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A new Miocene skate from the Central Paratethys (Upper Austria): the first unambiguous skeletal record for the Rajiformes (Chondrichthyes: Batomorphii)

Giuseppe Marrama, Ortwin Schultz, and Jürgen Kriwet

Introduction

Skates of the order Rajiformes sensu Naylor et al. (2012a) are a diverse and well-defined monophyletic group within Batoidea, which includes almost half of all batoid fishes comprising c. 290 valid living species arranged in 38 genera. They occur worldwide mainly on continental and insular shelves, from coastal to abyssal depths, and from temperate to cold waters (McEachran & Miyake 1990a, b; Last & Compagno 1999; McEachran & Carvalho 2002; Last et al. 2016). Skates are benthic batoids characterized by a series of derived morphological traits, including oviparous development, alar and malar thorns in adult males, weak spindle-shaped electric organs running bilaterally within the lateral caudal musculature, pelvic fins expanded laterally and usually divided in two lobes, branchial copula with forked anterior expansions, pectoral arch formed by the fusion of suprascapulae to the median crest of the synarcual, and additional unique features of the clasper morphology (e.g. Compagno 1977; McEachran & Miyake 1990a; Herman et al. 1996; McEachran & Dunn 1998; Koester 2003; Aschliman et al. 2012a). The peculiar pelvic girdle morphology of skates is unique among batoids and enables them to perform a form of benthic locomotion called ‘punting’ (e.g. Koester & Spirito 2003; Macesic & Kajiura 2010).

Molecular studies agree with the hypothesis that skates form the sister group of all other batoids (e.g. Aschliman et al. 2012b; Last et al. 2016), or alternatively are sister to all batoids excluding thornbacks and electric rays (Bertozzi et al. 2016), or are even recovered in a large polytomy with the other batoid groups (e.g. Aschliman et al. 2012a; Naylor et al. 2012a). The familial structure of the skates has been subject to several changes and discussion in recent years (Last et al. 2016). The most recent analyses based on mitochondrial and nuclear genes (Naylor et al. 2012a, b; Last et al. 2016) strongly support the hypothesis that Rajiformes consists of four family-level groups: Anacanthobatidae, Arhynchobatidae, Gurgesiellidae and Rajidae. On the contrary, according to the last morphology-based analysis (McEachran & Dunn 1998) the skates are grouped in a single family (Rajidae) comprising two subfamilies and five tribes: Amblyrajini, Gurgesiellini and Rajini within the subfamily Rajiniae, and Arhynchobatini and Riorajini within the subfamily Arhynchobatinae. A monophyletic group including Fenestraraja, Gurgesiella and Cruriraja (Gurgesiellidae) is not recognized in the morphology-based phylogeny of McEachran & Dunn (1998), and the
representatives of the family Anacanthobatidae are nested within the subfamily Rajinae.

Although ghost lineages predict that skates should have been already present in the Jurassic (see Bertozzi et al. 2016), unambiguous fossil occurrences are only known from the Late Cretaceous (see Siverson & Cappetta 2001; Cappetta 2012). However, very little is known about the evolutionary history of this group, because almost all fossil taxa are represented by isolated teeth, which are phylogenetically poorly informative if not considered in a comprehensive morphological context which includes both dental and skeletal characters (see e.g. Claeson et al. 2010; Marramà et al. 2018d). Some complete and articulated skeletal remains from the Late Cretaceous deposits of Lebanon have been traditionally assigned to skates, but their placement within the group requires further investigation since they lack most of the diagnostic features of the skates (Siverson & Cappetta 2001; Cappetta 2012; see also Discussion). Although in the Cenozoic articulated batoids were recovered from Palaeogene marine sediments of the Bolca Lagerstätte and Grube Unterfeld, from the freshwater deposits of the Green River Formation, and from the Neogene of SE Asia (Carvalho et al. 2004; Hovestadt et al. 2010; Marramà et al. 2018a, c, d), no articulated fossil skate has been recognized so far. In this paper, we report the first unambiguous holomorphic skate in the fossil record represented by a single specimen from the early Miocene of Upper Austria. The character combination distinguishes the

Figure 1. A, location and simplified geological map of Upper Austria, based on Rupp et al. (2008), showing the position of the locality (red dot) where Ostarriraja parva gen. et sp. nov. was found. B, palaeogeographical sketch-maps of the Paratethys seas during the Ottnangian, middle Burdigalian, early Miocene showing the possible location of the locality in A, based on Rögl (1998).
specimen readily from all other skates, allowing its assignment to a new genus within Rajiformes.

Geological setting

The examined specimen comes from the Neogene strata of the North Alpine Foreland Basin (Molasse Basin) in a pit west of the village of Rainbach im Innkreis, about 70 km from Linz, in Upper Austria (Fig. 1A). According to Schultz et al. (1973) and Brzobohaty & Schultz (1973) the strata of this locality – chosen as faciostratotype – pertain to the Central Paratethys stage Ottnangian, middle Burdigalian, early Miocene (c. 18 Ma; see Grunert et al. 2010a), whose stratotype has been defined in a pit clay near the village of Ottnang, in Upper Austria (Röggl et al. 1973; Piller et al. 2007; Grunert et al. 2010a) and corresponding to the planktonic foraminifera Biozone M3 of Berggren et al. (1995). During the Ottnangian, the seawaya between the Mediterranean and Indian Ocean closed, and the Eastern Paratethys Basin was closed off from the Central Paratethys and Mediterranean, whereas the North Alpine Foreland Basin was part of a marine gateway, known as the Burdigalian Seaway, connecting the western Protomediterranean Sea and the Central Paratethys (Fig. 1B; see Allen et al. 1985; Röggl 1998). Micropalaeontological and geochemical proxies indicate that the entire area probably evolved in a context of regional upwelling conditions with strong mixing of surface waters with rising nutrient-rich waters under temperate to cool sea surface temperatures (Bachmann 1973; Grunert et al. 2010b), although cold surface temperature cannot be generalized for the entire Central Paratethys. The Ottnangian layers in the area of Rainbach im Innkreis have yielded numerous fish remains, including otoliths, isolated teeth and vertebrae of bony and cartilaginous fishes, indicating a rather rich and diverse fish community, considered by Brzobohaty & Schultz (1973) to be highly endemic. However, the fish fauna forms different associations in different areas of the Central Paratethys, documenting different facies conditions (Brzobohaty & Schultz 1973).

Material and methods

The study is based on a single specimen in part and counterpart housed in the collections of the Natural History Museum of Vienna (NHMW) and labelled with the repository serial NHMW 2005z0283/0097. The specimen was examined using a stereomicroscope equipped with camera lucida drawing arm. Ultraviolet (UV) light was used to enhance the visibility of some of the skeletal structures, which are difficult to examine under normal light. Teeth of NHMW 2005z0283/0097 were examined and photographed with a Jeol 6400 scanning electron microscope (SEM) at the University of Vienna. Osteological and tooth terminologies mostly follow McEachran & Miyake (1990a), Herman et al. (1994, 1995, 1996) and McEachran & Dunn (1998). The term ‘holomorphic’ refers here to being more or less completely preserved and articulated. Following Naylor et al. (2012a) and Last et al. (2016), the order name Rajiformes is used herein only for skates, including the new taxon described here and the representatives of the families Anacanthobatidae, Arhynchobatidae, Gurgesiellidae and Rajidae, since other batoid lineages traditionally included in Rajiformes (e.g. guitarfishes and sawfishes) are now referred to the separate order Rhinopristiformes.

The phylogenetic analysis is based on the morphological data set of McEachran & Dunn (1998), which in turn is based on the matrix of McEachran & Miyake (1990a). The matrix (see Supplemental material, Appendices A and B) was extended with dental characters of Herman et al. (1994, 1995, 1996) and skeletal features provided by Aschliman et al. (2012a) and Jeong & Nakabo (2009), which are useful to better define the synapomorphies that distinguish skates from other batoids. The original detailed data matrix of McEachran & Dunn (1998, appendix 3) has been checked and the taxonomic status of the species updated according to the recent classification of Last et al. (2016). For example, the species Anacanthobatis americanus and A. foliorostris of McEachran & Dunn (1998) are included in the genera Schroederobatis and Springeria, respectively, in our phylogeny. The Raja species of the North Pacific and Amph-African assemblages of McEachran & Dunn (1998) were included in the genera Beringaja and ‘Rostroraja’, respectively. Rhinoraja longi and R. taranetzi are synonyms of Bathyraja taranetzi and therefore their characters included in the genus Bathyraja accordingly. Notoraja asperula and N. spinifera are species of Brochiraja, and Okamejei australis, O. cerva and O. lemprieri are species of Dentiraja. Dipturnus (Zearaja) nasutus and Okamejei (Orhiraja) powelli were excluded from the analysis because of the lack of several morphological and dental characters useful to define their relationships within Rajiformes. Thus, the data matrix is composed of 74 characters coded for 36 genera, including Hongeo from Jeong & Nakabo (2009) and the new fossil taxon described herein. The character matrix was compiled in Mesquite 3.03 (Maddison & Maddison 2008). The phylogenetic analysis was performed with
TNT (Tree analysis using New Technology) v. 1.5 (Goloboff et al. 2008). Following McEachran & Dunn (1998) we used the branch-and-bound method, using the swapping algorithm tree bisection reconnection (TBR) via 100 replications of a random stepwise addition, and collapsing trees after the search. All characters are considered unordered and given equal weight, except character (ch.) 13 in order to avoid loss of grouping information. Tree length, Bremer support, and consistency (CI) and retention (RI) indices were subsequently calculated for the 50% majority rule consensus tree retrieved by the analysis. Additional phylogenetic analyses using the same data matrix but different algorithms, or excluding dental characters, were executed in TNT for cross-checking results obtained from the main analysis.

**Systematic palaeontology**

Class **Chondrichthyes** Huxley, 1880  
Superorder **Batomorphii** Cappetta, 1980  
Order **Rajiformes** Berg, 1937  
Family incertae sedis  
Genus **Ostarriraja** gen. nov.

**Derivation of name.** The genus name is derived from the Old High German word ‘Ostarrichi’ for Austria, meaning ‘eastern realm’, in allusion to its provenance, and the Latin word ‘raia’ or ‘raja’ in Medieval Latin (gender feminine), meaning ‘ray’, referring to its systematic relationships.

**Type species.** **Ostarriraja parva** sp. nov.

**Diagnosis.** A skate characterized by the following combination of morphological and dental characters: nasal capsules broad and oval, possibly without basal fenestrae; distance between pro- and mesocondyles less than distance between meso- and metacondyles; about 86 pectoral radials of which 33 are propterygial, 10 mesopterygial, 32 metapterygial and 11 directly articulated to scapulocoracoid between meso- and metacondyles; about 20–21 pelvic-fin radials; lateral prepelvic processes of pelvic girdle moderately long; pelvic fin lobes continuous, without a gap in the arrangement of radials between the compound radial and basipterygial radials; 65–70 predorsal vertebrae; tooth crown massive, semi-oval in occlusal view, with an arched labial edge and a lingual one with a small medial protuberation; well-marked transverse cutting crest; mesial and distal cutting edges concavely arched and reaching the margins of the crown; labial cutting edge absent; apron, uvula and crown ornamentation absent; holaulacorhizid teeth with unequally developed massive root lobes; root as wide as the crown; root stem relatively high; pair of margino-lingual foramina on root; root coating well marked; cross-type tail thorns, displaced in three antero-posteriorly directed parallel rows.

**Remarks.** In a preliminary report, Brzobohatý & Schultz (1973, p. 657) identified tentatively this nearly complete and articulated batoid specimen from the early Miocene of Rainbach im Innkreis, Upper Austria, as an indeterminate species of the stingray genus *Dasyatis* of the batoid order Myliobatiformes, based on the overall shape of the disc and the presence of a long whip-like tail. Our in-depth anatomical investigation of the type material originally described by Brzobohatý & Schultz...
Figure 2. *Ostarriraja parva* gen. et sp. nov. from the early Miocene of Upper Austria. **A**, NHMW 2005z0283/0097a, holotype under normal light; **B**, NHMW 2005z0283/0097a, holotype under UV light; **C**, NHMW 2005z0283/0097b, holotype, counterpart under normal light; **D**, NHMW 2005z0283/0097b, holotype, counterpart under UV light. Scale bars: 10 mm.
(1973) excludes the hypothesis that *Ostarriraja* pertains to Myliobatiformes because of the absence of important diagnostic characters of the stingrays, including e.g. a second (thoracolumbar) synarcual, serrated tail stings, distance between pro- and mesocondyle larger than meso- and metacondyle, etc. (see Carvalho *et al.* 2004; Aschliman *et al.* 2012a; Marramà *et al.* 2018a). On the contrary, the recognition of several diagnostic skeletal and dental characters of the skates supports the assignment of *Ostarriraja* to the order Rajiformes (see Description and Discussion).

**Ostarriraja parva** sp. nov.
(Figs 2, 3, 5–7)

1973 *Dasyatis* (?) sp.; Schultz in Brzobohatý & Schultz: 657, pl. 1, fig. 10.
1973 *Dasyatis* (?) sp.; Schultz in Rögl, Schultz, & Hölz: 154.
2013 *Dasyatis* sp.; Schultz: 103, pl. 30, fig. 1.

**Derivation of name.** From the Latin word *parvus* meaning ‘small’, referring to the small size of the specimen; gender feminine.

**Holotype.** NHMW 2005z0283/0097, nearly complete articulated skeleton in part and counterpart preserved in a slab having length and width of 98.3 and 64.1 mm, respectively (Fig. 2).

**Type locality and horizon.** Rainbach im Innkreis, Upper Austria, Austria; Ottnangian, middle Burdigalian, early Miocene (c. 18 Ma; see Grunert *et al.* 2010a).

**Diagnosis.** As for the genus.

**Description.** Measurements and body proportions are difficult to establish since the specimen does not preserve the anterior-most portion of the body (including the rostral cartilage), the posterior-most tip of the tail, or the distal-most segments of the pectoral radials, rendering it difficult to detect the exact outline, the width of the pectoral disc and the total length of the specimen. However, the skate is quite small (possibly reaching a length of 12–14 cm in life) and is preserved as part and counterpart in a subrectangular slab having a length and width of 98.3 and 64.1 mm, respectively (Fig. 2). The small size of the specimen, the morphology of teeth, the poor mineralization of some skeletal structures, and the absence of claspers suggest that the specimen might represent a juvenile female skate, although the almost complete mineralization of the synarcual might indicate a late juvenile or even subadult. The specimen is displayed in ventral view, as suggested by the exposure of jaws and teeth, and the scapulocoracoid bar of the pectoral girdle lying ventrally to the vertebral axis. The body is dorso-ventrally compressed, and the disc appears largely free of dermal denticles and thorns, at least ventrally. However, multiple rows (at least three) of thorns are present along the tail, which is long and
robust, but not slender and whip-like as in skates of the family Anacanthobatidae (see Hulley 1973; Last et al. 2016). Several parts of the skeleton show the typical prismatic calcification of elasmobranch fishes (Dean & Summers 2006) and are highly visible in UV light (Figs 2, 3, 6). However, some of the skeletal elements, such as the distal-most segments of the pectoral radials, are not preserved, suggesting that they were still poorly or not at all mineralized. The number of dorsal fins, typically present near the extremity of the tail in skates (e.g. Last et al. 2016) is unknown in Osturriraja, because the tip of the tail is not preserved.

**Figure 4.** Anatomical details of some of the living skate representatives used for comparisons. A–D, Amblyraja sp., UNIVIE EMRG-Chond-H1, cleared and stained specimen; E, Raja clavata Linnaeus, 1758, UNIVIE EMRG-H2, micro-CT scan of the tail; A, overall view of the specimen in ventral view; B, close-up of the head and pectoral region; C, close-up of the pelvic girdle and fins; D, detail of the propterygial radials near the head region; note their reduced catenated calcification; E, detail of the vertebral column on the tail of UNIVIE EMRG-H2 in ventral view, showing the prismatic calcification of the cartilage forming the haemal arches. Abbreviations: ac, antorbital cartilage; bas, basipterygium; cb, 5th ceratobranchial; cr, compound radial; ha, haemal arches; hyo, hyomandibula; mc, Meckel’s cartilage; mes, mesopterygium; met, metapterygium; nc, nasal capsule; pq, palatoquadrate; pro, propterygium; prp, prepelvic process; pub, puboischiadic bar; ro, rostral cartilage; sca, scapulocoracoid; syn, synarcual; th, thorns. Scale bars: A–C = 20 mm; D = 10 mm; E = 5 mm.
Cranium. Although the cranium is only partially preserved, it appears antero-posteriorly elongated, longer than wide, with its greatest width at the level of the nasal capsules (Fig. 3). The rostral cartilage is not preserved. Only one of the two nasal capsules is exposed. It appears broad and oval without the kidney-shaped basal fenestra on its antero-medial aspect typically present in *Psammobatis*, *Irolita*, *Pseudoraja*, *Pavoraja*, *Notoraja*, *Fenestraja* and *Gurgesiella* (see McEachran & Miyake 1990a; McEachran & Dunn 1998) but mostly resembling the condition seen in *Amblyraja* (Fig. 4A, B). Since the specimen is exposed in ventral view, the presence and morphology of the preorbital processes remain ambiguous (= preorbital flanges of McEachran & Miyake 1990a). The neurocranium is narrower at the level of the orbital region. The otic capsules are short and robust. Although the specimen shows the ventral side in the main slab, it is possible to recognize (possibly due to taphonomic compression) the outline of the posterior portion of the fronto-parietal fontanelle, whose posterior margin appears concave and does not display any indentation. The antorbital cartilage is massive, unbranched and arched (Fig. 3), and its maximum width is at the level of the articulation with the postero-lateral aspect of the nasal capsule. It tapers distally and extends laterally articulating with the third segment of the pterygium, resembling the condition of skates (Fig. 4B).

Jaws and hyoid arch. Only the right hemi-jaws are preserved. The palatoquadrate is broadly arched, labiolingually compressed, and slightly smaller and narrower than the Meckel’s cartilage (Fig. 3). The palatoquadrate slightly tapers towards the symphysis, and possesses a strong condyle that articulates with the Meckel’s cartilage at the mandibular articular fossa. The Meckel’s cartilage is stout and broader than the palatoquadrate. Its antimeres are robust, not tapering, and are separated at the symphysis. There are no labial cartilages. The hyomandibulae are narrow and elongate, enlarged and stout proximally at the articulation with the otic region of the neurocranium, and tapering distally towards their articulation with the Meckel’s cartilage. With the exception of only the fifth pair of ceratobranchials, the ventral gill arch skeleton of *Ostarriraja* is not preserved. However, the fifth ceratobranchials are long and straight, and articulate with the anterior margin of scapulocoracoid.

Synarcual and vertebral column. The synarcual cartilage (Fig. 3) is strongly calcified and tube-shaped, and its morphology is consistent with that of skates (see Claeson 2008, 2011). Anteriorly, the synarcual articulates with the occipital condyles of the chondrocranium through a subrectangular synarcual lip which rests inside the foramen magnum of the chondrocranium, a condition considered derived in skates (Aschliman et al.
The two lateral occipital cotyles of the synarcual articulate with the occipital condyles of the chondrocranium. The synarcual extends posteriorly to the level of the shoulder girdle. The pectoral arch, formed by the fusion of suprascapulae to the dorsal median crest of the synarcual in skates (Claeson 2008; Aschliman et al. 2012a), is partially visible posterior to the scapulocoracoid bar. However, the dorsal median crest of the synarcual and the lateral stays are not exposed in our specimen. It is not possible to detect the number of fused vertebrae that form the synarcual, or the spinal nerve foramina. Two or three unfused individual vertebral centra can be seen near the posterior margin of the synarcual. At least 13–15 trunk vertebrae (from the first distinguishable centrum to the anterior margin of the puboischiadic bar) can be recognized. About 50 vertebrae are visible from the anterior margin of the puboischiadic bar to the last portion of the tail although this number was originally higher since the distal tip of the tail is not preserved. However, it is likely that the total number of predorsal vertebrae might have been about 65–70. The vertebral centra are strongly calcified, subrectangular in shape and antero-posteriorly elongated. The vertebrae of the tail appear to be surrounded by small calcified tesserae of polygonal shape (Fig. 5A), which probably represent the tesserae.
that form the prismatic calcification of the modified neural and haemal arches in modern skates (Fig. 4E). Ribs are absent.

**Pectoral fins and girdle.** The scapulocoracoid is formed by a single straight and robust transverse structure, located just ventral to the synarcual arch (Fig. 3). The scapulocoracoid articulates anteriorly with the fifth pair of ceratobranchials. The lateral aspect of the scapulocoracoid appears to be large, and the distance between pro- and mesocondyles is less than the distance between meso- and metacondyles, contrary to some skates like *Amblyraja*, in which the distance between condyles is about equal (Fig. 4B). The presence of the bridge and the postventral finestra cannot be detected in *Ostarriraja*. The propterygium is long and arched, and gradually tapers distally. It is segmented and at least four segments can be recognized; the proximal one is enlarged and articulates with the procondyde on the anterior portion of the lateral margin of the scapulocoracoid. The third propterygial segment articulates mesially with the antorbital cartilage of the neurocranium. Due to the incomplete preservation of the anterior portion of the body it is not clear whether the propterygium extends anteriorly to the rostral node. The mesopterygium is small, shorter than the pro- and metapterygium. It is a single ovoid element and its external margin is more or less straight to slightly convex, apparently not fused to the radials. The metapterygium is arched and its length is less than that of the propterygium. The metapterygium gradually tapers distally, where it segments at least once. There are about 86 pectoral radials. Of these, 33 are propterygial, 10 mesopterygial and 32 metapterygial. Moreover, about 11 radials directly articulate with the scapulocoracoid between the mesopterygium and metacondyles, resembling the typical condition of skates, *Pristis*, panrays and guitarfishes (Garman 1913, pls 64 and 65; Nishida 1990, fig. 32; McEachran *et al.* 1996, fig. 9; Aschliman *et al.* 2012a). Each pectoral radial is composed of at least five segments. However, since the external margin of the disc is incompletely preserved, possibly due to the incomplete mineralization of the distal-most radial cartilages in this presumably late juvenile specimen, it is possible that the number of segments was much higher. The anterior-most propterygial radials bifurcate distally at least once. The radials of *Ostarriraja* are calcified in chain-like patterns (Fig. 5B), forming the so-called ‘catenated calcification’ typical of batoids with undulatory swimming mode, including skates and most of the benthic stingrays (Schaefer & Summers 2005). Moreover, as observed in modern skates (Fig. 4D; see also Schaefer & Summers 2005, fig. 2), the calcification is further reduced in *Ostarriraja*, since it consists of a single chain on the dorsal and ventral sides in all radials.

**Pelvic fins and girdle.** As observed in modern skates (Fig. 4C; see also Compagno 1999; Holst & Bone 1993, fig. 1; Lucifora & Vassallo 2002, fig. 2), the pelvic fins of *Ostarriraja* are typically bilobed, characterized by the presence of distinct anterior and posterior lobes (Fig. 6). The anterior lobe is supported by a rod-like compound radial (articulated proximally to the radial condyle of pelvic girdle and distally in serial fashion with the proximal radial, which in turn is articulated to the distal radial) and three or four most anterior radials arising directly from the puboischiadic bar. The posterior lobe, conversely, is supported by the long basipterygium, articulating with the basal condyle of the pelvic girdle, which sustains 16 basipterygial radials. Pelvic girdle condyles for the compound radial and the basipterygium are therefore distinctly separated, and the pelvic fins include about 20–21 total radials each. The presence of anterior radials arising directly from the puboischiadic bar clearly distinguish the morphology of the pelvic fins of *Ostarriraja* from those of *Cruiraja*, *Schroederobatis* and *Springeria*, which are unique among skates in the absence of radials in the proximal section of the basipterygium and radials arising directly from the puboischiadic bar, therefore leaving a gap in the distribution of the pelvic-fin radials (Bigelow & Schroeder 1948; McEachran & Miyake 1990a, fig. 12; McEachran & Dunn 1998). The puboischiadic bar is robust and wide, with a slightly convex anterior margin, although we do not exclude that taphonomy might have influenced, at least in part, the preservation of this structure, as well as the low-quality preservation of the right side of the pelvic fins. The presence of the puboischiadic foramina is difficult to detect. The prepelvic processes are moderately long, straight and pointed, extending anteriorly beyond the level of the posterior tip of metapterygia. However, the prepelvic processes are considerably shorter than one-half the width of the puboischiadic bar, distinguishing them from those of *Psammobatis* and *Sympterygia* (longer than one-half width of the puboischiadic bar) or from *Schroederobatis* and *Springeria* (long with forked tips) (McEachran & Miyake 1990a, figs 8 and 12; McEachran & Dunn 1998). Claspers are not present, corroborating the hypothesis that NHMW 2005z0283/0097 represents a young female.

**Dentition.** The teeth of *Ostarriraja* are small (c. 400–500 μm in crown width) and arranged in numerous rows (Fig. 5C). The dentition is of crushing type with a gradient monognathic heterodony. The teeth are unicusped and slightly decrease in size distally. Sexual and ontogenetic heterodonties are unknown because
only a single specimen is available. The specimen shows only three or four tooth files on each jaw, resembling the condition of the early tooth development in skates (see Underwood et al. 2015).

The crown is massive, semi-oval in occlusal view, and longer mesio-distally than labio-lingually, with an arched labial edge and a lingual one with a small medial protuberance (Fig. 7). The teeth show a well-marked transverse cutting crest that separates the labial and lingual crown surfaces. The mesial and distal cutting edges are concavely arched and reach the margins of the crown. A labial cutting edge is absent. There is no strong cusp but the crown bears a poorly developed, semi-centrally situated and erected cone. The apron, uvula and crown ornamentation are absent. The lingual surface is weakly convex. The root is obliquely directed lingually. The root is massive, as wide as the crown but not protruding below the crown in occlusal view, relatively high and more or less oval in cross section. The root is holaulacorhize and bilobate, with unequally developed massive root lobes that widen basally to form a large base with slightly undulated margins. However, we do not exclude that the presence of unequally developed root lobes could be due to the juvenile stage of the specimen or the position of the teeth within the jaws. A pair of margino-lingual foramina is present. Their presence might indicate the presence of fusion of root lobes, although we do not exclude, again, that fusion might be related to the juvenile stage. The collar (= root coating of Herman et al. 1996) is very distinct and covers the upper part of the root stem.

Squamation. The surface of the disc of Ostarriraja appears to be largely free of dermal denticles. Thorns on the dorsal surface of the nuchal and scapular region of the disc, as well as thorns along the dorsal midline of the disc, appear absent, although this might be due to the ventral exposure of the specimen, which prevents identification of the dorsal surface of the disc. Malar and alar thorns also appear to be absent. However, it must be emphasized that malar and alar thorns are only

---

**Figure 8.** The 50% majority rule consensus tree detected from the analysis of 74 morphological characters coded for 36 taxa showing the hypothetical relationships of *Ostarriraja parva* gen. et sp. nov. within Rajiformes. The list of synapomorphies on each node (capital letters) is given in Supplemental material, Table S1. Numbers below the nodes indicate the Bremer support.
present in mature male skates (McEachran & Konstantinou 1996; McEachran & Dunn 1998), and their presence in \textit{Ostarriraja} is very unlikely due to the sex (female) and late juvenile stage of the fossil specimen (development of denticles proceeds caudo-rostrally in skates; Miyake et al. 1999). However, multiple rows of thorns are present along the entire dorsal margin of the tail (Fig. 5A). Thorns on the tail occur in three originally equally spaced parallel rows (one mediocaudal and two laterocaudal) formed by at least 35 similar-sized and similar-shaped pungent thorns each. In dorsal view (Fig. 5D) thorns are bilateral symmetric with four strongly developed elongated ridges forming right angles to each other, typical of the cross-type morphology of dermal denticles of some skates (Gravendeel et al. 2002). The anterior elongate ridge is larger than the posterior one. The crown of the thorn is hook-like and obliquely implanted on the basal plate. The cusp of the crown clearly overshoots the basal plate posteriorly and the crown is almost as long as the basal plate, resembling the condition of thorns in \textit{Rajella lin-tea} (= \textit{Dipturus linteus} of Gravendeel et al. 2002).

**Phylogenetic analysis**

The analysis of 74 morphological characters coded for 36 taxa produced 90 most parsimonious trees that were

---

**Figure 9.** Additional phylogenetic analyses executed in TNT 1.5 for cross-checking results obtained from the main analysis. A, 50% majority rule consensus tree (tree length = 148 steps, CI = 0.57, RI = 0.84) detected by excluding dental characters (59 to 70 of Supplemental material, Appendix A) from the main analysis; B, 50% majority rule consensus tree (tree length = 212 steps, CI = 0.46, RI = 0.76) detected using the New Technology Search analysis; C, 50% majority rule consensus tree (tree length = 212 steps, CI = 0.46, RI = 0.76) detected by branch-and-bound method, using the swapping algorithm tree bisection reconnection (TBR) via 1000 replications; D, 50% majority rule consensus tree (tree length = 212, CI = 0.46, RI = 0.76) detected by branch-and-bound method, using the swapping algorithm tree bisection reconnection (TBR) via 100 replications, saving 100 trees per replication.
Figure 10. Palaeobiogeography of skates from the Late Cretaceous to Neogene: 1 – Egypt, 2 – India, 3 – Arkansas, 4 – Maryland, 5 – Texas, 6 – Sweden, 7 – Antarctica, 8 – Belgium, 9 – Germany, 10 – South Carolina, 11 – Oregon, 12 – France, 13 – England, 14 – Jordan, 15 – Switzerland, 16 – Niger, 17 – Czech Republic, 18 – Mexico, 19 – Ukraine, 20 – Portugal, 21 – Netherlands, 22 – Denmark, 23 – Austria, 24 – Poland, 25 – Malta, 26 – Japan, 27 – Costa Rica, 28 – California, 29 – Italy, 30 – Slovakia, 31 – Argentina. Data from Fischer-Ooster (1866), Lawley (1876), Leriche (1927), Radwanski (1965), Steininger (1966), Jonet (1968), Ray et al. (1968), Cappetta (1970, 2012), Welton (1972), Herman (1974), Langenwalter (1975), Ceuster (1976), Steurbaut & Herman (1978), Glaser (1979), Schultz (1979, 2013), Sahni & Mehrotra (1980), Ward (1984), Itoigawa et al. (1985), Werner (1989), Cappetta & Nolf (1991), Prasad & Cappetta (1993), Welton & Farish (1993), Long (1994), Hovestadt & Hovestadt-Euler (1995), Case & Cappetta (1997), Antunes et al. (1999), Laurito (1999), Müller (1999), Purdy et al. (2001), Siverson & Cappetta (2001), Ward & Bonavia (2001), Müller & Rozenberg (2003), Reinecke et al. (2005), Roth & Hoedmakers (2005), Adnet (2006), Becker et al. (2006), Cappetta & Cavallo (2006), Sabol & Kovac (2006), Antunes & Balbino (2007), Cahuzac et al. (2007), Adnet & Cappetta (2008), González-Barba (2008), Wijnker et al. (2008), Brisswalter (2009), Cicimuri & Knight (2009), Schultz et al. (2010), Boessenecker (2011, 2013), Cione et al. (2012), Reinecke (2015), Pollerspöck & Straube (2017), Engelbrecht et al. (2018). Maps are adopted and modified from Scotese (2002).
used to build the 50% majority rule consensus tree having a length of 212 steps, a CI of 0.46 and an RI of 0.76 (Fig. 8). Elevated homoplasy and the relatively high RI suggest that there is a phylogenetical signal in the characters, supporting the hypothesis that considerable parallelisms occur in the morphological evolution within the group (McEachran & Dunn 1998). A complete list of synapomorphies for each node is given as Supplemental material in Table S1. The recovered tree is consistent, at least in part, with the morphology-based phylogeny of McEachran & Dunn (1998), but not with the most recent molecular analyses (e.g. Naylor et al. 2012a, b; Last et al. 2016). Considering the presence of the pectoral arch, a compound radial that is rod-like and articulated with single radial segments in serial fashion, and separated pelvic girdle condyles to be diagnostic for skates (Garman 1913; Holst & Bone 1993; Lucifora & Vassallo 2002; Claeson 2008, 2011; Aschliman et al. 2012a), Ostarriraja gen. nov. is recovered herein as the basal-most rajiform, and the monophyly of the whole group is strongly supported (Bremer value 5), by five synapomorphies: nasal capsules broad and oval (ch. 29[1]); uvula absent (ch. 65[1]); suprascapulae fused to the median crest of the synarcual, forming the pectoral arch (ch. 71[1]); rod-like compound radial articulated with single radial segments in serial fashion (ch. 72[1]); separated pelvic girdle condyles (ch. 73[1]). In McEachran & Dunn (1998) the monophyly of Rajiformes was corroborated by the presence of at least six different characters (i.e. oviparous development; alar and/or malar thorns present in mature males; electric organs in lateral tail musculature; second hypobranchial cartilage fused with basibranchial copula; anterior portion of second hypobranchial cartilage absent and proximal section of second hypobranchial cartilage not articulating with second ceratobranchial cartilage; clasper skeleton with dorsal terminal cartilage on dorsal aspect of clasper). However, these characters, although included in the matrix, are not supportive of Rajiformes in our phylogeny, since their presence in the single specimen of Ostarriraja is impossible to establish (although highly probable). The phylogeny of Aschliman et al. (2012a) recovered the presence of osteodentine to be supportive of the monophyly of skates. However, true osteodentine in large teeth was only detected in the roots of Rhinoraja and Rostroraja (Herman et al. 1994, 1995, 1996) and its presence is therefore not supportive of the clade in our phylogeny. Although a synarcual lip resting inside the foramen magnum was detected as supportive of the skates by Aschliman et al. (2012a) and is also

Figure 11. Rajorhina expansa (Davis, 1887) from the Late Cretaceous (Cenomanian) of Haqel, Lebanon. A, MNHN HAK558; B, MNHN HAK561, counterpart; C, close-up of the pectoral radials showing the crustal calcification; D, detail of the pelvic fins. Scale bars: A, B = 20 mm; C, D = 10 mm.
present in *Ostarriraja*, it was not included in our phylogenetic analysis since this character appears to be also present in *Pristis* (Rhinopristiformes; see Aschliman et al. 2012a), and therefore the inclusion of a homoplastic character in our phylogeny might have supported erroneously the monophyly of skates.

Our phylogenetic analysis recovered a dichotomous nature of living skates which is consistent, at least in part, with the morphological hypothesis of McEachran & Miyake (1990a) and McEachran & Dunn (1998). However, some polytomies detected in the previous analyses are now resolved, possibly because of the inclusion of new dental characters. The monophyletic status of living skates seems to be achieved by the acquisition of the secondary hemiaulacorhizy (ch. 63[1]), loss of the root coating (ch. 69[0]), and fusion of radials to mesopterygium (ch. 74[2]). The living skates are grouped in two main monophyletic groups that correspond to the subfamilies *Arhynchobatinae* and *Rajinae* as recognized by McEachran & Dunn (1998). The monophyly of *Arhynchobatidae sensu* Naylor et al. (2012a) was therefore detected in our study, but not that of *Rajidae sensu* Naylor et al. (2012a). Although the monophyly of *Anacanthobatidae sensu* Last et al. (2016) (including here *Springeria* and *Schroederobatis*) has been detected in our analysis, this clade is nested within *Rajidae*.

Figure 12. *Cyclobatis oligodactylus* Egerton, 1844 from the Late Cretaceous (Cenomanian) of Hjoula, Lebanon. A, MNHN F.HDJ505a; B, detail of the pelvic girdle and fins, showing the compound radial articulating with several radials in parallel fashion (arrow); C, detail of the head, showing the articulation of nasal capsules with propterygia (arrow); D, MNHN F.HDJ505b, counterpart, detail of the of the pectoral girdle showing the suprascapulae (arrow). The arrowheads in C and D show the distance between pro-, meso- and metacondyles. Scale bars: 10 mm.
Moreover, Gurgesiellidae of Last et al. (2016) (including Cruriraja, Gurgesiella and Fenestraja) has not been recognized as a monophyletic group herein. The monophyly of Arhynchobatidae (including Rioraja, Atlantoraja, Rhinoraja, Bathyrhaja, Sympterygia, Psammobatis, Irolita, Arhynchobatis, Pseudoraja, Notoraja, Brochiraja and Pavoraja) is well supported herein (Bremer value 3) by three synapomorphies: basihyal with lateral projections (ch. 33[1]); clasper glans with component projection (ch. 45[1]); principal tooth cusp oblique (ch. 59[1]). All remaining skates form a monophyletic group (Rajidae herein) supported by five synapomorphies: presence of malar thorns (ch. 23[1]); scapulocoracoid without anterior bridge (ch. 34[2]); clasper glans distally expanded (ch. 40[1]) and with component rhipidion (ch. 41[1]); electrocytes with cortical processes (ch. 57[1]). It is worthy to note that the presence of malar thorns, traditionally considered diagnostic for all skates, has been recognized as supportive only for the family Rajidae. This family consists of three clades (Rajinae + (Amblyrajinae + Gurgesiellinae)) whose relationships have been partially resolved with respect to the phylogeny of McEachran & Dunn (1998). The clade Rajinae (Rajiini of McEachran & Dunn 1998) is herein supported by three synapomorphies: dorsal surface largely naked (ch. 11[1]); crown of alar thorns with barb (ch. 20[0]); narrow and rectilinear nasal capsules (ch. 29[0]). Springeria and Schroederobatis (family Anancanthobatidae of Last et al. 2016) are sister to Cruriraja, and these three genera together are sister to a clade including Hongeo which is sister to a large polytom including Dipturus, Dentiraja, Beringraja, Rostroraja, Okamejei and Raja.

The monophyly of the family Gurgesiellidae sensu Last et al. (2016), including Gurgesiella, Fenestraja and Cruriraja, is not resolved in our phylogeny, with these taxa being polyphyletic. On the contrary, Gurgesiella and Fenestraja, along with Neoraja and Malacoraja, form here a monophyletic group (Gurgesiellini of McEachran & Dunn 1998), having a narrow internasal plate (ch. 31[1]). The remaining rajids (Rajiella, Breviraja, Amblyraja, Leucoraja and Dactylobatus) form a monophyletic group (Amblyrajinae herein) that is well supported (Bremer value 4) by six synapomorphies (see Supplemental material, Table S1).

The exclusion of the dental characters from our phylogenetic analysis produced a 50% majority rule consensus tree in which the relationships between genera are less resolved, as more polytomies are detected (Fig. 9A). This corroborates the hypothesis that inclusion of dental characters in a skeletal-based character matrix is very useful to detect and solve the relationships among batoid taxa (see also Claeson et al. 2010; Marramà et al. 2018d). Moreover, the analysis of the original data matrix using different settings resulted in exactly the same phylogenetic hypothesis (Fig. 9B–D), therefore suggesting that the characters employed here are robust and the resulting systematic arrangement is very stable. Despite the high level of homoplasy, the recognition of the same phylogenetic hypothesis using different approaches suggests that the data are good, and homoplastic characters can therefore be considered diagnostic for skates (see Carvalho 1996, p. 52).

Discussion

Comparative remarks

The affinities of Ostarriraja parva gen. et sp. nov. with the skate order Rajiformes sensu Naylor et al. (2012a) are unquestionably supported herein by the presence of the following features: suprascapulae fused to the median crest of the synarcual forming the pectoral arch, rod-like compound radial articulated with single radial segments in serial fashion, and separated pelvic girdle condyles (e.g. McEachran & Dunn 1998; Lucifora & Vassallo 2002). In this perspective, the similar pelvic girdle morphology of Ostarriraja and modern skates may suggest that the peculiar form of benthic locomotion termed ‘punting’ might have been already present in skates since the early Miocene. Another skate character corroborating the inclusion of Ostarriraja in Rajiformes is the catenated calcification of the pectoral radials (see Schaefer & Summers 2005). Although this kind of calcification is also present in other batoids (e.g. non-pelagic stingrays), in skates the calcification is unique, being further reduced and consisting of a single chain on the dorsal and ventral sides in all radials (Schaefer & Summers 2005), a character which is unquestionably present also in Ostarriraja. Additional features that align the new fossil skate genus with rajiforms, although considered plesiomorphic within Batoidea (Compagno 1977; McEachran et al. 1996; Lucifora & Vassallo 2002), include the lateral expansion of nasal capsules, presence of radials articulating with the scapulocoracoid between meso- and metapterygium, antorbital cartilages not branched, laterally extended and directly joining the propterygia to the nasal capsules, and long and anteriorly directed prepelvic processes of the pelvic girdle.

Ostarriraja differs from other skate genera in its unique combination of meristic and dental features (Supplemental material, Table S2). The number of predorsal vertebrae in Ostarriraja is low, being probably no more than 70, therefore separating the new fossil genus from Breviraja, Hongeo, Leucoraja and Malacoraja and most of the arhynchobatids (80–134).
The total number of pectoral radials distinguishes Ostarriraja (86) from Amblyraja, Beringraja, Breviraja, Malacoraja, Neoraja, Rajella, Brochriraja, Pavoraja and Pseudoraja (55–85), and from Hongeo and Irolita (87–105). Although small, the principal cusp of the teeth in Ostarriraja can be considered erect, contrary to being oblique in Breviraja, Malacoraja, Atlantoraja, Irolita, Rhinoraja and Rioraja. The absence of a labial cutting edge is useful to separate Ostarriraja from Amblyraja and Rioraja, whereas the absence of a lingual uvula distinguishes the fossil taxon from Amblyraja, Breviraja, Dactylobatus, Malacoraja, Neoraja, Bathyrja, Brochriraja and Rhinoraja. The absence of a labial apron separates Ostarriraja from Dipturus, Leucoraja and Rostroraja, whereas the high root stem of Ostarriraja distinguishes the fossil genus from Breviraja, Dipturus, Raja, Rajella and Rostroraja, and from Arhynchobatis, Atlantoraja and Pseudoraja. Finally, Ostarriraja can be separated from Amblyraja, Breviraja, Dipturus, Neoraja, Okamejei, Raja and most of the arhynchobatids by the presence of a root coating, and from Breviraja, Malacoraja, Arhynchobatis, Atlantoraja, Bathyrja, Irolita and Rhinoraja by having concave mesial and distal cutting edges. Although the selected meristic and dental features are not useful to separate Ostarriraja from Dentic Sega, this living genus can be separated by the presence of narrower and more rectilinear nasal capsules (broad and oval in Ostarriraja), and from Springeria, Schroederobatis, Curriraja, Sympterygia and Psammobatis by the very different pelvic girdle morphology (see McEachran & Miyake 1990a; McEachran & Dunn 1998).

Dental characters are also useful to separate Ostarriraja from other extinct skate genera. The genus Walteraja from the Late Cretaceous of Sweden is characterized by having cuspidate teeth in both sexes, female teeth usually longer labio-lingually than they are wide mesio-distally and transverse cutting edges restricted to the top of the cusp (Siverson & Cappetta 2001; Cappetta 2012), whereas in Ostarriraja teeth are not cuspidate (at least in females), the crown is longer mesio-distally than labio-lingually, and the transverse cutting edges reach the margins of the crown. Teeth of the Late Cretaceous genus Majdetia are characterized by a crown which is rhombic in occlusal view, having convex transverse cutting edges, a labial cutting edge, and a root which is much narrower than the crown (Werner 1989; Cappetta 2012), whereas in Ostarriraja the crown is semi-oval in occlusal view, the cutting edges are concave, the labial cutting edge is absent, and the root is as large as the crown. The fossil genus Smithiraja from the upper Paleocene–middle Eocene of Europe and Near East (see Cappetta 2012) differs from Ostarriraja in having a median concavity on the labial margin of the crown and marginal uvulae, and lacking margino-lingual foramina. Teeth of the genus Marambioraja from the Eocene of Antarctica have been described as having a knob-like labial protuberance with a flat oval structure centred on its labial face and cutting edges that do not reach the base of the crown (Engelbrecht et al. 2018), characters which are not present in Ostarriraja that, on the contrary, has margino-lingual foramina. The extinct rajid Mesetaraja known by a single tooth from the Eocene of Antarctica (Engelbrecht et al. 2018) is characterized by having a labial apron, a crown that is wider than the root, a mesio-distally directed narrow cusp and accessory cusplets, a combination of characters, which easily separate it from the new Miocene genus. Finally, Ostarriraja can be distinguished from Oligoraja from the Oligocene of Germany (see Reinecke 2015) by the different crown shape in occlusal view, a higher root stem, the absence of apron and uvula, and the presence of margino-lingual foramina.

The fossil record of skates

Although skates represent today the most diverse group of batoid fishes, their fossil record is quite scarce compared to that of other rays and heavily biased towards isolated teeth (Fig. 10). Some of the oldest putative skates such as Rajohina expansa (Davis, 1887) and three species of Cyclobatis Egerton, 1844 (C. major, C. oligodactylus, C. tuberculat) that are reported from the Late Cretaceous (Cenomanian) deposits of Haqel and Hjoula in Lebanon (Cappetta 1980) are represented by articulated skeletons. However, the affinities of these taxa with the true skates are unclear and doubtful, since they lack most of the diagnostic features of Rajiformes (Siverson & Cappetta 2001; Cappetta 2012). The two known specimens of Rajorhina expansa (Pararaja in Cappetta 1980) are incomplete and lack the rostral region, and their alignment with skates was only based on the similar outline of the pectoral fins, presence of long claspers, and presence of large thorns on discs (Cappetta 1980). One of the two specimens, MNHN HAK558-561 in part and counterpart, was examined for the present study (Fig. 11). The pelvic fins of R. expansa (Fig. 11D) are clearly not bilobed; the compound radial and basipterygium articulate with pelvic girdle condyles which are close together, not distinctly separated, and do not allow for the articulation of pelvic radials directly with the pelvic girdle between the two condyles as in living skates (McEachran & Dunn 1998; Lucifora & Vassallo 2002). In Rajohina there are no long anteriorly directed prepelvic processes but small
lateral processes directed towards the exterior (see also Cappetta 1980, 2012). Both individuals of R. expansa have long claspers, but they do not show apparently the malar or alar thorns typical of male skates (see also Cappetta 1980). The pectoral radials of Rajorhina are entirely covered by small tesserae (Fig. 11C), typical of the ‘crustal’ calcification of guitarfishes, thornbacks, sawfishes, electric rays and pelagic stingrays (Schaefer & Summers 2005), very different from the reduced ‘catenated’ calcification of Ostarriraja and modern skates. Moreover, teeth of Rajorhina were described as of anaulacorhizid type with a broad and flat root (Cappetta 1980), whereas teeth of the skates mostly show holaulacorhizy or secondary hemialulacorhizy (Herman et al. 1994, 1995, 1996). Finally, the presence of ribs in Rajorhina (Fig. 11D) aligns this taxon more with Rhinopristsiformes than with skates. The absence of ribs has been suggested to be a synapomorphic character of stingrays of the order Myliobatiformes (e.g. Carvalho et al. 2004; Aschliman et al. 2012a). However, our examination of the comparative material and the radiographs in the available literature detected their absence also in skates. From this perspective, since no reliable diagnostic features of skates appear to be present in R. expansa, the presence of scapular thorns reported in Cappetta (1980), a similar pectoral disc shape and long claspers might represent homoplastic characters achieved independently in Rajorhina and modern skates.

Most authors have assigned Cyclobatis to the skates (e.g. Egerton 1844; Goodrich 1909; Dechaseaux 1937; Cappetta 1980, 2012). A specimen of C. oligodactylus Egerton, 1844 (MNHN F.HDJ504, MNHN F.HDJ505, in part and counterpart) and one of C. major Davis, 1887 (MNHN HAK555) were examined for the present study (Fig. 12). Although the pelvic girdle of Cyclobatis shows long, anteriorly directed prepelvic processes, and pelvic fins that seem to be differentiated into anterior and posterior lobes with a large gap of radials between the compound radial and the basipterygium, resembling the condition of the skate genera Cruriraja and Anacanthobatis, the compound radial of Cyclobatis articulates with several radial segments in a parallel fashion (Fig. 12B; see also Cappetta 1980, fig. 25) contrary to the condition of skates in which the compound radial articulates with single radial segments in a serial fashion (Fig. 4C; see also Holst & Bone 1993, fig. 1; Lucifora & Vassallo 2002, fig. 2; Aschliman et al. 2012a). Moreover, the morphology of the cranial skeleton of Cyclobatis (Fig. 12C) is unique and very different from that of any other extant batoid in the shape of the rostral cartilage and nasal capsules, these latter contacting directly the propterygium because of the apparent absence of antorbital cartilages (Cappetta 1980, 2012). As in most of the batoids, the suprascapulae of Cyclobatis form a small, narrow and straight bar, which articulates with the neural arches of the vertebrae just posterior to the synarcual (Fig. 12D; see also Cappetta 1980, fig. 24) as in sawfishes and electric rays (McEachran et al. 1996), whereas skates are unique among batoids in that the suprascapulae are fused to the median crest of the synarcual forming the pectoral arch (Garman 1913; Claeson 2008, 2011; Aschliman et al. 2012a). The pectoral radials of Cyclobatis are covered at least in their proximal part by small tesserae, typical of the ‘crustal’ calcification, very different from the reduced catenated calcification of Ostarriraja and modern skates (Schaefer & Summers 2005). Finally, pro-, meso- and metacondyles of the scapulocoracoid are about at the same distance, or the scapulocoracoid is elongated between the mesocondyle and the metacondyle in skates (Nishida 1990, fig. 32; McEachran et al. 1996, fig. 9; McEachran & Dunn 1998). Cyclobatis, on the contrary, shows a condition similar to that of myliobatiforms (see also Nishida 1990, figs 30–32; Lovejoy 1996, fig. 9; McEachran et al. 1996, fig. 9), in which the scapulocoracoid is elongated between the pro- and mesocondyle (Fig. 12C, D). These and other differences in tooth morphology (see Siverson & Cappetta 2001) highlight the importance of detailed revisions of Cyclobatis, as well as most of the batoids from the Late Cretaceous of Lebanon.

Another batoid represented by partial skeletons and included within skates by Cappetta (1980) is Raja? davisi (Fowler, 1958) from the upper Santonian of Sahel Aalma, Lebanon. However, the impossibility of recognizing any skate character because of the poor preservation of the material and the lack of teeth in these specimens makes any assignment of the species to Rajiformes impossible to establish (Siverson & Cappetta 2001; Cappetta 2012). From this perspective, since no reliable taxa represented by holomorphic specimens can be confidentially assigned to skates, Ostarriraja parva is considered here to be the first unquestionable skate represented by a partially complete and articulated skeleton.

The oldest true representative of skates can be considered Mafdetta Werner, 1989, represented by isolated teeth from the Cenomanian of Egypt (see also Fig. 10), although its inclusion in the family Rajidae is questionable according to Cappetta (2012) who prefers to consider the taxon as Rajoidae incertae familiae. Although skates have been reported from the Maastrichtian of India as Raja sudhakari, and the United States (Arkansas, Maryland and Texas) as R. farishi (see Glaser 1979; Prasad & Cappetta 1993; Welton & Farish 1993; Case & Cappetta 1997; Becker et al. 2006;
Cappetta 2012), the earliest known skate with modern tooth morphology comparable to living taxa might be \textit{Walteraja exigua} from the Maastrichtian of southern Sweden (Siverson & Cappetta 2001). Isolated teeth of skates are more common in Cenozoic strata and in particular in the Neogene, in accordance with the diversification time estimates for the lineage (e.g. Bertozzi et al. 2016). In the Palaeogene, the most common taxon appears to be \textit{Raja}, although several fossil teeth with ‘rajoid’ morphology included traditionally in this waste-basket genus probably belong to different genera (Cappetta 2012). However, unambiguous occurrences of \textit{Raja} have been recently reported from the Eocene of Antarctica, along with the new genera \textit{Marambioraja} and \textit{Mesetaraja} (Long 1994; Engelbrecht et al. 2018), and Oregon (Welton 1972), and the Oligocene of Belgium, Czech Republic, Germany, Ukraine, Spain, and South Carolina (Welton 1972; Steurbaut & Herman 1978; Hovestadt & Hovestadt-Euler 1995; Müller & Rozenberg 2003; Reinecke et al. 2005; Cicimurî & Knight 2009; Cappetta 2012; Reinecke 2015). In the Neogene, \textit{Raja} teeth have been reported in the Miocene of Austria, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Switzerland, California, Costa Rica, Oregon, India and Japan (Leriche 1927; Radwanski 1965; Cappetta 1970, 2012; Welton 1972; Sahni & Mehrotra 1981; Itoigawa et al. 1985; Antunes et al. 1999; Laurito 1999; Ward & Bonavia 2001; Roth & Hoedmakers 2005; Antunes & Balbino 2007; Wijnker et al. 2008; Schultz et al. 2010; Boessenecker 2011; Schultz 2013; Pollerspöck & Straube 2017), whereas the genus has been reported from Pliocene deposits in Belgium, England, France, The Netherlands, Italy, California, and North and South Carolina (Lawley 1876; Herman 1974; Ceuster 1976; Cappetta & Nolf 1991; Purdy et al. 2001; Wijnker et al. 2008; Boessenecker 2011; Cappetta 2012), and from the Pleistocene of California, Oregon and Virginia (Ray et al. 1968; Langenwalter 1975; Boessenecker 2013).

Teeth from the early to middle Eocene of southern France, assigned to \textit{Raja} by Adnet (2006) and Cahuzac et al. (2007), have been attributed to the morphological group \textit{Malacoraja-Cruriraja} by Cappetta (2012); those from the Ypresian London Clay included in \textit{Raja} by Ward (1984) have been regarded as similar to the modern genus \textit{Atlantoraja} by Siverson & Cappetta (2001); \textit{Atlantoraja} should be also present in the Oligocene of Mexico (as \textit{R. aff. heinzellingii} in González-Barba 2008) and Ukraine (Müller & Rozenberg 2003); some of the teeth from the Oligocene of northern Germany assigned to \textit{Raja} by Steurbaut & Herman (1978) have been recently included in the extant genera \textit{Atlantoraja} and \textit{Dipturus} by Reinecke (2015) who recognized also the presence of \textit{Sympterygia} and the new fossil genus \textit{Oligoraja} in the same deposit; some teeth from the Miocene to the Pleistocene of California identified as \textit{R. cf. binoculata} should be referred to \textit{Beringraja binocolata} (see Boessenecker 2011). The extant genus \textit{Dipturus} was reported from the Miocene of Austria, Czech Republic, France and Portugal (Jonet 1968; Antunes et al. 1999; Brisswalter 2009; Schultz et al. 2010; Cappetta 2012; Schultz 2013), and the Pliocene of France, Italy and North Carolina (Cappetta & Nolf 1991; Müller 1999; Cappetta & Cavallo 2006; Cappetta 2012). \textit{Smithraja}, which also is based on isolated teeth, occurs in the upper Paleocene of the Near East to the middle Eocene of France and Belgium (Adnet 2006; Adnet & Cappetta 2008; Cappetta 2012). Another genus, \textit{Rajitheca} Steininger, 1966, is only represented by egg cases from the Oligocene of Switzerland and Germany and the Miocene of Switzerland (Fischer-Ooster 1866; Steininger 1966). Finally, undetermined rajid teeth have been reported from the upper Paleocene of Niger (Cappetta 2012), and the Miocene of Argentina, Germany, Poland and Slovakia (Schultz 1979; Sabol & Kovac 2006; Cione et al. 2012; Pollerspöck & Straube 2017).

Contrary to McEachran & Miyake (1990b) who proposed a Pacific origin for skates, the distribution of their fossil record appears to be more consistent with the dispersal scenario hypothesized by Long (1994), who suggested that skates evolved in the western Tethys and North Boreal seas in the Late Cretaceous–early Paleogene and emigrated towards the Southern Hemisphere and Antarctica during the early–middle Eocene across a dispersal corridor along the continental margins of the western Atlantic Ocean, a trend also detected for other elasmobranchs (e.g. the extinct sand tiger shark \textit{Brachycarcharias}; see Marramà et al. 2018b). On the contrary, the invasion of the Pacific Ocean by skates could have occurred through the Arctic Ocean, or through the Panamic Seaway, or from Antarctica (Long 1994). It is noteworthy that no fossil skates have been reported from Indo-Pacific areas so far, suggesting that the current limited distribution of the few species in these regions (see Last et al. 2016) might have occurred only recently. Molecular estimates of divergence times indicate a recent origin and rapid dispersal of the present species in the Mediterranean Sea and Eastern Atlantic from the middle Miocene to the Pleistocene, related to climatic and geological events in the Mediterranean area (Valscèche et al. 2005). From this perspective it is likely that modern species in the Mediterranean Sea and Eastern Atlantic originated from, or at least co-existed with, early Miocene taxa, possibly including \textit{Ostarriraja}, in the Central Paratethys.
Conclusions

A new fossil representative of the skates, Ostarriraja parva gen. et sp. nov., is recognized and described from early Miocene strata of Upper Austria, which were part of the Central Paratethys during the middle Burdigalian. The new taxon, represented by a partially complete and articulated skeleton, represents the first unquestionable skeletal record of skates, since a comparison with putative holomorphic skates from the Late Cretaceous suggests that the latter cannot be ascribed to Rajiformes sensu Naylor et al. (2012a), highlighting the importance of deep anatomical investigation for the Late Cretaceous batoid taxa. The phylogenetic analysis recovered Ostarriraja as the basal-most skate and a dichotomous tree for the living rajiforms, in accordance with previous morphological studies. The analysis of the fossil record of skates seems to corroborate the hypothesis that these batoids evolved in the western Tethys and North Boreal seas in the Late Cretaceous–early Palaeogene, and that the present distribution of the species in Mediterranean and Eastern Atlantic is the result of the climatic and geological events that occurred in the Central Paratethys and Mediterranean area in the Miocene.
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