Methane and Nitrous Oxide Production From Agricultural Peat Soils in Relation to Drainage Level and Abiotic and Biotic Factors
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Greenhouse gas emissions from drained agricultural peatlands contribute significantly to global warming. In a laboratory study using intact cores of peat soil from eight different sites in Sweden, factors controlling the emission of the greenhouse gases nitrous oxide (N₂O) and methane (CH₄) were examined. Soil properties, and the abundance of the total microbial community (16S rRNA gene abundance), and genes encoding for functions controlling N₂O emissions (bacterial and archaeal amoA, nirS, nirK, nosZ_I, and nosZ_II) were analyzed and compared against measured greenhouse gas emissions. Emissions were measured at different drainage levels, i.e., higher soil water suction values, since drainage is an important factor controlling greenhouse gas emissions from peat soils. The results showed that N₂O and CH₄ emissions were generally low, except for N₂O emissions at near water-saturated conditions, for which three soils displayed high values and large variations in fluxes. Relationships between N₂O emissions and soil properties were mainly linked to soil pH, with higher emissions at lower pH. However, specific assemblages of nitrogen cycling guilds that included nosZ_II, typically present in non-denitrifying N₂O reducers, were detected in soils with low N₂O emissions. Overall, these results indicate that both pH and biotic controls determine net N₂O fluxes.
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INTRODUCTION

Emissions of the greenhouse gases carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (N₂O), and methane (CH₄) to the atmosphere have resulted in global warming, while N₂O is also involved in destruction of stratospheric ozone (Conrad, 1996). Soils world-wide play an important role in these emissions, with drained agricultural peat soils in particular emitting substantial amounts of CO₂ and N₂O (Taft et al., 2017). In the 19th century, large peatland areas in Sweden were drained for agricultural purposes, in order to produce food for a growing population. Today, many of these drained peat soils have been abandoned or are under forestry, but the remaining agricultural peat soils contribute 6–8% of total annual anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions in Sweden (Berglund and Berglund, 2010).

The CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emitted from soils mainly originate from microbial processes. Emissions of CO₂ from drained peat soils occur when the aerated topmost peat layer decomposes,
whereas CH\(_4\) can be produced in the deeper, water-filled layer by methanogens and potentially oxidized in the aerated upper layer by methane-oxidizing bacteria. Nitrous oxide can be produced during the first step of nitrification, oxidation of ammonia (NH\(_3\)) to nitrite (NO\(_2^-\)), which is performed by ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) or ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) (Supplementary Activity 1). Nitrous oxide is also produced by microbial activity during the denitrification process when conditions in the soil are anoxic. Denitrification reduces nitrate (NO\(_3^-\)) to N\(_2\)O or dinitrogen (N\(_2\)) in a stepwise process, in the latter case with N\(_2\)O as an intermediate. There are also non-denitrifying N\(_2\)O reducers in soil (Jones et al., 2013). The relationship between N\(_2\)O-producing and N\(_2\)O-consuming communities regulates net emissions of N\(_2\)O from the soil (Philippot et al., 2011; Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2016). Drainage and groundwater level have an impact on relative emissions of CO\(_2\), N\(_2\)O, and CH\(_4\). For agricultural peatlands, groundwater level is the most important factor regulating emissions of greenhouse gases (Renger et al., 2002; Beyer and Höper, 2015; Regina et al., 2015). Changes in soil moisture due to drying or wetting can influence the availability of dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen species, and therefore alter the microbial or wetting can influence the availability of dissolved organic (Regina et al., 2015). Changes in soil moisture due to drying or wetting can influence the availability of dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen species, and therefore alter the microbial community composition and N\(_2\)O emissions (Banerjee et al., 2016). Furthermore, in field conditions N\(_2\)O emissions are affected by, e.g., freeze-thaw cycles (Wagner-Riddle et al., 2017), rain events (Kandel et al., 2013), and nitrogen (N) application rates (Bouwman et al., 2002). The presence of living plants can increase both N\(_2\)O and CH\(_4\) emissions, as rhizodeposition is an easily available carbon source (Kuzjakov, 2002). The magnitude of these fluxes are controlled by the gas diffusivity in the soil, which is mainly affected by soil bulk density and water-filled pore space (Smith et al., 2018). However, Taft et al. (2018) showed that total greenhouse gas emissions decline when the groundwater level is at the soil surface, but this is not an option in all agricultural fields, where an optimum groundwater level needs to be found to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions while maintaining traditional crop production (Klove et al., 2017).

The aim of this study was to identify soil factors controlling emissions of N\(_2\)O and CH\(_4\) from drained and cultivated peat soils at different groundwater levels, and since N\(_2\)O is more important for drained soils further examine the microbial community properties, i.e., abundances if the total bacterial community and genes encoding functions controlling N\(_2\)O emissions. To obtain controlled conditions, this was done as a laboratory study using intact cores of peat soil from eight sites in southern Sweden, selected to represent a wide range of drained and cultivated peat soils. Soil properties and gene abundances were analyzed in order to determine general and site-specific responses of N\(_2\)O and CH\(_4\) emissions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Soil Sampling and Experimental Set-Up**

In autumn 2011, soil samples were collected at eight different agricultural sites on drained peat soil in southern Sweden (Supplementary Figure 2). Topsoil was sampled at all sites (soils 1–8) and subsoil was sampled at four sites (soils 5–8). All fields except that where soil 8 was sampled were on active farms, with crop production (soils 2 and 4) or combined crop-dairy production (soils 1, 3, 5–7). Soil 8 was taken at a site that was once a dairy farm, but had been abandoned for several years. Three of the farms had vegetables and potatoes in their crop rotation (soils 2, 3, 4). The same eight topsoil and four subsoil samples were used in our previous study Norberg et al. (2018), where they were numbered differently (numbers in brackets); 1–3 (1–3), 4 (5), 5–8 (6–9).

Detailed descriptions of field soil sampling and of the experimental set-up can be found in Norberg et al. (2018). In brief, intact soil cores were sampled in steel cylinders (Ø 7.2 cm, height 10 cm), at approximately 5–15 cm depth for topsoil samples and 20–50 cm depth for subsoil samples. Replicate soil cores to be used in soil analyses and greenhouse gas emissions measurements were taken within a small area (<1 m\(^2\)). Upon extraction, the cylinders were sealed at both ends with plastic lids and stored in wooden boxes in a cold store (5°C) until the experiment started.

At the start of the experiment, intact soil cores in their cylinders were assigned to plastic boxes (50 cm × 80 cm). Each box contained one sample from each of the 12 soils, with a total of 84 samples distributed across seven boxes. All boxes were treated similarly and were assumed independent in the statistical analysis. The boxes were brought into the experiment one at a time. Before the start of measurements, the caps on the cylinders were removed and the 12 soil samples in the box were kept at room temperature (20°C) for 2 days and then soaked in tap-water for 3 days, until water-saturated. The 12 samples were then placed on a suction sand bed (Romano et al., 2002) for successive adjustment to one of three soil water suction heads: near water-saturated, 0.5 and 1.0 m water column (~5 and 10 kPa), corresponding to a groundwater level in field conditions of 0.05, 0.5, and 1.0 m below the soil surface, respectively. At all conditions the soil samples were weighed for water content calculations and then greenhouse gas emissions were measured.

When all gas emissions measurements had been completed, the soil cores in three of the seven boxes were divided into two sub-samples, one frozen at −18°C and one refrigerated at +5°C, to be used for subsequent analyses. Soil cores from the four remaining boxes were dried at 105°C for 72 h and weighed for dry weight-based emissions calculations. The mean dry weight (d.w.) of soil samples in these boxes was used for the corresponding soil samples in the other boxes.

**N\(_2\)O and CH\(_4\) Emissions Measurements**

Emissions of N\(_2\)O and CH\(_4\) were measured using a similar approach to that used for determination of CO\(_2\) emissions in Norberg et al. (2018). Each soil sample cylinder was placed in a polypropylene jar (Ø 11 cm, height 12 cm) with air-tight screw lids equipped with two injection needles (Ø 0.8 mm, 40 mm long). The jars had thick walls (~1.5 mm) and potential gas leakage was considered negligible. Gas was sampled by connecting plastic tubing to the injection needles in the lid and circulating the air in the closed jar for 30 s in a 22-ml vial sealed with a rubber septum. During this time, the air in the vial was exchanged seven
the greenhouse gas emissions experiment were used for analysis according to von Post (1922). The frozen soil samples from Humification degree (H1–H10) of the peat soils was determined of N\text{O}, and CH\text{4} were used for different analyses within 30 days of completion of the gas measurements. Total nitrogen (tot-N), total carbon (tot-C), and carbonate carbon (carb-C) content were determined by dry combustion on a TRAACS 800 AutoAnalyzer (Bran & Luebbe, Germany). The refrigerated soil samples were used for different analyses with a soil-solution ratio of 1:5 with deionized water. Organic matter content (loss on ignition) was measured by dry combustion at 550°C for 24 h, after pre-drying at 105°C for 24 h. Water-extractable organic carbon (WEOC), here presented as total WEOC, was determined by a modified version of the method of Ghani et al. (2003), as described in detail in Norberg et al. (2018). The results were presented as mg WEOC g\text{−1} tot-C in the soil.

Soil Chemical Analysis
Humification degree (H1–H10) of the peat soils was determined according to von Post (1922). The frozen soil samples from the greenhouse gas emissions experiment were used for analysis of mineral nitrogen [nitrate (NO\text{3}−) and ammonium (NH\text{4}+) on a TRAACS 800 AutoAnalyzer (Bran & Luebbe, Germany). The refrigerated soil samples were used for different analyses within 30 days of completion of the gas measurements. Total nitrogen (tot-N), total carbon (tot-C), and carbonate carbon (carb-C) content were determined by dry combustion on a LECO CN-2000 analyzer (St. Joseph, MI, United States). Soil pH was measured at a soil-solution ratio of 1:5 with deionized water. Organic matter content (loss on ignition) was measured by dry combustion at 550°C for 24 h, after pre-drying at 105°C for 24 h. Water-extractable organic carbon (WEOC), here presented as total WEOC, was determined by a modified version of the method of Ghani et al. (2003), as described in detail in Norberg et al. (2018). The results were presented as mg WEOC g\text{−1} tot-C in the soil.

Soil Microbiological Sampling and Analysis
Samples for microbiological analyses were taken at a soil water suction head of 0.5 m water column from the soil cylinders in the three boxes used for soil analysis. A small soil drill with inner diameter 3 mm was used to obtain soil cores, with the drill was inserted about 3.5 cm into the soil. The upper 0.5 cm of the core was removed and the remaining 3.0 cm part was placed in a 2 mL microcentrifuge tube and kept frozen until analysis. The drill was disinfected twice between every sample.

DNA was extracted from the soil plug (40–200 mg soil) using the FastDNA Spin kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extract concentrations were determined with the Qubit system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) and the samples were diluted to 1 ng DNA \mu L\text{−1}. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was used to determine abundances of specific genes, which in turn were used as proxies for the size of microbial communities harboring those genes. For the abundance of the total bacterial community, the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was quantified (Muyzer et al., 1993). For ammonia oxidizers, the archaeal (Tourn et al., 2008) and bacterial (Rotthauwe et al., 1997) amoA genes were quantified, while for denitrifiers, the nitrite reductases encoded by nirS (Throbäck et al., 2004) or nirK (Henry et al., 2004) genes were quantified. For N\text{2}O reducers, the genes nosZI (Henry et al., 2006) and nosZII (Jones et al., 2013) coding for nitrous oxide reductases were quantified. The quantifications were performed using gene-specific primers as described in Hellman et al. (2019), with bovine serum albumin (BSA) in all reactions and 10 ng of DNA per reaction for amoA genes and 2 ng of DNA for nir and nos genes. Cycling protocols and primer concentrations used are described in Supplementary Table 1.

Calculations and Statistics
Normality was tested with a Ryan-Joiner test. Emissions data on N\text{2}O, CH\text{4}, and CO\text{2} data from Norberg et al., 2018 did not meet the normality requirement and were log\text{10} transformed before statistical analysis. Because of negative data values (consumption of gas), a constant (the smallest possible integer) was added to get a positive value before transformation. Gene abundance data were log\text{10} transformed before statistical analysis to meet the normality requirement. In calculations with gene data per g dw, dry weight data from a soil water suction of 0.5 m were used.

Differences between means of soil properties, greenhouse gas emissions at different suction heads, and gene abundances were tested with one-way ANOVA. When significant effects (p < 0.05) were found, Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD) test was used to compare mean values. When only two variables were tested, a students' t-test was used. Relationships between soil properties and greenhouse gas emissions were tested with linear and non-linear regression models (p < 0.05) for data from boxes I, II, and IV. These statistical analyses were carried out using Minitab (Minitab Inc., version 18.1).

To explore the structure of the nitrogen reducing assemblages, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using ratios between abundances of each functional gene and the 16S rRNA gene. Soil chemical and physical data were correlated to the ordination using the function envfit in the R package vegan (Oksanen et al., 2018) and vectors representing significant (p < 0.05) factors were included in the ordination. Metadata used for overlaying vectors were the variables in Table 1 (excluding humification degree), tot-N, air-filled pore space (AFPS) at the three soil water suction heads, pore volume (square root-transformed), and fluxes of N\text{2}O, CH\text{4}, and CO\text{2} (tot-N, AFPS, pore volume, bulk density, and CO\text{2} data from Norberg et al., 2018, gas data transformed as described). Permutation MANOVA (PERMANOVA, 999 permutations) on a Bray dissimilarity matrix based on the gene ratios was used to evaluate differences in overall nitrogen cycling assemblages between soils, using the function adonis in R package vegan. The multivariate analyses were performed in R, version 3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2016).

RESULTS
Soil Characteristics
Topsoil content of tot-C ranged from 26 to 43% and the tot-N content from 1.6 to 3.2%. Soils 3, 4, and 6 had a higher carb-C content (2.9–5.3%) than the other soils (0.2–0.5%). Therefore,
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was found between topsoil and subsoil (p < 0.05).

Greenhouse Gases, Drainage, and Soil Properties

The N2O emissions from the soil cores were significantly higher (p < 0.05) at near water-saturated conditions than at the two drainage steps, which had similar N2O emissions (Figures 1A–C). At near water-saturated conditions, the highest N2O emissions were recorded from soils 1, 2, and 8, but with a wide range, while for the other soils the N2O emissions were lower and the range was smaller (Figure 1A). At a suction head of 0.5 and 1.0 m water column, the variation between the soils was small and soil 5 displayed the highest N2O emissions.

Similarly to the N2O fluxes, the CH4 fluxes were significantly higher under near water-saturated conditions than at the two drainage steps, which had similar CH4 fluxes (Figures 1D–F). In general, soils 5 and 7 displayed a larger range of CH4 fluxes, with more high values, and soil 8 showed negative values at all suction heads. The N2O, CH4, and CO2 fluxes (CO2 data from Norberg et al., 2018) showed no relationship at any of the three soil water suction heads tested (p > 0.05).

Subsoil samples had lower N2O emissions than topsoil samples at a soil water suction head of 0.5 and 1.0 m water column (p < 0.05), but no difference at near water-saturated conditions (Figure 2). However, subsoil samples had lower emissions of CH4 than topsoil samples at near water-saturated conditions and at a soil water suction head of 0.5 m, but not at 1.0 m water column (Figure 2). At near water-saturated conditions, N2O fluxes from soils 5 and 7 displayed a larger range of CH4 fluxes (CO2 data from Norberg et al., 2018) showed no relationship at any of the three soil water suction heads tested (p > 0.05).

Abundances of Bacterial Communities and Functional Groups at 0.5 m Water Column

The total bacterial community size, i.e., abundance of 16S rRNA gene copies g−1 dw soil, differed between the topsoils (p < 0.05) (Figure 3A). Soil 3 had a lower abundance than most other soils, while soils 6–8 displayed the highest abundances. The abundance of AOB communities reflected the pattern observed for the total community (Figure 3B). In contrast, the AOA could only be properly quantified in soils 2, 4, 5, and 6 and displayed low abundances, 1.7 × 107–5.1 × 108 copies g−1 dw soil. Nevertheless, soil 6 had significantly higher AOA abundance
than soils 2, 4, and 5 \( (p < 0.05) \). Because AOA were not detected in half of the soil samples, they were excluded from other statistical analyses.

Across samples, nirS was more abundant than nirK \( (p < 0.05) \) and the variation between soils was higher (Figures 3C,D). The abundance of nosZI was higher than that of nosZII across the soils \( (p < 0.05) \), with the highest in soil 7 and 6, respectively. The abundance was lowest in soils 3 and 4 for nosZI and in soil 3 for nosZII (Figures 3E,F). All soils had \( \Sigma \)nos/\( \Sigma \)nir ratio <1 (range 0.04–0.22), indicating genetic potential for net production of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) (Supplementary Table 2). The lowest ratio was found in soil 6 and the highest in soil 8 \( (p < 0.05) \), while for the other soils there were no significant differences. No relationship was found between \( \text{NH}_4\text{+} \) content and C/N ratio were the strongest drivers shaping the guild assemblages in the topsoils, but soil pH was also important. The relative abundance of nirS-type denitrifiers coincided with AOB and nosZII \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) reducers, resulting in soils 4 and 6, which were dominated by these assemblages, having lower \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions than the other soils at near water saturation and at a suction head of 0.5 m water column (Figures 1, 4). The relative abundance of nirK-type denitrifiers and nosZI co-varied, with soils 5 and 7 and some of the replicates of soils 1 and 8 having higher emissions (depending on drainage level) (Figures 1, 4). The wide range of soil characteristics exhibited by soils 5–7, which originated from the same farm and were collected within 1 km\(^2\) (Table 1), was reflected in the nitrogen cycling guild assemblages (Figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

**Impact of Drainage on \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) Emissions**

Overall, in this laboratory study \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions from the peat soil samples were higher and more variable at near water-saturated conditions than under more aerated soil conditions, indicating that a fluctuating water level at near saturated soil conditions triggers \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions. Similarly, a previous field study on agricultural peat soil reported that \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions increased after several days of rainfall and that a decline in \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions could be seen after drainage of the topsoil, due to lowering of the groundwater level (Taghizadeh-Toosi et al., 2019). Those authors concluded that a stable groundwater level could potentially...
control $N_2O$ emissions from soil. In laboratory studies on peat soil columns, pulses of $N_2O$ emissions have been recorded during draining and wetting events (Dinsmore et al., 2009; Taft et al., 2018). In field studies, episodic $N_2O$ fluxes correlated with rain events have been reported (Maljanen et al., 2004; Elder and Lal, 2008; Kandel et al., 2013). Tiemeyer et al. (2016) suggested that this may be due to $N_2O$ production by nitrification rather than denitrification. This was confirmed by Liimatainen et al. (2018), who concluded that nitrification is the main process for $N_2O$ production in peat soils. However, others have recorded reduced $N_2O$ emissions when the groundwater level is at the soil surface, but elevated emissions when the groundwater level is lowered (Regina et al., 1999; van Beek et al., 2011; Taft et al., 2018). Optimal drainage levels for reduced $N_2O$ emissions have been discussed in several studies and a suction head of 0.1–0.5 m is suggested (Regina et al., 2015; Susilawati et al., 2016; Taft et al., 2018; Wen et al., 2020). However, lowering of the groundwater level as an agricultural mitigation option for $N_2O$ emissions will instead increase soil CO$_2$ emissions (Norberg et al., 2018). Likely, an optimal drainage level is soil-dependent, due to local abiotic or biotic factors, as is the case in our study. Here, soils 1, 2, and 8 appears to be more likely to emit large amounts of $N_2O$ in near water-saturated conditions while soils 5 and 7 shows higher probability of CH$_4$ production in more aerated conditions than the other soils. In the present laboratory study, the greenhouse gas emissions measurements probably display higher fluxes than would be the case under field conditions. As was concluded by Norberg et al. (2018), the moisture of the topsoil in the soil cores never reached levels as low as it can be under field conditions and the constant temperature of 20°C in the laboratory was
Relationship Between Soil Properties, Microbial Abundances, and $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ Release

There was a correlation between increasing $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emissions and decreasing pH of the soil cores tested in this study, as also found in some previous studies (Weslien et al., 2009; Andert et al., 2012; Norberg et al., 2016). However, this is not always the case (Maljanen et al., 2010; Taft et al., 2017). Correlation analysis in the present study showed that $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emissions increased with increasing carbon content (tot-C, org-C, WEOC, C/N ratio; $p < 0.05$) at different soil water suction heads. In mineral soils, $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emissions have been shown to increase with increasing carbon availability (Petersen et al., 2008), probably due to the limitation in easily available carbon sources, which is not the case in carbon-rich peat soils. Instead, the relationship between $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emissions and soil carbon content in peat soils in the present study may be due to the significant correlation between decreasing C content (tot-C, org-C, WEOC, C/N ratio) and increasing carb-C content, i.e., increasing pH. Lower $\text{N}_2\text{O}$
emissions at high pH indicate that liming could be an agricultural mitigation option but this will most likely be counteracted by increased CO₂ emissions (Ivarson, 1977).

At near water-saturated conditions, N₂O emissions increased with increasing NO₃⁻ content and with decreasing NH₄⁺ content. Liimatainen et al. (2018) observed a positive correlation between N₂O emissions and NO₃⁻, but concluded that increased soil phosphorus and copper concentrations were the most important factor regulating N₂O emissions from peat soils with low C/N ratio (15–27). Copper is an essential part of nos activity and lack of copper can prevent the last step in the denitrification process, thus promoting N₂O release, while copper is also essential for the ammonium oxidizers. Findings by Liimatainen et al. (2018) that higher copper content in peat soils gives higher N₂O emissions indicate that nitrification is a more important process than denitrification for N₂O production in peat soils.

The eight soils analyzed had different assemblages of nitrogen cycling guilds, with soil C/N ratio, NH₄⁺ content, and pH appearing to be the strongest drivers shaping the different assemblages. Soils 4 and 6, which were dominated by AOB, nirS-type denitrifiers, and nosZ clade II N₂O reducers, correlated negatively with N₂O emissions. This agrees with findings that nosZ clade II microbes, which dominate the non-denitrifying N₂O reducers (Graf et al., 2014), can be important N₂O sinks (Jones et al., 2014; Domeignoz-Horta et al., 2016). Further, nirS-type denitrifiers are more often complete denitrifiers, with N₂O reduction capacity, than nirK types (Graf et al., 2014). However, the soils with lower N₂O emissions in the present study also had neutral pH. Thus, it is not possible to separate the effects of pH and biotic factors, although both could possibly explain the emissions patterns observed in this study. The abundance ratio of nir and nos genes was low for all eight soils (0.04–0.22), which indicates higher genetic potential for production of N₂O than for reduction of N₂O to N₂. However, since gene abundance ratios were not related to the emissions patterns observed, this potential was not realized or synchronized during the experiment.
Methane Emissions at Different Drainage Levels

Anoxic conditions, optimal for CH₄ production, probably take a longer time to achieve than the approximately 3 days allowed in this study. Therefore, no high CH₄ emissions were recorded, as also found in other similar studies, where CH₄ emissions are generally low or negligible and CH₄ may instead be consumed (Boland and Moore, 2003; Karki et al., 2014; Musarika et al., 2017; Taft et al., 2017; Matysek et al., 2019). Nevertheless, CH₄ emissions were higher at near water-saturated conditions than at the two drainage steps, where the CH₄ fluxes were similar. This confirms previous findings in two studies on peat soil monoliths of no difference in fluxes of CH₄ between groundwater levels of 0.15 and 0.55 m depth (Susilawati et al., 2016; Wen et al., 2020). In contrast, Tiemeyer et al. (2016) found a threshold at a groundwater depth of 0.2 m, where CH₄ fluxes increased and showed greater variability than at lower groundwater levels. In the present study, CH₄ fluxes increased with increasing pH, which contradicts findings in Maljanen et al. (2010). The negative relationship between CH₄ fluxes and NO₃⁻ content was mainly due to the high NO₃⁻ values in combination with low CH₄ fluxes in soil 8.

Topsoil Compared With Subsoil

Under drained conditions, topsoils emitted more N₂O than subsoil samples, confirming findings by Säurich et al. (2019) and Berglund and Berglund (2011). Possible reasons for the higher N₂O emissions, are higher nutrient availability, higher pH, narrower C/N ratio, and higher bulk density (Säurich et al., 2019). In the present study, there was no difference in pH between topsoil and subsoil samples, while C/N ratio was lower and bulk density and NH₄⁺ content were higher for topsoils compared with the corresponding subsoils.

Biotic factors also differed between topsoils and subsoils. The abundance of AOB was significantly lower in subsoils than in topsoils (on average for the four soils for which this comparison could be made). Jia and Conrad (2009) reported a three-fold decrease in AOB from topsoil to subsoil in a mineral soil, while Andert et al. (2011) observed no differences in abundance of ammonia oxidizers between depths in a peat soil. The nosZI gene, coding for N₂O reductase as the last step in the denitrification pathway, was also significantly more abundant in the topsoil samples. Koops et al. (1996) showed that the topsoil (0–20 cm) contributes most (over 70%) to total denitrification in drained peat soil, while the subsoil (20–40 cm) contributes up to 30% of the total N losses by denitrification. This indicates that peat soils can have favorable conditions for denitrification in both topsoil and subsoil. Andert et al. (2012) found that the community composition for denitrifiers did not change with soil depth, but that potential denitrification rate decreased rapidly with depth.

Conclusion

Measurements of N₂O emissions from different peat soils revealed wide variations at near water-saturated conditions and lower levels at two experimental drainage intensities (suction head 0.5 and 1.0 m water column). This confirms that high and fluctuating groundwater level can increase N₂O emissions more than deeper drainage with higher air-filled porosity. The N₂O emissions were primarily correlated with peat soil pH and carbonate-carbon content, with increasing N₂O emissions with decreasing pH. No strong correlation between N₂O emissions and individual gene abundances was detected, but specific assemblages of N cycling guilds were indicative of soils with lower emissions. Subsoils emitted less N₂O than topsoils under drained conditions and, as expected, CH₄ fluxes from both topsoil and subsoil were low at all soil water levels. Lower N₂O emissions at high pH and lower groundwater levels indicate that liming and increased drainage intensity could be agricultural mitigation options, but will most likely increase CO₂ emissions. Finding an optimal drainage level to minimize greenhouse gas emissions in agricultural peatlands is a challenge since it is soil-dependent, due to local abiotic and biotic factors.
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