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Abstract

This study investigates the associations between three forms of discrimination, race and ethnicity, general medical mistrust, health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs, and adherence to COVID-19 protective behaviors. Participants (n = 963) completed an online survey during May 2020, when stay-at-home orders and other government mandates were implemented in many states. Results indicate that everyday discrimination and healthcare discrimination were associated with significantly higher general medical mistrust, and healthcare discrimination and structural discrimination were associated with higher endorsement of health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs. Higher endorsement of health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs, but not general medical mistrust, was associated with significantly lower engagement in health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs. This study helps to contextualize racial health disparities during the COVID-19 pandemic and the widespread impact of everyday, structural, and healthcare discrimination in society.
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COVID-19 has highlighted the systemic health and social inequalities affecting minoritized racial groups [1]. Some government officials and other Americans have racialized COVID-19 itself as the “Chinese” or “Wuhan” virus, a form of scapegoating which has given rise to several instances of xenophobic rhetoric and racist attacks on Asian and Asian American people [2, 3]. In addition, historically marginalized communities are shouldering the greatest burden of the disease at disproportionate rates [1, 4–9]. Price-Haywood and colleagues (2020) examined the hospitalization and mortality rates among Black and White patients who contracted the virus at Ochsner Health Center in Louisiana and found that 76.9% of hospitalized patients and 70.6% of patient deaths were Black, even though Black patients only made up 31% of the hospital’s population [4]. Black, American Indian, and Latinx individuals are also hospitalized for COVID-19 at higher rates than their Asian and White counterparts [10–12]. These minoritized racial groups’ infection and mortality rates are substantially higher than their proportion to the population [7]. The CDC has indicated several potential reasons for these racial health disparities such as the occupation, housing, education, and wealth gap [1]. Many of these factors are symptoms of systemic inequalities in American society and may reflect structural and individual-level experiences of discrimination, poverty, and socioeconomic status [1, 13].

While recent studies have highlighted the existence of racial disparities in COVID-19, it is important to consider how factors such as discrimination and medical mistrust might contextualize these disparities [14]. Accordingly, the current study investigates whether race and ethnicity and experiences of discrimination—including healthcare, everyday (i.e., microaggressions), or structural discrimination—are associated with individuals’ medical mistrust (general and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs) which is defined as the belief or expectation that a medical professional will act against one’s best interest or well-being [15–17]. We further investigate how these factors relate to adherence to COVID-19 protective behavior. An improved understanding of these social determinants may further raise awareness of inequalities and health disparities afflicting vulnerable populations.
Race, Discrimination, and Medical Mistrust

Racial health disparities have been well-documented amidst the COVID-19 pandemic; however, such disparities are not specific to COVID-19. Black individuals are disproportionately affected by conditions such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes, compared to their White counterparts [18–20]. Given that race is a social construct [21–23], it is essential to identify social determinants and structures that underlie these disparities [24, 25]. Multiple studies note that societal and physical environments within systems, including discriminatory interpersonal interactions, have a large influence on individuals’ health and health behavior [26–28]. To better understand how race and discrimination are associated with health disparities, we must consider both the historical and modern-day context in which these factors operate and the implications they have for mistrust of systems including the medical institution [29].

Medical mistrust is an umbrella term encompassing both general feelings of mistrust in the medical institution as a whole [30] and mistrust specific to one disease or context (e.g., COVID-19) [31]. Medical mistrust broadly as well as mistrust specific to COVID-19 is important to consider when seeking to understand racial disparities and health outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic. Studies have reported higher levels of general medical mistrust among Black and Latinx communities compared to White communities [32–34]. Further, compared to White patients, Black patients report lower trust of physicians [35], and Latinx patients report higher fear of being tested on unwillingly [36]. The mistrust experienced within these communities is essential to address, as these groups are likely to experience harmful health behaviors linked to medical mistrust such as poorer management of health conditions [37], lower adherence to prescriptions and medical recommendations [29, 35], lower utilization of healthcare services [38, 39], and decreased participation in preventative care [38].

The root cause of mistrust of the healthcare system by minoritized groups is multifactorial [40]. Many studies have linked Black Americans’ mistrust to knowledge of historical medical malpractice such as the Tuskegee experiments that took place between 1932 and 1972 [36, 41], but medical abuse of minoritized racial groups was pervasive both before and after this experiment [25, 42]. Some notable examples include Dr. Sims’ experimentation on enslaved Black women in order to “treat” vesicovaginal fistula without anesthesia in the 1840s [25]; the involuntary sterilization of Black women in the 1960s, termed Mississippi Appendectomy [25]; experimenting on Black women to perfect the C-section in the 1830s [25]; involuntarily injecting inmates with HeLa cells to test cancer immunity in the 1950s [43]; and the involuntary testing of artificial blood on patients in the 2000s [25]. Medical malpractice and scientific racism still plague modern medicine today. In 2020, aboriginal women in Canada and women detained at the US border were subjected to involuntary sterilization [44–46]. These extreme events are not the sole example of contemporary malpractice. For instance, physicians are less likely to prescribe pain medication to Black patients, potentially due to a widespread inaccurate belief that Black individuals have a higher pain tolerance than other racial groups [47]. Black patients are also more likely to receive lower quality care for heart disease, cancer, HIV, and prenatal care than their White counterparts, if they are treated at all [48, 49]. These past and ongoing events significantly reduce Black patients’ trust in medical professionals and medical institutions [25]. In the current study, we expect that marginalized racial groups, in particular Black Americans given this historical and modern-day context, will report greater medical mistrust.

Health-specific conspiracy theory beliefs have been cited as a facet of medical mistrust [31]. These conspiracy theories are characterized by the belief that medical organizations or the government is planning to do something harmful to certain groups [15, 50]. For example, during the HIV/AIDS pandemic, conspiracy theories suggested the virus was invented to kill Black communities [51]. People who endorse these conspiracy theories may also believe that the government has the cure to cancer, but they are not telling citizens [50]. Similar theories were endorsed for Ebola [31] and now COVID-19. When COVID-19 first began in the United States, some conspiracy theorists believed it was a biological weapon made by the Chinese government or a virus made to control the population [50]. Although some of these conspiracy beliefs may sound outlandish to those who do not endorse them, it is important to note that there are reasons why people believe them. These beliefs are rooted in the same structural inequalities outlined above that lead to more general forms of medical mistrust, often reflecting longstanding power differentials between higher and lower status groups [15, 52]. Davis and colleagues (2018) noted race-based conspiracy beliefs are inspired by conspiracies that have been proven to be true such as the Tuskegee experiment and the eugenics movement, implying that some conspiracy beliefs are grounded in historical reality [53]. Accordingly, in the current study, we aim to investigate the influence of race and experiences of discrimination on the endorsement of conspiracy beliefs specific to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Experiences of discrimination are central to understanding the potential association between race and individuals’ experiences of medical mistrust, including the endorsement of COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs. Extant research shows that past discrimination inside and outside of the medical sphere drives mistrust [35, 51, 54, 55]. Specific to healthcare settings,
Shavers and colleagues (2012) found that both Latinx and Black patients experienced discrimination, such as being treated after a White patient despite waiting longer, medical personnel attending to White patients and ignoring Latinx patients, having different visitation rules for Latinx and White families, allowing White patients to be seen despite not having an appointment, and Black women being referred to as "you people" when being treated [33]. These experiences of discrimination have been associated with both general medical mistrust and conspiracy beliefs in Black and Latinx communities [53, 56, 57]. In fact, one study found that perceived racism in healthcare settings was the most robust predictor of Black men’s medical mistrust, even after controlling for various background and health-relevant experiences [38]. More broadly, research also indicates that structural and everyday discrimination are more prevalent among minoritized racial groups, Black Americans in particular [25, 58].

Consequently, both structural discrimination and everyday discrimination are associated with increased medical mistrust and the endorsement of health-related conspiracy beliefs [54, 57, 59]. Many studies have evaluated discrimination as a singular construct [37, 60]. Some exceptions have found that everyday discrimination, perceived racism in healthcare, and medical mistrust jointly contribute to preventive health screening delays among Black men [30]. Although everyday, structural, and healthcare discrimination are interrelated, they represent a range of experiences that exist within multiple levels and systems within our society [58, 61]. Therefore, in the current study, we consider each of these forms of discrimination separately. Given that limited research has evaluated these different constructs representing discrimination separately, we do not advance hypotheses regarding their relative impact on general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs.

Most studies that have focused on racial disparities and medical mistrust tended to concentrate on Black and Latinx communities and have been framed as comparisons to non-Latinx White Americans [62]. However, fewer studies directly assess mistrust in the Asian and American Indian communities, despite also experiencing discrimination in the United States [63–66]. Existing research indicates that American Indian individuals report higher levels of medical mistrust and lower satisfaction with healthcare compared to White participants [67], whereas research on medical mistrust among Asian communities seems to be even more limited [68]. It is also important to acknowledge the intersection of race and ethnicity and consider individuals who hold multiple marginalized identities (e.g., Black-Latinx individuals) when evaluating various forms of discrimination, medical mistrust, and belief in conspiracy theories. Based on research and the context of discrimination in American society [69], we expect to find that Black participants will report the highest rates of discrimination, general medical mistrust, and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs. Due to the lack of literature examining discrimination and medical mistrust, we do not advance hypotheses regarding specific group comparisons, but expect that other marginalized racial groups (e.g., Latinx, American Indian) will also report experiences of past discrimination and endorse general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs.

Implications of Medical Mistrust and COVID-19 Conspiracy Theory Beliefs

Although the acknowledgement and understanding of racist historical medical events, current medical events, and discrimination partially explain medical mistrust, it is necessary to recognize how this negatively affects marginalized communities [37, 70]. Medical mistrust is not the fault of the communities affected; rather, fault lies with the overlapping systems of discrimination that result in mistrust [37, 70]. It is imperative to acknowledge that health outcomes and behavioral manifestations of this mistrust are also a reflection of these systems of discrimination. In the context of COVID-19, it is therefore possible that greater medical mistrust, including greater endorsement of COVID-19 conspiracy theories, could result in reduced adherence to protective behavior such as maintaining physical distance, avoiding larger gatherings, and wearing a mask. In other words, a lack of trust in the government, healthcare providers, and other agencies of authority, partially due to negative and discriminatory experiences with these systems in the past, may result in individuals disbelieving or ignoring guidelines and recommendations to prevent against COVID-19 infection and transmission. Better understanding these associations between race, various forms of discrimination, medical mistrust, and engagement in COVID-19 protective behavior will ultimately provide greater insight into the context for racial and ethnic health disparities. Further, raising awareness of medical mistrust and belief in conspiracy theories within marginalized groups could lead to a more nuanced understanding of what future interventions could target in medical institutions to gain back the trust of marginalized groups in America.

Data and Methods

Sampling and Procedures

Data collection took place during the first 2 weeks of May 2020, when most states enacted stay-at-home orders and other government mandates [71, 72]. Participants were recruited from Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk) using CloudResearch pro features to enhance data quality. Participants were eligible if they were 18 years of age or older.
and lived in the United States. Interested participants were directed to the survey hosted on Qualtrics and were provided with an IRB-approved explanation of research and, if they consented to participate, proceeded with the study. A total of 990 participants completed the survey.

**Measures**

**Race and Ethnicity**

Participants self-identified their race with the option to specify their origin (e.g., if a participant identified as American Indian, they were able to specify Navajo Nation) although national origin categories were collapsed by race for analyses (e.g., if participant identified as Japanese, they were coded as Asian). Participants were also asked to specify whether or not they identified as Hispanic/Latinx and, if so, specify their origin (e.g., Puerto Rican). Individuals who identified with multiple racial identities were coded in accordance with the algorithm developed by the Census Bureau and used by the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions-III (NESARC-III) for coding multiracial individuals with the exception of the coding of Hispanic/Latinx individuals [73]. White and Black participants were separated by Hispanic/Latinx ethnic identity, but other racial groups were not due to low frequencies, and participants who identified with another race and Hispanic/Latinx identity were coded only as the race they reported for the purposes of this study (e.g., Asian and Hispanic/Latinx were coded as Asian).

**Socioeconomic Status**

Socioeconomic status was assessed with three items. First, participants reported their annual household income on a scale from “Less than $10,000” to “$100,000” in $10,000 increments (e.g., $30,000–$39,999). For analyses, income was dichotomized such that 0 = less than $50,000 and 1 = $50,000 or higher. Participants also reported their highest level of completed education, ranging from “Did not complete high school” to “Professional degree (MD, DDS., JD, etc.) or doctoral degree (PhD).” For analyses, education was dichotomized such that 0 = less than bachelor’s degree and 1 = bachelor’s degree or higher. Finally, participants reported their subjective social status on a scale from 1 to 10 using the MacArthur Scale of Subjective Social Status [74]. Each indicator of socioeconomic status was included separately as a covariate in the full path model analysis.

**Everyday Discrimination**

Participants’ experiences of everyday discrimination were assessed using the Everyday Discrimination Scale (EDS) [61], which assesses the frequency of 9 common experiences of discrimination (e.g., threatened or harassed, treated with less respect than other people) on a scale ranging from 1 = never to 6 = almost every day. Scores across the 9 items were averaged such that higher scores indicate a greater frequency of discrimination (alpha = 0.95). For participants who reported any discrimination experiences, a tenth item assessed their primary attribution for their experiences, such as “ancestry or national origin,” “race,” and “skin color.”

**Structural Discrimination**

Participants’ experiences of structural discrimination were assessed using the Major Experiences of Discrimination Scale (MEDS) [61], which assesses the frequency of 9 common experiences of discrimination reflective of structural racism, e.g., denial of a promotion and discouragement from continuing education. To be consistent with the EDS, participants reported the frequency of each experience on a scale ranging from 1 = never to 6 = almost every day. Scores across the 9 items were averaged such that higher scores indicate a greater frequency of discrimination (alpha = 0.96). For participants who reported any discrimination experiences, a tenth item assessed their primary attribution for their experiences, such as “ancestry or national origin,” “race,” and “skin color.”

**Healthcare Discrimination**

Healthcare discrimination attributed to race and ethnicity, and income and education level was assessed with four items: (1) How often have you experienced discrimination in ability to obtain healthcare because of your race or ethnicity? (2) How often have you experienced discrimination in ability to obtain healthcare because of your income or education level? (3) How often have you experienced discrimination in ability to obtain healthcare because of your race or ethnicity? (4) How often have you experienced discrimination in ability to obtain healthcare because of your income or education level? Race/ethnicity items are taken from the NESARC-III [73]. Items were assessed on a 5-point scale with response options ranging from 1 = never to 5 = very often and were averaged with higher scores indicating more frequent race/ethnicity-based healthcare discrimination (alpha = 0.90).

**General Medical Mistrust**

Medical mistrust was assessed with the 7-item Medical Mistrust Index (MMI) in which participants rated their agreement with statements (e.g., “You’d better be cautious when dealing with healthcare organizations”) reflecting mistrust in medical organizations on a scale from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree [39]. Scores across the 7 items were averaged such that higher scores are indicative of more medical mistrust (alpha = 0.85).
Health-Specific COVID-19 Conspiracy Beliefs

Participants’ endorsement of COVID-19 conspiracy theories was assessed with an adapted version of a measure previously used to assess conspiracy beliefs related to the HIV and Ebola pandemics [31, 75]. Participants rated their agreement with four statements (e.g., “There is a cure for COVID-19, but the government isn’t telling us.”) on a scale from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree. Scores across the items were averaged such that higher scores are indicative of higher endorsement of COVID-19 conspiracy theories (alpha = 0.90).

COVID-19 Protective Behavior

Participants’ use of COVID-19 protective behaviors was assessed with a checklist of eight behavioral strategies, seven of which were provided based on the recommendations given by the CDC at the time [12] (e.g., “Avoid crowded places,” “Wear protective gear such as a face mask or gloves,” “Isolate yourself if you have symptoms,” “Stay at home/avoid going out in public,” “Keep your distance from others (at least 6 feet),” “Quarantine yourself even if you don’t have symptoms,” and “Change school or work arrangements”) and an eighth option for participants to identify additional strategies used. Given that one strategy, “Isolate yourself if you have symptoms,” was only possible if participants had experienced symptoms, only endorsement of either this item or “Quarantine yourself even if you don’t have symptoms” (but not both) was included in participants’ total count score. In other words, if participants selected neither of these two options, it counted as zero checks, and if they chose either or both options, it counted as one—such that scores for COVID-19 protective behaviors could range from 0 to 7.

Analytic Plan

As an initial step, descriptive statistics including means and standard deviations and bivariate correlations for discrimination, medical mistrust, conspiracy beliefs, and COVID-19 distancing behaviors were evaluated. We also assessed frequencies of experiences relevant to individuals’ health and pandemic-related experiences, such as getting tested for COVID-19 and experiencing symptoms. We evaluated these experiences for the full sample as well as separately for each racial group included in the analyses. We then assessed the full model depicted in Figure 1 in stages.

We evaluated the moderation hypotheses to determine if the relationships between discrimination, general medical mistrust, and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs differed based on participants’ race and ethnicity. Finally, we assessed the full path model with COVID-19 protective behaviors specified as a count variable and Black specified as the reference group, excluding any nonsignificant interactions from the previous analytic step in order to aid with the interpretation of main effects and to present a more parsimonious model. Three indicators of socioeconomic status (annual household income, highest level of education completed, and subjective social status) were included as covariates in analyses, as extensive research has shown that individuals’ socioeconomic standing is associated with racial identity [76, 77], discrimination [78], and medical mistrust [79].

Results

Descriptive and Preliminary Analyses

Given the focus of race and ethnicity, participants who did not report their race and ethnicity (n = 19) were not included in analyses. Further, given the small group size, participants who identified as Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander (n = 8) were excluded from analyses. White and Black participants were separated by ethnicity, but the same could not be done for other racial groups because of small sample size which limits the ability to derive reliable statistical estimates and contributes to unequal group sizes that diminish statistical power (n < 24 for each group). The final sample included 963 participants with race and ethnicity self-reported as follows: 231 Black (non-Latinx), 72 Black-Latinx, 274 Asian, 217 White (non-Latinx), 115 White-Latinx, and 54 American Indian or Alaska Native.

Participants ranged between 18 and 80 years of age (M = 35.00, Sd = 11.99), and 58.2% (n = 560) identified as a woman (4 transgender, 556 cisgender2), 40.3% (n = 388) identified as a man (12 transgender, 376 cisgender), and 1.5% (n = 15) identified as genderqueer, genderfluid, or another gender identity. The majority (76.7%) of participants have lived in the United States their entire life, and 91.5% reported that they are a US citizen. Median household income was $50,000–$59,999, 59.5% of the sample held a bachelor’s degree or higher level of education, and the mean subjective social status on a 10-point scale (10 indicating the highest social status, 1 indicating the lowest social status) was 5.50 (Sd = 1.91). Descriptive information for socioeconomic status separated by race is presented in Supplemental Table A.

Prior to hypothesis testing, bivariate correlations were assessed (see Table 1). All forms of discrimination were significantly positively associated with medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs, as well as negatively associated with engagement in COVID-19 protective behavior. Health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs were associated with decreased engagement in COVID-19

---

2 Cisgender indicates gender identity corresponds to sex assigned at birth; transgender indicates gender identity does not correspond to sex assigned at birth.
protective behaviors, but general medical mistrust was not associated with these behaviors. Means and standard deviations for each variable for the full sample and separated by race and ethnicity are presented in Table 2, as well as one-way ANOVAs to assess differences between race and ethnicity groups. We also assessed the frequency of COVID-19 testing, experiencing symptoms, being an essential employee, having health insurance, being unable to see a doctor for medical care due to cost in the past year, having a chronic illness or disability, being immunocompromised, and knowing someone who has had COVID-19, again for the full sample and separated by race (Table 3). The most reported attribution for everyday discrimination and structural discrimination was race, with 32.9% and 33.2% of participants reporting discrimination selecting race as the primary reason, respectively.

**Moderation Analyses**

A series of moderation analyses were conducted. Mplus version 7.11 [80] was used to test the interaction between race and ethnicity and discrimination on general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs. Race was dummy coded with Black as the reference group, and separate analyses were conducted to assess the interaction with each type of discrimination (everyday, structural, and health discrimination) on general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs, respectively. Contrary to what we hypothesized, none of these interactions were significant (p > 0.05 for all interaction terms), indicating that the strength of the association between past discrimination and medical mistrust did not differ based on participants’ race or ethnicity.

**Table 1** Bivariate correlations for the full sample (N = 963)

|                      | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1. Everyday discrimination | –     |       |       |       |       |       |
| 2. Structural discrimination | 0.74** | –     |       |       |       |       |
| 3. Healthcare discrimination | 0.65** | 0.69** | –     |       |       |       |
| 4. General medical mistrust | 0.33** | 0.28** | 0.31** | –     |       |       |
| 5. Health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs | 0.48** | 0.57** | 0.49** | 0.46** | –     |       |
| 6. COVID-19 protective behaviors | –0.22** | –0.33** | –0.24** | –0.06 | –0.23** | –     |

Note: *p < 0.01, **p < 0.001
Therefore, interaction terms were dropped from subsequent analyses to aid the interpretation of the main effects of race and ethnicity and past experiences of discrimination in the full model.

Path Analysis

Path analyses were conducted using Mplus version 7.11 [81]. As shown in Figure 1b, we investigated the main effects of three forms of discrimination (everyday, structural, and healthcare) as well as race (dummy coded with Black as the reference group) on general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs and, in turn, the impact of general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs on COVID-19 protective behaviors. Statistical significance was determined through 95% confidence intervals; intervals that do not contain zero are statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \). Results indicated that everyday discrimination \( (p < 0.001) \) and healthcare discrimination \( (p = 0.004) \) were associated with higher general medical mistrust, but structural discrimination \( (p = 0.675) \) was not associated with general medical mistrust. Further, structural discrimination \( (p < 0.001) \) and healthcare discrimination \( (p = 0.003) \) significantly predicted higher endorsement of health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs, but everyday discrimination \( (p = 0.135) \) was not associated with these beliefs.

### Table 2

Means and standard deviations for key study variables for full sample and by race/ethnicity \((N = 963)\)

|                              | Full sample | Black            | Black-Hispanic/Latinx | White          | White-Hispanic/Latinx | Asian           | American Indian/Alaska Native | \( F \)  | \( p \)  |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Everyday discrimination      | 2.47 (1.20) | 2.49 (1.77)      | 3.60 (1.35)*          | 2.28 (1.14)    | 2.57 (1.27)           | 2.21 (1.03)     | 2.67 (1.17)                   | 18.30  | <0.001|
| Structural discrimination    | 1.81 (1.15) | 1.83 (1.02)      | 3.29 (1.58)*          | 1.74 (1.08)    | 1.82 (1.16)           | 1.44 (0.80)*    | 1.95 (1.73)                   | 35.18  | <0.001|
| Healthcare discrimination    | 1.80 (0.93) | 1.99 (0.92)      | 2.73 (1.28)*          | 1.58 (0.80)*   | 1.81 (0.91)           | 1.53 (0.70)*    | 1.99 (0.95)                   | 26.76  | <0.001|
| General medical mistrust     | 3.37 (0.79) | 3.55 (0.76)      | 3.85 (0.57)*          | 3.22 (0.80)*   | 3.34 (0.82)           | 3.19 (0.76)*    | 3.52 (0.77)                   | 13.27  | <0.001|
| Health-specific COVID-19     | 2.30 (1.12) | 2.55 (1.13)      | 3.25 (1.03)*          | 1.95 (1.04)*   | 2.36 (1.14)           | 2.04 (1.01)*    | 2.58 (1.08)                   | 22.65  | <0.001|
| COVID-19 protective behaviors| 4.15 (1.53) | 4.16 (1.46)      | 3.64 (1.78)           | 4.01 (1.55)    | 4.16 (1.74)           | 4.64 (1.62)     | 3.35 (1.73)*                   | 10.64  | <0.001|

\*Differs from Black participants at \( p < 0.05 \)

### Table 3

Frequencies for COVID-19–related experiences for full sample and by race/ethnicity \((N = 963)\)

|                                | Full sample | Black            | Black-Hispanic/Latinx | White         | White-Hispanic/Latinx | Asian           | American Indian/Alaska Native |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Ever tested                    |             |                  |                       |               |                       |                 |                               |
| Yes                            | 91 (9.4%)   | 23 (10.0%)       | 25 (34.7%)            | 17 (7.8%)     | 10 (8.7%)             | 6 (2.2%)        | 10 (18.5%)                    |
| No—requested not received      | 60 (6.2%)   | 9 (3.9%)         | 9 (12.5%)             | 16 (7.4%)     | 8 (7.0%)              | 13 (4.7%)       | 5 (9.3%)                      |
| No—not requested               | 812 (84.4%) | 199 (86.1%)      | 38 (52.8%)            | 184 (84.8%)   | 97 (84.3%)            | 255 (93.1%)     | 39 (72.2%)                    |
| Symptoms                       |             |                  |                       |               |                       |                 |                               |
| Yes—current                    | 37 (3.8%)   | 6 (2.6%)         | 12 (16.7%)            | 6 (2.8%)      | 7 (6.1%)              | 3 (1.1%)        | 3 (5.6%)                      |
| No—previous                   | 113 (11.7%) | 16 (6.9%)        | 12 (16.7%)            | 33 (15.2%)    | 20 (17.4%)            | 33 (15.2%)      | 8 (14.8%)                     |
| No                             | 812 (84.4%) | 209 (90.5%)      | 48 (66.7%)            | 178 (82.0%)   | 88 (76.5%)            | 178 (82.0%)     | 43 (79.6%)                    |
| Essential employee             |             |                  |                       |               |                       |                 |                               |
| Yes                            | 229 (23.9%) | 50 (21.8%)       | 17 (23.9%)            | 57 (26.3%)    | 34 (29.6%)            | 55 (20.1%)      | 16 (30.2%)                    |
| No                             | 477 (49.8%) | 119 (51.5%)      | 33 (46.5%)            | 104 (47.9%)   | 51 (44.3%)            | 152 (55.7%)     | 19 (35.8%)                    |
| Unemployed                     | 85 (8.9%)   | 23 (10.0%)       | 9 (12.7%)             | 20 (9.2%)     | 10 (8.7%)             | 16 (5.9%)       | 7 (13.2%)                     |
| Health insurance               |             |                  |                       |               |                       |                 |                               |
| Yes                            | 167 (17.4%) | 38 (16.6%)       | 12 (16.9%)            | 36 (16.6%)    | 20 (17.4%)            | 50 (18.3%)      | 11 (20.8%)                    |
| No                             | 804 (83.8%) | 184 (80.3%)      | 58 (80.6%)            | 185 (85.3%)   | 95 (82.6%)            | 245 (89.7%)     | 37 (69.8%)                    |
| Unable to see Dr. due to cost  |             |                  |                       |               |                       |                 |                               |
| Yes                            | 226 (23.6%) | 47 (20.5%)       | 33 (45.8%)            | 53 (24.5%)    | 29 (25.5%)            | 46 (16.8%)      | 18 (34.0%)                    |
| No                             | 477 (49.8%) | 119 (51.5%)      | 33 (46.5%)            | 104 (47.9%)   | 51 (44.3%)            | 152 (55.7%)     | 19 (35.8%)                    |
| Chronic illness or disability |             |                  |                       |               |                       |                 |                               |
| Yes                            | 194 (20.9%) | 52 (23.7%)       | 25 (34.7%)            | 56 (26.3%)    | 20 (18.3%)            | 26 (9.8%)       | 15 (30.6%)                    |
| No                             | 355 (36.9%) | 75 (32.5%)       | 32 (44.4%)            | 77 (35.5%)    | 52 (45.2%)            | 99 (36.1%)      | 20 (37.0%)                    |
| Immuno-compromised             |             |                  |                       |               |                       |                 |                               |
| Yes                            | 58 (6.4%)   | 19 (8.9%)        | 5 (7.1%)              | 14 (7.1%)     | 9 (8.3%)              | 4 (1.5%)        | 7 (14.0%)                     |
| Know anyone with COVID-19      |             |                  |                       |               |                       |                 |                               |
| Yes                            | 355 (36.9%) | 75 (32.5%)       | 32 (44.4%)            | 77 (35.5%)    | 52 (45.2%)            | 99 (36.1%)      | 20 (37.0%)                    |
Compared to participants who identified as Black, Asian ($p < 0.001$), White ($p = 0.001$), and White-Latinx ($p = 0.029$) reported significantly lower medical mistrust. There were no differences for Black-Latinx ($p = 0.452$) or American Indian/Alaska Native ($p = 0.708$) participants. Black participants reported significantly higher endorsement of health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs compared to White participants ($p < 0.001$) but did not significantly differ from any other group. Finally, health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs were associated with significantly lower engagement in COVID-19 protective behaviors ($p < 0.001$), but general medical mistrust was not ($p = 0.285$). Full model results are displayed in Table 4 and Figure 1b.

We also assessed the indirect effects of everyday, structural, and healthcare discrimination, respectively, on COVID-19 protective behaviors. Results indicated that there was no significant specific indirect effect of everyday discrimination on COVID-19 protective behaviors via general medical mistrust ($B(SE) = 0.003 (0.003), p = 0.325, 95\% CI [-0.002, 0.01]$) or via health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs ($B(SE) = -0.004 (0.003), p = 0.157, 95\% CI [-0.01, 0.001]$). Accordingly, the total indirect effect via both medical discrimination and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs was also nonsignificant ($B(SE) = -0.001 (0.004), p = 0.727, 95\% CI [-0.01, 0.01]$). Regarding structural discrimination, the indirect effect via health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs ($B(SE) = -0.03 (0.01), p < 0.001, 95\% CI [-0.04, -0.02]$), but not general medical mistrust ($B(SE) = 0.000 (0.001), p = 0.6999, 95\% CI [-0.001, 0.002]$), was significant. The total indirect effect was also significant ($B(SE) = -0.03 (0.01), p < 0.001, 95\% CI [-0.04, -0.02]$), indicating that structural discrimination was associated with lower engagement in COVID-19 protective behaviors via general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs. Similarly, results indicated that the indirect effect of healthcare discrimination via conspiracy beliefs ($B(SE) = -0.01 (0.004), p = 0.014, 95\% CI [-0.02, -0.003]$) was significant, but was not significant via general medical mistrust ($B(SE) = 0.003 (0.003), p = 0.339, 95\% CI [-0.002, 0.01]$). However, the total indirect effect was not significant ($B(SE) = -0.01 (0.004), p = 0.078, 95\% CI [-0.02, 0.001]$).

### Discussion

In this study, we sought to understand how race and experiences of various forms of discrimination are associated with individuals’ medical mistrust. We also investigated how both

---

**Table 4** Path model results ($N = 963$)

| Path Model | B (SE)  | β     | p    | 95% CI [LL, UL] |
|------------|---------|-------|------|----------------|
| Medical mistrust |         |       |      |                |
| Everyday discrimination | 0.13 (0.03) | 0.19 (0.05) | < 0.001** | [0.11, 0.27] |
| Structural discrimination | 0.02 (0.04) | 0.02 (0.05) | 0.675 | [-0.07, 0.11] |
| Healthcare discrimination | 0.11 (0.04) | 0.13 (0.05) | 0.004** | [0.06, 0.20] |
| Race: Black-Hispanic/Latinx | 0.08 (0.11) | 0.03 (0.04) | 0.452 | [-0.03, 0.09] |
| Race: Asian | -0.24 (0.07) | -0.14 (0.04) | < 0.001** | [-0.20, -0.07] |
| Race: White-not Hispanic/Latinx | -0.24 (0.07) | -0.13 (0.04) | 0.001** | [-0.19, -0.06] |
| Race: White-Hispanic/Latinx | -0.19 (0.09) | -0.08 (0.04) | 0.029* | [-0.13, -0.02] |
| Race: American Indian/Alaska Native | -0.04 (0.11) | -0.01 (0.03) | 0.708 | [-0.07, 0.04] |
| COVID-19 conspiracy theory beliefs |         |       |      |                |
| Everyday discrimination | 0.06 (0.04) | 0.06 (0.04) | 0.135 | [-0.01, 0.13] |
| Structural discrimination | 0.40 (0.04) | 0.41 (0.04) | < 0.001** | [0.34, 0.48] |
| Healthcare discrimination | 0.14 (0.05) | 0.11 (0.04) | 0.003** | [0.05, 0.17] |
| Race: Black-Hispanic/Latinx | -0.08 (0.13) | -0.02 (0.03) | 0.520 | [-0.07, 0.03] |
| Race: Asian | -0.16 (0.08) | -0.07 (0.03) | 0.054 | [-0.12, 0.01] |
| Race: White-not Hispanic/Latinx | -0.41 (0.09) | -0.16 (0.03) | < 0.001** | [-0.21, -0.10] |
| Race: White-Hispanic/Latinx | -0.17 (0.10) | -0.05 (0.03) | 0.097 | [-0.10, 0.00] |
| Race: American Indian/Alaska Native | 0.03 (0.14) | 0.01 (0.03) | 0.856 | [-0.04, 0.05] |
| COVID-19 protective behaviors |         |       |      |                |
| General medical mistrust | 0.02 (0.02) | 0.18 (0.17) | 0.285 | [-0.10, 0.46] |
| Health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs | -0.08 (0.02) | -0.86 (0.12) | < 0.001** | [-1.04, -0.68] |

Note: *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$. Analyses statistically control for socioeconomic status. Race: Black-not Hispanic/Latinx is included as the reference group.
general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs were associated with engagement in COVID-19 protective behaviors. Consistent with existing literature, we generally found support for most of our hypotheses.

Results showed that structural discrimination was associated with higher health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs which was consistent with what was hypothesized. Health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs are a symptom of the same issues that marginalized groups experience through structural discrimination. In other words, COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs and structural discrimination are both characterized by a broad mistrust in powers beyond the micro level. This may lead people who already distrust the government to believe in these theories. However, contrary to what we hypothesized, structural discrimination was not associated with general medical mistrust. More research is needed to address the nuances of different forms of discrimination and medical mistrust.

Everyday discrimination was associated with more general medical mistrust but was not associated with health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs. While everyday discrimination may be a symptom of institutional issues, it is nevertheless perceived as an individual issue [82]. Therefore, although people that experience everyday discrimination are more likely to experience more general medical mistrust, they may not necessarily conclude that COVID-19 is a planned genocide by the government or endorse other extreme ideologies associated with health conspiracy theories. Healthcare discrimination was associated with both general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy theories which is consistent with past research that has examined the effects of discrimination within the medical sphere and its association with medical mistrust more broadly [33, 38, 53, 57].

Regarding race differences, Black participants reported higher general medical mistrust than Asian, White, and White-Latinx participants, but there were no significant differences for American Indian/Alaska Native or Black-Latinx participants, which reflect the more frequent experiences of discrimination these groups tend to experience in the United States [63, 65, 66]. Findings indicate that Black participants more highly endorsed health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs than White participants but did not significantly differ from any other racial group [53, 83, 84]. These findings are consistent with past research that has focused exclusively on comparisons between Black and White communities [32–35], and the nonsignificant comparisons also appear to reflect that White Americans have held positions of power in American society and have not been subjected to systemic racial oppression that may result in the belief of these conspiracy theories.

We found no significant interaction between discrimination and race or ethnicity, indicating that the strength of the relationships between discrimination with general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs does not vary as a function of participants’ race or ethnicity. This could be due to the fact that the everyday and structural discrimination measures were not specific to racial discrimination exclusively (although this was the most common attribution that participants reported) and higher rates of discrimination may partially reflect experiences based on other identities (e.g., gender, age.).

Consistent with our hypothesis, results suggest that health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs are associated with lower engagement in COVID-19 protective behaviors. This is in line with previous literature, which has found that individuals who harbor medical mistrust and endorse conspiracy theories report lower adherence to prescription medication [35] and decreased participation in preventative care [38]. An analysis of the indirect effects of discrimination on COVID-19 protective behaviors via conspiracy beliefs indicates that health discrimination and structural discrimination are associated with decreased engagement in COVID-19 protective behaviors via COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs. This is consistent with the notion that various forms of discrimination contribute a stronger belief in health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs [35, 37, 54, 55]. This may lead to individuals being skeptical of protective behaviors due to the belief that such behaviors and policies are harmful to themselves and their community [31].

Contrary to what we hypothesized, general medical mistrust was not associated with COVID-19 protective behaviors. Although general medical mistrust was not associated with COVID-19 protective behaviors in the current study, past studies have shown that it does affect how often individuals go to the doctor, adhere to medication, and follow physicians’ recommendations [34, 54, 70]. The absence of this association could be due to the lack of specificity of the medical mistrust measure. General medical mistrust reflected a broader, more general mistrust of medical systems and therefore is likely a more distal predictor of COVID-19 protective behaviors, compared to endorsement of conspiracy beliefs which were specific to COVID-19.

Strengths, Limitations, and Suggestions for Future Research

Strengths of this study include the racial diversity of our sample, which allows for greater generalizability of our findings, and the timing of data collection, which occurred in early May 2020, almost 2 months after most states issued stay-at-home orders [85]. While most states had stay-at-home orders during this time, not all states issued policies like these [72]. Participants who lived in the few states that did not have the said orders may have perceived the pandemic differently than participants who lived in states that had these orders. Their
perception of the pandemic could have then altered how they engaged in the protective behavior.

We measured three different forms of discrimination (everyday, structural, and healthcare) and their independent effects on general medical mistrust and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs. These different forms of discrimination showcase the different experiences marginalized groups may have in society [58, 61] and their impact on how these individuals navigate and interact with the medical institution. Although we tried to be inclusive in our assessment of race and ethnicity and separated Black and White participant groups by Latinx ethnic identity, we were not able to do that for all racial groups. For example, if a participant identified as Asian-Latinx, they were only coded as Asian due to low sample size. Further, we recognize that there is substantial heterogeneity within racial and ethnic groups [86, 87], and Latinx is not the only ethnicity with which individuals identify. Finally, participants recruited through MTurk tend to be younger, more educated, and exhibit healthier behavior compared to the general population [88]. In the context of the current study, this could mean that the participants in this study were more likely to engage in COVID-19 protective behaviors than the general population, yielding generalizability concerns.

Because variables were assessed cross-sectionally, we are unable to make conclusions about temporal associations. Future studies should implement qualitative methods to provide depth and nuance to these findings. Because COVID-19 has been politicized, some conspiracy theories that were politically driven (e.g., COVID-19 is a hoax [89]) led some individuals, primarily those who identify as politically conservative, to protest the requirement of masks in public [90]. Although the measure of health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs in the current study reflected ideas such as COVID-19 being a planned genocide, it is important for future studies to evaluate other conspiracy theory themes (e.g., 5G tower conspiracy theory [91, 92], government inflating infection numbers [93]) and discern how different background characteristics including SES, race, social, and political views differentially contribute to various types of conspiracy beliefs.

Implications

These results have several implications for medical contexts. This study demonstrates that discrimination does not just have to take place in a medical space for citizens to harbor medical mistrust. Discrimination on both the interpersonal and structural level affects individuals’ health behaviors through medical mistrust [30]. We need to reduce everyday and structural discrimination to improve health disparities in the United States related to racist and discriminatory practices in other sectors such as housing, employment, and education, all of which have been shown to also impact health [94, 95]. Society must recognize that historical factors and current discrimination contribute to health disparities. Neglecting this responsibility will lead to continued mistrust which will allow health disparities to persist [96].

At an individual level, medical professionals should acknowledge discriminatory practices and actively work against them. Both implicit and explicit biases have real-world consequences when it comes to patient care [97, 98]. However, bias and discrimination may also be present in the medical institution more broadly [99], which is especially dangerous during a pandemic such as COVID-19 when engaging in protective behaviors is necessary to slow the spread of the virus. Ensuring individuals’ safety and adherence to the CDC, WHO, and other health guidelines is virtually impossible if citizens do not trust these institutions. In order to gain the trust of citizens, professionals and organizations should address the concerns these citizens may have by acknowledging health-related discrimination, medical conspiracy theories, and their origins [57]. To ensure adherence to protective behaviors, partnerships with trusted organizations and individuals within marginalized communities such as churches, historically Black colleges and universities, and elected officials could help rebuild trust in medical institutions and spread accurate information about the virus [100]. In addition, greater representation of racially minoritized groups within the medical field could also help establish trust in the medical system [40].

Conclusions

The goal of this study was to examine the role race, ethnicity, and discrimination play in medical mistrust (general and health-specific COVID-19 conspiracy beliefs) and examine if these factors are associated with engagement in COVID-19 protective behaviors. Findings indicate that race and various experiences of discrimination provide important context for individuals’ endorsement of medical mistrust, which in turn have implications for health behaviors. It is time for medical professionals, public health officials, and institutions to gain back the trust of marginalized groups. By acknowledging this mistrust, being transparent, and making an active effort to eliminate discriminatory practices within and outside of medical institutions, they will be one step closer to eliminating racial health disparities in the United States.
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