Loss of expression of FANCD2 protein in sporadic and hereditary breast cancer
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Abstract Fanconi anemia (FA) is a recessive disorder associated with progressive pancytopenia, multiple developmental defects, and marked predisposition to malignancies. FA is genetically heterogeneous, comprising at least 12 complementation groups (A–M). Activation of one of the FA proteins (FANCD2) by mono-ubiquitination is an essential step in DNA damage response. As FANCD2 interacts with BRCA1, is expressed in proliferating normal breast cells, and FANCD2 knockout mice develop breast tumors, we investigated the expression of FANCD2 in sporadic and hereditary invasive breast cancer patients to evaluate its possible role in breast carcinogenesis. Two tissue microarrays of 129 and 220 sporadic breast cancers and a tissue microarray containing 25 BRCA1 germline mutation-related invasive breast cancers were stained for FANCD2. Expression results were compared with several clinicopathological variables and tested for prognostic value. Eighteen of 96 (19%) sporadic breast cancers and two of 21 (10%) BRCA1-related breast cancers were completely FANCD2-negative, which, however, still showed proliferation. In the remaining cases, the percentage of FANCD2-expressing cells correlated strongly with mitotic index and percentage of cells positive for the proliferation markers Ki-67 and Cyclin A. In immunofluorescence double staining, coexpression of FANCD2 and Ki-67 was apparent. In survival analysis, high FANCD2 expression appeared to be prognostically unfavorable for overall survival (p = 0.03), independent from other major prognosticators (p = 0.026). In conclusion, FANCD2 expression is absent in 10–20% of sporadic and BRCA1-related breast cancers, indicating that somatic inactivating (epi)genetic events in FANCD2 may be important in both sporadic and hereditary breast carcinogenesis. FANCD2 is of independent prognostic value in sporadic breast cancer.

Keywords Breast cancer · FANCD2 · Immunohistochemistry · BRCA1 · Prognosis · Proliferation

Introduction

Fanconi anemia (FA) is a recessive disease with both autosomal and X-linked inheritance. FA is associated with progressive pancytopenia, developmental defects, and marked predisposition to malignancies, especially acute myeloid leukemia and squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck [1, 2]. FA cells are characterized by spontaneous chromosomal instability and hypersensitivity to DNA cross-linking agents such as mitomycin
C (MMC). FA is genetically heterogeneous and comprises at least 12 complementation groups (A–M). Eleven of the FA genes have been identified so far: FANCA, FANCB, FANCC, FANCD1/BRCA2, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, and FANCM [3–18]. Eight FA proteins (A, B, C, E, F, G, L, and M) form a nuclear protein complex which is required for mono-ubiquitination of the downstream FA protein, FANCD2. Activation of FANCD2 by mono-ubiquitination is an essential step in the DNA damage response induced by MMC or ionizing irradiation [2, 10]. This DNA damage response pathway also includes the breast cancer susceptibility genes BRCA1 and BRCA2, also referred to as the FA-BRCA pathway. Following ionizing radiation, FANCD2 and BRCA1 accumulate and colocalize in nuclear foci, which reflect sites of DNA damage and repair [10, 19]. Like FA cells, cells lacking BRCA1/2 proteins are hypersensitive to DNA crosslinking agents. D’Andrea et al. showed that FANCD1 and BRCA2 are the same proteins. BRCA2 is a direct regulator of RAD51, a protein essential for homologous recombination repair [20]. Although BRCA1 is mainly involved in hereditary breast cancer [21], it has also been implicated in sporadic breast cancer [22]. In an immunohistochemical analysis, we have previously shown that FANCD2 is expressed in proliferating cells of different organs, including the premenopausal breast duct epithelium [23]. This is in line with the role of FANCD2 in DNA repair which is important to guarantee the integrity of the genome during cell replication [10]. As deregulation of proliferation is one of the crucial processes of carcinogenesis, these observations imply a potential role for FANCD2 in the pathogenesis of breast cancer. Indeed, FANCD2 knockout mice develop breast tumors [24]. These considerations prompted us to investigate the expression of FANCD2 in sporadic and hereditary invasive breast cancers by immunohistochemistry in relation to several other proliferation-related biomarkers and survival.

**Materials and methods**

**Tissue microarray**

Paraffin blocks containing formaldehyde-fixed breast cancer tissues of 129 cases of invasive breast cancer not selected for family history (further denoted “sporadic”) were obtained from the archives of the Department of Pathology of the VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam. For all breast cancer cases, age, lymph node status, and tumor size were documented. A second array block was constructed containing 24 cases with a proven BRCA1 germline mutation identified through the Family Cancer Clinic of the VU University Medical Center as previously described [25]. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The hematoxylin–eosin stainings were used to identify representative areas of tumor tissue in the blocks. A tissue microarray was then constructed by transferring tissue cylinders of 4–5 mm from the representative tumor area of each donor block to the recipient block using a tissue arrayer (Beecher Instruments, Sun Prairie, WI, USA) as described before [26]. A third tissue array block of 220 sporadic breast cancer patients with long-term follow-up was obtained from the archives of the Gerhard-Domagk Institute of Pathology, University of Muenster, as previously described [27].

Sections of 4 μm were cut and transferred on SuperFrost+ (Menzel&Glaeser, Germany) slides for immunohistochemistry. Use of anonymous or coded left over material for scientific purposes is part of the standard treatment contract with patients in our hospital [28].

**Table 1** Patient characteristics and histology of 120 sporadic and hereditary breast cancers

|                      | Sporadic | BRCA1 mutation | Total |
|----------------------|----------|----------------|-------|
| No. of patients      | 96       | 24             | 120   |
| Age                  |          |                |       |
| Mean                 | 65       | 42             | 62    |
| Lymph node status    |          |                |       |
| Negative             | 58       | 9              | 67    |
| Positive             | 38       | 11             | 49    |
| Tumor size           |          |                |       |
| Mean                 | 2.34     | 2.78           | 2.40  |
| Histological type    |          |                |       |
| Ductal               | 85       | 17             | 102   |
| Lobular              | 7        | 1              | 8     |
| Medullary            | 1        | 2              | 3     |
| Tubular              | 1        | –              | 1     |
| Cribriform           | 1        | –              | 1     |
| Apocrine             | 1        | –              | 1     |
| Metaplastic          | –        | 4              | 4     |

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemical analysis had been previously performed on conventional sections for the following markers: Ki-67, Cyclin A, p21, p27, p53, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), HER-2/neu, and EGF-receptor [29].
Rabbit polyclonal antiserum against FANCD2 was generated as previously described [23]. Tissue sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with methanol/0.3% H₂O₂ (20 min). Sections were heated (30 min, 120°C) in 0.1 M citrate buffer pH 6. Unspecific binding was blocked with a 1:50 normal goat serum in PBS pH 7.4/1% BSA. Polyclonal rabbit anti-FANCD2 (200 μg/ml) was diluted 1:500 in PBS/1% BSA, and sections were incubated overnight (4°C) in a humidified chamber. Subsequently, sections were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (EnVision, DAKO) and diaminobenzidin (10 min), counterstained with hematoxylin (20 s), dehydrated, and cover-slipped. Appropriate positive controls were used throughout, and negative controls were obtained by omission of the primary antibodies.

Percentages of positively stained nuclei were estimated by an experienced observer (P.J.v.D.), except for HER-2/neu and EGF-receptor where membrane staining was scored as positive. In addition, FANCD2 intensity was scored semiquantitatively as 0–3, and an FANCD2 score was calculated for each case by multiplying the % FANCD2-positive cells by the staining intensity.

For FANCD2/Ki-67 double staining, anti-FANCD2 was diluted 1:150, incubated overnight, followed by incubation with swine anti-Rabbit HRP 1:200 (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), and detected with the TSA™ Tetramethylrhodamine system (PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Boston, USA). This was immediately followed by incubation with mouse anti-Ki-67 1:50 (MIB1, Immunotech, Marseille, France) followed by rabbit anti-mouse FITC 1:40 (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). Nuclei were counterstained by incubation with TO-PRO-3 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) 1:5,000 as previously described [30].

Statistics

Bivariate scatter plots were generated between the percentage of FANCD2-expressing cells and the other continuous features. For the proliferation-associated features Ki-67, Cyclin A, and MAI, the cases with no FANCD2 expression were excluded from the analysis, assuming that FANCD2 is, by some mechanisms, no longer expressed in these cases. By linear regression analysis, the correlation coefficient $R$ and related $p$ values were calculated. Student’s $t$ test was used to compare FANCD2 expression levels between the low-level vs. high-level groups for HER-2/neu, the EGF-receptor, ER (cut off 10%) and PR (cut off 10%), p53 (cut off 10%), and Cyclin D1 (cut off 5%). Prognostic value of FANCD2 (Muenster cases) was assessed by computing Kaplan–Meier curves, and differences between the curves were evaluated with the log-rank test. Multivariate survival analysis was performed by Cox regression.

Results

Successful FANCD2 staining was performed in 96 of the 129 cases of the VUmc sporadic array block. The drop outs were caused by damaged or detached cores during cutting, mounting, or staining, or did not contain tumor. Eighteen of these cases (19%) were completely FANCD2-negative. The other cases showed variable staining from 1 to 85% of the nuclei. In 21 of the 24 BRCA1 cases, FANCD2 staining was performed successfully. In two of these (9.5%), FANCD2 expression was completely negative. Figure 1 shows some representative examples of FANCD2 staining.

The mean percentage of FANCD2-expressing cells was significantly higher in ER-negative patients ($p = 0.019$), PR-negative patients ($p = 0.016$), EGF-positive patients ($p = 0.002$), Cyclin-D1-negative patients ($p = 0.002$), and p53-positive patients ($p < 0.001$) (Table 2). No statistically significant difference was seen for HER-2/neu. When analyzing the sporadic and hereditary subgroups, similar associations were seen. FANCD2 staining intensity yielded no useful correlations and no prognostic value, and the FANCD2 score yielded essentially the same correlations and prognostic value as the % FANCD2-positive cells (data not shown).

In linear regression analysis (Table 3), the percentage of FANCD2-expressing cells was significantly positively correlated to Ki-67 ($R = 0.502$, $p < 0.0001$), Cyclin A ($R = 0.482$, $p < 0.0001$), MAI ($R = 0.506$, $p < 0.001$), and p53 ($R = 0.379$, $p < 0.0001$), and significantly negatively correlated to age ($R = 0.197$, $p = 0.033$), ER ($R = 0.221$, $p = 0.017$), and PR ($R = 0.204$, $p = 0.028$). There was no correlation between FANCD2 and the other continuous features. Figure 2 shows examples of FANCD2/Ki-67 immunofluorescence double staining, underlining the coexpression of FANCD2 and Ki-67 in invasive breast cancers cells. In the Muenster cases, most of these correlations could be reproduced (age: $p = 0.063$, Ki-67: $p = 0.001$, p53: $p = 0.003$, ER: $p = 0.034$). Only PR was not significant here, and Cyclin A was not performed.

In the regression analysis between the percentages of FANCD2-expressing cells and Ki-67 and Cyclin A, the completely negative FANCD2 cases were excluded. These FANCD2-negative cases had Ki-67 values
between 1 and 65 (mean 16%), Cyclin A values between 0 and 50 (mean 10%), and MAI values between 0 and 37 (mean 11), indicating that these cases had (sometimes even high) FANCD2-independent proliferation.

In survival analysis (sporadic Muenster cases), high FANCD2 expression appeared to be prognostically unfavorable ($p = 0.03$). Figure 3 shows the survival curves. In Cox regression including tumor size, lymph node status, ER, and grade, FANCD2 staining appeared to have independent prognostic value for overall survival ($p = 0.026$).

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to investigate the expression of FANCD2 in sporadic and hereditary breast cancers. This was inspired by several observations. First, FANCD2 and BRCA1/2 are functionally closely linked.
in the DNA repair response, and BRCA1 and BRCA2 are implicated in hereditary and sporadic breast cancers \cite{21, 22}. Second, targeted deletion of FANCD2 in mice resulted in an increased rate of breast tumors \cite{24}. Third, we have shown that FANCD2 is expressed in proliferating cells in the duct epithelium of the normal breast \cite{23}.

It appeared that 19\% of sporadic breast cancers completely lacked FANCD2 expression. Yet, these FANCD2-negative cases had high mean Ki-67, Cyclin A, and MAI values, indicating that the low FANCD2 levels in these cases cannot be explained by low proliferation. The fact that these FANCD2-negative cases stained for other proteins makes it quite unlikely that the FANCD2 negativity is due to fixation problems. In the FANCD2-negative cases, FANCD2 inactivation may, in view of its important function, have been a hit in carcinogenesis. BRCA1 germline mutation-related breast cancers showed lack of FANCD2 expression in only 9.5\% of cases, which fits with the concept that a major hit in an important pathway (in these cases the BRCA1 germline mutation) is usually not associated with further hits in this pathway. It is yet unclear what the mechanism behind the lack of FANCD2 expression in these cases is. It needs to be further studied whether there are inactivating somatic mutations in these cases or whether promoter methylation plays a role.

FANCD2 expression was strongly correlated with expression of the proliferation-associated features Ki-67, Cyclin A, and MAI, and FANCD2 and Ki-67 were coexpressed in invasive cancer cells. This is likely a reflection of the physiological function of FANCD2 in DNA repair of proliferating cells, rather than an independent overexpression of an altered gene, in line with our previous study where we found a coexpression of FANCD2 and Ki-67 in proliferating cells of various normal human tissues \cite{23}. The observation that high FANCD2 expression indicated poor prognosis fits within the same concept, as rate of proliferation (and thereby FANCD2 expression) is a major cell biological phenomenon determining prognosis \cite{31–33}. FANCD2 had prognostic value independent of stage and grade, which can be explained by the fact that proliferation and stage are not strongly correlated, and that grade includes nuclear atypia and tubule formation besides rate of proliferation as measured by mitotic index.

Although heterozygosity for p53 was shown to accelerate epithelial tumor formation in Fancd2 knockout mice \cite{34}, a functional link between p53 and Fancd2 has not been described to explain the association found in the present study, which is likely caused by the fact that p53 mutated and thereby p53 protein accumulated tumors show, in general, higher proliferation and therefore more proliferating FANCD2-expressing cells. The same may also hold for the relation between FANCD2 and EGFR expressions, for which also no functional relationship has been described.

The negative relation between FANCD2 and age can likely be explained by the fact that BRCA1-related
patients that have higher FANCD2 expression are younger. Within the light of the above observations, the question remains why FANCD2 patients do not seem to be predisposed to breast cancer in clinical practice. FA itself is a rare genetic disease where the complementation group D2 constitutes only 1–2% of all FA cases and these patients generally have a more severe clinical course. They may therefore simply not live to get breast cancer in an apparent increased frequency. Our results do not indicate that somatic (epi)genetic changes in FANCD2 are a frequent secondary carcinogenic event in BRCA1 germline-mutated patients, although this needs to be confirmed in a larger study group.

In conclusion, FANCD2 expression is absent in 10–20% of sporadic and BRCA1-related breast cancers, indicating that somatic inactivating (epi)genetic events in FANCD2 may be important in both sporadic and hereditary breast carcinogenesis. FANCD2 is of independent prognostic value in sporadic breast cancer.
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