Assessment of the Possible Reuse of Extractive Waste Coming from Abandoned Mine Sites: Case Study in Gorno, Italy
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Abstract: Supply of resources, a growing population, and environmental pollution are some of the main challenges facing the contemporary world. The rapid development of mining activities has produced huge amounts of waste. This waste, found in abandoned mine sites, provides the potential opportunity of extracting raw material. The current study, therefore, focuses on testing the validation of a shared methodology to recover extractive waste from abandoned mines, and applies this methodology to a case study in Gorno, northwest Italy. The methods focused on: (1) analyzing the impact of tailings and fine fraction of waste rock (<2 mm) on plants (Cress - Lepidium Sativum) to assess usability of both as soil additive, and (2) recovering raw materials from tailings and coarse fraction (>2 mm) of waste rock, by means of dressing methods like wet shaking table and froth flotation. The results indicated that the fine fraction of waste rock and tailings did not have detrimental effects on seed germination; however, there was marked decrease in plant growth. As for the recovery of raw materials, the coarse waste rock samples, crushed to <0.5 mm, produced a recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn—as much as 66%, 56%, and 64%, respectively—using the wet shaking table. The same samples when crushed to 0.063–0.16 mm and used for froth flotation produced a recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn of up to 61%, 72%, and 47%, respectively. The flotation experiment on tailings showed a recovery of Cd, Ga and Zn at pH 7 of 33%, 6% and 29% respectively. The present investigation highlights the methodologies used for extracting raw materials from extractive waste.
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1. Introduction

Raw materials are crucial for modern society. The evident growth and prosperity is based on mineral reserves and fossil fuels. The United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals, as well as implementation of the Paris Agreement, resulted in vast utilization of a wide range of minerals for green technologies, such as low-carbon applications [1]. This focus on growth, development, and increase in population has led to the scarcity of raw materials (RM) [2]. Economic development depends on the supply of RM [3–5]—in the European Union (EU) alone, 30 million jobs depend on access to raw materials [6].
In view of the recent developments, a list of 27 critical raw materials (CRM) was identified for EU nations in 2017. The crucial dependency on raw materials is acknowledged by the European Innovation Partnership on Raw Materials, which coordinates efforts across disciplines to tackle selected “grand challenges”. One of the pillars of the EU Raw Materials Initiative focuses on the reuse and recycling of raw materials [6]. Moreover, the need to find RM and CRM has pushed the EU to adopt policies to promote the exploitation of waste from abandoned mining sites, termed as mining waste (also called as extractive waste—EW); and from productive cycles [7–9]. The term “extractive waste” is used in this paper. The use of extractive waste can result in recovery of RM and CRM, along with reclamation of polluted areas and development of an economic system that aims at minimizing waste and exploiting resources [10,11]. Indeed, using extractive waste for further extraction helps minimize waste production and consumption of unexplored resources: the amount of existing EW can be reduced by further exploiting the remaining valuable fractions, which in turn minimizes new waste generation by reducing the need for extracting unexplored resources. Consequently, the present study focuses on assessment of recovery of raw materials from EW and the effects of EW on plants, if used as an additive to soil from abandoned mines of Gorno in northwest Italy.

Considering the shortage of RM, research efforts should be directed towards the use of previously discarded EW [12]. This idea of reusing EW as integrative feeding materials for industrial processes is closely linked to the circular economy’s perspectives, by integrating EW back into material cycles [13–19]. The potential for recovery of RM from extractive waste depends on several factors, such as their amount, concentration, and mineralogy; re-processing technology (commercially available and economically viable); and market demands [20].

Utilization of EW can offer both economic benefits and reduction in environmental impacts. Indeed, environmental legacies of mining sites are related to the presence of reactive minerals, sometimes of high economic value. Extractive waste can cause land degradation and contamination of water [21]. The reuse of EW may minimize the environmental impacts related to disposal; however, some reuse measures may actually cause new environmental problems. Considering this, care should be taken to perform life cycle assessment and cost-benefit analysis for handling waste on site [13].

1.1. Relevant Literature

The literature has shown that mineral waste streams can be reused and can be beneficial for extracting RM. A recent study by van Zyl [22] estimated that approximately 75 major tailing re-mining projects are taking place globally for the reclamation of copper, diamond, and gold. Another research concluded that the topsoil generated from dredge mining for heavy minerals such as rutile, ilmenite, and zircon in coastal dunes in Zululand, South Africa, since 1977 were used for the ecological restoration of abandoned mine areas by spreading the salvaged topsoil on tailing dumps to initiate natural succession and establishing dune forests [23]. Jiangang et al. [24] developed oil agglomeration flotation process for recovering molybdenite from tailings in Zhejiang province, China, while Ghosh et al. [25] showed recovery of Mn by bioleaching of ferro-manganese EW from Odisha, India, and Henne et al. [26] demonstrated recovery of copper from Cu-sulfide inclusions minerals of waste rock (WR) by bioleaching in the Salobo mine, Brazil. Another study in Pennsylvania, U.S.A reported rare earth elements and yttrium concentrations and other geochemical data from Appalachian Basin coal mine discharges and treatment precipitates collected from a variety of mine water and treatment environments [27]. In 2016, True North Gold Mine of Klondex Canada Limited in Manitoba, Canada, commenced a tailings reprocessing project [28]. The physicochemical, mineralogical, and elemental characterization of extractive waste from the exploitation of both iron ores and polymetallic minerals (Ph-Zn-Ag) was done to evaluate the possibility of recovery of strategic elements, like Ga, In, Ge, and rare earth elements (REE) in mining areas of México [29]. The recovery and upgradation of different REE from minerals were ascertained, especially brannerite, florencite, and stetindite from the iron oxide–silicate rich tailings (IST) generated at a South Australian mining operation using froth flotation [17]. Recently, a French geological survey identified interesting old mining wastes to assesses the potential metal
recovery of these deposits with emphasis on critical metals for industrial development compiled by the European Commission in 2010. First, bioleaching tests conducted on tailing material from a lead–zinc mine near Freiberg (Germany) achieved zinc and indium yields of up to 80% [30]. There are further studies that has been undertaken to depict the economic benefits by reusing waste generated from mines, for e.g., Pactwa et al. [31] presented economic and social benefits that can be obtained from lignite mine waste in Poland.

However, the research on implementation of circular economy principles in Italian abandoned mine sites is at the beginning stages and has still not been fully realized, despite the potential and growing interest in making the Italian economy more and more circular [32]. Moreover, the reuse and recovery of RM from waste depends on the type of the ore mined, waste typology, and geological and geographical setting of the area. Therefore, mining districts with EW should be analyzed in a site-specific manner to develop feasible reuse techniques.

1.2. Study Objectives

The present study, thus, focuses on preliminary assessment towards recovery of RM (such as Zn, Cd, and Ga) from EW and the effects of EW as additive to soil from abandoned mines of Gorno in northwest Italy. However, the methodologies (Figure 1) applied for site investigation, sampling activities, extractive waste dressing activities to obtain raw materials and impact on plants can be replicated in similar contexts (e.g., mining site for Zn-Pb exploitation). In a larger context, the approach followed in this research also depicts that traditional barriers between disciplines and sectors, and a holistic approach using knowledge of mining engineering, geology, separation and ore processing engineering (dressing methods), and soil science can lead to “sustainable mining”.

![Figure 1. Schematic showing various steps to obtain products from extractive waste.](image)

2. Methodology

The methodology followed (Figure 1) in this research consists of investigations, processes, and characterization steps, which can be replicated in similar case studies (Zn-Pb mining sites, but also extractive waste facilities at large). It can be considered an interdisciplinary approach to EW management and recovery in the view of a landfill mining approach. In particular, the steps followed during the research activity are:

1. Site description, which consists of collection of information about geology, mining, and processing activities (Section 2.1) [33]
2. Site investigation and sampling strategy (Section 2.2), which includes planned field survey to collect representative samples, define the investigating areas, evaluate waste characteristics, and estimate EW volumes [33]
3. Preparation of sub sample to be tested for RM/CRM recovery (Section 2.3)
4. Definition of the potential treatment and dressing activities to exploit RM/CRM and produce new products from waste. The research is based on a preliminary separation into two main categories (size cut off 2 mm), as described in Figure 2. In particular, the present paper presents two different chances to recover EW:

a. For the production of additive to soil (Section 2.4), which can be considered as the first step to produce substrate for rehabilitation and remediation purposes

b. For RM/CRM exploitation by means of common processing techniques as flotation and shaking table (Section 2.5).

Figure 2. Flowchart showing preparation of subsamples.

2.1. Study Site

The Gorno mining site is located within the Seriana, Riso, and Brembana valleys (Lombardy, northwestern Italy) (Figure 2). It belongs to the Alpine type zinc-lead-silver stratabound ore deposits, associated with the middle Triassic carbonatic series. The mineralization (Zn-Pb ± Ag ± baryte ± fluorite) mostly occurs within the “Metallifero” (i.e., “ore-bearing”) formation of upper Ladinic—lower Carnian age [34–37]. Mining started in the Roman Age, but the beginning of industrial exploitation started in the 1837 and continued until 1982.

Primary mineralization is composed of sphalerite (ZnS) and galena (PbS), alongwith minor pyrite (FeS₂), marcasite (FeS₂), chalcopyrite (CuFeS₂), and argentite (Ag₂S). The dominant gangue minerals are calcite, dolomite, and quartz (± ankerite). A secondary mineralization, composed of oxidation products of sphalerite, i.e., Zn-rich carbonate and silicate, was historically preferred for ore exploitation using underground mining activities. Consequently, the rocks with sphalerite and galena were separated and placed outside the tunnels excavated to access the underground ore deposit, forming several WR dumps across the whole district. However, the WR dumps in the Mount Arera are the biggest and easier to access, and, thus, most important as the study site. For the treatment of valuable ores, the flotation process was used (information from historic documents maintained by the Municipal Corporation of Gorno), leading to generation of tailings deposited close to Riso creek. Thus, the two types of EW present at the site are waste rock and tailings, produced due to primary separation and ore processing, respectively, of resources at the site.
2.2. Site Investigation and Sampling Strategy

The sampling was performed in dumps placed at Mount Arera (Figure 3) following site investigation and sampling activities described in Dino et al. [33] and here briefly reported. The WR dumps at Mount Arera are spread uniformly with thickness of c. 2 m. Waste rock material was sampled using a hand shovel and/or a hammer. Each sample (8–10 kg) was collected by recovering four subsamples from the vertices of a 2 m × 2 m square, and mixing these together after removing organic residues. In total, 30 samples of waste rock were collected at the site in June 2016. Tailings deposit was near the Riso creek and covered with thin layer of soil of 20–40 cm; hence, samples were taken using hand drilling, with an increasing depth from the ground. In total, 18 tailings samples were taken from four sampling points at the site.

![Figure 3. Sketch depicting sampling locations of extractive waste.](image)

2.3. Preparation of Subsamples

Extractive waste subsamples were prepared as depicted in Figure 2. The waste rock samples from the site were air dried and sieved to obtain the samples in two different fractions i.e., fine fraction (size < 2 mm) and coarse fraction (size > 2 mm). The fine fractions from all samples were mixed to obtain a homogeneous mixture of waste. This waste was further used for analysis of impacts on plants to ascertain the possibility of its use as soil additive.

Similarly, the coarse fractions from the samples were mixed to obtain a homogeneous mix of WR in size fraction >2 mm. The obtained mix of WR samples was crushed by means of a jaw crusher, and sieved to obtain samples in size fraction 0–1 mm. The sample in 0–1 mm was used for all the recovery experiments and quartered to obtain samples for dressing activities and chemical analysis of feed. Tailings from the site were dried in an oven at temperature of 100 °C, for a period of 48 h to remove moisture. The dried samples were mixed to obtain homogeneous mix of tailings. The obtained
sample was then screened to remove any organic residue and quartered to obtain a sample for use both as soil additive and dressing activities.

2.4. Use of Extractive Waste as Soil Additive

2.4.1. Aqua Regia Extracted Concentrations and Bioavailable Concentrations Analysis for Subsamples Used as Plant Additive

The waste rock (<2 mm fraction) and tailings were treated for analyzing their impacts on plants. The samples were analyzed for concentrations of chemical elements on the <2 mm fraction using aqua regia U.S. EPA 3051 A, U.S. EPA 6050 C [38,39] and for bioavailable concentrations using diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA), in order to determine the different % (v/v) of EW that could be used [40]. The detailed methods can be found in Supplementary Material S.1.

2.4.2. Seed Germination and Plant Growth Experiments

The seed germination test and plant growth experiments were conducted using Cress (Lepidium sativum) seeds [41,42]. The detailed methods can be found in Supplementary Material S.2. The results after the germination test (Equation (1)) and the plant growth test (Equation (2)) were calculated in terms of Germination Index and Plant Growth Index:

\[
I_g = \frac{G_c \cdot L_c}{G_t \cdot L_t} \times 100 \tag{1}
\]

\[
G_m = \frac{B_c}{B_t} \times 100 \tag{2}
\]

where \(I_g\) = Germination Index (in %); \(G_c\) = mean value of germinated seeds using test solution; \(G_t\) = mean value of germinated seeds using control solution (double-distilled water); \(L_c\) = mean value of length of roots of seeds using test solution; \(L_t\) = mean value of length of roots of seeds using control solution; \(G_m\) = Plant Growth Index (in %); \(B_c\) = weight of biomass of plants obtained using EW in sand and blond peat mixture; \(B_t\) = weight of biomass of plants obtained using only sand and blond peat mixture.

2.5. Dressing Activities for Raw Materials Recovery

2.5.1. Total Concentrations Analysis and Mineralogical Analysis of Samples

Prior to dressing activities, the analysis of subsamples used as feed for experiments was performed to ensure that extractive waste contained sufficient amounts of recoverable raw materials. The total concentrations of elements present in waste rock and tailings subsamples were analyzed at Activation Laboratories Ltd., Canada. The extraction solution for samples used hydrochloric, hydrofluoric, nitric, and perchloric acids for digestion (code: ultratrace 6). The digested solution was analyzed for element concentrations using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-MS and ICP-OES). Precision of the results was determined through the analysis of sample duplicates and blanks. Results of method blanks were always below detection limits. The detailed Quality Control measurements are in Supplementary Material S.3.

The mineralogical analysis of feed was not performed in this study, as it has been conducted on extractive waste from this site in a previous study by Dino et al. [33], which indicated the presence of sphalerite in the WR. However, tailings contained minor amounts of Fe sulphate, Zn-silicate (hemimorphite), Zn-carbonate (smithsonite and/or hydrozincite), and rare Cu-As-Sb ± Pb sulphosalts. In the current study, therefore, mineralogical analysis of selected heavy (concentrate) samples from shaking table experiments and float (concentrate) samples was performed to visualize and quantify the minerals present. The mineralogical analysis was performed using Micro-X-ray fluorescence (micro-XRF). X-ray maps of samples were acquired using a micro-XRF Eagle III-XPL spectrometer.
equipped with an EDS Si (Li) detector and with an EdaxVision32 micro-analytical system. The operating conditions were 4 µs counting time, 40 kV accelerating voltage, and a probe current of 1000 µA. Quantitative modal percentages of each mineral have been obtained by processing the micro-XRF maps with the “Petromod” software [43].

2.5.2. Wet Shaking Table

The wet shaking table (also referred to as a shaking table in the present study) method was used for the dressing activity [44]. The experiment was performed on feed weighing 1000 g (Figure 4). After introducing the sample to the feed bar, a continuous flow of water was maintained on the table through a water distributor. The inclination of table, flow of water, and constant shaking of table led to the separation of feed into not-concentrate and concentrate tanks. Following the experiment, solutions containing light and heavy samples were filtered separately using a vacuum filter and Whatman filter papers of diameter 24 cm. These samples were dried in an oven at 80 °C for 6 h to 24 h to remove moisture (until constant weight). The dried samples were weighed and quartered to obtain representative samples for analysis. These representative samples were analyzed for the concentrations of elements (geochemical analysis) and mineralogy (described in Section 2.5.1).

![Figure 4. Photo captured during the wet shaking table experiment.](image)

2.5.3. Froth Flotation

Subsamples for Flotation

Froth flotation (also referred to as flotation in subsequent sections) is a complex separation process that exploits the physicochemical surface properties of mineral particles to separate the valuable minerals present in the feed [45]. Since flotation utilizes surface properties, flotation of metal sulfides with dimensions >0.5 mm is not feasible; therefore, the WR subsamples were prepared to be below 0.5 mm for flotation experiments [46]. The fractions >0.5 mm were separated because at very high size fractions, the solid phase (comprising of primary minerals) to be floated is not fully liberated from other solid phases (consisting of gangue) in the mixture. The resultant composite particles can still be floated, but the separation is not satisfactorily selective. This is due to the fact that the concentrate obtained after flotation of very coarse size grains is unavoidably contaminated with the adjoining gangue [47].

The subsamples preparation for flotation experiments also included the removal of particles with size fractions <0.063 mm for waste rock samples, using wet sieving. This was done because at very low sizes, gangue minerals are also finely grounded. These fine/ultrafine gangue particles not only affect sub-flotation processes in recovering valuable minerals based on true flotation, but also lead to high mechanical entrainment [48]. Mechanical entrainment is a transfer process by which mineral particles suspended in water enter the flotation froth, move upwards, and finally leave the flotation cell with
the mineral particles recovered by true flotation, as explained by [49] (Figure 5). Since entrainment has a detrimental effect on the grade of the concentrate, it is advised to use the feeding with size >50 µm [48]. To account for these factors, grain liberation size experiments should be performed, which result in increased recovery of the minerals [48]. However, due to time limitations, these experiments were not carried out, and minimum size and maximum size were considered in a more general form, by crushing and sieving the coarse fraction of the WR in size fractions (0.063–0.16 mm and 0.16–0.5 mm). Such subsample preparation was not possible for tailings, as the original size of tailings was from 0–0.063 mm. Consequently, tailings were used for dressing activities, without any crushing from original size.

![Photo of the Denver Cell used for froth flotation and the schematic diagram of the transportation of fully liberated mineral particles in a flotation cell (modified after [49]). The numbers represent: (1) Transportation of valuable mineral particles to the froth from the pulp by true flotation, (2) Transportation of valuable mineral particles to the concentrate from the froth by true flotation, (3) Transfer of mineral particles to the froth from the pulp by entrainment, (4) Transfer of entrained mineral particles to the concentrate from the froth by entrainment, and (5) Transfer of mineral particles from the froth to the pulp due to the drainage of detached particles and entrained particles.](image)

**Figure 5.** Photo of the Denver Cell used for froth flotation and the schematic diagram of the transportation of fully liberated mineral particles in a flotation cell (modified after [49]). The numbers represent: (1) Transportation of valuable mineral particles to the froth from the pulp by true flotation, (2) Transportation of valuable mineral particles to the concentrate from the froth by true flotation, (3) Transfer of mineral particles to the froth from the pulp by entrainment, (4) Transfer of entrained mineral particles to the concentrate from the froth by entrainment, and (5) Transfer of mineral particles from the froth to the pulp due to the drainage of detached particles and entrained particles.

**Chemical Reagents**

The waste and water mixture was prepared after mixing 200 g of waste sample in water, reaching up to a volume of 2600 mL. The reagent solution was prepared using 1% (w/v) of a commercially available reagent, known as Aeropromoter No. 825 (a product of American Cynamide Co.) in 1000 ml of distilled water. The reagent was an anionic, petroleum-based sulfonate promoter. During the process of flotation, the collector is physically adsorbed on the surface of minerals by an electrostatic attraction. Adsorption of the collector on individual minerals can be controlled by the adjustment of pH of the system [50]. The experiments were thus conducted in slurry at pH of 7, 8.5, and 10, to understand the effect of pH on the recovery of elements. The pH of the slurry was adjusted using 1 M NaOH instead of lime, which is mainly used in industrial flotation to eliminate the effect of Ca$^{2+}$ on flotation [51].

**Experimental Procedure**

The extractive waste samples were treated using a self-aerating flotation Denver cell (Figure 5). During the experiments, the slurry was conditioned for two minutes. Following the addition of reagent dosage, the slurry was conditioned again for a period of two minutes. 20 mL of the reagent solution was added for size fraction (0.063–0.16 mm) of the sample weighing 200 g, thus reaching reagent
dosage of 1 g/kg. The pH of the slurry was then adjusted to a desired value, followed by conditioning of solution. Air was introduced into the slurry by the pumping action of an impeller. The air flow rate was controlled by the inlet valve and was correlated to the impeller speed, which was kept at 1500 rpm. The froth developed at the surface of the flotation cell was removed by hand using a paddle. The froth obtained was filtered to obtain a float sample, whilst the remaining slurry in the flotation cell was filtered to obtain a sink sample. These samples were dried and quartered to obtain representative samples, following the same process as in Section 2.5.2. The analysis was performed as explained in Section 2.5.1.

For waste rock samples in size fraction (0.16–0.5 mm), the experimental conditions like air flow rate and pH of the solution were maintained in a similar manner as that for fraction 0.063–0.16 mm. However, reagent dosage was increased in comparison to reagent dosage used for fractions 0.063–0.16 mm, to improve the flotation of particles (Figure 6). The reagent dosage was added in an incremental manner. Flotation was carried out by adding 20 mL reagent solution (dosage: 1 g of reagent for 1 kg of sample) and then removing the froth developed at the surface of the flotation cell. The froth developed at the surface of the flotation cell was removed by hand using a paddle. Another 20 mL of reagent was added to the solution left i.e., sink, after conditioning of three minutes, and maintaining pH similar to the pH of the starting solution. The float was separated again, followed by conditioning and addition of 20 mL of the reagent. Thus, 60 mL of the reagent was used in total for the solution of fractions (0.16–0.5 mm), taking the dosage to 3 g/kg in total. Each time, air was introduced into the slurry by the pumping action of an impeller. The air flow rate was controlled by the inlet valve and was correlated to the impeller speed, which was kept at 1500 rpm. The analytical measurements were performed on all the three float samples from froth obtained due to addition of incremental reagent dosages and one sink sample. The sample preparation followed for these samples was similar to that followed for waste rock in size fraction (0.063–0.16 mm). The analysis was performed as explained in Section 2.5.1.

2.5.4. Processing of Data

The heavy and light samples from shaking table experiments and sink and float samples from flotation were analyzed for presence of elements using ICP-MS by the same methods described in Section 2.5.1. The recovery for weight of concentrate and for elements was calculated using Equations (3)–(5). In Equation (5), the weight of feed was taken as the sum of concentrate (i.e., heavy sample for shaking table and float sample for flotation experiments) and not concentrate (i.e., light
sample for shaking table and sink sample for flotation experiments) weights. The concentrate and not concentrate weight were measured after the separation process and drying of samples, while the total concentrations analysis of concentrate and not concentrate led to the concentration of elements in mg/kg.

Thus, in Equation (5), the feed weight, concentrate weight and not concentrate weight were available from the weight measurements before and after dressing activities. The values of concentrations of metals were available for not concentrate and concentrate material from the chemical analysis. Therefore, the only unknown value that was calculated using these parameters was the concentration of elements in feed. Although the total concentrations’ analysis results presented in Section 3.2.1 gave feed properties, this method based on mass balance was chosen to arrive at more precise and accurate calculations [45]. The obtained value of Feed m was used for both Equations (3) and (4).

\[
R_w = \frac{C_w}{F_w} \times 100
\]

\[
R_m = \frac{C_m \cdot C_w}{F_m \cdot F_w} \times 100
\]

\[
F_m \cdot F_w = C_{cw} \cdot C_{cm} + N_w \cdot N_m
\]

where, \( C_w \) = weight of concentrate (i.e., heavy sample for shaking table and float sample for flotation experiments) after the dressing activities; \( C_m \) = concentration of a particular metal in concentrate solution in mg/kg; \( F_w \) = weight of feed in g; \( F_m \) = concentration of metal in feed material in mg/kg; \( N_w \) = weight of not concentrate (i.e., light sample for shaking table and sink sample for flotation experiments) obtained after all reagent dosages; \( N_m \) = concentration of metal in not concentrate in mg/kg; \( R_w \) = weight recovery (in %); \( R_m \) = metal recovery (in %).

As mentioned in Section 2.5.3, the flotation experiments on the WR in the size range fractions 0.16–0.5 mm were conducted using continuous addition of reagent dosage. After the addition of initial dosage 1 g/kg, the overflowing float was separated and the remaining slurry treated with another 20 mL. In total, 3 g/kg of reagent dosage was used in the process. Therefore, the results of recovery were calculated for every reagent dosage using Equations (6)–(10).

\[
C_{cw} (i) = \sum_{i=1}^{i} C_w (i)
\]

\[
C_{cm} (i) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i} C_w (i) \cdot C_m (i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{i} C_w (i)}
\]

\[
F_w \cdot F_m = C_{cw} (3) \cdot C_{cm} (3) + N_w \cdot N_m
\]

\[
C_{Rw} (i) = \frac{C_{cw} (i)}{F_w} \times 100
\]

\[
C_{Rm} (i) = \frac{C_{cw} (i) \cdot C_{cm} (i)}{F_w \cdot F_m} \times 100
\]

where, i = the step of flotation in which calculations are performed according to reagent dosage (for e.g., i = 1, for dosage of 1 g/kg; i = 2, for dosage of 2 g/kg); \( C_{cw} (i) \) = cumulative weight of float in g after (i) stages of flotation; \( C_{cm} (i) \) = cumulative concentration of metal obtained in float in mg/kg after (i) stages of flotation; \( C_w (i) \) = weight of float sample(s) after (i) stages of reagent addition in g; \( C_m (i) \) = concentration of float after (i) stages of reagent addition in mg/kg; \( F_w \) = weight of feed in g; \( F_m \) = concentration of metal in feed in mg/kg; \( N_w \) = weight of sink obtained after all reagent dosages in g; \( N_m \) = concentration of metal in sink in mg/kg i.e., completion of flotation; \( C_{Rw} (i) \) = Cumulative recovery of weight achieved after (i) stages of flotation; \( C_{Rm} (i) \) = cumulative metal recovery obtained after (i) stages of flotation.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Impact of Extractive Waste on Plants

3.1.1. Aqua Regia Extractable Concentrations and Bioavailable Concentrations Analysis on Fine Fraction of Extractive waste

*Aqua regia* does not result in complete dissolution of elements bound or occluded into silicate lattices [52], thus providing only a pseudo-total concentration. However, it is commonly used in environmental studies for the assessment of extent of soil and EW contamination because this acid blend usually addresses the most concerning chemical fraction for environment and human health [53,54]. The *aqua regia* extractable and bioavailable concentrations on the EW samples with size fraction <2 mm are shown in Table 1. It should be noted that size fraction <2 mm was considered for these experiments, following the Italian legislation about characterization of waste materials to evaluate impacts on the environment [55]. Waste rock sample recorded the *aqua regia* extractable concentrations of As as 143 mg/kg, Cu as 868 mg/kg, Ni as 1063 mg/kg, and Sb as 77 mg/kg. The *aqua regia* extractable concentrations of Cd (260 mg/kg) and Zn (147,367 mg/kg i.e., 148 g/kg) were found to be very high due to the parent material. The results, therefore, showed that the *aqua regia* extractable concentrations of As, Cd, Cu, Ni, Sb, and Zn were higher than the Italian permissible limits for soil for both industrial uses and recreational and habitation uses [55]. However, not all the elements were bioavailable to plants. The bioavailability analysis using DTPA showed that Cd and Zn were the only elements in waste rock that showed very high bioavailable concentrations. This is due to the fact that availability and bioavailability of metals, non-metals, and metalloids is low in materials from calcareous rocks due to prevailing alkaline pH values [56].

Table 1. *Aqua regia* extractable concentrations and bioavailable concentrations (mg/kg) in waste rock and tailings sample (<2 mm size fraction).

| Sample     | pH (H$_2$O) 1:2.5 | As  | Be  | Cd  | Co  | Cr  | Cu  | Ni  | Pb  | Sb  | Tl  | V   | Zn  |
|------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|            | mg/kg             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Waste rock | Limit A           | 20  | 2   | 2   | 20  | 150 | 120 | 120 | 100 | 10  | 1   | 90  | 150 |
|            | Limit B           | 50  | 10  | 15  | 250 | 800 | 600 | 500 | 1000| 20  | 10  | 250 | 1500|
| Total      | 8.14              | 143 | 0   | 260 | 100 | 24  | 868 | 1063| 101 | 77  | 0   | 76  | 147,367|
| Bio        |                   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 110 | 0   | 507 |
| Tailings   | Limit A           | 84  | 0   | 34  | 2   | 7   | 236 | 5   | 1919| 163 | 0   | 29  | 10,338|
|            | Limit B           | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 110 | 0   | 41  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 507 |
| Total      | 8.25              | 84  | 0   | 34  | 2   | 7   | 236 | 5   | 1919| 163 | 0   | 29  | 10,338|
| Bio        |                   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 110 | 0   | 41  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 507 |

Total: Concentration (mg/kg) of elements using aqua regia, Bio: Bioavailable concentration (mg/kg) using DTPA extractions. Limit A denotes the permissible limits for recreational and habitation areas and Limit B represents the permissible limits for industrial areas [55].

Samples of tailings recorded the *aqua regia* extractable concentration of As, Cd, and Cu as 84, 34, and 236 mg/kg, respectively. The *aqua regia* extractable concentration of Pb and Zn were very high and reached 1919 and 10,338 mg/kg, respectively. The concentration of As, Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn obtained using *aqua regia* were higher in tailings in comparison to Italian limit for recreational and habitation uses. The results of bioavailability analysis showed that the bioavailable concentration of Cu and Zn were high.

3.1.2. Seed Germination and Plant Growth

The results of the seed germination test (Figure 7a) indicated that 75% of the test solution from extractive waste with double-distilled water led to a Germination Index of 95%, suggesting that there was no major detrimental impact to seed germination. The results of plant growth test (Figure 7b) showed that there was a decrease in plant growth with increase in WR and tailings content in the sand and blond peat mixture (blond peat is a stick-free peat that allows suitable contact between seed and
substrate, promoting uniform seed germination). The addition of 10%, 20%, and 30% of fine fraction of WR during the pot experiments led to Plant Growth Index of 46%, 33%, and 40% respectively, implying sharp decrease in plant growth even after addition of WR. This can be ascribed to: (1) Cd and Zn toxicity to plants due to WR. Indeed, Cd tends to be toxic to plant growth even at very low concentrations by interfering with the uptake, transport, and use of elements (e.g. Ca, Mg, P, and K) by plants [57]; (2) Lesser availability of nutrients and organic matter due to the addition of WR.

![Germination test and Plant growth test graphs](image)

**Figure 7.** Germination Index (a) \( I \) and Plant Growth Index (b) using waste rock and tailings from Gorno.

The results of plant growth for tailings recorded that the addition of 20%, 30%, and 40% of tailings to the mixture led to Plant Growth Index of 71%, 56%, and 44% respectively, exhibiting that mixtures prepared with addition of tailings was less harmful than mixture with WR. One of the major factors contributing to this observation could be lesser *aqua regia* extractable and bioavailable concentrations (as shown in Section 3.1.1) of elements in tailings compared to WR.

These results serve as an indication of the impact of extractive waste on plants so as to assess the usability of extractive waste as soil additives. The results recorded that in the present form and without the use of amendments and fertilizers, the extractive waste samples cannot be used for growing plants. Further experiments to confirm the uses of waste rock as soil additive are required, which takes into account the effect of WR on different kind of plants and the translocation of absorbed elements from roots to aerial parts of the plant body. It should also be noted that plant growth could be increased by: (a) addition of amendments, (b) selection of appropriate plant species, and (c) addition of fertilizers [58]. A study conducted by Dalmora et al. [59] demonstrated that the use of vesicular andesite powder as a soil remineralizer in agriculture may be suitable for solving the problem of by-products deposited outside the mines and to decrease the consumption of highly soluble fertilizers, which should also be considered to use the extractive wastes as soil additive.

3.2. Dressing Activities

3.2.1. Feed Characteristics

The subsamples of coarse fraction (>2 mm) of WR crushed to size fractions of <0.5 mm and 0.5–1 mm were analyzed for total concentrations of elements prior to dressing activities. Similar analysis was performed on tailings. In general, it was observed that concentrations of the elements in the samples of WR in size fraction <0.5 mm were higher compared to samples in 0.5–1 mm size fraction [60]. It could be due to the fact that concentration tends to be higher at smaller sizes, as smaller sizes provide more specific area. The samples were found to contain very high zinc concentrations reaching up to 100,000 mg/kg (i.e., 100 g/kg) for size fraction <0.5 mm and 84,000 mg/kg (i.e., 84 g/kg) for size fraction 0.5–1 mm of the total weight of samples. Tailings recorded total concentration of Zn as 7640 mg/kg (i.e., 7.64 g/kg), as shown in Table 2. Concentration of Ga in waste rock with size fraction <0.5 mm, WR with size fraction 0.5–1 mm and tailings were found to be 11.4, 15.4, and 2.1 mg/kg, respectively. Cadmium concentrations were also noticed to be high, ranging from 36 mg/kg.
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in tailings to 316 mg/kg in WR. Considering high concentrations of Cd, Ga, and Zn in tailings and WR, the calculations of weight recovery and metal recovery were performed on these elements. It was also noticed that concentrations of many of the elements apart from Cu and Pb were higher in waste rock compared to tailings.

Table 2. Total concentrations (mg/kg) analyzed using hydrochloric, hydrofluoric, nitric, and perchloric acids for digestion. The analysis was performed on subsamples of waste rock and tailings.

| Sample     | Be | Cd | Co | Cr | Cu | Ga | Ni | Pb | Sb | Tl | V   | Zn   |
|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|
| Waste rock |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |      |
| <0.5 mm    | 0.3| 270| 3  | 10 | 73 | 11.4| 5.6| 20.2| 77 | 0.2| 32  | 100,000|
| 0.5–1 mm   | 0.3| 316| 1.1| 9  | 77 | 15.4| 3.4| 40.8| 0  | 0.2| 31  | 84,000|
| Tailings   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |      |
| <0.063 mm  | 0.7| 36 | 1.7| 9  | 261| 2.1 | 3.1| 1420| 163| 0.6| 35  | 7640 |

3.2.2. Shaking Table

Figure 8a depicts that the weight recovery for WR for <0.5 mm and 0.5–1 mm was nearly similar. However, the recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn was found to be different in both the size fractions (Figure 8b). The waste rock samples in the size fraction <0.5 mm recorded the recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn as 66%, 56%, and 64% respectively. While, shaking table of WR samples in size fraction 0.5–1 mm led to the recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn as 39%, 39%, and 43% respectively. The possible reasons for the decrease in recovery and separation efficiency with respect to increase in size could be that for some minerals, coarse grains are nearly equi-dimensional, and the difference in specific gravity between those grains is not sufficient, that it can lead to proper separation [61].

The shaking table experiments on tailings showed the weight recovery as 3.7%. The recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn was 2%, 7%, and 4%, respectively (Figure 8c). It was observed that tailings showed lesser recovery than waste rock, which could be due to the fact that tailings in Gorno mining area were generated after processing of original ores, leading to alteration of surface properties of minerals and the material in a way that is not suitable for separation of minerals, while the WR tends to preserve the characteristics of original rock formations.

The mineral maps of the heavy sample after shaking table for waste rock (<0.5 mm) showed that sphalerite (83.6%) and calcite (13.7%) were the most abundant minerals. Minor minerals were pyrite, quartz, and dolomite (Figure 9a). Mapping of heavy sample after shaking table for WR (0.5–1 mm) depicted that abundant minerals obtained after shaking table were sphalerite (49.3%) and dolomite (43.3%). The minor minerals were smithsonite (3.8%), calcite (2.9%), quartz (0.6%), and pyrite (0.15%) (Figure 9b). The samples from WR in both size fractions show that the shaking table treatment of WR can lead to beneficial recovery of Zn, present as sphalerite (ZnS) and subordinately in smithsonite (ZnCO₃). However, sphalerite was more in heavy samples obtained from WR in size fraction (<0.5 mm) than that in size fraction (0.5–1 mm). This was also evident in recovery of metals summarized in Figure 8. Mapping of a heavy sample of tailings demonstrated that abundant minerals were calcite (88.5%) and pyrite (10.0%); and minor minerals were sphalerite, dolomite, and galena, with total percentage of less than 5% (Figure 9c). These results reveal that the heavy samples from tailings did not show any major presence of sphalerite, which can be exploited economically.
Figure 8. Weight recovery and metal recovery obtained after shaking table experiments. (a) Weight recovery of WR in size fraction <0.5 mm (WR_<0.5) and size fraction 0.5-1 mm (WR_0.5–1) and tailings; (b) Recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn using waste rock; (c) Recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn using tailings during shaking table experiments.
With pH 10, the highest recovery was obtained. The metal recovery of tailings was not very high; the reason could be that the reagent dosage required to facilitate the flotation in higher size fractions could be large, which has been evident in the latter part of this section, where the recovery for flotation followed the trend pH 7 > pH 8.5 > pH 10.

For the WR (0.16–0.5 mm) at dosage of 1 g/kg, the weight recovery was found to vary from 8.8% to 19.0% with increase in pH from 7 to 10. The recovery of Cd at pH 7, 8.5, and 10 was 9%, 7%, and 14%, respectively. The recovery of Ga at different pH conditions was 8% (at pH 7), 6% (at pH 8.5), and 12% (at pH 10). The recovery of Zn at pH 7, 8.5, and 10 was 37%, 36%, and 36%, respectively. Thus, the recovery for flotation followed the trend pH 7 > pH 8.5 > pH 10.

These results depicted that recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn from WR samples at reagent dosage of 1 g/kg was higher for samples with size fraction 0.016–0.5 mm, compared to 0.063–0.16 mm. The possible reason could be that the reagent dosage required to facilitate the flotation in higher size fractions could be large, which has been evident in the latter part of this section, where the recovery tends to increase with the increase in reagent dosage.

The flotation experiment on tailings showed that the recovery of Cd and Zn at pH 7 was 33% and 29%, respectively. It was observed that with increase in pH, there was increase in recovery of the elements as well, for e.g., at pH 8.5, the recovery of Cd and Zn was 40% and 35%, respectively. With pH 10, the highest recovery was obtained. The metal recovery of tailings was not very high;

![Mineral maps of heavy samples obtained after shaking table experiments](image-url)

**Figure 9.** Mineral maps of heavy samples obtained after shaking table experiments of (a) waste rock (size fraction <0.5 mm), (b) waste rock (size fraction 0.5-1 mm), and (c) tailings.

### 3.2.3. Flotation

Flotation experiments were conducted on waste rock in size fractions 0.063–0.16 mm and 0.16–0.5 mm. The recovery for WR and tailings samples using 1 g/kg of reagent dosage were calculated using Equations (3)–(5), plotted in Figure 10. The weight recovery of WR samples in different size fractions and tailings tends to increase with increase in pH. It was also noticed that recovery for analyzed metals was lesser than 50% for extractive waste samples at reagent dosage of 1 g/kg at all three pH conditions. For the WR in the size fraction 0.063-0.16 mm, at dosage of 1 g/kg, the weight recovery was 37.3%, 44.6%, and 53.2%, respectively. The recovery of Cd at pH 7, 8.5, and 10 was 49%, 43%, and 36%, respectively. The recovery of Ga at different pH conditions was 8% (at pH 7), 6% (at pH 8.5), and 12% (at pH 10). The recovery of Zn at pH 7, 8.5, and 10 was 37%, 36%, and 36%, respectively. Thus, the recovery for flotation followed the trend pH 7 > pH 8.5 > pH 10.

For the WR (0.16–0.5 mm) and tailings, the weight recovery was found to vary from 8.8% to 19.0% with increase in pH from 7 to 10. The recovery of Cd at pH 7, 8.5, and 10 was 9%, 7%, and 14%, respectively. The recovery of Ga at different pH conditions was 8% (at pH 7), 6% (at pH 8.5), and 12% (at pH 10). The recovery of Zn at pH 7, 8.5, and 10 was 37%, 36%, and 36%, respectively. Thus, the results showed that by keeping all the experimental conditions constant, the recovery for flotation followed the trend pH 10 > pH 7 > pH 8.5. The trend of recovery with respect to pH of slurry was different for varying size fractions. These results lead to the conclusion that the pH of the slurry affects the separation efficiency differently for different size fractions.

These results depicted that recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn from WR samples at reagent dosage of 1 g/kg was higher for samples with size fraction 0.016–0.5 mm, compared to 0.063–0.16 mm. The possible reason could be that the reagent dosage required to facilitate the flotation in higher size fractions could be large, which has been evident in the latter part of this section, where the recovery tends to increase with the increase in reagent dosage.

The flotation experiment on tailings showed that the recovery of Cd and Zn at pH 7 was 33% and 29%, respectively. It was observed that with increase in pH, there was increase in recovery of the elements as well, for e.g., at pH 8.5, the recovery of Cd and Zn was 40% and 35%, respectively. With pH 10, the highest recovery was obtained. The metal recovery of tailings was not very high;
the possible reason could be that the mechanical entrainment, which leads to transport of gangue material with mineral particles increases with increase in percentage of fine particles [62]. It is more likely to operate on fine particles, which are prone to be carried over in liquid due to relatively low mass (refer to Figure 6 for entrainment explanation). The mechanical entrainment of gangue, therefore, leads to low quality of the concentrate from flotation processes [48]. It should be noted that all the recovery results presented here for flotation experiments include the particle recovery by true flotation and mechanical entrainment.

**Figure 10.** Weight recovery (a) and recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn after treatment of waste rock (b) and tailings (c) at pH 7, 8.5, 10 and reagent dosage of 1 g/kg.
The flotation experiments on the waste rock in the size range fractions 0.16–0.5 mm were conducted using continuous addition of reagent dosage (as shown in Figure 6). Consequently, the results were obtained using cumulative recovery using Equations (5)–(10). It was observed that the values of cumulative recovery in terms of weight (Figure 11a) varied from 9% to 83%. The weight recovery indicated that there was higher recovery obtained with subsequent addition of reagent in the slurry at all the three pH conditions. This has been found in agreement with the previous research done on recovery of zinc from tailings of Pb-Zn mines [63]. Similar trends were observed for variation of cumulative recovery of Cd, Ga, and Zn. The cumulative recovery of Zn varied from 2% to 43%, 2% to 84% and from 8% to 33% for pH 7, pH 8.5 and pH 10, respectively.

Figure 11. Cumulative weight recovery (a) and cumulative recovery of Cd (b), Ga (c), and Zn (d), after treatment of waste rock and tailings at pH 7, 8.5, 10 (calculated using Equations (6)–(10)).

The cumulative recovery at different conditions showed that different pH leads to different recovery (here pH 8.5 > pH 7 > pH 10). The possible reason for this could be that Zn$^{2+}$ cations (due to ZnO, Zn(OH)$_2$ species) exhibit a marked effect on the zeta potential if they are present on the sphalerite surface in the region (pH 8–9), making the sphalerite strongly negatively charged at pH 8–9 [64]. This suggests that flotation experiments were highly affected by pH and reagent dosage. The other parameters that affect the recovery from any feeding material are the use of depressants, the use of controller, the use of different reagents, the pH conditions, the electrolytic conductivity of the slurry, the setting up of further beneficiation processes or dressing activities, etc. Thus, prior to setting up of the actual plant, it is necessary that these factors are analyzed on a laboratory scale along with analysis of EW present at the site.

The mineral map of float sample after flotation of waste rock at pH 7 (<0.5 mm) is given in Figure 12a. The analysis showed that calcite (57.2%) and smithsonite (27.0%) were the main minerals and minor minerals were pyrite (9.3%) and sphalerite (6.5%). The analysis also showed that the minerals were found to be dispersed as very small grains in the sample matrix.
The mineral map of float sample obtained after flotation of WR (0.16–0.5 mm) using 3 g/kg reagent dosage is represented in Figure 12b. It shows that abundant minerals obtained were calcite (47.6%) and dolomite (24.2%) and minor minerals were sphalerite (27.1%) and subordinately smithsonite and pyrite, suggesting that WR in size fraction (0.16–0.5 mm) can be used for recovery of raw materials from sphalerite after using 3 g/kg of reagent dosage. This is also evident from increasing cumulative recovery of Zn with reagent dosage in Figure 11d. Although grain liberation size experiments were not conducted (Section 2.5.3.—Subsamples for Flotation), it should be noted that the results obtained from both the elemental analysis and mineral mapping indicate that 0.16–0.5 mm showed higher recovery of sphalerite at reagent dosage of 3 g/kg. Mineral map of float sample after flotation on tailings demonstrated the main mineral to be calcite (88.6%) and minor minerals to be dolomite, sphalerite, galena, and quartz with total percentage less than 15% (Figure 12c). These results show that the concentrate from tailings did not show the presence of sphalerite, which can be exploited economically.

4. Conclusions

The present research investigated the reuse potential of the extractive waste present at the abandoned mining site in Gorno (northwestern Italy). This study assessed the impact of fine fractions coming from waste rocks and tailings on plants by using it as a soil additive. On the other hand, the coarse waste rock samples (crushed to various size fractions) and tailings were also dressed using shaking table and flotation. Tailings showed lower recoveries of analyzed elements compared to waste rock in the shaking table experiments. The flotation experiments showed that the recovery for Cd, Ga, and Zn was less than 50% for waste rock (in size fractions 0.063–0.16 mm and 0.16–0.5 mm) and tailings samples at reagent dosage of 1 g/kg. However, cumulative recovery was considerably increased by increasing reagent dosage consecutively. On the basis of these experiments, it can be proposed that tailings should be used as soil additive with the addition of fertilizers and amendments.
to soil. However, for the recovery of metals from waste rock samples of Gorno, flotation should be used as the preferred separation method. The current research, thus, provides insights into recovery potential present at the site using wet shaking table and froth flotation. It also lays the foundation for future research, which should analyze other methods of dressing activities and recovery of RM such as bioleaching, hydrometallurgy, oil agglomeration flotation, etc. that can be used on the basis of economic and environmental feasibility, which were not applied in the present work.

Finally, the present investigation frames the opportunities for realizing a sustainable and resilient circular economy for extractive waste management. It is an approach that integrates innovation across geological, soil science, mineralogical, and technological domains. The transformation towards a circular economy needs advancements in filling the knowledge gaps of the factors that affect the way waste is managed. The present work highlights the opportunity to consider extractive waste facilities as “ore bodies” to exploit. Recovery of raw materials can also lead to remediation of the investigated area, decrementing the environmental impacts associated with extractive waste facilities [65]. Thus, the recovery of RM and reclamation operations can be strategically integrated for the requalification and future management of the damaged area [66]. By combining (future) valorization of materials with land re-use, cost efficient resource recovery of extractive waste will potentially generate economic, environmental, and social benefits [7].
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