Focal adhesion linker proteins expression of fibroblast related to adhesion in response to different transmucosal abutment surfaces

Yeon-Hee Moon, MS, Mi-Kyeong Yoon, DDS, PhD, Jung-Sun Moon, PhD, Jee-Hae Kang, MS, Sun-Hun Kim, DDS, PhD, Hong-Seo Yang, DDS, PhD, Min-Seok Kim*, DDS, PhD
Dental Science Research Institute, School of Dentistry, Chonnam National University, Gwangju, Republic of Korea

PURPOSE. To evaluate adherence of human gingival fibroblasts (HGFs) to transmucosal abutment of dental implant with different surface conditions with time and to investigate the roles of focal adhesion linker proteins (FALPs) involved in HGFs adhesion to abutment surfaces. MATERIALS AND METHODS. Morphologies of cultured HGFs on titanium and ceramic discs with different surface were observed by scanning electron microscopy. Biocompatibility and focal adhesion were evaluated by ultrasonic wave application and cell viability assay. FALPs expression levels were assessed by RT-PCR and western blot. RESULTS. There seemed to be little difference in biocompatibility and adhesion strength of HGFs depending on the surface conditions and materials. In all experimental groups, the number of cells remaining on the disc surface after ultrasonic wave application increased more than 2 times at 3 days after seeding compared to 1-day cultured cells and this continued until 7 days of culture. FALPs expression levels, especially of vinculin and paxillin, also increased in 5-day cultured cells compared to 1-day cultured fibroblasts on the disc surface. CONCLUSION. These results might suggest that the strength of adhesion of fibroblasts to transmucosal abutment surfaces increases with time and it seemed to be related to expressions of FALPs. [J Adv Prosthodont 2013;5:341-50]
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INTRODUCTION

Dental implants are mostly preferred in the dental prosthetic field for partial or complete edentulous patients. In spite of their popularity, many failure cases due to infection such as post-operative peri-implantitis have been reported. There are several common clinical and histological features between the free marginal gingiva and the mucosa surrounding an implant. The tooth-gingiva interface in the natural tooth consists of 3 parts, gingival sulcus, epithelial attachment, connective tissue attachment. Dental implants also normally keep the epithelial attachment and submucosal attachment intact, which serves as a biological seal and plays an important role as a blocking barrier preventing food impaction and bacterial infiltration into the implant-tissue interface. The epithelial attachment on the implant and tooth is composed of hemidesmosomes and a basal lamina, and attachment of fibroblasts is maintained by focal adhesion complex, consisting of proteins that communicate with extracellular matrix (ECM) and intracellular cytoskeleton. Integrin, one of the transmembrane proteins, indirectly connects ECM with actin filaments through a series of linker proteins. Linker proteins, represented by talin, paxillin, vinculin etc. not only stabilize the focal adhesion complex by regulating gene expression through the feed-
back system, but they also transfer various signals from ECM to cytosol. 8

Osseointegration is the most critical factor contributing to dental implant stabilization. On the other hand, the firm attachment of the epithelium and connective tissue to the dental implant results in complete sealing and protection of subgingival bony tissues from the oral environment in the end, and it is the most influential factor for long-term maintenance of dental implants. 9 Many current studies on dental implants are being conducted with the aim to improve osseointegration at the bone-implant interface through regulation of osteocalcin and alkaline phosphatase during osteoblast differentiation. 10,11 Although some studies have also been conducted on the main protein of the attachment apparatus such as integrin for improving soft tissue attachment, they were only limited to morphological analysis of focal contacts containing integrin and vinculin. 12,13 Studies with the aim of quantification of cell adhesion strength to the implant and evaluation of the roles of linker proteins are very rare.

Biocompatibility and bioadhesive property of an abutment which has a supragingival and gingival-passing structure not only makes it possible to form the functional soft tissue, but also play an important role in maintaining osseointegration. To improve the esthetic appeal and to make the dental laboratory process easier, materials such as ceramics, gold alloy except for titanium are used as abutment materials. Although the demand for the use of ceramic in anterior teeth replacement is increasing, 6,17 the soft tissue healing process and successful attachment of the mucosa to the ceramic abutment material have not been clearly elucidated. 17,18 Therefore, firstly, this study was aimed at comparing the differences in attachment of gingival fibroblasts to the transmucosal abutment and proliferation depending on different materials and surface roughness of the transmucosal abutment. Next, adhesion strength of fibroblasts to different abutment with various surface conditions was estimated and then changes in expression of linker proteins such as talin, paxillin, vinculin etc. was analysed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to fit into the well of 24-well tissue culture plates, 15 mm-diameter, 1 mm-thickness discs using titanium (Grade III commercially pure titanium) and ceramics (Ceramic abutment®: ZrO2 94.4%, Y2O3 5.25%, Al2O3 0.1%, H2O 0.1%) were manufactured by Megagen Implant Co. (Daegu, Korea). To represent 5 different transmucosal abutment surfaces, the discs were polished to give a glassy appearance and were used as the polished surface and the discs were cut without polishing and were used as the machined surface. Additionally, titanium coated with titanium nitride was used. Nine specimens were used for each individual group respectively. After surface treatment of each specimen, ultrasonic cleaning in methanol and distilled water respectively and autoclave sterilization were performed. To identify the surface roughness, surfaces of each specimen were observed and photographed using a JSM-5400 (Jeol, Japan) scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

Healthy gingival tissue was obtained from Korean patients at Chonnam National Hospital and HGFs were cultured from it. All procedures were performed with informed consent from the subjects, and the Ethics Committee of Chonnam National University and Hospital approved the protocol. HGFs were cultured in a growth medium comprised of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM), 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco-BRL, Grand Island, NY, USA), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin in an atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37°C. At 1 day and 5 days after seeding respectively, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes. Phosphate Buffered saline (PBS) washing and dehydration using ethanol were followed. After air-drying, surfaces were sputter-coated with gold and observed by SEM. HGFs on tissue culture plates were used as controls.

The MTT[3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA] assay was used to indirectly measure the cell viability at 1, 3, 5 and 7 days after seeding respectively. To compare the cell adhesion strength after 2 × 106 HGFs were plated onto each disc fitted for 24-well tissue culture plates, ultrasonic waves were applied for 10 minutes using SD-80W® ultrasonic cleaner (SD-ultrasonic Co., LTD, Korea). After the treatments, MTT was added to the growth medium at a final concentration of 0.1 mg/mL for each times and cultivated at 37°C for 4 hours. The reaction product of MTT was detected using dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and the optical density (OD) was spectrophotometrically measured at 570 nm using an ELISA reader (BIO Tek Instruments, Winooski, VM, USA) with DMSO as the blank. The results were compared with the values obtained from fibroblasts grown on disc surfaces without ultrasonic wave application.

The total mRNA was extracted using a Trizol® Reagent (Gibco-BRL, Grand Island, NY, USA). Before PCR was performed, contaminating DNA, if any, was removed by treating extracted DNA with DNase I (Gibco-BRL, Grand Island, NY, USA). RNA quantification was conducted using a UV spectrophotometer and those RNA samples were qualified by obtaining OD 260/280 ratios > 1.8. AccPower® RT PreMix (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea) and AccPower® PCR PreMix (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea) were used for reverse transcription and the PCR reaction respectively. Perkin-Elmer GeneAmp PCR system 2400 (Applied Biosystems/Perkin Elmer, Foster City, CA, USA) was used for PCR reaction. PCR conditions were as follows: denaturation for 30 seconds at 95°C, annealing for 30 seconds at 57°C and a 30 second extension step at 72°C. Preliminary experiments were performed to determine the optimal number of PCR cycles. Products were resolved on a 1% agarose gel and visualized using SYBR®Safe DNA gel stain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The product size was estimated based on a 100 bp marker (Takara, Otsu, Shiga, Japan). Primer sequences used are presented in Table 1.
After the cold PBS washing, cell lysisation and homogenization in a lysis buffer were followed. Cell lysates centrifugation was conducted and protein concentrations in the supernatants were determined using Amersham GeneQuant Pro (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech, Arlington Heights, IL, USA). After 5 min boiling of equal amounts of the proteins in a 3X SDS sample buffer and loading onto 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, the resolved proteins were transferred to a Protran nitrocellulose membrane (Whatman GmbH, Dassel, Germany). The membrane blocking was conducted with a TBS-T buffer [10 mM Tris-buffered isotonic saline (pH 7.0), 0.1% merthiolate, 0.1% Tween-20] including 5% skim milk for 1 hour at room temperature. The membrane was then incubated with the rabbit polyclonal primary antibody raised against paxillin or vinculin (1:1000 dilution, Cell signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA) for overnight at 4°C with gentle shaking, respectively. β-actin primary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich Co., ST Louis, MO, USA) was used as the guidance. After the several TBS-T washing, the membranes were incubated with HRP-labeled anti-rabbit secondary Ab (1:3000 dilutions, Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA) for paxillin or vinculin, anti-mouse secondary Ab (1:3000 dilutions, Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA) for β-actin, for 1 hour at room temperature. The reaction product was visualized with HRP substrate luminol reagent (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA). LAS4000 mini loaded with image Reader LAS-4000 software (Fujifilm, Minatoku, Tokyo, Japan) was used for photograph.

Data are expressed as mean standard deviation. Statistic analysis was performed using an ANOVA test. The results were taken to be significant at a probability level of *P* < .05. For all experiments, three independent experiments were performed.

**RESULTS**

Photographs taken through a SEM of each modified surface disc are depicted in Fig. 1 (From A to E). In case of the machined titanium surface (MT) group, several thick groove patterns running in concentric circles were observed. The polished titanium surface (PT) group revealed relatively smoother surfaces than the MT group, but some surfaces with irregularly distributed grooves presenting several scratching and pitting were still observed. Titanium nitride coating on a polished titanium surface (CT) did not significantly affect the original surface texture and showed a similar picture as the PT group. While the machined ceramic surface (MC) group showed irregular texture with multiple concavities and convexities, the surfaces of polished ceramic surface (PC) group were the smoothest among all the above experimental groups.

HGFs on days 1 and 5 after seeding on five different disc surfaces were demonstrated by SEM (Fig. 1 from F to J and from K to O). Overall, favorable adhesion and good spread of fibroblasts on all modified surfaces tested were observed. However, there were some differences in detailed cellular morphology between each experimental group.

**Table 1. Primer sequences using the RT-PCR**

| Target       | Sequence                                      | Size (bp) | Reference*      |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| β-actin      | Forward 5’ aat ctg gca cca cac ctt ct 3’      | 138       | NM_001101.3    |
|              | Reverse 5’ ggg gtg tgt aag gtc tca aa 3’      |           |                |
|              | Forward 5’ cct cca tgt cac aat cct tc 3’      | 101       | NM_002859      |
| Paxillin     | Reverse 5’ tggagccgtacacaggtgt 3’             |           |                |
| Talin1       | Forward 5’ tac cat gat gta cga cgc 3’         | 497       | NM_006289      |
|              | Reverse 5’ gct cct cta cac cct gcc cc 3’      |           |                |
| Tensin1      | Forward 5’ cct act acc ctt gcc tga gc 3’      | 226       | NM_022648      |
| Zyxin        | Reverse 5’ gtt tgt gag aag gag acg gt 3’       |           |                |
|              | Forward 5’ cca gcc tgt gtc ttt gcc ta 3’      | 151       | NM_003461      |
| Vinculin     | Reverse 5’ tgg tgt tga ccc aga toc ac 3’      |           |                |
|              | Forward 5’ agc acc cag ctc aaa acc t 3’       | 198       | NM_014000      |
| Actinin, α1  | Reverse 5’ goc cag tgt aaaa toc agc at 3’     | 193       | NM_001102      |
|              | Forward 5’ cgg cag cat gag aag agc at 3’      |           |                |
| FAK          | Reverse 5’ gga tct ggt tct cta cta ca 3’     | 620       | BCO18646       |

* NIH Gene bank accession number
Fig. 1. Photographs of surface modified titanium and ceramic discs with or without HGF taken using SEM: (A, F, K) machined titanium surface (MT), (B, G, I) polished titanium surface (PT), (C, H, M) titanium coated with titanium nitride (CT), (D, I, N) machined ceramic surface (MC), (E, J, O) polished ceramic surface (PC), (C, H, M). HGFs were cultured for 1 day (F-J) and for 5 days (K-O), respectively. Magnification: ×200.
depending on the surface type and growing period. One day cultured fibroblasts on the MT surfaces were entrapped primarily in the gap between the thick macrogrooves and elongated along the groove patterns. In contrast, diverse cellular shapes such as spindle, round, elongated or multipolar with cellular processes, appeared on the PT surfaces. Cells with similar morphology were observed on the CT and MC surfaces with the exception of size and the number of cellular processes of each cell. In case of the cells cultured on the MC surfaces, the majority of cells had a flat and short morphology and the cell density was lower than that in the other groups. Meanwhile, after 5 days of culture, cellular growth seemed to be denser in all the groups. Each cell appeared larger, more elongated, more spread out and more firmly adhering to the surfaces than the 1-day cultured fibroblasts.

To compare the influence of the various surface modifications on cell growth and proliferation, MTT tests were performed after cells were cultured for 1, 3, 5 and 7 days on each disc. The mitochondrial dehydrogenase activities demonstrated similar trends in all the groups without significant differences (Fig. 2). In other words, the mitochondrial dehydrogenase activities increased in a time-dependent manner. When compared with the cells grown on all modified surfaces tested, the activity of the fibroblasts cultured on 24-well culture plates which were used as a control was higher. There were no statistically significant differences between all groups with modified surfaces.

To compare the adhesion strength of cells cultured on various modified surfaces, ultrasonic waves were applied to 24-well tissue culture plates including the discs on which HGFs were cultured for 1, 3, 5 and 7 days respectively as explained in ‘Materials and Methods’ section. After the mechanical forces were applied, mitochondrial dehydrogenase activities of the fraction of adherent cells were measured. The values obtained were then compared with the groups in which the mechanical forces were not applied. Fibroblasts cultured on 24-well culture plates were used as the control. Fibroblasts cultured for 1 day on various modified surfaces were easily detached up to more than two-thirds, while, after 3 days of culture, the rate of detachment was significantly decreased. This trend was common in all tested groups including the control. In addition, although generally data obtained from the experimental groups were lower than that of the control, there were no significant differences among the tested groups (Fig. 3).

To investigate the contribution of focal adhesion linker proteins in HGFs adhesion on the abutment surfaces, after the cells were cultured for 1, 3, 5 and 7 days on modified surfaces, semi-quantitative RT-PCR assay was conducted to detect the expressions of several focal adhesion linker proteins such as vinculin, paxillin, tensin, zyxin, talin, actinin and FAK. The mRNA expression levels of most focal adhesion linker proteins in 5-day and 7-day cultured cells were little different in each experimental group with the exception of tensin and FAK which showed very faint expressions (Fig. 4A). However, the results of changes in mRNA expression levels of focal adhesion linker proteins

![Fig. 2. Growth of HGFs on five discs with different modified surfaces was assessed using the MTT assay. Results are shown as the mean number of cells from four wells ±SD. Ctrl: control, MT: machined titanium surface, PT: polished titanium surface, CT: titanium coated with titanium nitride, MC: machined ceramic surface, PC: polished ceramic surface.](image-url)
with time showed that mRNA expression of some focal adhesion linker proteins, especially, vinculin, paxillin, tensin and FAK increased in a time-dependent manner irrespective of the culture conditions used. The expression pattern of the other focal adhesion linker proteins such as zyxin, talin and actinin was not affected by time (Fig. 4B). To confirm the correlation between the focal adhesion linker proteins and HGFs adhesion on abutment surfaces, after the cells were cultured for 1 day and 5 days on modified surfaces respectively, western blot was performed to compare the expressions of focal adhesion linker proteins, especially, vinculin and paxillin which showed a distinctive increase as time passed, on RT-PCR assay. As expected, both vinculin and paxillin protein expressions increased in all 5-day cultured groups tested including the control compared with the 1-day cultured groups (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Surface characteristics including roughness and morphology are the most influencing factors in cellular behavior such as survival, attachment and growth. Although there have been extensive investigations of cellular response to dental implant according to surface topography, it still remains controversial. To date several lines of evidence indicate that the implant surfaces with microrough topographies exhibit positive effects such as increased bone-to-implant contact and increased osteoblastic differentiation and osteogenesis *in vitro* and *vivo*. In contrast, some researchers demonstrated that the smooth surfaces allowed for a closer contact between the cells and the substrate than the rougher surfaces do. Although it is truly believed that surface roughness can influence cellular adhesion, it appears proba-
Fig. 4. mRNA expressions of focal adhesion linker proteins of cultured HGFs grown on five discs with different modified surfaces assessed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR assay. (A) Comparison of mRNA expression levels of focal adhesion linker proteins obtained from 5-day and 7-day cultured HGFs grown on five discs with different modified surfaces. (B) Changes in mRNA expression levels of focal adhesion linker proteins with time. Ctrl: control, MT: machined titanium surface, PT: polished titanium surface, CT: titanium coated with titanium nitride, MC: machined ceramic surface, PC: polished ceramic surface.

Fig. 5. Changes in expression of paxillin and vinculin proteins in HGFs cultured for 1 day and 5 days respectively on five discs with different modified surfaces assessed using western blot. Ctrl: control, MT: machined titanium surface, PT: polished titanium surface, CT: titanium coated with titanium nitride, MC: machined ceramic surface, PC: polished ceramic surface.
ble that there is a critical scale of surface topography which can change the cellular response. Recently, Park et al. demonstrated that adhesion, proliferation, migration, and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells as well as osteoblasts and osteoclasts were maximally induced on 15-nm nanotubes. Moreover, from the clinical study, it was suggested that 30-90 nm average roughness might be most proper to achieve a stable soft tissue sealing around transmucosal abutments. Although the surface roughness of specimens used in this study have not been properly calculated, considering SEN observation results, they seemed to be outside the range of critical scale which could have changed the cellular response as suggested above, and therefore, fibroblast adhesion and growth were not relatively dependent on the surface roughness. In practice, similar trends were seen in Meredith et al.’s work. They compared biocompatibilities of standard, electropolished titanium, Ti-6Al-7Nb, and stainless steel. All samples in their experiment showed a general trend of increase in cell number with time, with the exception of cells grown on titanium alloy.

A quantification of cell adhesion seems to be one of the most plausible ways to evaluate the surface of biomaterials such as dental implants. To date, several quantitative adhesion assays have been developed. They are primarily based on measurement of the force or energy required to detach a cell from the substrate using the mechanical forces such as centrifugal force or liquid flow shear stress. Although valuable data for cell attachment can be obtained from these methods, most of the assays need specialized devices or time-consuming and technique-sensitive processes. In contrast, the suggested ultrasonic wave application technique is a very simple and easy method being common-32 or the focal adhesion-related protein such as vinculin. Focal adhesion contact area was measured using the microscope.32 or the focal adhesion-related protein such as vinculin. Therefore, adhesion, proliferation, migration, and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells as well as osteoblasts and osteoclasts were maximally induced on 15-nm nanotubes. Moreover, from the clinical study, it was suggested that 30-90 nm average roughness might be most proper to achieve a stable soft tissue sealing around transmucosal abutments. Although the surface roughness of specimens used in this study have not been properly calculated, considering SEN observation results, they seemed to be outside the range of critical scale which could have changed the cellular response as suggested above, and therefore, fibroblast adhesion and growth were not relatively dependent on the surface roughness. In practice, similar trends were seen in Meredith et al.’s work. They compared biocompatibilities of standard, electropolished titanium, Ti-6Al-7Nb, and stainless steel. All samples in their experiment showed a general trend of increase in cell number with time, with the exception of cells grown on titanium alloy.

A quantification of cell adhesion seems to be one of the most plausible ways to evaluate the surface of biomaterials such as dental implants. To date, several quantitative adhesion assays have been developed. They are primarily based on measurement of the force or energy required to detach a cell from the substrate using the mechanical forces such as centrifugal force or liquid flow shear stress.29-31 Although valuable data for cell attachment can be obtained from these methods, most of the assays need specialized devices or time-consuming and technique-sensitive processes. In contrast, the suggested ultrasonic wave application technique is a very simple and easy method being commonly used in the laboratory and allows for the comparison of adhesion strengths of cells to biomaterials with various surface conditions within a short time and with equal amount of ultrasonic wave application, as shown in the present results. However, the universal application of this method for quantifying cell adhesion is still questionable because only one type of intensity can be applied with the equipment used in this study for detaching the cells. Therefore, it is necessary to perform further studies in order to confirm the measurement of exact strength applied to each specimen and application of this technique to a variety of materials with diverse surface modifications for extended periods of time.

Another technique for quantification of cell adhesion was categorized as a non-mechanical method. The total focal adhesion contact area was measured using the microscope32 or the focal adhesion-related protein such as vinculin. Focal adhesion contact area was measured using the microscope.32 or the focal adhesion-related protein such as vinculin. Therefore, the most appropriate protein to target for the development of an immunocytochemistry-based cell adhesion assay method is necessary to perform further studies in order to confirm the measurement of exact strength applied to each specimen and application of this technique to a variety of materials with diverse surface modifications for extended periods of time.

A quantification of cell adhesion seems to be one of the most plausible ways to evaluate the surface of biomaterials such as dental implants. To date, several quantitative adhesion assays have been developed. They are primarily based on measurement of the force or energy required to detach a cell from the substrate using the mechanical forces such as centrifugal force or liquid flow shear stress.29-31 Although valuable data for cell attachment can be obtained from these methods, most of the assays need specialized devices or time-consuming and technique-sensitive processes. In contrast, the suggested ultrasonic wave application technique is a very simple and easy method being commonly used in the laboratory and allows for the comparison of adhesion strengths of cells to biomaterials with various surface conditions within a short time and with equal amount of ultrasonic wave application, as shown in the present results. However, the universal application of this method for quantifying cell adhesion is still questionable because only one type of intensity can be applied with the equipment used in this study for detaching the cells. Therefore, it is necessary to perform further studies in order to confirm the measurement of exact strength applied to each specimen and application of this technique to a variety of materials with diverse surface modifications for extended periods of time.

Another technique for quantification of cell adhesion was categorized as a non-mechanical method. The total focal adhesion contact area was measured using the microscope or the focal adhesion-related protein such as vinculin. Focal adhesion contact area was measured using the microscope or the focal adhesion-related protein such as vinculin. Therefore, the most appropriate protein to target for the development of an immunocytochemistry-based cell adhesion assay method is necessary to perform further studies in order to confirm the measurement of exact strength applied to each specimen and application of this technique to a variety of materials with diverse surface modifications for extended periods of time.

A quantification of cell adhesion seems to be one of the most plausible ways to evaluate the surface of biomaterials such as dental implants. To date, several quantitative adhesion assays have been developed. They are primarily based on measurement of the force or energy required to detach a cell from the substrate using the mechanical forces such as centrifugal force or liquid flow shear stress.29-31 Although valuable data for cell attachment can be obtained from these methods, most of the assays need specialized devices or time-consuming and technique-sensitive processes. In contrast, the suggested ultrasonic wave application technique is a very simple and easy method being commonly used in the laboratory and allows for the comparison of adhesion strengths of cells to biomaterials with various surface conditions within a short time and with equal amount of ultrasonic wave application, as shown in the present results. However, the universal application of this method for quantifying cell adhesion is still questionable because only one type of intensity can be applied with the equipment used in this study for detaching the cells. Therefore, it is necessary to perform further studies in order to confirm the measurement of exact strength applied to each specimen and application of this technique to a variety of materials with diverse surface modifications for extended periods of time.
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