Prognostic Significance of Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio and C-Reactive Protein/Albumin Ratio in Luminal Breast Cancers With HER2-Negativity
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Purpose: This study was determined to evaluate the prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and C-reactive protein/albumin ratio (CAR) prior to surgery in luminal breast cancers (BC) with HER2-negativity.

Methods: The clinical data of 708 HER2-negative luminal BC patients from January 2013 to December 2016 were retrospectively collected and analyzed. The optimal cut-off value of NLR and CAR were determined via receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The disease-free survival (DFS) and cancer specific survival (CSS) rates were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method. Cox univariate and multivariate proportional hazards regression models were performed to identify significant predictors of DFS and CSS simultaneously.

Results: The mean age of the patients diagnosed was 52.43 years (range, 15–95 years), and the median follow-up was 62.71 months (range, 12-92 months). Univariate and multivariate analysis confirmed that NLR ≥2.2 was significantly associated with worse DFS (HR=2.886, 95%CI=1.756-4.745, p<0.001), and same results were obtained in terms of CSS (HR=3.999, 95%CI=2.002-7.987, p<0.001). Similarly, CAR ≥0.07 was independently and significantly associated with poor DFS (HR=3.858, 95%CI=2.346-6.345, p<0.001) and CSS (HR=6.563, 95%CI=3.558-12.106, p<0.001).

Conclusion: Preoperative evaluation of NLR and CAR were significant and independent prognostic indicators for luminal breast cancers with HER2-negativity.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BC) is the most commonly diagnosed malignancy in women worldwide (1), with an estimated 20.9 million new cases and 626,679 deaths in 2018 (2). In China, the incidence and the mortality of BC account for 12.2% and 9.6% of the world respectively, and the growing trend has posed a serious threat to the health of women (3). Therefore, it is necessary to find a cheap, accurate and easily-available way to assess the prognosis of BC patients.
The systemic inflammatory state of the host and the inflammatory response in the tumor microenvironment are closely related to the proliferation, invasion and metastasis of tumor cells (4, 5). In recent years, inflammatory parameters such as neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR), C-reactive protein/albumin ratio (CAR) and Glasgow Prognostic Score (GPS) have been reported as useful indicators for predicting the prognosis of various solid cancers, including BC (6–10). At present, the study concerning the correlation between NLR and the outcome of BC has become a research hotspot, most studies have shown that the elevation of NLR in peripheral blood is associated with worse prognosis in BC. In the most recent meta-analyses (11, 12), high NLR predicted poorer OS and DFS in BC patients, especially in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) patients. Controversial results were obtained when the predictive value of NLR was studied among HER-2+ BC patients administered with trastuzumab. Tiainen et al. (13) found that among the HER-2+ patients without trastuzumab therapy as adjuvant treatment, the survival rates of patients with high NLR were significantly lower compared with those with low NLR. On the contrary, Ding et al. (14) found that NLR did not have prognostic value among HER-2+ patients without trastuzumab treatment, yet could predict the prognosis of those who received trastuzumab treatment for 1 year. Additionally, the 3-year DFS of the low NLR group was also found to be significantly higher than that of the high NLR group (95.3% vs 90.5%, P=0.011). The majority of studies focused on the correlation between NLR and HER2-positive BC, whereas only a minority of studies investigated the possible predictive role of NLR in luminal BC with HER2-negativity (15, 16).

Recently, CAR has been reported to be an independent risk factor in several cancers, including esophageal cancer (17), gastric cancer (18), pancreatic cancer (19) and ovarian cancer (20) included, yet its prognostic role in BC remains to be elucidated. One study reported that an increased CAR before surgery was associated with a poor outcome in BC (9), yet another suggested that there was no correlation between CAR and BC outcome (21).

Taken together, this study is conducted to evaluate the prognostic value of NLR and CAR on DFS and CSS for luminal BC patients with HER2-negativity.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patient Enrollment**

A total of 708 breast cancer patients undergoing surgery between January 2013 and December 2016 at the Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital Affiliated to Zhejiang University School of Medicine were enrolled for inclusion in this retrospective study. All patients with a histopathologically confirmed BC and molecular pathologically confirmed luminal BC with HER-2 negative were included in the study. The exclusion criteria were as follow: 1) Patients with carcinoma in situ, terminal breast cancer on stage IV, inflammatory BC, and male sex; 2) Patients with other malignancies, inflammatory, autoimmune or hematological diseases, or in an immunosuppressed condition; 3) Patients without standard treatments based on the NCCN guidelines; 4) Patients without complete clinical data and death from non-tumor causes. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital Affiliated to Zhejiang University School of Medicine according to the Declaration of Helsinki. There is no need for the informed consent of individual patients in written forms since this was a retrospective observational study.

**Pathological Characteristics**

All histological data were reviewed by three pathologists. Hormonal status of Estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) was measured using Immunohistochemistry (IHC). Tumors with nuclear expression levels ≥ 1% were considered positive. HER-2 status was determined by IHC or fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). It was considered positive in the following situation: the IHC score was at least 3; or more than 2.2 times greater HER2 signals than CEP-17 signal in tumor cells was thought to be HER-2 amplification by FISH. The molecular types included in this study were ER positive and/or PR positive and HER2-negative, which could be further divided on the basis of Ki67 expression levels as follow: Luminal A, Ki67 < 14%, n=316; Luminal B, Ki67 ≥ 14%, n=392 (22).

**Laboratory Data**

NLR and CAR values within one week before treatment were retrieved from medical records. NLR was defined as neutrophil counts divided by lymphocyte counts. And neutrophil and lymphocyte counts were measured automatically using Mindray CAL8000 hematological analyzers (Mindray Corporation, Shenzhen, China). CAR was defined as the ratio of serum C-reactive protein (CRP) level divided by the serum albumin (Alb) level. Both CAR and Alb were measured automatically using Beckman Coulter AU5800 automatic biochemical analyzer (Beckman Coulter Corporation, California, USA).

**Follow-Up**

Patients were followed-up regularly after the diagnosis of breast cancer. Follow-up was scheduled for every month in the first three months following the diagnosis, every six months for the first two years, and then every year. In this study, we set October 2020 as the deadline for follow-up. DFS was defined from the time of the diagnosis to the first event, including recurrence, metastasis and death. CSS was defined from the time of diagnosis to the death by cancer or the end of follow-up.

**Statistical Analysis**

SPSS Statistics version 25.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis. The ROC curve was established to determine the optimal cut-off value of NLR and CAR. The relationship between clinicopathological...
characteristics and NLR or CAR levels were calculated by Fisher’s exact test or chi-squared test. The DFS and CSS rates were estimated by the method of Kaplan–Meier analysis and differences in survival among different groups were compared using the Log-rank test. Uni- and multivariate prognostic analyses were performed to identify significant predictors of CSS and DFS using the Cox proportional hazards regression model. P-values < 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patients Baseline Characteristics
We identified 918 patients who completed the treatment of luminal BC with HER2-negativity, and 708 patients were eligible for analysis. The reasons for the excluded patients are summarized in Figure 1, and the baseline characteristics of included patients were displayed in Table 1. The mean age at diagnosis was 52.43 years (range, 15–95 years). The median follow-up was 62.71 months (range, 12-92 months). During follow-up, 77 patients suffered from progression of the disease, and 45 patients died. The DFS and CSS rates were 89.1% and 93.6%, respectively.

The Cut-Off Values of NLR and CAR
Determined by the ROC curves, the optimal cut-off values of NLR and CAR were 2.2 and 0.07, and the corresponding areas under the curves (AUCs) were 0.688(95%CI: 0.628-0.748) and 0.696(95%CI: 0.633-0.759), respectively (Table 2 and Figure 2). Patients were divided into low and high ratio groups based on cutoff values. 59.7% of the patients (423) had a low NLR (<2.2), and 40.3% (285) had a high NLR (≥2.2). 86.2% of patients (610) were categorized as low CAR (<0.07) and 13.8% (98) as high CAR (≥0.07).

Associations Between Clinicopathological Characteristics and NLR or CAR Levels
Our analysis revealed that there were significant associations between NLR levels and Age (p=0.001), Menopausal status (p=0.01), Chemotherapy type (p=0.009). Similarly, CAR was strongly associated with Age (p=0.001), BMI (p=0.001), Menopausal status (p=0.001), Lymph node metastasis status (p=0.001), TNM grade (p=0.001), Histology type (p=0.006) and Operation type (p<0.001) (Table 3).

Prognostic Significance of the NLR and CAR
As shown in Figure 3, the Kaplan–Meier curve was performed to analyze the DFS and CSS according to the cut-off values of NLR and CAR. The rate of DFS in BC patients was 89.1%, with a mean DFS of 60.76 (range 1–92) months. The DFS rate was lower in the group of NLR≥2.2 than that in the group of NLR<2.2 (81.8%...
TABLE 1 | Clinicopathologic Characteristics of 708 luminal breast cancers with HER2-negativity.

| Characteristics                   | Number (%) |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Age (years)                       |            |
| <50                               | 346 (48.9) |
| ≥50                               | 362 (51.1) |
| BMI                               |            |
| <24                               | 446 (63.0) |
| ≥24                               | 262 (37.0) |
| Menopausal status                 |            |
| Pre-                              | 362 (51.1) |
| Post-                             | 327 (46.2) |
| Unknown                           | 19 (2.7)   |
| Tumor size                        |            |
| <2cm                              | 449 (63.4) |
| ≥2cm                              | 259 (36.6) |
| Lymph node metastasis             |            |
| Negative                          | 607 (85.7) |
| Positive                          | 101 (14.3) |
| TNM grade                         |            |
| I                                 | 422 (59.6) |
| II                                | 224 (31.6) |
| III                               | 62 (8.8)   |
| Histology type                    |            |
| Infiltrating ductal carcinoma     | 635 (89.7) |
| Infiltrating lobular carcinoma    | 15 (2.1)   |
| Others                            | 57 (8.1)   |
| Luminal subtype                   |            |
| Luminal A                         | 316 (44.6) |
| Luminal B                         | 392 (55.4) |
| Operation type                    |            |
| Modified radical mastectomy       | 375 (53.0) |
| Breast-conserving surgery         | 249 (35.2) |
| Mammaryctomy                      | 72 (10.2)  |
| Others                            | 12 (1.7)   |
| Chemotherapy                      |            |
| Neoadjuvant chemotherapy          | 66 (9.3)   |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy             | 362 (51.1) |
| No chemotherapy                   | 280 (39.6) |
| Radiotherapy                      |            |
| No                                | 310 (43.8) |
| Yes                               | 398 (56.2) |
| Characteristics                   |            |
| Age (years)                       |            |
| <50                               | 346 (48.9) |
| ≥50                               | 362 (51.1) |
| BMI                               |            |
| <24                               | 446 (63.0) |
| ≥24                               | 262 (37.0) |
| Menopausal status                 |            |
| Pre-                              | 362 (51.1) |
| Post-                             | 327 (46.2) |
| Unknown                           | 19 (2.7)   |
| Tumor size                        |            |
| <2cm                              | 449 (63.4) |
| ≥2cm                              | 259 (36.6) |
| Lymph node metastasis             |            |
| Negative                          | 607 (85.7) |
| Positive                          | 101 (14.3) |
| TNM grade                         |            |
| I                                 | 422 (59.6) |
| II                                | 224 (31.6) |
| III                               | 62 (8.8)   |
| Histology type                    |            |
| Infiltrating ductal carcinoma     | 635 (89.7) |

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) Analyses of NLR and CAR in luminal breast cancers with HER2-negativity.

| Variables          | Cut-Off Value | AUC (95% CI) | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| NLR                | 2.2            | 0.688 (0.628-0.748) | 0.675 | 0.628 |
| CAR                | 0.07           | 0.696 (0.633-0.759) | 0.429 | 0.902 |

FIGURE 2 | The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and c-reactive protein/albumin ratio (CAR).
vs. 94.1%, P<0.0001) (Figure 3A). Similar results were obtained among the group of CAR≥0.07 and the group of CAR<0.07 (66.3% vs. 92.8%, P<0.0001) (Figure 3B). The rate of CSS in BC patients was 93.6%, with a mean CSS of 62.71 (range, 12-92) months. Similarly, longer CSS rate was recognized in the group of NLR<2.2 (97.2% vs. 88.4%, P<0.0001) (Figure 3C), and the group of CAR<0.07 (96.6% vs. 75.5%, P<0.0001) (Figure 3D).

### Univariable and Multivariable Analyses for DFS

By univariable analysis, Tumor size (P<0.001), Lymph node metastasis status (P<0.001), TNM stage III (P<0.001), Operation type (P=0.002), Chemotherapy type(P<0.001), NLR (P<0.001) and CAR (P<0.001) were significant predictors for DFS. In multivariate analysis that included these significant factors, NLR≥2.2 (HR=2.886, 95%CI=1.756-4.745, p<0.001) and CAR≥0.07 (HR=3.858, 95%CI=2.346-6.345, p<0.001) were significantly and independently associated with worse DFS.

The risk of progression was increased in patients who received other types of operation (HR 0.458; P=0.005) than those who received modified radical mastectomy (Table 4).

### Univariable and Multivariable Analyses for CSS

Tumor size(p=0.008), Lymph node metastasis status (p<0.001), TNM stage III (p<0.001), Luminal subtype(p=0.032), Operation type (p=0.015), Chemotherapy type(P<0.001), NLR (P<0.001) and CAR (P<0.001) were significant predictive factors for CSS by univariate analysis (Table II). Multivariate analysis was then conducted to evaluate all potential prognostic factors. The results indicated that the NLR≥2.2 (HR=3.999, 95%CI=2.002-7.987, p<0.001), CAR ≥0.07 (HR=6.563, 95%CI=3.558-12.106, p<0.001), Luminal B subtype (HR=2.912, 95%CI=1.464-5.794, p=0.002), other operation types (HR=2.964, 95% CI=1.055-8.331, p=0.039) were essentially and independently associated with worse CSS (Table 5).

### TABLE 3 | Clinicopathological characteristics of breast cancers according to neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) or c-reactive protein/albumin ratio (CAR) levels.

| Characteristics | NLR<2.2 (n=423) | NLR≥2.2 (n=285) | p-Value | CAR<0.07 (n=610) | CAR≥0.07 (n=98) | p-Value |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Age (years)** |                 |                 |         |                 |                 |         |
| <50             | 185 (43.7%)     | 161 (56.5%)     | 0.001   | 316 (61.8%)     | 30 (30.6%)      | 0.001   |
| ≥50             | 238 (56.3%)     | 124 (43.5%)     |         | 294 (48.2%)     | 68 (69.4%)      |         |
| **BMI**         |                 |                 | 0.305   |                 |                 |         |
| <24             | 260 (61.5%)     | 186 (65.3%)     |         | 403 (66.1%)     | 43 (43.9%)      |         |
| ≥24             | 163 (38.5%)     | 99 (34.7%)      |         | 207 (33.9%)     | 55 (56.1%)      |         |
| **Menopausal status** |     |                 | 0.01    |                 |                 | 0.001   |
| Pre-            | 200 (48.4%)     | 162 (58.7%)     |         | 327 (55.1%)     | 35 (36.8%)      |         |
| Post-           | 213 (51.6%)     | 114 (41.3%)     |         | 267 (44.9%)     | 60 (63.2%)      |         |
| **Tumor size**  |                 |                 | 0.283   |                 |                 | 0.066   |
| <2cm            | 275 (65.0%)     | 174 (61.1%)     |         | 396 (64.8%)     | 54 (55.1%)      |         |
| ≥2cm            | 148 (35.0%)     | 111 (38.9%)     |         | 215 (35.2%)     | 44 (44.9%)      |         |
| **Lymph node metastasis** |     |                 | 0.108   |                 |                 | 0.001   |
| Negative        | 370 (87.5%)     | 237 (83.2%)     |         | 535 (87.7%)     | 72 (73.5%)      |         |
| Positive        | 53 (12.5%)      | 48 (16.8%)      |         | 75 (12.3%)      | 26 (26.5%)      |         |
| **TNM grade**   |                 |                 | 0.093   |                 |                 | 0.001   |
| I               | 257 (60.8%)     | 165 (57.9%)     |         | 377 (61.8%)     | 45 (45.9%)      |         |
| II              | 137 (32.4%)     | 87 (30.5%)      |         | 190 (31.1%)     | 34 (34.7%)      |         |
| III             | 29 (6.9%)       | 33 (11.6%)      |         | 43 (7.0%)       | 19 (19.4%)      |         |
| **Histology type** |                 |                 | 0.444   |                 |                 | 0.006   |
| Infiltrating ductal carcinoma | 374 (88.6%) | 261 (91.6%) |         | 553 (90.7%) | 82 (84.5%) |         |
| Infiltrating lobular carcinoma | 10 (2.4%) | 5 (1.8%) |         | 15 (2.5%) | 0 (0) |         |
| Others          | 30 (9.0%)       | 19 (6.7%)       |         | 42 (6.9%)       | 15 (15.5%)      |         |
| **Luminal subtype** |                 |                 | 0.116   |                 |                 | 0.549   |
| Luminal A       | 199 (47.0%)     | 117 (41.1%)     |         | 275 (45.1%)     | 41 (41.8%)      |         |
| Luminal B       | 224 (53.0%)     | 168 (58.9%)     |         | 335 (54.9%)     | 57 (58.2%)      |         |
| **Operation type** |                 |                 | 0.216   |                 |                 | <0.001 |
| Modified radical mastectomy | 225 (53.2%) | 150 (52.6%) |         | 314 (51.5%) | 61 (62.2%) |         |
| Breast-conserving surgery | 147 (34.8%) | 102 (35.8%) |         | 226 (37.0%) | 23 (23.5%) |         |
| Mamectomy       | 47 (11.1%)      | 25 (8.8%)       |         | 64 (10.5%)      | 8 (8.2%)        |         |
| Others          | 4 (0.9%)        | 8 (2.8%)        |         | 6 (1.0%)        | 6 (6.1%)        |         |
| **Chemotherapy** |                 |                 | 0.009   |                 |                 | 0.09    |
| Neoadjuvant chemotherapy | 32 (7.6%) | 34 (11.9%) |         | 51 (8.4%) | 15 (15.3%) |         |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy | 206 (48.7%) | 156 (54.7%) |         | 315 (51.6%) | 47 (48.0%) |         |
| No chemotherapy | 185 (43.7%)     | 95 (33.3%)      |         | 244 (40.0%)     | 36 (36.7%)      |         |
| **Radiotherapy** |                 |                 | 0.175   |                 |                 | 0.811   |
| No              | 194 (45.9%)     | 116 (40.7%)     |         | 266 (43.6%)     | 44 (44.9%)      |         |
| Yes             | 229 (54.1%)     | 169 (59.3%)     |         | 344 (56.4%)     | 54 (55.1%)      |         |

All of our variables with p-values less than 0.05 are in bold.
DISCUSSION

Luminal BC with HER2-negativity is the most common subtype of BC and has the best prognosis compared to other subtypes (23). However, studies on long-term survival have shown that a proportion of HER2-negative luminal BC patients can still relapse and metastases, resulting in poor prognosis. Thus, reliable prognostic factors are needed for further classification in order to personalize treatment and follow-up. Current methods used to predict the prognosis of patients with BC include determination of the tumor node metastasis (TNM) stage, Ki67 expression, receptor status and genetic testing (24–26). However, these prognosis-related tests can be invasive and cost time and money. By contrast, blood-based tests for inflammatory parameters are simple, maneuverable, cheap and easily promoted.

At present, it has become a consensus that inflammatory response carries a key role in the pathophysiology of tumor. Recently, substantial evidence have shown that inflammatory biomarkers are considered to correlate with the prognosis of various malignancies, including BC (6–9). NLR, one of the most frequently applied indicators, has been confirmed to be an independent prognostic indicator in BC, but its significance as a prognostic factor for each BC subtype remains unclear (15). In addition, CAR, a novel index related with inflammation and nutrition, has been demonstrated as a predictor for the prognosis of several cancers (17–20), yet previous studies did not correlate CAR with the prognosis of luminal BC with HER2-negativity. Given the fact that few studies investigated the value of NLR and CAR as prognostic markers for luminal BC with HER2-negativity, we attempted to investigate the predictive value of NLR and CAR on DFS and CSS for luminal BC patients with HER2-negativity by univariate and multivariate analyses.

Our research revealed that NLR and CAR were independent prognostic factors for luminal breast cancers with HER2-negativity. Univariate and multivariate analysis confirmed that lower NLR (<2.2) was significantly associated with favorable DFS (P<0.001), as well as CSS (P<0.001). Similarly, lower CAR (<0.07) was independently and significantly associated with longer DFS (P<0.001) and CSS (P<0.001). Our results were consistent with the previous studies. Bun et al. (15) retrospectively studied 677 operated BC patients and identified...
that the prognostic significance of NLR is limited to ER-positive/HER2-negative breast cancers, low NLR was an independent predictor for better prognosis in this subgroup (p=0.022). Noh et al. (27) reported that high NLR (≥2.5) predicted lower disease-specific survival in 442 BC patients and luminal A subtype was the only intrinsic subtype in which patients with higher NLR showed significantly poor prognosis (87.7% vs. 96.7%, p=0.009). Koh et al. (16) evaluated the predictive value of NLR in a retrospective study among 157 ER/PR positive and HER-2 negative BC patients who have received neoadjuvant chemotherapy. In this study, univariate and multivariate Cox analysis showed that NLR was an independent prognostic factor for RFS (P=0.001) and OS (P<0.001). CAR was developed as a prognostic score for patients with sepsis and was later widely used for several cancers, including esophageal cancer (17), gastric cancer (18), pancreatic cancer (19) and ovarian cancer (20). However, there was few studies focusing on the prognostic role of CAR in BC. Zhou et al. (9) reported that an increased preoperative CAR (≥0.07) was an independent risk factor for decreased OS (HR, 9.189; P=0.003) and DFS (HR, 2.252; P=0.024) in patients with non-metastatic BC. By contrast, Wang et al. (21) identified that there was no association between elevated CAR (≥0.34) and the survival of BC patients with skeletal metastases in multivariate analysis. There are several explanations for these contradicting results such as differences in the optimal cut-off value for CAR, the selection of study subjects, the timing of the pre-treatment blood samples and the follow-up time.

| Characteristics                             | n  | Univariate analysisHR (95% CI) | p-Value | Multivariate analysisHR (95% CI) | p-Value |
|---------------------------------------------|----|--------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                                 |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| <50                                         | 346|                                | 1       |                                 |         |
| ≥50                                         | 362| 1.246 (0.795-1.955)             | 0.766   |                                 |         |
| BMI                                         |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| <24                                         | 446| 1                               |         |                                 |         |
| ≥24                                         | 262| 1.072 (0.678-1.695)             | 0.669   |                                 |         |
| Menopausal status                           |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| Pre                                         | 362| 1                               |         |                                 |         |
| Post                                        | 327| 1.104 (0.702-1.736)             |         |                                 |         |
| Tumor size                                  |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| <2cm                                        | 449| 1                               | <0.001  | 1                                | 0.229   |
| ≥2cm                                        | 259| 2.431 (1.548-3.818)             |         | 1.824 (0.685-4.856)             | 0.348   |
| Lymph node metastasis                      |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| Negative                                    | 607| 1                               |         |                                 |         |
| Positive                                    | 101| 4.567 (2.894-7.206)             | <0.001  | 1.567 (0.813-4.007)             |         |
| TNM grade                                   |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| I                                           | 422| 1                               |         |                                 |         |
| II                                          | 224| 1.474 (0.843-2.576)             | 0.174   | 0.629 (0.200-1.981)             | 0.428   |
| III                                         | 62 | 8.659 (5.084-14.706)            | <0.001  | 1.740 (0.419-7.232)             | 0.446   |
| Histology type                              |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| Infiltrating ductal carcinoma               | 635| 1                               | 0.954   |                                 |         |
| Infiltrating lobular carcinoma              | 15 | 0                               | 0.722   |                                 |         |
| Others                                      | 57 | 1.152 (0.530-2.505)             |         |                                 |         |
| Luminal subtype                             |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| Luminal A                                   | 316| 1                               | 0.054   |                                 |         |
| Luminal B                                   | 392| 1.591 (0.992-2.552)             |         |                                 |         |
| Operation type                              |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| Modified radical mastectomy                 | 375| 1                               |         |                                 |         |
| Breast-conserving surgery                   | 249| 0.393 (0.218-0.709)             | 0.002   | 0.661 (0.343-1.326)             | 0.189   |
| Mammectomy                                  | 72 | 0.193 (0.047-0.794)             | 0.023   | 0.338 (0.079-1.440)             | 0.142   |
| Others                                      | 12 | 9.573 (4.696-19.518)            | <0.001  | 5.444 (2.253-13.155)            | <0.001  |
| Chemotherapy                                |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| Neoadjuvant chemotherapy                    | 66 | 1                               |         |                                 |         |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy                       | 362| 0.304 (0.174-0.530)             | <0.001  | 0.882 (0.444-1.751)             | 0.719   |
| No chemotherapy                             | 280| 0.242 (0.131-0.447)             | <0.001  | 0.894 (0.362-2.210)             | 0.809   |
| Radiotherapy                                |    | 0.506                           |         |                                 |         |
| NLR                                         |    |                                |         |                                  |         |
| <2.2                                        | 423| 1                               | <0.001  |                                 | <0.001  |
| ≥2.2                                        | 285| 3.321 (2.061-5.352)             | 1.756-4.745 |                 |         |
| CAR                                         |    |                                | <0.001  |                                 | <0.001  |
| <0.07                                       | 610| 1                               |         |                                 |         |
| ≥0.07                                       | 98 | 5.624 (3.577-8.841)             |         | 3.858 (2.346-6.345)             |         |

All of our variables with p-values less than 0.05 are in bold.
A high neutrophil level has been implicated to play a pivotal role in promoting the development of tumor. Neutrophils can release a series of proteins or chemokines, such as Neutrophil Elastase (NE), myeloperoxidase (MPO), cytokines, fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2), matrix metalloproteinase-9 and VEGF to promote tumor proliferation, invasion and angiogenesis (28–30). In addition, neutrophils can produce arginase-1 and hydrogen peroxide to inhibit the lytic activity of activated T cells, natural killer cells, and lymphocytes, thereby causing disorder in the immune system (31, 32). A recent basic study suggested that neutrophils can cluster around circulating tumor cells (CTCs), induce tumor cell aggregation, and help tumor cells survive by hiding them from immune surveillances (33). Lymphocytes are the main components involved in tumor immune response and serve as an anti-tumor role either by directly killing tumor cells through their lymphocyte-mediated cytotoxicity, or by activating the immune system to release multiple cytokines (34). Besides, low lymphocyte counts are thought to be associated with inadequate immune response, which may increase the risk of tumor recurrence or metastasis (35). Therefore, elevated NLR (increased neutrophils and/or decreased lymphocytes) might be related to immunodeficiency in luminal BC patients with HER2-negativity, which may partly explain why NLR can be used as a prognostic indicator in BC.

CRP is well-known as an acute phase inflammatory marker, which is synthesized by hepatocytes and induced by pro-inflammatory cytokines. Increased levels of CRP in serum have been reported to be associated with invasion, metastasis and poor

| Table 5 | Univariable and multivariable analyses of CSS in Luminal BC with HER2-negativity. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Characteristics | n | Univariate analysisHR (95% CI) | p-Value | Multivariate analysisHR (95% CI) | p-Value |
| Age (years) | | | | | |
| <50 | 346 | 1 | | | 0.068 |
| ≥50 | 362 | 1.765 (0.968-3.249) | | | |
| BMI | | | | | |
| <24 | 446 | 1 | | | 0.674 |
| ≥24 | 262 | 1.137 (0.626-2.064) | | | |
| Menopausal status | | | | | |
| Pre | 362 | 1 | | | |
| Post- | 327 | 1.477 (0.813-2.682) | | | |
| Tumor size | | | | | |
| <2cm | 449 | 1 | | | 0.008 |
| ≥2cm | 259 | 2.222 (1.234-4.001) | | | 0.774 |
| Lymph node metastasis | | | | | |
| Negative | 607 | 1 | | | <0.001 |
| Positive | 101 | 4.620 (2.557-8.349) | | | 0.846 |
| TNM grade | | | | | |
| I | 422 | 1 | | | |
| II | 224 | 1.297 (0.602-2.794) | | | 0.965 |
| III | 62 | 8.634 (4.401-18.938) | | | 0.071 |
| Histology type | | | | | |
| Infiltrating ductal carcinoma | 635 | 1 | | | |
| Infiltrating lobular carcinoma | 15 | 0 | | | |
| Others | 57 | 1.101 (0.394-3.072) | | | |
| Luminal subtype | | | | | |
| Luminal A | 316 | 1 | | | |
| Luminal B | 392 | 2.026 (1.063-3.859) | | | 0.002 |
| Operation type | | | | | |
| Modified radical mastectomy | 375 | 1 | | | |
| Breast-conserving surgery | 249 | 0.358 (0.157-0.818) | | | 0.266 |
| Mammectomy | 72 | 0.354 (0.084-1.482) | | | 0.477 |
| Others | 12 | 10.833 (4.734-24.79) | | | 0.039 |
| Chemotherapy | | | | | |
| Neoadjuvant chemotherapy | 66 | 1 | | | 0.280 |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy | 362 | 0.229 (0.108-0.484) | | | 0.503 |
| No chemotherapy | 280 | 0.318 (0.152-0.665) | | | |
| Radiotherapy | | | | | |
| No | 310 | 1 | | | |
| Yes | 398 | 1.067 (0.591-1.928) | | | |
| NLR | | | | | |
| <2.2 | 423 | 1 | | | <0.001 |
| ≥2.2 | 285 | 4.252 (2.196-8.233) | | | 0.001 |
| CAR | | | | | |
| <0.07 | 610 | 1 | | | <0.001 |
| ≥0.07 | 98 | 8.066 (4.489-14.493) | | | <0.001 |

All of our variables with p-values less than 0.05 are in bold
In conclusion, our results suggested that elevated NLR and CAR prior to treatment were both significantly and independently correlated with poorer DFS and CSS in luminal breast cancers with HER2-negativity. Given their economical, convenient and highly operative features, NLR and CAR are promising to be used as an effective method of identifying patients with poor prognosis in daily clinical practice and help to provide a more accurate treatment timely. In addition, whether anti-inflammatory therapy can improve the sensitivity to chemotherapy drugs and the prognosis among BC patients still need to be further explored in the future.
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