A Multi-Omics Analysis of Bone Morphogenetic Protein 5 (BMP5) mRNA Expression and Clinical Prognostic Outcomes in Different Cancers Using Bioinformatics Approaches
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Abstract: Cumulative studies have provided controversial evidence for the prognostic values of bone morphogenetic protein 5 (BMP5) in different types of cancers such as colon, breast, lung, bladder, and ovarian cancer. To address the inconsistent correlation of BMP5 expression with patient survival and molecular function of BMP5 in relation to cancer progression, we performed a systematic study to determine whether BMP5 could be used as a prognostic marker in human cancers. BMP5 expression and prognostic values were assessed using different bioinformatics tools such as ONCOMINE, GENT, TCGA, GEPIA, UALCAN, PrognoScan, PROGgene V2 server, and Kaplan–Meier Plotter. In addition, we used cBioPortal database for the identification and analysis of BMP5 mutations, copy number alterations, altered expression, and protein–protein interaction (PPI). We found that BMP5 is frequently down-regulated in our queried cancer types. Use of prognostic analysis showed negative association of BMP5 down-regulation with four types of cancer except for ovarian cancer. The highest mutation was found in the R321*/Q amino acid of BMP5 corresponding to colorectal and breast cancer whereas the alteration frequency was higher in lung squamous carcinoma datasets (>4%). In PPI analysis, we found 31 protein partners of BMP5, among which 11 showed significant co-expression (p-value < 0.001, log odds ratio > 1). Pathway analysis of differentially co-expressed genes with BMP5 in breast, lung, colon, bladder and ovarian cancers revealed the BMP5-correlated pathways. Collectively, this data-driven study demonstrates the correlation of BMP5 expression with patient survival and identifies the involvement of BMP5 pathways that may serve as targets of a novel biomarker for various types of cancers in human.
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1. Introduction

Cancer is one of the leading causes of premature death [1,2]. According to the Cancer Statistics for 2019, the number of new cancer cases yearly in the United States alone for all sites combined was 1,762,450 with an estimated death totaled 606,880 [3]. Furthermore, the number of cancer patient is increasing due to population and aging [2]. One of the most dominant causes of oncogenesis is the accumulation of gene alterations which has a positive correlation to the prognosis of cancer patients. Cancer can be detected early by using diagnostic cancer markers that identify differentially expressed genes associated with the survival of cancer patients. Moreover, these diagnostic biomarkers can be exploited as therapeutic agents by successfully understanding their mechanism of alteration.

Bone morphogenetic protein 5 (BMP5) is a member of the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) superfamily of signaling cytokines [4], which is located on the chromosome 2 in mice chromosome 20 in humans [5]. It acts through either autocrine or paracrine mechanism by binding to cell surface receptors and initiate a sequence of downstream events that have effects on various cell types. BMP5 is known for their ability to induce bone and cartilage development, differentiate osteoprogenitor mesenchymal cells and up-regulation of osteoblastic features by their direct and indirect influence over cytokines and growth factors [6]. Mutation in BMP5 is associated with a wide range of skeletal defects such as reduction in the long bone width and the size of the vertebral processes as well as the reduction of lower body mass [7,8]. It has been reported that BMP, together with its subtype BMP5, is involved in the initiation of several types of cancers such as colon, lung, breast, bladder, and ovarian cancer [9–16]. Previous studies on colorectal cancer showed a significant correlation between BMP5 down-expression and mutation and the prognostic value of colorectal cancer (CRC), triggering the initiation and development of tumors [12,14,15]. One study reported that 13 cases of BMP5 mutation across seven cancers where gastrointestinal cancers (GICs) were the most influenced by recurrent hotspot mutations [13]. Likewise down-regulation of BMP5 has also been reported in other types of cancer such as adrenocortical carcinoma [9]. In contrast, the over-expression of BMP5 was observed in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) [13,17], and breast cancer [18,19]. However, later studies showed a positive correlation of BMP5 down-regulation with lower relapse-free survival in breast cancer patients and can be used as a therapeutic strategy combined with TGFβ1 to reduce cellular proliferation [20,21]. Down-regulation of BMP5 has also been reported in 6 out of 10 lung squamous cell carcinomas (LUSC) [22]. These findings suggest that BMP5 has an essential role in various cancer progressions.

To study the expression and evaluation of BMP5 as a potential prognostic value for the treatment of various cancers, we systematically analyzed the BMP5 expression and its clinical outcomes in certain cancers. Numerous expression and patient survival datasets were used, which are available on various recognized online platforms. We also investigated the genes co-altered with BMP5 in relation to the five cancer types with high BMP5 expression. Therefore, these systemic analyses eventually determine whether BMP5 expression can be used as a biomarker for the prognosis of human cancer.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Analysis of mRNA Expression Levels in Different Cancers

Analysis of mRNA expression was carried our using ONCOMINE (https://www.ONCOMINE.org/resource/login.html) [23,24]. The threshold for analyzing this gene was as follows; p-value: $1 \times 10^{-4}$, fold change: 2, gene ranking: 10%; analysis type: cancer vs. normal, and data type: mRNA. The parameters were kept the same for all cancer types.

2.2. Exploration of Gene Expression Pattern in Different Cancers

Gene expression across normal and tumor tissue (GENT) (http://gent2.apex.kr/gent2/) is a web-accessible resource where gene expression patterns between diverse human cancer and normal tissues are explored [25]. To obtain differential expression patterns of BMP5 in normal vs. cancer tissues, we used a set of default parameter on data sets, samples, and probes.
2.3. Extensive Analysis of Gene Expression Data

UALCAN (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu) is a publicly available web tool to perform in-depth analyses of TCGA gene expression data [26]. It can analyze gene expression, promoter methylation, correlation, and prognosis. Using UALCAN database, we analyzed the expression pattern of BMP5 mRNA.

2.4. Exploration of RNA Sequence Expression in Different Cancer

The gene expression profiling interactive analysis (GEPIA) is another interactive online platform that can explore RNA sequence expression between normal and cancer samples from TCGA and GTEx project [27]. We utilized GEPIA (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn) for differential expression analysis of BMP5 in tumor vs normal tissue from various cancers. It can also perform other functional analysis, including patient survival and correlation analyses. KM-Express (http://ec2-52-201-246-161.compute-1.amazonaws.com/kmexpress/index.php) a platform known for identification and functional characterization of novel RNA biomarkers and targets in cancer survival and gene expression was used as well for this study [28].

2.5. Determination of Potential Cancer Biomarkers

PrognoScan (http://dna00.bio.kyutech.ac.jp/PrognoScan/) is an online resource that was used to determine potential tumor biomarkers and therapeutic targets [29]. This platform has an extensive collection of cancer microarray datasets to assess the relationship between gene expression and patient prognosis. The parameter was adjusted to a Cox p < 0.05.

2.6. Survival Analysis by PROGgene V2 Database

PROGgeneV2 (http://watson.compbio.iupui.edu/chirayu/proggene/database/?url=progge) is a web-based platform that used to study prognostic implications of genes in different types cancer [30]. We applied PROGgeneV2 to perform survival analysis on BMP5 as a signature in various human cancers. The threshold was p < 0.05.

2.7. Identification of Expression, Mutation, and Functional Protein Partners

The cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://cbioportal.org) is a widely used web platform for exploring, visualizing, and analyzing multidimensional cancer genomics datasets [31,32]. It contains various types of data, including mRNA expression, DNA copy number, DNA methylation, non-synonymous mutations, and limited de-identified clinical data. cBioPortal was applied to explore expression, mutations, and CNAs of BMP5 with defined parameter settings in this study. Besides, the OncoPrint sub-tool of cBioPortal was also utilized to analyze the integrated status of mutations and CNAs for BMP5 and their functional protein partners.

2.8. Analysis of Protein–Protein Interaction

We used both the GeneMANIA and STRING servers for the protein–protein interaction analysis. Herein, GeneMANIA (https://genemania.org/) is an online interface used for generating hypotheses about gene function, analyzing gene lists and prioritizing genes for functional assays [33]. It is an extensive database of functional association data, including protein and gene interactions, pathways, and co-expression. We applied this database to predict the protein–protein interactions using BMP5 as queries. The interactions between genes are displayed in the form of a network where nodes symbolize genes and links represent networks. STRING (https://string-db.org/) is another web-based data mining platform dedicated to protein–protein interactions, both physical and functional associations [34]. We used this database to determine the functional protein partners of BMP5. Several factors, including text mining, experiments, databases, neighborhood, gene fusion, and co-expression, were considered as active protein–protein interaction sources.
2.9. Finding Co-Expressed Genes of BMP5 and Its Pathway Analysis

Positively and negatively co-expressed genes of BMP5 were explored in TCGA dataset of five different types of cancer (breast, colon, lung, bladder and ovarian), using the R2: Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform version 3.2.0 (https://hgserver1.amc.nl/), with the adjustment of Bonferroni test and cutoff p-value was selected as < 0.01. Thereafter, the common gene set was explored by drawing Venn diagrams, using co-expressed genes from five different cancers.

To explore pathways and gene ontology shared by BMP5-correlated genes, we used Protein Analysis Through Evolutionary Relationships (PANTHER) tool (http://pantherdb.org) [35] and GOnet: Gene Ontology Analysis (https://tools.dice-database.org/GOnet/) [36]. Subsequently classified them based on their KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathway (p < 0.05).

2.10. Statistical Analysis

Bar and forest plots were drawn using GraphPad Prism version 6 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). All results are displayed with p-values obtained from a log-rank test (Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). R (version 3.6.1) programming language was used for custom scripts. Custom scripts based on heatmap function as available in the ‘gplots’ Bioconductor project (http://www.bioconductor.org/) was used for hierarchical clustering and heatmaps. The fold-change of gene expression for heatmap were obtained from ONCOMINE database (Supplementary Table S4).

3. Results

3.1. BMP5 mRNA Expression in Various Types of Cancer

We utilized databases such as ONCOMINE, GENT, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) through UALCAN, GEPIA, and KM-Express to investigate the differential expression pattern of BMP5 in various cancer types and their counterparts. We queried with “BMP5” in ONCOMINE using the default threshold parameters (p-value: $1 \times 10^{-4}$, fold change: 2, and Gene rank: 10%). In our study, total 436 unique analysis was reported by the ONCOMINE database among which 39 studies ranked BMP5 within the top 10% showing significant (p < 0.05) statistical differences. Our obtained results revealed that BMP5 expression was down-regulated in bladder, breast, colorectal, gastric, kidney, lung, ovarian, prostate cancer and sarcoma compared to the expression level in normal cases (Figure 1A) whereas up-regulation was only found to be in the central nervous system (CNS) cancer. We used the U133 platform of GENT database to obtain expression data of various cancer types compared to their normal counterparts by utilizing the microarray data profiled by Affymetrix platforms (see Supplementary Table S1). Herein, the results showed that BMP5 expression was down-regulated in several cancer types including bladder, brain, breast, colon, kidney, liver, lung, ovary, pancreas, prostate, stomach, testis, and thyroid (Figure 1B). In contrast, up-regulation of BMP5 was only reported in blood, head-neck, and skin cancer. According to the analysis using the GENT database, the average expression of BMP5 was lower in cancer tissues than in the normal tissues. More to that, the analysis with the ONCOMINE and GENT database have shown that BMP5 expression was apparently down-regulated in multiple cancer types, which includes bladder, breast, colorectal, lung, and ovary cancers. Therefore, we chose these respective cancer types (bladder, breast, colorectal, lung, and ovarian) for further systematic expression, prognosis, mutation, copy number alteration and protein–protein interaction analysis.
3.2. Expression Pattern of BMP5 mRNA in Different Cancer Types

We conducted cDNA microarray analysis by using the ONCOMINE database to explore the gene expression of BMP5 in cancer types. The ONCOMINE database was queried for BMP5 expression in cancer and normal tissues. Our analysis revealed that BMP5 was down-regulated in breast, colorectal, lung, bladder and ovarian cancers, but was over-expressed only in brain and CNS cancers as compared to that in normal tissue (Figure 2A–F and Supplementary Table S1) [37–52]. Analysis of TCGA datasets through UALCAN and GEPIA database also exhibited similar BMP5 expression in breast carcinomas, colorectal adenocarcinomas, lung squamous cell and adenocarcinomas, bladder cancer and glioblastomas (Figure 2G–O). Furthermore, the forest plot obtained from our statistical analysis shows an overall fold change pattern of BMP5 expression in six different cancer types reported in Supplementary Table S2 (Figure 2P). Since the expression status of BMP5 was confirmed in multiple databases, these results can be considered reliable.
Figure 2. Expression pattern of BMP5 was compared between cancer and tissue. (A–F) Fold-change of BMP5 in six types of cancers was obtained from our analyses, shown as a box plot. The box plot comparing specific BMP5 expression in normal (left plot) and cancer tissue (right plot) was derived from the ONCOMINE database. The above and below asterisk of the box represent maximum and minimum value, respectively. (G–K) BMP5 expression data obtained from the TCGA database through GEPIA. The expression of BMP5 in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) and lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) were compared and profiled against normal lung tissue samples (see I). (L–O) BMP5 gene expression in TCGA database. Box plots exhibiting the BMP5 mRNA expression in the primary tumor (red plot), respectively and their normal (blue plot) tissues, using data from the TCGA database through UALCAN. *: \( p < 0.01 \). (P) The fold-change of BMP5 in various types of cancers were compared in our analyses, as shown in Supplementary Table S1 and expressed as a bar plot.

3.3. Prognosis Analysis of BMP5 mRNA Expression in Cancer Patients

We summarized the prognostic value of BMP5 mRNA expression in various cancers by using the patient prognosis data from databases with significant Cox p-values \( (p < 0.05) \). We used the PrognoScan database to analyze the association of BMP5 expression with survival ratio of brain and CNS, breast, colorectal, lung and ovarian cancer patients. In breast cancer, GSE19615 and GSE12276 datasets showed that patients with lower BMP5 expression \( (n = 13 \) and \( 43, \) respectively) had significantly lower overall survival compared to patients with higher BMP5 expression \( (n = 102 \) and \( 161, \) respectively) \( (\text{Figure 3A,B; and Supplementary Table S3}) \). Similar results were also obtained in colorectal cancer datasets \( (\text{Figure 3C–G; and Supplementary Table S3}) \). In Figure 3C,E–G the GSE17536 and GSE17333 datasets exhibited that the patient group with low level of BMP5 mRNA expression \( (n = 93, 101, 118 \) respectively) had significantly lower overall survival compared to patients with higher BMP5 expression \( (n = 145, 219, 248, \) respectively) \( (\text{Figure 3H–L; and Supplementary Table S3}) \).
and 146, respectively) reported significantly poor overall survival compared to the high expression group (n = 84, 76, 108 and 31, respectively), whereas one alteration reported by dataset GSE17537 contradicted the association of BMP5 low expression with overall survival of colorectal cancer patients (Figure 3D). Analysis of GSE31210 and Jacob-00182-MSK datasets of PrognoScan showed significantly (p-value) lower survival of lung cancer patients in the low BMP5 mRNA expression group (n = 35, 42, 12, 39 and 24, respectively) compared to their higher expression counterparts (n = 169, 162, 92, 65 and 180, respectively; Figure 3H–L and Supplementary Table S3). High survival ratio was exhibited in low BMP5 expression group (n = 70 and 90, respectively) of ovarian cancer compared to the high expression group (n = 63 and 43, respectively), according to the DUKE-OC dataset (Figure 3M,N and Supplementary Table S3). PROGgene V2 database was used to determine the prognosis of BMP5 expression with bladder cancer (Figure 2O). In GSE31684 dataset, relapse-free survival was significantly low in bladder cancer patients with the low expression level of BMP5 compared to their higher expression counterparts (Cox p-value: 0.0222747). These overall data-driven results suggest that apart from one alteration in colorectal cancer, low expression of BMP5 is associated with poor prognosis in breast, colorectal, lung and bladder cancer. But in ovarian cancer, low expression of BMP5 is positively correlated with overall survival. Prognostic analysis on the brain and CNS cancer, however, did not show any significant correlation between BMP5 expression and overall patient survival.

In Figure 4, we summarized the prognostic value of BMP5 expression in various cancers using patient prognosis data from numerous databases with significant Cox p-values (p < 0.05). Moreover, the Kaplan Meier-plot and PrognoScan showed that low expression of BMP5 is associated with poor prognosis in bladder, breast, lung, and colorectal cancer compared to the prognosis in ovarian cancer (Figure 3).

3.4. Analysis of BMP5 Mutations, Copy Number Alterations and Expression of Mutant mRNA

Genetic alterations of BMP5 in different cancer were studied by utilizing the cBioPortal database. The database queried for the BMP5 gene mutations in 15,405 number of samples from 26 cancer studies of breast, colorectal, lung, bladder, and ovarian cancer. The gene set or pathway was altered in 2% of the queried samples, with a somatic mutation frequency of 0.9%. In total, 146 mutations, including 70 duplications, were detected in patients with multiple samples (Figure 5A). Mutation sites were located within 1 and 454 amino acids of BMP5 propeptide and BMP5 domain. Among these mutations, 113 missense mutations, 29 truncating mutations and four other types of mutations were reported. Highest mutations were reported in breast invasive ductal and colorectal carcinoma and occurred in a hotspot in R321*Q. Total four mutations were reported in R321*Q site, among which missense mutations were found in total 5397 breast invasive ductal carcinoma samples whereas, 837 samples of colorectal adenocarcinoma showed nonsense mutation (Table 1). Total 3 cases of mutation were reported in the hotspot V5A/L/S in lung squamous carcinoma datasets (Figure 5A). Alteration frequency was found highest in lung squamous carcinoma samples (>4%) among five cancer types (Figure 5B). Next, we generated BMP5 mRNA expression (RNA Seq V2) in 26 cancer studies from the cBioPortal web (Figure 5C). Highest mutated mRNA expression was profiled in lung cancer (36 cases) followed by bladder cancers (14 cases). Mutated BMP5 proteins were profiled in 11 cases of breast and colorectal cancers, whereas only a single missense mutation was reported in ovarian cancer.

3.5. Prediction of Protein–Protein Interaction and Cross-Cancer Analysis of BMP5 Mutations and Copy Number Alterations

We found the protein–protein interactions (PPI) involving BMP5 by using GeneMANIA and STRING web, which compiles data on co-expression, co-localization, genetic interactions, pathways involved, physical interaction predictions and shared protein domains. The predicted protein partners of BMP5 were: HJV (HFE2-hemochromatosis type 2 juvenile), TBX5 (T-box 5), SOST (sclerostin), LRP5 (LDL receptor related protein 5), RGMA (repulsive guidance molecule family member a), RGMB (repulsive guidance molecule family member b), BMP2 (bone morphogenetic protein 2), BMP4 (bone morphogenetic protein 4), BMP7 (bone morphogenetic protein 7), BMP10 (bone morphogenetic protein
10), FST (follistatin), CHRDL2 (chordin-like 2), GLI1 (GLI family zinc finger 1), BAMBI (BMP and activin membrane bound inhibitor), NOG (noggin), CHRD (chordin), NXX2-5 (NK2 homeobox 5), IL1RII (interleukin 1 receptor like 1), GATA4 (GATA binding protein 4), TGFβ2 (transforming growth factor beta 2), EDNRB (endothelin receptor type B), BMPR1A (bone morphogenetic protein receptor type 1A), FKBP1A (FK506 binding protein 1A), AMHR2 (anti-mullerian hormone receptor type 2), ACVR1 (activin A receptor type 1), ACVR1L1 (activin A receptor like type 1), ACVR1B (activin A receptor type 1B), ACVR2A (activin A receptor type 2A), ACVR2B (activin A receptor type 2B) and ACVR1C (activin A receptor type 1C) (Figure 6A,B). Thus, these predicted interacting protein partners of BMP5 might be involved in the regulation of BMP5-mediated cancer progression and prognosis.

Figure 3. Relationship between BMP5 expression and prognosis in various cancer patients. The survival curve comparing patients with high (red) and low (blue) expression in breast cancer (A,B), colorectal cancer (C–G), lung cancer (H–L), ovarian cancer (M,N) were retrieved from the PrognoScan Database. (O) Comparison of high (red) and low (green) BMP5 expression in bladder cancer patients plotted from the PROGgene V2 database. Survival curve analysis was conducted using a threshold Cox \( p \)-value < 0.05. The dotted lines represent maximum and minimum values of the survival average.
3.4. Analysis of BMP5 Mutations, Copy Number Alterations and Expression of Mutant mRNA

Genetic alterations of BMP5 in different cancer were studied by utilizing the cBioPortal database. The database queried for the BMP5 gene mutations in 15,405 number of samples from 26 cancer studies of breast, colorectal, lung, bladder, and ovarian cancer. The gene set or pathway was altered in 2% of the queried samples, with a somatic mutation frequency of 0.9%. In total, 146 mutations, including 70 duplications, were detected in patients with multiple samples (Figure 5A). Mutation sites were located within 1 and 454 amino acids of BMP5 propeptide and BMP5 domain. Among these mutations, 113 missense mutations, 29 truncating mutations and four other types of mutations were reported. Highest mutations were reported in breast invasive ductal and colorectal carcinoma and occurred in a hotspot in R321*/Q. Total four mutations were reported in R321*/Q site, among which missense mutations were found in total 5397 breast invasive ductal carcinoma samples whereas, 837 samples of colorectal adenocarcinoma showed nonsense mutation (Table 1). Total 3 cases of mutation were reported in the hotspot V5A/L/S in lung squamous carcinoma datasets (Figure 5A). Alteration frequency was found highest in lung squamous carcinoma samples (>4%) among five cancer types (Figure 5B). Next, we generated BMP5 mRNA expression (RNA Seq V2) in 26 cancer studies from the cBioPortal web (Figure 5C). Highest mutated mRNA expression was profiled in lung cancer (36 cases) followed by bladder cancers (14 cases). Mutated BMP5 proteins were profiled in 11 cases of breast and colorectal cancers, whereas only a single missense mutation was reported in ovarian cancer.

We also analyzed the mutations and copy number alterations (CNAs) in the 30 predicted interacting protein partners of BMP5 by using the ONCOPRINT feature of cBioPortal database. Queried genes were altered in 6751 (38%) of queried patients in 6825 (37%) of queried samples. Highest alteration frequency was found in lung squamous carcinoma (>60%) whereas, colorectal cancer exhibited the lowest alteration (<40%) among all of the query cancer samples (Figure 6C). Among the 30 datasets containing above 100 patient samples, CHRD showed the highest alteration frequency (8%) followed by HEF-2 and TGFB2 (6%) whereas, SOST exhibited the lowest frequency (0.8%) in CNA (Figure S1). The percentage of alteration frequency varied from 0.8–8.0% for individual genes.

### Table 1. Data analysis of the BMP5 gene in three different cancer types derived from cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics.

| Cancer Dataset          | Cancer Type               | Protein Change | Mutation Type | Number of Mutation in Sample | Sample ID                  |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| BRIC (TCGA, PanCancer Atlas) | Breast invasive ductal carcinoma | R321Q         | Missense      | 5397                         | TCGA-AN-A046-01           |
| COAD (DFIC, Cell Reports 2016) | Colorectal adenocarcinoma | R321*         | Nonsense      | 180                          | coad_read_dfci_2016_1250  |
| COAD (DFIC, Cell Reports 2016) | Colorectal adenocarcinoma | R321*         | Nonsense      | 513                          | coad_read_dfci_2016_2946  |
| COAD (TCGA, PanCancer Atlas) | Colon adenocarcinoma      | R321*         | Nonsense      | 144                          | TCGA-D5-6533-01           |

Total of five mutations reported in amino acid R321 in breast cancer and colorectal cancer datasets. Total 10,507 mutations were reported in five samples.

3.6. Co-occurrence Analysis of Functional Protein Partners of BMP5 in Cancers

We determined the correlation significance of the functional protein partners of BMP5 by using the co-occurrence analysis sub-tool of cBioPortal, which is based on Fisher’s exact test. In total, 11 genes reported significant co-occurrence of alteration associated with BMP5 (Table 2). All the genes exhibited p-value of < 0.001 and log odds ratio (LOR) of > 1.
Figure 5. Frequency of mutations, copy number alterations (CNAs) and expression in various types of cancer derived from cBioPortal web. (A, B), Total 146 mutations were located within amino acids 1 to 454 of BMP5. Highest mutations occurred in colorectal cancer, invasive breast cancer at R321*Q amino acid (total 4 cases) followed by lung squamous carcinoma at V5A/L/S amino acid (total 3 cases). Mutation sites were located in a hotspot in BMP5-propeptide and BMP5 domain. The highest alteration frequency was reported in lung squamous carcinoma datasets. Only datasets containing >100 samples per cancer types are shown. The alteration frequency exhibited mutations (green), fusions (violet) and multiple alterations (grey). (C) BMP5 mRNA expression (RNA Seq V2) in 24 cancer studies generated from the cBioPortal web. Mutations were reported in bladder cancer, breast cancer, colorectal cancer, lung cancer and ovarian cancer. Highest mutated mRNA expression occurred in lung cancer (36 cases). The x-axis is divided according to the cancer types, and Y-axis represents BMP5 mRNA expression levels. The expression frequency exhibited missense mutations (green), fusions (violet), truncating (deep blue), no mutations (blue), and no profiled mutations (white).
Figure 6. Interaction and co-occurrence functional protein partners of BMP5. (A,B) Predicted structural proteins essential for the functioning of BMP5 generated from GeneMANIA and STRING web. Circles displayed are indicating nodes. Predicted functional partners are shown after considering co-expression, co-localization, genetic interactions, pathways, physical interactions and predicted shared protein domains. (C) The alteration frequency of 31 gene signature from GeneMANIA database (BMP5, HJV(HFE2), TBX5, SOST, LRP5, RGMA, RGMB, BMP2, BMP4, BMP7, BMP10, FST, CHRD, GLI1, BAMBI, NOG, CHRD, NKX2-5, IL1RL1, GATA4, TGFB2, EDNRB, BMPR1A, FKBP1A, AMHR2, ACVR1, ACVRL1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ACVR2B, ACVR1C) was determined using cBioPortal web. Datasets containing > 100 samples per cancer type and alteration frequency of >20% are shown. Highest alterations were reported in lung squamous carcinoma datasets (>60%). The alteration frequency included mutations (green), fusions (violet), amplifications (red), deep deletions (deep blue) and multiple alterations (grey).
Table 2. Mutual exclusivity analysis reporting co-occurrence of alterations in the BMP5 gene signature was investigated using cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics.

| Co-occurrence Gene | p-Value | Log Odds Ratio | Tendency | Significance |
|--------------------|---------|----------------|----------|--------------|
| CHRD               | <0.001  | 2.139          | Co-occurrence | Significant |
| GLI1               | <0.001  | 2.153          | Co-occurrence | Significant |
| IL1RL1             | <0.001  | 2.392          | Co-occurrence | Significant |
| TGFB2              | <0.001  | 2.136          | Co-occurrence | Significant |
| BAMBI              | <0.001  | 2.138          | Co-occurrence | Significant |
| ACVR2A             | <0.001  | 2.046          | Co-occurrence | Significant |
| ACVR1C             | <0.001  | 2.350          | Co-occurrence | Significant |
| HJV(HFE2)          | <0.001  | 1.866          | Co-occurrence | Significant |
| ACVR1              | <0.001  | 2.250          | Co-occurrence | Significant |
| AMHR2              | <0.001  | 2.208          | Co-occurrence | Significant |
| EDNRB              | <0.001  | 1.504          | Co-occurrence | Significant |

Total 30 cancer datasets containing samples for five cancer types were investigated. Significant co-occurrence was reported only for the pairs resulting with $p < 0.001$.

3.7. Co-expression Heatmap of Functional Protein Partners of BMP5 in Cancers

We visualized the global features of our gene co-expression data of BMP5 functional protein partners by conducting hierarchical clustering (Figure 7, Supplementary Table S4).

![Figure 7](image_url)  

**Figure 7.** A heatmap visualizing co-expression profile of BMP5 in breast, colorectal, lung, bladder, ovarian carcinoma and blastoma. BMP5 is co-expressed with the indicated genes across 3 lung, 7 breast, 8 colorectal, 3 blastoma, 2 bladder, and 1 ovarian cancer sample types. Linear branches on the left and top are clustering tree grouping similar expression pattern of BMP5 gene in different cancer types. The color scale indicates the expression value (Dark blue indicate higher expression, red indicates lower gene expression). The heat map was generated with custom scripts based on heatmap function as available in the ‘gplots’ Bioconductor package of R.

Hierarchical clustering of expression fold-change values of BMP5 and 11 functional protein partners in 6 different cancers provides an overview of functional correlation. The analysis showed that BMP5 was significantly co-expressed with ACVR1C in mixed lobular and ductal breast, invasive lobular breast, colon, rectal mucinous, cecum mucinous and rectum adenocarcinoma. BAMBI, on the other hand, showed weak co-expression in colon adenocarcinoma and ovarian serous surface papillary carcinoma. GLI1 showed a significant level of co-expression in mucinous breast carcinoma and ovarian serous surface papillary carcinoma, whereas AMHR2, CHRD, and ACVR2A showed a similar pattern in ovarian serous papillary carcinoma, superficial bladder carcinoma and infiltrating urothelial bladder carcinoma. IL1RL1 exhibited similar down-regulation in large cell lung carcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma. In contrast, IL1RL1, TGFB2, GLI1, and EDNRB was over-expressed in classical, and desmoplastic medulloblastoma, and glioblastoma.
3.8. Differentially Expressed Genes with BMP5 Expression in Five Types of Cancers and Their Function

We aimed to find the potential signalling mechanism involved with BMP5 expression in cancers. To investigate the BMP5-related pathways that might commonly play a role in various cancers, we analyzed transcriptome datasets from five different types of cancers, namely, breast, colon, lung, bladder, and ovarian cancer using TCGA and RSEM datasets through the R2: Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform. Forty differently expressed genes (DEGs) were commonly up-regulated with BMP5 in five selected cancers derived from the Venn diagram (Figure 8A).

![Figure 8A](image.png)

**Figure 8A.** Venn diagram of genes positively correlated to BMP5, showing coincident genes in breast, colorectal, lung, bladder, and ovarian cancers.

![Figure 8B](image.png)

**Figure 8B.** Pathway analysis of BMP5 using PANTHER and subsequent classification based on their pathways; \( p < 0.05 \).
In our query, a total of 2709 genes were commonly found to be differentially expressed in at least three out of five cancer types. Later we queried for the 11 significantly co-occurring genes found in previous analysis (Table 2). In our query, EDNRB is shown to be co-expressed with BMP5 in bladder, breast, colorectal and lung cancer. ACVR2A, ACVR1C, BMP4, and CHRD12, on the other hand, was found to be commonly co-expressed with BMP5 in bladder, breast and lung cancer. GLI1 also shown co-expression within breast, lung and ovarian cancer datasets. CHRD, NKK2-5 were also co-expressed in bladder and ovarian cancer datasets whereas BAMBI was only reported in breast and lung datasets. Next, the common Down-regulated DEGs obtained from R2 and cbioPortal co-occurrence was classified using PANTHER pathway analysis (Figure 8B and Figure S2) and the GOnt: Gene Ontology Analysis tool (Supplementary Table S5). BMP5 correlated gene cluster affected 33 pathways and was involved in diverse roles such as; TGF-beta signalling pathway, Gonadotropin releasing hormone receptor pathway, EGF-receptor and EGF signalling pathway. We showed that cellular process, cell proliferation and metabolic process are the main biological functions associated with BMP5 (Figure S2A). In addition, binding and catalytic activities were the main molecular function associated with BMP5 (Figure S2B). Cell, membrane and protein-containing complex were the major three cellular components associated with BMP5 gene clusters. Categories obtained from analysis using GOnt: Gene Ontology Analysis tool also contains terms related to signal transduction, gene expression regulation along with cellular proliferation and differentiation, metabolic process regulation, transcriptional and translational regulation and system development (Supplementary Table S5). As conveyed by these results, BMP5 could be associated with certain key signaling pathways related to cellular proliferation, differentiation, metabolism and post-transcriptional control corresponding to cancer progression.

We want to draw attention to the possibility of eventually being able to derive signaling pathways based on PPI analysis. In our study, the gene list attained by using R2 is characteristically different from the gene list found from PPI investigation. R2 analysis represents the co-expression whereas the latter symbolizes the physical network. On one hand, the correlated genes are pair of genes that shows a similar expression pattern across samples, since the level of transcription of two co-expressed genes rises and fall together across samples. Networks of gene co-expression are of biological interest since co-expressed genes are controlled by the same transcriptional regulatory mechanism, functionally related, or members of the same pathway or protein complex. On the other hand, PPIs are the physical contacts of high specificity established between two or more protein molecules as a result of biochemical events stimulated by electrostatic forces that include hydrophobic effects. On that, we have used the PPI and list of correlated genes for two different purposes. Since genetic alterations are a type of inherent physical manipulation, we used PPI to investigate mutations and CNAs in our query genes. In contrast, we used correlated genes to gain some insights into the signaling pathways.

4. Discussion

BMP5 is a member of TGF-β superfamily and is known to play a regulatory role in the metastasis of lung, thymus, bone marrow, spleen, skeletal muscle, heart, kidney, pancreas, and prostate tissues [53]. It is involved in multivariate disease development and suppression process. It was found in various cases of cancers including breast cancer [20], lung cancer [17], colorectal cancer [12], ovarian cancer [54], bladder cancer [55], skin cancer [56], etc. The mRNA level of BMP5 was higher in female than the male counterparts in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [17]. The overexpressed BMP5 mRNA has also been found in the squamous cell carcinoma. Conversely, down-regulation was detected in adrenocortical carcinoma cells [9,57]. It plays a role as a tumor suppressor in myeloma, adrenocortical carcinoma, and breast cancer [20,58]. BMP5 inhibits the proliferation and promote migration and invasion of pancreatic cancer cell and thus exhibit a biphasic role [59].

We transact a multi-omics analysis to understand the potency of BMP5 as an oncogene or prognostic marker gene in different cancers. To determine the role of BMP5 in cancer, we have explicitly evaluated the expression pattern of genes in the cancers. normal tissues by using defined parameters
through computational analysis. In ONCOMINE and GENT database analysis, we found differential expression pattern depending on cancer cell types. The BMP5 gene expression in breast, bladder, colorectal, lung and ovarian cancer cells was found lower in compared to normal cells, whereas, it was higher in the brain and CNS cancer. Then we performed distinct analysis for revealing the role of BMP5 in breast, bladder, colorectal, lung, and ovarian cancers. In the case of breast cancer, BMP5 expression was low using ONCOMINE, UALCAN, and GEPIA database. Our further expression analysis using these analyzing tools exhibited similar results for bladder, colorectal, lung, and ovarian cancers. This results also support the previous results where Deng et al. reported lower BMP5 expression in NSCLC tissues by quantitative real-time PCR analysis [17].

Overall, our analysis has shown that BMP5 was significantly down-regulated in several cancer datasets. However, we were unable to identify a consistent clinical outcome pattern relating to the clusters. It is important to note that the survival data of several TCGA data set are limited by the length of follow up [60,61].

To understand the role of BMP5 in cancer more definitely, we investigated the prognostic status of BMP5 in breast, bladder, colorectal, lung, and ovarian cancer. We utilized the PrognoScan database to find out the association of BMP5 mRNA expression and overall survival rate. The inquisition reports that lower BMP5 mRNA expression was associated with lower overall survival rate in breast cancer and lung cancer. In breast cancer, Blimp-1 plays an inevitable role in TGF-β1 mediated epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) through the suppression of BMP5 expression [20]. In colorectal cancer due to the conflicting results, the role of BMP5 in colorectal cancer was not clear. According to the study of William et al. BMP5 induced ERBB4 is over-expressed in human CRC, and in experimental systems enhances the survival and growth of cells driven by Ras and/or WNT signaling. Chronic ERBB4 over-expression in the context of, for example, inflammation may contribute to the development of colorectal cancer [62]. Results exhibited an association of lower expression with lower survival rate from four survival curve analysis (Figure 3C,E–G), whereas another one exhibited the opposite result (Figure 3E). Chen et al. observed the down-regulation of BMP5 in colorectal cancer due to the miR-32 deregulation [14]. The mean results of the analysis of the current investigation suggest the role of BMP5 as tumor suppressor gene in colorectal cancer. This result also supports the previous findings, where BMP5 acts as a tumor suppressor in colorectal cancer [12].

Our analysis on ovarian cancer represents the correlation between lower BMP5 mRNA expression and higher overall survival rate. BMP2, 5 and rhBMP9 has been reported to be involved in RAS-MAPK mediated pathway that activates p65 cytokine and during tumorigenesis and thus proliferate ovarian cancer [63,64]. On the other hand, investigation on bladder cancer prognosis relevance using PROGgene V2 database recommends a positive relation between lower BMP5 expression and lower relapse-free survival. This consistent trend in BMP5 expression in cancers suggests a commonality among different cancer types, where BMP5-related molecular pathways may be functional.

Cancer progression is a series of histopathological process, which is influenced by somatically acquired genetic, epigenetic, transcriptomic and proteomic alterations [65–67]. Somatic loss-of-function or gain-of-function alterations ensue in particular genomic regions which engaged in potential inhibitory or carcinogenic effects, respectively [68,69]. Therefore, we exploited the cBioPortal web tool to exhibit the Copy Number Alterations, mutations and mutant mRNA expressions. Missense and truncating mutations pre-eminently occurred within protein-coding sequences [13]. Ho et al. reported a novel missense mutation in BMP5 transcript with a G-to-A substitution in RXRR cleavage consensus site. The G-to-A mutation at nucleotide 932 cleaves the nucleotides encoding arginine (CGA) residue and thus destroys the Taq I polymerase restriction site resulting in the blockage of the post-translational processing of the BMP5 protein [70]. In our analysis, we found diverse missense and truncating mutations in the BMP5 protein-coding sequence while exposed to cancer cells. This study revealed mutations in breast invasive ductal and colorectal carcinoma in a hotspot at position R321*/Q and position V5A/L/S in lung squamous carcinoma between BMP5-propeptide and BMP5 domain. Similar results of mutation at R321*/Q stop-codon (4 cases) was also found in a previous
study which may impact cleavage of the protein to the mature secreted form [13]. Highest alteration frequency was obtained from lung squamous carcinoma. Another BMP5 mRNA expression (RNA Seq V2) analysis via cBioPortal web found a higher mutation rate in lung cancer and then in bladder cancers. These mutations may have played an essential role in cancer progression and prognosis.

It has been reported that PPI is associated with various biological processes, such as signal transduction and disease development [71]. To predict their functional protein partner, we exploited GeneMANIA and STRING web server. These interacted proteins have a role in the regulation of tumor progression. A total of 30 proteins were determined as protein partners, and these interacting proteins were used to detect copy number alterations and mutations through the cBioPortal tool. From the lowest to the highest dominance hierarchy, the ratio of alteration ranged over >25% to >60%. The copy number alteration frequency of each gene showed that CHRD obtains the highest alteration frequency with a percentage of 8% whereas SOST occupied the lowest position. After that, the correlation between each gene and BMP5 was evaluated significantly via cBioPortal under specific parameter. This analysis reports the co-occurrence genes association with BMP5 during tumorigenesis. To determine the hierarchy, we also observed a heatmap characterized by fold-change values of the 11 functional partners of BMP5. GLI1 and ACVR1C were found to be down-regulated in breast, colorectal, and ovarian cancer. Previous reports also suggest that both GLI1 and ACVR1C are down-regulated in breast cancer [72]. ACVR1C down-regulation in colorectal cancer was reported in several studies [73,74]. However, previous studies reported that GLI1 overexpression in malignant ovarian epithelial tumor enables tumor metastasis and increase risk in ovarian cancer patient [75,76]. These reports are not similar to our findings in Figure 7 and Table 1 of S2 File. Previous studies found that BAMBI regulates CRC and NSCLC metastasis by connecting the Wnt/beta-catenin and TGF-β-signalling pathways which validate our findings [77,78]. BAMBI is also reported to be overexpressed in breast and ovarian cancer and co-translocate with Smads into the nucleus upon TGF-β treatment [79,80]. Circular ACVR2A expression was found to be suppressed in bladder cancer tissues and cell lines and has a functional role in bladder cancer inhibition and metastasis [81]. CHRD was found to be significantly under-expressed in ovarian cancer compared to normal tissues suggesting its potential of regulating BMP activity in normal ovarian surface epithelium physiology [82]. Several reports on IL1RL1 down-regulation in lung cancer also validates our findings in this study [83,84]. Here, our findings validate the co-expression significance of BMP5 with its predicted functional protein partners in several cancers.

Finally, we determined the genes correlated with BMP5 in five types of cancer (breast, lung, colorectal, bladder, and ovarian) in which this TGF-β family member is significantly down-regulated by using R2 platform. For BMP5, a large number of positively-correlated genes were found in breast, colon, lung, bladder, and ovarian cancer. Of those genes, 40 were common in all cancers along with 2709 genes common in at least three out of five cancers. R2 analysis also validates our findings from cBioPortal analysis and heatmap of fold change values of the 11 protein partners. In addition, we used the PANTHER pathway and GOnet: Gene Ontology Analysis tool to determine the GO and pathways associated with these commonly correlated genes for BMP5. According to the pathway analysis, ACVR1C, ACVR2A, AMHR2, TGF2B, BAMBI, and BMP5 were predominantly found to be involved in TGF-β signalling and gonadotrophin releasing hormone receptor pathways. The co-expression analysis shows that BMP5 expression is positively correlated with transmembrane receptor protein serine/threonine kinase pathway regulation, cellular proliferation and differentiation, metabolic process regulation, transcriptional and translational regulation, regulation of cell death, and system development (Supplementary Table S5). GO analysis completely validates our findings on co-expression and pathway regulation of functional protein partners of BMP5. However, their underlying mechanism in development of cancers and the effects on clinical outcomes remains unknown.
5. Conclusions

In this mining study, we used several online bioinformatics platform and web tools to systematically analyze the expression, mutations and CNAs, correlated genes, and prognostic value of BMP5 in human various cancers. The multi-omics analysis revealed that BMP5 is down-regulated distinctively and is negatively correlated with clinical outcomes in breast colorectal, lung, and bladder, except ovarian cancer. Ovarian cancer is positively correlated. The present findings also reveal the importance of BMP5 expression and possible BMP5-related pathways in human various cancers progression. Overall, our analysis may provide valuable insights into BMP5 as a novel biomarker and a potential therapeutic target for various human cancers and thus, will assist in transforming genomic knowledge into clinical practice. Hence, the specific roles, detailed molecular mechanisms, and clinical significance of BMP5 in cancer progression and prognosis deserve further investigation.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2227-9059/8/2/19/s1, Table S1: Dataset query results of BMP5 expression in cancer and normal tissue samples derived from GENT database. Table S2: The significant changes of BMP5 expression in normal tissues and cancer tissue were derived from the ONCOMINE database. Table S3: The relationship between BMP5 expression and survival in various cancer patients. Table S4: Fold change values of functional protein partners of BMP5 (Oncomine). Table S5: Gene ontology (GO) terms obtained from genes positively correlated with BMP5 (GOnet). Table S6: List of correlated genes of BMP5 in five cancer types obtained from R2: Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform. Table S7: Analysis of positively correlated genes of BMP5 using the R2: Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform. Figure S1: Copy number alteration frequency of each gene of the BMP5 cluster from GeneMANIA and STRING database. A total of 17815 patients / 18300 samples in 30 studies were queried. Queried genes were altered in 6751 (38%) of queried patients in 6825 (37%) of queried samples. The alterations include inframe mutations-unknown significance (brown), missense mutations-putative driver (deep green), missense mutations-unknown significance (green), truncating mutations-putative driver (black), truncating mutations-unknown significance (gray), fusions (purple), amplifications (red), deep deletions (deep blue), no alterations (light grey) and not profiled cases (white). Figure S2: Pathway analysis of BMP5 using PANTHER pathway tool. A. A total of 49 biological processes were reported and distributed in eight categories. B. A total of 26 cellular components were reported and distributed in four categories. C. A total of 34 molecular functions were reported and distributed in seven categories. * p < 0.05.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description                        |
|--------------|------------------------------------|
| ADC          | Adenocarcinoma                     |
| C            | Carcinoma                          |
| CAD          | Colon adenoma                      |
| CCC          | Clear cell carcinoma               |
| CEAD         | Cecum adenocarcinoma               |
| CMAD         | Cecum mucinous adenocarcinoma      |
| CMAD         | Colon mucinous adenocarcinoma      |
| CMBM         | Classical medulloblastoma          |
CNS Central nervous system
Colon Colon carcinoma
COAD Colon adenocarcinoma
CRA Colorectal adenocarcinoma
CRAD Colorectal adenocarcinoma
CRC Colorectal carcinoma
DMBM Desmoplastic medulloblastoma
EMC Endometroid carcinoma
GBM Glioblastoma
IBC Invasive breast carcinoma
IDBC Invasive ductal breast carcinoma
ILBC Invasive lobular and ductal breast carcinoma
LCLC Large cell lung carcinoma
LUAD Lung adenocarcinoma
LUAD Lung squamous cell carcinoma
MC Mucinous carcinoma
MixC Mixed carcinoma
MLDBC Mixed lobular and ductal breast carcinoma
MPC Micropapillary carcinoma
MUBC Mucinous breast carcinoma
OC Ovarian cancer
OSAD Ovarian surface adenocarcinoma
OSSPC Ovarian serous surface papillary carcinoma
RAD Rectal adenocarcinoma
READ Rectum mucinous adenocarcinoma
RMAD Rectal mucinous adenocarcinoma
RSAD Rectosigmoid adenocarcinoma
SBC Serous breast carcinoma
TCGA The cancer genome atlas
UC Undifferentiated carcinoma
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