Efficacy of indigenous strain of entomopathogenic nematode against diapausing larvae of Codling moth, Cydia pomonella L. (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae), in apple-growing hilly areas of Ladakh Region
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Abstract
Indigenous entomopathogenic nematode, Heterorhabditis pakistanensis, NBAIR H-05 strain was evaluated against diapausing larvae of the Codling moth, Cydia pomonella L. (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) at 3 different dosages, i.e., at 15, 20, and 25 gm/l of water in apple orchards at the district Kargil of Ladakh Region, India, during 2017 and 2018. Two year’s pooled average density of diapausing ranged 34.6 to 56.8 larvae/trunk band before treatment, which declined ranging 43.85 to 86.27 % with respect to different treatments of entomopathogenic nematode at concentrations between 7.5 × 10⁵ IJs to 1.25 × 10⁶ IJs/tree. Percent reduction in larvae over control varied from 41.78 to 85.77% for 7.5 × 10⁵ IJs and 1.25 × 10⁶ IJs respectively. Two-year pooled data indicated larval mortality between 39.85 and 73.38% and 4.0 to 12.89% with respect to different treatments at 48 and 72 h respectively, with statistically significant difference (P = < 0.001). Increase in dosage of nematode formulation from 15 gm to 25 gm resulted in increased larval mortality (r = 0.92**). Post wetting of trunk band after 24 h in each treatment resulted in significantly higher larval mortality than non-post wetting. There was non-significant difference (t = 0.83) between larval mortality with respect to treatments during 2017 and 2018.
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Background
Codling moth, Cydia pomonella L. (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae), is one of the most serious pests in majority of apple-growing regions of the world (Giliomee and Riedl, 1999). In India, its distribution is however confined only in Ladakh Region of Jammu and Kashmir. The pest is believed to have entered the Ladakh Region from north western border of Pakistan and Afghanistan (Malik et al. 1972). It is reported to cause 60–80% fruit injuries in temperate regions of the world in neglected orchards (Shahnawaz et al. 2014). Due to their disastrous nature, C. pomonella has been declared as a quarantine pest and there is a total embargo on the movement of fruit outside or in the adjoining areas of Ladakh Region.

Ahmad et al. (2018) reported that C. pomonella completes two and a half generations a year in Ladakh, whereas in South Africa, it completes up to 4 generations in a year (Blomefield, 2003). In Ladakh, the first and second generations of C. pomonella remain active during June and July and a partial third generation commences
from August is spent as diapause condition wherein mature larvae survives under loose barks of the trees, crevices, under the rocks or tree debris in orchard, till May of the next year (Ahmad et al. 2018). Nine month’s prolonged diapausing stage of larvae, being the most susceptible stage offers a good opportunity for the management of this pest for considerable reduction of first generation population density. Overwintering larvae ranged between 35.37 and 99.56 per tree trunk up to a height of 1 m from ground level (Ahmad et al. 2018) is an indicative of future population level of the pest, if not targeted in time.

In the past two decades, many convenient and cost effective tactics have been developed for managing diapausing larvae of *C. pomonella* (Higbee et al. 2001; Cossentine et al. 2004 and Hansen et al. 2006) among them is the application of entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs), belonging to genera *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis*. These biological control agents are non-hazardous, safe to humans, easy to apply, and have proved remarkably outstanding in the management of *C. pomonella* (Lacey and Unruh, 1998; Lacey and Chauvin, 1999; Unruh and Lacey, 2001; Cossentine *et al.*, 2002 and Lacey *et al.* 2005). Lacey and Chauvin (1999) reported 100% larval mortality of *C. pomonella* treated with different dosages of *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *S. feltiae* whereas Cossentine *et al.* (2002) documented 93% larval mortality with the same nematode species. De Waal *et al.* (2010) reported 80% larval mortality with a local African isolate of *Heterorhabditis zealandica*. Odendaal *et al.* (2015) recorded larval mortality between 41 and 67% with 3 EPN species, *H. bacteriophora*, *S. jeffreyense*, and *S. yirgalemense*.

The present study is the first attempt in apple-growing hilly areas of Ladakh Region, India, utilizing a local strain of EPN, *Heterorhabditis pakistanensis*, NBAIR H-05 against diapausing larvae of *C. pomonella*.

**Materials and methods**

**Banding of tree trunk**

The experiment was conducted in the 2 consecutive year 2017 and 2018 in 4 different apple orchards of Kargil
District viz., Slikchey, Shanigund, Bagh-e-Khomini, and Hardas located at 34° 33′ 27.54″ N and 76° 07′ 34.39″ E of Ladakh Region, India. By the end of August, in each year (2017 and 2018), 10 tree trunks of each orchard were banded with gunny bags up to 1 m height from the ground level. The banding was performed in order to provide shelter to overwintering third generation larvae of Codling moth.

Application of entomopathogenic nematode

The freshly prepared clay formulation of local EPN strain, *Heterorhabditis pakistanensis* NBAIR H-05, used in the study, was obtained from ICAR-National Bureau of Agricultural Insect Resources (NBAIR), Bengaluru, India. One gram of clay formulation contained approximately 50,000 live infective juveniles (IJ)s of *H. pakistanensis*.

The clay powder formulation of EPN was evaluated at 3 different concentrations, 15 g (7.5 × 10⁵ IJs), 20 g (1.0 × 10⁶ IJs), and 25 g (1.25 × 10⁶ IJs). The treatments were accompanied with and without post wetting of tree trunk. Besides, these 6 treatments (T1–T6) and 1 treatment (T7) was included as untreated control. All the 10 banded tree trunks were made thoroughly wet (1 l of water/tree trunk) with their respective treatments, using rose can sprinkler provided with a nozzle having small holes to break up the stream of water into small droplets. Application was performed in evening hours in order to allow the bands to remain moist for longer period unlike during sunshine hours for survival ability and aggressive foraging of EPN against overwintering larvae, during the last week of August, which marked termination of larval overwintering of Codling moth. Five trees of the treatment T2, T4, and T6 were provided post wetting by fresh water, after 12 h of EPN treatment and marked as “post wet.”

Collection and storage of dead larvae

Thirty-six hours after EPN treatment, the trunk bands of all 10 trees were opened for collection of larvae present under the band, and/or under the bark of each tree, for post treatment count. Larvae collected from each treatment (with or without post wet) were kept separately in plastic container (250 ml) half filled with moist soil. The container was brought safely to laboratory, placed in BOD (Bio-Oxygen Demand) incubator, maintained at 27 ± 1 °C.

Data regarding larval density per tree trunk, larval mortality after 48 and 72 h, treatment wise and year wise was duly recorded for subsequent analysis.

### Table 1 Effect of *Heterorhabditis pakistanensis* on percent larval mortality of Codling moth, *Cydia pomonella*, at Kargil during 2017 and 2018

| Treatment | Per cent larval mortality during 2017 after time intervals | Percent larval mortality during 2018 after time intervals |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|           | 48 h | 72 h | Cumulative mortality | 48 h | 72 h | Cumulative mortality |
| T1* (15 gms/l) (7.5 × 10⁵ IJs) | 43.47 (41.53)b | 3.09 (10.85)b | 46.57 (43.60)b | 36.22 (37.25)b | 4.90 (13.33)b | 41.13 (40.43)b |
| T2** (15 gms/l) (7.5 × 10⁵ IJs) | 57.12 (49.58)c | 6.34 (15.12)c | 63.47 (53.84)c | 46.05 (43.00)b | 6.95 (15.35)b | 53.00 (47.31)b |
| T3* (20 gms/l) (1.0 × 10⁶ IJs) | 54.92 (48.15)c | 10.45 (19.24)d | 65.37 (54.69)c | 53.04 (47.36)c | 8.85 (17.53)d | 61.90 (53.20)c |
| T4** (20 gms/l) (1.0 × 10⁶ IJs) | 65.52 (54.46)c | 10.75 (19.57)d | 76.28 (61.91)d | 58.74 (50.45)c | 9.56 (18.41)c | 68.31 (56.84)c |
| T5* (25 gms/l) (1.25 × 10⁶ Us) | 68.01 (56.23)c | 11.69 (20.32)d | 79.70 (64.68)d | 61.45 (52.07)c | 9.91 (18.74)c | 71.37 (58.95)c |
| T6** (25 gms/l) (1.25 × 10⁶ Us) | 77.62 (62.44)c | 12.49 (20.89)d | 90.12 (73.69)e | 69.14 (56.71)c | 13.28 (21.31)d | 82.43 (66.92)d |
| T7 (Untreated control) | 3.69 (11.67)a | 0.00 (4.05)a | 3.69 (12.39)a | 3.43 (11.37)a | 0.00 (4.05)a | 4.43 (12.10)a |
| CD(0.05) | 5.49 | 2.27 | 6.66 | 6.41 | 2.74 | 7.55 |
| CV(5%) | 48.11 | 46.28 | 48.40 | 48.46 | 46.83 | 47.87 |

Each figure in column represents mean of five observations; figures in parentheses are sin of N + 0.5; similar superscripts in each column indicate the values statistically identical.

*No post wetting
**Post wetting
Confirmation of nematode killed larvae
Change in larval color from original light pink to brick red indicated specifically *Heterorhabditis* induced mortality (Fig. 1). But for further confirmation, the dead insect larvae (cadavers) were placed on a White trap (White, 1927) for the release of infective juveniles.

Statistical analysis
Minitab 11.12 (Minitab LLC) was used to analyze the data for ANOVA. Percent larval mortality was determined by dividing the number of dead larvae from total number of larvae in a sample. Percent reduction over control was calculated by using Abbott’s (1925) formula: \( T-C/100-C \times 100 \) (where \( T = \) mortality in treated condition and \( C = \) mortality in untreated control condition)

Results and discussion
Effect of tree trunk banding and nematode on diapausing larvae
Gunny bags wrapped around the apple tree trunks provide an ideal shelter for overwintering and also protection from birds and other predators to the diapausing larvae of Codling moth. In a previous study at Kargil, where in apple tree trunks were banded by gunny bags, 35.37 to 99.56 overwintering larvae per tree trunk was observed (Ahmad et al. 2018). Similar trends were observed in the present experiment. The average larval density of Codling moth per tree trunk in the

### Table 2 Two-years pooled data of cumulative larval mortality of Codling moth, *Cydia pomonella*, at Kargil during 2017 and 2018

| Treatment | Per cent larval mortality after time intervals | % reduction over control |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
|           | 48 h       | 72 h       | Pooled mortality |                             |
| T1*       |            |            |                |                             |
| (15 gms/l)(7.5 x 10^5 IJs) | 39.85 (39.42)^b | 4.00 (12.19)^b | 43.85 (41.74)^b | 41.78 (40.25)^b |
| T2**      |            |            |                |                             |
| (15 gms/l)(7.5 x 10^5 IJs) | 51.59 (46.24)^c | 6.64 (15.42)^c | 58.24 (50.12)^c | 56.71 (48.93)^b |
| T3*       |            |            |                |                             |
| (20 gms/l)(1.0 x 10^6 IJs) | 53.98 (47.64)^c | 9.65 (18.51)^c | 63.64 (53.40)^c | 62.30 (52.30)^b |
| T4**      |            |            |                |                             |
| (20 gms/l)(1.0 x 10^6 IJs) | 62.13 (52.39)^c | 10.16 (19.02)^c | 72.29 (58.82)^c | 71.27 (57.84)^c |
| T5*       |            |            |                |                             |
| (25 gms/l)(1.25 x 10^6 IJs) | 64.73 (53.94)^c | 10.80 (19.59)^c | 75.53 (60.84)^c | 74.64 (59.91)^c |
| T6**      |            |            |                |                             |
| (25 gms/l)(1.25 x 10^6 IJs) | 73.38 (59.31)^c | 12.89 (21.17)^c | 86.27 (68.96)^c | 85.77 (68.11)^d |
| T7        |            |            |                |                             |
| (Untreated control) | 3.56 (10.78)^a | 0.00 (4.05)^a | 3.56 (10.78)^a | – |
| CD(5%)    | 4.14       | 2.32       | 4.60          | 5.29                      |
| CV(5%)    | 44.95      | 61.21      | 44.42         | 24.27                     |

Each figure in column represents mean of five observations; figures in parentheses are a sin of \( N + 0.5 \); similar superscripts in each column indicate the values statistically at par
*No post wetting
**Post wetting

### Table 3 Analysis of variances of different treatments and Student’s t-test during 2017 and 2018

| Treatments | Year 2017 | Year 2018 | Pooled mean | Student’s t test |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|
|            | F=        | d.f. =    | P=          | F=             | d.f. = | P=          | t=            | df= | P= |
| After 48 h | 53.63**   | 6.24      | 0.00        | 32.69**        | 6.24 | 0.00        | 84.98**       | 6.24 | 0.00   |
| After 72 h | 44.18**   | 6.24      | 0.00        | 13.39**        | 6.24 | 0.00        | 38.22**       | 6.24 | 0.00   |
| Total % mortality | 52.22** | 6.24 | 0.00 | 32.96** | 6.24 | 0.00 | 78.17** | 6.24 | 0.00   |
experimental years was found between 34.6 and 56.8 larvae/trunk (Fig. 2).

The larval population declined from 28.5 to 6.7 larvae per tree trunk after nematode application (Fig. 2) exhibiting 43.85 to 86.27% mortality. When compared location wise, the larval density was found statistically different ($P \leq 0.01$) from each other. Cumulative larval mortality during 2017 and 2018 varied from 46.57 to 90.12 and 41.13 to 82.43%, respectively (Table 1). Pooled larval mortality ranged from 43.85 to 86.27% (Table 2) and was statistically different ($P \leq 0.01$) with respect to treatments at 48 and 72 h interval. Similar observations were made when data was analyzed separately for each year (Table 3). Percent reduction in larvae over control was statistically significant ($P \leq 0.01$) from each other.

Effect of nematode dosage on larval mortality
An increase in EPN concentrations from 15 g to 25 g resulted in increasing larval mortality, indicating a strong positive correlation ($r = 0.92^{**}$) between the 2 parameters (Table 2). This may be attributed to increase in the number of IJs with respect to increased dosage in water suspension, which maximized the chances of IJs encountering with diapausing larvae, resulting in higher larval mortality. Similar dosage dependent results were also reported by Lacey et al. (2005) who evaluated S. feltiae against Codling moth larvae and recorded 80% larval mortality at higher concentration of 50 IJs/ml of water in comparison to only 50 and 70% mortality at lower dose of 10 and 25 IJs/ml of water, respectively. The findings also confirm the report of Laznik et al. (2010) who found a high IJs concentration of S. feltiae applied in field against the Colorado potato beetle (Leptinotarsa decemlineata) significantly reduced the larval population of the pest as compared to the lowest IJs concentration.

Nematode efficacy against larva on post-wet tree trunk
Increased performance of EPNs due to pre and post-wetting has been reported by several workers (Cossentine et al. 2002 and De Waal et al. 2010). Similar trend was observed in the present study. Post-wetting, i.e., wetting of the tree trunk after 24 h resulted in maximum larval mortality than the non-post-wet (Table 2). This may be due to retaining of adequate moisture by the gunny wraps that enhanced mobility of nematodes, which in turn increased host finding ability and ultimately penetration of IJs into the host insect body. Comparison of data for larval mortality with respect to different treatments through Student’s $t$ test between 2017 and 2018 however indicated non-significant differences (Table 3).

Apart from dosages used, several other factors like nematode application with rose can sprinker during evening hours, optimum temperature of 18–23 °C during 3rd week of August in Ladakh Region and probably cold adapted nature of H. pakistanensis might have contributed in achieving high level of larval mortality. Reduced larval mortality with air blast spraying of EPNs during morning hours was reported by Lacey and Unruh (1998). Temperature between 15 and 25 °C was reported to favor active host searching and penetration of EPN in diapausing larvae of Codling moth (Shapiro-Ilan et al. 2017), whereas at 14 °C, activity of most of EPNs slow down (Odendaal et al. 2015), except the supremacy of cold adapted EPN species over warm adapted ones (Lacey et al. 2006).

Conclusion
In the present study, efficacy of H. pakistanensis NBAIR H-05 against diapausing larvae of Codling moth provided encouraging results. Tree trunk banding for collecting diapausing larvae at one place and killing the larvae in masses by applying nematodes proved an excellent strategy for the management of Codling moth. H. pakistanensis NBAIR H-05, as a valuable biological component may be recommended in the integrated management program of Codling moth in Ladakh. In the long run, amalgamation of this cost-effective, eco-friendly tactics will certainly help to promote apple industry of Ladakh Region, if popularized at a large scale.
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