Relief of Night-time Symptoms Associated With Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease Following 4 Weeks of Treatment With Pantoprazole Magnesium: The Mexican Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease Working Group
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Background/Aims
To evaluate the effectiveness of pantoprazole magnesium (pantoprazole-Mg) 40 mg in the relief of esophageal and extra-esophageal symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), particularly night-time symptoms.

Methods
Patients (aged 18-50 years) with 3-month history of heartburn and/or acid regurgitation plus at least one other symptom in the last week were enrolled in a nationwide, prospective and observational study in Mexico. Patients received pantoprazole-Mg
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40 mg once daily during 4 weeks. Symptoms were assessed through a physician-administered structured interview and the patient-completed ReQuest in Practice™ questionnaire. Night-time GERD was defined as arousal from sleep during the night due to GERD-associated symptoms.

Results
Out of 4,343 patients included at basal visit, 3,665 were considered for the effectiveness per protocol analysis. At baseline, patients had a median of 8 GERD related symptoms. Patients with night-time GERD symptoms (42.7%) were more likely to have extra-esophageal symptoms ($P < 0.001$) than other GERD patients. Pantoprazole-Mg 40 mg once daily for 4 weeks improved a broad range of GERD-associated symptoms from baseline (80% reduction on physicians assessments; 68-77% reduction on ReQuest in Practice™ dimensions), including both day- and night-time GERD symptoms; improvements were the greatest for extra-esophageal symptoms in patients with night-time symptoms. Pantoprazole-Mg was well tolerated.

Conclusions
Pantoprazole-Mg 40 mg significantly improved a broad range of esophageal and extra-esophageal GERD related symptoms including sleep disturbances, as well as well-being, in patients with daytime or night-time GERD, making it a good option for patients with GERD, especially when extra-esophageal and night-time symptoms are present. 

(J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2014;20:64-73)
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Introduction
Survey data suggest that night-time heartburn occurs in more than 70% of adults with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), resulting in sleeping difficulties, impaired next-day function, and significantly worsened health-related quality of life. In addition, patients with night-time symptoms also appear to have an increased prevalence and severity of GERD-related complications.

Importantly, even the partial improvement in symptom severity or a reduction in night-time GERD symptoms may lead to improved mental and physical functioning among GERD patients. Although proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), the most potent therapeutic option for GERD, have been shown to improve night-time symptoms of GERD, some patients continue to experience breakthrough symptoms. This may reflect a wearing off of the acid suppressive effect of PPIs towards the end of the dosing interval, due to the rebound of acidity levels through a combination of proton pump regeneration and the short half-life of PPIs.

Pantoprazole magnesium (pantoprazole-Mg; Tecta®) has the same area under the concentration time curve as pantoprazole sodium (pantoprazole-Na) but lower maximum plasma concentrations and thus a longer half-life. This longer half-life could potentially result in better control of both, daytime and night-time symptoms of GERD, due to a prolongation of acid suppression. Safety profile on the other hand should be comparable, since the overall exposure of both drugs is similar. Although pantoprazole-Mg has been shown to be as effective as pantoprazole-Na in relieving a broad range of gastrointestinal symptoms associated with GERD, its effectiveness in controlling night-time GERD symptoms has not been previously evaluated. The presence of night-time heartburn has been linked to a high likelihood of esophagitis and extra-esophageal symptoms.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of pantoprazole-Mg in the relief of a broad range of esophageal and extra-esophageal symptoms associated with GERD, including night-time symptoms recorded from a large nation-wide sample of GERD active patients who attended daily clinical practice in Mexico. Patients were recruited from 1,306 clinics distributed in the country.

Materials and Methods

Participants and Study Design
This was a nationwide, prospective, observational study conducted in private and state hospital-based practices in Mexico during 2005. Outpatients aged 18-50 years (older patients were excluded to decrease the probability of including those with malignancy) were included if they had a clinical history of heartburn,
acid regurgitation, or both for at least 3 months (not necessarily consecutively) in the last 12 months. These gastrointestinal symptoms were chosen as reliable indicators for the presence of GERD, as have been previously shown with other questionnaires.8,9 In addition, patients were required to have one or more of the following GERD-associated symptoms at least once a week before study entry: night-time epigastric pain/discomfort, burping/belching, nausea, non-cardiac retrosternal pain/tightness, early satiety, sleep disturbances, flatulence, halitosis, globus, dysphagia, water brash/sialorrhea, retching, odynophagia, dysphonia/hoarseness, non-productive cough and dyspnea. We included such a wide sample of GERD patients to support the external validity of the results for daily clinical practice. Endoscopy or other imaging studies were ordered if required according to the investigator-determined criteria.

Complete medical history and examination were conducted at baseline (V0). Patients with any of the following conditions were excluded from the study: alarm symptoms or signs (e.g., weight loss, anorexia, anaemia, fever and gastrointestinal bleeding etc.) within 3 months prior to study initiation; abnormal laboratory parameters or vital signs considered clinically relevant; cardiovascular, pulmonary, endocrine, renal, haematological or hepatic disorders; malignant diseases of any kind; known hypersensitivity to PPIs; severe psychiatric or neurological disorders; suspected or confirmed esophageal stricture, diverticula, varices, achalasia or Barrett’s esophagus; history of peptic ulcer or its complications; previous upper gastrointestinal surgery (except for cholecystectomy); history of Zollinger-Ellison syndrome or other gastric hypersecretory condition; history of alcohol or drug abuse; and pregnancy or breastfeeding. PPIs were discontinued 14 days prior to study initiation and 0.94 for the long version).12 ReQuest in Practice™ has 6 questions with a 10 cm long visual analogue scale. The 6 dimensions assessed are general well-being (quality of life), acid-related complaints, upper abdominal-related complaints, lower abdominal-related complaints, nausea and sleep disturbances.

In addition, patients completed the ReQuest in Practice™ questionnaire daily during the first week and then weekly until week 4. ReQuest in Practice™ is a simplified version of ReQuest™, which is a validated self-assessment questionnaire with high internal consistency (Cronbach α = 0.90) and test-retest reliability (intra-class correlation coefficient between 0.86 for the short version and 0.94 for the long version).13 ReQuest in Practice™ has also been validated, and results obtained with the shorter version are similar to those observed with the full version.13,14 The short version has 6 questions with a 10 cm long visual analogue scale. The 6 dimensions assessed are general well-being (quality of life), acid-related complaints, upper abdominal-related complaints, lower abdominal-related complaints, nausea and sleep disturbances.

Ethics

This trial was performed in accordance with the Declaration
of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice, and Good Pharmacoeconomics Practice, as well as local and international health regulations. The study and signed informed consent were approved by the Ethics Committee of Research of the Dr. Maximiliano Ruiz Castañeda General Hospital of Naucalpan, Estado de Mexico, Mexico, and the Center of Bioethics of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Guanajuato, Leon, Mexico.

Statistical Method

Demographic characteristics were summarized using descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation), whereas standard errors and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated for inferential statistics. The association of gender or night-time GERD with symptoms was analyzed using odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs. Effect Size of patient symptoms response for night-time versus day-time symptoms were based on mean least square calculations by ANCOVA, adjusted by age and gender, with the basal Likert scale registration included as covariate for the physician's assessment. Marginal means were calculated by least squares from MANOVA (repeated measures) on day 1, day 7, week 2, week 3 and week 4 for ReQuest in Practice™ questionnaire. The post hoc contrast was made with Bonferroni’s adjustment. Cohen’s $d$, an effect size index used to estimate the magnitude and direction of the difference between basal and final measurements was calculated according to the following equation: Cohen’s $d = \frac{\text{Mean of final measurement}[\text{symptom intensity}]-\text{Mean of basal measurement}}{\text{Pooled standard deviation}}$. SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 15 and Statistica version 6.0 were used for statistical analysis. In cases where values were missing, we explicitly indicated the denominator.

Safety Analysis

All patients with at least one dose of study medication were considered as the intention to treat (ITT) population and were included in the safety analysis (regardless of completion of study protocol).

Results

Patients

A total of 5,027 patients were enrolled into the study. Of those, only 4,343 fulfilled all inclusion criteria. Since medication was taken from V0, all 5,027 patients constituted the ITT population and thus were included in the safety analysis. Out of 4,343 patients who were included in the study, 659 were classified as

| Characteristic | Total (n) | Male/Female (n) | All patients (mean [SD]) | Female patients (mean [SD]) | Male patients (mean [SD]) |
|----------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Age (yr)       | 4,343     | 1,999/2,344     | 36.2 (7.5)               | 36.4 (7.6)                 | 36.1 (7.4)               |
| Height* (cm)   | 4,227     | 1,957/2,270     | 164.8 (9.2)              | 159.2 (6.8)                | 171.2 (7.3)              |
| Weight* (kg)   | 4,276     | 1,972/2,304     | 71.7 (14.2)              | 65.2 (11.9)                | 79.3 (12.8)              |
| BMI* (kg/m²)   | 4,199     | 1,932/2,267     | 26.3 (4.3)               | 25.8 (4.7)                 | 27.0 (3.8)               |

SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index.

$^P < 0.05$ for differences between the genders.

![Figure 1. Flow diagram of patients’ attrition. V0, visit 0; SAE, serious adverse events; AE, adverse events; V1, visit 1.](image-url)
Table 2. Gastroesophageal Reflux Symptom Frequency for Esophageal and Extra-esophageal Symptoms in 4,343 Patients With Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (2,344 females and 1,999 males): Baseline Physician Assessment.

| Symptoms                             | Total (n [%]) | Male/Female (n) | OR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Night-time epigastric pain/discomfort | 4,118 (90.6)  | 1,876/2,242     | 0.83 (0.7-1.0) |
| Burping/bleching                     | 4,015 (88.3)  | 1,879/2,136     | 1.32* (1.1-1.6) |
| Nausea                               | 3,088 (67.9)  | 1,280/1,808     | 0.57* (0.5-0.6) |
| Non-cardiac retrosternal pain/tightness| 3,024 (66.5)  | 1,363/1,661     | 0.90 (0.8-1.0) |
| Early satiety                        | 2,945 (64.8)  | 1,284/1,661     | 0.76* (0.7-0.9) |
| Sleep disturbances                   | 2,902 (63.8)  | 1,231/1,515     | 0.93 (0.8-1.1) |
| Flatulence                           | 2,830 (62.3)  | 1,135/1,515     | 0.76* (0.7-0.9) |
| Halitosis                            | 2,663 (58.6)  | 1,231/1,432     | 0.93 (0.8-1.1) |
| Globus                               | 2,553 (56.2)  | 1,115/1,438     | 0.81* (0.7-0.9) |
| Dysphagia                            | 2,242 (49.3)  | 1,012/1,230     | 0.93 (0.8-1.1) |
| Water brash/sialorrhea               | 2,164 (47.6)  | 974/1,190       | 0.93 (0.8-1.0) |
| Retching                             | 1,727 (38.0)  | 734/993         | 0.80* (0.7-0.9) |
| Odynophagia                          | 1,718 (37.8)  | 762/956         | 0.90 (0.8-1.0) |
| Dysphonia                            | 1,655 (36.4)  | 766/889         | 1.02 (0.9-1.2) |
| Non-productive/chronic cough         | 1,498 (33.0)  | 723/775         | 1.15* (1.0-1.3) |
| Dyspnea                              | 1,136 (25.0)  | 501/635         | 0.90 (0.8-1.0) |

*P < 0.05.
ORs were calculated for each symptom using male gender as reference (all patients had heartburn and acid regurgitation).

Protocol violators (52 did not meet treatment compliance and 607 did not attend V1) and 19 dropped out of the study (4 patients withdrew their consent and 15 were discontinued due to adverse events), leaving 3,665 patients in the per-protocol analysis (Fig. 1). Sample attrition was 15% from the initial group and within the expected range.

Demographic characteristics for patients fulfilling complete inclusion criteria are presented in Table 1. Of note, only 6% (253/4,248) of participants were smokers at the time of the study. We have presented data stratified by gender.

Symptoms

We explored 16 GERD-associated symptoms at baseline. Approximately 7% of patients had all 16 symptoms and another 7% had ≤ 3 of these symptoms. The median number of symptoms was 8. Of the GERD symptoms, upper abdominal/epigastric pain (90.6%) and burping/belching (88.3%) had the highest prevalence (Table 2). The clinical construct we made included halitosis, globus, dysphagia and water brash/sialorrhea associated with the upper digestive tract, meanwhile, retching, odynophagia, chronic cough and dyspnea were suggestive of night-time regurgitation. Gender differences were observed in several GERD-associated symptoms. Female gender compared with male gender showed an increased risk of nausea (75%), early satiety (31%), retching (25%) and globus (23%) (P < 0.001 for all comparisons). In contrast, men had 32% greater risk of burping/belching (P < 0.003) and 15% greater risk of chronic cough (P < 0.031) than women.

Symptom Relief

Improvements were observed in a broad range of GERD-associated symptoms following 4 weeks of treatment with pantoprazole-Mg 40 mg once daily according to both, patient and physician assessments, although the two assessments did not correlate with each other.16 All symptoms were reduced by at least 80% from baseline according to physicians’ assessments (Table 3). All dimensions of ReQuest in Practice™ (not only acid complaints) improved from baseline by 68-77% (P < 0.05 for all dimensions) (Fig. 2). The greatest improvement (of 51-59% from baseline) was observed in the first 7 days after treatment initiation. However, symptoms continued to improve over the 4 weeks of the study.

Relief of Night-time Symptoms

Overall, 42.7% (1,836/4,302) of patients (42% of women; 43.5% of men) reported nighttime GERD at baseline (defined as arousal from sleep during the night due to GERD-associated symptoms). Patients with night-time GERD symptoms were slightly older (36.7 ± 0.18 vs. 35.8 ± 0.16, P < 0.001) and had a higher body mass index (BMI; 29.4 ± 0.85 vs. 27.6 ± 0.45, P
< 0.057) than the other GERD patients. Furthermore, the clinical manifestations of night-time GERD were more frequent in patients with BMI > 30 than in those with BMI ≤ 30.17 Patients with night-time GERD symptoms also showed higher prevalence (P < 0.001) of extra-esophageal symptoms than those with daytime symptoms only10; they were more likely to have sleep disturbances, dyspnea and chronic cough (Fig. 3). In contrast, upper abdominal discomfort occurred more commonly in those with daytime than night-time symptoms.

After 4 weeks, treatment with pantoprazole-Mg improved all
GERD symptoms, primarily extra-esophageal symptoms in patients with night-time symptoms ($P < 0.001$). Symptom intensity improvements in patients experiencing night-time symptoms are shown in Figure 4. The effect size of patients’ symptom response in the group with night-time symptoms versus those with daytime symptoms, as assessed by the physicians, showed the following. There was a statistically significant difference between the 2 groups for nausea, chest pain, dysphagia, sleep disturbances, odynophagia, belching and general discomfort. The effect sizes ranged from 5.3% for general discomfort up to 11.6% for nausea, with sleep disturbances having an effect size of 6.1%. All showed better symptom relief in the group of patients suffering from nighttime symptoms.

The differences between both groups of patients were even more pronounced in the patients' symptoms assessment with ReQuest in Practice™ than in the physicians’ assessment. The effect sizes ranged from 6% for the dimension of lower abdominal/digestive complaints ($P = 0.125$), 7.3% for sleep disturbances ($P = 0.059$), 9.3% for general well-being ($P = 0.014$), 10.7% for upper abdominal/stomach complaints ($P = 0.005$), 12.8% for acid complaints ($P = 0.064$) and 17.3% for nausea ($P = 0.001$). The symptom relief rates for the dimensions of acid complaints and nausea in patients with night-time GERD (arousal from sleep during the night due to GERD-associated symptoms) as compared with patients with daytime GERD (no arousal from sleep during night due to GERD associated symptoms) are shown in Figures 5A and 5B.

**General Well-being**

General well-being also significantly improved from baseline (score 6.31 per-protocol) after only 1 week (score 2.75) and continued to further improve, as shown by a decrease in general well-being scores to 1.56 after 4 weeks of treatment with pantoprazole magnesium (pantoprazole-Mg) 40 mg in patients with night-time gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) (arousal from sleep during the night due to GERD-associated symptoms). NTG, night-time GERD.
troprazole-Mg (Fig. 2; \( P < 0.001 \) for all comparisons between weeks). Thus, patients treated with pantoprazole-Mg felt a 56\% improvement of their general well-being after the very first week, and a 75\% improvement after 4 weeks. All effect sizes for comparison between final evaluation and basal scores were greater than 100\% (all with \( P < 0.05 \)).

**Safety**

In total, 175/5,027 patients (3.48\%) reported 232 AEs, the most common of which were: diarrhea (\( n = 29 \)), nausea (\( n = 26 \)), dizziness (\( n = 17 \)), headache (\( n = 16 \)), insomnia (\( n = 14 \)) and constipation (\( n = 11 \)). Overall, 60.3\% of AEs were considered by investigators to be unrelated or unlikely related to the study medication. Seventy of 5,027 patients (1.39\%) experienced 92 AEs considered by investigators to be likely related (72 AEs) or definitely related (20 AEs) to the study medication. The most common of these were gastrointestinal in nature, and included: diarrhea (\( n = 12 \) likely related; \( n = 2 \) definitely related), nausea (\( n = 8 \) likely related; \( n = 2 \) definitely related), constipation (\( n = 7 \) likely related; \( n = 1 \) definitely related) and dry mouth (\( n = 4 \) likely related; \( n = 2 \) definitely related).

Only 15 patients discontinued their participation in the study due to AEs; of the AEs, 8 were classified as serious but unrelated to study medication (3 hospitalizations, 1 case of hypotension and 2 car accidents) and 5 deaths (1 case of pancreatic cancer, 1 case of septic shock, 2 strokes and 1 brain aneurism). The case of hypotension was classified as likely related to the medication.

**Discussion**

Data from the current study indicate that a substantial proportion (almost 43\%) of patients with GERD experience nighttime symptoms. Clinical manifestations of nighttime GERD were more frequent in those with a BMI > 30 than in less obese patients. In addition, nighttime GERD symptoms were related to a slight increase in the probability of having extra-esophageal symptoms (non-cardiac chest pain/tightness) and at a more severe intensity. Taken together, these findings suggest that patients with nighttime GERD experience more severe symptoms than other GERD patients.19

Sample attrition was within expected levels, with 3,665 per-protocol patients completing the study out of 4,343 patients (84.4\%, an acceptable indicator of patient completion). Thus, the study retained sufficient power for statistical analyses and there was no transference bias resulting from patient loss.

The prevalence of nighttime GERD symptoms reported here (43\%) is lower than that reported by Shaker et al., who found that 79\% of respondents experienced heartburn at night, of whom 75\% indicated that symptoms affected their sleep. This apparent discrepancy may be related to nighttime GERD definition in both studies. In our study, nighttime GERD was defined as patient arousal during sleep due to GERD symptoms (heartburn, reflux or both) which is more specific for diagnosis; in contrast, Shaker’s definition of nighttime GERD (heartburn at night and its impact over sleeping) was more sensitive, but less specific.

In this study, pantoprazole-Mg 40 mg once daily for 4 weeks significantly improved a broad range of GERD symptoms, independently of the presence of nighttime GERD, e.g., esophageal symptoms, extra-esophageal symptoms-including sleep disturbances and also improved general well-being. This confirms the results of other studies, which have shown an improvement in quality of life with the relief of nighttime heartburn symptoms.20 Specifically, pantoprazole-Mg was especially useful in managing extra and atypical esophageal symptoms (such as chest pain, odynophagia and globus) in patients with nighttime GERD symptoms.

Symptom relief results at 4 weeks in the current study are also consistent with the results of a previous randomized, double-blind, active comparator study, which has shown that early healing of esophageal lesions is associated with substantial relief across a broad range of symptoms at 4 weeks (67.7–89.7\% of patients receiving pantoprazole-Mg versus 60.0–82.3\% of patients receiving pantoprazole-Na).6 The authors of the previous study suggested that the pharmacology of pantoprazole-Mg might result in a slower waning of acid inhibition at night than that seen for pantoprazole-Na; however, they did not assess whether this would translate into fewer nighttime symptoms.6 Although the current study does not have a comparative group, the results demonstrate that pantoprazole-Mg provides substantial relief of nighttime symptoms. These results confirm and support other studies, which have demonstrated relief of nighttime heartburn with PPI treatment.22,23

Due to previous results showing gender differences regarding pain perception and quality of life in GERD and other gastrointestinal disorders, a multivariate analysis adjusted by gender was performed. We not only confirmed gender differences in the intensity of several GERD related symptoms, but also computed their magnitude and direction, which is an additional contribution of this work.
AEs reported in this study were assessed by investigators in a safety ITT population consisting of more than 5,000 patients, representative of a real-life setting. AE reporting for pantoprazole-Mg appears to be lower than that captured in the randomized clinical trial discussed above. No unexpected AEs were reported. In general, available data suggest that pantoprazole-Mg is well tolerated, with an AE profile consistent with preclinical data and similar to that of pantoprazole-Na.

One limitation of the study was the fluctuation of numerators, as complete data were not available for the same number of participants in each variable. This is an expected characteristic of observational studies, and in the current study, the maximum amount of data available were used in each analysis. Another limitation of the study, is the possible presence of Hawthorne effect, which is the existence of change in the target endpoint, merely due to patient awareness of being studied; Hawthorne effect may influence study results and may contribute to the improvements in everyday clinical practice, in open-label trials, and in both arms of randomized controlled trials. Nevertheless, the very substantial sample size represented in this study does reflect treatment results which would be expected in a community based practice.

Since this was an open-label, single arm, observational study with a self-selected sample of outpatients, we cannot differentiate the effect of treatment alone, from iatrotherapy (the healing effect of the investigator). This is a third limitation of the study. However the observed results have substantial external validity in the context of daily clinical practice, since pantoprazole-Mg effectiveness is proven in a broad and heterogeneous group of GERD patients. Even though the sample population could have included patients with functional heartburn, who would be expected to be less responsive to acid suppression, this group of patients is typically small and would not be expected to exert a significant influence on these results.

In conclusion, pantoprazole-Mg significantly improved esophageal and extra-esophageal symptoms in patients with daytime GERD and even more so in patients experiencing night-time GERD. This included the relief of sleep disturbances and improvement of general well-being. The high rates of symptom relief observed with pantoprazole-Mg across the broad range of GERD symptoms make it an attractive therapeutic modality, especially for patients with extra-esophageal and/or night-time symptoms.
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