Higher Atmospheric CO₂ Levels Favor C₃ Plants Over C₄ Plants in Utilizing Ammonium as a Nitrogen Source
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Photosynthesis of wheat and maize declined when grown with NH₄⁺ as a nitrogen (N) source at ambient CO₂ concentration compared to those grown with a mixture of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺, or NO₃⁻ as the sole N source. Interestingly, these N nutritional physiological responses changed when the atmospheric CO₂ concentration increases. We studied the photosynthetic responses of wheat and maize growing with various N forms at three levels of growth CO₂ levels. Hydroponic experiments were carried out using a C₃ plant (wheat, Triticum aestivum L. cv. Chuanmai 58) and a C₄ plant (maize, Zea mays L. cv. Zhongdan 808) given three types of N nutrition: sole NO₃⁻ (NN), sole NH₄⁺ (AN) and a mixture of both NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ (Mix-N). The test plants were grown using custom-built chambers where a continuous and desired atmospheric CO₂ (C₄) concentration could be maintained: 280 µmol mol⁻¹ (representing the pre-Industrial Revolution CO₂ concentration of the 18th century), 400 µmol mol⁻¹ (present-level) and 550 µmol mol⁻¹ (representing the anticipated futuristic concentration in 2050). Under AN, the decrease in net photosynthetic rate (Pₙ) was attributed to a reduction in the maximum RuBP-regeneration rate, which then caused reductions in the maximum Rubisco-carboxylation rates for both species. Decreases in electron transport rate, reduction of electron flux to the photosynthetic carbon [Je(PCR)] and electron flux for photorespiratory carbon oxidation [Je(PCO)] were also observed under AN for both species. However, the intercellular (Cᵢ) and chloroplast (Cᵥ) CO₂ concentration increased with increasing atmospheric CO₂ in C₃ wheat but not in C₄ maize, leading to a higher Je(PCR)/Je(PCO) ratio. Interestingly, the reduction of Pₙ under AN was relieved in wheat through higher CO₂ levels, but that was not the case in maize. In conclusion, elevating atmospheric CO₂ concentration increased Cᵢ and Cᵥ in wheat, but not in maize, with enhanced electron fluxes towards photosynthesis, rather than photorespiration, thereby relieving the inhibition of photosynthesis under AN. Our results contributed to a better understanding of NH₄⁺ involvement in N nutrition of crops growing under different levels of CO₂.
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INTRODUCTION

The application of chemical nitrogen (N) fertilizers has greatly increased global crop yields and decreased world hunger over the past five decades (Gong et al., 2011). However, only 30–40 % of applied N is utilized by crops; most is lost in numerous ways, including run-off, leaching, denitrification and volatilization, which together lead to a range of environmental problems (Richter and Roelcke, 2000; Xing and Zhu, 2000). Thus, increasing plant nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) is crucial for the development of sustainable agriculture. Unlike nitrate (NO$_3^-$), ammonium (NH$_4^+$) can be assimilated by plants without further chemical reduction (Mehrer and Mohr, 1989; Onoda et al., 2004). NH$_4^+$ can be provided by both manure and urea fertilizers (Xu et al., 2012; Coskun et al., 2017). A promising future strategy for improving agronomic NUE is the application of stabilized-NH$_4^+$-based fertilizers together with other active compounds, such as nitrification inhibitors, which can inhibit the nitrification of NH$_4^+$, thereby maintaining a high soil N content in the form of NH$_4^+$ over extended periods (IPCC, 2007; Ariz et al., 2011). Some crops, including wheat and maize, are able to grow well when provided with a mixture of NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ (Mix-N), or NO$_3^-$ as the sole N source (NN) (Miller and Cramer, 2005). Under certain environmental conditions, NH$_4^+$ may reduce growth by decreasing photosynthesis, thereby lowering crop productivity (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002). Since urea and NH$_4^+$-based N fertilizers are used commonly to support the growth of cereals, vegetables and fruits, a better understanding of the toxic effects of NH$_4^+$ in plant nutrition should facilitate better crop productivity (Miller and Cramer, 2005; Fernández-Crespo et al., 2012).

Photosynthesis is a synergistic process that involves electron harvesting, transport, and utilization (Kühlbrandt et al., 1994). Photosynthetic electron transport typically involves two reaction centers: photosystems I and II (PSI and PSII, respectively). The D1 protein of PSII is sensitive to NH$_4^+$, and a loss of PSII function occurs when NH$_4^+$-based fertilizers are applied in excessive quantities (Drath et al., 2008). When this occurs, impairment of the photosynthetic electron transport chain will lead to a decrease in photochemical efficiency ($\Phi_{PSII}$) and the electron transport rate ($J_t$), in turn leading to a deficiency in NADPH and ATP for CO$_2$ assimilation (Wang et al., 2019). The atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration ($C_a$) has increased from 280 $\mu$mol mol$^{-1}$ in pre-industrial times to 400 $\mu$mol mol$^{-1}$ at present, and is expected to reach 550 $\mu$mol mol$^{-1}$ by the 2050 s (IPCC, 2013). The elevation of CO$_2$ concentration at the sites of Rubisco carboxylation alters plant photosynthetic sensitivity, potentially modulating sensitivity to a diversity of N sources. Due to the importance of food production security and crops in the global carbon cycle, an improved understanding of $C_a$ changes on the N nutrition of C$_3$ and C$_4$ plants will become more and more crucial (Lloyd and Farquhar, 1996; Ghannoum et al., 2000). In general, plants with the C$_4$ photosynthetic pathway have anatomical and biochemical traits that increase CO$_2$ levels around the carboxylating Rubisco enzyme (Hatch and Slack, 1966). Bloom et al. (2014) and Dier et al. (2018) showed that NO$_3^-$ assimilation is inhibited by elevated CO$_2$ concentrations in field-grown C$_3$ wheat plants. We postulated that an elevated $C_a$ increases photosynthesis to produce more carbon skeletons, which in turn would increase NH$_4^+$ assimilation, thereby ameliorating the possible physiological stress of having excess free NH$_4^+$ in C$_3$ plants. The detailed comparative physiological responses of C$_3$ and C$_4$ plants to NH$_4^+$ fertilization under elevated $C_a$ are still not fully understood (Bloom et al., 2002, 2010; Cousins and Bloom, 2003; Bloom, 2015).

A wide variety of equipment, including open-top chambers (OTC), controlled-environment (CE) systems, and free-air CO$_2$ enrichment (FACE) systems, has been used to study the effects of elevated $C_a$. In the OTC system, plants are held in a chamber with an open top that facilitates gas exchange with the atmosphere (Owensby et al., 1999). However, the temperature is generally higher inside the chamber than outside, inevitably increasing plant transpiration, which influences plant growth rates. The FACE system minimally perturbs the plant growth environment and is suitable for long-term experiments under elevated $C_a$. However, the FACE system could not be used to study the effects of sub-ambient CO$_2$ concentrations. Controlled environment experiments can also be performed in greenhouses and artificial growth chambers (Yong et al., 2000; Aranjuelo et al., 2009; Kanemoto et al., 2009; Robredo et al., 2011). To design a system having minimal impact on natural temperature, light and seasonality, while also having the capacity to provide either sub-ambient or elevated levels of CO$_2$, we custom-fabricated experimental chambers in which the inside temperature and humidity were kept similar to outside open field conditions while regulating the $C_a$.

Our primary objective was to improve understanding of (i) the physiological mechanisms underlying the photosynthetic inhibition caused by NH$_4^+$ nutrition and (ii) the mechanism by which $C_a$ affects the NH$_4^+$ tolerance of C$_3$ and C$_4$ plants. We therefore studied the photosynthetic responses of a C$_3$ plant (wheat, *Triticum aestivum* L.) and a C$_4$ plant (maize, *Zea mays* L.). Both these species prefer NO$_3^-$ as the N nutrient source and we grew them under three $C_a$ concentrations (280, 400, or 550 $\mu$mol mol$^{-1}$) combined with three forms of N.
nutrition: Mix-N, NN and sole NH$_4^+$ nitrogen (AN). Our other objective was to provide new knowledge facilitating (i) directed breeding programs aiming to produce N-efficient cultivars, and (ii) the development of sustainable crop N management strategy to adapt to a future with elevated C$_n$, as predicted by current models of global climate change.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Experimental Design**

Wheat (*T. aestivum* cv. Chuanmai 58) and maize (*Z. mays* cv. Zhongdan 808), two common crop species in Chongqing, China, were grown under hydroponic experimental conditions. Seeds of both species, of uniform size, were sterilized in 20% (v/v) H$_2$O$_2$ for 10 min, rinsed with distilled water, and germinated in darkness in culture dishes covered with wet sterile gauze. When the cotyledons were 1.0 cm long, the seedlings were transferred to silica sand (previously soaked in 1% HCl for 2 days, followed by flushing with copious amounts of water to remove all traces of HCl) and watered twice daily with distilled water. Uniform 14-day-old (two-leaf stage) seedlings were transplanted into opaque plastic growth containers containing a modified Hoagland’s solution (Wang et al., 2016a; Gao et al., 2018), with three N sources: Mix-N, NN or AN. The composition of the Mix-N solution was as follows: macronutrients were provided as 5.0 mM N in the form of Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$, KNO$_3$ and (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ (the ratio of NO$_3^-$ to NH$_4^+$ in the Mix-N was 3 : 2), 3.0 mM K in the form of KH$_2$PO$_4$ and KNO$_3$, 1.5 mM Ca as Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ and CaCl$_2$, 1.0 mM Mg as MgSO$_4$, 1.0 mM P as KH$_2$PO$_4$, and 0.6 mM Na as NaCl. Micronutrients were provided as 0.1 mM Fe as Fe-EDTA, 455 × 10$^{-3}$ mM Mn as MnSO$_4$, 38.1 × 10$^{-6}$ mM Zn as ZnSO$_4$, 15.6 × 10$^{-6}$ mM Cu as CuSO$_4$, 2.31 × 10$^{-3}$ mM B as H$_3$BO$_3$, and 6.2 × 10$^{-6}$ mM Mo as MoO$_3$. Micronutrients were provided in the NO$_3^-$-source solution as 5.0 mM N in the form of Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ and KNO$_3$, 3.0 mM K in the form of KH$_2$PO$_4$ and KNO$_3$, 1.5 mM Ca as Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$, 1.0 mM Mg as MgSO$_4$, 1.0 mM P as KH$_2$PO$_4$, and 0.5 mM Na as NaCl. Micronutrients were provided in the NH$_4^+$-source solution as 5.0 mM N in the form of (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, 3.0 mM K as KH$_2$PO$_4$ and K$_2$SO$_4$, 1.5 mM Ca as CaCl$_2$ and CaSO$_4$, 1.0 mM Mg as MgSO$_4$, 1.0 mM P as KH$_2$PO$_4$, and 0.5 mM Na as NaCl. Micronutrients in NN or AN source solution were identical to those in the Mix-N source solution; and then kept in chambers (**Figure 1A**) with the following CO$_2$ levels: 280 µmol mol$^{-1}$ [pre-industrial revolution (i.e., 1840) concentration], 400 µmol mol$^{-1}$ (current level), and 550 µmol mol$^{-1}$ (projected concentration by the 2050 s) (IPCC, 2013). The temperature and humidity inside the chambers were automatically maintained to match those of the atmosphere outside (**Figure 1B**). During the night, the CO$_2$ gradients were held at concentrations 150 ± 1 µmol mol$^{-1}$ above daytime levels (Anderson et al., 2001).

A nitrification inhibitor (dicyandiamide, 1 mM) was added to the nutrition solutions to prevent microbial oxidation of NH$_4^+$. The pH of the Hoagland’s solution was adjusted daily to 5.5 using 0.1 mM NaOH for the plants treated with NH$_4^+$, or with 0.1 mM H$_2$SO$_4$ for those treated with NO$_3^-$.

The N concentrations were kept constant by replacing the media at 3-day intervals; aeration was provided continuously. We used three CO$_2$ treatments, with three replicates each in separate chambers (9 chambers in total), and deployed three N treatments for each species in each chamber. Ideally, each experiment should be repeated at least once in every chamber, for each of the planned treatments, to eliminate any intrinsic technical effect of each chamber on plant growth; this represented a potential small shortcoming of the current study in our facility.

**Experimental Field Chambers**

The automatically CE facility consisted of a CO$_2$ control system (2543CN; Shengsen Corp., Qingdao, China; **Supplementary Figures S1-1**) and a CO$_2$ generator (12864; Shengsen Corp.; **Supplementary Figure S1-2**). The CO$_2$ generator comprised of several components, i.e., an electric connection point pressure meter (STC90C516RD; Shengsen Corp.), pressure sensors (13864; Shengsen Corp.), and Na$_2$CO$_3$ and H$_2$SO$_4$ feeding inlets (**Supplementary Figures S1-5, 6**), where the CO$_2$ was generated according to the following equation: Na$_2$CO$_3$+H$_2$SO$_4$= Na$_2$SO$_4$+H$_2$O + CO$_2$. The CO$_2$ generator was connected to the CO$_2$ control system to maintain the CO$_2$ concentration in the chambers within a desired set range; CO$_2$ was delivered through pipes into each chamber.

The chambers were built using toughened glass (10 mm thick, 99% transmittance) walls and roofs (**Supplementary Figure S1-13**). The floors were covered using a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) material (**Supplementary Figure S1-7**). Each chamber measured 1,500 × 1,000 × 2,000 mm (length × width × height). CO$_2$, temperature and humidity sensors were mounted on both the outer and inner surfaces of the walls (**Supplementary Figures S1-8–10**). The environmental control mechanism in this system operated automatically and regulated the internal CO$_2$ concentration (±2.5 µmol mol$^{-1}$), air temperature (±0.5°C) and humidity (±5%) (**Figure 1B**). The CO$_2$ control system sensed and assessed the chamber environmental data; these data were later used to regulate the CO$_2$ injection process and attaining the desired chamber CO$_2$ levels. When the CO$_2$ concentration in a chamber exceeded the set concentration, the air was filtered through 1.0 mol mol$^{-1}$ NaOH solution using a pump controlled by a mini-computer. When the humidity of a chamber exceeded the set concentration, the air was filtered through dry calcium carbonate using a separate pump controlled by the mini-computer.

**Plant Sampling**

The seedlings were sampled between 10:00 and 11:00 at the 21st day of the experiment. Plant organs were separated into two portions: the first was immersed in liquid-N and then stored at −80°C for later chemical analyses, and the second portion was oven-dried at 105°C for 20 min, and then at 75°C for at least 48 hours. The dried material was used later for different chemical analyses. Fresh leaf area was measured using a leaf area scanning device (Li-3000; Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NE, United States).
Gas-exchange Measurements

After 21 days of growth under different N source and CO$_2$ level conditions, we measured gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence simultaneously on the first fully developed leaves during the morning (09:00–11:00) using a Li-Cor 6400 infrared gas analyzer (Li-Cor 6400; Li-Cor Inc.). The leaf temperature during measurements was maintained at 25.0 ± 0.5°C. Leaves were illuminated with a steady red and blue light source at a gas analyzer (Li-Cor 6400; Li-Cor Inc.). The leaf temperature during the morning (09:00–11:00) using a Li-Cor 6400 infrared fluorescence simultaneously on the first fully developed leaves were calculated using the equations of Farquhar et al. (1980); Long and Bernacchi (2003), Gao et al. (2018), and Wang et al. (2019).

Method for Determining Photosynthetic Parameters of C$_3$ and C$_4$ Plants

The parameters for the C$_3$ wheat plant photosynthesis model were calculated using the equations of Farquhar et al. (1980); Long and Bernacchi (2003), Gao et al. (2018), and Wang et al. (2019).

According to the photosynthesis model that we used for C$_4$ maize plants (von Caemmerer and Farquhar, 1999), the rates of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) and Rubisco carboxylation ($V_p$ and $V_c$, respectively) are the major determinants of the net CO$_2$ assimilation rate. The Rubisco carboxylation rate ($V_{cmax}$), the maximal rate of PEP carboxylation ($V_{pmax}$), maximum RuBP-regeneration rate ($J_{max}$) and CO$_2$ concentration in the bundle sheath ($C_i$) for maize were calculated using the following equations. The photosynthetic rate was expressed mathematically as:

$$A = V_p - R_m - L$$

(1)

and

$$A = V_c - 0.5V_R - R_d$$

(2)

where $R_m$ is the mitochondrial respiration of the mesophyll cells, $L$ is the rate of CO$_2$ leakage from the bundle sheath into the mesophyll, and $R_d$ is the mitochondrial respiration rate in the light.

$$L = g_{bs} \times (C_i - C_m)$$

(3)

$$C_m = C_i - \frac{A}{g_i}$$

(4)

where $g_{bs}$ is the bundle sheath conductance for CO$_2$, $g_i$ is the mesophyll conductance for CO$_2$, $C_i$ is the CO$_2$ concentration in the bundle sheath, and $C_m$ is the CO$_2$ concentration in the mesophyll cells. $V_o$ is the rate of Rubisco oxygenation:

$$V_0 = \frac{2\gamma O}{C_s} \times V_c$$

(5)

where $\gamma$ is one half of the reciprocal of Rubisco specificity ($S_{1/O}$), and $O$ is the oxygen concentration in the bundle sheath cells, which matches the oxygen concentration in the mesophyll cells. By fitting equation (3) to equation (1), and equation (5) to equation (2), we obtained the following expressions:

$$A = V_p - R_m - g_{bs} \times (C_i - C_m)$$

(6)
and

\[ A = V_e \times \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma \ast O}{C_s} \right) - R_d \]  

(7)

\( V_p \) and \( V_e \) depend on \( V_{c,\text{max}}, V_{p,\text{max}}, J_{\text{max}} \), the Michaelis constants for \( \text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) \( (K_o, K_c, K_p) \), and the relative specificity of Rubisco \( (S_c/o) \).

To calculate \( V_{\text{c, max}} \) and \( V_{p,\text{max}} \), we used the enzyme-limited expressions of \( V_p \) and \( V_e \):

\[ V_p = \frac{C_m V_{p,\text{max}}}{C_m + K_p} \]  

(8)

\[ V_e = \frac{C_s V_{c,\text{max}}}{C_s + K_p \left( 1 + \frac{O}{K_o} \right)} \]  

(9)

By fitting equation (8) to equation (6), and equation (9) to equation (7), we obtained the following expressions:

\[ A = \frac{C_m V_{p,\text{max}}}{C_m + K_p} - R_m - g_{bs} \times (C_s - C_m) \]  

(10)

and

\[ A = \frac{C_s V_{c,\text{max}}}{C_s + K_p \left( 1 + \frac{O}{K_o} \right)} \times \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma \ast O}{C_s} \right) - R_d \]  

(11)

In equations (4), (10) and (11), \( g_i, g_{bs}, R_m, K_p, K_c, K_o, O, R_d \) and \( \gamma^* \) were constant parameters at a given temperature [as described by von Caemmerer and Farquhar (1999)], \( A \) and \( C_i \) were measured values, and \( C_m, C_s, V_{c,\text{max}} \) and \( V_{p,\text{max}} \) were unknowns. Two pairs of \( (A, C_i) \) (with \( C_i \) limited to 40–80 \( \mu \text{mol mol}^{-1} \)) were then inserted into two sets of equations (5), (8) and (9), following which we obtained six equations and six unknowns \( (V_{c,\text{max}}, V_{p,\text{max}}, C_i, C_s, C_m, I_{\text{max}}) \) using the Matlab software (MathWorks, Natick, MA, United States).

To calculate \( I_{\text{max}} \), we used the electron transport limited expressions of \( V_p \) and \( V_e \):

\[ V_p = \frac{x I_t}{2} \]  

(12)

\[ V_e = \frac{(1 - x) I_t}{3 \left( 1 + \frac{7 \gamma^* O}{3 C_s} \right)} \]  

(13)

where \( x \) is a partitioning factor of electron transport, and \( I_t \) is the electron transport rate, given by:

\[ I_t = \frac{I_2 + I_{\text{max}} - \sqrt{(I_2 + I_{\text{max}})^2 - 4 I_2 I_{\text{max}}}}{2} \]  

(14)

where \( I_2 \) is the total absorbed irradiance, which is a function of the incident irradiance \( I \), and \( \phi \) is an empirical curvature factor.

By fitting equation (11) to equation (6), and equation (12) to equation (7), we obtained:

\[ A = \frac{x I_t}{2} - R_m - g_{bs} \times (C_s - C_m) \]  

(15)

and

\[ A = \frac{(1 - x) I_t}{3 \left( 1 + \frac{7 \gamma^* O}{3 C_s} \right)} - R_d \]  

(16)

\( C_m \) was obtained from equation (4). In equations (15) and (16), \( x \) and \( \gamma^* \) are constants at a given temperature, \( A, C_m \) and \( I \) (PPFD) are known values, and \( I_{\text{max}} \) and \( C_i \) are unknown entities. With two equations and two unknowns, and incorporating the single values of \( A \) and \( I \) (PPFD), we obtained the values for \( I_{\text{max}} \) and \( C_i \).

**Chlorophyll Fluorescence Measurements**

Light adapted chlorophyll fluorescence was measured with a Li-Cor 6400 infrared gas analyzer while simultaneously measuring gas exchange, as described above. Steady-state fluorescence \( (F_i) \) was measured under actinic light. A saturating light pulse (~8,000 \( \mu \text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) was applied for 0.7 s to obtain the maximum fluorescence \( (F_{\text{m}}) \). After removing the actinic light and applying 3 s of far-red light, the minimal fluorescence of the light-adapted state \( (F_o) \) was obtained. The quantum efficiency of PSII \( (\Phi_{\text{PSII}}) \) and \( J_t \) were calculated using equations (17) and (18), respectively, following Genty et al. (1989) and Li et al. (2009):

\[ \Phi_{\text{PSII}} = \frac{F_m - F_o}{F_m} \]  

(17)

\[ J_t = \frac{F_m - F_o}{F_m} \times \text{PPFD} \times 0.85 \times 0.5 \]  

(18)

The central portion of the same leaf (~70% leaf area) was chosen for measurement of dark-adapted and light-adapted chlorophyll fluorescence parameters using a Fluor imager (CF Imager; Technologia Ltd., Colchester, United Kingdom). The minimum and maximum chlorophyll fluorescence \( (F_o \text{ and } F_m, \text{ respectively}) \) values were determined after full dark adaptation for at least 30 min. \( F_o \text{, } F_m \text{'}, \text{ and } F_o \text{' were obtained as described above. The maximum quantum efficiency of PSII } (F_o/F_m) \text{ was calculated using equation (32) of Genty et al. (1989):} \)

\[ F_v/F_m = \frac{F_m - F_o}{F_m} \]  

(19)

Photochemical quenching \( (qL) \) was calculated using equation (20) and non-photochemical quenching \( (NPQ) \) was calculated using equation (21), following Kramer et al. (2004).

\[ qL = \frac{F_o'}{F_s} \times \frac{F_m - F_s}{F_m - F_o'} \]  

(20)

\[ NPQ = \frac{F_m - F_o'}{F_m} \]  

(21)

**Calculating Electron Flux to the Photosynthetic Carbon Reduction Cycle \( [\text{Je(PCR)}] \), and Electron Flux to the Photorespiratory Carbon Oxidation Cycle \( [\text{Je(PCO)}] \)**

The \( I_t \) in the photosynthetic carbon reduction and photorespiratory carbon oxidation cycles were expressed as
follows (Zhou et al., 2004):

\[ J_e (PCR) = 4 \times v_c = 4 \times \frac{A + R_d}{1 - \frac{L^o}{L_d}} \]  

\[ J_e (PCO) = 4 \times v_o \]  

\( (22) \)  

\( (23) \)

**Determination of Free NH\(_4^+\) and Soluble Sugar Concentrations**

The free NH\(_4^+\) in plant tissues was determined according to Balkos et al. (2010) with some modifications. Briefly, plant tissues were desorbed in 10 mM CaSO\(_4\) for 5 min, and then rinsed with deionized water to remove any extracellular NH\(_4^+\). Approximately 0.5 g of fresh material was homogenized with liquid nitrogen; NH\(_4^+\) was then extracted in 5 ml of 10 mM formic acid. Supernatants were collected after centrifugation at 10,000 g (4°C) for 15 min, transferred to 5-ml polypropylene tubes after filtration through 0.45-\(\mu\)m organic ultrafiltration membranes, and re-centrifuged at 50,000 g (4°C) for 10 min. An O-phthalaldehyde (OPA) reagent was prepared by combining 200 mM potassium phosphate buffer (equimolar amounts of potassium dihydrogen phosphate and potassium monohydrogen phosphate), 3.75 mM OPA, and 2 mM 2-mercaptoethanol (v/v/v = 1:1:1). Prior to adding 2-mercaptoethanol, the pH was adjusted to 7.0 using 1 M NaOH, and the solution was then filtered through two layers of filter paper. A 10-\(\mu\)l aliquot of tissue extract was mixed with 3 ml of OPA reagent. The color was developed in darkness at 25°C for 30 min before carrying out absorbance measurements at 410 nm using a spectrophotometer (model UV-2401, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan).

Soluble sugar concentrations were measured following the method of Wang et al. (2016a). Dry powdered shoot and root samples (0.5 g) were extracted in 80% (v/v) ethanol at 80°C for 30 min. The extracts were later centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min and the supernatants were collected. This extraction procedure was repeated three times to ensure all soluble sugars were extracted. The supernatants were evaporated on china dishes in a hot water bath. Residues were then re-dissolved in 1-3 ml of distilled water and filtered through 0.4-\(\mu\)m film to assay soluble sugars. Concentrations of soluble sugar were measured using the anthrone method. Anthrone sulfuric acid (5 ml) solution (75% v/v) was added to 0.1 ml of supernatant and heated to 90°C for 15 min. Absorbance at 620 nm was measured using a spectrophotometer (model UV-2401, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan).

**Statistical Analysis**

We found significant effects of N forms (Mix-N, NN, AN) and CO\(_2\) levels on the measured parameters in wheat and maize using the two-way ANOVA (\(P < 0.05, n = 3\)). Significant pairwise differences between means were identified with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test (\(P < 0.05\)). The proportion of variation (%) explainable by each factor was estimated as the total sums of squares. Calculations were performed with SPSS software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, United States). Graphs were plotted using SigmaPlot 10.0 software (Systat Software, Inc., Chicago, IL, United States).

**RESULTS**

**Dry Biomass, Leaf Area and Free NH\(_4^+\)**

Compared with the Mix-N treatment, AN significantly reduced the shoot and root biomass of both wheat and maize plants (Figure 2). However, with increasing CO\(_2\) concentration, wheat shoot and root biomass increased significantly, although these biomass parameters did not differ significantly according to CO\(_2\) levels in maize. Shoot biomass in wheat under AN was reduced by 38%, 27%, and 14% at CO\(_2\) concentrations of 280, 400 and 550 \(\mu\)mol mol\(^{-1}\), respectively (in comparison with the Mix-N treatment). The decreases were larger in maize (46%, 44% and 44% at CO\(_2\) concentrations of 280, 400, or 550 \(\mu\)mol mol\(^{-1}\), respectively) (Figure 2). The AN treatment reduced the total leaf area, where the reduction was again greater in maize than in wheat. With increasing CO\(_2\) concentrations, the total foliage area of wheat increased significantly, but this was not the case for maize. Free NH\(_4^+\) concentrations did not differ significantly in either species between the Mix-N and NN treatments with increasing CO\(_2\) concentration (Figure 2) whereas, in comparison with the other two treatments, the AN increased free NH\(_4^+\) in shoots and roots. The concentration of free NH\(_4^+\) decreased significantly with increasing CO\(_2\) concentration in wheat, but not in maize.

Only the N form had significant effects on maize shoot dry biomass; in wheat, the N form, CO\(_2\) level, and their interaction significantly affected shoot biomass (Table 1). Changes in CO\(_2\) levels caused a higher proportion of the variance in wheat shoot dry biomass than did changes in N form. Total leaf area in the two species was significantly affected by both N form and CO\(_2\) level. N form explained a larger proportion of the variance in total leaf area in both species. Alterations in CO\(_2\) levels explained a much greater proportion of the variance in total leaf area variation in wheat (38%) than in maize (0.5%). In both species, the quantity of free NH\(_4^+\) in shoots and roots differed significantly according to the form of N supplied. Interestingly, the CO\(_2\) level had significant effects on free NH\(_4^+\) in tissues of wheat, but not in maize.

**Photosynthesis and Its Related Parameters**

Compared with Mix-N and NN, AN treatment reduced the net photosynthetic rate (\(P_n\)) of both maize and wheat, although the effect was greater in the former species (Figure 3). The \(P_n\) of wheat plants growing under 550 \(\mu\)mol CO\(_2\) mol\(^{-1}\) was significantly higher than that of plants grown under 280 and 400 \(\mu\)mol CO\(_2\) mol\(^{-1}\). Conversely, the \(P_n\) of maize plants under AN did not differ significantly according to the CO\(_2\) level. On day 21 of the experiment, the \(P_n\) of maize under AN was reduced in comparison with those under the Mix-N treatment, by 34%, 32% and 32% at CO\(_2\) concentrations of 280, 400, and 550 \(\mu\)mol mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. The respective reductions in wheat were 30%, 27% and 19%.
**FIGURE 2** | Effects of CO$_2$ levels on shoot and root biomass (A,B, mg DW plant$^{-1}$), total foliage area (C, cm$^2$ plant$^{-1}$) and free NH$_4^+$ (D, µg g$^{-1}$ FW) of C$_3$ wheat and C$_4$ maize seedlings after 21 days of treatment with different N-sources. Data are means ± SE (n = 3); Lower-case letters indicate a significant difference at $p < 0.05$.

**TABLE 1** | $F$-values in two-way ANOVA analysis of biomass, total foliage area and free NH$_4^+$ in newly expanded leaves of C$_3$ wheat and C$_4$ maize seedlings after 21 days of treatment with different N-sources.

| Species | Source | df | Shoot dry weight | Root dry weight | Total foliage area | Free NH$_4^+$ in shoot | Free NH$_4^+$ in root |
|---------|--------|----|------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Wheat   | N      | 2  | 38.8(38.1)       | 27.9(76.5)     | 1822**           | 23.09(91.0)          | 115.0**               |
|         | CO$_2$ | 2  | 60.5(99.4)       | 43.0(22.6)     | 599**            | 3.5**                 | 2.9**                 |
|         | N × CO$_2$ | 4 | 2.3(2.3)        | 0.3(0.1)       | 1 ns             | 0.40(1.9)            | 4.1**                 |
|         | Error  | 40 | 0.3(0.3)         | 3.1(0.8)       | 1(0.7)           | 0.01(0.1)            | 0.1(0.4)              |
| Maize   | N      | 2  | 321.2(99.3)      | 344.5(78.5)    | 1279**           | 22.50(99.3)          | 71.9**                |
|         | CO$_2$ | 2  | 0.3(0.8)         | 1.6(0.4)       | 5**              | 197**                | 0.0                   |
|         | N × CO$_2$ | 4 | 0.1(0.03)       | 0.6(0.1)       | 1 ns             | 0.04(0.2)            | 0.0                   |
|         | Error  | 40 | 1.9(0.58)        | 5.8(1.5)       | 1(0.0)           | 0.09(0.4)            | 0.1(0.5)              |

$N$ refers to the three nitrogen-forms effect; CO$_2$ refers to the three CO$_2$ levels effect; $N × CO_2$ refers to nitrogen-form × CO$_2$ levels interaction effects. Values in brackets following the sum of squares for variables indicate the percentage (%) of given variation to total variation. ** indicate significant at 0.01 level, respectively. df refers to the degree of freedom. ns means no significant difference.

The $g_s$ values did not differ significantly by CO$_2$ concentration, but were significantly reduced by AN treatment compared with the other two treatments, in both species (Figure 3). $C_i$ increased significantly with increasing CO$_2$ concentration in wheat, but not in maize. The AN treatment significantly increased $C_i$ in both species in comparison with the other two treatments. On day 21 of the experiment, we found no significant differences in $C_i$ according to either the treatment or CO$_2$ concentration. $V_{pm}$ did not vary significantly by CO$_2$ concentration, but was significantly reduced under AN in comparison with the other two treatments.

The $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$ of wheat increased significantly with increasing CO$_2$ concentration within the same level of the N form factor, but this was not the case for maize (Figure 3).
In comparison with the other two treatments, AN reduced $V_{\text{cmax}}$ and $I_{\text{max}}$ in both species. The reduction in $V_{\text{cmax}}$ associated with AN was larger in maize than in wheat. On day 21 of the experiment, AN reduced $V_{\text{cmax}}$ in maize in comparison with Mix-N, by 32%, 33% and 32% at CO$_2$ concentrations of 280, 400, and 550 µmol mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The respective reductions in wheat were 19%, 17%, and 18%. Moreover, AN reduced the $I_{\text{max}}$ of maize in comparison with Mix-N, by 25%, 25%, and 24% at CO$_2$ concentrations of 280, 400, and 550 µmol mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The respective reductions in wheat were 36%, 36%, and 32%.

The $F_v$/$F_m$, $g_s$, $V_{\text{cmax}}$ and $I_{\text{max}}$ varied significantly according to both the N treatment type and the CO$_2$ level. The N form accounted for a larger proportion of the variance in these parameters in both species (Table 2). The effect of CO$_2$ level was much greater in wheat than in maize. The $V_{\text{cmax}}$ of maize was significantly affected only by the N form. The $C_i$ in maize was not affected by the N form, CO$_2$ level, or their interaction, nor by the interaction between pH and the N form.

**Electron Transport Parameters**

Under Mix-N and NN, the $F_v$/$F_m$, $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ and qL values of the two species did not vary significantly across different CO$_2$ concentration (Figures 4A–C). The values of these parameters decreased with increasing CO$_2$ concentration in maize plants under AN, but increased in wheat plants as CO$_2$ concentrations rose. NPQ increased significantly under AN in comparison with the other treatments, but did not differ significantly by CO$_2$ level (Figure 4D). The $F_v$/$F_m$, $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ and qL values of wheat varied significantly by both N form and CO$_2$ concentration, as observed for $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ and qL in maize (but not for $F_v$/$F_m$). N form explained a larger proportion of the variance in these parameters in both species than CO$_2$ concentration (Table 3). CO$_2$ level accounted for a larger proportion of the variance in electron transport in wheat (8.9% for $F_v$/$F_m$, 5.1% for $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ and 5.5% for qL) than in maize (0.0% for $F_v$/$F_m$, 0.5% for $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ and 0.4% for qL).

Under Mix-N and NN, the values of $I_t$ for both species did not differ significantly across different CO$_2$ concentration (Figure 5A). However, while the values of $I_t$ for wheat plants under AN increased significantly with increasing CO$_2$ concentration, this was not the case for maize. On day 21 of the experiment, AN reduced the $I_t$ values to below those of plants under Mix-N, by 31%, 32% and 32% in maize at CO$_2$ concentrations of 280, 400, or 550 µmol mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The respective reductions in wheat were 38%, 30%, and 21%. The $J_{e(PCR)}$ values of wheat were higher under CO$_2$ concentrations of 400 and 550 µmol mol$^{-1}$ than under a concentration of 280 µmol mol$^{-1}$. CO$_2$ level had no significant effect on the $J_{e(PCR)}$ values of maize (Figure 5B). In comparison with the other treatments, AN significantly reduced $J_{e(PCR)}$ in both species. Under AN, the $J_{e(PCR)}$ values of wheat increased.

![FIGURE 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)
TABLE 2 | *F*-values in two-way ANOVA analysis of \( J_{\text{e}} \), \( J_{\text{e}(\text{PCR})} / J_{\text{e}} \), \( J_{\text{e}(\text{PCO})} / J_{\text{e}} \), \( J_{\text{e}} \) \( \Delta V \), \( J_{\text{n}} \), \( c_{\text{max}} \), \( p_{\text{max}} \) in newly expanded leaves of \( C_{4} \) wheat and \( C_{3} \) maize seedlings after 21 days of treatment with different N-sources.

| Species N-form | Source \( J_{\text{e}} \) | \( V_{\text{max}} \) | \( F\)-value | Squares sum (\( 10^{-9} \)) | Squares sum (\( 10^{-10} \)) | \( F\)-value | Squares sum (\( 10^{-9} \)) | Squares sum (\( 10^{-10} \)) | \( F\)-value | Squares sum (\( 10^{-9} \)) | Squares sum (\( 10^{-10} \)) | \( F\)-value |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Wheat N | 2 | 40 | 539(6.0) | 1217* | 1398(2.5) | 16* | 20(4.0) | 14(0.0) | 1 ns | 0.2(0.2) | 3* | 0.066 ns |
| CO\(_2\) | 15(0.4) | 2(1.7) | 2(0.3) | 4* | 0.3(0.4) | 1 ns | 0.1(0.9) | 4* | 0.1(0.9) | 4* | 0.1(0.9) | 4* |
| N×CO\(_2\) | Error | 4 | 1116(3.4) | 1647(1.4) | 1113(3.5) | 464(3.4) | 1236(3.4) | 100(3) | 0.9(0.4) | 1 ns | 0.2(0.2) | 3* |
| Maize N | 2 | 40 | 87(0.9) | 1327* | 1189(2.1) | 16* | 36(0.9) | 14(0.0) | 1 ns | 0.2(0.2) | 3* | 0.066 ns |
| CO\(_2\) | 15(0.4) | 2(1.7) | 2(0.3) | 4* | 0.3(0.4) | 1 ns | 0.1(0.9) | 4* | 0.1(0.9) | 4* | 0.1(0.9) | 4* |
| N×CO\(_2\) | Error | 4 | 1100(4.9) | 1647(1.4) | 1113(3.5) | 464(3.4) | 1236(3.4) | 100(3) | 0.9(0.4) | 1 ns | 0.2(0.2) | 3* |

\( J_{\text{e}} \) refers to the three nitrogen-forms effect; \( J_{\text{e}(\text{PCR})} / J_{\text{e}(\text{PCO})} \) refers to the three CO\(_2\) levels effect; \( J_{\text{e}} \) \( \Delta V \) refers to nitrogen-form \( \times \) CO\(_2\) levels interaction effects. Values in brackets following the sum of squares for variables indicate the percentage (%) of given variation to total variation. * and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively. df refers to the degree of freedom. ns means no significant difference.

DISCUSSION

Increased Atmospheric CO\(_2\) Concentrations Offset NH\(_4^+\)-Linked Stress in C\(_3\) Wheat but Not in C\(_4\) Maize

Unlike field or pot experiments, hydroponic experiments remove any potential complex interaction between ions and soil particles that might affect nutrient availability, and thus plant growth and development (Conn et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2016). We harnessed the hydroponic approach to study the responses of \( C_{3} \) wheat and \( C_{4} \) maize to different N forms and three levels of CO\(_2\) concentrations. In previous studies, the most obvious effect of AN was reduced biomass production (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002; Li et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016b, 2019). In the present study, the Mix-N and NO\(_3^-\)-fed \( C_{3} \) wheat plants produced more dry biomass when the corresponding \( C_{3} \) concentration was increased, but this was not the case for \( C_{4} \) maize, corroborating the findings of Leakey et al. (2006). When \( C_{3} \) was low, the leaf-free NH\(_4^+\) content was highest in \( C_{4} \) maize with NH\(_4^+\) as the sole N source (Figure 2); concomitantly under these conditions, we recorded the lowest \( P_{n} \) values for maize (Figure 3).

In \( C_{3} \) wheat, AN-induced photosynthetic inhibition was ameliorated by increasing the \( C_{3} \) concentration, but this effect was insignificant in \( C_{4} \) maize (Figure 3). Franks et al. (2013) found that change in \( C_{3} \) concentration changes the rates of carboxylation by Rubisco (in \( C_{3} \) plants) and PEP carboxylase (in \( C_{4} \) plants); each of these enzymes has a crucial limiting step in the photosynthetic pathway. An initial increase in \( P_{n} \) (less pronounced in \( C_{4} \) plants) at or above the ambient \( C_{3} \) concentration occurs because of the unique CO\(_2\)-concentrating mechanism associated with \( C_{4} \) photosynthesis (Ghanoum et al., 1997; von Caemmerer and Farquhar, 1999). In our study, we significantly with increasing CO\(_2\) concentration, but this was not the case for maize. When compared to other N form treatments, AN significantly reduced \( J_{\text{e}(\text{PCR})} / J_{\text{e}(\text{PCO})} \) in both species (Figure 5C) on day 21 of the experiment. The \( J_{\text{e}(\text{PCR})} / J_{\text{e}(\text{PCO})} \) ratio increased significantly with increasing CO\(_2\) concentration in wheat. The ratio in maize was unaffected by either CO\(_2\) level or N form (Figure 5D).

\( J_{\text{e}} \), \( J_{\text{e}(\text{PCR})} \) and the \( J_{\text{e}(\text{PCR})} / J_{\text{e}(\text{PCO})} \) ratio were significantly affected by N form and CO\(_2\) level in both species. N form explained a larger proportion of the variance in these parameters in both species (Table 4). In wheat, 40% of the variance in the \( J_{\text{e}(\text{PCR})} / J_{\text{e}(\text{PCO})} \) ratio could be attributed to CO\(_2\) level, but this factor accounted for only 2.9% of the variance in maize (Table 4).

Soluble Sugars

In comparison with the other N form treatments, AN markedly reduced the soluble sugar concentration in both species. Soluble sugar levels in the shoots and roots of wheat increased with increasing CO\(_2\) concentration, but this was not the case in maize (Figure 6).
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**FIGURE 4** Effects of different CO₂ levels on $F_{v}/F_{m}$ (A), Φₚₛₛ (B), NQₚ (C), and qᵢ (D) in newly expanded leaves of C₃ wheat and C₄ maize seedlings after 21 days of treatment with different N-sources. Data are means ± SE ($n$ = 3); Lower-case letters indicate a significant difference at $p < 0.05$.

Further explored (i) the potential limiting factors of $P_n$ when C₃ and C₄ plants were grown under condition of NH₄⁺-N nutrition, and (ii) the way in which changes in $C_a$ concentration affected the potential NH₄⁺ tolerance of C₃ and C₄ plants.

**Impaired Electron Transfer Associated With NH₄⁺ Inhibited Photosynthesis**

Under atmospheric CO₂ conditions, the carboxylation ability of Rubisco is the key factor limiting C₃ photosynthesis (Li et al., 2009; Carmo-Silva et al., 2015). $V_{\text{cmax}}$, which represents the apparent Rubisco activity *in vivo* (Long and Bernacchi, 2003), increases with increasing CO₂ concentration (Jordan and Ogren, 1984). We found that both wheat and maize plants had lower $g_s$ and $V_{\text{cmax}}$ values under AN than under the other two N treatments, but $C_i$ values were elevated under AN (Figure 3). Early CO₂ enrichment experiments using crops and tree saplings demonstrated that $g_s$ was generally reduced by elevated CO₂ concentrations; we noted a similar phenomenon in wheat (Figure 3) (Yong et al., 1997; Medlyn et al., 2001). In contrast, the $C_i$ and $C_s$ of maize changed less with increases in $C_a$, regardless of the type of N nutrition. Li et al. (2015) suggested
that the increases in C3 may result from decreases in the rate of the photosynthetic dark CO2 reduction when g, is reduced in C3 plants.

Under ambient conditions, 44% of the absorbed light at peak PPFD was used for photosynthetic electron transport (25% for CO2 fixation, 19% for photorespiration), and the remaining 56% was dissipated by chlorophyll fluorescence and thermal energy generation (Demmig-Adams and Adams, 1992). The balance between photosynthetic electron harvesting and transport within the chloroplasts is important for CO2 assimilation based on the Calvin cycle (Demmig-Adams et al., 1989; Fryer et al., 1998; Shikanai, 2011). We found that Fv/Fm, \( \Phi_{PSII} \), qL and \( J_i \) were reduced under conditions of NH4+ nutrition (Figures 4A-C, 5A), indicating that the energy available for CO2 assimilation was limited. Similar responses under conditions of NH4+ nutrition were reported by Johnson et al. (2011), where the photosynthetic electron transport chain was interrupted on the PSI side. The oxygen-evolving complex of PSII may be a direct target of NH4+, causing a marked decline in photosynthesis (Drath et al., 2008). We found significant reductions in \( J_{\text{max}} \), \( \Phi_{PSII} \) and \( J_i \) for both wheat and maize (Figures 3, 4B, 5A); the reductions for maize were especially marked, and led to deficiencies in NADPH and ATP availability for CO2 assimilation (Gao et al., 2018). Cousins and Bloom (2003) suggested that NO3− assimilation increases linear electron transfer and alleviates the photosynthetic ATP limitation in maize. In NH4+-fed plants, the inadequate energy supply for CO2 carboxylation may be a result of interruptions in the electron transport chain (Wang et al., 2019). With an impaired PSI, plants have a reduced capacity to dissipate excitation energy through qL, resulting in a surplus of light energy (Kim and Apel, 2013). We found that NPQ increased in both species (Figure 4D) via a process involving the scavenging of excess light energy through heat dissipation under conditions of NH4+ nutrition. This finding was consistent with a previous report showing that plants can dissipate excess excitation energy in the form of heat through NPQ when they encountered abiotic stresses (Demmig-Adams and Adams, 1992).

**Table 3.** F-values in two-way ANOVA analysis of \( F_v/F_m \), \( \Phi_{PSII} \), NPQ and qL in newly expanded leaves of C3 wheat and C3 maize seedlings after 21 days of treatment with different N-sources.

| Species | Source | df | \( F_v/F_m \) | \( \Phi_{PSII} \) | NPQ | qL |
|---------|--------|----|---------------|----------------|-----|-----|
|         |        |    | F-value | F-value | F-value | F-value |
| Wheat   | N      | 2  | 73(72.3) | 11450** | 102(86.4) | 510** |
|         | CO2    | 2  | 98.9 | 1368** | 6(5.1) | 29** |
|         | N × CO2 | 4  | 19(18.8) | 1478** | 6(5.1) | 15** |
| Error   |        | 40 | (0.0)   | 4(3.4) | 2(4.0) | 4(1.0) |
| Maize   | N      | 2  | 57(96.6) | 478** | 189(96.7) | 1013** |
|         | CO2    | 2  | (0.0) | 0 ns   | 1(0.5) | 4* |
|         | N × CO2 | 4  | (0.0) | 1 ns   | 1(0.5) | 2 ns |
| Error   |        | 40 | 2(3.4) | 4(2.1) | 15(0.9) | 5(0.9) |

\( N \) refers to the three nitrogen-forms effect; CO2 refers to the three CO2 levels effect; N × CO2 refers to nitrogen-form × CO2 levels interaction effects. Values in brackets following the sum of squares for variables indicate the percentage (%) of given variation to total variation. * and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively. df refers to the degree of freedom. ns means no significant difference.

Higher C4 Enhanced CO2 Assimilation, Which Provided Additional C Skeletons for NH4+ Assimilation in C3 Plants

Under AN, the \( J_{\text{max}} \), \( \Phi_{PSII} \) and \( J_i \) values of wheat increased with increasing CO2 concentration (Figures 3, 4B, 5A), indicating that the interruption in electron transport can be offset by higher CO2 concentration; these parameters did not differ significantly for maize grown under different CO2 levels. At low atmospheric CO2 levels, Rubisco utilizes both CO2 and O2 (Edwards et al., 2010). The process of uptaking O2 leads to photorespiration, resulting in net losses of ≤40% of photosynthetic carbon under present day CO2 levels of 400 \( \mu \text{mol mol}^{-1} \) (Andrews and Lorimer, 1978; Sage, 2004; Bloom, 2015). C4 photosynthesis suppresses photorespiration by concentrating CO2 internally (Andrews and Lorimer, 1978; Ehleringer et al., 1991). Conversely, higher C4 increases the CO2 assimilation of C3 plants and thereby inhibiting photorespiration; C4 plants do not respond in this way (Andrews and Lorimer, 1978). We found that the C4 and C3 values of wheat under AN increased significantly with increased C4, leading to increases in \( Je(PCO) \), whereas \( Je(PCR) \) did not change significantly (Figure 5D). As a result, the \( Je(PCR)/Je(PCO) \) ratio increased with increasing CO2 concentration under AN (Figure 5C). These findings indicated that the electron flux to CO2 assimilation was increased at higher CO2 concentrations, which may compensate for the decrease in electron transport ability seen under AN, thereby sustaining carbon assimilation. In maize, there were no significant differences in C4, C3 or \( Je(PCR)/Je(PCO) \) by CO2 level on day 21 of the experiment (Figures 2, 5D).

Bloom et al. (2010) and Bloom (2015) found that (i) elevated CO2 inhibits nitrate (NO2−) transport into chloroplasts, (ii) the chloroplast stroma compete for reduced ferredoxin (Fdr), and (iii) elevated CO2 levels decrease photorespiration, thereby inhibiting shoot NO3− assimilation in C3 plants under elevated CO2 concentrations. In contrast, the first carboxylation reaction in the C4 carbon fixation pathway generates ample quantities of malate and NADH in the cytoplasm of mesophyll cells.
This explains adequately the CO$_2$-independent shoot NO$_3^-$ assimilation in C$_4$ plants (Bloom et al., 2010). However, since N assimilation occurs rapidly when NH$_4^+$ is the sole source of N nutrition, an adequate C skeleton supply for NH$_4^+$ assimilation is required to facilitate general physiological homoeostasis under elevated NH$_4^+$ concentrations (Ariz et al., 2013). Therefore, the carbohydrate status of plant tissues has an important role in the transition and adaptation to AN nutrition. A shortage of carbon assimilation for NH$_4^+$-form has been associated with a reduced level of soluble sugars in NH$_4^+$-grown plants (Setien et al., 2013). We found a significant decrease in the soluble sugar concentration in both species, especially in roots, under AN and ambient CO$_2$ conditions (Figure 6). With increasing $C_d$, an increase in soluble sugar concentration and a decrease in free NH$_4^+$ concentration occurred in wheat, possibly because of an increase in CO$_2$ photosynthetic capacity (Ariz et al., 2010, 2013). Therefore, in wheat, an increased $P_n$ under AN, which was driven by elevated $C_d$ levels, increased the supply of carbon skeleton for NH$_4^+$ assimilation, which in turn reduced the NH$_4^+$ concentrations and thereby ameliorating the NH$_4^+$ stress.
TABLE 4 | F-values in two-way ANOVA analysis of $J_t$, Je(PCR), Je(PCO), and ratio of Je(PCR)/Je(PCO) in newly expanded leaves of C$_3$ wheat and C$_4$ maize seedlings after 21 days of treatment with different N-sources.

| Source       | df | Squares sum ($\times 10^3$) | $F$-value  | Squares sum ($\times 10^3$) | $F$-value  | Squares sum | $F$-value  | Squares sum | $F$-value |
|--------------|----|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Wheat N      | 2  | 44.5(90.5)                 | 931**      | 17.6 (79.7)                | 719**      | 5885 (90.1) | 363.3**    | 3.29(57.1)  | 454.2**    |
| CO$_2$       | 2  | 1.4(2.9)                   | 30**       | 3.2 (14.5)                 | 130**      | 104 (1.6)   | 6.4**      | 0.22 (0.4)  | 1.5 ns     |
| N x CO$_2$   | 4  | 2.3(4.6)                   | 23**       | 0.8 (3.5)                  | 15**       | 219 (3.4)   | 6.7**      | 0.22 (0.4)  | 1.5 ns     |
| Error        | 40 | 1.0(1.9)                   |            | 0.5 (2.2)                  |            | 323 (5.0)   |            | 0.15 (2.5)  |            |
| Maize N      | 2  | 43.9 (96.5)                | 838**      | 22.2 (95.2)                | 529**      | 123 (90.5)  | 225.9**    | 0.97(6.1)   | 1.4 ns     |
| CO$_2$       | 2  | 0.4 (0.9)                  | 7**        | 0.2 (0.9)                  | 5**        | 0 (1.3)     | 3.3*       | 0.46 (2.9)  | 0.6 ns     |
| N x CO$_2$   | 4  | 0.1 (0.3)                  | 1 ns       | 0.1 (0.3)                  | 1 ns       | 0 (0.1)     | 0.1 ns     | 0.64 (4.1)  | 0.4 ns     |
| Error        | 40 | 1.0(2.3)                   |            | 0.8 (3.6)                  |            | 1 (8.0)     |            | 13.64 (66.8)|            |

$N$ refers to the three nitrogen-forms effect; $CO_2$ refers to the three $CO_2$ levels effect; $N \times CO_2$ refers to nitrogen-form $\times CO_2$ levels interaction effects. Values in brackets following the sum of squares for variables indicate the percentage (%) of given variation to total variation. * and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively. df refers to the degree of freedom. ns means no significant difference.

FIGURE 6 | Effects of different $CO_2$ levels on soluble sugar concentrations (mg g$^{-1}$ DW) in shoots (A,B) and roots (C,D) of C$_3$ wheat and C$_4$ maize seedlings after 21 days of treatment with different N-sources. Data are means ± SE ($n = 3$); Lower-case letters indicate a significant difference at $p < 0.05$.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, under ambient $CO_2$ conditions and AN nutrition, electron transport was reduced in both the C$_3$ wheat and C$_4$ maize plants, leading to a suppression of photosynthetic carbon assimilation. In wheat growing under elevated atmospheric $CO_2$ concentrations ($C_a$), increased $C_i$ and $C_c$ values improved electron flux to $CO_2$ assimilation rather than to photorespiration, thus sustaining photosynthesis and alleviating $NH_4^+$-induced stress. In contrast, elevated $C_a$ had a negligible effect on $C_i$ and $C_c$ in maize and, consequently, minor effects on photosynthesis. Therefore, future increases in atmospheric $C_a$ should provide C$_3$ plants with more opportunities to use $NH_4^+$ rather than relying on $NO_3^-$ as a source of N fertilizers for crop production. Analyses using molecular biology and mutants to explain the possible physiological mechanisms in $NH_4^+$ tolerance of crop cultivars.
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