Case series and a systematic review concerning the level of the aortic bifurcation
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**Background:** The aim of this study is to present the level of aortic bifurcation in a sample of Greek origin (case series) and to perform an up-to-date systematic review in the existing literature.

**Materials and methods:** Seventy-six formalin-fixed adult cadavers were dissected and studied in order to research the level of aortic bifurcation. Additionally, PubMed and Google Scholar databases were searched for eligible articles concerning the level of aortic bifurcation for the period up to February 2020.

**Results:** The mean level of aortic bifurcation according to our case series was the lower third of the L4 vertebral body (21/76, 27.6%). The level of aortic bifurcation ranged between the lower third of the L3 vertebral body and the lower third of the L5 body. No statistically significant correlation was found between the two sexes. The systematic review of the literature revealed 31 articles which were considered eligible and a total number of 3537 specimens were retracted. According to the recorded findings the most common mean level of aortic bifurcation was the body of L4 vertebra (1495/3537 cases, 42.2%), while the range of aortic bifurcation was described to occur from upper third of L3 vertebrae to the upper third of the S1 vertebrae in the 52.8% of the cases (1866/3537).

**Conclusions:** The mean level of AA corresponds to the body of L4 and presents a great range (form L3U to S1U). Knowledge of the mean level of aortic bifurcation and its probable ranges is of great significance for interventional radiologists and especially vascular surgeons that deal with aneurism proximal to the aortic bifurcation. (Folia Morphol 2021; 80, 2: 302–309)
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**INTRODUCTION**

The aorta (or arteria magna) commences at the upper part of the left ventricle of the heart and after following a short ascending course, it forms the arcus aortae over the left lung’s root and then follows a descending trajectory within the thorax as thoracic aorta. After passing through the aortic opening of the diaphragm, at the level of the 12th thoracic vertebra, it is considered as the abdominal aorta (AA) [22, 31, 32].

Inside the abdominal cavity the AA terminates its course usually at the left side of the lower border of the 4th lumbar vertebra (L4), where it bifurcates into
the right and left common iliac arteries. The width of the aorta just after passing through the diaphragm is considerably larger than the corresponding one at the level of its bifurcation [39].

According to the available literature, most commonly, aortic bifurcation occurs at the lower level of the L4 [6, 22], with a range which varies from the level of the L2 to the level of the upper third of the first sacral vertebra (S1) [6, 22]. However, AA tends to bifurcate lower than higher [6]. Additionally, it seems that in older persons, osteoporosis and intervertebral disc degeneration lead to a caudal shift of the aortic bifurcation [21, 32].

The purpose of the present study is twofold: (1) To present the level of aortic bifurcation in a sample of Caucasian origin (case series); (2) To perform an up-to-date systematic review concerning the level of aortic bifurcation in the available literature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design of the case series

Seventy-six formalin-fixed adult human cadavers of Caucasian (Greek) origin, of which 39 were males and 37 females, were dissected for educational and research purposes at the Anatomy Department of the Medical School of Athens. All the cadavers derived from body donation with informed consent [27], written and signed with signature authentication by the donator himself. The authors retained approval for the present research's protocol from the ethics committee of our institution. The age of the cadavers ranged between 39 and 98 years (average age 75.4 years, standard deviation [SD] ± 11.23, standard error [SE] = 1.288). In every one cadaver dissected, we identified and revealed the AA and its branches according to the Clemente’s Anatomy Dissector [10].

The level of the AA bifurcation was measured in relation to the bodies of the lumbar vertebrae and the intervertebral discs. The bodies of the vertebrae were divided into upper, middle and lower thirds.

Statistical analysis

All the measurements were recorded in the form of tables and then subjected to statistical analysis in order to calculate the average, maximum and minimum value. For comparing continuous variables, the t-test was applied and for nominal variables the χ² test. All the statistical analysis was performed by SPSS 15.0.

Design of the systematic review

Study design. The present study was developed according to PRISMA guidelines, following current guidelines on conducting systematic reviews and meta-analysis of anatomical studies [17]. For the purpose of the systematic review, PubMed and Google Scholar electronic databases were searched for eligible articles for the period up to February 2020. No publication year restrictions were imposed. The following search terms were used: level of aortic bifurcation OR level of abdominal aorta bifurcation OR aortic bifurcation with lumbar vertebrae. The references of all the articles which were considered eligible were also thoroughly checked.

Inclusion criteria. Only original research articles examining the level of aortic bifurcation in relation to the bodies of the lumbar vertebrae in a human population sample (cadaveric or clinical study) and written in English or French were selected to be included to this systematic review. Single case reports and studies with overlapping populations were excluded. No limitations concerning race, age, sex or journal were imposed. Any type of unpublished material was excluded.

Eligibility assessment. Three independent reviewers (E.P., G.T. and V.P.) checked the studies which were retrieved for eligibility. Papers which did not meet eligibility criteria, duplicated studies or studies containing incomplete or irrelevant data were excluded. Eligible studies retrieved from reference lists checking were also added. Assessment of bias was performed with anatomical quality assessment tool (AQUA) [16, 17].

Data extraction. The studies considered eligible were screened by two independent reviewers (E.P. and G.T.) who extracted all the relevant data. For each study considered eligible the following parameters were collected: year of publication, type of the study (cadaveric, clinical, imaging), number of specimens, age, range and mean vertebral level of aortic bifurcation. After the evaluation of data, the results were recorded in the form of Tables 1 and 2. The range, the mean level of bifurcation, as well as the frequency and percentage of each category were calculated.

RESULTS

Case series

The bifurcation of AA was observed on each cadaver dissected without identifying any anatomical variation.
The mean level of AA bifurcation (21/76, 27.6%) was the lower third of the L4 vertebral body (L4L). In relation to the vertebral bodies, the level of AA bifurcation ranged between the lower third of the L3 vertebral body (L3L) and the lower third of the L5 vertebral body (L5L) (Fig. 1).

Both in females (32.4%, 12/37) and males (23.1%, 9/39) the mean level of bifurcation corresponded also to the lower third of the L4 body (L4L). In females the level of bifurcation ranged between the lower third of the L3 body (L3L) and the middle third of the L5 vertebral body (L5M) and in males between the L3–L4 intervertebral disc and the L5L. However, no statistically significant correlation was found between the two sexes (p = 0.521, p > 0.05).

The AA division levels in relation to the lumbar vertebral bodies and the relevant intervertebral discs in the total of the cadaveric specimens are depicted in Figure 2 and between the sexes in Figure 3.

**Systematic review**

All details regarding the selection of eligible studies are presented in Figure 4 (PRISMA flow diagram). Eventually 31 articles were found eligible and were

---

**Table 1. Eligible studies**

| Authors, year         | Type of studies | N   | Age                |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----|--------------------|
| George, 1935          | Cadaveric study | 105 | > 17 years         |
| Anson and McVay, 1936 | Cadaveric study | 100 |                   |
| Cauldwell and Anson, 1943 | Cadaveric study | 294 | 18 to 78 years     |
| Lerona and Tewfik, 1975 | Pelvic arteriograms | 100 | 15 to 82 years     |
| Watt and Park, 1978   | Angiographic study | 9  | 2 to 13 years      |
| Kawahara et al., 1996 | Cadaveric study | 21  | 75 years           |
| Kornreich et al., 1997 | CT study       | 180 |                   |
| Chithini et al., 2002 | MRI study       | 441 | 15 to 95 years     |
| Lee et al., 2004      | MRI study       | 210 | 67.3 ± 0.8 years   |
| Inamasu et al., 2005  | MRI study       | 100 |                   |
| Pennington and Soames, 2005 | Cadaveric study | 15  | 67 to 95 years     |
| Piro et al., 2005     | Cadaveric study | 42  | 81 ± 8 years       |
| Datta et al., 2007    | CTA study       | 76  |                   |
| Lakchayapakorn and Siriprakam, 2008 | Cadaveric study | 65  | 73 ± 10 years     |
| Khamanarong et al., 2009 | Cadaveric study | 187 | 67.3 ± 0.8 years   |
| Prakash et al., 2011  | Cadaveric study | 54  | 16 to 74 years     |
| Mirjalli et al., 2012 | CT study       | 108 | 60 ± 17 years (18–97 years) |
| Vaccaro et al., 2012  | MRI study       | 30  | 41 years (19–89 years) |
| Barney et al., 2013   | MRI study       | 146 | 46 ± 12 years      |
| Butoi et al., 2013    | Cadaveric study | 148 |                   |
| Sharma et al., 2013   | Cadaveric study | 35  |                   |
| Deswal et al., 2014   | Cadaveric study | 25  |                   |
| Ashwini et al., 2014  | Cadaveric study | 40  |                   |
| Ogeng’o et al., 2014  | Cadaveric study | 106 | 27 to 81 years     |
| Marchi et al., 2015   | MRI study       | 108 |                   |
| Keskinoz et al., 2016 | MDCT study      | 200 | 50 to 84 years     |
| Molinares et al., 2016 | MRI study      | 100 | 57.4 years (22–88 years). |
| Myung-Sang et al., 2017 | CT study       | 48  | 30 to 90 years     |
| Gregory et al., 2019  | CTA study       | 232 | Neonate to 19 years |
| Bečulić et al., 2019  | MRI study       | 40  | 53.7 years (26–69 years) |
| Goyal et al., 2019    | CT study       | 100 | 43.78 ± 13.1 years (19–70 years) |

CT — computed tomography; CTA — computed tomography angiography; MDCT — multidetector computed tomography; MRI — magnetic resonance imaging
included (Table 1). Due to overlapping population, a previous study of the authors was not included [23]. Among them, 14 were cadaveric studies [1, 2, 7, 8, 12, 13, 19, 21, 23, 31, 33–36], 8 were magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies [4, 5, 9, 18, 24, 26, 29, 37], 4 were computed tomography (CT) studies [14, 22, 28, 30], 2 were computed tomography angiography (CTA) studies [11, 15], 1 multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) study [20], 1 was angiographic study [38] and 1 was based on pelvic arteriograms [25]. Mean age or age range where included when available. Low risk of bias was detected. However, the lack of clearly defined demographic characteristics might represent a factor compromising the quality of studies.

The mean level of bifurcation and the range of the bifurcation level of the AA in relation to the bodies of the lumbar vertebrae and the intervertebral discs for each study are recorded in Table 2. In cases where the vertebral bodies were not subdivided into upper, middle and lower thirds, as in our study, only the corresponding vertebra is mentioned.
A total number of 3537 specimens resulted from the eligible studies. According to the recorded findings the most common mean level of AA bifurcation was the body of L4 vertebra (1495/3537 cases, 42.2%). In the studies were the body of the vertebra was divided in three thirds, the bifurcation most frequently occurred at upper third of L4. Considerably less frequently the mean level corresponded to L4–L5 intervertebral disc (132/3537 cases 3.7%), while the L3–L4 intervertebral disc and the body of L5 were observed as mean level of bifurcation in only a few studies (23/3537, 0.65% and 21/3537, 0.59%). Concerning the range of AA bifurcation, a great variability was observed, as the bifurcation was described to occur from upper third of L3 vertebrae to the upper third of the S1 vertebrae in the 52.8% of the cases (1866/3537). The results are represented in Table 3.

**DISCUSSION**

Topographic anatomy of AA bifurcation is fairly important for many medical procedures as gynaecology, abdominal surgery or radiology, in order to avoid complications or misdiagnosis [31]. Clinically, the umbilicus is considered to be a reliable surface landmark for the level of AA bifurcation [3]. More accurate, the level of AA bifurcation is evaluated in relation to the vertebral bodies and especially the lower lumbar ones and rarely in relation to the sacrum. Several studies have been performed and may be found in the available literature covering this field, [1, 2, 4, 5, 7–9, 11–15, 18–26, 28–31, 33–38] either in cadaveric material or by the use of CT, MRI and CTA. According to the results of the present study, the bifurcation of the AA corresponds in most of the cases to the body of L4 vertebra — upper, medial or lower third (1495/3537 cases, 42.2%) and that was also the level discovered in our case-series (21/76, 27.6%). From our systematic review it occurred that the level of bifurcation presents great variation as it ranges from L3U to S1U, with the most frequent levels being between L3 and L5 (Table 3).
Kawahara et al. 1996 [19] reported that the level of termination of great vessels shifted significantly downwards with age and this observation is justified by the loss of overall height due to dehydration of intervertebral discs as well as osteoporosis in the elderly. The present case series was performed in rather aged cadavers (mean age 75.4 ± 11.23 years) and the level of their aortic bifurcation ranged between L3L and L5L. The studies retrieved from the systematic review showed a variable age range (Table 1). Moreover, many of them included both youngsters as older people as well [8, 9, 14, 25, 29, 35, 37]. However, both range and mean level of aortic bifurcation did not seem to differ according to age. Additionally, in the two studies which were conducted exclusively in children [15, 38] a great range was also recorded (L4 to L5-S1 and L3 to L5, respectively). Nevertheless, all above mentioned facts, combined with the lack of age details in some studies [1, 2, 7, 12, 18, 22, 36] could not lead to a safe result concerning the correlation between age and level range of AA bifurcation.

The same mean level of AA bifurcation was observed in both sexes in our case series. That was also the case in the study of Molinares et al. [29], Bečulić et al. [5], and Goyal et al. [14], while in most of the remaining studies, details about sexes were not provided and or when present, the presence of a statistically significant difference between sexes was not discussed at all. Consequently, it seems that the level of aortic bifurcation does not differ according to gender. Moreover, is worth to mention that Bečulić et al. [5] concluded in their

Table 3. Mean and range level of abdominal aorta (AA) bifurcation according to the retrieved studies

| Mean level of AA bifurcation | Range of AA bifurcation |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| L3-L4: 132/3537 — 3.7%      | L3U to S1U 1866/3537 — 52.8% |
| L4: 1495/3537 — 42.2%      | L4 to L5: 1286/1866 |
| L4 body, n = 993            | L4 to L5-S1: 4/1866 |
| L4U, n = 194                | L3 to S1: 176/1866 |
| L4M, n = 159                | L4 to S1: 9/1866 |
| L4L, n = 149                | L3-L4 to L4-L5: 53/1866 |
| L5: 21/3537 — 0.59%        | L4-L5: 23/3537 — 0.65% |

Figure 3. The abdominal aorta bifurcation levels in relation to the lumbar vertebral bodies and the relevant intervertebral discs between the sexes; U — upper; m — middle; l — lower.

Figure 4. Flow diagram of the studies (according to PRISMA guidelines); the diagram describes the steps followed during the selection of the eligible studies.
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study that the level of AA bifurcation was independent on gender, height or body mass index.

Concerning the limitations of the present study, the most important was the lack of demographic characteristics of most of the studies. The majority did not provide detailed data about age and gender. Another limitation was the fact that there was a wide age range and that some studies consisted of live patients while others were conducted on cadavers. Radiographic studies may also have limitations in detecting the level of aortic bifurcation. Nevertheless, not important differences have been observed between the two types of studies.

Knowledge of the exact level of AA bifurcation is important for the planning of a great number of laparoscopic, transcatheter arterial chemoembolisation and radioembolisation procedures, as well in view of surgery in the area involved as it will minimize the risk of injury and complications [2, 14, 29]. The fact that the mean level of bifurcation is at L4 vertebra and the range is over S1, is helpful information in the planning of approach during surgery, especially when the area of operation involves L4–L5 [14, 31]. Another field of medicine where the familiarity with the level of AA bifurcation is necessary is radiation therapy planning [14, 29]. Finally, it could help in the identification of the vertebral level in imaging [14, 29].

CONCLUSIONS

Knowledge of the mean level of AA bifurcation and its probable ranges is important for a number of surgical and radiologic procedures. Our systematic review, combined with a case series study concluded that the mean level of AA corresponds to the body of L4 and presents a great range (form L3U to S1U). Aging might cause a caudal swift of AA, nevertheless different ranges and low levels might occur in any age. Finally, there is no indication of a different level according to gender.
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