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Abstract

We report the first detection of deuterated water (HDO) toward an extragalactic hot core. The HDO $2_{11}−2_{12}$ line has been detected toward hot cores N 105−2 A and 2 B in the N 105 star-forming region in the low-metallicity Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) dwarf galaxy with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA). We have compared the HDO line luminosity ($L_{\text{HDO}}$) measured toward the LMC hot cores to those observed toward a sample of 17 Galactic hot cores covering three orders of magnitude in $L_{\text{HDO}}$: four orders of magnitude in bolometric luminosity ($L_{\text{bol}}$), and a wide range of Galacticentric distances (thus metallicities). The observed values of $L_{\text{HDO}}$ for the LMC hot cores fit very well into the $L_{\text{HDO}}$ trends with $L_{\text{bol}}$ and metallicity observed toward the Galactic hot cores. We have found that $L_{\text{HDO}}$ seems to be largely dependent on the source luminosity, but metallicity also plays a role. We provide a rough estimate of the H$_2$O column density and abundance ranges toward the LMC hot cores by assuming that HDO/H$_2$O toward the LMC hot cores is the same as that observed in the Milky Way; the estimated ranges are systematically lower than Galactic values. The spatial distribution and velocity structure of the HDO emission in N 105−2 A is consistent with HDO being the product of the low-temperature dust grain chemistry. Our results are in agreement with the astrochemical model predictions that HDO is abundant regardless of the extragalactic environment and should be detectable with ALMA in external galaxies.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Star formation (1569); Astrochemistry (75); Magellanic Clouds (990); Chemical abundances (224); Star forming regions (1565); Protostars (1302)

1. Introduction

Water (H$_2$O) is a key molecule tracing the chemical and physical processes associated with the formation of stars and planets. Water shows large abundance variations in star-forming regions because it can be produced in both the gas phase and on the surfaces of interstellar dust grains (e.g., van Dishoeck et al. 2021). In cold molecular gas, most water is in the form of ice, with only trace amounts in the gas. In outflow shocks where $T > 300$ K, water is predominantly in the gas phase where it forms directly (e.g., Suutarinen et al. 2014; Kristensen et al. 2017; Karska et al. 2018). Deuterated water (HDO), on the other hand, forms mostly on the dust grains in the cold clouds before core collapse (e.g., Jacq et al. 1990; Furuya et al. 2016). Particularly, the amount of HDO formed is set by a combination of the temperature and lifetime of the cold phase, where higher temperatures and shorter lifetimes lead to lower deuterium fractionation, and vice versa (e.g., Jensen et al. 2021). Once formed on the grains, HDO typically sublimes into the gas phase near protostars, where the dust temperature exceeds 100 K, in so-called hot cores (high-mass stars) or hot corinos (low- and intermediate-mass stars; e.g., Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009). The amount of HDO present thus contains a fossil record of the conditions in the cold gas, and a key question naturally arises: how will different physical conditions in external galaxies affect these processes?

The first, and until now the only, extragalactic detection of HDO was reported by Muller et al. (2020). Using the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA), Muller et al. (2020) detected the HDO $J_{K,K} = 1_{01}−0_{00}$ absorption line at 464.9245 GHz in a spiral galaxy at a redshift ($z$) of 0.89 on the line of sight toward the quasar PKS 1830−211. Here, we report the first detection of HDO toward extragalactic hot molecular cores. Hot cores are compact ($<0.1$ pc), warm ($\geq 100$ K), and dense ($\geq 10^{6−7}$ cm$^{-3}$) regions surrounding high-mass protostars very early in their evolution. A typical Galactic hot core is chemically rich, containing the products of the interstellar grain-surface chemistry (including complex organics and water) released from the dust grain ice mantles to the gas phase via thermal evaporation and/or sputtering in shock waves (e.g., Garay & Lizano 1999; Kurtz et al. 2000; Cesaroni 2005; Palau et al. 2011). Hot cores may also display...
products of post-desorption gas chemistry (e.g., Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009; Oberg & Jørgensen et al. 2020).

We detected the HDO $2_{11}$–$2_{12}$ line at 241.5616 GHz with ALMA toward hot cores N 105–2 A and N 105–2 B in the star-forming region N 105 in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC; briefly reported in Sewilo et al. 2022). These two are out of only a handful of known bona fide extragalactic hot cores, all located in the LMC (Shimonishi et al. 2016b, 2020; Sewilo et al. 2018, 2019, 2022).

The LMC, an irregular dwarf galaxy, is the most massive and one of the nearest (50.0 ± 1.1 kpc; Pietrzyński et al. 2013) satellites of the Milky Way. The low metallicity of the LMC ($Z \sim 0.3–0.5 Z_\odot$; Russell & Dopita 1992; Westerlund 1997; Rolleston et al. 2002), similar to galaxies at the peak of star formation in the Universe ($z \sim 1.5$; e.g., Pei et al. 1999; Mehlert et al. 2002; Madau & Dickinson 2014), provides a unique opportunity to study star formation (including the H$_2$O and HDO chemistry) in an environment that is significantly different than in today’s Galaxy.

There are several factors that can directly impact the formation and destruction of H$_2$O and HDO molecules in a low-metallicity environment. The abundance of atomic O in the LMC is over a factor of two lower when compared with the Galaxy (i.e., fewer O atoms are available for water chemistry; e.g., Russell & Dopita 1992). The dust-to-gas ratio in the LMC is lower (e.g., Dufour 1975, 1984; Koornneef 1984; Roman-Duval et al. 2014), resulting in fewer dust grains for surface chemistry and less shielding than in the Galaxy. The deficiency of dust combined with the harsher UV radiation field in the LMC (e.g., Browning et al. 2003; Welty et al. 2006) leads to warmer dust temperatures (e.g., van Loon et al. 2010a) and consequently, less efficient grain-surface reactions (e.g., Shimonishi et al. 2016a; Acharyya & Herbst 2015). The cosmic-ray density in the LMC is about 25% of that measured in the solar neighborhood (e.g., Dufour 1975, 1984; Koornneef 1984; Roman-Duval 2001). The dust-to-gas ratio in the LMC consequently, less effective cosmic-ray-induced UV radiation.

Extragalactic deuterated molecules were first detected in star-forming regions of the LMC by Chin et al. (1996) in single-dish observations. Deuterated formyl cation (DCO$^+$) was detected toward three (N 113, N 44 BC, N 159 HW) and deuterated hydrogen cyanide (DCN) toward one star-forming region (N 113; see also Wang et al. 2009). In an independent study, Heikkilä et al. (1997) reported a detection of DCO$^+$ and a tentative detection of DCN toward N 159.

In the more recent interferometric studies, deuterated molecules have been detected toward the LMC hot cores and hot core candidates. DCN was detected in two hot cores in N 113 (N 113 A1 and N 113 B3; Sewilo et al. 2018), deuterated hydrogen sulfide (HDS) toward a hot core candidate N 105–2 C, HDO toward hot cores N 105–2 A and N 105–2 B, and deuterated formaldehyde (HDCO) toward N 105–2 A (Sewilo et al. 2022). In this paper, we provide a detailed discussion on the detection of HDO toward N 105–2 A and 2 B: the first detection of HDO toward an extragalactic hot core.

2. The Data

Field N 105–2 in the star-forming region LHA 120–N 105 (hereafter N 105; Henize 1956) hosting hot cores 2 A and 2 B was observed with ALMA 12 m Array in Band 6 as part of the Cycle 7 project 2019.1.01720.S (PI M. Sewilo; Sewilo et al. 2022). The observations were executed twice on 2019 October 21 with 43 antennas and baselines from 15 m to 783 m. The (bandpass, flux, phase) calibrators were (J0519–4546, J0519–4546, J0440–6952) and (J0538–4405, J0538–4405, J0511–6806) for the first and second run, respectively. N 105–2 was observed again on 2019 October 23 with 43 antennas, baselines from 15 m to 782 m, and the same calibrators. The total on-source integration was ~13.1 minutes. The spectral setup included four 1875 MHz spectral windows with 3840 channels centered on frequencies of 242.4 GHz, 244.8 GHz, 257.8 GHz, and 259.7 GHz; the spectral resolution is 1.21–1.13 km s$^{-1}$.

The data were calibrated and imaged with version 5.6.1-8 of the ALMA pipeline in CASA (Common Astronomy Software Applications; McMullin et al. 2007). Continuum was subtracted in the UV domain from the line spectral windows. The CASA task tclean was used for imaging using the Hogbom deconvolver, standard gridder, Briggs weighting with a robust parameter of 0.5, and auto-multithresh masking. The spectral cubes have a cell size of 0.01092 × 0.01092 × 0.056 km s$^{-1}$ and they have been corrected for primary beam attenuation.

Here, we present the results based on the 242.4 GHz spectral window: a detection of the HDO $2_{11}$–$2_{12}$ transition at 241.561550 MHz with the upper energy level $E_u$ of 95.2 K toward two continuum sources (A and B) in the N 105–2 field (see Figure 1). Sensitivity of 1.97 mJy per 0.05 × 0.05 beam (0.15 K) was achieved in the 242.4 GHz cube. Sensitivity of 0.05 mJy per 0.05 × 0.05 beam (4.4 mK) was achieved in the continuum.

3. Results

Figure 2 shows selected frequency ranges of the ALMA Band 6 spectra of hot cores N 105–2 A and B, covering the HDO 241.6 GHz line, as well as the methanol (CH$_3$OH) $J = 5$–4 Q-branch at $\sim$241.8 GHz and the methyl cyanide (CH$_3$CN) 14$\Lambda$–13$\Lambda$ ladder for reference. The molecular line identification and spectral modeling for all spectral windows were performed for all the continuum sources in N 105–2 in Sewilo et al. (2022).

The spectral line modeling was performed using a least-squares approach under the assumption of local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) and accounting for line opacity effects. The best-fitting column density, rotational temperature, Doppler shift, and spectral line width ($N$, $T_{rot}$, $v_d$, $dv$) for the complete set of species were determined simultaneously. A custom Python routine was used to generate spectral line models with spectroscopic parameters taken from the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS, Müller et al. 2001) for all molecular species except HDO (not included in CDMS) for which the data were taken from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) Molecular Spectroscopy Database (Pickett et al. 1998). For molecular species with single line detections (including HDO), the rotational temperature of CH$_3$CN, $T_{CH_3CN}$, was adopted for the fitting. For N 105–2 A and 2 B, the HDO and CH$_3$CN integrated intensity peaks coincide, supporting this assumption (see Figures 1 and 5). The Sewilo et al. (2022)’s LTE spectral-fitting results for HDO ($N$, $v_d$) = [N$_{HDO}$, $v_{LSR}$, $\Delta v_{FWHM}$] and the adopted $T_{CH_3CN}$ are provided in Table 1 for N 105–2 A and 2 B. The synthetic spectra are overlaid on the observed spectra of

---

14 http://www.astro.uni-koeln.de/cdms
15 http://spec.jpl.nasa.gov/
2 A and 2 B in Figure 2. Table 1 also lists the H$_2$ column densities (N$_{H_2}$), H$_2$ number densities (n$_{H_2}$), and HDO abundances with respect to H$_2$ (N$_{HDO}$/N$_{H_2}$). N$_{H_2}$ was calculated by Sewilo et al. (2022) based on the 1.2 millimeter continuum flux density and adopting T$_{CH_3CN}$ under the assumption that the dust and gas are well coupled. The assumption of thermal...
equilibrium between the dust and gas holds for high-density regions such as N 105–2 A and 2 B ($n_{\text{H}_2} \gtrsim 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$; e.g., Goldsmith & Langer 1978; Kaufman et al. 1998).

We have measured the HDO $2_1-2_2$ line flux (the integrated line intensity; $F_{\text{HDO}}$) of 1.8 $\pm$ 0.3 K km s$^{-1}$ for N 105–2 A and 1.3 $\pm$ 0.6 K km s$^{-1}$ for 2 B. We have calculated the HDO $2_1-2_2$ line luminosity ($L_{\text{HDO}}$) from $F_{\text{HDO}}$ using the standard relation (e.g., Wu et al. 2005) as outlined in Appendix A. $L_{\text{HDO}}$ is (3.0 $\pm$ 0.5) $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ $L_\odot$ for 2 A and (2.2 $\pm$ 1.0) $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ $L_\odot$ for 2 B. The results are listed in Table 2 in Appendix A.

### 3.1. The Galactic Sample of Hot Cores with the HDO Detection

HDO observations are available in the literature for 17 Galactic hot cores. The HDO $2_1-2_2$ line fluxes (same transition we detected in the LMC) are available for W3(H$_2$O), AGFL 2591, G34.26+0.15, W51 e1/e2, W51 d, NGC 7538 IRS1, Sgr B2(N), and Sgr B2(M) near the Galactic Center, and the extreme outer galaxy Source W8 789 SMM1.

Observations of W3(H$_2$O) were performed with the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT) with a 19″ beam (half-power beamwidth, HPBW), tracing 0.2 pc linear scales (Helmi et al. 1996). The HDO data for AGFL 2591 (van der Tak et al. 2006), G34.26+0.15 (Coutens et al. 2014), W51 e1/e2, W51 d, and NGC 7538 IRS1 (Jacq et al. 1990), were obtained with the IRAM 30 m telescope with a 12″ beam, tracing 0.15–0.32 pc scales for the distance range covered by these sources. The Sgr B2(N) and Sgr B2(M) observations were performed with the SEST telescope with a 22″ beam, tracing 0.89 pc scales (Nummelin et al. 2000). WB 789–789 SMM1 was observed with ALMA by Shimozaki et al. (2021) with a ~0″5 beam, corresponding to ~0.026 pc.

Two HDO transitions were detected toward W43 MM1, NGC 7538 S, IRAS 18089–1732 (Marseille et al. 2010), and W33A (van der Tak et al. 2006) with the IRAM 30 m telescope: $1_2-1_1$ (80.5783 GHz, $E_U = 46.8$ K; 30″ beam, 0.34–0.80 pc scales) and $3_2-2_1$ (225.8967 GHz, $E_U = 167.6$ K; 11″ beam, 0.12–0.31 pc scales). Assuming that these two transitions are optically thin and in LTE (see e.g., Persson et al. 2014), we have used a rotational diagram (Goldsmith & Langer 1999) to estimate the HDO $2_1-2_2$ line flux toward W43 MM1, NGC 7538 S, IRAS 18089–1732, and W33A (see Appendix A).

Data for a single HDO transition, $3_2-2_1$, are available for G9.62+0.19, G10.47+0.03A, G29.96–0.02, and G31.41+0.31 (Gensheimer et al. 1996); the IRAM 30 m telescope observations of these sources trace 0.2–0.6 pc scales. To estimate the HDO $2_1-2_2$ line flux, we extrapolated the $3_2-2_1$ line flux assuming an excitation temperature derived in literature for these sources using CH$_3$CN: 70 K for G9.62+0.19 (Hofner et al. 1996), 164 K for G10.47+0.03A (Olmi et al. 1996), 160 K for G29.96–0.02 (Beltrán et al. 2011), and 158 K for G31.41+0.31 (Beltrán et al. 2005). The calculated values of the HDO $2_1-2_2$ line flux for G9.62+0.19, G10.47+0.03A, G29.96–0.02, and G31.41+0.31 are the most uncertain of all Galactic sources in our sample. However, in Appendix A, we show that the results for G9.62+0.19, G10.47+0.03A, G29.96–0.02, and G31.41+0.31 do not change significantly when different values of temperature are adopted (60–200 K).

We have derived the HDO $2_1-2_2$ line luminosities from line fluxes for Galactic hot cores using the same formula as for N 105–2 A and 2 B. The value of $L_{\text{HDO}}$ spans three orders of magnitude, ranging from 3.8 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ $L_\odot$ for WB 789–789 SMM1 to 8.2 $L_\odot$ for Sgr B2(N). Both the HDO $2_1-2_2$ line fluxes and luminosities for Galactic hot cores analyzed in this paper are provided in Table 2 in Appendix A.

Our ALMA observations of a star-forming region in the LMC at ~50 kpc with a resolution of ~0″5 probe physical scales of 0.12–0.13 pc, similar to those traced by the observations of Galactic sources with single-dish telescopes such as the IRAM 30 m at 241.6 GHz, at a distance of ~2 kpc.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. HDO $2_1-2_2$ Line Luminosity: LMC versus Galactic Hot Cores

Figure 3 shows the HDO $2_1-2_2$ line luminosities ($L_{\text{HDO}}$) measured toward Galactic and LMC hot cores as a function of bolometric luminosity ($L_{\text{bol}}$). $L_{\text{bol}}$ of Galactic hot cores ranges from 8.4 $\times$ 10$^3$ $L_\odot$ for WB 789–789 SMM1 to 1.2 $\times$ 10$^7$ $L_\odot$ for Sgr B2(M). The values of $L_{\text{bol}}$ were adopted from Shimoni et al. (2021) for WB 789–789 SMM1, Wright et al. (2012) for NGC 7538 S, Hofner et al. (1996) for G9.62+0.19, Ahmadi et al. (2018) for W3(H$_2$O), Hernández-Hernández et al. (2014) and van der Tak et al. (2013) for W51 e1/e2, Rolffs et al. (2011) for W51 d, Schmiedeke et al. (2016) for Sgr B2(N) and Sgr B2(M), and van der Tak et al. (2013) for the remaining sources.

There are uncertainties in $L_{\text{bol}}$ related to a relatively low resolution of the single-dish observations. For example, the bolometric luminosities of G29.96–0.02 and G34.26+0.15 likely include contributions from both hot cores and nearby ultracompact (UC) H II regions. The HDO emission toward both regions was detected with the IRAM 30 m telescope and thus all of these components were within the half-power beamwidth. We do, however, expect most of the HDO emission to come from hot cores rather than more evolved UC H II regions.

Insufficient multiwavelength high-resolution data are available to determine individual $L_{\text{bol}}$ for the LMC hot cores N 105–2 A and 2 B. To make an estimate of their $L_{\text{bol}}$, we determined a combined $L_{\text{bol}}$ based on the data from 3.6 μm to 1.2 mm and inferred a contribution from each source as described in Appendix B. We estimate that both N 105–2 A and 2 B have $L_{\text{bol}}$ of ~10$^5$ $L_\odot$. Since the sample of Galactic hot cores used for the analysis covers a wide range of the Galactocentric distances (thus metallicities; see below), we did not apply a correction to $L_{\text{HDO}}$ measured toward the LMC hot cores.
cores to account for a difference in the metallicity between the LMC and the solar neighborhood.

The trend of increasing $L_{\text{HDO}}$ with increasing $L_{\text{bol}}$ for Galactic hot cores is very suggestive in Figure 3, especially when only the direct measurements of the HDO transition detected in the LMC are taken into account. The observed values of $L_{\text{HDO}}$ for the LMC hot cores N 105−2 A and 2 B fit into this trend very well. The higher abundance of HDO for more luminous young stellar objects with hot cores is expected since the higher temperatures result in more HDO to be released from the icy grain mantles in hot core regions. $L_{\text{HDO}}$ is also expected to scale with the total HDO column density which can be affected by low metallicity, a lower atomic O abundance in particular.

We can test the dependence of $L_{\text{HDO}}$ on metallicity by investigating how $L_{\text{HDO}}$ changes as a function of the Galactocentric distance ($R_{\text{GC}}$). The observations of a variety of objects including H II regions and Cepheid variable stars revealed radial elemental abundance gradients in the Milky Way disk (e.g., Churchwell & Walmsley 1975; Maciel & Andrievsky 2019 and references therein). Traced by O/H and Fe/H, metallicity decreases with increasing $R_{\text{GC}}$.

The O/H gradients based on Cepheids have slopes between $-0.05$ dex/kpc and $-0.06$ dex/kpc; similar slopes within the uncertainties have been obtained for the Fe/H gradients (e.g., Maciel & Andrievsky 2019 for over 300 Cepheids and $R_{\text{GC}}$ $\sim$3−18 kpc). The O/H gradients from much smaller samples of H II regions are also similar to those measured from Cepheids within the uncertainties, ranging from $-0.04$ dex/kpc to $-0.06$ dex/kpc (e.g., Fernández-Martín et al. 2017 and references therein; Esteban & García-Rojas 2018).

We calculated $R_{\text{GC}}$ for Galactic hot cores shown in Figure 3 based on their Galactic coordinates and distances (kinematic or parallax), and assuming the distance to the Galactic Center of 8.34 kpc (Reid et al. 2014). Located near the Galactic Center, Sgr B2(N) and Sgr B2(M) hot cores represent a high-metallicity environment ($Z_{\odot} < Z_{\text{GC}} < 2 Z_{\odot}$; Schultheis et al. 2019 and references therein) and have the highest $L_{\text{HDO}}$, while the extreme outer Galaxy source WB 89−789 SMM1 with the lowest $L_{\text{HDO}}$ is in the low-metallicity environment ($\sim$0.25 $Z_{\odot}$). In general, with increasing $R_{\text{GC}}$ and thus decreasing O/H ratio (metallicity), $L_{\text{HDO}}$ decreases (see the top panel in Figure 4).

Four Galactic hot cores with $L_{\text{HDO}}$ most similar to that measured toward N 105−2 A and 2 B (NGC 7538 S, NGC 7538 IRS1, W3(H$_2$O), and AFGL 2591) have the largest $R_{\text{GC}}$ (the lowest O/H ratio) with the exception of the extreme outer Galaxy source, ranging from 8.4 kpc (AFGL 2591) to $\sim$10 kpc (W3(H$_2$O)). AFGL 2591 is associated with the Local Arm, while the remaining sources with the Perseus arm (Reid et al. 2019). $L_{\text{HDO}}$ for three out of four sources (NGC 7538 IRS1, W3(H$_2$O), and AFGL 2591) are based on the directly measured HDO 241.6 GHz transition. Based on studies on the radial elemental abundance gradients, the metallicity $Z$ at 10 kpc ranges from 0.5 $Z_{\odot}$ to 1.1 $Z_{\odot}$, depending on the tracers used. Lower values of $Z$ have been obtained from observations of H II regions (e.g., Rudolph et al. 2006; Esteban & García-Rojas 2018), while the higher values were obtained from Cepheids (e.g., Maciel & Andrievsky 2019; Luck & Lambert 2011). While the value of $Z$ at a given $R_{\text{GC}}$ is rather uncertain, it is clear that $L_{\text{HDO}}$ of the LMC hot cores compares to $L_{\text{HDO}}$ of objects located at larger $R_{\text{GC}}$ where the oxygen abundance is lower and thus less oxygen is available for chemistry. In fact, the positions of the LMC hot cores N 105−2 A and 2 B fit in the trend seen in the top panel in Figure 3 very well for different O/H radial gradients determined in the H II region studies (see the Figure 3 caption for references), assuming the LMC’s value of 12 + log(O/H) of 8.4 (e.g., Russell & Dopita 1992).

Decreasing $L_{\text{HDO}}$ with increasing $R_{\text{GC}}$ cannot be attributed solely to decreasing metallicity because $L_{\text{bol}}$ shows a similar trend, as demonstrated in the middle panel in Figure 4. However, a weak metallicity dependence is still present in the $L_{\text{HDO}}/L_{\text{bol}}$ versus $Z$ plot (i.e., with the $L_{\text{bol}}$ dependence removed; see the lower panel in Figure 4). Even though $L_{\text{HDO}}$ seems to be largely dependent on source luminosity, metallicity effects also play a role. Based on our data, we are not able to disentangle relative contributions of the bolometric

![Figure 3](image-url).

**Figure 3.** The HDO 241.6 GHz line luminosities ($L_{\text{HDO}}$) measured toward hot cores N 105−2 A and 2 B in the LMC and those observed toward a sample of hot cores in the Milky Way as a function of the bolometric luminosity ($L_{\text{bol}}$). The Galactic hot cores shown in the plot are (in order of increasing $L_{\text{bol}}$) WB 89−789 SMM1 (an extreme outer Galaxy source), NGC 7538 S and IRAS 18089−1732 ($L_{\text{HDO}} = 0.02$ $L_{\odot}$ and 0.08 $L_{\odot}$, respectively), G9.62+0.19, W43 MM1, W3(H$_2$O), W33A, NGC 7538 IRS1, AFGL 2591, G31.41+0.31, G34.26+0.15, G29.96−0.02, G10.47+0.03A, W51 e1/e2, Sgr B2(N), W51d, and Sgr B2(M). The values of $L_{\text{HDO}}$ indicated with orange diamonds are based on the observations of the HDO $2_{11}−2_{12}$ transition, while those indicated with blue diamonds were estimated using the observations of other HDO transitions as described in Section 3.
Figure 4. The HDO 241.6 GHz line luminosities (\(L_{\text{HDO}}\); upper panel), bolometric luminosities (\(L_{\text{bol}}\); middle panel), and the \(L_{\text{HDO}}/L_{\text{bol}}\) ratio (lower panel) of the Galactic hot cores as a function of the Galactocentric distance (\(R_{\text{GC}}\)) and metallicity (\(Z\)). The metallicity at a given \(R_{\text{GC}}\) was calculated using Balser et al. (2011)’s O/H radial gradient \(12 + \log(O/H) = -0.0446 \times R_{\text{GC}} + 8.962\) and adopting \([12 + \log(O/H)]_0 = 8.69\) (Asplund et al. 2009). The purple/teal solid lines in the upper panel indicate the measured \(L_{\text{HDO}}\) for the LMC hot cores N 105–2 A/B, while the red line in the lower panel corresponds to their roughly equal \(L_{\text{bol}}\). The vertical black line indicates \(R_{\text{GC}}\) where \([12 + \log(O/H)]_\text{LMC} = 8.4\) based on the O/H gradients found in other H II region studies, from left to right: Rudolph et al. (2006; far-IR data), Esteban & García-Rojas (2018), Arellano-Cordero et al. (2020), Fernández-Martín et al. (2017), and Rudolph et al. (2006; optical data). The orange and blue symbols are the same as in Figure 3.
luminosity (temperature) and metallicity (oxygen abundance) effects on \( L_{\text{HDO}} \).

We did not find significant differences between Galactic hot cores and the LMC hot cores N 105–2 A and 2 B in terms of HDO; both \( L_{\text{HDO}} \) measured toward 2 A and 2 B fit in with the \( L_{\text{HDO}} \) versus \( L_{\text{H2O}} \) and \( L_{\text{HDO}} \) versus Z trends observed toward Galactic hot cores.

### 4.2. H\(_2\)O in the LMC

#### 4.2.1. Previous Studies on H\(_2\)O in the Magellanic YSOs

Water has previously been detected in the LMC in the solid phase (ice bands at 3.05 \( \mu \)m and 62 \( \mu \)m; van Loo et al. 2005; Oliveira et al. 2006, 2011; Shimonishi et al. 2008, 2010, 2016a; van Loo et al. 2010b), gas phase (H\(_2\)O 2\( _{12}\)–1\( _{01}\) and 2\( _{31}\)–1\( _{10}\) transitions at 179.52 \( \mu \)m and 108.07 \( \mu \)m; Oliveira et al. 2019), and as 22 GHz H\(_2\)O maser emission (interstellar H\(_2\)O masers in star-forming regions: Scalise & Braz 1981, 1982; Whiteoak et al. 1983; Whiteoak & Gardner 1986; van Loo & Zijlstra 2001; Lazendic et al. 2002; Oliveira et al. 2006; Ellingsen et al. 2010; Schwarz et al. 2012; Imai et al. 2013; circumstellar masers in evolved stars: van Loo et al. 1998, 2001; van Loo 2012).

The water ice studies demonstrated that ice abundances toward massive young stellar objects (YSOs) in the LMC are distinct from those observed toward Galactic YSOs. In particular, the CO\(_2\)/H\(_2\)O column density ratio is two times higher in the LMC compared to the Galaxy (Gurakines et al. 1999; Seale et al. 2011), either due to an overabundance of CO\(_2\) or underabundance of H\(_2\)O.

Oliveira et al. (2009) and Shimonishi et al. (2010) argue that the enhanced CO\(_2\) production can be the result of the stronger radiation field and/or the higher dust temperature in the LMC; this scenario is supported by laboratory work (e.g., D’Hendecourt et al. 1986) and models of the diffusive grain-surface chemistry (e.g., Ruffle & Herbst 2001). Shimonishi et al. (2016a)’s “warm ice chemistry” model predicting that high dust temperatures in the LMC suppress the hydrogenation of CO on the grain surface, can reproduce both the enhanced abundance of CO\(_2\) and underabundance of CH\(_3\)OH observed in the LMC.

However, based on a comparison of the H\(_2\)O, CO, and CO\(_2\) ice column densities between the Galaxy, the LMC, and the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC), Oliveira et al. (2011) concluded that high CO\(_2\)/H\(_2\)O column density ratio combined with the relatively unchanged CO-to-CO\(_2\) abundances are more consistent with the depletion of H\(_2\)O rather than an increased production of CO\(_2\). They attribute the depletion of H\(_2\)O to the combined effects of a lower dust-to-gas ratio and stronger UV radiation field in the LMC: the strong interstellar radiation penetrates deeper into the YSO envelopes as compared with Galactic YSOs, possibly destroying H\(_2\)O ice (enhancing photodesorption) in less-shielded outer layers, effectively reducing the observed H\(_2\)O ice column density. The CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O ice mixtures that exist deeper in the envelope remain unaffected by the stronger radiation field.

Far-infrared spectroscopic observations toward massive YSOs in the LMC and SMC with Herschel/PACS revealed that H\(_2\)O and OH account for \( \sim \)10% of the total line cooling, indicating that the trend of decreasing contribution of H\(_2\)O and OH cooling from low- to high-luminosity sources observed in the Galaxy (Karska et al. 2014, 2018) extends to the massive LMC/SMC YSOs (Oliveira et al. 2019).

The abundance of 22 GHz H\(_2\)O masers in the LMC appears to be consistent with that observed in the Galaxy, making them useful signposts of massive star formation in the LMC in contrast to CH\(_3\)OH masers which are underabundant (e.g., Ellingsen et al. 2010).

#### 4.2.2. Estimated H\(_2\)O Abundance in the LMC Hot Cores N 105–2 A and 2 B

Our observations did not cover any H\(_2\)O transitions, thus we cannot draw any reliable conclusions regarding the deuterium fractionation (the abundance ratio of deuterated over hydrogenated isotopologues, D/H) of water (HDO/H\(_2\)O) in the low-metallicity environment; however, since our data did not reveal differences between the Galactic and LMC hot cores N 105–2 A and 2 B based on the analysis of \( L_{\text{HDO}} \), we made a rough estimate of the H\(_2\)O column densities and abundances toward 2 A and 2 B by assuming that HDO/H\(_2\)O toward the LMC hot cores is the same as that observed in the Galaxy.

To date, the deuterium fractionation in the LMC was only determined for DCO\(^+\) (for star-forming regions N 113, N 44 BC, and N 159 HW) and DCN (N 113) on \( \sim \)10 pc scales (Chin et al. 1996; Heikkilä et al. 1997). The deuterium fractionation of DCO\(^+\) ranges from 0.015 to 0.053, while the deuterium fractionation of DCN of 0.043 was found toward N 113. These values are similar to those observed toward Galactic dark clouds and pre-stellar cores: 0.01–0.1 (Ceccarelli et al. 2014 and references therein).

The typical values of water deuterium observed toward Galactic hot cores are of the order of \( (2–8) \times 10^{-4} \), but they can be as high as \( (2–5) \times 10^{-3} \) (van Dishoeck et al. 2021 and references therein). For example, HDO/H\(_2\)O = (1.2, 0.8, 0.9, 1.6, 3.0) \( \times 10^{-3} \) for (G34.2+0.2, W51d, W51 e1/e2, Sgr B2(N, Orion KL) hot cores (Jacq et al. 1990; Neill et al. 2013).

We calculated the H\(_2\)O column densities for 2 A and 2 B for the maximum and minimum values in the Galactic HDO/H\(_2\)O ranges provided above: \( 5 \times 10^{-3} \) and \( 2 \times 10^{-4} \), using the HDO column densities for 2 A and 2 B provided in Section 3. For HDO/H\(_2\)O of \( (5 \times 10^{-3}, 2 \times 10^{-4}) \), the H\(_2\)O column densities are \( N(H_2O) \sim (9.8 \times 10^{16}, 2.5 \times 10^{18}) \) cm\(^{-2} \) for 2 A and \( (5.2 \times 10^{16}, 1.3 \times 10^{18}) \) cm\(^{-2} \) for 2 B; the abundances with respect to H\(_2\)O are \( X(H_2O) \sim (5.4 \times 10^{-7}, 1.4 \times 10^{-5}) \) for 2 A and \( (1.7 \times 10^{-7}, 4.2 \times 10^{-6}) \) for 2 B.

The typical Galactic hot core H\(_2\)O abundances range from \( 5 \times 10^{-8} \) to \( 10^{-7} \) (van Dishoeck et al. 2021), but a lower value of \( 1.7 \times 10^{-6} \) was measured toward IRAS 16272–4837 by Herpin et al. (2016). The metallicity corrected (multiplied by a factor of two, \( 1/Z_{\text{LMC}} \); see Sewilo et al. 2002) values of \( X(H_2O) \) are \( \sim (1.1 \times 10^{-6}, 2.8 \times 10^{-7}) \) for 2 A and \( \sim (3.4 \times 10^{-6}, 8.4 \times 10^{-8}) \) for 2 B for the assumed HDO/H\(_2\)O of \( (5 \times 10^{-3}, 2 \times 10^{-4}) \).

The \( X(H_2O) \) range for 2 A overlaps with the Galactic range for the most part, with the lower end about a factor of 2 lower than the minimum \( X(H_2O) \) measured in the Galactic hot cores. For 2 B, the \( X(H_2O) \) range is shifted toward lower values, down to \( X(H_2O) \) of about 5 times lower than the minimum \( X(H_2O) \) measured toward Galactic hot cores.

We have obtained a similar result for the analysis that only included sources closest in metallicity to that of the LMC and with measured deuterium fractionation of water; these are (W3(H\(_2\)O), AFGL 2591, NGC 7538 IRS1) with metalilities of
emission contours are shown in black with contour levels of indicated with an corresponds to integrated intensity peaks are separated by \( \sim \). If we adopt a higher end of the HDO basically the same as for the entire population of Galactic disk hot cores. If we adopt a higher end of the HDO range for these three sources is \( \times 10^{-3} \) instead of \( 5 \times 10^{-3} \) (as above) and scale the estimated LMC value to the average metallicity of W3(H2O), AFGL 2591, NGC 7538 IRS1, the lower end of the \( X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \) range for 2 A and 2 B is 20% higher, but our conclusions remain the same.

4.3. HDO Emission in N 105–2 A: the Spatial Distribution and Velocity Structure

The spatial distribution and velocity structure of the HDO emission in N 105–2 A is consistent with HDO being the product of the low-temperature dust grain chemistry. In hot cores where the temperatures increase above 100 K, H2O (and HDO) sublimates and becomes an effective destroyer of HCO+ (e.g., van Dishoeck et al. 2021 and references therein). This scenario is confirmed in Galactic hot cores where an antecorrelation between \( H_2^\circ \)O (or CH3OH which is a good proxy for the distribution of water as it desorbs at similar temperature) and \( H^{13}\text{CO}^+ \) has been observed (e.g., Jørgensen et al. 2013). We have compared the spatial distribution of HDO and \( H^{13}\text{CO}^+ \) toward 2 A and found that HDO and \( H^{13}\text{CO}^+ \) integrated intensity peaks are separated by \( \sim 0.046 \) pc or \( \sim 9500 \) au at 50 kpc; see Figure 5), while the peak of the CH3OH emission is coincident with the HDO emission peak.

In addition to the positional antecorrelation between H2O and HCO+, the antecorrelation in velocity is also expected (e.g., van Dishoeck et al. 2021). For N 105–2 A, the (HDO, \( H^{13}\text{CO}^+ \)) velocities are \( (242.7 \pm 0.2, 241.90 \pm 0.02) \) km s\(^{-1} \) (Sewilo et al. 2022), so there is a small velocity difference of \( 0.8 \pm 0.2 \) km s\(^{-1} \) between HDO and \( H^{13}\text{CO}^+ \). The antecorrelation in both the position and velocity between HDO and \( H^{13}\text{CO}^+ \) toward 2 A is consistent with the observations of Galactic hot cores and supports the dust-grain chemistry origin of HDO in 2 A.

The HDO velocity distribution in 2 A is inconsistent with the shock origin of the HDO emission. We detected an HDO velocity gradient of \( \sim 12 \) km s\(^{-1} \) pc\(^{-1} \) that could indicate the presence of an outflow and HDO production in an outflow-driven shock (see Figure 5); however, the HDO line is relatively narrow \( (4.2 \pm 0.4 \) km s\(^{-1} , \sim 1 \) km s\(^{-1} \) broader than the \( H^{13}\text{CO}^+ \) line), making this scenario unlikely. The velocity gradient likely traces the rotation of the core.

Note that we have not performed the similar analysis for 2 B because the HDO emission toward this source is much fainter and the results are inconclusive.

5. Conclusions

Based on the analysis of the HDO emission detected toward hot cores N 105–2 A and 2 B in the LMC and a sample of Galactic hot cores covering a range of bolometric luminosities and Galactocentric distances (metallicities), we have found that \( L_{\text{HDO}} \) measured toward these LMC hot cores follow both the bolometric luminosity and metallicity dependence traced by Galactic sources. Based on our data, we are not able to disentangle the effects of the bolometric luminosity (temperature) and metallicity (oxygen abundance) on \( L_{\text{HDO}} \), but our results indicate that \( L_{\text{HDO}} \) likely has a larger impact on \( L_{\text{HDO}} \) than does metallicity.

We have found that if the water deuterium fractionation in the LMC hot cores N 105–2 A and 2 B is within the range observed in the Galactic hot cores, the range of the estimated \( H_2\text{O} \) abundances toward 2 A and 2 B is shifted toward lower than Galactic values.

The spatial distribution and velocity structure of the HDO emission in N 105–2 A is consistent with HDO being the product of the low-temperature dust grain chemistry.

The astrochemical models of deuterated species predict that HDO is abundant regardless of the extragalactic environment (starburst, cosmic-ray-enhanced environments, low metallicity, and high-redshift galaxies) and should be detectable with ALMA in many diverse galaxies (Bayet et al. 2010). Our results
for the LMC and the detection of HDO toward the $z = 0.89$ absorber against the quasar PKS 1830−211 by Muller et al. (2020) are in agreement with these model predictions. Furthermore, our work demonstrates the utility of HDO as a tracer of H$_2$O chemistry, which is more readily accessible than H$_2$O using ground-based, millimeter-wave observations.
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Appendix A
Determination of the HDO Line Luminosity: Data and Methods

In Table 2, we have compiled the data used for our analysis of the LMC and Milky Way hot cores, both the quantities derived in this paper and the data from literature. The HDO $2_{11}−2_{12}$ line flux ($F_{\text{HDO}2_{11}−2_{12}}$) forms the basis of the analysis, with 11 out of 19 values being directly measured. $F_{\text{HDO}2_{11}−2_{12}}$ for the remaining sources was estimated from the observations of one or two other HDO transitions as described in Section 3. We have used $F_{\text{HDO}2_{11}−2_{12}}$ to determine the HDO $2_{11}−2_{12}$ line luminosity ($L_{\text{HDO}2_{11}−2_{12}}$).

The spectral line luminosity ($L$) can be derived based on the line flux (the integrated line intensity) using the standard relation that assumes a Gaussian beam and the Gaussian brightness distribution for the source (e.g., Wu et al. 2005), their Equation (2):

$$L = 23.5 \times 10^{-6}D^2 \frac{\pi \theta_s^2 \theta_{\text{beam}}^2 + \theta_{\text{beam}}^2}{4 \ln 2} \int T dv,$$  \hfill (A1)

where $D$ is the distance in kpc, $\theta_s$ and $\theta_{\text{beam}}$ are the angular sizes in arcseconds of the source and and beam, respectively, and $\int T dv$ is the line flux in K km s$^{-1}$. We calculated $L_{\text{HDO}2_{11}−2_{12}}$ from $\int T dv = F_{\text{HDO}2_{11}−2_{12}}$, assuming a point source emission and adopting heliocentric distances from the literature.

In addition to the HDO $2_{11}−2_{12}$ line fluxes and luminosities, as well as the equatorial and Galactic coordinates, Table 2 also lists bolometric luminosities ($L_{\text{bol}}$), distances ($D$), and Galactocentric radii ($R_{\text{GC}}$). All references are provided in the table.

Below, we provide additional information on the analysis of the HDO $1_{10}−1_{11}$ and $3_{12}−2_{12}$ data for sources with no observed HDO $2_{11}−2_{12}$ transition.

*IRAS 18089−1732*, W43 MM1, W33A, NGC 7538 S: We used the HDO $1_{10}−1_{11}$ and $3_{12}−2_{12}$ data available for these sources to construct the rotational diagram and estimate the HDO $2_{11}−2_{12}$ line flux (see Section 3.1). The rotational diagram analysis provided us with the estimate of the HDO rotational temperature ($T_{\text{rot}}$) and column density ($N_{\text{HDO}}$):

$$T_{\text{rot}} = \frac{K}{\text{cm}^{-2}}$$  \hfill (A2)

$$N_{\text{HDO}} = \frac{10^{14}}{K}$$  \hfill (A3)

where $K$ is the line flux in K km s$^{-1}$. We calculated $L_{\text{HDO}2_{11}−2_{12}}$ for the rotational diagram analysis assuming $T_{\text{rot}}$ derived in the literature based on CH$_3$CN (Hofner et al. 1996; Olmi et al. 1996; Beltrán et al. 2005, 2011): (70, 164, 160, 158) K for (G9.62+0.19, G10.47+0.03A, G29.96−0.02, and G31.41+0.31). To investigate how adopting a different value of $T_{\text{rot}}$ changes $L_{\text{HDO}2_{11}−2_{12}}$, we have calculated it for all four sources assuming $T_{\text{rot}}$ of 60, 100, and 200 K. The results are shown in Figures A1 and A2.

In Figures A1 and A2, we show the same $L_{\text{HDO}}$ plots as in Figures 3 and 4, respectively, with additional data points ($L_{\text{HDO}}$ determined for three different values of $T_{\text{rot}}$) overlaid. The figures show that the results for G9.62+0.19, G10.47+0.03A, G29.96−0.02, and G31.41+0.31 do not change significantly when different values of temperature are adopted and the conclusions of our work hold.
Figure A1. The same as in Figure 3 with additional data points shown in light blue for G9.62+0.19 ($L_{\text{bol}} = 1.8 \times 10^4 L_\odot$), G31.41+0.31 (2.3 $\times 10^5 L_\odot$), G29.96−0.02 (3.5 $\times 10^5 L_\odot$), and G10.47+0.03A (3.7 $\times 10^5 L_\odot$), demonstrating how $L_{\text{HDO}}$ for these sources would change if different values of $T_{\text{rot}}$ were adopted in the rotational diagram analysis (see Section 3.1). The data points correspond to $T_{\text{rot}}$ of (from top to bottom): 60, 100, and 200 K. $T_{\text{rot}}$ adopted from literature for (G9.62+0.19, G31.41+0.31, G29.96−0.02, G10.47+0.03A) is (70, 158, 160, 164) K. Adopting a different value of $T_{\text{rot}}$ does not affect the overall $L_{\text{HDO}}$ trend with $L_{\text{bol}}$ for the Galactic sample of hot cores.

Figure A2. The same as in the top and bottom panel in Figure 4 with light blue diamonds as in Figure A1 for G10.47+0.03A ($Z \sim 1.6 Z_\odot$), G9.62+0.19 ($\sim 1.3 Z_\odot$), G31.41+0.31 ($\sim 1.2 Z_\odot$), and G29.96−0.02 ($\sim 1.2 Z_\odot$).
Table 2
A Compilation of the Data for the LMC N 105–2 A and B Hot Cores and a Sample of Galactic Hot Cores used in the Analysis

| Hot Core | R.A. (°) | Decl. (°) | $F_{\text{HDO}}$ (K km$^{-1}$) | $F_{\text{HDD}}$ Ref. | L$_{\text{HDO}}$ (L$_{\odot}$) | L$_{\text{bol}}$ (L$_{\odot}$) | D (kpc) | D Ref. | l (deg) | b (deg) | $R_{\odot}$ (kpc) |
|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|
| N 105–2 A | 05:09:51.96 | −68:53:28.3 | 1.8 (0.3) | 1 | this paper | 3.0 (0.5) | ∼1.0 × 10$^3$ | this paper | 50 | 16 | 279.7526 | −34.2520 | ... |
| N 105–2 B | 05:09:52.56 | −68:53:28.1 | 1.3 (0.6) | 1 | this paper | 2.2 (1.0) | ∼1.0 × 10$^3$ | this paper | 50 | 16 | 279.7523 | −34.2511 | ... |

Milky Way

| IRAS 18089−1732 | 18:11:51.5 | −17:31:29 | 1.24 | 2 | 1 | 7.73 | 1.3 × 10$^3$ | 9 | 2.3 | 17 | 12.8887 | 0.4897 | 6.12 |
| NGC 7538 S | 23:13:44.5 | +61:26:50 | 0.23 | 2 | 1 | 2.15 | 1.3 × 10$^3$ | 10 | 2.8 | 18 | 111.533 | 0.7568 | 9.55 |
| G9.62+0.19 | 18:06:15.0 | −20:31:42 | 0.31 | 3 | 2 | 9.64 | 1.8 × 10$^3$ | 11 | 5.15 | 19 | 9.62 | 0.19 | 3.37 |
| W43 MM1 | 18:47:47.0 | −01:54:28 | 1.26 | 2 | 1 | 44.94 | 2.3 × 10$^3$ | 9 | 5.5 | 20 | 30.8175 | 0.0571 | 4.58 |
| W3(H2O) | 02:27:03.9 | +61:52:25 | 1.2 | 1 | 3 | 6.45 | 3.9 × 10$^3$ | 12 | 2.14 | 21 | 133.9487 | 1.0649 | 9.95 |
| W3A | 18:14:39.1 | −17:52:07 | 1.44 | 2 | 4 | 9.75 | 4.4 × 10$^3$ | 9 | 2.4 | 22 | 12.9069 | −0.2589 | 6.02 |
| NGC 7538 IRS1 | 23:13:45.3 | +61:28:10 | 0.7 (0.4) | 1 | 5 | 1.88 (1.08) | 1.3 × 10$^3$ | 9 | 2.65 | 23 | 111.5422 | 0.7772 | 9.63 |
| AFG 2591 | 20:29:24.7 | +40:11:19 | 0.394 (0.080) | 1 | 4 | 5.04 (1.02) | 2.2 × 10$^3$ | 9 | 3.3 | 24 | 78.8872 | 0.7085 | 8.36 |
| G31.41+0.31 | 18:47:34.3 | −01:12:46 | 0.97 | 2 | 3 | 71.1 | 2.3 × 10$^3$ | 9 | 7.9 | 25 | 31.41 | 0.31 | 4.42 |
| G34.26+0.15 | 18:53:18.6 | +01:14:58 | 12.27 (0.05) | 1 | 6 | 51.2 (0.2) | 3.2 × 10$^3$ | 9 | 3.3 | 26 | 34.26 | 0.15 | 5.91 |
| G29.96−0.02 | 18:46:03.8 | −02:39:22 | 0.35 | 3 | 2 | 11.49 | 3.5 × 10$^3$ | 9 | 5.3 | 27 | 29.96 | −0.02 | 4.56 |
| G10.47+0.3A | 18:08:38.2 | −19:51:50 | 3.89 | 3 | 2 | 334.35 | 3.7 × 10$^3$ | 9 | 8.55 | 19 | 10.47 | 0.03 | 1.56 |
| W51 e1/e2 | 19:23:43.9 | +14:30:29 | 4.7 (1.1) | 1 | 5 | 52.8 (12.3) | 1.6 × 10$^6$ | 9; 13 | 5.41 | 28 | 49.49 | −0.39 | 6.32 |
| Sgr B2(N) | 17:46:07.9 | +28:20:12 | 9.1 | 1 | 7 | 815.74 | 1.8 × 10$^6$ | 14 | 8.34 | 29 | 0.6773 | −0.029 | 0.099 |
| W51 d | 19:23:39.6 | +14:31:07 | 13.1 (1.0) | 1 | 5 | 147.0 (123.5) | 2.4 × 10$^6$ | 15 | 5.41 | 28 | 49.4904 | −0.3695 | 6.34 |
| Sgr B2(M) | 17:46:08.2 | +28:20:58 | 5.5 | 1 | 7 | 493.03 | 1.2 × 10$^6$ | 14 | 8.34 | 29 | 0.6672 | −0.0364 | 0.097 |
| WB89−789 SMM1 | 06:17:24:7 | +14:54:42.3 | 5.05 (0.29) | 1 | 8 | 0.38 (0.02) | 8.4 × 10$^3$ | 8 | 10.7 | 30 | 195.8219 | −0.568 | 18.86 |

Notes. (1) Marseille et al. 2010; (2) Gensheimer et al. 1996; (3) Hel mich et al. 1996; (4) van der Tak et al. 2006; (5) Jacq et al. 1990; (6) Coutens et al. 2014; (7) Nummelin et al. 2000; (8) Shim onishi et al. 2021; (9) van der Tak et al. 2013; (10) Wright et al. 2012; (11) Hofner et al. 1996; (12) Ahmadi et al. 2018; (13) Hernández-Hernández et al. 2014; (14) Schmiedeke et al. 2016; (15) Rolf s et al. 2011; (16) Pietrzyński et al. 2013; (17) Xu et al. 2011; (18) Sandell et al. 2003; (19) Sanna et al. 2014; (20) Nguyen Luong et al. 2011; (21) Navarete et al. 2019; (22) Immer et al. 2013; (23) Moscadielli et al. 2008; (24) Rygl et al. 2012; (25) Churchwell et al. 1990; (26) Kuchau & Bank 1994; (27) Zhang et al. 2014; (28) Sato et al. 2010; (29) Reid et al. 2014; (30) Brand & Wouterloot 2007.

F$_{\text{HDD}}$ flag indicates whether the HDO 2$_{1-1}$ line flux ($F_{\text{HDD}}$) is directly measured from the HDO 2$_{1-1}$ line observations or estimated based on the observations of other HDO transitions: 1, the observed value; the uncertainties are provided when available; 2, estimated using the HDO 1$_{1-1}$ and 3$_{2-2}$ lines and the rotational diagram; the uncertainties are about 30%; 3, estimated using the HDO 3$_{1-2}$ line and the rotational diagram, adopting the value of temperature from literature. See Section 3.1 for details.

L$_{\text{HDO}}$ is the HDO 2$_{1-1}$ line luminosity calculated using Equation (A1).

$R_{\odot}$ is a Galactic component distance calculated for Galactic hot cores based on their Galactic coordinates ($l$, $b$) and heliocentric distances ($D$; kinematic or parallax), and assuming the distance to the Galactic Center of 8.34 kpc (Reid et al. 2014).
Appendix B

Bolometric Luminosity of N 105–2 A and 2 B

The multiwavelength data with high enough spatial resolution to resolve sources N 105–2 A and 2 B are not available at this time, thus we are not able to determine their individual bolometric luminosities ($L_{\text{bol}}$) independently. Instead, we have estimated their combined $L_{\text{bol}}$ and inferred their individual contributions based on the highest resolution data.

To construct the multiwavelength spectral energy distribution (SED) of the combined sources N 105–2 A and 2 B (N 105–2 A/2 B), we have used the seven-band Spitzer Space Telescope photometric measurements from Gruendl & Chu (2009) covering 3.6–24 μm (catalog source 050952.26–685327.3; point-spread function’s FWHMs ~1″7–18″; SAGE Team 2006), five-band Herschel Space Observatory photometric measurements from Seale et al. (2014) covering 100–500 μm (HSOBMHERICC J77.466495-68.891241; FWHMs ~8″6–40″5; HERITAGE Team 2013), and a combined ALMA 1.2 mm continuum flux density from Sewilo et al. (2022). The 1.2 mm flux density has been calculated from the same area used by Gruendl & Chu (2009) to extract the Spitzer photometry. N 105–2 A and 2 B have no counterparts in the near-infrared catalogs such as 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006; see also Gruendl & Chu 2009) or VISTA VMC (Cioni et al. 2011).

In addition, we have used the Spitzer InfraRed Spectrograph (IRS) spectrum from Seale et al. (2009) to better constrain the SED between 5.2 and 37.9 μm. We extracted 11 data points from the IRS spectrum that were selected at wavelengths free of fine-structure emission lines to delineate silicate features and the underlying continuum. The IRS data points at 20–30 μm have fluxes ~50% lower than the MIPS 24 μm catalog measurement and are likely due to the scaling factors that were applied additionally to match smoothly the spectrum segments taken under different modules across the full wavelength range (Seale et al. 2009). We have thus reverted these IRS fluxes to their original values for three affected spectrum segments by removing the corresponding scaling factors, i.e., dividing the fluxes within SL1 (short wavelength, low resolution; 7.6–14.6 μm), SH (short wavelength, high resolution; 9.9–19.3 μm), and LH (long wavelength, high resolution; 18.9–36.9 μm) modules by 1.091, 0.746, and 0.612, respectively. The resultant IRS fluxes are in good agreement with the MIPS 24 μm photometric flux measurement from Gruendl & Chu (2009).

The 70 μm photometry for 050952.26–685327.3 is not available in the existing catalogs (SAGE, Meixner et al. 2006; Gruendl & Chu 2009), therefore we performed an aperture photometry on the SAGE 70 μm image to estimate the 70 μm flux of N 105–2 A/2 B. We used an aperture with a 16″ radius, a 39″–65″ background annulus, and we applied an aperture correction factor of 2.087 (see also Chen et al. 2010).

The SED for N 105–2 A/2 B and multiwavelength image cutouts are shown in Figures B1 and B2, respectively.

While 2 A and 2 B were extracted as a single Spitzer source by Gruendl & Chu (2009), they are marginally resolved in all Spitzer/IRAC images (see Figure B2). To assess individual flux contributions from N 105–2 A and 2 B to the combined, unresolved infrared photometric measurements, we carried out aperture photometry of their counterparts on Spitzer/IRAC images. As the ~2″ separation between these two sources translates to ~1.5 pixels at IRAC’s pixel scale, we used a 1-pixel radius to estimate their flux ratios. The 2 A to 2 B flux ratios are ~1.4–1.6 at 3.6 and 4.5 μm and ~1 at 5.8 and 8.0 μm. Comparable fluxes at longer Spitzer wavelengths (5.8 and 8.0 μm) and the small difference at shorter wavelengths (3.6 and 4.5 μm) suggest that the two sources are likely to contribute similarly to the unresolved measurements at longer wavelengths. In addition, 2 A and 2 B have the same continuum flux densities at 1.2 mm within the uncertainties. We thus assumed the unresolved fluxes are partitioned equally between 2 A and 2 B.

We have estimated $L_{\text{bol}}$ in two ways. First, we fitted the SED of N 105–2 A/2 B with a set of radiative transfer model SEDs for YSOs developed by Robitaille (2017) using the Robitaille et al. (2007) SED fitting tool. We selected the best-fit model using the procedure outlined in Sewilo et al. (2019); it includes both an envelope and a disk, consistent with the classification of 2 A and 2 B as hot cores. Considering the fact that the SED corresponds to two objects, we only use the fitting results to determine luminosity. The 70 μm flux has a large uncertainty that can only be improved with higher-resolution observations. It is difficult to judge whether the 70 μm flux is a lower or an upper limit (see Figure B2) and hence the data point carries little weight in the fitting. We have obtained $L_{\text{bol}}$ of ~10^5 $L_\odot$ for each N 105–2 A and N 105–2 B.

To estimate $L_{\text{bol}}$, we also used the trapezoidal method to sum the area under the SED resulting in $L_{\text{bol}}$ of 2.4 × 10^5$L_\odot$, consistent with the SED fitting results. In this method, we excluded the 70 μm flux and treated all the remaining fluxes as valid data points.
Figure B2. Multiwavelength images of N 105–2 A and 2 B (from left to right, top to bottom): VISTA VMC YJKs (Cioni et al. 2011), Spitzer/SAGE IRAC 3.6–8.0 μm, and MIPS 24 and 70 μm (Meixner et al. 2006; SAGE Team 2006), Herschel/HERITAGE PACS 100 and 160 and SPIRE 250–500 μm (Meixner et al. 2013; HERITAGE Team 2013), and ALMA 1.2 mm (Sewilo et al. 2022). The 1.2 mm continuum contours with contour levels of (3, 50) times the image rms of \(5.1 \times 10^{-5}\) mJy beam\(^{-1}\) are overlaid on all the images for reference.
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