Effect of Early Administration of Vitamin D on Clinical Outcome in Critically Ill Sepsis Patients: A Randomized Placebo-controlled Trial
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ABSTRACT

Background: Administration of vitamin D to unselected heterogeneous critically ill patients did not demonstrate outcome benefit. The current study was undertaken to identify if early administration of vitamin D can reduce intensive care unit (ICU) length of stay and improve clinical outcomes in critically ill patients with sepsis.

Methods: This single-center randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial was done in the ICU and emergency inpatient ward of a tertiary care teaching institute in New Delhi, India. A total of 126 adult patients aged 18 to 80 years of either sex diagnosed to have sepsis were included within 24 hours of admission to the hospital and randomized into vitamin D or placebo groups. The patients in the intervention group received vitamin D3 540,000 units dissolved in 45 mL of milk. The placebo group received 45 mL of milk.

Results: The median length of ICU stay (8 vs 9 days; p = 0.32), median length of hospital stay (12 vs 12 days; p = 0.33), median duration of vasopressors requirement (4 vs 3 days; p = 0.84), median duration of mechanical ventilation (5 vs 7 days; p = 0.23), requirement of tracheostomy (34 vs 39%; p = 0.71), and 90-day mortality (35 vs 46%; p = 0.29; HR 0.72 (0.42–1.24)) were similar in vitamin D and placebo arm.

A subgroup analysis in patients with severe vitamin D deficiency (vitamin D <12 ng/mL) revealed a significantly decreased incidence of tracheostomy (28 vs 57%; p = 0.04), a trend toward decreased 90-day mortality (34 vs 66%; p = 0.08; HR 0.44 (0.19–1.01)), and duration of mechanical ventilation (6 vs 11 days; p = 0.05) in patients receiving vitamin D.

Conclusion: Administration of large-dose vitamin D within 24 hours of admission does not reduce the length of ICU stay in critically ill sepsis patients.
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Introduction

Most cells of the body including the immunoregulatory cells have vitamin D receptors (VDR), as well as the enzymes responsible for hydroxylation and activation of vitamin D. Vitamin D regulates gene expression, cellular proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis in various tissues. It also influences the production and differentiation of T and B cells and modulates immunoglobulin production. Vitamin D deficiency is common in critically ill patients with sepsis and has been associated with increased mortality. However, it is still unclear whether vitamin D supplementation can improve clinical outcomes. Although a few large randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in heterogeneous intensive care unit (ICU) patients failed to demonstrate any clinical benefit of administration of vitamin D, early supplementation of vitamin D appears to have a sound theoretical basis, especially in the vulnerable sepsis subpopulation. This study was planned to identify if early administration of vitamin D can reduce ICU length of stay and improve clinical outcomes in critically ill patients with sepsis.

Methods

Study Design and Setting

This single-center randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial was performed in the intensive care unit and emergency inpatient ward of a tertiary care teaching institute in New Delhi, India.

Ethics Approval and Trial Registration

Institute ethics committee approval was obtained (IECPG-239/28.09.2018, RT-39/30.08.2018), and the study protocol was registered in the clinical trial registry of India (www.ctri.nic.in; Reference number CTRI/2019/12/022537).
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Study Population
All eligible adult patients aged 18 to 80 years of either sex diagnosed to have sepsis based on sepsis 3 definition were considered for inclusion in the study. Written informed consent was obtained from patients or legally acceptable representatives (LAR) before inclusion.

The following were the inclusion criteria: age 18 to 80 years, presented to the emergency department and expected to be admitted to the ICU and survive for more than 96 hours; meets criteria for the definition of sepsis in last 12 hours; enteral access in place; and able to tolerate enteral drug administration. Exclusion criteria were as follows: history of malabsorption syndromes; gastrointestinal intolerance (diarrhea, vomiting, or increased nasogastric aspirates); pregnancy or lactating mother; other trial participation; patients not deemed suitable for study participation (i.e., psychiatric disease, living remotely from the center, or prisoner status); hospice, or palliative care status; history of documented adverse reaction to vitamin D supplementation; renal stones/hypercalcemia within the past 1 year; baseline serum calcium ≥10.5 mg/dL or ionized calcium >5.2 mg/dL; established diagnosis of a medical condition associated with a high risk of hypercalcemia (e.g. metastatic cancer, sarcoidosis, multiple myeloma, primary hyperparathyroidism); history of therapy with high-dose vitamin D3 (greater than or equal to 50,000 IU/week) within previous 6 months; chronic maintenance dialysis, more than 24 hours of sepsis diagnosis; and allergy to dairy products.

Randomization, Blinding, and Intervention
A web-based randomization sequence was generated from http://www.randomizer.org, and a randomization block of 6 was used to divide the population into intervention and placebo groups. The patients in the intervention group received vitamin D3 540,000 units dissolved in 45 mL of milk. The placebo group received 45 mL of milk. The treating physicians, investigators, and the patients remained blinded. The drug or placebo was prepared by a nurse involved in the clinical care of the patient in a separate room and administered to the patients in an identical opaque syringe. Drug or placebo was administered either orally or via enteral access. Randomization was performed within 24 hours of hospital presentation, and study/placebo was administered within 2 hours of randomization.

Baseline and Outcomes Parameters
Baseline parameters were (i) demographic and admission data (including age, sex, height, weight, body mass index); (ii) pertinent medical history; (iii) history of chronic cardiac or pulmonary illnesses; (iv) home vitamin D and calcium supplementation; and (v) pregnancy test for women of reproductive age, if not performed in routine clinical care.

The primary outcome was the ICU length of stay, and secondary outcomes were 90-day mortality, hospital length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, duration of vasopressor requirement, change in procalcitonin level from baseline to day 5, change in sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score from baseline to day 5, and change in 25-(OH)-cholecalciferol level from baseline to day 5 assessed by chemiluminescence immunoassay.

Safety parameters were changed in calcium level from baseline to day 5 and assessment for kidney stones, fall-related fractures, and symptomatic hypercalcemia requiring treatment on the 90-day follow-up.

Data Collection
The treating team and data collection team for our study participants were separate and both teams along with the patients themselves were blinded. The data collection team collected relevant baseline data on study enrolment. Clinical outcome parameters were collected by daily chart review. Procalcitonin levels were assessed on day 1 and day 5 at the same point of care unit. Samples for 25-cholecalciferol were collected at baseline and day 5 and stored. These samples were analyzed at the end of the study. The post-discharge assessment was conducted by telephonic interview.

Sample Size Estimation and Statistical Analysis
As per the database of the past 2 years of sepsis patients in our ICU, the mean length of ICU stay was 10 ± 4 days. Considering a decrease in ICU stay of 2 days in the intervention group to be significant, the sample size calculated with a confidence interval of 95%, 80% power of the study and a 1:1 enrolment ratio for intervention and placebo was 126 with 63 in each group. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 26.0 (IBM Corp, USA). It was performed based on the intention to treat principle. There were <1% missing data. Baseline demographic data were represented in mean and standard deviation. Outcomes like the length of ICU stay, length of hospital stay, duration of vasopressors, and duration of mechanical ventilation were compared using Mann–Whitney test and represented in median and range. We used a log-rank test to compare mortality at 90 days between the two arms. Mann–Whitney Tests were used to compare the SOFA score, vitamin D levels, calcium levels, and procalcitonin levels at baseline and day 5. The change from baseline to day 5 was calculated using paired t-tests. We did a further subgroup analysis for patients with severe vitamin D deficiency (vitamin D level <12 ng/mL). We constructed Kaplan-Meier survival analysis curves to evaluate the 90-day survival in the intention to treat population and in the subgroups with and without severe vitamin D deficiency.

Results
Baseline and Demographic Data
We assessed 533 patients for trial eligibility. Three hundred and ninety-seven patients were excluded due to various reasons. Among them, 21 patients satisfied inclusion criteria but they were excluded as consent could not be obtained within 22 hours of admission due to patients not being fit to provide consent and nonavailability of attendants, and finally, 126 patients were randomized within 24 hours of presentation to the hospital (Flowchart 1). No patient was lost to follow-up, and all 126 patients were included in the primary analysis. The median time to randomization was 6 hours in the study. The vitamin D and placebo arms were both similar in terms of demographic and baseline data (Table 1). The most common source of sepsis was hospital-acquired pneumonia (23.8%) in the vitamin D group and abdominal sepsis in the placebo group (22.2%).

Outcome Parameters
Median length of ICU stay was 8 days in the vitamin D arm and 9 days in the placebo arm (p = 0.32). Median length of hospital stay, median duration of vasopressors requirement, median duration of
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vitamin D supplementation. There was no report of fall-related fracture, kidney stones, or symptomatic hypercalcemia requiring treatment in the 90 days of follow-up.

Discussion

In this randomized placebo-controlled trial performed in 126 critically ill patients with sepsis, large-dose vitamin D administration did not reduce the length of ICU stay, length of hospital stay, days on mechanical ventilation or vasopressor, and 90-day mortality.

We failed to find any significant difference in the length of ICU stay in both intention to treat the population as well as the population with severe vitamin D deficiency (vitamin D levels <12 ng/mL). Although the median ICU stay in the vitamin D group was shorter by a day than the placebo group, it was statistically and perhaps clinically insignificant. We were unable to demonstrate any significant difference between the two groups with regard to secondary outcomes, like the length of hospital stay, 90-day mortality, duration of mechanical ventilation, and duration of vasopressor use. However, a trend toward reduced 90-day mortality was observed in the vitamin D arm in the subgroup with severe vitamin D deficiency.

The OFA scores were similar between the treatment and placebo arm on day 1 (p = 0.93) and day 5 (p = 0.80). There was a 33% decrease in the SOFA score in the vitamin D arm and 20% decrease in the placebo arm from day 1 to day 5. The mean vitamin D level, as measured on admission, was 12.05 ng/mL in the vitamin D group and 15.47 ng/mL in the placebo arm (p = 0.09). In the vitamin D arm, on day 5, the mean vitamin D level rose to 17.73 ng/mL registering a 51% rise whereas the mean vitamin D level in the placebo group was 16.4 on day 5 with an increase of 5.26% (Table 4).

Adverse Effects of Vitamin D

Following ICU discharge, 13% of patients in the treatment group and 10% of patients in the placebo group continued to receive vitamin D supplementation. There was no report of fall-related fracture, kidney stones, or symptomatic hypercalcemia requiring treatment in the 90 days of follow-up.

Flowchart 1: CONSORT diagram

Exclusions:
- 132 patients were expected to die within 24 hours
- 123 patients: enteral intake not possible
- 37 patients were pregnant on presentation
- 23 patients: on chronic dialysis
- 22 patients: aged > 80 years
- 23 patients: history of renal stones
- 21 patients: consent could not be obtained from patient or proxy within 22 hours of onset of sepsis
- 14 patients: died before ICU admission
- 12 patients: history of intake of high-dose vitamin D in previous 6 months

533 patients assessed for eligibility

126 patients underwent randomization

63 patients randomized to vitamin D arm
- 0 patients lost to follow-up
- 63 patients included in primary analysis

63 patients randomized to placebo arm
- 0 patients lost to follow-up
- 63 patients included in primary analysis

Mechanical ventilation, requirement of tracheostomy, and 90-day mortality were not significantly different between the groups (Table 2).

We performed survival analysis of our study population using Kaplan–Meier curve (Fig. 1A). A subgroup analysis of outcome variables was performed in patients with severe vitamin D deficiency (vitamin D levels <12 ng/mL) similar to VITdAL-ICU trial in view of literature evidence of increased rickets and osteomalacia in patients with vitamin D <12 ng/mL. In this subgroup, a significantly decreased incidence of tracheostomy, a trend toward decreased 90-day mortality and duration of mechanical ventilation, was observed in patients receiving vitamin D (Table 3 and Fig. 1B). However, there was no difference in outcomes between the treatment and placebo arm in the subgroup with mild/no vitamin D deficiency (vitamin D levels ≥12 ng/mL; Table 3 and Fig. 1C).

The SOFA scores were similar between the treatment and placebo arm on day 1 (p = 0.93) and day 5 (p = 0.80). There was a 33% decrease in the SOFA score in the vitamin D arm and 20% decrease in the placebo arm from day 1 to day 5. The mean vitamin D level, as measured on admission, was 12.05 ng/mL in the vitamin D group and 15.47 ng/mL in the placebo arm (p = 0.09). In the vitamin D arm, on day 5, the mean vitamin D level rose to 17.73 ng/mL registering a 51% rise whereas the mean vitamin D level in the placebo group was 16.4 on day 5 with an increase of 5.26% (Table 4).

Similar results were also
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In the current study, vitamin D was administered early through the enteral route, and only clinical endpoints were assessed. The patient population in the present study was significantly sicker than the previous studies. In the present study, 62% of patients in the study group and 60% in the control group were in shock at presentation (55 and 53%, respectively, in study and control groups in the VITdAL-ICU trial and 31.4 and 32.8%, respectively, in the VIOLET trial). The median SOFA scores in our study were 8 and 10 for the study and control groups, respectively. The VIOLET trial on the contrary had median SOFA scores of 5.6 and 5.4 in the study and control groups, respectively.

The study was halted after the first interim analysis for futility. They were unable to detect any difference in hospital mortality in the 1,360 patients recruited. However, both the VITdAL-ICU and VIOLET trials had recruited heterogeneous ICU populations while we recruited only sepsis patients as we thought that the immunomodulatory role of vitamin D would be more significant, and thereby more beneficial in patients with sepsis. In a prior RCT conducted in critically ill sepsis patients, administration of single-dose intravenous calcitriol 2 µg had mixed effects on markers of inflammation and innate immunity. However, it was a small study conducted in 67 patients only and calcitriol was not administered early. Moreover, it was primarily a physiological study aimed at finding the differences in biomarkers rather than clinical outcomes. In the current study, vitamin D was administered early through the enteral route, and only clinical endpoints were assessed.

The patient population in the present study was significantly sicker than the previous studies. In the present study, 62% of patients in the study group and 60% in the control group were in shock at presentation (55 and 53%, respectively, in study and control groups in the VITdAL-ICU trial and 31.4 and 32.8%, respectively, in the VIOLET trial). The median SOFA scores in our study were 8 and 10 for the study and control groups, respectively. The VIOLET trial on the contrary had median SOFA scores of 5.6 and 5.4 in the study and control groups.

Table 1: Demographic and baseline characteristics of the patients

| Parameters                               | Vitamin D3 (n = 63) | Placebo (n = 63) | Significance (p value) |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Age (mean ± SD)                          | 41.95 ± 19.42       | 44.37 ± 16.98    | 0.336¹                |
| Female [number (%)]                      | 34 (53.97)          | 30 (47.62)       | 0.593²                |
| Weight (mean ± SD)                       | 71.95 ± 15.18       | 69.40 ± 16.43    | 0.171¹                |
| Height (mean ± SD)                       | 157.18 ± 2.99       | 158.89 ± 4.06    | 0.265¹                |
| BMI (mean ± SD)                          | 29.12 ± 6.04        | 27.40 ± 6.09     | 0.114¹                |
| Cause of sepsis [number (%)]             |                     |                  |                       |
| HAP                                      | 15 (23.81)          | 11 (17.46)       | 0.51²                 |
| Urosepsis                                | 3 (4.76)            | 7 (11.11)        | 0.323²                |
| Puerperal sepsis                         | 4 (6.35)            | 6 (9.52)         | 0.744²                |
| Abdominal sepsis                         | 13 (20.63)          | 14 (22.22)       | 1.0²                  |
| CAP                                      | 9 (14.29)           | 7 (11.11)        | 0.79²                 |
| Skin and soft tissue infection           | 7 (11.11)           | 4 (6.35)         | 0.53²                 |
| Acute febrile illness                    | 1 (1.59)            | 0 (0)            | 1.0²                  |
| Fournier’s gangrene                      | 1 (1.59)            | 0 (0)            | 1.0²                  |
| Tropical fever                           | 5 (7.94)            | 8 (12.70)        | 0.56²                 |
| Mediastinitis                            | 1 (1.59)            | 0 (0)            | 1.0²                  |
| Meningitis                               | 1 (1.59)            | 1 (1.59)         | 1.0²                  |
| Pyopericardium                           | 1 (1.59)            | 0 (0)            | 1.0²                  |
| Empyema                                  | 1 (1.59)            | 1 (1.59)         | 1.0²                  |
| Bone and joint infection                 | 1 (1.59)            | 3                | 0.619²                |
| Infective endocarditis                   | 0 (0)               | 1 (1.59)         | 1.0²                  |
| Presence of shock [number (%)]           | 39 (61.9)           | 38 (60.32)       | 1.0²                  |
| Chronic pulmonary diseases [number (%)]  | 18 (28.57)          | 20 (31.74)       | 0.846²                |
| Chronic cardiac diseases [number (%)]    | 22 (34.92)          | 22 (34.92)       | 1.0²                  |

¹Mann–Whitney test; ²Fisher’s exact test

Table 2: Outcome parameters in the total study population

| Outcome parameters                        | Vitamin D (n = 63) | Placebo (n = 63) | p value | HR (95% CI) |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|
| Length of ICU stay [median (range)]       | 8 (3–32)          | 9 (2–72)        | 0.32¹   |             |
| 90 days mortality [number (%)]            | 23 (35.94)        | 30 (46.88)      | 0.29¹   | 0.717 (0.416–1.238) |
| Length of hospital stay [median (range)]  | 12 (3–56)         | 12 (3–72)       | 0.33¹   |             |
| Duration of vasopressors [median (range)] | 4 (0–20)          | 3 (0–23)        | 0.84¹   | —           |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation [median (range)] | 5 (0–25) | 7 (0–68) | 0.23¹ |             |
| Tracheostomy [number (%)]                 | 22 (34.92)        | 25 (39.68)      | 0.71²   |             |
| Supplemental calcium [number (%)]         | 20 (31.75)        | 25 (39.68)      | 0.46²   |             |

¹Mann–Whitney test; ²Fisher’s exact test; ³Log-rank test

found in the VIOLET trial performed by the PETAL Clinical Trials Network group. The study was halted after the first interim analysis for futility. They were unable to detect any difference in hospital mortality in the 1,360 patients recruited. However, both the VITdAL-ICU and VIOLET trials had recruited heterogeneous ICU populations while we recruited only sepsis patients as we thought that the immunomodulatory role of vitamin D would be more significant, and thereby more beneficial in patients with sepsis. In a prior RCT conducted in critically ill sepsis patients, administration of single-dose intravenous calcitriol 2 µg had mixed effects on markers of inflammation and innate immunity. However, it was a small study conducted in 67 patients only and calcitriol was not administered early. Moreover, it was primarily a physiological study aimed at finding the differences in biomarkers rather than clinical outcomes. In the current study, vitamin D was administered early through the enteral route, and only clinical endpoints were assessed.

The patient population in the present study was significantly sicker than the previous studies. In the present study, 62% of patients in the study group and 60% in the control group were in shock at presentation (55 and 53%, respectively, in study and control groups in the VITdAL-ICU trial and 31.4 and 32.8%, respectively, in the VIOLET trial). The median SOFA scores in our study were 8 and 10 for the study and control groups, respectively. The VIOLET trial on the contrary had median SOFA scores of 5.6 and 5.4 in the study and control groups.
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Table 3: Outcome parameters in subgroups with or without severe vitamin D deficiency

| Outcome parameters                        | Vitamin D (n = 32) | Placebo (n = 21) | p value | HR (95% CI) |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------|-------------|
| **Outcomes in patients with severe vitamin D deficiency (Vitamin D <12 ng/mL)** |                    |                  |         |             |
| Length of ICU stay [median (range)]       | 8 (3–27)           | 12 (2–72)        | 0.18    | 1           |
| 90 days mortality [number (%)]            | 11 (34.38)         | 14 (66.66)       | 0.087\(^2\) | 0.449 (0.198–1.017) |
| Length of hospital stay [median (range)]  | 12 (3–45)          | 12 (3–72)        | 0.36    | 1           |
| Duration of vasopressors [median (range)] | 4 (0–20)           | 5 (0–23)         | 0.28    | 1           |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation [median (range)] | 6 (0–24)       | 11 (0–68)        | 0.058\(^1\) |              |
| Tracheostomy [number (%)]                 | 9 (28.13)          | 12 (57.14)       | 0.047\(^2\) |              |
| Supplemental calcium [number (%)]         | 14 (43.75)         | 10 (47.62)       | 1.0\(^2\) |              |
| **Outcomes in patients without severe vitamin D deficiency (vitamin D ≥12 ng/mL)** |                    |                  |         |             |
| Length of ICU stay [median (range)]       | 7 (3–32)           | 9 (3–50)         | 0.76\(^1\) |              |
| 90 days mortality [number (%)]            | 12 (38.71)         | 16 (38.10)       | 0.95\(^3\) | 0.951 (0.446–2.027) |
| Length of hospital stay [median (range)]  | 10 (5–56)          | 12 (4–67)        | 0.61\(^1\) |              |
| Duration of vasopressors [median (range)] | 4 (0–19)           | 3 (0–20)         | 0.82\(^1\) |              |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation [median (range)] | 5 (0–25)     | 6 (0–44)         | 0.75\(^1\) |              |
| Tracheostomy [number (%)]                 | 13 (41.94)         | 13 (30.95)       | 0.46\(^2\) |              |
| Supplemental calcium [number (%)]         | 6 (19.36)          | 15 (35.71)       | 0.191\(^2\) |              |

\(^1\)Mann–Whitney test; \(^2\)Fisher’s exact test; \(^3\)Log-rank test
Table 4: Change of parameters from day 1 to day 5

| Parameters | Day 1 | Day 5 | % change from D1 to D5 (median [range]; p value$^1$) |
|------------|------|------|-------------------------------------------------|
| SOFA (median range) | | | |
| Vitamin D (ng/mL) | $12.05 \pm 6.19$ | $15.47 \pm 10.58$ | $0.096$ | $17.73 \pm 7.57$ | $16.4 \pm 13.47$ | $0.03$ | $50.79 (-32.42, 309.38)$; $0.0000$ | $5.26 (-91.07, 116.07)$; $0.11$ |
| Ionized Calcium (mmol/L) | $1.11 \pm 0.15$ | $1.18 \pm 0.11$ | $0.0001$ | $1.23 \pm 0.18$ | $1.26 \pm 0.29$ | $0.95$ | $9.09 (-18.18, 120.0)$; $<0.0000$ | $7.7 (-34.55, 162.5)$; $0.06$ |
| Procalcitonin (ng/mL) | $5.79 \pm 5.31$ | $5.61 \pm 5.82$ | $0.66$ | $4.72 \pm 6.31$ | $5.09 \pm 6.13$ | $0.66$ | $-26.83 (-98.75, 2900.0)$; $0.14$ | $-22.22 (-98.28, 1700.0)$; $0.43$ |

1 Mann–Whitney test; 2 Paired t-test

control groups, respectively. This is reflected in the higher mortality that was noted in both the treatment and placebo arm (35.94 and 46.88%, respectively) in the present study, compared to both the arms of the VIOLET trial (23.5 and 20.6%, respectively). It is possible that vitamin D administration in early sepsis, before the onset of organ dysfunction, may yield more favorable outcomes. It was therefore planned to recruit patients in early sepsis by aiming for early randomization and drug or placebo administration. However, many patients in the current study had organ dysfunction at presentation which could have influenced the efficacy of vitamin D.

In the current study, vitamin D levels in the intervention arm appeared to be significantly lower than in other studies. In the VITdAL-ICU trial, the mean day 3 vitamin D level was 33.5 (SD 18.7) ng/mL, compared to the median day 5 level 17.73 ± 7.57 ng/mL in the current study. Despite a statistically significant percent increase reported from day 1 to day 5 value in the intervention group, vitamin D level was still low. This could be due to poor absorption as a majority of the patients were in shock with organ dysfunction at presentation. Severe ongoing vitamin D deficiency in the recruited patients and reduced efficiency of the preparation of vitamin D used could be other contributory factors. Moreover, failure of augmentation of vitamin D levels could have affected the study outcomes. A mild nonsignificant increase in vitamin D level in the control group may be considered a chance phenomenon.

Unlike the previous studies, we did not screen our patients for a vitamin D level and enrolled patients into the study irrespective of their baseline vitamin D levels. There were many reasons for this. Firstly, a previous study in our center reported that 75% of the patients were deficient and had vitamin D levels <30 ng/mL. This is similar to other studies performed on critically ill patients. This led us to conclude that measuring vitamin D levels before supplementation would not translate to pragmatic ICU practice. Secondly, there have been reports in the literature that the serum vitamin D levels are subject to diurnal variations and a single random value may not be representative of the actual picture. Thirdly, we have no data on optimum levels of vitamin D for critically ill patients in the Indian population. Besides, we did not want to miss out the patients who might go on to develop a deficiency in the course of their ICU stay and hence might be missed by a screening vitamin D level. We, however, performed a subgroup analysis of the most severely deficient patients (vitamin D levels <12 ng/mL) and observed a trend toward reduced 90-day mortality with vitamin D supplementation in this subpopulation, but failed to find any significant difference in other outcomes.

The immunomodulatory role of vitamin D in sepsis is not direct but rather an indirect effect brought about by genomic modulation. As such it takes at least 48 hours for the absorption, metabolism, and initiation of these cellular-level effects. Therefore, maximum benefit from supplementation could only be realized if we administer it early. We aimed to randomize our patients within 24 hours of hospital presentation with the administration of drug or placebo within the subsequent 2 hours. We exceeded this time limit in only one patient in the control group. The median duration from hospital admission to time of randomization in our study was 6 hours. The VIOLET trial also enrolled patients early in their ICU stay but in the VITdAL-ICU trial, the mean duration before study enrolment was as long as 3 days.

The safety and efficacy of a single large bolus dose of vitamin D have been extensively studied. Kearns et al. performed a meta-analysis and concluded that large single dosages of vitamin D consistently increased the serum values to optimum levels. Amrein et al. found that a large single dose of 540,000 U of vitamin D given to vitamin D deficient patients was able to increase the levels to normal range within 2 days and was not associated with any adverse effects, like hypercalcemia, hyperphosphatemia, or calciuria. In our study, although the baseline vitamin D levels were not different, at day 5, there was a significant difference between the treatment and control groups ($p = 0.03$). There was a median change of 50.71% from baseline vitamin D levels in the study group by day 5. An increase in ionized calcium levels was observed in both treatment and control groups, perhaps due to immobilization and bone resorption as are commonly seen in critically ill patients. However, none of the patients developed symptomatic hyperkalemia or hyperphosphatemia requiring treatment in the 90 days follow-up.

Since cutaneous vitamin D synthesis is sunlight dependent, it is often concluded that people with tropical sun exposure have higher levels of this hormone by default. This, however, may be a gross oversimplification as evidenced by the high levels of vitamin D deficiency in tropical countries, like Brazil and India. This underscores the importance of exploring the possible therapeutic role of an economical therapy like vitamin D supplementation.

Although a large number of studies have observed deficiency of vitamin D in critically ill patients, most studies including this
have not been able to report any benefit on supplementation of vitamin D. This could be due to many reasons. Firstly, we could be looking at the wrong endpoint. Length of ICU stay was the primary outcome in the current study as well as in the VITdAL-ICU trial, whereas in the VIOLET trial 90-day mortality was the primary outcome. However, as Martucci et al. stated in their post hoc analysis of the VITdAL-ICU trial, the pleiotropic effects of vitamin D are brought about by genetic modulation and may take up to 7 days to come into effect. Thus any patient in the study group with a length of ICU stay less than 7 days might not have derived any benefits from vitamin D administration. In the study group, we had 12 such patients accounting for 19% of the treatment arm population. Secondly, low vitamin D levels may be an associated finding rather than a cause of adverse outcomes, and hence treating it might not lead to better patient outcomes.

Considering the plethora of preclinical data available on the beneficial immunomodulatory role of vitamin D, we feel that we are probably missing some key ingredient or practice that will translate these theoretical benefits to positive clinical outcomes. Larger, perhaps methodologically modified studies may in fact shed further light in this area.

**Strengths and Limitations**

There are various strengths of our study. Firstly, to the best of our knowledge, this was the first RCT exploring the possible benefits of vitamin D supplementation in septic ICU patients. Our treatment and placebo groups were well matched at baseline with adequate blinding of personnel. There was no data loss to follow-up. To prevent co-interventions, none of the study participants received supplemental vitamin D during the ICU stay. We were, however, not able to extend this stipulation for the duration of the entire follow-up. In post-ICU discharge, 13% of the participants in the study group and 10% of the participants in the placebo group received vitamin D. Administration of supplemental calcium, however, was left to the discretion of the treating physician, and 20% of the treatment group and 25% of the placebo group received supplemental calcium.

There were some limitations in our study. We did not obtain the parathyroid hormone level or any objective assessment of urinary calcium excretion. Physical outcome parameters like handgrip and muscle strength were not assessed. We had decided on 90-day mortality as one of our long-term secondary outcomes. Considering the immunomodulatory role of vitamin D and its possible role in preventing post-intensive care syndrome (PICS), mortality might have been a rather overreaching secondary outcome. Although the current study was adequately powered, it was not designed to detect mortality differences. However, a trend toward reduced 90-day mortality in severe vitamin D deficiency (<12 ng/mL) subgroup seems encouraging and suggests the need for a larger RCT in these groups of patients.

**Conclusion**

To conclude, vitamin D deficiency is prevalent in critically ill patients with sepsis. However, large-dose vitamin D supplementation within 24 hours of admission in these patients does not decrease the length of ICU stay or improve other clinical outcomes.
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