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ABSTRACT: Triplet−triplet annihilation photon upconversion (TTA-UC) is a process in which triplet excitons combine to form emissive singlets and holds great promise in biological applications and for improving the spectral match in solar energy conversion. While high TTA-UC quantum yields have been reported for, for example, red-to-green TTA-UC systems, there are only a few examples of visible-to-ultraviolet (UV) transformations in which the quantum yield reaches 10%. In this study, we investigate the performance of six annihilators when paired with the sensitizer 2,3,5,6-tetra(9H-carbazol-9-yl)benzonitrile (4CzBN), a purely organic compound that exhibits thermally activated delayed fluorescence. We report a record-setting internal TTA-UC quantum yield ($\Phi_{UC}$) of 16.8% (out of a 50% maximum) for 1,4-bis((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)naphthalene, demonstrating the first example of a visible-to-UV TTA-UC system approaching the classical spin-statistical limit of 20%. Three other annihilators, of which 2,5-diphenylfuran has never been used for TTA-UC previously, also showed impressive performances with $\Phi_{UC}$ above 12%. In addition, a new method to determine the rate constant of TTA is proposed, in which only time-resolved emission measurements are needed, circumventing the need for more challenging transient absorption measurements. The results reported herein represent an important step toward highly efficient visible-to-UV TTA-UC systems that hold great potential for driving high-energy photochemical reactions.

INTRODUCTION

Unconventional strategies for expanding the use of solar energy have attracted significant attention in recent years. Using photon upconversion (UC), in which low-energy photons are combined to form high-energy light, it is expected that the conventional limits in photovoltaics can be shifted upward. This process has also been utilized in contexts of, for example, optogenetics, targeted drug-delivery, photocatalysis, and photochemistry.

For solar applications, the mechanism called triplet−triplet annihilation photon UC (TTA-UC) is of specific interest as this process functions under low-intensity, noncoherent light. By using a donor, or sensitizer, species in conjunction with a fluorescent annihilator, triplets generated by the sensitizer from incident long-wavelength light may be converted into a highly energetic singlet state within the annihilator species in a spin-allowed TTA process. This scheme has been demonstrated for many different spectral ranges and with a variety of compounds, spanning purely organic systems, nanocrystals, metallic complexes, and metal–organic frameworks to name a few.

The most success in terms of UC efficiencies has been obtained in the visible region. In particular, red-to-blue TTA-UC systems have been reported with UC quantum yields ($\Phi_{UC}$) as high as 42% (out of a theoretical maximum of 50% owing to the two-to-one nature of the UC process), while other spectral regions have proven more challenging. Upconverting near-infrared or infrared light to the visible region, which is especially important for biological and photovoltaic applications, have seen much lower efficiencies with a $\Phi_{UC}$ of 8% at best. Similarly, the performance of visible-to-ultraviolet (vis-to-UV) TTA-UC systems suffers from limited efficiencies. Significant progress has however been made recently, with reports on a $\Phi_{UC}$ of around 10% for three different systems. Still, there is no fundamental reason as to why much higher efficiencies would not be possible.
Only a few UV-emitting species have been employed in TTA-UC to date, with 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO) arguably gaining the most attention.\(^{14,30-35}\) Pioneering work by the Castellano group dating back to 2009 employed PPO together with biacetyl, albeit with very low efficiencies.\(^{14}\) It is only as of 2021 that a system employing PPO surpassed 10% in $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$, which was achieved by pairing PPO with a cadmium sulfide nanocrystal sensitizer decorated with 3-phenanthrene carboxylic acid.\(^{30}\) The 10% limit has also been surpassed by pairing an iridium complex or a ketocoumarin derivative with 1,4-bis((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)naphthalene (TIPS-Naph), systems which also demonstrate low threshold excitation intensities ($I_{\text{th}}$).\(^{28,29}\) Other annihilators previously investigated include other naphthalene and oxazole derivatives,\(^{8,30,36}\) species from the terphenyl family,\(^{13,37}\) and as of recently also a biphenyl derivative with the capability to emit light beyond 4 eV.\(^{38}\)

The spin-statistical factor, $f$, gives the probability that an excited annihilator triplet state ultimately ends up as a singlet excited state following TTA. In an annihilator species in which the second triplet excited state ($T_2$) is energetically accessible during TTA, $f$ takes the value of 2/5 for strongly exchange-coupled triplet pairs, which caps the internal $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$ to 20%.\(^{39}\) Annihilators yielding significantly higher $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$ such as a few based on perylene,\(^{28,40}\) have been shown to have $f \approx 1$ since $T_2$ has too high energy to be populated following TTA. This classical way of approaching the spin-statistical factor has recently been questioned, suggesting that a broader range of values could be achieved, which depends on, for example, the nature of the initially formed triplet pair states.\(^{39}\)

In this study, we aim to shine light on the fundamental aspects currently limiting vis-to-UV TTA-UC. A thorough and systematic investigation of both known, relatively efficient, annihilator species as well as two compounds that have not been used in this context previously has been performed. The six annihilators used here are paired with a high triplet energy thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF)-type sensitizer, allowing for efficient population of also highly energetic annihilator triplet states. We show that also vis-to-UV TTA-UC systems may approach the spin-statistical limit of 20%. Specifically, employing TIPS-Naph as the annihilator species yields a record-setting internal $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$ of 16.8% (out of a 50% maximum), which is a significant improvement on the previously best performing vis-to-UV TTA-UC systems.\(^{28-30}\)

High internal $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$ values are also obtained for PPO (14.0%), 2,5-diphenylfuran (PPF, 13.0%), a compound never used for vis-to-UV TTA-UC before, and for $p$-terphenyl (TP, 12.6%), a compound which emits much deeper in the UV region. The performances of the remaining systems are also evaluated, and the intrinsic properties governing the TTA-UC process are obtained and analyzed. Further, we discuss what implications these findings have and what obstacles still need to be overcome in order to improve these systems for future application in photochemical settings.

## RESULTS

### Photophysical Characterization

The annihilators under investigation herein are presented in Figure 1A alongside their respective absorption and fluorescence spectra. PPO, TIPS-Naph, TP, and 2,5-diphenyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole (PPD) have all...
been used for TTA-UC previously, while PPF and 2-phenylindene (2PI), to the best of our knowledge, are demonstrated as annihilators for the first time. These compounds all emit UV light efficiently, albeit with nonunity quantum yields (Table 1), but their respective first singlet and triplet excited state energies are quite different, spanning 3.5–4.0 eV (singlets) and 2.1–2.8 eV (triplets, Table 1). Even though this study is primarily conducted in toluene as the solvent, the absorption spectra in Figure 1A are measured in tetrahydrofuran (THF) since toluene absorption interferes with the spectral shape of annihilator absorption below 290 nm. To make comparison between annihilator species as feasible as possible, we chose to use only one sensitizer. While cadmium sulfide nanocrystals have previously been used to sensitize high triplet energy annihilators such as PPD,30 their notoriuously complex photophysics,44 the need for additional mediating compounds,32,33 and suboptimal performance when paired with annihilators with elevated triplet energies30 caused us to search for molecular sensitizers with the capability to sensitize all annihilators used herein.

We focused our attention on the recently emerging group of conventional TADF sensitizers13,37,45 for their highest energy peak position of phosphorescence collected at 77 K in MTHF or collected from the literature when applicable. Fluorescence quantum yield of optically dilute samples, $\Phi_{flu}$, was determined to be 0.93.31 First singlet excited state energy, determined from the intersection of normalized absorption and fluorescence spectra. $^a$First triplet excited state energy, determined from the highest energy peak position of phosphorescence collected at 77 K in MTHF or collected from the literature when applicable. Fluorescence quantum yield of optically dilute samples, $\Phi_{flu}$, was determined to be 0.93.31 $^b$Reference 42. $^c$Reference 43. $^d$Reference 28.

### Table 1. Photophysical Properties of the Investigated Annihilators

| Annihilator | $S_1$ (eV) $^a$ | $T_1$ (eV) $^b$ | $\Phi_{flu}$ $^c$ |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| PPD         | 3.99           | 2.82           | 0.88            |
| TP          | 3.98           | 2.62           | 0.92            |
| 2PI         | 3.71           | 2.22           | 0.85            |
| PPO         | 3.67           | 2.40           | 0.78            |
| PPF         | 3.59           | 2.28           | 0.79            |
| TIPS-Naph   | 3.53           | 2.12           | 0.77            |

$^a$First singlet excited state energy, determined from the intersection of normalized absorption and fluorescence spectra. $^b$First triplet excited state energy, determined from the highest energy peak position of phosphorescence collected at 77 K in MTHF or collected from the literature when applicable. Fluorescence quantum yield of optically dilute samples, $\Phi_{flu}$, was determined to be 0.93.31 $^c$Reference 42. $^d$Reference 43. $^e$Reference 28.

The photophysics of organic TADF compounds has been thoroughly investigated by others,35–58 and the key processes of a conventional TADF compound are depicted in the left part of Figure 1C. Upon excitation, the first singlet excited state can decay either nonradiatively or by prompt fluorescence. Because intersystem crossing (ISC) is quite strong in these purely organic molecules, TADF compounds also populate their triplet state efficiently via ISC. $\Delta E_{S\rightarrow T}$ then dictates how fast reverse ISC (rISC) proceeds, which together with the rates for nonradiative triplet decay and phosphorescence dictates the lifetime of the triplet state. The recycling of singlet and triplet states ultimately results in TADF from the singlet state, typically on the microsecond timescale. If molecular oxygen ($O_2$) is present, the triplet state will be efficiently quenched, and no TADF will be observed.54

4CzBN exhibits both prompt fluorescence and TADF in toluene. The fluorescence quantum yield ($\Phi_{PF}$) and lifetime ($\tau_{PF}$) of the prompt (PF) component were determined from measurements in air-saturated samples, while the delayed (DF) component was readily observed in oxygen-free samples. The total $\Phi_{PF}$ of 4CzBN was determined to be 0.64, with $\Phi_{PF}$ and $\Phi_{DF}$ being 0.11 and 0.53, respectively, well in accordance with previous studies on 4CzBN.52,58 $\tau_{PF}$ showed minor susceptibility to the presence of oxygen, decreasing from 2.34 ns in oxygen-free solution to 2.22 ns upon exposure to air. The lifetime of the delayed component, $\tau_{DF}$, is of particular importance in TTA-UC since it corresponds to the triplet lifetime. $\tau_{DF}$ of 4CzBN was determined to be 62 $\mu$s, which is sufficiently long to promote diffusion-controlled Dexter-type triplet energy transfer (TET)60 upon the addition of an annihilator species. This relatively long lifetime is the result of a rather large $\Delta E_{S\rightarrow T}$ of 0.28 eV, thus impeding the rate of rISC. The ISC efficiency can be estimated as 1 − $\Phi_{PF}$, yielding $\Phi_{ISC} = 0.89$. The most important photophysical parameters of 4CzBN are summarized in Table 2.

### Table 2. Photophysical Properties of 4CzBN

| 4CzBN | $\Phi_{flu}$ $^a$ | $\tau_{PF}$ (ns) $^b$ | $\tau_{DF}$ (μs) | $\Phi_{ISC}$ | $\Phi_{TET}$ | $\tau_{TET}$ (μs) | $\Delta E_{S\rightarrow T}$ (eV) |
|-------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| 4CzBN | 0.11$^{/}$/0.53$^{/}$ | 2.34$^{/}$/2.22$^{/}$ | 62.2 | 0.89 | 2.71 | 0.28 |

$^a$Fluorescence quantum yield of optically dilute samples. $\lambda_{em} = 405$ nm, determined relative to Coumarin 153 in aerated EtOH ($\Phi_{flu} = 0.53$). $^b$First triplet excited state energy, determined from the highest energy peak position of phosphorescence collected at 77 K in MTHF. Prompt component. $^c$Delayed component. $^d$In oxygen-free solution. $^e$In air-saturated solution.

**UC Characteristics.** To achieve TTA-UC, it is beneficial if the intermolecular TET process between the sensitizer and annihilator outcompetes all intramolecular processes proceeding from the triplet state of the sensitizer. Different versions of the Stern–Volmer equation have previously been used when estimating TET efficiencies from TADF compounds, and examples include using the difference between the quenched and unquenched donor total fluorescence quantum yield11 or delayed component lifetime.49 Given that the equilibrium between the singlet and triplet state in a TADF compound is perturbed upon the addition of a quencher, the methods mentioned above are riddled with assumptions that are valid only for certain compounds. To ensure that the chosen method was valid for 4CzBN, we performed simulations (Figure S2). The results indicate that probing the changes in $\tau_{PF}$ upon quenching of 4CzBN yields excellent agreement with the true TET efficiency, as given by eq S1E. Note that the definition for TET efficiency used herein includes the ISC event, that is, the maximum value for $\Phi_{TET}$ = $\Phi_{ISC}$ (eq S1E, for a more detailed discussion, see the Supporting Information, Section S2.1).

The quenching behavior was analyzed by titration series with each annihilator species, and the obtained TET rates were calculated using eq S2. The resulting $k_{TET}$ are found in Table 3 (see Figure S3 for Stern–Volmer plots). As expected, $k_{TET}$ are typically higher for the annihilators with lower-lying triplets.
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0.89 and \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) is often considered as the product of the efficiency of all steps leading up to the emission of UC light (eq 1)
\[
\Phi_{\text{UC,g}} = f \times \Phi_{\text{TET}} \times \Phi_{\text{TTA}} \times \Phi_f
\]
where \(f\) is the spin-statistical factor, \(\Phi_{\text{TET}}\) is the TET efficiency (ISC included), \(\Phi_{\text{TTA}}\) is the TTA quantum yield, and \(\Phi_f\) is the annihilator fluorescence quantum yield. Since two low-energy photons are needed to afford one highly energetic singlet, \(\Phi_{\text{TTA}}\) (and subsequently \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\)) has a theoretical maximum of 50%. Reabsorption is accounted for by using the output coupling yield, \(\Phi_{\text{out}}\), with \(\Phi_{\text{UC}} = \Phi_{\text{UC,g}} \times \Phi_{\text{out}}\).\(^6\) A lower value for \(\Phi_{\text{out}}\) indicates stronger reabsorption of UC emission by the sample. Another factor that had to be dealt with was that of sensitizer degradation. This is a common issue in vis-to-UV UC systems\(^8\) and a challenge also faced by the organic light-emitting diode (OLED) community when working with TADF materials in general.\(^6\) Upon 405 nm continuous wave (cw) excitation, 4CzBN suffered from degradation, which manifested itself both in changes of the absorption spectrum and in loss of fluorescence over time (Figure S6). When paired with an annihilator species, the UC emission intensity typically went down over time, even though efficient TET attenuated the sensitizer degradation (Figure S7).

To determine \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\), a fitting procedure that accounts for reabsorption was employed, and it is explained in detail in Section S2.3 of the Supporting Information. To our delight, all systems investigated yielded relatively high \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) with the system consisting of 4CzBN/TIPS-Naph in particular yielding a high value of 16.8% (out of a 50% maximum, see Figure 2A for the UC spectrum). This value is to the best of our knowledge the highest vis-to-UV \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) reported to date and a significant improvement on the previous record.\(^28\) The remaining systems yielded \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) values ranging from 4 to 14%, and full results are presented in Figure 2C and Table 3. It should be noted that the values achieved for TP (12.6%) and PPD (5.8%), which both emit from singlet states just shy of 4 eV, are multifold improvements on that previously reported\(^5\) and likely result from more efficient TET and, subsequently, more efficient TTA between triplets. The external \(\Phi_{\text{UC}}\) measured for our specific setup yielded \(\Phi_{\text{out}}\) between 0.7 and 0.85, resulting from significant reabsorption of the samples. In TIPS-Naph and PPF, this results from very small Stokes shifts (Figure 1A), causing ground-state annihilators to reabsorb the UC light to a larger extent than in systems with larger Stokes shifts. In PPD and TP, relatively low \(\Phi_{\text{out}}\) instead results from the pronounced absorption

(see Table 1 for triplet energies and Figure S4 for the phosphorescence of PPF), but fortunately, endothermic TET from 4CzBN is also possible, yielding \(k_{\text{TET}}\) on the order of \(10^8\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) to the high-triplet energy annihilator PPD. We note that using phosphorescence spectra of rotationally flexible molecules typically underestimates the triplet energy,\(^43\) so the energy commonly referenced for TP (2.53 eV)\(^13\)\(^37\) is therefore likely underestimated. We choose instead a value of 2.62 eV, which was obtained from quenching experiments\(^43\) and which better correlates with the relatively slow TET (\(k_{\text{TET}}\) = 4.1 \(\times\) \(10^6\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) observed from 4CzBN to TP.

With these results at hand, we investigated the TTA-UC performance of the different systems. The concentrations employed for UC measurements were 25 mM of 4CzBN and 10 mM (1 mM for 2PI and TIPS-Naph, vide infra) of the annihilator, resulting in systems with endothermic TET (i.e., TET from 4CzBN to PPD) also having \(\Phi_{\text{TET}}\) close to 89% (as calculated by eq S4). Delayed UC fluorescence could be observed from all systems upon 405 nm excitation, and the UC emission spectra of TIPS-Naph and TP are presented in Figure 2A,B. The spectral shapes are marred by the secondary inner filter effect at the high-energy end of the spectrum, which is caused by the overlap of UC emission and sample absorption. This is typically an issue in vis-to-UV UC especially, even though there are examples of sensitizers with limited UV absorption, thus somewhat mitigating this issue.\(^28\)\(^29\) The low-energy band peaking at around 440 nm is residual prompt fluorescence from 4CzBN, which is an inevitable loss-channel in all these systems. Interestingly, this feature can act as an approximate internal quantum yield reference since the prompt component of 4CzBN (with \(\Phi_p = 0.11\)) should be virtually unaffected by the addition of annihilator species. Unfortunately, sensitizer degradation during measurements (vide infra) allows only approximate \(\Phi_{\text{UC}}\) values to be obtained using the prompt component. Coumarin 153 (\(\Phi_p = 0.53\))\(^59\) was employed as an external quantum yield reference instead, ensuring high reliability when evaluating \(\Phi_{\text{UC}}\).

When evaluating the annihilators, it is the intrinsic ability to effectively convert low-energy to high-energy light that is of specific interest. The internal, or generated, UC quantum yield (referred to as \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\))\(^6\) was determined alongside that of the external quantum yield (\(\Phi_{\text{UC}}\)). The difference between these mainly lie in that secondary inner filter effects are accounted for when calculating \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\), which affect both the spectral shape and the peak intensities (Figure S5). There is some confusion in the literature regarding the use of \(\Phi_{\text{UC}}\) and \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) despite recent efforts by in particular Zhou et al. to clarify this issue and standardize the way of reporting, and using, these parameters.\(^61\) The analysis of intrinsic TTA-UC system parameters is often (erroneously) based on values of \(\Phi_{\text{UC}}\), even though \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) must be used to determine, for example, the spin-statistical factor. \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) is often considered as the product of the efficiency of all steps leading up to the emission of UC light (eq 1)

\[
\Phi_{\text{UC,g}} = f \times \Phi_{\text{TET}} \times \Phi_{\text{TTA}} \times \Phi_f
\]

Table 3. Measured Values of Yields and Rates Important in TTA-UC

| \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) | \(\Phi_{\text{UC}}\) | \(k_{\text{TET}}\) \((\text{x}10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})\) | \(\tau_f\) \((\text{ms})\) | \(I_0\) \((\text{mW cm}^{-2})\) | \(k_{\text{TTA}}\) \((\text{x}10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})\) | \(\beta_{\text{out}}\) | \(f\) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PPD | 0.058 \(\pm\) 0.044 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 4900 | 2.87 | 0.67 | 0.22 |
| TP | 0.126 \(\pm\) 0.091 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 1700 | 3.30 | 0.77 | 0.40 |
| 2PI | 0.044 \(\pm\) 0.039 | 2.1 | 0.075 | >25000 | 0.69 | 0.27 | 0.43 |
| PPO | 0.140 \(\pm\) 0.124 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 210 | 1.75 | 0.91 | 0.44 |
| PPF | 0.130 \(\pm\) 0.102 | 3.6 | 0.75 | 600 | 1.77 | 0.85 | 0.44 |
| TIPS-Naph | 0.168 \(\pm\) 0.131 | 0.80 | 2.2 | 220 | 0.62 | 0.91 | 0.54 |

\(\Phi_{\text{UC}}\) quantum yield (out of a 0.5 maximum) upon 405 nm cw excitation, determined relative to Coumarin 153 in aerated EtOH \((\Phi_p = 0.53)\)\(^59\). \(k_{\text{TET}}\) rate constant for TET from 4CzBN. Lifetime of the first triplet excited state. \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) threshold excitation intensity evaluated at \(f = 0.5\). \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) rate constant for TTA. \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) maximum value as defined by eq 3, estimated at a laser fluence of 18 W cm\(^{-2}\). \(\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}\) spin-statistical factor, calculated using eq 1 with \(\Phi_{\text{TET}} = 0.89\) and \(\Phi_{\text{TAA}} = \beta_{\text{max}}/2\).
cycles of recrystallization lead to a substantial increase in $\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}$. This could potentially explain why we see a higher external $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$ (13.1%) than in other studies using TIPS-Naph ($\Phi_{\text{UC}} \approx 10\%$), in which $\Phi_{\text{TIA}}$ is reported to be close to unity.28,29

Our group has previously investigated the locked t-stilbene compound 5,10-dihydroindeno[2,1-α]indene (I2),30 a highly fluorescent compound that unfortunately suffers from very low solubility in toluene. 2PI was chosen as a potentially more soluble equivalent to I2, and the solubility was indeed much higher. When samples containing 10 mM 2PI were used for TTA-UC, however, some light scattering was evident in the absorption. Additionally, the UC signal increased strongly over time during 405 nm excitation, reaching a maximum value after approximately 30 min (Figure S8A). The measured $\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}$ for 2PI was low (1.0%), which is a lower estimate given that the extended laser exposure not only causes the UC emission signal to increase but also the sensitizer to degrade. Upon lowering the 2PI concentration to 1 mM, the scattering decreased significantly, indicating that the observed behavior was due to 2PI not being fully solvated at 10 mM. At 1 mM, $\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}$ went up to 4.4%, and no signal increase was observed over time (Figure S8B).

**Probing Triplet Kinetics Using Time-Resolved Emission.** To understand the differences in $\Phi_{\text{UC,g}}$ between the annihilators, we examined the kinetics of the UC samples. There are several important rate constants and parameters needed to properly evaluate TTA-UC systems, for example, the annihilator triplet excited state lifetime, the TTA rate constant ($k_{\text{TFA}}$), and the excitation threshold intensity ($I_{\text{EX}}$). In the following section, we show that these can be determined from the same series of time-resolved UC emission measurements, thus circumventing the need for more challenging transient absorption measurements altogether.

A key factor dictating TTA-UC performance in solution is the annihilator triplet lifetime ($\tau_T$). A long $\tau_T$ is needed to allow annihilator triplets to diffuse and encounter, resulting in the creation of emissive singlet states via TTA. $\tau_T$ was measured using a previously developed method23,64 where the excitation intensity ($I_{\text{EX}}$) dependence on the UC emission kinetics is used (eq 2).

$$I(t) \propto \left[ \frac{[A^*]^2}{\exp(t/\tau_T) - \beta} \right]^2$$

Here, $I(t)$ is the time-dependent UC emission intensity, $[A^*]$ is the annihilator triplet concentration, $t$ is the time, and $\beta$ is a dimensionless parameter indicating the fraction of triplets that initially decay by second-order channels, as defined by eq 3. In other words, $\beta$ represents a system’s TTA efficiency (with a possible maximum of 100%), and $\Phi_{\text{TIA}}$ may be calculated as $\beta/2$ given that these are evaluated at identical experimental conditions.

$$\beta = \frac{2k_{\text{TIA}}[A^*]_0}{2k_{\text{TIA}}[A^*]_0 + k_T}$$

Here, $k_T$ ($=1/\tau_T$) is the intrinsic first-order rate constant of annihilator triplet decay and $[A^*]_0$ is the initial annihilator triplet concentration. Lowering $I_{\text{EX}}$ impedes triplet formation and subsequent TTA, thus lowering $\beta$. $k_{\text{TIA}}$ could be extracted from eq 3 if $[A^*]_0$ could be estimated. The rate constants used above also relate to the $I_{\text{EX}}$ (eq 4), which represents where

---

**Figure 2.** Steady-state emission spectrum of 25 mM 4CzBN and (A) 1 mM TIPS-Naph or (B) 10 mM TP upon 405 nm cw excitation in deoxygenated toluene. The short wavelength feature is UC fluorescence from TIPS-Naph/TP and the low-energy band is residual prompt fluorescence from 4CzBN (with $\Phi_{\text{UC}} \approx 0.11$). (C) Internal (solid) and external (striped) UC quantum yields for each annihilator when paired with 4CzBN, evaluated at a laser fluence of 18 W cm$^{-2}$. Standard deviations based on the results of three independent samples are indicated by black error bars.
the steady-state UC emission makes a transition from a quadratic dependence on \( I_{\text{EX}} \) indicating that the intrinsic decay of annihilator triplets dominate, to a linear dependence on \( I_{\text{EX}} \), indicating that TTA instead dominates.\(^{11,65}\)

\[
I_{\text{th}} = \frac{k_2^2}{2k_{TTA} \alpha [1S] \Phi_{TET}}
\]

(4)

Here, \( \alpha \) and \([1S]\) are the absorption cross-section and ground-state concentration of the sensitizer, respectively.

Our group has previously determined \( k_{TTA} \) for compounds based on 9,10-diphenylanthracene (DPA) using a method where both time-resolved emission and transient absorption measurements are needed.\(^{23,66,67}\) While the same method in principle is applicable to any system, the spectral overlap between the prompt fluorescence of 4CzBN and the \( T_1 \rightarrow T_n \) absorption of, for example, PPO complicates matters considerably for the systems used here. A new method has instead been developed, which relies solely on time-resolved emission measurements of the UC samples, thus circumventing the need for transient absorption.

Instead of using a nanosecond pulsed laser for excitation, we used a 405 nm modulated cw laser diode, which we coupled to a pulse generator. This way, we could control the exact length of the excitation pulse such that the sample emission had reached a quasi-steady-state before the excitation light was turned off and the UC emission started to decay (Figure 3A). This means that \([1A^*]_0\) can be estimated to be equal to the steady-state triplet concentration \([1A_{SS}]\) at a given \( I_{\text{EX}} \). The steady-state rate expression for \([1A_{SS}]\) is given by eq 5

\[
\frac{d[1A_{SS}]}{dT} = k_{exc} \Phi_{TET} - 2k_{TTA}[1A_{SS}]^2 - k_I[1A_{SS}] = 0
\]

(5)

The excitation rate, \( k_{exc} \), is easily estimated from the sample absorbance at the excitation wavelength and the excitation power (eq S8). Setting \([1A^*]_0 = [1A_{SS}]\), a simple and solvable equation system with only two unknowns, \( k_{TTA} \) and \([1A_{SS}]\), is obtained from eqs 3 and 5.

\[
\begin{align*}
  k_{exc} \Phi_{TET} & = 2k_{TTA}[1A_{SS}]^2 + k_I[1A_{SS}] \\
  \beta & = 2k_{TTA}[1A_{SS}]/(2k_{TTA}[1A_{SS}] + k_I)
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

Consequently, it is possible to estimate \( k_{TTA} \) using the exact same measurements that were used to determine \( \tau_T = (1/k_T) \) of the annihilators. An additional benefit is that it is now possible to directly relate \( I_{\text{th}} \) and \( \beta \). Since \( \beta \) is evaluated at \([1A_{SS}]\), \( I_{\text{th}} \) is the excitation intensity that yields \( \beta = 0.5 \).\(^{68}\) \( I_{\text{th}} \) may, thus, be estimated from only a few measurements of the UC emission decay, in which the excitation intensity is varied to yield values of \( \beta \) slightly above and below 0.5.

Measurements of the UC decay kinetics at different \( I_{\text{EX}} \) values were performed, followed by a global fitting procedure in which \( \tau_T \) was fitted to a global constant value while allowing \( \beta \) to vary (Figure 3B, see Supporting Information Section S2.4 for more details). The results show that PPO has a lifetime of 1.3 ms, which is substantially longer than that previously reported for UC systems employing PPO\(^{14,30,34}\) but close to that obtained from flash photolysis experiments.\(^{69}\) The longest lifetime was found for TIPS-Naph at 2.2 ms, resonating well with its impressive performance in terms of \( \Phi_{UC\text{g}} \). The remaining lifetimes span from 0.075 to 0.75 ms (Table 3), which are much shorter than those often found in visible emitters based on anthracene, where lifetimes on the order of several milliseconds are common.\(^{23,67}\) At high \( I_{\text{EX}} \) most annihilators still show \( \beta \) values relatively close to unity, indicating that the TTA pathway dominates at high \( I_{\text{EX}} \) (Figure S9).
To utilize TTA-UC with sunlight, it is beneficial if the system works efficiently at the solar flux at Earth’s surface, which is only a few milliwatts per squared centimeter in the wavelength region of interest here. For this purpose, all investigated systems show unsatisfactory high $I_{th}$ with values above 200 mW cm$^{-2}$ (Figures 3C,D and S10). This emanates from the fact that $\tau_T$ is quite short in these annihilators, combined with a relatively low molar absorptivity of 4CzBN at the excitation wavelength of 405 nm ($\varepsilon \approx 7000$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$).

Comparison between $I_{th}$ obtained by evaluation at $\beta = 0.5$ (Figure 3C) and the traditional evaluation of $I_{th}$ obtained from fitting the steady-state intensity to slopes 1 and 2 (Figure 3D) yields good agreement between the methods.

The $k_{TTA}$ rates were determined from the same measurements as those detailed in the Supporting Information, and the obtained rates are presented in Table 3. Interestingly, TIPS-Naph shows the lowest $k_{TTA}$ of the annihilators investigated here ($6.2 \times 10^8$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$); PPO and PPF show similar rates of around $1.75 \times 10^9$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$; while, for example, TP has an almost 2 times higher rate constant of $3.3 \times 10^9$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. We note that the measured value of $k_{TTA}$ for PPO is approximately 3 times lower than that reported previously. These results indicate that while the rate of the TTA event itself obviously affects the UC efficiency, it is the annihilator triplet lifetime that preferentially dictates the outcome. This is hardly surprising but worth reiterating, and great care should be given when evaluating especially the triplet lifetime of the annihilator.

**DISCUSSION**

TADF Sensitizers: Drawbacks and Opportunities. As is evident from this study, using TADF compounds as the sensitizers in TTA-UC holds great promise. The most obvious advantage compared to other sensitizers yielding decent $\Phi_{UC}$ in vis-to-UV TTA-UC (i.e., Ir complexes and quantum dots containing heavy metals such as Cd and Pb) is that TADF compounds are purely organic, consisting only of earth-abundant, nontoxic elements. They are, thus, well-suited for future large-scale operation, which is not the case for Ir complexes, despite possessing promising photophysical properties otherwise. Additionally, due to the OLED community’s increasing interest in TADF compounds during the last decade, there is a huge variety of available molecules with different energy levels and triplet excited state lifetimes, of which the latter in many cases are orders of magnitude longer than those found in, for example, Ir complexes.

Making the best use of existing TADF compounds in TTA-UC schemes is, however, not straight-forward. The sought-after qualities for use in OLEDs differ significantly from what is needed in a typical sensitizer, meaning that current TADF design in many cases has gravitated toward compounds not suitable for TTA-UC. One crucial benefit in both contexts is...
the access to small singlet-triplet energy splittings ($\Delta E_{S-T}$). In OLEDs, the excited states are created by means of electricity, and the resulting distribution is dictated by spin statistics, leading to 75% triplets and 25% singlets (Figure 4A).\textsuperscript{55} Highly efficient rISC to generate a higher fraction of emissive singlets is, thus, one of the most important properties of TADF compounds in the context of OLEDs and is a process that is sped up in molecules with small $\Delta E_{S-T}$ (generally, $k_{\text{rISC}} \propto \exp(-\Delta E_{S-T}/k_B T)$).\textsuperscript{53} In TTA-UC, a small $\Delta E_{S-T}$ enables larger apparent anti-Stokes shifts since the initial energy loss during the ISC event is smaller than that in typical sensitizers containing heavy metals.\textsuperscript{13} Once the triplet has been populated, it is instead beneficial if rISC is inefficient since the generated exciton should be transferred to the annihilator instead of returning to the singlet manifold. A too small $\Delta E_{S-T}$ might therefore inhibit efficient TET even if the annihilator concentration is kept high.\textsuperscript{13,38} An intermediate $\Delta E_{S-T}$ (0.1 eV $< \Delta E_{S-T} < 0.2$ eV), enabling relatively large apparent anti-Stokes shifts and slow rISC simultaneously, should be favored. Even smaller $\Delta E_{S-T}$ could potentially be used by invoking strategies in which the rISC process is slowed down by clever molecular design.\textsuperscript{71} The TET event is further limited by the amount of prompt fluorescence in systems with TADF-type sensitizers. Contrary to what is wanted for OLED applications, the prompt fluorescence quantum yield should be as low as possible in TTA-UC settings to promote efficient TET (Figure 4B).

Recently, some progress in this area has been made. Wei et al. reported two new multiresonance TADF sensitizers, which when paired with TIPS-Naph or a derivative thereof afforded green-to-UV TTA-UC for the first time.\textsuperscript{55} While a relatively modest $\Phi_{\text{UC}}$ value of 3.8% is reported, they managed to reach a low $I_0$ of 9.2 mW cm$^{-2}$. Part of the success is ascribed to the high molar extinction that was determined for these sensitizers ($\epsilon > 10^5$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$), enabled by limiting their structural flexibility and by including electron-deficient boron covalently bonded to the donor units.\textsuperscript{45} 4CzBN possess several of the sought-after properties of a sensitizer, with weak prompt fluorescence, a long-lived delayed component, and slow rISC (Figure 4B). Its major drawback is that for most systems unnecessarily high singlet and triplet energies, which forbid excitation at wavelengths $>430$ nm, leading to significant energy loss during ISC and TET. Additionally, some photo instability of the UC samples was detected, which was ascribed to the degradation of 4CzBN, an issue that can be alleviated by the addition of bulky substituents.\textsuperscript{52} Finding complementary compounds with similar characteristics to 4CzBN but with lower excited state energies will be needed to further improve green-to-UV UC, which is especially interesting for solar applications given the vast amounts of green light in the solar spectrum.

Considerations on Annihilator Design. Novel TADF sensitizers can contribute to the improvement of vis-to-UV TTA-UC systems, but what is perhaps even more crucial is the pursuit of new annihilators.\textsuperscript{28,45} Design principles that hold true for annihilators in general must obviously be upheld, such as high $\Phi_{\text{F}}$ and a long $\tau_p$, but for UV-emitting systems, additional considerations should be taken into account. As touched upon previously, many vis-to-UV TTA-UC systems suffer from low photostability, which follows from the relatively high energy of the states involved. This aspect has recently been investigated in greater detail by Murakami et al., gaining important insights into how the energy levels of the sensitizer and annihilator affect the photostability of TTA-UC systems in solution.\textsuperscript{36} They observed a correlation between the main degradation pathway and the energy difference between the LUMO levels of the annihilator and solvent. In our study, we found no evidence of annihilator degradation during UC experiments, and we primarily ascribe the slight decrease in UC emission over time to sensitizer degradation.

Another aspect that is especially relevant for vis-to-UV TTA-UC is the exaggerated thermodynamic driving force for TTA typically found in UV-emitting species. This is the case for the compounds investigated herein: $2 \times E(T_1) - E(S_1) \geq 0.7$ eV for all species, with PPD in particular having a driving force of almost 1.6 eV. If this substantial energy loss could be mitigated, substantially larger apparent anti-Stokes shifts could be realized. The relative lowering of the triplet energies should perhaps be the primary focus as this would enable excitation at longer wavelengths than those currently possible. A few studies have investigated substituent effects on the energetic landscape of polyacene emitters,\textsuperscript{67,73} with the study by Fallon et al. specifically showing that the first excited singlet state can be lowered by adding TIPS substituents while keeping the first triplet excited state relatively constant.\textsuperscript{72} Such modifications may be useful for other spectral ranges, but the effect is the opposite of what is required to improve vis-to-UV TTA-UC. A recent study by Zähringer et al. reported a new annihilator with a highly energetic $S_1$ state at 4.04 eV.\textsuperscript{38} Surprisingly, this compound also exhibits a relatively low-lying $T_1$ state calculated to lie at 2.48 eV, enabling excitation with 447 nm light. It was not detailed by the authors why $T_1$ had such a low energy, but their results indicate that substituent effects still could be of interest when modulating excited-state energies for vis-to-UV TTA-UC.

Controlling not only the energy of $T_1$ but also that of $T_2$ is of significance. In molecules, such as perylene and rubrene, in which the spin-statistical factor $f$ has been determined to lie above the commonly encountered value of 2/5,\textsuperscript{45,73} with the energy difference $2 \times E(T_1) - E(T_2) < 0$. In perylene, this difference is strongly negative, efficiently shutting down the creation of the $T_2$ state upon TTA, causing $f$ to approach unity.\textsuperscript{40} In rubrene, however, $f$ is reported to lie around 0.6 in solution,\textsuperscript{73} and the creation of $T_2$ during TTA is only slightly endothermic.\textsuperscript{39} A recent study by Bossanyi et al. verifies that $T_2$ is formed during TTA in rubrene but that the energy alignment between $T_2$ and $S_1$ allows fast high-level rISC (HL-rISC) from $T_2$ to $S_1$ to occur, outcompeting nonradiative decay from $T_2$ to $T_1$.\textsuperscript{39} HL-rISC has also been found in anthracene derivatives (not DPA however)\textsuperscript{74} and should be considered as a potential avenue to increase $f$ beyond 2/5. This pathway is very sensitive to the precise alignment of $S_\mu$, $T_1$, and $T_2$ energies, and the study by Bossanyi et al. suggests that in cases where $2 \times E(T_1) - E(T_2)$ approaches zero, $f$ may in fact approach unity in molecules where HL-rISC occurs. Finally, from simulations, the same study states that intermolecular geometry can affect $f$, with parallel geometries giving rise to higher values. For the annihilators used herein, $2 \times E(T_1) - E(T_2)$ is expected to be much greater than zero. Additionally, $S_1$ is expected to lie several hundreds of millielectron volts above $T_2$ for most annihilators (Table S2), suggesting that HL-rISC is inefficient in these molecules. Most of the investigated annihilators show an expected value of approximately 0.4, but our results also indicate that $f$ takes a larger value than 2/5 in TIPS-Naph (0.54) but a lower value for PPD (0.22, Table 3). While the reason for this is unclear, we note that the calculated
S\textsubscript{1} energy of TIPS-Naph lie approximately 100 meV below that of T\textsubscript{2} (Table S2). This energy alignment could potentially enable exothermic HL-rISC from T\textsubscript{2} to S\textsubscript{1} in TIPS-Naph, which would explain the higher \( f \) value. Regardless, it is obvious that the spin-statistical factor is still not fully understood and that efforts to elucidate the true nature of it will be needed to predict and design efficient annihilators.

## CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we show that the internal UC quantum yield of visible-to-UV TTA-UC systems may approach the often-encountered spin-statistical limit of 20%. We do so by pairing six different annihilators with the purely organic, high triplet energy sensitizers 4CzBN that exhibits efficient ISC and a long triplet lifetime. The results show that the TTA-UC pair 4CzBN/TIPS-Naph achieve a record-setting 16.8% internal UC quantum yield (out of a 50% maximum), and high internal quantum yields are reached when using PPO (14.0%), PPF (13.0%), or TP (12.6%) as annihilators as well. We also show that the same set of time-resolved emission measurements can be used to determine the annihilator triplet lifetime, the rate constant of TTA, and the threshold excitation intensity, all of which are important parameters to probe when evaluating TTA-UC systems. The importance of having long-lived annihilator triplets is reinforced as our results show that both the TTA-UC quantum yield and the threshold excitation intensity benefits from using 4CzBN as the sensitizer limits the achievable anti-Stokes shifts, and our results are discussed in the context of extending the excitation wavelength further into the visible region. The development of high-efficiency vis-to-UV TTA-UC systems will require both new sensitizer and annihilator compounds, and finding avenues to control and alter the singlet and triplet energy levels of these will be crucial in order to combine high efficiencies with, for example, excitation with green light.
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#### ADDITIONAL NOTE

“In the literature, both external and internal UC quantum yields are reported, of which the latter compensates for secondary inner-filter effects. The difference between these is further discussed in the Results section.
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