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Abstract

In \(k\)-Digraph Coloring we are given a digraph and are asked to partition its vertices into at most \(k\) sets, so that each set induces a DAG. This well-known problem is NP-hard, as it generalizes (undirected) \(k\)-Coloring, but becomes trivial if the input digraph is acyclic. This poses the natural parameterized complexity question of what happens when the input is “almost” acyclic. In this paper we study this question using parameters that measure the input’s distance to acyclicity in either the directed or the undirected sense.

In the directed sense perhaps the most natural notion of distance to acyclicity is directed feedback vertex set (DFVS). It is already known that, for all \(k \geq 2\), \(k\)-Digraph Coloring is NP-hard on digraphs of DFVS at most \(k + 4\). We strengthen this result to show that, for all \(k \geq 2\), \(k\)-Digraph Coloring is already NP-hard for DFVS exactly \(k\). This immediately provides a dichotomy, as \(k\)-Digraph Coloring is trivial if DFVS is at most \(k - 1\). Refining our reduction we obtain two further consequences: (i) for all \(k \geq 2\), \(k\)-Digraph Coloring is NP-hard for graphs of feedback arc set (FAS) at most \(k^2\); interestingly, this leads to a second dichotomy, as we show that the problem is FPT by \(k\) if FAS is at most \(k^2 - 1\); (ii) \(k\)-Digraph Coloring is NP-hard for graphs of DFVS \(k\), even if the maximum degree \(\Delta\) is at most \(4k - 1\); we show that this is also almost tight, as the problem becomes FPT for DFVS \(k\) and \(\Delta \leq 4k - 3\).

Since these results imply that the problem is also NP-hard on graphs of bounded directed treewidth, we then consider parameters that measure the distance from acyclicity of the underlying graph. On the positive side, we show that \(k\)-Digraph Coloring admits an FPT algorithm parameterized by treewidth, whose parameter dependence is \((tw)!k^{tw}\). Since this is considerably worse than the \(k^{tw}\) dependence of (undirected) \(k\)-Coloring, we pose the question of whether the \(tw!\) factor can be eliminated. Our main contribution in this part is to settle this question in the negative and show that our algorithm is essentially optimal, even for the much more restricted parameter treedepth and for \(k = 2\). Specifically, we show that an FPT algorithm solving 2-Digraph Coloring with dependence \(td^{O(td)}\) would contradict the ETH.
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1 Introduction

In Digraph Coloring, we are given a digraph \(D\) and are asked to calculate the smallest \(k\) such that the vertices of \(D\) can be partitioned into \(k\) acyclic sets. In other words, the objective of this problem is to color the vertices with the minimum number of colors so that no directed
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cycle is monochromatic. The notion of dichromatic number was introduced by V. Neumann-Lara [37]. More recently, digraph coloring has received much attention, in part because it turns out that many results about the chromatic number of undirected graphs quite naturally carry over to the dichromatic number of digraphs [1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 32, 34, 35, 38]. We note that Digraph Coloring generalizes Coloring (if we simply replace all edges of a graph by pairs of anti-parallel arcs) and is therefore NP-complete.

In this paper we are interested in the computational complexity of Digraph Coloring from the point of view of structural parameterized complexity. Our main motivation for studying this is that (undirected) Coloring is a problem of central importance in this area whose complexity is well-understood, and it is natural to hope that some of the known tractability results may carry over to digraphs – especially because, as we mentioned, Digraph Coloring seems to behave as a very close counterpart to Coloring in many respects. In particular, for undirected graphs, the complexity of Coloring for “almost-acyclic” graphs is very precisely known: for all $k \geq 3$ there is a $O^*(k^{tw})$ algorithm, where $tw$ is the input graph’s treewidth, and this is optimal (under the SETH) even if we replace treewidth by much more restrictive parameters [27, 33]. Can we achieve the same amount of precision for Digraph Coloring?

Our results. The main question motivating this paper is therefore the following: Does Digraph Coloring also become tractable for “almost-acyclic” inputs? We attack this question from two directions.

First, we consider the notion of acyclicity in the digraph sense and study cases where the input digraph is close to being a DAG. Possibly the most natural such measure is directed feedback vertex set (DFVS), which is the minimum number of vertices whose removal destroys all directed cycles. The problem is paraNP-hard for this parameter, as for all fixed $k \geq 2$, $k$-Digraph Coloring is already known to be NP-hard, for inputs of DFVS at most $k + 4$ [34]. Our first contribution is to tighten this result by showing that actually $k$-Digraph Coloring is already NP-hard for DFVS of size exactly $k$. This closes the gap left by the reduction of [34] and provides a complete dichotomy, as the problem is trivially FPT by $k$ when the DFVS has size strictly smaller than $k$ (the only non-trivial part of the problem in this case is to find the DFVS [10]).

This negative result motivates us to either consider a more restricted notion of near-acyclicity, or to impose further restrictions, such as bounding the maximum degree of the graph. Unfortunately, we show that neither of these suffices to make the problem tractable. In particular, by refining our reduction we obtain the following: First, we show that for all $k \geq 2$, $k$-Digraph Coloring is NP-hard for digraphs of feedback arc set (FAS) $k^2$, that is, digraphs where there exists a set of $k^2$ arcs whose removal destroys all cycles (feedback arc set is of course a more restrictive parameter than feedback vertex set). Interestingly, this also leads us to a complete dichotomy, this time for the parameter FAS: we show that $k$-coloring becomes FPT (by $k$) on graphs of FAS at most $k^2 - 1$, by an argument that reduces this problem to coloring a subdigraph with at most $O(k^2)$ vertices, and hence the correct complexity threshold for this parameter is $k^2$. Second, we show that $k$-coloring a digraph with DFVS $k$ remains NP-hard even if the maximum degree is at most $4k - 1$. This further strengthens the reduction of [34], which showed that the problem is NP-hard for bounded degeneracy (rather than degree). Almost completing the picture, we show that $k$-coloring a digraph with DFVS $k$ and maximum degree at most $4k - 3$ is FPT by $k$, leaving open only the case where the DFVS is exactly $k$ and the maximum degree exactly $4k - 2$.

1 In the remainder, we assume the reader is familiar with the basics of parameterized complexity theory, such as the class FPT, as given in standard textbooks [12].
The results we obtain for DFVS and FAS are mostly negative, but one could argue that this is because directed acyclicity allows a much richer class of inputs than undirected acyclicity and hence it is unreasonable to expect Digraph Coloring with these parameters to be as tractable as Coloring for treewidth. Therefore, in the second part of the paper we make a more “fair” comparison and parameterize the problem by the treewidth of the underlying graph. It turns out that, finally, this suffices to lead to an FPT algorithm, obtained with standard DP techniques. However, our algorithm has a somewhat disappointing running time of $(tw!)^{k^w}n^{O(1)}$, which is significantly worse than the $k^w n^{O(1)}$ complexity which is known to be optimal for undirected Coloring, especially for small values of $k$. This raises the question of whether the extra $(tw!)$ factor can be removed. Our main contribution in this part is to show that this is likely impossible, even for a more restricted case. Specifically, we show that if the ETH is true, no algorithm can solve 2-Digraph Coloring in time $td^{O(td)} n^{O(1)}$, where $td$ is the input graph’s treedepth, a parameter more restrictive than treewidth (and pathwidth). As a result, this paper makes a counterpoint to the line of research that seeks to find ways in which dichromatic number replicates the behavior of chromatic number in the realm of digraphs by pinpointing one important aspect where the two notions are quite different, namely their complexity with respect to treewidth.

Other related work. Structural parameterizations of Digraph Coloring have been studied in [38], who showed that the problem is FPT by modular width generalizing the algorithms of [18, 29]; and [20] who showed that the problem is in XP by clique-width (note that hardness results for Coloring rule out an FPT algorithm in this case [16, 17, 30]). Our results on the hardness of the problem for bounded DFVS and FAS build upon the work of [34]. The fact that the problem is hard for bounded DFVS implies that it is also hard for most versions of directed treewidth, including DAG-width, Kelly-width, and directed pathwidth [6, 19, 25, 28, 31]. Indeed, hardness for FAS implies also hardness for bounded elimination width, a more recently introduced restriction of directed treewidth [15]. For undirected treewidth, a problem with similar behavior is DFVS: (undirected) FVS is solvable in $O^*(3^w)$ [13] but DFVS cannot be solved in time $tw^{O(tw)} n^{O(1)}$, and this is tight under the ETH [8]. For other natural problems whose complexity by treewidth is $tw^{O(tw)}$ see [3, 5, 9].

With respect to maximum degree, it is not hard to see that k-Digraph Coloring is NP-hard for graphs of maximum degree $2k + 2$, because k-Coloring is NP-hard for graphs of maximum degree $k + 1$, for all $k \geq 3$. On the converse side, using a generalization of Brooks’ theorem due to Mohar [36] one can see that k-Digraph Coloring digraphs of maximum degree $2k$ is in P. This leaves as the only open case digraphs of degree $2k + 1$, which in a sense mirrors our results for digraphs of DFVS $k$ and degree $4k - 2$. We note that the NP-hardness of 2-Digraph Coloring for bounded degree graphs is known even for graphs of large girth, but the degree bound follows the imposed bound on the girth [14].

2 Definitions and Notation

We use standard graph-theoretic notation. All digraphs are loopless and have no parallel arcs; two oppositely oriented arcs between the same pair of vertices, however, are allowed and are called a digon. The in-degree (respectively, out-degree) of a vertex is the number of

Note that this argument does not prove that 2-Digraph Coloring is NP-hard for maximum degree 6, but this is not too hard to show. We give a proof in the full version of the paper for the sake of completeness.
In this section we study the complexity of the problem parameterized by the size of the feedback vertex set of a digraph. Throughout we will assume that a feedback vertex set is given to us; if not we can use known FPT algorithms to find the smallest such set [10].

Our main result in this section is that $k$-Digraph Coloring is NP-hard for graphs of DFVS $k$. We begin with an easy observation showing that this result will be best possible.

▶ Remark 1. Every digraph $D = (V, E)$ with feedback vertex set of size at most $k - 1$ is $k$-colorable.

The remark holds because we can use distinct colors for the vertices of the feedback vertex set and the remaining color for the rest of the graph. Building on this we can make a further easy remark.

▶ Remark 2. Let $D = (V, E)$ be a digraph with feedback vertex set $F$ of size $|F| = k$. If $F$ does not induce a bi-directed clique, then $D$ is $k$-colorable.

Indeed, if $u, v \in F$ are not connected by a digon we can use one color for $\{u, v\}$, $k - 2$ distinct colors for the rest of $F$, and the remaining color for the rest of the graph. Remark 2 will also be useful later in designing an algorithm, but at this point it is interesting because it tells us that, since the graphs we construct in our reduction have DFVS $k$ and must in some cases have $\chi(D) > k$, our reduction needs to construct a bi-directed clique of size $k$.

Before we go on to our reduction let us also mention that we will reduce from a restricted version of 3-SAT with the following properties: (i) all clauses must have either only positive literals or only negative literals (ii) all variables appear at most 2 times positive and 1 time negative. We call this Restricted-3-SAT.
Lemma 3. Restricted-3-SAT is NP-hard and cannot be solved in $2^{O(n+m)}$ time unless the ETH is false.

The proof for the Lemma 3 is deferred to the full version of the paper.

Theorem 4. For all $k \geq 2$, it is NP-hard to decide if a digraph $D=(V,E)$ is $k$-colorable even when the size of its feedback vertex set is $k$. Furthermore, this problem cannot be solved in time $2^{o(n)}$ unless the ETH is false.

Proof. We will prove the theorem for $k = 2$. To obtain the proof for larger values one can add to the construction $k-2$ vertices which are connected to everything with digons: this increases both the dichromatic number and the feedback vertex set by $k - 2$. Note that this does indeed construct a “palette” clique of size $k$, as indicated by Remark 2.

We make a reduction from Restricted-3-SAT, which is NP-hard by Lemma 3. Our reduction will produce an instance of size linear in the input formula, which leads to the ETH-based lower bound. Let $\phi$ be the given formula with variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, and suppose that clauses $c_1, \ldots, c_m$ contain only positive literals, while clauses $c_{m+1}, \ldots, c_n$ contain only negative literals. We will assume without loss of generality that all variables appear in $\phi$ both positive and negative (otherwise $\phi$ can be simplified).

We begin by constructing two “palette” vertices $v_1, v_2$ which are connected by a digon. Then, for each clause $c_i$, $i \in [m]$ we do the following: if the clause has size three we construct a directed path with vertices $l_{i,1}, w_{i,1}, l_{i,2}, w_{i,2}, l_{i,3}$, where the vertices $l_{i,1}, l_{i,2}, l_{i,3}$ represent the literals of the clause; if the clause has size two we similarly construct a directed path with vertices $l_{i,1}, w_{i,1}, l_{i,2}$, where again $l_{i,1}, l_{i,2}$ represent the literals of the clause.

For each variable $x_j, j \in [n]$ we do the following: for each clause $c_i$ where $x_j$ appears positive and clause $c_{i_2}$ where $x_j$ appears negative we construct a vertex $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$ and add an incoming arc from the vertex that represents the literal $x_j$ in the directed path of $c_{i_1}$ to $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$; and an outgoing arc from $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$ to the vertex that represents the literal $\neg x_j$ in the directed path of $c_{i_2}$.

Finally, to complete the construction we connect the palette vertices to the rest of the graph as follows: $v_1$ is connected with a digon to all existing vertices $w_{i,j}, i \in [m], j \in [2]$; $v_2$ is connected with a digon to all existing vertices $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$; $v_2$ has an outgoing arc to the first vertex of each directed path representing a clause and an incoming arc from the last vertex of each such path; $v_1$ has an outgoing arc to all vertices that represent positive literals and an incoming arc from all vertices representing negative literals. (See Figure 1)

![Figure 1](a): The cycles created by $\{v_1, v_2\}$ and clauses with three literals. (β): The cycles created by $\{v_1, v_2\}$ and each pair $(x, \neg x)$. (γ): An example digraph for the formula $\phi = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2)$, without showing $v_1, v_2$.

Let us now prove that this reduction implies the theorem. First, we claim that in the digraph we constructed $\{v_1, v_2\}$ is a feedback vertex set. Indeed, suppose we remove these two vertices. Now every arc in the remaining graph either connects vertices that represent
the same clause, or is incident on a vertex $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$. Observe that these vertices have only one incoming and one outgoing arc and because of the ordering of the clauses $i_1 < i_2$ (since clauses that contain negative literals come later in the numbering). We conclude that every directed path must either stay inside the path representing the same clause or lead to a path the represents a later clause. Hence, the digraph is acyclic.

Let us now argue that if $\phi$ is satisfiable then the digraph is 2-colorable. We give color 1 to $v_1$ and 2 to $v_2$. We give color 2 to each $w_{i,j}$ and color 1 to each $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$. Fix a satisfying assignment for $\phi$. We give color 1 to all vertices $l_{i,j}$ that represent literals set to True by the assignment and color 2 to all remaining vertices. Let us see why this coloring is acyclic. First, consider a vertex $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$. This vertex has color 1 and one incoming and one outgoing arc corresponding to opposite literals. Because the literals are opposite, one of them has color 2, hence $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$ cannot be in any monochromatic cycle and can be removed. Now, suppose there is a monochromatic cycle of color 1. As $\{v_1, v_2\}$ is a feedback vertex set, this cycle must include $v_1$. Since $v_2$ and all $w_{i,j}$ have color 2 the vertex after $v_1$ in the cycle must be some $l_{i,j}$ representing a positive literal which was set to True by our assignment. The only outgoing arc leaving from $l_{i,j}$ and going to a vertex of color 1 must lead it to a vertex $w'_{j,i,i'}$, which as we said cannot be part of any cycle. Hence, no monochromatic cycle of color 1 exists. Consider then a monochromatic cycle of color 2, which must begin from $v_2$.

The next vertex on this cycle must be a $l_{i,1}$ and since we have eliminated vertices $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$ the cycle must continue in the directed path of clause $i$. But, since we started with a satisfying assignment, at least one of the literal vertices of this path has color 1, meaning the cycle cannot be monochromatic.

Finally, let us argue that if the digraph is 2-colorable, then $\phi$ is satisfiable. Consider a 2-coloring which, without loss of generality, assigns 1 to $v_1$ and 2 to $v_2$. The coloring must give color 2 to all $w_{i,j}$ and color 1 to all $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$, because of the digons connecting these vertices to the palette. Now, we obtain an assignment for $\phi$ as follows: for each $x_j$, we find the vertex in our graph that represents the literal $\neg x_j$ (this is unique since each variable appears exactly once negatively): we assign $x_j$ to True if and only if this vertex has color 2. Let us argue that this assignment satisfies all clauses. First, consider a clause with all negative literals. If this clause is not satisfied, then all the vertices representing its literals have color 2. Because vertices $w_{i,j}$ also all have color 2, this creates a monochromatic cycle with $v_2$, contradiction. Hence, all such clauses are satisfied. Second, consider a clause $c_i$ with all positive literals. In the directed path representing $c_i$ at least one literal vertex must have color 1, otherwise we would get a monochromatic cycle with $v_2$. Suppose this vertex represents the literal $x_j$ and has an out-neighbor $w'_{j,i,i_2}$, which is colored 1. If the out-neighbor of $w'_{j,i_1,i_2}$ is also colored 1, we get a monochromatic cycle with $v_1$. Therefore, that vertex, which represents the literal $\neg x_j$ has color 2. But then, according to our assignment $x_j$ is True and $c_i$ is satisfied. ▷

## 4 Bounded Feedback Arc Set and Bounded Degree

In this section we first present two algorithmic results: we show that $k$-DIGRAPh COLORING becomes FPT (by $k$) if either the input graph has feedback vertex set $k$ and maximum degree at most $4k - 3$; or if it has feedback arc set at most $k^2 - 1$ (and unbounded degree).

Interestingly, the latter of these results is exactly tight and the former is almost tight: in the second part we refine the reduction of the previous section to show that $k$-DIGRAPh COLORING is NP-hard for digraphs which have simultaneously a FAS of size $k^2$, a feedback vertex set of size $k$ and maximum degree $\Delta = 4k - 1$. 
4.1 Algorithmic Results

Our first result shows that for \( k \)-DIGRAPH COLORING, if we are promised a feedback vertex set of size \( k \) (which is the smallest value for which the problem is non-trivial), then the problem remains tractable for degree up to \( 4k - 3 \). Observe that in the case of general digraphs (where we do not bound the feedback vertex set) the problem is already hard for maximum degree \( 2k + 2 \) (see Other Related Work section), so this seems encouraging. However, we show in Theorem 8 that this tractability cannot be extended much further.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( D = (V, E) \) be a digraph with feedback vertex set \( F \) of size \( |F| = k \) and maximum degree \( \Delta \leq 4k - 3 \). Then, \( D \) is \( k \)-colorable if and only if \( D[N[F]] \) is \( k \)-colorable. Furthermore, a \( k \)-coloring of \( D[N[F]] \) can be extended to a \( k \)-coloring of \( D \) in polynomial time.

**Proof.** Let \( D = (V, E) \) be such a digraph. If \( D[N[F]] \) is not \( k \)-colorable, then \( D \) is not \( k \)-colorable, so we need to prove that if \( D[N[F]] \) is \( k \)-colorable then \( D \) is \( k \)-colorable and we can extend this coloring to \( D \). Assume that \( D[N[F]] \) is \( k \)-colorable. By Remark 2 we can assume that \( D[F] \) is a bi-directed clique. Let \( c : N[F] \to [k] \) be the assumed \( k \)-coloring and without loss of generality say that \( F = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \) and \( c(v_i) = i \) for all \( i \in [k] \).

Before we continue let us define the following sets of vertices: we will call \( V_{i,in} \) the set of vertices \( v \in N[F] \setminus F \) such that \( c(v) = i \) and there exists an arc \( vv_i \in E \). Similarly we will call \( V_{i,out} \) the set of vertices \( v \in N[F] \setminus F \) where \( c(v) = i \) and there exists an arc \( v_i v \in E \). The sets \( V_{i,in} \) and \( V_{i,out} \) are disjoint in any proper coloring (otherwise we would have a monochromatic digon). Furthermore, \( V_{i,in} \cup V_{i,out} \) is disjoint from \( V_{j,in} \cup V_{j,out} \) for \( j \neq i \) (because their vertices have different colors), so all these \( 2k \) sets are pair-wise disjoint. We first show that if one of these \( 2k \) sets is empty, then we can color \( D \).

\begin{itemize}
  \item [\textbf{Claim 6.}] If for some \( i \in [k] \) one of the sets \( V_{i,in}, V_{i,out} \) is empty then we can extend \( c \) to a \( k \)-coloring of \( D \) in polynomial time.
\end{itemize}

**Proof.** We keep \( c \) unchanged and color all of \( V(D) \setminus N[F] \) with color \( i \). This is a proper \( k \)-coloring. Indeed, this cannot create a monochromatic cycle with color \( j \neq i \). Furthermore, if a monochromatic cycle of color \( i \) exists, since this cycle must intersect \( F \), we conclude that it must contain \( v_i \). However, in the current \( k \)-coloring \( v_i \) either has no incoming neighbor or no outgoing neighbor colored \( i \), so no monochromatic cycle can go through it.

In the remainder we assume that all sets \( V_{i,in}, V_{i,out} \) are non-empty. Our strategy will be to edit the \( k \)-coloring of \( D[N[F]] \) so that we retain a proper \( k \)-coloring, but one of these \( 2k \) sets becomes empty. We will then invoke Claim 6 to complete the proof.

We now define, for each pair \( i, j \in [k] \) with \( i < j \) the set \( E_{i,j} \) which contains all arcs with one endpoint in \( \{v_i, v_j\} \) and the other in \( V_{i,in} \cup V_{i,out} \cup V_{j,in} \cup V_{j,out} \) and whose endpoints have distinct colors. We call \( E_{i,j} \) the set of cross arcs for the pair \( (i, j) \). We will now argue that for some pair \( (i, j) \) we must have \( |E_{i,j}| \leq 3 \). For the sake of contradiction, assume that \( |E_{i,j}| \geq 4 \) for all pairs. Then, by summing up the degrees of vertices of \( F \) we have:

\[
\sum_{i \in [k]} d(v_i) \geq 2k + k(2k - 2) + \sum_{i,j \in [k], i < j} |E_{i,j}| \geq 2k^2 + 4 \binom{k}{2} = 4k^2 - 2k
\]

In the first inequality we used the fact that each \( v_i \in F \) has at least two arcs connecting it to \( V_{i,in} \cup V_{i,out} \) (since these sets are non-empty); \( 2k - 2 \) arcs connecting it to other vertices of \( F \) (since \( F \) is a clique); and each cross arc of a set \( E_{i,j} \) contributes one to the degree of one vertex of \( F \). From this calculation we infer that the average degree of \( F \) is at least \( 4k - 2 \), which is a contradiction, since we assumed that the digraph has maximum degree \( 4k - 3 \).
Fix now $i, j$ such that $|E_{i,j}| \leq 3$. We will recolor $V_{i,in} \cup V_{i,out} \cup V_{j,in} \cup V_{j,out}$ in a way that allows us to invoke Claim 6. Since we do not change any other color, we will only need to prove that our recoloring does not create monochromatic cycles of colors $i$ or $j$ in $D[N(F)]$. We can assume that $|E_{i,j}| = 3$, since if $|E_{i,j}| < 3$ we can add an arbitrary missing cross arc and this can only make the recoloring process harder. Furthermore, without loss of generality, we assume that $v_i$ has strictly more cross arcs of $E_{i,j}$ incident to it than $v_j$.

We now have to make a case analysis. First, suppose all three arcs of $E_{i,j}$ are incident on $v_i$. Then, there exists a set among $V_{j,in}, V_{j,out}$ that has at most one arc connecting it to $v_i$. We color this set $i$, and leave the other set colored $j$. We also color $V_{i,in} \cup V_{i,out}$ with $j$. This creates no monochromatic cycle because: (i) $v_i$ now has at most one neighbor colored $i$ in $V_{i,in} \cup V_{i,out} \cup V_{j,in} \cup V_{j,out}$, so no monochromatic cycle goes through $v_i$; (ii) $v_j$ has either no out-neighbors or no in-neighbors colored $j$ in $V_{i,in} \cup V_{i,out} \cup V_{j,in} \cup V_{j,out}$. With the new coloring we can invoke Claim 6. In the remainder we therefore assume that two arcs of $E_{i,j}$ are incident on $v_i$ and one is incident on $v_j$.

Second, suppose that one of $V_{j,in}, V_{j,out}$ has no arcs connecting it to $v_i$. We color this set $i$ and leave the other set colored $j$. Observe that one of $V_{i,in}, V_{i,out}$ has no arc connecting it to $v_j$. We color that set $j$ and leave the other set colored $i$. In the new coloring both $v_i, v_j$ either have no out-neighbors or no in-neighbors with the same color in $V_{i,in} \cup V_{i,out} \cup V_{j,in} \cup V_{j,out}$, so the coloring is proper and we can invoke Claim 6. In the remainder we assume that $v_i$ has one arc connecting it to each of $V_{j,in}, V_{j,out}$.

Third, suppose that both arcs of $E_{i,j}$ incident on $v_i$ have the same direction (into or out of $v_i$). We then color $V_{i,in} \cup V_{i,out}$ with $j$ and $V_{j,in} \cup V_{j,out}$ with $i$. In the new coloring $v_j$ has at most one neighbor with the same color and $v_i$ has either only in-neighbors or only out-neighbors with color $i$, so the coloring is acyclic and we again invoke Claim 6.

Finally, we have the case where two arcs of $E_{i,j}$ are incident on $v_i$, they have different directions, one has its other endpoint in $V_{j,in}$ and the other in $V_{j,out}$. Observe that one of $V_{i,in}, V_{i,out}$ has no arc connecting it to $v_j$ and suppose without loss of generality that it is $V_{i,in}$ (the other case is symmetric). We color $V_{i,in}$ with $j$ and leave $V_{i,out}$ with color $i$. One of $V_{j,in}, V_{j,out}$ has an incoming arc from $v_i$; we color this set $i$ and leave the other colored $j$. Now, $v_i$ only has out-neighbors with color $i$, while $v_j$ has either only in-neighbors or only out-neighbors colored $j$, so we are done in this final case.

Our second result concerns a parameter more restricted than feedback vertex set, namely feedback arc set. Note that, in a sense, the class of graphs of bounded feedback arc set contains the class of graphs that have bounded feedback vertex set and bounded degree (selecting all incoming or outgoing arcs of each vertex of a feedback vertex set produces a feedback arc set), so the following theorem may seem more general. However, a closer look reveals that the following result is incomparable to Theorem 5, because graphs of feedback vertex set $k$ and maximum degree $4k - 3$ could have feedback arc set of size up to almost $2k^2$ (consider for example a bi-direction of the complete graph $K_{k,2k-2}$), while the following theorem is able to handle graphs of unbounded degree but feedback arc set up to (only) $k^2 - 1$. As we show in Theorem 8, this is tight.

**Theorem 7.** Let $D$ be a digraph with a feedback arc set $F$ of size at most $k^2 - 1$. Then $D$ is $k$-colorable if and only if $D[V(F)]$ is $k$-colorable, and such a coloring can be extended to $D$ in polynomial time.

**Proof.** It is obvious that if $D[V(F)]$ is not $k$-colorable then $D$ is not $k$-colorable. We will prove the converse by induction. For $k = 1$ it is trivial to see that if $|F| = 0$ then $D$ is acyclic so is 1-colorable. Assume then that any digraph $D$ with a feedback arc set $F$ of size at most $(k - 1)^2 - 1$ is $(k - 1)$-colorable, and if only if $D[V(F)]$ is $(k - 1)$-colorable.
Suppose now that we have \( D \) with a feedback arc set \( F \) with \( |F| \leq k^2 - 1 \) and we find that \( D[V(F)] \) is \( k \)-colorable (this can be tested in \( 2^{O(k^2)} \) time). Let \( c : V(F) \to [k] \) be a coloring of \( V(F) \). We distinguish two cases:

**Case 1.** There exists a color class (say \( V_k \)) such that at least \( 2k - 1 \) arcs of \( F \) are incident on \( V_k \). Then \( D - V_k \) has a feedback arc set of size at most \( |F| - (2k - 1) \leq k^2 - 1 - (2k - 1) \leq (k - 1)^2 - 1 \) and \( V_1, \ldots, V_{k-1} \) remains a valid coloring of the remainder of \( V(F) \). So by inductive hypothesis \( D - V_k \) has a \((k - 1)\)-coloring. By using the color \( k \) on \( V_k \) we have a \( k \)-coloring for \( D \).

**Case 2.** Each color class is incident on at most \( 2k - 2 \) arcs of \( F \). We then claim that there is a way to color \( V(F) \) so that all arcs of \( F \) have distinct colors on their endpoints. If we achieve this, we can trivially extend the coloring to the rest of the graph, as arcs of \( F \) become irrelevant. Now, let us call \( v \in V(F) \) as **type one** if \( v \) is incident on at least \( k \) arcs of \( F \). We will show that there is at most one **type one** vertex in each color class. Indeed, if \( u, v \in V_i \) are both **type one**, then they are incident on at least \( 2k - 1 \) arcs of \( F \) (there is no digon between \( u \) and \( v \) because they share a color), which we assumed is not the case, as \( V_i \) is incident on at most \( 2k - 2 \) arcs of \( F \). Therefore, we can use \( k \) distinct colors to color all the **type one** vertices of \( V(F) \). Each remaining vertex of \( V(F) \) is incident on at most \( k - 1 \) arcs of \( F \). We consider these vertices in some arbitrary order, and give each a color that does not already appear on the other endpoints of its incident arcs from \( F \). Such a color always exists, and proceeding this way we color all arcs of \( F \) with distinct colors. This completes the proof.

### 4.2 Hardness

In this section we improve upon our previous reduction by producing a graph which has bounded degree and bounded feedback arc set. Our goal is to do this efficiently enough to (almost) match the algorithmic bounds given in the previous section.

**Theorem 8.** For all \( k \geq 2 \), it is \( NP \)-hard to decide if a digraph \( D = (V, E) \) is \( k \)-colorable, even if \( D \) has a feedback vertex set of size \( k \), a feedback arc set of size \( k^2 \), and maximum degree \( \Delta = 4k - 1 \).

**Proof.** Recall that in the proof of Theorem 4 for \( k \geq 2 \) we construct a graph that is made up of two parts: the palette part, which is a bi-directed clique that contains \( v_1, v_2 \) and the \( k - 2 \) vertices we have possibly added to increase the chromatic number (call them \( v_3, \ldots, v_k \)); and the part that represents the formula. We perform the same reduction except that we will now edit the graph to reduce its degree and its feedback arc set. In particular, we delete the palette vertices and replace them with a gadget that we describe below.

We construct a new palette that will be a bi-directed clique of size \( k \), whose vertices are now labeled \( v^i, i \in [k] \). Let \( M \) be the number of vertices of the graph we constructed for Theorem 4. We construct \( M \) “rows” of \( 2k \) vertices each. More precisely, for each \( \ell \in [M], i \in [k] \) we construct the two vertices \( v^i_{\ell,\text{in}}, v^i_{\ell,\text{out}} \). In the remainder, when we refer to row \( \ell \), we mean the set \( \{v^i_{\ell,\text{in}}, v^i_{\ell,\text{out}} \mid i \in [k]\} \). For all \( i, j \in [k], i < j \) we connect the vertices of row 1 to the vertices of the clique as shown in Figure 2. For all \( i, j \in [k], i < j \) and \( \ell \in [M - 1] \) we connect the vertices of rows \( \ell, \ell + 1 \) as shown in Figure 3.

In more detail we have:

1. For each \( i \in [k] \) the vertex \( v^i \) has an arc to all \( v^j_{\ell,\text{in}} \) for \( j \geq i \), an arc to \( v^j_{\ell,\text{out}} \) for all \( j \neq i \), and an arc from \( v^i_{\ell,\text{in}} \) for all \( j \leq i \).
2. For each $\ell \in [M]$, for all $i < j$ we have the following four arcs: $v_{\ell,\text{out}}^i v_{\ell,\text{out}}^j$, $v_{\ell,\text{out}}^i v_{\ell,\text{in}}^j$, $v_{\ell,\text{in}}^i v_{\ell,\text{in}}^j$, and $v_{\ell,\text{out}}^i v_{\ell,\text{in}}^j$. As a result, inside a row arcs are oriented from out to in vertices; and between vertices of the same type from larger to smaller indices $i$.

3. For each $\ell \in [M-1]$, for all $i \in [k]$ we have the arcs $v_{\ell,\text{out}}^i v_{\ell+1,\text{out}}^i$ and $v_{\ell,\text{out}}^{i+1} v_{\ell,\text{in}}^i$. As a result, the $v_{\ell,\text{out}}^i$ vertices form a directed path going out of $v^i$ and the $v_{\ell,\text{in}}^i$ vertices form a directed path going into $v^i$.

4. For each $\ell \in [M-1]$, for all $i,j \in [k]$ with $i < j$ we have the arcs $v_{\ell,\text{out}}^i v_{\ell+1,\text{in}}^j$, $v_{\ell,\text{out}}^{i+1} v_{\ell,\text{out}}^{j}$, $v_{\ell,\text{out}}^{i+1} v_{\ell+1,\text{in}}^j$. Again, arcs incident on an out and an in vertex are oriented towards the in vertex.

Let $P$ be the gadget we have constructed so far, consisting of the clique of size $k$ and the $M$ rows of $2k$ vertices each. We will establish the following properties.

1. Deleting all vertices $v^i$, $i \in [k]$ makes $P$ acyclic and eliminates all directed paths from any vertex $v_{\ell,\text{in}}^i$ to any vertex $v_{\ell',\text{out}}^j$, for all $i,j \in [k], \ell, \ell' \in [M]$.

2. The maximum degree of any vertex of $P$ is $4k - 2$.

3. There is a $k$-coloring of $P$ that gives all vertices of $\{v_{\ell,\text{in}}, v_{\ell,\text{out}} \mid \ell \in [M]\}$ color $i$, for all $i \in [k]$.

4. In any $k$-coloring of $P$, for all $i$, all vertices of $\{v_{\ell,\text{in}}, v_{\ell,\text{out}} \mid \ell \in [M]\}$ receive the same color as $v^i$.

Before we go on to prove these four properties of $P$, let us explain why they imply the theorem. To complete the construction, we insert $P$ in our graph in the place of the palette clique we were previously using. To each vertex of the original graph, we associate a distinct row of $P$ (there are sufficiently many rows to do this). Now, if vertex $u$ of the original graph, which is associated to row $\ell$, had an arc from (respectively to) the vertex $v_i$ in the palette, we add an arc from $v_{\ell,\text{out}}^i$ (respectively to $v_{\ell,\text{in}}^i$).
Let us first establish that the new graph has the properties promised in the theorem. The maximum degree of any vertex in the main (non-palette) part remains unchanged and is $2k + 2 \leq 4k - 1$ while the maximum degree of any vertex of $P$ is now at most $4k - 1$, as we added at most one arc to each vertex. Deleting $\{v^i | i \in [k]\}$ eliminates all cycles in $P$, but also all cycles going through $P$, because such a cycle would need to use a path from a vertex $v_{i,\text{in}}$ (since these are the only vertices with incoming arcs from outside $P$) to a vertex $v_{i,\text{out}}$. Deleting all of $P$ leaves the graph acyclic (recall that the palette clique was a feedback vertex set in our previous construction), so there is a feedback vertex set of size $k$.

For the feedback arc set we remove the arcs $\{v^j v^i | j > i, \ i, j \in [k]\} \cup \{v_{i,\text{in}} | j > i, \ i, j \in [k]\} \cup \{v^i | i \in [k]\}$. Note that these are indeed $k^2$ arcs. To see that this is a feedback arc set, suppose that the graph contains a directed cycle after its removal. This cycle must contain some vertex $v^i$, because we argued that $\{v^i | i \in [k]\}$ is a feedback vertex set. Among these vertices, select the $v^i$ with minimum $i$. We now examine the arc of the cycle going into $v^i$. Its tail cannot be $v^j$ for $j > i$, as we have removed such arcs, nor $v_j$ for $j < i$, as this contradicts the minimality of $i$. It cannot be $v_{i,\text{in}}$ as we have also removed these arcs. And it cannot be $v_{i,\text{in}}$ for $j < i$, as these arcs are also removed. But no other in-neighbor of $v^i$ remains, contradiction.

Let us also argue that using the gadget $P$ instead of the palette clique does not affect the $k$-colorability of the graph. This is not hard to see because, following Properties 3 and 4 we can assume that any $k$-coloring of $P$ will give color $i$ to all vertices of $\{v^j | i \in [k]\} \cup \{v_{i,\text{in}}, v_{i,\text{out}} | \ell \in [M]\}$. The important observation is now that, for all $\ell \in [M]$ there will always exist a monochromatic path from $v^j$ to $v_{i,\text{out}}$ and from $v_{i,\text{in}}$ to $v^i$. We now note that, if we fix a coloring of the non-palette part of the graph, this coloring contains a monochromatic cycle involving vertex $v_i$ of the original palette if and only if the same coloring gives a monochromatic cycle in the new graph going through $v^i$.

Finally, we need to prove the four properties. Their proofs are given in the appendix.◀

## 5 Treewidth

In this section we consider the complexity of DIGRAPHCOLORING with respect to parameters measuring the acyclicity of the underlying graph, namely, treewidth and treedepth. Before we proceed let us recall that in all graphs $G$ we have $\chi(G) \leq \text{tw}(G) + 1 \leq \text{td}(G) + 1$. This means that if our goal is simply to obtain an FPT algorithm then parameterizing by treewidth implies that the graph’s chromatic number (and therefore also the digraph’s dichromatic number) is bounded. We first present an algorithm with complexity $k^{\text{tw}}(tw!)$ which, using the above argument, proves that DIGRAPHCOLORING is FPT parameterized by treewidth.

\textbf{Theorem 9}. There is an algorithm which, given a digraph $D$ on $n$ vertices and a tree decomposition of its underlying graph of width $\text{tw}$ decides if $D$ is $k$-colorable in time $k^{\text{tw}}(tw!)n^{O(1)}$.

The proof for the Theorem 9 is deferred to the full version of the paper.

As we explained, even though Theorem 9 implies that DIGRAPHCOLORING is FPT parameterized by treewidth, the complexity it gives is significantly worse than the complexity of COLORING, which is essentially $k^{\text{tw}}$. Our main result in this section is to show that this is likely to be inevitable, even if we focus on the more restricted case of treedepth and 2 colors.

\textbf{Theorem 10}. If there exists an algorithm which decides if a given digraph on $n$ vertices and (undirected) treedepth $\text{td}$ is 2-colorable in time $\text{td}^{O(\text{td})}n^{O(1)}$, then the ETH is false.
**Proof.** Suppose we are given a 3-SAT formula $\phi$ with $n$ variables and $m$ clauses. We will produce a digraph $G$ such that $|V(G)| = 2^{O(n/\log n)}m$ and $td(G) = O(n/\log n)$ and $G$ is 2-colorable if and only if $\phi$ is satisfiable. Before we proceed, observe that if we can construct such a graph the theorem follows, as an algorithm with running time $O^*(td^{o(td)})$ for 2-coloring $G$ would decide the satisfiability of $\phi$ in time $2^{o(n)}$.

To simplify presentation we assume without loss of generality that $n$ is a power of 2 (otherwise adding dummy variables to $\phi$ can achieve this while increasing $n$ be a factor of at most 2). We begin the construction of $G$ by creating $\log n$ independent sets $V_1, \ldots, V_{\log n}$, each of size $\left\lceil \frac{2en}{\log n} \right\rceil$. We add a vertex $u$ and connect it with arcs in both directions to all vertices of $\bigcup_{i=\log n}^{\log n} V_i$. We also partition the variables of $\phi$ into $\log n$ sets $X_1, \ldots, X_{\log n}$ of size at most $\left\lceil \frac{n}{\log n} \right\rceil$.

The main idea of our construction is that the vertices of $V_i$ will represent an assignment to the variables of $X_i$. Observe that all vertices of $V_i$ are forced to obtain the same color (as all are forced to have a distinct color from $u$), therefore the way these vertices represent an assignment is via their topological ordering in the DAG they induce together with other vertices of the graph which obtain the same color.

To continue our construction, for each $i \in [\log n]$ we do the following: we enumerate all the possible truth assignments of the variables of $X_i$ and for each such truth assignment $\sigma : X_i \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{|X_i|}$ we define (in an arbitrary way) a distinct ordering $\rho(\sigma)$ of the vertices of $V_i$. We will say that the ordering $\rho(\sigma)$ is the translation of assignment $\sigma$. Note that there are $|V_i|! \geq (\frac{2en}{\log n})! \geq (\frac{2en}{\log n})^{\frac{2en}{\log n}} = 2^{\frac{2en}{\log n} \left(1+\log n - \frac{\log n}{2\log n} \right)} > 2^{\frac{n}{\log^2 n}}$ for $n$ sufficiently large, so it is possible to translate truth assignments to $X_i$ to orderings of $V_i$ injectively. Note that enumerating all assignments for each group takes time $2^{O(n/\log n)} = 2^{o(n)}$.

Consider now a clause $c_j$ of $\phi$ and suppose some variable of the group $X_i$ appears in $c_j$. For each truth assignment $\sigma$ to $X_i$ which satisfies $c_j$ we construct an independent set $S_{j, i, \sigma}$ of size $|X_i| - 1$, label its vertices $s_{j, i, \sigma}^\ell$, for $\ell \in [|X_i| - 1]$. For each $\ell$ we add an arc from $\rho(\sigma)^{-1}(\ell)$ to $s_{j, i, \sigma}^\ell$ and an arc from $s_{j, i, \sigma}^{\ell+1}$ to $\rho(\sigma)^{-1}(\ell + 1)$. In other words, the $\ell$-th vertex of $S_{j, i, \sigma}$ has an incoming arc from the vertex of $V_i$ which is $\ell$-th according to the ordering $\rho(\sigma)$ which is the translation of assignment $\sigma$ and an outgoing arc to the vertex of $V_i$ which is in position $(\ell + 1)$ in the same ordering. Observe that this implies that if all vertices of $V_i$ and of $S_{j, i, \sigma}$ are given the same color, then the topological ordering of the induced DAG will agree with $\rho(\sigma)$ on the vertices of $V_i$.

To complete the construction, for each clause $c_j$ we do the following: take all independent sets $S_{j, i, \sigma}$ which we have constructed for $c_j$ and order them in a cycle in some arbitrary way. For two sets $S_{j, i, \sigma}$, $S_{j, i', \sigma'}$ which are consecutive in this cycle add a new “connector” vertex $p_{j, i, \sigma, i', \sigma'}$, all arcs from $S_{j, i, \sigma}$ to this vertex, and all arcs from this vertex to $S_{j, i', \sigma'}$. Finally, we connect each connector vertex $p_{j, i, \sigma, i', \sigma'}$ we have constructed to an arbitrary vertex of $V_i$ with a digon. This completes the construction.

Let us argue that if $\phi$ is satisfiable, then $G$ is 2-colorable. We color $u$ with color 2, all the vertices in $V_i$ for $i \in [\log n]$ with 1 and all connector vertices $p_{i, j, \sigma, i', \sigma'}$ with 2. For each clause $c_j$ there exists a group $X_i$ that contains a variable of $c_j$ such that the supposed satisfying assignment of $\phi$, when restricted to $X_i$ gives an assignment $\sigma : X_i \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{|X_i|}$ which satisfies $c_j$. Therefore, there exists a corresponding set $S_{j, i, \sigma}$. Color all vertices of this set with 1. After doing this for all clauses, we color all other vertices with 2. We claim this is a valid 2-coloring. Indeed, the graph induced by color 2 is acyclic, as it contains $u$ (but none of its neighbors) and for each $c_j$, all but one of the sets $S_{j, i, \sigma}$ and the vertices $p_{j, i, \sigma, i', \sigma'}$. Since these sets have been connected in a directed cycle through connector vertices, and for each $c_j$ we have colored one of these sets with 1, the remaining sets induce a DAG. For the
We now observe that if $2$ to extend our hardness result to this more restricted case. Parameters, it would be interesting to investigate whether a correct threshold when the DFVS is unbounded. With regards to undirected structural parameters, it would be interesting to investigate whether a $vc^\Theta(vc)$ algorithm exists for $2$-Digraph Coloring, where $vc$ is the input graph’s vertex cover, as it seems challenging to extend our hardness result to this more restricted case.

For the converse direction, suppose we have a 2-coloring of $G$. Without loss of generality, $u$ has color 2 and $\cup_{i \in \log n} V_i$ has color 1. Furthermore, all connectors $p_{j,i,\sigma',\sigma}$ also have color 2. Consider now a clause $c_j$. We claim that there must be a group $S_{j,i,\sigma}$ such that $S_{j,i,\sigma}$ does not use color 2. Indeed, if all such groups use color 2, since they are linked in a directed cycle with all possible arcs between consecutive groups and connectors, color 2 would not induce a DAG. So, for each $c_j$, we find a group $S_{j,i,\sigma}$ that is fully colored 1 and infer from this the truth assignment $\sigma$ for the group $X_i$. Doing this for all clauses gives us an assignment that satisfies every clause. However, we need to argue that the assignment we extract is consistent, that is, there do not exist $S_{j,i,\sigma}$ and $S_{j',i,\sigma'}$ which are fully colored 1 with $\sigma \neq \sigma'$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that two such sets exist, and recall that $\rho(\sigma) \neq \rho(\sigma')$. We now observe that if $S_{j,i,\sigma} \cup V_i$ only uses color 1, then any topological ordering of $V_i$ in the graph induced by color 1 must agree with $\rho(\sigma)$, which is a total ordering of $V_i$. In a similar way, the ordering of $V_i$ must agree with $\rho(\sigma')$, so if $\sigma \neq \sigma'$ we get a contradiction.

Finally, let us argue about the parameters of $G$. For each clause $c_j$ of $\phi$ we construct an independent set of size $O(n/\log^2 n)$ for each satisfying assignment of a group $X_i$ containing a variable of $c_j$. There are at most 3 such groups, and each group has at most $2^{n/\log n}$ satisfying assignments for $c_j$, so $|V(G)| = 2^{O(n/\log n)}n$.

For the treedepth, recall that deleting a vertex decreases treedepth by at most 1. We delete $u$ and all of $\cup_{i \in \log n} V_i$ which are $O(n/\log n)$ vertices in total. It now suffices to prove that in the remainder all components have treedepth $O(n/\log n)$. In the remainder every component is made up of the directed cycle formed by sets $S_{j,i,\sigma}$ and connectors $p_{j,i,\sigma',\sigma}$. We first delete a vertex $p_{j,i,\sigma',\sigma}$ to turn the cycle into a directed “path” of length $L = 2^{O(n/\log n)}$. We now use the standard argument which proves that paths of length $L$ have treedepth $\log L$, namely, we delete the $p_{j,i,\sigma',\sigma}$ vertex that is closest to the middle of the path and then recursively do the same in each component. This shows that the remaining graph has treedepth logarithmic in the length of the path, therefore at most $O(n/\log n)$.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we have strengthened known results about the complexity of Digraph Coloring on digraphs which are close to being DAGs, precisely mapping the threshold of tractability for DFVS and FAS; and we precisely bounded the complexity of the problem parameterized by treewidth, uncovering an important discrepancy with its undirected counterpart. One question for further study is to settle the degree bound for which $k$-Digraph Coloring is NP-hard for DFVS $k$, and more generally to map out how the tractability threshold for the degree evolves for larger values of the DFVS from $4k - \Theta(1)$ to $2k + \Theta(1)$, which is the correct threshold when the DFVS is unbounded. With regards to undirected structural parameters, it would be interesting to investigate whether a $vc^\Theta(vc)$ algorithm exists for 2-Digraph Coloring, where $vc$ is the input graph’s vertex cover, as it seems challenging to extend our hardness result to this more restricted case.
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