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Immobilization of Chitosanases onto Magnetic Nanoparticles to Enhance Enzyme Performance
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Abstract: In this study, chitosanase cloning from Streptomyces albolongus was fermented and purified by a Ni-NTA column. Fe3O4-SiO2 magnetite nanoparticles (MNPs) were synthesized by the co-precipitation method coating with silica via a sol-gel reaction and were then amino functioned by treating with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane. Chitosanases were immobilized onto the surface of MNPs by covalent bonding (MNPs@chitosanase). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FT–IR), and magnetic measurements were used to illustrate the MNPs and immobilized chitosanase. The optimal conditions of immobilization were studied. The thermal, pH, and stabilities of immobilized chitosanase were tested and the results showed that the stabilities were significantly enhanced compared with free chitosanase. After being recycled 10 times, the residual activity of the immobilized chitosanase was 43.7% of the initial activity.
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1. Introduction

Chitosan oligosaccharides (COS) are depolymerized derivatives of chitosan with degrees of polymerization less than 20, which consist of D-glucosamine via β-1,4-glycoside linkages [1]. Chitosan-oligosaccharides have some physiological activities, including antimicrobial activities [2–4], immuno-enhancing effects [5], and anti-tumour activities [6]. COS can be produced by the hydrolysis of chitosan using chemical methods or enzymatic catalysis [7,8]. In comparison with chemical methods, enzyme catalysis is more environmentally friendly and efficient [9]. Chitosanase is a kind of hydrolase enzyme that hydrolyzes the β-1, 4 glycosidic bond of chitosan [10]. There is a large demand for COS as a functional food and pharmaceutical raw material, so studies on chitosanases have received much attention [11].

However, free enzymes have some limits in industrial production, including the loss of catalytic activity after one cycle, low stability, and low activity in the organic phase. They also cannot be separated from the substrate or product [12,13]. The immobilization of enzymes on the carrier can overcome these drawbacks efficiently. Basically, there are three immobilization categories: binding with a support (e.g., adsorption, ionic binding, and covalent binding), cross-linking, and entrapment [12,14]. The covalent binding is a practical method for enzyme immobilization, while the protection effect of the carrier can enhance the stability of the enzyme. In addition, the leakage of the enzyme can be reduced by increasing the strength of the bonds [15].

In spite of advantages of enzyme immobilization, previous research shows that only a few immobilized enzymes can be used in industrial biocatalytic processes [16]. Simplicity and
cost-effectiveness are the key properties of immobilization techniques for industrial application [17]. Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) have been proved to be efficient materials for enzyme immobilization due to their nanoscale size and nonchemical separation from the reaction mixture by applying a magnetic field [18]. Various modifications of MNPs have been used, such as silanization, carbodiimide activation, and PEG or PVA spacing, with the aim to make the surface of the nanoparticles function [19]. Enzymes were covalently immobilized onto the MNPs by functional groups or cross-linkers, such as glutaraldehyde, carbodiimide activity, and active epoxy groups [20–22]. Among carriers for enzyme immobilization, amino-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles were proved to be simple, cost-effective and with high performance [23]. In previous studies, MNPs were developed as a tool to immobilize lipase [15], and co-immobilize β-agarase, and α-neoagarobiose hydrolase [24]. The carrier showed excellent performance in both research, which proved its potential for immobilizing other industrial important enzymes.

In this research, MNPs were synthesized and coated by silica. They were then amino-functioned with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane followed by activation. The characteristics of MNPs were measured by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Fourier transform infrared spectrometry (FT–IR), and a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The optimum conditions for immobilization were determined and the stability, recycling, and catalytic efficient of immobilized enzymes were investigated.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Characterization of Magnetic Nanoparticle

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to observe the size and morphology of Fe₃O₄ and Fe₃O₄-SiO₂ nanoparticles. Figure 1a showed the picture of Fe₃O₄, where the size of nanoparticles ranged from 9 to 11 nm. As shown in Figure 1b, Fe₃O₄-SiO₂ nanoparticles have a core-shell structure, and the size is from 30 to 50 nm.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Figure 1. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of Fe₃O₄ (a) and Fe₃O₄-SiO₂ (b) nanoparticles.

Figure 2 showed the FT-IR spectra of MNPs, MNPs@chitosanase and chitosanase. The spectrum of immobilized chitosanase appeared strong band at 1646 cm⁻¹, which should be attributed to the covalent binding between MNPs and chitosanases. In addition, the absorption at 1539 cm⁻¹ showed the chitosanases were immobilized on the MNPs successfully.

The magnetic behaviours were confirmed by the vibrating sample magnetometer, which were typical plot of magnetization against applied magnetic field at room temperature, and crossed the zero point, inductive of the superparamagnetic properties. Figure 3a showed the hysteresis loops of the Fe₃O₄ MNPs and MNPs@chitosanase, the saturation magnetization values were 94.7, 62.1, and 60.8 emu/g, respectively. The decreased superparamagnetic behaviours of the MNPs compared with Fe₃O₄ could be explained due to SiO₂ coated on the surface of the Fe₃O₄. There was only 2.1% loss of magnetization after immobilization, indicating that the immobilized chitosanases could be separated from the reaction medium rapidly and easily in an external magnetic field. MNPs were well
dispersed in aqueous solution (Figure 3b) and the superparamagnetic properties were high enough to make the carrier separated from the solution in the presence of an external magnetic field (Figure 3c) in 15 s.

![Figure 3. (a) Hysteresis loops of Fe₃O₄, MNPs and chitosanases immobilized on MNPs. Photographs of (b) the MNPs dispersed in aqueous solution and (c) the MNPs attracted by an external magnetic field for 15 s.](image)

**2.2. Characterization of Immobilized Chitosanases**

**2.2.1. Purification of Chitosanase**

The crude chitosanase extract was purified using a Ni-NTA column (10 cm × 2 cm) and analyzed by SDS-PAGE, which showed only one pure band in purified chitosanase (Figure 4, Lane b). And it was determined that the concentration of purified chitosanase was 0.2 mg/mL, which was appropriate for immobilization.

![Figure 4. SDS-PAGE analysis of purified chitosanase. Lane M, protein markers; Lane a, crude extract before purification; Lane b, purified chitosanase.](image)
2.2.2. Optimum Conditions for Immobilization

A schematic diagram of the immobilization was illustrated in Figure 5. The effect of reaction time on the relative activity and enzyme loading during the immobilizing process was shown in Figure 6a. The results showed that by increasing the reaction time from 1 to 4 h, the enzyme loading rapidly increased at first. Then the speed of growth became slow, and the activity reached its highest at 2 h and then slightly decreased. This is because most functional groups on the surface of MNPs were covalently bonded with chitosanases, so there was not enough space for the additional chitosanases to be attached [25]. As time went on, the enzymes became denatured and the activity of the enzymes was gradually reduced. The effect of enzyme amount on immobilization was shown in Figure 6b, where enzyme loading and relative activity were illustrated. Various amounts of chitosanases (10–200 mg chitosanase/g MNPs) were added to the carriers. The amount of chitosanase immobilized increased along with the chitosanase added into the reaction and the highest value was 25.1 mg chitosanase/g MNPs. The relative activity reached its highest at 100 mg/g MNPs when the amount of chitosanase immobilized was 23.8 mg chitosanase/g MNPs and then it slightly declined. This is probably due to the interaction of the chitosanases on the surface of the MNPs. 2 h of reaction time and 100 mg/g MNPs of enzyme were selected as the optimized conditions for enzyme immobilization.

![Schematic diagram of the immobilization of chitosanases onto the MNPs.](image)

**Figure 5.** Schematic diagram of the immobilization of chitosanases onto the MNPs.

![Graphs showing the effect of reaction times and amount of enzyme on enzyme loading and relative activity.](image)

**Figure 6.** Effect of reaction times (a) and amount of enzyme (b) on enzyme loading and relative activity. The reaction was from the condition of 20 °C and Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0); the reaction time was 2 h.

2.2.3. Stability and of Immobilized and Free Chitosanases

Figure 7a–c illustrated the pH stability of free and immobilized chitosanases. The immobilized chitosanases exhibited better stability compared to free chitosanases. The results suggested that MNPs protected the enzyme from alkaline and acid to enhance the pH stability [26], which could widen its application in the industry.

Sometimes in industrial applications, a higher reaction temperature can enhance the productivity [27], so the thermal stability of enzymes is important for its industrial application. Figure 7d showed the thermal stability of free chitosanase and MNPs@chitosanase. The immobilized chitosanases displayed a better stability than the free chitosanases with a residual activity of 19.4% while the free chitosanases is 8.4% after being incubated for 50 h at 50 °C.
The residual activity of free chitosanases was 70.2% at 4 °C; the residual activity of immobilized chitosanases was 44.7%, while the residual activity of free chitosanases was 15.8% at room temperature. This is because the covalent bonding that connects enzymes onto the carriers was able to protect the enzymes from denaturalization [28].

2.2.4. Reusability of Immobilized Chitosanases

The enzymes immobilized on the MNPs were able to be separated from the substrate by magnetic force, so it was easy to recycle and reuse the immobilized enzymes after reaction. The reusability is an advantage compared with free enzymes in industrial production for building a cost-effective enzymatic process. Figure 8 showed the reusability of the MNPs@chitosanase. After 10 cycles of reaction, the residual activity was 43.7%. The decrease in the activity might be the immobilized chitosanases dropped from the carrier [29], and as the reaction proceeded, part of the enzyme was deactivated.

![Figure 8. Reusability of MNPs@chitosanase.](image-url)
2.2.5. Catalytic Efficiency of Free and Immobilized Chitosanases

The amount of COS was investigated to characterize the catalytic performance of free and immobilized chitosanases. In order to compare the catalytic efficiency, the initial activities of the two kinds of enzymes were the same. Figure 9 shows the time courses of the hydrolysis of chitosanase using the free and immobilized chitosanases. Chitosanases immobilized onto MNPs showed much better catalytic ability, which was attributed to the better stability during the hydrolysis process. The amount of COS hydrolyzed by free chitosanases were 56.8 \( \mu \)mol while that of immobilized chitosanases were 79.4 \( \mu \)mol after 240 min reaction, which was 1.4 times higher than that of free chitosanases.

![Figure 9. Time courses of the hydrolysis of chitosan using the free and MNPs@chitosanase.](image)

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) as well as Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250, Tris, and imidazole were purchased from Solarbio (Beijing, China); Chitosan, sodium chloride (NaCl), hydrochloric acid (HCl), ammonium hydroxide (NH\(_3\)·H\(_2\)O), ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O), ferrous chloride tetrahydrate (FeCl\(_2\)·4H\(_2\)O), ethanol, tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were obtained from Sinopharm Group Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China); 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) and 2,4,6-trichloro-1,3,5-triazine were purchased from Sigma Co. (Houston, TX, USA); Yeast Extract and Tryptone were bought from Oxoid Ltd. (Basingstoke, UK).

3.2. Synthesis of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) Nanoparticles

The synthesis of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles has been widely researched [30,31]. In this study, the co-precipitation method was used as a simple and convenient method. To synthesize Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles, 0.8 g of FeCl\(_2\)·4H\(_2\)O and 2.7 g of FeCl\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O were dissolved in 50 mL of deionized water under nitrogen gas at room temperature. Then 4 mL of 25% NH\(_3\)·H\(_2\)O was added into the solution followed by violent stirring for 30 min. The Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles were separated by an external magnetic force and washed 3–4 times with deionized water and ethanol followed by vacuum drying under room temperature.
3.3. Preparation of Silica Coated Magnetite Nanoparticles

Fe$_3$O$_4$-SiO$_2$ nanoparticles were prepared by the hydrolysis of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS). 0.5 g of Fe$_3$O$_4$ was mixed with 150 mL of ethanol and then ultrasonification occurred for 10 min under nitrogen gas at room temperature. Then, 20 mL of deionized water and 10 mL of 25% NH$_3$·H$_2$O were added to the suspension followed by stirring and the addition of 6 mL of 28% tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) at room temperature with additional stirring for 5 h. Fe$_3$O$_4$-SiO$_2$ nanoparticles were separated by an external magnetic force and washed 3–4 times with deionized water and ethanol. They were then vacuum dried under room temperature.

3.4. Nanoparticles Surface Modification

In this research, the method developed by Wang et al. was used to modify the Fe$_3$O$_4$-SiO$_2$ nanoparticles [15,32]. Fe$_3$O$_4$-SiO$_2$ nanoparticles (100 mg) and 30 mL 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) were added into 50 mL of ethanol. Then the suspension was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Next, the temperature was raised to 50 °C for 1.5 h for amino functionalization. The amine-functionalized Fe$_3$O$_4$-SiO$_2$ nanoparticles were separated by an external magnetic force and washed 3–4 times with ethanol and tetrahydrofuran (THF). Then the functioned nanoparticles were treated with 4 g of 2,4,6-trichloro-1,3,5-triazine in 100 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF) at room temperature for 3 h to activate the amine-functionalized Fe$_3$O$_4$-SiO$_2$ nanoparticles. The obtained MNPs were washed 3–4 times with THF, ethanol, and deionized water, and then vacuum dried under room temperature.

3.5. Purification of Chitosanase

Chitosanase was obtained by recombinant expression from *Streptomyces albongus* in *Escherichia coli*, which was cloned and constructed using pET-21a vector in our laboratory. To prepare chitosanase, the recombinant *E. coli* was cultivated into ZYP5052 medium composed of 1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.2% MgSO$_4$, 1.2% glycerin, 0.1% glucose, and 10% α-galactose with Ampicillin (100 µg/mL), and by shaking at 180 rpm for 48 h at 20 °C. A culture broth was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 5 min. The precipitate was collected, re-suspended in a Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0), and ultrasonicated for 30 min. The debris was removed by centrifuging at 9000 rpm for 20 min, and the supernatant was used as the crude chitosanase. The crude extract was loaded on a Ni-NTA column (10 cm x 2 cm), which was pre-equilibrated with buffer A (50 mM Tris-Cl buffer pH 8.0 containing 10 mM imidazole and 500 mM NaCl). After binding, the weakly bound impurities were washed with buffer B (50 mM Tris-Cl buffer pH 8.0 containing 50 mM imidazole and 500 mM NaCl), followed by buffer C (50 mM Tris-Cl buffer pH 8.0 containing 100 mM imidazole and 500 mM NaCl). The eluted fractions that showed high chitosanase activity were collected. SDS-PAGE was used to determine the purification of chitosanase, and the concentration of chitosanase was measured by Bradford method [33].

3.6. Characterization

TEM images were recorded by transmission electron microscopy (MIC-JEM 1200EX); the FT–IR spectra were recorded by a Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10, Waltham, MA USA); the magnetization curves of the Fe3O4, MNPs, and MNPs@chitosanase were measured with a vibrating sample magnetometer (PPMS-9, Quantum Design, San Diego, CA, USA) at room temperature.

3.7. Chitosanase Immobilization

MNPs (5.0 mg) were dispersed in 400 µL of a Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0). Then, various volumes of the chitosanase solution were added into the carrier and the mixture was shaken at 20 °C. The immobilized chitosanases were removed by magnetic decantation and washed three times with a Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8). The amount of chitosanase immobilized on MNPs was determined by...
measuring the initial and final concentration of chitosanase in the immobilization medium using the Bradford method.

3.8. Assay of Chitosanase Activity

Immobilized and free chitosanase activities were determined by measuring the reducing sugars liberated during the hydrolysis of the chitosan with the 3, 5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method [34]. The substrate solution was prepared by mixing 100 µL chitosan solution (2 g chitosan predissolved in 100 mL of 1% acetic acid) with a 100 µL Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0). Then, free and immobilized chitosanase were added to the substrate solution at the condition of 50 °C. After reaction, the absorbance at 540 nm was measured against a blank. The residual activity (%) was determined by calculating the ratio of the activity of sample to initial activity; sample with the highest activity was defined as 100% relative activity (%) [35].

3.9. Stability and Reusability of Immobilized Chitosanases

The thermal stability of the free and MNPs@chitosanase was evaluated by measuring the residual activities of the free and immobilized chitosanases incubating in Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0) with various temperatures and times. The pH stability was evaluated by measuring the residual activity of free and immobilized chitosanases incubated in various pH and times. The storage stabilities of the immobilized and free chitosanases were determined by measuring their residual activity after incubation at 4 °C and room temperature in the Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0).

The reusability of the immobilized chitosanases was evaluated by measuring the residual activity after recycling 10 times. After each hydrolysis cycle, the immobilized chitosanases were separated and washed with Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0) by adding a magnetic force.

3.10. Catalytic Efficiency of Free and Immobilized Chitosanases

The catalytic performance of free and MNPs@chitosanase were evaluated by hydrolysis of chitosan. Free and immobilized chitosanases (the initial activity of chitosanases immobilized was the same as that of free chitosanases) were incubated in chitosan in a Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0). The catalytic performance of the free and immobilized chitosanases were investigated by measuring the hydrolysed chitosan.

4. Conclusions

This research developed a method for immobilizing chitosanases on the surface of Fe₃O₄-SiO₂ magnetic nanoparticles with covalent bonding. The TEM picture showed the silica was successfully coated on the surface and the size of the Fe₃O₄-SiO₂ was about 30 nm to 50 nm. The hysteresis loops showed the MNPs@chitosanase have high superparamagnetic property. The results of the FT-IR spectra indicated the enzyme was immobilized on the carrier. The thermal, pH, and storage abilities were improved after immobilization. The immobilized chitosanases were also used for recycling. After it was recycled 10 times, the residual activity was 43.74%. The enhancement of the chitosanases proved the immobilization can expand the use of enzymes in industrial applications.
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