A novel method of gene transduction to the murine endometrium using \textit{in vivo} electroporation
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\textbf{ABSTRACT.} To investigate the molecular pathways involved in successful embryo implantation in mammals, we developed a novel method for gene transduction into the murine endometrium using \textit{in vivo} electroporation. Plasmid DNA with an enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP) gene was injected into the uterine cavity of non-pregnant female mice, and electrical pulses were subsequently applied to the uterine horn using plate electrodes. EGFP expression was found only in the uterine luminal epithelium (LE), but not in the stroma. EGFP fluorescence in the LE was limited to the site where the positive side of the electrodes was placed during electric stimulation. These results demonstrated that our novel method enabled us to transduce a gene into a desired location of the murine uterus.
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A reciprocal interaction between the blastocysts and receptive endometrium is required for successful embryo implantation in mammals [21]. During early pregnancy, the molecular signals that are derived from maternal tissues and/or blastocysts influence the morphological characteristics of the uterine luminal epithelium (LE) to achieve the endometrial receptivity and support a successful attachment with the blastocysts [7, 21].

An important issue in embryo implantation is how embryo positioning is determined in the uterus. The distribution of embryos in the uterus, usually called “the spacing of the embryos”, precedes the attachment of the embryos to the maternal endometrium [1]. Abnormal localization of embryos in the uterine cavity causes adverse effects on the process of implantation and mostly results in abortion, emphasizing the importance of this phenomenon for ongoing pregnancy [2]. In mice, embryos are evenly distributed along the longitudinal axis of the uterine horns and then attach to the anti-metrial side of the uterus along the vertical axis [1]. Although the molecular regulations instructing the positioning of the embryos are poorly understood, several genes expressed in the murine uterus show unique expression patterns in a spatiotemporal manner during the peri-implantation period, implying the participation of those genes in navigating the embryos to the proper site [7, 21]. Therefore, the development of a method to spatiotemporally control gene expression in the endometrium is important to elucidate the regulatory mechanisms involved in the spacing of embryos in mammals.

Here, we used \textit{in vivo} electroporation for the transduction of genes into the murine endometrium. Electroporation employs high-intensity electrical pulses that are assumed to transiently increase the permeability of the plasma membrane, which then facilitates the uptake of extracellular small molecules, including nucleotides, enzymes and antibodies [10]. The electroporation method was initially invented for gene delivery into cultured cells and now can be employed to introduce genes to several organs of animals \textit{in vivo} [14]. In this study, we transduced plasmid DNA with the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) gene into the endometrium of nonpregnant mice by \textit{in vivo} electroporation and then monitored for the expression of the fluorescent protein.

The experiment was approved by the Committee for Animal Welfare at Nagoya University (approval number: 2015060301). Electroporation was performed on the uterus of mature (over 6 weeks age) and virgin females of ICR mice (Japan SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan) using the NEPA21 electroporator (NEPA GENE, Ichikawa, Japan). Female mice were anesthetized with isoflurane. After removing the dorsal hair, the uterus was exteriorized and surgically tied up in the cervical region with sutures to prevent any leakage of the injected DNA reagents. One hundred \(\mu\)g of plasmid DNA with the EGFP gene (pCAGGS-EGFP, kindly provided by Mr. Yasuhiko Hayakawa, NEPA GENE) in 50 \(\mu\)l of phosphate buffer saline (PBS) was injected into the uterine lumen from the ovarian side using a 30G needle. Immediately after injection of DNA plasmid, the uterus was held with platinum plate...
electroporation with solely platinum plate electrode. PE; positive electrode, NE; negative electrode, U; uterus. (C) The schema of electroporation. Yellow arrow indicates the predictive direction of the electric current. LE; luminal epithelium.

Fig. 1. The gene transduction using in vivo electroporation. (A) The line graph of electric pulses by in vivo electroporation. Electric pulses consisted of three poring pulses (PP), three positive transfer pulses (TP+) and three negative transfer pulses (TP-). (B) In vivo electroporation with only platinum plate electrode. PE; positive electrode, NE; negative electrode, U; uterus. (C) The schema of electroporation. Yellow arrow indicates the predictive direction of the electric current. LE; luminal epithelium.

Previous studies have shown that the estrous cycle can affect the efficiency of transgene expression in the murine endometrium [10]. The degree of cell permeabilization can be controlled by the pulse number and/or the pulse duration [9]. A more effective transfection to the endometrium was observed when 3 sets of electrical pulses were applied to the uterus when compared to only 1 set of pulse or when only a poring pulse was performed (Fig. 2). The intensity of the voltage also contributes to the outcomes of the transfections. Adequate values of voltage for a successful transfection differ depending on the cell type, but generally, electroporation with a high voltage pulse seems to produce better results than with low voltage [9]. Excess voltage often causes serious injury or death for the cell (e.g. irreversible electroporation) [16]. In our trials, the maximum value for the voltage was 25 V, and no damages were observed in any of the manipulated uteri after electroporation. When 75 V of PPs were applied to the uterus, the uterus was severely damaged and showed unusual inflammation and bleeding (data not shown). Consequently, the conditions that we determined here were appropriate for gene transfection to the murine uterus.

To confirm whether applying the electrical stimuli to the uterus adversely affected the fertility of females or not, virgin mice that received electroporation in the condition described above were housed with mature ICR males. One month later, all females delivered viable offspring, indicating that the electrical stimuli to the uterus in our method did not disturb the reproductive performance of the female mice (n=4, data not shown).

Electrical pulses create transient pores in the cell membrane that allow for the entry of exogenous molecules into the cytoplasm [10]. The degree of cell permeabilization can be controlled by the pulse number and/or the pulse duration [9]. A more effective transfection to the endometrium was observed when 3 sets of electrical pulses were applied to the uterus when compared to only 1 set of the pulse or when only a poring pulse was performed (Fig. 2). The intensity of the voltage also contributes to the outcomes of the transfections. Adequate values of voltage for a successful transfection differ depending on the cell type, but generally, electroporation with a high voltage pulse seems to produce better results than with low voltage [9]. Excess voltage often causes serious injury or death for the cell (e.g. irreversible electroporation) [16]. In our trials, the maximum value for the voltage was 25 V, and no damages were observed in any of the manipulated uteri after electroporation. When 75 V of PPs were applied to the uterus, the uterus was severely damaged and showed unusual inflammation and bleeding (data not shown). Consequently, the conditions that we determined here were appropriate for gene transfection to the murine uterus.
and chicken β-actin promoter, and strong expression with this promoter has been reported in mammalian cells [15]. Previous reports have shown that it is preferred to use the CMV promoter for gene expression when transducing DNA vectors into the uterus using liposomes [13, 18]. We have also tried to use a construct with the CMV promoter, but the results were not reproducible (data not shown). Based on our data, we showed that electroporation with the pCAGGS vector is a powerful method for gene transfection into the endometrium.

An advantage of in vivo electroporation for gene transfection is that we can easily manipulate the region of gene expression. When the platinum plate electrodes were solely used for in vivo electroporation, we observed EGFP fluorescence on only one side of the LE, which was the side that was attached to the positive electrode (Fig. 3). The transgene expression was observed in

Fig. 2. EGFP expression in the uterine luminal epithelium (LE). The highly effective transfection of EGFP gene in the LE cells was observed when 3 sets of electric pulses were performed (A), compared with that when only 1 set of pulses (B), or only 1 set of poring pulse (C) was performed. The uterus injected with DNA plasmid without electroporation did not show any EGFP fluorescence (D). PP, poring pulse; TP, transfer pulse; EP, electroporation. Scale bar: 400 µm.

Fig. 3. One side of the luminal epithelium (LE) shows EGFP fluorescence. (A) The schema of electroporation where the electrical stimuli were applied at three adjacent points along the longitudinal axis of the uterine horns. Yellow arrow indicates the predictive direction of the electric current. (B, C) Both samples showed EGFP fluorescence at one side of the LE cells that were placed with positive paddle of electrode. White arrow shows the boundary between the LE and the stroma. Scale bar: 400 µm.
the region, where the positive paddle of the electrodes was placed in the fetal brain [19]. It was assumed that negatively charged-DNA electrostatically flowed toward the positive electrode in the present study. Using the present method, we could designate the tissue region for transfection by simply altering the positioning of the electrodes. In pregnant mice, spatiotemporal patterns of gene expressions in the uterus can be observed. For example, Hbegf is expressed specifically at the implantation sites along the longitudinal axis of the uteri [4]. Ep3, Fgf10 and Noggin are expressed in the endometrium at the mesometrial side during the preimplantation period [17, 22]. The importance of these expression patterns for implantation is still unknown, but can be confirmed in future studies by controlling target gene expression at a specific site via in vivo electroporation.

It is noteworthy that genes could be transduced specifically into the LE cells, since the LE is the site where the uterus makes first contact with the blastocysts during embryo implantation [5]. The LE cells dramatically undergo morphological alterations consistent with changes in expressions of several molecules to receive embryos during the peri-implantation period [5]. Previous studies have shown that the apical-basal polarity of the LE cells is lost in the receptive phase, which would allow for the proper organization of cell surface adhesion molecules to interact with the embryo during implantation [20]. Using our in vivo electroporation method, we would be able to confirm the function of adhesion molecules that are assumed to be important for interactions with the embryo.

We propose that this method could be applied for studies involving endometrial cancer in women, which primarily originates from the uterine epithelial cells [11]. The pathology and the etiology of endometrial cancer have been studied using transgenic rodents that have uterus-specific gene mutations, such as a loss of function mutation in Pten [3, 8]. Although these mice actually display a progressive cancer phenotype in the endometrium, the oncogenic gene mutation is introduced in the whole of uterine horn and the mutation already exists at the time of birth in these models [3, 8]. In human endometrial cancer, however, the gene mutation that sporadically occurs in the epithelial cells causes tumor progression, and these are normally observed in adult patients [6, 8]. Therefore, the conventional cancer models do not mimic the conditions of the endometrial cancer in humans. In combination with new genomic editing tools, such as CRISPR/-Cas9 system [12], it is possible to establish new cancer models in rodents by inducing the LE specific gene mutation for oncogenesis only at a given site using in vivo electroporation. Taken together, the present method is an easy and powerful tool to investigate not only the mechanism of embryo implantation, but also pathological aspects of the uteri, such as endometrial cancer.
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