Effects of perioperative enhanced recovery after surgery pathway management versus traditional management on the clinical outcomes of laparoscopic-assisted radical resection of distal gastric cancer: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial
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Abstract
Background: The incidence of gastric cancer in East Asia is much higher than the international average. Therefore, improving the prognosis of patients and establishing effective clinical pathways are important topics for the prevention and treatment of gastric cancer. At present, the enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) pathway is widely used in the field of gastric surgery. Many randomized controlled trial (RCT) studies have proven that the ERAS regimen can improve the short-term clinical outcomes of gastric cancer patients. However, a prospective study on the effect of the ERAS pathway on the prognosis of gastric cancer patients has not yet been reported. This trial aims to confirm whether the ERAS pathway can improve the disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) of patients undergoing laparoscopic-assisted radical resection for distal gastric cancer.

Methods/design: This study is a prospective, multicentre, randomized controlled trial. This experiment will include randomly divided groups, the experimental group and the control group, according to a 1:1 ratio. The perioperative period of the experimental group will be managed according to the ERAS pathway, and that of the control group will be managed according to the traditional management mode. An estimated 400 patients will be enrolled. The main endpoint for comparison is the 3-year OS and DFS between the two groups.

Discussion: The results of this RCT should clarify whether the ERAS pathway is superior to traditional treatment on inflammatory indexes, short-term clinical outcome and survival for laparoscopic-assisted radical resection of distal gastric cancer. It is hoped that our data will provide evidence that the ERAS pathway improves survival in patients with gastric cancer.

Introduction
Background and rationale
Gastric cancer is a common malignant tumour with the third highest mortality rate worldwide. In 2018, more than 1.3 million new cases of gastric cancer were diagnosed, and more than 780,000 deaths occurred [1]. Although the incidence of gastric cancer has decreased in the past 30 years, it is still very high in East Asia [2]. Indeed, there are more than 400,000 new cases of gastric cancer in China each year. The overall 5-year survival rate of these patients is approximately 30%, significantly
lower than that in South Korea and Japan [3]. Overall, improving the comprehensive treatment effect of gastric cancer, ensuring the quality and safety of the perioperative period, improving the prognosis of these patients, and establishing an effective clinical pathway are important topics of research in China, with the primary goal of preventing and treating gastric cancer. At present, many treatment methods are available for gastric cancer patients, such as surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy. Surgical resection is an effective way to improve the survival rate of these patients. Among the surgical options available, D2 radical gastrectomy has become the standard method for advanced gastric cancer. Since Goh PM et al. first reported laparoscopic radical gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer in 2001, laparoscopic gastric cancer surgery has been developed rapidly and is used worldwide, especially in countries with a high incidence of gastric cancer, such as Japan, South Korea and China [4]. One of the unique advantages of laparoscopic surgery is its minimally invasive nature, but in view of the need for D2 lymph node dissection for advanced gastric cancer patients, this operation is difficult and complex, so initial laparoscopic surgery is used only for the treatment of early-stage gastric cancer patients. After more than ten years of research, large samples of multicentre clinical data have confirmed the safety, feasibility and effectiveness of laparoscopic radical gastrectomy for the treatment of early gastric cancer [5]. The latest Chinese Laparoscopic Gastrointestinal Surgery Study-01 (CLASS-01) findings state the following: “laparoscopic distal gastric cancer D2 radical resection performed by an experienced team is safe and feasible for the treatment of locally advanced gastric cancer” [6]. Upon reviewing the development of and advancements in gastric cancer surgery, we find that it has gradually changed from "standard and open surgical resection" to "individualized and accurate minimally invasive surgery", with further improvements to the safety of the operation and the quality of life of the patients postoperatively [7]. The new approach guided by the concept of laparoscopic minimally invasive surgery not only reduces the size of the surgical incision but also minimizes tissue trauma and maximizes functional preservation on the basis of radical oncology [8]. The concept of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) was first proposed by Kehlet and is considered an important milestone in the development of surgery in recent years. Its core goal is to
adopt a series of optimized measures performed during the perioperative period based on evidence-based medical findings to reduce the physiological and psychological stress of patients and to accelerate their recovery [9]. In contrast to traditional perioperative management, ERAS combines new techniques in anaesthesiology, pain, nutrition, psychology and surgery with traditional perioperative management by integrating medical interventions to accelerate the postoperative rehabilitation of surgical patients and ultimately improve their clinical outcome [10]. Our centre published the results from the first international randomized controlled trial (RCT) on the effect of ERAS on the short-term outcomes of postoperative patients with gastric cancer, proving that the ERAS regimen is safe and feasible for perioperative gastric cancer patients compared with the traditional perioperative treatment regimen. ERAS can reduce postoperative stress, shorten the hospital stay, and improve patient quality of life, and it does not increase the incidence of postoperative complications [11]. Recent studies have shown that surgical stress can also affect the long-term oncological results of digestive tract tumours [12]. The mechanism underlying this effect may be immunosuppression, as well as changes in the immune response, leading to a higher recurrence rate and more distant metastases. Surgical trauma can cause local and systemic inflammation, which can also result in the rapid growth of residual and micrometastatic diseases [13-15]. The ERAS pathway can reduce the systemic inflammatory response and facilitate early reversal of the human stress response, and it has been shown to significantly reduce the incidence of postoperative complications; thus, it has the potential to improve long-term oncology results. These results suggest that the application of the ERAS management pathway may not only improve short-term outcomes such as hospitalization days, postoperative complications and mortality but also benefit tumour patients in terms of long-term survival. The Swedish scientist Olle Ljungqvist et al. showed that ERAS pathway management plays a positive role in the long-term survival of patients with colorectal cancer [16]. However, a prospective study investigating the effect of the ERAS pathway on the prognosis of gastric cancer has not yet been reported.

Objectives

The purpose of this study is to investigate the impact of perioperative ERAS pathway management on
the clinical safety and prognosis of patients undergoing laparoscopic-assisted distal gastric cancer radical surgery.

**Trial design**

This experiment will include patients randomly divided into two groups, an experimental group and a control group, according to a 1:1 ratio. The perioperative period of the experimental group will be managed according to the ERAS pathway, and that of the control group will be managed according to the traditional management. After admission, imaging and haematological examinations will be performed, the risk assessments of nutrition risk screening 2002 (NRS2002), vein thromboembolism (VTE), American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) will be administered, contraindications will be excluded, and laparoscopic-assisted radical resection of distal gastric cancer (D2, Billroth I, Billroth II, Roux-en-Y) will be performed under anaesthesia.

The trial will assess the clinical safety of the ERAS pathway and its impact on long-term survival. The effect of the ERAS pathway on inflammatory factors—leukocyte count, neutrophil percentage, C-reactive protein (CPR), procalcitonin, tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), and interleukin-6 (IL-6)—will also be explored. The sample size is calculated according to survival rate, follow-up time, inferior value, grouping ratio, test efficiency, and loss of follow-up rate. A complete checklist of items according to Standardized Protocol Items: Recommendations for Intervention Trials (2013) is provided [17].

**Methods: Participants, Interventions And Outcomes**

Patients diagnosed with middle and lower gastric adenocarcinoma who underwent laparoscopic-assisted radical resection of distal gastric cancer will be recruited from the 13 hospitals listed in Table 1. To achieve adequate enrolment, all surgeons in the gastrointestinal departments of the cooperating hospitals have been informed of this trial. Patients will be recruited for the study from the gastrointestinal surgery or gastrointestinal surgery outpatient clinic or by referral from the local affiliated hospital. The enrolment period is expected to be completed within 10 months from the beginning of recruitment. A total of 400 eligible patients will be selected and randomly (1:1) enrolled in the ERAS group and the traditional treatment group. Figure 1 shows the test group selection flow chart.

**Table 1. The Thirteen Participating Surgical Centres**
| Number | Centre                                      | Department and investigator                        |
|--------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 01     | Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University  | Gastrointestinal Surgery, Yanbi                   |
| 02     | Shandong Provincial Hospital               | Gastrointestinal Surgery, Lèiji                   |
| 03     | Qilu Hospital of Shandong University       | Gastrointestinal Surgery, Qin                     |
| 04     | Qianfoshan Hospital of Shandong Province   | Gastrointestinal Surgery, Liyij                   |
| 05     | Second Hospital of Shandong University     | Gastrointestinal Surgery, Yinl                    |
| 06     | Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital               | Gastrointestinal Surgery, Lixin                   |
| 07     | Weihai Municipal Hospital                 | Gastrointestinal Surgery, Huanh                   |
| 08     | Weifang People's Hospital                 | Oncological Surgery, Yiran                        |
| 09     | Dongying People's Hospital                | General Surgery, Hao Wa                           |
| 10     | Rizhao People's Hospital                  | General Surgery, Xizeng I                          |
| 11     | Qingdao Municipal Hospital                | Gastrointestinal Surgery, Weizh                   |
| 12     | Jining People's Hospital                  | Gastrointestinal Surgery, Xinjiu                   |
| 13     | Weihai Central Hospital                   | Gastrointestinal Surgery, Xinjiu                   |

For randomization, a central dynamic, stratified strategy is adopted. The randomization sequence is generated using the Pocock-Simon minimization method in SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc) and stratified by participating site (13 hospitals) and surgical procedure (laparoscopic or robotic). Participating centres will submit the above information to the data centre at the Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao, China, where central randomization will be performed. Information on treatment allocation is subsequently sent to each participating centre.

The inclusion criteria are as follows: 1) newly treated patients with no chemotherapy, radiotherapy or other antitumour treatment performed before the beginning of the clinical trial; 2) patients aged between 18 and 75 years old; 3) patients at a clinical stage of advanced T (1-4a), N (0-3), or M0 scheduled to undergo radical resection of distal gastric cancer; 4) male or non-pregnant and lactating females; 5) patients pathologically diagnosed with gastric adenocarcinoma; 6) patients with Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) score 0-1; and 7) patients who voluntarily sign the informed consent.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) other malignant tumours within 5 years; 2) M1 disease found during the operation; 3) severe or uncontrolled medical diseases and infections found at the same time; 4) use of opioid analgesics or hormones within 7 days before the operation; 5) severe or uncontrollable mental illness; 6) any unstable condition or condition that may endanger the safety and compliance of the patient; and 7) participation and treatment with anticancer drugs in other clinical trials.

Perioperative management

Before surgery, chest computed tomography (CT), total abdominal enhanced CT, and pelvic CT will be performed to confirm the size and location of the tumour, and distant organ metastasis will be excluded according to evaluations by two experienced radiologists. Upper abdominal computed tomography angiography (CTA) will be used to evaluate variation in the gastric blood supply of the patients, reduce the risk of intraoperative bleeding and guide lymph node dissection [18]. Echocardiography and pulmonary function tests will be used to evaluate the tolerance of cardiopulmonary function to laparoscopic surgery.

Laparoscopic-assisted radical resection of distal gastric cancer will be performed under general anaesthesia. During the operation, we will follow the basic principles of tumour treatment, master the appropriate scope of gastrectomy, perform fine lymph node dissection and gastrointestinal reconstruction, and record the amount of intraoperative infusion, blood loss, operation time, and use
of any opioids or muscle relaxants.

After the operation, if adverse reactions occur, they will be closely observed and actively treated. All drugs used will be recorded and described on the case report form (CRF). Laboratory examinations will be performed before the operation and 2 days, 4 days and 7 days after the operation. The measurements will include routine blood, liver and kidney function, electrolytes, procalcitonin, CPR, IL-6, and TNF-α. For patients with pathological stage II or above, 6-8 cycles of S-1 capsule combined with oxaliplatin adjuvant chemotherapy will be performed. Finally, oncology experts will choose the scheme and duration of treatment according to the actual situation of the patients.

Intervention protocols
Laparoscopic-assisted radical resection of distal gastric cancer will be performed by an experienced surgical team from the 13 centres listed in Table 1. Each of these centres performs at least 100 gastric cancer operations each year. Lymph node resection will be performed under laparoscopy, the main anastomosis will be performed with the assistance of a small incision, and the abdominal incision will be < 8 cm. According to the research programme, the experimental group will actively carry out pre-rehabilitation before the operation, including lifestyle intervention, exercise advice, diet guidance, and health education (outpatient and hospitalization individualized condition consultation and answer). The specific interventions are shown in Table 2. However, target-oriented liquid management and early enteral nutrition (EN) after surgery require special attention. The goals of goal-directed therapy (GDT) are to maintain central euvolemia while avoiding excess salt and water and a 24-hour postoperative fluid balance on +1 to 1.5 L. Intraoperative detection indicators are as follows: blood pressure, cardiac output, estimated blood loss, end tidal carbon dioxide and heart rate. The maintenance fluid flow rate is 1~4 ml kg⁻¹h⁻¹ (predicted body weight), and large deviations from "zero balance" should be avoided [19]. The well-defined principles for oral intake in the ERAS groups are as follows: drinking a small amount of water and chewing xylitol on the day of operation; drinking 500~1000 ml water and chewing xylitol on postoperative day 1 (POD1); oral EN (mainly polypeptide) and chewing xylitol on POD2; oral EN (mainly integrin type) and chewing xylitol on POD3; oral EN, a small amount of semifluid and chewing xylitol on POD4; oral EN, semifluid (mainly) and chewing xylitol on POD5, but the patients in the traditional treatment group began sequential EN support treatment according to the dietary pattern of the ERAS group after anal exhaust.

Study endpoints
The main endpoint is the comparison of 3-year overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) between the ERAS pathway group and the traditional treatment group.

The secondary endpoints are the total incidence of postoperative complications, incidence of major complications, 30-day rehospitalization rate, 30-day mortality rate, hospitalization days and hospitalization costs, as well as other short-term clinical outcomes.

The exploratory results are changes in inflammatory indexes (i.e., leukocytes, neutrophil percentage, CPR, procalcitonin, TNF-α, and IL-6).

Table 2. Perioperative Pathway Management for Gastric Cancer

| Programme components                        | ERAS group |
|---------------------------------------------|------------|
| Preoperative                                | *Health education, exercise advice, dietary guidance | Yes |
|                                             | *Organ function evaluation | Yes |
|                                             | *MDT, Clinical Decision Making | Yes |
|                                             | *Pre-rehabilitation treatment | Yes |
|                                             | *Preoperative nutritional assessment and intervention | Yes |
| Intestinal preparation | Enteral nutrition |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| *Preoperative fasting and abstinence from drinking | No mechanical bowel preparation |
| (Checklist) | Fasting 6 hours before the operation |
| 2-hour oral glucose infusion | 2-hour oral glucose infusion |

Intraoperative

- Local anaesthesia in the deep layers of the incision at the end of surgery
- Prevention of antibiotic use
- *Surgical incision
- *Precision Surgery
- *Anaesthesia mode
- Intraoperative heat preservation

Postoperative

- Urinary catheter
- Abdominal drainage tube
- Gastric tube
- *Postoperative analgesia
- *Target-oriented liquid management
- Prevention of deep venous thrombosis
- *Early EN after operation

Intraoperative safety check (Checklist)

- Yes

Local anaesthesia (30 ml 0.25% bupivacaine)

Prevention of antibiotic use

30 minutes before operation, operation time than one bleeding event ≥1000 ml

*Intraoperative safety check

Yes

Small midline (<8 cm) incision at the upper abdomen

Laparoscopic or robotic surgery

General anaesthesia combined with epidural (T7-T9)

Postoperative

- Urinary catheter
- Removal within 24 hours

- Abdominal drainage tube
- Avoid placement or removal as much as possible

- Gastric tube
- No use or removal ≤ 24 h

- *Postoperative analgesia
- *Target-oriented liquid management
- Prevention of deep venous thrombosis
- *Early EN after operation

Notes: * Core provisions of perioperative ERAS pathway management.
Abbreviations: NSAIDs, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; EN, Enteral nutrition.
a Dose/drug: 500 mg of ropivacaine + 400 mg of lidocaine and liquid intake rate of 2 ml/h
b Heat preservation measures: Pre-heated fluid replenishment, thermal blanket, heater
c Extubation indication: The drainage fluid is light red or clear, with a volume of less than 20 ml, and pancreatic amylase
d Criteria for the removal of nasogastric tube: Recovery of intestinal peristalsis, anal exhaust and oral intake of clear fluid
e Multimodal analgesia: POD1~2 patient controlled epidural analgesia (Lidocaine + Ropivacaine); POD3~5, 0.65 g of regular oral paracetamol q6h
d Multimodal analgesia: POD1~2, 50 mg of tramadol q8h; when the visual analogue scale≥4, 50 mg of tramadol is injected intravenously.

Data collection and management
Once informed consent is signed, the clinical researchers will collect baseline data such as age, sex, body mass index and complications. The laboratory indexes—routine blood, liver and kidney function, electrolyte, carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 (CA199), CA724, CA242, alpha
fetoprotein (AFP), hepatitis, human immunodeficiency virus, syphilis, blood coagulation routine, and blood type—will also be assessed and recorded before and during hospitalization. The designated surgeon will record the details of the procedure, such as the surgical approach, the location of the tumour, lymph node metastasis, and pathological stage.

Starting on day 1 after the operation, the clinical observation data (e.g., extubation time, food intake, activity, anastomotic leakage, first exhaust and defecation time, postoperative hospital stay, complications) will be recorded by nurses daily to evaluate postoperative recovery. Clinicians will be responsible for patient management and will not be involved in data collection.

All relevant information for each patient should be recorded in the CRF in a timely and accurate manner by trained and independent research staff. If there are any errors in the CRF, the investigator will correct them immediately. When revising raw data, the investigator must sign their name and the date. All data will be acquired only by study investigators who have signed a confidential disclosure agreement. Any information collected in this clinical study that could be used to disclose an individual’s personal identity will not be released or disclosed at will without consent, except in special circumstances as required by law. No research publications using these data, including journal literature, papers or research briefs, will use any identifying patient information. The Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University will be responsible for ensuring that the rights and well-being of patients are protected and for maintaining compliance with the currently approved protocol, data collection, statistical analysis, and anonymity in publications.

Discharge criteria
The criteria for discharge are as follows: 1) postoperative pain score with oral analgesics controlled well (visual analogue scale below 4); 2) oral semifluid food without intravenous rehydration; 3) satisfactory exercise regimen (6 hours a day or up to preoperative level); 4) adequate out-of-hospital care; 5) voluntary discharge of the patient; and 6) no surgical complications, such as fever, abdominal pain, or infection. In addition, the contact information and address of each patient will be confirmed before discharge. Follow-up will be conducted by telephone within 24 hours after discharge, with a focus on dietary tolerance, pain, defecation and any discomfort.

Follow-up
After the operation, a special follow-up team will be responsible for performing patient follow-up, and the first outpatient review will begin at 3 weeks after the end of treatment.

From 0~2 years after the operation, follow-up every 3 months will include a routine blood examination, an analysis of biochemical markers and digestive tract tumour indicators, and imaging examinations. In addition, endoscopic examinations will be performed once a year. At each follow-up, the adjuvant treatment, postoperative recovery and short-term and long-term side effects will be assessed, as shown in Figure 2.

From 2~3 years after the operation, follow-up will occur every 6 months, as outlined above.

Statistical analyses
Classification variables will be analysed by the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, and continuous variables will be analysed by the independent t-test. DFS will be defined as the time from surgery to death or recurrence of gastric cancer, whichever occurs first. The Kaplan-Meier method will be used to generate survival curves, and the log-rank will be applied to compare the differences between survival curves. The hazard ratio and 95% confidence interval will be calculated with the Cox regression model. Variables will be selected for inclusion in the final multivariable model using a stepwise method, and significance levels of 0.25 and 0.15 will be employed as the criteria for inclusion and retention. P<0.05 will be considered to indicate statistical significance. Data analysis will be performed using SPSS® software package version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Sample size estimate
This study adopts the design of a noninferiority test, and the calculation of sample size is based on the following historical data and assumptions. Previous studies have shown that the overall 3-year survival rate for gastric cancer patients is approximately 50%[20]. The centre followed patients who underwent radical resection of gastric cancer under the management of ERAS from 2011 to 2014, and the 3-year survival rate was approximately 65% [21]. Assuming that patient selection will require 10 months, the median follow-up time should be approximately 3 years, and the noninferiority threshold is therefore set to 1.33, according to a 1:1 random ratio. Assuming a significance level of $\alpha= 0.05$ (bilateral) and test efficiency of $1-\beta= 80\%$, the withdrawal rate of either branch group should be 10%, and the total sample thus requires at least 400 patients (200 in the test group and 200 in the control group).

Interim analyses and trial termination
This clinical trial project plans to recruit 400 patients and conduct an interim data analysis, faithfully reflecting changes in their condition during and after the operation, at the point in which approximately 200 patients have been enrolled. To improve the trial further, we have established a data monitoring committee that consists of surgical experts, statistics experts and ethics experts, independent of the clinical research team of the project, to weigh the effectiveness and safety comprehensively at the midpoint of the clinical trial according to the data accumulated to date and then make important decisions regarding whether to "continue the trial", "continue the trial after adjusting the protocol" or "terminate the trial". The results of the interim analysis will be released to all investigators.

Strengths and limitations of this study
The feasibility of ERAS pathway management in improving long-term prognosis has not yet been determined in a prospective randomized controlled trial. This trial will be the first multicentre randomized controlled clinical trial to evaluate the impact of perioperative ERAS pathway management on the short-term clinical outcomes and long-term prognoses of patients undergoing laparoscopic-assisted radical resection for distal gastric cancer. Jieshou Li, academician affiliated with the Chinese Academy of Sciences, first introduced this concept in 2007. Our centre began to explore ERAS pathway management for gastric cancer patients during the same year and published RCT research findings on perioperative ERAS management for gastric cancer in 2010 [11]. Our team has accumulated rich experience in perioperative management for gastric cancer to ensure the safety of patients and enhance their recovery. At the same time, our cooperating centres are all members of the gastric cancer ERAS group, each with an annual operation volume of more than 100 cases, have undertaken national clinical projects, and have performed strong clinical research. The primary outcome of this study is the comparison of 3-year OS and DFS between the two groups. The ERAS team will be required to record the data in a timely and accurate manner and to enhance postoperative follow-up in order to avoid loss to follow-up. To this end, we specifically established a data inspection committee and a special follow-up team to ensure the timeliness, validity and authenticity of the clinical data.

In this study, it is expected that the ERAS team will experience some difficulty in completely implementing all interventions in the protocol due to individual differences, patient compliance, medical factors and other reasons. We will integrate the elements involved in the clinical pathway. To ensure recovery and reduce hospitalization in the days after gastric surgery, we will pay particular attention to the ‘key components’ of the ERAS programme, namely, six basic elements: preoperative patient information and education, preoperative pre-rehabilitation, thoracic epidural anaesthesia combined with multimodal analgesia, target-oriented liquid management, no nasogastric tube, early oral feeding and mobilization.

Discussion
The core of the ERAS concept is to use perioperative optimization measures based on evidence-based medicine to reduce surgical trauma and the stress response and to promote postoperative recovery. This concept has subverted the thinking and principles of perioperative management formed over the past hundred years and has created a new concept of rehabilitation. The ERAS pathway can not only improve the early clinical outcomes of patients with gastric cancer but also hopefully improve the survival rate of patients. This study is a prospective, multicentre, open, randomized controlled clinical trial aiming to provide important evidence support to achieve this goal.

In recent years, many international large-scale gastric surgery centres have begun to explore the ERAS pathway for gastric cancer. The application of the ERAS pathway in the perioperative management of gastric surgery has been repeatedly proven to be able to reduce postoperative complications, shorten postoperative hospital stays, relieve postoperative pain and reduce total hospitalization costs [22, 23]. Unfortunately, the ERAS pathway has limited research on improving the survival of patients with gastric cancer. Current observational studies have shown a significant association between ERAS compliance and colorectal cancer survival. In patients with more than 70% adherence to ERAS interventions, the risk of 5-year cancer-specific death was lowered by 42%, HR 0.58 (0.39-0.88, Cox regression) compared to all other patients (≤70% adherence) [16]. At present, one mechanism of the ERAS pathway to improve this result is to reduce the surgical stress response [24]. Some studies have shown that perioperative stress can not only affect tumour recurrence [25, 26] but also stimulate dormant micrometastases and minimal residual cancer [27-29]. In addition, under the ERAS management mode, the immune function after operation can be better preserved. Studies have shown that surgery is related to short-term immunosuppression after surgery [29]. Proinflammatory cytokines released after surgery, such as TNF-α and TGF-β, have also been shown to stimulate tumour cell adhesion [30, 31]. Patients managed by the ERAS pathway show better preservation of cell-mediated immunity and immune function and a lower stress response, thereby inhibiting tumour recurrence and metastasis and prolonging patient survival [32, 33].

Overall, the ERAS pathway has been proven to be a safe and effective perioperative management model in the current literature. In particular, the ERAS pathway has shown promising results in
improving the survival of patients with gastric cancer. Confirmation of these results is essential by means of RCTs.

Trial status
The enrolment of this study is ongoing at the time of manuscript submission. The protocol version is 1.1, GISSG18-01, 10 March 2019. The trial will be ongoing from 10 April 2019 to 30 June 2020.
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ERAS: enhanced recovery after surgery; RCT: randomized controlled trial; DFS: disease-free survival; OS: overall survival; LAG: laparoscopic-assisted gastrectomy; CLASS: Chinese Laparoscopic Gastrointestinal Surgery Study Group; NRS: nutrition risk screening; VTE: vein thromboembolism; ASA: American Society of Anaesthesiologists; CPR: C-reactive protein; TNF-α: tumour necrosis factor-alpha; IL-6: interleukin-6; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; CT: Computed Tomography; CTA: Computed Tomography angiography; CRF: case report form; EN: enteral nutrition; GDT: goal-directed therapy; POD: postoperative day; CA: carbohydrate antigen; AFP: alpha fetoprotein
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Figures
Figure 1

Flow chart of Patient Enrolment and Randomization. Abbreviations: ERAS: enhanced recovery after surgery, POD: postoperative day
Flow chart of the multicentre clinical trial for the schedule of enrolment, interventions, and assessments. Notes: The symbol "x" indicates the project that must be completed during the research phase; -1, 2 weeks before operation; 0, perioperation; 1, adjuvant chemotherapy time; follow-up 2~11 corresponding time points are[2, 3 months after operation; 3, 6 months after operation; 4, 9 months after operation; 5, 12 months after operation; 6, 15 months after operation; 7, 18 months after operation; 8, 21 months after operation; 9, 24 months after operation; 10, 30 months after operation; 11, 36 months after operation.
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