Differentiation of fibrotic and inflammatory component of Crohn’s disease-associated strictures
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Patients with Crohn's disease (CD) commonly develop bowel strictures, which may contain various degrees of inflammation and fibrosis. While predominantly inflammatory strictures may benefit from a medical anti-inflammatory treatment approach, fibrotic strictures would require endoscopic balloon dilation or surgery. Cross-sectional imaging surpasses endoscopy for characterization of stenotic segments and potentially may contribute to the optimal clinical management of these patients. This short review aims to discuss the potentialities and limitations of cross-sectional imaging techniques for assessing bowel fibrosis in patients with CD. (Intest Res 2020;18:144-150)
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THE ROLE OF CROSS-SECTION IMAGING TO DIFFERENTIATE INFLAMMATORY FROM FIBROTIC COMPONENT

1. Clinical Relevance of Differentiating Inflammatory from Fibrotic Component

The development of an intestinal stricture in patients with CD represents an important event on the natural history of these patients that threatens an increased risk of surgery.1-3

Up to 10% of patients with CD present with a stricture at diagnosis and up to half of patients will progress to a stricturing phenotype during their life.4,5

The mechanisms by which strictures develop in CD are complex. The most accepted hypothesis is that excessive repair response to bowel inflammation causes a reduction in luminal diameter, which is dependent on both the pleiotropic actions of inflammatory mediators and the interplay of profibrotic genetic, cellular, and microbiota-related factors.6 This interaction, therefore, would explain the overlap between both inflammatory and fibrotic components reported on several publications.7-15

The accurate determination of the extent of fibrosis in involved bowel segments is important for various reasons. In clinical practice, it is essential to differentiate between strictures that are predominantly due to fibrosis and those that are predominantly due to inflammation, because fibrotic strictures require endoscopic balloon dilation or surgery, but predominantly inflammatory strictures may benefit from anti-inflammatory treatment. This differentiation could also help us to understand the role of fibrosis in symptoms in the absence of inflammation. Finally, the accurate measurement of fibrosis is essential for the development and testing of drugs to prevent and treat strictures due to fibrosis.16 Such drugs would be a great step forward in the management of CD, as currently available treatments all primarily aim to reduce inflammation rather than fibrosis.17

Endoscopic biopsies are unable to measure the amount of fibrosis in the intestinal wall. Cross-sectional imaging modali-
ties can identify strictures in both the small and large bowel, and given the transmural nature of CD, enable a more objective assessment including the differentiation between inflammation and fibrosis.

2. Potential Contribution of Cross-Sectional Imaging

In recent years, various cross-sectional imaging techniques have been incorporated into daily practice and research to better characterize bowel strictures and to quantify the degree of fibrosis. Although the evidence on the utility of these techniques is still weak, in the near future, they may provide information critical for planning therapeutic management.18,19

Cross-sectional imaging is highly accurate in detecting inflammatory lesions.18,19 One key feature indicating the presence of active disease is mural hyperenhancement on a thickened bowel segment. When evidence of mural hyperenhancement, edema, or hypervascularity is lacking on cross-sectional imaging of the bowel, fibrosis is often assumed. However, fibrosis is closely linked to inflammation, and both components are frequently superimposed in stenotic segments6 therefore, standard imaging modalities might be unable to differentiate between fibrosis and inflammation.8-10,13 Some studies based on conventional morphologic and/or non-dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging features on the bowel were unable to detect or quantify the amount of fibrosis in the bowel beyond identifying strictures with proximal dilation7 and others reported conflicting results.9,11

Evidence from more recent studies indicates that new imaging techniques are being developed including diffusion-weighted imaging, dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI, magnetization

Fig. 1. Images in a 57-year-old woman with CD with past history of ileocecal resection and new stricture on the neo-terminal ileum. Axial diffusion-weighted images with b = 800 sec/mm² (A) and hypointensity on corresponding apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map (ADC = 923 mm²/sec) (B) are shown in the same segment (arrow). Axial magnetization transfer (MT) imaging without (C) and with MT pulse and (D) demonstrate MT effect of neo-terminal ileum (arrow) to be similar to that of skeletal muscle.
**Fig. 2.** Real-time shear wave ultrasound image of stenotic bowel wall (left image) overlaying conventional ultrasound gray-scale images (right image) in a 38-year-old woman with CD. Multiple circular region of interest (ROI) are depicted over the bowel wall (T1–T3 and T4 [not seen on this image]) and in perienteric fat (R1). The quantitative data (average stiffness value in kPa and SD of each ROI, and ratio between bowel wall and fat) is automatically calculated. The color scale inside the box on the left image indicates the distribution of the measured elasticity within the area of interest. 

**Table 1.** Main Novel Imaging Modalities and Their Main Characteristics

| Novel imaging modality            | Principle                                                                 | Advantages                                                                                                                                   | Limitations                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MR-DWI                           | Provides information related to the motion of water molecules in the extracellular and cellular compartments, without use of contrast agents. | Part of most routine scan protocols. Allows quantitative data by means of ADC maps. Good correlation with presence of fibrosis in different studies. | Motion water molecules can be restricted by either fibrotic tissue or inflammation. It could be difficult to determine the contribution of inflammation and fibrosis over the motion restriction. |
| MT ratio                         | Produces image contrast between protons in free water molecules and those in large macromolecules, including collagen, increasing its signal (MT ratio) with the increased amount of collagen. | In animal models and in humans, MT ratio well correlated with the presence of fibrosis. | Further studies are warranted to confirm and validate this technique against histopathology. High specific absorption rate that may cause heating of patient’s tissue. |
| Gain of enhancement on MR        | Technique commonly used for assessing myocardial fibrosis. Extravascular-extracellular volume fraction in fibrotic tissue is low on early phase post gadolinium injection, increasing its gain of enhancement compared with latter phases. | Easy to implement as routine.                                                                                                                | Not validated on multicentric setting. Values may be subject to vendor characteristics.                                                                                                               |
| Ultrasound elastography: strain ratio | Measures the tissue strain (the degree of compression) developed under an applied force by the operator. | Easy to incorporate together standard bowel ultrasound.                                                                                      | Limited by bowel location, body habitus and operator. Different vendors may have different scale of values. Lack of absolute values. |
| Ultrasound elastography: shear wave | A focused ultrasound pulse is generated by a mechanical vibrating device and transmitted by the transducer. Then a shear waves are generated and propagate off-axis. The measure of the speed of a shear wave provides a quantitative estimate of tissue stiffness. | Direct quantification over any area of interest. Easy and fast to get quantitative data.                                                                 | Limited by bowel location and body habitus. Different vendors may have different scale of values. |
| CEUS                             | Based on the injection of micro bubbles on vein and real–time visualization of slow blood flows at the area of interest using ultrasound. | Provides information relative to the perfusion level at real time.                                                                            | Not standardizes measures. Differences between different available methods. Limited by bowel location and body habitus. |

MR, magnetic resonance; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; MT, magnetization transfer; CEUS, contrast-enhanced ultrasound.
Table 2. Overview of Current Evidence on the Use of Cross-Sectional Imaging Studies Assessing the Inflammatory and Fibrotic Components of CD Strictures Using Histopathology from Surgical Resected Specimens as Reference Standard

| Author (year)       | Technique | Nature of the study | Main clinically relevant findings |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| **Magnetic resonance** |           |                     |                                  |
| Punwani et al. (2009) | MRE       | Single-center study Prospective | The study aimed to determine the association between MRI features of CD activity against a histopathologic reference. Different MR features were correlated with inflammation was found in both studies including wall thickness, T2 hypersignal and layered enhancement of gadolinium. Wall thickness was not correlated with the degree of fibrosis. |
| Zappa et al. (2011) | MRE       | Single-center study Retrospective | The study aimed to evaluate the value of MRI findings in CD in correlation with pathological scores of inflammation and fibrosis. Different MR features were correlated with inflammation including wall thickness, T2 hypersignal and layered enhancement of gadolinium. Layered enhancement was not associated with the degree of fibrosis. Both inflammation and fibrosis scores were positively correlated (r = 0.63, P=0.0001). |
| Wilkens et al. (2018) | MRE       | Single-center study Prospective | Authors investigated the perfusion by MRE as objective marker to distinguishing between the inflammation and fibrosis. Wall thickness correlated with the degree of inflammation. No significant correlation between the severity of inflammation or fibrosis on histopathology, and mural enhancement (r = -0.13, P=0.54 for inflammation and r = 0.41, P=0.05 for fibrosis). |
| Tielbeek et al. (2014) | MRE with DWI and perfusion analysis of contrast injection | Single-center study Retrospective | Different advanced MR techniques were applied before surgical resection, including diffusion-weighted image, perfusion analysis including time-intensity curves, and morphological assessment as well. Mural thickness, maximum intravenous contrast enhancement and the slope of increase after its injection correlated significantly with histological inflammation (r = 0.63, 0.41, 0.53, respectively; P<0.05). The quantification of diffusion-weighted imaging by mean of ADC correlated significantly with fibrosis (all P<0.05). |
| Rimola et al. (2015) | MRE using DCE (gain of enhancement between early and late phases) | Single-center study Retrospective | Different imaging acquisitions were obtained after gadolinium injection. The hypothesis of this study was that dense fibrotic typically show a slow enhancement of gadolinium contrast over time. Using percentage of enhancement gain between early and late phases after gadolinium injection, MRI is able to discriminate between mild–moderate and severe fibrosis deposition with a sensitivity of 0.94 and a specificity of 0.89. T2 hypersignal (edema) has a high and significant predictive value for detecting severe inflammation. |
| Li et al. (2018) | MRE using MTR, ADC and gain of enhancement | Single-center study Prospective Repeated measurements | MT sequence attempts to identify tissue with water linked to macromolecules (such as collagen). The study compared MT with diffusion and the gain of gadolinium enhancement. Differentiating moderately to severely fibrotic bowel walls from those non-to-mildly fibrotic with an AUC of 0.919 (P=0.000) for MT measurements; AUC of 0.747 (P=0.001) for ADC; and AUC of 0.592 (P=0.209) for the percentage of enhancement gain. |
| Wagner et al. (2018) | MRE using DWI | Single-center study Retrospective | Authors hypothesized that muscular hypertrophy is an additional lesion that reduces bowel lumen in strictures and should be differentiated from fibrosis. Analyzing the bowel wall thickness on T2W (> 7.4 mm) had a sensitivity of 61% and a specificity of 89% to differentiate fibrosis from muscular hypertrophy. |

| Ultrasound | | | |
| Dillman et al. (2014) | USE (SWE) | Single-center study Prospective | The study aimed to determine if US elastography could discriminate low- from high-grade fibrosis in the bowel. The authors used 2 different methods of SWE. Significant correlation between shear wave speed and bowel fibrosis (P=0.01). USE using 2 different methods of USE it is possible to differentiate low– from high–fibrosis score bowel segments with AUC of 0.77–0.91. No significant differences in USE in mean shear wave speed between high- and low-inflammation score bowel segments. |

(Continued to the next page)
transfer (Fig. 1) or shear wave and strain-wave ultrasound elastography (Fig. 2) promise to improve the quantification of bowel wall fibrosis. Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of novel imaging modalities that had been investigated to detect and quantify fibrosis in CD, whereas Table 2 provides the evidence obtained in studies testing the techniques against histopathology.

To date, all the evidence comes from single-center studies with relatively small samples. Before these noninvasive quantitative imaging biomarkers can be widely implemented, further multicenter large-scale studies are needed to establish cutoff values, test their reproducibility, and determine their degree of interobserver agreement.

### CONCLUSION

Differentiation between fibrotic and inflammatory strictures remains a crucial challenge in the management of CD. There is currently no standardized approach to determine whether intestinal strictures are predominantly due to fibrosis or inflammation. The frequent superimposition between inflammatory and the fibrotic component in stenotic segments hampers its proper characterization. Traditional cross-sectional imaging modalities were unable to detect fibrosis, but recent studies using elastography and advanced MRI techniques such as diffusion-weighted imaging and magnetization transfer sequences have reported interesting findings that promise to improve the detection and grading of fibrosis in CD. Before these techniques can be fully incorporated into routine practice, however, further studies are necessary to establish cutoff values, test their reproducibility, and determine the interobserver agreement.
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