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Abstract
The rapid growth of middle class consumers in emerging markets like South Africa is often characterised by high energy consumption, driven by demand for household appliances. As green appliances can reduce energy consumption, this study (1) examined South African black middle class consumers’ attitudes and adoption behaviour of green appliances; (2) integrated the theories of consumption value and planned behaviour for a richer explanation of attitudes and behavioural intention (BI) regarding green appliances; and (3) assessed whether BI and perceived behavioural control (PBC) translate into actual adoption. Data was quantitatively gathered from 500 respondents who were aware of green appliances, displayed the intention to buy green appliances and had bought green appliances. Data was analysed using partial least squares structural equation modelling.

Findings showed that respondents hold a strong positive attitude towards green appliances (M=5.8), which was significantly driven by functional, emotional, and epistemic values. Attitude, social value and PBC drove BI which, with PBC, influenced adoption. The integrated model explained far greater percentage of attitude (81%) and BI (83.4%) compared to studies applying only one theory.

The original value lies in the sampling of consumers, who are working and capable of buying green appliances. Previous studies have mainly used students, who lack purchasing power.

The integrated model makes theoretical implication by providing greater explanatory power of green product adoption. Practically, from their positive attitudes towards green appliances and adoption behaviour, governmental/environmental interest groups can use the large and influential black middle class as opinion leaders to promote green product use.

1. Introduction
The adoption of lower energy consuming lifestyles has become imperative for resources and environmental sustainability (Pothiout et al., 2016; UNEP, 2015). This is particularly the case in emerging markets such as South Africa, where the economic aspirations of billions of people, especially the rapidly growing middle class consumers, are often facilitated by “unprecedented levels of energy consumption” (Smil, 2013). Consequently, electricity demand in countries like South Africa is fast outstripping supply (UNEP, 2014; Sonneberg, Erasmus and Schreuder, 2014). Compounding this problem is the increased demand for household appliances by growing middle class consumers who have a “proportionally higher share in the average ecological footprint” (Sonneberg et al., 2014).
Black middle-class consumers are growing faster in size and influence in South Africa compared to other middle-class consumers. Between 2004 and 2016, this segment rose steeply from 1.74 million to 5.61 million (University of Cape Town [UCT] Unilever Institute, 2016). Also termed ‘Black Diamonds’, the black middle class is seen as the largest driver of South Africa’s economic growth (Steyn, 2013), with nearly 65% of these consumers owning their own homes (Kroeker, 2014). This rate of home ownership comes with the demand for household goods and appliances. In terms of home appliances, the UCT Unilever Institute’s 2016 Report revealed that in 2004, black middle class consumers’ ownership of dish washers, home computers, DVD players, washing machines and microwaves was 3%, 19%, 30%, 24% and 60% respectively. By 2012, with the exception of dish washers, the segment’s ownership of these items doubled by 4%, 45%, 63%, 58% and 92% respectively. While these increases in demand for home appliances are attractive to the manufacturers and marketers, their strain on energy consumption is a concern.

Cognisant of energy consumption concerns and the environmental impact of home appliances, brands like Defy, LG and Samsung, which are key role players in the South African home appliance industry, are designing green appliances with lower water and energy consumption. This is in addition to the usual value-for-money, aesthetically pleasing and feature-rich appliances for consumers to choose from (Euromonitor International, 2013). The factors that drive consumers, especially the black middle class, to choose and adopt green options often perceived as being more expensive (Zhang, Xiao, and Zhou, 2020) need investigation.

The green products adoption as Yadav and Pathak (2017) report, is exhibited by the use of green/environmentally friendly products, the use of organic products, proper waste disposal management or recycling etc. In this study, it is construed in terms of the purchase and use of green appliances. Numerous studies have examined green product adoption, for example, in Asia (Biswa and Roy, 2015; Lin and Huang, 2012; Huang, Lin, Lai and Lin, 2014; Yadav and Pathak, 2017; Zhang et al., 2020), United State of America, (Matthes, Wonneberger and Schmuck, 2014; Barber, Bishop and Gruen, 2014), Europe (Kanchanapibul, Lacka, Wang and Chan, 2014; Faure and Schleich, 2020) and Australia (Tan, Johnstone and Yang, 2016). These studies have examined segments, profiles, drivers, and barriers to green products adoption. Most have either mainly and independently used the theory of planned behaviour (TPB), the diffusion of innovation theory (DOI) or the theory of consumption values (TCV) to explain the intention to adopt green products. Actual adoption has rarely been examined (Yadav and Pathak, 2017).

Given the complexity of adoption and purchase decision, especially for non-conventional items such as green products, there is a need to integrate theories for a richer explanation of decisions. Chen (2014), for example, integrated the TPB and the DOI theories. The integration explained up to 76% of variance in green products behavioural intention. This can be compared to Taylor (2015) who, by using the DOI theory alone, could only explain 26.7% of green product behavioural intention. Beyond just intention, however, the present study integrated the TPB and the TCV to explain South African black middle-class consumers’ attitudes, intention, and actual adoption of green appliances (i.e., washing machines, fridges, geyser, and freezers). Specifically, three objectives were addressed: (1) to assess South African black middle class consumers’ attitudes towards green appliances and the extent of their adoption; (2) to examine the explanatory power of Sheth, Newman and Gross’s (1991) TCV and Ajzen’s (2005) TBP with regard to green appliance attitude and behavioural intention; and (3) to test the impact of behavioural intention and perceived behavioural control on actual adoption.

2. Theoretical framework and proposed hypotheses

2.1 Theory of planned behaviour and theory of consumption values

The TPB posits that an individual's behavioural intention to perform (BI) – or not to perform – a given behaviour is the most fundamental determinant of that action occurring (Ajzen, 2005).

BI can be defined as “an indication of individual's readiness to perform a given behaviour” (Yadav and Pathak, 2017). BI is driven by attitude, social norms, and perceived behavioural control, all of which are interrelated (Ajzen, 2005). Attitude denotes an individual's overall positive or negative evaluation of engaging in a particular behaviour. It comprises three elements – affective (e.g. like/dislike), cognitive (beliefs and ideas) and conative (tendency to act in a particular way). Subjective norm refers to an
individual's perceptions of social pressure from important referents to perform a behaviour. Perceived 
behavioural control (PBC) refers to individuals’ perceived level of control (in terms of ability and 
possession of resources) over performing a behaviour (Ajzen, 2005). TPB is widely considered to be one of 
the most influential theories for predicting both behavioural intention and actual behaviour for a wide 
range of products and services (Chen and Tung, 2014).

The TPB is also known as a key theoretical lens for predicting green or pro-environmental 
behaviour (Zhang et al., 2020). More specifically, the theory has been validated in several studies 
investigating recycling behaviours (Wan, Cheung and Shen, 2012), green hotels and restaurants (Chen and 
Tung, 2014), energy-efficient products (Ha and Janda, 2012; Zhang et al., 2020), green products (Chen and 
Peng, 2012) and organic food choices (Kim and Chung, 2011). However, although the robustness of the 
TPB has been proven in many studies, its explanatory power is limited as aforementioned. Moreover, 
knowledge of the drivers of the attitude, which is an important predictor of behavioural intention (Ajzen, 
2005; Paul, Modi, and Patel, 2016) is lacking.

Whilst attitudes are built after favourable or unfavourable evaluations of a particular behaviour 
(Chen and Tung, 2014), they can also be based on the evaluation of a particular product (Liao, Shen and 
Shi, 2020). Since the favourability of the attitude would depend on the perceived benefits of the product 
(Zhang et al., 2020), we propose that attitudes towards green appliances will be formed by the perceived 
benefits derived from green appliances. The TCV specifies five values which not only influence attitude, 
but also influence choice (see Figure 1 below). Following Sheth et al. (1991) descriptions, these five values 
can be described as follows:

*Functional value* refers to the ability of a product or service to satisfy the consumer’s utilitarian needs.

*Social value* is the extent to which individuals sacrifice self-control as a way of enhancing behaviour 
congruent with a preferred social group.

*Emotional value* relates to the perceived utility acquired from an alternative’s capacity to arouse feelings or 
affective states.

*Epistemic value* refers to the perceived utility acquired from an alternative’s capacity to arouse curiosity, 
provide novelty and satisfy a desire for knowledge.

*Conditional value* is described as the consumer’s satisfaction with purchase decisions that are triggered by a 
set of situational factors in the marketplace.

The TCV has assisted researchers and practitioners to understand why consumers behave in a 
certain way towards the adoption of certain products (Biswas and Roy, 2015). For example, Lin and 
Huang (2012) used the TCV to identify factors influencing consumers’ choice of green products. They 
found that choice was determined by the emotional, epistemic and conditional values whereas 
consumption behaviour was determined by the functional and epistemic values. Given these findings, 
and to increase the predictive power, this study integrated the TCV and TPB to formulate the hypotheses.

### 2.2 Hypotheses

#### Functional value and attitudes

Attitude towards environmental products has been described as a sum of beliefs, impressions, 
affect and predispositions that a person holds about environmental issues and activities (Liao et al., 2020). 
This attitude, which can be either positive or negative, is formed when there is a perception of the 
functional value of a product. Functional value can be defined as “the consumer’s perception of how well 
a product/brand will fulfil utilitarian needs, such as the assurance of product quality and performance” 
(Albrecht, Backhaus, Gurzki and Woisetschlager, 2013). In the context of green appliances, Zhang et al. 
(2020) define functional value in terms of the product’s ability to lessen resource depletion (e.g., water and 
electricity), reduce harmful emissions, protect the environment and promote a lower-carbon lifestyle. Consumers who are impressed with these functional abilities develop favourable attitudes towards 
purchasing green appliances (Zhang et al., 2020).

Conceptualising functional value in terms of product quality and price paid for it, Biswas and Roy 
(2015) found that it impacted the purchase of recycled products and even the behavioural intention to pay 
higher prices associated with green products. Maheshwari and Malhotra (2011) and Tsay (2010) also 
established that functional value has a positive influence on the purchase of green products. According to
Barber et al. (2014), green consumers are typically driven by the functional value of green products, which should positively impact their attitude. Hence, the following hypothesis is proposed:

**H1: Functional value will positively influence attitudes towards green appliances**

**Emotional value and attitudes**

Emotional value can be described as the “utility derived from the feelings or affective states that a product generates” (Sweeney and Soutar, 2001). It also includes the perceived feeling or emotion (e.g., comfort, worry, pleasure) derived from the pre-decision to buy a particular product. For example, consumers may plan to buy energy-saving appliances with the comfort of knowing that they would save on water and electricity costs. This would be particularly pertinent in South Africa, where such costs increase yearly. Other consumers may plan to buy green appliances with the pleasure that these products will be environmentally friendly. These attributes of green appliances lead to a positive attitude towards buying the green appliances (Zhang et al., 2020). Koenig-Lewis, Palmer, Dermody and Urbye (2014) also found that the intrinsic feelings of doing something good both for one’s financial and psychological wellbeing and that of the environment influence consumer attitude. Previous research has found that consumers with positive emotional values make enjoyable and receptive green purchase decisions as these strengthen their belief and feelings that they are behaving responsibly to protect the environment (Biswas and Roy, 2015; Lin and Huang, 2012). Hence, the following hypothesis is proposed:

**H2: Emotional value will positively influence attitudes towards green appliances**

**Conditional value and attitudes**

While emotional value is triggered intrinsically, conditional value is triggered by extrinsic circumstances, which prompt the need to choose alternatives (Woo and Kim, 2019). Environmental threats can enhance the propensity of consumers to choose environmentally friendly products, develop positive attitudes and act in a pro-environmental manner (Lin and Huang, 2012). Environmental problems which may enhance conditional value include climate change, global warming, pollution, the depletion of fossil fuels as well as water and electricity shortages (Jamilah, Grigore and Stancu, 2012). When consumers understand the implications of their consumption behaviour, it is expected that a feeling of moral obligation to do something about it will emerge and trigger pro-environmental attitudes and behaviours (Gadenne, Sharma, Kerr and Smith, 2011). In South Africa, frequent blackouts and water shortages have been felt by many and should, in principle, build more positive attitudes towards green appliances. Hence, the following hypothesis is proposed:

**H3: Conditional value will positively influence attitudes towards green appliances**

**Epistemic value and attitudes**

Teng (2019) views epistemic values as “the benefit acquired from a product’s capacity to provide novelty or arouse curiosity to meet a person’s need for novelty, knowledge, or variety seeking”. The author further report that the most important epistemic value consumers seek in products is innovativeness. Thus, beyond the functional, conditional, and emotional values that drive consumers’ attitudes, Xiao (2005) suggests that attitudes are also influenced by epistemic values. For green products, Mostafa (2009) and Suki (2013) contend that curiosity about a product’s environmental contribution has a significant impact on green consumers’ attitudes and behaviour. Lin and Huang (2012) note that the epistemic value of green products in terms of product characteristics, product design and novelty significantly influence consumers’ choice. Hence, the following hypothesis is proposed:

**H4: Epistemic value will positively influence attitudes towards green appliances**

**Social value, attitudes, and behavioural intention**

The quest to enjoy social value in terms of social identification, approval, symbolism and belonging (Koenig-Lewis et al., 2014) can influence product attitude and consumption behaviour. In particular, social identification plays a key role in buying behaviour as it enhances approval from an esteemed reference group (Bartels and Reinders, 2010). Similarly, Dhurup (2012) uses the term ‘in-group association’ to suggest the extent to which individuals sacrifice self-control as a way of conforming with the views of a preferred social group. For instance, the surge in environmental concern among social groups and the
formation of environmental clubs confirms the importance of social values in promoting pro-environmental attitudes and behaviour (Lin and Huang, 2012). For green appliances, Zhang et al. (2020) contend that “the more consumers feel that the purchase and use of energy-saving appliances helps them to demonstrate their image and win praise”, the more they will develop favourable attitude towards the purchase of green appliances.

In addition to attitude, social value is also recognised as a predictor of consumers’ intention to purchase green products (Biswas and Roy, 2015). Wahid, Rahbar and Shyan (2011) concur, stating that social value is a proxy for subjective norm and is therefore one of the dominant factors influencing behavioural intention. Hence, the following hypotheses are proposed:

\[ H_5: \text{Attitude towards green appliances is positively influenced by social value.} \]

\[ H_6: \text{Social value will positively influence behavioural intention towards green appliances} \]

Attitudes and behavioural intention

The more favourable a person’s attitude towards some considered behaviour, the more likely that the person will engage in that behaviour (Cherian and Jacob, 2012). Pro-environmental behaviour is contingent on nurturing and enforcing environmental attitudes (Zabkar and Hosta, 2013). Ajzen (1991) further suggests that a consumer’s attitude towards a certain behaviour predicts that person’s intention to carry out that behaviour. However, attitude occurs not only towards a behaviour, as Ajzen (1991) suggests; it can also occur in terms of an individual’s consistent favourable or unfavourable evaluation, feeling or tendency towards a product or service (Suki, 2013). Woo and Kim (2019) found that attitudes towards green food, for example, positively impacted behavioural intention. In this context, it is expected that positive attitude towards green appliances will influence behavioural intention. Hence, the following hypothesis is proposed:

\[ H_7: \text{There is a positive relationship between attitudes towards green appliances and the intention to purchase them.} \]

Perceived behavioural control (PBC), behavioural intention and adoption behaviour

The TPB posits that individuals’ perceived possession of the resources (i.e., time, money and the skill or ability) to perform a particular behaviour (i.e., PBC) will either directly influence their adoption of the behaviour, or indirectly through their intentions to perform the task (Ajzen 1991). This is particularly true for green appliances which are perceived to be expensive, unconventional and may require new skills to operate the innovative features (Zhang et al., 2020). While functional value is the perception of the product’s quality and price, PBC assesses the ability to pay the price. A number of studies have shown that PBC positively impacts intention in various green contexts, such as recycling (Yeow, Dean and Tucker, 2014), conservation (Albayrak, Aksoy and Caber, 2013), green hotels (Chen and Tung, 2014) and green products in general (Moser, 2015). However, the relationship between PBC and actual adoption has been rarely empirically tested.

Considering Ajzen’s (2005) proposition that PBC positively relates to both behavioural intention and actual behaviour, the current study tests these relationships. In terms of the direct relationship between behavioural intention and adoption behaviour as the TPB proposes, Lai and Cheng (2016) in Hong Kong and Yadav and Pathak (2017) in India have empirically proven the positive relationship. Hence, the following hypotheses are proposed:

\[ H_8: \text{PBC positively influences behavioural intention to purchase green appliances.} \]

\[ H_9: \text{PBC positively influences the adoption of green appliances.} \]

\[ H_{10}: \text{There is a positive relationship between behavioural intention and actual adoption of green appliances.} \]
The relationships hypothesised in this study are presented in Figure 1 below.

![Figure 1: The Conceptual Model](image)

3. Research methodology

3.1 Sample

Considering that the study tests a conceptual model and hypotheses, a quantitative design was appropriate. Quantitative data was collected through a face-to-face survey from black middle-class intentional users and actual users of green appliances. Intentional users are respondents who have positive attitudes and beliefs about the value of green appliances but are yet to buy them. Actual users were those who had bought appliances. These two types of users were distinguished through three screening questions which verified the respondents’ awareness of green appliances, their intention to use them and their actual use of these appliances. The two user types were studied as behavioural intention was one of the constructs being investigated. The respondents who qualified were surveyed in the Gauteng Province as more than 66% of the black middle class resides in this province. The province is also regarded as the economic hub of South Africa (UCT Unilever Institute, 2016).

The data was collected by Ramsay Research Media, a professional research company with a database of middle-class consumers of all races. Ramsay has been collecting data for the UCT Unilever Institute of Strategic Marketing for numerous studies on the South African black middle class. A two-stage stratified probability sampling technique was applied. The first stage involved dividing the Gauteng list of black middle-class consumers into 12 suburbs of high-, middle- and low-income earners. Of these 12 suburbs, seven were identified as having more middle-class consumers. The second stage involved using simple random sampling to draw the sample elements from each level with the help of Hawkins and Tull’s (1993) random numbers. This guarantees sampling efficiency since it increases representativeness (Saunders, Lewis, and Thornhill, 2012). Respondents were contacted to agree to either a meeting at their workplace or any other place convenient to them for a face-to-face, self-administered questionnaire. Face-to-face questionnaire administration increases the response rate as opposed the online surveys (Saunders et al., 2012).

3.2 Measures and analysis method

The scales used to measure the constructs were adapted from previous studies with reliable measures (i.e., Cronbach’s alpha of ≥ 0.7). The sources of the scale and the items used to measure the constructs are shown in Table 1.
| Constructs       | Items                                                                 | Source                        |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Functional value| FV1 Green appliances are of good quality.                             | Biswas and Roy (2015)        |
|                 | FV2 Green appliances are well made.                                   |                               |
|                 | FV3 Green appliances have an acceptable standard of quality.          | Lin and Huang (2012)         |
|                 | FV4 Green appliances offer value for money.                           |                               |
|                 | FV5 Green appliances perform reliably.                                | Sheth et al. (1991)          |
|                 | FV6 Green appliances perform their functions of saving water and electricity for a long time. |                               |
| Social value    | SV1 Purchasing a green appliance causes/would cause me to be admired. | Choi and Johnson (2019)      |
|                 | SV2 Purchasing green appliances makes/would make a good impression of me. | Wang, Liu, and Qi (2014)    |
|                 | SV3 Purchasing green appliances improves/would improve the way I am perceived. |                     |
|                 | SV4 Most people who are important to me expect/would expect that I buy green appliances. |                     |
| Emotional value | EMV1 Buying green appliances feels/would feel like making a good personal contribution to something better. | Biswas and Roy (2015)      |
|                 | EMV2 Buying green appliances feels/would feel like the morally right thing to do. |                     |
|                 | EMV3 Buying green appliances makes/would make me feel like a better person. |                     |
|                 | EMV4 Buying green appliances makes/would make me feel good.           |                               |
| Conditional value| CV1 I buy/would buy green appliances when my financial conditions are good. | Sheth et al. (1991)       |
|                 | CV2 I buy/would buy green appliances when there is a subsidy for green products. |                     |
|                 | CV3 I buy/would buy green appliances when there are discount prices for them. |                     |
|                 | CV4 I buy/would buy green appliances when they are available.        |                               |
| Epistemic value | EV1 Before buying green appliances, I obtain/would obtain substantial information about the different makes and models of the products. | Suki (2013)                 |
|                 | EV2 I require/would require a great deal of information about the different makes and models before buying green appliances. | Sheth et al. (1991)       |
|                 | EV3 I am willing to ask for new information about green appliances.   |                               |
|                 | EV4 I like/would like to search for new and different types of green appliances. |                     |
| Attitudes       | AT1 I feel that green appliances’ environmental conservation claims are generally trustworthy. | Chen and Tung (2014)       |
|                 | AT2 I feel that green appliances’ environmental protection reputation is generally reliable. |                     |
|                 | AT3 Buying green appliances is/would be a good idea for me and the environment. |                     |
|                 | AT4 Buying green appliances is/would be a worthwhile purchase decision. |                     |
|                 | AT5 I have a favourable attitude towards purchasing a green version of products. |                     |
|                 | AT6 If I had to choose between green appliances and conventional ones, I would prefer the green version. |                     |
Perceived behavioural control

PB1 I believe that I have the financial ability to purchase green appliances.
PB2 If it were entirely up to me, I am confident that I would purchase green appliances.
PB3 I see myself as capable of purchasing green appliances in future.
PB4 I have resources to purchase green appliances.
PB5 I have the time to purchase green appliances.
PB6 Green appliances are generally available in the shops where I usually do my shopping.

Laurenti and Acuna (2020)
Moser (2015)

Behavioural intention

BI1 I intend to start/continue buying green appliances because of their environmental benefits.
BI2 I will consider switching to green appliances for ecological reasons.
BI3 I expect to start/continue purchasing green appliances in the future because of their positive environmental contribution.
BI4 I definitely want to purchase green appliances in my next purchase.

Laurenti and Acuna (2020)

Adoption behaviour

AB1 I make a special effort to buy green appliances.
AB2 I have switched to buying green appliances because of the environmental benefits.
AB3 When I have a choice between the same types of products, I purchase the ones that use less electricity and are less harmful to the environment.
AB4 I make a special effort to buy home appliances that are green or environmentally friendly.

Chen and Tung (2014)
Ha and Janda (2012)

The respondents rated the items on a 7-point Likert scale, where 1=strongly disagree and 7=strongly agree. A 7-point scale was preferred as previous studies have used the same scale and its reliability and validity can be reasonably compared across cultures.

A pilot study involving 30 respondents was first conducted. After testing the constructs’ reliability and validity, the wording of some items was adjusted, and several items were deleted to improve construct reliability for the main study. For the main study, a total of 600 questionnaires was distributed to the respondents. Five hundred questionnaires were fully completed, yielding a response rate of 83.3%. The 500 respondents fell within the minimum sample size of 200-500 to conduct structural equation modelling (Kline, 2011).

Data analysis started with descriptive statistics to obtain the constructs’ and respondents’ characteristics. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was then conducted to assess the measurement model. We used Harman’s one-factor test that Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Lee and Podsakoff (2003) propose to test common method variance (CMV). This was done by conducting exploratory factor analysis (EFA) with all of the items after specifying a one-factor model. From the one-factor solution which was unrotated, as suggested by Podsakoff et al. (2003), a variance explained of 40% was obtained. Since this figure was less than 50%, it indicated the absence of CMV (Podsakoff et al., 2003). Partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM) was the main analysis method used to test the conceptual model and the hypotheses. This was done using SmartPLS version 3.2.7. PLS-SEM was preferred over covariance-based SEM (CB-SEM) as it has better predictive ability, especially with small sample sizes and large models (Garson, 2016). While CB-SEM is optimal for parameter accuracy, PLS-SEM is optimal for prediction accuracy (Hair, Hult, Ringle and Sarstedt, 2017).

4. Results

4.1 Profile of respondents

The respondents comprised 53.8% females and 46.2% males. About 80% of the respondents were within the working ages of 26-60 years old, compared to 10% who were within the young adults' ages of
18-25 years old. Those employed constituted 93.8% while 2.6% were students and 3.6% were retired. Having up to 80% of the respondents within working age and 93.8% employed predicted their capability of buying green appliances. This was further supported by 88.4% of respondents reporting that they earned between R15,000 and R49,999+ ($1,026 - $3,420+). Earning this range of income qualified these consumers as middle class, which would have been made possible by the fact that up to 90.8% held between high school and master’s qualifications. With these levels of education, awareness and use of green appliances would be likely.

4.2 Measurement model
4.2.1 Mean, validity, and reliability

The reliability of the constructs was tested using Cronbach’s alpha and composite reliability, while the validity was assessed with factor loadings and average variance extracted (AVE) for convergent validity and correlation matrix for discriminant validity. The results are shown in Tables 2 and 3 below.

| Constructs and items          | Factor loading | Mean   | Cronbach’s alpha | Composite reliability | Average variance extracted |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Adoption behaviour           |                | 6.09   | 0.984            | 0.987                  | 0.926                      |
| AB1                          | 0.964          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| AB2                          | 0.962          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| AB3                          | 0.948          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| AB4                          | 0.977          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| AB5                          | 0.963          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| AB6                          | 0.958          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| Attitude                     |                | 5.80   | 0.952            | 0.962                  | 0.807                      |
| AT1                          | 0.894          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| AT2                          | 0.914          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| AT3                          | 0.889          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| AT4                          | 0.900          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| AT5                          | 0.907          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| AT6                          | 0.884          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| Behavioural intention        |                | 5.78   | 0.930            | 0.950                  | 0.827                      |
| BI1                          | 0.921          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| BI2                          | 0.920          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| BI3                          | 0.903          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| BI4                          | 0.893          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| Conditional value            |                | 5.05   | 0.849            | 0.882                  | 0.652                      |
| CV1                          | 0.794          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| CV2                          | 0.732          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| CV3                          | 0.806          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| CV4                          | 0.890          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| Emotional value              |                | 5.55   | 0.899            | 0.937                  | 0.832                      |
| EMV1                         | 0.915          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| EMV2                         | 0.932          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| EMV4                         | 0.889          |        |                  |                        |                            |
| Epistemic value              |                | 5.70   | 0.925            | 0.941                  | 0.727                      |
| EV1                          | 0.823          |        |                  |                        |                            |
Table 3: Test of Discriminant Validity

|                          | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9     |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Adoption behaviour       | 0.962 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Attitude                 | 0.356 | 0.898 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Behavioural intention    | 0.360 | 0.792 | 0.909 |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Conditional value        | -0.019| 0.345 | 0.327 | 0.808 |       |       |       |       |       |
| Emotional value          | 0.246 | 0.778 | 0.754 | 0.360 | 0.912 |       |       |       |       |
| Epistemic value          | 0.326 | 0.752 | 0.734 | 0.337 | 0.729 | 0.853 |       |       |       |
| Functional value         | 0.437 | 0.776 | 0.757 | 0.280 | 0.670 | 0.721 | 0.886 |       |       |
| Perceived behavioural control | 0.371 | 0.781 | 0.773 | 0.359 | 0.764 | 0.720 | 0.798 | 0.858 |       |
| Social value             | 0.255 | 0.716 | 0.712 | 0.379 | 0.770 | 0.725 | 0.627 | 0.709 | 0.929 |

Note: Square root of AVE reflected diagonally

Table 2 shows that the respondents agreed to statements measuring the constructs with the means all above 5 on a 7-point Likert scale. The Cronbach’s alpha and composite reliability scores for the constructs were all above the minimum threshold of 0.70, as recommended by Hair, Hult, Ringle and Sarstedt (2017). Composite trait reliability was therefore confirmed. Factor loadings for all 45 items varied between 0.732 and 0.945. The AVE value for each of the constructs was above the recommended 0.5 (Hair et al., 2017). Convergent validity was therefore confirmed. The discriminant validity was assessed by determining whether the square root of the AVEs exceeded the corresponding inter-construct correlations and according to Fornell-Larcker’s criterion (Hair et al., 2017). It is evident from Table 3 that this was indeed the case. Therefore, discriminant validity was confirmed.
4.3 Structural model: Hypothesis testing

Table 4 below presents the results of the tested hypotheses.

| Path Coefficient | T Statistics | P Value | Result | R² | f² |
|------------------|-------------|---------|--------|----|----|
| Functional value -> Attitude (H1) | 0.260 | 6.455 | 0.000 | Supported | 81% | 0.053 |
| Emotional value -> Attitude (H2) | 0.301 | 5.826 | 0.000 | Supported | | 0.007 |
| Conditional value -> Attitude (H3) | 0.026 | 1.550 | 0.121 | Not Supported | | 0.026 |
| Epistemic value -> Attitude (H4) | 0.491 | 11.450 | 0.000 | Supported | | 0.170 |
| Social value -> Attitude (H5) | -0.074 | 1.494 | 0.135 | Not Supported | | 0.006 |
| Social value -> Behavioural intention (H6) | 0.093 | 2.539 | 0.011 | Supported | 83.4% | 0.153 |
| Attitude -> Behavioural intention (H7) | 0.510 | 7.912 | 0.000 | Supported | | 0.297 |
| PBC -> Behavioural intention (H8) | 0.358 | 5.781 | 0.000 | Supported | | 0.196 |
| PBC -> Adoption behaviour (H9) | 0.242 | 3.344 | 0.001 | Supported | 24.3% | 0.025 |
| Behavioural intention -> Adoption behaviour (H10) | 0.148 | 1.998 | 0.046 | Supported | | 0.118 |

Table 4 shows that South African black middle-class consumers’ attitudes toward green appliances were positively and significantly influenced by the functional value, emotional value, and epistemic value. Hence H₁, H₂ and H₄ were supported. Conditional value and social value did not significantly influence attitude. Consequently, H₃ and H₅ could not be accepted. The five values explained 81% of the variance in attitude.

Attitude, social value and PBC positively and significantly impacted behavioural intention, explaining 83.4% of it. Thus, H₆, H₇ and H₈ were accepted. Behavioural intention and PBC positively and significantly influenced the adoption of green appliances and explained 24.3% of it. Therefore, H₉ and H₁₀ were supported.

The f² effect size (also known as R-square change effect) is a measure that calculates changes in the R² should a specific exogenous construct be omitted from the model (Garson, 2016). f² values of 0.02, 0.15 and 0.35 respectively were used as guidelines for small, medium, and large effect sizes of the predictive variables (Cohen, 1988). As shown in Table 4, we found a small effect of functional value and conditional value and a very small effect of emotional value and social value on attitudes. Epistemic value was found to have a medium effect on attitudes. Attitudes, social value, and perceived behavioural control were found to also have a medium effect on behavioural intention. Lastly, the results showed that perceived behavioural control and behavioural intention had a small effect on adoption behaviour.

The final step in assessing the structural model was to assess the predictive relevance of the three endogenous constructs (attitudes, behavioural intention, and adoption behaviour) using Stone-Geisser’s Q² statistic (Geisser, 1975; Stone, 1974). SEM-PLS requires a measure of predictive capability which uses an approach known as the ‘blindfolding procedure’. A cross-validated redundancy greater than 0 shows that there is predictive relevance while a value less than 0 indicates that the model lacks predictive relevance (Chin, 1998). In this study, the model was found to have predictive relevance, as the cross-validated redundancy result (the Stone-Geisser test Q²) was 0.653 (attitude), 0.645 (behavioural intention) and 0.165 (adoption behaviour), all of which are greater than 0.

5. Discussion and implications

The adoption of non-conventional and sustainable products such as green appliances, which are often perceived to be more expensive, requires the integration of theories to gain a richer understanding of attitudes and behaviour drivers. Considering that about 80% of South-African middle class uses appliances, this study integrated two theories (TCV and TPB) to examine the drivers of black middle-class consumers’ attitudes, behavioural intention, and adoption of green appliances. It was found that black
middle-class consumers not only held strong positive attitudes towards green appliances, but 50% had in fact purchased such appliances. The positive attitude was driven by functional, emotional, and epistemic benefits (values) expected from the appliances.

Epistemic value (β = 0.491) made the greatest contribution to attitude formation. This result supports the findings of Barber et al. (2014) in the USA and Biswas and Roy (2015) in India who also found that the quest for novelty and knowledge about a product (epistemic value) were among the top drivers of green product interest. Other studies (Chen and Chang, 2012; Suki, 2013) have found that functional value was the important player in stimulating green product purchase intentions. It was encouraging to note that emotional value, which Koenig-Lewis et al. (2014) describe as the intrinsic feelings of doing something good both for one’s wellbeing and that of the environment, influenced South African black middle class consumers’ green appliances attitudes. This finding supports the results of Koenig-Lewis et al. (2014) which showed that Norwegian consumers’ BI to buy beverages packaged with organic material was influenced by emotional value. There is a saying in South Africa that ‘if you feel good about something, you do good about that thing’. If a large and influential market segment such as the South African black middle class were to develop positive attitudes about green appliances because they felt good about them, the demand for sustainable products would grow. This would greatly reduce energy and water consumption in the country, which is currently a cause for concern.

It was, however, worrying to find in this study that conditional value, which includes the well-known threat of resource depletion in South Africa – did not significantly influence the country’s large middle-class consumers’ attitudes towards green appliances. Considering the ongoing water and electricity shortages in South Africa, it was expected that conditional value would strongly trigger consumers’ green appliance attitudes, as found by Gadenne et al. (2011) in Australia. However, in India, an emerging economy such as South Africa, Biswas, and Roy (2015) also failed to validate the relationship between conditional value and green product interest.

Even though green consumption is often viewed as an altruistic act, Arruda-Filho, Cardoso and Barboza (2019) expressed concern that pro-environmental actions (e.g., taking public transport to save fuel, car and parking costs instead of reducing carbon emission) may be done for selfish or individualistic reasons. Conditional values are more related to environmental values (Sangroya and Nayak, 2017). The extent to which emerging market consumers feel good about green products for their personal cost savings and interests (emotional and functional values) and are happy that they own a new innovation (epistemic value), may indicate that they are individually motivated to buy green appliances. This assumption stems from the fact that functional, emotional, and epistemic values may be related more with personal values than altruistic ones (Arruda-Filho et al., 2019). This, however, needs empirical confirmation by studying the strength of emerging market consumers’ environmental and altruistic values. Nonetheless, the positive attitudes towards green appliances derived from functional, epistemic, and emotional values are good news for marketers. Zhang et al. (2020) suggest that two of these values (functional and emotional) impact willingness to pay the premium price associated with green appliances.

This study found a negative relationship between social value and attitudes, even though this relationship was not significant. This means that even though previous studies have pointed to social pressures and peer opinion as important drivers of green purchase decision-making (Dhurup, 2012; Wahid et al., 2011), for South African middle class consumers, social pressures and opinions appear to be rather demotivating, although not significantly. This could be another indication that the consumers in this study held favourable attitudes towards green appliances for personal as opposed to social reasons.

The social pressure and opinions in our finding, together with developed attitude and PBC, significantly impacted BI. This finding is corroborated by Chekima, Wafa, Igau, Chekima and Sondoh (2016), Lorek and Fuchs (2013) and Wang et al. (2014). Our findings validate the TBP in explaining green product BI in a multicultural and socio-demographically diverse country like South Africa. It can therefore be contended that individuals who hold positive attitudes, experience social benefits, and have favourable PBC are more likely to engage in sustainable behaviour. The likelihood of performing the sustainable behaviour can be better explained if the TCV is also incorporated into the TBP, as done in the current study. Our integration of the TBP and TCV explained a greater percentage (83.4%) of BI compared to Lin and Huang (2012) and Taylor (2015), who could only explain 48% and 26.7% of BI respectively.
using a single theory. Thus, to increase the BI propensity of the large and profitable black middle class, marketers and environmental practitioners should start by promoting the consumption values to be gained from such products. This would enhance positive attitudes by 81% and BI by 83.4%, as proven in this study. Thus, while PBC, attitudes and subjective norms are important BI drivers (as posited by the TPB), we show that consumption values are also important in boosting BI. These findings not only shed light on drivers of green product consumption, but they also provide insights into the black middle class, which is a large, lucrative, and fast-growing market segment in South Africa.

The integration of the TPB and TCV explained only 24.3% of actual adoption. This suggests that in addition to BI and PBC, which significantly influenced actual adoption in this study, there are other factors that can translate BI into action. These could be marketing factors in terms of creating awareness of the benefits of green appliances, reasonable pricing and the promotion of the good price, especially since green products are perceived to be more expensive (Barber et al., 2014; Biswas and Roy, 2015) as well as the wider distribution and availability of the products. Other factors that can translate BI into actual adoption could be regular in-store training and even demonstrations of the relative advantage, lower complexity and greater compatibility of green appliances compared to conventional ones. These factors and perceived cost could – according to DOI theory – increase adoption rates. Future studies should therefore integrate the DOI for a three-theory explanation of green appliance adoption. While our two-theory model greatly explained BI and can be a good framework to explain BI for other pro-environmental products in other emerging markets, the suggested three-theory model could improve the explanation of actual adoption, which is rarely studied.

6. Research limitations and future research opportunities

Notwithstanding the contributions of this study, there are several limitations which could be addressed in future studies. The sample used for this study was selected from only one geographical area, namely, the Gauteng Province in South Africa. Gauteng houses the country’s economic capital (Johannesburg) and political capital (Pretoria). It also has the highest proportion of people classified as black middle class. However, the findings could be better generalised if black middle-class consumers were studied in other, less affluent provinces.

Even though various demographic characteristics of the black middle class were considered, future studies could use bigger sample sizes for better generalisation.

Using structured questionnaires may have limited respondents’ views on the consumption values they expected and enjoyed from green appliances. A qualitative design through in-depth interviews and focus groups could provide other insights into consumption values and drivers of attitude and green appliance behaviour.

Even though behavioural intention influenced actual adoption in the current study, further research is needed to identify factors that would better close the gap between intention and actual behaviour. Given the importance of environmental sustainability, it is necessary to understand which factors can influence consumers to purchase green appliances and to improve the variance explained in actual adoption.

7 Conclusion

Water and electricity shortages are becoming more frequent in South Africa. Such shortages could be mitigated if the country’s black middle-class consumers, most of whom own appliances, were to adopt green ones. This study thus assessed attitude towards green appliances and their adoption. The study also integrated the TPB and TCV to examine drivers of attitude, BI and actual adoption. The integrated theoretical model explained high percentages of attitude and BI compared to previous studies that used only a single theory. However, the integrated model provided a weak explanation of actual adoption, thus suggesting the extension of the model to include other constructs or theories.

In addition to the theoretical contribution of this study, especially in the area of sustainable consumer behaviour, this study provides marketers, environmental interest groups and policy makers with valuable insights which could be used to tailor messages to persuade South Africa’s large and
lucrative black middle class to start and continue using green appliances. Future studies could assist by identifying other factors that can translate BI into actual adoption.
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