Environmental Bovine Mastitis Pathogens: Prevalence, Antimicrobial Susceptibility, and Sensitivity to *Thymus vulgaris* L., *Thymus serpyllum* L., and *Origanum vulgare* L. Essential Oils
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**Abstract:** Mastitis is considered to be one of the most important diseases of dairy cows in terms of health, production, and economy. Being the most common cause of antibiotic consumption in dairy cows, treatment of this disease is one of the biggest challenges in the veterinary profession as an increasing number of pathogens develop resistance to antibiotics used in the treatment. Therefore, new alternative approaches for limiting the use of antibiotics in livestock are required. For this reason, our study aimed to investigate prevalence of environmental mastitis associated bacterial strains, as well as the sensitivity of isolated strains to different antibiotics. Additionally, the therapeutic potential of three essential oils (EOs) was tested against bovine *Serratia* spp. and *Proteus* spp. mastitis pathogens, based on their chemical composition, as well as antibacterial potential. The study was carried out on 81 milk samples collected from dairy cows with mastitis. In order to determine prevalence of *S. marcescens* and *P. mirabilis*, microbiological isolation and identification were performed. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by disk diffusion method and the microdilution method was used to determine the antibacterial activity of selected EOs. In the oregano EO, a total of 23 compounds were detected, with carvacrol as a dominant component (78.94%). A total of 26 components were present in the EO of common thyme, where thymol was the most abundant compound (46.37%). Thymol also dominated (55.11%) the wild thyme EO. All tested EOs displayed antibacterial activity against all strains to different extents, while wild and common thyme EOs were the most effective. It could be concluded that the tested EOs represent promising therapeutic candidates for effective non-antibiotic treatment of mastitis.
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1. Introduction

Udder in good health condition is an important element in the production of safe-quality milk with proper biological characteristics, since the mammary gland affected by inflammatory processes changes the quality and quantity of milk yield [1]. Mastitis is an inflammatory mammary gland condition of considerable interest due to its high incidence and extensive cost. As the most frequent infection in dairy cows, mastitis can be caused by bacteria, fungi, molds, and algae [2].
Microorganisms that cause mastitis are generally classified as either contagious or environmental based upon their primary reservoir and mode of transmission. The predominant contagious pathogens are *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, and *Corynebacterium bovis*, while *Escherichia coli*, *Streptococcus uberis*, and *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* are the predominant environmental pathogens [3,4]. Besides the pathogens mentioned above, *Serratia marcescens* and *Proteus mirabilis* are also grouped as environmental causative agents of mastitis [5,6]. Moreover, in recent times, there has been clear evidence of an increasing incidence of environmental mastitis, while the incidence of contagious mastitis has decreased [7].

Besides causing bovine mastitis, *S. marcescens* is important as a causal agent of reproductive tract infections in cows [8]. Sources of *S. marcescens* infection are diverse due to the prevalence of this pathogen in the environment, but the causative agent can be transmitted from cow to cow, as well as from equipment and accessories for the husband to the cow [8,9]. Furthermore, *S. marcescens* has been reported to cause both clinical and subclinical mastitis outbreaks in dairy cows [10]. According to some data, dairy cows may have subclinical pathogen carriage for several months or even years [10], while infections usually result in changes in the color and consistency of milk [11]. Moreover, some cases of the subclinical form of mastitis can cure spontaneously, but infected cows can also become chronic carriers of *S. marcescens* [10].

Being the most prevalent *Proteus* species, *Proteus mirabilis* is a common opportunistic pathogen causing severe illness in animals [12,13]. As a ubiquitous environmental microorganism widely present in nature [13,14], it can be found in animal breeding facilities and contaminated water, food, vegetables, utensils, equipment, and surgical instruments [15]. Interestingly, *Proteus* spp. commonly contaminate drop hoses used to wash udders before milking [16]. However, *Proteus* infections in domestic animals are often misdiagnosed or considered contaminants rather than a primary agent of disease [15].

Mastitis is by far the most important reason for antibiotic treatment [17]. However, antibiotic resistance in bacteria is an increasing threat, and there is a need for new, alternative therapies, including essential oils (EOs) [18]. Along with other advantages as an alternative to antibiotics, EOs show antibacterial properties and no resistance has been reported after prolonged exposure [19]. There are many in vitro studies for evaluating the antimicrobial efficacy of EOs against common mastitis-associated pathogens [20–24]. The greatest antimicrobial effect is exerted by EOs with thymol and carvacrol as main components [25,26]. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one report about antimicrobial activity of major EOs’ components cinnamaldehyde and carvacrol on *P. mirabilis* as foodborne microorganisms [27]; no one has focused on these two causative agents of mastitis, although they are important in development of this disease. Furthermore, the prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of *P. mirabilis* and *S. marcescens* have not been studied in Serbia so far.

Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the mastitis causing *P. mirabilis* and *S. marcescens* in dairy herds. Additionally, the effectiveness of selected EOs (*Origanum vulgare* L., *Thymus serpyllum* L., and *Thymus vulgaris* L.) from the *Lamiaceae* family against isolates of these two bacterial species was evaluated.

2. **Results**

2.1. **Bacteriological Testing of Milk Samples**

Based on laboratory results, out of a total of 81 milk samples, 24 (29.62%) were positive for mastitis-causing pathogens, while the remaining 57 samples (70.38%) were negative in bacteriological tests. In addition, milk samples were collected from cows with diagnosed subclinical and clinical mastitis, totaling 15 and 9 cases, retrospectively. Of the isolated bacteriological causes of mastitis, *P. mirabilis* was isolated in 3 cases (3.7%), out of which 2 were subclinical and 1 was clinical mastitis. *S. marcescens* was present in 6 samples (7.4%), out of which 4 were subclinical and 2 were clinical mastitis. Figure 1 illustrates the prevalence of mastitis pathogens in tested samples.
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\caption{Prevalence of mastitis-causing pathogens in the collected milk samples.}
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2.2. Chemical Composition of Selected EOs

Chemical composition of the EOs of common (\textit{Thymus vulgaris} L.) and wild thyme (\textit{Thymus serpyllum} L.) as well as oregano (\textit{Origanum vulgare} L.) are listed in Table 1. A total of 23 compounds were detected in oregano EO, representing 99.13% of mixture. The main class of compounds were aromatic oxygenated monoterpenes (51.70%) with carvacrol as a dominant component (78.94%). Furthermore, a notable content of thymol (4.87%) and \textit{p}-cymene (4.52%) was recorded. A total of 26 components were present in the EO of common thyme, representing 99.27% of identified compounds. As in the EO of oregano, in thyme EO aromatic oxygenated monoterpenes were the main class of compounds (51.7%), followed by aromatic monoterpene hydrocarbons (23.83%) and monoterpene hydrocarbons (11.26%). The most abundant compounds were thymol (46.37%), \textit{p}-cymene (23.83%), \textit{γ}-terpinene (3.46%), and \textit{trans}-\textit{β}-caryophyllene (3.86%). Similar compounds were identified in the EO of wild thyme, where a total of 28 compounds (99.93%) were identified. The main class of compounds was aromatic oxygenated monoterpenes (55.78%). Furthermore, monoterpene hydrocarbons (25.78%) and aromatic monoterpene hydrocarbons (16.66%) were detected in a notable amount. The dominant compound was thymol (55.11%), followed by \textit{γ}-terpinene (22.31%) and \textit{p}-cymene (16.66%).

2.3. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing of Mastitis-Associated Bacteria

The results of antibiotic susceptibility testing of the bacterial isolates are shown in Table 2. \textit{P. mirabilis} and \textit{S. marcescens} isolates were the most susceptible to gentamicin, enrofloxacin, ceftriaxone, and neomycin (100% each). Overall, 85.75% of the bacterial isolates were susceptible to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, followed by 71.42% susceptibility to streptomycin. On the contrary, full resistance was noted against cloxacillin, novobiocin, penicillin, and ampicillin (100%), followed by lincomycin (85.71%), and tetracycline and erythromycin (71.42%).
Table 1. Chemical composition of evaluated EOs.

| Peak No. | Compound | RI * | O. vulgare | T. serpyllum | T. vulgaris |
|----------|----------|------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| **Monoterpene Hydrocarbons** | | | 4.04 | 25.78 | 11.26 |
| 1 | α-Pinene | 937 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 1.47 |
| 2 | Camphene | 952 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 1.83 |
| 3 | β-Pinene | 978 | 0.67 | 2.37 | 0.17 |
| 4 | β-Myrcene | 991 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 1.73 |
| 6 | α-Phellandrene | 1005 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
| 7 | α-Terpine | 1017 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 0.63 |
| 9 | Limonene | 1030 | 0.79 | 0.17 | 1.82 |
| 11 | γ-Terpine | 1060 | 1.49 | 22.31 | 3.46 |
| **Aromatic Monoterpene Hydrocarbons** | | | 4.52 | 16.66 | 23.83 |
| 8 | p-Cymene | 1025 | 4.52 | 16.66 | 23.83 |
| **Oxygenated Monoterpenes** | | | 2.49 | 1.57 | 6.49 |
| 10 | 1,8-Cineole | 1032 | 0.37 | 0.17 | 0.84 |
| 12 | Linalool | 1099 | 1.08 | - | 2.14 |
| 13 | Camphor | 1145 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.27 |
| 14 | endo-Borneol | 1167 | 0.39 | - | 1.73 |
| 15 | Terpinene-4-ol | 1177 | 0.47 | 0.11 | 1.28 |
| 16 | Isomenthol | 1183 | - | 0.63 | |
| 14 | α-Terpineol | 1189 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.19 |
| 20 | Carvone | 1242 | - | - | - |
| 23 | Geranyl acetate | 1382 | - | - | - |
| 24 | Bornyl acetate | 1285 | - | 0.08 | 0.04 |
| **Aromatic Oxygenated Monoterpenes** | | | 83.81 | 55.78 | 51.7 |
| 18 | Isothymol methyl ether | 1230 | - | - | 0.83 |
| 19 | Methyl thymol ether | 1235 | - | - | 1.25 |
| 21 | Thymol | 1291 | 4.87 | 55.11 | 46.37 |
| 22 | Carvacrol | 1299 | 78.94 | 0.67 | 3.25 |
| **Sesquiterpene Hydrocarbons** | | | 2.88 | 0.14 | 4.94 |
| 25 | α-Cubebene | 1351 | 0.01 | - | 0.09 |
| 26 | β-Cubenene | 1388 | - | - | 0.01 |
| 27 | trans-β-Caryophyllene | 1419 | 2.49 | 0.09 | 3.86 |
| 28 | Aromandendrene | 1440 | - | - | - |
| 29 | cis-β-Famesene | 1443 | - | - | - |
| 30 | Humulene | 1454 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.57 |
| 31 | Aromandendrene | 1461 | - | - | - |
| 32 | γ-Murolene | 1477 | - | - | - |
| 33 | β-Selinene | 1486 | - | - | - |
| 34 | β-Bisabolene | 1509 | - | - | - |
| 35 | γ-Cadinene | 1513 | - | - | - |
| 36 | δ-Cadinene | 1524 | 0.27 | - | 0.41 |
| **Oxygenated Sesquiterpenes** | | | 1.37 | 0 | 1.05 |
| 37 | Caryophyllene oxide | 1581 | 1.37 | - | 1.05 |
| **Aliphatic Compounds** | | | 0.02 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 3-Octanol | 994 | 0.02 | - | - |
| **Total of Identified Compounds** | | | 99.13 | 99.93 | 99.27 |

* Retention indices relative to C9-C24 n-alkanes on the HP 5MS column.
Table 2. Antimicrobial sensitivity pattern of *S. marcescens* and *P. mirabilis* strains isolated from cows with mastitis (S—sensitive, I—intermediate, R—resistant). AMX, amoxycillin; AMP, ampicillin; CRO, ceftriaxone; ENR, enrofloxacin; ERY, erythromycin; GEN, gentamicin; LIN, lincomycin; NEO, neomycin; PEN, penicillin; STR, streptomycin; TET, tetracycline; AMC, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid; NB, novobiocin; SXT, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; CLO, cloxacillin.

| Bacterial Strain | AMX | AMP | CRO | ENR | ERY | GEN | LIN | NEO | PEN | STR | TET | AMC | NB | SXT | CLO |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| *P. mirabilis*   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | S   |     |     |
| _1_              | R   | R   | S   | S   | S   | S   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   |
| _2_              | R   | R   | S   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | R   | S   | I   | R   |
| _3_              | R   | R   | S   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | R   | S   | R   | R   |
| *S. marcescens*  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| _1_              | S   | R   | S   | S   | S   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | I   | S   | R   | R   | R   |
| _2_              | R   | R   | S   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | R   | S   | I   | R   |
| _3_              | R   | R   | S   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | R   | S   | I   | R   |
| _4_              | R   | R   | S   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | R   | S   | I   | R   |
| _5_              | R   | R   | S   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | R   | S   | R   | R   |
| _6_              | R   | R   | S   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | S   | R   | R   | S   | R   | R   |

The application of multiple correspondence analysis on a dataset describing susceptibility of *P. mirabilis* and *S. marcescens* to applied antimicrobial agents (except those acting completely effective or ineffective in case of all isolates; thus, showing no data variability) shows that the first two correspondent axes describe more than 60% of samples’ variability. The close grouping of *S. marcescens* isolates in the positive part of the first correspondent axis with resistance to tetracycline, amoxycillin, erythromycin, and lincomycin, as well as sensitivity to the trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole combination can be noticed (Figure 2). On the other hand, *P. mirabilis* isolates are located in the negative as well as in the positive part of the first correspondent axis, since significant variations regarding susceptibility to lincomycin, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, streptomycin, and tetracycline could be observed, suggesting a potentially emerging pathogen regarding antimicrobial resistance.

![Figure 2. The position of the evaluated variables in the space defined by the first two correspondent axes.](image)
ricidal concentrations (MBCs) were performed. The results presented in Table 3 showed the variable effects of EOs on the tested bacterial strains. MIC values for EOs against *P. mirabilis* strains were 3.125 mg/mL and MBC values ranged between 3.125 and 6.25 mg/mL. On the other hand, EOs of common and wild thyme had low MIC values for *S. marcescens* isolates (MIC = 1.56 mg/mL). Our results demonstrated that oregano EO showed a lower MBC value for *P. mirabilis* compared with *S. marcescens*.

Table 3. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and minimal bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) of selected EOs against *P. mirabilis* and *S. marcescens*.

| Sample (mg/mL) | TS ** | TV *** | OV **** |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|
|               | MIC Average ± SD | MBC | MIC Average ± SD | MBC | MIC Average ± SD | MBC |
| *P. mirabilis* | 3.125 ± 1.35 * | 6.25 ± 2.7 | 3.125 ± 0.00 | 6.25 ± 2.7 | 3.125 ± 1.35 | 3.125 ± 1.35 |
| *S. marcescens* | 1.56 ± 0.96 * | 3.125 ± 1.91 * | 1.56 ± 0.96 * | 3.125 ± 1.91 * | 3.125 ± 1.91 | 6.25 ± 3.83 |

* Statistical significance of EOs’ antimicrobial effect between *P. mirabilis* and *S. marcescens* (p ≤ 0.05).
** TS—*T. serpyllum* EO; *** TV—*T. vulgaris* EO; **** OV—*O. vulgare* EO.

The application of Principal components analysis (PCA) on dataset describing minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and minimal bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) of evaluated EOs, as well as the chemical composition of the EOs (compounds abundant more than 1%), shows that the first two principal components describe more than 80% of the samples’ variability (Figure 3a). In terms of the first principal component (PCA1), most of the variability is described by the presence of β-pinene, linalool, limonene, terpinene-4-ol, and endoborneol, while the shape of variability mostly correlates (PCA2) with the amounts of carvacrol, thymol, as well as the recorded MIC values. The positions of the evaluated EOs in the space defined by the first two principal component axes (Figure 3b) show that *O. vulgare* EO is the least effective against both pathogens, while *Thymus* sp. EOs show similar activity. Furthermore, *Thymus* sp. EOs are characterized by higher abundance of thymol, while carvacrol is more abundant in *O. vulgare* EO. It seems that differences in quantities of β-pinene, linalool, limonene, terpinene-4-ol, and endoborneol do not affect the antimicrobial potential of evaluated *T. vulgaris* and *T. serpyllum* EOs.

Figure 3. Antimicrobial potential and chemical profiles of the essential oils—compounds. PCA loadings (a) and positions of the evaluated samples in the space defined by the first two principal components’ axes (b).

Furthermore, the application of PCA on the dataset describing MICs and MBCs of evaluated EOs, as well as the chemical composition of the EOs (classes of compounds abundance), shows that the first two principal components describe around 70% of samples’ variability (Figure 4a). The size of the recorded samples’ variability mostly correlates with the quantified amounts of aliphatic compounds, aromatic oxygenated monoterpenes,
aromatic monoterpane hydrocarbons, as well as monoterpane hydrocarbons. The shape of the variability is in terms of the second principal component defined by the presence of oxygenated monoterpenes and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons. The positions of the evaluated EOs in the space defined by the first two principal components’ axes (Figure 4b) show weaker antimicrobial potential of *O. vulgare* EO, which is characterized by the higher presence of aliphatic compounds and aromatic oxygenated monoterpenes. On the other hand, the positions of *Thymus* sp. EOs in the negative part of PCA1 indicate stronger antimicrobial potential and presence of higher amounts of monoterpane hydrocarbons and aromatic monoterpane hydrocarbons, while the two evaluated EOs differ in the amount of oxygenated monoterpenes and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons, which are more abundant in *T. vulgaris* EO.

![Figure 4. Antimicrobial potential and chemical profiles of the essential oils—classes of compounds. PCA loadings (a) and positions of the evaluated samples in the space defined by the first two principal components’ axes (b).](image)

3. Discussion

As mentioned above, mastitis is one of the most important infectious diseases of the dairy industry and is considered to be a great challenge worldwide. Among the predominant environmental pathogens in this disease, the most frequent and major pathogen is *E. coli* [28–30]. Our study also revealed that *E. coli* (8.64%) was the major pathogen causing mastitis, followed by *S. marcescens* (7.40%), *Streptococcus* spp. (6.17%), and *P. mirabilis* (3.70%). Furthermore, our research results are similar with other studies since it has been estimated that mastitis-associated *Serratia* spp. account for approximately 9–12% of all naturally acquired Gram-negative bacterial infections. Moreover, *S. marcescens* is one of the most prevalent *Serratia* species [9]. Contrary to our findings, the prevalence of this mastitis-associated pathogen may be even higher than 20% [8,31]. In addition, the prevalence of *S. marcescens* was higher than those reported in China [29], Iraq [32], Brazil [33], and Japan [34]. Interestingly, according to Bannerman et al. [9], the mild clinical symptoms displayed during mastitis—as well as the finding that this pathogen is shed in low numbers—complicates the ability to identify *Serratia* spp. as a causative agent of mastitis during outbreaks.

*P. mirabilis* have been described as the causal agent of bovine mastitis [7,35–37]. Our results are similar to the study conducted by Parmar et al. [35], who isolated two *Proteus* spp. samples out of 29 milk samples. These results are close to those reported by other authors [36,37] who reported that the mastitis rate was 2.66% and 2.4%, retrospectively. On the other hand, Verma et al. [38] reported higher prevalence of *P. mirabilis* isolated in cows with mastitis (8.51%). According to Kasa et al. [36] the prevalence of *Proteus* spp. might be due to the residing of this agent in the cow’s environment bedding, feed, and water due to poor environmental sanitation and milking practice.

Antimicrobial treatment of mastitis is anticipated to become problematic in the near future due to the rapid increase in antibiotic-resistant pathogens [39,40]. For this reason,
there is a growing need to identify and use alternatives to antibiotics such as natural formulations. Development of such strategies requires detailed evaluation of the chemical composition and antimicrobial potential of EOs.

Although the evaluated EOs of the same manufacturer were used in previous studies [21,22], their chemical composition was evaluated once more, since there could be differences recorded among different series of production. The eventually presented differences could be caused by the origin of raw plant material, place of cultivation or collection (if the plant is collected from nature), as well as a range of ecological factors influencing the content of the main compounds [41,42].

The results obtained in this study regarding oregano EOs’ chemical composition point out carvacrol as a dominant compound, which is in agreement with the previously published data [22,43,44]. Analysis of common and wild thyme EOs’ chemical composition in this study revealed that the content of thymol in the wild thyme EO is higher (55.11%) than in the common thyme EO (46.37%). Unlike thymol, the content of carvacrol is reversed (3.25% in common thyme and 0.67% in wild thyme). These results of EOs’ chemical composition revealed that both tested EOs are in accordance with the requirements prescribed by Ph. Eur. 10 (2020) [45]. The content of total aromatic oxygenated monoterpenes in the EOs of T. vulgaris and T. serpyllum evaluated in this research is similar to those used in previous research [21] and meets the pharmacopoeia requirements for this class of compounds.

Antimicrobials are an important part of mastitis therapy [40,46,47]. Determination of the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern is necessary to choose the appropriate antimicrobial treatment for cows [48]. S. marcescens mastitis is challenging to treat since the isolates from cases of mastitis are reported to easily acquire resistance to various approved antibiotics due to multidrug efflux pump [9,10,34]. In addition, Milanov et al. [8] reported that S. marcescens isolated in bovine mastitis showed resistance to penicillin, ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and tetracycline, which is in agreement with our results. High level of resistance to penicillin, ampicillin, and tetracycline can be associated with the fact that those antibiotics are among the most commonly prescribed in the treatment of mastitis in Serbia [49].

S. marcescens isolates exhibited high susceptibility to sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim in our study, which was also confirmed by Ohnishi et al. [34].

Despite the fact that S. marcescens isolates from cow’s milk are usually sensitive in vitro to antibiotics, their use in the treatment of mastitis does not produce satisfactory results and is not recommended. Even in the cases of using the correct treatment, the bacteriological elimination rate is low, with poor responses to antimicrobial therapy [33]. Signs of improvement can be noticed but this condition is mostly temporary, and the cure rate is less than 14% [8,50].

Gentamicin, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, ceftriaxone, enrofloxacin, and sulfonamides/trimethoprim are considered first-line antimicrobials for treating Proteus spp. infections in domestic animals [15]. In the current study, Proteus strains showed the highest in vitro sensitivity to the abovementioned antibiotics. Furthermore, Proteus spp. resistance to novobiocin, penicillin, amoxicillin, ampicillin, and cloxacillin was observed in this study. The resistance of isolates to novobiocin may be attributed to the prolonged use of these drugs in veterinary medicine since 1950 [15]. In addition, resistance of Proteus spp. isolates to cephalosporins and penicillins can be associated with the production of plasmid-mediated beta-lactamase enzymes that promote resistance to some beta-lactams [14]. Interestingly, a high resistance rate for tetracycline was obtained for both strains. Djebala et al. [14] reported that tetracyclines can possess natural antimicrobial resistance. Moreover, gentamicin and enrofloxacin showed great antimicrobial activity against all isolated strains. These antibiotics are newer antimicrobial agents and are less commonly used for treatment of mastitis, resulting in higher efficacy of these drugs [37]. In addition, Serratia spp. [10] and P. mirabilis [51] can form biofilms, which can also decrease response to the antibiotic treatment.

As presented above, the use of antibiotics is not entirely satisfactory as it can lead to development of resistant strains of bacteria that can impact the therapy outcome.
ally, the antibiotics may require long-term treatment, which leads to significant economic impacts due to losses in milk production and costs of the antibiotic [52].

To address the concern related to bacterial resistance due to the uncontrolled use of antibiotics in dairy herds, research on alternative treatments is on the rise [53]. Alternative methods, such as EOs, have recently been gaining more attention, and are considered to be a safe, effective, and inexpensive option for the treatment of this disease [54,55].

In the present study, in vitro antibacterial activity of EOs derived from common and wild thyme, and oregano were investigated against isolated mastitis pathogens. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report regarding the antibacterial activity of EOs against *P. mirabilis* and *S. marcescens* mastitis-associated pathogens. The results of MIC and MBC values showed that selected EOs have antibacterial activity against bovine mastitis isolates. The results obtained in this study indicate that the common and wild thyme EO showed stronger antibacterial in comparison with oregano EO (*p* ≤ 0.05). High antibacterial activity can be related to the presence of higher amounts of compounds, such as carvacrol and thymol, with proven antimicrobial potential [26,56,57]. Kovacevic et al. [21] and Kovacevic et al. [22] observed, in an in vitro study, a strong antibacterial activity of wild thyme and oregano EOs against mastitis-associated pathogens. Velebit et al. [27] presented EO components, carvacrol and cinnamaldehyde, as effective natural antimicrobial agents against foodborne *P. mirabilis*.

However, in vitro activity does not ensure in vivo efficacy because the bovine mammary gland is a difficult target and the penetration of substances when administered parenterally or intramammary depends on the pharmacokinetic characteristics of the drug [46]. So far, our research is the first to combine *Serratia* and *Proteus* bovine mastitis with the antimicrobial potential of EOs, opening new possibilities for their utilization in the treatment and control of bovine mastitis.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Sampling Procedure

The experimental protocol was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management-Veterinary Directorate (9000-689/2, 06 July 2020). The study was conducted in December 2021 in dairy herd on a farm located in the Vojvodina district, Republic of Serbia. The herd size of the selected farm was 550 cows. A total of 81 Holstein Friesian lactating cows were selected for taking milk samples. The criteria for sampling was the presence of clinical or subclinical form of mastitis, without other health problems. Evaluation of clinical mastitis was performed by veterinarians on the farm, on the basis of clinical udder and milk examination. Clinical mastitis was assessed by clinical examination, while subclinical mastitis was confirmed by the California Mastitis Test using somatic cell count in the milk samples. Clinical symptoms of udder inflammation were swelling, pain, and redness, while changes in the first jets of milk were clots, color change, and density. All cows were hand-milked twice daily, in the morning and evening. Samples for bacteriological testing were taken during morning milking. The udder and teats were washed with water and the teats were disinfected with disinfectant Oxy-Foam® D (Ecolab Hygiene d.o.o., Serbia) and dried with a tissue paper; then, the tip of each teat was disinfected with 70% ethanol, after which milk samples were taken. Milk samples were taken with sterile gloves into sterile bottles. The first few streams of milk were discarded and approximately 10 mL of milk was collected into marked sterile tubes and stored in an ice container at 4 °C during transport to the Laboratory for Milk Hygiene at the Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Novi Sad. For bacteriological isolation, determination, and identification of mastitis pathogens, standard bacteriological diagnostic methods were used [21,22].

4.2. Essential Oils

EOs of common (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) and wild thyme (*Thymus serpyllum* L.), as well as oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.), all belonging to the Lamiaceae family, were evaluated in
present study. These EOs are commercially available on the Serbian market and produced in January 2022 by a certified manufacturer (Pharmanais d.o.o., Serbia). All plant raw materials were identified and voucher specimens (F12/2022, F13/2022, F14/2022) were kept at the Herbarium of drugs of the Pharmacognosy and phytotherapy laboratory, Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Novi Sad. EOs were obtained by manufacturer using the internal steam distillation technique (Cellkraft AB, Sweden) according to the certificate obtained from the manufacturer.

4.3. Essential Oils Chemical Analysis

Chemical composition of investigated EOs was carried out on HP-5MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm; film thickness, 0.25 µm) on Agilent 6890B GC-FID instrument coupled to Agilent 5977 MSD. All samples were injected in split mode (50:1) at an inlet temperature of 220 °C. The starting temperature of the oven was set at 60 °C and increased at a rate of 3 °C/min up to 246 °C. Helium was the carrier gas (1 mL/min) and the temperature of the MSD transfer line was set to 250 °C. Mass spectral data were collected in scan mode (m/z = 50–550). The identification of compounds present in the investigated EOs was performed using the NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) (v14) mass spectral database and comparison of relative retention indices (RT), as well as literature data [58].

4.4. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing of Mastitis-Associated Bacteria

Antibiotic susceptibility profile of selected bacterial isolates was assessed by in vitro disk diffusion (Kirby–Bauer) method on Mueller–Hinton agar (Oxoid) [59] using six commercially available antibiotic disks (Bioanalyse®-Ankara, Turkey) with the following disc potency: ampicillin (10 µg), streptomycin (10 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75 µg), enrofloxacin (5 µg), and ceftriaxone (30 µg). Each isolate was inoculated on nutrient broth and incubated aerobically overnight at 35 ± 1 °C. Bacterial suspension was vortexed and further diluted to the optical density of 0.5 McFarland standard (approximately 1.5 × 10⁸ CFU/mL). The inoculum was spread on the surface of Mueller–Hinton agar with a 10 µL calibrated microbiological loop in three directions in order to achieve confluent bacterial growth. Antibiotic discs were immediately placed on the surface of the agar plate with sterile forceps, and plates were incubated aerobically at 35 ± 1 °C for 18 ± 2 h. The diameters of the zones of inhibition were read from the back of the plate against a dark background illuminated with reflected light. Based on the susceptibility to antimicrobials, the bacteria were categorized into three groups: sensitive (S), intermediate (I), and resistant (R). The interpretation on susceptibility was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) [60,61].

4.5. The Determination of EOs’ Effectiveness against Mastitis-Associated Bacteria

To determine minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of EOs, broth microdilution assay was performed according to CLSI [62]. EOs were diluted in Muller–Hinton broth (Lab M, International Diagnostics Group Plc, Bury, Lancashire, UK) containing 0.5% Tween 80 (Polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate, HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India) for dissolving the EOs, as well as for their dilution to a concentration ranging from 1000 to 0.9 mg/mL. Twenty-microliter aliquots of each tested EO were placed into 96-well plates and aliquots of 160 µL of MHB were added to each well. Afterwards, 20 µL of standardized bacterial suspension was added into each well. The test was performed in a total volume of 200 µL with final EOs’ concentrations ranging from 100 to 0.09 mg/mL, while the final microbial concentration was 5 × 10⁵ CFU/mL. The same tests were performed simultaneously for growth control (MHB + test organism), negative control (MHB + solvent + test organism), and sterility control (MHB + test oil). The plates were incubated at 35 ± 1 °C for 18 ± 2 h. Resazurin solution (0.01%) (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) at a volume of 10 µL was added to each well after incubation. Wells without the color change (blue color of resazurin remained unchanged) after the incubation
period were scored as above the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) value. MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of antimicrobial agent that completely inhibits growth of the organism in the microdilution wells. To determine MBC, referring to the results of the MIC assay, the wells showing complete absence of growth were subcultured. Wells without bacterial growth were identified and 100 µL of the solutions from each well were inoculated in plate count agar plates (Lab M, International Diagnostics Group Plc, Bury, Lancashire, UK) and incubated at 35 ± 1 °C for 18 ± 2 h. MBC was defined as the lowest concentration of the EOs that did not show bacterial growth.

4.6. Data Analysis

The obtained data were processed by Microsoft Excel v2019 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) and Tibco Statistica v13.5 (Tibco, Palo Alto, CA, USA) software packages. The results were analyzed by univariate statistics, as well as by multivariate statistical methods (multiple correspondence analysis and principal components analysis) in order to determine the obtained dataset patterns of variability. Principal component analysis is a dimension reduction statistical technique that enables us to describe large (multidimensional) datasets with a lower number of newly formed summary indices called principal components. The obtained simplified model comes with reduced variability of the initial sample but enables better understanding of the samples’ variability structure. Multiple correspondence analysis can be described as a generalization of principle components analysis, in which the analyzed variables are categorical.

5. Conclusions

Insight into the prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of rarely explored environmental mastitis-associated pathogens *P. mirabilis* and *S. marcescens* in the specified geographical region could be important for future mastitis control program development. Furthermore, antimicrobial susceptibility of isolated pathogens highlights the need for the development of new therapeutic approaches in bovine mastitis treatment. Moreover, sensitivity of EOs from oregano, and common and wild thyme from *Lamiaceae* family to these pathogens imply that proposed EOs may be considered promising natural compounds that could be used to develop a safer and more effective formulation for the mitigation of mastitis. Hence, clinical research of EO-based pharmaceutical formulation in the control of clinical and subclinical forms of mastitis has to be the subject of our future research.
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