiments with trapped ions allow for the realization of this system with a tunable interaction range, and we show that the different phenomena are robust for finite system sizes and in the presence of noise. These results can act as a direct guide for the generation of large-scale entanglement in such experiments, towards a regime where the entanglement growth can render existing classical simulations inefficient.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Advances with atomic molecular and optical (AMO) systems, including cold atoms, entangled photons, and trapped ions, have rapidly opened possibilities to explore many-body physics in a highly controllable way [1–3]. A key example of this is the new possibility to explore coherent nonequilibrium dynamics in a closed many-body system, e.g., the dynamics induced by quantum quenches [4–9, 9–13]. There have been several recent quench experiments with cold atoms in optical lattices, which not only probe the microscopic behavior of the system, e.g., the propagation of quasiparticles [14], but also indicate the possibility to probe dynamics beyond the regimes that are currently accessible to classical simulations [15–17]. In this context, the growth of entanglement in the system underlies the complexity of simulating the dynamics classically. Demonstration of large entanglement growth after a quantum quench would be a crucial step in demonstrating the possibility to use these systems as controllable quantum simulators, effectively using experimental systems to compute dynamics in a way that exceeds the capabilities of classical computations [18].

Systems of trapped ions are a very promising candidate for realizing a quantum simulator because of the control already demonstrated in the development of gate-based quantum computation and simulation [19–21] with these systems, and the ability to make measurements by state tomography [22]. Recently, analogue quantum simulation of interacting spin systems [23, 24] was also realized in ion traps [25–27], with a key novel element being the possibility to realize variable-range interactions [28–33], as shown in Fig. 1, in contrast to the short-range interactions of neutral atoms, or the dipole-dipole interactions possible with polar molecules.

So far, these variable-range interactions were discussed primarily in the case of ground-state calculations and nearadiabatic dynamics. Here, we explore nonequilibrium coherent dynamics after a quantum quench in these systems, identifying qualitatively different behavior as the exponent $\alpha$ of algebraically decaying spin-spin interactions is varied. Beginning with all spins aligned with a transverse field, we use a combination of analytical and numerical methods to compute the dynamics after the Ising interactions are quenched on, incorporating matrix product operator techniques [34–41] to treat variable long-range interactions with up to 50 spins.

In particular, we investigate the buildup of bipartite entanglement in the chain as well as mutual information between distant spins [6–9]. For interactions with $\alpha \gg 1$, we show that the behavior is qualitatively similar to nearest-neighbor interactions, with correlation buildup
well described by the propagation of quasiparticles at a rate equal to or slower than the Lieb-Robinson bound \([42–44]\). This leads to a linear increase in bipartite entanglement in time, so that the dynamics cannot be efficiently computed in existing classical simulations beyond short times \([16,17]\). Interestingly, in this limit, we find that the maximum growth rate of bipartite entanglement, even in small systems, occurs when we quench the interaction strength to a logarithmic increase of bipartite entanglement in time, so that the dynamics cannot be efficiently computed in existing classical simulations beyond short times.

For interactions with \(\alpha \leq 1\), we observe qualitatively different behavior. Counterintuitively, quenches above the critical point for these long-range interactions lead only to a logarithmic increase of bipartite entanglement in time, so that in this regime, long-range interactions produce a slower growth of entanglement than short-range interactions. This can be understood by the fact that the dynamics is constrained to take place in a small part of the whole available Hilbert space. In particular, in the case of infinite-range interactions, the system is described by the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick (LMG) Hamiltonian \([45,46]\), where the eigenspace of the model is spanned by relatively few Dicke states. We show that, in this case, the bipartite entanglement is bounded by a constant value, which grows logarithmically with the size of the system. For a large system size, this can be thought of as a mean-field limit, where the dynamics is simple to capture with a small number of basis states.

Finally, we discuss specific experimental parameters for the realization of different regimes in ion traps with finite chain lengths, and experimental measurement protocols for these effects, creating possibilities for the regimes considered here to be observed in the laboratory. We show that the crossover from linear to logarithmic entanglement growth can be observed also for inhomogeneously decaying interactions. Furthermore, we take typical experimental noise sources into account and show that the observable features are robust against these. The result that long-range interactions do not always give rise to strong entanglement in quench dynamics has implications for the realization of large-scale entanglement in quantum simulations in general systems with long-range interactions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the setup and the model, as well as the entanglement measures we compute. In Sec. III, we show how the entanglement growth depends on the model parameters and how the entanglement distribution mechanisms can be understood. In Sec. IV, we show entanglement growth for typical experimental parameters with inhomogeneously decaying interactions and how the entanglement behavior can be measured in noisy experiments. Finally, in Sec. V, we provide a conclusion and an outlook.

II. MODEL FOR A QUENCH WITH LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS

In this paper, we study the nonequilibrium dynamics of spatial entanglement in systems with long-range interactions, especially as they are realizable with variable range in ion traps. In this section, we introduce the long-range transverse Ising model governing the time evolution, and the measures of entanglement we compute.

A. Transverse Ising model

We consider the transverse Ising model with long-range interactions, described by the Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{i<j} J_{i,j} \hat{\sigma}_i^x \hat{\sigma}_j^x + B \sum_i \hat{\sigma}_i^z.
\]

Here, the \(\hat{\sigma}_i^\alpha\) denote the local Pauli matrices (\(\alpha = x, z\)), \(J_{i,j}\) is a general interaction matrix with potentially long-range interactions, and \(B\) is the transverse field. This Hamiltonian can be realized experimentally, e.g., with a string of trapped ions that are harmonically confined in a linear trap, as depicted in Fig. 1. Using two stable (or metastable) electronic states of these ions as local spin representations at site \(i\), \(|\uparrow\rangle_i\) and \(|\downarrow\rangle_i\), it has been shown \([23]\) that one can use collective couplings of these local states to motional degrees of freedom of the whole chain to produce the effective spin model \((1)\) [an example of \(J_{i,j}\) for the ion-trap experiment, “case B” of Sec. IV, is shown in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)]. Note that, throughout this paper, we will deal with open boundary conditions, which are typical in ion-chain experiments.

We define the local eigenstates of \(\hat{\sigma}_i^z\) as \(|0\rangle_i = \downarrow\rangle_i\) and \(|1\rangle_i = \uparrow\rangle_i\), with eigenvalues \(-1\) and \(1\), respectively. We consider a quench experiment [see Fig. 1(a)], where the system starts in the fully polarized state \(|\psi_0\rangle = \prod_i |0\rangle_i\),
which is the ground state for $B(t = 0) \to \infty$. We are interested in the nonequilibrium dynamics of the many-body quantum state under a coherent evolution, i.e., $\langle \hbar = 1 \rangle$:

$$|\psi_t\rangle = e^{-it\hat{H}}|\psi_0\rangle = \sum_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_M} c_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_M}(t)|\psi_k\rangle,$$

with $i_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

We will concentrate on the case $J_{i,j} > 0$ for all $i, j$. However, we note that, nevertheless, we obtain solutions for both the ferromagnetic and the antiferromagnetic case. Since we start in a state with a real probability amplitude in the spin basis, the evolution for any observable $\hat{O}_z$ (with $\hat{O}_z^\dagger = \hat{O}_z$), such as a density matrix of any subsystem, is completely symmetric under the time-reversal transformation $t \to -t$. This can be seen by the fact that

$$\langle \psi_t | \hat{O}_z | \psi_t \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | \cos(\hat{H}t)\hat{O}_z \cos(\hat{H}t) | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$+ \langle \psi_0 | \sin(\hat{H}t)\hat{O}_z \sin(\hat{H}t) | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi_{-t} | \hat{O}_z | \psi_{-t} \rangle,$$

where the cross terms have to vanish because of the real coefficients of the initial state and the fact that the expectation value must be real. Thus, the evolution of any observable is identical under both Hamiltonians $\hat{H}$ and $-\hat{H}$. Therefore, the results we obtain for $B > 0$ with the antiferromagnetic ($J_{i,j} > 0$) model are identical to the ferromagnetic model ($J_{i,j} < 0$) with either a negative field $-B$ or a rotated initial state.

In this paper, we will show how the entanglement growth behavior changes with the strength of the magnetic field and the range of the interactions. Initially, we will idealize the interaction matrix, taking the form

$$J_{i,j} = \frac{\tilde{J}}{|r - j|\alpha},$$

where $\tilde{J}$ denotes the nearest-neighbor interaction strength and $\alpha$ is the decay exponent. This gives a good representation of the basic behavior of the interactions, but in real experiments, there are typically small deviations from the purely algebraic behavior of the interactions. In Sec. IV, we will consider a full interaction matrix $J_{i,j}$ for real experimental parameters, as well as the effects of noise in the experiment.

### B. Spatial entanglement

In characterizing the growth of spatial entanglement in the spin chain, we will make use of two complementary measures: The von Neumann entropy for a bipartite splitting of the chain in the center of the system, and the quantum mutual information between two distant spins. The former gives a measure of the overall entanglement buildup, and it also gives an idea of the complexity of the state being generated. The latter measure will give more detailed information as to how correlations propagate spatially, and it will also help us to characterize what part of the entanglement buildup is due to propagation of quasiparticles produced in the quench and which part is due to direct interactions through long-range interactions. Both of these measures are accessible in experiments, though the mutual information is substantially less costly to measure (see Sec. IV B for more information).

#### 1. Half-chain von Neumann entropy

Consider a chain of $M$ spins as depicted in Fig. 1(a). We can split this system into two halves, $L$ and $R$, in the center of the system. In the case that the (pure) state of the composite system $|\psi\rangle$ cannot be written as a product state of two states on the subsystems $L$ and $R$, i.e., $|\psi\rangle \neq |\psi_L\rangle|\psi_R\rangle$, we call the state entangled. The reduced density matrix of the subsystem $L$ is defined via $\rho_L = \text{tr}_R(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$, where $\text{tr}_R$ denotes the partial trace over the system $R$. This density matrix will only be pure for a product state, and in the case of an entangled state, the amount of bipartite entanglement is quantified by the von Neumann half-chain entropy of this matrix, which is defined as

$$S_{vN} = S(\rho_L) = -\text{tr}(\rho_L \log_2 \rho_L).$$

The time-dependent growth of the half-chain entropy summarizes the buildup of quantum correlations between two halves of the system. In a sense, it also underlies the complexity of numerical simulations of the quench when using matrix product state (MPS) representations. As we show in Appendix A, the size of the MPS, represented by the bond dimension $D$, has to grow exponentially as a function of time in the case where $S_{vN}$ grows linearly. Regimes of linear entanglement growth in time are thus important in demonstrating the power of a quantum simulator since realizing such regimes is a necessary requirement in order to observe dynamics that cannot be captured by state-of-the-art numerical techniques over long time scales [15,16].

#### 2. Quantum mutual information

An alternative measure, which gives more information on the distance of correlations, is the quantum mutual information between two distant spins $i$ and $j$. In an experiment, this is also more straightforward to measure than the von Neumann entropy for a bipartite splitting into two large blocks (see Sec. IV B), and it clearly allows one to distinguish different regimes of entanglement growth. The quantum mutual information is defined as

$$I_{i,j} = S_{vN}(\rho_i) + S_{vN}(\rho_j) - S_{vN}(\rho_{ij}).$$

Here, $\rho_i = \text{tr}_{k \neq i}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ and $\rho_j = \text{tr}_{k \neq j}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ denote the reduced density matrices of the single spins (obtained by tracing over all other spins $k$), and $\rho_{ij} = \text{tr}_{k \neq i,j}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ is the reduced density matrix of the composite system of the two spins.
Note that one has to be careful when interpreting the half-chain entropy and the quantum mutual information in an experiment in which the quantum state of the whole chain is, in general, mixed because of coupling to the environment and classical noise. In general, the von Neumann entropy for each reduced density matrix is expected to increase compared to the zero-temperature case [47]. We will consider these imperfections in Sec. IV.

III. ENTANGLEMENT GROWTH DYNAMICS

In this section, we study the evolution of the entanglement after the quench. We identify three very different regimes: (i) For relatively short-range interactions \( \alpha \geq 1 \) (depending on the system size), we find a linear growth of the half-chain entropy as a function of time, which we can understand in terms of free quasiparticle propagation within an effective Lieb-Robinson light-cone. (ii) For long-range interactions \( \alpha \sim 0.8, 0.9, 1 \), we find a regime where the half-chain entropy grows logarithmically. (iii) For nearly infinite-range interactions with \( \alpha \leq 0.2 \), we find rapid oscillations of the half-chain entropy around small values, which we can understand in an effective Dicke-state model [48]. We treat case (i) in Sec. III A, case (ii) in Sec. III B 1, and case (iii) in Sec. III B 2. In Sec. III C, we show how the entanglement growth in regime (i) depends on the transversal field \( B \), and we discover a connection between the entanglement growth rate and the underlying ground-state phase diagram of the model.

A. Entanglement dynamics for relatively short-range interactions (\( \alpha \geq 1 \))

1. Nearest-neighbor interactions

To understand the entanglement entropy growth behavior in this regime, it is instructive to first revise the case of nearest-neighbor interactions, i.e., an interaction matrix (4) with a decay exponent \( \alpha \to \infty \), and discuss the dynamics of the quantities we study here. In this limit, the model Hamiltonian (1) becomes a standard transverse Ising model of the form

\[
H = \sum_q \epsilon_q \left( \gamma_q^\dagger \gamma_q - \frac{1}{2} \right),
\]

(8)

The \( \gamma_q \) (\( \gamma_q^\dagger \)) are the annihilation (creation) operators for a fermionic quasiparticle with quasimomentum \( q \), which obey the anticommutation relations \( \{ \gamma_q, \gamma_p^\dagger \} = \delta_{q,p} \). In the thermodynamic limit, i.e., for a chain of infinite length, the quasimomenta become continuous \(-\pi < qa < \pi \) (\( a \) is the spatial separation between the spins), and the dispersion relation of the free particles is twofold degenerate for \( q = -q \neq 0 \) and given by \( \epsilon_q = 2\sqrt{(J - B)^2 + 4JB \sin^2(qa/2)} \). The group velocity of quasiparticle excitations in this system is given by \( v_g(q)/a = d\epsilon_g/d(qa) \). The maximum velocity of the quasiparticles gives rise to the Lieb-Robinson bound, which defines an effective light cone for spatial correlations, outside of which the correlations are exponentially suppressed [42]. This sets an upper linear bound on the block entropy growth, as we will see below. It is straightforward to calculate that the fastest particles move at a Lieb-Robinson velocity \( v_R = \max|v_g| = 2aJ \) for \( B \geq 0 \) and \( v_R = 2aB \) for \( B < 0 \).

Following [43], we can understand the entanglement distribution mechanism in model (8) as follows: In a coherent time evolution, the initially excited state acts as a source for quasiparticle excitations. Pairs of the free fermions with quasimomenta \( p \) and \(-p \), which have been created at a certain point in space, are entangled pairs. These pairs move freely through the system with corresponding group velocities \( v_g \) and \(-v_g \), respectively. Parts of pairs that have been produced in block \( L \) and arrive in block \( R \) entangle the two blocks. An illustration of this mechanism is given in Fig. 2(a). Thus, the arrival rate in block \( R \) for quasiparticles belonging to a pair created in block \( L \) is constant. Therefore, the increase of half-chain entropy is linear, and we expect \( S_N = \eta \nu_R t \), with some constant \( \eta \). Since the group velocity is limited by the Lieb-Robinson bound, \( S_N \leq \eta \nu_R t \).

We can test this mechanism explicitly by making use of the boundary effects with open boundary conditions. Consider a quasiparticle pair, which has been created at the left edge of block \( L \) and moves at the Lieb-Robinson velocity. As soon as the right-moving quasiparticle arrives in block \( R \), the linear entanglement increase has to break down since there are no more entangled pairs available to the left that could further entangle blocks \( L \) and \( R \). We can estimate the time at which this happens as \( t^* = (M/2)/v_R \), which corresponds to \( t^* = (M/4)J \) for \( B \geq 0 \) and to \( t^* = (M/4B) \) for \( B < 0 \). In Fig. 2(b), we plot a comparison of this critical time with a numerical exact diagonalization simulation (ED; see Appendix A for details) of the half-chain entropy evolution for \( B = J \) for increasingly large system sizes of \( 10 \leq M \leq 20 \) spins. We see that, as expected exactly at the critical time, for each system size, the
FIG. 2.  Entanglement growth after a quantum quench in the transverse Ising model in which nearest-neighbor interactions are introduced suddenly. (a) Illustration of entanglement distribution, via entangled quasiparticle pair excitations that move within a Lieb-Robinson light cone. Boundary effects for this system with open boundary conditions stop the linear increase at a critical time \( t^* \). (b–d) Time evolution of half-chain entropies for \( M = 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, \) and \( 20 \) spins (ED calculation). (b) Boundary effects as a breakdown of the linear growth. Respective critical times calculated for the free fermion model are shown as vertical lines. (c) The crossover from the oscillatory behavior for \( B = 0 \) (dots: analytical result) to a linear increase \( (M = 20) \). With decreasing \( B \), boundary effects shift to later times; critical times are indicated as vertical arrows. (d) The half-chain entropy growth, which is fastest for \( B = 1 \) and decreases again for \( B > 1 \) \((M = 20)\).

The quench experiment for \( B = J \) is special in the sense that this is a quench to the critical point of the quantum phase transition of Hamiltonian \((7)\). We will now ask how the entanglement growth depends on \( B \). In the limit of \( B \rightarrow \infty \), the initial state becomes an eigenstate of the system and no evolution will take place, i.e., \( S_{\text{SN}}(t) = 0 \). On the other hand, in the limit of \( B \rightarrow 0 \), the Hamiltonian has a spectrum with \( M \) degenerate levels, which are separated by an energy of \( \sim J \) (spin flips). Thus, in the latter case, we expect dynamics which is dominated by oscillations between those levels at a frequency scale given by \( J \). Indeed, for \( B = 0 \) it is straightforward to calculate analytically that \( S_{\text{SN}}(t) = -\cos^2(Jt)\log_2[\cos^2(Jt)] - \sin^2(Jt)\log_2[\sin^2(Jt)] \). In Fig. 2(c), we find the expected behavior for \( B < J \) in an exact diagonalization simulation of a system with 20 spins. For increasing \( B \), the oscillatory behavior of \( S_{\text{SN}} \) (which fits the analytical result) breaks down and changes into a linear increase before boundary effects become important. Since the Lieb-Robinson velocity decreases with \( B \) for \( B < J \), correspondingly the boundary effects shift to later times for smaller \( B \) [critical times \( t^* \) are indicated as vertical arrows in Fig. 2(c)]. It is interesting to note that in this case the maximum value of \( S_{\text{SN}} \) can actually be larger than for \( B = J \). In Fig. 2(d), we analyze the opposite case of \( B > J \). Remarkably, we find that, also away from the critical point, the half-chain entropy growth becomes slower with increasing \( B \). Below, we will find that this also holds for finite-range interactions with \( \alpha \approx 2 \) and that the fastest entanglement growth precisely follows the point of the phase transition.

2. Finite-range interactions (\( \alpha \approx 1 \))

We now investigate the situation of relatively short-ranged interactions, which extend beyond nearest neighbors and decay algebraically with \( \alpha \approx 1 \). In Fig. 3, we demonstrate that the picture of entanglement distribution via entangled quasiparticle pair propagation also holds for a large range of finite \( \alpha \approx 1 \) (depending on the system size). We find that, despite the existence of direct spin-spin interactions over all distances, \( \alpha \approx 1 \) is special in the sense that the increase is linear. For \( \alpha = 1.5 \), we find a slight change in the behavior in the sense that the results for \( M = 20 \) and \( M = 50 \) start to differ. When we further increase the range of interactions, we find that this linear growth changes to a logarithmic one, as we demonstrate in Fig. 3(b) using a t-DMRG calculation with \( \alpha = 0.8, 0.9, 1 \) and for \( M = 30, 40, 50 \). We treat this case in more detail in Sec. III B 1.

In Fig. 3(c), we show an overview over the regime of linear half-chain entropy growth and its finite-size scaling. Therefore, as a function of system size and \( \alpha \), we plot the error of a linear fit, \( \epsilon_{\text{fit}} \), which is defined as a 95%-confidence interval on the slope coefficient and it is cut off at 6%. In large systems, we find that the linear
increase (small error) breaks down at $\alpha \sim 1$. For smaller systems, boundary effects and finite-size effects become significant, and the linear regime breaks down at larger $\alpha$. Note that the change in behavior for large systems at $\alpha \sim 1$ can, in a sense, be understood since this marks the point at which, in the thermodynamic limit, the sum in the interaction term in the Hamiltonian begins to diverge with increasing system size.

We can also identify the regime of linear growth of $S_{SN}$ by looking at the mutual information between distant spins. In the upper panel of Fig. 3(d), we plot the time evolution of the mutual information $I_{1,8}$, between sites 1 and 8, for $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ in a system of 20 spins and for $B = J$. As a clear signature of the regime of linear growth of the half-chain entropy, we find that the mutual information remains nearly zero for a certain time until it suddenly peaks at a time corresponding to the arrival of an “entangling” quasiparticle pair originally produced on a site between the two spins. For nearest-neighbor interactions, this arrival time is consistent with the analytically calculated Lieb-Robinson velocity (cf. Appendix B), and we find that the same mechanism still holds for rather long-ranged interactions of $\alpha \sim 2$. In contrast, for the regime of logarithmic growth of $S_{SN}$, we find a markedly different behavior [lower panel of Fig. 3(d)], which is discussed in the next section.

We emphasize that the fact that the entanglement growth mechanism is directly reflected in the time dependence of the mutual information between two distant spins is very important for experimental observations. Instead of having to reconstruct $2^{(M/2)} \times 2^{(M/2)}$ density-matrix elements of a large block via quantum-state tomography, the growth behavior of the half-chain entropy can be directly verified by measuring only $4 \times 4$ density matrices for a system of two composite spins. In Sec. IV B, we will show how the measurement further simplifies for the particular quench we consider here.

B. Entanglement dynamics for long-range interactions

In this section, we study the entanglement growth for very long-range interactions with $\alpha \leq 1$. In this regime, the picture of entangling quasiparticles that move freely within a light cone breaks down, and instead distant parts of the system can become almost instantaneously entangled based on direct interactions. We observe that for $\alpha \sim 0.8, 0.9, 1$, the half-chain entropy can still increase steadily as a function of time for our quench, but that the increase becomes logarithmic instead of linear. When further increasing the range of interactions for $\alpha \leq 0.2$, we find a regime where $S_{SN}$ oscillates rapidly around small values. We understand this behavior via an effective model in a basis of Dicke states [48] for infinite-range interactions $\alpha = 0$.

1. Logarithmic entropy growth

When increasing the range of interactions, eventually the linear growth of $S_{SN}$ breaks down, and the growth becomes logarithmic, as shown in Fig. 3(b). For very long-range interactions, the time scale of the dynamics is dominated by the interaction-energy term in the Hamiltonian. Thus, to make a valid comparison, it is favorable to measure the time in inverse units of the matrix norm instead of $\tilde{J}$. For Hamiltonian (1), we can calculate...
the Frobenius norm as \( \|H\| = 2^{M/2} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{M} J_{j}^2 + MB^2} \). The \( 2^{M/2} \) prefactor is due to the exponential growth of the Hilbert space with \( M \), and we define the time unit per spin realistically as \( \Delta \tau^{-1} = \|H\| 2^{-M/2} \). In Fig. 3(b), we plot the evolution of the von Neumann entropy in these units for system sizes of \( M = 30, 40, 50 \), for \( \alpha = 0.8, 0.9, 1 \) and for \( B = 0.7J, 1J, 1.3J \). If, ideally, the entropy increases logarithmically without oscillation, \( S_{vN} = \log_2(Ct + 1) \), with some constant \( C \). On an exponential scale, i.e., by plotting \( 2^{S_{vN}(t)} \), we would see a straight line. In Fig. 3(b), we indeed find oscillations around a straight line. It is remarkable that, for a fixed value of \( B \), independently of the system size and for all \( \alpha = 0.8, 0.9, 1 \), we find roughly the same constant \( C \) in units of \( \Delta \tau^{-1} \). With increasing \( B \), i.e., for quenches that put an increasing amount of energy into the system, the constant increases. For interactions with \( \alpha \leq 0.7 \), the oscillations become more dominant so that the logarithmic increase is hard to verify.

Also, the time evolution of the mutual information between distant spins shows a completely different qualitative behavior for \( \alpha \approx 1 \) than for \( \alpha \approx 1 \). In Fig. 3(d), we show the evolution of \( I_{1,8} \) after the quench for a system of 20 spins (\( B = 0 \)) for \( \alpha \approx 0.2 \). In the upper panel of Fig. 3(d), we find that the incoming-wave picture breaks down when \( \alpha \) decreases from \( \alpha = 2 \) to \( \alpha = 1 \). In the latter case, we find a mixed behavior, where a wave peak is still roughly visible around \( tJ \sim 3 \); however, peaks also appear for very short times. These peaks indicate that, because of the long-range part of the interaction, distant parts of the system become rapidly entangled with an immediate increase in correlations after the quench. When further increasing the interaction range [lower panel of Fig. 3(d)], these contributions to \( I_{1,8} \) become dominant and the quasiparticle peak disappears completely. While for \( \alpha \sim 1 \), \( I_{1,8} \) still shows some slow overall increase as a function of time, in the case of nearly infinite-range interactions (\( \alpha \approx 0.2 \)), we only find rapid oscillations around a constant value of \( I_{1,8} \sim 0.1 \). In an experiment, the decrease in the height of the short-time peak of the mutual information could be used as an indicator for the crossover from the logarithmic half-chain entropy growth regime to the linear one. Furthermore, we find that this height, in contrast to the frequency of the oscillations, is independent of the system size.

### 2. Entanglement dynamics for infinite-range interactions

To understand the rapid oscillations and small half-chain entropy for decay exponents of \( \alpha \approx 0.2 \), it is instructive to consider the case of infinite-range interactions, i.e., \( \alpha = 0 \). In this limit, each spin interacts with equal strength with all others and the (“mean-field”) Hamiltonian reads

\[
\hat{H} = J \sum_{i<j} \hat{\sigma}_i^x \hat{\sigma}_j^x + B \sum_i \hat{\sigma}_i^z.
\]

As in the nearest-neighbor case, this limit is analytically exactly solvable. We can introduce effective spin-\( M/2 \) operators \( S_{x,y,z} \equiv \sum_i \hat{\sigma}_{i,x,y,z} \). With these, we can rewrite Hamiltonian (9) as

\[
\hat{H} = \frac{J}{2} S_x^2 + BS_z - \frac{J}{2} M.
\]

In the literature, this model is well known as the LMG model, and its entanglement properties have been studied, e.g., in [45,46]. A basis for Hamiltonian (10) is given by Dicke spin-\( M/2 \) states, which are defined as

\[
S = \frac{M}{2}, m_z = n_1 - \frac{M}{2} \equiv S\{n_0, n_1\}.
\]

Here, \( n_0, n_1 \) denote the number of spins (down and up, respectively), and \( S \) is the symmetrization operator. For example, for a system of four spins, we would have an effective spin-\( 2 \) model, and one particular Dicke state would be \( |S = 2, m_z = -1\rangle = (|0001\rangle + |0010\rangle + |1000\rangle + |1000\rangle)/2 \). In this picture, the quench experiment is equivalent to a free evolution under Hamiltonian (10) with the initial state \( |\psi_0\rangle = |S = M/2, m_z = -M/2\rangle \).

It is straightforward to calculate the half-chain von Neumann entropy for an arbitrary Dicke state [51] (see Appendix B for more details). One finds that

\[
S_{vN} = -\sum_l p_l \log_2(p_l),
\]

where \( p_l \) are combinatorial factors depending only on the number of single up spins of the corresponding Dicke state and \( 0 \leq l \leq M/2 \). Example results are plotted in Fig. 4(a), and it is important to note that, simply because the sum in (12) contains a maximum of \( M/2 + 1 \) terms, the entropy is bounded by \( S_{vN} \leq \log_2(M/2 + 1) \).
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(a) The half-chain entropy for single Dicke states as a function of the number of spins up and for system sizes \( M = 10, \ldots, 100 \). (b) The time evolution of the half-chain entropy in our specific quench experiment for a chain of 50 spins. As shown in the text, the entropy is bounded by a constant \( S_{vN} \leq \log_2(M/4 + 1) \).
In our quench, the time-dependent state will assume a superposition of Dicke states, $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{m} c_m(t)|M/2, m\rangle$, with $c_{m}(t = 0) = \delta_{m,-M/2}$. In the small Dicke Hilbert space, the time evolution can be easily numerically simulated, and we can, at any instance in time, construct the reduced density matrix for one half of the system. From this density matrix, the von Neumann entropy can be readily calculated, and examples are shown in Fig. 4(b). Again, we find, simply by noting that the dimension of the $M/4$ Dicke subspace is $M/2 + 1$, that for an arbitrary Dicke-state superposition, the von Neumann entropy is limited by $S_{vN} \leq \log_2(M/2 + 1)$. However, for our specific experiment, a tighter bound can be found. Since the Hamiltonian (10) only couples spin states with $m = \pm 2$, the time-dependent coefficients $c_m(t)$ can only be nonzero for states with $m = -M/2, -M/2 + 2, \ldots, M/2$. Assuming an even number of spins, the entropy is then limited by $S_{vN} \leq \log_2(M/4 + 1)$, which is in agreement with the exact von Neumann entropy evolution, as shown in Fig. 4(b).

**C. Ground-state phase-transition point and entanglement growth**

In this section, we study how the linear growth of $S_{vN}$ for $\alpha \approx 2$ depends on the value of $B$ and the decay exponent $\alpha$. We focus on the case $J = 1$, $B > 0$. For ground states, as for the nearest-neighbor transverse Ising model, the long-range model undergoes a quantum phase transition from an antiferromagnetic to a paramagnetic phase at a critical field $B_c$ for all decay exponents $\alpha$ [52]. For example, for $\alpha = 3$ it has been calculated that $B_c = 0.83$ [54]. As shown in Ref. [54], we can estimate the point of the phase transition by locating the discontinuity of the transverse magnetization, i.e., the jump in $d^2m_z/dB^2$, where $m_z = \sum_m \langle m | z | m \rangle$. In order to do this for moderately large systems, we use a MPO Lanczos diagonalization for 100 spins.
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**FIG. 5.** The half-chain entropy growth rate $c_{\alpha}$ from a linear fit $0 < J < 3$ after the quench ($M = 20$, ED). Each $c_{\alpha}$ is normalized to its maximum value in the range $0.6 < B < 1$, $c_{\alpha} = [dS_{vN}/dt]/\max[dS_{vN}/dt(B)]$. The dashed line is the contour for maximum growth, i.e., $c_{\alpha} = 1/J$. The points show the location of the quantum phase transition, which we extract from a MPO Lanczos diagonalization for 100 spins.

**IV. ENTANGLEMENT GROWTH AND MEASUREMENT USING TRAPPED IONS**

**A. Entanglement growth for realistic experimental parameters**

In this section, we ask to what extent the effects shown in the previous sections are experimentally observable in ion traps. Therefore, we consider two experimentally realistic full interaction matrices $J_{i,j}$, which show the characteristic behavior of linear entanglement growth and logarithmic growth. In case A, over short distances, the averaged interactions decay as $\alpha < 1$ (logarithmic growth regime), and in case B, they decay as $\alpha \approx 2$ (linear growth regime), as depicted in Fig. 6(a). We define the energy unit by the largest element of $J_{i,j}$, which we denote $\hat{J}$ in this section.

We consider a linear chain of 20 ions that interact via the mechanism described in [31,32]. In summary, a force is applied that couples the electronic “spin” state of the ions to the spectrum of closely spaced (nearly frequency degenerate) vibrational modes transverse to the ion string. By setting the driving force to be far off resonance, the phonon states can be adiabatically eliminated, allowing an analogue simulation. While the simulations presented are for $^{40}$Ca$^+$ ions driven with bichromatic laser fields, similar interaction matrices can be derived in a number of other systems. The exact experimental parameters considered are given in Appendix C.

We show the evolution of the half-chain entropy and the mutual information $I_{1,5}$ in Figs. 6(b) and 6(c), respectively. As expected from the averaged decay of the interactions in both cases A and B and from the previous discussions, we find that for the case B, $S_{vN}$ increases linearly in time, while for A, the growth behavior is logarithmic. Accordingly, we find the behavior of the mutual information as we have found it for the case of homogeneously decaying interactions: In case B, the initial mutual information is zero, and a peak appears at a time around $2.8/\hat{J}$. In case A, in the logarithmic regime, we find an instantaneous increase of $I_1$ due to the long-range part of the interactions, which entangles distant parts instantaneously.
In a realistic experiment setup, the string of ions will be subjected to noise. Here, we consider the two most significant imperfections: (i) fluctuations in the energy splitting of the electronic states used to encode a spin (e.g., due to ambient magnetic field fluctuations) and (ii) fluctuations in the coupling strength between the spin-dependent force mechanism and the ions (e.g., due to laser-intensity fluctuations). Noise of the form (i) will lead to a correlated rotation of the qubits around the $z$ axis, while noise of type (ii) causes a stochastic fluctuation of the overall interaction strength $J$. We idealize both cases as white noise fluctuations $\xi(t)$, $\langle \xi(0)\xi(t) \rangle = s_\alpha \delta(t)$ with strength $s_\alpha$ (the bar denotes the time average). The evolution of the state can then be described by a Stratonovich stochastic Schrödinger equation [55,56]

$$d|\psi\rangle = -i\hat{H}|\psi\rangle dt - i\sqrt{s_\alpha}\hat{L}_\alpha|\psi\rangle dW(t),$$

(14)

where $dW(t)$ is the Wiener increment, and $\hat{L}_\alpha$ is the noise (jump) operator. For case (i), $L_1 = B\sum_i \hat{\sigma}_i^z$; for case (ii), $L_2 = \sum_{i,j} J_{i,j} \hat{\sigma}_i^x \hat{\sigma}_j^x$. Equivalently, we can derive a master equation for the evolution of the full density matrix,

$$d\rho = -i[H, \rho] - \frac{s_\alpha}{2}[\hat{L}_\alpha, [\hat{L}_\alpha, \rho]],$$

(15)

In the long-time limit, the master equation drives the system into a state that commutes with the jump operators. For noise of type (i), this is a state diagonal in the $z$ basis, and for type (ii), it is a state diagonal in the $x$ basis. For the time scales of the experiment, the dynamics consists of a complicated interplay between the coherent evolution and the dissipative part. In general, we expect that noise of type (i) leads to a global dephasing in the sense that it will reduce the purity of the full state and thus result in a slightly higher measured entropy, whereas noise of type (ii) can be more complicated because an overall fluctuation of $J$ acts with different strengths between different spins according to $J_{i,j}$. We can simulate the evolution of the master equation numerically by evolving the stochastic Schrödinger equation in time using a first-order semi-implicit method with strong order 1.0 convergence [55] and statistically averaging over a large amount of trajectories.

In Figs. 6(b) and 6(c), we find that, as expected, the noise adds an additional entropy growth as a function of time for both the half-chain entropy and the mutual information. However, the underlying entropy features, which arise from the entanglement buildup in the coherent evolution, remain clearly visible. For example, instead of the short-time initial mutual information being zero in the regime of the linear $S_{Nl}$ growth regime, for noise of type (i) we find an overall increase of $I_{1,5}$ as a function of time. Nevertheless, the quasiparticle peak clearly remains observable even in the presence of the noise. In general, we find that the overall entropy growth that is induced by the fluctuations on $B$ is larger than the one induced by fluctuations on $J_{i,j}$, in particular, we find that the mutual information between distant spins is very robust against noise on the coupling strength because of the decay of $J_{i,j}$ with distance. In case A, we find that for long times, the characteristic logarithmic growth eventually breaks down because of the entropy increase from the noise; however, for $t\bar{J} \lesssim 3$, it remains observable. In general, these results suggest that the mutual information is a very robust experimental measure for the entanglement growth behavior of the systems, which, furthermore, can be easily extracted from experimental data, as we show in the next section.

FIG. 6. (a) The averaged normalized interactions for the two experimental setups (grey dots). In case A, interactions decay with $\alpha < 1$ over short distances; in case B, they decay as $\alpha \sim 2$. (b) The evolution of the half-chain von Neumann entropy $S_{Nl}$ (exponential scale for case A) in a system with 20 ions (ED). The solid line is the idealized noiseless case. The dashed lines in (b) and (c) are for fluctuations of the magnetic field with $s_1 = 0.01/\bar{J}$; the dotted lines in (b) and (c) are for fluctuations in the coupling strength with $s_2 = 0.01/\bar{J}$ (200 noise trajectories). (c) Time evolution of the mutual information $I_{1,5}$. The characteristic features of the two entanglement growth regimes survive in the presence of the noise.

B. Measurements of block entropies and mutual information

We will now briefly review how the entanglement measures we used in this paper can be measured experimentally. To calculate the von Neumann entropy of a subsystem $A$ of $l$ spins (which do not have to be next to each other), one can simply measure the reduced density
matrix of that block. While the process of measuring this matrix is known as quantum-state tomography, we show that for our particular experimental setup, this tomography simplifies significantly.

The reduced density matrix of $A$, after tracing over the remaining system, is

$$\tilde{\rho}_A = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{\rho}_A^{\alpha \beta} |\alpha\rangle \langle \beta|,$$  

(16)

where bold greek symbols denote the set of indices for the subsystem of spins, i.e., all $2^M_\alpha$ binary representations of $M_A$ spins: $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_M)$ with $\alpha_k \in \{0, 1\}$. The diagonal elements of $\tilde{\rho}_A$ can be easily measured. They are the probabilities for finding the spin combination $\alpha$, $p_\alpha$. The off-diagonal elements are more challenging but reduce to the measurement of spin correlations.

For our experimental situation, we can make use of the fact that for any time-evolved state $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha(t) |\alpha\rangle$, $e_\alpha(t) = 0 \leftrightarrow \bigoplus_k^M \alpha_k = 1,$  

(17)

where $\bigoplus$ denotes the sum modulo 2. This result is easily verified by the fact that the matrix elements of any power of Hamiltonian (1) $\langle \alpha | \hat{H}^n | \beta \rangle = 0$ for $\bigoplus_k^M \alpha_k = \bigoplus_k^M \beta_k$. Thus, since we start in the state with $\epsilon_\alpha(t=0) = \delta_{\alpha, 0}$, the time-evolution operator $\exp(-i t \hat{H}) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n=0} \exp(-i t \hat{H})^{\alpha} / n!$ can only produce states with nonzero coefficients $e_\alpha(t)$ for which $\bigoplus_k^M \alpha_k = 0$. Thus, half the elements of any reduced density matrix calculated from the time-evolved state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ will always remain zero. The remaining spin-spin correlations consist only of $\sigma_i$ and $\sigma_j$ terms.

We illustrate this for the example of a subsystem of a single spin ($l = 1$) and two spins ($l = 2$) and show how to reconstruct the corresponding density matrix. In the case of a single spin, the density matrix will be diagonal:

$$\tilde{\rho}_1 = p_{00} |0\rangle \langle 0| + p_{11} |1\rangle \langle 1|.$$  

(18)

Here, the off-diagonal part completely vanishes since trivially, for all $\tilde{\rho}_1^{\alpha \beta}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\alpha \oplus \beta = 1$. For a block of two spins, the density matrix becomes

$$\tilde{\rho}_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
  p_{00} & 0 & 0 & p_{11}^{11}
p_{00} & 0 & p_{10}^{10} & 0
0 & p_{10}^{10} & p_{11}^{11} & 0
(p_{11}^{11})^* & 0 & 0 & p_{11}^{11}
 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(19)

The six density-matrix values are all that have to be measured. To experimentally obtain the off-diagonal elements, one has to measure the real and imaginary parts of $\rho_{00}^{11}$ and $\rho_{10}^{10}$. This can be done by expanding those elements into spin-spin correlations via

$$\text{Re} (\rho_{00}^{11}) = (\hat{\sigma}^x \otimes \hat{\sigma}^x + \hat{\sigma}^y \otimes \hat{\sigma}^y)/4,$$  

(20)

and

$$\text{Im} (\rho_{00}^{11}) = (\hat{\sigma}^x \otimes \hat{\sigma}^y + \hat{\sigma}^y \otimes \hat{\sigma}^x)/4,$$  

(21)

and

$$\text{Re} (\rho_{00}^{11}) = (\hat{\sigma}^x \otimes \hat{\sigma}^x - \hat{\sigma}^y \otimes \hat{\sigma}^y)/4,$$  

(22)

which means that four spin correlations have to be measured. Thus, for the whole density matrix $\tilde{\rho}_2$, a total of eight expectation values have to be experimentally determined. Note that this is a simplified version of full quantum-state tomography for two qubits [22]; however, a full state tomography, i.e., a measurement of all density-matrix elements, might be useful to quantify the deviations of the other elements from zero and therefore get a measure for experimental deviations.

From the density matrices, one can directly extract the corresponding von Neumann entropy. Note, however, that one can also calculate Renyi entropies of arbitrary order $n$. These entropies are defined as $S[n](\rho) = \log_n [\text{tr}(\tilde{\rho}^n)]/(1 - n)$ and can serve as lower bounds to the von Neumann entropy. In an analogous fashion, one can use these entropies to define mutual information.

A generalization of the density-matrix measurement to larger blocks is straightforward. In particular, for a block length of $l$ sites, one has to measure a total amount of $[2^{2l - 2} + 2^{l - 1}]$ density-matrix values. For example, to clearly observe a linear entanglement increase for $\alpha = 2$, one would have to simulate the system until a time of $\sim 2/\bar{J}$ [cf. Fig. 3(a)]. Before finite-size effects of the block size play a role, one therefore has to consider a block with $l = 8$. While this seems to be achievable in current experiments (full state tomography for systems consisting of eight ions have been reported in [57]), we emphasize that ultimately the direct measurement of entanglement entropies of larger blocks becomes essentially impossible. This result is due to the fact that the number of correlation functions that have to be measured exponentially increases with the block size $l$. However, it is important to note that the mutual information can always be extracted by only using blocks of $l = 1$ and $l = 2$.

Alternatively to measuring the mutual information, the problem of measuring entanglement entropies of large blocks could be overcome by using recently proposed measurement schemes, which rely on the preparation of identical copies of the same state [58,59]. In this case, either Rabi oscillations of a quantum switch (coupled to the copies [60]) or “beam-splitter” operations between those copies and repeated measurements of the spin configurations [61] could be used for an experimental estimation of Renyi entropies. Multiple copies could, for example, be realized by performing the quench identically for cotrapped strings in microtraps. Alternatively, one could use a single, large string and hiding pulses to effectively realize two copies.
V. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

We have studied the dynamical evolution of entanglement in quench experiments in ion traps. We found that a regime of linear half-chain von Neumann entropy growth is present even for relatively long-range interactions with interaction decay exponents of $\alpha \geq 1$. The growth rate is sensitive to the underlying low-energy spectrum and notably largest at the point of the quantum phase transition, which varies with changing $\alpha$. For longer-range interactions, we find a regime of logarithmic entropy growth ($\alpha \leq 1$), and for infinite-range interactions ($\alpha = 0$), the entropy remains bound by a small constant and oscillates rapidly. We showed that mutual information between distant parts of the system can be experimentally measured and used to distinguish the different regimes.

The entanglement entropy growth behavior has important implications for t-DMRG/ MPS/MPO algorithms on classical computers since it underlies the complexity of these algorithms. For a specific experimental example, we have shown that this regime is in reach for an experimental quantum simulator, which means that we can find a regime in these systems that fulfills a necessary condition realization of a quantum simulator regime where state-of-the-art numerical simulations on classical computers can become inefficient. While this idea provides a general strong motivation for experiments in this regime, we emphasize that, in future work, the study of dynamics of different types of, e.g., multipartite entanglement as a resource for specific quantum-information applications could also be interesting.

We emphasize that ion-trap experiments are not the only experimental realizations in which the entanglement dynamics studied here could be observed. For example, exactly the same spin model [62] and also more complicated models with long-range interactions can be realized in systems with polar molecules [63,64] or Rydberg atoms [65–68] in optical lattices. These systems would have the disadvantage that the decay exponent is not directly tunable; however, they could have the advantage that half-chain entropies might be easier to measure directly, by employing schemes that rely on the preparation of multiple copies in an optical lattice [61].

In the final stages of preparing our manuscript, we became aware of some related work [69], in which a local quench in an Ising model with algebraically decaying interactions is studied, together with the resulting spread of quasiparticles. Though our quenches are qualitatively different, and large-scale-entanglement growth cannot be observed in a local quench, Ref. [69] has interesting parallels with what we observe here, and it identifies similar parameter regimes for dynamics with long-range and short-range interactions.
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APPENDIX A: NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS AND ENTANGLEMENT GROWTH

In this appendix, we will give more details about the connection of the entanglement growth behavior and the numerical algorithms we use.

1. MPS simulations vs entanglement growth

There is an interesting connection between the time-dependent growth of the half-chain von Neumann entropy and the possibility to simulate dynamics on classical computers. The dimension of the reduced density matrix for half of the system is $\dim(p_L) = 2^{M/2}$ and thus grows exponentially as a function of the system size. This exponential Hilbert-space growth is the reason why exact numerical simulation becomes, in practice, impossible for large system sizes. The von Neumann entropy is defined as

$$S_{vN} = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} \log_2(\lambda_{\alpha}).$$

where in the second equation we defined the eigenvalues of $p_L$ as $\lambda_{\alpha}$ and introduced the number of nonzero eigenvalues, $\chi_L \leq \dim(p_L)$. $\chi_L$ is called the Schmidt rank and can be considered as an entanglement measure itself. Also note that the maximum possible Schmidt rank grows exponentially as a function of $M$, and correspondingly, the maximum possible von Neumann entropy grows linearly as $S_{vN}^{\text{max}} = M/2$. However, the Schmidt rank for states as they occur in typical experiments can be much smaller than the dimension of $p_L$. The approximation, which is made in numerical DMRG or MPS algorithms (see below), consists therefore of truncating the Schmidt rank (for all possible bipartite splittings) at a maximum value, which is called the bond dimension $D$. The approximation, which is made in numerical DMRG simulations is very significant. For example, considering a string of 50 spins, the dimension of $p_L$ is given by $2^{25}$. If one represents a state of this system by a MPS with a bond dimension of $D_{M/2} = 1024$, the size of the effective Hilbert space for one-half of the system is still only around 0.003% of the full Hilbert space. However, it is quite remarkable that this approximation is, in many cases, quasiexact. The reason for this is that most low-energy states of physical systems in nature turn out to be, in fact, very slightly entangled. If,
However, the amount of nonzero elements of Hamiltonian even store in the memory of current computer hardware. The computational resources to store a MPS thus grow exponentially with the time the system is to be simulated, in order to keep the bond dimension linear as a function of time, in order to keep the bond dimension linearly with the system size, and therefore ground states can be easily calculated up to a quasiexact precision with DMRG or MPS for systems of hundreds of spins.

It is obvious that, therefore, in a time-evolution simulation, whether the simulation of the system over long times with t-DMRG methods is, in practice, possible or not depends on how fast the entanglement grows. If, in our quench experiment with ions, the von Neumann entropy grows linearly as a function of time, it is readily seen that the MPS reduces to a nonentangled product state.

We can study systems with long-range interactions numerically by making use of MPOs, which are as the MPSs, a decomposition of the now real $4^M$-dimensional operator tensor of the full Hamiltonian \( H = \sum_{\{i\}} c_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M} |i_1\rangle |i_2\rangle \ldots |i_M\rangle \) into a matrix product. The long-range interaction Hamiltonian (1) with a decaying interaction \( J_{i,j} = |i|^{-\alpha} \) can be, up to a very good approximation, implemented as a MPO with relatively small bond dimension, which can be achieved by expanding the power-law decay function into a sum of exponentials [34–36]. With the Hamiltonian in MPO form, it is then possible to implement time-evolution algorithms, using, e.g., a Runge-Kutta-type evolution scheme [50]. Alternatively, one can also use the original adaptive t-DMRG methods [37–39] and introduce swap gates, which interchange indices in a MPS. This has the advantage that arbitrary interaction matrices \( J_{i,j} \) can be implemented.

To calculate ground states, one can either evolve a MPS in negative imaginary time, or one can construct the local representations of the Hamiltonian expectation value by leaving the indices on a particular site open and contracting the remaining tensor network (see, e.g., [40]). We then use a local iterative Lanczos solver to find the local MPS matrix, which minimizes the corresponding energy. By sweeping through the system from site to site, this is a very efficient method to find the overall MPS ground state for large systems.

We checked the validity of all our results by comparing different methods, and we confirm the convergence in the bond dimension by running multiple calculations with increasingly large \( D \).

APPENDIX B: DETAILS ON ANALYTICAL CALCULATIONS

1. Quasiparticle contribution to the half-chain entropy growth

Here, we give more details for the quasiparticle picture for the nearest-neighbor Ising model. Following [49], the nearest-neighbor transverse Ising Hamiltonian (7) can be rewritten in terms of local spin-lowering and spin-raising operators, \( \sigma_j^+ = (\sigma_j^x + i\sigma_j^y)/2 \). With a Jordan-Wigner
transformation, these operators can be mapped to anticommuting quasiparticles via $c_i = [\exp(i\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sigma_i \sigma_j)]\sigma_i = \prod_{j=1}^{2} (1 - 2\sigma_j \sigma_i)\sigma_i$. We thus end up with a fermionic Hamiltonian

$$H = J \sum_i (c_i^\dagger - c_i)(c_{i+1}^\dagger + c_{i+1}) + B \sum_i (c_i^\dagger - c_i)c_i.$$  

(B1)

Assuming translational invariance and expanding the quasiparticle operators into plane waves, the Hamiltonian in quasimomentum space becomes

$$H = \sum_{q>0} \left( c_q^\dagger c_q \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\tilde{\epsilon}_q \\
2iJ\sin(qa)
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\tilde{\epsilon}_q \\
-2iJ\sin(qa)
\end{array} \right)^\dagger \left( c_q^\dagger \right)$$  

(B2)

with anticommuting fermions $c_q, \{c_q, c_q^\dagger\} = \delta_{q,q'}$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}_q = 2J \cos(qa) + 2B$. For $M$ spins, the quasimomenta are given by $q = n2\pi/(aM)$, where $a$ is the separation of the spins, and $n = -M/2, \ldots, M/2 - 1$. The Hamiltonian can be diagonalized using a unitary (Bogoliubov) transformation, where the new fermionic quasiparticles $\gamma$ are given by $(\gamma_q, \gamma_{-q}) = U_B(c_q^\dagger, c_q)$. Performing this transformation leads to the diagonal model

$$H = \sum_q \epsilon_q \left( \gamma_q^\dagger \gamma_q - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$  

(B3)

where the dispersion relation of the new particles is given by $\epsilon_q = 2\sqrt{(J - B)^2 + 4JB\sin^2(qa/2)}$.

Example dispersion relations and corresponding group velocities $v_q(q)/a = d\epsilon_q/d(qa)$ are shown in Fig. 7(a). At the critical point, the gap closes, and the dispersion relation is linear around $q = 0$. In this regime, the particles with the Lieb-Robinson velocity $|v_R|$ are found for $q \sim 0$, whereas for $B \neq J$, the fastest particles shift to larger $|q|$. For $B \gg J$ and $B \ll J$, the fastest quasiparticles are found at $q \sim \pm \pi/2$.

We can analyze how the propagation of free quasiparticle pairs contributes to the entanglement growth by looking at the mutual information between distant spins. In Fig. 7(b), we plot the mutual information $I_{1,j}$ between site 1 and $j$ while increasing $j = 4, \ldots, 10$ for nearest-neighbor interactions and for $\alpha = 2$. We find that in both cases, for two particular spins that are separated by some distance, the mutual information remains nearly zero for a long time, until it suddenly peaks at a time corresponding to the arrival of a quasiparticle pair originally produced on a site between the two spins, which then entangles the two spins. After the quasiparticles pass, the mutual information remains at a value slightly greater than zero (barely visible). We find that the time of the arrival of the wave at site $j$ is consistent with quasiparticles moving at the Lieb-Robinson velocity for nearest-neighbor interactions. Since the two sites become entangled once a quasiparticle that has been created in the middle of the two sites first arrives at both spins, this time is given by $t_j = (j - 2)/2v_R = (j - 2)/2aJ$ and is shown as black bars in Fig. 7(b). In the lower panel, we find that even for $\alpha = 2$, despite the rather long-range interactions, the characteristic behavior is the same. For longer-range interactions, we find that the wave moves more slowly. Furthermore, we note that for $\alpha \to \infty$ one finds a much more “diffusive” behavior, in the sense that the peaks of mutual information broaden and become smaller with distance.

The peaks in Fig. 7(b) allow us to extract an effective velocity of the “wave” of entangling quasiparticles, $v_j$. We do this by fitting a line to the position of the peaks (in time) as a function of the distance $j$ to obtain $1/v_j$. As we show in Fig. 7(c), the rate of the half-block entropy increase (linear fit $1 \leq j \leq 3$) is directly related to $v_j$. We find that for $\alpha \approx 5$, $S_{1/2}(t) = \eta v_j t/a$ with $\eta = 0.253$. For $\alpha = 3$, the proportionality constant starts to depend on $\alpha$ and $\eta < 0.253$. This means that for an increasing range of interactions, both the half-chain entropy growth rate and $v_j$ reduce, and $v_j$ decreases less strongly. We note that, in general, $\eta$ also depends on $B$. 

FIG. 7. (a) Quasiparticle dispersion relations for the nearest-neighbor Ising Hamiltonian for $B = 0$ and $B = J$, $\epsilon_q$. The corresponding group velocities $v_q(q)/a = d\epsilon_q/d(qa)$ are shown as dashed lines (right axis). (b) The time evolution of the mutual information $I_{1,j}$ between the leftmost spin and $j = 4, \ldots, 10$ (ED, $B = 1, M = 20$; different $I_{1,j}$ offset by $-0.1$ for different $j$, for better visibility). The upper panel is for $\alpha \to \infty$ (nearest-neighbor interactions), the lower panel for $\alpha = 2$. The vertical black bars indicate the analytical result of the entangled quasiparticle pair arrival, calculated from the group velocity. (c) The half-chain entropy growth rates (linear fit $1 < j < 3$, ED, $B = 1, M = 20$) compared to the effective velocity of the entangling “quasiparticle wave” $v_j$ (see text). We extract $v_j$ from a linear fit to the position of the mutual information peaks in panel (c).
2. Model for infinite-range interactions in the Dicke-state basis

Here, we give more details on our calculation for infinite-range interactions, i.e., a model where each spin interacts with equal strength with all others. As shown in the main text, in this regime the Hamiltonian for $M$ ions becomes a spin-M/2 model, which is known as the LMG model [45,46,51],

$$\hat{H} = \frac{J}{2} S_z^2 + B S_z - \frac{J}{2} M,$$

with a basis given by the Dicke states

$$S = \frac{M}{2}, m_S = n_1 - \frac{M}{2} \equiv S([n_0, n_1]).$$

We want to calculate the bipartite entanglement for a splitting in the center of the chain. Therefore, we make use of a formula for the Schmidt decomposition of Dicke states [51]. Specifically, any spin-M/2 Dicke state can be rewritten as a sum over product states of two spin-M/4 Dicke states, for the left and right halves of the system, respectively. For $n_1$ spins up, this decomposition can be written as

$$\sum_{l=0}^{M/2} \sqrt{p_l(n_1)} \left| \frac{M}{4}, l - \frac{M}{4}\right\rangle \left| \frac{M}{4}, n_1 - l - \frac{M}{4}\right\rangle R,$$

or

$$\rho_L(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{M/2} c_l^*(t) \sqrt{p_l(m)} c_l(t) \left| \frac{M}{4}, m + \frac{M}{4} - l\right\rangle \left\langle \frac{M}{4}, m + \frac{M}{4} - l\right|_L.$$

This matrix can then be easily diagonalized numerically, which allows us to time dependently calculate the half-chain entropy.

For the same reason as discussed in Sec. IV B, we find that half the coefficients $c_m(t)$ will always remain zero. The Hamiltonian only couples terms with $m \leftrightarrow m \pm 2$. Thus, the amount of nonzero eigenvalues of the matrix (B10) is limited by $M/4 + 1$ (for even $M$). This gives the upper bound of the entropy, $S_{\text{NN}} \leq \log_2(M/4 + 1)$.

APPENDIX C: REALISTIC EXPERIMENT WITH 20 IONS

In Sec. IV, the entanglement growth is analyzed in experimentally realistic situations. Here, we give details on the exact experimental parameters considered. In each case, we consider a string of 20 ions in a 3D harmonic trap with highest transverse motional frequency $\omega_z = 2\pi \times 4.9 \text{ MHz}$ and variable lowest axial frequency $0.1 < \omega_z / 2\pi < 0.5 \text{ MHz}$. A state-dependent driving force is simulated at a fixed detuning $\delta = +2\pi \times 80 \text{ kHz}$ from $\omega_z$. These three parameters are sufficient to completely determine the form of the interaction matrix [31,32].

In order to vary the interaction strength, we choose to vary $\omega_z$, which has the effect of changing how closely spaced the transverse modes are. For the cases shown in Figs. 6(a)–6(c), we choose $\omega_z = 2\pi \times 0.45$, $2\pi \times 0.25$, and $2\pi \times 0.1 \text{ MHz}$, respectively. Alternatively, one could fix $\omega_z$ and change the detuning $\delta$.

The absolute value of the interaction strength $J$ is determined by the specific choice of driving-force mechanism, driving strength, ionic species, and electronic transition used to encode the spin. We consider an optical transition, at 729 nm, between the $S_{1/2}$ ($m = 1/2$), ground, and metastable $D_{3/2}$ ($m = 3/2$) states in $^{40}\text{Ca}^+$. Cophasing bichromatic laser fields at 729 nm drive the interaction, with a Rabi frequency of $\Omega = 2\pi \times 0.5 \text{ MHz}$ (if put on resonance with the electronic spin). For the highest transverse mode cooled to the ground state, the coupling strength on the upper vibrational sideband of the transition is therefore $\eta') \Omega = 2\pi \times 22 \text{ kHz}$, where $\eta') = 0.044$ is the Lamb-Dicke parameter. In the case $\delta \gg \eta') \Omega$, the assumption that the phonon states can be adiabatically eliminated holds. In our case, $\delta = 4 \eta') \Omega$. This approximation could be improved at the expense of a slower overall interaction strength $J$.

For the cases shown in Figs. 6(a)–6(c), we find overall spin-spin coupling rates $J/2\pi = 2\pi \times 0.5$, $2\pi \times 0.4$, and $2\pi \times 0.3 \text{ kHz}$. These rates correspond to quantum dynamics observable on time scales of a few ms, which compares favorably with typical decoherence times of several 10’s of ms that are typically achieved in these experiments.
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