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A B S T R A C T

Crystals of native Drosophila melanogaster translin diffracted to 7 Å resolution. Reductive methylation of the protein improved crystal quality. The native and methylated proteins showed similar profiles in size-exclusion chromatography analyses but the methylated protein displayed reduced DNA-binding activity. Crystals of the methylated protein diffracted to 4.2 Å resolution at BM14 of the ESRF synchrotron. Crystals with 40% solvent content belonged to monoclinic space group P2₁ with eight protomers in the asymmetric unit. Only 2% of low-resolution structures with similar low percentage solvent content were found in the PDB. The crystal structure, solved by molecular replacement method, refined to Rwork (Rfree) of 0.24 (0.29) with excellent stereochemistry. The crystal structure clearly shows that drosophila protein exists as an octamer, and not as a decamer as expected from gel-filtration elution profiles. The similar octameric quaternary fold in translin orthologs and in translin–TRAX complexes suggests an up-down dimer as the basic structural subunit of translin-like proteins. The drosophila oligomer displays asymmetric assembly and increased radius of gyration that accounts for the observed differences between the elution profiles of human and drosophila proteins on gel-filtration columns. This study demonstrates clearly that low-resolution X-ray structure can be useful in understanding complex biological oligomers.
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1. Introduction

The translin proteins, of molecular mass nearly 27 kDa, bind to single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) and RNA. Translin protein was observed to be recruited to the nucleus concomitant with the induction of double strand breaks by DNA damaging agents and to function in regulating the expression of a variety of mRNA sequences by regulating RNA translocations and localization [1–4]. Translin selectivity towards RNA or DNA is modulated by interactions with GTP and another translin-like protein known as TRAX. The interactions between translin and TRAX proteins are highly conserved in eukaryotes, for instance in human, mouse, chicken, xenopus, Drosophila melanogaster (drosophila) and in Schizosaccharomyces pombe (S. pombe). The translin–TRAX complex binds to both ssDNA and RNA, and has recently been suggested to regulate dendritic trafficking of BDNF RNAs [5,6] as well as function as a key activator of siRNA-mediated silencing in drosophila [7]. These proteins are suggested to play a central role in eukaryotic cell biology [8,9].

Two basic nucleic acid binding motifs (basic-1 and basic-2) have been identified from the mutational studies on human and mouse translin [10,11]. The DNA-binding domain of human translin was suggested to be formed by the combination of its basic regions in the multimeric structure, and the loss of multimeric structure resulted in abrogation of translin DNA-binding abilities [10]. Likewise, a DNA-binding incompetent P168S mutant of drosophila translin exists as a tetramer, both in solution and in crystals [12]. Currently, crystal structures of human and mouse translin proteins, which share more than 98% sequence identity [13,14] and that of drosophila P168S mutant translin [12] are known. The crystal structures of translin–TRAX heteromeric complexes of human and drosophila have also been resolved recently [15,16]. Intriguingly, biologically active translin or translin–TRAX complexes exist as octameric barrels as observed in the crystal structures analyses.

The drosophila protein has been suggested to have role in neuronal development and behavior analogous to that of mouse translin [17]. The two basic motifs considered critical for nucleic acid binding activity are not strictly conserved in drosophila translin protein that consists of 235 amino acids and shares nearly 48% sequence identity with human translin. The wild-type drosophila translin was earlier observed to correspond to octamer or decamer in the gel-filtration analysis [12]. The chicken translin was also suggested from gel-filtration and electron microscopy analyses to be a decamer with a molecular mass of about 270 kDa and an average diameter of about 94 Å [10].

The molecular dimensions, oligomeric status and protein–protein interactions in the complex biological macromolecules can be accurately determined by even a low resolution X-ray
diffraction analysis, which can thus play important role in understanding large biological assemblies at atomic level. However, growth of well-ordered crystals of large macromolecular complexes is often a limiting factor; diffraction often is weak, anisotropic and has an effective resolution of worse than 4 Å [18]. The use of specific information from known homologous structures resolved at high resolution, however, can permit detailed structural analysis even for crystals diffracting to low-resolution [18]. The structures derived from low-resolution diffraction data are often accurate for deducing biological processes [19].

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Protein characterization

The drosophila translin protein was purified from *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) cells harboring pET28-dtranslin construct by the three-step chromatographic procedure using immobilized metal affinity, anion-exchange and gel-filtration chromatography. The peak corresponding to oligomer of 295 kDa was used for crystallization and DNA-binding analysis. The protein was successfully methylated using dimethylamino–borane and formaldehyde. The reductive methylation generated high degree of modification of lysine residues. It was apparent from the MALDI–TOF analysis that all the 14 drosophila lysyl residues could be alkylated, since the molecular masses of native and methylated drosophila proteins differed by 421 Da.

The methylated drosophila protein behaved analogously to the native protein on gel-filtration column. The molecular masses of the translin oligomers were determined based on their elution profile on the molecular sieve Superdex™ 200 10/300 GL column. The molecular mass of wild-type methylated drosophila translin was estimated to be 295 kDa, as compared to molecular mass of 236 kDa determined for native human translin. Interestingly, the elution profile of human translin complexed with the 24-mer ssDNA probe also corresponded to 236 kDa. While the human translin protein exists as an octamer, the mobility on the molecular sieve column suggested that drosophila proteins could form stable octamer/decamer in solution.

2.2. Protein crystallization

An exhaustive search for diffraction-quality crystals of the native drosophila protein was not successful. However, crystals diffracting to about 4.2 Å were obtained for the methylated drosophila translin suggesting that the diffraction quality was improved by reductive methylation. The crystals of the methylated protein belonged to space group *P*2₁ with unit cell parameters *a* = 91.05 Å, *b* = 131.20 Å, *c* = 96.40 Å, β = 98.46°. Based on the experimentally determined molecular mass of the protein (29445 Da determined by MALDI–TOF for the protein including the N-terminal poly-histidine tag and methylated lysines) and the volume of the asymmetric unit, a Matthews parameter [20] of 2.42 Å³/Da and a solvent content of 49.1% suggested the highest normalized probability for eight protomers in the asymmetric unit [21]. The low-resolution diffraction quality of the crystals was thus surprising as it is generally believed that protein crystals with less solvent tend to diffract better [21].

The weak diffraction of the drosophila crystals prompted us to analyze the experimental X-ray structures of the proteins alone in the Protein Data Bank (77 870 PDB entries resolved with diffraction data of resolution worse than 3.0 Å resolution using synchrotron data at 80–120 K, December 2011). The PDB structures were separated into two groups discriminated based on highest resolution of the diffraction data; group-I contained 1377 proteins resolved between 3.0 and 3.495 Å, and group-II contained 320 structures resolved using data worse than 3.5 Å. The frequency distribution for the solvent content of protein crystal forms in the two groups is given in Fig. 1. The two distributions have mean values of 59.9 and 65.4, medians of 59.8 and 65.8 and modes of 57.5 and 67.5, respectively. The two data sets conform to the trend observed earlier that discriminated the structures mainly in high resolution shells; crystals diffracting to higher resolution have lower solvent content (or vice versa) [21]. Since our crystals with nearly 49% solvent content diffracted to maximum resolution of 4.2 Å, we asked how frequently the tightly packed crystals diffract to low-resolution only. We found that only 2% of the low-resolution

![Figure 1](image)

**Fig. 1.** Frequency distribution of percentage solvent content for non-redundant PDB structures which have been solved at 3.0 Å or worse resolution using synchrotron data collected at low temperatures (80–120 K). (A) Frequency distribution of 1377 structures solved between 3 and 3.495 Å resolution. (B) Frequency distribution of 320 structures resolved with data worse than 3.5 Å resolution.
(resolution worse than 4.0 Å) protein structures studied at sub-zero temperatures (80–120 K) using synchrotron data showed solvent content in the range 47.5–52.5%.

2.3. Crystallographic analysis

Compared to majority of low-resolution structures, the low solvent content of drosophila translin crystals resulted in highly unfavorable ratio of observations to parameters. However, low-resolution X-ray diffraction data contained sufficient information to determine reliably the structure of drosophila translin protein. The crystal structure was solved by the molecular replacement method which identified all the eight expected translin protomers in the asymmetric unit. The initial molecular replacement phases were accurate to show electron density for the residues not included in the list of the atomic coordinates of the high resolution search model (the drosophila translin protomer obtained from the coordinates of translin–TRAX complex resolved at 2.1 Å resolution, PDB ID: 3AXJ). We also used partial structure of drosophila mutant translin (PDB ID: 2QRX; [12]) as a search model in the molecular replacement calculations. The molecular replacement search with partial structure having atomic coordinates for residues 3–187 yielded cor-

Fig. 2. (A) Stereo-view of the σA-weighted 2Fo–2Fc ‘omit’ electron density map (green contours) computed using Fc and phases from the rigid body refined partial structure of six protomers resolved by the molecular replacement using 2QRX as search model. The Cα trace of the seventh monomer in the refined structure (in red) is superimposed. The positions of 14th (N-terminus) and 216th (C-terminus) residues are marked. The contour level for the electron density was set to 0.7σ. (B) A section of σA-weighted 2Fo–2Fc ‘filled’ electron density map (green contours at 1.0σ) superposed on the refined structure. The electron density for the ‘bulkier’ aromatic side chains was apparent at 0.7σ contour levels. Also, traces of electron density were visible for side chains of a few smaller amino acids. The figure was prepared using PyMol software (DeLanoScientific, San Carlos, CA).
The refined structural model of drosophila translin protein has an $R_{work}$ ($R_{free}$) of 0.24 (0.29) against all the observed data with $F/\sigma(F) \geq 0$ (Table 1). The evaluation using MOLPROBITY [23] revealed good stereochemistry of the structure, with nearly 93% residues in the most favored region and only 1.4% residues in the disallowed regions of the Ramachandran plot (Table 1). Further, TLS modeling was validated using the parvati server (http://skuld.bmsc.washington.edu/parvati) and it was found to be correct, except for 36 C–N linkages with $CCuij < 0.950$ (these had $CCuij$ values between 0.92 and 0.95). Clearly the refinement of the model had converged to ‘true’ structure, as quality of the Ramachandran plot of the model, refined without secondary structure restraints, is high and as R-values ($R_{work}/R_{free}$) are very reasonable even with the use of NCS information during refinement steps. It was earlier noticed that low conventional R-factor cannot be achieved with a conservative NCS model at low resolution if the model is wrong [24].

### 2.4. Structure analysis

The oligomer of drosophila translin exists as an octamer in the crystal structure. The eight protomers in the asymmetric unit of drosophila translin crystals form an enclosed octameric barrel that is hollow inside. The structure of each of the drosophila translin protomer is comprised of seven helices ($\alpha_1$–$\alpha_7$; nomenclature as per human translin structure) and its fold is identical to that of human translin. In contrast, drosophila P168S mutant translin protomer was comprised of six helices ($\alpha_1$–$\alpha_6$) and its 47 C-terminal residues were disordered [12]. The minor differences in the structures of human and drosophila protomers are localized in the N- and C-termini residues and the loops connecting helix pairs $\alpha_1/\alpha_2$, $\alpha_2/\alpha_3$, $\alpha_4/\alpha_5$ and $\alpha_5/\alpha_7$. The two structures match with the rms deviation of 1.1 Å for 202 equivalent Cα atoms. The two protomers of translin interact in up-down conformation and form a stable dimer (Fig. 3). Nearly 2840 Å² (~13%) of the solvent accessible area is buried at the up-down interface on dimer formation in drosophila translin. The protein interfaces, surfaces and assemblies (PISA) service at EBI [25] estimated a gain of nearly –17.8 kcal/M in solvation free energy on the formation of the up-down dimers. The four up-down dimers of the drosophila protein superpose on each other with an rms deviation of nearly 0.95 Å and their conformations resemble the up-down dimers of human protein (Fig. 3). Nearly 424 of the 434 residues align in the structures superposed by DALI [26] with an rms deviation of 1.5 Å (DaliLite Z-score 26.4). Majority of the contact residues (defined as those within 3.5 Å from each other) at the up-down interface are conserved in translin orthologs, including chicken (Gallus gallus) translin (Fig. 4). It is possible that the functional chicken translin may also exist in the form of an octamer like its orthologs. The chicken protein shares nearly 86% sequence identity with human translin.

The up-down dimers of drosophila protein align side-by-side to form an octameric barrel of the translin molecule (Fig. 5). Importantly, the octameric quaternary fold of drosophila translin resembles human and mouse translinns (PDB ID: 1J1J & 1KEY) as well as heteromeric translin–TRAX complexes of drosophila and human proteins (PDB ID: 3PJA & 3RIU). The side-by-side alignment of four up-down dimers in drosophila protein differs marginally from the human protein, which results in asymmetric assembly and larger molecular size of the drosophila protein (Fig. 6A and B). An asymmetric assembly has recently been observed in the heteromeric complex of human translin and TRAX proteins, and was

---

**Table 1**

Crystallographic data and refinement statistics.

| Data statistics       | a = 91.05 Å, b = 131.20 Å, c = 96.40 Å, $\beta = 98.46^\circ$ |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Space group           | P2₁                                                             |
| Solvent content (%)   | 49.1                                                            |
| Resolution limit (Å)  | 4.2                                                             |
| Unique reflections    | 16416                                                           |
| Redundancy            | 3.8                                                             |
| Completeness (%)      | 99.3                                                            |
| $R_{merge}$           | 0.132                                                          |
| Mean I/mean $\sigma(I)$ | 5.6                                                        |
| Resolution statistics |                                                                  |
| Resolution range (Å)  | 48–4.2                                                          |
| Final $R_{work}/R_{free}$ | 0.24/0.29                                                      |
| Number of non-hydrogen atoms | 13931                                                   |
| Average thermal parameter (Å²) | 184                                         |
| Ramachandran plotb    | 92.5/6.1/1.4                                                   |
| Anisotropy (mean/σ)   | 0.722/0.094                                                    |
| Root-mean-square deviation from ideality |                                                                  |
| Bond lengths (Å)      | 0.01                                                           |
| Dihedral (°)          | 1.28                                                           |
| Omitted (Å)           | 16.9                                                           |

---

* a As estimated from the Matthews parameter corresponding to eight protomers in the asymmetric unit.
* b Percentages of residues in most favoured/allowed/disallowed regions of the Ramachandran plot.
* c Results of TLS model validation using parvati server (http://skuld.bmsc.washington.edu/parvati).
thought to be critical for the RNase activity of the complex [15]. The heteromeric translin–TRAX complex is constituted by translin–TRAX heterodimers and translin–translin homodimers. The reason for asymmetric spatial arrangement of homomeric up-down dimers in drosophila translin is not clear. Small differences in side-by-side alignment of mouse translin up-down dimers, compared to human translin, were also seen earlier [14]. Further, crystal structure of a stable form of drosophila translin–TRAX hexamer has recently been resolved [16]. The structure resembles 3/4th of the translin oligomer with one up-down dimer missing from the octameric barrel. Taken together, the available structures conform to earlier suggestion [12] that up-down dimer is the evolutionary and basic structural subunit of translin-like proteins.

The crystal structure of drosophila translin oligomer accounts for its observed behavior on size-exclusion chromatography column confirming octameric status of the protein in solution also. The radius of gyration ($R_g$) of drosophila and human translin octamers were computed from the atomic coordinates with Hydropro suite [27] using atomic shell model and solvent radius of 2.84 Å. The $R_g$ of drosophila translin octamer was estimated to be 38.9 Å, compared to the value of 37.1 Å for human translin oligomer. The increased radius of gyration of drosophila protein could result in nearly 15% enhancement in its hydrodynamic volume, compared to human ortholog. This accounts for the observed differences in the elution profiles on gel-filtration column; the elution peak of the His-tagged drosophila translin corresponded to 295 kDa (molecular mass estimated from amino acid sequence, 233 kDa) compared to adjudged molecular mass of 236 kDa analyzed from elution profile of His-tagged human translin (estimated molecular mass, 220 kDa).

2.5. DNA-binding activity

The DNA-binding activity of the drosophila translin proteins was checked for with a 24-mer Bcl–CL1 ssDNA in a gel-shift assay and was compared with the DNA-binding activity of the purified human translin under identical conditions of assay. Both the wild-type human and drosophila translin proteins showed DNA-binding activity as observed earlier [12]. Intriguingly, the methylated drosophila translin showed distinct but weaker DNA-binding activity, as adjudged from band intensities of ethidium bromide stained agarose gel (Fig. 7). The weaker binding of the methylated protein could be due to modification of Lys-194 residue which resides in the DNA-binding motif of drosophila translin (Fig. 4; Gupta & Kumar, unpublished results). The substitution of the equivalent Arg-211 of S. pombe translin caused more than 100-fold increase in the apparent $K_d$ values for ssDNA binding [28]. While reduced activity of the chemically modified drosophila translin provides an evidence for the involvement of Lys-194 residue in binding the probe DNA, the detection of measureable activity suggests that multiple protein side chains are involved in binding the nucleic acid.

A putative nucleic acid interaction surface constituted by polar and positively charged amino acids has recently been identified in S. pombe translin [28]. Many of these residues were earlier identified from mutational analyses of human and mouse translins.
The corresponding residues of drosophila translin in multiple sequence alignment reside in the equatorial region on the inner hollow surface of the octameric barrel (Figs. 4 and 5). Identical disposition of DNA-binding residues is also observed in human translin oligomer for which elution profiles of both unbound and 24-mer DNA-bound were identical. The sequence-structure homology thus suggests similarity in ssDNA-binding mode of translin orthologs, and we expect that ssDNA binds inside the hollow octameric barrel without altering its hydrodynamic volume. In contrast, elution peak of human translin–TRAX octamer complexed with siRNA indicated higher molecular mass of the complex, compared with uncomplexed translin–TRAX heteromer [15]. This is not surprising as translin residues of basic-1 motif (86-RFHEH-90), the substitutions of which led to abrogation of RNA-binding activity [10], reside on the outer surface of the octameric barrel.

The observed plasticity in the arrangement of up-down dimers in translin-like proteins support the suggestion of Tian et al. [16] that nucleic acid can bind in the internal hollow cavity of the octameric barrel on opening of the octameric scaffold by partial dissociation. The up-down dimers are held together by less stable side-by-side interactions [12] and can dissociate from the octameric barrel structure. A detailed 3D structure of translin-nucleic acid complex should help resolving the important question of translin biology.

In conclusion, the data presented here constitute a significant advancement to the understanding of the mechanisms by which domains of translin-like proteins assemble into a biologically active complex. The low-resolution X-ray diffraction data acquired from the methylated drosophila protein crystals showed clearly that ssDNA-binding competent drosophila protein is an octamer, both in solution and in crystals. The quaternary octameric fold of drosophila translin resembles the biologically active human and mouse translin proteins and oligomers of heteromeric translin–TRAX complexes. The strict conservation of structural domains suggests that octameric fold is constituted by four up-down dimers of translin-like proteins and is the biological active form of translin proteins. Database: structural data are available in the Protein Data Bank database PDB ID:4DG7.

3. Materials and methods

3.1. Materials

dNTPs were obtained from Roche, Germany, and restriction enzymes from New England BioLabs and pfuTurbo DNA polymerase was obtained from Stratagene. E. coli BL21(DE3) [E. coli B F– dcm ompT hsdS (p, m) gali (DE3)], and pET28a were from Novagen. Chromatography media and pre-packed columns were obtained from Amersham-Pharmacia and Bio-Rad. Oligonucleotides for cloning and ssDNA of Bcl–CL1 sequence were synthesized at BRIT, India. Dimethylamino–borane and formaldehyde were obtained from Sigma, crystallization kits were obtained from Sigma and Hampton Research, and other fine chemicals were procured from SRL, India.

3.2. Cloning, expression and purification of the drosophila translin

The drosophila translin open reading frame (ORF) was PCR amplified with FfuTurbo DNA polymerase using primer pair (CAT-CACCACATATGCTAGACTGAACTT) and ACT-GGATCTTTATTTGTCGACAGAACGACG) and pQE30-dtranslin [12] as template. The PCR amplified product was subcloned into Ndel/ BamHI sites of pET28a expression vector to express the drosophila translin protein with N-terminal poly-histidine tag (pET28a-dtranslin construct). The construct was transformed into E. coli...
BL21(DE3) cells for expression. The nucleotide sequence of drosophila translin in the construct was confirmed by complete sequencing of translin ORF using an automated DNA sequencer. The nucleotide sequence completely matched with drosophila translin gene (GenBank accession no. NM_136747).

The E. coli BL21(DE3) cells transformed with pET28a-dtranslin were grown in LB media at 310 K in presence of kanamycine antibiotic (50 mg/L). The expression of drosophila translin protein was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG. After 4 h of induction, cells harvested with centrifugation were suspended in lysis buffer [25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 5% Glycerol] containing lysozyme (0.3 mg/ml) and protease-inhibitor, and were maintained on ice for 1 h. The cell suspension was sonicated for 10 min on ice in pulse mode. The cell lysate, cleared of cellular debris by centrifugation at 20000×g for 1 h, was directly loaded onto immobilized metal chelating affinity matrix (Ni-IDA) equilibrated with buffer A1 [25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl and 50 mM imidazole]. The column was extensively washed with buffer A1 and the bound proteins were eluted with a linear gradient of imidazole (50–400 mM) in buffer A1. The translin protein eluted at ~200 mM concentration of imidazole. The eluted protein was directly loaded onto pre-packed Q-sepharose column pre-equilibrated with buffer A2 [25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl]. After extensive wash with buffer A2, the bound proteins were eluted with a linear gradient of 100–500 mM NaCl in buffer A2. The drosophila translin protein eluted at nearly 350 mM NaCl. The eluted protein fractions were treated with DNase1 and RNaseA overnight at 293 K, and the drosophila protein was purified using Ni-IDA matrix. The purified protein was dialyzed against storage buffer [25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl and concentrated to 10 mg/ml using centricon (Millipore, USA). The drosophila protein was stored at 277 K. The purification progress was monitored by 12–15% SDS–PAGE. The N-terminal His-tagged human translin (encoded by pQE9-tsn construct) was purified from E. coli BL21 (DE3) expression system as reported earlier [29].

![Fig. 5. Cartoon of drosophila translin octamer (at 40% transparency) composed of four up-down dimers. The protomers of the up-down down dimers are shown in similar color shades. The position of residues critical for ssDNA-binding activity is marked as spheres.](image1)

![Fig. 6. The trace of polypeptide backbone of the drosophila translin octamer (green) superposed onto (A) octamer of human translin (yellow) and (B) oligomer of human translin–TRAX complex (yellow). The structural superposition was achieved using DALI [26]. The asymmetric assembly observed in translin–TRAX is also seen in drosophila oligomer.](image2)
Subsequently, 60 μL of DMAB and formaldehyde was repeated twice after every 2 h. The reaction was terminated by the addition of Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) to the final concentration of 100 mM. Dimethylamino–borane and formaldehyde were removed from the protein by buffer exchange against buffer [25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 25 mM NaCl], using 50 ml pre-packed column of P-6 matrix. The methylation was verified via MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.

3.4. Gel-filtration and DNA-binding assay

The wild-type human and drosophila translins, methylated drosophila translin and human translin–DNA (24-mer probe) complex, were loaded on the Superdex™ 200 10/300 GL column for final purification step as well as for molecular-weight determination. The Superdex™ 200 column was calibrated with gel-filtration molecular-weight markers (Amersham-Pharmacia; carbonic anhydrase, 29 kDa; alcohol dehydrogenase, 150 kDa; β-amylase, 200 kDa; ferritin, 440 kDa). The major eluted peak of each independent gel-filtration experiment was adjudged on SDS–PAGE. The DNA-binding status of human translin–DNA complex elution peak was confirmed by ratio of UV absorption at 260 and 280 nm. The purified proteins were concentrated to 10 mg/ml. The protein concentrations were estimated by modified Lowry's method [31] using bovine serum albumin as the standard.

Electrophoresis mobility shift assays (EMSA) were performed to assess DNA-binding activity of the DNase1 and RNaseA treated proteins using Bcl–CL1 ssDNA (GCCCTCCTGCCCTTCCGGCGGG) as probe. The molecular masses of the drosophila proteins used were nearly 295 kDa and that of human translin was 236 kDa. Translin proteins (50 or 100 pmol of the octameric proteins) were incubated with the DNA probe (200 pmol) in 20 μL of reaction volume in binding buffer B1 [25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA] for 30 min. The products were resolved by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide. The agarose regions containing gel-shifted bands were excised and adjudged on 12% SDS–PAGE.

3.5. Crystallization of the protein and data collection statistics

Crystallization of the wild-type and methylated drosophila translin proteins was attempted using CyBi*-CrystalCreator robotics and a number of formulated crystallization screens viz., basic and extended Sigma crystallization kits, and salt-RX, PEG-grid and low-ionic screens from Hampton Research. The wild-type protein yielded crystals with a number of conditions containing PEG-6000 (16–20%) or with ammonium sulfate (1–2 M). However, despite exhaustive search none of these crystals of sizes 100 × 100 × 200 μm³ diffracted to better than 7–8 Å resolution. Also, a large number of crystallization trials showed protein aggregation in the drops. The use of non-detergent sulphobetaines (NDSB-256) to improve the crystal quality did not solve the problem.

Diffraction-quality crystals of the methylated protein were obtained by sitting-drop method using Intelli-Plate 48-2 (ARI, Hampton) crystallization plates and PACT++ crystallization conditions. The initial hits were optimized during which sodium format was observed to promote crystal growth rapidly. The crystals of the methylated protein of the size about 70 × 70 × 80 μm³ were obtained using 20% PEG-4000, 100 mM bicine (pH 8.5), 200 mM sodium formate and 5% glycerol. The crystallization condition itself contained reasonable concentration of cryoprotectant and these were found to be optimum using X-rays from a laboratory source. These crystals belonged to space group P2₁ and diffracted to about 4.2 Å resolution using synchrotron X-rays under cryo-conditions. The 3-dimensional diffraction intensity data for the crystals of the methylated protein were acquired on a CCD detector with 1.0° oscillation per image at the beam-line BM14-U of the Euro
pean Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF). The data were processed using HKL-2000 [32]. The data statistics is summarized in Table 1.

3.6. Structure solution and refinement

The initial phases for the methylated drosophila translin crystals were obtained by the molecular replacement method using the program Phenix [33] and atomic coordinates of the monomer of the drosophila translin taken from the high resolution structure of translin–TRAX complex (PDB ID: 3AXJ) and partial structure of the drosophila P168S mutant translin listing atomic coordinates for residues 3–187 of (PDB ID: 2QRX; [12]) as search models. The use of atomic coordinates corresponding to residues 1–213 of drosophila translin protomer (PDB ID: 3AXJ) revealed eight protomers of drosophila translin in the asymmetric unit. However, molecular replacement search using atomic coordinates for residues 3–187 of the mutant drosophila protein could locate only six copies correctly in the asymmetric unit. The initial phases with both the molecular replacement solutions were reasonably accurate such that electron density for the 7–220 residues of eight protomers could be identified into the electron density maps. The eight protomers were individually refined as rigid body using Phenix. The manual corrections of the model were restricted to maximum-likelihood target and with a little model building using individual coordinates were subsequently refined by Phenix with restrained TLS and secondary structure restraints. Non-crystallographic symmetry (NCS) information and bulk solvent correction were applied in all the trials, and each protomer was treated as an independent TLS group.

3.7. Analysis of PDB data

From the PDB containing nearly 77870 structure coordinate entries (December 2011), experimental X-ray structures of the proteins alone determined to resolution worse than 3.0 Å resolution using synchrotron data at 80–120 K were downloaded. To reduce the possibility of statistical bias and create non-redundant sets, homologues with 90% sequence identity were clustered. The non-redundant PDB structures were further separated into two groups based on highest resolution of the diffraction data; group-I contained 1377 proteins resolved between 3.0 and 3.495 Å, and group-II contained 320 structures solved using data worse than 3.5 Å. The Matthews parameter (VM) and percentage solvent content reported in structure coordinate entries were extracted from the coordinate files and their frequency were analyzed in the two resolution groups. Descriptive statistics (limits, mean, median, and mode) were calculated for the frequency distributions of VM and solvent content.
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