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Abstract

Summary: Hayai-Annotation Plants is a browser-based interface for an ultra-fast and accurate functional gene annotation system for plant species using R. The pipeline combines the sequence-similarity searches, using USEARCH against UniProtKB (taxonomy Embryophyta), with a functional annotation step. Hayai-Annotation Plants provides five layers of annotation: i) protein name; ii) gene ontology terms consisting of its three main domains (Biological Process, Molecular Function and Cellular Component); iii) enzyme commission number; iv) protein existence level; and v) evidence type. It implements a new algorithm that gives priority to protein existence level to propagate GO and EC information and annotated Arabidopsis thaliana representative peptide sequences (Araport11) within 5 min at the PC level.

Availability and implementation: The software is implemented in R and runs on Macintosh and Linux systems. It is freely available at https://github.com/kdri-genomics/Hayai-Annotation-Plants under the GPLv3 license.

Contact: andreaghelfi@kazusa.or.jp

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 Introduction

The main goal in plant science is to understand plant biological systems in order to describe patterns of evolution and diversity. Because genome information would accelerate the process of plant research, it is crucial that molecular biologists have broad and accurate knowledge of gene profiles in the relevant genomes. There are many tools available for gene and protein function annotation. To cite a few, Blast2GO (Conesa and Götz, 2008) on cloud computing, or TRAPID (Van Bel et al., 2013), Mercator4 (Schwacke et al., 2019) and FunctionAnnotator (Chen et al., 2017) as web applications. They use different algorithms for sequence alignments and GO annotations, briefly, BLAST2GO uses BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990) and InterProScan (Zdobnov and Apweiler, 2001); TRAPID uses RAPSearch2 (Zhao et al., 2012); Mercator4 applies BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990) and HMMER3 (Eddy, 2011); FunctionAnnotator uses LAST (Frith et al., 2010) and RPS-BLAST (Altschul et al., 1997). However, they require a long time to run and the annotation assignment does not consider the type of evidence that supports the existence of a protein (Protein Existence Level). In UniProtKB (UniProt Consortium, 2018) there are five types of evidence for the existence of a protein: i. experimental evidence at a protein level, ii. experimental evidence at a transcript level, iii. protein inferred by homology, iv. protein predicted, v. protein uncertain. To address these issues, we developed Hayai-Annotation Plants, which is an ultra-fast, accurate and comprehensive functional gene annotation system in plants. Besides having the advantage to run locally, thus users do not need to upload their data to public websites.

Hayai-Annotation Plants is based on sequence similarity searches using USEARCH (Edgar, 2010), which is an algorithm orders of
magnitude faster than BLAST, against UniProtKB, taxonomy Embryophyta (land plants). Hayai-Annotation Plants makes use of the complete set of protein information from UniProtKB to provide five layers of annotation: protein name; Gene Ontology (Ashburner et al., 2000) (GO) consisting of three main categories (Biological Process, Molecular Function and Cellular Component); Enzyme Commission (EC) number; protein existence level; and evidence type. Hayai-Annotation Plants introduces an algorithm that gives priority for higher levels of protein existence, followed by curated evidence types, rather than evidences inferred from electronic annotation (evidence types describe the source of the information in UniProtKB). We believe that the Protein Existence Level algorithm has the potential to standardize and increase GO and EC annotation assignments when reliable database is used.

2 Materials and methods

Hayai-Annotation Plants is an R package that employs the R package 'Shiny' for its browser interface, as well as the free version of USEARCH (32-bit) (Edgar, 2010) for sequence alignment, and uses UniProtKB (taxonomy Embryophyta) information to designate the protein name, GO term and code, EC number, protein existence level and evidence type. Gene Ontology Annotation (UniProt-GOA) database was used as a source of GO codes, because it aims to provide high quality GO annotation (Camon et al., 2004). FASTA-formatted file is required as minimum input, and five parameters can be customized (type of alignment—local or global, maximum hits per query, minimum sequence identity, minimum query coverage and E-value). In addition, we developed two types of algorithms to assign annotation. The ‘Alignment Score’ algorithm gives priority to sequence identity parameter, followed by protein existence, then evidence type. The ‘Protein Existence Level’ algorithm has another priority order, first consider the highest protein existence level parameter, followed by evidence type, then sequence identity (for global alignment) or score (for local alignment).

The annotation process can be separated in two steps, the first is the sequence alignment and the second the functional annotation step, with the incorporation of protein name, GO terms and codes, EC number, existence level and evidence type. In the first step we implemented USEARCH, but since the free version of USEARCH only allows files smaller than 4 GB, we split the database into four uniform parts (same number of sequences each). In the functional annotation step, each query with its UniProt code associated is merged with the information from UniProtKB, following the priority given by the selected type of algorithm. Figure 1 shows a schematic description of the pipeline. Since not all subjects have a complete set of annotation, and in order to increase the level (number of layers) of annotation, within the parameters chosen and depending on the selected algorithms, Hayai-Annotation Plants will select GO information, for each main category, independently. Thus, if one subject does not have information regarding a particular GO category (BP, MF or CC) it will look for the next closest subject automatically until it finds an entry that holds the required information. This means that one query can use more than one UniProt code to assign annotation.

Hayai-Annotation generates six tables and four graphics, that can be easily download all at once using a ‘Download’ link at the browser interface. The main table created by Hayai-Annotation Plants selects the unique functional annotation for each query sequence. Regarding GO terms for each main domain (BP, MF and CC) and EC number, Hayai-Annotation Plants provides graphics with the top 50 terms/number and tables of all results. Besides, Hayai-Annotation Plants makes another file that can be directly uploaded to KEGG Mapper (Du et al., 2014) (see https://github.com/kdri-genomics/Hayai-AnnotationPlants for more details).

3 Results and discussion

To compare speed and accuracy of the annotation tools, we annotated the genes predicted in Arabidopsis thaliana genome (Araport11, representative peptide sequences) using Hayai-Annotation Plants, Blast2GO, TRAPID, Mercator4 and FunctionAnnotator. Accuracy were assessed based on the GO codes from Araport11 available in the UniProtKB (Table 1). The detailed methods, and results are presented in Supplementary Data.

The comparison was performed using all annotated genes, associated with its GO terms, extracted from UniProtKB (regarding Araport11 annotation), against the results obtained from each tested method. Since Hayai-Annotation Plants has the parameter ‘minimum sequence identity’ it was set to 90% (Blast2GO/BLAST do not have this parameter), with minimum query coverage of 80%, E-value 1e-6 and ‘Alignment Score’ algorithm. The results showed that the number of True Positive (TP) and False Negative (FN) were almost the same in Hayai-Annotation Plants and Blast2GO, however, lower False Positive (FP) was observed in Hayai-Annotation Plants (Table 1). Although no significative difference (level of significance 0.01) was observed, Hayai-Annotation showed higher specificity and accuracy.

Hayai-Annotation Plants has another major advantage, its speed. The running time for the complete functional annotation of Araport11 (27 655 protein sequences) were less than 5 min at the PC level. This could be achieved mainly because Hayai-Annotation Plants uses USEARCH, for the sequence alignment step, which is known to be orders of magnitude faster than BLAST (Edgar, 2010). Furthermore, because the annotation layers are assigned independently, we believe that Hayai-Annotation Plants has the potential to standardize and increase GO terms and EC number, using the ‘Protein Existence Level’ algorithm, inasmuch as minimum sequence identity, minimum query coverage and E-value are outreached.
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Table 1. Comparison of annotation of Arabidopsis thaliana (Araport11) representative peptide sequences

|                  | Hayai-Annotation Plants<sup>a</sup> (4 best hits) | Hayai-Annotation Plants<sup>a</sup> (20 best hits) | Blast2GO<sup>b</sup> (Default) | TRAPID<sup>c</sup> |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| TP               | 103 927                                           | 105 283                                           | 105 470                       | 59 071            |
| FP               | 13 589                                            | 14 379                                            | 34 841                        | 632 636          |
| FN               | 24 557                                            | 23 201                                            | 23 014                        | 69 413            |
| Specificity (%)  | 88.4                                              | 88.0                                              | 75.2                          | 8.5               |
| Sensitivity (%)  | 80.9                                              | 81.9                                              | 82.1                          | 46.0              |
| Accuracy (%)     | 84.7                                              | 85.0                                              | 78.6                          | 27.3<sup>d</sup> |
| P value<sup>e</sup> | 0.6330                                            | 0.6168                                            | Reference                     | 6.2e-07           |
| Running time (Total) | 4 m 11 s                                   | 11 m 58 s                                        | 21 h 28 m<sup>f</sup>        | 6 h 11 m          |
| Running time (Alignment) | 3 m 57 s                                    | 11 m 07 s                                        | 17 h 35 m<sup>f</sup>        | NS                |
| Running time (Annotation) | 14 s                                         | 51 s                                              | 3 m 53 s                      | NS                |

Note: UniProt-GOA was used as GO references for the purpose of accuracy calculation. Mercator4 only generated EC numbers. FunctionAnnotator, after 10 days, retrieved no results.
<sup>a</sup>Hayai-Annotation Plants were run on Macintosh laptop (2.2 GHz, 2 cores, 8 GB RAM);
<sup>b</sup>Blast2GO was set to cloud computing.
<sup>c</sup>BLAST+ was performed on a server (2.40 GHz, 16 cores, 32 GB RAM) with query FASTA file split in 10 (parallel run) in order to increase speed. XML file were uploaded to Blast2GO.
<sup>d</sup>TRAPID, transfer from best similarity hit where chosen to assign functional annotation. It is a web-based system; thus, speed varies accordingly to demand.
<sup>e</sup>P value: Chi-square test calculated based on the hypothesis that Hayai-Annotation Plants and Blast2GO have the same accuracy (Ho), against alternate hypothesis that Hayai-Annotation Plants and Blast2GO have a different accuracy (Ha).

TP: True Positive, FP: False Positive, FN: False Negative, NS: non-specified.
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