Physico-mechanical and morphological properties of rice husk-coconut husk fiber reinforced epoxy composites
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Abstract

In the recent years, many researches focus on "waste to wealth" concept, where agro-waste is converted into various valuable products especially on natural fiber polymeric composites. Selected fibers for this research were rice husk (RH) and coconut husk (CH). This research focused on the property enhancement of RH-CH fiber reinforced epoxy composites and comparison RH reinforced epoxy composites, CH reinforced epoxy composites, and RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites. RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites were well-fabricated by mixing epoxy resin and different ratios of two types natural fibers via compression molding and stir casting methods. All the fabricated RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites were characterized using Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Vickers Hardness Test (VHT), and tensile test (TT). FTIR results showed that 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites created the strongest covalent bonding between cellulose inside RH-CH fiber and epoxide group compared to RH reinforced epoxy composites and CH reinforced epoxy composites. The combination of RH and CH fiber with the introduction of epoxy resin reduced the hydroxyl groups compared to either RH or CH fiber composites, respectively. This proved that mixture of RH and CH with epoxy matrix improved the properties of pure RH and CH and thus, better composites were fabricated. SEM images of 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites showed better dispersion of RH-CH fiber within polymer matrix compared to RH reinforced epoxy composites and CH reinforced epoxy composites under the magnification of 2000. Both RH reinforced epoxy composites and CH reinforced epoxy composites showed porosity within the matrix. VHT showed that 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites showed the smallest indentation value compared to RH reinforced epoxy composites and CH reinforced epoxy composites due to the highest interfacial adhesion between matrix and filler, which was proven by the SEM images. Tensile test of 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites showed the highest tensile modulus with value of 2.6MPa. RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites showed higher tensile strength and modulus compared to RH and CH reinforced epoxy composites. Overall, it could be concluded that 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites performed the best in terms of physical, mechanical, and morphological perspective than RH reinforced epoxy composites and CH reinforced epoxy composites. This proved that RH and CH could be well-introduced as reinforcing filler in epoxy matrix to fabricate better composites for structural application.
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INTRODUCTION

Renewable energy such as biomass is currently not adequately utilized to replace the non-renewable sources [1]. The depletion of natural resources results on the urgency to exploit clean renewable energy to reduce the bad impacts on the environment. The advantages of renewable energy are numerous such as maintainable, clean, and do not pollute the environment [2]. One of the promising sources of renewable energy is biomass as it can be found extensively in both developing and developed countries. In general, biomass can be defined as the organic matter derived from living organisms such as human, plant, and animal [3]. Agriculture wastes from harvesting or processing are biomass that can be transformed into different vast array of valuable products. This waste should be utilized due to its low cost, reproducible, decomposable, easy to be accessed from various sources, and environmental-friendly [4]. Agriculture waste can be found abundantly in Asia including rice producing industry. One of biomass resources, rice plantations, has recently developed consecutively under high demand as essential food resources in several countries, especially across Asia [5]. It has been reported that 90% of the world’s rice is consumed and produced in Asia where China and India are the largest Asian producers [6]. The research stated that half of the world production and consumption in 2011 dominated by China and India. From this large-scale production, the waste from rice plantation consisting of rice straw and husk is about 1,370,000 million t per year [5].

Rice husk (RH) is a by-product from rice industry which is produced during the earliest stage of rice milling and is commonly burnt or dumped as waste [7]. The burning of RH results in air pollution and damage to land and surrounding area where it is discarded. Extensive
research has been carried out on application of RH to make full use of agro-waste from becoming a great threat to the environment [8]. The past researchers revealed that RH can be utilized and converted into energy such as fuel source, organic fertilizer, building materials, and used to remove toxic metals from wastewater [9].

The characteristics of RH are suitable to create a new composite material for construction applications without involving burning and grinding [10]. Researches conducted today are driven by the environmental concern with the massive production of agro-waste. Instead of being disposed, the agro-waste is utilized and converted into new beneficial material. Apart from RH, coconut shell (CS) is one of biomass that can be utilized and converted into new energy and product. Coconut plantation can be found abundantly in Malaysia [11]. However, coconut industry does not contribute much to the overall economy of Malaysia. Coconut shell is a potential agro-waste for the productions of new composites due to their mechanical properties and high strength [12]. High strength coconut composites can be used in the wide range of applications such as materials for construction, furniture and other household appliances. Using coconut is advantageous as it is vastly planted in tropical countries like Malaysia, Thailand, Indonesia, and Sri Lanka where it thrives due to the climate.

EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation of rice husk (RH)

Raw RH as shown in Fig. 1 was ground by using Panasonic MX-AC400 grinder to obtain smaller form of RH as shown in Fig. 2 to increase the surface roughness and contact area of the RH. Ground RH was then sieved by using 1 µm stainless steel lab wire mesh test sieve, before it is stored in desiccator to avoid contamination.

Preparation of coconut husk (CH)

Raw CH was collected from coconut factory as shown in Fig. 3. The outer and inner surface of the CH collected was scrubbed and washed thoroughly with tap water to remove the impurities as shown in Fig. 4. The coir fiber surrounded the CH completely removed. The CH was then dried in sunlight for 2 days to remove the moisture content and dried inside the oven for 8 hours to ensure the CH was perfectly dried. Dried CH was crushed into small pieces by using hammer and grinded using Panasonic MX-AC400 grinder to obtain smaller form of CH to increase the surface roughness and contact area of the CH as shown in Fig. 5. Ground CH was then sieved by using 1µm stainless steel lab wire mesh test sieve as shown in Fig. 6. Sieved CH was stored in desiccator to avoid any contamination on the CH.

Preparation of epoxy

Epoxy resin and hardener were mixed at ratio of 2:1 where a mixture 10 ml of epoxy resin and 5 ml of hardener was stirred slowly to avoid the formation of air bubble. The same process was repeated by
using different ratios of epoxy. Preparation of epoxy must be done right before the preparation of samples to avoid the mixture from hardened.

**Preparation of RH reinforced epoxy composite, CH reinforced epoxy composite, and RH-CH reinforced epoxy composite using Solution Casting Method**

Dried fillers were added into a mixture of epoxy resin and hardener according to desired ratios. The mixture of epoxy and fillers were then mixed thoroughly before poured into 2 mm thickness dog bone shape stainless steel mold as shown in Fig. 7. GOTECH Hydraulic Molding Test Press machine and stir casting method were used for all the samples prepared as shown in Table 1.

Characterizations of RH reinforced epoxy composite, CH reinforced epoxy composite and RH-CH reinforced epoxy composite

RH reinforced epoxy composite, CH reinforced epoxy composite, and RH-CH reinforced epoxy composite were characterized using Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Vickers Hardness Test (VHT), and Tensile Test (TT).

**Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy**

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrophotometer (MODEL IRAFFINITY-1 CE SHIMADZU, Fig. 8) was used to obtain information on the composition properties and to identify any chemical bonding of RH-CH reinforced epoxy composite. These samples were tested in the wavelength spectra within a range of 4000 to 400 cm\(^{-1}\) with 4 cm\(^{-1}\) resolutions and 10 numbers of scan.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) model TM3030 by HITACHI (Fig. 9) was used in this study to obtain information on the surface morphology of RH-CH reinforced epoxy composite. The proposed voltage for this test was 15kV to study the crystalline phase of samples. The samples were coated using gold dust before analyzed as shown in Fig. 10. Coated samples placed on carbon tape before placing on the top of the specimen of SEM machine was shown in Fig. 11. The samples were analyzed under 2000 magnification.

---

*Fig. 7 Dog bone-shaped composites.*

*Fig. 8 Operations Schematic of Fourier Transform IR (FTIR) spectrometer [13].*

*Fig. 9 SEM machine.*

*Fig. 10 Sample coating by using Gold Dust.*

*Fig. 11 Coated sample on carbon tape.*
Vickers hardness test (VHT)

Vickers Hardness Test (VHT) by HITACHI (Fig. 12) was used in this study to investigate information on the surface morphology of RH-CH reinforced epoxy composite. The composites undergo indenting process with diamond indenter for 10 seconds as shown in Fig. 13. Five readings were taken for each sample to find the average readings of Vickers Hardness (HV). The force used to indent the surface of the sample was 9.807 N which is the standard force to test epoxy composites. The value of HV is converted to MPa by multiplying HV value by 9.807N.

Tensile test (TT)

A tensile test (TT) is a basic mechanical test in which a carefully prepared specimen is loaded very controlled while measuring the load applied and the elongation of the specimen over a certain distance. This test is commonly used to determine the elasticity, elastic limit, elongation, proportional limit, tensile strength, yield point, and other properties of tensile. The traction properties indicate how the material will react to the stressed forces. In this study, TT was carried out using SHIMADZU AG-IS 300kN tensile machine as shown in Fig. 14. The variation of tensile modulus was analyzed at a crosshead speed of 5mm/min.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was performed based on the suggested ratios as shown in Table 1. FTIR spectra of 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite, 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composite and 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites was clearly shown in Fig. 16, 17, and 18, respectively. 10wt% of RH reinforced epoxy composite showed significant peaks at 3395cm⁻¹ and 3278cm⁻¹ which was related to O-H transmittance as shown in Fig. 16. Besides, C-H covalent bond was clearly shown in 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite with peak values of 2970cm⁻¹, 2901cm⁻¹ and 2726cm⁻¹ which was related to O-H transmittance as shown in Fig. 16.

Besides, C-H covalent bond was clearly shown in 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite with peak values of 2970cm⁻¹, 2901cm⁻¹ and 2726cm⁻¹. Fig. 17 shows that 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composite had no significant peak within 3600-3200cm⁻¹. This composite also showed strong C-H covalent bond within the composite at peaks value of 2974cm⁻¹ and 2810cm⁻¹. Fig. 18 demonstrated that 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites had significant improvement at hydroxyl peak by combining RH and CH. Besides, C-H covalent bonding was clearly shown in 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites with peak values of 2974cm⁻¹ and 2892cm⁻¹. A symmetrical peak observed at 1506.47 cm⁻¹ for 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites which showed C-OH stretching bonds that strengthened the composites and reducing hydroxyl groups by attaching to carbon groups [17]. From FTIR results, 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites created strong covalent bonding between cellulose inside RH-CH fiber and epoxide group compared to 15wt% and 20wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites. Significant reduction in hydroxyl groups were shown in 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites due to the combination of RH and CH fiber with the introduction of epoxy resin. This proved that mixture of RH and CH
with epoxy matrix improved the properties of pure RH and CH and thus, better composites were fabricated.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

The fracture surface morphology shows the phase information that reflects the mechanical properties of composites under different conditions. The tensile fracture surface morphologies of 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite, 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composite and 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites under magnification of 2000 are shown in Fig. 19, Fig. 20, and Fig. 21, respectively. 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite showed high dispersion of the RH into the epoxy as shown in Fig. 4.12. This results in better interfacial adhesion between the filler and matrix. However, few pores were observed on the surface of 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite was probably due to air bubble formation during the preparation of epoxy [18]. SEM image of 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composite showed cracking lines as well as micro-voids of the fiber in the epoxy matrix. These features suggested weak interfacial bonding between the filler and matrix. Fig. 21 shows that 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites had the smoothest surfaces with no voids or pores detected on the surface itself [19]. This proved the strong covalent bonding and reduction of hydroxyl groups by combining two types of husks with epoxy to form better composites.
Vickers hardness test (VHT)

Fig. 22, Fig. 23, and Fig. 24 show the indentation of 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite, 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composite and 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites, respectively. 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites showed the smallest indentation compared to 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite and 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composite. The highest interfacial adhesion between the filler and matrix as shown in Fig. 21 explained that 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites had the smallest dent and highest average force applied on the surface. 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite showed the biggest dent and the lowest average force applied during indentation compared other composites due to high amount of porosity which resulted in weak interfacial bonding between RH fillers and epoxy [20]. 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composite showed smaller dent compared to 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composites as it had higher interfacial adhesion between filler and matrix [21]. Overall, 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites was having the best VHT by having the smallest dent among all the composites.

Fig. 22 Indentation on 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composites.

Fig. 23 Indentation on 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composites.

Fig. 24 Indentation on 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composites.

Tensile test

Fig. 25, Fig. 26, and Fig. 27 demonstrate the tensile strength curve (stress versus strain) of 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite, 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composite and 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites respectively. 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites had the highest tensile strength compared to 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite and 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composite due to the excellent interfacial adhesion between RH-CH and epoxy. Fig. 25 shows that 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite showed the tensile elasticity only managed to handle stress up to 0.4MPa where 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite managed to handle stress up to 0.6MPa. 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites showed the highest stress level among all the composites with value up to 2.6MPa. This proved that 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite had the lowest tensile strength and modulus due to poor interaction between RH and epoxy as observed in SEM and VHT. In addition, 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite is also brittle due to lower strain compared to other composites [22]. This showed that 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composite had the weakest interaction between filler and matrix as it has the lowest tensile strength and modulus. Tensile test of 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites showed the highest tensile modulus with value of 2.6MPa. Overall, 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites showed higher tensile strength and modulus compared to RH and CH reinforced epoxy composites.

Fig. 25 Stress Versus Strain Graph of 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composites.
The present study reveals that RH and CH could be successfully reinforced with epoxy for better composites fabrication. Overall, 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites has been determined to be the best type of composite compared to RH and CH composites. FTIR analysis showed that 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites had many interactions between the cellulose inside RH-CH fiber and epoxide group inside the epoxy. 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites was the best composites fabricated with better morphology by showing better dispersion of fiber in the epoxy matrix compared to 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composites and 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composites. In addition, 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites showed the best tensile strength and modulus as well as the smallest dent. It can be concluded that 10wt% RH-CH reinforced epoxy composites had better physical, mechanical, and morphological properties compared to 10wt% RH reinforced epoxy composites and 10wt% CH reinforced epoxy composites.
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