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ABSTRACT: We embed natural inflation in an explicit string theory model and derive observables in cosmology. We achieve this by compactifying the type IIB string on a Calabi-Yau orientifold, stabilizing moduli via the Large Volume Scenario, and configuring axions using D7-brane stacks. In order to obtain a large effective decay constant, we employ the Kim-Nilles-Peloso alignment mechanism, with the required multiple axions arising naturally from generically anisotropic bulk geometries. The bulk volumes, and hence the axion decay constants, are stabilized by generalized one-loop corrections and subject to various conditions: the Kähler cone condition on the string geometry; the convex hull condition of the weak gravity conjecture; and the constraint from the power spectrum of scalar perturbations. We find that all constraints can be satisfied in a geometry with relatively small volume and thus heavy bulk axion mass. We also covariantize the convex hull condition for the axion-dilaton-instanton system and verify the normalization of the extremal bound.
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1 Introduction

It is of utmost importance to test string theory against observations. Axions, or axion-like particles, are a ubiquitous feature of string compactifications: the size and shape of the extra-dimensional geometry are parametrized by scalar moduli fields, and under supersymmetry these moduli are naturally paired with axions. They may arise from various antisymmetric tensor fields wrapping cycles in the compact dimensions. Meanwhile, axions can play various roles in cosmology, resulting in phenomenological models that can be tested against data. The physics of axions can therefore be used to constrain string theory, providing a direct link between real-world observations and the mathematical properties of the compact geometry.

At tree level, the moduli appearing in compactifications of string theory from ten to four spacetime dimensions are massless scalar fields parametrizing flat directions in
field space, which can generate fifth forces and vary the couplings between fundamental particles with zero energy cost. To avoid this potentially apocalyptic scenario, these moduli must be stabilized at the minimum of a scalar potential — this is typically achieved by including quantum corrections, giving moduli masses that depend on the details of the compactification.

We consider type IIB string theory compactified on a Calabi-Yau orientifold, in which moduli stabilization is well-understood. Examples of scenarios in which all types of moduli can be stabilized include the KKLT scenario [1] and the Large Volume Scenario (LVS) [2]. In this work we focus on the latter scenario, for two principal reasons. First of all, the volume $V$ of the compact manifold is naturally stabilized at large values without tuning, which in turn allows parametric control of various perturbative expansions in powers of $1/V$. Secondly, it always includes at least one light axion associated to the moduli parametrizing the large volume. The mass of this type of axion is predicted to be

$$m_a \sim M_{Pl} e^{-kV^{2/3}},$$

(1.1)

where $M_{Pl} \approx 2.4 \times 10^{18}$ GeV is the reduced Planck mass and $k$ is a model-dependent order-one constant. Since we consider a scenario in which the axions do not couple to QCD, strictly speaking these are axion-like particles, however in this work we will liberally use the term ‘axion’ to describe all such light pseudoscalar fields.

Motivated by supersymmetric solutions to the electroweak hierarchy problem, which predict superpartners of observed particles to appear around the TeV scale, most scenarios tend to consider a hierarchically large volume $V$. In such cases, this axion becomes almost massless and contributes to dark radiation [3, 4]. Its abundance is reflected in the number of effective relativistic species observed at the time of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB). The most recent observational results from Planck 2018 give [5]

$$N_{\text{eff}} = 2.99^{+0.034}_{-0.033} \quad (95\% \text{ confidence level}),$$

(1.2)

which is consistent with the Standard Model prediction, $N_{\text{eff}} \approx 3.046$, and can be accounted for entirely by the known three generations of neutrinos.\(^1\) The predictions of LVS amount to an excess of $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} \approx O(1)$, which is robust against modifications and loop corrections [7–9]. Thus, there appears to be little room for dark radiation.\(^2\)

In this paper, we consider an alternative scenario in which the volume $V$ is not so large. In this case, an axion can become an inflaton and realize natural inflation [10, 11]. The shift symmetry of the axion guarantees a flat potential, protected from quantum corrections which would ruin the observed approximate scale invariance of the power spectrum of scalar curvature perturbations. However, in order to explain the observed data, such as the spectral index of the power spectrum, the axion excursion, parametrized by its decay constant, needs to be larger than the Planck scale. This trans-Planckian behavior brings about many problems, such as accessibility, naturalness and consistency with the weak gravity conjecture [12, 13].

\(^1\)If we include the direct astrophysical measurement of the Hubble constant $H_0$ by Riess et al. [6], we find $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.27 \pm 0.15$ (68% confidence level) [5], allowing a small excess.

\(^2\)However, this tension can be somewhat alleviated if the axion couples to visible-sector gauge bosons [9].
In general compactifications, the internal geometry is not isotropic but rather can be described by multiple sub-cycles. Some of these are stabilized small at high energy but there still exist a number of large “bulk” cycles, whose moduli give the dominant contribution to the volume $V$. Thus, naturally there should be as many light axions as there are large bulk cycles. It is possible for some of these axions to \textit{align}, such that a linear combination of them may constitute an almost flat direction with a large effective decay constant. This can generate an effective trans-Planckian decay constant from individual decay constants below the Planck scale, potentially circumventing the problems of natural inflation.

In the Kim-Nilles-Peloso (KNP) mechanism \cite{14}, two axions and their instanton charges (the coefficients of the axions in the potential) are aligned, such that their charge vectors are nearly coincident. Rotating to the mass eigenbasis reveals that the orthogonal component becomes light, obtaining a large effective decay constant

$$f_{\text{eff}} \sim f_\xi/Q_\xi.$$  

(1.3)

Here $f_\xi$ is the decay constant for the light axion obtained under the basis rotation, which is around the same size as those of the original axions. Rather, the enhancement arises from the small effective charge of this axion, $Q_\xi \ll 1$.

The decay constant may be further enhanced if the axion potential is obtained by gaugino condensation \cite{15}. If the potential comes from a strongly-coupled SU($N$) gauge theory, the effective charge rescales as $Q_\xi \rightarrow Q_\xi/N$, yielding further enhancement,

$$f_{\text{eff}} \sim Nf_\xi/Q_\xi.$$  

(1.4)

This $N$ is also related to the number of degenerate branches of vacua, corresponding to discrete phases of the gaugino condensate. In arranging this we should ensure that there is no tunneling to other branches during inflation, which could cancel the new factor $N$. We will estimate the tunneling amplitude, which allows us to set an upper limit on $N$.

Another approach is to consider alignment arising from a non-diagonal Kähler metric for multiple axions \cite{16, 17}. This possibility has led to developments in multi-axion cosmology \cite{18–25}. String embeddings of the alignment scenario were first studied in \cite{15} for the type IIB string and subsequently expanded to the heterotic string \cite{26, 27}. Other scenarios include the embedding of $N$-flation into the Large Volume Scenario \cite{28} and natural inflation via alignment of the universal and odd RR axions in type IIB orientifolds \cite{29}.

Axion alignment restores a (discrete) shift symmetry. It is believed that global symmetries should be broken by quantum gravity effects, thus constraining the possibility of alignment. This condition on global symmetries is quantified in the weak gravity conjecture \cite{13, 30, 31}. The conjecture states that the charge measured in units of the inverse decay constant should be larger than the Planck mass. Conversely, for a fixed charge, it restricts the upper limit on the allowed decay constant. For multiple axions, a stronger condition is required: the convex hull spanned by the charge-to-mass ratio vectors should be large enough to contain those of appropriate extremal states \cite{31–35}.

It is interesting to investigate whether aligned natural inflation can be realized explicitly in string theory for the following reasons. First of all, string theory is regarded as a
consistent theory of quantum gravity, and thus it is expected that a string construction should automatically satisfy the weak gravity conjecture. Secondly, the parameters are in principle calculable, giving cosmological observables in terms of properties of the compact geometry. Moreover, from a top-down perspective the string geometry itself can impose consistency constraints: for instance, the Kähler cone condition restricts the cycle volumes and hence the allowed decay constants.

We also refine the alignment mechanism and the weak gravity conjecture by generalizing the axion decay constant to be matrix-valued (see also e.g. [36]) and carefully distinguishing between the decay constants and the instanton charges. We thus restate the KNP alignment scenario as a condition purely on the instanton charges. With this, we may take into account the enhancement from charge rescalings of the form (1.4). Furthermore, strictly differentiating between the charges and the decay constants also allows us to make a more precise statement of the weak gravity conjecture for the axion-instanton system. We verify, both from the perspective of the string embedding as well as from consideration of extremal wormholes, that the normalization of the axionic weak gravity conjecture should be $\sqrt{2/3}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review aligned natural inflation and consider possible restrictions from tunneling. We also clarify the meaning of the decay constant and the instanton charges, anticipating our discussion of the weak gravity conjecture. In section 3 we prepare the ingredients of our construction, first reviewing relevant components of four-dimensional reductions of type IIB supergravity, writing down a string-originated effective Lagrangian describing axions, and discussing choices of geometry that lead to multi-axion potentials. We identify axion charges and decay constants as well as instanton actions from the supergravity viewpoint. We use this to refine the axion-instanton form of the weak gravity conjecture, along with its multi-axion generalization. This setup turns out to be sufficient to pinpoint the extremal bound and fix the convex hull condition precisely.

In section 4 we present our explicit embedding of aligned natural inflation into a concrete type IIB string theory model. After discussing moduli stabilization in the Large Volume Scenario, we derive observable quantities. We look for benchmark points for the axion decay constants and consider the possibility of alignment. The convex hull condition of the weak gravity conjecture and constraints on the ranges of the Kähler moduli show preferences in opposite directions, setting bounds on the allowed ratios of the moduli. Then we compare our predictions with observations using the Planck 2018 results. In the final section we discuss some interesting findings and future directions.

2 Axions and aligned natural inflation

In this section we review natural inflation and the alignment mechanism from multiple axions, which allows for sufficiently small slow-roll and curvature parameters without requiring field excursions greater than the Planck length. We also briefly explore a further possible enhancement mechanism arising from gaugino condensation as well as its stability against vacuum tunneling.
## 2.1 Natural inflation

An axion-like particle $a$ can undergo natural inflation [10, 11]. This scenario solves the $\eta$-problem of inflation since the axion is a pseudo-Goldstone boson associated with a shift symmetry, and thus the potential is protected from radiative corrections. The axion potential is generated only by non-perturbative effects,

$$V = \Lambda^4 \left( 1 - \cos \frac{a}{f} \right),$$

with the decay constant $f$ measured in units of the reduced Planck mass, $M_{\text{Pl}}$. The axion may roll down the potential, with slow-roll dynamics characterized by the parameters [20]

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left( \frac{V'(a)}{V(a)} \right)^2,$$

$$\eta \equiv \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \frac{V''(a)}{V(a)}.$$

Observables relevant to inflation, of which we quote the Planck 2018 results in the $\Lambda$CDM model (Planck+lensing+BAO) [5], include the spectral index (68% confidence level),

$$n_s \simeq 1 - 6\epsilon + 2\eta = 1 - f^{-2} - 4\epsilon = 0.9665 \pm 0.0038,$$

and the tensor-to-scalar ratio,

$$r_{0.002} \simeq 16\epsilon = 4 \left( 1 - n_s - f^{-2} \right) < 0.106.$$

The spectral index (2.4) is relatively robust, and obtaining sufficiently large $n_s$ in natural inflation requires a large enough decay constant,

$$f \gtrsim 4M_{\text{Pl}}.$$

An important question is whether we can obtain such a large $f$ [12] in a controlled model. Since quantum corrections in string theory are suppressed only in a parameter regime below the Planck mass, this presents a challenge for string-theory model building. One candidate resolution is to generate effectively super-Planckian decay constants using only sub-Planckian parameters via an alignment mechanism, which we introduce in the following subsection.

Another useful fact is that the power spectrum of scalar perturbations $P_\zeta$ determines the height of the inflationary potential [37],

$$\frac{\Lambda^4}{M_{\text{Pl}}^4} \simeq \frac{12\pi^2}{(2f^2 + 1)} e^{N_e/f^2} P_\zeta,$$

where $N_e$ is the $e$-folding number. The latest Planck measurement of the scalar power spectrum amplitude gives $P_\zeta \simeq 2.1 \times 10^{-9}$ [5]. Assuming $50 < N_e < 60$, for a wide range of decay constants, $3 < f < 10$, this translates into $\Lambda^4 \simeq 10^{-9} M_{\text{Pl}}^4$, corresponding to an inflation scale of $\Lambda \simeq 10^{16}$ GeV.
2.2 Alignment of axions

We now review the axion alignment mechanism from a bottom-up field theoretical perspective. Later we will consider an explicit embedding into string theory, which will provide a more fundamental justification of this structure as well as an interpretation of the various parameters in terms of the underlying geometry. Consistency conditions such as supersymmetry will provide further constraints.

When multiple axions are present, a linear combination of them may obtain an effective decay constant which is much larger than those of the individual axions. Consider the case of an anomalous symmetry containing Abelian factors $U(1)_j, j = 1, \ldots, N$, as well non-Abelian gauge groups $G_I, I = 1, \ldots, M$, giving rise to a Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = k_{ij} \partial_\mu \vartheta_i \partial^\mu \vartheta_j - \sum_{I=1}^M \Lambda_I^4 \left[ 1 - \cos \left( Q_{IJ} \vartheta_j \right) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

where $k_{ij}$ is a real, symmetric Kähler metric and $\Lambda_I$ are coefficients depending on the theory in question. Here $Q_{IJ}$ are the coefficients of the $G_I$-$G_J$-$U(1)_j$ anomaly,

$$\partial_\mu J_5^j = Q_{IJ} F_{\mu\nu,I} F_{\rho\sigma,J}, \quad Q_{IJ} = \text{tr} t^a t^b, \hspace{1cm} (2.9)$$

which are integrally quantized with normalization $\text{tr} t^a t^b = \delta^{ab}$, in the case of the fundamental representation of SU($N$). It follows that the axion fields have well-defined periodicities, $\vartheta_i \rightarrow \vartheta_i + 2\pi$.

For now and in the remainder of this discussion, we focus on the minimal configuration that captures the essential physics, which is the case of two instanton contributions. With a diagonal Kähler metric, $k_{ij} = \text{diag}(f_1^2, f_2^2)/2$, the canonically normalized fields are

$$\phi_1 = f_1 \vartheta_1^{\text{diag}}, \quad \phi_2 = f_2 \vartheta_2^{\text{diag}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

The Lagrangian (2.8) provides a lattice basis, which can be expanded as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_1 \partial^\mu \phi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_2 \partial^\mu \phi_2 - V,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

where

$$V = \Lambda_1^4 \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{Q_{11}}{f_1} \phi_1 + \frac{Q_{12}}{f_2} \phi_2 \right) \right] + \Lambda_2^4 \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{Q_{21}}{f_1} \phi_1 + \frac{Q_{22}}{f_2} \phi_2 \right) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.12)

In the alignment limit [14],

$$Q_{11} : Q_{12} = Q_{21} : Q_{22},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.13)

the two axions become coincident because the arguments of the two potentials in (2.12) become proportional. Since the total degrees of freedom should be preserved, there should be an orthogonal axion whose potential is flat. This is the basis of the Kim-Nilles-Peloso (KNP) mechanism, which makes use of the fact that the orthogonal axion direction obtains an effectively large decay constant [14].


In this paper we take some care to separate axion charges and decay constants, such that we can define alignment purely in terms of charges. To see how the alignment manifests, redefine the basis by an SO(2) rotation

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\phi_x \\ \phi_y
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_1^2 Q_{12}^2 + f_2^2 Q_{11}^2}} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 Q_{12} - f_2 Q_{11} \\ f_2 Q_{11} + f_1 Q_{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(2.14)

The first term of the potential (2.12) then becomes a function of \(\phi_x\) only. For each of \(\phi_x\) and \(\phi_y\), we can define the respective decay constants as

\[
f_\psi = \frac{f_1 f_2 \sqrt{Q_{11}^2 + Q_{12}^2}}{\sqrt{f_1^2 Q_{12}^2 + f_2^2 Q_{11}^2}}, \quad f_\xi = \frac{\sqrt{f_1^2 Q_{12}^2 + f_2^2 Q_{11}^2}}{\sqrt{Q_{11}^2 + Q_{12}^2}}.
\]

(2.15)

Note that there is no special hierarchy between \(\{f_\psi, f_\xi\}\) and \(\{f_1, f_2\}\). In this basis, the potential can be expressed as

\[
V = \Lambda_1^4 \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{Q_{1\psi}}{f_\psi} \phi_y \right) \right] + \Lambda_2^4 \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{Q_\xi}{f_\xi} \phi_x + \frac{Q_{2\psi}}{f_\psi} \phi_y \right) \right],
\]

(2.16)

with the charges

\[
Q_{1\psi} = \sqrt{Q_{11}^2 + Q_{12}^2}, \quad Q_\xi = \frac{Q_{21} Q_{12} - Q_{11} Q_{22}}{\sqrt{Q_{11}^2 + Q_{12}^2}},
\]

\[
Q_{2\psi} = \left( \frac{f_1^2 Q_{12} Q_{22} + f_2^2 Q_{11} Q_{21}}{f_1^2 Q_{12}^2 + f_2^2 Q_{11}^2} \right) Q_{1\psi}.
\]

(2.17)

Thus, the alignment limit (2.13) can be achieved by choosing \(Q_{21}\) to satisfy

\[
|Q_\xi| \ll 1.
\]

(2.18)

As a result, the effective decay constant of \(\phi_x\), \(f_{\text{eff}}\), from the second term of the potential, becomes hierarchically larger than \(f_\xi\) as

\[
f_{\text{eff}} = f_\xi = \frac{\sqrt{f_1^2 Q_{12}^2 + f_2^2 Q_{11}^2}}{|Q_{21} Q_{12} - Q_{11} Q_{22}|}.
\]

(2.19)

One can simplify the dynamics of the light axion by integrating out the heavy degree of freedom [38]. Depending on the origin of the terms in the potential, there can be a natural hierarchy between \(\Lambda_1^4\) and \(\Lambda_2^4\). Without loss of generality, by assuming \(\Lambda_1^4 \gg \Lambda_2^4\), we can easily integrate out the heavy axion \((a_H \simeq \phi_y)\) from the first term of the potential,

\[
\left\langle \frac{Q_{1\psi} \phi_y}{f_\psi} \right\rangle = 2\pi k + O \left( \frac{\Lambda_1^4 Q_{2\psi}^2}{\Lambda_1^4 Q_{1\psi}^2} \right) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

(2.20)

The resulting effective Lagrangian for the light axion \((a \simeq \phi_x)\) becomes

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu a)^2 - \Lambda_2^4 \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{a}{f_{\text{eff}}} + \frac{Q_{2\psi}}{Q_{1\psi}} 2\pi k \right) \right]
\]

\[+ \frac{\Lambda_1^4 Q_{2\psi}}{2 \Lambda_1^4 Q_{1\psi}^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{a}{f_{\text{eff}}} + \frac{Q_{2\psi}}{Q_{1\psi}} 2\pi k \right) + O \left( \frac{\Lambda_1^4 Q_{2\psi}^2}{\Lambda_1^4 Q_{1\psi}^2} \right).
\]

(2.21)
The leading term of the potential is simplified to the form of (2.1), with decay constant \( f_{\text{eff}} \gg f_{1,2} \). In the practical analysis to obtain cosmological parameters, we take into account the dynamics of both axions without approximations. Note that the existence of such a light direction does not rely on a hierarchy between \( \Lambda_4^1 \) and \( \Lambda_4^2 \).

For a non-diagonal Kähler metric, we can follow essentially the same procedure. Since \( k_{ij} \) is a real, symmetric matrix, we may diagonalize it via an orthogonal matrix \( P^{-1} = P^\top \) such that

\[
P^{-\top}(k_{kl})P_{lj} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} f_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vartheta_i^{\text{diag}} = P_{ij}^\top \vartheta_j,
\]

(2.22)

where the eigenvalues are positive semi-definite. After canonically normalizing as in (2.10), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_1 \partial^\mu \phi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_2 \partial^\mu \phi_2 - \sum_{I=1,2} \Lambda^I_4 \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{Q_{Ik} P_{k1}}{f_1} \phi_1 + \frac{Q_{Ik} P_{k2}}{f_2} \phi_2 \right) \right].
\]

(2.23)

Redefining \( Q_{Ik} P_{kj} \equiv Q'_{Ij} \), the Lagrangian formally reduces to (2.12). Obviously, for a general Kähler metric the potential is not necessarily periodic with \( \phi_i \to \phi_i + 2\pi f_i \) because the elements \( P_{kj} \) may be non-integer valued. Rather, in this expression the periodicity of \( \vartheta_i \) is hidden. Nevertheless, each quantity \( f_i/Q'_{Ii} \) still plays the same role as \( f_i/Q_{Ii} \) in (2.12) when we consider the dynamics of the axions. Therefore, the alignment condition for the primed charges can be discussed in the same way. In fact, for any \( P_{kj} \), the alignment condition reduces to that of the unprimed charges \( Q_{Ik} \).

More explicitly, from (2.17) we find that the effective charge \( Q'_{\xi} \) is

\[
Q'_{\xi} = \frac{Q'_{21} Q'_{12} - Q'_{11} Q'_{22}}{\sqrt{Q'^2_{11} + Q'^2_{12}}} = Q_{\xi}.
\]

(2.26)

The alignment limit \( |Q_{\xi}| \ll 1 \) is thus equivalent to \( |Q'_{\xi}| \ll 1 \).

Alternatively, we may define a matrix-valued decay constant \( f \) as one satisfying

\[
2k_{ij} = (f^\top f)_{ij} = f_{ik} f_{kj}.
\]

(2.24)

It is known that we may always find a symmetric matrix \( f \) with this property [36]. Then the canonically normalized axion is defined as

\[
\phi_i \equiv f_{ij} \vartheta_j.
\]

(2.25)

The matrix-valued decay constant \( f \) is related to \( P \) as \( f_{ij} = f_j P_{ij}^\top \) (no sum over \( i \)). The potential can be written as

\[
V = \sum_{I=1,2} \Lambda^I_4 \left[ 1 - \cos \left( Q_{Ik} f_{k1}^{-1} \phi_1 + Q_{Ik} f_{k2}^{-1} \phi_2 \right) \right].
\]

(2.26)

Note that we have again separated the charges and the decay constants. Still in this case, the alignment limit can be expressed purely in terms of the charges as in (2.13). This is

\[
\text{– 8 –}
\]
essentially because the decay constant is well-defined as dependent on the axion flavor $i$ as in (2.25), not the instanton flavor $I$. We stress that each of them rotates covariantly under a basis transformation and the size of the decay constants remain of the same order, verifying that the alignment arises from the special combination of charges. Following the same logic as used to obtain (2.21), the general expression for the effective decay rate of the light axion $\phi_\xi$ becomes

$$f_\xi = \sqrt{\left(f_{ij} \epsilon_{jk} Q_{1k} \right) \left(f_{ij'} \epsilon_{j'k'} Q_{1k'} \right)} / \sqrt{Q_{11}^2 + Q_{12}^2}, \quad f_{\text{eff}} = f_\xi Q_\xi, \quad (2.27)$$

where $\epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21} = 1$.

Finally, there is room for further improvement by utilizing off-diagonal terms of the Kähler metric. In the two-axion case, if the rotation matrix becomes maximal,

$$P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.28)$$

then (2.27) becomes

$$f_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{\frac{f_1^2 \left( Q_{11}^2 + Q_{12}^2 \right)^2 + f_2^2 \left( \frac{Q_{11} - Q_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2}{\left| Q_{21} Q_{12} - Q_{11} Q_{22} \right|^2}}. \quad (2.29)$$

Comparing (2.29) with the simple diagonal example (2.19), we see that for $f_1 = f_2$, the two expressions are the same regardless of the detailed charge assignment. However, if there is some hierarchy between the eigenvalues of $f$, such as $f_1 \gg f_2$, a clever charge assignment can provide an additional enhancement factor. For instance, if $Q_{11} = Q_{12}$, (2.19) becomes $f_{\text{eff}} \approx f_1 / |Q_{21} - Q_{22}|$, while (2.29) becomes $f_{\text{eff}} \approx \sqrt{2} f_1 / |Q_{21} - Q_{22}|$. This is an example of kinetic alignment [21, 36], in which alignment between the kinetic metric and the charges is imposed.

For $N$ axions, except for charge alignment scenarios like the clockwork mechanism [18, 39, 40], we may also obtain an enhancement factor $\sqrt{N}$ simply from the kinetic metric, due to the number of summed terms appearing inside the square root in (2.27), as in the Nflation scenario (see [28] for a string embedding). Strategic alignment between the kinetic metric and the charges can also provide additional enhancement if the eigenvalues of the decay constant have a hierarchical structure. The distribution of enhancement factors for randomly generated Kähler metrics, as well as the possibility of both kinds of enhancement, was studied in [16].

### 2.3 Further enhancement and tunneling effects

There is further room for enhancement of the axion decay constant. If the axion is obtained from gaugino condensation in a supersymmetric gauge theory, as will be discussed in detail in section 3.1, the decay constant may increase by a factor of $N$, the rank of the $U(N)$ gauge group.
In gaugino condensation of a strongly coupled \( U(N) \) gauge theory, the axion arises as the imaginary part of a complex field \( T, \vartheta = \text{Im}T \), appearing in the gaugino condensate superpotential and its resulting scalar potential,

\[
W \sim e^{-T/N} \rightarrow V \sim \cos \frac{\vartheta}{N} = \cos \frac{a}{Nf}.
\]

(2.30)

It appears that this would lead to enhancement of the decay constant \( f \) by a factor of \( N \). However, it is not immediately obvious that this would happen in practice, since there may be degenerate vacua which may lead to tunneling between the different branches of the axion potential. Similarly, in the presence of multiple axions, any tunneling between different minima would ruin the separation between the axions, in turn ruining the alignment. This presents a general problem for the alignment scenario. Here we analyze this possibility by computing the tunneling rate between adjacent branches of the axion potential.

As a warmup, we first analyze the enhancement using a simplified aligned two-axion model, which captures the essential physics of tunneling between different branches. We take a Lagrangian of the form

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} f_1^2 (\partial_\mu \vartheta_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_2^2 (\partial_\mu \vartheta_2)^2 + \Lambda_H^4 \cos(N \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2) + \Lambda_L^4 \cos(\vartheta_1),
\]

(2.31)

where the index “\( H \)” implies that the corresponding potential is the dominant source making one of the axions heavy, while “\( L \)” corresponds to the potential that is relevant for the dynamics of the light axion. In the alignment limit, integrating out the heavy axion \((a_H \simeq f_1 \vartheta_1)\) from the first potential term induces the following effective Lagrangian of the light axion:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( f_2^2 + \frac{f_1^2}{N^2} \right) (\partial_\mu \vartheta_2)^2 + \Lambda_L^4 \cos \left( \frac{\vartheta_2}{N} + \frac{2\pi k}{N} \right) + \Lambda_L^4 \cos \left( \frac{a}{Nf_a} + \frac{2\pi k}{N} \right) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

(2.32)

Canonical normalization determines the light axion, \( a = f_a \vartheta_2 \), with

\[
f_{\text{eff}} = Nf_a = \sqrt{f_2^2 + N^2 f_1^2}.
\]

(2.33)

Here \( f_{\text{eff}} \) is enhanced by a factor \( N \) compared to \( f_a \), while there are \( N \) branches labeled by \( k = 0, \cdots, N - 1 \). For a given branch (e.g. \( k = 0 \)), during an excursion of the axion in the range \( 0 \leq a \leq \pi f_{\text{eff}} \), quantum tunneling may allow a rapid transition to other branches with lower values of the potential. In such a case, the axion, as the inflaton, can only travel slowly for a distance of \( \mathcal{O}(f_a) \) due to the deformed scalar potential, cancelling the enhancement factor \( N \).

To obtain the tunneling rate between different branches (for instance, from \( k = 0 \) to \(-1\)), we consider the nucleation rate for the critical bubble which connects two local minima along the direction of the heavy axion with the field displacement \( \Delta a_H \simeq f_1 \Delta \vartheta_1 = 2\pi f_1/N \). Tunneling can take place whenever the potential at \( k = -1 \) is lower than the potential at \( k = 0 \). The potential difference between the two positions is given as

\[
\Delta V_L = V_L(k = 0) - V_L(k = -1) > 0,
\]

(2.34)
where $V_L$ is the light axion potential from (2.32). The height of the potential barrier between the two local minima along the heavy axion direction is of $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_H^4)$, and it is hierarchically larger than $\Delta V_L$, i.e. $\Lambda_H^4 \gg \Lambda_L^4 \gg \Delta V_L$. Therefore, one can use the thin wall approximation to evaluate the bounce solution following [41]. Ignoring gravitational effects, the transition rate is given by

$$\Gamma_{\text{tunnel}} \propto e^{-S_E},$$

(2.35)

where $S_E$ is the bounce action

$$S_E = \frac{27\pi^2}{2} \frac{\sigma_B^4}{(\Delta V_L)^3}.$$  

(2.36)

Here $\sigma_B$ is the tension of the bubble wall, to be calculated shortly, and $\Delta V_L$ is the potential difference between the branches (2.34).

In principle, since the potential is not a local minimum for the light axion either before or after tunneling, we should also consider the kinetic energy of $\phi_a$ and the field displacement $\Delta a$ during tunneling. However, owing to the fact that the light axion is slowly rolling during inflation, we can safely ignore the effect of the axion kinetic energy. Furthermore, the possibility of nonzero displacement $\Delta a$ of the light axion leads to a larger total field distance $\sqrt{\Delta a^2 + \Delta V_L}$ traversed during the tunneling process. As $\Delta a$ increases, the bubble wall tension increases more significantly compared to $\Delta V_L$, so that the corresponding bounce action also increases. Therefore, in order to obtain a conservative bound on the tunneling rate and observe its parametric dependence, it is sufficient to consider the heavy-axion field direction only. For more concrete discussion about the possible effect of the light field dynamics, see [42]. We then estimate the tension as $\sigma_B \approx \Lambda_H^2 \Lambda_L f_1$, giving

$$\Delta V_L \approx \frac{2\pi}{N} \Lambda_L^4 \sin(a_{\text{inf}}/f_{\text{eff}}), \quad \sigma_B = \frac{c_B \pi}{N} \Lambda_H^2 f_1.$$  

(2.37)

where $a_{\text{inf}}$ is the axion value during inflation, and $c_B$ is an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ number. Therefore, the bounce action is

$$S_E \approx \frac{27c_B^4 \pi^3}{16 \sin(a_{\text{inf}}/f_{\text{eff}})^3 N} \left( \frac{f_1}{\Lambda_L} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\Lambda_H}{\Lambda_L} \right)^8.$$  

(2.38)

It is not difficult to obtain $S_E \geq \mathcal{O}(100)$ either from the hierarchy between $f_1$ and $\Lambda_L$ or between $\Lambda_H$ and $\Lambda_L$, thus tunneling is suppressed and the decay constant may be enhanced, $f_{\text{eff}} = N f_1$. Since $N$ appears in the denominator, in effect this restricts $N$ to be small. To estimate the allowed value, we consider conservative values: $\sin(a_{\text{inf}}/f_{\text{eff}}) \approx 1$, $c_B^4 \approx 0.01$, $\Lambda_H/\Lambda_L \approx 10$, $f_1/\Lambda \approx 0.1$, giving $S_E \approx 5232/N$. This shows that typical values, $N \lesssim 50$, are robust.

We can use the same analysis on the multi-axion model discussed in the previous section, where we allowed general instanton charges $Q_{Ij}$ as the coefficients of the respective axions $\varphi_j$. Again we focus on the two-axion case. In the alignment limit, the heavy axion $\phi_\varphi$ may be integrated out, leading to the possibility of degenerate vacua depending on the ratio of charges. Then although we have a light axion with a large effective decay constant, again there can potentially be tunneling between different minima, ruining the alignment.
Before integrating out the heavy axion $\phi$, the Lagrangian (2.16) shows that its excursion distance is $\Delta \phi \simeq 2\pi f_\psi/Q_{1\psi}$, giving the bubble tension

$$\sigma_B = \frac{c_B \pi}{\sqrt{Q_{11}^2 + Q_{12}^2}} \Lambda_1^2 f_\psi.$$  

(2.39)

Note that in the alignment limit, $Q_{2\psi}/Q_{1\psi} \rightarrow Q_{21}/Q_{11}$. The local minima of the first potential gives $\langle Q_{1\psi} \phi_\psi/f_\psi \rangle = 2\pi k$, which leads to a number $Q_{11}/\gcd(Q_{11}, Q_{21}) \equiv N'$ of branches for the light axion. In most cases, $Q_{11}, Q_{21}$ are unrelated and can easily be relatively prime, such that $N' = Q_{11}$. In the worst case, the tunneling rate is maximized for $N' = 2$. If $N' = 1$ there are no degenerate vacua. For the effective Lagrangian (2.21), the transition between the branches $k = 0$ and $k = -1$ gives

$$\Delta V_L \simeq \frac{2\pi}{N'} \Lambda_2^4 \sin \frac{a_{\text{inf}}}{f_{\text{eff}}},$$  

(2.40)

Thus, the bounce action is

$$S_E \simeq \frac{27c_B^4 \pi^3 N'^3}{16 \sin(a_{\text{inf}}/f_{\text{eff}})^3 (Q_{11}^2 + Q_{12}^2)^2} \left( \frac{f_\psi}{\Lambda_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\Lambda_1}{\Lambda_2} \right)^8.$$  

(2.41)

In this case, the possibility of tunneling implies that large charges $Q_{11}, Q_{12}$ are disfavored. Assuming $Q_{11} \simeq Q_{12} \equiv Q$, by the same arguments as above we estimate that $S_E \simeq 1308 N'^3/Q^4$, requiring $Q_{1j} \lesssim 3 N'^3/4$.

Finally, we may combine both effects. The restriction on the rank of the gauge group from the initial toy model can be directly applied to the aligned axion potential. As a result, each instanton charge $Q_{1j}$ is effectively rescaled by the rank $N_I$ of the corresponding gauge group,

$$Q_{1j} \rightarrow Q_{1j}/N_I.$$  

(2.42)

Then the bounce action is rescaled as $S \rightarrow SN_I^4$, which allows larger charges $Q_{1j}$ due to the effective suppression by $N_I$ in (2.42), giving the less stringent bound

$$Q_{1j} \lesssim 3N_I N'^3/4.$$  

(2.43)

Another constraint on $N_I$ in (2.42) comes from the entropy bound [43]. During the inflationary era, the geometry of the universe is approximately that of de Sitter space, which has a finite horizon and finite entropy, $S_{\text{dS}} = 8\pi^2 M_{\text{Pl}}^2/H^2$. This sets an upper bound on the entropy associated to the horizon of an inflationary universe, $S_{\text{inf}} \sim f^2/H^2$, for each bosonic degree of freedom, with $O(1)$ coefficients depending on the regularization [43]. Specifically, if we have $N_b$ light bosonic degrees of freedom, the condition $N_b S_{\text{inf}} < S_{\text{dS}}$ implies

$$N_b f^2 \lesssim M_{\text{Pl}}^2.$$  

(2.44)

This effectively reduces the UV cutoff and the string scale $M_s^2 \rightarrow N_b M_s^2$. Since the string scale $M_s$ should be larger than the decay constant $f$, this in turn sets a bound on the string scale,

$$M_s^2 \lesssim \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{N_b}.$$  

(2.45)
For instance, if we stabilize the internal volume (to be discussed below) with a non-perturbative superpotential from the above gaugino condensation of SU($N_I$) groups, we have $N_b = \sum_I (N_I^2 - 1)$ light gluons contributing to the entropy. Thus, with $M_s^2 = g_s^2 M_{Pl}^2 / V$, the bound (2.45) imposes
\[
\sum N_I^2 \lesssim \frac{V}{g_s^2},
\]
for a given string coupling $g_s$ and a stabilized volume $V$ in string units.

### 3 Natural inflation from string theory

We now embed the above axion alignment scenario into type IIB string theory. In preparation for our explicit model which we present in section 4, we first review how axions arise in string compactifications and explore how the decay constants are determined by the underlying geometry via moduli. Furthermore, the axion-instanton system is subject to the weak gravity conjecture, the implications of which we examine in detail.

#### 3.1 String origin of axions

Consider type IIB string theory compactified on a Calabi-Yau orientifold $X$. At energies below the compactification scale, the physics should be described by an effective four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity theory with various massless scalar fields: the axio-dilaton $S = e^{-\phi} + i C_0$, where $g_s = e^\phi$ gives the string coupling, $C_0$ is the RR scalar, Kähler moduli $T_i = \tau_i + i b_i$ that we define below, and complex structure moduli $U_a = u_a + i c_a$ associated with the shape of the internal manifold. Here and in what follows, dimensionless lengths are measured in the string scale $\ell_s = 2\pi / \sqrt{\alpha'}$ with Regge slope $\alpha'$.

The Kähler form of $X$ may be expanded in the generators $D_i$ of $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$,
\[
J = t^i D_i.
\]

It follows that the volume $V$ of $X$ may be expressed as [44, 45]
\[
V = \frac{1}{6} \int_X J \wedge J \wedge J = \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ijk} t^i t^j t^k.
\]

Using the Poincaré dual four cycles $D_i$ in $H_4(X, \mathbb{Z})$, which are divisors of $X$, we define the triple intersection numbers $\kappa_{ijk} \equiv D_i \cdot D_j \cdot D_k$. To leading order, the Kähler potential $K$ is given by
\[
K = K_0 - 2 \ln V \equiv \frac{\hat{K}}{M_{Pl}^2},
\]
where $K_0$ encapsulates the $S$ and $U_a$ dependence and we have restored the mass dimension in $\hat{K}$.

Further natural quantities are the volumes of the four-cycles $D_i$,
\[
\tau_i = \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_i} J \wedge J = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ijk} t^j t^k.
\]

---

\[^3 We denote the Poincaré dual pair of forms and cycles using the same notation.\]
Figure 1. Two stacks of branes, wrapping the four cycles $D_I, I = 1,2$ differently, are intersecting. The inverse of the length of the fundamental domain segment is proportional to $Q_I$ and gives the effective decay constant.

These form the complexified Kähler moduli, $T_i = \tau_i + i b_i, i = 1,\ldots, h^{1,1}(X)$, along with the shift-symmetric axions

$$b_i = \int_{D_i} C_{(4)},$$

where $C_{(4)}$ is the RR four-form. The continuous shift symmetry is perturbatively exact and is only broken by non-perturbative effects. Here it is broken to a discrete symmetry by branes wrapped on the corresponding four-cycles $D_i$. The normalization in (3.5) gives the periodicity $b_i \rightarrow b_i + 1$, such that the generators $D_i$ form a basis of the integral homology.

We consider a number $M$ of stacks of D7- or Euclidian D3-branes. Each stack wraps cycles $D_j$ an integer $Q_{Ij}$ number of times,

$$D_I = Q_{Ij} D_j, \quad Q_{Ij} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad I = 1,\ldots, M.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

Along these branes we can define new Kähler moduli generalizing (3.4) and (3.5),

$$\int_{D_I} \left( \frac{1}{2} J \wedge J + i C_{(4)} \right) = Q_{Ij} T_j.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

The non-perturbative superpotential that obeys the above periodicity is

$$W = W_0 + \sum_{I=1}^{M} A_I e^{-c_I Q_{Ij} T_j} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{g_s^{3/2} M_{Pl}^3} \hat{W},$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

where $W_0$ is the order-one tree-level superpotential, to be discussed in (4.1), $A_I$ are order-one coefficients and

$$c_I = \begin{cases} 2\pi, & \text{ED3}, \\ 2\pi/N_{Ij}, & \text{D7}. \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

In the former case, a D3-brane wrapped on a four-cycle becomes an instanton and the superpotential describes the instanton effect. In the latter, a stack of $N_{Ij}$ D7-branes gives rise to a $U(N_{Ij})$ gauge theory, and the corresponding superpotential is the well-known one from gaugino condensation, with gauge coupling $\tau_i$.

Consideration of the D7 gauge anomaly shows that indeed this winding number $Q_{Ij}$ is nothing but the anomaly coefficient (2.9). For the D3-worldvolume gauge theory the same
interpretation follows, which can be extrapolated to the case of a Euclidian D3-brane. In this brane setup, negative $Q_{ij}$ is also allowed and corresponds to D-branes winding in the opposite direction. In figure 1, we show a typical configuration of two stacks of branes. Calling them $D_{Ij}$, $I = 1, 2$, the number of intersections is $|Q_{12}Q_{21} - Q_{11}Q_{22}|$, proportional to $Q_\xi$ defined in (2.17). Thus, the length of the fundamental domain is inversely proportional to $Q_\xi$. To ensure stability of the D-brane setup we need supersymmetry, which in turn requires a special relation between the charges. This relation is also subject to global consistency conditions. In this work, we focus on the dynamics of the axions and leave the complete construction including the Standard Model to future work.

The range of the moduli fields $\tau_i, t^i$ are further restricted because volumes should be positive-definite and the instanton action should be positive. For all holomorphic curves, the Kähler form should be positive definite,

$$\int_C J > 0 , \quad (3.10)$$

such that the resulting volumes are non-negative: that is, $J$ should lie in the Kähler cone.

We may express this condition in terms of the set of effective curves $C$, by demanding that $C \cdot D \geq 0$ for any divisors $D$ of $X$. This set of curves forms the Mori cone. Writing the generators of the Mori cone as $C_i$, the Kähler cone condition becomes

$$\int_{C_i} J = t^j \int_{C_i} D_j \geq 0 . \quad (3.11)$$

The conditions on $t^j$ can in turn be translated into conditions on $\tau_i$ through the relation (3.4). This not only guarantees the positivity of the volumes $\tau_i$, but restricts their allowed ranges.

The leading axion-dependent terms in the scalar potential are

$$V \supset -e^K K^{iji} \sum_{I=1}^M \left[ A_I c_I Q_{ij} e^{-c_I Q_{ik} T_k} W_0 \partial_i K + \bar{A}_I c_I Q_{ji} e^{-c_I Q_{ik} T_k} W_0 \partial_j K \right] . \quad (3.12)$$

Assuming $W_0$ to be $\mathcal{O}(1)$, further terms such as $e^{-c_I Q_{ij} T_j - c_I Q_{ij} \bar{T_j}}$ are suppressed, since we are considering the region $\text{Re} T_i > 1$ and $c_I Q_{ij} = 2\pi Q_{ij}/N_I \simeq 2\pi \gg 0$, in which the exponential suppression is sufficiently strong. Using the relation $K^{iji} \partial_i K = -2\tau_j$, $K^{iji} \partial_j K = -2\tau_i$, the leading order scalar potential becomes

$$V = 4e^K W_0 \sum_{I=1}^M A_I S_I e^{-S_I} \cos(c_I Q_{ij} \bar{b}_j) , \quad (3.13)$$

with the instanton actions

$$S_I = c_I Q_{ij} \tau_j . \quad (3.14)$$

Note that we may complexify the axion and obtain the above superpotential purely from supersymmetry. Thus, it is supersymmetry which is responsible for fixing the instanton action in terms of the Kähler moduli. Naturally, the superpotential makes sense if the instanton action is positive-definite. Note also that is the leading order expression. Furthermore, to complete the scenario we need moduli stabilization and correlation functions between the various fields, which should be related to supersymmetry breaking and uplifting.
3.2 Choice of internal manifold

The physics of axions is highly dependent on the internal space. Axions are paired with the volume moduli of four-cycles, and thus the geometrical structure of the four-cycles determines the axion potential and decay constants through the superpotential and Kähler metric, respectively. It then stands to reason that an appropriate choice of Calabi-Yau orientifold is necessary for realizing axion alignment.

A general Calabi-Yau orientifold gives rise to multiple $h^{1,1} > 1$ axions. In principle, alignment is a restriction on charges as in (2.13), and regardless of the sizes of the decay constants, all of these axions can participate in the alignment. Here we will argue that

The axions taking part in the alignment should be those associated with anisotropic bulk four-cycles.

By bulk cycles we mean those with hierarchically large volumes in the sense of moduli stabilization by means of the Large Volume Scenario (LVS). By anisotropic, we mean that the bulk volume is locally factorizable as a product of those bulk cycles, such that multiple light axions remain after moduli stabilization.\textsuperscript{4} This nontrivial requirement arises because the axions associated with small cycles are decoupled at high energy scales by moduli stabilization. Furthermore, as we will see in section 3.5, the weak gravity conjecture favors large cycles with similar sizes. In other words, we consider anisotropic bulk geometry in the near-isotropic limit. The importance of anisotropic geometry has been stressed in many works [46, 47, 49, 50].

A minimal choice on which we will focus our analysis in this paper is the well-known K3 fibration over $\mathbb{CP}^1$. In this case, the bulk volume is determined by two moduli $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$,

$$ V = \alpha \sqrt{\tau_1 \tau_2}. \quad (3.15) $$

Here the overall normalization $\alpha$ is determined by a concrete realization of the geometry; however, shortly we see that the key physics is independent of $\alpha$. One example of this type of geometry can be realized as a degree-12 hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^{4}_{[1,1,2,2,6]}$ [44] under a suitable redefinition. In our explicit calculations we will mostly refer to this example — the geometric construction is summarized in the appendix.

We will stabilize the moduli using Large Volume Scenario. This mechanism relies on contributions from additional small blow-up cycles. To this end, we also supplement our construction with “swiss cheese” geometry by including small internal cycles. For the case of $\mathbb{CP}^{4}_{[1,1,2,2,6]}(12)$, we introduce a further small cycle denoted $\tau_s$. The overall volume is now of the form

$$ V = \alpha \left( \tau_1^{1/2} \tau_2 - \gamma \tau_s^{3/2} \right), \quad (3.16) $$

where $\gamma$ depends on the details of the blow-up.

Other examples can yield similar geometries. The manifold $\mathbb{CP}^{4}_{[1,1,2,2,2]}(8)/\mathbb{Z}_2 : 0 1 1 0 0 \text{ T1}$ in ref. [50] obtains a similar form,

$$ V = \alpha \left( \tau_1^{1/2} \tau_2 - \gamma_3 \tau_3^{3/2} - \gamma_4 \tau_4^{3/2} \right), \quad (3.17) $$

\textsuperscript{4}By “anisotropy,” we mean in the sense of “generic anisotropy” with sizes being allowed in principle to vary in different directions, which may nevertheless admit an isotropic limit.
with $\alpha = \sqrt{2}/12$ and $\gamma_3 = \gamma_4 = 2\sqrt{2}/3$. Also the geometry Polytope # 1206 in the Calabi-Yau manifold database of Altman et al. (AGHJN 1206) \cite{48} yields a volume

$$V = \alpha \sqrt{\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3} - \gamma \tau_3^{3/2},$$  

(3.18)

with $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $\gamma = \sqrt{2}/3$, leading to three large cycles and one small cycle. Although the three manifolds introduced here appear structurally different, all three are K3 fibrations over a two-base and lead to the same general structure,

$$V = nt^a \tau_a - \alpha \sum_{\tau_i \text{ small}} \gamma_i \tau_i^{3/2} (a \text{ not summed}),$$  

(3.19)

where $\tau_a$ is the volume of the K3 fiber, $t^a$ is that of the two-base and $n$ is the integer power to which $t^a$ appears in the bulk volume, $V \propto (t^a)^n$. There are also requirements on the small cycles. In particular, in order to have a stable minimum, at least one of them should be a blown-up cycle resolving a singularity \cite{44}.

One could also consider manifolds with more cycles, but in the present work we limit our discussion to small $h^{1,1} \lesssim 4$. This is because the Kähler cone condition restricts the range of moduli space, prohibiting large decay constants \cite{51–53}; moreover, with many cycles the cone becomes very narrow, yielding large cycle volumes $\tau_i \sim (h^{1,1})^p$ \cite{54}. Although the KNP mechanism works well regardless of the axion decay constants, for consistent moduli stabilization other cycles should be sufficiently small, such that we may expect their relatively heavy axions to decouple.

Note that in all of the above geometries, the volumes $\tau_i$ are not those of the cycles of integral cohomology $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We need a suitable redefinition of the diagonal form of the volume, which depends on the details of the construction. This imposes an important constraint on the range of the Kähler parameter $t^i$ to satisfy the Kähler cone condition (3.11). For example,

$$\mathbb{C}P^4_{[1,2,2,6]}^{(12)} : \tau_2 \geq \frac{4}{3} \tau_1 > 0,$$

(3.20)

$$\mathbb{C}P^4_{[1,1,2,2]}^{(8)}/\mathbb{Z}_2 : \tau_2 \geq 4\tau_1 > 0, \tau_3 \geq \tau_4 \geq \frac{1}{2} \tau_1 > 0,$$

(3.21)

$$\text{AGHJN 1206} : \frac{2\tau_i}{\tau_i} < \frac{\tau_j}{\tau_k}, \quad i \neq j \neq k.$$

(3.22)

Finally, the Large Volume Scenario makes use of an $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^3)$ correction in order to realize the large-volume minimum, which should induce a positive contribution to the potential. We will see that requiring this term to be positive implies that the Euler number should be negative, $\chi(X) \equiv 2h^{1,1} - 2h^{2,1} < 0$.

### 3.3 Axion decay constants

The axion decay constants are determined by the geometry and encoded in the Kähler metric. The Kähler metric $K_{ij} \equiv \partial^2 K/(\partial T_i \partial T_j^*) = 4\partial^2 K/(\partial \tau_i \partial \tau_j)$ is a real, symmetric

\footnote{The details of the geometry are given in ref. \cite{49}.}
matrix, giving the kinetic terms

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \sum_{i,j} K_{ij} \partial_\mu T_i \partial_\mu T_j^* . \]  

(3.23)

If the Kähler metric is diagonal, each entry is the square of the axion decay constant, in the normalization that the scalar fields have periodocities $2\pi$,

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \sum_i \left[ \frac{f_i^2}{2} \partial_\mu (2\pi b_i) \partial^\mu (2\pi b_i) + \frac{f_i^2}{2} \partial_\mu (2\pi \tau_i) \partial^\mu (2\pi \tau_i) \right] . \]  

(3.24)

(Note the different normalization from $\vartheta$ in section 2). Comparing these two expressions, we may read off the decay constants,

\[ f_i^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \langle K_i^{\text{diag}} \rangle \]  

(no summation).

(3.25)

For a non-diagonal Kähler metric, we may define the matrix-valued decay constant $f$ as in (2.24),

\[ f_{ki} f_{kj} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \langle K_{ij} \rangle . \]  

(3.26)

The moduli dependence of decay constants can be understood by considering a generic volume of the form

\[ V = \alpha (\tau_1^{p_1} \tau_2^{p_2} \tau_3^{p_3} + \beta \tau_1^{q_1} \tau_2^{q_2} \tau_3^{q_3}) \equiv \alpha (V_f + \beta V_s) . \]  

(3.27)

Here due to the intersection structure (3.2), the powers $p_i, q_i$ can take only non-negative half-integer values. Noting that $\tau_i$ are the volumes of four-cycles, the powers must additionally satisfy $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = 3/2 = \dim \mathcal{X}/2$, with $\dim \mathcal{X} = 3$ the complex dimension of $\mathcal{X}$. In the simple case $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 1/2, \beta = 0$, (3.27) describes the volume of a complex threefold as a product of three Riemann surfaces.

First let us consider generic $p_1, p_2, p_3$, with $\beta = 0$. In most cases, this structure requires diagonalization. The Kähler potential becomes

\[ K = K_0 - 2 \log \alpha - 2p_1 \log \tau_1 - 2p_2 \log \tau_2 - 2p_3 \log \tau_3 , \]  

(3.28)

yielding the diagonal Kähler metric

\[ K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \text{diag} \left( \frac{p_1}{\tau_1^2}, \frac{p_2}{\tau_2^2}, \frac{p_3}{\tau_3^2} \right) . \]  

(3.29)

Thus the decay constants take the form

\[ f_i = \frac{\sqrt{p_i}}{2\pi \tau_i} M_{P1} , \]  

(3.30)

depending on the powers of $\tau_i$ appearing in the volume, but not the overall coefficient, due to the logarithmic dependence of the Kähler metric. Although the values of the moduli $\tau_i$...
correspond to cycle volumes in units of the string length, the Kähler potential is measured in terms of the Planck scale \([55]\). The validity of the supergravity description requires \(\tau_i > 1\), so as expected, string theory naturally yields dimensionful parameters below the Planck scale.

The correction to the volume as in the second term of (3.27) introduces off-diagonal components in the Kähler metric,

\[
K_{\bar{ii}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\tau_i^2V_f^2} \left( p_i V_f^2 - \left( (p_i - q_l)^2 - p_i - q_i \right) \beta V_f V_s + q_i \beta^2 V_s^2 \right),
\]

and

\[
K_{ij} = -\left( \frac{\alpha^2 \beta}{2\tau_i \tau_j V_f^2} \right) (p_i - q_l)(p_j - q_j) V_f V_s, \quad i \neq j.
\]

In the case where \(p_i \neq q_l\) and \(p_j \neq q_j\), this implies that the geometry should have a fibered structure along the \(i, j\) directions.

If we interpret \(V_s\) as a small correction (although it is not always the case) then we may approximate \(V_f \gg \beta V_s\), which simplifies the Kähler metric,

\[
K_{\bar{ii}} = \frac{1}{2\tau_i^2} \left( p_i - \left( (p_i - q_l)^2 - p_i - q_i \right) \beta V_s V_f + q_i \left( \frac{\beta V_s}{V_f} \right)^2 \right),
\]

and

\[
K_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2\tau_i \tau_j} (p_i - q_l)(p_j - q_j) \beta V_s V_f.
\]

Note that the off-diagonal components \(K_{ij}\) are subleading in \(\beta V_s/V_f\), and furthermore vanish if the volume is completely factorized in \(\tau_i\) or \(\tau_j\). Moreover, in the \(\beta V_s/V_f \rightarrow 0\) limit the Kähler metric becomes diagonal and reproduces the previous result (3.30). We may generalize this argument to cases with additional terms in the expression for the volume.

We draw the “decay constants”, defined as the eigenvalues of the Kähler metric divided by \(2\sqrt{2}\pi\), for the case of two light axions in figure 2. Different curves correspond
to $\beta = 0, -2/3, -1$, respectively. We chose the volume to be of the form (3.27) with $(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (1/2, 1, 0)$, $(q_1, q_2, q_3) = (3/2, 0, 0)$ and Kähler moduli satisfying the relation $\tau_2 = 2\tau_1$. General behaviors are similar for other relations, that is, decreasing as $\tau_i$ with a negative power. The dominant behavior (3.30) is largely unmodified by subleading contributions (3.33)–(3.34). While the decay constants are determined by the Kähler potential, their effects in the axion potential (3.13) always appear in the combination

$$\frac{2\pi Q_{ij} b_j}{N_I f_j},$$

with the charge and, in the case of gaugino condensates, the ranks $N_I$ of the condensate groups. This effectively redefines the charges $Q_{ij} \to Q_{ij}/N_I$. Therefore, in the case of two-axion alignment, the effective decay constant becomes

$$f_{\text{eff}} = N_2 \sqrt{f_1^2 Q_{12}^2 + f_2^2 Q_{11}^2} / |Q_{21} Q_{12} - Q_{11} Q_{22}|.$$  

(3.36)

Again, we should ensure that there is no tunneling between degenerate branches of vacua. We may conclude that modification of the charges does not give rise to any naturalness problem in the axion decay constant.

3.4 The Weak Gravity Conjecture for an axion with a dilaton

The alignment mechanism appears to allow for a trans-Planckian axion decay constant in a certain basis of field space. The alignment restores the shift symmetry, which is a global symmetry, but global symmetries are believed to be violated by quantum gravity effects. For example, if we consider a black hole, a globally charged object cannot preserve its charge because Hawking radiation cannot carry global charge. This implies that quantum gravity processes involving a black hole violate the global symmetry. A more general principle is quantitatively formulated as the weak gravity conjecture (WGC) [13]. For the case of a $U(1)$ gauge theory,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} M_{P1}^2 R - \frac{1}{4g^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu},$$

(3.37)

the weak gravity conjecture is the requirement that there exists a charged particle with mass $m$ and gauge charge $Q = qg$, where $q$ is the quantized charge, such that

$$m \leq qg \sqrt{2} M_{P1}.$$  

(3.38)

This originates from the condition for extremal black hole decay, imposing the charge-to-mass ratio bound

$$\frac{qg M_{P1}}{m} \geq \left( \frac{Q M_{P1}}{M} \right)_{\text{extremal BH}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$  

(3.39)

The extremal condition is consistent with the force-free condition for extremal objects. This criteria can be modified in the presence of a dilatonic coupling for the gauge field, as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} M_{P1}^2 R + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{e^{-\alpha \phi}}{4g_0^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}.$$  

(3.40)
Here the gauge coupling depends on the field $g = g_0 e^{\alpha \phi / 2}$, so we expect a nontrivial black hole solution. As given by [56], an extremal dilatonic black hole gives the following modified equality:

$$\left( \frac{Q M_{\text{Pl}}}{M} \right)_{\text{extremal BH}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}}. \quad (3.41)$$

This is also the balance condition for extremal objects between the repulsive $U(1)$ gauge force and the attractive force by gravity and the dilaton. The corresponding WGC can be inferred as [30, 57]

$$\frac{a g M_{\text{Pl}}}{m} \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}}. \quad (3.42)$$

Including the dilatonic coupling, this relation can easily be generalized to higher dimensional objects in general spacetime dimensions, as long as we can identify the relevant extremal macroscopic objects. However, it is not clear what the corresponding extremal objects are in the case of axions. By naive analogy with the $U(1)$ case, we may replace $(m, q)$ with $(S, Q)$.

$Q$ is the anomaly coefficient in (2.9), interpreted as a quantized instanton charge, measured in units of the axion decay constant $1/f$, and $S$ is the corresponding instanton action. Then the WGC for axions can be written as

$$\frac{Q M_{\text{Pl}}}{f S} \geq \left( \frac{Q M_{\text{Pl}}}{S} \right)_{\text{extremal}}, \quad (3.43)$$

where $Q$ is the charge and $S$ is the instanton action of an extremal object. Naive comparison of (3.42) to the axion case implies that the right-hand side of (3.43) becomes $\alpha/2$.

On the other hand, if we consider axions in string theory, the dilatonic dependence in the axion coupling to the instanton arises naturally. In a specific axion model for $T = \tau + i b$ with $b \simeq b + 1$, and $\hat{K}/M_{\text{Pl}}^2 = -2 \ln \tau$, we find

$$L = \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \left( R + p \left( \frac{\partial_\mu \tau}{\tau^2} + p \left( \frac{\partial_\mu b}{\tau^2} \right) \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{Pl}}^2 R + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial_\mu \phi}{\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{p M_{\text{Pl}}} e^{-\phi/(\sqrt{p M_{\text{Pl}}})} \right) \left( \partial_\mu b \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{Pl}}^2 R + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial_\mu \phi}{\tau^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu b \right)^2 \left( \frac{2\pi f_0}{e^{-\alpha \phi / (2 M_{\text{Pl}})}} \right)^2. \quad (3.44)$$

The second line is obtained by redefining $\phi = \phi_0 + \tilde{\phi}$ around its vacuum expectation value, $\phi_0 \equiv \sqrt{p} M_{\text{Pl}} \ln \tau_0$, with $f_0 = \sqrt{p} M_{\text{Pl}}/(2 \pi \tau_0)$. This fixes the dilaton charge in the third line of (3.44) as

$$\alpha = 2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{p}} \geq 0. \quad (3.45)$$

Thus, the field-dependent axion decay constant is given by (3.30). The corresponding instanton action with quantized charge $Q$ in units of $1/f = e^{\alpha \phi / (2 M_{\text{Pl}})} / f_0$ becomes that of (3.14). From these we obtain the relation

$$\left( \frac{Q M_{\text{Pl}}}{f S} \right)_{\text{string axion}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{\alpha}{2}. \quad (3.46)$$
This is a consequence of supersymmetry and is also related to the force balancing condition for the corresponding dual object, strings, in the limit of vanishing scalar potential. Note that even if the saxion is stabilized by its potential and thus becomes massive by supersymmetry-breaking effects, the relation (3.46) still holds as a remnant of the underlying supersymmetric structure.

If the saxion is totally decoupled, and we consider only the axion and gravitational interaction, the Giddings-Strominger (GS) solution for the Euclidean wormhole (WH) [58] can provide an interesting implication. For a wormhole solution with instanton action $S$ and PQ charge $Q = Q/f$ carried by the wormhole throat, the following relations hold [59, 60]:

$$\left(\frac{QM_{Pl}}{S}\right)_{WH(GS)} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{6\pi}}. \tag{3.47}$$

One can also allow coupling to the dilaton as in the Lagrangian of the third line in (3.44). In such a case, assuming that the dilaton mass is vanishingly small around the wormhole throat, the Euclidean wormhole solution only exists for $\alpha < 2\sqrt{2}/3$. In this regime [59, 60],

$$\left(\frac{QM_{Pl}}{S}\right)_{WH} = \frac{\alpha}{2 \sin \left(\frac{\pi \alpha}{4\sqrt{2}/3}\right)} \tag{3.48}$$

One can take the limit of $\alpha \to 2\sqrt{2}/3$ to obtain the maximum value of the charge-to-mass ratio. Then

$$\left(\frac{QM_{Pl}}{S}\right)_{\text{extremal WH}} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \bigg|_{\alpha \to 2\sqrt{2}/3} = \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}}, \tag{3.49}$$

which is also dubbed an extremal gravitational instanton [30, 61], since the wormhole throat size is vanishing and becomes singular, so this object can really absorb the PQ charge without need of a baby universe.

Interestingly, the r.h.s. of (3.49) is coincident with (3.46) in the no-scale limit $2p = 3$. This is naturally the case if we interpret $2p$ as the complex dimension of the internal manifold. We can also show that this result holds for multiple axions if they are associated with the Kähler moduli of four-cycles (see examples below). For the extremal objects entering the axion WGC, one possible choice is to consider the string axions.

### 3.5 The WGC for multiple axions

Generalization to the case of multiple axions can be performed along the lines of the similar generalization of the particle WGC to the case of multiple U(1) gauge bosons. In the string axion case, owing to the off-diagonal elements of $K_{ij}$, we have a generalized matrix-valued decay constant $f$ as in (3.26). For the instanton with its $S_I$ and the quantized charges $Q_{lk}$, the instanton charge vector is defined as

$$Q_{li} = f^{-1}_{ik} Q_{lk}. \tag{3.50}$$

Thus, the covariant generalization of the above WGC is straightforward.
First of all, we can show that if a general decay constant $f$ is obtained from the Kähler potential (3.3) and the internal volume (3.2), the following inequality is satisfied for arbitrary charges $Q_{Ii}$:

$$\frac{\sqrt{Q_{Ii} Q_{Ii}} M_{Pl}}{|2\pi Q_{Ii} \tau^i|} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \quad \text{(no sum over } I).$$

(3.51)

Note that $\sqrt{2/3}$ in the right-hand side of (3.51) is the coefficient of the inequality in the single axion case with $p = 3/2$ (3.46). For multiple axions, this inequality is saturated if the moduli field values are aligned with respect to the charges as

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial \tau^i} \propto Q_{Ii}.$$  

(3.52)

Recall that the instanton actions are given in (3.14) as

$$S_I = c_I Q_{Ii} \tau^i,$$  

(3.53)

which are required to be positive. Considering the charge-to-mass ratio for the axion,

$$z_I = \frac{Q_{Ii} M_{Pl}}{S_I} \hat{e}_i,$$  

(3.54)

the inequality can be written as

$$||z_I||_{\text{string axion}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \equiv r_{\text{CHC}},$$

(3.55)

for any charge-to-mass ratio vector. Hereafter we call the saturated value $r_{\text{CHC}}$.

Furthermore, one can also consider the Euclidean wormhole solution for multiple-axion models. For Giddings-Strominger wormhole solutions, the norm of the charge-to-mass ratio vector is fixed as

$$||z_I||_{\text{WH(GS)}} = 4 \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi}} (3.56)$$

for any direction of the charge-to-mass ratio vector [33], so the allowed domain is the sphere in the charge-to-mass ratio vector space. We are also interested in the extremal wormhole solution for multiple axion-dilaton systems. In the case of the single axion-dilaton model, the extremal wormhole solution can be obtained in the no-scale limit ($p = 3/2$). A natural conjecture is then that the multiple-axion-dilaton kinetic metric in the no-scale limit (i.e. where $f$ is obtained from (3.3)) without potentials also gives the extremal wormhole solution with vanishing wormhole throat size. This turns out to be true [62], and the Euclidean action for given quantized charges $Q_{Ii}$ becomes

$$S_I = 2\pi Q_{Ii} \tau^i \Rightarrow ||z_I||_{\text{extremal WH}} = \frac{\sqrt{Q_{Ii} Q_{Ii} M_{Pl}}}{2\pi Q_{Ii} \tau^i},$$

(3.57)

the same as in the string axion case. The domains of the charge-to-mass ratio vectors for string instantons and extremal wormholes is a hyperplane for given saxion values rather than a sphere, since $|z_I \cdot (2\pi f_{ij} \tau^j)| = 1$. However, it is also of note that as we approach the
center of the extremal wormhole \( r \to 0 \), the solutions of \( \tau^i(r) \) tend towards the values that saturate the inequality (3.55), i.e. \( \partial K/\partial \tau^i \propto Q_{II} \) for \( r \to 0 \).

The WGC for multiple axions can be expressed as the existence of a convex hull constructed from the charge-to-mass ratio vectors, which contains the domain of the allowed \((z_I)_{\text{extremal}}\) [32, 35]. The explicit value of \( ||z_I||_{\text{extremal}} \) for each direction of \( z_I \) may not be clearly specified because the form of the domain is easily deformed by the existence of the dilatonic partners. If we consider Giddings-Strominger wormholes, the charge-to-mass ratio vectors span a sphere with a radius \( 4/\sqrt{6\pi} \). If we consider string axions as the objects giving rise to \((z_I)_{\text{extremal}}\), the extremal bound becomes a hyperplane for given saxion values, whose minimal norm is \( r_{\text{CHC}} \) as (3.55).

Interestingly, the Kähler moduli enter into both the axion decay constants and the instanton actions. In the context of type II string compactifications, the charge-to-mass ratio vector (3.54) is invariant under rescalings of either the charges or the Kähler moduli (see for example (4.30) in section 4.3). Furthermore, the contributions from group-theoretical factors \( N_I \) also cancel. This implies that the CHC constrains the ratios \( \tau_i/\tau_j \) of the four-cycles in the compact geometry.

In order to more concretely understand the phenomenological implications of the WGC as it applies to the inflationary dynamics of axions with frozen saxions, we consider a rather simplified convex hull condition (CHC): (i) the extremal domain of the charge-to-mass ratio vector is given by the sphere with the radius \( r_{\text{CHC}} \), (ii) the instantons used to construct the convex hull contribute to the scalar potential of the axions via SUSY breaking effects. In fact, the relationship between the instantons satisfying the convex hull condition and the scalar potential is ambiguous because of fermionic zero modes. Nevertheless, one can assess the possible implications from the distribution of allowed instanton actions which may contribute to the scalar potential.

While each charge-to-mass ratio vector of an instanton may have a norm greater than the value of \( ||z_I||_{\text{extremal}} \) along its direction, the convex hull spanned by the aligned-instanton charge-to-mass ratio vectors may not necessarily cover the allowed extremal domain. In the alignment limit, the two vectors are almost parallel so the resulting convex hull becomes line-like and cannot embrace the circle. A suggested remedy [31] is that we may introduce another instanton giving rise to a third axion potential as follows. Letting its axion charges be \( Q_{31}, Q_{32} \), the resulting vector \( z_3 \) is required to be almost orthogonal to the original two vectors \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \),

\[
\frac{z_1 \cdot z_3}{||z_I||z_3|} = \frac{Q_{31}Q_{I1}f_1^2 + Q_{32}Q_{I2}f_2^2}{\prod_{I'=1,3} \sqrt{(f_2Q_{I'1})^2 + (f_1Q_{I'2})^2}} \ll 1, \quad I = 1, 2,
\]

such that the resulting convex hull contains the unit circle. These parameters come from the corresponding instanton potential,

\[
\Delta V = -\lambda_3^4 e^{-S_3} \cos \left( \frac{Q_{31}}{f_1}\phi_1 + \frac{Q_{32}}{f_2}\phi_2 \right),
\]

and the resulting vector \( z_3 \) generates a new vertex for the convex hull such that it embraces
Table 1. Axionic brane configuration. We choose D7-branes such that we can have multiple slices, with $N_I$ branes in each stack.

| Stack | Slices | Supporting cycle | Condition |
|-------|--------|------------------|-----------|
| 1     | $N_1$  | $Q_{11}D_1 + Q_{12}D_2$ | $Q_{11}Q_{12} < 0$ |
| 2     | $N_2$  | $Q_{21}D_1 + Q_{22}D_2$ | alignment (2.13) |
| 3     | $N_3$  | $Q_{31}D_1 + Q_{32}D_2$ | $Q_{31} \geq 0, Q_{32} \geq 0$, orthogonal (3.58) |
| s     | $N_s$  | $D_s$            |           |

In order not to ruin the small curvature of the original axion potential, we need a large enough instanton action, $S_3 \gg 1$. Note that although the corresponding potential has a large coefficient $S_3$, it is drowned out by the suppression by the exponential of $-S_3$. Later we will see that this condition $S_3 \gg 1$ is achieved naturally.\(^7\)

4 Testing aligned natural inflation

In this section, we take concrete values of the moduli fields and compare them with real observations from cosmology. After briefly reviewing the Large Volume Scenario, we discuss how it leads to a generic prediction of light axions, which obtain masses only through bulk-volume-suppressed non-perturbative effects [2]. We present a low-energy effective potential for the light axion, highlighting the parametric dependence of the axion mass on the underlying geometry. The decay constants are constrained by string geometry, supersymmetry and the weak gravity conjecture. Choosing suitable values, we derive predictions for aligned natural inflation and compare with observations.

4.1 Moduli stabilization I: the overall volume and the small cycles

In order to embed aligned natural inflation into an explicit string theory model, we must first stabilize the moduli. The first step is to turn on background fluxes [63], which induces a superpotential [64]

$$W = \frac{1}{\ell_s^2} \int_X G_3 \wedge \Omega,$$

\(^7\)Owing to the relatively small effective decay constant of the potential (3.60), it can generate a modulation of the inflaton potential depending on the size of $S_3$. Such a possibility provides a wider range of inflation parameters compared to those of vanilla natural inflation [23, 24].
where $\Omega$ is the invariant $(3,0)$-form of $X$ and $G_3 = F_3 - SH_3$ is the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$-covariant three-form field strength. This induces an F-term scalar potential which is minimized at $D_3W = 0$ and $D_2W = 0$, thus fixing the axio-dilaton and complex structure moduli, which are not relevant to our discussion. The induced Kähler metric is provided by the axio-dilaton and complex structure moduli, which are not relevant to our discussion. The remaining F-term scalar potential for the Kähler moduli takes the form

$$V_F = e^{K/M_{P1}^2} \left[ K^{ij}D_i WD_j \bar{W} - \frac{3}{M_{P1}^2} |W|^2 \right],$$

where $D_i W \equiv \partial_i W + K_i W$, $K_i \equiv \partial_i K$ and $K^{ij} = K_{ij}^{-1}$.

At tree level the effective action has no-scale structure, i.e. $V_F$ in (4.2) vanishes independent of $T_i$. Thus, in order to stabilize the Kähler moduli we must include subleading corrections. There are two types of contributions which combine to realize the Large Volume Scenario. First of all, the Kähler potential may receive perturbative corrections. The leading contribution arises at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^3)$ in the 10-dimensional supergravity action and modifies the Kähler potential to the form

$$K = K_0 - 2 \ln \left( V + \frac{\xi}{2g_s} \right), \quad \xi \equiv -\chi(X) \zeta(3) \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3},$$

where $\chi(X)$ is the Euler number of $X$. Hereafter we will use $\xi \equiv \xi g_s^{-2/3}$.

Secondly, the superpotential can receive non-perturbative corrections from brane instantons. We assume that we are working with a volume of the form (3.16),

$$V = \alpha(\tau_1^{3/2} - \gamma \tau_s^{3/2}),$$

in the regime where $\tau_1, \tau_2 \gg \tau_s$, so that the superpotential (3.8) is dominated by the instanton contribution from branes wrapping the small cycle

$$W = W_0 + A_s e^{-c_s T_s}.$$  

These assumptions will turn out to be self-consistent.

In our construction we will ultimately consider four stacks of branes. The first three wind the large cycles $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ multiple times, while the fourth winds the small cycle $\tau_s$ just once, as shown in table 1. We assume that our axions are not related to QCD: an additional D7-brane stack harboring the Standard Model may also be considered separately.

Together, these two types of corrections to $K$ and $W$ conspire to stabilize the Kähler moduli at parametrically large volume, which we now illustrate for this class of examples. The volume (3.16) provides the Kähler potential (4.3), where $K_0$ contains the contribution from the axio-dilaton and complex structure moduli, which are not relevant to our discussion. The induced Kähler metric is

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{8(V + \xi/2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha \tau_2(2\alpha \tau_1^{3/2} - e)}{\tau_1^{3/2}} & \frac{2n\alpha e}{\tau_1^{3/2}} & -\frac{3\alpha^2 \gamma \tau_2 \tau_s^{1/2}}{\tau_s^{1/2}} \\ \frac{2n\alpha e}{\tau_1^{3/2}} & 4\alpha^2 \tau_1 & -6\alpha^2 \gamma \tau_1^{1/2} \tau_s^{1/2} \\ -\frac{3\alpha^2 \gamma \tau_2 \tau_s^{1/2}}{\tau_s^{1/2}} & -6\alpha^2 \gamma \tau_1^{1/2} \tau_s^{1/2} & 3\alpha^2 (\alpha \tau_1^{1/2} \tau_2 - e + 3\alpha \gamma \tau_s^{3/2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

(4.5)
where we have defined
\[ e \equiv \alpha \gamma \tau_s^{3/2} \hat{\xi} / 2. \]

Plugging the potentials (4.3) and (4.4) into the F-term scalar potential and minimizing yields an LVS solution. The leading-order scalar potential is
\[
V_F \simeq e^{K_0} \left[ \frac{8 \tau_s^{1/2} A_s^2 e^{-c_s \tau_s}}{3 \alpha \gamma} - \frac{4 c_s \tau_s A_s^2 W_0 e^{-c_s \tau_s}}{V^2} + \frac{3 \hat{\xi}}{4 V^3} W_0^2 \right]. \tag{4.6}
\]

Here we have already minimized with respect to \( a_s \) by setting
\[ \cos(\theta + c_s a_s) = -1, \]
which generates the minus sign in the second term. We have also allowed for a phase \( \theta \) arising in the cross-term potential due to a phase difference between \( W_0 \) and \( A_s \) in (4.4) \[44\]. Physically this is not a serious problem since the field space of an axion is compact and does not contain runaway directions which could destabilize the vacuum. From (3.16), (4.3) and (4.4) the potential (4.6) is a general result to \( \mathcal{O}(V^{-3}) \) — in fact it holds in general “swiss cheese” manifolds regardless of how many bulk cycles are present, or their configuration. Note that it is an approximation at large \( V \): the full result is summarized in eg. equation (17) of \[2\].

The potential is asymptotically AdS, approaching zero from below, and has a minimum at \[46\]
\[ V \simeq 3 \alpha \gamma W_0 \tau_s^{1/2} e^{c_s \tau_s} \left( \frac{c_s \tau_s - 1}{4 c_s \tau_s - 1} \right), \quad \hat{\xi} \simeq \frac{32 \alpha \gamma c_s \tau_s^{5/2}}{(4 c_s \tau_s - 1)^2}. \tag{4.7}
\]

In the limit \( c_s \tau_s \gg 1 \) this can be simplified as
\[ V \simeq \frac{3 \alpha \gamma W_0 \tau_s^{1/2} e^{c_s \tau_s}}{4 c_s A_s}, \quad \tau_s \simeq \left( \frac{\hat{\xi}}{2 \alpha \gamma} \right)^{2/3}. \tag{4.8}
\]

For weak coupling we see that it is natural to have \( \tau_s \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(1) \), which leads to an exponentially enhanced volume \( V \). Thus the expansion in \( V^{-1} \) is controlled, with additional contributions arising at higher order in the expansion, justifying our initial assumptions.\(^8\) Furthermore, analysis of general manifolds with additional small cycles shows that all such small cycles are stabilized with comparable sizes for a reasonable range of parameters \[44\]. In this work we will ultimately be steered towards small values of \( c_s \tau_s \), for which (4.8) is no longer a good approximation to the full result (4.7). Nevertheless, as long as \( c_s \tau_s \gtrsim 1 \), the solution is still well-defined and the resulting modification to (4.8) is by at most an \( \mathcal{O}(1) \) factor, so we expect the above argument to still hold.

\(^8\)Certain higher-order corrections may further constrain the allowed range of \( V \), if present \[65\].
4.2 Moduli stabilization II: the other large cycles and uplifting

At this stage the other large-cycle moduli and the associated axions remain unstabilized. We may stabilize them by introducing further corrections to the potential. Since the overall volume is fixed, further saxion-dependent contributions will fix the relative sizes of the large-cycle volumes. One conventional approach to this end is to use string loop corrections \[44, 66–69\]. There are contributions arising from string loops located as follows: within each bulk cycle, corresponding to Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes; or between them, corresponding to winding (W) modes. The explicit form is not known for general geometries, but has been calculated for some orbifolds \[66\]. For a general Calabi-Yau manifold, the appropriate extension of the orbifold results has been conjectured \[68\].

The KK modes arise from the one-loop contribution of open strings between D3 and D7-branes (or O7-planes). These strings can be viewed as closed strings having KK quantum numbers, giving a correction to the Kähler potential

\[
\delta K^{\text{KK}}_{g_s} \sim \sum_{I=1}^{M} \frac{m_{I,\text{KK}}^{-2}}{(\text{Re}S)\mathcal{V}} \sim g_s \sum_{I=1}^{M} \frac{\epsilon_{I}^{\text{KK}} a_{Ij} t^j}{\mathcal{V}},
\]

(4.9)

where \(\epsilon_{I}^{\text{KK}}\) are \(O(1)\) shape coefficients depending on the complex structure. Unless we know the details of the D3-brane configuration, whose details we do not consider but which are necessary for tadpole cancellation, we regard \(a_{Ij} t^j\) as the two-cycle volumes transverse to the cycles \(D_I = Q_I k D_k\) wrapped by the \(I\)th D7-brane stack.

There are also modes coming from winding strings along the intersection between two stacks of D7-branes (or O7-planes),

\[
C_{IJ} = D_I \cdot D_J = (Q_I D_i) \cdot (Q_J D_j).
\]

(4.10)

Their contribution is

\[
\delta K_{g_s}^{W} \sim \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{m_{IJW}^{-2}}{\mathcal{V}} \sim \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{\epsilon_{IJ}^{W} a_{IJk} t^k}{\mathcal{V}},
\]

(4.11)

where \(\epsilon_{IJ}^{W}\) are likewise geometric coefficients, and \(a_{IJk} t^j\) are the two-cycle volumes of the curves \(C_{IJ}\) in (4.10).

From the “extended no-scale structure” enjoyed by the loop corrections \[69\], the resulting correction to the scalar potential is simplified as

\[
\delta V_{g_s} = \left[ K_{11}^0 \sum_{I} \left( g_s \epsilon_{I}^{\text{KK}} a_{I} \right)^2 - 2 \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{\epsilon_{IJ}^{W} a_{IJk} t^k}{\mathcal{V}} \right] \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^2}.
\]

(4.12)

Therefore, we may fix all the bulk-cycle volumes by minimizing the total potential, with the constraint of fixed overall volume (4.7), since it has already been stabilized by the leading contribution (4.6). This is a general expression taking into account generic wrapping and arises at \(O(V^{-10/3})\). One may worry that for small volumes, there does not seem to be sufficient parametric suppression relative to the leading LVS potential (4.6), which arises at \(O(V^{-3})\), in order to ensure the validity of treating (4.12) as a small correction. However, the KK corrections are also suppressed by \(g_s^2\), and we are interested in the parameter region
where the winding terms give corrections of around the same size. Thus, simply requiring small $g_s$ seems enough to maintain a robust hierarchy.\footnote{For readers who nevertheless remain skeptical, we point out that our analysis of axion inflation is largely independent of the precise details of moduli stabilization, so the present discussion may be regarded as heuristic.}

First, we calculate the KK contributions. Let $C_I \equiv d_{ijk} D_j \cdot D_k$ be the two-cycle transverse to the $I$th brane stack. Its volume is

$$a_{Ii} t^i = J \cdot C_I = t^i d_{ijk} D_i \cdot D_j = t^i d_{ijk} \kappa_{ijk},$$

thus

$$a_{Ii} = d_{ijk} \kappa_{ijk}. \quad (4.14)$$

Assuming that the relevant branes intersect transversely, we have

$$(Q_{Ii} D_i) \cdot C_I = Q_{Ii} d_{ijk} \kappa_{ijk} = Q_{Ii} a_{Ii} = 1 \quad \text{(no sum over $I$).} \quad (4.15)$$

We define $Q_{Ii} a_{Ii} = h_{Ii}$ for each $i, I$ satisfying $\sum_i h_{Ii} = 1$ for all $I$. Since the detailed locations of the D3-branes are unknown, this constraint is not precise and we use it simply to estimate orders of magnitude. Thus, we find

$$\delta K_{g_s}^{KK} \sim \sum_{i=1,2,s} g_s \sum_{I=1,2,3,s} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{KK}^{Ii}}{Q_{Ii}} t^i. \quad (4.16)$$

Note that (4.12) does not depend on particular properties of the geometry, such as intersection numbers $\kappa_{ijk}$ or the detailed volumes $a_{Ii} t^i$. We need only the coefficient of each $t^i$ and the D3 configurations fixing $h_{Ii}$. Also we note that, since $\tau_s$ and other small four-cycles do not intersect the bulk cycles, their string-loop corrections do not contribute to moduli stabilization but simply shift the background value of the potential.

Now we calculate the winding contributions. The intersection curves $C_{I,J}$ in (4.10) have volumes

$$J \cdot C_{I,J} = \kappa_{ijk} Q_{Ii} Q_{Jj} t^k \equiv a_{IJk} t^k. \quad (4.17)$$

This fixes the volume coefficients in terms of winding numbers,

$$a_{IJk} = \kappa_{ijk} Q_{Ii} Q_{Jj}, \quad (4.18)$$

where the dependence on the geometry enters as $\kappa_{ijk}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\delta K_{g_s}^{W} \sim \sum_{IJ=12,13,23} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{IJ}^{W}}{V} \left( \kappa_{ij1} Q_{Ii} Q_{Jj} t^1 + \kappa_{ij2} Q_{Ii} Q_{Jj} t^2 \right)^{-1}, \quad (4.19)$$

where $I$ and $J$ run over the brane stacks of table 1. Since there is no intersection between the branes along $D_I$ and $D_J$, there is no corresponding correction. In the alignment limit, the terms with $IJ = 13$ and those with $IJ = 23$ are proportional to each other.

In our reference example $\mathbb{CP}^4_{[1,1,2,2,6]}(12)$, the only non-vanishing intersection number is $\kappa_{122} = 2$, and the two-cycles are $t^1 = \tau_2/(2\sqrt{\tau_1})$, $t^2 = \sqrt{\tau_1}$, as calculated in the appendix.
The resulting potential is highly nonlinear, due to the volume dependence of the winding contribution. This poses a complication because the expression for the geometric factor $\mathcal{E}^{W}_{ij}$ cannot be transformed easily to that of elementary cycles $\mathcal{E}^{W}_{ij}$, which we would like to define in a similar manner as (4.11). In light of this, for the purposes of further understanding we analyze moduli stabilization heuristically. We will also neglect the contribution with $IJ = 23$.

With the bulk volume (3.15), we may reduce one parameter as

$$\tau_2 = \sqrt{V} \alpha^{-1} \tau_1^{-1/2},$$

such that

$$\delta V_{g_s} = \left[ \frac{a}{\tau_1^2} + \frac{b \alpha^2 \tau_1}{V^2} - \left( c \alpha \tau_1^{1/2} V + \frac{d V^2}{\tau_1} \right)^{-1} \right] \frac{W_0^2}{V^2},$$

(4.20)

where we define dimensionless parameters

$$a \equiv \sum_I \frac{1}{4} \left( g_s \mathcal{E}^{KK}_{I} h_{II} \right)^2,$$

$$b \equiv \sum_I \frac{1}{2} \left( g_s \mathcal{E}^{KK}_{I} h_{II} \right)^2,$$

$$c \equiv \frac{\kappa_{ij} Q_{1i} Q_{2j}}{\mathcal{E}^{W}_{12}},$$

$$d \equiv \frac{\kappa_{ij} Q_{1i} Q_{2j}}{2 \mathcal{E}^{W}_{12}}.$$  

(4.21)

We note that $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, and these terms are suppressed by $g_s^2$. We can see that for $cd > 0$, the scalar potential has a global minimum. Interestingly, for $cd < 0$, the potential has only a local minimum. The schematic form is shown in figure 3. Depending on the geometry, the minimum is formed at one of the following compromising points:

$$\frac{a}{\tau_1^2} \sim \frac{1}{c \alpha \tau_1^{1/2} V}, \quad \frac{a}{\tau_1^2} \sim \frac{\tau_1}{d V^2}, \quad \frac{b \alpha^2 \tau_1}{V^2} \sim \frac{1}{c \alpha \tau_1^{1/2} V}, \quad \frac{b \alpha^2 \tau_1}{V^2} \sim \frac{\tau_1}{d V^2}.$$  

(4.23)

All of these imply that stabilization occurs around

$$\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} \sim \frac{\mathcal{E}^{W}_{12}}{g_s^2 (\mathcal{E}^{KK})^2}.$$  

(4.24)

For $O(1)$ values of $\mathcal{E}^{W}_{12}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{KK}$, this fraction can be close to one since $g_s < 1$. 

\[ \text{Figure 3. Schematic form of the one-loop potential. The left and right panels correspond to the cases } cd > 0 \text{ and } cd < 0, \text{ respectively; within these, the orange curves correspond to } d > 0 \text{ while the blue curves correspond to } d < 0. \text{ When the signs are the same we have a global minimum; for opposing signs we only have a local minimum. We use } \delta V_{g_s} = (0.1)^2 \tau_1^{-2} + 2 \cdot (0.1)^2 \tau_1 \pm (\sqrt{\tau_1^2 + 4 \tau_1^{-1}})^{-1}. \]
In order to make contact with our observed universe we must uplift the AdS vacuum to Minkowski or de Sitter. There are various mechanisms to realize this, for example by introducing anti-D3 branes [70] (see [71–73] for other possibilities). For the case of anti-D3 branes, in order not to destabilize the entire construction, the anti-branes must be sequestered to a different region of the geometry, for example a highly throat. In the four-dimensional effective description, this induces an uplifting potential at $\mathcal{O}(V^{-2})$. For sufficiently large volume, the shift of the vacuum induced by uplifting is negligible,\(^\text{10}\) so we may to a good approximation use the values of the moduli obtained in the LVS mechanism.

However, as is well known, consistency with the stringent phenomenological bounds on the vacuum energy of the universe requires a high degree of tuning, potentially of order $10^{120}$. In the present scenario, this tuning is divided into two steps. First of all, the potential after moduli stabilization and uplifting should yield a small positive constant at the scale of the light-axion potential (to be presented in the next subsection). Furthermore, the minimum of that potential should approach zero; in particular, it should be tuned to the observed cosmological constant. It would be interesting to explore whether at least the first step could be achieved via a correlation with the exponentially-suppressed light-axion potential.

### 4.3 Restricted parameters

So far we have stabilized all the Kähler moduli and the axions associated with the small cycles. Only the bulk axions remain unfixed: they can only receive masses from non-perturbative corrections due to branes wrapping bulk cycles, leading to masses which are exponentially suppressed by bulk volume moduli. Traditionally the Large Volume Scenario has been used to obtain light superpartners at the TeV scale, in order to solve the electroweak hierarchy problem. In such cases the bulk-axion masses become vanishingly light, and those axions behave as dark radiation. On the other hand, if we set aside this motivation and instead consider small volumes $\mathcal{V}$, the axions may remain sufficiently heavy that they can instead be used to achieve natural inflation via the alignment mechanism.

We continue to analyze the vacua from the above fibered geometry with two axions. Having stabilized all other moduli, the leading Kähler metric for the bulk axions becomes

$$\frac{\hat{K}_{ij}}{M_{Pl}^2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4\tau_1^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\tau_2^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where we have approximated $\mathcal{V} \gg \hat{\xi}$. In our case, the Kähler metric becomes approximately diagonal due to the structure (3.15) and moduli stabilization of small cycles (4.7), which from (4.5) implies that $e \sim a_\gamma \tau_s^{1/2}/c_s \ll \mathcal{V}$. Recall that the Kähler cone condition restricts the allowed range of the moduli: for example, in our reference geometry $\mathbb{C}P^4_{[1,1,2,2,6]}(12)$, the condition (3.20) constrains $\tau_2 > \frac{4}{3} \tau_1$. With the normalization as in (3.23) and (3.24), the axion decay constants are

$$f_1 = \frac{M_{Pl}}{2\sqrt{2\pi\tau_1}}, \quad f_2 = \frac{M_{Pl}}{2\pi\tau_2}.$$\(^\text{10}\)For a simple justification, see appendix A of [74].
We assume that the leading scalar potential is stabilized around the uplifted LVS minimum, with its dependence on the other moduli integrated out and represented as a small, positive constant $V_0$. We also assume that gaugino condensation on the D7-brane stacks listed in table 1 give rise to a non-perturbative superpotential of the form (3.8). Then the leading-order low-energy effective potential for the bulk axions takes the form

$$V = V_0 - \lambda_1^4 e^{-S_1} \cos(c_1 Q_{1j} b_j) - \lambda_2^4 e^{-S_2} \cos(c_2 Q_{2j} b_j) - \lambda_3^4 e^{-S_3} \cos(c_3 Q_{3j} b_j),$$

with coefficients

$$\lambda_I^4 = \frac{4 e^{K_0} W_0}{V^2} A_I S_I,$$

where the instanton actions $S_I$ are defined as in (3.14). As discussed in the previous subsection, the constant $V_0$ should be chosen such that at the scale of inflation, the minimum of the potential is approximately zero.

The potential (4.27) is of the form (2.12), which may realize KNP natural inflation via enhancement of the effective decay constant due to alignment (2.13). As discussed in section 2.3, another potential source of enhancement is the group theory factor $N_I$ from the gauge condensate, reflected in $c_I = 2\pi/N_I$.

The Kähler moduli are subject to the CHC of the weak gravity conjecture. Since the third potential is introduced to remedy the CHC, we want to ensure that its dynamics do not affect those of the other two instanton contributions and spoil axion alignment. This implies that its action should be sufficiently large,

$$S_3 = \frac{2\pi}{N_3} (Q_{31} \tau_1 + Q_{32} \tau_2) \gg 1,$$

(4.28)

to suppress its contribution to the potential. Since $\tau_1, \tau_2$ are positive definite, we may choose

$$Q_{31} > 0, \quad Q_{32} > 0,$$

(4.29)

whose typical $O(1)$ values are enough to suppress the potential. It is safe to have small values of $N_3$, so we take it to be 1.

For the instanton actions (3.14), the charge-to-mass ratio vectors (3.54) are

$$z_I = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} Q_{11} \tau_1 + Q_{12} \tau_2 \\ Q_{11} \tau_1 + Q_{12} \tau_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} u_I \\ 1 - u_I \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(4.30)

Note that the enhancement factors of $N_I$ appear in both the instanton actions and the effective decay constants, so taken together, the charge-to-mass ratio vectors are independent of $N_I$. In order to satisfy the near-orthogonality condition (3.58), the pairs of charges in the other potentials should have opposing signs,

$$Q_{11} Q_{12} < 0, \quad Q_{21} Q_{22} < 0.$$

(4.31)

Note that a bulk-axion dependence may also arise as a non-perturbative correction to the Kähler potential (we thank Joe Conlon for pointing this out). Fortunately, it turns out that such corrections enter at subleading order in $V$ compared to (4.27), thanks to the no-scale structure.
Figure 4. The convex hull condition (CHC) from the weak gravity conjecture. The convex hull spanned by all the normalized charge vectors $z_I$ should include the circle of radius $r_{\text{CHC}}$ defined in (3.55). We place the vector $z_3$ in the first quadrant in order to obtain a large action and hence suppress the corresponding instanton contribution. In order to satisfy the CHC, the original lattice vectors $z_1, z_2$ should then lie in the second or fourth quadrant. The solid and dashed convex hulls correspond to the cases $Q_{I2}^\tau_2 = \sqrt{2}Q_{I1}^\tau_1$ and $Q_{I2}^\tau_2 = 2Q_{I1}^\tau_1$, respectively. Note that all $z_I$ vectors for the original instantons lie on the same line. The CHC favors near-isotropic geometry for a uniform choice of charges.

This condition places the $z_1, z_2$ vectors in either the second or the fourth quadrant. In the $\mathbb{CP}^4_{[1,1,2,2,6]}$ (12) model, the Kähler condition requires that $\tau_2 > \tau_1$, and both vectors should lie in the second quadrant,

$$Q_{11} < 0, \quad Q_{12} > 0, \quad Q_{21} < 0, \quad Q_{22} > 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.32)

This naturally makes the actions $S_1, S_2$ small such that the axions become relatively heavy, as we shall see in section 4.5. In order to have a valid instanton, its action must be positive, $S_I > 0$, which limits us to the range

$$|Q_{22}|\tau_2 > |Q_{21}|\tau_1, \quad |Q_{12}|\tau_2 > |Q_{11}|\tau_1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.33)

Recall that the signs of the charges are determined by the winding numbers of D-branes (3.6). Negative charges $Q_{Ij}$ are allowed, as long as the resulting cycle $D_I$ is effective.

Considering positive charges, one can identify several interesting limits:

$$z_I \to \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad Q_{I2}^\tau_2 \gg Q_{I1}^\tau_1 \Rightarrow |z_I| \to \sqrt{2};$$

$$\to \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad Q_{I2}^\tau_2 \gg Q_{I1}^\tau_1 \Rightarrow |z_I| \to 1;$$

$$\to \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{Q_{I1}^\tau_1}{Q_{I2}^\tau_2} = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow |z_I| \to |z_I|_{\text{min}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.34)

\footnote{Here we assume that the charges have similar magnitudes.}
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In the plane of \( z_I = (x_I, y_I) \), the charge-to-mass ratio vectors \( z_I \) for these string axions span the line
\[
\frac{x_I}{\sqrt{2}} + y_I = 1. \tag{4.35}
\]
For instantons with net positive charge, \( S_I > 0, I = 1, \ldots, M \), all \( z_I \) vectors lie on the same line (4.35). We observe that this line is tangent to the circle of radius \( r_{\text{CHC}} = \sqrt{2/3} \).

Therefore, the part of the convex hull generated by the corresponding \( z_I \) vectors always contains the circle, by construction.

As we have seen above, the nontriviality arises from the third potential in (4.27), which is introduced to solve the CHC and corresponds to a charge-to-mass ratio vector
\[
z_3 = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} u_3}{1 - u_3}, 0 < u_3 < 1 \right) \tag{4.36}
\]
lying in the first quadrant, from (4.29). The first and second instantons have \( u_1 < 0 \) \((1 - u_1 > 0)\), \( u_2 < 0 \) \((1 - u_2 > 0)\), so they are located in the second quadrant. The reason the choice of \( z_3 \) is nontrivial comes from the contribution of the anti-instantons, which have opposite charges but the same action, \( S_I = c_I |Q_{II} \tau_i|, I = 1, \ldots, M \) for \( Q_{II} \tau_i < 0 \).

The convex hull formed by an instanton and anti-instanton do not trivially contain the circle. In our example, we need to impose one further condition: namely, the portion of the convex hull spanned by \( z_3 \) and \(-z_I\), for \( I = 1, 2 \), should contain the circle of radius \( r_{\text{CHC}} \). Thus, we require
\[
u_3 \geq \frac{1 - u_I}{1 - 3u_I} \Rightarrow \frac{Q_{31}}{Q_{32}} \geq \left( \frac{Q_{12} \tau_2}{Q_{11} \tau_1} \right) \left( \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} \right). \tag{4.37}
\]

Given charges of two instantons, \((Q_{11}, Q_{12}, Q_{21}, Q_{22})\), we may always find charges of the third instanton \((Q_{31}, Q_{32})\) satisfying this relation by taking large enough \( Q_{31}/Q_{32} \). This guarantees that the convex hull spanned by all the vectors \( \pm z_I, I = 1, 2, 3 \), contains the circle.

Conversely, by fixing all the charges we can interpret (4.37) as a limit of the ratio of the Kähler moduli,
\[
\frac{\tau_2}{2\tau_1} \leq \frac{Q_{31}|Q_{11}|}{Q_{32}|Q_{12}|}. \tag{4.38}
\]

Interestingly, the Kähler cone condition imposes a limit in the opposite direction. For example, in \( \mathbb{CP}^4_{[1,1,2,2,6]} \) the condition (3.20) implies that
\[
\frac{8}{9} \leq \frac{\tau_2}{2\tau_1}. \tag{4.39}
\]

We note that this condition is model-dependent and it is not clear for general geometries whether the Kähler condition works in this way. For two axions with an arbitrary Kähler potential, the above relation can be generalized to
\[
\frac{f_1^2}{f_2^2} = \frac{p_1 \tau_2^2}{p_2 \tau_1^2}, \tag{4.40}
\]
Figure 5. Left: distribution of the alignment $Q_\xi$ defined in (2.17). We allowed a random distribution of integers $Q_{Ij}$, $I = 1, 2, 3$, $j = 1, 2$, from $-40$ to $40$. For sufficient enhancement of the effective decay constant, we need a high degree of alignment, or small $Q_\xi$. Right: distribution of $\rho$ defined in (4.42), which should be greater than the ratio of decay constants (4.38). We see that $\rho \sim 1$ is strongly favored.

where $f_1^2/2, f_2^2/2$ are the eigenvalues of the Kähler metric and $p_i$ are the power-dependences of the moduli $\tau_i$ in the volume (3.27). We will investigate this relation further in section 4.5.

The resulting convex hull is illustrated in figure 4. The solid and dashed convex hulls correspond to the cases $Q_{12}\tau_2 = \sqrt{2}Q_{11}\tau_1$ and $Q_{12}\tau_2 = 2Q_{11}\tau_1$, respectively. As discussed above, the vectors do not depend on the absolute sizes of the $\tau_i$s but only the ratios between them. Finally, we note that introducing further brane stacks charged under $b_1$ and $b_2$ does not modify the CHC. Once we have at least two instantons satisfying the CHC, further inclusion of any number of instantons only widens the convex hull and thus the CHC is still satisfied.

4.4 Statistics of charge distribution

At present, there is no dynamical explanation of brane configurations. Even if we take a top-down approach, we must assemble the D7-brane configuration by hand. Consequently, one may question how likely it is to obtain alignment enhancing the axion decay constant and simultaneously have a third instanton curing the CHC. In this section, we briefly try to understand the behavior via statistical analysis. To this end, we generate 100,000 sextuples, $Q_{Ij}$, $I = 1, 2, 3$, $j = 1, 2$, of instanton charges randomly, in which each component follows a uniform distribution.

First of all, we consider the alignment condition of two axions. It is characterized by two pairs of lattice vectors, $(Q_{11}, Q_{12})$ and $(Q_{21}, Q_{22})$. We chose $Q_\xi$ defined in (2.17) as a measure of the (mis)alignment, as discussed in section 2.2. As the left panel in figure 5 shows, there are around 2932 tuples with small enough $Q_\xi$, or large alignment,

$$P(Q_\xi \leq 1) \simeq 2.9\%,$$

which shows that alignment is still probable.

Now we include the effect of the third instanton, which is required to solve the CHC. If the bulk moduli fields are all stabilized, then the relation (4.38) gives a restriction on the ratio $Q_{31}/Q_{32}$. Conversely, if we assign the charges $(Q_{31}, Q_{32})$, then it is equivalent to
setting an upper bound on the ratio $\tau_2/\tau_1$. Thus, we consider the ratio
\[ \rho \equiv \left| \frac{Q_{31}Q_{11}}{Q_{32}Q_{12}} \right|. \]  
(4.42)
The distribution is shown in the right panel of figure 5. More than 50312 tuples lie in the range $\rho \leq 1$,
\[ P(Q_\xi \leq 1) \simeq 50.3\%. \]  
(4.43)
Interestingly, even if we apply the Kähler cone condition, we still have as many as 3125 tuples,
\[ P\left(\frac{8}{9} \leq Q_\xi \leq 1\right) \simeq 3.1\%. \]  
(4.44)
Thus, if we choose $Q_{Ij}$s randomly, there is a sizable probability to have a bound close to $\rho = 1$. This should be the case, since we randomly generated the $Q_{Ij}$s from a uniform distribution, so the inverse ratio $1/\rho$ should follow the same distribution. However, if the one-loop moduli stabilization discussed in section 4.2 chooses a large ratio $\tau_2^2/(2\tau_1^2)$, the configuration satisfying this shall be rare.
Combining these two conditions, we find 1514 multiples satisfying $Q_\xi \leq 1$ and $\rho \leq 1$,
\[ P\left(Q_\xi < 1, \rho \leq 1\right) \simeq 1.5\%, \]  
(4.45)
which is not small in the string landscape. The result is shown in the left panel of figure 5.

In string theory, however, the axion charge distribution is not uniform. Since D-branes wrap compact cycles, they are subject to the RR tadpole cancellation condition [75, 76]. Roughly, the homology (K-theory) sum of the charges of D-branes $N_IQ_{Ij}$ and their mirror branes $N_IQ'_{Ij}$ with respect to the orientifold planes should be cancelled by the orientifold charge $Q_{Oj}$,
\[ \sum_{I=1}^{M} N_I(D_I + D'_I) - 8D_O = \sum_{I=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{h_{1,1}} (N_IQ_{Ij} + N_IQ'_{Ij} - 8Q_{Oj})\tau_j = 0, \]  
(4.46)
where $D_I$ also includes the stack of Standard Model branes (which we have not discussed in this work, but should also be present). The orientifold plane is an invariant plane under an involution $\sigma$, $\sigma D_O = D_O \equiv Q_{Oj}D_j$, which is an inversion of one coordinate in our construction; see the appendix. This O7-plane carries RR charge $-8$ once we also include those of the image branes. The image-brane stack is supported by a hypersurface transformed by this involution, $\sigma D_I = D'_I$.
This implies that (i) we inevitably have negative charges,
\[ Q'_{Ij}|_{\text{transv.}} = -Q_{Ij}|_{\text{transv.}} < 0, \]
transverse to the orientifold plane, as required in (4.31), and (ii) there may be an upper limit of the allowed number of D-branes. On a smooth Calabi-Yau manifold the maximal allowed gauge group is $\text{SO}(32) \times \text{Sp}(16)$ (where $\text{Sp}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\text{SU}(2)$). This implies that the charges cannot be comparably larger than 64, giving an upper limit on the allowed
Figure 6. Distribution of effective decay constants in units of the Planck mass, from 100,000 samples. We assume a uniform distribution of quadruples \((Q_{11}, Q_{12}, Q_{21}, Q_{22})\) as above. We take the volume and parameters as in the second row of table 2. The inner panel provides a zoomed-in view of the range \(2 \leq f_{\text{eff}} \leq 7\).

charge [77–79]. However, if singularities are present, larger-rank gauge groups may be allowed [80, 81]. The tadpole cancellation condition also implies that, in the presence of supersymmetry, the brane configuration can be obtained from deformation, and thus the distribution should not be uniform. A full analysis requires the embedding of the Standard Model, so instead we take a bottom-up approach and approximate the distribution of D-branes as uniform.

4.5 Small misalignment and observables

A small departure from perfect alignment gives one light axion, corresponding to the axion \(\phi_2\) in section 2.2. It may have an effectively larger decay constant [14], as is necessary for inflation. The mass and decay constant of the light axion are [20]

\[
m_\xi^2 \simeq \frac{\Lambda_2^4}{f_{\text{eff}}^2},
\]

\[
\Lambda_2^4 = \frac{4e^{K_{02}}w_0}{\gamma^2} A_2 S_2 e^{-S_2},
\]

\[
f_{\text{eff}}^2 = \frac{f_1^2 Q_{12}^2 + f_3^2 Q_{11}^2}{(Q_{21} Q_{12} - Q_{11} Q_{22})^2}.
\]

We plot the distribution of effective axion decay constants arising as a function of randomly generated charges \((Q_{11}, Q_{12}, Q_{21}, Q_{22})\) ranging from \(-40\) to \(40\), as before, in figure 6. Although smaller \(\tau_i\)s generally lead to larger effective decay constants, the distribution of actual occurrences of large \(f_{\text{eff}}\) is insensitive to the specific values of the \(\tau_i\)s.

In what follows, we take a number of benchmark points in order to check the naturalness of the parameters and to compare with observations. From the LVS mechanism,
the volume $V$ and the small cycle $\tau_s$ are stabilized as in (4.7). We take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma = 1$, $K_0 = W_0 = A_s = 1$, $\chi(X) = -100$, in order to have $\hat{\xi} = 7.66 g_s^{-3/2}$ and
\[
\tau_s \simeq 0.3887/g_s, \quad V \sim e^{2\pi \tau_s/N_s}.
\] (4.48)

Although the string coupling $g_s = \text{Re} S$ is fixed by fluxes along the lines of GKP [63], as discussed below (4.1), here we consider it as a free parameter. We are also free to choose $N_s$. Furthermore, the height of the axion potential should be consistent with the observation (2.7),
\[
\Lambda_s^4 M_{Pl}^2 \sim e^{-2\pi (Q_{22}\tau_2 + Q_{21}\tau_1)/N_2} \sim 10^{-9}.
\] (4.49)

This also requires some degree of isotropy, $(Q_{22}\tau_2 - |Q_{21}|\tau_1)/N_2 \simeq 3.3$, which is consistent with the saturated values of the CHC in (4.38),
\[
\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} \sim \left| \frac{Q_{21}}{Q_{22}} \right| \sim \left| \frac{Q_{11}}{Q_{12}} \right|.
\] (4.50)

This is to be compared with the inequalities from both the CHC (4.38) and the Kähler cone condition (4.39).

Further taking $A_s = 1$, the overall volume and bulk four-cycle volumes are listed in table 2. All parameters are measured in units of the string length. We may arrange a large hierarchy between the two axion potentials, $\Lambda_1 \gg \Lambda_2$, by choosing either $N_1 > N_2$ or smaller charges $(Q_{11}, Q_{12})$ than $(Q_{21}, Q_{22})$. Moreover, the volume itself should be large enough to ensure validity of the Large Volume Scenario, such that all the various steps in the construction — the leading mechanism relying on $\alpha'^3$-corrections, the stability of further one-loop corrections, even the supergravity approximation itself — can be trusted as a result of sufficient hierarchy and scale separation. In this regard, the extended no-scale structure in LVS turns out to be a crucial benefactor, fortifying the scenario due to intrinsic suppression of various corrections. Thus, we may consistently take relatively small volumes, $V \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{1-2})$: this is the not-so-Large Volume Scenario, or large volume scenario (lvs). We illustrate the scale separation with some example scenarios in table 3.\textsuperscript{13} We may also check that the parameters in table 2 and table 3 satisfy the de Sitter entropy bound (2.46). Note that use of overly large gauge groups for gaugino condensation may enhance the effective decay constants but violate the bound.

\textsuperscript{13}In principle, the hierarchy of scales may be spoiled by large $\mathcal{O}(1)$ factors which depend on the details of the geometry. However, these corrections are largely unknown, and thus verifying the scale separation explicitly is beyond the scope of this paper.

|           | $g_s$ | $N_s$ | $\tau_s$ | $V$ | $\tau_2/\tau_1$ | $\tau_1$ | $\tau_2$ | $N_1$ | $N_2$ |
|-----------|-------|-------|----------|-----|-----------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|
| Case 1    | 0.1   | 7     | 4.336    | 33.86 | $\sqrt{2}$      | 13.187   | 17.649   | 18    | 16    |
| Case 2    | 0.1   | 10    | 4.577    | 16.186 | $\sqrt{2}$      | 8.06     | 11.40    | 16    | 14    |
| Case 3    | 0.05  | 20    | 9.1547   | 45.781 | $13/3$          | 16.77    | 22.36    | 22    | 20    |

Table 2. Some benchmark values of moduli fields. We also take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma = 1$, $e^{K_0} = W_0 = A_s = 1$. 

---

---
Figure 7. Effective decay constant in the units of the Planck mass, as a function of $\tau_1 = \tau_2/\sqrt{2}$, for the cases $Q = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (respectively from below), using the charge ansatz (4.51). We take $N_1 = 16$ and $N_2 = 14$.

Since the charges are integrally quantized, it is useful to parameterize them using a single integer maximizing the alignment,

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\
Q_{21} & Q_{22} \\
Q_{31} & Q_{32}
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
-Q & Q + 1 \\
-Q + 1 & Q \\
Q + 1 & Q
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(4.51)

Thus, the effective charge $Q_\xi$ describing the misalignment (2.17) can be written as

$$
Q_\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2Q^2 + 2Q + 1}}.
$$

For $Q = 10, 20, 30, 40$, we find $Q_\xi = 0.067, 0.034, 0.023, 0.017$, respectively. The resulting effective decay constants are plotted as a function of $\tau_1$ in figure 7. Comparing this to (2.6), we see that $f_{\text{eff}} \geq 4M_{\text{Pl}}$ is satisfied, and thus natural inflation is possible, for a wide range of parameters. Furthermore, for $Q \lesssim 40$, moderate values of $N_I$ are sufficient to suppress tunneling (cf. (2.43)), so we may have further enhancement by the $N_I$ factors.

The third potential solving the CHC is sufficiently suppressed that it does not affect the inflationary dynamics. We stress again that this smallness,

$$
e^{-S_3} = e^{-2\pi(Q_{31}\tau_1 + Q_{32}\tau_2)} \ll 1 \quad \text{for all values in table 2},
$$

(4.52)

is a consequence of the charges (4.29) having the same sign while the other instanton charges (4.31) have opposing signs, as required to satisfy the CHC. Finally, we predict an axion mass

$$
m_\xi = \frac{\Lambda_2}{f_{\text{eff}}} \sim 10^{13} \text{ GeV},
$$

(4.53)

which is well above the range of dark radiation. By comparison, the other moduli (which we collectively denote $\Phi$) have masses [55]

$$
m_{\tau_{\text{bulk}}} \sim \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}}{\Lambda^{3/2}}, \quad m_{\Phi \neq \tau_{\text{bulk}}} \sim \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}}{\Lambda}.
$$

(4.54)
In this work, we embedded aligned natural inflation into the Large Volume Scenario of type IIB string theory and extracted predictions for cosmological observables in terms

\[ \Lambda^4 = \frac{\Lambda_{\text{phys}}^4}{\sqrt{N_2}} \]

of the overall parametric dependence of each mass scale [55, 82]. Values are estimates up to unknown $O(1)$ correction factors, which depend on detailed properties of the geometry. For the bulk axion masses and inflation scale we have used $Q = 9$ (Case 1), $Q = 12$ (Case 2) and $Q = 20$ (Case 3): note that larger $Q$ leads rapidly to further suppression of these scales.

In table 3 we provide examples of mass spectra for the three benchmark cases discussed above. For the various cases we have chosen different values of the charge $Q$ in order to highlight that the bulk axion masses and inflation scale are highly sensitive to $Q$: in Cases 1 and 2, the light axion mass is compatible with (4.53); in Case 3, all bulk axions are strictly lighter than all other moduli.\(^{14}\)

\(^{14}\)We have assumed that the non-perturbative superpotential used in LVS stabilization is dominated by the contribution from $\tau_1$, with bulk contributions giving small corrections. Thus, the LVS minimum should not be destabilized even if $m_\psi$ is comparable to other moduli masses. Nevertheless, it would be worthwhile to check this assumption via detailed analysis in subsequent work.

| Mass scale          | Dependence | Case 1 (GeV) | Case 2 (GeV) | Case 3 (GeV) |
|---------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| String scale        | $2\pi M_s = \frac{M_s}{\sqrt{4\pi^2 N_2}}$ | $7.3 \times 10^{16}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{17}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{16}$ |
| KK scale            | $M_{KK} \sim \frac{M_s}{\tau_1}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{16}$ | $5.7 \times 10^{16}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{16}$ |
| Blow-up moduli      | $M_{T_\perp} \sim \frac{M_s}{\sqrt{N_2}}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{15}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{15}$ | $7.4 \times 10^{14}$ |
| CS moduli           | $M_{SU} \sim \frac{M_s}{\sqrt{N_2}}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{14}$ | $8.4 \times 10^{14}$ | $7.4 \times 10^{14}$ |
| Volume modulus      | $M_{V} \sim \frac{M_s}{\sqrt{N_2}}$ | $3.4 \times 10^{13}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{14}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{12}$ |
| Fiber modulus       | $M_{\perp} \sim \frac{M_s}{\sqrt{N_2}}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{13}$ | $6.2 \times 10^{13}$ | $2.7 \times 10^{12}$ |
| Heavy axion         | $m_\psi = \frac{Q_{12} \Lambda_s^2}{f_{\psi}}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{14}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{15}$ | $5.9 \times 10^{11}$ |
| Light axion         | $m_\xi = \frac{\Lambda_s^2}{f_{\xi}}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{12}$ | $8.1 \times 10^{12}$ | $2.8 \times 10^{8}$ |
| Inflation scale     | $H_{\text{inf}} \sim \frac{\Lambda_s^2}{\sqrt{M_{\text{inf}}}}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{12}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{13}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{8}$ |

Table 3. Mass scales for the three benchmark cases introduced in table 2, in units of GeV. The second column shows the overall parametric dependence of each mass scale [55, 82]. Values are estimates up to unknown $O(1)$ correction factors, which depend on detailed properties of the geometry. For the bulk axion masses and inflation scale we have used $Q = 9$ (Case 1), $Q = 12$ (Case 2) and $Q = 20$ (Case 3): note that larger $Q$ leads rapidly to further suppression of these scales.

In figure 8, we plot predictions for the spectral index $n_s$ and the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r$ and compare them with the Planck 2018 results [5]. We use the ansatz (4.51) for the charges. In each plot, the lengths of the shaded regions correspond to $10 \leq \tau_1 \leq 20$, and the widths correspond to $50 \leq N_s \leq 60$. Large values of $Q$ and $N_2$ result in enhancement of the effective decay constant. Of course, the original decay constants of each axion are larger for smaller $\tau_i$s. Since natural inflation is described by only one parameter, the (effective) decay constant $f_{\text{inf}}$, the relation between $n_s$ and $r$ in (2.5) is fixed, and their values always lie in the same strip for different values of $\tau_1$, $Q$ and $N_2$.

In the above analysis we have used $\Lambda_2^4/\Lambda_1^4 = 0.08$. One of the effects of integrating out the heavy axion is that the height of the light-axion effective potential is shifted, which is a generic phenomenon of KNP alignment. With this small hierarchy, the spectral index $n_s$ is shifted to a slightly larger value, compared to that of single-field natural inflation.

5 Discussion

In this work, we embedded aligned natural inflation into the Large Volume Scenario of type IIB string theory and extracted predictions for cosmological observables in terms
Figure 8. Plot of the spectral index $n_s$ and the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r_{0.002}$. From top to bottom, we have $N_2 = 20, 30, 40$, respectively; from left to right, we have $Q = 20, 30, 40$, respectively. We take $\tau_2 = \sqrt{2}\tau_1$ and every figure is drawn in the range $10 \leq \tau_1 \leq 20$ and $50 \leq N_e \leq 60$.

of the underlying geometry. Axions naturally emerge from compactifications of higher-dimensional supersymmetric theories and are associated with the volume moduli of four-cycles in the internal manifold. The shift symmetry of the four-form field is broken by D7-branes wrapped on these cycles and generates an axion potential, relating the instanton action and the axion decay constants.

We have stabilized the moduli of a Calabi-Yau orientifold compactification of Type IIB string theory within the Large Volume Scenario. As a reference geometry, we considered a toric variety of a degree-12 polynomial in $\mathbb{CP}^4_{[1,1,2,2,6]}$ with an additional blow-up, as well as a degree-8 polynomial in $\mathbb{CP}^4_{[1,1,2,2,2]}$. We also studied general predictions applicable to any number of Kähler moduli, following the general analysis [44].

Generically, the internal geometry has multiple four-cycles. The LVS mechanism works in two steps. First, the small cycles and associated axions are stabilized by the interplay of perturbative and non-perturbative corrections, fixing the overall volume. Following this, the remaining “bulk” moduli orthogonal to the volume modulus can then be stabilized by one-loop corrections to the Kähler potential that arise due to KK and winding modes. At this stage, the axions associated with large bulk cycles are unstabilized. However, they may obtain hierarchically small masses from the instanton contributions of D-branes wrapping
the corresponding bulk cycles. With multiple bulk cycles, provided the compactification volume is not too large, we may have multiple axions contributing to natural inflation. These may be aligned via the Kim-Nilles-Peloso mechanism and also further enhanced, if there are stacks of multiple D7-branes, by the large rank of the associated strongly-coupled gauge group.

The relative sizes of the decay constants, \( f_i/f_j, i \neq j \), are fixed by the ratios of bulk moduli, which are in turn determined by string-loop corrections. The ratios are subject to a number of restrictions:

1. The condition that the stabilized moduli lie in the Kähler cone;
2. The convex hull condition (CHC) of the weak gravity conjecture;
3. The relation between the power spectrum of the scalar perturbations and the height of the axion potential.

These have interesting tensions: conditions (1) and (2) prefer the ratio \( f_1/f_2 \) to be large and small, respectively, and (3) favors ratios almost equal to one.

It is interesting to see that, in our example \( \mathbb{CP}^4_{[1,1,2,2,6]} \) (12), the above constraints, given in (4.38), (4.39) and (4.50), tend to favor nearly isotropic geometry and thus aligned axions with similar decay constants,

\[
\frac{f_1^2}{f_2^2} \sim \frac{\tau_2^2}{2\tau_1^2} \sim 1.
\] (5.1)

We should mention that this behavior may be particular to this example because condition (1) is highly dependent on the details of the geometry. It would be interesting to see whether this preference is generic.

We have clarified the KNP alignment scenario as well as the convex hull condition by carefully separating the charges and the axion decay constants therein and tracking the individual contributions from the charge lattice, the Kähler potential and the rank of the strongly-coupled gauge theory. We verified the normalization of the CHC, finding that it is satisfied when the convex hull spanned by the charge-to-mass vectors contains the circle of radius \( r_{\text{CHC}} = \sqrt{2/3} \).

In principle we should be able to calculate all properties explicitly from a given manifold, once we know the geometry, brane configuration and supersymmetry breaking structure. The biggest obstacle to realizing this is our ignorance of the details of the one-loop corrections for a general smooth manifold. Here we took the bulk cycle volumes to be free parameters and analyzed the allowed vacua. One compelling hint is that all of the above restrictions favor specific ranges for the ratios of bulk cycles, which in the example of \( \mathbb{CP}^4_{[1,1,2,2,6]} \) (12) correspond to nearly isotropic geometry, consistent with general expectations from the one-loop correction. It would be interesting to analyze geometries with more bulk axions to see if this pattern is repeated. Furthermore, if the exact form of the one-loop corrections could be obtained, it would be interesting to find out whether the predicted ratios of the moduli in a given compactification lie in the range satisfying the three conditions above.
From our explicit embedding of aligned natural inflation, we obtained cosmological observables such as the spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio, finding that they are consistent with the current cosmological data. If we allow for a larger e-folding number, $N_e \sim 70$, which is also consistent with current observations, we may obtain a better fit to the central values of those parameters.

Since the axion is heavy enough to drive inflation,

$$m_a \sim M_{\text{Pl}} e^{-cV^2/3} \sim 10^{13} \text{ GeV},$$

(5.2)
in this scenario it would not contribute to dark radiation. Since the scale of inflation prefers a not-so-Large volume, a more careful analysis of the perturbative expansion in negative powers of $V$ should be necessary. There can also be a correction at the same order in $V$ as the string loop corrections but not suppressed by $g_s$. It arises as a tree-level $O(\alpha'^2)$ correction to the volume [65, 83], and originates from the intersection between D7-branes and O7-planes. This has been argued to place a strong upper bound on the allowed volume for which the LVS expansion is trustable. If present, these corrections would cast significant doubts on the moduli stabilization scenario we have presented, and further analysis would be required to obtain cosmological predictions.

One important issue we have not addressed in this work is the location of the Standard Model. After inflation, energy needs to be transferred from the axion to the visible sector in order to induce reheating and initiate the hot Big Bang expansion. Presumably this would require a large enough coupling to the bulk axion, for example via an axion-photon-photon coupling, which may lead to other testable predictions in the CMB. It would be interesting to investigate further in this direction. Furthermore, since we have high-scale supersymmetry breaking, an alternative resolution to the electroweak hierarchy problem would be required. It would be interesting to see if a candidate resolution, such as the relaxion scenario [84], could be integrated into our setup.

As for the origin of the brane configuration, we may gain hints from the unification picture via brane recombination, which is a natural consequence of the RR tadpole cancellation condition [85–87]. If supersymmetry is preserved, the brane configuration may arise via a zero-energy transition from a simple setup, such as the type I string, transitioning to various other vacua. It would be interesting to combine this model with a brane construction of the Standard Model. Its overlap with the axion sector gives us further predictions, such as the reheating temperature.

Finally, it would be worthwhile to obtain a fully top-down description of the Large Volume Scenario. In particular, an important consistency check would be to derive an explicit uplifting potential to lift the vacuum to Minkowski or de Sitter, and then verify whether the full top-down LVS construction is consistent and stable, along with our brane configuration and inflation model.

Note added. While finishing this project, a related work appeared [88]. Although that work focuses on realizing the QCD axion, there is some overlap in the analysis.
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A Geometry

In this appendix, we briefly construct an example geometry to understand the meaning of the decay constants and their constrained ranges, following [50, 51, 65]. The Calabi-Yau orientifold is given by a degree 12 polynomial

\[ z_5^2 = P_{12}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_6) \]

in \( \mathbb{CP}^4_{[1,1,2,2,6]} \), whose homogeneous coordinates are \( z_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \). We introduce an auxiliary coordinate \( z_6 \) in order to embed the polynomial and assign the following scaling:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
  z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 \\
  1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

The ordinary divisors are \( \tilde{D}_i = \{ z_i = 0 \}, i = 1, \ldots, 6 \). The columns form the vertex vectors of the toric diagram.

The homology \( H_4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \) is spanned by the basis vectors \( \tilde{D}_1 \) and \( \tilde{D}_4 \). The Kähler form \( J = \tilde{t}^1 \tilde{D}_1 + \tilde{t}^4 \tilde{D}_4 \) spans the Kähler cone with non-negative coefficients, \( \tilde{t}^1 \geq 0, \tilde{t}^4 \geq 0 \). The nonvanishing intersection numbers are \( \tilde{\kappa}_{144} = 2 \) and \( \tilde{\kappa}_{444} = 4 \). They give the volume

\[ V = \tilde{t}^1 (\tilde{t}^4)^2 + \frac{2}{3} (\tilde{t}^4)^3. \]  

(A.1)

The dual four-form volumes are

\[ \hat{\tau}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{D}_1} J^2 = (\hat{t}^4)^2, \quad \hat{\tau}_4 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{D}_4} J^2 = 2 (\hat{t}^1 + \hat{t}^4) \hat{t}^4. \]

Inverting this gives

\[ V = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\hat{\tau}_1} \left( \hat{\tau}_1 - \frac{2}{3} \hat{\tau}_4 \right), \quad \hat{t}^1 = \frac{\hat{\tau}_4 - 2 \hat{\tau}_1}{2 \sqrt{\hat{\tau}_1}}, \quad \hat{t}^4 = \sqrt{\hat{\tau}_1}. \]

The Kähler cone condition is also converted as

\[ \hat{\tau}_4 \geq 2 \hat{\tau}_1 > 0. \]  

(A.2)
This guarantees the volume to be positive, since $3\tilde{\tau}_4 - 2\tilde{\tau}_1 \geq 4\tilde{\tau}_1 \geq 0$. The involution is $z_5 \leftrightarrow -z_5$, defining the orientifold divisor as $D_O = \{z_5 = 0\}$. From the toric data, we have $D_O = 12\tilde{D}_1 - 3\tilde{D}_4$.

In the main text, we redefine $D_1 = \tilde{D}_1$, $3D_2 \equiv 3\tilde{D}_1 - 2\tilde{D}_4$, giving

$$\tau_1 = \tilde{\tau}_1, \quad \tau_2 = \tilde{\tau}_4 - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{\tau}_1, \quad t^1 = \frac{\tau_1}{2\sqrt{\tau_1}}, \quad t^2 = \sqrt{\tau_1},$$

(A.3)

This reparametrization makes the analysis simpler because the resulting volume and the Kähler metric,

$$V = t^1(t^2)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\tau_1}\tau_2, \quad t^1 = \frac{\tau_2}{2\sqrt{\tau_1}}, \quad t^2 = \sqrt{\tau_1},$$

and hence the axion decay constants are diagonal [50]. The Kähler cone is well-defined in the original coordinates, and the boundary is $\tau_1 \geq 0, \tau_2 \geq 4\tau_1/3$. In this basis, the only non-vanishing intersection number is $\kappa_{122} = 2$, consistent with the volume (A.3). A nontrivial check is $\kappa_{222} = D_2^3 = (\tilde{D}_4 - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{D}_1)^3 = \tilde{D}_1^3 - 2\tilde{D}_1^2\tilde{D}_4 = \tilde{\kappa}_{444} - 2\tilde{\kappa}_{144} = 0$. In the new coordinate, the orientifold plane is $D_O = 10\tilde{D}_1 - 3D_2$.
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