Frailty in Older Adults Is Associated With Plasma Concentrations of Inflammatory Mediators but Not With Lymphocyte Subpopulations
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Frailty denotes a multidimensional syndrome that gives rise to vulnerability to stressors and leads to an increase of the age-related decline of different physiological systems and cognitive abilities. Aging-related alterations of the immune system may compromise its competence culminating in a chronic low-grade inflammation state. Thus, it has been proposed that frailty is associated with alterations in the concentration of pro-inflammatory molecules and in different lymphocyte subpopulations. To provide further support to the validity of that hypothesis, we conducted a cross-sectional study in a population of Spanish older adults (N = 259, aged 65 and over) classified according to their frailty status. Biomarkers analyzed included percentages of several lymphocyte subsets and several inflammation mediators, namely concentrations of interleukin 6 (IL6), C-reactive protein (CRP), tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), and 75 kDa soluble TNFα receptor II (sTNF-RII). Reference ranges for the inflammation mediators were established for the first time in robust older adults. A significant increase in the CD4+/CD8+ ratio and a significant decrease in the % CD19+ cells were observed in the frail group. Progressive increases with frailty severity were obtained in all inflammatory mediator concentrations, especially notable for IL6 and sTNF-RII. Area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve obtained for sTNF-RII (0.90, 95% CI 0.85–0.94, P < 0.001) indicates a high accuracy in the predictive value of this biomarker for frailty. Although results from the current study revealed limited strength associations between frailty and the lymphocyte subsets assessed, data obtained for the inflammatory mediators provide further support to involvement of inflammaging in frailty status in older adults.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, world population is experiencing an unstoppable aging situation due to the increasing life expectancy and low birth rates, and this trend is evident from the most developed countries to the lowest income regions (1). The 2015 Aging Report by the European Commission has estimated, comparing European population in 2013 and 2060, that young people (aged 0–14) will remain...
constant (around 15%), that people aged 15–64 will decline slightly from 66 to 57%, but older adults will increase notably: from 18 to 28% those aged 65 and over, and from 5 to 12% those aged 80 and over; this last group will almost become as numerous as the young population in 2060 (2). This rise in the older population, together with the associated sanitary, social, and economic implications, has increased the global interest in the study of aging processes and age-related conditions (3).

Due to the fact that aging manifestations are very heterogeneous, chronological age is not a proper indicator of aging. In this context, the term frailty has been coined as a more precise measurement of aging signs and symptoms. Frailty denotes a multidimensional syndrome of loss of energy, physical ability, cognition, and health that gives rise to vulnerability to stressors (4). This vulnerability leads to a significant increase of the age-related decline of different physiological systems and cognitive abilities, and eventually to comorbidity, disability, hospitalization, and death in older adults (5). Frailty-associated physiological dysregulation involves multi-organ systems including the musculoskeletal, immune, endocrine, hematologic, nervous, and cardiovascular systems (6, 7). Moreover, the existence of an association between frailty and the development of several age-related diseases, such as cardiovascular disease (8), cancer (9), osteoporosis (10), and Alzheimer’s disease (11) is currently accepted.

One of the most commonly used criteria to assess frailty are those developed by Linda Fried and colleagues (12). Due to their simplicity and ease of implementation, it is the most widely used in clinic and research on frailty. Definition according to these criteria represents a phenotypic description of frailty based on the presence or absence of five very specific components related to physical fitness and metabolism (muscle weakness, low gait speed, unintentional weight loss, exhaustion, and low physical activity). Based on Fred’s definition, the prevalence of frailty in community-dwelling Spanish older populations is 3.7–16.3% (13–15), but it can reach 68.8% in institutionalized older people (16). Since it has been demonstrated that frailty is potentially preventable and can be even reverted in its primary stages (17, 18), early detection of frail subjects is critical.

Inflammation is a necessary response of the immune system to different harmful conditions such as infection and injury. This acute and transient immune response resulting in elevated production of cytokines and acute phase proteins facilitates the repair, turnover, and adaptation of many tissues. But when inflammation becomes chronic, often associated with aging or age-related diseases, it seems to have detrimental effects (19). Aging-related alterations of the immune system that compromise its competence are defined as “immunosenescence,” phenomenon partially responsible for increased autoimmunity, raised prevalence, and severity of infectious diseases, and lower efficacy of vaccination in older adults (20). The main feature of immunosenescence is the change in the cellular composition of the T-cell compartment, including a decrease in the number of naïve phenotype cells, in association with an increase in the number of memory phenotype cells, all of which culminate a pro-inflammatory state, called “inflammaging,” diminishing the immune system capacity to respond to new antigens (21). This chronic low-grade inflammation is characterized by increases in the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 6 (IL6), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), and its soluble receptors [sTNF-RI] and 75 kDa soluble TNFα receptor II (sTNF-RII)], as well as acute phase proteins such as C-reactive protein (CRP), which impair the maintenance of immunological homeostasis (22).

Inflammaging has been postulated to be an underlying mechanism of frailty, acting either directly or indirectly through its negative influence on other physiological systems (23, 24). Thus, studies testing the hypothesis that frailty is associated with alterations in the concentration of immune activation markers, pro-inflammatory molecules, and in different lymphocyte subpopulations are increasing in the last years (25–29), although clear definitive conclusions have not been reached yet.

Hence, in order to provide further support to the validity of that hypothesis and to increase the body of evidence connecting frailty within inflamming and immunosenescence biomarkers, we conducted a cross-sectional study in a population of older adults (N = 259, aged 65 and over) classified according to their frailty status following Fried’s criteria (12). Biomarkers analyzed included percentages of several lymphocyte subsets (CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, CD19+, and CD16+56+), and concentrations of IL6, CRP, sTNF-RII, and TNFα. Besides, the influence of clinical parameters, namely, nutritional and functional status, was also explored.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics Statement**

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of A Coruña Ethics Committee (reference number CE 18/2014). The study was conducted according to the Helsinki Declaration and International Conference of Harmonization guidelines. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants, or their relatives in case of inability.

**Study Subjects**

In total, 259 individuals aged 65–102 years were recruited from Galicia, North-western Spain. They were contacted through associations of older or retired people, day-care centers, and nursing homes. Table 1 shows the general characteristics of the study population, classified according to the frailty status. The small number of current smokers and ex-smokers (N = 5 and N = 49, respectively) motivated to join them all in a new category, “ever smokers.” Similarly, malnourished individuals (N = 14) and individuals at risk of malnutrition (N = 80) were included together in a single category.

Participants were individually assessed at the centers, by interviewers specially trained in clinical evaluation to unify criteria, and all completed a questionnaire to assess demographic, lifestyle, and medical information. Participants were excluded if they did not possess the necessary skills to be assessed or denied signing the informed consent. Exclusion criteria also included taking medications included in the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical category L (antineoplastic or immunomodulating agents) (30), and having any chronic infection, autoimmune disease, or cancer, since these conditions are directly related to immune system dysfunctions and, consequently, they could act as confounders.
TABLE 1 | Description of the study population.

|                          | Non-frail | Pre-frail | Frail | P     |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|
| Total Individuals N (%)  | 40 (15.4) | 131 (50.6)| 88 (34.0) |       |
| Gender N (%)             |           |           |       |       |
| Males                    | 27 (67.5) | 36 (27.5) | 22 (25.0) | <0.001b |
| Females                  | 13 (22.5) | 95 (72.5) | 66 (75.0) |       |
| Age (years-old)*         | 73.2 ± 5.5 (65–85) | 77.0 ± 7.7 (85–100) | 85.8 ± 7.9 (85–102) | <0.001c |
| 65–69                    | 13 (32.5) | 29 (22.1) | 2 (2.3) | <0.001c |
| 70–74                    | 11 (27.5) | 26 (19.9) | 4 (4.6) |       |
| 75–79                    | 10 (25.0) | 24 (18.3) | 13 (14.9) |       |
| 80–84                    | 5 (12.5)  | 27 (20.6) | 14 (16.1) |       |
| ≥85                      | 1 (2.5)  | 25 (19.1) | 54 (62.1) |       |
| Smoking habit N (%)      |           |           |       |       |
| Non-smokers              | 22 (55.0) | 102 (78.5)| 76 (90.5) | <0.001c |
| Ever smokers             | 18 (45.0) | 28 (21.5) | 8 (9.5)   |       |
| No. cigarettes/day*      | 16.1 ± 8.8 (3–40) | 15.7 ± 13.9 (2–60) | 31.4 ± 15.7 (2–60) | 0.020c |
| Years smoking*           | 19.4 ± 9.1 (10–34) | 30.4 ± 18.7 (4–66) | 29.3 ± 18.2 (8–52) | 0.154c |
| Comorbidity N (%)        |           |           |       |       |
| No comorbidity           | 34 (85.0) | 92 (70.2) | 52 (59.8) | 0.015b |
| Comorbidity              | 6 (15.0)  | 39 (29.8) | 35 (40.2) |       |
| Living conditions N (%)  |           |           |       |       |
| Family home              | 40 (100.0)| 113 (86.3)| 5 (5.7)  | <0.001b |
| Family home + daycare center | –    | 4 (3.1)  | 23 (26.1) |       |
| Nursing home             | –         | 14 (10.6) | 60 (68.2) |       |

*Mean ± SD (range).
*Chi-square test (bilateral).
*ANOVA test (bilateral).

Whole blood samples were obtained by venipuncture and collected into vacutainer tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid between 9:30 h and 12:30 h and transported immediately to the lab. Fresh whole blood samples were used for the analysis of lymphocyte subsets. After centrifugation at 2,300 rpm for 10 min to obtain plasma, samples were aliquoted and stored at −80°C until analysis of inflammatory mediators. All samples were coded at the moment of collection to ensure a “blind” study.

Frailty Status
Frailty status of each participant was assessed according to the five phenotypic criteria proposed by Fried et al. (12). These criteria are based on the presence or absence of specific phenotypic components:

(i) Unintentional weight loss (i.e., not due to dieting or exercise): at least 4.5 kg in the past year,
(ii) Self-reported exhaustion: identified by two questions from the Spanish version (31) of the modified 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression (CES-D) scale (32),
(iii) Weakness: grip strength in the lowest 20% at baseline, adjusted for gender and body mass index,
(iv) Slow walking speed: the slowest 20% at baseline, based on time to walk 15 ft, adjusting for gender and standing height, and
(v) Low physical activity: the lowest 20% at baseline, based on a weighted score of kilocalories expended per week, calculated according to the Spanish validation (33) of the Minnesota Leisure Time Activity questionnaire (34) according to each participant’s report, and adjusting for gender.

Frailty was defined as the presence of three or more of these characteristics, pre-frailty in case of one or two of them present, and the absence of all five determined a non-frail state.

Comorbidity
General comorbidity and number of comorbid diseases were assessed by means of the Charlson’s comorbidity index (35). For each subject, an age-adjusted score was computed, coding the absence of comorbid diseases as 0, and the presence as 1–6.

Lymphocyte Subpopulations
Three-color direct immunofluorescence surface marker analysis was carried out by flow cytometry as previously described (36) to determine peripheral blood lymphocyte phenotypes as follows: T lymphocytes (CD3+), T-helper lymphocytes (CD3+ and CD4+), T-cytotoxic lymphocytes (CD3+ and CD8+), B lymphocytes (CD19+), and NK cells (CD3− and CD16+56+). Samples were analyzed in a FACScalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) using CellQuest Pro software (Becton Dickinson). Lymphocytes were gated on the basis of size and complexity, and fluorescence data were obtained to determine percentages of the different lymphocyte subsets, acquiring a minimum of 10⁴ events in the lymphocyte window.

Circulating Inflammatory Molecules
Plasma levels of IL6, CRP TNFα, and sTNF-R1I were measured by quantitative sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays with commercial kits (all from R&D Systems Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples required a 100-fold dilution for analysis of CRP, and a 10-fold dilution for analysis of TNFα.
dilution for analysis of sTNF-RII. Regarding precision of the assays, maximum intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 4.2 and 6.4% for IL6, 8.6 and 7.0% for CRP, 3.0 and 8.4% for TNFα, and 4.8 and 5.1% for sTNF-RII, respectively.

Tumor necrosis factor α concentration could only be evaluated in specimens from 88 individuals (20 non-frail, 38 pre-frail, and 30 frail), of whom sufficient residual plasma volume was available. Spectrophotometric measurements were conducted in a Powerwave X microplate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments) equipped with kinetic analysis software (KC4 v.2.5, Bio-Tek Instruments).

**Statistical Analysis**

A general description of the study population, classified according to the frailty status, was carried out by univariate analysis. Sociodemographic, lifestyle, and clinical characteristics were compared in the three groups of subjects, applying analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables and Chi-square test for categorical variables (Table 1).

The effect of frailty status on the immune biomarkers determined was preliminarily assessed by ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test. Data from % CD3+, % CD4+, % CD16+56*, and TNFα followed a normal distribution (Kolmogorov–Smirnov goodness-of-fit test). A log-transformation of the data was applied to % CD8+, % CD19*, IL6, and CRP to achieve a better approximation to the normal distribution. No improvement was achieved with transformation for CD4+/CD8* ratio and sTNF-RII, so they were analyzed using the Kruskal–Wallis test with Bonferroni’s correction.

Values of IL6, CRP, sTNF-RII and TNFα obtained in non-frail and pre-frail individuals were used for calculating reference ranges. They were defined as mean ± 2 SD for those parameters following a Gaussian distribution. For data not normally distributed (sTNF-RII), reference range was calculated as the central 95% of the area under the distribution curve (from 2.5 to 97.5%).

Linear regression analysis was applied to estimate the effect of frailty status on the immune parameters. Models were run with log-transformed data, adjusting for age, gender, smoking habit (never/ever smokers) and comorbidity. All results are shown as mean ratios and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

Correlation coefficients (Spearman’s rho) were calculated in order to estimate the possible associations between immune biomarkers. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves were computed to assess the inflammatory biomarkers discriminating ability. Statistical analyses were conducted by means of the STATA/SE software package V. 12.0 (StataCorp LP) and the IBM SPSS software package V. 20 (SPSS, Inc.). Statistical significance was established at a P value lower than 0.05.

**RESULTS**

Study population comprised 259 older adults, aged from 65 to 102 years; frail subjects were significantly older, with 62% aged over 85 years (Table 1). Female gender was less prevalent in the non-frail group (approximately 1:2), but gender proportions were opposite in the pre-frail and frail groups. Proportion of smokers decreased with increasing frailty severity; frail smokers consumed a higher amount of cigarettes per day, but the number of years smoking was not significantly different among the three groups of smokers. Only 10% of non-frail individuals were at risk of malnutrition, with no one malnourished. The rate of malnourishment increased, and consequently the MNA-SF score decreased, with frailty severity. There were only two subjects (5%) in the non-frail group with ADL dependence, while ADL dependence was present in 94% of frail subjects. Similarly, comorbidity increased with frailty, from 15% in the non-frail group to 40% in the frail group. Regarding living conditions, all non-frail individuals lived at family homes, while nearly 70% of frail participants lived in nursing homes.

Figure 1 shows values of the immune parameters determined in the three groups of older adults, with univariate analysis comparison. The only lymphocyte subset showing significant changes was % CD19+ cells, which decreased significantly in the group of frail subjects. On the contrary, significant increases with frailty were observed for IL6, CRP, sTNF-RII and TNFα concentrations. No differences were obtained in these markers between non-frail and pre-frail individuals, except for sTNF-RII.

Reference ranges for lymphocyte subpopulations in non-frail older adults were described previously (36). When comparing the current results with those reference ranges, 91–97% of values obtained for the different subsets in the non-frail group, 94–97% of values in the pre-frail group, and 83–97% of values in the frail group fell within the established ranges, with no significant differences between groups for any particular subset. Reference ranges in robust older adults for IL6, CRP, sTNF-RII and TNFα had not been described so far. Thus, concentrations obtained in the non-frail and pre-frail subjects were used for estimating the upper and lower limits of the corresponding reference ranges (Table 2), since no significant differences were observed between these two groups, except in the case of sTNF-RII, where differences with frail individuals were much more remarkable. Percentages of frail subjects with values exceeding the corresponding reference ranges for the different parameters oscillated from 9 to 19%, with no value below the range in any case.

In the analysis of the associations between immune biomarkers, notable and significant correlations were obtained between CRP and IL6 ($r = 0.405, P < 0.001$), between CRP and sTNF-RII ($r = 0.339, P < 0.001$), between sTNF-RII and TNFα ($r = 0.433, P < 0.001$), between TNFα and IL6 ($r = 0.344, P < 0.01$), and between IL6 and sTNF-RII ($r = 0.250, P < 0.001$).

Results obtained from the multivariate statistical analyses regarding frailty status are shown in Table 3. A significant increase in the CD4+/CD8* ratio and a significant decrease in the % CD19+ cells were observed in the frail group. Moreover, and according to the results from the univariate analysis, progressive increases with frailty severity were obtained in all inflammatory mediator concentrations; being especially remarkable the 70% increase of IL6 and the twofold increase of sTNF-RII in the frail subjects with regard to the non-frail participants.

Figure 2 shows the ROC curves computed to test the predictive value of the inflammatory molecules for frailty (except for TNFα due to the much lower data available), using the non-frail group as the standard. Areas under the curves obtained were 0.64 (95% CI 0.56–0.71, $P < 0.01$) for IL6, 0.60 (95% CI 0.52–0.68,
P < 0.05) for CRP, 0.90 (95% CI 0.85–0.94, P < 0.001) for sTNF-RII, and 0.66 (95% CI 0.54–0.77, P < 0.05) for TNFα. A sTNF-RII concentration of 3,461.3 pg/ml had the optimal predictive value for frailty, with a sensitivity of 0.94 and a specificity of 0.76.

**DISCUSSION**

Use of biomarkers as feasible endpoints has been proposed for frailty identification (37), since they would provide a more accurate
detection of frail subjects in early stages, when frailty can still be potentially reverted. For the development of frailty-related biomarkers, physiological processes disturbed in frailty status must be explored. Due to the proposed relationship between immune system alterations and frailty (38), pro-inflammatory molecule concentrations and different lymphocyte subpopulation rates could be suitable biomarkers that provide useful information for early identification of frailty. Thus, in this study, a set of immune biomarkers was assessed in a population of Spanish older adults (40 non-frail, 131 pre-frail, and 88 frail), and the possible association between biomarkers and frailty status was evaluated.

Since specific reference ranges for lymphocyte subset rates were previously reported for older subjects with frailty discarded (36), results from the current study were compared with those ranges. Most values obtained in the three population groups fell within the corresponding reference ranges, and no differences were observed regarding frailty severity, indicating a poor connection with frailty for all lymphocyte subpopulations. In spite of the previous vast literature analyzing inflammatory mediators in populations of older adults, specific reference ranges for this age group had not been reported so far. Thus, reference ranges for IL6, CRP, sTNF-RII, and TNFα in robust adults (excluding the presence of frailty) aged 65 years and over were established in this study. Percentages of frail subjects presenting concentrations of these biomarkers out of the corresponding reference range oscillated between 9% in the case of CRP and 19% in the case of sTNF-RII. These values were always located above the reference range, showing a clear trend to increase with frailty status.

In which regards association of frailty with immune biomarkers, our results of lymphocyte subsets showed a slight decrease of % CD19+ cells in the frail group—both in the univariate analysis and in the linear regression analysis adjusting for age, gender, smoking habit, and comorbidity—and an increase of the CD4+/CD8+ ratio (\(P < 0.05\)) in frail subjects in the multivariate analysis, not significant in the univariate analysis. These quite weak results point to a limited strength association of these biomarkers with frailty. Up to now, very few studies have assessed the link between lymphocyte subpopulations and frailty status in older adults. De Fanis et al. (39) found a significant association between increased CD8+ and decreased CD4+ cell percentages in frail subjects regarding to the non-frail group, although sample size evaluated was very modest (13 frail vs. 13 non-frail participants). Besides, Semba et al. (40) obtained similar results in addition to a subsequent significant decrease in the CD4+/CD8+ ratio, with a quite larger population size (\(N = 24, 75,\) and 28 for non-frail, pre-frail, and frail individuals, respectively). None of these studies adjusted for possible confounders in the statistical analysis, what may account in part for the differences with the current study, together with the more restricted sample sizes.

During inflammation, TNFα, IL1, and IL6 are secreted, in that order. IL6 then inhibits the secretion of TNFα and IL1, and activates the production of acute phase reactants from liver (CRP) [reviewed in Ref. (41)]. TNFα membrane receptors are shed by proteolytic cleavage into circulation as soluble TNFα receptors (sTNF-RI and sTNF-RII), which have been shown to be reliable measurements for the in vivo activities of TNFα (42). Results from the present study support the idea of an interrelated activation of the entire inflammatory cascade, since TNFα, sTNF-RII, IL6, and CRP concentrations were significantly correlated with one another.

Data obtained in this work showed positive influence of frailty on IL6, CRP, TNFα, and sTNF-RII concentrations. The only study analyzing sTNF-RII concentrations in relation to frailty so far found progressive increase of this biomarker with frailty status; significance was reached in the group of pre-frail subjects (43).

**TABLE 2** | Reference ranges of the immune biomarkers analyzed, calculated on the basis of results obtained in non-frail and pre-frail subjects.

| N | Reference range | % frail subjects out of the reference range |
|---|---|---|
| | Lower limit | Upper limit | Below | Above |
| IL6 (pg/ml) | 160 | 0.20 | 14.65 | 0 | 13.4 |
| CRP (mg/l) | 160 | 0.24 | 9.90 | 0 | 9.3 |
| sTNF-RII (pg/ml) | 160 | 1.322.8 | 6.563.2 | 0 | 18.8 |
| TNFα (pg/ml) | 58 | 0 | 5.15 | 0 | 10.0 |

CRP, C-reactive protein; IL6, interleukin 6; TNFα, tumor necrosis factor alpha; sTNF-RII, soluble tumor necrosis factor alpha receptor II.

**TABLE 3** | Effect of frailty status on lymphocyte subsets and inflammatory mediators; models adjusted by age, sex, smoking habit, and comorbidity.

|   | % CD3⁺ | % CD4⁺ | % CD8⁺ | CD4⁺/CD8⁺ | % CD19⁺ | % CD16⁺/56⁺ | IL6 | CRP | sTNF-RII | TNFα |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Frailty status | Mean ratio | 95% CI | Mean ratio | 95% CI | Mean ratio | 95% CI | Mean ratio | 95% CI | Mean ratio | 95% CI |
| Non-frail | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Pre-frail | 1.00 | (1.00–1.17) | 1.09 | (0.86–1.38) | 1.00 | (0.81–1.24) | 1.09 | (0.77–1.55) | 0.82 | (0.65–1.04) |
| Frail | 0.98 | (0.94–1.03) | 1.31 | (0.98–1.76) | 0.82 | (0.64–1.06) | 1.66* | (1.09–2.53) | 0.73* | (0.55–0.97) |
| | Mean ratio | 95% CI | Mean ratio | 95% CI | Mean ratio | 95% CI | Mean ratio | 95% CI | Mean ratio | 95% CI |
| Non-frail | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Pre-frail | 1.07 | (0.85–1.35) | 1.15 | (0.75–1.75) | 1.19 | (0.80–1.75) | 1.19* | (1.03–1.38) | 1.60* | (0.96–2.66) |
| Frail | 0.92 | (0.70–1.21) | 1.70* | (1.03–2.83) | 1.54* | (0.96–2.46) | 2.00* | (1.68–2.39) | 1.68* | (0.92–3.09) |

**CI**, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; IL6, interleukin 6; TNFα, tumor necrosis factor alpha; sTNF-RII, soluble tumor necrosis factor alpha receptor II.

**Bold text** means statistically significant data.

* \(P < 0.05\); ** \(P < 0.01\); † \(P = 0.071\); ‡ \(P = 0.090\).
frailty status in older adults involves an additional increase in these mediators, beyond that related to aging. Chronic inflammation has been proposed as a key underlying mechanism involved in frailty (23, 24). Inflammatory molecules may directly contribute to frailty or its central components (such as decreased muscle mass, strength, and power, and slowed motor performance). But, as frailty is a multidimensional syndrome, the contribution can also be indirect through other intermediate pathophysiologic processes, i.e., its detrimental effects on other organ systems, such as musculoskeletal and endocrine systems, cardiovascular diseases, and nutritional dysregulation [reviewed in Ref. (51)].

Increasing evidence suggests that frailty is a useful risk assessment tool for pre-surgery evaluation, for overall immune functional decline, in older patients with cardiovascular conditions, or for risk stratification of older patients with cancer [reviewed in Ref. (51)]. Hence, the importance of identifying frailty is undeniable. The current study suggests that sTNF-RII may have clinical applicability as a screening tool for identifying frail subjects, although standardization and replication of these results in other populations is necessary before it can be used to that aim. A primary limitation of this work includes the medical situation of the participants, intrinsically associated with studying older adults. Pathologic conditions were present in most of them, 15% of robust individuals and 40% of frail subjects presented comorbidity, and medications were taken to treat these conditions. Although linear regression analyses were adjusted for comorbidity and exclusion criteria included (i) taking antineoplastic or immunomodulating medications, and (ii) having infections, autoimmune disease, or cancer, the fact that some of the chronic diseases common in older adults, or the associated medications, may have influenced the immune parameters evaluated in this study cannot be ruled out.

CONCLUSION

In this work, reference ranges for several inflammation mediators are established for the first time in older adults in the absence of frailty according to Fried’s criteria. Associations found between inflammatory molecules confirm their interrelationship in the immune activation cascade. Although results from the current study revealed limited strength associations between frailty and the lymphocyte subsets assessed, data obtained for the different inflammatory mediators provide additional reinforcement to the widely established hypothesis that inflammaging is involved in frailty status in older adults. Hence, frail subjects present an additional degree of chronic inflammation manifestations than what could be expected only according to the normal aging process. This association was more intensively manifested in IL6 and sTNF-RII. This last biomarker showed a high accuracy for predicting frailty.
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