LAS0811: From Combinatorial Chemistry to Activation of Antioxidant Response Element
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The antioxidant response element (ARE) and its transcription factor, nuclear factor-erythroid 2 p45-related factor 2 (Nrf2), are potential targets for cancer chemoprevention. We sought to screen small molecules synthesized with combinatorial chemistry for activation of ARE. By high-throughput screening of 9400 small molecules from 10 combinatorial chemical libraries using HepG2 cells with an ARE-driven reporter, we have identified a novel small molecule, 1,2-dimethoxy-4,5-dinitrobenzene (LAS0811), as an activator of the ARE. LAS0811 upregulated the activity of NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1), a representative antioxidative enzyme regulated by ARE. It enhanced production of an endogenous reducing agent, glutathione (GSH). In addition, LAS0811 induced expression of heme oxygenase 1 (HO1), which is an ARE-regulated enzyme with anti-inflammatory activity. Furthermore, LAS0811 reduced cell death due to the cytotoxic stress of a strong oxidant, t-butyl hydroperoxide (t-BOOH). Mechanistically, LAS0811 upregulated the expression of Nrf2 and promoted its translocation into the nuclei leading to subsequent ARE activation. Taken together, LAS0811 is a novel activator of the ARE and its associated detoxifying genes and, thus, a potential agent for cancer chemoprevention.
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1. Introduction

Chemoprevention is a promising strategy to prevent cancer by employing small molecules to enhance production of phase II detoxifying enzymes which are capable of scavenging carcinogens [1]. A number of structurally unrelated molecules, such as anethole dithiolethiones, curcumin, isothiocyanates, caffeic acid, phenethyl esters, flavonoids, and triterpenoids, have been shown to activate ARE and subsequent induction of the phase II detoxifying enzymes [2, 3]. The ARE was first discovered and named by Pickett and his colleagues [4]. A fuller characterization of the DNA consensus sequence required for ARE activity, including the requirement of 5′-flanking sequences, was subsequently reported by Wasserman and Fahl [5] and by Nioi et al. [6]. The ARE is a cis-acting element located in the promoter region of a number of genes encoding phase II detoxifying enzymes and antioxidative proteins [7, 8]. These enzymes and proteins include NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1), glutathione S-transferase (GST), γ-glutamylcysteine ligase (GCL), heme oxygenase 1 (HO1), thioredoxin reductase-1, and thioredoxin.

Activation of ARE is regulated by a transcription factor, Nrf2, a member of the Cap’n’Collar family of transcription factors that share a highly conserved basic leucine-zipper region [9]. It has been shown that Nrf2 is normally sequestered in the cytoplasm as an inactive complex with Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1). In response to certain extracellular stimuli, Nrf2 dissociates from Keap1 and translocates into the nucleus, where Nrf2 heterodimerizes with a small Maf protein binds to the ARE and, subsequently, activates transcription of ARE-dependent genes [10]. However, other mechanisms of regulation of Nrf2, including stabilization of the Nrf2 protein by its inducers, have also been proposed and recently reviewed by Hayes and McMahon [11]. The Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway
apparently plays an important role in cellular protection in response to oxidative stresses [12]. For example, Nrf2-deficient mice are more susceptible than wild-type mice to oxidative injury and chemical-induced carcinogenesis [13]. Therefore, the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway is a logical target for identifying novel chemopreventive agents [14, 15].

In the current study, we report the identification of a novel ARE activator, 1,2-dimethoxy-4,5-dinitrobenzene (LAS0811), by screening 10 combinatorial libraries of small molecules using an ARE-driven reporter assay.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents. t-Butylhydroquinone (tBHQ), reduced glutathione (GSH), dicumarol, flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP), glucose-6-phosphate, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), menadione, metaphosphoric acid, and t-butyl hydroperoxide (t-BOOH) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO); Dc Protein Assay kit from Bio-Rad Labs (Hercules, CA); and GSH-400 assay from Oxis International Inc. (Foster City, CA).

2.2. Combinatorial Libraries. Ten combinatorial chemical libraries, consisting of 9400 small molecules, were synthesized as a part of a combinatorial chemistry program in our institution. As shown in Figure 1, each library was generated from reactions of a basic chemical scaffold with different building blocks using methods as previously reported by us [16–22]. The purity of each compound was confirmed to be at least 98% as analyzed by high-pressure liquid chromatography. They were kept in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in 96-well plates.

2.3. Cell Cultures. A human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line, HepG2, was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). This cell line was maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/mL of penicillin, and 100 U/mL of streptomycin (GibcoBRL, Grand Island, NY) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air at 37°C.

2.4. In Vitro Model of ARE Activation. An in vitro model for regulation of ARE has been developed by stable transfection into the HepG2 cells, a reporter gene of green fluorescent protein (GFP) driven by four copies of ARE and a thymidine kinase (TK) promoter as previously described by us [23]. The expression of GFP was inducible in the HepG2 cells transfected only with the ARE/TK-GFP construct but not with the TK-GFP construct. Level of fluorescence emitted by GFP reflected degree of ARE activation. This assay has been validated by applying various well-known activators of ARE including tBHQ [24].

2.5. Screening of Chemical Libraries for ARE Activation. Each small molecule was diluted with DMEM, and the maximal concentration of DMSO in each assay was not to exceed 0.25%. The HepG2 cells harboring the ARE/TK-GFP were seeded into wells (4 × 10^4 cells per well) of a 96-well plate and allowed to attach overnight. The cells were exposed to each test compound, at a concentration of 10 μM, for 24 hours, with tBHQ serving as a positive control. The medium was removed and 100 μL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was added to each well. Fluorescent intensity, which was an indicator of the level of GFP expression, was measured at the excitation/emission wavelengths of 485/530 nm using a fluorescent microplate reader. The relative density of live cells was determined using the MTT assay [25]. The fluorescent intensity of GFP was normalized to density of live cells in each well by dividing the fluorescent intensity to the absorbance, at 570 nm, of the formazan dye formed from MTT by the live cells. Compounds, which showed enhancement of relative fluorescent intensity equal to or higher than tBHQ, were selected for secondary screening at concentrations ranging from 0.1 μM to 30 μM in triplicates. Cytotoxicity of the lead compounds was also determined over a dose range of 0 to 100 μM using the MTT assay.

2.6. Measurement of Down-Stream Markers of ARE Activation. To further confirm the activation of ARE by LAS0811, the following down-stream markers of ARE in the HepG2 cells were measured.

2.6.1. NQO1 Activity. HepG2 cells were exposed to 0 to 30 μM of LAS0811 for 24 hours. Cellular NQO1 activity was quantified using a microtiter-plate-based spectroscopic assay [26]. Cell pellets were sonicated in 150 μL of PBS and centrifuged at 12,000 g at 4°C for 5 minutes. The supernatant fraction was diluted with 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4. To exclude nonspecific enzymatic activity, 50 μL of the buffer containing 0.3 mM of dicumarol was added to parallel wells in place of the regular buffer. Next, 200 μL of an assay solution, containing Tris-HCl (25 mM, pH 7.4), bovine serum albumin (BSA) (0.5%), Tween 20 (0.025%), FAD (5 μM), NADP (30 μM), glucose-6-phosphate (1 μM), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (2 U/mL), MTT (0.3 mg/mL), and menadione (25 μM), was added to each well. The reaction rate was immediately measured at 610 nm for 5 minutes using a microtiter plate reader.

2.6.2. GSH Level. HepG2 cells were exposed to 0 to 30 μM of LAS0811 for 24 hours. Cellular GSH level was determined using a GSH-400 assay kit (Oxis International, Inc, Foster City, CA). Each cell pellet was resuspended and sonicated in 4 volumes of ice-cold 5% metaphosphoric acid. The lysates were centrifuged at 10,000 g at 4°C for 5 minutes. Each supernatant fraction (~30 μL) was mixed with 90 μL of reaction buffer and 5 μL of chromogenic reagent followed by 5 μL of 30% NaOH. After incubation for 10 minutes, the absorbance at 400 nm was measured with a microtiter plate reader. A calibration curve of GSH was constructed using commercially available GSH.
2.6.3. HO1 Expression. HepG2 cells were exposed to LAS0811 at 0 to 50 μM for 24 hours. Whole-cell lysates were prepared by suspending cell pellets in Cellytic M lysis reagent (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). After centrifugation at 10,000 g, supernatants were collected and protein concentrations were determined using the DC Protein Assay kit (Bio-Rad). Equal amounts of lysate protein were analyzed on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was probed with a rabbit anti-human HO1 primary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc, Santa Cruz, CA) followed by a goat-antirabbit secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase. Detection was accomplished with the ECL reagents (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ). The relative level of expression of HO1 was determined by dividing the density of each band of HO1 to that of β-actin as detected by a densitometer.

2.7. Protection against t-BOOH-Induced Cytotoxicity. t-Butyl hydroperoxide (t-BOOH) is a strong oxidant and highly cytotoxic. The antioxidative effect of LAS0811 was demonstrated by its potency of protecting HepG2 cells from the t-BOOH-induced cytotoxicity. HepG2 cells were plated in a 96-well plate (4 × 10^4 cells per well). After incubating overnight, cells were pretreated with LAS0811 at concentrations of 0 to 100 μM for 24 hours. The culture medium was replaced with fresh medium containing 1 mM of t-BOOH and the cells were incubated for an additional 10 hours. Cell cytotoxicity was measured with the MTT assay.

2.8. Upregulation and Translocation of Nrf2. To investigate if the activation of the ARE-dependent genes by LAS0811 was associated with a concomitant upregulation and nuclear translocation of Nrf2, we examined the appearance of the Nrf2 protein in cytoplasm and nuclei in the HepG2 cells following exposure to LAS0811. The HepG2 cells were grown on glass cover slides overnight, and exposed to LAS0811 at concentrations of 0 to 30 μM for 3 and 18 hours. The cells were probed with a rabbit antihuman Nrf2 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. Santa Cruz) followed by a secondary swine antirabbit IgG conjugated with FITC. The nuclei were counter-stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). The glass slides were examined under a deconvolution fluorescent microscope.
2.9. Statistical Analysis. Each assay was performed at least 3 times. Results were expressed, where appropriate, as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Student’s t-test was used to determine statistically significant difference (P < .05) between treatment groups.

3. Results

3.1. Screening of Combinatorial Libraries for ARE Activation. Upon screening 10 combinatorial chemical libraries consisting of 9400 small molecules (Figure 1), we have identified, from library L9, one novel compound, 1,2-dimethoxy-4,5-dinitrobenzene (LAS0811), which consistently induced ARE activation higher than the prototype activator of ARE, tBHQ. Many analogs of each library weakly activated ARE (i.e., the enhancement of fluorescence was less than 50% of that induced by tBHQ). There was no known ARE-activator within each library. The structures of LAS0811 and tBHQ are shown in Figure 2. LAS0811 and tBHQ were not autofluorescent. The HepG2 cells harboring the ARE/TK-GFP expressed a low basal level of fluorescence. Upon exposure of the cells to tBHQ or LAS0811 over 24 hours, the GFP expression was enhanced as shown in Figure 3(a). The induction of GFP expression by either tBHQ or LAS0811 was dose-dependent as shown in Figure 3(b). LAS0811 induced GFP expression significantly higher than tBHQ over the concentration range of 1 to 30 µM. LAS0811 induced GFP expression by 130% and 225% over the baseline at 5 µM and 30 µM, respectively. The level of GFP expression induced by LAS0811 was significantly higher than that induced by tBHQ (P < .05). Of note, the LAS0811 was not cytotoxic to the HepG2 cells over the concentration range of 0 to 50 µM as determined by the MTT assay. At 100 µM, the viability of HepG2 cells was 90%.

3.2. Induction of NQO1 Activity, GSH and HO1 Expressions. After exposure of the HepG2 cells to LAS0811 for 24 hours, the NQO1 activity was increased in a dose-dependent manner as shown in Figure 4(a). The NQO1 activity was increased significantly by 20%, 52%, and 100% with 5, 10, and 30 µM of LAS0811, respectively. Similarly, the GSH levels were significantly increased by 25%, 54%, and 143% with 5, 10, and 30 µM of LAS0811, respectively, as shown in Figure 4(b). LAS0811, at concentrations of 10 and 50 µM, significantly upregulated the expression of the HO1 protein by 2- and 4-fold, respectively, of the HepG2 cells as shown in Figure 5.

3.3. Protection of t-BOOH-Induced Cytotoxicity. Pretreatment with LAS0811 significantly protected HepG2 cells against t-BOOH-induced oxidative cytotoxicity. At a concentration of 1 mM, t-BOOH reduced viability of the HepG2 cells to 16% of the control. Preexposure of the cells to LAS0811 for 24 hours significantly increased cell viability to 50% at 5 µM and 72% at 30 µM as shown in Figure 6.

3.4. Upregulation and Translocation of Nrf2. The time course of appearance of Nrf2, as detected with fluorescent microscopy, in the cytoplasm and nuclei of the HepG2 cells in response to LAS0811 exposure is shown in Figure 7. Enhancement of Nrf2 expression was detected in the cytoplasm 3 hours after exposure to 30 µM of LAS0811. By 18 hours, the Nrf2 protein was noticeably located in the nuclei as well as in the cytoplasm. These results indicated that LAS0811 upregulated the expression of Nrf2 in the cytoplasm and promoted its subsequent translocation from the cytoplasm into the nuclei.
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Figure 4: Dose-dependent increase of (a) NQO1 activity; (b) GSH level, after exposure of the HepG2 cells to LAS0811 at 1 to 30 µM for 24 hours. Mean ± SD, n = 3.

Figure 5: Effect of LAS0811 on HO1 expression in HepG2 cells: Dose-dependent response to LAS0811 at 0, 10, and 50 µM for 24 hours.

4. Discussion

Induction of the Nrf2/ARE-regulated proteins, which detoxify potential carcinogens and oxidants, represents a promising strategy for cancer prevention. In this regard, many potential chemopreventive agents with a variety of chemical structures have been identified [2, 3]. However, clinical application of these agents has been hampered by their toxicity or ineffectiveness in human subjects [27]. Therefore, the effort for searching of safe and effective chemopreventive agents continues.

Combinatorial chemistry is a powerful technology in simultaneously generating thousands of unique chemical entities [28]. We have generated 10 combinatorial chemical libraries of 9400 unique small molecules. Together with a high-throughput cell-based ARE functional assay, we have screened these libraries and identified a novel small molecule, LAS0811, which activates the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway. LAS0811, at 30 µM, enhanced expression of the GFP protein by more than 200% with the cell-based model of GFP, yet the induction of expression or activity of GSH, HO1, and NQO1 varied from 100% to 200%. The degree of upregulation of the different downstream proteins was not identical as their regulatory mechanisms along the Nrf2/ARE axis may vary. We do not know if the metabolites of LAS0811 are biologically active as LAS0811 may be subjected to O-demethylation. Nevertheless, we have demonstrated that LAS0811 itself is an activator of ARE in all the in vitro assays conducted to date.

Firstly, LAS0811 protects cells from cytotoxicity of a strong oxidant, t-BOOH. We speculate that this protective effect is attributed, at least in part, to enhancement of expression and activity of the Nrf2/ARE-regulated cytoprotective genes as recently reported by Higgins et al. for sulforaphane [29]. Pretreatment of Nrf2+/+ mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) for 24 hours with an inducing dose of sulforaphane conferred 1.6-fold resistance against t-BOOH. In contrast, Nrf2−/− MEFs were 3-times more sensitive to t-BOOH than Nrf2+/+ MEFs and could not be protected against the peroxide by pretreatment with sulforaphane. In the current study, we have shown that LAS0811 enhanced the activity of NQO1 and increased the level of GSH. NQO1 plays an important role in protecting cells against ROS and maintaining genomic stability [30]. This enzyme metabolizes highly toxic and reactive quinone species, maintains redox homeostasis, and stabilizes the half-life of a tumor suppressor protein.
protein, p53 [31]. On the other hand, GSH is the most abundant reducing thiol in mammalian cells. It plays an important role in detoxifying ROS and serves as a cofactor for several peroxidases [32]. Intracellularly, GSH is synthesized consecutively by GCL and glutathione synthase. The rate-limiting GCL is regulated via the ARE signaling pathway [33].

Secondly, LAS0811 upregulates the expression of the enzyme, HO1, which possesses antioxidative, antiinflammatory and antiapoptotic activity [34, 35]. It is the rate-limiting enzyme in the conversion of heme into biliverdin, carbon monoxide and free iron. The free heme moiety is a potentially toxic pro-oxidant. Biliverdin is very rapidly converted to bilirubin by the enzyme biliverdin reductase. Bilirubin is an antioxidant capable of scavenging peroxo radicals and inhibiting lipid peroxidation [36]. Carbon monoxide is also believed to play a role as a signaling molecule in the antiinflammatory and antiapoptotic pathways [37]. The expression of the HO1 gene is regulated mainly through the Nrf2/ARE pathway as it contains multiple copies of ARE sequences in its promoter [38]. It has also been shown that the expression of HO1 can be induced through other signaling pathways, such as MAPKs and PI3K-dependent Akt [39, 40]. Whether these signaling pathways are involved in the LAS0811-mediated induction of HO1 remains to be delineated.

Lastly, LAS0811 enhances expression of Nrf2 in the cytoplasm and promotes its translocation into the nuclei. In concurrence with other investigators concerning the role of Nrf2, LAS0811 enhances expression of Nrf2 in the cytoplasm followed by its subsequent translocation into the nuclei in the HepG2 cells. In addition to the HepG2 cells, we have also observed similar phenomenon in an immortalized human bronchioc epithelial cell line, HBE, and an immortalized mouse hippocampal cell line, HT22 (data not shown). We propose two possible mechanisms involved in the upregulation of Nrf2. First, the LAS0811 may act directly on ARE and induce Nrf2 expression based on the observation that expression of Nrf2 itself is regulated via ARE [41]. Second, LAS0811 may interrupt the binding of Nrf2 with Keap1 which binds and keeps Nrf1 in the cytoplasm. Dissociation of Nrf2 from Keap1 results in the availability of free Nrf2 and its subsequent translocation into the nuclei.

In summary, we have identified a novel small molecule, LAS0811, which activates the ARE/Nrf2 pathway. LAS0811 is being investigated as a chemopreventive agent in animal models.

### Abbreviations

- **ARE**: Antioxidant response element
- **BSA**: Bovine serum albumin
- **DMEM**: Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium
- **DMSO**: Dimethyl sulfoxide
- **GFP**: Green fluorescent protein
- **GSH**: γ-L-glutamyl-L-cysteinyl-glycine or glutathione
- **GCL**: γ-glutamate-cysteine ligase
- **HO1**: Heme oxygenase-1
- **Keap1**: Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1
- **MTT**: 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide
- **NO**: Nitric oxide
- **NQO1**: NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1
- **Nrf2**: Nuclear factor erythroid2-related factor
- **PBS**: Phosphate-buffered saline
- **tBH**Q: t-butylhydroquinone
- **t-BOOH**: t-butyl hydroperoxide
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