Obesity and hepatocellular carcinoma in patients receiving entecavir for chronic hepatitis B
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**Background/Aims:** This study aimed to clarify the effect of obesity on the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in chronic hepatitis B (CHB) patients receiving antiviral treatment.

**Methods:** This study applied a retrospective analysis to a historical cohort in Bundang Jesaeng Hospital. In total, 102 CHB patients were treated with entecavir as an initial treatment for CHB and checked for obesity using a body composition analyzer. Hepatic steatosis was measured semiquantitatively using Hamaguchi’s scoring system in ultrasonography. Risk factors for the development of HCC were analyzed, including obesity-related factors (body mass index [BMI], waist circumference [WC], waist-to-hip ratio [WHR], visceral fat area [VFA], and hepatic steatosis).

**Results:** The median follow-up duration of the patients was 45.2 months (interquartile range: 36.0-58.3 months). The cumulative incidence rates of HCC at 1 year, 3 years, and 5 years were 0%, 5.3%, and 9.0%, respectively. Univariable analysis revealed that the risk factors for HCC development were a platelet count of <120,000 /mm$^3$ (hazard ratio [HR]=5.21, $P=0.031$), HBeAg negativity (HR=5.61, $P=0.039$), and liver cirrhosis (HR=10.26, $P=0.031$). Multivariable analysis showed that the significant risk factor for HCC development was liver cirrhosis (HR=9.07, $P=0.042$). However, none of the obesity-related risk factors were significantly associated with HCC: BMI ≥25 kg/m$^2$ (HR=0.90, $P=0.894$), WC ≥90 cm (HR=1.10, $P=0.912$), WHR ≥0.9 (HR=1.94, $P=0.386$), VFA ≥100 cm$^2$ (HR=1.69, $P=0.495$), and hepatic steatosis (HR=0.57, $P=0.602$).

**Conclusions:** HCC development is associated with liver cirrhosis but not obesity-related factors in CHB patients receiving entecavir. (Clin Mol Hepatol 2016;22:339-349)
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**INTRODUCTION**

Chronic hepatitis B (CHB) is most common etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) worldwide. The prevention of HCC is important for the patients with CHB. Recent studies show that oral antiviral treatment such as nucleos(t)ide analogue (NA) reduces the development of HCC in patients with CHB. In particular, high potency NA such as entecavir or tenofovir has little drug resistance as well as prominent viral suppression. Therefore, entecavir or tenofovir is recommended as an initial therapy for chronic hepatitis B patients.
hepatitis B. However, CHB patients receiving NA still have a higher risk of HCC incidence than inactive state of CHB patients. Therefore, it is important to have HCC surveillance and investigate risk factors in CHB patients under NA therapy.

Obesity is an independent risk factor for cancer incidence, and is associated with the mortality in patients with cancer. Obesity is closely related to cancer regardless of gender, region, and type of cancer. HCC is also associated with obesity. However, the association between obesity and HCC are not always consistent, and is different according to the underlying liver disease. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, which is closely related to obesity, is a cause of HCC. Obesity is a significant risk factor for HCC incidence in patients with chronic hepatitis C (CHC). On the other hand, the relationship between obesity and HCC is not clear in CHB. A large scale Taiwanese cohort study shows that HCC incidence is not related to obesity in CHB while obesity is an independent risk factor for HCC development in CHC.

Hence, still it is unclear whether obesity increases the risk of HCC in CHB patients, especially for those under NA therapy. To clarify these issues, we investigated several obesity-related variables, and assessed whether this parameters are associated with HCC development in CHB patients on entecavir therapy. In addition, we also assessed whether these obesity-related parameters are associated with hepatitis B viral load, and other clinical endpoints during NA therapy.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Study design and patients**

This study was retrospectively conducted from a historical cohort at Bundang Jeaeng Hospital, Seongnam, Korea. From January 2009 to December 2012, 150 patients were treated with entecavir as an initial treatment for CHB and checked with body composition analyzer (Fig. 1). CHB was defined when hepatitis B virus surface antigen (HBsAg) is positive for at least 6 months. Of these patients, we excluded 48 patients: (i) diagnosed with HCC before starting entecavir (n=14); (ii) diagnosed with cholangiocarcinoma before starting entecavir (n=1); (iii) liver transplantation before starting entecavir (n=2); (iv) incomplete data on laboratory studies (n=13); (v) loss of follow-up within 6 months (n=18). Therefore, we finally analyzed 102 patients in this study. The diagnosis of HCC was based on the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) guidelines. The criteria for starting antiviral therapy was based on the Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL) guideline: (i) hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA ≥20,000 IU/mL and serum aspartate transaminase (AST) or alanine transaminase (ALT) level ≥2 × upper limit of normal (ULN) in HBV envelope antigen (HBeAg) positive patients, (ii) HBV DNA ≥2,000 IU/mL and serum aminotransferase level ≥2 × ULN in HBeAg negative patients, and (iii) HBV DNA ≥2,000 IU/mL and serum AST or ALT ≥ ULN in liver cirrhosis. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Bundang Jeaeng Hospital (IRB NO: IMH15-03).

**Clinical parameters**

We investigated baseline characteristics of the patients: age, gender, total bilirubin, AST, ALT, platelet count, albumin, prothrombin time, ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, presence of HBeAg, HBV DNA level, presence of liver cirrhosis, Child-Pugh classification, AST to Platelet Ratio Index (APRI), fibrosis-4 (FIB-4), total cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cho-

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of enrolled patients. HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; CCC, cholangiocarcinoma.
listerol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), alcohol intake, presence of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome. Serum HBV DNA level was checked by COBAS TaqMan quantitative test for hepatitis B virus (Roche Molecular Systems Inc., Branchburg, NJ, USA). Liver cirrhosis was diagnosed based on ultrasonographic findings.\(^7\) Significant alcohol consumption is defined as drinking above 210 gram/week for men and above 140 gram/week for women.\(^8\) Metabolic syndrome was defined by updated National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III standards.\(^9,20\)

**Assessment of obesity and hepatic steatosis**

For evaluating obesity, following variables were checked in this study: body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), and visceral fat area (VFA). These variables were measured by electrical bioimpedance analysis and those were measured using IOI-353 Body Composition Analyzer (Jawon Medical Co., Seoul, Korea).

Hepatic steatosis (fatty liver) was evaluated by ultrasonography. Trained hepatologists (YM. Park and SH. Yoo) performed ultrasonography. For this study, ultrasonographic hepatic steatosis was newly assessed by two hepatologists (SH. Yoo and W. Sohn). They reviewed ultrasonographic images of all patients without knowing any clinical information. To assess hepatic steatosis, we used Hamaguchi’s ultrasonographic score, from 0 to 6 points (S0-S6) considering bright hepatic echogenicity, hepatorenal echo contrast, deep attenuation and vessel blurring.\(^21\) Based on this scoring system, mild hepatic steatosis was defined by score 2-3 (S2-S3) and moderate/severe hepatic steatosis was defined by ≥score 4 (S4). Sonographic hepatic steatosis was scored based on an agreement by two hepatologists (SH. Yoo and W. Sohn). In case of disagreement, we re-reviewed the sonographic images and determined the score by agreement. Unless the cases are not agreed in spite of re-assessment, we determined the mean value of two scoring measurements as the final result.

**Follow-up after starting antiviral treatment**

After starting entecavir, enrolled patients underwent imaging study (abdominal ultrasonography and/or contrast-enhanced multiphase computed tomography) and serum alpha-fetoprotein every 6 months. Magnetic resonance imaging or liver biopsy was additionally used to confirm HCC if necessary. We also assessed disease progression in patients after starting entecavir. Disease progression was defined as the first occurrence one of following events: i) increase by ≥2 points of Child-Pugh score compared to baseline; ii) spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; iii) renal insufficiency; iv) variceal bleeding; v) HCC development; vi) death.\(^2\) To evaluate the efficacy of entecavir, serum laboratory tests such as serum aminotransferase level, HBeAg, hepatitis B virus envelope antibody (HBeAb) and hepatitis B virus DNA level were performed every 3 or 6 months.

**Assessment of outcomes**

Time to HCC development, risk factors affecting for HCC development, virological response to entecavir, and overall survival were investigated. Also, we evaluated relationship between obesity-related factors and hepatitis B viral load before entecavir treatment. Time to HCC development and disease progression were defined as the duration from an initiation of entecavir to a detection of HCC or the first occurrence one of above disease progression events, respectively. Virological response to entecavir was checked as a proportion of patients with HBV DNA level <69 IU/mL at 48 weeks and 96 weeks, respectively. Biochemical response to entecavir was checked as a proportion of patients with serum ALT level <40 IU/mL at 48 weeks and 96 weeks, respectively. The follow-up period for survival analysis was defined as the duration between an initiation of entecavir and either a development of event or the last visit to outpatient clinic before December 31, 2015.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Continuous variables were demonstrated as mean value with standard deviation (SD) and median value with interquartile range. We assessed the inter-observer variability of Hamaguchi’s score using kappa value (κ). That was interpreted as bellows: 0.01-0.20, slight agreement; 0.21-0.40, fair agreement; 0.41-0.60, moderate agreement; 0.61-0.80, substantial agreement; 0.81-0.99, almost perfect agreement.\(^22\) Kaplan-Meier curve was used to calculate the cumulative incidence of HCC and overall survival. Log-rank test was used to compare the incidence of HCC according to risk factors. Unvariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards model was used to identify the risk factors for the development of HCC. Multivariable analysis was done using a backward conditional stepwise procedure to avoid the multicollinearity. Spearman correlation coefficient (r) was used for investi-
gating the relationship of baseline HBV DNA (before starting entecavir) and obesity associated factors (BMI, WC, WHR, and VFA).

All statistical analysis was done using SPSS for windows release 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). When P-value was <0.05 in a two-sided test, it was regarded as statistically significant.

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of enrolled patients (N=102)

| Characteristic                              | Number/mean ± S.D. |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Age                                         | 46.4 ± 11.2        |
| Women/men (N, %)                            | 35/67 (34/66)      |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL)                     | 1.2 ± 1.0          |
| AST (U/L)                                   | 196.6 ± 532.2     |
| ALT (U/L)                                   | 224.9 ± 440.8     |
| Albumin (g/dL)                              | 4.0 ± 0.4          |
| Platelet count (x10³/mm⁳)                   | 165.1 ± 57.3       |
| Prothrombin time (INR)                      | 1.1 ± 0.2          |
| Ascites (N, %)                              | 8 (8)              |
| Hepatic encephalopathy (N, %)               | 0 (0)              |
| HBeAg positivity (N, %)                     | 71 (70)            |
| HBV DNA level (IU/mL, median with interquartile range) | 7340040.5 (474098.3 - 138000000) |
| Liver cirrhosis (N, %)                      | 36 (35)            |
| Child-Pugh class A/B/C (N, %)               | 94/6/2 (92/6/2)    |
| APRI                                        | 4.5 ± 8.2          |
| FIB-4                                       | 2.9 ± 4.3          |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)                   | 176.6 ± 32.2       |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL)                        | 101.5 ± 52.4       |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)                     | 56.0 ± 15.9        |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)                     | 104.8 ± 27.2       |
| Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL)               | 96.2 ± 19.1        |
| Systolic blood pressure (mg/dL)             | 122.1 ± 14.8       |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mg/dL)            | 76.9 ± 10.7        |
| Significant alcohol consumption* (N, %)     | 19 (19)            |
| Diabetes mellitus (N, %)                    | 4 (4)              |
| Hypertension (N, %)                         | 5 (5)              |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)                     | 23.6 ± 3.1         |
| Waist circumference† (cm)                   | 82.5 ± 9.4         |
| Waist-to-hip ratio†                         | 0.86 ± 0.08        |
| Visceral fat area‡ (cm²)                    | 89.1 ± 40.8        |
| Metabolic syndrome (N, %)                   | 16 (16)            |
| Hepatic steatosis on US: none/mild/moderate or severe‡ (N, %) | 78/19/5 (76/19/5) |

S.D., standard deviation; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; INR, international normalized ratio; HBeAg, hepatitis B envelope antigen; APRI, AST to Platelet Ratio Index; FIB-4, fibrosis-4; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; US, ultrasonography.

*Significant alcohol consumption is defined as drinking above 210 gram/week for men and above 140 gram/week for women.

†The variables were measured by electrical impedance analysis.

‡Hepatic steatosis was semiquantitatively evaluated using Hamaguchi's scoring system on ultrasonography (0-6): 0-1, no steatosis; 2-3, mild steatosis; ≥4, moderate or severe steatosis.
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RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. The median age of patients was 46.4 years and the majority of patients were men (66%). The mean level of serum ALT was 224.9 IU/mL. HBeAg positivity was observed in 71 patients (70%). Thirty six patients had cirrhotic patients (35%). Child-Pugh class of patients was class A in 94 (92%), class B in 6 (6%), and class C in 2 (2%) patients. The median level of HBV DNA was 7,340,040.5 IU/mL. Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome were observed in 4 (4%), 5 (5%), and 16 (16%). The median levels of serum fasting glucose, triglyceride, and LDL cholesterol were 96.2, 101.5, and 104.8 mg/dL. The degree of hepatic steatosis none in 78 patients (76%), mild in 19 patients (19%), and moderate or severe in 5 patients (5%). The inter-observer agreement of hepatic steatosis was good (κ=0.879, 95% CI [0.821-0.918]). The mean values of BMI, WC, WHR, and VFA were 23.6 kg/m², 82.5 cm, 0.86 and 89.1 cm².

Relationship between hepatitis B viral load and obesity associated risk factors

The relationship between baseline HBV DNA level (before starting entecavir) and obesity-related factors (BMI, WC, WHR, and VFA) was demonstrated in Figure 2. There was a significant inverse correlation between HBV DNA level and BMI (r=-0.208, P=0.036), WHR (r=-0.285, P=0.004), and VFA (r=-0.293, P=0.003) (Fig. 2A, 2C, and 2D). Relationship between HBV DNA
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level and WC was inverse but it did not reach a statistically significance (r=-0.188, P=0.059) (Fig. 2B).

We investigated the change of obesity associated factors after hepatitis B viral load was suppressed thorough antiviral treatment. Of 102 patients, 86 patients were checked with bioelectrical impedance analysis for obesity after hepatitis B viral load was suppressed. There is no significant difference in the change of obesity associated factors when hepatitis B viral load was suppressed: BMI (23.6 ± 3.1 -> 23.6 ± 3.0, P=0.918); WC (82.5 ± 9.4 -> 81.9 ± 8.6, P=0.899); WHR (0.86 ± 0.08 -> 0.87 ± 0.08, P=0.081); VFA (89.1 ± 40.8 -> 90.2 ± 38.6, P=0.401).

HCC incidence in CHB patients treated with entecavir

The median follow-up duration of the patients was 45.2 (interquartile range: 36.0-58.3) months. Of 102 patients, HCC development was observed in 7 patients. The cumulative incidence rates of HCC at 1 year, 3 years, and 5 years were 0%, 5.3%, and 9.0%, respectively. The mean duration of time to HCC development was 71.5 (95% CI: 68.7-74.4) months. The median duration of entecavir administration was 38.0 (interquartile range: 23.6-51.9) months. Of 102 patients, death was observed in 3 patients.

**Effect of obesity associated factors on virological response, biochemical response, and HBeAg seroconversion**

Virological response rates of entecavir were 80% (76/95) at 48 weeks and 94% (79/84) at 96 weeks. Biochemical response rates of entecavir were 79% (75/95) at 48 weeks and 86% (72/84) at 96 weeks. Drug resistance was detected in one patient. The rate of HBeAg seroconversion was 38% (27/71).

The differences in virological response, biochemical response, and HBeAg seroconversion according to obesity associated factors were demonstrated in Figure 3. There was no statistical difference in virological response at 96 weeks and HBeAg seroconversion according to obesity associated factors (BMI, WC, WHR, VFA, and hepatic steatosis) (P>0.05). On the other hand, bio-

---

**Table 2. Results of univariable and multivariable analyses of risk factors for HCC development in patients treated with entecavir for CHB (N=102)**

|                          | Univariable HR (95% CI) | P-value | Multivariable HR (95% CI) | P-value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age ≥60 years            | 2.48 (0.48-12.82)       | 0.277   |                           |         |
| Men                      | 1.29 (0.25-6.64)        | 0.763   |                           |         |
| Total bilirubin ≥1.2 mg/dL | 0.98 (0.19-5.08)       | 0.981   |                           |         |
| AST ≥120 U/L             | 0.39 (0.47-3.25)        | 0.384   |                           |         |
| ALT ≥120 U/L             | 0.25 (0.30-2.06)        | 0.197   |                           |         |
| Albumin <4.0 g/dL        | 3.00 (0.67-13.40)       | 0.151   |                           |         |
| Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase ≥50 IU/L | 3.84 (0.46-31.89) | 0.214   |                           |         |
| Platelet count <120×10³/mm³ | 5.21 (1.16-23.29)     | 0.031   |                           |         |
| Ascites                  | 4.84 (0.94-25.09)       | 0.060   |                           |         |
| HBeAg negativity         | 5.61 (1.09-28.92)       | 0.039   | 4.84 (0.94-25.05)         | 0.060   |
| HBV DNA ≥1 x10⁷ IU/mL    | 0.18 (0.02-1.50)        | 0.113   |                           |         |
| Child-Pugh class B or C  | 2.62 (0.32-21.81)       | 0.372   |                           |         |
| Liver cirrhosis          | 10.26 (1.23-85.50)      | 0.031   | 9.07 (1.09-75.69)         | 0.042   |
| DM / Dyslipidemia / HTN / MS | 0.28 (0.03-2.35)     | 0.242   |                           |         |
| Body mass index ≥25 kg/m²  | 0.90 (0.17-4.62)      | 0.894   |                           |         |
| Waist circumference ≥90 cm (men) or ≥80 cm (women) | 0.88 (0.17-4.53) | 0.879   |                          |         |
| Waist-to-hip ratio ≥0.9   | 1.94 (0.23-15.87)       | 0.386   |                           |         |
| Visceral fat area ≥100 cm²  | 1.69 (0.43-8.71)      | 0.495   |                           |         |
| Hepatic steatosis on ultrasonography | 0.57 (0.07-4.74) | 0.602   |                           |         |

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; CHB, chronic hepatitis B; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; MS, metabolic syndrome.
Risk factors for HCC development in CHB patients treated with entecavir

Risk factors for HCC development were shown in Table 2. Univariable analysis revealed that the risk factors for HCC development were a platelet count of <120,000 /mm\(^3\) (HR 5.21, \(P=0.031\)), HBeAg negativity (HR 5.61, \(P=0.039\)), and liver cirrhosis (HR 10.26, \(P=0.031\)). However, none of obesity-related risk factors were significantly associated with HCC development in CHB patients treated with entecavir: BMI \(\geq 25\) kg/m\(^2\) (HR 0.90, \(P=0.894\)), WC \(\geq 90\) cm (HR 1.10, \(P=0.912\)), WHR \(\geq 0.9\) (HR 1.94, \(P=0.386\)), VFA \(\geq 100\) cm\(^2\) (HR 1.69, \(P=0.495\)), and hepatic steatosis (HR 0.57, \(P=0.602\)). Multivariable analysis showed that the significant risk factor for HCC development was liver cirrhosis (HR 9.07, \(P=0.042\)). HBeAg negativity was associated with HCC development, but it didn’t reach a statistical significance (HR 4.84, \(P=0.06\)).

We compared the difference of HCC incidence according to risk factors (Fig. 4). Cumulative incidence rates of HCC at 1 year, 3 years, and 5 years were 0%, 1.9% and 1.9%, respectively, in non-cirrhotic patients and 0%, 11.4%, and 19.1%, respectively, in cirrhotic patients (Fig. 4A). The mean durations of time to HCC development were 74.7 (95% CI: 73.0-76.3) and 60.8 (95% CI: 55.4-66.2) months in non-cirrhotic and cirrhotic patients, respectively. HCC incidence was significantly different according to the presence of liver cirrhosis (\(P=0.008\)). However, HCC incidence was not significantly different according to obesity-related risk factors such as BMI (Fig. 4B), presence of diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension, metabolic syndrome (Fig. 4C), WHR (Fig. 4D), VFA (Fig. 4E), and hepatic steatosis (Fig. 4F).

Risk factors for disease progression in CHB patients treated with entecavir

Of 102 patients, 11 patients were regarded as disease progression after antiviral treatment: increase by \(\geq 2\) points of CP score (n=1); SBP (n=1); renal insufficiency (n=1); variceal bleeding (n=1); HCC (n=7); death (n=3) – some patients have experienced more than 2 events. The median duration of time to disease progression was 67.8 (95% CI: 63.2-72.4) months. Risk factors for disease progression were demonstrated in Table 3. Univariable analysis indicated that the risk factors for disease progression were a platelet count of <120,000 /mm\(^3\) (HR 5.90, \(P=0.005\)), HBV DNA level \(\geq 1\times 10^7\) IU/mL (HR 0.09, \(P=0.022\)), HBeAg negativity (HR 3.59, \(P=0.048\)), and liver cirrhosis (HR 4.46, \(P=0.027\)). However,
none of obesity-related risk factors were significantly associated with disease progression in CHB patients treated with entecavir: BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$ (HR 0.98, $P=0.978$), WC $\geq 90$ cm (HR 0.95, $P=0.945$), WHR $\geq 0.9$ (HR 2.47, $P=0.164$), VFA $\geq 100$ cm$^2$ (HR 2.12, $P=0.245$), and hepatic steatosis (HR 0.85, $P=0.840$). Multivariate analysis indicated that the significant risk factor for disease progression was a platelet count of $<120,000$ /mm$^3$ (HR 4.06, $P=0.031$). HBV DNA level $\geq 1 \times 10^7$ IU/mL was associated with low occurrence of disease progression, but it didn’t reach a statistical significance (HR 0.13, $P=0.055$).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study was conducted to clarify the effect of obesity-related factors (BMI, WC, WHR, VFA, and hepatic steatosis) on HCC development in CHB patients receiving entecavir. In our study, cirrhosis was an independent risk factor for HCC development while none of the obesity-related factors were associated with HCC risk. The obesity-related factors in CHB patients receiving entecavir were not associated with disease progression, defined by several clinical endpoints as well. The obesity-related factors were inversely associated with HBV DNA levels and biochemical response, but the virological response did not differ.

Hepatitis B virus load is closely associated with HCC risk in CHB patients. Hence, in order to investigate the effect of obesity on HCC development, it is crucial to include homogeneous population who could achieve and maintain viral suppression by NA during follow-up. In this aspect, we included only those using entecavir therapy, which are highly effective in suppressing viral replication without developing resistance. In this study, the virological response rate was 80% at 48 weeks and 94% at 96 weeks, and only one patient developed resistance, giving us good opportunities to investigate impact of obesity-related factors on HCC.

For CHC, obesity is an established risk factor for poorer outcome. However, conflicting results are available for CHB. Obesity is significantly associated with HCC in CHC. High BMI ($>25$ kg/m$^2$) is an independent risk factor for HCC development in CHC. Obesity is related to HCC recurrence and liver-related complication in

| Table 3. Results of univariable and multivariable analyses of risk factors for disease progression in patients treated with entecavir for CHB (N=102) |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Univariable HR (95% CI) | $P$-value | Multivariable HR (95% CI) | $P$-value |
| Age $\geq60$ years | 2.06 (0.53-7.95) | 0.294 | | |
| Men | 1.29 (0.33-5.01) | 0.709 | | |
| Total bilirubin $\geq 1.2$ mg/dL | 1.19 (0.35-4.10) | 0.779 | | |
| AST $\geq120$ U/L | 0.43 (0.09-2.07) | 0.291 | | |
| ALT $\geq120$ U/L | 0.32 (0.07-1.50) | 0.150 | | |
| Albumin $<4.0$ g/dL | 1.90 (0.58-6.25) | 0.291 | | |
| Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase $\geq50$ IU/L | 2.91 (0.63-13.50) | 0.172 | | |
| Platelet count $<120 \times10^3$ /mm$^3$ | 5.90 (1.72-20.26) | 0.005 | 4.06 (1.14-14.49) | 0.031 |
| Ascites | 3.19 (0.81-12.57) | 0.098 | | |
| HBeAg negativity | 3.59 (1.01-12.74) | 0.048 | | |
| HBV DNA $\geq1 \times10^7$ IU/mL | 0.09 (0.01-0.71) | 0.022 | 0.13 (0.02-1.05) | 0.055 |
| Child-Pugh class B or C | 1.89 (0.39-9.22) | 0.431 | | |
| Liver cirrhosis | 4.46 (1.18-16.87) | 0.027 | | |
| DM / Dyslipidemia / HTN / MS | 0.39 (0.09-1.82) | 0.233 | | |
| Body mass index $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$ | 0.98 (0.25-3.80) | 0.978 | | |
| Waist circumference $\geq 90$ cm (men) or $\geq 80$ cm (women) | 0.95 (0.25-3.69) | 0.945 | | |
| Waist-to-hip ratio $\geq 0.9$ | 2.47 (0.69-8.77) | 0.164 | | |
| Visceral fat area $\geq100$ cm$^2$ | 2.12 (0.60-7.52) | 0.245 | | |
| Hepatic steatosis on ultrasonography | 0.85 (0.18-4.03) | 0.840 | | |

*CHB, chronic hepatitis B; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; MS, metabolic syndrome.*
CHC patients receiving liver transplantation. On the other hand, obesity is not a risk factor for HCC development in CHB patients. Also, obesity is not associated with mortality in patients treated with surgical resection for HBV-related HCC. In our study, none of the obesity related parameters (BMI, WC, WHR, and VFA) were associated with HCC or disease progression in CHB patients under entecavir therapy. Some studies showed that metabolic syndrome or insulin metabolism increases the risk of liver cirrhosis or HCC in CHB. Large-scaled case-control studies indicated that the risk of HCC development is 2-4 folds higher with type 2 diabetes than without it. A recent Taiwanese study showed that new onset diabetes is associated with increased risk of HCC in chronic hepatitis B. However, Wang et al. showed that diabetes mellitus is an independent risk factor for HCC in CHC but not in CHB. Our study did not show that diabetes is the risk factor for HCC development in chronic hepatitis B. In our study, none of the obesity related parameters (BMI, WC, WHR, and VFA) were associated with HCC or disease progression in CHB patients under entecavir therapy. Our data has a merit, as we included homogeneous population under potent NA therapy, and found that obesity-related factors are not risk factors for HCC or disease progression.

In this study, we obesity associated factors had no impact on virological response and HBeAg seroconversion, while poor biochemical response was observed for patients with increased WHR and hepatic steatosis. Consistent to this study, Cindoruk et al. reported no effect of hepatic steatosis on the treatment outcome in CHB. Furthermore, some studies indicated that there is an inverse relationship between HBV and obesity associated factors. The prevalence of hepatic steatosis and metabolic syndrome is lower in patients with CHB than control group. Machado et al. showed that HBV DNA level is negatively associated with hepatic steatosis. In our study, here was a significantly inverse relationship between HBV DNA level and obesity-related factors (BMI, WHR, and VFA). Machado et al. suggested that HBV may have a preventing effect for the development of obesity related disorder. However, it is difficult to address the clinical significance of the inverse relationship between hepatitis B virus load and obesity associated factors because there is no definite evidence of a biological mechanism or interaction between hepatitis B virus and obesity.

This study has several limitations. First, our study can't evaluate insulin resistance such as homeostatic model assessment because

Figure 4 Differences in HCC development according to cirrhosis (A), BMI (B), diabetes mellitus/dyslipidemia/hypertension/metabolic syndrome (C), WHR (D), VFA (E), and hepatic steatosis (F) in CHB patients treated with entecavir. HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; MS, metabolic syndrome; WHR, waist-hip-ratio; VFA, visceral fat area; CHB, chronic hepatitis B.
serum insulin level was not checked. However, we investigated other obesity-related factors such as serum glucose, lipid profile, diabetes mellitus, and metabolic syndrome. All patients were checked with body composition analyzer for obesity. Thus, we assessed VFA as well as BMI and WHR. Second, the quantitative evaluation of hepatic steatosis was not done because liver biopsy was performed in 5 patients. However, we made an effort to evaluate hepatic steatosis semi-quantitatively using the Hamaguchi’s scoring system in ultrasonography. However, there was a good inter-observer agreement (k=0.879) for the evaluation of hepatic steatosis. Finally, this study included small number of sample size and clinical outcomes (HCC or disease progression). Therefore, the statistical power might be rather low to verify our hypothesis. Also, our limitation is that this study was conducted by a retrospective approach. Further prospective studies with enough sample size should be needed to clarify the effect of obesity on HCC development in patients treated with antiviral treatment for CHB.

In conclusion, obesity-related factors were not associated with HCC or disease progression in CHB patients receiving entecavir. The potential role of obesity-related factors warrants further validation in CHB patients under NA therapy.
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