Linc-GALMD1 Regulates Viral Gene Expression in the Chicken
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A rapidly increasing number of reports on dysregulated long intergenic non-coding RNA (lincRNA) expression across numerous types of cancers indicates that aberrant lincRNA expression may be a major contributor to tumorigenesis. Marek’s disease (MD) is a T cell lymphoma of chickens induced by Marek’s disease virus (MDV). Although we have investigated the roles of lincRNAs in bursa tissue of MDV-infected chickens in previous studies, the molecular mechanisms of lincRNA functions in T cells remain poorly understood. In the present study, Linc-GALMD1 was identified from CD4+ T cells and MSB1 cells, and its expression was significantly downregulated in MD-resistant line of birds in response to MDV challenge. Furthermore, loss-of-function experiments indicated that linc-GALMD1 significantly affected the expression of 290 genes in trans. Through integrated analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) induced by MDV and linc-GALMD1, we found that IGLL1 gene expression levels had a positive correlation with the degree of MD infection and could potentially serve as an indicator for clinical diagnosis of MD. Moreover, an interaction between MDV and linc-GALMD1 was also observed. Accordingly, chicken embryonic fibroblast cells were inoculated with MDV with and without the linc-GALMD1 knockdown, and the data showed that linc-GALMD1 could repress MDV gene expression during the course of MDV infection. These findings uncovered a role of linc-GALMD1 as a viral gene regulator and suggested a function of linc-GALMD1 contributing to tumor suppression by coordinating expression of MDV genes and tumor-related genes and regulating immune responses to MDV infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Marek’s disease (MD) is a naturally occurring rapid-onset aggressive T-cell lymphoma of poultry, and it is caused by Marek’s disease virus type 1 (MDV-1). In chickens, virulent MDV undergoes four overlapping infection stages, having critical consequences that contribute to viral persistence and pathogenesis in the host: early cytolytic, latent, late cytolytic, and transformation (Biggs, 1968). In the early cytolytic stage, the virus replicates in macrophages, B and T lymphocytes, and the MDV genome can be detected in B and T lymphocytes as early as 2 days post-infection (dpi). Following
the lytic phase of infection, latent (non-replicating) infection occurs primarily in CD4+ T cells (T-helper cells) that are capable of being transformed around 7 dpi. The MDV genome is highly transcribed during this latent infection, although no viral or tumor antigens are expressed, and the expression of Marek’s EcoRI-Q-encoded protein (Meq) transcripts is similar to that of MDV-transformed cells (Calnek et al., 1984; Arumugam et al., 2009). In the late cytolytic stage from 14 to 21 dpi, latently infected cells carry the virus to the thymus, bursa, and some epithelial tissues. Necrosis of lymphocytes and epithelial cells is accompanied by pronounced inflammation, infiltration of mononuclear cells and heterophils, and (for the bursa and thymus) severe atrophy (Baigent and Davison, 2004).

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are non-coding transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides. lncRNAs can regulate gene expression by different mechanisms including lncRNA-dependent regulation of neighbor genes, binding onto DNA/RNA binding proteins to control their cellular localization or transmit information among chromosomes, supporting nuclear structures or chromatin-modifying complexes, and acting as sponges of microRNAs (Bhat et al., 2016; Marchese et al., 2017). Long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs) as a type of lncRNAs were indicated to play key roles in cancer-related gene regulatory systems by establishing chromatin domains in an allele- and cell type-specific manner, and the disorder of their expression is thought to promote cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis (Tsai et al., 2011; Bhat et al., 2016). In addition, transcriptional profiling has been proved to be a powerful tool for discovering lncRNAs with biological functions (Lin et al., 2014). Our previous studies have investigated the signatures of lincRNAs in bursa tissue of chickens with MD, and a candidate lincRNA, *linc-satb1*, was found to play a crucial role in MD immune response by regulating a nearby protein-coding gene *SATB1 in cis* (He et al., 2015). Nevertheless, MD as a T cell lymphoma is still largely unexplored concerning the biological functions of lincRNAs in T cells. The MSB1 lymphoblastoid cell line is an MDV-transformed CD4+ T-cell line derived from a spleen lymphoma induced by the BC-1 strain of MDV-1 (Akiyama and Kato, 1974; Hirai et al., 1990) (Yao et al., 2008). The MSB1 cell line has a CD4+ phenotype while it has both integrated and circular copies of the MDV-1 genome, which shares many properties of MD tumors. Thus, the MSB1 cell line is a commercial and unique cell-model that is closer to the latently infected CD4+ T-lymphocyte. Consequently, in the present work, we identified lincRNAs in CD4+ T cells isolated from MDV-infected chickens at the late cytolytic stage. A differentially expressed lincRNA, *linc-GALMD1*, between infected and non-infected chickens was focused on to explore the biological functions and molecular mechanism of *linc-GALMD1* in MD. Loss-of-function experiments of *linc-GALMD1* and the following transcriptomic sequencing in MSB1 cells were performed to explore its roles in MD-related gene regulatory systems. Furthermore, MDV-infection assays were further conducted in chicken embryonic fibroblast (CEF) cells with the *linc-GALMD1* knockdown. Our results suggested that *linc-GALMD1* may represent a novel indicator of poor prognosis and may serve for the diagnosis and treatment of MD. This study further advances our understanding of the sophisticated regulation of lincRNAs such as *linc-GALMD1* as a viral regulator of MD pathogenesis.

## RESULTS

### Long Intergenic Non-Coding Ribonucleic Acids Identification in Marek’s Disease Chickens

To explore changes of protein-coding genes and non-coding transcripts, as well as their interactions upon MDV infection in chickens, transcriptomic sequencing was conducted in eight RNA samples extracted from chicken CD4+ T cells isolated from individuals of F₀ generation before and after Marek’s disease viral infection. F₀ generation chickens include two highly inbred parental lines 6, and 7. All chicken lines were maintained at the Avian Disease and Oncology Laboratory (ADOL) (Bacon et al., 2000).

A total of 274 candidate lincRNAs were identified from chickens of the two parental lines 6, and 7, using the data analysis pipeline as previously described (He et al., 2015). Differentially expressed lincRNAs were captured between infected and non-infected chickens by a p-value less than 0.2 (Table 1 and Supplementary Sheet 1). The 16 differentially expressed lincRNAs were selected to confirm their expression in CD4+ T cells by qPCR, and results for 94% of lincRNAs analyzed were consistent with RNA-seq results (Figure S1).

### Loss of Function of *linc-GALMD1* in MSB1 Cells

*Linc-GALMD1* was found to be significantly differentially expressed between infected and non-infected chickens for MD resistant line 6, but no expression difference was found for susceptible line 7, (Figure 1). To explore possible roles for *linc-GALMD1* in chicken Marek’s disease, loss-of-function experiments of *linc-GALMD1* were performed in the MSB1 cell line that is a commercial MDV-transformed CD4+ T-cell line derived from lymphomas of chickens with MD. Primarily, the structure of *linc-GALMD1* was detected in CD4+ T cells and MSB1 cells by ordinary PCR with the primer pairs designed spanning over two exons of *linc-GALMD1*. PCR cloning and sequencing were conducted and further indicated that *linc-GALMD1* exists in both CD4+ T cells and MSB1 cells (Figure 2A).

To perform loss-of-function experiments of *linc-GALMD1*, we generated five lentiviral-based short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) targeting *linc-GALMD1*, including one negative control shRNA.

| Groups | Number |
|---|---|
| Total lincRNAs | 274 |
| Differentially expressed | |
| 6, l vs. 6, N | 41 |
| 7, l vs. 7, N | 53 |
| 6, l vs. 7, l | 94 |
| 6, N vs. 7, N | 56 |
in MSB1 cells. Figure 2B shows that shRNA2 and shRNA4 successfully targeted linc-GALMD1 and significantly reduced its expression compared to negative control in MSB1 cells (p-value < 0.01, t-test). The best shRNA, shRNA2, was selected for final knockdown experiments and further RNA sequencing in MSB1 cells.

**Linc-GALMD1 Affects Gene Expression In Trans**

Following the linc-GALMD1 knockdown in MSB1 cells, RNA sequencing results demonstrated that linc-GALMD1 significantly affected expression of 290 genes (|log2fold change| ≥ 1 and FDR ≤ 0.01) (Figure 2C), which are distributed on all chromosomes with only 3% of differentially expressed genes located on chromosome 11 that contains linc-GALMD1 (Figure 3A). This suggests that linc-GALMD1 is more likely to affect gene expression in trans. To examine whether linc-GALMD1 could affect gene expression in cis, 10 neighboring genes upstream and another 10 downstream of linc-GALMD1 were analyzed to determine their expression change upon the linc-GALMD1 knockdown and MDV infection,
respectively (Figure 3B). The results indicated that very few genes were up-regulated after the linc-GALMD1 knockdown. However, their expression was significantly changed after MDV infection in line 6 and 7. Also, some genes had distinct reactions to MDV infection in resistant line 6 and susceptible line 7. Consequently, we hold the view that the effects of MDV infection on these 20 neighboring genes are far greater than the effects of linc-GALMD1.

In our previous study, we identified enhancers in MSB1 cells by deoxyribonuclease sequencing (He et al., 2014). An enhancer at 243 kb upstream of linc-GALMD1 and another one at 2 Mb downstream were captured (Figure S2). Furthermore, the conservation of linc-GALMD1 and neighboring enhancers was investigated. Linc-GALMD1 and the upstream enhancer were not found in the human and mouse, but the downstream enhancer, next to AKTIP gene, was found across the chicken, human, and mouse, which provided some clues for decoding the chicken genome to explore regulatory elements involved in molecular mechanisms of MD.

Protein-Coding Gene Changes Induced by linc-GALMD1 and Marek's Disease Virus

To further characterize differentially expressed genes (DEGs) induced by MDV and linc-GALMD1, respectively, differential expression of genes was analyzed in CD4+ T cells between MDV-infected and non-infected chickens in line 6 and 7, and before and after the linc-GALMD1 knockdown in MSB1 cells as well. A total of 116 DEGs were found between infected- and non-infected chickens for line 6, in which 71 genes were expressed higher in non-infected chickens than infected, namely, up-regulated genes; and the remaining 45 genes were down-regulated after MDV infection. For line 7, 105 DEGs were found, and 68 genes were up-regulated and 37 were down-regulated after MDV infection ([log2fold change] ≥ 1 and FDR ≤ 0.1, Figure 4A and Figure S3). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of these genes demonstrated that most genes are involved in nucleic acid binding and nucleotide-binding processes. In addition, a portion of genes participates in immune activities. Interestingly, most down-regulated genes that were highly expressed in infected chickens actively participate...
in viral reproduction, immune response, cell proliferation, cell killing, and cell death compared to up-regulated genes for both of line 6 and 7, which indicates that the immune systems of infected chickens were activated to defeat MDV infection (Figure S4). Ten genes were differentially expressed in both lines 6 and 7, in which two genes had distinct expression directions in the two chicken lines, seven genes were down-regulated, and one gene was up-regulated for both lines. These two line-specific genes are IGLL1 (ENSGALG00000021139) and 5.8S rRNA (ENSGALG00000025656). IGLL1 gene was lowly expressed in infected chickens for resistant line 6, but it was highly expressed in infected chickens for susceptible line 7, which implies that IGLL1 could be a susceptible gene or a marker for clinical diagnosis of MD.

As mentioned above, the linc-GALMD1 knockdown significantly affected the expression of 290 genes (Figure 2C), in which 64 genes were up-regulated and 226 genes were down-regulated after the linc-GALMD1 knockdown. By GO analysis of these DEGs, we found that these genes were very active in extracellular and intracellular regions and they participate in macromolecular complex binding and transmembrane transporter activities, which hinted that this lincRNA could play roles in the cell nucleus, cell cytoplasm, and intercellular regions to support transporter activities. It is worth noting that down-regulated genes are preferentially involved more in immune response, cell motion, cell death, leukocyte activation and migration, as well as lymphocyte costimulation than up-regulated genes (Figure 5), which suggested that the immune system became less active after the linc-GALMD1 knockdown in MSB1 cells, which is different from responses of the immune system to MDV infection that is the immune system may be activated when a chicken is infected by MDV.

Based on integrated analysis of RNA sequencing upon MDV infection and upon the linc-GALMD1 knockdown, only three genes were found to be differentially expressed after linc-GALMD1 knockdown in MSB1 cells, and after DEGs in CD4+ T cells between infected chickens of line 6 by MDV and non-infected chickens as well. (SRGN: ENSGALG00000004167; TPP1: ENSGALG000000022706; RNase_MRP: ENSGALG00000025557).

**Interactions Between linc-GALMD1 and Marek’s Disease Virus**

To determine whether MDV could interact with linc-GALMD1, MDV infection was performed in CEF cells with and without linc-GALMD1 knockdown by shRNA2 and shRNA4, respectively. It was known that MDV induces rapid-onset T-cell lymphomas in chickens and that T-cell transformation requires the expression of a viral protein called Meq, whose changes in the coding sequence of Meq correlated with increased virulence (Kumar et al., 2012). Figure 6 demonstrates that linc-GALMD1 was significantly knocked down by shRNA2 and shRNA4 compared...
to a negative control shRNA upon MDV infection (Figure 6A). Meanwhile, Meq copy numbers increased after the linc-GALMD1 knockdown compared to the condition with MDV infection and a negative control shRNA. Meq copy numbers increased more when linc-GALMD1 was knocked down more by shRNA4 than by shRNA2 (p-value ≤ 0.01, Figure 6B). These results indicate that linc-GALMD1 could repress MD virus progression during MDV infection in chickens.

**DISCUSSION**

MD is a herpesvirus (MDV)-induced pathology of chickens characterized by paralysis and the rapid appearance of T-cell lymphomas. MDV infection undergoes four stages: early cytolytic infection, latent infection, late lytic infection, and transformation (tumor development and progression). The primary site of MDV latent infection is the activated CD4+ T-lymphocytes in the...
peripheral blood, resulting in lymphomas in the visceral organs from 3 weeks post-infection (McPherson and Delany, 2016). Accordingly, we collected CD4+ T cells from peripheral blood in this study at 21-days post-infection (late cytolytic phase) for RNA sequencing to investigate whether MDV infection results in transcriptomic level changes of CD4+ T-lymphocytes.

Some research reported that MDV integration into the host genome is involved in tumorigenesis. The Meq oncogene is one of the most thoroughly studied MDV genes and is known to play a key role in MDV-induced T cell lymphomagenesis, in combination with other transcription factors, through host and viral gene expression modifications (McPherson and Delany, 2016). As Figure 6B showed when CEF cells were co-infected with a negative shRNA and MDV, Meq copy numbers slightly decreased compared to that infected with only MDV, which means the negative lentiviral shRNA could interact with Meq gene. Therefore, to be normalized, we compared the results of positive shRNAs for the linc-GALMD1 knockdown with that of a negative shRNA rather than with negative treatments in CEF cells for MDV infection assays. In addition, shRNA4 showed greater linc-GALMD1 knockdown in CEF cells (Figure 6A) while shRNA2 had a greater effect in MSB1 cells (Figure 2B), which might be due to MSB1 having integrated copies of the MDV-1 genome that could be affected by shRNA. Thus, for transcriptomic analysis after the linc-GALMD1 knockdown in MSB1 cells, we analyzed differential expression of genes by comparing samples with a lentiviral shRNA2 infection to samples with an empty lentiviral vector.

From Figure 6B, we know that Meq copy numbers would significantly increase when linc-GALMD1 was knocked down, which suggested that linc-GALMD1 could suppress MDV reproductoin during MDV infection in chickens. Furthermore, linc-GALMD1 expression was higher in non-infected chickens than in infected chickens for resistant line 6, while there was no difference for susceptible line 7, which indicated that linc-GALMD1 expression would dramatically drop at the late cytolytic stage once line 6 chickens were infected by MDV, but MDV infection did not change linc-GALMD1 expression for line 7, which implied that linc-GALMD1 could be a resistant genetic regulator to suppress tumor progression by repressing expression of the host's resistance to the virus and its expression level accompanied phenotypic changes, implying IGGL1 could be considered as an indicator of MD severity. Sergylin (SRGN) proteins are involved in tumor metastasis and may serve as a mediator of granule-mediated apoptosis (Zhang et al., 2017). Some studies indicated that tripeptidyl peptidase 1 (TPP1) could inhibit tumor growth by interacting with PD-1/PD-L1 (Chang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2018). Our results (Figure 4C) showed that once we knocked down linc-GALMD1 in MSB1 cells, SRGN and TPP1 gene expression were significantly decreased, suggesting that linc-GALMD1 as a tumor suppressor may inhibit tumor growth via coordinating some genes including SRGN and TPP1. MD viral infection assays demonstrated that SRGN and TPP1 gene expression increased after the MDV infection in resistant line 6, which implied that SRGN and TPP1 play vital roles in resistance of the birds in response to MDV challenge.

Overall, our studies indicated that linc-GALMD1 is a viral regulator to suppress tumor progression by repressing expression of MDV genes and regulating immune responses to MDV infection. The interaction of linc-GALMD1 with MDV represents a novel and sophisticated level of regulation that raises new challenges in terms of its mechanisms of action.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Animal Challenge Trial and CD4+ T Cell Isolation

Line 6 and line 7, which are known as MD-resistant and MD-susceptible lines, respectively (USDA-ARS, Avian Disease and Oncology Laboratory, East Lansing, Michigan, USA), were used in this study. The animal challenge trials were carried out in accordance with guidelines established and approved by the USDA, ADOL Animal Care and Use Committee (ACUC) (April, 2005), and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by Institute for Laboratory Animal Research (2011). Chickens from each of the lines/crosses were divided into two groups, one
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was challenged with a very virulent plus (vv+) strain of MDV (648A passage 40) with a dosage of 500 plaque-forming units (PFU) per bird intra-abdominally in the fifth day post-hatch, the other was maintained as uninfected control. Two chickens were sampled from the MDV challenged groups and two from the control group for each line and each cross. Peripheral blood of the chickens was collected at 21-days post-infection, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were separated from anti-coagulated peripheral blood by standard preparation method. After removing clumps (if any) and dead cells, PBMC were incubated with Mouse Anti-Chicken CD4-PE (Cat. No. R2050, Zymo Research), and mRNA isolation was performed by Oligotex mRNA Mini Kit (Cat. No. 70022, QIAGEN). Then mRNA was used to synthesize the first and the second strand cdNA using SuperScript™ III Reverse Transcriptase (Cat. No. 18080-093, Invitrogen) and NEBNext mRNA Second Strand Synthesis Module (Cat. No. E6111S, NEB). After purification, the double-stranded cdNA (dscDNA) was fragmented into ~300 bp. Then the library for sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 Analyzer was constructed as previously described (He et al., 2015). Finally, cluster generation and sequencing analysis were performed on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 following the manufacturer’s protocol. After sequencing quality test by FastQC, the first 6 bp and the last 4 bp for all reads were trimmed off, and then all trimmed reads with 40 bp of length for each sample were mapped to the chicken genome (galGal3) individually by Bowtie 1.2.0. The numbers of reads that fell in each gene were counted by htseq-count, and DEGs between infected and non-infected chickens were analyzed by edgeR with the criteria of $|\log_{2}\text{fold change}| \geq 1$ and FDR $\leq 0.01$. All those lincRNAs and protein-coding genes with $|\log_{2}\text{fold change}| \geq 1$ and FDR $\leq 0.01$ were selected for substantial analysis. The conservation of non-coding RNAs and enhancers was investigated with the VISTA Enhancer Browser (https://enhancer.lbl.gov).

Reverse Transcription Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction Analysis

The protocols of mRNA extraction and dsDNA synthesis were the same as those mentioned above. Real-time PCR using SYBR Green PCR Kit was utilized to validate differentially expressed lincRNAs and genes in CD4+ T cells between infected and non-infected chickens with iCycler iQ PCR System (Bio-Rad). The annealing temperature was set at 60°C. The primer pairs were designed within exons and the length of the amplicons was between 50 and 200 bp. All primers were designed using Primer3 (http://fokker. wi.mit.edu/primer3/input.htm), and the detailed information is listed in Table S1. qPCR reaction was run with triplicate using the program as follows: pre-incubation (95°C for 10 min), 40 cycles of amplification (95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 10 s, and 72°C for 10 s), melting curves using a heat ramp and cool down. Cycle threshold values (Ct values) were obtained from iCycler iQ PCR software. The expression levels of lincRNAs and genes were normalized against GAPDH complementary DNA in the corresponding samples. The relative fold enrichment of each treatment group was calculated by comparing the enrichment value for the given primer pair to GAPDH. The copy numbers of the Meq gene were calculated against PCCA as the reference gene (Wang et al., 2010). Data were analyzed using a two-tailed Student's t-test. The differences were considered to be statistically significant at p-value $< 0.05$.

Structure Validation of linc-GALMD1

To confirm the structure of linc-GALMD1 in CD4+ T cells and MSB1 cells, the primer pairs were designed to span over two exons of linc-GALMD1 and the length of the amplicons was between 80 and 500 bp (Table S2). Touchdown-PCR was performed to amplify linc-GALMD1 with conventional PCR, and chicken genomic DNA was used as control using the following reaction: 5 μl of GoTaq® Hot Start Green Master Mix (Promega, USA), 1 μl of primer mix (10 μM), 1 μl of cdNA, and 3 μl of UltraPure® Distilled Water (Invitrogen, USA) to a total volume of 10 μl. The optimal PCR program was 94°C for 5 min, 3 cycles of amplification (94°C for 1 min, 68°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 2 min), 3 cycles of amplification [94°C for 1 min, (68-3i)°C ($i$ = 1 to 5) for 1 min, and 72°C for 2 min], 30 cycles of amplification (94°C for 1 min, 50°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 2 min), and a final extension step 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were run on 1.5% TBE-buffered agarose gel at 90 V for 1 h, and 230 bp fragments were excised from the gel to purify by QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN, USA). To confirm that sequences of linc-GALMD1 existed in CD4+ T cells and MSB1 cells, cloning sequencing of PCR products was conducted. The details were as follows. The purified PCR products were ligated into pGEM-T Vector (pGEM-T Vector...
System I, Promega, USA), transformed into DH5α competent cells (Z-Competent E. Coli Cells—Strain Zymo 5a, ZYMOr Research, USA), and screened for successful insertions (blue-white selection) after incubation at 37°C overnight. In the next step, five white colonies from each sample were cultured overnight in a 37°C shaker. Plasmid DNA was isolated using Zppy Plasmid Miniprep Kit (ZYMOr Research, USA). M13 reverse primer and BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) was employed for sequencing in the ABI 3730 machine as described by the manufacturer.

**Linc-GALMD1 Knockdown by Short Hairpin Ribonucleic Acids**

The MDV-transformed lymphoblastoid MSB-1 cells were obtained from Dr. C. Itakura’s lab, Department of Veterinary Pathology, Tottori University, Tottora, Japan and grown at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. The chicken embryo fibroblast (CEF) cells were isolated from fertile eggs at day 10 and grown at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in M199 tissue culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS. All reagents for cell culture were purchased from Life Technologies (CA, USA).

The shRNAs were designed and hypothesized by GenePharma Biotech (Shanghai, China). Four shRNAs for interfering linc-GALMD1 and a negative control (NC) were cloned into a shuttle vector (LV3-pGLV-h1-GFP-puro) labeled by GFP (green fluorescent protein) and incorporated into a lentiviral vector, respectively. The sequences of shRNAs were listed in Table S3.

MDCC-MSB1 cells were plated at a density of 5×10⁵ cells per well in 24-well plates. Cells were infected with 40 μl of a lentiviral shRNA stock (2×10⁶ TU/ml) and incubated at 37°C for 72 h until green fluorescence was observed by fluorescence microscope. The total RNA extraction and qRT-PCR were performed as described above.

CEF cells were plated at a density of 4×10⁵ cells per well in 24-well plates. They were co-infected with 2000 PFU MDV (CVI988, Beijing Lingyu Biological Technology Co., Ltd.) and 40 μl of lentiviral shRNA2 or shRNA4 plus NC (2×10⁶ TU/ml). The cell lesion and green fluorescence were observed at 96 h after infection, and then cells were harvested for isolating the total RNAs and DNA.

**Transcriptome Sequencing and Analysis in MSB1 Cells**

MDCC-MSB1 cells were plated in 24-well plates, and cells were infected with a lentiviral shRNA2 and an empty lentiviral vector as well as a NC in triplicates. After cells were harvested, the total RNAs were extracted and dsDNA was produced as described above. Then the library for sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 Platform was generated using the NEBNext® Ultra™ Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (Cat. No. E7420L, NEB) following the manufacturer’s recommendations and index codes were added to attribute sequences to each sample. Products were purified by QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Cat No. 28106, QIAGEN), and library quality was assessed on Qubit® Fluorometer by Qubit® dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Cat. No. Q32851, Thermo Fisher). The clustering of the index-coded samples was performed on a cBot Cluster Generation System using TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS (Cat. No. PE-401-3001, Illumina) following the manufacturer’s protocol. After cluster generation, the library preparations were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 V4 PE125 platform and paired-end reads were generated.

After filtering out paired reads with adaptors and dirty reads, all clean reads for each sample were mapped to the chicken genome (galGal4) individually by Tophat v2.0.9, and the average concordant pair alignment rate was 70%. Mapped transcripts were assembled individually with cufflinks and then transcripts from all samples were merged together with cuffmerge based on cuffmerge results. Gene expression levels were represented with FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads) and log2 transformation was used for normalization. To obtain gene expression patterns, log2 fold change before and after the linc-GALMD1 knockdown in MSB1 cells was calculated. Those genes with |log2fold change| ≥ 1 and FDR ≤ 0.01 were selected for clustering of expression profiles based on hierarchal clustering with complete linkage and visualized using heatmaps.
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