Synthesized Phosphonium Compounds Demonstrate Resistant Modulatory and Antibiofilm Formation Activities against Some Pathogenic Bacteria
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A library of six compounds with new hybrids in a single molecule triazole ring attached to the phosphonium salts was synthesized. Click chemistry was, however, used to synthesize the 1-, 2-, and 3-triazole intermediates as a tether for the hybrid phosphonium salts. Their antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus faecalis), Gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa), and Mycobacterium smegmatis mc²155 was determined using the HT-SPOti assay. Compound 2 showed the most effective antimicrobial activity as it inhibited the growth of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus at 0.0125 µg/mL and 31.25 µg/mL, respectively. From the FICI data, compounds 2ET-TOL (2) and RABYL-TOL (4) successfully modulated the activities of amoxicillin against Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus. All the test compounds exhibited a concentration-dependent biofilm formation inhibition against S. aureus, except P-Z (compound 6). Compounds P-MEOXY (1) and 2ET-TOL (2) exhibited mild activity against P. aeruginosa with compound 4 showing antimycobacterial activity at 500 µg/mL.

1. Introduction

Infectious diseases have over the years threatened the survival of humans globally [1]. As one of the leading causes of mortality, infectious diseases caused by parasites, bacteria, viruses, and fungi result in over one-fourth of deaths worldwide every year [1, 2]. Since these pathogens are ubiquitous and can spread through the air, water, and food, their control and subsequent prevention continue to be a daunting task. To combat these microorganisms, all kinds of antimicrobial agents including antibiotics, disinfectants, and antiseptics have been used [3]. However, the irrational or indiscriminate use of most antibiotics has triggered the emergence of resistant strains of microbes, leading to slow
progress in the fight against these pathogens. This continuous insurgence of resistance strains calls for the search for compounds or antibacterial agents with new mechanisms of action. Presently, quaternary ammonium salts, which are positively charged compounds, mostly found in disinfectants have been widely used as antibacterial agents [4]. On the other hand, quaternary phosphonium salts are positively charged lipophilic cations with established antibacterial activities and a better killing rate than their quaternary ammonium counterparts but have been underutilized in pharmaceutical formulations though they can better enhance the antimicrobial efficacy [5]. For instance, various phosphonium salts possessing alkyl chains of different carbon lengths exhibited antimicrobial activities [6]. The linking of different functionalities together has been one of the tools in designing drugs to overcome antimicrobial resistance [7, 8]. This is evident in some hybrid compounds containing a heterocyclic ring and phosphonium salts showing antimicrobial activity [9].

Hence, this study sought to synthesize some hybrid phosphonium salts with potential antimicrobial activity against human pathogens.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemistry

2.1.1. General. All solvents and reagents for the synthesis of the target compounds were obtained from commercially available sources including, among others, Sigma-Aldrich, Fisher Scientific, Fluorochem, and Alfa Aesar. Solvents were used directly in the reaction flask or as anhydrous Fisher Scientific, Fluorochem, and AlfaAesar. Solvents were the target compounds were obtained from commercially described by [13] was followed. Salts.

2.1.2. General Procedure 1: Azides’ Formation. The synthesis of azides in Scheme 3.1 as described by Bock and coworkers was followed [10]. This scheme was applied to the synthesis of nitroaromatic azides. The azides were used without further purification for the ‘click’ reactions.

2.1.3. General Procedure 2: Synthesis of the 1,2,3-Triazoles (‘Click’ Reaction). The ‘click’ reaction procedure in Scheme 3.3 was adapted based on method reviews described by Bock and coworkers [11].

2.1.4. General Procedure 3: Bromination of the 1,2,3-Triazoles. The bromination process in Scheme 3.4 as described by Zhao and coworkers was followed, replacing the starting material with the ‘clicked’ product in general procedure 2 [12].

2.1.5. General Procedure 4: Synthesis of the Phosphonium Salts. The phosphonium salts synthetic procedure as described by [13] was followed.

The scheme for the synthesis of the phosphonium salts and a tethered/hybrid phosphonium salt is shown in Figure 1.

Tris (4-methoxy phenyl) (4-(1-(4-nitrobenzyl)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazol-2-yl) benzyl) phosphonium bromide (Compound 1). Following the general procedure 4, the reaction of 4-(2-(bromomethyl) phenyl)-1-(4-nitrobenzyl)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazole (1 eq., 0.139 g, 0.50 mmol) and tris (4-methoxyphenyl) phosphine (1.05 eq., 0.200 g, 0.52 mmol) produced the title compound as a white solid (0.248 g, 76%) after purification. mp > 300°C; IR: 1660 (C=O), 1592, 1569, 1520 (Ar C=O), 1280 (C–O), 880 (C–H), 600 (C–C) cm−1; 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, room temperature): δH 8.30 (1H, HAr triazole) 8.28–8.26 (2H, d, J = 10.0 Hz, HAr), 7.53–7.51 (3H, m, HAr), 7.33–7.31 (6H, m, HAr), 7.17–7.16 (6H, m, HAr), 5.72 (2H, s, -CH2), 5.70–5.60 (2H, d, J = 15.0 Hz, -CH2), 3.86 (9H, s, Ar-OCH3); 31P (500 MHz, DMSO-d6 room temperature) δP 21.6; 31P (500 MHz, DMSO-d6 room temperature) δP 160.6 (3C), 144.9 (1C), 142.3 (1C), 134.6 (5C), 134.0 (1C), 130.7 (1C), 128.9 (2C), 123.8 (2C), 114.3 (6H), 110.3 (3C); Ar: 57.0 (2C) 55.8 (3C, methyl carbons), 20.7 (2C); HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for (M-HBr)\(^+\) C\(_{37}\)H\(_{34}\)N\(_{4}\)O\(_{5}\)P\(^+\), 645.2210, found 645.2210.

4-(1-(nitrobenzyl)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazol-2-yl) benzyl tri-p-toly phosphonium bromide (Compound 2). Following the
FIGURE 1: Synthesis of the phosphonium salts and a tethered/hybrid phosphonium salt (1, 4, 5 for Ph-OCH₃, Ph-CH₃, (RABYL-TOLYL), and PPh₃, respectively) and 2, 3, 6 for Ph-CH₃ (2ET-TOL), Ph-F (2ET-FLU), and Ph-OCH₃ (PZ). SN₂ conditions: PyBr₃ (triphenylphosphine derivative) in toluene, reflux at 120°C.

general procedure 4, the reaction of 4-(2-(bromomethyl) phenyl)-1-(4-nitrobenzyl)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazole (1 eq., 0.180 g, 0.5 mmol) and tri-p-tolylphosphine (1.05 eq., 0.200 g, 0.75 mmol) produced the title compound as a white solid (0.280 g, 93%) after purification. mp 280–283°C. IR νmax/cm⁻¹: 3032 (sp² C-H), 1455 (N≡N), 1598, 1525, 805 (Ar C=C-H), 565–450 (Ar-P-C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, room temperature): δH 8.20–8.19 (2H, d, J = 5.0 Hz, HAr), 7.57 (1H, s, HAr-triazole) 7.48–7.31 (14H, m, HAr), 5.70 (2H, d, -CH₂), 2.40 (9H, s, Ar-CH₃); 31P (500MHz, DMSO-d₆, room temperature) δP 23.8; ¹³C (500MHz, DMSO-d₆, room temperature) δC 162.9 (3C), 144.9 (1C) 142.3 (1C), 135.2 (6C), 134.0 (1C), 130.7 (1C), 128.9 (2C), 123.8 (2C), 115.5 (3C), 112.3 (1C), 109.0 (2C), 73.3 (2C), 57.0 (2C); HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for (M-HBr)⁺ C₃₆H₃₂N₄O₂P⁺, 609.1659, found 609.1659.

Benzyl tri-p-tolyl phosphonium bromide (compound 4). Following the general procedure 4, the reaction of p-nitro benzyl bromide (1 eq., 0.186 g, 0.5 mmol) and tri-p-tolylphosphine (1.05 eq., 0.200 g, 0.75 mmol) produced the title compound as a white solid (0.210 g, 86%) after purification. mp 286–288°C. IR νmax/cm⁻¹: 3014 (sp² C-H) 1597, 1493, 860 (Ar C=C-H), Ar-C=N (1453), 699–476 (Ar-P-C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, room temperature): δH 7.48–7.30 (12H, m, HAr); 7.30–7.25 (3H, m, HAr), 7.24–7.30 (2H, m, HAr), 5.05–5.02 (2H, d, J = 15.0 Hz), 2.45 (9H, d, Ar-CH₃).

Benzyl triphenyl phosphonium bromide (compound 5). Following the general procedure 4, the reaction of p-nitro benzyl bromide (1 eq., 0.186 g, 0.5 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (1.05 eq., 0.200 g, 0.75 mmol) produced the title compound as a white solid (0.223 g, 98%) after purification. mp 258–259°C. IR νmax/cm⁻¹: 3000 (sp³ C-H) 1591, 1501, 828 (Ar C=C-H), 565–450 (Ar-P-C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, room temperature): δH 7.62 (1H, s, HAr-triazole) 7.60–7.57 (2H, m, HAr), 7.55–7.52 (14H, m, HAr), 5.77 (2H, s, -CH₂), 5.75 (2H, d, J = 10.0 Hz, -CH₂), 31P (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, room temperature) δP 162.9 (3C), 144.9 (1C) 142.3 (1C), 135.2 (6C), 134.0 (1C), 130.7 (1C), 128.9 (2C), 123.8 (2C), 115.5 (3C) 112.3 (1C), 109.0 (2C), 73.3 (2C), 57.0 (2C); HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for (M-HBr)⁺ C₃₆H₃₂N₄O₃P⁺, 597.2374, found 597.2374.

Tris-(4-fluorophenyl) (4-1-(4-nitrobenzyl)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazol-4-yl)benzyl phosphonium bromide (Compound 3). Following the general procedure 4, reaction of 4-(2-(bromomethyl) phenyl)-1-(4-nitrobenzyl)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazole (1 eq., 0.186 g, 0.50 mmol) and tri-p-fluorophenyl-phosphine (1.05 eq., 0.180 g, 0.52 mmol) produced the title compound as a white solid (0.223 g, 67%) after purification; mp 256–259°C IR νmax/cm⁻¹: 3000 (sp³ C-H) 1591, 1501, 828 (Ar C=C-H), 565–450 (Ar-P-C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, room temperature): δH 7.62 (1H, s, HAr-triazole) 7.60–7.57 (2H, m, HAr), 7.55–7.52 (14H, m, HAr), 5.77 (2H, s, -CH₂), 5.75 (2H, d, J = 10.0 Hz, -CH₂), 31P (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, room temperature) δP 162.9 (3C), 144.9 (1C) 142.3 (1C), 135.2 (6C), 134.0 (1C), 130.7 (1C), 128.9 (2C), 123.8 (2C), 115.5 (3C) 112.3 (1C), 109.0 (2C), 73.3 (2C), 57.0 (2C); HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for (M-HBr)⁺ C₃₆H₃₂F₄N₄O₃P⁺, 619.1659, found 619.1659.
after purification. mp 272–275°C. IR ν\text{max}/cm\textsuperscript{-1}: 3023 (sp\textsuperscript{2} C–H) 1599, 1517, 860 (Ar C–H); 565–450 (Ar–P–C); \textsuperscript{1}\text{H} NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-\text{d}_6, room temperature): δ\text{H} 7.53–7.49 (6H, m, H\text{Ar}), 7.49–7.28 (9H, m, H\text{Ar}) 7.26–699 (2H, m, H\text{Ar}), 4.98–4.95 (2H, d, J = 15.0 Hz), 3.89 (9H, s, Ar–OCH\textsubscript{3}).

2.2. Biological Activity

2.2.1. Source of Bacterial Strains. Gram-negatives, including Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853), Gram-positives, including Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923) and Enterococcus faecalis (ATCC 29212), and Mycobacterium smegmatis mc\textsuperscript{c} 155, an acid-fast mycobacterium, were used for the antimicrobial study. The test organisms were all obtained from the Cell Culture Laboratory, Department of Pharmacology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST), Kumasi, Ghana.

2.2.2. Culture Media and Reference Antibiotics. Nutrient Agar and Nutrient Broth were purchased from Oxoid Limited (Basingstoke, United Kingdom). Middlebrooks 7H10 Agar and Middlebrooks 7H9 Broth were purchased from Becton Dickinson (BD™) Chemicals, USA, and Ciprofloxacin (>98% HPLC) and Rifampicin (>97% HPLC) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich™ (Michigan, USA).

The antimicrobial assay used was adapted from [14].

2.2.3. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC). The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for each compound against the Gram-positive, S. aureus (ATCC 25923) and E. faecalis (ATCC 29212); Gram-negative, P. aeruginosa (ATCC 27853) and E. coli (ATCC 25922)); and Mycobacterium smegmatis mc\textsuperscript{c} 155 isolates were determined using the high-throughput spot culture growth inhibition (HT-SPOTI) assay. Stock solution of the compounds P-MEOXY (1), 2-ET-TOL (2), 2ET-FLU (3), RABYL-TOL (4), PPh3Br (5), and P-Z (6) (50 mg/mL) were prepared in DMSO followed by a twofold serial dilution in a 96-well half-skirted plate to give a concentration range of (50–0.05 mg/mL). Subsequently, 2 μL of each dilution was dispensed into the corresponding wells of the 96-well plate followed by the addition of 200 μL of nutrient agar/Middlebrooks 7H10 agar with shaking. The final concentration range in the agar ranged between 500 and −0.5 μg/mL. The wells were then spotted with 2 μL of cell suspension (~1 × 10\textsuperscript{8} CFU/mL) using a multichannel pipette. Column 12 of the 96-well plate with agar and cells only were used as positive control. The plates were wrapped in aluminum foil and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The MIC was defined as the lowest compound concentration that completely inhibited the growth of the microorganisms.

2.2.4. Modulation of Antibiotic Resistance Studies. To evaluate the ability of the compounds to modulate antibiotic resistance, the combinatorial effects of the most effective compounds (P-MEOXY (1), 2-ET-TOL (2), and RABYL-TOL) (4) were evaluated with the antibiotic amoxicillin against P. aeruginosa and S. aureus. The checkerboard distribution method in a 96-well microtitre plate was employed using the HT-SPOT inhibition assay. The fractional inhibition concentration index was calculated using the equation below. It was interpreted as FICI: ≤ 0.5, synergy; > 0.5 ≤ 4.0, no interaction; > 4.0, antagonism.

\[
\text{FICI} = \frac{\text{MIC}_{\text{compound and antimicrobial}} + \text{MIC}_{\text{compound alone}}}{\text{MIC}_{\text{compound and antimicrobial}} \times \text{MIC}_{\text{antimicrobial alone}}.}
\]

2.2.5. Biofilm Inhibition Studies. The ability of the compounds to inhibit biofilm formation was evaluated using the microplate-based assay and the bacterial cells were cultured in tryptic soy broth (TSB) at 37°C overnight. The cultures were then harvested and resuspended in TSB to approximately 1 × 10\textsuperscript{8} CFU/mL determined by the optical density (OD). Twofold serial dilution of the compounds was carried out with tryptic soy broth (TSB) to obtain concentrations ranging from 1/2 to 1/16 MIC. The compounds (10 μL) each were then premixed with the bacterial inoculum (190 μL). After 24 h incubation at 37°C, the supernatant containing TSB and planktonic cells was gently removed from the microplate wells, and the wells were washed twice with 150 μL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). After rinsing, the biofilms were heat-fixed by incubating at 60°C for 60 minutes. Biofilms were stained with 125 μL of 0.01% (w/v) crystal violet solution, and the suspension was incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Excess crystal violet solution was rinsed with distilled water and dried at room temperature for 30 minutes. After drying the stained plates, biofilms were visible as purple rings on the sides of each well. The quantitative analysis of biofilm formation was carried out by adding 125 mL of 95% v/v ethanol and acetic acid (3:1) per well. The OD of the stain was then measured at 600 nm using a multimode microtitre plate reader. Wells containing bacteria and TSB and wells containing only TSB were included as negative control and media control, respectively. The bioassay was performed in triplicate. The inhibitory activity was expressed as percentage biofilm inhibition using the equation:

\[
\text{Percentage Biofilm Inhibition} = \frac{\text{OD}_{\text{control}} - \text{OD}_{\text{test}}}{\text{OD}_{\text{control}}} \times 100%.
\]

Check the font size and reduce it a little for the formula so that it is a bit uniformed.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. The results obtained from the study were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software (Version 8.01, GraphPad Software Inc., USA). The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was followed by the Neuman-Keuls posttest. All the values are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) from triplicate experiments.
3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Chemistry. The synthesis centered on nonclicked and hybrid (clicked) compounds bearing lipophilic groups (Figure 2) due to evidence from literature about the relationship between the lipophilic character of compounds and their biological activities. Optimization of the structural scaffold possibly enhances the biological activity with different electronic donating/withdrawing groups such as methyl, methoxy, and fluoro on the phosphonium head. In the first attempt to synthesize the nonclicked compounds (1, 2, and 5), a reaction was carried out between benzyl bromide and various triphenylphosphines in toluene under reflux conditions. The reaction afforded precipitates that were crushed out of the solution and isolated by suction filtration under vacuum as white solids. In the synthesis of the clicked compounds (2, 3, and 6), the products did not require further purification and were characterized by spectroscopy (NMR, FT-IR, HRESIMS). The synthesis of the clicked compounds involved the first step of generating p-nitrobenzyl azide from p-nitrobenzyl bromide in water: acetone (1:4) mixture at room temperature for 6 hrs. This was followed by the copper-catalyzed 1, 3-dipolar cycloaddition in an organoaqueous solvent mixture at room temperature for 8 hrs. The reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC). The product was worked up using diethyl ether in a solvent-solvent extraction and the combined organic portions were concentrated in vacuo using a rotary evaporator to obtain a beige solid which was further purified by silica column chromatography in a gradient elution fashion to afford a white solid hereafter called the clicked compound. After confirming the structure of the clicked compound by spectroscopic characterization, the clicked compound was reacted with phosphorous tribromide to convert the hydroxy group to a good leaving group, bromine. This made the brominated product susceptible to nucleophilic substitution bimolecular reaction (SN$_2$) reaction with respective triphenylphosphines to introduce the substituted phosphonium heads to form the hybrid compounds. They were characterized by spectroscopy (NMR ($^1$H, $^{13}$C/DEPT-135, and $^{31}$P), FT-IR, HRESIMS). The synthetic scheme is represented in Figure 1 and the supplementary information is in Figures SM1–SM25.

The synthesis of the library of phosphonium salts (1–6) was performed according to methods in literature appended to the various procedures. The compounds were obtained with good yields and high purity confirmed by melting point and spectroscopic methods. The treatment of nitro benzyl bromides with various triphenylphosphine derivatives afforded compounds 1, 4, and 5 via a nucleophilic substitution bimolecular reaction. Copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne 1, 3-dipolar cycloaddition click reaction was used to attach the 1,2,3-triazole intermediate to the phosphonium salts as the hybrids (2, 3, and 6) after creating the brominated functionality which provided the pathway for the final step via nucleophilic substitution bimolecular (SN$_2$) mechanism. The structures of the synthesized compounds 3–6 were established on the basis of IR, NMR ($^1$H, $^{13}$C, and DEPT-135), and LC-MS spectroscopic data, and thereafter, IR and $^1$H NMR were used to confirm the nonhybrids (compounds 1–3). For instance, the FT-IR spectrum for detecting the functional groups of

![Figure 2: Chemical structures for the library of synthesized phosphonium salts (compounds 1–6), nonhybrids (1, 3, and 5), and hybrids (compounds 2, 3, and 6).](image-url)
compound 2, one of the most bioactive compounds, displayed an olefinic hydroxyl absorption band at 3032 cm\(^{-1}\) in the aliphatic C-H region and was confirmed by the presence of the N=\(\equiv\)N in the triazole and carbon-phosphorous band at 1455 cm\(^{-1}\) and 450–560 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. From the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum, an envelope of 17 protons resonating downfield from 7.31 ppm to 8.20 ppm which encompasses the lone triazole proton at 7.57 ppm in the aromatic region. The signals at 5.70 ppm and 5.68 ppm represent a singlet for the methane carbon tethering the triazole nitrogen (N1) and methylene attached to the phosphorous (ipso carbon), respectively. The high-intensity peak observed in the upfield region at 2.40 ppm is a singlet for the methylene carbon tethering the aromatic group and the triazole nitrogen \(1\) is characterized by the presence of the 9 methyl protons on the phosphonium head. The \(^{13}\)C NMR-DEPT 135 spectrum showed a cluster of 120–135 ppm which is characteristic of aromatic carbons. The methylene carbon between the nitro aromatic group and the triazole nitrogen 1 is characterized by a chemical shift of 50 ppm while two peaks (29 and 30 ppm) designated as the methylene carbon attached to phosphorous linking the triazole carbon 4 and the phosphonium head. The confirmation of the phosphorous from the \(^{31}\)P NMR was observed as a singlet at 22 ppm. The high-resolution accurate mass provided extra supporting information. The molecular ion peak \(m/z\) for \((\text{M-HBr})^+\) as 597.2374. However, the structures of compounds 4, 5, and 6 were confirmed with \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy (Figures SM11–SM15).

### 3.2. Susceptibility of Compounds to Test Organisms in Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Determinations.

The potency of the test compounds to inhibit bacterial growth was quantitatively assessed by the MIC values obtained experimentally (Table 1). Five different pathogens were used, of which two were Gram-negative bacteria, two were Gram-positive bacteria, and an acid-fast bacterium. Four of the test organisms showed susceptibility to the test compounds while \(\text{Enterococcus faecalis}\) showed complete resistance (no susceptibility) to all the six test agents. Among the pathogens tested, \(\text{Mycobacterium smegmatis}\) was the most resistant, with little susceptibility to the test compounds used. However, \(\text{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}\) possessed the least resistance, followed by \(\text{Staphylococcus aureus}\), then by \(\text{Escherichia coli}\), and \(\text{Klebsiella pneumoniae}\). Compound 2 showed the most effective antimicrobial activity as it inhibited the growth of \(\text{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}\) at 0.0125 µg/mL and \(\text{Staphylococcus aureus}\) at 31.25 µg/mL. It also showed moderate antimicrobial activity against \(\text{Escherichia coli}\) at 250 µg/mL. Compounds 4 and 6 exhibited comparable antimicrobial activity against \(\text{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}\) with an MIC of 1.95 µg/mL. \(\text{Staphylococcus aureus}\) strain was more susceptible to compound 3 at MIC 62.5 µg/mL. The compounds did not show any antimycobacterial activity against \(\text{Mycobacterium smegmatis}\) with the exception of compound 4 at 500 µg/mL. The MICs of the compounds from the HT-SPOTi assay are shown in Table 1.

### 3.3. Resistant Modulatory Studies.

Combination therapy is employed in some microbial infections as a standard option or plans to achieve treatment goals. Resistance modulation investigations offer an advantage in the study of the modulating effect of potential lead compounds on the activities of already available antimicrobials [15]. The nature of the therapeutic effects of potential modulating agents in preventing antimicrobial resistance needs to be investigated through the establishment of the fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) to make an intended combination therapy effective in achieving the required treatment outcomes [16].

Antimicrobial resistance modulatory activity study was carried out using the combination assay model for the three most active test compounds P-MEOXY (1), 2ET-TOL (2), and RABYL-TOL (4) against the two most susceptible pathogens, \(\text{Staphylococcus aureus}\) and \(\text{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}\), to investigate their synergistic or antagonistic effects, based on the results obtained from the susceptibility studies. From the FICI data obtained, compounds 2ET-TOL (2) and RABYL-TOL (4) successfully modulated the activities of amoxicillin against some of the test organisms. It was observed that the combination of compound 4 with amoxicillin exhibited a synergistic effect against \(\text{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}\) but showed no interaction against \(\text{Staphylococcus aureus}\). However, the combination of compound 2 with amoxicillin exhibited an antagonist effect against \(\text{Staphylococcus aureus}\) and showed no interaction against

---

**Table 1: Antimicrobial activity of synthesized compounds.**

| Compound   | Minimum inhibition concentration (µg/mL) |
|------------|-----------------------------------------|
|            | \(P. aeruginosa\) | \(E. coli\) | \(S. aureus\) | \(E. faecalis\) | \(M. smegmatis\) |
| P-MEOXY (1) | 3.9          | >500           | 500           | >500           | >500           |
| 2ET-TOL (2) | 0.0125       | 250            | 31.25         | >500           | >500           |
| 2ET-FLU (3) | 7.8          | >500           | 62.5          | >500           | >500           |
| RABYL-TOL (4) | 1.95        | 500            | 250           | >500           | 500            |
| PP3 (5)     | 31.25        | >500           | 250           | >500           | >500           |
| PZ (6)      | 1.95         | >500           | 500           | >500           | >500           |
| Amoxicillin | 3.9          | 1.95           | 1.95          | 500            | NT             |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.008      | 0.078          | 0.3           | 10             | NT             |
| Rifampicin  | NT           | NT             | NT            | NT             | 1.95           |

NT: not tested for the microorganisms used for the antimicrobial activity.
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. No interaction against Pseudomonas aeruginosa was observed with a combination of compound 1 with amoxicillin (Table 2).

3.4. Biofilm Inhibition Studies. Microorganisms develop resistance against antimicrobial agents through several mechanisms including the formation of biofilms [17]. Bacteria biofilms constitute about 65% of microbial infections, and those existing in them develop resistance to antimicrobial agents a thousand folds than bacteria existing in planktonic forms [18]. Potential antimicrobial agents should possess strong biofilm inhibition or reduction effects to be considered good candidates in antimicrobial-resistant drug development [19, 20].

The test compounds showed variable effects on the inhibition of biofilms in the strains of organisms used at subinhibitory concentrations with P. aeruginosa biofilm being more susceptible to the compounds compared to S. aureus, from the biofilm inhibition studies (Figure 3). The biofilm inhibitory activity against P. aeruginosa was observed to be in a concentration-dependent manner for P-MEOXY (1), P-Z (6), RABYL-TOL (4), and concentration-independent manner for 2ET-TOL (2) indicating different susceptibility patterns of P.aeruginosa biofilms to the compounds. The concentration-dependent effect of RABYL-TOL revealed higher activity with lower concentrations (1/16 MIC > 1/8 MIC > 1/4 MIC > 1/2 MIC) (Figure 3(a)). The good activity was observed against P. aeruginosa biofilms with P-MEOXY (at 1/2, 1/4, 1/8 MICs), 2ET-TOL (at all concentrations), and P-Z (at 1/2, 1/4 MICs) (Figure 3(a)). However, all the test compounds exhibited a concentration depending on biofilm inhibition against S. aureus, except P-Z which showed a concentration-independent effect. P-MEOXY showed good biofilm inhibition at 1/2 MIC, and 1/4 MIC against S. aureus biofilm (Figure 3(b)).

3.5. Structure-Activity Relationship of the Phosphonium Salts. Compound 1 (P-MEOXY) which is a hybrid with the methoxy groups at the para position on the triphenyl ring tethered to the triazole and its counterpart compound 2...
(2ET-TOL) which has methyl groups at the same positions exhibited impressive activity against Gram-negative *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* followed by it. However, compound 1 performed poorly against the Gram-positive organisms and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. This could be explained by the positive inductive effect of the methoxy and methyl groups which dispersed the positive charge on the phosphonium head making the quaternary group not so essential for activity against Gram-negatives. However, of the hybrids, it was observed that the 2ET-FLU had the least activity generally but performed better than compound 1 against *Staphylococcus aureus*. This could suggest that the negative inductive effect due to the electron-withdrawing nature of the fluorine group created a more positive center on the phosphonium head which was essential for better activity against *Staphylococcus aureus*. With the nonhybrids, the compounds 4 (RABYL-TOL) and 6 (PZ) which had substituted phosphonium heads with methyl and methoxy groups, respectively, generally exhibited better activity than PPh3 which possessed an unsubstituted phosphonium head. This suggests that the electronic effect on the quaternary phosphonium head played a crucial role in influencing the antibacterial activity of the compounds.

4. Conclusion

A library of six (6) phosphonium salts including three hybrids was synthesized through the SN2 reaction between the triphenylphosphine derivatives and aromatic benzyl bromides. Click chemistry was however used to synthesize the 1,2,3-triazole intermediates. Compound 2 showed the most effective antimicrobial activity as it inhibited the growth of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at 0.0125 µg/mL and *Staphylococcus aureus* at 31.25 µg/mL. The compounds did not show any antimycobacterial activity against *Mycobacterium smegmatis* with the exception of compound 4 at 500 µg/mL. From the FICl data, compounds 2ET-TOL (2) and RABYL-TOL (4) successfully modulated the activities of amoxicillin against some of the test organisms. All the test compounds exhibited a concentration-dependent biofilm inhibition against *S. aureus*, except P-Z (compound 6) which showed a concentration-independent effect, and good activity was observed against *P. aeruginosa* biofilms with P-MEOXY (compound 1) (at 1/2, 1/4, 1/8 MICs), 2ET-TOL at all concentrations, and P-Z (compound 6) (at 1/2, 1/4 MICs). The antibacterial activities of the library of the phosphonium salts provide strong proof as scaffolds for future synthetic optimization.
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