연구의 목적과 에지 모델링을 이용한 2D 영상의 3D 변환
김 만배**

Generation of Stereoscopic Image from 2D Image based on Saliency and Edge Modeling
Manbae Kim**

요 약
2D 영상의 3D 변환 기술은 3D 디스플레이 및 3DTV에 기본적으로 장착된 기술로 근본적 연구 및 산업화가 진행된 기술이다. 이 기술은 3D 입체영상 콘텐츠 부족을 해결할 수 있다는 장점이 있다. 3D 변환은 장자영상으로부터 다양한 깊이 단서를 이용하여 깊이를 추출한 후에, DIBR(Depth Image Based Rendering)로 입체영상으로 생성한다. 특정 영상이외에는 영상에서 신호하는 영상이 있는 경우가 많다. 따라서 3D 변환 기술은 일반 영상에서도 우수하고, 영상의 입체영상이 생성하는 것이 중요하다. 이러한 관점에서 본 논문에서는 상기 조건을 만족할 수 있는 3D 변환 방법을 제안한다. 수 기술로 최근 다양한 분야에서 활용되는 관심영과 에지를 활용하고, 깊이영상을 생성하기 위해서 기하학 추정, 근접 모델 및 마이크로 필터를 동작한다. 실험에서는 제안한 방법을 24개의 2D 비디오 콘텐츠에 적용하였고, 입체영상 시각적 편파성 및 주관적 평가를 통해 3D 콘텐츠의 우수한 만족도를 확인하였다.

Abstract
3D conversion technology has been studied over past decades and integrated to commercial 3D displays and 3DTVs. The 3D conversion plays an important role in the augmented functionality of three-dimensional television (3DTV), because it can easily provide 3D contents. Generally, depth cues extracted from a static image is used for generating a depth map followed by DIBR (Depth Image Based Rendering) rendering for producing a stereoscopic image. However except some particular images, the existence of depth cues is rare so that the consistent quality of a depth map cannot be accordingly guaranteed. Therefore, it is imperative to make a 3D conversion method that produces satisfactory and consistent 3D for diverse video contents. From this viewpoint, this paper proposes a novel method with applicability to general types of image. For this, saliency as well as edge is utilized. To generate a depth map, geometric perspective, affinity model and binomic filter are used. In the experiments, the proposed method was performed on 24 video clips with a variety of contents. From a subjective test for 3D perception and visual fatigue, satisfactory and comfortable viewing of 3D contents was validated.
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I. Introduction

The generation of a stereoscopic image from a 2D image has been investigated over the past decades due to the success of 3D TVs and displays\(^{[1-8]}\). Most of conversion methods derive a depth map of each frame and then use DIBR (Depth Image Based Rendering) to synthesize the stereoscopic view.

To generate a depth map from a given 2D image, diverse methods have been proposed according to the principle of a human visual system. Some of them are depth from motion\(^{[6]}\), depth from defocus\(^{[8]}\), depth from geometrical linear perspective and gradient plane assignment\(^{[4]}\), depth from shadow\(^{[7]}\) and so forth. Most depth estimation algorithms make use of the combination of aforementioned multiple monocular depth cues. Therefore, they are expected to work well for some particular images that contain suitable cues. In other words, if the depth cues do not deliver sufficient information, the algorithms might fail, yielding the production of uncomfortable 3D images. Furthermore, the accurate detection of a depth cue type is also needed and is somehow a difficult task. In case of incorrect type classification, a wrong depth map would be also obtained. This observation requires the necessity of the design of a global conversion method that produces a satisfactory 3D perception regardless of image contents as well as depth cues.

The proposed method is composed of four main components: (1) visual saliency estimation, (2) affinity model and binomic filter, (3) edge modeling, and (4) depth generation. The overall block diagram is shown in Fig. 1. Given an RGB image, an edge map is obtained from a grayscale image. As well, a saliency map is extracted from an RGB image. Due to the lack of distance information in the saliency map, we incorporate a geometrical perspective cue to the saliency map. Then, a binomic filter as well as an affinity model is applied on the saliency map to reduce the saliency discontinuities present at neighboring pixels. This result is combined with an edge map and the transformed edge map are binomic-filtered with the saliency map. Finally a depth map is generated and subsequently left and right images are constructed by a DIBR method.

II. Saliency Map Generation

The saliency generation has gained much interest over past decades in the diverse fields\(^{[9-16]}\). Contrast is an important factor that affects visual attention in static images. Whether an object is perceived as salient or not depends greatly on the distinctiveness between itself and the background. Color is one of main features for saliency detection. For colors, red/green and blue/yellow are two strong contrast color pairs. Recently, 2D-to-3D conversion researchers have applied the saliency to depth map generation\(^{[11-16]}\). In this paper, a global contrast-based method proposed by Zhai and Shah\(^{[9]}\) is adopted because it is suitable to real-time processing unlike other complex methods. This method is simple to implement, but yet efficient for a baseline saliency production. Moreover, the performance is comparable to other methods.

The important fact is that only saliency containing dis
tance (or depth) information is useful data. As observed in Fig. 2, natural scenes contain the arbitrary locations and unknown relative distances of salient objects in the image. For instance, the saliency objects (manually marked in yellow box) can be located at the top or bottom as well as in the left, middle and right. Note that the location of saliency objects depends on human decision especially in complex scenes. Therefore an additional procedure is needed to compensate for the lack of the geometric information shortage of the saliency map.

Most of natural images have general properties that a top area is far from a camera and that a bottom region is close to the camera due to inherent geometric perspective. The examples are also shown in Fig. 2. The upper regions of the four example images have a larger distance compared with lower regions. Such characteristic will be utilized in the saliency construction. Furthermore, the location of salient objects imposes the uncertainty on background regions.

For instance, the yellow boxes considered as salient objects in each image are in the left, middle, middle, and right, respectively. Therefore to deal with all possible cases, the three regions I, II, and III are combined to compensate for the locational uncertainty of salient objects as illustrated in Fig. 3. Given a W x H image, three regions I, II, and III are configured in the upper region.

The weights of the three regions are illustrated in Fig. 4, where an weight \( w[i] \) is assigned to ith region. The mathematical formula are defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
    w[1] &= -\frac{j}{W-1} + 1.0 \\
    w[2] &= \begin{cases} 
        \frac{j}{W/2} & \text{if } j \leq W/2 \\
        \frac{j}{W/2} + 2 & \text{if } j > W/2
    \end{cases} \\
    w[3] &= -\frac{j}{W-1}
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

Fig. 4. Weight functions \( w[1] \), \( w[2] \) and \( w[3] \) are associated with regions I, II, and III, respectively.

One of saliency methods is to directly use Red, Green, Blue channels. In [9], a mean value is computed from an
The computed saliency of an image is defined as

\[ S(i,j) = \sum_{i,j} a(i,j), \quad \overline{b(i,j)}, \quad \overline{c(i,j)} \] (8)

where \( \overline{x} \) is the average of \( x \).

The purpose of computing a mean value only from an upper region is to obtain higher saliency values in the lower region. Then weighted saliency maps of the three channels are computed by

\[
\overline{R_i} = \sum_{(i,j) \in I} R(i,j), \quad \overline{G_i} = \sum_{(i,j) \in I} G(i,j), \quad \overline{B_i} = \sum_{(i,j) \in I} B(i,j)
\]

\[
\overline{R_2} = \sum_{(i,j) \in II} R(i,j), \quad \overline{G_2} = \sum_{(i,j) \in II} G(i,j), \quad \overline{B_2} = \sum_{(i,j) \in II} B(i,j)
\]

\[
\overline{R_3} = \sum_{(i,j) \in III} R(i,j), \quad \overline{G_3} = \sum_{(i,j) \in III} G(i,j), \quad \overline{B_3} = \sum_{(i,j) \in III} B(i,j)
\]

Similar to Eq. (2), the averages of \( a, b, c \) are derived for the three regions.

\[
\overline{a_i} = \sum_{(i,j) \in I} a(i,j), \quad \overline{b_i} = \sum_{(i,j) \in I} b(i,j), \quad \overline{c_i} = \sum_{(i,j) \in I} c(i,j)
\]

\[
\overline{a_2} = \sum_{(i,j) \in II} a(i,j), \quad \overline{b_2} = \sum_{(i,j) \in II} b(i,j), \quad \overline{c_2} = \sum_{(i,j) \in II} c(i,j)
\]

\[
\overline{a_3} = \sum_{(i,j) \in III} a(i,j), \quad \overline{b_3} = \sum_{(i,j) \in III} b(i,j), \quad \overline{c_3} = \sum_{(i,j) \in III} c(i,j)
\]

For each channel, the saliency map is made by an weighted average of transformed colors.

\[
A = w[1] \cdot |a - \overline{a_1}| + w[2] \cdot |a - \overline{a_2}| + w[3] \cdot |a - \overline{a_3}|
\]

\[
B = w[1] \cdot |b - \overline{b_1}| + w[2] \cdot |b - \overline{b_2}| + w[3] \cdot |b - \overline{b_3}|
\]

\[
C = w[1] \cdot |c - \overline{c_1}| + w[2] \cdot |c - \overline{c_2}| + w[3] \cdot |c - \overline{c_3}|
\]

The second saliency map \( S_2 \) is obtained by either the maximum of \( A, B, C \) or their average.

\[
S_2 = \text{MAX}(A,B,C) \quad \text{or} \quad S_2 = \frac{(A+B+C)}{3}
\]

To obtain the best saliency map, we tested a variety of combinations of \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \). From this experiment, we found that the following relation outperforms other combinations. A final saliency map \( S \) is obtained from \( S_1 \) multiplied by normalized \( S_2 \) and is expressed by

\[
S(i,j) = S_1(i,j) \times \frac{S_2(i,j)}{S_2^{\text{max}}}
\]

where \( S_2^{\text{max}} \) is a maximum value of \( S_2 \).

We performed the saliency generation methods on diverse images, whose scene complexity varies from low to high and the results are shown in Fig. 5.
III. Binomic Filter and Affinity Model

As observed in Fig. 5, the lack of consistent saliences are present in most of images. For instance, different values in the inner area of a woman in the first row of Fig. 5(a) are noticed and thus an additional processing is needed. We summarize the problems as follows: (1) an identical object has different saliency values. Especially the inconsistent values at the boundary are apparent, (2) the saliency of the inner region of a foreground object is not consistent within its boundary, and (3) a background is relatively homogeneous except some particular regions, but still needs constant values. Such problems prevent the saliency maps from being used as a depth. To solve this, we employ a binomic filter\cite{17} and an affinity model\cite{18-21}. The binomic filter is performed on the saliency map to solve the inconsistency between the inner region and the boundary. The aim of the binomic filter is to fill in the inner region using the boundary values. As well, the affinity model is to soothe the discontinuities of the close-by pixels.

A) Binomic Filter

Since the saliency uses a color, different saliency values might spread over an identical object. To alleviate such problem, one of effective methods is a binomic filter\cite{17}, where its elements are binomic numbers which are created as a sum of the corresponding two numbers in Pascal’s triangle. The effect of the binomic filter is illustrated in Fig. 6. Suppose that the distribution of S along x axis is like Fig. 6(a). Then its distribution is changed to Fig. 6(b) after the filter is applied. It is observed that the large variation of the pixels values is much reduced.

We expand this filter to an image as follows; Based on an N x N pixel block, then we convolve S(i,j) with its scaled image S’(i,j).

![Image of binomic filter and affinity model](image-url)
where $S'$ is the scaled version. For the sake of clarity, the scaling used here is the value scaling. For instance of 1-D, if $S = [120, 140, 80]$, $S'$ becomes $[30/3, 140/3, 80/3]$ in the scale of $\tau = 1/3$. $\tau$ varies at $[0, 1]$. The larger it is, the more the output is saturated. The result is shown in Fig. 7. It is observed that saliency discontinuities of Fig. 7(a) are much alleviated in Fig. 7(b).

A) Affinity Model

Pixels with same RGB have identical saliency values. Therefore, two neighboring pixels with different colors, but belonging to the same object might have different saliency values that produce the inconsistent depth. To solve this problem, we employ an affinity model in order to alleviate the boundary discontinuities at the identical object region.

In the usage of saliency data, defining an affinity model gained by integrating local grouping local cues such as saliency and boundary is of importance. As mentioned, a single object can be represented by multiple different saliency data, resulting in different depth values. The affinity model used in the segmentation can solve the aforementioned problem [19-21]. Close-by pixels with similar saliency values likely belong to the same segment. The color-based affinity model is defined by an exponential function.

$$
\psi_s(i, j) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x_i - x_j\|}{\sigma_c}\right)
$$

$$
\psi_l(i, j) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|S_i - S_j\|}{\sigma_S}\right)
$$

(11)
where \( x_i \) and \( s_i \) denote the position and saliency values of pixel \( i \) respectively and, \( \alpha \) and \( \sigma_s \) control the weights of the two factors.

The combined model is used to design a better affinity model. Two models can be simply combined with a parameter to produce a combined model \( \psi_m \)

\[
\psi_m = \alpha \psi_c + (1 - \alpha) \psi_s \quad \text{or} \quad \psi_m = \psi_c \cdot \psi_s \quad (12)
\]

where \( \alpha \) is a weight of \([0, 1]\).

We apply the affinity model to the binomic-filtered image using the convolution.

\[
S_{\text{ef}}(i,j) = \psi_m(i,j) \odot S_{\text{bin}}(i,j) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m=-N/2}^{N/2} \sum_{n=-N/2}^{N/2} S_{\text{bin}}(i-m,j-n) \cdot \psi_m(m,n) \quad (13)
\]

The resulting image is shown in Fig. 7(c). As observed, the filtered output shows more smoothed outputs. Two close-up images are shown in Fig. 8, where the surface of resulting images after the affinity model become more smooth.

### IV. Edge Map Transformation

Edge plays an important role in the proposed method\(^{(22)}\). The presence of connected edge boundary in the object provides useful information. On the other hand, note that the edge does not provide any depth information. Based on this fact, the edge processing helpful to depth generation focuses on the smooth edge preservation as well as the edge adaptation to saliency map.

The edge processing procedure is shown in Fig. 9. Given an edge map, we decompose it into multiple sub-images in the vertical direction. Then, the Bezier surface modeling is applied to entire image. Considering the edge ratio of a subimage, we adapt the edge map to the surface model and then a transformed edge map is derived.

### IV. Edge Map Transformation

An image is decomposed into \( K \) subimages in the verti-
Then, a maximum saliency value is computed for each kth subimage, $SB^k$.

$$S_{\text{max}}^k = \text{MAX}_{(i,j) \in SB^k} S_{\text{aff}}(i,j) \quad (14)$$

Then, an edge ratio $E_R$ is derived from each subimage.

$$E^k_R = \frac{E^k}{N^k} \quad (15)$$

where $N^k$ is the number of pixels and $E^k$ is the number of edge pixels. $E^k_R$ is at $[0,1]$.

For each subimage, we compute a saliency maximum value $Q^k$ that is a maximum saliency value multiplied by $(1 - E^k_R)$.

$$Q^k = S_{\text{max}}^k \cdot (1 - E^k_R) \quad (16)$$

$Q^k$ will act as a control point for surface modeling. From
this, it is apparent that the saliency is more dominant at the dense edge subimage and less at the sparse region. As verified in the experiment, this is expected to add more 3D perception. The surface is modeled by Bezier curve or surface using K control points and then continuous surface SB is generated. Finally, a binomic filter is applied to saliency and edge map and a final depth map is constructed.

V. Experimental Results

The proposed method has been tested on twenty-four video clips as in Table 1. The stereoscopic images of some test images from a video are shown in Fig. 11. 3D formats are interfaced and anaglyph. The resolution of all the sequences is FHD (Full High Definition) 1920 x 1080. The duration of video clips is 300 ~ 10,000 frames.

To measure 3D subjective tests, we examined 3D perception grade as well as visual fatigue. Twenty subjects participated in the experiment. They are 3D experts from industrial and academic fields who have much experience in viewing and evaluating 3D contents. The test videos were captured from commercial movies, TV dramas, sports, animation movies to demonstrate that the evaluation is independent from contents types. The duration of viewing time of each sequence is proportional to the number of frames. The viewing distance is 3 meter from display monitor.

SSCQS (Single Stimulus Continuous Quality Scale) subjective test was performed. Human subjects observed the stereoscopic videos on LG FHD 40” 3DTV and evaluated the 3D perception and visual fatigue[23]. The scale of the grade is [1, 5]. For the 3D perception, the grade of 5 is very good and 1 is bad. The grade of 5 is no fatigue and 1 is severe fatigue for the visual fatigue. As shown in Table 1, the 3D grade is 3.68 and visual fatigue is 3.49 on the average. 3.68 of the 3D perception grade is between mild and good 3D. Regarding the performance limitation of automatic 3D conversion, the grade is satisfactory. As well, 3.49 of the visual fatigue is at the range of mild and less fatigue. One of the functionalities of 3D conversion is the ability to control a depth range. Therefore, if viewers feel any visual discomfort, they can control the strength of depth by adjusting a maximum parallax.

| Video Contents | 3D Perception | Visual Fatigue | No. of Frames |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| dongyi         | 4.2           | 3.8            | 500           |
| Girls generation | 3.0       | 3.4            | 1,000         |
| acua           | 4.1           | 3.0            | 380           |
| airplane       | 3.0           | 3.8            | 150           |
| an                | 3.8           | 3.7            | 8,000         |
| Alps           | 3.6           | 3.3            | 10,000        |
| avatar         | 3.9           | 3.2            | 12,000        |
| anicar         | 4.2           | 3.8            | 400           |
| fan            | 3.4           | 3.8            | 200           |
| football       | 2.8           | 3.2            | 5,000         |
| gumi           | 3.5           | 2.7            | 10,000        |
| IU             | 4.2           | 3.7            | 4,000         |
| Kara           | 4.3           | 2.5            | 450           |
| Konan          | 3.7           | 3.2            | 300           |
| Kpop           | 3.8           | 3.6            | 3,000         |
| natural        | 4.1           | 3.8            | 1,200         |
| oriFrance      | 4.3           | 3.3            | 5,000         |
| penguin        | 3.7           | 3.8            | 350           |
| plant          | 3.6           | 4.1            | 800           |
| Rainbow        | 4.4           | 3.2            | 500           |
| rio            | 2.7           | 4.0            | 5,000         |
| secret         | 2.9           | 3.7            | 1,200         |
| starwars       | 3.6           | 3.6            | 5,000         |
| Wonder girls   | 3.6           | 3.5            | 5,000         |
| Average        | 3.68          | 3.49           | -             |

VI. Conclusion

In this paper, a novel 3D conversion method was proposed. The proposed method stems from the fact that depth cues are not enough except some particular images,
thus motivating the necessity of a general conversion method. Our method is designed to meet such requirement using saliency and edge modeling. For this, two saliency maps are utilized and are fused into a single saliency map that is a baseline for depth map generation. Additional geometric perspective is integrated into the saliency map in order to follow general natural scenes. Edge modeling is based on the saliency map as well surface representation. By combining the saliency and edge surface, satisfactory depth map could be obtained, leading to high 3D effect and low visual fatigue. An important aspect of all conversion methods is to provide stable 3D perception with reduced visual discomfort that was verified in our intensive video testing.
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