INTRODUCTION

Ginseng (Panax ginseng Meyer) is a traditional medicinal plant, and has been widely used over 2,000 years in Korea, Japan, and China (Szeto et al., 2010). Ginseng contains saponin, ginsenosides, essential oils, polyacetylenic alcohol, peptides, vitamins, and polysaccharides (Cheung et al., 2007; Kang et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2008; Wee et al., 2011). Various beneficial pharmacological effects of ginseng or its components have been reported, including anti-cancer, anti-allergy, anti-inflammatory, anti-fatigue, anti-stress, and immunomodulatory activities (Kim et al., 2002; Park et al., 2004; Suniyoshi et al., 2010; Lee and Cho, 2011). Red ginseng is produced by steaming fresh ginseng at 95°C to 100°C for a reasonable time (Kim et al., 2009). It is known to have greater pharmaceutical efficacy and functionality than white ginseng, due to its high saponin content (Kim and In, 2010). Also, red ginseng may be useful for the treatment of hypertension and pulmonary vascular obstruction (Han et al., 2005) and has immune stimulatory and antioxidant activity as well as vasorelaxing effects in several arterial vessels (Gillis, 1997; Shin et al., 2000). Previous studies with animals have demonstrated the potential effects of ginseng as an immunization agent against various pathogens (Hu et al., 2003; Rivera et al., 2003). For example, dietary supplementation of red ginseng extracts has been shown to improve egg production of laying hens (Jang et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2015). Many studies have indicated that saponin is a potentially bioactive ingredient of red ginseng (Ao et al., 2011). The extraction of red ginseng creates by-product has a potential bioactive...
and immunostimulant additive to animal feed. To the best of our knowledge, limited research has been published on the effects of dietary red ginseng marc on immune system and the intestinal microflora of laying hens. Therefore, this study was performed to investigate the effects of dietary red ginseng by-product (RGB) supplementation on egg production, egg quality, blood biochemistry, serum immunoglobulins and microbial population in laying hens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The protocol for this experiment was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Welfare Committee of the National Institute of Animal Science, Rural Development Administration, Korea.

Preparation of red ginseng by-product

The RGB was obtained from Korean Ginseng Nonghyup (Geumsan, Korea). RGB was first dried and ground finely using a Wiley mill (J-NCM, Jisico Co. Ltd., Seoul, Korea). RGB saponins were extracted according to the method described in the previous research (Sung and Lee, 2008). The nutrient composition of the RGB was analyzed in duplicate for dry matter (AOAC, 1990; method 934.01), crude ash (AOAC, 1990; method 934.01), crude fat (AOAC, 1990; method 942.05), crude protein (AOAC, 1990; method 988.05), and crude fiber (AOAC, 1990; method: 978.01), and the results are presented in Table 1.

Birds and experimental design

A total of 120, 75-week-old Hy-Line Brown laying hens were randomly allotted to 1 of 3 dietary treatments. Each treatment had 4 replicates with 5 cages and 2 hens per cage (30×37×40 cm = width×length×height). A commercial type basal diet was formulated to meet or exceed nutrient recommendations of the National Research Council (NRC, 1994) for laying hens (Table 2). Two additional diets were prepared by supplementing 5.0 or 10.0 g/kg of RGB to the basal diet at the expense of corn. The experimental period was 4 weeks. During the experiment, hens were provided with feed and water ad libitum and were exposed to a 16-h:8-h light:dark lighting schedule. The temperature and humidity of the laying house was maintained at 18°C±3°C and 65% to 70%, respectively, during the experiment.

Laying performance and egg quality

Hen-day egg production rate and egg weight were recorded daily, whereas feed intake and feed conversion ratio were recorded weekly. Ten eggs per replicate were randomly collected at the end of each week to measure eggshell strength, eggshell thickness, eggshell color, and Haugh units (HU). Eggshell strength was measured by the Texture Systems compression test cell (model T2100C, Food Technology Co., Ltd., Rockville, MD, USA) and was expressed as units of compression force exposed to units of eggshell surface area (kg/cm²). Eggshell thickness (without the inner and outer shell membrane) was determined at three different points (top, middle, and bottom) using a dial pipe gauge (model 7360, Mitutoyo Co. Ltd., Kawasaki, Japan) without cracking the eggshell. Egg yolk color was...
sample collection

At the end of the 28-day feeding trial, 2 birds/replicate with a body weight close to the average (i.e., 8 birds per treatment) were selected to be euthanized by cervical dislocation. Immediately after death, a 5-mL blood sample was collected from the jugular vein of each bird using ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid vacuum tubes (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), and stored on ice. Serum samples, obtained by centrifuging the samples for 20 min at 25,000×g and 4°C, were stored at –15°C. Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), triglyceride, and total cholesterol in the serum were quantified using an ADVIA 1650 chemistry system (Bayer Diagnostic, Putraux, France). The cecal contents were collected from the euthanized chickens and used for analyzing bacterial populations. The cecum was ligated at both sides and removed from the gastrointestinal tract, and the contents were aseptically collected into a 2-mL Eppendorf tube. The cecal contents were immediately frozen at –80°C before analysis.

Measurement of microbial populations

The composite cecal material sample (1 g) of each hen was diluted with 9 mL of 0.9% saline solution and mixed using a vortex. Viable counts of bacteria in the cecal samples were then estimated by plating serial 10-fold dilutions (in 1% peptone solution) on lactobacilli de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (Lactobacilli MRS), MacConkey, and Salmonella shigella agar plates (Difco Laboratories, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) in order to isolate Lactobacillus, Escherichia coli, and Salmonella, respectively. The Lactobacilli MRS plates were then incubated for 48 h at 37°C under anaerobic conditions, and the MacConkey and Salmonella shigella plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C under aerobic conditions. Lactobacillus, E. coli, and Salmonella colonies were counted immediately after removal from the incubator.

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance as a completely randomized design using the PROC MIXED procedure (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Outlier data were identified by the UNIVARIATE procedure of SAS, but no outliers were found. Least squares means were calculated and the means among treatments were compared by the PDIF option with the Tukey adjustment. Significance was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There were no differences in feed intake, egg weight, and feed conversion ratio during the 4 weeks of the feeding trial among groups. However, hen-day egg production was greater (p<0.05) for RGB treatment groups than that for basal treatment group, and no significant differences were observed among the RGB treatment groups (Table 3). It was previously suggested that ginseng may improve physiological function and immunity, and exerts various pharmacological effects (Kiefer and Pantuso, 2003). Therefore, a beneficial influence of RGB on performance was expected. Jang et al. (2007) and Kim et al. (2015)
compared to the basal treatment. These conflicting results were not affected by the supplementation level of red ginseng extracts. However, Jang et al. (2007) observed that RGB supplementation was observed in the current experiment. Due to the limited experiments on ginseng in livestock, further studies are needed to be conducted.

In addition, the supplementation of RGB did not have an effect on eggshell strength, eggshell thickness, egg yolk color, and HU during the feeding trial (Table 4). Similar to the present study, Ao et al. (2011) reported that egg qualities were no differences in triglyceride, AST, and ALT levels during the 4 weeks of the feeding trial (Table 5). However, the serum IgG and IgM concentrations of the chickens fed RGB supplemented diet were 10.5% and 29.14% higher than those in the basal treatment group respectively, whereas no significant differences were observed among the RGB treatment groups (Table 6). Hu et al. (2003) reported that RGB could have a beneficial effect on immune function. This study also showed that RGB may have positive effect on the immune system of laying hens.

Table 3. Laying performance of layers fed the diet containing red ginseng by-product

| Items                              | Dietary treatment2 | SEM p-value |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
|                                    | RGB 0.0 | RGB 5.0 | RGB 10.0 |
| Hen-day egg production (%)         | 75.9a   | 82.1b   | 82.6a   | 0.30 | 0.04 |
| Feed intake (g/d/ hen)             | 150.3   | 151.8   | 156.6   | 1.69 | 0.82 |
| Egg weight (g)                     | 64.4    | 63.7    | 66.1    | 0.32 | 0.59 |
| Feed conversion ratio (g/g)        | 3.07    | 3.03    | 3.01    | 0.04 | 0.49 |

RGB, red ginseng by-product; SEM, pooled standard error of means.

1 Basal diet was supplemented at the level of red ginseng by-product 5.0 or 10.0 g/kg to the diets, respectively.
2 Values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05).

Table 4. Eggshell quality of layers fed the diet containing red ginseng by-product (RGB)

| Items                  | Dietary treatment2 | SEM p-value |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
|                        | RGB 0.0 | RGB 5.0 | RGB 10.0 |
| Eggshell strength (kg/cm³) | 3.40    | 3.30    | 3.40    | 0.11 | 0.25 |
| Eggshell thickness (µm)  | 351.0   | 352.0   | 360.9   | 4.35 | 0.45 |
| Egg yolk color          | 8.0     | 8.8     | 8.6     | 0.10 | 0.68 |
| Haugh unit              | 83.5    | 83.8    | 82.9    | 0.84 | 0.50 |

SEM, pooled standard error of means.

1 Data are least squares means of 4 observations per treatments.
2 Basal diet was supplemented at the level of red ginseng by-product 5.0 or 10.0 g/kg to the diets, respectively.

Table 5. Blood biochemical parameters of layers fed the diet containing red ginseng by-product (RGB)

| Items                  | Dietary treatment2 | SEM p-value |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
|                        | RGB 0.0 | RGB 5.0 | RGB 10.0 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 251.3a | 238.7b | 235.1b | 1.35 | 0.04 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL)    | 266.7   | 265.1   | 258.5   | 1.02 | 0.19 |
| AST (U/L)               | 141.7   | 142.5   | 140.8   | 0.59 | 0.31 |
| ALT (U/L)               | 14.7    | 14.7    | 14.1    | 0.39 | 0.28 |

SEM, pooled standard error of means.

1 Data are least squares means of 4 observations per treatments.
2 Basal diet was supplemented at the level of red ginseng by-product 5.0 or 10.0 g/kg to the diets, respectively.
3 Values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05).

Table 6. Serum immunoglobulin (Ig) of layers fed the diets containing red ginseng by-product (RGB)

| Items                  | Dietary treatment2 | SEM p-value |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
|                        | RGB 0.0 | RGB 5.0 | RGB 10.0 |
| IgG (µg/mL)            | 173.75b  | 190.28a  | 192.13a  | 10.25 | 0.41 |
| IgM (µg/mL)            | 78.34b   | 100.16a  | 101.17a  | 8.13  | 0.32 |

SEM, pooled standard error of means.

1 Data are least squares means of 4 observations per treatments.
2 Basal diet was supplemented at the level of red ginseng by-product 5.0 or 10.0 g/kg to the diets, respectively.
3 Values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05).
Table 7. Intestinal microflora of layers fed the diets containing red ginseng by-product (RGB) \(^1\)

| Items             | Dietary treatment \(^2\) | SEM | p-value |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----|---------|
|                   | RGB 0.0 | RGB 5.0 | RGB 10.0 |     |
|--------------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|
| Lactobacillus      | 5.17\(^b\) | 5.49\(^a\) | 5.63\(^a\) | 0.13 | 0.04 |
| Salmonella         | 4.66     | 4.55     | 4.56      | 0.45 | 0.56 |
| Escherichia coli   | 4.23     | 4.25     | 4.30      | 0.35 | 0.19 |

SEM, pooled standard error of means.

\(^1\) Data are least squares means of 4 observations per treatments.

\(^2\) Basal diet was supplemented at the level of red ginseng by-product 5.0 or 10.0 g/kg to the diets, respectively.

\(^a,b\) Values with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05).

This group, whereas no significant differences were observed among the RGB treatment groups (Table 7). The concentrations of Salmonella and E. coli in the ileum were not affected by inclusion of RGB in the diets.

The results of this study indicated that dietary supplementation of RGB improves laying performance and decreases serum cholesterol levels. The results also showed that RGB may be utilized as an immunostimulant for laying hens. Therefore, RGB can be considered as a potential functional ingredient to improve egg production and immune response of laying hens.
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