Syndesmotic InternalBrace™ for anatomic distal tibiofibular ligament augmentation
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Abstract
Reconstruction of unstable syndesmotic injuries is not trivial, and there is no generally accepted treatment guidelines. Thus, there still remain considerable controversies regarding diagnosis, classification and treatment of syndesmotic injuries. Syndesmotic malreduction is the most common indication for early re-operation after ankle fracture surgery, and widening of the ankle mortise by only 1 mm decreases the contact area of the tibiotalar joint by 42%. Outcome of ankle fractures with syndesmosis injury is worse than without, even after surgical syndesmotic stabilization. This may be due to a high incidence of syndesmotic malreduction revealed by increasing postoperative computed tomography controls. Therefore, even open visualization of the syndesmosis during the reduction maneuver has been recommended. Thus, the most important clinical predictor of outcome is consistently reported as accuracy of anatomic reduction of the injured native ligaments. In this context the TightRope® system is reported to have advantages compared to classical syndesmotic screws. However, rotational instability of the distal fibula cannot be safely limited by use of 1 or even 2 TightRopes®. Therefore, we developed a new syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique for improved anatomic distal tibiofibular ligament augmentation to protect healing of the injured native ligaments. The InternalBrace™ technique was developed by Gordon Mackay from Scotland in 2012 using SwiveLocks® for knotless aperture fixation of a FiberTape® at the anatomic footprints of the augmented ligaments, and augmentation of the anterior talofibular ligament, the
deltoid ligament, the spring ligament and the medial collateral ligaments of the knee have been published so far. According to the individual injury pattern, patients can either be treated by the new syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique alone as a single anterior stabilization, or in combination with one posteriorly directed TightRope® as a double stabilization, or in combination with one TightRope® and a posterolateral malleolar screw fixation as a triple stabilization. Moreover, the syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique is suitable for anatomic refixation of displaced bony avulsion fragments too small for screw fixation and for indirect reduction of small posterolateral tibial avulsion fragments by anatomic reduction of the anterior syndesmosis with an InternalBrace™ after osteosynthesis of the distal fibula. In this paper, comprehensively illustrated clinical examples show that anatomic reconstruction with rotational stabilization of the syndesmosis can be realized by use of our new syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique. A clinical trial for evaluation of the functional outcomes has been started at our hospital.
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Core tip: Reconstruction of unstable syndesmotic injuries is not trivial, and there are no generally accepted treatment guidelines. The TightRope® system is reported to have advantages compared to classical syndesmotic screws. However, rotational instability of the distal fibula is not safely eliminated by use of 1 or even 2 TightRopes®. Therefore, we developed a new syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique using SwiveLocks® for knotless aperture fixation of a FiberTape® at the anatomic footprints of the injured ligaments for improved anatomic distal tibiofibular ligament augmentation to protect healing of the injured native ligaments.
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INTRODUCTION

The ligaments stabilizing the syndesmosis prevent excess fibular motion in multiple directions: Anterior-posterior translation, lateral translation, crano-caudal translation, and internal and external rotation[1]. Appropriate fibular position and limited rotation are necessary for normal syndesmotic function and talar position within the ankle mortise[2]. Reconstruction of unstable syndesmotic injuries is not trivial, and there is no generally accepted treatment guidelines[1,3,4]. Thus, there still remains considerable controversies regarding diagnosis, classification and treatment of syndesmotic injuries[1,5]. Syndesmotic malreduction is the most common indication for early re-operation after ankle fracture surgery, and widening of the ankle mortise by only 1 mm decreases the contact area of the tibiotalar joint by 42%[6-9]. Syndesmotic instability is a strong predictor for less favorable clinical outcomes of ankle fractures, even after surgical syndesmotic stabilization. This may be due to a high incidence of syndesmotic malreduction revealed by increasing postoperative computed tomography (CT) controls[10-14]. Therefore, even open visualization of the syndesmosis during the reduction maneuver has been recommended[13]. Thus, the most important clinical predictor of outcome is consistently reported as accuracy of anatomic reduction of the injured syndesmosis[12,14,15].

In this context the TightRope® system (Arthrex®, Naples, United States) is repeatedly reported to have advantages compared to classical syndesmotic screws[12,16-18]. However, rotational instability of the distal fibula cannot be safely limited by standard use of 1 or even 2 TightRopes® as shown by Teramoto et al[19] who tried to imitate anatomy by use of different directions of the TightRopes®.

Therefore, we developed a new syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique using SwiveLocks® (Arthrex®, Naples, United States ) for knotless aperture fixation of a FiberTape® (Arthrex®, Naples, United States ) directly at the anatomic footprints of the injured ligaments for an optimized imitation of the anatomy of the anterior and posterior syndesmosis to protect healing of the injured native ligaments. Figure 1 shows a simulation of an anatomic augmentation of the anterior and posterior tibiofibular ligament by use of a syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique in a skeletal model of a left ankle joint.

SYNDESMOTIC INTERNALBRACE™ - THEORY AND PRINCIPLES

The InternalBrace™ technique was developed by Gordon...
Mackay from Scotland in 2012 using SwiveLocks® for knotless aperture fixation of a FiberTape® at the anatomic footprints of the augmented ligaments, and augmentation of the anterior talofibular ligament[19-22], the deltoid ligament[3], the spring ligament[23], and the medial collateral and cruciate ligaments of the knee have been published so far[24-28].

The primary aim of an InternalBrace™ is repair of vital tissue rather than reconstruction or replacement with non-vital tendon transplants[3]. Ligament healing should be standard rather than replacement, as the original footprints of ligaments tend to be much larger than tendon grafts could replace. So an important advantage of the the InternalBrace™ technique is preservation of proprioception instead of cutting out the ligament remnants. An InternalBrace™ acts as a check-rein or as a corner stone to stability just like a seat-belt, and thus the InternalBrace™ supports early mobilization of a repaired ligament and allows the natural tissues to progressively strengthen[3,25]. In analogy to fracture repair, an InternalBrace™ applies AO principles to soft tissues.

The FiberTape® is a braided ultra-high-molecular-weight polyethylene/polyester suture tape which has an ultimate tensile strength of about 750 N[^3]. Until June 2014, when we started to use this new technique, about 732000 FiberTapes® have been sold, and a total of only 95 complications due to FiberTapes® have been reported so far (internal information by Arthrex). According to Peter Miller FiberTapes® have been recognized to be “incorporated” after 4 mo in revision shoulder surgery. Taken as a whole, FiberTapes® can be considered very safe implants. Alternative applications of FiberTapes®, SwiveLocks® or the InternalBrace™ technique, respectively, are augmentation of the anterolateral ligament of the knee, additional AC-joint stabilization in the horizontal plane, augmentation of the ulnar collateral ligaments for elbow stabilization, or minimally invasive repair of ruptured Achilles tendons[^3,29,30].

SYNDESMOTIC INTERNALBRACE™ - SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

Primary feasibility studies in human cadaver models showed that the syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique can be performed easily in a minimally invasive fashion (Figures 2 and 3). A longitudinal incision about 15 mm long was performed at the level of the ankle joint line just a few millimeters anterior and posterior of the distal fibula. An aiming drill guide was used to insert a k-wire into the distal fibula from the anterior to the posterior footprint of the syndesmotic ligaments for creating a bone tunnel using a 2.7 mm cannulated drill (Figure 2A). A FiberTape® was inserted through the bone tunnel until the middle of the tape was inside the tunnel. The FiberTape® was then locked securely inside the bone tunnel of the distal fibula by use of an interference screw (SwiveLock® 3.5 mm) to avoid movements of the tape inside the tunnel with potential sawing effects (Figure 2B). Using the existing approaches, 3.4 mm bone tunnels were drilled at the tibial footprints of the anterior and posterior syndesmotic ligaments identified by fluoroscopy, and after adequate tapping of the bone tunnels and correct positioning of the distal fibula, both free ends of the FiberTape® were fixed into the bone tunnels with a 4.75 mm SwiveLock® (Figure 2C-F). Control of the minimally invasively performed positioning of the implants was possible by extensive opening of the cadaver situs. The view from anterolateral (Figure 3A) and from posterolateral (Figure 3B) on the left ankle joint reveals correct placement of the four anchors for anatomic reduction and augmentation of the anterior and posterior tibiofibular ligaments. Based on these positive results of the feasibility studies we started to use this technique in patients.

According to the individual injury pattern, patients were either treated by the new syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique alone as a single anterior stabilization (Figure 4), or in combination with one posteriorly directed TightRope® as a double stabilization (Figures 5 and 6), or in combination with one TightRope® and a posterolateral malleolar screw fixation as a triple stabilization (Figure 7).

SINGLE ANTERIOR STABILIZATION

Figure 4 shows the clinical example of a 32-year-old female soccer player with acute injury of the anterior syndesmosis after supination-inversion sprain of the right ankle (Figure 4A). We sutured the torn ligament (Figure 4B) and performed a single stabilization of the anterior syndesmosis with a 3.5 mm SwiveLock® at the fibular and a 4.75 mm SwiveLock® at the tibial footprint, respectively (Figure 4C). In case of open surgery, the fibular and tibial footprints can be identified by direct visualization just following the fibers of the injured ligament. Here it is important to avoid distal malpositioning of the SwiveLocks to prevent impinging of the FiberTape® on the anterolateral aspect of the talus. To avoid over-constraining of the anterior syndesmosis a hemostat clamp can be put under the FiberTape® during tensioning. After surgery we performed a CT scan to verify anatomic positioning of the ankle mortise and correct screw placement (Figure 4D).

DOUBLE STABILIZATION

Figure 5 shows a double stabilization with an anterior InternalBrace™ and one posteriorly directed TightRope® resulting in a perfect indirect reduction of the small posterolateral avulsion fragment. The 45-year-old male patient sustained a type B ankle fracture with posterolateral subluxation of the talus due to an avulsion of the posterolateral malleolus (Figures 6A, C, E and G). After standard plate osteosynthesis of the distal fibula the syndesmosis remained unstable, especially when performing external rotation or posterior translation of the distal fibula. Due to the multidirectional instability of
the syndesmosis a double stabilization was performed. Here the sequence of stabilization is important: First the anterior stabilization should be performed ensuring anatomic positioning of the distal fibula under direct visualization so that the posteriorly directed second stabilization using the TightRope® will not lead to malreduction. In contrast, not directing the TightRope® posteriorly could lead to malreduction in kind of anterior displacement or malrotation of the distal fibula. To protect the neurovascular bundle the surgeon has to check under fluoroscopy if the aiming k-wire enters the tibia on the lateral side and comes out of the tibia at the medial side, and before overdrilling the k-wire the surgeon has to ensure that the k-wire comes out of the tibia at the medial side anterior to the tendon of the posterior tibial muscle. Figure 6 shows the comparison of preoperative

Figure 2 Minimally invasive anatomic augmentation of the anterior and posterior syndesmosis in a cadaver model (A-F). Note: The FiberTape® has to be locked securely inside the bone tunnel of the distal fibula by use of an interference screw to avoid movements of the Tape inside the tunnel with potential sawing effects.

Figure 3 Control of the positioning of the implants by extensive opening of the cadaver situs. View from anterolateral (A) and from posterolateral (B) on a left ankle joint: correct placement of the four anchors for anatomic reduction and augmentation of the anterior and posterior tibiofibular ligament.
(left) and postoperative (right) CT scans revealing anatomic positioning (Figure 6F, H) and rotation (Figure 6J) of the distal fibula and indirect anatomic reduction of the fracture of the posterior malleolus (Figure 6D, F and H).

**TRIPLE STABILIZATION**

Figure 7 shows a syndesmotic InternalBrace™ for triple stabilization with an additional posterolateral screw. The 27-year-old male patient sustained a type C Maisonneuve ankle fracture during a mountain bike accident. The anterior syndesmosis was disrupted and the posterolateral malleolus was fractured. The high fibular fracture did not need osteosynthesis. In a first step, the posterior malleolus was directly refixed with a lag screw via a posterolateral approach (Figure 7A). Then
the anterior syndesmosis was augmented with an InternalBrace™ after anatomic reduction of the distal fibula under direct view via an anterolateral approach (Figure 7B and C). And finally, the posterolateral screw fixation was augmented by a slightly posteriorly directed TightRope® inserted at a level just above the tibial incisura, resulting in a perfect anatomical positioning of the distal fibula, which initially had been highly unstable due to the Maisonneuve fracture (Figure 7D).

Moreover, we found that the syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique is quite suitable for anatomic refixation and stabilization of displaced bony avulsion fragments too small for screw fixation. For example, Figure 8 shows X-rays of a 43-year-old male patient who sustained a trimalleolar dislocation fracture of the right ankle joint during a motor bike accident. After immediate closed reduction and cast immobilization, CT scans of the ankle showed tibial avulsion of the anterior tibiofibular ligament with dislocation of a bone fragment (black arrow) too small for screw fixation (Figure 9A). Furthermore, complete closed reduction was not possible due to a small bone fragment (white arrow) interposed between distal tibia and fibula (Figure 9B). Figure 9C and D reveal a displaced avulsion of a small fragment of the posterolateral malleolus. Due to the fracture pattern the patient was treated by open surgery (Figure 10).

The distal fibula and the anterolateral ankle joint were exposed by a lateral approach. Note the small bony tibial avulsion fragment of the anterior tibiofibular ligament (black arrow) and the corresponding avulsion site (white arrow) at the tubercle de Chaput (Figure 10A). After reduction of the avulsion fragment the whole ligament proved to be intact (Figure 10B). After insertion of a FiberTape® about 4 mm proximal and medial of the avulsion site (Figure 10C) with a 4.75 mm SwiveLock®, standard osteosynthesis of the distal fibula was performed using an anatomic preformed locking plate (Arthrex®, Naples, United States). The reduced tibial avulsion fragment was then stabilized with a FiberTape® fixed by the tibial 4.75 mm SwiveLock® and by knots.
under the osteosynthesis plate.

Postoperative X-rays of the ankle showed anatomic reduction of the syndesmotic injury (Figure 11). The tibial bone tunnel for the InternalBrace® is clearly visible (black arrow). Postoperative CT scans in Figure 12 revealed anatomic reduction of the tibial avulsion (white arrows) of the anterior tibiofibular ligament (Figure 12A and C) as well as anatomic reduction of the ankle mortise (Figure 12D). The tibial bone tunnel (black arrows) for the InternalBrace® is clearly visible (Figure 12A and B).

In the field of surgical treatment for unstable syndesmotic injuries, intraoperative testing of the stability of the syndesmosis still remains a major problem, and a normal classical hook test is not sufficient to exclude a clinically relevant syndesmotic instability[31]. Figure 13 shows an example of an intraoperative testing of syndesmotic stability after distal fibula plating of a type B ankle fracture: The classical hook test (Figure 13A and B) shows no lateral translation of the distal fibula while pulling the distal fibula laterally and pushing the distal tibia medially, indicating a normal result without syndesmotic instability. However, the same ankle joint shows relevant rotational instability of the anterior tibiofibular ligament (Figure 13C and D) indicating the need for surgical stabilization. Intraoperative testing of syndesmotic rotational stability under direct visualization after distal fibula plating using a mounted drill bit for locking screws is shown in Figure 14. The ankle joint shows relevant external rotational instability of the anterior tibiofibular ligament (Figure 14B) indicating the need for surgical stabilization. Note the clear opening of the star figure (white arrow) normally built by the tibiofibular, tibiotalar and talofibular joint lines (black arrow) by external rotation of the distal fibula (Figure 14B). Due to the well-known problems of fluoroscopic intraoperative stability testing of the syndesmosis reported in the current literature, an open visualization of the syndesmosis during the reduction maneuver and stability testing has recently been recommended[13]. Disadvantages of the described procedures are higher costs of implants and may be an increased surgical time compared to using classical syndesmotic screws.

Figure 10  Surgical treatment of the patient from Figure 8. Note the small bony tibial avulsion fragment of the anterior tibiofibular ligament (black arrow) and the corresponding avulsion site (white arrow) at the tubercule de Chaput (A). After reduction of the avulsion fragment the whole ligament proved to be intact (B). Insertion of a FiberTape® about 4 mm proximal and medial of the avulsion site with a 4.75 mm SwiveLock® (C). Standard osteosynthesis of the distal fibula was performed using an anatomic preformed locking plate (Arthrex®, Naples, United States). The reduced tibial avulsion fragment was then stabilized with a FiberTape® fixed by the tibial 4.75 mm SwiveLock® and by knots under the osteosynthesis plate (D).

Figure 11  Postoperative X-rays of the ankle from Figure 8 showing anatomic reduction of the syndesmotic injury (A, B). The tibial bone tunnel for the InternalBrace® is visible (black arrow).

Figure 12  Postoperative computed tomography scans of the ankle from Figure 8 showing anatomic reduction of the tibial avulsion (white arrows) of the anterior tibiofibular ligament (A, C) as well as anatomic reduction of the ankle mortise (D); the tibial bone tunnel (black arrows) for the InternalBrace® is clearly visible (A, B).
OVER THE HORIZON

Our preliminary clinical results indicate that anatomic reconstruction with rotational stabilization of the syndesmosis can be realized regularly by use of the reported new syndesmotic InternalBrace™ technique. A clinical trial for prospective evaluation of the functional outcomes has just been started at our hospital.

And - based on our positive results - a new syndesmosis plate is currently developed with added suture holes for easier mounting of the FiberTapes® for performing a syndesmotic InternalBrace™.
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