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Abstract

During past years Dragomir, Taneja and Pranesh kumar have contributed a lot of work providing different kinds of bounds on the distance, information and divergence measures. These are very useful and play an important role in many areas like as Sensor Networks, Testing the order in a Markov chain, Risk for binary experiments, Region segmentation and estimation etc. In this paper, we have established an upper and lower bounds of new f-divergence measure in terms of Relative J-divergence measure. Its particular cases have also considered using a new f-divergence measure and inequalities.
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Introduction

Let
\[ \Gamma_n = \left\{ P = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \mid p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 \right\}, n \geq 2 \]  
be the set of all complete finite discrete probability distributions. There are many information and divergence measures are exist in the literature of information theory and statistics. Csiszar [1,2] introduced a generalized measure of information using f-divergence measure given by
\[ I_f(P, Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i f \left( \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \]  
where \( f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a convex function and \( P, Q \in \Gamma_n \).

The Csiszar's f-divergence is a general class of divergence measures that includes several divergences used in measuring the distance or affinity between two probability distributions. This class is introduced by using a convex function f, defined on \((0, \infty)\). An important property of this divergence is that many known divergences can be obtained from this measure by appropriately defining the convex function f. There are some examples of divergence measures in the category of Csiszar's f divergence measure. Bhattacharya divergence [3], Triangular discrimination [4], Relative J-divergence [5], Hellinger discrimination [6], Chi-square divergence [7], Relative Jensen-Shannon divergence [8], Relative arithmetic-geometric divergence [9], Unified relative Jensen-Shannon and arithmetic-geometric divergence of types [9]. In whole paper, we shall derive some well known divergence measures with help of new f-divergence measure. An inequality between new f divergence and Relative J- divergence measure has established which is shown below. Bounds of well known divergence measure in terms of Relative J- divergence measure have studied below. Numerical bounds of information divergence measure have also studied.

New f-Divergence Measure and Its Particular Cases

Given a function \( f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), a new f-divergence measure introduced by Jain and Saraswat [10,11]
\[ S_f(P, Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i f \left( \frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i} \right) \]  
for all \( P, Q \in \Gamma_n \).

\[ S_f(P, Q) \geq f(1) \]  
Equality holds in (2.2) iff
\[ p_i = q_i \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]  
Corollary 4.1.1: (Non-negativity of new f-divergence measure) Let \( f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be convex and normalized, i.e.,
\[ f(1) = 0 \]  
Then for any, \( P, Q \) from (2.2) of proposition 2.1 and (2.4), we have the inequality
\[ S_f(P, Q) \geq 0 \]  
If \( f \) is strictly convex, equality holds in (2.5) iff
\[ p_i = q_i \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]  
and
\[ S_f(P, Q) \geq 0 \text{ and } S_f(P, Q) = 0 \text{ iff } P = Q \]  
Proposition 4.2: Let \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are two convex functions and \( g = a f_1 + b f_2 \) then \( S_g(P, Q) = a S_{f_1}(P, Q) + b S_{f_2}(P, Q) \), where \( a \) and \( b \) are constants and \( P, Q \in \Gamma_n \).

Now we give some examples of well known information divergence measures which are obtain from new f-divergence measure.

Chi-square divergence measure: If \( f(t) = (t - 1)^2 \) then Chi-square
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divergence measure is given by
\[ S_f(P,Q) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_i}{q_i} \left( \frac{p_i + q_i}{2} \right)^2 - 1 = \frac{1}{4} \chi^2(P,Q) \] (2.8)

Relative Jensen-Shannon divergence measure: If \( f(t) = \log t \) then relative Jensen-Shannon divergence measure is given by
\[ S_f(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \log \left( \frac{2q_i}{p_i + q_i} \right) = F(Q,P) \] (2.9)

Relative arithmetic-geometric divergence measure: If \( f(t) = t \) then relative arithmetic-geometric divergence measure is given by
\[ S_f(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right)^2 \log \left( \frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i} \right) = G(Q,P) \] (2.11)

Relative J-divergence measure: If \( f(t) = \log t - 1 \) then relative J-divergence measure is given by
\[ S_f(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{p_i - q_i}{2} \right)^2 \log \left( \frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i} \right) = J_f(P,Q) \] (2.12)

Hellinger discrimination: If \( f(t) = \sqrt{t} \) then Hellinger discrimination is given by
\[ S_f(P,Q) = 1 - B\left( \frac{P + Q}{2}, Q \right) = h\left( \frac{P + Q}{2}, Q \right) \] (2.13)

Unified relative Jensen-Shannon and arithmetic-geometric divergence of type \( \alpha \)
\[ f(t) = \begin{cases} [\alpha(\alpha - 1)]^{-1}[t^\alpha - 1], & \alpha \neq 0, 1 \\ - \log t, & \alpha = 0 \\ t\log t, & \alpha = 1 \end{cases} \] (2.14)

Then Unified relative Jensen-Shannon and Arithmetic-geometric divergence measure of type \( \alpha \) is given by
\[ f(r) = \begin{cases} \left[ FG\alpha(Q,P) = [\alpha(\alpha - 1)]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i (p_i + q_i)^{-\alpha} \right], & \alpha \neq 0, 1 \\ F(Q,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \log \left( \frac{2q_i}{p_i + q_i} \right), & \alpha = 0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\alpha}(Q,P) \\ G(Q,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{p_i + q_i}{2} \right)^{2\alpha} \log \left( \frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i} \right), & \alpha = 1 \end{cases} \] (2.15)

Inequality among New F-Divergence and Relative J-Divergence Measure

In the following theorem we have obtained an inequality between a new \( f \)-divergence measure and Relative J-divergence measure. The results are on similar lines to the result presented by Dragomir [12] and Jain and Saraswat [13,11,14].

**Theorem 3.1:** Assume that generating mapping \( f:[0,\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is normalized i.e. \( f(1)=0 \) and satisfies the assumptions.

(i) \( f \) is twice differentiable on \( (r,R) \), where \( 0 \leq r \leq 1 \leq R \leq \infty \) (ii) there exist constants \( m, M \) such that
\[ m \leq \frac{t^2}{1+t} f''(t) \leq M \] (3.1)

If \( P, Q \) are discrete probability distributions satisfying the assumptions
\[ r < \frac{1}{2} \leq r_i = \frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i} \leq R, \forall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \] (3.2)

Then we have the inequality
\[ \frac{m}{2} J_f(P,Q) \leq S_f(P,Q) \leq \frac{M}{2} J_f(P,Q) \] (3.3)

**Proof:** Define a mapping: \( J_f:[0,\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F_{f}(t) = f(t) - m(t-1)\log t, \forall t > 0 \)

Then \( F_{f}(.) \) is normalized and twice differentiable, since
\[ F_{f}''(t) = f''(t) - \frac{m(1+t)}{t^2} \geq 0 \] (3.4)

For all \( t \in (r,R) \), it follows that \( F_{f}(\cdot) \) is convex on \( (r,R) \). Applying non-negativity property of new \( f \)-divergence measure for \( F_{f}(\cdot) \) and the linearity property, we may state that
\[ 0 \leq S_{f_{\alpha}}(P,Q) = S_{f}(P,Q) - mS_{f_{\alpha}}(P,Q) = S_{f}(P,Q) - J_f(P,Q) \Rightarrow 0 \leq S_{f}(P,Q) - J_f(P,Q) \] (3.5)

From where the first inequality of (3.3) result

Now we again Define a mapping: \( F_{f_{\alpha}}:[0,\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F_{f_{\alpha}}(t) = \frac{m}{2} J_f(t) - S_f(t), \forall t > 0 \)

which is obviously normalized, twice differentiable and by (3.1), convex on \( (r,R) \). Applying non-negativity property of \( f \)-divergence functional for \( F_{f_{\alpha}}(\cdot) \) and the linearity property, we obtain the second part of (3.3) i.e.
\[ 0 \leq \frac{M}{2} J_f(P,Q) - S_f(P,Q) \] (3.6)

From (3.5) and (3.6) give the result (3.3).

**Some Particular Cases**

Using the result (3.3) of Theorem (3.1) we able to point out the following particular cases which may be interest in Information Theory and Statistics.

**Result 6.1:** Let \( P, Q \in \Gamma_n \) be two probability distribution with the property that
\[ r < \frac{1}{2} \leq r_i = \frac{p_i + q_i}{2q_i} \leq R, \forall i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \] (4.1)

Then we have the following relation
\[ \frac{1}{2(1+R)} J_f(P,Q) \leq F(Q,P) \leq \frac{1}{2(1+r)} J_f(P,Q) \]

**Proof:** Consider the mapping \( f:(r,R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(t) = - \log t, f'(t) = - \frac{1}{t}, f''(t) = \frac{1}{t^2} > 0, \forall t > 0 \)
So function is convex and normalized i.e. \( f(1) = 0 \)

Define \( g(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} f''(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} \left( \frac{1}{t^2} \right) = \frac{1}{1+t} \Rightarrow g'(t) = -\frac{1}{(1+t)^2} < 0 \)

Hence function \( f \) is decreasing, then we get

\[
M = \sup_{r \in (0, 1]} g(t) = \frac{1}{1+r}, m = \inf_{r \in (1, R)} g(t) = \frac{1}{1+R} (4.2)
\]

Also \( S(P, Q) = E(P, Q) \) from (2.9)

From equation (2.9), (3.3), (4.2) then prove of the result (4.1).

**Result 6.2:** Let, \( P, Q \in \Gamma_n \) be two probability distribution satisfying (3.2), then we have the following relation

\[
\frac{r}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq G(P, Q) \leq \frac{R}{2(1+R)} J_s(P, Q) (4.3)
\]

**Proof:** Consider the mapping \( f : (r, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \)

\[
f(t) = t \log t, \quad f'(t) = 1 + \log t, \quad f''(t) = \frac{1}{t} > 0, \forall t > 0
\]

So function \( f \) is convex and normalized i.e. \( f(1) = 0 \)

Define \( g(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} f''(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} \left( \frac{1}{t^2} \right) = \frac{1}{1+t} \Rightarrow g'(t) = -\frac{1}{(1+t)^2} > 0 \)

Hence function \( f \) is increasing, then we get

\[
M = \sup_{r \in (0, 1]} g(t) = \frac{R}{1+R}, m = \inf_{r \in (1, R)} g(t) = \frac{r}{1+r} (4.4)
\]

Also \( S_s(P, Q) = G(P, Q) \) from (2.10)

From equation (2.10), (3.3) & (4.4) prove of the result (4.3).

**Result 6.3:** Let \( P, Q \in \Gamma_n \) be two probability distributions satisfying (3.2), then we have the following relation

\[
\frac{4r^2}{(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq \chi^2(P, Q) \leq \frac{4R^2}{(1+R)} J_s(P, Q) (4.5)
\]

**Proof:** Consider the mapping \( f : (r, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \)

\[
f(t) = t^2 - 1, \quad f'(t) = 2t, \quad f''(t) = 2 > 0, \forall t > 0
\]

So function \( f \) is convex and normalized i.e. \( f(1) = 0 \)

Define \( g(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} f''(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} \left( \frac{2}{(1+t)} \right) > 0 \)

Hence function \( f \) is increasing, therefore we get

\[
M = \sup_{r \in (0, 1]} g(t) = \frac{2}{(1+r)}, m = \inf_{r \in (1, R)} g(t) = \frac{2r}{1+r} (4.6)
\]

Also \( S_s(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P, Q) \) from (2.8)

From equation (2.8), (3.3) & (4.6) prove of the result (4.5).

**Result 6.4:** Let \( P, Q \in \Gamma_n \) be two probability distributions satisfying (3.2), then we have the following relation

\[
\frac{4}{R(1+R)} J_s(P, Q) \leq \Delta(P, Q) \leq \frac{4}{r(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) (4.7)
\]

**Proof:** Consider the mapping \( f : (r, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \)

\[
f(t) = \frac{(t-1)^2}{t} = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} - 2 \right), \quad f'(t) = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{t} \right), \quad f''(t) = \frac{2}{t^2}
\]

\[f''(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f(1) = 0, \quad \text{so function} \ f \ \text{is convex and normalized.}
\]

Define \( g(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} f''(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} \left( \frac{2}{t^2} \right) = \frac{2}{1+t} g'(t) = -\frac{2(r+1)}{r(1+r)^2} < 0 \)

Then obviously

\[
M = \sup_{r \in (0, 1]} g(t) = \frac{2}{r(1+r)}, m = \inf_{r \in (1, R)} g(t) = \frac{2}{R(1+R)} (4.8)
\]

Since \( S_s(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta(P, Q) \) from equation (2.11), from equation (2.11), (3.3) & (4.8) prove of the result (4.7).

**Result 6.5:** Let, \( P, Q \in \Gamma_n \) be two probability distributions satisfying (3.2), and then we have the following relations

\[
\frac{r^2}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq \Omega_s(P, Q, P) \leq \frac{R^2}{2(1+R)} J_s(P, Q) (4.9)
\]

\[
\frac{R^2}{2(1+R)} J_s(P, Q) \leq \Omega_s(P, Q, P) \leq \frac{r^2}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) (4.10)
\]

**Proof:** Consider the mapping \( f : (r, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and from equation (2.14), then we get

\[
f(t) = [\alpha(\alpha-1)]^2 [t^{\alpha-1}], \quad f'(t) = [\alpha-1] t^{\alpha-2}, \quad f''(t) = \alpha^2 > 0, \forall t > 0
\]

So function \( f \) is convex and normalized i.e. \( f(1) = 0 \)

Define \( g(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} f''(t) = \frac{t^2}{1+t} \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{(1+t)^2} \right) \Rightarrow g'(t) = \frac{\alpha^2}{1+t} \frac{g(t)}{\alpha^2} > 0 \)

If \( g(t)' = 0 \Rightarrow t = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \)

If \( \alpha < 1 \) then function \( g(t) \) is increasing and if \( \alpha > 1 \) then function \( g(t) \) is decreasing, we get

\[
M = \sup_{r \in (0, 1]} g(t) = \frac{R^2}{1+R}, m = \inf_{r \in (1, R)} g(t) = \frac{r^2}{1+r} (4.11)
\]

\[
M = \sup_{r \in (0, 1]} g(t) = \frac{r^2}{1+R}, m = \inf_{r \in (1, R)} g(t) = \frac{R^2}{1+R} (4.12)
\]

Also \( S_s(P, Q) = \Omega_s(Q, P) \) from (2.15)

From equation (3.3), (2.14), (2.15), (4.11) & (4.10) prove of the results (4.9) & (4.10).

**Corollary 6.5.1:** For \( \alpha = 1/2 \) of equations (4.9) and (4.10) and Let, \( P, Q \in \Gamma_n \) be two probability distributions satisfying (3.2), then we have the following inequality

\[
\frac{\sqrt{r}}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq \frac{4}{1-\alpha} \left[ \left( \frac{P+Q}{2} \right) \right]^{1/2} J_s(P, Q) \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha < 1 (4.13)
\]

\[
\frac{\sqrt{r}}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq \frac{4}{1-\alpha} \left[ \left( \frac{P+Q}{2} \right) \right]^{1/2} J_s(P, Q) \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha < 1 (4.14)
\]

\[
\frac{\sqrt{r}}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq \frac{4}{1-\alpha} \left[ \left( \frac{P+Q}{2} \right) \right]^{1/2} J_s(P, Q) \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha < 1 (4.15)
\]

\[
\frac{\sqrt{r}}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq \frac{4}{1-\alpha} \left[ \left( \frac{P+Q}{2} \right) \right]^{1/2} J_s(P, Q) \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha > 1 (4.16)
\]
Where \( [1 - \frac{h(P, Q)}{2}] - \frac{h(P, Q)}{2} \) and \( \frac{h(P, Q)}{2} \) is Bhattacharya divergence measure \([1]\).

**Numerical Illustrations**

**Example 7.1:** Let P be the binomial probability distribution for the random variable X with parameter \((n=8, p=0.5)\) and Q its approximated normal probability distribution

Here \( \chi^2(P, Q) = 0.00145837 \) and \( J_s(P, Q) = 0.00151848 \)

It is noted that \( r = (0.774179933)/(1.050330018) \leq p \leq R \)

We shall consider the upper and lower bounds of \( F(P, Q), J(P, Q), G(P, Q) \) & \( \chi^2(P, Q) \) are following.

\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad \frac{1}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq F(P, Q) \leq \frac{R}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \quad J_s(P, Q) = 0.00042791 \\
\text{Lower bound:} & \quad 0.00042791 \leq F(P, Q) \leq 0.24386318 \\
\text{The width of the interval is} & \quad 0.243435
\end{align*}

Upper bound:

\[ \frac{r}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq G(P, Q) \leq \frac{R}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \]

Lower bound:

\[ \frac{r}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) = 0.000331 \]

Upper bound:

\[ \frac{R}{2(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) = 0.256137 \]

\[ 0.000331 \leq G(P, Q) \leq 0.256137 \]

The width of the interval is 0.255806

\[ (i) \quad \frac{2}{R(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq \Delta(P, Q) \leq \frac{2}{r(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \]

Lower bound:

\[ \frac{2}{R(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) = 0.001410 \]

Upper bound:

\[ \frac{2}{R(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) = 2.15222533 \]

\[ 0.001410 \leq \Delta(P, Q) \leq 1.456097 \]

The width of the interval is 1.454687

\[ (i) \quad \frac{4r^2}{(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \leq \chi^2(P, Q) \leq \frac{4R^2}{(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) \]

Lower bound:

\[ \frac{4r^2}{(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) = 0.002052 \]

Upper bound:

\[ \frac{4R^2}{(1+r)} J_s(P, Q) = 2.15222533 \]

\[ 0.002052 \leq \chi^2(P, Q) \leq 2.15222533 \]

The width of the interval is 2.150173.
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