Spin Hall insulators beyond the Helical Luttinger model
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We consider the interacting, spin conserving, extended Kane-Mele-Hubbard model, and we rigorously establish the exact quantization of the edge spin conductance and the validity of the Helical Luttinger liquid relations for Drude weights and susceptibilities. Our analysis fully takes into account lattice effects, typically neglected in the Helical Luttinger model approximation, which play an essential role for universality. The analysis is based on exact renormalization group methods and on a combination of lattice and emergent Ward identities, which allow to relate the emergent chiral anomaly with the finite renormalizations due to lattice corrections.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The remarkable edge transport properties of Quantum Spin Hall insulators (QSHI), predicted in [1–5] (see [6–8] for reviews), have been explained so far via topological arguments or effective Quantum Field Theory (QFT) descriptions. In the absence of many-body interactions, and if the spin is conserved, topological arguments ensure the quantization of the spin Hall conductance. Many-body interactions, however, break the single-particle picture, and prevent the use of such methods. Nevertheless, experiments have shown values of spin conductances that are approximately quantized [9–13]. It is a challenge for theorists to understand a mechanism for universality, or to the predict possible deviations from the quantized value.

Due to the reduced dimensionality and to the massless dispersion relation, the edge states form a strongly correlated system. In order to analytically understand its behavior, the Helical Luttinger (HL) model [4], a QFT for relativistic one-dimensional fermions with locked spin and chirality, has been proposed as an effective field-theoretic description. This model can be studied via bosonization, see e.g. [14, 15]; as a result, it exhibits anomalous decay of correlations, and the chiral anomaly. Also, nonuniversal anomalous exponents, velocities and transport coefficients are related by exact scaling relations. Several generalizations of the HL model have been considered, see [16–27]. However, these effective QFT descriptions are insufficient to conclude whether many-body interactions break or not the quantization of the spin conductance, since they neglect important lattice effects; it is well-known that nonlinear corrections to the dispersion relation and Umklapp terms might produce finite corrections to the transport coefficients, as for instance in graphene [28, 29].

In this paper we establish, for the first time, the exact quantization of the edge spin conductance of a truly interacting lattice QSHI, going beyond the effective QFT description. Moreover, we establish the validity of the HL scaling relations, by fully taking into account lattice effects and the nonlinearity of the energy bands. We use recently developed nonperturbative RG methods, introduced to prove rigorous universality results for nonsolvable statistical mechanics models, [30].

II. THE KMH MODEL

The model. A basic model for interacting, time-reversal invariant topological insulators is provided by the extended, spin-conserving Kane-Mele-Hubbard (KMH) model. The KMH model is a time-reversal symmetric system, describing spinful fermions on the honeycomb lattice. The honeycomb lattice $\Lambda$ can be represented as a superposition of two triangular sublattices $\Lambda_A, \Lambda_B$ of side $L$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_A + \Lambda_B$. We denote by $\vec{t}_1, \vec{t}_2$ the normalized basis vectors of $\Lambda_A$, and we set $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_A + (1, 0)$. We shall denote by $x_1, x_2$ the coordinates of the point $\vec{x} \in \Lambda_A$ in the $\vec{t}_1, \vec{t}_2$ basis. We introduce fermionic creation/annihilation operators $a^+_{\vec{x},\sigma}, b^+_{\vec{x},\sigma}$, with spin labels $\sigma = \pm$, acting on the two triangular sublattices $\Lambda_A, \Lambda_B$.

In the absence of interactions, the Hamiltonian is:

$$
H_0 = -t_1 \sum_{\vec{x},\sigma,j} \left[ a^+_{\vec{x},\sigma} b^-_{\vec{x}+\delta_1,\sigma} + b^+_{\vec{x}+\delta_1,\sigma} a^-_{\vec{x},\sigma} \right] \\
- \mu N \left( \sum_{\vec{x},\sigma} a^+_{\vec{x},\sigma} a^-_{\vec{x},\sigma} - b^+_{\vec{x}+\delta_1,\sigma} b^-_{\vec{x}+\delta_1,\sigma} \right) \\
- W \sum_{\vec{x},\sigma} \left[ a^+_{\vec{x},\sigma} \left( \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\delta}_j \right) a^-_{\vec{x}+\delta_j,\sigma} + b^+_{\vec{x},\sigma} \left( \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\delta}_j \right) b^-_{\vec{x}+\delta_j,\sigma} \right]
$$

(1)

where in the first sum $\vec{x} \in \Lambda_A$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$ labels one of its three nearest-neighbours in $\Lambda_B$, connected by the vectors $\delta_j$, see Fig. 1. The second and third sums run
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over next-to-nearest neighbours on the $A, B$ sublattices, connected by the vectors $\pm \vec{r}_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$; we denote by $\vec{s} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ the vector of the Pauli matrices, and we set

$$\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$$

$$\rho = \rho_\Lambda$$

with time-reversal symmetry of the full Hamiltonian. On the Haldane model [31]: $H_0 = \sum_\sigma H'_0$, where $H'_0$ acts on the $\sigma$-spin subsector. The connection between the different spin sectors is $H'_0 = CH'C$ with $C$ the complex conjugation operator, which ensures the invariance under time-reversal symmetry of the full Hamiltonian.

In order to reduce the honeycomb lattice to a Bra- vais lattice, we collect the fermionic operators associated to the sites $\vec{x}, \vec{x} + \vec{r}_j, \vec{x} + \vec{r}_j$ in a single, two-component fermionic operator (see Fig. 1): $\phi_{\vec{x},\sigma} = (\phi_{\vec{x}+\vec{r}_j,\sigma}^+, b_{\vec{x}+\vec{r}_j,\sigma}^-) = (\phi_{\vec{x},A,\sigma}^+, \phi_{\vec{x},B,\sigma}^-)$. With these notations, we rewrite the noninteracting Hamiltonian as:

$$H_0 = \sum_\sigma \sum_{\vec{x},\vec{y}} \phi_{\vec{x},\sigma}^+ H_{\rho\rho'}^0(\vec{x},\vec{y}) \phi_{\vec{y},\rho',\sigma}^- ,$$

with $H^0$ a one-particle Schrödinger operator, acting on $\Lambda_A \times \mathbb{C}^2$. Let us now define the density operator as $\rho_{\vec{x},\sigma} = \sum_{\rho,A,B} \rho_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma}$, with $\rho_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma} = \phi_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma}^+ \phi_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma}^-$. The interacting Hamiltonian is:

$$H = H_0 + LV$$

$$V = \sum_\vec{x,\vec{y}} \sum_{\rho,\rho'} \left[ \rho_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) \rho_{\vec{y},\rho',\sigma'} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) \right] v_{\rho\rho'}(\vec{x},\vec{y}) \tag{4}$$

for $v_{\rho\rho'}(\vec{x},\vec{y})$ short ranged, and where $\lambda$ is the coupling constant.

**Lattice currents and conservation laws.** Let $A(t) = e^{iH_A t} A e^{-iH t}$ be the time-evolution of $A$. The density operator satisfies the following lattice continuity equation:

$$\partial_t \rho_{\vec{x},\sigma}(t) = i[H, \rho_{\vec{x},\sigma}(t)] = \sum_{\vec{y}} \sum_{\rho,\rho'} j_{\rho\rho',\sigma}(\vec{y},\vec{y})$$

$$j_{\rho\rho',\sigma}(\vec{y},\vec{y}) = i \phi_{\rho,\rho'}^+ H_{\rho\rho'}^0(\vec{y},\vec{y}) \phi_{\rho,\rho'}^- + h.c. \tag{5}$$

The operator $j_{\rho\rho',\sigma}(\vec{y},\vec{y})$ is the bond current operator, corresponding to the pairs of honeycomb lattice sites labelled by $(\vec{x}, \rho; \vec{y}, \rho')$. Notice that, by the finite range of the hopping Hamiltonian, the only nonvanishing bond currents are those connecting $(\vec{x}, \rho; \vec{y}, \rho')$, with $i = 1, 2$, and $(\vec{x}, \rho; \vec{y}, \rho')$, with $i = 1, 2$.

Let $j_{\rho\rho',\sigma}(\vec{y},\vec{y}) = \sum_{\vec{r},\rho',\sigma} j_{\rho\rho',\sigma}^\vec{r}(\vec{y},\vec{y})$. Let us define the discrete lattice derivative as: $d_i f(\vec{x}) = f(\vec{x} + \vec{r}_i) - f(\vec{x} - \vec{r}_i)$. Then, the continuity equation can be rewritten as $\partial_t \rho_{\vec{x},\sigma}(t) = -d_1 j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_1} - d_2 j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_2} - j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_1} + j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_2} - j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_1} + j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_2} - j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_1} + j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_2}, \tag{6}$

where we defined $j_{\vec{x},\vec{z}} = j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_1} + j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_2}$ and $j_{\vec{x},\vec{z}} = j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_1} + j_{\vec{x},\vec{x}+\vec{r}_2}$. We shall collect currents and in a single 3-current $j_{\vec{x},\vec{z}}$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2$. We also, define the charge and spin 3-currents as $j_{\vec{x},\vec{z}}^c = \sum_\sigma j_{\vec{x},\vec{z}}^\sigma$, $j_{\mu,\vec{z}} = \sum_\sigma j_{\vec{x},\vec{z}}^\sigma$, which satisfy $\partial_t j_{\mu,\vec{x}} + \sum_\mu j_{\mu,\vec{x}} = 0$, with $\vec{z} = c, s$.

We shall study the thermodynamic properties of the model in the grand canonical ensemble. The Gibbs state of the model is $\langle \psi \rangle_{\beta, \mu} = (1/Z_{\beta,L}) Tr e^{-\beta H}$, with $Z_{\beta,L} = Tr e^{-H}$ the partition function. We introduce the imaginary-time (or Euclidean) evolution of the fermionic operators as: $\phi_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma} := e^{i\beta H} \phi_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma} e^{-i\beta H}$, $\psi_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma} := e^{i\beta H} \psi_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma} e^{-i\beta H}$, $\vec{x} \in [0, \beta]$, extended antiperiodically for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. A crucial ingredient in our analysis will be the use of Ward identities, implied by the charge and spin conservation laws. Let $d_0 := i\partial_\vec{x}_0$. The lattice continuity equation can be rewritten in a compact form as $\sum_\rho d_0 j_{\rho,\vec{x}} = 0$. This relation can be used to derive identities among correlations, such as:

$$\sum_\rho d_\rho \langle \langle T_{\beta,L} j_{\rho,\vec{x}} \rangle \rangle_{\beta, \mu} = i\delta(x_0 - y_0) \langle \langle [\delta_{\vec{x},\vec{y}}, j_{\mu,\vec{y}}] \rangle \rangle_{\beta, \mu} \tag{7}$$

In Eq. (7), $T$ is the time-ordering operator, and the contact term in the right-hand side is called the Schuwiner term. Eq. (7) is the Ward identity for the current-current correlation functions. In the same way, one can also derive a Ward identity relating the vertex functions of the lattice model to the two point correlation function:

$$\sum_\mu d_\mu \langle \langle T_{\beta,L} j_{\rho,\vec{x}} \rangle \rangle_{\beta, \mu} = i\delta(x_0 - y_0) \langle \langle [\delta_{\vec{x},\vec{y}}, \phi_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma}] \phi_{\vec{x},\rho,\sigma} \rangle \rangle_{\beta, \mu} \tag{8}$$

where $\delta_{\vec{x},\vec{y}} = \delta(x_0 - y_0) \delta_{\vec{x},\vec{y}}$ and $\sigma_c = +, \sigma_s = \sigma$. FIG. 1: The honeycomb lattice $\Gamma$: the empty dots belong to the $A$-sublattice, while the black dots belong to the $B$ sublattice. The ovals encircle the two sites of the fundamental cell, labeled by the position of the empty dot, i.e., of the site of the $A$ sublattice.
III. NON-INTERACTING TOPOLOGICAL INSULATORS

In the absence of interactions, $\lambda = 0$, the Hamiltonian reduces to the sum of two noninteracting Haldane Hamiltonians, $\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow} \mathcal{H}_0^\sigma$. Suppose the model is equipped with periodic boundary conditions. Then, (using that the single-particle Hamiltonian is translation invariant, $H^\sigma(z, z') \equiv H^\sigma(z - z')$) we can introduce the Bloch Hamiltonian as $H^\sigma(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_z e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} H^\sigma(z)$, for $\mathbf{k}$ in the Brillouin zone $\mathcal{B}$. We have:

$$\hat{H}^\sigma(\mathbf{k}) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} m_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) & -t_1 \Omega^\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \\ -t_1 \Omega^\sigma(\mathbf{k}) & m_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) \end{array} \right)$$

(9)

where $m_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) = W - 2\sigma t_2 \alpha(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sin k_i \cdot \tau_i$, $\alpha(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sin k_i \cdot \tau_i$, $\Omega(\mathbf{k}) = 1 + e^{-ik_1} + e^{-ik_2}$. The corresponding energy bands are

$$E_{\sigma}^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \pm \sqrt{m_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k})^2 + t_1^2 |\Omega(\mathbf{k})|^2}.$$

To make sure that the energy bands do not overlap, we assume that $t_2/t_1 < 1/3$. The two bands can only touch at the Fermi points $k_F^\sigma = \left( \frac{2\pi}{3}, \pm \frac{2\pi}{3}\sqrt{3} \right)$, which are the two zeros of $\Omega(\mathbf{k})$, around which $\Omega(k_1^\pm + k_2^\mp) \approx \frac{1}{2}(ik_1^\pm \pm k_2^\mp)$. The condition that the two bands touch at $k_F^\sigma$, with $\omega = +, -, \sigma$, is that $m_{\omega}^\sigma = 0$, with

$$m_{\omega}^\sigma \equiv m_{\sigma}(k_F^\sigma) = W + \omega \sigma 3\sqrt{3} t_2.$$

Therefore, the unperturbed critical points are given by the values of $W$ such that $W = \pm 3\sqrt{3} t_2$. Choosing the chemical potential $\mu = 0$, which lies halfway between the two energy bands, the condition $W \neq \pm 3\sqrt{3} t_2$ corresponds to the insulating phase, for which the correlations decay exponentially fast. In the insulating phase, the system may or may not be in a topologically nontrivial phase, depending on the value of the Hall conductivity. This quantity is defined starting from Kubo formula, which we use directly in its imaginary time version (see [32] for a discussion of the Wick transformation): $\sigma_{12}^\tau = $

$$\lim_{\beta \to 0} \lim_{\mu \to 0} \frac{1}{P_0} \int_{0}^{\beta} dx_0 \sum_x (1 - e^{-iP_0 x}) \langle \sigma_{1,x}^\tau, j_{2,0}^\sigma \rangle_{\beta,L}.$$

(10)

In the absence of interactions, the Hall conductivity of the Haldane model can be computed explicitly. One finds:

$$\sigma_{12}^\tau = \frac{\nu^\sigma}{2\pi}, \quad \nu^\sigma = \text{sign}(m_{\uparrow}^\sigma) - \text{sign}(m_{\downarrow}^\sigma).$$

(11)

Concerning the Kane-Mele model, its net Hall conductivity $\sigma_{12}^\tau = \sigma_{12}^\uparrow + \sigma_{12}^\downarrow$ vanishes, while the net spin conductivity $\sigma_{12}^\tau = \sigma_{12}^\uparrow - \sigma_{12}^\downarrow$ is nonzero:

$$\sigma_{12}^\tau = \sigma_{12}^\uparrow - \sigma_{12}^\downarrow = \frac{\nu^+}{\pi}.$$

(12)

This is the quantum spin Hall effect. In the spin-symmetric case, the quantization of $\sigma_{12}^\tau$ follows from the quantization of $\sigma_{12}^\sigma$, which is ensured by topological reasons. In the absence of spin symmetry, for instance in the presence of Rashba couplings, one does not expect the spin conductivity to be quantized. Nevertheless, topology survives in the sense that the Hamiltonians are classified by a suitable $Z_2$ invariant [1, 2].

A remarkable feature of topological insulators is the presence of gapless edge modes. Suppose now the system is equipped with cylindric boundary conditions, say periodic in the $\ell_1$ direction and Dirichlet in the $\ell_2$ direction, on the boundaries at $x_2 = 0, x_2 = L$. By translation invariance in the $\ell_1$ direction, we can introduce a partial Bloch transformation of the initial Hamiltonian, $\hat{H}_{\rho\rho'}(k_1; x_2, y_2) = \sum_{z} e^{-iz_1 k_1} H_{\rho\rho'}(z_1; x_2, y_2)$, with $k_1 \in S^1$. By construction, the Hamiltonian is symmetric under the action of the time-reversal operator, $T^* \hat{H}(k_1 T) = \hat{T} \hat{H}(k_1) T = \hat{H}^\sigma(-k_1) + \hat{H}^\sigma(k_1)$. Edge states correspond to solutions of the Shr"odinger equation $\hat{H}(k_1) \xi(k_1) = \epsilon(k_1) \xi(k_1)$ at the Fermi level $\mu$, which are exponentially localized around one of the two edges:

$$|\xi_{x_2}(k_1)| \leq C e^{-C|x_2|}, \quad |\xi_{x_2}(k_1)| \leq C e^{-C|x_2|}. \quad (13)$$

These 1d eigenfunctions of $\hat{H}(k_1)$ correspond to 2d eigenfunctions for the Hamiltonian $H$, of the form $e^{-ik_1 x_2} \xi_{x_2}(k_1)$; they are responsible for the transport of dissipationless edge currents. In the Haldane model the edge eigenfunctions can be found explicitly [34]: each cylinder edge supports either zero or one edge mode. Consequently, the Kane-Mele Hamiltonian $H = \sum_{\sigma=\pm} H^\sigma$ supports either zero or two edge states per edge. Let $\epsilon_{+}, \epsilon_{-}$ be their dispersion relations. By time-reversal symmetry, $\epsilon_{+}(k_1) = \epsilon_{-}(-k_1)$; the model displays two Fermi points $k^+_F, k^-_F$, such that

$$\epsilon_{+}(k_1^+) = \epsilon_{-}(k_1^-) = \mu.$$

Time-reversal symmetry implies that the edge modes are counterpropagating: $v_+ = \partial_{k_1} \epsilon_{+}(k_1^-) = -v_-$.

The edge transport of the system can be investigated by probing the variation of the density or of the current (of charge or of spin) after introducing an external perturbation supported in a strip of width $a$ from the $x_2 = 0$ edge. We shall study these transport phenomena in the linear response regime. In order to define the edge transport coefficients, let us introduce the following notations. Given a local operator $O_x$, we define its partial space-time Fourier transform as $\hat{O}_{p,x} = \int_0^\beta dx_0 \sum_{x_1} e^{-iP_0 x_1} O_x$, with $p = (p_0, p_1)$, $p_0$ the Matsubara frequency, and $x = (x_0, x_1)$. Let $\langle \cdot \rangle_{x} = \lim_{\beta \to \infty, \lambda L \to \infty} \langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta L}$. We
define, for $s,t = c,s$:

$$G^a_{p,s}(\rho) = \sum_{x_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y_0=0}^{\infty} \langle T_{p,x_2} \rho^a_{p,y_0} \rangle_{S,L}.$$  

(14)

$$G^a_{p,p}(\rho) = \sum_{x_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y_0=0}^{\infty} \langle T_{p,x_2} \rho^a_{p,y_0} \rangle_{S,L}.$$  

(15)

$$G^a_{j,j}(\rho) = \sum_{x_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{y_0=0}^{\infty} \langle T_{j,x_2} \rho^a_{j,y_0} \rangle_{S,L} - i \Delta(x_2)$$

where $\Delta(x_2) = \lim_{\beta,L \to \infty} \sum_{\rho} \langle \sigma_{\rho,\rho}^a \rangle_{S,L}$, with $t^a_{\rho,\rho} = \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_{\sigma,\rho}^a H_{\rho,\rho} \phi^a_{\rho,\rho} - \text{h.c.}$. As we shall see later, this function is related to the Schwinger term in Eq. (7). The edge susceptibility is:

$$\sigma^e = \lim_{a \to \infty} \lim_{p \to 0} \lim_{p_1 \to 0} G^{c,s,a}_{p,j}(\rho).$$  

(16)

Instead, the edge susceptibilities and Drude weights, of charge or of spin, are:

$$\kappa^e = \lim_{a \to \infty} \lim_{p \to 0} \lim_{p_1 \to 0} G^{c,s,a}_{p,j}(\rho)$$

$$D^e = - \lim_{a \to \infty} \lim_{p \to 0} \lim_{p_1 \to 0} G^{c,s,a}_{j,j}(\rho).$$  

(17)

As we shall see, due to the lack of continuity at $\rho = (0,0)$ of the expressions in (14), the order of the limits in the above definitions is crucial. It turns out that, in the absence of interactions, the edge transport coefficients can be computed. One has:

$$\sigma^e = 0,$$

$$\sigma^s = \sigma^e_{12},$$

$$\kappa^e = \frac{1}{\pi v_e},$$

$$D^e = \frac{|v_e|}{\pi}.$$  

(18)

The equivalence of the edge spin conductance with the bulk spin conductivity is a manifestation of the bulk-edge correspondence: namely, a duality between the presence of edge modes at the Fermi level with the value of the topological invariant classifying bulk Hamiltonians (acting on infinite lattices, with no edges). For the IQHE [35-38], this duality implies that the sum of the chiralities of the edge states $\sum_{e} \omega_e$, with $\omega_e = \text{sign}(\partial_{k_y} \varepsilon_e(k_F^e))$ equals the Chern number of the Bloch bundle, which fixes the value of the Hall conductivity. For time-reversal invariant systems, instead, $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{e} \omega_e \mod 2$ turns out to be equal to the bulk $Z_2$ invariant [39-41]; in particular, for the spin-conserving Kane-Mele model, this implies that the edge spin conductance equals the bulk spin conductivity. The bulk-edge correspondence has been rigorously established for single-particle Hamiltonians: there is no general argument ensuring its validity for interacting many-body systems. Finally, notice that in contrast to $\sigma^e$, the edge susceptibility $\kappa^e$ and the Drude weight $D^e$ are nonuniversal quantities, depending on the velocity of the edge modes.

The goal of this paper is to understand the effect of many-body interactions of the edge transport coefficients: the natural question we address here is whether some form of universality persists, and in particular if the quantization of $\sigma^e$ holds true.

IV. MAIN RESULT

Here we shall consider the edge transport properties of the Kane-Mele-Hubbard model, $\lambda \neq 0$. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem. Consider the KMH Hamiltonian (4) with cylindrical boundary conditions. Let us choose the chemical potential $\mu$ in the gap of the bulk Hamiltonian. Suppose that the single-particle KM Hamiltonian supports a pair of edge modes, $\varepsilon_+(k_F^e) = \varepsilon_-(k_F^e) = \mu$, and that $v_e \neq 0$. Then, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that, for $|\lambda| < \lambda_0$, the following is true. Let $\omega = \text{sgn}(v_e)$. The edge spin conductance is universal:

$$\sigma^e = -\frac{\omega}{\pi}.$$  

(19)

Moreover, the Drude weights and the susceptibilities satisfy the Helical Luttinger liquid relations:

$$\kappa^e = \frac{K}{\pi v_e}, \quad D^e = \frac{v}{\pi}, \quad \kappa^s = \frac{1}{\pi v K}, \quad D^s = \frac{v}{\pi K}.$$  

(20)

with $K = 1 + O(\lambda) \neq 1$, $v = v_e + O(\lambda) \neq v_e$. Finally, the 2-point function decays with anomalous exponent $\eta = (K + K^{-1} - 2)/2$.

As a corollary, our result combined with the universality of bulk transport, following from the analysis of [32], [33], provides the first rigorous example of bulk-edge correspondence for an interacting time-reversal invariant topological insulator (see [42] for the analogous result for Hall systems). The lack of many-body corrections to the conductance is in agreement with experimental results, [9, 11]. Notice that, in contrast with the conductance, the susceptibilities and the Drude weights are interaction dependent: nevertheless, if combined with the dressed Fermi velocity $v$, they verify a marginal form of universality, in the sense of the validity of the Helical Luttinger liquid relation:

$$\frac{\kappa^e v^2}{D^e} = 1.$$  

(21)

Moreover, the HL parameter $K$ allows to determine the anomalous exponent of the two-point function, via the formula $\eta = (K + K^{-1} - 2)/2$. 
The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section V we introduce a Grassmann integral representation for the transport coefficients. We then integrate out the "bulk degrees of freedom", corresponding to the energy modes far from the Fermi level. As a result, we end up with an effective one-dimensional model, which reminds of the Helical Luttinger model up to some crucial differences: the fermionic fields are defined on a lattice, the interaction involves arbitrarily high monomials in the fields, the energy dispersion relation is nonlinear and Umklapp scattering process is present. Then, in Section VI we study this lattice QFT via exact RG, which allows to represent the transport coefficients in terms of renormalized, convergent series. Such expansions can be reorganized by isolating the contributions corresponding to an emergent, effective chiral QFT theory with suitably fine tuned bare parameters, from a remainder term, that depends on all lattice details. The advantage of this rewriting is that the current-current correlation functions of the emergent QFT can be exactly computed, see Section VII, thanks to the validity of extra chiral Ward identities. This allows to compute the edge transport coefficients of the KMH model, up to finite multiplicative and additive renormalizations, dependent on all microscopic details of the model. The values of these renormalizations are, however, severely constrained from one side by the validity of the Adler-Bardeen anomaly nonrenormalization property of the emergent chiral theory, and from the other side by the lattice WIs of the KMH model. As we show in Section VIII, these facts imply nonperturbative relations among all finite renormalizations, from which our theorem follows.

V. REDUCTION TO AN EFFECTIVE 1D THEORY

For simplicity, we shall directly consider the case \( L = \infty \), which corresponds to having just one edge. It is useful to switch to a functional integral representation of the correlation functions of the lattice model. We define the generating functional of the correlations as:

\[
\mathcal{W}(\Lambda) = \int P(d\Psi) e^{-V(\Phi) + B(\Phi; A)}
\]

(22)

where: \( \Psi_{x, \sigma, \rho} \) are Grassmann variables, labelled by \( x = (x_0, \vec{x}) \in [0, \beta] \times \Lambda_1 \), \( \sigma = \pm, \rho = A, B \); \( P(d\Psi) \) is a Gaussian Grassmann integrand with propagator given by the noninteracting Euclidean two-point function

\[
g_{\sigma, \sigma'}(x, y) = \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e^{-ik(x-y)}}{-ik_0 + \hat{H}(k_1) - \mu}
\]

(23)

where \( k = (k_0, k_1) \) with \( k_0 \) the fermionic Matsubara frequency and \( k_1 \) the quasi-momentum associated to the translation invariant direction \( \vec{\ell}_1 \). The Grassmann counterpart of the many-body interaction is:

\[
V(\Phi) = \lambda \sum_{\rho, \rho' \atop \sigma, \sigma'} \int dx dy n_{x, \rho, \sigma} n_{y, \rho', \sigma'} v_{\rho, \rho'}(x, y) \delta(x_0 - y_0)
\]

where \( \int dx = \beta^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{\beta} dx_0 \sum_{\vec{x}} \) and \( n_{x, \rho, \sigma} \) the Grassmann counterpart of the density operator. Finally, \( B(\Phi; A) \) is a source term, of the form:

\[
B(\Phi; A) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} \int dx A_{\mu, x}^\dagger J_{\mu, x}^\nu
\]

(24)

with \( J_{\mu, x}^\nu \) the Grassmann counterpart of \( \delta_{\mu, x}^\nu \).

We now use the addition principle of Grassmann variables to write \( \Phi = \Phi^{(e)} + \Phi^{(b)} \), with \( \Phi^{(e)}, \Phi^{(b)} \) independent Grassmann variables, with propagators \( g^{(edge)} \), \( g^{(bulk)} \), where \( g^{(e)} \) takes into account the energy modes close enough to the Fermi level. That is: \( g^{(e)}(x, y) = \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-ik(x-y)} - ik_0 + \epsilon_{\sigma}(k_1) - \mu \),

\[
P_{\mu, k_1}^\sigma(x_2; y_2),
\]

(25)

with: \( P_{\mu, k_1}^\sigma = |\xi^\sigma| \langle \xi^\sigma |, \) with \( \xi^\sigma \) the edge mode of \( \hat{H}^{(e)}(k_1) \), with energy \( \epsilon_{\sigma} \), and \( \chi_{\sigma}(k_1) \equiv \chi(k_1 - k\hat{e}_1) \leq \delta \) is a compactly supported cutoff function. By construction, the propagator \( g^{(bulk)} \) is gapped; it only depends on the energy modes that are at a distance at least \( \delta \) from the Fermi level. Thus, \( |g^{(bulk)}(x, y)| \leq C e^{-c|x-y|} \). Instead, due to the fact that, for \( k_1 = k_1^1 + k_2^1 \) and \( k_1^1 \) small

\[
\epsilon_{\sigma}(k_1^1 + k_2^1) - \mu = \sigma v_4 k_4^1 + O(k_2^1),
\]

(26)

the edge propagator in Eq. (25) only decays as \(|x - y|^{-1} e^{-c(|x_2| + |y_2|)}\).

The field \( \Phi^{(b)} \) can be integrated out, expanding the integrand of (22) in the coupling \( \lambda \) and using the exponential decay of the bulk propagator together with fermionic cluster expansion techniques [43]. We then get:

\[
e_{\mathcal{W}(A)} = e^{\mathcal{W}(b)(A)} \int P_{\mu}(d\Psi^{(e)}) e^{-V^{(e)}(\Psi^{(e)}) + B^{(e)}(\Psi^{(e)}; A)}
\]

(27)

where the new effective interaction \( V^{(e)}(\Psi^{(e)}) \) is a sum over monomials \( P \) in the fields \( \Psi^{(e)} \) of any order \( |P| = n \), with kernels \( W_{P}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), exponentially decaying in \(|x_i - x_j|\) for \( i \neq j \). Graphically, a given kernel can be represented as a sum of Feynman diagrams with \(|P|\) external lines, corresponding to the edge fields, and an arbitrary number of quartic vertices connected by the bulk propagators. This expansion turns out to be convergent for small \( \lambda \), thanks to determinant bounds for fermionic field theories, combined with the good decay properties of the bulk propagators. The new effective source term \( B^{(e)} \) admits a similar representation, where now external lines corresponding to the \( A \) fields are present as well.

Due to the special form of the edge propagator, given by Eq. (25), we now notice that the edge field can be
represented as the convolution of a truly one-dimensional field with the edge modes eigenfunctions. That is:
\[
\int P_e(d\Psi) e^{-V(\Psi)}(\Psi(\xi)) + B(\Psi; A) \]

\[
= \int P_{1d}(d\psi)e^{-V(\psi)}(\psi(\xi)) + B(\Psi; A),
\]
(28)

where: \( P_{1d} \) is a Grassmann Gaussian integration for a one-dimensional field \( \psi_{\xi,\sigma} \), with propagator given by, in momentum space:
\[
\tilde{g}_{\sigma,\sigma}(k) = \delta_{\sigma,\sigma} - i k_0 + \varepsilon_\sigma(k_1) - \mu_0
\]
(29)

where now \( \chi_\sigma(k_1) = \chi(|k - k_F^\sigma|) \leq \delta, \) and \( \mu - \mu_0 = \nu_0, \)
with \( \nu_0 = O(\lambda) \) a counterterm, that is chosen so to fix the value of the interacting chemical potential; and
\[
(\psi^+ \ast \chi)_{x,\rho} = \sum_{y_i} \psi^+_{(x_0,y_1),\sigma} \xi_{x,\rho} = \sum_{y_i} (x_1 - y_i; \rho)
\]
(30)

where \( \xi_{x,\rho} \) is the Fourier transform of \( \chi_{\sigma,\rho}(k_1) \). This representation of the edge field allows to decouple the \( x_2 \) variables from the remaining \( x_0, x_1 \) variables in the effective interaction. Summing over \( x_2 \) (recalling the exponential decay of the edge modes), one finally gets:
\[
e^{W(A)} = e^{W^{(0)}(A)} \int P_0(d\psi) e^{-V^{(0)}(\psi)} + \mathcal{B}^{(0)}(\psi; A)
\]
(31)

where \( P_0 \equiv P_{1d} \) and for suitable new effective interaction and source terms, that can be again expressed as sums over monomials of arbitrary order in the 1d fields \( \psi \). One has:
\[
V^{(0)}(\psi) = \int dx \left[ \lambda_0 \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ + \sum_{\sigma} \nu_0 \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \right] + \mathcal{R}V^{(0)}(\psi)
\]
(32)

where the new coupling constant is \( \lambda_0 = \lambda \sum_{x_0,\rho,\rho'} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\rho,\rho'}(0; x_0, y_2) \epsilon_{\rho,\rho'}(k_F; \rho) \xi_{x_2}(k_F; \rho)
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} \psi_{x_2}(k_F; \rho) \xi_{x_2}(k_F; \rho) + O(\lambda^2) ,
\]
(33)

and \( \mathcal{R}V^{(0)} \) collects all the higher order terms, together with nonlocal terms. All these contributions turn out to be irrelevant in the RG sense. Similarly,
\[
\mathcal{B}^{(0)}(\psi; A) = \sum_{\mu,\xi} \int dx Z_{\mu,\xi}(x_2) A_{\mu,\xi,\rho} \psi_{x_2,\sigma}^+ + \mathcal{R}B^{(0)}(\psi; A)
\]
(34)

where: \( Z_{\mu,\xi}(x_2) \) is such that \( |Z_{\mu,\xi}(x_2)| \leq C e^{-\alpha x_2} \), and it is analytic in \( \lambda \); and
\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{x,\sigma}^+ = \sum_{\sigma} \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ , & & n_{0,\xi} = \sum_{\sigma} \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ ,
\psi_{x,\sigma}^+ = \sum_{\sigma} \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ , & & n_{0,\xi} = \sum_{\sigma} \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ ,
\end{align*}
\]
(35)

Let us give a quick proof of Eqs. (34), (35). After the integration of \( \Psi^{(b)} \) and the reduction to a 1d theory, the effective source term has the form, in momentum space:
\[
\mathcal{B}^{(0)}(\psi; A) = \sum_{\mu,\xi,\sigma} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{dp}{12\pi^2} A_{\mu,\xi,\rho} \psi_{k,\rho}^+ \psi_{k,\rho}^+ \psi_{k,\rho}^+ + O(A^2)
\]
(36)

for suitable kernels \( \tilde{W}_{\mu,\xi,\sigma} \). The higher orders in \( A \) turn out to be irrelevant in the RG sense. Let us localize the kernel, by writing \( \tilde{W}_{\mu,\xi,\sigma}(p, k; x_2) = \tilde{W}_{\mu,\xi,\sigma}(p, k_F; x_2) + \mathcal{R}W_{\mu,\xi,\sigma}, \)
where the \( \mathcal{R} \) error terms are irrelevant. The effective 1d model is invariant under time-reversal symmetry (recall that \( \tilde{\xi}(k_1) = \tilde{\xi}^*(k_1) \), and that \( \tilde{H}^\sigma(k_1) = \tilde{H}^\sigma(-k_1) \):
\[
\tilde{A}_{\mu,\xi,\rho} \rightarrow \gamma_\gamma \gamma \tilde{A}_{\mu,\xi,\rho} , \quad \tilde{\psi}_{k,\rho}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}_{k,\rho}^+ , \quad c \rightarrow -c ,
\]
(37)

with \( c \) a generic constant in the action, \( \gamma_c = 1 = -\gamma_\gamma \) and \( \gamma_0 = 1 = -\gamma_1 \). This symmetry implies that \( \tilde{W}_{\mu,\xi,\sigma}(p, k_F; x_2) = \gamma_\gamma \gamma \tilde{W}_{\mu,\xi,\sigma}(p, k_F; x_2) \)
(Also, the model is invariant under complex conjugation:
\[
\tilde{A}_{\mu,\xi,\rho} \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{\mu,\xi,\rho}^*, \quad \tilde{\psi}_{k,\rho}^+ \rightarrow -\tilde{\psi}_{k,\rho}^* , \quad c \rightarrow c ,
\]
(38)

VI. MULTISCALE ANALYSIS OF THE EDGE MODES

Due to the absence of a mass gap, the field \( \psi \) cannot be integrated in a single step. Instead, we proceed in a multiscale fashion, exploiting a renormalization procedure at every step. We rewrite the \( \psi \) field in terms of single-scale quasi-particle fields, as follows:
\[
\psi_{x,\sigma}^\pm = e^{\pm ik_F^\sigma x_1} \sum_{h=0}^\infty \frac{\psi_{h,\sigma}}{h}.
\]
(39)

where each field varies on a scale \( 2^{-h} \), with \( h \leq 0 \). The last scale \( h_\beta \) is fixed by the inverse temperature, \( h_\beta \sim |\log_2 \beta| \). The covariance of the fields is defined inductively. We integrate the fields in an iterative fashion. From a RG point of view, the \( \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \) terms are relevant, while the \( \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \partial_\mu \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \psi_{x,\sigma}^+ \) terms are marginal.
After the integration of the scales \( h + 1, \ldots, 0 \), we obtain the following representation of the generating functional: 
\[
e^{-W(A)} = e^{W(b)(A)} \int P_h(d\varphi^{(b)\xi(h)}) e^{-V^{(b)}(\sqrt{Z^h} \psi) + B^{(b)}(\psi; A)},
\]
where the new Gaussian Grassmann integration has propagator:
\[
g^{(b)}_{\sigma, \sigma'}(x, y) = \frac{\delta_{\sigma, \sigma'}}{Z_h} \int \frac{dk'}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-ik_\perp (x-y)} \chi_h(k') (1 + r_h(k'))
\]
with: \( \chi_h \) a smooth cutoff function supported for \( |k'| \leq 2^{h+1} \); \( r_h \) an error term, \( |r_h(k')| \leq C |k'| ; Z_h, v_h \) the wave function renormalization and the effective Fermi velocity, whose RG flow, as functions of \( h \), is controlled via a highly nontrivial cancellation in the current-current correlation, uniformly in \( p \). In order to improve on this, we need to exploit cancellations in the renormalized expansion, following from the emergent chiral symmetry of the theory.

VII. EMERGENT CHIRAL QFT

In this section we introduce an emergent effective chiral QFT theory, defined by the generating functional: 
\[
e^{W^\chi(A)} = \int P_N(d\psi) e^{-\chi^N Z^N^2} dx dy \psi(x-y) \psi(x+y) + B(\psi; A)
\]
where \( P_N(d\psi) \) is a Gaussian Grassmann measure with propagator:
\[
g^{\chi}_{\sigma, \sigma'}(x, y) = \frac{\delta_{\sigma, \sigma'}}{Z_N} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-ik(\xi-x)} \chi_N(k) \frac{-ik_\perp + \sigma v_ch_1}{-ik_0 + \sigma v_ch_1} ;
\]
\( \chi_N \) is an ultraviolet cutoff, supported for \( |k| \leq 2^{N+1} \), for \( N \gg 1 \) (to be sent to infinity at the end). The source term is \( B(\psi, A) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \int dx \bar{\psi}(x) D^2_{\mu, \sigma} \psi(x) \). The interaction potential \( v(x-y) \) is nonlocal and short-ranged. The presence of the UV cut-off is crucial to give a nonperturbative meaning to Eq. (44). Its final removal is done through an ultraviolet multiscale analysis, in which the nonlocal, short-range nature of the interaction plays an essential role [30]. The infrared regime of this QFT can be studied as for the lattice model. Let us denote by \( \chi^N, Z^N, v_N \) the running coupling constants of the emergent chiral model. \( \langle \cdot \rangle^\chi \)

The bare parameters \( Z^\chi, v^\chi, \lambda^\chi, Z^\chi_0 \) will be chosen in such a way that the running coupling constants of lattice and chiral theory converge to the same limit as \( h \rightarrow -\infty \). This fact, together with the convergence of the renormalized expansions for both models, implies that the correlations of the KMH model can be written in terms of the correlations of the emergent chiral model, up to finite multiplicative and additive renormalizations, depending on all microscopic details of the KMH model:

\[
\langle T^{j_{\mu, \sigma}(x_1)j^\dagger_{\sigma'}(x_2)} \rangle^\chi = \langle T^{j_{\mu, \sigma}(x_1)j^\dagger_{\sigma'}(x_2), \lambda^\chi, Z^\chi_0}(x_1)j^\dagger_{\sigma'}(x_2) \rangle^\chi + \hat{H}^{\chi}_{\mu, \sigma}(p, x_1, y_2)
\]
where \( \langle \cdot \rangle^\chi \) denotes the correlations of the emergent chiral model, and \( \hat{H}^{\chi}_{\mu, \sigma}(p; x_1, y_2) \) is an error term, continuous in \( p \), in contrast to the first term in the r.h.s. of
(46). The improved regularity of this contribution is due to the fact that it involves irrelevant terms in the RG sense, which all come with a dimensional gain: in configuration space, such term decays as, for large distances, \( e^{-\epsilon |x_2 - y_2| (1 + \frac{|x_2 - y_2|^2}{\theta})} \) for some \( \theta > 0 \). Thus, even though this term disappears pointwise in the scaling limit of the correlations, it gives a finite contribution to the Fourier transform of the lattice correlations. Concerning the multiplicative renormalizations, they verify the bound \( |Z^T_{\mu} (x_2)| \leq C e^{-\epsilon |x_2|} \), as a consequence of the exponential decay of the edge states.

Similarly, up to subleading terms for small external momenta:

\[
\langle T \phi_{\bar{z}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \rangle = (47)
\]

for some functions \( Q^\sigma \), such that \( Q^\sigma = (1 + O(\lambda)) \mathcal{E}^\sigma \), which satisfy the exponential bound \( |Q^\sigma| \leq C e^{-|x_2|} \). Moreover, up to subleading terms in the external momenta:

\[
\langle T \phi_{\bar{z}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \phi_{\bar{x}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \rangle = (48)
\]

The advantage of comparing the lattice correlations with those of the emergent model is that the latter can be computed in a closed form, thanks to chiral Ward identities, following from \( U(1) \) chiral gauge symmetry. Notice that this symmetry is only approximate, due to the presence of the ultraviolet cutoff. As a result, the UV regularization produces extra terms in the Ward identities of the emergent chiral theory, which do not vanish as \( N \to \infty \), but rather produce anomalies breaking the conservation of the chiral current: see Fig. 2b). In the figure, the white circle corresponds to the insertion of a correction vertex \( C_\sigma (p, k) = (x_i^1(k) - 1)D_\sigma (k) - (x_i^1(k + p) - 1)D_\sigma (k + p) \), with \( D_\sigma (p) = -i p_0 + \sigma v^\chi p_1 \). For \( p \equiv \mathcal{O}(1) \), this vertex insertion fixes the momentum of the incoming and outgoing fermionic lines on the scale of the ultraviolet cutoff. In the figure, we isolated the terms where the fermionic lines incident to the correction vertex meet at the same point; instead, the last term in the right-hand side of Fig. 2b) collects all contributions corresponding to where the lines meet at different points. It turns out that this last term vanishes as \( N \to \infty \), thanks to the nonlocality of the interaction, and to the support properties of the correction vertex. See \([30, 44]\) for a detailed proof of this statement, in a similar case.

Setting \( D_\sigma (p) = -i p_0 + \sigma v^\chi p_1 \), we have:

\[
D_\sigma (p) \langle \phi_{\bar{z}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \phi_{\bar{x}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \rangle = -\frac{D_\sigma (p)}{4\pi |v^\chi| Z^\chi} \langle (\bar{\chi}_\sigma - \chi_\sigma) \rangle \tag{49}
\]

\[
\langle \phi_{\bar{z}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \phi_{\bar{x}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \rangle = \frac{D_\sigma (p)}{4\pi |v^\chi| Z^\chi} \langle (\bar{\chi}_\sigma - \chi_\sigma) \rangle \tag{50}
\]

FIG. 2: a) Graphical representation of Eq. (46). “\( \chi \)” denotes the contributions due to the emergent chiral model. The full dots correspond to the vertex renormalizations, associated to the factors \( Z^T_{\mu} \) in Eq. (46). b) Graphical representation of the first WI in Eq. (49), for a finite UV cutoff \( N \). The small white circle denotes a correction vertex, corresponding to the insertion of \( C_\sigma (p, k) \). The empty bubble is a non-interacting diagram, whose \( N \to \infty \) value is \(-\frac{1}{4\pi |v^\chi| Z^\chi} D_\sigma (p) \).

The linearity of the anomaly in the bare coupling constant is a highly nontrivial fact, known as Adler-Bardeen anomaly non-renormalization. The explicit value of the anomaly can be used to determine the critical exponents of the emergent chiral model. For instance, the anomalous exponent of the two-point Schwinger function is \( \eta = K + K^{-1} - 2 \) with \( K = \frac{1}{1+\tau} \).

Thus, supposing that \( \bar{\sigma}(0) = 1 \), we have, up to subleading terms in \( p \):

\[
\langle \phi_{\bar{z}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \phi_{\bar{x}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \rangle = -\frac{1}{4\pi |v^\chi| Z^\chi} \langle (\bar{\chi}_\sigma - \chi_\sigma) \rangle \tag{49}
\]

\[
\langle \phi_{\bar{z}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \phi_{\bar{x}, x_2, \rho, \sigma} \rangle = -\frac{1}{4\pi |v^\chi| Z^\chi} \langle (\bar{\chi}_\sigma - \chi_\sigma) \rangle \tag{50}
\]

These expressions can be plugged in the representation for the lattice current-current correlation function, (46). All we are left to do is to determine the unknown multiplicative and additive renormalizations.

VIII. UNIVERSALITY

In order to fix the values of the finite multiplicative and additive renormalizations we use again Ward identities, this time for the lattice model. These identities introduce nonperturbative relations between the renormalization coefficients, which, as we shall see, imply a dramatic cancellation in the final expression of the edge transport coefficients. To begin, it is convenient to rewrite the Schwinger term of the lattice WI (7) in the following
more explicit way:

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \tilde{J}_{0,x}^z, \tilde{J}_{1,y}^z \rangle &= (\delta_{x,y} - \delta_{x,\bar{y}} + \i \eta) \langle \tilde{\phi}_{g,\bar{y}}^0 \tilde{\phi}_{g,y}^1 + \i \phi_{g,\bar{y}} \phi_{g,y} \rangle \\
\langle \tilde{J}_{0,x}^y, \tilde{J}_{1,y}^z \rangle &= (\delta_{x,y} - \delta_{x,\bar{y}} + \i \eta) \langle \tilde{\phi}_{g,\bar{y}}^1 \tilde{\phi}_{g,y}^0 + \i \phi_{g,\bar{y}} \phi_{g,y} \rangle \\
\langle \tilde{J}_{0,x}^y, \tilde{J}_{0,y}^z \rangle &= (\delta_{x,y} - \delta_{x,\bar{y}} - \i \eta) \langle \tilde{\phi}_{g,\bar{y}}^0 \tilde{\phi}_{g,y}^0 + \i \phi_{g,\bar{y}} \phi_{g,y} \rangle \\
\langle \tilde{J}_{0,x}^z, \tilde{J}_{0,y}^z \rangle &= (\delta_{x,y} - \delta_{x,\bar{y}} - \i \eta) \langle \tilde{\phi}_{g,\bar{y}}^1 \tilde{\phi}_{g,y}^1 + \i \phi_{g,\bar{y}} \phi_{g,y} \rangle \\
\end{align*}
\]

(51)

with \( t_{\bar{x},\bar{y}}^z \) defined after (14). Summing up (7) over \( y_2 \) one gets:

\[
\begin{align*}
d_{y_1} \sum_{y_2} \langle \tilde{J}_{0,x}^z, \tilde{J}_{1,y}^z \rangle &= d_{y_1} \sum_{y_2} \langle \tilde{J}_{0,x}^z, \tilde{J}_{1,y}^z \rangle \\
&= \i \delta(x_0 - y_0) (\delta_{x,1} - \delta_{x,1 + 1}) \Delta(x_2) \\
&\text{(52)}
\end{align*}
\]

To get these relations, we crucially used that \( \sum_{y_2} d_{y_2} (\cdots) = 0 \), which is implied by the Dirichlet boundary conditions. By going into Fourier space, we can use the relations (52) to prove identities for the edge transport coefficients:

\[
\begin{align*}
-\i p \eta \Gamma^{p,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p) + p_1 \eta (p_1) \Gamma^{p,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p) &= 0 \\
-\i p \eta \Gamma^{p,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p) + p_1 \eta (p_1) \Gamma^{p,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p) &= 0 ,
\end{align*}
\]

(53)

with \( \eta (p_1) = p_1 + O(p_1^2) \) the Fourier symbol associated to the lattice derivative \( d_{y_1} \). Eqs. (53) can be used to determine the \( p \to 0 \) limit of the additive renormalization \( \sum_{y_2 = 0} \sum_{y_3 = 0} \tilde{\rho}_{\rho,\sigma} (p; x_2, y_3) \) (which exists by continuity in \( p \)). For instance, consider the edge charge conductance, \( G^{\rho,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p) \). We can rewrite the second of Eq. (53) as \( G^{\rho,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p) = (i \eta / p_1 \eta (p_1) \Gamma^{p,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p)) \); thus, this relation implies that \( \lim_{p \to 0} \lim_{p_1 \to 0} G^{\rho,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p) = 0 \). This identity together with the representation (46) of the current-current correlation function allows to compute the \( p \to 0 \) limit of \( \sum_{y_2 = 0} \sum_{y_3 = 0} \tilde{\rho}_{\rho,\sigma} (p; x_2, y_3) \) in terms of the other unknown renormalized parameters. A similar strategy can be followed for the other transport coefficients.

For simplicity, let us drop the \( \chi \) label, and let us set \( Z_0^z = \sum_{z_2} Z_{0}^z \chi (z_2) \). The above mentioned strategy allows to compute, up to subleading terms in \( p \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{a \to \infty} G^{\rho,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p) &= - \frac{Z_0^z Z_{1}^z}{Z^2(1 - \tau^2)} \frac{1}{\pi |v|} \frac{p_0}{v^2 p_1^2} \\
\lim_{a \to \infty} G^{\rho,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p) &= - \frac{Z_0^z Z_{1}^z}{Z^2(1 - \tau^2)} \frac{1}{\pi |v|} \frac{p_0}{v^2 p_1^2} \\
\lim_{a \to \infty} G^{\rho,\lambda}_{\rho,\sigma} (p) &= - \frac{Z_0^z Z_{1}^z}{Z^2(1 - \tau^2)} \frac{1}{\pi |v|} \frac{p_0}{v^2 p_1^2} ,
\end{align*}
\]

(54)

It remains to determine the multiplicative renormalization in Eqs. (54). This is done by comparing the vertex WFs of lattice and emergent models. From Eq. (8) we have, setting \( \eta_0 (p_1) = -i \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\mu = 0}^1 \eta_\mu (p_1) \sum_{x_2} \langle \tilde{T}^\mu_{x_2,\mu} \tilde{\phi}_{x_2,\sigma} \tilde{\phi}_{x_2,\sigma}^+ \rangle_{\beta,\lambda} &= (55) \\
\eta_\mu \langle \tilde{T} \tilde{\phi}_{x_2,\sigma} \tilde{\phi}_{x_2,\sigma}^+ \rangle_{\beta,\lambda} - \langle \tilde{T} \tilde{\phi}_{x_2,\sigma} \tilde{\phi}_{x_2,\sigma}^+ \rangle_{\beta,\lambda} &= \sigma_\mu \langle \tilde{\phi}_{x_2,\sigma} \tilde{\phi}_{x_2,\sigma}^+ \rangle_{\beta,\lambda}
\end{align*}
\]

with \( \sigma_\mu = 1 \) and \( \sigma_\mu = \sigma \). On the other hand, the WFs for the emergent chiral model are:

\[
\begin{align*}
-\i p \eta_0 \langle n_{x_2}^{\mu} \tilde{\psi}_{x_2,\sigma} \tilde{\psi}_{x_2,\sigma}^+ \rangle_{\beta,\lambda} + p_1 \nu \langle n_{x_2}^{\mu} \tilde{\psi}_{x_2,\sigma} \tilde{\psi}_{x_2,\sigma}^+ \rangle_{\beta,\lambda} &= \frac{\sigma_\mu}{Z(1 - \eta_\mu \tau)} \left( \langle \tilde{\psi}_{x_2,\sigma} \tilde{\psi}_{x_2,\sigma}^+ \rangle_{\beta,\lambda} - \langle \tilde{\psi}_{x_2,\sigma} \tilde{\psi}_{x_2,\sigma}^+ \rangle_{\beta,\lambda} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(56)

Remarkably, Eq. (57) provides a link between the emergent chiral anomaly and the finite lattice renormalizations. We can now use Eq. (57) to simplify the expressions in Eqs. (54). Setting \( K^z = K, \ K^z = K^{-1} \), we get:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{Z_0^z}{Z^2(1 - \tau^2)} &= K^z , \\
\frac{Z_0^z}{Z^2(1 - \tau^2)} &= \frac{1}{K^z} \\
\frac{Z_0^z}{Z^2(1 - \tau^2)} &= K^z v , \\
\frac{Z_0^z}{Z^2(1 - \tau^2)} &= \frac{1}{K^z v} .
\end{align*}
\]

(58)

The second relation implies the quantization of \( \sigma^z \) (for \( \lambda \) small, \( \text{sgn}(v) \) is independent of \( \lambda \)). The last two imply the nonuniversality of \( D^z, v^z \), and the Helical Luttinger liquid relation \( D^z = v^z \kappa^z \).

\section{Conclusions}

We have established, for the first time, the exact quantization of the edge spin conductance for the spin conserving Kane-Mele-Hubbard model. As a corollary, our result gives an example of bulk-edge correspondence for nonsolvable, interacting time-reversal invariant system. In addition, we proved a marginal form of universality for the susceptibilities and the Drude weights, showing the validity of the Helical Luttinger liquid scaling relations for the KMH model. Our strategy is based on an exact RG construction of the lattice model, and on the combination of lattice Ward identities, following from lattice conservation laws, with relativistic Ward identities,
following from the emergent chiral gauge symmetry of the system. Even though they break the integrability of the interacting system, lattice effects and bulk degrees of freedom play a crucial role for universality.

As an open problem, it would be interesting to include spin nonconserving terms in the Hamiltonian, and to quantify the possible breaking of universality of the edge spin conductance.
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