Image X-Ray Classification for COVID-19 Detection using GLCM-ELM
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Abstract. COVID-19 is a disease or virus that has recently spread worldwide. The disease has also taken many casualties because the virus is notoriously deadly. An examination can be carried out using a chest X-Ray because it costs cheaper compared to swab and PCR tests. The data used in this study was chest X-Ray image data. Chest X-Ray images can be identified using Computer-Aided Diagnosis by utilizing machine learning classification. The first step was the preprocessing stage and feature extraction using the Gray Level Co-Occurrence Matrix (GLCM). The result of the feature extraction was then used at the classification stage. The classification process used was Extreme Learning Machine (ELM). Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) is one of the artificial neural networks with advanced feedforward which has one hidden layer called Single Hidden Layer Feedforward Neural Networks (SLFNs). The results obtained by GLCM feature extraction and classification using ELM achieved the best accuracy of 91.21\%, the sensitivity of 100\%, and the specificity of 91\% at 135° rotation using linear activation function with 15 hidden nodes.
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1. Introduction

The disease discussed by people around the world that was first discovered in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China which was reported to the World Health Organization (WHO) on December 31, 2019 is an outbreak of acute respiratory infection (pneumonia) caused by the new corona virus severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). On February 12, 2020, WHO officially declared the disease coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). COVID-19 is an acute infectious disease that attacks the respiratory system [1-2]. The most common symptoms of patients infected with COVID-19 are fever, myalgia or fatigue, dry cough, headache, hemoptysis, diarrhea, and the patients slowly experience severe shortness of breath [3-4]. COVID-19 has a very fast growth rate but can be suppressed to balance medical care capabilities. Thus the death rate can be lowered [5].

Several ways have been carried out to reduce the increased rate of people with COVID-19 diseases rapidly rising. One way is by swab or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests [6]. However, both of the tests require considerable time and cost. Therefore, the latest way to detect COVID-19 disease is by using X-Ray. X-Rays are considered capable of describing the condition of patients infected with COVID-19 and can also be a clinical diagnostic tool [7].

The first step in detecting COVID-19 based on X-Ray images is pre-processing image data [8]. In the pre-processing stage, feature extraction from X-Ray images is carried out using the Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM) method. GLCM is a second-order statistical method that calculates the relationship between two pixels in an image with degrees of grey. In previous research studies, GLCM has a good performance in extracting image features, and it was implemented to extract colposcopy image features before carrying out the classification process. The best accuracy results in the classification process are 95%, which shows that the features extracted using the GLCM algorithm can represent images well [9]. The classification process can be done by several methods, one of which is the Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) method.

ELM is a feedforward neural network with a hidden layer with hidden node parameters randomly generated and the weights calculated analytically [10]. The classification using ELM has good performance with a relatively short computation time [11]. Based on previous research and the above explanation, this study classifies X-Ray images using the GLCM and ELM algorithms as feature extraction and classification to detect the presence of COVID-19. This research is expected to be implemented so that patients exposed to COVID-19 receive immediate and appropriate treatment.

2. Preliminaries

2.1 Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)

Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by a new virus and is known as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [12]. The disease attacks on the chest so it is almost similar to acute pneumonia [13]. The virus was first discovered in China on December 31, 2019 and on February 12, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) officially declared the disease COVID-19 [14].

COVID-19 is an animal-borne disease, but it can spread to humans. The most common symptoms of COVID-19 are fever, fatigue, and dry cough [15]. Some people may experience pain, nasal congestion, runny nose, headache, phlegm cough, sore throat, shortness of breath, and diarrhea [16]. These symptoms usually occur gradually, but some patients infected with COVID-19 do not show these symptoms and do not feel any problems with their bodies. People who are susceptible to COVID-19 are those who have a history of diseases related to the heart and blood vessels. COVID-19 cannot be seen only with the naked eye, but the disease can be seen using Chest X-Ray. Since COVID-19
attacks the epithelial cells lining the respiratory tract, it can be analyzed using Chest X-Ray [17]. The following are the results of the COVID-19 Chest X-Ray, as shown in Figure 1.

![COVID-19 Chest X-Ray](image)

**Figure 1. COVID-19 Chest X-Ray**

### 2.2 Gray Level Co-Occurrence Matrix (GLCM)

Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM) is a very popular feature-based feature extraction method [18-19]. GLCM determines the texture relationship between pixels that have the same degree of grayness so that statistical features or features are obtained in an image [20]. GLCM is stored in the form of an \( i \times j \times n \) matrix, where \( n \) is a GLCM number of different rotation directions [21]. The rotation direction is formed by four shifting directions of 0°, 45°, 90° and 135°. There are several extraction features commonly used for GLCM extraction as shown from Equation (1) to Equation (4) [22]:

**a. Contrast**

Contrast is a variation of the local intensity value in the texture matrix. The lower texture contrast means the neighboring pixels on the matrix have similar local intensity values and vice versa. The following is an Equation to calculate the value of the contrast.

\[
Contrast = \sum_a \sum_b P_{a,b} (a - b)^2
\]  

Where \( P_{a,b} \) represents the pixel values in row \( a \) and column \( b \) in the co-currency matrix.

**b. Homogeneity**

Homogeneity is the degree of homogeneity of the repetition of textures.

\[
Homogeneity = \sum_a \sum_b \left( \frac{P_{a,b}}{1 + (a - b)^2} \right)
\]  

**c. Energy**

Energy is a measure of the uniformity of texture. The high value of energy represents a high degree of texture uniformity in an image. The following is an Equation to calculate the value of energy.

\[
Energy = \sum_a \sum_b (P_{a,b})^2
\]

**d. Correlation**

Correlation is a measure of the degree of linear relationship of the grayness of one pixel relative to another.

\[
Correlation = \sum_a \sum_b P_{a,b} \frac{(a - \mu_a)(b - \mu_b)}{\sqrt{\sigma_a^2 \sigma_b^2}}
\]

where,

\[
\mu_a : \sum_a \sum_b a \cdot P_{a,b} \\
\mu_b : \sum_a \sum_b b \cdot P_{a,b} \\
\sigma_a : \sqrt{\sum_a \sum_b (a - \mu_a)^2 P_{a,b}} \\
\sigma_b : \sqrt{\sum_a \sum_b (b - \mu_b)^2 P_{a,b}}
\]
2.3 K-Fold Cross Validation

K-fold cross validation or also called rotation estimation is a technique to minimize bias by random sampling of training and testing data [23]. One technique of k-fold cross validation is to estimate how accurate a model is when executed. In addition, the technique of k-fold cross validation breaks the data into K parts of the same size. Training and testing are conducted as many times as K times. The first experiment is a subset of D1 data into testing data and the other subset of data into training data, the second experiment is a subset of D2 data into testing data and a subset of D3 data up to a subset of Dk data into training data [24]. The illustration of the k-fold cross validation is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Data partition using K-Fold Cross Validation](image)

2.4 Extreme Learning Machine (ELM)

Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) is a feedforward neural network with one hidden layer or commonly referred to as Single Hidden Layer Feedforward Neural Networks (SLFNs) [25].

In ELM algorithms, hidden node learning parameters including weight input and bias input can be randomly assigned. For network output weights can be determined analytically using simple general inverse operations [26]. The advantage of using ELM is efficient training without time-consuming learning for the process. In addition, on a universal approach, ELM capabilities have been successfully applied in many real-world applications, such as classification and regression issues [11].

ELM has a mathematical model that differs from backpropagation with a simpler and more effective model [27]. ELM neural network model with neuron inputs, hidden layer neurons, and activation function \( g(x) \). Purpose \( n = [x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n] \) with \( x_n \) is the input value on the network, \( H \) is a matrix connecting the input layer and hidden layer, then the matrix has a size \( n \times m \). The determination of the values of the matrix elements is done randomly which can be formulated as follows [11]:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i g(w_i x_j + b_j) = Y
\]

where,
- \( Y \) : predicted data,
- \( \beta_i \) : weight that connect hidden nodes and output nodes with \( \beta_i = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m) \),
- \( w_i \) : the weight that connects the hidden node to the i and the input nodes with \( w_i = (w_{1i}, w_{2i}, \ldots, w_{ni}) \),
- \( x_j \) : vector input data with \( x_j = x_{j(t-1)}, x_{j(t-2)}, \ldots, x_{j(t-p)} \),
- \( j \) : numbers 1, 2, \ldots, \( m \)
$b_i$ : bias weight to the i hidden node with $b_i = (b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \cdots, b_{im})$

Equation (5) can be converted into a matrix as Equation (6)

$$H\beta = Y$$

where,

$$H = \begin{bmatrix}
g(w_{1}x_1 + b_1) & \cdots & g(w_{m}x_1 + b_m) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
g(w_{n}x_{n1} + b_n) & \cdots & g(w_{n}x_{n} + b_m)
\end{bmatrix}, \text{dan } \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_m \end{bmatrix}$$

$\beta$ the matrix of the output weights and T is the matrix of the target. In ELM, weights and bias values are determined randomly. So that the output weight associated with the hidden layer can be determined by Equation [28]:

$$\beta = H^+ Y$$

Where $H^+$ is a matrix that uses Moore Penrose Pseudo Inverse theory which has the best generalization results with fast computation time. In the ELM architecture described above, each node on the input is connected to a node in the hidden layer using the activation function $g(w_{m}x_{n} + b_m)$ thus resulting in an H matrix of many nodes × lots of data [29].

### 2.4 Confusion Matrix

Confusion matrix with a size of 2 × 2 associated with the classifier shows the results of classification and actual data where TP (True Positive) is a class which is actually positive and predicted positive, so class labels are predicted correctly. And TN (True Negative) is a class of actually negative and predicted negative, so class labels are predicted correctly. Whereas, FP (False Positive) is a class of actually negative but predicted positive, so class labels are predicted wrong. FN (False Negative) is a class of actually positive but predicted negative, so class labels are predicted wrong [30]. This can be seen in Table 1 as follows:

| Predicted Value | True | False |
|-----------------|------|-------|
| True            | True Positive (TP) | False Positive (FP) |
| False           | False Negative (FN) | True Negative (TN) |

From Table 2.1, the level of accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of an algorithm model can be calculated using Equation 8, 9, and 10 [18].

Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \times 100 \quad (8)

Sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \times 100 \quad (9)

Specificity = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} \times 100 \quad (10)

### 3. Research Method

#### 3.1 Data

This study used chest X-Ray image data consisting of 1031 data derived from [31]. Table 2 shows the data used in this study.
Table 2. Research Data Details

| Data Type     | Data Format | Number of Data |
|---------------|-------------|----------------|
| COVID-19      | JPEG        | 119            |
| Non COVID-19  | JPEG        | 912            |

The data was taken on 03 April 2020 from [32-35]. The data increased every week so that when retrieving at different times the number of data increased.

3.2 Research Type

This type of research is applied research. In this research report, a system is created to check whether a person is suffering from COVID-19 by using ELM method. Figure 3 is the flowchart for the detection of COVID-19.

![COVID-19 Detection using GLCM-ELM Flowchart](image)

Figure 3. COVID-19 Detection using GLCM-ELM Flowchart

4. Results and Discussion

Experiments of $k = 5$ were conducted in this study using hidden nodes of 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 35, then experiments were also conducted using several activation functions, that is sigmoid activation function, linear, sin, and radial basis. The results on the experiments using the hidden nodes of 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 35, as well as those of the activation functions consisting of sigmoid, linear, sin and radial basis using four rotation directions of $0^\circ$, $45^\circ$, $90^\circ$ and $135^\circ$ are shown in the following table 3, table 4, table 5 and table 6.
Table 3. COVID-19 and Non COVID-19 Classification using GLCM-ELM with 0° Direction

| Hidden Nodes | Activation Function | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|--------------|---------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| 5            | Sigmoid             | 88.35    | 50.00       | 89.10       |
|              | Linear              | 88.83    | 100.00      | 88.78       |
|              | Sin                 | 88.83    | 66.67       | 89.16       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 87.86    | 33.33       | 88.67       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 88.84    | 50.00       | 89.60       |
|              | Linear              | 87.86    | 100.00      | 88.72       |
|              | Sin                 | 88.34    | 40.00       | 89.55       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 88.83    | 50.00       | 90.00       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 91.26    | 85.71       | 91.46       |
|              | Linear              | 88.83    | 50.00       | 89.22       |
|              | Sin                 | 89.86    | 71.42       | 90.5        |
|              | Radial Basis        | 89.37    | 75.00       | 89.66       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 91.26    | 80.00       | 91.83       |
|              | Linear              | 88.83    | 100.00      | 88.78       |
|              | Sin                 | 90.29    | 75.00       | 90.90       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 89.80    | 66.67       | 90.86       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 88.84    | 54.55       | 90.77       |
|              | Linear              | 88.84    | 100.00      | 88.78       |
|              | Sin                 | 87.86    | 42.86       | 89.45       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 87.86    | 44.44       | 89.85       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 91.26    | 77.78       | 91.88       |
|              | Linear              | 88.84    | 66.67       | 89.16       |
|              | Sin                 | 91.75    | 73.33       | 93.19       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 90.29    | 64.28       | 92.19       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 91.75    | 81.82       | 92.31       |
|              | Linear              | 88.44    | 50.00       | 88.72       |
|              | Sin                 | 89.81    | 58.82       | 92.59       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 88.35    | 50.00       | 91.15       |

Table 4. COVID-19 and Non COVID-19 Classification Results using GLCM–ELM with 45° Direction

| Hidden Nodes | Activation Function | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|--------------|---------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| 5            | Sigmoid             | 89.32    | 100.00      | 89.21       |
|              | Linear              | 89.32    | 75.00       | 89.6        |
|              | Sin                 | 88.84    | 66.67       | 89.16       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 88.35    | 100.00      | 88.78       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 87.37    | 34.00       | 89.00       |
|              | Linear              | 88.35    | 50.00       | 89.10       |
|              | Sin                 | 87.86    | 43.00       | 89.45       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 87.37    | 34.00       | 89.00       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 90.34    | 75.00       | 90.95       |
|              | Linear              | 88.40    | 50.00       | 88.78       |
|              | Sin                 | 90.82    | 77.78       | 91.41       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 91.30    | 80.00       | 91.87       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 92.72    | 83.33       | 93.30       |
|              | Linear              | 89.81    | 100.00      | 89.71       |
|              | Sin                 | 92.23    | 76.92       | 93.23       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 91.75    | 80.00       | 92.35       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 90.82    | 66.67       | 92.70       |
|              | Linear              | 89.37    | 100.00      | 89.27       |
|              | Sin                 | 92.27    | 75.00       | 93.72       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 91.30    | 71.43       | 92.75       |
Table 4. COVID-19 and Non COVID-19 Classification Results using GLCM–ELM with 45° Direction (continued)

| Hidden Nodes | Activation Function | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|--------------|---------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| 30           | Sigmoid             | 90.78    | 72.72       | 91.79       |
|              | Linear              | 89.32    | 100.00      | 89.22       |
|              | Sin                 | 90.78    | 72.73       | 91.79       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 90.29    | 70.00       | 91.32       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 90.78    | 72.73       | 91.80       |
|              | Linear              | 89.32    | 100.00      | 89.22       |
|              | Sin                 | 90.78    | 72.73       | 91.79       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 90.29    | 70.00       | 91.33       |

Table 5. COVID-19 and Non COVID-19 Classification Results using GLCM–ELM 90° Direction

| Hidden Nodes | Activation Function | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|--------------|---------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| 5            | Sigmoid             | 89.80    | 100.00      | 89.65       |
|              | Linear              | 89.32    | 75.00       | 89.60       |
|              | Sin                 | 88.40    | 50.00       | 88.78       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 90.24    | 100.00      | 90.00       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 89.32    | 66.67       | 90.00       |
|              | Linear              | 90.29    | 100.00      | 90.00       |
|              | Sin                 | 90.29    | 75.00       | 90.90       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 88.40    | 50.00       | 88.78       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 91.75    | 88.9        | 91.88       |
|              | Linear              | 89.32    | 100.00      | 89.21       |
|              | Sin                 | 90.29    | 83.40       | 90.50       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 90.30    | 75.00       | 90.90       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 89.81    | 80.00       | 90.04       |
|              | Linear              | 89.32    | 100.00      | 89.22       |
|              | Sin                 | 89.81    | 80.00       | 90.04       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 89.81    | 80.00       | 90.04       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 89.80    | 63.64       | 91.28       |
|              | Linear              | 88.84    | 100.00      | 88.78       |
|              | Sin                 | 89.32    | 58.33       | 91.24       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 89.32    | 62.50       | 90.90       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 88.84    | 54.55       | 90.78       |
|              | Linear              | 88.35    | 50.00       | 88.73       |
|              | Sin                 | 88.84    | 55.56       | 90.36       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 88.84    | 54.55       | 90.77       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 92.72    | 80.00       | 93.72       |
|              | Linear              | 88.84    | 66.68       | 89.16       |
|              | Sin                 | 93.20    | 85.71       | 93.75       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 92.72    | 80.00       | 93.72       |
Table 6. COVID-19 and Non COVID-19 Classification Results using GLCM-ELM with 135° Direction

| Hidden Nodes | Activation Function | Accuracy  | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| 5            | Sigmoid             | 88.89     | 100.00      | 88.84       |
|              | Linear              | 89.37     | 100.00      | 89.27       |
|              | Sin                 | 88.83     | 100.00      | 88.89       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 89.32     | 75.00       | 89.60       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 88.83     | 57.14       | 89.95       |
|              | Linear              | 88.35     | 50.00       | 89.10       |
|              | Sin                 | 89.32     | 100.00      | 89.21       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 89.80     | 100.00      | 89.65       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 90.73     | 100.00      | 90.54       |
| 10           | Linear              | 89.75     | 100.00      | 89.66       |
|              | Sin                 | 91.21     | 100.00      | 91.00       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 89.80     | 66.67       | 90.86       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 92.23     | 81.82       | 92.82       |
|              | Linear              | 89.32     | 100.00      | 89.27       |
|              | Sin                 | 92.23     | 81.82       | 92.82       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 90.78     | 70.00       | 91.84       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 89.86     | 58.82       | 92.63       |
|              | Linear              | 88.89     | 60.00       | 89.60       |
|              | Sin                 | 91.30     | 71.43       | 92.75       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 90.82     | 64.71       | 93.16       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 90.29     | 62.50       | 92.63       |
|              | Linear              | 87.38     | 33.33       | 89.00       |
|              | Sin                 | 90.78     | 66.67       | 92.67       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 91.75     | 76.92       | 92.75       |
|              | Sigmoid             | 90.78     | 77.78       | 91.37       |
| 25           | Linear              | 89.32     | 100.00      | 89.22       |
|              | Sin                 | 90.78     | 77.78       | 91.37       |
|              | Radial Basis        | 90.78     | 77.78       | 91.37       |

The best results are seen from the values of sensitivity, accuracy, and specificity. In this COVID-19 detection system, the best sensitivity value is to avoid misdiagnosis that patients with COVID-19 disease are detected incorrectly as Non COVID-19. Table 3 represents the test results at 0°, using the linear activation function and 25 hidden nodes, and give the sensitivity, accuracy, and specificity values are 100%, 88.84%, and 88.78%, respectively. Table 4 represents the test results at 45°, using the linear activation function and 20 hidden nodes, and give sensitivity, accuracy, and specificity values are 100%, 89.81%, and 89.71%, respectively. GLCM feature extraction with an angle of 90° using linear activation function and 10 hidden nodes obtained sensitivity, accuracy, and specificity values of 100%, 90.29%, and 90%. Meanwhile, in Table 6, the feature extraction at an angle of 135° with 15 hidden nodes and the activation function sin obtained the best sensitivity, accuracy, and specificity results of 100%, 91.21%, and 91%. The best results from each trial, based on the feature extraction direction, were obtained using the activation function parameters sin, 10 hidden nodes, and the feature extraction direction of 135°, namely with sensitivity, accuracy, and specificity values of 100%, 91.21%, and 91%. Future research is expected to increase the sensitivity and accuracy with other feature extraction methods such as Gray Level Run-Length Matrix (GLRLM). In a study conducted by [34], GLRLM had better accuracy quality than the GLCM method. The future work is by applying ELM development methods, namely the Kernel Extreme Learning Machine (K-ELM) [35] and Multi-Layer Extreme Learning Machine (MLLEM) [36].
5. Conclusions

The feature extraction process using GLCM method can analyze the images of COVID-19 and Non COVID-19. Based on the extraction results of the GLCM feature with the four features used, it is shown that the extracted images produced good value. Good sensitivity was achieved from the feature extraction with 90°.

Covid-19 classification using Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) method shows good results. The classification of COVID-19 in this study is divided into two classes, namely COVID-19 and Non COVID-19 which produced the best results at the rotation of 135° with the accuracy of 91.21%, the sensitivity of 100%, and the specificity of 91% on hidden nodes 15 using the activation function of sin.
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