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Abstract: The thermal properties of a series of twenty-four ionic liquids (ILs) have been determined by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) with the aim of simulating processes involving water sorption. For eleven water-free ILs, the molecular structures have been determined by X-ray crystallography in the solid state, which have been used to derive the molecular volumes of the ionic components of the ILs. Moreover, the structures reveal a high prevalence of hydrogen bonding in these compounds. A relationship between the molecular volumes and the experimentally determined energies of dilution could be established. The highest energies of dilution observed in this series were obtained for the acetate-based ILs, which underlines their potential as working fluids in sorption-based thermal energy storage systems.

Introduction

In the last few decades, interest in ionic liquids (ILs) has grown rapidly owing to their unique chemical and physical features.[1] In addition, they are attractive beyond their use as “designer solvents” and the tunability of their properties by variation of cation and anion allows us to tailor their versatile properties, making them useful for many fields of research and application, for example, in catalysis,[2] electrochemistry,[3] and material sciences, etc.[4] The possibility to form N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) by deprotonation of 1,3-dialkylimidazolium cations makes these substances especially interesting as organocatalysts.[5] The occurrence of NHCs in ILs has been established experimentally and theoretically and suggests an increased propensity for carbene formation with strongly basic reagents or contaminants.[6] Interestingly, it was suggested that the presence of water will stabilize the ionic liquid against carbene formation and in turn also the stability of NHCs depends on the water content.[7] Moreover, the ability to absorb CO₂ involving chemisorption with formation of the corresponding NHC–CO₂ adducts either in a normal or abnormal fashion, is well established.[8] Certain NHC–CO₂ adducts have been shown to equilibrate with the corresponding imidazolium hydrogen carbonates in the presence of water.[9]

With respect to the use of ILs as sorption materials for thermal energy storage, the relevant feature is the hygroscopic nature of these unique liquid electrolytes.

Solar thermal systems in combination with absorption storage systems have been shown to reduce the high energy consumption in air conditioning and furthermore open the way to seasonal energy storage concepts.[10] An important category of such systems has an open design at ambient pressure without the need for elaborate vacuum technology. In the absorber of such systems, water is absorbed from the gas phase by a highly concentrated hygroscopic electrolyte. The working fluids currently used in absorption chillers and heat pumps are LiCl or LiBr solutions, which entail problems concerning crystallization[16], corrosion,[11] and storage capacity. Ionic liquids may be an alternative in this respect, providing tunable electrolyte properties and a higher degree of variation compared with classical inorganic salts as demonstrated in the absorption of gas (CO₂)[12] or as a desiccant owing to their hygroscopic nature.[13] In sorption storage systems, heat is released by the transition from the gas phase into the liquid phase (condensation) and in addition by hydration and dilution.[14] The IL scaffold should allow us to optimize the latter parameters, which will improve the overall performance of such a storage system. Although thermodynamically negligible, viscosity and surface tension are parameters of great practical importance for the engineering of storage devices.

The prediction of fundamental trends is performed based on molecular volumes for ionic liquids and for conventional salts.[15] These molecular parameters have also been used to explain the liquid nature of ILs at room temperature.[16] The molecular volume is closely linked to the lattice enthalpy[17] and can be determined theoretically by DFT calculations or—if possible—experimentally from crystal structures.

In this context, we have screened a selection of ionic liquids with respect to their heats of dilution/ dissolution with the aim of determining structure–property relationships between ther-
mochemical data from calorimetric experiments and structural aspects of the ILs. For this purpose, we have examined the crystal structures of several ILs to determine the molecular volume and to assess the interaction and orientation of the anions and cations involved. The thermochemical data have been established by nanocalorimetric measurements by using isothermal titrations (ITC). With this approach, we try to improve the properties of the ILs for thermal energy storage purposes in a rational strategy and furthermore to elucidate cross-links between selected structural and thermodynamic properties of ILs.

Results and Discussion

As the corrosivity of the currently employed electrolytes towards metal-based thermal storage devices can be mainly attributed to their halide content, this aspect is relevant for the proper choice of ILs as sorption electrolytes. Even ILs with complex halide containing anions such as $[\text{BF}_4^-]$ and $[\text{PF}_6^-]$ may undergo partial hydrolysis and liberate HF. Likewise, the corrosivity is largely dependent on the combination of the anion with the construction metal used. In addition, other properties such as viscosity, surface tension, and diffusion are influenced both by the structure and the purity of ILs, where the most common contaminants are halides and/or water. Furthermore, the low melting point of $<100\,\text{C}$ may allow us to use neat ILs as fluids although an increased viscosity may limit the pump process within a device. Clearly, ILs with a melting point below $25\,\text{C}$, so-called room-temperature ILs (RTILs), are most suitable for the envisaged energy storage applications within the human comfort zone.

Because of the known properties and to minimize corrosivity, we confined ourselves to ILs with the organic anions formate, acetate, lactate, and oxalate. In addition to the improved characteristics of the subsequent sorption system, these ions are bio-compatible and seem advantageous concerning disposal after end of use. Another important point is the optimization of heat released in relation to the amount of water absorbed. This arises from the ratio of the condensation energy, which is constant at a given temperature, and the dilution energy, which will be discussed more deeply in the section below (ITC). When selecting the cations, the same considerations as for the choice of anions are applicable. Furthermore, standard variation of the cations offers modification of their desired properties. Seddon et al. described a decrease in water solubility with increasing alkyl chain length. In turn, a short alkyl chain results in a higher melting point and thus a solid at room temperature. In addition to pure alkyl chains, we also considered carboxylic acid and alcohol groups attached to the 3-position in 1-methylimidazole.

Synthesis

The synthesis of the imidazolium-based ionic liquids follows established procedures, which can be divided into two steps. In the first step, 1-methylimidazole reacts with the corresponding halide (RX) in a quaternization reaction to the imidazolium salt (Scheme 1). Depending on the substance, the addition of the halide is carried out in a dilute solution of 1-methylimidazole or without solvent, directly in the liquid reactant. Purification was carried out as described in the literature by recrystallization or washing with different solvents. Starting from the imidazolium halides, the anions $X^-$ were exchanged to $X'^-$ in a subsequent step by using an ion exchange column according to Alcalde et al. (Scheme 2).

$$\text{N}^+\text{N}^-\xrightarrow{R^+X'^-} \text{N}^+\text{N}^-\xrightarrow{R^+X^-}$$

(1)

Scheme 1. Imidazolium formation through quaternization ($X^- = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$).

$$\text{N}^+\text{N}^-\xrightarrow{\text{OH}} \text{N}^+\text{OH}^-$$

Scheme 2. Survey of imidazolium- and choline-based ILs prepared in this investigation.

Following the same exchange procedure, choline chloride has been transformed into the corresponding formate and acetate. A survey of the ILs under investigation is summarized in Scheme 2. The completeness of the anion exchange was compared by integration of the $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra between anion and cation and in addition with a silver test. Furthermore, the water content was determined by Karl Fischer titration. Owing to their hygroscopic nature, all compounds were stored under a dry and inert atmosphere by using a dry box or Schlenk techniques. Thanks to the high purity of the compounds they were obtained as solids and crystal structures could be obtained for ILs 3, 7, 8, 12, 13, 19, 25–30 (see below). Apart from 8, this is the first time structural data are available for these compounds to the best of our knowledge. In addition, a crystal structure has been reported for the closely related diethylimidazolium acetate not included in our investigations. The scarcity of structural information may be attributed to the sensitivity of these solids towards trace impurities, in particular halides and water, which immediately liquefies the samples. Consequently, the solid nature of these structures further corroborates the purity of these ILs obtained by the anion exchange method.
It has been shown that certain ILs may undergo transformations to carbamates at elevated temperature, which therefore explains some of their unusual properties. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the gas-phase carbene formation is related to the basicity of the anion.\(^\text{[8]}\) Also in our case, temperature may influence the composition of some ILs. In the case of \([\text{PMIM}]\text{[Form]}\) and \([\text{IsoMIM}]\text{[Form]}\), we observed slow transformation of the formate anion to hydrogen carbonate upon heating under vacuum below 100 °C for 24 h. The thermal decomposition of, for example, sodium formate to sodium hydrogen carbonate via sodium oxalate is well established at temperatures beyond 200 °C.\(^\text{[27]}\) Transformation of formate to carbonate by an oxidant can be excluded, since the samples were exposed to argon atmosphere only, besides vacuum. In light of the recent interest in the formate–carbonate equilibrium for hydrogen storage purposes,\(^\text{[28]}\) one might speculate that the special setting of the ionic liquid involving the above mentioned catalytically active carbene species may facilitate the dehydrogenative decomposition of formate. For an application as sorption media for thermal energy storage purposes this property, in turn, limits the thermal stability of formate-based ILs. The identity of the resulting hydrogen carbonates could be unambiguously confirmed by single-crystal structures for the propyl- and isopropyl imidazolium species 25 and 26. The hydrogen carbonate-based ILs 13, 19, 25, 26 show poorer solubility in polar organic solvents and also in DMSO compared with the above mentioned carboxylates and in addition they exhibit lower hygroscopic properties. Nevertheless, they need to be stored in a dry atmosphere, as well, to maintain the purity of the samples.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

The hygroscopic properties vary widely between ILs.\(^\text{[29]}\) Not only the rate of absorption, but also the reduction of the vapor pressure is an important criterion for sorbent selection for thermal storage applications. It has been shown that the anion plays a more significant role in the absorption process and, moreover, has a strong influence on the miscibility with water.\(^\text{[19, 30]}\) Water solubility over a wide range is a basic requirement for use as the absorbing medium in a sorption-based thermal storage system. It has been shown that there are different aspects of interaction of water with imidazolium-based ILs as a function of dilution.\(^\text{[7, 31]}\)

In addition to the analysis of the IR spectra, isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) provides a useful tool to determine the interaction between water and IL as a function of dilution. The energy released is dissipated as heat to the system, which can be monitored by ITC. Following a Born–Haber cycle, the underlying processes can be divided into the following fundamental steps:\(^\text{[32]}\)

1. separation of water to free water molecules (endothermic);
2. evaporation of the salt into the vacuum, consuming the lattice energy (endothermic);
3. hydration of ions with free water molecules (exothermic).

The sum of these processes is substantially determined by steps 2 and 3. With large lattice energy or small hydration energy, the solution process may well be endothermic.

Dilution energies of ILs

In the context of sorbents for thermal energy storage, the contribution of the hydration energy was mostly neglected so far. The main contribution in the heat releasing sorption step is the condensation energy, which renders about \(-44 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\text{H}_2\text{O}\).\(^\text{[33]}\) Moreover, the heat of solution is usually taken into consideration for sorption processes, although the tabulated values refer to low concentrations and infinite dilution, which differs substantially from the conditions actually used in thermal energy storage applications (e.g., \(44 \text{wt\% LiCl}\)).\(^\text{[34]}\) At higher concentrations, values of LiCl with about \(-5.4 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and CaCl\(_2\) with \(-2.55 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\text{H}_2\text{O}\) have been reported for technically important electrolytes.\(^\text{[34]}\)

As in a real sorption process only a limited concentration range is used, the heat of solution is not fully released. Therefore, we are convinced that only the heat of dilution over a certain concentration range is relevant to compare different liquid sorbents. By using ITC, we experimentally determined the energies of dilution for a series of ILs, in comparison to LiCl as a benchmark, which is currently in use in commercial devices (Figure 1). The ITC data show that the dilution energy of ILs can be quite substantial. In fact, several ILs provide substantially higher heats of dilution than LiCl. The most exothermic value was determined for \([\text{EMIM}][\text{Ac}]\) amounting to \(-14.5 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\text{H}_2\text{O}\), which is almost three times the value of LiCl and almost one third of the heat of condensation of water.

Changing the cation from \([\text{EMIM}][\text{Ac}]\) to \([\text{MMIM}][\text{Ac}]\) reduces the heat of dilution by approximately \(-2.7 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\). Moreover, \([\text{MMIM}][\text{Ac}]\) is liquid over a smaller concentration range and requires some initial water to become liquid at room temperature, making it less attractive for practical application. From the titration results, it is clear that the highest energies of dilution were found for acetate-based ILs. Thus, the heat of dilution of \([\text{EMIM}][\text{Ac}]\) is approximately \(-6.4 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\text{H}_2\text{O}\) larger than its formate analog and the corresponding value for \([\text{MMIM}][\text{Ac}]\) is approximately \(-3.6 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\text{H}_2\text{O}\) higher than its formate salt.

Also, the corresponding lactate salts, \([\text{MMIM}][\text{Lac}]\) and \([\text{EMIM}][\text{Lac}]\), release about \(-3.6 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\) and \(-5.5 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\) lower energies than the respective acetates.

Overall, the titrations indicate that for the ILs under investigation the anion largely determines the heat of dilution. Based on this, \([\text{EMIM}][\text{Ac}]\) shows a very good compromise between the strong interaction of ions with water and, moreover, it is liquid over the entire concentration range. Furthermore, the heat of dilution obtained for a concentration range relevant for application is not only substantially higher than that of LiCl, but amounts to one third of the condensation energy of water and therefore should be quite relevant for the overall performance of sorption-based thermal energy storage devices. For direct comparison, the energy released by the sorption of one mole of liquid water into 1 kg of liquid sorbent amounts
to 13.2 kJ for [EMIM][Ac] compared with 6.7 kJ for LiCl solution. Related to the volume, sorption of one mole of liquid water into one liter of liquid sorbent amounts to 13.3 kJ for [EMIM][Ac] versus 7.2 kJ for LiCl solution.

Determination of molecular structures

The molecular structures of compounds 3, 7, 12, 13, 19, 25–30 have been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Within the series of imidazolium structures, no large deviations of structural parameters within the cations or the respective anions are observed. Nevertheless, a medium strong hydrogen bond (O—H···H) between cation and anion is frequently observed, as exemplified by the molecular structures of choline-based 29 and 30 (Figures 2 and 3). From the solid-state data, no evidence for a zwitterionic character of the choline moiety can be derived.

Imidazolium-based ILs containing aliphatic side chains on nitrogen (3, 12, 13, and 19, Figures 4, 6, and 7) do not show any interactions in the packing between sheets. In the molecular structures of 7, 27, and 28 (Figure 5 and in the Supporting Information), the ethanol side chains are turned almost perpendicular to the respective imidazolium ring. The torsion angles (C11-N11-C14-C15) are 86(3)°, 109.99(62)°, and 89.47(30)°, respectively. Several hydrogen bonds are found in these structures and these bonds influence the unique packing within the solid state of ILs (Table 1). The presence of hydrogen bonds involving the "abnormal" C—H can be observed for 12, 13, 25, and 26. Owing to the lack of structural information for ILs in general, this feature is difficult to judge, however, it is closely related to findings for abnormal hydrogen bonding in NHCs.[8b, 35]

In structures 27 and 28, very weak hydrogen bonds (C—H···O) are observed and are electrostatically stabilized. Those bonds serve as bridges between two cations over an anion and form a sheet pattern in structure 28 and a herringbone pattern in structure 27 (Figure 5). These patterns are connected through another hydrogen bond, O—H···O. In structures 27 and 28, there is no evidence for zwitterionic moieties or conjugated acid of the corresponding anions.

The packing pattern of structure 12 (Figure 6) shows a wrinkled sheet motif, whereas the packing pattern of 13 (Figure 7) shows a slight bending of the planar motif, forming zigzag-like sheets. Structure 19 shows a pure planar sheet motif. Two cations are bridged by one anion through very weak hydrogen bonds.
The authors have described the packing of certain molecular structures, highlighting hydrogen bonds as a key feature in their interactions. For instance, Figure 5 illustrates the packing of a specific structure, showing how hydrogen bonds are distributed across the molecular system. The authors note the importance of hydrogen bonds in stabilizing the molecular arrangements, particularly in the context of imidazolium-based ionic liquids.

Table 1. Hydrogen bonds of 27, 28, 12, 13, 19, 26, and 25.

| Structure | Bond     | d (D–H) [Å] | d (H–A) [Å] | d (DH–A) [Å] | Angle (DH–A) [°] | Symmetry operator |
|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 27        | O11–H12–O21 | 0.84        | 1.82        | 2.651(6)     | 168             | x, 1 + y, z      |
|           | C11–H11–O22 | 0.92(6)     | 2.34(6)     | 3.246(7)     | 166(5)          | –x, –1 – y, –1/2 + z |
|           | C12–H12A–O22 | 0.95        | 2.17        | 3.099(7)     | 164             | 1/2 + x, – y, z  |
|           | C13–H13–O11 | 0.95        | 2.35        | 3.286(7)     | 168             |                  |
| 28        | O11–H12–O21 | 0.88(4)     | 1.79(4)     | 2.654(3)     | 166(3)          |                  |
|           | C11–H11–O22 | 0.91(4)     | 2.13(4)     | 3.032(3)     | 164(4)          |                  |
|           | C12–H12A–O21 | 0.97(4)    | 2.23(3)     | 3.196(3)     | 174(3)          |                  |
| 12        | C11–H11–O11 | 0.948(19)   | 2.109(19)   | 3.025(2)     | 162(117)        |                  |
|           | C12–H12–O21 | 0.920(19)   | 2.274(19)   | 3.158(2)     | 161(117)        |                  |
|           | C31–H31–O41 | 0.914(19)   | 2.082(19)   | 2.986(2)     | 169(117)        |                  |
|           | C32–H32–O42 | 0.957(19)   | 2.208(19)   | 3.092(2)     | 153(115)        |                  |
|           | C33–H33–O21 | 0.919(19)   | 2.252(19)   | 3.163(2)     | 170(716)        |                  |
| 13        | C11–H11–O22 | 0.96(4)     | 2.01(4)     | 2.973(3)     | 170(3)          |                  |
|           | C32–H32–O41 | 0.95        | 2.25        | 3.189(3)     | 170             |                  |
|           | C33–H33–O21 | 0.95        | 2.25        | 3.192(3)     | 170             |                  |
| 19        | C11–H11–O21 | 0.97(4)     | 2.05(3)     | 3.000(4)     | 167(3)          |                  |
|           | C13–H13–O21 | 0.95        | 2.21        | 3.095(4)     | 154             |                  |
| 26        | O22–H22–O23 | 0.931(17)   | 1.740(17)   | 2.659(10)    | 168(916)        |                  |
|           | C11–H11–O21 | 0.948(13)   | 2.095(13)   | 3.021(11)    | 165(311)        |                  |
|           | C12–H12–O23 | 0.937(13)   | 2.296(12)   | 3.172(10)    | 155(512)        |                  |
|           | C13–H13–O21 | 0.946(14)   | 2.246(14)   | 3.114(11)    | 152(211)        |                  |
| 25        | C11–H11–O11 | 0.90(2)     | 2.34(2)     | 3.136(2)     | 147(819)        |                  |
|           | C13–H13–O11 | 1.00(2)     | 2.23(2)     | 3.122(2)     | 148(418)        |                  |

The relationship between molecular volume and heat of dilution is also discussed, emphasizing the importance of these properties in the study of ionic liquids. The authors conclude with a summary of their findings, highlighting the significance of hydrogen bonds in the stabilization of molecular structures and the potential implications for the design of new ionic liquids.
The molecular volume ($V_m$) is defined as the sum of the individual volumes of the ions (for a binary salt of the formula AX).

$$V_m(AX) = V_{ion}(A^+) + V_{ion}(X^-)$$

For the calculation of the molecular volume, different methods exist. Jenkins et al.\cite{17a} use the ionic radii of the cation ($r^{+}$) to calculate the cationic volume ($V^+$).

$$V^+ = \frac{4\pi r^+^3}{3}$$

With this value, it is now possible to get the anionic volume ($V_-$) by subtraction of the cell volume ($V_{cell}$) divided by the number of formula units with the cationic volume (method A).

$$V_- = \frac{V_{cell}}{Z} - V^+$$

In this approach, however, the free volume between the ions is added to that of the anion, whereby the volume of the latter is artificially increased. Nevertheless, the molecular volume ($V_m$) in total remains correct by this calculation. An improved method was presented by the same authors in 2008 (method B).\cite{36} In this case, the free volume is now distributed equally between the cation and anion. In addition to the above mentioned methods, it is possible to separate the free volume from the molecular volume by calculation of the Hirshfeld surface\cite{37} within the unit cell of a crystal (method C).\cite{38}

Here, we use the experimental data of the substances 3, 7, 12, 13, 19, and 25–30 to determine the molecular volumes. Calculation of the individual volumes for the ILs are listed in Table 2.

In particular, the evaluation according to the older method shows a large deviation from the calculation of the Hirshfeld surface.
The plot of the dilution energy against the molecular volume of the anion (method C). Experimental measurements carried out for the MMIM salts (top) and the EMIM salts (bottom) at a 1:1 molar ratio of IL/water. For the lactate salts, no crystal structure is available and therefore the volume according to method A has been included for completeness.

Figure 9. Plot of the dilution energy against the molecular volume of the anion (method C). Experimental measurements carried out for the MMIM salts (top) and the EMIM salts (bottom) at a 1:1 molar ratio of IL/water. For the lactate salts, no crystal structure is available and therefore the volume according to method A has been included for completeness.

From the graphs it is clearly visible that not only the size of the anion, but also its nature influences the heat of dilution. The decrease in the heat of dilution for a titration of ILs with inorganic anions is close to linear. With increasing size, the effective charge decreases, which also reduces the size of the hydration shell. The compounds with organic anions provide a much larger heat of dilution despite of an almost identical size. This may be explained either by a stronger interaction between water and the anions, or by a weaker interaction between the anion and cation. The anion–cation interaction is also reflected in the melting points of these ILs, which are above room temperature for the halides, nitrates, and formates, but below room temperature for the acetates and lactates. As mentioned above, the charge density at the surface of the ions is expected to be relevant for the anion–cation interaction. Furthermore, the latter may be less efficient for anisotropic anionic entities of low symmetry, which would agree with our findings. Surprising to us, the symmetry of the cation seems to be less important. Therefore, the trends of the released heats for the [MMIM] and the [EMIM] cations are almost identical. In both cases, the acetate species renders a larger heat of dilution compared with the corresponding formate, even though it has a smaller ionic radius, and thus a higher effective charge. In all exothermic titrations, no endothermic signal forms could be observed, which would suggest a separation of the cation from the anion by water. As outlined before, the anion largely determines the heat of dilution. However, cations with functional groups such as ethers or alcohols may also influence this energy (Figure 10). Moreover, strong anion–cation interaction may further limit the interaction with absorbed water as, for instance, in lithium acetate, which shows only limited solubility in water and very low dilution energies (Figure 1).

A comparison of the acetate salts of different organic cations shows energies between $-4.7 \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $-9.0 \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \text{H}_2\text{O}$. The results show no comprehensive trend. Structural variation of the cations indicates that the energies depend on the functional groups but also on the molecular volume. The imidazolium cations with straight alkyl chains ([MMIM], [EMIM], [PMIM]) release comparable heat.

Analysis of the solution process of ILs

ITC provides the possibility to record the energy profile of the dilution or dissolution of a substance, by partial addition of water. This method does not only allow us to measure the heat energy exchange but also tracks different stages of the hydration processes on incremental addition of water in the μL range. The different hydration behavior can be related to differences in the crystal structures of the ILs under discussion.

During ITC measurements of the neat substances, compounds 7, 12, 13, 27–30 show a transition from an initial endothermic to a subsequent exothermic process. Depending on the substance, this transition occurs after addition of only very small amounts of water.

In the case of [MMIM][Ac] 12, the transition from endothermic to an exothermic signal occurs at a ratio of about 14:1 of IL to water, which amounts to 24.4 kJ mol$^{-1}$H$_2$O. This corresponds to a mole fraction of 93.28%.

At this high concentration, it may be more meaningful to describe the mixture as a solution of water in the ionic liquid. Therefore, initial processes such as breaking the crystal lattice and entropy-driven cleavage of attractive forces among water molecules in favor of water–IL interactions may result in the observed endothermic signal. With increasing amounts of water, the exothermic contribution of the solution process predominates this behavior, which could be attributed to ion hydration. The amount of heat released by this process increases up to a value of about $-12 \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \text{H}_2\text{O}$ at a mole fraction of 73.51 % and a ratio of about 3:1 IL to H$_2$O. In addition, the solution process was independently tracked outside

Figure 10. A comparison of the heat of dilution for different cations with the acetate anion shows that highest dilution enthalpies are achieved for alkyl imidazolium cations. Ether or alcohol functional groups lower the dilution enthalpies. The reported energy values refer to a 1:1 molar ratio of IL/water.
of the calorimeter and this confirmed an initial decrease followed by a subsequent increase in sample temperature.

By changing from acetate to formate 13 with the same cation, 1,3-dimethylimidazolium, the transition from endothermic to exothermic was observed at a ratio of 2:1 IL/H$_2$O. The maximum exothermic quantity is released at a ratio of 3:2 IL/H$_2$O with an energy of $-8.1$ kJ mol$^{-1}$H$_2$O.

A similar solution behavior in the titration analysis was found for the alcohol-substituted imidazolium salts 27 and 28. For an endothermic–exothermic transition in the case of the acetate species, a ratio of about 16:1 IL/H$_2$O is required, whereas the formate species requires a ratio of 4:1 IL/H$_2$O for an exothermic titration signal.

For compound 29, this transition is located in an even narrower concentration range. At a ratio of 3:1, a strong endothermic peak at about 8.2 kJ mol$^{-1}$H$_2$O was measured, whereas the amount of heat increases at a ratio of 5:2 to approximately $-8.2$ kJ mol$^{-1}$H$_2$O. Again—as for all other titrations—the maximum amount of heat released by the process could be associated with a transition from the solid to the liquid state. In contrast to the imidazolium-based ILs, the endothermic–exothermic transition of [Cholin][Form] 30 occurs at a higher ratio than that of the acetate species 29. The calculation results in a ratio of 13:1 and a maximum endothermic signal of 12.0 kJ mol$^{-1}$H$_2$O. This increases to an exothermic signal of $-6.3$ kJ mol$^{-1}$H$_2$O at a ratio of 5:1. A survey of the ratios of IL/H$_2$O and the endothermic to exothermic transition is summarized in Table 3.

![Table 3](https://example.com/table.png)

| Ratio IL/H$_2$O | [12] | [13] | [29] | [30] |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|
| $\Delta H_{\text{max}}$ | 3:1 | 3:2 | 5:2 | 5:1 |
| endotherm—exotherm | 14:1 | 2:1 | 3:1 | 4:1 |
| Ratio IL/H$_2$O | [27] | [28] | [7] |
| $\Delta H_{\text{max}}$ | 4:1 | 2:1 | 1:1 |
| endotherm—exotherm | 16:1 | 4:1 | 6:5 |

**Conclusion**

We have determined the molecular structures of a series of ionic liquids in the solid state by X-ray diffraction. Based on these experimental structural data, the molecular volumes of the ions constituting the ILs have been derived. Furthermore, the heat flow upon addition of water of the same ILs has been determined and related to the previously obtained molecular volumes. For the more symmetric inorganic anions, such as halides and nitrate, a linear relationship between energy and dilution and molecular volume of the anion could be established. In turn, higher energies of dilution have been observed for the lower symmetric organic anions, such as acetate, formate, and lactate, which may likely be attributed to the reduced lattice energies/cation–anion interactions for the latter, leaving them more prone to interaction with water. In particular, high dilution energies have been observed for imidazolium acetates, which in the context of water sorption amount to roughly one third of the condensation energy of water itself. These results provide guidance for the design and selection of liquid electrolytes for applications such as thermal energy storage systems for improved energy management in industrial and domestic settings.

**Experimental Section**

All chemicals were purchased and partially purified by standard techniques, as describe in the literature. To ensure the high purity of the ionic liquids, some reactants, such as 1-methylimidazole, and the solvents were distilled and dried if necessary. The syntheses were carried out under standard inert gas conditions. The reactions were carried out by means of the resin Amberlyst A-26 in the OH$^-$ form, which was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich.

The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded with a MR 400 MHz Varian spectrometer (measurement frequencies: $^1$H = 400 MHz, $^{13}$C = 100.5 MHz). The chemical shifts are reported in ppm and the coupling constants in Hz. The solvents were CDCl$_3$, D$_2$DMSO, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, and D$_2$O. For $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR measurements, the respective solvent peaks were used as the internal standard.

X-ray diffraction measurements were performed with a Stoe Stadi-Vari with Dectris Pilatus 200 K detector or Stoe IPDS 2 with STOE image plate detector (diameter 34 cm), both using monochromatic Cd K$_\alpha$ radiation from a Mo Genix source with $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å. The structures were solved by using direct methods (SHELXL-2014) and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques against $F^2$ (SHELXL-2014). The non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters without any constraints. The H atoms on the imidazolium rings, located at the carbon between two nitrogen atoms, were found in the differential Fourier map and refined without any constrains. Further programs used for analysis and visualization of structural information include WinGX, Parst, and Mercu-

ry.$^{42}$ Details of the structure determinations and refinement for 3, 7, 12, 13, 19, 25–30 are summarized in Table 4–6. CCDC 1483338–1483348 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

The thermal analysis of the heat of dilution and the heat of the solution process was carried out with a TAM III from TA Instruments, equipped with a nanocalorimeter. The isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) was carried out in 1 mL and 4 mL glass ampoules. The ITC measurements were carried out in part with solids without stirring or with the appropriate solutions in water, with stirring frequencies between 100 rpm and 200 rpm. As a stirrer, a gold propeller was used. The added amount of water and the operating time between injections were adjusted to the respective experiment. It should be noted that the statistical error of each measurement is smaller if more measurements are taken in this concentration range. The concentration given in mass percent [m%] or [mole L$^{-1}$] always refers to the concentration at the start of the injection of water. The greater the amount of water in relation to the presented mass, the greater the concentration range covered in the measurement. As the samples were measured and handled at isobaric conditions in ambient air with relative humidity [RH] between 30% RH and 50% RH, minimal absorption of water before the start of the experiment could not be avoided (Table 7). The
preparation took about 30 min. The maximum measured rate of absorption of water from the air by using ionic liquids is 2.1 mg H₂O kg⁻¹ at an area of 0.95 cm² (corresponding to the bottom surface of the ampoule), at a temperature of 23 °C, and a relative humidity of 54%. The average of weighed mass within the measuring ampoule is 500 mg. This results in an error of the water content of about 0.2%, starting from an initial water content in the 1000 ppm range.

The determination of the water content was performed in a coulometric titration by using the device 7500 TitroLine KF trace of SI Analytics. The solid substances were dissolved in a suitable solvent, the water content of which had been previously determined.

Synthesis

1,3-Dimethylimidazolium iodide (3): The synthesis of substance 3 was performed according to Arduengo et al.¹⁹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, D₂JDMSO): δ: 9.06 (s, 1H), 7.69 (s, 2H), 3.85 ppm (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100.5 MHz, D₂JDMSO): δ: 136.9, 123.5, 121.8, 45.1, 36.5, 15.6 ppm; m.p.: 72 °C.

1-Propyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide (5): The synthesis of substance 5 was performed according to Burrell et al.¹⁹² H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ: 10.36 (s, 1H), 7.51 (s, 1H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 4.27 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.06 (s, 3H), 1.94 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 0.96 ppm (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ: 137.8, 123.6, 122.2, 51.6, 36.7, 23.8, 10.7 ppm.

1-Isopropyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide (6): The synthesis of substance 6 was performed according to Burrell et al.¹⁹² H NMR (500 MHz, D₂JDMSO): δ: 9.38 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.77 (s, 1H), 4.65 (sept., J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 1.46 ppm (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100.5 MHz, D₂JDMSO): δ: 135.4, 123.6, 120.4, 52.1, 44.3, 123.5, 55.6 ppm; m.p.: 79 °C.

1-Hydroxyethyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide (7): The synthesis of substance 7 was performed according to Han et al.¹⁹³ H NMR (500 MHz, D₂JDMSO): δ: 9.12 (s, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 5.16 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (t, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.73 ppm (q, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100.5 MHz, D₂JDMSO): δ: 136.7, 123.2, 122.5, 59.2, 51.5, 35.6 ppm; m.p.: 90 °C.

---

Table 4. Details of the structural determinations and refinement for 3, 7, 12, and 13.

| Empirical formula       | C₅H₇N₀   | C₅H₇BrN₀   | C₅H₇N₂O   | C₅H₇N₂O₃ |
|-------------------------|----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Formula weight          | 224.04   | 207.08     | 156.19     | 142.16    |
| Crystal description     | Colorless plate | Colorless block | Colorless block | Colorless block |
| Crystal size [mm]       | 0.320 x 0.223 x 0.060 | 0.320 x 0.280 x 0.220 | 0.600 x 0.430 x 0.240 | 0.440 x 0.240 x 0.210 |
| Crystal system, space group | orthorhombic, Pbca | monoclinic, P₂₁ | monoclinic, P₂₁/c | triclinic, P₁ |
| Radiation, λ [Å]        | Mo, 0.7103 | Mo, 0.7103 | Mo, 0.7103 | Mo, 0.7103 |
| Monochromator           | plane graphite | plane graphite | graded multilayer mirror | plane graphite |
| T [K]                   | 173(2)   | 173(2)     | 173(2)     | 173(2)    |
| Unit cell dimensions:   |          |            |            |           |
| a [Å]                   | 8.9928(9) | 7.7270(7)  | 9.8803(6)  | 6.5765(6) |
| b [Å]                   | 17.1834(17) | 7.1871(4) | 16.0002(11) | 8.3521(8) |
| c [Å]                   | 10.5979(16) | 8.5148(4) | 10.3395(6) | 13.8883(13) |
| α [°]                   | 90.00    | 90.00      | 90.00      | 101.16(7) |
| β [°]                   | 90.00    | 109.04(8)  | 95.177(5)  | 94.08(7)  |
| γ [°]                   | 90.00    | 90.00      | 90.00      | 94.50(7)  |
| V [Å³]                  | 1619.4(3) | 425.67(6)  | 1627.87(18) | 743.19(12) |
| Z                        | 8        | 2          | 8          | 4         |
| Calculated density      | 1.838    | 1.616      | 1.275      | 1.271     |
| F(000)                  | 848      | 208        | 672        | 304       |
| Linear absorption coefficient μ [mm⁻¹] | 3.689 | 4.768 | 0.949 | 0.997 |
| Absorption correction   | Integration | Integration | Integration | Integration |
| Unit cell determination | STOE x-red | STOE x-red | STOE x-red | STOE x-red |
| Diffractionometer        | STOE IPSD 2 | STOE IPSD 2 | STOE StdVari | STOE IPSD 2 |
| Radiation source         | Mo-genix | Mo-genix | Mo-genix | Mo-genix |
| Scan type                | Omega    | Omega      | Omega      | Omega     |
| θ range for data collection | 11.8–25.20 | 2.52–25.87 | 1.98–28.30 | 1.50–25.92 |
| Index ranges             | −10 < h < 9 | −8 < h < 8 | −11 < h < 12 | −7 < h < 7 |
|                          | −20 < k < 20 | −8 < k < 8 | −19 < k < 19 | −9 < k < 8 |
|                          | −12 < l < 10 | −9 < l < 10 | −7 < l < 12 | −16 < l < 16 |
| Refl. collected/unique  | 5398/1382 | 2341/1521 | 17262/3184 | 5769/2587 |
| Significant unique refl. | 1174 | 1459 | 2485 | 2169 |
| R(int), R(sigma)         | 0.0361, 0.0234 | 0.0407, 0.0239 | 0.0868, 0.0416 | 0.0241, 0.0226 |
| Completeness to θ = 26.0° | 0.989 | 0.986 | 0.997 | 0.966 |
| Refinement method        | SHELEX-2014 | SHELEX-2014 | SHELEX-2014 | SHELEX-2014 |
| Data/parameters/restraints | 1382/78/0 | 1521/98/0 | 3184/229/0 | 2587/191/0 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F²    | 1.068    | 1.705      | 1.051      | 1.073     |
| Final R indices [I² > 2σ(I)] | 0.0240 | 0.0497 | 0.0498 | 0.0710 |
| R indices (all data)     | 0.0302   | 0.0527     | 0.0648     | 0.0784    |
| Largest difference peak/hole [eÅ⁻³] | 0.50/−0.618 | 1.351/−0.832 | 0.237/−0.171 | 0.363/−0.273 |
The halide anions of the imidazolium (13-Carboxymethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (9)) were exchanged in a subsequent step by using an ion exchange column according to Alcalde et al. The completeness of the anion exchange was determined by comparison of the 1H NMR spectra between the anion and cation and in addition with a silver test. Furthermore, the water content was determined by Karl Fischer titration. Owing to their hygroscopic nature, all compounds were stored under a dry atmosphere by using a dry box or Schlenk techniques after drying. Drying was performed by heating the corresponding IL in a Schlenk flask at 65 °C (water bath) under vacuum (10⁻³ mbar) for one day.

### Table 5: Details of the structure determinations and refinement for 19, 25–27.

|   | 19     | 25     | 26     | 27     |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| **Empirical formula** | C₃H₅N₂O₂ | C₃H₅N₂O₂ | C₃H₅N₂O₂ | C₃H₅N₂O₂ |
| **Formula weight** | 156.19 | 186.21 | 186.21 | 186.21 |
| **Crystal description** | colorless block | colorless plate | colorless block | colorless block |
| **Crystal size [mm]** | 0.600 x 0.590 x 0.310 | 0.320 x 0.310 x 0.030 | 0.540 x 0.300 x 0.280 | 0.600 x 0.440 x 0.320 |
| **Crystal system, space group** | monoclinic, P2/n | monoclinic, C2/c | triclinic, PI | orthorhombic, Pca2₁ |
| **Radiation, λ [Å]** | Mo,Kα 0.71073 | Mo,Kα 0.71073 | Mo,Kα 0.71073 | Mo,Kα 0.71073 |
| **Monochromator** | plane graphite | plane graphite | graded multilayer mirror | plane graphite |
| **T [K]** | 100(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) | 173(2) |
| **Unit cell dimensions:** | | | | |
| a [Å] | 6.673(12) | 11.3813(9) | 7.1348(5) | 13.6794(16) |
| b [Å] | 15.835(3) | 10.8536(6) | 9.2918(7) | 4.8778(4) |
| c [Å] | 7.3475(11) | 15.3693(13) | 9.1483(8) | 14.3518(13) |
| α [°] | 90.00 | 90.00 | 92.981(2) | 90.00 |
| β [°] | 94.892(13) | 100.009(6) | 108.922(6) | 90.00 |
| γ [°] | 90.00 | 90.00 | 101.902(6) | 90.00 |
| **Volume [Å³]** | 767.1(2) | 1869.6(2) | 4746.4(7) | 9573.6(16) |
| **Z** | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 |
| **Calculated density** | 1.352 | 1.323 | 1.303 | 1.292 |
| **F(000)** | 336 | 800 | 200 | 400 |
| **Linear absorption coefficient μ [mm⁻¹]** | 0.100 | 0.102 | 0.100 | 0.099 |
| **Absorption correction** | none | none | none | none |
| **Unit cell determination** | STOE x-red | STOE x-red | STOE x-red | STOE x-red |
| **Diffractionometer** | STOE IPDS 1 | STOE IPDS 2 | STOE IPDS 2 | STOE IPDS 2 |
| **Radiation Source** | Mo-genix | Mo-genix | Mo-genix | Mo-genix |
| **Scan type** | omega | omega | omega | omega |
| **θ range for data collection** | 1.29–25.86 | 2.61–26.17 | 2.37–31.91 | 2.06–25.84 |
| **Index ranges** | –7 < h < 7 | –13 < h < 13 | –10 < h < 9 | –14 < h < 16 |
| | –18 < k < 16 | –12 < k < 11 | –10 < k < 11 | –5 < k < 5 |
| | –6 < l < 8 | –12 < l < 12 | –17 < l < 17 | –12 < l < 17 |
| **Ref. collected/unique** | 2745/1339 | 4197/1651 | 10289/2563 | 4095/1679 |
| **Significant unique refl.** | 1068 | 1436 | 2395 | 1491 |
| **R(int), R(sigma)** | 0.0471, 0.0359 | 0.0479, 0.0383 | 0.0238, 0.0126 | 0.1606, 0.0869 |
| **Completeness to θ = 26.0°** | 0.990 | 0.995 | 1.000 | 0.971 |
| **Refinement method** | SHELXL-2014 | SHELXL-2014 | SHELXL-2014 | SHELXL-2014 |
| **Data/parameters/restraints** | 1339/106/0 | 1651/138/1 | 2663/170/0 | 1679/124/2 |
| **Goodness-of-fit on F²** | 1.139 | 1.036 | 1.041 | 1.061 |
| **Final R indices (l > 2σ(l))** | 0.0703 | 0.0460 | 0.0306 | 0.0786 |
| **R indices (all data)** | 0.0874 | 0.0519 | 0.0342 | 0.0838 |
| **Largest difference peak/hole [e Å⁻³]** | 0.287/–0.354 | 0.219/–0.209 | 0.329/–0.191 | 0.212/–0.380 |

1.3-Dimethylimidazolium chloride (11): 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.82 (s, 1H), 7.44 (s, 2H), 3.76 ppm (s, 6H); 13C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 137.0, 137.2, 123.4, 49.9, 35.8 ppm; m.p.: 187°C.

1,3-Dimethylimidazolium bromide (10): 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.27 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 2H), 4.05 ppm (s, 6H); 13C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 137.9, 123.4, 36.7 ppm.

1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (16): 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.49 (s, 1H), 7.49 (m, 2H), 4.36 ppm (s, 3H), 3.95 ppm (s, 6H); 13C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 136.1, 122.8, 121.1, 45.1, 36.3, 15.9 ppm.
Table 6. Details of the structure determinations and refinement for 28–30.

| 28 | 29 | 30 |
|----|----|----|
| **Empirical formula** | C$_8$H$_{14}$N$_3$O$_5$ | C$_9$H$_{16}$NO$_4$ | C$_9$H$_{16}$NO$_4$ |
| **Formula weight** | 172.19 | 163.21 | 149.19 |
| **Crystal description** | colorless block | colorless plate | colorless block |
| **Crystal size (mm)** | 0.410 x 0.260 x 0.230 | 0.510 x 0.350 x 0.040 | 0.370 x 0.250 x 0.160 |
| **Crystal system, space group** | monoclinic, P2$_1$ | monoclinic, P2$_1$ | monoclinic, P2$_1$/c |
| **Radiation, λ (Å)** | Mo$_{0.71073}$ | Mo$_{0.71073}$ | Mo$_{0.71073}$ |
| **Monochromator** | plane graphite | plane graphite | graded multilayer mirror |
| **T [K]** | 100(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) |
| **Unit cell dimensions:** | | | |
| a (Å) | 7.1391(10) | 7.6817(7) | 13.7853(7) |
| b (Å) | 7.0065(6) | 7.1487(6) | 10.0737(3) |
| c (Å) | 9.2611(12) | 8.4593(7) | 11.5454(6) |
| α [°] | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| β [°] | 111.787(10) | 102.581(7) | 90.480(4) |
| γ [°] | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| V [Å$^3$] | 430.18(19) | 453.38(7) | 1602.96(13) |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| **Calculated density** | 1.329 | 1.196 | 1.236 |
| **F(000)** | 184 | 180 | 656 |
| **Linear absorption coefficient μ (mm$^{-1}$)** | 0.104 | 0.092 | 0.098 |
| **Absorption correction** | integration | integration | integration |
| **Unit cell determination** | STOE x-red | STOE x-red | STOE x-red |
| **Diffractometer** | STOE IPDS 2 | STOE IPDS 2 | STOE StadiVари |
| **Radiation source** | Mo-genix | Mo-genix | Mo-genix |
| **Scan type** | omega | omega | omega |
| **θ range for data collection** | 2.37–25.89 | 2.47–25.84 | 2.31–25.86 |
| **Index ranges** | –8 < h < 8 | –9 < h < 9 | –14 < h < 16 |
| | –8 < k < 8 | –8 < k < 8 | –11 < k < 12 |
| | –11 < l < 11 | –10 < l < 8 | –13 < l < 13 |
| **Refl. collected/unique** | 3414/1492 | 3306/1589 | 12312/2999 |
| **Significant unique refl.** | 1450 | 1480 | 2429 |
| **R(int), R(sigma)** | 0.0355, 0.0227 | 0.0844, 0.0548 | 0.0724, 0.0407 |
| **Completeness to θ = 26.0°** | 0.986 | 0.966 | 0.998 |
| **Refinement method** | SHEXL-2014 | SHEXL-2014 | SHEXL-2014 |
| **Data/parameters/restraints** | 1492/123/2 | 1589/107/1 | 2909/193/0 |
| **Goodness-of-fit on F$^2$** | 1.060 | 1.099 | 1.055 |
| **Final R indices [I>2σ(I)]** | 0.0384 | 0.0514 | 0.0442 |
| **R indices (all data)** | 0.0391 | 0.0547 | 0.0519 |
| **Largest difference peak/hole [eÅ$^{-3}$]** | 0.127/–0.154 | 0.205/–0.211 | 0.218/–0.248 |

1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide (17): $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 10.15 (s, 1H), 7.40 (m, 1H), 7.38 (m, 1H), 4.36 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.06 (s, 3H), 1.59 ppm (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100.5 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 136.8, 123.6, 121.9, 45.1, 36.5, 15.6 ppm.

1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate (18): $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 11.23 (s, 1H), 7.35 (m, 2H), 4.30 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 1.79 (s, 3H), 1.50 ppm (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100.5 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 176.5, 139.5, 123.0, 121.2, 44.9, 36.0, 25.1, 15.3 ppm.

1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium formate (19): $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 10.49 (s, 1H), 8.69 (s, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 4.24 (q, J = 7.43, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 1.42 ppm (t, J = 4.64, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (100.5 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 167.7, 139.6, 122.7, 120.9, 45.0, 36.1, 15.2 ppm; m.p.: 36 °C.

1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium lactate (20): $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 10.51 (s, 1H), 7.39 (m, 2H), 4.30 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.77 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.21 ppm (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100.5 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 179.6, 138.5, 123.2, 121.4, 67.9, 45.0, 36.1, 21.4, 15.3 ppm.

1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium nitrate (21): $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 9.93 (s, 1H), 7.37 (m, 1H), 7.36 (m, 1H), 4.30 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 1.55 ppm (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100.5 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 137.7, 123.3, 121.6, 45.3, 36.3, 15.2 ppm.

1-Propyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate (22): $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$Cl$_2$): δ = 11.14 (s, 1H), 7.41 (m, 1H), 7.36 (m, 1H), 4.21 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 1.88 (qt, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.72 (s, 3H).
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