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Objective: This study aimed to investigate the relationship between long working hours and chronic kidney disease (CKD) according to diabetic status. Methods: Twelve thousand seven hundred three full-time employees without diabetes and 2136 with diabetes were included in this study. Participants were grouped according to working hours: ≤40, 41 to 52, and >52 h/week. Multiple logistic regression was used to evaluate the association between working hours and CKD prevalence. Results: Participants with diabetes who worked 41 to 52 h/week showed 1.85 times higher odds of CKD (95% CI 1.15–2.96; P = 0.0112) compared with those who worked ≤40 h/week after adjusting for covariates. An interaction between diabetes and long working hours was observed (P for interaction = 0.0212) in the model. Conclusion: Long working hours are associated with CKD in participants with diabetes. An interaction between long working hours and diabetes leading to CKD development may exist.
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Learning Objectives

- Summarize the methods used in this nationally representative study of associations between working hours and chronic kidney disease (CKD) among diabetic and non-diabetic employees.
- Identify the observed associations with CKD, including interactions between diabetes status and categories of working hours.
- Discuss potential mechanisms of the reported associations and the implications for policy and patient education.

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a disease characterized by pancreatic beta-cell dysfunction and can be diagnosed on the basis of fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels, glucose tolerance testing, or glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels. DM is one of the most common chronic diseases, and its prevalence is still increasing. In 2017, the global prevalence of diabetes was estimated to be 8.8% in participants aged between 20 and 79 years, which is expected to increase by 9.9% by 2045. In addition, a total expenditure of 727 billion dollars was spent for DM care in 2017, which is expected to increase by 776 billion dollars by 2045. Among the many complications of diabetes, chronic kidney disease (CKD) is one of the most important. CKD is defined as the impairment of kidney structure and function for ≥3 months, causing a high disease burden. The prevalence of CKD is estimated to be 8% to 16% worldwide and is especially high in developing countries. In South Korea, estimated prevalence of CKD in adults was 8.2%. CKD develops in approximately 40% of patients with diabetes. Diabetic nephropathy is one of the most common causes of CKD. The prevalence of diabetic kidney disease is still increasing, resulting in substantial healthcare expenditure.

Although DM has several complications and increases mortality rate, individuals with diabetes can still lead a normal life and be employed. The working environment affects several health conditions. Shift work can cause sleep disorders and may be a risk factor for many other health conditions, including hypertension. Workers may be exposed to harmful chemical substances within the working space. Extended working hours also have several noxious effects on health conditions. A meta-analysis study revealed that long working hours can deteriorate occupational health and work-related health. Park et al suggested that long working hours impair the hearing function. South Korea is one of the countries with longest working hours worldwide. South Koreans work for an average of 1993 hours annually, whereas the average working hours in countries included in the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development was 1734 hours in 2018. The hours spent on working is a modifiable factor among the many risk factors related to CKD. It is important to determine whether the long working hours are an independent risk factor for
CKD. However, evidence associating long working hours with CKD is largely absent at present. A cross-sectional study reported the existence of an association between shift work and CKD in manual labor workers. Another study showed an association between CKD and sleep duration among shift workers. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated the relationship between working hours and CKD. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the relationship between long working hours and CKD.

METHODS

Study Population

The study data were obtained from the 2010 to 2017 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). The KNHANES is a cross-sectional nationwide survey that has been conducted by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention since 1998. The survey provides a representative sample of the noninstitutionalized South Korean population residing in Korea, using a complex, poststratified, multistage clustered probability design. A total of 64,759 participants enrolled in the KNHANES from 2010 to 2017. Among them, 27,393 full-time employees who worked >15 h/week with valid responses about working hours were assessed for eligibility for this study. We excluded participants aged <40 years (N = 19,605). Participants with missing data for assessing the CKD status and diabetic status were also excluded from the eligible participants (N = 2726). A total of 14,839 participants were finally considered eligible for analysis after excluding 196 participants with missing data on covariates. The participants were divided into 12,703 participants without diabetes and 2136 participants with diabetes (Fig. 1).

Working Hours

The International Labor Organization recommends regulating the hours of work, with a 40-h workweek in developed countries and a 48-h workweek in developing countries being considered normal working hours. In Korea, the Labor Standards Act legis- lates 40 h/week and 8 h/day as the statutory weekly working hours, allowing extended work up to an additional 12h based on agreements between employers and employees. To evaluate the working hours, the participants of KNHANES were asked the following question: “How many hours per week on average do you work at your workplace, including overtime work and night overtime? (excluding mealtime).” Considering the above-mentioned standards, we categorized full-time employees into three groups according to working hours (≤40 h/week, 41–52 h/week, and >52 h/week). In addition, more subdivided and expanded working hour criteria was used to analyze the detailed differences.

Diabetic Status

The study participants were classified according to diabetic status (with or without DM). DM can be diagnosed based on either the FPG or HbA1c. Measuring HbA1c has some advantages over FPG measurement in diagnosing DM, including the availability of nonfasting blood samples and the stability of biological factors. Fasting blood samples were collected and HbA1c was analyzed using high performance liquid chromatography (HLC-723G8,
Tosoh G8; Tosoh/Japan). Participants who had HbA1c levels >6.5%, who were diagnosed with DM by a doctor or were using medications for diabetes were identified as the diabetic group and the others were identified as the non-diabetic group.

**CKD Status**

The Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) equation is known to be an accurate formula for estimating the glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Estimated GFR (eGFR) calculated using the MDRD equation can be used to evaluate kidney function. In this study, GFR was calculated on the basis of the serum creatinine level according to the MDRD equation as follows: 175 × serum creatinine^{-0.134} × age^{-0.203} (×0.742 if female). Serum creatinine levels were measured using fasting blood samples with the Jaffe rate-blanked and compensated method (Hitachi Automatic Analyzer 7600–210; Hitachi/Japan, CREA, Rosche/Germany). Participants with an eGFR of <60 mL/min/1.73 m² were considered to have significant loss of renal function and were classified into the CKD group.

**Other Covariates**

Data on demographic characteristics and social and lifestyle-related factors were obtained using standardized health interview questionnaires. Health examination data, including anthropometric measurements, blood pressure levels, and laboratory test results, were collected by trained medical personnel and using periodically calibrated equipment. The participants were grouped according to age (40–49, 50–59, 60–69, and ≥70 years), educational status (≤elementary school, middle school, high school, or >college), and household income quartiles. They were also grouped into manual workers (skilled workers in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries; craft and related workers; machine operators and assemblers; or simple manual workers), nonmanual worker (managers, professionals, and related workers, clerks, service workers, and salespeople), and others (homemakers, students) according to the extent of occupational physical strain (soldiers were excluded). Working schedules were categorized into regular day work and shift work: participants who worked during the daytime (06:00–18:00) were defined as daytime workers, and the remaining others (14:00–24:00, 21:00–08:00, regular day–night shift work, 24-h shift work, split-shift, irregular shift work) were defined as shift workers.

Factors related to the health condition of participants were also assessed. Physical activity was defined as performing at least 150 min of moderate-intensity physical activity 75 min of vigorous-intensity physical activity, or an equivalent combination of physical activity per week. With respect to the smoking status, the participants were divided into never smokers, past smokers and current smokers. Problem drinking was defined as drinking ≥6 units of alcohol two or more times per week in men and ≥4 units of alcohol two or more times per week in women. Body mass index (BMI) was used to determine the obesity status: BMI <18.5 kg/m² was defined as underweight, 18.5 kg/m² ≤ BMI <25 kg/m² was defined as normal weight, and BMI ≥25 kg/m² was defined as obesity. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured thrice and the mean of the second and third measurements was used to determine the hypertensive status. Hypertension was defined as SBP ≥140 mmHg, DBP ≥90 mmHg or use of antihypertensive medications.

**Statistical Analysis**

In this study, analyses were performed considering the complex sampling design, which included multistage clustering and stratification to represent the South Korean population. Baseline characteristics are presented as frequencies and weighted percentages. The Rao-Scott χ² test was used to compare the general characteristics of the DM and non-DM groups according to working hours. Multiple logistic regression was performed to calculate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The association between working hours and CKD according to diabetic status was examined before and after adjusting for covariates. SAS (version 9.4M6; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) was used for all statistical analyses. Two-sided P-values were used to evaluate statistical significance. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**General Characteristics of the Study Population**

The 14,839 total participants were divided into the non-DM group (N = 12,703) and the DM group (N = 2136). The groups were compared according to working hours. In the non-DM group, 5851 participants worked <40 h/week, 3324 participants worked 40 to 52 h/week, and 3528 participants worked >52 h/week. In the DM group, 989 participants worked <40 h/week, 465 participants worked 41 to 52 h/week, and 682 participants worked >52 h/week.

In the non-DM group, an age difference was observed according to working hours. Participants with longer working hours tended to be male-dominant and were less likely to be physically active. In addition, the proportions of current smokers and participants with problem drinking were larger in the longer working hour groups. A higher prevalence of obesity and hypertension was observed in the groups with longer working hours. The prevalence of CKD did not differ among working hour groups (P = 0.2074).

In the DM group, an age difference was observed according to working hours. Participants with longer working hours tended to be male. The proportion of current smokers was higher in the group with longer working hours. No difference was observed in the prevalence of obesity and hypertension among the working hour groups. In addition, there was no difference in the prevalence of CKD among the working hour groups (P = 0.0977). The detailed general characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1.

**Association Between Working Hours and CKD According to Diabetic Status**

The association between working hours and CKD is shown in Table 2. The association was assessed according to diabetic status, and the interaction between diabetic status and working hours was also analyzed. The overall P for interaction in the crude model was 0.158. The P for interaction was 0.0683 in the adjusted model 1 (adjusted for age, sex, educational status, household income, physical activity, smoking and drinking status, obesity, hypertension, worker type, and working schedule). In the crude model, P for interaction was 0.0553 and 0.5105 in the 41 to 52, and >52 h/week groups, respectively, compared with the ≤40 h/week group. In the adjusted model, there was an interaction effect in the 41 to 52 h/week group (P = 0.0212) but not in the >52 h/week group (P = 0.2900).

The crude model protected the relationship between working hours and CKD without any adjustment. Those working for ≤40 h/week were set as the reference group. In participants without DM, the OR of having CKD in the 41 to 52 and >52 h/week groups was 0.85 (95% CI 0.62–1.18, P = 0.3312) and 0.75 (95% CI 0.53–1.05, P = 0.0955), respectively. In those with DM, the OR was 1.44 (95% CI 0.94–2.19, P = 0.0908) in the 41 to 52 h/week group and 0.90 (95% CI 0.59–1.36, P = 0.6080) in the >52 h/week group.
### TABLE 1. General Characteristics of the Study Population

| Variables                          | Non-DM (N = 12,703) | DM (N = 2136) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
|                                    | ≤40 h/week(N = 5821) | 41–52 h/week (N = 3324) | >52 h/week (N = 3528) | P      |
| Age (years)                        |                     |              |                |        |
| 40–49                              | 2152 (43.98)        | 1423 (50.28) | 1207 (43.71)  | 0.0001 |
| 50–59                              | 2026 (36.83)        | 1166 (35.21) | 1331 (37.95)  |        |
| 60–69                              | 1166 (14.04)        | 546 (11.35)  | 735 (14.41)   |        |
| ≥70                                | 507 (5.15)          | 189 (3.16)   | 255 (3.93)    |        |
| Sex                                |                     |              |                |        |
| Female                             | 3247 (50.73)        | 1375 (34.93) | 1423 (33.32)  | 0.3787 |
| Male                               | 2604 (49.27)        | 1949 (65.07) | 2105 (66.66)  |        |
| Education                          |                     |              |                |        |
| ≤ Elementary school                | 1143 (14.82)        | 548 (12.12)  | 743 (15.97)   | 0.2074 |
| Middle school                      | 773 (12.43)         | 422 (11.37)  | 659 (16.96)   | 0.0001 |
| High school                        | 1931 (35.86)        | 1161 (37.05) | 1280 (39.15)  | 0.0977 |
| ≥ College                          | 2004 (36.89)        | 1193 (39.46) | 846 (27.92)   |        |
| Household income (quarterile)      |                     |              |                |        |
| 1/4                                | 863 (12.30)         | 329 (7.79)   | 370 (8.25)    | 0.0001 |
| 2/4                                | 1380 (23.29)        | 799 (22.96)  | 1061 (29.32)  |        |
| 3/4                                | 1607 (29.30)        | 1012 (31.96) | 1085 (31.80)  |        |
| 4/4                                | 2001 (35.12)        | 1184 (37.28) | 1012 (30.63)  |        |
| Physical activity                  |                     |              |                |        |
| Yes                                | 810 (15.73)         | 436 (15.25)  | 374 (12.01)   | 0.0001 |
| No                                 | 5041 (84.27)        | 2888 (84.75) | 3154 (87.99)  |        |
| Smoking status                     |                     |              |                |        |
| Never smoker                       | 3552 (56.81)        | 1692 (45.64) | 1769 (44.25)  | 0.3724 |
| Past smoker                        | 1236 (22.16)        | 845 (27.16)  | 865 (25.74)   | 0.3724 |
| Current smoker                     | 1063 (21.02)        | 787 (27.20)  | 894 (30.01)   | 0.3724 |
| Problem drinking                   | 0.0016              |               |                |        |
| Yes                                | 778 (15.88)         | 525 (17.73)  | 600 (19.45)   | 0.3724 |
| No                                 | 5073 (84.12)        | 2799 (82.27) | 2928 (80.55)  |        |
| Obesity (BMI, kg/m²)               | 0.0058              |               |                |        |
| Underweight (<18.5)               | 132 (2.15)          | 73 (2.04)    | 79 (2.00)     | 0.0001 |
| Normal (18.5–25)                   | 3827 (64.74)        | 2061 (61.60) | 2186 (60.75)  |        |
| Obese (≥25)                        | 1892 (33.10)        | 1190 (36.35) | 1263 (37.26)  |        |
| Hypertension                       | 0.0106              |               |                |        |
| Yes                                | 1815 (29.15)        | 1017 (29.03) | 1192 (32.59)  | 0.7451 |
| No                                 | 4036 (70.85)        | 2307 (70.97) | 2336 (67.61)  |        |
| Worker type                        |                     |              |                |        |
| Manual worker                      | 2435 (39.64)        | 1451 (42.50) | 1695 (46.80)  | 0.3724 |
| Nonmanual worker                   | 2854 (51.40)        | 1631 (50.61) | 1576 (46.71)  |        |
| Others                             | 562 (8.96)          | 242 (6.89)   | 257 (6.49)    |        |
| Working schedule                   |                     |              |                |        |
| Daytime work                       | 5114 (86.94)        | 2880 (86.33) | 2792 (79.08)  | 0.0001 |
| Shift work                         | 737 (13.06)         | 444 (13.67)  | 736 (20.92)   |        |
| Chronic kidney disease             | 0.2074              |               |                |        |
| (MDRD, GFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m²)    |                     |              |                |        |
| Yes                                | 152 (2.32)          | 79 (1.91)    | 71 (1.68)     | 0.3724 |
| No                                 | 5699 (97.77)        | 3245 (98.09) | 3449 (98.32)  |        |
| DM duration (years)                |                     |              |                |        |
| ≤0                                 | 333 (35.43)         | 166 (37.64)  | 257 (40.57)   | 0.3479 |
| 1–10                               | 476 (47.76)         | 228 (48.52)  | 311 (45.76)   |        |
| 11–20                              | 139 (13.18)         | 55 (10.93)   | 88 (10.84)    |        |
| >20                                | 30 (2.47)           | 14 (2.68)    | 22 (2.57)     |        |
| ≥9                                 | 11 (1.16)           | 2 (0.23)     | 4 (0.46)      |        |
| DM control (HbA1c, %)              |                     |              |                |        |
| <7                                 | 492 (50.29)         | 231 (49.86)  | 308 (44.55)   | 0.1078 |
| 7–9                                | 393 (38.87)         | 174 (35.95)  | 276 (40.01)   |        |
| ≥9                                 | 104 (10.84)         | 60 (14.19)   | 98 (15.44)    |        |

Values with unweighted frequency (weighted %) are presented. P-value among working hour groups.

BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; MDRD, modification of diet in renal disease.
The model after adjusting for covariates including age, sex, educational status, household income, physical activity, smoking and drinking status, obesity, hypertension, worker type, and working schedule was analyzed according to three different working hour criteria. The association between working hours and CKD was analyzed based on multiple working hour criteria. The detailed results are presented in Table 3.

### DISCUSSION

In this study, we evaluated the association between weekly working hours and CKD according to diabetic status using representative data of the Korean population. Participants without diabetes who worked 41 to 52 h/week showed no difference from those who worked ≤40 h/week. However, full-time employees with diabetes who worked 41 to 52 h/week had 1.85 times higher odds of having CKD than those who worked ≤40 h/week, and a statistically significant interaction between working hours and diabetes was identified.

### TABLE 3. Association Between Working Hour Groups and Chronic Kidney Disease in Participants with Diabetes According to Multiple Working Hour Criteria

| Criterion | Participants | OR (95% CI) | P |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|---|
| Criterion 1 | ≤40 h/week | 617 | Reference = 1.00 |
|           | 41–60 h/week | 754 | 1.61 (1.05–2.47) | 0.0294 |
|           | >60 h/week | 393 | 0.95 (0.53–1.68) | 0.8470 |
| Criterion 2 | ≤35 h/week | 617 | Reference = 1.00 |
|           | 36–50 h/week | 809 | 1.27 (1.40–3.68) | 0.0009 |
|           | >50 h/week | 710 | 1.35 (0.80–2.27) | 0.2655 |
| Criterion 3 | ≤35 h/week | 617 | Reference = 1.00 |
|           | 36–40 h/week | 372 | 1.91 (1.03–3.53) | 0.0399 |
|           | 41–45 h/week | 189 | 2.77 (1.43–5.38) | 0.0026 |
|           | 46–50 h/week | 248 | 2.50 (1.35–4.61) | 0.0035 |
|           | 51–55 h/week | 136 | 1.94 (0.81–4.66) | 0.1398 |
|           | 56–60 h/week | 181 | 1.25 (0.58–2.68) | 0.5715 |
|           | >60 h/week | 393 | 1.21 (0.66–2.24) | 0.5334 |

Each model was adjusted for age, sex, educational status, household income, physical activity, smoking and drinking status, obesity, hypertension, worker type, working schedule, DM duration and glycemic control. OR, odds ratio.

**TABLE 2.** Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals for Chronic Kidney Disease According to Working Hour Groups in Participants with and Without Diabetes

| Participants | Crude Model | Adjusted Model 1 | Adjusted Model 2 |
|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|              | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI)     | OR (95% CI)     |
| Non-DM       |             |                 |                 |
| ≤40 h/week   | 5.851       | Reference = 1.00| Reference = 1.00|
| 41–52 h/week | 3.324       | 0.85 (0.62–1.18) | 0.3312          |
| >52 h/week   | 3.528       | 0.75 (0.53–1.05) | 0.0955          |
| DM           | 2.136       |                 |                 |
| ≤40 h/week   | 989         | Reference = 1.00| Reference = 1.00|
| 41–52 h/week | 465         | 1.44 (0.94–2.19) | 0.0608          |
| >52 h/week   | 682         | 0.90 (0.59–1.36) | 0.6080          |

"Adjusted model 1" was adjusted for age, sex, educational status, household income, physical activity, smoking and drinking status, obesity, hypertension, worker type, and working schedule. In adjusted model 1, the P for interaction of working hours and DM in the 41–52 h/week group was 0.0212. "Adjusted model 2" was adjusted for age, sex, educational status, household income, physical activity, smoking and drinking status, obesity, hypertension, worker type, working schedule.

CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; OR, odds ratio.
was observed. Long working hours have a possible relationship to suboptimal glycemic control, and the interaction and association may be due to this relationship. However, full-time employees with diabetes who worked 41 to 52 h/week had 1.92 times higher odds of having CKD even after adjusting for diabetes-related factors. In addition, multiple working hour analyses also confirmed this association.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no evidence showing a direct association between working hours and CKD itself in relation to diabetic status. Lee et al suggested long working hours as a possible risk factor for decreased eGFR. However, they did not suggest the association with CKD itself. Several studies have attempted to explain the relationship between the working environment and CKD. In addition, several studies have shown that shift work is related to CKD. Previous studies have attempted to demonstrate that shift work can be a risk factor for CKD in relation to sleep duration and manual work. Other studies have reported an association between CKD and exposure to harmful substances in the workplace. A case–control study performed in the United States suggested a possible relationship between occupational silica exposure and CKD risk. A cohort study showed that organic solvent exposure increases the risk of CKD. Some studies have suggested a relationship between working hours and other health conditions that are risk factors for CKD. Hypertension is a well-known risk factor for CKD. Poor glycemic control can also lead to CKD. Yang et al suggested long working hours as a possible risk factor for hypertension. Long working hours may also increase the risk of type 2 DM when combined with low socioeconomic status. Some studies have also indicated that long working hours is a risk factor for prediabetes and poor glycemic control. Given the evidences, the working environment potentially influences the development of CKD in many ways. Therefore, further studies, including the present study, are needed to investigate the association between long working hours and CKD.

The prevalence of diabetes among full-time workers in this study was 14.4%. This was consistent with the previously reported prevalence in Korea, which was 14.4% in participants > 30 years. This prevalence is also comparable to that reported by the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) for the North American and Caribbean, Southeast Asian, Middle East and North African, and Western Pacific regions. According to IDF Diabetes Atlas, the age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes was 11.1% in the North American and Caribbean regions, 12.2% in the Middle East and North African, 11.4% in the Western Pacific regions, and the 11.3% in the Southeast Asian regions.

Some explanations can be proposed for the associations shown in this study. Work-related stress can increase with increasing working hours. Considering that work related stress could stimulate systemic inflammation, employees with long working hours have a greater chance of developing systemic inflammation. Diabetes can also be interpreted as a disease of systemic inflammation. The inflammation may worsen with long working hours, aggravating the complications of diabetes. In addition, long working hours can lead to more exposure to harmful substances in the working environment. A previous study reported that exposure to heavy metals, industrial chemicals, and possible infectious diseases in the workplace may be associated with CKD. Exposure to environmental toxic substances in the workplace may result in beta-cell dysfunction in individuals with diabetes, leading to diabetic nephropathy. No meaningful difference was observed in participants with diabetes who worked > 52 h/week. A possible explanation for this finding is that the employees with CKD may not have the capability to work for >52 h/week.

This study has several strengths. To the best of our knowledge, this study provides the first evidence of the association between long working hours and CKD in relation to diabetic status. Moreover, our study is based on KNHANES, which represents the entire Korean population. The prevalence of diabetes observed in this study was consistent with that reported in a previous study. Because we evaluated blood glucose level using the Hba1c level, which can reflect the long-term blood glucose level, the diabetic status of the participants is reliable despite the cross-sectional nature of the study. Finally, the results were meaningful after adjusting for known important covariates, such as the status of Hba1c control. However, this study also has some limitations. In diagnosing CKD, structural abnormality is an important factor. Since the data of albuminuria was only available in KNHANES 2011 to 2014 and imaging studies including ultrasound are not available in this dataset, CKD prevalence may have been underestimated in this study. Because this study is both cross-sectional and observational in nature, we could not infer clear causal association between the variables of interest. Reverse causality is an important issue in cross-sectional studies. However, it is more reasonable to interpret that long working hours can be a risk factor for CKD development than vice versa. Owing to the cross-sectional design, the variables of interest were collected at one point which might make the reported values unreliable. Moreover, several variables, including working hours, was self-reported. Variables evaluated with self-reported values may be imprecise. In addition, other confounding factors, including dietary factors, which can play an important role in developing CKD were excluded in this study, because of their availability and credibility.

In conclusion, long working hours were associated with CKD in full-time employee with diabetes, independent of known risk factors. No relationship was observed between long working hours and CKD among employees without diabetes. An interaction between diabetes and long working hours leading to CKD development may exist. Additional longitudinal studies are required to confirm these findings.
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