Case Report

Giant retroperitoneal schwannoma in a 52-year-old man
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A B S T R A C T

Schwannoma in the retroperitoneal space is rare, and it is extremely rare in patients with no history of neurofibromatosis. We present a case of giant retroperitoneal schwannoma in a 52-year-old man who did not have neurofibromatosis. Because malignant transformation would be extremely rare in this circumstance, close imaging follow-up could avert the necessity for complete resection. The possibility of schwannoma should be considered when evaluating retroperitoneal tumors with the characteristic findings, even if there is no connection between the tumor and the intervertebral foramina.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington.
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Schwannomas are nerve sheath tumors that usually affect the head, neck, and the flexor surfaces of the extremities. However, schwannomas in the retroperitoneal cavity is rare, accounting for about 0.75%–2.6% of all schwannomas, and for 4% of all retroperitoneal tumor [1–3]. Histologically, schwannomas are distinguished by the presence of areas of high and low cellularity called Antoni A and Antoni B tissue, respectively [4]. Patients with retroperitoneal schwannomas usually do not suffer from any symptoms, or exhibit nonspecific symptoms, such as abdominal pain, abdominal discomfort, constipation, and deep vein thrombosis [5]. The lack of specific symptoms sometimes makes it difficult to accurately diagnose preoperatively. Schwannomas are usually benign, and solitary malignant schwannomas are extremely rare in patients without any history of neurofibromatosis [6,7]. Considering the postoperative complications, it is sometimes very difficult to determine to treat this tumor [8]. Herein, we report a case
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of giant retroperitoneal schwannoma and review the relevant literature.

2. Case report

A 52-year-old male came to the hospital after a retroperitoneal tumor, and deviation of the bladder was found during a health examination. The patient had no complaints or symptoms, and there was no past medical history. Physical examination showed the temperature 36.8°C, pulse 86 bpm, respiration 16 bpm, and blood pressure 156/94 mmHg. A blood biochemical test and a tumor marker test showed no abnormalities: carcinoembryonic antigen 1.0 ng/ml, carbohydrate antigen 19–9 17.3 U/ml, soluble interleukin-2 receptor 279 U/ml, and neuron-specific enolase 13.9 ng/ml.

Computed tomography showed that the retroperitoneal tumor consisted of three circumscribed round and oval tumors extending craniocaudally. The tumor had slightly low density, compared with muscle, and an overall maximum diameter of 130 mm (Figs. 1 and 2). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed that the density inside the tumor was heterogeneous and consisted of two compartments. First compartment had a heterogeneous high signal intensity on T2-weighted imaging, with restricted diffusion and strong enhancement indicating a solid compartment. The other compartment had high signal intensity on T2-weighted imaging with no enhancement, indicating a cystic compartment (Figs. 3–6). Ultrasonography showed a circumscribed hyper-echoic mass with several echo lucent areas inside the mass, indicating a cystic component (Fig. 7). We suspected that it was a neurogenic tumor with cystic degeneration, mainly suspecting schwannoma. However, it was difficult to determine the origin of the tumor because there was no connection between the tumor and the foramen intervertebral. Since it was large, and exhibited strong, restricted diffusion, we performed open biopsy, considering the potential of malignancy (Fig. 8).

The pathologic diagnosis based upon hematoxylin and eosin staining showed two compartments with compact hypercellular Antoni A areas, and myxoid hypocellular Antoni B areas (Fig. 9). The immunohistopathologic findings were as follows: strongly positive for S-100 and negative for cytokeratin AE1/AE3, neurofilament, desmin, and α-smooth muscle actin (Fig. 10). The final pathologic diagnosis was schwannoma, and there was no malignancy. As there was no malignancy, and no evidence of other malignant tumors mimicking benign schwannoma, we chose to follow up the tumor closely.

3. Discussion

Primary retroperitoneal neoplasms are rare, and include tumors arising from major organs and/or arising beside major organs. If the tumor is huge, it is often difficult to even find the origin of the tumor, which can lead to misdiagnosis [9].

The findings that led us to suspect that the tumor was neurogenic are as follows: the tumor was a well-circumscribed spindle shape, extending along the nerve tracts, no calcification or subtle calcification, slightly low density compared with soft tissue on computed tomography because of the high density of myelin, including fat [10,11]. In reference to schwannoma in the retroperitoneal space, a connection between the tumor and foramen intervertebral is important to support the diagnosis, but a lack of evident connection is insufficient to deny the diagnosis of schwannoma [8]. The classical finding of schwannoma, called target sign, can be seen on MRI as a T2WI hyperintense rim surrounding a
Figs. 3-6 – Magnetic resonance imaging revealed that the tumor was heterogeneous, and that it consisted of two compartments: a compartment with heterogeneous, high signal intensity on T2-weighted imaging (Fig. 3) with restricted diffusion (Figs. 4 and 5) and strong enhancement (Fig. 6), indicating a solid compartment; and the other compartment with very high signal intensity on T2-weighted imaging with no enhancement, indicating a cystic compartment.

Fig. 7 – Ultrasonography showed a circumscribed hyperechoic mass with echo lucent inside the mass, indicating a cystic component.

central, low-signal area [12]. Fascicular sign characterized by multiple, small, ring-like structures with peripheral hyperintensity representing the fascicular bundles within the nerves is also seen on T2WI [11]. In our case, the shape and the size were round and oval, and the tumor roughly extended along the nerve tract, which supported the diagnosis of schwannoma. Typical findings, as “target signs” or “fascicular signs,” were not seen on MRI in our case.

While histological malignancy is also an important factor, in terms of malignancy, it must be taken into account that malignant schwannomas are extremely rare in patients without neurofibromatosis [6,7]. Findings on diffusion-weighted imaging have been reported to have the potential to differentiate pathologically malignant tumors from benign tumors. However, there have been very few reports concerning retroperitoneal schwannoma. In their report of diffusion-weighted imaging on peripheral nerve sheath tumors throughout the body, Demehri et al. reported that only minimum apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) was useful [13]. However, contrary to this, Chhabra et al. reported that minimum ADC was not useful [14]. Kim et al. reported that texture analysis of ADC can be useful to diagnose malignancy of myxoid-containing tumors, such as schwannoma [14]. Demehri et al. also reported that bigger tumor, ill-defined or infiltrative margins, peritumoral edema, and early arterial enhancement are factors that can predict malignancy. However, all malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors in their report occurred in patients with neurofibromatosis [13].
Recurrences after resection are also known to be an unfavorable course of schwannoma. Schwannoma recurrence often occurs when it has malignant potential pathologically [15–17]. After confirming malignancy in pathologic sections, the recurrence rate after marginal excision is 72%, compared with 11.7% after wide surgical resection [18]. Benign schwannomas also have the potential for recurrence if the resection is incomplete and the rate has been reported to be 5%–10% [19]. Therefore, if there is the possibility of malignancy, a clinically wide surgical margin will be needed. When there is very little possibility of malignancy, marginal or partial resection is not recommended.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we experienced a case of giant retroperitoneal schwannoma. When the tumor is large, if the patient has neither a history of neurofibromatosis nor any evidence of malignancy, close follow-up may be a treatment choice.
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MRI: 1.5 T Magnetom Avanto (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany).
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REFERENCES

[1] Wong CS, Chu TYC, Tam KF. Retroperitoneal schwannoma: a common tumour in an uncommon site. Hong Kong Med J 2010;16:66–8.

[2] Felix EL, Wood DK, Das Gupta TK. Tumors of the retroperitoneum. Curr Prob Cancer 1981;6:1–47.

[3] Okuyama T, Tagaya N, Saito K, Takahashi S, Shibusawa H, Oya M. Laparoscopic resection of a retroperitoneal pelvic schwannoma. J Surg Case Rep 2014;2014(1):rtj122.

[4] Pilavaki M, Chourmouzi D, Kiziridou A, Skordalakl A, Zarampouzas T, Dervelengas A. Imaging of peripheral nerve sheath tumours with pathologic correlation: pictorial review. Eur J Radiol 2004;52:229–39.

[5] Goh BK, Tan YM, Chung YF, Chow PK, Ooi LL, Wong WK. Retroperitoneal schwannoma. Am J Surg 2006;192:14–18.

[6] Lee EJ, Song KJ, Seo YS, Kim KS. A solitary malignant schwannoma in the choana and nasal septum. Case Rep Otolaryngol 2014;2014:202910.

[7] Dublin, AB, Dedo, HH, Bridger, WH. Intranasal computed schwannoma: magnetic resonance and computed tomography appearance. 1995;18:0–3.

[8] Theodosopoulos T, Stafyla VK, Tsiantoula P, Yiaillourou A, Marinis A, Kondi-Pafitis A, et al. Special problems encountering surgical management of large retroperitoneal schwannomas. World J Surg Oncol 2008;6:107.

[9] Nishino M, Hayakawa K, Minami M, Yamamoto A, Ueda H, Takasu K. Primary retroperitoneal neoplasms: CT and MR imaging findings with anatomic and pathologic diagnostic clues 1. Radiographics 2003;23:45–57.

[10] Moroni AL, Rigolini C, Faure C, Serra-Tosio G, Lefournier V. CT features of an unusual calcified schwannoma of the superior laryngeal nerve. Am J Neuroradiol 2007;28:981–2.

[11] Chee DWY, Peh WCG, Shek TWH. Pictorial essay: imaging of peripheral nerve sheath tumours. Can Assoc Radiol J 2011;62:176–82.

[12] Bhargava R, Parham DM, Lasater OE, Chari RS, Chen G, Fletcher BD. MR imaging differentiation of benign and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors: use of the target sign. Pediatr Radiol 1997;27:124–9.

[13] Chhabra A, Thakkar RS, Andreisek G, Chalian M, Belzberg AJ, Blakeley J, et al. Anatomic MR imaging and functional diffusion tensor imaging of peripheral nerve tumors and tumorlike conditions. Am J Neuroradiol 2013;34(4):802–7.

[14] Kim HS, Kim JH, Yoon YC, Choe BK. Tumor spatial heterogeneity in myxoid-containing soft tissue using texture analysis of diffusion-weighted MRI. PLoS One 2017;12(7):e0181339.

[15] Xu H, Sha N, Li HW, Bai M, Chen X, Hu HL, et al. A giant pelvic malignant schwannoma: a case report and literature review. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2015;8:15363–8.

[16] Kojima S, Satake I, Tsujii T, Tari K, Izuno O, Suzuki F, et al. Multiple malignant schwannoma treated with a multidisciplinary therapy—a case report. Gan No Rinsho 1990;36:393–44.

[17] Li Z-Q, Wang H-Y, Li J, Teng L. Recurrent retroperitoneal schwannomas displaying different differentiation from primary tumor: case report and literature review. World J Surg Oncol 2010. doi:10.1186/1477-7819-8-66.

[18] Das Gupta TK, Brassfield RD, Strong EW, Hajdu SI. Benign solitary schwannomas (neurilemomas). Cancer 1969;24(2):355–66.

[19] Song JY, Kim SY, Park EC, Kim CJ, Kim DG, Lee HK, et al. Schwannoma in the retroperitoneum. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2007;33:371–5.

[20] Chiang ER, Chang MC, Chen TH. Giant retroperitoneal schwannoma from the fifth lumbar nerve root with vertebral body osteolysis: a case report and literature review. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2009;129:495–9.

[21] Tsuchihara T, Nemoto K, Arino H, Amako M, Murakami H, Yoshizumi Y, et al. Sural nerve grafting for long defects of the femoral nerve after resection of a retroperitoneal tumour. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2008;90:1097–100.

[22] Kuriakose S, Vikram S, Salih S, Balasubramanian S, Mangalasseri Pareekutty N, Nayanar S, et al. Unique surgical issues in the management of a giant retroperitoneal schwannoma and brief review of literature. Case Rep Med 2014;2014:781347.

[23] Togral G, Arikan M, Hasturk AE, Gungor S, et al. Incidentally diagnosed giant invasive sacral schwannoma. Its clinical features and surgical management without stability. Neurosciences (Riyadh) 2014;19(3):224–8.

[24] Jankowski R, Szmeja J, Nowak S, Sokół B, Blok T. Giant schwannoma of the lumbar spine. A case report. Neurol Neurochir Pol 2010;44(1):91–5.

[25] Bunc G, Kramberger S, Kovacic S, Seruga T, Vorsic M. Recurrent giant invasive thoraco-lumbar schwannoma. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2004;116(Suppl 2):93–6.

[26] D’Andrea G, Sessa G, Picotti V, Raco A. One-step posterior and anterior combined approach for L5 retroperitoneal schwannoma eroding a lumbar vertebra. Case Rep Surg 2016;2016:1–7.

[27] Surendrababu NRS, Cherian SR, Janakiaraman R, Walter N. Large retroperitoneal schwannoma mimicking a cystic ovarian mass in a patient with Hansen’s disease. J Clin Ultrasound 2008;36:318–20.