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Abstract

**Background:** The prevalence of postural changes is increasingly evident in our society, early detection plays an extremely important role in preventing complications in adult life. Thus, the objective of this study was to analyse the prevalence of postural deviation and risk factors in 2nd cycle students. Sample of 19 students aged between 10 and 11 years old.

**Methodology:** The collection methods used were postural evaluation through SAPO software, analysis of plantar pressure by Podoprint software and behavioural risk factors through the Back PEI questionnaire.

**Results:** The prevalence of postural deviations found in all body segments (pelvis, neck, shoulders, trunk, feet, and center of gravity) occurred in more than 70% of participants. Regarding the distribution of the plantar pressures and the maximum pressure point, the majority of individuals had the highest-pressure area in both heels and a high prevalence of foot cavus. 84.2% had a BMI below normal, and 57.9% had severe thinness. Most students take an improper posture when sitting at the table and lifting objects off the floor.

**Conclusion:** Several aspects related to the postural postures and habits of the children are determinant for the musculoskeletal development, especially in the period of osteoarticular growth, when the equilibrium search for the new proportions of the body occurs. This high prevalence of postural deviations, both lateral and anteroposterior, in the present study, the results obtained by other authors report a prevalence of around 70% for postural changes in children and adolescents.
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Introduction

Postural changes are considered a public health problem, as they may be a factor predisposed to adult degenerative conditions [1-3]. Many postural problems, especially those related to the spine, have their origin in the period of body growth and development, that is, in childhood and adolescence [4,5].

In these phases occur the peaks of growth, critical moments for the appearance of postural deviations resulting from the various adjustments, adaptations and physical and psychosocial changes characteristic of this phase of development, besides intrinsic and extrinsic factors, such as heredity, environment, physical conditions, emotional and socioeconomic factors [6]. The cases of postural changes in adolescence are growing considerably, it is at this stage that children and adolescents remain for long periods sitting in a school context [7,8], and the maximum pressure point, the majority of individuals had the highest-pressure area in both heels and a high prevalence of foot cavus. 84.2% had a BMI below normal, and 57.9% had severe thinness. Most students take an improper posture when sitting at the table and lifting objects off the floor.

According to Desouzart et al. [13], postural education programs can influence the physical health of children and it is necessary to include in the curricula of Physical Education this same program, in order to incorporate exercises aiming at the improvement of the corporal self-consciousness in the children.

In this way, with the intention of contributing with the literature of the area and, mainly, to be able to intervene later, the purpose of this study was to estimate the prevalence of lateral and anteroposterior postural deviations in students and to verify if such deviations are associated with certain anthropometric and behavioral factors.

Methodology

**Aim**

This paper aimed to present a study that analysed the prevalence of postural changes and postural habits adopted by 5th and 6th school grade students from both gender of the School Group Infante D. Henrique from Viseu.

This 5th and 6th grade population was chosen according to two different school periods, the entrance and exiting level for the twice Basic Education Cycle, these dues to our intent of seeing if there was already possible to find any postural changes in both of this stages.

**Study sample**

Dating from July 2015, there were 836 students enrolled in the school, 314 of which attending the 2nd Basic Education Cycle, in the 5th and 6th grade [146 and 168, respectively].

This study population, as mentioned earlier, represent the beginning of the 2nd Basic Education Cycle [2nd grade] and the end of the same Basic Education Cycle [6th grade], which were chosen in order to establish if there were already postural changes, or even spine musculoskeletal related problems, by analyzing and comparing pain complaints in both school periods.

Our sample consisted of 19 participants [11 male and 8 female subjects, with ages between 10 and 11-year-old [10.47± 0.513 years old].

Approved by the ethical comity of Piaget Institute, this study asked for informed consents for students’ parents and guardians. All our procedures followed the Helsinki Declaration, to what human related studies should comply.
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The inclusion criteria are: i) to be aged between 10 and 11 years; ii) understand the aims of the study and agree to participate voluntarily. As exclusion criteria: i) Presence of pathology a known health condition of rheumatological, orthopaedic, cardio-respiratory, oncological pathology and / or diagnosed coronary disease; ii) the provision of informed consent by students' parents and guardians.

Procedures

This study have taken one month from participant selection process, personal identification questionnaire fill, the Visual Analogue Scale [VAS] was used to measure the intensity of Back Pain [14-16] and Back Pain and Body Posture Evaluation Instrument [BackPEI] according Noll et al. [17] in order to identify the presence of back pain in the last three months and evaluates demographic [age and sex] and behavioral risk factors [level of physical exercise and competitive practice of physical exercise; daily hours watching television and using the computer; hours of sleep; habit to read and / or study in bed; sleeping posture; sit on the chair to write; sit on the chair to talk; use the computer; take object from the ground; means of transport used to transport school supplies and mode of transportation of the school backpack.

In order to assess body weight, a Body mass index was conducted [18,19]. For the measurement of body weight, a Seca® scale was used with a capacity of 150 kg and a precision of 100 gr. The height was collected using a stadiometer [200 cm]. In both measurements, students remained erect, with no footwear and bathing suit, and for height measurement, the students were with their backs to the measuring instrument, and the heads were positioned in the horizontal plane of Frankfurt. BMI was calculated and the subjects were classified as follows: obesity, normal weight, light thinness, moderate thinness, and severe thinness according to the proposed limits.

The postural evaluation was performed using the Postural Assessment Software [PAS / SAPO], version 0.68 developed by Duarte [15,20]. This software allows the import and calibration of images, marking of points in the photograph according to protocol, interval measurement and free marking of points to determine body angles [21]. This instrument evaluates the curvatures of the spine in a non-invasive way in order to quantify the posture, registering small alterations for the evaluation and monitoring in a clinical setting [21,22]. For data collection, a Sony Cybershot 14.1 Megapixel camera adapted to a tripod [KONIG KN-TRIPOD40®] was required.

For the postural evaluation, the individuals were photographed in an anterior, posterior and lateral view. The camera was positioned on a tripod 3 m away and at a height of 1 m from the ground [21]. For the photographic record, the students were instructed to remain in the usual standing posture of the day, with the arms along the body and the weight of the body distributed equally on the two parallel feet. For evaluation in the lateral view and to facilitate the visualization of the marked points, shoulder flexion was requested at 45˚. To control possible parasitic variables, it was considered that the collection environment remained the same and no distractions to the participant.

The images were then entered SAPO software, followed by vertical axis calibration, using a distance of 45 cm marked on the pendulum. For this calibration, a 150% zoom was used. The analysis follows points defined by the SAPO protocol but with free markings in order to evaluate: inclination, rectification and cervical protrusion, shoulder drop and pelvic tilt, horizontal alignment of the pelvis and projection of the body.

The images were then entered SAPO software, followed by vertical axis calibration, using a distance of 45 cm marked on the pendulum. For this calibration, a 150% zoom was used. The analysis follows points defined by the SAPO protocol but with free markings in order to evaluate: inclination, rectification and cervical protrusion, shoulder drop and pelvic tilt, horizontal alignment of the pelvis and projection of the body.

The last analysis was plantar pressure. The Podoprint Stabilometric Platform from Namrol® Print was used to evaluate the distribution of load on the plantar surface of the displacement of the force center.

The variables analysed are: center of forces, pressure peaks, contact area, support base and distribution of forces by foot area [ante-foot, mid-foot and back foot]. Each barefoot individual was positioned in front of the platform and oriented to climb to the platform with both feet independently, keeping them comfortable, erect posture and upper limbs extended parallel to the trunk.

In order to simplify the data and tables representing the different active postures, the BackPEI images were classified as ideal and not ideal (Figure 1).

SPSS, 23.0 version was used in order to treat all the statistical data. Descriptive statistics were performed, considering all the variables...
Results

The sample consisted of 19 individuals, aged 10 to 11 years old.

| BMI                        | n  | %    |
|----------------------------|----|------|
| Severe slimness [<16]      | 11 | 57.9 |
| Moderate slimness [16 a 16.9] | 1 | 5.3  |
| Light slimness [17 a 18.4] | 4  | 21.1 |
| Normal [18.5 a 24.9]      | 3  | 15.8 |
| Total                     | 19 | 100.0|

Table 1: BMI analysis.

| Variable / Response                              | Gender | Total |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Regular practice of physical exercise / sport (in or out of school) | Male | Female | Total |
| Yes                                              | 42.1%  | 26.3%  | 68.4%  |
| No                                               | 15.3%  | 15.3%  | 31.6%  |
| Days per week that you exercise / sport           |       |       |       |
| 1-2 days/week                                    | 15.4%  | 30.8%  | 46.2%  |
| 3-4 days/week                                    | 38.5%  | 7.7%   | 46.2%  |
| 5 or + days/week                                 | 7.7%   | 0.0%   | 7.7%   |

Table 2: Distribution of physical exercise according to gender.

| Variable / Response                  | Gender | Total |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Hours a day sitting watching TV      |        |       |
| At least 1 hour / day               | 31.6%  | 26.3%  | 57.9%  |
| 2 to 3 hour / day                   | 15.8%  | 10.5%  | 26.3%  |
| I do not know how to respond. It depends on the day | 10.5% | 5.3%  | 15.8%  |

| Hours per day sitting at PC          |        |       |
| At least 1 hour / day               | 42.1%  | 26.3%  | 68.4%  |
| 2 to 3 hour / day                   | 0.0%   | 10.5%  | 10.5%  |
| I do not know how to respond. It depends on the day | 15.6% | 5.3%  | 21.1%  |

| Hours per day to sleep               |        |       |
| Less than 8 hours / day              | 0.0%   | 0.0%   | 0.0%   |
| From 8 to 9 hours / day              | 42.5%  | 36.4%  | 78.9%  |
| More than 8 hours / day              | 12.8%  | 8.3%   | 21.1%  |

Table 3: Frequencies of rest habits according to gender.

| Variable / Response                       | Gender | Total |
|------------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Sleep posture position                   |        |       |
| Lateral position                         | 10.5%  | 31.6%  | 42.1%  |
| Ventral position                         | 31.6%  | 5.3%   | 36.8%  |
| Dorsal position                          | 5.3%   | 5.3%   | 10.5%  |
| I do not know how to respond. It depends on the day | 10.5% | 0.0%  | 10.5%  |

| At school, sitting at the table to write |        |       |
| Not ideal 1                              | 26.3%  | 21.1%  | 47.4%  |
| Ideal 2                                  | 10.5%  | 0.0%   | 10.5%  |
| Not ideal 3                              | 10.5%  | 15.8%  | 26.3%  |
| Not ideal 4                              | 0.0%   | 5.3%   | 5.3%   |
| Not ideal 5                              | 10.5%  | 0.0%   | 10.5%  |

| Sitting at work on the computer          |        |       |
| Not ideal 1                              | 31.6%  | 15.8%  | 47.4%  |

| Ideal 2                                  | 5.3%   | 5.3%   | 10.5%  |
| Not ideal 3                              | 10.5%  | 0.0%   | 10.5%  |
| Not ideal 4                              | 10.5%  | 15.8%  | 26.3%  |
| Not ideal 5                              | 0.0%   | 5.3%   | 5.3%   |

| Sitting on a chair or bench to chat with friends |        |       |
| Not ideal 1                                  | 5.3%   | 5.3%   | 10.5%  |
| Ideal 2                                     | 10.5%  | 5.3%   | 15.8%  |
| Not ideal 3                                  | 5.3%   | 5.3%   | 10.5%  |
| Not ideal 4                                  | 21.1%  | 15.8%  | 36.8%  |
| Not ideal 5                                  | 15.8%  | 10.5%  | 26.3%  |

| Lift an object off the ground              |        |       |
| Not ideal 1                                | 5.3%   | 10.5%  | 15.8%  |
| Ideal 2                                    | 26.3%  | 3.1%   | 36.8%  |
| Ideal 3                                    | 26.3%  | 3.1%   | 36.8%  |
| Not ideal 4                                | 15.8%  | 0.0%   | 15.8%  |

| Carrying the school backpack               |        |       |
| Not ideal 1                                | 0.0%   | 10.5%  | 10.5%  |
| Ideal 2                                    | 57.9%  | 31.6%  | 89.5%  |

Table 4: Frequencies of postural habits according to gender.

[10.47 ± 0.513], 11 males [57.9%] and 8 females [42.1%]. 84.2% have an abnormal BMI value, and 57.9% have a BMI corresponding to severe thinness [<16] and only 15.8% have a normal BMI (Table 1).

The practice of physical activity was reported by 68.4% of the participants and 36.8% of them practice physical exercise as competition and it is the male who practices more and more time per week dedicates to his practice (Table 2).

Regarding the practice of physical exercise, 68.4% of the sample reported spending at least 1 hour watching TV, 68.4% staying at least 1 hour on the computer. In relation to rest and hours of sleep, 78.9% do so from 8 to 9 hours a day.

Regarding the back pain reported by the participants, only 10.5% had back pain in the last three months, with intensity of pain varying between intensity 1 and intensity 6 in the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), with only one individual presenting a frequency of episodes 2 to 3 times per week. This presented pain did not limit the performance in daily life activities.

With regard to postural habits (Table 4), 41.7% study or read in bed. In relation to the preferred position to sleep 36.8% has a habit of sleeping in the ventral position. The data revealed that 89.5% of subjects did not maintain an ideal posture to write at the table (Figure 1 - Question 1 - Answer 4). This same percentage (89.5%) of students do not assume ideal postures when they sit around talking (Figure 1 - Question 2 - answer 1) and 68.4% did not pick up objects from the floor in an ideal and ergonomic way (Figure 1 - Question 5 - Answer 1) and only 10.5% do not carry the transport of the backpack of ideal form, putting it in a single shoulder (Figure 1 - Question 5 - Answer 1) and do not assume ideal postures when they sit around talking (Figure 1 - Question 3 - Answer 4). Table 4 represents in percentage the different postural habits of the respondents.

With regard to the load and transport habits, all the individuals use backpacks of two handles for transport of the school material, of which only 10.5% do not carry the transport of the backpack of ideal form, placing it in a single shoulder (Figure 1 - Question 5 - Answer 1) and 68.4% did not pick up objects from the floor in an ideal and ergonomic way (Figure 1 - Question 4 - Answers 1, 2 and 4).

In the postural evaluation using Podobarometry and photography, there is a large discrepancy regarding the number of footwear of the respondents and the obtained results we can infer that the majority of the evaluated individuals presents both foot cavus with 63.2%.
a pressure area> 75%, we can observe that 42.1% of the individuals present the greatest area of pressure in both heels. From this evaluation and according to the results obtained we can determine that the point of maximum pressure is found for 42.1% of the individuals in the right calcaneus, 47.4% in the left calcaneus. In relation to the center of gravity, 52.7% of the individuals presented the center of gravity, and 26.3% of them presented anterior displacement to the right (Table 5).

Regarding postural evaluation, Table 6 represents the results of the postural deviations presented by the individuals who performed the postural evaluation. 90.9% of the individuals presented lateral inclination of the head. None of the individuals evaluated had a normal horizontal alignment of the head in relation to the C7 vertebra and that 72.7% presented anterior cervical spine.

In the postural evaluation of the trunk, it was observed that 63.6% of the individuals evaluated presented right acromion higher than the left one. In relation to the Antero Superior Iliac Spines (ASIS), 81.8% did not present horizontal ASIS alignment. Thus, all individuals presented alterations in the angles between the ASIS and the acromion, and 72.7% presented right bending of the trunk. Changes were found in the horizontal alignment of the pelvis of all individuals, and the majority (90.9%) presented pelvic anteversion (Table 6).

### Discussion

One of the most frequent factors of postural deviations is shoulder asymmetry, some authors associate the presence of this asymmetry to the lateral and dominant hand of the individual, as it promotes more pronounced muscular hypertrophy on the dominant side, which can cause an increase of this shoulder [7,22], while others, as is the case of the authors Sacco et al. [21] report that the asymmetry of the shoulder is related to the transport of the backpacks, the way each individual carries his load can be determined by factors such as weight and size, transport time, orographic and environmental conditions, the physical constitution of the individual because postural imbalances generated in these situations are aggravated by the fact that the loaded weight is often disproportionate to the weight of the body itself.

| Variables                      | %   |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Type of foot                   |     |
| normal                         | 31.6|
| Both feet caves                | 63.2|
| Normal right foot. left foot   | 5.3 |
| Maximum Pressure Point (M)     |     |
| Right Heel                     | 42.1|
| Left Heel                      | 47.4|
| Front Right                    | 5.3 |
| Front Left                     | 5.3 |
| Pressure Area> 75%             |     |
| Heels                          | 42.1|
| Heels and forefoot             | 38.8|
| Heels and forefoot Right       | 15.8|
| Heels and forefoot Left        | 5.3 |
| Gravity center                 |     |
| Lined up                       | 15.8|
| Preceded to the left           | 21.1|
| Preceded to the right          | 26.3|
| A posterior left               | 15.8|
| A posterior right              | 5.3 |
| A posterior                    | 10.5|
| Prioritized                    | 5.3 |

Table 5: Descriptive analysis of podo-barometry variables.

In this study, 89.5% of the participants reported using a backpack with support at both shoulders, results that at the outset denote a balance of loads in the transportation of school supplies. However, even when used properly, the possible excessive weight of the backpack can influence posture, particularly in children and adolescents with misfit weight [23]. Studies carried out in schools have associated the use of backpacks, especially when the weight is over 15% of body weight, and their transport is done unilaterally [24]. It is noteworthy that only 10.5% of the individuals in the present study reported feeling back pain in the last three months.

Other alterations identified in this study (cervical tilt, cervical protrusion and pelvic tilt) are described as compensatory mechanisms of posture, considering the centrality of the line of gravity [24,25]. These compensatory mechanisms occur due to muscular imbalances, explained by the difference in strength and flexibility between muscle groups acting on the same joint, that is, when a particular muscular group presents stronger and more tensioned than its respective antagonist [13,24].

Regarding risk habits for postural alterations, morphology and growth velocity are indicated as influencing factors in the appearance of lateral alterations, so that ectomorphic children and adolescents and those with a higher growth peak velocity are more susceptible to such postural changes [26,27].

Several authors have studied the inadequate postures of children and adolescents in daily life, but did not perform a study of the association between these postures and postural alterations [5,28]. Detusch and Candotti [11] report that the habit of watching television for 10 hours or more was associated with the presence of postural alterations in the sagittal plane, although the same did not occur with the posture seated

### Table 6: Distribution of postural deviations.

| Variables                      | %   |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Inclination of the head        |     |
| Right inclination of the head  | 72.7|
| Left inclination of the head   | 18.2|
| Normal head inclination (0º)   | 9.1 |
| Horizontal head alignment (C7) |     |
| Head hyperflexion (> 45º)      | 90.9|
| Head hyperextension (<45º)     | 9.1 |
| Horizontal head alignment (45º)| 0   |
| Cervical spine vertical alignment |     |
| Anterior Cervical Spine        | 72.7|
| Normal alignment of the cervical spine (0º)| 18.2|
| Horizontal alignment of the acronyms |     |
| Right acromion higher than right| 36.4|
| Right acromion higher than the left| 63.6|
| Horizontal alignment of the acronyms (0º) | 0   |
| Horizontal alignment of EIAS   |     |
| Left EIAS higher than right    | 45.5|
| Horizontal alignment of EIAS   | 36.4|
| Horizontal alignment of EIAS (0º) | 18.2|
| Inclination of trunk           |     |
| Right trunk slope              | 72.7|
| Left slope of trunk            | 27.3|
| Normal trunk alignment (0º)    | 0   |
| Horizontal alignment of the pelvis |     |
| Pelvic anteversion             | 90.9|
| Pelvic retroversion            | 9.1 |
| Horizontal alignment of the pelvis | 0   |
in the college. In addition, it should be noted that, when analyzing specifically the inadequate postures assumed during the sitting posture habit, it was observed that for all the inappropriate postures there is a tendency of young people to assume a flexor trunk pattern.

Another relevant aspect regarding posture is the postural habit of sleeping. According to Vasconcelos et al. [29], ventral decubitus is the position adopted at sleep that causes a higher incidence of postural alterations in the sagittal plane, and that scoliosis was more prevalent in the subjects who adopted the dorsal decubitus position. Despite this, there was no association between postural changes and the position used for sleep [30], while other authors relate sleeping postures with spinal pain [13,31]. Most of the participants in our study (42.1%) sleep in the lateral position, where if there is no adequate support system can generate postural changes [30,32]. Nevertheless, it seems that adequate sleep time (approximately eight hours) can be considered a protective factor for the development of postural alterations [31].

Another object of study as a risk factor in postural changes is the practice of physical activity, where 68.4% reported regular practice of physical activity, 46.2% practice 1-2 days per week and another 46.2% do it 3-4 times a week, indicating one of the aspects recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO, [14]), that young people present a level of physical activity weekly.

Regarding the distribution of plantar pressures and the maximum pressure point, 42.1% of individuals presented the highest-pressure area mainly at the heels and the 63.2% prevalence of foot cavus in the individuals evaluated through baropodometry is high. Several studies indicate this same result, with a prevalence of foot cavus and greater pressure in the calcaneus [33-35].

This high prevalence of postural deviations, both lateral and anteroposterior, in the present study, the results obtained by other authors report a prevalence of around 70% for postural changes in children and adolescents [11,36,37].

Conclusion

Several aspects related to the postural postures and habits of the children are determinant for the musculoskeletal development, especially in the period of osteoarticular growth, when the equilibrium search for the new proportions of the body occurs [7]. Appropriate and inadequate patterns of posture begin to be determined in childhood, are practiced in adolescence and soon become habitual.

Several studies carried out in Portugal corroborate the high prevalence of postural changes in students, finding that there is a high prevalence of spinal alterations as postural habits related to low back pain [5,8,37,38].

The variation in the results of these studies may possibly be due to the differences in the age groups of the samples and to the different methodologies used, isolated or combined, for postural evaluation. Despite the differences between the prevalence indicated, all the studies show a worrying reality regarding the health of children and adolescents. These literature data corroborate the findings of the present study, since all had high rates of prevalence of postural changes. In addition, the two studies investigating changes in planes separately pointed to the existence of changes in the sagittal plane more frequently.

This descriptive study aimed to identify postural deviations present in 2nd cycle students, be they physiological or compensatory. In view of the complexity of the subject, this research had some limitations (eg. reduced sample size) but could open the way to new discoveries and more in-depth studies in the field of Physiotherapy.

The results of the study may be useful for future research and help in the development of intervention programs related to postural education and school health exercise programs to prevent or treat early changes / deviations.
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