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Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate the effect of swimming training (T) on the renal system and body composition parameters in young animals treated with a high sucrose diet (SUD) during 12 weeks.

Results: The SUD impaired the physical performance, increased the body adiposity index (BAI), Lee index (LI) and retroperitoneal adipose tissue (RAT) weight, proteinuria, plasma creatinine and number renal cells nuclei, decreased urinary volume and creatinine besides creatinine clearance. The T reversed the increased the BAI, LI, RAT weight, plasma and urinary creatinine, creatinine clearance and number renal cells nuclei. This study found that eight weeks of swimming physical training protected renal function and restored normal glomerular filtration rate (GFR) values. Swimming training also contributed to prevention of the onset of a renal inflammatory process and caused a decrease in the risk of development of obesity promoted by SUD decreasing the body composition parameters (BAI, LI, and RAT weight).

Introduction

A high sugar level is a factor that has been linked to an increase in kidney disease and association with some risk factors, including diabetes, gout, metabolic syndrome [1–4] and the development of obesity. Besides an increase in oxidative stress and nitric oxide inactivation via reactive oxygen species, high sugar also induces high level of creatinine and blood urea nitrogen [5]. Sucrose, specifically, can promote an increase in proteinuria and a higher percentage of abnormal glomeruli in obese rats [6].

Physical exercise is recognized to promote alterations in renal parameters in experimental animal models [7–9] in a chronic manner thus providing renal-protective effects in high sugar diet models and neutralizing the accumulation of renal triglycerides associated with this diet, which is partially mediated by upregulation of fatty acid β-oxidation [7].

Data have shown that a metabolic re-programming process subsequent to early-life insults, i.e. maternal life or childhood, occurs leading to development of chronic diseases [10, 11]. The use of food rich in refined sugar has been shown to exponentially raise sugar levels in children and adolescents since the total caloric intake and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome are increasing continuously in these populations [12].

A balanced diet and regular physical exercise are of great relevance in preventing obesity and cardiovascular and kidney diseases, justifying the development of studies that assess the beneficial effects of regular physical training in childhood that will contribute to the decrease in deleterious effects of consuming a diet rich in simple carbohydrates.

Thus, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of swimming training (T) on the renal system and body composition parameters (body adiposity and Lee indices [BAI and LI, respectively] and retroperitoneal adipose tissue [RAT] weight) in animals treated with SUD.
Methods

Animals: 38 male Wistar rats, age 21 days (50g) (come from the CCA-UFOP) divided randomly, into 4 groups (4 animals/box): (1) sedentary rats fed with standard diet (S-SD), n = 14; (2) T rats fed with standard diet (T-SD), n = 9; (3) sedentary rats fed with high sucrose diet (S-SUD), n = 05; and (4) T rats fed with SUD (T-SUD), n = 10; marked on the tail; allocated in a room at a temperature of 24 ± 2 ºC and 12-hour light/dark cycle (7 am - 7 pm). Body weight assessments: realized at weeks 1, 4, 5, 7, and 11. Food intake assessments: realized at weeks 1, 5, and 10. Approval of the study: by the Ethics Committee for Animal Use, UFOP (Protocol 45/2014). The experimental design scheme was shown in figure S2.

Diets: SD - commercial rodent feed in pellets (Nuvilab CR1 Quimtia®) and SUD as previously published [13] administered for 12 weeks from weaning.

Exercise training and evaluation of endurance capacity: Local - collective glass tank of CCA-UFOP with warm water at 28 ± 2 ºC and 45 cm depth. Adaptation period: 15 min (first day) increased by 15 min each day until reaching 60 min (fourth day) (adapted from [14]). Maximal test: at the 4th and 12th (24 h after the last training section) weeks as proposed by others [15]. Fatigue point was adapted from [16]. Training: swimming for 8 weeks. The first 4 weeks didn't use a workload, but that was added at the fifth week (60% of that obtained in maximal test) as adapted from [15].

Water Intake, Urinary Volume and Water Balance Measurements in 24h:

Rats were allocated in metabolic cages (Tecnoplast® SPA) during the 12-week period (CCA-UFOP); weighed (SF−400 scale), individually housed for a period of 24 h with free access to water and food. Measurements of urine volume and water intake were realized. Samples of urine 1.5 mL were obtained, labeled, and frozen at −20 ºC. Calculation of water balance was done according to the equation:

Equation 1:

\[
\text{Water Balance (mL/24h)} = (\text{Water Intake [mL/24h]} - \text{Urinary Volume [mL/24h]})
\]

Euthanasia: 48 h after the last T session. Collected materials: Adipose tissues inguinal, retroperitoneal and epidydimal (IAT, RAT and EAT, respectively), blood and kidneys. Blood was centrifuged (CENTRIBIO 80-2 B scale) at 3000 rpm for 10 min to separate the plasma and then maintained at a temperature of −20 ºC. Details: Supplementary Material (Table S2).

Determination of the LI:

LI was measured according to [17]. Animals’ body mass and the nasoanal length [17], were calculated using the formula below:

Equation 2:
\[
\frac{\sqrt{BM \ (g)}}{NL \ (cm)}
\]

**Determination of the BAI:**

BAI was measured according to [19]. The EAT, IAT, and RAT were removed and weighed (BEL precision scale), and used in the following equation:

**Equation 3:**

\[
\frac{EAT \times IAT \times RAT \ (g)}{BW \ (g)} \times 100
\]

**Evaluation of RAT:**

RAT analyse provide an assessment of the risk of developing cardiovascular diseases [20].

**Plasma and Urine Creatinine Concentration and Urine Protein:**

*Samples* - plasma and urine; *kit* - commercially available kit (Labtest, Belo Horizonte/MG, Brazil) – by colorimetric modified Jaffé approach. Calculation of creatinine clearance (CrCl):

**Equation 4:**

\[
CrCl \ (mL/min) = \frac{[Urine \ Creatinine \ (mg/dL) \times Urine \ Volume \ (mL/24h)]}{[Serum \ Creatinine \ (mg/dL) \times 1440 \ (min)]}
\]

Proteinuria was determined with the use of pyrogallol red technique using a commercially available kit (Bioclin, Belo Horizonte, Brazil), \( n = 38 \) rats. Results were expressed in mg/dL.

**Renal Histology:** *Collected material* - right kidneys; *Stage and calculation* - as described by others [21, 22]. *Equipment* - light microscope (Leica DM5000). *Analysis* - Analysis and Image Processing Software Leica Qwin (Germany) [23].

**Data and Statistical Analysis:** *Normality test* - Kolmogorov-Smirnov. *Statistical tests used* - One-way or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post-test for multiple comparisons following ANOVA. *Software used* - GraphPad Prism 7.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA). Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation of mean and differences between pairs of means were
considered significant when the probability of type I error was less than 5% (p < 0.05). The data were analyzed blindly by the researchers involved.

**Results**

**Confirmation of the effectiveness of the T employed:** SUD affected the physical performance at the 12th week since only the T-SD group had a longer exhaustion time with an increase of 16.9% (Table 1).

**Table 1**

Exhaustion times and hydric parameters for SD and SUD rats, sedentary and trained.

|                      | Standard Diet (SD) | Sucrose Diet (SUD) |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                      | Sedentary (S-SD)   | Trained (T-SD)     |
|                      | Sedentary (S-SUD)  | Trained (T-SUD)    |
| **Initial ET (s)**   |                    |                    |
| (n = 04)             | 720 ± 44           | 774 ± 73           |
|                      | (n = 09)           | (n = 05)           |
| **Final ET (s)**     |                    |                    |
| (n = 04)             | 709 ± 53           | 853 ± 92*          |
|                      | (n = 09)           | (n = 05)           |
| **Water Intake (mL/24h)** |    |                    |
| (n = 14)             | 29.5 ± 5.7         | 33.9 ± 4.2         |
|                      | (n = 09)           | (n = 05)           |
| **Urinary Volume (mL/24h)** |      |                    |
| (n = 14)             | 14 ± 2.4           | 9 ± 3.9            |
|                      | (n = 09)           | (n = 05)           |
| **Water Balance (mL/24h)** |             |                    |
| (n = 14)             | 15.8 ± 5.9         | 24.6 ± 6.4*        |
|                      | (n = 09)           | (n = 05)           |

Exhaustion times before (Initial ET) and after (Final ET) were realized on the 4th and 12th (24 hours after the last training section) weeks. It was used the parametric test, two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test; p < 0.05. *different from S-SD group. Measurements of water intake and urinary volume were realized on the metabolic cages, after 24h. It was used the parametric test, two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test; p < 0.05. +different of S-SD group; *different of T-SD group.

**Effects of T on body composition:** At the 11th week, SUD caused a decrease of 23.8% in the body weight gain and an increase in the BAI (69%), LI (10.5%) and RAT weight (28.6%) as shown in Fig. S1, panels A to C. T caused a decrease of 15.2% in body weight gain only in the T-SD (Table S1) and a decrease in BAI (81%), LI (15.8%), and RAT weight (55.1%) in T-SUD, decreasing only the BAI (54.9%) and RAT weight (26.5%) in T-SD.
Effects of the T on 24-hours parameters hydric: SUD caused a decrease of 42.9% the urinary volume, while and T restored these values to normal levels (Table 1). However, T caused an increase of 36% in water balance in the T-SD (Table 1).

Effects of the T on the renal biochemical parameters: SUD led to a 28.7% increase in proteinuria (panel A, Fig. 2), and a 22.9% increase in plasma creatinine (Fig. 1, panel B). SUD also led to a 46.2% and 75% decrease in urinary creatinine and creatinine clearance, respectively (Fig. 1, panels B and D, respectively). T protected the kidney against SUD-induced modifications of plasma and urinary creatinine and creatinine clearance.

Effects of the T on renal structure: SUD promoted a small increase of 31.5% in cell nuclei (Fig. 2 panel C, third quadrant left side, and panel G) and T caused a reduction of 31.1% about this parameter.

Discussion

This study found that eight weeks of swimming physical training protected renal function and restored normal GFR values. Swimming training also contributed to prevention of the onset of a renal inflammatory process and caused a decrease in the risk of development of obesity promoted by SUD decreasing the body composition parameters (BAI, LI, and RAT weight).

Although some authors have not observed impairment in the physical performance of animals fed a diet rich in simple carbohydrates [24], SUD contributed negatively to the physical performance of the animals in our study. After 12 weeks or T the exhaustion time was higher only in animals of the T-SD group.

Sugar seems to increase parameters, such as BAI [19, 25–27], LI [27], and RAT weight [28, 29] that contribute to the development of cardiovascular diseases [26]. In our study we observed that all these parameters were increased by SUD. Although, T caused a reduction in BAI in the T-SUD (81%) and T-SD (54.9%) groups and LI and RAT weight in the T-SUD group although some studies haven't shown the effects of physical exercise in reducing the BAI, LI and RAT weight [13, 20, 25, 30] promoted by high sugar content. A possible explanation for these exercise-associated effects is energetic homeostasis tends to been maintained in mammals through a balance between energy intake and expenditure, thus influencing body weight [31] doing the T have an important role in controlling these factor [32, 33].

Some studies have shown that a sugar can have influence (or not) in parameters like water intake [8, 34, 35], urinary volume [8], and water balance [8] in rodents. In this study, SUD didn't affect water intake in any group and caused a decrease in urinary volume since that T restored it to normal levels. The T-SD group had a higher water balance, demonstrating that swimming promotes changes in the hydric balance depending on the diet to which it was assigned. When aligned with SD, this model seems to have had a higher expenditure of water by other means than urinary excretion. Therefore, when swimming was undertaken by the SUD group, these differences do not appear. There are no studies that demonstrate how changes in water balance occur in response to sugar overload in rodents and outline the mechanisms by which this process happens. Studies that investigate this parameter are necessary to
clarify many of the physiological changes that may occur in rodents fed with sugar overload in response to changes in hydric parameters of these animals, since these issues may be related to some compensation of the physiological system to maintain homeostasis, mainly of the renal system.

It is known that the overload sugar can modifying the following parameters: (1) proteinuria [35, 36], (2) plasma and urinary creatinine [35], and (3) creatinine clearance (used as estimate of the GFR) [35, 37]. In our study, SUD caused an increase in proteinuria, but T didn’t reverse this situation. SUD also caused an increase in plasma creatinine and decrease urinary creatinine and creatinine clearance. T led to a reversal in GFR alterations by bringing this parameter back to normal levels corroborating with others [7].

Sugar has also been seen as a villain that can cause renal inflammation, as noted in other study [38]. In our research, SUD caused an increase in cell nuclei suggesting an increase in the number inflammatory infiltrates. T protected against these SUD-induced changes (decreasing this parameter in 31.1%), thus corroborating the results presented by others authors [39]. All of the other parameters were not affected neither by SUD nor for T (Fig. 2, panels E, F, H, and I).

It was observed that damage to kidney function appeared earlier than structural renal damage in response to excess sugar in the SUD. High glucose-induced oncotic pressure alterations didn’t initially modify the structure of the glomerulus but did modify renal function probably by increasing the excretion of urinary proteins, thus increasing proteinuria and decreasing GFR [40]. In addition, the time of exposure to the diet and age of animals (young animals) could have provided protection for the renal structure conferred by mechanisms of adaptation to sugar overload.

Collectively, our study demonstrated that T prevented SUD-induced impairment of kidney functional parameters and was efficient in preventing an SUD-induced increase adipose tissue deposits.

**Limitations**

We lacked investigate the cardiovascular parameters complementing the data aiming to observe the effects of sugar overload also on these parameters, being able to make a direct relationship between renal parameters, body composition and cardiovascular parameters in response to SUD and T.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Proteinuria values (panel A), *different from S-SD group. Urinary creatinine values (panel B), *different from S-SD, T-SD and T-SUD groups. Plasma creatinine values (C), *different from S-SD, T-SD and T-SUD groups. Creatinine clearance values (panel D), *different from S-SD, T-SD and T-SUD groups. Urinary flow (panel E), *different from S-SD group. All measurements were realized after 8th week of T. It was used the parametric test, two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test; p<0.05. The bars represent group mean data. Differences among the pairs of means are indicated by different signs.
Figure 2

Histological sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin (panels A to D). Bowman Capsule Thickness (panel E), there was no difference among the groups. Sclerotic glomerulus number (panel F), there was no difference among the groups. Number of inflammatory cells values (panel G), *different from S-SD, T-SD and T-SUD. Glomerulosclerosis index (H), there was no difference among the groups. Kidney/body weight ratio values (panel I), there was no difference among the groups. GL – glomeruli, BS – Bowman Space, SD (Standart Diet), SUD (Sucrose Diet). All measurements were realized after 8th week of T. It was used the parametric test, two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test; p<0.05. The bars represent group mean data. Differences among the pairs of means are indicated by different signs.
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