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This article reviews studies on the application of reverse logistics in solid waste from the construction industry. The main objective is to provide a summary of current knowledge and specific areas for future research. In addition, construction, as an economic sector, is in a continuous search for new tools to improve its processes, so this research provides the current situation of the relationship between reverse logistics and solid waste in the industry. The review methodology was content analysis of scientific literature published between 1997 and 2020, and total of 66 articles were used. 73% correspond to research articles, around 13% are case studies, and 12% are literature reviews. Only one of the articles is a survey. In addition, 52% of the works reviewed correspond to solid waste studies and 49% are related to the construction industry; only one publication does not classify in any. The most used keywords for the identification of published works were reverse logistics and supply chain; both terms are frequently related to the process and general management of solid waste and construction. The least used term was the literature review that shows the low number of articles that provide a summary of the proposed topic. Finally, three materials were chosen for the study because they are the most used in construction: metals, bricks, and concrete. 15% of the articles study all three, 18% study only metals, and 63% study other materials or are related to construction and solid waste in general.

1. Introduction

The construction industry plays a key role in the development of a country, as it meets the infrastructure needs of most of the economical, cultural, and social activities of a nation. In the last decade, this industry has shown great progress; during 2018, the increase was approximately 5% [1, 2] in the US alone and trends suggest that, during 2020, the increase will be maintained with trade agreements above 20 billion [3].

One of the most important trends within the industry is sustainability. Although most green building practices are related to reducing the carbon footprint of a particular building, sustainability has broader goals. Among them, the preservation of the environment, the efficient use of resources, and the promotion of cultural and social progress standout.

Construction sector is not only related to a great economic boost but also related to a great environmental impact, since it is one of the largest emitters of carbon dioxide (CO₂), the main greenhouse gas. The construction of new structures and the retrofit of existing stock will require a considerable volume of construction materials over the coming decades. The carbon emissions associated with construction are typically distinguished as either embodied (associated with the initial production of a structure) or
operational emissions (operation and maintenance of a structure) [4]. Fossil fuel consumption and concrete production are the main cause of the increase in CO2 since the 18th century [2, 5].

The large amount of construction waste comes from the loss of construction materials at construction sites. Another source is demolitions and renovations that promote the removal of various components in the implementation phase and after the life cycle of the building. These wastes cause impacts not only on the environment but also on the health, safety, and well-being of the population, interfering in social and economic activities, in the biota and in the quality of environmental resources. For this reason, it is important to provide adequate treatment and disposal for this type of waste to contribute to the production chain and reduce impacts on the environment.

In this context, reverse logistics (RL) is considered an alternative for proper management when it is well planned and executed. Its objective is to add value to the waste generated or an adequate final disposal. Due to the difficulties inherent in its implementation, RL has generated concern in the productive sector. Many companies fear inadequate or inefficient inspection by environmental agencies that can harm their competitiveness.

The inclusion of RL in solid construction waste shows the importance given to the operation and logistics of this asset, revealing its complexity and the possibility of being part of the waste plans published by federations, states, municipalities, and companies involved in the generation of such waste [6]. Nevertheless, despite the importance and applicability of RL, waste generators in the construction industry have difficulties in properly disposing or reusing waste from their production processes. This fact, together with the advances in solid waste (SW) legislation, makes it necessary to develop a tool that helps companies improve their waste management processes while complying with regulations.

In this context, this work aims to analyze the available literature on this topic and assess the main barriers to the application of RL in the construction industry, highlighting what has been done in some countries to improve the inclusion of the RL in waste management.

1.1. Solid Construction Waste. Solid construction wastes are those generated in the urban environment but are not classified as urban solid wastes (mainly domestic and commercial wastes) since their composition is quantitatively and qualitatively different. These are basically inert wastes, such as mixed solids and aggregates, stones, glass, plastics, plasters, steel, copper, and woods, and in general, all wastes are produced by earth moving and the construction of new buildings and infrastructure works, as well as those generated by demolitions. SW construction [7], therefore, is each waste material generated by the activity of demolition, excavation, remodeling, and/or construction of both public and private buildings. Figure 1 shows the main solid wastes from construction, reuse, and recycling. Construction materials can be classified according to their origin and source of generation or according to their nature [8].

1.1.1. Classification according to Its Origin and Generation Source. (i) Ground cleaning materials: stumps, branches, and trees.

(ii) Excavation materials: excavation material is normally an inert, natural, or artificial waste. In some cases, it presents with contaminants as it does not correspond to virgin soil. They are, in general, of a stony nature (earth, excavation rocks, and granular materials).

(iii) Waste from road works: made up of pieces of concrete slabs from road construction, asphalt waste, and mixtures of asphalt pavement and bridges, and renovation of materials.

Residues resulting from new construction, expansion, or repair (minor work): those that originate in the material execution process of construction works, both new and repair or expansion. Its origin is diverse: those that come from the action of building and those that come from packaging of the products that arrive at the work. Its characteristics and quantity are varied and depend on the phase of the work and the type of work (residential, nonresidential, commercial, industrial, and institutional). From the analysis of this last classification, it is observed that a wide segment of economic activity is involved in its generation: from individual homebuilders to general commercial developments, general construction companies, road and highway builders, small remodeling contractors, and specialists in excavation, among others.

1.1.2. Classification according to Their Nature.

(i) Inert waste: those that do not present any risk of pollution of water, soil, and air, that is, they are fully compatible with the environment.

(ii) Nonhazardous or nonspecial waste: those that can be stored or treated under the same conditions as household waste. The nonhazardous characteristic is what defines the recycling possibilities; in fact, they are recycled in industrial facilities along with other wastes.

(iii) Special wastes: those that have characteristics that make them potentially dangerous, such as flammable, toxic, corrosive, irritant, and carcinogenic substances.

2. Methodology

The method used for the literature review has two steps [9]: (i) identification of the literature to be studied through a search in databases, combined with a set of rules to select the most relevant pieces of literature and (ii) assigning the selected literature content to extract the information using a set of questions.

This work corresponds to an extensive analysis of the literature published between the years (1997–2020) of recent advances in methodological proposals that contribute to the development of the RL for SW from the construction. The methodology used for this literature review was content
analysis; a valid technique for the study of scientific documents [10], used to study advances in nanotechnology applied to smart packaging [11], propose conceptual frameworks for strategic management [12], and identification, classification, and analysis of smart city services [13]. This methodological proposal was used by Banguera et al. [14] to analyze RL models aimed at urban SW management.

Other reviews like the research by Schamne and Nagalli [15] analyzed the deficiency in the management of construction waste. The volume of building waste represents approximately 67% of municipal solid waste generated in Brazil. Likewise, through a qualitative metaanalysis, the objective of this study is to integrate the knowledge on RL in construction [16].

2.1. Identification of the Literature to Study. To verify the literature, Scopus, Science Direct, and Google Scholar databases were used for search, using the following keywords: solid waste, construction industry, reverse logistics, recycling, urban logistics, supply management, chain of closed-circuit supply, circular economy, literature review, and systematic literature review.

For the selection of the set of relevant studies among the identified pieces of literature, the following rules were configured:

(i) Inclusion of any type of study available (published, whether peer-reviewed or not)
(ii) Inclusion of studies on any category within the construction, recycling, or SW waste industry
(iii) Exclusion of studies that do not include quantitative results or that only reproduces the results of others
(iv) Exclusion of studies of recyclable products, unless some of the recycling processes are included within the limits of the system
(v) Exclusion of duplicates (for example, if a technical report was subsequently published in a peer-reviewed journal, or if peer-reviewed, the paper was later included in a doctoral thesis; only the peer-reviewed article will be considered)
(vi) Exclusion of studies that are not in English or Spanish (these languages are those that the authors speak fluently)

2.2. Content Allocation

The content of the selected studies was structured using the following questions:

(i) What are the objectives that are set?
(ii) What methods are used?
(iii) What types of materials exist and how are they classified?
(iv) What materials are reused and recycled, respectively?
(v) What allocation methods are used?
(vi) What environmental impact categories are studied?
(vii) What are the conclusions on the environmental impact regarding the reuse and recycling of construction materials?
(viii) Which of the other studies reviewed in this document are cited?

Figure 1: Construction solid waste.
3. Literature Review

According to the explained methodology, 66 different publications were identified. Table 1 provides an overview of the content of selected publications and shows if the article is about the construction industry, SW, recycling or reuse, and the type of material (metal, brick, or concrete).

The definition of RL and construction waste jobs established during the early years of the 21st century allowed the development of recycling and sustainability concepts for the construction industry over the last 10 years. The main waste materials analyzed by the authors are cement, steel, and wood. These components are the main waste of real estate projects; however, it is possible to improve studies by including new materials such as ceramics and electrical wiring, among others.

The construction project design must consider the construction life cycle: it improves the ability to be more environmentally efficient through recycling and the reuse of materials. The method, life cycle assessment (LCA), can support RL processes for mitigating environmental impact when the construction project is demolished.

3.1. Summary of Publications. Table 1 show that 73% of the literature reviewed corresponds to articles published in scientific journals, around 13% are case studies, 12% are literature review, and only one publication is a survey. The publications were classified into two general topics: SW and the construction industry. For this classification, the most complete topic studied was considered; 52% were categorized in the SW section and 49% in the construction industry. Only one publication does not fall into any of these categories.

Regarding recycling and reuse of materials, 43% of the selected articles study both topics. Both refer to making good use of a material or reusing a product. Therefore, it is common to cover both topics in selected publications.

The last category in the table is the materials studied in the selected publications. Three materials were chosen because they are the most used in construction [16]: metals, bricks, and concrete. 15% of the publications study the three materials, 18% study only metals, and 63% do not study any of them, since they treat construction or SW in a general way.

3.2. Study of the Reviewed Publications. Comparing the publication date, most of the publications were made during 2018, followed by the years 2015 and 2010 (16, 10, and 4, respectively). From this, it can be concluded that, since 2010, the number of publications related to SW, the construction industry, and recycling/reuse is increasing, although not at a specific rate.

Figure 2 shows the most used keywords to identify the selected articles. The most used keyword is reverse logistics (34%) and the least used was the literature review (4%). It is important to note that the second most used term was supply chain, followed by waste management. Both keywords may be used as they are often related to the topics of SW and construction.

3.3. Objectives and Scope of the Publications. The most studied topic in publications (35%) is how to apply RL in different fields, be it the construction industry or SW. Of the 66 publications, 47 are articles; therefore, RL is studied in a more descriptive way.

RL facilitates the recovery of SW caused by a productive activity, in this case, the construction industry. In the articles and case studies reviewed, the review of the topic is not considered explanatory; it is only mentioned in relation to the discussion of the revised publication.

The first articles related to RL and the construction industry began to appear around the year 2000 and have been gradually increasing, especially for articles in scientific journals. This trend shows the acceptance and importance of the study of recycling and reuse due to concerns associated with the environmental impact [82].

Regarding the materials selected for the study, Sobotka et al. [34] conclude that the most used materials in construction are concrete, bricks, and metals. Precast concrete rubble and brick have a variety of applications. The aggregate of low-quality recycled concrete can be used to build temporary roads, road bases, and lean concrete, among others.

Of all the publications studied, most study recycling and reuse of the materials mentioned above or simply mention recycling. It can be inferred that most of the publications examine both recycling and reuse, since both deal with the recovery of a material.

3.4. Methods Used in Publications. Literature reviews play a key role in research, as they help to deeply explore and structure a particular field of research. With a valid review of the literature, the knowledge on the subject in question can be more advanced by identifying concepts that act as a path through which to develop new theories and areas of research. This type of publication analyzes the content qualitatively following four steps: material collection, descriptive analysis, category selection, and, finally, material evaluation [83].

As discussed in previous sections, RL related to the construction industry started around the year 2000, and the trend shows a greater acceptance of this concept among researchers in the construction area in recent years. Research methodologies are differentiated into (1) theoretical and conceptual articles, (2) case studies, (3) surveys, (4) modeling articles, and (5) literature reviews [83].

The potential for reuse of construction materials and the processes and research required were determined through a literature review of a large number of published articles and case studies. The first description of RL was developed by [84] and defining RL as “movement of goods from a consumer towards a producer in a channel of distribution.” Later, it was defined as “the role of logistics in product return, source reduction, recycling, material substitution, material reuse, waste disposal, and restoration, repair, and remanufacturing.” Other authors [85, 86] described RL as “the process by which companies become more environmentally efficient through recycling, reusing, and reducing the amount of materials used.”
Table 1: Summary of the content of the publications selected for the bibliographic review.

| Type                     | Solid waste or construction industry | Recycling or reusing       | Materials                           |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Literature review, [15]  | Construction industry                | Both                       | Bricks and concrete                 |
| Research article, [17]   | Construction industry                | Both                       |                                     |
| Research article, [18]   | Construction industry                | Reuse                      |                                     |
| Research article, [19]   | Construction industry                | Both                       | Bricks                              |
| Research article, [20]   | Construction industry                | Reuse                      | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Research article, [21]   | Construction industry                | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [22]   | Construction industry                | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [23]   | Construction industry                | Both                       |                                     |
| Research article, [24]   | Construction industry                | Both                       | Bricks and concrete                 |
| Research article, [25]   | Construction industry                | Recycling                   | Bricks and concrete                 |
| Research article, [26]   | Construction industry                | Recycling                   | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Research article, [27]   | Construction industry                | Recycling                   | Bricks and concrete                 |
| Research article, [28]   | Construction industry                | Recycling                   | Concretes                           |
| Research article, [29]   | Construction industry                | Recycling                   | Metals and bricks                   |
| Research article, [30]   | Construction industry                | Both                       | Bricks and concrete                 |
| Research article, [31]   | Construction industry                | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [32]   | Construction industry                | Both                       | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Research article, [33]   | Construction industry                | Both                       | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Research article, [34]   | Construction industry                | Both                       | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Research article, [35]   | Construction industry                | Recycling                   | Metals                              |
| Research article, [36]   | SW                                   | Reuse                      |                                     |
| Research article, [37]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [38]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [39]   | SW                                   | Both                       | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Research article, [40]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   | Metals                              |
| Research article, [41]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   | Metals                              |
| Research article, [42]   | SW                                   | Both                       | Metals                              |
| Research article, [43]   | SW                                   | Both                       | Metals                              |
| Research article, [44]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [45]   | SW                                   | Both                       |                                     |
| Research article, [46]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   | Metals                              |
| Research article, [47]   | SW                                   | Reuse                      | Metals                              |
| Research article, [48]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   | Metals and concrete                 |
| Research article, [49]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   | Metals                              |
| Research article, [50]   | SW                                   | Both                       |                                     |
| Research article, [51]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [52]   | SW                                   | Both                       | Metals                              |
| Research article, [53]   | SW                                   | Reuse                      | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Research article, [54]   | SW                                   | —                          |                                     |
| Research article, [55]   | SW                                   | —                          |                                     |
| Research article, [56]   | Construction industry                | Recycling                   | Bricks                              |
| Research article, [57]   | SW                                   | Both                       |                                     |
| Research article, [58]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [59]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [60]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [61]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [62]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Research article, [63]   | SW                                   | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Case study, [64]         | Construction industry                | Both                       | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Case study, [65]         | Construction industry                | —                          |                                     |
| Case study, [66]         | Construction industry                | Both                       |                                     |
| Case study, [67]         | Construction industry                | Both                       | Bricks and concrete                 |
| Case study, [68]         | Construction industry                | Both                       | Metals and concrete                 |
| Case study, [69]         | Construction industry                | Both                       | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Case study, [70]         | Construction industry                | —                          |                                     |
| Case study, [71]         | Construction industry                | Recycling                   |                                     |
| Case study, [72]         | SW                                   | Both                       | Metals                              |
| Literature review, [73]  | Construction industry                | Both                       | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Literature review, [74]  | Construction industry                | Both                       | Metals, bricks, and concrete        |
| Literature review, [75]  | Construction industry                | Recycling                   | Concrete                            |
The description of RL is repeated in most of the articles that discuss the topic through the development and results of each one, highlighting the dynamic development of management methods and concepts that have led to the implementation of environmental activities on the functioning of organizations and in the creation of the necessary tools to consider RL as one of the key concepts in the supply chain, since it reduces the amount of waste and allows its recovery [87]. In the first investigations, RL was determined as the process of applying logistics to recycling, waste disposal, and hazardous waste management. In this way, RL is connected to activities such as minimizing the use of resources, recycling, substitution, reuse of materials, and waste disposal.

Publications related to the supply chain develop a research approach with a conceptual model, which is the synthesis of a literature review on a topic, designed to formulate a hypothesis with empirical data. The conceptual modeling process follows three steps: (1) identifying the constructs of the model, (2) classifying these constructs, and (3) defining the associations among these constructs [18].

According to Ávila [6], the supply chain is made up of different steps in which the products produced are sold until they reach the final consumer, whether it is an individual or a company. The physical distribution of goods is the activity that involves movement and provision to the final consumer. Reverse supply chains can be defined as the steps and means through which goods or part of them are produced, that is, the return to the production cycle recovering value in secondary markets through the reuse or recycling of its material components.

According to Muralikrishna and Manickam [88], life cycle assessment (LCA) is a technique for assessing the environmental aspects associated with a product over its life cycle. The most important applications are the analysis of the contribution of the life cycle stages to the overall environmental load, usually with the aim to prioritize improvements on products or processes and the comparison between products for internal use. Colangelo et al. [56] study the environmental impact of concrete with recycled aggregates and with geopolymer mixtures and use LCA to compare both.

In almost all countries, the construction industry plays a key role in the management of physical facilities and infrastructure. Construction has a huge indirect effect on other industries through the supply and demand model. For example, in a construction project, the number of organizations involved in the supply chain can reach hundreds.

Furthermore, the construction supply chain has a reputation for low trust and adverse business relationships between the different parties involved in it. The construction process depends on previous design decisions that consider cost and time as effects. Planning and organizing the required quantity of materials for a construction site is considered within the supply chain, as there are different activities from the design phase to the construction phase, sourcing, logistics (storage and transportation), management performance, and monitoring and inspection.

### 3.5. Links between Studies and Citations

Figure 3 shows citations among the reviewed publications, including the fifty-nine selected ones. The diagram includes citations made to an earlier version or to a publication’s background report. Citations in figures, tables, and footnotes were also included.

One of the most cited articles was that of Fleischmann et al. [43] examining the field of RL as it emerged in the 1990s. The management of return flows induced by various forms of reuse of products and materials in industrial production processes is the definition used for RL in early research, which is why this article is cited six times in publications that discuss RL.

The publication developed in 2007 by Schultmann and Sunke [74] is one of the first to bring RL closer to the construction industry and was directly cited 5 times. This publication highlights the definition of the first stages of RL, which are (1) collection, (2) inspection and selection processes, (3) reprocessing, and (4) redistribution.

Also, with 5 citations, is the article published by Safiuddin et al. [69]. They were one of the first authors to...
4. Results and Recommendations

A large amount of rubble is produced during the construction and demolition of works in progress. As the construction industry grows, more waste is generated which creates a major portion of SW. The amount and type of waste depends on many factors, such as the stage of construction, the type of construction work, and construction on site. The use of rubble in construction materials could facilitate the waste process, concentrating on the analysis of RL regarding waste and recovery strategies for waste.

The management of construction waste is the key element in demolition and repair projects. High waste disposal costs require well thought-out waste management, including differentiation of waste receptors. The basis for expansion of the reverse supply chain is selective waste collection. Expanding the logistics chain to include many waste receptors can bring significant added value to the business.

The definitions presented in the previous paragraphs serve to understand the study carried out in most of the publications reviewed, since nearly 80% of the publications discuss how to use RL, construct SW, and supply chain. These three concepts are of utmost importance in this bibliographic review since they represent the entire case study. The remaining 20% discuss the barriers to implement RL and conditions and factors of the affected industries or derive the application in specific products, examples of the above are the publications of Paydar and Olfati [50] and Trochu et al. [71].

The literature also exposes scenarios under which the benefits of applying RL to solid construction waste have not been proven. For example, Sobotka and Czaja [33] show an example of a RL model, which can be the basis for creating a support system for decision-making but stresses the importance that the results of this application must still be verified.

Most of the publications do not measure the environmental impact or highlight the benefits of reusing or recycling SW but focus on how to apply RL and how the resulting materials affect their supply chain, economic benefits, and implementation barriers. In addition, they present the problem that arises from the construction and demolition industry, stating the speed with which the generation of waste has grown, presenting an option together with RL, but no studies are presented that endorse the impact on the environment, not counting if only related articles are cited. It is worth noting the publication by Kinobe et al. [72], which provides efficient strategies, is related to the recycling potential of SW.
This review has managed to identify gaps in the literature that may serve as inspiration for future studies. First, there is a need for more detailed studies of a successful RL implementation, either within the industry or specific materials, as most publications summarize the issues facing the construction industry or how to implement reverse logistics.

Second, and as expressed previously, there is a lack of studies on the environmental potential, the limits of reuse and recycling of SW, and the benefits of combining different types of reuse and recycling thanks to RL. Along with the above, publications that are literature reviews have only focused on certain aspects, such as Schamne and Nagalli [15], which discusses waste from the construction industry in Brazil and the main applications of RL. Pushpamali et al. [30] relate the supply chain with RL, highlighting waste management and its applications in relation to the terms. The publication developed by Kinobe et al. [73] provides an overview of the state of the art of RL and the current state of waste management issues, with special emphasis on developing countries. Tasbirul Islam and Huda [76] discuss RL and closed-loop supply chain as integral parts of comprehensive waste management and develop both concepts together in a publication that has not been previously produced.

This literature review highlights important reading discussions for which the following directions for future research might be proposed. The first discussion relates to RL, which despite being an established topic with a significant number of publications, should be vigorously promoted for wider acceptance, since the recommendations for its application have been widely studied but not sufficiently used for the reuse of waste.

A major research directorate can deploy the following lines of research: innovation, dissemination, knowledge management, and change to promote RL within the construction industry.

Reuse and waste reduction have a high priority, and in this regard and in view of the close link between the implementation of RL and the increasing reuse of recovered waste, this should be a policy to accelerate this process and aiding reduction as the most effective approach to treating construction and demolition waste. Furthermore, an empirical demonstration of economical and financial benefits is another area of research that has not yet been studied or promoted, and if they relate to the benefits of applying reverse logistics, the study would be more helpful. The above in relation to the main result of RL is profit, but it should be borne in mind that the construction industry consumes more substantial amounts of natural resources, which are scarce and are depleted over time. Therefore, the preservation of natural resources for future generations is a timely requirement. The construction process and the production of materials and transportation negatively impact the environment by depleting natural resources, so RL practices should be adopted primarily to reduce the social and environmental impact caused by construction activities and not just for the economic benefit.

Another important research topic is to explore the relationship between RL and greenhouse gas emissions and to study whether there are environmental benefits. This last point can also be considered for the reduction and reuse of waste, as well as the associated risks, for which there are no related studies. Currently, it has been motivated to assume greater responsibility regarding environmental aspects, the above as a result of a greater interest in reverse flows, since the idea of reversing the flow is caused by the returns and recycling of the product to obtain economic benefits. Finally, there is a lack of studies on future systems, as no publication considers advanced recycling technologies or changes in systems in greater depth. It would be very useful to consider studies that design models for the reuse and recycling of SW considering the environmental impact, even if it is on a small scale or within a limited system. Along with this, technologies already applied in the construction industry and that affects the effective implementation of RL systems must be considered the economic impact that this entails.

5. Conclusions

This research presents an exhaustive review of published articles on scientific journals and sites of research, as detailed in the methodology. Fifty-nine publications were found, including those from peer-reviewed and not, from 1997 to 2019. Articles, case studies, and literature reviews were included to expand the research horizon.

Of the total of reviewed publications, 73% were published in research articles, around 13% are case studies, 12% are literature reviews, and only one corresponds to a survey. In addition, 52% of them deal with SW and 49% with the construction industry. Only one publication does not classify in either of these two topics.

The keywords most used in the reviewed publications were RL and supply chain, and both terms are often related, as they are related to processes and overall construction waste management. The least used keyword was literature review, which demonstrates the low number of articles that summarize the RL applied to solid construction waste.

Three materials were selected for study, since they are the most widely used in construction: metals, bricks, and concrete. 15% of the publications study all three, 18% studies only metals, and 63% none because it deals with other materials or is dedicated to construction and SW in general.

This review provides an overview of RL research applied to SW from the construction industry and keyword identification, as well as useful directions for researchers. The categorizations and cited literature can be used as a broad framework of references to advanced concepts and models for future research.
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