The hot dayside and asymmetric transit of WASP-189 b seen by CHEOPS
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ABSTRACT

The CHEOPS space mission dedicated to exoplanet follow-up was launched in December 2019, equipped with the capacity to perform photometric measurements at the 20 ppm level. As CHEOPS carries out its observations in a broad optical passband, it can provide insights into the reflected light from exoplanets and constrain the short-wavelength thermal emission for the hottest of planets by observing occultations and phase curves. Here, we report the first CHEOPS observation of an occultation, namely, that of the hot Jupiter WASP-189 b, a $M_P \approx 2M_J$ planet orbiting an A-type star. We detected the occultation of WASP-189 b at high significance in individual measurements and derived an occultation depth of $dF^\prime = 87.9 \pm 4.3$ ppm based on four occultations. We compared these measurements to model predictions and we find that they are consistent with an unreflective atmosphere heated to a temperature of $3435 \pm 27$ K, when assuming inefficient heat redistribution.

Furthermore, we present two transits of WASP-189 b observed by CHEOPS. These transits have an asymmetric shape that we attribute to gravity darkening of the host star caused by its high rotation rate. We used these measurements to refine the planetary parameters, finding a $\sim 25$% deeper transit compared to the discovery paper and updating the radius of WASP-189 b to $1.619 \pm 0.021 R_J$. We further measured the projected orbital obliquity to be $i = 86.4^{+20.2}_{-18.4}$ deg, a value that is in good agreement with a previous measurement from spectroscopic observations, and derived a true obliquity of $\Psi = 85.4 \pm 4.3$ deg.

Finally, we provide reference values for the photometric precision attained by the CHEOPS satellite: for the $V=6.6$ mag star, and using a one-hour binning, we obtain a residual RMS between 10 and 17 ppm on the individual light curves, and 5.7 ppm when combining the four visits.
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1. Introduction

The CHaracterising ExOPlanets Satellite (CHEOPS) is the first European space mission dedicated primarily to the study of known extrasolar planets. It consists of a 30 cm (effective) aperture telescope collecting ultra-high precision time-series photometry of exoplanetary systems in a broad optical passband (Benz et al. 2020). Unlike the previous space observatories dedicated to exoplanets, CoRoT (Baglin et al. 2006), Kepler (Borucki et al. 2010), K2 (Howell et al. 2014), and the ongoing TESS mission (Ricker et al. 2014), CHEOPS is a pointed mission, optimised to obtain high-cadence photometric observations at the 20 ppm level for a single star at a time. CHEOPS was launched successfully into a 700 km altitude Sun-synchronous polar orbit on 18 December 2019 and its first science observations were obtained in late March 2020.

As one of its first scientific targets, CHEOPS observed the ultra-hot Jupiter WASP-189 b (Anderson et al. 2018), a gas giant transiting the bright ($V = 6.6$ mag) A-type star HD 133112. WASP-189 b is one of the most highly irradiated planets known thus far, with a dayside equilibrium temperature of $\sim 3400$ K (Anderson et al. 2018). It orbits an early-type star similarly to the extreme object KELT-9b (Gaudi et al. 2017), but with a longer orbital period of 2.7 days, placing it closer, in temperature, to ultra-short period planets orbiting F and G stars. As such, this object allows us to comparatively probe the impact of different stellar spectral energy distributions and, in particular, strong short-wavelength irradiation on planetary atmospheres. As it is orbiting around an A-type star, the system is also relatively young
(730 ± 130 Myr, see Section 2.2), providing us with a window into the atmospheric evolution of close-in gas giants.

In this paper, we report on CHEOPS observations of four occultations and two transits of WASP-189 b. We use the occultations to constrain the planet’s temperature and reflective properties and the transits to revise the planetary radius and determine the system’s orbital obliquity from the gravity darkening of the host star and the associated light curve asymmetry. We describe the observations and data reduction in Section 2 discuss the results in Section 3 and present a brief conclusion in Section 4.

2. Observations, data reduction, and analysis

2.1. CHEOPS observations of WASP-189 b

We observed four occultations of WASP-189 b between 19 March and 7 April 2020. The individual observations lasted between 12.4 and 13 h, distributed over either seven or eight spacecraft orbits of 98.77 min, thus covering the 3.35 h occultation, together with substantial out-of-eclipse baseline. During the analysis of the occultation data, we obtained further observations of two transits of WASP-189 b with CHEOPS on 15 and 18 June 2020, which we subsequently included in the final analysis. The transit observations covered the transit, together with a total of six CHEOPS orbits obtained outside of it. The observations were interrupted for up to 41 and up to 17 min per orbit due to Earth occultations or passages through the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA), respectively. These instances can be seen as gaps in the light curves displayed in Figures 3 and 4. We used exposure times of 4.8 s and co-added, on board, seven individual exposures of the G=6.55 mag star, resulting in an effective cadence of 33.4 s. A full description of the CHEOPS telescope and the technical details of its observations is presented in Benz et al. (2020).

The data were processed with the CHEOPS data reduction pipeline (DRP, Hoyer et al. 2020), which performs image correction and uses aperture photometry to extract target fluxes for various apertures. The CHEOPS DRP was thoroughly tested, both using the CHEOPS data simulator (Futyan et al. 2020) and data obtained during commissioning. Using simulated data, we performed a series of injection and retrieval tests covering a range of planetary transit scenarios and levels of field crowding. The data obtained during the commissioning consisted of observations of stable stars that confirmed the stability of the photometry in the presence of interruptions due to SAA crossings and Earth occultations. During commissioning, we also carried out transit observations and verified that the retrieved transit parameters were in good agreement with literature values (see e.g., Benz et al. 2020).

For the occultations and the transits, versions 11 and 12 of the DRP were used, respectively. We found a minimal light curve RMS for the default aperture of 25 pixels.

Owing to the extended and irregular shape of CHEOPS’ point spread function (PSF) and the fact that the field rotates around the target along the satellite’s orbit, nearby stars produce a time-variable flux contamination in the photometric aperture, in phase with the spacecraft’s roll angle. As explained in Hoyer et al. (2020), the DRP automatically determines the level of such contamination in the target’s aperture for each exposure. The contamination is estimated from simulated images (Futyan et al. 2020) that are based on the CHEOPS PSF, the roll angle of each image, and the Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018) coordinates and magnitudes of all the stars with $G<19.5$ mag in the field of view. In order to determine the level of contamination, our simulations were created both with and without the target.

Due to its brightness, WASP-189 appears to be well-isolated in the observed data, but the simulations show two faint contaminating sources located inside the aperture, with $Gaia$ G magnitudes of 14.4 and 18.9 and distances of 9 and 19 arcsec from the target, respectively. Figure 1 shows a typical observation, as well as the corresponding simulated image containing only the background sources. We used these simulations to compute the time-variable contamination in the photometric aperture, finding that it is in excellent agreement with the observed flux variations on the CHEOPS orbital time scale. This allowed us to correct our photometric measurements for contamination (see Section 2.3).

2.2. Host star properties

To assist in our analysis of the WASP-189 system, we derived fundamental stellar parameters via spectral line and spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting, along with stellar evolution modelling. We estimated the stellar atmospheric parameters by comparing an average of 17 archival HARPS spectra with synthetic spectra computed using the synth3 code (Kochukhov 2007), employing the tools described in Fossati et al. (2007). We computed stellar atmosphere models using LLmodels (Shulyak et al. 2004). We used an iterative procedure to derive the effective temperature ($T_{eff}$) by imposing excitation equilibrium for both 57 FeI and 10 FeII lines, the surface gravity ($\log g$) by imposing Fe ionisation equilibrium, and the microturbulence velocity ($\xi_{mic}$) by minimising the standard deviation in the Fe abundance. Prior to fitting the lines, we measured the stellar projected rotational velocity ($v_{sinI}$ = 93.1±1.7 km s$^{-1}$) from several unbinned lines. We confirmed this measurement by applying the Fourier analysis technique (Gray 2005, Murphy et al. 2016) to a handful of unbinned lines. We find $T_{eff}$ = 8000±80 K, $\log g$ = 3.9±0.2, and $\xi_{mic}$ = 2.7±0.3 km s$^{-1}$. Both $T_{eff}$ and $\log g$ are in good agreement with those derived by Anderson et al. (2018). We measured an iron abundance [Fe/H] of +0.29±0.13 dex, as well as the abundances of C, O, Na, Mg, Si, S, Ca, Sc, Ti, Cr, Ni, Y, and Ba, obtaining the pattern shown in Appendix A.

The derived abundance pattern is typical of chemically peculiar metallic-line (Am) stars (Fossati et al. 2007, 2008), which are limited to stars with a rotational velocity lower than $\approx$100 km s$^{-1}$ (Michaud 1970). Therefore, as the measured stellar $v_{sinI}$ value is close to the maximum rotational velocity for which Am chemical peculiarities can arise, the stellar inclination angle should be close to 90 deg. The peculiar abundance pattern characterises only the stellar atmosphere and does not
reflect the internal abundances, which we estimate at +0.2 dex from the abundances of Mg, Si, and S – elements that have been shown to be a good probe of the internal stellar metallicity (Fossati et al. 2007, 2008).

In order to determine the stellar radius of WASP-189, we utilised the infrared flux method (IRFM; Blackwell & Shullis 1977), which permits the calculation of stellar angular diameter and $T_{\text{eff}}$ using previously derived relations between these parameters and optical and infrared broadband fluxes as well as the synthetic photometry conducted on stellar atmospheric models over the bandpasses of the observed data. We retrieved fluxes and corresponding uncertainties in the *Gaia* G, G$_{\text{BP}}$, and G$_{\text{RP}}$, 2MASS J, H, and K, and *WISE* W1 and W2 bandpasses taken from the most recent data release archives, respectively (Skrutskie et al. 2006; Wright et al. 2010; *Gaia* Collaboration et al. 2018). Stellar synthetic models (Castelli & Kurucz 2003) were fitted to the obtained broadband photometry in a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach, with priors on the stellar parameters taken from the spectroscopic analysis detailed above. The derived stellar angular diameter was combined with the *Gaia* parallax to determine the stellar radius, $R_{\text{IRFM}} = 2.362 \pm 0.030 R_\odot$. This value is in good agreement with the value reported in the discovery paper (Anderson et al. 2018), with a precision, in fact, that is four times greater.

Finally, we used $T_{\text{eff}}$, metallicity (using 0.2 ± 0.1 dex, see above), and $R_{\text{IRFM}}$ as inputs to obtain stellar mass and age through stellar evolution modeling. We merged the results from two independent approaches and stellar evolution codes: the L"{o}ffler code CLES with a Levenberg-Marquardt approach, as in Buldgen et al. (2016), and the PARSEC code with the approach described in Bonfanti et al. (2015, 2016). We varied the input physics in stellar models (particularly with regard to the importance of convective overshooting and mixing of elements induced by diffusion) and we checked the consistency between our two approaches, which was found to be excellent. We ultimately infer a mass of $M = 2.030 \pm 0.066 M_\odot$ and an age of $730 \pm 130$ Myr. The stellar parameters are listed in Table 1.

### 2.3. CHEOPS Data analysis

We initially carried out an analysis that included only the occultations observed during the first weeks of scientific operations. However, later transit observations evidently showed an unexpectedly deep transit. We included these new data in our analysis, as a well-measured planetary radius is needed to properly interpret the occultation signal.

In addition to the astrophysical signals, the light curves contain the effect of variable contamination, which introduces a V-shaped flux variation in phase with the spacecraft roll angle (clearly visible in Figure 2). Furthermore, several visits show trends with time, the origin of which could lie in δ Scuti or γ Doradus-type stellar pulsations.

#### 2.3.1. Occultation

We carried out the analysis using an MCMC framework (CO-NAN, Lendl et al. 2020), modeling the occultation signal at the same time as these signals of non-planetary origin to ensure a full propagation of uncertainties. To account for correlated noise, we made use of either parametric models (e.g. Gillon et al. 2010) or Gaussian Processes (GP; using the *George* package Ambikasaran et al. 2014), or a combination of both (i.e. using a parametric function multiplied with the transit model as the GP mean model). To prescribe the occultation light curve, we used a limb-darkening-free Mandel & Agol (2002) transit model. To account for our knowledge of the planetary transit parameters, we placed Gaussian priors corresponding to the values and uncertainties found from the CHEOPS transits (see Section 2.3.2) on the impact parameter, $b$, and the transit duration, $T_{14}$, the radius ratio, $R_p/R_\ast$. Uniform priors were assumed for the occultation depth, $d_F$, and the mid-transit time, $T_0$. The period was kept fixed and the eccentricity was assumed to be zero (as found by Anderson et al. 2018). For the radial velocity amplitude, $K$, and the stellar mass and radius ($M_\ast$, $R_\ast$), which are unconstrained by our analysis, we assumed Gaussian distributions, centred on the values of Anderson et al. (2018) or, where appropriate, the values reported in Section 2.2.

We explored a large range of models for the correlated noise, testing both parametric models composed of polynomials up to 4th order in the recorded state variables (most importantly: time, PSF center, contamination, and spacecraft roll angle) as well as GPs using time, roll angle, and contamination, or a combination of these, as input. We tested both a Matérn-3/2 and an exponential-squared kernel. We find that the systematics are equally well-modeled by using either a combination of time polynomials (modeling the slow trends) paired with a Matérn-3/2 GP with the telescope roll angle as input (modeling the contamination), or a combination of first- and second-order time polynomials together with a linear dependence on the contamination value. Both the results and derived uncertainties associated with each approach are fully compatible. We selected the latter as our preferred model, as it accounts for our physical understanding of the source of the roll-angle-dependent variability. We report the results of our analysis in Table 1. Individual light curves are shown in Figure 2 with the corrected and phase-folded data presented in Figure 5.

We also carried out an independent analysis using the *pycheops* package, which is being developed specifically for the analysis of CHEOPS data. Optimisation of the model parameters was done using *lmanfit* and detrending done either via a parametric method of decorrelating the data linearly against the contamination or roll angle, and quadratically against time, or a GP regression with a Matérn-3/2 kernel to model the flux against roll angle trend using the celerite package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2017). Again, we obtained values that are fully compatible with the reported ones.

#### 2.3.2. Transit

At the photometric precision reached by CHEOPS, the planetary transit can be seen to be asymmetric, a feature most readily explained by the presence of gravity darkening due to the combination of the host star’s fast rotation and the planet’s inclined orbit (von Zeipel 1924; Barnes 2009). Accounting for gravity darkening in transit models is computationally intensive and, therefore, we performed an independent analysis of the transits and used the results as priors for the analysis of the occultations (see Section 2.3.1). We used the Transit and Light Curve Modeller (TLCM, see Csizmadia 2020 for details) for this purpose. This code uses the analytic expressions of Mandel & Agol (2002) for the transit model and allows us to jointly model the transit together with various baseline models that account for correlated noise.

---

1. https://github.com/pmaxted/pycheops
2. https://lmfit.github.io/lmfit-py/
To model the gravity darkening, we compute a modification to the analytic model taking into account the varying stellar flux emitted along the planet’s transit path. To do so, the stellar surface is divided into 120x120 surface elements (in longitude and in latitude) and, for each, the surface effective temperature is calculated via

$$T_{\text{local}} = T_\star \left( \frac{\nabla V_{\text{local}}}{\nabla V_{\text{pole}}} \right)^{0.25}.$$  

(1)

We assume a polar temperature of $T_{\text{pole}} = 8000 \text{ K}$ and the above equation inherently assumes a gravity darkening exponent of 1.0, which is appropriate for hot stars (Claret et al. 2014). The local surface gravitational potential ($V$) is calculated by assuming a two-axial ellipsoidal shape of the host star and given as

$$V = \frac{n^2 a^3}{(1+q)r} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\text{rot}}^2 \sin^2 b,$$

(2)

with the mass ratio, $q = M_p/M_\star$, the mean motion, $n$, and the astrophographic latitude, $b$. The rotational angular velocity ($\omega_{\text{rot}}$) is calculated from the stellar radius, $R_\star = 2.36 \pm 0.030$, the $\nu \sin I_\star = 93.1 \pm 1.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (see Section 2.2), and the fitted stellar inclination. We fit two angles: the inclination of the stellar rotational vector, $I_\star$, and its tilt-angle relative to celestial north direction ($\Omega_{\text{star}} = 90^\circ - \lambda$). These two angles fully describe the orientation of the stellar rotational axis. From the stellar and planetary orbital geometry and the stellar deformation, we infer the local stellar temperature behind the planetary disc. We then convert this temperature into a fractional light loss (or gain) compared to the nominal transit model, assuming black-body radiation and integrating over the CHEOPS’ response function.

We fit these angles ($I_\star, \Omega_\star$) together with the transit shape parameters, $R_p/R_\star$, $b$, $T_0$, the relative semi-major axis, $a/R_\star$, and the linear combinations of the quadratic limb-darkening coefficients, $u_+$ and $u_-$. We assume a circular orbit and fix the period to that measured by Anderson et al. (2018). The roll-angle-dependent flux variation is accounted for through a baseline model in form of a fourth-order Fourier series for each light curve and we allow for a constant normalisation offset. As described in Ciszmadia (2020), we first explored a wide parameter space using a series of genetic algorithm and simulated annealing chains, before using the best solution found as a starting point for five independent MCMC chains of $10^6$ steps each. The convergence was checked through the Gelman & Rubin (1992) statistic.

We find a projected stellar obliquity of $\lambda = 86.4^{+2.9}_{-4.0} \text{ deg}$. The true obliquity $\Psi$ - the angle between the stellar rotational axis and the orbital angular momentum vector - can be calculated via

---

3 Stellar gravitational potential $V = GM/R_\star$ was expressed by more easily measurable quantities via Kepler’s third law.

---

Fig. 2. Individual CHEOPS observations of four WASP-189 b occultations. In both panels, visits are shown chronologically from top to bottom, occurring on 19, 27, and 30 March and 7 April 2020, respectively. Left: Uncorrected observations (black points) together with their full (baseline and occultation, red line) light-curve models. Blue vertical dash-dotted lines indicate the beginning and end of occultation. Right: Data (black points) corrected for the instrumental and stellar trends, together with the occultation model (red line).
cos Ψ = cos I. cos i + sin I. sin i cos λ,

(3)

and we find a value of Ψ = 85.4 ± 4.3 deg. Here, I., and i are the inclinations of the stellar rotational axis and the planetary orbit, respectively. The projected and true obliquity values found here are in good agreement with the findings of Anderson et al. (2018), who reported values of λ = 89.3 ± 1.4 deg and Ψ = 90 ± 5.8 deg based on spectroscopic measurements.

We list all inferred and derived parameters in Table 1. The full list of baseline function coefficients for transits and occultations is given in Appendix B. The individual and phase-folded transit light curves, together with the best-fit model, are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. For the sake of comparison, we also show a model fit obtained by assuming a spherical star without gravity darkening in Figure 5 (green curve). It is evident from the residuals that the full model provides an improved fit for the asymmetric transit shape.

3. Results

3.1. Revised planetary and system parameters

The new, high-precision CHEOPS observations allow us to substantially revise the planetary parameters, and the gravity-darkened nature of the stellar photosphere allows us to derive an independent measurement of the projected angle between the stellar spin and the planetary orbital axes.

The remarkable difference of our results compared to those of Anderson et al. (2018) is that we find a ∼25% deeper transit, which is inconsistent with their published value at the level of 4.5σ. Paired with updated stellar parameters, this corresponds to a ∼15% larger planetary radius (inconsistent at 2.9σ) and, hence, a smaller planetary mean density. We attribute this discrepancy to the difficulties in obtaining high-precision photometry for bright stars from the ground given that the quality of ground-based data for bright stars is limited by the paucity of bright nearby reference stars. The photometric follow-up presented in Anderson et al. (2018) is, furthermore, limited to partial transits, which often suffer from imprecisely determined photometric trends that can bias the observed transit depth. In contrast, neither the time trends related to stellar variability nor the roll-angle-dependent, in-orbit variations in CHEOPS data exhibit amplitudes that are large enough to create a transit depth offset of the observed magnitude. Furthermore, as described in Section 2.1, the CHEOPS DRS has been validated on well-known planetary transits.

From our gravity darkening analysis, we confirm a strongly misaligned orbit. While the analysis of the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect by Anderson et al. (2018) yields λ = 89.3 ± 1.4 deg, our
purely photometric analysis results in $\lambda = 86.4^{+2.9}_{-4.4}$ deg. Assuming that the star rotates more slowly than its break-up velocity, Anderson et al. (2018) find a true obliquity of $\Psi = 90.0^\circ \pm 5.8^\circ$. Our photometric analysis is able to provide an assumption-free value of $\Psi = 85.4^\circ \pm 4.3^\circ$.

3.2. CHEOPS occultation measurement

Based on a joint analysis of the four CHEOPS occultations, we determined the occultation depth of WASP-189 b in the CHEOPS passband to be $87.9 \pm 4.3$ ppm. The precision of this measurement exceeds that of previous measurements obtained with CoRoT (Parviainen et al. 2013), and TESS (see Wong et al. 2020 and references therein), and is comparable in precision with the occultation depth measurements of hot Jupiters inferred from several quarters worth of Kepler data (e.g. Angerhausen et al. 2015; Esteves et al. 2015; Morris et al. 2013).

The individual, unbinned, occultation light curves, which have a cadence of 33.4 s, have a residual RMS between 86 and 92 ppm. When applying binning into 10-minute and 1-hour intervals, we reach RMS values between 34 and 47, and 10 and 17 ppm, respectively. The phase-folded and binned residuals show an RMS of 23 and 5.7 ppm for 10-minute and 1-hour time bins, respectively. These values underline the excellent performance of CHEOPS.

Motivated by the high level of precision reached here, we also carried out independent analyses of each occultation to probe for any potential variation in the measured occultation depth. The occultation is detected at high significance in each individual light curve and the measurements are consistent at 1-$\sigma$ level. Thus, we find no significant sign of variability (see Table 2) in the dayside flux from WASP-189 b over the 19-day time span of our observations. At the same time, this illustrates that the value derived from a joint fit is not biased by any individual light curve.

3.3. The atmosphere of WASP-189 b

3.3.1. Model description

To interpret the occultation depth, the radiative transfer code HELIOS was used to calculate the spectral energy distribution (SED) of the dayside atmosphere of WASP-189 b. HELIOS solves for the thermal structure self-consistently (Malik et al. 2017; 2019). The model atmosphere is assumed to be cloud-free and in chemical equilibrium. We varied the planet’s atmospheric metallicity within $[\text{M}/\text{H}] = 0.2 \pm 0.3$, based on the stellar abundances. Sources of opacity include: spectral lines of atoms and ions of metals (Ca, Ca$^+$, Fe, Fe$^+$, Ti, Ti$^+$), Na, K; Kurucz & Bell (1995), which are predicted theoretically (e.g. Kitzmann et al. 2018) and observed at a high resolution in other ultra-hot Jupiters (e.g. Heemskerk et al. 2019); spectral lines of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, CO, CH$_4$, VO and TiO (Barber et al. 2006; Yurchenko & Tennyson 2014; Rothman et al. 2010; McKemmish et al. 2016; 2019); continuum absorption from the hydrogen anion (H$^-$; John 1988); $\text{H}_2$, $\text{H}_2$-$\text{He}$ and $\text{H}$-$\text{He}$ collision-induced absorption (Karman et al. 2019). It is worth noting that HELIOS includes albedo contributions from Rayleigh scattering due to molecules. As illustrated in Figure 6, our models predict that WASP-189 b possesses a thermal inversion, as inferred recently by Yan et al. (2020) from high-resolution spectroscopic observations. We report the planetary dayside temperature in Table 1 next to the brightness temperature computed under the assumption of black-body emission for star and planet. As described in Appendix C these are discrepant because the assumption of black-body emission is flawed due to the proximity of the CHEOPS band to the Balmer jump.

The measured occultation depth can be explained by a combination of thermal emission and a weakly-reflective atmosphere (i.e. geometric albedo $A_g \sim [0.1 - 0.3]$) for most values of the heat redistribution efficiency ($\epsilon$, see below). We note that thermal emission alone ($A_g = 0$) may account for the measured occultation depth if zero heat redistribution is assumed ($\epsilon = 0$).

3.3.2. Scattering by clouds/hazes

Since the heat redistribution efficiency ($\epsilon$) is unknown, a broader interpretation of the measured occultation depth may be obtained by assuming that scatterers of unknown origin and composition which are associated with clouds or hazes are present in the atmosphere.
model atmosphere. They are parameterised by a single value of the geometric albedo ($A_g$). The occultation depth has contributions from reflected light and thermal emission, namely,

$$dF_{occ} = A_g \left( \frac{R_p}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{\int F_p \, d\lambda}{\int F^* \, d\lambda} \left( \frac{R_p}{R^*} \right)^2.$$

(4)

The CHEOPS bandpass ($F$), the SED of the star ($F^*$), as computed in Section 2.2, and an example of the SED of WASP-189 b ($F_p$) are shown in Figure 6. As an input to HELIOS, the top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) flux impinging upon WASP-189 b is

$$F_{TOA} = F^* \left( \frac{R^*}{a} \right)^2 \left( 1 - A_B \right) \left( \frac{2}{3} \right) \left( \frac{5\epsilon}{12} \right).$$

(5)

where the heat redistribution efficiency ($0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$) follows the parametrisation of Cowan & Agol (2011). It is related to the commonly used redistribution factor of $1/4 \leq f \leq 2/3$ (Seager et al. 2005) via $\epsilon = 8/5 \sim 1.6$. To relate the geometric and Bond ($A_B$) albedos, isotropic scattering is assumed such that $A_g = 2A_B/3$.

Figure 5 shows that $A_g \sim 0.1$ models are easily consistent with the measured occultation depth if $\epsilon \sim 0.1$, which is, in turn, consistent with the values of geometric albedos measured for cooler hot Jupiters (Heng & Demory 2013).

4. Conclusions and outlook

In this paper, we present CHEOPS observations of the hot Jupiter WASP-189 b, capturing both the transit and the occultation of the highly irradiated planet. We robustly detect the occultation in individual epochs and measure a depth of $87.9 \pm 4.3$ ppm when combining four occultation light curves. Our measurement can be reproduced by atmospheric models with comparatively low albedo and heat redistribution efficiency. From two transit light curves, we derive updated planetary parameters and find a ~15% larger planetary radius. The transits clearly show an asymmetric shape due to gravity darkening of the stellar host, and we use this
effect to measure the planetary spin-orbit angle, finding a clearly misaligned orbit with a projected obliquity of $\lambda = 86.4^\circ \pm 4.4^\circ$ and a true obliquity of $\Psi = 85.4^\circ \pm 4.3^\circ$.

These observations showcase the capability of CHEOPS to detect shallow signals with an extremely high level of precision, thereby illustrating the potential of future studies of exoplanet atmospheres with CHEOPS. These will include (geometric) albedo measurements for cool planets, which have negligible contribution of thermal emission in the optical, as well as for planets, which have a dayside emission spectrum that is well-known from infra-red observations. For the most favourable objects, CHEOPS will conduct phase curve observations, revealing the longitudinal cloud distribution in the planets' atmosphere. Thanks to its flexible pointing and observing schedule, CHEOPS can point to exoplanets across large areas of the sky, targeting the most rewarding objects. These practical aspects make CHEOPS an ideal facility for collecting a large sample of optical-light exoplanet occultations and phase curves.
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Table B.1. Coefficients found for the photometric baselines models fitted jointly with the physical light curve model. For the occultations, \( A_i \) refer to the coefficients of second-order polynomials in time, with \( A_0 \) denoting the normalisation constant. \( D_1 \) is the coefficient of a linear trend with contamination. For the transits, \( c \) stand for the cosine, and \( s \) for the sine terms of the Fourier-series.

| Date           | Octillations | Date           | Octillations |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| 19 Mar 2020    | \( A_0 \) | 27 Mar 2020    | \( A_0 \) |
| \( 1.00005805 \pm 0.0000086 \) | \( -0.0000092 \) | \( 1.000024 \pm 0.0000010 \) | \( -0.0000089 \) |
| \( -0.001221 \pm 0.000016 \) | \( 0.00184 \pm 0.00015 \) | \( 3.20 \pm 0.17 \) | \( 2.22 \pm 0.15 \) |

| Date           | Transits | Date           | Transits |
|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| 30 Mar 2020    | \( A_0 \) | 07 Apr 2020    | \( A_0 \) |
| \( 1.000033 \pm 0.000090 \) | \( -0.000090 \) | \( 1.0000018 \pm 0.000086 \) | \( -0.0000098 \) |
| \( -0.0000090 \pm 0.000096 \) | \( 0.000189 \pm 0.000015 \) | \( 0 \) | \( 0 \) |
| \( 3.29 \pm 0.16 \) | \( 1.95 \pm 0.14 \) | \( 0 \) | \( 0 \) |

Appendix A: Stellar abundances

The stellar abundance pattern is derived using the methods described in Section 2.2 and displayed in Figure A.1.

Fig. A.1. WASP-189 abundance pattern. The abundances are relative to solar \([\text{Asplund et al. 2009}]\). The uncertainties are the standard deviation from the average abundance, therefore the abundances obtained from only one line (C, O, Ti, Ba) are shown without uncertainties.

Appendix B: Photometric baseline model parameters

In Table B.1, we report the inferred parameters and uncertainties for the baseline model parameters of each individual light curve.

Appendix C: Planetary brightness temperature

We remark that, unlike the case of long-wavelength measurements, approximating the stellar emission by a black-body SED leads to an under-estimation of the stellar flux in the CHEOPS passband and, thus, it under-estimates the planetary dayside temperature. This is illustrated in Figure C.1, which shows a model stellar spectrum compared to emission from a 8000 K black-body. The difference is attributed to the proximity of the CHEOPS band to the Balmer jump. For the case of WASP-189 b, we find a brightness temperature of \( 3348 \pm 26 \) K when using the black-body approximation, but a higher value of \( 3435 \pm 27 \) K when using a stellar model spectrum.

Fig. C.1. Comparison of a PHOENIX \([\text{Husser et al. 2013}]\) stellar spectrum for a star with parameters corresponding to WASP-189 (blue), a 8000 K black-body (orange), and the CHEOPS passband (black).