Effects of basement structures and Carboniferous basin configuration on evaporite distribution and the development of salt structures in Nordkapp Basin, Barents Sea—Part I
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Abstract
Potential field data, reprocessed regional 2D seismic reflection profiles and 3D seismic tied to wells were used to study the late Devonian-Permian development of the Nordkapp Basin in Barents Sea. The composite basin can be subdivided into the northeastern, central and southwestern segments that developed above a basement that contains elements of the Timanian and Caledonian regimes. The transition between the Timanian and Caledonian structures is positioned below the central basin segment. The rheological properties, locations, orientations and interaction of inherited structures together with two subsequent extensional phases, defined the presalt rift architecture and gave rise to seven subbasins within Nordkapp Basin. During the late Devonian-early Carboniferous NE-SW oriented extension, the basin consisted of two regional half-grabens (northern and southern) separated by an interbasin ridge. During the late Carboniferous, the shift of extension direction to NW-SE orientation reshaped the two regional half-grabens. In particular, an interbasin transfer zone divided the northern regional half-graben by separating its hinged-margin portion (incipient northeastern segment) from the deeper part (incipient central segment). At the same time, the elevated interbasin ridge separated the incipient central and southwestern segments. Internally within the seven subbasins, the evolving structural elements including cross-cutting master faults and structural highs have influenced the deposition and character of the Pennsylvanian-lower Permian layered evaporite sequence and the distribution of subsequent salt structures. We suggest that the syn-rift to early postrift processes, relative depth of each subbasin, arrangement of the structural highs and depositional palaeo-environment all controlled the thickness and facies of the layered evaporite sequence. The latter in combination with the presalt architecture comprised a laterally varying in thickness and character substratum that during the earliest Triassic influenced the sediment routings and deposition of the progradational system arriving in Nordkapp Basin. The study outcomes are pertinent and applicable to other salt-influenced rift basins worldwide.
1 | INTRODUCTION

The Nordkapp Basin is a composite, NE-SW-oriented and elongated basin of regional significance in the southwestern Barents Sea. It has a total axial length of ca. 350 km, and its width varies between ca. 25 and 82 km. The Nordkapp Basin has a pronounced dog-leg shape and can be subdivided into three main segments: the northeastern (NENB), the central (CNB) and the southwestern (SWNB; Figure 1; e.g. Gabrielsen et al., 1990; Gernigon et al., 2018; Hassaan et al., 2020; Rojo et al., 2019). It contains thick Pennsylvanian-early Permian layered evaporite sequence (LES) forming salt ridges, walls and stocks of variable sizes, thicknesses and geometries. The LES consists of interbedded halite/salt of mobile property and nonevaporite rocks such as gypsum, anhydrite and carbonates having nonmobile nature, and their relative presence could influence the development of salt structures (Rowan et al., 2019). The stratified LES is documented in Barents Sea, Pyrenees and Betics of Spain, Permian Basin of Europe, South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, Levant Basin in eastern Mediterranean, Red Sea and Gulf of Suez, Pecaspin Basin, Oman salt basins, Flinders Ranges of Australia, Kuqa Basin of China, La Popa Basin in Mexico, Nova Scotia salt basins and Paradox Basin of the southwestern United States (Rowan et al., 2019 and references therein). The associated top evaporite morphology influences the distribution and thickness of the postsalt Triassic to Cenozoic sequences in the Nordkapp Basin (Rojo et al., 2019). The maximum thickness of the postsalt sedimentary strata in the basin is ca. 9 km (Cedeño et al., 2019; Rojo et al., 2019). Previous studies of the Nordkapp Basin have mainly concentrated on the postsalt evolution steered by salt mobilisation and dynamics alone (e.g. Grimstad, 2016; Koyi et al., 1993, 1995; Nilsen et al., 1995; Rojo & Escalona, 2018; Rowan & Lindso, 2017), whereas the role of the presalt rift architecture in the internal basin segmentation and on the evaporite accumulation and postsalt evolution has been widely overlooked due to low seismic resolution at depth of the available datasets.

Recently, Hassaan et al. (2021) and Rowan and Lindso (2017) demonstrated the impact of the presalt rift architecture on the accumulation of the LES, the subsequent passive diapirism and the rejuvenation of salt structures in the Tiddlybanken Basin (Figure 1a). This basin is situated to the southeast of the Nordkapp Basin but has its basin-axis oriented transverse to the Nordkapp Basin and is also much smaller in size. It is still likely that the Tiddlybanken Basin shares some characteristics with the Nordkapp Basin, when the influence from basement structures on its morphology and structuring is concerned (Hassaan et al., 2021). In light of this work, we now investigate in the Nordkapp Basin the deep presalt rift architecture and its impact on the basin evolution, utilising more comprehensive and better resolution datasets than previous studies. The reprocessed and the pseudo-3D seismic datasets used in the present study were made available to academia for the first time. Through structural and stratigraphic interpretations and analyses within the Nordkapp Basin and nearby regions, our aims are to focus on (a) the detailed structural segmentation and deep basin architecture in relation to the inherited regional structures, (b) the synrift to early postrift LES accumulation, (c) the relation between the mapped evaporite volume and presalt basin architecture and (d) the impact of presalt rift architecture and LES on the subsequent basin evolution. The evaporites in the Nordkapp Basin have been a barrier for good data resolution at depth in previous studies, which has been unfortunate because they represent a key substratum for understanding the subsequent basin evolution. Therefore, the present study unravels the deep (presalt) structural configuration of the Nordkapp Basin and links it to the evaporite accumulations and consequent salt structures.

2 | GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The basement of the greater Barents Sea was affected by the late Neoproterozoic Timanian orogeny that gave rise to NW-SE trending inherited structures (e.g. Barrère et al., 2009,
2011; Faleide et al., 2018; Gabrielsen, 1984; Gee et al., 2006; Pease et al., 2016) and by the Silurian-Devonian Caledonian orogeny that contributed to NE-SW and NNW-SSE trending basement fabric (e.g. Gernigon & Brönner, 2012; Rice et al., 1989; Roberts, 1972; Roberts & Gee, 1985). Several authors have suggested that the late Devonian-Mississippian gravitational/extensional collapse of the offshore part of the Caledonian orogen along inherited NE-SW and NW-SE structures influenced the initiation of the Nordkapp Basin (Breivik et al., 1995; Dengo & Røssland, 1992; Faleide et al., 1993, 2008; Gernigon et al., 2014; Gudlaugsson et al., 1998; Marello et al., 2013; Ritzmann & Faleide, 2007). Recently, Hassaan et al. (2020) inferred that NW-SE trending graben structures mapped in the southeastern Norwegian Barents Sea extend towards the CNB and the SWNB segments of the Nordkapp Basin, following the Timanian inherited structures. It was also suggested that the CNB segment developed due to pre-Mississippian NE-SW extension, whereas the other two segments (NENB and SWNB) resulted from Pennsylvanian NW-SE extension (Gernigon et al., 2018; Hassaan et al., 2020; Rowan & Lindsø, 2017). The Pennsylvanian to early Permian evaporites in the Norwegian Barents Sea were accumulated under warm and arid climate conditions (Larssen et al., 2002), and the LES comprise both mobile (i.e. halite) and nonmobile (i.e. anhydrite) lithologies (Hassaan et al., 2020; Rojo et al., 2019; Rowan & Lindsø, 2017). Cool-water carbonate platform deposits were accumulated at the basin margins due to a shift from arid/warm to temperate depositional environment conditions in the Barents Sea in the middle Permian (Beauchamp, 1994; Stemmerik, 2000).

Triassic sediments from the southeast Urals were accumulated in the Barents Sea during regional subsidence, and by late Triassic the prograding system reached Svalbard, causing deposition of several transgressive-regressive cycles of marine, deltaic and continental clastic sediments (Eide et al., 2018; Glørstad-Clark et al., 2010; Klausen et al., 2015). Several studies suggested that in the Nordkapp Basin, salt diapirism was triggered by early Triassic thick-skinned extension (Jensen & Sørensen, 1992; Koyi et al., 1993, 1995; Nilsen et al., 1995; Rojo et al., 2019; Rojo & Escalona, 2018) and by loading of northwest Triassic progradation of sediments sourced from the Uralides (Dengo & Røssland, 1992; Grimstad, 2016; Rojo et al., 2019; Rowan & Lindsø, 2017). During the Triassic-Jurassic transition, the structural elements in the Barents Sea were slightly reactivated probably due to westward propagating compressional stress from the evolving Novaya Zemlya.
fold-and-thrust belt (Hassaan et al., 2020, 2021; Indrevær et al., 2018; Müller et al., 2019). Minor subsequent reactivation has been suggested for the middle Jurassic and earliest Cretaceous (Hassaan et al., 2020). However, all structural elements were buried beneath the lower Cretaceous shelf-platform sedimentary complex, which prograded towards south to the southeastern Norwegian Barents Sea (Hassaan et al., 2020, 2021; Midtkandal et al., 2020). On the contrary, other researchers described that the thinning of lower Cretaceous strata towards the salt diapirs was attributed to continued growth due to salt supply from the source layer in the Nordkapp Basin (Koyi et al., 1993, 1995; Rojo & Escalona, 2018) or as gravity-induced contraction (Nilsen et al., 1995). The Carboniferous structures in the southeastern Norwegian Barents Sea were reactivated during the Cenozoic by far-field stress propagating from the Eurekan orogeny taken place farther to the northwest (Gabrielsen et al., 1997; Gac et al., 2020; Hassaan et al., 2020). In the Nordkapp Basin, most of the late Cretaceous to Cenozoic strata have been eroded due to late Cenozoic uplift and related preglacial and Plio-Pleistocene glacial erosion episodes (Baig et al., 2016; Henriksen et al., 2011; Lasabuda, Laberg, Knutsen, & Høgseth, et al., 2018; Lasabuda, Laberg, Knutsen, & Safronova, 2018; Rojo et al., 2019; Tsikalas et al., 2012, 2021).

3 | DATA AND METHODS

The magnetic anomaly map from Gernigon et al. (2018) was integrated with the reprocessed seismic data to get better control on the presalt structural interpretation and basement configuration. Furthermore, filtered gravity data were also incorporated to confirm the locations of the salt structures and to compare them with the 3D salt model based on the multi-z seismic interpretation (Figure 2). The seismic database consists of conventional 2D multichannel seismic (MCS) reflection profiles, twelve 3D seismic data surveys and a newly synthetically constructed pseudo-3D seismic cube (J18-NBR-3D) covering an area of 51,500 km² over the entire Nordkapp Basin, the Norsel High and the Veslekari Dome (Figures 1 and 2 and Table 1a). An additional 95,400-km² region in the surrounding of the three mentioned structural elements has been interpreted in order to understand the regional tectonics and basinal context of the southeastern and southwestern Norwegian Barents Sea that is relevant for the Nordkapp Basin evolution. The J18-NBR-3D seismic cube was constructed by TGS by utilising all available 2D multichannel NBR seismic datasets in the Barents Sea, whilst a subset was created covering the study area (Figure 1b). The twelve 3D seismic data surveys (Table 1a) have been utilised to interpret the salt structures and postsalt key horizons with precision. The 2D MCS profiles in the same area are part of several regional surveys with an average line spacing of 3–5 km (Figure 1b and Table 1a). The quality of the NBR06 to NBR14, NPD-BA-11, BARE02, BARE05 and IS-CNBE-06 seismic surveys in the NENB and SWNB segments is fairly good for the mapping of postsalt horizons. However, in the CNB segment the seismic quality depreciates drastically due to the presence of complex salt structures including overhangs, as well as steep dips adjacent to the diapirs. The reprocessed BSSE14-RE, CFI-NBR and IS-CNBE-01 seismic surveys have excellent seismic quality and are mainly utilised for the presalt interpretation, including the base-salt seismic horizon, layered evaporites and rift geometries (Table 1b).
and CFI-NBR surveys were reprocessed by TGS in 2014 and 2018, respectively, utilising a processing sequence that included amongst other: bandwidth enhancement, multiple elimination using linear transforms, Tau-p deconvolution, premigration conditioning, Kirchhoff prestack time migration, anisotropy analysis and radon demultiple. Finally, all available seismic surveys have been used for the postsalt interpretation.

Eleven exploration wells located in the vicinity of the study area have been utilised together with shallow stratigraphic
boreholes (Bugge et al., 1995) on the southern part of the east Finnmark Platform (Figure 1). The well data were utilised to establish well-to-seismic ties (Figure 3), time-to-depth conversion functions and the seismostratigraphic framework for the seismic interpretation in the study area. Formation tops from the wells were used for the subdivision of the upper Palaeozoic to Cenozoic successions. Eleven seismic sequences bounded by 12 seismic horizons were mapped to subdivide the upper Palaeozoic to Cenozoic strata and used to establish the lithostratigraphic and chronostratigraphic sequences (Figure 3 and Table 2). It should be noted that the reflections dated to the base of the Carboniferous (BCa?) and the top of the Serpukhovian (TS) are noncontinuous. Data resolution depreciates somehow below the LES of the Gipsdalen Group (Figure 3 and Table 2), whereas the postsalt seismic reflections mostly are continuous and easily resolved. Selected seismic sections from the excellent resolution reprocessed CFI-NBR and BSSE14RE seismic surveys (Table 1a) were used for the detailed structural and stratigraphic analyses. The salt structures were interpreted in three dimensions using the multi-z interpretation tool (Petrel v.2019, Schlumberger), whilst the J18-NBR-3D pseudo-3D seismic cube was the main input for the creation of the 3D salt model (Figures 1b, 4 and 5). The present-day volume of the mobile salt in the Nordkapp Basin was calculated using the constructed three-dimensional salt model, whilst minor loss of the volume due to Cenozoic uplift/erosion and dissolution processes is not included (Move v.2019.1, Petroleum Experts; Figures 4 and 5). The further subdivision of the evaporites into mobile and nonmobile parts and presalt rift strata was based on seismic facies analysis (Table 2).

4 | BASIN ANATOMY AND STRUCTURAL VARIATIONS

The Nordkapp Basin is a long-lived NE-SW oriented depocenter that evolved over a transitional inherited basement and was influenced by presalt extension, LES accumulation, salt mobilisation and salt structure rejuvenation processes during the late Devonian to the Cenozoic. In this section, we describe the potential field (magnetic and gravity) data that show the considerable basement topography, the highly variable base-salt structural relief based on the seismic data and the distribution of salt structures, along with present-day evacuated salt volume estimates in the different Nordkapp Basin segments (NENB, CNB and SWNB). Together with the formal naming of the first-order structural elements for the area (Norwegian Petroleum Directorate/NPD Gabrielsen et al., 1990; Mattingsdal et al., 2015), in the following sections, we also use informal naming and extensive abbreviations that help us to describe all mapped detailed features
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**FIGURE 3** Stratigraphic framework and key seismic horizons interpreted throughout the study area based on 11 exploration wells (Figure 1a,b). Regional stratigraphy and depositional environment scheme is based on Larssen et al. (2002) modified after Gernigon et al. (2018) and Rojo et al. (2019) and geologic timescale after Gradstein and Ogg (2020). GP: (stratigraphic) group. The seismic-stratigraphic framework is also tied to earlier chrono-stratigraphic schemes for Triassic successions by Glørstad-Clark et al. (2010) and Klausen et al. (2015). TWT, two-way travel time, s [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
The basin is bounded by fault complexes (i.e. Polstjerna, Thor Iversen, Nysleppen and Måsøy) and is dissected by internal cross-cut thick-skinned master faults (i.e. M1–M14) and transfer zones (i.e. northern and southern). The northern and southern transfer zones represent a transfer fault and an antithetic interbasin ridge, respectively (sensu Gawthorpe & Hurst, 1993). The Nordkapp Basin is further subdivided into seven subbasins (SB1–SB7; Figure 6). The characteristics of the salt structures, that is, shape, lateral extent, structural type and orientation are also presented in Table 3. We informally named the most prominent, branched and complex salt structure in the CNB segment as ‘Dragon foot (DF) salt structure’ (Figure 5 inset). The DF salt structure consists of several connected walls with different orientations. The present-day average thickness of the evacuated salt from the LES is calculated for each subbasin as the cumulative volume of mobile salt divided by the area of the subbasin (Figure 4). The present-day estimated volume of each salt structure is added accordingly to the respective subbasin, that is, SB1–SB7, where the corresponding salt structure is present (Figures 5a and 6c; Table 4). The calculated mobile salt thickness is based on the present-day three-dimensional structural geometry of the salt structures (Figure 4c,d). However, minor volume loss due to Cenozoic uplift/erosion and dissolution processes is not included in the current calculations along with the residual nonmobile evaporites (Figure 5c).

4.1 | Basement inherited structures and regional structural configuration

The potential field (magnetic and gravity) data show that the surroundings of the Nordkapp Basin possess a considerable basement topography and complex basinal configuration (Figure 7). The contrasting Timanian and Caledonian deformational regimes can be separated in space. It is possible to identify assumed zones of weakness that likely promoted basin initiation in later events of extension phases in the Nordkapp Basin (Figures 2a,b and 7). On the Finnmark Platform, the magnetic data exhibit arch-shaped anomalies that we attribute to the Caledonian nappes of the Middle Allochthon basement and are ascribed as structural lineations (Gernigon et al., 2018). These arches swing from NE-SW on the onshore Varanger Peninsula to NW-SE in the offshore domain and cut through the CNB segment and the Bjarmeland Platform (Figure 7a). The thrust units of the so-called Middle Allochthon Front (MAF) signify a major tectonic boundary
onshore mainland Norway and separate the Caledonian from the Timanian inherited structures, continuing offshore in the Barents Sea (Figure 7a; Gernigon et al., 2018; Hassaan et al., 2020 and references therein).

The northeastern tip of the NENB segment terminates sharply at the prominent central Barents Sea magnetic domain (CBSM; Figure 7a; Gernigon et al., 2018). The northern part of the CBSM includes the Haapet and Veslekari domes, whilst the southern part bounds the Fedynsky High. A series of prominent magnetic anomalies (T1–T5) in the Timanian domain also affect the platform areas that surround the Nordkapp Basin. In particular, the NENB segment terminates against magnetic anomaly T2 that impacts the Polstjerna Fault Complex to the west (Figure 7a). It is also obvious that a sharp NW-SE trending magnetic low affects the NE-SW oriented T4 magnetic anomaly in the centre of the NENB segment. Towards the Bjarmeland Platform, the Polstjerna Fault Complex terminates against the T1 magnetic anomaly. Farther south-southeast, the boundary of the T1 magnetic anomaly is delineated by the SW-dipping M4 extensional fault (Figures 6c and 7a).

The CNB segment seems to comprise the most complex region of the Nordkapp Basin, probably due to the fact that the MAF cuts through its centre and separates the Caledonian from the Timanian domains beneath it. Towards the Finnmark Platform, the CNB segment is constrained by the T5 magnetic anomaly within the Timanian domain, and this anomaly extends farther east beneath the Signalkorn Dome (Figure 7a). A prominent magnetic low characterises the Caledonian domain outside the CNB segment towards the Bjarmeland Platform. However, inside the CNB segment, the magnetic anomalies are blurred due to the interference with the complex salt structures. Towards the Finnmark Platform, the NW-SE trending magnetic lineations, that are parallel to the MAF, approach the CNB segment and terminate against the M8 extensional fault (Figure 6c). The M8 fault seems to coincide with the envisaged prolongation of the southern boundary of the T5 magnetic anomaly (Figures 6c and 7a).

A series of magnetic anomalies that broadly define the regional structural configuration of the basement in the Nordkapp Basin and its vicinity were identified. The positive B1 and B2 magnetic anomalies related to the Caledonian inherited structures (Gernigon & Brönner, 2012; Gernigon et al., 2014), whereas anomaly B0 is identified in the current study beneath the newly identified basin X4 (Figure 7a). Four deep basins X1-4

**FIGURE 4** (a) Three-dimensional (3D) view of the multi-z salt model (time-structure; TWT: two-way travel time, s) at top Gipsdalen level displays scattered salt structures in the southwestern segment (SWNB) of the Nordkapp Basin. (b) Interpreted seismic section (TWT: two-way travel time, s; vertical exaggeration: 5x) illustrating presalt geometries, layered evaporite sequence (LES), salt structures and postsalt sedimentation patterns in the southwestern segment (SWNB). MFC: Måsøy Fault Complex; NFC: Nysleppen Fault Complex; SB: Subbasin. Colour rasters correspond to interpreted sequences in Figure 3. Open white circles connected by white lines display the thickness variations. (c) Depth conversion of the isolated SS19 salt structure from the multi-z model of the Nordkapp Basin. (d) Utilised method for present-day volume estimation of the salt structures. V.E: Vertical exaggeration. Structural highs (SH) are annotated as in Figure 6b and salt structures (SS) as in Figure 5a. Profile location shown also in Figures 1b and 6a. Seismic data courtesy of NPD and TGS [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
are interpreted towards the west of the CNB segment. The NE-SW trending X1-2 Carboniferous basins seem to be the prolongations of the Ottar Basin with absence of evaporites (Figure 1a). The nature and sediment infill of the X3-4 basins is unknown (Figure 7a, black stippled lines). The SWNB segment is restricted by the positive B1 magnetic anomaly that is part of the Norsel High magnetic domain (NHM; Figure 7a). The B1 anomaly further appears to affect the Nysleppen Fault Complex, which is the hard-linked boundary between the Norsel High and the SWNB segment. On the Finnmark Platform, the magnetic lineations curve along with the MAF and converge towards the SWNB segment, whilst they terminate against the segmented Måsøy Fault Complex (Figure 7a). The magnetic lineations parallel to the MAF are cut by the NW-SE oriented lineaments that coincide with the M12–14 faults. The southwestern limit of the SWNB segment is marked by a prominent magnetic low (Figure 7a).

4.2 | Structural segmentation and Carboniferous rift architecture

The base-salt structural relief which corresponds to the Mississippian (top Serpukhovian) level is highly variable at the different Nordkapp Basin segments (NENB, CNB and SWNB). The rift architecture comprises elongated fault-bounded structural highs and marginal master faults that controlled the accumulation of evaporites in isolated depocenters. The structural configuration of the base-salt relief is most complex in the CNB, in comparison to the NENB and SWNB segments (Figure 6). The CNB is a circular basin as defined on the top Permian and BCU levels, and it was previously described to exhibit symmetric and full-graben geometry that developed
during pre-Mississippian NNE-SSW extension (Gernigon et al., 2018; Rowan & Lindsø, 2017). Similarly, the NENB and SWNB segments were viewed as elongated depocenters at the Permian and BCU levels and were defined as asymmetric half-grabens formed during Pennsylvanian NW-SE extension (Gernigon et al., 2018; Rowan & Lindsø, 2017). However, the internal rift architecture in the Nordkapp Basin is more complex as it will become evident from the descriptions in the following sections where we utilise a series of maps and sections to illustrate detailed structural observations.

4.2.1 | Northeastern Nordkapp Basin segment

The northernmost limit of the NENB segment is delineated by the SW-dipping M1 normal fault (Figure 6c). At base Carboniferous BCa? level, the M1 fault defines the southern boundary of the horst that separates the NW-SE oriented graben G2 (Hassaan et al., 2020) beneath the Veslekari Dome and the NENB segment (Figure 6c). The SE-dipping normal Polstjerna Fault Complex is the structure that separates the NENB segment and the Bjarmeland Platform towards the west (Mattingsdal et al., 2015). The Polstjerna Fault Complex terminates against the M1 fault to the northeast (Figures 6 and 8). The influence of the Polstjerna Fault Complex minimises towards the southwest where it dies out again against a NW-SE basement lineament, SHT (Figure 6). The presalt and postsalt components of the Polstjerna Fault Complex are decoupled by the observed salt pillow (Figure 8). In the south, the Thor Iversen Fault Complex bounds the NENB segment from the Finnmark Platform. The Thor Iversen Fault Complex is a NNW-dipping thick-skinned extensional fault (Gabrielsen et al., 1990). Towards the northeast, the normal throw of the Thor Iversen Fault Complex decreases and it is connected to the southern boundary fault of the graben G3 (defined by Hassaan et al., 2020) that stretches below the Fedynsky High and dips towards NW (Figures 6c and 7a). Towards southwest, the Thor Iversen Fault Complex extends into the CNB segment and is cross-cut by the NW-SE striking normal faults M4–M7 (Figure 6c).

The NENB segment is further subdivided into two subbasins, SB1 and SB2, by the NW-SE oriented structural high SHT (Figure 6c). Towards the northeast, subbasin SB1 forms a hinged-margin relationship with the NW-SE oriented horst and dies out against the M1 fault (Figure 6). The NNW-dipping
## TABLE 3  Present-day volume estimates and structural characteristics of the salt structures in the three Nordkapp Basin segments: northeastern (NENB), central (CNB) and southwestern (SWNB)

| Salt structure (SS) | Present-day volume (km$^3$) | Shape of salt structure | Lateral extent (km) | Axial ratio | Type of salt structure | Orientation |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|
|                    |                               |                          | Length             | Width      |                        |             |
|                   |                               |                          |                     |            |                        |             |
| a. Northeastern Nordkapp segment (NENB) | | | | | | |
| 1                  | ca. 7,759                     | Elongated                | ca. 81              | ca. 13.2 (SW), ca. 10.4 (NE) | 6.86        | Wall                   | NE-SW       |
| 2                  | ca. 436                       | Elongated                | ca. 14.4            | ca. 4.6    | 3.13                   | Wall        | NE-SW       |
| 3                  | ca. 2,355                     | Elongated                | ca. 27.7            | ca. 7.6    | 3.64                   | Wall        | NE-SW       |
| 4                  | ca. 1674                      | Elongated                | ca. 24.7            | ca. 8.6    | 2.87                   | Wall        | NE-SW       |
| 5                  | ca. 517                       | Subcircular              | ca. 8.5             | ca. 5.2    | 1.63                   | Stock       |             |
| 6                  | ca. 135                       | Circular                 | ca. 5.1             | ca. 3.3    | 1.55                   | Stock       |             |
| 7                  | ca. 152                       | Circular                 | ca. 5              | ca. 4.9    | 1.02                   | Stock       |             |
| Total volume       | ca. 13,028                    |                          |                     |            |                        |             |
| b. Central Nordkapp segment (CNB) | | | | | | |
| 8                  | ca. 1,070                     | Subcircular              | ca. 17.6            | ca. 16.3   | 1.08                   | Stock       |             |
| 9                  | ca. 1,675                     | Subcircular              | ca. 17.2            | ca. 13.6   | 1.26                   | Stock       |             |
| 10                 | ca. 1,133                     | Elongated                | ca. 20.5            | ca. 7.6    | 2.70                   | Wall        | NE-SW       |
| 11                 | ca. 479                       | Elongated                | ca. 14.3            | ca. 6.8    | 2.10                   | Wall        | E-W         |
| 12                 | ca. 288                       | Subcircular              | ca. 10.1            | ca. 5.5    | 1.84                   | Stock       |             |
| Dragon foot (DF)   |                               |                          |                     |            |                        |             |
| a.                   | ca. 1,955                     | Branched                 | a: ca. 26.6         | a: ca. 9.8 | 2.71                   | Stock       | a: NNE-SSW  |
| b.                   | ca. 2,840                     |                          | b: ca. 27.6         | b: ca. 11.6| 2.38                   | Stock       | b: NW-SE    |
| c.                   | ca. 1,253                     |                          | c: ca. 19.3         | c: ca. 7.1 | 2.72                   | Connected salt walls and stock | c: NE-SW |
| d.                   | ca. 2,272                     |                          | d: ca. 24.9         | d: ca. 8.1 | 3.07                   | Stock       | d: NE-SW    |
| e.                   | ca. 2,362                     |                          | e: ca. 33.4         | e: ca. 8.6 | 3.88                   | Stock       | e: NNE-SSW  |
| f.                   | ca. 2,916                     |                          | f: 23.2 (E-W) + 20.4 (NE-SW) | f: ca. 7.4 | 5.89                   | Stock       | f: E-W to NE-SW |
| g.                   | ca. 2,074                     |                          | g: ca. 13.3         | g: ca. 19.9 | 1.50                   | Stock       | g: NE-SW    |
| 13                 | ca. 394                       | Subcircular              | ca. 11.2            | ca. 6.9    | 1.62                   | Stock       |             |
| Total volume       | ca. 20,746                    |                          |                     |            |                        |             |
| c. Southwestern Nordkapp segment (SWNB) | | | | | | |
| 14                 | ca. 879                       | Subcircular              | ca. 12.1            | ca. 8.5    | 1.42                   | Stock       |             |
| 15                 | ca. 522                       | Elongated                | ca. 11.4            | ca. 4.1    | 2.78                   | Wall        | N-S         |
| 16                 | ca. 396                       | Subcircular              | ca. 5.7             | ca. 4.9    | 1.16                   | Stock       |             |
| 17                 | ca. 210                       | Subcircular              | ca. 5.2             | ca. 4.7    | 1.11                   | Stock       |             |
| 18                 | ca. 56                        | Circular                 | ca. 4.4             | ca. 3.1    | 1.42                   | Stock       |             |
| 19                 | ca. 754                       | Elongated                | ca. 20.1            | ca. 4.2    | 4.79                   | Wall        | NE-SW       |
| 20                 | ca. 602                       | Elongated and elliptical | ca. 17.6            | ca. 4.2    | 4.19                   | Wall        | NE-SW       |
| 21                 | ca. 179                       | Subcircular              | ca. 8.7             | ca. 5.1    | 1.71                   | Stock       |             |
| 22                 | ca. 638                       | Elongated                | ca. 18.6            | ca. 3.8    | 4.89                   | Wall        | N-S         |
| 23                 | ca. 298                       | Elongated                | ca. 9.7             | ca. 5.8    | 1.67                   | Stock       | ENE-WSW     |
| 24                 | ca. 59                        | Subcircular              | ca. 4.3             | ca. 4.0    | 1.08                   | Stock       |             |
| 25                 | ca. 847                       | Elongated and elliptical-L | 17.8 (N-S) + 9.9 (E-W) | ca. 5     | 5.54                   | Wall        | N-S to E-W  |

(Continues)
M2 normal fault marks the western boundary of the SH2 structural high (Figures 6c and 8). The SH2 structural high separates subbasin SB1 from the full-graben G3 that stretches beneath the Fedynsky High. Graben G3 terminates against a NW-SE lineament, whilst the SH1 structural high shows a slight bend at the junction with the same lineament (Figure 6c). Subbasin SB2 defines a transition region between the main NENB and CNB segments and exhibits complex structures (Figure 8b). It is noteworthy that a thick-skinned reverse fault that dips NNW connects with the secondary weld in the postsalt sediments and is reaching the seafloor (Figure 8b). It is internally, that a thick-skinned reverse fault that dips NNW connects with the secondary weld in the postsalt sediments and is reaching the seafloor (Figure 8b). At the margins of subbasin SB1 clastic infill seismic facies (Table 2; SF1: Billefjorden Group of Mississippian age) can be identified with moderate confidence, whilst the quality of seismic data depreciates drastically in the deeper parts of subbasin SB2 (Figure 8).

4.2.2 | Central Nordkapp Basin segment

The S-dipping M4 normal fault marks the possible boundary between the CNB and NENB segments (Figures 6c and 9). The continuation of the Thor Iversen Fault Complex separates the CNB segment from the Bjarmeland Platform towards the east. The M8 normal fault dips NNE and marks the southwestern boundary of the CNB segment (Figures 6c and 9a). The M9 and M10 SE-dipping normal faults bound the CNB segment from the Bjarmeland Platform towards the west (Figure 6c). An antithetic interbasin ridge bounded by the NW-SE striking M8 and M11 faults separates the CNB from the SWNB segment (Figure 6c).

Internally, the CNB segment includes subbasins SB3 and SB4. Subbasin SB3 consists of several structural highs with different trends and is much larger and deeper than subbasin SB4 (Figure 6). The M5-7 normal faults strike E-W and interfere with the structural highs in the CNB segment. In particular, the M5 normal fault dips to SSW and the M6-7 faults dip towards NNE, and the complex array of structural highs creates isolated depocenters of evaporite accumulations (Figure 6b,c). The same structural highs (SH13 to SH19) are also responsible for the development of the most prominent, branched and complex ‘DF salt structure’ that consists of several connected walls in the Nordkapp Basin (Figure 5a; Table 3). The NW-SE striking M5-7 normal faults crosscut the structural highs and create saddles (Figure 6b,c). Moreover, subbasin SB4 is a shallow outlier basin bounded by fault M9 to the west and is restricted by the SH18 structural high to the southwest (Figures 6c and 9b). At the margins of the CNB segment and within subbasin SB4, clastic infill seismic facies (Table 2; SF1: Billefjorden Group of Mississippian age) can be identified with moderate confidence. However, it is not possible to identify the same seismic facies (SF1) in the central and deepest parts of subbasin SB3 as the quality of seismic data depreciates significantly (Figure 9a and Table 2).

4.2.3 | Southwestern Nordkapp segment

The SW-dipping M11 normal fault and the NE-dipping Troms–Finnmark Fault Complex (TFCC) form the northeastern and southwestern boundaries of the SWNB segment, respectively. The SE-dipping Nysleppen Fault Complex separates...
the SWNB segment from the Norsel High towards the west (Figures 6c and 10). The Nysleppen Fault Complex is an extensional feature and may represent a fundamental basement lineament that is composed of several faults with significant dip-slip (Gabrielsen et al., 1990). Moreover, the segmented W-dipping Måsøy Fault Complex separates the SWNB segment from the Finnmark Platform (Figure 6c). The Nysleppen and Måsøy fault complexes are thick-skinned extensional features that were primarily active during late Carboniferous to early Permian (Gabrielsen et al., 1990; Figure 10). In several places, the Pennsylvanian to early Permian LES decouples the presalt thick-skinned part of the Nysleppen and Måsøy fault complexes from postsalt faults at the western and eastern margin of the SWNB segment, respectively. The southern limits of both the Nysleppen and Måsøy fault complexes terminate against the NW-SE trending faults following probably the Troms–Finnmark Fault Complex (Figure 6c).

Internally, the NE-dipping M12 and M14 normal faults divide the SWNB into subbasins, SB5–7 (Figures 6b,c and 10). A similar fault, M13, cross-cuts the structural highs in subbasin SB6 (Figure 6c). Subbasin SB5 consists of structural highs that curve along the margin of the SWNB segment (Figure 6b,c). Subbasin SB6 consists of several structural highs, forming two U-shaped structural features that strike NE-SW and are separated by the M13 fault (Figure 6a). In subbasin SB7, the structural highs strike NE-SW and are surrounded by shallow depocenters in contrast to subbasins SB5 and SB6 (Figure 6a). Clastic infill seismic facies (Table 2; SF1: Billefjorden Group of Mississippian age) can be interpreted in the SWNB segment with greater confidence due to shallower depth in comparison to the NENB and CNB segments (Figure 10; Table 2).

4.3 Evaporite deposition and the distribution of salt structures

The NENB, CNB and SWNB segments of the Nordkapp Basin contain salt structures (SS) that are associated with

FIGURE 7 (a) Tilt derivative of the total magnetic field map (Gernigon et al., 2018) and (b) gravity map high-pass filtered with cut-off 100 km (data courtesy of TGS) overlaid with the structural configuration based on seismic interpretation in the southeastern and southwestern Barents Sea. X1-4: newly interpreted/inferred basins (see text for details). The utilised abbreviations (followed by number or letter) denote: B: Caledonian magnetic anomaly; G: Graben; Gx: Gravity anomaly x; Gy: Gravity anomaly y; T: Timanian magnetic anomaly. BP: Bjarmeland Platform; CBSM: central Barents Sea magnetic domain; FH: Fedynsky High; FHN: Fedynsky High north; FHS: Fedynsky High south; FP: Finnmark Platform; MAF: Middle Allochthon Front; NB: Nordkapp Basin; NH: Norsel High; SHC: Scott Hansen Complex magnetic low domain; TB: Tiddlybanken Basin; VD: Veslekari Dome. Other abbreviations as in Figure 1a [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Figure 8  (a, b) Un-interpreted and interpreted seismic sections (TWT; two-way travel time, s; vertical exaggeration: 5x) illustrating presalt geometries, layered evaporite sequence (LES), salt structures and postsalt sedimentation patterns in the northeastern segment (NENB) of the Nordkapp Basin. M: Master fault; PFC: Polstjern Fault Complex; TIFC: Thor Iversen Fault Complex; SB: Subbasin. Colour rasters correspond to interpreted sequences in Figure 3. Open white circles connected by white lines display the thickness variations. Structural highs (SH) are annotated as in Figure 6b and salt structures (SS) as in Figure 5a. Present-day volume estimates are presented in Table 3a. Profile locations in Figures 1b and 6a. Seismic data courtesy of NPD and TGS [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
FIGURE 9  (a, b) Uninterpreted and interpreted seismic sections (TWT: two-way travel time, s; vertical exaggeration: 5x) illustrating presalt geometries, layered evaporite sequence (LES), salt structures and postsalt sedimentation patterns in the central segment (CNB) of the Nordkapp Basin. DF: Dragon foot; M: Master fault; SB: Subbasin; TIFC: Thor Iversen Fault Complex. Colour rasters correspond to interpreted sequences in Figure 3. Open white circles connected by white lines display the thickness variations. Structural highs (SH) are annotated as in Figure 6b and salt structures (SS) as in Figure 5a. Present-day volume estimates are presented in Table 3b. Profile locations in Figures 1b and 6a. Seismic data courtesy of NPD and TGS [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
distinct postsalt structural styles, including turtle structures, secondary welds, collided mini-basins, salt wings and megaflaps (Figure 5c). The interpreted salt structures show different sizes, shapes, orientations and lateral extent that were influenced by the late Permian to Cenozoic postsalt sedimentation in the Nordkapp Basin (Figures 5 and 8–10; Figure 10)}

**FIGURE 10**  (a, b) Un-interpreted and interpreted seismic sections (TWT: two-way travel time, s; vertical exaggeration: 5×) illustrating presalt geometries, layered evaporite sequence (LES), salt structures and postsalt sedimentation patterns in the southwestern segment (SWNB) of the Nordkapp Basin. NFC: Nysleppen Fault Complex; MFC: Måsøy Fault Complex; SB: Subbasin. Colour rasters correspond to interpreted sequences in Figure 3. Open white circles connected by white lines display the thickness variations. Structural highs (SH) are annotated as in Figure 6b and salt structures (SS) as in Figure 5a. Present-day volume estimates are presented in Table 3c. Profile locations in Figures 1b and 6a. Seismic data courtesy of NPD and TGS [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Table 3). The salt structures were sourced from the LES (SF2, Table 2a) that can be identified with precision at the basin marginal pillow structures and within the SWNB segment. However, due to data quality depreciation, it is not possible to classify the individual layers of the LES within the salt structures or beneath the deep mini-basins (Hassaan et al., 2021). The presence of numerous large salt structures show that the CNB segment contains a thicker unit of mobile salt evacuated from the LES in comparison to the NENB and SWNB segments (Figure 5; Table 3). Accordingly, the remnant LES is thin in the CNB and NENB segments. In contrast, the SWNB segment contains less mobile salt and thick residual LES (Figures 5c and 8–10; Table 3).

Overall, the NENB segment comprises seven salt structures SS1–7, including one of the longest (SS1: ca. 81 km) NE-SW trending salt walls of the Nordkapp Basin (Figures 5a and 8b; Table 3). The SS1 salt wall widens towards the southwest (ca. 13.2 km) near the structural high SHT in comparison to the northeast (ca. 10.3 km; Figure 5c and Table 3). SS1 displays a prominent bend approximately in the middle of the structure (map view) and joins with the SS3 salt wall over the NW-SE trending structural high SHT (Figures 5c, 6c and 8b). Subbasin SB1 contains an estimated present-day volume of ca. 8,611 km³ and an average thickness of ca. 2.3 km of prediapirc evacuated salt from the LES (Table 4). Subbasin SB2 contains an estimated present-day volume of ca. 4,417 km³ and an average evacuated salt thickness of ca. 4.4 km from the LES (Figures 5c and 6c; Table 4). The two squeezed salt structures are connected with the SS3 towards northeast and with SS5 to the southwest (Figures 5c and 8b). The mini-basins around the squeezed salt structure collide and display two secondary welds. The thick-skinned reverse fault that connects with the secondary weld reached to the seafloor and has pushed up the entire mini-basin (Figure 8b).

The CNB segment comprises seven salt structures, including the most complex and voluminous ‘DF’ salt structure that is branched and consists of several connected walls (Figure 5c). The SB3 subbasin includes salt structures SS8–10, DF and SS12–13 with a cumulative salt volume of ca. 20,267 km³ and an average thickness of evacuated salt thickness of ca. 4.4 km from the LES (Figure 5; Tables 3 and 4). The DF salt structure is the most prominent feature of subbasin SB3, and the scattered deep-seated structural highs (that based on our detailed interpretation we exclude the possibility of being velocity pull-up artefacts beneath the salt structures) define the orientation of the various segments (Figure 5b; Table 3). The SS13 is predominantly sourced from subbasin SB3 and partially from subbasin SB5. The shallow outlier subbasin SB4 contains the SS11 salt structure with an estimated present-day volume of 479 km³ and average evacuated salt thickness of ca. 0.9 km from the LES (Figure 5c; Tables 3 and 4).

The SWNB segment contains 17 salt structures SS14–30 (Figure 5a and Table 3). Subbasin SB5 consists of salt structures SS14–17 with estimated present-day salt volume of ca. 2,007 km³ and average evacuated salt thickness of ca. 1.6 km from the LES (Figures 5c and 10a; Tables 3 and 4). Subbasin SB6 contains the salt structures SS18–23 and one-third of SS25 with an estimated salt volume of ca. 2,809 km³ and evacuated salt thickness of ca. 1.5 km from the LES (Figure 5a; Tables 3 and 4). The SS25 salt structure is situated at the boundary between subbasins SB6 and SB7, and the mobile salt volume of it is therefore added according to the ratio of the structure present in both subbasins (Figures 5c and 6). Subbasin SB7 contains the entire SS24, two thirds of SS25 and the entire SS26–30 salt structures with an estimated salt volume of ca. 1,376 km³ and salt thickness of ca. 0.9 km from the LES (Figure 5; Tables 3 and 4).

5 | DISCUSSION

5.1 | Basement structural topography and its influence on basin development

The prominent CBSM magnetic anomaly pattern is related to the Timanian inherited structures and restricts the northeastern limit of the Nordkapp Basin (Figures 7a and 11b). The western extension of the CBSM lineament in the area along the northern part of the Fedynsky High (FHN, Fedynsky High north) swings to become parallel with the G3 Carboniferous graben so that it provides the structural high associated with the SS2 salt structure (Figures 8a and 11). We therefore conclude that the thick-skinned Thor Iversen Fault Complex is controlled by the thick and rheologically strong crust of the CBSM that separates the Fedynsky High from the NENB segment to the east (Figures 8b and 11b; Gernigon et al., 2018). To the west, the southern edge associated with magnetic anomaly T2 has influenced the thick-skinned Polstjerna Fault Complex along the NW boundary of the NENB segment. Internally, the deep Timanian structure associated with the T2 magnetic signature seems to control the NW-SE oriented SHT structural high that is the boundary between subbasins SB1 and SB2 (Figures 6c and 11b). Towards the Bjarmeland Platform, the NW-SE trending magnetic anomaly T1 is related to the rheologically strong crust of the Timanian inherited structures that lie beneath subbasin SB4 (Figure 11b). This could explain why subbasin SB4 is relatively shallow, contains thinner evaporites and is less affected by the subsequent extension in comparison to subbasin SB3 (Figures 6, 9b and 11b). We accordingly suggest that the M4 is a thick-skinned boundary fault that is controlled by the Timanian structure (T1 anomaly) and is mainly responsible for the evolution of subbasin SB3 (Figure 11b). Towards the
Finnmark Platform, the prominent Timanian structure (T5 anomaly) creates a platform between the NW-SE oriented graben G4 related to Tiddlybanken Basin and the CNB segment (Figure 11; Hassaan et al., 2020). Recently, Hassaan et al. (2021) suggested a basement-involved, arcuate horst related to the pre-existing Timanian basement grain that could be the prolongation of the deep structure represented by the T5 magnetic anomaly and corresponding lineation towards the southeast (Figure 11b).

The suggested location of MAF separates the deep Timanian structures from the Caledonian ones and cuts through the CNB segment (Figure 11b). On the Finnmark Platform, the curved arches and parallel lineations to the MAF display the orientation of the deep Caledonian nappes (Gernigon et al., 2018 and references therein). The overprint of the Caledonian nappes on the NW-SE oriented Timanian structures created a deep and complex structural division within the CNB segment. Within the Caledonian domain of the CNB segment, the orientations of the shallow structures (Figures 7 and 11, red stippled lines), including the basin boundary fault M8, are oblique to the magnetic low that is parallel to the MAF and extend northwards into the Bjarmeland Platform. The oblique nature of the shallow structures reveals a strong control of the older Timanian inherited structures within the Caledonian domain (Figure 11a). Farther to the west, the basin boundary fault M11 is controlled by the deep structure represented by the B1 magnetic anomaly. However, the prolongation of the deep Palaeozoic structural high associated with the Norsel High magnetic domain gives rise to the distinct antithetic interbasin ridge between the CNB and SWNB segments (Figures 11a and 12). The presence of magnetic anomaly B1 could also explain why the Norsel High is less affected by later extension. The cross-cutting NW-SE magnetic
lineations to the curvilinear Caledonian nappes fit with the internal subdivision of the SWNB segment. However, the influence of these lineations across the Norsel High region can be ruled out due to the presence of the deep Palaeozoic high (Figure 11).

5.2 | Basin architecture and segmentation

5.2.1 Late Devonian-early Carboniferous extension

The magnetic data illustrate the complexity of basement topography, suggesting variable crustal thickness beneath the Nordkapp Basin. We suggest that this affected the Nordkapp Basin initiation and development during the two subsequent events of NE-SW and NW-SE oriented extension (Figure 12). Both the greater Barents Sea and the Timan-Pechora Basin (Figure 1a inset) were affected by a NE-SW oriented extension, which was oriented orthogonal to the trend of deep Timanian structures in the middle-late Devonian (Faleide et al., 2018; Hassaan et al., 2020; Klitzke et al., 2019; Stoupakova et al., 2011 and references therein). During the late Devonian-early Carboniferous NE-SW oriented extensional phase, the Nordkapp Basin comprised two regional half-grabens (Figure 12a). The proto-NENB and proto-CNB segments were parts of the regional half-graben-1, whereas the proto-SWNB segment belonged to the regional half-graben-2 that was separated from half-graben-1 by a prominent antithetic ridge, (Figures 5b, 6c and 12a; Hassaan et al., 2020). This is the main reason that the CNB segment is deeper than the NENB segment. A further subdivision within the regional half-graben-1 is created by the two structural highs, SH18 and SHT (Figures 6c, 11b and 12a). The effect of the deep structures is maximum within the CNB segment, where the MAF

*FIGURE 12* Conceptual structural evolution model for the Nordkapp Basin. (a) Late Devonian-early Carboniferous extension controlled mainly by NW-SE striking faults following the Timanian inherited structures. (b) Late Carboniferous-early Permian (?) extension controlled by NE-SW trending faults and the inherited structures [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
separates the Timanian and Caledonian domains within the Nordkapp Basin (Figure 11b). Although a significant part of the CNB segment has evolved over the Caledonian domain, the NW-SE striking normal faults have overprinted the pre-existing structural lineaments and signify the control of the late Devonian-early Carboniferous extension phase.

The ‘antithetic interbasin ridge’ acted as an interbasin transfer zone (sensu Gawthorpe & Hurst, 1993; named southern transfer zone, STZ). The STZ was formed due to the change in dip direction of the bounding faults M8 and M11 (Figures 5b, 6c and 11a). In contrast to the major tilted fault-blocks bounded by the NW-SE trending normal faults, the STZ is a relatively high-relief region (Figure 12a; Ebinger et al., 1987; Gawthorpe & Hurst, 1993; Rosendahl, 1987; Rosendahl et al., 1986). We suggest that the STZ was a pronounced feature during early Carboniferous due to the nonoverstepping of the two adjacent master faults M8 and M11, whilst it was subsequently covered by the later LES accumulation and post-salt sedimentation. Internally, the regional half-graben-2 was influenced by the NW-SE oriented M12–14 normal faults that were terminated against the Norsel High (Figures 6c and 12a). The Norsel High is a pronounced structural high at early Carboniferous level, formed due to the Caledonian orogen collapse and is probably underlain by crystalline Caledonian rocks (Gernigon et al., 2018 and references therein). The presence of the NW-SE trending faults highlights the dominant influence of the Timanian inherited structures on the NE-SW oriented regional extension within the Caledonian domain (Figures 11 and 12a). The M12–14 faults not only influenced the accumulation of the later LES within the depocenters but localised the subsequent scattered SS14–30 salt structures within the elongated SWNB segment in contrast to NENB segment (Figures 5, 6c and 11).

5.2.2 | Late Carboniferous extension

The extensional stress regime shifted to NW-SE orientation during the late Carboniferous and probably reactivated the NE-SW trending deeper inherited structures that controlled the evolution of the southwestern Barents Sea (Faleide et al., 2018; Gernigon et al., 2018; Gudlaugsson et al., 1998 and references therein). The region was under warm, arid to semi-arid climate conditions due to the drift into the subtropical zone, and as a consequence, the deposition of the warm-water carbonates and sabkha evaporites of the Gipsdal Group (Figure 3) took place (Larssen et al., 2002; Stemmerik, 2000). The effect of the younger extension was less pronounced in the southeastern Norwegian Barents Sea, and layered evaporites within the Gipsdal Group strata (Figure 3) were accumulated in postrift conditions (Figure 12b; Hassaan et al., 2020, 2021; Rowan & Lindsø, 2017). The NW-SE oriented extensional stress regime (Figure 12b) affected the regional NW-SE trending half-grabens within the proto-Nordkapp Basin (Figure 12a). Thus, the synrift to early postrift processes influenced the nature and thickness of the Pennsylvanian-lower Permian LES accumulated in the different incipient segments within the Nordkapp Basin (Figures 5b, 6c and 12b; Rowan & Lindsø, 2017). The Caledonian base-inheritance continued to play a vital role in the reactivation of the NE-SW oriented deeper structures that cross-cut the pre-existing NW-SE oriented structures in the two regional half-grabens (Figure 12b), together with the formation of late Palaeozoic basins in the southwestern Barents Sea (Figure 11b, blue stippled lines). Towards the hinged-margin of the regional half-graben-1, the thick-skinned Thor Iversen and Polstjerna fault complexes were reactivated (Figure 8b). The fault throw of the Thor Iversen Fault Complex diminish towards the hinged-margin, probably due to the presence of the deep Timanian structure (Figure 8). Farther southwest of the SHT, the graben polarity changes due to reactivation of the Thor Iversen Fault Complex. We suggest that this is due to the termination of the thick and mechanically strong crust related to the CBSM magnetic anomaly outside the hinged-margin of the regional half-graben-1 (Figures 8b and 12b). The estimate of the evacuated salt thickness in the NENB segment (SB1: ca. 2.3 km and SB2: ca. 4.4 km, Table 4) suggests that the SB1 subbasin was a hinged-margin of the regional half-graben-1 (Figure 5b). The SHT structural high created the primary division between subbasins SB1 and SB2 and influenced the LES accumulation. However, towards the southwest of the SHT structural high, the subbasin SB2 induced a deeper and structurally active transitional region that trapped a thicker LES and became the site for complex salt structures (Figures 5b, 6c, 8b and 12b; Table 4).

The complex intersection between the Thor Iversen Fault Complex and master fault M4 with opposing polarity at the suggested boundary between the CNB and NENB segments has created a prominent transfer fault that acted as an interbasin transfer zone (sensu Gawthorpe & Hurst, 1993; named northern transfer zone, NTZ; Figures 6c and 12b). In the Nordkapp Basin, the transfer zone was formed during the late Carboniferous extension and separated the hinged-margin (proto-NENB) from the deeper part (proto-CNB) of the regional half-graben-1 (Figures 6c and 12b). The highly deformed region southwest of the SHT structural high could be related to the development of the northern transfer zone NTZ that has a character of thick-skinned reverse fault (Figures 6c and 8b, red arrow).
In the deeper region of the regional half-graben-1, the Thor Iversen Fault Complex and the M10 boundary fault were active and caused a series of fault-bounded structural highs (SH13-19) and gave rise to the development of the deeper subbasin SB3 into a full-graben. The structural highs SH13-19 overprinted the earlier NW-SE oriented faults M5-7 (Figures 6c and 12b). In subbasin SB4, the M9 boundary fault was active but did not cut through the strong structural high SH18, preventing it from merging with subbasin SB3. This is the main reason why subbasin SB4 remained an isolated shallow basin with less accumulation space for SB3. This is the main reason why subbasin SB4 remained an isolated shallow basin with less accumulation space for the LES (Figures 5b, 11 and 12b). Subbasin SB3 contains ca. 20,267 km³ of mobile salt that is even larger than the cumulative salt volume in all other subbasins (Table 4). This suggests that subbasin SB3 was the most extended region evolving over the transitional crust that was formed due to the interaction between the Timanian and Caledonian inherited structures. The evacuated salt thickness of subbasins SB2 and SB3 is almost similar, and we suggest that subbasin SB2 was part of the more extensive rift system. Internally, subbasin SB3 contains isolated depocenters with spatially variable LES thicknesses that influenced the evolution of the salt structures (Figure 6a). In particular, the different segments of the composite DF salt structure were sourced by salt from multiple depocenters filled with LES (Figures 5 and 6a; Hassaan et al., 2021).

The regional half-grabens-1 and 2 were separated from each other by the STZ transfer zone. During the late Carboniferous extension, the basin boundary between the Nysleppen and Måsøy fault complexes was activated and led to further subsidence within the regional half-graben-2 (Figure 10). The Nysleppen Fault Complex was more active as a boundary due to its location between the regional half-graben-2 and the Norsel High (Figures 10 and 12b). Overall, the LES as graben infill was thickening towards the Nysleppen Fault Complex, whilst the NW-SE striking M12-14 faults compartmentalised the regional half-graben-2 (Figures 5b, 6c and 10). The cross-cutting faults led to the development of scattered fault-bounded structural highs at the end of the late Carboniferous extension. We suggest that the SH21-37 structural highs were strongly influenced by the NW-SE striking M12-14 faults and have played an important role during the accumulation of the Pennsylvanian-lower Permian LES. The SWNB segment contains the smallest volume of evacuated salt (ca. 6,192 km³) in the Nordkapp Basin (Table 3). However, the base of the LES in the SWNB segment is deep, that is, ca. 5- to 6-s twt, and apparently, the nonmobile LES are thickest there in comparison to the NENB and CNB segments (Figures 5b, c and 8–10; Table 3). We suggest that the eroded sediments from the Norsel High contaminated the LES during late Carboniferous to early Permian and affected the LES nature from mobile to non-mobile (Figure 5b, c).

5.3 | Presalt basin architecture and LES: A substratum to impact the subsequent basin evolution

The presalt basin architecture likely had an indirect but profound impact on the primary distribution of postsalt sediments. This would, however, also be influenced by the primary qualities of evaporites, like viscosity and palaeo-temperature (Allen & Beaumont, 2016; Hudec et al., 2009). The sediment stratigraphy (layering) is likely to influence the relative viscosity of the salt within the LES so it can be speculated that the effective viscosity may be different from that of the single-layer salt system (Rowan et al., 2019 and references therein). We consider it may be likely that the LES in the Nordkapp Basin has relatively low viscosity, and consequently, the presalt basin architecture may strongly influence the sediment routing and depositional fairways for postsalt sediments (Hassaan et al., in review). During the early to late Permian, the depositional environment shifted to temperate conditions and allowed the deposition of cool-water carbonate platforms of the Bjarmeland and Tempelfjorden groups (Figure 3; Beauchamp, 1994; Stemmerik, 2000). We suggest two possible scenarios at the end of the accumulation of the Pennsylvanian-lower Permian synrift to early postrift LES and the late Permian formation of carbonate platforms (Figure 13). In the first scenario, the basin topography was not smooth due to the preceding extension and it possessed some relief mimicking the underlying rift architecture (Figure 13b-e). In this case, the residual topographic expression will, in turn, influence the earliest Triassic progradational sediment routings and dictate both where the initial deposition could take place and the formation of distinct depositional fairways (Hassaan et al., in review). In the second scenario, the basin topography was levelled and smoothed out the residual topographic expression (Figure 13b-e). However, the base of the LES retained the rift architecture configuration and the thickness of the accumulated Pennsylvanian-lower Permian LES varies spatially in the different subbasins of the Nordkapp Basin. Nevertheless, the progradational system arriving in Nordkapp Basin from the east would respond to the deep rift architecture due to the presence of the thick mobile LES units within it during the earliest Triassic. This is due to the creation of the drastic lateral differential load gradient due to postsalt progradational sediment load and scattered presalt Carboniferous structural highs (SH1-37) that provided the basis for the location of the salt structures in both scenarios (Figure 5).

The postsalt Triassic depositional fairways would have several effects on the surrounding sedimentary system in the minibasins (Hassaan et al., in review). These may include the bypass of some areas with thicker salt, that is, even areas with thick salt in the LES may not record any sedimentary successions and the inhibition of sedimentation adjacent to the depositional
FIGURE 13  (a) Regional thickness map (TWT: two-way travel time, s) of the upper Permian sequence S0 overlaid with the structural configuration based on seismic interpretation in the southeastern and southwestern Barents Sea. X1-4: newly interpreted/inferred basins (see text for details). (b–e) The restored models display two possible scenarios related to residual topography and smooth surface by the end of upper Permian sequence S0 accumulation (Hassaan et al., in review). Note the reactivated normal fault in contrast to present-day reverse fault in Figure 8b. The utilised abbreviations (followed by number or letter) denote: BP: Bjarmeland Platform; FH: Fedynsky High; FP: Finnmark Platform; G: Graben; MAF: Middle Allochthon Front; NH: Norsel High; TB: Tiddlybanken Basin; VD: Veslekari Dome. Other abbreviations as in Figure 1a [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
The depositional fairways primarily formed by differential loading and density-driven subsidence caused by the progradational sedimentation and have restricted the subsidence in the mini-basins outside the fairways (Fernandez et al., 2020). Therefore, the accumulated LES together with the presalt rift architecture comprised a laterally varying in thickness and physical properties substratum that during the earliest Triassic influenced the progradational sediment routings and deposition. Furthermore, the discrepancies both in the present-day cumulative salt volume in the main NENB, CNB and SWNB segments (Table 3) and in the estimated average evacuated salt thickness in the various subbasins SB1–SB7 (Table 4) are indirect indications of the presalt rift architecture variability within the Nordkapp Basin (Figures 5b and 6). This is likely the main reason that the LES substratum in subbasins SB2 and SB3 was more prone to salt mobilisation than in the other subbasins and has created greater accommodation space for postsalt sediments (Figures 8–10). We suggest that the temporarily and drastically localised sedimentation above a portion of the underlying structures would not be possible without thick LES that is indirectly a consequence of the presalt rift architecture. In this context, the direction and how fast the prograding system will fill the depositional fairway over the LES and the presalt rift architecture are also essential (Hassaan et al., in review). Similarly, as for the Tiddlybanken Basin and southwestern Barents Sea area in the nearby vicinity, Carboniferous structures in the Nordkapp Basin were also reactivated by far-field stresses propagating both during late Triassic due to the evolving Novaya Zemlya fold-and-thrust belt farther to the east and during early-middle Eocene as a result of the transpensional Eurekan/Spitsbergen orogeny farther to the northwest (e.g. Figure 8b; Gac et al., 2020; Hassaan et al., 2020, 2021). We suggest that during these far-field compressional events, the salt structures underlain by the basement-involved structural highs within the Nordkapp Basin were more rejuvenated due to stress propagation in contrast to the saddle areas where the master faults cross-cut, particularly within the CNB segment (Figures 5b,c and 6c).

6 | CONCLUSIONS

We utilised reprocessed regional 2D seismic reflection profiles, 3D seismic data, available wells and magnetic and gravity data to study the salt-influenced Nordkapp Basin in the Barents Sea. The Nordkapp Basin can be subdivided into three main segments (northeastern, central, and southwestern). It evolved above a pre-Carboniferous basement that includes remnants of the Timanian and Caledonian orogenies. The curvilinear magnetic lineations of the Caledonian structures pass through the central segment, where the Middle Allochthonous Front (an amalgamation of thrust units) creates the major subdivision between the Timanian and Caledonian inherited structures. We suggest that the rheological properties of the Timanian and Caledonian inherited structures, together with their regional distribution, orientation and spatial interaction in combination with two subsequent regional extensional phases, have strongly influenced the presalt rift architecture and gave rise to seven subbasins within the Nordkapp Basin.

During late Devonian-early Carboniferous, a NE-SW oriented extensional phase took place and the three Nordkapp Basin segments were parts of two NW-SE oriented regional half-grabens (northern and southern) separated by a prominent interbasin ridge. During the late Carboniferous-early Permian(?), a second extensional phase took place and the stress orientation shifted to NW-SE and influenced the configuration of the regional half-grabens. A transfer fault divided the northern regional half-graben by separating its hinged-margin from the deeper part and developed the incipient northeastern and central Nordkapp Basin segments, respectively. At the same time, the prominent interbasin ridge acted as a transfer zone and created a partition between the central and southwestern segments of the Nordkapp Basin. The Thor Iversen, Polstjerna, Måsøy and Nysleppen basin boundary fault complexes were formed during this process. Internally, the seven subbasins were affected by the cross-cutting master faults and structural highs that controlled the accumulation of the Pennsylvanian-lower Permian LES and the subsequent formation and location of the salt structures. The synrift to early postrift processes, relative depth of each subbasin, the internal arrangement of the structural highs and the depositional palaeo-environment, all controlled the thickness and facies of the LES. The spatially variable LES substratum impacted the postsalt evolution as the subbasins with thicker LES were more prone to salt mobilisation. We suggest that during the earliest Triassic, the top of the basin topography was an irregular surface inherited from the preceding extension episodes and possessed distinct relief mimicking the underlying rift architecture. The residual topography influenced, in turn, the progradational sediment routings and dictated where the initial deposition could take place. In this context, the direction and how fast the accumulated prograding system arriving from the east will fill the initial depocenters over the LES would define the postsalt sedimentary evolution within the Nordkapp Basin.

The current study highlights the significance of the complex presalt rift architecture in a salt-influenced rift basin and the consequent implications for the synrift to early postrift LES accumulation, location of salt structures and postsalt sedimentary evolution. The analysis has revealed in detail the presalt rift architecture and the processes that impacted the upper Palaeozoic evolution of the Nordkapp
Basin that is the largest salt basin in Barents Sea and could be applicable to the study of other salt-influenced rift basins worldwide.
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