Determinants of Bone Health in Older Adults
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this study was to determine the predictors of bone health in older adults.

Methods: A total of 313 subjects older than 65 years (mean age 74.2±6.4 years, 70.6% female) were included in the study. Demographic characteristics of participants such as gait speed, handgrip strength, levels of physical activity (using Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity-RAPA scale), vitamin D levels, T scores of femur neck (FN) and lumbar spine (LS) were recorded. Results: Based on FN, 40.7% of participants had normal T scores whereas 46.2% and 13.1% of them were osteopenic and osteoporotic, respectively. FN was correlated with age (r:-0.186, p<0.001), BMI (r:0.269, p<0.001), and handgrip strength (r:0.148, p<0.001). Similarly, the LS was correlated with female gender (r:-0.207, p<0.001), age (r:0.136, p:0.016), body mass index (BMI) (r:0.246, p<0.001) and handgrip strength (r:0.217, p<0.001). The predictors of bone health were decided upon using multiple logistic regression analysis. The deterministic model consisted of age, gender, BMI, height, weight, handgrip strength, gait speed, RAPA-aerobic and vitamin D. For LS dependent variable, the overall model was significant (F:10.149, p<0.001). However, only two variables were significant predictors in the model i.e. weight (β:0.389, p<0.001) and handgrip strength (β:0.186, p<0.001). Similarly for independent variable of FN, the overall model was significant (F:6.525, p<0.001) and only two variables were significant predictors: weight (β:0.371, p<0.001) and RAPA-Aerobic (β:0.148, p:0.009).

Conclusion: Lower levels of body weight, participation in aerobic activity and handgrip strength might be risk factors for deterioration of bone health in older adults.
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ÖZ

Amaç: Bu çalışmada amaç, yaşlı bireylerde kemik sağlığını belirleyen faktörleri saptamaktır.

Metod: 65 yaş ve üzeri 313 birey (ort. yaş 74.2±6.4 yıl, %70.6 kadın) çalışmaya dahil edildi. Demografik veriler, yürüyüş hızı, kavrama kuvveti, fiziksel aktivite düzeyi (RAPA scale), vitamin D seviyeleri, femur boyun (FN) ve lumbal omurganın T skorları kaydedildi. FN ve LS ölçümlerinde yaş, cinsiyet, BMI ve kavrama kuvveti belirgin bir şekilde etkiliydendi. FN ve LS modelinde tek anlamlı belirleyiciydi (β:0.371, p<0.001 ve β:0.186, p<0.001).

Sonuç: Düşük vücut ağırlığı, aerobik aktivite katılım düzeyi ve kavrama kuvveti gibi faktörler yaşlı bireylerde kemik sağlığı bozulmasını açıcı risk faktörleri olabilir.
INTRODUCTION

As a result of aging process, the structure, composition and function of bone tissue deteriorates, which in turn leads to osteoporosis. Osteoporosis is an insidious disease that causes several secondary problems. Among these secondary problems, falls and frailty fractures are the most common ones. Unfortunately, this chain of problems (i.e. poor bone health, falls and fractures) may cause life-threatening conditions for older adults. Therefore, it is highly important to determine the predictors of bone health in geriatric population.

In the current literature, there are a lot of factors found to be associated with poor bone health such as body mass index (BMI), low vitamin-D status, low levels of physical activity and handgrip strength, etc. Yet, none of them is established as a definite predictor because of the conflicting evidences. The studies investigated limited numbers or only one of the predictors at a time rather than multiple predictors or combining effects of multiple predictors, which made it hard to estimate the true predictors of bone health. Thus, this study aims to determine the predictors of bone health in older adults by investigating many possible predictors.

MATERIAL and METHODS

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee and all participants gave written informed consent before data collection began. The patients who consulted to the geriatric outpatient clinic between December 2018 and June 2019 were included in the study. The inclusion criteria were: a) being at or over the age of 65, b) being able to perform Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and get 24 points or higher score, c) being able to complete 6-meter walk test (6mwt) d) not actively receiving a treatment for osteoporosis.

Initially, a demographic form inquiring the information about age, sex, comorbidities, weight, height, BMI etc. was filled out by the participants. Secondly, the handgrip strength was measured by using Takei© Hand-held Dynamometer (Takei Scientific Instruments Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) with the patient seated and arms extended on each side. The procedure was performed 3 times with both arms. The highest score was recorded for handgrip strength. 6mWT was performed to obtain the gait speed of the patients based on the original test protocol.

Afterwards, the participation level to aerobic, strength and flexibility activities was assessed with Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity (RAPA). Vitamin-D levels were obtained by blood test. Lastly, dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scanner was used to measure the health status of the bones. Based on the T scores calculated from femur neck (FN) and lumbar spine (LS), subjects divided into 3 groups as follows: a) normal: T score > -1.5, b) osteopenic: T score > -2.5 and < -1.5, c) osteoporotic: T score <-2.5.

Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS Statistics, New York, USA) version 25.0 was used to perform statistical analyses. Since the data exhibited non-normal distributions, Spearman’s correlation tests were performed. The predictors of bone health were analyzed by multiple linear logistic regression analysis. The statistical level of significance was set at p<0,05.

RESULTS

In total, 313 older adults (mean age 74.17±6.4 years, 70.6% female) were included in the study. Table 1 indicates the demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants.

Possible correlations between FN/LS and several factors such as age, gender, gait speed etc. were investigated. The results of correlation analysis are shown in Table 2.
Table 1. The demographic and clinical characteristics of patients.

| Variables and Tests | Patients (n:313) Mean±SD | Variables and Tests | Patients (n:313) Mean±SD |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Age (years)         | 74.2±6.4                 | FN                  |
| Gender (f/m)        | 70.6/29.4                | Normal (%)          |
| Weight (kg)         | 70.7±13.4                | Osteopenic (%)      |
| Height (cm)         | 157.3±9.3                | Osteoporotic (%)    |
| BMI (kg/m²)         | 25.7±9.6                 | LS                  |
| Vitamin-D (ng/mL)   | 23.3±17.9                | Normal (%)          |
| Gait speed (m/s)    | 0.9±0.45                 | Osteopenic (%)      |
| Handgrip strength (kg) | 22.3±8.8                | Osteoporotic (%)    |
| RAPA-Aerobic Sedentary (%) | 3.8                | None (%)            |
| Underactive (%)     | 2.6                      | Strength (%)        |
| Regular underactive-Light Activities (%) | 27.9              | Flexibility (%)     |
| Regular underactive (%) | 37.2              | Both (%)            |
| Regular Active (%)  | 28.5                     |                     |

BMI: Body-Mass Index; RAPA: Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity; DEXA-FN: T score of the femur neck in dual energy x-ray absorptiometry; DEXA-LS: T score of the lumbar spine in dual energy x-ray absorptiometry.

Table 2. The results of correlation analysis.

| Correlations               | LS                      | FN                      |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Gender (Female)            | r: -0.207; p<0.0001***  | r: -1.72; p:0.078       |
| Age                        | r:0.136; p:0.016*       | r: -0.184; p<0.001**    |
| Weight                     | r:0.428; p<0.0001***    | r:0.368; p<0.0001***    |
| Height                     | r:0.248; p<0.0001***    | r:0.126; p:0.028*       |
| BMI                        | r:0.246; p<0.0001***    | r:0.269; p<0.0001***    |
| Vitamin-D                  | r:-0.076; p:0.199       | r:0.29; p:0.631         |
| Handgrip strength          | r:0.217; p<0.0001***    | r:0.149; p:0.009**      |
| Gait speed                 | r:-0.011; p:0.845       | r:0.078; p:0.184        |
| RAPA-Aerobic               | r:-0.098; p:0.086       | r:-0.133; p:0.02*       |

Bold numbers show significant results. *Significant, **Highly significant, ***Very highly significant. BMI: Body-Mass Index; RAPA: Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity; DEXA-FN: T score of the femur neck in dual energy x-ray absorptiometry; DEXA-LS: T score of the lumbar spine in dual energy x-ray absorptiometry.

Table 3. The results of regression analysis for LS.

| Predictor                  | F     | β    | p    |
|----------------------------|-------|------|------|
| Model                      | 10.149| <0.001**|      |
| Vitamin-D                  | 0.017 | 0.747|      |
| Age                        | 0.007 | 0.89 |      |
| RAPA-Aerobic               | 0.099 | 0.084|      |
| BMI                        | 0.006 | 0.918|      |
| Weight                     | 0.391 | <0.001**|     |
| Height                     | -0.010| 0.85 |      |
| Handgrip strength          | 0.175 | 0.003**|     |
| Gait speed                 | -0.076| 0.172|      |

Bold numbers show significant results. *Significant, **Highly significant, ***Very highly significant. BMI: Body-Mass Index; RAPA: Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity; DEXA-FN: T score of the femur neck in dual energy x-ray absorptiometry; DEXA-LS: T score of the lumbar spine in dual energy x-ray absorptiometry.

Table 4. The results of regression analysis for FN.

| Predictor                  | F     | β    | p    |
|----------------------------|-------|------|------|
| Model                      | 6.525 | <0.001**|    |
| Vitamin-D                  | 0.06  | 0.289|      |
| Age                        | -0.04 | 0.479|      |
| RAPA-Aerobic               | 0.134 | 0.026*|     |
| BMI                        | -0.006| 0.925|      |
| Weight                     | 0.366 | <0.001**|   |
| Height                     | -0.005| 0.931|      |
| Handgrip strength          | 0.043 | 0.495|      |
| Gait speed                 | 0.04  | 0.06 |      |

Bold numbers show significant results. *Significant, **Highly significant, ***Very highly significant. BMI: Body-Mass Index; RAPA: Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity; DEXA-FN: T score of the femur neck in dual energy x-ray absorptiometry; DEXA-LS: T score of the lumbar spine in dual energy x-ray absorptiometry.
After the correlation analyses, multiple regression analyses were performed in order to determine predictors of FN and LS. The predictor model consisted of vitamin D, age, RAPA-aerobic, BMI, weight, height, handgrip strength and gait speed. The results of regression analysis are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

For LS dependent variable, the overall model was significant (F:10.149, p<0.001). However, only two variables were significant predictors in the model including weight (β:0.389, p<0.001) and handgrip strength (β:0.186, p<0.001).

Similarly, for FN dependent variable, the overall model was significant (F:6.525, p<0.001) and only two variables were significant predictors including weight (β:0.371, p<0.001) and RAPA-Aerobic (β:0.148, p:0.009).

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings suggest that among several possible factors, only lower body weight may be associated with worse bone health in both LS and FN in older adults. In addition, the participation level to aerobic activities may be a determinant for FN, but interestingly not for LS. On the contrary, handgrip strength of older adults may be a predictor for bone health in LS, but not for FN.

Similar to our study, Gunn et al. stated that bone health was positively associated with body weight in postmenopausal women. The authors also underlined the importance of maintaining adequate body weight in terms of reducing bone loss. The rationale of this notion has been broadly explained by Reid in 2010. According to Reid, fat and bone tissues are linked by numerous pathways, which allow bone structure to serve as a skeleton to the adipose tissue. Therefore, obesity is protective against osteoporosis. On the other hand, there are studies stating that higher BMI is an opposing predictor of bone mineral density since the pro-inflammatory cytokines released by the adipose tissue affect osteoclastic and osteoblastic activity, which in turn leads to formation of lower bone mineral density.

Our results were consistent with the literature regarding relationship between physical activity and bone health. In a recent meta-analysis, an inverse association was found between physical activity and overall fracture risk. This finding indicates that increased physical activity leads to an increased bone mineral density and eventually the fracture risk is reduced. Nonetheless, our findings suggest a site-specific effect (i.e. physical activity level is a predictor of FN, but not LS). We believe that this effect might be originated from the difference between the influences of current participation to physical activity and participation at the time of bone mass development required for bone health. According to an earlier study, LS was associated with regular physical activity participation in advanced age whereas FN was associated with current level of physical activity. Considering the earlier findings and the fact that our study only focused on current level of physical activity, our site-specific results are reasonable and in line with the literature.

The site-specific predictor value of handgrip strength for bone health is a controversial topic. There are few studies suggesting that handgrip strength is a predictor for LS, but not for FN or for both. Considering the fact that grip strength is a marker of overall fragility and physical health condition in elderly in addition to the findings from current literature, it is obvious that the results of the present study cannot be interpreted in a sense that handgrip strength is definitely not a predictor of FN. Therefore, this topic remains unclear and to clarify the association between handgrip strength and bone health, future studies focusing on the adjustment of confounding factors are still needed.

The foremost strength of the present study is its investigation of several factors related to bone health.
health in combination. Other strengths of the study are the large sample size and the usage of objective measurement methods such as dynamometer, DEXA and blood test.

The first limitation of the study is that we have not been able to measure physical activity level in a more objective manner. Another limitation is the heterogeneous distribution of participants among the subgroups in terms of physical activity level and bone health status.

**CONCLUSION**

Conclusively; low body weight, low levels of participation in aerobic activity and low handgrip strength might be risk factors for deterioration of bone health in older adults. Therefore, the elimination or management of these factors may contribute to decrease in osteoporosis risk as well as osteoporosis related secondary conditions such as fractures.

For future studies, we suggest the use of objective measurement methods for assessing physical activity level rather than self-reported questionnaires to extensively investigate the effect of participation in physical activity on bone health. Also, studies focusing on the adjustment of confounding factors in terms of handgrip strength are still needed.
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