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Abstract—This paper investigates the effect of an external plane wave on a multi-conductor transmission line (MTL) located above a multilayer soil directly in the time domain. An improved finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method is used, in conjunction with Vector Fitting (VF), to obtain the recursion relations of voltages and currents along the line by discretizing the equations in time and one-dimensional space. The source terms of the coupling equations are efficiently obtained in the time domain based on the Gaver-Stehfest algorithm. An equivalent model is also established in this work, where the geometry with three conductors is reduced to two conductors. Finally, some examples are presented to illustrate the effect of the soil and the plane wave on the transient.

1. INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic field interaction with transmission lines is an important topic in electromagnetic compatibility [1]. Many researchers have investigated the field-to-transmission line coupling by calculating the transient currents and voltages induced on the line. In most cases, the authors assumed that the multi-wire structure is placed above a homogeneous medium, but a few works have involved the case of stratified medium. Doric et al. in [2] deal with the plane wave coupling to multiple transmission lines over lossy half-space for an arbitrary angle of incidence. The influence of the lossy half-space has been taken into account via the reflection coefficient (RC) approximation instead of the Sommerfeld integral approach. Rachidi in [3] evaluates the time-domain representation of transmission line above a homogeneous medium. The general expression for the ground impedance had been invested in the time domain using the inverse Fourier transform. The authors in [4] developed an efficient method for modeling a dispersive transmission line illuminated by an external field in the presence of a homogeneous ground. The transmission line is represented as a two-port stamp which only included resistive elements and dependent current sources. The time-domain analysis of transmission line coupling to external plane wave requires an expression for the transient reflected field from a finitely conducting half-space. This means that it is appropriate to find a time-domain representation of the Fresnel coefficients (FC). Barnes and Tesche [5] developed an approximate analytical expression for the transient earth-reflected field. The approximation reached from the inversion of the Laplace transform led to good results only for large values of the elevation angle. Other methods based on the decomposition of the frequency-domain (FC) into space-dependent and space-frequency-dependent functions are presented by Antonijevic and Poljak in [6]. The space-frequency-dependent function is derived by using Graver-Stehfest algorithm [7].

The transient analysis of transmission line plane wave coupling above a stratified medium in the time domain has been the subject of a few studies. Lu et al. [8] present a new method in time domain to consider the effect of the multilayer soil based on the complex ground return plane and a continuous variation of the surface impedance between layers, but the displacement current was not considered.
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Transient analysis of incident field coupling to multiconductor transmission lines has been the subject treated in [9] by using the complex ground return plane method presented in [8] and the (FC) in the frequency domain.

The aim of the paper is to present an efficient method for the time domain analysis of plane wave coupling to an overhead line in the presence of multilayer earth. The formulation of transmission line equations is based on the Agrawal model [10] involving only the external electric field components in distributed sources along the line. The inclusion of Fresnel coefficients to estimate the distributed sources is straightforward for numerical calculations in the frequency domain but becomes complicated if their time domain counterparts are needed due to the difficulty in finding time analytical expressions of (FC) for stratified medium. In this paper, a novel expression of (FC) is presented by exploiting the method proposed in [6] and the expressions of the reflection coefficients in frequency domain for stratified medium developed in [11].

To attain a correct evaluation of transients on overhead lines above lossy stratified earth, an appropriate choice of the earth-return impedance is needed. In this work, the Nakagawa model is adopted [12], and the choice of this model is based on the fact that it takes into consideration the propagation effect contrary to the Sunde model [13] used by Rachidi in [3]. The vector fitting developed in [14] is used to reach a time-domain expression of this model [12]. To characterize the transmission line in the time domain, we use in this work the FDTD in leap-frog scheme [15, 16], and finally an equivalent model of multiple conductor systems is presented.

2. TRANSMISSION LINE EQUATIONS

Consider \( N_c \) uniform conductors with the same radius \( r \), at a position \( x_i \) and a height \( y_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_c \)) parallel to \( z \)-axis; the sub-index \( i \) denotes the particular wire of the multiconductor line. The wired structure is located above a stratified medium, which is composed of three layers. Each layer is characterized by a constant permittivity \( \epsilon_j = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_{rgj} \), permeability \( \mu_j = \mu_0 \), and conductivity \( \sigma_j (j = 1, 2, 3) \). \( \mu_0 \) and \( \epsilon_0 \) are electrical parameters of the free space. The structure is excited by an arbitrary polarization plane wave as shown in Fig. 1.

![Figure 1](image_url)  
(a) Geometry of multiconductor transmission line above stratified earth excited by a plane wave. (b) Cross-section of the wired structure.

The MTL equations for the general case for these conductors immersed in an incident field are expressed for the voltage vector \( V(z, t) \) and current vector \( I(z, t) \) in the time domain at a position \( z \) as follows

\[
\frac{\partial V(z, t)}{\partial z} + Z(t) \otimes I(z, t) = V^s_R(z, t)
\]  (1)
\[
\frac{\partial I(z,t)}{\partial z} + C \frac{\partial V(z,t)}{\partial t} = I_F^s(z,t)
\]

(2)

\[V_F^s(z,t)\text{ and } I_F^s(z,t)\] represent the source terms which can be expressed as

\[
V_F^s(z,t) = \tilde{E}_z(z,t) - \frac{\partial E_T(z,t)}{\partial z}
\]

(3)

\[
I_F^s(z,t) = -C \frac{\partial E_T(z,t)}{\partial t}
\]

(4)

where \(\tilde{E}_z(z,t) = E_z(x,h,z,t) - E_z(x,0,z,t)\), \(E_T(z,t) = \int_0^h E_y dy\), and \(E_z, E_y\) are \(z\) and \(y\) axis components of the primary electric field, respectively.

The time domain expression of the impedance \(Z(t)\) is obtained by applying the Vector Fitting method [14] on the frequency-dependent series impedance matrix \(Z\),

\[Z(t) \equiv Z = Z_w + Z_e\]

(5)

where \(Z_w\) represents the per-unit-length internal impedance of the conductors in the cable system. For thin solid conductors, \(Z_w\) is defined as [17]

\[Z_{w,mn} \simeq \delta_{mn} \left( \frac{k_{wn}}{2\pi \sigma_{wn}} \right) I_0(k_{wn}r), \quad \delta_{mn} = \{ 1, \ m = n \}
\]

(6)

In Eq. (6), \(k_{wn} = \sqrt{s\mu_{wn}\sigma_{wn} + s\varepsilon_{wn}}\) and \(\mu_{wn}, \varepsilon_{wn}, \sigma_{wn}\), are the electrical parameters of the \(n\)th conductor. \(I_0\) and \(I_1\) are the modified Bessel functions. The influence of the earth return path \(Z_e\) is expressed by

\[Z_e = sL + \frac{s\mu_0 I}{\pi} J\]

(7)

where \(L\) is the per-unit-length inductance matrix whose elements are

\[L_{mn} = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{\rho_{mn}^s}{\rho_{mn}} \right),
\]

(8)

with \(\rho_{mn} = \sqrt{(x_m - x_n)^2 + (y_m - y_n)^2}\) and \(\rho_{mn}^s = \sqrt{(x_m - x_n)^2 + (y_m + y_n)^2}\). For a single conductor \(\rho_{mn} = r\) and \(\rho_{mn}^s = 2y_n\) \((m = n)\).

By adopting the Nakagawa model [12] for the three-layer earth, the matrix of conduction losses in the ground \(J\) is given by

\[J_{mn} = \int_0^\infty \chi^{(s)} e^{-(y_m+y_n)\nu} \cos(|x_m - x_n|\nu) d\nu
\]

(9)

where

\[
\chi^{(s)} = \frac{c_1 + c_2}{(\nu + \mu_0 b_1)c_1 + (\nu - \mu_0 b_1)c_2}
\]

(10)

\[
c_1 = (b_1 + b_2)(b_2 + b_3) + (b_1 - b_2)(b_2 - b_3) \times e^{2\alpha_2(d_1-d_2)}
\]

\[
c_2 = ((b_1 - b_2)(b_2 + b_3) + (b_1 + b_2)(b_2 - b_3) \times e^{2\alpha_2(d_1-d_2)}) \times e^{-2\alpha_1 d_1}
\]

\[
a_i = \sqrt{v^2 + k_i^2 - k_0^2}, \quad b_i = a_i/\mu_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } 3
\]

In Eq. (10), \(k_0\) and \(k_i = \sqrt{s\mu_i(\sigma_i + s\varepsilon_i)}\) \((i = 1, 2 \text{ and } 3)\) are the propagation constants in the air and in the \(i\)th layer, respectively.

By following the same process consisting of the introduction of the poles and the residues deduced from the Vector Fitting method in the MTL equations, as indicated in [19], Eq. (1) can be expressed as

\[
\frac{\partial V(z,t)}{\partial z} + (L + D) \frac{I(z,t)}{\partial t} + (R - \Omega) I(z,t) + \phi(t) \mp \frac{\partial}{\partial t} I(z,t) = V_F^s(z,t)
\]

(11)

where

\[
\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\kappa_i}{p_i}, \quad \phi = \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\kappa_i}{p_i} e^{p_i t}
\]

(12)

\(D\) and \(R\) are a proportional term and a constant term, respectively. \(\kappa_i\) and \(p_i\) are complex quantities that represent the residues and poles.
3. ELECTRIC FIELD

In order to study the plane wave coupling to overhead lines over stratified soil, the primary field composed of the incident electric field \( e^i(t) \) and the field \( e^f(t) \) reflected from the soil [18] is needed to obtain explicit expressions of the distributed voltage and current sources along the line.

The general expression of the primary field in the time domain \( \mathbf{E}(t) \) above the stratified medium is

\[
\mathbf{E}(t) = e^i(t) + e^f(t) \tag{13}
\]

The time domain expressions of \( E_z(t) \) and \( E_y(t) \), which appear in Eqs. (3) and (4), are given as

\[
E_y(t) = \left( \cos(\gamma) \cos(\theta)e_0(t-t_0^-) + \cos(\gamma) \cos(\theta)\gamma_v \otimes e_0(t-t_0^+) \right) \tag{14}
\]

\[
E_z(t) = \left( \cos(\gamma) \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi) + \sin(\gamma) \sin(\phi) \right) e_0(t-t_0^-)
+ (-\gamma_v \cos(\gamma) \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi) + \gamma_h \sin(\gamma) \sin(\phi)) \otimes e_0(t-t_0^+) \tag{15}
\]

with \( t_0^\pm = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0\mu_0}(-\cos(\theta) \sin(\phi) x \pm \sin(\theta) y + \cos(\theta) \cos(\phi) z) \), and \( \gamma_v \), \( \gamma_h \) are the Fresnel coefficients in the time domain. \( \otimes \) is the convolution product, \( \delta(\cdot) \) the impulse function, and \( u(\cdot) \) the unit step function. \( e_0(t) \) is the two-exponential shape which is often used to simulate high-altitude Electromagnetic pulses (HEMP) and is given by

\[
e_0(t) = E_0 \left( e^{-\alpha t} - e^{-\beta t} \right) u(t) \tag{16}
\]

The parameters in Eq. (16) assume the following values: \( \alpha = 4.086 \times 10^8 \text{s}^{-1}, \beta = 1.565 \times 10^8 \text{s}^{-1} \), \( E_0 = V_0/l_0 \), \( V_0 = 56.6kV \), \( l_0 = 1 \text{m} \).

Evaluating expressions (14) and (15) requires the use of a direct time domain expression of the reflection coefficient function. In this work, the time domain (FC) function is derived by transforming the frequency domain (FC) function for the stratified medium presented in [11] into the time-domain using the Stehfest algorithm [7]. This method approximates the time domain (FC) function as

\[
\gamma_T(t, \theta) = \gamma'_T(\theta) \delta(t) + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\min(\frac{i}{t_0} + \frac{N}{2})} \frac{k^N (2k)!}{(N/2 - k)! k!(k+1)! (2k+i)!
\]

where \( \tilde{V}_i \) is described by the following relationship

\[
\tilde{V}_i = (-1)^i \frac{N}{2} \sum_{k=(i+1)}^{N} \frac{k^N (2k)!}{(N/2 - k)! k!(k+1)! (2k+i)!} \tag{18}
\]

The parameter \( N \) is called the Stehfest number. Parameter \( N \) must be an even integer, and it should be chosen by trial and error method. Thus, a suitable choice of \( N \) is important to achieve the most accurate solution. In this work, the optimal value to accurately obtain the primary field is equal to 10.

In Eq. (17), \( \gamma'_T(\theta) \) and \( \Gamma''_T(s, \theta) \) are defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma'_T(\theta) &= \lim_{s \to \infty} \Gamma_T(s, \theta), \\
\Gamma''_T(s, \theta) &= \Gamma_T(s, \theta) - \gamma'_T(\theta)
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
\Gamma_T = \left( \frac{\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12} \rho_{M \lambda} P_{yk=M \lambda}}{\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12} \rho_{M \lambda} P_{yk=M \lambda}} \right) P_{yk=1} - \left( \frac{\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22} \rho_{M \lambda} P_{yk=M \lambda}}{\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12} \rho_{M \lambda} P_{yk=M \lambda}} \right) P_{yk=1} - \left( \frac{\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22} \rho_{M \lambda} P_{yk=M \lambda}}{\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12} \rho_{M \lambda} P_{yk=M \lambda}} \right),
\]

\[
P_{yk} = \begin{cases} \gamma = v, P_{yk} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0} \sqrt{n_k^2 - \cos^2(\theta)}}, \\ \gamma = h, P_{yk} = \sqrt{\frac{n_k^2 - \cos^2(\theta)}{\varepsilon_0}} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\mu_0}} \end{cases}
\]

\[
\rho_{rgk} = \frac{1 + \frac{\sigma_k}{s c_k}}{s c_k}
\]
\[ \Lambda_{nl} \] are the elements of the characteristic matrix \( \Lambda \) of the stratified medium. \( \Lambda \) is expressed as follows

\[
\Lambda = \prod_{k=1}^{M_L-1} \Lambda_k = \prod_{k=1}^{M_L-1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \cosh(q_k) & \frac{1}{P_{\gamma_k}} \sinh(q_k) \\ P_{\gamma_k} \sinh(q_k) & \cosh(q_k) \end{array} \right],
\]

(21)

where \( q_k = s(d_k - d_{k-1})/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0} \sqrt{n_k^2 - \cos^2(\theta)}, \) \( d_{-1} = 0 \)

Given the nature of the waveform, i.e., a biexponential, the convolution product \( \gamma_T \circ \varepsilon_0(t) \) appearing in expressions (14) and (15) is accurately calculated using the recursive convolution introduced in [18].

4. DISCRETIZATION OF THE MTL EQUATIONS

The MTL equations are discretized both in time and space by using the FDTD method in a leap-frog manner [19]. The transmission line is divided into \( K \) segments, each of length \( \Delta z \). Each voltage and adjacent current solution point are separated by \( \frac{\Delta z}{2} \). In addition, the time points are also interlaced, and each voltage time point and adjacent current time point are separated by \( \frac{\Delta t}{2} \). The two ends of the line are separated by a length \( l_z \) and connected to a resistive circuit. Discretizing the derivatives in the transmission-line Eqs. (2) and (11) using second-order central differences gives

\[
\frac{V_{k+1}^n - V_k^n}{\Delta z} + (L + D) \frac{I_{k+1}^{n+1} - I_k^{n-1}}{\Delta t} = \int_0^{\Delta t} \phi(\tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial(n \Delta t - \tau)} I_{k+1}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(n \Delta t - \tau) \, d\tau
\]

\[
+ (R - \Omega) \frac{I_{k+1}^{n+1} + I_k^{n-1}}{2} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left( E_{z,k+1}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + E_{z,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - E_{T,k+1}^n - E_{T,k}^n \right)
\]

(22)

where \( G_1 = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} C \), and \( k \) and \( n \) are space and time indices, respectively.

In this work, the time derivative of the current which appears in the convolution integral in Eq. (22) can be approximated using the piecewise polynomial approximation [19] as follows

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(t - \tau)
\]

(23)

\[
= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(t - (m + 1) \Delta t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(t - (m - 1) \Delta t)}{2 \Delta t} \]

\[
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(t - (m + 1) \Delta t) - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(t - m \Delta t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(t - (m - 1) \Delta t)}{2 \Delta t^2} \]

(24)

By using the central difference scheme at \( n \Delta t \) to approximate the time derivatives of \( I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \), we get after some manipulations

\[
\phi(t) \odot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(t) \bigg|_{t=n \Delta t} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \kappa_i \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n, \text{ odd}} \left( A_m \chi_i^m + B_m \xi_i^m + C_m \eta_i^m \right)
\]

(25)

where

\[
A_m = I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-m-\frac{1}{2}} - I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-m-\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
B_m = I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-m-\frac{1}{2}} - I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-m-\frac{1}{2}} - I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-m-\frac{1}{2}} + I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-m-\frac{1}{2}}
\]
The quantities $\chi_i^m$, $\xi_i^m$, and $\eta_i^m$ are given by

\[
\chi_i^m = \frac{2e^{\rho m\Delta t} \sinh p_i \Delta t}{p_i \Delta t}, \\
\xi_i^m = -\frac{e^{\rho m\Delta t}(p_i \Delta t \cosh(p_i \Delta t) - \sinh(p_i \Delta t))}{(p_i \Delta t)^2}, \\
\eta_i^m = \frac{e^{\rho m\Delta t}(-2p_i \Delta t \cosh(p_i \Delta t) + (2 + (p_i \Delta t)^2) \sinh(p_i \Delta t))}{(p_i \Delta t)^3}
\]

By inserting these terms in Eq. (25), we obtain the following relationship

\[
\phi(t) \oplus \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{I}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(t) \bigg|_{t=n\Delta t} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\kappa_i}{p_i} \left[ (A_1 \chi_i^1 + B_1 \xi_i^1 + C_1 \eta_i^1) + \Psi_i^n \right]
\]

with

\[
\Psi_i^n = \left( \left( \frac{I_{n-\frac{3}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{I_{n-\frac{7}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \chi_i^3 + \left( \frac{I_{n-\frac{3}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{I_{n-\frac{7}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{I_{n-\frac{3}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{I_{n-\frac{7}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \xi_i^3 \right) + e^{2p_i \Delta t} \Psi_i^{n-2},
\]

and

\[
A_1 = \frac{I_{n-\frac{3}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{I_{n-\frac{7}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} \\
B_1 = \frac{I_{n-\frac{3}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{I_{n-\frac{7}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{I_{n-\frac{3}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{I_{n-\frac{7}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} \\
C_1 = 3\frac{I_{n-\frac{3}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{I_{n-\frac{7}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{I_{n+\frac{3}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}} - 3\frac{I_{n+\frac{3}{2}}}{k+\frac{1}{2}}
\]

In order to obtain the transient current and voltage on an overhead line above a stratified medium, Eq. (22) should be solved for $V_{k+1}^{n+1}$, and Eq. (22) for $I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$. Referring to the previous relations, it is obvious to show that the general solution of the transmission line equations for $V_{k}^{n+1}$ and $I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ can be written as

\[
I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = Z_1^{-1} \left( Z_2 I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - (V_{k+1}^{n} - V_{k}^{n+1}) + Z_3 I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{3}{2}} + Z_4 I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{5}{2}} \right) \]

\[
-\Delta z \left( \Psi^n - \left( \frac{\mathbf{E}_z^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z, k+\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbf{E}_z^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{E}^{n}_{T,k} - \mathbf{E}^{n}_{T,k}}{\Delta z} \right) \right)
\]

\[
V_{k}^{n+1} = V_{k}^{n} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0} \left( \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta z} \right) \text{L} \left( \left( I_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - I_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \Delta z \left( G_1 \left( \mathbf{E}^{n+1}_{T,k} - \mathbf{E}^{n}_{T,k} \right) \right) \right)
\]

where

\[
Z_1 = \Delta z \left( \frac{(L + D)}{\Delta t} + \frac{R}{2} - \frac{\Omega}{2} - \xi + \eta \right) \\
Z_2 = \Delta z \left( \frac{(L + D)}{\Delta t} - \frac{R}{2} + \frac{\Omega}{2} - \chi - \xi + 3\eta \right) \\
Z_3 = \Delta z (\chi - \xi - 3\eta), \quad Z_4 = \Delta z (\xi + \eta) \\
\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\kappa_i}{p_i} \chi_i^1, \quad \xi = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\kappa_i}{p_i} \xi_i^1, \quad \eta = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\kappa_i}{p_i} \eta_i^1, \quad \Psi^n = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\kappa_i}{p_i} \Psi_i^n
\]
The general solutions of the MTL equations which are obtained in Eq. (30) and Eq. (31) are not valid for terminal voltages, which means that $V_{n+1}^0$ and $V_{K+1}^n$ need to be calculated. By adopting the technique used in [15], the terminal condition can be written as

$$V_{n+1}^0 = G_2^{-1} \left( G_3 V_0^n - \frac{2}{\Delta z} I_{n+1}^{1/2} + G_1 \left( E_{T,0}^{n+1} - E_T^n \right) \right)$$

and

$$V_{K+1}^n = Y_1^{-1} \left( Y_2 V_K^n + \frac{2}{\Delta z} I_{K-1}^{n+1/2} + G_1 \left( E_{T,K}^{n+1} - E_T^n \right) \right)$$

where

- $G_2 = \frac{C}{\Delta t} + \frac{R_0^{-1}}{\Delta z}$
- $G_3 = \frac{C}{\Delta t} - \frac{R_0^{-1}}{\Delta z}$
- $Y_1 = \frac{C}{\Delta t} + \frac{R_L^{-1}}{\Delta z}$
- $Y_2 = \frac{C}{\Delta t} - \frac{R_L^{-1}}{\Delta z}$

$R_0$ and $R_L$ are the internal resistances which are connected to the sending end and receiving end of the line, respectively.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this part, the developed transmission line-plane wave coupling model is applied to show the effect of multilayer soil conductivities, elevation angle, polarization, and layer thicknesses on the induced voltages at the ends of the line. To do this, we consider a model composed of three conductors characterized by the same radius $r$ and on height $h_1$ for the first conductor, $h_2$ for the second and $h_3$ for the third conductor, placed above a three-layer soil at positions $x_1 = 0$ m, $x_2 = 2.5$ m, $x_3 = 5$ m (Fig. 2(a)). The

![Figure 2](image_url). (a) Three-conductor transmission line configuration (TCM). (b) Equivalent two-conductor transmission line (ETCM).
upper layer is characterized by a conductivity $\sigma_1$ and permittivity $\epsilon_1$ with a height $d_1$; the middle layer has the permittivity $\epsilon_2$ and conductivity $\sigma_2$ with a thickness $d_2 - d_1$; the bottom layer is characterized by a permittivity $\epsilon_3$ and conductivity $\sigma_3$; the three layers have the same permeability $\mu_0$. For each layer, the electrical parameters are assumed to be constant. The internal resistances of the source and load are respectively set at $R_0 = \text{diag}(50, 50, 50)\Omega$ and $R_L = \text{diag}(100, 100, 100)\Omega$. \text{diag} stands for diagonal.

As indicated above, the determination of the induced voltages along the line relies primarily on the computation of the reflected field at the air-ground interface with precision. Fig. 3 illustrates the impact of different values of $N$ used in expression (17) on the reflected field. The simulations here provided have the following assumptions: the plane wave is of vertical polarization of angles $\theta = 80^\circ$, $\phi = 0^\circ$, $\gamma = 0^\circ$ and evaluated at the position $(x_1 = 0 \text{ m}, h_1 = 10 \text{ m}, l_z = 10 \text{ m})$; the ground is formed of three layers of thicknesses $d_1 = 1 \text{ m}$ and $d_2 - d_1 = 2 \text{ m}$; the bottom layer is semi-infinite; the electrical parameters of the layers are $\sigma_1 = 10^{-4} \text{ S/m}$, $\sigma_2 = 10^{-3} \text{ S/m}$, $\sigma_3 = 10^{-2} \text{ S/m}$, $\epsilon_{rg1} = \epsilon_{rg3} = 10$, $\epsilon_{rg2} = 12$. The accuracy of this approximation is for a value of $8 < N < 10$ as shown by comparison with FFT (Fig. 3). The value of $N$ equal to 10 is adopted to accurately estimate the primary field for all the parameters involved.

![Figure 3. Reflected electric field for different values of N compared with the reference waveform obtained via FFT.](image)

Figure 4(a) gives the response for different polarization angles ($\gamma = 0^\circ$, $30^\circ$, $60^\circ$, $90^\circ$), and the voltage response is lower for the case of horizontal polarization. Note that the voltage peak value decreases as the polarization angle increases. The voltage indicated in the figure is normalized with respect to $V_0$. The behavior of earth thickness $d_1$ of the first layer on the transient voltage for a vertical polarization is illustrated in Fig. 4(b). Due to the small conductivity ($\sigma_1 = 0.0001 \text{ S/m}$) of the first layer, the losses in the soil become greater as $d_1$ increases, which is reflected in the decrease in voltage at the early time. The same observation can be made concerning the variation of the voltage at the near end of the line as a function of the thickness of the second layer for $d_1 = 0.5 \text{ m}$. In addition, in the case of vertical polarization, the depth of the second layer has an effect on the pulse width.

To validate the proposed method, the two-conductor transmission line over a two-layer soil introduced in [20] is considered. The line configuration is shown in Fig. 5. The conductors are located at $(x_1 = 0 \text{ m}, h_1 = 10 \text{ m})$ and $(x_2 = 5 \text{ m}, h_2 = 10 \text{ m})$, and both have a radius of $r = 2.5 \text{ mm}$ and length of $l_z = 20 \text{ m}$. The upper and bottom layers are characterized, respectively, by the conductivities $\sigma_1 = 0.001 \text{ S/m}$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.01 \text{ S/m}$, and both have a relative permittivity of $\epsilon_{rg1} = \epsilon_{rg2} = 10$. The load matrices are assumed to be diagonal and are defined by $(R_{Q,ii} = 100\Omega$, $R_{L,ii} = 50\Omega$, $i = 1, 2)$. The parameters in Eq. (16) are given by : $\alpha = 4 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $\beta = 4.76 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and $E_0 = 52.5 \text{ (kV/m)}$. As observed in Fig. 6, the current FDTD-based method (solid curves) matches very well with the FFT results (dashed curves) reported in [20] for the case of vertical and horizontal polarizations. Note that the slight difference between the FFT and FDTD results is because the earth return impedance models
Figure 4. The transient response at the near end of the conductor 1 \((-V_1(0,t)/V_0)\) as a function of several parameters. (a) Polarization angles effect, (b) thickness \(d_1\) effect, (c) thickness \(d_2\) effect.

Figure 5. Two-conductor overhead line configuration.
Figure 6. Comparison between the proposed model and the FFT presented in [20].

Figure 7. Induced voltages for TCM and ETCM at the near and far ends of the line, (a) \((-V_1(0, t)/V_0)\), (c) Conductors 1 and 3, (b) \((-V_2(0, t)/V_0)\), (d) Conductor 2.
used in this work and in [20] are not the same: this paper uses the Nakagawa model and [20] the Papadopoulos model [21]. In addition, the normalized induced current at the near end of the line shown in Fig. 6 is obtained by dividing the induced current by a constant current $I_0 = E_0 l_0/\eta_0$. $\eta_0$ is the characteristic impedance of free space.

Figure 2(b) shows the equivalent model (ETCM) of the three conductors model (TCM), where we replace the two conductors placed at $h_{1,3} = 10$ m above the ground by an equivalent conductor $1'$ of the radius $r_{eq} = \sqrt{r|x_1 - x_3|}$, placed in the half distance of conductors 1 and 3.

The accuracy of reducing the TCM to ETCM by replacing the conductors (1, 3) at the same height from the earth by conductor 1' is illustrated in Fig. 7. Despite the change of structure, the induced voltage in conductor 2 in the (TCM) can be reproduced in the same way using conductor 1' (ETCM), which means that instead of analyzing a system with three conductors model, it can be reduced to a two-conductor model.

For the elevation angle $\theta = 80^\circ$, the induced voltages on conductors 1 and 3 are the same although the two conductors are at different positions ($x_1 = 0$ m and $x_3 = 5$ m). Indeed, this is due to the delay $t_d = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \cos(\theta) \sin(\phi)} |x_1 - x_3|$ introduced by the plane wave which remains negligible both for $\theta = 80^\circ$ (Figs. 7(a) and 8(a)) and for $\theta = 45^\circ$ (Fig. 8(c)). Note that in Fig. 8(c) there is for the angle $\theta = 45^\circ$ a very small difference between the voltage curves of conductors 1 and 3. From the results shown in Figs. 8(b) and 8(d), it appears that the estimation of the induced voltage on conductor 2 can

![Graphs](data:image/png;base64,iVBORw0KGgoAAAANSUhEUgAAQAAAABACAYAAAD9WkFkAAAABGdBTUEAALGPC/xhBQAAAABlBMVEX///8AAAC1JREFUeNrs6/jP/kAAAABJRU5ErkJggg==)

Figure 8. Induced voltages ($-V(0, t)/V_0$) for TCM and ETCM at the near end (a) (c) of conductors 1, 3 and 1' for $\theta = (80^\circ, 45^\circ)$. (b), (d) Conductor 2 in TCM and ETCM for $\theta = (80^\circ, 45^\circ)$.
be obtained with accuracy by adopting the equivalent two-wire structure, whatever the elevation and polarization angles are. Furthermore, by dividing the induced voltage on the equivalent conductor by two, \( v_{1''}(0,t) = \frac{v_{1\ ETCM}(0,t)}{2} \), where \( v_{1\ ETCM}(0,t) \) is the voltage at the near end of the equivalent conductor, the equivalent model also provides an acceptable estimate of the peak induced voltage on conductors 1 and 3 as shown in Figs. 8(a) and 8(c).

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the transient voltage on an overhead line in the presence of stratified earth excited by a plane wave directly in the time domain has been determined. The advantage of the proposed method arises from the fact that the expressions of the earth return impedance and the Fresnel coefficients have been established directly in the time domain, by exploiting the (VF) and the Graver Stehfest algorithm. The results show that the transient voltage on the line is greater for the case of the vertical polarization than the horizontal polarization. In addition, the induced voltage increases for small thickness values of the first layer, and the increase in the duration of the transient waveform is due to the increase in the thickness of the second layer especially when the conductivities of the top layer and the second layer are small. Note that the method developed in this paper can be generalized to study the influence of soil stratification on lightning-induced voltages on an overhead line provided that the field created by a lightning channel in the presence of multi-layered soil is properly determined. Finally, the equivalent model composed of two conductors provides a good result since it is able to correctly estimate the induced voltage on the conductor placed between the other two.
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