Transcriptomic Data Reveal Divergent Paths of Chitinase Evolution Underlying Dietary Convergence in Anteaters and Pangolins
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Abstract

Ant-eating mammals represent a textbook example of convergent evolution. Among them, anteaters and pangolins exhibit the most extreme convergent phenotypes with complete tooth loss, elongated skulls, protruding tongues, and hypertrophied salivary glands producing large amounts of saliva. However, comparative genomic analyses have shown that anteaters and pangolins differ in their chitinase acidic gene (CHIA) repertoires, which potentially degrade the chitinous exoskeletons of ingested ants and termites. While the southern tamandua (Tamandua tetradactyla) harbors four functional CHIA paralogs (CHIA1-4), Asian pangolins (Manis spp.) have only one functional paralog (CHIA5). Here, we performed a comparative transcriptomic analysis of salivary glands in 33 placental species, including 16 novel transcriptomes from ant-eating species and close relatives. Our results suggest that salivary glands play an important role in adaptation to an insect-based diet, as expression of different CHIA paralogs is observed in insectivorous species. Furthermore, convergently evolved pangolins and anteaters express different chitinases in their digestive tracts. In the Malayan pangolin, CHIA5 is overexpressed in all major digestive organs, whereas in the southern tamandua, all four functional paralogs are expressed, at very high levels for CHIA1 and CHIA2 in the pancreas and for CHIA3 and CHIA4 in the salivary glands, stomach, liver, and pancreas. Overall, our results demonstrate that divergent molecular mechanisms within the chitinase acidic gene family underlie convergent adaptation to the ant-eating diet in pangolins and anteaters. This study highlights the role of historical contingency and molecular tinkering of the chitin digestive enzyme toolkit in this classic example of convergent evolution.
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Significance

This study shows that, despite numerous convergent morphological ant-eating adaptations, pangolins and anteaters have distinct molecular mechanisms for digesting chitin, a key component of their highly specialized diets. This divergence is reflected in their distinctive chitinase gene repertoires and expression patterns across digestive organs. These findings illustrate how historical contingency has shaped gene family evolution through molecular tinkering in these two convergent ant-eating lineages.

Introduction

Convergent evolution provides a fascinating window into the mechanisms by which similar environmental pressures shape the phenotypes of phylogenetically distant taxa. Indeed, despite the enormous diversity of organisms on Earth and the many potential ways to adapt to similar conditions, the strong deterministic force of natural selection has led to numerous instances of recurrent phenotypic adaptation (Losos 2011; McGhee 2011; Losos 2018). Although classical models of convergence at the molecular level often assume identical mutations in the same genes across species (Arendt and Reznick 2008), emerging evidence from comparative genomics and transcriptomics suggests that the recruitment of the same or similar genes and pathways may also lead to similar phenotypes across divergent lineages. For instance, convergent electric fish, which have evolved independently at least six times, provide a good illustration of the complexity of the selective process that follows from the interaction of contingency, constraints, and convergence (Zakon et al. 2006). In this case, the same genes have been independently recruited and differentially expressed in novel electric organs due to developmental constraints and their function subsequently adjusted by natural selection involving convergent amino acid substitutions in functionally important domains (Galant et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2019; Wang and Yang 2021). This suggests an important role for evolutionary constraints imposed by existing genomic architectures and developmental pathways, leading to the repeated use of similar genetic material in the origin of evolutionary novelties (Shubin et al. 2009). In this context, historical contingency often leads to evolutionary tinkering as natural selection works from available material (Jacob 1977). Thus, both historical contingency and deterministic evolution appear to have influenced the evolution of current biodiversity, and one of the key questions is to assess the relative influence of these two evolutionary processes (Blount et al. 2018).

As intuited by Jacob (1977), molecular tinkering appears to be particularly common and has indeed shaped the evolutionary history of a number of gene families (McGlothlin et al. 2016; Pillai et al. 2020; Xie et al. 2021). The particular evolutionary dynamics observed in gene families can lead to both evolutionary opportunities due to gene duplications paired with the acquisition of a new function and also evolutionary constraints due to ancestral loss of function. A good example resides in the evolution of chitinase genes in placental mammals, which belong to the large Glycosyl Hydrolase Family 18 (GH18) gene family (Bussink et al. 2007; Funkhouser and Aronson 2007). Recent studies have shown that chitinase genes may play an important digestive function in insectivorous species (Emerling et al. 2018; Janiak et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2020; Cheng et al. 2023). Indeed, while the placental ancestor possessed five functional chitinase acidic (CHIA) paralogs, the evolution of this gene family was subsequently shaped through multiple pseudogenization events associated with dietary adaptation during the placental radiation (Emerling et al. 2018). Interestingly, the widespread gene loss observed in carnivorous and herbivorous lineages in particular resulted in a global positive correlation between the number of functional CHIA paralogs and the percentage of invertebrates in the diet across placentals. Indeed, mammals with a low proportion of insects in their diet present none or only a few functional CHIA paralogs and those with a high proportion of insects in their diet generally have retained four or five functional CHIA paralogs (Emerling et al. 2018; Janiak et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2020; Fig. 1).

Myrmecophagous mammals, with more than 90% of their diet consisting of social insects (Redford 1987), have convergently evolved dietary adaptations such as powerful claws used to dig into ant and termite nests, tooth reduction culminating in complete tooth loss in anteaters and pangolins (Ferreira-Cardoso et al. 2019), an elongated muzzle with an extensible tongue (Ferreira-Cardoso et al. 2020), and viscous saliva produced by hypertrophied salivary glands (Reiss 2001). With regard to their chitinase gene repertoire, they are generally grouped with the most insectivorous species (Fig. 1). Specifically, the southern tamandua (Tamandua tetradactyla) and the aardvark (Orycteropus afer) indeed possess four (CHIA1-4) and five (CHIA1-5) functional paralogs, respectively. However, pangolins appear as a striking exception. Despite their strict myrmecophagous diet and many associated convergent features shared with other myrmecophagous species (anteaters in particular), the two investigated species (Manis javanica and Manis pentadactyla) possess only one functional CHIA paralog (CHIAS). The presence of the sole CHIAS in
Pangolins was hypothesized to be the consequence of historical contingency on the evolution of the chitinase family with the probable loss of CHIA1-4 functionality in the most recent common ancestor of Pholidota and Carnivora (Ferae; Emerling et al. 2018; Fig. 1). It has indeed recently been confirmed that a noninsect-based diet has caused structural and functional changes in the CHIA gene repertoire resulting in multiple losses of function in Carnivora with only few species including insects in their diet retaining a fully functional CHIA5 gene (Tabata et al. 2022). These recent results, combined with the apparent importance of chitinase paralogs in insect digestion, have prompted questions regarding how pangolins succeed in digesting chitin with only one functional paralog.

One possible evolutionary solution to inheriting a depleted gene family resides in the modification of gene expression patterns in the remaining functional paralogs. Indeed, CHIA5 was recently found to be highly expressed in the main digestive organs of the Malayan pangolin (Ma et al. 2017, 2019; Cheng et al. 2023) suggesting that pangolins might compensate for their reduced chitinase repertoire by an increased ubiquitous expression of their only remaining functional CHIA5 paralog in multiple organs. While this result is very encouraging, it lacks a general comparison with CHIA paralog expression in other mammals and more specifically with other myrmecophagous mammals that present more functional CHIA paralogs. If gene expression indeed plays a compensatory role, one can expect that CHIA5 expression in pangolins would be comparatively higher and more ubiquitous among digestive organs than the expression of the other CHIA paralogs in convergent myrmecophagous species.

To further explore CHIA paralog expression in mammals and more particularly in convergent myrmecophagous species, we adopted a 3-fold approach. First, with the aim of identifying all functional paralogs and better understanding their function in chitin digestion, we reconstructed the first detailed evolutionary history of the chitinase-like gene family in mammals based on phylogenetic analyses of publicly available genomic and transcriptomic data. In a second step, we generated a large comparative data set of salivary gland transcriptomes encompassing 33 mammalian species from various lineages with diverse diets (herbivores, carnivores, frugivores, insectivores, and omnivores), enabling for the first time the comparison of CHIA expression across mammalian species. The objective here was to determine whether insectivores and myrmecophagous species indeed exhibit differential chitinase paralog expression in their

![Fig. 1. Dated placental mammal phylogeny including representative species of the four major clades (Afrotheria, Xenarthra, Euarchoctogonidae, and Laurasiatheria) for which CHIA gene repertoires have been previously characterized. Numbers between brackets represent percentages of invertebrates included in the diet with myrmecophagous species indicated by an ant silhouette. Psi symbols indicate CHIA pseudogenes as determined in previous studies (Emerling et al. 2018; Janiak et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2020). Ancestral CHIA gene repertoires for Placentalia and Ferae (Pholidota + Carnivora) as inferred by Emerling et al. (2018) are presented. The chronogram was extracted from www.timetree.org (Kumar et al. 2022). Silhouettes were obtained from www.phylopic.org.](https://example.com/fig1.png)
salivary glands compared to mammals with other diets. In a third step, we focused on two convergent myrmecophagous species (the southern tamandua and the Malayan pangolin) and an insectivorous species (the nine-banded armadillo) for which we were able to assemble and generate transcriptomes of several digestive and nondigestive tissues, to compare the use of their chitinase gene repertoire expression across different organs. The objective of this final step was to determine whether variations in genomic chitinase repertoires were associated with distinct expression patterns in digestive tissues or whether these patterns were independent of the functional gene repertoire. Overall, by leveraging species diversity on the one hand and organ diversity on the other, our results shed light on the molecular underpinnings of convergent evolution in ant-eating mammals by revealing that divergent paths of chitinase gene family evolution underlie dietary convergence between anteaters and pangolins.

Results

Mammalian Chitinase Gene Family Evolution

In order to gain further insights into the evolution and potential function of chitinase-related genes in mammalian genomes, we performed the first detailed phylogenetic reconstruction of the chitinase-like gene family based on functional paralogs using a gene tree/species tree reconciliation approach. The reconciled maximum likelihood tree of mammalian chitinase genes is presented in Fig. 2a. Our analyses showed that this gene family is constituted by nine paralogs whose evolution is notably characterized by gene loss with 384 speciation events followed by gene loss and 48 gene duplications as estimated by the gene tree/species tree reconciliation algorithm of GeneRax. At the base of the reconciled gene tree, we found the clade CHIA1-2/OVGP1 (optimal root inferred by the reconciliation performed with TreeRecs) followed by a duplication separating the CHIT1/CHI3L1-2 and CHIA3-5 groups of paralogs. Within the CHIT1/CHI3L clade, two consecutive duplications gave rise to CHIT1 and then CHI3L1 and CHI3L2. In the CHIA3-5 clade, a first duplication separated CHIA3 from CHIA4 and CHIA5, which were duplicated subsequently. Marsupial CHIA4 sequences were located at the base of the CHIA4-5 clade suggesting that this duplication might be recent and specific to placentalas. This scenario of chitinase gene evolution is consistent with our new synteny analysis showing physical proximity of CHIA1-2 and OVGP1 on one hand and CHIA3-5 on the other hand (Fig. 2b), which implies that chitinase genes evolved by successive tandem duplications.

Comparison of Ancestral Sequences

The ancestral amino acid sequences of the nine chitinase paralogs were reconstructed from the reconciled mammalian gene tree and compared to gain further insight into the potential function of the enzymes they encode (Fig. 3; complete ancestral sequences and associated probabilities available from Zenodo). The alignment of predicted amino acid sequences locates the chitinolytic domain between positions 133 and 140 with the preserved pattern DXDXDXXE. The ancestral sequences of CHI3L1 and CHI3L2, as all contemporary protein sequences of the corresponding genes, have a mutated chitinolytic domain with the absence of a glutamic acid at position 140 (Fig. 3a), which is the active proton-donor site necessary for chitin hydrolysis (Olland et al. 2009; Hamid et al. 2013). This indicates that the ability to degrade chitin has likely been lost before the duplication leading to CHI3L1 and CHI3L2 (Fig. 3b). The ancestral sequence of OVGP1 also presents a mutated chitinolytic site although the glutamic acid at position 140 is present (Fig. 3a). The evolution of the different chitinases therefore seems to be related to changes in their active site. The six cysteine residues allowing the binding to chitin are found at positions 371, 418, 445, 455, 457, and 458 (Fig. 3c). The absence of one of these cysteines prevents binding to chitin (Tjoelker et al. 2000) as this is the case in the ancestral OVGP1 protein where the last four cysteine residues are changed (Fig. 3c). The other ancestral sequences present the six conserved cysteine residues and thus can bind to chitin (Fig. 3c).

Chitinase Gene Expression in Mammalian Salivary Glands

To test the hypothesis that salivary glands play an important functional role in the digestion of ants and termites in ant-eating mammals, we analyzed the gene expression profiles of the nine chitinase paralogs revealed by the gene family tree reconstruction in 40 salivary gland transcriptomes representing 33 species (Fig. 4). CHIA1 was expressed only in the elephant shrew (Elephantulus myurus; 23.22 normalized counts [NC]). CHIA2 was expressed only in the wild boar (Sus scrofa; 48.84 NC). CHIA3 was expressed in the two insectivorous California leaf-nosed bat individuals (Macrotus californicus; 367.70 and 35.03 NC) and in all three southern tamandua individuals (T. tetradactyla; 48.66, 41.52, and 15.14 NC). CHIA4 was also highly expressed in all three southern tamanduas (565.61, 214.83, and 180.26 NC) and in the two California leaf-nosed bats (M. californicus; 17,224.06, and 16,880.24 NC), but also in the giant anteater (Myrmecophaga tridactyla; 50.74 NC). Expression of CHIA5 was at least an order of magnitude higher in the two Malayan pangolin individuals (M. javanica; 196,778.69 and 729.18 NC) and Thomas’s nectar bat (Hsuycteris thomasi; 7,301.82 NC) than in the three other species in which we detected expression of this gene: the domestic mouse (Mus musculus; 40.15 NC), common genet (Genetta genetta; 132.64 NC),
Fig. 2. a) Mammalian chitinase-like gene family tree reconstructed using a maximum likelihood gene-tree/species-tree reconciliation approach on protein sequences. The nine chitinase paralogs are indicated on the outer circle. Scale bar represents the mean number of amino acid substitutions per site.

b) Synteny analysis of the nine chitinase paralogs in humans (H. sapiens), tarsier (C. syrichta), nine-banded armadillo (D. novemcinctus), and the two main focal convergent ant-eating species: the southern tamandua (T. tetradactyla) and the Malayan pangolin (M. javanica). Assembly names and accession numbers are indicated below species names. Boxes represent different contigs with their most upstream and downstream BLAST hit positions to chitinase genes (colored arrows). Genes PIFO and DENND2D (gray arrows) are not chitinase paralogs but were used in the synteny analysis. Arrow direction indicates gene transcription direction as inferred in Genomicus v100.01 (Nguyen et al. 2022) for genes located on short contigs. ♯ symbols indicate pseudogenes as determined in Emerling et al. (2018). Genes with nonsignificant BLAST hits were not represented and are probably not functional or absent. Silhouettes were obtained from www.phylopic.org.
and wild boar (*S. scrofa*; 152.20 NC). *CHIT1* was expressed in many species (12 out of 40 samples) with values ranging from 46.76 NC in a single southern tamandua (*T. tetradactyla*) individual to 115,739.25 NC in the short-tailed shrew tenrec (*Microgale brevicaudata*).

*CHI3L1* was expressed in most species (24 out of 40 samples) with values ranging from 61.68 NC in the giant anteater (*M. tridactyla*) to 1,297.01 NC in a Malayan pangolin (*M. javanica*) individual.

*CHI3L2* was expressed in human (*Homo sapiens*; 1334.07 NC), wild boar (*S. scrofa*; 246.41 NC), elephant shrew (*E. myurus*; 94.65 NC), and common tenrec (*Tenrec ecuadatus*; 68.62 NC).

*OVGP1* was only found expressed at very low levels in domestic dog (*Canis lupus familiaris*; 6.80 NC), human (*H. sapiens*; 15.33 NC), one of the two Malayan pangolins (*M. javanica*; 4.99 NC), and wild boar (*S. scrofa*; 17.84 NC). Finally, the southern aardwolf (*P. cristatus*), Norway rat (*Rattus norvegicus*), Parnell's mustached bat (*Pteronotus parnellii*), and six phyllostomid bat species (*Carollia sowelli*, *Centurio senex*, *Glossophaga commissarisi*, *Sturnira hondurensis*, *Trachops cirrhosus*, and *Uroderma bilobatum*) did not appear to express any of the nine chitinase gene paralogs in any of our salivary gland samples.

Chitinase Gene Expression in Digestive and Nondigestive Organs

The expression level of the nine chitinase paralogs in several organs was compared among three species including an insectivorous xenarthran (the nine-banded armadillo; *Dasypus novemcinctus*) and two of the main convergent myrmecophagous species (the southern anteater, *T. tetradactyla*, and the Malayan pangolin, *M. javanica*). This analysis revealed marked differences in expression level of these genes among the three species and among their digestive and nondigestive organs (Fig. 5). In the nine-banded armadillo (*D. novemcinctus*), although only *CHIA1* is pseudogenized and consequently not expressed, we did not detect any expression of *CHIA2*, *CHIA3*, and *CHIA4* in the tissues studied here, and *CHIA5* was only weakly expressed in one spleen sample (51.90 NC). In the Malayan pangolin (*M. javanica*), whereas *CHIA1-4* are non-functional and consequently not expressed, *CHIA5* was found expressed in all digestive organs with particularly high levels in the stomach (377,324.73 and 735,264.20 NC) and salivary glands (196,778.69 and 729.18 NC) and at milder levels in the tongue (121.24 NC), liver (254.79 NC), and spleen (61.68 NC).

![Fig. 3. Comparison of predicted ancestral protein sequences of the nine mammalian chitinase paralogs. a) Conserved amino acid residues of the canonical chitinolytic domain active site (DXXDXXDE). Arrows indicate paralogs in which changes occurred in the active site. b) Summary of the evolution of chitinase paralogs functionality. c) Conserved cysteine residues of the chitin-binding domain. The arrow indicates OVGPI in which the last four cysteines have been replaced.](https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evaf002)
NC on average when expressed), pancreas (168.64 and 39.33 NC), large intestine (238.45 and 79.32 NC), and small intestine (847.51 and 13.72 NC), but also in skin (178.95 NC) and spleen (12.06 NC) samples. Conversely, in the southern tamandua (T. tetradactyla), only CHIA5 is pseudogenized and accordingly not expressed (Fig. 5).

CHIA1 was found highly expressed in the pancreas (64,443.05 NC) and weakly expressed in testes (22.74 and 14.73 NC), and CHIA2 also had very high expression in the pancreas (1,589,834.39 NC) and low expression in testes (36.51 and 34.52 NC) and lungs (8.22 NC). CHIA3 was also expressed in the pancreas (359.03 NC), testes (241.79 and 35.42 NC), tongue (39.53 and 12.44 NC), salivary glands (48.66, 41.52, and 15.14 NC), and liver (32.40 NC). Finally, CHIA4 was expressed in the testes (19.48 and 14.59 NC), spleen (109.97 and 73.31 NC), lungs (340.84 NC), salivary glands (565.61, 214.83, and 180.26 NC), and glandular stomach (116.11 NC). More globally, CHIT1 was expressed in all tissues in M. javanica, in the testes, tongue, salivary glands, and small intestine in T. tetradactyla, and in the cerebellum, lungs, salivary glands, and liver in D. novemcinctus. CHI3L2 was found to be expressed in the majority of digestive and nondigestive tissues in all three species. CHI3L2 is nonfunctional or even absent in the genome of these three species and was consequently not expressed. OVGPI was only weakly expressed in the lungs and salivary glands of M. javanica (2.22 and 4.99 NC, respectively).

Discussion
Evolution of Chitinase Paralogs Toward Different Functions
Chitinases have long been suggested to play an important role in insect digestion within mammals (Jeuniaux 1961, 1966, 1971; Jeuniaux and Cornelius 1978). After the initial discovery of a single chitinase gene (Boot et al. 2001), comparative genomics and phylogenetics have revealed a gene family (GH18) in which chitinases and chitinase-like proteins may work together to facilitate chitin digestion in the digestive tracts of mammals. The first phylogenetic analyses of this gene family have revealed a dynamic evolutionary history marked by gene duplication and loss following a typical birth-and-death model and a high degree of synteny among mammals (Bussink et al. 2007; Funkhouser and...
Fig. 5. Expression of the nine chitinase paralogs in 72 transcriptomes from different organs of the three focal species: the nine-banded armadillo (D. novemcinctus), the Malayan pangolin (M. javanica), and the southern tamandua (T. tetradactyla). Nonfunctional pseudogenes are represented by a $\Psi$ symbol and hatched background. Boxes indicate organs of the digestive tract. Expression level is represented as log10 (normalized counts + 1). Silhouettes were obtained from www.phylopic.org.
Aronson 2007; Hussain and Wilson 2013). Our new comprehensive maximum likelihood phylogenetic analyses recovered nine functional paralogous chitinase gene sequences in mammalian genomes (Fig. 2a). In addition to the five previously characterized CHIA paralogs (Emerling et al. 2018; Janiak et al. 2018), we were able to include an additional gene (OVGP1), previously identified by Hussain and Wilson (2013), which is most closely related to the previously characterized CHIA1 and CHIA2 genes. In placentalRs, OVGP1 plays a role in fertilization and embryonic development (Buhi 2002; Saint-Dizier et al. 2014; Algarra et al. 2016; Laheri et al. 2018). However, other aliases for OVGP1 include Mucin 9 and CHIT5 suggesting a possible digestive function. This result was further confirmed by synteny analyses suggesting a common origin by tandem duplication for CHIA1-2 and OVGP1 within the conserved chromosomal cluster that also includes CHIA3-5 and CHI3L2 (Fig. 2b). Marsupial CHIA4 sequences were located at the base of the CHIA4-5 clade suggesting that this duplication might be recent and specific to placentalRs. The physical proximity of CHIA3-5 on the same chromosomal fragment implies that these three CHIA genes evolved through successive tandem duplications. However, evidence of concerted evolution by gene conversion potentially misleading phylogenetic reconstruction between the two more recent duplicates (CHIA4 and CHIA5), at least in some taxa (Emerling et al. 2018), suggests that further analyses are needed to fully unravel the origin and function of these two specific paralogs.

Comparison of the ancestral amino acid sequences of the nine chitinase paralogues revealed differences in their ability to bind and degrade chitin (Fig. 3), suggesting that these paralogs have evolved toward different functional specializations. The evolution of chitinase-like proteins was accompanied by a loss of enzymatic activity for chitin hydrolysis, which occurred several times independently (Bussink et al. 2007; Funkhouser and Aronson 2007; Hussain and Wilson 2013; Fig. 3b). CHI3L1 and CHI3L2, which are expressed in various cell types including macrophages and synovial cells, play roles in cell proliferation and immune response (Recklies et al. 2002; Areshkov et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2011). In contrast to these chitinase-like proteins, CHIT1 and the five CHIA are able to degrade chitin. In humans, CHIT1 is expressed in macrophages and neutrophils and is suspected to be involved in the defense against chitin-containing pathogens such as fungi (Gordon-Thomson et al. 2009; Lee et al. 2011). In addition to their role in chitin digestion (Boo et al. 2001), CHIA are also suggested to play a role in the inflammatory response (Lee et al. 2011) and are expressed in nondigestive tissues, in agreement with our comparative transcriptomic results. Thus, it has been proposed that the expansion of the chitinase gene family is related to the emergence of the innate and adaptive immune systems in vertebrates (Funkhouser and Aronson 2007).

The evolution of the different CHIA1-5 genes has involved changes in their catalytic sites, which have consequences for the secondary structure of enzymes and potentially affect their optimal pH or function, as it has recently been shown for CHIA5 in Carnivora (Tabata et al. 2022). Experimental testing of the chitin degrading activity of ancestral reconstructions of each of the five CHIA enzymes, on different substrates and at different pH of enzymes, would help determine if there are differences in organ specificity of each enzyme. Furthermore, studying the potential molecular binding properties of these enzymes to other substrates would shed additional light on their functional roles. For example, changing a cysteine in the chitin-binding domain prevents binding to this substrate but not to tri-N-acetyl-chitotriose (Tjoekler et al. 2000), a compound derived from chitin with antioxidant properties (Chen et al. 2003; Salgaoaar et al. 2015). Such functional assays, complemented by transcriptomic data to determine their expression profile in different tissues and organs (as previously done in the Malayan pango- lin; Yusoff et al. 2016; Ma et al. 2017; Ma et al. 2019; Cheng et al. 2023), may help to decipher their respective roles in mammalian digestion (see below).

Impact of Historical Contingency and Molecular Tinkering on Chitinase Evolution and Expression

In the specific case of adaptation to myrmecophagy, comparative genomic and transcriptomic analyses of these chitinase genes, particularly those encoding chitinolytic enzymes (CHIA), have led to a better understanding of how convergent adaptation to myrmecophagy in placentalRs occurs at the molecular level (Emerling et al. 2018; Cheng et al. 2023). On the one hand, anteaters (Pliosa; Vermilingua) likely inherited five CHIA genes from an insectivorous ancestor (Emerling et al. 2018), but then the CHIA5 gene was lost at least in some of its descendants (Fig. 6). In the southern tamandua (T. tetradactyla), the inactivating mutations of CHIA5 were identified and the estimated inactivation time of this gene was 6.8 Ma, subsequent to the origin of Vermilingua (34.2 Ma) and after the divergence with the giant anteater (M. tridactyla) at 11.3 Ma, suggesting a loss specific to lesser anteaters of the genus Tamandua (Emerling et al. 2018). In our study, this gene was not found to be expressed in the salivary glands of the giant anteater. On the other hand, CHIA5 is functional in insectivorous carnivores (Carnivora) and pangolins (Pholidota), whereas CHIA1-4 are pseudogenized (Emerling et al. 2018; Tabata et al. 2022). Similar inactivating mutations have been observed in the CHIA1 gene in carnivores and pangolins and dated to at least 67 Ma, well before the origin of carnivores (46.2 Ma) and pangolins (26.5 Ma) (Emerling et al. 2018). Thus, despite relying on a fully myrmecophagous diet, pangolins have only one
functional CHIA gene (CHIA5), likely due to a historical contingency related to their common inheritance with carnivores (Fig. 6). These analyses have thus revealed contrasting pseudogenization events between convergent myrmecophagous species, with lesser anteaters (genus *Tamandua*) retaining functional orthologs for four out of the five chitin-degrading CHIA genes (CHIA1-4), while the Malayan pangolin (*M. javanica*) inherited only the fifth one (CHIA5) (Emerling et al. 2018). This peculiar evolutionary history raised the question whether the Malayan pangolin might compensate for the paucity of its functional chitinase gene repertoire by overexpressing CHIA5 in different digestive organs.

Since the presence of enlarged salivary glands is a hallmark of ant-eating mammals, ensuring massive production of saliva to help catch and potentially digest prey, we first investigated chitinase gene expression in mammalian salivary glands. Our comparative transcriptomic study spanning a diversity of species with different diets revealed that, among ant-eating mammals, the Malayan pangolin (*M. javanica*), the southern tamandua (*T. tetradactyla*), and the giant anteater (*M. tridactyla*) all express one or more chitin-degrading genes in their salivary glands. More specifically, we found that CHIA1 and CHIA2 were almost never expressed in mammalian salivary glands. By contrast, CHIA4 was found to be expressed in the giant anteater (*M. tridactyla*) and expression of both CHIA3 and CHIA4 was observed in the three southern tamandua (*T. tetradactyla*) individuals surveyed. Moreover, we were able to confirm the hypothesis implying an overexpression of the only functional CHIA gene possessed by the Malayan pangolin. Indeed, salivary gland expression profiles of CHIA5 in *M. javanica* were much higher than in the four other species (Thomas’s nectar bat, mouse, genet, and wild boar) in which we detected expression of this gene and also substantially higher than the expression of any other chitin-degrading CHIA in the 32 other mammalian species considered. Finally, apart from anteaters, CHIA3 and CHIA4 were found to be highly expressed only in the two individuals of the insectivorous California leaf-nosed bat (*M. californicus*), but not in any of the other 11 examined bat species, including insectivorous species such as *Myotis myotis*, *P. parnellii*, and *Lophostoma evotis*. A possible explanation is that these genes have been pseudogenized in many of these bat species, which would be concordant with the findings of comparative genomic studies reporting widespread pseudogenizations of CHIA paralogs across multiple bat species (Emerling et al. 2018), with complete loss of CHIA1-5 function in noninsectivorous old world fruit bats, most frugivorous bats, and the sanguivorous common vampire bat (Wang et al. 2020). However, although CHIA4 and CHIA5 appear to be functional in the insectivorous little brown myotis (*Myotis lucifugus*; Emerling et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2020), we did not observe expression of these genes in the salivary gland transcriptome we analyzed. Also, CHIA5 was found to be highly
expressed in Thomas’s nectar bat (H. thomasi). Although this bat species feeds mostly on nectar and fruits, its diet also includes a substantial part of insects suggesting that CHIA5 might play a role in chitin digestion in the oral cavity, as a result of salivary gland secretion. Transcriptomic analyses of additional digestive tissues besides salivary glands in bats (Vandewege et al. 2020) may further clarify this pattern since chitinolytic activity has previously been reported in the stomachs of seven insectivorous bat species (Strobel et al. 2013). Overall, our chitinase gene expression results therefore support a primary role for salivary glands in prey digestion through the use of distinct CHIA paralogs (CHIA3, CHIA4, and CHIA5) in different insect-eating placental mammal species.

Our differential expression comparison of the distinct chitinase paralogs across different organs further highlights the importance of CHIA5 for Malayan pangolin digestive physiology by confirming its ubiquitous expression in all major tissues of the digestive tract (tongue, salivary glands, stomach, pancreas, liver, and large and small intestines) (Ma et al. 2017, 2019; Cheng et al. 2023; Fig. 6). More specifically, CHIA5 was found to be expressed at particularly high levels in the stomach and salivary glands. These results are in line with previous proteomic studies that have also identified CHIA5 as a digestive enzyme (Zhang et al. 2019), which has been confirmed to be highly expressed by RT-qPCR in the specialized oxyntic glands of the stomach (Ma et al. 2018; Cheng et al. 2023), reflecting a key adaptation of the Malayan pangolin to its strictly myrmecophagous diet. By contrast, in the southern tamandua (T. tetradactyla), only CHIA5 is pseudogenized (Emerling et al. 2018; Cheng et al. 2023) and all functional CHIAs were found expressed in its digestive tract but not in the same tissues (Fig. 6). CHIA1 and CHIA2 were particularly highly expressed in the pancreas whereas CHIA3 and CHIA4 were expressed across several other organs of the digestive tract including tongue, salivary glands, stomach, and liver. CHIA1-4 were also expressed in other nondigestive organs (testes, lungs, and spleen), but their coexpression in the salivary glands of the three southern tamandua individuals sampled here strongly suggests that they play a crucial role in chitin digestion in this myrmecophagous species. Conversely, in the less specialized insectivorous nine-banded armadillo (D. novemcinctus), although only CHIA1 is pseudogenized (Emerling et al. 2018) and therefore not expressed, we did not detect any expression of CHIA2, CHIA3, and CHIA4 in the diverse tissues of the individuals studied here, including salivary glands, and CHIA5 was found only weakly expressed in one spleen sample. Yet, chitinases could still participate in prey digestion in the nine-banded armadillo as they have been isolated from gastric tissues (Smith et al. 1998). We could not confirm this result, given that the liver and colon were the only additional digestive organs besides salivary glands represented in our data set for this species. However, the comparison with the two myrmecophagous species seems to fit well with its less specialized insectivorous diet and actually further underscores the contrasted specific use of distinct CHIA paralogs for chitin digestion in anteaters and pangolins.

Our results demonstrate that in the case of the southern tamandua (T. tetradactyla) and the Malayan pangolin (M. javanica), two myrmecophagous species that diverged about 100 Ma ago (Meredith et al. 2011), convergent adaptation to myrmecophagy has been achieved in part by using paralogs of different chitinase genes to digest chitin (Fig. 6), probably due to phylogenetic constraints leading to the loss of CHIA1, CHIA2, CHIA3, and CHIA4 in the most recent common ancestor of Ferae (Carnivora and Pholidota; Emerling et al. 2018). Pangolins and anteaters present extreme morphological adaptations, including the complete loss of dentition, but a detailed study of their feeding apparatus has shown that convergent tooth loss resulted in divergent structures in the internal morphology of their mandible (Ferreira-Cardoso et al. 2019). Our results combined with this observation clearly show that the evolution of convergent phenotypes in myrmecophagous mammals does not necessarily imply similar underlying mechanisms. Our study shows that historical contingency resulted in molecular tinkering (sensu Jacob 1977) of the chitinase gene family at both the genomic and transcriptomic levels in convergently evolved anteaters and pangolins. Working from different starting materials (i.e. different CHIA paralogs), natural selection led pangolins and anteaters to follow different paths in their convergent adaptation to the myrmecophagous diet.

Insights from Paralogous Gene Expression in Comparative Transcriptomic Studies

Conducting comparative transcriptomic studies between phylogenetically distant species is challenging (Dunn et al. 2013; Roux et al. 2015). Confounding factors include, but are not limited to, inconsistencies in tissue sampling methodology, timing of sample collection, and differences in tissue preservation methods. Any of these factors could significantly affect the quality of transcriptome assembly and quantification of gene expression profiles, which could affect underlying expression patterns related to phylogenetic or dietary similarities between species. Recognizing these challenges, we applied state-of-the-art assembly and annotation methods and performed rigorous normalization of read counts to mitigate some of the interspecific variability. Moreover, despite the interest in looking at overall expression patterns to identify the main effect associated with gene expression variation, exploratory comparative transcriptomic analyses also have some limitations. Indeed, when comparing the overall gene expression...
pattern of different species, the first step is to identify comparable elements. These comparable elements can be restricted to single-copy orthologs or extended to homologous gene families containing different paralogs. However, some biases may be introduced during this step (see Li et al. 2023 for a review). On the one hand, focusing only on orthologous genes completely neglects the effects of paralogous gene expression. On the other hand, working at the scale of large homologous families (orthogroups) often leads to summarizing the expression of multiple orthologous genes into a single expression value. In our case, for example, following the orthogroup detection and summarizing the expression for each orthogroup would have led to a single expression value for the entire chitinase gene family (found as a single orthogroup). By contrast, thanks to our detailed investigation of the evolution of this gene family, phylogenetic and expression analyses of the chitinase orthogroups revealed interesting patterns that would have been missed by the global approach (i.e. effect of contingency bypassed by the relative expression of chitinase family genes). In particular, this approach highlighted differences in gene expression between closely related paralogs (i.e. CHIA)s in the digestive organs of the southern tamandua and the Malayan pangolin, which was crucial for our understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in this case of convergent dietary adaptation. This result underscores the importance of using both genome- and transcriptome-wide analyses to identify novel candidate genes influencing specific traits and more targeted approaches based on existing knowledge. The latter is essential to deepen our understanding of the underlying mechanisms observed in specific cases, such as those of convergent evolution linked to historical contingency, as explored in this study.

Materials and Methods

Chitinase Gene Family Tree Reconstruction

Reconstruction of Chitinase Gene Family Evolution

Mammalian sequences similar to the protein sequence of the human CHIA chitinase acidic gene (NP_970615.2) were searched in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nonredundant protein database using BLASTP (E < 10). The protein sequences identified by BLASTP (n = 1,476) were then aligned using MAFFT v7.450 (Katoh and Standley 2013) with the following parameters (–auto –op 1.53 –ep 0.123 –aamatrix BLOSUM62). Preliminary gene trees were then reconstructed with maximum likelihood using RAxML v8.2.11 (Stamatakis 2014) under the LG + G4 model (Le and Gascuel 2008). From the reconstructed tree, the sequences were filtered according to the following criteria: (i) fast-evolving sequences with a BLAST E-value greater than 0 and not belonging to the chitinase family were excluded; (ii) in cases of multiple isoforms, only the longest was retained; (iii) sequences whose length represented less than at least 50% of the total alignment length were removed; (iv) in case of identical sequences of different lengths from the same species, the longest was kept; and (v) sequences labeled as “hypothetical protein” and “predicted: low quality protein” were discarded. This procedure resulted in a data set containing 528 mammalian sequences that were realigned using MAFFT with the following parameters (–auto –op 1.53 –ep 0.123 –aamatrix BLOSUM62). This alignment contained 581 amino acid positions and was then cleaned up by removing sites not present in at least 50% of the sequences resulting in a total length of 460 amino acid sites. A maximum likelihood tree was then reconstructed with RAxML-NG v0.9.0 (Kozlov et al. 2019) using 10 tree searches starting from maximum parsimony trees under the LG + G8 + F model. The species tree of the 143 mammal species represented in our data set was reconstructed based on COI sequences extracted from the BOLD system database v4 (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007) by searching for “Chordata” sequences in the “Taxonomy” section. Sequences were aligned using MAFFT with the following parameters (–auto –op 1.53 –ep 0.123 –aamatrix BLOSUM62), the phylogeny was inferred with RAxML under the GTR + G4 model, and the topology was then adjusted manually based on the literature to correct ancient relationships. To determine the optimal rooting scheme, a rapid reconciliation between the resulting gene tree and species tree was performed using the TreeRecs reconciliation algorithm based on maximum parsimony (Comte et al. 2020) as implemented in SeaView v5.0.2 (Gouy et al. 2010). The final chitinase gene family tree was produced using the maximum likelihood gene family tree reconciliation approach implemented in GeneRax v.1.1.0 (Morel et al. 2020) using the TreeRecs reconciled tree as input (source and result files available from Zenodo). GeneRax can reconstruct duplications, losses, and horizontal gene transfer events, but since the latter are negligible in mammals, only gene duplications and losses have been modeled here (–rec-model UndatedDL) and the LG + G model was used.

Ancestral Sequence Reconstructions

Ancestral sequences of the different paralogs were reconstructed from the reconciled tree using RAxML-NG (–ancestral function –model LG + G8 + F). The sequences were then aligned with MAFFT with the following parameters (–auto –op 1.53 –ep 0.123 –aamatrix BLOSUM62) (source and result files available from Zenodo). Given that active chitinases are characterized by a catalytic site with a conserved amino acid motif (DXXDXXDE; Olland et al. 2009; Hamid et al. 2013), this motif was compared among
all available species. Additionally, the six conserved cysteine residues responsible for chitin binding (Tjoelker et al. 2000; Olland et al. 2009) were also investigated.

Chitinase Gene Synteny Comparisons
The synteny of the nine chitinase paralogs was compared between the two focal ant-eating species in our global transcriptomic analysis (T. tetractydyla and M. javanica), an insectivoruous xenarthran species (D. novemcinctus), an insectivorous primate species with five functional CHIA genes (Carilto syrichta), and human (H. sapiens). For H. sapiens, synteny information was added from Emerling et al. (2018) and completed by using Genomicus v100.01 (Nguyen et al. 2022). For C. syrichta and D. novemcinctus, genome assemblies were downloaded from the NCBI and from the DNA Zoo (Choo et al. 2016; Dudchenko et al. 2017) for M. javanica and T. tetractydyla. Synteny information was retrieved by blasting (megablast) the different CDS sequences against these assemblies. Scaffold/contig names, positions, and direction of BLAST hits were retrieved to compare their synteny (source and result files available from Zenodo). Genes with no significant BLAST hits were considered probably not functional or absent.

Transcriptome Assemblies
Salivary Gland Transcriptomes
Biopsies of submandibular salivary glands (Gil et al. 2018) preserved in RNAlater were obtained from the Mammalian Tissue Collection of the Institut des Sciences de l’Evolution de Montpellier (ISEM) and the JAGUARS collection for 16 individuals representing 12 placental mammal species (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Total RNA was extracted from individual salivary gland tissue samples using the RNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen, Germany). Then, RNA-seq library construction and Illumina sequencing on a HiSeq 2500 system using paired-end 2 x 125 bp reads were conducted by the Montpellier GenomiX platform (MGX) resulting in 16 newly produced salivary gland transcriptomes. This sampling was completed with the 26 mammalian salivary gland transcriptomes available as paired-end Illumina sequencing reads in the Short Read Archive (SRA) of the NCBI as of December 15, 2022 representing an additional 21 species (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). This taxon sampling includes representatives from all major mammal superorders Afrotheria (n = 4), Xenarthra (n = 4), Euarchontoglires (n = 4), and Laurasiatheria (n = 21) and covers six different diet categories: carnivory (n = 4), frugivory and herbivory (n = 8), insectivory (n = 9), myrmecophagy (n = 5), and omnivory (n = 7) (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Four of the five lineages in which myrmecophagous mammals evolved are represented: southern aardwolf (P. cristatus, Carnivora), Malayan pangolin (M. javanica, Pholidota), southern naked-tailed armadillo (Cabassous unicinctus, Cingulata), giant anteater (M. tridactyla, Pilosa), and southern tamandua (T. tetractydyla, Pilosa). Species replicates in the form of different individuals were included for the southern tamandua (T. tetractydyla; n = 3), the nine-banded armadillo (D. novemcinctus; n = 3), the Malayan pangolin (M. javanica; n = 2), the vampire bat (Desmodus rotundus; n = 2), and the California leaf-nosed bat (M. californicus; n = 2). We unfortunately were not able to obtain fresh salivary gland samples from the aardvark (O. afer, Tubulidentata), the only missing myrmecophagous lineage in our sampling.

Transcriptomes from Additional Organs
Tissue biopsies from nine additional organs (testis, lungs, heart, spleen, tongue, pancreas, stomach, liver, and small intestine) were sampled during dissections of three roadkill individuals of southern tamandua (T. tetractydyla; supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Total RNA extractions from these RNAlater-preserved tissues, RNA-seq library construction, and sequencing were conducted as described above resulting in 13 newly generated transcriptomes. For comparative purposes, 21 additional transcriptomes of nine-banded armadillo (D. novemcinctus) representing eight organs and 32 transcriptomes of Malayan pangolin (M. javanica) representing 16 organs were downloaded from SRA (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online).

Comparative Transcriptomics
Transcriptome Assemblies and Quality Control
Adapters and low-quality reads were removed from raw sequencing data using fastq v0.19.6 (Chen et al. 2018). Reads were allowed a minimum of 40% of bases with a PHRED score at least 15 (~qualified_quality_phred ≥ 15), as suggested by (MacManes 2014). Then, de novo assembly was performed on each individual transcriptome sample using Trinity v2.8.4 (Grabherr et al. 2011) using cleaned paired-end reads (~seqType fq –left R1.fastq –right R2.fastq; result files available from Zenodo). For one individual vampire bat (D. rotundus), three salivary gland transcriptomes (SRR606902, SRR606908, and SRR606911) were combined to obtain a better assembly. For each of the 104 transcriptome assemblies, completeness was assessed by the presence of Benchmark Universal Single Copy Orthologs (BUSCO v5) based on a predefined data set (mammalia_odb10) of 9,226 single-copy orthologs conserved in over 90% of mammalian species (Manni et al. 2021). This pipeline was run through the gVolante web server (Nishimura et al. 2017) to evaluate the percentage of complete, duplicated, fragmented, and missing single-copy orthologs within each transcriptome (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online).
Transcriptome Annotation and Orthogroup Inference

The 104 transcriptome assemblies were annotated following the pipeline implemented in assembly2ORF (https://github.com/ellefeg/assembly2orf). This pipeline combines evidence-based and gene-model-based predictions. First, potential transcripts of protein-coding genes are extracted based on similarity searches (BLAST) against the peptides of Metazoa found in Ensembl (Yates et al. 2020). Then, using both protein similarity and exonerate functions (Slater and Birney 2005), a frameshift correction is applied to candidate transcripts. Candidate open reading frames (ORFs) are predicted using TransDecoder (https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/TransDecoder) and annotated based on homology information inferred from both BLAST and Hmmsearch searches. Finally, to be able to compare the transcriptomes obtained from all species, we relied on the inference of gene orthogroups. The orthogroup inference for the translated candidate ORFs was performed using OrthoFinder v2 (Emms and Kelly 2019) using FastTree (Price et al. 2010) for gene tree reconstructions. For expression analyses, orthogroups containing more than 20 copies for at least one species were discarded, resulting in the selection of 13,392 orthogroups for further analyses.

Gene Expression Analyses

Quantification of transcript expression was performed on Trinity assemblies with Kallisto v.0.46.1 (Bray et al. 2016) using the align_and_estimate_abundance.pl script provided in the Trinity suite (Grabherr et al. 2011). Kallisto relies on pseudoalignments of the reads to search for the original transcript of a read without looking for a perfect alignment (as opposed to classical quantification by counting the reads aligned on the assembled transcriptome; Wolf 2013). Counts (raw number of mapped reads) and the transcripts per kilobase million are reported (result files available from Zenodo). Based on the previously inferred orthogroups, orthogroup-level abundance estimates were imported and summarized using tximport (Soneson et al. 2016). To minimize sequencing depth variation across samples and gene outlier effect (a few highly and differentially expressed genes may have strong and global influence on every gene read count), orthogroup-level raw reads counts were normalized using the median of the ratios of observed counts using DESeq2 (Love et al. 2014).

Chitinase Expression in Salivary Glands

The chitinase orthogroup was extracted from the orthogroups inferred by OrthoFinder2 using BLASTX with the reference chitinase database previously created. The 476 amino acid sequences composing this orthogroup were assigned to the nine chitinase orthologs (CHIa1-5, CHIT1, CHI3L1, CHI3L2, and OVGP1) using the maximum likelihood Evolutionary Placement Algorithm implemented in RAxML-EPA (Berger et al. 2011) with the reference chitinase sequence alignment and reconciled phylogenetic tree previously inferred using GeneRax (result files available from Zenodo). This allowed excluding three additional contaminant sequences and dividing the chitinase orthogroup into nine suborthogroups corresponding to each chitinase paralog. To take advantage of the transcriptome-wide expression information for the expression standardization, these new orthogroups were included in the previous orthogroup-level abundance matrix estimates and the same normalization approach using DESeq2 was conducted. Finally, gene-level abundance estimates for all chitinase paralogs were extracted and compared on a log10 scale.
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Supplementary material online is available at Genome Biology and Evolution online.
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