Identification of suitable *Botryococcus braunii* strains for non-destructive in situ hydrocarbon extraction
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Abstract

The process of milking microalgae is a promising approach to reduce the downstream costs for the production of valuable substances from microalgae by avoiding the steps of harvest, dewatering, and cell disruption of the common process chain (cultivation, harvesting, dewatering, cell disruption, extraction, purification). The green microalga *Botryococcus braunii* is particularly suited for this process due to its ability to produce large amounts of long-chain hydrocarbons accumulating in an extracellular matrix. The extracellular location of hydrocarbons is an enormous advantage in comparison with other microalgae that accumulate lipids in intracellular lipid bodies. At present, only a few *B. braunii* strains (UTEX 572, CCAP 807/2, SAG 807/1, FACHB 357, Bot22, and SCCAP 1761) have been examined for the process of long-term repetitive milking. In order to identify promising candidates for the milking process, twelve different *B. braunii* strains (SAG 30.81, SAG 807/1, UTEX 572, UTEX 2441, CCAP 807/2, ACOI 58, ACOI 1257, SCCAP K-1489, var. Showa, Bot22, SCCAP K-1761, and CCALA 779) were investigated in terms of growth, lipid accumulation, nutrient uptake, solvent compatibility, and extracellular hydrocarbon extractability. Based on these results, a ranking was defined in view of eligibility for non-destructive hydrocarbon extraction. Results indicate a particular potential for hydrocarbon milking for two of those twelve *B. braunii* strains. The strain Showa (71 out of 75 ranking points), which has not yet been examined for long-term repetitive milking, and the strain Bot22 (64 out of 75 ranking points) seem to be the most suitable strains for the milking process. They both possess good extractant compatibility including hydrocarbon extractability as well as high biomass and lipid productivity.
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Introduction

Microalgae and their numerous advantages have been mentioned in several publications to date (Rosello Sastre and Posten 2010; Griehl and Bieler 2011; Santhosh et al. 2016; Deviram et al. 2020). They can play a key role in future strategies for reducing carbon dioxide emissions, nutrient removal from waste water, and could serve the health, food, aquaculture, cosmetic, and pharmaceutical industries with valuable, biological, ecological, and non-fossil compounds (Borowitzka 2013; Borowitzka and Moheimani 2013). Nevertheless, the costs of recovering valuable substances from microalgae biomass remain a major bottleneck in microalgae biotechnology. Based on the common process chain, biomass is harvested after cultivation, dewatered, disrupted, extracted, and purified, before the final product is obtained (Griehl et al. 2015). Here, the steps of harvesting, dewatering, and cell disruption make up 50 to 80% of the total process costs (Acién et al. 2012; Khoo et al. 2020). Due to the high costs of downstream processing, either the whole algae biomass is used or high value products such as pigments or polyunsaturated fatty acids are produced (Deviram et al. 2020).

The so-called process of milking of microalgae offers an opportunity to decrease costs of the downstream process chain by omitting the harvesting, dewatering, and cell disruption steps of the classical process chain (Frenz et al. 1989; Sim et al. 2001; Hejazi et al. 2004; Moheimani et al. 2014; Griehl et al. 2015; Jackson et al. 2020). Milking corresponds to continuous non-destructive in situ extraction of products from a growing algal culture. Therefore, microalgae cells must excrete the product actively to the surrounding media or an extracellular matrix. During the “milking” process, the excreted product is extracted from outer matrix by passing the
culture suspension through a hydrophobic solvent which absorbs the product (Jackson et al. 2017). To improve profitability, it is intended to repeat this milking process multiple times with the same culture suspension and to maintain the cells alive for continuous production of new extractable products (Moheimani et al. 2014; Griehl et al. 2015; Jackson et al. 2019; Bhadana and Tyagi 2020). The “milking” or “non-destructive in situ extraction” combines growth and product formation with simultaneous product extraction without killing the microalgae. It is fundamentally different to the application of two-phase systems in separate downstream extraction steps.

A suitable alga for the process of milking is the colony forming green microalga *Botryococcus braunii* which produces a high content of extracellular long-chain hydrocarbons which constitute up to 86% of the total dry weight (Borowitzka 2018). These hydrocarbons are predominantly produced intracellularly and are actively excreted from the cells to an extracellular matrix around the cells to regulate the depth in the water column and to protect the cells from environmental influences (Metzger and Largeau 2005; Borowitzka 2018). Depending on the chemical structure of the hydrocarbons, *B. braunii* strains are subdivided into four races: A, B, L, and S (Fig. 1). In addition to the active excretion of hydrocarbons, the specific CO2 utilization of *B. braunii* during photosynthesis makes this alga an ideal candidate for the milking process. Compared with other plants using nearly 85% of the carbon to generate biomass and only 10% for fatty acid production, *B. braunii* utilizes only 45% to build biomass and nearly the same amount to produce hydrocarbons (Melis 2013). This specific feature of carbon partitioning during photosynthesis is responsible for the high hydrocarbon content during growth phase on the one hand, and for a relatively low growth rate on the other hand (Jackson et al. 2017), which makes the classical treatment of this alga not feasible (Griehl et al. 2015).

For the process of milking or in situ extraction, most research is carried out with emphasis on *B. braunii* because the product of interest is external to the cell. In addition to *B. braunii*, only a few other algae such as *Dunaliella salina* for β-carotene (Hejazi et al. 2004; Kleinegris et al. 2010), *Nannochloropsis* for lipids (Zhang et al. 2011), diatoms for high value molecules (Vinayak et al. 2015), or *Haematococcus* for astaxanthin (Praveenkumar et al. 2015; Samori et al. 2019) have been investigated for removal of products by in situ extraction. Compared with milking of *B. braunii*, the product of interest is located intracellularly for most other algae. Here, in situ extraction, where the desired product is extracted without complete regeneration of the cells, is carried out during cultivation.

So far, among the *B. braunii* strains investigated, only a handful have been examined for repetitive milking of hydrocarbons over a certain period of time (Table 1). In order to choose an ideal strain for treatment during the milking process, a variety of factors such as growth rate, amount of extracellular hydrocarbons, solvent, and nutrient uptake are critical factors for the feasibility of the process (Jackson et al. 2017). Growth rate of the milked strain and amount of excreted hydrocarbons must be kept as high as possible relating to the production of extracellular hydrocarbons which appears to be growth associated (Melis 2013). Extractability of extracellular hydrocarbons and resistance of the chosen strain to the solvent are also critical factors for the process of milking. In order to prevent the excreted hydrocarbons from being released into the medium, contact between the cells or the extracellular matrix of the colonies and the solvent is necessary to extract the hydrocarbons (Griehl et al. 2015). Therefore, biocompatibility of the solvent with minimal toxicity to the cells by simultaneous extraction of a maximum of hydrocarbons is essential (Moheimani et al. 2014). In terms of biocompatibility, solvents with higher chain length such as *n*-octane, dodecane and tetradecane showed highest biocompatibility with more than 90% compared with *n*-hexane with 80% biocompatibility (Jackson et al. 2017). However, the cost of solvent recovery after extraction is a critical factor for the feasibility of the entire milking process. Among the solvents already investigated, ranging from *n*-hexane, *n*-heptane, and *n*-octane to *n*-octanol, dodecane and dihexyl ether to tetradecane, the boiling point and thus the cost of recovery increase significantly (Jackson et al. 2017).

This study was designed to identify possible *Botryococcus* strains that are suitable for the process of milking. Twelve different strains of *Botryococcus* were evaluated and ranked in terms of growth, biomass and lipid production, nutrient uptake of nitrate and phosphate, solvent compatibility, and lipid extractability for the solvents *n*-hexane, *n*-heptane, and *n*-octane. Among the strains investigated, SAG 807/1, CCAP 807/2, SCCAP 1761, and Bot22 have been used for the process of milking, while strains SAG 30.81, ACOI 1257, ACOI 58, UTEX 2441, UTEX 572, CCALA 779, SCCAP 1489, and Showa only been investigated for growth so far.

**Materials and methods**

**Microorganisms and growth conditions**

Twelve different strains of *Botryococcus* (Table 2) were cultured in BG11 medium (Rippka et al. 1979) in triplicate for the strains Showa and Bot22 and for reason of low inoculum biomass concentration in duplicate for the remaining strains. Cultivation was performed in 1.5-L bubble columns with continuous light at 100 μmol photons m−2 s−1 (LED Panel, 80 W, 6500 K, daylight), at a temperature of 26 °C and a gas flow rate of 1 vvm enriched with 1% CO2 v/v. Cultivation was maintained over 21 days with a starting concentration of biomass adjusted between 0.2 to 0.3 g L−1. The sampling for biomass and nutrient uptake was carried out every second or third day.
Biomass determination

Biomass was determined gravimetrically in triplicate and described in terms of dry weight per volume of culture (gDW L⁻¹). The DW measurement was carried out by filtration of algal suspension through pre-weighed 24-mm glass fiber filters (VWR 693). Biomass containing filters were rinsed three times with ultrapure water to remove residual salts of the culture medium. Washed filters were dried at 104 ºC for 12 h and stored for 30 min in a desiccator before being weighed.

Nutrient determination

Nutrient uptake was measured using a Dionex ICS 1100 System equipped with an IonPac AS23 column and a CERS 500 suppressor to determine the concentration of nitrate and phosphate in the culture medium. The system was calibrated for nitrate and phosphate in the range of 1 to 100 mg L⁻¹. Prior to the measurement, the algae suspension was filtered through a 0.45-μm PTFE filter and the filtrate was diluted to a measurement concentration between 1 and 100 mg nitrate.

Total lipid determination

Total amount of lipids was determined gravimetrically in triplicate on days 0, 7, 14, and 21 of cultivation using a modified version of the method published by Guckert and White (1988). Prior to lipid determination, 5 mL of algae culture was filtered synchronously with the biomass determination using 24-mm glass fiber filters (VWR 693) and stored in a freezer at −80 ºC until use. The frozen filter was placed in a 7-mL screw-capped glass vial, and 1 g of sea sand (Carl Roth 8441.1) was added. Five milliliters of n-hexane/isopropanol 40:26.7 (v/v) were added as solvent. Samples were extracted for 30 min at a frequency of 30 Hz using a swing mill (Retsch MM200). The samples were then centrifuged at 3000×g for 5 min, and the solvent supernatant was transferred to a 20-mL glass vial. The remaining pellet was dissolved in 5 mL of solvent, and lipid extraction was carried out twice more.

Table 1 Overview of Botryococcus braunii strains used for the process of milking

| Strain   | Race | Solvent   | Days of milking | Maximum lipid yield | Reference                  |
|----------|------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| UTEX 572 | A    | n-octane  | 4               | 57%                 | An et al. (2004)           |
| CCAP 807/2 | A | n-heptane | 4 (over 6 days) | 7 mg g DW⁻¹ day⁻¹ | Mohaimani et al. (2013)   |
| SAG 807/1 | A    | n-hexane  | 30 (over 40 days) | 1.3 mg g DW⁻¹ day⁻¹ | Griehl et al. (2015)        |
| FACHB 357 | -   | Tetradecane | 4              | 50%                 | Zhang et al. (2013)         |
| Bot22    | B    | n-heptane | 16 (over 80 days) | 12 mg L⁻¹ day⁻¹ | Mohaimani et al. (2014)    |
| SCCAP 1761 | B   | n-hexane  | 5               | 59 mg g DW⁻¹ day⁻¹ | Griehl et al. (2015)        |

Fig. 1 Structure of hydrocarbons produced by Botryococcus races A, B, L, and S (from Griehl et al. 2015)
Supernatants were combined and evaporated to dryness using a vacuum evaporator (Hettich Combi Dancer) at 39 °C and 300 rpm. The dried sample was redissolved in 5 mL of n-hexane and filtered through a 0.45-μm PTFE filter (Restek 13-mm Syringe Filter). The filtered extract was transferred to a pre-weighed 20-mL glass vial, evaporated to dryness, and weighed again to obtain the total amount of lipid. Dried samples were stored at −20 °C for further analytical testing.

**Biomass yield and nutrient uptake calculation**

In order to calculate the biomass yield and nutrient uptake, experimental data were fitted to the Gompertz model (Eq. 1), which has been used to describe the growth of microalgae and bacteria (Gonçalves et al. 2016). Temporal development of dry weight (DW), nitrate, and phosphate were represented by y; k is a factor for DW accumulation and nutrient uptake, a is the amplitude, and x_c is the center of the model. Equation 2 was used to calculate the maximum biomass productivity and nutrient uptake rate ($P_{DW, U_{N,P}}$) (Tjørve and Tjørve 2017).

\[ y = ae^{k(x-x_c)} \]

\[ P_{DW, U_{N,P}} = \frac{a \times k}{e} \]  

**Extracellular hydrocarbon determination**

Extracellular hydrocarbon content was measured gravimetrically in triplicate using the dried biomass containing filters after biomass determination. The dried 24-mm glass fiber filters (VWR 693) were transferred to 40-mL glass vials, 3 mL n-hexane were added to the filter, and glass vials were closed with a screw cap. After 24 h at room temperature, the solvent was transferred to pre-weighed 20-mL glass vials by filtration through 0.2-μm PTFE filters (Restek 13 mm syringe filter). The filter containing 40-mL glass vials were rinsed twice more with 3 mL n-hexane. Supernatants were pooled and evaporated to dryness using a vacuum evaporator (Hettich Combi Dancer) at 39 °C and 300 rpm. The glass vials were weighed again to obtain the extracellular amount of hydrocarbon.

**Solvent compatibility screening**

Solvent compatibility of *Botryococcus* strains examined was determined by using three biological replicates and a method modified by Frenz et al. (1989). Twenty milliliters of algae suspension were transferred to a 30-mL glass vial and 10 mL of a particular solvent (n-hexane, n-heptane, n-octane) were added. The glass vial was closed and mixed at 60 rpm for 5 or 10 min using an electrically driven wheel. Fifteen milliliters of

### Table 2 Origin of *Botryococcus* strains investigated in this study

| Strain          | Race  | Number | Isolator | Origin                      | Source                                      |
|-----------------|-------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| *Botryococcus*  | A     | Sag    | Hegwald, E. | Laguna Huaypo, Cuzco, Peru, 1977 | Culture Collection of Algae at Goettingen University (SAG) |
| braunii         |       | 30.81  |          |                             |                                             |
| *Botryococcus*  | A     | Sag    | Droop, M.R. | Madingly Brick Pits, Cambridge, UK, 1950 | Culture Collection of Algae at Goettingen University (SAG) |
| braunii         |       | 807/1  |          |                             |                                             |
| *Botryococcus*  | A     | UTEX   | Droop, M.R. | Madingly Brick Pits, Cambridge, UK, 1950 | Culture Collection of Algae at University of Texas (UTEX) |
| braunii         |       | 572    |          |                             |                                             |
| *Botryococcus*  | A     | UTEX   | Hegwald, E. | Laguna Huaypo, Cuzco, Peru, 1977 | Culture Collection of Algae at University of Texas (UTEX) |
| braunii         |       | 2441   |          |                             |                                             |
| *Botryococcus*  | A     | CCAP   | Jaworski, G. | Grasmere, Cumbria, UK, 1984 | Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP) |
| braunii         |       | 807/2  |          |                             |                                             |
| *Botryococcus*  | A     | ACOI   | Santos, M.F. | Porto de Castanheira, Portugal, 1979 | Coimbra Collection of Algae (ACOI) |
| braunii         |       | 58     |          |                             |                                             |
| *Botryococcus*  | A     | ACOI   | Santos, L. | Serra da Estrela, Barragem, Portugal, 2000 | Coimbra Collection of Algae (ACOI) |
| braunii         |       | 1257   |          |                             |                                             |
| *Botryococcus*  | A     | SCCAP  | Hansen, G. | Nieuwpoort, Belgien, 2008 | Scandinavian Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP) |
| braunii         |       | K-1489 |          |                             |                                             |
| *Botryococcus*  | B     | var.   | Nonomura, A. M. | Berkeley, University of California, USA, 1980 | University of Tokyo, Shigeru Okada |
| braunii         |       | Bot22  |          |                             |                                             |
| *Botryococcus*  | B     | SCCAP  | Kawachi, M. | Kanna, Okinawa, Japan, 2004 | University of Tsukuba, Makoto. M. Watanabe |
| sp.             |       | K-1761 |          |                             | Scandinavian Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP) |
| *Botryococcus*  | N/A   | CCALA  | Santos, M.F. | Serra de Estrela, Portugal, 1987 | Culture Collection of Autotrophic Organisms (CCALA) |
| protuberans     |       | 779    |          |                             |                                             |
| *Botryococcus*  | N/A   | CCALA  | Santos, M.F. | Serra de Estrela, Portugal, 1987 | Culture Collection of Autotrophic Organisms (CCALA) |
| protuberans     |       | 779    |          |                             |                                             |
the treated algal culture were transferred to a 25-mL glass vial to measure photosynthetic activity. Solvent containing extracellular lipid was transferred to a 20-mL pre-weighed glass vial, evaporated to dryness, and weighed again to obtain the amount of extracellular lipid. Dried samples were stored at −20 °C for further analytical testing. In comparison, untreated cultures were handled in the same way to obtain the 100% value for photosynthetic determination.

**Photosynthetic activity measurement**

Cell viability was determined by measuring oxygen production of three biological replicates (InLab Optiox, Mettler Toledo). Prior to the extraction process, 15 mL of algae suspension was transferred to a 25-mL glass vial and dark adapted for 12 h overnight. After dark adaptation, the glass vial containing the algae suspension was placed on a light bench and the oxygen probe was immersed in the suspension until the air was completely displaced. The bottom of the 25-mm-diameter glass vial was illuminated with 100 μmol photons m−2 s−1 over 15 min and oxygen production was recorded every 30 s. The slope of the dissolved oxygen concentration during the measurement and biomass concentration was used to calculate the oxygen production rate in mg DO gDW−1 h−1.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were carried out using SigmaPlot 12 and OriginPro 2020 with one-way ANOVA to determine differences between the strains (P < 0.05). For pairwise comparison of the strains, Holm-Sidak method was applied with P < 0.05. Results have been reported as mean ± standard deviation.

**Microscopic examination**

Algal samples were examined microscopically using an Olympus BX41 microscope. Photographs were taken with an Olympus XC50 camera.

**Ranking of strains**

In order to determine the strains most suitable for the milking process, a ranking was created based on the results of the experiments carried out. Parameters used for the ranking are shown in Table 3. Parameters of biomass productivity, lipid productivity, extracellular hydrocarbon productivity, biocompatibility with the solvent, and extractability of extracellular hydrocarbons were ranked between 1 and 10. For industrial scale production/application, parameters of minor importance such as maximum biomass yield, maximum lipid concentration, hydrocarbon concentration, and nutrient uptake of nitrate and phosphate were ranked between 1 and 5. This created a ranking of at least 10 to a maximum of 75 points. Strains with the highest score were considered the most suitable for the milking process.

**Results**

**Growth and nutrient uptake**

Results for growth and nutrient uptake of *Botryococcus* strains examined (Fig. 2) are displayed in Fig. 3 and Table 4. Based on culture media pre-screening with BG11, Chu13, and AF6 media (data not shown), BG11 medium was evaluated as the most suitable for growth experiments. The highest biomass concentration was measured for the strains Showa (2.905 ± 0.08 g L−1) and Bot22 (2.634 ± 0.10 g L−1). The biomass concentration of these two strains was significantly different from the other strains (ANOVA, F(11,14) = 286.44, P < 0.001/Holm-Sidak, t ≥ 3.438, P < 0.05). Here, values obtained for Showa and Bot22 were 92% and 74% above the biomass concentration of the subsequent strains, respectively. Lowest biomass concentration with only 25% of the Showa strain was observed for CCALA 779 (0.73 ± 0.01 g L−1). Comparison of the maximum biomass productivity per day (Table 4) showed that highest productivities (ANOVA, F(11,14) = 13.721, P < 0.001/Holm-Sidak, t ≥ 4.155, P < 0.05) were obtained for Showa and Bot22. The measured productivity of Showa was approximately 11% higher compared with Bot22, UTEX 2441, and SAG 30.81. With only 0.042 ± 0.027 g L−1 day−1 and thus 80% less than Showa, strain CCALA 779 showed the lowest maximum biomass productivity.

The measured nutrient consumption of the different strains during the growth experiment is displayed in Fig. 3 as a percentage of the initial nutrient concentration. As can be seen in Fig. 3, concentration of nitrate and phosphate in culture medium decreased for all strains during cultivation.

The final nitrate concentration measured after 21 days ranged from 45 to 85% of the initial concentration. Highest uptake to a minimum of 45 to 50% of the initial concentration was measured for UTEX 572, UTEX 2441, ACOI 1257, and Bot22. Lowest nitrate uptake with a reduction of the initial concentration to 80% of was analyzed for strains CCALA 779, SCCAP 1761, and ACOI 58. The uptake rates of nitrate (Table 4) were in the range of 17.92 ± 1.4 to 56.31 ± 4.1 mg L−1 day−1 and significantly different for all strains (ANOVA, F(11,14) = 49.458, P < 0.001). ACOI 1257, CCAP 807/2, UTEX 2441, and UTEX 572 required two- to threefold more nitrate compared with SCCAP 1761, CCALA 779, ACOI 58, and Showa. By calculating nitrate uptake per biomass, the strain Showa required the least amount of nitrate. The nitrate consumption per biomass of the strain Showa was...
80% less than the consumption of CCAP 807/2, which required the most nitrate per biomass of all strains examined.

Except for Showa and SCCAP 1761, the phosphate concentration in the culture medium decreased to 0% of the initial concentration for all strains during 21 days of cultivation. After 9 days of cultivation, no phosphate was detected when looking at UTEX 572, SAG 807/1, ACOI 1257, SAG 30.81, and CCALA 779, while the other strains reached 0% phosphate on day 11 and 21 of the cultivation period. When comparing phosphate uptake rates (Table 4), highest phosphate uptake was measured for the strain UTEX 572. Compared with this, threefold lower phosphate uptake rates were analyzed for the strains ACOI 58, Showa, CCALA 779, and SCCAP 1489. When comparing the consumption of phosphate per biomass, significant differences between the strains were visible (ANOVA, $F(11,14) = 173.591$, $P < 0.001$). The strain UTEX 572 required the most phosphate per biomass, which was eightfold more compared with the strain Showa with $0.010 \pm 0.002 \text{g phosphate g}\text{DW}^{-1}$.

Table 3 Parameter classification for the ranking of Botryococcus strains examined

| Ranking points | $P_{DW}$ (g L$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$) | $Y_{DW}$ (g L$^{-1}$) | $P_{lipids}$ (g L$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$) | $C_{lipids}$ (%) | $P_{HC}$ (g L$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$) | $C_{HC}$ (%) | $E_{HC}$ (%) | SC (% OE) | $U_{nitrate}$ (g g$\text{DW}^{-1}$) | $U_{phosphate}$ (g g$\text{DW}^{-1}$) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| 1              | > 0.00                        | ≥ 0.5             | > 0.00                          | ≥ 50          | ≥ 0.005         | ≥ 10           | > 0.0       | ≥ 10      | ≥ 0.8          | ≥ 0.08         |
| 2              | > 0.02                        | > 1.0             | > 0.02                          | > 60          | > 0.010         | > 20           | > 0.5       | > 20      | > 0.6          | > 0.06         |
| 3              | > 0.03                        | > 1.5             | > 0.03                          | > 65          | > 0.015         | > 30           | > 1.5       | > 30      | > 0.4          | > 0.04         |
| 4              | > 0.04                        | > 2.0             | > 0.04                          | > 70          | > 0.020         | > 40           | > 2.0       | > 35      | > 0.2          | > 0.02         |
| 5              | > 0.05                        | > 2.5             | > 0.05                          | > 75          | > 0.025         | > 50           | > 2.5       | > 40      | > 0.0          | > 0.00         |
| 6              | > 0.06                        | > 0.06            | > 0.06                          | > 0.03        | > 0.035         | > 40           | > 4.0       | > 50      | > 55           |                |
| 7              | > 0.07                        | > 0.07            | > 0.07                          | > 0.035       | > 0.040         | > 5.0          | > 5.0       | > 55      |                |                |
| 8              | > 0.08                        | > 0.08            | > 0.08                          | > 0.040       | > 0.045         | > 6.0          | > 6.0       | > 65      |                |                |
| 9              | > 0.09                        | > 0.09            | > 0.09                          | > 0.050       | > 7.0           | > 75           | > 75        |          |                |                |

Table 3 Parameter classification for the ranking of Botryococcus strains examined

Lipids and extracellular hydrocarbons

As displayed in Fig. 4, the amount of total lipids increased during batch cultivation from start of cultivation until day 21. After 21 days, a maximum lipid concentration with over 57.66 ± 4.4% of biomass was achieved for all strains (Table 4). The highest amount of total lipids with nearly 80% was determined for the strains SCCAP 1761 and Showa. The strains ACOI 58, SCCAP 1489, CCAP 807/2, and Bot22 also achieved high total lipid levels in the range of 58.56 ± 1.0 to 69 ± 2.4% of the biomass. The lowest total lipid concentration with only 57.66 ± 4.4% of biomass and therewith 27% below the concentration of SCCAP 1761 was analyzed for UTEX 572 and SAG 30.81. By comparing the lipid yield, the significantly highest productivity was analyzed for Showa (ANOVA, $F(11,14) = 95.576$, $P < 0.001$). In general, Botryococcus strains Showa, Bot22, SAG 807/1, UTEX 2441, and CCAP 807/2 showed the best solvent compatibility with an oxygen production of over 75% and 50% for a 5-min and 10-min treatment, respectively. For the 10 min treatment, strains SAG 807/1 and Bot22 achieved the best compatibility with an oxygen production of over 85% for n-octane. Already after a short contact time with the solvent, strains CCAP 779, UTEX 572, and ACOI 1257 showed little or no oxygen production. It is evident that n-octane had the highest biocompatibility for most strains compared with n-hexane and n-heptane. The highest negative impact of increasing the contact time to the solvent was observed for n-hexane. Here, the oxygen production decreased from 80.98 ± 6.24 to 49.57 ± 5.89% and from 83.53 ± 14.77 to
32.15 ± 13.14% for CCAP 807/2 and UTEX 2441, respectively. For n-heptane, the highest decrease in oxygen production was observed for the strains UTEX 2441 (82.47 ± 14.17 to 52.43 ± 18.25%), ACOI 58 (55.96 ± 12.15 to 19.53 ± 9.64%), and SAG 807/1 (80.37 ± 5.83 to 61.83 ± 10.10%) in response to an increased treatment time. The UTEX 2441 strain was most affected by increasing the contact time with the solvent compared with the other strains, while Showa and Bot22 showed the least influence on oxygen production.

**Lipid extractability**

A major criterion for the process of milking is the extractability of hydrocarbons from *Botryococcus* colonies. In order to determine this extractability, cultures were treated for 5 and 10 min with the solvents n-hexane, n-heptane, and n-octane. As shown in Fig. 6, lipids could be extracted for all strains, solvents, and contact times. Following an increase in extraction time, significantly more lipids could be extracted for all strains (ANOVA, \( F(1,70) = 2310.075, \ P < 0.001 \)). In this case, the solvent had no significant impact on the amount of lipids extracted (ANOVA, \( F(2,33) = 0.0109, \ P = 0.989 \)). Highest extractability was measured for the B race strains SCCAP 1761, Showa, and Bot22 with 8.75 ± 0.075, 5.49 ± 0.033, and 3.55 ± 0.045% of extracted lipids per dry weight, respectively. In comparison, only 0.5 to 1.5% lipids were extracted using A race strains ACOI 1257, CCAP 807/2, SAG 807/2, and UTEX 572.

*Fig. 2* Microscopic images of *Botryococcus* strains examined. *Race belonging to CCALA 779 is not available. Scale bar = 10 μm*
Ranking of strains

The overall ranking of *Botryococcus* strains examined (Table 5) showed that Showa (71 out of 75) and Bot22 (64 out of 75) were the strains most suited for milking. In contrast to a lower concentration for total lipids compared with Showa, Bot22 achieved the highest concentration of extracellular hydrocarbons. The most unsuitable strains for milking seemed to be UTEX 572 with only 22 out of 75 ranking points, followed by CCALA 779 with 25 points.

Discussion

The growth of *Botryococcus braunii* is mainly influenced by its specific carbon partitioning during photosynthesis. Compared with other plants and microalgae, which use approximately 85% of the captured carbon for biomass synthesis, *B. braunii* uses only 45% of the assimilated carbon for growth (Melis 2013). Maximum biomass productivity of $0.146 \pm 0.008 \text{ gDW L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ was measured for the Showa strain in this study. In comparison with other green algae
Growth parameters of *Botryococcus* strains investigated after 21 days of cultivation

| Strain       | DW (g L\(^{-1}\)) | lipids (% DW) | HC (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | phosphate (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | U (mg g DW\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) | C (mg L\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) | \(U_{\text{photosynth}}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| CCAP 807/2   | 0.055 ± 0.018      | 1.089 ± 0.02  | 67.09 ± 4.3         | 39.9 ± 1.2                  | 2.23 ± 0.10                  | 3.04 ± 0.004                  | 0.041 ± 0.002                   |
| SAG 807/1    | 0.076 ± 0.010      | 1.452 ± 0.08  | 51.20 ± 2.3         | 19.7 ± 0.7                  | 3.10 ± 0.17                  | 3.94 ± 0.02                  | 0.041 ± 0.003                   |
| ACOL1257     | 0.072 ± 0.012      | 1.384 ± 0.09  | 56.31 ± 1.1         | 20.5 ± 1.1                  | 4.19 ± 0.1                   | 5.20 ± 0.02                  | 0.042 ± 0.004                   |
| UTEX 2481    | 0.044 ± 0.019      | 1.835 ± 0.09  | 71.56 ± 9.9         | 21.7 ± 1.1                  | 4.12 ± 0.28                  | 5.20 ± 0.02                  | 0.042 ± 0.004                   |
| ACOL58       | 0.084 ± 0.004      | 1.281 ± 0.07  | 69.62 ± 4.4         | 25.2 ± 1.1                  | 5.12 ± 0.1                   | 6.21 ± 0.01                  | 0.043 ± 0.004                   |
| SAG 807/1    | 0.076 ± 0.010      | 1.452 ± 0.08  | 51.20 ± 2.3         | 19.7 ± 0.7                  | 3.10 ± 0.17                  | 3.94 ± 0.02                  | 0.041 ± 0.003                   |
| ACOL1257     | 0.072 ± 0.012      | 1.384 ± 0.09  | 56.31 ± 1.1         | 20.5 ± 1.1                  | 4.19 ± 0.1                   | 5.20 ± 0.02                  | 0.042 ± 0.004                   |
| UTEX 2481    | 0.044 ± 0.019      | 1.835 ± 0.09  | 71.56 ± 9.9         | 21.7 ± 1.1                  | 4.12 ± 0.28                  | 5.20 ± 0.02                  | 0.042 ± 0.004                   |
| ACOL58       | 0.084 ± 0.004      | 1.281 ± 0.07  | 69.62 ± 4.4         | 25.2 ± 1.1                  | 5.12 ± 0.1                   | 6.21 ± 0.01                  | 0.043 ± 0.004                   |
| 
| \(n=3\)      |                    |               |                     |                             |                               |                               |                                 |

*Note: DW = dry weight, lipids = lipids content, HC = hydrocarbon content, phosphate = phosphate concentration, U = lipid productivity, C = carbon productivity, \(U_{\text{photosynth}}\) = photosynthetic lipid productivity.*

In comparison with other microalgae, *B. braunii* displayed a low growth rate. However, lipid content with up to 80% of dry weight for SCCAP 1761 or Showa and an average lipid yield above 57% of dry weight for the other strains was significantly higher. Other green freshwater microalgae such as *Chlorella* or *Scenedesmus* only achieved up to 43% total lipids (mainly triacylglycerides) of dry weight under stress or limited nitrogen (Arif et al. 2020), while *B. braunii* produced hydrocarbons in a growth associated manner (Griehl et al. 2015). Lipid productivity of *Botryococcus* strains used in this study ranged from 0.026 ± 0.010 to 0.116 ± 0.001 g lipids L\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) for CCALA 779 and Showa, respectively. Other studies published lipid productivities for example for *Chlorella sorokiniana* of 0.032 to 0.132 g lipids L\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) (Shu et al. 2018; Aziz et al. 2020). It becomes apparent that a higher lipid productivity compared with other green algae will not be achieved even though *Botryococcus* strains have a high lipid content. This makes *B. braunii* unsuitable for producing hydrocarbons using a classical process chain. Hydrocarbon content of *B. braunii* investigated in this study ranged from 15.3 ± 0.1 to 51.6 ± 1.9% DW, resulting in a hydrocarbon productivity between 5 ± 1 and 72 ± 1 mg L\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\). This high variation was also observed by other researchers, where hydrocarbon content ranged from 7 to 60% (Eroglu et al. 2011; Li et al. 2013; Gouveia et al. 2017). In this study, the two strains Showa and Bot22, classified as race B strains, accumulated 50% of their dry weight as hydrocarbons, whereas race A strains accumulated on average only 25% as hydrocarbons. This high hydrocarbon content is reflected by the hydrocarbon productivity. Whereas the race B strains Showa and Bot22 displayed a respective hydrocarbon productivity of 72 ± 1 and 68 ± 2 mg L\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), the average hydrocarbon productivity of the race A strains with 15 ± 6 mg L\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) was significantly lower (ANOVA, F(1,9) = 160.341, P < 0.001). Similar results were achieved by Li et al. (2013) and Gouveia et al. (2017). Looking at results obtained for this study and data published by others, it becomes apparent that especially race B strains accumulate more hydrocarbons compared with race A strains.

Beside the downstream costs for the production of valuable substances from microalgae, costs for nutrient supplementation are also an important factor for the feasibility of the process with 3 to 10% of the total process costs (Acién et al. 2012). In general, nutrient consumption for the generation of cultured in BG11 medium, *B. braunii* var. Showa has been shown to achieve a lower biomass productivity (Shu et al. 2018). In this study, the biomass productivity of the different *B. braunii* strains ranged from 0.042 ± 0.027 g\(_{\text{DW}}\) L\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) for CCALA 779 to 0.146 ± 0.008 g\(_{\text{DW}}\) L\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) for Showa and was similar to data published elsewhere (Table 6). Published results as well as our own data indicate that the Showa strain seems to achieve the highest biomass productivity.
algae biomass could be derived from the stoichiometric formula of Redfield (Redfield 1958) \( C_{106}H_{263}O_{110}N_{16}P \). Therewith, 63 mg of nitrogen (280 mg of nitrate) and 9 mg of phosphorus (28 mg of phosphate) are required to produce 1 g of biomass. Considering that this is only a very general value that has been assessed for marine phytoplankton, the actual nutritional uptake for the production of microalgae biomass is strongly influenced by various factors such as temperature, pH, light, \( CO_2 \), other nutrients, and stressors. Bearing this in mind, data for nutrient uptake presented in this study can only give an indication for the selection of a strain suitable for the process of milking. In this study, nitrate uptake per gram of biomass ranged from 190 ± 17 to 931 ± 30 mg nitrate g\(_{DW}\)\(^{-1}\) for strains Showa and CCAP 807/2, respectively. The average nitrate uptake for all strains was about 497 ± 194 mg nitrate g\(_{DW}\)\(^{-1}\) which is nearly twice as much as the theoretical value. Phosphate uptake ranged from 10 ± 2 mg phosphate g\(_{DW}\)\(^{-1}\) for Showa to 79 ± 2 mg phosphate g\(_{DW}\)\(^{-1}\) for UTEX 572. In this study, the average phosphate uptake for all strains was 38.5 ± 17 mg phosphate g\(_{DW}\)\(^{-1}\) and therefore approximately 38% higher as the expected value of 28 mg phosphate g\(_{DW}\)\(^{-1}\). These big differences between experimental and theoretical values could not be explained by this data set and need further investigation. However, nutrient uptake was lowest for Showa compared with the other Botryococcus strains in this study indicating its suitability for a scale up and its use for milking.

Another critical parameter for the process of milking is the choice of solvent and thus, the biocompatibility of the selected solvent with the milked strain. Several solvents (dihexyl ether, dodecane, dodecyl acetate, heptane, hexane, octane, \( n \)-octanol, tetradecane) have been tested for their biocompatibility for
milking *B. braunii* and summarized by Jackson et al. (2017). In addition to the biocompatibility of a solvent, to which the extraction process could be adapted in terms of contact time (Griehl et al. 2015), the energy required to recover the solvent is becoming increasingly important for transferring the milking process to larger scales. The boiling point of the solvent is the main factor. Jackson et al. (2017) ranked eight previously studied solvents in terms of biocompatibility, boiling point, extraction effectiveness, cost, and safety with the outcome that \( n \)-hexane is the most suitable solvent with the lowest boiling point, lowest cost, and a high extraction effectiveness, followed by \( n \)-octane with the highest biocompatibility, but higher recovery costs due to the increased boiling point. Better biocompatibility of \( n \)-octane compared with \( n \)-hexane was also observed in this study. Averaging of the results of the strains examined showed that \( n \)-octane had the highest biocompatibility with over 70% for the 5 min and over 50% for the 10 min solvent treatment. Solvents \( n \)-hexane and \( n \)-heptane were less compatible than \( n \)-octane averaging 55% and 40% for the 5 min and 10 min treatments, respectively. This is explained by the fact, that the biocompatibility or toxicity of a solvent is largely determined by the logarithm of the octanol-water partition coefficient (\( \log P_{\text{oct}} \)). A better biocompatibility of the solvent was found with increasing \( \log P_{\text{oct}} \) value (\( n \)-octane \( \log P_{\text{oct}} \) 5.15, \( n \)-heptane \( \log P_{\text{oct}} \) 4.50, \( n \)-hexane \( \log P_{\text{oct}} \) 4.00, from Lide and Frederikse 2004). In terms of solvent compatibility and hydrocarbon extractability, strains Showa and Bot22 showed the best results. In the case of hydrocarbon extractability, this could be explained by the high hydrocarbon content of these two strains compared with the other strains investigated. The

![Fig. 6 Concentration of extracted lipids of Botryococcus strains treated for 5 min and 10 min with \( n \)-hexane, \( n \)-heptane, and \( n \)-octane. Values represent mean ± SD (\( n = 3 \))](image)

| Table 5 Ranking of *Botryococcus* strains examined |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Strain          | \( Y_{\text{DW}} \) | \( P_{\text{DW}} \) | \( C_{\text{lipids}} \) | \( P_{\text{lipids}} \) | \( P_{\text{HC}} \) | \( C_{\text{HC}} \) | \( E_{\text{HC}} \) | \( SC \) | \( U_{\text{nitrate}} \) | \( U_{\text{phosphate}} \) | Total |
| CCAP 807/2      | 2               | 5               | 3               | 3               | 5               | 3               | 2               | 8               | 1               | 3               | 35               |
| SAG 807/1       | 2               | 7               | 5               | 5               | 2               | 1               | 2               | 9               | 3               | 3               | 39               |
| ACOI 1257       | 2               | 7               | 1               | 3               | 3               | 2               | 1               | 3               | 2               | 3               | 27               |
| UTEX 2441       | 3               | 9               | 4               | 5               | 2               | 1               | 3               | 9               | 3               | 3               | 42               |
| ACOI 58         | 1               | 4               | 3               | 2               | 2               | 2               | 6               | 3               | 4               | 29               |
| SCCAP 1489      | 2               | 6               | 3               | 4               | 2               | 1               | 2               | 7               | 3               | 4               | 34               |
| SAG 30.81       | 3               | 9               | 1               | 3               | 2               | 1               | 5               | 9               | 4               | 4               | 41               |
| UTEX 572        | 2               | 6               | 1               | 3               | 2               | 1               | 2               | 1               | 2               | 2               | 22               |
| SCCAP 1761      | 2               | 4               | 5               | 3               | 2               | 2               | 10              | 4               | 4               | 3               | 39               |
| Showa           | 5               | 10              | 5               | 10              | 10              | 4               | 7               | 10              | 5               | 5               | 71               |
| Bot22           | 5               | 10              | 2               | 8               | 10              | 5               | 6               | 10              | 4               | 4               | 64               |
| CCALA 779       | 1               | 4               | 5               | 2               | 1               | 1               | 2               | 2               | 3               | 4               | 25               |
high solvent compatibility of Showa and Bot22 could be explained by the extracellular matrix of the colonies surrounding the cells. This matrix consists of liquid hydrocarbons that could be milked and polymerized hydrocarbons that are not soluble (Metzger et al. 2008). Berkaloff et al. (1983) showed that the cell wall of a *B. braunii* race A strain consists of a biopolymer which is resistant to non-oxidative degradation. This resistant biopolymer accounts for 9% of the cell dry weight and appears together with the hydrocarbons produced (Berkaloff et al. 1983). This leads to the conclusion that with an increased level of deliverable hydrocarbons, measured for the race B strains, the level of polymerized hydrocarbons, which protects the cells, could also be higher compared with the race A strains. However, good solvent tolerance and hydrocarbon extractability make *Botryococcus* strains Showa and Bot22 good candidates for the process of milking.

In conclusion, a ranking of the strains investigated with regard to growth, nutrient uptake, lipid and hydrocarbon concentration, solvent compatibility, and lipid extractability can give an indication of the performance of the respective strain during the process of milking. Due to the fact that the displayed results were obtained during batch cultivation, a definite statement about how the various strains perform during the process of milking could be obtained by only milking these strains repeatedly over a longer period of time. Furthermore, the stability of the process, the continuity of hydrocarbon harvest, and the

### Table 6  Biomass and hydrocarbon productivity of various *Botryococcus* strains compared with the results in this study

| Strain   | $P_{\text{DW}}$ (g L$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$) | $P_{\text{HC}}$ (g L$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$) | Reactor type     | Cultivation volume (L) | Reference                        |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CCAP 807/2 | 0.055                                | 0.030                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.040                                | N/A                                 | Carboy          | 8.00                   | Zhang (2013)                     |
|          | 0.070                                | 0.009                               | Shake flask     | 0.25                   | Gouveia et al. (2017)            |
|          | 0.104                                | 0.040                               | Carboy          | 2.50                   | Blifemez-Klassen et al. (2018)   |
|          | 0.118                                | 0.032                               | Shake flask     | N/A                    | Chaudhari (2016)                 |
| SAG 807/1 | 0.076                                | 0.015                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.310                                | 0.265                               | Bubble column   | 1.00                   | Casadevall et al. (1985)         |
|          | 0.443                                | 0.237                               | Flat Panel      | 1.00                   | Pengfei et al. (2017)            |
| ACOI 1257 | 0.076                                | 0.019                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.020                                | 0.007                               | Bubble column   | 2.00                   | Joao et al. (2017)               |
| UTEX 2441 | 0.092                                | 0.015                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.060                                | 0.008                               | Shake flask     | 0.50                   | Eroglu et al. (2011)             |
| ACOI 58  | 0.044                                | 0.029                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.015                                | 0.003                               | Bubble column   | 2.00                   | Joao et al. (2017)               |
| SCCAP 1489 | 0.064                               | 0.011                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.110                                | 0.008                               | Shake flask     | 0.25                   | Gouveia et al. (2017)            |
| SAG 30.81 | 0.090                                | 0.014                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.090                                | N/A                                 | Shake flask     | 0.25                   | Gouveia et al. (2017)            |
|          | 0.055                                | 0.010                               | Shake flask     | 0.10                   | Ranga Rao et al. (2007)          |
|          | 0.207                                | 0.068                               | BioFlo Fermentor | 8.00                   | Jin et al. (2016)                |
| UTEX 572 | 0.067                                | 0.011                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.079                                | 0.013                               | Circular pond   | 50.00                  | Jin et al. (2016)                |
|          | 0.110                                | 0.010                               | Shake flask     | 0.50                   | Eroglu et al. (2011)             |
|          | 0.110                                | N/A                                 | Shake flask     | 0.25                   | Gouveia et al. (2017)            |
| SCCAP 1761 | 0.047                               | 0.011                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
| Showna   | 0.146                                | 0.072                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.140                                | 0.035                               | Bubble column   | 0.40                   | Gouveia et al. (2017)            |
|          | 0.125                                | 0.036                               | Shake flask     | 0.50                   | Eroglu et al. (2011)             |
| Bot22    | 0.132                                | 0.068                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.080                                | 0.046                               | Carboy          | 10.00                  | Mehta et al. (2019)              |
| CCALA 779 | 0.042                                | 0.007                               | Bubble column   | 1.50                   | This study                       |
|          | 0.080                                | 0.008                               | Shake flask     | 0.25                   | Gouveia et al. (2017)            |
feasibility of the milking process for the respective strain could only be assessed by milking over a longer period of time. Nevertheless, the Showa strain, which has not previously been used for repetitive long-term milking, appears to be the best candidate due to its good growth, high lipid content, and solvent compatibility. In addition, it was discovered that nutrient consumption of this strain is the lowest among the strains studied and that it favors the feasibility of the entire process. Strain Bot22 also seems to be very suitable for the process of milking and its performance during long-term milking over 80 days with 16 extraction days, and a lipid yield of 12 mg L⁻¹ day⁻¹ has already been demonstrated (Moheimani et al. 2014). For further investigations on the milking process, these two strains should be examined in more detail.
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