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Rapid Quantification of Bacteria in Infected Root Canals Using Fluorescence Reagents and a Membrane Filter: A Pilot Study on Its Clinical Application to the Evaluation of the Outcomes of Endodontic Treatment
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Objective. The bacterial examination has been performed during the course of the root canal treatment. In the present pilot study, the new developed method, using fluorescence reagents and a membrane filter, was applied to the detection and quantification of bacteria in infected root canals, in order to evaluate the outcomes of the treatment.

Methods. Six infected root canals with periapical lesions from 5 subjects were included. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects (age ranges, 23–79 years). Samples from infected root canals were collected at the beginning of the treatment (termed #25 First), the end of the first day of treatment (termed #55 First), and the next appointment day (termed #55 Second). Then, the bacterial count (CFU) was measured using fluorescence reagents (4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole and propidium iodide) and the polycarbonate membrane filter by Bioplorer.

Results. The mean ± SD of CFU in the sample of "#25 First" was (1.0 ± 1.4) × 10^5. As the root canal treatment progressed, the CFU decreased as 7.1 × 10^3 (#55 First) and 4.3 × 10^2 (#55 Second).

Conclusion. In the present pilot study, rapid detection and quantification of bacteria in infected root canals were found to be successfully performed using fluorescence reagents and a membrane filter (Bioplorer analysis).

1. Introduction

It has been known that the microbiota in infected root canals is composed of various oral bacteria, in particular anaerobic bacteria [1–5], and is likely to lead to the failure of the treatment, such as the formation of persistent endodontic lesions. Thus, the bacterial examination during the course of the treatment of infected root canals has been performed in order to evaluate the outcomes of the treatment, resulting in good prognosis [6, 7]. However, detection of bacteria in infected root canal of each case by using culture and/or molecular biological techniques is relatively time consuming, and therefore these methods perhaps should be modified for the clinical use. Furthermore, it is still unclear whether the remaining small numbers of bacteria in the root canals have impact on the reoccurrence of periapical periodontitis or not.

Recently, a rapid method of detection and quantification of bacteria in foodstuffs and/or chemical products, using fluorescence reagents and a membrane filter, has been developed in Japan [8, 9]. The technique enables us to count the number of live bacterial cells by differentiating live and dead bacterial cells in the sample within a half an hour. However, the method has not been applied to the quantification of samples in infected root canals.
Therefore, in the present pilot study, we applied the new developed method using fluorescence reagents and a membrane filter to the detection and quantification of bacteria in infected root canals and compared its data with ones by culturing method.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subjects. Subjects with periapical periodontitis (five females; age, 23–79 years), who were attending the Division of Endodontology, Tohoku University Hospital, were randomly selected for this study (Table 1). Periapical periodontitis was diagnosed based on clinical features, for example, sensitivity (tenderness) to percussion/occlusion and radiographical findings, as described previously [1]. Selected teeth had clinically no obvious margin leakages, had enough coronal structure for adequate isolation with a rubber dam, and were free of periodontal pockets deeper than 4 mm. Based on history, all subjects were medically healthy and received no antibiotics for the 3 months before sampling. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects, and this study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Tohoku University Graduate School of Dentistry, Sendai, Japan.

2.2. Sampling. Each tooth was isolated with a rubber dam, and the operative field was disinfected with both iodine glycerin dental disinfectants Showa (Showa Yakuhin Kako, Japan) and 70% ethanol. Coronal access cavity was prepared with a sterilized high-speed bur under irrigation with sterile saline solution. When the pulp chamber was exposed, a sterile K-file of the canal size. After the first sampling, the remaining sample was collected from an apical canal by filing intensively with a sterilized wire cutter was placed in a sterile and endotoxin-free saline (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Japan), and dispersed by vortexing for 1 min. The procedure of the quantification of bacteria by Bioplorer was described elsewhere [8, 9]. In brief, each 1.0 mL of sample was placed and fixed on a polycarbonate membrane filter (0.4 µm pore size) (FJ-VKR03; Koyo Sangyo, Japan) by aspiration, after the addition of 100 µL of Tween 80 (0.1%) (FJ-VKR05; Koyo Sangyo) to improve filterability. The membrane filter (triplicate) was stained with 100 µL of 4’, 6’-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 1.0 µg/mL) (FJ-VKR01, Koyo Sangyo) (for live and dead bacterial cells due to its hydrophobic property and cell membrane impermeability) for 2 min, and then stained with 100 µL of propidium iodide (PI; 2.5 µg/mL) (FJ-VKR03, Koyo Sangyo) (for only dead bacterial cells due to its ionic property and cell membrane impermeability). Subsequently, the number of live bacterial cells was monitored and calculated by Bioplorer (Koyo Sangyo), since the DAPI reacting with DNA produces luminescence (460 nm) by ultraviolet excitation light (375 nm), while the PI reacting with DNA does it (620 nm) by green excitation light (525 nm).

2.3. Quantification of Bacteria by Bioplorer. Each file cutoff by a sterilized wire cutter was placed in a sterile and endotoxin-free tube (BD, USA), and it was immediately transferred to a laboratory. Each sample was suspended in 4.0 mL of sterile, endotoxin-free saline (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Japan), and dispersed by vortexing for 1 min. The procedure of the quantification of bacteria by Bioplorer was described elsewhere [8, 9]. In brief, each 1.0 mL of sample was placed and fixed on a polycarbonate membrane filter (0.4 µm pore size) (FJ-VKR03; Koyo Sangyo, Japan) by aspiration, after the addition of 100 µL of Tween 80 (0.1%) (FJ-VKR05; Koyo Sangyo) to improve filterability. The membrane filter (triplicate) was stained with 100 µL of 4’, 6’-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 1.0 µg/mL) (FJ-VKR01, Koyo Sangyo) (for live and dead bacterial cells due to its hydrophobic property and cell membrane impermeability) for 2 min, and then stained with 100 µL of propidium iodide (PI; 2.5 µg/mL) (FJ-VKR03, Koyo Sangyo) (for only dead bacterial cells due to its ionic property and cell membrane impermeability). Subsequently, the number of live bacterial cells was monitored and calculated by Bioplorer (Koyo Sangyo), since the DAPI reacting with DNA produces luminescence (460 nm) by ultraviolet excitation light (375 nm), while the PI reacting with DNA does it (620 nm) by green excitation light (525 nm).

2.4. Quantification of Bacteria by Culture. The remaining 1.0 mL sample was dispersed with a Teflon homogenizer. Serial 10-fold dilutions (0.1 mL each) were spread onto the surface of CDC anaerobe 5% sheep blood agar (BD) plates (duplicate) and incubated in the anaerobic glove box (Hirasawa, Japan) at 37°C for 7 days, as described previously [1, 3, 4]. After the incubation, colony-forming units (CFUs) were counted.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Wilcoxon test was used to determine the statistical significance of the bacterial counts determined by Bioplorer and culture, and two-way factorial ANOVA was used to determine the statistical significance of data of “#25 First,” “#55 First,” and “#55 Second.” A P value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

The mean ± SD bacterial counts (CFU) in the sample of “#25 First” were (1.0 ± 1.4) × 10³ and (0.83 ± 1.6) × 10⁴ by Bioplorer and culture, respectively (Figure 1). As the root canal treatment progressed, the CFU decreased as 7.9 × 10³ (#55 First) and 4.3 × 10² (#55 Second) by Bioplorer analysis.
Similarly, the CFU in the sample of “#55 First” and “#55 Second” analyzed by culture decreased compared with “#25 First,” and in particular, no bacteria were virtually detected in the sample of “#55 Second” by culture (Figure 1).

4. Discussion

Through the adoption of improved anaerobic glove box system, it has been reported that anaerobic bacteria are predominant in infected root canals, and thus suggesting that the environment of infected root canals may be anaerobic [1–5]. Therefore, the clinical examination of bacteria during the course of the treatment of infected root canals should be carefully performed, being concerned about anaerobic bacteria and anaerobic conditions. However, routine bacterial culturing procedure of root canal of each case, including incubation under anaerobic conditions, is relatively time consuming and seems somewhat clinically impractical.

Alternatively, the detection and quantification of bacteria in infected root canals with molecular biological techniques has been applied in order to evaluate the outcomes of the treatment [10, 11]. Since the techniques require several hours to detect and quantify the bacteria (even though the methods are relatively quicker than culture), these methods perhaps should be modified for the clinical use. In the present pilot study, the new method, developed in Japan, using fluorescence reagents and a membrane filter, was applied to the detection and quantification of bacteria in infected root canals. The method made it possible to quantify the bacteria in the sample of infected root canals in approximately 20 min, indicating that the method is useful for the clinical bacterial examination during the course of the treatment of infected root canals when evaluating the outcomes of the treatment.

Utilizing the Bioplorer, $1.0 \times 10^5, 7.9 \times 10^3$ and $4.3 \times 10^2$ of bacteria were quantified from the samples of the beginning of the treatment (#25 First), the end of the first day of treatment (#55 First), and the next appointment day (#55 Second), respectively. A similar tendency of decreasing was observed in the quantification of bacteria by culture (Figure 1) in agreement with previous studies [12–18] although the counts by the Bioplorer were found to be higher than that by culture. The differences might be explained by the existence of unculurable bacteria in the infected root canals as well as in oral cavities [19–21].

In all of the cases in the present study, obturation of root canals with gutta-percha and sealer were performed routinely after the evaluation for clinical conditions and confirmation with no clinical signs of apical periodontitis, as described previously [22] although several hundreds of bacteria were detected by Bioplorer (and no bacteria were virtually detected by culture). All of the cases in the present study showed good prognosis for 2–5 months after obturation completed (data not shown), suggesting that the small numbers of bacteria, even if they remained in the root canals, might have little impact on the reoccurrence of periapical periodontitis although further studies including long-term clinical observations are required to verify this proposition.

In summary, in the present pilot study, focusing on the methodological confirmation regarding the accuracy and reliability when used clinical samples taken from infected root canals, detection, and quantification of bacteria in infected root canals were found to be performed rapidly using fluorescence reagents and a membrane filter (Bioplorer analysis).
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