Detection of organic compound signatures in infra-red, limb emission spectra observed by the MIPAS-B2 balloon instrument
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Abstract. Organic compounds play a central role in troposphere chemistry and increasingly are a viable target for remote sensing observations. In this paper, infra-red spectral features of three organic compounds are investigated in thermal emission spectra recorded on a flight on 8 May 1998 near Aire sur l’Adour by a balloon-borne instrument, MIPAS-B2, operating at high spectral resolution. It is demonstrated, for the first time, that PAN and acetone can be detected in infra-red remote sensing spectra of the upper troposphere; detection results are presented at tangent altitudes of 10.4 km and 7.5 km (not acetone). In addition, the results provide the first observation of spectral features of formic acid in thermal emission, as opposed to solar occultation, and confirm that concentrations of this gas are measurable in the mid-latitude upper troposphere, given accurate spectroscopic data. For PAN, two bands are observed centred at 794 cm−1 and 1163 cm−1. For acetone and formic acid, one band has been detected for each so far with band centres at 1218 cm−1 and 1105 cm−1 respectively. Mixing ratios inferred at 10.4 km tangent altitude are 180 pptv and 530 pptv for PAN and acetone respectively, and 200 pptv for formic acid with HITRAN 2000 spectroscopy. Accuracies are on the order of 15 to 40%. The detection technique applied here is verified by examining weak but known signatures of CFC-12 and HCFC-22 in the same spectral regions as those of the organic compounds, with results confirming the quality of both the instrument and the radiative transfer model. The results suggest the possibility of global sensing of the organic compounds studied here which would be a major step forward in verifying and interpreting global tropospheric model calculations.

1 Introduction

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are a well recognised and important component of the chemistry of the troposphere, influencing both ozone and hydroxyl radical concentrations and their trends (Jacob, 2000). Hence there has been great interest in observation of these compounds using both in situ, and more recently, remote sensing techniques. We concentrate here on three organic molecules: peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), acetone and formic acid. Acetone is believed to be important in the upper troposphere as a source of hydroxyl radicals and hence to have implications for the mediation of ozone in low water vapour (<100 ppmv) conditions (Folkins and Chatfield, 2000). The photolysis of acetone leads to the production of PAN through reaction of the peroxyacetyl radical with NO2, thus linking VOC and nitrogen oxide (NOx) chemistry. The long lifetime of PAN at the cold temperatures of the upper troposphere (of the order of several weeks; Talukdar et al., 1995) can allow NOx to be sequestered and transported until it is released at lower and warmer altitudes, potentially resulting in ozone production in remote regions (e.g. Singh et al., 1981). Formic acid is noted to be one of the most abundant organic compounds in the troposphere but is expected to be highly variable due to its solubility (Chebbi and Carlier, 1996).

Recent aircraft campaigns to study upper tropospheric chemistry have revealed, using gas chromatography measurements, that acetone and PAN are ubiquitous in the mid- to upper troposphere with high concentrations reported in some regions of up to 4500 pptv for acetone and 660 pptv for PAN (e.g. Russo et al., 2003; Pöschl et al., 2001; Roberts et al., 2004). Observed upper tropospheric concentrations of formic acid range from as little as 10 pptv to nearly 600 pptv (Reiner et al., 1999). The variability of these compounds and the regional/temporal nature of enhancements in concentrations suggest strongly that more extensive and regular
measurements of VOC concentrations would provide considerable new information. In the 1990s, only the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (Burrows et al., 1999) was able to provide satellite-based, global measurements of such compounds, in the form of formaldehyde columns from visible wavelength observations. Since 2000, there has been a revolution in abilities to sense organic compounds with parallel studies of glyoxal (e.g. Wittrock et al., 2006) and methanol (Dufour et al., 2006) complementing the investigations of PAN, acetone and formic acid which are reported in this paper.

With the increasing use of infra-red spectrometers, particularly in satellite systems, the detection of organic compound signatures in observed atmospheric spectra, as for methanol (Dufour et al., 2006) can significantly increase measurement capability and would allow global mapping of such compounds. In this paper, we examine the signatures of PAN, acetone and formic acid in infra-red emission spectra recorded from a high spectral resolution, well calibrated and sensitive balloon instrument, the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding, MIPAS-B2. A particular challenge is that all three species are relatively weak absorbers of infrared radiation and in the case of acetone and PAN their signatures do not exhibit significant resolved rotational structure. No remote sensing detections of PAN and acetone in the upper troposphere, as opposed to the urban boundary layer, have previously been reported in the mid-infrared, perhaps also because of a previous lack of appropriate laboratory cross-sections at high spectral resolution and cold temperatures. For these gases, this work takes advantage of new laboratory measurements of the absorption cross-sections of PAN (Allen et al., 2005a,b) and acetone (Waterfall, 2003). For formic acid, Goldman et al. (1984) tentatively identified formic acid in balloon-borne solar occultation spectra and Shephard et al. (2003) and Rinsland et al. (2004) have recently reported that formic acid can be observed in ground-based solar absorption spectra. Here, we show that determination of formic acid is also possible in thermal emission, thus adding to the weight of evidence for the ability of mid-infrared instruments to measure formic acid.

2 The MIPAS-B2

The MIPAS-B2 instrument (Fischer and Oelhaf, 1996; Friedl-Vallon et al., 2004) is a cryogenically cooled (220–225 K), Fourier transform, infra-red spectrometer flown on stratospheric balloons at altitudes between 29 and 39 km. The spectrometer unit itself consists of a three-mirror off-axis telescope, a Double Pendulum Interferometer and a four-channel liquid-helium-cooled detector dewar. The detectors are arsenic doped, silicon blocked impurity band detectors (Si:As BIB). A two-sided interferogram is recorded with a maximum optical path difference of 14.5 cm, corresponding to the unapodised resolution (FWHM) of 0.04 cm⁻¹; after apodisation with the Norton-Beer strong function (Norton and Beer, 1976, 1977), this resolution decreases to approximately 0.07 cm⁻¹. The field of view (FOV) of the telescope gives a vertical field of view of 5.0 mrad, corresponding to approximately 3 km in the upper troposphere with a decreasing field of view at higher altitudes. The line of sight is controlled actively through the scan mirror based on a control loop using attitude information from an inertial navigation system aided by GPS and referenced with post-flight calibration from CCD images of the night sky; an accuracy of 0.3 mrad (40–190 m depending on tangent altitude) is achieved. The instrument lineshape and FOV have been characterised by laboratory measurements and also, in the case of the lineshape, through views of narrow atmospheric lines at high tangent altitudes as described by Friedl-Vallon et al. (1999).

The processing of the raw data to geo-located apodised spectra (Level 1B) is described in detail by Trieschmann (2000) and includes non-linearity and phase corrections, and apodisation prior to a Fourier transform of the resulting interferograms. Radiometric calibration is performed using instrumental gain and offset determined using the onboard blackbody reference source at instrument temperature and that of cold “space” recorded during the flight. Here “space” is defined as the spectrum recorded at a +20° elevation viewing angle; emission lines originating from molecules in the atmosphere above the balloon have to be removed from the high-resolution “space” spectrum to produce the required calibration curve. All spectra employed in this study were apodised with the Norton-Beer strong apodisation and interpolated to a sampling grid of 0.025 cm⁻¹ using zero-filling of the measured interferogram. The apodisation is particularly useful for detection of weak cross-sectional species, such as acetone and PAN, where it is not so critical to achieve the highest spectral resolution but rather it is necessary to reduce the impact of sidelobes of multiple strong interfering spectral lines on the regions between spectral lines. This allows for a cleaner detection of the wavenumber dependence of the target spectral signatures.

The principal atmospheric spectral data sets used in this study were recorded during flight campaign 6 (Friedl-Vallon et al., 1999) where the balloon was launched from Aire sur l’Adour in Southwest France on 8 May 1998 with the instrument obtaining limb spectra over the Western Mediterranean region. The spectra analysed were all measured between 02:17 to 03:17 UTC. The Mediterranean region provides a special interest for future studies of pollution since recent studies by Holzinger et al. (2005) and Lelieveld et al. (2002) have revealed that European pollution can concentrate there with enhanced organic concentrations observed (Holzinger et al., 2005).

Profiles of atmospheric limb spectra were recorded between tangent altitudes of 7.5 and 37.9 km in steps of approximately 3 km. For this study, which employed version 1
of the Flight 6 data, averaged spectra at each tangent altitude were employed together with a standard deviation calculated from the four to twenty individual spectra recorded at each step. Derivations of Noise Equivalent Spectral Radiance or NESR (Friedl-Vallon et al., 1999) from laboratory measurements, in-flight single scans of the internal blackbody and standard deviations of consecutive atmospheric calibrated spectra all yield similar results with typical NESR values for single spectra being 15 nW/(cm\(^2\) sr cm\(^{-1}\)) for channel 1 (685–970 cm\(^{-1}\)) and 4.0 nW/(cm\(^2\) sr cm\(^{-1}\)) for channel 2 (1020 to 1500 cm\(^{-1}\)). For the averaged spectra employed in this study, the NESR values for the upper troposphere observations can be reduced by a factor of two (averages of four spectra) i.e. to 7.5 and 2.0 nW/(cm\(^2\) sr cm\(^{-1}\)) for channels 1 and 2 respectively. Wavenumber shifts were corrected by fitting well-known narrow lines at higher tangent altitudes. Residual errors in frequency were found to be negligible (<0.0005 cm\(^{-1}\)).

3 Detection of atmospheric signatures of weakly absorbing molecules

A number of high spectral resolution (better than 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\)) instruments are currently in operation including ground-based instruments, balloon-borne instruments, aircraft-based and satellite instruments. For instruments of the MIPAS form, such as the MIPAS-B2 instrument described here and the MIPAS-E instrument on ENVISAT (Fischer and Oelhaf, 1996), the infra-red atmospheric emission spectrum observed in limb sounding reveals a rich information content with more than thirty molecules already known to contribute to observed spectra between 600 and 2400 cm\(^{-1}\) (16.67–4.17 µm respectively). The stronger signatures of atmospheric constituents in the mid-infrared have been well observed for some time and are therefore reasonably well understood. Furthermore, infra-red radiative transfer theory is sufficiently advanced that these strong signatures can be predicted using established spectroscopic parameters, such as the HITRAN database (Rothman et al., 2003, and references therein) and modelled very well for retrieval purposes, although this is not necessarily so where line mixing or line shape effects are important or in the case of weak lines.

For weaker, cross-sectional type signatures, detection and subsequent retrieval is more complicated because it depends on the ability to model strong lines from other compounds that may partially obscure the target signatures. It also depends on the instrument performance (noise levels quantified by the NESR and calibration of the spectra). Furthermore, the presence of unsuspected or unknown signatures may give rise to additional features in the observed atmospheric spectra. Confirmation of detection in measured spectra is therefore best demonstrated by a match with expected signals, including (where possible) the detection of multiple spectral bands for the species of interest, distinctive features or the characteristic shape of such bands. Hence, the detection requires good laboratory measurements of the spectroscopy of the gas or theoretical calculations of spectroscopy (e.g. Toon et al., 1986), particularly of band/line centre frequencies and relative line intensity/cross-section variations.

In this study, we detect the signatures of PAN, acetone and formic acid in turn, showing that multiple bands, and identifiable features (band shape and Q branches) can be distinguished remarkably well. We further verify our detection methodology, and the quality of the MIPAS-B2 spectra, by showing it is possible also to represent accurately well-known spectral signatures (HCFC-22 and CFC-12) within or close to the target spectral regions of the spectra for the three organic species considered.

3.1 Detection methodology

The features to be examined are relatively weak compared to the intensities of strong spectral lines of contaminants overlapping with the target features in each spectral region and in fact cannot be observed directly in measured atmospheric spectra; typically the peak strong line contributions are a factor of ten or more greater than expected PAN and acetone contributions. Therefore, in order to demonstrate spectroscopic detection via a visible spectral signature for the target gas, we seek to compare/match quantities (defined here as “residual spectra”) displaying the target features in both measured and simulated equivalents rather than simply minimize the residual (ideally zero plus noise) of the measurement and its simulation. A measured residual spectrum, \(\Delta Y\), is defined here as:

\[
\Delta Y(\tilde{\nu}) = R_Y(\tilde{\nu}) - R_{F-GAS}(\tilde{\nu})
\]

(1)

where \(\Delta Y\) is the measured residual spectrum as a function of wavenumber, \(\tilde{\nu}\), and \(R_Y\) and \(R_{F-GAS}\) are the measured and simulated spectra respectively. The \(R_{F-GAS}\) term describes a simulated spectrum with the target gas (GAS) excluded from the simulated atmosphere. A simulated residual spectrum, \(\Delta F\), is defined here as:

\[
\Delta F(\tilde{\nu}) = R_F(\tilde{\nu}) - R_{F-GAS}(\tilde{\nu})
\]

(2)

Hence we see that for a perfect measurement with no associated error and for a numerically perfect simulation with accurate spectroscopic reference data and prior knowledge of all other influencing gas concentrations, then \(\Delta Y\) is identical to \(\Delta F\). In practice, the ability of both \(\Delta Y\) and \(\Delta F\) to display the spectral shape of the target molecule depends on the strength of the target signature relative to the measurement noise (for \(\Delta Y\)) and the density and intensity of residual interfering features, particularly from strong spectral lines (for both \(\Delta Y\) and \(\Delta F\)). Even for \(\Delta F\), the appearance of residual spectral features of interfering gases is intrinsic since the presence of strong interfering gas lines affects the contribution of the target gas where the combination of these effects is non-linear, e.g. near the centre of saturating lines. In such cases, there
will be incomplete cancellation on wavenumber scales of the order of the widths of interfering gas lines in $\Delta F$ and hence residual structure. Errors in spectroscopic data and vertical profiles of gas concentration will enhance this residual interfering structure in $\Delta Y$.

In this study, $\Delta F$ was adjusted to match $\Delta Y$ by adjusting concentration profiles of the principal atmospheric gases (see Table 1) that contribute to the observed spectrum and adjusting aerosol extinction, temperature and pressure; minor gases were included in the simulation of the spectra but their concentration profiles were not iterated. The primary means of performing this process was iteration of the expected gas concentrations and aerosol extinctions from an initial, a priori state, using Jacobian perturbation spectra scaled to match the residuals in a manner analogous to a formal inversion process but employing visual inspection of the residuals and interactive adjustment of the scaling. The Jacobian spectra were calculated as the change in the model spectrum ($R_F$) for a 1% change in gas concentration at a specified altitude or a 1 K, 1% or $10^{-4}$ km$^{-1}$ change in temperature, pressure or aerosol respectively. The spectral baseline close to each target spectral feature was a particular indicator of the quality of the fit and minimised principally using aerosol extinction (assumed spectrally flat in the vicinity of the target spectral signatures); there is also a contribution from heavy molecules such as CFC-12 and HCFC-22 for which the band shape can be fitted (Sect. 4.4). At each iteration step, i.e. after adjustment of the expected profile values, a full re-calculation of the model spectrum and Jacobians was performed to ensure accuracy in the calculated residuals. The entire process was performed at tangent altitudes from 16.44 km to 7.5 km, fitting sequentially in an onion peeling type approach from higher to lower tangent altitudes; results are presented here only for altitudes where inferred concentrations were not so constrained by noise as to arise only from the initial a priori information. Mixing ratios were assumed to vary linearly between tangent altitudes.

3.1.1 The Oxford RFM

For the forward model, the Oxford Reference Forward Model (RFM) was employed in order to model the observed spectra measured by MIPAS-B2. The RFM is a line-by-line radiative transfer model, derived from the GenII model (Edwards, 1992), with the ability to simulate infra-red spectra given the instrument lineshape, field-of-view, spectroscopic parameters and atmospheric composition profiles (see http://www.atm.ox.ac.uk/RFM/ for further details). Where available, the RFM uses standard spectroscopic parameters for specified gases, in this case from the HITRAN 2000 reference database described by Rothman et al. (2003). For many other heavy cross-sectional molecules, where line parameters are not available, reference data for such molecules can be input as a special supplement to HITRAN in the form of the absorption cross-section per molecule as a function of wavenumber. For this study, cross-sectional data are taken from work by Allen et al. (2005a,b) for PAN and from Waterfall (2003) for acetone; the acetone measurements are in preparation for a paper to be submitted shortly following which data will be submitted to the HITRAN database and also made available on request to the authors.

3.1.2 Simulated conditions

For initial inputs to the RFM, we use a simulated atmosphere containing those gases listed in Table 1 expected to significantly contribute (above instrument noise), including a large range of known minor species, to calculate the atmospheric spectra in the spectral range of interest for our three compounds. This simulated atmosphere was compiled in part from profiles previously retrieved from flight 6 MIPAS-B2 spectra for pressure, temperature, O$_3$, and aerosol (Jay, 2000) and for H$_2$O, HNO$_3$, CFC-12, N$_2$O$_5$, N$_2$O, CH$_4$, CCl$_4$ and HCFC-22 (Wiegele, personal communication). Other minor species were taken from the MIPAS V3.1 reference atmospheres (Remedios, 1999) apart from some Freons (F113, F114, F115, F13 and CH$_3$Cl) which were developed as part of the radiative forcing study of Sihra et al. (2001), and HNO$_4$ which was extracted from a set of reference atmospheres compiled for use in the ACECHEM study (ACECHEM, 2001). Initial profiles for PAN and acetone originated from mid-latitude values for tropospheric model calculations (Hauglustaine et al., 1998). Initial values of formic acid were based on a simple profile representation with a constant mixing ratio of 200 pptv up to 12 km and a rapid decrease at higher altitudes.

4 Detection results

4.1 PAN

The PAN detection was performed using two PAN bands, one in each of two MIPAS-B2 channels. Each PAN band, one centred at 794 cm$^{-1}$ (the $\nu_{16}$ NO$_2$ stretch) and the other at 1163 cm$^{-1}$ (the $\nu_{10}$ C-O stretch), was fitted independently and the results compared subsequent to the fit. In all cases, the target gas, pressure, temperature, aerosol and the other principal gases in Table 1 were allowed to vary. Perturbations to initial values involved only small changes, for example, less than 10$^{-3}$ km$^{-1}$ in the simulated aerosol extinction profile. In both PAN bands, sharp residual spectral lines indicative of poorly fitted water vapour and/or ozone were reduced by iterating the comparison of $\Delta Y$ and $\Delta F$ although some strong residuals remained. Values for PAN concentrations obtained from the independent fits to the two bands were identical (less than 10 pptv difference) within the noise (see Sect. 5) and hence the same values (180 pptv and 260 pptv at 10.4 and 7.5 km altitude respectively) at each tangent altitude are used in the matched spectra in Figs. 1 to 4 to show the consistency of detection in the two bands.
Table 1. Contaminant gases used in forward modelling of the MIPAS-B2 spectra

| Window and Target Gas of Interest | Window 1*: PAN 750–850 cm⁻¹ | Window 2: PAN 1120–1330 cm⁻¹ | Window 3: Formic acid 1060–1150 cm⁻¹ | Window 4*: Acetone 1160–1260 cm⁻¹ |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Principal gases in addition to target gas | H₂O, CO₂, CCl₄, O₃, Aerosol | H₂O, CH₄, CO₂, N₂O, O₃, Aerosol, F12* | H₂O, CO₂, O₃, Aerosol, F12* | H₂O, CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, Aerosol, O₃ |
| Other contributing gases | C₂H₂, C₂H₆, COF₂, NH₃, NO₂, HNO₃, HNO₄, F11, F13, F22*, CH₃Cl, ClO, ClONO₂, OCS, acetone | COF₂, NH₃, SO₂, H₂O₂, HNO₃, F11, F13, F14, F15, F13, F14, F22, ClONO₂, N₂O₃, acetone | NH₃, CH₄, F115, F13, F22, N₂O, SO₂, F113, F114, PAN, F11, OCS | COF₂, SO₂, H₂O₂, HNO₃, F11, F12*, F14, F22, ClONO₂, N₂O₅, PAN, HNO₄, HNO₃ |

* N.B. In a separate verification test (Sect. 4.4), the F22 and F12 signatures were investigated in windows 1 and 4 respectively.

For the 794 cm⁻¹ band, the PAN feature was compared between ΔY and ΔF principally in the 785–805 cm⁻¹ region; the principal “baseline” regions were selected to be 750–770 cm⁻¹, 820–830 cm⁻¹ and 840–850 cm⁻¹. Two additional features that were checked closely in the fits were the CCl₄ peak contributions between 790 and 800 cm⁻¹ and also near 780 cm⁻¹, and the intensity and width of the temperature and pressure-sensitive CO₂ ν₂ Q-branch between 792 and 793 cm⁻¹. For CCl₄, the initial profile had to be scaled downwards, for example, from 118 pptv to 100 pptv at 10.4 km, a result which is very consistent with CCl₄ mixing ratios of 102 pptv reported for 1998 by Montzka et al. For the CO₂ branch, small adjustments of pressure and temperature improved the fit at this frequency but small residuals remained even with inclusion of line mixing. Refined retrieval schemes (as opposed to detection as shown here) could choose to exclude the intense CO₂ Q branch from the fit (see Sect. 5).

For the 1163 cm⁻¹ band, the PAN feature is more symmetric in information content so that the PAN spectral shape clearly emerges over a range of approximately 10 cm⁻¹ either side of 1163 cm⁻¹. Nonetheless, the region between 1155–1164 cm⁻¹ and the region near 1167 cm⁻¹ are particularly sensitive to PAN emissions. The baseline was fitted between 1120 and 1140 cm⁻¹ primarily, and some information is also available between 1180 and 1300 cm⁻¹ as can be seen from the acetone fits. As for the 794 cm⁻¹ band, residual water vapour lines are the chief problem but there is also some structure due to N₂O lines. The spectral noise is lower for the 1163 cm⁻¹ band which is useful although not a limiting factor in the detection of PAN.

For acetone only, the ν₁7 C-C stretch at 1216 cm⁻¹ is potentially observable according to radiative transfer simulations. The detection of acetone is one of the more difficult problems in analysing the Flight 6 MIPAS balloon spectra, because of the concentrations of acetone most likely sampled during this mid-latitude flight, and the relatively weak acetone absorption cross-section. The acetone spectral region suffers from competing spectral features due to a number of interfering gases leading to a heavily distorted spectral signature for acetone (compared to a pure band shape) in the residual spectra (ΔY, ΔF). In addition, the strength of the water vapour lines causes saturation of the higher wavenumber part of the spectral region. Figure 5 shows results from the MIPAS-B2 analysis at 10.4 km altitude; at 7.5 km, errors from water vapour...
Fig. 1. PAN detection, 794 cm\(^{-1}\) band, 10.4 km tangent altitude. A comparison of measured residual, \(\Delta Y\) (black), with simulated residual, \(\Delta F\) (red), for a measurement (channel 1) recorded during the MIPAS-B2 Flight 6. The simulated residual is shown for a PAN concentration of 180 pptv at this altitude.

Fig. 2. PAN detection, 794 cm\(^{-1}\) band, 7.5 km tangent altitude. A comparison of measured residual, \(\Delta Y\) (black), with simulated residual, \(\Delta F\) (red), for a measurement (channel 1) recorded during the MIPAS-B2 Flight 6. The simulated residual is shown for a PAN concentration of 260 pptv at this altitude.

Fig. 3. PAN detection, 1163 cm\(^{-1}\) band, 10.4 km tangent altitude. A comparison of measured residual, \(\Delta Y\) (black), with simulated residual, \(\Delta F\) (red), for a measurement (channel 2) recorded during the MIPAS-B2 Flight 6. The simulated residual is shown for a PAN concentration of 180 pptv at this altitude.

Fig. 4. PAN detection, 1163 cm\(^{-1}\) band, 7.5 km tangent altitude. A comparison of measured residual, \(\Delta Y\) (black), with simulated residual, \(\Delta F\) (red), for a measurement (channel 2) recorded during the MIPAS-B2 Flight 6. The simulated residual is shown for a PAN concentration of 260 pptv at this altitude.

The spectra of flight 6 are consistent with 530 pptv of acetone present at 10.4 km altitude. This inferred concentration is comparable to the latest model calculations for acetone (Arnold et al., 2005) which predict higher concentrations of acetone in the upper troposphere using new photolysis rates (Arnold et al., 2004; Blitz et al., 2004) than had been the case for earlier budget studies (Jacob et al., 2002). The value is also within the range of aircraft composite profiles shown, for example, in Horowitz et al. (2003) particularly those from the SONEX campaign.
Fig. 5. Acetone detection, 1220 cm\(^{-1}\) band, 10.4 km tangent altitude. A comparison of measured residual, \(\Delta Y\) (black), with simulated residual, \(\Delta F\) (red), for a measurement (channel 1) recorded during the MIPAS-B2 Flight 6. The simulated residual is shown for an acetone concentration of 530 pptv at this altitude.

Fig. 6. Formic acid detection, 1105 cm\(^{-1}\) band, 10.4 km tangent height. A comparison of measured residual, \(\Delta Y\) (black), with simulated residual, \(\Delta F\) (red), for a measurement (channel 2) recorded during the MIPAS-B2 Flight 6. The simulated residual is shown for a formic acid concentration of 200 pptv at this altitude.

4.3 Formic acid

For formic acid, forward model sensitivity calculations indicated that only one band, the \(\nu_6\) band centred at 1105 cm\(^{-1}\), is potentially observable. This band features an intense and distinctive Q branch which has been noted by Goldman et al. (1984) as being a characteristic of the appearance of a formic acid signature in atmospheric spectra. Fortunately, the atmospheric spectrum in the region of the \(\nu_6\) formic acid band is much less complicated than the corresponding regions for PAN and acetone since ozone is the only major trace species contributing to the region. Lines from H\(_2\)O and its isotope, HDO, as well as from CH\(_4\) are present in this Q branch spectral region and could affect the detection. However, at these altitudes in the mid-latitudes, their relative contribution is expected to be small. The essential parameters for the fit to the spectral region for formic acid therefore included formic acid, ozone and aerosol. The baseline was fitted for aerosol principally between 1060 and 1080 cm\(^{-1}\) since the spectral influence of PAN becomes important beyond 1145 cm\(^{-1}\) on the other side of the band. Results for the fit are shown in Fig. 6 for a tangent altitude of 10.4 km. The Q branch feature is clear and fits well with previous observations such as those reported by Shephard et al. (2003). It is also possible to distinguish the overall shapes of the P and R branches, although not their structures, especially given the confidence of successful matching of the signature of CFC-12 in channel 2. At 7.5 km, the formic acid Q branch remains a clearly identifiable feature but residual structure causes problems in interpreting the comparison in the P and R branches (Fig. 7), particularly in the baseline near 1130 cm\(^{-1}\) and ozone lines between 1060 and 1090 cm\(^{-1}\). The strongest evidence for the formic acid detection therefore comes from the spectra at 10.4 km tangent altitude.

The spectra of flight 6 are consistent with formic acid concentrations of 320 pptv at 7.5 km and 200 pptv at 10.4 km using HITRAN 2000 spectroscopy. Recent research (Vander Auwera, 2006) indicates that HITRAN 2000 absolute intensities could be low by nearly a factor of two which would lead to a corresponding decrease in the inferred formic acid to 50% of the quoted values; see Sect. 5 for further discussion. Aircraft measurements of formic acid concentrations vary even more strongly than those for PAN, ranging from 60 pptv to nearly 600 pptv (e.g. Singh et al., 2000; Reiner et al., 1999). Hence both the inferred values using HITRAN 2000 and a reduced set of values would fall within the range of previous observations. Standard chemical transport models of formic acid tend to underestimate severely aircraft observations, e.g. von Kuhlmann (2003), as for other oxygenates, so it is not possible to compare models with the observations made here. However, the model problems clearly indicate that global measurements of formic acid are highly desirable, as would potentially be obtainable from infra-red satellite measurements.

4.4 Verification of the detection methodology with well-known spectral features

It is possible to verify the fundamentals of the detection methodology employed above by investigating the appearance in the residual spectra of well-known spectral signatures. The infra-red bands of HCFC-22 and CFC-12 are ideal for this purpose since their concentrations at upper tropospheric altitudes are relatively well-known, each spectral band contains a sharp, strong Q branch which is readily identifiable, and the cross-sectional P and R branches provide a testable band shape over the appropriate range of signals. One such spectral feature was investigated in each of the
Fig. 7. Formic acid detection, 1105 cm$^{-1}$ band, 7.5 km tangent altitude. A comparison of measured residual, $\Delta Y$ (black), with simulated residual, $\Delta F$ (red), for a measurement (channel 2) recorded during the MIPAS-B2 Flight 6. The simulated residual is shown for a formic acid concentration of 320 pptv at this altitude.

Fig. 8. HCFC-22 detection, 809 cm$^{-1}$ band, 10.4 km tangent altitude. A comparison of measured residual, $\Delta Y$ (black), with simulated residual, $\Delta F$ (red), for a measurement (channel 1) recorded during the MIPAS-B2 Flight 6. The simulated residual is shown for a HCFC-22 concentration of 135 pptv at this altitude.

Fig. 9. CFC-12 detection, 1161 cm$^{-1}$ band, 10.4 km tangent altitude. A comparison of measured residual, $\Delta Y$ (black), with simulated residual, $\Delta F$ (red), for a measurement (channel 2) recorded during the MIPAS-B2 Flight 6. The simulated residual is shown for a CFC-12 concentration of 545 pptv at this altitude.

MIPAS-B2 channels: HCFC-22 for channel 1 and CFC-12 for channel 2. The purpose was to show that a comparison of $\Delta Y$ and $\Delta F$ did in fact reveal the requisite spectral signature for the well-mixed gases, as expected, at signal levels commensurate with the anticipated PAN, acetone and formic acid contributions to the spectra.

Figure 8 shows a comparison of $\Delta Y$ and $\Delta F$ computed with HCFC-22 as the target gas at 10.4 km tangent altitude, examining in particular the spectral region between 805 and 825 cm$^{-1}$ which contains the $\nu_7$ band centred at 809 cm$^{-1}$. The gases listed for window 1 in Table 1 were included in the calculations with PAN values assumed from the fits described in Sect. 4.1 although its contribution is small in the target region for HCFC-22. The plot is shown for an HCFC-22 concentration of 135 pptv which was not iterated since it immediately provided a very good fit of $\Delta F$ to $\Delta Y$. The Q branch near 809 cm$^{-1}$ is relatively strong, compared to the organic compound spectral features of interest here, but of greater relevance is the very good fit to the P and R branches which range in intensity from zero up to approximately 100 nW/(cm$^2$ sr cm$^{-1}$). The structure observed, particularly in the R branch, is due to intrinsic incomplete subtraction of stronger CO$_2$ gas lines. The quality of the fit in $\Delta Y$ and $\Delta F$ clearly lends confidence to the results for the detection of PAN as described in Sect. 5 below.

Figure 9 shows the result for a similar exercise using channel 2 spectra to detect the spectral signature of CFC-12 at the same tangent altitude of 10.4 km. The target signature is the $\nu_6$ band of CFC-12 (centred at 1161 cm$^{-1}$) between 1140 and 1180 cm$^{-1}$. The concentration employed in the comparison shown is 545 pptv which is in excellent agreement with the IPCC estimate of 533 pptv for 1998 (IPCC, 2001; Montzka et al., 1999). As for HCFC-22, the Q branch is reasonably intense with peak signals reaching over 500 nW/(cm$^2$ sr cm$^{-1}$) but the P and R branches are of weaker signal level. The agreement in all 3 branches, P, Q and R is excellent and very encouraging in terms of the ability to determine and fit weak signatures in MIPAS-B2 spectra, particularly those in channel 2 such as the signature due to acetone. The fits to the Q branches in both channels demonstrate that detection of Q branches, e.g. for formic acid, should be possible although dependent on the scale and proximity of residual line structure.
4.5 Summary of detection results

The results in this section have demonstrated the spectroscopic detection of PAN, acetone and formic acid in the MIPAS-B2 flight 6 spectra for the mid-latitude upper tropical region, as well as results for the mid-latitude upper troposphere. Further, it is interesting to consider some of the chief error sources in derived concentrations which relate to the detection and also indicate the feasibility of retrievals from MIPAS-type instruments in the future. The detection analysis of Sect. 4 in effect uses reduced spectral windows to infer concentrations of the target gas and the fit to an entire spectral region to improve the fit to features due to interfering species. In the error analysis here, a number of scenarios are presented which are illustrative of two theoretical contrasting cases and the detection case: (1) theoretical error arising from use of reduced spectral windows to fit the target gas and aerosol jointly but with interferences estimated from independent studies; (2) theoretical error arising from the use of one large spectral interval with joint retrieval of target gas, aerosol and the major interfering species; (3) a conservative estimate of error, appropriate to the detections in this paper, in which errors are estimated as in (1) with the reduced spectral windows but with smaller errors assigned to interfering species through the use of fitting elsewhere in the broad spectral region of case (2). The case (3) estimate is necessarily an approximation to the errors associated with the detection process as it must account for the fact that whilst the interferences are adjusted as part of the fit, residuals in the spectra indicate some remaining uncertainties in the parameter values above that expected from a theoretical joint retrieval. It is expected that refinements to retrieval schemes and optimisation for operational retrievals could in principle deliver improved performance over any of the three cases discussed here and this analysis identifies some of the geophysical factors which limit retrieval performance. Error estimates in this paper are therefore likely to be conservative compared to those that might be obtained for a fit to the entire vertical profile in one step; vertical profile correlations are not present in the error estimation since the elements are computed for one altitude alone. The random error is expressed for one altitude alone as:

\[ S_{x}^{\text{rand}}(z) = G(z)S_{Y}(z)G^{T}(z) \]  

where \( S_{x}^{\text{rand}} \) is the random error covariance of a retrieved profile, \( x \), at altitude \( z \), \( S_{Y} \) is the random noise covariance of the measured spectrum at \( z \), and \( G \) is a gain matrix associated with the detection process and evaluated at \( z \).

The corresponding systematic error at altitude \( z \) is:

\[ S_{x}^{\text{sys}}(z) = \Sigma G(z)S_{Y}^{i}(z)G^{T}(z) \]  

where:

\[ S_{Y}^{i}(z) = (\Delta Y_{\text{sys}}^{i}(z))^{T}(\Delta Y_{\text{sys}}^{i}(z)) \]  

and each of the \( \Delta Y_{\text{sys}}^{i} \) is a fully wavenumber-correlated error spectrum at the altitude \( z \) for the corresponding systematic error.

5 Chief error sources and implications

In addition to the identification of a spectral signature of target molecules, it is interesting to consider some of the chief error sources in derived concentrations which are relevant to the detection and also indicate the feasibility of retrievals from MIPAS-type instruments in the future. The detection analysis of Sect. 4 in effect uses reduced spectral windows to infer concentrations of the target gas and the fit to an entire spectral region to improve the fit to features due to interfering species. In the error analysis here, a number of scenarios are presented which are illustrative of two theoretical contrasting cases and the detection case: (1) theoretical error arising from use of reduced spectral windows to fit the target gas and aerosol jointly but with interferences estimated from independent studies; (2) theoretical error arising from the use of one large spectral interval with joint retrieval of target gas, aerosol and the major interfering species; (3) a conservative estimate of error, appropriate to the detections in this paper, in which errors are estimated as in (1) with the reduced spectral windows but with smaller errors assigned to interfering species through the use of fitting elsewhere in the broad spectral region of case (2). The case (3) estimate is necessarily an approximation to the errors associated with the detection process as it must account for the fact that whilst the interferences are adjusted as part of the fit, residuals in the spectra indicate some remaining uncertainties in the parameter values above that expected from a theoretical joint retrieval. It is expected that refinements to retrieval schemes and optimisation for operational retrievals could in principle deliver improved performance over any of the three cases discussed here and this analysis identifies some of the geophysical factors which limit retrieval performance. Error estimates in this paper are therefore likely to be conservative compared to those that might be obtained for a fit to the entire vertical profile in one step; vertical profile correlations are not present in the error estimation since the elements are computed for one altitude alone. The random error is expressed for one altitude alone as:

\[ S_{x}^{\text{rand}}(z) = G(z)S_{Y}(z)G^{T}(z) \]  

where \( S_{x}^{\text{rand}} \) is the random error covariance of a retrieved profile, \( x \), at altitude \( z \), \( S_{Y} \) is the random noise covariance of the measured spectrum at \( z \), and \( G \) is a gain matrix associated with the detection process and evaluated at \( z \).

The corresponding systematic error at altitude \( z \) is:

\[ S_{x}^{\text{sys}}(z) = \Sigma G(z)S_{Y}^{i}(z)G^{T}(z) \]  

where:

\[ S_{Y}^{i}(z) = (\Delta Y_{\text{sys}}^{i}(z))^{T}(\Delta Y_{\text{sys}}^{i}(z)) \]  

and each of the \( \Delta Y_{\text{sys}}^{i} \) is a fully wavenumber-correlated error spectrum at the altitude \( z \) for the corresponding systematic error.
error. Since the detection method incorporates an effective a priori profile through the initial guess, which in this method constrains both expected values and profile shapes, the gain matrix for detection is estimated formally using the optimal estimation approach (Rodgers, 2000):

$$G(z) = S_a(z)K^T(z)S_T(z) + K(z)S_a(z)K^T(z)^{-1}$$

where $S_a$ is the a priori covariance matrix at $z$ and $K$ is the Jacobian for the altitude of detection, $z$. i.e. $K(z) = \delta R_F(z)/\delta x$. In case (1) and case (3), the gain matrix and subsequent errors have been calculated for a joint retrieval of the target molecule and aerosol which were the major “retrieved” species in this study. The a priori error for each target gas retrieval was set to be 100% which is consistent with the fitting approach and the expected variations in derived target gas concentrations from initial to final concentrations for this flight. The a priori aerosol profile error was set to $10^{-2}$ km$^{-1}$ and is assumed to be retrieved jointly from the same spectral window as the target gas thus minimising errors due to spectral dependence of aerosol. In case (2) all the major interfering species are assumed to be retrieved simultaneously with the target gas and aerosol; a priori errors for the interfering gases are set to the estimated errors for these species from independent studies as employed in case (1) and described next.

Estimates of uncertainties in pressure, temperature and interfering species can be derived from a number of sources. Pressure and temperature errors have been taken to be 4% and 1 K with ozone uncertainties of 10% based on the study by Jay (2000). Water vapour errors have been conservatively set to 50% since the accuracy of water vapour retrievals tends to be lower in the upper troposphere; 10% is more typical for MIPAS-B total errors (one sigma) in the lower stratosphere for H$_2$O (Stoewasser et al., 1999) and for N$_2$O and CH$_4$ at all altitudes. Errors for CCl$_4$ and CFC-12 have been set to 5%. The CCl$_4$ values had to be adjusted by 18% in the fit but the result was consistent to better than 5% with Montzka et al. (1999), as noted in Sect. 4.1. Similarly the CFC-12 value agreed to better than 5% with Montzka et al. (1999), as noted in Sect. 4.4. An error of 5% is therefore considered reasonable for the mixing ratio errors assigned to these two gases. Uncertainties for other gases have been assessed from the MIPAS standard atmospheres (Remedios, 1999) which contain maximum, minimum and one sigma errors. Essentially their contributions are negligible compared to the specific errors already described.

For the detection process estimated in case (3), the errors due to these interfering sources are reduced to account for the adjustments of these parameters performed as part of the fitting process. What matters for this purpose is mostly that spectral features are fitted well in which case errors in parameters such as absolute intensity will be compensated by errors in determined concentrations and the net effect on target gas retrievals will be negligible; for pressure and temperature, however, there will also be an error through estimation of line-of-sight mass and Planck function. Based on the quality of the fits, pressure errors were estimated to be less than 1% from the CO$_2$ lines with temperature and CO$_2$ uncertainties remaining of the order of 1 K and 1% respectively, water vapour errors were estimated to be 20% with some evidence of difficulties in fitting the measured lines possibly due to non-Voigt lineshape behaviour (P. Bernath, personal communication), and errors for CH$_4$, N$_2$O and O$_3$ reduced to 5%. The CFC-12 and HCFC-22 features are fitted particularly well (Sect. 4.4) and a residual error of 2% is therefore considered reasonable. For CCl$_4$, the fit quality is linked with that for PAN and conservatively the CCl$_4$ error has not been reduced below 5%.

Spectroscopic errors are of the order of 10% for PAN and acetone. For formic acid, an intensity error of close to 15% is suggested by Perrin et al. (1999) accounting for uncertainties in dimer formation in the lab measurements. However, as noted in Sect. 4.3, recent investigations have suggested the possibility of a factor of two underestimation of absolute intensity in the HITRAN database (Vander Auwera, 2006). Hence, first of all it is to be noted in this study that formic acid concentrations are those derived with HITRAN 2000 spectroscopy. Secondly, it is important to note that the chief spectroscopic errors for all three gases are systematic and largely wavenumber independent. Therefore they do not profoundly affect the detection nor the percentage errors given in Tables 2 to 5. In the error calculations presented here, we therefore adopt a 15% uncertainty in formic acid spectroscopy for detection and future retrieval assessments but always note that the absolute intensity question needs to be resolved for the full potential absolute accuracy of formic acid mixing ratios to be achieved in the future.

For the fits shown in Figs. 1 to 4 respectively, most of the information used to deduce PAN was derived from a split PAN spectral window of 785−790 cm$^{-1}$ and 795−805 cm$^{-1}$ in window 1 and 1150−1170 cm$^{-1}$ in window 3 respectively; the corresponding broad spectral ranges were 775 to 810 cm$^{-1}$ and 1145 to 1180 cm$^{-1}$. Estimated error sources for the two PAN bands are shown in Table 2 and Table 3 for the 10.4 km tangent altitude case. For both PAN bands, as indeed for all the spectral signatures studied here, the temperature and pressure errors are important. The major difference between the sensitivity of the two individual band retrievals is the effect of interfering gases on the errors for the lower wavenumber band. The ability to retrieve water vapour in the upper troposphere or to accurately model water vapour effects is a limiting factor in utilisation of the 794 cm$^{-1}$ band. Knowledge of CCl$_4$ is also important. Retrievals from the 1163 cm$^{-1}$ band are limited by systematic errors. Overall, the utility of both bands is clearly demonstrated and the estimated errors close to 15% from each band support the detection evidence provided in Sect. 4.1. The prospects for good PAN retrievals from future balloon or globally from satellite remote sensing instruments seem excellent.
Table 2. Chief error sources for PAN at 10.4 km tangent altitude, 794 cm\(^{-1}\) PAN band, channel 1. Case (1): joint PAN/aerosol retrieval from 785 to 790 cm\(^{-1}\) and 795 to 805 cm\(^{-1}\), case (2): joint PAN/aerosol/interfering species retrieved from 775 to 810 cm\(^{-1}\), case (3): estimated error for detection methodology in this paper.

| Error source       | Case (1) error (%) | Case (2) error (%) | Case (3) error (%) |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Temperature (1 K, 1 K) | 4.7                | –                  | 4.7                |
| Pressure (4%, 1%)   | 21.3               | –                  | 5.3                |
| H\(_2\)O (50%, 20%) | 17.8               | –                  | 7.1                |
| CCl\(_4\) (5%, 5%)  | 6.7                | –                  | 6.7                |
| CO\(_2\) (1%, 1%)   | 0.2                | –                  | 0.2                |
| Spectroscopy (10%)  | 10.0               | 10.0               | 10.0               |
| Total systematic (RSS) | 30.6              | –                  | 15.7               |
| Random errors (noise) | 4.3                | 2.2                | 4.3                |
| Total accuracy      | 30.9               | 10.2               | 16.3               |

Table 3. Chief error sources for PAN at 10.4 km tangent altitude, 1163 cm\(^{-1}\) PAN band, channel 2. Case (1): joint PAN/aerosol retrieval from 1150 to 1170 cm\(^{-1}\), case (2): joint PAN/aerosol/interfering species retrieved from 1145 to 1180 cm\(^{-1}\), case (3): estimated error for detection methodology in this paper.

| Error source       | Case (1) error (%) | Case (2) error (%) | Case (3) error (%) |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Temperature (1 K, 1 K) | 6.5                | –                  | 6.5                |
| Pressure (4%, 1%)   | 13.5               | –                  | 3.4                |
| H\(_2\)O (50%, 20%) | 4.4                | –                  | 1.8                |
| N\(_2\)O (10%, 5%)  | 3.4                | –                  | 1.7                |
| CH\(_4\) (10%, 5%)  | 1.5                | –                  | 0.8                |
| CFC-12 (5%, 2%)     | 2.7                | –                  | 1.1                |
| Spectroscopy (10%)  | 10.0               | 10.0               | 10.0               |
| Total systematic (RSS) | 19.1              | –                  | 12.7               |
| Random errors (noise) | 4.0                | 2.2                | 4.0                |
| Total accuracy      | 19.5               | 10.2               | 13.3               |

Acetone errors were estimated using the reduced spectral range 1216 to 1220 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1221 to 1234 cm\(^{-1}\) and the broad spectral range from 1160 to 1260 cm\(^{-1}\). Acetone determination shows the greatest dependence on water vapour of all the organic species considered here and also depends considerably on the accuracy of N\(_2\)O data. These findings are consistent with the detection results in the previous section and indeed it is the acetone detection which proves to be most difficult at the lower tangent altitude of 7.5 km due to a rapid increase in errors due to H\(_2\)O. Retrievals of acetone in the tropics may well prove to be difficult. For the case considered here, it is clearly the systematic errors that dominate rather than random errors. For general mid-latitude retrievals, an accuracy of even 30% would still be very useful given the paucity of measurements of acetone.

A narrow spectral region of 1104.5 to 1106 cm\(^{-1}\) was used to investigate the formic acid retrieval based on the definitive detection and clarity of the Q branch and the considerable interference from other gases in both the P and R branches which can be seen in Figs. 6 and 7; the broad spectral range tested extended from 1060 to 1150 cm\(^{-1}\). Essentially temperature and pressure errors dominate the error budget and lead to considerable error in the retrieval. Hence this retrieval will place the most stringent requirements on knowledge of these two quantities. In addition, the spectroscopic error is important. If there are errors also in intensities, as discussed above, then it will be important for these to be resolved in order for absolute values of formic acid to be retrievable. In other respects, the error budget does not indicate particular problems with detection but rather with sufficient knowledge of input parameters for accurate retrievals.
Table 4. Chief error sources for acetone at 10.4 km tangent altitude, channel 2. Case (1): joint acetone/aerosol retrieval from 1216 to 1220 cm$^{-1}$ and 1221 to 1234 cm$^{-1}$, case (2): joint acetone/aerosol/interfering species retrieved from 1160 to 1260 cm$^{-1}$, case (3): estimated error for detection methodology in this paper.

| Error source    | Case (1) error (%) | Case (2) error (%) | Case (3) error (%) |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Temperature (1 K, 1 K) | 3.0                | –                  | 3.0                |
| Pressure (4%, 1%)  | 9.3                | –                  | 2.3                |
| H$_2$O (50%, 20%) | 24.2               | –                  | 9.7                |
| N$_2$O (10%, 5%)  | 8.7                | –                  | 4.4                |
| CH$_4$ (10%, 5%)  | 2.4                | –                  | 1.2                |
| CO$_2$ (1%, 1%)   | 0.2                | –                  | 0.2                |
| Spectroscopy (10%)| 10.0               | 10.0               | 10.0               |
| Total systematic (RSS) | 29.4            | –                  | 15.1               |
| Random errors (noise) | 3.1              | 2.1                | 3.1                |
| Total accuracy    | 29.6               | 10.2               | 15.4               |

Table 5. Chief error sources for formic acid at 10.4 km tangent altitude, channel 2, assuming HITRAN 2000 spectroscopy and minimum spectroscopic error. Case (1): joint formic acid/aerosol retrieval from 1104.5 to 1106 cm$^{-1}$, case (2): joint formic acid/aerosol/interfering species retrieved from 1060 to 1150 cm$^{-1}$, case (3): estimated error for detection methodology in this paper.

| Error source    | Case (1) error (%) | Case (2) error (%) | Case (3) error (%) |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Temperature (1 K, 1 K) | 32.8              | –                  | 32.8               |
| Pressure (4%, 1%)  | 26.2               | –                  | 6.6                |
| H$_2$O (50%, 20%) | 2.2                | –                  | 0.9                |
| O$_3$ (10%, 5%)   | 1.2                | –                  | 0.6                |
| CFC-12 (5%, 2%)   | 0.9                | –                  | 0.4                |
| Spectroscopy (15%)| 15.0               | 15.0               | 15.0               |
| Total systematic (RSS) | 44.7             | –                  | 36.7               |
| Random errors (noise) | 2.3              | 0.8                | 2.3                |
| Total accuracy    | 44.8               | 15.0               | 36.8               |

6 Conclusions

The MIPAS-B2, which is a high quality, high spectral resolution, infra-red interferometer, has provided an excellent opportunity to look for the spectral signatures of trace organic species in observations of emission from the upper troposphere. The signatures of PAN and acetone have been observed for the first time, using the data from flight 6, and their presence confirmed by detection of spectral shapes of the target molecular bands and detection of known spectral signatures (CFC-12 and HCFC-22). The chief error sources in retrieval of their concentrations have been estimated. The evidence for the detection of PAN has been further strengthened by the identification of two spectral bands of PAN which are both very well fitted by the same value for the PAN concentration; individual fits to the PAN bands were identical to within 10 pptv which is within the random errors associated with the retrievals. A signature of formic acid has also been determined by the detection methodology of this study, providing the first observation of its signature in thermal emission as opposed to solar occultation, with observation of all three branches of formic acid, i.e. the intense Q branch and the less strong P and R branches. However, the known structure to the P and R branches cannot be definitively observed in the fitted spectra.

The detection methodology also provides an inferred concentration for the trace gases. The chief geophysical error sources in the deduced target gas concentrations have been investigated and these are helpful in indicating the likely accuracy of retrievals in the mid-latitudes from thermal emission spectrometers. They also show which atmospheric variables need to be well constrained in order for an optimised retrieval to be obtained. It is shown that retrievals in the 1163 cm$^{-1}$ band of PAN are likely to have lower systematic errors than in the 794 cm$^{-1}$ band. Temperature, pressure, water vapour and CCl$_4$ are the most important sources of error.
Similarly for acetone, water vapour is the most critical parameter. Hence whilst accuracies of 30% or better can be obtained in mid-latitudes, even when water vapour knowledge is not particularly good (50% uncertainty), the requirement for higher accuracy water vapour knowledge increases as the water vapour amount increases at lower altitudes and towards the tropics. For formic acid, the Q branch was investigated since this is the clearest feature present in the spectra observed in this flight. Accuracy was limited particularly by temperature knowledge to just under 40% for our detection method. Retrievals of formic acid are therefore likely to be particularly demanding. In addition, the values for formic acid were inferred using the HITRAN 2000 spectroscopic database. There is considerable uncertainty in the absolute values of intensity for this band of formic acid and these will also have to be resolved for absolute values for formic acid concentrations to be interpreted. These uncertainties are systematic and so global retrievals of formic acid indicating relative variations will still be invaluable given the inability of atmospheric chemistry models to capture formic acid concentrations and variations.

With detection of the spectral signatures of these organic compounds, it is clear that Fourier transform spectrometers could deliver considerable information on upper tropospheric concentrations of PAN, acetone and formic acid in many regions of the world. Candidate space instruments for further analysis include the MIPAS on ENVISAT (MIPAS-E) and the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) on SCISAT (Bernath et al., 2005); the latter is solar occultation rather than limb emission so it has the same wavelength information but not the latitudinal coverage on a particular day. Our initial results (e.g. Allen, 2005c) indicate that sensing of our target compounds with MIPAS-E is feasible. In a further paper to be submitted, we will characterise the ability of the MIPAS-E satellite instrument to measure PAN in particular. The potential for transforming our knowledge of these compounds from observations should be exploited and should provide a very good basis on which to test global models of the upper troposphere.
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