Effect of Proline Analogues on Activity of Human Prolyl Hydroxylase and the Regulation of HIF Signal Transduction Pathway
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Abstract

Hypoxia inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) plays a pivotal role in cellular responses to hypoxia. Prolyl hydroxylase 3 (PHD3) degrades HIF-1α under normoxic conditions through the hydroxylation of HIF-1α for proteolysis. Inhibiting PHD3 activity is crucial for up-regulating HIF-1α, thereby acting as a potential target for treating hypoxia-related diseases. In this study, two proline analogues (PA1 and PA2) were screened as PHD3 inhibitors with apparent EC50 values of 1.53 and 3.17 μM respectively, indicating good inhibition potency. Nine proteins, significantly regulated by PHD3, were identified using 2-DE coupled with MALDI-TOF/TOF MS. Pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2 (PKM) and alpha-enolase 1 (ENO1), which are key modulators of glycolysis, are directly regulated by HIF-1α. Moreover, VEGF, a signal protein stimulating angiogenesis, was strongly promoted by PA1. Our findings suggest that PA1 stabilized HIF-1α as well as up-regulated glycolysis and angiogenesis proteins. Herein, for the first time, we systematically studied proline analogue PA1 as a PHD3 inhibitor, which provides innovative evidence for the treatment of HIF-related diseases.

Introduction

Oxygen homeostasis, an important organizing principle for the development and physiology of living organisms, can be disrupted by cardiovascular, pulmonary, hematological diseases and cancers [1,2]. Hypoxia inducible factor 1 (HIF-1), which functions as a master regulator of oxygen homeostasis [3,4], is a heterodimer consisting of an alpha subunit (HIF-1α) and a beta subunit (HIF-1β). Under normoxic conditions, cellular HIF-1α is regulated by hydroxylation with prolyl hydroxylase 3 (PHD3), ubiquitination, and proteasomal degradation. Under hypoxic conditions, PHD3-modified HIF-1α is greatly decreased, resulting in its stabilization and accumulation [5-8]. Because PHD3 is the key enzyme regulating HIF activity in response to pO2, inhibiting PHD3 is an attractive target for pharmaceuticals to treat diseases related to HIF up-regulation, such as myocardial infarction, stroke, and anemia, among others [9-11].

PHD3 belongs to the 2-oxoglutarate dependent dioxygenase superfamily, which requires oxygen, iron, 2-oxoglutarate, and ascorbate for the hydroxylation reaction. Therefore, PHD3 may be inhibited by depletion of or competition for these factors that stabilize HIF-1α [12–15]. Three predominant types of small molecules have been reported to inhibit PHD activity, i.e. metal ions [16,17], iron chelators [18–22], and proline analogues [23–25]. Metal ions such as cobalt can inactivate the enzymes by occupying an iron-binding site on proline hydroxylases [5]. Most iron chelators are 2-oxoglutarate (2OG) analogues which share similar simple 2OG scaffolds to chelate iron in a bidentate fashion [20]. Some classical chelators, such as N-oxalylglycine (NOG) [26], dimethylxalylglycine (DMOG) [27], ethyl-3, 4-dihydroxynbenzoate (3, 4-DHB) [12], and deferoxamine mesylate (DFO) [12], can competitively inhibit the activity of PHDs and stabilize HIF-1α to repair chronic disease anemia and neuronal injury. However, iron chelators cannot exclusively bind to PHDs and may disturb other iron-containing proteins that maintain normal physiology and biochemistry [28]. Still, these reports raise new questions as to the selectivity of HIF hydroxylase inhibitors and the extent to which their biological activity is mediated solely by inhibition of PHDs and FIH. PHD3 hydroxylates proline residue 564 on the HIF-1α oxygen-dependent degradation domain for proteasomal destruction [7,29]. Ahn synthesized peptides containing 556–575 residues of HIF-1α oxygen-dependent degradation domain to inhibit PHD3 hydroxylase, and found that it competitively inhibited the activity of PHD and stabilize HIF-1α [30]. Moreover, VEGF, a signal protein stimulating angiogenesis, was strongly promoted by PA1. Our findings suggest that PA1 stabilized HIF-1α and promoted VEGF expression, providing innovative evidence for the treatment of HIF-related diseases.
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Materials and Methods

Materials

Expression host, E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS, and pET32α (+) vectors were acquired from Novagen. Isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), diethiothreitol (DTT), 2-oxoglutarate, ascorbate, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and catalase were purchased from Sigma. HIF-1α peptide corresponding to residues 556–574 (DLDLEMLAPYIPMDDDFOQL) and the neighboring amino acid of proline residue 564 is tyrosine [30]. Thus, we designed and synthesized two proline analogues (PA1 and PA2, Figure 1) containing benzene ring like tyrosine. Structure of PA1 resembles PYP peptide because two proline residues are connected by o-phenylenediamine. We explored the PA1 and PA2 effects on PHD3 activity and found PA1 was a competitive inhibitor for the substrate. Furthermore, the regulatory effect of PA1 on the signal transduction pathway in HIF-glycolytic metabolism in NCI-446 cells was studied using RT-PCR, Western-blot and 2-DE coupled with MALDI-TOF/TOF MS methods. PA1 inhibited PHD3 activity, promoted HIF-1α accumulation, and up-regulated PHD target genes PKM and ENO1 as well as protein VEGF. PA1 likely regulates the special signal transduction pathway within HIF-glycolytic metabolism as a potential PHD inhibitor.

Protein Expression and Purification

Recombinant human PHD3 enzyme was expressed in E. coli as described previously [43,44]. The cultured cells were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, and each gram of cell pellet was resuspended in 5 mL of binding buffer (20 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2 M NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, pH 7.0), followed by sonication on ice and centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 10 min. The post-centrifugation supernatant was filtered through a 0.45-μm polypropylene membrane to prevent clogging of the chromatography medium. HisTrap FF crude column was pre-equilibrated with 3 column volumes of binding buffer, to which the supernatant was applied, and the flow-through was collected for analysis. The column was then washed with 5 column volumes of binding buffer and then 10 column volumes of washing buffer containing 100 mM imidazole (otherwise identical to binding buffer). Then the absorption was back to baseline, target proteins were eluted with a linear gradient of imidazole from 100 to 300 mM for 7 column volumes at 3 mL/min. The elution was continued with 500 mM imidazole for 2 column volumes to ensure bound proteins were all eluted. Collected fractions were concentrated to less than 2 mL by ultrafiltration. Gel filtration was performed on Superdex 200 column equilibrated with buffer GP (20 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM DTT, pH 6.5). A portion of 2 ml of the concentrated Ni-NTA fraction was applied to the column and eluted with buffer GF. The flow rate was 0.8 ml/min for optimal separation. Fractions containing PHD3 were collected and concentrated. Protein purity was verified by SDS-PAGE and MALDI-TOF/MS. Protein concentration was measured by using the BCA Protein Assay Kit.

Activity Assay

PHD3 hydroxylation activity was measured via a fluorescence-based method developed by McNeill [45], and based on reported derivatization methods for 2OG using o-phenylenediamine (OPD) to yield a fluorescent derivative [46]. The PHD3 activity assay was carried out by mixing 2 mg/mL BSA, 1 mM DTT, 2 mM ascorbate, 0.6 mg/mL catalase, 50 μM FeCl₂ [prepared as 500 mM stock in 20 mM HCl and diluted with water], HIF 19 peptide, enzyme PHD3 and 20 mM (pH 7.0) PBS to a final volume of 96 μL on an ice bath. The reaction was initiated by adding 4 μL of 2OG (160 μM) to the reaction mixture. The concentration of remaining 2OG was quantified after the catalytic reaction was carried out by detecting the fluorescent intensity of the derivatization product between 2OG and OPD on a Perkin-Elmer spectrometer (excitation = 340 nm; emission = 420 nm). The structures of PA1 and PA2 in the reaction mixture were detected by NMR spectra using Bruker AVANCE III 600 MHz.

Cell Culture

Human small cell lung cancer NCI-H446 cells [47,48], was obtained from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 units/mL streptomycin in a humidified 5% CO₂ environment at 37°C. At 70–80% confluence, cells were harvested after treatment with 0.25% (w/v) trypsin-EDTA, and then were reseded for expansion.

Cell Proliferation Assay

3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyle-trazolium bromide (MTT) assays were applied to test cell viability. Briefly, 2×10⁴ NCI-H446 cells were seeded onto 96-well plates and incubated for 4 hr. Then the medium was changed to one containing various concentrations of PA1, DFO and CoCl₂. Subsequently, a fraction of 20 μL MTT (0.5 mg/mL final concentration) was added to each well. After incubation for 4 hr, 150 μL of DMSO was added to dissolve the crystals. Absorbances at 570 nm were monitored by an automatic ELISA plate reader.

Two-dimensional Electrophoresis (2-DE)

PA1-treated NCI-H446 cells were counted and harvested by centrifugation, washed twice with Tris-buffered sucrose (10 mM Tris base, 250 mM sucrose, pH 7.0), and dissolved in lysis buffer.
containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 50 mM DTT, complete mini protease inhibitor cocktail tablet and 0.2% pH 3–10 IPG buffer. After incubation on ice for 1 h, the samples were centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was quantified using a 2-D Quant kit. Protein (1 mg) was diluted in rehydration buffer containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2% CHAPS, 25 mM DTT, 0.2% pH 3–10 IPG buffer and 0.002% bromophenol blue, and was applied to 24 cm IPG strips with a linear range of pH 3–10. The first dimension was carried out on a Multiphore II IEF system. IEF was performed at 20°C under the following conditions: 100 V for 2 h, 250 V for 30 min, 500 V for 30 min, 1,000 V for 1 h, 10,000 V for 2 h and 10,000 V for 60,000 V.h. After IEF, the IPG strips were equilibrated for 15 min in a buffer containing 6 M urea, 20% glycerol, and 2% SDS (in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.8) with 2% DTT, and then equilibrated for another 15 min in the same buffer but with 2.5% iodoacetamide substituting for DTT. Then 2D separations were carried out on 12.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gels at 2 w per gel for 40 min and then at 15 w per gel using an Ettan DA-L66x vertical electrophoresis system until the dye front reached the gel bottom. Gels were visualized by Coomassie staining to compare spot patterns between controls and PA1-treated cells. Gels were scanned using Epson Expression 10000 XL, and the image was analyzed using Image-Master 2D software. The spot differential was defined as change in spot volume upon comparison of average gels between treated and control groups.

Mass Spectrometry and Protein Identification
Differentially expressed protein spots on 2-DE gels, which were stained using Coomassie brilliant blue G250 dye, were identified for subsequent mass spectrometry. Selected spots had a greater than 2-folds change as evidenced by image analysis. After washing twice with Milli-Q water, gel pieces were washed in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate/5% ACN, and twice in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate/50% ACN. Gel pieces were dried in 100% ACN and digested in 10 μL of trypsin (10 ng/μL Trypsin Gold, mass spectrometry grade) in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate at 37°C overnight. Peptide digestion (1 μL) was mixed with the matrix solution (10 mg α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid in 1 mL of 50% acetonitrile and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid), and 1 μL of this mixture was applied to the stainless steel plate of the mass spectrometer. Mass spectrometry was performed using a MALDI-TOF/TOF MS. Before real sample acquisition, six calibrated spots were used to optimize signals and parameters. Proteins were then identified by searching the Swiss-Prot database and NCBI nr protein using the Mascot search engine of Matrix Science.

Western Blotting
NCI-H446 cells were harvested 24 h after treated the three doses of PA1, DFO and CoCl2 and lysed using lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 250 mM NaCl, 0.4 mM Na3VO4, 1% SDS and complete mini protease inhibitor cocktail tablet. Samples were separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to Immobilon-P transfer membrane. Membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk in TBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 at room temperature for 1 h, and then incubated with anti-β-Actin (1:2,000) or anti-HIF1α (1:1,000). Antibodies were diluted in TBS with 5% nonfat milk at 4°C overnight. Blots were incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:5,000) and anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:5,000) for 1 h, and detected by using ECL. Blot images were scanned and analyzed using Quantity One software.

Semi-quantitative Reverse Transcription-PCR analysis
Total RNA was isolated from PA1-treated NCI-H446 cells using Trizol reagent following the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was quantified using Nanodrop ND-1000. Two micrograms of each RNA sample was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using a primeScript RT-PCR kit. PCR was conducted using cDNA as the template and Takara Taq kit. The amplified product was detected by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, scanned and analyzed using Quantity One software. Nucleotide sequences of the primers are shown in Table 1.

Statistical Analysis
Data are representative of three or more independent experiments. The student’s t-test was used for statistical analysis and P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results
Inhibited Activity of Recombinant Human PHD3 by PA1 and PA2
The cloning, expression, and Ni-NTA purification of recombinant human PHD3 were carried out as described previously [49]. Gel filtration was performed after Ni-NTA to further purify the enzyme to eliminate remaining impurities and imidazole. Reducing agents stabilized PHD3 throughout gel filtration. Approximately 90% of high-purity PHD3 was eluted with molecular masses of ~45 kDa. Proline of the HIF-1α peptide formed hydroxyproline in vitro by hydroxylation with recombinant human PHD3.

Kinetic analysis characterized recombinant human PHD3 inhibition by PA1 and PA2 with 10−6–10−3 M HIF-1α peptide. The Michaelis-Menten equation provided Km of (2.03±0.67) μM. Double-reciprocal (1/V versus 1/[HIF-1α peptide]) plots showed inhibition types of the proline analogues. PA1 was inclined to be competitive, whereas PA2 was non-competitive inhibitors for the substrate. Ki values were 1.09 and 2.38 μM for PA1 and PA2 respectively (Figure 2a, b), suggesting tighter binding of the competitive inhibitors to the enzyme catalytic site. The two compounds inhibited enzyme catalytic activity in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 2c, d), with apparent EC50 values for PA1 and PA2 of 1.53 and 3.17 μM, respectively (Figure 3a, b). The EC50 value of PA2 was slightly greater than that of PA1, so PA1 was chosen for the following cell studies. NMR experiments showed the structures of PA1 (Figure 4a, b, c) and PA2 (Figure 4d, e, f) were retained in the in vitro enzymatic assay.

Slight Decrease in NCI-H446 Cell Viability by PA1
Cytotoxicity of PA1 on NCI-H446 cells was assessed by MTT tests after 12, 24 and 48 h of treatment with increasing PA1 concentrations (Figure 5a). PA1 suppressed the proliferation of NCI- H446 cells in a time- and dose-dependent manner, but inhibition was mild even after 48 h treatment of 2 μM PA1 (cell viability: >72%). In the presence of 1,000 μM PA1, survival of NCI-H446 cells increased to 85 and 83% after 24 and 48 h, respectively. Hence, 1,000 μM PA1 was used thereafter. DFO and CoCl2 that are both well-known PHD inhibitors are used as positive controls. Figure 5b showed the cytotoxicity effect of PA1 in comparison with DFO and CoCl2 is lowest, suggesting the good biocompatibility of PA1. Accordingly, 1000 μM PA1 used within cells thereafter was feasible.
HIF-1\(\alpha\) Expression is Promoted by PA1 Under Normoxic Conditions

To verify the HIF-1\(\alpha\) pathway, HIF-1\(\alpha\) expression in NCI-H446 cells treated with PA1 under normoxic conditions was detected by Western blot, treating cells with PA1 for 24 h up-regulated HIF-1\(\alpha\) protein in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6a). Particularly, HIF-1\(\alpha\) protein was increased six-fold in response to 1,000 μM PA1 (Figure 6b). Well-known PHD3 inhibitors DFO and CoCl2 were used as positive controls. Treating cells with 100 μM DFO for 24 h increased HIF-1\(\alpha\) protein almost thirteen-folds, and 100 μM CoCl2 increased HIF-1\(\alpha\) protein approximately nine-folds. Compared with the positive control, the potency of PA1 increasing HIF-1\(\alpha\) protein was half that of DFO and three quarters that of CoCl2. Ergo, PA1 was a fine PHD3 inhibitor to up-regulate HIF-1\(\alpha\) protein.

Identification of Differentially Expressed Protein Spots with 2-DE/MALDI-TOF MS

To identify proteins associated with PA1-induced HIF-1\(\alpha\) stabilization, protein expression patterns of control and PA1-treated groups were compared using 2-DE proteomic analysis (Figure 7a). Using Image-Master 2D software, more than 600 protein spots were detected. Spot densities were measured with normalization based on total spot volumes on the gel. Nine spots in control and PA1-treated group were selected (Figure 7b) and 9 well-resolved and matched spots among six gels were chosen to calculate the spot density deviations (Table 2). Protein spots were cut out, in-gel digested, and analyzed by MALDI-TOF/TOF MS. Database searching for MS fingerprint profiles indicated that differentially expressed proteins had various functions, including metabolism (alpha-enolase, pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2, S-adenosylmethionine synthase isoform type-2, eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A-1, and mitochondrial-processing peptidase subunit beta), regulation of gene expression (heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H2, heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins C1/C2, and heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein), and roles in the cell cycle (proteasome activator complex subunit 2).

HIF-1\(\alpha\) Pathway

More than 40 hypoxia-inducible-factor target genes have been characterized by the functional delineation of HIF binding to hypoxia-response elements in transcriptional control sequences. Thirteen differentially expressed proteins were identified in control and PA1-treated groups, and two proteins (alpha-enolase and pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2) were directly related to the HIF-1-\(\alpha\) transcription factor network.

Preliminary Validation of Identified Proteins by RT-PCR

RT-PCR analysis was used as an alternative method to detect differentially expressed proteins (Figure 6c). PA1 induced mRNA expressions of nine genes in a concentration-dependent manner to different extents (Figure 6d). The two HIF-1\(\alpha\) related genes, ENO1 and PKM, were significantly up-regulated and 1,000 μM PA1 up-regulated PKM seven-folds at the mRNA level.

PA1-promoted Expression of VEGF

Although HIF-1 is a powerful modulator of many hypoxia-related proteins, VEGF is the most well-known target for HIF-1 activation [50]. Accordingly, VEGF was utilized to investigate the correlation between PA1 and HIF-1 expression. VEGF secretion was measured in cell culture supernatants with an ELISA kit. PA1, especially 1,000 μM PA1, significantly increased VEGF secretion compared with control (Figure 6e).
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Table 1. Primers used for reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction.

| Primer       | Nucleotide sequence(5’-3’) | Amplicon size |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| EIF5A sense  | CTTGCAAGATGCGCTTTTGGT     | 238           |
| EIF5A antisense | TGTCCTGAGCAGTGTAGGGT      |               |
| PSME2 sense  | ACCACCAAGGATGATGAGAT      | 257           |
| PSME2 antisense | ACCTTGGCTGACGCGGATT       |               |
| HNRNPC sense | TGGCCTGTCGTTGATGTGTT      | 378           |
| HNRNPC antisense | CACCTTGAATAATGGAACACT      |               |
| MAT2A sense  | TACAAATCTACACCTACAGCC     | 240           |
| MAT2A antisense | GAGACCTGAACAAAGACCT       |               |
| ENO1 sense   | CGCATTGAAGCAGAGGTTTA      | 281           |
| ENO1 antisense | AGCTGCTAGCAGGAGGTTA       |               |
| HSPA8 sense  | GGCCCTTACGGAACGTAAC       | 353           |
| HSPA8 antisense | CTTTGGTACGGTAGCTGA        |               |
| PMPCB sense  | GCTCATCTCAGGCTACTACCT     | 373           |
| PMPCB antisense | ATTCATCATGGAACACCT        |               |
| PKM sense    | AATCAGCGTGGATAACCGCTAC    | 322           |
| PKM antisense | GGCTTGGCAGTAGTAAGGG       |               |
| HNRNPH2 sense | TAGGCCGTAGTCGCAACG        | 230           |
| HNRNPH2 antisense | ACCTGCGCTATCTTCCTC      |               |
| ACTIN sense  | GACCTGACTGACTCCTC         | 430           |
| ACTIN antisense | TCTTCAATGTGCTGCTGTGC      |               |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095692.t001
Discussion

Oxygen disorder diseases represent very real burdens to human health and include heart, cerebrovascular, and chronic obstructive lung disease. These conditions demand effective treatment protocols that save lives and decrease healthcare costs. HIF-1 is an important factor for regulating cellular and systemic oxygen...
homeostasis and HIF-1 is regulated through the hydroxylation of the HIF-1α proline residue by PHD. Therefore, developing novel pharmaceuticals to inhibit PHD activity may offer treatment strategies for these diseases. Compared with metal ions and chelators, proline analogues have been studied very little, so to address this deficit in the literature and explore the effects of proline analogues on PHD3 activity and HIF signaling, we performed proteomic analysis using 2-DE methods.

Prior to proteomic analysis, proline analogue inhibition of and affinity for recombinant human PHD3 were measured. PA1 competitively inhibited catalytic activity in a concentration-dependent manner. Iron binding assay with PA1 and PA2 indicated that PA1 and PA2 were not iron chelators. Low EC_{50} values suggested that these two compounds had moderate inhibitory activities compared with polynitrogen compounds [43] and macrocyclic polyamines [49] studied previously, which could be attributed to binding to iron and inactivation of hydroxylation via the formation of stable coordination complexes. However, PA1 competed for the HIF-1α substrate proline site, thus decreasing hydroxylation activity. This implies that proline analogues PA1 and PA2 are potential inhibitors in vitro and these data motivate us to explore the effects in vivo.

A major advantage of 2-DE and MS technologies is that they offer insight into the understanding variations for treatment with the potential inhibitor PA1. Differential proteins were identified using 2-DE-based-proteomic analysis, and nine differentially expressed protein spots were identified using MALDI-TOF/

Figure 4. NMR spectra of PA1 and PA2. a, d PA1, PA2 in D2O. b, e PA1, PA2 in reaction mixture. c, f Reaction mixture (100 μL containing 14 μg PHD3, 1.0 mM DTT, 2.0 mM ascorbate, 50 μM FeSO4, 0.16 mM 2-oxoglutarate, 2.0 mg/mL BSA, 0.60 mg/mL catalase, and 66.55 μM HIF-1α peptide in 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0)).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095692.g004
TOF MS, among which ENO1 and PKM genes were directly related with HIF-1α and glucose/energy metabolism.

In this study, PA1 increased HIF-1α. HIF-1 expression activates transcription of genes encoding proteins that mediate angiogenesis, metastasis, and a shift from oxidative to glycolytic metabolism [51,52]. These target genes are involved in many ischemia oxygen deficiency diseases such as pulmonary arterial hypertension, myocardial infarction, stroke, precursors to childhood epilepsy and almost all tumor types [53,54]. Thus, researchers studied HIF expression to investigate the up-regulation of angiogenesis and glycolytic metabolism [55–57]. Glycolysis is stimulated by hypoxia or cerebral ischemia, or both, as the body tries to maintain optimal cellular energy balance despite oxygen debt. It has been shown that enzymes of glycolysis tend to be regulated in hypoxia [58,59] and ischemia [60]. PKM is a key enzyme that determines glycolytic activity and functions as a coactivator to stimulate HIF-1 transactivation of target genes encoding GLUT1, LDHA, and PDK1 to increase glucose uptake and inhibit O2 consumption [40,61]. Pyruvate kinase activity was reported to increase in hypoxia and/or ischemia [58,59,62,63]. So far, little is reported about compounds that augment PKM via HIF stabilization. We found that PA1 increased PKM significantly. Moreover, the gene

Figure 5. Cytotoxicity of PA1 on NCI-H446 cells measured with MTT. a PA1 (12 h (■), 24 h (●), 48 h (▲)). b 24 h (PA1 (■), CoCl2 (●), DFO (▲)). Data are presented as mean ± S.D. of three independent experiments. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095692.g005

Figure 6. Expression of protein and mRNA in NCI-H446 cells treated with different concentrations of PA1 under normoxic conditions. a HIF-1α protein in H446 cells measured by Western blot, 100 μM DFO and 100 μM CoCl2 were used as positive controls. b Measurement of stabilized HIF-1α protein. c Pre-validation of proteomic mRNA analysis in control and PA1-treated NCI-H446 cells. To measure the degree of change in identified proteins, we measured mRNA in PA1-treated NCI-H446 cells. mRNA expression of seven proteins were measured using RT-PCR. d mRNA expression of seven proteins in NCI-H446 cells treated with different concentrations of PA1 under normoxic conditions. e ELISA was used to measure secreted VEGF protein. Data are presented as mean ± S.D. of three independent experiments. (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 vs. non-treated control group). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095692.g006
for ENO1 was up-regulated by PA1. ENO1, a multifunctional enzyme, participates in growth control and hypoxia tolerance [35]. ENO1 expression is significantly upregulated during cellular growth [64] and in hypoxic situations, it acts as a stress protein that is up-regulated via activation of HIF-1 [65] and is postulated to provide protection to cells by increasing anaerobic metabolism [66–68]. Kobayashi and colleagues reported that the introduction of α-enolase appeared to increase cell survival and cardiomyocyte contractility more than expected from maintenance of ATP levels alone. These findings suggest that α-enolase is essential for

| No. | Accession Number | Protein name | Gene name | Quantitative changes (%) | Theoretical MW(Da)/pI | pathway |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| 1   | P63241           | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A-1 | EIF5A     | 673.5±25.5               | 17.049/5.08           | Metabolism of proteins |
| 2   | Q9UL46           | Proteasome activator complex subunit 2 | PSME2     | 512.6±15.0               | 27.555/5.54           | Signal Transduction   |
| 3   | P07910           | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins C1/C2 | HNRNPC   | 275.7±16.0               | 33.707/4.95           | Gene Expression       |
| 4   | P31153           | S-adenosylmethionine synthase isoform type-2 | MAT2A     | 236.4±8.9                | 43.975/6.02           | Metabolism            |
| 5   | P06733           | Alpha-enolase | ENO1      | 259.3±17.2               | 47.481/7.01           | Metabolism, HIF-1α transcription factor network |
| 6   | P11142           | Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein | HSPA8     | 215.2±7.5                | 7.082/5.37            | Gene Expression       |
| 7   | O75439           | Mitochondrial-processing peptidase subunit beta | PMPCB    | 249.4±8.2               | 55.073/6.38           | Metabolism of proteins |
| 8   | P14618           | Pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2 | PKM       | 208.6±4.5               | 58.470/7.96           | Metabolism, HIF-1α transcription factor network |
| 9   | P55795           | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H2 | HNRNPH2   | 426.7±8.3               | 49.517/5.89           | Gene Expression       |

*Spot number on 2-DE gel (Fig. 7).†Swiss-Prot accession numbers.‡The values show the percent change of proteins. --: down-regulated, +: up-regulated (n = 3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095692.t002

Figure 7. Protein expression patterns of control and PA1-treated NCI-H446 cells. a After a 24-h treatment of cells with 1,000 μM PA1, total protein of NCI-H446 cells was extracted, and then separated by pI and molecular weight. Both panels show a 2-DE gel that was CBB-stained for protein visualization. Differentially expressed protein spots from control and PA1-treated groups are indicated by arrows. Proteins were then identified using MALDI-TOF/TOF MS (Table 2). b Gel regions in which spots correspond to differentially expressed proteins are shown. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095692.g007
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protection of the heart from ischemic injury [69]. Hence, PA1 enhanced glycolytic metabolism by targeting PKM and ENO1 and PA1 induced VEGF expression in NCI-H46 cells. Although many genes associated with hypoxia are expressed via this pathway, VEGF is the typical HIF-1-modulated factor and an HIF-1 activation indicator [70]. Our data provide further evidence regarding PA1-induced HIF-1 activation. The expression of VEGF by HIF activation facilitated angiogenic responses [71,72], and based on up-regulated PKM, ENO1 and VEGF by PA1, PA1 might mitigate HIF-related diseases.

Conclusion

We systematically studied a proline analogue PA1 as a PHD3 inhibitor in vitro and investigated its effect on the HIF signal pathway in cellular systems. PA1 enhanced glycolytic metabolism and angiogenesis by activating HIF-1α and modulating HIF-1α-related genes for PKM and ENO1 and protein VEGF. The results provide evidence for the design of eligible and effective pharmaceutical agents for hypoxia-related diseases.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: XM ZG ZW. Performed the experiments: XM ZG. Analyzed the data: XM JW JC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: XM ZG. Wrote the paper: XM ZG ZW.

References

1. Kulkarni AG, Koppusamy P, Parinandi N (2007) Oxygen, the Lead Actor in the Pathophysiological Drama: Enactment of the Trinity of Normoxia, Hypoxia, and Hyperoxia in Disease and Therapy. Antioxidants & Redox Signaling: 9: 1717–1730.
2. Chowdhury R, Hardy A, Schofield C (2008) The human oxygen sensing machinery and its manipulation. Chem Soc Rev 37: 1308–1319.
3. Semenza GL (2012) Hypoxia-inducible factors in physiology and medicine. Cell 148: 399–408.
4. Schofield C, Ratcliffe PJ (2004) Oxygen sensing by HIF hydroxylases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5: 343–354.
5. Epstein AC, Gleadle JM, McNeill LA, Hewitson KS, Routier JO, et al. (2001) Elegant EGL-9 and mammalian homologs define a family of dioxygenases that regulate HIF by prolyl hydroxylation. Cell 107: 43–54.
6. Brind RK, McIntosh St, (2001) A Conserved Family of Prolyl-4-hydroxylases That Modify HIF. Science 294: 1337–1340.
7. Ivan M, Kondo K, Yang H, Kim W, Valiando J, et al. (2001) HIFs alpha targeted for VHL-mediated destruction by proline hydroxylation: implications for O2 sensing. Science 292: 464–468.
8. Bardos JI, Ashcroft M (2005) Negative and positive regulation of HIF-1: a complex network. Biochim Biophys Acta 1755: 107–20.
9. Melnikova I (2006) Anaemia therapies. Nat Rev Drug Discovery 5: 627–628.
10. Ratan RR, Siddiq A, Aminova L, Lange PS, Langley B, et al. (2004) Translation inhibition of ischemic preconditioning to the patient: prolyl hydroxylation inhibition and hypoxia inducible factor-α1 as novel targets for stroke therapy. Stroke 35: 2687–2689.
11. Paul SA, Simons JW, Mahjeed NJ (2004) HIF at the crossroads between ischemia and carcinogenesis. J Cell Physiol 200: 20–30.
12. Siddiq A, Ayoub IA, Chavez JC, Aminova L, Shah S, et al. (2005) Hypoxia-inducible factor activation in rat renal ablation and reduced fibrosis with L-mimosine. J Exp Biol 208: 1153–1162.
13. Wang GL, Semenza GL (1993b) Desferrioxamine induces erythropoietin gene expression and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 DNA-binding activity: implications for models of hypoxia signal transduction. Blood 82: 3610–3615.
14. Cai J, Wang GL, Zhong D, Liu X, Jeong SB, et al. (2005) Selective Inhibition of Factor Inhibiting Hypoxia-Inducible Factor. J Am Chem Soc 127: 7680–7681.
15. Chen C, Pore N, Behrooz A, Ismail-Beigi F, Maity A (2001) Regulation of glut1 kinase/fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase-3 (PFKFB3) gene. Its possible role in the Warburg effect. J Biol Chem 276: 6183–6187.
16. Semenza GL, Jiang BH, Leung SW, Concordet JP, et al. (1996) Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 as novel targets for stroke therapy. Stroke 35: 2687–2688.
17. Cummins EP, Seeballuck F, Keehl SJ, Mangan NE, Callanan JJ, et al. (2008) The Prolyl Hydroxylase Inhibitor Dimethylglyoxaline is Protective in a Murine Model of Colitis. Gastroenterology 134: 136–165.
18. Moore DR, Schlemminger I, McNeill LA, Hewitson KS, Pugh CW, et al. (2003) Proline Analogues Regulate HIF Signal Pathway. J Biol Chem 278: 6192–6195.
19. McGinley J, Colandrea VJ, Hale JJ (2010) Prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors: XM ZG. Analyzed the data: XM XW JC. Contributed results: XM ZG. Wrote the paper: XM ZG ZW.
44. Cao J, Ma XY, Wang XX, Wang XB, Zhang Z, et al. (2013) Azole derivatives as novel non-iron-chelating inhibitors of prolyl hydroxylase 3 for HIF-1 activation. Med Chem Commun 4: 1222–1230.
45. McNeill LA, Béthge L, Hewitt KN, Schofield CJ (2005) A fluorescence-based assay for 2-oxoglutarate-dependent oxygenases. Anal Biochem 336: 125–131.
46. Mühling J, Fuchs M, Campos ME, Genter J, Engel JM, et al. (2003) Assaying unbound drugs using microdialysis techniques. B: Anal Technol Biomed Life Sci 789: 383–392.
47. Little CD (1983) Amplification and expression of the c-myc oncogene in human lung cancer cell lines. Nature 306: 194–196.
48. Carney DN (1985) Establishment and identification of small cell lung cancer cell lines having classic and variant features. Cancer Res. 45: 2913–2923.
49. Cao J, Geng Z, Ma X, Wen J, Yin Y, et al. (2012) Evidence for inhibition of HIF-1α prolyl hydroxylase 3 activity by four biologically active tetraazamacrocycles. Org Biomol Chem 10: 3913–3923.
50. Folkman J (1995) Angiogenesis in cancer, vascular, rheumatoid and other disease. Nat Med 1: 27–31.
51. Semenza GL (2003) Targeting HIF-1 for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 3: 721–732.
52. Semenza GL (2012) Hypoxia-Inducible Factors in Physiology and Medicine. Cell 148: 399–408.
53. Agis H, Watzek G, Gruber R (2012) Prolyl hydroxylase inhibitors increase the production of vascular endothelial growth factor by periodontal fibroblasts. J Periodontal Res 47: 165–173.
54. Aoki H, Watanabe H, Hasegawa H, Hasegawa H, Hasegawa H, et al. (2011) Activation of hypoxia-inducible factors in hyperoxia through prolyl 4-hydroxylase blockade in cells and explants of primate lung. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 10212–10217.
55. Robin ED, Murphy BJ, Theodorou J (1984) Coordinate regulation of glycolysis by hypoxia in mammalian cells. J Cell Physiol 11: 287–290.
56. Liebel AJ, Lynch ML, Bocian K, Luscher TC, et al. (1984) Differential expression of the HIF-1α and HIF-2α subunits in human lung cancer cell lines. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 10212–10217.