Some new oscillation results for fourth-order neutral differential equations
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Abstract. By employing the Riccati substitution technique, we establish new oscillation criteria for a class of fourth-order neutral differential equations. Our new criteria complement a number of existing ones. An illustrative example is provided.
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1. Introduction

For several decades, an increasing interest in obtaining sufficient conditions for oscillatory and nonoscillatory behavior of different classes of differential equations has been observed; see, for instance, the monographs [1]-[5], the papers [6]-[20], and the references cited therein.

Neutral differential equations are used in numerous applications in technology and natural science. For instance, they are frequently used for the study of distributed networks containing lossless transmission lines; see Hale [22], and therefore their qualitative properties are important.

In this paper, we are concerned with the oscillation of solutions of the fourth-order neutral differential equation

$$\left((r(t)\left(x(t)+p(t)x(\tau(t))\right))''\right)'+q(t)x(\sigma(t))=0,$$

where $t \geq t_0$. In this work, we assume that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are quotients of odd positive integers, $p,q \in C[t_0,\infty)$, $r(t) > 0$, $r'(t) \geq 0$, $q(t) > 0$, $0 \leq p(t) < p_0 < \infty$, $\tau, \sigma \in C[t_0,\infty)$.
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\( \tau(t) \leq t, \lim_{t \to \infty} \tau(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty. \) Moreover, we study (1) under the condition that

\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds = \infty,
\]

and we define the function

\[ z(t) := x(t) + p(t) x(\tau(t)). \]

By a solution of (1) we mean a function \( x \in C^3[t_x, \infty), t_x \geq t_0, \) which has the property

\[ r(t) \left( z^{(n-1)}(t) \right)^\alpha \right) + q(t) f(x(\tau(t))) = 0, \]

have been established by Baculikova et al. [16] under the conditions (2) and

\[ \int_{t_0}^{\infty} r^{-1/\alpha}(t) dt < \infty. \]

Asymptotic behavior of higher-order quasilinear neutral differential equations of the form

\[ \left( r(t) \left( z^{(n-1)}(t) \right)^\alpha \right) + q(t) x^\beta(\tau(t)) = 0 \]

have been studied by Li and Rogovchenko [21]. Agarwal et al. [6] investigated the oscillatory behavior of a higher-order differential equation

\[ \left( r(t) \left( x^{(n-1)}(t) \right)^\alpha \right) + q(t) x^\beta(\tau(t)) = 0, \]

under the condition (2).

The purpose of this article is to give sufficient conditions for the oscillatory behavior of (1), under the condition that (2)

In order to discuss our main results, we need the following lemmas:

**Lemma 1.** [5] If the function \( x \) satisfies \( x^{(i)}(t) > 0, i = 0, 1, ..., n, \) and \( x^{(n+1)}(t) < 0, \) then

\[ \frac{x(t)}{t^n/n!} \geq \frac{x'(t)}{t^{n-1}/(n-1)!}. \]
Lemma 2. [3, Lemma 2.2.3] Let \( x \in C^n ([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty)) \). Assume that \( x^{(n)}(t) \) is of fixed sign and not identically zero on \([t_0, \infty)\) and that there exists a \( t_1 \geq t_0 \) such that \( x^{(n-1)}(t)x^{(n)}(t) \leq 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_1 \). If \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) \neq 0 \), then for every \( \mu \in (0, 1) \) there exists \( t_\mu \geq t_1 \) such that
\[
x(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} |x^{(n-1)}(t)| \text{ for } t \geq t_\mu.
\]

Lemma 3. [23] Let \( x(t) \) be a positive and \( n \)-times differentiable function on an interval \([T, \infty)\) with its \( n \)th derivative \( x^{(n)}(t) \) non-positive on \([T, \infty)\) and not identically zero on any interval of the form \([T', \infty)\), \( T' \geq T \) and \( x^{(n-1)}(t)x^{(n)}(t) \leq 0 \), \( t \geq t_x \) then there exist constants \( \theta \), \( 0 < \theta < 1 \) and \( N > 0 \) such that
\[
x'(\theta t) \geq N t^{n-2} x^{(n-1)}(t),
\]
for all sufficiently large \( t \).

In this section we will find one condition to ensure the oscillation of solutions of (1) in the case \( p_0 = 1 \).

2. One-condition theorems

Lemma 4. Assume that \( x \) is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then
\[
(r(t) (z''(t))^\alpha)' \leq -q(t) (1 - p_0)^\beta z^\beta (\sigma(t)). \tag{3}
\]

Proof. Assume that \( x \) is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a \( t_1 \geq t_0 \) such that \( x(t) > 0 \), \( x(\tau(t)) > 0 \) and \( x(\sigma(t)) > 0 \) for \( t \geq t_1 \). Since \( r'(t) > 0 \), we have
\[
z(t) > 0, \quad z'(t) > 0, \quad z''(t) > 0, \quad z^{(4)}(t) < 0 \text{ and } (r(t) (z''(t))^\alpha)' \leq 0, \tag{4}
\]
for \( t \geq t_1 \). From definition of \( z \), we get
\[
x(t) \geq z(t) - p_0 x(\tau(t)) \geq z(t) - p_0 z(\tau(t)) \geq (1 - p_0) z(t),
\]
which with (1) gives
\[
(r(t) (z''(t))^\alpha)' + q(t) (1 - p_0)^\beta z^\beta (\sigma(t)) \leq 0.
\]
The proof is complete.

Theorem 1. Assume that
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Psi_1(t)} \int_t^\infty \Psi_2(s) \tilde{\Psi}_1^{\alpha+1}(s) \text{d}s > \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha + 1)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}}, \tag{5}
\]
where
\[ \Psi_1(t) = q(t)(1 - p_0)^\beta M^{\beta - \alpha}(\sigma(t)), \quad \Psi_2(t) = \alpha \varepsilon \frac{\sigma(t) \zeta \sigma'(t)}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \]
and
\[ \tilde{\Psi}_1(t) = \int_t^\infty \Psi_1(s) \, ds. \]

Then, (1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Assume that \( x \) is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a \( t_1 \geq t_0 \) such that \( x(t) > 0 \), \( x(\tau(t)) > 0 \) and \( x(\sigma(t)) > 0 \) for \( t \geq t_1 \). Using Lemma 4, we obtain that (3) holds.

Define \( \omega \) as follows
\[ \omega(t) := \frac{r(t)(z'''(t))^\alpha}{z^\alpha(\zeta \sigma(t))}. \]  

(6)
By differentiating and using (3), we obtain
\[ \omega'(t) \leq -q(t)(1 - p_0)^\beta z^{\beta - \alpha}(\sigma(t)) - \alpha \frac{r(t)(z'''(t))^\alpha z'(\zeta \sigma(t)) \zeta \sigma'(t)}{z^{\alpha + 1}(\zeta \sigma(t))}. \]

From Lemma 3, we have
\[ \omega'(t) \leq -q(t)(1 - p_0)^\beta z^{\beta - \alpha}(\sigma(t)) - \alpha \frac{r(t)(z'''(t))^\alpha z^{\alpha + 1}(\zeta \sigma(t)) \zeta \sigma'(t)}{z^{\alpha + 1}(\zeta \sigma(t))}, \]
which is
\[ \omega'(t) \leq -q(t)(1 - p_0)^\beta z^{\beta - \alpha}(\sigma(t)) - \alpha \varepsilon \frac{\sigma(t) \zeta \sigma'(t) (z'''(t))^{\alpha + 1}}{z^{\alpha + 1}(\zeta \sigma(t))}, \]

by using (6) we have
\[ \omega'(t) \leq -q(t)(1 - p_0)^\beta z^{\beta - \alpha}(\sigma(t)) - \alpha \varepsilon \frac{\sigma^2(t) \zeta \sigma'(t)}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \omega^{(\alpha + 1)/\alpha}(t), \]  

(7)
Since \( z'(t) > 0 \), there exist a \( t_2 \geq t_1 \) and a constant \( M > 0 \) such that
\[ z(t) > M. \]

Then, (7), turn to
\[ \omega'(t) \leq -q(t)(1 - p_0)^\beta M^{\beta - \alpha}(\sigma(t)) - \alpha \varepsilon \frac{\sigma^2(t) \zeta \sigma'(t)}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \omega^{(\alpha + 1)/\alpha}(t), \]
that is,
\[ \omega'(t) + \Psi_1(t) + \Psi_2(t) \omega^{(\alpha + 1)/\alpha}(t) \leq 0. \]
Integrating the above inequality from $t$ to $l$, we get
\[ \omega (l) - \omega (t) + \int_t^l \Psi_1(s) \omega' \alpha \alpha(s) ds + \int_t^l \Psi_2(s) \omega^{\alpha + 1} \alpha(s) ds \leq 0. \]

Letting $l \to \infty$ and using $\omega > 0$ and $\omega' < 0$, we have
\[ \omega (t) \geq \Psi_1(t) + \int_t^\infty \Psi_2(s) \omega^{\alpha + 1} \alpha(s) ds. \]

This implies
\[ \frac{\omega (t)}{\Psi_1(t)} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{\Psi_1(t)} \int_t^\infty \Psi_2(s) \frac{\omega^{\alpha + 1} \alpha(s)}{\Psi_1(s)} ds. \tag{8} \]

Let $\lambda = \inf_{t \geq T} \frac{\omega (t)}{\Psi_1(t)}$. Then obviously $\lambda \geq 1$. Thus, from (5) and (8) we see that
\[ \lambda \geq 1 + \alpha \left( \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} \right)^{(\alpha + 1)/\alpha} \]

or
\[ \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} \geq 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} \right)^{(\alpha + 1)/\alpha}, \]

which contradicts the admissible value of $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Therefore, the proof is complete.

In this section we will find two independent conditions to ensure the oscillation of solutions of (1) in the case $p_0 < 1$

3. Two independent conditions theorems

Here, we introduce Riccati substitutions
\[ \omega (t) := \frac{r(t) (z''(t))^{\alpha}}{z^{\alpha}(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad w(t) := \frac{z'(t) z(t)}{z(t)}. \tag{9} \]

Also, for convenience, we denote that:
\[ R_1(t) := \alpha \mu \frac{t^2}{2 r^{1/\alpha}(t)}, \]
\[ Q_1(t) := q(t) (1 - p_0)^{\beta} M_1^{\beta - \alpha} \left( \frac{\sigma(t)}{t} \right)^{3\beta} \]

and
\[ Q_2(t) := (1 - p_0)^{\beta/\alpha} M_2^{\beta/\alpha - 1} \int_t^\infty \left( \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_u^\infty q(s) \frac{\sigma^\beta(s)}{s^{\beta}} ds \right)^{1/\alpha} du, \]
for some $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and every $M_1, M_2$ are positive constants.

All functional inequalities are assumed to hold eventually, that is, they are assumed to be satisfied for all $t$ sufficiently large. The proof of the next lemma is immediate from [23] and hence is omitted.

**Lemma 5.** Assume that (2) holds and $x$ is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, $(r(t)(z'''(t))^\alpha)' < 0$ and there are the following two possible cases eventually:

\begin{align*}
(C_1) & \quad z(t) > 0, \quad z'(t) > 0, \quad z''(t) > 0, \quad z'''(t) > 0, \quad z''(t) < 0, \\
(C_2) & \quad z(t) > 0, \quad z'(t) > 0, \quad z''(t) < 0, \quad z'''(t) > 0.
\end{align*}

**Lemma 6.** Let $x$ be an eventually positive solution of (1) and the functions $\omega$ and $r$ are defined as in (9).

(I1) If $x$ satisfies (C1), then

$$\omega'(t) + Q_1(t) + R_1(t) \omega^{\alpha+1}(t) \leq 0;$$

(I2) If $x$ satisfies (C2), then

$$w'(t) + Q_2(t) + w^2(t) \leq 0.$$

**Proof.** Assume that $x$ is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0$, $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ and $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Using Lemma 4, we obtain that (3) holds.

In the case (C1), by differentiating $\omega$ and using (3), we obtain

$$\omega'(t) \leq -q(t)(1-p_0)^\beta \frac{z^3(\sigma(t))}{z^1(t)} - \alpha \frac{r(t)z'''(t)^\alpha}{z^{\alpha+1}(t)} z'(t).$$

From Lemma 1, we have that

$$z(t) \geq \frac{t^2}{3} z'(t) \text{ and hence } \frac{z(\sigma(t))}{z(t)} \geq \frac{\sigma^3(t)}{t^3}. \quad (13)$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$z'(t) \geq \frac{\mu_1 t^2 z'''(t)}{2}, \quad (14)$$

for all $\mu_1 \in (0, 1)$ and every sufficiently large $t$. Since $z'(t) > 0$, there exist a $t_2 \geq t_1$ and a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$z(t) > M, \quad (15)$$

for $t \geq t_2$. Thus, by (12), (13), (14) and (15), we get

$$\omega'(t) + Q_1(t) + R_1(t) \omega^{\alpha+1}(t) \leq 0.$$

In the case (C2), integrating (3) from $t$ to $u$, we obtain

$$r(u) \left( z'''(u) \right)^\alpha - r(t) \left( z'''(t) \right)^\alpha \leq - \int_t^u q(s) \left(1-p_0\right)^\beta z^3(\sigma(s)) \, ds. \quad (16)$$
From Lemma 1, we get that
\[ z(t) \geq t z'(t) \quad \text{and hence} \quad z(\sigma(t)) \geq \frac{\sigma(t)}{t} z(t). \] (17)

For (16), letting \( u \to \infty \) and using (17), we see that
\[ r(t) (z''(t))^\alpha \geq (1 - p_0)^\beta \frac{\beta(t)}{s^\beta} ds. \]

Integrating this inequality again from \( t \) to \( \infty \), we get
\[ z''(t) \leq - (1 - p_0)^\beta/z(t) M^{(\beta/\alpha)} \frac{1}{\alpha} ds. \] (18)

for all \( \mu_2 \in (0, 1) \). By differentiating \( w \) and using (15) and (18), we find
\[ w'(t) = \frac{z''(t)}{z(t)} - \left( \frac{z'(t)}{z(t)} \right)^2 \]
\[ \leq -w^2(t) - (1 - p_0)^\beta/z(t) M^{(\beta/\alpha)} \frac{1}{\alpha} ds. \] (19)

hence
\[ w'(t) + Q_2(t) + w^2(t) \leq 0. \]

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q_1(t)} \int_t^\infty R_1(s) \tilde{Q}_1^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(s) ds > \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}} \] (20)

and
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q_2(t)} \int_0^\infty \tilde{Q}_2^2(s) ds > \frac{1}{4}, \] (21)

where
\[ \tilde{Q}_1(t) = \int_t^\infty Q_1(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Q}_2(t) = \int_t^\infty Q_2(s) ds. \] (22)

Then, (1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Assume to the contrary that (1) has a nonoscillatory solution in \([t_0, \infty)\). Without loss of generality, we let \( x \) be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a \( t_1 \geq t_0 \) such that \( x(t) > 0 \), \( x(\tau(t)) > 0 \) and \( x(\sigma(t)) > 0 \) for \( t \geq t_1 \). From Lemma 5
there is two cases. For case (C1). Using Lemma 6, we obtain (10) holds. Integrating (10) from $t$ to $l$, we get
\[ \omega(l) - \omega(t) + \int_t^l Q_1(s) \, ds + \int_t^l R_1(s) \omega^{1/\alpha}(s) \, ds \leq 0. \]
Letting $l \to \infty$ and using $\omega > 0$ and $\omega' < 0$, we have
\[ \omega(t) \geq \tilde{Q}_1(t) + \int_\infty^t R_1(s) \omega^{1/\alpha}(s) \, ds. \] (23)
This implies
\[ \frac{\omega(t)}{\tilde{Q}_1(t)} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}_1(t)} \int_t^\infty R_1(s) \tilde{Q}_1^{1/\alpha}(s) \left( \frac{\omega(s)}{\tilde{Q}_1(s)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \, ds. \] (24)
Let $\lambda = \inf_{t \geq T} \omega(t) / \tilde{Q}_1(t)$. Then obviously $\lambda \geq 1$. Thus, from (20) and (24) we see that
\[ \lambda \geq 1 + \alpha \left( \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} \right)^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \]
or
\[ \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} \geq 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} \right)^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}, \]
which contradicts the admissible value of $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$.

The proof of the case where (C2) holds is the same as that of case (C1). Therefore, the proof is complete.

Define a sequence of functions $\{u_n(t)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{v_n(t)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as
\[ u_0(t) = \tilde{Q}_1(t), \quad v_0(t) = \tilde{Q}_2(t), \]
\[ u_n(t) = u_0(t) + \int_t^\infty R_1(s) u_n^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(s) \, ds, \quad n > 1, \]
\[ v_n(t) = v_0(t) + \int_t^\infty v_n^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(s) \, ds, \quad n > 1, \] (25)
where $\tilde{Q}_1$ and $\tilde{Q}_2$ defined as in (22). We see by induction that $u_n(t) \leq u_{n+1}(t)$ and $v_n(t) \leq v_{n+1}(t)$ for $t \geq t_0$, $n > 1$.

**Theorem 3.** Let $u_n(t)$ and $v_n(t)$ be defined as in (25). If
\[ \limsup_{t \to \infty} \left( \frac{\mu_1 t^3}{6r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \right)^\alpha u_n(t) > 1 \] (26)
and
\[ \limsup_{t \to \infty} \lambda tv_n(t) > 1, \] (27)
for some $n$, then (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that (1) has a nonoscillatory solution in \([t_0, \infty)\). Without loss of generality, we let \(x\) be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a \(t_1 \geq t_0\) such that \(x(t) > 0\), \(x(\tau(t)) > 0\) and \(x(\sigma(t)) > 0\) for \(t \geq t_1\). From Lemma 5 there are two cases.

In the case (\(C_1\)), proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 6, we get that (14) holds. It follows from Lemma 2 that
\[
z(t) \geq \frac{\mu_1 t^3}{6} z'''(t). \tag{28}
\]

From definition of \(\omega(t)\) and (28), we have
\[
\frac{1}{\omega(t)} = \frac{1}{r(t)} \left( \frac{z(t) z'''(t)}{z'(t)} \right) \geq \frac{1}{r(t)} \left( \frac{\mu_1 t^3}{6} \right)^{\alpha}.
\]
Thus,
\[
\omega(t) \left( \frac{\mu_1 t^3}{6r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \right)^{\alpha} \leq 1.
\]
Therefore,
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \omega(t) \left( \frac{\mu_1 t^3}{6r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \right)^{\alpha} \leq 1,
\]
which contradicts (26).

The proof of the case where (\(C_2\)) holds is the same as that of case (\(C_1\)). Therefore, the proof is complete.

Corollary 1. Let \(u_n(t)\) and \(v_n(t)\) be defined as in (25). If
\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} Q_1(t) \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} R_1(s) u_n^{1/\alpha}(s) \, ds \right) \, dt = \infty \tag{29}
\]
and
\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} Q_2(t) \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} v_n^{1/\alpha}(s) \, ds \right) \, dt = \infty, \tag{30}
\]
for some \(n\), then (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that (1) has a nonoscillatory solution in \([t_0, \infty)\). Without loss of generality, we let \(x\) be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a \(t_1 \geq t_0\) such that \(x(t) > 0\), \(x(\tau(t)) > 0\) and \(x(\sigma(t)) > 0\) for \(t \geq t_1\). From Lemma 5 there are two cases.

In the case (\(C_1\)), proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2, we get that (23) holds. It follows from (23) that \(\omega(t) \geq u_0(t)\). Moreover, by induction we can also see that \(\omega(t) \geq u_n(t)\) for \(t \geq t_0\), \(n > 1\). Since the sequence \(\{u_n(t)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\) is monotone increasing and bounded above, it converges to \(u(t)\). Thus, by using Lebesgue’s monotone convergence theorem, we see that
\[
u(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} R_1(t) u^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(s) \, ds + u_0(t)
\]
and
\[
u'(t) = -R_1(t) u^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(t) - Q_1(t),
\]
\[(31)\]

Since \( u_n(t) \leq u(t) \), it follows from (31) that
\[
u'(t) \leq -R_1(t) u_n^{1/\alpha}(t) u(t) - Q_1(t).
\]

Hence, we get
\[
u(t) \leq \exp \left( -\int_T^t R_1(s) u_n^{1/\alpha}(s) \, ds \right) \left( u(T) - \int_T^t Q_1(s) \exp \left( \int_T^s R_1(u) u_n^{1/\alpha}(u) \, du \right) \, ds \right).
\]

This implies
\[
\int_T^t Q_1(s) \exp \left( \int_T^s R_1(u) u_n^{1/\alpha}(u) \, du \right) \, ds \leq u(T) < \infty,
\]
which contradicts (29). The proof of the case where (C_2) holds is the same as that of case (C_1). Therefore, the proof is complete.

4. Further results

Lemma 7. Assume that \( x \) is an eventually positive solution of (1) and
\[
p \left( \tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)) \right) \geq \left( \frac{\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t))}{\tau^{-1}(t)} \right)^3.
\]
\[(32)\]

Then
\[
(r(t)(z''(t))^{\alpha})' + q(t) \tilde{p}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) z^{\beta}(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))) \leq 0,
\]
\[(33)\]

where
\[
\tilde{p}(t) := \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left( 1 - \frac{(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))^2}{(\tau^{-1}(t))^{\alpha} p(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} \right) & \text{for case (C_1)}; \\
\frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left( 1 - \frac{(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))^2}{(\tau^{-1}(t))^{\alpha} p(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} \right) & \text{for case (C_2)}.
\end{cases}
\]
\[(34)\]

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4, we get that (4) holds. It follows from Lemma 5 that there exist two possible cases (C_1) and (C_2). From the definition of \( z(t) \), we see that
\[
x(t) = \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left( z(\tau^{-1}(t)) - x(\tau^{-1}(t)) \right).
\]

By repeating the same process, we find that
\[
x(t) = \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(t))}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} - \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1}(t))} \left( \frac{z(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))}{p(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} - \frac{x(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))}{p(\tau^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(t)))} \right).
\]
and

\[ z (\tau^{-1} (t)) \leq \left( \frac{\tau^{-1} (\tau^{-1} (t))}{\tau^{-1} (t)} \right)^3 z (\tau^{-1} (t)). \]  

(36)

From (35) and (36), we find that

\[ x(t) \geq \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1} (t))} \left( 1 - \frac{(\tau^{-1} (\tau^{-1} (t)))^3}{(\tau^{-1} (t))^3 p(\tau^{-1} (\tau^{-1} (t)))} \right) z (\tau^{-1} (t)). \]  

(37)

Assume that Case \((C_1)\) holds. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 6, we get that (13) holds, which with the fact that \(\tau (t) \leq t\) gives

\[ \tau^{-1} (t) z (\tau^{-1} (\tau^{-1} (t))) \leq \tau^{-1} (\tau^{-1} (t)) z (\tau^{-1} (t)). \]  

(38)

From (35) and (38), we find

\[ x(t) \geq \frac{1}{p(\tau^{-1} (t))} \left( 1 - \frac{(\tau^{-1} (\tau^{-1} (t)))}{(\tau^{-1} (t))^3 p(\tau^{-1} (\tau^{-1} (t)))} \right) z (\tau^{-1} (t)). \]  

(39)

Next, from (37) and (39), we get that

\[ x(t) \geq \tilde{p} (t) z (\tau^{-1} (t)), \]

which with (1) yields (33). Therefore, the proof is complete.

**Lemma 8.** Assume that \(\sigma (t) \leq \tau (t)\), \(x\) is an eventually positive solution of (1) and the functions \(\omega\) and \(w\) are defined as in (9).

(I3) If \(x\) satisfies \((C_1)\), then

\[ \omega' (t) + Q_3 (t) + R_1 (t) \omega^{\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha}} (t) \leq 0; \]

(I4) If \(x\) satisfies \((C_2)\), then

\[ w' (t) + Q_4 (t) + w^2 (t) \leq 0, \]

where

\[ Q_3 (t) = q(t) \rho^3 (\sigma (t)) M_3^{3-\alpha} \left( \frac{\tau^{-1} (\sigma (t))}{t} \right)^{3\alpha} \]

and

\[ Q_4 (t) = \tilde{\rho}^{\beta/\alpha} (\sigma (s)) M_4^{(\beta/\alpha)-1} \int_t^\infty \left( \frac{1}{r(s)} \int_u^\infty q(s) \left( \frac{\tau^{-1} (\sigma (s))}{s} \right)^{\beta} ds \right)^{1/\alpha} du. \]
Proof. Assume that $x$ is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0$, $x(x(t)) > 0$ and $x(x(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Using Lemma 7, we obtain that (33) holds.

In the case (C1), by differentiating $\omega$ and using (33), we obtain

$$\omega'(t) \leq -q(t) \beta (\sigma(t)) \frac{z^2 (\tau^{-1} (\sigma(t)))}{z^2 (t)} - \alpha r(t) \frac{(z''(t))^2}{z(t)}.$$  

(40)

From Lemma 1, we have that

$$z(t) \geq \frac{t}{3} \omega'(t) \text{ and hence } \frac{z(\tau^{-1} (\sigma(t)))}{z(t)} \geq \frac{(\tau^{-1} (\sigma(t)))^3}{t^3}.$$  

(41)

It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$z'(t) \geq \frac{\mu_1}{2} t^2 z''(t),$$  

(42)

for all $\mu_1 \in (0, 1)$ and every sufficiently large $t$. Since $z'(t) > 0$, there exist a $t_2 \geq t_1$ and a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$z(t) > M,$$  

(43)

for $t \geq t_2$. Thus, by (40), (41), (42) and (43), we get

$$\omega'(t) + Q_3(t) + R_1(t) \frac{z^{\alpha+1}}{z(t)} \leq 0.$$  

In the case (C2), integrating (33) from $t$ to $u$, we obtain

$$r(u) \left( \frac{(z''(u))^2}{z(t)} \right)^{\alpha} - r(t) \left( \frac{(z''(t))^2}{z(t)} \right)^{\alpha} \leq - \int_t^u q(s) \beta (\sigma(s)) \left( \frac{z^2 (\tau^{-1} (\sigma(s)))}{z(t)} \right) \, ds \leq 0.$$  

(44)

From Lemma 1, we get that

$$z(t) \geq tz'(t) \text{ and hence } z(\tau^{-1} (\sigma(t))) \geq \frac{(\tau^{-1} (\sigma(t)))^3}{t^3}.$$  

(45)

For (44), letting $u \to \infty$ and using (45), we see that

$$r(t) \left( \frac{(z''(t))^2}{z(t)} \right)^{\alpha} \geq \frac{\beta}{2} (\sigma(s)) \int_t^\infty q(s) \left( \frac{\tau^{-1} (\sigma(s))}{s} \right)^{\beta} \, ds.$$  

Integrating this inequality again from $t$ to $\infty$, we get

$$z''(t) \leq -\frac{\beta}{2} (\sigma(s)) \left( \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^\infty q(s) \left( \frac{\tau^{-1} (\sigma(s))}{s} \right)^{\beta} \, ds \right)^{1/\alpha} du,$$  

(46)

for all $\mu_2 \in (0, 1)$. By differentiating $w$ and using (15) and (46), we find

$$w'(t) = \frac{z''(t)}{z(t)} - \left( \frac{z'(t)}{z(t)} \right)^2.$$
\[
\leq -w^2(t) - \tilde{p}^{\beta/\alpha}(\sigma(s)) M^{(\beta/\alpha)-1} \int_t^\infty \left( \frac{1}{r(u)} \int_u^\infty q(s) \left( \frac{\tau^{-1}(\sigma(s))}{s} \right)^\beta ds \right)^{1/\alpha} du,
\]

hence
\[
w'(t) + Q_4(t) + w^2(t) \leq 0.
\]
The proof is complete.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}_3(t)} \int_t^\infty R_1(s) \tilde{Q}_3^{\alpha+1}(s) ds > \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha + 1) \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}},
\]
and
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}_4(t)} \int_t^\infty \tilde{Q}_4^2(s) ds > \frac{1}{4},
\]
where
\[
\tilde{Q}_3(t) = \int_t^\infty Q_3(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Q}_4(t) = \int_t^\infty Q_4(s) ds.
\]
Then, (1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2,

**Example 1.** Consider the differential equation
\[
\left( x(t) + 16x \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) \right)^{(4)} + \frac{q_0}{t^4} x \left( \frac{t}{6} \right) = 0.
\]
We note that \( \alpha = \beta = 1, r(t) = 1, p(t) = 16, \tau(t) = t/2, \sigma(t) = t/6 \) and \( q(t) = q_0/t^4 \).

Hence, it is easy to see that
\[
\tilde{Q}_3(t) = \frac{q_0}{3^4 (32) t^3}
\]
and
\[
\tilde{Q}_4(t) = \frac{7q_0}{3^2 (256) t}.
\]

Using conditions (48) and (49), we see that equation (50) is oscillatory if \( q_0 > 3888 \).

5. Conclusion

In this work, we offer some new sufficient conditions which ensure that any solution of (1) oscillates under the condition \( \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds = \infty \). And we can try to get some oscillation criteria of (1) under the condition \( \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} ds < \infty \), in the future work.
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