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The COVID-19 pandemic lockdown causes a decrease in the number of cases of other infectious diseases in Poland
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a causative agent of the ongoing pandemic. It can be transmitted among people via respiratory droplets generated while talking, coughing, or sneezing by an infected person. There is no known specific treatment or vaccine to prevent the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). The most effective way of limiting the spread of the virus is physical distancing combined with proper respiratory and hand hygiene. Many countries, including Poland, introduced restrictions in everyday life to control the pandemic. However, it has not been examined how these measures change the incidence of other infectious diseases.

Material and methods: Data from biweekly reports on infectious diseases and poisonings published by National Institute of Public Health – National Institute of Hygiene (Narodowy Instytut Zdrowia Publicznego – Państwowy Zakład Higieny) were collected. The incidence of common and serious infectious diseases in the period 1.01.2020 to 15.05.2020 was evaluated and compared to the corresponding period from the year 2019.

Results: A significant drop in the number of reported infectious diseases can be seen in the middle and at the end of March. These observations correlate with the time of introducing social distancing in Poland. The total number of reported cases, with the exception of pertussis, in the examined period is lower than in the year 2019.

Conclusions: The results indicate that keeping physical distance and proper hygiene undoubtedly reduce the transmission of various infectious agents among people. However, there a long-term study is needed to observe trends in incidence of selected infectious diseases. It can be assumed that before the pandemic Polish society was not aware of the importance of social distancing and did not make use of hygiene as a method of infectious disease prevention. Further education should be continued and intensified.
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INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a contagious disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). It was first reported in China as a severe pneumonia of unknown origin at the end of 2019. Within a few weeks the pathogen was identified as a novel coronavirus [1]. As the disease has been spreading globally, the World Health Organization declared the outbreak a pandemic on 11 March 2020 [2].

Infectious diseases can be transmitted in different modes. One of the classifications distinguishes direct (direct contact, droplet spread) and indirect (airborne, vehicle-borne, vector-borne) modes. [3] The knowledge about modes of transmission of infectious diseases is necessary to prevent them. Introducing various interventions
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that break the chain of infection contribute to the reduction of the number of infected patients. These measures can be specific, like immunisations, or non-specific. Intuitively, general preventive measures include separation and treatment of infected individuals, quarantine of people that have a high risk of having been infected, social distancing, hand hygiene (frequent handwashing with soap and water, rubbing hands with an alcohol-based solution), respiratory hygiene (covering mouth and nose while sneezing or coughing, using disposable tissues, throwing away used tissues), avoiding touching the mouth, nose, and eyes before handwashing, ensuring frequent room ventilation, avoiding animal bites, limiting exposure to contaminated sand, soil, and water, proper food preparation, cleaning, sanitising, and disinfecting surfaces, and wearing personal protective equipment (PPE) (gloves, gowns, masks) [4, 5]. During the ongoing pandemic it has been proven that keeping a physical distance of one metre or more, using N95 or similar face masks, and eye protection significantly decreases SARS-CoV-2 transmission in health-care and community settings [6]. It has also been determined that lockdown measures introduced in China contributed to a decrease in the growth rate and an increase in the doubling time of cases of COVID-19 [7]. Earlier studies show that handwashing, barrier measures, and suspicion diagnosis with isolation of likely cases are useful in reducing transmission of viral respiratory diseases [5]. Although isolation of infected patients is obvious, quarantining of probably ill individuals may be controversial as it can lead to economic, social and psychological consequences. It was established that quarantine can be profitable when isolation is ineffective, the disease can be spread by asymptomatic people and the asymptomatic period is neither very long nor very short [8]. Another questionable control measure in limiting the spread of infectious diseases is screening travellers on entry and exit points. This method has many limitations, and its impact on detecting affected individuals is difficult to assess. However, it can have indirect positive outcomes, such as educating travellers or discouraging migration of ill people [9].

The aim of this study was to evaluate if the general lockdown, introduced by the Polish government, had any impact on the prevalence of common and serious infectious diseases.

MATeRIAL AND METHoDS

The data were collected from biweekly reports on cases of infectious diseases and poisonings in Poland published by National Institute of Public Health – National Institute of Hygiene (Narodowy Instytut Zdrowia Publicznego – Państwowy Zakład Higieny, NIZP – PZH) [10]. The number of patients suffering from infectious diseases was examined and compared before and after the introduction of social restrictions. The results were compared with corresponding data from the year 2019. The examined diseases include the following: viral gastrointestinal infections, indefinite diarrhoea and gastroenteritis, hepatitis A, invasive meningococcal disease, invasive *Streptococcus pyogenes* disease, invasive pneumococcal disease, Lyme disease, contact and exposure to rabies, pertussis, scarlet fever, rubella, mumps, chickenpox, and influenza.

These diseases are characterised by different modes of transmission, incubation periods, communicability periods, and possibilities of asymptomatic carriage (Table 1). The selected diseases include common and serious infections, important in the everyday practice of paediatricians.

The data are incomplete because State Sanitary Inspection (Powiatowa Stacja Sanitarno-Epidemiologiczna – PSSE), Wroclaw reported only SARS-CoV-2 infections during the period 16.04.2020 – 15.05.2020 [10].

RESULTS

The general lockdown caused a decrease in the spread of the majority of other infections. There are only slight differences in the number of cases of invasive meningococcal disease, which can be fortuitous. The number of reported infectious diseases declines in the middle or at the end of March, which corresponds to the dates of introduced restrictions and incubation periods of different diseases. The general number of infected patients is lower than during a comparable period in the year 2019, with the exception of pertussis.

The number of weeks in the tables and figures corresponds to the following calendar weeks.

There was a 63%, 69%, and 67% drop in the average number of new cases of viral gastrointestinal infections, diarrhoea and gastroenteritis, and hepatitis A, respectively, during weeks 11–18 compared to weeks 1–10 of the year 2020 (Fig. 1).

There was a 53%, 45%, and 61% drop in the average number of new cases of invasive meningococcal disease, invasive *S. pyogenes* disease, and invasive pneumococcal disease, respectively, during weeks 11–18 compared to weeks 1–10 of the year 2020 (Fig. 2).

There was an 81%, 82%, 79%, 74%, and 72% drop in the number of weeks in the tables and figures corresponds to the following calendar weeks.

There was an 81%, 82%, 79%, 74%, and 72% drop in the average number of new cases of invasive meningococcal disease, invasive *S. pyogenes* disease, and invasive pneumococcal disease, respectively, during weeks 11–18 compared to weeks 1–10 of the year 2020 (Fig. 3).

A similar tendency can be observed regarding influenza. There was a decrease in the number of reported cases in all Polish voivodeships [11]. The decline can be observed at the beginning and in the middle of March (week 9–10) because influenza has short incubation period (Table 1).
TABLE 1. Aetiology, modes of transmission, incubation period, communicability period and methods of prevention of selected infectious diseases [4]

| Disease | Aetiology | Transmission | Incubation period | Communicability period | Prevention |
|---------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Viral gastrointestinal infections | a) rotavirus  
  b) norovirus  
  c) sapovirus  
  c) adenovirus | a) faecal-oral route, contaminated surfaces  
  b) faecal-oral route, vomitus-oral route, contaminated surfaces and aerosolised vomitus, contaminated food/water  
  c) faecal-oral route | a) < 48 hours  
  b) 12 to 48 hours  
  c) 3–10 days | a) several days before and after the onset of the symptoms  
  b) usually starts with the onset of the symptoms and persists for about 4 weeks or more  
  c) during the first days of illness, but can last for months | Vaccine for rotaviruses  
  General preventive measures |
| Hepatitis A | Hepatitis A virus | Faecal-oral route  
  Sexual transmission | 15–50 days | 7–14 days before jaundice or elevation of liver enzymes and continues up to one week after the onset of jaundice | Vaccine  
  Postexposure prophylaxis  
  General preventive measures |
| Invasive meningococcal disease | Neisseria meningitidis | Droplet infection (requires close contact)  
  Asymptomatic colonisation of the upper Respiratory tract | 1–10 days | Up to 24 hours after initiation of effective therapy | Vaccine  
  Postexposure chemoprophylaxis  
  General preventive measures |
| Invasive S. pyogenes disease | Streptococcus pyogenes | Direct contact (skin/ mucous membranes) rarely preceded by GAS pharyngitis  
  Asymptomatic colonization of pharynx, skin, anus, and vagina | Not known | Low risk of secondary cases | General preventive measures |
| Invasive pneumococcal disease | Streptococcus pneumoniae | Droplet infection  
  Asymptomatic nasopharyngeal colonisation | Not known | Unknown  
  Probably up to 24 hours after initiation of effective therapy  
  May be as long as the bacteria is present in respiratory tract secretions | Vaccine  
  Chemoprophylaxis for patients with asplenia  
  General preventive measures |
| Pertussis | B. pertussis | Droplet infection | 5–21 days | Highly contagious, especially during the first 3 weeks of illness (catarrhal stage and the onset of paroxysmal stage) | Vaccine  
  Postexposure chemoprophylaxis  
  General preventive measures |
| Scarlet fever | Streptococcus pyogenes | Droplet infection  
  Direct contact (wounds) food contamination (rare)  
  Asymptomatic colonization of pharynx, skin, anus and vagina | 2–5 days | 24 hours after initiation of appropriate treatment | General preventive measures |
| Rubella | Rubella virus | Droplet infection  
  Direct contact  
  Vertical | 14–21 days | A few days before to 7 days after onset of rash  
  Infants with congenital rubella may shed virus in nasopharyngeal secretions and urine for 1 year or more | Vaccine  
  General preventive measures |
| Mumps | Mumps virus | Droplet infection  
  Contact with infectious respiratory tract secretions and saliva | 12–25 days | Several days before through 5–8 days after onset of parotid swelling | Vaccine  
  General preventive measures |
| Chickenpox | Varicella-zoster virus | Direct contact  
  Airborne infection vertical | 10–21 days | 1–2 days before onset of the rash until all lesions have crusted | Vaccine  
  Varicella-zoster immune globulin  
  Postexposure chemoprophylaxis  
  General preventive measures |
| Influenza | Influenza virus A and B | Droplet infection  
  Direct and indirect contact | 1–4 days | 1 day before up to 7 days after onset of symptoms, can be prolonged in young children and immunodeficient patients | Vaccine  
  Postexposure chemoprophylaxis  
  General preventive measures |
FIGURE 1. New biweekly reported cases of infectious diseases transmitted mainly via fecal-oral route (viral gastrointestinal infections, diarrhoea and gastroenteritis and hepatitis A) in the period of 1.01.2019 – 15.05.2019 and 1.01.2020 – 15.05.2020

FIGURE 2. New biweekly reported cases of invasive diseases (invasive meningococcal disease, invasive S. pyogenes disease, and invasive pneumococcal disease) in the periods 1.01.2019 – 15.05.2019 and 1.01.2020 – 15.05.2020
The COVID-19 pandemic lockdown causes a decrease in the number of cases of other infectious diseases in Poland.

FIGURE 3. New biweekly reported cases of droplet and airborne infections (pertussis, scarlet fever, rubella, mumps, and chickenpox) in the periods 1.01.2019 – 15.05.2019 and 1.01.2020 – 15.05.2020

There was a 73% drop in the average number of new cases of influenza during weeks 11–18 compared to weeks 1–10 of the year 2020 (Fig. 4).

A decreasing trend in the number of new cases of animal-transmitted diseases can also be noticed. The general lockdown contributed to a reduction of reported cases of Lyme disease and exposure to rabies.

There was a 70% and 41% drop in the average number of new cases of Lyme disease and exposure to rabies, respectively, during weeks 11–18 compared to weeks 1–10 of the year 2020 (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

On 4 March there was the first reported, laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in Poland [12]. Within days the number of confirmed cases increased, and on 10 March Poland was qualified as a country with local transmission [13]. In connection with the spread of SARS-CoV-2, on 14 March the Polish government introduced a state of epidemic emergency [14] and on 20 March, a state of epidemic [15]. To slow down the coronavirus circulation and avoid overloading hospitals, many restrictions were announced, including a temporary general lockdown for all citizens, with some exceptions. Nurseries, kindergartens, schools, and universities were closed. Work from home was recommended whenever possible. Any mass gatherings, including cultural events were cancelled, and limitations in the number of people taking part in religious events were introduced. Starting from 16 April it was obligatory to cover one's nose and mouth in public places [16]. It was reiterated [17, 18] that...
proper and frequent hand hygiene is known to prevent the spread of many infectious diseases [19–22]. All these interventions were administered as a possible method of reducing the transmission of SARS-CoV-2.

According to our knowledge, there is no study concerning changes in the incidence of different infectious diseases during the COVID-19 pandemic. The results of this research confirm the efficacy of the isolation measures introduced because of the ongoing pandemic on transmission of other infectious diseases. A very high percentage reduction in the number of different communicable diseases can be observed. However, the number of newly reported cases of droplet and airborne diseases did not decrease or dropped only slightly after introduction of the obligation of covering one’s nose and mouth in public places, which may suggest that social distancing alone is more effective. It is hard to estimate the impact of compulsory face covering on the incidence of influenza because this time of the year corresponds with the end of influenza season.

A decrease in the number of reported animal bites resulting in the need for rabies prophylaxis, as well as new cases of Lyme disease, once more underlines the strong effect of the general lockdown on reducing the transmission of infectious diseases. It can be assumed that limited access to doctor’s visits and private laboratory analyses resulted in a reduction in overdiagnosis of Lyme disease.

The results may be underestimated because many healthcare institutions organise mainly online consultations, so patients are not thoroughly examined and some diseases can be overlooked. However, a decrease observed in the number of invasive diseases, which always require examination and specific treatment, may suggest that the morbidity of other, less severe infectious diseases is lower as well.

CONCLUSIONS

To summarise, it can be assumed that Polish society took the above-mentioned social restrictions seriously. Social distancing, respiratory hygiene, and frequent hand washing contributed to a decline in reported cases of other infectious diseases. Public awareness on infectious
The COVID-19 pandemic lockdown causes a decrease in the number of cases of other infectious diseases in Poland. Disease transmission and prevention plays an important role in stopping the spread of these diseases. Further intensive education on this topic is needed among Poles.
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