LTD is involved in the formation and maintenance of rat hippocampal CA1 place-cell fields
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Hippocampal synaptic plasticity includes both long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) of synaptic strength, and has been implicated in shaping place field representations that form upon initial exposure to a novel environment. However, direct evidence causally linking either LTP or LTD to place fields remains limited. Here, we show that hippocampal LTD regulates the acute formation and maintenance of place fields using electrophysiology and blocking specifically LTD in freely-moving rats. We also show that exploration of a novel environment produces a widespread and pathway specific de novo synaptic depression in the dorsal hippocampus. Furthermore, disruption of this pathway-specific synaptic depression alters both the dynamics of place field formation and the stability of the newly formed place fields, affecting spatial memory in rats. These results suggest that activity-dependent synaptic depression is required for the acquisition and maintenance of novel spatial information.
he exploration and encoding of novel environments is a fundamental learning process that occurs on a relatively short time scale and serves as a useful model for studying how the hippocampus encodes and represents complex, arbitrary associations required for episodic memory. Hippocampal synaptic plasticity, particularly long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD), is thought to be an important subcellular substrate for spatial learning and memory. Importantly, novelty exploration facilitates the induction of LTD and LTP, the two principal forms of synaptic plasticity in area CA1 of the hippocampus.

Pyramidal neurons in areas CA1 and CA3 of the hippocampus consistently show place modulated firing patterns called "place fields," and individual "place cells" are considered prime substrates for hippocampal encoding of spatial environments during spatial learning. Several lines of evidence indicate that stable place field firing location is necessary for this mnemonic role of the hippocampus. Place field locations are usually consistent across exposures to a consistent environment, and spatial navigation deficits are associated with instability of place field locations in ambiguous environments.

Importantly, interventions that affect synaptic plasticity have been shown also to affect the location stability of place cells. However, these previous demonstrations of a role for synaptic plasticity in place field maintenance used interventions that generally block both LTP and LTD, such as NMDA receptor blockade, reduced PKA expression, or protein synthesis inhibition. Therefore, the specific role of LTP and LTD in regulating place cell encoding dynamics and the subsequent consolidation of their stable place fields remain to be specified.

Prior attempts to specify the effect of synaptic plasticity inhibition on place field formation failed to find any acute effect on place field formation dynamics. Place fields are not immediately present in a novel environment, but develop over a time-course of minutes concurrent with exploration of these environments. Therefore it is important to investigate whether changes in synaptic plasticity occur on the same time-scale during exposure to a novel environment and whether plasticity blockade at this time-scale affects dynamics of place field formation.

Here, we use multi-electrode electrophysiology to examine whether novelty exploration results in de novo changes in synaptic strength across multiple recording sites in stratum radiatum inputs to apical dendrites of hippocampal CA1 neurons, and if so, whether they are broadly observed across all sites, as reported for hippocampal-dependent aversive learning. Historically, behaviorally driven changes in the strength of evoked field responses have been confounded by changes in body temperature and behavioral state. To control for these and other unknown variables, we adopted an internal control by using a second stimulating electrode to activate dendritic inputs in the stratum oriens (Fig. 1A). These basal dendritic synapses also exhibit LTP and LTD, but are not known to be affected by novelty or stress. We then observe the formation of place-cell fields in a novel environment and their stability across days in the presence of a specific pharmacological inhibitor of LTD expression to determine whether LTD participates in stable place representation in the hippocampus.

**Results**

Novelty triggers pathway-specific synaptic depression. Stimulation at both stratum radiatum and stratum oriens evoked canonical post-synaptic field potentials (fEPSPs, Fig. 1A) that were maintained for 1-h recording sessions across at least 3 days. Fig. 1B illustrates stable average responses in the final baseline session, after brief handling after 30 min to simulate environmental transfer. Individual channel responses were plotted every 5 stimulations for clarity. No change in the distribution of responses between channels was observed in the baseline session (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Table 1). On the exposure day rat fEPSPs were evoked once per minute (0.16 Hz) through a 30-min baseline period in the familiar recording chamber, followed by a subsequent 30-min period in a novel environment and a final 30-min return to the familiar environment (Fig. 1F). The distribution of baseline normalized radiatum responses was significantly changed relative to oriens evoked responses during and after novel environment exploration (Fig. 1G; kS₃₀₋₉₀ = 0.37, p < 0.01, kS₆₀₋₉₀ = 0.47, p < 0.001), with nearly every channel showing a small decrease in amplitude over the duration of the recording session. While the distribution of responses were significantly shifted in the radiatum group relative to oriens, these results aggregated multiple recording locations from multiple animals and encompassed considerable variation in fEPSP change. Therefore we sought to determine whether a change in synaptic strength was evident on a per-animal basis. Previous research using multiple channels has used a 1 standard deviation (SD) threshold to examine deviations from baseline that do not depend on the absolute magnitude of the deviation or a homogenous shift on all channels. Calculating an average proportion of channels above or below 1 SD on a per animal basis demonstrated that a specific decrease in a subset of radiatum evoked fEPSPs was a consistent feature across animals relative to oriens evoked fEPSPs after exposure to the novel environment (Fig. 1H; i, Fₚₒₑᵢₒᵢ(2,8) = 16.17, p < 0.001, FGroup(1,8) = 5.81, p = 0.04, Fₚₒₑᵢₒᵢ×Group(2,8) = 5.49, p = 0.02 interaction, follow-up pairwise comparisons oriens vs radiatum t₀₋₃₀(8) = 0.55, p = 0.59, t₀₋₆₀(8) = 1.89, p = 0.09, t₀₋₆₀(8) = 2.67, p = 0.02). No such alteration was observed in the baseline recording session on the prior day (Fig. 1D, E; Supplemental Table 1). These results demonstrate that the novel environment induced a de novo and pathway-specific reduction in field potential magnitude at apical inputs to hippocampal CA1 neurons.

fEPSP depression is mediated by AMPA receptor endocytosis. To test whether the observed de novo fEPSP depression was mediated by a facilitation of endocytosis of postsynaptic AMPA receptors, a well-characterized mechanisms of LTD induced by electrical stimulation, the GluA2ᵥ (previously known as GluR2ᵥ) interference peptide or a Scrambled control peptide was administered IV prior to the recording session on the novelty exposure day after baseline recording days (Supplementary Fig. 1) in a separate cohort of animals. Orients evoked potentials in response to novelty exploration showed no alteration in the distribution of responses between GluA2ᵥ and Scrambled treatments (Fig. 2A, B; Supplementary Table 1). In contrast, the de novo shift in fEPSP responses observed at radiatum sites was specifically prevented by GluA2ᵥ relative to Scrambled control after novel environment exploration (Fig. 2E, F; kS₀₋₆₀ = 0.27, p = 0.18, kS₀₋₉₀ = 0.49, p < 0.001). This distribution change was reflected in the per-animal proportion of channels below 1 SD of baseline (Fig. 2G, H; Fₚₒₑᵢₒᵢ(2,7) = 3.21, p = 0.07, FGroup(1,7) = 8.11, p = 0.02, Fₚₒₑᵢₒᵢ×Group(2,7) = 3.60, p = 0.05; follow-up pairwise comparisons Scrambled vs GluA2ᵥ t₀₋₃₀(7) = 1.08, p = 0.32, t₀₋₆₀(7) = 2.41, p = 0.047, t₀₋₆₀(7) = 2.38, p = 0.049). No significant effect of drug treatment was observed in the oriens pathway on the exposure day (Fig. 2C, D; Supplementary Table 1). On the following day, rats were re-exposed to the same novel environment without drug infusions. In radiatum pathways, a transient alteration in the distribution fEPSP magnitude was observed with opposing directionality between the novel environment and the post-novelt period (Supplementary Fig. 2,
ks30–60 = 0.58, p < 0.001, ks60–90 = 0.32, p = 0.06) with no alteration in distribution in the oriens pathways (Supplementary Table 1). This was reflected in a marginal non-significant increase in the per-animal proportion of channels 1 SD higher than baseline in the Scrambled treated group relative to GluA2 3Y (Supplementary Fig. 2; F_{Epoch}(2,7) = 4.20, p = 0.04, F_{Group}(1,7) = 0.59, p = 0.47, F_{Epoch*Group}(2,7) = 3.74, p = 0.05). No significant effect of drug treatment was observed in the oriens pathway on the re-exposure day (Supplementary Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 1). Together these results show that novel environment exposure produces a de novo reduction in fEPSP magnitude in the apical inputs to CA1 that is mediated by activity-dependent AMPA receptor endocytosis, a well-characterized mechanism for LTD expression. The novel environment elevated locomotion...
relative to the familiar environment in both GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> and Scrambled peptide treated rats (Supplementary Fig. 7), with no difference between drug treatment groups. As locomotor activity itself could conceivably induce pathway-specific plasticity<sup>35,34</sup>, we compared activity levels during the first exploration of the novel environment to the re-exploration on the following day. Though fEPSP reductions were only observed on the first exploration, activity levels were comparable between exploration and the first re-exploration, suggesting that novelty itself is a necessary driver of the plasticity effect. Although some previous studies have reported fEPSP decreases triggered by exposure to novel environments<sup>2,27</sup>, it was unclear whether this effect was pathway-specific or mediated by synaptic plasticity mechanisms. We show here that a widespread synaptic change in response to environmental novelty is pathway-specific fEPSP depression mediated by AMPA receptor endocytosis. Our results suggest that while this reduction is widespread it is also heterogenous, with some channels undergoing a larger reduction than others.

**New place field maintenance requires synaptic depression.** The widespread de novo fEPSP reduction we observed suggests that rather than being a direct encoding mechanism for the new environment, this synaptic change may participate in a gain-control type function<sup>35</sup>. Accordingly, de novo fEPSP reduction may act in concert with other plasticity changes such as LTP in other hippocampal pathways to encode the new environment. Place field formation occurs in a novel environment, and these newly formed place fields are specifically affected by NMDA receptor blockade, CAMKII deletion, and protein synthesis inhibition<sup>20,21,36-38</sup>. While these interventions likely block both synaptic LTP and LTD, LTP is thought to be the mediator of these effects<sup>39,40</sup> and the specific role of blocking LTD has not been investigated. Measurement of place field formation dynamics is facilitated in a single dimensional maze where laps are completed more rapidly and with greater consistency. Accordingly, we employed a linear maze composed of reconfigurable sections that permitted multiple different environments (Supplementary Fig. 3) to examine the effect of LTD blockade. A total of 458 cells from seven rats were recorded during exploration of linear environments. Place field stability across days was achieved by the use of waveform characteristics (Supplementary Fig. 4) to identify matching cells<sup>41-42</sup>, and field stability was measured as a correlation between the firing maps produced in two different sessions from cells with matching waveform characteristics. As place fields can remap exclusively via either a rate change (rate remapping) or by changing field location (global remapping), either of which may account for reduced correlations, we additionally assessed place field stability in the subset of cells with stable firing rates, defined as a peak rate that changed between days by less than a factor of 2 (Fig. 3D, G). Place field firing was recorded in a highly familiar linear configuration on a baseline day. The subsequent day, GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> or Scrambled control peptide was administered IV, and a novel maze configuration was presented after an initial exploration of the familiar environment. Following exposure to the novel configuration, rats were returned to the familiar environment. The same protocol was employed the following day in the absence of drug administration. Rats administered Scrambled peptide prior to novelty exposure showed primarily correlated place fields between days on the novel maze, both overall and in the subset of rate-stable cells (Fig. 3C, Supplementary Table 1). In contrast, following administration of the interference peptide GluA2<sub>3Y</sub>, the novel environment place fields displayed significantly less conservation between days when compared as a distribution to Scrambled fields both overall (Fig. 3B, H; ks = 0.31, p = 0.003) and in the rate-stable subset of cells (Fig. 3I; ks = 0.40, p = 0.013). GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> peptide treatment did not impair place field correlation distributions in the familiar environment overall (Fig. 3E) or in rate-stable cells (Fig. 3F). As correlations were skewed towards 1 in most groups, a non-parametric one-way ANOVA (Kruskal–Wallis) on four groups (Familiar/Novel Maze, Scrambled/GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> treatment) was conducted on overall correlations and rate-stable correlations to investigate whether average place field correlations were affected by GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> treatment. A significant difference between groups (overall, χ²(3) = 16.07, p = 0.0011; rate-stable, χ²(3) = 14.02, p = 0.0029) with follow-up targeted pairwise comparisons (Mann–Whitney U) showed that GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> treatment reduced place field stability specifically in the novel environment (Fig. 3C, overall, U<sub>Novel</sub> = 2.59, p = 0.0096; rate-stable, U<sub>Novel</sub> = 2.63, p = 0.0085). These data suggest that reduced correlations are neither a generalized consequence of GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> treatment nor specifically due to changes in firing rates. Therefore, we conclude that disruption of an LTD expression mechanism by GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> induced aberrant global remapping of a novel environment. Similar results

---

**Fig. 1 Exposure to a novel environment elicits a decrease in fEPSP specific to stratum radiatum stimulation.** A Each tract was composed of four electrodes that spanned the laminar extent of CA1. Two stimulation electrodes were positioned to activate schaffer collateral inputs to either basal or apical CA1 dendrites in the stratum oriens or stratum radiatum respectively. Stratum oriens stimulation evoked a negative going potential in superficial electrodes and a positive going potential in deep electrodes, whereas stratum radiatum stimulation did the opposite. Three baseline days preceded the novel environment exposure day, wherein the familiar environment was presented before and after the novel environment. B On the final baseline day evoked field potentials are stable. Two 30-min recordings in a familiar recording chamber were separated by a brief handling episode (arrow). Solid marker indicates the cross-channel average, while each channel is plotted every 5 stimulations and offset for clarity. Hippocampal CA1 field potentials evoked from stimulation of the oriens or radiatum pathway showed no significant change across time. C Cumulative distribution of evoked field potential change in the second 30-min epoch relative to the first (blue, oriens, n = 38 channels; red, radiatum, n = 38 channels). D Per-animal proportion of channels exceeding 1 standard deviation of the channel’s baseline variance at each stimulation. Shaded area represents the proportion of channels expected to be above or below 1 standard deviation based on a normal distribution (n = 5 rats). E Proportion of channels exceeding 1 standard deviation above (top graph) or below (bottom graph) baseline, in 30-min bins. No significant change in distribution was observed on the baseline day in either channel (n = 5 rats). F On the exposure day, A 30-min baseline recording was followed by 30 min in a novel environment (gray shading), followed again by 30 min in the familiar recording chamber. Solid marker indicates the cross-channel average, while each channel is plotted every 5 stimulations and offset for clarity. G Cumulative distribution of amplitude change relative to baseline period during novelty (solid) and after returning to the familiar chamber (dashed) showed a widespread decrease in radiatum evoked field potential distributions relative to the oriens pathway (blue, oriens, n = 38 channels; red, radiatum, n = 38 channels). H Per-animal proportion of channels exceeding 1 standard deviation of the channel’s baseline variance at each stimulation (n = 5). I Proportion of channels averaged in each epoch indicate a specific increase in the proportion of channels lower than 1 standard deviation from baseline in the radiatum channel after exposure to the novel environment (n = 5). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 follow-up t-test (two-way) comparison between treatment group for epochs after significant group by epoch ANOVA interaction, and k-s test for distribution. Error bars represent ± SEM.
were obtained with exploration of a novel two dimensional square environment that matched the geometry used to observe LTD of evoked field potentials (Supplementary Fig. 5).

**AMPA receptor endocytosis inhibition alters field formation.** Stable place fields form and consolidate over the first several minutes of exploration in a novel environment. The time scale of these dynamics are consistent with the de novo fEPSP reduction we observed. Additionally, experiments that record field firing in mice exploring virtual environments report similar dynamics and implicate dendritic plateau potentials and other nonlinearities in the rapid recruitment of new place
fields. Importantly, these reports support the idea that an active synaptic plasticity process is required despite relatively short spans, as the subthreshold voltages recorded before, during, and after recruitment change dramatically.

In our experimental design, rats traversed the entire maze more than once a minute, and therefore the linearized maze design permitted an analysis of firing field stability within a session (Supplementary Fig. 3). Firing rate maps were computed for each individual lap, and a correlation matrix was calculated for each cell, with the median correlation of each lap to each other lap taken as a similarity index. As individual rats completed varying numbers of laps within the fixed time recording session, the first eight laps were averaged for familiar configuration sessions (8-min sessions) and the first 16 laps were averaged for the novel configuration sessions (16-min sessions). Lap to lap similarly was uniformly high in the familiar environment (Supplementary Fig. 6). Consistent with prior reports, in the novel environment the correlations between average place cell firing maps increased over the first several laps, with significantly lower correlations until the fourth lap in control rats (Fig. 4A, B, E).

In contrast to our hypothesis, recruitment speed and field stability were not impaired by GluA23Y peptide treatment, however an alteration in dynamics was observed, with highly correlated place fields established by the second lap (Fig. 4C–E). A comparison of the distribution of correlations specifically on the first four laps demonstrated a rapid, single lap shift to a high correlation distribution in GluA23Y treated rats (Fig. 4G; ks-test vs lap 4: kslap1 = 0.37, p < 0.001, all other laps p > 0.05), whereas a cumulative shift over four laps was evident in control rats (Fig. 4F; ks-test vs lap 4: kslap1 = 0.52, p < 0.001; kslap2 = 0.29, p = 0.0017; kslap3 = 0.22, p = 0.042). These unexpected results indicate that AMPA receptor endocytosis blockade does not acutely diminish the lap to lap stability of place fields. Instead, this intervention appeared to accelerate the formation of a stable place field during novelty exposure. On a subsequent re-exposure day, place fields from Scrambled peptide treated rats were stable from the first lap of the re-exposed novel environment (Fig. 4H, I; Supplementary Table 1). In contrast, place fields were initially unstable in rats treated with GluA23Y peptide (Fig. 4H, I, p < 0.05 lap 1 vs 4), reminiscent of place field dynamics in a novel environment. Accordingly, AMPA receptor endocytosis inhibition appears to exert two unique and opposing effects on the formation of new place fields in a novel environment. Specifically, following treatment with GluA23Y peptide, stable place fields form more rapidly within the session as compared with controls, however place fields are not maintained across days as is typically observed.

**Synaptic depression is required for new contextual learning.** These effects of GluA23Y on place field stability may suggest that AMPA receptor endocytosis inhibition interferes with hippocampal-dependent memory such as contextual conditioning. However our previous research challenges this assertion, as LTD blockade by either GluA23Y peptide or GluN2B subtype NMDA receptor antagonist did not impair contextual fear conditioning. Contextual fear conditioning is greatly affected by prior habituation to the training environment context, and NMDA receptor antagonism in the hippocampus is less effective in blocking inhibitory avoidance in rats subject to context pre-exposure. We hypothesized that GluA23Y peptide induced place field instability would impair contextual pre-exposure facilitation and therefore examined the effect of peptide administration during contextual pre-exposure on subsequent expression of inhibitory avoidance (Fig. 5A). Rats not exposed to contextual environmental cues a day prior to context-shock training showed impaired inhibitory avoidance, crossing rapidly into the dark chamber paired with footshock relative to rats that received contextual pre-exposure, who showed pronounced inhibitory avoidance (Fig. 5B; t(14) = 2.27, p = 0.039). Pre-exposed rats treated with Scrambled peptide also showed robust inhibitory avoidance, however the latency to enter the dark compartment was markedly impaired in GluA23Y treated rats (Fig. 5B; t(27) = 2.25, p = 0.033). It is important to note that GluA23Y peptide was administered only during pre-exposure to the environmental cues, leaving AMPA receptor endocytosis unperturbed during context-shock association 24 h later. To establish a locus of action for this systemic effect, GluA23Y or Scrambled control peptide (45 pmol/μl, 2.5 μl/hemisphere) was microinjected into the dorsal hippocampus prior to contextual pre-exposure. Similar to the systemic effect, GluA23Y markedly reduced inhibitory avoidance relative to Scrambled control treated rats (Fig. 5C; t(22) = 2.71, p = 0.013). Thus, AMPA receptor endocytosis inhibition during contextual pre-exposure is sufficient to impair the subsequent context-shock association, supporting a critical role of LTD mechanisms during new environment exposure in contextual learning.

**Discussion**

The present findings illuminate several features of hippocampal learning and support a role for synaptic LTD mechanisms in the acquisition of novel spatial information in the hippocampus. Inhibition of activity-dependent AMPA receptor endocytosis with...
the peptide inhibitor GluA23Y, a validated and specific inhibitor of LTD expression mechanisms, blocked a novelty induced decrease in evoked fEPSPs in the hippocampus, blocked facilitation of inhibitory avoidance by contextual exposure, and impaired the maintenance of place field locations on re-exposure to a novel environment. In addition, acute AMPA receptor endocytosis inhibition altered the dynamics of place field formation in a novel environment. Although we demonstrated pathway-specific reduction in fEPSP magnitude in response to novelty exploration, we blocked AMPA receptor endocytosis throughout the brain while recording field potentials and CA1 place field activity. As the behavioral effect of systemic treatment
Fig. 4 Blocking AMPA receptor endocytosis acutely alters place field formation dynamics. A-D Linearized position and spike locations for one example cell from a Scrambled treated rat (A) and GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> treated rat (C) and the lap-by-lap firing field (B, D) upon first exposure to a novel environment. Faster field formation was apparent in GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> treated rats. E On the exposure day rats were administered GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> or Scrambled peptide (2.25 μmol/kg IV) prior to a baseline exploration of the familiar configuration, followed by exploration of a novel configuration (gray shading). Place fields were highly correlated and similar between groups in the familiar configuration (n = 76 Scrambled in N = 6 rats, n = 60 GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> in N = 6 rats), but were lower in the first several laps of a novel configuration (dark gray shading). Cells from GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> treated rats were highly correlated by the second lap, relative to Scrambled peptide treated rats (Scrambled n = 76 baseline, n = 78 novel from N = 6 rats; GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> n = 60 baseline, n = 72 novel from N = 6 rats). F The cumulative distribution of correlations on the first four laps developed progressively over four trials in Scrambled treated rats. G Highly correlated fields were established after a single lap in GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> peptide treated rats. H Rats previously administered GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> peptide (red) or Scrambled peptide (blue) were re-exposed to the novel environment (gray shading) after baseline exploration of the familiar environment. Lap by lap correlations were high in the familiar configuration in both groups, however only rats previously treated with Scrambled peptide showed highly correlated fields in the early laps on the previously novel environment (Scrambled n = 80 baseline, n = 91 novel from N = 6 rats; GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> n = 67 baseline, n = 74 novel from N = 6 rats). I Cumulative distribution of correlations over the first four laps showed highly correlated field on re-exposure in Scrambled peptide treated rats. J Re-exposure in GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> treated rats showed evidence of consolidation over early laps. In contrast, correlations developed over several laps in rats treated with GluA2<sub>3Y</sub> peptide, reminiscent of the initial exposure to the environment in control rats. * indicates p < 0.05 follow-up t-test (two-way) comparison after significant lap by group ANOVA interaction, and p < 0.05 ks-test vs lap 4 distribution. Error bars represent ± SEM.

on impaired inhibitory avoidance was recapitulated with hippocampus-specific inhibition of AMPA receptor endocytosis, our evidence suggests that mechanisms supporting LTD in the hippocampus are engaged during novel environment exposure to establish stable place field formation and memory. De novo fEPSP reduction specifically in synapses to apical dendrites of CA1 neurons in response to novelty exposure in the absence of changes in synapses targeting the basolateral dendrites of CA1 in the stratum oriens suggest an effect independent of global alterations such as brain state or temperature changes previously implicated
in behaviorally driven alterations in synaptic strength\textsuperscript{28,34,53}. Furthermore, we provide evidence that locomotor activity is not sufficient to produce this plasticity effect, as elevated locomotion on the second exploration of the novel environment did not produce fEPSP reductions seen on the first exploration.

We failed to observe evidence for LTP, reported to be facilitated under certain novelty conditions\textsuperscript{1,6}, notably those that involve exploration of an empty environment in which the flooring has been altered. As this environmental change is similar to the novel environment we employed, we suggest two possible explanations for these differing results. First, LTP may only be observable with an induction stimulation protocol (i.e., high frequency stimulation). Accordingly, our data would suggest that environmental exploration creates a metaplastic environment that facilitates LTP while also inducing de novo synaptic depression. Considerable evidence\textsuperscript{54–56} supports the conjecture that metaplastic conditions that promote LTP can inhibit LTD and vice versa. Accordingly, this explanation would suggest a more complex interplay between LTP and LTD in reaction to novelty. A second explanation may be that important differences between the environmental exploration paradigm employed here as compared to those employed in previous studies. As our novel environment differed completely from baseline, rather than altering discrete elements of a familiar recording chamber\textsuperscript{4}, the direction of metaplastic change may depend on some dimension of novelty magnitude. In this case our findings are consistent with prior reports confirming that facilitation of LTD can arise as a consequence of novelty exposure\textsuperscript{3,5}.

Our observation that information transfer via inputs to basal and apical dendrites of CA1 pyramidal cells is differentially altered by novelty has implications for understanding how place fields form. Apical and basal inputs are physiologically isolated\textsuperscript{57,58}, however both inputs contribute to the generation of the complex spiking in CA1 cells that codes for place in a freely moving animal\textsuperscript{59}. It is important to note that apical inputs are adjacent to direct inputs from entorhinal cortex, and functional interactions between these inputs influence spiking behavior\textsuperscript{47} and plasticity in both pathways\textsuperscript{60,61}. LTD in the apical Schaffer collateral input is therefore uniquely positioned to influence the likelihood that coincident inputs from the entorhinal cortex will drive cell spiking\textsuperscript{62}.

We report an acute effect of plasticity blockade on the formation of place fields. Although these fields formed without apparent deficit, the dynamics of their formation were subtly altered, in that stable field firing formed more rapidly than expected. The initial absence and gradual formation of place fields in a novel environment has been well established\textsuperscript{23–26}. Our results confirm these prior observations, with stable fields appearing after three to four laps of our linear maze, (i.e., the first 2–3 min). In the absence of AMPA receptor endocytosis, stability was apparent after a single lap. The regularity of established fields implies that without synaptic depression some pre-existing structure may predominate, and we suggest that LTD serves to constrain such structure. This conjecture provides a plausible explanation for the unexpected acceleration of place field formation in rats following administration of the GluA2\textsubscript{3Y} peptide.

The present results are entirely consistent with prior reports of impaired maintenance of place field location after interference with synaptic plasticity\textsuperscript{20–22}, raising the possibility they are related to effects on LTD, either exclusively or in combination with

---

**Fig. 5** Blocking AMPA receptor endocytosis impairs contextual pre-exposure facilitation of inhibitory avoidance. **A** 60 min prior to contextual pre-exposure (8-min free exploration of both chambers), rats were administered GluA2\textsubscript{3Y} peptide (n = 15; 2.25 μmol/kg IV), Scrambled control (n = 14), or saline vehicle (n = 8). A fourth group was only administered saline without contextual pre-exposure (n = 8). 24 h later rats were conditioned by direct placement into the dark compartment followed by immediate shock (2 × 0.4 mA, 0.5 s shock for IV experiment, 2 × 0.55 mA, 0.5 s shock for IC experiment) and removal. 24 h after training, rats were place in the light compartment and escape latency to the dark compartment was measured. **B** Saline treated rats with no contextual pre-exposure (n = 8) show poor memory relative to pre-exposed rats (n = 8). Systemic GluA2\textsubscript{3Y} (n = 15) administered during pre-exposure impaired inhibitory avoidance conditioning relative to a Scrambled control (n = 14). **C** Local microinjection of GluA2\textsubscript{3Y} (n = 12; 45 pmol/μl, 2.5 μl/hemisphere IC) in the dorsal hippocampus similarly impaired inhibitory avoidance conditioning relative to Scrambled control (n = 12). * Indicates p < 0.05 pairwise comparison. Error bars represent ± SEM.
their effect on LTP. Importantly, we show that AMPA receptor endocytosis inhibition also impairs maintenance of novel spatial learning, suggesting that the effect of GluA23Y peptide on place field maintenance translates to impaired spatial memory. Most spatial and contextual learning paradigms are preceded by habituation to the training environment, and without such habituation, subsequent learning is severely impaired. Our data confirm that this contextual pre-exposure facilitation effect is dependent on LTD, which we interpret as evidence that synaptic weakening is required for non-associative contextual learning. Thus, although forming an association between an established context and an aversive consequence does not require LTD, the initial formation of a contextual representation is LTD-dependent.

This work adds to an expanding corpus of evidence showing diverse roles for synaptic LTD in learning and memory, including extinction learning, behavioral flexibility, time-dependent memory loss, and impairment of recognition learning. In the hippocampus, LTD is required for consolidation of spatial memories following performance in the Morris water maze and habituation to a novel object configuration. These latter effects related to novelty suggest a core role for LTD in processing non-associative, highly novel information. Furthermore, exploration of a novel environment triggers behavioral and neurochemical responses that elicit LTD in the hippocampus. While it remains to be determined how this LTD impacts ongoing information processing in the hippocampus, we show here that disruption of LTD impairs consolidation of place fields and alters their acute formation dynamics.

**Methods**

**Animals.** All experiments were approved by the University of British Columbia Animal Care Committee or the University of Calgary Animal Care Committee in accordance with the policies of the Canadian Council on Animal Care. Male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River) weighing 300–400 g were housed in pairs on a 12 h:12 h standard light cycle, or individually for freely moving electrophysiology experiments. Rats were provided food and water access ad libitum.

**Drugs.** The interference peptide Tat-GluA23Y (YGRKKRRQRRR-869YKEGYNYG877) and a scrambled control Tat-Scrambled (YGRKKRRQRRR-VVYKGYGYNE) were synthesized in house and dissolved in saline (2.25 µmol/kg) for intravenous bolus injection.

**Probe construction.** For evoked potential recordings, a probe containing 8 bundles of 4 electrodes (25 µm tungsten, California Fine Wire) was arranged in a 4 by 2 pattern. Within each bundle, electrode tips were cut at 300 µm spacing from the strata of CA1, and bundles were separated by 635 µm. For CA1 spike recordings, microdrives with four to eight channels were constructed in order to independently adjust the depth of recording tetrodes. A single bundle of 30-gauge stainless steel guide tubes spaced the tetrodes by 300 µm. Tetrodes were made of four braided strands of 15 µm NiCr wire (California Fine Wire, USA) and sheathed in polyimide tubing (75 µm ID). Each actuator of the microdrive consisted of a 12.7 mm brass 00-90 hexagonal head machine screw (J.I. Morris, USA) coupled to a 23-gauge stainless steel drive tube. Tetrodes were threaded through the 30-gauge guide tubes and 23-gauge drive tubes, and individual wires were pinned to a 36 channel electronics board configured, thermostatically insulated, and powered at 30 V with brief handling over 30 min. Office environment. Rats were acclimated to a recording chamber for several days after recovery from surgery. The baseline recording chamber was composed of four uniform dark walls with a removable blue corrugated plastic floor. A single white vertical strip polarized the box for orientation. Extramaze cues were available on all four walls within the recording room. Baseline evoked responses were then tested from 20 to 200 µA stimulation intensity (0.2 ms biphasic stimulation), and a stimulation magnitude evoking 50% of the maximal response was used for the remaining recordings. Baseline recordings were made for a minimum of three days (alternating orients and radium test stimulations, 0.016 Hz per site), and the day prior to testing baseline responses were recorded for 30 min, with brief handling over 30 min. Office environment. Rats were randomly assigned to GluA23Y or Scrambled groups. After 30 min in the recording chamber, rats were transferred to a novel environment (60 × 60 × 60 cm polarized box). The novel environment was composed of three black walls and one white wall, with a removable black painted corrugated plastic floor. It was positioned adjacent to the baseline recording box in the same room. Extramaze cues within the room were unchanged. Rats were recorded for 30 min in the novel environment, with test stimulation continuing as before, and then transferred back to the baseline recording chamber for 30 min. On the following day, rats were re-exposed to the novel environment using the same testing protocol as above, with the exception that no drugs were administered prior to testing. Locomotor activity was tracked in 4 of 5 rats treated with Scrambled peptide and 4 of 5 rats treated with GluA23Y peptide via overhead camera using commercial behavioral tracking software (Ethovision 3.1, Noldus, USA).

**CA1 Place field recording.** For place field recordings in a box environment, rats were food restricted and trained to forage for sucrose pellets in a recording chamber (40 × 40 × 60 cm). Baseline recordings were collected during 15-min foraging sessions to confirm the appearance of place specific firing. On the test day, rats received IV infusions (GluA23Y/Scrambled 2.25 µmol/kg in 1 ml/kg saline) 45 min prior to recording. Rats first ran a 15-min foraging session in the familiar environment, then were returned to the test day environment and placed in a novel environment (60 × 60 × 60 cm polarized box) to run a 30-min foraging session. Rats were then returned to the baseline recording chamber for an 15-min session.

For place field recordings in a linear maze, rats were food restricted and trained to shuttle for sucrose pellets delivered to both ends of a linear maze consisting of four 40 cm segments (width 12 cm, wall height 10 cm) linked by turns of 45°, 90°, and 135°. Rats were trained until a minimum of 8 laps were completed within an 8-min session. On the first exposure day, rats received IV infusions (GluA23Y/Scrambled 2.25 µmol/kg in 1 ml/kg saline) 45 min prior to recording. Rats were randomly assigned to GluA23Y or Scrambled groups. Rats first ran an 8-min shuttle session in the familiar maze, then were removed and placed in a novel configuration to run a 16-min shuttle session. Rats were then returned to the baseline configuration for an 8-min recording session. On the subsequent re-exposure day, rats ran an 8-min shuttle session in the familiar maze configuration, then were removed and placed in the same, previously novel configuration to run a 16-min shuttle session. Rats were then returned to the baseline configuration for an 8-min recording session. Multiple configurations were possible by adjusting the position of the maze in space and the sequence and
direction of turns from one end of the maze to the other. Five of seven rats underwent both treatment conditions, with exposure order randomized, while the two remaining animals underwent only one condition (GluA2Y/Scrambled, respectively).

Inhibitory avoidance. The inhibitory avoidance apparatus consisted of a light and dark chamber separated by a removable door. Each chamber was 35 x 30 x 35 cm, and the stainless steel flooring of the dark compartment was connected to a programmable scrambled shock generator (Coulbourn Instruments, USA for systemic experiments, Harvard Apparatus for local injection experiments). Inhibitory avoidance was assessed over a three-day protocol designed to isolate the novel exposure to the recording chamber from the chamber-shock association learning 8,11,13. On day one, rats received a contextual exposure to the chamber, in which they were placed in the light side of the box with the door open and allowed to freely explore both sides of box for 8 min. Prior to exploration, rats received bolus IV injection of saline, Scrambled peptide, or GluA2Y peptide (GluA2Y/Scrambled 2.25 µmol/kg) for systemic experiments, or bilateral microinjection of GluA2Y/Scrambled (45 pmol/µl, 2.5 µl/hemisphere) for local injection experiments 60 min prior to chamber exploration. On day 2, 24 h after contextual exposure, rats were placed in the dark compartment facing the far wall, and after 5 s the door to the dark chamber was opened. Latency to cross entirely into the dark compartment (all four paws within the compartment) was taken as a measure of inhibitory avoidance learning. A control group of rats was administered saline on day one and trained as above, with the exception that no contextual exposure was performed on day one. Drug administration (GluA2Y/Scrambled) or exposure condition were pseudorandomly assigned, with cagemates receiving opposing treatments. Testing on the third day was conducted blind to treatment condition.

Data acquisition and analysis. Cell spiking, local, and evoked field potentials were recorded using a 32-channel electrophysiology recording system with integrated headstage preamplifier (Digital Lynx 32, HS-36, Neuralynx, AZ). A native sampling rate of 32 kHz was used for both broad-band filtered between 0.1 and 1000 Hz and downsampled to 6.4 kHz for analysis of field potentials. Stimulation (0.2 ms biphasic pulse) was delivered via AM 2100 stimulus isolators, controlled via computer generated TTL pulses. Data were analyzed offline using custom MATLAB analysis code. The amplitude of evoked field potentials was taken as a measure of synaptic strength. As evoked potentials within a recording tract were highly correlated and likely reflected the same population of evoked synapses, only the channel within the tract with the highest magnitude potential was used. This also avoided the analysis of complex waveforms recorded from channels intermediate between stratum oriens and stratum radiatum.

An index of standard deviation was adapted from previous work examining hippocampal fEPSPs recorded from multiple electrodes simultaneously 27. For each channel, a standard deviation threshold was calculated from responses evoked in the baseline period. Responses exceeding this threshold in either direction were counted for each stimulation, resulting in a proportion of above and below threshold channels for each session. A high time point for each animal. Proportions were averaged across time for each epoch for analysis.

For spike recordings, positional information was recorded by tracking a colored LED mounted on the headstage preamplifier with an overhead camera (Neuralynx, AZ). Spike sorting was conducted offline using manual spike sorting software (Offline Sorter, Plexon). Putative spikes were preprocessed to remove noise and clusters were identified using 3D projections of spike characteristics. Only well-isolated clusters were selected for analysis. Entire recording sessions, whether containing a single or multiple environmental exposures were sorted collapsed over time. Sorted spike data and LFPs were analyzed using an open source MATLAB toolkit (https://fmatoolbox.sourceforge.net/) and custom MATLAB analysis code. For each recording session, positional information was first filtered to remove target points occurring outside the arena. Tracking jitters were removed by setting a threshold movement speed. Missing points were interpolated from nearby samples and all position samples were smoothed over a ~2 s Gaussian window. Spikes were velocity filtered to remove firing during immobility, defined as movement below 2.5 cm/s over a smoothed 5-second window. For linear track data, two dimensional position samples were linearized to one dimension by collapsing along a single axis defined by a set of vertices corresponding to the end points and three interior corners of the linear maze. Laps were then identified using local extrema on a 25 s smoothed plot of the filtered data. Incomplete laps were removed and subsequent analysis separated inward and outward going laps. Spatial firing rate maps were generated for each isolated unit using a grid of 50 x 50 bins for 2 dimensional box data, while 1 dimensional linearized data used 50 bins. Cells were identified across days based on previous methods 14,25. For each cell on each day, the average waveform was calculated, and highly correlated waveforms (r > 0.79, taken as a 128 x 1 array of voltage samples, with 32 samples for each tetrode sequentially) recorded from the same tetrode across days in the same rat were taken as a training set of putative matched pairs. Two measures of waveform shape similarity (tolas distance) were calculated for each pair of cells from the same rat, with waveforms expressed as a 2 x 4 array of voltage samples, each column representing each channel of the tetrode. The first (D1) was a measure of shape similarity of waveforms normalized to minimize the sum of squares difference between the two waveforms on each channel. These scaling factors were used to calculate a normalized Euclidean distance between the waveforms on each channel, summing across channels to produce a single D1 value. A separate D2 value, meant to capture both differences between waveform sizes overall and differences between the scaling factor on each channel was also calculated. Plotted on two dimensions, the D1 and D2 values are both small for matching cell pairs, and large non-matching pairs. Using the training set, a Bayesian classifier was used to classify all cell pairs as matching or non-matching. A small minority of cells were positively classified to multiple cell on other days, in this case a linearized tolas distance was used to select only the most closely matched cell pair. Firing rate maps for pairs of cells were correlated as two linear arrays using Pearson’s product-moment correlation. The second exposure to the familiar environment in each session was used to compare familiar environmental representations across days.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability. All data supporting the findings of this study are provided within the paper and its Supplementary information. All additional information will be made available upon reasonable request to the authors. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability. The code that support the findings of this study are available at https://github.com/ donovanukhy/ltd-pc and used under GNU General Public License (GPL).

Received: 16 February 2020; Accepted: 25 November 2020; Published online: 04 January 2021

References

1. Morris, R. G. M. Synaptic plasticity and learning: selective impairment of learning rats and blockade of long-term potentiation in vivo by the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist AP5. J. Neurosci. 9, 3040–3057 (1989).
2. Morris, R. G. M., Anderson, E. A., Lynch, G. S. & Baudry, M. Selective impairment of learning and blockade of long-term potentiation by an N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist, AP5. Nature 319, 774 (1986).
3. Manahan-Vaughan, D. & Braunewell, K.-H. Novelty acquisition is associated with induction of hippocampal long-term depression. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 96, 8739–8744 (1999).
4. Kemp, A. & Manahan-Vaughan, D. Hippocampal long-term depression and long-term potentiation encode different aspects of novelty acquisition. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 101, 8192–8197 (2004).
5. Duggal, Z. et al. Mechanisms of hippocampal long-term depression are required for memory enhancement by novelty novelty. J. Neurosci. 32, 11980–11990 (2012).
6. Li, S., Cullen, W. K., Anwyl, R. & Rowan, M. J. Dopamine-dependent facilitation of LTP induction in hippocampal CA1 by exposure to spatial novelty. Nat. Neurosci. 6, 526–531 (2003).
7. Bliss, T. V. P. et al. A synaptic model of memory: long-term potentiation in the hippocampus. Nature 361, 31–39 (1993).
8. Malinow, R. & Malenka, R. C. AMPA receptor trafficking and synaptic plasticity. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 25, 103–126 (2002).
9. Malenka, R. C. & Nicoll, R. A. Long-term potentiation—a decade of progress? Science 285, 1870–1874 (1999).
10. Collingridge, G. L., Isaac, J. T. R. & Wang, Y. T. Receptor trafficking and synaptic plasticity. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 5, 952–962 (2004).
11. O’Keeffe, J. & Speakman, A. Single unit activity in the rat hippocampus during a spatial memory task. Exp. Brain Res. 68, 1–27 (1987).
12. O’Keeffe, J. & Drostovsky, J. The hippocampus as a spatial map. Preliminary evidence from unit activity in the freely-moving rat. Brain Res. 34, 171–181 (1971).
13. Buszaki, G. & Moser, E. I. Memory, navigation and theta rhythm in the hippocampal-entorhinal system. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 130–138 (2013).
14. Lever, C., Wills, T., Cacucci, F., Burgess, N. & O’Keeffe, J. Long-term plasticity in hippocampal place-cell representation of environmental geometry. Nature 416, 1870–1875 (2002).
15. Cacucci, F., Wills, T. J., Lever, C., Giese, K. P. & O’Keeffe, J. Experience-dependent increase in CA1 place cell spatial information, but not spatial reproducibility, is dependent on the autophosphorylation of the α5-isoform
ARTICLE

of the calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. J. Neurosci. 27, 7851–7859 (2007).
16. Ziv, Y. et al. Long-term dynamics of CA1 hippocampal place codes. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 264 (2013).
17. Thompson, L. T. & Best, P. J. Long-term stability of the place-field activity of single units recorded from the dorsal hippocampus of freely behaving rats. Brain Res. 509, 299–308 (1990).
18. Mathis, E. J., Barnes, C. A., McNaughton, B. L., Gladdened, V. L. & Skaggs, W. E. Spatial information content and reliability of hippocampal CA1 neurons: effects of visual input. Hippocampus 4, 410–421 (1994).
19. Lenck-Santini, P.-P., Save, E. & Poucet, B. Evidence for a relationship between place-cell spatial firing and spatial memory performance. Hippocampus 11, 377–390 (2001).
20. Kentsos, C. et al. Abolition of long-term stability of new hippocampal place cell maps by NMDA receptor blockade. Science 280, 2121–2126 (1998).
21. Rotenberg, A., Abel, T., Hawkins, R. D., Kandel, E. R. & Muller, R. U. Parallel instabilities of long-term potentiation, place cells, and learning caused by decreased protein kinase A activity. J. Neurosci. 20, 8096–8102 (2000).
22. Agnati, N. T., Hawkins, R. D., Kandel, E. R. & Kentsos, C. The long-term stability of new hippocampal place fields requires new protein synthesis. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 101, 3656–3661 (2004).
23. Wilson, M. A. & McNaughton, B. L. Dynamics of the hippocampal ensemble code for space. Science 261, 1055–1058 (1993).
24. Tanila, H., Shapiro, M., Gallagher, M. & Eichenbaum, H. Brain aging: changes in the nature of information coding by the hippocampus. J. Neurosci. 17, 5155–5166 (1997).
25. Frank, L. M., Stanley, G. B. & Brown, E. N. Hippocampal plasticity across multiple days of exposure to novel environments. J. Neurosci. 24, 7681–7689 (2004).
26. Monaco, J. D., Rao, G., Roth, E. D. & Knierim, J. J. Attentive scanning behavior drives one-trial potentiation of hippocampal place fields. Nat. Neurosci. 17, 725 (2014).
27. Whitlock, J. R., Heynen, A. J., Shuler, M. G. & Bear, M. F. Learning induces long-term potentiation in the hippocampus. Science 313, 1093–1097 (2006).
28. Hargreaves, E. L., Cain, D. P. & Vanderwolf, C. H. Spatial information content and reliability of hippocampal CA1 neurons: effects of visual input. Hippocampus 4, 410–421 (1994).
29. Frank, L. M., Stanley, G. B. & Brown, E. N. Hippocampal plasticity across multiple days of exposure to novel environments. J. Neurosci. 24, 7681–7689 (2004).
30. Monaco, J. D., Rao, G., Roth, E. D. & Knierim, J. J. Attentive scanning behavior drives one-trial potentiation of hippocampal place fields. Nat. Neurosci. 17, 725 (2014).
31. Whitlock, J. R., Heynen, A. J., Shuler, M. G. & Bear, M. F. Learning induces long-term potentiation in the hippocampus. Science 313, 1093–1097 (2006).
32. Hargreaves, E. L., Cain, D. P. & Vanderwolf, C. H. Learning and behavioral-long-term potentiation: importance of controlling for motor activity. J. Neurosci. 10, 1472–1478 (1990).
33. Moser, E. I. Learning-related changes in hippocampal field potentials. Behav. Brain Res. 71, 1–N1 (1995).
34. Leung, L. S. & Shen, B. Long-term potentiation at the apical and basal dendrites of CA1 pyramidal cells by local stimulation in behaving rats. J. Neurophysiol. 73, 1938–1946 (1995).
35. Ahmadian, G. et al. Tyrosine phosphorylation of GluR2 is required for insulin-stimulated AMPA receptor endocytosis and LTD. EMBO J. 23, 1040–1050 (2004).
36. Brebner, K. et al. Nucleus accumbens long-term depression and the expression of behavioral sensitization. Science 310, 1340–1343 (2005).
37. Moser, E. I., Moser, M.-R. & Andersen, P. Potentiation of dentate synapses initiated by exploratory learning in rats: dissociation from brain temperature, motor activity, and arousal. Learn. Mem. 1, 55–73 (1994).
38. Moser, E., Mathiesen, I. & Andersen, P. Association between brain temperature and dendate field potentials in exploring and swimming rats. Science 259, 1324–1326 (1993).
39. Abbott, L. F., Varela, J. A., Sen, K. & Nelson, S. B. Synaptic depression and cortical gain control. Science 275, 221–224 (1997).
40. Rotenberg, A., Mayford, M., Hawkins, R. D., Kandel, E. R. & Muller, R. U. Mice expressing activated CaMKII lack low frequency LTD and do not form stable place cells in the CA1 region of the hippocampus. Cell 87, 1351–1361 (1996).
41. Cho, Y. H., Giese, K. P., Tanila, H., Silva, A. J. & Eichenbaum, H. Abnormal hippocampal spatial representations in CaMKIIi/CreBαA-mice. Science 279, 867–869 (1998).
42. Agnati, N. T., Hawkins, R. D., Kandel, E. R. & Kentsos, C. The long-term stability of new hippocampal place fields requires new protein synthesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101, 3656–3661 (2004).
43. Morris, R. G. M. et al. Elements of a neurobiological theory of the hippocampus: the role of activity-dependent synaptic plasticity in memory. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 358, 773–786 (2003).
44. Martin, S. I., Grinvald, P. D. & Morris, R. G. M. Synaptic plasticity and memory: an evaluation of the hypothesis. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 23, 649–711 (2000).
45. Powell, N. J. & Redish, A. D. Complex neural codes in rat prelimbic cortex are stable across days on a spatial decision task. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 8, 120 (2014).
46. Tolias, A. S. et al. Recording chronically from the same neurons in awake, behaving primates. J. Neurophysiol. 98, 3780–3790 (2007).
Malin, E. L., & McGaugh, J. L. Differential involvement of the hippocampus, anterior cingulate cortex, and basolateral amygdala in memory for context and footshock. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103*, 6, 1959–1963 (2006).

Acknowledgements
This study was supported by grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) to Y.T.W. (FND-154286). Y.T.W. is the holder of the Heart and Stroke Foundation of British Columbia and Yukon Chair in Stroke Research. D.M.A. was supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Canada Graduate Scholarship (CGS).

Author contributions
D.M.A. and Y.T.W. conceived of the study, D.M.A. conducted the research and analyzed the data. S.B.F., A.G.P., J.K.S., and Y.T.W. supervised the project. D.M.A., A.G.P., and Y.T.W. wrote the manuscript. D.M.A., A.M., A.G.P., J.K.S., and Y.T.W. edited the manuscript. A.M. and Y.T.W. provided materials and reagents.

Competing interests
Y.T.W. and A.G.P. declare a patent related to glutamate receptor function (A Peptide that Specifically Blocks Regulated AMPA Receptor Endocytosis and Hippocampal CA1 Long-term Depression; European 04789721.0, and United States 13/066,700). A.G.P. also holds a US patent entitled “Tetrahydroprotoberbine Compounds and Uses Thereof” in the Treatment of Neurological, Psychiatric and Neurodegenerative Diseases. United States. US20150306902. A.G.P. is a shareholder in Resilience Biosciences Inc., Canada, focused on tetrahydroprotoberberine drug development. D.M.A., S.B.F., A.M., and J.K.S. declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20317-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.T.W.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks the anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021