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Abstract

An expression in the form of an easily computed integral is given for the determinant of the scalar
GJMS operator on an odd–dimensional sphere. Manipulation yields a sum formula for the logdet in
terms of the logdets of the ordinary conformal Laplacian for other dimensions. This is formalised
and expanded by an analytical treatment of the integral which produces an explicit combinatorial
expression directly in terms of the Riemann zeta function, and log2. An incidental byproduct is a
(known) expression for the central factorial coefficients in terms of higher Bernoulli numbers.
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1. Introduction

This paper has the goal of presenting an easy–to–calculate formula for the determinant of the GJMS
conformally invariant operator, $P_{2k}$, on an odd–dimensional sphere. Expressions for this are actually
already known but it is always helpful to have alternative representations if only for variety and
checking. Furthermore, knowing the values on one geometry allows one to find them on conformally
related spaces, using Branson’s $Q$ curvature if necessary.

Further motivation for computing these determinants follows from the AdS/CFT correspondance
in quantum field theory, one manifestation of which involves the partition functions for conformally
invariant higher spin fields on spheres, Tseytlin [24], whose propagation operator takes a similar product
form to the GJMS one (see the next section).

In [8] a direct spectral evaluation of log det $P_{2k}$ was given which yielded an integral over a Plancherel
measure and agreed with other derivations done using dimensional regularisation in the context of
the AdS/CFT correspondance by Diaz, [6], and Diaz and Dorn, [7], and via the Selberg $\zeta$–function
by Guillarmou, [12]. The method here is an application of that in [9] which involved the ordinary
conformally invariant Laplacian, $P_2$. A drawback is that $k$ is restricted to be an integer smaller than
d/2, unlike some other formulae which allow a continuation in $k$.

2. The general method

It is necessary, briefly, to give some definitions and basic facts. Branson’s construction of $P_{2k}$, [1],
in the special case of the (round) unit $d$–sphere is a simple product (see also Graham [13])

\[
P_{2k} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (B^2 - \alpha_j^2), \quad \alpha_j = j + 1/2
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(B + 1/2 + k)}{\Gamma(B + 1/2 - k)} = B^{[2k+1]-1}_1,
\]

(1)
expressed as a central factorial.\footnote{\emph{E.g.} Steffensen, \cite{22}. It is amusing to note that the product formula of Juhl, \cite{14} Lemma 6.1, is the central version of Elphinstone’s theorem of 1858, \cite{11}.} Here $B = \sqrt{P_2 + 1/4}$ with $P_2 = -\Delta_2 + ((d - 1)^2 - 1)/4$ the Yamabe-Penrose operator, sometimes denoted by $Y_d$, on the sphere.

In \cite{9}, for a different purpose, the determinant of the operator $B^2 - \alpha^2$, where $\alpha$ was a general parameter, was calculated. Because, as shown in \cite{8}, there is no multiplicative anomaly for odd dimensions,\footnote{There is one for the hemisphere} the result can be used to find $\log \det P_{2k}$ easily as the sum,

$$\log \det P_{2k} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \log \det(B^2 - \alpha_j^2).$$

It will turn out very shortly that this sum can be done.

3. The Calculation

The method involves a Bessel function representation for the $\zeta$–function of the operator $B^2 - \alpha^2$ on the odd $d$–sphere, for example see \cite{4, 5}. A contour technique then provides the expression, (see \cite{9, Equation (11))},

$$\log \det(B^2 - \alpha_j^2) = -\frac{1}{2^{d-2}} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\cosh(\tau/2) \cosh(\alpha_j \tau)}{\tau \sinh^d(\tau/2)} = \frac{(-1)^{(d+1)/2}}{2^{d-2}} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{(-1)^j \pi \sinh(x/2) \sinh(\alpha_j x)}{x^2 + \pi^2 \cosh^d(x/2)}.$$  

On the first line $\tau = x + iy, \ (0 \leq y \leq 2\pi)$. The second line results from the choice $y = \pi$ and the fact that $\alpha_j$ is a half-integer.

The geometric sum over $j$ in (2) gives,

$$\log \det P_{2k} = \frac{(-1)^{(d-1)/2+k}}{2^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\pi \sinh(x/2) \sinh(kx)}{x^2 + \pi^2 \cosh^{d+1}(x/2)}.$$  

which is the main computational result. Because of the factor $(-1)^k$ it works sensibly only for $k$ an integer. Furthermore, the integral diverges if $2k > d$.\footnote{This is a consequence of the appearance of negative eigenvalues of $B - \alpha_j$ but this will not be remedied here.} So from now on we assume that $2k \leq d$.

4. A Chebyshev rearrangement

For $k$ an integer, there is the expression

$$\frac{\sinh(kx)}{\sinh(x/2)} = U_{2k-1}(\cosh(x/2)),$$

in terms of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind and \cite{4} reads,

$$\log \det P_{2k} = \frac{(-1)^{(d-1)/2+k}}{2^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\pi \sinh^2(x/2) U_{2k-1}(\cosh(x/2))}{x^2 + \pi^2 \cosh^{d+1}(x/2)}.$$  

The dimension, $d$, has been indicated because expanding the Chebyshev polynomial will produce a sum of ordinary log dets of $P_2(d')$ for dimension $d'$ in the range $d$ to $d - 2k + 2$.

Making this explicit, one has, first,

$$U_{2k-1}(x) = x(u_0 + u_1 x^2 + \cdots + u_{k-1} x^{2k-2}),$$
where the coefficients, $u_j(k)$, are known, both in general, for example see [2-17], and by recursion for a given $k$. (There are tables of them.) Then,

$$\log \text{det} P_{2k} = \det P^{2k}_{2}(d) \det P^{2k-1}_{2}(d-2k+2),$$

(6)

where the powers $v_j(k)$ are simply related to the $u_j(k)$ by,

$$v_j(k) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1+j}}{2^{2j+1}} u_j(k).$$

The coefficients $u_j$ alternate in sign so the $v_j$ all have the same sign, and, moreover, are integers. It’s best to give a few examples. Trivially, $v_0(1) = 1$ and, nontrivially, one finds the determinant “product rules”,

$$P_4(d) \sim P^2_2(d) P_2(d-2),$$

$$P_6(d) \sim P^3_2(d) P^4_2(d-2) P_2(d-4),$$

$$P_8(d) \sim P^2_2(d) P^3_2(d-2) P^5_2(d-4) P_2(d-6),$$

$$P_{10}(d) \sim P^2_2(d) P^{20}_2(d-2) P^{21}_2(d-4) P^8_2(d-6) P_2(d-8),$$

(7)

where $\sim$ stands for equality of determinants (but not of operators!) and $d$ is such that the final factor is never $P_2(1)$.

Equation (7) is a second computational formula, although it is not very efficient. It can, however, be used to express the determinants in terms Riemann $\zeta$-function since such expressions are known for the $P_2$’s. For example, for the Paneitz–Fradkin–Tseytlin–Riegert\footnote{They are the numbers in the square array of binomial coefficients read by antidiagonals.} operator on the 5– and 7–spheres,

$$\log \text{det} P_{4}(5) = \frac{1}{32} \left( 7 \log 2 - 13 \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} + 15 \frac{\zeta(5)}{2 \pi^4} \right) \approx 0.104642,$$

$$\log \text{det} P_{4}(7) = -\frac{1}{256} \left( 3 \log 2 + \frac{79 \zeta(3)}{30 \pi^2} - \frac{55 \zeta(5)}{2 \pi^4} + \frac{63 \zeta(7)}{4 \pi^6} \right) \approx -0.008297,$$

(8)

which, of course, check numerically against the quadrature, (4). These expressions can also be deduced from other representations of the determinants. In the next section a general formula, Theorem 9, is derived from a complex analytic treatment of the integral, as mentioned in [9]. This makes the above discussion more precise.

5. AN EXPLICIT FORMULA

In this section, we find a general formula for $\log \text{det} P_{2k}(d)$ ($2k \leq d$) by attempting to close the contour in the upper half plane and using residues, cf. [4] for some similar specific cases. In detail, set $R = 4\pi R'$ with $R' \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the contour $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ to be the horizontal line $\gamma_1$ from $-R$ to $R$ and the half circle $\gamma_2$ connects $R$, $Ri$ and $-R$ in the complex plane, as described in Figure 1.

$\gamma_2$ $R_i$

$-R$ $\gamma_1$ $R$

\textbf{Figure 1.} The contour $\gamma$

\footnotetext[4]{This designation is often reserved just for the four dimensional case.}
Although the asymptotic behaviour is obvious we proceed carefully.

**Lemma 1.** We have

\[ \lim_{R' \to \infty} \oint_{\gamma_2} f(z)dz = 0. \]

**Proof.** Let \( f(z) = \frac{1}{(z^2 + \pi^2)e^{\pi(z/2)}} \). Then, for all \( z \in \gamma_2 \) with \( R' \to \infty \),

\[ |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{|z^2| - \pi^2} \cdot |e^{\pi z/2} + e^{-\pi z/2}|^m = \frac{2^m}{(R^2 - \pi^2)e^{mR/2}|1 + e^{-Re^{i\theta}}|^m}. \]

Note that

\[ |1 + e^{-Re^{i\theta}}| = \sqrt{(1 + e^{-2R\cos \theta} + 2e^{-R\cos \theta}\cos(R\sin \theta)}. \]

Since \( R = 4\pi R' \) with \( R' \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( R' \to \infty \), we have that \( |1 + e^{-Re^{i\theta}}| \geq 1 \). Thus

\[ \left| \oint_{\gamma_2} f(z)dz \right| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(4\pi R'e^{i\theta})|d\theta \leq \frac{2^m R'}{\pi(4R'^2 - 1)e^{2m\pi R'}} \to 0, \]

which completes the proof. \( \square \)

We now formalize the route that led to (6).

**Theorem 2.** We have

\[ \log \det P_{2k}(d) = \frac{(-1)^{(d-1)/2 + k} \pi}{2^{d-2k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{2k - 1 - j}{j} \left( \frac{-1}{4} \right)^j (f_{d+2j-2k} - f_{d+2+2j-2k}), \]

where \( f_m = \pi i \sum_{s \geq 0} \text{Res}_{z=(2s+1)\pi} \frac{1}{(z^2 + \pi^2)e^{m(z/2)}}. \)

**Proof.** Using the fact that \( U_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{n-j}{j}(2x)^{n-2j} \), we obtain

\[ \log \det P_{2k}(d) = \frac{(-1)^{(d-1)/2 + k} \pi}{2^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\sinh^2(x/2)}{(x^2 + \pi^2)\cosh^{d+1}(x/2)} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{2k - 1 - j}{j} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^j x^{2k-1-2j} \]

\[ = \frac{(-1)^{(d-1)/2 + k} \pi}{2^{d-2k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{2k - 1 - j}{j} \left( \frac{-1}{4} \right)^j \int_0^\infty \frac{\cosh^2(x/2) - 1}{(x^2 + \pi^2)\cosh^{d+2j-2k}(x/2)} dx \]

\[ = \frac{(-1)^{(d-1)/2 + k} \pi}{2^{d-2k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{2k - 1 - j}{j} \left( \frac{-1}{4} \right)^j (f_{d+2j-2k} - f_{d+2+2j-2k}), \]

where \( f_m = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(z^2 + \pi^2)\cosh^m(z/2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^2 + \pi^2)\cosh^m(x/2)}. \) By Lemma 1 we have

\[ f_m = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{R' \to \infty} \oint_{\gamma_2} \frac{dz}{(z^2 + \pi^2)\cosh^m(z/2)}. \]

By the residue theorem, we obtain

\[ f_m = \pi i \sum_{s \geq 0} \text{Res}_{z=(2s+1)\pi} \frac{1}{(z^2 + \pi^2)\cosh^m(z/2)}, \]

as required. \( \square \)
Lemma 3. The Laurent series of $\text{sech}^m(z/2)$ at $z = (2s + 1)i\pi$ is given by

$$\text{sech}^m(z/2) = (-1)^m \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{2k-m} D_{2k}^{(m)} (z - (2s + 1)i\pi)^{2k-m},$$

where the $D_{2k}^{(m)}$ are related to the higher Bernoulli functions by, Nörlund [20] p.130,

$$D_{2k}^{(m)} = 2^{2k} B_{2k}^{(m)}(m/2)$$

Proof. The proof follows immediately from the standard series

$$(t \csc t)^m = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \frac{D_{2k}^{(m)}}{(2k)!} t^{2k}, \quad |t| < \pi.$$ (See [19] p.196.)

The $D_{2k}^{(m)}$ can be evaluated in a number of ways. A direct one is by composition with the $m = 1$ series whose coefficients are ordinary Bernoulli numbers, $B_n$. This gives

$$D_{2n}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{2n}{2j} ((m+1)j - n)(2 - 4j) B_{2j} D_{2n-2j}^{(m)}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Some values are tabulated by Nörlund [20] and for convenience a short table is included here.

| $m$ | $k = 0$ | $k = 1$ | $k = 2$ | $k = 3$ | $k = 4$ | $k = 5$ | $k = 6$ |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1   | $-\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{7}{15}$ | $-\frac{21}{27}$ | $\frac{127}{135}$ | $-\frac{2553}{2595}$ | $1141477$ | $13685$ |
| 2   | $-\frac{3}{5}$ | $\frac{8}{15}$ | $-\frac{160}{21}$ | $\frac{896}{15}$ | $-\frac{7680}{11}$ | $15566848$ | $13685$ |
| 3   | $-1$ | $\frac{17}{5}$ | $-\frac{457}{21}$ | $\frac{3287}{15}$ | $-\frac{34851}{11}$ | $16954277$ | $273$ |
| 4   | $-\frac{4}{3}$ | $\frac{88}{21}$ | $-\frac{592}{9}$ | $\frac{5248}{11}$ | $-\frac{111104}{91}$ | $21157888$ | $273$ |
| 5   | $-\frac{5}{3}$ | $9$ | $-\frac{1835}{21}$ | $\frac{11513}{9}$ | $-\frac{284685}{41}$ | $62451523$ | $91$ |

Table 1. Values of $D_{2k}^{(m)}$ for $m = 1, 2, \ldots, 5$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots, 6$.

Next, note that the Laurent series of $\frac{1}{z + \pi}$ at $z = -\pi i$ is given by $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi n^m+1} (z + \pi i)^{n-1}$ and at $z = \pi i$ is given by $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi n+1} (z - \pi i)^{n-1}$. Hence, by Lemma 3 we obtain

Lemma 4. Let $i^2 = -1$. Then

$$\text{Res}_{z=\pi i} \frac{\text{sech}^m(z/2)}{z^2 + \pi^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \frac{D_{2n}^{(m)}}{2i\pi^{m-2n+1}}.$$ $$\text{Res}_{z=-\pi i} \frac{\text{sech}^m(z/2)}{z^2 + \pi^2} = -\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \frac{D_{2n}^{(m)}}{2i\pi^{m-2n+1}}.$$  

Note that the Taylor series of $\frac{1}{z + \pi}$ at $z = (2s + 1)i\pi$ with $s \neq 0, -1$ is given by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{i^{n+2}((s+1)^{n+1} - s^{n+1})}{2n+2\pi n+2s n+1(s+1)^{n+1}} (z - (2s + 1)i\pi)^n.$$
where $f$

Hence, by Lemma 3, we obtain

**Lemma 5.** Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $s \neq 0, -1$. Let $i^2 = -1$. Then

$$\text{Res}_{z=(2s+1)\pi i} \frac{\text{sech}^m(z/2)}{z^2 + \pi^2} = (-1)^msi \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^n \frac{D_{2n}^{(m)}}{(2n)!} \frac{1}{2\pi^{m-2n+1}} \left( \frac{1}{s^{m-2n}} - \frac{1}{(s+1)^{m-2n}} \right).$$

Hence, by Theorem 2, Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 6.** Let $d \geq 2k$. We have

$$\log \det P_{2k}(d) = \frac{(-1)^{(d-1)/2}k}{2d-2k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{2k-1-j}{j} \left( \frac{-1}{4} \right)^j (f_{d+2j-2k} - f_{d+2j+2-2k}),$$

where $f_m = \pi i \sum_{s \geq 0} \text{Res}_{z=(2s+1)\pi i} \frac{\text{sech}^m(z/2)}{z^2 + \pi^2}$.

**Remark 7.** Let $g_{m,s} = \text{Res}_{z=(2s+1)\pi i} \frac{\text{sech}^m(z/2)}{z^2 + \pi^2}$. Then, by Lemmas 7 and 5, we obtain that

$$|g_{m,0}| > |g_{m,1}| > |g_{m,2}| \ldots \text{ and } |g_{m+2,s}| < |g_{m,s}|.$$  

In order to simplify the expression in the statement of Theorem 6, we need the following definition and the following lemma. We bring in the Dirichlet $\eta$–function, $\eta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^s}$. Then, one has, ($\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$),

$$\eta(\ell) = \begin{cases} -\log(2) & \ell = 1, \\ (2^{-\ell - 1})\zeta(\ell) & \ell > 1, \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 8.** Let $f_m = \pi i \sum_{s \geq 0} \text{Res}_{z=(2s+1)\pi i} \frac{\text{sech}^m(z/2)}{z^2 + \pi^2}$. For all $m \geq 0$,

$$f_{2m} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m)!} D_{2m}^{(2m)},$$

$$f_{2m+1} = -\sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} D_{2n}^{(2m+1)} \eta(2m - 2n + 1).$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 4 and 5 we have that

$$f_{2m} = \pi i \sum_{s \geq 0} \text{Res}_{z=(2s+1)\pi i} \frac{\text{sech}^{2m}(z/2)}{z^2 + \pi^2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} D_{2n}^{(2m)} \frac{1}{2\pi^{2m-2n}} - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} D_{2n}^{(2m)} \frac{1}{2\pi^{2m-2n}}$$

$$= (-1)^m \frac{1}{(2m)!} D_{2m}^{(2m)}.$$
and

\[ f_{2m+1} = \pi i \sum_{s \geq 0} \text{Res}_{z = (2s+1)\pi i} \frac{\text{sech}^{2m+1}(z/2)}{z^2 + \pi^2} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} D_{2n}^{(2m+1)} \frac{1}{2\pi^{2m-2n+1}} \]

\[ - \sum_{s \geq 1} \left[ (-1)^s \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} D_{2n}^{(2m+1)} \frac{1}{2\pi^{2m-2n+1}} \left( \frac{1}{s^{2m+1} - 2n} - \frac{1}{(s+1)^{2m+1} - 2n} \right) \right], \]

which, by the definition of the function \(\eta(\ell)\), implies

\[ f_{2m+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} D_{2n}^{(2m+1)} \frac{-1}{2\pi^{2m-2n+1}} + \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} D_{2n}^{(2m+1)} \frac{1 - 2\eta(2m - 2n + 1)}{2\pi^{2m-2n+1}} \]

\[ = - \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} D_{2n}^{(2m+1)} \frac{\eta(2m - 2n + 1)}{\pi^{2m-2n+1}}, \]

as claimed. \(\Box\)

\[ f_0 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad f_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \log 2 \approx 0.2206356001, \]

\[ f_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad f_3 = \frac{3\zeta(3)}{4\pi^3} + \frac{\log 2}{2\pi} \approx 0.139339347, \]

\[ f_4 = \frac{11}{90}, \quad f_5 = \frac{15\zeta(5)}{16\pi^5} + \frac{5\zeta(3)}{8\pi^3} + \frac{3\log 2}{8\pi} \approx 0.1101451199, \]

\[ f_6 = \frac{191}{1890}, \quad f_7 = \frac{63\zeta(7)}{64\pi^7} + \frac{35\zeta(5)}{32\pi^5} + \frac{259\zeta(3)}{480\pi^3} + \frac{5\log 2}{16\pi} \approx 0.09390203072, \]

\[ f_8 = \frac{2497}{28350}, \quad f_9 = \frac{255\zeta(9)}{256\pi^9} + \frac{189\zeta(7)}{128\pi^7} + \frac{141\zeta(5)}{128\pi^5} + \frac{3229\zeta(3)}{6720\pi^3} + \frac{35\log 2}{128\pi} \approx 0.08321740587. \]

Table 2. Values for \(f_m\), where \(m = 0, 1, \ldots, 8\).

Hence, by Theorem 6 and Lemma 8, we obtain our main result.

**Theorem 9.** Let \(d \geq 2k \geq 2\) and \(d\) an odd number. We have

\[ \log \det P_{2k}(d) = \frac{(-1)^{(d-1)/2+k}}{2^{d-2k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} 2k - 1 - j \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^j (f_{d+2j-2k} - f_{d+2+2j-2k}). \]
where for all $m \geq 0$ (see Table 2),

\[ f_{2m} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m)!} D_{2m}^{(2m)}, \]

\[ f_{2m+1} = -\sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} D_{2n}^{(2m+1)} \frac{\eta(2m-2n+1)}{\pi^{2m-2n+1}}. \]

**Example 10.** Theorem 9 with $k = 1$ and $d > 2$, we have for the Yamabe–Penrose operator

\[ \log \det P_2(d) = \frac{(-1)^{(d+1)/2\pi}}{2^{d-2}} (f_{d-2} - f_d). \]

For instance,

\[ \log \det P_2(3) = \frac{1}{2} \pi (f_1 - f_3) = \frac{1}{4} \log 2 - \frac{3}{8} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} \approx 0.1276141094, \]

\[ \log \det P_2(5) = -\frac{\pi}{8} (f_3 - f_5) = \frac{15}{128} \frac{\zeta(5)}{\pi^4} - \frac{1}{64} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} - \frac{1}{64} \log 2 \approx -0.01148598272, \]

which, as a check, agree with standard, and old, expressions.

**Example 11.** Theorem 9 with $k = 2$ and $d > 4$, we have for the Paneitz operator

\[ \log \det P_4(d) = \frac{(-1)^{(d-1)/2\pi}}{2^{d-4}} (f_{d-4} - f_{d-2}) + \frac{(-1)^{(d+1)/2\pi}}{2^{d-3}} (f_{d-2} - f_d). \]

For instance, agreement is found with (8) and further

\[ \log \det P_4(9) = \frac{11}{4096} \log 2 + \frac{751}{215040} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} + \frac{39}{4096} \frac{\zeta(5)}{\pi^4} - \frac{189}{4096} \frac{\zeta(7)}{\pi^6} + \frac{255}{131072} \frac{\zeta(9)}{\pi^8} \approx 0.001070181258, \]

\[ \log \det P_4(11) = -\frac{13}{65536} \log 2 - \frac{2867}{9830400} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} + \frac{737}{4128768} \frac{\zeta(5)}{\pi^4} + \frac{1911}{655360} \frac{\zeta(7)}{\pi^6} + \frac{595}{131072} \frac{\zeta(9)}{\pi^8} \approx -0.0001676200873, \]

\[ \log \det P_4(13) = \frac{35}{1048576} \log 2 + \frac{189349}{363315840} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} + \frac{39701}{1981808640} \frac{\zeta(5)}{\pi^4} - \frac{1115}{3145728} \frac{\zeta(7)}{\pi^6} - \frac{1115}{3145728} \frac{\zeta(7)}{\pi^6} \approx 0.00002920638544. \]

**Example 12.** Theorem 9 with $k = 3$ and $d > 6$, we have

\[ \log \det P_6(d) = \frac{(-1)^{(d+1)/2\pi}}{2^{d-6}} (f_{d-6} - f_{d-4}) + \frac{(-1)^{(d-1)/2\pi}}{2^{d-6}} (f_{d-4} - f_{d-2}) + \frac{3(-1)^{(d+1)/2\pi}}{2^{d-2}} (f_{d-2} - f_d). \]
For instance,

\[
\log \det P_6(7) = \frac{99}{512} \log 2 - \frac{2199}{5120} \zeta(3) + \frac{465}{1024} \zeta(5) - \frac{189}{2048} \zeta(7) \\
\approx 0.08645416332,
\]

\[
\log \det P_6(9) = \frac{143}{16384} \log 2 - \frac{5447}{860160} \zeta(3) + \frac{1603}{16384} \zeta(5) - \frac{1827}{16384} \zeta(7) + \frac{765}{32768} \zeta(9) \\
\approx -0.005894056955,
\]

\[
\log \det P_6(11) = \frac{117}{131072} \log 2 + \frac{49451}{45875200} \zeta(3) - \frac{12283}{2752512} \zeta(5) - \frac{21987}{1310720} \zeta(7) + \frac{765}{262144} \zeta(9) \\
\approx 0.0006876310510.
\]

\[
\log \det P_6(13) = \frac{255}{2097152} \log 2 - \frac{414199}{2422210560} \zeta(3) + \frac{314341}{1321205760} \zeta(5) + \frac{4513}{2097152} \zeta(7) \\
+ \frac{9027}{25575} \zeta(9) + \frac{4194304}{4194304} \zeta(11) - \frac{12285}{8388608} \zeta(13) \\
\approx -0.0001001554942.
\]

6. Central quantities

An expression for \( f_{2m+1} \) of the same structure as that in Lemma 9 was derived in the Appendix to \[9\] by a different manipulation of the basic integral appearing in Theorem 2. Using another expansion of sech\(^m\), it yielded the sum of zeta functions and \( \log 2 \) with coefficients given, up to constants, by ‘central differentials of nothing’, \[22\], or, equivalently, by central factorial coefficients of the first kind, \[10, 22\]. It is repeated here

\[
f_{2m+1} = (-1)^m D_{0[2m+1]} \log 2 + (-1)^m \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \cdot 2^{(m-n)} \cdot D_{2n+1} \cdot (2m)! \cdot (2n+1)}{\pi^{2n+1}(2m)!(2n+1)} (1 - 2^{-2n}) \zeta(2n+1).
\]

A comparison with Lemma 9 shows that the residue calculation has yielded an expression for the central differentials of nothing in terms of generalised Bernoulli numbers.

Expressed in terms of the central factorial coefficients of the first kind, \( t(\ast, \ast) \), \[21, 3\], this formula is

\[
t(2m+1, 2n+1) = 2^{2(n-m)} \binom{2m}{2n} D_{2m-2n}^{(m)}
\]

which is a known relation, see Liu, \[16\], who obtains it by comparing recursions. The calculation of the central quantities is thus entirely equivalent to that of the higher \( D–\)Nörlund numbers and equation (9) can be read numerically in either direction.

An additional point is that the present method bypasses Jensen’s integral for the \( \eta \)–function, invoked in \[9\]. Hence the present result can lead to a derivation of this formula, for odd arguments. Jensen’s method also involves Cauchy’s theorem, \[15\] p.103. A useful survey of these representations is given by Milgram, \[18\].

---

\[6\] An early table of the \( t(\ast, \ast) \) can be found in Thiele, \[23\]. \[3\] has more extensive lists, but they are easy to calculate \textit{ab initio} by machine.
7. Graphs

Finally, in order to give a visualisation of the numbers, Fig.2 and Fig.3 plot $P_{2k}(35)$ for the allowed $k$, i.e. $1 \leq k \leq 17$. (There is nothing special about the dimension 35.) The values oscillate about zero with increasing amplitude, maximum to minimum being about $10^{10}$.

![Fig.2. GJMS logdet, d=35](image1)

![Fig.3. GJMS logdet, d=35](image2)
Fig. 4. GJMS logdet, \(d=2k+1\)

Fig. 5. Paneitz logdet, \(d=2n+1\)

Fig. 4 shows the logdets at the limiting \(k = (d - 1)/2\) for \(d = 3\) to \(d = 21\) and Fig. 5 the logdet of the Paneitz operator \((k = 2)\) against dimension. The values oscillate about zero with decreasing amplitude so that the determinant tends to unity with increasing \(d\), as is clear from (4). This behaviour is typical.

8. Conclusion and remarks

The main results of this work are the quadrature (4) (equivalently (3)), the product formula, (6) and the explicit form, Theorem 9, for the determinant of the scalar GJMS operator on an odd sphere.

A curious coincidence is that the GJMS operator on a sphere can be written as a central factorial, (1), and that central quantities appear in its determinant.

The method could be extended to the Dirac case, and to higher spins.
The computation for even spheres is somewhat harder and involves a multiplicative anomaly, calculated in [8].
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