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Adsorption-based atmospheric water harvesting device for arid climates

Hyunho Kim1, Sameer R. Rao1, Eugene A. Kapustin2,3, Lin Zhao1, Sungwoo Yang1, Omar M. Yaghi2,3,4 & Evelyn N. Wang1

Water scarcity is a particularly severe challenge in arid and desert climates. While a substantial amount of water is present in the form of vapour in the atmosphere, harvesting this water by state-of-the-art dewing technology can be extremely energy intensive and impractical, particularly when the relative humidity (RH) is low (i.e., below ~40% RH). In contrast, atmospheric water generators that utilise sorbents enable capture of vapour at low RH conditions and can be driven by the abundant source of solar-thermal energy with higher efficiency. Here, we demonstrate an air-cooled sorbent-based atmospheric water harvesting device using the metal–organic framework (MOF)-801 [Zr6O4(OH)4(fumarate)6] operating in an exceptionally arid climate (10–40% RH) and sub-zero dew points (Tempe, Arizona, USA) with a thermal efficiency (solar input to water conversion) of ~14%. We predict that this device delivered over 0.25 L of water per kg of MOF for a single daily cycle.
Enabling access to fresh potable water in desert and arid regions is a critical challenge and tightly coupled to social and economic development. Water scarcity is difficult to address in areas that are landlocked and have limited infrastructure, such that mature water purification technologies, i.e., reverse osmosis and multi-stage flash, are challenging to implement. Atmospheric water generators (AWGs) can take advantage of solar energy via photovoltaics (refrigeration-based) or solar thermal (sorption-based) to harvest moisture from air. Typical AWGs utilise refrigeration to cool large volumes of air well below the dew point to condense water. The amount of energy consumed to harvest water from the air dramatically increases as the humidity or ambient temperature decreases. Desert and arid regions, unfortunately, have day-time relative humidities (RH; \( P_{\text{sat}}/P_{\text{vap}} \), vapour pressure over saturation pressure) as low as \( \sim 10\% \) with a vapour content of approximately 3 L of liquid water for every one million litres of air. For these conditions, the dew point can be sub-zero, requiring a large amount of energy to freeze and collect water out of air. Though the typical night-time RH can be as high as \( \sim 40\% \), the lower ambient temperature (-20°C) prevents water harvesting with refrigeration-based AWGs. As a result, the practical implementation of refrigeration-based AWGs is infeasible.

AWGs that take advantage of solar-thermal processes are a promising alternative to capture and deliver water in arid regions. In this approach, a sorbent is first saturated with water from air and subsequently heated to release and condense the water.

By selecting the desired sorbent characteristics (e.g., shape and step position of the isotherm, saturation capacity, and binding energy), solar-thermal-driven water harvesting is viable and efficient even in low RH conditions. Recently, we demonstrated that metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are particularly attractive because they can capture more water and require lower regeneration temperatures for its release compared to conventional sorbents (e.g., zeolites/silica gels or liquid brines). In addition, their step-like isotherms suggest that a small change in temperature and/or RH can lead to a large change in uptake and water release. Our previous proof-of-concept device showed that with MOF-8014, water harvesting is possible (Cambridge, MA, USA, with \( \sim 65\% \) RH), with a temperature differential of \( \sim 45 \) K between the MOF layer and condenser. While this demonstration as well as several prior studies have shown that the approach is viable, demonstration under representative conditions of desert/arid climates has not been achieved. Here, we experimentally demonstrate an air-cooled MOF-801-based water harvesting device operating in the arid climate of southwestern United States (Tempe, AZ, USA) with a day-time RH as low as 10% and under sub-zero dew points. In addition, we analysed the water from the adsorption–desorption/condensation process with MOF-801 and confirmed that the MOF compound is stable to water and the metal ions and organic linkers do not contaminate the produced water.

Cyclic water harvesting (i.e., multiple adsorption–desorption cycles a day) is challenging in extremely arid regions due to the low day-time humidity (\( \sim 10\% \) RH) that prevents water adsorption, i.e., the RH is lower than the adsorption step of MOF-801. Consequently, to achieve maximum water production for a single cycle, in this work, we implemented significant design improvements over our prior proof-of-concept1. First, we optimised and engineered the device to completely saturate during the night-time humidity swing (20–40% RH). Second, with an optical concentration of less than 2x and buoyancy-assisted vapour transport during condensation, the overall thermal efficiency (i.e., product of latent heat of water and mass of harvested water per unit input solar energy) was estimated to be \( \sim 14\% \). This corresponds to an increase of \( \sim 5\times \) in comparison to operation without optical concentration and enabled complete regeneration with MOF-801.

Operation in such arid regions also opens an interesting avenue for increasing water harvesting output with passive radiative cooling by leveraging the typically clear sky. The clear night sky and low vapour content in the atmosphere enables dissipation of long-wavelength (infrared) thermal radiation from the device to the cold sky to cool it below its ambient temperature. By facing the device to the sky during adsorption, a \( \sim 3 \) K temperature drop was achieved, which corresponds to an increase in 5–7% RH experienced by the adsorbent. This passive cooling can lead to opportunities to utilise other adsorbents that have their adsorption steps located beyond the typical levels of RH in specific regions.

Results

Device design and operation. Our operational principle involves a single daily cycle where adsorption occurs during night-time at a higher humidity (20–40% RH) and solar-assisted desorption/water production occurs during day-time at a lower humidity (10–20% RH), schematically described in Fig. 1a. The device consists of two key components, an adsorber layer (MOF) and an air-cooled condenser in an enclosure. The back side of the MOF layer is coated black and serves as a solar absorber. During night-time adsorption, the enclosure side walls are opened and the MOF layer is saturated with vapour from the natural flow of ambient air and passively cooled with radiation to the sky. During day-time water production, the enclosure is closed and the solar absorber side is covered with an optically transparent thermal insulator (OTTI aerogel)9,10. The MOF layer is heated by exposure to solar irradiance, causing water release (desorption). The desorbed water vapour diffuses from the MOF layer to the condenser due to a concentration gradient. Accumulation of vapour in the enclosure leads to saturation conditions and consequently, the condensation process occurs at ambient temperature. The heat of condensation is dissipated to the ambient by a heat sink. The adsorbents need to be selected based on the typically available ambient RH for water adsorption. MOF-801 was chosen in our study because it exhibits an adsorption step located around 20% RH and is well-suited for the specific climate tested (Tempe, AZ, USA). Furthermore, MOF-801 is hydrothermally stable and well-characterised for water adsorption including having high stability to cycling water in and out of the pores.

The amount of water that can be harvested in a single cycle using MOF-801 can be evaluated based on the adsorption isotherm shown in Fig. 1b. For representative conditions in our test location, with a night-time ambient temperature of 15–25°C and RH of \( \sim 30\% \) during adsorption, the equilibrium uptake is estimated to be \( \sim 0.25 \) kg kg\(^{-1} \) (kg of water per kg of MOF-801). To achieve complete desorption (at \( \sim 10\% \) RH, see Fig. 1b), with a day-time ambient (condenser) temperature of 30°C (saturation vapour pressure, \( P_{\text{sat}} = 4.2 \) kPa), the adsorbent must be heated to a minimum of 77°C (\( P_{\text{sat}} = 42 \) kPa). This corresponds to a target temperature difference of \( \sim 45 \) K between the adsorber and the condenser.

To attain these operating conditions, based on the computational simulation (Supplementary Note 1), the prototype design described in our prior study was further optimised and engineered (Supplementary Note 4). A MOF layer (base of 5 cm by 5 cm with \( \sim 3 \) g of MOF-801) was fabricated using a porous copper foam. The solar absorber side of the MOF layer was coated with pyromark paint with a solar absorbance of \( \sim 0.95 \). The MOF layer density and thickness were optimised for operation in arid climates based on the transport properties of...
MOF-801. A packing porosity of 0.67 (or packing density of 464 kg m\(^{-3}\)) and thickness of 2.57 mm were chosen for the MOF layer (see Supplementary Fig. 7). These optimised parameters enable saturation within the limited time window, i.e., during the humidity swing (increase) in the night-time (roughly under 8 h in a 20–40% RH environment) and to maximise water harvesting capacity. Due to the fixed side walls of the small-scale device (unlike Fig. 1a), which prevented access to air flow (vapour source), the MOF layer was secured in a separate enclosure that allowed adequate access to air (Supplementary Fig. 1). The condenser of the device was fabricated with a copper plate (4 cm by 4 cm and 0.6 cm thick) attached to a commercial air-cooled heat sink (NH-L9x65, Noctua) to efficiently dissipate the heat from condensation to the ambient. The condenser was air-cooled throughout the entire experiment. To suppress convective heat loss from the solar absorber side of the MOF layer during solar-assisted desorption, an OTTI aerogel with a thermal conductivity of less than 0.03 W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) and solar transmittance of 0.94 (see Methods) was stacked on the MOF layer as shown in Figs. 1a, 2. The use of OTTI aerogel is well-
suited for arid climates due to the inherently low RH and no degradation during testing was observed. In order to prevent vapour leak during desorption, a transparent plastic wrap (solar transmittance of ~0.93) was used to seal the device, leading to an overall solar transmittance and absorptance loss of ~17% (83% sun to thermal conversion efficiency) with an effective heat loss coefficient of 9–10 W m⁻² K⁻¹. To help overcome these solar-thermal losses and improve water harvesting thermal efficiencies, experiments were also performed with a biconvex lens (9 cm diameter) that was used to achieve an optical concentration of 1.8x during desorption. The spacing between the MOF layer and condenser (~1.8 cm) was also reduced in comparison to our prior study to enable faster vapour diffusion during condensation while maintaining minimal heat loss from the MOF layer to the condenser. One of the lateral walls of the device was made transparent to serve as a view port for visualisation.

**Water harvesting experiments.** Five water harvesting cycles were performed between May 11 and May 18, 2017 (Tempe, AZ, USA) with the same MOF layer. Prior to the first cycle, the MOF layer was heated and dehydrated under direct solar radiation to ~50 °C and in an ambient of 35 °C and RH less than 20% for ~1.5 h. The experimental procedures and measurement/instrumentation details are presented in Methods. We initiated the water harvesting cycle around 20:00 hours local time. The absorber (black) side was positioned to face the clear sky to enable passive radiative cooling, reducing the MOF layer temperature below its ambient. Temperature drops of ~3 K were consistently observed throughout the adsorption phase of the five consecutive water harvesting cycles. This reduction in temperature corresponds to a 5–7% increase in effective RH experienced by the MOF layer. While the ambient vapour pressure was constant in this case, the saturation pressure is now experienced by the MOF layer. While the ambient vapour (or adsorbed vapour) (see Supplementary Note 5). Due to the limited view angle of solar irradiance enhanced mass transfer during condensation and minimal heat loss from the MOF layer to the condenser. One of the lateral walls of the device was made transparent to serve as a view port for visualisation.

**Prediction of harvested water.** High-fidelity computational simulations based on the characteristics of MOF-801 (Supplementary Figs. 9 and 10) were used to predict the water harvesting capacity of the device. Experimentally measured ambient and condenser temperatures, solar flux and RHs were used for the initial and boundary conditions. For the representative non-concentrated cycle, ~0.12 L of water per kg of MOF-801 was delivered following saturation at 40% RH (equilibrium uptake of ~0.28 kg kg⁻¹). From the equilibrium considerations presented in Fig. 1b, after the desorption phase, the residual uptake at ~13% RH (adsorber at 74 °C and $P_{\text{sat}}$ of 37 kPa; condenser at 33 °C and $P_{\text{sat}}$ of 5 kPa) was ~0.09 kg kg⁻¹, leading to a net water production capacity of ~0.19 L kg⁻¹ (litres per kg of MOF). However, due to the kinetic limitations, the residual uptake at the end of the desorption was predicted to be only ~0.16 kg kg⁻¹, leading to ~0.12 L kg⁻¹ water production capacity. The kinetic limitations are dictated by intra/intercrystalline diffusion within the MOF layer as well as the vapour diffusion between the MOF layer and condenser. Similarly, for a representative cycle with an optical concentration (1.8x), an adsorber temperature of 100 °C ($P_{\text{sat}}$ of 101 kPa) and a condenser temperature of 33 °C ($P_{\text{sat}}$ of 5 kPa), the water production capacity was predicted to be ~0.28 L kg⁻¹. This prediction is consistent with the estimate from the simulation (Supplementary Fig. 10). Here, the kinetic limitations were overcome by the higher adsorber temperature as well as the buoyancy-assisted vapour transport during condensation.

As a result of the optical concentration and tilting of the device, we predicted a thermal efficiency gain of ~5x in comparison to the non-concentrated cycle. Accordingly, with the concentration, the thermal efficiency was ~14% with input solar energy (product of DNI and optical concentration; herein, DNI was ~93% of GNI for the test location) and was ~3% for the non-concentrated cycle with GHI. The present device configuration and ambient conditions can deliver over ~0.34 L m⁻²·cycle⁻¹ (litres per m² of MOF layer base area per cycle) with the 1.8x solar concentration.

**Water quality analysis.** Though hydrothermal stability of MOF-801 has been extensively studied and well-established, we quantitatively characterised the quality of the harvested water using a bench-top adsorption cycling system that enables sufficient water collection (see Methods and Supplementary Fig. 11).
Results from inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS) analysis indicate that the zirconium (metal ion of MOF-801) concentration in the water was found to be less than 1 ppb (parts per billion) as shown in Supplementary Fig. 12. In addition, the harvested water was analysed using infrared spectroscopy and evidence of organic linkers (fumarate) was not found, indicating that the compositions from MOF-801 did not contaminate the harvested water (see Supplementary Note 6 and Supplementary Fig. 13).

**Fig. 3** Water harvesting test results. a, b Representative temperature profiles (environmental, MOF layer, dew point and condenser) and solar flux (global horizontal irradiance (GHI) or direct normal irradiance (DNI)) as a function of local time for representative non-concentrated (Cycle 2, May 14–15, 2017) and concentrated with 1.8× (Cycle 5, May 17–18, 2017) cycles, respectively. c, d Representative photos illustrating droplet condensation on the copper plate condenser (4 cm by 4 cm) during the water harvesting process as a function of local time for representative non-concentrated (cycle 2) and concentrated (cycle 5) cycles, respectively. Shortly after the solar exposure, the view port fogged up due to condensation of desorbed vapour for both cycles. Thermocouples (TCs) measuring the condenser, air gap and the MOF layer temperatures are also shown. Due to the higher solar flux with the concentration, the rate of temperature increase of the MOF layer was significantly faster than the non-concentrated cycle, reducing the time required for desorption. The temperature slope change at ~11:45 hours local time indicates near completion of desorption. The predicted amount of harvested water for the non-concentrated (cycle 2) and concentrated (cycle 5) cycles were ~0.12 L and ~0.28 L per kg of MOF, respectively. Scale bars are 1 cm.
Discussion
The concept of using night-time radiative cooling to increase the effective RH experienced by the adsorber layer was also introduced and discussed in this work. This approach opens possibilities to use MOFs with higher water uptake values and even lower regeneration temperatures, such as MOF-84111 or COCl₂-BTBD12, in climates with ~20% RH even though their adsorption step is located at 25–30% RH.

FAM Z-series (functional adsorbent material zeolite), also referred to as AQSOA series13, offer step-like isotherms located at 15–25% RH with adsorption capacities of ~0.2 kg kg⁻¹ (AQSOA Z01 and Z05). The device design and operation presented in this work can be similarly extended with such advanced zeolites. However, the synthetic flexibility and ability to tune adsorption properties are unique to MOFs14,15 and, therefore, ideally suited for atmospheric water harvesting systems. While the chosen MOF-801 in this study can deliver ~0.34 L m⁻² cycle⁻¹ (or ~0.28 L kg⁻¹ cycle⁻¹), further improvements can be realised with the development of new MOFs. For instance, with an identical device design and optimisation, a cobalt-based MOF (COCl₂-BTBD)12 with an adsorption capacity greater than 0.8 kg kg⁻¹ at ~30% RH can lead to ~1 L m⁻² cycle⁻¹ of water output, potentially surpassing the water harvesting output of advanced zeolites. While sorption kinetics of this MOF is relatively slower than MOF-801, we envision that the development of new MOFs with enhanced sorption capacities and kinetics ultimately lead to a significant increase in water harvesting output.

Methods
Synthesis and characterisation of microcrystalline powder MOF-801. In a 500 mL screw-capped jar, 5.8 g (50 mmol) of fumaric acid (Fluka, 99%) and 16 g (50 mmol) of ZnCl₂ were dissolved in 700 mL DMF, three times 100 mL methanol, and dried in air. Air-dried MOF sample was then evacuated at room temperature for 5 h until the pressure dropped below 1 kPa. After that, the sample was heated in vacuum at 130 °C for 6 h to give as-prepared MOF-801 as white precipitate. The precipitate from three reaction jars was collected by filtration apparatus using a membrane filter (45 μm pore size). The obtained thin films with 100 mL DMF, three times 100 mL methanol, and dried in air. Dried MOF sample was transferred to a vacuum chamber. The chamber was first evacuated at room temperature for 5 h until the pressure dropped below 1 kPa. After that, the sample was heated in vacuum at 130 °C for 6 h, and then at 150 °C for another 48 h. The finally activated MOF-801 as a white powder (yield: 30 g).

Low-pressure gas (N₂ and Ar) adsorption isotherms (Supplementary Fig. 2) were measured using volumetric gas adsorption analyser (Autosorb-1, Quantachrome). Liquid nitrogen and argon baths were used for the measurements at 77 and 87 K, respectively. The powdered particle density (ρ₀) of activated MOF-801 was estimated to be 1400 ± 20 kg m⁻³ from the pycnometer (Ultrapyc 1200e, Quantachrome) (dry) and BET pore volume measurements (Vₚ = 0.3425 cm³ g⁻¹) using the following equation:

\[ \rho_0 = \frac{1}{(V_p + 1/\rho_c)} \]

The particle size (see Supplementary Fig. 4) and intercrystalline diffraction characteristics of the powder MOF-801 were characterised and details are presented in Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Fig. 5. Additionally, the intra-crystalline diffraction characteristics were characterised as detailed in Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Fig. 6.

Synthesis and optical characterisation of OTTI aerogel. The OTTI silica aerogel was synthesised by sol-gel polymerisation of tetramethyl orthosilicate (TMOS, Sigma Aldrich), using an ammonia solution (NH₃, 2.0 M in methanol, 341428, Sigma Aldrich) as a catalyst to promote both hydrolysis and condensation reactions36,38. TMOS was diluted by methanol (MeOH, 322415, Sigma Aldrich) followed by addition of NH₃ and water. The mixing molar ratio of chemicals was NH₃/TMOS/water=methanol = 0.004:1:4.6. Then, the solution was gelled in a disposable 25 mL screw-capped jar, 5.8 g (50 mmol) of fumaric acid (Fluka, 99%) and 16 g (50 mmol) of ZnCl₂ was transferred to a vacuum chamber. The chamber was evacuated for 5 h at 130 °C. The OTTI silica aerogel was then dried in air and then heated in vacuum at 70 °C for 12 h, and then at 150 °C for another 48 h. This process was repeated until the water harvest output of advanced zeolites. While the chosen MOF-801 in this study can deliver ~0.34 L m⁻² cycle⁻¹ (or ~0.28 L kg⁻¹ cycle⁻¹), further improvements can be realised with the development of new MOFs. For instance, with an identical device design and optimisation, a cobalt-based MOF (COCl₂-BTBD) was used, leading to ~1 L m⁻² cycle⁻¹ of water output, potentially surpassing the water harvesting output of advanced zeolites. While sorption kinetics of this MOF is relatively slower than MOF-801, we envision that the development of new MOFs with enhanced sorption capacities and kinetics ultimately lead to a significant increase in water harvesting output.

Passive operation can be enabled with concentrating thermal energy with larger absorber areas16 or with stationary re-341428, Sigma Aldrich) as a catalyst to promote both hydrolysis and condensation reactions (Supplementary Fig. 1). The sides of the air-tight container were modified to fit a fan (0.9 W; 12 VDC) and enable cross flow of ambient air (vapour source). Two T-type thermocouple (5TC series, Omega Engineering) were used to measure the temperature of the adsorber layer during adsorption. In order to estimate the extent of radiative cooling and ambient temperature, another T-type thermocouple was placed in the air stream of another fan. Relative humidity measurements were made with a capacitive RH sensor (RH820U, Omega Engineering) to a heat pipe heat sink (NH-L9x65, Noctua). The air-cooled heat sink consisted of a finned heat pipe array with a fan that consumed ~0.9 W of electrical power to dissipate the condensation heat. The finished device measured 7 × 7 × 3.2 cm (excluding the heat sink, fan, insulation and aerogel) and was mounted on a stage with adjustable tilt to enable experiments under both GHI (no concentration, no tilt) and GNI (with optical concentration of 1.8x and tilt at elevation angles of 55–75° and arithmetical angles of 100–180°).

Experimental procedure. The water harvesting experiment comprises two phases: night-time vapour adsorption and day-time water harvesting and condensation. During vapour adsorption, typically started at 20:00 hours local time (UTC/GMT +7 h), the adsorber layer with its acrylic frame was mounted into the cover of an air-tight container (foam storage container, also used as a pyromark). The container was at ambient radiant cooling (Supplementary Fig. 1). The sides of the air-tight container were cooled by a heat pipe heat sink (NH-196x5, Noctua) and a heat source. The air-cooled heat sink consisted of a finned heat pipe array with a fan that consumed ~0.9 W of electrical power to dissipate the condensation heat. The finished device measured 7 × 7 × 3.2 cm (excluding the heat sink, fan, insulation and aerogel) and was mounted on a stage with adjustable tilt to enable experiments under both GHI (no concentration, no tilt) and GNI (with optical concentration of 1.8x and tilt at elevation angles of 55–75° and arithmetical angles of 100–180°).
embedded into the adsorption layer, three additional T-type thermocouples were used to measure temperatures: two for the copper condenser plate and one for the vapour space between the adsorber layer and the condenser plate. Ambient humidity and temperature conditions were recorded as described during the adsorption phase. The heat of condensation was dissipated to the ambient through the heat sink and fan operating at 0.9 W. The incoming solar irradiation (both global horizontal (GHI) and gaseous normal (GNN) irradiations) was measured with a pyranometer (LP02-C, Hukseflux). The measured GNI was used to evaluate the DNI as: DNI = GNI – DI (diffuse irradiance) according to the weather data available from a weather station in Tucson, AZ, USA (available at NREL, SOLRMAP University of Arizona (OASIS)) for clear days in May 2017. The ratio between the GNI and DNI was found to be 0.93 and it matched well to an available correlation. The area ratio between the lens and solar absorber surface was ~2.5; however, the achieved optical concentration of 1.8x was due to transmittance loss of the lens and the square solar absorber area being circumscribed by the circular concentrated solar irradiance (Fig. 2a). The actual optical concentration achieved with the concentrating lens was characterised to be 1.8x for the focal distance during the outdoor experiments with a thermopile detector (919p-040-49, Newport) and a solar simulator (92912, Newport Oriel). Solar transmittance of the transparent polyethylene wrap, ~0.93, was characterised with the pyranometer under direct solar irradiance. Images were acquired with a digital camera (EOS DS126311, Canon) to visualise the condensation process (see Fig. 3 and Supplementary movies 1 and 2). At the end of desorption, the MOF layer and its acrylic frame were extracted from the device to prevent re-adsorption of condensed water and isolated in an air-tight box. The adsorber assembly was only removed in the evening frame to restart the adsorption phase for the next cycle. A total of five water harvesting cycles were reported in this study: cycle 1 (Supplementary Fig. 8a and b), cycle 2 (Fig. 3a, c), cycle 3 (Supplementary Fig. 8c and d and Supplementary movie 2), cycle 4 (Supplementary Fig. 8e and f), and cycle 5 (Fig. 3b, d).

Water quality analysis. In order to quantitatively characterise the harvested water, a bench-top adsorption cycling system was constructed. A schematic of the water collection apparatus for ICP-MS analysis is shown in Supplementary Fig. 11a. The system consists of five main components, namely, adsorption and condenser chambers, a glass flask that serves as a reservoir for HPLC water (OmniSolv HPLC grade water, VWR), two temperature-controlled thermoelectric stages (CP-200HT-TT, TE Tech), and a vacuum pump. The adsorption and condenser chamber were custom-designed copper vacuum chambers (2 × 2 × 1 cm) with a removable lid. The adsorption chamber also had a layer of copper foam (2 × 2 × 0.8 cm) brazed to the bottom, which was infiltrated with activated MOF-801 (~1.5 g). These chambers were individually placed in thermal contact with a temperature-controlled thermoelectric stage that allowed for continuous cycling. The thermoelectric stages (Omni Engineering) were inserted into pilot holes made in the side walls of the copper chambers. For cycling, a pair of electronically controlled vacuum valves were used to link the adsorbent chamber to either the water reservoir during adsorption or the condenser chamber during desorption. The adsorption–desorption cycles were performed under evacuated conditions to enable efficient transport of vapour across distances of ~0.5 m through the hoses and valves as shown in Supplementary Fig. 11a. The water in the glass flask was first degassed to remove non-condensable gases by connecting it to the vacuum pump and freezing the water. The flask was then heated to melt the ice under evacuation and reduce the solubility of non-condensable gases. This cycle was repeated three times. The adsorption and condenser chambers were heated to 60 °C for 2 h under evacuated conditions to ensure there was no residual water in the system. The cycling experiments started with the adsorption phase, where the water reservoir was exposed to the adsorbent chamber. The dry adsorbent triggered evaporative condensation and the condensed vapor was adsorbed. During adsorption, the adsorber chamber was held at a constant temperature of 30 °C to extract the adsorption heat as well as prevent any condensation of vapour from the reservoir kept at ~20 °C. After complete adsorption (~40 min), the adsorption chamber was isolated from the water reservoir and exposed to the condenser chamber. The thermoelectric stage of the adsorption chamber was programmed to ramp up to 60 °C at this stage while the condenser stage was always maintained at 0.5 °C. The desorption was allowed to continue for 40 min at the end of which the adsorption chamber was opened to the reservoir and simultaneously cooled to 30 °C for the next cycle. Representative temperature and pressure profiles for a desorption–adsorption cycle are shown in Supplementary Fig. 11b. This cycle was repeated 18 times and about 8 g of condensed water was collected (i.e., ~0.3 L of water per kg of MOF per cycle).

The HPLC grade water from the reservoir was used as a control sample. The concentration of potentially contaminant elements was analysed using an inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry system (ICP-MS, Agilent 7700, 68043A). Both the harvested water and control sample were analysed for the following elements: iron (from tubes/hoses), copper (from foam, chambers and braze alloy), silver, iodine (both from braze alloy) and zincion (from MOF compound). In addition, FT-IR spectra of control water (HPLC grade) and the collected water from MOF-801 were collected in a Bakit in use using a Bruker ALPHA Platinum ATR-FT-IR Spectrometer equipped with a single reflection diamond ATR module.

Data availability. The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information or from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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