Composition formulas of binary eutectics
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The present paper addresses the long-standing composition puzzle of eutectic points by introducing a new structural tool for the description of short-range-order structural unit, the cluster-plus-glue-atom model. In this model, any structure is dissociated into a 1st-neighbor cluster and a few glue atoms between the clusters, expressed by a cluster formula \([\text{cluster}]_{\text{glue}}\). This model is applied here to establish the structural model for eutectic liquids, assuming that a eutectic liquid consist of two subunits issued from the relevant eutectic phases, each being expressed by the cluster formula for ideal metallic glasses, i.e., \([\text{cluster}]_{\text{glue}}\). A structural unit is then composed of two clusters from the relevant eutectic phases plus 2, 4, or 6 glue atoms. Such a dual cluster formulism is well validated in all boron-containing (except those located by the extreme phase diagram ends) and in some commonly-encountered binary eutectics, within accuracies below 1 at.%. The dual cluster formulas vary extensively and are rarely identical even for eutectics of close compositions. They are generally formed with two distinctly different cluster types, with special cluster matching rules such as cuboctahedron plus capped trigonal prism and rhombidodecahedron plus octahedral antiprism.

In a typical eutectic reaction, two solid phases transform to or from a single liquid phase at a specific composition and temperature. In spite of the obvious fundamental as well as engineering interests in such alloys, important especially for their low-melting points, the structures and compositions of eutectic liquids remain open issues. It is widely accepted that a eutectic liquid is characterized by topologic and chemical short-range-order clusters that maintain certain similarities with the eutectic phase structures1–9. These alloys in liquid state at temperatures not far from the melting point were considered as cluster solutions, where these clusters are self-associated atomic groups or chemically ordered structural units1. According to first-principles molecular dynamics simulations for liquid and undercooled eutectic alloys Au-(Si,Ge) at various temperatures2, the local structure presents a well-defined chemical short-range order that enhances dissimilar element interactions in contrast with random solid mixture and may explain the high stability of the liquid phase on the basis of preferential Au-(Si,Ge) bonds. However, the available information on the short-range-order structures in eutectic melts is far from complete and the structures and composition rules of eutectics are largely unknown.

Necessarily a short-range-order structural model is required for any understanding about the structures and compositions of the eutectic points. Actually binary eutectic compositions frequently occur near simple composition ratios10 such as 8/1, 5/1, 3/1, 2/1 and 3/2. Based on Frank’s conjecture11 that icosahedral cluster might be responsible for liquid undercooling, these ratios were tentatively explained as chained arrangements of icosahedral clusters with the lowest solute atom neighbors12. These simple ratios were recently addressed13 using the dense cluster-packing model for metallic glasses14. The eutectic liquid was treated as being composed of efficiently packed solute-centered atomic clusters where are present four topologically distinct atomic sites. Choosing different coordinate close-packing clusters and changing the occupation style of the interstitial solutes, simple ratios of binary eutectics could be reached. A similar model for metallic glasses was also proposed15 where shared quasi-equivalent clusters are packed into an icosahedral-like structure, which explained some binary eutectics and metallic compositions near the solid solution side of binary phase diagrams. However, all these efforts fail in interpreting quantitatively the occurrence of eutectics at various and specific compositions. For this objective, a structural model, enabling a quantitative description of short-range orders, is required to describe precisely the eutectic liquid structure. It is reasonable to anticipate that a structural unit, reflecting the characteristic short-range ordering in the liquid, should be present for a specific eutectic composition.
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We have developed a so-called cluster-plus-glue-atom model that suits specifically for short-range-order structure descriptions in quasicrystals, amorphous alloys\cite{15, 16}, and solid solutions\cite{17}. In this model, any structure is dissociated into a 1st-neighbor coordination polyhedral cluster and a glue-atom part that are situated outside the cluster part at the 2nd neighbors and beyond. Then the structure can be expressed by a cluster formula \([\text{cluster}] + \text{glue atoms}\), where the cluster is the coordination polyhedron representative of the 1st-neighbor short-range order of the structure (notice that the term cluster may mean any agglomeration of atoms in a general sense, but here we confine the cluster concept to cover only the 1st-neighbor coordination polyhedra), and the glue atoms between the clusters mark the short-range-order feature on and beyond the 2nd neighbors. For a bulk metallic glass, the number of glue atoms is either 1 or 3\cite{18, 19, 20}. It was further pointed out\cite{19, 20} that the total number of valence electrons per unit cluster formula for an ideal bulk metallic glass is universally about 24, so that the cluster formula for a bulk metallic glass resembles the ‘molecular’ unit of a chemical substance\cite{20}. This formula can also be understood as arising from a certain spatial averaging scheme of a complicated disordered structure into a short-range-order structural unit of a dozen of atoms, covering only the first few neighbors, generally 1st and 2nd. It should be emphasized that the clusters are assumed to be isolated from each others in metallic glasses, which is necessary to avoid the center-shell type of nearest-neighbor short-range orders to develop into longer-range ones. Solid-solution alloys have been treated in a similar manner, because they are also characterized by chemical short-range orders, and the formulas well explain the industrial specification composition selection, as exemplified by Cu-Zn and Cu-Ni alloys\cite{17}.

In the following, the cluster-plus-glue-atom structural model and the relevant composition formulas for eutectic liquids will first be proposed, and then boron-containing binary eutectics will be fully covered using this model. It will be shown that, at least for normal eutectics whose compositions do not fall too close to the phase diagram terminals, the model applies perfectly, which arrives at formulated eutectic compositions within accuracies below 1 at.% from experimental ones.

**Cluster-plus-glue-atom model for binary eutectic liquids**

A binary eutectic alloy liquid normally decomposes in a coupled growth mode into two eutectic phases with distinct composition differences. It is then reasonable to assume that the eutectic liquid would contain two subunits that evolve towards their respective eutectic phases. Thereof we propose the first assumption for modeling the eutectic liquids:

1) A eutectic liquid is comprised of two subunits issued from the two eutectic phases.

As already stated, the compositions at which metallic glass stability reaches the maximum are well expressed by cluster formulas out of eutectic/devitrification phases in accordance with the cluster-plus-glue-atom model\cite{16}. Since metallic glasses can be regarded as frozen liquids, their formulas in fact describe some stable liquids that resist crystalization upon solidification. Also metallic glass formation is generally associated with eutectics. Thereof we introduce the second assumption:

2) Each subunit is described by a cluster formula of ideal metallic glasses, expressed as \([\text{cluster}](\text{glue atoms})\), or \(3\) where the final eutectic composition is expressed by a dual cluster formula:

\[
[\text{cluster}_\alpha + \text{cluster}_\beta](\text{glue atoms})_2 \text{ or } 4 \text{ or } 6
\]

where the two clusters in the brackets belong respectively to the two liquid subunits and are inherited from corresponding eutectic phases \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\).

The eutectic composition interpretation then relies on the identification of the right clusters from the eutectic phases. In explaining a bulk metallic glass composition, the cluster is taken from a known crystalline phase, assuming local structural heritage between the two states. In a given crystal structure, however, there are often multiple nearest-neighbor clusters (centered by any non-equivalent site in unit cell is defined a cluster). Among the multiple clusters, there must be at least one cluster, termed ‘the principal cluster’\cite{21}, that represents the dominating short-range order feature of the structure. This principal cluster should be the most strongly bonded part in the structure, which leads to high cluster isolation and atomic dense packing, as well as high elastic coefficients\cite{22}. The atomic dense packing of a cluster could be measured by the center-to-shell atomic radius ratios, because an ideally densely-packed cluster of certain coordination number (CN) shows a special such ratio\cite{14}. Cluster isolation refers to the cluster size reduction to account for the commonly present cluster overlapping in crystals, and the principal one should show the highest cluster separation.

For instance, the BCo3 phase (CFe3 structure type, space group Pnma, the crystal structure data are all from Pearson’s handbook\cite{23}) contains sixteen atoms in its unit cell, which belong to three non-equivalent sites: four B at (0.881, 0.25, 0.431), four Co at (0.044, 0.25, 0.837), and eight Co (0.181, 0.063, 0.337). Centered by these sites are defined three clusters, capped trigonal prism CN9 \([\text{B-Co}_9]\)

\[
\text{CN15}[\text{Co-B}_3\text{Co}_{12}]
\]

and CN14 \([\text{Co-B}_3\text{Co}_{12}]\) (Fig. 1). In expressing a cluster, the central atom is placed first and is separated from the nearest-neighbor shell atoms by a hyphen. Their atomic radius ratios of the central atom over that of the shell atoms are respectively 0.70, 1.07, and 1.07, calculated from the B covalent radius of 0.088 nm and the Co Goldschmidt radius of 0.125 nm. All being quite close to those of the ideally dense-packed clusters of CN9...
In the above example, the phase structure is completely occupied by the clusters and the phase formulas expressed using the clusters do not contain the glue atom part. In many cases, such as in B₄Y, glue atoms are involved. There are four non-equivalent sites in the unit cell of B₄Y (B₄Th structure type, space group P4/mmm), four B at (0, 0, 0.2027), four Y at (0.3179, 0.8179, 0), four B at (0.0871, 0.5871, 0.5), and eight B at (0.1757, 0.0389, 0.5). Four clusters are then defined centered by each of the four non-equivalent sites, i.e., [B-B₄], two square pyramids [B-B₅], and [Y-B₁₈Y₅]. Among them, only the Y-centered cluster [Y-B₁₈Y₅] gives a satisfactory atomic radius ratio of 1.67, calculated from the central atom B radius of 0.088 nm and the averaged atomic radius B₁₈Y₅ of 0.108 nm (0.18 nm for Y), which compares favorably with the ideal value of 1.61 for the CN23 cluster. The reduced clusters after overlapping are respectively [B-B₁], [B-B₂], [B-B₃], and [Y-B₄]. In terms of the absolute size, the last one is the largest but in comparison with the complete cluster size, it is not. Such a contradiction actually originates from the fact that glue atoms are involved: the phase formulas expressed by the four clusters are respectively [B-B₁]B₂Y, [B-B₂]BY, [B-B₃]Y, and [Y-B₄]. Since the principal cluster should dominate the phase structure, the smallest number of glue atoms are desirable, so that the last one [Y-B₁₈Y₅] is finally selected.

In many cases, the principal clusters can be directly identified from the phase formulas, where the largest phase formula, the smallest cluster size reduction, and the smallest number of glue atoms serve the fingerprints for the principal clusters. A phase formula can simply be arrived at by matching the atomic multiplicity of each atom in unit cell. For instance, to reach the phase formula expressed by the [B-Co₉] cluster, the multiplicity of the central B atom being 4, and those of the two Co non-equivalent sites bring 4 and 8, the reduced cluster becomes [B₁-Co₉Co₁₂] = [B-Co₉], which is also the phase formula for the absence of glue atoms here. The use of atomic dense packing is restricted, for atoms are not strictly spherical of constant atomic radii. In the following, the phase formula characteristics are mainly used to determine the principal cluster.

After choosing the principal clusters from two eutectic phases, according to formula (1), one pair of such clusters are matched with two, four, and six glue atoms, giving a dual cluster formula for the eutectic liquid. In the following, B-Co eutectics are explored in detail to exemplify the composition interpretation procedures using the principal clusters from respective eutectic phases.

The B-Co system contains three eutectic points, exemplifying three major types, metal-compound, compound-compound, and compound-boron (Fig. 2). In the present paper, the phase diagrams are all redapted from ref. 24.

The eutectic B₁₈.₅Co₈₁.₅ involves eutectic phases α-Co and BCo₉. α-Co has the Mg structure, which presents a unique twinned cuboctahedron CN12 cluster, typical for the hexagonal close-packed metals. This CN12 [Co-Co₁₂] cluster together with CN9 [B-Co₉] from BCo₉, plus four B glue atoms, explain the experimental eutectic as
where the clusters come from Co (Mg) and BCo3 (CFe3), as shown in Fig. 2 (the cluster configurations are also marked) and Table 1.

The eutectic B37Co63 involves eutectic phases BCo2 and BCo. BCo2 is of the AlCu2 type and presents two clusters, B-centered octahedral antiprism CN10 \([B_2Co_8]\) and Co-centered CN15 \([Co-B_3Co_{12}]\).

The phase formulas expressed by the two clusters are \([B-Co_2]\) and \([Co-B_{0.5}]\), respectively. The principal cluster is then the former cluster \([B_2Co_8]\) that produces a larger phase formula. This is also a common cluster type in eutectic formulas.

BCo is of the BFe structure and presents two types of clusters, capped trigonal prism CN9 \([B-B_2Co_7]\) and CN13 \([Co-B_7Co_6]\). The \([B-B_2Co_7]\) cluster has the same geometry as \([B-Co_9]\), but with two of the three capping Co atoms replaced by B atoms. The phase formulas expressed by the two clusters are respectively \([B-Co]\) and \([Co-B]\), both containing two atoms. Both are taken as the principal clusters. The eutectic B37Co63 is explained using both clusters, as shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1:

\[
[B_2Co_8 + B_2Co_7] \approx \frac{100 \times 5}{22} - 18.5 \text{ at.} \%
\]

\[
[CoB_{10} + CoB_{12}] \approx \frac{100 \times 10}{27} - 37.0 \text{ at.} \%
\]

\[
[B_2Co_{14}] \approx \frac{100 \times 14}{23} - 61 \text{ at.} \%
\]

where the clusters come from BCo2 (Al2Cu) and BCo (BFe).

The eutectic B61Co39 involves BCo and \(\beta\)-B. Although the boron structures are generally analyzed as based on B12 icosahedron, the unique local structure in terms of the nearest-neighbor coordination polyhedron is, however, the pentagonal pyramid \([B_{Bi}3]\), and the commonly-used B12 icosahedron is actually formed by twelve such pyramids enclosing an empty center.

The B-richest eutectic is explained as

\[
[B_3Co_{19}] \approx \frac{100 \times 14}{23} - 61 \text{ at.} \%
\]

where the clusters come from BCo (BFe) and \(\beta\)-B, as shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1. Again the two principal clusters from BCo are both used.

The deviations between the calculated and experimental compositions are respectively (100*5/22−18.5)*√2 ≈ 0.0 (a scale of √2 should be used to reach the real composition distance), (100*10/27−37.0)*√2 ≈ 0, (100*14/23−61)*√2 ≈ −0.1 at.% B (the negative sign means that the calculated composition is slightly B leaner than the experimental one), which are well below the normal experimental accuracy of about 1 at. %. The deviations are listed in Table 1 and are not mentioned below because they all lie within 1 at.% accuracy.

In reaching the final eutectic formulas using the two principal clusters from relevant eutectic phases, different combinations of glue atoms have been attempted and in general there is only one solution that fits the experimental eutectic point. For instance, the principal clusters \([Co-Co_{12}]\) from eutectic phase Co and \([B-Co_3]\) from eutectic phase BCo2, in combination with different glue atoms \((B,Co)_{2,4,6}\), produce dual cluster formulas \([Co-Co_{12} + B-Co_3] (B,Co)_{2,4,6}\), with their total number of atoms per unit formula being 25, 27, and 29. These numbers times the

---

**Figure 2. Interpretation of B-Co eutectic points.** The dual-cluster formulas come from eutectic phases \(\alpha\)-Co-BCo3, BCo2-BCo, and BCo-\(\beta\)-B.
The boron-containing systems are analyzed in the sequence of element groups in the periodic table.

Experimental eutectic compositions result in:
- $B_{18.5}Co_{81.5}$
- $B_{24.2}Pd_{75.8}$
- $B_{37.0}Co_{63.0}$
- $B_{61.4}Mn_{38.6}$
- $B_{13.9}Nb_{86.1}$
- $B_{82.6}Sc_{17.4}$
- $B_{51.5}Nb_{48.5}$
- $B_{61.4}Ta_{38.6}$
- $B_{13.8}Zr_{86.2}$

In the next section, well-established boron-containing binary eutectics are analyzed in the same manner, and their interpretations using dual cluster formulas are shown.

### Eutectic Formulations

| Exp. eutectics | Cal. Eutectics (deviation in at.% B) | Eutectic phases (structural types) | Dual cluster formulas and near-integer ratios |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| $B_{11.6}Be_{4.4}$ | $B_{11.6}Be_{4.4}$ (−0.4) | $BeBe$ ($BBe_4$) + α-Be (Mg) | [B-Be + B-Be]$\gamma_1$, B-Be = B-Be + BBe$_4$ |
| $B_{5.8}Co_{9.2}$ | $B_{5.8}Co_{9.2}$ (0.3) | α-Sc (Mg) + $B_{5.8}Co_{9.2}$ (AlB$_2$) | [Sc-$Sc_{9.2}$ + B-$Sc_{9.2}$][BSc$_9$] = B-$Sc_{9.2}$ |
| $B_{23.2}Sc_{14.9}$ | $B_{23.2}Sc_{14.9}$ (−0.6) | $B_{23.2}Sc_{14.9}$ (AlB$_2$) + $B_{12}Sc_{2}$ (B$_2$U) | [Sc-$Sc_{14.9}$ + Sc-$Sc_{14.9}$][B$_{23}Sc_{2}$] = B-$Sc_{14.9}$ |
| $B_{18.3}Sc_{12.9}$ (0.5) | [B-$Sc_{12.9}$ + Sc-$Sc_{12.9}$][B$_{18}Sc_{2}$] = B-$Sc_{12.9}$ |
| $B_{8.6}Sc_{6.0}$ | $B_{8.6}Sc_{6.0}$ (0.2) | $B_{8.6}Sc_{6.0}$ (B$_2$U) + β-B | [B-$Sc_{6.0}$ + B-$Sc_{6.0}$][B$_{8}Sc_{2}$] = B-$Sc_{6.0}$ |
| $B_{25.7}Y_{13.3}$ | $B_{25.7}Y_{13.3}$ (0.6) | α-Y (Mg) + $B_{25.7}Y_{13.3}$ (AlB$_2$) | [Y-$Y_{13.3}$ + Y-$Y_{13.3}$][B$_{25}Y_{2}$] = B-$Y_{13.3}$ |

Table 1. Eutectic compositions in boron-containing binary systems and their interpretations using dual cluster formulas from eutectic phases. The deviation between the calculated and the measured compositions are also shown.

Experimental eutectic composition result in:
- $B_{18.5}Co_{81.5}$
- $B_{24.2}Pd_{75.8}$
- $B_{37.0}Co_{63.0}$
- $B_{61.4}Mn_{38.6}$
- $B_{13.9}Nb_{86.1}$
- $B_{82.6}Sc_{17.4}$
- $B_{51.5}Nb_{48.5}$
- $B_{61.4}Ta_{38.6}$
- $B_{13.8}Zr_{86.2}$

In the next section, well-established boron-containing binary eutectics are analyzed in the same manner, and their interpretations using dual cluster formulas are shown. Dual cluster formulas are also explained.

### Dual Cluster Formulas

The boron-containing systems are analyzed in the sequence of element groups in the periodic table.

1) IIA element Be

Among the B-Be binary systems, only B-Be presents a eutectic-type phase diagram at $B_{11.6}Be_{4.4}$ bounded by

eutectic phases α-Be and BBe$_4$. α-Be has the same Mg structure as α-Co, and its cluster type is the CN12
twinned cuboctahedron [Be-Be$_{12}$]. BBe$_4$ presents four clusters, [B-Be]$_4$, [B-Be-Be]$_6$, [Be-BBe]$_{12}$, and [Be-Be$_{10}$].







The corresponding phase formulas are respectively [B-Be₄], [Be-BBe₄]Be, [Be-BBe₄]Be, and [Be-Be₅]Be. The first cluster [B-Be₄] is chosen as the principal cluster because it generates the largest phase formula of five atoms, [B-Be₄], without glue atoms. The eutectic point is explained with

\[ B_{11.1}\text{Be}_{88.9}\rightarrow \{B_{11.1}+B_{Be_{88.9}}\}B_{Be_{4}}=B_{11.1}\text{Be}_{88.9} \approx B_{11.1}\text{Be}_{88.9}\], where the clusters come from BBe₄ and α-Be (Mg), as shown in Fig. 3 and Table 1.

2) IIIA elements Sc and Y

α-(Sc,Y) of the Mg structure presents the CN12 twinned octahedral cluster.

BSc₂ is of the AlB₂ structure and presents two clusters, [Sc-B₁₂Sc₆] and capped trigonal prism [B-Sc₂Sc₆]. The phase formulas are expressed by the two clusters are [Sc-B₁₂Sc₆] and [B-Sc₂Sc₆]. In transforming from the initial cluster [Sc-B₁₂Sc₆] of 21 atoms to the final phase formula [Sc-B₁₂Sc₆] of 3, the size reduction rate is 3/21 = 0.14, which is comparable to that from [B-Sc₂Sc₆] to [B-Sc₂Sc₆] with a size reduction rate of 1.5/10 = 0.15, signifying that both can be the principal clusters. The eutectic B₁₇Sc₈₃ is explained by [B-Sc₂Sc₆] from BSc₂ and [Sc-Sc₁₂] from Sc (Mg), as shown in Fig. 4 and Table 1:

\[ B_{17.2}\text{Sc}_{83.8}\rightarrow \{Sc-Sc_{12}+B-Sc_{24}\}BSc_{2}=B_{17.2}\text{Sc}_{83.8} \]

The B-rich cluster [Sc-B₁₂Sc₆], containing too much B, cannot explain this eutectic point.

The B₁₃Sc₇ phase is of the U₁₃ structure and presents two clusters, [B-Sc₁₃] (square pyramid) and [Sc-Sc₁₃]. The phase formulas are respectively [B-Sc₁₃] and [Sc-Sc₁₃], so that the latter cluster is selected as the principal cluster for the largest phase formula without glue atoms. The B-rich eutectic B₁₅Sc₁₇ is explained with this [Sc-Sc₁₃], together with [Sc-Sc₁₃] (B-rich) from BSc₂ (Fig. 4 and Table 1), expressed by

\[ B_{13.8}\text{Sc}_{17.2}\rightarrow \{Sc-B₁₅Sc₁₇+Sc-Sc₁₃\}BSc₂=Sc-B₁₅Sc₁₇ \approx B_{13.8}\text{Sc}_{17.2} \]

The B-rich eutectic B₁₄Sc₂₃ is explained with:

\[ B_{94.1}\text{Sc}_{5.9}\rightarrow \{Sc-B₁₄Sc₂₃+B-Sc₂₄\}BSc₂=Sc-B₁₄Sc₂₃ \approx B_{94.1}\text{Sc}_{5.9} \]

New structures encountered in the B-Y system are B₁₃Y and B₁₅Y. The determination of principal cluster [Y-B₁₂Y₆] in B₁₃Y (B₁₃Th structure) has already been discussed earlier. The B₁₃Y phase contains 1936 atoms in its unit cell, and the cluster centered by Y is taken as the principal cluster because it generates a phase formula of the largest size. Geometrically this cluster is expressed as [Y-YB₁₂]. However, due to the complicated site occupancies, the real coordination environment is [Y₀.₅-B₁₂Y₆]. The eutectic B₁₇Y₃ is explained with:

\[ B_{25.5}\text{Y}_{74.5}\rightarrow \{Y-Y_{12}+B-Y_{24}\}B_{Y}=Y_{25.5} \approx Y_{74.1} \]

Thick the clusters are of the same types as the B-Sc case, the glue atoms are different. Actually, as will be further shown, eutectics are rarely the same, even for those of the nearly same chemistries and cluster structures.

The eutectic B₁₇Y₃ is explained with:

\[ B_{25.5}\text{Y}_{74.5}\rightarrow \{B₁₇Y₃+B₁₃Y₆\}B_{Y}=Y_{25.5} \approx Y_{74.1} \]

Though the clusters are of the same types as the B-Sc case, the glue atoms are different. Actually, as will be further shown, eutectics are rarely the same, even for those of the nearly same chemistries and cluster structures.

The determination of cluster matching rules for the two types of clusters of different geometries and chemistries, which constitutes another general property of eutectic formulas.

3) IVA elements Zr and Hf

These systems are characterized by the presence of two terminal eutectics close to the two elemental ends. The B-Ti and all the B-rich eutectics, too close to phase diagram terminals, cannot be explained by any dual cluster formulas. The B₁₃Zr₈₆ and B₁₃Hf₈₇ eutectics will be dealt with here. The former one is bounded by BCC β-Zr (W) and B₁₃Zr (AlB₂). The β-Zr structure presents a unique CN14 rhombi dodecahedral cluster [W-W₁₄], typical for BCC and its superstructures. This cluster, together with the Zr-rich [B₁₂-Zr₂] cluster from B₁₂Zr (AlB₂), explain the experimental eutectic

\[ B_{13.8}\text{Zr}_{86.2}\rightarrow \{B₁₃Zr₈₆+Zr-Zr₈₆\}Zr₂=Zr₂Zr₈₆ \approx B_{13.8}\text{Zr}_{86.2} \]

The B₁₃Hf₈₇ eutectic is bounded by β-Hf (W) and B₁₃Hf (Ca₁₁Na). The latter being characterized by two octahedral clusters [B-Hf₂] and [Hf-B₁₃]. Both clusters, giving phase formulas of the same size of two atoms, but the former one is more densely packed (B being a small atom in the octahedral interstice site of Hf) and is taken as the principal cluster:

\[ B_{13.8}\text{Hf}_{86.2}\rightarrow \{B-Hf₂+Hf-Hf₂\}B_{Hf}_{2}=B_{13.8}\text{Hf}_{86.2} \]

Notice that, again, despite of the extreme similarities between Zr and Hf, even when their eutectics are quite near each other, they corresponds to different eutectic phases and henceforth different dual cluster formulas.

4) VA elements V, Nb, and Ta

These VA elements, like the IV ones, generally form terminal eutectics, except Ta that also forms an intermediate eutectic in the middle part of the phase diagram. New structure types involved are B₁₃V₈₇, B₁₃Nb₈₇, and B₁₃Ta₈₇. B₁₃V₈₇ is of the Si₃U₁₃ structural type and presents rhombi dodecahedron [(V,Nb)-B₁₃(V,Nb)₈₇], capped trigonal prism [B-(V,Nb)-B₁₃(V,Nb)₈₇], and [(V,Nb)-B₁₃(V,Nb)₈₇], the phase formulas expressed by these clusters are respectively:

\[ B_{13.8}\text{V}_{86.2}\rightarrow \{B₁₃V₈₇+B₁₃V₈₇\}B_{V}=B₁₃V₈₇ \approx B_{13.8}\text{V}_{86.2} \]

\[ B_{13.8}\text{Nb}_{86.2}\rightarrow \{B₁₃Nb₈₇+B₁₃Nb₈₇\}B_{Nb}=B₁₃Nb₈₇ \approx B_{13.8}\text{Nb}_{86.2} \]

\[ B_{13.8}\text{Ta}_{86.2}\rightarrow \{B₁₃Ta₈₇+B₁₃Ta₈₇\}B_{Ta}=B₁₃Ta₈₇ \approx B_{13.8}\text{Ta}_{86.2} \]
The first cluster \([\text{B-B} \text{V,Nb})_8]\) should be the principal one for its largest phase formula size of five atoms.

The \(\text{B(Nb,Ta)}_2\) phases are of the \(\text{BCr}\) structure and presents \([\text{B-B} \text{V,Nb})_7]\) and \([\text{B-Nb-Ta})_1\text{B}_7(\text{Nb,Ta})_1\) clusters. The relevant phase formulas are \([\text{B-(Nb,Ta)})\) and \([\text{B(Nb,Ta)}-\text{B}]\), respectively. Like in the \(\text{BFe}\) structure type, both can be used in interpreting eutectics.

The \(\text{B-(V,Nb,Ta)}\) eutectics are well explained using the principal clusters from respective eutectic phases (Figs 8–10 and Table 1):
5) VIA elements Cr, Mo, and W

No new structure type appears in the B-Cr system. The eutectics are all well explained (Fig. 11 and Table 1):
B_{13.5}Cr_{86.5} → [Cr-Cr_{14} + B-B_{2}Cr_{8}]BCr_{3} = B_{4}Cr_{26} ≈ B_{13.3}Cr_{86.7}, where the clusters come from Cr (W) and BCr_{2} (Al_{2}Cu);
B_{53.5}Cr_{46.5} → [Cr-B_{7}Cr_{10} + Cr-B_{12}Cr_{6}]B_{4}Cr_{2} = B_{23}Cr_{20} ≈ B_{53.5}Cr_{46.5}, where the clusters come from BCr and B_{4}Cr_{3} (B_{4}Ta_{3}).

The B-richest eutectic B_{83}Cr_{17} cannot be explained with any dual cluster formulas from phase B_{2}Cr (Al_{2}Cu) and β-B.

The B-Mo eutectic is explained by
B_{23}Mo_{77} → [Mo-Mo_{14} + B-B_{2}Mo_{8}]B_{4} = B_{7}Mo_{23} ≈ B_{26.7}Mo_{73.3} (Fig. 12 and Table 1), where the clusters come from Mo (W) and BMo_{2} (Al_{2}Cu).
B_{2}W_{2} has the structure type B_{5}Mo_{2}, presenting four clusters, [B-B], [B-B0.5W], [B-B0.5W], and [W-B2.5]. [W-B13] should be the principal clusters for the absence of glue atoms in the largest phase formula.

Except the B-richest one, all the B-W eutectics are explained as shown in Fig. 13 and Table 1:
B_{27}W_{73} → [B-W_{14} + B-B_{2}W_{8}]B_{4} = B_{8}W_{22} ≈ B_{26.7}W_{73.3}, where the clusters come from W (W) and B_{2}W_{2} (Al_{2}Cu).
B_{43}W_{57} → [B-B_{2}W_{8} + W-B_{7}W_{10}]B_{5}W = B_{12}W_{16} ≈ B_{42.3}W_{37.7} [B-B_{2}W_{8} + W-B_{7}W_{10}]B_{5}W = B_{12}W_{16} ≈ B_{42.3}W_{37.7} (Fig. 12 and Table 1), where the clusters come from B_{2}W_{2} (Al_{2}Cu) and B-BW (BCr, the W-rich cluster);
B_{63}W_{37} → [B-B_{2}W_{8} + W-B_{7}W_{10}]W_{2} = B_{23}W_{10} ≈ B_{63.0}W_{37.0} [W-B_{2}W_{10} + W-B_{13}B_{12}W_{10} = B_{23}W_{10} ≈ B_{63.0}W_{37.0}, where the clusters come from B_{2}W_{2} (Al_{2}Cu) and B_{2}W_{2} (B_{2}Mo_{3}).

Notice that in explaining eutectic B_{2}W_{2}, the cluster is made B-centered on the basis of the pure metal cluster [W-W_{14} in order to give a satisfactory explanation. This is different from all other B-metals systems, where the clusters retain the pure metal forms. At present, we are unable to give a sound explanation for this special case.

6) VIIA element Mn

No new structure types are encountered in this system. The eutectics are explained as (Fig. 14 and Table 1):
B_{14}Mn_{85} → [Mn-Mn_{14} + B-B_{2}Mn_{8}]BMn = B_{8}Mn_{24} ≈ B_{26.7}Mn_{73.3}, where the clusters come from β-Mn (W) and BMn_{2} (Al_{2}Cu).

Figure 5. Interpretation of B-Y eutectic points. The dual-cluster formulas come from eutectic phases α-Y, B_{2}Y, B_{3}Y-B_{2}Y, and B_{3}Y-B_{2}Y.
B$_{37}$Mn$_{63}$ → [B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Mn$_{8}$ + B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Mn$_{7}$]B$_{4}$Mn$_{2}$ = [B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Mn$_{8}$ + Mn$_{2}$B$_{2}$Mn$_{7}$]Mn$_{2}$ = B$_{10}$Mn$_{17}$ ≈ B$_{37.0}$Mn$_{63.0}$, where the clusters come from BMn$_{2}$ (Al$_{2}$Cu) and BMn (BFe);

B$_{61.5}$Mn$_{38.5}$ → [Mn$_{2}$B$_{12}$Mn$_{6}$ + Mn$_{2}$B$_{12}$Mn$_{6}$]B$_{3}$Mn$_{3}$ = B$_{27}$Mn$_{17}$ ≈ B$_{61.5}$Mn$_{38.5}$, where the clusters come from B$_{2}$Mn$_{3}$ (B$_{4}$Ta$_{3}$) and B$_{2}$Mn (AlB$_{2}$).

The last one presents another example where two identical clusters are involved, similar to B$_{61}$Ta$_{39}$. The B-richest B$_{80}$Mn$_{20}$ is not explained.

7) VIIIA elements Fe, Co, Ni, and Pd

New structure types are $\gamma$-Fe, monoclinic B$_{3}$Ni$_{4}$, orthorhombic B$_{3}$Ni$_{4}$, and B$_{2}$Pd$_{5}$.

$\gamma$-Fe (FCC, Cu type) is characterized by a unique cuboctahedral cluster [Fe-Fe$_{12}$], typical for FCC metals. The B-Fe eutectics are explained below (Fig. 15 and Table 1):

B$_{17}$Fe$_{83}$ → [Fe-Fe$_{12}$ + B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Fe$_{8}$]B$_{2}$Fe$_{4}$ = B$_{25}$Fe$_{25}$ ≈ B$_{16.7}$Fe$_{83.3}$, where the clusters come from $\gamma$-Fe (Cu) and BFe$_{2}$ (AlCu$_{3}$);

B$_{64}$Fe$_{36}$ → [Fe$_{12}$Fe$_{12}$ + B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Fe$_{12}$]B$_{2}$Fe$_{12}$ = B$_{25}$Fe$_{25}$ ≈ B$_{64}$Fe$_{36}$, where the clusters come from BFe (BFe) and $\beta$-B.

The m-B$_{3}$Ni$_{4}$ phase contains four clusters, octahedral antiprism [B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Ni$_{8}$], capped trigonal prism [B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Ni$_{9}$], [Ni$_{2}$B$_{2}$Ni$_{10}$], and [B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Ni$_{10}$]. The phase formulas out of these clusters are [B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Ni$_{8}$], [B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Ni$_{9}$], [Ni$_{2}$B$_{2}$Ni$_{10}$], and [Ni$_{2}$B$_{2}$Ni$_{10}$]. The [B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Ni$_{8}$] cluster that leads to the largest formula [B$_{2}$B$_{2}$Ni$_{8}$] of seven atoms is taken as the principal cluster.
The orthorhombic B₃Ni₄ phase contains seven clusters, [B-Ni₉], [B-B₂Ni₇], [B-B₂Ni₇], [Ni-B₄Ni₁₀], [Ni-B₆Ni₁₁], [Ni-B₇Ni₁₀], and [Ni-B₆Ni₁₁], which give respective phase formulas [B-Ni₄]B₂, [B-Ni₃]B₂Ni, [B-Ni₃]B₂Ni, [B-BNi₄]B, [Ni-B₃Ni₃], [Ni-B₃Ni₃], and [Ni-B₂Ni₃]B. The clusters [Ni-B₆Ni₁₁] and [Ni-B₇Ni₁₀] are selected as the principal clusters for the absence of glue atoms in their respective phase formulas.

The B-Ni eutectics are explained as (Fig. 16 and Table 1):

B₁₇Ni₈₃ → [Ni-Ni₁₂ + B-Ni₉]B₄Ni₂ = B₅Ni₂₄ ≈ B₁₇.₂Ni₈₂.₈, where the clusters come from Ni (Cu) and BNi₃ (CFe₃);

B₃₀Ni₇₀ → [B-Ni₉ + B-B₂Ni₈]B₄Ni₂ = B₈Ni₁₉ ≈ B₂₉.₆Ni₇₀.₄, where the clusters come from BNi₂ (AlCu₂) and o-B₃Ni₄ (both principal clusters are used);

The B₃Ni₄ phase contains seven clusters, [B-Ni₉], [B-B₂Ni₇], [B-B₂Ni₇], [Ni-B₄Ni₁₀], [Ni-B₆Ni₁₁], [Ni-B₆Ni₁₁], and [Ni-B₇Ni₁₀], which give respective phase formulas [B-Ni₄]B₂, [B-Ni₃]B₂Ni, [B-Ni₃]B₂Ni, [B-BNi₄]B, [Ni-B₃Ni₃], [Ni-B₃Ni₃], and [Ni-B₂Ni₃]B. The clusters [Ni-B₆Ni₁₁] and [Ni-B₇Ni₁₀] are selected as the principal clusters for the absence of glue atoms in their respective phase formulas.

The B₃Ni₄ phase contains seven clusters, [B-Ni₉], [B-B₂Ni₇], [B-B₂Ni₇], [Ni-B₄Ni₁₀], [Ni-B₆Ni₁₁], [Ni-B₆Ni₁₁], and [Ni-B₇Ni₁₀], which give respective phase formulas [B-Ni₄]B₂, [B-Ni₃]B₂Ni, [B-Ni₃]B₂Ni, [B-BNi₄]B, [Ni-B₃Ni₃], [Ni-B₃Ni₃], and [Ni-B₂Ni₃]B. The clusters [Ni-B₆Ni₁₁] and [Ni-B₇Ni₁₀] are selected as the principal clusters for the absence of glue atoms in their respective phase formulas.
$B_{45.3}Ni_{54.7} \rightarrow [B-B_2Ni_8 + Ni-B_7Ni_{10}]_{B_6} = B_{45.7}Ni_{54.3}$, where the clusters come from m-B$_3$Ni$_4$ and BNi$_2$(BCr). The B$_2$Pd$_5$ structure presents four clusters, capped trigonal prism [B-Pd$_9$], [Pd-B$_4$Pd$_{12}$], [Pd-B$_4$Pd$_{10}$], and [Pd-B$_3$Pd$_{11}$]. The phase formulas expressed by these clusters are respectively [B-Pd$_{2.5}$], [Pd-B$_{1.5}$Pd$_{4}$], [Pd-B$_{2}$Pd$_{4}$], and [Pd-B$_{1.5}$Pd$_{4}$]. Among them, [Pd-B$_4$Pd$_{10}$] generates the largest phase formula and has a cluster reduction rate of $7/15 \approx 0.47$. It is noticed that [B-Pd$_9$] generates a smaller phase formula of 3.5 atoms, but its cluster reduction rate of $3.5/9 \approx 0.39$ is only slightly below that of [Pd-B$_4$Pd$_{10}$]. This means that both [Pd-B$_4$Pd$_{10}$] and [B-Pd$_9$] can be the principal clusters. The latter one should be more favored to explain the Pd-rich eutectic. Actually, the [B-Pd$_9$] cluster gives better explanations for the eutectics than the [Pd-B$_4$Pd$_{10}$] does, as shown below (Fig. 17 and Table 1):

$B_{24.2}Pd_{75.8} \rightarrow [Pd-Pd_{12} + Pd-B_4Pd_{10}]_{B_4Pd_{2}} = B_{23.5}Pd_{76.5}$, where the clusters come from Pd (Cu) and B$_2$Pd$_5$(the composition deviation of $-0.9$ at.% B being the largest in all the eutectics treated here) or $[Pd-Pd_{12} + B-Pd_{3}]B_8 = B_{24.1}Pd_{75.8}$ using the clusters from Pd (Cu) and BPd$_3$(CF$_{3}$); $B_{14.8}Pd_{65.4} \rightarrow [B-Pd_{9} + B-B_6]_{Pd_{6}} = B_{34.8}Pd_{65.2}$, where the clusters come from B$_3$Pd (CF$_{3}$) and B-Cr.

8) IVB element C

Only C forms a eutectic type phase diagram with B. There is a carbon boride solid solution zone with a rough B$_4$C empirical composition. The B$_4$C$_2$ structure presents four clusters, [B-C$_5$], tetrahedron [C-B$_4$], pentagonal pyramid [B-CB$_5$], and pentagonal pyramid [B-B$_5$]. It should be noticed that in describing borides, we stick to the principal of nearest-neighbor coordination polyhedron, which leads to the pentagonal pyramids, rather than the B$_{12}$icosahedron without central atom as normally used in the literature. The phase formula expressed by these
clusters are respectively \([B-CB_5]B_{12}, [C-B_5B_3]B_3, [B-B_7/B_6C_{1/3}],\) and \([B-B]B_{1/6}C_{1/3}\). Among them, the \([B-CB_5]\) cluster expresses the phase without using glue atoms and is taken as the principal cluster.

Graphite has a layered hexagonal structure, presenting two identical triangular clusters \([C-C_3]\).

The only eutectic point is explained with the pentagonal pyramid \([B-CB_5]\) from \(B_{13}C_2\) and the triangular \([C-C_3]\) from graphite (Fig. 18):

\[
B_{71}C_{29} \rightarrow [B - CB_5 + C - C_3]B_6 = B_{12}C_5 \approx B_{70.6}C_{29.4}.
\]

This B-C system exemplifies the eutectic systems possessing covalent bonding, signifying the universality of the present formulism for binary eutectics.

---

**Figure 12. Interpretation of the B-Mo eutectic point.** The dual cluster formula comes from eutectic phases Mo and BMo₂.

**Figure 13. Interpretation of B-W eutectic points.** The dual cluster formulas come from eutectic phases Cr - BW₂, BW₂ - BW, and BW - B₂W₂.
Dual cluster formulas of some common binary eutectics

Other eutectics are being examined, and the dual cluster formulas are generally preserved but sometimes modifications on clusters are necessary. A few commonly encountered eutectics involving simple structures and pure metals are attempted below.

- C17.3Fe82.7 → [Fe-Fe12 + C-Fe9]C4Fe2 = C5Fe24 ≈ C17.2Fe82.8, where the clusters are from γ-Fe (Cu) and CFe3 (cementite);
- Cr56Ni44 → [Ni-Ni12 + Cr-Cr14]Cr4Ni2 = Cr19Ni15 ≈ Cr55.9Ni44.1, where the clusters come from Ni (Cu) and Cr (W);
- Fe29.5Ti70.5 → [Ti-Ti14 + Fe-Ti8Fe6]Fe3Ti1 = [Ti-Ti14 + Ti-Fe8Ti6]Fe3Ti1 = Fe19Ti14 ≈ Fe29.4Ti70.6, where the clusters come from Fe (W) and FeTi (CsCl, CN14 rhombi-dodecahedral cluster);
- Au81.4Si18.6 → [Au-Au12 + Si-Au4]Si3Au1 = Au18Si4 ≈ Au81.8Si18.2, where the clusters come from Au (Cu) and Si (diamond type structure, assuming Au substitutions on the shell sites of the CN4 tetrahedral cluster);
- Sn85.1Zn14.9 → [Sn-Sn4 + Zn-Sn12]Zn2 = Sn17Zn3 = Sn65Zn13.0, where the clusters come from β-Sn (grey tin, space group 141) and Zn (Mg, assuming Sn substitutions on the shell sites of the CN12 twinned octahedral cluster);
- Al86Si14.8 → [Al-Al12 + Si-Al1]Al12Si = Al12Si = Al12Si12.3, where the clusters come from Al (Cu) and Si (diamond, assuming Al substitutions at the shell sites of the tetrahedral cluster).

In all these interpreted eutectic formulas, the dual cluster form is always retained but the compositions of the pure metal cluster are generally adjusted. At present, the general substitution rules in these pure metal clusters are not completely understood. More examples should be analyzed, which will be the objective of our future research.
Properties of eutectic formulas

Except some terminal eutectics by the B side and all those located extremely close to the other sides, normal eutectics in B-containing binary systems are all well explained with dual cluster formulas formed with clusters from relevant eutectic phases plus appropriate glue atoms of 2, 4, or 6. The eutectic formulas, for B eutectics but not limited to, present the following common properties:

1) Eutectic formulas vary extensively, complicated by different cluster geometries and configurations as well as by the matching of different glue atoms. For instance, two identical pairs of clusters \([\text{Co-Co}_{12} + \text{B-Co}_{9}]\) and \([\text{Ni-Ni}_{12} + \text{B-Ni}_{9}]\), after being matched with different glue atoms, respectively \(\text{B}_{4}\) and \(\text{B}_{4}\text{Ni}_{2}\), point to two different eutectic compositions \(\text{B}_{18.5}\text{Co}_{81.5}\) and \(\text{B}_{17}\text{Ni}_{83}\). In all the 35 eutectics dealt with here, only two identical eutectic formulas are encountered, \(\text{B}_{7}\text{(Ta,Mo)}_{23}\) and \(\text{B}_{27}\text{(Ta,Mn)}_{17}\).

2) A eutectic formula is not constructed from two identical subunits. Even for eutectic compositions expressed with identical clusters like \(\text{B}_{7}\text{(Ta,Mo)}_{23} = [(\text{Ta,Mo})_{12} + \text{B}(\text{Ta,Mo})_{6}]_{2}\), the two subunits cannot be made identical, because the glue atom \(\text{B}_{3}\text{M}_{3}\) cannot be evenly assigned to each cluster.

3) Eutectic formulas are generally formed from two distinct types of clusters. Many cluster types are involved. Common ones are \(\text{CN}_{9}\) capped trigonal prisms, \(\text{CN}_{10}\) octahedral antiprisms, \(\text{CN}_{12}\) octahedra or twinned octahedra, and \(\text{CN}_{14}\) rhombi dodecahedra. This fact indicates that cluster matching...
plays a dominating and yet unknown role in stabilizing a eutectic liquid, and the distinctly different clusters lead
to two eutectic phases of large composition differences. The exceptions are found only for \([\text{Ta}_n\text{Mn}_m]-\text{B}_{12}\text{[Ta}_n\text{Mn}_m]_{3n}}\)
and \(\text{Ta}_n\text{Mn}_m\text{B}_{12}\text{[Ta}_n\text{Mn}_m]_{3n}}\), where the two clusters are identical so that the two subunits should be stabilized by
different but unknown attributions of glue atoms to each cluster.

Regarding the cluster matching rule, the CN12 clusters from FCC and HCP metals are generally associated with
CN9 capped trigonal prisms such as \([\text{Be}_n\text{Co}_n\text{Ni}_n\text{Pd}_n]\) and \([\text{B}_n\text{Sc}_n\text{Y}_n]\). The CN14 clusters from the BCC W
structure are matched to more varieties of clusters, but the occurrence of the CN10 octahedral antiprism clusters is
the most frequent.

4) Simple integer ratios

In confirmation of Kokandale's eutectic puzzle that eutectics occur near simple composition ratios\(^1\), the present
B-containing binary eutectics are especially abundant by composition ratios of 1:6 (the first number representing
B number), 1:5, 1:3, 3:5, 3:2, and 5:2 (Table 1), though more eutectics should be treated in order to give a better
statistic account of the eutectic distribution.

By unveiling the cluster-based formulism, we have actually developed a new tool for the description of liquid
structures, focusing only on their characteristic short-range-order units. Experimental validation of the cluster-based
eutectic structures can now be envisaged, which has long been hindered by the lack of a suitable short-range-order
structural model. Also, our approach and the formulism thereof may provide a practical composition design method
for multi-element eutectic alloys via substitutions of binary eutectic formulas by similar elements.

In conclusion, eutectic structure and composition rule have been addressed using the cluster-plus-glue-atom
model for the description of short-range-order structures. It is assumed that a eutectic liquid consist of two different
subunits issued from the relevant eutectic phases, each being expressed by the cluster formula for ideal metallic
glasses, i.e., \([\text{cluster][glue atom]}\). Such a dual cluster formula is well validated in B-containing eutectics
(except those located by the extreme phase diagram ends). The dual cluster formulas vary extensively and are
always composed of different subunits. They are generally formed with two distinctly different cluster types, with
special cluster matching rules such as cuboctahedron with capped trigonal prism and rhombi-dodecahedron with
octahedral antiprism.
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