In this work, we focus on Mg–Fe–O and Mg–Ni–O with Mg-rich compositions as positive-electrode materials for magnesium rechargeable batteries, and prepare them by a thermal decomposition of precipitates obtained by a solution method. It is indicated from X-ray diffraction patterns that the Mg–Fe–O and Mg–Ni–O samples have the spinel and rocksalt structures, respectively. X-ray absorption near edge structures indicate that Fe and Ni are trivalent and divalent, respectively, in the Mg-rich oxides. From charge/discharge cycle test, it is demonstrated that the Mg–Fe–O shows higher discharge capacity than the other and then has good cycle performance while keeping a discharge capacity over 100 mA h g⁻¹. To gain deeper understanding on a relationship between the electrode properties and the crystal structure of the Mg–Fe–O, the crystal structure is investigated by a Rietveld refinement using a synchrotron X-ray diffraction profile and an analysis on total correlation functions. It is indicated from these studies that a vacant octahedral site in the spinel structure is partially occupied by the excess Mg in the synthesized sample. This structural feature might result in a stable charge/discharge cycle performance of the Mg-rich Mg–Fe–O.

As is well known, rechargeable batteries like lithium-ion batteries have been used as energy sources of portable electronic devices in the last few decades. In recent years, however, the batteries are expected to be applied for large stationary systems to enhance usage efficiencies of renewable energies and thus reduce environmental load. To realize such a system, it is considered as a key issue to develop a novel battery with higher energy density than commercialized lithium-ion batteries. As post lithium ion batteries, magnesium rechargeable batteries (MRB) have drawn much attention since Aurbach et al. proposed the prototype batteries which used a sulfide and a Mg metal as the positive and negative electrode materials, respectively. One of the most important advantages is a fact that a higher energy density can be achieved theoretically in MRB compared with the lithium ion batteries due to higher valence of Mg ion than Li ion. On the other hand, many researchers have suffered from inferior Mg ion diffusion in electrode materials of MRB because the higher valence of Mg ion (Mg²⁺) induces strong electrostatic interaction between Mg²⁺ and anions. To overcome this problem, transition-metal oxides with various crystal structures have been investigated actively in the last decade, and MgM₁O₄ with a spinel structure, MgMO₂ with a disordered rocksalt structure, Mg₂MnO₄ with a deficient spinel structure, and magnesiumated LiₓM₁₋ₓO₂ with a layered structure (M: transition metals) were reported as promising candidates for the positive-electrode materials of MRB, although the electrode properties are still insufficient for a commercial use unfortunately. To establish a guideline for development of novel positive-electrode materials with better electrochemical properties, most of the previous works paid special attention on the crystal structures since diffusion mechanisms of Mg²⁺ in the electrode materials must be related to their atomic configurations. In addition, some studies focused on a Mg/M ratio and investigated effects of the ratio on electrochemical properties and crystal structures. For example,
Mg(Co, Mn)₂O₄ nanoparticle exhibited higher discharge capacity when the material had slight excess amount of Mg, i.e., Mg₁.04Co₁.46Mn₀.6O₄, and a spinel-type Mg–V–O material with high Mg/V ratio, Mg(Mg₀.5V₁.₅–xNiₓ)O₄, showed relatively good cycle performances, as reported previously15,20. At the moment, however, there are a few works on positive-electrode materials with Mg-rich composition.

From such background, we tried to prepare two kinds of magnesium transition-metal oxides with Mg-rich compositions. One of the oxides is a Mg–Fe–O-based material with a spinel structure. This is because Fe-containing oxides with a spinel structure can suppress electrolyte decomposition significantly during a charging process and thus are expected to show superior cycle performance9,16. To obtain the spinel oxide with Mg-rich composition, we focused on a thermal decomposition method of a layered double hydroxide (LDH) as a synthetic process, taking previous works on Mg–Fe LDH with high Mg/Fe ratios into account27,28. The other oxides with a Mg-rich composition is a Mg–Ni–O with a rocksalt structure since a previous work had an investigation only on the rocksalt oxide with Mg-poor composition21. These obtained products with Mg-rich compositions were investigated by charge/discharge cycle tests and atomic-configuration analyses using synchrotron X-ray diffraction patterns.

Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows X-ray diffraction patterns (Cu Kα) of the Mg–Fe–O and Mg–Ni–O after the thermal decomposition. As can be seen in this figure, these samples have different crystal structures apparently. Regarding the Mg–Fe–O sample, the Bragg peaks can be attributed to the spinel structure although the detailed structure is discussed below. On the other hand, the Mg–Ni–O specimen has a single phase of the rocksalt structure. Table 1 lists metal composition ratios of these oxides estimated by an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrosopy (ICP-AES). It is found that the analytical compositions are essentially equal to the nominal values. This result suggests that the Mg-rich samples can be successfully synthesized by means of the preparation method applied in this work. For these samples, X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra of the transition metals were also measured to evaluate the valences, and the results are shown in Fig. 2. It is demonstrated from the spectra that Fe in the Mg–Fe–O is trivalent and Ni in the Mg–Ni–O is divalent. Taking these results into account, the Mg–Ni–O sample can be expressed as Mg₀.₆₉₃Ni₀.₃₀₇O with the rocksalt structure, which is a solid solution of rocksalt-type MgO and NiO with divalent cations. On the other hand, the Mg–Fe–O has the spinel (AB₂O₄)-based structure, which can be regarded as a metal-deficient rocksalt structure due to the higher metal valence of Fe than divalent. Since the oxygen content in the sample can be assumed to be 4, a formula of the Mg-rich Mg–Fe–O can be described as Mg₂.₄₄₆Fe₁.₀₃₆O₄ on the basis of the electroneutrality condition, 2[Mg²⁺] + 3[Fe³⁺] = 2[O²⁻], and the analytical composition ratio (Table 1).

Figure 3a and b show charge/discharge curves of the Mg–Fe–O and Mg–Ni–O samples with a cut-off potential of −1.155 ~ 0.845 V versus Ag/Ag⁺. In both the samples, the first charge capacities are very low (less than 10 mA h g⁻¹), suggesting that the transition metals cannot be oxidized within the potential range and thus Mg cannot be removed from the crystals. As for the Mg–Fe–O, the first discharge capacity was around 65 mA h g⁻¹, and this capacity can be considered to be induced by Mg²⁺ insertion into the vacant site (the 16c site) of the spinel structure (Fig. S1)⁹. It is also seen in the figure that the cycle performance of the Mg–Fe–O with the spinel structure is rather good, and this result is in accordance with a previous work on Mg(Mn, Fe)₂O₄⁸, i.e., MgFe₂O₄ exhibited the highest capacity-retention rate among Mg(Mn, Fe)₂O₄. Moreover, it is supposed that the Mg-rich
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Figure 2. XANES spectra at (a) Fe K-edge of Mg–Fe–O and (b) Ni K-edge of Mg–Ni–O with reference materials.

Figure 3. Charge/discharge curves of (a) Mg–Fe–O and (b) Mg–Ni–O with a cut-off potential of −1.155 ~ 0.845 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺ (1.445 ~ 3.445 V vs. Mg/Mg²⁺). (c) Charge/discharge curves of Mg–Fe–O with a cut-off potential of −1.6 ~ 1.1 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺ (1.0 ~ 3.7 V vs. Mg/Mg²⁺) are also presented. All the measurements were carried out at 90 °C with the current density of 5 mA g⁻¹.
sample can deliver capacities comparable to MgFe₂O₄ even though the cut-off potential applied here is higher for the discharge than the literature. From the result, it can be considered that the high Mg/Fe ratio can improve the positive-electrode properties. On the other hand, the capacity of the Mg–Ni–O is very low even after the first discharge process. This means that the Mg-rich composition does not have a positive influence on the electrode properties in the case of rocksalt-type materials.

To investigate positive-electrode properties of the Mg–Fe–O with the Mg-rich composition deeply, we made the cut-off potential wider, and give the experimental result in Fig. 3c. This cycle test was started from a discharge process since Mg cannot be extracted from the crystal as mentioned above. Both the discharge and charge capacities are enhanced significantly by changing the cut-off potential and are beyond 100 mA h g⁻¹ after several cycles, although the charge capacities are smaller than the discharge capacities, indicating unexpected reactions at the low potential region. The metal composition of the electrode after the discharge was also investigated by ICP-AES to evaluate the Mg insertion amount. As a result, the Mg insertion amount during the discharge was estimated as 0.475, corresponds to 140 mA h g⁻¹. This analysis supports that the Mg-rich sample can deliver higher capacity than 100 mA h g⁻¹, although the estimated capacity is deviated from the electrochemically- obtained discharge capacity due to unexpected reaction in this experimental condition. From these results, the Mg–Fe–O-based spinel oxide with the Mg-rich composition can be regarded as one of the promising candidates of a positive-electrode material of MRB.

Since the Mg insertion/deinsertion process must be related to the atomic configuration, we investigated a crystal structure of the Mg-rich iron oxide in detail by a Rietveld refinement using a synchrotron X-ray diffraction pattern. According to the result of preliminary XRD measurement (Fig. 1), ICP-AES (Table 1), and XANES spectra (Fig. 2), the sample can be regarded as Mg₁₂.₄₆Fe₁.₀₃₆O₄ with the spinel structure (S. G.: Fd-3 m). Since a total amount of cations is beyond 3 in this formula, the excess amount of the metals should be located at the interstitial sites (the 16c site) in the spinel structure. Under the assumption, the Rietveld refinement using the synchrotron X-ray diffraction pattern was performed firstly. In the refinement, site occupancies of Mg and Fe were refined to optimize distribution of the metals while keeping the atomic ratio of Mg:Fe:O = 2.446:1.036:4. As a result, the Fe occupancy at the 16c site became an inappropriate negative value, and thus we fixed the Fe occupancy as 0. The refinement result is presented in Fig. 4a. It is found that most of Bragg peaks can be fitted well by using a single-phase model of the spinel structure. As can be seen in the enlarged view (2θ = 13.0–14.5 degrees), however, there is an extra peak beside that of the spinel phase. Since the extra peaks were supposed to be attributed to MgO (an impurity phase), we performed the Rietveld refinement again under assumption that MgO in addition to the spinel phase was formed due to the high Mg/Fe content. Figure 4b, c, and Table 2 show the refinement pattern, the refined crystal structure of the spinel phase, and the structural parameters, respectively. In the analysis, we decreased a Mg content in the spinel phase taking the MgO amount into account. From the structural parameters (Table 2), it is demonstrated that a revised composition of the spinel structure can be expressed as Mg₁₁.₆₅₁Fe₁.₅₆₆O₄ (theoretical capacity for Mg insertion: 219 mA h g⁻¹) and a significant amount of Mg occupies the 16c site in the spinel structure. As is well known, if all cations exist at the 16c and 16d sites (octahedral sites) in the spinel structure, this structure is almost equal to the rocksalt structure (Fig. S1). Considering this relation between the spinel and rocksalt structures, the crystal structure of the Mg-rich Mg₁₁.₆₅₁Fe₁.₅₆₆O₄ can be regarded as an intermediate structure between the spinel and the rocksalt structures.

To confirm the existence of excess Mg at the interstitial site (the 16c site) from the view point of local structure, a total correlation function, T(r), of the Mg-rich sample was obtained from an X-ray total scattering measurement, and the function is presented in Fig. 5. As a reference, T(r) of MgFe₂O₄ without excess Mg is also given in this figure. As can be seen, there is a peak at a shorter distance than 2 Å in the Mg-rich Mg–Fe–O whereas this peak does not exist in MgFe₂O₄. This difference suggests that there are cations at interstitial positions of the spinel structure in the Mg-rich sample, since interatomic distances in the secondary phase (MgO) should be longer than 2 Å based on the refined lattice constant (Table 2). This result supports the above conclusion that the Mg-rich Mg–Fe–O has the intermediate structure between the spinel and the rocksalt structures.

According to previous works, the Mg insertion into the spinel structure during a discharging process accompanies a phase transition to the rocksalt structure, and this phase transition has a negative influence on cycle performances of spinel-type positive-electrode materials. To overcome this problem, previous works tried to suppress the phase transition of the spinel structure to the rocksalt structure as far as possible. On the other hand, we succeeded here in achievement of the good positive-electrode property by synthesizing the Mg-rich Mg–Fe–O with the intermediate structure of the spinel and the rocksalt structures. This can be regarded as a new strategy to improve positive-electrode properties of MRB.

Conclusions
In this work, the Mg–Fe–O and Mg–Ni–O samples with the high Mg contents were prepared, and the positive-electrode properties as MRB and the crystal structures were investigated. It is found from the preliminary XRD measurement that the iron-based oxide has the spinel structure whereas the nickel-based oxide has the rocksalt structure. Although the charge/discharge capacity of the Mg–Ni–O sample is poor, the Mg–Fe–O with the Mg-rich composition exhibits good cycle performance. To study the crystal structure of the Mg–Fe–O in detail, the Rietveld refinement is carried out. As a result, it is indicated that the excess Mg occupies the vacant octahedral site in the spinel structure, and the atomic configuration can be regarded as the intermediate structure of the spinel and rocksalt structures. Considering the discharge/charge mechanism of the spinel-type electrode material, it can be concluded that the excess Mg in the Mg-rich Mg–Fe–O has an important role for the good cycle performance.
Figure 4. (a) Rietveld refinement pattern of Mg–Fe–O under an assumption that the sample is a single phase of the spinel structure. An enlarged image around 13.0–14.5 degrees is also presented as an inset. (b) Rietveld refinement pattern of Mg–Fe–O under an assumption that the sample is composed from the Mg-rich spinel oxide and MgO (a rocksalt structure). The red plus marks show observed intensities, and the green solid line represents calculated intensities. The vertical bars indicate positions of allowed Bragg reflections [(a) spinel; (b) spinel (upper), rocksalt (lower)]. The blue curve at the bottom is a difference between the observed and calculated intensities at the same scale. (c) Refined crystal structure of the Mg-rich spinel oxide (Mg1.651Fe1.566O4). This figure was created using the CrystalMaker 10.7.

Table 2. Refined structural parameters of Mg-rich Mg–Fe–O under an assumption that the sample is composed from (a) the Mg-rich spinel oxide (S. G.: Fd-3 m) and (b) MgO with a rocksalt structure (S. G.: Fm-3 m). The spinel phase can be expressed as Mg1.651Fe1.566O4, and the weight ratio is 69.6%. In this model, the 16c site is occupied partially by the excess Mg. Lattice parameters: \( a = 8.39483(8) \) Å (spinel) and \( a = 4.2127(1) \) Å (rocksalt). \( R \) factors are \( R_{wp} = 5.69 \%), \( R_p = 4.44 \%) \), and \( R_e = 2.22 \% \).
Figure 5. Total correlation function, $T(r)$, of Mg-rich Mg–Fe–O. That of MgFe$_2$O$_4$ with the spinel structure is also presented as a reference.

Methods

To synthesize the spinel-type Mg–Fe–O with a Mg-rich composition, a Mg–Fe LDH was prepared as a first step, according to literature$^{27,28}$. MgCl$_2$·6H$_2$O and FeCl$_2$·6H$_2$O were dissolved into pure water to achieve [Mg]∶[Fe] of 2∶1, and pH of the aqueous solution was controlled at 13.3 by adding 2.5 mol dm$^{-3}$ KOH aqueous solution. After continuous stirring for 24 h, the obtained precipitate (Mg–Fe LDH) was dried in air at 100 °C for 12 h. The Mg–Fe–LDH was fired in air at 550 °C for 10 h as a second step, and then a final product was obtained. Regarding a rocksalt-type Mg–Ni–O, the sample was prepared by a thermal decomposition of precipitate which was obtained in the similar manner to the Mg–Fe–O. In the preparation process, we used MgCl$_2$·6H$_2$O and NiCl$_2$·6H$_2$O as starting materials.

Phases of these samples were identified preliminarily by laboratorial X-ray diffraction measurements (Empyrean, PANalytical), and their metal compositions were evaluated by ICP-AES (ICPE-9000, Shimadzu). Transition-metal valences in the samples were estimated by analyzing XANES spectra measured at BL14B2 (SPring-8). To investigate the crystal structure in detail, synchrotron X-ray diffraction profiles (Bragg profiles) were measured with a wave length of 0.5 Å (BL19B2, SPring-8), and the crystal structure were analyzed by a Rietveld refinement with a Rietan-FP program$^{29}$. X-ray total scattering measurements were also carried out with a wave length of 0.2 Å (BL04B2, SPring-8) to discuss the atomic configuration from the viewpoint of local structure. The scattering data were normalized into Faber-Ziman structure factors, $S(Q)$, and then transformed to total correlation function, $T(r)$, by a Fourier transform$^{30}$. This correction process was performed using a standard program$^{31}$.

Positive-electrode properties of the samples were investigated at 90 °C by charge/discharge cycle tests using a three-electrode cell. Each positive electrode was prepared by mixing the electrode material, Super C65, and PTFE with a weight ratio of 5∶5∶1. The negative and reference electrodes were Mg alloy (AZ31) and Ag, respectively, and the electrolyte was 0.3 mol dm$^{-3}$ [Mg(G4)][TFSA]/[PYR13][TFSA]$^{32}$. The current density was 5 mA g$^{-1}$, and the cut-off potential was −1.155 to 0.845 V versus Ag/Ag$^+$ or −1.6 to 1.1 V versus Ag/Ag$^+$. These potentials can be converted to those vs. Mg/Mg$^2+$, according to literature$^{32}$.
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