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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Professionals who work in prisons present high levels of chronic stress that affect their daily performance and health. The incorporation of training workshops on emotional regulation and stress coping strategies from a psycho-body approach could have a positive impact on their well-being. This article presents an exploratory study that analyzes chronic stress, emotional repertoire and body awareness, of a sample of 15 professionals from a prison, together with the effect produced by an intervention through training workshops with Dance Movement Therapy.

Material and method: A mixed methodology with a pretest-posttest design was used. The variables of burnout, engagement, emotional repertoire and body awareness were measured. With the information obtained from a participatory focus group, a six-hour body awareness program was designed and implemented, during which participants completed reflective diaries for subsequent analysis.

Results: High levels of stress and low body awareness were found. Qualitative analysis generated evidence for improvements in body awareness and the incorporation of coping strategies based on listening to body sensations and emotional regulation. Pre-post test analysis for a subsample (n = 4) of the total showed improvements in different subscales, not statistically significant, but with medium effect sizes.

Discussion: Despite the limitations of the study, the results are promising. The participants value the workshop very positively and consider having incorporated tools to cope with work stress.
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INTRODUCTION

Health is defined as a complete state of physical, mental and social wellbeing1. In the occupational setting, disease and wellbeing are respectively associated with burnout syndrome and the concept of engagement2. The term burnout refers to chronic stress in the workplace, characterised by emotional fatigue (EF), depersonalisation (D) and lack of self-fulfilment (SF)3.

Stress is directly responsible for a wide range of diseases, and it has been shown that professionals working in the medical and social sectors are more likely to develop chronic stress due to direct contact with users4. A prevalence of chronic stress comparable to levels found in other international studies has been encountered amongst prison staff in Spain5,6.

In a study by Caravaca et al. (2018)7 the values that make up the three dimensions of burnout were: EF (20.1 ± 11.9); D (10.6 ± 5.0); and SF (27.7 ± 23.2). Somewhat similar and significant values for the first two subscales were found by authors such as Bringas-Molleda et al. (2015)7 in their study with 222 workers: EF (21.8 ± 12.6) and D (8.5 ± 6.7). These values match the ones obtained in the study by Hernández-Martín et al. (2006)8, with 133 professionals: EF (24.6 ± 11.8) and D (12.6 ± 6.8).

In the study by Bringas-Molleda et al. (2015)7 the values for emotional fatigue and depersonalisation are 65% and 70.6%, respectively, while low
self-fulfilment stands at 51.5%. It was also found that the working atmosphere and service given to users notably improved in emotionally positive contexts\textsuperscript{6,9}. In such circumstances, what then appears is engagement, characterised by vigour (V) or the amount of energy and resilience, (De) or enthusiastic dedication, pride and inspiration regarding the work in hand, and absorption (A) or the capacity to concentrate on the work\textsuperscript{10}.

Gülgüürucua et al. (2015)\textsuperscript{11} showed that 77% of professionals who used coping strategies for cognitive stress have a moderate to high engagement level, and 88% presented moderate to high personal satisfaction.

There are however very few studies on the use of therapeutic interventions that focus on body awareness and mind-body integration. Such therapies use the term “embodiment”, which from a phenomenological perspective\textsuperscript{12} has been developed by the cognitive sciences\textsuperscript{13} and neurology\textsuperscript{14} to define and reflect the two-way relationship between emotion-cognition and motor behaviour\textsuperscript{13,15}. These premises are used as the basis for the so-called creative therapies, which include Dance Movement Therapy (DMT).

DMT uses the body, non-verbal expression and expressive movement as psychotherapeutic tools\textsuperscript{16}. It makes use of the movement profile, increasing awareness of motor patterns and their links to emotional content. It also uses words to give meaning to experience in movement, enabling a person to increase awareness of him/herself, explore new relational modes and incorporate coping strategies and emotional regulation\textsuperscript{17}. There is evidence for the effectiveness of DMT in health promotion and prevention, reducing levels of anxiety, stress and depression, and improving quality of life\textsuperscript{18-20}. Systematic literature reviews such as the one by Koch et al. (2019)\textsuperscript{18} show a small, significant, uniform and consistent effect for a level of significance of 95% in 21 studies, with a proven reduction of depression and anxiety and increased quality of life and interpersonal and cognitive skills.

Karkou et al. (2019)\textsuperscript{19} extended the review published in Cochrane in 2015 on DMT and depression. They showed the effectiveness of DMT for treating depression in 192 people of up to 65 years of age in pretest-posttest studies with a significant bias in favour of the group that received intervention with DMT at a 95% level of significance.

Other studies, such as the ones carried out in primary healthcare centres in the UK\textsuperscript{20}, showed improvements in health after intervention with DMT to treat adults with unexplained somatic symptoms, with significant reductions in stress, anxiety and depression, and increased general wellbeing and activity levels.

A study on patients with schizophrenia (Lee et al., 2015)\textsuperscript{21}, also showed a significant decrease in expressions of anger (F1 [1. 36] = 2.26; p <0.05) and levels of depression (F1 [1. 36] = 5.92; p <0.01) in favour of the group that participated in an intervention with DMT. A significant reduction was also seen in negative psychotic symptoms in comparison to the control group (F1 [1. 36] = 5.12; p <0.01) after the intervention.

This exploratory study sets out to show how DMT can encourage body awareness and connection, and enables the appearance of positive emotions and increases engagement. In this case “from within”, behind the walls of an institution that limits freedom, “from within” the work of professionals, and finally “from within” their own bodily experiences.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

**Participants**

The initial sample was made up of 15 persons, 6 men and 9 women of between 33 and 59 years of age who all worked as prison staff. Recruitment was carried out online using a convenience non-random and non-probabilistic sampling technique. The participants signed an informed consent form that complied with current legislation on confidentiality and data registration. The exclusion criterion used was the existence of some type of mental illness or treatment with a therapist.

**Design and procedure**

An exploratory mixed-methodology pilot study was prepared. Information was gathered about level of burnout, affective states and bodily awareness from standardised pre-intervention and post-intervention questionnaires. A participative research-action focus group (FG) was used to obtain the workers’ perceptions of their stress levels. The information was used to design a DMT training programme for prison professionals. At the end of the programme, the participants evaluated their experience anonymously on Google Forms.

**Evaluation variables and instruments**

The following variables were measured alongside a set of socio-demographic data:

- **Burnout**, via the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI)-General Survey test in Spanish\textsuperscript{22}, with the
sub-scales of EF, D and SF. A positive diagnosis of burnout comes from high scores in the subscales of EF (>2.9) and D (>2.26), and a low score in SF (<2.83).

- Engagement, con la Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES)\(^ {10,23}\), categorised into three sub-scales: V, De and A. The existence of medium levels of engagement correspond with values above the ones indicated in parentheses: V (3.20); De (3.00) and A (2.75).
- Positive affects (PA) and negative affects (NA), via the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) in Spanish\(^ {24}\), the highest value being the one that matches the predominant affect.
- Body Awareness (BA), according to the Body Awareness Questionnaire (BAQ)\(^ {25}\).
- Body connection, with the Scale of Body Connection (SBC) in Spanish\(^ {26}\), which is divided into the sub-scales of body connection (BC) which evaluates the conscious attention to body signals and body disassociation (BD). The values obtained from the scales correspond to the level of a person’s BC and BD. Two qualitative tools were also used:
  - A focus group (60 minutes online session) to gather information about the sensations they felt at the start or end of the working day, self-perception of stress, coping strategies and the impact of the healthcare situation. Eight professionals chose to participate.
  - Daily reflections, in which each participant freely shared their experiences of the workshop and any bodily and emotional changes that they observed.

**DMT intervention programme**

The programme consisted of four 90 minute-long virtual sessions, briefly described in Table 1, which ended with a verbal summary and a personal reflection.

**Data analysis**

The Statistical Package for Social Science, v. 25.0 (SPSS v25.0) for Windows was used to carry out a descriptive analysis of the variables for burnout, engagement, emotions and awareness and body connection, along with their sub-scales for the initial sample (n=15). Non-parametric tests were applied for the sub-sample (n=4) to obtain a pretest-posttest comparison. After transcribing the FG recording and the daily reflections, a deductive analysis of the information from the FG was carried out, according to the phases of segmentation, categorisation and codification up to saturation and interpretation of the results. The data extracted from the daily reflections was then inductively analysed in line with the previously created grid and applying triangulation between investigators.

**RESULTS**

The mean age of the initial sample (n=15) of participants was 41.4 years. Most lived with a partner (86.7%) and had children (53.3%). 60% were university graduates, and 33.3%, had post-graduate, master’s or doctoral studies. 60% of the sample had worked for more than ten years in the prison, and 86.7% worked in therapeutic roles.

66.7% of the participants played some kind of sport or BA activity in their free time. 53.3% of the sample answered that they had not suffered from any ill health in the previous month, although they declared that they had back pain, migraines and anxiety. The reliability of the tests was analysed with Cronbach’s alpha coefficient (Table 2), and all of them presented acceptable psychometric properties (between 0.71 and 0.87). All the variables, with the exception of the BD sub-scale, conformed to normality for a significance level of 0.05.

Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics for the total initial sample (n=15). A high mean value was seen for EF (2.40), with a high value for D (2.85) and medium-low for SF (4.49) in the burnout sub-scales. A diagnosis of burnout could not be confirmed although there were high values for the sub-scales EF and D. For the engagement variable, medium levels were obtained in the three sub-scales of V (3.78), De (3.68) and A (3.16). The data in the scale of affects (PANAS) over a maximum value of 50 showed higher prevalence of PA (33.40) compared to NA (33.40) compared to NA (18.33). The variables of BA (BAQ), with an average of 78.27±14.14 and body connection (SBC) in the two sub-scales of BC (30.60±6.04) and BD, with a mean value of 9, presented low values.

Only four of the 15 initial participants answered the questionnaires after the intervention, which meant that the pretest-posttest study was reduced to a sample of n=4.

Table 2 shows the mean and interquartile range. A non-parametric test was used (Wilson test), to analyse possible “pre-post” changes, the results of which are presented in Table 2. Reduction were seen in the sub-scales of EF (changes in the mean from 3.00 to 2.30) and D (from 2.38 to 1.63) for burnout and increases in the scales of V (from 4.00 to 4.25) and D (from 4.40 to 4.70) for engagement.
Increases were also obtained in the sub-scale of PA (from 32.50 to 34.50) along with a reduction in NA (from 20.00 to 17.00). Finally, an increase was observed in the BA values; from 89.50 to 98.00. None of these variations were statistically significant, although the sizes of the Rosenthal effect presented medium and high values for all the previously mentioned variables (Table 2), which might be an outcome of the intervention.

Analysis of the FG showed several categories that were grouped into two meta-categories, called the intrapersonal and interpersonal spheres. The first contained aspects of the persons themselves in relation to stress and included the categories of self-knowledge, BA, emotions and coping strategies. The interpersonal sphere covered stressors, group connection and supports. This categorisation was used for the inductive analysis of the daily reflections, in which new
categories that arose were added (self-confidence and personal wellbeing in the intrapersonal sphere, and limits within the interpersonal sphere). Some evidence is presented below, including the codification (FG; session [S] of the daily reflection; participant [P]):

- **Self-knowledge:** the desire to increase self-knowledge to detect burnout appears: “reflecting and thinking here, with everyone else, is the way to find tools or detect the earliest alarm signals” (P4, FG); “...perhaps identify it in time, don’t you think?... before getting back ache” (P2, FG). The experience provided knowledge about one’s own motor patterns and body: “I found out that today I preferred a slow movement to make me relax and so I was able to move more fluidly” (S2, P2); “I like being aware of my space. I can see that my space is very big (...), lots of people can enter” (S3, P1); “The body is wise and sends us signals, you have to know how to interpret them” (S4, P4).

- **Self-confidence:** knowing the body and being aware of its reaction increases self-confidence and enables new modes of expression to be explored: “I’ve noticed that I’m more aware of my body, of what it tells me. I immediately let myself be guided by what it asks me to do, in a comfortable manner” (S4, P2); “I feel that I’ve overcome a new challenge” (S1, P1).

- **Personal wellbeing:** the participants stated that they experienced liberation, bodily relaxation and increased energy: “I haven’t felt sensations like losing stiffness for a long time” (S1, P3); “It’s been a liberating experience. I’ve managed to relax the body and lose tension, and find energy to cope with the rest of the day” (S2, P2).

- **Body awareness:** despite the difficulties: “To connect better, I have to close my eyes and stop paying attention to the thoughts that come constantly” (S2, P4). The workshops contributed towards an increase in body awareness: “I’ve been aware of the parts of my body that are most tense and where there is a slight pain. And I’ve been able to focus on them” (S4, P2). Connection with the body can facilitate a change: “I’ve always felt very big and with heavy movements, but today I actually felt light for the first time” (S2, P3); “When I breathed at the start, I really noticed the opening of the stomach, it’s as if it didn’t have all the capacity to open the lungs. This sensation didn’t limit me so much at the end” (S2, P5); “I noticed pain on the left hand side of the back, and right now I can’t feel it, it’s as if I gave myself a massage with the movement, and the pain has been minimised” (S2, P1).

- **Emotions:** the relationship between movement and emotion is a transformative one: “My movements were slow, I felt a lot of pressure, limitation, sadness, anxiety (...). I feel more in movement than at the start, with more energy and with a bit more freedom and hope; to start with, the feelings were more about loneliness and oppression” (S4, P6); “I visualised pushing out everything that worried me, disturbed me and made me tense” (S1, P2). Positive emotions are generated: “I ended the session feeling happy and in a good mood” (S2, P5).

- **Internal coping strategies:** they discovered the potential to use body work as a tool to cope with occupational stress: “I’ve found that stopping, observing, is positive, it enables you to move more fluidly. I really feel that it can be applied to a working environment” (S4, P2).

Some evidence taken from the interpersonal sphere is shown below:

- **Stressors:** elements appeared that threatened their wellbeing. These could come from the users, the institution or the working hours: “You leave work really anxious because you lived through stuff with inmates, workmates...” (P6, FG); “There are things that weigh you down more, because of administrative issues” (P2, FG); “When I’m very stressed, I feel worn out, very tired, I think it’s because of the working hours” (P5, FG). Participants could connect with the body memory during the workshop: “I feel that in our daily lives we are shut off, in pain and tired” (S4, P6); “In the final dance, I connected directly with a working day, where we’re puppets and our movements are involuntary” (S4, P1).

- **Group connection:** here there are elements that relate to working within a team, and the empathy that develops through movement and observing it: “Even though the experience happened on a screen, I was able to connect to students and not feel alone, but rather part of the group” (S2, P2). They mention the potential for movement as a vehicle to transmit emotions: “I loved what my workmates transmitted to me with their movement. And I really felt I could say a lot with the movement and could receive a lot in exchange. It was beautiful” (S3, P2); “At the end, I felt more and more of a need to share and do movements with my colleagues” (S2, P6).

- **Limits:** the online modality of the workshops did not permit physical contact: “I miss the physical contact and being in a setting where this sort of workshop happens” (S2, P4).
The low integration and high BD could signify a lack of awareness of a person’s bodily and emotional state. Rodríguez-Jiménez et al.²⁷ and Payne et al.²⁸ suggest that body work can help in accepting a symptom, and promote emotional self-regulation, self-sufficiency and resilience.

The mean and interquartile range were used for the sub-sample (n=4). The pre data matched that of the total initial sample (n=15) at least in these statistics. The most relevant aspects are the reduced values in the sub-scales EF and D for burnout, an increase in the V and D scales of engagement, an increase in PA and decrease in NA, and an increase in the variables of BA and sub-escala BC. The effect sizes in all these changes are medium and/or large, which, with the necessary proviso that the sample size was a small one, may show the effect of the intervention in reducing stress, which matches the results in other studies²⁹-³⁰.

The qualitative study showed that verbal communication in the FG was the predominant tool. The body work, documented in the diaries, seems to have worked as a way to access unconscious movement patterns, and to the skill of changing and extending them after awareness of them is raised²⁹. The importance of shifting from movement to word, and vice versa, enables cognitive changes to be made¹⁷,30. The participants mentioned emotions such as fear, guilt and anger, which are manifested as frustration, fatigue and anxiety.

The dynamics enabled the emotions to be recognised, moved and managed at a physical level. They could also be worked on through creativity in improvisation, positive emotions linked to sensations of freedom, fluidity and vitality³¹. Fischman³² comments that incorporating new proposals in movement encourage emotional modulation and regulation. Experimenting with slowness in movements until stopping, enables work situations to be visualised in which a halt is necessary for a change to take place³³.

Although the participants missed the physical contact and being face to face, the online modality was not an obstacle to carrying out work on body awareness. The participants felt part of a group, accepting and returning proposals for movement and experimenting with kinaesthetic empathy³²,34.

The main limitation of the study is not having the complete sample to analyse the “pre-post” changes, given that the sample for the study was a very small one. A second limitation was the number of workshops, which in our opinion were too few, and the situation caused by the pandemic, which made attendance and continuity more difficult.
Despite these limitations, the programme proved to be an interesting one. Medium and large effect sizes were seen in most of the variables analysed, and the qualitative analysis shows effects of the work on the participants’ emotional and physical state. It would be very interesting to repeat a programme like this with a larger sample and intervention period, and monitor the variables over a period to time after the intervention.

The implementation of therapeutic and/or training programmes that include body work could be a tool to help in coping with stressful situations, managing and regulating a person’s emotional state, and therefore reducing professional burnout and increasing engagement, which in turn would lead to better care for users.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the participants for their trust and commitment during the study.

CORRESPONDENCE

Rosa María Rodríguez-Jiménez
E-mail: rosadmt1@gmail.com

REFERENCES

1. Organización Mundial de la Salud. Constitución. Documentos básicos. [Internet]. 45ª ed. Ginebra: OMS; 2007. [Internet]. Disponible en: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/43639/9789243650463_spa.pdf
2. Salanova M, Schaufeli WB, Llorens S, Peiró JM, Grau R. Desde el “burnout” al “engagement”: ¿una nueva perspectiva? Rev Psicol Trab Organ. 2000;16(2):117-34.
3. Maslach C, Jackson S. Maslach Burnout inventory. 2ª ed. Palo Alto: Consulting Psychologist Press; 1986.
4. Gil-Monte PR. El síndrome de quemarse por el trabajo (síndrome de Burnout) en profesionales de enfermería. Rev Eletrônica InterAção Psy. 2003;1(1):19-33.
5. Caravaca F, Carrión J, Pastor E. Síndrome de burnout y satisfacción laboral en profesionales del trabajo social en prisiones de España. Rev Esp Sanid Penit. 2018;20:40-7.
6. Schaufeli WB, Peeters M. Job stress and burnout among correctional officers: a literature review. Int J Stress Manag. 2000;7:19-48.
7. Bringas-Molleda C, Fernández-Muñiz A, Álvarez-Fresno E, Martínez-Cordero A, Rodríguez-Díaz FJ. Influencia del síndrome de burnout en la salud de los trabajadores penitenciarios. Rev Esp Sanid Penit. 2015;17(3):67-73.
8. Hernández-Martín L, Fernández-Calvo B, Ramos F, Contador I. El síndrome de burnout en funcionarios de vigilancia de un centro penitenciario. Int J Clin Health Psychol. 2006;6(3):599-611.
9. Moccia S. Felicidad en el trabajo. Papeles del Psic. 2016;37(2):143-51.
10. Schaufeli W, Bakker. AB. Utrecht work engagement scale. Preliminary Manual. [Internet]. Occup Heal Psychol Unit Utr Univ; 2004. Disponible en: https://www.wilmarschaufeli.nl/publications/Schaufeli/Test%20Manuals/Test_manual_UWES_English.pdf
11. Gülgürüca RM, Herrera-Bascur J. Salud laboral en un Centro Penitenciario de Chile: una mirada desde las políticas de Recursos Humanos. Rev Esp Sanid Penit. 2015;17(1):12-9.
12. Merleau-Ponty M. Fenomenología de la percepción. [Internet]. Barcelona: Planeta-Agostini; 1993. [Consultado 5 Mar 2021]. Disponible en: https://www.academia.edu/5546998/Merleau_ponty_maurice_fenomenologia_de_la_percepcion
13. Gallesse V, Sinigaglia C. What is so special about embodied simulation? Trends Cogn Sci. 2011;15(1):512-9.
14. Damasio A. Self comes to mind: constructing the conscious brain. Nueva York: Pantheon Books; 2010.
15. Varela F, Thompson E, Rosch E. De cuerpo presente. Las ciencias cognitivas y la experiencia humana. 2ª ed. Barcelona: Gedisa Editorial; 1997.
16. Payne H. Dance movement therapy: Theory and practice. Routledge; 2003.
17. Bas T, Fischman D, Jiménez, Rodríguez MR. Modulating verbal and non-verbal languages in Dance Movement Therapy: Moving conversations with adult patients in private practice. En: The Routledge Int Handb Embodied Perspect Psychother: Approaches from Dance Movement and Body Psychotherapies. Londres: Routledge; 2019.
18. Koch SC, Riege RFF, Tisborn K, Biondo J, Martin L, Beelmann A. Effects of dance movement therapy and dance on health-related psychological outcomes. A meta-analysis update. Front Psychol. 2019;10:1806.
19. Karkou V, Aithal S, Zubala A, Meekums B. Effectiveness of dance movement therapy in the treatment of adults with depression: A systematic review with meta-analyses. Front Psychol. 2019;10:936.
20. Payne H. The body speaks its mind: The BodyMind Approach® for patients with medially unexplained symptoms in primary care in England. Arts Psychother. 2015;42:19-27.
21. Lee HJ, Jang SH, Lee SY, Hwang KS. Effectiveness of dance/movement therapy on affect and psychotic symptoms in patients with schizophrenia. Arts Psychother. 2015;45:64-8.
22. Bresó E, Salanova M, Schaufeli WB, Nogareda C. NTP 732: Síndrome de estar quemado por el trabajo “Burnout” (III): Instrumento de medición. [Internet]. Instituto Nacional de Seguridad e Higiene en el Trabajo; 2004. [Consultado 20 Feb 2021]. Disponible en: https://www.insst.es/documents/94886/327446/ntp_732.pdf/3f45e644-2986-42b0-b9a5-ce5bef2917bd
23. Schaufeli WB, Salanova M, González-Romá V, Bakker AB. The Measurement of Engagement and Burnout: a Two Sample Confirmatory Factor Analytic Approach. J Happiness Stud. 2002;3(1):71-92.
24. López-Gómez I, Herrvís G, Vázquez C. Adaptación de las “escalas de afecto positivo y negativo” (PANAS) en una muestra general Española. Behav Psychol/Psicol Conduct. 2015;23(3):529-48.
25. Shields SA, Mallory ME, Simon A. The Body Awareness Questionnaire: Reliability and Validity. J Pers Assess. 1989;53(4):802-15.
26. Quezada-Berumen LDC, González-Ramírez MT, Cebolla A, Soler J, García-Campayo J. Body awareness and mindfulness: Validation of the Spanish version of the Scale of Body Connection. Actas Esp Psiquiatr. 2014;42(2):57-67.
27. Rodríguez Jiménez RM, Caja López MM, García Parra P, Velasco-Quintana PJ, Terrón-López MJ. Inteligencia Emocional y Comunicación: la conciencia corporal como recurso. [Internet]. REDU. 2013;11(1):213. [Consultado 3 Mar 2021]. Disponible en: http://hdl.handle.net/11268/774
28. Payne H, Brooks S. Different strokes for different folks: The bodymind approach as a learning tool for patients with medially unexplained symptoms to self-manage. Front Psychol. 2018;9:2222.
29. Koch SC, Fischman D. Embodied Enactive Dance/Movement Therapy. Am J Danc Ther. 2011;33(1):57-72.
30. Panhofer H, Payne H, Meekums B, Parke T. Dancing, moving and writing in clinical supervision? Employing embodied practices in psychotherapy supervision. Arts Psychother. 2011;38(1):9-16.
31. García ME, Plevin M, Macagno P. Movimiento creativo e danza. Método García-Plevin. Roma: Gremese Editore; 2006.
32. Fischman D. Relación terapéutica y empatía kinestésica. En: Wengrower H, Chaiklin S, eds. La vida es danza: El arte y la Ciencia de la Danza Movimiento Terapia. Barcelona: Gedisa; 2008. p. 81-96.
33. Shafir T. Using movement to regulate emotion: Neurophysiological findings and their application in psychotherapy. Front Psychol. 2016;7:1451.
34. García-Medrano S. Screen–bridges: dance movement therapy in online contexts. Body, Mov Danc Psychother. 2021;16(1):64-72.