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ABSTRACT

Background. Most common terrestrial animal clades exhibit senescence, suggesting strong adaptive value of this trait. However, there is little support for senescence correlated with specific adaptations. Nevertheless, insects, mammals, and birds, which are the most common terrestrial animal clades that show symptoms of senescence, evolved from clades that predominantly did not show symptoms of senescence. Thus, we aimed to examine senescence in the context of the ecology and life histories of the main clades of animals, including humans, and to formulate hypotheses to explain the causes and origin of senescence in the major clades of terrestrial animals.

Methodology. We reviewed literature from 1950 to 2020 concerning life expectancy, the existence of senescence, and the adaptive characteristics of the major groups of animals. We then proposed a relationship between senescence and environmental factors, considering the biology of these groups of animals. We constructed a model showing the phylogenetic relationships between animal clades in the context of the major stages of evolution, distinguishing between senescent and biologically ‘immortal’ clades of animals. Finally, we synthesised current data on senescence with the most important concepts and theories explaining the origin and mechanisms of senescence. Although this categorisation into different senescent phenotypes may be simplistic, we used this to propose a framework for understanding senescence.

Results. We found that terrestrial mammals, insects, and birds show senescence, even though they likely evolved from non-senescent ancestors. Moreover, secondarily aquatic animals show lower rate of senescence than their terrestrial counterparts. Based on the possible life histories of these groups and the analysis of the most important factors affecting the transition from a non-senescent to senescent phenotype, we conclude that aging has evolved, not as a direct effect, but as a correlated response of selection on developmental strategies, and that this occurred separately within each clade. Adoption of specific life history strategies could thus have far-reaching effects in terms of senescence and lifespan.

Conclusions. Our analysis strongly suggests that senescence may have emerged as a side effect of the evolution of adaptive features that allowed the colonisation of land.
Senescence in mammals may be a compromise between land colonisation and longevity. This hypothesis, is supported by palaeobiological and ecological evidence. We hope that the development of new research methodologies and the availability of more data could be used to test this hypothesis and shed greater light on the evolution of senescence.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Organisms develop several adaptive mechanisms in response to selection pressures in the environment. These include morphological adaptations that enable locomotion or foraging (Gordon et al., 2017; McGraw, Pampush & Daegling, 2012), physiological adaptations such as the ability to hibernate (Ruf & Bieber, 2020), and behavioural adaptations such as predator avoidance and migration during adverse climatic conditions (Wong & Candolin, 2014). Many of these adaptations have been rigorously characterised in commonly studied organisms. For instance, the adaptation of aquatic animals to life on land and the accompanying evolutionary changes involved in this transition have been well studied (Takei, 2015). Traits that increase the survival and reproduction of organisms in a particular environment are considered adaptations. While all life forms on Earth are phylogenetically related, they exhibit remarkable diversity due to different natural selection pressures, whereby some traits were favoured by the environment over others (Darwin, 1859).

Animals are restricted by features of their environment in terms of their functions such as locomotion. Locomotion on land presents different challenges than that in water, with low friction being replaced by the stronger effects of gravity (Charig, 1972). Moreover, the environment may impose reproductive and/or survival costs on animals (e.g., related to the maintenance a constant temperature), affecting their activity, metabolism, and life expectancy. Therefore, animals on land may differ both in terms of senescence phenotype and their maximal lifespan (MLS) values from animals in water.

Some clades of animals living on land are represented by only a few species that have MLS of more than 100 years, while such species have been described much more frequently in the aquatic environment, especially among fish (Carey & Judge, 2000). Moreover, several animal species with high regenerative potential and indeterminate growth have also been reported (Bernard, Compagnoni & Salguero-Gómez, 2019). Although all animals decline in condition with age (Finch, 1990; Jones et al., 2014; Vaupel et al., 2004), symptoms of senescence such as progressive loss of function, decreased fertility, and increased risk of mortality with age (Medawar, 1952) are not always visible (Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecza, 2016).

Several unresolved questions remain in our understanding of the process of senescence, including whether it is inevitable and universal among living organisms (Blagosklonny, 2006; Blagosklonny, 2012; Cohen, 2018; Flatt & Partridge, 2018; Jones & Vaupel, 2017; Kirkwood & Austad, 2000). The inevitability of senescence is largely based on the mechanistic theory
of wear developed by Max Rubner, who pointed to metabolic rate as a factor causing variability in the lifespan of organisms. Rubner, based on the analysis of several animal species, showed that larger animals have a lower metabolic rate compared to small animals and they live longer, so slower metabolism may be associated with longevity (Rubner, 1908). Overall, when analysing different groups of animals, we should be aware of the potential bias generated by variation in size (Speakman, 2005). The conclusion that total metabolic energy consumption per life is constant then became the basis for Raymond Pearl’s ‘rate of living’ theory (Pearl, 1928). Energy metabolism and its role in lifespan is an interesting but unresolved issue, therefore it is still under discussion in the literature (Speakman et al., 2002; Speakman, 2005). The theory of accumulation of toxic by-products of metabolism (Harman, 1956; Harman, 2009) may also be derived from this concept, considering that energy metabolism generates free radicals which can damage macromolecules.

The question then arises if this decline occurs, why doesn’t natural selection get rid of it? Senescence requires an ultimate (evolutionary) explanation. Causes of senescence have been studied by evolutionary biologists in a wide range of species (Flatt & Partridge, 2018; Maklakov & Chapman, 2019). According to the theory of antagonistic pleiotropy formulated by Williams, senescence can be treated as a consequence of the positive selection of genes that show benefits for a young organism but have negative effects on an older one (Williams, 1957). Similarly, the “disposable soma” theory for the evolution of senescence developed by Kirkwood suggests that there are specific trade-offs in the allocation of limiting resources between self-maintenance and other activities, mainly reproduction (Kirkwood, 1977). According to Kirkwood, senescence is the result of unpaired damage that accumulates with age, because the cost of the mechanisms that prevent or repair these damages might be balanced by the benefits due to investment of energy in reproduction (Kirkwood, 1977; Kirkwood & Holliday, 1979). Hence, both these theories proposed by Williams and Kirkwood assume specific optimisation of senescence, by the existence of trade-offs between fecundity and longevity, or between longevity and physiological functions, but maintain its inevitability.

The inevitability of senescence is associated with the assumption that the mortality rates of all organisms should increase with age. However, several multicellular organisms show diverse life history strategies with distinct mortality and fertility trajectories (Capdevila et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2014). These strategies may include senescence—increase mortality with age, negligible senescence—mortality remains roughly constant, or negative senescence—decline in mortality with age after reproductive maturity. Negligible senescence can be observed in organisms, such as the American lobster (Homarus americanus), rockfish (Sebastes spp.), and tortoises (Testudinidae) (Finch, 1990; Finch, 1998) that show very little or no increase in their mortality rate with age. In contrast, a decline in mortality rate may be favoured in cases of negative senescence as proposed by Vaupel et al. (2004). Species that continue to grow and maintain reproductive capacity after maturity, such as teleost fish and many plant species may exhibit negligible or negative senescence (Vaupel et al., 2004).

Senescence can be considered in the context of the distinction between soma and germ line and connected with them terminal differentiation in sexual reproduction. Species or developmental stages of animal species that specialise in sexual reproduction are generally
mortal and often senescent. Life of these individuals starts from a single cell of the germ line, whereas their body does not take a direct part in creation of the progeny and undergo senescence (Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecza, 2016; Sawada, Inoue & Iwano, 2014). In turn, agametically reproducing animals, in a biological sense, can be treated as “biologically immortal”, if we ignore the risk of mortality arising from external factors (Devarapalli et al., 2014; Reitzel, Stefanik & Finnerty, 2011; Skold & Obst, 2011). These species do not have a distinct soma, therefore the body of the initial organism directly participates in the formation of the progeny (Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecza, 2016). However, this pattern is not consistently observed in other kingdoms of organisms. For instance, bacteria have been shown to be senescent (Ackermann, Stearns & Jenal, 2003; Stewart et al., 2005) and plants exhibit evidence of senescence in their asexual reproduction phase (Baudisch et al., 2013; Baudisch & Vaupel, 2012; Mencuccini & Munné-Bosch, 2017). Furthermore, an important caveat must be made with respect to the determination of the level in the system at which the analysed unit is located. For instance, analysis at the level of gametes shows that the latter are in fact “immortal,” unlike the individual “vehicles” or bodies that carry these gametes (Dawkins, 1982).

In some cases, it is difficult unambiguously separate the external and internal forces driving senescent declines in mortality in wild populations. Some organisms may be intrinsically “immortal” by nature, but they may still be damaged by their environment. Thus, these “biologically immortal” organisms may die but they do not senesce. Animals die from a variety of causes, and external mortality plays a large role in wild populations (Nussey et al., 2008; Promislow, 1991).

Considering a wide diversity of life patterns including senescence, negligible senescence and non-senescence with a corresponding long or short lifespan, the current evolutionary theories cannot completely explain the mechanisms of life history evolution in clades with various senescence phenotypes, but they may offer insights for further research. Bilinski and co-authors indicated that senescence might not be as common as expected among animals (Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecza, 2016; Bilinski, Paszkiewicz & Zadrag-Tecza, 2015). Senescence occurs mainly in those species that lose their ability to grow upon reaching sexual maturity (Ricklefs, 2010). This involves total (e.g., insects) or partial (e.g., birds and mammals) loss of regenerative capacity or ability to repair damage that occurs after sexual maturity (Galá, Wagner & Jockusch, 2003; Rolff, Johnston & Reynolds, 2019).

Senescence and lifespan can be considered either independently or as interdependent factors. Different organisms vary significantly in their lifespan. Literature offers examples of cases where lifespan is used as a measure of intensity of senescence, which may suggest that lifespan variability is caused mainly by the rate of senescence. However, studies carried out by Peron et al. (2019) on a broad range of mammal species have shown that variability of mortality increase with age accounts for less than half of the variance in lifespan and is therefore inadequate for analysis of senescence. In turn, Baudisch (2011) proposes that to compare the senescence among different species, it is more appropriate to use factors such as pace (the time-scale on which mortality progresses) and shape of senescence (does not depend on time) which express changes of mortality with age. Nevertheless, understanding the link between these parameters requires an understanding
of the environmental conditions of various species and their life strategies. As highlighted by Cohen (2018), senescence is not regulated by one universal mechanism but there are the confluences of a large number of mechanisms, which differ also among related species. Therefore, the analysis both of senescence and lifespan in the context of adaptation to aquatic or land environment, may help investigate the evolutionary circumstances shaping lifespan or determine the extent to which senescence correlates with lifespan across species. Large differences in lifespan observed among animals living in different environments are partly due to the implementation of specific life programs or life strategies (Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecza, 2016). Existing theories for the evolution of senescence do not exhaustively account for patterns of senescence.

In this review, we proposed a novel hypothesis on the evolution of senescence. Our work contributes to our understanding of the evolution of senescence. The aim of the current study was to present senescence in the context of primary ecological aspects and life histories of the main clades of animals, including humans. In addition, the aim was to formulate hypotheses to explain the causes of senescence phenotype and their origin in the main clades of terrestrial animals.

Survey methodology
The analysis included in this review was carried out on the basis of kingdom Animalia. To avoid bias in the selection of species, we referred to comprehensive studies that summarised life expectancy patterns across animal clades. We obtained data on vertebrates from a study by Carey & Judge (2000). Data on the life expectancy of invertebrates were obtained from multiple reviews (Giraldo et al., 2016; Wanninger, 2015; Ward, 1992). Additionally, we used data from AnAge, which is a comprehensive database of animal longevity records and related life-history traits (available online: http://genomics.senescence.info/species/). AnAge was compiled from the literature and large-scale datasets (De Magalhaes & Costa, 2009), and currently features 4,244 entries. Data on maximal lifespan available at https://www.demogr.mpg.de/longevityrecords/ were also used. The records of the Maximum Lifespan (MLS) values of animal species belonging to the main clades were verified against previous studies and used to construct a database for further analyses. We split MLSs into three classes: MLS<5 years (short), 5 ≤ MLS<35 years (intermediate), and MLS ≥35 years (long). Each clade was assigned to an MLS category based on their predominant MLS phenotypes (Table S1). This maximum lifespan categorisations into three classes is arbitrary.

Next, we distinguished subgroups within the clades containing secondary aquatic species. Accordingly, mammals were divided into aquatic mammals and other mammals, and reptiles were divided into aquatic reptiles and other reptiles. In order to take into account possible differences between typical soaring birds with reduced energy costs of flight and more land-bound birds, we also created subgroups of soaring birds and other birds. The percentage of long-living species among all analysed species in these different groups of animals is shown in Fig. 1. Studies of evolutionary correlations commonly use phylogenetic regression (i.e., phylogenetic generalized least squares) to assess trait covariation in a phylogenetic context. However, there are opinion that while this approach
Figure 1 Percentage share of species with long maximal lifespan (≥ 35 years) among all analysed species.

is appropriate for evaluating trends in one or a few traits, it is incapable of assessing patterns in highly multivariate data, as the large number of variables relative to sample size prohibits parametric test statistics from being computed (Adams, 2014). In this article, we use a statistical procedure for performing non-parametric ANOVA (Kruskal-Wallis test), that can accommodate our datasets. Average MLS was compared across animal groups using the non-parametric ANOVA and Dunn’s post-hoc tests (Fig. 2). MLS effects (MLS categories) were compared separately for each pair of animal clades in thirty six $2 \times 3$ contingency tables from which chi square values were calculated (Zar, 2010; Fig. 3).

We reviewed literature (1950–2020) on the life expectancy, the existence of aging symptoms in animals, the described causes of death, as well as the adaptive characteristics of the main groups of animals. We searched the following publishers and databases: Elsevier’s ScienceDirect, PubMed, Springer’s SpringerLink, Wiley Online Library, Google Scholar, and NCBI Bookshelf (Table S2).

Publications where the searched term sets were found in the title, keywords, or abstract, met the inclusion criteria, and these articles were then analysed at the full-text level. All relevant studies that met the search criteria were included in this review. Studies using the same data from various publications were eliminated to avoid redundancy.

Three sets of words were used in the literature search. The senescence set consisted of words related to senescence, and included ageing, aging, damage, death, decrease in fertility, life, life program, life-span, lifespan, longevity, loss of function, mortality, non-senescence, repair, regeneration, rejuvenation, and senescence. The animal features set consisted of words related to the adaptive features of animals, including ability, activity, adaptation, agametic, body size, breathing, burrowing, development, clonal, colonial, ecology, extinction, environment, evolution, factor, feature, fecundity, flight, flying, gas exchange, growth, land, locomotion, maturity, metamorphosis, offspring, oxygen, physiology, process, reproduction, sexual, strategy, survival, swimming, temperature, terrestrial, walking, and water. The animal clades set consisted of the names of clades
and groups of animals, and included animals, amphibians, annelids, birds, chordates, cnidarians, crustaceans, dinosaurs, echinoderms, fish, gastropods, hexapods, insects, invertebrates, jawed fish, jawless fish, lancelets, mammals, metazoans, molluscs, myriapods, poriferans, ribbon-worms, reptiles, sauropsids, slugs, snails, spiders, synapsids, tetrapods, tunicates, and vertebrates.

Literature search was first carried out using all binary combinations of each of the words in the senescence and animal clades sets to identify the general life expectancy patterns of the main groups of animals, the occurrence of senescence symptoms in animals, and the reported causes of death. Next, all binary combinations of each of the words in the animal features and animal clades sets were searched to identify the most important adaptive features of the animal clades.

We then synthesised the data to present a generalised relationship between senescence and life cycles of animals. This may be connected to the ability of cell replacement and regeneration, while considering the biology of the animals and the corresponding environmental factors. Furthermore, we constructed a model showing phylogenetic relationships between animal clades. In the context of the major stages of evolution, we
## Figure 3
Results of maximal lifespan (MLS) categories comparisons, performed separately for each pair of animal groups in thirty-six $2 \times 3$ contingency tables from which the chi-squared values ($\chi^2$) were calculated. MLS classes: SHORT, MLS $< 5$ years; INTERMEDIATE, $5 \leq$ MLS $< 35$ years; LONG, MLS $\geq 35$ years.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12286/fig-3

identified the main “senescent” and “biologically immortal” clades of animals. Topology was based on the Tree of Life (ToL) Web Project (http://www.tolweb.org) in addition to many studies from the literature that were used to resolve uncertainty in the ToL project phylogeny (Fig. 4).

Finally, we juxtapose our synthesis of the literature review with the most important concepts and theories explaining the origin and mechanisms of senescence. We present our conclusions and hypotheses that provide an explanation of the causes of senescence
symptoms and attempt to indicate their genesis in the main clades of terrestrial animals and the relationship with life expectancy and maximal lifespan.

We discuss mainly three clearly senescing groups of terrestrial animals, that is, insects, birds, and mammals. This choice was based on their dominance in terrestrial environments. Hence, we tried to address the following questions: Why do these three clades, which are so successful on land and yet so different, show symptoms of senescence? Could senescence be adaptive? In addition, can the secondary aquatic reptiles and mammals live longer than their relatives that have remained on land?

### Animals with different degrees of senescence

No evolutionary mechanisms propose the programmed death of the individual; instead, senescence is thought to be a consequence of reduction the effectiveness of natural selection with age (see ‘the selection shadow theory’ (Flatt & Partridge, 2018; Gavrilova et al., 1998; Medawar, 1946). Partridge and Barton advocated that the distinction between parent and offspring is a necessary condition for senescence (Partridge & Barton, 1993).

### Reproduction and growth vs. senescence

Animals can be divided into two main groups based on whether they are terminally differentiated in terms of sexual reproduction. The first group contains non-senescent, “biologically immortal” forms (at least in theory, because it can be found papers showing senescence in the asexually reproducing marine oligochaete Paranais litoralis, where no
distinction between soma and germline (Martinez & Levinton, 1992), which include the simplest animal forms such as cnidarians that reproduce agametically (Reitzel, Stefanik & Finnerty, 2011). The second group contains forms that are irreversibly specialised in sexual reproduction (Sawada, Inoue & Iwano, 2014). Among these animals, some forms (birds) may exhibit symptoms of senescence while others do not (fish). The senescence is observed in the case when an organism switches off the expression of existing growth and regeneration programs (e.g., imago formation in insect development) or when particular programs of growth and regeneration of progenitors are irreversibly lost (e.g., in mammals and birds). In turn, maintaining regenerative capacity prevents or reduce appearance the symptoms of senescence, what can be observed in species, which show ability to continuous growth (Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecza, 2016; Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecza, 2017). The analysis shows that aging patterns which includes increasing, constant, and decreasing mortality with aging differ between species depending on their ability to determinate or continuous (indeterminate) growth. Species with indeterminate growth, including some animals and most higher plants, seem to escape the senescence, most probably due to their capacity of these species to continuous growth (Jones et al., 2014; Munné-Bosch, 2015). It is connected with Weismman conception of soma and germ-line and disposable soma theory proposed by Kirkwood (Kirkwood & Holliday, 1979). Active program of cell replacement and regeneration allows for the maintenance of the soma and by that occurrence the negligible or negative senescence.

Symptoms of senescence
Symptoms of senescence include gradual deterioration in function (Niccoli & Partridge, 2012), morphological and physiological changes at cellular (Guerville et al., 2020; Herranz & Gil, 2018; Munoz-Espin & Serrano, 2014) and organismal levels (Dodig, Čepelak & Pavić, 2019), phenotypic changes (McHugh & Gil, 2018; van Deursen, 2014), a reduction in fecundity (Ericsson et al., 2001), and increased risk of age-related mortality (Bilinski, Paszkiewicz & Zadrag-Tecza, 2015).

However, senescence symptoms are not observed in groups of animals such as fish or reptiles, which continue to increase their body size after reaching sexual maturity (Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecza, 2016; Speakman, 2005). Although there is some evidence of senescence in fish and reptiles (Gerking, 1957; Shefferson, Mizuta & Hutchings, 2017), negligible senescence phenotypes predominate in these clades (Carey & Judge, 2000; Froese & Pauly, 2019; Woodward, Horner & Farlow, 2011). In turn, considering the lifespan among vertebrates, fish represent the most numerous species that live over 100 years (Reznick, Ghalambor & Nunney, 2002).

On the other hand, symptoms of senescence are encountered in groups such as birds (Ricklefs, 2010) and mammals (Broussard et al., 2003), in which body growth ceases as soon as the animal reaches sexual maturity. Alternatively, senescence may emerge in insects and related species during the fundamental reconstruction of an organism such as during complete metamorphosis (Abdelwahab et al., 2018; Nöthiger, 1972).
Regenerative potential vs. senescence

Continuous growth is accompanied by high regeneration and cell replacement capabilities (Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecza, 2016). However, even if animals do not grow continuously, some species retain the ability for continuous growth and regeneration in individual parts of the body (Alvarado, 2000). For example, female tarantulas moult throughout their whole life (Costa & Perez-Miles, 2002) and some parts of the human body such as ears, grow continuously (Niemitz, Nibbrig & Zacher, 2008). The possibility of continuous growth reduces the occurrence of senescence and promotes longevity. The crucial role of continuous growth in promoting longevity is best manifested in extremely long-lived species such as the giant tortoise (Aldabrachelys gigantea) (Quesada et al., 2019), orange roughy (Hoplostethus islandicus) (Andrews, Tracey & Dunn, 2009), and Greenland shark (Somniosus microcephalus) (Nielsen et al., 2016). In other long-lived forms, such as termite queens, substantial increases in body size are observed in imagos, a rather unique phenomenon among eusocial species of insects (Heinze & Schrempf, 2008; Keller, 1998).

Surprisingly, the most successful clades of terrestrial animals, in terms of total biomass, population size, or numbers of species, are mammals, birds, and insects, which show clear symptoms of senescence (May, 1988; Monaco, Silvestre & Silveira, 2012; Mora et al., 2011). Hence, senescence coincides with the ability of animal clades to adapt to a wide variety of terrestrial habitats.

Main physical factors hindering the colonisation of land by animals

As a frame for the paper, we explore the evolutionary constraints imposed by colonization, which may help explain the onset of senescence. We try to not develop the side threads and avoid the intricacies of the mortality question. We begin with a brief overview of the animal adaptations needed to make the transition from the aquatic environment to the terrestrial environment.

Respiratory system

When invading land, animals had to overcome various constraints (Bray, 1985) such as supplying tissues with oxygen and removing carbon dioxide. Gills were useless on land as they dried out easily (Hsia et al., 2013). This problem was solved in insects through the direct supply of air to tissues by the system of capillary structures (trachea).

As insects increased in size, this oxygen supply system became less efficient (Nation, 2005), making a significant increase in the body size of insects nearly impossible (Hanken & Wake, 1993; Polilov, 2016). Thus, the miniaturisation of body size (Table 1), frequently observed in insects, significantly improved oxygen supply (Molnar & Gair, 2015; Nation, 2005). Accordingly, the maximum body size of insects (Nation, 2005) is strongly dependent on the oxygen concentration in the air (Burggren & Infantino, 1994; Whitford, 1973). Therefore, insects could grow to large sizes when the oxygen concentration in the air reached 38% in the Carboniferous and Permian ages (Harrison, Kaiser & Vanden Brooks, 2010; Molnar & Gair, 2015).

The first amphibians used the circulatory system already existing in fish (Snyder & Sheafor, 1999), modified by the formation of structures for gas exchange (lungs) (Thomson
Table 1  Life histories of insects vs. possible factors causing the loss of continuous growth and regeneration, and the onset of senescence. Cat. – Generalized thematic categories.

| Cat.                      | Discussion of important features and events                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ancestors of insects      | • Insects are the senescent clade which evolved from a probably non-senescent group of Pancrustaceans (Tamone & Harrison, 2015).                                                                                                                   |
| Respiratory system        | • The small body size of insects is the consequence the tracheae serving as the delivery mechanism for oxygen (Hanken & Wake, 1993; Polilov, 2016).                                                                                                                                                     |
| Short adult life          | • The short life of sexually competent individuals was additionally combined with the lack of feeding ability in the imagoes of some groups of species (Jacobus, Macadam & Sartori, 2019).                                                                 |
|                           | • This limitation was additionally reinforced by the fact that role of the insect imago is to lay many fertilised eggs (Berger, Walters & Gotthard, 2008) after efficiently dispersing, enabled by its flight capability (Alves et al., 2019; Compton et al., 2002). |
|                           | • After laying the eggs, the fate of the imago is no longer important (Rosenheim et al., 2008).                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Importance of metamorphosis | • The complete and irreversible metamorphosis of insects results in a drastic simplification of life processes, effectively limiting the imago to a one-time only participation in the reproductive process (Rosenheim et al., 2008; McMahon & Hayward, 2016; Rolff, Johnston & Reynolds, 2019). |
|                           | • The efficiency of this reproductive strategy has ensured the evolutionary success of this group of animals (Rolff, Johnston & Reynolds, 2019).                                                                                                                                               |
|                           | • The inactive and therefore vulnerable pupa stage is shortened as much as possible (Lindstedt, Murphy & Mappes, 2019).                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Possibility of regeneration | • The formation of the imago body from a number of separate parts of imaginal discs resembles the production and final assembly of parts rather than the stepwise development of the body in the larvae. For the sake of speed and large-scale production characteristics for that group, “products” are disposable (Nöthiger, 1972). |
|                           | • A high vulnerability to mechanical damage of their wings, which are made mostly from “dead” cells (cuticle) (Pass, 2018), makes any repair virtually impossible. Consequently, regeneration/repair mechanisms known in the larval stages for other parts of the body became inefficient and eventually disappeared in imagoes (Abdelwahab et al., 2018). |
|                           | • As in the case of disposable products in contemporary industries, sexually competent stages of insects cannot repair any broken parts of the body as the information on the mechanisms for repair is no longer available after a complete metamorphosis (Parle, Dirks & Taylor, 2016). |
with haemoglobin as the oxygen carrier. Amphibians, like insects, are highly dependent on the oxygen concentration in the air, and their maximum sizes were also found in the Carboniferous and Permian hyperoxia periods (Harrison, Kaiser & Vanden Brooks, 2010). Some animals exchange gases not only through internal systems but also directly through the skin (Brainerd, 1999), and some species of amphibians have no lungs (Hutchison, 2008). Since increasing activity on land required greater efficiency of the respiratory system, reptiles underwent a substantial reconstruction (Garwood & Edgecombe, 2011; Little, 2009). Similarly, the air sac system and breathing movements evolved in birds (Hanken & Wake, 1993; Maina, 2015; Polilov, 2016).

**Problem of desiccation and movement**

The impact of other adverse physical factors, such as UV irradiation and desiccation (Hessen, 2008), was much easier to resolve. For instance, the presence of gas impermeable cuticles (insects) (Moussian, 2010) or dry scaly skin (reptiles) (Rutland, Cigler & Kubale Dvojmoč, 2019) prevented desiccation. However, the problem of movement was the most difficult to solve (Padian, 2016). Insects evolved from Pancrustaceans that had hard, crusty exoskeletons and locomotory limbs (Tamone & Harrison, 2015), which were adapted for both swimming and walking, and therefore, could be easily implemented on land ( Rolfe, 1985). Terrestrial insects also developed flight capabilities, making them more dispersible than their arthropod or mollusc competitors (Yanoviak, Kaspari & Dudley, 2009). The problem of movement in tetrapods was solved later by the evolution of fins with a fleshy base in lobe-finned fishes (Sarcopterygii). Fins that were previously used for swimming were thus transformed into limbs for walking on land (Little, 2009; Long & Gordon, 2004; Oster et al., 1988). The flight ability of terrestrial vertebrates (Tables 2 and 3) appeared much later than that of insects (Garwood & Edgecombe, 2011; Hunter, 2007).

**Temperature in the environment**

Adapting to temperature fluctuations in the terrestrial environment is another major challenge. While the temperature of marine waters is relatively stable, temperature varies dramatically in terrestrial environments according to geographic location, depth, ocean currents, and seasons (Archer et al., 2004). Moreover, variation in temperature from below the freezing point to the values at which proteins become denatured (Bischof & He, 2006) is common in terrestrial habitats. Therefore, animals living under extreme temperatures had to develop appropriate protective molecular and behavioural solutions to survive (Angelini & Ghiara, 1984). Accordingly, the activity of ectotherms depends on external temperatures at moderate temperatures, while they are mostly inactive during cold seasons (Costanzo & Lee, 2013). In contrast, endothermy, which is seen in extant birds and mammals, assures constant temperatures under a broad range of air temperature values, enabling continuous activity (Hill, Wyse & Anderson, 2012).

Thus, the transition to land and the subsequent evolution of insects, birds, and mammals was costly. We propose that these costs led to constraints, and the key constraint was the cessation of growth at maturity. This cessation of growth may have, in turn, led to senescence.
Table 2  Life histories of birds vs. possible factors causing the loss of continuous growth and regeneration, and the onset of senescence. Cat. – Generalized thematic categories.

| Cat.                        | Discussion of important features and events                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ancestors of birds          | ● Birds originated from probably continuously growing dinosaurs ([Little, 2009](#)).                          |
|                             | ● Based on the structure of the bones of dinosaurs, some authors drew conclusions about the existence of senescence in this group of animals ([Woodward et al., 2015](#)). |
|                             | ● However, other research carried out on alligators, which are representatives of modern sauropsids from which birds also emerged, showed that alligators do not senesce ([Woodward, Horner & Farlow, 2011](#)). |
| Adaptations to flight       | ● Adaptations to the environment developed in the course of evolution of terrestrial vertebrates could also contribute to the limitation of regenerative abilities and strong limitation of growth in sexually mature adults, favouring the onset of senescence. |
|                             | ● The ability of flight ([Dudley et al., 2007](#)) facilitated bird access to resources, dispersion, and ability to avoid predators. |
|                             | ● Overcoming the effects of gravity gave birds a leading role among the terrestrial tetrapods, in terms of migration distance. Birds are the only terrestrial vertebrates that share with humans the peculiarity of traveling in a few hours across intercontinental borders ([Jourdain et al., 2007](#)). |
|                             | ● Flight in most of contemporary birds, is based on frequent wing beats, which requires a high rate of metabolism ([Bairlein et al., 2015](#); [Roots, 2006](#)). |
|                             | ● The additional evolution of mechanisms that increased the gas exchange rate was necessary, and thus, air sacs were developed ([Puttick, Thomas & Benton, 2014](#)). |
| Types of flight             | ● The first type of flight is based on frequent wing beats (small birds; passerine-type flight sensu ([Bruderer et al., 2010](#)) and requires a high energy expenditure ([Heers et al., 2016](#); [Savile, 1957](#)). |
|                             | ● The other type of flight combines gliding and soaring and has a much lower energy requirement; however, it requires a much larger wingspan and a lower proportion of body weight to the surface of the wings ([Maina, 2000](#)), just like vultures soaring over land ([Newman, 1958](#); [Pennycuick, 1973](#)) and albatrosses on the sea ([Meyers & Stakebake, 2005](#)) are the other extreme. |
|                             | ● In moderately sized birds, active flight dominates and is accompanied by periods of gliding, as in the case of Galliformes ([Andrews, Mackenzie & Gregory, 2009](#); [Heers et al., 2016](#); [Meyers & Stakebake, 2005](#); [Savile, 1957](#); [Andrews, Mackenzie & Gregory, 2009](#)). |
|                             | ● Because of physical characteristics, birds cannot change from one type of flight (i.e., passerine-type flight) to another (i.e., soaring and gliding ([Vincze et al., 2019](#)). |

(continued on next page)
Table 2 (continued)

| Cat. | Discussion of important features and events |
|------|---------------------------------------------|
| Relationship of body size with the ability to fly | ● The thickness and structure of bird bones are a compromise between physical strength and the requirement for lightness (Dumont, 2010). |
| | ● The physical factor that prevents an alteration in the type of flight for birds is the density of the air (Altshuler & Dudley, 2006; Schmaljohann & Liechti, 2009). |
| | ● A continuous body size increase in birds would create the need to change the mechanisms of flight during their life cycle, which would be an additional problem. Birds that are small at the beginning of their life would need the active flight mechanisms, which could be disastrous for the durability of their wing bones when their size increases (Schmaljohann & Liechti, 2009). |
| | ● Transitioning from the active form of flight to soaring would not be easy to implement during continuous growth (Hill, Wyse & Anderson, 2012). |
| | ● In birds, the increase in the body size made flight mechanically difficult, which led to the evolution of flightless species (Blanckenhorn, 2000; McNab, 1994). |

Senescent animals dominate in terrestrial environments

Senescence may have appeared in animals over the course of evolutionary changes associated with key adaptations to life on land. Therefore, the relationship between successful land colonisation and senescence merits further analysis. Tables 1–3 present the factors that may have contributed to the loss of the ability for indeterminate growth and the reduction/loss of the potential for regeneration in adults, and thus, the appearance of senescence. We must examine the physical aspects of the environment (Hackett et al., 2008) to explain such changes in the life history of these animals.

Regeneration abilities and continuous growth—differences between taxonomic groups

Some groups of aquatic animals, such as certain species of crustaceans, molluscs (Gruber et al., 2015), fish (Nielsen et al., 2016), amphibians (De Magalhaes & Costa, 2009), and reptiles (Cayuela et al., 2019; Sparkman, Arnold & Bronikowski, 2007), do not show clear signs of senescence in contrast to terrestrial taxa, such as insects (Clapham & Karr, 2012; Davies, 1974), terrestrial mammals (Gaillard & Lemaitre, 2017), and birds (Møller, 2007), despite their phylogenetic relatedness. Although the body plans, physiology, and developmental strategies of the three terrestrial groups differ substantially, they have one important feature in common: their sexually mature forms are unable to maintain continuous body growth (Miquel, Economos & Bensch, 1981; Monaco, Silvestre & Silveira, 2012; Moore, 2006).

The loss of continuous growth upon reaching sexual maturity leads to the elimination of characteristic ancestral traits, such as high regeneration ability and the inability to replace worn out somatic cells in imagos of insects, or the limitation of this ability to certain body parts in birds and mammals (Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecza, 2016). Hence, only hairs and
Table 3  Life histories of mammals (including humans) vs. possible factors causing the loss of continuous growth and regeneration, and the onset of senescence.  Cat. – Generalized thematic categories.

| Cat. | Discussion of important features and events |
|------|--------------------------------------------|
| Ancestors of mammals | ● Mammals started evolving when terrestrial habitats had already been occupied by insects, other arthropods and molluscs (Gonçalves, 2018).  
● The invasion of land by vertebrates began with amphibian-like creatures, and sometime later, rapidly developing synapsids and sauropsids appeared (Edwards, Paul & Selden, 1992; Kemp, 2006; Pough, Janis & Heiser, 2012).  
● The descendants of sauropsids maintained their dominance among the tetrapods until the end of the dinosaur era. Their extinction made room and provided resources for the synapsids (ancestors of mammals) that had slowly expanded to that point (Oftedal, 2002; Sander et al., 2011). |
| Early mammals | ● During the reign of dinosaurs, early mammals were mainly nocturnal; often burrowing or having secretive habits. Harsh life conditions forced them to develop the mechanism of endothermy accompanied by increases in the levels of their metabolisms and development of insulation (fur) (Gerkema et al., 2013; Luo, 2007).  
● The necessity of hiding in burrows or among rocks during the long time that dinosaurs dominated the landscape could result in slowing down of the continuous growth in body size or in the termination of this growth upon reaching sexual maturity.  
● The development of parental care ultimately led to the appearance of mammary glands and behavioural solutions to protect young progeny until adulthood (Rilling & Young, 2014).  
● A rapid expansion of mammals after the extinction of dinosaurs was the result of partial independence of their activity from external temperatures (Gerkema et al., 2013), which enabled their settlement in climatic zones inaccessible to reptiles (Turbill, Bieber & Ruf, 2011). |
| Possible limitations of growth | ● The appearance of large grassland areas enabled mammals to increase their body size, giving rise to a group of large-sized species (Boyce & Lee, 2010; Cristofer & Peres, 2003).  
● Numerous habitats supported small or moderate body sizes, including grassland areas where mammals fed on insects, seeds and green parts of grasses and/or roots and tubers, which facilitated burrowing (Little, 2009).  
● However, both large herbivorous mammals and smaller mammal species descended from small ancestors that evolved under heavy pressure from large reptiles. Consequently the earlier, longer lasting pressure to retain a small size resulted in the disappearance of continuous growth ability. |
nails of humans (Lipner & Scher, 2017; Wilson & Tobin, 2010), incisor teeth of rodents (Klevezal, 2010), or eagles’ beaks grow continuously (Galis, Wagner & Jockusch, 2003).

The ability to continue growing after reaching sexual maturity was almost irretrievably lost due to evolution of highly adaptive traits under environmental selection pressures. The convergence of senescence and the ability to colonise terrestrial habitats is consistent with the general theory of trade-offs (Stearns, 1992). The loss of growth is often accompanied by a loss of regenerative capacity.

Insects, as imagos, are incapable of continuous growth and do not exhibit regeneration. Adaptation of insects to the terrestrial environment could have favoured the emergence of senescence in this clade. Insects seem to be the best (and rare) examples of the “disposable soma” theory (Kirkwood, 1977). The loss of growth and regenerative capacity in adult insects appears to be due to metamorphosis. Senescence rate varies significantly across birds, mammals, and insects (Jones et al., 2014; Keller & Genoud, 1999). However, senescent clades such as insects likely evolved from a non-senescent group of Pancrustaceans (Tamone & Harrison, 2015), while birds and mammals evolved from sauropsids and synapsids that probably exhibited negligible senescence (Frýdlová et al., 2020). Since sauropsids and synapsids can only be studied on the basis of fossil remains, it is difficult to determine the degree of senescence in these animals, hence the lack of certainty that they did not senescent, but also there is no data confirming with certainty that senescence was visible in them. Woodward, Horner & Farlow (2011) examined the femoral bone microstructure of captive American alligators (Alligator mississippiensis) for the presence of an external fundamental system, a form of bone microstructure present in the outermost cortex of long bones in animals that have attained skeletal maturity. The results of this study have important implications for both extinct and extant members of Sauropsida (ancestors of birds). Since captive alligators are not senescent, this external fundamental system should not be associated with senescence when interpreting the history of extinct animals such as dinosaurs (Woodward, Horner & Farlow, 2011).

**Maximum life expectancy—differences between taxonomic groups**

Our analysis of the maximum life expectancy of species belonging to the groups of animals revealed distinct groups (Figs. 1, 2 and 3). The first group was insects, with a 99% share of short-lived species. However, the maximal lifespan has been significantly extended in some insects, up to several dozen years, but this occurs via extension of only the larval phase of life. The second group consisted of amphibians and terrestrial reptiles, with an average maximum life expectancy of about 10 years, though some animals, such as olm (Proteus anguinus), tuatara (Sphenodon punctatus), and Galapagos tortoise (Chelonoidis niger) show extremely high life expectancy. The third group consisted of land mammals and some birds. The average life expectancy of animals in these groups is approximately 15 years. Only humans (Homo sapiens) and few other species can be classified as long-lived (Fig. 2). The next group comprised of about 20% long-lived species that live for over 35 years (Fig. 2). This group included fish with short-lived species, but also a large number of very long-lived species, such as Greenland shark (Somniosus microcephalus) and deep-water orange roughy. The term Fish is used to refer to the five modern Classes,
i.e., Myxynii (hagfishes), Petromyzontida (lampreys), Chondrichthyes (cartilaginous fishes, e.g., chimaeras, sharks, and rays), Actinopterygii (ray-finned fishes), Sarcopterygii (includes coelacanths, and lungfishes). High average maximum life expectancy was also observed in soaring birds. However, aquatic mammals were completely different from the other groups, with over 40% of them being long-lived (Figs. 1, 2 and 3).

**Senescence as the trade-off between successful land colonization and longevity**

**Terrestrial-marine differences in lifespan**

Next, we explored the possibility that senescence, which is quite common in clades of terrestrial animals, might be an adaptive feature. Marine and terrestrial environments differ in terms of their characteristics and requirements for organisms. Marine environments, which enable animals to grow to extremely high body sizes, favoured the capability of indeterminate growth, and therefore, the maintenance of non-senescent phenotypes. These environments are inhabited, in great numbers, by species of primary aquatic vertebrates, such as fish. There is little evidence of senescence in fish (Woodhead, 1998; Woodhead & Ellett, 1966) with some exceptions in species, such as Pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.), eels (Anguilla spp.), and some lampreys (e.g., Lampeira planeri), which reproduce once in a lifetime and die shortly after spawning and laying their eggs (semelparous species) (Finch, 1998; Morbe et al., 2005). In these fish, a single reproductive bout is followed by a rapid physiological decline. The rapid senescence observed in these semelparous fish may be an example of programmed senescence (via hormonal cascades), although this is not fully clear (Cohen, 2018).

**Terrestrial-marine differences in senescence**

Terrestrial habitats have been dominated mainly by animals that show a clear senescence phenotype (Carey & Judge, 2000; Welch, 1998), while approximately 93% of angiosperms do not show a senescence phenotype (Baudisch et al., 2013). Therefore, we could infer that there are biological costs of movement. While some sedentary animals, including known non-senescent species such as Porifera and Cnidaria are present in the aquatic environment, no sedentary animals are found on land. In addition to continuous growth and partial or complete loss of regenerative capacity, locomotion is an ability common to senescent clades of terrestrial animals.

The prevalence of senescing groups of animals in various terrestrial habitats probably results from these species being better able to overcome several physical limitations of this environment. Hence, there may be multiple reasons for mammals and birds to cease their growth (Tables 1–3).

Since the emergence of insects, mammals, and birds occurred at different points of time, senescence must have evolved independently (Fig. 4). Consequently, senescence in these groups of animals is likely to be the result of convergent evolution. Thus, we considered the original questions regarding why these three diverse terrestrial clades, show symptoms of senescence and whether senescence could be adaptive. The arguments presented herein suggest that the appearance of senescence in the three major groups of terrestrial animals was a consequence of the evolution of their life histories and as a side effect of the cessation...
of growth in sexually mature adults. The main mechanisms leading to the loss of this
ability for growth were different across clades and occurred at distinct periods of time.
The appearance of senescence in various unrelated clades during various periods of animal
evolution suggests convergent evolution of senescence, and hence, a lack of homology.

The relationship between the evolution of senescence and the ability to populate
terrestrial habitats requires explanation. In our earlier studies (Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-
Tecz, 2016; Bilinski, Bylak & Zadrag-Tecz, 2017), we postulated that senescence and
“unavoidable” mortality for most groups of animals are not genuine traits but side effects
of the evolution of other important traits (known as spandrels) (Gould, 1997; Gould &
Lewontin, 1979). Therefore, senescence is not an adaptive trait (Fig. 2). The loss of the
ability to continue growth after reaching sexual maturity may result from the earlier
evolution of highly adaptive traits.

**Stable environmental conditions vs. senescence**

Evidence that the loss of ability of indeterminate growth in terrestrial mammals results
in senescence, is provided by secondarily aquatic mammals (Table 3, Figs. 2–3). In
addition to lower rate of senescence, tetrapods that have undergone secondary aquatic
adaptation include the longest-living mammals (Thewissen et al., 2009), such as the blue
whale (Balaenoptera musculus), the fin whale (B. physalus), the killer whale (Orcinus orca)
(Carey & Judge, 2000), and the bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) (Tarpley & Hillmann,
1998), all of which live for over a hundred. Tarpley and Hillmann examined reproductive
materials from mature female bowheads but did not see positive evidence of senescence
(Tarpley & Hillmann, 1998). Similarly, the maximum and average lifespan of aquatic and
semi-aquatic reptiles, which also secondarily returned to the water, exceed those of their
terrestrial relatives. On the other hand, while it is difficult to associate soaring birds with
the aquatic environment, these birds live longer than their relatives and fly in a more
energy-efficient manner (Fig. 2, and Tables 2, 3). Similarly, certain insects, such as termite
queens that live in mounds and provide their own microclimate, splendour beetle larvae
(Buprestis aurulenta) that hatch and tunnel into the wood with relatively stable thermal
conditions, and emerged after 51 years, and periodical cicadas (Magicicada cassini) with 17
year-old nymphs that live underground, usually within 61 cm of the surface, feeding on the
juices of plant roots (Zeng, 1995) also show relatively long lifespans. Therefore, taking into
account both of those traits, the key to solving the problem of longevity, and the presence
or absence of senescence may be the choice of an environment that allows less energy
expenditure, both for movement and maintaining an appropriate body temperature.

Moreover, when considering mammals that live for over 100 years, we cannot ignore
humans. The evolution of an extended lifespan in humans is generally explained by the
development of civilisation and, therefore, optimal living conditions (Croft et al., 2015).
A similar relationship can be observed in other species of mammals that live significantly
longer under optimal conditions, such as zoos, compared to the natural environment
(Nussey et al., 2013; Tidière et al., 2016).
CONCLUSIONS

Our analysis suggests that senescence may have emerged as a side effect of the evolution of adaptive features that allowed the colonisation of land. The evolutionary drift into senescent overspecialisation, as evidenced by gigantism or spinescence (loss of teeth and a degenerated form), (Benton, 1989) has been used to explain dinosaur extinction (Archibald, 2012). An analogous situation may apply to modern terrestrial animals. Perhaps specialisation and adaptation of animals to life on land was accompanied by senescent phenotypes, as a side effect of evolution. Thus, senescence in mammals (including humans) may be a trade-off compromise between land colonisation and longevity. In our relatively short synthesis, we presented adaptations that involve animals best suited to life in terrestrial environments. We emphasised that senescence occurred in parallel with highly adaptive traits. Examples of secondary aquatic mammals indicate that it is evolutionarily possible to delay the onset of senescence symptoms. The aquatic environment, which offers conditions that allow animals to grow larger, due to greater density of fluid medium (water) and facilitates the maintenance of appropriate body temperatures (Denny, 2015; Hsia et al., 2013), seems to favour negligible senescent phenotypes or an extremely delayed appearance of the signs of senescence, shortly before the death of the individual (as seen in fish, octopus, and whales). Similarly, the functioning of soaring birds seems to be less costly in terms of energy compared to their relatives with passerine-type flights. Hence, we may conclude that an energy-efficient life in a stable environment can delay the symptoms of senescence and promote a longer life.

Although supported by palaeobiological and ecological examples, our synthesis is only a hypothesis. We hope that further research could confirm this hypothesis or present alternate hypotheses.

Furthermore, the hypothesis proposed in this review may constitute the framework for a well-integrated animal biogerontology, combining proximate and future perspectives on the study of senescence. Future research on the senescence of animals should use taxa such as cephalopods, fish, reptiles, and aquatic mammals to test our hypotheses (Fig. 5). Moreover, we believe that, as far as possible, such studies should be conducted in the wild, since patterns of senescence may be distorted in captivity. Senescence should also be separated from individual reactions to adverse environmental conditions. Cephalopods are a good example of the need for separating the external impact, such as the impact of environmental pollution on animals, from the actual symptoms of senescence. Although some experimental studies have been conducted on starvation and memory in the end-of-life phase of these animals (Anderson, Wood & Byrne, 2002), hardly any senescence of cephalopods is observed in nature (Roumbedakis & Guerra, 2019). According to some authors, senescence-like symptoms seen in cephalopods are caused by poor animal welfare (e.g., changes in water quality parameters) (Budelmann, 1998). In light of the many, sometimes dramatic, changes in the aquatic habitats of the world resulting from agriculture, transport, and other industries, undisturbed areas are the most important enclaves for this type of research. In summary, we propose that an energy-efficient life
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Figure 5  Simplified model of the senescence hypothesis. Model depicting the hypothesis that senescence did not evolve independently but evolved as a side effect of previously chosen developmental strategies.

under stable environmental conditions can delay senescence and suggest that future studies attempt to test this hypothesis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank anonymous reviewers for their exceptionally helpful comments during the review process.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (funds of Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology and Department of Ecology and Environmental Protection). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:
The Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (funds of Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology and Department of Ecology and Environmental Protection).

**Competing Interests**
The authors declare there are no competing interests.

**Author Contributions**
- Tomasz Bilinski conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored draft of the paper.
- Aneta Bylak performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Krzysztof Kukuła and Renata Zadrag-Tecza performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

**Data Availability**
The following information was supplied regarding data availability:
This article is based on the published literature data and the data available in the database, which was reported in the references and “Survey methodology” section.

**Supplemental Information**
Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12286#supplemental-information.

**REFERENCES**

Abdelwahab AH, Michaud JP, Bayoumy MH, Awadalla SS, El-Gendy M. 2018. Limb ablation and regeneration in Harmonia axyridis: costs for regenerators, but benefits for their progeny. *Entomologia Experimentalis Et Applicata* **166**:124–130 DOI 10.1111/eea.12649.

Ackermann M, Stearns SC, Jenal U. 2003. Senescence in a bacterium with asymmetric division. *Science* **300**:1920 DOI 10.1126/science.1083532.

Adams DC. 2014. A generalized K statistic for estimating phylogenetic signal from shape and other high-dimensional multivariate data. *Systematic Biology* **63**(5):685–697 DOI 10.1093/sysbio/syu030.

Alshuler DL, Dudley R. 2006. The physiology and biomechanics of avian flight at high altitude. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **46**:62–71 DOI 10.1093/icb/icj008.

Alvarado AS. 2000. Regeneration in the metazoans: why does it happen? *Bioessays* **22**:578–590 DOI 10.1002/(SICI)1521-1878(200006)22:6<578::AID-BIES11>3.0.CO;2-#.

Alves RJV, Costa LAA, Soares A, Silva NG, Pinto AP. 2019. Open ocean nocturnal insect migration in the Brazilian South Atlantic with comments on flight endurance. *PeerJ* **7**:e7583 DOI 10.7717/peerj.7583.

Anderson RC, Wood JB, Byrne RA. 2002. Octopus senescence: the beginning of the end. *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science* **5**:275–283 DOI 10.1207/S15327604JAWS0504_02.
Andrews AH, Tracey DM, Dunn MR. 2009. Lead–radium dating of orange roughy (Hoplostethus atlanticus): validation of a centenarian life span. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 66:1130–1140 DOI 10.1139/F09-059.

Andrews CB, Mackenzie SA, Gregory TR. 2009. Genome size and wing parameters in passerine birds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 276:55–61.

Angelini F, Ghiara G. 1984. Reproductive modes and strategies in vertebrate evolution. *Bollettino Di Zoologia* 51:121–203 DOI 10.1080/1125008409439459.

Archer D, Martina P, Buffett B, Brovkin V, Rahmstorf S, Ganopolski A. 2004. The importance of ocean temperature to global biogeochemistry. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 222:333–348.

Archibald JD. 2012. Dinosaur extinction: past and present perceptions. In: Brett-Surman MK, Holtz TR, Farlow JO, Walter B, eds. *The complete dinosaur*. Bloomington: Indiana Press, 10277–1038.

Bairlein F, Fritz J, Scope A, Schwendenwein I, Stanclova G, Van Dijk G, Meijer HA, Verhulst S, Dittami J. 2015. Energy expenditure and metabolic changes of free-flying migrating northern bald Ibis. *PLOS ONE* 10:e0134433 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0134433.

Baudisch A. 2011. The pace and shape of ageing. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 2:375–382 DOI 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00087.x.

Baudisch A, Salguero-Gómez R, Jones OR, Wrycza T, Mbeau-Ache C, Franco M, Colchero F. 2013. The pace and shape of senescence in angiosperms. *Journal of Ecology* 101:596–606 DOI 10.1111/1365-2745.12084.

Baudisch A, Vaupel JW. 2012. Evolution. Getting to the root of aging. *Science* 338:618–619 DOI 10.1126/science.1226467.

Benton M. 1989. Scientific methodologies in collision: the history of the study of the extinction of the dinosaurs. *Evolutionary Biology-New York* 24:371–400.

Berger D, Walters R, Gotthard K. 2008. What limits insect fecundity? Body size- and temperature-dependent egg maturation and oviposition in a butterfly. *Functional Ecology* 22:523–529 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01392.x.

Bernard C, Compagnoni A, Salguero-Gómez R. 2019. Testing Finch’s hypothesis: the role of organismal modularity on the escape from actuarial senescence. *BioRxiv*. 771378.

Bilinski T, Bylak A, Zadrag-Tecza R. 2016. Principles of alternative gerontology. *Aging* 8:589–602.

Bilinski T, Bylak A, Zadrag-Tecza R. 2017. The budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae as a model organism: possible implications for gerontological studies. *Biogerontology* 18:631–640 DOI 10.1007/s10522-017-9712-x.

Bilinski T, Paszkiewicz T, Zadrag-Tecza R. 2015. Energy excess is the main cause of accelerated aging of mammals. *Oncotarget* 6:12909–12919 DOI 10.18632/oncotarget.4271.

Bischof JC, He X. 2006. Thermal stability of proteins. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1066:12–33.
Blagosklonny MV. 2006. Aging and immortality: quasi-programmed senescence and its pharmacologic inhibition. *Cell Cycle* 5:2087–2102 DOI 10.4161/cc.5.18.3288.

Blagosklonny MV. 2012. Answering the ultimate question what is the proximal cause of aging? *Aging* 4:861–877.

Blanckenhorn WU. 2000. The evolution of body size: what keeps organisms small? *Quarterly Review of Biology* 75:385–407 DOI 10.1086/393620.

Boyce CK, Lee J-E. 2010. An exceptional role for flowering plant physiology in the expansion of tropical rainforests and biodiversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 277:3437–3443 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2010.0485.

Brainerd EL. 1999. New perspectives on the evolution of lung ventilation mechanisms in vertebrates. *Experimental Biology Online* 4:1–28 DOI 10.1007/s00898-999-0002-1.

Bray AA. 1985. The evolution of the terrestrial vertebrates: environmental and physiological considerations. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* 309:289–322.

Broussard DR, Risch TS, Dobson FS, Murie JO. 2003. Senescence and age-related reproduction of female Columbian ground squirrels. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 72:212–219 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2656.2003.00691.x.

Bruderer B, Peter D, Boldt A, Liechti F. 2010. Wing-beat characteristics of birds recorded with tracking radar and cine camera. *Ibis* 152:272–291 DOI 10.1111/j.1474-919X.2010.01014.x.

Budelmann BU. 1998. Autophagy in Octopus. In: Payne AIL, Lipinski MR, Clarke MR, Roeleveld MAC, eds. *Cephalopod biodiversity, ecology and evolution: South Afri J Mar Sci*. Cape Town: Sea Fisheries Research Institute, 101–108.

Burggren WW, Infantino RL. 1994. The respiratory transition from water to air-breathing during amphibian metamorphosis. *American Zoologist* 34:238–246 DOI 10.1093/icb/34.2.238.

Capdevila P, Beger M, Blomberg SP, Hereu B, Linares C, Salguero-Gomez R. 2020. Longevity, body dimension and reproductive mode drive differences in aquatic versus terrestrial life-history strategies. *Functional Ecology* 34:1613–1625 DOI 10.1111/1365-2435.13604.

Carey JR, Judge DS. 2000. *Life spans of mammals, birds, amphibians, reptiles, and fish*. Odense: Odense University Press.

Cayuela H, Akani GC, Hema EM, Eniang EA, Amadi N, Ajong SN, Dendi D, Petrozzi F, Luiselli L. 2019. Life history and age-dependent mortality processes in tropical reptiles. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 128:251–262.

Charig AJ. 1972. The evolution of the archosaur pelvis and hindlimb: an explanation in functional terms. In: Joysey KA, Kemp TS, eds. *Studies in vertebrate evolution*. Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd, 121–155.

Clapham ME, Karr JA. 2012. Environmental and biotic controls on the evolutionary history of insect body size. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 109:10927–10930 DOI 10.1073/pnas.1204026109.
Cohen AA. 2018. Aging across the tree of life: the importance of a comparative perspective for the use of animal models in aging. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular Basis of Disease 1864:2680–2689 DOI 10.1016/j.bbadis.2017.05.028.

Compton SG, Bullock JM, Kenward RE, Hails RS. 2002. Sailing with the wind: dispersal by small flying insects. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.

Costa FG, Perez-Miles F. 2002. Reproductive biology of Uruguayan theraphosids (Aranaeae, Mygalomorphae). Journal of Arachnology 30:571–587 DOI 10.1636/0161-8202(2002)030[0571:RBOUTA]2.0.CO;2.

Costanzo JP, Lee RE. 2013. Avoidance and tolerance of freezing in ectothermic vertebrates. Journal of Experimental Biology 216:1961–1967 DOI 10.1242/jeb.070268.

Cristoffer C, Peres CA. 2003. Elephants versus butterflies: the ecological role of large herbivores in the evolutionary history of two tropical worlds. Journal of Biogeography 30:1357–1380 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.00926.x.

Croft DP, Brent IJ, Franks DW, Cant MA. 2015. The evolution of prolonged life after reproduction. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 30:407–416 DOI 10.1016/j.tree.2015.04.011.

Darwin C. 1859. On the origin of species. London: John Murray.

Davies I. 1974. Effect of age and diet on ultrastructure of hymenopteran flight-muscle. Experimental Gerontology 9:215–216 DOI 10.1016/0531-5565(74)90015-1.

Dawkins R. 1982. Replicators and vehicles. In: Group KsCS, ed. Current problems in sociobiology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 45–64.

De Magalhaes JP, Costa J. 2009. A database of vertebrate longevity records and their relation to other life-history traits. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 22:1770–1774.

Denny MW. 2015. Terrestrial versus aquatic biology: the medium and its message. American Zoologist 30:111–121.

Devarapalli P, Kumavath RN, Barh D, Azevedo V. 2014. The conserved mitochondrial gene distribution in relatives of Turritopsis nutricula, an immortal jellyfish. Bioinformatics 10:586–591 DOI 10.6026/97320630010586.

Dodig S, Čepelak I, Pavić I. 2019. Hallmarks of senescence and aging. Biochemical Medicine 29:5 DOI 10.11613/BM.2019.010101.

Dudley R, Byrnes G, Yanoviak SP, Borrell B, Brown RM, McGuire JA. 2007. Gliding and the functional origins of flight: Biomechanical novelty or necessity? Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics 38:179–201 DOI 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110014.

Dumont ER. 2010. Bone density and the lightweight skeletons of birds. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 277:2193–2198.

Edwards D, Paul A, Selden PA. 1992. The development of early terrestrial ecosystems. Botanical Journal of Scotland 46:337–366 DOI 10.1080/03746600508684794.

Ericsson G, Wallin K, Ball JP, Broberg M. 2001. Age-related reproductive effort and senescence in free-ranging moose, Alces alces. Ecology 82:1613–1620 DOI 10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[1613:ARREAS]2.0.CO;2.

Finch CE. 1990. Longevity, senescence, and the genome. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
Finch CE. 1998. Variations in senescence and longevity include the possibility of negligible senescence. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A 53:B235–B239.

Flatt T, Partridge L. 2018. Horizons in the evolution of aging. BMC Biology 16:93 DOI 10.1186/s12915-018-0562-z.

Froese R, Pauly D. 2019. FishBase. version (12/2019). World Wide Web electronic publication. Available at https://www.fishbase.org.

Frýdlová P, Mrzílková J, Šeremeta M, Křemen J, Dudák J, Žemlička J, Minnich B, Kverková K, Němec P, Zach P, Frynta D. 2020. Determinate growth is predominant and likely ancestral in squamate reptiles. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 287:20202737 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2020.2737.

Gaillard JM, Lemaître JF. 2017. The Williams’ legacy: a critical reappraisal of his nine predictions about the evolution of senescence. Evolution 71:2768–2785 DOI 10.1111/evo.13379.

Galis F, Wagner GP, Jockusch EL. 2003. Why is limb regeneration possible in amphibians but not in reptiles, birds, and mammals? Evolution & Development 5:208–220 DOI 10.1046/j.1525-142X.2003.00302.x.

Garwood RJ, Edgecombe GD. 2011. Early terrestrial animals, evolution, and uncertainty. Evolution: Education and Outreach 4:489–501.

Gavrilova NS, Gavrilov LA, Evdokushkina GN, Semyonova VG, Gavrilova AL, Evdokushkina NN, Kushnareva YE, Kroutko VN, Andreyev A. 1998. Evolution, mutations, and human longevity: European royal and noble families. Human Biology 70:799–804.

Gerkema MP, Davies WI, Foster RG, Menaker M, Hut RA. 2013. The nocturnal bottleneck and the evolution of activity patterns in mammals. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 280:20130508.

Gerking SD. 1957. Evidence of aging in natural populations of fishes. Gerontologia 1:287–305 DOI 10.1159/000210706.

Giraldo YM, Kamhi JF, Fourcassie V, Moreau M, Robson SK, Rusakov A, Wimberly L, Diloreto A, Kordek A, Traniello JF. 2016. Lifespan behavioural and neural resilience in a social insect. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 283:20152603.

Gonçalves F. 2018. Terrestrial. In: Vonk J, Shackelford T, eds. Encyclopedia of Animal Cognition and Behavior. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 1–5.

Gordon MS, Blickhan R, Dabiri JO, Videler JJ. 2017. Animal locomotion physical principles and adaptations. Boca Raton: CRC Press.

Gould SJ. 1997. The exaptive excellence of spandrels as a term and prototype. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 94:10750–10755 DOI 10.1073/pnas.94.20.10750.

Gould SJ, Lewontin RC. 1979. The spandrels of San Marco and the Panglossian paradigm: a critique of the adaptationist programme. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 205:581–598 DOI 10.1098/rspb.1979.0086.

Gruber H, Wessels W, Boynton P, Xu JZ, Wohlgemuth S, Leeuwenburgh C, Qi WB, Austad SN, Schaible R, Philipp EER. 2015. Age-related cellular changes in the long-lived bivalve A. islandica. Age 37:90 DOI 10.1007/s11357-015-9831-8.
Guerville F, De Souto Barreto P, Ader I, Andrieu S, Casteilla L, Dray C, Fazilleau N, Guyonnet S, Langin D, Liblau R, Parini A, Valet P, Vergnolle N, Rolland Y, Vellas B. 2020. Revisiting the hallmarks of aging to identify markers of biological age. *The Journal of Prevention of Alzheimer’s Disease* 7:56–64.

Hackett SJ, Kimball RT, Reddy S, Bowie RC, Braun EL, Braun MJ, Chojnowski JL, Cox WA, Han KL, Harshman J, Huddleston CJ, Marks BD, Miglia KJ, Moore WS, Sheldon FH, Steadman DW, Witt CC, Yuri T. 2008. A phylogenomic study of birds reveals their evolutionary history. *Science* 320:1763–1768 DOI 10.1126/science.1157704.

Hanken J, Wake DB. 1993. Miniaturization of body-size - organismal consequences and evolutionary significance. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 24:501–519 DOI 10.1146/annurev.es.24.110193.002441.

Harman D. 1956. Aging: a theory based on free radical and radiation chemistry. *Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 11:298–300.

Harman D. 2009. Origin and evolution of the free radical theory of aging: a brief personal history, 1954–2009. *Biogerontology* 10:773–781 DOI 10.1007/s10522-009-9234-2.

Harrison JF, Kaiser A, Vanden Brooks JM. 2010. Atmospheric oxygen level and the evolution of insect body size. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 277:1937–1946 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2010.0001.

Heers AM, Baier DB, Jackson BE, Dial KP. 2016. Flapping before flight: high resolution, three-dimensional skeletal kinematics of wings and legs during avian development. *PLOS ONE* 11:e0153446 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0153446.

Heinze J, Schrempf A. 2008. Aging and reproduction in social insects - a mini-review. *Gerontology* 54:160–167 DOI 10.1159/000122472.

Herranz N, Gil J. 2018. Mechanisms and functions of cellular senescence. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 128:1238–1246 DOI 10.1172/JCI95148.

Hessen DO. 2008. Solar radiation and the evolution of life. In: Bjertness E, ed. *Solar radiation and human health*. Oslo: The Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters, 123–136.

Hill RW, Wyse GA, Anderson M. 2012. Animal physiology, third edition. Animal physiology, third edition. 1–800.

Hsia CC, Schmitz A, Lambertz M, Perry SF, Maina JN. 2013. Evolution of air breathing: oxygen homeostasis and the transitions from water to land and sky. *Comprehensive Physiology* 3:849–915.

Hunter P. 2007. The nature of flight. The molecules and mechanics of flight in animals. *EMBO Reports* 8:811–813 DOI 10.1038/sj.embor.7401050.

Hutchison VH. 2008. Amphibians: lungs’ lift lost. *Current Biology* 18:R392–R393 DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2008.03.006.

Jacobus LM, Macadam CR, Sartori M. 2019. Mayflies (Ephemeroptera) and their contributions to ecosystem services. *Insects* 10:170 DOI 10.3390/insects10060170.

Jones OR, Scheuerlein A, Salguero-Gomez R, Camarda CG, Schaible R, Casper BB, Dahlgren JP, Ehrlein J, Garcia MB, Menges ES, Quintana-Ascencio PF, Caswell
H, Baudisch A, Vaupel JW. 2014. Diversity of ageing across the tree of life. Nature 505:169–173 DOI 10.1038/nature12789.

Jones OR, Vaupel JW. 2017. Senescence is not inevitable. Biogerontology 18(6):965–971 DOI 10.1007/s10522-017-9727-3.

Jourdain E, Gauthier-Clerc M, Bicout DJ, Sabatier P. 2007. Bird migration routes and risk for pathogen dispersion into western Mediterranean wetlands. Emerging Infectious Diseases 13:365–372 DOI 10.3201/eid1303.060301.

Keller L. 1998. Queen lifespan and colony characteristics in ants and termites. Insectes Sociaux 45:235–246 DOI 10.1007/s000400050084.

Keller L, Genoud M. 1999. Evolutionary theories of aging. 1. The need to understand the process of natural selection. Gerontology 45:336–338 DOI 10.1159/000022115.

Kemp TS. 2006. The origin of mammalian endothermy: a paradigm for the evolution of complex biological structure. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 147:473–488 DOI 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2006.00226.x.

Kirkwood TBL. 1977. Evolution of aging. Nature 270:301–304 DOI 10.1038/270301a0.

Kirkwood TB, Austad SN. 2000. Why do we age? Nature 408:233–238 DOI 10.1038/35041682.

Kirkwood TBL, Holliday R. 1979. The evolution of ageing and longevity. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 205:531–546 DOI 10.1098/rspb.1979.0083.

Klevezal GA. 2010. Dynamics of incisor growth and daily increments on the incisor surface in three species of small rodents. Biology Bulletin 37:836–845.

Lindstedt C, Murphy L, Mappes J. 2019. Antipredator strategies of pupae: how to avoid predation in an immobile life stage? Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 374:20190069.

Lipner SR, Scher RK. 2017. Nail growth evaluation and factors affecting nail growth. In: Humbert P, Fanian F, Maibach HI, Agache P, eds. Agache’s measuring the skin: non-invasive investigations, physiology, normal constants. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 867–881.

Little C. 2009. The colonisation of land: origins and adaptations of terrestrial animals. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Long JA, Gordon MS. 2004. The greatest step in vertebrate history: a paleobiological review of the fish-tetrapod transition. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology 77:700–719.

Luo ZX. 2007. Transformation and diversification in early mammal evolution. Nature 450:1011–1019.

Maina JN. 2000. What it takes to fly: the structural and functional respiratory refinements in birds and bats. Journal of Experimental Biology 203:3045–3064.

Maina JN. 2015. The design of the avian respiratory system: development, morphology and function. Journal of Ornithology 156:S41–S63.

Maklakov AA, Chapman T. 2019. Evolution of ageing as a tangle of trade-offs: energy versus function. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 286:20191604.

Martínez DE, Levinton JS. 1992. Asexual metazoans undergo senescence. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 89:9920–9923.
May RM. 1988. How many species are there on Earth? *Science* **241:**1441–1449.

McGraw WS, Pampush JD, Daegling DJ. 2012. Brief communication: enamel thickness and durophagy in mangabeys revisited. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* **147:**326–333 DOI 10.1002/ajpa.21634.

McHugh D, Gil J. 2018. Senescence and aging: causes, consequences, and therapeutic avenues. *Journal of Cell Biology* **217:**65–77 DOI 10.1083/jcb.201708092.

McMahon DP, Hayward A. 2016. Why grow up? A perspective on insect strategies to avoid metamorphosis. *Ecological Entomology* **41:**505–515 DOI 10.1111/een.12313.

McNab BK. 1994. Energy-conservation and the evolution of flightlessness in birds. *American Naturalist* **144:**628–642 DOI 10.1086/285697.

Medawar PB. 1946. Old age and natural death. *Modern Quarterly* **1:**30–56.

Medawar PB. 1952. An unsolved problem of biology. London: H.K. Lewis.

Mencuccini M, Munné-Bosch S. 2017. Physiological and biochemical processes related to ageing and senescence in plants. In: Jones OR, Shefferson RP, Salguero-Gómez R, eds. *The evolution of senescence in the tree of life*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 257–283.

Meyers RA, Stakebake EF. 2005. Anatomy and histochemistry of spread-wing posture in birds. 3. Immunohistochemistry of flight muscles and the shoulder lock in albatrosses. *Journal of Morphology* **263:**12–29 DOI 10.1002/jmor.10284.

Miquel J, Economos AC, Bensch KG. 1981. Insect vs. mammalian aging. In: Johnson JE, ed. *Aging and cell structure: volume 1*. Boston: Springer US, 347–379.

Møller AP. 2007. Senescence in relation to latitude and migration in birds. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **20:**750–757 DOI 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2006.01236.x.

Molnar C, Gair J. 2015. *Concepts of biology: BCcampus, BC open textbook project*. Victoria, B.C.: BCcampus.

Monaco T, Silvestre D, Silveira PSP. 2012. In: Nagata T, ed. *Senescence in animals: why evolutionary theories Matter*. Senescence 209–224. Rijeka, Shanghai: InTech.

Moore J. 2006. *An Introduction to the Invertebrates*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mora C, Tittensor DP, Adl S, Simpson AGB, Worm B. 2011. How many species are there on earth and in the ocean? *PLOS Biology* **9:**e1001127 DOI 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001127.

Morbey YE, Brassil CE, Hendry AP, Daniel ELP, Jonathan BL. 2005. Rapid senescence in Pacific Salmon. *The American Naturalist* **166:**556–568 DOI 10.1086/491720.

Moussian B. 2010. Recent advances in understanding mechanisms of insect cuticle differentiation. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **40:**363–375 DOI 10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.03.003.

Munné-Bosch S. 2015. Senescence: is it universal or Not? *Trends in Plant Science* **20**(11):713–720 DOI 10.1016/j.tplants.2015.07.009.

Munoz-Espin D, Serrano M. 2014. Cellular senescence: from physiology to pathology. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **15:**482–496 DOI 10.1038/nrm3823.

Nation JL. 2005. Tracheal system and respiratory gas exchange. In: *Encyclopedia of Entomology*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 2276–2280.
Newman BG. 1958. Soaring and gliding flight of the black vulture. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 35:280–285 DOI 10.1242/jeb.35.2.280.

Niccoli T, Partridge L. 2012. Ageing as a risk factor for disease. *Current Biology* 22:R741–R752 DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.024.

Nielsen J, Hedeholm RB, Heinemeier J, Bushnell PG, Christiansen JS, Olsen J, Ramsey CB, Brill RW, Simon M, Steffensen KF, Steffensen JF. 2016. Eye lens radiocarbon reveals centuries of longevity in the Greenland shark (Somniosus microcephalus). *Science* 353:702–704 DOI 10.1126/science.aaf1703.

Niemitz C, Nibbrig M, Zacher V. 2008. Human ears grow throughout the entire lifetime according to complicated and sexually dimorphic patterns—conclusions from a cross-sectional analysis. *Anthropologischer Anzeiger; Bericht Uber Die Biologisch-Anthropologische Literatur* 65:391–413.

Nöthiger R. 1972. The larval development of imaginal disks. In: Ursprung H, Nöthiger R, eds. *The biology of imaginal disks results and problems in cell differentiation (A series of topical volumes in developmental biology).* Berlin: Springer, 1–34.

Nussey DH, Coulsdon T, Festa-Bianchet M, Gaillard J-M. 2008. Measuring senescence in wild animal populations: towards a longitudinal approach. *Functional Ecology* 22:393–406 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01408.x.

Nussey DH, Froy H, Lemaitre JF, Gaillard JM, Austad SN. 2013. Senescence in natural populations of animals: widespread evidence and its implications for biogerontology. *Aging Research Reviews* 12:214–225 DOI 10.1016/j.arr.2012.07.004.

Oftedal OT. 2002. The mammary gland and its origin during synapsid evolution. *Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia* 7:225–252 DOI 10.1023/A:1022896515287.

Oster GF, Shubin N, Murray JD, Alberch P. 1988. Evolution and morphogenetic rules: the shape of the vertebrate limb in ontogeny and Phylogeny. *Evolution* 42:862–884 DOI 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1988.tb02508.x.

Padian K. 2016. Doing the locomotion. *Nature* 530:416–417 DOI 10.1038/530416a.

Parle E, Dirks JH, Taylor D. 2016. Bridging the gap: wound healing in insects restores mechanical strength by targeted cuticle deposition. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 13:20150984 DOI 10.1098/rsif.2015.0984.

Partridge L, Barton NH. 1993. Optimally, mutation and the evolution of ageing. *Nature* 362:305–311 DOI 10.1038/362305a0.

Pass G. 2018. Beyond aerodynamics: the critical roles of the circulatory and tracheal systems in maintaining insect wing functionality. *Arthropod Structure & Development* 47:391–407 DOI 10.1016/j.asd.2018.05.004.

Pearl R. 1928. *The rate of living.* London: University of London Press.

Pennycuick CJ. 1973. The soaring flight of vultures. *Scientific American* 229:102–109.

Peron G, Lemaitre JF, Ronget V, Tidiere M, Gaillard JM. 2019. Variation in actuarial senescence does not reflect life span variation across mammals. *PLOS Biology* 17:e3000432 DOI 10.1371/journal.pbio.3000432.

Polilov AA. 2016. *At the size limit - effects of miniaturization in insects.* Cham: Springer.
Pough H, Janis CM, Heiser JB. 2012. Synapsids and Sauropsids, two approaches to terrestrial life. In: Vertebrate life. San Francisco: Benjamin-Cummings Publishing Company, 267–304.

Promislow DEL. 1991. Senescence in natural populations of mammals: a comparative study. *Evolution* **45**(8):1869–1887 DOI 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1991.tb02693.x.

Puttick MN, Thomas GH, Benton MJ. 2014. High rates of evolution preceded the origin of birds. *Evolution* **68**:1497–1510 DOI 10.1111/evo.12363.

Quesada V, Freitas-Rodriguez S, Miller J, Perez-Silva JG, Jiang ZF, Tapia W, Santiago-Fernandez O, Campos-Iglesias D, Kuderna LFK, Quinzin M, Alvarez MG, Carrero D, Behereregay LB, Gibbs JP, Chiari Y, Glaberman S, Ciofi C, Araujo-Voces M, Mayoral P, Arango JR, Tamargo-Gomez I, Roiz-Valle D, Pascual-Torner M, Evans BR, Edwards DL, Garrick RC, Russello MA, Poulakakis N, Gaughran SJ, Rueda DO, Bretones G, Marques-Bonet T, White KP, Caccone A, Lopez-Otin C. 2019. Giant tortoise genomes provide insights into longevity and age-related disease. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **3**:87–95 DOI 10.1038/s41559-018-0733-x.

Reitzel AM, Stefanik D, Finnerty JR. 2011. Asexual reproduction in *Cnidaria*: comparative developmental processes and candidate mechanisms. In: Flatt T, Heyland A, Finnerty JR, eds. *Mechanisms of life history evolution: the genetics and physiology of life history traits and trade-offs*. Oxford University Press, 101–113.

Reznick D, Ghalambor C, Nunney L. 2002. The evolution of senescence in fish. *Mechanisms of Ageing and Development* **123**:773–789 DOI 10.1016/S0047-6374(01)00423-7.

Ricklefs RE. 2010. Life-history connections to rates of aging in terrestrial vertebrates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **107**:10314–10319 DOI 10.1073/pnas.1005862107.

Rilling JK, Young LJ. 2014. The biology of mammalian parenting and its effect on offspring social development. *Science* **345**:771–776 DOI 10.1126/science.1252723.

Rolfe WDI. 1985. Early terrestrial arthropods - a fragmentary record. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **309**:207.

Rolff J, Johnston PR, Reynolds S. 2019. Complete metamorphosis of insects. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **374**:20190063 DOI 10.1098/rstb.2019.0063.

Roots C. 2006. *Flightless birds*. London: Greenwood Press.

Rosenheim JA, Jepsen SJ, Matthews CE, Smith DS, Rosenheim MR. 2008. Time limitation, egg limitation, the cost of oviposition, and lifetime reproduction by an insect in nature. *American Naturalist* **172**:486–496 DOI 10.1086/591677.

Roumbedakis K, Guerra Á. 2019. Cephalopod senescence and parasitology. In: Gestal C, Pascual S, Guerra Á, Fiorito G, Vieites JM, eds. *Handbook of pathogens and diseases in cephalopods*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 207–211.

Rubner M. 1908. *Das Problem det Lebensdaur und seiner beziehunger zum Wachstum und Ernahrung*. Munich: Oldenberg.

Ruf T, Bieber C. 2020. Physiological, behavioral, and life-history adaptations to environmental fluctuations in the edible Dormouse. *Frontiers in Physiology* **11**:423 DOI 10.3389/fphys.2020.00423.
Rutland CS, Cigler P, Kubale Dvojmoč V. 2019. Reptilian skin and its special histological structures. In: Rutland CS, Kubale Dvojmoč V, eds. Veterinary anatomy and physiology. Rijeka, Shanghai: InTech, 1–21.

Sander PM, Christian A, Clauss M, Fechner R, Gee CT, Griebeler EM, Gunga HC, Hummel J, Mallison H, Perry SF, Preuschoft H, Rauhut OWM, Remes K, Tutken T, Wings O, Witzel U. 2011. Biology of the sauropod dinosaurs: the evolution of gigantism. Biological Reviews 86:117–155 DOI 10.1111/j.1469-185X.2010.00137.x.

Savile OBO. 1957. Adaptive Evolution in the avian wing. Evolution 11:212–224.

Sawada H, Inoue N, Iwano M. 2014. Sexual reproduction in animals and plants. Tokyo: Springer.

Schmaljohann H, Liechti F. 2009. Adjustments of wingbeat frequency and air speed to air density in free-flying migratory birds. Journal of Experimental Biology 212:3633–3642 DOI 10.1242/jeb.031435.

Shefferson RP, Mizuta R, Hutchings MJ. 2017. Predicting evolution in response to climate change: the example of sprouting probability in three dormancy-prone orchid species. Royal Society Open Science 4:160647.

Skold HN, Obst M. 2011. Potential for clonal animals in longevity and ageing studies. Biogerontology 12:387–396.

Snyder GK, Sheafor BA. 1999. Red blood cells: centerpiece in the evolution of the vertebrate circulatory system. American Zoologist 39:189–198.

Sparkman AM, Arnold SJ, Bronikowski AM. 2007. An empirical test of evolutionary theories for reproductive senescence and reproductive effort in the garter snake Thamnophis elegans. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 274:943–950.

Speakman JR. 2005. Body size, energy metabolism and lifespan. Journal of Experimental Biology 208:1717–1730.

Speakman JR, Selman C, McLaren JS, Harper EJ. 2002. Living fast, dying when? The link between aging and energetics. Journal of Nutrition 132:1583S–1597S.

Stearns SC. 1992. The evolution of life histories. Oxford: OUP Oxford.

Stewart EJ, Madden R, Paul G, Taddei F. 2005. Aging and death in an organism that reproduces by morphologically symmetric division. PLOS Biology 3:e45.

Takei Y. 2015. From aquatic to terrestrial life: evolution of the mechanisms for water acquisition. Zoological Science 32:1–7.

Tamone SL, Harrison JF. 2015. Linking Insects with Crustacea: physiology of the Pancrustacea: an Introduction to the Symposium. Integrative and Comparative Biology 55:765–770.

Tarpley RJ, Hillmann DJ. 1998. Observations on ovary morphology, fetal size and functional correlates in the bowhead whale Balaena mysticetus. North Slope Borough: Department of Wildlife Management.

Thewissen JGM, Cooper LN, George JC, Bajpai S. 2009. From land to water: the origin of whales, dolphins, and porpoises. Evolution: Education and Outreach 2:272–288.

Thomson K, Bossy K. 1970. Adaptive trends and relationships in early Amphibia. Forma Et Functio 3:7–31.
Tidière M, Gaillard J-M, Berger V, Müller DWH, Bingaman Lackey L, Gimenez O, Clauss M, Lemaître J-F. 2016. Comparative analyses of longevity and senescence reveal variable survival benefits of living in zoos across mammals. *Scientific Reports* 6:36361 DOI 10.1038/srep36361.

Turbill C, Bieber C, Ruf T. 2011. Hibernation is associated with increased survival and the evolution of slow life histories among mammals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 278:3355–3363 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2011.0190.

Van Deursen JM. 2014. The role of senescent cells in ageing. *Nature* 509:439–446 DOI 10.1038/nature13193.

Vaupel JW, Baudisch A, Dolling M, Roach DA, Gampe J. 2004. The case for negative senescence. *Theoretical Population Biology* 65:339–351 DOI 10.1016/j.tpb.2003.12.003.

Vincze O, Vágási CI, Pap PL, Palmer C, Møller AP. 2019. Wing morphology, flight type and migration distance predict accumulated fuel load in birds. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 222:jeb183517.

Wanninger A. 2015. *Evolutionary developmental biology of invertebrates 3*. Wien: Springer-Verlag Wien.

Ward JV. 1992. *Aquatic insect ecology, part 1: biology and habitat*. New York: Wiley.

Welch CH. 1998. *Chapter 37: shortest reproductive life*. *Book of insect records*. Gainesville: University of Florida.

Whitford WG. 1973. The effects of temperature on respiration in the Amphibia. *American Zoologist* 13:505–512 DOI 10.1093/icb/13.2.505.

Williams GC. 1957. Pleiotropy, natural-selection, and the evolution of senescence. *Evolution* 11:398–411 DOI 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1957.tb02911.x.

Wilson AS, Tobin DJ. 2010. Hair after death. In: Trüeb RM, Tobin DJ, eds. *Aging hair*. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 249–261.

Wong BBM, Candolin U. 2014. Behavioral responses to changing environments. *Behavioral Ecology* 26:665–673.

Woodhead AD. 1998. Aging, the fishy side: an appreciation of Alex Comfort’s studies. *Experimental Gerontology* 33:39–51 DOI 10.1016/S0531-5565(97)00064-8.

Woodhead AD, Ellett S. 1966. Endocrine aspects of ageing in the guppy, *Lebistes reticulatus* peters—I. The thyroid gland. *Experimental Gerontology* 1:315–330 DOI 10.1016/0531-5565(66)90015-5.

Woodward HN, Freedman Fowler EA, Farlow JO, Horner JR. 2015. Maiasaura, a model organism for extinct vertebrate population biology: a large sample statistical assessment of growth dynamics and survivorship. *Paleobiology* 41:503–527.

Woodward HN, Horner JR, Farlow JO. 2011. Osteohistological evidence for determinate growth in the American alligator. *Journal of Herpetology* 45:339–342.

Yanoviak SP, Kaspari M, Dudley R. 2009. Gliding hexapods and the origins of insect aerial behaviour. *Biology Letters* 5:510–512.

Zar JH. 2010. *Biostatistical analysis*. 5th edition. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall.

Zeng Y. 1995. Chapter 12: longest life cycle. In: *Book of insect records*. Gainesville: University of Florida.