Multi-\textit{k} magnetic structures in USb$_{0.5}$Te$_{0.1}$ and UAs$_{0.8}$Se$_{0.2}$ observed via resonant x-ray scattering at the U M$_4$ edge
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Experiments with resonant photons at the U M$_4$ edge have been performed on a sample of USb$_{0.5}$Te$_{0.1}$, which has an incommensurate magnetic structure with $k = k = 0.596(2)$ reciprocal lattice units. The reflections of the form $\langle \text{kkk} \rangle$, as observed previously in a commensurate $k = 1/2$ system [N. Bernhoeft et al., Phys. Rev. B 69 174415 (2004)] are observed, removing any doubt that these occur due to multiple scattering or high-order contamination of the incident photon beam. They are clearly connected with the presence of a 3\textit{k} configuration. Measurements of the $\langle \text{kkk} \rangle$ reflections from the sample UAs$_{0.8}$Se$_{0.2}$ in a magnetic field show that the transition at $T^* \sim 50$ K is between a low-temperature 2\textit{k} and high-temperature 3\textit{k} state and that this transition is sensitive to an applied magnetic field. These experiments stress the need for quantitative theory to explain the intensities of these $\langle \text{kkk} \rangle$ reflections.

PACS numbers: 75.25.+z, 75.10.-b, 75.30.Kz

I. INTRODUCTION

In determining magnetic structures for a vast array of materials, neutron diffraction is the technique of choice and has been used with great success for the last 50 years. However, the realisation by Kouvel and Kaspe\textsuperscript{3} that multi-\textit{k} configurations, in their case in (Ni, Fe)$_x$Mn alloys, could be present, often makes the ground-state determination by neutron diffraction ambiguous. Single-\textit{k} configurations have domains with a single propagation direction within a domain, whereas in the multi-\textit{k} configurations several propagation directions, commonly three in cubic systems but possibly more, exist simultaneously.\textsuperscript{2,4} The common method to examine whether configurations are single- or multi-\textit{k} is to apply an external perturbation (usually magnetic field cooling) and to measure the intensities from various “domains”. If they are changed by the perturbation then the configuration can often be suggested\textsuperscript{2,4} whereas if they are not, a multi-\textit{k} configuration is assumed. Unfortunately, one can also envisage that the field cooling itself changes the ground state of the system or that the field used is not large enough to change the domain population. Thus, the confusion of whether a magnetic structure is multi-\textit{k} or not, continues to be a limitation of neutron studies of magnetic materials.

With this background it was therefore of considerable interest when additional diffraction peaks observed in resonance x-ray scattering (RXS), apparently associated uniquely with the 3\textit{k} configuration, were reported for a sample of UAs$_{0.8}$Se$_{0.2}$.\textsuperscript{5} These diffraction peaks were weak (less than $10^{-4}$ of the main Bragg peaks arising from magnetic order) but clearly observable in the RXS experiments because of the large resonant enhancement that occurs when the incident photon energy is tuned to the M$_4$ edge of uranium.\textsuperscript{2,6} More recently, these extra reflections have been observed also using neutron diffraction,\textsuperscript{2,6} confirming that the reflections are indeed magnetic dipole in origin. In addition, the neutrons demonstrate that the effect is not connected with the surface, which is always a possibility if effects are observed only with the RXS technique.

The new reflections are associated with the phase coherence of the three different propagation directions that make up the 3\textit{k} configuration.\textsuperscript{2,6} Such reflections were earlier reported in the assumed 3\textit{k} structure of CeAl$_2$.\textsuperscript{7} In this latter paper, the term giving rise to the $\langle \text{kkk} \rangle$ reflections, which is a convenient shorthand notation for this subset of reflections since they contain a contribution from each \textit{k} component, was identified with a 4\textsuperscript{th}-order term in the free-energy expansion. The term is proportional to $M_x M_y M_z M_c$, where the individual components of the magnetization propagating along the three perpendicular axes are $M_x$ etc., and the term $k_x = k_x + k_y + k_z$ represents the coherent part of the different magnetisation distributions. Note that expressing such terms within free energy fulfills the symmetry of the system, but gives no idea of their intensity with respect to the main (000) magnetic Bragg diffraction peaks. However, further research on CeAl$_2$ established that these reflections came from a different magnetic configuration in the system\textsuperscript{2,6} and our present understanding of CeAl$_2$ is that it is not a 3\textit{k} system\textsuperscript{10,11} so these reflections should not be present. To our knowledge this makes the present series of experiments\textsuperscript{2,6} (and this work) the first to make these observations. Despite doubts of the validity of the experimental results in Ref.\textsuperscript{11}, the theoretical expression in this reference still gives the correct symmetry considerations for the $\langle \text{kkk} \rangle$ reflections.

The present paper brings further experimental evidence about these unusual diffraction peaks. So far, studies of the $\langle \text{kkk} \rangle$ peaks\textsuperscript{2,6} have been confined to samples
with the commensurate magnetic wave vector \( k = 1/2 \). Although significant efforts were made in Refs. 3 and 7 to eliminate multiple scattering and higher-order diffraction effects, one way to ensure that such effects are further minimised is to examine a material in which the magnetic ordering is \textit{incommensurate}. Exploiting the magnetic properties found in the system USb–UTE\textsubscript{13} the first part of this paper presents clear evidence for the new diffraction peaks in such an incommensurate magnetic system.

In the second part of the paper, we return to the commensurate system UAs\textsubscript{0.8}Se\textsubscript{0.2} with \( k = 1/2 \) and examine the behavior of the \((kkk)\) reflections as a function of magnetic field. Bulk techniques (specific heat and magnetic susceptibility) performed on the same sample of UAs\textsubscript{0.8}Se\textsubscript{0.2} have shown anomalies associated with what is believed to be the transition between a 2\( k \) state at low temperature and a 3\( k \) state at higher temperature\textsuperscript{3,14} and our goal was to study the field-dependence of the new diffraction peaks to determine the exact nature of the transition. The experiments show that the transition as a function of applied field is indeed one between the 2\( k \) and 3\( k \) states.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS AND DISCUSSION

All RXS experiments reported here have been performed on the ID20 beamline at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France\textsuperscript{15} using Si(111) horizontally focusing double crystal monochromator. Two Si-coated mirrors assure vertical focusing at the sample position and elimination of higher harmonics from the incident beam. Au(111) single crystal was chosen as a polarization analyser based on the Bragg diffraction close to the Brewster’s angle of \( \theta = 45^\circ \) at the energy of U \( M_1 \) edge \( E = 3.724 \text{ keV} \).

In the case of USb\textsubscript{0.9}Te\textsubscript{0.1}, the sample was installed in a closed-cycle cryostat with base temperature of about 10 K, in the vertical scattering geometry. This setup, with [001] direction vertical, allows for partial rotation of the sample around the scattering vector, necessary for azimuthal scans. The UAs\textsubscript{0.8}Se\textsubscript{0.2} experiment was performed in the horizontal scattering geometry, with the sample mounted in a 10 T cryomagnet. The magnetic field was applied along the [110] direction allowing reflections within the \((hhh)\) plane to be measured. We used polarization analysis of the scattered beam only in the first part of the experiment to confirm that our signal was uniquely in the rotated polarization channel (\( \pi \rightarrow \sigma \), where \( \pi \) and \( \sigma \) are the beam polarization components perpendicular and parallel to the scattering plane). The main part of the experiment was performed without analysis of the scattered beam polarization for intensity reasons because the \((kkk)\) peak intensity is further reduced due to the poor transmission through the Be windows of the cryomagnet. Fortunately, for the scattering vector of interest, \( Q = (1/2 1/2 5/2) \), the scattering angle 2\( \theta \) is close to \( 97^\circ \) which means that with incident beam \( \pi \) polarization, any background due to a lattice contribution (multiple scattering etc.), corresponding to a \( \pi \pi \) signal, is negligible.

The specific heat was measured on an 83 mg sample using the relaxation method in a PPMS-9 equipment (Quantum Design) within the temperature range 2 – 300 K. The external magnetic field up to 9 T was applied along the [001] direction.

A. Experiments on USb\textsubscript{0.9}Te\textsubscript{0.1}

The magnetic phase diagram of the USb–UTE solid solutions was first examined 25 years ago\textsuperscript{13} USb is a well-known (cubic) 3\( k \) antiferromagnet with wavevector \((k00)\) where \( k = 1 \) and \( T_N = 215 \text{ K} \). With a small doping of Te the magnetic wave vector \((k)\) starts to reduce and, at least near \( T_N \), incommensurate magnetic order is found\textsuperscript{13}. For our experiments we have used a large crystal (because neutron experiments were also performed on this sample) of 0.512 g, which has a good mosaic of 0.026 degree full-width at half maximum.

An overview of the magnetic scattering as a function of temperature is given in Fig. 1. The scattering arises from magnetic modulations with wave vectors \((k)\) extending from \( \sim 0.6 \) to \( \sim 0.7 \) reciprocal lattice units (r.l.u.). The pattern shows a more complicated situation than reported earlier\textsuperscript{13} Presumably, this may be explained by the poorer resolution of the early neutron experiments.

FIG. 1: (Color online) Upper panel: Magnetic intensity from the sample of USb\textsubscript{0.9}Te\textsubscript{0.1} as a function of scattering vector (00L) and temperature. The sample was first cooled to 10 K and then data collected as the sample was heated. Lower panel: Data at \( T = 140 \text{ K} \) on both heating and cooling. The main magnetic modulation corresponds to \( k \sim 0.6 \text{ r.l.u.} \), originating from the (002) charge reflection, but intensity is observed over a wide range of wave vector. At the highest temperatures, just below \( T_N \sim 200 \text{ K} \), a single incommensurate magnetic wave vector is found, in agreement with the phase diagram proposed in Ref. 13. Scale in both panels is logarithmic.
FIG. 2: Comparison of intensity profiles of a \langle k00 \rangle peak (upper panel, as in Fig. 1) and \langle kkk \rangle peak (lower two panels) at \( T = 140 \) K. Notice that the asymmetry extends to higher \( k \)-value on both \( \langle k00 \rangle \) and \( \langle kkk \rangle \) peaks and in both \( L \)-scan and \( H \)-scan. A small difference in the actual value of \( k \) for \( \langle k00 \rangle \) and \( \langle kkk \rangle \) peaks is due to crystal/diffractometer misalignment. The true value of \( k \) is determined from the positions of the third harmonics reflections (0 0 3\( k \)) and (0 0 4\( -3k \)) to be 0.596(2) r.l.u. The \( \langle k00 \rangle \) peak has a full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of 0.0036 r.l.u. in the \( L \) direction and 0.0032 r.l.u. in the transverse (\( H \)) direction. This may be compared to 0.0025 and 0.0014 r.l.u., respectively, for the charge peaks measured at the same photon energy. The latter are close to the instrumental resolution, which is poor in the \( H \) direction (\( K \)). The \( \langle kkk \rangle \) peaks have FWHM’s of about 0.0060 r.l.u in both the \( L \) and \( H \) directions.

as well as the much larger intensity, and thus sensitivity, obtained in the RXS technique. All intensity in this plot is measured in the \( \sigma \rightarrow \pi \) channel, and since there is no scattering in the \( \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \) channel, the scattering is magnetic in origin. At high temperatures, near \( T_N \), the scattering is incommensurate and consists of one modulation with \( k \sim 0.6 \) r.l.u, as reported but below about 160 K the material exhibits frustration with magnetic scattering distributed over a wide range of modulation vectors. In addition to this extension of the modulation along the longitudinal direction \([00L] \) (as shown in Fig. 1), the scattering in the transverse direction is broad in \( q \) as well, showing the short-range ordering of the magnetic correlations. Figure shows that at all temperatures there is a strong and relatively sharp component at \( k = 0.6 \), and this, rather than a modulation at \( k = 2/3 = 0.667 \) r.l.u., is clearly the dominant correlation in the system. Moreover, by slow cooling of the sample it was found that the \( k \sim 0.6 \) r.l.u. modulation was maintained to at least 140 K. An accurate measure of \( k = 0.596(2) \) r.l.u. was made at this temperature. All subsequent experiments were performed at 140 K.

On cooling into the ordered state, no sign of any external structural distortion (i.e. a distortion from cubic to tetragonal) was observed. This is consistent with the fact that all the antiferromagnet configurations in the USb–UTE solid solutions are \( 3k \) in nature.

In agreement with previous work on the UAs–USe solid solutions, we found the peaks referred to as \( \langle kkk \rangle \). These arise from the E1-F(\(| \rangle \) term in the RXS cross section and are commonly associated with the quadrupole interactions in these materials. They are characterised by a sharp photon energy dependence of the scattering, intensity in both \( \sigma \rightarrow \pi \) and \( \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \) channels, and a characteristic azimuthal dependence of the scattered intensity.

The reflections characterising the \( 3k \) magnetic structure have the form \( \langle kkk \rangle \) and we show two such reflections in Fig. 2. Comparison of the intensities between data in Fig. 2 gives approximately a factor of \((3 \times 10^{-5} / 0.6) \times (0.0060 / 0.0032)^2 = 1.7 \times 10^{-4} \), where the first term is simply the intensity of the peak and the second factor takes into account the different widths in the \( H \) and \( L \) directions (the resolution in the \( K \) direction of the spectrometer is poor and integrates all the signal). This is consistent with previous estimates. It is noteworthy that the correlations between the different components in the \( 3k \) structure give rise to a correlation length that is almost double that of a single \( k \) component. This was not previously observed because for a commensurate system (like in the UAs–USe) the strain terms locking the magnetic and charge modulations in the free energy ensure that the magnetic correlations are as long range as the charge (see Fig. 2 of Ref. 8). However, this is not the case in an incommensurate system as examined here.

As reported earlier, all the signal for the \( \langle kkk \rangle \) peaks was in the \( \sigma \rightarrow \pi \) channel and the photon energy dependence was similar to that of the \( \langle k00 \rangle \) peak, i.e. a Lorentzian centered at the \( U M_4 \) resonance. This assures there is no charge contribution to these reflections.

An azimuthal scan of the same reflection is shown in
The dipole component from which this reflection arises is \([-111]\) as shown by the simulation of the azimuthal dependence from such a component, scaled to the maximum observed value and with no other variable. It should be realized that the azimuthal dependence of the \((k00)\) reflections corresponds to a dipole moment along a \((100)\) direction; thus the \((kkk)\) reflections, which have their dipole moment corresponding to one of the \((111)\) directions, show the essential \(3k\) nature of the configuration. This is discussed in Ref. [7], where a similar azimuthal dependence is found in the USb\(_{0.8}\)Te\(_{0.2}\) material.

Finally, as pointed out first by McWhan et al. [6] and later in more detail in the UAs–USe system by Longfield et al. [22] there should be a magneto-elastic distortion in these systems corresponding to peaks at \((2k00)\). This is a charge term corresponding to the charge-density wave riding on the underlying magnetic modulation. The intensity of this peak increases as \(Q^2\), where \(Q\) is the scattering vector. Since the displacement-wave amplitude is small, both the penetration and limited \(Q\) range available at 3.7 keV mitigate against the observation of such peaks. Thus, the photon energy was increased to 11 keV and a Ge(111) crystal mounted in the polarisation stage. A resultant scan over along the direction \([11L]\) is shown in Fig. [4]. This clearly shows the magneto-elastic \(2k\) component and, as expected, that it exists in an incommensurate system.

In summary, for the USb\(_{0.9}\)Te\(_{0.1}\) we emphasize that the magnetic modulation is incommensurate, but that the \((kkk)\) peak exists at the same level of intensity (\(\sim10^{-4}\)) as compared to the standard \((k00)\) magnetic reflections. These peaks as seen by x-rays arise from the phase coherence between the different components in the \(3k\) structure and are not artefacts of the data collection or reflections arising from higher-order components in the photon beam.

**B. Experiments on USb\(_{0.9}\)Te\(_{0.1}\)**

In the previous work on this system [25], it was shown that the \((kkk)\) reflections appeared to disappear (on cooling) below \(T^* (\sim50\) K) and thus corresponds to a transition between the high-temperature \(3k\) to the lower temperature \(2k\) state, in which state the reflections should not exist, as originally proposed by Kuznietz et al. [24] Bulk measurements of specific heat and magnetisation showed anomalies also at this temperature [5]. An overview of the specific-heat data with the original sample (I) is shown in Fig. [5].

With synchrotron experiments on a new sample of UAs\(_{0.8}\)Se\(_{0.2}\) (sample II) we have first re-examined the nature of the transition at \(T^*\). Figure 6 shows (upper panel) the temperature dependence of a \((kkk)\) reflection and (lower panel) the behavior of the lattice as measured with high-resolution photons. Below \(T^*\), there is an external lattice distortion to at least a tetragonal symmetry (and may be lower) and this results in a splitting of the \((008)\) charge reflection. These results are consistent with the idea that the material transforms on cooling from the high-temperature \(3k\) state, which has cubic symmetry, to the low-temperature \(2k\) state, which has lower than cubic symmetry.

To compare the data taken with specific heat and RXS, we have chosen to normalize the data to \(T^*\) as the specific heat were taken on sample I and RXS measurements on sample II. Small differences in Se composition may account for a small difference in \(T^*\) of about 3 K; compare Figs. [5] and [6]. Furthermore, the original specific-heat data were taken with \(B \parallel [001]\), whereas the RXS measurements with \(B \parallel [110]\). The latter geometry is required to obtain the \((kkk)\) peak in the equatorial plane of the magnet. However, the point of this study is to show that the change in the intensity of the \((kkk)\) reflection
as a function of applied field follows (approximately) the phase transition, and thus identifying it as one between $2k$ ($T < T^*$) and $3k$ ($T > T^*$), rather than to understand the full $(B, T)$ phase diagram of this material.

Figure 7 (upper part) shows the change of specific heat (divided by $T$ and with the phonon contribution subtracted) and the intensity of a $(k\bar{k}k)$ reflection as a function of $T/T^*_0$ for different applied magnetic fields. In addition to the fact that both measurements show $T^*$ rising as a function of applied field, there are two further points of note in this figure.

The first is that the rise of $T^*$ is much greater for $B \parallel [001]$ than $B \parallel [110]$. Since the phase diagram as a function of applied field has not been reported for UAs$_{0.8}$Se$_{0.2}$, our knowledge is necessarily limited. However, studies of UAs$_{2.4}$Te$_{0.6}$ and UAs$_{0.75}$Se$_{0.25}$ give some indication what might occur. In both cases the easy axis is not (100), but the initial transitions occur at lower fields (at constant temperature) with $B \parallel [001]$ than any other direction. So the transition at $T^*$ is more rapidly shifted with $B \parallel [001]$ than $B \parallel [110]$, as observed in Fig. 7. Further details of these effects as a function of magnetic field direction may be found in Ref. 21.

The second observation is related to the surprising result for the specific heat for $B = 9$ T, which shows a broad peak extending over many degrees. In contrast, the breadth of the transition, over which the $(k\bar{k}k)$ reflection develops for high fields, is about the same as at lower fields. Although, as in the first part, we have not done sufficient experiments to verify the exact nature of the high-field state, it seems likely that a second transition occurs for fields near 9 T and above. Again taking the work on UAs$_{2.4}$Te$_{0.6}$ and UAs$_{0.75}$Se$_{0.25}$ as a guide, a transition to a modified magnetic structure occurs for these high fields. With $B \parallel [001]$ the transition involves a change in the modulation component parallel to $B$, but this may not be relevant with $B \parallel [110]$. Perhaps the surprising result is not that the specific heat anomaly at these high fields is so smeared over many degrees, but that the intensity of the $(k\bar{k}k)$ reflection shows clearly that whatever the magnetic state is above $T^*$, it is certainly $3k$ in nature.

These results shown in Fig. 7 leave little doubt that the transition at $T^*$ is between the $2k$ (for $T < T^*$) and $3k$ (for $T > T^*$) states, with the $2k$ state being stabilized with increasing magnetic field.

III. CONCLUSIONS

Although the earlier papers in this series provided strong evidence that the new $(k\bar{k}k)$ peaks were associated with the $3k$ nature of the magnetic configuration, some doubt remained. In particular, the observation of very weak reflections at highly symmetric lattice points must always be regarded with caution as complicated effects from higher-order components in the incident photon or neutron beam or multiple scattering may always produce weak reflections at these special positions. We are deal-
ing with intensities of the order of $10^{-4}$ of the magnetic peaks, which, in the case of neutrons or RXS at the U M edges, represent an intensity of $\sim 10^{-6}$ of the nuclear or charge peaks, respectively. The present experiments on a $3k$ incommensurate system (USb$_{0.9}$Te$_{0.1}$) show unambiguously that the \langle kkk \rangle reflections exist and behave in the same way as previously reported. They clearly arise from the coherence of the individual three components in the system.

Furthermore, a careful examination of the transition at $T^*$ in UAs$_{0.5}$Se$_{0.2}$, which had been proposed as a transition between the $2k$ (low temperature) and $3k$ (high temperature) states, shows that the field dependence of the \langle kkk \rangle reflection confirms this proposal. As the field is increased, the $2k$ state is stabilized at the expense of the $3k$ modulations.

The observation and explanation of these \langle kkk \rangle reflections in terms of the free energy, as proposed in connection with CeAl$_2$, is satisfying from a conceptual point of view as to what symmetry considerations define these \langle kkk \rangle peaks. An alternative analysis in terms of Clifford algebra for the presence of these peaks has also been recently advanced. In neither case do the arguments specify the intensity to be expected. New experiments that we are undertaking are to measure the form factor, $f(Q)$, of the \langle kkk \rangle reflections with neutron scattering so as to determine the spatial distribution of the magnetization that is involved in the coherence between the different $3k$ components.

More than 40 years after the discovery of multi-$k$ magnetic structures, some aspects of their nature remain elusive; it is surprising, for example, that \langle kkk \rangle reflection have not been observed previously. Ironically, multi-$k$ configurations have been predicted to be the stable magnetic states in nano-antiferromagnets, simply because of the high cost in energy of domain walls. Perhaps this gives a further motivation to fully understanding the subtleties of multi-$k$ structures.
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