Immediate Effects of High-frequency Diathermy on Muscle Architecture and Flexibility in Subjects With Gastrocnemius Tightness
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INTRODUCTION

Muscle tightness is a common symptom that causes musculoskeletal injuries and is defined as an abnormally shortened muscle length that limits the range of motion (ROM) [1,2]. Muscle tightness results from overuse of specific muscles, poor posture, decreased flexibility, and spasticity [2-6]. Muscle architecture, such as pennation angle (PA) and fascicle length (FL), changes with the muscle contraction state [7]. The PA is the angle of muscle fibers aligned between two aponeuroses in a pennate muscle [8]. During the active shortening contraction of a pennate muscle, the PA between two aponeuroses increases as the FL shortens [8,9].

Gastrocnemius (GCM) is one of the lower extremity muscles that tends to tighten easily [10]. GCM tightness results in limited ankle dorsiflexion (DF), especially when the knee joint is fully extended [11]. It is also related to various musculoskeletal disorders, such as Achilles tendinitis, muscle strains, iliotibial band friction syndrome, patellofemoral syndrome, and plantar fasciitis [4,12-15]. In various central nerve system lesions, spasticity in the GCM is a main impairment that produces tightness in the long term, and GCM tightness affects functional abilities in standing or walking [16].

Joint flexibility is influenced by joint architecture and mus-
cles, tendons, and ligaments [17]. It can be affected by muscle stiffness or susceptibility to muscle injury [18–21]. These factors are important in rehabilitation because they can result in injury by causing early fatigue of muscles or by altering the biomechanics of normal motion [4]. Stretching exercises, relaxation techniques, and heat therapy have been considered for releasing GCM tightness [1,22–24]. High-frequency diathermy (HFD) is an electrical heat treatment device that transfers high-frequency currents to the deep tissue level, and clinicians use it to produce heat in deep human body tissue [25,26]. HFD has been applied clinically to increase blood flow and the extensibility of collagen tissues and to decrease muscle tone and pain [27–30]. A few studies have compared the muscle architecture between the paretic and non-paretic limb muscles of neurological patients, and other experiments have examined the changes in muscle architecture in accordance with the joint angle [31–33]. However, no previous studies have investigated the effects of HFD on muscle architectural changes and joint flexibility in subjects with GCM tightness. The present experimental study therefore aimed to investigate the immediate effects of the HFD on GCM architecture, ankle joint flexibility, peak torque to the passive ankle DF, and soft tissue stiffness in subjects with GCM tightness.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Subjects

Twenty-eight healthy subjects with GCM tightness were recruited from university populations. The mean age of the participants was 22.9 years (21–28 years). Based on previous studies, we included subjects with active ankle DF angles of less than 12° at full knee extension [34]. Subjects with (1) GCM tightness due to a neurological problem; (2) hypersensitivity; (3) a history of arthritis, injury, or surgery on the calf or ankle; or (4) a metal insert in the area requiring therapy were excluded from this study. All subjects read an explanation of the experimental procedures and signed an informed consent form approved by the Yonsei University Wonju Institutional Review Board (approval No. 1041849–201810–BM–096–02).

2. High-frequency Diathermy Therapy

In this experiment, WINBACK Transfer Electrode Capacitive and Resistive (TECAR) Therapy (radio frequence therapeutique: WINBACK, Villeneuve Loubet, France) was used to apply the HFD therapy. WINBACK TECAR therapy is a systematic treatment for providing capacitive energy transfer (CET) and resistive energy transfer (RET) [26]. In CET mode, heat transfer is concentrated on the skin and superficial muscles, which are tissue with high electrolytes, while the RET mode focuses heat transfer on bones, tendons, joints, and deep muscles [26]. In this study, both CET and RET modes were applied to the patients’ GCM for 10–15 minutes at 0.3 MHz. According to the manufacturer’s guidelines for safety, the CET mode was first implemented for 5 minutes using two mobile electrodes. HFD was then continued in RET mode using two mobile electrodes for the rest of the treatment. Subjects were asked to lie down comfortably, and treatment began. The intensity of the current was set at the level of comfort between 0%–100%, averaging about 40%. HFD was applied by a physical therapist for all subjects.

3. Outcome Measures

1) Muscle architecture (pennation angle and fascicle length)

PA and FL of the medial GCM were measured using ultrasonography (US) (Mysono U6; Medison, Seoul, Korea) in the

Figure 1. Architecture of gastrocnemius (A: ultra-sonography view, B: schematic of triceps surae). MTJ: musculo-tendon junction, θ: pennation angle.
prone position while the ankle joint maintained a neutral position. A 5–12 MHz linear transducer was placed perpendicularly at the middle part of the GCM to identify the muscle. Fascicles were arranged diagonally between the superficial and deep aponeuroses of the GCM (Figure 1). FL was measured at 5cm proximal to the musculotendon junction [35]. The PA was the angle between the fascicle line and deep aponeurosis [36].

2) Range of motion
The maximum joint ROM value represents the musculoskeletal flexibility of the joint [37]. Under the assumption that the ankle joint ROM was limited by GCM tightness, the maximum ankle DF ROM was measured using a universal goniometer in two conditions: (1) DF ROM in squat (weight-bearing position) and (2) passive ROM in prone (non-weight bearing position). For the ROM test in squat, the subjects were asked to squat down from standing straight with their bare feet the same distance apart as the width of the pelvis. We measured the ankle DF ROM just before the heel lost the contact with the floor. Passive ankle ROM at maximum DF was measured in the prone position with full knee extension on a plinth.

3) Peak torque to the passive ankle dorsi-flexion
A Biodex System Isokinetic Dynamometer (Biodex Medical, Shirley, NY, USA) was used to assess the peak torque to the passive ankle DF. First, the subjects sat on the Biodex device with a restraining strap over the knee and ankle in accordance with the Biodex user’s guide. Passive unilateral ankle movements were repeated 30 times between 25° plantar flexion and 15° DF at 60°/sec. The average peak torque value to the passive ankle DF was used for data analysis.

4) Soft tissue stiffness
A MyotonPRO (Myoton AS, Estonia) is a portable hand-held myotonometer that can assess muscle tone and soft tissue stiffness. According to prior research, the MyotonPRO is a reliable quantitative measurement for assessing the mechanical properties of muscles [38]. We collected and analyzed all data obtainable from the MyotonPRO: muscle tone, tissue stiffness, and relaxation time. The muscle tone can be expressed as a natural oscillation frequency (Hz), which is measured in a resting state without any voluntary contraction. The stiffness (N/m) is characterized by resistance to an external force that can deform the original shape and refers to the relationship between passive resistive torque and joint displacement [39,40].

The subjects lay prone on an assessment table with their feet hanging off the table at an ankle neutral position. Myotonometer data was obtained from the testing leg at three marked measurement points of the GCM—the upper, middle, and lower parts of the testing leg. The tip of the device was placed vertically on each measurement point and then produced five damped oscillations. The measurement was taken using the five-scan mode with an indentation force of 0.4 N at 0.8 seconds intervals. We used the average values of 15 repeated measurements (three points × five scans).

4. Statistical Analysis
The data was analyzed using Windows SPSS version 24.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). A Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was performed to confirm the normal distribution of the experimental participants. A paired t-test was used to compare the dependent variables before and after the treatment. The significance level was set at 0.05.

RESULTS
The muscle architectural variables using US are shown in Table 1. First, the PA in the neutral ankle position was significantly changed after the HFD, but the FL was not significantly changed (p > 0.05).

All subjects demonstrated a significant improvement in passive and active ROM. The peak torque to the ankle DF after the HFD was less than the peak torque before the HFD (p < 0.05) (Table 2).

As shown below in Table 3, the differences between pre- and post-test for all variables collected from MyotonPRO were statistically significant (p < 0.05).

DISCUSSION
The present study investigated the clinical effectiveness of HFD using a WINBACK TECAR device in subjects with GCM

| Variables                 | Pre data     | Post data    | p-value |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Pennation angle (°)       | 19.83 ± 4.82 | 16.46 ± 5.85 | 0.000   |
| Fascicle length (mm)      | 1.95 ± 1.67  | 2.45 ± 2.52  | 0.381   |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.
tightness. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has applied HFD using a WINBACK TECAR for treating GCM tightness. Taken together, the findings of this study indicate that HFD could improve muscle architecture, joint flexibility, and soft tissue stiffness. More specifically, PA and ROM increased, and peak torque to the passive DF, muscle tone, and stiffness decreased, after applying a 15-minute session of HFD.

According to previous studies, HFD increases microcirculation and vasodilatation, thereby increasing body temperature and reducing pain [26,41]. It passes alternating current through human tissue and converts it into thermal energy, which creates deep heat to relax tight muscles, ligaments, and tendons, thus activating autonomic nerves and sympathetic nerves [42]. According to Gutmann [43], the local temperature of the body increased by 3°–5° after HFD was applied for 15 minutes and the increase in temperature had not reversed 3–4 hours after treatment. Decreased PA after HFD indirectly indicates a more relaxed GCM, which would reduce muscle tone and stiffness, resulting in an increase in the ankle DF ROM. FL also increased after the treatment. But the FL change was not statistically significant, which could be partially explained by relatively high between-subject variability in gender and muscle volume. It is very important to understand the structure–function relationship because muscle architecture is a primary determinant of muscle function. Previous studies that have investigated muscle architecture parameters, such as PA, FL, and cross-sectional area [44–48], have revealed that muscle architecture also has a significant impact on the way muscle forces are transmitted to tendons and bones [47,49]. These muscle architectural parameters also affect the functional proficiency of the muscle in generating maximal muscle tension and muscle shortening at maximal velocity [50,51]. For instance, the larger the PA, the greater the reduction in force development [52].

Muscle stiffness causes a decrease in joint flexibility [18–21], and early muscle fatigue and can change the biomechanics of normal motion [4]. The likelihood of muscle injuries increases with muscle stiffness [4], whereas increasing joint flexibility can improve physical performance, reduce the risk of musculoskeletal injury [53,54], allow muscles to function more efficiently on the length–tension curve, and reduce the chance of a tear-type injury [55,56]. Increased flexibility achieved by muscle stretching exercises also reduces musculoskeletal injuries [41,57]. Lehmann [41] stated that the maximum stretch is achieved without tissue damage when the local temperature of the connective tissue is 40°C–50°C—the tissue’s optimal functional recovery temperature. Therefore, HFD applied simultaneously with stretching exercises would augment the effectiveness of treatment. WINBACK TECAR therapy has an automatic mode, making it possible to perform stretching exercises at the same time as having an electrode attached to the body to deliver high-frequency current to the tight muscle area. We suggest that a further study be conducted on the effects of HFD combined with stretching exercises. The limitation of this study is to investigate only the short-term effects. Thus, further randomized controlled studies with large sample sizes are also recommended in different muscles to explore the long-term effects of the HFD in various pathologies and injuries.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study demonstrated that HFD has a positive effect. HFD is clinically effective in terms of improving the PA, joint flexibility, muscle tone, stiffness, and joint torque of subjects with GCM tightness in a short period of time. However, the present study used a one-group pretest–posttest design. Longitudinal clinical studies are required to investigate the long-term effects of HFD on subjects with GCM tightness from various causes.
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