Content Analysis of Korean Videos Regarding Restless Legs Syndrome on YouTube
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ABSTRACT

Objective  To evaluate the accuracy and quality of Korean videos associated with restless legs syndrome (RLS) on YouTube.

Methods  A YouTube search was performed on April 1, 2020 using the term “restless legs syndrome” in the Korean language. Two reviewers coded the source, content, and demographics of the included videos. Video quality was assessed using the modified DISCERN (mDISCERN) instrument.

Results  Among the 80 videos analyzed, 44 (55.0%) were reliable, and 36 (45.0%) were misleading. There was a trend toward a higher number of mean daily views in the misleading videos than in the reliable videos. Most of the misleading videos (72.2%) advocated complementary and alternative medicine as a primary treatment for RLS. Although the reliable videos had higher mDISCERN scores than the misleading videos, the overall quality of the reliable videos was low.

Conclusion  Many Korean videos regarding RLS on YouTube involve a risk of exposure to misinformation and are of unsatisfactory quality.
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MATERIALS & METHODS

Search strategy and data collection
A YouTube (http://www.youtube.com) search was performed using the keyword “restless legs syndrome” in the Korean language on April 1, 2020, using the default filter of “relevance”. Since computer history and cookies can influence search results, these data were deleted before the search was conducted. We screened the first 100 videos, considering that internet users who seek medical help seldom go beyond the first few pages of any search result. We excluded videos that met the following criteria: 1) unrelated to RLS (n = 11), 2) contained patient experiences (n = 2), and 3) were unavailable or soundless (n = 7). Finally, 80 videos were included in the analysis.

Video assessments
The included videos were evaluated independently by two neurologists (R. K. and J. S. J.) who were blinded to each other’s ratings before finishing their assessments. The videos were classified as either “reliable” or “misleading.” Reliable videos were defined as videos containing correct scientific information about RLS and not containing any inaccurate information. On the other hand, misleading videos were defined as videos containing inaccurate or scientifically unproven information. Any disagreement between the reviewers was resolved by discussion. Data on the total number of views, number of days since upload, video length, number of “likes” and “dislikes,” and upload source were obtained for each video on April 1, 2020. Upload sources were categorized as university hospitals, commercial entities, news agencies, or individual users. We classified videos uploaded by nonuniversity hospitals or medical websites as commercial entities. Each video was also evaluated for the presence of information about the following four content domains: epidemiology, etiology, symptoms and signs, and treatment.

We measured the quality of the videos using the modified DISCERN (mDISCERN) instrument, which is a 5 item scale adapted from the original 16 item DISCERN scale. The total scores of the mDISCERN range from 0 to 5, with higher scores indicating a better quality of information. For this analysis, the scores of the mDISCERN range from 0 to 5, with higher scores indicating a better quality of information. The kappa and ICC values were considered to be in excellent agreement if they were over 0.75. Finally, 80 videos were included in the analysis.

Statistical analysis
The interrater agreement was measured using Cohen’s kappa for the accuracy of the videos and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) for the quality. The kappa and ICC values were considered to be in excellent agreement if they were over 0.75. The data are reported as the means, standard deviations, and frequencies. The normality of the data was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. To identify differences between the extracted variables, Student’s t-test, Mann-Whitney U test, a chi-square test, or Fisher’s exact test were performed, as appropriate. All p values were two-sided, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Calculations were performed using SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS
Among 80 videos, 44 (55.0%) were categorized as “reliable”, and 36 (45.0%) were categorized as “misleading”. The raters had excellent interrater agreement for the accuracy of the videos (κ = 0.90). Table 1 summarizes the descriptive statistics of the included videos. The cumulative views were 101,139 views for reliable videos and 77,720 views for misleading videos. There was a trend toward a higher number of mean daily views for the misleading videos compared to the reliable videos, although this trend was not statistically significant (4.0 ± 5.7 vs. 2.2 ± 2.8; p = 0.061). Additionally, the misleading videos were significantly longer than the reliable videos (8.0 ± 7.9 min vs. 3.8 ± 3.9 min; p = 0.005). No significant group differences in the mean views, mean daily views, or number of likes and dislikes were observed. There was a significant difference in upload source and content domains covered.

Table 1. Viewership and demographics of videos according to accuracy of information

| Variables                             | Reliable videos (n = 44) | Misleading videos (n = 36) | p value |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Cumulative views                      | 101,139                 | 77,720                    | -       |
| Mean views                            | 2.299 ± 4.325           | 2.159 ± 3.754             | 0.879   |
| Mean days since upload                | 958 ± 745               | 745 ± 633                 | 0.238   |
| Mean daily views                      | 2.2 ± 2.8               | 4.0 ± 5.7                 | 0.061   |
| Mean length (min)                     | 3.8 ± 3.9               | 8.0 ± 7.9                 | 0.005   |
| Mean likes                            | 9.6 ± 15.3              | 13.4 ± 27.6               | 0.438   |
| Mean dislikes                         | 1.0 ± 1.8               | 1.5 ± 4.0                 | 0.467   |
| Upload source                         | 0.013                   |                          |         |
| University hospital                   | 2 (4.5)                 | 0 (0.0)                   | -       |
| Commercial entity                     | 25 (56.8)               | 21 (58.3)                 | -       |
| News agency                           | 7 (15.9)                | 0 (0.0)                   | -       |
| Individual user                       | 10 (22.7)               | 15 (41.7)                 | -       |
| Content domains covered               |                         |                           |         |
| Epidemiology                          | 18 (40.9)               | 7 (19.4)                  | 0.039   |
| Misleading content                    | -                       | 1 (2.8)                   | -       |
| Etiology                              | 32 (72.7)               | 24 (66.7)                 | 0.556   |
| Misleading content                    | -                       | 13 (36.1)                 | -       |
| Symptoms and signs                    | 38 (86.4)               | 34 (94.4)                 | 0.231   |
| Misleading content                    | -                       | 2 (5.6)                   | -       |
| Treatment                             | 22 (50.0)               | 29 (80.6)                 | 0.005   |
| Misleading content                    | -                       | 26 (72.2)                 | -       |

Data are reported as n (%) or mean ± standard deviation.
between the reliable and misleading videos (p = 0.013). Reliable videos were mainly uploaded by commercial entities (56.8%), followed by individual users (22.7%) and news agencies (15.9%). On the other hand, the misleading videos were mainly uploaded by commercial entities (58.3%) and individual users (41.7%).

Regarding video content, symptoms and signs were mostly covered in both reliable and misleading videos. However, the reliable videos provided more information about the epidemiology domain (p = 0.039), while the misleading videos provided more information about the treatment domain (p = 0.005). Misleading videos contained misinformation that was mostly related to the etiology and treatment of RLS. As a primary etiology of RLS, ten (27.8%) misleading videos advocated “poor blood circulation”, four (11.1%) advocated “constitution type”, and one (2.8%) advocated “oral breathing”. With respect to treatment, 26 (72.2%) misleading videos recommended complementary and alternative medicine (CAM). Misleading information regarding treatment consisted of “oriental medicine” (n = 11, 30.6%), followed by “acupuncture” (n = 9, 25.0%), “nasal breathing” (n = 4, 11.1%), “venesection” (n = 2, 5.6%), and “aroma therapy” (n = 1, 2.8%).

The reliable videos had significantly higher mDISCERN scores than those of the misleading videos (2.9 ± 1.0 vs. 1.3 ± 1.0; p < 0.001) (Table 2). However, 21 (47.7%) reliable videos had low quality (mDISCERN score < 3). The intrarater agreement was excellent for the mDISCERN scores (ICC = 0.80). When we looked at the mDISCERN scales individually, the scores on the reliable sources of information (p < 0.001) and the balanced and unbiased information presented (p < 0.001) were significantly higher for the reliable videos than for the misleading videos. Among the reliable videos, high-quality videos were significantly longer (4.6 ± 4.4 min vs. 2.8 ± 3.2 min; p = 0.009) and provided more information about the etiology domain (95.7% vs. 47.6%; p < 0.001) than low-quality videos (Supplementary Table 1 in the online-only Data Supplement).

DISCUSSION

The main finding of the current study was that 45% of the examined Korean videos regarding RLS on YouTube provided incorrect or scientifically unproven information. This proportion is grossly similar to previous studies that have appraised the provided information on other medical fields on YouTube. However, a recent study evaluating English-speaking YouTube videos on RLS found that 23% of the videos provided misleading information, which is relatively low compared to our outcomes. This discrepancy may be partly explained by the popularity of CAM in Korea, as described below.

As expected, there was a tendency for viewers to prefer watching videos containing misleading information on RLS. This trend has also been observed in several studies that have evaluated YouTube medical videos. Although the number of views can be influenced by a variety of factors, video content is thought to be one of the important factors related to video popularity. In many cases, the video content can be inferred from the title before the video is viewed. The present study showed that a high proportion of the misleading videos included information on CAM. Despite the lack of evidence-based information on CAM, its use is prevalent in Korea. One cross-sectional study reported that more than 70% of Korean adults used CAM in the last 12 months. In this context, YouTube users are more likely to view misleading videos that include information related to CAM. Similarly, a previous study assessing Korean YouTube videos on Parkinson’s disease showed that videos with misleading information were more popular, and most of these videos advocated CAM. Alternatively, it is possible that the video length affects the video popularity. Our results showed that misleading videos were significantly longer than reliable videos. However, considering that engagement decreases with video length, this hypothesis cannot explain our findings.

Although reliable videos had better quality than the misleading videos in this study, the overall quality of the reliable videos was insufficient. It is also necessary to support and ensure the quality of online health information. Even if online information is correct, the value of the information can differ according to its quality. To address this issue, we recommend that professional organizations make high-quality videos and increase the visibility of these videos among patients. Videos provided by such
organizations would be valuable because their members are familiar with the consensus guidelines published in their respective fields. It would also be helpful if healthcare professionals provided diverse sources of high-quality online information to patients.

The current study has several limitations. First, the cross-sectional study design captured only YouTube videos at one time point. However, the videos on YouTube change over time because a considerable number of videos are uploaded or deleted daily. Second, since video searching was performed using the YouTube default setting, the results may vary depending on the type of setting. Third, some treatments may be classified as misleading because there is a lack of clinical trials and not because of their ineffectiveness. Accordingly, our results should be interpreted in conjunction with such a clinical situation. Fourth, we used a mDISCERN cutoff score of 3 to define low video quality, but this cutoff has not yet been validated. Further research is needed to identify the optimal mDISCERN cutoff score for video categorization according to quality. Finally, we only included Korean-language videos, which may limit the generalizability of the results. Despite these limitations, our study found that approximately half of the examined Korean RLS videos on YouTube provided misinformation and that many of the videos with misleading content advocated CAM. In addition, the reliable videos on RLS were less attractive and of unsatisfactory quality. Healthcare professionals should be aware of the limitations of YouTube and strive to increase the dissemination of accurate and qualified information about RLS.
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Conflicts of Interest
The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments
This work was supported by Inha University Hospital Research Grant.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Ryul Kim, Jin-Sun Jun. Data curation: Ryul Kim, Jin-Sun Jun. Formal analysis: Joohwan Kim, Ryul Kim, Jin-Sun Jun. Funding acquisition: Ryul Kim. Investigation: Joohwan Kim, Ryul Kim, Jin-Sun Jun. Methodology: Ryul Kim, Jin-Sun Jun. Writing—original draft: Joohwan Kim, Ryul Kim. Writing—review & editing: Jin-Sun Jun, So-Hyun Ahn, San Jung, Yang-Ki Minn, Sung Hee Hwang.

ORCID iDs
Joohwan Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4131-8926
Ryul Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8754-9180
Jin-Sun Jun https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9879-0634
So-Hyun Ahn https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9206-1972
San Jung https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8726-3396
Yang-Ki Minn https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7456-8729

Sung Hee Hwang https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6508-6736

REFERENCES
1. Trenkwalder C, Allen R, Högl B, Clemens S, Patton S, Schormair B, et al. Comorbidities, treatment, and pathophysiology in restless legs syndrome. Lancet Neurol 2018;17:994-1005.
2. Winkelmann J, Allen RP, Högl B, Inoue Y, Oertel W, Salminen AV, et al. Treatment of restless legs syndrome: evidence-based review and implications for clinical practice (revised 2017). Mov Disord 2018;33:1077-1091.
3. Cho YW, Hong SB, Kim DH, Lee HW, Joo EY, Kim JH, et al. The effect of ropinirole on the quality of life in patients with restless legs syndrome in Korea: an 8-week, multicenter, prospective study. J Clin Neurol 2013;9:51-56.
4. Cotter PE, O’Keefe ST. Restless leg syndrome: is it a real problem? Thel Clin Risk Manag 2006;2:465-475.
5. Fox S, Daggan M. Health online 2013. Washington DC: Pew Internet & American Life Project; 2013.
6. Kim R, Kim HJ, Jeon B. The good, the bad, and the ugly of medical information on the Internet. Mov Disord 2018;33:754-757.
7. Robledo I, Jankovic J. Media hype: patient and scientific perspectives on misleading medical news. Mov Disord 2017;32:1319-1323.
8. Morahan-Martin J. How Internet users find, evaluate, and use online health information: a cross-cultural review. Cyberpsychol Behav 2004;7:497-510.
9. Langford B, Hooten WM, D’Souza S, Moeschler S, D’Souza RS. YouTube as a source of medical information about spinal cord stimulation. Neuro-modulation 2021;24:156-161.
10. Charnock D, Shepperd S, Needham G, Gann R. DISCERN: an instrument for judging the quality of written consumer health information on treatment choices. J Epidemiol Community Health 1999;53:105-111.
11. Ortiz-Martinez Y, Ali-Saloum W, Gonzalez-Ferreira F, Molinas-Argüello J. HIV videos on YouTube: helpful or harmful? Sex Transm Infect 2017;93:481.
12. Kim R, Park HY, Kim HJ, Kim A, Jang MH, Jeon B. Dry facts are not always inviting: a content analysis of Korean videos regarding Parkinson’s disease on YouTube. J Clin Neurosci 2017;46:167-170.
13. Kumar N, Pandey A, Venkatraman A, Garg N. Are video sharing web sites a useful source of information on hypertension? J Am Soc Hypertens 2014;8:481-490.
14. Pandey A, Patni N, Singh M, Sood A, Singh G. YouTube as a source of information on the H1N1 influenza pandemic. Am J Prev Med 2010;38:e1-e3.
15. Garg N, Venkatraman A, Pandey A, Kumar N. YouTube as a source of information on dialysis: a content analysis. Nephrology (Carlton) 2015;20:315-320.
16. Moon H, Lee GH. Evaluation of Korean-language COVID-19-related medical information on YouTube: cross-sectional infodemiology study. J Med Internet Res 2020;22:e20775.
17. Arikanoglu A, Demir M, Aluclu MU. Analysis of YouTube as a source of information for restless leg syndrome. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2020;78:611-616.
18. Lee JA, Sasaki Y, Arai I, Go HY, Park S, Yukawa K, et al. An assessment of the use of complementary and alternative medicine by Korean people using an adapted version of the standardized international questionnaire (ICAM-QK): a cross-sectional study of an Internet survey. BMC Complement Altern Med 2018;18:238.
19. Choi B, Han D, Na S, Lim B. Factors related to the parallel use of complementary and alternative medicine with conventional medicine among patients with chronic conditions in South Korea. Integr Med Res 2017;6:223-229.
20. van der Meij H, van der Meij J, Voerman T, Duimmans E. Supporting motivation, task performance and retention in video tutorials for software training. Educ Technol Res Dev 2018;66:597-614.
**Supplementary Table 1.** Viewership and demographics according to quality in reliable videos

| Variables                      | High quality (n = 23) | Low quality (n = 21) | p value |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Cumulative views              | 61,267                | 39,872               | -       |
| Mean views                    | 2,664 ± 4,834         | 1,899 ± 3,767        | 0.664   |
| Mean days since upload        | 690 ± 745             | 1,251 ± 1,094        | 0.062   |
| Mean daily views              | 2.8 ± 3.3             | 1.5 ± 1.8            | 0.565   |
| Mean length, min              | 4.6 ± 4.4             | 2.8 ± 3.2            | 0.009   |
| Mean likes                    | 12.4 ± 17.2           | 6.5 ± 12.7           | 0.368   |
| Mean dislikes                 | 1.1 ± 2.2             | 0.9 ± 1.4            | 0.905   |
| Upload source                 |                       |                      | 0.347   |
| University hospital           | 2 (8.7)               | 0 (0.0)              | -       |
| Commercial entity             | 12 (52.2)             | 13 (61.9)            | -       |
| News agency                   | 5 (21.7)              | 2 (9.5)              | -       |
| Individual user               | 4 (17.4)              | 6 (28.6)             | -       |
| Content domains covered       |                       |                      |         |
| Epidemiology                  | 12 (52.2)             | 6 (28.6)             | 0.112   |
| Etiology                      | 22 (95.7)             | 10 (47.6)            | <0.001  |
| Symptoms and signs            | 21 (91.3)             | 17 (81.0)            | 0.403   |
| Treatment                     | 14 (60.9)             | 8 (38.1)             | 0.131   |

Data are n (%) or mean ± standard deviation.